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## PREFACE

THe Leocrates of Lycurgus has remained, in England, in comparative obscurity, not having attracted an editor since John Taylor ${ }^{1}$ edited it at Cambridge, along with the Midias of Demosthenes, in 1743. Yet the speech is by no means without its merits. It forms, in many ways, an excellent introduction to Attic oratory for younger students. It is easier than Demosthenes, and there is no complex political situation to expound: the issue is simple and direct. And it has a greater variety of interest than either Demosthenes or Lysias. Its very fault of diffuseness, from the purely forensic standpoint, becomes, from an educative point of view, its great virtue. Lycurgus' excursions into ancient history, legend, and the poets, provide, in Livy's phrase, so many deverticula amoena where the student finds refreshment with instruction.

The text of the present edition will be found to adhere, in the main, to that of Blass, whose critical commentary I have supplemented with those of Scheibe, Rehdantz and Thalheim. I have not hesitated, however, to depart from Blass where the concessions which he makes to considerations of hiatus-an unusually precarious guide in the case of Lycurgus-or of the numeri, to which he assigns such considerable weight, are in conflict with the

[^0]clear testimony of the mss. While I have not aimed at producing a critical edition, in the strict sense of the term, a considerable amount of attention has been devoted to textual points throughout. These have been noticed, wherever it could be done conveniently, in the body of the notes: passages requiring somewhat fuller discussion have been collected in a separate appendix.

With regard to the notes, my first and greatest obligation is to the elaborate edition of Rehdantz (Leipzig, 1876), of which any subsequent editor of Lycurgus is bound to take account. I have also had before me the brief but useful notes of E . Sofer (Leipzig and Berlin, 1905). Among the older editions, I have inspected Dobson's Attic Orators (vol. Iv), Baiter and Sauppe, and the acute commentary of van den Es.

For the material of the Introduction, in addition to the relevant portions of Blass and Rehdantz, I have consulted works of general reference such as Gilbert's Antiquities, Jebb's Attic Orators, Prof. E. A. Gardner's Ancient Athens, Bury's History of Greece, and the Cambridge Companion. I have been able to make use of Prof. J. F. Dobson's The Greek Orators for matters connected with Lycurgus' style, and I am indebted to Mr Wyse's introduction to his monumental edition of Isaeus for information regarding the manuscript tradition for the minor orators.

I have had the advantage of discussing several points with my friends and former class-fellows, Mr W. M. Calder, Professor of Greek in the University of Manchester, and Mr J. Fraser, now Professor of Celtic in the University of Oxford; and
with a former Cambridge teacher, Mr L. Whibley, Fellow of Pembroke College, to all of whom I here wish to make acknowledgment. Prof. Calder has further kindly undertaken the revision of the proof-sheets, while my obligations to the readers of the University Press may be taken for granted. To Dr P. Giles, Master of Emmanuel College, Cambridge, and recently Vice-Chancellor of the University, I owe a special word of thanks for much kindness and encouragement. For the statements contained in the book, I alone, of course, am responsible.
A. P.

Natal University College,
Christmas, 1921

## ERRATUM

p. ${ }^{152,1 .} 7$ from bottom. For Branchidae read Didyma.
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Hermogenes, De Formis Oratoriis, B. it.



Lycurgus, Contra Leocratem, 124 .

## INTRODUCTION

## (i) LYCURGUS: HIS LIFE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION ${ }^{1}$

Lycurgus, son of Lycophron, was born at Athens about the year 390 B.c., being thus a few years older than Demosthenes ${ }^{2}$. He belonged to the

Birth and Family noble family of the Eteobutadae, which traced its descent from the hero Butes, brother of Erechtheus, and in which the priesthoods
${ }^{1}$ Our chief authorities are the Life in The Lives of the Ten Orators, attributed to Plutarch, and the decree of the orator Stratocles in connexion with Lycurgus appended thereto. A fragment of this decree (archonship of Anaxicrates, $307 / 6-$ C. I. A. II. 240) is extant, and confirms, as far as it goes, the version of Pseudo-Plutarch: the stone was probably more concise, on the whole, though the surviving fragment does not positively justify this assumption. The decree in Ps.-Plut. was most likely derived from Caecilius of Calacte (a rhetorician of the time of Augustus), who in his turn was probably dependent on a copy made by Heliodorus (fl. c. 160 B.c.). A Life of Lycurgus was written by Philiscus of Miletus (a pupil of Isocrates), and afterwards by the above-mentioned Caecilius, from the latter of whom a great part of the Ps.-Plutarchic Life appears to have been derived. [A commentary on the Ps.-Plut. Life was written by M. H. E. Meier (in Kiessling's ed. of the fragments of Lycurgus, 1847): the decree of Stratocles has been elucidated by C. Curtius, Philologus, xxiv. 83 sqq.]
${ }^{2}$ Argt. to Dem. Against Avistogiton (Libanius). Some place his birth as early as 396 B.c., or twelve years before the accepted date of the birth of Demosthenes.
of Poseidon Erechtheus and of Athena Polias were hereditary offices. Of his father nothing is known except his name; his grandfather, also a Lycurgus, had been among the victims of the Thirty ${ }^{1}$. The records of the family were rich in public honours, in life and in death, and Lycurgus was thus marked out, alike by inherited character and ancestral tradition, for a distinguished career.

The public service of Lycurgus is associated with the period in the history of Athens immediately following the battle of Chaeronea, 338 в.с., which made Philip of Macedon controller of the destinies of Greece. Demosthenes, the great orator, had been the heart and soul of the Athenian resistance to the Macedonian

A supporter of Demosthenes barbarian,' and Lycurgus had exerted his influence in the same direction. How far, if at all, he used his oratory for political purposes, we do not know. We hear of him accompanying Demosthenes on an embassy to the Peloponnese, in 343 b.c., to stir up opposition to Philip ${ }^{2}$; and he had at any rate made himself sufficiently prominent

## Surrender

 among the anti-Macedonian party to be one demanded by of those whose surrender was demanded Alexander by Alexander after the subjugation of Thebes, 335 B.c. Fortunately for Athens, the demand was refused; or rather Alexander allowed himself to be placated through the intervention of Demades, whose Macedonian sympathies were well known, and the demand was withdrawn.Philip's treatment of Athens after Chaeronea was so unexpectedly lenient as to confirm, to some extent, the genuineness of the friendly feeling which he had always professed towards her, and to disprove the

[^1]sinister motives attributed to him by ultra-patriots like Demosthenes. Philip undertook to restore the Athenian prisoners without ransom and not to march into Attica. Oropus was to belong to Athens, the Thracian Chersonese to Macedonia. Athens was to dissolve what remained of her confederacy, and become

Philip deals leniently with Athens a member of the new Hellenic league of which Macedon was to be the head. Whatever Philip's motives may have been in granting such generous terms to the city which had been such a persistent obstacle to Macedonian expan-sion-and the fact that Athens could still offer considerable resistance by sea may have weighed with him, apart from any natural feelings of clemencythe Athenians undoubtedly had reason to congratulate themselves on the result.

Philip was murdered in 336 b.c., and for the next thirteen years the eyes of the Greek world were fixed upon his all-conquering son pursuing his

## Alexander in the East

 dazzling conquests in the East. Beside these, the ordinary domestic matters of the individual Greek states, however much notice they might have attracted in the old order of things, were almost, and naturally, without significance. We are permitted to see, however, that once again Athens showed remarkable powers of recovery, and that in the interval between the fall of Thebes and the death of Alexander she did much to rehabilitate her resources which had been sorely taxed by the longAthens recovers war with Philip. There was little enthusiasm, it is true, on the part of Athens for the Macedonian hegemony, but neither was it expedient to break with the northern power, as even the more irreconcileable of the anti-Macedonians saw: it was clearly her policy to set her house in order, with a view to bettering her position when the favourable
moment arrived ${ }^{1}$. Her revenues had to be nursed, her navy strengthened, and her self-respect as a great power restored. The success she achieved in all these directions was largely due to the energy and wholehearted enthusiasm of Lycurgus.

At Athens, in the Demosthenic period, the question of finance was naturally of the first importance; and 'finance,' for an Athenian statesman, had come to mean, above all things, the administration of the so-called 'Theoric Fund.' This fund, the

The Theoric Fund avowed purpose of which, as its name implies, was the providing of 'spectaclemoney,' had developed from the practice, by whomsoever introduced-it has been attributed to Pericles, who had to find means of competing with the private wealth of Cimon ${ }^{2}$-of furnishing the poorer citizens with the price of their theatre ticket. It was a practice which, once begun, rapidly outran, as might be expected, the limits which we may believe its author proposed for it. At all events, by the middle of the fourth century b.c., we find that the entire surplus revenues of the state, after the expenses of administration have been provided for, are declared to be 'theoric,' and the Theoric Fund is administered by a specially elected board (oi $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ tò $\theta$ єшрıкóv), who, according to Aeschines, though it suits his purpose to
${ }^{1}$ She stood aloof from the anti-Macedonian movement in the Peloponnese, which was crushed by Antipater in 33I (or 330) B.c. (battle of Megalopolis).


 $\tau \iota \kappa 0 i ̂ s ~ \lambda \eta$ й $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu . . . \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon к a ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \theta$ os. The principle was extended (some think, instituted) by Cleophon, who introduced the $\delta \iota \omega \beta_{\epsilon} \lambda i a$ or 'two-obol payment,' and later by Agyrrhius.
exaggerate their powers when he makes the statement, 'had in their hands practically the entire

The Theoric Board administration of the city ${ }^{1}$.' The Theoric Board, as we know it, was probably instituted under the regime of Eubulus- the greatest name in Athenian fourth century finance before Lycurgusand held office from one Panathenaea to the following.

But the principle involved in the Theoric Fund, if it answered well enough in time of peace, could hardly do otherwise than make for inefficiency

The Fund safeguarded when a special military effort required to be put forth. This is the lesson conveyed by much of Athens' small achievement in her fourth century foreign policy. In spite of this, however, any proposal to divert the Fund to military purposes was certain to raise a storm of opposition, and indeed appears to have entailed very serious danger to its mover, even if we do not go the length of accepting Libanius' statement that the death penalty for such a proposal was prescribed by law ${ }^{2}$. The test case brought by Apollodorus about the year 350 b.c. had ended in his conviction for having introduced an illegal measure ${ }^{3}$. Demosthenes himself, while cautiously hinting at the

Demosthenes gets it applied to war purposes expediency of applying the Fund to war purposes, definitely declines to make a formal proposal ${ }^{4}$. Not until $339 / 8$ did Demosthenes see his dream realised:

[^2]emboldened by the passing of his naval reforms, he now proposed that the theoric monies should be applied to military purposes, and he must have regarded his success as one of the triumphs of his policy ${ }^{1}$.

Eubulus had been at the head of Athenian finance-

Lycurgus succeeds Eubulus presumably as President of the Theoric Board-for some fifteen years, 354-339: in 338 he was replaced by a nominee of the war party, which had now come into power, in the person of Lycurgus. The reform of Demosthenes in respect of the theoric monies was probably responsible for a radical change in the financial administration, and for the creation of a new finance official, or at any rate an official with a new title-the taرias $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$ $\tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \omega \nu$-whom we now hear of for the first time.
'Steward of the Public Revenue' Lycurgus, however, is called by the Pseudo-
 'steward of the public revenue,' 'Chancellor of the Exchequer'-a title which, while no doubt sufficiently representing his position, is probably not official ${ }^{2}$.
 бтратьютıкá, $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \nu \tau o s ~(a r c h o n s h i p ~ o f ~ L y s i-~$ machides, 339/8).
${ }^{2}$ What definite office, or offices, Lycurgus held during his 'politico-financial ascendancy' is largely a matter of conjecture. From other language of Ps.-Plut. Vit. § 3 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota o i k \eta \sigma \iota \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, Hyper. fr. 12 I (Blass) $\tau a \chi \theta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \iota \iota \bar{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, Diod. xvı.

 been suggested that his office was $\dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \iota \kappa \eta \in \sigma \epsilon$, which probably did not exist so early, the first $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota o \iota \kappa \eta \in \sigma \epsilon$ mentioned in inscrr. being Lycurgus' own son Habron (not before 307 b.c.). Again, from Ps.-Plut. Vit. § $5 \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$| $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ |
| :---: |

 Stratoclis appended, 852 C), it has been inferred that

In the capacity so described Lycurgus acted for a period of twelve years, from 338 to 326 .

Lycurgus' twelve years of office, 338-326 B.C. His appointment apparently ran 'from Panathenaea to Panathenaea ${ }^{1}$,' so that his administration included three such terms of office, in the first (338-334) and the third (330-326) of which Lycurgus administered in his own name; in the second (334-330), under the name of a deputy, who was probably his own son Habron, this device being necessitated by legal restrictions on the length of tenure of the office by the same individual ${ }^{2}$. The

Lycurgus was $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \grave{\eta} \gamma o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \dot{\eta} \nu$, an official whom we do not meet with in inscrr. before the third cent., and who must almost certainly have been mentioned by
 Strat. 852 B) are too vague to allow of any certain inference. It may be that Lycurgus held special commissions for the execution of some of his duties. If he did hold a definite office, it was most likely that of rauias $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, or president of oi $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \grave{i} \tau \grave{̀} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \iota \kappa o ́ v$, who still existed, though no doubt with diminished powers. Cf. Gilbert, Const. Antiqq. pp. 245 sqq. and notes.
${ }^{1}$ As in the case of oi $\epsilon \in \pi \grave{\iota} \tau \dot{o} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu$ and the $\tau$ aرías $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$.



 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ тà $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota a$ х $\rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$. I have followed Blass in understanding $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \in \dot{\epsilon} \omega \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ to mean 'not for two successive penteterids,' and not 'for not more than five years,' absolutely. On the second interpretation, Lycurgus would have administered by deputy in both his second and third terms. Blass further holds that C.I.A. II. $834^{\text {b }}$ I, II proves that Lycurgus acted in his own name in his third penteterid as well: his vicarious administration would therefore apply to his second (334-330). His son Habron had a distinguished public career ([Plut.] Vit. Lyc. §33), being $\tau a \mu i{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho$. in 306/5, and also $\dot{\delta} \epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \iota \iota n \underline{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota($ (C.I.A. II. 167), which
powers which his appointment conferred on him, whether they exceeded or not those of the $\tau a \mu i a s \tau \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\nu}$-and the latter, we know, were of a much more minute and far-reaching nature than the title of the office would imply ${ }^{1}$-must have been such as to place in his hands the control of the whole financial administration, though he was no doubt associated with the Council and specific financial bodies (the $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta$ éк $\tau \alpha \iota, \pi \omega \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i ́$, etc.) in carrying out the details of his office.
Under the direction of Lycurgus Athenian finance took a new lease of life. Boeckh ${ }^{2}$ calls him' 'almost the only real financier that antiquity produced.' He is

## Genius for Finance

 credited with having doubled the annual revenue, raising it from 600 to 1200 talents $^{3}$.would lend colour to the assumption that he acted for his father. The author of the law referred to would appear, from the context, to have been Lycurgus himself, but this is hardly likely (cf. Meier, p. xvi.). The time-limit appears to have been already in force in the time of Eubulus.
${ }^{1}$ Gilbert, C.A., p. 247.
${ }^{2}$ Staatsh. 1. 569.
${ }^{3}$ [Plut.] Vit. Lyc. § зо тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \chi i ́ \lambda \iota a ~ \delta \iota a к o ́ \sigma ı a ~ \tau a ́ \lambda a \nu \tau a ~$


 §38) Meursius]. At the beginning of the Peloponnesian War, the entire revenues, acc. to Xen. Anab. vii. I. 27,
 Of this total, 460 were tribute [Pericles (Thuc. II. 13), however, makes the tribute yield 600 t . 'on an average,' 431 в.с.]: the other receipts, therefore, amounted to about 540 t . After the doubling of the tribute in 425 B.c., when, acc. to Andocides (III. 9), it produced more than 1200 t., we still get a rough total of 600 t . for the ordinary revenue, if we assume some exaggeration in Aristophanes' estimate of the total revenue as 2000 t . (Vesp. 656 sqq., 422 B.c.).

The total of 18,900 talents ${ }^{1}$, which is said to have passed through his hands during his twelve years' tenure of office, would give an even considerably greater average annual revenue of 1575 tal . His character for integrity is shown by the fact that he was entrusted by private individuals with sums amounting to 650 tal . Pausanias states that Lycurgus 'put into the public treasury 6500 talents more than Pericles, the son of Xanthippus, got together ${ }^{2}$.' These figures, even with

These figures, of course, refer to a date a century earlier than Lycurgus' administration. From Ps.-Plut.'s statement (supposing $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \kappa o \sigma i \omega \nu$ to be right), it might be inferred that the ordinary revenue had remained more or less constant, but it must be remembered that the value of money had fallen considerably in the interval. Gilbert (p.358) points out that, if this be taken into account, the figures with which Lycurgus is credited are not so much at variance with those of the fifth cent. as at first sight appears, and adds that, even under the rule of Demetrius of Phalerum, the revenues still amounted to 1200 t . per annum.
${ }^{1}$ There is some discrepancy between the figures of the Life and those of the decree of Stratocles in Ps.-Plut.:


 $\lambda a \xi \epsilon$.


 коута тá入аута.

Rehdantz (p. 7, n. 29) plausibly remarks that it almostlooks as if the first estimate of Ps.-Plut., viz. 14,000 t., represents the round product of $12 \times 1200$; while the second $(18,650)$ may have arisen from an accidental combination of the
 кoved (the latter being the amount of private deposits), or from the subtraction of 250 (the amount of private money given in the Life) from the $18,900 \mathrm{t}$. of the inscr.
${ }^{2}$ Nothing can be deduced, from Pausanias' statement
the reservations that must be made for comparative purposes ${ }^{1}$, are surprising, and speak of no ordinary financial genius.

The healthy state of the Athenian revenues was reflected in notable improvements connected with the fleet and its housing. Lycurgus put in

Naval improvements commission no fewer than 400 triremes, some of which were overhauled and others built from the $\mathrm{keel}^{2}$. Ship-sheds which had long been planned, and work on which had been interrupted from time to time by the pressing military exigencies of the moment, were now completed ${ }^{3}$. Now, too, was finished the famous $\sigma \kappa є v o \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ or arsenal, which was begun in 347 under the administration of Eubulus ${ }^{4}$. It was designed to be a storehouse for the rigging belonging to the ships at Zea, the largest of the naval harbours. The architect was Philo, who later built the great portico of the Hall of the Mysteries at Eleusis, and the specifications for the building have been pre-
(1.29.16), as to the comparative annual revenues for Lycurgus and Pericles, as we do not know either the amount of the total contribution which Pausanias postulates for the one or the other, or the terminus a quo which he contemplates for Pericles' administration. Assuming, however, that Pausanias makes Lycurgus' total contribution 18,900 t., we shall then have $18,900-6500=12,400 \mathrm{t}$. as the amount 'got together' by Pericles. Taking twelve years of Pericles' administration, we would get an average annual revenue of, say, rozo t., which agrees fairly well with Xenophon's estimate of 'quite 1000 talents' (see note 3, p. xviii).
${ }^{1}$ See n. 3, p. xviii.
${ }^{2}$ The normal strength of the fleet was 300 triremes: Xen. Anab. viI. 1. 27, Ar. Ach. 544/5.
${ }^{3}$ The galley-slips numbered 372 , and were distributed as follows: Munychia 82, Zea 196, Cantharus 94.


served to us in an inscription ${ }^{1}$. 'Its length was to be 400 feet, its breadth $55 \ldots$ The roof was of

The Skeuotheke of Philo tiles, supported on wooden beams and rafters...The whole was divided into a nave and two aisles by two rows of columns; and the aisles were to be provided with every convenience for storing ships' gear....The evident intention was that the building should constantly remain open to public inspection, and that all storage room should be arranged so that its contents were easily visible from the central nave. Even ventilation is provided for in the specification ${ }^{2}$.' At the same time the military needs of the state were not neglected, and a plentiful supply of arms and weapons was stored in the acropolis ${ }^{3}$.

Hardly less important than the naval improvements of Lycurgus, whose post 'practically included the functions of a minister of public works ${ }^{4}$,' were

Public buildings the building enterprises which he carried through: it seemed as though the age of Pericles had been revived for Athens. He rebuilt and planted the Lycean gymnasium, where Aristotle taught his 'peripatetic' disciples, and provided it with a palaestra. On the left bank of the Ilissus, he con-

The Stadium structed the Panathenaic Stadium, originally, we may believe, laid out by Pisistratus, and destined to be embellished at a later date with the marble seats of Herodes Atticus. Most notable of all, however, was the reconstruction of the Theatre of Dionysus, which Lycurgus carried out as President. The remains of the theatre buildings as they are to-day-notably the marble thrones composing the lowest row of seats, and perhaps the tiers of seats which rise
${ }^{1}$ Dittenberger, Syll. ${ }^{2} 852$.
${ }^{2}$ Prof. E. A. Gardner, Ancient Athens, pp. 557-9.
${ }^{3}$ Rog. Strat. ap. [Plut.] 852 c.

* Bury, H. G. (1900), p. 826.
above them-are to a large extent the remains of the

Restoration of Dionysiac Theatre restored theatre of Lycurgus ${ }^{1}$. 'From the age of Pericles to that of Hadrian there was probably no other man who left so lasting an impression [as Lycurgus] upon Athenian architecture ${ }^{2}$.'
'The two chief monuments of the Lycurgean epochthe Panathenaic Stadion and the theatre of Dionysus-

## Lycurgus

 fosters the ligious, not secular, buildings ${ }^{3}$.' The personal state religion piety of Lycurgus was reflected in the solicitous concern for the worship of the gods which marked his administration. Religious festivals which had fallen into desuetude were revived on a more splendid scale. 'He provided for the state gold and silver vessels for use in the processions, and all-gold Victories ${ }^{4}$.' More interesting for us and eminently characteristic of the man was the reverence shown[^3]for the great tragedians-Aeschylus, Sophocles, and Euripides. Lycurgus proposed that bronze statues of them should be set up in the theatre ${ }^{1}$, and caused authorised texts of their plays to be prepared and

## Statues

of the great tragedians deposited in the public archives, so as to serve as a check on the alterations and interpolations of actors which even at this early date had begun to disfigure them. The official copy of Lycurgus, who thus rendered a service to scholarship, afterwards passed into the library of Alexandria.

But Lycurgus found scope for his energy in other directions which harmonized with his whole character and with the family traditions under which

Social reformer he had been born and educated. His aristocratic extraction, lofty patriotism, and fervently religious ideals combined to make him a sort of censor morum, who ' conceived it as his mission to raise the standard of public and private life ${ }^{2}$.' He was an Aristides and a Cato in one. 'He charged himself,' says his biographer, ' with the guardianship of the city and the arrest of malefactors ${ }^{3}$,' and doubtless made for himself as many enemies as others have done who have undertaken a similar rôle. By enacting sumptuary laws, and prosecuting relentlessly those Public
prosecutor whom he conceived to fail in the sacred duty of patriotism, he won for himself the reputation of a second Draco: it was said of him that 'he drew his laws against evil-doers with a pen dipped not in ink, but in death ${ }^{4}$.' Diodorus characterizes him

[^4]as 'a very bitter prosecutor ${ }^{1}$,' and quotes in his support a vigorous extract from Lycurgus' speech against Lysicles, who had been a general at Chaeronea. Almost all his prosecutions were successful.

Lycurgus enforced his reforms by the severe simplicity of his own private life. Like Socrates, though better off than he, he wore the same dress

Austere private life summer and winter, and shoes only when the weather required them. His reputation for rectitude was such that 'the fact that Lycurgus said so was thought to be a help to a defendant in the law-courts ${ }^{2}$.'

The qualities which made the name of Lycurgus feared as much as respected-his outspokenness ${ }^{3}$ and unbending integrity-did not fail to meet with recognition from his countrymen, who could at least appreciate what they were too often reluctant to imitate.

Honoured by his countrymen 'He was repeatedly crowned by the people and awarded statues ${ }^{4}$.' These honours, we may believe, had not fallen to him unchallenged, but, like Demosthenes in competition with Cephalus ${ }^{5}$, he could boast that, though often prosecuted, he had never been convicted ${ }^{6}$. We are told that he caused a list of all the monies he had disbursed to be inscribed on a pillar and set up in front of the palaestra


 ois тồ $\Lambda v \sigma \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ o u s ~ к а т \eta \gamma о \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota . ~$
${ }^{2}$ [Plut.] Vit. § 13 . Cf. also the compliments paid him by Hyper. Eux. $\S 12$ (col. 9) and by Demosthenes in Rutilius Lupus, De Fig. 2. § 4.

4 Ib. § 40.
${ }^{5}$ Dem. De Cor. § 251.
 $\kappa а \tau \eta \gamma о \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
which he himself had built, for public inspection, and that no one was able to convict him of peculation ${ }^{1}$.

His last recorded act, if we may trust his biographer, was in keeping with the fearlessness which had characterized his life. At the point of death he had himself

Death of
Lycurgus carried to the Metroum and the Councilchamber to render an account of his administration; and after dissipating the charges brought against him by his only accuserMenesaechmus, who had been one of his victims-he was conveyed back to his house and expired, 324 B.c. ${ }^{2}$ Like several of his ancestors, he was honoured with a public funeral, and his tomb was 'opposite Athena Paeonia, in the garden of Melanthius the philosopher ${ }^{3}$.'

After his death, his old enemy Menesaechmus, who had succeeded him in his office, accused him of having Demosthenes left a deficit. The sons were made answerbefriends his able for the father's alleged shortcomings, children and, being unable to pay, were thrown into prison. Demosthenes, then in exile, honoured the memory of his former political supporter by interceding for his children. The letter of Demosthenes may be spurious ${ }^{4}$; but we know that a pupil of Theophrastus, named Democles, as well as the orator Hyperides, pleaded their cause, with the result that they were set at liberty ${ }^{5}$.

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Ib}$. §50.

${ }_{2}^{2}$ This is the generally accepted date (after Suidas), and is supported by the action recorded of Demosthenes in regard to his children; some give 322 в.c., the year which witnessed the deaths of Demosthenes himself; and of Aristotle.
${ }^{3}$ [Plut.] Vit. § 29. Cf. Paus. 1. 29. 16, who saw it in the (outer) Ceramicus, on the way to the Academy.
${ }^{4}$ Dem. Ep. III.
${ }^{5}$ A fragment of Hyperides' speech has been preserved (fr. I2I Blass), which may be quoted as summing up

Some seventeen years after his death, a decree conferring honours on Lycurgus was passed on the motion of the orator Stratocles. By this decree,

The decree of Stratocles which, as has been mentioned, is one of our chief authorities for the administration of Lycurgus, it was provided that a bronze statue of him should be erected in the Ceramicus ${ }^{1}$, and that the right of maintenance at the state expense should belong, in perpetuity, to the eldest of his descendants ${ }^{2}$. The decree of Stratocles was apparently appealed to by Lycurgus' son, Lycophron, in claiming that honour on the death, without issue, of his elder brothers, Habron and Lycurgus.

## (ii) THE SPEECH AGAINST LEOCRATES

Fifteen speeches passed current in antiquity under the name of Lycurgus, almost all of which, as far as our knowledge goes, date from between the battle of Chaeronea and his death ${ }^{3}$. The titles of some of them

Lycurgus' life and work: tiva $\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi$ aptóvтєs av̉rov̂




${ }^{1}$ I.e. in the inner Ceramicus (Agora), at its southern end, where the statue was seen by Pausanias (1. 8. 2):
 the base has been discovered, C.I. A. IV. 1363 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : КОФРОNOEBO[vтáóクs].
${ }^{2}$ The statement of Ps.-Plut. (Vit. §4I) that Lycurgus himself received this honour by virtue of the same decree (i.e. the decree of S.), must be a blunder. Cf. Meier and Blass, ad loc.
 каíठєка. The list of Suidas gives either fifteen or fourteen,

have obvious reference to matters connected with his administration (e.g. $\pi \epsilon \rho i\rangle \hat{\eta} \varsigma \delta \iota o \iota \kappa \eta$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$,

The speeches of Lycurgus $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ i $\epsilon \rho \epsilon$ ías (?), $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{i} \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma$ v́vŋs (?)); the title of one at least is obscure ( $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ тàs $\mu$ avteías) ; eight out of the fifteen speeches are concerned with the prosecution of individuals on various charges. He was associated with Demosthenes in the prosecution of Aristogiton; he appeared against Hyperides in the cases of Euxenippus and Lycophron; and he spoke against the orator Demades. The prosecution of Lysicles, one of the generals at Chaeronea, has been already referred to ${ }^{1}$. The impeachments of Autolycus ${ }^{2}$ and Leocrates had this much in common, that they were both concerned with matters arising out of the panic which the news of Chaeronea produced at Athens. Only the speech against Leocrates has been preserved to us entire: of the others we have only inconsiderable fragments ${ }^{3}$.

The case against Leocrates turned on the fact that he had fled from Athens at the news of the defeat at Chaeronea, and sailed to Rhodes. After

The case of Leocrates some stay there, he betook himself to Megara, where he engaged in trade for some five or six years. Thereafter he returned to Athens, expecting, presumably, that his desertion would have been forgotten in the interval; but Lycurgus impeached him for treason ( $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a ~ \pi \rho o \delta ̀ o \sigma i ́ a s) . ~$

Such, in brief, were the circumstances; but one or two points call for somewhat closer attention. Rehdantz (among others) raises the question, When exactly did
$\Delta \eta \mu \alpha ́ \delta \partial \nu) \dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in \dot{\jmath} \theta v \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is taken to represent two speeches or one. Cf. Blass, $A p p$. ad Lyc., p. xlir.

[^5]${ }^{3}$ These, along with fragments of Lycurgus' laws and decrees, have been collected by Blass in his edition of the Leocrates.

Leocrates' desertion take place? and under what law was he chargeable? Autolycus, says Lycurgus, was condemned because, though he remained himself, he was responsible for having removed his wife and sons

When did Leocrates' flight take place? to a place of safety ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$. Following upon this, apparently, the people passed a decree pronouncing absconders generally to be amenable to the charge of treason ${ }^{2}$. Lycurgus, however, makes no use of this decree against Leocrates, presumably because the latter's flight had taken place previous to its passing. Autolycus himself had been condemned on the strength of a decree passed immediately on receipt of the news of Chaeronea, directing that the women and children should be brought inside the walls, and empowering the generals to take such measures as they thought fit for the defence of the city ${ }^{3}$. By this same decree, presumably, Leocrates stood or fell. Did his flight take place before, or after, it was passed? It was obviously in the interest of the prosecutor to establish, as clearly as possible, that the decree was operative before Leocrates absconded; it was equally in his interest, on the other hand, if this was not the case, not to condescend too precisely on the time relation, but to contrive to create a presumption that a positive enactment had been transgressed. That Leocrates had really the better of the argument in this matter may be deduced from the circumstance that it is this second line that the orator takes. He dexterously first draws attention to the resolutions adopted at the first Assembly after the

Line taken by Lycurgus battle, and then proceeds: $\Lambda \epsilon \omega к \rho a ́ t \eta s$ $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$

 implication, the connexion which he desired, but which
${ }^{1}$ C. Leocr. §53. $2 \cdot$ Ibid.
${ }^{8} I b$. § 16 (decree of Hyperides). ^§ 17.
we have reason to suppose the facts did not justify, between the decree and Leocrates' flight.

But while Lycurgus is thus at pains to correlate Leocrates' action with a positive measure forbidding it, and indeed appears to have felt that such a correlation was essential to his success, from the point of view of the jury, we cannot doubt that, from his own and higher point of view, the existence of an express

> Lycurgus' view of the offence legal enactment which he could appeal to was entirely a secondary consideration. It was enough that Leocrates had failed in patriotism-that 'being a man he had not rendered to his country the price of his nurture ${ }^{1}$-and failure in patriotism constituted, in the eyes of his accuser, the greatest crime of which a citizen could be capable. And here it may be remarked that, to our ideas, Leocrates' offence was nothing worse than cowardicethe term, indeed, which Lycurgus' biographer applies to it ${ }^{2}$-and Aeschines, who refers to the prosecution of Leocrates, speaks of his sailing to Rhodes ö ó $\tau \grave{\nu}$
 panic like a man ${ }^{3}$.' But to Lycurgus it was the grossest treason: his whole speech is directed to showing that it was so to be regarded, and deserved to be visited with the appropriate penalties. And further, it must be remembered that the Assembly, before which Lycurgus' $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a$ was brought ${ }^{4}$, must have decided that he had a vera causa in prosecuting for treason.

[^6]The case was heard, in the ordinary course of things, before a Heliastic court, consisting probably of rooo dicasts ${ }^{1}$, in the summer of 330 в.с., shortly before the final trial of strength between Aeschines The trial and Demosthenes ${ }^{2}$. The speech of Lycurgus has been well described as 'a solemn and earnest protest on behalf of public spirit. There is not a trace of personal feeling, there is no attempt to disparage the man's private life. But the tone throughout is that of a lofty and inexorable indignation ${ }^{3}$.'

Leocrates was acquitted by a single vote ${ }^{4}$, and Lycurgus had to be content with a moral triumph. Considering, however, that the defendant had much in his favour-that time must have dulled the memory of his offence, that he was not without The result influential advocates ${ }^{5}$, and that the trial took place at a time 'when the common temper of the city was with the accused'-the result was 'a remark-
${ }^{1}$ Strictly ioor, to prevent a tie in the voting: cf. Arist. 'A $\theta$. Пo入. 53. 3, Dem. xxiv. 9 and Schol. סià toûto ס̀̀ $\delta$ єis $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \theta \eta$ ȧєi тоís $\delta \iota к a \sigma \tau a i ̂ s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$
${ }^{2}$ The determination of the date of the trial is naturally bound up with the duration of Leocrates' sojourn abroad. Lycurgus makes him stay at Megara, § $21 \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon$

 only. Taking these figures in conjunction with § 45 ob $\gamma \delta$ ó $\omega$
 Leocrates stayed for about two years at Rhodes, i.e. he was abroad eight years all told. The language of Aeschines
 $\pi o \tau^{\prime}$ (' only the other day') єi $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta$ к.т. $\lambda$. ., would seem to fix the speech to the earlier part of 330 в.с.
${ }^{3}$ Jebb, Attic Orators, vol. II. p. 376.


${ }^{5}$ § 139.
able testimony to the character and to the eloquence of the accuser ${ }^{1}$.'

(iii) ANALYSIS

No very precise analysis of the speech, according to the recognised divisions, can be attempted. We can distinguish, it is true, the regular introduction ( $\pi \rho o o i^{\prime}-$ $\mu \iota v)$; narrative ( $\delta \iota \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta \sigma \iota s$ ), and epilogue ( $\bar{\pi} \pi i$ ìo oos); but in the third department of proof ( $\pi i \sigma \tau \tau s$ ), Lycurgus allows himself a wide latitude ${ }^{2}$. Still even here we can follow him with some certainty, till the main refutation of the adversary's arguments ( $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa є \phi а \lambda a i ́ \omega \nu)$ concludes at § 74: thereafter follows a congeries of appeals to, and examples from, ancient history, the poets, etc., which it is almost impossible to reduce to order, until we come to the epilogue ( $\$ 149,150$ ).

Introduction. I pray the gods that I may be a worthy prosecutor ( $\S \S$ I-2); the rôle of public prosecutor is unpopular, but I must undertake it: I am not actuated by any personal spite ( $\S 3^{-6}$ ); the case before you is unique in the history of crime, and you must give a righteous verdict, with the example of the Areopagus before you. Your decision will be talked of among the Greeks (§§ 7-15).

Narrative. Leocrates' flight to Rhodes, and evidence therefor ( $\S\left(\begin{array}{l}6-20\end{array}\right)$; his migration to Megara, and
${ }^{1}$ Jebb, Attic Orators, II. p. 381.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. A. G. Becker in Dobson, Attic Orators, vol. Iv., where, in reference to technical arrangement, he remarks: 'non semel factum est, ut optimus quisque orator, artis praecepta relinquens, suum sibi eligeret ordinem, quod imprimis conspicuum est in Demosthene...quae artificia Lycurgus vel ignoravit vel contempsit. et in hac gravissima Leocratis accusatione iudicum animos ad suam sententiam pertrahere speravit vi veritatis, sensu recti, et orationis gravitate.'
residence there. Evidence (§§2I-24); his removal of his family sacva from their native soil, and export of corn to Leucas (§§ 25-27).

Avgument. The fairness of my procedure is seen in my challenge to the defendant to surrender his slaves, which he declined. He stands self-condemned (§§ 28-36).

Elaboration of the narrative: the pitiable plight of Athens after Chaeronea ( $\S \S 37-45$ ); praise of the men who fell in the battle there ( $\$ \$_{46-51}$ ); acquittal is impossible in the face of precedents ( $\$ \S 52-54$ ).

Anticipation of adversary's arguments: (a) that he sailed as a merchant ( $\$ 555-58$ ); (b) that he held no position of trust ( $\$ \S 59-62$ ) ; (c) that the results described could not have depended upon his single action ( $\S 63-67$ ) ; ( $d$ ) that departure from the city does not necessarily constitute desertion, as witness the case of our ancestors before Salamis ( $\S \S 68-74$ ).

Appeals to ancestral usage, ancient history, etc.:
The attitude of our ancestors to such cases shown by the sanctity they attached to oaths ( $\S \S 75-82$ ). Their love of country shown by the self-sacrifice of Codrus ( $\$ 883-89$ ). Leocrates will quote the fact of his standing his trial as a proof of his innocence, but as a matter of fact he has been brought here by Providence: remember Callistratus ( $\$ \S 90-93$ ). The gods reward piety -'the Place of the Pious' ( $\$$ § 94-97). Patriotism inculcated by the poets-Euripides' Evechtheus, Homer and Tyrtaeus: the spirit of their poetry displayed at Marathon and Thermopylae ( $\S 98$-110). Severity of our ancestors towards traitors-Phrynichus, Hipparchus, absconders to Decelea, the man who died at Salamis: decree of Demophantus (§§ II I-127). Salutary example of Sparta (§§ 128-130). Leocrates was false even to the natural instincts of the brute creation ( $8^{17}{ }^{1} 3^{1}{ }^{1}-134$ ). His advocates are equally culpable. His own father would have condemned him. They should
not presume to secure the acquittal of the guilty on the strength of their own public services (§§r $35^{-14}$ ) . It is a pity your wives and children could not be present in court at a case like this: Leocrates is no ordinary offender (§§ $14 \mathrm{I}-145$ ). He is guilty of the most heinous crimes: it rests with you to punish him (§§ 146-148).

Epilogue. I have done my duty: remember that the land, its trees and its harbours, appeal to you; and pass an exemplary sentence ( $\$ \S$ I $_{4} 9^{-1} 50$ ).

## (iv) LYCURGUS AS AN ORATOR

Lycurgus is reputed to have been a pupil of Isocrates ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ : he was certainly familiar with the great

A pupil of Isocrates master's works. A training in the epideictic school was not the best preparation for one who needed oratory only for practical purposes; but the influence of the master was sufficiently strong to make the style of the pupil Isocratic at the base. Occasional sentences ${ }^{2}$-and even paragraphs ${ }^{3}$-of the Leocrates are cast in an unmistakably Isocratic mould.
${ }^{1}$ [Plut.] Vit. § 2, where it is also stated that he first studied philosophy as a pupil of Plato.


 § 7 with Isocr. VII. (Aveopag.) § 43 ; § 48 тotaútats 8 غ̀ $\gamma \nu$ ம́$\mu a \iota s ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o t ~ к . \tau . \lambda .$, with Isocr. Iv. (Paneg.) § 92, and vi.
 є́катє́ $\rho \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \eta_{\kappa є, ~ w i t h ~ I s o c r . ~ I V . ~(P a n e g .) ~ § ~}^{72}$ ả $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$,

 (Plataic.) § 61, XIX. (Aeginet.) § 42.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cf}$. the whole passage $\S \S 46-51$, which is 'nothing but a condensed funeral speech on those who died at Chaeronea' (J. F. Dobson, The Greek Orators, p. 278), and contains, as might be expected, numerous echoes of Isocrates.

To the epideictic style are also to be referred the use of abstracts in the plural ${ }^{1}$, of pairs of synonyms ${ }^{2}$, and such phrases as $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ коьvà $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ ádıкך $\quad$ áт $\omega v$

## Epideictic features

 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, etc. ${ }^{3}$ A noticeable feature is the use of prepositions for the simple (mostly subjective) geni-


'His vocabulary and his metaphors,' says Jevons ${ }^{5}$, 'are poetical to an extent which would

## Poetic flavour

 have been more intelligible in the immaturity of Attic oratory than it is at its close.' This is true, within limits. His metaphors certainly are striking, and his bold personifications, in particular, would have offended the taste of more fastidious stylists ${ }^{6}$. But his vocabulary can hardly be said to be poetical in the sense that it contains a great, or even a considerable, number of words which would not be admitted in good prose ${ }^{7}$.${ }^{1}$ Cf. § 18 єv̉rvxíà, §§ 20, 139 גápırєs, §§ 37, 43 фóßoı, § 48 єข้votat, § 78 ảdıкíat, § 126 тıншрíat.

 о́ $\rho \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu о$..
${ }^{3}$ Cf. $\S \S 6,48$, 102, 104, etc.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. §§ 4, 9, 15, 26, 79, 97, etc.
${ }^{5}$ Greek Litevature ${ }^{4}$, p. 447.

- Cf. § 17 , 'he pitied not the harbours of the city...he felt no shame before the walls of his country' (also § 21); § 25 , 'he required the sacred things to share his exile'; §43, 'the country was contributing its trees, the dead their tombs, and the temples their arms'; §50, 'their lives are their country's crown of glory'; §6I, 'it is death for a city to be laid desolate'; § 150 , 'the country and its trees supplicate you: the harbours implore you.'
? The only individual words of a poetic cast which I have been able to discover (excluding the language of the oaths at $\S \S 77,8 \mathrm{I}$ ) are: $\S \S 4,8,65$ є่ $\pi \iota \tau i \mu \iota o \nu, \S \S 7,62,110$ aí̀v

In the matter of hiatus, Lycurgus is very inconsistent. In some cases he has obviously been at pains to avoid it by a slight disturbance of the

Variable in hiatus natural order of the words ${ }^{1}$; in others, the little care which would have avoided it has not been exercised ${ }^{2}$; and, generally speaking, open positions, at which Isocrates ${ }^{3}$ would have shuddered, are frequent ${ }^{4}$. Attention has been drawn to his lack of skill, or of care, in the connecting of his clauses, and to clumsiness in the building up of his sentences ${ }^{5}$. The кaíro, which is his favourite instrument for introducing his premises, recurs with somewhat monotonous regularity ${ }^{6}$.

All this amounts to saying that, judged by Isocratic, or even less exacting, standards, Lycurgus is inartistic.
(used also by Isocr.), § $82 \epsilon \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a, \S 99$ ím (perhaps a quotation), § I $30 \phi \iota \lambda \circ \psi v \chi \in i v$ (quoted also from Dem. and Lysias); and the phrase $\epsilon \pi i$ रinpos obo $\hat{\omega}$ (quoted also from Hyper. v. col. 22).





 $\mu о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$., where $\pi о \lambda \lambda$ oì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu$. є่ $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ might have been said.
 Glor. Athen. c. 8).


 тá $\gamma \epsilon$ ỏ $\sigma \tau \hat{a}$ aùrov̂ ả้ §II7, etc.
${ }^{5}$ J. F. Dobson, The Greek Orators, p. 277. He notices the successive $\gamma$ à $\rho$ 's in §§ 49-50 (to which § 98 might be added), and the accumulation of participles (five) in § 93.
${ }^{6}$ Cf. §§ 37, 39, 44, 52 sq., 74 sq., 77, etc.

The ancient critics justly complained of the inelegance and harshness of his diction ${ }^{1}$. The truth seems to be that Lycurgus cared too much about what he said to care a great deal about how he said it. While he inevitably reproduced some characteristic features of his master's style, he did not 'swallow Isocrates whole,' as Demosthenes Lycurgus
not an artist
in style was said ${ }^{2}$ to have done Isaeus. What artistic skill he did attain appears to have been the result of hard work. 'Not being well gifted,' says his biographer, 'for extempore speaking, he practised night and day; on his couch there was only a sheep-skin and a pillow that he might be easily awakened to his task ${ }^{3}$.' We may even suspect that, in his heart, Lycurgus despised the artifices of rhetoric; he was content with a tolerable standard of technical proficiency and relied on the vis veritatis to do the rest.

In addition to the influence of Isocrates, however, Lycurgus' oratory was moulded by other influences which are to be referred rather to the character of the man himself. By birth, education and family tradition, Lycurgus had almost a greater affinity with the elder Athens than with his own, and this affinity reacted on his style. In several ways he claims kin, more than

## Resem-

 blance to Antiphon any of the other orators, with Antiphon. In dignity, in a certain aristocratic aloofness, and deep religious feeling, he furnishes striking points of coincidence with the earlier orator, to whose antithetical style he now and again appears to revert. 'Engrafted on the smooth luxuriance of Isocrates, we find once more the archaic, somewhat


${ }^{2}$ By the orator Pytheas (Dionysius, Isaeus, c. 4).
${ }^{3}$ [Plut.] Vit. § 24.
rigid stateliness of Antiphon ... The combination of these manners, the Isocratic and the archaic, has an effect which is not harmonious-Lycurgus lacked the force to fuse them ${ }^{1}$.' In regard to evolution of style, Lycurgus is thus the child of two distinct epochs.

In the handling of his case Lycurgus, to our ideas, sins gravely against relevancy and a proper sense of proportion. Yet he lectures the jury on the very subject of irrelevant pleading ${ }^{2}$. He undertakes to keep to the point in his own case, and at the end of the speech gives himself credit for having done so $^{3}$. And his claim must be allowed, in so far as the absence of any personal abuse of his opponent is concerned: there is no trace of the offensive personalities that mark the passages of arms between Aeschines and Demosthenes. But he has rather an odd conception of what is relevant to the establishing of his case. After he has done so, to all intents and purposes ${ }^{4}$, he proceeds to accumulate instances of treason and its punishment, or of patriotism and its reward, which, so far as they have a bearing on the case at all, serve simply to point the moral: 'Leocrates is a traitor and must be punished.' As he himself puts it: 'Instruction by means of numerous examples will make your decision easy ${ }^{5}$.'
Armed with this doctrine, Lycurgus ranges over a wide field for his illustrations. The half-apology with which he introduces some of these betrays some uneasiness in his own mind about their appropriateness ${ }^{8}$; but Lycurgus is an educator as well as an orator-' it will be fitting for all the younger men to hear them ${ }^{7}$ -

[^7]and herein his 'instruction by examples' finds its justification ${ }^{1}$.

A considerable portion of the speech may fairly be described as a eulogy of the Athens of an earlier day. Lycurgus' excursions into ancient history provide some rather startling details ${ }^{2}$, which, while

Lycurgus as historian not enhancing the general reputation of the orators for historical accuracy, must be set down, in this case, as interesting and venial slips of memory where there is no intention to falsify. The atmosphere of religion which pervades the speech, and in particular the doctrine which he expounds, more than once ${ }^{3}$, touching the divine punishment of sin, reveal a quality of mind which, as already remarked,

Moral and religious tone has much in common with Antiphon, and even with Aeschylus. The extent, indeed, of his moral and religious affinities with the older poet-moralists is attested-in addition to the more practical ways in which he sought to honour them ${ }^{4}$-by his intimate acquaintance with their works and the length at which he quotes them. Aeschines, it is true, indulges in quotation, and Demosthenes retaliates in kind: both, however, show regard for relevancy and proportion. But Lycurgus, 'like

Lycurgus and the poets a bath-man ${ }^{5}$,' deluges his hearers with fiftyfive lines of Euripides, and not content with that, inflicts upon them, after another six lines of Homer,

 סєv́ovta tov̀s עéous: also §§ 6, 64, 79.
${ }^{2}$ E.g. $\S 70$ (Eteonicus Spartan commander at Salamis), § 71 (stoning of Alexander of Macedon), § 128 (Pausanias 'king' of Sparta), etc.; cf. Macan, Hevod. vii-Ix, vol. II. pp. 39-4I.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. §§ 79, 91-93. ${ }^{1}$ Cf. p. xxiii, supra.
${ }^{5}$ Plato, Rep. 1. 344 D (of Thrasymachus) $\bar{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \lambda a-$

thirty-two lines of Tyrtaeus. Only the most longsuffering jury, one would imagine, would have tolerated such liberties, unless indeed the gratification of their amour propre as Athenians may be supposed to have been a reasonable off-set to utter boredom. To Lycurgus himself, of course, the question of the relevancy, or otherwise, of it all never occurred: it has all, to him, a vital bearing on the issue: he is in deadly earnest all the time, and communicates something of his white heat to his hearers.

But though Lycurgus' laudatio temporis acti may have been, quite incidentally, pleasing enough to the judges, he would never have stooped to flatter them. Like a good aristocrat, he confesses to an admiration for Sparta, and adduces from there examples of 'law

Praise of Sparta and the Areopagus and order' which we may suspect were not entirely congenial to his audience ${ }^{1}$. He addresses to the jury some home truths with regard to the irrelevant pleading which they countenance, and takes occasion, as a speaker who was concerned with securing a verdict at all costs would hardly have done, to eulogise the court of the Areopagus, which, however venerable and venerated even by the Athenians of his own day, was anything but a democratic institution ${ }^{2}$.

Lycurgus' own character, as has been well observed, is the best comment on his oratory ${ }^{3}$. The ancient critics, while justly noting his faults-the harshness Ancient
critics on
Lycurgus of his diction, his tendency to repeat himself, and his 'penchant for legend, tales and poetry ${ }^{4}$-allowed him the qualities of dignity and impressiveness, and recognised his passion

[^8]for truth and outspokenness ${ }^{1}$ ．Dio Chrysostom credits him with＇a certain simplicity and nobility of manner，＇ and Dionysius lays his finger on what is perhaps his outstanding quality when he says：rov́тov $\chi \rho \eta$ そे 乌ŋ入ov̂v
 power to bring out the enormity of a wrong，＇and the full import of the term cannot be better conveyed than in the words of another great literary critic， His סeivacts Quintilian ${ }^{2}$ ：＇in hoc eloquentiae vis est ut iudicem non in id tantum compellat，in quod ipsa rei natura ducetur，sed aut qui non est，aut maiorem quam est，faciat adfectum．haec est illa，quae dinosis vocatur，vebus indignis asperis invidiosis addens vim oratio．＇As examples of this may be quoted Lycurgus＇ description of the flight of Leocrates（§ 17），or his powerful picture of the plight of Athens after Chaeronea （§§ 39 sqq．）．

## （v）SOURCES OF THE TEXT

The manuscript tradition for Lycurgus is substan－ tially the same as for the rest of the minor orators．The chief ms．is the Codex Crippsianus，denoted by the letter A，in the British Museum（Brit．Mus．Burneianus 95），and dated to the thirteenth century A．D．${ }^{3}$ It derives its name from John Marten Cripps，who was associated with Edward Daniel Clarke（1769－1822， Jesus College，Cambridge）in travels in the east about the beginning of the nineteenth century；and it was obtained from the famous monastery of Batopedion，
${ }^{1}$ Dionysius，Vett．Cens．v． 3 סıŋр $\mu$ évos．．．$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ s . . . \phi \iota \lambda a-$ $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta s . . . \pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$.
${ }^{2}$ VI．2． 24.
${ }^{3}$ An exhaustive description of A will be found in the introduction to Wyse＇s Isaeus，to which I am indebted for the brief account of it given here．
on Mount Athos. Ultimately it came into the possession of Charles Burney, on whose death in 1817 it passed into the British Museum. The ms. is on vellum, in folio (ff. 170), and contains, in order, Andocides, Isaeus, Dinarchus, Antiphon, Lycurgus, Gorgias (Helen and Palamedes), Alcidamas (Ulysses), Lesbonax, Herodes. It has been corrected both by the scribe himself ( $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ ), and by at least one later hand ( $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ ), which latter, once believed to stop at a point in Antiphon, can be detected (acc. to Thalheim) in $\S \S 70-86$ of Lycurgus. 'The Codex Crippsianus is an inferior ms., remarkable neither for age nor integrity, but infected with nearly all the vices to which mss. are liable ${ }^{1}$,' one of the chief being the omission of small single words. It was collated by F. Osann for his edition of Lycurgus published at Jena in 1821, and subsequently by Bekker and Dobson for their respective editions of the Attic orators, $1822-3$ and 1828. More recently, the ms. has been examined by (among others) Jernstedt, Blass and Thalheim.

It has now been proved that $\mathrm{B}=$ Laurentianus (also obtained from Batopedion, by Janus Lascaris, French Ambassador at Venice, 1503-9), $L=$ Marcianus, $\mathrm{M}=$ Brit. Mus. Burneianus 96, $\mathrm{P}=$ Ambrosianus, $Z=$ Vratislaviensis (Breslau Public Library), all of the fifteenth century, are derived from A. The dependence of B on A, first argued by Thalheim, was confirmed by Jernstedt, Blass and Buermann: B was shown by H. Reutzel to be the source of LMZ: M was copied from $L, Z$ from $M$, and $M$ was very probably the source of P. LMP were all written at Florence about the end of the fifteenth century. L 'still possesses a certain historical interest as the source of the Aldine edition of 1513 , which repeats all its lacunae and many of its peculiar readings ${ }^{2}$.'

[^9][^10]The only other ms. of equal authority to A is the Codex Oxoniensis $\mathrm{N}=$ Bodleianus Misc. 208, of the end of the fourteenth century, which, however, is not complete for Lycurgus, containing only §§ I-34.4 4 poooorías
 A descend from a common archetype, which Blass (but probably without justification) describes as 'multis locis sive correctum sive varia lectione auctum ${ }^{1}$.' N has also been corrected (but to a lesser extent than A), both by the first hand $\left(\mathrm{N}^{1}\right)$ and by a later conjectural critic of some learning ( $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ ). The readings of N agree in the main with those of $\mathrm{A}^{1}$, as against A pr. N and $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ may therefore be regarded, on the whole, as preserving the text of the archetype, but $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ is not always trustworthy. N has been collated both by Blass and by Thalheim.
'The editio princeps of $\mathrm{I}_{5} 13$ was not only derived from an inferior ms. but teemed with typographical errors ... The efforts of three centuries were spent on purging the text of the Aldine ${ }^{2}$.' This remark is no doubt eminently true of the speech of Lycurgus. The text of the Leocrates, though purified to a great extent by the labours of successive scholars, from Taylor and Reiske onwards, still provides a considerable field for the textual critic, though the field has been narrowed since van den Es, in 1854, concluded his critical notes with the following suggestive observation: 'ne quis in una oratione tot vitia miretur. notum est Lycurgi Leocrateam ludi magistris in deliciis esse habitam: veterum scripta autem nulla plus mendorum contraxerunt, quam quae ab iis terebantur et explicabantur; frequentius etiam in discipulorum usum describebantur eoque paullatim magis atque magis corrumpebantur.'

[^11]
## KATA $\triangle$ EתKPATOYE

## 〒ПO@E





 $\mu \in ́ v o v ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ к а т \eta \gamma о р i ́ a \nu ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ o ̊ ~ \Lambda v к о v ิ \rho \gamma o s ~ a v ̉ т о v ̂ ~ \omega i s ~$






 тท̂ тоv̂ катà Аข̉то入úкоv.



 тоîs катà тŋ̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi o ́ \lambda ı \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu ~ i \delta \rho \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s , ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~}$












入ıто́vта тท̀̀ тó $\lambda \iota \nu$ каi тà íрà єis тоvторi тòv





 тои̂то, $ً \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ i \delta i ́ a ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau а ~ к а i ̀ ~ ข ̇ \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ámє $\chi$ Өavó $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ ov̉ $\phi \iota \lambda o ́ \pi o \lambda \iota \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \phi \iota \lambda o-~$ тра́yнора סокєîv єivaı, ov̉ סıкаíшs ov̉סє̀ $\sigma v \mu-$


 $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \tau a ́ \xi \iota \varsigma, \delta \epsilon v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \psi \eta \hat{\psi} \circ \varsigma$,













 ôv̀ $\tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ิ \nu a ~ \pi \rho о є \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a i \sigma \chi \rho o ̀ \nu ~$

 $\tau \epsilon \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s$ övє $\iota \delta o s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu \omega ̂ \nu ~ \gamma є \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$.

 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \delta \iota \kappa о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \varsigma, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ тov̀s єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta a \tau \iota$
 $\tau a ̀ ~ \kappa о \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ áठıкך $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ коıvàs каì $\tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о ф a ́-~$ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \phi o \rho a ̂ s . ~$
 тov̀s $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i ́ o u s ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ̂ \nu a s, ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ v ̇ \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~$

 є่ $\pi a \nu о \rho \theta о \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \nu ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \xi \iota \nu ~ \kappa \omega \lambda v ́ \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$
 ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ Є่ $\nu \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega े \varsigma ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ̀ \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~$ $\sigma v \nu \in ́ \chi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \delta ’ ~ e ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu o \nu$,



## АYKOYPГOY





















 $\mu \eta े \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i ́ \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu$, évì ỏvó $\mu a i \tau \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma o \rho \in \dot{v}-$

 го $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$ тoîs є́ $\pi \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s . ~ \epsilon v ̉ ~ \delta ' ~$



 $\dot{\eta}$ тoîs à $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o i ̂ s ~ \delta \iota \delta o \mu e ́ v \eta ~ \delta \omega \rho є a ́ \cdot \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$


 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \pi \lambda \epsilon$ єiovos $\pi \circ \iota \eta \dot{\sigma} a \sigma \theta a \iota$ тov̂ סıкaiov.
 ov้тє $\psi \in v \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ \nu ~ o v ้ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ้ \xi \omega ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~$

 $\lambda \epsilon v ́ o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ย่vтâ̂ $\theta a \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \hat{\eta}$


















 סıкаíms $\delta є \delta \iota \delta a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ \delta \iota к а \iota ̆ a \nu ~ \theta є ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \psi \eta ̂ ф о \nu . ~$





 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma$, ồ そ้ $\sigma a \sigma \iota \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \gamma o ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$





 á $\mu a \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \grave{a} \Lambda \epsilon \omega \kappa \rho a ́ т o v s ~ \grave{\eta} \kappa \eta \kappa о ́ є \sigma a \nu$.

 £ٌ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{ } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu, \tau \hat{\omega}$

 тои́тov $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o \nu ~ a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta o ́ \xi a \iota \tau ' ~ a ้ \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ $\tau o s$ Sıaфúroı $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a \nu$.








 $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ каіे $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oiккои́ขт $\omega \nu$














 тท̂̀ $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a s ~ \epsilon v ̉ \tau u \chi i ́ a s ~ \epsilon v ̉ a \gamma \gamma є \lambda \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \in \nu o s, ~$


 татрíos ảтvхíà aítô $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma a \gamma o \rho \in v ́ \sigma a s$.










 $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$, ôv кaì $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\langle\grave{\imath} \sigma a \sigma \iota \nu\rangle$ oi $\pi о \lambda \lambda o \grave{~ \kappa a \tau \eta-~}$
 $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda a \phi \grave{\varsigma} \epsilon \not ้ \eta \tau \eta े \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu}, \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \omega \nu$ av̉ $\bar{\eta} \varsigma$.
20. Прò סè тov̂ àvaßaìveıv тov̀s $\mu a ́ \rho \tau \nu \rho a s ~ \beta \rho a \chi e ́ a ~ 7 ~ 7 ~$


 є่ $\pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, öт८ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ eัvєка каі Ха́рıтоৎ тод入оі̀ $\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu a \rho \tau v \dot{\rho} \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ á $\mu \nu \eta \mu o \nu \epsilon i ้ \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \eta े$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o \nu o s ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \tau a s ~ \dot{v} \mu \omega ̂ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~$
 $\kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta i ́ \kappa а \iota a, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \dot{́} \xi \iota \nu \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu$,
 $\kappa а т a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \nu o ́ \mu о \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi о \mu o ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota . ~ \epsilon ́ a ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\nu} \mu \eta \delta$ є́тєрор

 $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho i ́ a s$.

## MAPTYPIAI















 $\mu \in \nu a \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \rho a ́ v o v s ~ \delta \iota є \nu є \gamma \kappa \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \pi o ̀ \nu ~$




 бá $\mu \epsilon \nu \frac{s}{} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \Lambda v \sigma \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}, \mu i a \nu ~ \mu \nu a ̂ \nu$

 тоút $\omega \nu$ vi $\mu \hat{\iota} \nu \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s . ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ \zeta \omega ิ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau u ́ \gamma-~$
 $\nu v \nu \grave{\iota} \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \imath ̂ \nu \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} \tau о v ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta o ́ \tau a \varsigma$. Kaí $\mu \circ \iota$ 入ér $\epsilon$

 oiкíà.

## MAPTYPIA

24 $\mu \nu a ̂ s ~ \pi а \rho ' ~ ' A \mu u ́ v \tau o v ~ Ф \iota \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \lambda о s ~ X o \lambda a \rho \gamma є u ̀ s ~ к а i ~$


## MAPTYPIA

 тảעठра́тоба тар' 'А $\mu$ v́vтоv тє́vтє каì трıáкоута $\mu \nu \omega ิ \nu, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma v \nu \theta \eta ́ \kappa а \varsigma$.

## MAPTYPIA $\Sigma$ YNOHKAI




 $\theta$ ध́ $\sigma \theta a \iota, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ i \epsilon \rho a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a \tau \rho \hat{a} a, ~ a ̀ ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~$
 $\pi a \rho \in ́ \delta o \sigma a \nu a v ̉ \tau \hat{̣}$ iठ $\rho v \sigma \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, \tau a v ̂ \tau a \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a \tau o$








 $\nu a \varsigma$, 'iv' oi $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{o} \mu \omega ́ \nu \nu \mu o \nu$ av̉ $\hat{\eta}$





 $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \phi о \rho \mu \hat{\eta} \chi \rho \omega \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma, \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ 'Н $\quad$ тєípov тарà













 $\epsilon i \kappa a ́ \zeta o \nu \tau a \varsigma, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta ́ \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \epsilon i \delta o ́ \tau a \varsigma ~ \psi \eta \phi i \zeta \epsilon-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$, каì тоѝs $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma o \nu \tau a s ~ e ै \lambda є \gamma \chi o \nu ~$
 av̉тov̀s $\pi \rho o ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ vimè $\rho$ тov́т $\omega \nu$ á $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi a s$



## MPOKAHEI乏

29 'Акои́єтє, ${ }^{(3)}$ ä $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma, \tau \eta \uparrow \varsigma \rho о \kappa \lambda \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$. ä $\mu a$ тоí-
 тúpє८ aṽтov, ӧт८ троסótทs тท̂s тaтрíסos є̇бтìv.
 $\dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ єỉvaı тà єív$\eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu$ éva. тís $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$



 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ท̂ тoîs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$, ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$ тє кaì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ коьข$ิ \nu \kappa \alpha a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ \kappa а \grave{~} \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon-$














 тà $\chi \omega \rho i ́ a$ тav̂тa, èv ois тoùs mapa入oүıб $\mu$ о̀̀s катà






















 тоѝs оікє́таs каі̀ тàs $\theta \in \rho a \pi а і$ ivas; тробท́кєє үà $\rho$




 évavtía тâ̂s avitov̂ ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma i ́ a \iota s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \iota s ~$


 тои̂тov є́âбa८ vi $\mu \hat{a} \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ o ́ \mu o \lambda o \gamma o v-~$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ảठıкך $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є่ $\xi a \pi a \tau \eta ิ \sigma a \iota ;$





 ảขaүíүvшбкє.

## $\Psi \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{I}} \boldsymbol{I} \mathrm{MA}$

 ßov入ウ̀ข тоѝs тєขтакобíovs катаßаívєıע єis Пєєраıи̂









 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau о \sigma о v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i a s ~ \eta ̉ \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu, ~ \check{\sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu}$ тои́тоv $\pi \rho \circ a i \rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$ є้ $\rho \eta \mu \circ \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu\langle a ̂ \nu\rangle \eta ̉ \sigma a \nu$ oi $\nu a o i ́$,

 $\chi$ рóvovs, ${ }^{*}$ ä $\nu \delta \rho \in \varsigma, \tau i \varsigma$ oủk ầ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \eta$ ク̉ $\lambda \in ́ \eta \sigma \in \nu$,



 $\left.\gamma \in \gamma o \nu o ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \theta o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega}\langle\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}\rangle \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \eta_{\gamma \gamma}\right\rangle \lambda \tau o$, ỏ $\rho \theta \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$




 à $\delta \delta \rho o ́ \varsigma, \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta ’ ~ v i \pi t e ̀ \rho ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s, ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta ’ ~ v i \pi \grave{e} \rho ~ a ́ \delta є \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$,










## АYKOYPROY




















 $\kappa a i ̀ \pi \rho o \delta o ́ v \tau a ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta \eta ́ \mu o v ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu, ~ \tau i ́ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~$

 тท̂ऽ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \beta o \eta \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$; тò $\nu$ ov̉ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ тàs тท̂s тaтрíoos $\sigma v \mu \phi о \rho a ̀ s ~ \tau o \lambda \mu \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau a$, ov̉ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau о$, oi $\delta \grave{̀} \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ Ө \eta ́ \kappa a \varsigma, ~ o i ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 тท̂s $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu . ~ є ่ \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda o v ̂ \nu \tau o ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o i ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~$ $\tau \eta ิ \varsigma \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega \nu} \kappa a \tau a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \hat{\varsigma}$, oi $\delta є \frac{\tau \eta}{\tau} \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \tau a ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$, oi $\delta є$ тท̂s $\chi а \rho а к \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \delta ' ~ \eta ๋ \nu ~ a ̉ p \gamma o ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$
 тарє́б ұє та́छ̆ає $\Lambda є \omega к р а ́ т \eta ร . ~ ఱ ึ \nu ~ є i к о ̀ s ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu a-45 ~$





 aن่т $\omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma a \gamma \circ \rho \epsilon \cup ์ \omega \nu$.





 ย้ $\pi a \iota \nu o \nu$, ôs $\mu$ о́vos $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v \omega \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o i ̂ s ~$





 oủк є́v тoîs тєí $\chi \epsilon \sigma \iota$ тàs є̀入тíסas тท̂s $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s$ P.L.

## AYKOYPTOY



 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota ß o ́ \lambda \omega \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Sè $\theta \rho \epsilon ́ \psi a \sigma a \nu$ aútov̀s ai $\sigma \chi \nu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$
 тoùs фи́бєє $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi o \iota \eta \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu$ $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ oủ $\chi$ ò $\mu о i ́ \omega s$ є้ $\chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ äтaขтєs тaîs єủעoía८s, oṽт к кaì т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~$

 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \rho i \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \xi ~ i ै \sigma o v ~$




























 каì тov̀s тò̀ тúpaעvò ảтоктєívàтаs. каì тоьov́-





 таîs є̀ $\sigma \chi a ́ \tau a \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a \iota s ~ к о \lambda a ́ \zeta є \iota \nu . ~$



 $\beta o v \lambda \eta$ (каì $\mu \eta \delta \in i ́ s ~ \mu о \iota ~ \theta o \rho v \beta \eta ́ \sigma \eta ~ \tau а и ́ т \eta \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$






 $\mu \epsilon i ́ v a \nu \tau o s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ є ̇ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v o \iota s, ~ є ้ \chi o \nu \tau o s ~ \delta ' ~$




 ฑ๋ $\gamma \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \epsilon i ้ \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu, ~ \in ่ \psi \eta \phi i ́ \sigma a \tau o ~ e ́ \nu o ́-~$
 тท̂ऽ $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ \kappa i ́ \nu \delta v \nu o \nu, ~ a ̉ \xi i o v s ~ \epsilon i \nu a i ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta \omega \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$



 ن̋ $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ́ \nu a \nu \tau i ́ a ~ \psi \eta \phi \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \epsilon ; \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ă $\rho ’$ ả $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$















 $\kappa о \mu і \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ о i \kappa \kappa i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \theta a ́ \delta e ~ \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~$
 каì $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda a ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a s ~ \eta ̉ \delta \iota к \eta \kappa e ́ v a \iota ; ~ o ̂ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~$


 $\mu a \iota$ סєî̀ ảmoঠé $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi o \lambda o \gamma i a ̀ \nu . \pi \omega ̂ s ~ 57$






 $\pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \in i ̂ \nu$ тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ тágal $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̂ s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~$












 ôv av̉т仑̂ $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \kappa a \sigma i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \eta \gamma o ́ \rho \omega \nu$,
 $\kappa v ́ \rho L o \varsigma ~ o v ้ \tau \epsilon \pi \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ovैтє $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \in \delta \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\theta^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda \omega \varsigma$










 à $\nu a \sigma \tau a ́ \tau o v s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \kappa о \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \pi i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$.
 $\kappa а \kappa \omega ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \xi a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau а \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath े}, \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \tau \iota$ סє̀ $\sigma v \nu a-$
 $\kappa a i ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau a ̀ s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \nu \mu \beta a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \varsigma$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu$








 $\nu a \sigma \iota$. тav̂тo $\mu$ ย̀̀ خá $\rho, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$




 à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ่ \pi \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \nu o \iota \kappa \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \nu ;$















$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\rho \chi a i ́ \omega \nu$ עо $\mu о \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סıavoías àmo-












 $\tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi o v s . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̈ \tau o \pi o \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma ~ \pi \omega s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho l$





 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ \pi o \lambda i ́ \tau a s ~ \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i ́ o v s ~ \pi o \iota \eta ं \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$.


 eilval, tov̂tov $\mu$ évtoı סıà tov̂to $\mu \in i \xi o \nu o s ~ t ı \mu \omega \rho i ́ a s ~$




 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o i ́ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o i ́ ~ \pi o \theta ' ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \kappa а т а \lambda \iota-~$












 $\tau а \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \pi о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~} \delta^{\prime}$ oi $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \omega ि \nu$

 ßapßápovs vav $\mu a \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu . \mu o ́ \nu o \iota ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \mu ф о \tau є ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-~$ $\gamma є \gamma o ́ v a \sigma \iota$, каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu$ каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$,




















 ő $\rho \circ$ ves тoîs $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o \iota s ~ \pi \eta ́ \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{̀} \nu$









 каì тov̀s какоѝs $\mu i \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \kappa о \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon$





 $\kappa а т а \sigma \kappa є v a \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, oi̊s à̀ $\pi \rho о \sigma \in ́ \chi \eta \tau \epsilon, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ סíкаıa


 $\lambda \eta \xi \iota a \rho \chi \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i ̂ o \nu$ є́ $\gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \kappa a \grave{\iota}$ єैф $\eta \beta$ оь








 ӧркоข. Мє́ $\gamma \epsilon, \gamma \rho а \mu \mu а т є \hat{v}$.

〈OPKOE

 каì vimèp óбí $\omega v$, каì $\mu o ́ v o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \cdot \tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \delta \alpha$






 A $\mathbf{v} \xi \omega^{\prime},{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ óv $\eta$.)


 $\pi \rho o \delta o ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ิ \varsigma ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ; ~ \tau i ́ v a ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu ~ o ̈ ̃ \pi \lambda a ~$



 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ i \epsilon \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ \eta ้ \mu \nu \nu є \nu ~ a ̀ ̀ \nu ~ o ́ ~ \mu \eta \delta є ́ v a ~ \kappa i ́ v \delta v \nu o \nu ~ v i \pi o-~$


 ảтоктєขєîtє тòv ámáбaıs тaîs ảסıкíaıs e้vo才ov


 そо́дєего.





 $\lambda a \theta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ov̉ $\mu$ óvovं $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon-$
$\lambda \nu ́ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ a ̉ \theta \hat{̣ ̂ o \iota ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu}$




 $\sigma \tau a i ́, \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ єैס́סoбav aúтoîs є̇v П $\lambda a \tau a \iota a i ̂ s$ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ o i ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma$, ő $\tau$ ’ є้ $\mu \in \lambda \lambda о \nu \pi a \rho a \tau a \xi a ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ $\mu a ́ \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ É́ $\rho \xi$ ov $\delta v ́ \nu a \mu \iota \nu$, oủ тaן’






## OPKOE









 $\kappa а \tau а \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \eta ิ s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ả $\sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$ s.



 тòv кívסvขov, $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda t s ~ \dot{v} \mu \omega ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ย ̉ \delta o \kappa i ́ \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.




 $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \tau о \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ảv $\delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \pi о \nu \eta \rho i ́ a \nu \kappa a \tau a \lambda v o \mu \in ́ \nu \eta \nu$.

 $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \lambda a \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ víî $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, ois mapaסєí $\mu a \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \omega \prime \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \kappa а і ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \tau о$ र́т $\omega \nu$ каі̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho і ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$



 oi $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \dot{a} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta}$





 тàs 'A $\theta$ ท́vas. ả $\nu \epsilon \lambda$ óvtos $\delta$ ' aủtoîs тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, öть

= 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ Kóס $\rho o \nu$ à $\pi о к \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, є́ $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v o \nu$ є̇ $\pi i$ 85 тàs 'A $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu a s$. K $\lambda \epsilon o ́ \mu a \nu \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma, \pi v$ -









 ä $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma, \gamma \in \nu \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ o i ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \Xi ゙ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \pi \rho о \eta-~$

 тıvà $\chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu$. фaбìv үô̂̀ тò̀ Kóסpov тaparyєí-
 тòv ßíov, $\lambda a \beta$ ß́v $\tau a \pi \tau \omega \chi \iota \kappa \eta े \nu ~ \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ o ̋ \pi \omega \varsigma ~ a ̂ ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi a-~$
 ф $v^{\prime} \gamma a \nu a$ $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma, ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ \nu-$
 $\kappa a \grave{~ \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \pi v \nu \theta a \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu . ~}$
 סє̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v, \pi a \rho o \xi v \nu \theta \in ́ v \tau a$ т̣̂̂ Kód $\rho \varphi$ каi
 ảтоктєîvaı тòv Kóסрор. тоv́т $\omega \nu$ סè $\gamma \in \nu о \mu e ́ \nu \omega \nu$ oí

 $a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon \iota a \nu}$ oi $\delta$ è $\Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \prime \sigma \iota o \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́-~$






 $\psi v \chi \eta े \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \tau i ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \kappa о \iota \nu \eta ̂ s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \iota \kappa a \tau a \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau-~$


 $89 \kappa a \grave{~ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \omega ิ \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ є ̀ к \lambda \eta \rho о \nu o ́ \mu о v \nu . ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega к р а ́ т \eta ร ~}$




 $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$.


 $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \varphi$. $\begin{gathered}\text { © } \\ \pi \\ \pi \epsilon \rho \\ \text { oủ } \pi a ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \kappa \lambda e ́ \pi-~\end{gathered}$














 $\tau \tilde{\nu} \nu \pi о \nu \eta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ ả $\theta \rho \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ Sıávoıà $\pi a \rho a ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \cdot$

 ía $\mu \beta \in \hat{i} a \kappa а т а \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$
őт $a \nu$ रà $\rho$ ỏ $\rho \gamma \eta ̀ ~ \delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \beta \lambda a ́ \pi т т \eta ~ \tau \iota \nu a ́, ~$ тov̂т’ aủтò $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$, є́ $\xi a \phi a \iota \rho \in i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \phi \rho є \nu \omega ิ \nu$



22 тís $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ ov̉ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \tau a \iota ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 93$











## AYKOYPГOX





 $\epsilon i \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \phi a \mu \epsilon \nu$ каі̀ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau a$ à $\gamma a \theta$ à $\pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu$, єis















 $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v s ~ \mu o ́ v o v s, ~ a ̀ \phi ’ ~ ఱ ̀ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \omega \rho i o \nu ~$






 $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ́ o v s ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon, ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{~}$
 $\lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о ́ т a \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu о \mu i \mu \omega \nu$ ov̉к єїaбє $\tau v \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.





 тov̀s $\chi$ рóvovs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau a ~ ' E \rho \in \chi \theta \in ́ a, ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa a$


 тí $\pi о \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot \hat{a} \nu ~ \nu i ́ \kappa \eta \nu ~ \lambda a ́ \beta o \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu$.
 $\theta \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \omega ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \omega, ~ к \rho a-$






 $\theta \in \omega \rho o \hat{\nu} \tau \pi a s \sigma_{\nu \in \theta i} \zeta_{\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota} \tau a i ̂ s ~ \psi v \chi a i ̂ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu$
 $\tau \omega ̂ \nu$ ia $\mu \beta \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ àкov̂бal, à $\pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \kappa \epsilon \lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma a \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \gamma є \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ K \eta \phi \iota \sigma o v ̂ ~ \theta u \gamma a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a . ~$

## PHEIE EYPIHIDOY




 $\lambda о \gamma і \zeta о \mu a \iota \delta$ è $\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \cdot \pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau a \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$





 $\dot{a} \rho \mu o ̀ s ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̀ s \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ év $\xi u ̛ \chi \lambda \omega \pi a \gamma \epsilon i ́ s$,



 vaioval тoútovs $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta_{\iota} a \phi \theta \in i ̂ \rho a i ́ \mu \epsilon \chi \rho \eta ́$, є́ $\xi o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu i ́ a \nu \nu ~ v ̌ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \delta o ̂ ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ; ~ ;$
 тò $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \zeta o \nu$, ovivòs oîкos oủ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ 20


ă $\rho \sigma \eta \nu, \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ì̀ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ a \kappa a \tau \varepsilon i ̂ \chi \in \phi \lambda o ́ \xi$,

 $\langle\ddot{a}\rangle \kappa а і ̈ ~ \mu a ́ \chi о \iota \tau о ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau ’ ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho а ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \pi \rho є ́ т о \iota, ~$


 $\mu \iota \sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \gamma \nu \nu a i ̂ \kappa a \varsigma ~ a i ̈ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ к а \lambda о \hat{v}$




35
$\kappa a \grave{~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma a \nu ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ \sigma є ̀ ~ \delta v ́ o ~} \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu о \sigma \pi o ́ \rho \omega$ $\sigma \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \cdot \tau i ́ ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ o u ̉ \chi i ̀ ~ \delta e ́ g a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a \lambda o ́ \nu ;$
 $\theta \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \gamma a i ́ a s . ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \gamma d ̀ \rho ~ a i p \epsilon \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s, \tau i ́ \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ Є่ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau i ́ \mu o \iota ;$




45


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \nu o \iota \sigma \iota, \Pi a \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \delta ’ ~ o u ̉ \delta a \mu o \hat{v} \tau \iota \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$.

$\sigma \omega ̣ ้ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, \nu \iota \kappa a ̂ \tau \cdot$ ảvтì $\gamma a ̀ \rho \psi v \chi \eta ̂ s ~ \mu \iota a ̂ s$





 $\kappa \hat{\nu}$ ，таи́тฑ้ є่ $\pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta a ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$
 עаîкєऽ тои̂то то入 $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o v \sigma \iota ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ \tau o v ́ s ~ \gamma ’ ~ a ้ \nu \delta \rho a s ~$


 ${ }^{\prime}$ Е $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu a \varsigma$, た̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \Lambda є \omega \kappa р а ́ т \eta \varsigma . ~$






 Sıà тク̀v $\sigma v \nu \tau о \mu i a \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa о v \sigma \iota \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ' ~ e ̀ ~ \pi \iota \tau a ́ \tau-~$




















 $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau$ átas, т $\omega \nu$ סè $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ סє $\delta \pi$ ótas є́autov̀s




 $\mu o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ M e \sigma \sigma \eta \nu i ́ o v s ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon i ̂ \lambda \epsilon \nu ~ o ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ s, ~ \pi a \rho ' ~$ $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ท̀ $\gamma \epsilon \mu$ óva $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ т o u ̀ s ~ \in ̇ \nu a \nu \tau i o v s . ~$




## AYKOYPROY

 $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ oủ火 oî $\delta \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' ${ }^{\text {E }} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu \omega \nu}$, öтє Tvртaîov $\sigma \tau \rho a$ -








 $\mu \in ́ \nu o \iota ~ \oplus ٌ \sigma \iota, \kappa а \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$
 $\nu 0 \mu i \zeta o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oṽ $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ầ av̉тov̀s $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$



$\tau \in \theta \nu \alpha \dot{\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \kappa a \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ̀ ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \mu a ́ \chi o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau a ~}$

 $\pi \tau \omega \chi \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ єै $\sigma \tau$ ’ à $\nu \iota \eta \rho o ́ т a \tau o \nu$,
 $\pi a \iota \sigma i ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \mu \kappa \rho о \imath ̂ s ~ к о v р \iota \delta i ́ \eta ~ \tau ’ ~ a ̉ \lambda o ́ \chi \omega . ~$
 $\chi \rho \eta \mu о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \tau^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa \omega \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \nu i!\eta$,
 $\pi a ̂ \sigma a \delta^{\prime}$ àтıцїך каї како́т $\eta \varsigma$ є̈т $\pi \epsilon \tau а \iota$.
$\epsilon i ̉ \delta^{\prime}$ oṽ $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ả $\nu \delta \rho o ́ s ~ \tau o \iota ~ a ̉ \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon \mu i ' ~ \omega ้ \rho \eta$

 $\theta \nu \eta ̣ \prime \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \psi v \chi \notin \epsilon \nu \mu \eta \kappa \in ́ \tau \iota ~ \phi \epsilon \iota \delta o ́ \rho \epsilon \nu o \iota$.





$\mu \grave{~ \kappa а т а \lambda є i ́ m o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon, ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \gamma \epsilon \rho a \iota o u ́ s . ~} 20$









 30
 $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \chi \theta \epsilon \grave{\varsigma}$ є่ $\pi \grave{\imath} \gamma \hat{\jmath} \varsigma, \chi \in i ̂ \lambda o s ~ o ̉ \delta o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \delta a \kappa \omega ́ \nu$.






## AYKOYPTOY



 тô̂ $\pi \lambda o u ́ \tau o v ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \rho є \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\theta}$ Oovs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$









тoîs $\delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \rho o \iota s ~ \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v o u s . ~$



 $\sigma \tau o \varsigma . ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$ ov̉ұ ò $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’




 тò̀s ä入入ovs тодíтas $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ áخa $\beta \lambda a ́ \psi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. oi $\gamma$ à $\rho$


 каi $\delta є \iota \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ к є к р і ́ \sigma \theta a ı ~ к а ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma т о \nu . ~$




















 ò $\sigma \tau \hat{a} \tau o \hat{v} \tau \eta े \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \pi \rho o \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau o s . ~$
 то̂ $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau o \varsigma, ~ \epsilon ่ a ̀ \nu ~ a ̊ \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ó $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \omega ́ \varsigma$, ย̇ขó $\chi o v \varsigma$



## AYKOYPTOY





 $\psi \eta{ }^{\prime} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a, \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{v}, \kappa a l$ à ${ }^{2} a^{\gamma} \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$ ．

## $\Psi$ НФIミMA



入oyou $\mu$＇́vovs ن́тє̀̀ aùтov̂＇A $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ o v ̉ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a ~ \tau a \phi \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$







〈ov̈тє $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ ö $\sigma i o \nu\rangle$ v $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ov̈тє $\pi a ́ \tau \rho \iota o \nu, ~ a ̉ \nu a \xi i \omega s ~$










 $\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \nu \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \kappa a i ~ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \eta \prime \lambda \eta \nu$, $\epsilon \not \psi \eta \phi i-$





 тоข̀s ข゙ $\sigma \tau є \rho о \nu$ тробаעаурафє́עтая тробо́тая єis


## 



 $\pi \rho о \delta o ́ \tau o v ~ \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v, ~ т o ̀ ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \rho o \delta o ́ t o v ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon-~$ $\lambda o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \delta \epsilon \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ v a \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa o ́ \lambda a \sigma a \nu ; ~ o v ’ \chi ~$ ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ тò̀ $\chi a \lambda \kappa о \hat{\nu} \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \iota a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \nu \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda$ ’






 ảขaүі́үข$\omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon, \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{v}$.
$\Psi H \Phi I \Sigma M A$










 $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$;





 yà $\rho$ ov $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \psi v \chi a ́ s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau ~ \tau ิ \nu ~$


## $\Psi \mathrm{H}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ I MA

 $\mu \iota \mu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a i$ тoùs троуóvovs тáтрıò єivaı $\Lambda є \omega \kappa р a ́-~$






 $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta \eta ́ \mu o v ~ \tau \grave{\nu \nu ~ \sigma \omega т \eta \rho i ́ a \nu ~}$

 татрíסos тробท́кєє тоєєî̀;



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \delta о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \hat{\eta} \mu о \nu \kappa а \tau a \lambda \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ :







 $\omega^{*} \mu \sigma \sigma a \nu$, ċán $\tau \iota \varsigma \tau \nu \rho a \nu \nu i ́ \delta \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota ~ \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$








## $\Psi H \Phi I \Sigma M A$





 $\mu$ é̀ $\lambda о \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ a v ่ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ a ̉ \pi o к \tau \epsilon i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~$ $\sigma \nu \nu \omega ́ \mu о \sigma a \nu$, єікко́т $\omega \varsigma^{\cdot} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu$ èv $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ảठıкท$\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ v́бтє́ $\rho a \varsigma \delta_{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon \tau a ́ \chi \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a \varsigma, ~ \pi \rho o-~$


 oủк є้ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ v́ $\mu i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \delta i ́ \kappa \eta \nu ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu, ~$












 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ov̉ $\iota \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，às à̀ oi $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o \iota ~ \kappa a \tau a \lambda i ́ \pi \omega \sigma \iota$ ，

 ко८ท̂ऽ єv̉סaıนovias тท̂ऽ тó̀ $\epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o \nu, ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~$ $\delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о ⿱ 亠 乂 s ~ \pi \rho о \delta \iota \delta o ́ \nu \tau а \varsigma, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ к а і ̀ ~ \Lambda а к є \delta а \iota \mu o ́ \nu \iota o \iota . ~$








 бкєváбаעтєऽ каї кv́клњ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$,
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ \pi i ́ \sigma \eta \mu o \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi о i ́ \eta \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a \nu$ öт८ 129

 $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \grave{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta o \hat{v} \sigma \iota, \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi a \tau \rho i \omega \nu \nu о \mu i \mu \omega \nu$


 p．L．

## AYKOYPFOX

 $\theta \nu \eta ̊ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ \epsilon i \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \tau a ́ \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~$






## NOMO乏 $\Lambda A K E \triangle A I M O N I \Omega N$








 $\nu \eta \nu a \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\varphi}\langle\tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu\rangle \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a \nu ; ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$



 עó $\mu$ оvs каі̀ тò̀s $\pi$ тоі́таs.











 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \iota \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s \in i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa a \sigma \iota \nu$.




















## AYKOYPTOY



 тıuшрià vimé $\chi o v \sigma \iota \nu \cdot$ ov̉тos $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \mu$ óvos $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma-$
 крірєтаи.
 $\tau \omega \nu$, ठıà $\tau i ́ ~ \pi о \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ a ̀ \xi \iota \omega ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ф v \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$ то́тєроע $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v i \tau o v ̀ s ~ \phi i \lambda i a \nu ; ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ e ́ \mu o \iota \gamma \epsilon ~$

 үà $\rho$ тои̂то $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi a \iota ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \eta \nu, ~ a ̆ \delta \eta \lambda о \nu ~ \eta ̉ \nu ~ o ́ \pi о i ̂ o i ́ ~$



 є̀ $\xi a \iota \tau \eta \tau$ є́ov.

 є่ $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ėv $\theta a ́ \delta \epsilon \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, á $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ à $\nu$








 т̣̂̂ тov̂ $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s ~ a ̉ \nu a \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu e ́ v \eta \nu . ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \grave{\omega} ~ \delta ', ~$


 є่ $\pi \iota \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \gamma є \lambda i ́ a \nu$.







 каі̀ тท̂я ঠŋнократіая．
 $\pi а \rho а к \rho о v ́ \sigma а \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \zeta \eta \tau о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ a v i \tau \omega ิ \nu$


 коıvàs Хápıtas $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi a \iota \tau o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu . ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ є l ้ ~ \tau \iota s ~$
 $\lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \delta a \pi a ́ \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ，aै $\xi \iota o ́ s ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota \pi a \rho$＇
 $\mu o ́ v o s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu o v ̂ \tau a \iota$ ，$\tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ o u ̉ \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \omega ิ \nu)$ ， ả入入’ єl゙ $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \eta \rho a ́ \rho \chi \eta \kappa є ~ \lambda a \dot{a} \mu \rho \omega ̂ s \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ทे $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ к о \iota \nu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu$


## AYKOYPTOY






 ảvó $\eta \tau o \nu \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \iota \lambda \sigma \tau \iota \mu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a v ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$,

 тои́тoıs є่ $\sigma \tau \grave{\iota} \sigma \nu \mu \phi$ е́роита.















 $\nu a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$, каì ó $\mu \eta े ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi a \rho a \tau a \xi a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \kappa a i ̀$ ò $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota a \phi v \lambda a ́ \xi a \varsigma$ є̇v $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\lambda \epsilon v ́ \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ кaì $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i ́ a ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \eta ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ e ̈ \theta a \psi a \nu . ~$


















 є́ $\delta a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̂ S ~ \tau a \phi \eta ิ \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa a \theta^{\prime} a \dot{v} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$
 $\langle\hat{a} \nu\rangle \dot{a} \nu a \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon i \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ є่้ $\mathrm{X} \alpha \iota \rho \omega-$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu, a \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \epsilon i ́ \nu \omega \nu$



 $\langle\tau \hat{\varphi}\rangle$ ßоило $\mu \in ́ \nu \varphi$ ，каì $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ каì ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \varphi$ тòv $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu о \nu$

 тó入ıע каì фиүク̀̀ aưтòs éavtov̂ катаүขov̀s каì

 ф $\tau \tau a \iota, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀$ каì ó $\mu \eta \lambda o ́ \beta o \tau о \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ' A \tau \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ ả $\nu \in i-$




 є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda o u \sigma \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \psi \eta ̂ \phi o \nu ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ K a i ́ ~ \mu o \iota ~$ $\lambda$ ย́ $\gamma \epsilon$ aủтó．

## $\Psi H \Phi I \Sigma M A$

＇Eү⿳亠 тoívvข $\mu \eta \nu v ́ \omega ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \phi a \nu i \zeta o \nu \tau a ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~$













 $\kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu o \nu, \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a s \delta^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota \tau o v ̂ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \in ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ каì тоѝs עєळ̀s катабка́тттєбӨa८ тò каӨ' є́avтò ע
 $a v ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \phi a \nu i ̂ \zeta \omega \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu ~ \nu о \mu i ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$,








 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a ;$




 $\kappa \rho a ́ т o v s ~ a ̉ \pi о \psi \eta \phi \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \theta a ́ \nu a \tau o v ~ \tau \eta ̂ ऽ ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~$





 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ i \epsilon \rho a ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \nu a v ̂ s ~ \psi \eta \phi \iota \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta \epsilon . ~ \epsilon ́ a ̀ \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~$
 $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta a ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \delta o v s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \in v ̉ \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ a \nu$

 тov̀s $\lambda \iota \mu \in ́ v a s\langle\kappa a i\rangle \tau a ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon \omega ́ \rho \iota a ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i \chi \chi \eta ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$


 í $\sigma \chi$ v́єь $\pi a \rho ’$ v́ $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ề $\lambda \epsilon o \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ \delta a ́ к \rho v a ~ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ v i \pi e ̀ \rho ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$


## NOTES

## ARGUMENT


 active is also used in classical Greek in similar cases, where it is not always easily distinguishable in sense from the middle), is to be set down here as a trace of late Greek (cf. év infra).
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon]$ For $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ introducing the substance of the $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ (a classical usage), cf. e.g. Thuc. v. $17 \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma a \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu . . . \omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ к а \tau \alpha-$ $\lambda u ́ \in \sigma \theta a l$, etc.
 infra's§ 25, 53.
ev 'Pó $£ \nleftarrow$ ] The use of the prep. to express 'motion to' (cf. Paus. viI. 4. 3 dıaßáyтes èv $\tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma \alpha \mu \varphi$ ) is late: so also in c. abl. in late Latin: missus est in exilio, etc.

 context would almost suggest that, if L. had kept quiet, Lycurgus would have left him alone.
 causae) is the determination of the point at issue; öpos (a subdivision of $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \sigma \iota_{s-s t a t u s ~ d e f i n i t i v u s) ~ i s ~ u s e d ~ o f ~ a ~ c a s e ~ i n ~ w h i c h ~}^{\text {sen }}$ a fact is admitted, and the question is how it is to be defined
 desertion?
 ex sententia, signifies a case where, there being no doubt about the deed or the doer, the intention of the doer at the moment of

divtiotaoเv] d $\nu \tau i \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ is a subdivision of the status qualitatis,
in which the defendant, while admitting that his action was wrong, places against it some counterbalancing advantage (autlotaots, compensatio, comparatio).
[For the explanation of the technical terms, I am indebted to Wyse on Isaeus, I and II, after Volkmann's Rhetorike, pp. 70 sqq.]
ข่ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \theta_{\epsilon \sigma}$ เs] 'subject.'
Aùtodúkov] See infra § $53 n$.
c. 1. §§ 1,2 . I pray the gods and heroes to make me a worthy prosecutor of Leocrates, and you exemplary judges, as my impeachment is just.
§1. Sıка\{av...cu่ $\sigma \in \beta \hat{\eta}]$ these two adjectives, emphatic alike from their position and their predicative force, may be said to strike the key-note of the speech : 'Justice and Piety...shall cha-
 more usual $\dot{\omega} \not{ }^{\circ} \nu \nu \rho \rho \epsilon s^{\prime} A$., appears to be the regular formula in Lycurgus. [Blass <äv $\delta \rho \epsilon s>$ everywhere.]
 with a noun is a common periphrasis for the simple verb: cf.
 $\kappa а \tau \alpha ф \in \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \iota \nu$, etc. Cf. infra $\$$ § 5, II, etc.
 goddess of Athens (cf. intra § 26). Only Demosthenes, besides Lycurgus, prefaces a speech with a prayer to the gods, and that only once-in his De Corona, delivered shortly after the prosecution of Leocrates.
 out our city and country.' The 'heroes' may be described as inferior local deities, patrons of tribes, guilds, etc. The $\eta j \rho \omega \epsilon s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\omega} \nu v \mu o \iota$, at Athens, were the heroes after whom the $\phi u \lambda a i$ were named. Founders of a race or city ( $\dot{\rho} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \tau a l$, $\kappa \tau \ell \sigma \tau a l$ ) were worshipped under this name, having small temples or chapels ( $\dot{\eta} \rho \hat{\varphi} a$ ) dedicated to them by the state, but always distinct from the national gods ( $\theta \in o l$ ), with whom, however, they are regularly


 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$.
 prosecution or impeachment, applicable, acc. to the $\nu \delta \mu o s$ el $\alpha \alpha \gamma$ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \kappa \partial े s ~ q u o t e d ~ b y ~ H y p e r i d e s , ~ E u x . ~ § § ~ 7 , ~ 8 , ~ t o ~ t h r e e ~ m a i n ~}$ offences: (a) treason against the democracy; (b) betrayal of a town or any military or naval force; (c) corrupt misleading of the people by an orator. The case of Leocrates would fall under (a). But it is certain that the list of Hyper. is not exhaustive; and we must at any rate distinguish from 'political' denunciations (1) $\operatorname{li\sigma a\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \text {la}\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega s\text {(maltreatmentofparents,heiresses,etc.),}}$ laid before the First Archon; (2) eiб. $\delta<a u \tau \eta \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ (against unjust arbitrators). A political $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda(\alpha$ was usually brought before the Council ( $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \nu \nu \grave{~ \tau \hat{\eta}} \beta 0 v \lambda \hat{\eta}, \epsilon i$ s $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu)$, sometimes before the Assembly at the meeting in each Prytany which was known as $\dot{\eta} \kappa v p i \alpha(\epsilon i \sigma . \tau \imath \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \epsilon l s ~ \tau \partial े \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu, \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi$ ). In the former case, the Council might hand the matter over to an ordinary jury court, if it was considered too serious for the maximum penalty (a fine of 500 drachmae) which the Council was competent to inflict (cf. Ar. Vesp. 590, [Dem.] xlviI. § 43); the Assembly might do the same, or it might undertake the trial itself, as in the historic case of the generals after Arginusae, Xen. Hell. 1. 7. 9.


 English has no sufficiently distinctive equivalents for the Greek terms: (a) $\nu \in \dot{\omega} s$ is 'the inmost part of a temple, in which the image of the god was placed, like $\sigma \eta \kappa \delta \delta$, $a \delta v \tau o \nu$, the sense of lєрдे being more general' (L.S.); (b) $\epsilon$ हैos, which signifies primarily 'a seated statue,' means either 'temple-statue' or 'temple ' (Timaei
 space of land 'cut off' ( $\tau \notin \mu \nu \omega)$ from common uses and dedicated to a god (cf. templum): in it stood the 'temple' proper or shrine.

Tds ivv roîs vópols $\theta u \sigma$ (as] ' the sacrifices prescribed by the laws,' especially the laws of Solon. Cf. infra § 4 тoîs ék Tஸ̂v $\nu \delta \mu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu i o t s, \S 34 \tau \hat{\jmath} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho l a s$.
 the simple $\tau \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho o v$. "The Latin hodiernus dies and the German 'der heutige Tag' exactly correspond" (Shilleto, Dem. De Falsa Leg. § 339 n.).
vimo ] 'at the mercy of': so infra § 27 .
тоเavิтa...тๆ入ıкavิтa] 'such'...'so great': talia...tanta. The
 the distinction is between number and size, the adjs. being frequently further defined by the addition, respectively, of $\tau \delta \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os


c. 2. §§ 3-6. The prosecutor on behalf of the state has come, undeservedly, to be regarded as a busybody rather than as a patriot. The truth is, the accuser constitutes, with the law and the judge, the third great pillar of the public weal. I am not actuated by any personal spite against Leocrates, except in so far as public offenders should justly be reckoned private enemies.
§3. 'épovió $\mu \eta v \delta^{\prime}$ 'äv к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] 'I could have wished that the presence among us of persons who prosecute offenders were conceived of by the multitude as an arrangement as humane as it is beneficial to the state.'-For the general structure of the sentence, a favourite one with the orators, cf. e.g. Antiph. De Caed. Herod. init.; Isaeus, or. X. init. ; Isocr. Antid. § II4, De Pace § 36. In such cases, $\dot{\epsilon} \beta o u \lambda \delta \mu \eta \eta \cdot a ̈ \nu$ (as here and in the two Isocr. passages quoted) is the common idiom = vellem, expressing what someone wishes were now true (but which is not true): Goodwin, M. T. §426. $\epsilon \beta o v \lambda b \mu \eta \nu$ alone, however, occurs occasionally with no appreciable difference of meaning, as in the first two passages quoted: also in Aeschin. Ctes. § 2 and Ar. Ran. $866 \epsilon \beta o u \lambda b \mu \eta \nu$
 follows $\hat{\epsilon}^{\beta} \beta o u \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ in these exx. to $\hat{a}^{\hat{\nu}} \nu$ for the sake of uniformity, but without good reason: : $\epsilon \beta o u \lambda b \mu \eta \nu$ was no doubt helped by the
analogy of $\begin{gathered}\delta \epsilon \iota \\ \text {, '̇ } \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \text {, etc. : cf. Wyse's n. on Isaeus, l.c.-тov̀s }\end{gathered}$ крivovias: the pres. ptcp. indicates a standing class =accusatores, as Cicero says Pro S. Roscio Amer. 20 accusatores multos esse in civitate utile est.-Tav́тŋ: stronger and more pointed than av̉r $\hat{\eta}$,

 vimel $\lambda \hat{\eta} \phi \theta a \mathrm{~L}$ : the perf. infin. denotes the permanent attitude: Goodwin, M.T. §iro.
 a pass, that' etc.
 that the prosecutor, in a public action, was himself liable to a fine of 1000 drachmae in case he failed to obtain one-fifth of the

 grouped under two main heads:
(a) in oratio obliqua (or after a verb of thinking or hearing), where the consecutive clause represents an indic. with ov in the




 these cases corresponds to the tense of the recta). So also Aeschin. Ctes. §96; Lysias, or. x. § 15 ; Dem. F.L. $\S 8167$, 351 ;
(b) where the negative belongs to a single word: cf. Isocr. De

 § 17. Goodwin, §§ 594 sqq. -The example in the text cannot very well be assigned to either, and should probably be explained, along with some others where, as here, ẅ̈re with a finite verb would be equally appropriate, as arising from a mixture of the
 confusion' being 'made easier by familiarity with ${ }_{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ oú c. infin. in indirect discourse.' Goodwin, § 599. See also Shilleto's ed.
of Dem. De Falsa Legatione, App. B. The oú...ov $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$ in the last clause requires no explanation, this clause being virtually equivalent

§4. тpia] 'three elements,' 'factors': a didactic opening: cf. infra $\$ \S 10,79$.

ท่ $\tau \hat{\omega} v \nu v o ́ \mu \omega v \tau a ́ \xi ̌ s]$ 'the ordinance of the laws' $=\hat{a}$ ol $\nu 6 \mu 0$ $\tau \alpha \tau \tau 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ (subj. gen.). Cf. Plat. Legg. 925 в кãd̀ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau a ́ \xi \iota \nu \tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\nu 6 \mu 0 \nu$.

ทं тov́rots...kplбเs] The кplбıs ('prosecution'), which would logically come second in order, bulks largest in the speaker's mind and is placed third and last : it acquires additional emphasis as being also the last word of the sentence.- $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota к \tilde{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ is almost concrete $=\tau o v ̀ s ~ a ́ \delta \iota \kappa o ̂ ̂ \nu \tau a s . ~ . ~$
 law is to prescribe,' etc. $\pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa \alpha$, of that for which a thing is fitted or disposed 'by nature' ( $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota$ ), either in an active or a



тoùs èvóXovs...èmitu ${ }^{\text {iols }] ~ ' t h o s e ~ w h o ~ h a v e ~ r e n d e r e d ~ t h e m-~}$ selves liable to the penalties prescribed by the laws.'-tyooos ( $=\dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s$ ) usually (a) c. dat., as here, 'subject to,' occasion-
 are to be supplied, or the genitive is the ordinary gen. with verba accusandi $) ;($ b $)$ absol. = 'guilty,' Antiph. Tetr. F.a. § у $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau$ тov̀s


 the word in the text, which is 'of poetical cast, like many which the older prose writers used' [Jebb, A.O. (Selections), p. 207:

 $\nu 6 \mu$ os and $\delta$ катخुरopos.

то仑̂ тapaסผ́бovтos] 'some one to hand them over': Goodwin,
 Ant. 26i oű ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \kappa \omega \lambda \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \omega \nu \pi a \rho \eta ̂ \nu$.
 ment' (capita accusationis) : cf. Dem. De Cor. § 56 т $̀ \nu$ aủ $\bar{\eta} \nu . .$. $\pi о \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu o s \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \mathfrak{c} \iota \nu$.

$\phi$ iोovenciav] The meaning of the word required here is the usual unfavourable one of 'love of strife,' 'contentiousness': cf.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{a} \gamma \omega$ : for the use of the word in the good sense, cf. Plat. Legg.

 orthography of the word ( $\phi \iota \lambda$ одккia $v . \phi \iota \lambda о \nu є \iota \kappa i a$ ), and whether we should distinguish two separate words, $\phi \iota \lambda o \nu \iota \kappa i \alpha=$ 'love of victory' and $\phi \iota \lambda$ ovecila $=$ 'love of strife,' are old subjects of dispute. The two words are constantly interchanged in mSS. Sandys on Isocr. Ad Dem. § 31 s.v. ф८ $\lambda 6 v e k o s ~ r e m a r k s: ~ ' D e r i v e d ~$ from $\phi i \lambda \frac{s}{}$ and $\nu i \kappa \eta$,' and quotes in his support Arist. Rhet. II. 12. 6 , which is the locus classicus for the $\nu$ ik $\eta$ derivation. He adds that фı入óveєкos (Plat. Protag. 336 E , etc.) is really a separate word, derived from veîkos. Adam, however, on Protag. l.c. (reading $\phi \iota \lambda$ bickos) contends that 'the word comes from $\phi \iota \lambda o$ - and $\nu i \kappa \eta$, not from $\phi \iota \lambda 0$ - and $\nu \in i ̂ \kappa o s$ (in which case the form would be
 $\phi \iota \lambda 6 \theta \eta \rho o s$ and the like), and adds that 'Schanz declares himself, after a full discussion, for $\phi i \lambda$ óvıкos.'
 that the accused, by his conduct, was legally 'debarred from the market-place and the public rites' ( $\epsilon_{\rho} \rho \gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$ dं $\gamma \circ \rho \hat{\alpha} s, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 are technical: the former suggests boldness and confidence: cf.

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ (the opp. of $\epsilon l \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ), cf. infra § $142 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta$ $\eta \kappa \eta$ iєр $\omega$ $\theta v \sigma \iota \omega ̂ \nu$ à $\gamma \circ \rho a ̂ s . . . \mu \in \theta \epsilon \xi ต \nu$.
marpl(ios oैveidos] 'a reproach to his country': cf. Soph.

passage may be compared the forcible fragment of Lycurgus'

 $\pi a \tau \rho i \delta i \iota$.
 this a side-thrust at Aeschines, whose prosecution of Ctesiphon (Demosthenes was the real object of attack) was pending at the time when the speech against Leocrates was delivered; but the allusion to Aeschines seems less certain than that to Demosthenes, infra § 139 .
kotvás] i.e. affecting the state, 'public.'
 [ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa$.-partitive gen.] involve [ $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \nu \nu$ ] also public grounds of
 and language, cf. Dem. Mid. § 225 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тolvov toútous $\beta o \eta \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$


 кal $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda$ d̀s $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a s .-\pi \rho о \phi a ́ \sigma e t s: ~ n o t ~ n e c e s s a r i l y ~ ' p r e t e x t s ' ~$ (i.e. false causes), but often, as here, 'grounds,' 'occasions.' For a discussion of the significance of the word as used by Thuc.,
 airias), see Cornford, Thuc. Mythist., pp. 56-9.
c. 3. $\S \S 7-10$. The enormity of Leocrates' offence makes the case before you unique among state prosecutions. I am at a loss how to characterize my charge, and the laws provide no adequate penalty. Death, the extreme penally of the laws, is not sufficient. The failure to devise a punishment suitable to L.'s crimes is due, not to the indolence of former legislators, but to the circumstance that no case of equal heinousness was on record nor was expected to occur in future. And so in this case you must be not merely indges, but legislators. Your decision will be an example to posterity, and will also have a wholesome influence on the youth, whose character is moulded by two factors: (a) the punishment meted out to transgressors, (b) the prizes awarded to virtue.
 portant.'
 more specially $\gamma \rho a \phi a i$, were 'public' actions, which might be instituted by any one in possession of his full civic rights ( $\epsilon \pi i \tau \iota \mu o s)$,
 'private' suits, in which the plaintiff was the person whose rights were immediately affected. The $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \gamma^{\ell} \lambda(a$ was a special form of $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$.

Public actions, with the exception of such eiซarye入laı as were decided in the Council or the Assembly (see note on § I supra), were tried before a jury court; private suits were often brought before arbitrators ( $\delta \iota a \iota \tau \eta \tau a l$ ), a practice which aimed at securing a compromise which should be acceptable to both parties, without the necessity of facing a trial in court. In a public action, the prosecutor, as a rule, did not benefit pecuniarily by the conviction of the accused; and if he either dropped proceedings before the trial or failed to obtain one-fifth of the judges' votes at the trial, he incurred a fine of 1000 drachmae ( $\tau$ às $\chi i \lambda i$ ias $\dot{\delta} \phi \lambda \epsilon i v$ ) : cf. supra § 3 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu i \delta i q \kappa \kappa \nu \delta v \nu \in \dot{o} \sigma \nu \tau a n$. In nearly all private suits the object in dispute or the damages went to the plaintiff, who similarly in certain cases was penalized for non-success by having to pay to his successful opponent one obol for every drachma of the sum at issue, i.e. one-sixth of the whole ( $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \pi \omega \beta \epsilon \lambda(a)$.
$\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$ oṽ] The use of $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho$ as an equivalent for $\pi \varepsilon \rho l$ is character-

 fairly frequent also in Lysias and Demosthenes.

öтav $\mu \grave{\mathrm{\varepsilon} \nu} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ а̀p к.т.入.] 'For whenever you give judgment in indictments for unconstitutional proposals, you merely rectify a detail and prohibit the operation of a particular measure in so far as it is calculated to injure the state; whereas the present case has a far-reaching constitutional significance and is of no transient interest: on the contrary, it affects your country
as a whole, and the verdict you pronounce will be bequeathed to, and held in everlasting remembrance by, your posterity for all
 रрaфخे $\pi \alpha \rho a \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ was a safeguard against hasty or inconsistent legislation. A new legislative proposal, after certain formalities had been observed, was first discussed by the Council who, if they approved of it, submitted it as a $\pi \rho \circ \beta o v ́ \lambda e v \mu \alpha$ for ratification by the Assembly : if so ratified, it became properly $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ or 'decree.' Such a psephism might be intended merely to serve a temporary purpose, or to become a permanent part of the constitution, i.e. a $\nu b \mu_{0 s}$, in which latter case it was referred for
 appointed for the purpose. The measure, if pronounced upon favourably by the $\nu о \mu о \theta \in \tau a \iota$, was then registered as a law. At any time between the date of its passing the Council, however, and the expiration of a year after its becoming $\nu 6 \mu$ os (if it were raised to this status), it was competent for any citizen to prosecute the proposer of such a measure on the ground of its being in conflict with an existing law, or perhaps even on the general ground of inexpediency. Notice of such intention to prosecute was given by a $\dot{u} \pi \omega \mu \sigma \sigma l a$ or affidavit, which had the effect of suspending all further action in respect of the contemplated measure till the suit should be decided. If the proposer thought fit to withdraw his measure in the face of the threatened attack, he was said $\dot{\epsilon} \hat{\omega} \nu(\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \nu 6 \mu \circ \nu) \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \pi \omega \mu \sigma \sigma l q$ : if he decided to contest the point, the matter proceeded in due course to trial, in the ordinary way, before a jury court, on whose verdict the subsequent fate of the measure depended.- $\delta \iota \kappa a ́ ఫ \eta \tau \epsilon: \delta \iota \kappa a ́ ̧ \epsilon \iota \nu=$ 'sit in judgment on')( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ 乡 \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota=$ 'go to law.'—тоv̂тo $\mu$ óvov к.т.入. : lit. 'you merely correct this point and prohibit this action (i.e. prevent the new proposal from becoming operative), in so far as,' etc. тoûto and $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \iota \nu$ both look forward to, and are





 ${ }^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ ' $0 \lambda$ (yov $\left.\chi \rho b \nu^{\prime} \nu\right)$ : rather a rare use of the prep., but paralleled
 and suitable to Lyc.'s $\delta e i v \omega \sigma$ ts: it occurs other three times in the speech (infra $\$ 862,106,110$ ), and, among the other orators, only in Isocrates.
 (тòv) $\mu$ ' $\beta$ о $\theta$ ض́r $\sigma a v \tau a]$ ' 'a man who did not defend': generic.

 penalty, death, though a punishment that the laws require us to be content with, is yet inadequate to L.'s offences': a common

 d $\xi$ lav. $-\mu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} . . . \delta \epsilon$ : 'though....yet,' often so best rendered, like


§9. mapeírӨar] Emphatic by position and introducing the main thought of the paragraph, with which may be compared




 offences': cf. supra $\S 7$ vint̀p oû $n$., Soph. Ant. 932 к入аúцата $\beta \rho a \delta u \tau \hat{\text { yेros }} \boldsymbol{0} \pi \epsilon \rho$, 'tears for ( $=$ on account of tardiness.'
т $\omega$ v то́тє vou0日eтои́vтшv] 'of previous legislators,' we should say: $\tau \delta \tau \varepsilon$ refers to the period in the speaker's mind when the main code of laws was framed.
 occur in the future': $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ \{iogosos has regularly this passive significa-
 $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda s)$, 'was expected to be captured,' Isocr. Areop. $\S 48$ rov̀s
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \delta \xi$ ous $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'those on whose part an offence was apprehended' (Jebb). Cf. the passive use of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta о \kappa \alpha \hat{\nu}$, Dem. F.L. § $170 \tau \hat{\jmath} \nu \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \nu . . . \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta о \kappa \omega \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu \dot{a} \phi \in \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \sigma \theta a l$, ' when it was expected that the others would be released.'
 not merely judges in the case of the present offence, but legislators as well,' i.e. your decision in a case not adequately covered by the existing laws (as explained in the next sentence) will set up a precedent which will be virtually equivalent to a law ( $\nu 6 \mu \mathrm{os}$ ). For an exact commentary on this passage, cf. Lysias, or. xiv. §4





 and in the Lysias passages quoted, in the general sense of 'legislators,' 'law-makers' : technically, the $\nu 0 \mu \circ \theta \epsilon \in \alpha \iota$, at Athens, were a select committee of the jurors ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau a l$ ) for the year, appointed normally at the third кирia $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma l a$ in each year, and charged both with the revision of existing laws and the scrutiny and ratification of new ones: cf. $n$. on $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \pi a p a \nu b \mu \omega \nu$, supra § 7. The dicasts in this capacity were thus the ultimate source of Athenian legislation.
 clearly defined by a particular law, it is easy to employ this as your standard and punish transgressors; but in the case of such as are not expressly specified by the law, through its including them in a single designation, and when a person has committed greater enormities than these and is chargeable with them all alike, your verdict must necessarily be bequeathed to posterity as an example.'-тоט́тథ̨ кavóvı: 'this as your standard' (predicative). кav $\omega v,(a)$ in the literal sense, the carpenter's or mason's rule (Lat. amussis), (b) met., 'rule,' 'standard'' (Lat. norma, regula). Both uses are illustrated in Aeschin. Ctes. §§ r99, 200




 is here practically equivalent in sense to $\delta \iota \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \epsilon \in$ preceding, and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu l \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \delta \mu a \tau \iota$ goes closely with $\pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma o \rho \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$. The argument is that the law, in providing penalties for an offence to which it gives a specific designation (e.g. murder, treason), implies the inclusion in that designation of kindred offences which it does not, or cannot, expressly label : it 'calls them by a single name' (ėvi ठ̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \mu a \tau \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \circ \rho \in \cup ́ \epsilon \iota$ ). Cf. Lysias, or. x. (c. Theomnest.) § 7

 $\epsilon^{\delta} \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$. Thus Lycurgus would make the crime of 'treason' ( $\pi \rho 0 \delta o \sigma i a)$, of which he accuses L., include several others, such as 'impiety,' 'desertion,' 'maltreatment of parents,' etc. (infra $\S_{147}$ ). The inadequacy of the law to provide for every conceivable offence is a common topic with prosecutors, who frequently appeal to the jurors to judge according to the spirit of the law where the letter is deficient: this was known in Latin as dilatare legem or extensio legis (Rehd. ad loc.). To defendants, on the other hand, the same circumstance naturally afforded opportunities for quibbling and evasion : see especially Lysias, or. $\mathbf{x}$ (quoted above), § $8-14$, also XiII and xiv; Dem.'s speech Against Midias, and particularly the argument prefixed thereto. -крlбьv: 'decision,' 'verdict.'

 and moralising tone of Aeschines, c. Ctes. $\S 246$ ध $\hat{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ l $\sigma \tau \epsilon, \dot{\omega}$

 Cf. also supra § 4, infra § 79.
 men': these would include $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau a$, exemption from some or all
 maintenance in the state-hall, etc.
 i.e. having regard to the terrors of the one and the encouragement of the other. $a \pi o \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ is regularly so used of a pattern or authority which sanctions or influences one's conduct: $\varepsilon$ ls interchanges with $\pi \rho \dot{\rho}$ as the accompanying preposition.
 before you': $\tau \delta \nu \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$, which often accompanies $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, is frequently omitted, as here, and at Her. Ix. 33, Thuc. I. 15, etc.

тov̂ $\delta$ skalov] 'than justice': this is gen. of $\tau$ d $\delta$ ikalov (neut. adj. with article $=$ abstract noun), and depends upon the comp. in $\pi \epsilon \rho l \pi \lambda \epsilon$ iovos $\pi \circ \iota \eta \quad \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$.
c. 4. §§ II-13. Unlike the great bulk of the prosecutors who appear before you, I shall confine myself strictly to the matter at issue. It is outrageous to conduct a prosecution unjustly, and still ask you to give a just verdict. For this state of things you yourselves are to blame, in spite of the example of the court of Areopagus. You should insist on relevancy and so secure the best interests of prosecutors, defendants, and judges alike.
§ 11. тоเทјбораь $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \dot{\gamma} \omega$ ] ' I, too, on my part, shall conduct the prosecution fairly': $\delta \iota x a l a \nu$ echoes rov̂ $\delta \iota x a l o v$ of the previous
 ...жоєŋ́боцаи $n$.
 causam dicere (Cicero). $\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \mu \mu=$ res de qua agitur, is very frequent in this phrase in the orators.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ cis $\hat{u} \mu a ̂ s$ ciocóvt $\omega v$ ] regularly, of the parties to a suit, 'those who appear before you in court.' So oi rapióvzes, of the speakers in the Assembly.
 able': $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \tau \nu$ is neut.
 public affairs, or they connect their accusations and misrepresentations with anything rather than the matter on which you are


 тоьoûvтal;-The frequent complaints in the orators about irrelevant pleading in the courts no doubt point to a real abuse in the judicial system of Athens; but the precepts of the orators in this matter were better than their own practice. The very character of the dicasteries, composed as they were of average citizens with no special legal knowledge, must have been such as to encourage irrelevant argument and enable it to achieve its ends. 'We can have no better evidence as to the working of the popular courts than the speeches by which the pleaders hoped to influence the decisions of the judges.... The judges heard each party interpreting the law in its own sense; but they had themselves no knowledge of the law, and therefore, however impartial they sought to be, their decision was unduly influenced by the dexterity of an eloquent pleader, and affected by considerations which had nothing to do with the matter at issue.' Bury, History of Greece (1900),
 $\sigma . \tau \iota \nu \grave{\tau} \tau \iota=$ suadere alicui, s. aliquid alicui; $\sigma v \mu \beta$ оилє́́є $\sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \iota$ $=$ consulere aliquem. Cf. Her. II. $107 \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ஸ́s $\mu a \theta \in i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o u ̂ t o, ~$
 - $\pi$ áv $\boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$ : accusative of the 'extent' or 'compass' of the action

$\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о ф \eta(v a \sigma \theta a \iota]$ 'declare one's opinion': $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ without the article in this phrase is the regular idiom : see exx. from Dem. cited by Sandys on First Philippic, § 1.
 they themselves should not': cf. supra $\S 8 n$. -The speaker in' Antiph. De Caed. Herod. $\S 89$ reminds the court that ' $a$ wrong prosecution is less serious than a wrong judgment': оúk lँov é $\sigma \tau l$
 $\delta^{\delta} \rho \theta \omega \hat{s} \gamma \nu \omega \hat{\nu} a \iota$.

ن́peis] emphatic, 'you yourselves.'




 § $n$ ．

кal тavิтa ка́入入ıбтоv éXоvтєs к．т．入．］＇and that although you possess the noblest example among the Greeks in the council of the Areopagus，which so far excels other courts that it is acknow－ ledged even by those whom it convicts to conduct its trials fairly．＇一кád入เซтov $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$＇E．$\pi$ ．：i．e．＇a nobler example than any others of the Greeks possess，＇a common idiomatic use of the superlative：
 $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$ ，＇in a far more unconstitutional way than ever man
 Areopagus（otherwise styled $\dot{\eta} \beta o v \lambda \eta े ं ~ \dot{\eta} \xi \xi^{\prime} A \rho \epsilon i=v \pi a ́ \gamma o v, \dot{\eta} \xi \xi{ }^{\prime} A$ ．
 of which（Aesch．Eum．，Dem．contra Aristocr．§66，Paus．I． 28. 5）points，at any rate，to its extreme antiquity，was now，as indeed it had been for more than a century past（since the reforms of Ephialtes，c． 462 b．c．），but a shadow of its former self，in respect of the large and undefined powers which it had originally exercised．These included $(a)$ a general supervision of all magis－ trates and law－courts，（b）a general guardianship of the laws，（c）a general control of education and censorship of public morals， （d）power to assume dictatorial authority in grave public emer－ gencies，as in the stress of the Persian wars（e．g．before Salamis， Plut．Themist．10）．These indefinite powers were almost entirely abolished by the reforms of Ephialtes，and transferred either to the Council of Five Hundred，the Assembly，or the popular law－ courts．But the Areopagus still retained one of its traditional definite powers－jurisdiction in certain criminal cases－wilful homicide，poisoning，and arson：cf．the emphatic language of

 But while the Areopagus had thus been shorn of its political
significance, its time-honoured associations as the guardian of religion and morals secured for it a considerable amount of prestige and explain the extreme respect with which the court is mentioned down to the latest orators. For the justice of its judgments, as asserted in this passage, cf. Dem. l.c. $̇ v \tau a v \theta o i ̂$




 1. 9. 1 j̀ $\mu 0 \lambda 0 \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \tau a l ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ d \nu \tau \omega \nu, ~ I b . ~ 20 ~ \delta j \mu \lambda . ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu . — ~$
 sense of the $\mathbf{v b}$., often with the gen. of the charge ( $\kappa \lambda o \pi \hat{\eta} s, \dot{d} \sigma \epsilon-$ $\beta$ eias, etc.).
 $\beta \lambda$ ध́тоvтаs: cf. supra § іо $n$.
 c. dat. = 'give way to,' 'indulge,' cf. Her. II. 120 dं $\delta \iota \kappa \in о \nu \tau \iota \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 supra § if. Rehdantz aptly cites Lucian, Anach. ig in connexion with the traditional strictness of the Areopagus: $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau^{\prime}{ }_{d} \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, à $\nu \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha l ~ \dot{\eta} \beta o u \lambda \grave{\eta}$ [the Areopagus] $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$



 conducted so as to shield defendants from false accusations, prosecutors will have least chance of bringing vexatious charges, and you will be in a position to give your vote in a way most in keeping with your oath.'-The mixture of subjects to Écral (subst. followed by two infins.) is due to é $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ passing over into the meaning of $\epsilon \xi \in \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ with the infins.-бvкофаขтєiv: regularly, in the orators, of malicious or vexatious prosecution,


added implication that the object is personal gain. There seems no justification for the meaning 'inform' or 'informer,' as pointed



d8v́vatov $\gamma$ áp èvтเv к.т.入.] 'For it is impossible for you, without such a speech (i.e. such as I have described, a speech which keeps to the point), and unless you have been rightly in-

 be combined into 'unless you have been properly instructed by a proper speech.' [<rotov́rov> is due to Nicolai: see Crit. App.]
c. 5 . $\$ \Omega_{14} \mathbf{1 4}, 15$. The notoriety of the accused and of his conduct must make your verdict of more than merely local interest. In dealing with him, you nust remember your hersditary reputation for piety and patriotism.
 transition to a new point: tav̂ta ( $\tau o v ̂ \tau o)$ [like éкeivo, illud] is prospective: cf. Dem. Ol. 1. § $25 \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \lambda a \nu \theta a \nu \epsilon ̇ \tau \omega$,

oux ${ }^{\circ} \mu \mathrm{oos}$ ] 'the case of L. is quite different from,' etc. : a very common litotes with this adj.
 who was unknown to the Greeks, the reputation of the verdict passed by you, be it good or be it bad, would be confined to your own community': the protasis of the sentence is contained in the prepositional clause, which is $=\epsilon l \dot{a} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} s{ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \ddot{a} \nu \theta$. $\tau 0 \hat{c}{ }^{*}{ }^{*} \mathbf{E}$., 'if the individual was unknown' (but he is not): Goodwin, M.T. §472. For the thought, cf. Lysias, In Alcib. I. [or. xiv]. § 12


 бovтat, or. vi. §6, Gorgias, Palam. § 36.

Zovai $\lambda$ óyos] 'will be talked about.'
of toa,
'the defendant's conduct': so $\tau \dot{d}$ é $\mu o l ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v \mu e ́ v a$, 'my political acts, career': Madvig, § $38 . \mathrm{g}$.

 necessarily 'against you,' 'to your detriment,' though, in point of fact, L.'s report was so: cf. Arist. Pol. v. 7. il 1 тoûto elppraı $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi a \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi{ }^{\circ} \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu(d e ~ o m n i b u s ~ c i v i t a t i b u s) . ~$
 announcement to the Rhodian authorities; the second, of information conveyed conversationally.
 in town at the time': $\dot{\epsilon \pi t \delta \eta \mu \in \hat{\imath} \nu}$ (a) 'to be or live at home') ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0$ $\delta \eta \mu \epsilon i v$, 'to be away from home'; (b) of foreigners, as here, 'to come to a city,' 'stay at' a place: cf. Lysias c. Eratosth. § 35
 'pregnant' prep.).





 the narrower sense of 'the inhabited country' as opp. to 'desert ': the citation of the latter passage by L.S. s.v. olкov $\mu$ év $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ( $=$ 'the inhabited world') is therefore hardly accurate.]
$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ' $\rho p \not a \sigma(\alpha \nu]$ ' in pursuit of their calling,' lit. 'for the purpose of trade': of this use of $\delta \iota \dot{c} \mathrm{c}$. acc. $=$ 'for the sake of,' 'in order to,' four exx. are quoted from Thuc.: in. 89 ס亢̀̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$ $\delta 6 \xi a v$, suae gloriae causa, iv. $40 \delta \imath^{\prime} \dot{a} \chi \theta \eta \delta b \nu a$, 'in order to vex,'
 $\tau \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \rho a \xi \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$.


 So also $\pi v \nu \theta \dot{d} \nu 0 \mu \alpha$, Ar. Av. 1120 .
 bably in his mind concrete historical examples: we may compare generally the compliments of the banished Oedipus in Soph.


 каi $\tau \grave{\mu} \mu \eta े \psi \epsilon \delta \delta \sigma \sigma \tau о \mu \epsilon i v$, etc.; Isocr. Paneg. passim; Dem. Ol. III. § 26.-үoveis: so the mSS. here, and also infra $\S \S 96,97$, but rovéas infra§ 94 : Blass (with Es) changes everywhere to rovéas.$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho$ ' $\dot{\mu} \mu \omega \hat{v} . . . \tau \iota \omega \rho / a v:$ "the substitution of the more closely defining preposition for the simple case made steady progress" (Rehd., App. 2, p. 127): cf. infra § 26 т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ Tapà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu$ Foŋ̀ $\theta \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$, §§ 82, 97, $123,129$.
c. 6. §§16-19. I must begin with a brief recital of the circumstances of the case. After Chaeronea, the people passed a decree, directing the women and children to be conveyed into the city, and the generals to provide for the defence of Athens, as they should see fit. But Leocrates, with a supreme contempt for these regulations, and in utter heartlessness, packed up his belongings and sailed away to Rhodes, where he noised abroad the discomfiture of his native city. The Rhodians believed his tale, and stopped shipments of corn and other supplies for Athens-all which I can prove by witnesses.
§16. $\delta\left\llcorner\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda^{\prime} \mathrm{ovs}\right.$ ] 'throughout,' 'to the end,' a common idiom both in poetry and prose, the root idea being the 'between' (cf. $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v)$ that extends right to the end. Sometimes the idea of 'time' is prominent; sometimes rather that of 'thoroughness,'

 fulness' (Jebb) : cf. Wunder ad loc.: 'Lobeckius, òı̀े $\tau \in$ ' $\lambda$ ovs, ing uit, ab Hippocrate semper pro $\delta i \grave{~ \pi a \nu \tau \delta s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \chi p o b o u ~ d i c i ~ a f f i r m a t ~}$ Galenus...sed Sophocles hoc loco pro $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \omega s$ posuit, ut Aesch. Prom. 275 ( $\dot{s} \boldsymbol{s} \mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \lambda o u s \tau \grave{~} \pi \hat{a} \nu$ ).' But the two ideas often seem to merge into one.
toîs altiots...kal $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ovis] The persons intended in each case
are identical；but the const．is varied by the substitution of a relative clause for a second adj．or a ptcp．（toîs alitors kal




үáp］narrativum（introducing the story）：do not translate．
 should be brought in，＇is the Eng．idiom，but Gk．in these cases prefers the infin．active（or middle），the subject being under－ stood：cf．e．g．Dem．c．Timocr．§ II $\psi \eta \eta^{\prime} \phi \iota \sigma \mu$＇$\epsilon$ IT $\epsilon \nu$ ．．．＇A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$
 proposer of the decree was Hyperides ：cf．infra $\S \S 36,37$.

тov̀s $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ otparךyov่s к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．］＇and that the generals should appoint to the duties of the defence Athenians and others resident at Athens，as they should see fit．＇－фu入akás（acc．plu． of $\phi v \lambda a \kappa \eta$＇），＇defence duties＇rather than＇defence forces，＇＇gar－ risons，＇though the word is capable of the concrete meaning（cf．
 of ships），followed immediately by $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ．$\pi о \iota o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o l(a b s t r a c t) ;$
 ка日＇ 8 тt．．．©ок $\hat{\eta}$ ：the usual language where＇discretionary powers＇
 ö $\tau \iota \alpha \nu \beta$ ßov́ $\lambda \omega \nu \tau a \iota$ ．The phrase looks like a quotation from the actual decree．
§ 17．$\Lambda$ ．$\delta$ è тоúr $\omega v$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．］For a similar dereliction of duty， described in similar language，cf．Lysias，xxxi．$\S 8 \mathrm{sqq}$ ．（of Philo after Aegospotami）$\tau \dot{a}$ èvavtia ä $\pi \pi a \sigma \iota \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \pi o \lambda i \tau a u s$
 еє $\xi \notin \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa$ к．т．入．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$＇$\omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ oiкєт $\omega \bar{\nu}]$ with катєк $\delta \mu \tau \sigma \epsilon$ ，＇with the help of his slaves＇：they do not embark with him．
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \beta o v . . . \nu \epsilon \omega \dot{s}]$ The $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu \beta$ os is the small＇cock－boat＇（ $\tau \dot{\partial} \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu$ $\pi$ лotápıov，т̀̀ éфó入кıov Hesych．），which L．used to convey his belongings to the larger vavis which was＇already lying off the shore．＇This arrangement would be dictated either by the un－
desirability of bringing his vessel close in, and so attracting notice, or by the impracticability of doing so, as his point of departure is $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s$ and not $\epsilon \boldsymbol{l} \sigma \omega$ rồ $\lambda_{t} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu o s$, 'inside the (regular) harbour,' infra § 55. $\dot{\eta}$ àкт̀े meant specifically the southern peninsula of the Piraeus: èmı $\theta a \lambda a \tau \tau i \delta t o ́ s ~ \tau \iota s ~ \mu o i ̂ \rho \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\jmath} s$ 'Аттькทิs, Harpocr.
$\mu e \tau \alpha$ т $\hat{\rho}$ s exalpas] unimportant, but 'showing the man' (Rehd. ad loc.).
$\delta \mathrm{La} \tau \hat{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{s} \pi \mathrm{u} \lambda\left(\delta_{o s]}\right.$ 'through the postern gate': the walls of Athens, as of other cities, included a number of such 'posterns,' as distinct from the main gates ( $\pi u ́ \lambda a u$ ): it would be clear to Lycurgus' hearers, from his narrative, which particular one he meant. So at Torone some of Brasidas' troops are admitted


廿ХХєго фєíy $\omega v$ ] the impf. ptcp. (instead of aorist) with $\Psi \chi \chi \in \tau$ suits the highly descriptive passage, and agrees with the impfs. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \tau 0 . . . \kappa a \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ following.

 The whole passage is a good example of $\delta \epsilon l \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$.
 was leaving defenceless,' another way of expressing $\dot{a}$ ह$\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ $\phi \cup \lambda a \kappa \hat{s} \ldots \kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$, perhaps with a suggestion of the legal use of the adj., 'let it go by default.'- тò кä' av́тòv $\mu$ '́pos: 'for his part,' quantum in eo erat. It is interesting to note Lycurgus' variety of phrase:-(a) here, and infra § 144, то ка $\theta^{\prime}$ av́тд̀

 others, lays it down that the three forms recognized by the
 avitob, and would make variants such as tò кaO' avitò̀ $\mu$ épos, $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тò ध́autov̂ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \circ \mathrm{s}$, etc. (which he regards as the work of copyists) conform to one or other of the types, by the omission of the prep. or of $\mu \epsilon \in \rho o s$, as the case may be. This method, however, does not take sufficient account of the elasticity and
constant change of language; and Rehdantz, in an exhaustive note (App. 2, pp. 128-9), suggests, with more reason, that Lycurgus (like Dinarchus), either for variety or expressiveness, strengthened the more general $\tau \delta$ кatd by the addition of the more definite $\mu$ épos.
 phatic and are intended by the speaker to be in telling contrast with L.'s conduct ; he could not trust the gods who save to save him. - $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \eta_{\rho} \ldots \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \epsilon \epsilon \rho a$, of 'protecting' gods and goddesses respectively, but the masc. form is coupled also with fem. nouns: cf. Aesch. Agam. 664 тú $\eta ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \dot{\rho}, ~ S . C . T . ~ 826 ~(c o n j . ~ D i n d o r f), ~$ Soph. O.T. 81.
 etc.], which he was forsaking,' we should probably say, instead of the co-ordinate const. in the Gk . Rehd. remarks that the order in which the various objects are mentioned ( $\lambda_{\iota} \mu \hat{\ell} \nu a s, \tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$, etc.) corresponds with that in which they would present themselves to the view of L . as he put out to sea: the last three [Acropolis, Temple of Z. Soter (in the Piraeus), Temple of A. Soteira (near the sea, in the deme Corydallus)] he would 'behold from afar' ( $\dot{\text { ф }}$ орầ).
$\sigma \omega ́ \sigma o v \tau a s] ~ \sigma \omega ́ \sigma o \nu \tau a s ~ e c h o e s, ~ o f ~ c o u r s e, ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s . . . \tau \hat{s} \sigma \omega$ $\tau \epsilon i \rho a s$, and is a somewhat harsh extension of the final use of the


 glad tidings of great good fortune for his country': $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \tau p l \delta t$ depends upon єủtuxlas. Cf. Ar. Eq. 643 入órous ảratoùs єủar-
 $\gamma \in \lambda_{i} \zeta \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \frac{s}{}$, 'offering my congratulations.'
 gen. and $\pi \delta \lambda^{\prime}$ cs including both the $d \sigma \tau v$ or 'upper city' and the Piraeus. The distinction $\left.\tau \dot{\partial} \not a_{\sigma} \sigma v\right)(\dot{\delta} \Pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \iota \epsilon$ ús is familiar: cf. ol $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \tilde{Z} \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma)(o \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \Pi \epsilon \iota \rho a t \omega \bar{s}$, of the parties in the time of the Thirty.


 De Cor. § 323 (of Aeschines).
 triremes and proceeded to bring merchantmen into port': note the tenses, for which see Goodwin, $\$ 836,143$ - $-\kappa a \tau \alpha \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau$ à $\pi \lambda_{0} i \hat{a}$ was said of forcing vessels to land (naves vi coactas abducere, quo velimus, Es), either for the purpose of discharging part of their cargo or of extorting dues. This practice on the part of Philip in respect of Athenian corn-ships from the Propontis was a standing grievance against him at Athens, to which we find reference in Dem. (cf. De Cor. §73). Cf. also Dem. De Pace


 The alleged conduct of the Rhodians on this occasion, resting, as it did, on the supposed impotence of Athens to prevent it, would no doubt be expected by the speaker to raise considerable invidia on the part of his hearers against L. [Jebb in his rendering of this passage (Attic Orators, vol. II. p. 378) gives: 'that they told off crews for their triremes, and set about launching the vessels,' apparently identifying $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho e t s$ and $\tau \alpha$ $\pi \lambda o i ̂ a$, and taking катท̂\}ov as $=\kappa a \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa о \nu$. This is clearly wrong.]
 and other cargo on the spot (avirov-adv.), all through L.,' i.e. either they were compelled to do so (cf. previous note), or they did so voluntarily on the assumption (presuming L.'s tale to be true) that they would be unable to make the Piraeus.- $\mathbf{\delta}$ id тov̂тov: note the emphatic position of these words, which would be preceded by a slight pause on the part of the speaker.
§19. кal \%'ть тavit' $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \in \gamma \omega]$ ' and in proof of the truth of my statement': for this initial use of ö $\tau \iota$, 'to prove that,' 'as evidence that,' looking forward to, but not depending directly
upon, a following predicate (here $\dot{a} \nu a \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l . . . \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a s), ~$ see the elaborate excursus of Rehd., App. 2, pp. 129-133.
ávayvผ́ซєтat] sc. $\dot{\text { o }} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon u ́ s$, 'the clerk of court.'
Фиркivov] probably the farmer-in-chief of the $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \eta$ : see note below.
 know as the accuser of L. before the Assembly': the pres. ptcp. expresses the standing relation, being equivalent to кatyंชopov
 some one's prosecutor,' may be compared with dं $\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon i v$, 'to be guilty,' $\tau i \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'be the mother of' (Eur. Ton $1560 \eta{ }^{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau \uparrow \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon$ ): Goodwin, §27.
 also seriously damaged the 2 per cent. tax, in which he had an interest.'-The $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa o \sigma \tau \eta$, at Athens, was a duty of one-fiftieth or 2 per cent. on all imports and exports, imported corn, manufactured commodities, etc. These duties were collected by the $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa 0 \sigma \tau 0 \lambda$ 人 $\gamma 0 \iota$ (Böckh, Publ. Econ. Ath., pp. 314 sqq.). From an important passage of Andocides, De Myst. §§ r33, 134, it appears that it was customary for a company to lease the tax : at the head of such company was a chief farmer ( $\dot{\rho} \rho \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \eta \eta^{s}$ ), by whose name it was called. The lease was sold to the highest bidder by the $\pi \omega \lambda \eta \tau a l$ near the White Poplar ( $\eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon u ́ \kappa \eta$ ), and is mentioned by Andoc. l.c. as twice realizing 30 talents and once 36 talents. A member of such a company was said $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta} s$

 к.т.л.). L. had evidently been a member of such a company of farmers as is here described. The 'damage' to the tax would result from his action in holding up, by his alarming news, merchantmen bound for Athens, as described in the previous
 to the fact of his desertion, which Lyc. is specially concerned with, though it may also be taken as intensive in force $=$ 'very seriously.'-For the text, see Crit. App.
c. 7. §20. You are familiar with the various influences which are brought to bear on witnesses to prevent them doing their duty. Request them, therefore, either to give their evidence without fear or favour, or else excuse themselves in the prescribed form.
§820. avaßalvetv] Said of a witness who at the trial 'mounts the tribune' $(\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a)$ to acknowledge his evidence as put in at the àváкрıбıs or preliminary investigation before the archon: see

 $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \in v \eta$ is so used constantly by the orators of corrupt practices in getting up or conducting a case: cf. Lysias, xxvini.
 §5 тарабкєvàs $\lambda 6 \gamma \omega \nu$, 'fabricated statements,' Dem. xxx. §3, Aeschin. Ctes. § i, etc. So also $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́-$ ऽєбөal: Lysias c. Agorat. [or. XIII] § I2 סıкабтйpıov $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́-$ бavecs, 'having packed a court for his trial,' Dem. xxix. § 28 $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \psi \epsilon v \delta \in i ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v ́ a \sigma \tau a l$, etc.

 Dem. F.L. § I ai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi а \rho а к \lambda \dot{\gamma} \tau \omega \nu$ (advocatorum) $\delta \epsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ s.-For



Xр $\eta \mu$ á $\tau \omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \ldots \mathrm{X}$ ápıros] 'for a fee or as a favour,' i.e. from a desire to oblige. So $\tau \dot{d} \boldsymbol{s} \chi$ d́ $\rho \iota \tau a s$ below. For the various shades of meaning of which $\chi$ ápıs is susceptible, see L.S. s.v.

 speaking by a short pause after $\chi$ ápızas.
a $\pi$ oסıס́óvat] reddere, 'duly render.'
тá $\xi$ เv] 'duty,' 'rôle,' a favourite word with Dem. in this sense:


 of disclaimer with their hands on the sacrifice.'-All depositions
relative to a case, at Athens, were required to be put in at the preliminary investigation ( $\dot{\nu} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$ ), and no fresh evidence could be admitted at the actual trial. A person, however, who refused to appear as a witness at the ávácpıots might be required by either of the parties to attend in court on the day of hearing, when he might be called upon to mount the platform ( $\dot{\nu} \nu a \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ) and either depose to the truth of a written statement drawn up by the litigant and read out by the clerk, or swear that he had no knowledge of the facts as set forth in the document. In case of his refusal to obey, he was liable to a fine of 1000 drachmae. (See Wyse on Isaeus, or. Ix. 18. 8, 9.)-A witness who in such cases affirmed his ignorance on oath was said $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \circ \mu \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$, and his disclaimer was $\epsilon \xi \xi \mu \mu \sigma l a: ~ D e m . ~ x L v . ~ § ~ 60 ~ \hat{\eta} \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon i ̂ \tau ' ~ \vec{\eta}$ $\epsilon \xi \neq \mu \delta \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon, F$.L. § 176 , Isaeus, l.c. The middle, as appears from these exx., is regular in this sense, but the active also occurs:
 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi 0 \mu \nu v ́ \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ immediately follows $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi 6 \mu \nu \nu \sigma \theta a \iota$. [Distinguish from this use $\epsilon \xi=\mu \delta \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i a \nu$, eiurare legationem, 'to decline an embassy on a sworn plea that one has not the means, health, etc. to perform it,' Dem. F.L. §§ 122, 172, and $\epsilon \xi \% \mu \nu v_{v}{ }^{2}$, 'to put in such a plea on behalf of another,' Ibid. § 124 є $\xi \xi \mu \mu \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu$
 companying the oath, such as is described e.g. in Antiph. De Caed. Herod. § $12 \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \tau \mu e ́ v o u s ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma \phi a \gamma i \omega \nu$ катацартvןєîv, 'with hand laid upon the sacrifice' (Jebb), Dem. c. Aristocr. §§ 67,68 (of the accuser before the Areopagus) $\delta \iota \rho \mu \epsilon i \tau a l \ldots \sigma \tau \dot{a} s ~ \epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \eta \boldsymbol{\tau} \in$ v́rounc $_{\boldsymbol{v}}$ av่тov́s] In respect of the preliminaries to the actual trial, $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon v_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ is said (a) of summoning in the presence of $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \eta \rho \rho \epsilon$, i.e. witnesses to the proper service of the summons, Dem. De Cor. § 150 Tís $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$; (b) to act as $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho$. In what sense is it said (as here) of recalcitrant witnesses at the actual trial (see previous note)? It seems to be generally understood as 'to formally summon to depose,' in other words, to


 clearly said of witnesses who refuse to do either. The definitions of $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ in this connexion, and its precise relation to $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta$ revélv, are unfortunately not clear enough to remove all doubt about the procedure. Pollux (8. 37) says: $\tau \delta \nu \bar{\nu} \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\beta o u \lambda 6 \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$







 would appear that $\epsilon_{\kappa \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon v \in \sigma \theta a \iota}$ was equivalent to tò $\chi$ đ $\lambda$ las
 $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{\alpha}$ dंтогíбat $\tau \hat{\psi} \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma(\varphi)$. If then we are justified in inferring from Harpocr. that $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \tau \in \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ were said indifferently of the same thing, $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ here will mean: 'we shall set in motion against them the recognized machinery for punishing contumacy,' i.e. compel them to pay the prescribed fine of 1000 drachmae. Otherwise it seems necessary to read éкк入ךтєи́боцєン, with Dobree. [So, in substance, van Es, who says: testes $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon e^{\prime} o v r a l$, i.e. citantur ad testimonium dicendum aut eierandum...cum Lycurgus autem iudices oraverat testes iubere dicere aut eicrare, nihil reliquum erat, si horum neutrum facerent, nisi eos éкклๆтev́єıv, quare omnino probanda est Dobraei

c. 8. $\$ \S 21-27$. When the falsity of his tale was exposed, Leocrates in alarm quitted Rhodes for Megara, and lived there for more than five years under a Megarian patron. How completely he had condemned himself to perpetual exile is shown by the arrangements he made for the disposal of his property. ani siaves at Athens, for which I shall produce evidence. Worst of all, however, he transported the sacred things of his country
from their consecrated soil and made them to share his exile. All this he aggravated by engaging in the export of corn to foreign places, an act forbidden an Athenian under the most severe penalties. Will you not then condemn him?
 and vessels were keeping arriving': note the tenses.
$\phi \circ \beta \eta \eta_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{s}]$ 'taking fright': Goodwin, §55.
 the practice at Athens whereby a resident alien ( $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ тoוkos) was required to choose a citizen as his $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta s$ (cf. patronus), who represented him in the courts and otherwise looked after his interests, appears to have been customary in other states. The $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ оוкos was technically said $\nu \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a \dot{\tau} \eta \nu \quad$ (whence Es would read $\nu \epsilon \in \mu \omega \nu$ here, but $\pi \rho$. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ was also said, Rehd., p. 134): his state was also described as $\epsilon \pi i \pi \pi \rho o \sigma \tau d \dot{\sigma} o v$ oikeiv: cf. infra
 థ゙кєє.
 ai $\sigma \chi v \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} 0$ s.
 country that brought him up': $\epsilon \nu \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \delta \nu \omega \nu$, sc. $\chi \omega \rho \rho g$ or olkocs, but the phrase, like $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \delta \nu \omega \nu$, which some read here, has come to be virtually equivalent to $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \lambda a s$ or $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma l o \nu$. Cf. Luc. Philops. 25.
§ 22. kal ov̋tws...фvүๆ́v] Cf. Lysias, In Alcib. I. [or. xıv]

 モ̇autoû.
evtcivecv] in relation to L., from Megara; in relation to the speaker, from Athens. $\epsilon_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \hat{\mathrm{v}} \theta \epsilon \nu$ might mean either, the first being the more likely.

тòv...' ${ }^{\prime}$ Хогтa] 'him who had to wife,' a common idiomatic


$\tau \omega ิ \nu \phi[\lambda \omega v]$ partitive gen.
'ヨıтетaióva] 'of Xypete,' a deme of the tribe Cecropis, W. ot Athens.

тоv̂ $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \circ \hat{]}]$ 'his brother-in-law': the word means 'a connexion by marriage' (Lat. afinis) and takes its colour from the context.


àmò тov́тov] 'from' or 'with' this money, sc. тa入ávtov.

 creditors what was owing to them.'
 (L.S. s.v. $\delta \iota a \phi \hat{\ell} \rho \omega)$.- ${ }^{\prime} \rho a \nu 0 s$ seems capable of the following meanings: (a) 'a meal to which each contributes his share,'
 (b) 'a subscription,' for whatever purpose, and especially (c) 'a contribution' made by friends to assist a person in difficulties, 'a friendly loan' (Antiph. Tetr. A. $\beta$. § 9 épavov $\pi a \rho d े ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \phi(\lambda \omega \nu$ $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \epsilon \xi \xi a s)$, which was, however, recoverable at law (Wyse, Isaeus XI. 43) : this seems to be the meaning here; ( $d$ ) figuratively, a 'contribution' or 'offering' to a cause: Thuc. II. 43 к $\alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu . .$.
 lives' (Jebb) ; (e) a 'society' or 'club' for social purposes or for mutual relief: such associations gradually acquired a political character and influence, somewhat like the Roman sodalicia and collegia.

тò $\lambda_{0}$ ormóv] 'the balance.'
§23. 'AXapvei] ' of Acharnae,' one of the best-known Attic demes.
ápyúpıov $\delta \mathbf{E} . .$. . 0 ôval] ' not being able to pay cash.'
$\boldsymbol{\sigma v v \theta \eta ́ к a s . . . ~} \Lambda v \sigma \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon i]$ 'having arranged a bond and deposited it with L.,' who presumably was a banker ( $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \zeta i \tau \eta \zeta$ ): cf.
 $\theta \epsilon \in \mu \varepsilon \theta a$ тàs $\sigma v \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha$ s.

this，if calculated in the ordinary way as so much per mina per month，works out at $2 \frac{6}{7}$ per cent．per month or $34 \frac{2}{7}$ per cent． per annum，which strikes us as an extraordinarily high rate， especially in a transaction between relatives．The text is generally suspected，and Mätzner＇s（Rehd．）$\dot{\eta} \mu \nu \nu \alpha a i o \nu$ for $\mu l a \nu \mu \nu a ̂ \nu$ seems
 represent about 17 per cent．Other suggestions are：$\mu i a \nu$ $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu \dot{a} \mu \nu a ̂ \nu$ Meier，$\delta \rho a \chi \mu \eta ̀ \nu \tau \eta ̂ s \mu \nu a ̂ s$ Es，$\mu i a \nu \tau \eta ̂ s \mu \nu a ̂ s ?$ Blass．［Common rates of interest among the Greeks were 12 p．c． and 18 p．c．per annum（ $\epsilon \pi l \delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\eta}$ ，$\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} a \dot{b} \beta \circ \lambda o i ̂ s$ ，respectively， on the per mina per month basis），and the former was considered low］．

入óyov］＇an idle tale＇：Dem．Lept．§ 92 Z $\boldsymbol{v}^{\text {＇}}$ oûv $\mu \grave{\eta}$ 入óyov $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$

àvaүvต́тeтal］Cf．supra §ign．
$\pi а \rho \epsilon เ х о ́ \mu \eta \nu]$ sc．$\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \tau v \rho a$ ，as a witness．－$v v v \ell=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta ̊ \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \rho$ $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \varepsilon$ ．－$\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} v$ ：ethic dative，or dative of the person interested in the action，common in calling upon witnesses or asking for documents to be read：cf．the familiar кal $\mu \circ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$（ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$ ， $\dot{a} \nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota) ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a \nu$ ，and infra §114 $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ aủtoîs тò $\psi \gamma \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ ，etc．－ка入 $\hat{\omega}$ ：future．
§ 24． $\mathbf{a}^{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda a \beta_{\epsilon}$ ］＇duly received，＇of payment to which a person is entitled，as dंmoঠoûvat is＇duly pay＇（cf．supra § $20 n$ ．）：Xen．
 ä $\pi \epsilon \in \lambda a \beta o \nu$ ả è $̀ a ́ v \epsilon \iota \sigma a \nu$.
$\Phi \iota \lambda_{o ́ \mu \eta \lambda o s . . . M e v e \lambda a o s] ~ t w o ~ o f ~ L . ' s ~ c r e d i t o r s .-X o \lambda a p y є u ́ s: ~}^{\text {．}}$ ＇of Cholargus，＇a deme of the Acamantid tribe．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \sigma \beta \in \boldsymbol{v} \sigma a s$ ws $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in e^{\alpha}$ ：the occasion of the embassy is uncertain：some
 $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ к．т．入．，but this is merely a recommendation．

ті̀v T．］sc．$\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i a \nu$.
 ceive a hatred of＇：for the force of the aorists，cf．Goodwin， §55．－тourovl $\Lambda$ ．：ovicoal usually follows its subst．，but some－ times precedes it，as here．
 with removing，＇etc．：Baiter and Sauppe point out that even where $\mu \delta \nu$ р precedes $\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}(\epsilon \xi \xi \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath})$ ，it is to be joined with the infin．rather than with the impers．verb（Rehd．，App．2，p．134）．－ vireк日ध์ 0 at ：technical of removal from the＇danger zone＇in the case of hostile invasion：cf．infra § 53 é $\chi o \nu \tau o s \delta^{\prime}$ aitià toùs


 of his family，which，in accordance with your settled practice and hereditary usage，his forefathers bequeathed to him as a permanent trust＇（ijovgáacעou，lit．＇having established，＇＇set up，＇ with the intention that they should remain there in perpetuity－ that they should not be＇moved＇from their place（ $\kappa \iota \nu \eta \sigma a s$ infra）．－iєpá（with $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \tau o$ and $\epsilon \xi \xi \gamma \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ）must mean something concrete，＇sacred images＇：cf．supra § 20 入aßbvтas $\tau \dot{a}$
 ＇belonging to＇or＇derived from＇one＇s father）（one＇s fathers： paternus）（patrius，v．L．S．s．v．$\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\rho} \frac{o s}{}$ ；and the distinction seems applicable here，where $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi a \tau \rho \hat{\mu} a$ has reference to L．＇s
 sense．But it is doubtful whether any of the canons which have been laid down regarding $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{̣} о s, \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota o s, \pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s ~ i s ~ o f ~$ universal application：the first two especially are sometimes hard to separate．Bekker，Anec．I．p． 297 （quoted by Sandys on Isocr．Ad Dem．§ 2）lays it down：$\pi a r \rho \hat{\varphi} a$ 入érovaıv oi $\rho \dot{\eta} r o \rho \epsilon s$


$\mu є \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a \tau о$ єis M．］＇sent for them（and had them brought） to M．＇：a＇pregnant＇const．
 pellation of＇family images，＇＂i．e．the sanctity implied in their very name ：$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \rho \not \subset \omega \nu \quad i \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ is a gen．defining $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \nu \nu \mu i a \nu$. － ӧт introduces the motive for his fear：＇in that，＇etc．
$\kappa เ \nu \eta j \sigma a s]$ The verb is specially said of＇removing from its
place,' 'tampering with,' anything sacred: cf. Her. vi. 134 (of

 Athenians using the sacred water at Delium), It. 24 (of applying a special reserve of money to other than the original purpose).
 (Rehd.), brings the three infins. into line (all passive), though
 soil.'
 sanctioned by custom in the Megarian community.' $\delta \theta \nu$ eios, rather a rare word)(oikeios: cf. Harpocr. s.v.: 'I $\sigma$ aios èv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$




§26. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ 'A是vẵ] depending upon $\dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu o \nu$ following. For the reading, see Crit. App.
 the country as her portion': $\lambda a \gamma \chi^{d}{ }^{\nu} \omega$ is thus used, esp. in the perf., of the tutelary deity of a place: cf. Her. vii. 53 $\theta \in 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota$
 $\pi 6 \lambda \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \lambda a \chi \epsilon$ (of Athena). Here, and in other passages relating to Athena, there may also be a suggestion of the traditional contest between the goddess and Poseidon for the possession of the Acropolis (Her. vili. 55).
$\delta \mu \omega v v \mu \mathrm{ov}]$ From another point of view, A. was the 'eponymous' goddess of Athens: cf. the 'eponymous heroes' and

 here, of a purpose that was to hold good for all time: Goodwin, §318.

тò кat' éavtóv] Cf. supra § 17 тò ка日' av̉тòv $\mu$ épos $n$.
 one of your articles of export': $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, which it is difficult to give
force to in translating, may be described either as an ethic dat. or as a dativus incommodi.- $\tau \grave{\eta} v \pi a \rho d ~ \tau \omega ิ v ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu ~ \beta$.: cf. supra


тобаขิтa каl тๆ入ıкаиิтa] cf. supra §2 $n$.
d́doppû] 'as his working capital': cf. Dem. Pro Phorm.
 'any private capital at the bank.'
K $\lambda$ єотdípas] Sister of Alexander the Great, and wife of Alexander of Epirus, who was also her maternal uncle. It was at her marriage that Philip was murdered ( 336 в.с.). During the absence of her husband on his campaigns in Italy, she apparently acted as regent.
पevódóa] Leucas was an island (since the time of the Cypselids, c. $6_{2}$ b.c.), originally a peninsula, off Acarnania in N.W. Greece (now S. Maura).

 Public Economy of Athens, p. 81, was dependent upon sea-borne corn to the extent of at least a third of her consumption, it was natural not only that the exportation of corn from Attica should be forbidden, but that stringent supervision should be exercised over the sale and distribution of what was imported. This was managed by a board of fifteen $\sigma \iota \tau o \phi$ ódakes, five of whom seem to have been charged with the duty of keeping a register of the imports of corn at the Piraeus (Dem. Lept. $\AA^{2} 3^{2} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa ~ T \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho d े ~ r o i ̂ s ~$

 that half the amount came from the coasts of the Pontus), De Cor. §87. Rehdantz remarks that jurists must decide whether these corn-laws, the breach of which was subject to the special process known as $\phi \dot{d} \sigma$ ss, held good for L. at Megara.
èrevra] 'then,' 'after all this,' characteristically (cf. eita) introducing a question at the end of an argument which is thought to make the answer self-evident : cf. infra $\$ \S \mathrm{II}_{5}, \mathrm{I} 2 \mathrm{I}, 148$.

¿ap'] ápa denotes 'subjective consequence' (Madvig, §257. c) : 'it follows that,' 'well then.'
c. 9. $\S \S 28-30$. To show you the fairness of $m y$ procedure, $I$ challenged the defendant to allow his slaves to be torturedone of the fairest and most reliable means of ascertaining the truth in a case of this kind. Leocrates, however, convicted by his own conscience, declined the challenge, and stands selfcondemned by his refusal.
§28. кal tav̂тa $\delta \in \in \ldots$..tُ $\mu \mathrm{v}$ ] ' and this action too on my part,' etc.: for $\kappa a l . . . \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ used for emphasis and enclosing, as here, the
 'and you will be able to act too,' Phil. III. § 7o érò $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta l$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega}$, кal $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi \omega \delta \epsilon$, 'and, what is more, I'll move': Madvig, § 229. $a$, ' kal being both and and also, the Greek was obliged to have recourse to $\delta \varepsilon$ to express and also....In Attic, the word that has the emphasis comes between.'-тav̂тa: here prospective, referring to the account of the challenge which follows (so often éxeivo). $\epsilon \mu \mathrm{v}$, with rav̂ta: this use of the gen. $=$ ' in me (you, etc.),' 'on my (your, etc.) part,' is very idiomatic of something that one praises, blames or wonders at on the part of another: cf.


 nominal subject or object is replaced by a sentence, as in Xen.

 first thing he considered in (about) them was, whether' etc.
kal тoùs $\mu \alpha$ 'prupas к.т.入.] 'and that the witnesses should submit to a test of veracity before, and not after, they give their evidence in court. Now I made them (aúroús, 'the opposite side') a challenge, in writing, referring to all these points, and claiming to put the defendant's slaves to the torture.'-The evidence of slaves under torture was considered to be (or rather, perhaps, was made out to be-see infra) of great value in Greek law-courts; and it was customary for a litigant to challenge the
other side to allow his slaves to be tortured, or to offer his own slaves. Such challenge was made in the presence of witnesses, and frequently in writing ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi a s$ ). The challenger was said
 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu o \nu ;$ to decline it was $\phi \in \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$., $\tau \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\beta}$., $\tau \delta \nu \bar{\nu} \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu$; to offer one's slaves for torture, $\delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a \iota$, тара $\delta \delta \delta \partial \nu \alpha \iota, ~ e i s ~ \beta . ;$ to call for the other's slaves, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha u \tau \epsilon i v ; ~ t o ~$ comply with the demand, $\epsilon \kappa \delta \delta \delta \delta \nu a t$; to have slaves so given up, $\pi а \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota$. When the speaker says that 'the witnesses should submit to a test of veracity before, and not after, giving evidence,' he means that they should come into court with their evidence supported by that of slaves previously obtained under torture. In that case they might be regarded as having already passed the test of veracity ( $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa 6$ тas) : otherwise such test would be merely prospective ( $\delta \omega \sigma \sigma v \tau a s)$-in the shape of a possible trial for perjury ( $\psi \in v \delta o \mu a \rho \tau v \rho t \omega ̂ y$ ). For a close parallel to the

 [the facts in dispute], І̀va $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ aúroîs [the witnesses] $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \dot{\eta \tau \varepsilon}$


 ouvet $\delta 6 \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$, and the whole section $\$ \S 10-13$ of Isaeus with $\$ 8$ 28-30
 internal acc. with $\pi \rho o u ́ \kappa$., though partly also with $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi a s: c f$.
 бavтo кal. '̨ $\mathbf{\omega}$ тoúrovs.-avizov́s, which in its context would most naturally be referred to $\tau$ oùs $\mu$ á $\rho \tau v \rho a s$ preceding (but $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \alpha \lambda \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ is not said of witnesses), must mean generally 'the defence,' 'the opposite side' (L. and his slaves-Rehd., Sofer). [Dobree's $\alpha \dot{u} \sigma \delta \nu$, which is attractive and would seem to mend matters, is difficult with toútov following.]

 бuveเóótas $n$.
§29. áкоv́єтє] The pres. is so used, in reference to a document, decree, etc. which has just been read, with the force of a perf., as we too may say, 'Gentlemen, you hear (have heard) the evidence': so infra $\$ 37$, 115, 121.
 decline...than he bore witness against himself,' i.e. by declining... he bore witness, etc.: cum noluit, se damnavit. Cf. infra §50,

 ('dominion') was the $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \dot{\eta}$ ('beginning') of troubles for the Greeks.'
 test afforded by the examination of his accomplices has admitted
 technical in this connexion: cf. supra § 28 n., Antiph. De Chor.


 see Crit. App.
 is no doubt 'most in keeping with the spirit of democracy,' which to the Athenian was the ideal government: $\delta \eta \mu o \tau \iota \kappa 6 s^{-}$ $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \eta \mu o \kappa \rho a \tau i q$, says schol. on Aeschin. Ctes. § 169. Thuc. (vi. 28) (of Alcibiades) speaks of $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \nu$ aúrov̂...ov́ ঠ$\eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$ тapavoplav, 'his general contempt for the law, so opposed to the spirit of democracy' (Dale), and Dem. (De Cor.
 Drake suggests 'a friend of the democracy,' 'a lover of equality.'
 eiòeval, 'are in possession of the requisite knowledge' (for
 hendiadys, 'to examine them by torture.'-тoîs Épyots...toís
 antithesis, roîs $\check{\epsilon}$ procs again referring to the evidence of slaves as
something that has been established＇by deeds＇（i．e．by the physical test of torture），whereas that of free witnesses is substantiated only＇by words＇（roîs $\lambda$ byoss）．－For similar com－ monplaces on the value of torture，cf．esp．Isaeus，viil． $\mathrm{§}_{12}$ （already referred to），where the speaker asserts that，while free witnesses have been known to give false evidence，T⿳⺈⿵⺆一 $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma \alpha-$

 Isaeus passage），Isocr．Trapez．§54．Against these appraisements of the orators must be set the following practical considerations ：－ （a）The evidence of a slave so obtained was not necessarily good， as the slave，in such circumstances，unless unusually obstinate and unless the fear of what might happen to himself afterwards at the hands of his master outweighed the physical pain of the moment，would give the answers which he saw his torturers desired（cf．the instructive passage in Antiph．De Caed．Herod． $\S \$ 31,32)$ ；（b）the cases where we hear of the torture being actually applied are negligible compared with the challenges： this would seem to argue a mistrust，on the part of Athenian juries，of evidence obtained by the rack；（c）slaves could not be tortured except with their owner＇s consent and on the conditions which he chose to prescribe，a circumstance which no doubt suggested to a litigant as his proper cue the formulating of such conditions as would almost certainly be refused，and then quoting such refusal as an a priori weakening of his opponent＇s case at the actual trial．We may therefore conclude that ＇challenges were not serious attempts to reach a settlement， but were designed to influence the dicasts．The aim of a challenger was to construct such a proposal as would be refused， in order to be able to denounce his opponent in court for concealing the truth from fear of revelations；the opponent sought to turn the tables by an inconvenient counter－challenge， and both sides recited to the judges commonplaces on the use of torture as an instrument to elicit truth．＇（Wyse，Companion to Greek Studies，§42 I．）
 abest, ut faciam, ut' (Sofer).

тois tioiols kıvסúvoıs] 'at my own personal risk,' because the challenger, apparently, had to indemnify the owner of the slaves for any injury they might sustain through the torture: cf.


 the means of discovering the truth) should consist in (should be furnished by) the torture of L.'s slaves': they were to be the instruments by which the truth was to be ascertained: for this



 $\sigma \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma l$ : the slaves, though of different genders, are grouped together in the masc. as a single idea: cf. roútous of the preceding section.

סıà тò ovvęర́̂vai éavtథ̂] practically 'because of his guilty conscience': usually $\sigma u ́ v o o \delta a$ has a supplementary participle either in nom. or dat.: Plat. Apol. 2 I в $\sigma . \epsilon \mu a v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ бофф̀s ${ }^{\mu} \nu$,




$\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma_{\epsilon \nu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu . . . \kappa a \tau \epsilon \psi \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau 0\right]$ ' would far more readily have denied some of the facts than invented a false tale to the prejudice of their own master,' and so he should have had all the less reason for refusing the challenge. The slaves would be deterred from the latter course by the damage it would do to their prospects of freedom: cf. Antiph. De Caed. Herod. §§ 31, 32.
c. 10. $\S 3_{3}^{1-35 .}$ Leocrates will exclaim that he is an amateur who is being swept off his feet by the cleverness of the professional speaker; yet with strange inconsistency he has elected to come before a court which is liable to be influenced by the
tricks of. rhetoric rather than give up his slaves, who would have been proof against such devices. His reason can only be that he is afraid lest the convicters and the convicted be forthcoming from the same house. If he admits the truth of the indictment, he must be punished; if he denies it, why does he refuse to surrender his slaves? His rejection of a fair offer is tantamount to a confession of guilt.
 all this": cf. infra § 56.
 that he is a mere layman, and that he is being swept off his feet by the cleverness of the professional speaker and vexatious prosecutor.'-iठเш́тךs: here, as often, of one who has no professional knoweledge, 'a layman' as we say)( $\rho \eta \gamma \omega \rho$, a 'professional'
 é $\chi$ ovoı кal $\lambda l a \nu$ à $\pi \eta \kappa \rho \iota \beta \omega \mu \notin \nu o \iota s$, 'speeches which are too highly elaborated and beyond the range of ordinary hearers,' Thuc. II.


 professional speaker'-and the word has perhaps the slightly unfavourable sense which is attached to it at the three places where it occurs in Thuc. (III. 40, vi. 29, vili. 1), and freq. in Isocr., e.g. Panath. § 12 , De Pace § 129 , though in these passages the reference is mainly to the regular speakers in the
 'cleverness' in an orator, 'rhetorical skill': Thuc. ill. 37


 [Mid. §§ 120,124 ; [Dem.] Phil. IV. § 18] in the sense of being 'carried off by force' (before a magistrate, to prison, etc., rapi in ius), and it may possibly partake of this meaning here: probably, however, the sense is more general, 'that he is being annihilated': cf. Aeschin. Ctes. $\S 133$ (of Thebes) $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta s \tau \hat{\eta} s$
＇Eג入áסos àvท́pтastat，＇has been extirpated，＇＇blotted out，＇de medio sublata．

бuкофаขтєîv］Cf．supra § Iз каl тоîs $\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa о v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \eta ̈ к \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v к о-~$ фаутєî̀ $n$ ．
 of the $\sigma v к о ф a ́ y \tau \eta \mathrm{~s})$ ，to seek，＇etc．
$X \omega p[a]$ in the rhetorical sense，＇themes，＇＇topics，＇i．q．$\tau 6 \pi \sigma \iota$ ， Lat．loci，somewhat as at Thuc．I． 97 тoîs $\pi \rho \delta \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon}^{2} 0 \hat{v}$ ä $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$

év ois．．．тоเท่ซovтal］final，＇in which they can practise．＇－ тара入oүเซ $\mu$ ои́s：＇false reasonings，＇＇quibbles，＇divided by Aris－
 （material）：Soph．Elench． 4.9 sqq．
 $\tau o \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \hat{\nu}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, and，passively，ó $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \grave{\omega}$ à $\gamma \omega \dot{\nu}$ ， supra §7．
taîs apais］＇the curses，＇such as the herald recited against traitors and corrupt advisers before sittings of the Assembly： cf．Dem．F．L．$\S 870$ ，201，etc．

тov́roıs］neuter，acc．to Rehd．，but the masc．（sc．Toîs $\delta \in \iota \nu o i ̂ s$ кai $\sigma \cup к о ф$ ．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho 0 \hat{\sigma} \iota \iota$ ）is certainly defensible．

## 

832．Tap’ ن̊ $\mu \hat{v}$ av́roîs］apud vosmet ipsos：iudicantis．
тivas áठúvacov $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ ］The impf．is probably potential in force： ＇whom would it have been impossible ？，＇＇who might have been expected to be proof against being misled ？＇：Goodwin，§4i6．

тaîs mapaoкєvaîs тaîs тov̂ $\lambda$ óyov］＇the tricks of speech＇： cf．supra § 20 тàs $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ̀ s ~ т \omega ̂ \nu ~ к р \iota \nu о \mu e ́ v \omega \nu \nu ~ n ., ~ D e m . ~ M i d . ~$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a \lambda \notin \gamma \omega \nu \nu v \nu$ ．
 told the truth．＇

тapaסీov̂vai éфvүє］＇shrank from surrendering＇：cf．Antiph．I． § 13 モौфєvरov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu \pi v \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \ell$ ，Plat．


Ant． 263 є＇фєuyє $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime}$ eliotval，＇denied knowledge of the deed．＇－
 another＇s＇：concessive．Cf．supra § І 2 каi таv̂та кá入入ıбтоу



 Leoch．［or．xLiv］§ 63 тaîs кo入aкelass ol $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o t ~ \psi v \chi a \gamma \omega \gamma o v ́-~$ $\mu \in \nu o l . . . \pi o \iota \eta \tau o v ̀ s ~ v i \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \pi o \iota o v ̂ v \tau a l$ ．In a rather different application， rhetoric is defined by Plato（Phaedr．261 A， 27 II ）as a $\psi v \chi a \gamma \omega \gamma i a, \quad$＇$a$ winning of men＇s souls，＇＇persuasion．＇



 vंypor，＇his good humour，＇＇complaisance．＇


évtav̂日a］with $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \lambda v \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，＇here，＇＇to this court，＇$\epsilon$ ls Tov̀s $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau a ́ s . ~$ For $\epsilon^{\ell} \nu \tau a \hat{v} \theta a$ used where motion is implied，cf．Her．v． 72 ov̉
 Plat．Theaet． 187 B $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a v ̂ \theta a \pi \rho o \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda v \theta a s$.
 $a \lambda \lambda_{0} \hat{\eta}$ ，from which it does not appear to differ in meaning： ＇simply and solely because he feared．＇Lyc．seems to affect

 usually emend．
éx $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ av่тท̂s oiklas］sc．from his own．

 For $\pi \rho b \phi a \sigma t s$ ，cf．supra $\S 6 n$ ．The first and the third are

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ aútê；The combination of the three，as Rehd．
remarks, is probably intended to lead up to the triple-headed asyndeton immediately following.
 elevated style, and its 'gnomic' character ( $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$, 'maxim,'
 indicates the character of the speaker) (Rehd. ad loc.). We may compare generally the famous passage of Eur. Phoen. 11. 469 sqq.
 кои̉ $\pi о \kappa \kappa i \lambda \omega \nu$ סєє̂ $\tau \alpha ̆ \nu \delta \iota \chi$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ̈ \tau \omega \nu$.
and Cicero, De Off. 1. I3 quod verum est, idem simplex est.
§34. ठ̈бıa] stronger than סiккıu: Lyc. applies the standard of fas, he is not content merely with ius.
 е̇тırıцїos.
 to the particular case of L .

тòv $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho \pi \rho$. кıvסvvev́ovea] 'a man who is on his trial for
 sentence, cf. periculum, O.E. danger.-For $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \rho o \delta o \sigma l a s, \mathrm{cf}$. supra § 7 vinèp oû... $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \eta ̂ \phi o \nu \phi \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ n.
 tortured': Goodwin, § 770.
§35. катацєцартир ®кш́s] concessive. $_{\text {3 }}$
kal $\pi \omega \hat{s}$ ] introducing an objection, with a suggestion of incredulity or absurdity: cf. Soph. O.T. ro19 кal $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s ó фúvas $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered}$ ไัov тथ̂ $\mu \eta \delta \in \nu \iota$;
 himself of the privilege of defence by declining a fair offer, as well as by many other means': cf. Dem. F.L. § 220 кal $\mu \delta \nu^{2}$ о oủ $\tau \eta ̀ \nu$ 'A $\Delta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu \nu ~} \dot{v} \mu \omega ิ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \grave{\eta} \rho \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, 'have all but robbed you of A.'一то仑ิтov: for the resumptive pronoun, rather a favourite const. with Lyc., cf. infra $\$ \S 46,82,93$, etc.-vimép : cf. supra §8 7, 9 .
c. 11. $\S \S 36-45$. The desertion of Leocrates was aggravated by the pitiable plight of. Athens after Chaeronea-Athens, once
the arbitress of Greece, 'now none so poor to do her reverence.' But the defendant shirked personal service at a crisis when even the dead might be said to be contributing to the defence of the city: did not even help to bury the men who fell at Chaeronea. Who then would acquit him?

 that a definite stage in the argument has been concluded, and that fresh ground is to be broken. The speaker assumes the fact of L.'s offence to have been established: he now proceeds, with a good deal of a0̋乡ךбוs ('amplification') and $\delta \epsilon \ell \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$ ('rhetorical heightening'), and by numerous digressions (тарєк$\beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon t s)$ covering a wide field (ancient history, ancestral usage, legend, the poets, Sparta, etc.), to emphasise the seriousness of the offence and to marshal an array of precedents for its condign punishment.
 stronger than $\delta \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \in i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota$.
$\left.\mu \epsilon \mu a \eta_{\eta} \kappa \dot{v} v a l\right]$ 'that you have been instructed': $\mu a \nu \theta d \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{a}$ acts as pass. of $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$.
 you) of the gravity of the crisis and the magnitude of the perils which beset the city when L. deserted it': the stress, as often, falls on the ptcp., which is impf. in tense. The trans. offered does not fully represent $\pi \rho \circ \delta \epsilon \delta \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$, which combines both past


 $\gamma(\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$ aliquid temporis postulat,' Schoemann (Isaeus, p. 236).
' $\mathbf{Y} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon($ © $\delta \mathbf{o v}]$ Hyperides, 'the Sheridan of Athens' (Jebb), was a contemporary of Lycurgus and Demosthenes, and a vigorous supporter of the latter's anti-Macedonian policy both before and after Chaeronea. After the death of Alexander, he was closely concerned with the so-called Lamian War, and pronounced the funeral oration (of which considerable fragments
survive) on the general Leosthenes and the Athenians who fell with him. When Antipater (after the battle of Crannon) demanded the surrender of the leaders of the war party, Hyperides fled, but was captured and put to death, 322 b.c. Six of his speeches (including the Funeral Speech above mentioned), mostly in fragments (that For Euxenippus entire and that Against Athenogenes nearly so), have been discovered among Egyptian papyri at various times from 1847 onwards.

т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ ßoviǹv $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ovs $\pi$.] the language is official, and also dis-

 $\tau \hat{\psi}$ киа́ $\mu \varphi$. For the apposition, cf. Lysias c. Agorat. § $35 \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \varphi \in \notin \nu \delta \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda$ loıs, etc.

катаßаiveเv] i.e. from $\dot{\eta} a ̈ \nu \omega \pi \delta \lambda ı s$ ( $\tilde{\sigma} \tau v)$ : cf. supra § i8 $\tau \grave{o}$

 official bodies, éкк入ך §єб $\theta a \iota$, 'transact business to one's profit,' 'make money.'
 deemed advantageous,' etc. : the editors compare with this (no doubt a quotation from the actual $\left.\psi \eta^{\prime} \phi \iota \sigma \mu\right)$, the terms of the Roman senatus consultum ultimum, 'videant consules, ne quid
 Hesych., habitu militari, practically $=\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 i ̂{ }^{\circ} \delta \pi \lambda o t s$ : Aeschines

of áфєццévol тоv̂ oтp.] Senators, and probably other officials, were excused from military service during their term of office.
$\mu เ \kappa p o l ~ k a l ~ o i ~ \tau U \chi o ́ v \tau \epsilon s] ~ ' s l i g h t ~ o r ~ o r d i n a r y ' ~ i s ~ o u r ~ i d i o m ~: ~ f o r ~$ the Gk. usage, cf. $\chi \theta$ ès $\kappa a l$. $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\eta} \nu$, 'yesterday or the day before,'
 For of $\tau v \chi$ bע $\bar{\epsilon}$, 'ordinary,' 'such as may happen to any one,' cf. infra § $62 \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau v \chi \dot{\partial \nu \tau \omega \nu}$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$, Aeschin. Ctes. § 250

§ 38. '̇v ols] sc. $\phi 6 \beta$ ois, 'Yet it was then,' we should say.
 'had them brought.'—< $<\boldsymbol{\alpha}>$ iep $\dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi a \tau \rho \varphi ิ \alpha$, cf. supra § $25 n$. катג' тウ̀v тov́тоv $\pi \rho o a l \rho \epsilon \sigma เ \nu]$ ' if L. had had his way,' lit. 'according to his deliberate purpose': $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ is the characteristic of moral action in Aristotle's Ethics.
 supra $\S \S \mathrm{I}, 25$; infra $\S \mathrm{I}_{43}, 143,147$ ): cf. the interchange of joveîs and $\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu}$ éas, supra $\S 15 n$. The more archaic form heightens the effect of a passage marked by $\delta \in i \nu \omega \sigma \omega$ : see also Crit. App.
 defenceless' we may render, but the Gk. really is, 'the defence (or rather 'defence forces') of the walls would have been left
 paisy $n$.
 action: 'would have been abandoned' (and so remained).
 $\S \mathrm{I}_{4} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta \rho \omega \nu \tau 0 i \hat{s} \epsilon \pi \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} n$. For the sentiment, cf. [Lysias] Epitaph. §40 (of Athens before Salamis) $\tau i s$
 à $\nu$ є̇ठ́ккрибеv;
ėठvvท่ $\theta \eta$ ãv...vino
 the text is probably sound: Blass (with Corais) reads ö $\sigma \tau \iota s \not a_{\nu} \partial \ddot{a} \tau$.

$\tau \hat{\varphi}<\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\varphi}>$ ] with $\tau \delta \gamma \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu \dot{s} s \pi d \theta o s$. For the arrangement of the words, probably due to a desire to avoid the cacophony $\tau \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\varphi}<\sigma .>\gamma$. r., see Kühner, Gr. Gram. §464. 8.-See Crit. App.
 tension in view of what had happened': for $\delta \rho \theta \eta=s p e$ or metu erecta, cf. Isocr. Philip. § $7 \circ \tau \eta \grave{\nu}{ }^{\prime} E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \delta \rho \theta \grave{\eta} \nu$ ov̂ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ (of
 airı $\omega \hat{\nu}$ (of alarm): so Livy, I. 25 erecti suspensique in minime gratum spectaculum animo incenduntur.
 service at Athens extended from $18-60$, the first two years ( $18-20$ ) of which period were spent in service in Attica: from 20-50 a citizen was liable to service outside it. Men above 50 would be a last line of reserves, charged with the defence of the walls in cases of extreme emergency.
§40. ópâv $\delta^{\prime}$ ग̉v] licuit videre, 'one might have seen.'
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \phi o ́ \beta o v s$ катєпт $\eta$ Хulas к.т.入.] 'crouching in terror and asking, Is he alive?-one for a husband,' etc. : the edd. com-


 (of Rome after Trasimene) matronae vagae per vias, quae repente clades allata quaeve fortuna exercitus esset, obvios percunctantur, etc.
 cause it was unusual for Athenian women to be seen in public, esp. in such a plight : among the substitutes suggested are $\delta \delta v p o-$ $\mu \not ́ v a s ~ O r e l l i, ~ p ̀ \omega o \mu e ́ v a s ? ~ S c h e i b e, ~ \grave{p v o \mu e ́ v a s ~ R e h d a n t z . ~}$

тaîs $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \iota k l a ı s]$ a defining dative, cf. maiores natu. ai $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa l a \iota$ signified the years embraced by the 'military age' at Athens (Harpocr.). [ tais $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa$ iacs is due to Suidas (Sch., Bl., Thalh.) and goes well with $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu: ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \grave{\gamma} \lambda \iota \kappa l a s ~ c o d d . ~(R e h d).] ~]$.
 threshold that leads from age to death' (Jebb).- $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \boldsymbol{\gamma \eta} p a o s ~ o u ́ \delta \dot{\varphi}$ is a Homeric phrase (Il. xxil. 6o, xxiv. 487 , Od. xv. 348), which is variously interpreted as 'on the path of old age' (oúobs $=\delta \delta \delta 5$, so Leaf on Il. xxiv. $4^{87}$ ), 'on the threshold of old age,' i.e. either (a) 'at the beginning' or (b) 'at the end' of old age (cf. schol. on 1l. xxil. 60 : $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \circ \hat{\imath} \gamma \eta \rho \rho \omega \mathrm{~s} \xi \xi \delta \delta \psi, \epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \rho \mu \mu \tau \iota$, Eustath. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \xi(\omega े \nu \kappa \alpha l ~ \pi \rho \partial े s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta a \nu a ́ \tau \varphi \omega \omega \nu)$, which last is undoubtedly the meaning here, as we say ' with one foot in the grave.' -



cloaks pinned about them double＇（predicativeadj．）．－The í $\mu$ átıov， which was the loose outer garment worn above the $\chi$ đ $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ or tunic，was ordinarily held together by the pressure of the arms （esp．the left upper arm）against the body；but it might also be fastened on one shoulder by a fibula $(\pi b \rho \pi \eta)$－the method of wearing which we might expect in old age．The pinning of it ＇double，＇and the consequent shortening of it，would permit a freer use of the limbs（cf．succinctus）．［From an interesting passage of Polyaenus，Strategemata，Iv．14，it would seem that the expression was used contemptuously of poor，or poorly equipped，fighters．The passage runs：＂Polysperchon，when the Peloponnesians were guarding the frontiers，encouraged his men thus．Donning an Arcadian cap（ $\pi \hat{i} \lambda o \nu$ ）and pinning a cloak about him double（ $\tau \rho \ell \beta \omega \nu a \delta \iota \pi \lambda o u ̂ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \rho \pi \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu o s)$ and taking a stick in his hand，he said：＇Fellow－soldiers，this is what the men who are going to fight us are like．＇Then discarding these things and assuming his full armour，he said：＇But those who are going to fight them are like this．．．．＇Whereupon the soldiers asked him to lead them to battle without delay．＂］－Өaiみárıa $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \varepsilon \pi$ ．：for the acc．induendi et exuendi，cf．Her．vil． 77 Mı入úal．．．él $\mu a \tau a$ éve－ $\pi \in \pi о р \pi є ́ є т о$.



 disfranchised，＇like the other measures，showed the gravity of the crisis：two other such occasions，at least，in Athenian history are cited by Andocides，De Myst．§ ro7（before Marathon），§80 （after Aegospotami）．
ös］emphatic，＇that people which．＇
av̉ชóx $\theta_{\infty \nu}$ ］The special boast of the Athenians：no eulogy of Athens is complete without the word：cf．the Eur．frag．quoted
 av̉rol àel，Ibid．6；Isocr．Panath．§ 124，Paneg．§ 24，etc．So also тa入aiұ $\theta \omega v$, Aeschin．Ctes．§ 190 （epigram）．
§42. ékéxpךтo] 'had experienced,' of good or ill fortune: cf. utor.
 ...she was now content,' etc. So also infra § I 15 , etc.
avic觡] referring to the collective $\pi b \lambda^{\prime} s$ preceding. [avi $\hat{\eta} s$ standing where it would is almost ruled out of court.]
vint̀p $\tau \hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s}$ i8Las] Philip, acc. to Dem. De Chers. §39, was
 on which it stood': cf. also xxvi. § п (quoted above) кal $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ s.
 general: specific occasions on which Athenian help was either asked or received were the traditional summoning of Tyrtaeus (cf. infra § 106) during the Second Messenian War, the Helot revolt of $464 \mathrm{B.c}$. (expedition of Cimon), and the latter part of the Theban hegemony (campaign of Mantinea, 362 B.c.).-oi $\tau \dot{\eta} v$ 'Agiav..."Eג入 $\eta$ ves: after the Persian invasions, when Athens took the Asiatic Greeks under her protection, and gradually built up the Confederacy of Delos.

тov̂tov ${ }^{\text {enti] }}$ For the redundant pronoun, enforcing a preceding subst. ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \nu$ ), 'which is separated by a parenthetic clause from the rest of the sentence' (Madvig, § roo. e.), cf. supra § $35 n$., infra $\S \S 46,82,93$.-Note that the $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ const., which at first sight would appear to be continued in $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$
 Reiske (Bl., Sofer): oůros é $\delta \epsilon i ̂ t o ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ c o d d . ~(S c h ., ~ R e h d ., ~ T h a l h) . ~.$.
${ }_{\xi \xi}{ }^{\prime}$ "Avסpov кal Kéw к.т. $\lambda$.] The comparative insignificance of the places cited would emphasise the necessity which led to their being called upon. Andros and Ceos were islands of the Cyclades, Troezen (Attic, Trozen) and Epidaurus towns of Argolis.
§43. тoเov́тoเs...т $\boldsymbol{\text { ® }}$ เкои́тoเs] Cf. supra § $2 n$.
$\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon} . . \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \epsilon]$ generic: 'a man who neither...nor.' For the form of the sentence and the negatives, cf. e.g. [Dem.] or. xLiI. § 30



 the phrase here: for its various meanings, see L.S. s.v. $\tau \ell \theta \eta \mu$, A. II. 10. The article is rightly supplied in view of the almost universal practice of Greek authors in respect of this phrase: cf. e.g. Her. Ix. 52, Thuc. Iv. 44, Lysias, or. xxxi. § 14 ov̈т' $̇ \nu$

 generals for enrolment in the ranks,' the regular phrase of a person reporting himself for service: cf. Isocr. Adv. Callimach.

 In Philon. §9.-For the act. infin. with $\pi a \rho \in \chi \omega$, cf. Ar. Nub.
 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \chi \omega$ '̇ $\mu a v \tau \partial े \nu$ Є̇ $\rho \omega \tau \hat{a} \nu$.
 judicial, point of view, as Rehd. well remarks.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \epsilon[\mathrm{s}$ ] i.e. as $\sigma v \nu \eta$ 'रopos: ' what advocate would hold a brief for him?' would be our equivalent: cf. Aeschin. II. 14 éкd́ $\overline{\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}}$ $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\psi} \sigma v \nu \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \rho o \nu \quad \tau o ̀ \nu \quad \Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$, and the still commoner $\pi a \rho a-$ калєî̀.

т $\boldsymbol{\nu} . . . \tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau a]$ Note that the const. is carried on as though $\eta^{*} \rho \dot{\eta} \eta \omega \rho \ldots \beta o \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ did not intervene. The explanation no doubt is that the main emphasis of the question falls upon $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \dot{\chi} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, the influence of which overrides the following clause and makes
 not even...no, nor yet,' a particular case: contrast $\tau \delta \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ above. For oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots$...v́ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, which marks a stronger opposition than оั̈тє...ой $\tau \epsilon$, the second negation being usually the stronger of the two ('not even...no, nor yet'), see L.S. s.v. ov́ $\delta \epsilon$, A. III.- $\boldsymbol{\tau} 0 \lambda \mu \eta$ '$\sigma a v \tau a: ~ ' h a d ~ t h e ~ g r a c e ~ t o ': ~ \tau o \lambda \mu \hat{a} \nu$ is regularly used (cf. $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \\ \lambda \eta \nu\end{gathered}$ poetry) of overcoming some strong natural inclination towards a course of action opposed to that indicated by the accompanying infin.: Lat, sustineo.
 ö $\pi \lambda \alpha$. -The first would be used for palisades ( $\tau \eta$ ई $\chi \alpha \rho a \kappa \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ below), though in ordinary times the olive trees (of which the speaker is probably thinking) were protected by law ; the second for the walls ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \omega \hat{\eta} s$ ), as Thuc. I. 93 speaks of gravestones being freely used for the hastily built wall of Themistocles; the third would be 'dedications' ( $\dot{\nu} \nu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ), which would be used only under great pressure.
 idiom, see Rehd., App. 2, pp. 137 -8.
$\tau \epsilon \chi \chi \hat{\nu} \downarrow . . . \tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \rho \omega \nu . . . \chi \alpha \rho a \kappa \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ Cf. last note on previous §.
 said of that which one is 'engaged in' or 'set over,' frequent in the designation of officials: cf. of $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, 'chargés



 conveyed more fully by (no doubt) a short pause before the word in speaking, which falls upon the name by its position at the end.
 deign so much as to help in collecting the bodies, or even to attend the funeral, of those who,' etc. The first of the two infins. depending upon $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega \sigma a \nu \tau a$ seems most naturally to refer, like the second, to 'the men who died at Ch.' In that case, $\sigma v \nu \in \nu \in \gamma \kappa \epsilon i v ~ Z ~$ [or $\xi_{u v-L P ~(R e h d .)] ~ w o u l d ~ h a v e ~ r e f e r e n c e ~(a s ~ R e h d . ~ s u g g e s t s) ~}^{\text {( }}$ to the work of bringing the urns together in the market-place, from which the public procession would take place to the
 denote two distinct moments in the process of burial, whereas Dobree's (Bl., Sof.) $\sigma v \nu \in \xi \in \nu \in \gamma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ (which is attractive in the

 (Rehd., App. I, p. 108).-See Crit. App.

speaker's strong cards, when we remember that the burying of those who fell in battle was a most sacred duty to a Greek, and that neglect of it was viewed with peculiar abhorrence: cf. generally Thuc. iI. 34, Xen. Hell. I. 7 , Soph. Ant.-For $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \pi l$

 passed by with never a qualm, when he greeted their country eight years afterwards.' Cf. infra § 142 wiv oũtos oủò $\tau$ d̀
 evidence (assuming L. to have been impeached immediately, or shortly, after his return) for the date of the speech ( 330 r.c.).
 Hesych.) : cf. Aesch. Agam. 514, Ar. Ach. 264.
c. 12 . $\$ 846-5 \mathrm{I}$. The praises of brave men are a condemnation of men of the opposite character, and should not be neglected at public trials. The heroes of Chaeronea, trusting in their valour rather than in walls of stone, laid down their lives for the freedom of Greece: they were victorious in death and their glory survives them. Those men carried the liberty of Greece in their persons: the liberty of Greece is buried with their bodies. You alone among the Greeks, Athenians, know how to honour the brave, as witness the statues you erect to brave generals and slayers of tyrants rather than to victorious athletes. The signal honours you pay to public benefactors should imply equally signal penalties for public traitors.
[The section summarised above is 'nothing but a condensed funeral speech on those who died at Chaeronea,' the relevancy of which, such as it is, serves merely 'to point the contrast between the patriot and the traitor' (J. F. Dobson, The Greek Orators, p. 278).]

 length?
 to deal with) are alien to public trials.'-For $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o o^{\tau} p o s \mathrm{c}$. gen.,
 кратlas à àór $\rho \iota a, ~ ' p r a c t i c e s . . . a l i e n ~ t o ~ e v e r y ~ d e m o c r a t i c ~ p r i n c i p l e . ' ~ '$ - Tov̀s rowoúтous < $\lambda$ óyous > looks forward generally to the 'eulogies' following: totoûtos will then be, as sometimes, prospective in force: cf. Thuc. Iv. 58 rotoútous $\lambda$ ógous $\epsilon \tau \pi \epsilon \nu$, 'spoke
 $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \hat{v}$ as $n$.-For the text, see Crit. App.
ai $\gamma$ àp єủ入oy[al... $\pi$ otovิбเv] 'for the eulogies of (i.e. 'bestowed upon': obj. gen.) brave men constitute a clear condemnation of those who practise the opposite principles': lit. 'make the






тov̂tov] resuming and reinforcing rò̀ érauvov: cf. supra §42

èmet $\delta \grave{\eta}$ кal ékeivol] The connexion of thought is: 'as they gave their lives for the safety of the state...so their praise should not be neglected at trials affecting the state.' The state aspect of the matter is strengthened by the addition of $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma$ ios to кol $\boldsymbol{o i s}$, the latter of which in itself would be a sufficient balance to кow ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ preceding.


$\mu а х о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota] ~ f u t . ~ p t c p ., ~ ' t o ~ f i g h t . ' ~$



филакท์v] 'means of defence,' 'safeguard': cf. Lysias, xxv.

 Demosthenes in vindicating his policy, De Cor. $\S 299$ oú $\lambda t$ toos
 army at Syracuse, Thuc. vif. 77 äע $\delta \rho \in s$ रà $\rho$ пó入ıs, кaì oú $\tau \epsilon i \ell \chi \eta$

 the sentiment in Gk. is perhaps Alcaeus, fr. $23 \not a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ mó $\lambda_{\eta o s}$ $\pi$ úp $\quad$ os ápev́tot.
 $\theta \rho \in \psi a \mu \hat{\ell} \nu \eta \nu$ without any apparent difference of meaning.

єlкóтшs] 'and rightly so,' 'and with good reason,' regularly (nine times) so used by Lyc. at the end of its clause (as occasionally by Isocr., Dem., and Aeschin., once by Lysias, not by Antiphon and Andocides: Rehd., App. 2, pp. 138-9), with $\gamma$ à $\rho$ immediately introducing the supporting argument.
 (ãँ $\pi \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ) do not entertain feelings of equal affection towards natural and towards adopted fathers, so they lie looser (are less well disposed) to countries to which they do not belong by birth but which they acquire later.' The sentiment was no doubt (as Rehd. and Sofer remark) a commonplace of the rhetorical schools, and appropriated especially in praise of Athenian 'autochthony.' Cf. Isocr. Panath. § 125 кal $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma 0 \nu \tau a s$ av̉т $\eta \nu$

 gen.- $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ais evvolars: 'feelings of affection,' if we are to press the plural) ('benevolences,' in the concrete sense, of presents offered to Athenian commanders by subject states, Dem. De Chers. § 25 . Lyc. has a partiality for the plural of abstract nouns: cf. supra

 besides' or 'in addition,' as of land added to one's hereditary property, Plat. Legg. 924 A; ̇̀ $\pi i \kappa \pi . \phi(\lambda о \iota$, 'newly acquired')( á $\rho \chi \alpha i ̂ o \iota, ~ X e n . ~ A g e s . ~ I . ~ 36 ; ~ \tau \grave{a ̀ ~ e ̀ \pi l \kappa \tau .)(\tau \grave{a} ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ o ̆ v \tau a, ~ P l a t . ~ R e p . ~}$ 618 D . Our 'adopted country' is the idea here, and we may correlate the terms as follows:-

 were less fortunate than brave.-тoîs ápiotots ávסpá⿱宀v: with
 'to share a thing with another'). -This and the two following paragraphs are fair specimens, in form and substance, of the commonplaces in praise of the dead which were the stock-intrade of the rhetorical schools and were highly elaborated by 'epideictic orators' (the oratory of 'display'), even though they did not rise to the heights of their master in this field, Gorgias of Leontini (in Sicily, born c. 485 B.c., visited Athens on an embassy, $4^{27}$ ), with whose dead 'though they died, loving sorrow died not with them, but immortal in bodies bodiless it

 (from a fragment of Gorgias' Epitaphius). Reference may be made generally to the Funeral Speeches of [Lysias] and Hyperides. The closest parallel to Lyc. here is perhaps Isocr. Paneg. $\$ 9^{2}$ (of the Spartans who fell at Thermopylae) toas $\delta e ̀$





T $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s} . . . \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}]$ 'the fruits of their valour.'
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \mu v v^{v} о v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}\right]$ unusual for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \nu \delta \mu \in \nu o t$, though the active is quoted
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu$. $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
 expression which is highly paradoxical indeed, but nevertheless true, those men were victorious in death': $\epsilon l \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ is apologetic in
 'if I may be allowed to quote examples from antiquity,' Dem. Ol. II. $\S 28 \epsilon l$ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ kal $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{l} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \in i \pi \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$, 'if one may say a word of truth about the generals as well.'
ã $\left.\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\alpha} \theta \lambda \alpha\right]$ see Crit. App.- $\hat{\alpha} \theta \lambda a$, honourable prizes of war)( $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$, personal and selfish gains: the two are con-
trasted by Dem. Ol. i1. §28.-aperin: 'reputation for valour'



 supra §48.
 spirit under the terror of their assailants.' L.S. s.v. $\pi \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$ say that 'in the strange passage $\tau a i s$ sıavolaıs $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\phi b \beta o \nu$ [giving reference], $\phi 6 \beta o \nu$ must be taken as a cognate acc.' [like
 $\epsilon \pi \iota 6 \nu \tau \omega \nu$ will be objective gen., 'the fear which they felt of their assailants']. This, if possible, is certainly somewhat strained; and the syntax of the passage is simplified by taking $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ as subjective, 'the fear which their assailants inspired': cf. the use of $\phi 6 \beta$ os with preps. denoting the source of the fear, $\phi$. $\dot{a} \pi \sigma$

 $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ p o u s ~ \phi \delta \delta \beta o \nu$ l $\delta \dot{\omega} \nu$. In the latter case, $\phi \dot{b} \beta o \nu$ is an ordinary external acc., as in $\pi \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ á $\pi \epsilon \iota \lambda$ ás, Aesch. P.V. 175 , which Rehd. also quotes in the same sense (App. 2, p. 139) ; but his interpretation of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi เ 6 \nu \tau \omega \nu$ as = 'the future' seems, in this


Hóvovs] See Crit. App.
 often, intervenes) is a more emphatic ov $\delta \varepsilon l s$, 'no one whatever.'

фєúyovtes] 'in seeking to shun': Goodwin, M.T. §25.
§50. 'e $\delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon$ ] 'was proof of' the truth of my statements, rather than $=\delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \eta \eta^{\nu}$, though the latter is possible [and approved by Rehd.].
 than the fortunes of Greece changed to slavery' : the two events were coincident. For ${ }_{a} \mu \alpha \ldots \kappa \alpha l=s i m u l$ ac (except that the latter is never separated, the former always), combining two clauses in what is virtually a cause and effect relationship, cf. supra §29
入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon L$, .'to exchange life' (for death), with the notion of 'quitting' it, hence 'to die': so also $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda$. $\chi \omega$ 'pav, 'to go to another country,' cf. infra §86.-тà т $\uparrow$ s 'E $\lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \delta o s: ~ p r a c t i c a l l y ~$
 here, in deterius, but also in melius: cf. infra § 60 є̇к то仑̂ какஸ̂s $\pi \rho a ̂ \xi a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau а \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i v$.


 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ (of those who fell in the Corinthian War, 394 b.c.), and with the whole passage [Dem.] lx. §23.
 to all that they were not warring,' etc. : $\phi$. éroin $\alpha a \nu$ is constructed



 declaring that those men's lives are a crown of glory to their country': for $\sigma \tau \notin \phi a \nu 0$ in the met. sense, decus, cf. Her. iv. 88

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \ell \delta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \in \theta \kappa \alpha \nu$.
 reason in the exercise of their valour is, that you, Athenians, alone among the Greeks know how to honour brave men': according to this interpretation, which makes $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu$ the cause and not the effect of $\dot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon v o \nu \text { ('because they showed }}$ reason...you know how to, etc. '), $\delta \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{a}$ is to be taken as looking forward to, and in apposition with, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu$, as though
 $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$. For the position of $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{a}$ at the beginning of the sentence, Rehd. cites the somewhat similar use of $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ in Lys. xiI. § 43 , Isaeus, vi. §8, etc. But the text is suspect: see Crit. App. -For the claim made by the speaker, we may compare Dem. Lept.



ávaкєц $\mu$ 'vous] 'set up,' i.e. statues of them. ávaкєîन $\theta a \iota$ in this connexion acts as pass. of i i $\tau \alpha \dot{\nu} a \iota:$ i $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} a \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{~} \chi a \lambda \kappa o \hat{v} \nu)(\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa o v ̂ s$
 §251 we have both the person and the statue as subject: $\begin{gathered} \\ \ell\end{gathered}$
 д̀vaкєїбӨaı $\Sigma a \lambda a \mu l \nu \iota o$.

тар’ $\boldsymbol{v} \mu i \hat{\nu} . . . \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \circ$ v́s] After Solon, and Harmodius and Aristogiton (see infra), the only generals so honoured, as far as we know, were Conon, Iphicrates, and Timotheus (Rehd. ad loc.).

тov̀s тòv túpavvov ámoктelvavtas] Harmodius and Aristogiton, who slew Hipparchus, son of the tyrant Pisistratus (Thuc. 1. 20, vi. 54-57), and were consecrated for all time in the Athenian mind as the doyens of tyrannicides: their descendants were voted special privileges: cf. Dem. Lept. passim, F.L. §280; Andoc. De Myst. § 98 [NOMOL]; infra § 87 év
 that the rúpavyos was a phenomenon that was well known or might be taken for granted in the past history of most Greek cities.
 be easy to find a few even from the whole of Greece': the form of the clause might lead us to expect oúd' $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \mathrm{\eta} . . . \pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ oús, but $\dot{\lambda}\langle$ gous is to be taken in a positive sense.

тov̀s $\sigma \tau \in ф$ avicas ápêvas] lit. 'games in which the prize is a wreath ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu 0 s$ ),' esp. the four great athletic festivals of Greece, the winners at which were considered to confer great honour on their native cities and received high honours from them: cf. generally the Odes of Pindar, and Dem. Lept. §141


$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \chi o ́ \theta$ бv ] with revovóras.-Polle (N. Jahrb. f. Philol.,

1869, quoted by Rehd., App. 2, p. 140) states that, up to the year 330 в.с., we have knowledge of as many as 104 statues of Olympic victors in the whole of Greece; at Athens of one at most, and that not absolutely certain. Lyc.'s disparaging reference to the athletic games here may have been inspired by the circumstance that Athens, two years before the date of this speech, had been temporarily debarred from participation in the Olympic games owing to quarrels with Elis. Euripides, before Lyc.'s time, had had some severe things to say about athletes, cf. fr. 284 (Dind.).

єvepyérais] the word is technical of state benefactors, and occurs frequently in inscrr.
$\mu \varepsilon \gamma(\sigma \tau a s]$ without article, absol. 'very great.' [ $<\tau$ às $>\mu \epsilon \gamma$., however, which would balance $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \chi$ á $\tau a \iota s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho l a \iota s$, is read by Reiske and Heinrich.]

8ikatov] Blass's $\delta i \kappa a \iota o \iota$ is attractive, but $\delta i \kappa a \iota o \nu$ without $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$ seems easier than $\delta$ (ккaьo without $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon$.́.
c. 13. $\S 5^{2-54}$. You have no choice but condemn Leocrates, if you do your duty. His case has already been decided (a) by the action of the Areopagus in similar cases, (b) by your own sentence on Autolycus, (c) by the decree of the people prescribing the extreme penalty for public defaulters. Will you reverse all these?
 does not even rest with you': cf. Dem, De Cor. § $193 \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\psi}$


тà 8iкaıa тoเvvิซ८] conditional, 'if you do your duty.'
 had sentence passed on it,' long ago: there is a standing verdict against it. The perf. ptcps. passive with the subst. verb are forcible and emphasise the abiding result: Goodwin, M.T. §45.



$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon\left[\right.$ 's $\mu$ o九 $\left.\theta_{o \rho v} \beta \eta \eta_{\eta}\right]$ a common appeal in the orators, 'let no one interrupt me,' i.e. with expressions of disapproval (Lat.
acclamare, in Ciceronian usage): the vb., however, is also used

 $\rho \nu \beta \eta \mu e ́ v o s, ~ ' a ~ l o u d l y-a p p l a u d e d ~ s p e e c h ' ~(c f . ~ a c c l a m a r e ~ p o s t-A u g) .$. Lyc. here evidently desires to correct what may have been a prevalent notion among his hearers, that the action of the Areopagus which he is about to mention was an unwarranted and anti-democratic usurpation of power: cf. the similar case of Cicero in the matter of the Catilinarian conspirators.
 'predicative attraction' of the pronoun.

то́тє] i.e. immediately after Chaeronea. For the dictatorial powers exercised by the Areopagus in grave public crises, cf. Plut. Themist. § io (before Salamis), Lysias, c. Eratosth. § 69 (after Aegospotami), Dem. De Cor. § 134 (intervention in the case of the traitor Antiphon), also supra § $12 n$.
$\lambda a \beta o v ิ \sigma a$ ] i.q. $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ [Naber (Bl.)]: cf. infra §112 kal $\tau$ ои́т $\omega \nu \lambda \eta \phi \theta \in \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

фоvıкえ $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota к \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha]$ the special sphere of the Areopagus under the full democracy: cf. supra § $12 n$.

ঠ́бเผ́тaтa] is of course adv., with סıкá̧ovtas.
§53. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta े \nu$ 'A. $\gamma \in \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \mathrm{~s}] \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, verum enimvero, 'alleging what is not disputed' (L.S. s.v. $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu, 3$ ), introduces a fresh and emphatic point.-Aúroגúкov and $\dot{v} \mu$ eís are both emphatic: A.) (other offenders: $\dot{v} \mu \in \hat{i} s$, the Heliaea) ( $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ 'A. $\pi$. ßov入 $\eta$. Lyc. tactfully says nothing of his having been himself the prosecutor of A., who was an Areopagite (Harpocr. s.v. Aúróduкos, quoting the present passage): cf. also the Argument to the speech, ad fin. A. has been supposed to be the person alluded to (in conjunction with Leocrates) by Aeschin. Ctes. §252, but the account of him given there does not tally with the



$\mu \epsilon$ ivavtos $\mu \dot{v} v$ av̉rov̂...'̇Xovtos $\delta^{\prime}$ aitiav] 'who, though he
remained himself...was charged with having,' etc. For alfiay


 रєүovévaı, 'are reputed, 'are credited with.'-For viтєк日éध日al,

$\pi\left[\delta \epsilon \hat{i} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \mathrm{X} \epsilon \mathrm{\iota}\right]$ sc. $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu 0 \nu$ as subject.
oủk $\dot{\alpha} \pi e ́ \delta \omega к є ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \rho о ф є i ̂ a ~ \tau \hat{n} \pi$.] 'failed in duly rendering to his country the price of his nurture,' to which his country, as $\dot{\eta} \theta \rho \in ́ \psi a \sigma a$ ( $\S 82 \mathrm{I}, 47,85$ ), was morally entitled, even as aged parents were legally entitled $\gamma \eta \rho \circ \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota(i n f r a \S 144) . \mathrm{Cf}$.

 Plat. Rep. 520 в.-For $\dot{\text { àmo§ov̂vat }=\text { reddere, cf. supra § } 20 .}$

то̀ $\left.\gamma \iota \gamma \vee \mathbf{o}^{\prime} \mu \in \mathbf{v o v}\right]$ 'what was happening,' 'the state of things,' as revealed by the case of $A$. and those condemned by the Areopagus.

غұŋфібато] on the motion of Hyperides: supra §36.
evóxous...Tท̂ $\left.\pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i \not q_{]}\right]$'amenable to the charge of treason':


тov̀s фєúүovтas] 'those who sought to shirk': cf. supra §49

§54. $\delta \eta$ 'f igitur, concluding and summing up.
 $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \Delta a \rho \epsilon i \not \psi \kappa \rho \iota \tau \hat{\eta}, \pi a \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{l}=$ me iudice, etc. So also $\pi a \rho d े ~ \tau \hat{\psi}$ $\delta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{immediately}$ following, for which cf. supra § $12 \pi a \rho$ ' aúroîs $\dot{\delta} \mu 0 \lambda$. тoîs à $\lambda \iota \sigma \kappa о \mu$ évots $n$.
 designation is common of officers or official bodies appointed by

 $\beta o v \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ тov่s $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \kappa o \sigma l o u s ~ n$. The dicasts were chosen by lot from the body of the citizens who were over 30 years of age and in possession of their full civic rights ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \iota \mu \iota \iota$ ), Arist. 'A $\theta$. По $\lambda$. c. 63 .

тov́rois] referring formally to $\mathfrak{a}$ ('offences which'), but in substance to the content of the three preceding clauses, and so $=$ 'these decisions.'


 will be disposed to run risks on your behalf' : cf. Antiph. Tetr.



c. 14. $\S 555-58$. Leocrates is clearly guilty on all the counts of the indictment; but perhaps he will plead that he sailed to Rhodes as an ordinary merchant. To this 1 reply, that merchants do not embark stealthily, but in open harbour. Again, what object had a merchant in sojourning five years in Megara, unless he was conscious of having deeply wronged his country? But even admitting his plea, I would ask him, (a) why he started on a voyage then, when all other merchants were hastening home to their country's defence, (b) what possible import could have been more useful than personal service at that crisis? As a matter of fact, Leocrates was never a merchant at all, but an owner of coppersmiths. His interest in the fiftieth, moreover, disproves his statement.
§55. тoîs єiซ. äтraбเv] cf. supra §5 äтaбı тoîs $\gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s$

$\pi v v \theta \dot{\alpha} v o \mu a l]$ a formula of $\pi \rho о к а \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta \psi \iota s$, anteoccupatio, 'anticipation of an adversary's arguments,' with a view to weakening or defeating them. $\pi v \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu о \mu \alpha \iota$ introduces the first of a series of such 'anticipations,' e.g. infra $\$ \S 59,63,68,90$.

катd тav́тทv тท̀v Épyaolav] 'on this business,' a use of the prep. as old as Homer: Od. iII. 72 ท̀ $\tau \iota \kappa \operatorname{\kappa a\tau \grave {\alpha }~} \pi \rho \hat{\eta} \xi \iota \nu$ ('on a trading enterprise') $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \not\langle\iota \delta i \omega s$ à $\lambda a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$; cf. infra $\S 57$, but $\delta \iota$ ' '̇praбlay, supra § 15.

ஷิ] 'how,' 'by what means.' ['ेंs Bk., Bl.]

 answering the preceding $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ：cf．Thuc．1． $33 \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ öт七


 language；but $\dot{\rho} \rho$ ．is emphatic，＇in full view of all their friends，＇ as contrasted with the furtive flight of $L$ ．
$\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ \tau \eta ิ s$ éraípas］cf，supra § ェ7．
$\mu o ́ v o s]$ sc．$\epsilon \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \dot{o} \notin \mu \pi o \rho o s$. ［ $\mu \dot{\partial} \nu o s$, which the Aldine（Bl．）
 but the change to the sing．serves all the better to point the
 with $\mu \delta{ }^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ol．］
 ＇A．$\dot{\omega} s \not \epsilon \mu \pi$ ．codd．（Rehd．，Thalh．）］，$\tau \dot{\partial} \nu$＇A．seems best taken as attributive，the article adding a touch of sarcasm ：＇our Athenian merchant．＇


катєүvผ́кєเ тє av́тоvิ $\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho o \delta \varepsilon \delta \omega к є ́ v a l ] ~ ' h a d ~ p r o n o u n c e d ~ h i m s e l f ~}$ guilty of having betrayed＇：cf．Lys．xx．§6 ка兀aүvbvtєs $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa l a \nu .-\kappa a \tau \epsilon \gamma v \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa a l$ ：on the principle that $\tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa a l$ should connect corresponding elements，we might have expected $\pi \rho \circ \delta . \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$ ．каl к．т．入．But exceptions are frequent：cf．in consecutive chaps．of Thuc．（IV．9，го），$\dot{a} \sigma \pi i \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon$ фаú入人аıs кal


0 кal $\pi$ áv $\boldsymbol{v} \omega \boldsymbol{v} . . . \boldsymbol{\epsilon i}]$＇and so it would be the most absurd thing imaginable，if＇etc．For this use of the neut．relative，referring generally to what has preceded and explained more particularly by a clause which follows，introduced often by $\epsilon l$（as here），see Madvig，§ 195．d．，and esp．Rehd．（App．2，pp．141－3），who distinguishes three separate uses，$(a) \delta,(b) \delta \delta \hat{\epsilon},(c) \delta$ каi．The first，he contends，is retrospective in force；the second，pro－
spective; the third, half retrospective, half prospective: see the numerous exx. quoted by him, l.c. We may compare the somewhat similar use of quod in Latin, in adjurations: Virg. Aen. II. 141 quod te per superos...oro, vi. 363; and for the
 $\mu$ èv $\pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v o u s$ к. $\tau . \lambda$.
àmo入v́бaırє] with $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$, must have the meaning of 'dismissing,' 'quashing' a charge, in which sense àmoरv́є $\begin{gathered}\text { Oat is very }\end{gathered}$ frequently said of a defendant, cf. diluere: àro入v́є $\theta \theta a \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \boldsymbol{a i \tau l a \nu}$,
 is illustrated by Hyper. Eux. $\S 38$ ( $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i(a)$...vi $\pi^{\prime}$ av̉rov̂ tô̂ кат $\eta \gamma$ ópov $\tau \rho \sigma \pi \sigma$ о $\tau \iota \nu \dot{a} \dot{a} \pi \pi o \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \notin \nu \eta$. But there is no doubt a suggestion of 'if you should acquit him on these charges.'

кv́plot $\gamma \in v o ́ \mu є ข \circ$ тท̂s $\psi \eta$ ท́фov] 'now that the verdict rests with you,' somewhat like supra § 2 є́xoעтas únd $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \psi$. For кúpıos,


X $\omega$ pls $\delta$ ह̇ $\tau$ ои́т $\omega v$ ] cf. supra § 31 .
 arrangement in Greek, where we use the hypotactic (subordinate): cf. supra $\$ 88,42$, notes.
èv тоîs то́тє каироîs каl кат' е́pyariav] кal, which at first sight might seem to go intensively with $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma$. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, 'should actually leave on a trading voyage,' is probably rightly taken as simply connecting $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu . . . \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o i ̂ s ~ a n d ~ \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ ' $\rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \dot{l a \nu}$, at the same time throwing considerable emphasis on the latter: L.'s crime consisted in (a) sailing (at all) at such a time-and (b) on a trading voyage (of all things), i.e. with a view to private gain, this aspect of his $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a \sigma i a$ being made more explicit by $\dot{\eta} \nu i \kappa \alpha \ldots$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ к.т.ג. We may compare generally the charge against Andocides in Lys. vi. § $49 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu_{0} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \sigma a ́ \lambda \varphi$



 For the repeated $\alpha \nu$, which is especially common when a negative
or interrogative opens the sentence, see Goodwin, M. T. $\$ 8223$ ff.
 'what they had.'
 by importing what merchandise he could have served the state better than by submitting himself for enrolment in the ranks.' This rendering, it may be said, suggests rather $\hat{\eta} \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \dot{\omega} \nu$ as the natural Greek : $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, on the other hand, suggests that the question should be, 'What merchandise that he could have imported would have been more useful than personal service?' There is thus probably a mixture of the constructions

 the comparison of two actions is confused with the comparison of two things.
 at the end of an argument, emphasising the speaker's own conviction and implying opposition to others: ' $I$ don't see' (whatever may be true of you): cf. Madvig, § i88, R. „. So


§58. av่тழ̣] The unusual position of the unemphatic aủrê is probably due to a desire partly to avoid the hiatus aúrê $\delta \rho \gamma \ell$ $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, partly to make the antithesis $\delta \iota \dot{\iota} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota \nu \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu \ldots \delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ đò̀ 入óyò $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o \nu$ more strongly marked: cf. Rehd., ad loc., who



 ov̉ா $\omega$ тотє, ov่ $\delta \epsilon \pi \omega ́ \pi о \tau \epsilon$ cum verbo temporis praeteriti construuntur," Brunck's Index Aristoph. Lobeck, Phryn. pp. 457, $45^{8 .}$ But the rule is neglected by late writers' (Hickie on Andoc. De Myst. § 22).


 number of slaves who were so employed, as Lysias and his brother owned a shield factory, Demosthenes' father a cutlery factory, etc. The verb is almost technical in this sense.$\chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa о т и ́ \pi о s ~ p r o b a b l y ~ s i g n i f i e s ~ a ~ ' s m i t h ' ~ g e n e r a l l y, ~ c f . ~} \chi$ алкєús
 25. 14), though the two are distinguished in Xen. Hell. iII. 4.
 Халкоти́тоз).
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \eta \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s\right]$ concessive, 'though he was away.'
 aủvท̂s $n$.
 denotes the action as extending over a considerable time (in the past) : 'he would not have abandoned it (act) and engaged in trade abroad': $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon$ would have referred rather to the act cf his departure : cf. generally Goodwin, §410.
àv $\mu \dot{\mu} v \quad \pi \iota]$ The $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ here is probably not 'isolated' (cf. supra $\S 57 \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ oú $\delta \epsilon \mu i a \nu \dot{\partial} \rho \hat{\omega} n$.), but is balanced by the $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ of the next paragraph.
$\lambda(\hat{\gamma} \eta]$ conative, 'attempts to say.'
 simply not allow him': the subj. is inserted between ovं $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, which last the negative really qualifies: cf. Soph. Ant. $280 \pi a \hat{v} \sigma a l, \pi \rho l \nu \quad \delta \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ кal $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega ิ \sigma a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, 'ere thou dost utterly fill me with wrath,' where $\kappa \dot{a} \mu \grave{\epsilon}$ (C. and $A_{i}$ ) is pointless: see Jebb ad loc.
c. 15. $\S \S 59-62$. He will perhaps advance a plea suggested to him by some of his counsel-that he is not amenable to the charge of treason because he had no specific duty assigned him. If he had not, this only makes his case worse: he betrayed the whole state and not merely one department of it. Treachery on the part of particular officials would have meant, at worst, the enslavement of the state, from which it might have recovered; Leocrates' desertion involved its desolation, and there is no
recovery from that. For proof of my statement, I have only to refer you to the history of Athens, Troy, and Messene.
 his impetuous course to the plea which,' etc. Both $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ and $\phi \epsilon \rho 6 \mu \epsilon \nu$ os are thus used idiomatically along with another verb of motion, and seem to convey the notion of haste and recklessness. The const. occurs at least four times in Aeschin. Ctes. : 889
 'returned headlong to his old practices' (of bad faith), §82 els
 evil turn to events': so also $\S \S 90,146$ ( $\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ in both cases): Goodwin, M.T. §837. A more literal use of the ptcp. can be seen (I think) in such as Her. viII. 9I $\phi \epsilon \rho \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \tau 0 \nu$ és rov̀s Alvıvíras, 'fell upon them at full speed,' Ibid. 87 фépova $\alpha$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu \eta t \phi_{\iota} \lambda\{\eta$ (of Artemisia at Salamis).


$\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \nu \nu \eta \gamma \quad \rho^{\rho} \omega \nu$ ] These were not professional advocates, in our sense, but (usually) personal friends of the parties in a case, who were allowed to speak on either side after the case had been opened by the parties themselves. Athenian theory presumed that every citizen was capable of conducting his own prosecution or defence. Some would distinguish $\sigma v \nu \eta$ クु $o \rho o s=$ plaintiff's counsel $)(\sigma$ óvoıcos $=$ defendant's, but both terms seem to have come to be of general application (cf. Drake on Dem. De Cor. § I34).
$\tau \hat{n} \pi \rho o \delta o \sigma[\propto]$ 'the charge of treason.'
 recta: the speaker imagines L. to say 'I was not responsible,' oú кúpıos $\eta \nu)$, 'for he was not in charge either of arsenals,' etc. кúptos, of that of which one has the disposing or control: cf.

 enumeration $\nu \in \omega \rho(\omega \nu \ldots \pi \nu \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \ldots \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \omega \nu$ has specific reference to the scope of the $\nu 6 \mu 0 \mathrm{os} \epsilon l \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \kappa b s(s u p r a ~ § ~ 1 ~ n) ~:. ~ c f . ~ P o l l . ~$
 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \dot{d} \nu \tilde{\eta} \nu \nu u \hat{v}$, and the Lysias passage quoted hereunder.
ou้日' ö $\lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$...ov่ $\delta \epsilon v$ ós] 'nor in short of any state department whatever': ö $\lambda \omega$ s marks a climax, as often, nec denique, neque
 ท่วоú $\mu \in \nu=$ s.





 trayed,' Ibid. §61 : infra § 85 є̈к $\delta о \tau о \nu . . . \pi \alpha \rho є \delta о \sigma a \nu$.

тоข̀s тєтє入єuтๆкóтas] I have followed Herw. (Bl.) in omitting

$\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \alpha \tau \rho(\omega \nu \nu \nu \mu \mu \mu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{v}]$ 'by robbing them of their ancestral rites': cf. Thuc. III. 58 (the Plataeans are speaking)
 $\nu о \mu i \mu o t s . \quad[\pi a \tau \rho i \omega \nu$ Schoem. (Rehd.) : $\pi a \tau \rho \notin \omega \nu \nu$ codd. : cf. supra 825 n.]
 been betrayed by them ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu 0 s ~ \kappa \nu \rho i \omega \nu-' h e a d s$ of departments'), the result would have been that, though enslaved, it would still be inhabited; but in the way that L. abandoned it, it would have been made desolate': the protasis of the sentence is contained in $\pi \rho \circ \delta o \theta \epsilon i \sigma a \nu=\epsilon l \pi \rho o u \delta \delta \delta \theta \eta$, a supposed past act: $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta a \iota \nu \epsilon \nu \quad a ̀ \nu$ represents the abiding result of the act in the present, si urbs prodita esset, tamen incoleretur: cf. Goodwin, § $\mathrm{4}^{10}$, 4 II. So oikeî $\theta a \iota=$ 'would still be inhabited' (present state) : doiкทтov à $\nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota=$ 'would have been desolated' (act). For olкeî̃өal, cf. [Dem.] c. Aristog. I. [or. xxv] § 26 єl $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$
 accusative is generally preferred to the dative by Greek prose writers in these phrases with $\tau \rho \sigma \pi \sigma$ ( $\tau \circ \hat{1} \tau 0 \nu \tau \delta \nu \tau \rho \delta \pi o \nu, \tau i \nu a$


Andoc．De Myst．§41，De Pace §20，De Redit．§ 18 òtчoûv $\tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$ ，and always $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i \quad \tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$ ．（Hickie on Andoc．De Myst． l．c．）
 єiкб́s（where we might expect the fut．），cf．Thuc．IV． 24 グ入тıjov
 and other exx．quoted by Goodwin，M．T．§ г36．But the use of the aorist in these and similar cases is sufficiently explained by the cardinal idea of an act done once for all as opp．to a continuous process．－ávactátous：àjá $\sigma \tau a \tau o s$, of towns and countries，＇depopulated，＇＇destroyed，＇＇laid waste＇：of a popu－ lation，＇homeless＇：cf．Antiph．De Caed．Herod．§ 79 ė $\pi \epsilon i ̂ \delta o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$

 which some editors retain，agrees with $\pi \delta \lambda_{\iota \nu}$ understood．］

кal $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ коเขผิv è $\lambda \pi \tau \delta \omega \nu]$＇even of ordinary hopes，＇i．e．hopes that are common to all men alike．
$\epsilon \lambda \pi i s . . . \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{v}]$ For aor．infin．with $\epsilon \lambda \pi i s$ ，cf．$\tau v \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu .$. єlкós є̇бт兀D and note above：for $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ in melius，cf．supra § 50 n ．
 that their misfortune reaches its climax when they become desolate＇：i．e．there is no longer so much as a chance of $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \not a$ changing to $\epsilon \dot{v} \tau v \chi \nmid a$ ．For $\pi \epsilon \in \rho a s \epsilon \chi \in \iota \nu$ ，of a limit which may not be passed，cf．Lysias c．Eratosth．§ 88 モ̇кeivol $\delta$ è．．．
 $\tau \iota \mu \omega \mathrm{plas}$, Isocr．Paneg．§ 5，Philip．§ 141，Dem．Lept．§91．
 $\varepsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂$, à $\lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} s \delta \epsilon \in, n$ ．
 laid waste＇：for $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau a \tau o \nu$ in acc．agreeing with the implied subject of the infin．（ $\alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ ），cf．Goodwin，M．T． § 744 ．
 omitted in this formula．

ทั $\mu \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ үáp] $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ introduces, as regularly, the matter of the тєкцйрьо⿱: do not translate.

тò $\mu e ̀ v ~ \pi a \lambda \alpha เ o ̀ v . . . \tau o ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ v ̋ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o v] ~ ' o f ~ o l d ' . . . ~ ' l a t e r ': ~ a c c u s a t i v e s, ~$ like $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu, ~ \tau o u ̉ v a \nu \tau i o \nu, ~ e t c . ~$
v่ாò $\tau \omega ิ \nu \tau u p a ́ v \nu \omega v]$ Pisistratus and his sons, especially Hippias, who between them ruled Athens for fifty years, $560-510$ b.c.

ข่тั̀ $\tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau р เ \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha] ~ T h e ~ T h i r t y ~ w e r e ~ i n s t a l l e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s u m m e r ~$ of 404 , and held office till May, 403 B.c.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon\left[\chi \eta \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \rho^{\epsilon} \theta_{\eta}\right] 404$ в.с. (April).
éк $\tau$ оv́т $\omega \nu$...á $\mu \phi о \tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ] neuter, 'from both of these plights.' The liberation from the first was effected by the Alcmaeonids, headed by Cleisthenes, who procured the help of Sparta; from the second, also through the intervention of Sparta, following on the defeat of the Thirty and their adherents by the exiles under Thrasybulus (May, 403 B.c.).
 (Mid. § I43) and Isocr. (Paneg. § ro3) had used of the Greece of the fifth cent. b.c., was hardly applicable (as Rehd. observes ad $l o c$.) to the Greece of the fourth, even though the speaker may have had in view the period following the victory of Cnidus (394), and the building up of the Second Athenian Confederacy. Nothing is to be gained, however, by substituting èeve $\theta$ epias or $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o \nu i a s(E s)$, as neither the one nor the other holds good for both centuries.
§62. a $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov̉X öซal... $\gamma \in \gamma$ óvacı] 'But not so with such as have ever become desolate': sc. as predicate something like тоиิто $\pi \epsilon \pi \delta \nu \nu a \sigma \iota$, 'have had this (fortunate) experience,' out of the general sense of the preceding argument. Hyper. takes an opposite view to that of Lyc. : or, II. [In Philip.] \& $8 \pi 6 \lambda \epsilon t s$ dè

 common formula in introducing examples : frequent in Herodotus.
 somewhat ancient history,' lit. 'even if (as I grant) it is rather ancient to mention' $(\pi a \lambda$. goes with eineiv: Goodwin, M.T.
 к.т.д.-For the distinction between $\epsilon i \kappa \alpha i$ and $\kappa a i \epsilon i$, the former allowing, but minimising, the affirmation of the condition, the latter rather asserting its improbability, see L.S. s.v. kal, B. II. 3 ; Madvig, § 194. $d$.

T $\eta$ v Tpoiav] a good example of 'anticipation,' but due also to its balancing M $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \tilde{\eta} \nu \eta \nu$, which naturally comes first in the participial clause to which the const. changes. Cf. Xen. Anab.
 Пєрбькой бтратєи́матоs, 'knew that the king held,' etc. So in Latin, nosti Marcellum, quam tardus sit: Madvig, §191.
$\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s$ whole of Asia': a rhetorical exaggeration, designed to heighten the merit of the Greek achievement: cf. Isocr. Panath. $\S 83$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ' A \sigma i a \nu ~ к а т о ь к о и ̆ \nu т а s ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$
 has been (since the time of its sack) and will so remain.- $\tau \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{y}$ al̂̂va, in sempiternum, is noteworthy for the omission of $\pi$ âs (änas, $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi a s)$ which accompanies al̀̀v in the sense of 'time without end' e.g. in Isocr. (I. I ó $\pi$ âs alév, vi. $109 \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau a$ đòv aî̂va, Iv. 46 äтavza тòv aî̂va, viil. 34 тoû бúpлavtos al.) and Plato, Tim. $38 \mathrm{c} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$ al. ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ aî̀vos in Trag.): so also Lyc. himself, supra § 7 , infra §§ го6, 1 1о.
 hand, Messene, five hundred years later, was restored by a casual population,' which may pass as a rendering, but the Greek requires some explanation.-Messene, the later capital of Messenia (which name is not used by Homer), in W. Peloponnesus, was founded in 369 b.c. by Epaminondas, the Theban general and statesman, who gathered together for the purpose the dispersed remnants of the Messenian exiles, the inveterate enemies of Sparta. The citadel of the new town was the historic Mt. Ithome, and the town itself was formed by 'synoecising' (i.e. combining into one for political purposes) the neighbouring
districts, the effect of which was to make Messene the Messenian state, as Athens was to Attica (cf. Thuc. III. $2 \xi \operatorname{\xi voocki}$ Sovaı $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\Lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \beta o \nu$ 's $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{M} \nu \tau \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu)$. The speaker's contention is that the 'synoecism' was effected so easily ( $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta$.) because Messenia had never become áváorazos, the inhabitants who had survived the early traditional wars with Sparta and any remnant that remained after the settlement by the Athenians at Naupactus in 459 B.c. remaining on the land in the condition of Helots, so
 500 years after-the fall of Troy? or the Spartan conquest of Messenia? If the first, the fall of Troy is much too late; if the second (which we presume is what Lyc. intends), the conquest of Messenia is much too early, even if we suppose him to be reckoning from the 'First Messenian War,' the central date of which is placed $c .725$ B.c., whereas Lyc. would bring it forward to about 87 ов.с. Dinarchus, who speaks of 400 years
 reckons from the first war; Ephorus, with whom Pausanias practically agrees, gives 300 years; Plutarch (Apophth. Epam. 23) and Aelian, only 230 years. The last four authorities evidently refer the conquest of Messenia to the 'Second Messenian War,' with which tradition connected the names of Aristomenes and Tyrtaeus (for the latter, see more particularly infra § гоб $n$.), and which may accordingly be dated to c. 640 B.c.- $\mathbf{e k} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$
 equivalent of $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta}$ with a passive verb, but has reference rather to the composition of the inhabitants (cf. constare ex) : cf. Xen.
 $\tau v \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ : cf. supra § $37 \mu$ uкроl кal oi $\tau v \chi$ бутєs $\phi \delta \beta$ оь $n$.
c. 16. $\$ 863-67$. Perhaps some of his advocates will have the face to plead that none of the results I have foreshadowed could have depended on the action of a single individual. But the safety of the state depends upon each individual doing his particular duty: 'he that offends in one point is guilty of all.' The truth of this principle is attested by the spirit of ancient legislators, who in
assigning penalties did not discriminate between greater and lesser offences, but had regard to the principle underlying them. You would not tolerate the erasing of a single law on the ground that it made no difference to the state. In the same way, you cannot consider Leocrates apart from the rest of the citizens: you must have regard not to the individual, but to the principle.
 the const., cf. (in a slightly different sense) Soph. Trach. 1165 $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon i ̂ a ~ к а \iota \nu a ́, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi d ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \xi ̆ v \nu \eta ่ \gamma o p a, ~ ' a g r e e i n g ~ w i t h, ' ~ ' s u p p o r t i n g, ' ~$ [Dem.] LIX. § I4.
$\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ v ~ \tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho \alpha \hat{\gamma} \mu \alpha$ поьิ̂v] 'seeking to represent the matter as trivial') ( $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho \partial ̀ \nu \pi о \iota o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$, 'regarding it as trivial,' in the subjective sense. The distinction seems good here, though not perhaps universally: cf. Isocr. Paneg. §59 oủ $\gamma$ à $\rho \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \mu \iota \kappa \rho \partial ̀ \nu ~$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi o l \eta \sigma a \nu$ (of the Athenian protection of the Heraclidae), which seems equally subjective in sense with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha_{\mu} \mu \kappa \rho \delta \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ Id.



 calamities could have been brought about by the action of a single individual': for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha{ }_{c} \mathrm{c}$. acc. 'of that which turns the scale, and on which the result critically depends' (Madvig, § 75),

 тои̂тov $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu$ aủroîs, Dinarch. I. § 72. The use of the prep. in this sense with persons appears to be somewhat rare and late, v. Rehd., App. 2, p. 144.

 (subj.) : Hickie on Andoc. De Myst. § 16 , where he quotes as a
 'and so the prose writers passim.' But the precise relationship of the accs. is usually easily determined from the context.

тоиิто...то勹ิ $\mu \in \gamma^{\text {étovs] }}$ ' 'the point of fact'...'its importance.'-
éár $\omega \sigma$ av: 'the usual form of the imper. from the Macedonian period,' Rehd. In inscrr. not before 300 b.c. [ $\epsilon \in \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ Es (Blass).]
$\left.\epsilon l \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega s \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \in v\right]$ 'but if they roundly assert his innocence,' we might say : for ö $\lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$, cf. supra § 59 ỡ $\theta^{\prime} \delta \lambda \omega \mathrm{s} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi b \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ oú $\delta \in \nu$ ós $n$.
§64. тov́тoเs] personal, sc. тoîs $\sigma v \nu \eta \gamma b \rho o \iota s ~ a u ̉ \tau \varphi ิ . ~$
mapd тоvิтov єโval... $\tau \eta$ ทेv $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho\{a v]$ 'that the very safety of the state depended on him': for $\pi a \rho \alpha$, cf. previous $\S: ~ \epsilon \tau \nu a t$ is imperf. infin. The main antithesis is between oúdèv of the preceding sentence and $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu$.

ท̀ $\gamma$ àp $\pi$ ó久ıs oiкєîтal к.т.入.] 'For the life of the state depends upon the protection afforded it by each man doing his particular part; and so whenever a man neglects his duty ( $\tau \alpha u \tau^{\tau} \eta \nu=\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ lisia $\mu$ oipav) in one point, he unwittingly neglects it in all' ( $\tau о$ û̃o $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa$ и́s=$=\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \omega \rho a \kappa \omega ́ s)$. For the sentiment, cf. Isocr.


 $\delta a \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủt $\hat{\nu} \nu$, i.e. 'neglect no duty, however trivial, under the impression that nothing depends upon it, but perform your duties with zeal, remembering that, as the parts are, so will the
 case' or 'matter' of: cf. Dem. Mid. § 38 оúк $є \pi i$ toútou $\mu$ b̀vov,

 other of whom, especially the latter, the Athenians were inclined to attribute the bulk of their ancient statutes: cf. Aeschin. Ctes.

 specially called $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ$, as distinguished from Solon's $\nu \delta \mu \circ \iota$ ' (Pape, quoted by Hickie, Andoc. De Myst. §8ı $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{s} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \eta \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$
 spoke of his laws as $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ ol: v. Sandys on Arist. 'A $\theta$. Пoд. c. 4

§65. èкeivol yòp ov́ к.т.ג.] The code of Draco especially was
proverbial for its severity: Demādes the orator said of him öт七 $\delta \iota$ ' aluatos, oủ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda a \nu o s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ e ́ \gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon \nu$, 'wrote his laws not in ink but in blood' (Plut. Sol. 17). It probably got this character as a whole from the severity of the punishment meted out to certain minor offences: we know only that part of it which dealt with murder and homicide. Cf. with the whole §, Dem. c. Timocr. §§ 113 sqq., Mid. $\S \S 44$ sqq. -Note that the force of oủ extends to the second clause $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha$ к.т. $\lambda$. as well : so also the ovio'e's following.
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ éka







 sacrilege': Madvig, § 27.


 the fixing of the penalty as a definite legislative act.
 impose a money fine on him who had killed a slave, while they excluded him who had killed a freeman from the public rites': oiкє́ $\eta \nu$ is obj. of àmoктєivav $\tau a$, which is to be supplied after $\tau \delta \nu$

 $\epsilon \ell \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu 0 \mu l \mu \omega \nu$, Dem. Lept. § 158 o $\Delta \rho \alpha ́ к \omega \nu \ldots \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega \nu . .$.

 $\mu \in \tau \in \chi$ Хоча $n$., infra § I42.
 even the smallest, they defined death as the penalty.'-For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$
c. dat. 'in the case of,' cf. the common $\nu \delta \mu o \nu \tau \iota \theta \in \dot{v}$ al $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \tau \nu$, 'to make a law in the case of a person' (for or against him): so $\nu b \mu$ os кєîtaı $\dot{e} \pi i \quad \tau \iota \nu \iota$.-кal тoîs $\dot{\ell} \lambda$.: the каi is intensive $=$ etiam: rather different is the 'corrective' use of the conj. in e.g.
 rather the greatest.'-Өávarov: so regularly, without the article,
 where it stands in predicative apposition to $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ § $\eta \mu i a \nu$, 'the (legal) penalty,' which latter, however, is often anarthrous: cf. Thuc. iII. 44 Od vazov §ŋuià $\pi \rho o \theta \in i \sigma \iota$, and other exx. cited by Rehd., App. 2, p. 145.
§ 66. ov̉ үà $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho$ òs $\tau$ ò 火\&เov k.т. $\lambda$.] 'For they did not severally have an eye to the individual aspect of the deed that had been done, nor did they gauge the enormity of a crime by that
 sidered rather was just this, whether the particular offence was calculated, if it acquired a wider scope, to inflict serious injury on the community': $\tau \delta \delta \delta$ ov represents what we may term the material aspect of the crime (e.g. whether it was a sacrilege or a petty theft, etc.), as opposed to the moral aspect and the motive
 тоутєs $n$.- $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\mu} \beta$ avov: 'judged,' 'estimated': cf. Thuc. II. 42
 more dear to them,' iII. 20 (of the Plataean besieged) T $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$

 themselves $\hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha \pi \underline{\epsilon} \phi \cup \kappa \varepsilon$, 'is the crime calculated ?' etc.: for
 conditional, 'if it went to greater lengths,' 'by acquiring a wider scope.'
 review this matter on any other principle': roúcov refers to the general thought of the previous sentence, i.e. the proper method
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} . . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s} a \mathfrak{\xi} \iota \partial \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha \iota, 1 b . \S 1 \mathrm{I}$.

фє́рє үáp, к.т. ${ }^{\text {.] }] ~ ' F o r ~ s u p p o s i n g, ~ g e n t l e m e n, ~ a ~ p e r s o n ~ w e r e ~}$ to enter the Metroum and erase one law, and then plead that its erasure made no difference to the state-would you not have condemned him to death? Yes, and rightly, to my mind, if you were to guarantee the preservation of the rest' ( $\tau$ oùs ${ }_{a} \lambda \lambda$ dous sc. $\nu \delta \mu o u s)$.-For $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in$ 'before a question which usually serves to refute another,' v. L.S. s.v. $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega$, Ix. 3 and reff.- $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{~ M} \boldsymbol{M} \tau \rho \varphi \hat{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}$ : the Metroum, the temple of Cybele, mother of the gods, stood in the marketplace near the council chamber (ßov $\begin{aligned} & \text { evt } \eta \rho t o \nu) \text { : it }\end{aligned}$ was the work of Phidias, and in it the state archives were kept : cf. Dem. F.L. § 129 év roîs коı 1
 ...ov̉k a̋v ámekтєivate; We have here a mixed conditional sentence, which it is quite easy to make conform to type by reading
 is no reason for suspecting the text, the indic. in the apodosis being explained by a sudden change in the point of view of the speaker, who concludes as though he had put (more vividly) a supposed case in the past (indic.) rather than one of remote future contingency (opt.): Goodwin, M.T. § 508. $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered} \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \psi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, of the act)( $\dot{a} \pi$ o久oyoito, of the attempt (conative), 'should seek to put forward the plea.'- $i \tau \tau$ : so regularly (without кal) in conditional clauses, 'marking continuation and further consequence' (Madvig, § 185, R. 6): cf. Dem. Ol. I. § $12 \epsilon l$ $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}$


 $\S 57$ Є̇ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oú $\delta \epsilon \mu l a \nu \dot{\rho} \rho \hat{\omega} n$.-ckinєp, 'that is to say, if,' etc.,

 usual rule, $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ is constructed (a) mostly with fut. infin., (b) less frequently with pres. infin., (c) more rarely still with aor. infin. The precise difference of meaning between $(a)$ and $(b)$ is difficult to define, and (c) has been emended practically out of existence by the editors (following, apparently, the lead of some
of the older grammarians, esp. Phrynichus, who condemned this const.) in prose passages; but certain places in the poets (e.g. Aesch. P.V. ${ }_{25}$, Eur. Or. 292, Med. 393) refuse to yield to treatment. The governing consideration in the constructions of $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ is no doubt (as with verbs of hoping, cf. supra $\S 60 n$.) the nature of the action contemplated-whether a definite act (aor.) or a continuous process (pres.): the fut. may represent either.
 $\epsilon \xi \in \wedge \lambda \pi \epsilon \nu n$.
кo入arteov ह̇бтi rov̂rov] Note that this (the gerund) const. of the verbal is commoner in Gk. than the personal or gerundive


 to the fact,' and the principle which it involves. $\epsilon l_{\mathrm{s}} \boldsymbol{\tau \delta} \pi \rho$. seems

 Antiph. vi. §9, etc.) and other exx. cited by Rehd., App. 2, ad loc. The text, however, has been freely emended: see Crit. App.
 of good fortune for us not to have had many such (citizens),' i.e.

$\mu o ́ v o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v ~ ¿ \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega v]$ Rather a curious, but frequent, expression (cf. infra § $102 \mu \dot{\mu} \nu 0 v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a ̈ \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi o \imath \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ ) for the more logical $\mu \dot{b}$ os $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (cf. supra § 50 , infra § I 31 ). Infra § I43 we

c. 17. $\$ 868-74$. But $I$ am most indignant of all at the plea that Leocrates' departure did not constitute desertion: did not our forefathers abandon the city and cross over to Salamis in the war with Xerxes? As if the two cases had anything in common! Who would not envy the record of those 'deserters'-who forced on the fight at Salamis and saved the rest of the Greeks in spite of themselves, and nearly stoned to death Alexander, Xerxes'
envoy？who championed Greece for ninety years，won by sea and land at the Eurymedon，fixed limits for the barbarian，and gave the Greeks of Asia autonomy？Where in all this do we trace the spirit of Leocrates？
 the city does not constitute desertion，＇is our idiom：for the form of the sentence，cf．Lysias c．Andoc．§41 oủ रà $\rho$ тoûto $\lambda u ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ é $\sigma \tau i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu a, \epsilon l$＇$A \nu \delta o \kappa i \delta \eta s . . . \delta \delta \delta \omega \sigma \iota \delta i \kappa \eta \nu, c$ ．Theomnest． §6．－$\chi \chi \in \tau 0$ must be translated as a plupf．（cf．Goodwin，§37）， as its action must be referred strictly to the case of L．，＇that departure from the city（on that occasion－after Chaeronea） cannot be construed as treason．＇
 41，Isocr．Paneg．§96，etc．

є́бтіv．．．кататєфроиךкш́s］The periphrastic perf．＇expresses more fully the continuance of the result of the action of the perfect to the present time＇：Goodwin，§45．
 noble historical episode，cf．Lys．In Alcib．I［or．xiv］\＄ 32 ， 33


 $\kappa а \tau а \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota .-\eta \dot{\eta} \xi \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ：＇has presumed＇： Goodwin，§ 58 ．
 グ入入a ${ }^{\circ} \alpha \nu$ ．
 Salamis，cf．generally Her．viir．cc．49－82，and esp．cc．56，70， 74 for the attitude of the Peloponnesians：also Isocr．Paneg．

 av̇тois $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．Note，however，that in Herod．＇s account （a）the Lacedaemonian commander，who was also commander of the confederate fleet，is Eurybiadas，not Eteonicus．Lyc．is ap－ parently thinking of the Spartan general of the latter name who
appears towards the close of the Peloponnesian War，and in the Corinthian War，and is mentioned several times by Xen． （Hell．у．6，II．1，v．i）；（b）the Aeginetans support the Athenians and Megarians in urging the expediency of fighting at Salamis （c．74）．The Peloponnesian scheme of falling back on the Isthmus would of course have meant the sacrifice of Aegina． The part which Lyc．makes them play here（as Rehd．well remarks，App．3，p．170）would no doubt appear quite plausible to his hearers in view of the traditional enmity between Athens and Aegina，which was bitterly intensified by the subsequent expulsion of the Aeginetans from their island about the beginning of the Peloponnesian War（43I b．c．）．一тò A．vavtıкóv：Aegina was represented by a contingent of thirty ships at Salamis， Her．viii．46．－aviтoîs．．．тopļ̧єの日al：for the fulness of expres－ sion，cf．Isocr．Nicoc．$\S 37$ aúroîs ধ̇mopíanvto tàs ท̉סovás，infra § І41 таракаӨıгаце́vous éavтoîs．

е́ $\gamma \kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda \epsilon เ \pi о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota]$＇finding themselves threatened with aban－ donment＇：cf．infra § 95 ＇є $\gamma \kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu \delta \mu \in \nu \nu \nu$ ，＇like to be caught＇： Goodwin，§ 25 ．
$\beta[a ̣ . . . \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \in ́ \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v]$ ceteros quoque etiam invitos liberaverunt： $\beta i q$ ，＇in spite of themselves，＇here without the gen．which often accompanies it：Thuc．I． $43 \beta i \boldsymbol{q} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，Soph．Ant． $79 \beta l \boldsymbol{q}$ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu$.
ávayкáбavtes］The＇compulsion，＇according to the well－ known story，came from Themistocles，who sent Sicinnus to Xerxes with a message that the Greeks intended to sail away in the night．Xerxes thereupon proceeded to block up the straits to prevent their escape（Aesch．Pers． 353 sqq．，Her．vili．75，76）．
 $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \epsilon i ้ \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \omega \nu \delta o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ，but he assigns prudential motives：


 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$（sc．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu . . . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu) \kappa \rho a \tau \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ஸ́s ย̇катє́ $\rho \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$.
 (a) єंยєрүєтоиิעтєs and $\mu a \chi$ b $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ('conquering in battle') go with $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu a \sigma \iota$, or (b) $\epsilon \in \epsilon \rho \gamma$. and $\mu a \chi$. are both subordinate to $\nu \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$. Rehd. and Sofer both explain as (b), but $\boldsymbol{\nu} \iota \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$ $\mu a \chi b \mu \epsilon \nu o t$ is so frequent in Gk. that it is impossible to decide between the two: cf. Rehd., App. 2, ad loc.
ápá $\gamma^{\prime}$ ö $\mu$ otot] 'A good match, forsooth, for the man who fled his country on a four days' voyage to Rhodes!' âpá $\gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ introduces a question which constitutes a reductio ad absurdum in the light of previous argument: cf. Dem. c. Aristocr. $\S 43$


 secondary acc. of 'the extent of the action' denoted by the verb: 'he fled his country-a four days' voyage': Madvig, § 26.
§71. ท์ $\pi$ would readily have brooked such conduct (as L.'s), instead of stoning to death the man who sought to sully their valour': the slight inconsistency ('one...their') in the rendering offered may be said to reflect the change of subject in $\dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\iota} \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \delta \dot{\tau} \tau s . . . \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon$ -入evaav.- $\boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \pi o v$, sane, opinor, scilicet, of ironical conjecture: see further below.- $\tau a \chi{ }^{\epsilon} \omega s$ : cf. the corresponding use of $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta}$, lit, 'at one's leisure,' which comes to have the meaning 'scarcely,' 'hardly': freq. in Plato.- тotov̂tov: this is the regular form of the neut. in Homer, and the best mss. of Plato give toovêtov, $\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о u ̂ \tau o \nu$, тобойтov, $\tau a \cup \cup \tau 6 \nu$, rarely the -o forms: Meyer, Griech.
 or contradictory, as may appear at first sight, if we remember that the whole sentence is under the influence of $\dot{\eta} \pi o v$ and that the Greeks said $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda$ ' oú where we say more naturally 'and not': the sense therefore is, 'I suppose they would have tolerated it...and not have stoned (or, instead of stoning) to death.' -



Yov̂v] yoûv indicates the transition from conjecture to historical fact: 'they would have stoned L.: at all events they stoned A.'

тòv $\pi a \rho \alpha$ 包, $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \eta!\nu \quad$ 'A.] Alexander of Macedon, son of Amyntas I, had been obliged to submit to Persia as early as the expedition of Mardonius in 492 B.c., and accompanied Xerxes in his invasion of Greece in 48 o b.c. On the latter occasion, he dissuaded the Greeks from attempting to defend the pass of Tempe (Her. vil. 173), and Herodotus makes him appear again at Plataea to warn the Athenians of Mardonius' intention to attack on the morrow (Ix. 44, 45). He is described by Herod.

 we hear of his having undertaken to Athens is that described by Herod. viil. 136 ff ., in the interval between Salamis and Plataea, when he came as the immediate representative of Mardonius, not 'to demand earth and water,' but as the bearer of most honourable terms for Athens on condition of her accepting the status of a free ally of Persia. The offer was rejected, but we hear nothing of Alexander being 'almost stoned to death'-a treatment indeed which would be strangely inconsistent with the uniformly friendly relations between Athens and the Macedonian king to which other sources testify. Herodotus, however, goes on to relate ( $\mathrm{Ix} .4,5$ ) the episode of the stoning of Lycidas (cf. infra § 122) for proposing that a similar offer of Mardonius, conveyed this time by one Murychides, should be accepted; and we can hardly help concluding that Lycurgus has simply confused Alexander's mission with the Lycidas incident. (Cf. Macan, Herod. Bks. vii-1x, vol. II, p. 4 r.$)-\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ кal $๊ \delta \omega \rho$ : 'earth and water,' the Persian tokens of submission.- $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o \hat{} \delta_{\epsilon \in \imath v: ~ i n f i n . ~}^{\text {. }}$ absol., 'almost': Goodwin, § 779 .
öтov $\delta \mathbf{\epsilon ̇ . . . ท ๋ ~ \pi o v ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ] ~ ' W h e n ~ t h e r e f o r e ~ t h e y ~ t h o u g h t ~ f i t ~ t o ~}$ exact vengeance for mere words, they would have refrained, I imagine, from visiting with severe penalties a man who had in deed given over their city to the mercy of their enemies': for this type of sentence, in which ö $\pi$ ov (in a causal sense) introduces
a case just established, or not disputed, with $\bar{\eta} \pi o v$ introducing an à fortiori argument based upon it ('much more' or 'much less,' as the sense requires), cf. Andoc. De Myst. §86 öтov oũv
 $\pi a \nu \tau a ́ \pi a \sigma \iota \nu$ oủ $\delta \in i ̂ ~ \chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota, 1 b$. §90, Isocr. De Pace § 24, etc. The main clause with $\dot{\eta} \pi o v$ appears in such cases either as a statement or as a question (both sarcastic), and some passages (like the present one) seem capable of being taken as either. [Rehd. and Thalh. place a point of interrogation after éкó入aбav: I follow Mätzner (Sch., Bl.) in omitting it.]-тоv̂ $\lambda$ óyov...êpỵ: a somewhat forced example of the favourite antithesis. tô̂ 入óyov refers more specifically to A.'s message: A. offended only in
 belongs to $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ as perhaps rather than to $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \lambda a \sigma \alpha \nu$, but the sense is the same: 'I presume they would have visited with light (ov $\mu \varepsilon \gamma$ diaus) penalties'...'I presume they would have

 corrected $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \nu .}$. of the MSS., and which Lyc. may really have written, for numerals are notoriously liable to confusion] would certainly agree better with the figures of other writers; but there is nothing intrinsically improbable in $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau a$, for Lyc., whom we have already detected in an error of 200 years in regard to Messene (supra §62n.), might quite well be 20 years out in his reckoning here. The terminus ad quem of the first Athenian empire was no doubt Aegospotami ( 405 b.c.) : the terminus a quo seems to have been less certain. [Lysias] (II. § 55) speaks of 70 years; Isocr. Paneg. § 106, 70 years, Panath. $\S 56,65$ years; Dem. Phil. inI. § 23, 73 years. If we take in conjunction with Dem. l.c., [Dem.] De Syntax. [or. XIII] § $26 \pi \epsilon \ell \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ каi
 $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o t$ ), where $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ means 'up to the Peloponnesian War,' 432-431 B.C., Dem.'s 73 years will be as nearly as possible 477-405 B.C., giving as the (usually accepted) terminus a quo the capture of Sestus.
 geration of the victory gained by the Athenians off Salamis in Cyprus over a combined Phoenician and Cilician fleet, after raising the siege of Citium, in the course of which Cimon had



 that this victory was some 18 years later than that at the Eurymedon, and would naturally have been referred to after and not before the latter, need not be regarded as serious in an orator whose strong point is not historical accuracy (see notes on the two preceding $\S \S$ ), and who at best is summing up in a few graphic touches the main features of Graeco-Asiatic relations over an extended period.
è $\pi^{\prime}$ ' Ev́pupé $\left.\delta o \nu \tau \iota\right]$ 'at the Eurymedon,' a river in Pamphylia, where the Greeks under Cimon won a brilliant double victory by sea and land over the Persian fleet and army (c. $4^{67}$ ): Thuc.

1. 100; Diod. x1. 60-62; Plut. Vit. Cim. 12, 13.

 mean, as we say, 'they took or destroyed 200 in all.' Plut. Cim. 12 ad fin. makes them capture 200. If Lycurgus' figures are to be pressed, we may suppose (a) that he is giving the number of vessels captured at the Eurymedon, as opp. to those destroyed (cf. Diod. xI. 60 and inscr. ibid. 62, which, however, may not be relevant) ; (b) that he is confusing the victory at the Eurymedon with a later victory (itself a confusion with that won at Salamis, supra?) off Cyprus, attributed to Cimon by Diod. and Plut., in which the former (xiI. 3) makes him capture ' 100 vessels with their crews'; (c) that he is not referring to the immediate results of the Eurymedon victory at all, but to the results of operations extending over a considerable period.
 expression roughly in apposition with the thought of the sentence,
like $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ тởvavtiov, etc.: cf. infra § 92 тoû $\tau^{\prime}$ aủtò $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ : : Madvig, § 19, R. 3, §31, c.

ơpous toîs $\beta$ apßápots $\pi$ rigavtes к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] 'having fixed for the barbarian bounds which were to guarantee the freedom of Greece, and forbidden him to overstep these, they made a covenant that he should not sail with a ship of war inside the Cyanean islands and Phaselis.'-This 'bridling of the barbarian' is a favourite topic with the Greek orators, and with the present passage should be compared the substantially similar statements and language of Isocr. Paneg. § 118, Areop. §80, Panath. §59; Dem. F.L. §273; Plut. Vit. Cim. 13; Diod. xil. 4. All the passages cited agree with Lycurgus in respect of the sea-limit prescribed, except that Dem. and Plut. substitute 'the Chelidonian islands' [S.W. of Phaselis] for Phaselis; the land-limit (which Lycurgus omits) is specified by Isocr. (Areop., Panath., ll.cc.) as 'this side the river Halys' ( $\epsilon \nu \tau \partial s$ "Advos morauov̂), by Dem. and Plut., ll.cc., as 'within a day's ride of the sea,' and by Diod., l.c., as 'within a three days' march of the sea.' The last-mentioned further makes 'the independence of all the Greek cities in Asia' one of the articles subscribed to by the Persian.

From a comparison of Lycurgus and the other authorities quoted, it further appears that Lycurgus, Plutarch and Diodorus expressly, and Isocrates and Demosthenes presumably, connect this circumscription of Persian power with the victory at the Eurymedon: sometimes it is represented as an ipso facto result of that victory (Isocr. Paneg. §118, Areop. §80), but Isocr. at another place (Paneg. § 120 ), and Dem., Plut. and Diod., ll.cc., as Lycurgus here, speak of a definite 'peace' ( $\epsilon i \rho \eta \quad \eta \eta$ ) or 'convention' ( $\sigma v \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \iota$ ) concluded between Athens and Persia. Dem., Plut. and Diod. all name Callias as the Athenian ambassador to Persia, though the first two are sharply at variance as to the treatment accorded him on hiṣ return (Dem. l.c. Ka入入lav rd̀v


$i \delta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota, \kappa a i$ K. $\tau \delta \nu \nu \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau \alpha \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \quad \delta \iota a \phi \varepsilon \rho \delta \nu \tau \omega s)$. Plut. further mentions that a copy of the convention was to be found 'in the collection of Craterus' (flor. c. 250 B.C.- 'े $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ тoîs $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma-$ $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ á $\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{~K} \rho a \tau \epsilon \rho b s$ ), but Theopompus (historian and pupil of Isocr.) maintained that the convention was a fabrication. (See Sandys on Isocr. Paneg. §§ in 8, 120. )

The whole subject of the so-called 'Peace of Callias' (or Cimon), which is now generally dated (by those who accept it) to $c .44^{8}$ B.C., or some twenty years later than the victory at the Eurymedon, is evidently wrapt in an obscurity of long standing, and modern historians are divided in opinion as to its historicity. We may perhaps safely assume that a definite understanding resulting in peace was come to between Athens and Persia about the date mentioned, but that the conditions subscribed to by the latter were in course of time much exaggerated by Athenian vanity, which required, at a later date, a plausible off-set to the discreditable Peace of Antalcidas (386 b.c.). In particular, the limit prescribed for the operations of the Persian land forces (the river Halys) is ridiculous, and the Great King 'certainly did not stoop to the humiliation of formally acknowledging the independence of the Greek cities of Asia.' Bury, H.G. (1900), p. 360. See also Grote, H.G. (1904), vol. Iv. pp. 422-8; Holm, H.G. vol. II. pp. 176-8.- $\mu$ акрџ̂ $\pi \lambda$ оіџ: 'i.q. navis longa, the long and narrow ship-of-war) ( $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \gamma \gamma \dot{v} \lambda \eta \nu a \hat{s} s, \dot{j} \lambda \kappa d ́ s$, rav̂los, navis oneraria, the rounded and roomy merchant-vessel' (Sandys on Isocr. Paneg. § I18, s.vv. цакрòv $\pi \lambda o i ̂ o v) .-K v a v e ́ \omega \nu ~$ ... $\Phi a \sigma{ }_{\eta} \lambda_{\imath} \delta_{o s: ~}^{\text {: }}$ the Cyanean islands (or rocks-otherwise the Symplegades) were situated at the entrance to the Euxine (Black Sea): Phaselis was a sea-coast town of Lycia, standing on a headland overlooking the Pamphylian gulf. 'The light sailing-boat called the phaselus is supposed to have been invented there, and was commonly represented on the coins of the place.' Sandys, l.c. [The dictt., however, derive from $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \lambda o s$, phaselus, $=$ 'kidney-bean,' from the likeness of the ship in question to a bean-pod.]
 used twice, or even three times, with the same verb...to make the conditional force felt through the whole, especially when the connexion is broken by intermediate clauses': Goodwin, §223.
 still be inhabiting.'- $\mathbf{v} \mu \mathrm{a} s$ is necessary to distinguish the subject of катоוкєiv from that of $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a<$, and is also intended to balance the $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$ which follows $\dot{\eta} \sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \eta$ as obj. below.
c. 18. §§ 75-78. Your attitude towards such cases as Leocrates' is attested by your ancient laws and by the oath which all the citizens sweear when they come to man's estate. If Leocrates took that oath, he has palpably perjured himself; if he did not, he has manifestly made up his mind to shirk his duty from the first. The oath you shall now hear. Leocrates has flouted every clause of it. Will you reserve your vengeance for those who have violated but one clause, and so put a premium upon great crimes?
§75. Tlva тро́тоv vєขоцíкатє] 'what your standing attitude is to cases like these,' lit. 'what manner you have adopted (made customary).'- $\pi \epsilon \rho$ l $\tau 0$ ú $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ : $\tau$ oút $\omega \nu$ may be either masc. referring to roùs kaкoùs of the previous sentence, or neut. referring to L.'s actions, the latter being the more probable.
 taîs eivolais $n$.
 $\delta \mu \omega s \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$. For the position of $\delta \mu \omega s$ close to the protasis, though really belonging to the verb of the apodosis, cf. Thuc.
 $\kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \theta o \rho \nu \beta \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \iota, \delta \mu \omega s \xi$., and other exx. quoted by L.S.
 $\epsilon \nu \in l \delta \sigma \sigma \omega$.

тav̂ra] i.e. the laws and similar institutions.

 they are enrolled in the public register and rank as ephebi.' -

> P.L.

The institution of $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \beta \in l a$ at Athens comes into prominence in the second half of the $4^{\text {th }}$ cent. B.c. and appears to have been an elaboration of a system of training for the Athenian youth, mainly military in character, which was in vogue as early, at least, as the time of Thucydides. On attaining the age of 18 , the youth passed a scrutiny ( $\delta о к \iota \mu \sigma \sigma$ la) and was enrolled in the register of his deme ( $\tau \dot{\delta} \lambda \eta \xi \iota a \rho \dot{\chi} \iota \kappa \delta \nu \nu \rho \alpha \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu)$ ). For the next two years he ranked as an $\begin{gathered} \\ \phi\end{gathered} \eta \beta$ os and underwent a course of training at the public expense, under the supervision of a chief officer ( $\kappa \circ \sigma \mu \eta \tau \eta$ 多) who was assisted by ten $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \iota \sigma \tau a l$. For the first year the ephebi were charged with police duty at Munychia and along the coast ; in the second year, after receiving a shield and spear from the state, they patrolled the frontiers or garrisoned the forts (cf. the older $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi 0 \lambda o \iota$ ). On the occasion of receiving his arms, or perhaps when be entered upon his course of discipline, the ephebus took an oath of loyalty to his country at the temple of Aglaurus (see infra). The ephebi of each tribe messed together, somewhat after the Spartan manner, and there was a uniform ephebic dress, consisting of a dark mantle and a broad-brimmed hat. Much of our information regarding the 'epheby' is derived from a body of 'ephebic' inscriptions, the earliest dating from c. 334 B.c., embodying lists of ephebi or complimentary decrees respecting them. In the course of the third and following cents. B.c. the military and gymnastic training was supplemented, and to some extent replaced, by courses in philosophy, rhetoric and science, and the whole system corresponded more or less to a modern university education. See Aristotle, 'A $\theta . ~ \Pi о \lambda . ~ c . ~ 42, ~ w i t h ~ S a n d y s ' ~ n o t e s ; ~ A . ~ D u m o n t, ~$ L'Ephébie attique; P. Girard in Darem. and Sag. III. pp. 621 ff. (1891).

 ठ $\mu \nu$ v́ovaı $\pi$ àvtes oi $\pi$ o久îtal, the substance of which they embody.
 not doubt that L., as a matter of fact, took the oath in his time.
 his mind to evade his duty from the first.'-For $\pi a p a \sigma \kappa \in v a ́ j \in \sigma \theta a t$, of deliberate intention, cf. Dem. Lept. § 143 el' $\tau, s \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda a s ~ t a ̀ s ~$

 àv $\theta$ ' $ิ v: ~ i . q . ~ \breve{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, 'wherefore,' drawing the inference from the argument preceding.
§77. <OPKOE> The 'ephebic' oath which follows is preserved in Poll. viif. io5, Stobae. Floril. xlifi. 48.
 side I shall be ranged' in battle : $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau a \tau \eta s$ is one's comrade-on-the-flank, as $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \eta s$ is one's front-rank-man, and '̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta s$

 referred to cowardice'), Soph. Ant. $6_{7}$ r.—的ox $\eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ : of arrangement in 'rows' or 'ranks' ( $\sigma \tau 0 \mathrm{o} \chi o \iota$ ).

 д $\mu o i \omega s$, etc.
 sponding roughly to äpıoтos: epic and tragic, rare in prose. -



 rulers for the time being': the verb is poetical. [I have adopted ${ }_{\kappa \rho \alpha a \nu b \nu \tau \omega \nu}$ (Blass) for $\kappa \rho / \nu b \nu \tau \omega \nu$ of the MSS.]
тoîs $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ oîs roîs i $\delta p \nu \mu$ évoss] 'the established ordinances': $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \mathrm{s}$ sas a word of more archaic and solemn flavour than

одофрóvшs] with $\pi$ eloroual.
ávaıpn̂] conative, 'seek to subvert,' de medio tollere.


\ovopes $\theta$ Eol] 'as witness the gods,' etc. प $\sigma \tau \omega \rho$ occurs twice in

 sense of 'judge,' 'umpire.' $\theta$ єoùs $\pi$ ávzas $\ell \sigma \tau o p a s ~ \pi o t e v ́ \mu \in \nu o s ~ i s ~$ quoted from an oath in Hippocrates (L.S. s.v.). The word no doubt comes from the rt . $F i \delta$ (seen in $\epsilon \ell \delta o \nu$, oi $\delta a$, etc.), as is shown by the first Homeric example and also by the occurrence of $F^{\text {l }}$ itopes ( $=$ 'arbitrators') in inscrr.
"Aү入aupos] It was 'in the temple of Aglaurus' that the ephebi
 ${ }^{\ell} \phi \eta \eta^{\prime} \beta \omega \nu$ \%окоу. Herod. (viil. 53) makes the Persians scale the Acropolis at the point where this shrine was situated (on the north side, near the cave of Pan), and describes Aglaurus as
 'A $\gamma \lambda a u ́ \rho o u)$. She and her two sisters, Pandrosos and Herse, were charged by Athena (according to the legend) with the keeping of the chest in which was the child Erichthonius: Aglaurus and Herse, who proved false to their trust, were driven mad and threw themselves from the rocks of the Acropolis (Paus. I. 18; Eur. Ion, 270 sqq.). Another legend, however, preserved in Ulpian (quoted by Shilleto on Dem. F.L. l.c.) connected the shrine with the self-immolation of 'Aglaurus' on behalf of the state; and the occasion, further, was represented as the war of Erechtheus and Eumolpus (infra $\S \S 98$, 99). 'Aglaurus' was thus apparently identified with the daughter whom the oracle required Erechtheus to sacrifice, but whom Lycurgus does not



 to Aglaurus, daughter of Cecrops. He concludes: $\epsilon i \tau a d \pi a \lambda \lambda a-$


 statement of Ulpian seems to point to a very general confusion between Aglaurus, daughter of Cecrops, and (a namesake ?) the
daughter of Erechtheus and Praxithea, who sacrificed herself for her country. What seems to be certain is that Aglaurus (as well as Pandrosos and Herse) was originally a title of Athena herself, and we may suppose that the legends connected with 'Aglaurus,' which probably have reference to an ancient human sacrifice, were only evolved long after the goddess and these titles had parted company. Aglaurus (Agraulus), in fact, to adapt Prof. Bury's dictum on Lycurgus (the Spartan lawgiver), 'was not a woman ; she was only a goddess.'
'Evvádıos"Ap ${ }^{\prime}$ s] These words probably denote one deity, not two; for 'Evvá $\lambda c o s$ is an epithet of Ares in his special character as

 ef. Soph. Ai. 179 , Eur. Andr. Io16), while the two are distinguished by Ar. Pax 457 and later writers. The names are used of one deity in an oath on an Arcadian inscr. published in 1900. There was a shrine of Enyalius in Salamis, founded by Solon to commemorate the recovery of the island from the Megarians. (See Jebb on Ai. l.c. and App.)
 the first, one of the Horae; the second and third, two of the Charites (Graces).
ka入ós $\gamma^{\text {'...ó öpкos] 'An honourable and holy oath!' we may }}$ say, though the adjs. are, of course, predicative.

§78. $\pi \circ \hat{v}]$ 'how?,' perhaps, rather than 'where?,' as fre-
 Aristocr. § 58 Tov̂ ôè $\gamma$ ยvout ă $v$ тav̂ta;
 he have handed over his country in an enhanced condition-by treason?': the question has specific reference to the fourth clause of the oath above quoted ( $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \pi a \tau \rho \hat{i} \delta a \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ oúk $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega \pi a \rho a \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ ), which the orator does not systematically pursue further, but rounds off with the sarcastic $\pi \rho \sigma \delta o \sigma i q ;$ which paves the way for the concluding tò $\gamma$ à $\rho . . . \dot{v} \pi o \chi \epsilon i \rho t o ́ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$. It seems an open ques-
tion whether $\tau i v \iota$ should be taken as neut. ('by what ?'), in app. with $\pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i q$ (so Sofer), or personally ('to whom?'): the latter is favoured, I think, by $\tau$ oîs $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu$ loss following, and has perhaps some support from Lys. xiil. § 62 oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ vं $\mu \hat{\nu} \eta \pi$ oג-
 (so Rehd., ad loc.).

тò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тоúтov $\mu$ épos] Cf. supra § 17 т̀̀ ка $\theta^{\prime}$ aútòv $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ n$.
$\dot{v} \pi$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau เ v]$ The vivid present represents the state of things that might have resulted from L.'s desertion as actually existing.
 тivas oviv $\tau เ \mu \omega \rho \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \in \theta \in ;$;] 'whom then will you punish?'
äpa] 'one must conclude': cf. supra § $27 n$.

 anger rather for small offences': $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} \nu$ perhaps with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ toîs
 though the sense is practically the same.
c. 19. $\S 879-8 \mathbf{2}$. Let me remind you that it is an oath that keeps the democracy together. The constitution consists of three elements-magistrate, judge, private individual-and all three give this pledge, the breaking of which exposes them to the wrath of the gods even if they escape punishment at the hands of men. The oath taken by the Greeks at Plataea, modelled on that which is customary among you, is instructive as an indication of the spirit which inspired it. This oath they scrupulously observed. It would be outrageous to allow the glory of Athens, won by your ancestors at such cost, to be tarnished by such conduct as the defendant's.
§79. kal $\mu \eta \mathrm{\eta} \nu]$ introducing a new argument, as regularly in the orators : in tragedy, to mark the entrance of a new character on the stage.

кai тoûӨ'] so often кג்кєîvo (cf. illud'), referring to, and explained






$\delta$ i $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ] the term here means simply 'one who takes no part in public affairs,' 'private individual': as such it is contrasted with
 iI). Rather different is the meaning at supra § 3I divaßoincerau
 speaker': see note.
 $\pi l \sigma \tau \nu \nu=$ 'this pledge.' ' If there is anything in Greek [prose] more certain than another, it is that тov̂ro $\pi \rho \hat{\rho} \gamma \mu a$, minus the article, for this affair, is a solecism of the grossest kind' (Hickie on Andoc. De Myst. § 39, where he enumerates the uses of outos in which the article may be omitted, and cites as parallels to the
 $\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$, 'him as an instructor,' Isocr. De Pace, § 4 тaúr $\eta \nu \tau \in \chi \bar{\nu} \eta \nu$ ${ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \omega$, 'I have this as my business' ( $\tau \eta \nu \nu \tau \in \chi \bar{\eta} \nu \quad$ Blass), Xen. Oecon.


 ... have not only escaped (i.e. it often happens that people not only escape)...but actually go unpunished for such crimes for the rest of their lives': the aorist is here seen in the stage of transition from the ordinary to the gnomic use, a circumstance which makes it more easily coupled with the present ( $\dot{\theta} \theta \hat{\varphi} 0 l$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau)$, though the present also denotes the state of impunity as opposed to the act
 $\epsilon^{\ell} \pi \in \sigma \varepsilon \nu \dot{v} \pi$ ' $\hat{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma b \nu \omega \nu$, i.e. 'it often happens that great armaments are overthrown by small,' and Goodwin, M.T. $\$ \S{ }^{1} 55-157$.-Tiेv
 the consequences of them, 'unpunished for' them.
 Glaucus, the Lacedaemonian, who repudiated a deposit: Her. vi.





 III. c. 38 (§ 90 ). -For $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \mathrm{l}$, agreeing with the nearer subject, cf. Madvig, § 2. d. R. 1: [Xen.] Rep. Ath. i. 2 'A $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma \iota ~ к a l ~$

 selves by' this pledge (strictly 'this as a pledge': cf. $\tau a v i \tau \eta \nu \pi l \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ $\delta i \delta \omega \sigma \omega \nu$ in previous $\S$ and $n$.), i.e. by an oath, $\delta \rho \kappa о s$, with which $\pi$ lozis here is evidently interchangeable, although the two appear to be distinguished in Arist. Rhet. I. I4. 5 otov $\begin{aligned} & \text { ópoovs } \delta \in \xi \text { 位 }\end{aligned}$
 an assurance (oath),' i.e. offering to swear to another party, and $\delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ or $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \pi / \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ (öркор) of 'accepting such a tender from another': Xen. Cyr. viI. I. $44 \pi$. סьסóval кal $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'to exchange assurances.' When the oath is 'proposed' or 'administered' to oneself, $\delta o \hat{\nu} a \iota \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \iota \nu$ becomes practically $=$ ठркоу $\langle\mu$ о́ $\sigma u$, 'to swear an oath.'
 őpoov] The 'customary oath' is apparently the 'ephebic' oath (supra § 77), though the 'Plataean' oath quoted does not resemble it very closely.-The historicity of the oath here recorded by Lycurgus as having been taken by the confederate Greeks before Plataea has been generally doubted, both on intrinsic grounds and especially in view of the silence of Herodotus on the subject. Isocrates (Paneg. § i 56) attributes to the Ionians (after the capture of Miletus, 494 B.C., and the burning by the Persians of the temple of Apollo at Branchidae ?) an imprecation, closely parallel to the 'Plataean' oath of Lycurgus, upon those who should seek to restore the burnt shrines; but here again Herodotus and the historians are silent. It may well be, however, that the loyal Greeks resolved not to rebuild the burnt temples-a resolution, indeed, which is quoted by Pausanias ( x .35 .2 ) as accounting for the ruinous condition of certain notable temples in his own day.

Finally, Theopompus denounced the 'Plataean' oath as an Athenian fabrication. Herodotus, however, does record (VII. I32) a solemn oath taken by the Greeks before Thermopylae to the effect that 'they would tithe, for the benefit of the god at Delphi, such as, being Greeks, uncompelled submitted to the Persian,' and we may reasonably suppose that the more elaborate formula of Lycurgus, which is repeated elsewhere (e.g. Diod. XI. 29), is 'a mere subsequent development of the short and sensible resolution' mentioned by Herod. l.c. See Sandys on Isocr. Paneg. l.c.; Macan's Herodotus, vii-IX, vol. iI., pp. 40, 4 I.
$\pi a \lambda a \iota \omega ิ \nu$ oै $v \tau \omega v]$ concessive.
\% $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{s} \omega_{s}{ }^{l} \mathbf{X}$ vos] i.e. as though it were 'a sketch in outline' which can be filled in: cf. ixvoypaфía.-See Crit. App.
§81. àvóotatov] Cf. supra § $60 n$.
тd̀s $\tau \alpha$ тov̂ $\beta$. $\pi \rho \circ \in \lambda о \mu \dot{v} v a s]$ 'such as have espoused the cause of the barbarian.'
§єкатєv́ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ] 'betithe,' i.e. exact a tenth part from, by way of satisfaction; but the word as used in threats is clearly stronger than its literal meaning, and connotes 'signal punishment,' 'utter destruction,' as in its proverbial application to Thebes before



むvouko $\delta \circ \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ] 'rebuild,' reficere.
 $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \omega$ in the transferred sense, 'abide by' (тоîs $\delta \rho \kappa o \iota s$, taîs $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i ̂ s$, etc. ), is usual, but not universal : cf. Thuc. IV. ir 8 ad fin.

$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \ldots \gamma \in \nu \rho \mu \hat{v} \nu \omega v]$ concessive.
$\pi \rho o$ s] of relation, 'in face of.'
 тatov $n$.
 to die...you decline to punish': cf. supra $\$ \S 8,42$, etc.

$\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta v]$ For the resumptive pronoun, cf. supra $\S 842,4^{6} n n$.
c. 20. $\S \S 83-89$. You of all the Greeks cannot afford to overlook such offences, because your city has always been an ensample of good works to others. Your ancient kings, like Codrus, elected to die on behalf of their country, and they have found in it honourable graves. But Leocrates has no lot nor part therein, either in life or in death.
§83. ois $\pi a p a \delta \epsilon[\gamma \mu a \sigma \iota]$ ' which as examples': $\pi a \rho a \delta$. is pre-

 тарака入є́єба.
d'pXaьoтáтท] For Athens' claim to antiquity, cf. §§ 41, 100, and notes.
 was the last king of Athens, according to the popular tradition, which added that the kingship was abolished because no one was thought worthy to succeed him - 'a curious reversal of the usual causes of such a revolution' (Bury, H. G. (1900), p. 169). He is most probably a fictitious character, and the exploits attributed to him are mainly of late origin. Lyc.'s account here of the circumstances in which he met his death-his disguise and his encounter with enemy soldiers-agrees in the main with that of later authorities: peculiar to Lyc. are (1) the famine, as the motive of the Dorian invasion; (2) the communication of the oracle to the Athenians by Cleomantis. According to other accounts, the oracle was unknown to the Athenians, but Codrus got wind of it. For the literature of the subject, see further Rehd., App. 3, p. 167.
 The change of the participle in a case of this kind to agreement with the accusatival subject of the infinitive may be said to be the rule rather than the exception: cf. Thuc. I. 53 Ėסo $\xi_{\epsilon \nu}$ ov̉v aúroîs...

 ... $\psi \eta \phi \iota \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, Ibid. § 37, Dem. F. L. ad fin., vi $\mu \hat{i} \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$


(d-priv., $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega$ ) : 'on the occasion of a famine in their country.'$\epsilon \xi \neq \alpha \nu a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \nu \tau a s: ~ \epsilon \xi \xi a v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu c$ (lit. 'make to rise') is said of the wholesale removal of a population: Her. I. 17 I тoùs Kâpas...


 § $60 n$.
 $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega)$ is exactly the Eng. 'sent and asked.'- $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \eta \rho(\hat{\omega} \tau \omega \nu$ : the verb is technical of consulting an oracle, as a d $\nu \in \lambda \epsilon i v(i n f r a)$ is of the answer: cf. Thuc. I. $\mathbf{2}_{5}$, etc. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon l} \lambda_{\eta} \mathbf{\eta}^{\psi}$ oveal: their question was $\lambda \eta \psi \delta \mu \varepsilon \theta a$; 'shall we take?': both mood and tense are preserved in the indirect question in historic sequence: cf. Dem. F. L. § 122 '́ $\beta$ ) $\kappa a \tau a \lambda \epsilon i \psi о \mu \in \nu ;$ ) : Goodwin, § 669. 2.
àve入óvros...тоиิ $\theta$ єov̂] ' on the god answering them, that,' etc.: v. L.S. s.v. advalpé $\omega$, III, and cf. Thuc. I. 25 ó $\delta \hat{\text { è }}$ (sc. $\theta \in \dot{\rho} \mathrm{s}$ ) aútoîs
 perhaps, if we press the tense.
§85. $\delta \iota$ ' ámopp $\eta$ ' $\tau \omega \nu$ ] 'secretly,' 'confidentially': cf. Plat. Rep.


 appear, did they continue to enjoy the good-will even of foreigners': though oűtws, tam, is frequently separated from its
 between oürcs and $\epsilon \ddot{\partial} v o v s$ here suggests that each should be given a separate force: the distinction, it is true, is rather fine, but may be represented perhaps as that between usque adeo etiam externis sui studiosis utebantur and tam studiosis...utebantur.-Tov̀s
 $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega{ }^{5}$, 'the people in the city,' etc.
 $\theta \rho \epsilon \not \psi a \sigma a \nu$ aútoús $n$.

ठıєкapтépouv єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$.] 'staunchly stood by their country': so
also L.S. s.v. $\delta \iota a \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega$. [I cannot agree with Rehd. that $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$. is to be joined with катакл $\eta \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$, if $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ о入ьоркоิิvто каl is kept: see Crit. App.]
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha \nu$.
yoûv] introducing, as often, an actual illustration of a general statement: cf. $\S \S 71,95$.
 moment of his death,' i.e. in relation to the oracle : for $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \nu$, cf. supra § io $n$.
 these words in the sense which at first sight they seem to require, viz. 'slipping out by the gate,' a statement which (leaving out of account the equation $\dot{v} \pi o \delta \hat{v} v a t=$ 'slip out' (from), in the absence of an accompanying gen. as at $O d$. vi. $127 \theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \omega \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \tau$, xx. 53 как $\bar{\nu} \nu \dot{u} \pi о \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \epsilon a \iota$ ) must be said to convey a somewhat superfluous piece of information. Nor does $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \kappa \delta \dot{v} \nu \tau \alpha$, Ernesti and Schulz (B1., Sofer), apparently in the sense of $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\theta} \nu \tau a$, mend matters, for $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \kappa \delta \hat{v} \nu a \iota$ is properly said of 'stealing unobserved from a place of concealment' (cf. Her. I. Io); but even admitting that the word can mean, absolutely, 'to make one's way out secretly or unobserved,' this does not go well with кatà $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \pi \dot{v} \lambda a s-t h e ~ l a s t ~ p l a c e ~ w h e r e ~ o n e ~ m i g h t ~ e x p e c t ~ t o ~ d o ~ s o . ~$ Rehdantz, in the face of these difficulties, 'sees only the possibility of taking кaтà $\tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a s ~ w i t h ~ \sigma u \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$,' and Sofer, reading $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \kappa \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \tau a$, takes кaгd̀ $\tau \dot{a} s \pi$. both with the ptcp. and with $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon^{-}$ $\gamma_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$. Rehd. further explains úmoóv́vza as 'stooping so as to conceal his kingly stature,' which I greatly doubt: he would have to stoop to gather his wood, if for nothing else, and in any case we should expect this to be expressed not by $\dot{v} \pi o \delta \hat{v} v a l$ but
 to кq̣̃ $\frac{1}{\tau}$, thereby making $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \pi \dot{\jmath} \lambda a s$ the direct obj. of $\dot{u} \pi o \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \tau a$, makes the words bear the only sense which seems possible in the Greek, viz. 'slipping under,' 'taking cover in' the gate, which I do not understand.

With the text as it stands, and taking $\dot{v} \pi \mathrm{~m}_{0} \dot{v} v \tau a$ in the sense which (I think) most naturally suggests itself, viz. 'going into' or 'under' something, for cover or shelter (Lat. subire), I understand Lycurgus to say that Codrus 'donning a beggar's garb and secreting. himself opposite the gate [till the opportune moment came, as presently explained], set to gathering wood before the city,' and I picture the whole episode thus: Codrus [making his way out of the town-it may have been by the gate] concealed himself in a position from which he could watch for the approach of enemy soldiers: the whole narrative, indeed, assumes that he was expecting them. It was essential to his project that he should himself be the first to encounter them, so as to anticipate any information they might gather as to his personal appearance or his whereabouts-information which they would presumably be very eager to obtain. Immediately he saw what he was looking for, he left his place of concealment, made a feint at woodgathering, and indeed did what he could to put himself in the way of the soldiers and pick a quarrel with them without raising any suspicions in them as to his identity or his purpose. For further discussion of the passage, see Crit. App.
$\sigma u \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon เ v]$ ' set to gathering': impf. infin.: Goodwin, § 119.
 with $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \tau$. and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi$.- $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \nu \tau a$, for which Blass reads $\pi \alpha i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ out of Suidas' $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi a \nu \tau a$, seems well enough supported
 and other passages.
 the second ; but probably both should stand (as Rehd. points out, App. I, ad loc.) : (a) $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{K} \delta \delta \rho \varphi$ is necessary to show that $\tau \delta \partial \delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon \lambda$. is a subject, not a second object corresponding to $\tau \partial \nu$
 the whole point of the narrative.
$\left.\sigma \pi a \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \in \nu 0 v\right]$ The middle seems more frequent than act. in
 $\sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma a s \chi \in \rho$.
 катабXєiv] occupare, 'get possession of': note the aorist.
 infra § $142 \dot{\eta} \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \iota s \epsilon \theta a \psi a \nu$, Thuc. I. 20 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \tau \delta े \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$

aúтழ̣ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in$ каl ékyóvors] The article is regularly omitted in this formula.
 tenance in the state-hall,' a signal honour at Athens. Besides the $\pi \rho \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota s$ (the fifty representatives of the фu入̀̀ $\pi \rho \cup \tau a \nu \in \dot{v} o v \sigma a, \mathrm{v}$. L.S. s.v. $\pi \rho u u^{\tau} \alpha \nu$ (s), who had meals provided for them originally in the $\pi \rho \cup \tau a \nu \epsilon i o \nu$, but later in the $\theta \delta \lambda$ os or 'Round Room' (for the two buildings are to be carefully distinguished, though their uses seem to have been largely similar), a number of other persons were so entertained for special reasons, whom Pollux (IX. 40) classifies as (I) ambassadors, (2) citizens or others who had done good service to the state (oi $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a \hat{\xi i \nu} \tau \iota \nu a \sigma \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon s)$, ( 3 ) those who had been granted perpetual maintenance ( $\left.\epsilon \ell \tau \iota s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \iota \mu \eta \hat{s} \dot{a} \epsilon l \sigma \iota \tau o s{ }_{\eta} \nu\right)$, such as the children of Aristides (Plut. Arist. ${ }^{27}$ ) and the descendants of Harmodius and Aristogiton. Dem. Lept. passim, F. L. $\S \S 280,330$, etc.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \tau \rho \grave{\iota} a$ к.т. $\lambda_{\bullet} ;$ n.-See Crit. App.
 352, Plut. 182: so $\mu$ ovátatos just below.

 were the heroes after whom the Athenian tribes were named :


 $\ddot{a} \pi a \sigma \iota$ roîs $i \epsilon \rho o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \theta v \sigma i a ı s ~ \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \hat{\nu} \nu \ldots \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu o u ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o i \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$,

 sert < $\langle\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma>$ somewhere here, to balance $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, and give
more force to ơ̆тe $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ oüre $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \dot{\omega} s$ immediately following:

 ance: cf. infra § 127, Isocr. Ad Demon. § a $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ rà $\rho$ roùs
 ронеiv.
 borders,' 'banish,' Lat. exterminare: so also ì $\pi \varepsilon \rho \rho \rho$ i $\bar{\xi} \epsilon \mathrm{l}$. Cf. infra

oưठદ̀ $\gamma$ àp кa入óv] neque enim decet.

cc. 21-23. §§ 90-97. He will perhaps tell you that he would never have stood his trial had he been conscious of guilt-a proof appealed to by every thief and perpetrator of sacrilege; but it is a proof, not of their innocence, but of their effrontery. Let him rather disprove the facts of his voyage and of his residence at Megara. The circumstance of his coming here to answer for his deeds among those whom he wronged is the work of Providence.
'Quem Iuppiter volt perdere, dementat prius.'
You will remember how divine vengeance overtook Callistratus. The gods survey all human actions, especially such as relate to parents, the dead, and piety towards themselves. 'The Place of the Pious' in Sicily has a useful lesson. Leocrates has sinned against all three-gods, parents, and the dead.
 never have faced this trial, had he been conscious,' etc.: नuvetiò's


 verb, common with $\dot{\omega} s$ or $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, cf. Plat. Protag. $342 \mathrm{C} \beta \rho a \chi$ elas


 סikala notôvtas: Madvig, \&. 182, Goodwin, \& 853.-kal tovs

distinct classes are denoted by the ptcps. though they are served by one article: quasi vero universi, et (etiam) qui fures qui sacrilegi sint, etc.

тov̂ $\pi \rho \alpha$ 'үиaтos] The 'anticipated' gen. is no doubt meant to give a pointed balance to $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu a \iota \delta \in i a s$.
 for these terms is Arist. Rhet. I. 2. 16 ff ., where he says: $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 $\sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma \imath \sigma \mu$ ós, 'of signs...that which is necessary is a demonstration, that which is not necessary has no distinctive name. By "necessary" signs, I mean the propositions of which a syllogism is composed.' From this it appears that to Arist. $\sigma \eta \mu \in \hat{i} \nu \nu$ is 'proof' in general (whether fallible or not), and the genus $\sigma \eta \mu \in i o \nu$ is divided into two species, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho \rho \circ \nu$ and $\sigma \eta \mu \in \hat{i} 0 \nu$, of which the first is a 'demonstrative' or 'certain' proof, and the second a 'sign' or 'probable argument.' Another definition is found in Antiphon,


 furnished by facts, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta$ р $\iota a=$ grounds of conjecture: and so Andoc. De Pace § $2 \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ' (Jebb on Antiph. De Caed.
 On the whole it seems doubtful whether the use of the terms by Greek writers accords strictly with either definition, though the Aristotelian distinction will generally apply. The words occur frequently in close connexion, as here: cf. Isocr. Ad Demon. § 2



§ 91. тоv $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s]$ 'the fact' (at issue), 'the matter in hand': cf. supra § II 光 $\xi \omega$ тov̂ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~ \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu n$.
 the articular infin. here and elsewhere corresponds to the acc. of respect or limitation (Goodwin, §795), but the present case differs
from others in so far as the simple infin. could not be substituted,

 $\pi \rho \sigma \theta v \mu o s{ }^{\eta} \nu$.
oipal $\theta$ єóv tเva...tıцшplav] 'I fancy some god brought him expressly for punishment': a common sentiment in the orators: cf. Lys. C. Andoc. $\S 27<\tau о \sigma a u ́ t \eta \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o ́>~ \theta \epsilon d े s ~ \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$,


 Myst. § I37, Dem. c. Timocr. § 12 I .
 him elsewhere, it would not have been clear whether it was for this (the crime of desertion) that he was being punished': the tense of $\dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$ suggests that the sentence should run either $\epsilon i \ldots$
 §472): the first, which represents a condition contrary to the existing facts (as emphasised in the following clause $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau a \hat{v} \theta a$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ к. $\tau . \lambda_{.}$), is the more probable, though it must be observed that the normal form of the condition is somewhat obscured by its presentation as a vivid present.-0и̋ $\pi \omega$ : here probably a strengthened form of the negative (L.S. s.v. 2), though the temporal sense is admissible ('something would still have been wanting to prove,' etc.).- $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda^{\prime}$ ov: sc. $\epsilon \sigma \pi \iota$ [ $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda$ Dos Frohb. (Es, BI.)].

av́rov̂] Blass reads aủtoû (adv.), ' his offences committed here, but au่rov̂, 'his very own,' is quite forcible.

тav́тŋข $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \omega \rho / a v]$ 'this punishment': the retention of the
 would omit $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu$, Blass $\tau \alpha \cup \tilde{\tau} \eta \nu$.
§ 92. oi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta$ өol... $\pi$ rapá yoval] 'for the very first thing the gods do is to warp the understanding of wicked men,' a characteristic Greek doctrine which may be briefly formulated thus: the man who is wealthy or powerful overmuch excites the jealousy ( $\phi \theta \delta \nu o s)$ of the gods: he waxes wanton and commits an act of insolence (ű $\beta \rho / s)$ :
the gods visit him with a blinding influence (ă $\tau \eta-\dot{a} \dot{a} \omega$ ) which leads him on till the cup of his iniquity is full and he commits. the error which causes his own destruction, air $\eta$-the influence which 'perverts the understanding'-is related to vußpıs as child
 är $\boldsymbol{r} \mathrm{s}$, 'insolence when it hath conceived bringeth forth blindness of heart,' as we might say in the language of St James, I. I5. The doctrine here outlined is expounded both by Greek prose writers and poets: the whole career of Xerxes in Herodotus is intended by the historian as a vindication of it, and a similar claim is made by some for the plan of Thucydides' history. For
 $\pi o เ o v ิ เ v:$ certain of the editors (Bk., Bl.) inevitably bracket $\pi o \iota 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$, but Lyc. appears to affect variations of these idiomatic


 uncertain authorship (trag. adesp. fr. 240), but their form and substance may be illustrated from Homer, Theognis, Aeschylus and Sophocles, the last two of whom would probably have been voted $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a l \omega \nu$ by a man of Lycurgus' temperament: Il. xix.





 this last quotes two lines by an unknown poet, örav $\delta^{\prime}$ ó $\delta a l \mu \omega \nu$
 Jebb (Soph. Ant. l.c. and App.) thinks were probably the original of the Latin, 'quem Iuppiter volt perdere, dementat prius,' itself a line of uncertain origin, as far as the wording goes, though close parallels are furnished from various sources, the most familiar being perhaps Publilius Syrus' 'stultum facit fortuna quem volt perdere.'
 § I 71 (the leading statesmen of Greece should have offered counsel about an expedition against Persia: even if they had failed) $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \iota i \pi \nu \nu$, where Sandys renders 'solemn, oracular utterances,' quoting the present passage and Aeschin. Ctes. § I 36 otual $\mathfrak{v} \mu \mathrm{i} \nu$
 єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu$ ous $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a \nu$.
 etc. " $\alpha \sigma \eta \eta(\dot{a} \dot{\alpha} \omega)$, as the heaven-sent influence that leads men to sin, is properly 'hurt done to the mind.' Milton, Samson ${ }^{1676}$ 'Among them he a spirit of phrenzy sent, Who hurt their minds.


тov̂т' av่̉ò $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 v]$ acc. in apposition to the sentence, like

 from out his breast his good understanding.' The most instructive parallel to this passage is perhaps Soph. Ant. 1090 (iva $\gamma \nu \hat{\psi}$
 points out that $\tau \partial \nu \nu \nu o u ̂ \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \phi \rho \in \nu \omega ̂ \nu$ must be taken together as $=$ ' his mind within his breast,' if $\eta$ is to be retained. After quoting
 standing in their breasts,' Xxil. 475 ès $\phi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \nu a \operatorname{\theta v\mu òs} \mathfrak{a} \gamma \hat{\epsilon} \rho \theta \eta$, 'the soul returned to her breast,' he adds: 'The word $\phi \rho \dot{\eta} \nu$ being thus associated with the physical seat of thought and feeling, $\dot{\delta}$ עoûs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ was a possible phrase.'
$\tau \rho \in \dot{\pi \epsilon \epsilon]}$ sc. aủt $\delta \nu$, 'him.'
$\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \nu$ ] 'judgment,' 'purpose,' the practical manifestation of the $\nu$ oûs, as they may perhaps be distinguished when in juxtaposition. Cf. Lysias c. Andoc. § 22 кaltoc $\pi$ ôs oủ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ rıs

c. 22.893 . $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu . . . \tau \omega ิ \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \omega v]$ These are of course partitive gens. with $\tau i s$, though it is curious that the verb adjacent to each is a verb which takes its object in the gen. Note the chiastic arrangement.

Ka入入lotparov] This Callistratus, son of Callicrates, of Aphidna, was a prominent statesman and orator at Athens in the second quarter of the $4^{\text {th }}$ cent. B.c. He was closely identified with the upbuilding of the Second Athenian Confederacy, and his policy generally was marked by a conciliatory attitude towards Sparta and opposition to the aggrandisement of Thebes. As an orator, his speech on the affair of Oropus ( 366 b.c.) is said to have excited the admiration of Demosthenes and to have given him his first impulse towards oratory. In 361 b.c. the Athenians, in a fit of exasperation at a sudden raid on the Piraeus by Alexander of Pherae, condemned Callistratus to death, whereupon he fled from Athens to Methone, on the Thermaic Gulf. Some years later he ventured to return without authority, and was seized and put to death.

тov̂тov фuүóvтa] For the resumptive $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu}$, cf. Xen. Anab.

 [Sofer, however, explains $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu}$ as $=\tau$ ì̀ $\theta a ́ v a \tau o \nu$, which seems less likely.]

тev́getal тต̂v vóp $\omega v$ ] 'he would have fair treatment by the
 $\nu \delta \mu \omega \nu \tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \nu$, Ibid. $\S 28$, etc. The ambiguous phrase would be interpreted by C. as the opp. of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \delta \partial \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \ell \rho \gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota:$ cf. supra § 65 .
 stood in the new Agora, having been placed there by the younger Pisistratus, son of Hippias, as the central point from which distances were to be measured (cf. the miliarium aureum at Rome): Her. II. 7, Thuc. vi. 54. It seems to have been a recognised asylum: cf. Her. vi. 108.
 laws, for the guilty, is to meet with punishment': with the

 Note that $\tau \iota \mu \omega \mathrm{plas} \pi \nu \chi \epsilon i v$ is itself an ambiguous phrase: (a) 'to
obtain vengeance＇（Thuc．II．74，Xen．Cyr．Iv．6．7）；（b）＇to suffer punishment＇（Plat．Gorg． $47^{2}$ D）．［For this reason，Bursian＇s （B1．）$\tau \tau \mu \omega \rho$ ias，which I have adopted，seems preferable to $\tau \tau \mu \omega \rho(a$ of the MSS．］
 right in allowing the injured to punish the guilty＇：the connexion of thought is，＇the state rightly put C．to death．Yes，but the god too rightly allowed it to do so．＇The combination $\delta \epsilon \mathcal{\gamma \epsilon}$ appears to have two main uses：（ I ）as a simple adversative， ＇on the other hand，＇＇on the contrary＇：Plat．Protag．334 A $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇



 $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \partial \nu \omega \nu$ тoúrots $\tau \epsilon \mu \eta े \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ к．т．入．，（2）to cap a previous statement with a fresh detail which illustrates or amplifies or corrects it ：hence frequent in retorts：Dem．F．L．$\$ 279$（quoting


 ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \partial \delta_{\rho}$＇öm $\pi \pi \alpha$ ，＇yes，and I too have seen one，＇Eur．Ion 1329，
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \chi \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau e s$ как $\hat{\omega}$, ，＇yes，and we stepsons to our stepdames too，＇ which last is quoted by Shilleto on Dem．F．L．§ 90 ．－ $\mathbf{a} \pi \in \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon . .$. кo久á $\sigma a \iota ~ \tau \partial \nu$ altrov：lit．＇granted it（as their due）to the injured to punish the guilty，＇not＇delivered up the guilty to punish，＇i．e．
 Cf．Dem．c．Aristocr．$\$ 56$ toùs Éx $\theta \rho \dot{\alpha}$ тolồvtas．．．．кo入áselv àrt－ $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta} \nu \delta \mu \rho s$ ，＇the law empowers you．＇
 same signs were shown to the righteous and to evildoers，＇i．e．if the same interpretation of divine signs was necessary in each case．$\sigma \eta \mu \in i a$, which，in respect of syntax，is perhaps predicative， ＇the same things as signs，＇is here＇signs from the gods，＇in which oracular responses would be included：cf．Antiph．De Caed．
 $\psi \eta \phi i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, Soph. O.C. 94 --For the reading, see Crit. App.

8 94. ' $\gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ '] ' I for my part': equidem existimo.
тov̀s үovéas...тov̀s тєтєฝєvтๆкótas...avitov́s] Lyc. goes on to deal with piety towards the first only, whence Hirschig (Thalh.) brackets кaì $\tau$ oùs $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda . . . \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ́ r o u ́ s . ~ A s ~ R e h d . ~ o b s e r v e s, ~ h o w-~$ ever, he has already dealt, to some extent, with the second at supra $\S 45$, and with the third at supra $\S \$^{25}, 76$ sqq., and elects to elaborate the first here.
 I will not say to sin against them, but even to decline to lavish our own lives in benefiting them': non modo (non)...sed ne...

 रoûv $\tau \grave{\nu} \mathrm{K}$ Kó $\delta \rho o \nu$ n. -The account of 'the Place of the Pious' here given by Lyc. appears to be the earliest version of a story which had a great vogue in the ancient world, and which, while agreeing in substance with Lyc., differs somewhat in detail, in respect that (a), two brothers carry off their aged parents (i.e. another brother carries the mother) ; (b) the names of the brothers are given mostly as Anapius and Amphinomus, but also as Philonomus and Callias, and differently in different writers. See Rehd., App. 3, p. 166.





kal $\nu \hat{v}$ ] 'even at this time of day': cf. Isocr. Paneg. § 28 кal
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon \epsilon$. [каl ขûv Frohb. (Bl., Rehd.) for каl $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ of the mSS., which does not seem satisfactory as $\dot{v} \mu i \hat{\nu} . . . \tau o i s$ is $\nu \in \omega \tau \hat{\rho} \rho o s_{s}$ must presumably include the judges, whom the description does not suit.]
 strictly perhaps 'the stream of lava' from a volcano: cf. Thuc.
 Alcuns (where the article seems to imply that it was a familiar occurrence).
péiv] impf. infin.
$\epsilon \pi i<\tau \epsilon>\ldots \mathrm{kal} \delta \dot{\eta} \mathrm{\kappa al}]<\tau \epsilon>$ is inserted by Baiter (Es, Bl.): кal $\delta \grave{\eta}$ кal introduces an emphatic additional detail: cf. Her. I.


 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda_{t s}$ olкєîtau.
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ úrepov ővta кaí] Es (Bl.) brackets кal so as to bring $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$. öy $\frac{1}{2}$ into direct causal connexion with oủxi $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ ajrox., but unnecessarily: the difference is merely that between 'You are old, and can't escape' and 'You can't escape owing to your age.'


§ 96. фортiov... $\left.\pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \in \nu \quad \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \frac{v}{}\right]$ ' by the addition of this load': фopriov is perhaps suggested by d́á $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ just preceding, as фopriov apartar seems to have been said proverbially of 'taking a heavy burden upon oneself,' [Dem.] xi. § 14 § $\begin{aligned} & \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \pi a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i x o ̀ s ~\end{aligned}$
 (vulg.: alpó $\mu \in \nu=\nu \mathrm{S}$ ), 'bitten off more than he can chew,' to use a colloquialism.

тò $\theta \in \hat{c} o v$ ] anticipation : 'how kind Providence is to good men.'
$\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\rho \rho \in \hat{v} \sigma a l]$ later for the classical $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho \nu \eta ิ \nu a \iota: ~ c f . ~ T h u c . ~ I v . ~$ $12 \dot{\eta} \dot{d} \sigma \pi i s \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \rho \rho u ́ \eta ~ \epsilon l s ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$.
 The relative clause is simply an additional detail in the story as reported, and the infin. const. is continued accordingly. The same thing is exemplified in Latin ; but Greek goes to greater lengths than Latin in extending the acc. and infin. const. to subordinate clauses.
yoveis] For the form, here and also in next §, cf. supra § $15 n$.

ductory' with the infin. (instead of $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon i$, which latter the mss. give, with the exception of $A B$ ) seems to be due, in this case at least, to the indirect form of the preceding paragraph throwing its influence over this as well: the speaker, in fact, forgets for the moment that he has finished his story. Cf. Plat.
 à $\nu \eta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$, 'I began to question myself').
 $\dot{v} \mu \omega ิ \nu . . . \tau \tau \mu \omega \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{\nu} n$.

cc. 24-29. $\S 898$-110. The action of Erechtheres on the occasion of the invasion of Eumolpus is a proof of the spirit of his age, and Euripides is to be commended for making it the theme of a noble drama. Listen to the speech which he has put into the mouth of Praxithea. If women set their country before their children, how much more is expected of men? I should like also to quote you some verses of Homer, whom your fathers singled out for special honour. Hear Hector's exhortation. The influence of such verses on your ancestors is reflected in their heroic conduct at Marathon and elsewhere. Their reputation for valour is attested by the fact that even the Lacedaemonians sought from them a general in the person of Tyrtaeus, under whom they conquered their enemies and whose elegies are still recited on the field of battle. The Spartans who faced the barbarian at Thermopylae owned his sway, as may be seen from the epigrams composed in their honour. Your condemnation of Leocrates is due to the fair fame of your forefathers.
 from ancient history,' i.e. from seeking examples from it: cf.

 $\pi a \lambda a t \omega \hat{\nu}$ is probably neut. (so Rehd. and Sofer), cf. supra $\S 83$
 but éкєivot certainly makes the case for masc. arguable: cf. the

 should consent to hear what they made it their glory to $\mathrm{do}^{\prime}$ : cf.



Yáp] narrativum, introducing the story: 'Well, it is recorded that,' etc.
 daughter of Boreas. According to the story, the Eleusinians, who were at war with Athens, called Eumolpus to their assistance. He came with a numerous band of Thracians, but he was slain by Erechtheus. Eumolpus was regarded as the founder of the Eleusinian mysteries, and as the first priest of Demeter and Dionysus. He was succeeded in the priestly office by his son Ceryx, and his family, the Eumolpidae, continued till the latest times the priests of Demeter at Eleusis (v. Class. Dict.). Acc. to Preller, 'the historical kernel' of the Eleusinian war 'is the fusion of the Eleusinian rites with the Attic, of Eleusis with Athens.' Isocr. Panath. § 193 connects the coming of Eumolpus with the vindication of the claims of Poseidon to be the founder of Athens as against Athena: Ө $\hat{̣ ̂ \kappa \epsilon s} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ Év. tov̂ Пoб.

 Paneg. § 68 (cf. Eur. frag. infra, ll. 46-49).

 торібатє.
'Epex ${ }^{\theta \in a}$ ] This Erechtheus was the son of Pandion by Zeuxippe, and grandson of Erechtheus (Erichthonius), son of Hephaestus and Atthis (or Gaia) (v. Class. Dict.). The family of the Eteobutadae, to which Lycurgus belonged, traced their descent from his brother Butes.

K $\eta$ фьбоv $]$ no doubt the god of the river of the same name. Class. Dict. makes Praxithea 'daughter of Phrasimus and Diogenia.'
§99. av่тoîs] dative of 'interest' or of 'the person affected by
the action,' and referring here either to the Athenians generally,
 cf. supra § $66 \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon \ldots \sigma \dot{\varphi} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu n$.

L $\omega$ v] poetic for $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{N}$, probably betrays as its origin another place of the play from which the $\hat{\rho} \eta \sigma$ s following is quoted : $\epsilon l_{s} \Delta$. $\iota_{\omega} \nu$ might be the end of an actual iambic trimeter.

 $\theta \in o \hat{\text { on }}$

т $\dot{\imath} v$ Ouyarépa] The emphatic position of these words lays stress on the hardness of the demand.
$\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma ט \mu \beta a \lambda \in \hat{\nu} \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \rho$.] priusquam duo exercitus congrederentur. But v. Class. Dict. s. Erechtheus II: 'In the war between the Eleusinians and Athenians, Eumolpus was slain; whereupon Poseidon demanded the sacrifice of one of the daughters of Erechtheus' (contrast $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon \rho \rho a$ above, and see further note on $\delta \hat{\prime} 0 \theta^{\prime} \dot{\text { on }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \pi \delta \rho \omega$ in 1. 36 of the iambics, infra).
$\left.\delta \delta^{\delta}\right]$ The prose uses of $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ in apodesi are succinctly set forth by Abbott and Matheson on Dem. De Chers. §3, after Buttmann, Excurs. XII ad Dem. Mid. To the exx. quoted by A. and M., l.c., may be added Isocr. Areopag. $\S \S 47,63$, Adv. Callim. § 58, De Pace $\S 55$; Dem. De Cor. § 126, c. Aristocr. § 126 , all of which are worth careful study. Also Andoc. De Myst. §\$ 27, 149, on which last Hickie observes that 'this usage [ $\delta \frac{\varepsilon}{c}$ in apod.] is mostly found in sentences beginning with a participle, or with a hypothetical clause, or with such conjunctions as $\delta \quad \tau \epsilon, \dot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \ell, ~} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \eta$, $\ddot{\partial}^{\boldsymbol{\partial}} \tau \alpha \nu$, oûv, $\epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, etc.' In the present case, the force of $\delta \grave{\delta}$ is best described as resumptive, $\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ at once reinforcing the aúr $\hat{\psi}$ at the opening of the sentence and taking up the thread after the intervening parenthesis: 'upon the god answering him that, if he sacrificed his daughter...he would overcome...he then, I say, obeyed,' etc.
 this const., where we have $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ with a ptcp. followed by кai with a finite verb, $\tau \dot{d} \tau \epsilon \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda a$ belongs entirely to the ptcp.
clause and not at all to the finite verb: so here 'in that, besides being a good poet in other respects, he also elected,' etc. Cf. Hickie on Andoc. De Myst. § i7, where he quotes the present passage, and corrects Shilleto on Dem. F.L. § 139, where $\phi \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon v \delta \mu \epsilon \nu$ os belongs entirely to its own clause, and not at all to that of $\pi \rho o \nu ँ \pi \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$. [ $\tau \alpha \tau^{\prime} a \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \nu$ Bekk. (Bl., Es).]

 тoı $\eta \tau \eta$ 's, Eng. maker, makyr), Plat. Phaedo 6i в émol $\eta \sigma a \mu v ́ \theta o u s$ roùs Al $\sigma \omega$ '̃ov, 'put them into verse.' $-\mu \hat{\theta} \theta o s$, in the technical language of Aristotle, $=$ 'plot,' Poet. 6. 6, where it is defined as $\mu \mu \eta \eta \sigma \iota s \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega s$, 'representation of the action.'
 plating which they should become habituated in their souls to a love of their country.'- $\pi \rho \partial \delta_{s}$ ais... $\sigma v v e \theta i \xi \in \sigma \theta a t$ : the infin. is final in force $=\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \partial{ }^{\prime}$ s $\tau$ úv́as к.т.入., quae intuentes ac contemplantes assuescerent, and $\tau \grave{\partial} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi . \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i v$ is to be taken as an 'acc. of the inner object,' defining the scope of $\sigma v v \in \theta l \xi \in \sigma \theta a l$, 'to become habituated in the matter of patriotism,' somewhat like Soph. Ant. 1105 карঠ̂tas éझiøтauat rò $\delta \rho a ̂ \nu$, 'I withdraw from my resolution-in the matter of doing,' and other exx. quoted by Goodwin, § 791.
 the mother, lit. 'represented her as saying': cf. supra roûtov



ą̧íav...тov̂ $\gamma \in v \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ K. Ouyatépa] Cf. Shakespeare, Julius Caesar, II. I: 'a woman, but withal

A woman well-reputed-Cato's daughter. Think you I am no stronger than my sex, Being so father'd and so husbanded ?'
PHEIS EYPIIIISOY] The iambics quoted are from Euripides' Erechtheus, Dindorf P.S. G. fr. 362.- $\dot{\rho} \eta{ }^{6} \sigma$ was the technical term for the messenger's speech describing the
catastrophe in a tragedy: the $\rho \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon s$ of Eur. in particular appear to have been favourite pieces for recitation: cf. Ar. Nub. 1371, Vesp. $5^{80}$, Ran. $1_{51}$, etc. So, in prose, 'a long story': Plat.
 Rep. 605 D $\mu а к \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\rho} \eta ิ \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о т \epsilon \ell \nu о \nu \tau \alpha$, 'spinning a long tale.'The practice of quoting the poets in speeches appears to have been introduced by Aeschines, whom Dem. meets with counter quotation, but as though under provocation and in self-defence: cf. Aeschin. I. §§ 128 sq., 144 sq., II. § 158 , III. §§ 135, 184 ; Dem. xVIII. § 267 , xix. $\$ 8243$ sqq. In the last quoted speech (De Fals. Leg.) Dem. quotes 16 liness from Soph. Ant. and some 40 lines from Solon's Elegies. Both Aeschin. and Dem., however, may be said to have kept quotation within bounds, whether as regards amount or relevancy: Lycurgus offends against both with this great block of 55 iambics, which he follows up with 32 lines of Tyrtaeus. (Cf. J. F. Dobson, The Greek Orators, p. 281.)
 Isocr. Ad Dem. §31 đápıtas áxaplotws रapı̧buevos, Dem. De
 sc. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$. The neut. adj. is really in agreement with the thought of the previous line, as though the const. were tò $\chi$ d́pitas cú\%. $\chi a \rho l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \eta ँ \delta \iota \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$. , but the substitution of the relative clause for the articular infin. (or $\epsilon l \tau t s$ ) is thoroughly Euripidean : cf.
 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \lambda \epsilon$ о́s $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon \ldots .$. öбтts к.т.入., 1.T. 606, Phoen. 509, Med. 220, etc.
 к.т. $\lambda .$, 'it is great folly to imagine,' etc.
 is the idea. [For the completion of 1.3 I have adopted Meineke's $<\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega>$, which word may have somehow disappeared before the $\epsilon$ є̇̀ following. See Crit. App.]
4. ктaveiv] interficiendam: cf. supra §§ 43, 87, notes. [ $\pi a \hat{i} \delta \alpha a$ $\tau \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\jmath} \nu$ Tayl.]
5. $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \alpha a \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu]$ answered by ${ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a$, infra 1. 14.
6. $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta_{o \iota v}$ ] So Dind. (Sch., Rehd.) = $\alpha_{\alpha} \beta o \iota \mu$, on the strength
of two or three supposed such forms of ist pers. opt. in trag. ( $\left.\tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\phi} \circ \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau о \iota \nu, \epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \circ \iota \nu\right)$. The sense will then be 'I can win no other city,' etc. But $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ of the MSs. (Bl., Thalh.) gives quite a good point with $\delta \omega \sigma \omega$ : 'I am prepared to give my daughter, and I reckon that there is no other city more worthy to receive her.'


 topic, which is a well-worn one both with poets and orators, cf.


 a passage which recurs with little variation in [Lys.] Epitaph. § 17.
 have been settled as though by the odds of draughts and are imported, one from this, one from that,' i.e. the populations of other cities are as fluctuating and uncertain as though they were determined by the shifting positions in a game of draughts ( $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o i$ ), and owe their existence to 'importation,' i.e. colonisation from other cities (as opp. to aúrbx $\theta o \nu \epsilon s$ ). The general meaning is clear, but the details of the simile cannot be pressed owing to our insufficient knowledge of the rules governing the game of $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o l$, and its connection with dice ( $\kappa \dot{v} \beta \circ \iota$ ), which may have determined the position of the $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o l$ on the board. Plutarch, indeed (Mor. 604 D), quotes 1. 9 as $\pi \in \sigma \sigma \omega ิ \nu$ ó $\mu$ oíws $\delta \iota a \phi o p \eta \theta \in i ̂ \sigma a \iota$ ßonaîs, which seems to mean 'tossed to and fro (ultro citro iactatae) as by casts of the dice,' though סıaфopeiv has usually the stronger sense of 'harry,' 'plunder': cf. Her. III. 53, Dem. xlv.
 $\dot{\delta} \mu$. $\delta \iota a \phi$. èктьन $\mu$. $=\delta \iota a \phi о \rho a i ̂ s ~ \delta . ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \phi$. : compendious comparison.- $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \gamma \iota \mu \circ$ : practically $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \kappa \tau o l$, the idea of 'permissibility' suitable to the termination of the adj. (as in $\epsilon i \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega ́ \gamma \iota \mu o s \delta i \kappa \eta)$ being here quiescent: so $\tau \dot{a}$ $\epsilon l \sigma a \gamma .=$ 'imports.'
 settle in another, he, like a bad fastening fitted in wood, is a citizen in word only, and not in deed.'-For mó入єos metri causa, cf. Aesch. S.C.T. 218 , Supp. 345; Soph. Ant. 162; Eur. Or. 897, El. 412 , Ion 595, which acc. to Jebb on Soph. l.c. exhaust the instances of this particular form in the trimeters of the three
 distinctly preferable to oikijet of the mss. (Sch., Rehd., Thalh.), which latter would naturally refer to the founder of a city; but the idea is of a new-comer who does not fit into the body of the community he has joined. For the omission of $a \nu$ with the conj., cf. Soph. O.T. 1231, O.C. $395, E l .771$, etc.- appós: here, as $\pi a \gamma \epsilon i s$ shows, a 'fastening' in the concrete sense, 'a peg,' 'bolt,'
 doors; otherwise, 'a chink,' 'aperture' between two things. which are joined together: Soph. Ant. 1216 áp $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$ $\lambda_{\iota} \theta_{0} \sigma \pi a \delta \hat{\eta}$, 'the opening made by wrenching away the stones.' - $\lambda$ óyч...тoîs $\delta^{\prime}$ épyoьтเv: for omission of the article with one member, and variation of the number, in this phrase, cf. Soph.


 suprail. $7 \lambda \epsilon \omega$ s. $-\tau \bar{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} v \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a$ : for the lengthening of the short vowel in arsi before $\rho$, due to the strong pronunciation of the letter initially (v. L.S. s. lit. III), cf. Soph. O.T. 847 тoût' '̇́ $\sigma \tau \boldsymbol{i} \nu$

 line is a purely formal antithesis to the main thought, 'there are many dwellers in the city.' $-\nu \iota \nu$ : tragic acc. form, here $=a \cup ̉ \tau \eta \nu$.
18. $\pi \rho \circ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu \mu\{\alpha \nu$ v̌ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ סov̂vaı $\theta a v \in i ̂ v]$ ] $\rho \circ \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (Meineke, for $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi d \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ) here depends upon $\forall_{\pi} \pi \rho$ (as the accentuation of the prep. shows: $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \delta \hat{o} \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{a}$ mss.) : others writing $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon}_{\rho} \delta . \theta$. make $\dot{u} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \ldots . . . \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ a case of tmesis = $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ v$, on which compound $\pi \rho \circ \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ then depends: cf. Eur. Phoen. $99^{8} \psi v \chi \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \tau$ $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime} \dot{\text { in }} \pi \epsilon \rho \theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \chi \theta$ òós.
 number, and what is greater than the less': $\varepsilon \ell \pi \epsilon \rho \ldots \dot{d} \rho t \theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ ol $\delta a$ was probably a proverbial expression: v. L.ST. s.v. á $\rho \ell \theta \mu$ bs.

20, 21. ovivòs oikos...ovi8' hoov фépet] 'the misadventure of one house outweigheth not that of the whole city, nay, nor doth it count as equal.'-oúvòs (Emper. et vulg.) $=\dot{o} \dot{\epsilon} v o ́ s$, 'the (house) of one man.'- $\sigma \theta \hat{\theta} \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \iota(\sigma \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \in \iota$ Blass $)=\delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\nu} a \tau a l$, in the sense of 'equivalence': Lat. valere.- $\pi \tau \alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, conditional, 'if it come to grief': supply $\pi \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta s$ with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta s, \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \epsilon o s .-\pi \delta ́ \lambda \epsilon o s: ~ c f$.


22, 23. єi $\delta$ ' $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ '̀v oľkots...a̋po $\eta v$ ] 'now had I in my house male offspring in place of female,' sons instead of daughters: $\theta \eta \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ is used as a subst. The form of the protasis implies 'but I have not a son' (Goodwin, §410) : Class. Dict., however, makes P. the mother of 'Cecrops, Pandorus, Metion, Orneus, Procris, Creusa, Chthonia and Orithyia.'
 from sending him (them) forth...for that I blenched at death ?' Some would make this a statement by giving the negative to $\pi \rho \circ \tau a \rho \beta$ ov̂ $\sigma$ ', 'I would send... without blenching,' but this seems less likely.
 children [ $๕ \sigma \tau \omega$ G. Herm. (Turr., Thalh.) : єl̆ך Ald. (Bl.) : є̇ $\sigma \tau i v$. Rehd.: Ė $\sigma \tau$ codd.] who should both fight and be illustrious among men, and not be mere figures in the state.' $-\mu$ а́хогто and $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi o t$ are best taken as optatives of 'assimilation,' common after an optative expressing a wish in the main clause: see Goodwin, $\S 53$ I and exx. there, also $\S \S 558 \mathrm{ff}$.- $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \mathrm{rot}$ : cf. Hom.
 $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$, of the appearance as opp. to the reality: cf. Eur. Frag. 25
 number and a mere outside' (L.S.); we may compare the somewhat similar use of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{\rho}$, Eur. Troad. ${ }_{47} 6$ oủk $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{~s}$,


tears escort her sons, they unman many as they set forth to the fray': $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ here of 'escorting' perhaps rather than 'sending'

30. $\pi \rho o ́]$ 'before,' i.e. 'in preference to' honour ( $\tau о \hat{v} \kappa a \lambda o \hat{\text { ) }}$ ).
 of the numerous remedies proposed seems satisfying palaeographically: $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda o \nu \tau^{\prime} \eta \geqslant$ (Matthiae) at least cuts the knot.
 as regularly, a new line of thought, which is this: 'other mothers'. sons by dying in battle win a common grave and glory which is (but) equal (i.e. no greater than that of their fellows), for they share it with many ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha$ ): my daughter by dying for the state will win a crown of glory which none shall divide with

 words emphasises the oneness of the sacrifice and the oneness of the reward.
 context, 'and her two sisters,' for P. has just implied (11. 22, 23) that she has no son, while $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \quad \theta \eta \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ (supra 1.22) and $\pi a l \delta \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu($ infra 1.40 ) imply that she has more than one daughter. Lycurgus' language at § 99 supra, т $\eta \nu \theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \epsilon l ~ \theta \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon$, would suggest that the maiden to be sacrificed was certainly an only daughter, if not an only child. It seems less likely that the words mean 'and thy two sisters' [i.e. the two sisters of Erechtheus (v. Class. Dict.), who is presumably being addressed], though this would square better with Lycurgus, l.c.
 by nature,' i.e. except so far as the natural claim of parentage goes: the claim of the state is prior. [ $\langle\boldsymbol{\eta}\rangle$ Wagner (Sch., Thalh.): $<\tau \hat{\eta}>$ Sauppe (BI.): $\langle\dot{\epsilon} \nu\rangle$ Rehd.: alii alia.]
" 39. Өvิбat] Cf. supra $\S \S 43,87$, etc.
aip $\hat{\theta} \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l]$ 'shall be taken,' for which $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ would be normal in prose : $\dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \theta \theta \eta \nu$ regularly $=$ ' was chosen.'

41, 42. oúкov̂v aัmavтa...ró入เv] 'And so shall all be saved,
so far as lies in me：others shall rule，but I shall save，this city＇： both lines，however，have been much emended ：see Crit．App．
 with me．＇

43－45．Ėкê̂vo $\delta$＇oṽ．．．ék $\beta a \lambda_{\in \mathfrak{i}] \text { ］＇then again－a matter which }}$ toucheth most closely the public weal－no man that lives shall， with my soul＇s consent，set at naught the ancient ordinances of our
 looks forward to and is in apposition with the main statement．
 the part in the common weal is the greatest＇：$\mu \hat{\epsilon}$ pos is said of＇the part assigned to＇or＇played by＇one in anything：cf．È $\mu \bar{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \epsilon$
 á $\nu \eta \eta_{p}$ ：this，which is Bothe＇s［Rehd．，Sofer ：ăvep Valck．（Thalh．）］ correction，I have adopted with some diffidence for är $\tau \rho$ of the mss．（Turr．，Sch．，Bl．），which gives the opposite sense to that which is required，and which Rehd．declines to defend on the ＇mixture of two constructions＇theory，which is the usual solvent in such cases：cf．the well－known crux at the opening of the
 been variously treated（see C．and A．and Jebb，ad loc．）so as to obtain a positive meaning． $\boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \mu^{\prime}:=\nu \delta \mu \iota \mu a, \delta i \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha$, Hesych．：cf． Aesch．Eum．491，Soph．Ai． 713 ．－${ }^{\prime} \kappa \beta a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ：＇annul，＇＇set at

 е́к $\kappa$ áлоє｜$\tau о \iota 七 亍 \delta^{\prime} ;$

46．ávт＇è $\lambda$ áas Xpuซéas $\tau \in \Gamma$ ．］The olive tree and the Gorgon （for which latter see L．S．or Class．Dict．）were the distinctive emblems of Athena，as the trident（ $\tau \rho i a \omega \nu a)$ was of Poseidon．It has been suggested that the poet is here thinking of the gilded Gorgon＇s head on the south wall of the Acropolis（Paus．I． 21. 3）．－［div $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\lambda} \lambda a ́ a s$ ，which is Dobree＇s correction of $\not \partial \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s$ ，is truly a palmaris emendatio．］

47．＇̇v пódє由s $\beta$ ádpots］＇in the heart of the city，＇in sinu urbis， is perhaps our equivalent．

48．©pn̂छ］subst．used as adj．with $\lambda \epsilon \omega \dot{s}$ ，cf．Eur．I．T． 341 ${ }^{\text {＂}}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu o s \tilde{e ́}^{\kappa} \kappa \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ，and the somewhat similar Romula gens，etc．in Latin．
 extends to this clause as well．
 mara，Eur．Hipp．II．For the meaning，cf．the use of $\dot{\omega} \delta i$ is in
 ムaroûs ఉठîva фi入av．

 the sentiment，Rehd．cites Eur．Phoen． 1017 как $1 \nu$ äv ai $\pi b \lambda \epsilon t s$

c．25．§101．тavิזa］obj．of the thing taught after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a(\delta \epsilon \in \epsilon$ ， with which（as also with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi 0 i \eta \sigma \epsilon$ following）supply $\dot{\delta}$ Eúperi $\delta \eta{ }^{\circ}$ as subject．
入éyougav $n$ ．
 so）＇men ought to entertain a quite insurpassable affection for their country，＇insuperabilem quandam erga patriam pietatem： $\alpha_{\alpha} \nu v \pi \epsilon \in \beta$ ．is of course predicative．
$\pi \rho o ́ s]$＇before，＇＇in the eyes of＇：cf．infra § 109 дартúpla．．．

$\tilde{\omega}_{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \mathrm{C} \Lambda$ ．］sc．$\pi \epsilon \pi$ oi $\eta \kappa \epsilon$ generally，or an appropriate tense from the two infins．preceding．The final position of the name gives the same bitter emphasis as supra $\S 44 n$ ．
 also some of H．＇s poetry，＇as inculcating patriotic principles：
 excellence，cf．Her．II． $117^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \mu \eta \rho o s \mu \hat{v} \nu \nu v \nu$ ，кal $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{K} u ́ \pi \rho ı a$ ë $\pi \epsilon a$ ， $\chi a \iota \rho \epsilon \in \tau \omega$ ，Thuc．I．3，etc．：the gen．is partitive．



the military point of view，which is the one specially intended by Lycurgus，we may compare the well－known passage of the Frogs （ll．ro34－6），where Aristophanes credits＇the divine Homer＇with having taught $\tau \dot{a} \xi \in \iota \varsigma, \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{s}$ ，$\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda i \sigma \epsilon \iota \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，and Isocr．Paneg．





 be placed the polemic of Plato（Rep． $598 \mathrm{D}-601 \mathrm{~B}$ ），where， denying that the poet writes with knowledge，he asks（ 600 A ），
 ovtos $\epsilon \frac{\mathcal{B}}{} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \theta \epsilon$ is $\mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \varepsilon$ ย́єral；It does not appear，however， that Plato＇s attack did much to shake the position of Homer in the eyes of those who regarded him＇as at once a universal genius and the educator of the whole of Greece＇（cf．Ibid． 606 E ）． ＇The poems of Homer were thought to contain，by precept and example，everything calculated to awaken national spirit and to instruct a man how to be кa入òs кára日bs＇（Sandys on Isocr． Paneg．l．c．）．
 law that he alone among the poets should have his poems recited at each quinquennial celebration of the Panathenaea．＇Jebb （Introd．to Homer，p．77）opines that the＇law＇here mentioned by L．was probably as old as $600-500$ B．C．，limits which would point to，or admit of，a Pisistratean origin for the ordinance；see infra．－Ka日＇éxá $\sigma \tau \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho\langle\delta \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi$ ．：＇at each quinquennial celebration of the Panathenaea，＇acc．to the Greek mode of reckoning：the reference is to＇the Great Panathenaea，＇$\Pi_{,} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ ，held once every four years，in the third year of each Olympiad）（II．$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \iota \kappa \rho a ́, \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$＇̇vıavтbv，a lesser celebration held annually．A feature of the former，at least，was the proces－ sion to the Acropolis，in which was carried the robe，woven by Athenian maidens，for presentation to the statue of Athena in the

Erechtheum, a ceremony which was represented on the frieze of the Parthenon. Athletic and musical contests (including the recitation of epic poems) also formed part of the festival; and it was with a view to regulating such recitations that the famous traditional 'recension' of the Homeric poems was carried out by Pisistratus-an achievement which a man of Lycurgus' temperament would doubtless have 'counted unto him for righteousness.' — $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i \delta a$ : so Dobree (Bl., Sofer) for $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \epsilon \tau \eta \rho(\delta a$, acc. to

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \eta .-\dot{\rho} a \psi \psi \delta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \not \epsilon \pi \eta$ : 'that his poems should be recited.' For $\dot{\rho} a \psi \psi \delta \epsilon i v, \dot{\rho} a \psi \psi \delta i a, \dot{\rho} a \psi \psi \delta \delta \delta_{s}$ in connexion with epic poetry, see L.S. s.vv. and Jebb, Introd. to Homer, pp. 76, 77, where he says, inter alia: 'The public recitations of the Homeric poems by 'rhapsodes' can be traced back to about 600 b.c., and was doubtless in use from a considerably earlier time...It was further provided [by Hipparchus, son of Pisistratus] that the competing rhapsodes at the Panathenaea should recite consecutive parts of Homer, instead of choosing their passages at random.' The restriction here mentioned would seem to touch what, pace the explanations of $\dot{\rho} a \psi \varphi \delta \delta s$ given by Jebb, l.c., and others, must have been of the essence of the art of the 'rhapsode,' viz. that out of his knowledge of the Homeric poems as a whole he could 'stitch together' such 'cantos' as he would deem most suitable to his particular audience. -For the contemptuous use of $\dot{\rho} \alpha \psi \varphi \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ (often accompanied by $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \iota \mu$, suggestive of the 'wandering

 of $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s \pi \varepsilon \rho и \not \partial \nu \tau \epsilon s$, ' will simply deliver a homily.'
 ing': cf. supra § I $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots \pi о \emptyset \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu a t n$.
 conciseness, do not teach but enjoin what is one's duty, whereas the poets by giving a picture of human life and selecting for their purpose the noblest actions help to influence men by reason
and demonstration.' $-\mu \iota \mu \circ \boldsymbol{u}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o t}$ in its application to the function of the poet as 'holding up the mirror' to human life is reminiscent of the more technical use of $\mu \mu \mu \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\mu i \mu \eta \sigma \iota$ in Plato and Aristotle, for whom the fine arts generally, including poetry, music, painting and sculpture, are species of 'mimetic': cf. Arist. Poet.passim, Plat. Rep. 392 C (with Adam's note); Butcher, Aristotle's Theory of Poetry and Fine Art ${ }^{4}$, c. II. With the language of L. here we may compare Isocr. Ad Nicoc. §43



 i.e. for their special purpose.- $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon$ ( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ov $\sigma เ v$ : perhaps not so much 'join in influencing' as 'add their influence to other influences' in admonishing men.
§103. үáp] 'for instance.'

 otkos for кal otкos кai к入 $\hat{\eta} \rho o s$. Quotations like this are notoriously fertile in variae lectiones.-For $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, cf. infra § 1о7. $15 n$.

 $i \hat{\psi}$, but the distinction cannot always be pressed in Homeric usage.
$\kappa \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \circ \mathrm{s}]$ " Evidently the right to join in the periodical division of the commonland by lot among members of the community. This is reserved to a man's family after his death. Trans. 'allotment.'" (Leaf and Bayfield ad loc.)
 roivvע єix between the tenses is to be pressed, the aor. will describe the active result of their hearing: "got such a 'bent' or 'impulse' towards valour ")( their habitual attitude ( $\epsilon\lceil\chi \circ \nu$ ). Goodwin, §§ 55-57.

$\epsilon \nu \mathrm{Mapa} \mathrm{\theta} \hat{\omega} \nu \iota$ : the prep. in this phrase is now generally expelled by editors, in accordance, seemingly, with the best Greek usage, which makes Mapativı a locative; but Cobet's 'nemo umquam veterum $\begin{gathered}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu \\ \text { M. dixit' } \text { ' is too sweeping, in the face both of the }\end{gathered}$ mSS. and of inscrr. (v. Rehd., App. i, ad loc.). Cf. Her. vi. III-II7, Aeschin. Ctes. § i8i, etc. On the other hand, év $\Sigma a \lambda a \mu i v i$ appears to be the regular expression, though the Aeschin. passage just cited for $\epsilon \nu \mathrm{M}$. curiously enough gives $\tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma a \lambda a \mu \hat{\nu} \iota \quad \nu a v \mu a x i q$ (with $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho l \Sigma{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \mu \hat{v} v a$ as an inevitable variant), and Dem. F.L. §3I2 shows oi Mapat̂ิvı кal $\Sigma a \lambda a \mu i ̂ \nu \iota$ ( $\kappa \dot{d} \nu$ Herwerden).
 the whole of Asia' need not necessarily have been large, though this is no doubt the implication. Her. (IX. 27) makes the Athenians boast of having conquered forty-six nations. The actual strength of the Persian fighting force at Marathon, which Her. does not specify and which was grossly exaggerated by later historians, can hardly have exceeded 50,000 to 60,000 men : see some figures in Holm, History of Greece, vol. II, p. 25, n. 6.
 A thoroughly Isocratean passage: note the favourite antithesis ( $\lambda \sigma \gamma \varphi \ldots \epsilon_{\rho \gamma \varphi)}$ ), the exact balancing of clauses ( $\pi a \rho i \sigma \omega \sigma \iota s$ ), and the assonance ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau a s . . \delta \delta \sigma \pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha s$ ) ( $\pi \alpha \rho o \mu o i \omega \sigma \tau s$ ).


èv toîs $\frac{\AA}{\mu} \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Xpóvoss] These words (as Dr Verrall points out in his essay on Tyrtaeus, see note infra) are ambiguous : (a) taking them with $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ and $\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \hat{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \nu$, we shall render: ' when the martial L. had in former times a war with the M.,'
 have: 'when the L., who were in former times first in martial qualities, had a war with the M.' Here, as in many other places, one would give much to know exactly how they were taken by the writer of them. .

which is now dated to about the middle of the 7 th cent. в.c.

 Tyrtaeus, infra.]

$\left.\lambda a \beta \in i v . . . \nu \iota \kappa \eta{ }_{j} \sigma \epsilon เ v\right]$ The first infin. represents a command, the second a statement: 'the god answered that they should take... and thus they would conquer.'

тoîv á $\phi^{\prime}$ 'H. $\boldsymbol{\gamma \in \gamma}$.] The two royal houses of the Agids and Eurypontids at Sparta both traced their descent back to Heracles.
oi dál $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ vovotv] $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon l$ refers here rather to the ancient and unbroken line of the Spartan kings than = 'from time to time.' For the const. тoî̀...oi $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in$ éovat, cf. Xen. Hell. v. 4. 19 т $\omega$ ठ̀vo $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \dot{\omega}$, ô (but $\sigma v \nu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta \nu$ following).
§106. Tvpтaîov $\left.\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{〔} \lambda a \beta o v\right]$ For Tyrtaeus, see Class. Dict. His Athenian origin, in spite of the general consensus of the authorities on this point, seems open to doubt: Bury [H.G. (1900), p. 128] opines that he was 'claimed' by the Athenians at a later date, and that the story of the oracle was forthcoming in this connexion. The fragments of his poems have been collected by Bergk, Poetae Lyrici Graeci.
[Dr A. W. Verrall makes §§ 102-109 of the speech the basis of two essays [reprinted from the C. R. in his Collected Studies (Bayfield and Duff)], in which he claims to establish, on the evidence of Lycurgus, (a) that Tyrtaeus flourished between the Persian and Peloponnesian wars, (b) that the Messenian war with which he was connected was the war of the 5 th cent., c. $4^{64}$ B.c., (c) that the poetry which passes current under his name cannot possibly belong to such an early date as is usually assigned to it. While I have been much impressed by Dr Verrall's arguments, I have not had the courage to break with the received account of Tyrtaeus' antiquity ; and I may add that, wherever the Messenian wars have been in question, I have assumed their historicity and quoted the recognised chronology. In this latter connexion, Dr Verrall remarks: "All...are now agreed...that
about these primeval conflicts between the Spartans and Messenians the ancients had no solid information, except what they might rightly or wrongly infer from the poems of Tyrtaeus... The 'first war' and the 'second,' with their dates and episodes, were among the many events of remote antiquity about which the historians of the decadence [Strabo, Diodorus, Pausanias, Athenaeus, Justin] were so much better informed than their authorities." With regard to this pronouncement, it is worth while noting that Lycurgus himself [a first-class (for Dr V.) and an early (comparatively speaking) authority on the point], at another place ( $\$ 62$ ) which does not come within the purview of Dr Verrall's essay, alludes (if we understand him rightly) to an early conquest of Messenia (achieved presumably in a ' primeval conflict') as a matter of universal acceptation, and that not merely as an event of historical inference but as a substantive historical fact, to which he can, and does, assign a date. Whether that date is intrinsically right is another matter : the point is that Lycurgus, in condescending upon it, evidently assumes, with just as much confidence as he does in the case of Tyrtaeus' association (by assumption) with the Messenian war of the $5^{\text {th }}$ cent., that he is speaking of something which is perfectly familiar to his hearers. This, of course, does not touch the question of Tyrtaeus' connexion with one or other of the M. wars; but we are justified (I think) in inferring that, already in the time of Lycurgus, the 'primeval conflicts' between the Spartans and Messenians were so far accepted as historical as to have had some system of chronology worked out for them. We can only speculate as to what information Lycurgus possessed regarding them (other than the chronological glimpse he gives us at the place quoted), and whether, or how far, such information was, as a matter of fact, derived from the poems of Tyrtaeus.]
 known) system of supervision for their youth': the Spartan $\dot{a} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$, or public education (for which see any standard history of Greece), was an elaborate system, the aim of which was to turn
out good soldiers．This，like the bulk of Spartan institutions， would be more naturally attributed，we may suspect，by the Spartans themselves to their great reformer，＇Lycurgus．＇


 and their effect on the course of the Messenian war，we may compare what is recorded of Solon in the matter of the recovery of Salamis（c． 570 b．c．）：Dem．F．L．§ 252 т $̀ \nu$ i $\delta \iota o \nu ~ к i v \delta u p o \nu ~$

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda a \xi \epsilon \nu$ ．－$\pi o \iota \eta \sigma a s: ~ ' w h i c h ~ h e ~ h a d ~ c o m p o s e d ': ~ c f . ~ D e m . ~$ l．c．
§ 107．тєрl тоѝs ä入入ous．．．入óүov ËXovтєs］cum ceterorum poetarum nullam rationem habeant：so Plat．Tim． 87 c $\lambda$ doyov．


 tude，＇they exhibit such a regard for him＇：the aor．represents the passing of the law as a past act．
 field＇is perhaps the nearest English，though it does not quite give the force of the Gk．composite tense．So Andoc．De Myst．


 $\ell \kappa \sigma \tau$ ．$\ddagger \omega \sigma \iota$ Heinr．（Turr．）．］

ка入єîv］＇should be summoned＇：cf．supra § ı 6 ＇́ $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma a t o ~ o ́ ~$ $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu о$ ．．．катакомiऍєเข $n$ ．
 defence of，＇seem to be quoted mainly from Homer and Herod．： rare in the orators．－$\hat{\epsilon}^{2} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \pi 0 \theta$ ．：＇$\epsilon \theta \dot{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ seems especially used of the alacrity and determination of a soldier＇：Graves on Thuc．
 Brasidas＇address before the battle of Amphipolis（Thuc．v．9．6）：
 кal тoîs äp
oia motov̂vtes....тap' Ekelvots] 'by what sort of poetry people won credit at Sparta.' The sense given to $\pi 0 \circ 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ (cf. supra § roo: so also Rehd. and Sofer) accords best, perhaps, with the context; but the ptcp. might also (I think) refer to the conduct which the poem inculcates )( the conduct of L., 'by what sort of deeds.'-The subject of ev́ $\delta o \kappa i \mu o v \nu$ is indef., 'people,' homines, on.
 subject of $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \alpha \dot{\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota}$ being indefinite.
$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ กi $\left.\pi a \tau \rho i \delta_{\imath}\right]$ 'for his country': $\pi \epsilon \rho t$ with the dat. of the thing for which one fights is frequent in Hom. and occurs occasionally in prose: Plat. Protag. $3^{1} 4$ A $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ toîs $\phi \iota \lambda \tau a ́ \tau o t s$ ки $\beta \in$ úєьข (though the idea here is rather different). Thuc. vi. 34
 by the editors to $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \eta \hat{\eta}_{s} \Sigma_{\iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda i a s .-\eta}^{\eta}$ is of course dat. sing.


4. àvinpóтarov] The second syllable of this word is short also in Theognis ( $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ àvı $\left.\eta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu\right)$ ) in Hom. and Soph. always $\dot{\alpha} \nu i ̄-(c f . \dot{a} \nu i a d \omega)$ : see L.S. s.v.
 wedded (lawful) wife')(a concubine, $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta, \pi a \lambda \lambda a \kappa i s$.
 demonstrative and antecedent of oùs.-iкvéoual regularly with bare acc. in Hom. ("O $\lambda_{\nu \mu \pi o \nu, ~ T} \rho o i \eta \nu, \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$, etc.), unless indeed the verb here has the meaning of 'supplicate' ( $=$ iкєтєv́w $)$, which is quite possible, but the literal sense goes well with $\pi \lambda a \zeta b \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ above.
 mien': $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \epsilon \\ \gamma\end{gathered} \chi \omega$ in the Homeric sense of 'disgrace,' 'put to shame' (cf. ка́к' ' $\lambda \epsilon \in \neq \chi \epsilon \alpha$, 'base reproaches to your name,' L.S.).


remembered that in epic the preps. are in the transitional stage from adverbs, and that their use must be considered accordingly.
10. $\dot{d} \tau \kappa \mu \dot{i} \eta \ldots \dot{\ell} \pi \epsilon \tau a t]$ For the quantity of the penult. of $\dot{d} \tau \iota \mu i \eta$,

 also ă $\tau \eta, \tau \iota \mu \eta$. -For the sing. predicate with a composite subject, which is felt as making up a single idea, cf. Luc. Dial. Mort. 6. I $\dot{\eta}$ Moîpa кal $\dot{\eta}$ Фv́бıs $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \tau a \xi \in \nu$ : so Livy Ix. HI. 4 tum sponsio et pax repudielur, Ps. Lxxxiv. 2 'my heart and my flesh crieth out.'
 nor respect for a man who is a wanderer, nor for his race after him.'-With the reading in the text, construe : $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ (oйт $\left.\epsilon\right)^{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{¿} \nu \delta \rho \dot{o}^{\prime}$
 pression of the first ouvte being paralleled by e.g. Aesch. Agam.
 c. indic. in Hom. is so comparatively frequent (II. xv. 162, xx. 129, xxiv. 296; Od. II. 274, XII. 382) as to suggest that ob was originally normal in protases c. indic. and was afterwards displaced by $\mu$ गे through the use of the latter with the other moods (Monro, H.G. ${ }^{2}$, p. 289). As a matter of fact, however, the present passage would stand quite well in Attic, which admits ov after $\epsilon l$ when the latter is virtually $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon l,{ }^{2}$ since, ${ }^{\prime}$ quoniam, quandoquidem, as it clearly is here where the substance of the preceding lines is asserted as an established truth. Cf. Andoc. De Myst. § 33 el $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oúòèv $\dot{\eta} \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \tau a i l ~ \mu o l, ~ ' b u t ~ s i n c e ~ I ~ h a v e ~$
 seeing that it is not permissible,' c. Timocr. § 53, etc. (See App. C to Cope's Rhetoric of Aristotle, vol. I (Sandys), and, generally, Goodwin, $8 \S 384-387$.) - $\quad \rho \eta$, 'regard,' 'consideration' (Att. $\ddot{\omega} \rho a)$ : Her. 1. $4 \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu l a \nu \ddot{\omega} \rho \eta \nu$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{a} \rho \pi a \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu$ (sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ үvขauผ̂̀v), Soph. O.C. 386, Trach. 57.
13. $\theta u \mu \hat{\varphi}]$ 'with spirit,' 'courageously': so often in Hom.
 'the spirited principle,' in Plato's psychology.
14. $\psi \mathbf{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{v}]$ two syllables (synizesis). $-\mu \eta \kappa \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ is due to the imperatival force of the hortatory subj., the clause being = $\theta \nu \eta \eta \quad \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta a$.
 a new fragment begins here- - $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ hortativum is freq. in Hom. with an imper. or subj.: cf. the quotation supra § 103 d $\lambda \lambda d \underline{d}$ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \nu \eta \nu \sigma i \quad \delta \iota a \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in$. The usage no doubt arose after a preceding imperative, which came to be suppressed: 'do not do this, but,' etc.
16. $\phi \delta^{\prime} \beta$ ov] in the Homeric sense, practically $=\phi v \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ preceding.
 being conceived as the physical seat of the $\theta v \mu o \mathrm{~s}$, as often in


20. Tov่s $\gamma є p a$ ouvs] For the short penult., cf. the Aristophanic ol $\mu 0 \boldsymbol{o l} \delta \epsilon i \lambda a i ̆ o s ~ a t ~ t h e ~ e n d ~ o f ~ a ~ t r i m e t e r, ~ t h o u g h ~ \delta e i \lambda a i o s ~ i s ~ a l s o ~$ quoted from Soph. and Eur. (not in trimeters), see L.S. s.v. The reason was a change in the division of syllables (ro-loutos for $\tau 0 \_$-outos), after which the $\grave{\iota}$ disappeared as at the beginning of words. Thus we have olos, tooov̂tos scanned with a short first syllable; $\pi 0 \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ alongside of $\pi o \iota \epsilon i \nu$; viòs written almost uniformly for viòs in the $4^{\text {th }}$ cent. B.C., though $\dot{v}$ - is still scanned as long (Giles, Comp. Phil. ${ }^{2}$, § 122. 6).
 are practically an expansion of Hom. 11. xxiI. $71-76$ :






24. коvin] In Hom. the penult. is short in the quadrisyllabic коvinб८, long in the trisyllabic forms: in Attic we have - $\check{c}$ in dactylic and anapaestic rhythms, but $-i$ in iambics (L.S. s.v.).
 Hom. $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \backslash \grave{\nu} \nu$ (always in this form, except at Il. xı. 649, quoted below) is 'that which stirs righteous indignation': Il. III.
 to make one wroth,' and twice at least (Il. Ix. $523, \mathrm{Od}$. xxir. 59-in both cases urging the acceptance of an offer), $\pi \rho \ell \nu \delta$ ' ov $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta r o ̀ \nu \kappa \epsilon \chi 0 \lambda \omega \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'ere that, 'tis no blame to thee (i.e. no one can feel indignant) that thou should'st be wroth.' At $I l$. xi. 649 we have the word applied to a person, aiठoîos $\nu \in \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \tau \partial{ }^{\prime} s$ \% $\mu \epsilon \pi \rho о \dot{\xi} \eta \kappa \varepsilon \pi v \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l$, where the force seems to be active, 'an austere man,' one whose character it is to be angry at wrong (cf. $\epsilon \pi$ tєєктbs, 'yielding,' cautus, gratus): otherwise 'one to be regarded with awe' (so L. S., but $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota$ is not found in the sense of 'fear': v. Monro on II. l.c.). [One can only speculate as to what account the writer of this line-to whom the question no doubt never occurred-would have given of its syntax. I have
 'these things are unseemly to the eyes, and a thing that moveth indignation to behold ' ( $l \delta \in i v$ epexegetic infin.). But it is possible (I imagine) to take $\alpha i \sigma \chi \rho \alpha ̀(=\alpha i \sigma \chi \rho \delta \nu)$ and $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \tau \partial े \nu$ both with
 $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \hat{\imath} s$, where $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}$ is object and $\delta \phi \theta$. $i \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ go together.]
27. Xpóa $\gamma v \mu \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau a]$ ' with his body stripped naked': $\chi \rho 6$ a ( $\chi \rho \omega$ 's) is acc. of 'respect' or 'of the part affected,' with $\gamma v \mu \nu \omega \theta \in \nu \tau a$.
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ย́or•] 'a youth': the plural is used in a general sense: we have sings. following.
28. őфр'] temporal, 'so long as': for omission of äd $\nu$, 'frequent in epic, lyric and elegiac poetry, cf. Goodwin, M.T. § 540.

29. |  |
| :---: |
| $\eta$ |
| ròs |
| i $\delta \epsilon i ̂ v] ~ c o n s p i c i e n d u s, ~ ' a n ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ a d m i r a t i o n . ' ~$ |
30. к $\bar{\alpha} \lambda$ ós] the $\bar{\alpha}$ is regular in epic (cf. 1. 1) : at Theocr. VI. 19 we have $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \bar{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \bar{a} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \phi a \nu \tau \alpha$.
31. єv̉ Sıaßás] of a man standing with legs apart, 'planting himself firmly,' for fighting: cf. Hom. Il. xir. 458 єô $\delta \iota a \beta \alpha ́ s$,
 (imitating the pose).
 (of Achilles' combat with Scamander) oú $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \pi \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \mid \epsilon \ell \chi \in \sigma \tau \eta \rho i-$ そarAat, 'he could not get a firm footing.'

 (1. 38 I , xVIII. 410, xx. 268), describing, in every instance, the effect of a speech by Telemachus on the suitors, is more specially the sign of smothered rage. So Eur. Bacch. 62 I $\chi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \delta \iota \delta o v s$ bibvtas (of Pentheus' rage against the supposed Dionysus).

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta} n$.
 $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \beta a i v \omega$ (Soph. O.C. 400,924 ), probably through the influence of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a l \nu \omega$, or the gen. may be felt as a partitive.

катафагท̂ éroโŋбаv] For the sentiment, cf. Plato Menex.




§ 109. ékatє́pots èmıтv́ $\mu \hat{\imath} เ a]$ I have adopted Rehdantz's suggestion for the mSS. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ roís óplous $\tau$ oû $\beta i o v$, which (as Es points out) cannot well be taken as a metaphor $=$ in vitae terminis, while the attempt to see a geographical reference in $\tau 0 \hat{v} \beta i o v$ is discounted by the circumstance that the locale of the inscrr. is different. Wurm, followed by Blass, reads $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ tois $\eta$ jploss, 'on their barrows,' on the strength of Harpocration's $\dot{\eta} \rho i a \cdot \Lambda .{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 unaccounted for.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \pi a v \tau a s ~ t o u ̀ s ~ " E]. ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i n ~ t h i s ~ a n d ~ s i m i l a r ~ c a s e s ~ s e e m s ~$ to combine the meanings of (a) 'publicly,' 'openly,' (b) 'with


ekelvots $\mu^{\prime} v v^{\prime}$ 'for them,' i.e. in the case of the L.: the dat. is. possessive.



This 'sublime distich' (Simon. 92) was the work of Simonides of Ceos (c. $560-470$ B.c.), 'the unsurpassed master of commemorative epigram' (Macan on Her. l.c.). The form in which it is given by Lycurgus (as also by Diod. and Strabo-Strabo also has $\left.\dot{\omega} \xi \in \xi^{\prime}, \dot{a} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda o \nu\right)$ is inferior to the Herodotean, and was apparently that followed by Cicero in his Latin version, Tusc. Disp. 1. $4^{2}$ :
dic, hospes, Spartae nos te hic vidisse iacentes, dum sanctis patriae legibus obsequimur.
 Simonides (Simon. 93).-Xpuroф́́pwv: gold, in one form or another, is the inevitable epithet of the oriental, suggesting wealth and luxury.-Ėбтópecav: 'laid low': cf. Thuc. vi. 18

 or something similar out of the preceding sentence.
 from time immemorial.'

סógetc] 'you will be thought to,' 'will have the reputation.'
Өavpd'govtes] 'admire,' 'reverence': for a strong use of the word, cf. Xen. Hell. I. 6. II (Callicratidas is speaking of Persian
 $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ rov̀s $\bar{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho o u ̀ s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'even without worshipping them.'
toîs ma入awîs] So Taylor (Bl., Sofer) for tois $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ loıs, which does not seem to give good point : $\tau$ oîs $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ oís $s . \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v o \iota s$ Rehd.
 (thing)': the perf. infin. denotes the settled attitude: cf. supra § 3 ن́m $\epsilon \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota$, etc.-кá $\lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu: c f$. Madvig, § I b, R. 3.

- cc. 30, 31. §§III-127. The way in which your forefathers dealt with traitors is shown by the case (a) of Phrynichus and his. would-be defenders, (b) Hipparchus, the son of Charmus, (c) the.
deserters to Decelea, (d) the man who died at Salamis: yet Leocrates' crime surpasses these in its enormity. These examples should suffice to prove the temper of our ancestors in this regard, but I should like to remind you of the decree passed by them after the Thirty, proclaiming 'killing no murder' in the case even of prospective traitors-and rightly so, for treason is a case where the punishment must anticipate the committal of the act. The psephism of Demophantus, moreover, binds you to punish the traitor by every means in your power. You should not claim to inherit the properties bequeathed you by your ancestors while disclaiming all part in the pledges whereby they safeguarded the public welfare.
 $8 \pi \omega s$, 'what your attitude should be.'
éкєโvovs тlva трóтоv è̉.] for the 'anticipation,' cf. supra § 62

 Athens of a previous age, cf. Dem. F.L. § 272 тótє $\mu$ ย̀̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ oüт $\omega$
 (persons like Arthmius of Zelea, a tool of Xerxes, who distributed

 $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a s$ [i.e. the $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta$ with the decree of execration against A. was placed in a conspicuous position close by the great statue


$\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \tau \tau \mu \omega \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} v]$ the 'due' or 'appropriate' punishment.
 §§ 6, 48, 102, i10, infra § $\mathbf{1 3 3}$, etc.

ย̇vómı\}ัov] sc. aútoús.
 Phrynichus mentioned was one of the commanders of the Athenian fleet at Samos and was closely identified with the revolution of the Four Hundred at Athens in 4 II. He was assassinated on his return from an unsuccessful mission to Sparta in the interests of
the extreme oligarchical party, but accounts differ as to the circumstances of his death and the identity of his murderers.


 man who actually struck the blow escaped, but that his accomplice, an Argive, was taken and put to the torture. Plutarch (Alcib. 25) calls the assassin Hermon, obviously from a confusion with "E $\rho \mu \omega \nu \tau \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ mentioned further on in the chap. of Thuc. quoted. The names in Lycurgus agree with those given by Lysias c. Agorat. $\S 7 \mathrm{I}$, Thrasybulus of Calydon and Apollodorus of Megara: they fell in with P . as he was taking a walk ( $\beta a \delta i \zeta_{0} \nu \tau \iota$ ): T. aimed the blow. We possess the text of a decree, proposed by Erasinides (Hicks and Hill ${ }^{2}$, 74), conferring honours on Thrasybulus, and the mention of 'Apollodorus of Megara,' in Lysias $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l} \tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \eta \kappa 0 \hat{v}$ [or. viI] § 4, as receiving a grant of land, is presumably connected with his share in P.'s death. Hicks on the decree quoted reconciles Lycurgus' $\nu$ v́к $\tau \omega \rho$ with Thuc.'s $\notin \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ a $\gamma o \rho \hat{q} \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ ov́oj by holding that the latter expression is to be understood of the place, not the time, of the deed; but it is doubtful whether the idea of time can be divorced from the Gk. phrase. From a rider attached to Erasinides' decree, we know that the claim of Apollodorus (cf. also Lysias c. Agorat. l.c.) was challenged, and on the whole it seems likely, as Arnold says, that 'some zealous friends of the democracy laid claim to a merit with which really they had no concern.' (See Tucker on Thuc. viir.
 the osier-beds,' presumably within the confines of the market-
 added for purposes of identification.
$\lambda \eta \phi \theta \dot{\ell} \nu \tau \omega \nu]$ i.q. $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \phi \theta \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$ : cf. supra $\S_{52} \lambda a \beta o \hat{v} \sigma a$ à $\pi \epsilon-$ $\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon$.

 preliminary investigation of a case before the archon.

> P. L.
$\zeta \eta \tau \omega ิ v]$ here of a judicial，frequently of a philosophical， inquiry．
$\pi \rho o \delta i \delta o ́ v \tau a]$＇was scheming to betray＇：conative impf．，but possibly $=\pi \rho о \delta \delta \tau \eta \nu$ д̈у $\tau a$ ，＇that he was guilty of treason＇to the state：cf．ḋঠıкєiv．Goodwin，§ 27 ．
§ 113．Kpıriov єitióvтos］＇on the motion of Critias，＇who was afterwards chief of＇the Thirty．＇
 tried for treason＇：for the active infin．，cf．supra § i6 $\epsilon^{\prime} \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \alpha \tau$


кẫ סós $\mathfrak{\eta}]$ si visus sit．



$\tau \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \gamma €$ ó $\left.\sigma \tau \hat{a}\right] \gamma \epsilon$［Jacob（Bl．，Sof．）for $\tau \varepsilon$ ］implies that the ex－ humation of the bones was the next best thing after the unjustifiable burial．
 $\tau \hat{s} \chi$ б́pas n．，Hyper．Lycoph．［or．I］ad fin．
ké $\eta$ rat］this form is quoted also from Plat．Soph． 257 C and Xen．Oec．8．19．［L．S．quote $\delta \iota a \kappa \xi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ from Isocr．Antid．§278， but the reference should be to §259．］
 supra § 112.
§ 114．a่то入оү⿳ิขтаı］conative，＇seek to defend．＇
 piots $n$ ．
 § 25 ．
éx $\tau \hat{\omega} v \kappa \kappa เ \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$ ］This const．seems rarer than the simple gen． with áma入入árтєıv．
$\lambda a \beta \notin \delta^{\prime}$＇av́coîs］aủroîs is a＇dativus commodi，＇or＇of the person interested in the action，＇esp．common，as here，in calling for documents：cf．supra \＆ $23 n$ ．
§115．тои́тou тov̂ $\psi \eta \phi(\sigma \mu a \tau o s]$ The demonstrative is usually
omitted in cases like this ：see the exx．collected by Rehd．，App．2， p． 155 ．
 $\mu e ̀ \nu . . \delta \delta \epsilon$ ，supra § 42 nn ．
＇AplotapXov кal＇A入eģıк $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$ ］Both of these belonged，like Phrynichus，to the extreme oligarchical party and figure in Thuc．＇s account of the revolution of 411 （Thuc．ViII．90－98）．
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o v ~ E \nu a \nu \tau i o s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi$ ，and when the oligarchs were compelled to flee the city，he made himself notorious by betraying the fort of Oenoe on the Boeotian frontier to the enemy（Thuc．viII．98； Xen．Hell．1．7．28）．From the latter passage we infer that he had been tried and put to death some time prior to 406 b．c．， presumably on the strength of his previous career generally and not on the specific charge assigned by Lycurgus．




тaîs évxáтaıs．．．$\mu \epsilon \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0}$ ］＇visited with the most severe penal－
 suggests the primitive＇avenger of blood＇：cf．Antiph．I．§ io




$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a]$ sc．тoûto $\pi ⿰ 丿 \grave{\eta} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ ，but the imper．is idiomatically omitted in the Greek．
＜ov̋rє $\gamma$ àp öбเov＞］See Crit．App．
кal үàp єl $\mu \grave{\mathrm{c} v}$ हैv к． $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．］＇now had there been（only）one such decree on record，it might have been argued that they passed it
 represents the passive of $\psi \cdot \pi \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$ ，and lays rather more stress than érejóvet would have done on the state as opp．to the act： ＇were in existence，＇＇were on record．＇－$\delta \iota$＇$\dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \varepsilon a \nu$ is explained

$$
13--2
$$

by $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ just below, the contrast being between the haste of anger ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \delta \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ ) and their real or settled conviction as to the gravity of the offences.
 pariter eandem poenam sumpserint: ötav has the causal sense which frequently attaches to $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \tau \epsilon$ and $\dot{\delta} \pi \delta \tau \epsilon$, quoniam, quando, quandoquidem: cf. Soph. O.T. 918 ö $\tau^{\prime}$ oû̀ $\pi \alpha \rho a l \nu o v \sigma^{\prime}$ oủס̀̀̀ és

 Androtion $a p$. Harpocr., was a relation of the Pisistratids, and was the first victim of the ordinance of ostracism, $487 \mathrm{B.c}$. : cf.
 $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} s \tau \iota \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\nu} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \tau v \rho a ́ v \nu o v$. Lycurgus is apparently our only authority for the additional detail concerning him in this passage. [The mss. give $\tau \delta \nu T / \mu d \rho \rho \chi 0 v$, which some editors correct to Xá $\rho \mu \circ v$, following Harpocr.: " $1 \pi \pi$. ó Xá $\rho \mu \circ v$, wैs $\phi \eta \sigma \iota ~ \Lambda v \kappa o v ̂ \rho \gamma o s$ ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau o u s . ~ O t h e r s ~ c o r r e c t ~ H a r p o c r . ~ f r o m ~ t h e ~ m s s . ; ~ ; ~$ but the Hipparchus intended is no doubt one and the same.]
 (Heliaea).

 غं $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \delta \phi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$, ' be accuser in,' ' win,' 'lose,' an undefended suit.

тоиิтov] For the resumptive pronoun, cf. supra $\S \S 82,93$, etc.
 on his person to answer for his guilt': rather an odd use of $8 \mu \eta \rho o s$, but the sense is clear.
${ }_{\epsilon} \xi \mathfrak{g}$ áкротó $\lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ] The article is frequently omitted with this and similar nouns (cf. $\left.\pi \delta \lambda^{\prime} c s, a \dot{\gamma} o \rho a ́\right)$ which are at once common and proper.

 ย $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
 scribed was $\sigma \tau \eta \lambda i ̂ \tau \eta s$ : cf. Isocr. De Big. §9 é̉aúvelv aủròv $\epsilon \xi$



тov̀s $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$. кal tov̀s $\pi \rho$.] 'sinners and traitors,' as a class: cf.


kal oi à $\lambda \lambda$ dot $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \rho o \delta o ́ t a l] ~ ' a n d ~ o t h e r ~ t r a i t o r s ~ a s ~ w e l l ': ~ c f . ~$ supra § $28 n$.

$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ] ' in pursuance of which.'
ข์тó $ү р \alpha \mu \mu a]$ strictly correct as being on the base of the $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda$, but we must be content with 'inscription.'
 added ( $\pi \rho o s-$ ) later.'
§119. $\tau$ ( Soкovิбเv $\mathfrak{i} \mu i v$ ]'what think ye of them?': sc. perhaps $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ out of the following question, but the expression is idiomatic, and is not to be explained simply by the omission of a verb: cf. Aesch. Ag. $935 \tau i \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu$ бокє $\hat{\imath}$ боц $\Pi \rho l a \mu o s, ~ \epsilon l ~ \tau d ́ \delta '$
 eip $\bar{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$;

кal ov́k] For the structure of the sentence, cf. supra $\$ \S 70,7 \mathrm{I}$
 the commoner $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' oúк in such cases, and 'instead of' (as at l.c.) is again the best equivalent: 'do they appear to you to have held the same views as you with regard to the guilty, instead of pulling down and punishing (as they actually did) ?' etc.: or make a new sentence and say, 'Did they not rather pull down ?' etc.-кal тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ : 'the actual person': the statue was the next best thing.vimoxelpıov closely with $\lambda a \beta \in i v$, 'get it into their power.'- $\boldsymbol{\tau 0} 0$ троסóтоv...тои̂ троסórov: Es deletes the first, Blass the second, but Lyc. loves to ring the changes on this word (v. Rehd. ad loc.)
 'such penalties as were possible.'
ovx ${ }^{\circ} \pi \pi \omega$ s] The particles here have each a separate force, the negative referring to the general idea of the preceding clause,
and giving a formal antithesis to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\chi_{\nu a}$ : ' their object was not (simply) to melt the statue, but to leave an example,' etc. For sentiment and structure, cf. Dem. Phil. iII. §4I, where after





§120. av̉roîs] Cf. supra $\$ 823,114 n n$.
 be known.
$\pi \epsilon \mathrm{pl}$ тผ้̂ els $\Delta$. $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \tau a ́ v \tau \omega \nu]$ 'concerning absconders to Decelea.' Decelea, which lay due north of Athens on the road to Oropus, was seized and fortified by the Peloponnesians in $4^{13}$ B.c. (the nineteenth year of the Peloponnesian War), from which time forward it was a great source of annoyance to Athens as a convenient rendezvous for deserters (cf. the name $\delta \Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \kappa \delta$ s $\pi b \lambda_{\epsilon} \mu_{0 s}$ which is sometimes applied to the latter part of the war). Another cause of distress was that Athenian corn-supplies, formerly conveyed overland via Decelea, had now to be carried round Sunium, which was a slow and expensive business (cf. Thuc. viI. 28; Cornford, Thuc. Mythist. p. 33), so that in this respect, as in others, Athens 'was blockaded by the L.' (cf.

 our ancestors prescribed for traitors were uniform and mutually consistent.'
§ 121. тоv́тov тоvิ $\psi \eta \phi$ ใбцатоs] Cf. supra § $115 n$.
éravic̀v dं $\lambda$ ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \tau a l]$ 'should be caught returning' to Athens: the ptcp. of that in which a person is detected is common with
 Her. I. II 2, 209, etc.
 Athenian to bring them before the thesmothetae': ámárect was technically said of 'summarily arresting' (cf. $\alpha \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ ) a person,
whom it was perhaps necessary to take in the act ( $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ av̇roф' $\left.\dot{\omega} \rho \varphi\right)$ to make this procedure legitimate (see the argument in Lysias, C. Agorat. $\$ 885-87$ ). ȧ $\pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ was allowed (i) against 'malefactors' (какойруоь) in the technical application of the term, e.g. thieves ( $\kappa \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \tau \alpha \iota$ ), clothes-stealers ( $\lambda \omega \pi$ oóv́raı), etc.; (2) against persons labouring under any kind of disfranchisement (ácula), if detected exercising the rights from which the law excluded them; (3) against persons banished either for homicide or political crimes, if they unlawfully returned (катtє́vaı) to the country. The Eleven (ol $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \\ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha) \\ \text { ) had jurisdiction in cases (1) and (2); the }\end{gathered}$ Thesmothetae (the six junior archons acting as a college) in (3),
 any one may claim : cf. the familiar $\epsilon \hat{\xi} \in \hat{\imath} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\psi} \beta$., etc.- $\pi a p a \lambda \alpha-$
 in charge of the pit,' the official style of the executioner at the Bapa日pov (outside the Piraic Gate, on the western boundary of the city), into which condemned criminals were thrown: so also $\delta \in \pi \pi i \tau \hat{̣}$


cis 'Póסov] These words are bracketed here by several editors (as also supra $\$ \S 55,70$ ), on the ground that the fact of L.'s flight, not its destination, is the important thing: but if Rhodes, as we have reason to suppose, was in bad odour at Athens at the time, the mention of it would create all the greater invidia against L .
 parently to the story related by Herodotus (Ix. 5), who calls the traitor Lycidas, and places the incident after the battle of Salamis, when Mardonius was making offers to the Athenians: cf. supra $\S 71 n n$. Demosthenes, however (De Cor. § 204), followed by Cicero (De Off. III. II), gives the name as Cyrsilus, and places the incident before the battle, when the Athenians were considering the question of embarking. We can hardly doubt that all three accounts refer to one and the same incident; but whether Herod. or Dem. is correct with the name (which Lyc. does not
mention），only the inscr．（as Rehd．observes，App．3，ad loc．）can decide．
 they would be wearing as the badge of their office as $\beta$ oùєevtal： crowns were worn also by archons，priests，and by orators in the


 their crowns taken away from them．＇The verb is specially applicable to anything that＇encloses＇or＇fits all round＇（ $\tau \in \hat{\imath} \chi 0 s$ ， $\sigma \tau \notin \phi a v o s, \delta а к \tau ט ́ \lambda c o s)$ ．
 for nobleness of soul but also for the nobleness of the vengeance which they meted out to transgressors＇is perhaps the most we can make of the slightly zeugmatic Greek．［evjreveis Dobree （Sch．，Bl．）：$\epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i$ is Rehd．：$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ c o d d . ~(T h a l h).] ~]$.
 next clause，but $\tau l$ oûv is idiomatic（cf．supra § 119 init．n．），like quid？quid tandem？in Latin．
ßou入o $\mu$ évots］＇if you wish．＇
 converted into a statement，is equivalent to oú $\pi a ́ \tau \rho \iota b v ~ \in ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \Lambda$ ．$\mu \grave{\eta}$ oúk äтоктєîval，which may be sound Greek，as far as the form goes， but it does not admit of being stated in the positive form $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \epsilon 6 \nu$

$\dot{\delta} \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon$ ］causal，like the two ötє＇s following，cf．Lat．quando $=$


 Cf．supra § 116 ठ啳

тウ̀v olкоข $\mu$ év $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ ］sc．$\pi 6 \lambda \iota \nu$ ，＇the inhabited city，＇＇the city while still inhabited＇）（ $\alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau a \tau o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ ov $\sigma a \nu$ ，through the Persian occupation．


$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a s$, cf. supra § $15 \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \pi \pi \rho^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \omega ิ \nu \ldots \tau \mu \omega \rho l a \nu \quad n$.-[The want of an object to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i v$, though not perhaps absolutely necessary to the sense, has been felt by most editors: Reiske supplied $\dot{\epsilon} a u \tau o v ̀ s ~ a f t e r ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi$., Herwerden $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \tau \rho \ell \delta a$, Blass $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \nu$ after $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a s$.
 offender, $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ of the satisfaction of the offended: Arist. Rhet. I. 10. 17.
§ 124. кal тav̂тa] i.e. what I have said already.
$\gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu a l]$ i.q. iкavà $\omega$ ढ̈ $\tau \epsilon$ í $\mu a ̂ s ~ \gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu a \iota, ~ ' s u f f i c i e n t ~ t o ~ e n a b l e ~ y o u ~$ to judge of,' etc.
$\left.\tau \eta{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \eta \mathrm{s}\right]$ short for the inscription upon it.
$\tau \grave{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \pi \rho \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} . . . \kappa \alpha \theta[\sigma \tau \eta \sigma l]$ ' for instruction by (with the help of) numerous examples will enable you to decide with ease.'
$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \dot{\rho}$ тov̀s тpláкоvтa] $\gamma$ à $\rho$ narrativum: omit in trans.'The 'Thirty' were installed by the intervention of Lysander, and ruled Athens from Sept. to May, 404-3. Critias and Theramenes were two of the best known members of this body.-Lycurgus appears to be in error in placing the decree of Demophantus, of which he goes on to speak, 'after the Thirty,' as the evidence of the decree itself (cf. Rehd., App. 3, p. $18 \not+n$.) dates it to July or August, 4 10, i.e. ' after the Four Hundred.' Some suppose that the decree was re-enacted after the downfall of the Thirty, and that the preamble of the original was introduced in the revived measure; but it is as likely as not that the substitution of 'the Thirty' for 'the Four Hundred' is simply another of the historical slips which we have already noticed in the course of the speech (cf. supra §§ 62, 70 sq.).
 right': the speaker means that the oppression of the Thirty was not fully endorsed even by Athens' declared enemies, e.g. Sparta and Thebes. [ $\dot{\eta} \xi(\omega \sigma \epsilon$ is expelled by Dobr. (Sch., Es), but without good reason: $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, $\dot{\text { und }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$, which Sch. and Frohb. respectively substitute for it, give statements which are untrue in point of fact.]

катє $\lambda \eta \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \theta \dot{0} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ ］The verb is technical of the return of exiles． The democrats were led by Thrasybulus，who made Phyle his headquarters：from here he descended and seized the Piraeus： Critias was killed at the battle of Munychia．The democracy was restored c．Sept． 403.
 avenues to crime，having experience and knowledge of the first moves and methods of attack of those who plan to betray the democracy．＇－ $\mathbf{\alpha} \delta \iota к \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \omega v$ ：here specially of political offences．－
 aúroùs［a community with a multiplicity of laws］$\pi ⿰ 丿 ⺄ ⿱ ㇒ 日 勺 勹 \mu e ́ v o u s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ing to raise barriers against crime，they are compelled，＇etc．；
 $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho l a s$, ＇barred the penalties against yourself by（counter） charges．＇－$\tau \dot{\alpha}{ }^{s}$ d $\rho \mathrm{X}$ ás，＇the first occasions，＇what they start from，
 ＇methods of attack＇：the word suggests grassari．－Tติv $\pi$ posi̊óv－ $\tau \omega v$ ：cf．supra § II $2 \pi \rho 0$ ồóóv $\alpha a \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu n$ ．
 phantus，referred to specifically infra § 127 ．It is quoted in full by Andoc．De Myst．$\$ \S 96-98$ ，and also mentioned by Dem． Lept．§159．The relevant portion of it，for comparison with Lycurgus here and at $\S 127$ ，runs as follows：$\dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \in \delta \rho \kappa о s \notin \sigma \tau \omega$ ö $\delta \epsilon \cdot$





 tyranny，＇i．e．attempt to establish one：cf．Aeschin．Ctes．§ 235


 conative．
 should be guiltless of their blood,' lit. 'if he killed him.' With

 better that those lying under such an imputation should be put to death than that they themselves should have the attempt actually made upon them and be reduced to slavery': the const. in the second half of the sentence is quite normal and must be
 бavтas калаvel $\mu a \sigma \theta a \iota$, where $\epsilon \delta 0 \xi \varepsilon=v i s u m$ est, 'they resolved.'-
 'A $\rho \mu \delta \delta \delta o s \dot{v} \pi \delta$ ' $I \pi \pi d \rho \rho \chi o v$ ), which seems slightly more probable than middle (so Rehd., sc. aút $\hat{\nu}$ ), in the absence of an accompanying gen.
 should simply live in such a way as that no one should so much as incur the suspicion of such crimes.' For the sentiment, cf. Isocr. Areop. §42 (of the Athenians of an earlier time) $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$

 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \mu a \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu .-\dot{a} \rho \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$, lit. 'to start with,' and so 'at all,' omnino, mostly in negative sentences and preceding the negative, as Soph. Ant. 92 à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ dè $\theta \eta \rho a ̂ \nu$ ov̉ $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a ́ \mu \eta \prime \chi \alpha \nu a$, 'a hopeless quest should not be made at all.' Also $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, as Dem. c. Aristocr.
 proposed at all,' Her. IV. 25, Isocr. Antid. § 272.

 the $\beta o v \lambda \eta_{\eta}, '$ but also $=\beta$ ov $\lambda \in \dot{\varepsilon} \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
$\dot{\omega} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \hat{\imath}]$ with $\dot{v} \pi \delta \mu \nu \eta \mu a$, 'a reminder of how you ought,' etc. $\dot{\omega} s=q u e m a d m o d u m$ is less common than $\delta \pi \pi \omega$ or ồ $\tau \rho \delta \dot{\pi} о \nu$.

кaì $\delta \iota d$ тоv̂тo... $\sigma v \nu \omega ́ \mu o \sigma a v] ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \tau i s ~ a l o \theta \eta \tau a t ~ e x p l a i n s ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o: ~$ they made the mere detection of the intent a ground for taking extreme measures.- $\mu \dot{b} \nu o \nu$ goes with $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a s .-\alpha \boldsymbol{d} \pi o \kappa \tau \epsilon l v \in L v:$ Cobet (Bl.) changes to à $\pi о \kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon i v$, but (as Rehd. rightly observes
ad loc.) the whole stress falls on the act, not on the time. The decree itself, as quoted by Andoc. (ed. Hickie), has $\delta \mu \delta \sigma \alpha a \ldots$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \tau \epsilon l y \epsilon \iota \nu$. Curiously enough the provision on which Lyc., whose language reads like a quotation, lays so much stress, is not found in the decree as given by Andocides: see supra § $125 n$.
 the penalties should be imposed after the deed, but in the case of treason and subversion of the democracy, before.' For good commentaries on this passage, cf. [Dem.] c. Aristogit. B. § 4 ঠıò




 vengeance left once the constitution is subverted,' and Sallust, Cat. c. 52 (Cato's speech ad init.), which almost reads like an imitation of the Greek: 'nam cetera maleficia tum persequare, ubi facta sunt: hoc nisi provideris ne accidat, ubi evenit, frustra iudicia implores : capta urbe nihil fit reliqui victis.'
 the crime': $\dot{\alpha} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \kappa о$ и́vt由v is predicative.

крє(ттоиs... $\boldsymbol{i} \mathbf{i \gamma v o v \tau a l . . . \tau \iota \mu \omega p l a s ] ~ ' f o r ~ t h e y ~ a r e ~ a l r e a d y ~ b e y o n d ~}$ the reach of punishment at the hands of the injured': for $\kappa \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ in this sense, cf. Thuc. III. $84 \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \ell \alpha$ ф'́ $\sigma t s \ldots \epsilon^{\delta} \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$
 considerations of right,' Arist. Pol. v. 12.8 фaú入ous каi крєitтous


 your ancestors displayed] and their practice.'
'̇v тท̂ $\left.\psi \eta{ }^{\prime} \phi \Psi\right]$ ] in suffragio ferendo, 'in giving your vote.'


 sworn,' perhaps: the precise force of this compound is not always
easy to determine. - $\tau \hat{\varphi} \psi$. тov̂ $\Delta$.: cf. supra § 125 .-ктeivetv:


кal $\lambda о$ о́ч каl еррүч к.т.入.] For the formula, cf. the extract from the decree quoted supra § $\mathbf{2 5}$, Aeschin. Ctes. § 109 (of the oath of the Amphictyons against the men of Crisa) $\delta \rho к о \nu \ddot{\omega} \mu о \sigma a \nu$
 Ib. § 120.
 of the properties...while you disclaim all portion in the oaths, etc.': olt $\sigma \theta \epsilon$ here is virtually $=\dot{a} \xi \Leftarrow \bullet 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon$.



c. 32. §§ 128-130. The Lacedaemonians, whom you will pardon me for quoting once again, showed the same spirit as your ancestors in their treatment of the traitor Pausanias, and in the law which they passed respecting defaulters in their country's defence. This law I shall read to you. Where death is the penalty for cowardice, men will rather face the danger of battle than condemnation by their fellow-countrymen,
 may be unpleasant to his hearers: cf. supra $\S_{52}$ кal $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ 位 $\mu$ $\theta o \rho \nu \beta \eta \sigma \eta$. Praise of the institutions of another Greek state, which was expressly forbidden at Sparta, was presumably not encouraged elsewhere.
 constantly quoted by Athenian statesmen and orators as the model, among cities, of $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \mu i a$, which, acc. to Aristotle (Pol. iv. 8. 6), comprehended 'good laws well obeyed.' Eunomia, 'Law and Order,' was the title of a poem by Tyrtaeus. Cf.
 $\dot{\alpha} \in l$ ácupávvevtos $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$, Plat. Crito 52 E (the Laws to Socrates) $\sigma \dot{v}$
 $\epsilon \dot{v} \nu \rho \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$, where Adam remarks : 'What Socrates most admired in Crete and Sparta was their implicit obedience to the law:
they formed the best possible illustration of his principle- $\tau$ o סiкalov is tò $\nu \dot{\mu \mu \mu o \nu . ' ~ A l s o ~ P r a t . ~} 342$ A ff., Rep. viil. 544 C , Xen. Mem. III. 5. 15 and IV. 4. 15. 'Few sights are stranger than Plato and Xenophon turning their eyes away from their own free country to regard with admiration the constitution of Sparta....It attracted them because the old order survived there -the citizen absolutely submissive to the authority of the state, and not looking beyond it....Accordingly they saw in Sparta the image of what a state should be; just because it was relatively free from that individualism which they were themselves actively promoting by their speculations in political philosophy.' Bury, H.G. (1900), pp. $5^{81-2}$.
dं $\sigma \phi \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \mathrm{V}]$ ' with the more certainty.'
т $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$ סukalav...тウ̀v єv̉opkov] The force of the article with the adjs. depends on the fact that the 'vote,' in the abstract sense, must be for one or other of two things-acquittal or condemna-tion-the latter being of course, for Lycurgus, $\dot{\eta}$ סıкаia кal $\dot{\eta}$ eṽoркоs $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi$ оs.
 and leader of the confederate Greek fleet against Persia, till the allies disgusted by his conduct transferred the leadership to Athens and incidentally launched her on her imperial career. For his fortunes subsequent to Plataea, see Thuc. I. $94^{-134}$. The designation 'king' is not quite accurate, as P . simply succeeded his father Cleombrotus in the guardianship of his cousin Plistarchus, son of Leonidas, for whom he acted as regent from 479 till his death (c. 47 I ) (Her. IX. 10) ; but it makes him all the more effective an example for Lycurgus of the punishment of treason in high places. The account of his death here agrees in the main with that of Thuc. (I. 134) : later historians (Nepos, Diodorus, Polyaenus) introduce the inevitable sensational detail about his own mother, who is called Theano, bringing the first stone to wall him up.
 an epithet of Athena Poliouchos at Sparta, of which various
explanations are given: either (a) the temple was made of bronze (so apparently Paus. 10. 5. 5), or (6) it contained a bronze image of the goddess (so app. Paus. $3 \cdot 17 \cdot 3$ ), or (c), as Frazer thinks probable (Pausanias, vol. III. c. xvii. p. 345), 'the building was merely lined with bronze plates, like the so-called Treasury of Atreus at Mycenae.'




$\pi є р เ \sigma \tau р а т о \pi \epsilon \delta \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ ] the act. form of the verb appears to belong to late writers, e.g. Polybius and Plutarch.
$\pi \rho l v$ ที] an 'Ionism' which was abjured by many Atticists: $\pi \rho i \nu$ Es (Bl.). But see Kühner $\mathrm{HI}^{2} .455$.
$\left.\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda_{\iota} \mu \hat{\varphi}\right]$ hunger, as a form of death $(\tau \hat{\psi})$.
 a signal proof to all the world, that' etc. [Editors have found difficulty in this const., and either delete $\tau \eta \nu \nu \tau \mu \omega \rho l a \nu$ with Morus (Sch., Es), or change to $\tau \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho / \AA$ Morus (Thalh., Sofer). Rehd. defends the text, quoting Ar. Thesm. $684 \pi$ â $\sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi a \nu \grave{\eta} s$

 Rep. I. 348 E.]

dं $\delta$ เкov̂бเv] dं $\delta \iota \kappa o v ิ \sigma \iota \nu$ is bracketed, as might be expected, by some of the editors (Bk., Bl.), but it seems to be Lyc.'s manner to make these idiomatic ellipses more explicit: cf. supra $\$ \S 33$, 92 , and notes.
 $\gamma \in \gamma$.]
 as the penalty.'
els av่тò тоvิто...тvyxávouč] 'fixing as the due penalty precisely the thing of which they stand most in dread,' i.e. death: they prevent cowardice in facing death by making death the

 genuine, the first seems to be used as we say 'to fix something at so-and-so': the second may be illustrated from Soph. O.T.
 followed by Sofer, omits the first $\epsilon$ is, which simplifies the const.]
 a safe return from battle answerable to danger along with disgrace,' i.e. 'subject to' or 'dependent upon' a degrading trial. The meaning is: if a man comes out of battle unscathed, he must be prepared to answer the question 'why?,' should it be raised, by submitting to a trial which will fasten disgrace upon him if he fails to make good his defence. In this sense his safety may be said to be úmev́धvvos, 'subject to,' 'dependent upon' such a trial, inasmuch as the trial decides whether it has been honourably won. For $\kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu o s$ in the judicial sense (Lat.
 in the next §, supra §34 тò̀ ú $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i a s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu \in v ́ o \nu \tau a$, and
 of being called to account before a court.
ávanó $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ ктov] a late word, occurring first in Lyc., 'a tale


av̉тoîs] Cf. supra $\S \S 23,114$, etc.
§130. ס...тapd $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \omega ̂ v ~ \phi o ́ \beta o s] ~ m e t u s, ~ q u e m ~ i n i c i u n t ~$ cives (Sofer). Cf. supra $\$ \S{ }^{15}, 49 n n$.
$\phi\left\llcorner\lambda 0 \psi v \chi \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \mathrm{\epsilon}\right]$ a word of poetic complexion: cf. the frag. of Tyrtaeus, 1. 18 (supra § 107).

ข่токєцนย์ $\nu \eta \nu$ ] ' is reserved for them': cf. [Dem.] xxxiv. § i9

 Thuc. II. 24, III. 70), but unnecessarily.]
$<\tau a v ́ \tau \eta v>\tau \mu \omega \rho l a v]$ 'this as a punishment': cf. supra § 79 тaú $\eta \nu \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu n$. [<テaúr $\eta \nu>$ is due to Scheibe (Es, Bl., Sof.) : Rehd. (Thalh.) keeping av̇т $\tau \tau \mu \omega \rho l a \nu$ (with the mss.
 Aávarov; deleting $\eta$.]
§voîv кเvסv์voเv ข่דокєє $\mu$ évoเv] 'of two dangers which are proposed to them,' slightly different in sense from $\dot{v} \pi о \kappa є \iota \mu \in ́ \nu \eta \nu$ above.
 but with a slight difference of meaning, the first being what one might call the physical)(the judicial, danger: see previous §.




 $\dot{i} \pi$ d́ $\rho \chi \eta$. So Polybius (vi. 37), speaking of Roman discipline, says 'it sometimes happens that men confront certain death at their stations, because, from the fear of the punishment awaiting them at home, they refuse to quit their post.'
cc. 33-34. $\S \mathrm{S}^{131-134 \text {. The conduct of Leocrates is more }}$ reprehensible than that of ordinary deserters in the field in that he fled his country without even attempting to defend his own hearth, thus betraying the natural instincts displayed even by the unreasoning animals. In the eyes of the outside world, he is in a worse case than homicides: the man who abandons his own country in the hour of need will not readily lift a finger on behalf of the country of others. More than any other traitor, Leocrates deserves even a severer penalty than death. Other traitors are punished when their crime is only in contemplation: in L.'s case it was a fait accompli.
§ 131. торı̧' $\mu \in \nu$ оs] 'seeking to secure.'
 false even to the proper and necessary instincts of nature, which are distinguished even by the unreasoning animals as the greatest and weightiest': oikєía, what specially or peculiarly appertains to one, Lat. proprius: dvaүкaîa, 'necessary,' whether in the physical or (as here) in the moral sense: cf. Dem. c. Steph. A.

 'the brutes')( 'man,' whose distinguishing characteristic is $\lambda$ ó 'os (rational speech), Arist. Pol. 1. 2. io $\lambda$ b $\gamma 0 \nu \delta e ̀ ~ \mu o b \nu o \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os
 'a horse.'- $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \eta \pi \tau \pi a$, of the standing attitude, 'have been' and so 'are (as a permanent characteristic) defined': with this, roîs $\zeta$ ¢ous is the so-called 'dative of the agent,' which is very closely akin to the possessive dative.

 Eкelvav d $\rho \in \tau \eta \eta^{2}$.
 nature for swiftness,' and so might be expected to offer the less resistance.-For the text, see Crit. App.
ov́8' áppla үд̀p к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] The source of the lines is unknown, and Es and Rehd. bracket them as an importation by a later copyist.--őpv̌s : so several times in Trag. : cf. Soph. Ant. 102 I
 in Attic: v. Jebb on Soph. l.c. and L.S. s.v.- $\mathfrak{\eta} \xi \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \in \mathrm{v}$ : 'deigns,' 'expects': gnomic.
vi $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon]$ absol. 'has so surpassed' in cowardice: cf.
 duola.



 mission : $\eta_{\lambda a v \nu e v, ~ o f ~ t h e i r ~ a t t i t u d e ~ t o w a r d s ~ h i m . ~}^{\text {in }}$
oi...фóvov фévovtes] here, perhaps, in the literal application of the phrase, 'those who flee (their country) for murder,' i.e. anticipate their sentence by going into voluntary exile, as the murderer had the option of doing.
 shelter them hostile to them.'

тaxv́ $\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \nu$ ] 'would be in a hurry, I suppose, to' etc. : ironical.

Cf. the idiomatic $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$, 'at one's leisure,' and so 'scarcely,' 'hardly': freq. in apodosi, Plat. Rep. 610 E , etc.
$\mu \in \theta \in \xi=v \sigma t v]$ 'are ready to share.'

§ 134. т $\hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \pi о \tau \epsilon \pi \rho о \delta о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] ' of the traitors of all (previous) time': cf. supra $\S 58 n$. [Es would change to $\pi \rho o \delta b \nu \tau \omega \nu$ on the ground that $\pi \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\text { is }}$ most frequently joined with a ptcp., but oi $\pi \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ occurs absol., and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ is quoted from Xen. Hell. v. 4. 1.]
 the invention of a more severe penalty than death as remotely possible: $\epsilon l$ $\tau \iota s \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu{ }^{\eta} \nu \nu$ would have denied it. Goodwin, § 410 ff .


öтav $\left.\lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{t}\right]$ See Crit. App.
ő $\pi \epsilon \rho$ è $\pi \epsilon \mathrm{X} \ell \mathfrak{\ell} \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon]$ sc. $\delta \iota a \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, but not necessarily, as


 of öт $\epsilon \rho$, partly predicative with крivєтal: 'is on his trial for having deserted.'
c. 35. $\$ \S 135-140 . I$ wonder what plea the speakers on his behalf can possibly put forward for his acquittal: if their friendship with him, they virtually confess their sympathy with his conduct. His dead father, 1 imagine, whose statue he abandoned to the enemy, would rise up in judgment against him. In this connexion Leocrates may be said to have outraged Zeus Soter, whose name might fairly have been added to the indictment. As to the paid advocates for the defence, remember that these deserve your severest resentment, for their defence of $L$. is a proof that they would readily share his actions. Some of them will even go the length of seeking to beg him off on the strength of their own public services; but you must discriminate between services which tend merely to the glorification of the individual
and those which benefit the state as a whole. No public service is so great as to be entitled to be rewarded with the acquittal of traitors.
 quent in the orators c. gen. of the person, followed by a clause introduced by a relative or $\epsilon l$, denoting the point in the person's conduct which occasions the surprise (so $\theta a v \mu a ́ j e l v ~ \tau \ell \tau \nu \nu o s$, to wonder at something in a person): cf. Antiph. or. I. § 5 tavpá̧w



 $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \sigma a \tau \varepsilon n$.

Xp $\eta \sigma \theta a L]$ uti, 'associate with.'
$\pi \rho l v \ldots \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} a l \ldots a ̋ \delta \eta \lambda o v \eta \geqslant]$ As far as the form of the sentence goes, we might have had $\pi \rho \imath \nu \ldots \notin \epsilon \rho \rho a \xi \epsilon \Lambda$., ádin入ov ${ }^{\eta} \nu$ ( $=o v ̉ \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ $\left.\eta_{\nu}^{\nu} \ldots \pi \rho i \nu \notin \pi \rho a \xi \epsilon\right)$ : the effect of this would have been to lay stress on the action of L. as the turning-point in people's estimation of his friends' character. But the prominent idea is simply the different estimate of their character then and now, L.'s action being indicated merely as the dividing line between the two: in other words, the idea of before ( $\pi \rho i \nu \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi a \iota$ ) is more prominent than that of until ( $\pi \rho i \nu$ é $\pi \rho a \xi \varepsilon$ ). Goodwin, M.T. § 628.
 real character was uncertain': the Greek is reminiscent of Plato's phraseology.
 manners.'
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \lambda \dot{v} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \boldsymbol{v} . . . \grave{\eta}]$ The fusion of the ideas 'before' and 'rather,' which $\pi \rho \sigma$ бтє $\rho o \nu$ exemplifies, is seen in our 'sooner,' 'they would sooner die than surrender.' $\pi \rho \sigma$ 'тepov, in form and usage, is in fact the exact counterpart of Eng. rather, i.e. rath-er, 'sooner.'
 67 mn.
§136. aข่тஸ̣] 'I fancy he would find his dead father his severest judge': cf. Isocr. Aeginet. § 44 ot $\mu a \iota \gamma d \rho \rho \not \partial \nu$ aỉiodv (sc.

 [rois éxề] have any consciousness of what passes on earth [ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \theta \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$.]': this or a practically identical phrase occurs three times in Isocrates (Evag. §2, Plat. §61, Aeginet. §42), but it is perhaps to be regarded here simply as a speculative commonplace with regard to the dead rather than as a trace of Isocratean study on the part of Lycurgus. For a close parallel in Latin, cf. Servius ap. Cic. Ad Fam. Iv. 5. 6 quod si qui etiam inferis sensus est, on which Tyrrell remarks, 'a sad if....The words used do not seem to suggest that S. himself believed that consciousness would survive death.' (Cicero in his Letters, p. 288.) This observation, mutatis mutandis, may fairly be applied to the Greek, which seems equally sceptical in tone. [In Homer, the spirit ( $\psi v \chi \eta^{\prime}$ ) of the dead man, in Hades, is a mere 'wraith' ( $\epsilon \ell \delta \omega \lambda o \nu$ ) of his living self (aúrós), wherein 'there is no heart at all' (Il. xxili. Io3). Socrates, in a famous passage of the Apology ( 40 C ), opines that death 'is either the end of all sensation or a migration of the soul from the present sphere into another,' and holds that either of these is good. In Arist. Eth. Nic. III. 9. III $5^{\text {a }} 26$, on the other hand, it is maintained that death is most formidable just because it does end all ( $\phi_{0} \beta \epsilon \rho \omega \boldsymbol{w}^{\tau} \alpha$ тov
 à $\gamma a \theta$ òv oüтє какд̀ єival, which is quoted by Adam on Apol. l.c.]
 father himself, dedicated by himself (cf. $\eta^{\eta} \nu$ éкєîvos $̇$ ध̈ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa$ к.т.入.) : of the circumstances of the dedication we have no knowledge. єккотог катЄ̇ $\lambda เ \pi \epsilon$ : cf. supra § 85.


av̉rós] aủròs may be sound, but oûros (Reiske) is tempting with ékeîvos preceding.

тoเov́tov $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ viov̂... $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon$ vietal] lit. 'for he is called the
father of such a son,' i.e. the character of the son reflects upon the father: people will say, tel fils, tel pere.
 not insert this in the impeachment, "betrayal of his father's
 to $\alpha \nu a \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \ell \eta \nu)$ is probably intended to read as an extract from the indictment as it might have been: for the infin. in specifying the charge, cf. the mock indictment in Ar. Vesp. 894 :- $\begin{gathered}\text { 'rpá- }\end{gathered}$

 тaîs àyopaîs à $\theta \lambda \eta \tau$ às àvaкєє $\mu$ évous $n$.

' $\pi$ เүра́ $\psi a \iota]$ 'to add the name of Z . S. to the bill of indictment,' as a sort of subscriptor to the charge: the verb was used of attaching one's name to a decree, etc. as its official mover or supporter: cf. Aeschin. Ctes. § 159 ن́ $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o u ́ \delta ’ ~ ' i \pi i ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a ~$
 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, Plut. Dem. 21 тoîs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ oủ $\dot{\epsilon} a u \tau \dot{\partial} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ '
 of Zev̀s $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta ̀ \rho$ would have been a good omen for the accused. ['̇ $\gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \iota$ Es, é $\gamma \gamma$. $\epsilon l$ Cobet.]
 astounded most of all to think that you fail to perceive that those who are in no way connected...but who habitually assist... deserve to meet with,' etc.: the 'anticipatory' structure of the sentence is very characteristic Greek, but requires some
 $\sigma v v a \pi$.: 'The private advocate was forbidden to take money. Hence he usually begins by defining the personal interest [kinship, friendship, hatred of the opposite side] which has led him to appear. In the next century [the 4th], at least, the law was not strictly observed; private advocacy was often paid; and it is not rash to suppose that this practice was as old as the frequency of litigation.'-Jebb, Attic Orators, vol. I, p. cxxviii, quoting the Lycurgus passage. He adds that 'the real error
both of Greece and of Rome...lay in their refusal to recognise advocacy as a profession.'
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu]$ So Bekker (Es, Bl.) for $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ of the mss.
roîs тoเov́тoเs] dat. of agent with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma$., but partly, perhaps, with $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \circ \epsilon \nu$ as well: cf. supra $\S 48 n$. For the


 tous émaıveîv. [roîs totoúroıs Bl. (Sofer) : oi totoûtoı codd.]
ov́ $\left.\gamma \mathrm{\alpha} \rho \delta_{\epsilon \in} \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'for they should not acquire their skill [ $\left.\gamma^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{2} \sigma \theta a \iota\right]$ in opposing you, but in championing you and the laws,' etc. $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu d s$ here, as often, of rhetorical 'cleverness' or 'skill': cf. the familiar $\delta \in \epsilon \nu \grave{s} \lambda^{\lambda}$ 'ुєє $\nu$. The word has usually the added connotation of 'over-cleverness,' 'uncanniness,' and is freq. in the orators in a quasi-disparaging sense: cf. Lysias,
 master at this business,' Plat. Euthyph. 3 C 'A $\theta \eta v a i o u s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho . . . o v ' ~$
 fellows,' 'out of the ordinary.'-For the reading, see Crit. App.
§ 139. oủkétL... $\bar{\ell} \eta \eta$ ] logical, 'are not content with seeking to mislead you...but will actually go the length of expecting,' etc.

тaîs avit $\omega \hat{\nu} \lambda \eta$ тoupylaıs] 'on the strength of the public services which they themselves have performed.' At Athens, $\lambda \eta$ provprią were certain state burdens which the richer citizens discharged at their own expense. They may be classified as (a) 'recurrent' or 'ordinary' ( $\epsilon \gamma \kappa$ ќк $\lambda \iota o \iota$ ), such as the $\chi о \rho \eta \gamma i a, \gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \iota a \rho \chi i a$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i a \sigma t s,(b)$ 'periodic' at longer intervals, such as the $\theta \in \omega \rho i a \iota$ or sacred embassies to the great festivals, (c) 'extraordinary' or required at uncertain times: of these the most important was the $\tau \rho i \eta \rho a \rho \chi i a$. The $\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma i a$ and the $\tau \rho เ \eta \rho a \rho \chi i a$ are noticed more in detail below: for the others mentioned, see L.S. s.vv. -
 '́ $\phi$ ' ois: ols is most probably masc.: cf. supra § 138 init. éк $\kappa \epsilon$ $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu a \iota \ldots e ̀ \pi i$ тoîs... $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \eta_{\kappa o v \sigma \iota .-к a l ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, ~ v e l ~ m a x i m e . ~}^{\text {. }}$

єis $\gamma$ à $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ тòv रठıov oiкov к.т. $\lambda$. .] 'for after compassing them [the
$\lambda_{\text {yrovprial }] \text { for (the glorification of) their own private families, }}^{\text {, }}$ they demand of you public favours,' i.e. concessions in matters affecting the interests of the state: cf. катахарi $\xi_{\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota}^{\tau \dot{\alpha}} \delta \ell \kappa a \iota a$, 'give judgment by private interest,' Plat. Apol. 35 C.
 'has been a patron of the turf.' The keeping of race-horses was in ancient, as in modern, times a mark of wealth: cf. Isocr.

 $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i \pi \pi \pi o \tau \rho \dot{\phi o s,}$ ' a grand gentleman' (Drake). So Herodotus (vi. 35) describes Miltiades, son of Cypselus, as being oikins $\tau \in \theta \rho \iota \pi \pi \circ \tau \rho \delta \phi o v$, 'of a family that kept a four-horse chariot for racing,' and Thuc. (VI. 15) speaks of Alcibiades as one who

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oủбlav, 'to squander one's fortune in keeping horses.'
$\boldsymbol{\kappa \in X о р \eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ ] 'has performed the office of choregus,' who defrayed the cost of providing, training and dressing a chorus for the dramatic festivals at Athens: the $\chi o \rho \eta \gamma o l$ were nominated from the $\phi u \lambda a l$ in turn, but the burden might be imposed (after the Peloponnesian War) on two persons jointly (cf. $\tau \rho t \eta \rho a \rho \chi(a)$. The $\chi o \rho \eta \gamma i a$ was perhaps the chief, and the most spectacular, of the $\lambda$ ntovpriat (see above), and afforded an opportunity for the display of munificence.- $\delta \in \delta a \pi \alpha ́ v \eta \kappa \epsilon v:$ 'has defrayed the expense of.'
 themselves claimed, perhaps with some reason, that the state benefited by their reflected glory: cf. Alcibiades' defence of




 $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$. , 'entered seven teams for the chariot race.'...каi ö $\sigma a$ av̉ $\ell \nu$

 or. xix. $\S 63$ (the defendant is quoting his father's services) ö $\sigma a$



 $\kappa a l$ aúrò $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l$. The glory shed on their native cities by the winners at the great games is the burden of Pindar's odes.
 out of a trireme, of which the state supplied the hull (and usually the oars and rigging), and keeping the ship in repair for a year, during which period the $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \rho a \rho \chi$ os was also responsible for the command of the vessel, though not necessarily in his own person. The trierarchy was the most important of the extraordinary $\lambda$ ntoupriat (see note supra). Originally the burden was imposed on one person, after 405 B.C. on two, after $35^{8}$ (?) on the trierarchic symmories ( $\sigma v \mu \mu \rho \rho(a \iota)$. These were the 1200 richest citizens, divided into twenty 'symmories' or boards of sixty members each; and each 'symmory' was sub-divided into so many groups ( $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon l a \iota$ ), which might consist of as many as fifteen or sixteen members each, and which were each charged with the duty of providing a ship. This arrangement bore hard on the poorer members of such a group, who contributed individually the same amount as a wealthier colleague (Dem. De Symm. or. xiv). About 340 B.C. this abuse was removed by a reform of Demosthenes which made the amount payable by each member proportional to his taxable property: a single wealthy citizen might thus be required to provide two ships by himself, instead of bearing, as previously, only the sixteenth part of the cost of one (Dem. De Cor. §̧ 102-108).
 mosthenes: cf. Macan, Herod. vii-ix, vol.ir. p. $41 n$.-The usage of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$ admits either $\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \tau \rho i \delta \iota \iota \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ or $\tau \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \tau \rho l \delta a$ : cf. circumdo.- $\tau \hat{\eta} . \pi a \tau \rho i \delta \iota$, 'his native city,' as often.
$\sigma v v \in v \pi$ óp $\eta \sigma \epsilon]$ ' has helped to contribute.'
§ 140. $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ ' $\pi \pi เ \delta \in \delta \omega \kappa$ óт $\omega v$ ]' of those who have made a voluntary contribution': $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a \iota$ was said of contributing a 'benevolence' towards state necessities: the 'benevolence' was $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi l \delta \sigma \sigma t s$, 'a giving over and above.'

Tìv ยv̉moplav tûv $\delta \in \delta a \pi$.] Cf. Antiph. Tetr. A. $\gamma . \S 8$ ai $\delta^{3}$ єíवфорal кal $\chi$ орך

 win the remission of punishment for traitors as a special favour': so we must translate the somewhat pregnant Greek, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho o \delta i \delta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho l a \nu$ being equivalent to $\tau \delta \mu \eta \grave{\eta} \tau \mu \omega \rho \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s$ $\pi \rho \circ \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu \tau a s$, 'the non-punishment of traitors.' We may compare
 $\gamma \rho a \phi a ̀ s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho a \nu b \mu \omega \nu$, 'try to obtain the rejection of suits for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ as a personal favour.'- $\bar{\xi} \xi a i \rho \epsilon \tau o s$, of something 'picked out' from the number, Lat. eximius, and so 'special,' 'peculiar':



 prerogative.'

## 

 the honour of the state, he should seek to succour the defendant, by whom he was the very first to have his ambitions effaced': there would be no question of being ambitious for the honour of the state, when L., so far as in him lay, would have ruined the state itself.
 the interests of these men [the would-be defenders of L.] and their country are not identical': $\epsilon l \mu \eta$ introduces here an ironical hypothesis, like nisi forte in Latin, the second $\mu \dot{\eta}$ adheres closely to $\tau$ aủzd : nisi forte istis et patriae non eadem conveniunt.
c. 36. $\S \mathrm{s}_{14 \mathrm{I}-145 .}$ I could wish that an exception to the customary rule which forbids the presence of your wives and children
in court were made in a case like this, so that the victims of Leocrates' treason might whet you against the traitor. As their representatives you must pass sentence upon their betrayer. It is intolerable that Leocrates should have the assurance to claim equal treatment in the city which he deserted, under the very eyes of those who have mourned the heroes of Chaeronea-heroes whose fate has never cost him a pang. To what can he appeal? Laws, walls, gods, temples, citizens-he has betrayed them all! Both old and young will unite to pass sentence upon him. Surely you cannot by the same vote convict of madness the men who died for freedom, and pronounce Leocrates the traitor to be of sound mind! Leocrates' return is not that of an ordinary exile-it is that of a man who zoould have turned Attica into a sheep-walk.
§141. éxp $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ] For the potential impf. indic. without aٌy (cf. ย $\delta \epsilon \iota, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, etc.), implying a denial of the action of the infin. [' it ought to be lawful for you'...(but it is not)], see Goodwin, §§ 415 ff .
 have expected, is difficult (cf. two exx. taken at random from

 dealt with by any of the authorities on Gk. syntax I have been able to consult. The explanation of ovं $\delta \omega \partial \delta$ s here must be sought (I think) in the positive quality of the concession introduced by $\epsilon l$ кal: 'although ('as is well known,' 'which is a notorious fact') it is customary in no other case.' Cf. supra § $62 n$.
 wives and children sit by them in court': for the combination of middle with reflexive pronoun, cf. supra § $70 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu$ aúroîs ${ }^{\ell} \mu \in \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi$ opl $\zeta \leqslant \sigma \theta a l$. -It was of course quite usual for defendants, for the opposite purpose to that indicated by the speaker here, to bring their wives and children into court: the technical word
 Dem. Mid. § 187), or $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \beta \iota \beta \alpha \mathfrak{\zeta} \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota$ (Andoc. De Myst. §148, Isocr. Antid. § 321 , Plat. Apol. 34 C, etc.).
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\left.\boldsymbol{3} v \gamma_{\epsilon}\right]$ This combination is frequent in introducing an apodosis which constitutes an exception to, or a qualification of a concession contained in a protasis either expressed or implied : the word on which the emphasis falls is normally enclosed




 genuine sons of Hellas who wronged them' (as opp. to Philip, who is a 'barbarian'). The combination undivided (as here) is much more rare, but in Isocr. xx. § 14 we have: $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ ov́ $\chi$ otbv $\tau^{\prime}$ '̇ढтiv al $\sigma \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ (since it is impossible to detect wicked men before being injured by them) ...à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủv $\gamma^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \partial \grave{\partial} \nu \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota-$ $\sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \ldots \mu \sigma \varepsilon$ î $\tau$ тoùs roıoútous к.т. $\lambda$. [Es (Bl.) changes to à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủv $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\lambda} \pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i a s ~ \gamma \epsilon$.]
oűt $\omega$ s] resuming (as often) the content of a preceding ptcp. (here $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l} \pi \rho \circ \delta о \sigma i a s ~ к р i v o \nu \tau a s) . ~$

тои̂то тра́ттєเv] i.e. тоùs סıкабтàs סıкá乡єเข $\pi$. каi $\gamma$. $\pi$ ара$\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma a \mu \notin \nu 0 u s$.
 felt to be more definite than $\epsilon \nu \delta \phi \theta$. öv $\nu \epsilon \rho$, but the expression is admittedly redundant. [Blass (with Es) brackets кal ó $\dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu o$. .]

тоvิ кoเขov̂ $\pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma เ \nu ~ e ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ o v] ~ ' t h e ~ u n i v e r s a l ~ a t t r i b u t e ~ o f ~ p i t y . ' ~$
 might have rendered your findings against the culprit more severe': $\gamma^{\nu} \hat{\sigma} \iota \iota$, of a judicial inquiry, Lat. cognitio: Dem. De Cor.
 order that they might (now) be rendering': a secondary tense of the indic. is so used with iva and ö $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{m}$ in final clauses depending upon a postulate which can no longer be fulfilled: cf. Plat.
 ' you ought to have given way to us, so that our conference might (now) be proceeding' (but you did not give way, so it is

$\sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ codd．The latter，if more unusual after $\epsilon \in \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ ，is de－ fensible on the supposition that the speaker vividly transfers the purpose from the sphere of unreality to that of actuality．］
ávaүкаîov］sc．$\epsilon \sigma \tau w$ ．

§ 142．\％ттаv $\nu \circ \mu\left[\zeta_{\eta}\right]$ causal，cum putet：a variant for $\epsilon l v o \mu l \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$

 Cf．supra §s 27，43．

ทัкп］Steph．（Bl．）：グкєь codd．
iєpêv $\theta$ vбtôv áyopâs к． $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．］i．e．everything from which a person in his position was held elpyeceat：cf．Antiph．De Chor．§ 4
 $\nu о \mu \not \mu \omega \nu \quad n$ ．［ $\theta v \sigma \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ Tayl．（Sch．，Bl．，Thalh．）：$\dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} i \omega \nu$ Reiske （Bk．，Rehd．）：ov่ $\sigma t \omega ̂ \nu$ codd．］
 as follows：$\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, ＇on behalf of which，＇$\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa$ ．，＇so that they should not be abolished＇－a perfectly natural and normal construction．I cannot persuade myself，however，that $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda v \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ is independent of $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ；in other words，that the writer of these words did not feel them to be the exact equivalent， in syntax as well as in meaning，of the rendering which occurs most naturally to us，viz．＇in defence of the non－abolition of which，＇or（which is the same thing）＇to prevent the abolition of which，＇this final use of $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho$ with the infin．being easily paralleled

 Dem．De Cor．§ 204：Goodwin，§802．The obvious objection to thris interpretation，viz．that it postulates the possibility of writing $\tau \grave{~} \mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\kappa} \kappa a \tau a \lambda \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu 6 \mu \omega \nu$ for＇the non－abolition of the laws，＇ is discounted（I think）by the presence of the relative，which must come early in the clause．Thus while $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ катa入vө̂̀vat is quite easy，ímè $\tau o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta}$ â $\kappa$ ．is impossible，with the result that the relative is displaced and brought close to the preposition，where it appears，as was almost inevitable，in the
genitive．This I believe to be the true explanation of the con－ struction．［I had written this note before discovering that Rehd． （App． 2 ad loc．）takes substantially the same view．He opines that this const．was a mannerism of Apollodorus，＇a peculiarly artistic stylist，＇who was also a political partisan of Demosthenes and Lycurgus．The examples，however，which Rehd．cites from Dem．，viz．XLV．34，［Dem．］LIX．112，114，are，to my mind，less convincing，for the trajection of $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，than the present passage of Lyc．］

ท $\pi$ ódıs $\epsilon \theta a \psi a \nu]$ For the＇sense construction，＇cf．supra §87

 グ $\sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$ ．


§143．av́тiкa $\left.\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}\right]$ aủriкa $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda a$ is idiomatic，$\mu \alpha \dot{\lambda} a$ intensifying aurika，＇he will be begging you just in a moment to hear him＇：
 ．．．＇̇ $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，＇if he should change his desire on the spot＇ （Adam＇s n．ad loc．），Gorg． 469 D，Crat． 384 B．Note that the words are always in this order：aúvica $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu d \lambda a$ is a frequent variant．
$\pi$ ofous；ovis］The general structure of this and the next para－ graph，consisting of short pithy answers to questions put by the speaker anticipating the adversary＇s line of defence，is of a type common in perorations．

$\pi \mathrm{moloss} ;$ â $\mu$ óvos］This brilliant resolution of the desperate $\pi o t \eta \sigma a \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} o t$ of the mss．is due to Reiske．
 $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \tau a l n$ ．

 depending on $\delta \epsilon \eta$ ฑє $\tau a l$ ，which is felt as the emphatic verb，кai iкєтє́匕⿱㇒日ध！forming a sort of parenthesis，for iкєтєv́ $\omega$ is normally
construed with the acc. : cf. 'Podious iкєтєvétc immediately following. iкєтєv́धレ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (infra $\S 150$ ), where $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ can hardly betaken with $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu \kappa$ кal $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\nu} \delta \rho a$, is exceptional and perhaps poetic.
ois тòv av̉тòv Épavov...ov̉k éтó $\lambda \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ] 'men with whom he could not bring himself to make an equal contribution to the public safety': ots depends on $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ aủt $\delta \nu$, and is compressed for
 rov̀s épávous ס̀єעєүкєî̀ $n$.
 answered by the speaker, cf. Andoc. De Myst. § 148, which Hickie (ad loc.) describes as the locus classicus in Greek literature in this connexion, and which, he adds, is regularly quoted as such in grammars. But not with better reason than Lysias, xxiv. $\S \S 24,25$, which 'out- $\hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda$ 's' the Andoc. passage, and Lysias, xxx. §§ 26, 27 is deserving of honourable mention.
 their parents : cf. supra § 94 .
 far as he was concerned, to have burial in the free soil of their country' : $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \hat{\xi} \rho$ is of course predicative, but it is difficult to give it this force in trans. : the meaning is that L . did his best to

 $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \ell$, 'the very ground on which it stands,' Aeschin. Ctes.
 ท̋ $\delta \eta \pi \epsilon \rho l$ тov̂ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \tau \rho l \delta o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \delta a ́ \phi o v s, ~ ' t h e ~ v e r y ~ s o i l ~ o f ~ o u r ~ c o u n t r y . '-~$

 supra § $17 n$.

ทं $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \in \rho \omega \nu]$ sc. $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota x\{a$.
§ 145. ' $\xi<0 v \sigma\{a v] \epsilon \xi$., as often, of improper freedom, 'licence':


тòv $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\rho}$ каl $\mathrm{i} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}]$ ' the body politic')( ' you, as individuals.'
ov̉ үàp $\mu$ óvov vv̂v...катє́pXovтal] 'for it is not merely a case of an exile returning' ( $\nu \hat{v} \nu$-supposing you let L. go unpunished).-
of фtéyoveçs: the plural here is used to put a general case. -



фvүท̀v...катаүvov́s] Cf. supra § 22 ойтшs av่тov̂ катєүขஸ́кєє alioco $\phi$ иクŋ́v.


 [Es considers that a copyist who had before him $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ (so Es, as
 56: $\pi \lambda \epsilon \ell \omega \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \tau \eta \eta$ (as § 2 I ) Auger (Rehd.).]

$\mu \eta \lambda \kappa^{\beta} \beta_{o \tau o v} \tau \grave{\eta} v$ 'A. diveîvau] 'to turn Attica into a sheep-walk': cf. Isocr. Plat. §3I (of Theban animosity) oủ $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \eta \sigma d \nu \tau \omega \nu$


$\sigma \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ коs $\dot{v} \mu \omega \hat{v}]$ we might expect $\dot{v} \mu i v$, but cf. Soph. Ant. $45^{1}$

$\left.\gamma^{l} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \mathrm{~T} a \iota\right]$ Rehd. (Bl.): $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \tau a \iota$ Melanchth. (Sch., Turr., Sof.) : $\gamma \in \gamma \in \downarrow \eta \tau a \iota$ Thalh. : $\gamma \in \nu \eta \tau a \iota$ codd.
c. 87. $\$ \mathrm{I}_{146-148 \text {. Before concluding, } I \text { should like to quote you }}$ the decree of the people 'concerning piety.' I have denounced the guilty party to you: with you rests the responsibility of punishing him as he deserves. Be assured that each one of you, though giving his vote in secret, will make his mind open to the grods. Consider that by your single verdict you are pronouncing sentence on all the most heinous crimes, of all of which Leocrates stands guilty-treason, overthrow of the democracy, impiety, illtreatment of parents, desertion. By showing him mercy, you will expose yourselves to the vengeance of heaven.
 more...and to cite the decree of the people...before stepping down': a good example of a case where the stress, in Greek, falls on the ptcp. Of the decree 'concerning piety' nothing further is known.
$\tau \delta v \dot{d} \dot{\alpha} a v i\} o v \tau \alpha]$ either (a) 'him who would obliterate' (conative) or (b) 'him who has obliterated,' the present denoting the 'standing characteristic' as in $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon i v, \pi \rho o \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a \iota=a \check{\delta} \iota \kappa o s, \pi \rho o \delta \delta-$ $\tau \eta s$ єival.一тaûta mávтa: i.e. all the clauses of the decree just quoted.

ко入áбal...т $\tau \mu \omega$ ри́ $\sigma a \sigma \theta a l]$ the first, of the reformation of the offender ; the second, of the satisfaction of the offended: Arist.

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ d $\delta \mathbf{\kappa \kappa \eta} \mu a \tau a$ к.т. $\lambda$.] 'for crimes, so long as they are untried, lie at the door of the perpetrators, but as soon as the trial has taken place, at the door of those who fail to punish them as they deserve': the force of mapad c. dat. here seems to be that of 'resting with,' 'chargeable upon,' as apud and penes are occasionally used in Latin.



 $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$; or. xv. § го, Dem. F. L. § 239 oủ रà̀ $\rho$

 a single verdict on all the greatest and most heinous forms of crime,' i.e. though you only vote once, your vote has reference to a multiplicity of crimes, of which (as he explains) L. is guilty.


$\pi \rho o \delta o \sigma[a s]$ This and the following genitives are in app. with dò̀к₹ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ above.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \eta \quad \tau \in \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$ The collocation of the words is no doubt helped by the fact that $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu$ os belongs to the same root as $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \omega$ (' a place cut off' from common use, Lat. templum): $\tau \in \in \mu \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ here of course = ' be ravaged,' 'laid waste.'

P.L.
offence under Athenian law, and might be the subject of an $\epsilon l \sigma a \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda i a$ which was brought before the chief archon: see notes to § I. Note the poetic $\tau 0 \kappa \epsilon \in \omega \nu=\gamma o \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$. For the text after $\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\omega}-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$, see Crit. App.
 both were subject to $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$. Properly $\lambda \iota \pi \frac{2}{}$. only as gen. with $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, évooos, etc., though Cobet restores $\lambda \iota \pi о \tau a \dot{\xi} \iota \nu$ for $\lambda \iota \pi о \tau a \xi i a \nu$ of codd. at Dem. Mid. § I 66) would be said of desertion in actual battle, $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a$ of failing to take one's place in the ranks when placed upon the military list, the latter only of which might be held to be applicable to the case of Leocrates. For a discussion of the point, see Lysias c. Alcib. I [or. xiv] $\S \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}-8$, where the speaker contends that $\lambda \iota \pi о \tau a \xi$ ia includes non-appearance in the ranks as well as falling out in


 From Lyc.'s language in the present passage we should certainly infer that the two terms covered pretty much the same ground.
$\pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \omega \dot{v} \tau \dot{̀} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \alpha \iota]$ Cf. supra § $43 n$.
§148. $\left.{ }^{\text {E }} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a\right]$ admirantis vel indignantis, 'after all that':
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{r} \pi \omega \nu)$; supra § $27 n$.

тov́rov $\tau เ s]$ note the indef. $\tau$ ts, which has the effect of throwing more weight upon toútov.
 in $\tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \rho$. т $\rho a v ́ \mu a \tau a$, 'wounding with intent,' and supra § 38 ката̀ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ тои́тоv $\pi \rho \circ a l \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu n$.
kail тís ov̋тшs] Dobr. (Bl., Sof.): кal тобоиิтov codd.
$\sigma \not{ }_{\varphi}^{\prime} \zeta \omega \nu . . . \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \eta(\sigma a s]$ 'by seeking to save'...'by showing him pity.'
$\pi \rho o a \iota \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau a \iota]$ Bekker would omit this, on the ground that it disturbs the symmetry of the passage without helping the sense.

Xápıv $\theta$ épevos] 'by doing him a favour': a common Gk. phrase.
 to the vengeance of heaven.'
§s 149, 150. On behalf of my country and the laws, I have conducted the case honestly and straightforwardly, confining myself strictly to the point at issue. Reflect that, by acquitting Leocrates, you are condemning your country to death and slavery, and that your votes, according to the use you make of them, mean either the destruction or the salvation of your native city. Consider that a cry goes up to you from the very land-from harbours and arsenals, shrines and temples-and make Leocrates a signal example of the fact that tears and compassion do not weigh more with you than the vindication of the laws and the public weal.
 perhaps the most we can make of this: the speaker regards the prosecution as something committed to his charge, which he has 'duly delivered' (cf. reddo) : $\dot{a} \pi o \lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{\nu} \omega$ is the correlative.
 his life in general,' which was an all too common practice in Athenian courts: cf. the amenities exchanged between Aeschines and Demosthenes (Aeschin. Ctes. §§ 51-53, Dem. De Cor. §§ 257266), etc.

тòv $\Lambda \epsilon \omega к \rho a ́ \tau o v s] ~[\tau \grave{\nu}]$ Rehd. : $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ Es.
 ' and that of the two urns which are set out, one is for betrayal, the other for safety': the method of voting here described appears to be the older and simpler one, according to which two votingurns were provided, one for condemnation and one for acquittal, called respectively $\delta \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ and $\dot{\delta}$ ט̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, Ar. Vesp. 986-991: cf. Phrynichus, Mov̂бal, quoted by Harp. s.v. кaঠloкos (Mein.

 with one $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$, which was dropped into one or other of the urns. According to the later method described by Aristotle, ' $A \theta$. Пo入.
col. $36,11 \cdot 14^{-22}$, there were still two urns, one of bronze and one of wood, but each dicast was furnished with two $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi 0$, , one with a hollow cylinder ( $\dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \rho v \pi \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ ), for condemnation, the other with a solid ( $\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \eta s$ ), for acquittal : these held between the finger and thumb were indistinguishable to the onlookers: the dicast dropped whichever he wanted to use into the bronze urn, which was called $\dot{\delta}$ кúpos (the 'operative' or 'deciding'), the wooden ( $\dot{\delta} \tilde{a} \kappa v \rho o s)$ being reserved for the $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$ which was unused. At the conclusion of the voting, the contents of the kúpos were emptied out, the perforated and the solid ballots were counted, and the verdict was declared accordingly. The latter method secured secrecy of voting: it is difficult to see how the former could have done so. For a discussion of the subject, and the authorities bearing upon it, see the exhaustive
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \eta \dot{\prime} \phi \omega \nu$, and Sandys on 'A $\theta$. Пo $\lambda$. col. 35, 22 sqq.

 тенcoplav $n$.
§ 150. éd̀v...ג่то入v́бๆтє] si absolveritis.
$\pi \rho о \delta \iota \delta$ óval... $\psi \eta \phi$ єєิ₹ $\theta \epsilon]$ ' you will vote for the betrayal of,' etc. : so $\psi \eta \phi l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon i ̂ v$, etc.

таракє $\lambda \epsilon$ v́ $\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon]$ ' you will encourage' (others): таракєлеv́$\sigma a \sigma \theta a u \cdot \pi \rho о \tau \rho \epsilon \in \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ Hesych.
 $n$.

тd̀ $\delta \dot{e} v \delta \rho a]$ The reference is no doubt especially to the olive-


 and the 'dockyards,' important sources of Athens' strength, is specially pertinent in the mouth of Lycurgus, who had increased the fleet and completed an arsenal during his administration: see Introd. p. xx. So Lysias c. Eratosth. $\S 99$ appeals to the judges


Scheibe (Es, Thalh.), who postulates either this or the asyndetic $\tau o u ̀ s \lambda . \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu, \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau$. (Bl.) as the proper form.]

тарáбєเү $\mu a$ тоเท'батє $\Lambda$.] Cf. with the conclusion Lysias,

 тоv́т $\omega \nu \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho l a s$.
őтเ ov่ $\pi \lambda$ éov loxúct] depending on $\pi a \rho a ́ \delta . \pi$. $\Lambda$., ' make him a (warning) example (of the fact) that,' etc. [ kal , which the mss. give before ö $\tau \iota$, is deleted by the editors generally (with Morus): Rehd. defends it in an elaborate excursus, App. 2, pp. 162 sqq.]

ข̇пє́p] Lycurgean, for the simple genitive : cf. supra § 9 т $\nu \nu$
 $\pi a \tau \rho l \delta{ }^{2} \sigma$ б $\omega \tau \eta \rho l a \nu$.
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a s]$ so the MSS., and intrinsically probable as supplying the desiderated vox fausia with which to conclude. [rıpupias Reiske (Sch., Bl.).]

## CRITICAL APPENDIX


 $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \eta \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$, with $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \ldots \dot{\cup} \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu \dot{\alpha} \xi \mathfrak{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ recurring infra $\S 9$ after $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. The passage has been variously emended: Bekker brackets $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ \rho \mathfrak{l a \nu} . . . \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu \dot{a} \xi i a \nu$, Baiter and Sauppe $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a \nu$, Rehdantz $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a \nu \dot{a} \xi i \not a \nu$. I have followed Blass, with the change of $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ to $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \dot{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$.

 locum áסúvarov... $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu$ secl. Bekk. (Sch.).
§14. oi रoavt...b้vтa. I have followed Blass in transposing (with Franke and Scheibe) this clause from its position in the MSS. after $\eta_{\kappa} \kappa \kappa \delta \in \sigma a \nu$ infra, where it is awkward (as seems necessary) to refer of to $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oiкоv $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \eta \nu$.

 (Blass, Thalh.) : катаßє $\beta \lambda \alpha \phi \dot{\omega} s$ Jenicke (Rehd.): $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v \beta \lambda \alpha-$
 aủzoîs codd. praeter N (Franz, Saupp., Scheib., Thalh.) : aủtòs Jenicke: aútท̂s N (cf. §58) (Blass, Rehd.).


 Corais (Schöne, Rehd., Thalh.).- $\dot{\delta} \mu \omega ́ \nu v \mu o \nu \quad a \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta}$ Cor.: secl. Blass: aúr $\hat{\eta}$ secl. Schöne, Thalh.: aủ $\grave{\eta} \nu$ Rehd.
§ 28. After oikéтas, half a line is wanting in $\mathrm{A}: \dot{\eta} \pi \rho о к \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon / 今$

 ABN : $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a$ Doberenz: $\tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi a ́ v \tau ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}$ Scheib. (Rehd.)
[but, as Blass rightly remarks, the article cannot be omitted with


§ 38. After oi $\nu a o l$, the mss. give $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \in \rho \epsilon \in \omega \nu$, which is probably an importation due to a misunderstanding of the const. of $\epsilon_{\rho} \eta \mu 0 \iota$ $\ldots \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ immediately following. Heinrich (Sch., Bl., Thalh.) deletes the words: Rehd. defends them.
§39. $\tau \hat{\varphi}<\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\varphi}>$ scripsi. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ALP: $\tau \hat{\varphi}<\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi>$ Ald., Bk. (Sch.) : utrumque deletum volunt Osann., Turr.; $\tau \hat{\varphi}<\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$ $\tau o \pi \epsilon \in \delta \gg$ Meier, prob. Rehd.: à $\rho \tau i \omega s$ Blass.- $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \tau \%$ Es (BI.) : $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau о$ ALP.
 in transposing this clause from its place in the mss. after $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ б $\omega \tau \eta \rho l a \nu$ infra.




 $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \epsilon \in \epsilon \gamma \kappa є i ̂ \nu$ Thalh.
 Thalh.), Rehd. explaining $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu$ as 'the state interests,' res publica, and pointing out that the arrangement $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau o t o v ́ r o u s ~$ $\alpha \gamma \omega \hat{\omega}$ as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu$ (which we should have expected) would postulate the supplying of $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \omega \nu \omega \nu$ with $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu$. Otherwise
 of Isocr. (xv. 48), 'Privatprocessreden')('Staatsprocessen.' On the whole, I prefer the reading in the text, which is due to Reiske (Turr., Sch., Bl., Es) : $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ тotoút $\omega \nu$ тoùs $\delta$. ả $\gamma \omega \hat{\nu} a s$ Mätz. : toîs totoútous toùs $\delta$. ảyŵvas Franke.
§49. As Rehd. remarks (App. I, p. Io9), there is a good deal that is unusual, both in expression and arrangement, in this and the following paragraph, which have probably suffered from the incorporation in them of reminiscences of famous Epitaphii which were much handled in the schools.
 $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda a \ldots \tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ a $\mu \phi b \tau$. codd. : $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ס̀̀ Auger, Bekk. : $\tau a \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ apa Rosenberg (Rehd.).
(b) $\mu$ óvovs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тov̀s к. т.入. $\mu \delta \nu$ ous does not seem wanted if $\dot{\eta} \tau \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \dot{a} t$ is understood (as seems most natural in its context) of military defeat: Rehd., who attempts a reconstruction of the неvo
whole passage, considers that $\mu \dot{b} \nu \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{s}$ arose out of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \tau \epsilon s$ supra, and that the original ran... $\dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a s ~ \dot{a} \mu \nu \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu o c \cdot \tau o v ̀ s$

 has been variously emended so as (a) to supply an object to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon o \nu,(b)$ to define more precisely the force of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta \epsilon$. I have adopted Blass's < $\dot{d} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i a \nu>a f t e r ~ a ̉ \lambda o b \gamma \omega s, ~ t a k i n g ~ \delta i ́ ~ a ̆ . . . ~$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon v o \nu$ in a causal relation to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ (see explanatory note). Other suggestions are: кaì $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i ́ a$ oúk $\dot{a} \lambda$. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi$. тâ̂ta.
 Herw. : ä $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta l a$ oủc $\dot{a} \lambda . \hat{\epsilon} \pi$. $\cdot \hat{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ci. Rehd.
§87. à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ єls $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$ codd. (Sch., Rehd., Sofer) : $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ôov т̀̀ $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$ Bekker: ád入’ $\epsilon l$ (Tayl.) $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \rho$. $\mu \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \alpha$ Dobr.: à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha<\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon>$ ci. Frohb., prob. Thalh.
§73. The probabilities for and against the genuineness of t$\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ seem to be about equally balanced: I have followed Meutzner (Bl., Thalh.) in bracketing it: Turr., Sch., Rehd. retain it.
 (Sch., Rehd., Thalh.), which, if defensible, is at least an exceptional const. with $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v d \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, which seems to be found only with (a) the infin. present or aorist, (b) fut. ptcp., with or without $\dot{\omega}$ (Rehd., App. 2, ad loc.) : $\pi o \iota \eta=\omega \nu$ Frohb. : < $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}>\ldots$ $\pi o \imath \eta \sigma \omega \nu$ Es (Bl.).
 $\delta \mu \omega s l \sigma \chi \nu \hat{\omega}$ s of the mss., which has been very variously emended :
 Haupt. (Bl.) : alii alia.
 $\pi a \tau \rho(\delta a$.- $\delta \iota a \kappa a \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta े \nu \pi a \tau \rho l \delta a$, 'to stand staunchly by one's country' (see expl. note) is perhaps somewhat difficult (though Lyc. has other unusual examples of $\epsilon i s$, cf. § 129 ); but it seems intolerably harsh to join (with Rehd.) катакл $\eta \sigma \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon s$ with $\epsilon i s \tau \eta \eta \nu$. Es (Bl.) would delete $\epsilon \pi$ олльоркои̂̀то каl, which no doubt makes катак入.... $\epsilon$ ls $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$. easier, though even then the rhythm of the sentence is in favour of joining eis, with ס̀єкартєроии.
§86. кard̀ $\tau$ às $\pi$ úlas $\mathfrak{v i \pi o \delta u ́ v \tau a . - A f t e r ~ c o n s i d e r i n g ~ t h e ~ p a s - ~}$ sage in all its bearings, I am inclined to believe that $\dot{v} \pi o \delta \dot{v} \nu \tau a$ (which I once thought might conceal something like $\mathbf{v} \pi \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\nu} \kappa \kappa \tau a-$ a time note) is sound, and that the corruption lies in кatà $\tau \dot{a}$ s $\pi u ́ \lambda a s$. I put forward the following suggestions for what they are worth.
(i) фрú ${ }^{2}$ ava $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ (added to the general testimony that Codrus took the disguise of a woodman: єủre入ê $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ ஸ́s $\xi v \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$
 for $\pi u ́ \lambda a s$. We should then have, (a) with кará, 'taking shelter (secreting himself : vimodévia used absol.) near (in the neighbourhood of) the woods,' (b) with $\kappa$ ̣ $\tau \alpha$ (Madvig), 'and then taking cover in the woods' ( $\tau \dot{a} s$ cf. subire). In either case, the action of $\dot{v} \pi o \delta \dot{v} \nu \tau a$ (as well as of $\lambda a \beta b \nu \tau a)$ is strictly antecedent in time (as the tense itself shows) to that of $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (see expl. note): during the time when $\phi \rho . \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ could be predicated of him, C. was, and intended himself to be, easily seen.
(ii) $\dot{u} \pi o \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ is capable of two other meanings, either of which seems pertinent for the present passage: (a) to 'put on'
 with $\dot{v} \pi \circ \delta \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\dot{v} \pi \circ \delta \dot{v} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu$ os at ll. 1 I 59 , 1168 respectively, though it is true that Scaliger and Hirschig changed all three to corresponding forms of $\dot{u} \pi \circ \delta \varepsilon i \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$ ( $\dot{v} \pi o \delta o \hat{v}$, $\dot{v} \pi \circ \delta \eta \sigma-$ ), which are now adopted by the editors], (b) to 'put on' a certain character

 $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ф८лоббфफ).
Assuming that ímoiovvar could have been said by Lycurgus in the sense of ( $a$ ), and reading Madvig's $\kappa \dot{a} \tau a$, I see in $\pi \dot{u} \lambda a s$ an additional detail of Codrus' 'make up': $\lambda a \beta b \nu \tau a \pi \tau \omega \chi \iota \kappa\rangle \nu$

 strong shoe...a half-boot, used by country-people, hunters, travellers'; with (b), I suggest that the original may have run:
 this disguise,' etc.: Lyc. is partial to the resumptive oivros (cf. $\S \S 35,42,46,82,93,117$ ). If TAүTHN ( ( $\alpha u ́ t \eta \nu$ ) came to look
 might very well be a gloss which attempted to explain the latter word.
 $\hat{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$ for $\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$ of the mss., which Scheibe (Rehd., Thalh.) retains (ópâtє $\epsilon l$ Ald.). ópât (rarer than ò $\rho \hat{a} s$ ) thus used initially is felt (acc. Rehd., App. 2, p. 151) as a statement, not as a question, and is without influence on the construction, as in
 however, appears to be distinctly rare in prose. On the other hand, $\hat{a} \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \in$ seems to have an affinity for $\delta \mu o t o s$ in introducing
 which passages may perhaps be regarded as creating an à priori case for $\hat{a} \rho \dot{d} \quad \gamma \epsilon$ here. Palaeographically, as Es points out, APATE and OPATE would be easily confused.

 $<\tau \dot{\alpha}>\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ (Melanchth.)...фaivoyтaı Thalh.: тav́tà < $<\dot{\alpha}>$
 Nicolai.
§ 100. Eur. Erechth. 1. 3. The line has been variously completed: I have adopted Meineke's $\langle\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega\rangle$ (see expl. note).

 G. Herm.: alii alia.

1. 41. тơ̆v $\gamma^{\prime} \notin \mu o l$ Heinr. (Turr., Sch., Thalh.): toủv É $\mu 0 l$
 ойкоиข...бшөウ́бєтає ; Dind.



 Hunc et priorem versum ita ponit Rehdantz:

ä $\rho \xi \circ v \sigma \iota \nu \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o \iota, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \omega \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$.
§107. Tyrtaeus, ll. if, 12.


oú ${ }^{\prime}$ ' aiठ̀̀s M (Bk., Turr., Sch.) : oйт' alṑ̀s libri et vulg. \|




For the second half of the line, I have adopted Ahrens' oõ ${ }^{\prime}$
 to which is, ceteris paribus, that aidìs...ömıs...è $\lambda$ eos seem to overload the whole line somewhat with the same idea. With Ahrens' reading, however, it seems necessary to adopt, in the first half, ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ ai̊̀̀ेs (with M) : otherwise oű $\tau \epsilon \ldots$...ö̃ $\tau$ (Bl., Sofer) connect heterogeneous elements in a way for which I can find no parallel. Reading then oú $\delta^{\prime}$ aio ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\prime} s$, and punctuating as in the

 normal and intelligible Greek (see explanatory note).

On the other hand, the double oov $\tau \epsilon$, subdividing the preceding ovi $\delta \mu \mu i a$, is thoroughly characteristic Greek, and tempts one to suppose that oйтє...oणัтє is sound. Is it possible that the true reading is:

 pointing to a preceding aủrov̂, at least goes very well with it
 the orators passim, and $\S 79$ of the speech, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon l \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\jmath} \dot{u} \tau \delta \delta$, ot
 hiatus resulting from the reading of aùrov̂, coming where it does in the line, is an insuperable objection (cf. Theognis 478 oठ̈ $\tau \epsilon \tau$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \eta \phi \omega$, oйтє $\lambda(\eta \nu \mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \omega)$; and it may be observed, further, that this very hiatus (assuming that Tyrtaeus wrote aủrov̂) would very naturally have suggested the mending of the metre by the substitution of a word like aij̀s which did not offend. In any case, it will be agreed that a place like ortartorort would be, on the face of it, a likely source of corruption.

 (Sch., Thalh.).-A great many remedies have been proposed.



 attractive of all is Rehd.'s $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a, \dot{\omega} a . \delta$., ô̈T $\omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi a \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$
 introduce into his text. Needless, and useless, as it may appear to add to the above list, I have ventured to write <оӥre $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ö $\sigma o \nu>$, on the ground that, if an adjective is missing, ofous seems as likely as any other on the lips of Lycurgus.

 Bl., Thalh.): $\langle\dot{a}\rangle$ ante $\mu \dot{d} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ add. R. (Sch., Bl., Thalh.): $\langle\hat{a}\rangle \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{a}$ Rehd.: $\tau \dot{a} \chi o s$, ť $\sigma \tau \nu \nu$ dist. R. (edd. omn.).
§ 134. \%̌тav $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \omega \hat{\sigma}$ ) Contius (Rehd., Bl., Thalh.): кara $\eta$ $\phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ G. Herm. (Sch.) : $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ Halm (Turr.) : :̈tav $\mu \grave{\jmath}$ $\left(\delta \partial \mathrm{N}^{2}\right) \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ ANpr. $\mu \bar{\eta}$ is difficult and contrary to the sense: the omission of it at least cuts the knot, but there is some force in van Es's criticism :...' quod (sc. örà $\mu \grave{\eta}$. .) éxplicari nequit ;
quam ob causam alius aliam viam corrigendi ingressus est, omnes tamen in eo convenientes in his vocabulis latere elocutionem quae optime hoc modo redditur "cum deprehenduntur." sed Lycurgus nonnumquam insulsus et futilis est, ne talia eum dixisse credamus. quis umquam maleficus non deprehensus poenas luit? puto Lycurgum scripsisse: "dum reliqui in animo habentes peccare poenas patiuntur eorum, quae non perpetraverunt," quod
 it possible that $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \tau \alpha \nu \\ & \mu \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \\ & \text { may have been developed from }\end{aligned}$ ötav $\mu \eta$ خे $\phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, a (somewhat superfluous) gloss on $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda$ dovtes

§138. The MSS. give ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \nu$ :

 Rehd., who considers that $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \dot{\eta}$ became $\delta \dot{\eta}$, and that $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \partial \nu$ arose from $\mu b \nu o \nu$ with $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ superscribed.
$\S 147$. The mss. give öt८ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ a ~ к . \tau . \lambda$. Most editors follow Morus in deleting 8 гı (Turr., Sch., Bl., Thalh.) as a ptcp., and not a finite verb, follows: others suppose that a finite verb such
 has fallen out. Rehd. suggests the change of ö $\tau \iota$ to $\ddot{a} \tau \epsilon$.
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Kváveal， 73
 108 sq．，120， 128
ムєuкás， 26
$\Lambda \epsilon \omega \kappa \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{s}$ ， I et pass．
$\Lambda v \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} s, 23$
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Макє $\delta \delta \nu \in s, 42$
Mapa日ஸ́v，104，ЈO9
Mérapa， 21,25 sq．，56，90， 145
Mevé入aos， 24
Mє $\epsilon \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu \eta, 62$

Mर̂ठoь，IO9
М $\eta \tau \rho \hat{\jmath} о \nu, 66$
宓 $\epsilon \rho \xi \eta s, 68,71,80$
忒 $\cup \pi \in \tau \alpha \omega ้ \nu, 22$
O $\mu$ चpos， 102
Ma ${ }^{1} \lambda$ ás， $1000^{\circ}(49)$
Mava日ท̀vaıa， 102
Mavoavlas， 128
Пeєраєєи́s， 18 ， 37
Пєлоторขท́ $\sigma \iota \circ, 42,84$ sq．， 87
Пє́ $\rho \sigma \eta s, 128$
Пגaтaıal，8o
Побєєठف́v， 98
Праگ̌tӨєа， 98
＇Póбьо，14，18， 143
${ }^{\text {＇Pbosos，}} 14,18$ sq．，2 1，55，70， 121
$\Sigma$ ${ }^{2} \lambda \alpha \mu i_{s}, 68,70,73,122$

$\Sigma \pi \alpha \rho \tau \eta, 105$
Tı $\mu$ оха́ $\rho \eta$ s， 23 sq．
Toosグv， 42
Tpola， 62.
Towes， 103
Tuptaios， 106 sq．
${ }^{\prime} \Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \delta \eta s, 3^{6}$
$\Phi \alpha \sigma \eta \lambda \iota s, 73$
$\Phi \iota \lambda \sigma \mu \eta \lambda_{0}, 24$
Фоцעlкそ， 72
$\Phi \rho$ и́vixos， 112
Фиркіроs， 19
Xaıри́vєєа，16，45，142， 144
Халкіоькоs， 128
Xápuos， 117
Xıóv $\eta, 98$
Xo入ap $\begin{aligned} & \text { ús，} 24\end{aligned}$

## INDEX B. SUBJECTS

Advocacy as a profession, 138
Areopagus, history and functions of, 12
Athenian Empire, duration of, 72
Athens, reputation of for piety, 15
Athletes, disparaging reference to, 5 I
'Autochthony' of Athenians, 41, 83, $100(7,8)$

Blindness, mental, 92
Bury, H.G., quoted, 10, 73, 106, 128

Callias, Peace of, 73
Corn, importation and exportation of, 27

Dead, commonplaces in praise of the, $4^{6}$ sqq.

- speculation regarding the, 136
Death penalty, inadequacy of, 8
Decree: of Demophantus, 125 sq.
- of Hyperides, 16, 36 sqq.
- concerning absconders to Decelea, 120 sq .
- concerning Hipparchus, 117 sqq.
- concerning Phrynichus,
- 113 sqq.
- concerning 'the man who died at Salamis,' 121

Decree: ' concerning piety,' $\mathbf{I}_{4} 6$
'Epheby,' at Athens, 76
Evidence, admission of, at trials, 20

Gorgias of Leontini quoted, 48 n.
Grote, H.G., quoted, 73
Holm, H. G., quoted, 73, 104
Homer as an educator, 102

- law regulating recitations of, ibid.
- quoted, 103

Horsekeeping as a sign of wealth, I39

Inaccurate history, 62, 70 sqq., 80, 124, 128
Interest, rates of, 23
Irrelevant pleading in courts, II
Law, inadequacy of the, to cover every offence, 9
Legislation, process of, $7 n$.
Livy quoted, 40, 107
Messenian Wars, chronology of, 62, 106 nn .
Military age at Athens, 39
Oath: of Ephebi, 76 sqq.

- Plataean, 8o sqq.

Prayer at opening of speech, $1 n$.

Rhetorical exaggeration, 62, 73
Sallust quoted, 126
Senatus consultum ultimum quoted, 37
Severity of ancient legislators, 65 sq.
Slaves, challenge to surrender, 28

- enfranchisement of, 41
- evidence of, under torture, 28 sqq.

Sparta, quoted for good government, 128
Symmories, trierarchic, $139 n$.
Verrall on Tyrtaeus, quoted, $106 n$.
Voting, method of, at trials, 149
Witnesses, summoning of to depose, 20
Wives and children in court, 141

## INDEX C. GRAMMAR AND LANGUAGE

Accusative: in absol. phrases, 17, 26, 45, 61, 73, 78, 92, 100, 144; cognate, 100 (1); of extent or compass of action, 2, 9, 11, 26, 41, 46, $52,65,78$; induendi, 40; internal, ${ }_{51}$; modal, 60,67 , 77, 111; of part affected, 107 (27); agreeing with implied subject of infin., 61; secondary acc. of space traversed, 70 ; of thing asked for, 139 ; of thing taught, 101; of thing taken away, 97
'Anticipation,' 62, 90, 96, 111, 138
Aorist: gnomic, 79, 100 (29, 33), 132 ; inceptive, 21,25 , 104 ; in sense of perf., 68; aor. infin. c. elkòs and è $\lambda \pi i$ s, 60
Article: coupling two separate classes, 90 ; demonstrative, 107 (7); of something well known, 51, 95; omitted in certain formulae, 87 ; omitted with certain nouns, $\mathrm{II}_{7}$; with neut. adj. $=$ abstract noun, 10, 33
Asyndeton, 33, 150 n .
Attraction of Relative, 26, 77

Chiasmus, 93

Dative, of agent, 14, 69, 108, 131, 138 ; ethic (in calling for documents, etc.), ${ }^{2} 3$ sq., 28, 114, 120, 129; of interest or person affected, 26, 99, 109,136 ; of recipient, 18 ; modal, 25,30 ; of point of predication, 40 ; depending on verbal force of noun, 63 ; with evo os. 4, 53, 114, etc. Dual, followed by plural relative, 105

Emphatic position of words, 18, 44, 101

Future Indic. in final clause, 3 I
Future Participle, final, 17 , 143; c. article, 4

Genitive, of characteristic, 6; of charge, 133 ; of definition, 25 ; objective, 10, 29, 46, 14 r ; partitive, 6, 9, 18, 48, 111; of price, 22, 23, 138 ; of separation, 65,98 ; of source, 15 ; of person in whom something is praised, blamed, etc., 28, 135; c.
 c. $\grave{a} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ 98 ; ~ c . ~ द ौ \pi \iota-$
 с. ікєтє́́v, 150 ; с. бúvoเкоs, 145

$$
16-2
$$

Hiatus, avoidance of, 7,58
Indirect Question, form of recta retained in, $66,8_{4}$
Infinitive: Active or Middle idiomatic in decrees, 16, 107, 113, 117; absolute, 71; epexegetic, $62,95,100$ (37), $107(26,29)$, 110 ; final, 100 ; as gerundive, 34,43 sq., 47, $87,100(4,39), 136,147$; in indictments, 137 ; of inner object, 100 ; in relativeclause in Or. Obl., 96 ; of respect or limitation, 91; c. alilay ย $\chi \epsilon \nu, 53$; c. $\lambda a \gamma \chi d \nu \omega$, of official bodies, 54 ; c. $\phi \epsilon v^{-}$ yєtv, 'shrink from,' 32 ; perf. infin. of 'standing attitude,' 3, 1 Io; pres. infin. in impf. tense, 64,86 , 95 ; with verbs of swearing, 126 sq .
Isocratean structure, 3, 104
Masculine participle joined with nouns of different gender, 30
Masculine pronoun referring to nouns of different gender, 29
Middle with reflexive pronoun, 43, 70, 141
Mixed Conditional, 66
Negative: affecting whole clause, 51, 65, 100 (49); generic, 8, 1I, 43, 44, 49, 138, 142; trajected, 58
Neuter Adjective in Predicate, 110

Omission : of imperative, $\mathbf{~ r 1 6 ; ~}$ of infin., 1 19; of subst. verb, 61; of subject, 19,23

Parataxis: see under $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu . . \delta \delta \hat{\epsilon}$, Index D
Participle : absol. acc. of, after $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, 90$; conative, 49,53 , 71,112 sqq., $124,13 \mathrm{I}, 146$, F48; concessive, 35, 41, 58, 8o, 82, 101 ; conditional, 10, $13,52,66,100(21), 123$, 125; coupled by te...кal with finite verb, 100 ; of impf., $1_{7}, 36$; agreeing with accusatival subject of infin., $8_{4}$; in protasis, 60, 90, 91 ; main stress falling upon, 28 , 36, 146; 'like to be...,' 70 , 95 ; with article denoting a class, 2 ; with subst. verb, 36, 52, 68, 107, 116; with фауєрд̀ $\pi о \epsilon \epsilon i ้, 50$
Plural : of abstract nouns, 6 , 18, 20, 48, 64, 75, 140; of pronoun, referring to collective sing., 42 ; for singular (poetic), 100 (50) ; in sense of general sing., 107 (27), 145; verb with collective sing. subject, 87,142
Poetic words in Lycurgus, 4, 7, 82, 99, 130, 147
Prepositions used for simple case: see $\pi a \rho a ́$, vim $\epsilon \rho$ (Index D) ; 'pregnant' use of, 25

Present Indicative, with force of perfect, 29, 37 ; historic, 23, 85
Pronoun, emphatic, 53, 107; unemphatic in emphatic position, 58 ; reflexive, with middle, $43,70,141$; resumptive, $35,42,46,82,93$, 117

Redundant Expression, 39, 46, 87 , 119, 14 I

Relative clause substituted for second adjective, 16; for articular infin. or $\epsilon \ell \tau t s$, 100 (1)

Singular verb, with composite subject, 38, 107 (10); agreeing with nearer subject, 33 , 79
Subject supplied out of preceding negative, 133
Subjunctive : conative, 58, 114,

125 ; 'vivid,' 26 ; without ${ }^{2} \nu$ in relative clause, 100 (ir)
Synizesis, $100(7,15), 107$ (14)
'Trajected' const. of verb, 43, 143

Variation of declension, 15,38 ; of idiomatic phrases, 17,33 , 92, 129
Verbal adjective (gerund), 67, 135
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à $\omega \dot{\omega} \nu$, ＇trial，＇5，7， 13 sq．， 90 ； $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon \varepsilon_{s} \delta \eta \mu \delta \sigma \iota \circ \iota, 7,46$
$\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota, 5$ I
$\dot{\alpha} \theta \hat{\varphi}$ os，c．gen．79；absol． 144
ai $\rho \in \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \tau \alpha u$ ，＇shall be taken，＇ 100 （39）
aitià ย̇ $\chi \in เ \nu$, c．infin． 53 ；absol． 125

 106；Є̇ $\xi$ är．то̂ al．110； кaтà тavтòs тov̂ al． 7
むккขウ，17， 55
$\dot{d} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, ＇be convicted，＇ 12, 114；＇be caught，＇ 12 I

à入入d，hortativum，103， 107 （15）；in question and answer， $1_{44}$ ；à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\eta} \nu, 53$ ；à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov， ＇instead of，＇ 7 I ；$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$＇oủ $\nu \gamma \epsilon$ ， 141
à入ótpıos，c．gen． 46
ä入入 $\omega$ s，＇merely，＇ 100 （27）
ä $\mu \alpha \ldots \kappa \alpha i, 29,3 \mathrm{r}, 50$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu u ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$, rare for $\mathfrak{a} \mu \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a t, 49$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon \imath ิ \nu$, c．gen． 98 ；$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ ． $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{,}, 108$
$a ̈ v$ ，repeated， 57,74 ；omitted with 0 бтtıs c．subj．， 100 （II）， om．with $\delta \phi \rho \alpha$ c．subj．， 107 （28）
$\alpha \nu \alpha \beta a i \nu \in \iota \nu$ ，of witnesses， 20
ג $\nu \alpha \iota \rho \in i ̂ \nu$ ，of oracle， 84,105

ג̀ $\nu \alpha \kappa \rho l \nu \in \iota \nu, 112$
à $\nu \alpha \rho \pi \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, 31$
à $\nu$ á $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota$ ，＇ruin，＇ 149
à $\downarrow \alpha ́ \sigma \tau a \tau o s, 60$ sqq．， $8 \mathrm{r}, 123$
ג⿱亠乂aбт $\epsilon \in \phi \in \sigma \theta \alpha$ ，versari，142， 145
$d \nu \tau l$ ，＇at the price of，＇ $100(51)$ ； $\dot{a} \nu \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu, 76$
a $\xi^{\prime} \iota o \nu(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota)$ ，＇worth while，＇ 25 ， $28,58,75,80,96,100,122$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, of summary arrest， 12 I
àma入入áттєL éк， 114
a $\pi{ }^{6} b$ ，＇with＇（of money）， 22
à $\pi о \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\pi \rho o ́ s, 10,12,64$ ， 66， 100
a่ $\pi о \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu \alpha$ ，reddere， $20,22,53$ ， I 49 ；c．infin．，＇empower，＇ 93 dं $\pi \frac{\delta}{2} \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，＇sell＇）（ $\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, 22$
¿สолa $\mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu$, ＇duly receive，＇ 24
ג̇o入v́єเv，＇quash，＇ 56
 gen．，59，110，123， 129 ， 147
ă $\rho a$ ，＇one must conclude，＇ 27 ， $54,78,145$
a $\rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$ ，ironical，70，88， 119 ， 123

ג́p ${ }^{\prime}$ pıov，＇cash，＇ 23
ג $\rho \in i \omega$ ，＇better，＇ 77
á $\rho \in \tau \eta, \quad$＇fruits of valour，＇ 48 ； ＇reputation for valour，＇ 49
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \delta \dot{\jmath} \epsilon$ ，convenit， 95
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \dot{s}, 100$（12）
a $\rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，omnino， 125
dं $\sigma \in \in \epsilon \iota a, 147$
$\dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \epsilon l s, 76$ ；$\dot{\alpha} \sigma . \pi \epsilon \rho i, 129$
á $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \in i a, 147$
 Пєєрацєе́́s, 18
atcuos, 4 I
aủтlкa $\mu$ á入a, idiomatic, 143
aủrov, 'on the spot,' 18
aủto $\chi \theta \omega v, 41$, 100 (8)
 $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ то仑̂... ั̈бov, tantum abest ut...ut, 30
dंфорâv, 'view from afar,' ${ }^{17}$
dфорі́a, 'famine,' 84
àфор $\mu$ خ, 'capital,' 26
 sinu urbis, 100 (47)
ßaбavijelv, 28 sqq.
$\beta i \dot{a}$, 'in spite of,' 70
$\beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, of mental hurt, 92
ßovлєчтท́plov, 124, 126
$\beta$ кил $\eta$ :,$\dot{\eta} \beta$. of $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \delta \sigma \iota \iota, 37$;

$\beta \omega \mu \delta s, \dot{\delta} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu, 93$
$\gamma a ́ \rho$, narrativum, 16, 124 ; introducing substance of $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta^{\prime}$ ptov, 6r; ' for instance,' 103
$\boldsymbol{\gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu \alpha , ~ \tau d ́ , ~ c a p i t a ~ a c c u s a - ~}$ tionis, 5
$\gamma \in \rho a$ ä́s, 107 (20)
$\gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa a l$ हi $\delta \omega \rho, 71$
үПротрофєìv, 144
$\gamma^{\gamma} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha$, , of time, 21


$\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma t s$, 'finding,' 141
रoveîs pro रovéas, $15,96,97$
roûv, introducing illustration of general principle, 71, 86, 95,104
रрафضे $\pi а \rho a \nu b \mu \omega \nu, 7$
סє́, in apodosi, 99, 127
$\delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon, 93$
$\delta \epsilon \omega \nu \delta$, of rhetorical skill, 31 , 138
$\delta \epsilon \nu \partial \dot{\partial} \eta \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{I}$ sq.
$\delta \epsilon \kappa a \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$, 'betithe,' 8 I
ס̇́vo $\delta \rho a, \tau a ́, 43,150$
ס̀े, igitur, 54

б $\eta \mu$ отıкбs, 29
$\delta \iota \dot{d}: \delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \in \lambda o v s, 16 ; \delta \iota{ }^{\prime}$ àmop-

 116
סıакартєрєі̂̀ єis, 85
$\delta \iota a \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, 'define,' 13 I
ס́dддoua, in plural, 75
бьаррйб $\eta \nu, 129$
$\delta \iota a \phi \hat{\ell} \rho \epsilon \iota$, c. gen., praestare, 12, ${ }_{15}, 83,108$ : absol. 89 ; $\epsilon \rho \alpha$ vous, 'pay off loans,' 22
ס̀aфopal ( $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega \hat{\nu}$ ), 100 (9)
סıєбкєvar $\mu \in ́ v o s, 37$

Sıo $\mu \nu$ vívą, 127
 40

є́á $\omega \omega \sigma a \nu, 63$
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \beta$ ov $\lambda\langle\mu \eta \nu \ddot{\alpha}$, vellem, 3

$\epsilon{ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \tau а \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, derelinquere, 2, 5, 8, et pass.
Eסaфos, 'soil,' 144
غ́zos, I, 143
$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu$, of ready obedience, 107
$\epsilon l: \epsilon l$ $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$, apologetic, 49, 61; єl каi)( каі єl, 62, 95, 141; $\epsilon l \mu \eta$, nisi forte, $140 ; \epsilon l$ ov, in protasi, 107 ( 11,12 ), 141 єiкóт $\omega$, 47 n.
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$, of Hipparchus, 117 ; of Leocrates' father, 136 sq.
$\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho, 66,100$ (19), 101
$\epsilon<\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \nu \mu i \mu \omega \nu, 6_{5}$
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els: 'for' (of purpose), 53 ; 'against' (of sinning), 76, 94, 124; с. ঠцакартєрєіे, 85 ; c. $\lambda \sigma \gamma\langle\zeta \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, 67$; с. тá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon t \nu$ and фовєír $\theta a \iota, 129$
$\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda(a, 5,30,137$
$\epsilon l \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu, \mathrm{I}$
єlбаүஸ́үноя, 100 (10)
єlocteval, 'appear in court,' I I sq.
cira, 'and then,' 66; in question, $z^{8}$
$\epsilon_{\kappa}$ : 'by' (of means), 35 ; 'composed of,' 62; 'prescribed by,' 4, 8, 34
єєкать $=$ є̀ยкка, 100 (14)
$\epsilon \in \kappa \beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, inritum reddere, 100 (45)

ёкботоע тоtєîv, 59 ; тарабо̂̂val, 85; ката入८лєî̀, I36

èкєềv, appositional, 100 (43)
é $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \alpha$, emblem of Athena, 100 (46)

еो $\bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{i} a$, of Tyrtaeus, 106 ; of inscriptions, 142
E $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ os, 'scrutiny,' 'test of veracity,' $28,30,33$; $\delta i \delta \delta \partial \nu \alpha \iota$, 28; фєúyєt, 29, 30, 34; 'conviction,' 46

$\epsilon \epsilon^{\mu} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, 82 n$.
$\epsilon \mu \pi \circ \rho l a$, 'trade,' 55,57 ; 'merchandise,' 57

$\epsilon \nu$, 'among,' 14 ; instrumental, 30 ; 'in the power of,' 52 ;
 $\theta \omega \hat{\omega} \ell, 104$
$\epsilon \quad \epsilon \delta \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'be possible,' 8 ; ai

̇̇vєฮт $\eta \kappa \omega$ śs, 'present,' 7
єע८бтaбөal, 'institute,' 31
tyo oos, 'amenable (liable) to,' 4, 5, 9, et pass.
̇̇vaav̂ $\theta a$, of motion, 33

є' $\xi$ alpetos, 140
ṫইaiteîซ $\theta a l$, 'beg off,' 20,135

$\dot{\epsilon} \xi 6 \mu \nu \nu \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'swear disclaimer,' 20

є'জovaia, licentia, 12, 145
$\epsilon_{\xi}^{\xi} \omega$ тov̂ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s, 11, ~ 13,149$
छส $\pi \epsilon \tau \tau a$, 'after all this,' ${ }^{27}, 115$,
121, 148
$\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \in i \nu$, 'punish,' 146
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \hat{a} \nu$, terminus technicus
of consulting oracle, 84
$\epsilon_{\pi i}$, 'epic poetry,' 102, 104
$\epsilon \pi i$ : c. gen., 'in charge of,' 44 , 121; 'engaged in,' 58 ; 'in the case of,' 64 ; of condition,
 of occasion or cause, 27,39 , $63,78,138$; of that on which penalty is imposed, 65 ; $\tau \grave{\grave{c}}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ тои́тч $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s, q u o d$ ad eum attinet, 45 ; c. acc., of purpose, $45,5.7$
$\epsilon \epsilon_{i} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \rho \omega \mathrm{~s} \delta \delta \hat{\psi}, 40$
${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a i \nu \omega$, c. gen., 'set foot on,' 108

$\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \partial \eta \mu \epsilon i v, 14,39$
$\epsilon \pi \pi\llcorner\delta \iota \delta \delta \nu \alpha$, , of voluntary contribution, 140
$\epsilon \pi l \delta o \xi o s$, 'expected,' 9
є́тіктทтоs) (фи́бєє $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \omega \nu, 48$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon a, \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho l$ toùs $\nu \epsilon \in \mathrm{ous}$, at Sparta, 106

$\epsilon \pi \iota \tau(\theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \tau v \rho a \nu v i \delta \iota, 125$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau\lceil\mu \circ \nu$, 'penalty,' 8, 65 ; plural, 4, $\mathrm{II}_{4}$
èmituos, $4{ }^{1}$
$\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \in \epsilon \nu$, 'allow,' $13,58,77$, 115

е̇пเтú $\mu \beta \iota o s, 109$
$\epsilon \pi \omega \beta \varepsilon \lambda\{a, 7 n$ ．
é $\pi \omega \nu \nu \mu i a, 25$

Épavos，22， 143

 ＇underended，＇ 117
$\epsilon$ ט̉ סıaßás， 107 （31）
єن̉a $\gamma^{\ell} \lambda(\zeta \in \sigma \theta a u, 18$

єїךкоєiv，dicto audiens esse， 77
$\epsilon ঠ ̋ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a$, poetic， 82 ， 100 （33）
eひ̋vota，in plu．， 48
єن́voueî̃ $\theta a u$ ，of Sparta， 128
$\epsilon v \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \hat{\omega} \rho o s$, in Sicily， 96
є $\varnothing \eta \beta \circ \iota, 76$
＇$\chi \in \epsilon \nu$ ，＇involve，＇6；＇have to wife，＇ 22 sq．

§ $\eta \tau \in \tilde{v}$ ，of judicial inquiry， 112
$\dot{\eta}$ rov， 7 I ；in apod．，with öтov preceding，ibid．
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa l a \iota, \alpha i$ ，of age for military service， $4^{\circ}$
$\eta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，licuit， 40 sq．
ทัр $\omega \in \varsigma$ ， 1
Oavцá̧єเข，＇admire，＇110；c． gen．of person， $\mathbf{1} 35$
$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \tau$ à $\overline{\text { ön }} \pi \lambda a$, ＇take up arms，＇ 43 ；$\theta$ ．т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \psi \eta \hat{\eta} \phi \nu$, ＇vote，＇ 13 ， 128；$\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$ 0．，＇show favour，＇ 148
$\theta \in \sigma \mu_{0} \theta \in \epsilon \tau a t, 121$
$\theta \in \sigma \mu 6 s, 77$
Oopv $\beta \in \hat{\epsilon} v$, acclamare， 52
іа $\mu \beta \in i a, 92$ ， 100
 79；＇a layman，＇ 31
ขठ̄ $\rho v \sigma \theta \alpha, 1,25,77$
 $8,25,38,56$ ；入aßbичєs $\tau$ à

ікєтєย́єш，с．gen．，150；с．асс．， 143
iттотрофєîv， 139 ，
$\quad$＇$\sigma \tau \omega \rho$ ，＇witness，＇ 77
＇quos，＇outline，＇ 80
$i \omega \nu$, poetic， 99
кадібккоs， 149
$\kappa a \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ，a stronger $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ell, 4,8$
каi：intensive， $19,65,90$ ；after
\％，14；щккоі каl ol тихб⿱亠䒑тєs， 37
кal．．．$\delta \epsilon$ ，＇and．．．too，＇ 28 ， 117
кal $\delta \eta \eta_{~ к a l, ~} 95$
кail $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ ，vel maxime， 139
каi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu, 79,100(32), 134$
кal $\pi$ ڤ̂s； 35
кal тâ̂ta，＇and that too，＇ $12,3^{2}$
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ a s ~ \sigma v \nu \eta \dot{\gamma} о \rho o s, 43$
кау ${ }^{2} \nu$, norma， 9
катd́，c．gen．，of time，катd̀ $\pi$ àvтोे．$\tau o \hat{v}$ al̂̂vos，in sem： piternum，7；＇concerning，＇ $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ à $\pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda(a, 14$ ； ＇against，＇ 138 ；c．acc．，of occupation，кaтà тaúт $\eta \nu \tau \eta \nu$
 sqq．；кarà фи́ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ，＇naturally，＇

катаßаiveцу，to Piraeus， 37 ；
from the $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a, 146$
$\kappa \alpha \tau a ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{a} \pi \lambda o i ̂ a, 18$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，of exiles， 124,145 ，
кат $\gamma^{\prime} о \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ，＇be the accuser of，＇
19

$\kappa \in \eta \tau \alpha, 113$
$\kappa \iota \nu \delta ข \nu \epsilon ย ์ \epsilon \iota$ ，in judicial sense， 34 $\kappa l \nu \delta u v o s$, of judicial danger， 129 sq．
кıขeiv，of sacred things， 25

к проронєิิv，88，$^{2} 27$
$\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu, 20$
кouvos，＇public，＇6，II，29，46， alib．；＇common to all，＇ 60 ； $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ кoıvá，＇the public interest，＇ 3
$\kappa 0 \lambda \alpha \check{\zeta} \epsilon \iota \nu)(\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota, 123,146$
краivet $\nu$ ，in oath， 77
$\kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ，＇beyond the reach of，＇ 126

кр $\rho \nu \omega$ ，＇put on trial，＇ $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 3 4}, 137$
крібєs，＇trial，＇4，6，12，31， 117 ， 146；＇decision，＇＇verdict，＇7， 9， 124
$\kappa \rho \dot{\beta} \beta \delta \eta \nu)$（ фа⿱亠乂єрб́s， 146
кúplos，＇having control of，＇ 56 ， 59， 146
$\lambda a ́ \beta o c \nu=\lambda a ́ \beta o \iota \mu, 100(6)$
$\lambda a \gamma \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，of tutelar deity， 26 ； of＇duly elected＇bodies， 54
$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu=\sigma v \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, 52$, 112；＇judge，＇ 66
$\lambda \epsilon \mu \beta$ os，＇cock－boat，＇${ }^{1} 7$
$\lambda \eta \xi \iota a \rho \chi \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu \quad$ рра $\mu \mu a \tau \epsilon і \hat{\imath} \nu, 76$
$\lambda$ provpria， 139
$\lambda \iota \pi о т а \xi$ iov， $\mathrm{I}_{47}$
入óros，＇an idle tale，＇ 23,129 ； єбтa兀 $\lambda$ b $\begin{array}{r}\text { os，＇will be talked }\end{array}$ about，＇ 14 ；$\lambda 6$ 万ov єौ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ тьva，rationem alicuius ha－
 127， 145 ；$\lambda 6 \gamma \omega)\left({ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \varphi, 104\right.$, 116， $\left.123 ; \lambda{ }^{2} \gamma \varphi\right)\left(\tau 0\right.$ îs $\delta^{\prime}$ Ép－ रototy， 100 （ I 3 ）
入охєย́мата，＇offspring，＇ 100 （50）
$\mu \alpha к \rho \partial \nu \quad \pi \lambda о \hat{o} \rho \nu, 73$
нéras，＇important，＇7， 29
$\mu \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，const．of， $66 n$ ．
$\mu^{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}$ ，＇isolated，＇ 57,66
$\mu \dot{\prime} \nu . . \delta \delta$ ，＇though ．．．yet，＇ ＇whereas．．．you，＇8，12，42，

53，82，1IO，115 sq．，121， 140
$\mu^{\prime}$ épos，＇importance，＇ 100 （43）； $\tau \dot{\prime} \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ avi $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \mu \epsilon \in \rho o s$, etc．，v． under $\tau \delta$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ：$\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \beta i o \nu, 50$ ；$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ тьтор， 69 ；$\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu, 86$
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，in deterius， 50 ； in melius， 60
$\mu \in \tau \in \rho \emptyset \chi$ о $\alpha \iota$ ，＇punish，＇ 116
$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu: \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ iє $\rho \hat{\nu} \nu, 5,142$ ；
 $\tau เ \nu เ, 48 n$.
$\mu$ خे $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a,{ }_{1} 6$
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ öт七．．．d̀ $\lambda \lambda \dot{a}, 94$
$\mu \eta \lambda$ бßoтov d̀ $\nu \in \hat{\nu} \nu a t, 145$
$\mu \mu \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta \alpha l$ ，of poetry， 102


$\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os，dramatic， 100
vaol， 38
$\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \tau \delta \nu, 107$（26）
$\nu \in \omega ́ \rho l a,{ }_{1} 50$
$\nu \epsilon \omega ́ s, 1,25,43$ ，etc．
voul§et，＇adopt，＇＇make cus－ tomary，＇ 75
$\nu \delta \mu \mu$ оs，＇customary，＇ 14 I ；$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ， $\nu 6 \mu \mu a$ ，＇customary rites，＇ 25 sq．，59，97， 147
 ）（ סькабтаи， 9
$\nu \delta \mu o s, r e$ Homeric recitations， 102；$\nu \delta \mu$ оs ）（ $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu, 7 n$.
$\nu \hat{v} p \delta \epsilon$ ，＇as it is，＇ 3

8 кal．．．el，56；c．infin．clause following， 82
bөveios，＇alien，＇${ }^{25}$
oleø $\theta a \iota=\dot{a} \xi$ เoûv， 127
 opp．to d̀עd $\sigma \tau a \tau o s, 123$

ö $\mu$ Проs，＇surety，＇${ }^{117}$ ， $\mathbf{1 2 7}$
${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \omega$ ，adhering to ptcp．， 75
 ӧ $\pi \lambda a, 43$
$\dot{\text { ó } \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon \text { ，causal，} 123}$
\％̈Tov，with $\mathfrak{\eta} \geqslant \pi$ ov following， 7 I
$\delta \pi \omega s$ ，final with impf．indic．， 141
б $\rho \theta$ bs，metu erectus， 39
ӧркоя，ephebic，76；Plataic， 80 sq．
öpvis， 132
\％＇s，suus ipsius， $107(2,3)$
öбıa каі іє $¢ a ́, 78$
ö $\sigma \tau \iota s=\epsilon \ell$ l $\tau \iota S$ or articular infin．， 100 （1）
ช̈тav，causal，II6， 142
ö $\tau \epsilon$ ，causal， 123
8ँ $\tau \iota$ ，initial，＇in proof that，＇ 19
oủdè $\epsilon \uparrow \varsigma, 49 n$ ．
oưठもे $\pi \dot{\omega} \pi 0 \tau \epsilon, 58 n$ ．




oûtos，more emphatic than áv－ то́s， $3,28,117,126,150$ ； prospective， $14,28,68,79$ ， 107 （21），126；resumptive， $35,42,46,82,93,117$ ；as predicate， 9,79 sq．， 130
ov̈т $\omega$ s，followed by ôs，69； separated from noun， 85 ， 105；resuming a ptcp．，${ }^{141}$
 48
oủ öँ $\pi \omega s$ ，non ut， 119
ö $\phi \rho \alpha=\epsilon{ }^{\prime} \omega s$ ä $\nu, 107$（28）
rapá，c．gen．，often for simple gen．（subjective）， $15,26,97$ ， 123，129，130，148；c．dat．， of person judging， $3,12,32$ ， 54 ；of responsibility resting
with， 146 ；c．acc．，of that upon which a result depends， 63 （bis），64， 66
$\pi a \rho a ́ \gamma \epsilon t \nu, 32,92$
$\pi a \rho \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$ ，＇warning exam－ ple，＇${ }^{27}$ ，I50
$\pi a p a \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a u$ ，of slaves，32， 34
таракаөl\}єбӨal, 141
таракє $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, 150$
таракрои́єб日at， 139
$\pi а р а \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \delta \dot{s}, 3 \mathrm{I}$
тарабкєшク＇，in plu．，＇tricks＇（of defendants）， 20 ；（of speech）， 31
тарабта́тクs， 77
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \chi \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，of witness or evi－ dence，23，102， 146
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \iota o s: \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \iota \alpha$ ध $\theta \eta, 25$ ；iepd̀ $\tau \grave{a} \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \iota \alpha, 77 ; \nu \delta \mu \iota \mu a, 59$, 129 ；absol．，26，116， 123
$\pi a \tau p i s$, ＇native city，＇17，I39
$\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\not Q o s})(\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota o s)(\pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s$, 25 n．；iє $\rho \dot{\alpha} \tau$ à $\pi a \tau \rho \varphi ̣ a, ~ 8, ~ 25, ~$ 38， 56
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i s)(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i s$, IO2 $n$ ．
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\prime}, \dot{\eta}, 19,58$
$\pi \epsilon \in \rho a s$ éxєlข， 60

 $\pi o \lambda \lambda o \hat{,} \pi \lambda \epsilon \operatorname{lonos-v.~\pi otê̂-~}$ $\sigma \theta a i$ ；c．dat．，of that for which one fights， 107 （2）；c．acc．， of time，$\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \epsilon i \lambda \eta \nu \dot{\partial} \psi i a \nu, 17$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon i ̄ \theta a \iota$ ，＇deprive oneself of，＇ 35 ；＇take off，＇ 122
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，circumdare， 139
$\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \in \nu$＇̇s тoûтo，＇things have come to this，＇ 3
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho \in \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota, 96$
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \in \sigma \theta a \ell, 40$
$\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o l, 100$（9）
$\pi є ф и к є, 4,66,132$
$\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$, ＇pledge＇：$\pi$ ．ठı $\delta \delta \delta \nu \alpha$, 79 n．， 127
$\pi 0 t \epsilon \hat{i v}$, 'dramatise,' 100 sq.; ' compose,' 106 sq .
 11, 12, 14, 28, 30, 63, 73, $96,102,120,146$; $\pi \epsilon \rho l \pi 0 \lambda-$
 20, 8 I
тоı $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ т́s, 'adopted,' 48
$\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon o s$, metri causa, 100 (21)
$\pi$ тои: 'how ?,' 78
$\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$, res de qua agitur, 1 I , 13, 90 sq., 149
$\pi \rho i \nu$ ทे, 128
$\pi \rho \delta$, 'in preference to,' 100 (30);
'for' (in defence of), 107

$\pi \rho о \alpha i \rho \in \sigma \iota ร, 38,148$
$\pi \rho о \beta$ ои́лєv $\mu a, 7 n$.
$\pi \rho о к а л є i ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota, \quad$ 'challenge,' с. cog. acc., 28
$\pi \rho \delta \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma t s, 28$ sq., $36 ; \pi . \delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma-$ 0 at, 29
$\pi \rho o ́ s$, c. acc., of various relationship, 'before,' 'in the eyes, of,' 101,109 ; 'in face of,' 82 ; 'concerning,' 'connected with,' 129, 130; (adapted) 'to,' 132
 18, 26, 96; salutare, 45
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'attend to,' c. dat., 10, 75 ; absol., 86, 108
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'attack,' 86
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{a} \tau \eta \nu$ Є' $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu, 2$ і ; $\pi \rho . \nu \in \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$,
 145; тробтáтaц, 'leaders,' 'champions,' $6 \mathrm{r}, 104$
$\pi \rho \delta т \epsilon \rho о \nu$, 'sooner' (rather), 135 n.
$\pi \rho o ́ \phi а \sigma \iota s$, 'ground,' 6; 'pretext,' 20, 33
$\pi \rho \nu \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath ̂} \nu: \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \rho . \sigma l \tau \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma, 87$
$\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau a \quad \mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$, folld. by $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a$, 100 (5)
$\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v$, folld. by モ̀ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a$, 19, 55
$\pi \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ ėสเóvт $\omega$ $\phi 6$ Bov), 49
$\pi v \lambda i s, 17,55$
$\pi v \nu \theta$ ávoua८, in anteoccupatio, 55 ,
$\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$, 'advertise for sale,' 56
$\pi \dot{\omega} \pi о \tau \epsilon$, c. noun ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi . \pi \rho о \delta{ }_{0}-$ $\tau \omega ิ \nu)$, 34
$\dot{\rho} \alpha \psi \psi \delta \bar{\epsilon} \hat{\nu}, 102 n$.
$\dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \iota s, 100$
$\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$, 'professional speaker,' 31
fóá̌̌ $\pi v p b s$, ' an eruption,' 95
бпиєіิор, 90, 93
$\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu=\delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota$, of equivalence, 100 (20)
$\sigma \iota \tau \eta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} i \mathrm{\nu}, 26,27$
$\sigma \kappa \eta ิ \psi เ s, 33$
бтєфаиіิтаı à $\gamma \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon$, 51
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \phi a \nu o s$, ' crown of glory,' 50, 100 (34) ; worn by senators, 122
$\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \eta$, inscribed with names of traitors, 117,124
orolðeiv, 'stand in rank,' 77
$\sigma v \gamma \omega \nu \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon เ \nu, 117,119$
бvкофаขтєì, of vexatious prosecution, 13, 31
$\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \in \epsilon \nu \nu)(\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, II $n$., 59
бטцдф'рєєข, 'help to collect,' 45
бuvarvðeiv, 131
बvvé $\delta \rho \iota \nu$ (of Areopagus), 12, 54
бขขєvสорєîv, 139
बvע $\chi$ モ $\epsilon \nu$, 'embrace,' 'concern,'
7 ; ' hold together,' 79
бuvíropos, 59, 63
бvvө̂̀кal, 'bond;' 23 sq.; 'covenant,' 73

สúvoเวิa，29，30，90；ol бvvel－ ס仑тєє，＇accomplices，＇ 2.9
ouvoukijeเv， 62
бย์ขокоs，c．gen．， 145
$\sigma \phi 6 \delta \rho \alpha, \quad$＇precisely，＇ 9
$\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，＇mere figure，＇ 100 （27）
$\sigma \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho a$（＇A $\theta \eta \nu \hat{a}$ ）， 27
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho(Z \in u ́ s), ~ І 7, ~ І 36$
$\tau \dot{́} \xi \iota \iota s$, ＇ordinance，＇4；＇duty，＇ ＇rôle，＇20，37；＇post，＇ 76
$\tau \dot{\alpha} s \chi^{\iota} \lambda i a s \dot{\delta} \phi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, 7 n$.
rá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon l \nu$ єls，＇fix at，＇ 129
$\tau \alpha \chi u ́$ ，ironical， 133
$\tau \varepsilon$ ，misplaced， 56 ；long in arsi
before $\dot{\rho}$ ， 100 （ $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ）
тєкци́р $\iota о \nu)(\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} о \nu, 90$
$\tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu 0$ ， $1,143,147$
 I，127， 147
$\tau$ oủv； 123

$\tau \grave{~ к а \theta ' ~ а u ́ т \partial े \nu ~} \mu$ ย́роs， 17,144
тò ка日＇ย́avтóv， 26
тò тoútov $\mu$ épos， 78
тоเoûtos ）（т $\eta$ 入८кои̂тоs，2， 43 ； тобаиิтa каі $\tau \eta \lambda เ к а \hat{\tau а, ~} 26$

токоs，＇interest，＇ 23
$\tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{a} \nu$ ，＇bring oneself to，＇ 43 ， 10I，I3I
то勹ิто $\mu$ ย̀ท．．．т．๐ิิто ঠยt， 62
трiaiva，symbol of Poseidon， 100 （47）
триа́коута，ol， 124

трофєîa， 53
$\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \in \iota \nu: \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \omega \nu, 93$ ； $\tau \tau \omega \rho i \alpha s, i b$.

$\tau \cup \chi 6 \nu \tau \epsilon s$, ol，＇ordinary，＇ 37,62

ข่ $\pi \epsilon \kappa \delta \hat{v} \nu a \ell, 86 n$ ．

ข่тєкӨє́ $\sigma \theta a \iota, 25,53$
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho$ ，c．gen．，often $=\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\ell}, 7$ ， $34,35,40,147,149$ ；peri－ phrastic for simple gen．， 9 ， 150 ；c．acc．，of age，＇over，＇ 39
v̉ாยย $\rho$ ஸ゙ע то仑̂ $\mu \eta$ ката入vө̂̀paı， $142 n$ ．
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，abs．＇surpass，＇ 133
ข่тєúӨvขos，＇subject to，＇ 129,148
$\dot{v} \pi \delta$ ，c．dat．，＇at the mercy of，＇ 2， 27
ن́тб́үра $\mu \mu \alpha$ ，＇inscription，＇ 1 I 8
ข่тоฎิขดน， 86
ขீтокєі̂бӨal， 130
$\dot{v} \pi о \mu \in \nu \in \iota \nu$ ，＇stand＇（one＇s trial）， 90， 117
$\dot{v} \pi \omega \mu 0 \sigma l a, 7 n$ ．
$\phi а \nu \epsilon \rho \frac{\partial}{\nu} \pi 0 \iota \epsilon \iota ิ$, c．ptcp．， 50
$\phi \in \rho \in \gamma \alpha ́ \rho$ ，introducing question， 66
$\phi \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota \nu, \quad$＇pay＇（interest）， 23 ； $\tau \eta े \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi \circ \nu, \mathrm{v} . \psi \eta \bar{\eta} \mathrm{os}$
$\phi \in \rho \delta \mu \in \nu 0 s$, modal ptcp．， 59
$\phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，c．infin．，＇shrink from，＇ 32 ；$\phi 6 \nu o v, ~ I 33$

фı入орєькіа（－עькіа）， 5
$\phi \iota \lambda o \psi v \chi \in i v, 130$
$\phi \circ \beta \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ єls， 129
фортiov ä $\rho \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota, 96 n$ ．
$\phi \rho \in ̇ v \in s: ~ \delta ̀ \nu 0 \hat{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \rho \in \nu \omega ิ \nu, 92 n$ ．；
$\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \quad \phi \rho \in \sigma l$ $\theta v \mu o ́ s, 107(17)$
$\phi \nu \lambda а к \eta$ ，＇defence，＇17，37，47； plural，＇defences，＇＇defence forces，＇ $16,3^{8}$
$\chi \propto \lambda к о т и ́ \pi о я, 58$
хápıs，＇favour，＇ $135,139,140$ ； plural，20， 139 ；хápıтas $\chi \alpha \rho l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \quad$ Iо○（1）；$\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$ $\theta \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota, 148$
$\chi \in \tilde{\lambda} \lambda o s ~ o ̉ \delta \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \iota ~ \delta a \kappa \omega ́ \nu, 107$（32）
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хорך $\gamma \in$ ใิ， 139
$\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu)(\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, 37$ $\boldsymbol{n}$ ．
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ，of oracle， 99
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$, ＇experience，＇ 42 ；＇as－ sociate with，＇I35
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu o ́ s, \quad$＇solemn utterance，＇ 92
$\chi \omega \rho i a$, loci， 3 I
$\chi \omega \rho i s$ тои́т $\omega v$, praeterea， 3 I ， 56
$\psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha, 7 \boldsymbol{n}$.
$\psi \eta \hat{\eta} 0$ ： ：$\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \psi \eta \phi \psi$ ，in suffragio ferendo， $127 ; ~ \tau \eta े \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi о \nu$ $\theta$ є́ $\sigma \theta$ aı，13， 128 ；тทे $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi о \nu$ фє $\rho \in \iota, 7,1 \mathrm{I}, 12$ sq．， 146 sq．； passive， 149
$\psi v \chi \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon 2 \nu, 33$
$\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, c．acc．absol．of personal verb， 90
凶゙ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ，＇introductory，＇c．infin．， 97
ผ゙бтє ov่，c．infin．， 3 む้ $\chi є \tau 0$ ，as perf．， 68


## PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKET


$\begin{array}{ll}\text { PA } & \text { Lycurgus, orator } \\ 4240 & \text { The speech against } \\ \text { L6 } & \text { Leocrates } \\ 1922 & \end{array}$



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ 1704-1766. Fellow of St John's, and successively Librarian (1731-4) and Registrary (1734-5I) of the Univer-sity.-Sandys, H.C.S., vol. II. p. 414.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Greek of Ps.-Plut. (Vit. § I) is ambiguous, but Lycurgus, avus, is evidently intended.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. Phil. ili. § 72 (acc. to some mss.).

[^2]:    
    
    
    
     The law, acc. to Schol. on Dem. I. I, was introduced by Eubulus himself after the prosecution of Apollodorus.
    ${ }^{3}$ [Dem.] C. Neaeram § 5 .
    
    

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a discussion of how far the extant stage-buildings (apart from acknowledged later alterations) are the work of Lycurgus' time, see Prof. E. A. Gardner, Ancient Athens, c. x. As against Prof. Dörpfeld, who 'regards the whole of the earliest extant scena, foundation, stylobate, and columns alike, as belonging to one time, and that the time of Lycurgus,' he lays stress on the fact that Lycurgus ' only finished what others had begun' (cf. [Plut.] Vit. § 6 tò $\epsilon \nu$
    
     $k a i ̀ \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ), and thinks that there is 'no insuperable difficulty in assigning the main plan of the extant buildings to about the same time as the later temple of Dionysus [near the theatre]-perhaps as early as 420 B.c. 'If this be the case,' he adds, 'then we have actually some remains of the stage on which the plays of Sophocles, and Euripides, and Aristophanes were first produced.'
    ${ }^{2}$ E. A. Gardner, op. cit., p. 399.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bury, H. G. (1900), p. 828.
    ${ }^{4}$ [Plut.] Vit. §8, Paus. I. 29. 16.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The proposal was opposed by Philinus, a contemporary orator. ${ }^{2}$ J. F. Dobson, The Greek Orators, p. 272. ${ }^{3}$ [Plut.] Vit. § го.
     $\kappa a \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \nu \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$. The credit of the famous mot appears to belong to Demades, who said of Draco ö́tı $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ aï $\mu a \tau o s$,
    

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Supra, p. xxiv.
    ${ }^{2}$ C. Leocr. § 53 .

[^6]:    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ C. Ctes. § 252.
    ${ }^{4}$ So Rehdantz, Einl. § I3. An cioarye入ia might be brought either before the Council or the Assembly: the subsequent procedure, in either case, was practically the same, if the penalty involved exceeded the competence of the Council (see notes to § 1 of the speech): cf. Gilbert, pp. 305-6.

[^7]:    1 Jebb, Attic Orators, vol. II. 377. ${ }^{2}$ §§ 11 sqq. ${ }^{3}$ § 149.
    4 He has practically proved his case by § 36.
    5 § 124.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. §§ 95, 98.
     äтабь тоîs $\nu \in \omega \tau \in ́ \rho o \iota s ~ a ̉ k o v ̂ \sigma a \iota . ~$

[^8]:     à $\delta \delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$.
    ${ }^{2}$ §§ 12, $52 . \quad 3$ Jebb, Attic Orators, vol. 11. p. 376.
    ${ }^{4}$ Hermogenes, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ ìє $\omega \hat{\nu}$, B. II.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Op, cit. p. xxxvi.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ Op.cit. p. iv.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Praef. ad Lycurgum, p. iv.
    ${ }^{2}$ Wyse, op. cit. p. xxxvi.

