

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/





Ex Tibris William Juller Maitland, of Stansted Hall.



DA 512 NG A4

THE

SPEECHES

0 F

IOHN' WILKES,

One of the Knights of the Shire for the

COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX,

In the Parliament appointed to meet at Westminster the 29th day of November 1774, to the

Prorogation the 6."day of June 1777.

With notes by the Editor.

VOL.1.

LONDON:

1777.

DA 512 W6 A4 Have collected the Speeches of Mr. Wilkes in the present House of Commons from newspapers and oral tradition for two reasons. The first is, they contain, in my opinion, many bold truths, especially respecting America, which are of importance, and in this convenient form they will be found more useful. The other reason is, if that gentleman should ever swerve from the great line of public duty, and declared attachment to the people, which he has often pledged himself to pursue through life, the most general insamy may overtake him, and punish his apostacy.

The *Protests* of the Lords on the same subject with some of the *Speeches* I thought would be acceptable to the political reader.

Some Notes are added, chiefly by way of illustration, for which I am answerable.

THE EDITOR.

London, July 9, 1777.

Vol. I.

R-

Votes

Votes of January 26, 1775.

A motion was made, and the question being proposed, "That the Chaplain to this House do "preach before this House, at St. Margaret's, "Westminster, upon Monday next the thir-"tieth day of this instant January, &c."

THE Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, faid, that he was for the observance of the day, not in the usual manner by fasting and prayer to deprecate the pretended wrath of heaven, but in a very different way from what some other gentlemen had proposed; that it should be celebrated as a festival, as a day of triumph, not kept as a fast; that the death of the first Charles, a determined enemy of the liberties of his country, who made war on his people, and murdered many thousands of his innocent subjects, an odious, hypocritical tyrant, with was,

B 2

in the great * Milton's words, ipfo Nerone neronior, should be considered as a sacrifice to the public justice of the nation, as highly approved by heaven, and ought to be had in solemn remembrance as the most glorious deed ever done in this, or any country, without which we should at this hour have had no constitution, degenerated into the most abject slaves on the face of the earth, not governed by the known and equal laws of a limited monarchy, but subject to the imperious will of an arbitrary sovereign.

VOTES of Feb. 1, 1775.

A motion was made, and the question being put,

"That leave be given to bring in a bill for

"fhortening the duration of parliaments, &c."

The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, faid, that the question now before the house had been so

Joannis Miltoni, Angli, pro populo Anglicano defensio.

In another place Milton fays, Eam animi magnitudinem vobis, ô cives, injecit Deus, ut devictum armis vestris et dedititium regem judicio inclyto judicare, et condemnatum punire, primi mortalium non dubitaretis. Post hoc facinus tam illustre nihil humile aut angustum, nihil non magnum atque excelsum, et cogitare et sacere debetis...amore libertatis, justitiæ, honestatis, patriæ denique caritate accensos, tyrannum puniisse.

frequently and so ably spoken to by the honourable gentleman*, who made the motion, and that it was in general so persectly well understood, that he should trouble the house with few words on that occasion, and that he role chiefly to return the worthy member thanks. for this truly patriotic endeavour, and noble perseverance in a business of such importance. He added, Frequent Parliaments, Mr. Speaker, are the ancient constitution of England, and the right of the people to them arises from the nature of all delegated power, and the necesfity of a controul. If a representative in the first session of a parliament acts contrary to the duty of the trust reposed in him, is it at that his constituents should be compelled to wait till the end of a tedious period of seven years before they can have an opportunity of depriving him of a power, which he fo early abused? I think the case now mentioned actually exists in the very dawn of this new parliament. Several gentlemen have talked of the last parliament in the terms of reproach and indignation, which that profligate affembly most justly merited. I fear, fir, the present parliament are treading in B 2 the

Mr. Alderman Sausbridge, Member for London.

the fame steps, which conducted their immediate predecessors to the utter hatred of the nation. They feem to advance with giant firides to a like detestation from this age, and from aff posterity, The people without doors, especially in the capital, make no scruple to affirm that the majority of this house have even thus early, in one great instance, afted contrary to the plain duty, which they owe to their country, and to the facred trust reposed in them. I allude, fir, to the contempt shewn of the Petition t of so respectable a body as the Merchants of the city of London trading to North America. This the majority have done in defiance of all decency, and of the great principles of the constitution. I am forry to observe, that the alarm is already become general, that from this early

[†] The fecond petition of the Merchants, Traders, and others of the City of London, presented by Mr. Althuman Hayley, Member for London, to the Hause of Commons, Jan. 26, 1775, states, "that by the result of Solution to which the house hath come, respecting the reference of their said petition, [the first Petition of Jan. 21, 1775,] they are absolutely prescluded from the benefit of such a hearing, in support of their said petition, as can alone procure them that relief, which the importance and present deplorable state of their trade require."

early abuse of their trust, the delegated powers, which the same men have so lately received for the security and preservation of the rights of their constituents, will be employed through a course of the next seven years for their destruction, and that of our fellow-subjects in America, unless the excellent motion of the honourable gentleman should arrest them in their career.

A new argument, fir, in favour of the motion in your hand feems at this time to arife from the nature of most of the Petitions complaining of undue elections, which have been presented to us in this first session of the parliament. The general complaint is that of bribery and corruption. Short parliaments, fir, if they did not totally eradicate this most pernicious practice, must necessarily diminish the evil in no small degree. By the frequent return of appeals to the people, the public hishey in the minister's hands would not be found always adequate to the erooked equiffels of an infidious court, nor to a determined purpole of regularly counteracting the wishes of a nation. The floodgates of the treasury, however widely opened, would on such repeated occasions B 4 fcarcel.

fcarcely afford torrents copious and impetuous enough to carry away all fense of duty to the constitution, all regard to the laws and liberties of the country. If this house were elected for a * short term only, a commerce of corruptions between the minister and the representative could not grow up to acquire the strength and consistency, which is given by a period of seven years security and independency on the power, by which we were created.

I beg

Dr. Price's observations on Civil Liberty, fifth edition, p. 20.

The House of Commons---was considered as a controul, iffuing immediately from the people, and *speedily* to be resolved into the mass from whence it arose.

Thoughts on the cause of the present discontents. 8vo edition, page 66.

If the persons to whom the trust of government is committed hold their places for storm; if they are chosen by the unbiassed voices of a majority of the state, and subject to their instructions; liberty will be enjoyed in its highest degree. But if they are chosen for long terms by a part only of the state; and if during that term they are subject to no controul from their constituents; the very idea of liberty will be lost, and the power of chusing representatives becomes nothing but a power, lodged in a few, to chuse at certain periods, a body of masters for themselves and for the rest of the community.

I beg the indulgence of the house, fir, for only one more short observation. This motion firikes me as a kind of parliamentary test, which brings every thing home to our consciences. It cannot fail of meeting in this house the support of all the true friends of the ancient constitution of England, of all, who mean to act honestly, for they run no risque. They are fure of the applause, and free choice of their constituents, on every fresh appeal. The venal and interested; all, who think lightly of their ties and obligations to their mafters, and do not hold themselves bound to hear and redress the injuries of the nation they reprefent, but are regardless of the feelings + of the

[†] The virtue, spirit and essence of a House of Commons consists in its being the express image of the seelings of the nation. [not of 558 gentlemen met in St. Stephen's Chapel.]

Thoughts on the cause of the present Discontents, p. 67.

Many in all ages, and sometimes the whole body of the Commons, have refused to give their opinion in some cases, till they had consulted with those that sent them: the houses have been often adjourned to give them time to do it; and if this were done more frequently, or that the towns, cities, and counties, had on some occasions given instructions to their deputies, matters

the people, intent only on the public plunder; all these have their terrors, and certainly not ill-grounded, on the first suggestion of an appeal to their constituents. From such men only an opposition to this motion is to be expected. The representative, who is conscious of having merited well of his conflituents, will always rejoice at the opportunity of applying for frequent proofs of their regard and truft, will defire, will earnestly solicit, this appeal, while the man, who has acted contrary to the clear dictates of his duty, and betrayed his truft, will naturally dread every such occasion, will tremble even at the distant view of the spirited indignation, with which he would be rejected. A guilty mind, fir, frequently braves the filent reproaches of a wounded conscience, but can seldom bear up against that public contempt and infamy, which I trust will always pursue parliamentary prostitution.

VOTES

matters would probably have gone better in parliament than they have often done.

Algernon Sydney, 4to ed. of 1763, p. 424.

VOTES of Feb. 6. 1775.

Resolved, That it is the opinion of this Committee, "That an humble Address be " presented to His Majesty, to return His "Majesty our most humble thanks, for having "been graciously pleased to communicate to " this House, the several papers relating to the " present state of the British Colonies in America, "which, by His Majesty's commands, have " been laid before this House, and from which, " after taking them into our most serious con-"fideration, we find, that a part of His Ma-" jesty's subjects in the province of the Massa-" chuset's Bay have proceeded so far to resist " the authority of the supreme legislature, that " a rebellion at this time actually exists with-"in the said province—that we beg leave, " in the most solemn manner, to assure His "Majesty, that it is our fixed resolution, at "the hazard of our lives and properties, to " stand by His Majesty, against all rebellious "attempts, in the maintenance of the just " rights of His Majesty and the Two Houses " of Parliament,"

And a motion was made, and the question put, "That the faid resolution be re-com-" mitted."

The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, faid,

Mr. Speaker,

The business before the House, in its full extent respecting the British Colonies in America, is of as great importance as was ever debated in parliament. It comprehends almost every question relative to the common rights of mankind, almost every question of policy and legislation. I do not mean to enter into fo vast, so well-trodden a field. I will confine myself to the immediate business of this day. The Address now reported from the committee of the whole House appears to me unfounded, rash, and sanguinary. It draws the fword unjustly against America; but before administration are suffered to plunge the nation into the horrors of a civil war, before they are permitted to force Englishmen to sheathe their swords in the bowels of their fellow-subjects, I hope this House will feriously weigh the original ground and cause of this unhappy dispute, and in time reslect whether justice justice

justice * is on our fide, and gives a fanction to the intended hoftile proceedings. The affumed right of taxation without the confent of the fubject is plainly the primary cause of the present quarrel. Have we then, sir, any right to tax the Americans? That is the great important question. The fundamental laws of human nature, and the principles of the English conflitution, are equally repugnant to the claim. The very idea of property excludes the right of another's taking any thing from me without my confent, otherwise I cannot call it my own. No tenure can be fo precarious as the will of another. What property have I in what another person can seize at his pleafure?

Dr. Price's Observations on Civil Liberty, p. 34.

The enquiry, whether the war with the Colonies is a juft war, will be best determined by stating the power over them, which it is the end of the war to maintain: And this cannot be better done, than in the words of an Act of Parliament, made on purpose to define it. That Act, [passed in 1766] it is well known, declares, That "this kingdom has power," and of right ought to have power to make laws and "statutes to bind the colonies, and people of America, "in all cases whatever." ——Dreadful power indeed to I defy any one to express slavery in stronger language. It is the same with declaring, "that we have a right "to do with them what we please."

fure? If any part of my property is subject to the diferetionary power of others, the whole may be so likewise. If we can tax the Americans without their confent, they have no property, nothing they can call their own with cortainty, for we might by violence take the whole as well as the part. The words liberty and preparty, so dear to an Englishman, so pleasing in our ears, would become a cruel smockery, an infult to an American. The taws of fociety are professedly calculated to seours the property of each individual, of every subject of the state. This point is no less clearly determined by the great principles of that happy constitution, under which we live: All subsidies to the crown have always been confidered, and expressly declared, to be grants from the Commons of the realm, free gifts from the people. Their full consent is stated in the grant.* Much has been faid of

The presemble of the Act passed in the year 2775, ch. 42. institled, "An Act for granting to His Ma"jetty a certain sum of money out of the Sinking Fund,
"and for applying certain monies therein mentioned
"for the Arvice of the year 2775; and for surther
"appropriating the supplies granted in this session of
"par-

che Palatinate of Chefter, and the Principality of Wales, and the period of their taxation; but; fir, there is a more remarkable case in point. which alone would determine this question. If gentlemen will feerth the Records in the Tower, and the Chapel of the Rolls, they will find that the town of Calpin in France. when it belanged to the imperial grown of this realm, was not taxed till it sent a representative to partiament. A Thomas Fouter actually fat and voted in this House as a burgess of the town of Calais. From that period, and not till then, was Calais taxed. The Writ out of Chancery, and the Reven in the reign of Edward VI. are fill extant. I faithfully gave them to the public from atteffed copies.*

Įt

[&]quot; parliament," is in the following words, "We, your " Majesty's most duviful and byat subjects, the Commons

[&]quot; of Great Britain, in Parliament affembled, towards

[&]quot; raising the necessary supplies, which we have chear-" fully GRANTED to your Majesty in this session of gar-

[&]quot; liament, bave resolved to give and GRANT to your "Majesty the sum berein after mentioned, &c. &c."

Retornamentum Perfumento de Anno Regui Regia
 Educació Sexti primo.

Edwardne fientus dei gracia Anglise Francis & Hisbernin Rox, fiche defenfes & interes occloses Anglica-

It will, I foresee, sir, be objected, Is America then to enjoy the protection of Great Britain, and to contribute nothing to the support of that parent state, which has so long afforded it safety and security, which has carefully and tenderly nursed it to this hour of its present strength and greatness? The Americans themselves have given the sullest answer to this objection

næ & Hiberniæ supremum caput, dilectis & fidelibus subjectis deputato & consilio villæ suæ Calisiæ salutem. Quia de avisamento & assensu consilii sui pro quibustlam arduis & urgentibus negotiis, nos statum & defensionem regni nostri Anglia & ecclesia Anglicana concernimentibus quoddam parliamentum nostrum apud civitatem nostram Westmonasteriensem quarto die Novembris procedentis teneri ordinavimus, & ibidem cum prelatis Magnatibus & preliberis dicti regni nostri colloquium tenere & tractatum, vobis Mandamus firmiter iri jungentes quod immediate post receptionen hujus brevis nostri nominari & elegi facietis unum honorabilem & discretum inhabitantem intra villam nostram predictam ad affendendum Burgensem pro parliamento nostro predicto pro villa predicta & Marchiis ejusdem, pro negociis in codem parliamento agendis juxta formam cujusdem actus in parliamento domini Henrici nuper regis Angliæ octavi, nuper patris nostri percarissimi, apud Londinum predicto die Novembris anno regni sui vicesimo primo & exinde per diversas prorogationes usque Westmonasterium quarto die Februarii anno regni sui vicesimo septimo adjornato & tunc jection in a manner not to be controverted, by their conduct through a long feries of years, and by the most explicit declarations. Equally in words and actions, of the most unequivocal nature, they have demonstrated their love, their ardour, their strong silial piety to-Vol. I. C wards

tunc edito & pokea vis, eumque ad dictos diem & locum venire facietis. Ita quod idem Burgensis plenam & sufficientem potestatem pro se & communitate villæ & Marchiarum predictarum habeat ad faciendum & consentiendum iis quæ tunc ibidem de eo conslio dicti regni nostri favente Deo contigerint ordinari subter negociie antedictis. Ita quod per defectu potestatis hujusmodi scripturæ, improvidam electionem Burgensis predicti dicta negocia infra non remaneant quovis modo, & cum electionem & nominationem illam fic faceretis, illas nobis in Cancellariam nostram distincte & apte sub sigillis vestris ad dictos diem & locum debite certificetis, indilate remittentes nobis hoc breve una cum retornamento nominis ejusdem Burgensis dicto brevi consuto. Teste meipso apud Westmonasterium secundo die Augusti anno regni nostri primo.

This is a true copy of the original record remaining in the chapel of the Rolls, having been examined.

HEN. ROOKE, Clerk of the Rolls.

Excellentissimo & metuendissimo domino nostro Edwardo Sexto Dei gratia Angliæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Regi sidei defensori & interea supremo capiti Anglicanæ & Hibernicæ ecclesiæ, Nos vestri humiles Major wards the mother country. They have always appeared ready, not only to contribute towards the expences of their own government, but likewise to the wants and necessities of this state,

Major Burgenses & liberi homines communitatis Villae vestræ Califiæ benignifilmo modo quo poterimus gratiæ veltræ certificamus, quod virtute honorabilis brevis vestri de fummonico parliamenti nuper nobis directi sc deliberati cui ikud retornamentum nimirum eft annexum, per affensum & consensum totius Comitatis villae vestræ Califiæ predictæ & Marchinnum ejustem unum hominem de melioribus & discretioribus villæ vestræ Califié predicte elegi fecimus, videlicet Thomam Fowler diche ville veftie generofom ad affendendum Burgensem ad parliamentum veftrum predictum ad diem & locum in predicto brevi vestro specificatum, qui quidem Burgensis plenam & sufficientem potestatem pro se & tota comitate villæ veftræ Califiæ predictæ & Marchiarum einsdem habet ad faciendum & consentiendum iisque tunc & ibidem de Communi Confilio vestro Deo favente contigerint ordinari, prout breve villam predictam in se exigit & requirit. In quorum premissorum testimonium nos predicti viri humiles Major Burgenses & liberi homines communitatis villa veftra Califica predicta & Marchiarum ejustem Sigillum Majoratatus Officii Villæ vestræ Calisiæ predictæ presentibus secimus apponi. Datum vicesimo die Octobris anno regni gratiæ veftræ primo.

This is a true Copy of the original record remaining in the Chapel of the Rolls, having been examined.

HEN. ROOKE, Clerk of the Rolls.

flate, although perhaps they may not be over fond of all the proud, expensive trappings of royalty. In the two last wars with France they far exceeded the cold line of prudence. With the most liberal bearts they chearfully gave you nearly their all, and they fought gallantly and victoriously by your side, with equal valour, against our and their enemy, the common enemy of the liberties of Europe and America, the ambitious, faithless French, whom now we fear and flatter. Our Journals, fir. will bear witness to the grateful sense we had of the important services of our brethren in America, by the great fums we shall find voted to be repaid them for what they expended in the Spirited warlike expeditions, which they carried through with equal courage and conduct. The fiere and capture of Louisburgh, the various Auccelsful operations against the general foe. without the least knowledge, much less particination, on our part, are the fullest proofs of the warm affection of their hearts to this country, and of their readiness to bear more than their there of the public expence and burthen. But, fir, the whole was the gift of freemen, our fellow-subjects, who feel that they are, who.

who know they have a right to be, as free as ourselves. What is their language even now, at a moment when you are planning their dethruction, when you are branding them with the odious appellation of rebels? In the late Petition of the Congress to the King they declare, they are ready and willing, as they ever Lave been, when conflictationally required, to demonstrate their loyalty to his Majesty, by exerting their most strenuous efforts in granting supplies and raising forces. This is the unanimous refolution of a Congress, composed of Deputies from the several colonies of New Hampshire, Massachuset's Bay, Rhode Island and Providence Plantations, Connecticut, New-York. New Jersey, Pensylvania, the counties of Newcastle, Kent, and Sussex on Delaware, Maryland, Virginia and the two Carolinas. I have heard, fir, of a plan of accommodation, which I believe would reconcile all differences. But alas! fir, it does not come from any fervant of the crown. It comes from the *noble Lord,

to

^{*} The Earl of Chatham. In the house of Lords on the 30th of May 1777 Earl Gower, Lord President of the Council, with that mild, winning air of modesty

to whom this country has the most effential obligations, to whom it is so highly indebted for its late splendor and glory. The plan is to affemble another Congress in the spring, the parliament of Great Britain, and the deputies of the several colonies to meet together, jointly empowered to regulate the various quotas to be paid by each province to the general

defty and meekness, which characterise the Bedford school, declared, that "he must affirm, no public of notice whatever by any man, or body of men, by es any Assembly, or Congress, by any printed American newipaper, or pamphlet, had been taken of Lord Chatham's plan of reconciliation between Great er Britain and her Colonies, that it funk immediately se into absolute oblivion, for not even a hint was 66 thrown out on the other fide the water complaining " that the proposition was rejected, or acknowledging, " that if the Bill had passed into a law, it would have or produced a reconciliation, or that it contained even se the outlines of a fit accommodation, or any thing to or proceed upon, and therefore he was plainly right in " giving his vote against the noble Earl's proposition. " and the Bill that accompanied it, two years ago." The words were taken with great exactness. The Duke of Grafton heard them, and answered many parts of Lord Gower's speech; but, whether from ignorance, or malice to Lord Chatham, I do not determine, his Grace was filert on the plan of reconcilia-

neral treasury of the whole empire. I would in addition to that plan propose, that a regulation, similar to what advanty takes place with respect to Scotland, be adopted as to America. The proportion of each colony might be settled according the land-tax in England, at one, two, or more shillings in the pound. From not deep politician enough to know what the

tion. To establish the veracity of East Gower, I shall produce an extract of only one public act, she Address of the General Assembly of Virginia, presented on the 14th of June 1775, to his Excellency the Right Hon. John Earl of Dunmore, Governor of Virginia, &c. &c. and printed in all the American Newspapers. It is reprinted in Almon's American Remembrancer, vol. 1. p. 113.

&c. &c. and printed in all the American Newspapers. It is reprinted in Almon's American Remembrancer, vol. 1. p. 113.

"There was, indeed, a plan of accommodation of fered in parliament; which, though not entirely equal to the terms we had a right to ake, yet differed but in few points from what the General Congress had held out. Had parliament been disputed in fineerely, as we are, to bring about a reconciliation, reasonable men had hoped, that by meeting us on this ground, something might have been done. Lord Chatham's Bill, on the report, and the terms of the Congress on the other, would have formed a basis for negociation; which a spirit of accommodation on both sides, might perhaps have reconciled. It came recommended, too, from one whose successful expenses.

Digitized by Google riche

the proportion should be of each Province, which will vary greatly in half a century, but I speak of each quota being at all times to be regulated according to the land-tax of this country. The very extensive and flourishing colonies of the Massachuset's Bay, Virginia, and South Carolina, for instance, should contribute more, the smaller and poorer colonies

er rience in the art of government frould have enfured se to it forme attention from those to whom it was ren-" dered. He had shewn to the world, that Great " Britain, with her colonies, united firmly under a " just and honest government, formed a power which 46 might bill defiance to the most potent enemies. With " a change of ministers, however, a total change of es measures took place: the component parts of the er empire have, from that moment, been falling afun-" der; and a total annihilation of its weight, in the " political feale of the world, feems juffly to be ap-" prehended."

Oh! happy Privy Council of England, where fincerity and truth prefide!

"When Earl Gower was appointed Prefident of the et Council, the King, with his afual fincerity, affured " him, that he had not had one happy moment, fince

" the Duke of Bedjord left him."

Junius, vol. 1. p. 172.

⁶⁶ Is there any one mode of thinking or acting with er respect to America, which the Duke of Grafton has " not fucceffively adopted and abandoned? p. 89.

colonies of New Hampshire and New Jersey less; but, sir, I insist not a shilling can be taken without their consent. After this day's debate should the Address now moved for be carried in this House, I greatly sear that not only this wise plan of the noble Lord, but every idea of a reconciliation between this country and her colonies will be utterly impracticable.

The Americans, fir, have of late been treated, both within doors and without, in a manner, which marks no final degree of injustice, and even a wantonness of cruelty. We have been repeatedly told to-day, that they

com-

[&]quot;In America, we trace you [the Duke of Grafton]; from the first opposition to the Stamp-Act, on principles of convenience, to Mr. Pitt's swrender of the right; then sorward to Lord Rockingham's surrender of the fact; then back again to Lord Rockingham's declaration of the right; then forward to taxation with Mr. Townshend; and, in the last instance, from the gentle Conway's undetermined discretion, to blood and compulsion with the Duke of Bedrasford." P. 83.

[&]quot;Was not Lord-Chatham the first, who raised the Duke of Grafton to the rank and post of a Minister, as and the first whom he abandoned? Did he not join with Lord Rockingham, and betray him? P. 88.

complain of the Navigation-AE, and infift on the repeal of it. We have authentic evidence to the contrary. In the resolutions of the Congress they desire only to be put on the footing they were at the close of the late war, s as to the system of statutes and regulations;" nor among the various acts, of which they. folicit the repeal, have they once mentioned either the Navigation, or Declaratory AE. It. has likewise been afferted, that they are froward and angry enough to wift to throw off the supremacy of the *mother country. Many express resolutions, both of the General Congress, and the different Provincial Assemblies, are the fullest evidence of the sense. which the Americans entertain of their obedience and duty to Great Britain. They are too numerous to be quoted. Their full claim, as stated by themselves, is so explicit and clear, that I beg leave to read it to the House from their Petition to the King. It declares, We ask but fer peace, liberty and fafety. Surely, fir, no re-

Lord Chesterfield's Letter of Dec 25, 1765.

I never faw a froward child mended by whipping, and I would not have the mother country become a fepmother. Our trade with America brings in, communities annie, two millions a year.

quest was ever more modest and reasonable, no claim better sounded. It expressly mentions, We wish not a diminution of the prerogative, nor do we solitit a grant of any new right in our favour. Your reyal authority over us, and our connection with Great Britain, we shall always carefully and zealanss, endeavour to support and maintain. What a contrast, fir, does this make with the proceedings of administration at home! They are sedulously endeavouring to tear asunder those powerful ties, which have long and happily lant and bound us together.

The Address, sir, mentions the particular province of the Massachusset's Bay as in a state of actual robelism. The other provinces are held out to our indignation as aiding and abetting. Many arguments have been employed by some learned gentlemen among us to involve them in all the consequences of an open, declared rebellion, and to obtain the sullest orders for our officers and troops to act against them as against rebels. Whether their present state is that of rebellion,* or of a fit and just resistant.

The oath of allegiance binds no private man to more than the law directs, and has no influence upon

refiftance to unlawful acts of power, to our attempts to rob them of their property and libernies, as they imagine, I shall not declare. This I know, a fuccessful resistance is a revolution, not a rebellion. Rebellion indeed appears on the back of a flying enemy, but Revolution flames on the break-plate of the victorious wartior. Who can telf, fir, whether in confequence of this day's violent and mad Address to His Majesty, the scabbard may not be thrown away by them as well as by us, and should success attend them, whether in a few years the independent Americans may not celebrate the glorious ara of the revolution of 1775, as we do that of 1688? The generous efforts of our forefathers for freedom heaven erowned with success, or their noble blood had dved our feaffolds, like that of Scottifb traitors and rebels, and the period of our history, which does us the most honour, would have been dremed

Algeinen Sydney, p. 416.

the whole body of every nation: many princes are known to their subjects only by the injuries, losses, and mischies brought upon them:——nemetation—of itself is neither good nor evil, more than any other wars but is just, or unjust, according to the cause or manner of it.

deemed a rebellion against the lawful authority of the prince, not a resistance authorised by all the laws of God and man, not the expulsion of a tyrant.

The policy, fir, of this measure I can no more comprehend, than I can acknowledge the justice of it. Is your force adequate to the attempt? I am satisfied it is not. What are your armies, and how are they to be kept up and recruited? Do you recollect that the fingle province of Massachuset's Bay has at this moment 30,000 men well trained and disciplined? Do you not know that they can bring near 90,000 men into the field? They will do it, when every thing dear to them is at stake, when they have their liberties to defend against cruel oppressors and invaders. You will not be able to conquer and keep even that fingle province. The *noble Lord with the blue ribband propoles only 10,000 of our troops to be there, including the four regiments now. going from Ireland, and he acknowledges with great truth, that the army cannot enforce the late act of parliament. Why then is it sent? Boston indeed you may lay in ashes, or it may be

[.] Lord NORTH.

be made a strong garrison; but the province will be lost to you. Boston will be like Gibraltar. You will hold in the province of Massachusset's Bay, as you do in Spain, a single town, while the whole country remains in the power and possession of the enemy. Your Reets and armies may keep a few towns on the coast, for some time at least, Boston, New-York, St. Augustine, but the vast continent of America will be irrecoverably loft. A few fortresses on the coast, and some sea ports only, will remain in your possession. All the back fettlements will be independent of you, and will thrive in the rapid progression of your violences and unjust exactions on the towns. A new, and amazing landed interest will be 'created. The ancient story of the Carthaginian hide will be verified. Where you tread, it will be kept down; but it will rise the more in all other parts. Where your fleets and armies are stationed, the possession will be secured, while they continue, but all the rest will be loft. In the great scale of empire, you will decline, I fear, from the decision of this day, and the Americans will rife to *inde-

[•] The Declaration of Independency by the Repre-

pendence, to power, to all the greatness of the most renowned states, for they build on the solid basis of *general, public liberty.

I tremble, fir, at the almost certain confequences of such an Address, founded in cruelty and injudice, equally contrary to the found maxims of true policy, and to the unerring rule of natural right. The Americans will certainly defend their property and their liberties with the spirit of freemen, with the spirit our ancestors did, and I hope we should, exert on a like occasion. They will sooner declare themselves independent, and risque every consequence of fuch a contest, than submit to the galling yoke, which administration is preparing for them. An Address of this sanguinary nature cannot fail of driving them to despair. They will fee that you are preparing not only to draw the fword, but to burn the scabbard. In the most harsh manner you are declaring them rebels. Every idea of a reconciliation will

fencatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled was made on the 4th of July 1776, about a year and a half after this Address of the House of Commons.

In Congress at Philadelphia, April 16, 1776, Resolved, That no flaves be imported into any of the United Colonies.

will vanish. They will pursue the most vigorous measures in their own desence. The whole continent of North America will be dissembered from Great Britain, and the wide arch of the raised empire fall. But I hope the just vengeance of the people will overtake the authors of these pernicious counsels, and the loss of the first province to the empire be speedily followed by the loss of the heads of those ministers, who advised these wicked and satal measures.

VOTES of Feb. 22, 1775. .

A motion was enade, and the question put,
"That the Refolution of this House of the

41 17th day of Rebruary 1769, that John

4. Wilhes, Esquine, baving been in this session

4 of Parliament expelled this House, was, and

" is, incapable of being elected a Member to

" ferve in this prefent Parliament, be ex-

46 as being subversive of the rights of the

" whole body of electors of this kingdom."

The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, faid, Mr. Speaker,

The motion, which I shall have the honour of submitting to the House, affects, in my opinion,

epinion, the very vitals of this constitution, the great primary fources of the power of the people, whom we represent, and by whose authority only, delegated to us for a time, we are a part of the legislative body of this kingdom. The proceedings of the last parliament, in the business of the Middlesex elections. gave a just alarm to almost every elector in the nation. The fatal precedent then attempted to be established was considered as a direct attack on the inalienable rights of the people. Many of the most réspectable bodies in this kingdom expressed their abhorrence of those arbitrary measures. They proceeded so far as to petition the crown for the diffolution of that Parliament, as having been guilty of a flagrant abuse of their trust. Above 60,000 of our fellow-subjects, freeholders of the realm, carried their complaints to the foot of the throne; a number furely deferving the highest regard, at least from a Minister, whose whole attention was not engroffed by the 6000 borough electors, who return a majority for him to this House. The people, fir, were in a ferment, which has not yet subsided. They made my cause their own, for they

they saw all the powers of government exerted against the constitution, which was wounded through my fides, and the envenomed shafts of a wicked administration pointed at our laws and liberties no less than at a hated individual. The plan was carried on for some years with a fpirit of malevolence and rancour, which would have difgraced the very worst, but with a perfeverance, which would have done honour to the best, cause. I do not mean, sir, to go through an irksome detail of the various persecutions and injuries, which that person suffered, I hope with a becoming fortitude. I have forgiven them. All the great powers of the flate were at one time combined to pour their accumulated vengeance on me. The two Houses of Parliament chose me as the most acceptable victim, which could be facrificed at the shrine of their court idolatry, and even *imperial Jove pointed his thunder-bolte, red with Vol. I.

In Junius's letter to the King of Dec. 19, 1769, it is faid, "the destruction of one man [Mr.Wilkes] has been now, for many years, the fole object of your government," [not the happiness of millions, whom God had committed to his care, but the destruction of one man, whom he ought to have protested as his subject.]

aucommon wrath, at my devoted head. I was feorehed, but not confumed. The broad shield of the law protected me. A generous public, and my noble friends, the freeholders of Middlesex, the ever steady friends of liberty and their country, poured balm into my wounds. They are healed so that scarcely a scar remains. But, fir, I feel, I deeply feel the wounds given to the conflitution. They are still bleeding, and this House only can heal them, as well as restore the constitution to its former state of purity, health, and vigour. May I be permitted to point out the mode of cure, and the falutary methods, which I think you ought to apply? Before I proceed to the remedy, I shall beg the indulgence of the House to state the case with precision and accueacy. I hope they will forgive a dry, but candid and thort, narrative of the principal facts, because I mean to argue from them. I will give them as briefly as possible, and with all the inhpartiality of a bye-flander.

Mr. Wilkes was first elected for the county of Middlesex, on the 28th of March 1768. He was a expelled the 3d of February 1769,

In that Refoundion of the House of Commons

and the second time choice, without opposi-

2 th

the first article in the Aggregate Fund of Mr. Wilkes's crimes, which were the pretended causes of his exsulfion and incapacity, is the being duthor of the Prefatory Remarks on Lord Weymouth's excerable epiftle, relative to the fidl unexpiated maffacre in St. George's Fields on the 10th of May 1768, which the present Comptroller of His Majesty's Household, Sir William Meredith, thought a very good reason for Mr. Wilkes's election. In his pamphlet of "The Question " flated," Sir William says, " Was I a freeholder of Middlesex, so far am I from thinking, that to make the severest animadversions on such a letter, " is a ground of incapacity, the more a man arraigns " (what I take to be) such unconstitutional positions, " the fitter be is to be entrufted with the rights of the people." p. 29. No prosecution whatever on the charge of that infolent, scandalous, and seditious libel, as the Prefatory Remarks were voted to be by both Houses of Parliament, of which Mr. Wilkes avowed himself the author, has been carried on against him in any court of law. I shall only transcribe the famous libel, and some passages relative to it, without any observations.

The Presatory Remarks were,

Dec. 10, 1768.

I fend you the following authentic state paper, the date of which, prior by more than three wocks to the statal with of May, shews bow long the borrid massacre in St. George's Fields had been planned and determined upon, before it was carried into execution, and how long a bestish project can be broaded over by some insernal pirits without one moment's remorse.

Ex-

the day following the election was vacated, and he was declared by a majority of the House

Extract from Mr. Wilkes's Address to the County of Middlesex, dated from the King's Bench Prison, Dec. 17, 1763.

I hold myself accountable to you for every action of my life, which respects the public. I desire to stand, or fall, by your free and unbiassed judgment. I wish to be directed by your counsel and instruction in all. matters of importance .--- I take the earliest opportumity, gentlemen, of flating to you the following particulars. I caused to be printed and published in the St. James's Chronicle of December the 10th, a letter of the Secretary of State, [Lord Weymouth] written from St. James's on the 17th of April to Mr. Juffice Ponton, with some Prefatory Remarks. I avow the publication of both. I will go further, and declare, that I first, several months ago, transmitted to the press the letter of the Secretary at War [Lord Barrington] dated May the 11th, returning thanks in the King's name to the officers and foldiers of the third regiment of Guards, for the rank and foul murders committed in these fields on the 10th of that month. My hand trembled, while I copied what I blushed to read, and I gave it to the public in the only way my present situation could admit, with the hope of promoting a parliamentary enquiry into that bloody transaction, which I trust this second publication will now accomplish, and because I think this free nation has a right to be fully informed of the conduct of admini-Atration in so important a concern, as the loss of the lives of many subjects. Administration complains of

me

House incapable of being elected into that-Parliament. Notwithstanding this resolution

D 3

of

me for having published the letter of the secretary of State. I complain to the nation that such a letter has been written. This is not a letter on any personal business or pleasure. I would have scorned to have published any such. This letter was from a Secretary of State to the Chairman of the Quarter Sessions at Lambeth, and is written in characters of blood. affects deeply the conflitation of this country, and every man in our island. I will at prefent leave it to the honest indignation of every Englishman. now only add, that if I have not given to the public a most accurate and faithful copy, I ask pardon of the Secretary of State. If I have, then I will fay, that for the innocent blood of our countrymen spilt in consequence of that letter, the writer of it ought to ask forgiveness of God and his country, and pass the remainder of his life in penitence and tears.

Extract from the Journals of Feb. 3, 1769, vol. 32, page 175.

Evidence was given to the Houfe, by two of their members in their places, that the letter printed in the faid newspaper is an exact copy of the original letter addressed to, and received by Daniel Ponton, Esquise, Chairman of the Quarter Sessions at Lamboth.

The Speech of Mr. Wilkes, when a prifoner, at the Bar of the House of Commons, Feb. 3, 1769.
Mr. Speaker,

I acknowledge that I transmitted to the Preis the Letter of the Secretary of State, Lord-Weymouth, and

of the House, he was a third time, on the 16th of March, elected without opposition; for I fup-

that I wrote and published the Prefatory Remarks to it; and, fir, whenever a Secretary of State shall dare to write fo bloody a fcroll, I will through life dare to write such Prefatory Remarks, as well as to make my appeal to the nation on the occasion. I ask pardon, fir. that I made use of too mild and gentle expressions; when I mentioned fo wicked, so inhuman, so cowardly a massacre, as that in St. George's Fields on the 10th of May. I pledge myself to the House, that whenever a day shall be appointed to go into this important inquiry, I will bring evidence here to prove the troth of every word I have afferted. I hope the House, sir, will fend for Mr. Ponton, and examine him, whether he did or did not receive that letter from the Secretary of State. If he answers in the affirmative, I am sure, from the virtue of this House, that they will immediately order an impeachment against the Secretary to be carried up to the Bar of the House of Peers.

Extract from the Journals of Feb. 2, 1769, vol. 32, page 176.

Resolved, That the Introduction to the Copy of a Letter, addressed to Daniel Ponton, Esquire, Chairman of the Quarter Sessions at Lambeth, and dated St. James's, the 17th of April 1768, contained in a certain Newspaper, inticuled, "The St. James's Chro-"nicle, or the British Evening-Post, from Thursday "December the 8th, to Saturday, December the 10th, 1768, printed by Henry Baldwin, at the Britannia "Printing-Office, No. 198, Fleet-Street," of which Introduction John Wilkes, Esquire, a Member of this House,

fuppose the ridiculous attempt of a † Mr. Dingley, who had not a single freeholder to propose, or vete for him, can hardly be called an opposition. That election however was de-

House, has now at the Bar of this House confessed himself to be the author and publisher, is an insolent, scandalous, and seditious libel, tending to instame and fir up the minds of His Majeky's subjects to sedition, and to a total subversion of all good order and legal government.

Extract from the Journals of Feb. 3, 1769, vol. 32, page 178.

A motion being made, and the question being put, That John Wilkes, Esquire, a Member of this House, who hath at the Bar of this House, confessed himself to be the author and publisher of what this House has resolved to be an insolent, scandalous, and seditious libel, &cc. &cc. &cc. be expelled this House.

The House divided.

Tellors for the Yeas { Lord Frederick Campbell, } 219

Tellers for the Noes Lord John Cavendish, Mr. Montagu.

So it was resolved in the Affirmative.

† This unfortunate person had been persuaded by the Duke of Grafton to set up for Middlesex, his Grace being determined to seat him in the House of Commens, if he had but a single vote. It happened unluckily that he could not prevail upon any one freeholder to put him in nomination.

Junius, vol. 1. p. 60.

clared void the next day. On the 12th of April Mr. Wilkes was a fourth time elected, by a majority of 1143 votes against Mr. Luttrell, who had only 296. The same day this House I voted, " that Mr. Luttrell ought to " have been returned." On the 20th of April, a Petition was prefented to the House from the freeholders of Middlefex by a worthy & Baronet, who is not only an honour to this House, but to human nature; notwithstanding which the House on the 8th of May refolved, " that Henry Lawes Luttrell, Esquire, " is duly elected a Knight of the Shire to ferve es in this present Parliament for the county of " Middlefex."

These, fir, are the great outlines, the seading facts. I will not trouble the Clerk to read all the resolutions, to which I have alluded. They are fresh, I am persuaded, in the memories of gentlemen. I only call for that

[†] Sir Fletcher Norton, when it was proposed to punish the Sheriffs, declared in the House of Commons, that they, in returning Mr. Wilkes, had done no more than their duty.

Junius, vol. 1. p. 70.

Sir George Savile, Baronet, Member for York-thire.

that of Feb. 17, 1769, respecting incapacity as the certain consequence of expulsion.

[The Clerk read the Resolution.]

Now, Sir, I think it fair to state to the House the whole of what I intend to move in consequence of the facts stated, and the Resolution just read. The first motion I intend is, "that the Resolution of this House of the " 17th of February, 1769, that John Wilkes, Esquire, having been, in this Session of Parlia-"ment, expelled this House, was, and is, in-" capable of being elected a member to serve in st this present Patliament, be expunged from "the Journals of this House, as being subver-66 five of the rights of the whole body of elec-"tors of this kingdom." This I hold of necessity to restore the constitution, which that Resolution tears up by the roots. I shall then, if I succeed, if justice and a reverence for the constitution prevail in this Parliament, proceed to the other Motion, " that all the de-" clarations, orders, and resolutions of this "House, respecting the election of John "Wilkes, Esquire, for the County of Mid-"defex, as a void election, the due and le-" gal "" gal election of Henry Lawes Luttrell, Ef"fquire, into the last Parliament, for the
"County of Middlesex, and the incapacity of
"John Wilkes, Esquire, to be elected a Mem"ber to serve in the said Parliament, be ex"punged from the Journals of this House, as
"being subversive of the rights of the whole
"body of electors of this kingdom."

The words of the Resolution of the 17th of February 1769, which I mean more particularly to combat, are, " was and is incapable," and the explanation of them the fame day in the order for a new writ, "in the room of " John Wilkes, Esquire, who is adjudged in-66 capable of being elected a Member to ferve " in this present Parliament," In the first formation of this government, in the original ferelement of our constitution, the people expressly reserved to themselves a very considerable part of the legislative power, which they confented to share jointly with a King and House of Lords. From the great population of our island this right could not be claimed and exercised personally, and therefore the many were compelled to delegate that power to a few, who thus were chosen their deputies and agents

agents only, their representatives. It follows from the very idea of a choice, that such choice must be free and uncontrouled, admitting of no restrictions, but the law of the land, to which the King and the Lords are equally subject, and what must axis from the nature of the trust. A Peer of Parliamenta for instance, cannot be elected a Member of the House of Commons, because he already forms a part of another branch of the fame legislative body. A lunatic has a natural incapaoity. Other instances might be mentioned, but these two are sufficient. The freedom of election is then the common right of the people of England, their fair and just share of power; and I hold it to be the most glorious inheritance of every subject of this realm, the nobleft, and, I trust, the most solid part of that beautiful fabric, the English constitution. Here I might lean, fix, on the most respectable authorities, which can be cited, the fupreme judicature of this kingdom, and the venerable judges of former ages as well as of our own times. I met them accidentally this motning in the course of my reading, as an old friend of Wilkes and Liberty, now alas! lost to every sense of duty to his country, frequently tells another great assembly, that he accidentally ineets in this manner all his tiresome quotations. The House of Peers, sir, in the case of Ashby and White in 1704 determined, "a man has a right to his freehold by the common law; and the

" law

^{*} The Duke of Grafton. Junius in a letter to his Grace of May 30, 1769, fays, "you complained ---46 that your friend, Mr. Wilkes, who had fuffered fo-" much for the party, had been abandoned to his fate " --- as for Mr. Wilkes, it is, perhaps, the greatest " misfortune of his life, that you should have so ma-46 ny compensations to make in the closet for your " former friendship with him. Your gracious master " understands your character, and makes you a persecuer tor, because you have been a friend." Vol. r. p. 79. Now, my Lord, let me atk you, Has it never occurred to your Grace, while you were withdrawing this desperate wretch [Mac Quirk] from that justice which the laws had awarded, and which the whole people of England demanded against him, that there is another man, who is the favourite of his country, whose pardon would have been accepted with gratitude, whose pardon would have healed all our divisions? Have you quite forgotten that this man was once your Grace's friend? or is it to murderers only that you will extend the mercy of the crown? Vol. 1. p. 59. Was he [the Duke of Grafton] not the bafom friend of Mr. Wilkes, whom he now pursues to destruction? Vol. z. p. 89. Digitized by Google

we law having annexed his right of voting to "his freehold, it is of the nature of his free-"hold, and must depend upon it." On the fame occasion likewife they declared, "it is " abfurd to fay, the electors right of chusing " is founded upon the law and custom of par-" liament. It is an original right, part of the " " constitution of the kingdom, as much as a par-" liament is, and from whence the persons " elected to serve in parliament do derive their 44 authority, and can have no other but that " which is given to them by those that have "the original right to chuse them." The greatest law authorities, both ancient and modern, agree in the opinion, that every subject of the realm, not disqualified by law, is eligible of common right. Lord Coke, Lord Chief Juffice Holt, and * Mr. Juffice Blackstone,

are

Junius, vol. 1. p. 93.

Doctor Blackstone is Solicitor to the Queen. The Doctor recollected that he had a place to preserve, though he forgot that he had a reputation to lose. We have now the good fortune to understand the Doctor's principles as well as writings. For the desence of truth, of law, of reason, the Doctor's book may be safely consulted; but whoever wishes to cheat a neighbour of his estate, or to rob a country of its rights, need make no scruple of consulting the Doctor himself.

are the only authorities, which I shall cite. I regard not, fir, the flavish, courtly doctrines propagated by lawyers in either house of Parliament, as to the rights of the subject, no more than I do as to what they pronounce high treation and rebellion. Such doctrines are delivered here only to be reported elsewhere. These men have their reward. But the venal tongue of a profitute advocate or judge, is best answered by the wife and sober pen of the same man, when in a sormer cool moment, unheated by party rage or faction. after the fullest deliberation, he gave to the nation, to the present age, and to posterity, a fair and impartial detail of their undoubted rights.

Mr. Grenville quoted a passage from the Doctor's excellent Commentaries, which directly contradicted the doctrine maintained by the Doctor in the House of Commons. Page 122.

In the Commentaries, after a long enumeration of tisqualifications, it is added, "But subject to these "restrictions and disqualifications, every subject of the resum is eligible of commen right."

Commentaries on the Laws of England. By William Blackstone, Esq; Vinerian Profesor of Law, and Solicitor-General to the Queen, 4to edition printed at Oxford in 1762, vol. 1. page 176.

rights, and when he laid down in their and express terms the plain law of the land. Lord Coke fays, " He which is eligible of gurmon " right, cannot be disabled by the faid undi-46 nance in Parliament, unless it had been by se act of Parliament." Lord Chief Jullice Host declares, 46 the election of knights beso long to the freeholders of counties, and it " is an original right, vested in and insepa-44 rable from the freehold, and can no more be " severed from their freehold, than their free-" hold itfelf can be taken away." Mr. Jultice Blackstone in the first book of his Commentaries on the Laws of England, has the following words, " subject to these restrictions and disqualifications, overy subject of the " realm is eligible of common right." This common right of the subject, sir, was violated by the majority of the last House of Commons, and I affirm, that they, and in particular, if I am rightly informed, the + noble

[†] This is a suitable. Lord Stanley, now Earl of Derby, declared at that time in the Honie of Commons, "That the worthy magistrate [the Lord Mayor, "Mn. Willer] was mission in aftribing to the noble "Lord with the blue ribband that declaration. It was

Lord with the blue ribband, committed by that act high treasen against Magna Charta. This house only, without the interference of the other parts of the legislature, took upon them to make the law. They adjudged me incapable of being elected a member to serve in that Par-

" his father, the late Lord Strange, who made use of that expression."

General Fitzroy, Member for Thetford, and Vice-Chamberlain to the Queen, likewise afferted, "That "the magistrate was also mistaken in attributing his expulsion to the noble Lord with the blue ribbands " for it was a just, and the favourite, measure of the " noble Duke, his brother, who was then Minister." The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilker, replied, that what one brother had, perhaps with more truth than discretion, confessed of the other, ought to be the subject of an impeachment from all the Commons of England against the Duke of Grafton, on the very evidence of the Member, who spoke last, and he expatiated on the infolence, as he termed it, of a Peer's interfering in the elections and privileges of the Commons, and of declaring who foould fit in that House. Such barefaced usurpations on the rights of the people were, he faid, the old genuine Stuart maxims, which he hoped had taken their flight at the accesfion of the Brunswick line. He concluded, that the House ought to be alarmed at the open avowal, that the expulsion of one of their Members was a favourrite measure of an arbitrary Minister, the Member of another House, and predetermined in another place, that he could not suppress his indignation, that any man could

Parliament, although I was qualified by the law of the land, and the noble Lord declared in this house, "if any other candidate had. only fix votes, he would feat him for Mid-"dlesex." I repeat it, sir, this violence was a direct infringment of Magna Charta, bigh treason against the sacred charter of our liberties. The words, to which I allude, ought always to be written in letters of gold. " freeman shall be diffeized of his freehold, or 46 liberties, or free customs, unless by the 46 lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law " of the land." By the conduct of that majority, and of the noble Lord, they assumed to themselves the power of making the law, and at the fame moment invaded the rights of the people, the King, and the Lords. The two last tamely acquiesced in the exercise of a power, which had been in a great instance fatal to their predecessors, had put an end to Vol. I. their

could entertain the idea of deriving a kind of imputed family merit from a violation of the laws of his country perpetrated by a brother, and that the approbation and voluntary confession of a crime committed by another, but almost incapable of proof, argued a degree of folly most justly the object of pity, but a total want of principle, which excited horror.

in particular the spirited freeholders of this county, whose ruling passion is the love of liberty, have not yet forgiven the attack on their rights. So dangerous a precedent of usurped power, which may in suture times be cited and adopted in practice by a despotic minister of the crown, ought to be expunged from the Journals of this House.

I have heard and read much of precedents to justify the proceedings of the last House of Commons. I own, sir, I value very little the doctrine of precedents. There is scarcely any new villainy under the sun. A precedent can never justify any action in itself wicked, a robbery for instance on the heaths of Hounslow or Bagshot, of which there are innumerable precedents. The basest actions may be justified by precedents drawn from bad times and bad men. The sole question is, Whether this power is not a direct usurpation on the rights of the people? If that is proved, I care not how long the usurpation has continued,

how

Junius. Dedication to the English nation, p. 3.

[†] One precedent creates another.---They foon accumulate and constitute Law. What yesterday was fact, to-day is doctrine:

how often practifed. It is high time to put an end to it. It was the case of General Warrants. One precedent however, the most infissed upon, I must take notice of, because it is said fully to come up to the point, but, in my opinion, in almost every part it proves the contrary of what it has been brought to support. I mean the remarkable case of Mr. Walpole in 1711, a period, in which the rankest Tory principles were countenanced more than in any other of our history prior to 1760. The case, sir, has been so partially quoted, even by a sperson, whose sole merit

§ Jeremiah Dyson, Esq; formerly Clerk of the House of Commons, Member in the present Parliament for Horsham in Sussex.

Junius, vol. 1. p. 145.

It is well worth remarking that the compiler of a certain quarto, called The case of the last election for the county of Middlesex considered, has the impudence to recite this very vote, in the following terms, vide page 11, "Resolved, that Robert Walpole, Esq; haveing been that session of parliament expelled the House, was and is incapable of being elected a "member to serve in the present parliament." There cannot be a stronger positive proof of the treachesy of the compiler, nor a stronger presumptive proof that he was convinced that the vote, if truly recited, would overturn his whole argument.

here was an affumed accuracy, which he never possessed, that I shall defire it may be read to the House from the Journals.

[The Clerk read,]

** Resolved, that Robert Walpole, Esquire,

** having been, this session of Parliament, com
** mitted a prisoner to the Tower of London,

** and expelled this House, for an high breach

** of trust in the execution of his office, and noto
** rious corruption, when Secretary at Wor, was

** and is incapable of being elected a Member

** to serve in the present Parliament."

Now, fir, I must observe, that even that House of Commons, at an æra so hostile to the liberties not only of England but of Europe, did not venture to adjudge Mr. Walpole incapable of being elected a member to serve in that Parliament only hecause he was expelled, but in the body of the Resolution itself they added another reason, which would be trisling, if the former was sufficient and adequate to the point, the high breach of trust in the execution of bis office, and noterious corruption, when Secrecetary

After convicting Mr. Dyfon of giving a false quotation from the Journals, and having explained the purpose, which that contemptible fraud was intended to answer, &c. P. 146.

eretary at War. As trustees for the nation, they affigned a public cause, which must interest every member of the community. In the case of Mr. Wilkes, the last House of Commons declared, " that John Wilkes, Ef-"quire, having been, in this Session of Par-" liament, expelled this House, was and is in-" capable of being elected a Member to serve "in this present Parliament." The baving been expelled, whether justly or unjustly, is the only reason, which they gave to the world. I shall not yet, fir, dismiss the case of Mr. Walpole. It will prove another proposition maintained by me, it will shew the injustice of the late House of Commons in seating Mr. Luttrel!, as Representative for the County of Middlesex. The fact was, that the House in Queen Anne's time having expelled Mr. Walpole, ordered immediately the issuing of a new At the subsequent election Mr. Walpole was again returned. A Mr. Taylor. who had a minority of votes, petitioned, but the election was vacated. Had the doctrine propagated by the late majority, and by the noble Lord with the blue ribband, been just and founded, Mr. Taylor ought to have been the E 3

the fitting member, the House should have refolved that he aught to have been returned, and that the groffest injustice had actually been committed against him. But even that Par-, liament, whose memory the nation execrates,... stopped short in their career of iniquity, and did not proceed to fuch enormous wickedness. It was reserved for the present æra, when shame has lost its blush. Mr. Luttrell was for some years permitted to sit here as representing the County of Middlesex, although a great majority of the freeholders abhorred and reprobated the idea of his representing them, on every public occasion declared it,. and in their Petition to this House gave the record of it under their hands to all posterity.

Sir, when the strong, unanswerable reafons, on which any doctrine is founded, bearme out, I care little about precedents. I recollect however another instance in more auspicious times, when a glorious monarch defended the constitution, which he had restrong flored. It directly meets the objection so
much relied upon, "that expulsion necessari" ly implies incapacity." It is the last, which
I shall desire the Clerk to read. I wishe
him

him to turn to the Journals of Feb. 20, 1698.

[The Clerk read,]

"Refolved, that Richard Woollafton, Efquire, being a Member of the House of
Commons, and having fince been concerndeted, as a receiver of the duties
upon houses, as also upon births, marriages,
and burials, contrary to the Act, made in the
fifth and fixth years of his Majesty's reign,
for granting several duties upon falt, beer,
ale, and other liquors, be expelled this
House."

Now, fir, I defy all the subtlety of the most expert court lawyer among us, all the sophistry of the bar, to reconcile Mr. Woollaston's case with the savourite court tenet, that expulsion necessarily implies incapacity." The sact is ascertained, and indeed admitted, that a new writ did issue for the borough of Whitchurch in Hampshire, and that Mr. Woollaston was re-elected, and sat in the same Parliament. Incapacity therefore in the same Parliament does not necessarily sollow expulsion.

I am ready to admit, that where a clear beal incapacity exists, all votes given to a E 4 person

are knowingly given to him. But, fir, I beg leave to affert, that this was not the case in the Middlesex business. Mr. Wilkes was qualified by the law of the land, and the freeholders, who perfectly understood the clear point of law, as well as their own rights, expressly declared in the Petition presented on the 29th of April 1769 to the House, "Your " Petitioners beg leave to represent to this "honourable House, that the said Henry " Lawes Luttrell had not the majority of le-" gal votes at the faid election, nor did the " majority of the freeholders, when they voted " for John Wilkes, Esquire, mean thereby to-"throw away their votes, or to wave their "right of representation; nor would they. 66 by any means, have chosen to be repre-" fented , by the faid Henry Lawes Luttrell, " Esquire. Your Petitioners therefore appre-" hend he cannot fit as the representative of " the faid County in Parliament, without a " manifest infringement of the rights and pri-" vileges of the freeholders thereof."

This House, fir, is created by the people, as the other is by the King. What right can

the majority have to fay to any county, city, or borough, you shall not have a particular person to be your representative, only because he is obnoxious to us, when he is qualified by law? Every county, city, or borough has an equal right with all other counties, cities, and boroughs, to its own choice, to its own distinct deputy in the great council of the nation. Each is free and independent, invested with precisely the same powers.

I do not mean, fir, now to enter into the argument, whether it may not be fit to give this House the power of expulsion in the first instance, for very flagrant and infamous crimes, either committed, or of which the member may be convicted, subsequent to his election. The fending the member back to his constituents on fuch ground might be considered as an appeal to the people. If however his constituents should differ in opinion from the majority of this House, if they should think him fit to be re-elected, he ought to be admitted, because he claims his feat under the same authority, by which every member holds the privilege of fitting and voting here, a delegation from the people, their free choice. The first appeal to the conflituents.

flituents might in many cases appear just and reasonable. The appeal certainly lies to them, for they are the sountain of this power. We exercise their right. By their representation only we are a House of Parliament. They have the right of chusing for themselves, not a Majority here for them.

Sir, I will venture to affert, that the law of the land, by which all courts of judicature are equally bound, is overturned by the power lately exercifed by a Majority of a House of Commons. The right of election by law is vested in the freehold. It is not placed in you, but in other hands, in those of the freeholders, or the constituents. Your predecessors not only robbed a particular county of its noblest privileges, but they changed the constitution of a House of Commons. The freeholders of this county and the nation abhorred the proceeding, and poured their execrations on the treacherous From us not only they, but the law and constitution now expect a full reparation of the injury, by rescinding the Resolution.

This usurpation, if acquiesced under, would be attended with the most alarming consequences. If you can reject those disagreeable to a majority, and

and expel whom you please, the House of Commons will be self-created and self-existing. You may expel till you approve, and thus in effect The original idea of this' you nominate. House being the representative of the commons of the realm will be loft. The confequences of such a principle are dangerous in the extreme. A more forcible engine of defpotism cannot be put into the hands of a Minister. I wish gentlemen would attend to the plain consequences of such proceedings, and confider how they may be brought home to A member hated, or dreaded, by the minister, is accused of a crime, for instance of being the author of what he thinks a libel. I felect this case, as being the crime the least likely to be committed by any one gentleman of the present majority of this House. No proof whatever is given on oath before you, because you cannot administer an oath, except in the cases, provided for by act of Parliament. You determine the fall however, and thus the Minister begins with invading the rights of Juries. Before any trial, he gets the paper voted a libel, and the member he wishes expelled is voted to be the author, which is a fact this House is not com-

competent to try and determine. Expu'fion means always, as it is pretended, incapacity. The member is accordingly adjudged incapable. He cannot in consequence be re-elected, and thus is totally excluded from Parliament. By fuch manœuvres a minister may garble a House of Commons till not a fingle enemy of his own, or friend of his country, is left here, and the representation of the people in a great degreeannihilated. Corruption had not lent despotism wings to fly fo high in the reign of Charles I, or the minister of that day would have been contented with expelling Hampden, and the four other heroes, because they had immediately been adjudged incapable, and thereby incapacitated from thwarting in parliament the arbitrary measures of a wicked court. My expulsion was an eafy victory over liberty and the constitution. It went with wonderful expedition through all the forms of this House, for it was known to be a measure previously adopted in the cabinet, whose members have through the *present reign fre-

One of the first acts of the present reign was to dismiss Mr. Legge, because he had some years before refused to yield his interest in Hampshire to a Scotch-

Frequently dared to deliberate on the invasion of the dearest rights of their country.

Upon all these considerations, sir, in order to quiet the minds of the people, to restore our violated constitution to its original purity. to vindicate the injured rights of this county in particular, and of all the electors of this kingdom, and that not the least trace of the violence and injustice of the last parliament in this important cause may disgrace our records, I humbly move, " that the Resolution of this "House of the 17th of February 1769, that

" John

man [Sir Simeon Stuart] recommended by Lord Bute. This was the reason publicly assigned by his Lordship. Junius, vol. 2. p. 15.

The transaction, to which Junius alludes, is related at large in a 4to pamphlet compiled from original papers, intituled, Some Account of the Character of the late Right Honourable Henry Bilson Legge.

Mr. Legge received a verbal message from Lord Bute by Mr. Martin [Samuel Martin, E/q;] Dec. 12, 2759, the purport of which was, as it stands upon Mr. Legge's paper, " that he should bid adieu to the " County of Southampton at the general election, and "affift as far as lay in his power, the Prince of Wales's " [now George the Third's] nomination of two mem-" bers" Page 16.

. Before the talons were grown, such things were remarked, that every man of reflection dreaded the moment of maturity.

** fohn Wilket, Esquire, having been, in this

** session of Parliament, expelled this House, was,

** and is, incapable of sixting in the present Par
** liament, be expunged from the Journals of

this House, as being subversive of the rights

of the whole body of electors of this king
dom."

Votes of March 29, 1775.

Ordered, That the order of the day for the fecond reading of the Bill to incapacitate William Abraham, James Anderson junior, &c. &c. from voting at elections for Members to serve in Parliament; and for the preventing Bribery and Corruption in the election of Members to serve in Parliament, for the Borough of Hindon, in the county of Wilts, be now read.

Sir Cecil Wray, Member for East Retford, gave notice in a very spirited manner, that he would move the Committee to insert likewise in the Bill the names of the Bribers, the Candidates themselves. The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, observed, that the punishment ought to extend farther as to all the parties, and mentioned a

great

great defect in the Statute Law against Bribery and Corruption; that at present a person convicted of bribery and corruption was indeed incapacitated from voting for a Member of Parliament, but not from becoming himfelf a part of the legislative body, and actually sitting in that House. He added, that there was at that time a +gentleman in a high and lucrative office under the Crown, bestowed upon him fince he was personally convicted of bribery and corruption, who was admitted and acknowledged to be a Member of the House of Commons in this very Parliament. He appealed to the Minister himself, who was prefent, for the truth of the affertion; but no anfwer was given. He further remarked, that the mode proposed by some gentlemen, of prosecuting the electors of Hindon in a court of law, instead of punishing them by the present Bill, would let half the guilty escape, even supposing a lawyer could be found publicspirited enough to undertake so many and expenfive

[†] The person alluded to is supposed to be Bamber Gascoyne, Esq, Member for Truro in Cornwall, now a Lord of Trade, who was prosecuted to conviction for bribery at a former election for Malden in Essex.

penlive causes, under the known circumstance of the poverty of the parties, and their inability to pay the penalties; for, as the law now stands, any person guilty of bribery and corruption, who informs against another equally guilty, saves himself, and acquires a personal indemnit; that this would certainly be the case of the venal wretches at Hindon, and consequently of them might escape the punishment, which all the 188 so highly merited, whereas the mode of a Bill in Parliament dealt out equal and exemplary punishment to all the guilty.

Votes of October 26, 1775.

Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Majesty, to return His Majesty the thanks of this House, for His most gracious Speech from the Throne.

To affure His Majesty, that we have long lamented the condition of our unhappy fellow-subjects in America, seduced from their allegiance by the grossest misrepresentations, and the most wicked and insidious pretences, &c. to declare, that His Majesty's faithful Commons took a sincere part in His Majesty's paternal

sernal defire, rather to reclaim, than to subdue, the most refractory of His Colonies, &c.. the rebellious war now levied is become more general, &c.. that we thankfully acknowledge the gracious considerations, which induced His Majesty to send a part of His Electoral troops to the garrisons of Gibraltar and Port Mahon, &c. and that we are bound in duty to return His Majesty our particular thanks, for pointing out to us, from the Throne, the constitutional resource of our well-modelled and well-regulated national Militia, &c. and to assure His Majesty, that we hear with the highest satisfaction the affectionate declaration of the Father of bis People, &c, &c, &c.

The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, said,

Mr. Speaker,

I entirely agree with the honourable gentleman, who seconded the Motion for an Address to His Majesty, that every man ought now to speak out, and in a moment so important as the present to the whole empire, I think it Vol. I.

^{*} Governor Lyttelton, Member for Bewdley, now Lord Westcote, a Lord of the Treasury.

ill becomes the dignity and duty of Paeliaments: to lose itself in such a hulfome, adulatory Anddrefer to the throng as; that name proposed. Wie ought rather, fir, to approach our Sovereigns with found and wholeforme advice, and even wish remonstrances against the conduct of him ministers, who have procipitated the nation. into an unjust, ruinous, filminus and munderous war. I call the war with our botthron in America an mijust, felonious war, because the mimary cause and consessed origin of it is, to attempt to take their nicitey from them without their confent, contrary to the common rights of all mankind; and those great fundamental principles of the English constitution, for which Hampeen bled. I affert, fir, that it is in consequence a murderous war, because it is an effort to deprive men of their lives for standing up in the just cause of the desence of their property, and their clear rights. becomes no less a murderous war with respect to many of our fellow subjects of this island; for every man, either of the navy or army, who has been fent by government to America, and fallen a victim in this unnatural and unjust contest, has, in my opinion, been murdece 1

dered by administration, and his blood lies at their door. Such a war, I fear, fir, will draw down the vengeance of heaven upon this devoted kingdom.

I think this war, fir, fatal and rainous to our country. It absolutely annihilates the only great source of our wealth, which we enjoyed *unrivalled by other nations, and deprives us of the fruits of the laborious industry of near E 2

The Speech of the LORD MAYOR, Mr. Wilkes, from the Hustings at Guidhall, to the COMMON HALL, April 5, 1775.

Gentlemen of the Livery;

It would ill become me on this important day to take up much of your time. I very readily complied with the request of several respectable Citizens to call this Common Hall, from every feeling of justice and humanity to our persecuted brethren in America, and the fatal consequences I foresee of the violent proceedings now carrying on, which must so deeply affect the prosperity, not only of this, the first commercial city in the world, but likewise the whole kingdom. I will only, gentlemen, beg leave to read to you from your own records on this subject, the words of a petition from this Metropolis to both Houses of Parliament, long before the present unhappy contest between the Mother Country and her American Colonies began, so long ago as the year 1739.

"The Citizens of London are too deeply interested in whatever affects the trade of this nation not to ex-"press

three millions of subjects, which centred here. That commerce has already taken its flight, and our American merchants are now deploring the consequences of a wretched policy, which has been pursued to their destruction. It is, sir, no less ruinous with regard to the enormous expence of the sleets and armies necessary for this nesarious undertaking, and of consequence the tenormous supplies to be raised,

ſo

If, Gentlemen, the trade to our American Colonies near forty years ago was, according to the declared opinion of this Metropolis, of such importance, the amazing entries for several late years made in the books of the Custom-house, which are a most daily before your eyes, will best demonstrate to what an immense magnitude it is since grown, and that such an object calls for our most earnest, unwearied attention and regard. Whatever your determinations may be, you may be assured of the hearty concurrence of your Chief Magistrate.

† In the Speech from the Throne on the same day His Migety declared, "among the many unavoidable ill con"sequences of this Rebellion, none affects me more sensibly
"than the extraordinary burthen which it must create to
"my faithful subjects." This passage is a clear demonstration.

or press the utmost anxiety for the welfare of that only tource of our riches. The petitioners apprehend, that the trade from these His Majesty's kingdoms to His American Colonies, is of the utmost importance, and almost the only prositable trade this nation now enjoys unrivalled by others."

fo that we are wasting our present wealth, while we are destroying the sources of all we might have in future. An humane mind must contemplate with agony the dreadful calamities and convulsions, which are the consequence of every civil war, and especially a civil war of this magnitude and extent.

I speak, sir, as a firm friend to England and America, but still more to universal liberty, and the rights of all mankind. I trust no part of the subjects of this vast empire will ever submit to be slaves. I am sure the Americans are too high spirited to brook the idea. Your whole power, and that of your allies, if you had any, even of all the German troops, of all

firation, that the Speech from the Throne must be considered as the Speech of the Minister, not of the Sovereign. The Minister, who controlls the finances of a state, would naturally dwell on the great expense of a rebellious war, but a good and humane King, who loved his people, would be more fensibly affected by the idea of the cruel essuion of the blood, and the loss of the lives, of many thousands of his subjects.

En 1744, Menin est attaqué par les François.
On dit à Louis XV, qu'en brusquant une attaque qui coûtera quelques hommes, og sera quatre jours plutôt dans la ville. "Eh bien," dit le Roi, "prenons-" la quatre jours plus tard; j'aime mieux perdre quatre "jours devant une place, qu'un seul de mes sujets."

Journal de Louis "

the ruffians from the north, whom you can hirecannot effect so wicked a purpose. The conduct of the present administration has already wrested the sceptre of America out of the hands of our Sovereign, and he has now scarcely even a postmaster lest in that whole northern continent. More than half the empire is already loft, and almost all the rest in confusion and anarchy. The ministry have brought our Sovereign into a more difgraceful fituation than any crowned head now living. He alone has already loft, by their fatal counsels, more territory than the three great united powers of Rufsia, Austria, and Prussia bave together by a wicked confederacy robbed Poland of, and by equal acts of violence and injustice from administration.

England was never engaged in a contest of fuch importance to our most valuable concerns and possessions. We are fighting for the subjection, the unconditional submission, of a country infinitely more extended than our own, of which every day increases the wealth, the natural strength, the population. Should we not succeed, it will be a loss never enough to be dessored, a bosom friendship soured to hate and resentment. We shall be

confidered es theirmost implacable enemies, an eternal feparation will follow, and the granthour of the British empire pass away: Success, final fuses, seems to me not equivocal, not watertain, but impossible. However we may differ among ourselves, they are merfectly united. On this fide the Atlantic party-rage unhappily divides us, but one soul animates the wast morthern continent of America, the general congress and each provincial assembly. An appeal has been made to the sword, and at the close of the last campaign, what have we conquered? Bunker's Hill only, and with the loss of 1200 Are we to pay as dearly for the rest of America? The idea of the conquest of that immense continent is as romantic as unjust.

The honourable egentleman, who moved the Address, says, "the Americans have been "treated with lenity." Will facts justify the affection? Was your Boston port Bill a measure of lenity? Was your substruction away the charter of the Massachusa's Bny a measure of lenity, or even justice? I

John Dyke Acland, Efq; Member for Callington in Cornwall.

omit your many other gross provocations and infults, by which the brave Americans have been driven into their present state. He afferts that they arew a disposition to be independent. On the contrary, fir, all the declarations both of the late and the present congress, uniformly tend to this one object, of being put on the same footing the Americans were in the year 1763. This has been their only demand, from which they have never varied. Their daily prayers and petitions are for liberty, peace, and fafety. I use the words of the Congress the last year. They justly expect to be put on an equal footing with the other subjects of the empire, and are willing to come into any fair agreement with you in commercial concerns. If you confine all our trade to yourselves, fay they; if you make a monopoly of our commerce; if you flut all the other ports of the world against us, do not tax us likewise. If you tax us, then give us a free trade, fuch as you enjoy yourselves. Let us have equal advantages of commerce, all other ports open to us, then we can, and will, chearfully, voluntarily pay taxes. You will have a free will offering given with pleasure, not grudgingly.

It must give, fir, every man who loves this country, the deepest concern at the naming in the Address fareign troops, Hanoverians and Hessians, who are now called to interfere in our domestic quarrels, not to dwell this day on the illegality of the measure, the danger and disgrace attending fareign mercenaries. The militia, indeed, are we are told to be now employed, and that noble institution is at present complimented by ministers, but we know they hate the very name of a militia, and that measure is adopted only because the embodying of those forces enables administration to butcher more of our sellow-subjects in America.

Sir, I disapprove not only the evil spirit of the whole Address, but likewise the wretched adulation of almost every part of it. My wish and hope therefore is, that it will be rejected by the House, and that another dutiful, yet decent, and manly, Address will be presented to the King, praying his Majesty to sheathe the sword, prevent the farther effusion of the blood of our fellow-subjects, adopt some mode of negociation with the general congress in compliance with their repeated patitions, and

and thereby reflore peace and harmony to this diffracted empire.

VOTES of November 27, 1775.

A Motion was, and the Question being proproposed, "That an humble Address be presented to his Majesty, humbly request- ing, that his Majesty would be graciously pleased to impart to this House, who were the original authors and advisers to his Majesty of the following measures, before they were proposed in Parliament—

" for taxing America, without the consent

s of its Assemblies, for the purpose of a

"Revenue, &c. &c."

Mr. Wilkes said,

Mr. Speaker,

The Address to his Majesty, which the honourable gentleman has moved this day, is so essentially different from all other late addresses to the throne, that I own it meets with my hearty concurrence. I think it, sir, of the utmost consequence to know the original authors and advisers of this unjust, pernicious, and cal-

Mr. Alderman Oliver, Member for London,

calamitous war, which has already deluged with blood a part of America, and spread horror and devastation through that whole northern continent. When so many provinces of the empire are already lost, and the rest actually angaged in a cruel, civil war, we ought not to fit down in a criminal supineness. It becomes our daity, as the grand inquest of the nation, to find out and punish the delinquents, by whose fatal counsels such evils have been brought upon this convulsed, and almost ruined state. We owe it to the people at large, and several of us have it in express charge from our constituents.

We are, I fear, fir, on the eve of an eternal, political feparation from the western world, unless a very speedy reconciliation should take place. If the present motion happily meets with success, I am sure it will do more towards a sincere, lasting, and hearty union with America, than all the captious and fallacious proposals of administration. The Americans will then believe we indeed desire a reconciliation with them, and they will at length begin to have considence in our counsels, when they see the vengeance of Parliament fall on the authors of our common calaminess.

famities. The principles of violence and injustice, which have hitherto prevailed, they will see, if the House is really in earnest to treat, yield to equity and moderation; a negociation on fair, equal, and just terms, may ensue, and a general tranquility be re-established in an empire, which is now shaken to its very foundations.

I really think, fir, this is almost the only method now left of extricating ourselves with honour and dignity from our present alarming difficulties. You have voted fleets and armies, and your forces figure greatly in the papers of the Secretary at War, and in the expensive estimates on your table. But the minister knows very well they are not equal to the mad project of subjugating the vast continent of America, nor do I believe the whole firength of this kingdom adequate to such an 'attempt. After a very bloody campaign you have conquered only one bill of less than a mile's circumference, for you were suffered to land as friends in the only sea-port town of any consequence which you possess. Would the noble

[•] Lord George Sackwille Germain, one of His Maiely's Principal Secretaries of State. [for America]

ble lord, whom his Majesty has lately raised to one of the highest civil offices, if he were sent on a military service, would he venture, even at the head of the whole British cavalry, to advance ten miles into the country? He would not, I am persuaded, be so rash, nor do I think his spirit quite daring enough to make the attempt. And is any minister weak enough to slatter himself with the conquest of all North

From the London Gazette of April 26, 1760.

This Court, [a General Court-Martial] upon the confideration of the whole matter before them, is of opinion, That Lord George Sackwille is guilty of having disobeyed the orders of Prince Ferdinand of Brunfwick, whom he was by his Commission and Instructions directed to obey, as Commander in Chief, according to the rules of war; and it is the further opinion of this Court, that the said Lord George Sackwille is, and he is hereby adjudged, unsit to serve His Majesy in any MILITARY capacity whatever.

From the London Gazette, of Nov. 11, 1775.

His Majefty having pleased to appoint the Right Honourable Lerd George Sackville Germain to be one of His Majefty's Principal Secretaries of State, his Lordship was this day, by His Majefty's command, sworn one of His Majefty's Principal Secretaries of State accordingly.

North America? The Americans will dispute every inch of territory with you, every marrow pass, every strong defile, every Thermopylia, every Bunker's Mill. A train most unfortunate events will probably ensue, and the power of recruiting, perhaps subfifting, your weakened forces, at fuch a distance, be loft. After an unavailing flruggle of a very few years, when the ruined merchant and manutacturer besiege your doors, you will perhaps think of naming ambaffadors to the general congress, instead of the wild and expensive job and farce now in contemplation, of thirty commissioners, with a salary of four thousand pounds each, to cry Peace; when there is no Peace.

Yet, fir, I think Peace absolutely necessary between Great Britain and America, and therefore I approve the present motion, as helding out the olive branch. The Americans are rapidly encreasing in population, and in the knowledge of all the usual arts of life. Alas! fir, they are not ignorant even in the fashionable art of musdering our own species. The late worthy governor of Pensylvania declared

at

^{*} Richard Penn, Esq; was examined before the House of Lords, Nov. 10, 1775.

at the bar of the other bloufe, that that province now grew more corn than was fufficient fee the supply of its inhabitants ; that them comported confiderably every year; that they perfectly understood the art of making gunnameder, and had effected it; that they had oftablified feveral works to procure faligette; that they had the materials and means in great plenty of calling iron cannon; that the act of casting both brass and iron cannon, as well as of fabricating finally arms, had been carried to great perfection; and that they were expert in flaip-building beyond the Europeans. He declared likewise, that fingle province had actually envolled 20,000 men, in arms, embobodied, but not in pay, and had 4000 minute men ready on the first notice of any danger. The authentic accounts of the preparations for the forming, training, and disciplining troops in the Mathabusen Bay and in Virginia are equally formidable, nor another inconfiderable in the other united provinces. Every idea of force therefore on our fide, must appear infatuation...

Alt wife legislature, fir, have calculated the strength of a nation from the number of its inhabitants, the laborious, strong, and active.

The

1.

.

The population in most parts of America is doubled in the course of nineteen or twenty years, while that of this island is known rather to have decreased since the year 1692. The emigrations of late from the three kingdoms have been amazing and alarming. Our own people have fled in multitudes from a government, under which they starved. It appears from the nicest calculations, that many more of our fellow-subjects have voluntarily left this kingdom for America-never to returnthan I believe administration has hitherto sent in their pay both of fleets and armies-never to return-in any confiderable proportion I mean of the force fent. The Americans, fir, are a pious and religious people. With much ardour and success they follow the first great command of Heaven, Be fruitful, and multiply. While they are fervent in these devout exercifes, while the men continue enterprifing and healthy, the women kind and prolific, all your attempts to subdue them by force will be ridiculous and unavailing, will be regarded by them with fcorn and abhorrence. They are daily strengthening, and if you lose the prefent moment of reconciliation, to which this motion

motion tends, you lose all. America may now be reclaimed or regained, but cannot be subduted.

Gentlemen, sir, do not seem to have confidered the aftonishing disadvantages, under which we engage in this contest against the combined powers of America, not only from the distance and natural strength of the country, but the peculiar and fortunate circumstances of a young, rifing empire. The congress, fir, have not the monstrous load of a debt of above one hundred and forty millions, like our Parliament, to struggle with, the very interest of which would swallow up all their taxes; nor a numerous and hungry band of nseles placemen and pensioners to provide for; nor has luxury yet enervated their minds or bodies. Every failling which they raife, will go to the man who fights the battles of his country. They fet out like a young heir with a noble landed estate, unincumbered with enormous family debts, while we appear the poor, old, seeble, exhausted, and ruined parent; but exhausted and rained by our own wickednels, prodigality, and profligacy.

Vol. I.

G

Sir,

Sir, I daily hear the Americans, who glow with a divine zeal for liberty in all its. branches, misrepresented in this House, and. the oftenfible minister is diligent in propagating the most unjust calumnies against them. The noble lord with the blue ribband told us, the liberty of the press was lost throughout Amorica. The noble lord deceives us in this, as in many other things. From experience we know that his intelligence can never be relied upon. The liberty of the press, the bulwark of all our liberties, is lost only in Boston, for his lordship's ministerial troops govern there only. The press is free at Water-Town. but seven miles distant from Boston, at Philadelphia, Newport, Williamsburgh, and in therest of North America. I will give the House the demonstration. General Gage's foolish and contemptible proclamation against Samuel. Adams and John Hancock, two worthy gentlemen, and, I dare to add, true patriots, even, that proclamation, declaring them rebels and: traitors, while the generals Washington, Putnam and Lee, with all the naval commanders. in arms, were unnoticed by him, appears reprinted in all the American papers. His letters likewise to Governor Turnbull and others, in which he most heroically apologized for his inert conduct, as necessary for the protection of the army—the protection of an army!-and of an army, which we were taught to believe would look all apposition into subjection, awe the factious, and give focurity to the well affected, these letters too were all faithfully copied. believe all the curious, futile orders he has issued, all his unmeaning declarations and proclamations, will be found as exact in the Pen-Sylvania, Water-Town, and other American news-papers, as in the Gazette, published by his authority at Boston, which in other respects is as partial and false as that of the American Secretary published by authority in this capital.

The *honourable gentleman, fir, who spoke last, says, the "present address is trisling, for "we already know the author and adviser of all the late measures against America; that the "noble lord with the blue ribband will avow them, and has done it." I wish to hear such a declaration. Will the noble lord avow him-

^{*} Anthony Storer, Efq; Member for Carlifle.

felf the adviser of only one of the late flagitious measures, that of establishing Popery and despotic power in Canada? The father of that monstrous birth I thought had prudently hitherto chosen to remain concealed. He likewife tells us, "the motion now before us is " coupled with nothing, and leads to nothing." I will tell him what it ought to lead to, what it ought to be coupled with. I mean an impeachment, fir, which I trust will follow, as the next motion of the honourable gentleman, who spoke first in this debate. Whoever did advise the measures lately pursued, which have lost half our, empire, I consider as a criminal of so deep a dye, that his head would be a just sacrifice to the honour of England and the peace of America. The word impeachment, I hope, will always strike terror to the ear and heart of a wicked and arbitrary minister, and that the noblest and most important prerogative of this free people, secured to us by our great deliverer. king William III, in the "Act for the further si limitation of the crown, and better fecur-" ing the rights and liberties of the subject," will shortly have its full effect, " that no parso don under the great feal of England be " plead-

** pleadable to an impeaclment by the Com** mons in Parliamen.."

VOTES of March 21, 1776.

A Motion was made, and the Question was put, "That leave be given to bring in a "Bill, for a just and equal Representation "of the People of England in Parliament."

Mr. Wilkes faid,

Mr. Speaker,

All wife governments, and well-regulated states, have been particularly careful to mark and correct the various abuses, which a confiderable length of time almost necessarily creates. Among these, one of the most stricking and important in our country is, the present unsair and inadequate state of the representation of the people of England in Parliament. It is now become so partial and unequal from the lapse of time, that I believe almost every gentleman in the House will agree with me in the necessity of its being taken into our most serious consideration, and of our endeavouring to find a remedy for this great and growing evil.

wist

I wish, fir, my stender abilities were equalto a thorough investigation of this momentous business. Very diligent and well-meant endeavours have not begin wanting to trace it from the first origin. The most natural and perfect idea of a free government is, in my mind, that of the people themselves affembling to determine by what laws they chuse to be governed, and to establish the regulations they think necessary for the protection of their property and liberty against all violence and fraud-Every member of fuch a community would fubmit with alacrity to the observance of what had been enacted by himself, and assist with spirit in giving efficacy and vigour to laws and ordinances, which derived all their authority from his own approbation and concurrence. In small inconsiderable states, this mode of legislation has been happily followed, both in ancient and modern times. The extent and populousnels of a great empire seems scarcely to admit it without confusion or tumult: and therefore our ancestors, more wife in this than the ancient Romans, adopted the reprefentation of the many by a few, as answering more fully the true ends of government. Rome

was enflaved from inattention to this very circumstance, and by one other fatal act; which ought to be a strong warning to the people, even against their own representatives, the leaving power too long in the hands of the fame persons, by which the armies of the republic became the armies of Sylla, Pompey, and Cæfar. When all the burghers of italy obtained the freedom of Rome, and voted in public assemblies, their multitudes rendered -the distinction of the citizen of Rome and the alien impossible. Their assemblies and deliberations became disorderly and tumultuous. Unprincipled and ambitious men found out the fecret of turning them to the ruin of the Ro. man liberty and common-wealth. Among us this evil is avoided by representation, and yet the justice of the principle is preserved. Every Englishman is supposed to be present in - Parliament, either in person, or by a deputy chosen by himself, and therefore the resolution of Parliament is taken to be the resolution of every individual, and to give to the public the - confent and approbation of every free agent of the community.

G 4

Ac-

According to the first formation of this excellent constitution, so long and so justly our greatest boast and best inheritance, we find that the people thus took care no laws should be enacted, no taxes levied, but by their confent, expressed by their representatives in the great council of the nation. The mode of representation in antient times being tolerably adequate and proportionate, the sense of the people was known by that of Parliament, their share of power in the legislature being preserved, and sounded in equal justice. At present it is become insufficient, partial, and unjust.

From so pleasing a view as that of the equalpower, which our ancestors had, with great wisdom and care, modelled for the commons of this realm, the present scene gives us not very venerable ruins of that majestic and beautiful fabric, the English constitution. As the whole sceme in disorder and consustion, all the former union and harmony of the parts are lost or destroyed. It appears, fir, from the writs remaining in the King's remembrancer's officein the exchequer, that no less than 22 towns sent members to the Parliament in the 23d, 25th, and 26th, of Edward I. which have

long

long ceased to be represented. The names of some of them are scarcely known to us, fuch as those of Canebrig and Bamburg in Northumberland, Pershore and Brem in Worcestershire, Jarvall and Tykhull in Yorkshire. What a happy fate, fir, has attended the boroughs of Gatton and Old Sarum, of which, although ip/a perière ruina, the names are familiar to us, the clerk regularly calls them over, and four respectable gentlemen represent their departed greatness, as the knights at a coronation represent Aquitaine and Normandy? The little town of Banbury, petite ville, grand renom, as Rabelais fays of Chinon, has, I believe, only 17 electors, yet gives us, in its representative, *what is of the utmost importance to the majority here, a first Lord of the treasury, and a Chancellor of the exchequer. Its influence and weight on a division, I have often feen overpower the united force of the members for London, Bristol, and several of the most populous counties. Grinstead too, I think, has only about 30 electors, yet gives a feat among us to that thrave, heroic lord at the head of a great civil

Lord North 's Memb r' for Ranbury.

[†] Lord George Germainus Member for East Grinstead.

· department, now very military, who has fully determined + to conquer America-but not in Germany. It is not, fir, my purpose to weary the patience of the House by the researches of an antiquarian into the ancient state of our representation, and its variations at different periods. I shall only remark shortly on what passed in the reign of Henry VI. and some of his successors. In that reign, Sir John Fortescue, his chancellor, observed that the House of Commons confifted of more than 300 chefen men; various alterations were made by fucceeding Kings till James II. No change has happened fince that period. Great abuses, it must be owned, contrary to the primary ideas of the English constitution, were committed by our former princes, in giving the right of representation to several paltry boroughs, because the places were poor, and dependent on them, of on a favourite evergrown peer. The land-marks of the conftitution have often been removed. The marked partiality for Cornwall, which fingle county Rill

[†] This seems to allude to the celebrated Expression of Mr. Pitt in the House of Commons, that he had con-guered America in Germany.

Ail lends, within one, as many members as the whole kingdom of Scotland, is firiking. It made from yielding to the crown in the and lands a larger hereditary revenue than:any other English county, as well as from the duchy being in the crown, and giving an amazing command and influence. abules of our princes the constitution was wounded in its most vital part. Henry VIII. restored two members, Edward VI. twenty, Queen Mary four, Queen Elizabeth twelve, James I. fixteen, Charles I. eighteen, in all feventy-two. The alterations by creation in the fame period were more considerable, for Henry VIII. created thirty-three, Edward VI. twonty-eight, Queen Mary seventeen, Queen Llizabeth forty-eight, James I. eleven; in all 137. Charles I. made no new creation of this kind. Charles II. added two for the county, and two for the city of Durham, and two for Newark on Trent: This House is at this hour composed of the same representation it was at his demise, notwithstanding the many and important changes, which have fince happened. It becomes us therefore to enquire, whether the sense of Parliament can be now, on

on folid grounds, from the present representation said to be the sense of the nation, as in the time of our forefathers. I am fatisfied. fir, the sentiments of the people cannot be justly known at this time from the resolutions of a Parliament, composed as the present is, even though no undue influence was practifed after the return of the members to the House. even supposing for a moment the influence of all the baneful arts of corruption to be fuspended, which, for a moment, I believe, they have not been, under the present profligate administration. Let us examine, fir, with exactness and candour, if the + representation is fair and perfect, let us consider of what the efficient parts of this House are composed, and what proportion

[†] The representation must be complete. No state, a part of which only is represented in the legislature that governs it, is felf governed. Had Scotland no representatives in the Parliament of Britain, it would not be free; nor would it be proper to call Britain siee, though England, its other part, were adequately represented. The like is true, in general, of every country subject to a legislature in which some of its parts, or some classes of men in it, are represented, and others not.

Dr. Price's Additional Observations on Civil Liberty, page 6.

proportion they bear on the large scale, to the body of the people of England, who are supposed to be represented.

The fouthern part of this island, to which I now confine my ideas, confifts of about five millions of people, according to the most received calculation. I will flate by what numbers the majority of this House is elected, and I suppose the largest number present of any recorded in our journals, which was in the famous year 1741. In that year the three largest divisions appear on our journals. The first is that of the 21st of January, when the numbers were 253 to 250; the second on the 28th of the same month, 236 to 235; the third on the oth of March, 244 to 242. In these divisions the members for Scotland are included; but I will flate my calculations only for England, because it gives the argument more force. The division therefore, I adopt, is that of January 21. The number of members present on that day, were 503. Let mehowever suppose the number of 254 to be the majority of members, who will ever be able to attend in their places. I state it high, from the accidents of fickness, service in foreign parts,

parts, travelling and necessary avocations. From the majority of electors only in the boroughs, which return members to this House, it has been demonstrated that this number of 254 members is elected by no more than 5723 perfone, generally the inhabitants of Cornish, and other very infignificant boroughs, perhaps by not the most respectable part of the community. Is our Sovereign then to learn the fense of his whole people from these sew persons? Are these the men to give laws to this vast empire, and to tax this wealthy nation? I do not mention all the tedious calculations, because gentlemen may find them at length in the works of the incomparable Dr. Price, in Postlethwaite, and in Burgh's Political disquisitions. Figures afford the clearest demonstration, incapable of cavil or fophistry. Since Burgh's calculations only one alteration has happened. I allude to the borough of Shoreham in Suffex. By the act of 1771, all the freeholders of forty shillings per annum in the neighbouring rape or hundsed of Bramber are admitted to vote for that borough; but many of the old electors were disfranchised. It appears likewise, that 56 of our members are elected by only 364 persons.

persons. Lord chancellor Talbot supposed that the majority of this House was elected by 50,0000 persons, and he exclaimed against the injustice of that idea. More accurate calculations than his Lordship's, and the unerring rules of political arithmetic, have shewn the injustice to be vastly beyond what his Lordship even suspected.

When we consider, fir, that the most important powers of this house, the levying taxes on, and enacting laws for, five millions of persons, is thus usurped and unconstitutionally exercised by the small number I have mentioned, it becomes our duty to restore to the people their clear rights, their original share in the legislature. The ancient representation of this kingdom we find was founded by our ancestors in justice, wisdom, and equality. The present state of it would be continued by us in folly, obstinacy, and injustice.

This evil has been complained of by fome of the wifest patriots our country has produced. I shall beg leave to give that close reasoner, Mr. Locke's ideas in his own words. He says, in the treatise on civil government, 44 Things not always changing 46 equally,

equally, and private interest often keeping up « customs and privileges, when the reasons of "them are ceased, it often comes to pass, that · in governments, where part of the legislative " confifts of representatives chosen by the peo-" ple, that in tract of time this representation 66 becomes very unequal and disproportionate to "the reasons it was at first established upon. "To what gross absurdities, the following of " a custom, when reason has left it, may lead. "we may be satisfied, when we see the bare are of a town, of which there remains not " fo much as the ruins, where scarce so much " housing as a sheep-cote, or more inhabitants than a shepherd is to be found, sends as many 46 representatives to the grand assembly of law-66 makers, as a whole county, numerous in peo-46 ple, and powerful in riches. This strangers " stand amazed at, and every one must confess 46 needs a remedy." After so great an authority as that of Mr. Locke, I shall not be treated on this occasion as a mere visionary, and the propriety of the motion I shall have the honour of submitting to the house, will. scarcely be disputed. Even the members for fuch places as Old Sarum, and Gatton, who I may

I may venture to say at present flant nominis umbræ, will, I am persuaded, have too much candour to complain of the right of their few constituents, if indeed they have constituents, if they are not self-created, self-elected, selfexistent, of this pretended right being transferred to the county, while the rich and populous manufacturing towns of Birmingham, Manchester, Leeds, Sheffield, and others may have at least an equitable share in the formation of those laws by which they are governed. My idea, fir, in this case, as to the wretched and depopulated towns and boroughs in general, I freely own, is amputation. with Horace, Inutiles ramos amputans, feliciores inserit.

This is not, fir, the first attempt of the kind to correct, although in an inconsiderable degree, this growing evil. Proceedings of a similar nature were had among us above a century past. The clerk will read from our journals what passed on the 26th of March, 1668, on a bill to enable the county palatine of Durham to send two knights for the county, and two citizens for the city of Durham. [The clerk reads.] In a book of authority, Anchitell Vol. I. H. Grey's

Grey's debates, we have a more particular account of what palled in the house on that occasion. He says, that "Sir Thomas Meres 46 moved, that the shires may havean increase of knights, and that some of the small bove roughs, where there are but few electors, may we be taken away, and a bill for that purpose." We find afterwards, "on a division, the bill was "rejected, 65 to 50." This division, however, alludes only to the bill then before the house, respecting the county and city of Durham. I defire to add the few remarkable words of Sir Thomas Strickland in this debate, because I have not feen them quoted on the late important American questions. "The county palatine of Durham was never taxed in Parliament by ancient privilege before King James's time, and so needed no representatives, but now being taxed, it is but reasonable they should bave." Such sentiments, fir, were promulgated in this house even so long ago as the reign of Charles II.

I am aware, fir, that the power, de jure, tof the legislature to distranchise a number of boroughs.

^{*} Junius in a letter to Mr. Wilkes dated Sept. 7, x771, and printed at the snd of his fecond volume fays,

roughs, upon the general grounds of improving the conflicution, his been doubted; and gentlemen will ask, whether a power is lodged in the representative to destroy his immediate

H 2

con -

as As to cutting away the rotten horoughs, I am as as much offended as any man at feeing fo many of them under the direct influence of the crown, or at the disposal of private persons. Yet, I own, I "have both doubts and apprehensions, in regard to " the remedy you propole. I shall be charged perhaps with an unufual want of political intrepidity, when " I honefily confess to you, that I am startled at the idea es of so extensive an amputation .-- In the first place, "I question the power, de jure, of the legislature to disfranchise a number of boroughs, upon the gene-" ral ground of improving the constitution. " cannot be a doctrine more fatal to the liberty and or property we are contending for, than that, which " confounds the idea of a supreme and arbitrary lese gistature. I need not point out to you the fatal " purposes, to which it has been, and may be applied. 46 If we are fincere in the political creed we profess, se there are many things, which we ought to affirm, es cannot be done by King, Lords and Commons. 44 Among these I reckon the disfranchising of boor roughs with a general view of improvement. I conse fider it as equivalent to robbing the parties concerned of their freehold, of their birth-right. " that, although this birth-right may be forfeited, or "the exercise of it suspended in particular cases, it " cannot be taken away, by a general law, for any " real or pretended purpose of improving the conflitu-*f tion. constituent? Such a question is best answered by another. How originated the right, and upon what ground was it at first granted? Old Sarum and Gatton, for instance, were populous towns,

"tion. Supposing the attempt made, I am persuaded

and

" you cannot mean that either King, or Lords should . " take an active part in it. A bill, which only touches the representation of the people, must ori-" ginate in the house of commons. In the formation st and mode of passing it, the exclusive right of the " commons must be afferted as scrupulously, as in the es case of a money-bill. Now, sir, I should be glad " to know by what kind of reasoning it can be proved, "that there is a power vefted in the representative to 66 deftroy his immediate confliquent. From whence " could be possibly derive it? A courtier, I know 46 will be ready to maintain the affirmative. The doc-" trine foits him exactly, because it gives an unlimited operation to the influence of the crown. But we, " Mr. Wilkes, ought to hold a different language. It "is no answer to me to say, that the bill, when it so passes the house of commons, is the act of the ma-"iority, and not the representatives of the particular " boroughs concerned. If the majority can disfranchife " ten boroughs, why not twenty, why not the whole "kingdom? Why should not they make their own " feats in parliament for life?--- When the feptennial " act peffed, the legislature did what, apparently and ff palpably, they had no right to do; but they did "inore than people in general were aware of: they, " in effect, disfranchifed the whole kingdom for four " years. For

and therefore the right of representation was first given them. They are now desalate, and of consequence ought not to retain a privilege, which they acquired only by their extent and populousness. We ought in every things: and far as we can, to make the theory and practice of the constitution coincide. The supreme legislative body of a state must surely have this power inherent in itself. It was defacto lately exercised to its full extent by parliament

[&]quot;For argument's fake, I will now suppose, that the expediency of the meature, and the power of par-" hament are unquestionable. Still you will find an " infurmountable difficulty in the execution. When all your infiruments of amputation are prepared, " when the unhappy patient lies bound at your feet, " without the possibility of resistance, by what infal-" libte rule will you direct the operation?--- When " you propole to cut away the rotten parts, can you " tell us what parts are perfectly found? --- Are there any certain limits in fact, or theory, to inform you " at what point you must stop, at what point the mor-" tification ends. To a man fo capable of observa-"tion and reflection as you are, it is unnecessary to " fay all that might be faid on the subject. Besides "that I approve highly of Lord Chatham's idea of in-" fusing a portion of new lealth into the constitution to " enable it to bear its, infirmities, (a brilliant expreffion, and full of intrinsic wisdom) other reasons concur in perfuading me to adopt it. I have no " objection, &c." The

ment in the case of Shoreham with universal approbation, for near a hundred corrupt voters were disfranchised, and about twice that number of freeholders admitted from the county of Sussex.

It will be objected, I forefee, that a time of perfect calm and peace throughout this vast empire, is the most proper to propose internal regulations of this importance; and that while intestine discord rages in the whole northern continent of America, our attention ought to be fixed upon that most alarming object, and all our efforts employed to extinguish the devouring stame of a civil war. In my opinion,

JUNIUS.

The man, who fairly and compleatly answers this argument, shall have my thanks and my applause. My heart is already with him. - I am ready to be converted. --I admire his morality, and would gladly subscribe to the articles of his faith. -- Grateful, as I am, to the GOOD BEING, whose bounty has imparted to ne this reasoning intellect, whatever it is, I hold my-felf proportionably indebted to him, from whose enlightened understanding another ray of knowledge communicates to mine. But neither should I think the most exalted faculties of the human mind, a gift worthy of the divinity; nor any assistance in the improvement of them, a subject of gratitude to my sellow creature, if I were not satisfied, that really to inform the understanding corrects and enlarges the heart.

opinion, fir, the American war is in this truly critical æra one of the Grongest arguments for the regulation of our representation, which I now submit to the bouse. During the rest of our lives, likewife, I may venture to prophecy, America will be the leading feature of this age. In our late disputes with the Americans, we have always taken it for granted, that the people of England justified all the iniquitous, cruel, arbitrary, and mad proceedings of administration, because they had the approbation of the majority of this house. The absurdity of such an argument is apparent, for the majority of this house we know speak only the sense of 5723 persons, even supposing, according to the laudable, constitutional custom of our ancestors, that the constituent had been consulted on this great national point, as he ought to have been. We have feen in what manner the acquiescence of a majority here is obtained. The people in the fouthern part of this island amount to upwards of five millions. The sense, therefore, of five millions cannot be ascertained by the opinion of not 6000, even supposing it had: been collected. The Americans with H 4 great

great reason insift, that the present war is carried on, contrary to the fense of the nation, by a ministerial junto, and an arbitrary faction, equally hostile to the rights of Englishmen, I and the claims of Americans. The various addresses to the throne from most numerous bodies, praying that the fword may be returned to the scabbard, and all hostilities cease, confirm this affertion. The capital of our country has repeatedly declared, by various public acts, its abhorrence of the prefent unnatural civil war, begun on principles fubverfive of our constitution. Our history furnishes frequent instances of the fense of Parliament funning directly counter to the fense of the nation. It was notoriously of late the case in the business of the Middlesex election. I believe the fact to be equally certain in the grand American dispute, at least as to the actual hostilities now carrying on against our brethren and fellow-fubjects. The proposition before us will bring the case to an issue, and from a fair and equal representation of the people, America may at length distinguish the real Sentiments of freemen and Englishmen.

I do

I do not mean, fir, at this time, to go into a tedious detail of all the various proposals, which have been made for redressing this irregularity in the representation of the people. I will not intrude on the indulgence of the House, which I have always found favourable and encouraging. When the bill is brought in, and fent to a committee, it will be the proper time to examine all the minutiæ of this great plan, and to determine on the propriety of what ought now to be done, and to confider what formerly was actually accomplished. The journals of Cromwell's Parliaments prove that a more equal representation was settled, and carried by him into execution. That wonderful, comprehensive mind embraced the whole of this powerful empire. Ireland was put on a par with Scotland. Each kingdom fent thirty members to a Parliament, which confisted likewise of four hundred from England and Wales. It was to be triennial. Our colonies were then a speck on the face of the . globe; now they cover half the new world. I will at this time, fir, only throw out general ideas, that every free agent in this kingdom should, in my wish, be represented in ParParliament; that the metropolis, which contains in itself a ninth part of the people, and the counties of Middlesex, York, and others, which so greatly abound with inhabitants, should receive an increase in their representation; that the mean, and insignificant boroughs, so emphatically stiled the rotten part of our constitution, should be lopped off, and the electors in them thrown into the counties; and the rich, populous, trading towns, Birmingham, Manchester, Shesseld, Leeds, and, others, be permitted to send deputies to the great council of the nation.

The disfranchifing of the mean, venal, and, dependent boroughs would be laying the axe to the root of corruption and treasury influence, as well as aristocratical tyranny. We ought equally to guard against those, who self themselves, or whose Lords sell them. Burgage tenures, and private property in a share of the legislature, are monstrous absurdities in a free state, as well as an insult on common sense. I wish, sit, an English Parliament to speak the free, unbiassed sense of the body of the English people, and of every man among us, of each individual, who may justly be supposed

peled to be comprehended in a fair majority. The meanest mechanic, the poorest peasant and day-labourer, has important rights respecting his personal liberty, that of his wife and children, his property, however inconsiderable, his wages, his earnings, the very price and value of each day's hard labour, which are in many trades and manufactures regulated by the power of Parliament. Every law relative to marriage, to the protection of a wife, lifter, or daughter, against violence and brutal lust, to every contract or agreement with a rapacious or unjust master, is of importance to the manufacturer, the cottager, the fervant, as well as to the rich subjects of the state. Some share therefore in the power of making those laws, which deeply interest them, and to which they are expected to pay obedience, should be reserved even to this inferior, but most useful, set of men in the community. We ought always to remember this important truth, acknowledged by every free state, that all government is instituted for the good of the mais of the people to be governed; that they are the original fountain of power, and even of revenue, and in all events the last resource.

The

The various inflances of partial injustice throughout this kingdom will likewise become the proper subjects of enquiry in the course of the bill before the committee. Of this nature are the many freeholds in the city of London, which are not represented in this House. These freeholds being within the particular jurisdiction of the city, are excluded from giving a vote in the county of Middlesex, and by act of Parliament only liverymen can vote for the Representatives of the City of London. These, and other particulars, I leave. I mention them now to show the necessity of a new regulation of the representation of this kingdom.

My enquiries, fir, are confined to the fouthern part of the island. Scotland I leave to the care of its own careful and prudent sons. I hope they will spare a few moments from the management of the arduous affairs of England and America, which are now solely entrusted to their wisdom, and at present so much engross their time, to attend to the state of representation among their own people, if they have not all * emigrated to this warmer and more

^{*}Without any abitract reasonning upon causes and effects, we shall soon be convinced by experience, that the Scots, transplanted from their own country, are always

more fruitful climate. I am almost asraid the forty-five Scottish gentlemen among us represent themselves. Perhaps in my plan for the improvement of the representation of the inhabitants of England, almost all the natives of Scotland may at this time be included. I shall only remark, that the proportion of representation between the two countries cannot be changed. In the twentyfecond article of the treaty of Union fortyfive is to be the proportion of the representative body in the Parliament of Great-Britain for the northern part of this Island. To increase the members for England and Wales beyond the number, of which the English Parliament confifted at the period of that treaty in 1706, would be a breach of public faith, and a violation of a folemn treaty between two independent states. My proposition has for its bafis

Shakeipeare.

always a distinct and separate body from the people who receive them. In other settlements, they only love themselves; --in England, they cordially love themselves, and as cordially hate their neighbours.

Junius. Preface, p. 26.
The weafel Scots are hungry, and want feeding.

The weafel Scots are hungry, and want feeding. Epitle to Dr. Shebbeage.

The eagle England being in prey, To her unguarded nest the weafel Scot

Comes fneaking, and to fucks her princely eggs.

balls the prefervation of that compact, the proportional thare of each kingdom in the legislative body remaining exactly according to its present establishment.

The monstrous injustice and glaring partiality of the prelent representation of the commons of England has been fully stated, and is, I believe, almost universally acknowledged, as well as the necessity of our recurring to the great leading principle of our free constitution, which declares this Houle of Parliament to be only a delegated power from the people at large. Policy, no less than justice, calls our attention to this momentous point. Reafon, not custom, ought to be our guide in a business of this consequence, where the rights of a free people are materially interested. / Without a true representation of the Commons our constitution is effentially defective, and our Parliament a delusive name, a mere phantom. All other remedies to recover the pristine purity of the form of government established by our ancestors would be inestectual, even the shortening the period of Parliaments, even a place and pension bill, both which I highly approve, and think absolutely necessary

necessary. I therefore flatter myself, sir, that I shall have the concurrence of the House with the motion, which I have now the honour of making, "That leave be given to bring in a "bill for a just and equal representation of the "people of England in Parliament."

Votes of April 30, 1776.

A Motion was made, and the Question being put, "That the Resolution of this House "of the 17th of February 1769, that John "Wilkes, Esquire, having been in this Session of Parliament expelled this House, was, and is, incapable of being elected a Member to ferve in this present Parliament, be ex"punged from the Journals of this House, as a being subversive of the rights of the "whole body of electors of this kingdom."

Mr. Wilkes faid,

Mr. Speaker,

The veneration, with which I am deeply impressed for the constitution of my country, the love and affection of a native to the noble privileges, the laws and liberties of England,

as well as duty and gratitude to the much injured freeholders of Middlesex, are the powerful motives of my again troubling the House with a question so frequently agitated within these walls, so fully discussed even the last session, although not hitherto followed with the success, which I hope on the present occasion.

The profligacy, venality, and open attempts against liberty by the last House of Commons, have made their memory odious to the people. The annals of our country are disgraced with their various violations of the rights of the subject. I shall now confine myself to a single case, but it was of the blackest nature, of the deepest dye, and branched out into many enormities, which still demand ample attonement. I allude to the iniquitous and daring resolutions

Journals. Feb. 14, 1771, vol. 33, p. 162.

The Speech of the Speaker, Sir Fletcher Norton, when he reprimanded the Returning Officer of Shore-bam, Hugh Roberts, on his knees.

In vain have our ancestors been anxiously careful to secure the freedom of elections, by all the means human wisdom and foresight could suggest; in vain have they particularly guarded against the partiality of the Returning Officer, and obliged him, by every tie, to a faithful discharge of that trust, which the Constitution hath reposed in his hands, if men are to be found DARING enough to send members to this House, who were never chosen by the Legal electors.

tions respecting the elections for the county of Middlesex, and the seating Mr. Luttrell in the House, although he had consessedly only a mincrity of the suffrages of the freeholders, in whom the constitution has placed the right of election. While these resolutions, sir, remain among our records, I consider a precedent established under the fanction of this House to rob not only a whole county, but the entire collective body of electors of this kingdom, of their birthright, and most valuable inheritance. It is a precedent, which may be brought home to every borough, city, and county, to every freeholder, every elector in the island.

The facts were fully stated to the House in the debate on this subject the last year, and I am persuaded they live in the memory of every gentleman. I shall desire the Clerk to read only one resolution. It is that of February 17, 1769, "That John Wilkes, Esq; having been, in this session of Parliament, expelled this House, was, and is, incapable of being elected a member to serve in this present Parliament." This declaration, in my opinion, transfers from the people to this House the Vol. I.

right of election, and by an unbounded, uncontrouled exercise of the negative power, the House in effect assume the positive right of making whom they please the representatives of the people in Parliament. I am very ready to admit that there are various natural and legal incapacities, and when the party is subject to any one of them, he is not eligible. Aliens. minors, bishops, are incapable of being elected into a House of Commons. Besides these, there are other incapacities arising from the peculiar circumstances of the case, and some created by particular statutes. Where however there is no natural or legal disability, the capacity of being elected is the inherent right of every freeman of the realm. He cannot be divested of it without an equal injury to the party, and to the constituent, in whom the power is conflitutionally lodged of determining whom he thinks the most fit and proper person to act for him in the great coun. cil of the nation. The declaration of the House therefore, that any man, duly qualified by law, shall not be allowed to sit in Parlia: ment as a representative of the Commons of the realm, was assuming to themselves the making

making a new law, to which only the three estates are adequate. It was disfranchising a whole county, and consequently in essect the united kingdom.

34

œ.

10

ed

ć.

n:

5.

þ¢

:0:

tq

Ш

le-

gá j

n., de i

je i i

į,

ır3

The public attention has been fo long fixed on this important business, that it would be the weakest and vainest presumption in me to attempt any new arguments in support of a right acknowledged by every man, who is not in a detestable league for the subversion of all our rights, liberties, and franchifes. I shall not enter on a dull repetition of the debates, which for the last seven years have come on every session, nor repeat a multitude of cases and precedents; but while I have a feat in this House, I pledge myself to my country, that I will be firm and unwearied in my endeavours, till every syllable on our Journals, which marks the injustice done to the freeholders of Middlefex, and to every elector in the island, be fully erased or obliterated.

Since the debate, however, on this question in the last session, almost within a fort-night-after, a case respecting the election at Abingdon was determined here in a manner diametrically opposite to one part of this pretended

ed law of Parliament, which has been solemnly laid down by all the advocates of the ministry in the affair of Mr. Luttrell. They argued, that all the votes given to Mr. Wilkes were thrown away, because they were given, as they afferted, to a person labouring under a legal incapacity, and consequently Mr. Luttrell, with only 296 freeholders, was entitled to his feat in preference to the other candidate with 1143. The majority of the electors of Middlefex, fir, fully answered this argument in that remarkable petition presented to the House by the worthy *baronet near me, on the 29th of April, 1769, in which they afferted, " that 46 Mr. Luttrell had not the majority of legal 46 votes; nor did they, when they voted for "Mr. Wilkes, mean thereby to throw away 46 their votes, or to wave their right of represe fentation, nor would they, by any means, " have chosen to be represented by Mr. Lut-Notwithstanding this petition, the House, on the 8th of May following, declared Mr.

MILTON.

^{*} Sir George Savile.

Than whom a better fenator ne'er held The helm of Rome, when gowns not arms repell' The fierce Epirot, and the African bold.

Mr. Luttrell duly elected. Now let us examine the still more recent case of the Abingdon election, the determination of the select committee, with all the proceedings of the prefent Parliament in that business. I will state them briefly, and shall afterwards desire the clerk to read from the Journals the more important paffages. Mr. Bayley's petition was presented to this House on the 6th of December, 1774. was confined to one single objection, the legal disability of the other candidate, no accusation of bribery or corruption, riots, unfair practifes, or illegal votes, being exhibited. It stated, "that at the place of election, and before the taking of the poll, the mayor of 46 the faid borough and the other electors were 46 publicly told, that as the other candidate, " Mr. John Mayor, was then high sheriff of 46 that county, he was incapable of being " chosen a member to represent the said bo-46 rough in this present Parliament, and that all votes given for the faid high sheriff would be thrown away; notwithstanding which, the es mayor of the faid borough did him elf vote, 44 and also received the votes of divers other e persons for the said high sheriff; and that the

is faid high theriff hath returned himself as 4 duly elected for the said borough, in maniof fest prejudice of the petitioner, who, being the only candidate capable of being elected, a ought to have been returned." The facts. as flated in the petition, were admitted, aswell as the numbers at the close of the polls. for Mr. Mayor 146, for Mr. Bayley only 116. The great question was, whether, if Mr. Mayor was not eligible, the votes for him werethrown away, and Mr. Bayley, who had not the majority of electors, should be declared duly elected? The select committee, on the 6th of March, 1775, reported, that neither Mr. Mayor, nor Mr. Bayley, was duly elected, and that the election was void. The House immediately directed a new writ to issue for Abingdon. The ministerial advocates, who infift on Mr. Wilkes's legal incapacity, can scarcely find a case more exactly parallel. It is equalled only by the well known precedent of Mr. Walpole, and Mr. Taylor, in-1711. Yet the burgesses of Lynn were not infulted, by having a gentleman declared their representative, whom they never elected. The Abingdon case was of the most bublic noto-

Digitized by Google riety.

riety. The returning officer, and all the electors of Abingdon, were publicly informed of Mr. Mayor's legal incapacity. Mr. Bayley polled near half the voters of that borough. His opposition however being not to a friend of liberty and the people, but having made the attack on a fubaltern in the ministerial forces, he had little chance of being an adopted child of the House, or of a committee selected from the present majority.

The arbitrary resolution on our Journals, and the appointment of Mr. Luttrell, I confider as an absolute surrender of the constitution to the minister. The laws of the land are of no avail, when this House alone can make a new law, adapted to the caprice, violence, or injuffice of every emergency, and when representation in Parliament no longer depends upon the choice of the electors. The people of England may in vain affemble with the fond hope of effectually exercifing their noblest franchise, if the object of their choice is really what he ought to be, an independent friend of liberty, superior to menace or corruption. The minister has found out a way to baffle them in all their proceedings. He may,

on any pretence, however frivolous, procuse an expulsion, and expulsion, we know, means incapacitation; for that during a long period of seven years, the favourite object of a country's choice may be kept out, and the nominee of a minister be declared to represent a populous county. Can there be a more solemn mockery of the rights of a free people?

While such arbitrary resolutions as those respecting the Middlesex elections remain on our Journals, I think the minister holds high the rod of vengeance over the head of every member of this House, He stands here with an uplifted arm, sublimi flagelo, to punish the refractory, and almost every action of the majority feems to betray their being either swayed by the dastardly passion of fear, or corrupted by the mean principle of reward. We are governed by Solon's plan, præmie et pæna, as Cicero has summed it up in three words. Is a member obnoxious or unruly? Accuse him of a libel, or any other crime; then vote away your own privileges to get at him; and before the cause can come to a trial by a jury of his countrymen on oath, examine two or three partial and well-instructed witnesses under no fuch

fuch fanction, and you may proceed immediately to expulsion. You then vote him incapable of being re-elected. The creature of the minister is received in his place, and declared a representative of the people. A House of Commons may in this manner be so garbled, as not to contain a fingle fair and honest representative, elected according to the law of the land.

The motion of expunging from our records the resolutions of which I complain, is I know confidered by fome gentlemen as a violent measure. The case, I think, requires the most spirited mode of redress. I wish as full atonement to the people as possible. The last Parliament gave us an instance of expunging from our Journals what never ought to have been moved in this House, the thanks to a stupid + tory parson, of the rank Oxford breed

" &c, &c," might be read.

⁺ Journals of Feb. 25, 1772, Vol. 33, p. 509. The House was moved, That the Entry in the Votes of the House, of the 31st day of January laft, "that " the thanks of this House be given to the Reverend " Doctor Nowell, for the Sermon preached by him " yesterday before this House, at St. Margaret's West-

[&]quot; minster, and that he be delired to print the same,

breed, for a libel on the Revolution, and the prefent establishment, in a sermon preached on the anniversary of the merited death of the tyriant, Charles I. If so tristing a business as a soolist.

Resolved, That the said Entry be expunged from

The tory fame of the wretched Doctor Nowell has lately suffered a total eclipse by a pedantic seboolmaster of Westminster, promoted to the Archbishoprick of York in Dec. 1776, a Doctor William Markbam. He preached a fermon, all-powerful to footbe the foul in flumbers, at Bow Church in the City, Feb. 21, 1777, before the Incorporated Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in foreign parts. In what a meek spirit of christianity, of gentleness, patience, and forbearance, does this Minister of the gospel of peace state the nature, and bewail the wickedness, of the deluded parties among us? By what tender bonds of love and charity does this High Priest endeavour to bring over the noblemen and gentlemen in the opposition to the court poths of honour, peace, and perhaps preferment? His Grace observes, " nothing is too mean for the uses of parties, as they are " NOW conflituted. Parties once had a principle belong-" ing to them, abfurd perhaps, and indefensible, but still " carrying a notion of duty, by which honest minds " might easily be caught. But they are now combi-" nations of individuals, who instead of being the " fons and fervants of the community, make a league " for advancing their private interests. It is their " business to hold high the notion of political honour. " I believe and trust it is not injurious to fay, that " such a bend is no better than that, by which the lowest

foolish fermon, neither heard nor read by to fcore persons, called for so spirited a measure, can it be deemed improper or violent, when the rights of all the electors of the kingdom have been openly invaded, and the usurpation justified

and wickedoft combinations are beld together; and that it denotes the last stage of political depravity."

And is really the union in a great national cause of such men as have composed the present opposition, and set their names to the spirited Protests of the very last session, the Portlands, Richmonds, Devonshires, Manchesters, Rockinghams, Abingdons, Chathams, Shelburnes, Effinghams, Fitzwilliams, Touringtons, &c., &c., and of the Saviles, Cavendishes, Townshends, Johnstones, Glynns, Wrays, Burkes, Barrés, &c., &c., is such a combination of individuals in the two Houses of Parliament to be considered as a bond no better than that, by which the lowest and wickedest combinations are held together? Are they really no better than the lowest and wickedest combinations of pirates and banditti. Are they really no better than gangs of robbers and highwaymen?

Ne sevi, magna sacerdos.

Virgil. En. 6. 1. 544.

Surely instead of this coarse compliment we might expect a little savour from his Grace, when he assures, "the apostles themselves, with all their advances, were not easily freed from the entanglement of popular error." If the inspired Apossles did indeed err, we uninspired private christians might expect some indusgence, not inquisitorial severity on account of our popular errors, not to be considered as in a desperate case, in the last stage of political depravity. I believe and trust it is inspirious to fay this.

justified by gentlemen in the highest offices under the crown? The circumstance is truly alarming, and demands the utmost exertions of an honest zeal and generous ardour for the public weal.

I own that I am not so nice and scrupulous about preserving every line of the immensity of the learned lumber in our Journals. They are become

This pointical fermon tells us, "aukat is affumed upon " the present occasion is the glorious nature of LIBERTY. " It realizes and secures all the rest; and by those, who are in the enjoyment of it, ought to be maintained at " all bazards," Have not the Americans on this just . ground taken up arms? "But it remains to be fettled." Was it not settled by the Revolution? "Wherein does " it confift ?" St Paul, in the Epiftle to the Romans, has answered this question of the Archbishop of York. He makes liberty, glorious liberty, confift in being delivered from the bondage of corruption, a prayer, which is often made by the people of England for the High Court of Parliament. The Apostle says, "because the " creature itself also shall be delivered from the bondet age of corruption into the glorious liberty of the " children of God." chap. 8, ver. 21. The Archbishop goes on, " I have sometimes thought it a " misfortune, that a thing fo valuable and important, should have no word in our language to express " it, except one aubich goes to every thing that is aud " and lawlefs." Does the fign fication of the word liberty really go to every thing that is wild and lawless? Has this learned Prelate, who is so deep in Latin, Greek, Digitized by Google Hebecome the mere registers of royal edicts, of turnpike roads, enclosures, and matters of private business. There are many whole pages disgraceful to the nation among our trivial, fond records. My heart bleeds, when I read all the unjust and inhuman reso-

lutions

Hebrew, the Coptic, Persian, Chaldaic, Arabic, Syriac, Phomician, Pa'myrene, Chinese, Gentoo, &c, &c, who is himself a perfect Polyglott, has he never heard of the English words licentiousness and licence to express every thing that is wild and lawless, as distinguished from liberty. Pensioner Johnson's Dictionary would have told him that li entiousness is "boundless liberty, a con-" tempt of just restraint." He might there have found the authority of Swift, who fays, "this custom has been always looked upon, by the wifest men, as an effect of licentiousness, and not of liberty." He would in the same dictionary have found that "licence" is exorbitant liberty, contempt of legal and necessary " reftraint." Milton understood the difference between liberty and licence, as well as Swift did between liberty and licentiousness, although the Archbishop of York feems to understand neither. The English Homer fays, "Licence they mean, when they cry liberty." The English language is not reproached with poverty, but by those, who are ignorant of it.

What a melancholy confideration is it for the rifing generation, that an illiberal Priest, one of

The low-born, cell-bred, selfish, servile band, although now promoted to be a general officer in the fable army of the ministerial mercenaries of the Church Militant in the House of Lords, that such a man

Autions against our fellow subjects in America, the sleets and armies voted for the vain attempt of subduing the unconquerable spirit of liberty among the descendants of Englishmen. When I reslect on the subsequent proceedings, the harbarous and savage manner in which you have carried on the war, by attempting to starve thousands of industrious sishermen, and labouring poor, with their families, as well as the burning open and defenceless towns and villages, I wish, for the national humanity and honour, which former-

ly

man should have been Preceptor to the children of England, to the Prince of Wales, and Bishop of Osnabrugh? Was a mere bookworm, who does not yet know the meaning of the most important avord in the language of this free country, who is equally ignorant of the thing, and is found stumbling at the very threshold of liberty, was such a person sit for such a charge? The child that is unborn may rue the fatal mistake. Is the next age likewise to deplore the mischievous errors of the bad education of their prince?

I was really forced to remind his Grace of the Apostle Paul. May I softly whisper in his ear a few words of St Peter, because they are a good receipt to cure magisteria pride and petulance? As free, and not using your LI-BERTY for a cloke of MALICIOUSNESS---bonour als

men, lave the brotherbood.

The First Epistle General of Peter, ch. ii. v. 16, 17.

ly stood so high, that these black pages of our Journals, and every trace of the cruelties and horrors which followed, were obliterated from the records of this House, of this kingdom, of the human race.

In justice to the usurped and violated rights of this county, in a sull sense of duty to all my brother electors at large, and to prevent the most satal and pernicious precedent being ever used by a wicked and ill designing minister to the destruction of the sacred right of election, of national freedom, and independency, I move, that the resolution of the House of the 17th of February, 1769, that John Wilkes, Esq. was and is, incapable of sitting in the present Parliament, be expunsed from the journals of this House, as being subversive of the rights of the whole body

Votes of October 31, 1776.

of the electors of this kingdom."

Resolved, "That an humble address be presee sented to His Majesty, to return His Masee jesty the thanks of this House, for His most
see gracious Speech from the Throne;

T

To assure His Majesty, "that while we lament the continuance of the troubles which have so long distracted His Colonies in North America, and of the calamities and oppressions which our unhappy Fellow Subjects are still suffering under the arbitrary tyranny of their leaders, we cannot forbear to express our detestation and abhorrence of the audacious and desperate spirit of ambition, which has at last carried those Leaders fo far, as openly to renounce all allegiance to the Crown, and all political connection with this country; and in direct terms to presume to set up their rebellious confederacies for Independent States," &c. &c.

Mr. Wilkes said,

Mr. Speaker,

The + honourable gentleman, who spoke last, endeavours to mislead the House. It is certain that no pressing has at this time been carried on in the city of London, or its liberties. No press-gangs have dared to make their appearance in that jurisdiction. Those lawless bands

[#] George Wombwell, Efq; Memberfor Hunting-

bands of cruel banditti very prudently chose other scenes of horror and bloodshed, of less danger to themselves. The city has hitherto remained in perfect safety and tranquility, in a most happy state of security, by the vigilance, intrepidity, and noble love of liberty, which are conspicuous in its present worthy the chief magistrate.

The conduct of Administration, Sir, in the late issuing of press-warrants, before they had tried the operation of the high bounty, is totally unjustifiable. The Speech now in your hand, Sir, is so very pacific, that the large bounty of five pounds for every able, and fifty shillings for every ordinary, seaman, promised in last Saturday's Gazette, might safely, for a short time at least, have been trusted to, the emergency not being thought by administration very critical. From the minister's own state of public affairs in the Speech there was no danger in the experiment. Much cruelty and bloodshed had been avoided, many valuable lives preserved.

The affair of Long Island has been misrepresented, and greatly magnified. The su-Vol. I. K periority

^{† &#}x27;Mr. Sawbridge, Member for London, then Lord Mayor.

periority of numbers was very confiderable. General Howe landed 22,000 men. The provincials had only 6,000 effective men on that island. They were ordered to retreat, and 4,000 did accordingly, without being attacked, embark for the island of New-York. There was a real mistake of orders as to the other 2,000, but they acted as brave men always will act under a mistake of orders; they fought. They saw the enemy, lest their entrenchments, and attacked with spirit. From the superiority of numbers, and their flanks being neglected and unguarded, they were totally defeated. They did not however remain inactive, like cowards, on an important day of battle. No such imputation can be fixed on them. Nothing decifive can follow from the late successful affair against the Americans on Long Island, no more than from the defeat of the British troops at Sullivan's island. New York will probably fall into your hands, but your fituation will in that case be scarcely mended fince the last year, for you then posfessed the capital of North America, Boston. Is that great and important town advantageously exchanged for New York? I forgot that

that we still likewise possess the fishing hamlet of Halisax.—But, Sir, we ought to take a much larger and more comprehensive view of this interesting scene, which is now fully discooled.

The important dispute of Great Britain with ther colonies has for a considerable time fixed the attention, not only of this nation, but of almost all Europe. The most essential interests of this country, and indeed of the greater part of the powers on the continent, are deeply interested in the event. The facrisce of so much blood and treasure is to every state an object of high importance, but to us, whose empire seems mouldering away, of the mearest concern. I much fear we are now brought by inextricable difficulties to the very verge of destruction,

Since our last meeting, Sir, the scene, with respect to America, has totally changed. In-stead of negociations with various provincial assemblies, instead of deliberating on the most effectual mode of ensorcing the parchament declaration of our + constitutional superiority over

K 2 vur

[†] The late administration came into employment under the mediation of the Duke of Cumberland, July

our Colonies, 'of our right to bind them in all cases whatsoever, we must consider if we have power to bind them in any case, for we have now a war to carry on against the Free and Independent

States

July 10, 1765, and was removed, upon a plan fettled by the Earl of Chatham, on July 30, 1766, having lasted one year and 25 days.

In that space of time

, The distractions of the British Empire were composed by "The Repeal of the American Stamp-Act,"

But the conflitutional superiority of Great Britain was preserved by

"The Ast for securing the dependance of the Colonies," &c, &c.

A foort Account of a late Administration.
[published in August 1766.]

The Act, commonly called the Declaratory AA, is to much the subject of political dispute, that I shall give it entire from the Statutes at large.

Anno Sexto Georgii III. A. D. 1766.

An Act for the better fecuring the Dependency of His Majefty's Dominions in America upon the Crown and Parliament of Great Britain.

Whereas several of the Houses of Representatives in His Majesty's Colonies and Plantations in America, have of late, against Law, claimed to themselves, or to the General Assemblies of the same, the sole and exclusive right of imposing Duties and Taxes upon His Majesty's subjects in the said Colonies and Plantations; and have, in pursuance of such claim, passed certain votes, resolutions, and orders, derogatory to the

flutes of America; a wicked war, which has been occasioned solely by a spirit of violence, injustice, and obstinacy in our ministers, unparalelled in history. In the beginning of

К 3

Sep-

the legislative authority of Parliament, and inconfisent with the dependency of the faid Colonies and Planta-' tions upon the Crown of Great Britain: May it therefore please your most excellent Majesty, that it may be declared; and be it declared by the King's molt excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and confent; of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, that the said Colonies and Plantations in America have been, are, and of right ought to be, subordinate unto, and dependent upon, the imperial Crown and Parliament of Great Britain; and that the King's Majesty, by and with the advice and confent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons of Great Britain, in Parliament assembled, had, bath, and of right ought to have, full power and authority to make Laws and Statutes of Sufficient force and validity to bind the Colonies and People of America, subjects of the Crown of Great Britain, in all cases qubatfoever.

II. And be it further declared and enacted by the authority aforefaid, That all Resolutions, Votes, Orders, and Proceedings, in any of the said Colonies or Plantations, whereby the power and authority of the Parliament of Great Britain, to make Laws and Statutes as aforesaid is denied or drawn into question, are, and are hereby declared to be, utterly null and void to all intents and purposes whatsoever.

September, in the last year, a very humble and dutiful Petition was fent from the congress to his Majesty, in which his Majesty was supplicated "to direct some mode, by which the saited applications of his faithful colonists to the throne, in pursuance of their comiss mon councils, may be improved into a haping py and permanent reconciliation." There was not a word in the petition which did not breathe submission and loyalty, and yet the official answer of Lord Dartmouth, secretary at that time for the American department, after a long deliberation, was to the last degree iffitating. It was, that no answer would be given.

The Declaratory AA, and the Repeat of the American Stamp-AA, received the Royal Assent on the same day, March 18, 1766, in the Administration of the

Marquis of Rockingham.

In Provincial Congress. Watertown, April 26, 1775.

Friends, and Fellow Subjects,

Hostilities are at length commenced in this colony by the troops under command of General Gage, &c. &c.

nightized by Google These,

[†] The strong desire of peace and reconciliation in the inhabitants of Massachulet's Bay, which has been always considered as the most violent as well as powerful of all the American colonies, even immediately after the murders by the King's troops at Lexington and Concord, on the 19th of April, 1775, is conspicuous in their Address to the inhabitants of Great Britain."

negociate with you, we exact unconditional fubmission. This answer, Sir, in my opinion, might justly be called indignity and insult, and in a much bigher degree than what the Speech says the Americans have offered to England, after all the provocations on our part. It drove the Americans to despair, and with the violation of the persidious promises in Lord

K 4 Hillfborough's

These, brethren, are marks of ministerial vengeznce against this colony, for refusing, with her sister colonies, a submission to slavery; but they have not yet detacked us from our royal sourceign; we profess to be bis leyol and dutiful subjects; and so hardly dealt with as we have been, are still ready with our lives and sortunes to defend his person, family, crown, and dignity; nevertheless to the persecution and tyranny of his cruel ministry, we will not tamely submit; appealing to Heaven for the justice of our cause, we determine to die or be free.

We cannot think that the honour, wisdom and valour of Britons will suffer them to be longer inactive spectators of measures in which they themselves are so deeply interested; measures pursued in opposition to the solemn Protests of many noble Lords, and expressed sense of conspicuous Commons, whose knowledge and virtue have long characterized them as some of the greatest men in the nation; measures executing contrary to the interest, petitions and resolves of many large respectable counties, cities, and boroughs in Great Britain; measures highly incompatible with

Hillsborough's famous official t circular letter, and the real foundation of their Declaration of Independency.

Much has been faid, Sir, of the prophery of the ministers, that the Americans would in the end declare themselves independent. I give the ministers no credit for such a prophecy. They went on the surest grounds. They might very safely promulgate such a prediction, when they knew that the unjust and sanguinary measures, which they intended to pursue, must bring about the

event.

juttice, but ftill pursued with a specious pretence of casing the nation of its burthens; measures which, if successful, must end in the ruin and slavery of Britain, as well as the persecuted American colonies.

We fincerely hope that the great Sovereign of the Universe, who hath so often appeared for the Englishmation, will support you in every rational and manly exertion with these colonies for saving it from ruin, and that in a constitutional connection with our mother country, we shall soon be altogether a free and bappy people.

Signed by Order,

JOS. WARREN, President,

1 The Circular Letter of the Earl of Hillsborough,
Secretary of State for the Colonies, to all the Governors on the Continent and Islands.

Whitehall, May 13, 1769.
Inclosed I send you the gracious Speech made by the King to his Parliament at the close of the Session on Tuesday last.

What

event. They drove the Americans into their present state of independency. The Jesuits in France risqued nothing when they prophesed in 1610 the death of the best prince that ever reigned in Europe, within that year. Their's was the sure word of prophecy. They employed Ravaillac to assassing the sure word of prophecy.

Аŋ

What His Majesty is pleased to say in relation to the measures which have been pursued in North America will not escape your notice, as the satisfaction His Majesty expresses in the approbation his Parliament has given to them, and the assurances of their simulations of the prosecution of them, together with his royal opinion of the great advantages that will probably accrue from the concurrence of every branch of the legislature in the resolution of maintaining a due execution of the laws, cannot fail to produce the most salutary effects.

From hence it will be understood, that the whole legislature concur in the opinion adopted by His Majesty's servants, that no measure ought to be taken which can any way derogate from the legislative authority of Great Britain over the Colonies; but I take upon me to assure you, notwithstanding infinuations to the contrary from men with factious and seditious views, that His Majesty's present administration have at no time entertained a design to propose to Parliament to lay any further taxes upon America for the purpose of raising a revenue. and that it is at present their intention to propose in the next Session of Parliament to take off the duties upon glass, paper and colours

An honourable + gentleman near me, fir, attacks the American Declaration of Independency in a very peculiar manner. He pronounces it a wretched composition, very ill written, drawn up only with the view to captivate the people. That, fir, is the very reason why I approve at most as a composition, as well as a wise, political measure, for the people are to decide this great controversy. If they are captivated by it, the end is attained. The polished periods, the harmonious, happy expressions, the grace, ease, and elegance of a beautiful diction, which we chiefly admire, very little captivate the people of America. Manly, nervous sense they relish, even in the most awkward and un-

couth

lours upon confideration of fuch duties having been laid contrary to the true principles of commerce.

These have always been, and still are, the sentiments of His Majesty's present servants, and the principles by which their conduct with respect to America has been governed, and His Majesty relies upon your prudence and sidelity for such an explananation of His measures, as may tend to remove the prejudices which have been excited by the misrepresentations of those who are enemies to the peace and prosperity of Great Britain and her Colonies, and to re-establish that mutual considence and affection upon which the glory and safety of the British empire depend.

[†] Governor Johnstone, Member for Appleby.

couth dress of language. Whatever compofition produces the effect which is intended in the most forcible manner, is, in my opinion, the best, and the most to be approved. That mode should always be pursued. It has the most merit, as well as success, on the great theatre of the world no less than on the stage, whether you mean to inspire pity, terrue, or any other passion.

The honourable † gentleman, Sir, who seconded the address, says, the American Decharation of Independency was no surprize to himmor I believe, Sir, to any man of common restection, after our hostilities and cruelties, after the frantic and extravagant career, which administration pursued, with a full chorus of approbation from the majority of this House.

The speech in your hand, Sir, which an thonourable gentleman near me has well called a speech of hypocrist, mentions the affurances of amity, which his Majesty continues to receive from the several courts of Europe."

At the beginning of the last session, the minister gave us in the King's speech more explicit

[†] George Finch Hatton, Esq; Member for Rochester.

¹ Governor Johnstone.

plicit affurances. It was faid, "I am happy, to add, as well from the affurances I have " received, as from the general appearance of: 66 affairs in Europe, I see no probability thatf the measures, which you may adopt, will be: 65 interrupted by disputes with any foreign " power." We have no such assurances held out to us this year, that our measures will not: be interrupted by disputes with any foreignpower; but we have still assurances of amity, which are daily contradicted by the immense preparations of the neighbouring foreign powers of France and Spain, and indeed of the whole house of Bourbon. The accounts from Naples contain little but the vast preparations making by the king of the two Sicilies. Are we indeed fimple and credulous enough to trust to general vague expressions of politeness, against the clear evidence of facts? Our ministry know very well that an American privateer being lately stopped at Bilboa in Biscay, an express was immediately dispatched to Madrid, which returned with the fullest directions for the release of the privateer, and permission to furnish him with provisions, stores, ammunition, in short, with whatever he wanted. This fact

fact will not be denied. Is Spain then one of the foreign powers, which again soothes us with these honied assurances of amity? Has fate ordained, that we are neither to possess capacity enough to profit by the example of others, nor even by our own experience? In the very first year of the present reign, in September 1761, the Gazette told us, that "the Catholic king had, at no time, been more 66 intent upon cultivating a good correspon-" dence with England, than in the present con-" juncture." This declaration was received serioully here, held out as part of the court creed among us, and laughed at by all the rest of Europe. In the beginning of the following January, without any one new fact having occurred of any moment, war was declared by England against Spain. Will the plausible, fmooth-tongued French likewise be able to lull us into a fatal security against the evidence of all history? Can we expect to be treated by them in any other manner than the Spaniards were at the time of the famous revolt of Portugal? The French fent whole regiments,completely officered, into the service of the house of Braganza. They paid them underhand

shand the same as their national troops, yet all the while declared their abhorrence of rebellions and of rebels, issuing proclamation after proclamation, and recalling their deserters under the most severe penalties. Sir, there is not a power in Europe, unsubsidized by Great-Britain, which does not wish success to the Americans. We are considered almost every where on the continent, in the odious light of + tyrants and oppressors.

The

Il paroit ici un papier qu'on dit être d'un homme simportant de votre nation. Il paroit par ce papier que

[†] A French gentleman, whose universal genius is no less admired at Petersburg than at Paris, after mentioning some speeches in the English House of Commons on American Affairs, writes to his friend at London.

J'en ai aussi sait un, et le voici. "Messieurs, je "ne vous parlerai point de la justice ou de l'injustice s' de votre conduite. Je sens bien que ce mot n'est que du broit, quand il s'agit de l'interêt général. "Je pourrois vous parler de vos moyens de réussir; et "vous demander si vous êtes assez sorts pour jouer le "role d'oppresseurs. Cela toucheroit un peu de plus "prés à la question,; sependant je n'en serai rien. "Mais je m'entendrai à vous supplier de jetter les "yeux sur les nations qui vous haissent. Interrogez "ses; voyez ce qu'elles pensent de vous, et dites moi "jusques à quand vous avez resolu de saire rire vos "senemis."

The speech, Sir, states, that "if treason to be suffered to take rost, much mischief must 46 grow from it to the lafety of my loyal color, " nies." Alas! Sir, what we call treason and rebellion, and they just refistance and a glorious revolution, has taken root, a very deep root indeed, and has spread over almost all the American colonies. In this very speech, we are told of their numbers, their wealth, their firength by fea and land. The loyal colonies are three, the free provinces thirteen. In laying on the embargo, the exception to the rule is ridiculous enough. It is as thirteen to three. The Gazette fays, "any of my colonies in Me North America, except the colonies of New-" Hampshire, Massachuset's-Bay, Rhode-Is-12 land, Connecticut, New-York, New-Jersey, "Pennsylvania, the three lower countries on

Lurke's Letter to he Sherifis of Briftol.

le projet secret de la mere patrie, est de faire égorger la moitié des colons, et de reduire le reste à la condition des negres.

Nothing furely could be more lamentable to those who remember the flourishing days of this kingdom, than to see the infane joy of several unhappy people, amids the sad spectacle which our affairs and conduct exhibit to the scorn of Europe.

** Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, North-Ca
** rolina, South-Carolina, and Georgia." Of what other colonies was his Majesty in posfession at his accession to the throne? I think the permission extends only to the three provinces, which we have not yet lost, to the Roman Catholic provinces of Canada and Florida, and to Nova Scotia.

We have now been carrying on for two years a savage and piratical, as well as an unjust, war. Every demand of government has been complied with, and yet the great force employed both by sea and land has not hitherto recovered a single province of all the confederated colonies. On the contrary, the evil grows more desperate. † The last year only twelve

⁺ The wife Archbishop of York tell us, "that "we must rely on the wisdom of our governors, in considence that NECESSITY will at last provide those remedies, which foresight did not. [This seems quite a new application of the dostrine of NECES- SITY."

Sermon preached in the City before the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in foreign parts.

America is not subdued. Not one unattacked village, which was originally adverse throughout that vast continent, has yet submitted from love or terror. You

rewelve colonies humbly petitioned the throne. This year, by the accession of Georgia, we have feen a feederal union of thirteen free and powerful provinces afferting their independency as high and mighty flates, and fetting our power at defiance. This was done with circumstances of spirit and courage in these revolted colonies, to which posterity will do justice, for it was directly after the fafe landing of your whole force. In return you have plundered their coasts, and set fire to their open towns and defenceless villages with a barbarity, which difgraces the English name. In the midst of all the cruelties, terrors, and devastations, which follow your arms, for before them is as the garden of Eden, and behind them as the defolate wil 'erness, the spirit of the Americans is still unsubdued. I hope, and firmly believe, you never will conquer the free spirit of the descendants of Englishmen, thus exerted in an honest cause. They honour and value the bles-Vol. I. T. fings

You have the ground you encampon; and you have no more. The contorments of your troops and your dominions are exactly of the same extent. You spread devastation, but you do not enlarge the sphere of authority. Letter from Edmund Burke, Esq; to the Sheriffs of Bristol, dated April 3, 2777. Bristol ed. p. 25.

fings of liberty, and are determined to live and die freemen, notwithstanding the vain efforts of every arbitrary power in Europe, It is a foolish attempt to think of conquering and holding the immense territory of North Ametica, when the whole country is united against us. The Minister argues in a trisling mappor in the Speech on the decifive good consequences from events only in the province of New York. They do not prove that we shall subdue Virginia, or either of the Carolinas. Success in two or three battles or fieges cannot conclude for the final success of a war, so extensive already, so greatly complicated.

As to the tunanimity at home prophecied of in the Speech, the very idea is absurd, beçause

Letter from Edmund Burke, Eig; to the Sheriffs

of Briftol. P. 38.

If there be one fast in the world perfectly clear, it is this, " That the disposition of the people of America is wholly averse to [fram] any other than a free 4' government." Page 55.

They are continually hoading of unanimity, or calling for it. But before this unanimity can be matter either of wish or congratulation, we ought to be pretty fure, that we are engaged in a rational pursuit. Phrenzy does not become a flighter diftemper on account of the number of those who may be infected with it. Delusion and weakness produce not one mischief the less because they are univerfal:

Impossible, while the present system of injustice and oppression is pursued. The American war has been declared by feveral gentlemen in this House to be unjust, and unconstitutional In its first principle, and, if persisted in, necessarily to bring on our ruin. We have neither force to conquer, nor firength to maintain such extensive conquests, if we could at prefent succeed, if we could continue, by every bafe compliance and facrifice of national honour, to perfuade our ancient open enemy, and present false + friend, France, to wear the political malk of amity, and preferve a precarious peace. France fecretly exults, when the fees our brave countrymen turning their victorious fwords into their own bowels.

Our fituation, fir, is become truly critical.

The conflitution of this country is at home fapped by bribery and corruption. On the L 2 other

An Account of the Conduct of the Dowager Duchels of Marlborough, Page 368.

[†] The great Duke of Marlborough, in a letter to Queen Anne, says, "It is not my opinion only, but the opinion of all mankind, that the friendship of France must needs be destructive to your Majesty: "there being in that court a root of ennity irreconticideable to your Majesty's government, and the religion of these hingdoms."

other side the Atlantic it is assailed by violence and force of arms. The too fatal success in this devoted nation is very evident, but in the new world, I truft; as a friend to mankind, that all the despotic meafures of a tyrannical administration will prove ineffectual. It cannot be too often held out to ministerial folly and obstinacy, that it is impossible for this island to conquer and hold America. They are determined and united. Your fleets may indeed every year carry horror through all their coasts. Your armies may possess some sea-port towns, but the numerous, and greatly increasing, people of the provinces will retire into the interior parts, of which you have already had fome experience. Peaceful towns and villages will cover their fruitful plains, and liberty fix her blest abode among them, the unmolested, happy inhabitants rejoicing that they are procul à Jove, procul à fulmine.

I heartily agree, fir, with the snoble Lord in the amendment proposed; but I go farther, and my opinion is, that if we expect to save the empire, to preserve even for a short period, Canada or the West-Indian islands, or to reco-

[&]amp; Lord John Carend fh, Member for York.

ver any part of the immense territory we have lately lost, we must recall our sleets and armies, immediately repeal all the acts injurious to the Americans passed since 1763, and restore their charters. We may then, if they will forgive, and can trust us, treat with them on just, fair, and equal terms, without the idea of compulsion. In this way only can a foundation be laid for the restoration of peace, internal tranquility, and unity to this convused and dismembered empire.

Votes

Farewel the neighing fleed, and the shrill trum,,... The spirit-stirring drum, the ear-piercing fife,

The royal banner, and all quality,

Pride, pomp, and circumstance of glorious avar, Shakespeare.

brandishes the feaceful pen, spills oceans of ink, and amnifes

[†] Sir William Draper says, "that this country is convulsed in every part by anonymous, wicked, and incendiary writers." Locke is of opinion, that coppression is the great cause of civil commotion," and surely rank, foul acts of oppression have been suggested for many years throughout this empire. The Knight of the Bath being himself a fine, a very sine writer, attributes, in my opinion, too much to the influence of sine writing, perhaps even of his own very sine writing, for the body of the people are more usefully employed than in the perusal of polite, elegant authors. The people do not read very much, nor reason closely on every occasion, but they always feel justly. Sir William, having sheathed the bloody sword, and bid

VOTEs of February 17, 17977

The Bill to empower His Majefty to fecure and detain Perfons charged with, or suspected of, the Crime of High Treason, committed in North America, or on the High Seas, or the Crime of Piracy, was read the third time;

amuses himself in tuning to a delicate ear smooth and melodious periods. He never was the miles gloriofus, but a falle modefly does not make him now conceal the obligation he confers on mankind by his writings, nor content himself with anonymous literary applause. Locke, nubly penfece, regardlets of claffical praise, intentonly on ferving mankind, passed his life in deep refearthes concerning the "Human Understanding," and "Civil Government." He does not quite agree with: Sir William. He tells os, "people are not ib eafily: es pot out of their old forms, as some are apt to sug-" geft. They are hardly to be prevailed with, to "amend the acknowledged faults, in the frame they 46 have been accustomed to. Great mistakes in the riser ling parts, many wrong and inconvenientlaws, and "all the flips of human fraiky, will be borne by the " people without mating or murmur. But, if a long-" train of abuses, prevarications, and artifices, all' tending the fame way, make the delign visible to the " scople, and they cannot but feel, what they lie under, and tee whither they are going; it is not to be won-"dered that they should their rouse themselves, and endeavour to put the rule into fuch bands, which may " fecure to them the ends, for which government was " at first erested."

Locke of Civil Government, book II. ch. 155

and an ingroffed Clause was added, by the House, to the Bill, by way of Ryden:

Mr. Wittes faid;

Mr. Speaker,

I cannot continue filent while the fate of fo important a Bill as the prefent is depending before this House. Administration at first brought in this Bill in a form, which gard a very general and just alarm to the city, to the nation. If it had passed in that form, in my dpinion the whole kingdom would have been put under an interdict of law. The personal. liberty of every man in this island had been precarious and infecure, depending folely on the will of the minister. The spirit of the Bill in its original state was oppression and tyranny through every part of the empire. In. this state the Bill has continued till this day. By the patriotic zeal of an f honourable gentheman of the law, a clause has just been offered; and adopted by way of Ryder; as it is called in this stage of the Bill, which gives peace of mind, and fecurity in some degree, to every subject resident in this kingdom, for persons under this description are at length de-

[†] John Dunning, Eig: Member for Calne.

elared not to be the objects of this Bill. + In speak of the clause, Sir, even as pretended to

† In Mr. Burke's Letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol it is said, "the main operative regulation of the act is to fuspend the common law, and the statute, Habeas Corpus, (the sole securities either for liberty or justice) with regard to all those who have been out of the realm, or on the high seas, within a given time. "The rest of the people, as I understand, are to continue as they stood before.

"I confess, gentlemen, that this appears to me, as bad in the principle, and far worse in its conse-

" fequence, than an universal suspension of the Habeas "Corpus act; and the limiting qualification, instead of

" taking out the fling, does in my humble opinion" farpen and envenom it to a greater degree. Liber-

"ty, if I understand it at all, is a general principle, and the clear right of all the subjects within the

"realm, or of none. Partial freedom feems to me as

" most invidious mode of flavery." p. 15.

When Mr Dunning moved the clause of limitation, he said that he meant to take the sling out of the Bill as to all the people of Great Britain, whom he justly represented as exceedingly slaumed at the suspension of the Common Law and the Habeas Corpus, at the temporary loss of those bulwarks of the constitution, which Mr. Burke admits to he the sole securities either for liberty ori justice. The city of London had petitioned the House of Commons on the 14th of February, and declared, that if the Bill should pass into a law, it would create the greatest uneasiness in the minds of many of His Majesty's good subjects, and tend to excite the most alarming disturbances, &c, &c, therefore earnestly beseeching the House, that the said Bill may not pass into a Law:

be amended by an thonourable gentleman on the treasury bench. His words however, Sir, out of the realm, are too loose and ambiguous, by no means descriptive enough of the per-

fons,

at least to take such care as in their wisdom may seem meet, to prevent it from being extended, in its operation or construction, to any of His Majesty's subjects resident in these kingdoms. The great wish and effort of those gentlemen in opposition, who attended their duty in Parliament, was to have thrown out the Bill, and thereby freed every subject of the empire from the terrors of the arbitrary power proposed to be vested in the present administration. When that was found impracticable after repeated trials in every stage of the Bill, they exerted. themselves, and happily succeeded in delivering most of the inhabitants of Great Britain, His Majefty's subjects resident in these kingdoms, from the fangs of a cruei ministry. To them the nation is indebted for the present peace and security of its capital, of this great seat of empire, of this whole island. Are they now, instead of being thanked, to be harshly told by those, who did not attend their duty in Parliament, that they have done mischief, that, so far from taking out the fling, they have sharpened and envenomed it to a greater degree, that they ought to have fuffered so wicked an Act to have been, universal, and to have extended to Great Britain as well as America? Are they now to be reproached by those, who would not join in the ministerial attack, that the Act is far worse in its consequence, when hy their generous struggles, and glorious victory, it can have no consequence whatever to the most important part of the empire?

† Charles Wolfran Cornwall, Efg; Member for Winshelfea, a Lord of the Treasury.

Shis; Who aid declared by administration nod defigued to be the objects of the Bill. The Bill.

empire? Wete they to have facrificed the folk fecurities either for liberty or justice for themselves, for the whole Mand, to a speculation, that partial freedom was a most invidious mode of stavery? Mr Burke judges Better for his friends, the two Sheriffs of Briftol He tells shem in this letter, "I never ventured to put your folid instreffs upon speculative grounds." p. 48. I have not heard of any answer to this letter from either of the Sheriffs of Briftol, " that boneff, well-ordered, virtuous city, a " people who preferve more of the original English " firmplicity, and purity of manners than perhaps any other." p. 66. As to the Briffol Sheriffs ideas of their folid interests, I take it for granted that they exactly coincide with those of the Sheriffs of London, the Aldermen Plumbe and Thomas. They well know their own folid intereft, and certainly proceed upon feculation grounds effentially different, both in natute and extent, from those of Mr. Burke. Their feculations do not extend beyond Exchange-Alley. Mr. Burke's angel genius takes in

The hemisphere of earth in clearest ken.
Minton.

I will answer for most City Sherists, whether of London or Brifiel, at least for the present London Sherists; that they attend to their own folia interests; and "have "never troubled their understandings with specialas" tions concerning the unity of empire, and the identity of or diffinction of legislative powers," p. 54. Their speculations however are neither partial, item narrows. They speculate on every thing, on the Omnium with wonderful intelligence and fagacity. If they do not beautifully mioralize on this first and severish being, they

Bill is professed to relate only to sreating communities in North America: by persons uthusling

. .

they calculate with amazing exactness the great uncerentity of human life, and the stoft shiftene degrees of the probability of its continuance in every object of their speculations. Nor are their speculations confined to any faction, or influenced by the blind zeal of party. Whige and Tories, coursiers and partiets, are indifferent to them, as to life annuities, and reversionary pagments. They even wish to unite them-in a joint security.

But to return to the argument of the partial suspenflon of the Mabeas Corpus. Is a man voluntarily to fulfait to the conflagration of his own dwelling House, because some distant buildings of his are indanger of fieing burnt? Is a physician to give up every idea of preserving the head or the heart, from a Belief that luch extremities as the hands or the feet are inan alarming state? Is he to endeavour no cure, because He cannot fave all his patients? If we cannot bellow the godlike gift of aniverfal, we ought furely to give partial, freedom. When the ininority found it impossible to redeem from flavory the whole empire, they faved the most valuable part. They nobly firuggled, and fucceeded for use on this little the Atlantie. I will trust the fons of America to their own virtue and prowels, by which I have no doubt of their being findly faced.

What mode of flavery, however, partial freedom cain from to any man, I freely own I do not guest. How partial freedom to some can be the most invideous mode of flavery to others, is beyond my comprehension. Yet I can conceive partial freedom in some may make the loss of liberty more regretted, more sharply felt, by others. Among all the different species of flavery, under which the infulled.

--

resident in that quarter of the globe. Gentlemen, however, on a tour of business to Ireland, or of pleasure to France or Flanders, or even on a fishing party on our own coasts beyond low-water mark, are acknowledged to be out of the realm, and may be brought within the act, even as it now stands. An opening is still lest for public, or private, revenge and oppression to operate. The expression there-

fore

infulted race of man has suffered, partial freedom has not hitherto been classed, nor did they ever before accord in such sriendly, harmonious unison as they are now found by the Sherists of Bristol.

Mr. Burke fays, "I have not debated against this 66 Bill in its progress through the House; because it " would have been vain to oppose, and impossible to " correct it." Page 18. The Bill, and the Minister, were both well corrected by Mr. Dunning. "I cannot " conscientiously support what is against my opinion, " nor prudently contend with what I know is irrefifti-46 ble. Preserving my principles unshaken, I reserve " my activity for rational endeavours." Page 19. Was the Court faction more determined to carry the Ame-, rican High Treason, than the Civil Lift, Bill? Was the first known by Mr. Burke to be more irrefifible than the last? Yet his efforts against the last Bill were great and laudable, although not fuccessful. He did, according to the words of the Preacher, Ecclefiaftes, ch. 6. v. 10. nobly contend with him that was mightier than to --- the Lord North. It was a rational endeavour. Why was not the same rational endeavour exerted against the

Digitized by Google

American

fore ought rather to have been out of Europe, than out of the realm, if the former words, moved by my honourable friend, in some or one of His Majesty's colonies before-mentioned, or on the High Seas, were rejected. But why, Sir, are words so clear and explicit to be changed, unless for some dark purpose, which dares not be avowed?

This new clause, Sir, has happily narrowed the object of the Bill, but in no degree narrowed the proofs, or the nature of the evidence necessary. I shall therefore give the Bill my hearty negative, for I will never confent to encrease the enormous power of the crown at the expence of the freedom of the

American High Treason Bill? Mr. Dunning's rational endeavour succeeded, and he need not blush for his political company. p. 70. The preservation of property in the Civil List Bill was surely not a consideration of equal importance with the preservation of personal liberty in the American High Treason Bill. There can be but one rule of conduct on these occasions. Watch every opportunity of being useful, at no moment desert the public cause, and of those evils, which you cannot prevent, strive to lessen the magnitude, and correct the malignity; "not that I think it set for any one to rely too much on his own understanding, or to be filled with a presumption, not becoming a christian man, in his own personal stability and rectitude." Page 69.

flibjoft. I will not arm ministers with an unconstitutional power, dangerous to the people. In the Preamble to the Bill it is faid, "Many w persons have been seized and taken, who se are expressly charged, or firingly suspected " of fuch Freatons and Felonies, and many more fuch persons may be hereafter fo feized and taken." In the first enacting clause of the Bill, and throughout, the word ftrongly is omitted, and the slightest suspicion may warcrant the commitment. The words are, 44 AH and every perfon or perfons, who have been, or shall hereafter be seized or taken in the act of High Treason, committed in any of ·44 His Majesty's scolonies or plantations in -45 America, or on the high feas, or in the act of Piracy, or who are, or shall be charged with, or suspected of, the crime of High "Treason, committed in any of the said co-44 lonies, or on the high feas." It is therefore apparent that a mere pretended suspicion, or foolish credulity, or determined villainy, in a wretched, ignorant, mercenary tool of a miniafterial magistrate, may still render the objects of this Bill, who are the inhabitants of above half the empire, liable to imprisonment. This imprisonment

prisonment likewise is to be without ball or mainprize, for that cruelty was kill determined to be exercised by the apminister, notwithstanding the humane motion of my worthy + colleague to deave out those abagations words. There is not a fyllable in the Bill of the degree of probability attending the suspicion, The Bill, greatly aniended as it has been does not even now require an oath, nor that the parties should be heard in their own justification, nor confronted with the witnesses, nor does it mention that 4440 witnesses should be deemed negestary for the colourable ground of a commitment for to high a crime as Treason in America, as the law is in other cases within the kingdom. Is it possible, Sir, to give more desposic powers to a hathaw of the Turkish empire? What security is left for the devoted objects of this Hill against the malice of a prejudiced individual. a wicked magistrate, who, if he is profecuted afterwards for so flagrant an abuse of power, will certainly be indomnified, probably rewarded by a most arbitrary administration? Actions may indeed be brought against the offender, but we

[#] Mr. Serjeant Glynn, Member for Middlesex.

know all damages recovered, however great, are paid by the people, not by the party. Even in the case of Petit Treason, by an express act of Edward the Sixth, no person can be convicted, but on the oath of two sufficient and lawful witnesses, or confession, wilingly, without violence; so careful, Sir, were our wise ancestors of protecting the liberty of the meaness subject.

This case, fir, demands our strictest attention and vigilance from what we daily experience of the conduct of those underling officers of every minister, who traffick and deal out justice, under the colour of legal magistracy. There is now, fir, actually in Newgate an American merchant, named Ebenezer Smith Plat. who stands committed so lately as the 23d of last January, charged with High Treasen at Savannah in the colony of Georgia in North America. He is committed by the well known Justice Addington, and, as I am informed, was not allowed to see any of the witnesses against him, nor even to hear their affidavits read. He had before been tried on the same charge at Kingston in Jamaica, and acquitted. I never saw him, but I have read an attested

copy to f the warrant of his commitment. He is charged generally with High Treason, which I take to be an illegal commitment. I do not pretend, Sir, to a deep knowledge of the law. I have only the attentive reading of a private gentleman. I build my legal faith on some known and approved authorities, a Black-stone, a Burne, and a very few others. Those authors agree, that every warrant of commitment ought to set forth the cause specially, that is to say, not for treason or selony in general, but for treason in compassing the death Vol. I.

† To the Keeper of His Majesty's Gaol of Newgate, or his Deputy.

Middlesex.

These are in His Majesty's name to Jauthorize and require you to receive into your custody the body of Ebenezer Smith Plat herewith sent you, charged before me upon the oaths of Richard Seriven and Samuel Burnet with High Treason at Savannab in the Colony of Georgia in North America, and you are to keep him safe untill he shall be delivered by due course of law, and for so doing this shall be your Warrant.

Given under my hand and seal this 23d day of January 1777.

W. Addington.

L. S.

of the king, or levying war against His Majesty in the realm, or counterfeiting the king's cain, or felony for stealing the goods of such a one to fuch a value, and the like. A court may then judge, whether the offence is such, for which a prisoner ought to be admitted to bail. If then a justice living in the capital, under the immediate eye and direction of ministers, is guilty of such an illegal commitment, what is not to be dreaded from the base engines, and slavish tools, of power in the more remote counties? Is it possible, sir, for too great caution to be used by enforcing in the body of a Bill, which is to suspend the Habeas Cortus act. the necessity of + an eath, of two witnesses to the charge,

† The abuse of power in the illegal treatment, which Mr. Wilkes experienced in his own person in April 1763, gave additional energy to his reasoning, and pointed every argument. There was no accusation on oath against him, either before the first warrant of apprehension, or the commitment to the Tower. He was not admitted to see any one of his accusers, or witnesses. His Speech in the House of Commons on the first day of the subsequent Session, Nov. 15, 1763, states the enormity of the proceedings against him to fully, that I shall give it entere.

Mr. Speaker,

I think it my duty to lay before the House a few facts, which have occurred fince our last meeting, be-

caple,

charge, and of their being confronted with the

M 2

The

cause, in my kumble opinion, which I shall always submit to this House, the rights of all the Commons of England, and the privileges of Parliament, have in my person been highly violated. I shall at present content myself with barely stating the fasts, and leave the mode of proceeding to the wisdom of the House.

On the 10th of April in the morning I was made a prisoner in my own house by some of the King's messengers. I demanded by what authority they forced their way into my room, and was theren a warrant iffued, without outh, by Lord Halifax, Secrestary of State, in which no person was named in particular, but generally the " authors, printers, and pub-" lifters, of a feditious and treasonable paper, entitled "the North Briton, No. 45." The meffengers infifted on my going before Lord Hallfax, which I absolutely refused, because I knew the warrant was illegal. I applied by my friends to the Court of Common Pleas for a Habeas Corpus, which was granted, but as the coroper office was not then open, it could not immediately iffue. I was afterwards carried by violence before the Earls of Egremout and Halifax, whom I informed of the orders given by the Court of Common Pleas for a Habeas Corpus, and when I was ordered into another apartment, I enlarged on this subject a confiderable time to Mr. Webb, the Solicitor of the Trealury. I was confronted with no witness, nor did I fee any accuser. I was carried to the Tower by virtue of another warrant, issued likewise quithout wath, which declared me "the author, and publisher of a most infamous and seditions libel, entitled the « North The case of P'at, Sir, gives us an instance of another violation of the law, an evasion of the Habeas Corpus act, that holy statute, which ministers hold in abhorrence, and are now al-

lowed

" North Briton, No. 45." The word treasonable was dropped. I was however with great strictness detained a close prisoner, and no person suffered to come near me for almost three days, although my counsel and several friends demanded admittance, in order to concert the means of recovering my liberty. My house was plundered, my bureaus broke open, by order of two of your members, Mr. Wood and Mr. Webb. and all my papers carried away. After fix days imprisonment, I was discharged by the unanimous judgment of the Court of Common Pleas, that the privilege of this House extended to my case. Notwithstanding this folemn decision of one of the King's superior courts of justice, a few days after I was ferved with a Subpana upon an Information exhibited against me in the King's Bench. I loft no time in confulting the best books as well as the greatest living authorities, and from the truest judgment I could form, I thought the ferving me with a Subpana was another violation of the privilege of Parliament, which I will neither defert nor betray, and therefore I have not yet entered an appearance.

I now stand in the judgment of the House, submitting with the utmost deterence the whole case to their justice and wisdom, and beg leave to add, that if, after this important business has in its full extent been marturely weighed, you shall be of opinion that I am entitled to privilege, I shall then be not only ready, but eagerly desirous to wave that privilege, and to put myself upon a Jury of my countrymen. Towed in England to evade with impunity, in America, I fear, to suspend for very near a twelvemonth. The history of it is this. Plat was first confined to the Antelope for three months, then removed to the Boreas for four weeks. then carried on board the Pallas, and in her brought in irons to England. On her arrival at Portsmouth he was removed on board the Centaur for three weeks, then to the Barfleur. On the 4th of January last, an Habeas Corpus was obtained, directed to the captain of the Barfleur; but before it could be served, an express was sent from the treasury by their solicitor, and Plat was removed again to the Centaur before the Habeas Corpus could arrive at Portsmouth. The return to that Habeas Corpus was thus eluded; but on his friends declaring that they were determined to fue out another, Plat was at last fent to the capital, and in the illegal mode, which I have stated, committed to Newgate. † I speak, Sir, in the hearing of many M 3 gen-

† Mr. Plat was returned to the Judges at the Old Bailey, July 2, 1777, as one of the "Middlesex" Prisoners upon orders," in the custody of the Keeper of Newgate. "No. 22. Ebenezer Smith Plat, committed Jan. 23, 1777, by W. Addington, Esq.

gentlemen, who ought to contradict me; if I have advanced a fingle circumstance not founded in truth. Can ministers, Sir, who are capable of thus trampling on our most sacred laws, be too narrowly watched, too deeply fulpedied, too firengly guarded against? Do we not owe it to the people to demand every feeurity from the fanction of an oath, the number of witnesses, the confronting of them withthe prisoner, the hearing him in his own justiheation, and other circumstances, of which not the least trace is to be found in this criminal, arbitrary Bill? Is the perforal liberty of the subject to rest on the mere pretended suspicione of a man, who acts probably under the orders of a profesied ministerial agent, ever ready to make his court to power by the facrifice of public virtue and innocence, whose incapacity perhaps can only be equalled by his meannefs and fordid luft of gain?

I re-

" Court of King's Bench, and remanded."

[&]quot;charged, on oath of Richard Scriven and Samuel # Burnet, with High Treason at Savannak in the Co-

[&]quot; lony of Georgia in North America; ordered to re-

as main on his commitment. Was on the 12th days

[&]quot; of May taken by Habeas Corpus into His Majesty's

I regret, fir, the indecent rage, the extravagant madness, with which every measure, and in particular the Bill in question, has been carried on against the Americans. It precludes every possibility of a reconciliation, so ardently to be wished. All the measures respecting America, and this Bill in particular, as it was at first brought in, bear the strongest marks of a regular system of despotism among our rulers. They originate from the latent, dark, all-controuling power of the + man, who

† Mr. Pitt made use of this expression in the House of Commons, speaking of the Earl of Bute. Junius says, "Leva Bute was not of a temper to relinquish power, though he retired from employment. Scipulations were certainly made between your Grace [the Duke of Bedford] and him, and certainly violated. After two years submission, you thought you had collected a strength sufficient to controul his insufference, and that it was your turn to be a tyrant, because you had been a slave. When you found your felf missaken in your opinion of your gracious masses frimness, disappointment got the better of all your humble discretion, and carried you to an excess of outrage to his person, as distant from true spirit, as from all secency and respect."

In a note Junius adds, "the Ministry having en-"deavoured to exclude the Denvager out of the Re-

e gency Bill, the EARL OF BUTE DUTERMINED TO

wants wildom, and holds principles incompatible with freedom. Let us advert, fir, for a moment to the difference of two cases in point, the suspicion only of high treason in America, and the actual charge of it here. A man only suspected of high treason in America, for instance, the giving aid or assistance to the Congress, or to any of the King's enemies, may on coming over to England be committed to prison, and by this Bill continue there without bail or mainprize, or being able to bring on his trial for near a year, till January 1, 1778, to which time this bill is tocontinue in force. In this kingdom a manfuspected, or even actually charged with High Treason in conspiring the death of the King, or levying war in the realm, may have an Ha-

beas.

[&]quot;DISMISS THEM. Upon this the Duke of Bedford demanded an audience of the -----, reproached

[&]quot;him in plain terms, with his duplicity, baseness,

[&]quot; falshood, treachery, and hypocrify, --- repeatedly gave

[&]quot; him the lie, and left him in convultions."

Junius, vol. I. p. 171.

When the King came out of the closet, His Majesty appeared in very great agitation, and said to one of his fevents, "THE FELLOW has done every thing but "frike me."

beas Corpus, and be bailed by the Court of King's Bench. The suspicion therefore of American treason seems a deeper crime in the judgment of our present ministers, than an overt act of English, or more probably Scottish, treason, or rebellion against his Majesty's person, title, crown, or dignity. It must be thought, sir, a deeper crime, because it is more grievously punished. Do we imagine the Americans will not retaliate, or do we vainly hope to intimidate them? Their cause is good, and after all the idle tales of our late. visionary successes, the justice of it must in the end prevail. They are now nobly struggling under the sharpest sufferings, but I trust they have steady zeal and unwearied perseverance. In all events, the first moment of a foreign war neceffarily obliges us to withdraw our fleets and armies. Every part of North America must then be free and independent. This Bill can only irritate, and will not intimidate. It will probably be answered by a spirited resolution of the Congress-Would to God, fir, the British Parliament equalled that Congress of Heroes, that more than Roman senate, in wisdom, in fortitude,

fortitude, in love of their country, in uncorruptedness, in public virtue!

The fecond enacting clause of the Bill, fir, impowers " his Majesty, by warrant under 46 his fign manual, to appoint one or more 46 places of confinement, within the realm, for es the custody of such prisoners, and all and every magistrate or magistrates, having com-44 petent authority in that behalf, are hereby 46 authorised to commit such persons as afore-" faid to fuch place or places of confinement, 66 fo to be appointed, instead of the common e gaol." This clause may operate in a manner more to be dreaded than any banishment, or confinement out of the realm. A power, which may be thus grossly abused, ought not to be trusted without restriction to any man. A person only suspected, or pretended to be so, may be doomed to a damp and noxious dungeon, in the midst of putrid marshes, or on the most swampy coaft. He may be stifted in a vault, to whose foul mouth no healthsome air breathes in. I, fir, perhaps may at last be suspetted, and possibly it will not be a slight suspiciin. I have formerly experienced an illegal, chofe,

*clefe, and rigorous imprisonment in the Towers, but by this Bill I may be sent to the gloomy wastes and deserts of the North, to the barbarous-Highlands of Scetland, or among the sawages in the dreary Isla of Bute, from whose bourn I am sure I should never return, even as a traveller, much less as a prisoner. Is this clause ingeniously meant, sir, as a new mode of repeopling that ancient, abandoned kingdom?

Much has been faid, fir, both in the Committee and in the House about a Distator, and his extensive powers. Many periods of the Roman History have been retailed out to us minutely enough, and they have furnished many parallels. Comparisons between that virtuous republic, and this corrupt monarchy, are generally, in my opinion, more brilliant than folid, more beautiful than just. A Right +Honorable Gentleman under the gallery has just observed that our glorious Deliverer, William III,

Was

The law of England appoints imprisonment in custodiam not in panam, acknowledges no class imprisonment, whereas I was kept with the most extreme rigour.

Algernon Sydney's apology in the day of his death. Page 173.

f Right Hon. Henry Ssymour Conway, Member for Midharft.

was a Dictator here after the suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act in his reign. Should the present Bill for the suspension of that act pass into a law, I shall regard the noble Lord with the blue ribband as the modern Dictator of this great empire, as possessed of the most ample and despotic powers. The first important act of public business in the Roman Distator was to name his coadjutor in office, the Magister Equitum, or General of Horse. If public gratitude has any weight with the Distator here, I am fure for fuch an office he will immediately fix his eyes on the & noble Lord at his right hand, who, to his immortal honour, with great and invincible courage, advanced and charged the enemies of our country at the head of the British horse. In one particular respecting the Dictator of ancient times, I defire to fet right a very 1 high Law-Officer among us. All the Roman magistrates were not, as he says, superseded by that creation. The Tribunes of the People, but they alone, preserved their authority, even under a Dictator. Īŧ

§ Lord George Germaine, in 1759 Lord George Sackville.

of Attorney-General, Edward Thurlow, Esq. Member for Tamworth.

It has been faid, fir, by another gentleman. who is likewise in a | great Law-Office, that in this House a discontented party have ridiculously given into a tone of Prophecy, which has never been accomplished, and that particularly about a year ago it was the case of the Right Honorable Gentleman, who spoke lately under the gallery. It is not I believe very parliamentary to quote words spoken in a former debate. But if that member's memory goes to a prophecy of one year, which has not been fulfilled, he will permit mine a fair excursion to another Prophecy of his own, fix years ago, which has been exactly verified. His Proplecy in this House was, that if the violent measures against the Americans were perfifted in, the colonies, which formed so great a strength to this kingdom in the reign of George II, would be different from the British empire in the reign of George III. No Prophecy, fir, ever received a more perfect accomplishment. This gentleman won-

won-

Junius, vol. 2. p. 185,

^{||} Solicitor-General, Alexander Wedderburne, Esq; Member for Okehampton.

As for Mr. Wedderburne, there is fomething about bim, which even treachery cannot truft.

derfully possesses the fecond fight of his native country. How deeply criminal he and others have been in the bringing his Prophecy to pass, I hope this House will one day enquire.

A very extraordinary observation of the same gentleman in the prefent debate, amidft a variety of heterogeneous matter, it is impossible for me not to mention. He has laughed at universal benevolence, and endeavoured to demonstrate the impossibility of its existence. But, fir, he has only given us the narrow, contracted, selfish ideas of his own heart, and his own *country. His fentiments and his feelings are confined to a very fmall infignificant circle indeed. They are merely Clannifs and Scottifb. His remarks I saw excited a general indignation in this House. An Englishman has ideas infinitely more liberal and enlarged. His heart expands itself, and takes in the gemeral good and prosperity of mankind. It feels

Junius. Preface, p. 25.

[•] We must be conversant with the Scots in private life, and observe their principles of acting to us, and to each other; --- the characteristic prudence, the schiss nationality, the indefatigable simile, the persevering assiduity, the everlasting profession of a discreet and moderate resentment.

feels not the rancour, and disdains the injustice, of such a cruel, persecuting Bill, as the object of this day's debate. It forms the warmest wishes for the liberty and happiness of every individual of this late flourishing empire. Univerfal benevolence, and a generous spirit of humanity, have been no less the characteristics of the inhabitants of the Southern parts of this island, than that good-nature, for which foreigners have not even a name. I will only add, fir, that I think the most beautiful sentence of all antiquity is that, which was received with such applause by the generous and free Roman people, and an English senate will furely adopt against every measure of oppression and cruelty, home sum, humani nihil à me alienum pate,

THE

THE PROTEST OF

THE EARL OF ABINGDON,

Entered February 24, 1777,

On the Journals of the House of Lords.

Dissentient,

FIRST, Because I look upon this Bill, not only as a part of that system of Colony-Government, so inimical to Civil Liberty, so repugnant to the first and sundamental principles of the Constitution, so ruinous in its measures, so shocking to humanity, and so averse from that now exploded virtue of universal benevolence, but because I see herein that system coming home to ourselves, and with hasty

hafty steps pointing its dangers, even to-

Secondly, Because the Bill itself is attended with powers subversive of and unknown to the laws of the land, by apprehending persons, it may be, on groundless suspection, by imprisoning perhaps the innocent, without the usual and necessary form of a single oath; and not too in the common gaol of the county, but in whatever part of the realm, be it ever so distant, that persecution shall think fit to adopt.

Thirdly, Because, although the Ryder, which has been added by the other House, does in some degree abate the rigour of this harsh and alarming Bill, yet it does not sufficiently provide for the security of his Majesty's loyal subjects, the inhabitants of the West-India Islands under its baneful operation; nay, even any individual of this country, who shall venture on the High Seas, if only to make the tour of the Hebrides, may become the object of suspicion, and the victim of vengeance.

Vol. I.

N

Laftly,

Laftly, Because the hour is come, if from motives of policy only, that coercion to leniey should give way.

(Signed)

ABINGDON. *

This noble Earl has been one of the most steady and intrepid affertors of liberty in this age. England has effential obligations to the family of the Earl of Abingdon. When the Prince of Orange was at Exeter in great difficulties foon after his landing, when, 46 both the clergy and magistrates of Exeter were very " fearful, and very backward, when the Bishop and "the Dean ran away, and the Clergy flood off," as Bishop Burnet says, Lord Abingdon came in and joined our glorious Deliverer. The same ardour for freedom glows in the breast of his noble descendant, with an almost unexampled spirit and activity. The citizens of Geneva, as well as the people of England, dwell with pleasure on the praise of a peer, who has made the most generous efforts for them in the cause of public liberty, and often facrificed the pleasures and enjoyments of social life to their interests. No gentleman was ever more formed to please and captivate in private life than the present Lord Abingdon, or has been more deservedly, more generally esteemed and beloved. He possesses true honour in the highest degree, has generous fentiments of friendship, and to superior manly sense joins the most easy wit, with a gaiety of temper, which diffuses universal chearfulness. It is impossible not to be charmed with the happy prodigality of nature, in his favour, but every confideration yields with him to a warm attachment to the laws and constitution of England.

(179)

SPEECHES.

1775	Jan.	26	+		•	•	Page 3	
	Feb.	1		•	•	•	•	4
		6	•		•	•	•	11
		23		-	•	•	•	31
	March	29	•		•	•	•	64
	Oa.	26		-	•	4	•	64
	Nov.	27	•		•	•	.	74
1776	March	2 Į		-	•	•	₹.	85
	April	30	-		-	•	•	111
	Oa.	3 İ		*	•	-	•	127
1777	Feb.	17	4		-	-	•	148
	P	R	0	T	E	s T	•	
¥777	Feb.	24	-		. .	•	•	176

END OF THE FIRST VOLUME.

THE

SPEECHES

IOHN WILKES.

One of the Knights of the Shire for the

COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX,

In the Parliament appointed to meet at

Westminster the 29th day of November 1774, to the

Prorogation the 6. day of June 1777.

With notes by the Editor.

VOL. II.

LONDON.

1777.

Votes of April 16, 1777.

The House was moved, "That the Order "made upon Wednesday last, for referring "the several Accounts I respecting the Civil

"Lift] which were then presented to the

"House, by the Lord North, by His Ma" jesty's Command, to the Committee of

"the whole House, to whom it was refer-

" red to confider further of the Supply

46 granted to His Majesty," might be read.

And the faid Order being read accordingly;

A motion was made and the Question being put, "That the said Order be discharged;"

It passed in the Negative.

Mr. Wilkes faid,

Mr. Speaker,

HERE is not a Gentleman in this House, or in the kingdom, more anxious than I am that the splendor and dignity of the crown of England should be maintained in its truest.

Vol. II. B lustre,

lustre, although for above a course of fifteen years I have received from the Crown only a fuccession of injuries, and never in any moment of my life the flightest favour. I had the honour, fir, of a feat in this House, when the affair of the Civil List was first apitated in Parliament in the beginning of his present Majesty's reign, when every good subject hoped to have more than the idea of a Patriot King. I then acquiesced in the proposed grant. The acceptance of an annuity of 800,000l. and the giving up to the public the ancient, hereditary revenues of the crown, originated from the Throne. It was proposed to this House in the usual mode by Mr. Legge, then chancellor of the Exchequer. Parliament adopted the propolition, and it was accepted with gratitude by the King. The ministers of that time declared to this House the King's entire satisfaction, and that his Majesty should be happy to be delivered from the difagreeable necessity of ever applying to Parliament, like his predecessors, to make good the desidencies of the Civil Lift. It was admissed that the allowance was competent, ample, mich fully adequate to the wants, and even to the iplendour

door of the crown. Parliament granted all the Sovereign alked, and made the grant in The very mode proposed by the minister. The Civil Lift Act expressly declares in the preamble, that 800,000l. per annum; 46 was a certain and competent revenue for defraying ** the expences of his Majesty's Civil Government, and supporting the dignity of the * crown of Great Britain." The nation chought themselves allured of not paying more Than 800,000l. per annium to the Civil List, and gave that fum chearfully for the trappings of royalty. In the Speech at the close of that fession our gracious young Monarch told us From the Throne, that he could not + sufficient , B 2 thank

The same duil, threathene, vulgar expression, "I cannot sufficiently thank my faithful Commons," is repeated in the King's Speech at the end of the last testion, June 6, 1777. "I cannot sufficiently thank my faithful Commons for the zeul and public spirit suith which you have granted the large and extraor- dinary supplies, &c., &c." Will there never he a sufficiency of money from his faithful Commons to the King, or of thanks from the King to his faithful Commons, or are they both to proceed pari passe till the poor people of this country find their unsaithful representatives have given away the very power of giving? The sufficiency of 1760 did not suffice to 1769.

thank us, and that be thought limself much obliged to us for what more immediately concerned himself. By this bargain, sir, with the public it was generally understood, and indeed admitted at that time, that his Majesty would be a gainer of near 7,000l. per annum. The noble Lord with the blue ribband has unfairly drawn his calculations from only the last eight years of the late King's reign. He ought to have ataken the whole of that reign together. In some years the Civil List was very deficient; in others it greatly exceeded the fum of · 800,000l. As this is peculiarly a day of dry calculation, I will observe that from the accounts delivered in to Parliament, it appeared, that in the 33 years of George the Second's reign, from Midsummer 1727 to Midsummer 1760, the Civil List produced only 25, 182, 9811. whereas 800,000l. for 33 years amounts to 26,400,000l. fo that, there is a deficiency of 217,019l, The gain therefore on a net re-

The fufficiency of 1769 did not suffice to 1777. How long will the sufficiency of 1777 suffice? When will be the next demand, and of consequence the next grant of money from his saithful Commons, and of consequence the next "I cannot sufficiently thank my saithful Commons?"

venue of 800,000l. is on an average above 6,576l. a year. The fum of 800,000l. was at that time thought abundantly sufficient to support the splendor of the crown, and the Majesty of this great people. His Majesty has received besides 172,605l. the arrears of the late King's Civil List, 100,000l. on account of Somerset-house, and an additional grant of 513,511l. in the year 1769, to discharge all incumbrances. The death of the Princess Dowager of Wales was a faving of 60,000l. a year, and the Duke of York 12,000l. a year. Yet, fir, we are now told of another debt of 618,340l, and called upon to pay that likewise, notwithstanding the former bargain with the public. The very proposal implies another violation of jublic faith. Sir, I will venture to fay, if we are indeed just trustees for the people, if we conscientiously reflect that their wealth is intrusted to our care, that we are the guardians of the public purse, we ought to stop this growing evil, and reprobate the idea of suffering their money to be thus fquandered, as well as the country drained by a variety of taxes. I must add, fir, taxes imposed to supply a pro-B 3

fusion, which arises from a violation of a folemn compact with the nation, and renderes the limitation of the expenses of the crowns by Parliament the most vague and absurd of alkpropositions. The power of controul of the expenses of the crown is the being and lifeof Parliament. What traces do we nowfind of the existence of this power? Are theaccounts on our table proofs of our boastedaccounty? and is meanness thus nearly allied to prodigality?

There is at prefent, fir, a peculiar cruelty in thus endeavouring to fleece the people, when we are involved in a most expensive, aswell as unnatural and ruinque, Civil War, and burthened with an enormous load of national debt, the interest of which even weare scarcely able to stand under. Is there nofeeling for the sufferings of this impoverished: country? Are the people really nothing in the scale of government? The principal of the national debt is stated to us at Midsummer 1775 to amount to the affonishing sums of 135,943,0511, and the interest to 4,440,8211. " Is this the time, fir, that a minister can with an unembarrafied countenance come to Farliament

ment to lay additional loads on an exhaufted nation, and to alk more of the people's meney? When the greatest sources of our commore and wealth are destroyed by the folly and wickedness of administration, when we have already spent in this unjust war above ninsteen millions, when above half our empire is lost, and those Amorican friends, who have affifted us to frequently and to powerfully, are forced by our injustice to become determined enemies, and for their own fafesy to endeavour our humiliation, are we at such a mamont as this to talk of the greatness of the crown, a crown florn of half its beams. Are we to hear of the happy state of the nation, when we have lost more than we have retained of this divided empire, when new taxes and additional burdens on the people, are the most important objects of government?" Is the Civil Lift to increase in proportion to the loss of all those resources of tradeand riches, by which it is fed and nourished? Is the nature of the Civil Lift in the body politic analogous to what Lord Bacon fays of the Spicen, that it increases in proportion to B 4 the

the walte, decay, and rapid confumption of the other parts of the human body?

Sir, we ought to look back to what former Princes and Parliaments have done. I wilk take the confideration only from the gloriouszera of the Revolution, and it shall be stated fairly and sully. The Civil List was not granted to King William for life till the year 1698, when 700,000k a year was settled on him. The distractions of his government, and of all Europe at that period, are welk known. His most generous views for the public were thwarted at home during the greatest part of his reign by the *Tories, as the friends of liberty are now harrassed by them.

G. WASHINGTON.

Trenton, Jan. 1, 1777.

^{*} His Excellency General Washington strictly forbids all the officers and soldiers of the Continental. Army, of the Militia, and all recruiting parties, plundering any person whatsoever, whether Tories or others. The effects of such persons will be applied topublic uses in a regular manner; and it is expected that bumanity and tenderness to avomen and children will' distinguish brave Ameri ans, contending for liberty, from infamous mercenary ravagers, whether British or Hessians.

them in America, according to the late orders of General Washington to the Continental army, and his spirited letters to the Congress. Queen Anne had the same revenue settled upon her. She did not ask the additional fum of 100,000l. to her Civil List, but she gave unasked out of it yearly 100,000l. towards carrying on the war, a war against France, besides 200,000l. at least towards the building of Blenheim-house, and above 100,000l. for the support of the poor Palatines. We have a resolution of this House, fir, on a report from a Committee, which states this very fully. It is on the Journals of May 13, 1715, and in the following

Ino. Cofins Ogden, Aid-de-Campu

Did any Monarch in Europe begin this year with fuch a glorious act of humanity, and regard to the public, as Dictator Washington in America?

Greenwich, March 16, 1777.

[&]quot;The bearer hereof, Nehemiah Lifcome, being an infamous Tory, and profess enemy to the United States of America, and consequently having no right to a

residence or dwelling on this Continent, is hereby

ordered to remove himself immediately to Long. Island, &c, &c, &c.

By order of Major Gen. Wooster,

lowing words, "Refolved, that the fum of 5 700,000l. per annum was settled upon kis " late Majesty King William during his life, of for the support of his Majesty's honsehold. " and other his necessary occasions; and, at 66 the time of his Majesty's demise, after the 66 deduction of 2,700l. a week, that was ap-65 plied to the public uses, was the produce of " the Civil List revenues, that were continued. 46 and settled upon her late Majesty Queen "Anne, during her life." The deduction for public fervices of 3,700l. a week, or-192,400l. a year, from that part of the Civil List revenue called the "Hereditary and Temporary Excise" was first made in the last year of King William. Notwithstanding this deduction the Civil List Funds produced in that very year 700.420l. In the first of Queen Anne the fame Funds with the fame deductions were fettled on her for life, and declared to be for raising 700,000k. for the support of . her household, and the dignity of her government. In the oth of her reign the old Postoffice act was repealed, and a new General Post-office with higher rates was established, in confideration of which another deduction W2\$

was made from the Civil List revenue of 700l. a week, or 36,400l. a year. Ruths these deductions have ever since been consinued.

George I. had the same revenue settled upon him as Queen Anne, but if 300,000l. paid him by the Royal Exchange and London Assurance Companies, and a million granted in 1726, towards paying his debts, are included, his income will appear to have been nearly 800,000l. per annum. In the first speech to his Parliament he took notice. 64 That it was his happiness to see a Prince of 66 Wales, who may, in due time, succeed to 66 the throne, and to see him blessed with many "children." Yet the establishment of the Civil Lift at the beginning of that reign was only fettled at 700,000l. a year. It was not sill after the great expences confequent on the rebellion of the Earl of Mar, and the other perjured Scats, who, although they had taken the eaths to his government, traiteroully, waged open and impious war against a mild: and just Sovereign, that the Parliament paid the King's debts. In the reign of George 1. the

the Prince of Wales had an establishment of 100,000l. per annum.

George II. had a very numerous family, and 800,000l. was at first settled upon him, with whatever furplus might arise from the duties and allowances composing the Civil List revenues. In 1736, that part of the hereditary and temporary excise, which confisted of duties on Spirituous Liquors, was taken from the Civil List, in consideration of which 70,000l. was transferred to it from the aggregate fund. The income of George II. including 115,000l. granted in 1729, and 456,733l. in 1747, towards making good the deficiencies, which had arisen in the Civil List duties, was 810,7491. per annum, for 33 His late Majesty likewise had in his reign a Scottish rebellion, carried on by many of the same traitors, who had been pardoned by his father. The expence of that rebellion to the King and kingdom was enormous, for it was not confined to the extremities of the island, but raged in the heart of the kingdom, and the rebels advanced to within a hundred miles of the capital. Such an event, fir, not unfereseen, because foretold, was a just ground for

For the Parliament's discharging a debt contracted by securing to us every thing dear to men and Englishmen.

The establishment of the present King, at the yearly rent charge to the nation of 800,000l. was a measure at the time equally pleafing both to the Prince and people. The minister boasted that there was not a possibility of any future dispute about the hereditary re-... venues, or concerning accounts suspected to be false, wilfully erroneous, or deceitful, kept back, or anticipated, to ferve a particular purpose. I am aware, sir, that the Civil List revenues have been increasing for many years. The mean annual produce for the last five years of George II. was 829,150l. and for the first six years of his present Majesty, it would have been, had the establishment in the late reign continued, 894,000l. In 1775, it would have been i, org, 450l. Near 90,000l. per annum of this great increase has been produced by an increase in the Post-office revenue, occasioned chiefly by the late alteration in the manner of franking, and by the falling in of the cross posts to the public by the death of Mr. Allen; but these profits would proba-.: :: ;

bly, at least certainly ought to, have been referved to the public, had the effablishment in the late reign been continued. At the foot of one of the accounts on our table it is Plated, "The amount of 800,000l. granted " to his Majesty from the 25th of Oct. 1760, 45 to the 5th of January 1777, is 12,965,517% *6 49. 9d. 4. The produce as above exceeds 46 the annuity by 2,381,241l. ps. 1d. 2. Bot Marliament granted to pay off the Civil Lift debt, on the 5th of Jan. 1769, out of the 34 fupplies for the year 1769, 513,5111. which st being dedocted shews the gain to the public " to be, 1,867,730l. gs. 1d. 2." The bargain concluded for the public was of an annuity to the King of a clear 800,000l. subject to no deductions, or contingencies for his life, on a folemn promise of that being made to bear all the expences of the Civil Lift, and the Royal household. It was a fair compact of finance between the King and the subject, ratified by both parties. The most explicit affurances were given by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, in the King's name, that no more should be asked, and that now his Majefty could never be under the disagreeable neceffity

cellity of importuning this House with meltages of personal concern.

I have, fir, carefully examined the accounts Taid before this House, by his Majesty's command, the eight folio books, as well as the other I will venture to key they are as loofe, unfatisfactory, perplexed, and uninteldigible as those delivered in by the noble Lord with the blue ribband in 1770, a year after the former demand to pay the debts on the Civil Lift. I am lute, fit, more loofe, unfatisfictory, perplexed, and unintelligible no accounts can be. Their defectivenels and fullacy is highly cutpable. The coming to Parliament or that time with fuch a domand, but without any account whatever, was an infull to stris House, and the new laying before on such avocanas as shelle on the value is a laterya meckery. Many gentlemen in the House declaied the luft week their opinion, thut, after the firicial examination, they could make morning of shole former accounts. It was not intended they drould. One particular analy fixed my attention as an individual. Under the head of fecret and special fernice, I find that hetween Och. 1760, and Och. 1763, a mest memor.able

Martin, Esq; 41,000l. We have indeed, sir, had a week allowed to go through these accounts, but I will venture to affirm that a year would not be sufficient to clear them from their studied perplexity, to give order and light to such a chaos. The most able accomptants do not pretend to understand them. They would puzzle a De Moivre. Ægyptian darkness hangs over the whole. There is not one friendly ray of light to lead us through this labyrinth.

No account, fir, whatever is given Parliament of the other confiderable revenues of the crown, befides the annuity of 800,000l. I do not mean the income of the Electorate of Hanover, or Bishoprick of Osnabrug, but what his Majesty enjoys as King of England. That is a fair consideration with us, when the House are providing for the support of the lustre of the crown, at present, I sear, a little tarnished. The extraordinary revenues of the Crown are, the revenue of Ireland, the Duchy of Cornwall, the land revenue within the principality of Wales, the revenue of Gibraltar, American quit-rents, now

now generally lest, irredeemably lest, the Plantation duties of 41. # per cent. from the Leeward islands, fines, forfeitures, and many other particulars, which certainly carry the Royal income to much above one million a year. We may form some guesses from the grants we find made. From the revenue of the Duchy of Cornwall it appears that 17,000l. issued to Mr. Bradshaw in one year, and 21,000l. in another. From the 41. 2 per cent. in 1769, for his Majesty's special service, 14,7421. to Sir Grey Cooper. In 1771, John Robinson, Esq; received 10,000l. of the Virginian quit-rents, the last payment I believe of that nature. Sir Grey Cooper in 1760 received 2,144l. from the revenue of Gibraltar, and in 1765 the sum of 13,804l. was issued thence for special service. Such copious streams must flow from rich and abundant fountains. The Plantation duties of 41. 1 per cent. produced in 1753, the sum of 27,3771. Fines and forfeitures are a very confiderable addition to the Royal revenue. I was plundered in one year of toool. by two fines, one of soci, for a pretended libel, and another of the same sum, because I had a Vol. II. lauzhable

tlaughable poem locked up in my bureau, which

† The late Speaker of the House of Commons, Sir John Cust, the weakest as well as the most abject of all ministerial tools even in that House, who by betraying the rights of the Commons expected to become a Lord, contrived in conjunction with a Mr. Filmer, Clerk of the King's Bench Treasury, to hold out a languable poem to the nation as "biasphemy." When Mr. Wilkes was a prisoner at the bar of the House on the 31st of January 1769, he complained of this injustice.

Mr. Speaker,

I am forry to be obliged by the regard I have to truth, and the vindication of my honour, to take notice in this public manner of an injury repeatedly doue me by you, fir, in the Votes of this House, published to the nation by your authority, and in your name. I find it is afferted three times, in the Votes of last November, that there is a record of " blafberny" against me. I am fure that no fuch record ever existed. The rifertion is entirely void of truth. I am therefore neceffitated to make my appeal to the House against you. ir, for having charged me with being convicted of a crime, of which I am innocent, and spread an unjust accuration throughout the kingdom, under the fanction of the Speaker's authority. In the good old Speaker's [Onflow's] time, when any mistake accidentally, and none ever but by accident THEN appeared in the Votes, the error was not only always acknowledged with candoor, but speedily rectified. The false charge against me in so unjustifiable a manner still remains on your Votes in full force. I feel it, fir, as I ought; but I fub-

which administration hired a trastal of a fervant to steal, and then they contrived to have published.

C 2

The

fubmit to the willow and juffice of this Haufenbermile of reperation of my injured honour.

Journals. Jan. 31, 1769, vol. 32. page 169.

Ordered, That the Entries in the Fates of the Titles of the Copies of the Records presented to this House upon the 23d day of November last, he so altered, as particularly to express, that the words "for a libel" and for "blassbemy," contained in the said Entries, were part of the titles indersed by the Officer, [Mr. Filmer] who presented the said Copies, and no part of the said records; nor intended to convey any opinion of the House concerning them.

In the first debate on the Middlesex elections in the present Parliament, Feb. 22, 1775, Charles Van, Esq. Member for Brecon, mentioned this record as for blassberny." Mr. Wilkes immediately called him to order, and desired the above extract from the Journals might be read. Mr. Van very ingenuously confessed his mistake.

Michael Curry. Not a printer, nor a printer's devil, would afterwards affociate with this fiend. He ran away from the capital first to Norwich, and afterwards to Brittol, where he delivered the world from one of the most wretched, as well as wicked, of the human race. On the 3d of August 1768, he made an affidavit at the Mansion House before the Lord Mayor Harley, which has been printed in all the papers. He was frequently with the peer, who is commonly called Jemmy Twit-

Good

The business of this, day, sir, is naturally branched out into two parts, both which certainly claim our strict attention. His Majesty's message points them out to us. The first is the outstanding debts, the second the increase of the establishment of the Civil List.

Refore

cher. In the affidavit he swears, "that when he told his 66 Lordship of the robbery he had committed on his Ma-" fter, Lord Sandwich antwered, You have faved the et nation, and you may depend on any thing that is in my forwer, that the inducement to him to commit the " robbery was the money offered him, and the large " promifes from these in power" His Lordship was then Secretary of State. The affidavit likewife states, "that Faden, and Hasiall, two known ministerial se agents, defired him to name any fum, and that " he might depend on being supported from any injury he might apprehend, and firmly rely on " being protected by those in power." Curry was afterwards examined at the bar of the House of Commons on the 31st of January 1769. He then declared. that he "lived for some time at the house of Philip Car-" teret Webb, Efq; Secretary to the Treasury, that he " was confined there, that Webb fent him to Car-" rington, one of the King's messengers, who regu-" larly every week supplied him with money, that "Webb said he might depend upon being taken cate of, that government would take care of him for fur-" rendering the copy, and giving the evidence against "Wilkes, that Carrington declared he was accountable " to government for the money he paid him, that Lord

Digitized by Google "Saus-

Before we proceed, fir, to take into confideration the payment of the King's debts, we ought to enquire in what manner they have been contracted. The King has enjoyed ever fince his accession the greatest unappropriated revenue of any prince in Europe, and the expenses

** Sandwich told him, be might depend on any thing in ** bis power, that he had loft his character, that no one ** would afterwards employ him, &c, &c."

There was not a man of honour in Europe acquainted with this black transaction, who did not blush for the conduct of the court of England on this occasion. Every liberal idea was facrificed to a perfonal pique of the Prince. The infamy of corrupting a fervant to rob his master, the baseness of confining a gentleman for a year in prison, and the meanness of picking his pocket of sool. because he had a loose poem locked up in a private closet, had not been known in the most despotic countries, and betrayed a Spirit of injustice, revenge, and cruelty. The fittelt agent was employed for such a bufiness, Jemmy Twitcher, then Secretary of State, bomo post bomines natos turpisimus, sceleratistimus, contaminatistimus. Even Lord Le Despencer, one of the first and most eager court vallals, who vowe vanconditional submission to the Thane, and swore fealty at the shrine of Bute, even he condemned the breach of honour and convivial friendship, without the pretext of any injury, or even previous quarrel, in his brother peer towards Mr. Wilkes. At the conclusion of the Secretary's speech in the House of Lords, Lord Le Despencer exclaimed

pences of the whole Royal Family have never exceeded 160,000l. a year. A Committee should be appointed for both the purposes mentioned, and papers very different from those before us ought to be submitted to Parliament. It is impossible for us now to form the slightest conjecture from these accounts in what way so enormous

aloud, " that he never before heard the devil preach " a fermon against fin."

A great writer observes, that every man has a right to have possions in his closes. The crime is in the wend-ine.

The conduct of Heavy IV. of France on the pablication in 1609 of the famous likel called L'He des Hermophredites, was worthy of that great King.

Ce petit libelle (qui étoit affez bien fait) sous le nomde cette îde imaginaire, découvroit les moeurs et fayons de faire impies et vicituses de la Cour, faisant voir clairement que la France est maintenant le repaire et l'asyle de tout vice, volupté, et impudance, au lieuque jadis elle étoit une academie honousable et seminaire de vertu. Le Roi la voulut voir et su le sit line; et encare qu'il le trouvât un peu libre et trop hardi, il se contenta nearmoins d'en apprendre se nom de l'auteur, qui etoit Arthus Thomas, loquel il ne voulut qu'en reeherchât, suissant conscience, disoit-il, de siècher un homme pour avoir dit la verité.

Journal du regne de Henri IV. Par M. Pierre de l'Etoile, Grand Audiencier en la Chancellerie de Paris. vol. III. p. 278, 279. Ed. La Haye 1741. enormous a debt as 618, 3401. has been contracted. It is aftonishing that there should remain in eash in the Exchequer on the 5th of Jan. last only 35,640l. The Queen has indeed 50,000l. a year very regularly paid; but the expense of the prince of Wales and the Bishop of Ospabrug is charged from 1769 to 1777 only 42,2421. Prince William Henry and Prince Edward, for the same period 5,0171. The King's meffage, fir, leads us to consider the state of the whole Royal Family-His Majesty has two brothers, universally beloved by the nation. I find no trace . f any debts contracted by the crown on their account; no princely grants to either of the King's own brothers. As an Englishman L regret the scantiness of their incomes. Duke of Gloucester feems doomed to pass his life abroad; and it is certainly neither from choice, nor from the ill state of his health. The Duke of Cumberland is happier, and lives in England. He possesses all the virtues, and supports with dignity the rank, of a private, benevolent, amiable Nobleman. His insome is by no means adequate to the splendor of a Prince of the Blood, of a Prince of the blood C 4

blood so near to the King as his Majesty's own Brother. How then, sir, has this enormous debt been contracted? No outward magnificence has dazzled our eyes; no internal, domestic profusion has been imputed to the †Lord Steward of the household, who almost alone has continued in office this whole reign. We have scarcely the appearance of a Court, even in the capital. Former Kings of England with very inferior revenues were generous and splendid, their courts pompous and brilliant.

[†] With the greatest unappropriated revenue of any Prince in Europe, have we not seen you reduced to such wile and fordid distresses, as would have conducted any other man to a prisen? Is it not notorious that the vast revenues, extorted from the labour and industry of your subjects, and given you to do honour to yourself and to the nation, are dissipated in corrupting their representatives?

Junius. Preface, p. 29.

In the debate in the House of Lords on the debts of the Civil List, April 16, 1777, Earl Talbot, Lord Steward of His Majesty's Household, the tear starting from his eye, told many a piteous tale of the distresses of the royal household, kitchen, and stables, of half-starved nurses, skullions, and grooms. His Lordship said, "he would finish those scenes of unutterable wase with a fact, which had happened very lately. The "King's coal-merchant declared, that he was so distressed for money, he was ready to turn his Mar, "jefty off."

brilliant. All princely and royal vifitors were lodged in their palaces, and splendidly entertained. § His Majesty's residence at Windsor the last summer did not quite revive all the ideas of the magnificence, and even hospitality, of the Plantagenets, nor efface all the glories of our Henries and Edwards. No stately buildings, or proud palaces, no imperial works, and worthy Kings, have excited the public wonder, or called foreigners from the continent to our island to admire the royal taste and magnificence. An thonourable gentleman, fir, tells us of the King's houses. The former Kings of England, fir, lived in palaces, not in houses. His Majesty has not yet had a Scottish rebellion to quell. The Royal revenues have not been expended against the Scots, but surrendered to them, an idea little suspected by the people of England, when they gave at first with such a liberal, and even prodigal hand. How then, fir, has this debt been contracted? There

are

⁵ When the King's Sifter, the Princess of Brunswick, paid the last visit to the court of England, Her Royal Highness was in ready furnished lodgings in Pall-Mall.

¹ Sir. Grey Cooper, Bart. Member for Saltash, Joint Secretary to the Treasury.

are no entinard and visible signs of grandeur and expense. I will tell the House what is said without doors, what the nation generally suspects, and therefore it becomes our duty to investigate. The nation, sir, suspects, that the regular, ministerial majorities is Parliament are bought by these very grants; that in one instance we attend to the evangelical precept, give, and it shall be given unto you, and that the Crown has made a purchase of this House with the money of the people. Hence the ready, tame, and service compliance to every Royal edict issued by the Minister. Inward tearruption is the carrier, which gnaws the vitals

[†] Other princes, besides his Majesty, have had the means of corruption within their reach, but they have used it with moderation. In former times corruption was considered as a foreign apailiary to government, and only called in upon extraordinary emergencies. The unseigned piety, the sanctified religion of George the Third have taught him to new model the civil forces of the state. The natural resources of the crown are no longer consided in. Corruption glitters in the van; --- collects and maintains a standing army of mercenaries, and, at the same moment, impoverishes and inslaves the country.--- His Majesty's predecessors (extent that worthy family, from which you, my Lord, the Duke of Grafton are unquestionably descended back

vitals of Parliament. It is almost universally believed, sir, that the debt has been contracted in corrupting the Representatives of the people, and that this public plunder has been divided among the majority of this House, which is allowed to be the most corrupt assembly in Europe, while the honest and fair creditors of the crown have been reduced to the greatest distress. Compassion to them is only made the pretext of the present message. This, sir, is a fit object of parliamentary enquiry.

The alarm has spread through the country. The charge is taken up by almost every independent man in the kingdom. It is asked, Did the

had fome generous qualities in their composition, with vices, I consess, or frailties in abundance. They were Kings, or gentlemen, not hypocrites or priests. They were at the head of the church, but did not know the value of their office. They said their prayers without ceremony, and had too little priesterast in their understanding, to reconcile the sanctimonious forms of religion with the utter destruction of the morality of their people.—My Lord, this is fact, not declamation.—With all your partiality to the house of Stuart, you must consess, that even Charles the Second would have blushed at those eager, meretricious caresses, with which every species of private vice and public proftitution is received at St. James's.

Junius, vol. 2, pages 249, 250.

the last parliamentary grant of 513,511l. fo lately as 1769, to pay the King's debts, give satisfaction to the honest tradesmen and inferior dependents of the crown, or was it diverted another way? The majority of this House, fir, ought not to lie under this sufpicion, nor will they, if they are innocent. They ought likewise to vindicate the honour of our Sovereign from the foul suspicions, which are gone abroad on this subject. A heavier accusation can scarcely be brought. Mr. Locke, fir, in his chapter on the Diffolution of Government, says, " He (the supreme Executor) acts " contrary to his trust, when he either employs the se force, treasure, and offices of the society, to corrupt the representatives, and gain them to " his purposes, or openly pre-engages the electors, " and prescribes to their choice, such, whom he " has by folicitations, threats, promises, or other-" wife won to his defigns; and employs them to bring in such, who have promised before-hand " what to vote, and what to enact." What, fir, was the case of Hine's Patent Place in the collection of the customs at Exeter, publicly fold, and the money given, not to a needy public, but to General Burgoyne, to reimburse him him the expences of the *Preston* election, and the subsequent prosecution and fine of 1000l. by a court of law, for the outrages committed in Lancashire against the facred rights of election? *That* instance alone merited an impeachment from parliament against the † profligate minister of that day.

+ The Duke of Grafton.

Junius addresses his Grace in terms almost of inspiration. "Your cheek turns pale; for a guilty conscience tells you, you are undone.—Come forward, thou virtuous minister, and tell the world by what interest Mr. Hine has been recommended to so extraordinary a mark of his Majesty's favour; what was the price of the patent he has bought, and to what honourable purpose the purchase money has been applied. Nothing less than many thousands could pay Colonel Burgoyne's expences at Preston."

Junius, vol. 2. p. 21.
No fale by the candle was ever conducted with greater formality.---I affirm that the price, at which the place was knocked down (and which, I have good reason to think, was not less than 3,500l.) was, with your connivance and consent, paid to Colonel Burgoyne, to reward him, 1 presume, for the decency of his deportment at Preston. Page 23.

Mr. Taylor and George Rofs (the Scotch agent and worthy confidante of Lord Mansfield) managed the bufiness. Page 24.

The chaste Duke of Grafton had commenced a profecution against Mr. Samuel Vaughan, for endeavouring to corrupt his integrity by an offer of 5000l. for a patent If there is, sir, a spark of virtue lest among us, we cannot sit down contented with such loose general accounts, that feeret and special service, the Privy Purse, the Treasurer of the chamber

patent place in Jamaica. A rule to thew cause, why

an information should not be exhibited against Vaughan for certain mildemeanours, being granted by the Court of King's Bouch, the matter was folemnly argued on the 27th of November, 1769, and, by the unanimous opinion of the four judges, the rule was made absolute. The pleadings and speeches were accurately taken in short-hand, and published. The whole of Lord Mansfield's Speech, and particularly the following extracts from it, deserve the reader's attention. "A practice of the kind complained of here is certain-46 ly dishonourable and scandalous .--- If a man, stand--" ing under the relation of an officer under the King, " or of a person in whom the King puts confidence, or of a minister, takes money for the use of that " confidence the King puts in him, he basely betrays " the King, --- he betrays his truft .--- If the King fold " the office, it would be acting contrary to the trust "the conflitution both reposed in him. The confli-"tution does not intend the crown should fell those offices, to raise a revenue out of them .- .- Is it possible " to hefitate, whether this would not be criminal in " the Duke of Grafton ; --- contrary to his duty as a " privy-counfellor ;---contrary to his duty as a mi-" nifter ; --- contrary to his duty as a fubject .--- His 44 advice should be free according to his judgment; --it is the duty of his office; --- he has fworn to it." Notwithstanding all this the chaste Duke of Grafton Digitized by Google

chamber, the Cofferer of the Household, Royal bounties, pensions and annualies, swallow up almost the whole Civil List. There is a general charge of pensions to the amount of 438,000l. The +Pension List is the great grievance.

certainly fold a patent place to Mr. Hine, for 3, sool. and, for so doing, is now Lord Privy Seal to the chaste George, with whose piety we are perpetually deafened. If the House of Commons had done their duty, and impeached the black Duke for this most infamous breach of truft, how woefully muk poor, boneft Mansfield have been puzzled! His embarrassment would have afforded the most ridiculous scene, that ever was exhibited. To fave the worthy judge from this perplexity, and the no less worthy Duke from impeachment, the protecution against Vaughan was immediately dropped upon my diffcovery and publication of the Duke's treachery. The fuffering this charge to pais, without any enquiry, fixes fliamelets proftitution upon the face of the House of Commons more strongly than even the Middlesex election.

Junius, vol. II. p. 27.

† The Duke of Grafton during his administration carried the Pension List to the extreme of infany. Junius asks, "Has not Sir John Moore a pension of 5001. a year? --- This may probably be an acquittal of suvers upon the turf; but is it possible to offer a grosser currage to a nation, which has so very lately cleared away the beggary of the Civil List, at the expence of more than half a million?"

Junius, vol. z. p. 89.

If

grievance. From 1769 to 1777, there is a fingle line of 171,000l. fecret and special service, issued to Sir Grey Cooper. In the same period, under the same article, 114,000l. to John

"If a late pension to a broken gambler [Sir John Moore] be an act worthy of commendation, the Duke of Grafton's connections will furnish him with many opportunities of doing praise-worthy actions." P. 95.

The pension of 1,2001. a year to Paoli can be accounted for only two ways, either by the courtly principle now established among us, of giving applause and affistance to all those, who have betrayed the public liberty, from the King of Sweden to the late General of the Corficans, or as hush-money to conceal the share of our court in the facrifice of Corfica to France. When Baron Van Swieten, the late Minister from the Empreis Queen to the King of Prussia, was in London, he saw and conversed with Paoli. The Corfican lamented, that in the late war against France be could not be present every where in his island in every action. The Baron replied, that is no reason for your doing nothing where you were. " Le Comte de Grand-" maison prit le village d'Olmetta, d'où le Général 4 Paoli s'étoit enfuit des le premier ébranlement des 44 troupes." Histoire des Révolutions de Corfe. Par M. l'Abbé de Germanes. Paris, vol. 3. p. 65. "Il 46 manquoit totalement de cette bravoure, le foutien " des états naissans, et si necessaire vis-à-vis d'une na-"tion belliqueuse, qu'elle ne peut être soppleée par au-" cune autre qualité. On ne l'a vu dans aucune action " à la tête de ses compatriotes. Il se tenoit toujours en " arriére, et ne manquoit pas d'être le premier à faire

" retraite

§ John Robinson, Esq; exclusive of enormous furns on the same heads to the Secretaries of State, and the Secretary of the Post-office, generally in one short, single line. When Vol. II.

" retraite dès qu'il voyoit le succès douteux. vol 2. p.

188. Paoli ignorant sa victoire fuyoit d'une coté, tandis que nous nous retirions de l'autre, vol. j. p. os. There is a remarkable appearance of candour and impartiality in this history. The Abbé received the folemn thanks of the states of Corsica for the two first volumes. "Il fut arrêté, que l'on ecriroit, au " nom des États à M. l'Abbé Germanes une lettre de remerciement fur les peines et soins qu'il avoit pris," &c, &c. " Pacli tout éperdu, laissa, pour se sauver à " travers les rochers, son cheval et son port-feuille à " Murato. p. 132. Le Général Paoli--- se hâta de se quitter sa patrie---laissant Abattucci à la tête des "Nationaux qui tenoient encore les armes; il se sauva " de Bastilica sur Quinza, et delà se rendit à Porto-Vecchio avec Clément son frere, quelques autres chefs, et une centaine de Corfes attachés à sa personne, ou " à ses ri. hesses. vol. 3. p. 148. l'envie de perpétuer " fon gouvernement fut sa premiere raison d'état, et il " préféra toujours sa grandeur personnelle à la liberté de sa nation -- il étoit beaucoup moins capitaine que of politique. Au defaut de bravoure, il substituoir " l'art d'en montrer. Feignant de chercher le peril au commencement d'une action, il trouvoit toujours des " amis discrets, qui arrêtoient son ardeur- - quoique " timide dans le combat, il etoit hardi dans le conjeil, et " ferme

[§] Member for Harwich, Joint Secretary to the Treafury with Sir Grey Cooper.

we know, fir, what profecutions have been carried on, a loofe article of 60,000l. in one year, as law charges, ought to alarm us no less for the liberty of the Press, than for the private property of individuals against unfounded.

« ferme dans ses projets--- fi ne pouvant plus maintenir " son pays dans la liberté dont il pretendoit être le rese staurateur, il fût mort les armes à la main à la tête de ses compatriotes, il passeroit pour un béros." p. Such is the judgment passed by a French Abbé on a republican General! What was the glorious answer of the young Nassau, afterwards our great Deliverer, to some courtiers of Charles II, who in the desperate fituation of Holland from the conquests of Louis XIV. advised him to accept the splendid offer of being Sovereign of the Provinces under the protection of England and France? I will not furvive the liberties of my country. I will die in the last dyke. Paoli ought to have died, fword in band, on the last free mountain of Corfica. But he lives, attends regularly, bows low, and fmiles eternally, at the levee of a King, by whom he is again smiled upon, caressed and pensioned. With the spoils of his enslaved country, and an English pension, this brave, firm, sierce, independent republican crouches at a court, and confoles himfelf, far from these wile guns, in a drawing room, in a sweet intercourse of bows and smiles with the ribbanded and titled slaves of power, under the contempt of all Europe. The pension was given him, at the intercession of Lord George Germaine, in the administration of the Duke of Grafton. His treachery recommended him to the Duke: a similitude of character and conduct naturally captivated the heart of Germanicus.

founded claims of the crown. We have feen the cruel invalion of both in this reign. Under the head of Contingencies of divers natures, we are loft and bewildered by a rambling account. in which it is impossible to guess the least particular. We find Meffrs. Amyand and Siebel receive 38,6921. to pay bills of Exchange; and in another line, Thomas Pratt, Efq; 8.1301. to pay another bill of Exchange. For what national purpose, or public service? Such accounts. fir, are only calculated for such a servile Parliament. Pensions, annuities, and royal bounties, shall with much caution be touched by me, even in this House. One word only I shall mention of hierdry patronage, because it seems to be a savorite subject. We are, fir, hourly told, that genius and learning are now fostered by the propitious beams of royal favour, and all the polite arts encouraged and patronized. The two famous Doctors + Shebbeare and Johnson,

[†] Dr. Shebbeare was tried in 1758 for printing and publishing "A fixth Letter to the People of England." The Information was exhibited by Lord Camden, when Attorney General. It stated, that the libel "tendeds to traduce the Revolution, and to represent it as the foundation of all those imaginary evils and calamistics, which he, the said Defendant, would falsely "in-

† Joinson, are in this reign the state birelings called pensioners. The piety of our Sovereign to the memory of his grandfather, as well as gratitude to our glorious Deliverer, should surely, sir,

infinuate the subjects of this kingdom did labour " under; and also to asperse the memory of King William III, and of George I, &c. and also to af-" perfe, scandalize, and vilify King George II, &c. " and to infinuate that King George II. had no con-" cern for the people of England, nor any regard for " the interest, honour, or welfare of this kingdom." Another charge was omitted by the Attorney-General, Mr. Pratt, from a motive of delicacy, for. Dr. Shebbeare had baftardized the whole royal family in the "Sixth Letter to the People of Eng-" land." It was published in the time of the late war with France. A jury found the Doctor guilty. He was fined, pilloried, and imprisoned. Lord Mansfield, who tried the canfe; declared, that the "Sixth " Letter to the People of England" approached the neareft to High Treason, without actually committing it, of any paper be ever read. His Lordship's nice, exquisite judg-

† Penfion. n. f. [penfion, Fr.] An allowance made to any one without an equivalent. In England it is generally understood to mean pay given to a flate bireling for treason to bis country.

Pensioner. n. s. [from pension] 1. One who is supported by an allowance paid at the will of another; a dependant.

2. A flave of state hired by a stipend to obey his master.

A Dictionary of the English Language, in 2 vols. fol. by Dr. Samuel Johnson. Vide Dr. Johnson's False Alarm, and all his political tracts.

fir, have prevented the names of these two Doctors from disgracing a Civil List, which both of them had repeatedly and publicly declared the King's family had no right to, but ought to be considered as a flagrant usurpation. These two Doctors have in their writings treated the late King, and King William, with the ut-

D 3 most

judgment in such a matter cannot be controverted. The family connection with his own brother, Lord Dunbar, the late Pretender's confidential fecretary, his early studies at Oxford, the whole cast and colour of his life, make his opinion of value, his testimony unquestionable. a letter addressed to his Lordship, Junius says, " In " your earlier days you were but little infected with " the prudence of your country; you had some origi-46 nal attachments, which you took every proper op-" portunity to acknowledge. The liberal spirit of " youth prevailed over your native discretion. Your es zeal in the cause of an unhappy prince was expressed es with the fincerity of wine, and some of the folem-" nities of religion." In a note to this passage Junius about Lord Manfield, "This man was always a rank Jacobite. Lord Ravensworth produced the of most satisfactory evidence of his having frequently er drank the Pretender's health upon his knees.

Dr. Shebbeare's character is admirably drawn by the author of the "Heroic Epittie to Sir William Cham- bers."

Wretch! that from flander's filth art ever gleaning, Spite without spirit, malice without meaning:
The same abusive, base, abandon'd thing,
When pilloried, or pensioned by a king.

most virulence and scurrility, and they are the known personned advocates of despotism. The two other instances are ridiculous enough. David Hume was pensioned in this pieus reign for attacking the Christian religion, and Dr. Beattie for answering him. In this manner is the public treasure lavished; but these, I own, are mean objects, and of trisling concern. The great mass of the debt remains unaccounted for, and is suspected to be contracted for the most criminal purposes. It is necessary to satisfy the people that the enquiry should be made, and therefore I hope the House will instruct the committee to that purpose.

Let me now, fir, suppose, that parliament acquiesces with the present claim, what chearful ray of suture hope have we to comfort us that suture demands will not succeed? Will this be the last court job, even of the present minister? No assurances whatever are given, not a hint of accounty, or srugal management, or the least care of the public treasure in suture. Surely such a mode of proceeding is highly unbecoming, indecent, and contemptuous.

May I, fir, pass the invidious streights of Calais, and consider the state of the neigh-

bouring

bouring monarchy with respect to the King's household and debts? By two new edicas for the regulation of the French King's household expences, penfions, and royal bounties, all arrears are to be discharged within six years, and a fixed resolution is declared pour concilier avec une sage économie les dépenses que l'éclat de sa couronne peut exiger. From the first of last January all future expences whatever respecting the household are to be paid in the course of the current year. The very first article is, 46 l'année révolue de toutes les dépenses de la se maison du Roi, tant par entreprises que par se fournitures, sera à l'avenir paiée comptant 45 au Trésor Roial, dans le courant de l'année " fulvante, à raison d'un douzieme par mois." Would to God, fir, such a spirit of justice and reformation croffed the channel to this ca--pital! We, alas! have not a gleam of hope of any reformation. The French King, fir, has likewise two brothers, Monsieur, and the Comte d'Artois. They have found in their sovereign an affectionate and generous brother, not a gloomy tyrant, like---*Louis the XIth.

[†] Mezeray, historiographer of France, says, "Comines nous le [Louis XI.] depeint furieusement "foup-

They are an united and happy family. What the King has given them in important grants, and en apanage, as it is called, enables them to support with eclat their high rank. The new regulations of the French King's household expences and debts are founded in justice, and are no extraordinary burden on the peaple. The first Prince of the Hanover line obferved the same conduct, for the message of George I. to this House, of July 11, 1521, is "that being resolved to cause a retrenches ment to be made of his Civil List expences " for the future, and finding that fuch a re-" trenchment cannot well be effected, without " discharging the present arrears, his Majesty 44 has ordered the accounts thereof to its laid 66 before the House, and hopes he may be em-66 powered to raise ready money for that pur-" pose, on the Civil List revenues; which, to s avaid

[«] soupçonneux et jaleux de sa puissance, très absolu dans « ses volontés, qui ne pardonuoit point, qui a terrible-« ment soulé ses sujets, et avec cela le meilleur des

[&]quot; Princes [the best of Kings] de son tems. QUELS

[&]quot; POUVOIENT ETRE LES AUTRES ?"

Abregé Chronologique de l'Histoire de France, par le Sieur de Mezeray, historiographe de France. vol. vii. p. 212. Ed. Amiterdam. 2755.

" avoid the laying any new burden on his feetle,
" his Majesty proposes shall be replaced to
the Civil List, and re-imbursed by a de" duction to be made out of the salaries and
" wages of all offices, and the pensions, and
" other payments, from the crown." The
venal parliament of 1760 gave the money out
of the current expences of the year, without
a fingle line of any account.

When we are repeatedly to'd, fir, of the present splendour of the British diadem, of the extent of our empire, and the greatness of our sovereign, I own that the diminished rays of the crown occur to my painful imagination. I am not dazzled, but mortished. It brings to my recollection what was said of Philip the IV th of Spain, when Louis XIV. was taking all the towns, one after another, in the Netherlands, "Sa grandeur ressemble à celle des "fosses, qui deviennent grands à proportion des "terres, qu'en leur ôte."

The noble lord near me has faid, that he wished a strict review of the whole establishment of the crown, as to the Civil List. I

Lord John Cavendish, Member for York.

perfectly approve the idea. Almost the whole requires a new regulation. I think the judges in particular ought not to be paid out of the civil lift, but by the public. They cannot be now displaced, but they may be starved by the erown. The spirit of their independence ought to extend as well to their falaries, as to their commissions. I observe, fir, in the Civil List accounts on the table, an article, 46 Lord Howe and Sir William Howe Com-46 missioners, for restoring peace in America 1001. of per week each, arrears 1,7421." The noble Lord with the blue ribband has just called them Ambassadors. Have we then already acknowledged the United Colonies of America as a sovereign state, like the United Provinces of Holland? If we have not, that event must happen. The peaceful mode adopted by the brothers, according to my calculation, will not foon restore peace in America. It may possibly be the period of the Trojan war, ten years at least, so that the nation may compliment the Howe family with above one hundred thousand pounds free gift, at the rate of 100l. per week each brother, besides the settled pay and perquifites, as officers. But, fir, what connection has Digitized by Google - fuch

fuch an article as this with the Civil Lift, with his Majesty's household?

Let us not now, fir, rashly proceed in the iniquitous method of deciding on these two important questions, the expenditure, and the increase of the Civil Lift, without hearing the evidence, or hearing it only in part. We have not sufficient Data to proceed. injustice we lost America. We proscribed the inhabitants of Boston without hearing them, and in the same manner adopted coercive and fanguinary measures against the other colonies. Let us not now advance a fingle step but with caution, with fear and trembling. We are asked to furnish the ministers with weapons, which may be employed to our destruction, against the liberties of our own country. An increased undue influence must necessarily be created, and the overgrown power of the Crown enlarged. Ministers only want what are called the finews of war. The doctrine is now avowed of the legality of introducing foreign troops into the British dominions. The minister has the power of the sword, when we give him that of the purse. How many nations have totally lost their liberties by

internal corruption, and by mercenary armies? There is an affected false alarm about faction and civil discord, disturbances and † insurrections, but it is well known, that civil dissentions have often among us been even favourable to freedom. Montesquieu observes of England, "On voit la liberté sortir sans cesse "des seux de la discorde et de la sédition, le "Prince toujours chancelant sur un trône in- étébranlable."

I desire, fir, to submit to the noble Lord near me, whether, in point of form and precedent, instead of discharging the order for referring the King's message to the committee of supply, which his Lordship has moved, it would not be more proper to instruct the committee on the two important points of the message, the paying his Majesty's debts, and the addition to the standing revenue of the Crown. If his Lordship and the Houseadopt that mode, I shall then move, "That it be an "instruction"

Algernon Sydney, page 417.

⁺ Wise and good Kings, being taught by reason and experience, that nations delight in the peace and justice of a good government, will never fear a general infurrection, whilst they take care it be rightly administred, and find themselves by this means to be safe.

"they proceed to confider of his Majesty's "most gracious message, they do consider of the causes of the debts due on account of the "Civil List, and likewise what surther pro- "vision may be necessary to support the splen- dor and dignity of the Crown of Great- "Britain."

The PROTEST of the LORDS,

On the 16th of April 1777.

Dissentient,

FOR the reasons contained in the amendment proposed and rejected, viz. in lieu of the Address, to substitute the following:

To assure his Majesty of the inviolable asfection and loyalty of this House; and that it is with the sincerest affliction we find our duty to his Majesty, and our country, entirely incompatible with our compliance with the request made to us in his Majesty's name.

That at a time when the increase of Public Debt, attended with a decrease of the British empire, manifestly required the utmost oeconomy in the management of the Revenues of the Crown, we cannot behold, without association and indignation, a profusion in your Majesty's ministers, which the greatest prosperity of our affairs could scarcely excuse.

That

That this House, with the most zersous devotion to your Majesty's true interests, beguleave to represent to your Majesty, that we humbly apprehend the clear revenue of 800,000l. a year, which supported the government and court of your Majesty's grandfather of happy memory in great authority and magnificence, is fully sufficient (if managed by your Majesty's servants with the same integrity and occonomy) to maintain also the honour and dignity of your Majesty's Crown, in that reverence in which we wish, as much at least as these who have squandered away your revenues, to see it always supported.

Parliament has already in confideration (we suppose) of some expences at the beginning of your Majesty's seign, discharged the debts and incumbrances on the Civil List to a very great amount. Again to exceed the revenue granted by Parliament, without its authority, and to abuse its indulgence in paying one debts, by contracting, in so short a time, another, and a greater, is, on the first view, a criminal act. Your Majesty's ministers ought to have laid some matter before this House, tending

ment-could not be reputably supported on the provision made by Parliament; whereas they have only laid before us the heads on which they have exceeded, without any thing which can tend either to justify or excuse the excess; and the only reason given to us for paying that debt is, that your Majesty's ministers have incurred it.

With regard to the further increase of your Majesty's Civil List revenues, we must decline any concurrence therein, not folely from motives of oeconomy (though at no time more strictly required) but from a dread also of the effect of fuch an augmentation on the honour and integrity of Parliament, by vesting fuch large fums without account in the hands of ministers. When an opinion is known to prevail, and which we have no means of contradicting, that your Majesty's Civil List revenues are employed in creating an undue influence in Parliament, it would be extremely unbecoming of us to vote, without manifest reason, great sums out of the property of your Majesty subjects, which are supposed to be applied to our private emolument. our

bur duty to attend to the reputation of Parliament; and we beg leave to represent to your Majesty, that a further increase of the present overgrown influence of the Crown, would be a treacherous gift from Parliament even to the Crown itself, as it will enable the ministers to carry on those delusive systems which have been fatally adopted, and which, if pursued, must lead to the ruin, as they have already produced the distraction of this once great empire.

ABINGDON,
ABERGAVENNY,
ARCHER,
KING,
THANET,
TORRINGTON,
STAMFORD,
EFFINGHAM,
PORTLAND,
RICHMOND,
ROCKINGHAM,
FITZWILLIAM,
DEVONSHIRE,
MANCHESTER.

Vol. II.

E.

The

The PROTEST of the Earl of RADNOR.

On the first of May 1777.

Dissentient.

BECAUSE, though I admit, and zealously contend, that the splendor and dignity of the Crown of Great Britain, and the credit of the royal household, ought for his Majesty's personal satisfaction, no less than for the honour of the nation, to be maintained by liberal grants of Parliament, (liberal beyond the charge of parsimony, or a minute calculation of the demands on government) yet, when no confideration is had, and no account whatever given in of various productive funds, of which his Majesty's servants are in the receipt, and which are never accounted for in Parliament, I must insist that all calculations of a deficiency in the affumed fum of 800,000l. only, are fallacious and abfurd.

Because these funds produce either the exact sum of 78,0001, or more, or less. If they pro-

produce that fum, the produce more than litruidates the present stated debt. If they produce less, but yet produce something, the accounts upon the table cannot be true; for fuch produce would then either have been accounted for in diminution of this debt, or fuch produce is still in hand, and the means of discharging such debt remain; or there has been some secret expenditure to which it has been applied, and which administration have not thought fit to mention. If they produce, as I cannot but think they do produce, confiderably more, it furely rests upon ministers to shew the application, rather than becomes the credulity of Parliament to accept these accounts as complete, or its generofity to supply with fuch readiness, and consequently encourage the wantonness of their profusion, as to the amount, and perhaps their criminality in the destination of the sums.

And because when (exclusive of the enormous sums stated to be lodged with certain persons who are members of the House of Commons, for secret and special services, words calculated to perplex and not inform) the extravagant amount of salaries and acknowledged

knowledged pensions (to which parliamentary jealousy claims a right of making a large addition on account of the general belief, amounting with many persons to an internal conviction of considerable disbursements for secret and unacknowledged purposes) is considered; I hold it my duty, as a member of the legislature, to withhold the additional means, afforded by this bill, of corrupting the integrity of Parliament.

RADNOR.

Votes of M y 7, 1777.

Ordered, Nemine contradicente,

That Mr. Speaker be defired to print the Speech made by him to His Majesty, in the House of Peers, this day, upon his presenting to His Majesty the Bill for the better support of His Majesty's household, and of the honour and dignity of the crown of Great Britain, which then received the Royal Assent.

The SPEECH of the Speaker of the House of Commons, Sir Fletcher Norton.

Most Gracious Sovercign,

- "The Bill which it is now my duty to present to your Majesty, is intituled, An
- is Ast for the better support of his Majesty's house-
 - 66 hold, and of the honour and dignity of the
 - " crown of Great Britain: to which your
 - " Commons humbly beg your Royal Affent.
 - "By this Bill, fir, and the respectful cir-
 - " cumstances which preceded and accompanied it, your Commons have given the fullest
 - and clearest proof of their zeal and affection

Digitized by Google for

E 3

"
for your Majesty. For in a time of public
distress, full of distinctly and danger, their
constituents labouring under burthens almost toe
heavy to be borne, your faithful Commons
postponed all other business; and, with as
much dispatch as the nature of their proceedings would admit, have not only grantded to your Majesty a large present supply,
but also very great additional revenue;
great beyond example; great, beyond your
Majesty's highest expence.

"But all this, fir, they have done, in a "well-grounded confidence, that you will apply wifely what they have granted liberally; and feeling what every good subject must feel with the greatest satisfaction, that, un- der the direction of your Majesty's wisdom, the affluence and grandeur of the Sovereign will restect dignity and honour upon his people."

Votes of May 9, 1777.

Resolved, That the Speaker of this House, in his Speech to his Majesty, at the bar of the House of Peers on Wednesday last, and which

was defired, Nemine contradicente, by this House, to be printed, did express, with just and proper energy, the zeal of this House, for the support of the Honour and Dignity of the Crown, in circumstances of great public charge.

Ordered, That the thanks of this House be returned to Mr. Speaker for his said Speech to His Majesty.

Votes of Feb. 21, 1777.

A Petition of the Trustees of the British
Museum was brought up and read.

Ordered, That the faid Petition be referred to the confideration of a Committee of the whole House.

Votes of April 28, 1777.

Ordered, "That the Account of Annual

" Expence and Income of The British Museum,

"from the first of January 1768, to the 31st

" of December 1776, be referred to the faid

" Committee [to confider further of the Supply

46 granted to His Majefty]."

Mr. Wilkes Said,

Mr. Speaker,

Before the Petition of the Truflees of the British Museum is referred to the consideration of the Committee of Supply, I beg the indulgence of the House to submit a few general ideas on that subject, entirely independent of party and politics. The encouragement of all useful knowledge, and the protection of the arts and sciences, with a particular attention to our own manufactures, appear to me, sir, just objects of public regard, and highly deserving parliamentary confideration, especially in this great commercial country. Among the many proofs of the improvement of our national tafte, and love of polite literature, the establishment of the British Museum claims the pre-eminence. under the favourable auspices of this House, has been carefully watched over by us, and I hope will still continue to receive our friendly protection and support. Various branches of learning have already derived fingular advantages from that rich repository, and I think it may be made yet more extensively useful to this

this kingdom. This, fir, can only be done by this House, by parliamentary affistance. I shall at present confine myself to general ideas, and only throw out some hints for a suture day's consideration.

It feems to me, fir, highly expedient that the Trustees of the British Museum should not only be enabled adequately to fulfil the objects of their public trust, by making what is already collected as useful as possible to the nation, but still farther to extend the laudable purposes of their institution. Their present funds we find by their Petition are incompetent even to the contracted plan now pursued. It is a general complaint that the British Museum is not sufficiently accessible to the public. This must necessarily happen from the deficiency of their revenues. The trustees cannot pay a proper number of officers and attendants. This will to-day be in part the confideration of the committee, into which the House will foon resolve itself. But, fir, I wish their plan much enlarged, especially on two important objects, Books and Paintings. This capital after fo many ages remains without any confiderable public library. Rome has the immense

mense collection of the Vatican, and Paris scarcely yields to the mistress of the world by the greatness of the King's Library. They are both open at stated times, with every proper accommodation, to all strangers. London has no large public Library. The best here is the Royal Society's, but even that is inconsiderable, neither is it open to the public, nor are the necessary conveniences afforded strangers for reading or transcribing. The British Mufeum, fir, is rich in Manuscripts, the Har-Lian collection, the Cottonian Library, the collection of Charles I. and many others, especially on our own history, but it is wretchedly poor in printed books. I wish, sur, a sum was allowed by parliament for the purchase of the most waluable editions of the best authors, and an Act passed to oblige every printer, under a certain penalty, to fend a copy bound of every publication he made to the British Museum. Our posterity by this, and other acquisitions, might perhaps possess a more valuable treasure than even the celebrated Alexandrian collection, for notwithstanding that selfishness, which marks the present age, we have not quite lot fight of every beneficial prospect for futurity. Confiderable

fiderable donations might likewife, after such a sanction of parliamentary approbation, be expected from private persons, who in England, more than in any country of the world, have enlarged views for the general good and glory of the state.

The British Museum, fir, possesses few valuable paintings, yet we are anxious to have an English school of painters. If we expect to rival the Italian, the Flemish, or even the French, school, our artists must have before their eyes the finished works of the greatest masters. Such an opportunity, if I am rightly informed, will soon present itself. I understand that an application is intended to parliament, that one of the first collections in Europe, that at Houghton, made by Sir Robert Walpole, of acknowledged superiority to most in Italy, and scarcely inferior even to the Duke of Orleans's in the Palais Royal at Paris, may be fold by the family. I hope it will not be dispersed, but purchased by parliament, and added to the British Museum. wish, fir, the eye of painting as fully gratified, as the ear of music is in this island, which at last bids fair to become a favourite abode of

the polite arts. A noble gallery ought to be built in the spacious garden of the British Museum for the reception of that invaluable treasure. Such an important acquisition as the Houghton cellection, would in some degree alleviate the concern, which every man of taste now feels at being deprived of viewing those prodigies of art, the Cartons of the divine Raphael. King William, although a Dutchman, really loved and understood the polite arts. He had the fine feelings of a man of taste, as well as the fentiments of a hero. He built the princely fuite of apartments at Hampton-Court, on purpose for the reception of those heavenly guests. The English nation were then admitted to the rapturous enjoyment of their beauties. They have remained there till this reign. At prefent they are perishing in a late Baronet's snicky house at the end of a great smoky town. + They are entirely fecreted from the public

[•] Sir Charles Sheffield's boufe in St. James's Park, now called the Queen's Palace.

⁺ The royal Procrustes, who has founded an Academy of Painting, after an exact admeasurement, observing very fagaciously, that "the Cartons were 109 to long, and ought to be cut shorter," a facrilegious

public eye; yet, sir, they were purchased with public money, before the accession of the Brunswick Line, not brought from Herrenbausen. Can there be, sir, a greater mortisication to any English gentleman of taste, than to be thus deprived of feafting his delighted view with what he most desired, and had always confidered as the pride of our island, as an invaluable national treasure, as a common bleffing, not as private property? The kings of France and Spain permit their subjects the view of all the pictures in their collections. and fure, fir, an equal compliment is due to a generous and free nation, who give their prince an income of above a million a yeareven under the greatest public burthens.

A remarkable opportunity, fir, of improving the national taste in painting, which was lately lost, I hope may now be recovered. The incomparable + Sir Joshua Reynolds, and some other great painters, who do honour to

our

hand was tound, which---horres o reserves !---mangled the divine works of the most divine artist, that they might exactly fit their present improper, ignoble situation.

[†] Sir Jestua Reynolds has given this island a fair claim to the following beautiful lines of Mr. Tickell,

our country, generously offered the late bishop of London to adorn the cathedral of St. Paul's, that glorious monument of the magnificence of our ancestors, with some of their most valuable works; but the proposition had to encounter the absurd, gathic prejudices of a taster less and ignorant prelate, which were sound to be insuperable. We have the satisfaction at present of having in the † see of London a gentleman

See on her Titian's and her Guido's urns Her falling arts forlorn Hesperia mourns; While Britain wins each garland from her brow, Her wit and freedom first, ber painting now.

Num

[.] Dr. Richard Terrick.

⁺ If I may be indulged a conjecture, it should be that not the folid piety, nor the found learning, nor the classical taffe, nor the merited correction, which, in the cause of polite literature, the present Bishop of London gave the mitred cynick of Gloucester, captivated Mr. Witkes so much as that love of liberty, and detestation of tyrants, which are conspicuous in the writings of our excellent Diocesan. In his lectures "De facra " Poesi Hebræorum," a wonderful work from the Clarendon Press at Oxford, with the imprimatur of the Vice-Chancellor Browne in 1753, this worthy Prelate quotes with diffinguished praise the inspired verses sung at all the public fettivals of the Athenians in honour of the Greek heroes, Harmodius and Aristogiton, who flew the tyrant Hipparchus. The good Bishop declares the verses to be "ingeniosi ceite poete, et valde boni " civis."

† gentleman, not only of folid piety, but of the foundest learning, and of exquisitive, clasfical taste. I hope at such a favourable moment the proposition will be renewed and accepted.

As

Num verendum erat, ne quis tyrannidem Pissstratidarum Athenis instaurare auderet, ubi in omnibus conviviis, et æque ab insima plebe in compitis, quotidie cantitaretur Exorio illud Callistrati nescio cujus, sed ingeniosi certe poetæ, et valde boni civis?

> Εν μυρτα κλαδι το ξιφος φορησω, Ωσσερ Αρμοδίος κ' Apisoyertor, OTE TOV TUCAVYOY XTAYETLW. ITOTOMES T' Aduras emoinoatle. . Філтав' Арнові, еті жи тевтикає, Νησοις δ'εν μακαρων σε φασιν ειναι, Ινα περ ποδωκης ΑγιλΑς, Tudesdur TE Oxoir Asomudea. Εν μυρτε κλαδι το ξιφος φοςνισω, Danes Apposios x' Apisopertor, Or Admining in Sucrais Arden Tuearror Immaexor exacrethe. Act Oper KASOS SOSSTAL Kar alar, Φιλταθ' Α μοδιε x' Agisoyeror, OT: TOV TUPATION XTAVETON, І оторыя т Адичая спогнастог.

Quod si post Idus illas Martias e Tyrannoctonis quispiant tale alequod carmen plebi tradidisset, inque Suburram, et fori circulos, et in ora vulgi intulisset; actum profecto suisset de partibus deque dominatione Cæsarum: plus mehercule valuisset unum Appositor persos quam Ciceronis Philippicæ omnes.

Another

⁺ Dr. Robert Lowth.

As almost all arts and sciences, as well as some of the most useful manusactures, have a connection with each other, they will likewise give each other a mutual assistance. The beautiful

Another important circumstance on this great occasion was neglected by the Roman heroes, Brutus and Cassius The dead body of the usurper ought immediately to have been thrown into the Tiber. Mark Antony's eloquent, but feditious and pestilent. funeral oration had not in that case been pronounced, nor the multitude driven to madness by his insidious artifices, and the affecting spectacle of the corpse of Cæsar, mangled with many wounds. The republic perhaps had furvived, at least during the life of Brutus. The name, and family of the tyrant, might after the ides of March have been rendered odious by popular fongs, and the Bishop blames the Romans for not copying from the Greeks this respect. Liberty might have triumphed. and so general a horror of tyranny been diffused through the republic, that Suetonius could never have shocked all posterity by a detail, ridiculously minute and difgusting, of the monstrous crimes of the usurper's fucceffors, when no longer controlled by fear or any legal restraints. That writer however argues in my opinion against despotic power better than Sydney, Locke, or Price.

The idea in the Greek verses, which the Bishop so justly admires, of the two heroes bearing their daggers in branches of myrtle was taken from what happened at the feast of Panathenea. The Athenians carried large myrtle branches in all their great solemnities and sacrifices. Among these were

beautiful art of engraving, which is now carried among us to an aftonishing degree of perfection, will come to the aid of her fifter paint-Vol. II. F ing.

the parriotic daggers of Harmodius and Aristogiton concealed. Undoubtedly they thought with all antiquity, that those, who trample on the equal rights of mankind, and rise superior to the laws of their country, so that they cannot be brought to a formal trial, nor made to expiate their crimes by the sword of justice, may be righteously punished by the dagger of patriotism. The Greeks and Romans not only spoke daggers, but used them in the cause of liberty, for they believed that the most acceptable sacrifice to the Gods was an usurper, or human tyrant.

Victima hand ulla amplior
Potest, magisque opima mactari Jovi
Quam rex iniquus.

SENECA.

When the City of London in 1772 voted a filver cup to Mr. Wilkes for his defence of freedom in the case of the printers, and left the design and ornaments to his direction, the Death of Casar in the Roman senate was the subject of his choice. It is certainly one of the greatest sacrifices to public liberty recorded in history. The dagger in the first quarter of the City Arms, which of course were to be embossed on the vase, does not seem to have suggested to him either the idea of the dagger, with which Sir William Walworth, a Lord Mayor of London in the reign of Richard II, killed Wat Tyler, or of the "curtana," the "short "sword" of St. Paul, according to the idle disputes

ing. We have shewn our attention to that are this very session. I hope hereaster, even in this cold, raw climate, to be warmed with the glowing colours of our own gobelins tapestry, and I wish encouragement was given by parliament

of dull antiquarians, but probably it furnished the

The dagger went to pierce the tyrant's breaft.

Pore.

Julius Cæsar is represented in the bass-relief on the vale, as he is described by all historians in that important moment, gracefully covering himself with the toga, and falling at the base of a pedestal, which supports the flatue of Pompey the Great. Brutus, Caffus, and the other noble Romans, who conspired toreftore freedom to their country, form a circle around the body of Cæsar. Their daggers, after the godlike Aroke, feem recking with the tyrant's blood, and are raifed to heaven. Every eye is fixed on Brutus, who is in the attitude of congratulating Cicero on the recovery of the public liberty, and pointing to the profrate and expiring usurper. The figure of Brutus stands out in very bold and high relievo, is particularly Briking, and the capital of the whole groupe. At the bottom of the vate is the following infeription, encircled with myrtle and oak leaves.

May every tyrant feel
The keen, deep fearchings of a patriot feel?
Chur Chile.

liament to that noble manufacture, which in France almost rivals the powers of painting. The important advantages of such a commerce too we may learn from our neighbours.

I am not alarmed, fir, at the great expence, which some gentlemen seem to dread as the inevitable consequence of what I have mengioned. The treasures of a state are well employed in works of national magnificence. The power and wealth of ancient Greece were most feen and admired in the splendour of the temples, and other fublime structures, of Pericles. He boasted, that every art would be exerted, every hand employed, every citizen in the pay of the state, and the city, not only beautified, but maintained by itself. fums he expended on the public buildings of lettered Athens, in the most high and palmy state of Green, after the brilliant victories over the Persians, diffused riches and plenty among the people at that time, and will be an eternal monument of the glory of that powerful republic. The Parthenon only, or Temple of Minerva, acknowledged to be the most beautiful piece of antiquity now remaining in the world, F 2 which

which is of the purest white marble, cost, with its statues and sculptures, above a thousand talents, near 200,000l. †

One observation here, sir, naturally occurs, which justice to the Trustees of the British Museum demands. No public money has ever been more faithfully, more frugally applied to the purposes, for which it has been given, than what they have received. Perhaps the Trustees of the British Museum are the only body of men, who have never been suspected of want either of sidelity or economy. I think therefore we may safely trust them farther, not penuriously, but largely, on a great, national concern, especially when their accounts are so frequently submitted to the examination of parliament.

Learning, fir, and the polite arts, have fcarcely more than three enemies, ignorance and stupidity always, superstition often. The noble ‡ Lord with the blue ribband, who is at the

[†] In the Tables of the learned Dr. Arbuthnot the Attick Talent is valued at 1931. 158.

[†] Lord North, First Lord of the Treasury, and Chanceller of the Exchequer.

the head of the finances of this country, posfesses wit, genius, a great deal of true taste, and a very cultivated understanding. The most important establishment of this kingdom in taste and literature now supplicates the affistance and protection of this House. The sine arts have in his Lordship a judicious admirer, and of consequence a generous benefactor, a powerful protector.

Votes of April 29, 1777.

A Motion was made, and the Question being

put, "That'the Resolution of this House, "of the 17th of February 1769, that John "Wilkes, Esquire, having been in this Session of Parliament, expelled this House, was, and is, incapable of being elected a Member to serve in this present Parliament, be expunged from the Journals of this House,

se as being subversive of the Rights of the

" whole Body of Electors of this kingdom."

F 3

Mr. Wilker faid,

Mr. Speaker,

The important Rights of Election in the people are so deeply interested in the Question, which I think it my duty to move again to this House, that no apology can be necessary for my embracing this, and every, opportunity, which the forms of parliament permit, of bringing this business again to our consideration.

Every Elector in the kingdom, sir, was injured by the resolution of the last parliament in the case of the Middlesex Elections. A satal precedent is thereby created of making an Incapacity by a Vote of this House, where the law of the land, and common right, rendered the party eligible. The words of the Resolution of the 17th of February, 1769, are, "That John Wilkes, Esquire, having been, in this Session of Parliament, expel-

« led this House, was, and is, incapable of 66 being elected a Member to serve in this " present Parliament." By this arbitrary and capricious Vote the House established an Incapacity unknown to the laws of the land. It is a direct assuming of the whole legislative power, for it gives to the Refolution of one House the virtue of an act of the entire legislature to bind the whole. The King, the Lords, the Commons of the realm, fuffer alike from this usurpation. It effectually deftroys both the form and effence of this free constitution. The right of representation is taken away by this vote. It is difficult, fir, to decide, whether the despotic body of men, which composed the last rotten parliament, intended by the whole of their conduct in the Middlefex Elections to cut up by the roots our most invaluable Franchises and Privileges, or only to facrifice to the rage of an incenfed court one obnoxious individual. In either case the rights of the nation were betrayed by that Parliament, and basely surrendered into the hands of the minister, that is of the crown.

We are, fir, the guardians of the laws. It is our duty to oppose all usurped power in F 4 the

the King or the Lords.* We are criminal, when

The following extract from the speech of Mr. Wilkes to the Livery of London, when he quitted the office of Lord Mayor, Nov. 8, 1775, gives a remarkable instance of an attack on the liberty of the subject by the House of Lards in the preceding February.

"The last year, gentlemen, has passed without any attack on our rights and privileges from the House of That arbitrary and unprincipled body. Commons. has been almost wholly employed, with the industry and spirit of siends, in the vain and wicked attempt of perfecting their plans to establish despotism in News England, and Popery in Canada. They have stained the British laurels with the guiltless blood of our fellow-subjects in America. But the House of Lords early in the present year made a direct attack on the rights of every Commoner in England, and the effential privileges of this city. They ordered a citizen, Mr. Randall, unheard, into custody, without any appeal to a Jury, only for difrespectful words against a Member of their House [Lord Lyttelton.] Although parties, they endeavoured to establish themselves judges in their own cause, in equal violation of the dictates of common sense and justice, and in the first instance, not by an appeal from an inferior court to them, as the supreme judicature of the kingdom. The Gentleman Ufber of the Black Rod [Sir Francis Molyneux] came repeatedly with his affiftants here to seize a citizen, but notwithstanding the express affertion in the order of the peers, that he should find it a sufficient warrant for the attachment of the body of a freeman, Mr. Randall remained safe in his own house under the protection of the laws, and your Chief Magistrate. The officer of

when we confent to the exercise of any ille-

gal

the House of Lords preferred an ignominious retreat to Westminster to the certainty of his own commitment in the city by a really sufficient warrant, which I would have issued, if he had persevered. He made a report of what he had not done, and that disgraceful entry in the Journals of the House of Lords gives us another demonstration, that in this free country all usurped authority must in the end yield to law and the constitution, for Mr. Randal has ever since remained among us in persent security, as unmolested from the illegal attacks of the Lords, as Mr. Miller has been from the late similar outrages of the Commons, &c.

From the pre-eminence, gentlemen, to which your favour raised me, I now return with pleasure to my former fate of one of your magistrates, and to mingle with the mass of my fellow-citizens, &c, &c, &c."

Junius in the letter of May 28, 1770, fays, "The "arbitrary power they [the Lords] have affumed of im"posing sines and committing during pleasure, will now
be exercised in its full extent;" and in a note he adds, "The man who ressis and overcomes this iniquitous power, assumed by the Lords, must be supported by the whole people. We have the laws of our sale, and want nothing but an intrepid leader. When such a man stands forth, let the nation look to it. It is not his cause, but our own."

Junius, vol. 2. p. 98. .

In an Address to the Livery of London from the Sheriffs Wilkes and Bull, dated April 6, 1772, it is said, "we may congratulate our countrymen that the number of these unfortunate persons has not been attempted to be increased this session by any illegal Rolad

gal power, much more, when we either exer-

Royal Proclamations, or commitments during pleafure, made by either of the two Houses of Parliament. Their late usurpations on the personal rights and privileges of the people feem to be given up and furrendered. The Lords have not dared to unconstitutional an order of imprisonment, nor to impose any fines at their arbitrary will for offences cognizable by Juries. No man has been committed at their bar for what their Votes, not the laws, declare feditious, nor for what they so readily find a libel on a brother Peer, nor have they ventured to condemn any person on the first original hearing of a cause, which ought to come before them only by appeal from the inferior Courts after the verdiel of a Jury. The House of Commons have tacitly acquiesced in the claim made by many of our worthy fellow-citizens for the people at large, that the constituents have a right to be fully informed of the proceedings of their fervants in Parliament. Their Votes indeed affert. " that it is an indignity to, and a 46 breach of, the privilege of this House for any per-" fon to prefume to give, in written or printed newfrepapers, any account, or minutes of the Debates, or other proceedings, of the House, or of any Com-" mittee thereof," but the usurpation was apparent, as well as the indignity to their masters, and the breach of the privileges of their conflituents. Their other Resolution in consequence fell into contempt, " that "upon discovery of the authors, printers, or pub-" lishers of any such written or printed newspaper, "the House will proceed against the offenders with " the utmost severity." Several honest printers in destance of their illegal orders gave the public all the

eise, or folicit it ourselves. This the †late

the particulars of their proceedings during the last Seffion, proceedings which the House prudently endeavoured to hide in a darkness suited to their deeds. The most natural effect followed, a general abhorrence of them through the nation. The fame persons, who afferted our right the last winter, have through the present fession continued the exercise of it in its fullest extent. Notwithstanding the Report of the "Com-" mittee to examine into the several facts and circum-" flances relative to the late obstructions to the execu-"tion of the orders of the House, and to consider "what further proceedings may be requifite to enforce "a due ohedience thereto," was, in express terms, that the House should order, that the faid J. MILLER be taken into custody of the Serjeant at Arms attending the Moule, the faid MILLER is still at large, still convidues.

† That their practice might be every way conformable to their principles, the House proceeded to advise the Crown to publish a Proclamation universally acknowledged to be illegal. Mr. Moreton [Chief Justice of Chester, and Attorney-General to the Queen] publicly protested against it before it was issued; and Lord Manssield, though not scrupulous to an extreme, speaks of it with horror. It is remarkable enough that the very men, who advised the Proclamation, and who hear it atraigned every day both within doors and without, are not daring enough to atter one word in its desence, nor have they ventured to take the least notice of Mr. Wilkes for discharging the persons apprehended under it.

Letters of Janius, vol. 2. p. 166.

mius, voi. 2. p. 100.

House of Commons did in the Address to his Majesty

nues the severest attack upon them by faithfully publishing their proceedings, still braves their indignation, and --- fleeps fecure in the city. Among the number: of daily offenders of this kind, the corrupt majority in the House of Commons have not presumed to. commit a fingle printer, although by the late Royal Marriage Bill they have invaded the primary inherent rights of human nature, and the divine institutions, in the persons of the descendants of the late most excellent King, and, regardless of the cries of the starving poor, employed themselves this whole Session only to enflave the family of a Prince, whose memory becomes every day more dear and facred to all true Englishmen. The people are now made the judges of the conduct of their representatives, and the full exertion of the liberty of the prefs, that great bulwark of allour liberties, in support of the constitution, with the other acquisitions for the public, will render this æra ever memorable in our annals. These triumphs over the ministerial faction we hold more important at this period, because they have been g ined, when the Chief Magistrate of the capital [William Nash, Esq.] had betrayed the rights he was chosen to defend, and trampled upon the known privileges of all the electors of this great city, which his upright predeceffor [Brafs Crosby, Esq;] vindicated with true spirit and courage, &c, &c.

Mr. Wilkes in the first Parliament of this reign had the honour of being ordered to be taken into custody by the Gentleman User of the Black Rod attending the House of Lords---when their Lordships knew he was at Paris. In his letter, dated Oct. 22, 1764, from Paris, to the Electors

Majesty to dispense with the laws by issuing

Electors of Aylesbury, whom he formerly represented, the ground of the complaint against him to the Lords is flated. The complaint originated from Lord Sandwich. By an unnatural Alliance between Church and State, between that lay Lord, and the Right Reverend Father in God, Dr. William Warburton, Bishop of Gloucester, a complaint of privilege had been made to the House of Lords, that the name of Warburton had been put to some notes on the Effay on Woman, which the Bishop disclaimed. I believe with truth, certainly with great warmth. The prous Earl and meek Bishop helped each other to put on their spiritual armour, and joined their forces in this boly war, which they carried on with religious rage and priefly cruelty. In the letter from Paris it is faid, "After the affair of the North Briton, the " government bribed one of my fervants to fteal a pare " of the Effay on Woman, and the other pieces, out of "my house. Not quite a fourth part of the volume "had been printed at my own private press. The " work had been discontinued for many months, be-" fore I had the least knowledge of the theft. Of " that fourth part only twelve copies were worked off, " and I never gave one of those copies to any friend. In this infomous manner did government get pof-" fession of this new subject of accusation, and, ex-" cept in the case of Algernon Sydney, of this new " species of crime; for a Stuart only could make the " refinement in tyranny of ranfacking and robbing "the recesses of closets and studies, in order to con-" vert private amusements into flate crimes. After "the fervant had been bribed to commit the theft in "se his mafter's house, the most abandoned man of the

Proclamation for the apprehending of two persons,

"age, [the Earl of Sandwich] who in this wirtu"our reign had rifen to be Secretary of State, was
"bribed to make a complaint to the House of Lords,
"that I had published an infamous poem, which no
"man there had ever feen. It was read before that
"great affembly of grave Lords and pious prelates,
"excellent judges of wit and poetry, and ordered to
"lie on the table, for the clerks of the House to copy,
"and to publish through the nation. The whole of
"this proceeding was, I own, a public insult on order
"and decency; but it was committed by the House
"of Lords, not by the accused Member of the House
"of Commons."

Journals of the House of Lords, Nov. 15, 1763. vol. 30, p. 415.

Complaint was made to the House of a printed Paper, intituled An Essay on Woman, with notes, to which the name of the Right Reverend Dr. Warburton, Lord Bishop of Gloucester, a Member of this House, is assixed, in breach of the Privilege of this House, &c.

And some passages in the said printed papers being read.

It was resolved, by the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament assembled, that a printed paper, intitled. "An Essay on Woman," with the notes, &c, highly resecting upon a Member of this House, is a manifest breach of the privilege thereof, &c, &c.

Journals of the House of Lords, Jan. 23, 1764. vol 30 p. 456.

The Lord Mansfield, Lord Chief Justice of the King's Bench, fat Speaker.

Ordered,

persons, not felons, but honest laborious Printers,

Ordered, That John Wilkes, Esquire, of Great George Street, Westminster [known to be then in Paris] do attend this House to-morrow, [in Westminster].

Journals of the House of Lords, Jan. 24, 1764. vol. 30, p. 458.

"The Lord Mansfield fat Speaker.

The Yeoman Usher, being called upon to give an account of the service of the Order of this House for the attendance of Mr. Wilkes, acquainted the House, that he went, with their Lordships said order, yesters's day evening to Mr. Wilker's house in Great George Street, Westminster; and that Mr. Wilker's servant

46 told him, he believed his Master was in France, for 46 that he saw a letter from him on Tuesday last from

" Paris, that he could not tell the date of it; but as he was told it was fix days in coming, he fup" pofes it was dated about the 11th of this month."

Refolved, by the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament affembled, That it appearing to this House, that John Wilkes, Esquire, of Great George Street Westminster, is the Author and Publisher of the "Essay on Woman," with notes, &c, he be, for the said offence, taken into the custody of the Gentleman Usher of the Black Rod.

Accordingly, Ordered, by the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament affembled, that the Gentleman Usher of the Black Rod attending this House do FORTHWITH [at Paris] attach the body of the said John Wilkes, and bring him in safe custody to the Bar of this House, to answer for his said offence: And this shall be a sufficient awarrant in that behalf.

To

Printers, Wheble and Thompson, in 1771. Yet I have heard this day, and frequently of late, that very House of Commons, notwithstanding this

and

To Sir Septimus Robinson, Knight, Gentleman User of the Black Red attending this House, his De-

puty or Deputies, and every of them.

Dominus Mansfield, Capitalis Justiciarius Banci Regii, declaravit præsens Parliamentum continuandum esse usque ad et in diem Mercurii, vicesimum quintum diem instantis Januarii, hora undecima Auroræ, Dominis fic decernentibus."

This unconstitutional power of the Gentleman Usber of the Black Rod, an iron rod, by which the Lords have frequently and forely chaftifed the nation, was destroyed by Mr. Wilkes in 1775. Although it may continue a rod of anger, it will be no longer a red of firength or oppression, a sceptre to rule the people. It is broken, and like unto a reed.

The Lords, Spiritual and Temporal, refolving, on the motion of Lord Sandwich, that Mr. Wilkes had published a poem, which be concealed from every friend, and they gave to the world, demonstrated a tolerable share both of folly and falsehood in their Lordships. It was properly indeed moved by the father of all fraud and treachery, but mankind deemed it in their Lordships a retaliation without the least colour of just tice, very unbecoming the Supreme Court of Judicature in the kingdom, very becoming the Old Bailey. I shall conclude this disgraceful business with the words of Mr. Wilkes at the Bar of the Court of King's Bench April 20, 1768.

"As to the other charge against me for the publicate tion of a poem, which has given just offence, I will alfert

and many other violations of freedom, spoken of here with great applause. Gentlemen, sir, look much displeased. There is not, however, sir, I am satisfied, one Gentleman of the law, who will now get up in his place, and justify that illegal *Proclamation*, which Vol. II. G was

fert that fuch an idea never entered my mind. I blush again at the recollection that it has been at any time, and in any way, brought to the public eye, and drawn from the obscurity in which it remained under my roof. Twelve copies of a small part of it had been printed in my house at my own private press. I had carefully locked them up, and I never gave one to the most intimate friend. Government, after the affair of the North Briton, bribed one of my fervants to rob me of the copy, which was produced in the House of Peers, and afterwards before this honourable Court. The nation was justly offended, but not with me, for it was evident that I had not been guilty of the least offence to the public. I pray God to forgive, as I do, the Jury, who have found me GUILTY OF PUBLISHING a Poem I concealed with care, and which is not even yet PUBLISHED, if precise meaning can be affixed to any word in our language."

The deepest guilt was Lord Manssield's, for the Jury were partly infinared by the subtleties and pretended legal distinctions, partly cajoled by the nods, winks, and smiles, of that archenemy of freedom, the artful Scottish Chief Justice, who hurried on, and tried this cause, while Mr. Wilkes was dangerously ill in his bed at Passa from the consequences of an affair of honour.

was protested against in this House by some of the ablest Lawyers among us before it issued, and has since been universally condemned. It was by me set aside judicially, and a man apprehended under that Royal Proclamation discharged.

I observe

I The attack of the late House of Commons on the poor Printers, who were protected by Mr. Wilkes and two other magistrates, is of so remarkable a nature, and has been attended with fuch happy confequences to the public, that it ought to be given at large. Since the beginning of that contest to the present time, the people have regularly been informed of the proceedings of their ferwants in the House of Commons, in the making of laws to bind them. Almostevery newspaper has during the Session of Parliament given us in a formal manner the Minutes and Debates of both Houses, under their respective heads, House of Lords, and House of Commons. This is an acquisition of no small importance to the public in a state, where the people have so considerable a share in the government. It was a victory obtained in the cause of liberty, not indeed so glorious as that of Minden, nor so important as that of Culloden, but meriting civic crowns to three magistrates. The following Resolution of the House of Commons however still : continues on their Journals.

Journals of April 13, 1738, vol. 23, p. 148.
Refolved, "That it is an high indignity to, and a "notorious breach of the privilege of, this House, for any News writer, in letters, or other papers, (as "Minutes" or under any other denomination) or for "any

I observe, fir, on all occasions a + Tenderness for the proceedings of that Parliament, G 2 which

any printer or publisher of any printed Newspapers of any denomination, to presume to insert in the set the said letters or papers, or to give therein, any account of the debates, or other proceedings of this House, or any Committee thereof, as well duration in the recess, as the fitting of Parliament; and that this House will proceed with the utmost severity against such offenders."

Did the court of Inquisition even at Gon ever endeavour to throw a more Stygian gloom over their horrid proceedings than the representatives of a free people did by the foregoing resolution? The glorious triumph of the friends of the people over the last corrupt House of

† This is happily accounted for in those incomparable lines of the "Epistle to Dr. Shebbeare" published the last month, where the poet apostrophizes the present House of Commons.

Thrice has the fun commenc'd his annual ride, Since full of years and praife, thy mother died. 'Twas then I faw thee, with exulting eyes, A fecond phoenix, from her ashes rise; Mark'd all the graces of thy loyal crest, Sweet with the perfume of its parent nest. Rare chick! how worthy of all court caresses, How soft, how echo-like, it chirp'd addresses. Proceed, I cry'd, thy full-sledg'd plumes unfold, Each true-blue feather shall be tipt with gold. Ordain'd thy race of future same to run, To do, whate'er thy mother left undone. In all ber smooth, obsequious paths proceed, Fos, know, poor opposition wants a head.

which is in no respect merited. If however they had been guilty of no other outrage

against

Commons stall be given from their own Journals. The chace of a brace of Printers, by the bounds of Lord North, and the grey-bounds of the King, as his Majesty's messengers are called, with all the doublings and turnings, will perhaps be amusing. Lord North's pack of bounds are acknowledged to be the most staunch, and the best-fed in Europe, as well as to have the most expert subipper-in.

Journal of Feb. 8, 1771, vol. 33, p. 139.

Complaint being made to the House, of the printed Newspaper, instituted, "The Gazetteer and New Daily "Advertiser, Friday February 8, 1771, printed for R. "Thompson;" and also of the printed Newspaper, instituted, "The Middlesex Journal, or Chronicle of Li- berty, from Tuesday, February 5, to Thursday, Feb. "7, 3771," printed for J. Wheble; as misrepresenting the speeches, and resecting on several of the members of this House, in contempt of the order, and in breach of the privilege, of this House.

Ordered, That the faid R. Thompson do attend this

House upon Monday morning next.

Ordered, That the faid J. Wheble do attend this House upon Monday morning next.

Journals, Feb. 11, 1771, vol. 33, p. 154. Ordered, that the said R. Thompson and J. Wheble do attend this House upon Monday morning next.

Journals, Feb. 14, 1777, vol. 33, p. 162. Ordered, That the faid R. Thompson and J. Wheble

do attend this House upon Tuesday morning next.

Journals, Feb. 19, 1771, vol. 33, p. 183 & 184.

The Order of the day being read, for the attendance of R. Thompson and J. Wheble ; and the faid R.

against the freedom of the subject, this alone respecting the Middlesex Elections, by which the

G 3

Thompson and y. Wheble not attending; the messenger to whom the orders of the 8th day of this instant February were delivered, being called upon to give an account of the fervice thereof, acquainted the House, That he had ferved the orders of the faid 8th day of February, for the attendance of the faid R. Thompson and J. Wheble, upon Monday the 11th day of this instant February; but that he has not served them with any further order for their attendance, on this day, &c, &c.

Ordered, That R. Thompson do attend this House upon Thursday morning next.

Ordered, That J. Wheble do attend this House upon Thursday morning next.

Journals, Feb. 21. 1771, vol. 33. p. 194.

The feveral orders of the day being read, for the attendance of R. Thampson and J. Wheble;

And the faid R. Thompson and J. Wheble not attending, according to order; the messenger, to whom the faid orders were delivered, being called upon to give an account of the service thereof, acquainted the House, That he went to the House of the said R. Thompson on Tuesday Evening last; and being informed by his servant, that he was not at home, he left a copy of the order for the attendance of the faid :R. Thompson, with the said servant, and defired him to give the same to his master when he came home; that he, the said messenger, went again on Wednesday morning to the house of the said R. Thompson, and was again informed, that he was not at home.

the conflictution is overturned, was fufficient for their full difgrace in the annals of our country.

The mellenger also acquainted the House, That he went on Tuesday evening last to the house of the said J. Wheble; that he was told he was not at home, but was expected every minute; that he waited at the house of the faid J. Wheble some time, but he not returning, he, the messenger, left a copy of the order for the attendance of the faid J. Wheble at his House, and defired it might be given him on his return; and that on calling again on Wednesday morning at the house of the faid J. Wheble, he was again informed that he was not at home.

Ordered, That R. Thempson do attend this House

upon Tuelday morning next.

Ordered, That the service of the said Order, by leaving a copy of the same at the usual place of abode of the said R. Thompson, be deemed equal to personal fervice, and be good fervice.

Ordered, That the service of the said Order, by leaving a copy of the same at the usual place of abode of the faid J. Wheble, be deemed equal to perfonal

fervice, and be good fervice.

Journals, Feb. 26, 1771, vol. 33. p. 208.

The several orders of the day, for the attendance of

R. Thompson and J. Wheble, being read;

And the faid R. Thompson and J. Wheble not attending, according to order, the messenger, to whom the faid orders were delivered, being called upon, to give an account of the fervice thereof, acquainted the House, that he went to the house of the said J. Wheble, in Pater-nofter Row, on Thursday evening last; and be-

equatry. The present question has been fully debated twice in this Parliament, many times

G 4

in

ing informed by his fervant that he was not home, he shewed the said servant the original order for the attendance of the said J. Wheble, and left a copy thereof with the said servant, and defired him to give the same to his master when he came home; which the said servant promised to do.

The faid messenger also acquainted the House, that he went to the house of the said R. Thompson in Newgate Street, on Thursday evening last; that he was told he was not at home; and that then he shewed the original order for the attendance of the said R. Thompson to, and left a copy thereof with, his servant, and defired him to give the same to his Master, which he likewise promised to do.

Ordered, That the said John Wheble be, for his contempt, in not obeying the order of this House, for his attendance on the House this day, taken into custody of the Serjeant at Arms, or his Deputy, attending

this House.

\$

Ordered, That the faid R. Thompson be, for his contempt, in not obeying the order of this House, for his attendance on the House this day, taken into the custody of the Serjeant at Arms, or his Deputy, attending this House.

Journals, March 4, 1771, vol. 33, p. 224.

The Deputy Serjeant at Arms attending this House, being called upon to give an account of the service of the orders of the House of Tuesday last, for taking into custody J. Whehle and R. Thompson acquainted the House, that, though he had been several times at the

in the last House of Commons, and I believe every precedent quoted, which could be produced

respective houses of the said J. Wheble and R. Thompfon, and had made diligent search after them, in orto take them into custody, he had not yet been able to meet with either of them.

Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Majesty, That he will be graciously pleased to issue His Royal *Proclamation*, for apprehending the said J. Wheble and R. Thompson, with a promise of a reward for the same.

Journals, March 7, 1771, vol. 33, p. 234.

Mr. Onflow reported to the House, That their Address of Monday last (that His Majesty would be graciously pleased to issue His Royal Proclamation, for apprehending J. Wheble and R. Thompson, with a proclamatic of reward for the same) had been presented to His Majesty; and that His Majesty had commanded him to acquaint this House, that he will give directions accordingly.

His Majesty's Proclamation, issued in pursuance of

the said Address, is as followeth:

By the KING.

A PROCLAMATION,

For apprehending John Wheble and R. Thompson.

GEORGE R.

Whereas on the eighth day of February last, complaint being made to the House of Commons of the printed news-paper, entitled, The Gazetteer and New

Digitized by Google Daily

-dueed, from times the most favourable, as well as the most hostile, to liberty, from the

re-

Daily Advertiser, Friday, February 8, 1771, printed for R. Thompson; and also of the printed news paper, entitled, The Middlesex Journal, or Chronicle of Liberty, from Tuefday, February 5, to Thursday, February 7, 1771, printed for J. Wheb'e, as mifreprefenting the Speeches, and reflecting on feveral of the members of the faid House, in contempt of the order, and in breach of the privilege, of the faid House; it was ordered, that the faid J. Wheble and R. Thompson should attend the said House of Commons; and they not having obeyed the faid order, it was thereupon ordered, by the faid House of Commons, that the faid J. Wheble and R. Thompson should be taken into the euftody of the Serjeant at Arms attending the faid House, or his Deputy. And whereas the said Deputy Serjeant having informed the House, that he had not been able to meet with the faid J. Wheble and R. Thompson, or either of them, though he had been several times at their respective houses, and had made diligent fearch after them, to take them into cultody, an bumble address bath been presented to us by the Knights, · Citizens, and Burgeffes, and the Commissioners for Shires and Burghs, in Parliament affembled, that we would be graciously pleased to issue our Royal Proclamation, for apprehending the faid John Wheble and R. Thompson, with a promise of a reward for the same; we have thought fit, by and with the advice of our Privy Council, to issue this our Royal Proclamation, hereby requiring and commanding all our loving subjects whatfoever to discover and apprehend, or cause the said

remarkable case of Wollaston in the reign of King William, to that no less celebrated of Walpole,

John Wheble and R. Thompson, or either of them, to be discovered and apprehended, and to carry him or, them before some of our Justices of the Peace, or Chief Magistrate, of the county, town, or place, where he or they shall be apprehended, who are respectively required to secure the said John Wheble and R. Thompjon, and the cof give speedy notice to one of our principal Secretaries of State, to the end he or they may be forth coming, to be dealt withal and proceeded against ac-, cording to law. And for the prevention of an ef ape of the faid John Wheble and R. Thompson, or either of them, into parts beyond the feas, we do require and command all our officers of the customs, and other our officers and subjects of and in our respective ports and maritime towns and places within our kingdom of Great Britain, that they, and every of them, in their respective places and stations, be careful and diligent in the examination of all persons that shall pass or endeawour to pass beyond the seas; and if they shall discover the faid John Wheble and R. Thompson, or either of them, then to cause him or them to be apprehended and secured, and give notice thereof as aforesaid. And we do hereby firiftly charge and command all our loving subjects, as they will answer the contrary at their perils, that they do not any ways conceal, but do difcover him or them, the faid John Wheble and R. Thompfon, to the end he or they may be secured. And tor the encouragement of all persons to be diligent and careful in endeavouring to discover and apprehend the faid John Wheble and R. Thompson, we do hereby further declare, that whosoever thall discover and apprehand

Walpole, in the latter end of Queen Anne.

An Archangel descending among us would
fearcely

bend the faid John Whable and R. Thompson, or either of them, within three weeks from the date hereof, and shall bring him or them, the said John Wheble and R. Thompson, before some justice of the peace or chief magistrate as aforesaid, shall have and receive, as a reward for the discovery, apprehending, and bringing the said John Wheble and R. Thompson, or either of them, before such justice of the peace or chief magistrate as aforesaid, the sum of Fifty Pounds for each; which our Commissioners of our Treasury are hereby required and directed to pay accordingly.

Given at our Court at St. James's, the eighth day of March, 1771, in the eleventh year of our reign.

GOD fave the KING.

John Wheble was apprehended, and discharged by Mr. Wilkes, March 15, 1771. If administration had wished to have tried the legality of the King's Proclamation, they had the fullest evidence against Mr. Wilkes under his hand, for he wrote the same day an account of his conduct on that occasion to Lord Halifax, one of His Majesty's principal Secretaries of State. This was certainly the speedy notice required to be given to a Secretary of State hy the Proclamation. Mr. Wilkes took this opportunity of renewing his correspondence with the Earl of Halifax.

Guildhall of London, March 15, 1771. My Lord,

I had the honour of officiating this day as the Sitting Justice at Guildhall. John Wheble, the Publither of the Middlesex Journal, a freeman of Lon-

fearcely give a new, original idea on this subject? I shall therefore reserve myself, fir, for the

don, was apprehended and brought before me by Edward Twine Carpenter, who appears to be neither a Constable, nor Peace-Officer of this City. I demanded of what crime Wheble was accused, and if oath had been made of his having committed any felony or breach of the peace, or if he lay under a suspicion firong enough to justify his apprehension or detention. Carpenter answered, that he did not accuse Wheble of any crime, but had apprehended him merely in confequence of His Majefty's Proclamation, for which he claimed the reward of sol. As I found there was no legal cause of complaint against Wheble, I thought it clearly my duty to adjudge, that he had been apprehended in the City illegally, in direct violation of the rights of an Englishman, and of the chartered privileges of a citizen of this metropolis, and to diff barge him. He then made a formal complaint of the affault upon him by Carpenter. I therefore bound him over to profecute in a recognizance of 401. and Carpenter to appear and answer the complaint at the next Quarter Selfions of the Peace for this City in a recognizance of 40l himself, with two sureties in recognizances of 20l, each. I am, My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient,

Right Honourable the humble Servant,

Earl of Halifax. JOHN WILKES.

Extract from the Guildhall Rota Book.

Guildhall, March 15, 1771.

John Wheble, the Publisher of the Middlesex Journal, was this day brought before Mr. Alderman Wilkes

the reply, if I hear any material objections to the motion, which I shall have the homoun of

at Guildhall, by Edward Twine Carpenter, a Printer, being apprehended by him in consequence of a Proclaination in the London Gazette of Saturday the oth of March instant ; but the faid Edward Twine Carpenter hot having any other reason for apprehending the faid Mr. Wheble than what appeared in that Proclamation, the faid Mr. Wheble was discharged; and then the faid Mr. Wheble charged Carpenter for affaulting and unlawfully imprifoning him, and on his making bath of the offence, and entering into a recognizance to profecute Carpenter at the next Sessions in London, Carpenter was ordered to find fureties to answer for this offence, which he did, himfelf being bound in 40l. and his two fureties in 20l. each, and was thereupon discharged .--- Carpenter requested a Certificate of his having apprehended Wheble, which was given him.

Guildhall, March 15, 1771.

This is to certify, that John Wheble, the Publisher of the Middlesex Journal, was this day apprehended and brought before me, one of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace for the City of London, by Edward Twine Carpenter, of Hosser-Lone, London, Printer.

JOHN WILKES, Alderman.

On the application of Carpenter to the Treasury for the reward of 50l. promised in the King's Proclamation, and producing the above certificate, he was dismissed with insolence, and that, with many other royal debts, is still unpaid. R. Ibompson, the other person, described in His Majesty's Proclamation, was afterwards

of submitting to the House. I can foresee only one objection, which I shall endeavour to obviate

wards apprehended, and carried before Mr. Alderman Oliver, who discharged him.

The circumstances of the whole business of Miller; and the commitment of Mr. William Whithem, one of the messengers attending the House of Commons, are given in the "Report from the Committee" appointed to examine into the several facts and circumstances relative to the late obstructions to the execution of the Orders of this House," published by order of the House of Commons. I shall however add some other particulars not given at large in the Journals.

Copy of the Warrant for apprehending J. Miller, the Printer of the London Ewening Post.

WHEREAS the House of Commons did, on Thurstay the 14th of this instant March, adjudge and orders that J. Miller (for whom the news-paper intituded the London Evening Post, from Thursday March 7, to Saturday March 9, 1771, purports to be printed, and of which paper a complaint was made in the House of Commons on the said fourteenth day of March) be, for his contempt in not obeying the order of the said House upon Thursday the sourceenth day of this instant March, taken into the custody of the Serjeant at Arms, or his Deputy, attending the said House.

These are therefore to require you forthwith to take into your custody the body of the faid J. Muller, and him s fely keep, during the pleasure of the faid House, and all Mayors, Bailists, Sherists, Under obviate, and I hope the House will think that delicacy ought to yield to justice.

Gen-

Under Sheriffs, Constables, and Headboroughs, and every other person are hereby required to be aiding or affishing to you or your Deputy in the execution thereof. For which this shall be your sufficient warrant. Given under my hand, the fifteenth day of March one thousand seven hundred and seventy-one.

FLr. NORTON, Speaker.

To Nicholas Bonfoy, Esq; Serjeant at Arms, attending the House of Commons, or John Clementson, Esq; his Deputy, or to William Whitham, one of the messengers attending the House of Commons.

To all and every the Confables and other Officers of the Peace for the City of London, and the Liberties thereof, whom these may concern, and to the Keeper of Wood-Street Compter.

London to wit,

These are in his Majesty's name, to command you, and every of you, forthwith safely to convey and deliver into the custody of the said Keeper, the body of William Whitham, being charged before us, three of his Majesty's Justices of the Peace in and for the said City and Liberties, by the oath of John Miller, Hen-

ry.

Gentlemen I observe have scruples of rescinding former Resolutions, not knowing, they

ry Page, John Topping, and Robert Page, for affaulting and unlawfully imprisoning him the said John
Miller, in breach of his said Majesty's peace; whom
you, the said Keeper, are hereby required to receive,
and him in your custody safely keep, for want of sureties, until the shall be discharged by due course of law;
and for your so doing this shall be to you, and to each
of you, a sufficient wairant. Given under our hands
and seals this 15th day of March, 1771.

BRASS CROSBY, Mayor, L. S. JOHN WILKES, L. S. RICHARD OLIVER, L. S.

Journals, March 20, 1771, vol. 33. p. 275.

The Order of the day being read, for the attendance of Morgan, Clerk to the Lord Mayor of the City of London, with the Minutes, taken before the Lord Mayor, relative to the messenger of this House giving security for his appearance at the next General Quarter Sessions of the Peace for the City of London to answer to such indistments as may be preferred against him, for the supposed assault and false imprisonment of J. Miller.

And James Morgan, Clerk to the Lord Mayor, attending accordingly, he was called in; and the Book, containing the faid Minutes, being produced by the faid James Morgan, was brought up to the Table; and the Entry of the faid Minutes, therein contained, was read;

Ordered, That James Morgan, Clerk to the Lord Mayor, do at the Table expunge the faid Entry.

And

they say where such a practice may stop. It is a scruple in my opinion very ill sounded.

Vol. II.

H

The

And the faid James Morgan expunged the faid Entry at the Table accordingly.

Junius observes, By mere violence, and without the shadow of right, they have EXPUNGED the record of a judicial proceeding. Nothing remained but to attribute to their own Vote a power of stopping the whole distribution of criminal and civil justice. Lord Chatham wery properly called this the ACT OF A MOB, not of a Senate.

Junius, vol. 2. p. 160.

Journals, March 20, 1771, vol. 33, p. 276.

Ordered, "That no other profecution, fuit, or proceeding, be commenced, or carried on, for or on acrount of the fald pretended affault, or false impriforment."

Notwithstanding this Order, Mr. Wilkes on the 8th of April 1771 delivered in to the Court of Quarter Sessions of the Peace at Guildhall the two following Recognizances, together with those of John Wheble and Edward Twine Carpenter, and another for a fellony committed by Sarah Ferrand.

London to wit,

John Miller, of Pater-noster Row, London, Printer, 201. Upon condition, that if the above bounden John Miller shall personally appear at the next Sessions of the Peace to be holden for the City of London, and then and there prosecute the law with effect, and give evidence on his Majesty's behalf, upon a bill of indistment to be exhibited to the Grand Jury against William White

ban,

The first great object is truth, and we ought to follow where that leads. It is a duty, fir, which

bam, for affaulting and falfely imprisoning him the said John Miller, in breach of his said Majesty's peace r. And in case the same shall be found and returned by the said Jury to be a true Bill, then if the said John Miller shall personally appear before the Jurors that shall pass on the trial of the said William Whitham, to be holden for the said city at the Guildhall of the same city, then and there to prosecute and give evidence upon the said indictment, and not depart the Court without leave. Then this Recognizance to be void, or else to remain in full force.

Acknowledged at the Mansion House, London, the 15th day of March 1771, before us BRASS CROSBY, Mayor,

JOHN WILKES, RICHARD OLIVER.

London to wit,

William Whitham, of College-Street in the city of Westminster, Gentleman, 401.

William Hurford, of the City of London, Coal Merchant, 201.

Robert Withy, of Islington in the County of Middlefex, Gentleman, 201.

Upon condition, that if the above bounden William Whitham do personally appear at the next Sessions of the Peace to be holden for the City of London, then and there to answer such matters and things as shall be objected against him on his Majesty's behalf, and in particular for assaulting and falsely imprisoning John Miller, and in the mean time to keep the peace of our So-

which we owe in this case more particularly to the people, but alas! their happiness, their H 2 security,

Sovereign Lord the King and to be of good behaviour, and not depart the Court without licence; that then this Recognizance to be void and of none effect, or otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue.

12

200

dit

7,5

f 12

en-

i

z w

, tik

19 O.

Vid.

lians Libe

apd

ob-

par-

70t#

out

So-

Taken and acknowledged at the Mansion House, London, this 15th Day of March, 1771, before us BRASS CROSBY, Mayor, JOHN WILKES, RICHARD OLIVER.

The Grand Jury at Guildhall found the Bills of Indicament against William Whitham, and Edward Twine Carpenter.

All the proceedings were afterwards moved by Certiorari into the King's Bench. The scene of the House of Commons and the Printers was finally closed by the Attorney-General's, Thurlow's, grant of the Noli Prosequi.

Mr. Wilkes received the following Order to attend the House of Commons on the 20th of March 1771.

House of Commons, Martis 19° die Martii, 1771.

(C O P Y)

The House of Commons having yesterday reectived information that one of the Messengers of this House, after he had arrested J. Miller, by virtue of the warrant of the Speaker of the House of fecurity, their very lives are no longer the objects of consideration with our inhuman rulers,

nor.

of Commons, to answer for a contempt of the faid House, was carried by a constable upon a charge made against the said Messenger by the faid I. Miller, for an affault and false imprisonment made upon the faid J. Miller in the faid arreft. before Brass Croshy, Esq; Lord Mayor of the City of London, where John Wilkes, Efg; Alderman, and Richard Oliver, Esq; were prefent; when the Deputy Serjeant at Arms, attend. ing this House, acquainted the said Magistrates that the said arrest of the said J. Miller was made by the faid Messenger under a warrant signed by the Speaker of the House of Commons; which warrant was then produced and shewn to the said Magistrates, and demanded of them that the said messenger should be discharged, and the said I. Miller delivered up to the custody of the said messenger; and that the said Lord Mayor, John Wilkes, Efg; and Richard Oliver, Efg; after such information and demand as aforefaid, figned a warrant for the commitment of the faid messenger to the Compter for the faid supposed affault and false imprisonment of the said [. Miller, and obliged the faid messenger to enter into a Recognizance for his appearance at the next quarter fessions of the peace to be held for the city of London, to answer to such indictments as should then be found against him for the said supposed assault and false imprisonment.

Ordered

Digitized by Google

nor, as we have experienced, even of attention with the majority in this House.§ If H 2

Ordered that John Wilkes, Esq; do attend this House to-morrow morning.

J. HATSELL, Cl. Dom. Com.

London, March 20, 1771.

SIR,

"I this morning received an order commanding my attendance this day in the House of Commons. I observe that no notice is taken of me in your order as a Member of the House, and that I am not required to attend in my place. Both these circumstances, according to the settled form, ought to have been mentioned in my case, and I hold them absolutely indispensable. In the name of the Freebolders of Middlesex, I again demand my seat in Parliament, having the honour of being freely chosen, by a very great majority, one of the representatives for the said county. I am ready to take the oaths prescribed by law, and to give in my qualification as Knight of the Shire.

of the last Session gave a most indecent proof of the truth of this observation, as to the conduct of the present Majerity. The honourable Temple Luttrell, Member for Milbourne Port, stated in a most masterly manner to the House the illegality of the Press Warrants then in sorce against the subject, and painted in all the colours

Digitized by Google of

the last parliament have acted wrong, let us reform their errors. If they have established a wicked

When I have been admitted to my feat, I will immediately give the House the most exact detail, which will necessarily comprehend a full justification, of my conduct relative to the late illegal proclamation, equally injurious to the honour of the Crown, and the rights of the subject, and likewise the whole business of the printers. I have acted entirely from a sense of duty to this great City, whose franchises I am sworn to maintain; and to my country, whose noble constitution I reverence, and whose liberties at the price of my blood, to the last moment of my life, I will defend and support.

Iam, Sir,

Your humble servant,

JOHN WILKES."

Rt. Hon. Sir Fletcher Norton, Speaker of the House of Commons.

Journals,

of horror and despair, the cruelties of the Presi-Gangs let loose upon the people. He spoke with a perfect knowledge of the subject, with warmth and energy, but he spoke to an audience grown callous to all feelings for the public, to a set of men dead to every sentiment of humanity and love of their country, alert only to seize its plunder. He convinced the judgment by the clearest proofs before he made an appeal to the passions.

Digitized by Google

wicked precedent, we ought to reverle it.

If we have ourselves committed injustice, let us

H 4 afford

Journals, March 20, 1771, vol. 33. p. 275. Ordered, That John Wilkes, Esquire, do attend this House upon Monday morning next.

Journals, March 25, 1771, vol. 33. p. 286.

Ordered, That John Wilkes, Esquire, do attend this House upon this day fortnight, the 8th day of April next.

Journals, March 30, 1771, vol. 33. p. 297.

And then the House adjourned till Tuesday sevennight, the 9th day of April next.

Junius

Among the variety of facts, by which he fupported his motion, one only was fufficient to unite all the sharers of the national spoil against the humane Bill, which he proposed on principles firifly just and constitutional. The single article of perquifites, extorted from the public, to the Board of Admiralty, and their difinterested first Lord, for protections during an impress, was stated to amount to above 14,000l. a year. The Bill would have been highly beneficial to the failor, by augmenting bis wages, limiting bis time of ferwice, and providing for him under the infirmities of age, but the Board of Admiralty and Lord Sandwich would have lost annually 14,000l. Mr. Luttrell was ably supported by Governor Johnstone, an officer

afford all the reparation in our power. We have given the world a remarkable instance of our

Junius says, "upon their own principles, they
the should have committed Mr. Wilkes, who had
been guilty of a greater offence than even the
Lord Mayor or Alderman Oliver. But after repeatedly ordering him to attend, they at last
adjourned beyond the day appointed for his attendance, and by this mean, pitiful evasion, gave
up the point. Such is the force of conscious
guilt."

Junius, vol. 2. p. 163.

a wife and spirited Senator, and a friend to the liberty of the subject. Sir George Savile, Sir Edward Astley, the right honourable Thomas Townshend, Sir George Yonge, and several other gentlemen, spoke in savour of the motion, but the majority resused even to receive the Bill, and to give it a first reading. The generous hopes of Mr. Luttrell to serve the cause of the constitution and the Royal Navy were at that time blasted by the pestilential breath of corruption. The public however look up to his sirm virtue, and the Navy hope protection, from his suture efforts at a savourable moment, when the people may have it in their power to vindicate all their rights.

Votes

our repentance this very session in the case of Mr. Rumbold and Mr. Sykes. On the 22d of November

Votes of March 11, 1777.

A Motion was made, and the Question being put, "That leave be given to bring in a Bill, for the more easy and effectual Manning of the Royal Navy, in times of war, and for giving encouragement to feamen and fea-faring persons to enter voluntarily into His Majesty's service.

It passed in the negative.

The late Pensioner David Hume observes, "Tis a maxim in politics, which we readily admit as undisputed and universal, That a power, however great, when granted by law to an eminent magistrate. is not so dangerous to liberty, as an authority, bowever inconsiderable, which be acquires from violence and usurpation. - The exercise of an illegal power is in the pressing of Seamen tacitly permitted in the crown; and though it has frequently been under deliberation, how that power might be rendered legal, and granted under proper restrictions to the fovereign, no safe expedient could ever be proposed for that purpose, and the danger to liberty always appeared greater from law than from usurpation — [Mr. Hume did not live to see Mr. Luttrell's plan] A continued and open ssurpation of the crown is permitted, amid the greatest jealousy and watchfulness in the people; nay proceeding from those very principles: Liberty, in a country of the highest liberty, is

Digitized by Google

wember last the Order to the Attorney-General to prosecute Thomas Rumbold, Esq; and Francis Sykes, Esq; as principal promoters and suborners of corrupt and wilful perjuty at the Election for Shastesbury, was discharged, on the motion of as respectable a sever sat in parliament. The Order however was made by ourselves in the very last session, on the 14th of February preceding the reversal.

I have not yet, sir, an inclination to quit the company of Messieurs Sykes and Rumbold. Their case will serve me farther in my reasonings. It is a strong argument against Expulsion necessarily including Incapacitation. I will suppose, sir, that instead of the House having

le-

Essays and Treatises on several Subjects. By David Hume, Esq; vol. 1. p. 408.

| | Sir George Savile, Baronet.

Digitized by Google

left entirely to its own defence, without any countenance or protection: The wild state of nature is renewed in one of the most civilized societies of mankind: And great violences and disorders among the people, the most humane and the best natured, are committed with impunity; while the one party pleads obedience to the supreme magistrate, the other the sanction of fundamental laws.

determined, in April 1775, in the first session of the present parliament, that neither of those two gentlemen, on account of their notorious bribery and corruption at Shaftesbury, were duly elected, it had then been voted that they were guilty of being the principal promoters and suborners of wilful and corrupt Perjury, a Resolution the House did actually come to in Feb. 1776, and in consequence of so black a crime they had been expelled. Subornation of wilful and corrupt Perjury is furely a more atrocious fin, and more merits expulsion, than the writing a Libel. Afterwards let me likewise suppose the House change their opinion, and find that they proceeded without sufficient evidence, a Resolution the House did actually come to in November 1776. By the courtly, but unparliamentary, doctrine now pretended to be established, that Expulsion means Incapacitation, you would not have it in your power to restore them to their seats, although you were perfectly convinced of their innocence. Justice would call aloud upon you to do it, because it appeared that no legal proof, no sufficient evidence, was given, on which you had founded so rash, so unjustifiable a judgjudgment. The cries of justice however would little avail with a venal senate against ministerial despotism, or a royal edict in the form of a parliamentary resolution. My first expulsion, sir, in January 1764, was for being the Author of the North Briton, No. 45. Where is to this hour the legal proof, by the ooths of twelve of my countrymen, to be sound of that charge? I have never even been tried upon that accusation. A court of law determined on a different charge, that of the republication, a charge, which might have been brought against five hundred other persons.

As little delicacy, fir, has been shewn by us to the acts of former parliaments, as to our own resolutions. Have we manifested any tenderness to the memory of the first parliament, which was called in his present Majesty's reign? That parliament declared, and declared truly, in the Civil List Act, that 800,000l. was "a competent revenue for de"fraying the expences of his Majesty's Civil government, and supporting the dignity of the crown of Great Britain." Within these sew days we declared that 800,000l. was not a competent sum, and "that for the better support

Digitized by Google

" support of his Majesty's household, and of the honour and dignity of the crown, there " be granted to his Majesty, during his life, " out of the Aggregate Fund, the clear year-46 ly sum of 100,000l, to commence from the "5th of January 1777, over and above the 66 yearly fum of 800,000l, granted by an act " made in the first year of his Majesty's reign." If the fum of 800,000l. was competent to these great purposes, we had no right to vote more of the people's money. We were improvident, and prodigal Trustees for the nation, not to use a more harsh expression. Let us hear no more of the amazing depth in finance of any modern + Sully, or of a pretended oeconomy in the management of the public, or the royal, re-

† Henry IV. and Sully, his great Minister of finance, were sicrificed by all the mercenary writers of the court in 1763 to George III. and the Earl of Bute, his first Lord of the Treasury, with his incomparable croupier, Sancho, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, then Sir Francis Dashwood, now Lord Le Despencer. The moonshine beams of the two last satellites only sourced our excised Cyder and Perry, and then they sunk to all appearance beneath our horizon at the same moment, on the lamented 16th of April 1763. But the glorious luminary round which they moved, continues to warm us on this side the Atlantic with his refulgent rays, although perhaps he scorches our American brethren with his sierce beams.

Digitized by Google

revenues. We likewise voted the last week above 600,000l. as the last parliament had above 500,000l. much above a million in all, on the same pretext of paying the debts of the King, when his Majesty had enjoyed a competent revenue of 800,000l. clear of all deductions and contingencies, and those debts were of the most suspicious nature, even as to the independency of this House. Let us not therefore, sir, affect more tenderness for the last parliament in so flagrant an instance of injustice, as the case of the Middlesex Elections, than we have shewn to them, and to ourselves too, in other respects. - We ought, if we are men of honour and principle, to do justice to all the Electors of this kingdom, and by a formal repeal to make satisfaction to those zealous defenders of liberty, the spirited freeholders of this injured and infulted county.

I defire, fir, to recall to the memory of many gentlemen, what passed in this House in the last parliament on one of the great debates respecting the Middlesex Elections. A noble Lord, the darling of his country, as well as the favourite of our army, whose memory is dear to every Englishman, for he joined to the

bravery

Digitized by Google

bravery of Cæsar all the mild and gentle qualities of our English hero, Edward the Black Prince, that noble Lord, fir, stood up in his place here, and folemnly asked pardon of his country for having, as he faid, wounded the constitution, and violated the rights and privileges of this kingdom by voting as he had done in this House in the business of the Middlesex Elections. He did not stop there. He was anxious to make public +reparation for a mistaken opinion—but of such moment and he afterwards joined the Opposition in an important question respecting the discontents of the people on this very subject. We may all, fir, imitate the love of justice and candour, if we cannot reach the high courage of that illustrious, immortal character, the late Marquis of Granby.

While the Resolution, which I have mentioned, is suffered to continue on our Journals, I shall believe, fir, that the elective rights

Junius, vol. 1. p. 51.

⁺ Lord Granby himself thought proper to condemn; retract, and disavow, by a most solemn declaration in the House of Commons, that very system of political conduct, which Junius had held forth, to the disapiprobation of the public.

tights of the nation lie at the mercy of the Minister, that is in fact of the Crown, and that the dignity and independency of parliament are in danger of being entirely destroyed. It is evident, that no gentleman now holds his feat by the choice of his constituents, but only by the good-will, and at the pleasure, of the Minister, or by the Royal permission. The tenure is equally precarious, and unjust, for the constitution has clearly lodged in the people the right of being represented in this House, by the man, who is the object of their choice. A committee can never have but that fingle question to determine, provided the party is by law eligible, and has purfued only those methods, which are waranted by law. I will seize every opportunity of importuning, of conjuring the House, if they have any reverence for the laws, utterly to rescind this unconstitutional and iniquitous resolution. We owe it to the present, and to every future, age, and therefore I move, " that the Reso-46 lution of the House of the 17th of Fe-" bruary 1769, that John Wilkes, Esquire, so having been, in this Seffion of Parliament, exse pelled this House, was, and is, incapable of s being

** being elected a Member to serve in this present

** Parliament, be expunged from the Journals

** of this House, as being subversive of the

** rights of the whole body of Electors of

** this kingdom."

Entract from "A Report from the Commit"tee appointed (upon the 27th day of
"March 1771) to examine into the several
"facts and circumstances relative to the
"late obstructions to the execution of the
"Orders of this House; and to consider what
"further proceedings may be requisite to
"enforce a due obedience thereto; and to
"report their proceedings, together with
"their opinion, from time to time, to the
"House."

The Committee appointed to examine into the feveral facts and circumstances relative to the late obstructions to the execution of the orders of this House, and to consider what further proceedings may be requisite to enforce a due obedience thereto, and to report their proceedings, together with their opinion, from time to time, to the House, have, in obedience to the Order of the House, begun by examining into the facts and circumstances relating to the late obstructions to the Orders of the House; and, in order thereto, called before them,

William

William Whitham, one of the mellengers actending this House; who said, "That so he had had no other warrant but that for staking J. Miller into cultody, on the 15th " of March, \$771: It was directed to Mr. 46 Banfay, Mir. Glementfon, and himself, with se orders to go and take Miller into custody; 44 and he proceeded, and came to Miller's house about 2 o'clock; and he asked if Miller was se at home, and was informed he' was above 35 Rairs, and would be down in a little time; so that he waited about a quarter of an hour, 55 when Miller came down, and went into his se compting house; that be (Whitham) followet ed him, and told him, he hoped he would " not be surprized, that he had the Speaker's staWarrant for taking him into cuftody, and " offered to show the waprant to him; and ss that he (Miller) just cast his eye upon it, and faid, that the Messenger had no authoat nity to take him, and the should take no 44 notice of it; whereupon he (the Messenger) 46 laid his hand upon Miller's arm, and told se him he was his Prisoner, and that he must se go with him. Miller faid, that he had afse saulted him in his own house; and there-I 2 " upon

Digitized by Google

c upon told one of the persons present to go " and fetch fuch a one, but does not remem-" ber the name of the constable, who came " in a few minutes, and Miller charged the " constable with him for the affault, and rese quired the constable to carry him before "the fitting alderman; that as he (Whit-" bam) was going into the court where 66 Miller lives, he saw a man, whom he takes es to be the constable, come out of Miller's 66 house, and go into a house near the opense ing into the court; and, by the shortness of so the time, which was about three or four "minutes, he judged the constable might come from that house; that the constable " came into the compting-house, and Miller " charged him to take the Messenger (Whit-" ham) into custody; the constable charged " all present to affift him, and the Messenger es gave the like charge to all present on his 66 behalf; that he is not fure the constable 46 laid his hands on him; but, finding they "were determined to arrest him, he made no 66 relistance; that he apprehends a coach was se ready by order, as there was not time to call one from the stand: the constable, and " one

one Clarke, and Miller, and he (the Mefef fenger), went into the coach, which was so ordered to drive to Guildhall, and did so. - 66 That, when they arrived at Guildhall, "they went up flairs, and were informed that 66 the Sitting Alderman was gone; that as 66 foon as they arrived at Guildhall, Clarke " went for Mr. Clementson; that he did not 66 hear any one in particular directed to go to 46 the Mansion House; but that several persons 66 followed Miller to Guildhall; and in about so a quarter of an hour, word was brought that 44 the Sitting Alderman was at the Mansion 46 House; whereupon they went thither, and "were taken into the room where business is " usually done: They staid there about a 44 quarter of an hour, when word was brought that he (Miller) could not be examined till fix o'clock :- That he (Whitham) defired "he might not be examined before Mr. Cle-"" ment on came; that they staid a short time, 55 when a gentleman came and defired Miller " and him to follow him, who shewed them "into a room where people were dining; "they dined, and then went into another " room, where he and Miller staid till they

e' were called to the Lord Mayor, who was " in his bed-chamber with Aldermen Oliver se and Wilkes, and several other persons; that " he met Mr. Clementson as he was going in-46 to the room to the Lord Mayor. The "Lord Mayor began by alking Miller con-" cerning his being taken into custody by the " messenger, and Miller gave an account of "it; then the Lord Mayor asked him (the "messenger) By what authority he took 66 Miller? He answered by the authority of "the Speaker's warrant, which the Lord 46 Mayor ordered him to produce; he did fo, " and was ordered by Mr. Clementson to read "it, but not to deliver it out of his hands : "that as be was going to read it, the Lord " Mayor said, he must have the inspection of "it, or no notice could be taken of it; stat he then delivered it to the Lord "Mayor upon his promise it should be re-"flored to him; that the Lord Mayor "took and read it; and he or Mr. Merris, " but rather thinks the latter, ordered a copy " to be taken of it, and a copy was taken " accordingly: - That the Lord Mayor asked "him, if he had applied to any Civil Magif-Digitized by GOOG trate

الم الم

trate to back the warrant, or whether he « was a Peace-Officer? To both which he "answered in the negative: - The Lord 44 Mayor then asked, By what authority he " could take a Citizen into cuffody? That he 66 (the meffenger) answered, By the Speaker's 66 warrant, which he thought sufficient; and " the Lord Mayor then said, He had no autho-44 rity to take up any one in the City, without 66 his or the authority of fome city magistrate. "Then three witnesses were sworn to the facts " which passed at Miller's; and that thereupon 66 Mr. Clementson informed the Lord Mayor, ** that he was come by the Speaker's order to "demand the messenger and his prisoner: " he does not recollect the answer which was 66 given to this demand; but some arguments " passed, and Mr. Morris spoke a good deal: 44 Then the Lord Mayor faid, that he (the of messenger) must be committed to the Comp-" ter, and Miller must be discharged; and " ordered a Mittimus to be drawn, and that " he saw the Lord Mayor sign it: That Mr. " Morris then faid, it would be proper that " the other two Aldermen should fign it, who 44 did fo, in the presence of him the messenger.

"The Lord Mayor then said, he did not de"fire them to be concerned, but would take
"it upon himself: That, before the warrant
"was quite completed, the Lord Mayor or
"Mr. Morris said, there was bail in the room,
"if it was liked of; and one Mr. Hurford,
"Mr. Withy, and Mr. Reynolds an Attorney,
"offered to be bail, before the Lord Mayor
"faid, I must give bail; that he thanked
"them, but did not know whether he should
"have occasion for it; and said that he never
"had applied to them for it.

"had applied to them for it.

"That he declined giving bail, Mr. Cle"mentson saying it was not proper; and the
"warrant was signed and sealed: Mr. Clement"son then said, that he was ready to give
bail; whereupon the Lord Mayor grew
"warm, and said he was trissed with; and
"that he then said, that he, or we, would not
then take bail, or words to that purpose; up"on which, there was some noise and clapping
"of hands in the room; and there followed some
discourse between the Lord Mayor, Mr.
"Clementson, and Mr. Morris; and he thinks,
"in consequence, it was agreed to take bail;
"and

"and Hurford and Withy gave bail, and Mr. "Ciementson agreed to it.

"That Mr. Clementson and he (the messenger) were afterwards sent back from the
Speaker's to the Mansion House, for a copy
of the warrant of commitment; and were
refused it, being told, it was not to be
found, but, if it should, they might have
it in the morning.—That he (the messenger) went a second and third time; and
was told the last time, he might have a
copy of the Recognizance a little before
the Quarter Session, if he desired it; but
that the copy of the warrant was of no
use after bail was given."

The Committee then proceeded to examine Mr. Clementson the Deputy Serjeant at Arms, —who said, "That he went to the Speaker's "house on Friday the 15th of March to see if the warrant was signed for taking Miller into custody; that the Speaker's secretary had prepared it, and the Speaker signed it, and delivered it to him (Clementson) who gave it to the messenger, and told him, that if he found any difficulty, to send for him, who should be at home.—That he heard

"nothing more, till half an hour past three "o'clock; then a person came to him, and " told him, he must come, for that the mes-" fenger was taken up by a Constable, and "charged with an affault.—That he went 44 to the Speaker's, and told him of it, who " gave him directions to go and demand the " messenger, and his prisoner; and that if " bail was necessary, he must give it; but " that he must see him committed first .- That he went first to Guildhall, about five o'clock. 66 but found nobody there, and was told, he " should hear of them at the Mansion House. "-That he went there, and was introduced 46 to the Lord Mayor, in his bed-chamber. 46 -That he told the Lord Mayor, he 46 understood, that the messenger, to whom " the warrant was directed for apprehending " Miller, was taken up by a Constable, and 46 charged with an affault; that he therefore " desired to know, if the messenger had been 46 brought before him. - That the Lord Mayor 46 faid, he had been told, that a person, who 44 was called a messenger of the House of 44 Commons, had been brought there, and 46 charged with an affault. - That he (Clement-Digitized by Google (fon) " fon) waited in an anti-room till fix o'clock.-"That the messenger then came, and Miller 46 and a Constable (John Downe) and a large 66 concourse of people.—Then they went in, "to the Lord Mayor; Alderman Wilkes and " Alderman Oliver were there with him .--"The Lord Mayor asked, what was the pur-66 pose of their coming thither? Miller said, 46 he charged Whitham, the meffenger, with " an affault. On this Mr. Robert Morris ap-" peared, and faid he was counsel for Miller " the profecutor .- He faid, that Miller had 46 been violently affaulted, and falfely impri-66 foned, by an illegal warrant. Downe, the "Conftable (who was asked for by the Lord " Mayor) faid, Miller had applied to him, " about one or two o'clock, and had com-" plained of an affault on him, in his own " house, by the messenger, and charged him " to take the messenger into custody; he 46 therefore took him into cultody, in order to 66 carry him before a proper magistrate. 46 Miller was then called upon; who faid,

66 That a person who called himself a mes-

"fenger of the House of Commons came to him, and took him into custody, by virtue

of a pretended warrant.—That Miller was " then fworn by the Lord Mayor, and faid " upon his oath, that what he had before faid "was true. He went on, and faid, he had " refused to go with the person.-That the " person had used violence, and had seized " hold of him, and was pulling him along.-"That the Lord Mayor asked the messenger, " what offence Miller had committed, or what " authority he had for affaulting Miller in "this manner? The messenger said, he had "the Speaker's warrant, directed to him, to " take Miller into custody. The Lord Mayor "asked where the warrant was? That he " (Clementson) told Whitham to open it, and " read it himself .- That the Lord Mayor or "Mr. Morris (he can't say which) said it "must be produced.—That he (Clementson) " objected to it for some time; but the Lord "Mayor faying it could not be taken notice " of, if not produced, he delivered it to the "Lord Mayor, on his promising to deliver it "back to him; that he waited till this time, "to see the nature of the assault charged on "Whitham; and finding that it was for exe-" cuting the warrant for taking Miller into Digitized by Go Cuftody, " custody, he then told the Lord Mayor, "that he appeared before him as Deputy 66 Serjeant at Arms of the House of Com-66 mons; that he came there by the Speaker's "directions, and had his commands to de-" mand not only Whitham the messenger, but " likewise Miller his Prisoner; and he made 66 that demand in the most solemn manner he " was able. - That Mr. Morris, on this, de-66 fired he (Clementson) might be sworn as an " evidence :- But he (Clementson) declared he "would not be fworn, and faid, he did not 66 come as an evidence, but as an officer of the 46 House of Commons, to execute the com-" mands given him by the Speaker. - The Lord 66 Mayor faid, he could not take notice of "any thing in his magisterial capacity, that 66 was not given upon oath.—That he was "then asked by Morris, if he refused to be " examined to any of the facts or circumse stances within his knowledge.-That he "doubted at first what answer to give to that; 66 but, on recollection, he said, if there was 66 the least doubt either of the warrant being " figned by the Speaker, or of his (Clement-66 (on's) having the Speaker's commands to " de-., .,

"" demand Whitham the messenger and Miller his prisoner, he was ready to be sworn to the truth of those matters; but would not be sworn generally.—Finding that to be his resolution, Mr. Merris declined swearing him as to those matters; but he (Clement-10m) again repeated, that if there was any doubt as to those matters, he was ready to swear to them.

"That the Lord Mayor asked Whithem if he was a Peace-Officer or a Constable? he said he was not;—if he had applied to any City Magistrate to back his warrant? he said, he had not:—Upon this, the Lord Mayor de-clared, That it was very extraordinary for any Citizen to be taken up in the City of London, without the knowledge or authority of the Lord Mayor, or some other magistrate of the City; and if this was per-mitted to be the case, it would be trampling on the laws, and there would be an end of the constitution of this country.

"Then Miller was examined, as to his be"ing a Liveryman of the City of London.—
"The Lord Mayor faid, it was his opinion,
"that no warrant, but from him or fome
"other

" other magistrate of the city, was good and " valid to take up any citizen; that he thought

" himself bound, so long as he held the great

66 Office of Chief Magistrate of the City of

"London, to take notice of a proceeding of

"this fort; and that it was his duty to de-

" fend the Citizens, and their Rights and

"Liberties, to the last extremity.- He said,

es he was of opinion, the messenger had no

" right to take up Miller, who was a Citi-

ec zen, not being charged with any Felony.

"Trespass, or Breach of the Peace.

"That Mr. Morris then took four objecso tions to the warrant:

"First, that the words ["House of Com-"mons"] was not a fufficient description of

"the power which had passed the Vote.---

"That it should have been, ["The House

" of Commons in Parliament affembled."]

"Secondly, That ["J. Miller"] was no

" sufficient description of the Person.

"Thirdly, That the Offence was not in-

" ferted; and therefore that it was illegal,

" and without colour of law.

"Fourthly, That it did not appear, that [" Fletcher Norton, Speaker,"] who signed the warrant. warrant, was the Sir Fletcher Norton, who

66 is Speaker of the House of Commons.

"That the Lord Mayor asked Whitham, whether he intended to carry Miller away as

"his prisoner? Whitham said, he did .- The

66 Lord Mayor then faid, he thought the war-

" rant was illegal; and therefore he discharged

" Miller out of the custody of the messenger;

" and faid at the same time, This Citizen

comes here to claim a citizen's protec-

st tion of me, and I think he is entitled to it.

" That then the Lord Mayor proceeded on

"the affault.—Miller proved that Whitham had

" laid hold of his arm and pulled him; and

"that about five minutes afterwards, the con-

"fable came. After this, Three persons were produced to prove the affault:

" Henry Page, of Newgate-Street, Printer.

" John Topping, of the Old-Baily, Printer.

" Robert Page, of Newgate-Street, Printer.

"They proved, That Whitham laid hold of

"Miller's arm, and faid, he was his prisoner;

" and that Miller said, he should not go, or did

" not chuse to go. That Whitham said, You must

" go; and Miller said, be should not; and then

"Whitham charged every body present to assist

" him. After this, the Constable was brought;
" and the Constable charged all present to affish
him.

66 That the Lord Mayor, on this, gave it so as his opinion, that the affault was fully of proved; and that Whitham must give securise ty to appear at the next Session for the City " of London, to answer such indictments as 44 should be then found against him for the se assault and false imprisonment, himself in 66 Forty Pounds, and Two Securities in "Twenty Pounds each; and that Miller was s to be bound to appear and make out the se charge. Mr. Morris and many others prese sent were ready to be bail for Whitham: 64 That It hitham was very much frightened, s and was ready to offer Bail; but he (Cle-56 mentson) insisted he should not give Bail .-66 The Lord Mayor defired it might be noticed that bail was offered; but not accepted by 46 Whitham.

** Then the Lord Mayor directed a warrant to be made out; and the person who had these directions he saw fill up what he sup** posed was the warrant; and the Lord Mayor declared, it was a warrant for committyou. II.

K "ting

ting Whitham to the Compter .- That he faw " the Lord Mayor fign that paper .- On this, 66 Mr. Morris defired the other two Aldermen " might fign the warrant, as well as his Lord-66 ship; else it might be supposed, that they "did not concur in opinion with his Lord-" ship .- The Lord Mayor said, he did not " defire any body elfe to fign it, though the 46 two Aldermen declared themselves ready to " do it; and he particularly said to Alderman ec Wilkes, I think you have enough uton your 66 bands already.—The warrant however was 44 directed to be altered by the Clerk into the of plural number; and he faw that paper figned by "the other two Aldermen, Wilkes and Oliver. "-That he asked the Lord Mayor, if it was " figned by them all; and he faid, it was; and "directions were given by the Lord Mayor, " and Mr. Wilkes, to the Constable, that he " (Whitham) might be used kindly in prison. "-That just before they were going to take "him away, he thought that, this being a "Commitment, he had gone far enough: " and then he offered Bail .- That the Lord " Mayor grew warm at this, and faid, that " he found that this proceeding meant to ex-" aggerate

aggerate the Offence or Business, or the Proceeding; he could not recollect exactly the word he made use of.

"That, after this was done, he came back et immediately to the Speaker; and upon his 66 relating what had happened, he defired him to go back and try to get a copy of the warrant of Commitment.—The Lord Mayor faid, he could not tell where to find it then, every body being gone away; but that if et it was not destroyed, being now of no use, he should have a copy; but that I knew he s had figned it alone first, and that afterwards « Alderman Wilkes and Alderman Oliver defired to fign it too; and that he and Alderman Oliver should, in their places in the "House of Commons, admit their having see figned such a warrant; but that he should "have a copy of it in the morning if it " could be found.—That he applied again on " Saturday morning to the Lord Mayor for es a copy of the warrant; he faid he could or not yet find it; that some of them had 46 taken it away, and he knew not where it was, but that if it could be found, Whit-*6 ham should have a copy of it; that it did K 2 " not "" not fignify, for that he did not mean to deny.
"" what had been done, or make use of any
"" subtersuge; and that this was all that he
"" (Ciementson) had done.—That he did not
"" go to execute the warrant himself; and that

"it was not sealed.

"And he further added this circumstance, that he recollects, when the Lord Mayor had signed the Mittimus, and he the Deputy Serjeant had offered Rail, the Lord Mayor grew warm, and made use of some expression, that he should not take Bail then, or words to that purpose; saying that this proceeding meant to exaggerate the offence, or words to that effect; but he soon asterwards said, he must take bail.

"words to that effect; but he foon afterwards
faid, he must take bail.

"That he endeavoured to serve the ordes
of the House on J. Wheble; and went on
"Thursday the 28th of February, to his
"(Wheble's) shop, and enquired for him, and
the servant said, he was not at home, but
would be in an hour's time; that he called again, and received the same answer;
that he called again, and was answered by
taled in the shop, who said he was an apprentice, that he was not at home, being
prentice, that he was not at home, being

st gone to the other end of the town; and that his mafter had waited all the day before, expecting him .-- That he (Clement-21 fon) then faid, that he would call again in to an hour, and bid him tell his mafter. That he did so; and was told, he (Wheble) et had been in, and was gone out again; but if he would let him know where he (Cle-"" mentson) might be found, he would wait on him. - That he went to a Coffee-house. st and waited; then called again, and was told, Wheble was expected to dinner at * three o'clock. That he called at half an hour past three, and was told that Wheble was not at home, but would be foon.-That he called again at four and five o'clock, and received the fame answers.—That he ieft word he was the Deputy Serjeant at Arms, te and would be there again at half an hour past nine in the morning; which he accordingly was, and was told by the same bet person, that Wheble was not at home, but 48 faid, he had delivered the message he had et left with him, and that his master said, he "was very forry he was obliged to go out, K 3 " and

"and he left no word when he would be "at home.—That he called again at eleven " o'clock, and he was not at home; but was " answered, he might be in, in half an hour. "-That he went again on Satura'dy at Ten " o'clock, and the apprentice faid, he was not 44 at home, but would be in the afternoon; " and faid it with a fmile, as if laughing at my 66 frequent calling; and faid, if he would 46 leave word where he might be found, we will fend you word when he is at leifure.--"That he (C.ementson) said, he would be "there at feven o'clock, which he accord-"ingly was, but was told, he (Wheble) was " not at home, and had fent for his linen, se and therefore was not expreted till Monday. "That he did not go to Thompson till Fri-66 day the First of March, because there were "two R. Thompsons, one Richard, the other 66 Robert .- That he found, at the Stamp-66 Office, that Thompson had been summoned 46 before the Commissioners to give security " and faid his name was Robert:-That he 66 fent a messenger to enquire in the neigh-" bourhood, and found there was but one:-66 That he went to Thompson's house, and was

Digitized by Google

ce told by a man he was not at home, nor could se tell when he would be, or whether he was in 46 towh; that he had feen him two or three 66 days before; that nobody knew more about "Thompson than himself, and if he (Clement-66 [on] would leave a message, he would deliver "it:-That Williams the messenger then said, You know our business; to which he made " no answer :- That he went again at eleven " o'clock; and the servant said, Thompson was 66 not at home; for he had not feen him, and 66 nobody knew better than he; and faid, that 46 if he (Clementson) had business with Thomp-" fon, it was usually left with him first and "that no time was more likely to meet with " him than that day or to-morrow.

"That he (Clementson) went again on Sa"turday; and the same person told him Thomp"son was not at home, but would be in half
"an hour, but had no reason for thinking so:
"That he went twice afterwards, and he
"was not at home, nor had been, and that
"he did not know when he would be:—
"That he (Clementson) said, Then he will
not see me; and received for answer, he
"could not tell."

K 4

And he farther said, "That there had been one more attempt since, to execute the warrants on Wheble and Thompson; that Mr. Speaker had made an alteration in the direction of the warrants, by inserting the name of Wood the messenger; that Wood took the warrant, and he (Clementson) followed after, to endeavour to find Wheble and Thompson; that he (Clementson) waited at a Coffee-house in Wheble's neighbourhood; that Wood went and endeavoured to find them, the but without effect."

Your Committee then proceeded to examine Charles Williams the messenger; who said, "he attended the Serjeant, in endeavouring, to serve the warrants on Wheble and Thompton, on Thursday. the Twenty-eighth day of February: — That he went fix or seven times to Wheble's house; that he did not sind him at home, but was told he would be at home soon.

"That he went to Thompson's several times,
"and received the same fort of answers; that
the business they came upon was known at
both

Digitized by Google

se both places; and that he had read Mr. Cltmentson's minutes at the time; which he found were right."

The Committee then proceeded to examine Guy Wood the messenger; who said, "He had the Speaker's wastrant, about the Tenth of Eleventh of March, to arrest Thompson and Wheble; that he received it from the Deceive puty Serjeant; that his (Wood's) name was inserted in the warrant; that he went with one Mr. Lee to Thompson's house; that Lee went in and enquired for Thempson; that they had agreed, that, if Lee staid above a minute, he (Wood) was to come in after him; but Lee not finding him at home, came out immediately.

"That they went from thence to Wheble's,
and proceeded in the same manner. Lee
went in, and was told Wheble was gone into
the country: They then went to the Green
Dragon in Fleet-Street, where Wheble's Evening Papers are delivered, and staid some
time, to try if they could see him come after his Papers, or about his business, but
"could

" could fee nothing of him: That they have "made feveral enquiries fince, and can hear "nothing of him."

Your Committee having thus stated the evidence of the Facts and Circumstances relative to the late Obstructions to the Execution of the Orders of this House, as it appeared before them, &c.

VOTES

Votes of May 9, 1777.

A Motion was made, and the Question being proposed, "That an humble Address be 56 presented to His Majesty, to express the 56 just sense this House entertains of His " Majesty's regard for the lasting welfare and happiness of His People; and, as 46 this House cannot omit any opportunity " of shewing their zeal and regard for His Majesty's bonour, and the prosperity of His "Family, humbly to befeech His Majesty, "that, in confideration of the High rank 44 and dignity of their Royal Highnesses the " Dukes of Gloucester and Cumberland, He " would be graciously pleased to make some " addition to their annual income, out of " the Revenues chearfully granted His Ma-" jesty, for the expences of the Civil Go-66 vernment, and better supporting the Ho-" nour and Dignity of the Crown; and to se assure His Majesty that this House will " enable his Majesty effectually to perform "the fame, as nothing will more conduce 66 to the strengthening of His Majesty's go-Goodment,

se vernment, than honourably supporting the

46 Dignity of the different branches of the

" Royal Family."

And the previous Question being put, that that Question be now put;"

It passed in the negative.

Mr. Wilkes observed, that the two honourable gentlemen with the blushing ribbands,
who opposed the Motion, declared that they
did it chiefly from what they thought a want
af delicacy to the Crown, but that in his opinion
the real honour and delicacy of the Crown were
essentially and deeply wounded by the present
unhappy situation of our Sovereign's own brothers, which was of the most universal notoriety, and highly to be lamented; that the
elder had been driven into a kind of honourable

[†] Sir John Griffin Griffin, Member for Andover, and Sir George Howard, Member for Stamford, have both regiments in his Majefly's fervice, both of cavalry, are both Lieutenants-General, and both Knights of the Bath.

Fortunati ambo—
Ambo animis, ambo infigues præstantibus armis.
His pietate prior.

Virgil.

able exile at Rome, and the younger was not enabled to support the dignity of his high birth and rank among us; that as to the case of the late Duke of Cumberland, which had been mentioned, although he enjoyed an income of above 40,000l. a year, he lived with his royalt. Father, that great patron of liberty, by whom all the

† So excellent a King, that'was to this----- SHAKESBEARE.

Long his loss shall England weep, Ne'er again his likeness see; Long her strains in forrow steep, Strains of immortality.

GRAY.

This humane Prince on many occasions ventured his life in the cause of liberty. His distinguished brayery at the battles of Oudenarde and Dettingen was the frequent subject of the unsuspected praise of our inveterate enemies, the French. He was always a fleady friend to the liberties of mankind, and like the former princes of bis house, and of the Nasjau line, kept a watchful eye over the House of Bourbon, well knowing their determined enmity to this free government, and their long meditated schemes for grasping at universal monarchy. He often checked the restless ambition of France in its mad career, by the most firm, wife, and successful measures. At his death he left England in possession of the capitals of the French in Afia and America, and of many other important conquests there, as well as in Europe and Africa. The most valuable of these conquests were lost soon after by a stroke of his grandsen's pen, which proved more and that he died unmarried, whereas the prefent King's Brothers were both married, and had, with very incompetent revenues, separate families and establishments, which constituted no part of his Majesty's household arrangements or expences. He added, that we ought not to be prodigal to the Sovereign, and penurious to his Brothers; that the whole illustrious House of Brunswick claimed our regard and gratitude; that the wissom of Parliament

more fatal to England than all the fwords of all our enemies.

It is justice to the memory of the late King to declare, that he no less endeavoured to make his people free and happy at home, than to carry the glory of the British arms to the highest pitch every where abroad. During his reign the laws were respected and obeyed. Liberty and justice sat with him on the throne. The execution of Earl Ferrers impressed all foreign nations with the greatest idea of the sacredness of our laws, and the protection they afford the meanest subject, under a firm and mild sovereign. It was likewise believed, that if the Court-Martial on Lord George Sackville had pronounced the sentence, which was expected by all Europe, the justice of his late Majesty would have ordered it to have been carried into execution.

The perfined Doctors, Shebbeare and Johnson, and all the other ministerial writers of the court, have been indefitigable for many years in vilifying the memory of our late Sovereign, and tearing the laurels from his

fiament should carry the House into a view of suturity, and of possible contingencies, to provide in all events for the public safety, good order, and tranquillity; that what the honourable † gentleman, who made the motion, had mentioned with due horror, of our being obliged to setch from Rome a Sovereign born and educated there, was not so wild and absurd an idea as it had been represented by other gentlemen; that purple severs, and various epidemical diseases, had sometimes in a very short period swept away whole samilies:

his tomb. The first address of the House of Lords to the present King, on Nov. 18, 1760, is the best confutation of all the volumes of a legion of these hirelings.

Digitized by Google

[&]quot;The long experience which we had of his royal virtues, the benignity of his government, and his uniform care of our laws and liberties, not interrupted in any one inflance, during the course of so many years, demand from us the most grateful acknow- ledgements; and will make his memory as dear to us as the height and splendor to which be had raised the greatness of these kingdoms will render it glo-

After the demise of the crown, will there be found sny Lord prostitute enough, will there be a Sandwich, to move such a paragraph in the first Address of the House of Lords to his present Majesty's Successor?

[†] Sir James Lowther, Baronet, Member for Cumberland.

milies; that towards the beginning of this century feveral Dauphins of France had died within a few months of each other, and the Bourbon line was believed to be in some danger of being extinct; that the + numerous, immediate posterity of George II. had been reduced to a very small number; that all families might fuffer these most cruel losses, but in cases of this great national concern, we could not be too provident, too vigilant for the general fafety. Mr. Wilkes farther remarked, that it was impossible to misunderstand this business; that it was, "Will you drive the "King's own Brothers into exile?" or "de-" grade them to the rank of private noble-"men?" or "will you shew your duty to the "King, whose heart glows with all the ten-" dernefs of true fraternal affection, and testise fy your regard to the honour of the na-"tion, by making a princely and competens " provision

⁺ Every true friend of the House of Brunswick fees with affliction, bow rapidly some of the principal branches of the family have dropped off.

Junius, vol. 2. page 89.

ex provision for your Sovereign's own Brothers, the two Royal Dukes, the children of Engis land?" He concluded with expressing a pleasing hope, that the vote of every gentleman in the House would be governed by that liberality of sentiment, which ought to caracterize the representatives of a great, powerful, and free people.

Vol. II. L SPEECHES.

SPEECHES.

1777	April	16	-	•	-	Page	: 1
		28	-	-	•	•.	56
		29	•	-	-	-	70
	May	9		•			139

PROTESTS.

1777	April	16	<u>•</u>	•	•	46
	May	1	-		-	50

END OF THE SECOND VOLUME.

ERRATA IN THE FIRST VOLUME.

Page 125. in the note, line 1. after "Persian," add "Armenian." Line 2. after "Gentoo, &c, &c," add, " and above all in the Erfe." Line 17. after "neither," add, " His Grace's idea of liberty comprehends every " thing that is wild and lawless. The monster is of " his own creation, and then he comes in a rage, like " the Saturn of the heathen mythology, to destroy " his own offspring."

Page 132. line 11. of the note, for "A short Ac" count of a late Administration," read "A short "Account of a late short Administration."

Page 179. line 12. for "148," read "150."

ERRATA IN THE SECOND VOLUME.

Page 7. line 14. for "its beams," read, "its beams?"

Page 34. note, line 13. for "I will die in the last "Dyke," read, "I will die on the last Dyke."

Page 63. line 2. for "exquisitive," read, "exquisite."

Page \$1. note, line 17. for "if precise meaning," read, "if any precise meaning."