





# SPEECH

0F

# MR. DUNCAN, OF OHIO,

ON THE

### BILL MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR HARBORS,



AND IN REPLY TO

# MR. BOND, OF OHIO.

DELIVERED IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, SATURDAY JULY 7, 1839.

WASHINGTON:

PRINTED AT THE GLOBE OFFICE.

1838.

4



# SPEECH.

bill making appropriations for harbors, and in reply to the speech of Mr. Bond of Ohio.

Mr. DUNCAN addressed the committee as follows:

Mr. CHAIRMAN : I am happy to have this opporunity to make some remarks in relation to the financial policy adopted, and so far pursued, by this and the late Administration. The bill now under consideration has been amended in the Senate, by striking out the appropriation of twenty thousand dollars for the improvement of the Cumberland river within the limits of Kentucky and Tennessee.

The gentleman from Kentucky [Mr. WILLIAMS] says that this amendment was made in a certain quarter (meaning the Senate) for a certain purpose, (I suppose to punish the people of Kentucky for not being more Democratic,) and to affect a cer-tain individual, [Mr. CLAY.] This may all be, if we are prepared to suppose that grave Senators could so far forget their high stations as to make such paitry considerations an object of action in the discharge of their high functions and sacred But I think we may find another reason duties. for this judicious and laudable amendment-a reason that has its foundation in patriotism and ecouo-The howl of extravagant profligacy and my. bankruptcy has never been out of our ears since the commencement of the session until this time, and it will continue with the session. Yes, sir, that howl has cost the people more money than would redeem the Treasury notes now in circulation, which constitutes our present national debt. I say it was a regard for economy, and a desire to confine the appropriations within the probable estimates for the year, that induced the Senate to make the amendment in question.

Sir, what base and sickening inconsistency do we witness here every day: a charge constantly kept up that the Government is bankrupt, and the best prospects of the country ruined, by a profligate Administration; when it is a well known fact, and the journals show it, that every measure of extravagance and profligacy is brought forward, sustained, and carried through to a law, by those who are opposed to the Administration, and who are constantly denouncing it for its profligacy.

It seems, from the profligate and reckless course

In the House of Representatives, July 7, 1839-On the | of the Opposition in worthless and extravagant expenditures, that bankruptcy of the Government is one of the means by which this Administration is to be brought into disrepute with the people, and finally overthrown. Whenever there is an attempt made at reform, it is denounced as demagogueical. Whenever there is an attempt made at economy and prudence in public expenditures, the whole pack of Oppositionists who may have the most remote local interest, raise the howl that this attempt at economy is made for party purposes-to affect a certain State-to affect certain individuals-and to effect certain purposes. Yes, sir, although economy dwells on the lips of a certain party, extravagance has its home in their hearts. For the truth of this assertion, I refer you to the examination of the journals of the last six or eight years; where on all appropriations made that savor of extravagance, there will be found a large majority of the names of those opposed to this and the last Administration in favor of such measures, and the names of a large majority of the members friendly to those Administrations will be found to be recorded against them.

Sir, I hold up the journals which contain the evidences of what I say. I only ask investigation. Among the vast number of unwarrantable appropriations, which I have not time to notice specially. I ask your attention to one, only distinguished from the rest by the size of the sum. It is the appropriation made in the session of 1835 and 1836, for liquidating the debt due from the District of Columbia to Holland, and for carrying out certain improvements for which that loan was made. This entire appropriation amounted, I think, to one and a half millions. But let us inquire for what purposes this money was applied. It was applied first to the construction of a canal through the suburbs of your city, (Washington,) which needs a canal about as much as a cart wants a third wheel. The south side of the south wing of this capitol faces the whole line of that canal. It is in view of every one who looks out of one of the south windows. I have been looking out for seven months, and I have yet to see the first boat disturb the stillness of its water. One is at a loss to know which to be most astonished at, the wicked-ness that conceived such unpardonable folly, or the sterility of the soil through which the canal

the country for whose benefit it is made. for, and recover, a sufficient amount to complete This canal is of Whig origin. The next this work; but there never will be commercial moextravagant and worthless appropriation of this money is for the construction of a turnpike road, made on the bank of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal. This road was made at great expense, and runs the extent of the District. The next is the turnpike road running parallel with the former. I am unable to draw the distinction between the folly involved in the construction of each of those roads. The existence of the one completely supersedes the necessity of the other, and both of but little use. The hill road seems to have been made to display the power of the Federal Government to prostrate hills and fill up hollows. I occasionally ride out in the evening for exercise. My rides have, some six or seven times, been on these roads. I generally go out the bottom road and return the hill road. I have once seen a shackling wagon, drawn by two mules and a jack, (Demerara team,) so poor that one might hang his hat on their hip bones. This establishment was driven by a negro half naked, lame in one leg and blind of one eye. This, sir, is a specimen of the use made of what is called the bottom turnpike road; but I have never seen the first living creature on the hill road except the horse I rode. So much for for the roads-useless roads-I mean those that have come under my observation, which have been made by the people's money, and, I undertake to say, in gross violation of their rights, if not in violation time since by the gentleman from Virginia, on the of the Constitution.

But, sir, the most profligate and extravagant appropriation is yet to be presented. It is the appropriation for the Georgetown and Alexandria Canal and Aqueduct. This canal is now under way. Is located on the bank of the Potomac-a canal, deep, still, and wide, (being tide water.) Why, sir, what will your people say when they learn that half a million of their money has been appropriated for the construction of a canal on the bank of the Potomac-a canal constructed by the Almighty, as far superior to your pitiful effort as the majestic steamboat that rides upon its bosom is superior to the contemptible packet that floats upon your canal? But, sir, for whose benefit has this vast ap-propriation been made? For the benefit of the city of Alexandria. It is almost incredible with those who have seen Alexandria within the last three or four years, that such an appropriation should be made for her-a city that seems to have the anger of God and the nand of ruin upon it-now desolation itself, and without the most remote prospect of ever surviving. The almost total abstraction of trade from her port, the barrenness and poverty of the soil that surrounds her, must ever prevent her from being revived. Nothing can save her from final prostration and ruin. In half a century from this time, there will hardly be a standing monument of art for the tooth of time to operate upon; and yet it was for the benefit of these tottering remains of a city that this vast and expensive work has been undertaken, and to finish it must take folly and wicked extravagance.

is excavated, or the poverty and sterility of may draw upon the industry of the people tion enough on it to prevent the stagnation of its water. The noble canal which the Almighty has made, (the Potomae,) and of which yours is but a contemptible imitation, will for ever bear the produce destined for other places, (no toll being to be paid on it, but, like other Divine gifts, free.) Your canal will be the generator and birth-place of reptiles, and the abode of frogs. It will be a putrid, green pool of pestilence; and its exhalations will spread disease and death over your country. These, sir, are specimens of the appropriations that have emptied your Treasury, and been part of the means that have made it necessary for you to use your credit in the form of Treasury notes, to keep the wheels of Government in motion; and I repeat it, that these profligate appropriations are made at the instance, and carried into ruinous practice by the votes of the very party who are constantly denouncing this and the late Administration for their extravagance and profligacy.

Sir, my object in discussing this question is not only for the purpose of sustaining the amendment in question, and to sustain the principles and policy that induced it, but also to answer and refute many of the propositions and assertions contained in a small pamphlet, which I hold in my hand, purporting to be a speech delivered by my colleague, [Mr. Bonn, from Ohio,] on a resolution offered some subject of the public printing.

In order to give his remarks upon that resolution some force with the Democratic party, my colleague says that the gentleman who offered it acts generally with the Administration party. I deny that assertion. I deny that the gentleman from Virginia, [Colonel HOPKINS,] has acted generally with the Administration party; and I say so without any disrespect to the gentleman, for he has a right to act with whatever party he pleases, and no one has a right to question his motives. But fair play is a jewel; and my colleague must represent things as they are, not as he would desire to have them, for political effect. Sir, this is a little pamphlet, but it contains more Federal poison in a small way, than any thing I ever saw of the kind. It is a little pamphlet, and its whole contents remind me of minnow-catching. I live near a river called the Little Miami; and into it there empties a creek called Sycamore. I fish in the river, and my boy catches minnows in the creek for bait. It is this last operation that the contents of this little pamphlet remind me of. It is a system of a small kind of fault-finding; and yet I am told that there is not a foul stream of Federalism in the Union, on which it does not float. Every corrupt, bought-up Federal press in these United States, I am told, has given it an insertion in its columns; and the mails have groaned under the weight of its multiplied numbers, by the franking privilege, ever since it made its appearance.

Sir, seeing, on one occasion, a great number of much of the sacred proceeds of toil and Federal members engaged busily in tranking docu-sweat of those who will never see or wit- ments, my curiosity induced me to walk round ness the practical result of such consummate among them to inquire what documents they were Sir, you | franking for distribution. I asked one: Mr. Bond's

BOND's speech; another: Mr. BOND's speech; and public business could possibly be done without so on. This led me to a perusal of my colleague's speech, and the vast circulation which was given to it, for political effect, induced me to collect some statistics by which I might neutralize any poisonous effects his speech might have on the political atmosphere. Those statistics I have procured, and have them in my hand, and will ask that they may constitute a part of my speech, which I design to write out more fully than time will now permit son of David, and older too. My colleague underto deliver.

But to the speech. My colleague complains that, within the last nine years, there has been an increase of public officers and of public expenditures, which he charges as a fault of the party in power. It is their fault, too, I suppose, that within the same time, two new States have been added to our Union, and several millions of people to our population. If public officers have been increased, so has public business, and in a much greater ratio. As a matter of course, an increase of expenditures If this be an evil, it can only be must follow. cured by stopping the extension of our settlements, and the increase of our population. There is a large increase of clerks in the New York customhouse; but the business there has been more than There has been a large increase of doubled. clerks in the Land Office; but the business of that It was physically office has increased ten fold. impossible that the President could sign the increased number of land patents, and yet it is made an offence to create another officer for that purpose. There has been some increase of clerks in the War Department; but the business in that Department has been more than doubled. 'The number of postmasters has been doubled, and so has the number of post offices, for the convenience of the people.

Would our new-light Bank Federal reformers have six or seven thousand post offices discontinued, to avoid an increase of Executive officers? Do the people want any such reform? Would they shut up the land offices, because to keep them open requires an increase of officers? Would they deny patents to purchasers of land, because it takes a few additional clerks to make them out? Shall the business of all the Departments remain undone, because it requires more clerks to do it as it increases with the growth of our country? These would be reforms worthy of Federal Bank reformers! Let the business of the people's Government remain undone, and the banks will govern for the people.

There are few offices, if any, in the country, in which the public business has not increased faster than the clerks and the expenditure. As our country increases, the public officers and public expenditures must and will increase. It is unavoidable, as every man knows. It is as stupid as wicked to complain of the party in power on account of this increase, for no party can prevent it subject, and which my colleague has presented in a and do its duty to the country. The only inquiry should be, whether there are too many clerks to do speech. Does my colleague not know that many the public business; whether any of them spend in of the abuses in that report complained of, grew out idleness the time for which the people pay. If so, of the manner in which the powers that then existed they should be lopped off. But no such thing is got into office, and the corrupt manner by which alleged. It is not asserted that the clerks who they held the administration of the Government?

speech; another: Mr. Boxn's speech; another: Mr. | have been added have not enough to do, or that the them.

These new-light Federal-Bank-Reformers propose no measures to retrench, because they know that no retrenchment can be made, but seek only to fill the country with false impressions, for the sake of producing political results favorable to the Federal-Bank cause. This game of base and foul lying, to get into power, is as old as Absalom, the takes to arraign certain members of the Administration for having, in some instances, received payment for extra services. But does he pretend that any one of them has received such compensation in violation of law? not at all. He knows that the principles upon which those allowances were made have been repeatedly adjudicated upon and sanctioned by the Supreme Court, the highest judicial tribunal in the country. The allowances, there-fore, were all lawful. Is the principle wrong? It gentlemen think so, why do they not bring forth a proposition making all such allowances unlawful? If they were really in favor of a reform, or change in this respect, should we not see them proving it by acts, rather than by words? They have a majority in this House, and have had during this seven months' session, and yet they have made no a'tempt at reform of any kind.

Perhaps my colleague may have thought the prospect discouraging; but he ought not to have stopped on that account, he ought rather to have followed the example of the gallant Colonel Mi.ler, who, when he was ordered on a perilous service on the Niagara frontier during the war of 1812, did not say it was impracticable, but said "171 try, sir," to the commanding general. He did try. and he succeeded. The achievment not only rendered essential service at that crisis, but honored his country's arms, and elevated his own fame. I hope my colleague will not be dismayed, because the undertaking of reform of the abuses of which he complains is perilous. If he wilt try he may succeed, and if he does he will render essential service to his country, and crown himself with lawrels as unfading as those of Colonel Miller. But, sir, the fact that they content themselves with mere clamor, without attempting to do any thing to bring about reform, is conclusive that they do not believe there is any thing wrong in the principle, and have only one object in view, that is to put others out office that they may get in. How they used their power over the public money while in office, and how they may be expected to use it again, I will attempt to show before I am done.

But my colleague brings a general charge against the party in power, who, by their representatives in part (Colonel BENTON, Mr. CAMERELENG, and others) had promised something like reform (should they get into power) in abuses which they said existed, and which they set out in a report on that garbled manner to the public attention, through his

that flowed from it were dried up; which, in a great degree, superseded the necessity of further reform. Some abuses still remained; they were reformed in part, as I will show you before I am done. Some remain unreformed, and always will, as they do in all Governments, and are of a nature like the cholera, incurable. Yes, sir, the people have made that general reformation, by pulling down an Administration that had its origin in a corrupt bargain, and existed in violation of the spirit of the Constitution, and the sacred right of the elective franchise.

Here, sir, permit me to name some of the objections my colleague presents to the public against S this Administration. Some of the practices which he denounces as corrupt, and still existing, notwithstanding the reform the people were promised; and permit me to compare these practices, that he is pleased to denounce as corrupt, with those of a imilar character, but a thousand times more aggravating, as practiced by the administration of Messrs. Clay and Adams, which is urged upon us as worthy of example, and entitled to the confilence of the American people, and soon to be revived.

My colleague complains that the aggregate expenses of the Government far exceed + the expenses of the administration of the dynasty which he wishes revived.

That there is an additional number of clerks in ; all the Departments, and consequently an unnecessary expense incurred to the Government. Such is the character of his charges against each of the departments of the Government.

And now, sir, I proceed to answer the gentleman on some of these charges, and, I trust, I shall be not only able to prove many of these destitute of foundation in truth, but that the gentleman has withheld many important truths in relation to reforms and abuses, which it is due to this Administration that the people should know.

Sir, there is very little difference in the moral offence of withholding the truth, where it may be due to reputation and character that it should be told, and telling that which is wilfully false. The gentleman constantly (indirectly) holds up to view the coalition administration (Adams and Clay) as a mirror, in which is to be seen a pure Administration. I think, sir, we will find some corruptions charged to this and the last Administrations, which will be found to have been practiced with impunity in that. One of the great violations of economy and extravagant expenditures of the administration of General Jackson was sending Mr. Buchanan and Mr. Randelph severally, as Ministers to Russia, with a salary of \$9000 per annum, and an outfit of \$3000; that each of them staid but a year and a day, and then returned. Now, sir, let us see if we can offset this ' weless' expenditure of Idenoral Jackson's and pernicious. This, so far, seems to me to be a administration by one of a similar character in the blunder on his part; but the worst have to come administration of Messis. Adams and Clay, yet, I think his speech will be unfortunate for his

The Government fountain being corrupt, all the | ters were sent to England, viz: King, Barbour, and streams that flowed from it conveyed abuse and Gallatin, with an annual salary of \$9000, and an violation wherever they reached; and that by a re-formation of the Administration, most of the abuses sides the annual salary, which amounted to the same. Also, the son of Rufus King, who was left Secretary of Legation, was left by his father as Charge d'Affairs, who received an outfit of \$4,500, although (being there) he had not to fit out at all.

Then the account for money expended for keeping up our legation in England during that short reign of corruption and bargain, which only lasted four years, was-

Outfit	for	<b>R</b> . 1	King	-	-	-	\$9,000
"	for	A. 0	allatin	-	-	-	9,000
"	for	J. E	arbour	-	•	-	9,000
""	for	Mr.	King's	son; wl	no never	was	,
	l	fitted	out	-	-	-	4,500
Salary	for	· do	-	-	-	-	4,500
"	"	Mir	nisters	-	-	-	27,000

\$63,000

Yes, sir, \$63,000 was spent during that Administration, to procure the discharge of the diplomatic duties of one single individual. I think this will offset the Russia diplomatic story. My colleague had better have kept that story in the dark, as his reform is a kind of one-sided reform My colleague says that the State Department sent Mr. Early to Bogota with despatches, and that he never delivered them, but received his pay. Well. sir, this was a hard case, and, taken in the abstract, smacks of fraud and improvidence. But what is the whole story? Mr. Early was sent to Bogota with despatches: when on the way, and within two days of St. Thomas, the vessel in which he had taken passage caught fire. There was a cargo of The crew and passengers, powder on board. amounting to nineteen in all, were instantly, to save their lives, compelled to take to the boats, two in number. They had left the vessel but half an hour when she blew up; and with her went the de-patches. After the lapse of seventy-four hours without water or food, the famished crew reached the island of Hayti, from whence they got home as well as they could. I believe Mr. Early was paid the same as though he had delivered the despatches. Perhaps this was wrong; but I can tell you something worse under the coalition administration.

Mr. Clay (Secretary of State) sent Mr. Pleasants to Buenos Avres with despatches. It is said that he found the crew rather unpleasant, (he being a pleasant man,) being principally mechanics and workies, and not the white fingered gentry. He put the despatches in the possession of the captain, and he wheeled about and put for England, where he amused himself in high life a time, and returned; for which Mr. Clay paid him nineteen hundred and forty dollars. So I think this will offset the charge of paying Mr. Early.

So far, I think my colleague has been unfortupate in his charges, inasmuch as they have the effeet to call to recollection charges against the dynasty he wishes to reinstate, much more aggravating During the immiculate dynasty which nov colleague party and his purposes. Another most important desires to have renewed, no less than three minis-blunder has been committed by my colleague in of Mr. Amos Kendall, late Fourth Auditor, now unceasing abuse. On every new exposure of a Postmaster General." He quotes from certain let- defaulter or a rogue, through his instrumentality, ters of that gentleman, publishel soon after he came into office, showing some of the abuses he found in office, committed by my colleague's political friends, and showing, also, that he had or would reform them, and affects to show that he had not done so. One of these extracts is as follows, viz: "The interest of the country demands that this office shall be filled with men of business, and not with babbling politicians." Can any man say with truth that this principle was not carried out? I assert, sir, and challenge contradiction, that the Fourth Auditor's Office, while Mr. Kendall was in it, was "filled with men of business," and not " vith babbling politicians." Mr. Kendall himself was "a man of business," and neither he nor his clecks ever neglected their public duties to babble politics with any one. When he went into that office, what did he find to be its condition under the dynasty which my colleague is laboring to restore? Was it all purity, all honesty, all industry? No, sir: it was all rottenness, all idleness. His predecessor was one of the favorites of the Clay party; and Mr. Kendall soon discovered that he had been guilty of the grossest and most direct frauds on the Treasury, of which he was found fice, under the CLAY-ADAMS administration, was guilty by an unwilling court, and more unwilling jury, after a tremendous party struggle to secure him from punishment. What thanks did Mr. Kendall get from my colleague and his party for exposing these frauds, and bringing them to merited punishment? Why, sir, he was abused through the party presses as a conspirator and persecutor, and while the prosecution of the culprit was in progress, was night after night insulted and hooted at under his window. Yes, sir, this was the mode the gentleman's party undertook to prevent the exposure and punishment of their corruptions. This was the reward they, then, bestowed on integrity and fearlessness in office; and the same game has been kept up snice, down to the speech of my colleague. Now, I ask, was it no reform to remove a peculator upon the Treasury? My colleague does not seem to think so. Why? Is it because he belonged to his party?

Well, Mr. Kendall filled the Fourth Auditor's Office "with men of business," and what was the consequence? Much of its business was one, two, and three years in arrears, and in about a twelve month, it was brought completely up. Did he obtain or ask any additional force? No, sir. After the business was brought up, he suffered two clerkships to remain vacant for a long time, and one until he left the office, because, having "men of business" about him, he did not need the whole force which the law allowed. Moreover, under the Clay administration, which my colleague would restore, the contingent appropropriation for the Fourth Auditor's Office was \$1,500 a year; but as Postmaster General, and therefore he does not Mr. Kendall reduced it to \$1,000, and one year discontinue them. Is there any inconsistency in asked for no appropriation at all, having enough this? None but a Bank attorney can make it out. surplus of former appropriations on hand to meet While Mr. Kendall was Fourth Auditor, he paid his wants. Thus the expenditures of the office all his newspaper subscriptions out of his own pocket, were largely reduced by him, while its business because they were exclusively for his private use; was greatly improved.

his "few comments on the professions and practice | poured on him, from beginning to end, a torrent of their abuse has been redoubled, clearly showing that an honest and fearless public officer is their highest antipathy.

While complaining that the party in power have not made the promised reforms, my colleague gives incontestible evidence of his sincerity by sneering at those which were made. He even finds grounds of attack upon Mr. Kendall for sending to the post office, to be charged with postage, the letters and pamphlets fraudulently enclosed to the Fourth Auditor to evade the post office laws, and for discontinuing sundry newspapers, because he could derive no assistance from them "in settling the accounts of the United States Navy." Yes, sir, in the reforms actually made by Mr. Kendall, as well as in those not made, the bank attorneys, immaculate and consistent men! find ground of attack!!

When Mr. Kendall went into the Fourth Auditor's office, the legal postage on private letters received under cover to the Fourth Auditor, was about a dollar a day, or at the rate of \$365 a year. and on the supposition that as great a number had gone out under his predecessor's frank, the fraud on the post office revenue, through that single ofover \$700 a year! Mr. Kendall put an entire stop to this abuse, and what thanks does he get from the Bank stipendiaries? They hate and abuse him the worse for it. But, says my colleague, he franked some prospectuses of the Globe. , Well, if he chose to send them to his friends, had he not a lawful right to do so? Did he violate any law, or do so much of it as to constitute an abuse? That is not pretended, nor can it be with truth. But because he put a stop to violations of the law, and then exercised his privilege in conformity with law, the Bank attorneys find an inconsistency in it! I can tell my colleague, upon undoubted authority, that Mr. Kendall's course in that respect is not changed; that he uniformly sends to the post office letters for others enclosed to him, to be charged with postage, and sometimes pays it himself; that he covers no letters with his frank, not strictly his own; no, not even those of his wife and children. What praise does he get from the Bank attorneys for this scru-pulous obedience to the law? The highest praise -their unceasing abuse.

My colleague finds a vast inconsistency in Mr. Kendall's discontinuing newspapers as Fourth Auditor, because they gave him no assistance "in settling the accounts of the United States Navy," and paying for a number of them as Postmaster General! Is this effort to show inconsistency a whit short of contemptible? The papers were not of use to him in performing his public duty as Fourth Auditor, and therefore he did not take them; they are of use to him in performing his duty What credit do my col- but, as Postmaster General, it is essential that he league and his friends give him for it? They have should have not only the general but the local intelligence from every quarter of the country, and he not thoroughly understand this case, having the only wonder is that he pays so little for it.

It is natural, sir, for the Bank attorneys to find fault with the part acted by Mr. Kendall in the re-moval of the deposites. Their client was destroyed by that measure, and the source of many a good fee dried up. But here, again, Mr. Kendall is followed by misrepresentation. "He got ten dollars a day," it is said, "for doing this injury to the public"-meaning the Bank. Now, sir, I pronounce this statement entirely without foundation. Mr. Kendall, under an appointment from the Secretary of the Treasury, visited the cities of Baltimore, Philadelphia, New York and Boston, to negotiate with the banks, and spent some days in each city. He had necessarily to see much company at the hotels where he stopped, and those who have had occasion to take private parlors, and have private tables, at the public houses in those cities, where they necessarily see, and treat civilly, many gentlemen calling on them, know that the actual expense, including travelling, can scarcely average less than ten dollars a day. Mr. Kendall paid all these expenses out of his own pocket, and received from the Treasury barely enough to make himself whole. This is the whole of this mighty affair, and the sum received, all told, was \$316 11. How rich this man must have made himself !

My colleague follows Mr. Kendall into the Post Office Department, and makes another mistake at the first jump. "The printed list of clerks in his Department," says he, "exhibits his father-in-law and two nephews, with salaries of \$1,000, \$1,200, and \$1,400," &c. Mr. Kendall has not, and never had, two nephews among the clerks of his Department. But what if he had two nephews in his Department? Have they not as good a right to be there as any body else, if they are honest and competent to the duties assigned them? If there be places of peculiar trust, is it wrong that the head of a Department should fill them with men whose integrity he best knows, although they may be his nephews or his brother? When abuses and frauds, instead of the most honest and honorable discharge of their public duties, are perpetrated by his relations, it will be time enough to consure their appointment.

Nothing will satisfy these Bank attorneys. Major Barry is abused for not keeping every thing right in the Post Office Department, and Mr. Kendall is abused for putting every thing right. The committees of Congress, majority and minority, complained of Major Barry for making extravagant allowances to Stockton and Stokes, and now Mr. Kendall is abused for not being willing to pay thein allowances a thousand times more extravagant. One of my worthy colleagues [Mr. Whir-TLESEY] I believe wrote some twenty-five pages in a book, 30,000 copies of which were printed by order of the House, to prove that Major Barry had allowed those contractors some \$100,000 more than they were entitled to by law, and yet voted for an act under coler of which they have been allowed \$161,000 more under the same pretences, and has soond quietly by and seen Mr. Kendall compelled to pay it by judicial usurpation, if not something worse. I should like to ask my honorable col-

investigated and written a long report about it? When the bill for the relief of Stockton and Stokes was before the House, did he not offer a long string of provisos, which were adopted? Were not these provisos so carefully framed as not to touch one of the claims in controversy, and be, in fact, perfectly nugatory? If so, what was the object? If he did not think Stockton and Stokes entitled to as much as they got from Major Barry by \$100,000, why did he vote for a bill to give them more? And when he found that an enormous sum more was awarded them, why did he not propose to repeal the act, instead of standing by and seeing Mr. Kendall compelled to pay it?

This case will illustrate the sincerity and honesty of the Bank attorneys. For political effect, they abused Major Barry for making allowances, then voted for a bill authorizing allowances much more extravagant; and because Mr. Kendall refused to pay still further allowances, which he did not believe authorized even by that act, they abuse him for withholding from Stockton and Stokes their just dues! All this shows that they care not a fig what use is made of the public money, and that their only object in finding fault is, to impair the confidence of the people in the Administration. They see \$161,000 wasted on Stockton and Stokes with indifference, if not with joy, and complain that \$316 was allowed Mr. Kendall, to pay his expenses when upon public service.

Sir, I have taken some pains to ascertain on what principles the Post Office Department is administered by this much-abused man. I understand that he went into it with a declaration that he should try the experiment of administering it in strict conformity to moral principles, and the laws of the land; and, if convinced of its impracticability, would hold it no longer. It was the fashion for officers and clerks in the Department to receive presents from contractors; and, when travelling, to ride free in their coaches. Believing that, to say the least of it, these practices tended to corruption, he forbade the reception of all or any such favors from contractors under penalty of dismission, and prescribed the same rules for himself. All presents from contractors he has declined; and on every occasion has refused to travel free in their stages, steamboats, and cars. Free tickets have been sent him from some of the great lines, which he has uniformly returned.

As before remarked, this man does not cover with his frank even the letters of his wife and children, but pays the postage out of his own pocket; nor does he take stationery from the Department for his own use, much less for that of his family, believing that the public stationery is not his property, and that he has no right to use it but for public purposes. Of this, I have the most conclusive testimony, not coming from himself. When I was informed of this fact, although I was bound to believe it myself, I did not know that others would believe that Mr. Kendall's honesty was so scruputons. I therefore addressed the following note to his stationer, of which this is a copy, and received the annexed answer:

WASHINGTON CITY, D. C. July 1, 1538. Worse. I should like to ask my honorable col-Jeague [Mr. WHITTLESEY] a question or two. Did [Hon. A. Kendali, Postmaster General, procures stationery for the Post Office Department? If from you, will you have the the Post Office Department? If from you, will you have the kindness to inform me from whom he procures his private sta-tionetry, such as he uses for his private purposes, and for the use of his family? If from you, will you next inform me whether both are paid out of the public contingent fund, or is the latter paid for out of his private means? These inquiries are not made from any similar motives, nor are their answers in-tended for any unfavorable prejudice to Mr. Kendall or any other nerson other person.

Your early attention to this request will much oblige your ind. A.DUNCAN. friend, JOHN T. SULLIVAN, esq.

WASHINGTON CITY, July 1, 1538. DEAR SIR: In reply to your note of this morning, t would remark, that I "supply stationery for the Post Office Depart-ment," which is charged to that Department, and paid for "out of the public contingent fund." Those articles are procured by the messenger, and are entered in a pass-book kept by him. I have also furnished paper and other articles of stationery for Mr. Kendall's private use. These have been ordered by his servant, or by members of his family; sent to his private re sidence, and charged, in every instance, to his individual account. WASHINGTON CITY, July 1, 1838.

account.

I remain, very respectfully, Your obedient servant, JOHN T. SULLIVAN.

HOD. A. DUNCAN.

I know that most men think Mr. Kendall overscrupulous, and too precise on these points; but to all such suggestions he replies, that it is impossible for a man to err on that side, and that he will go out of office as conscious of rectitude as he came in.

And has this man done nothing for the public that he should thus be pursued with never-ending Has he not been efficient and faithful in abuse? every public trust? Could the duties of Fourth Auditor be better discharged than they were by him? Did he not bring the Post Office Department out of deep embarrassment as by magic? Has he not almost doubled its business and usefulness? Has he not, with great skill, sustained its credit under circumstances which shook that of all the banks in the country, and of most business men? In fine, could that Department be managed with more efficiency or better success?

Sir, it is for his virtues, his talents, and his success, that the bank stipendiaries hate him. They know he will not corrupt, and cannot be corrupted. He was efficient in putting down the Bank of the United States; and he desires to see the Government independent of institutions which, experience proves, have not discretion enough to manage their own affairs, and, of course, not enough to be trusted with the use or custody of the public money. These are his real offences. It is feared that his integrity, his efficiency, and his success will give weight to his opinions and his judgment; and every pretext is seized on to assail him. He has sent a few prospectuses of a newspaper to a few friends; he has expressed his opinions in certain letters and toasts; he takes a few newspapers to see what complaints there are of the mails, and what suggestions of improvement; and he received three hundred and sixteen dollars for his expenses in negotiating with the State banks! Are these his only crimes? No, sir. It is made an offence in him to have said that he could sustain the credit of his department without the help of Congress! The Treasury De-partment is abused because it wanted help, and the Post Office Department because it did not; as if to put it out of the power of Mr. Kendall to to sustain the credit of his department, we have been throwing extra allowauces upon him, and endeavoring to force upon him in the present depressed condition of the revenue, near 500 new any extra compensation; the service rendered was

mail routes to be put in operation. And I have seen men exult here, under the impression that they would thus embarrass him, that they had "got him up a tree at last." It has even been said that he was already embarrassed; but this is so far from the truth, that the most credulous do not believe it. Their "wish is father to the thought." The Committee on the Post Office Expenditures, contains a majority of Opposition men. If they find the Department embarrassed, why do they not show the fact? Do you think, sir, the matter would not be examined into, and reported if there was the slightest faith in the assertion? If it were so, we should soon know it, and the exultation of certain patriotic gentlemen on this floor, would know But, sir, scrutiny is invited, and mano bounds. lignant aspersion defied. Instead of having treed their game, gentlemen will find themselves still "barking up the wrong tree."

I cannot help giving some very conclusive evidences of the sincerity of the bank attorneys, in their attack upon the Administration in money matters.

Mr. Kendall is abused for having received \$316 to refund his expenses, after performing a journey on public business. Now let us see what the bank men did while in power.

Mr. Southard was Secretary of the Navy in 1826, 7, 8. He is now, I understand, one of the pillars of the party; a man whom they specially delight to honor. He had a chief clerk, named Hay; he was positively forbidden by law to advance the public money to any body but disbursing officers of the navy, and persons employed in the naval service, on distant stations; yet, though thus positively forbidden, Mr. Southard advanced to his chief clerk \$800 of the public money, in November 1826, and sent him to New Orleans to look after the accounts of a former navy agent. He did nothing there whatsoever, but get \$100 more from the navy agent; and without any bills rendered, Mr. Southard allowed him \$800 for his expenses, when he was allowing only \$200 to officers of the navy for the expenses of the same journey. Would you believe it, sir, after hearing my colleague's speech ? This man was receiving his \$2,000 salary as chief clerk during the whole period of his absence. In what case has any member of the present Administration so grossly and directly violated the law?

This Mr. Southard, as Secretary of the Navy, was also Commissioner of the Navy Pension Fund, which was vested in stocks. On the 1st of July 1828, these stocks were paid off to the amount of \$281,384 72, and on the 1st of January, 1829. to the amount of \$243,880 17 more. These were not reinvested until February 17th, 1829, by which means more than \$9,000 of interest was lost. Who was using the money in the mean time, is what I do not know. Mr. Hay having written a letter or two on the subject, and perhaps conferred with the cashier of the branch bank in this city, made out an account, charging half per cent. commission for reinvesting these stocks, and \$150,000 more which had been reinvested in 1825. Mr. Hay was still Mr. Southard's chief clerk, at a salary of \$2,000 per year; he was cut off by law from receiving

-2

bably occupy him two hours; and yet Mr. Sonthard ing on it as a deposite. allowed him this monstrous charge of \$3,376 32, and he pocketed the money. Thus was this charity men, and their families plundered; yes; sir, plundered, by loss of interest through neglect, and cash actually paid Hay, in violation of law, of about THIRTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS; and yet the men who want to restore the dynasty who did this, mouth at us about corruption and abuses which now exist only in their own corrupt hearts. Has any thing like this been done by the present Administration? No, sir, it has put an end to the allowances of commissions in all such eases.

Let us look a little further at the pure dynasty whom the reign of banks is to restore.

Upon Mr. Scuthard's recommendation Mr. Adams, in 1828, I believe, appointed one Andrew Armstrong, navy agent at Lima, in Peru. This is believed to be the only instance on record in which a foreign navy agent was nominated to the Senate, that class of officers having been considered special agents, not expressly provided for in the law. Mr. Southard then advanced to Armstrong \$10,000 out of the Treasury. What this was for, nobody out of the secret could divine; for exchange at Lima is always in favor of the United States, and it scemed ridiculous to send money to a country where money grows. But a reason has been discovered. No sooner was the money got, than \$2,000 of it was lent to Mr. Southard's chief clerk for two years, without interest, and was never repaid by him! Whether this was the true reason for a departure from all usage in this proceeding, I know not. Whether Mr. Armstrong thus bought his appointment, I know not. All I know is the fact I have stated, and every one must draw his own inferences.

What an outery is kept up, Mr. Cha'rman, by the Bank advocates, upon the talse allegation that the Administration is seeking, by the Independent Treasury plan, to get the public money into their possession for singler purposes? Those who are struggling to get the public money for private uses, are clamoring to the skies against those who wish it kept by sworn and bonded officers, subject to heavy penalties, for public purposes only!! Let us see what was the practice of these men when in power.

When Mr. Southard was Secretary of the Navy, he converted the public cannon and cannon-shot into money to the amount of \$25,000, and had the money placed to his own credit in the Branch Bank in this city! But, sir, 1 will give you particulars. General Mason, who has a toundry near George- agent to make certain payments, and by commistown, was applied to by some of the South Ameri-isions and a double salary, in violation of law, incan authorities for a quantity of cannon; but he had | creased his compensation to upwards of \$2,000 a none on hand, or no. enough to meet the order. So, to enable him to make a good speculation, Mr. Southard lent him a quantity of the public cannon ticed. and shot, and, as security for the return of new vances on account of the Government during the guns to their place, required him to deposite last war with Grent Britam, upon which he claim-\$25,000, as already stated. It was, I believe, about fed interest. That claim, amounting to \$7,679 64, or eighteen mentils before General Mason completed thereabouts, was allowed by Smith Thompson, Sethe detiveries to replace these cannon, and in the cretary of the Navy, in 1819, and passed to the mean time the money remained at Mr. Southard's agent's credit.

properly a part of his regular duty, and did not pro-1 do. If he did not use it, the bank did, by disconst-

Now I should like to know what law there was for such a transaction? I should like to know what fund for the support of the crippled officers and sea- law authorized Mr. Southard to lend the public property, and receive money into his cron pocket. (for that is the amount of it,) as security for its restoration? It is in palpable violation of all faw and all propriety. But did the Bank advocates ever whisper a word of censure against this illegal conduct of one of their great champions? Not a lisp, sir. All is right, because a Bank man did it! The public property or money may be lent out and used by banks and their peculiar friends with perfect impunity; and to put a stop to it is a humbug and a crime! But have the gentlemen found a case like this, in which any member of the present Administration has put \$25,000 of the public money or property into his pocket for a twelvemonth? No, sir, nothing like it. If they could, we should begin to hear, and justly, too, of impeachment by this House. Yes, sir, any public officer who will commit such an ontrage, ought to be instantly impeached.

The corruptions and abuses of the Clay-bank administration were manifold and glaring.

The same Secretary of the Navy, aided the Fourth Auditor in traudulently drawing thousands from the Treasury, and afterwards attempted to screen him from punishment by withholding important facts, when sworn before a grand jury and petit jury to tell "the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth."

He directed the Fourth Auditor to settle a claim of Messrs. Allen and Leonard of New York, which was originally about \$3,500, as stated by themselves, but had been increased by additional charges, from time to time, until it was swollen to upwards of \$10,000, and, under the direction so given, upwards of \$11,000 were allowed, \$1,000 of which were forthwith lent to the same Fourth Auditor, and never paid!

He violated the positive laws of the land in multitudes of cases, by causing moneys to be expended for one purpose, when they were appropriated for another.

He made to Congress estimates for the service of the Navy Department which were false, and, year after year, spent, for contingencies, nine to ninety thousand dollars more than was appropriated; taking it out of other appropriations, in violation of law, and concealing the fact from Congress.

He made use of a favorite clerk in the Fourth Auditor's office, at a salary of \$1,400, as special year for a series of years.

But the most obnoxious case remains to be no-The Navy Agent at Boston had made ad-The agent went out of office in credit, unless he used it, as it was in his power to 1526, and early in 1828, he made out an account ard, who wrote thus on the voucher with his own hand, viz: "Let him be allowed interest upon the aggregate advance of \$3,899 72, in conformity with the decision made by Mr. Secre tary Thompson on the former accounts. S. L. S." Sth March, 1828.

Accordingly interest was calculated on the voucher up to the time of settlement in 1819. This shows that Mr. Southard knew the interest account had been settled.

Soon afterwards, the late Navy Agent trumped up a new interest account upon his war advances, obviously unfounded and false in every particular. This new claim was submitted to Mr. Southard, who wrote a letter to the Fourth Auditor, as follows, viz:

NAVY DEPARTMENT, May 21, 1829. BIR: Having examined the claims of Amos Binxey, lare Navy Agent at Boston, as far as the pressure of business during a ses-sion of Congress will permit, I see no reason to doubt the jus-tice of a claim on his part for losses on Treasury noise, AC. But I do not foul at like try to authorize the allowance of energy of the I do not feel at liberty to authorize the allowance of any of the items, except for interest actually paid by him which you will be pleased to settle.

"For the other claims, he will he obliged to address himself to Congress, where, I do not doubt that his application will be successful. "Lam respectfully, & c. SAML L. SOUTHARD." "T. WATRINS, E-q. Fourth Auditor."

The Auditor took up the case, and passed an count allowing upwards of THIRTY-ONE account allowing upwards of THIRTY-ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS for interest, when all parties concerned, Mr. Southard, the Auditor and the claimant, KNEW that every cent of just claim for interest had been settled and paid nine years before! Yes, sir, Mr. Southard knew it. I have given an entry of his on a voucher, dated 8th of the preeeding March, not three months prior to his letter to the Auditor, conclusively proving that he knew 1!!

But this is not all. This allowance gave Mr. Binney no ready money. It all went as a set-off against moneys due from him to the Government, leaving him still in debt over \$9,000. He could not, therefore, readily pay his corrupt instrument from his public duties, except when there is a bank in this and many other gross frauds on the Govern- question on hand. There is no aba e or corruption ment. But Mr. Southard was kind enough to help him out. Money could not be legally advanced to him out of the Treasury, because he was not a disbursing officer, having been two years out of office; but law, or want of law, was no obstacle in those they understand the game, will be content to be glorious times, which my colleague thinks are soon to be restored. So Mr. Southard advanced to that man, who was officially reported in debt to the Government about \$9,000, the comfortable additional sum of \$30,060 more out of the Treasury! Yes, sir, having allowed a corrupt claim of more than \$30,000, he puts the recipient of his bounty in cash by advancing kim, in the face of the law, \$30,000 more, to be covered by claims equally fraudulent and corrupt; and it was afterwards covered by his friend, the Fourth Auditor, and the Government States, upwards of \$17,000, and probably \$24,000 brought in debi upwards of \$49,000, in addition; more from the branches. What a pure Governbut the accession of the no-reform Administration ment we shall have, when the President, heads of of General Jackson, prevented the consummation Departments, and a majority of Congress, shall be of this last outrage.

in cash? I have heard what became of a part of it, chanics, and all other honest men in the country,

for certain alleged omissions in his former ac-|but I cannot prove it. So far, I have not spoken what I believe merely, but what I can prove. In relation to the men and things of that day, I believe many things which I cannot prove. I be lieve some \$10,000 of the \$30,000 advanced by Mir. Southard, went directly to men in power, or was applied to promote their designs. A charmingly pure Administration that was, when Secretaries put the public money in their pockets, \$25,000 at a lick, and distributed it gratuite sly to their favorites, or investments \$30,000 at a time! Can the impudent assailants of the present Administration justly charge it with crimes like these? Yet, they are zealous to thrust out the men who have put a stop to these corruptions, and restore to power those who committed them. What a Fourth Auditor got fraudulently from the Treasury, Secretaries guzzled down in wines and meats, and other luxuries at his table. or won of him in gaming. The Bank candidate for the Presidency has been grossly wronged, if he did not, in the way of brag and other games, profit more by the current frauds on the Treasury, than the men who committed them. But that was all pure and right, Mr. Speaker; to put an end to it is no reform, for which Mr. Van Buren ought to be put out, aud Mr. Clay put in.

And where now is the man who committed, authorized, or winked at, all these concuptions? Do you find any account of him in my colleague's speech? Not a word, sir. There is too much of a f-llow-feeling between them. Mr. Southard, who held the Navy Department, is now one of the openmouthed foes to Executive corruption and abuse; but if report speaks true, he profits as much by bank abuses, as he could have formerly done by Government abuses. He is president of one of the gainbling bank concerns of the day, a particular adjunct and favorite of the Biddle bank, at a salary of \$6,000 a year! He holds a public station which gives him \$8 per day, and at the same time I am told receives his thousands in fees for practising as a lawyer in the Supreme Court. He is paid as a bank president, a legislator, and a lawyer, all at the same time, and of course, is absent no.1 of his time in this. To be under pay by a bank, while pretending to serve the people, is no offence in the eyes of those who would pull down this Administration. But do you think, sir, the people, when governed by bank presidents from New Jersey, and bank attorneys from Ohio? The banks pay better than the people. It is but a small part of \$6,000 which a member of Congress gets from the people; but the banks can afford to pay thumping salaries and fees, especially to those who are honest enough to represent them in Congress instead of their gonstituents. One Henry Clay, who is tooked to as the restorer of the old order of things, is knowing to have received in fees, from the Bank of the United this last outrage. presidents, directors, stockholders, debiers, and What was done with the \$30,000 thus advanced attorneys of banks! Should not the farmers, the

4

To bring suspicion on innocent men, s one of the arts used by villains to escape detectioin. Our bank orators and stipendiaries practice upon this principle. Corrupt themselves to the very core, they are elernally bawling corruption against the Administration, hoping to gain credence by their impudence and their perseverance. The very men who have practised all sorts of abuse and corruptions at the expense of the people while in power, and are sold body and soul to banks, great and small, are now spending their money to disseminate far and wide a certain speech, knowing it to be charged with false and libellous imputations from beginning to end. To add the honors of office, and the emoluments of Government corruption, to those of bank corruption which they now enjoy, without regard to honor, justice, decency, or truth, is the great end and aim of all this false clamor and mischievous industry.

Let the people not be deceived. Great reform was notoriously accomplished by Gen. Jackson's administration in expelling from power the direct, profligate, and felonious plunderers of the Treasury. Yet it is said there has been no reform! and the people are appealed to to restore the old order of things. Yes, to restore Southard to the Navy Department, and perchance Watkins to the Fourth Auditor's Office, and Clay, the head man of the whole corrupt gang, not to the State Department, but to the Presidency de jure, as he once was Presi-dent de facto. And poor old Gen. Harrison having, with one White, been used by them until they feel strong, is to be laid on the shelf, and yield all honors to Monsieur Brag, the corruptest of the corrupt. Whether honest men of any party can be so deceived as to relish such reform, remains to be seen.

My colleague has made an attack on the War Department, and because there is an increase of clerks and expenditures consequent upon the increase of business and unavoidable duties to more than double of what they were in the times of the economical administration of Messrs. Adams and Clay, and has attempted to impress the public mind that extravagance and profligacy mark this Department with the rest, and that reform promised has been neglected in relation to this Department.

To ascertain the facts, I called upon Mr. Poinsett, the Secretary of War, and presented him a copy of my colleague's speech, with a request that he would furnish me answers to the allegations and charges contained in said speech. In conformity with my request, he has been kind enough to fur nish me with the following statements. They are full and complete so far as they go, and will be satisfactory to all who hear or see them. Comment is unnecessary. Time and space have not permitted a further investigation into this and other Departments; but I have little doubt that the result, on ments; but I have fulfic doubt that the result, on perret to; this addition of the reset. continues to the adjusti-further investigation, would be the same. I before stated that absess do exist, always have existed, and always will exist, in this and in the adminis-tration of all other Governments. It is impossible that this vast political federal fabric can be con-by the act of Congress of the 3d of March, 1837, referred to to sate of the persent contact of the second to be adjustion when by an act approved on the 4th of July of that year, pro-vision was made for a messenger in fleu of the sergeant, whose shart this vast political federal fabric can be con-

depravily exist and form a part of the very nature of men, by whom it is governed. But here follows the statement from the War Department:

PAYMASTER GENERAL'S OFFICE, PAYMASTER GENERAL'S OFFICE, Washington, July 5, 1838. SIR: In compliance with your instructions to examine Mr. Bond's speech, as published in the National Intelligence of the 4th and 5th of May last, and report how his statements agree with the facts as they are known to exist in this office, I have

with the facts as they are known to exist in this office, I have the honor to submit the following statement: Mr. Bond says, "in 1828, the Paymaster General employed three clerks, whose united compensation was \$3,900." "In 1838 the salary of the same number of clerks is \$4,290, besiles the messenger's salary." The estimates submitted to Congress for those years, show that the sums asked for the clerks and messenger in the office of the Paymeter General were as follows:

of the Paymaster General. were as follows:

m 19%9'	- Clerks in t	ne o	ince of	tne Pa	ymasie	
	General		•	-	· .	\$3,900
Do.	"Messenger			•		700
						\$4.600

In 1838 .- "Clerks and messenger in the office of the Paymaster General 6,100

Difference between the two years	-	-	\$1,500

The clerks and messenger in the office of the Paymaster General are appointed under the act of the 20th April, 1812, which provides for, viz:

1 chief cletk, at a 1 clerk	a salary of	\$1,700		-	\$1,700
		1,400			1,400
2 clerks	44	1,150	each		2,300
3 clerks	**	-1,000	each	-	3,000
1 clerk	"				800
1 messenger	66	700	•	-	700

\$9.900

The business of the office has not required at all times so The business of the office has not required at all times so many clerks, and they have been reduced accordingly. In 1828, but three were employed, and not all of these at the high-est salaries. The numerous volunteers and miltita, called into service to suppress Indian hostilities, increased the business so much as to make it indispensably necessary to re-employ one of the five additional clerks to which the office is entitled, and, in order to retain the services of completent persons, to allow to those employed the highest salaries provided by law. This makes the difference in the estimates for the two years. It is still competent for the Secretary of War, under the act of the 20th of April, 1818, to appoint for this office three addi-tional clerks at \$1,000 cach per anoun. and one at \$650 per

tional clerks, at \$1,000 cach per annum, and one at \$200 per Very respectfully, Your øbedient servant, N. TOWSON, P. M. G. annum.

To the Hon. J. R. POINSETT, Secretary of War.

Comparative statement of the number of persons employed in the Quartermaster General's office, in the years 1823 and 1838.

In 1-28-3 officers; 2 clerks; 2 sergeants, acting as clerks; 2 sergeants, acung as messengers; total, 9. In 1833–2 officers; 6 clerks; 1 messenger; total, 9. Nore.—It will be seen that there is no increase in the num-

her of persons employed in the Quartermaster General's office, since 1828. The only change is in the substitution of clerks for officers and sergeants then employed. During the last two years, the duties of the office have been increased fourfold. T. CROSS, Actig Q. G.

QUARTERMASTER GENERAL'S OFFICE ( Washington city, July 5, 1838.

SURGEON GENERAL'S OFFICE. July 3, 1838.

SURGEON GENERAL'S OPPICE. July 3, 1828. S1R: In obedience to your instructions, I have examined the speech of Mr. Bond of Ohio, so far as it relates to this office, and have the honor to report, that the regular solary of the clerk employed in this office is the same as was received by him in 1828, viz: \$1,150 per annum, to which 10 per cent was added, during the last year, by an act of Congress, making \$1,255 per annum, and hot \$1,266, as stated in the speech re-evered to: this addition of 10 per cost continues to the addurraferred to; this addition of 10 per cent, continues to the adjourn-

when stating the salary of the clerk, so that the actual diffe rence consists in paying the messenger by specific appropria-tion, instead of his being paid from the army appropriation, as of his being when a sergeant was end. I have the bonor to be, Very respectfully, Your obedient servant, BENJAMIN KING, - Surgeon General was the case when a sergeant was employed.

Hon. J. R. Poinsett, Acting Secretary of War, Washington. Acting Surgeon General

OFFICE OF THE COM. GEN. OF SUB. Washington, July 3, 1838.

Sta: In compliance with your request of 2d inst. the speech of Mt. Bond of the 4th and 4th May ult, has, as lar as regards this office been examined, and I have the honor to report, that in that speech as published in the "National Intelligencer," it is asserted, that

In 1828, the Subsistence Department employed four clerks, whose joint compensation was \$2,950; and

In 1838, the Subsistence Department employs four clerks, whose joint compensation is \$5,880.

In IS23, the number of cierks permanently employed in the Subsistence Department was three, and their compensation was, thus: \$2,150.00

I clerk per act, 2d March, 1827	•		800 0	ŏ	1
			e9.050_0	2	1

I clerk temporarily employed by permission of the 300.00 Secretary of War at \$25 per month

> \$3.250.00 Making .

At that period, an orderly sergeant was employed as a messenger, who received his compensation from the "pay of the Army."

Army." By the 1st section of the act of 9th May, 1836, the employment of non-commissioned officers in any of the offices of the De-partment of War was prohibited; and it became necessary to ask compensation for a messenger to be paid out of the appropriation for the civil list.

In 1838, the compensation of clerks and messengers in the Subsistence Office is,

1 principal	clerk pe	ract, 3d	l March	, 1835 –	-	-	\$1,600.00	1
1 clerk	- '	-				•	1,200 00	2
I do ·	-	•	•	-	•	•	1,200-00 1,000-00	v

And the messenger paid by appropriation -

. Aggregate

By act 3d March, 1837, Congress added ten per centum upon 82,800, of the above salaries making -And upon \$1,500, including the messenger, twenty \$280.00 per cent.

Making Which added	to th	e above		•	•	\$.580_00 4,300_00	
Makes					•	\$4.880.00	

being \$1,000 less 'paid to clerks permanently employed, than stated by Mr. Bond; but when the resolution of Congress of lst Pebruary, 1356, passed, 'authorizing the President to furnish rations to certain inhabitants in Florida;'' the Secretary of War directed that a special account should be kept in this office of the issues under that resolution; the permanent force in the office being insufficient for that purpose, in addition to their other duties, it became necessary to employ a clerk to attend espeat  $\mathbf{s}_{1,0}$  on that business, whose annual compensation was fixed at  $\mathbf{s}_{1,0}$  o, which added to the other items, constitutes the amount as stated in the speech.

When the department was rendered permanent by act of 3d March, 1835, the then Secretary of War thought that the sala-ries of the elerks in this office, should approximate as nearly as practicable, those of clerks employed in the civil bureans, as as practicable, those of clerks employed in the civil bureans, as he was particularly aware, that the salaries previously path, were inadequate to the services rendered, and the responsibility attached to the situations; but inevery instance where compen-sation to clerks or messenger in this office has been paid, it has been done invariably under acts passed by Congress itself, except in the two instances above mentioned. Very respectfully, Your most obelient servant, GEO, GIBSON, C. G. S.

Hon. J. R. POINSETT, Secretary of War.

### INDIAN DEPARTMENT.

ASSERTION No. 1-The business of Indian Affairs was discharged by some one or two of the seventeen clerks employed in the War Department.

FACT-In 1828, four clerks were engaged in the transaction of dais business—Mr. McKenney, Mr. Hamilton, Mr. Kurtz and Mr. Miller. The Secretary then conducted a much lager portion of the correspondence, and supervised the details far more ex

Assertion No. 2-In 1828, there was one Superintendent of Indian Affairs, who was paid \$1,500 per annum.

FACT-In 1828, there was one Superintendent, *eo nomine*, at \$1,500, and three Governors of Territories, acting as Superintendents, one at \$1,500 and two at \$750. These allowances were tendents, one at \$1,500 and two at \$750. These allowances were made by Mr. Calhoun m 1821, 1822 and 1823; to the Governor of made by Mr. Calhoun in 1821, 1822 and 1823; to the Governor of Michigan in 1821; (see -r. O's ans act to the resolution of the House of R presentatives of January 18th, 1832, State papers, 1st session 17th Congress, vol. 6th, Doc. 60;) to the Governor of Arkanassin 1822; and to the Governor of Florida in 1823; (see extract herewith of letter to Governor Duval of October 25, 1930) 1823;) aggregate compensation \$4,500.

Extract of a letter from the Secretary of War to his Ex-cellency William P. Duvali, dated 25th October, 1823. "Your letter of the 21 nlt. was duly received.

"Upon a review of all the circumstances, the following prin-ciple has been adopted for the settlement of your claum to com-pensation as superintendent of Indian affairs in Florida, to wit:

"For the time past when there was no Indian agent for Flori-

da, an allowance will be made to you, as superintendent, at the rate of fitteen hundred dollars per annum, which is the rate of compensation allowed to the Indian agent now authorized by law

"Since the appointment of an Indian agent for the Territory, in virtue of the authority above mentioned, and for the future, an allowance will be made to you at the rate of seven hundred and fifty dollars per annum, which is intended to cover the ex-pense for clerk hire, office rent, and all others necessarily incurrent by you in your intercourse with the Indians, that being, the same amount as is now allowed to the Governor of the Ar-kansas Territory, and is considered a fair compensation for all expenses incurred by him of a similar nature "

ASSERTION No. 3-In 1838, we find four Superintendents of Indian Affairs, with salaries of \$1,500 each.

Indian Anarrs, with salaries of  $s_{1,500}$  each. FACT -In 1338, there is one Superintendent at \$1,500, and one Governor (of Wisconsin) acting as such, whose compensation as Governor and Superintendent is fixed, by law, at \$2,500. Adopting the principle of division established this session, in regard to the Governor of Iowa, and his pay as Superintendent will be \$1, c00; aggregate \$2,500.

The other two acting Superintendents are Indian Agents, and receive only the pay of scents, as fixed by the law of 1834. This is stated in a note in the Blue Book, page 91, to which Mr. B. evidently had referred.

B. evidently had referred.
AssERTION No. 4—In 1828, there were twenty-one Indian AssERTION No. 4—In 1828, there were twenty-one Indian (AssERTION No. 4—In 1828, there were twenty-one Indian (AssERTION No. 4), and there at \$1,300, six at \$1,200, see State papers, 2d session 20th Congress, 3d vol. Doc. 117, rage 7.) and twenty-eight sub agents. In 1838, ten Indian Agents at \$1,500, and fourteen sub-agents at \$150.
FACT—In INSS, there are but eight Indian Agents, exclusive of the two acting as Superintendents, and whom Mr. B has count-end as such-

ed as such.

In 1828, the appropriations for the Superintendents and Indian Agents was \$31,000 - In 1838 it is \$16,500.

In 1828 the appropriation for sub-agents was \$15,100-In 1838 it is \$13.000.

In 1528 the number of interpreters at agencies is eight less than in 1528. The average pay of an interpreter in 1528 was \$:00 per annum, (see Blue Book for that year,) making the ag-

5.00 per annum, (see bine mook for that year,) making the aggregate compensation of the thirty-nine employed in that year \$15,600 - Appropriation in 1838, \$9,300. Assertrox No.5 - In 1838 we hear of a commissioner whose salary is \$3,000, and 12 clerks and two messengers, whose joint commensation is \$19,400.

compression is 819,400.  $F_{ACT}$ —in 1828, Governor Cass and General Clark, at the in 'vitation of the Secretary of War, prepared a report, containing, among other things, "a code of regulations for the government, of the (holian) Department, and for the general administration of its affairs." This was in the form of a bill. The first section is the for the paper for the government is 300° the provided for the appointment of a commissioner at \$3,000; the second prescribed his duties; the third related to the number of stelear the furth relation in the formation of the second prescribed his second prescribed his duties; the third related to the number of second prescribed his duties; the third related to the number of clerks; the fourth gave him the franking privilege, and the fifth authorized the employment of a messenger. These five cections are stated to "contain substantially the provisions of a bill reported by the Committee on Indian A; ars' in the House of Representatives, at the first session of the same Congress."—Gee State Papers 2d session of the 20th Congress, Vol. 3d, Doc. 117, p. 4.) This report was submitted to Congress by the Secretary of War, " with a respectful but earnest recommendation."

' So, then, it appears that this Indian Department, with its Commissioner at §3000, clerks, and meseness, was first pro-posed by a Whig committee, under a Whig administration, in the very year 1828, when the business was not more than one-third of what it is 1828.

\$2,950\_00

\$3,802.00

\$4,300.00

500.00

(che number of clarks now employed in the Iadian office is 12 The number in 1829 was 4. In 1859 1 was added; in 1831 another; and 'as 1832 a messenger. In the latter year, the busi-roes of emigration was committed to the Commissary General, who employed 5, and a messenger. In 1836, 2 were authorized by law to be comployed on the loginess of bottom reservations. The increase was occasioned in 1830 and 1831 by the passage of And inference wave consistent in resonance is an increasing on passing or the act of M by 28 h, 150, providing for an exchange of lands, and the treary of September 27th, 150, with the Chocraws, ac-cording to the stipulations of which, their removal was immeduately commenced. The provisions in the same treaty, in that with the Cricks of March 24 1832, and with the Chickasaws in 1352 and 1854, granting reserves to individuals a d he do of families, to the comber of about 10,000, and which were the first provisions of the kind to any extent, authorized in the judgmen of Congress the employment of the other two in 1833. The whole number was 13.

The businers of emigration has been transferred to the Iodian Office; the number of clerks is 12, and the number of messengers is 2.

gers is 2. Thus far for the L. Ii in Department in the two years specified, independent of treaty clipulations. The expense of supermi-tradents, accuss, sub-agents, and interpretens, in 1858, wa-  $\mathfrak{S}(1,270, in 1833, it is S3 (800), less in 1858, $22,170$ . This is ex-values of the compensation of the acting supermetendents, which, achus becomensation of the acting supermetendents. the entire difference in favor of 1833, 225 170.1

We now come to the composition of the Indian Department, under and by virtue of treaty stipulations,

Mr. B.md enquerates 6 superintendents of emigration, 15 cm-lucting at 1 carolling agents, 2 valuing agent, 8 collecting agents, 2 isoting agents, 16 assistant agents, 15 physicians, 11 rks, 14 interpreters, 2 conductors of exploring parties.

BBMARK — These are all engineed in the business of emigra-tion. As no agains of either of these classes are started to have been employed in 15.8, or prior thereto, the impression wi Inaturally be that none were employed.

turally be that nece were employed. Where  $K_{ACT} = 0$  that represent the transmission of the start of the set of the s of each class, a store pay of each, cannot be accertained with-out reference to the Auditor's Office, and a long examination the fact of their employment is proved by the correspondence in the Indian Office - Some appointments of the same kind were also made under the treaty with the Cherokees of May 6, 15.8 REMARK - The treaty with the Choctaws of September 27,

**Star** is the first under which emigration took place exten-sively; and it wisher mill the following year that it commenced with much vigor under that with the Cherokees of 1893; and it was in 1931 that, for the first time, regulations were preserved which gave focus and system to the business of removal. These provided for the employment of the different class so a garage provided for the employment of the different class set of agents provide the set of polynomial of the under the data set of agona above enumeration. These were not only  $a_0$ ;  $\tau$  words the President,  $hu^2$ , slightly revised have been append at to the president from the hadian Office for the last year.

year. For the reason obleaces of them, consider the number of the For the reason web, and the stipulations to be fulfilled. Some the baging of 1.25, sixty-four treaties have been made with Hodans to be seen this yefour precided for the renewal of the Hodans to be velociton of their ing overneeds, stock, we had their subsistence for twelve mostly at their new homes. Liv-ng indifficient systems of the country, different sits of areass mean new softer modeled the mostly in each east being were new solly employed, the number in each ease being graduated where some is the function of industs, and the amount of services the result rother and the remined of the larger tribes, ageneral on resters here is been searching as the only means of ageneral set control but was essential as the only means of preserving a consy and sub-orbitation ansoig the other agrads, and amove the LC loss, and of conserving unity of action. All responsibility was devolved upon him. To him was computed the set of the set o With the weather strength on the indication of the tensor of the Physics of the Checker strength of the S00; Maj. Updar, the removal of the Checker strength of the S00; Maj. Checker, and Chippena's 6.70.

t There is a consider densitieration. The appropria-tions for the Liber Department (on a ron 1888, were under four heads, one of superinteration and genets, pay of sub-agents interact, and conclusion ends. The around and the better we follow which was any field in the discretion of the Department, and a confit were public effect on one interac-tions, and a confit were public effect on the to end on the start and control is were public effect on the one to employ built GS the approximations are seening, based on these and testicity and the estimates for which are minute and full.

4

Colonel Sands, discontinued, and duty transferred to Perper. There are, therefore, three at \$2,000, neither of whom will be in Increases, probably, at the close of the present yer, as all these hadians will be removed. There is one other at five deltars a day, collecting straggling Choctaws in Mississippi, whose employment is temporary, and the sixth, who received the same ry, has been discharged. Of the filteen enrolling and conducting agents, five are em-

Of the three enroring and conducting agenes, i.e. are en-ployed among the Pottawatemies. Ottowas, and Chip pewas, five among the Chickasaws, and five among the Chickers, who esservices still terminate before those of the superintend-The enrolment news exhibit the name of each bead of a tamily, and the number in each family, to be ascertained by tamily, and the number in each family, to be accertained by personal inquintes through the nation, and it is required to re-gulate the issues of rations on the route, and payments and -ubsidence after their arrival west. The conductor has charge of the movement of a party, directs the issues of provisions, the transportation of the siek, and of the baggage. Ac, and is held accountable for the delivery of the party to the egent of the Governmont west of the Mississippi. The interpreters are as necessary in the configuration as at the agencies; their com-tecements is blocker to exercise strengs of travelling. The pensation is higher, to cover the expenses of traveling, the employment of physicians is required by humanity, and the The perience with the earlier emigrants would not justify the Go-vernment in refusion to employ them, merely to avoid the exvernment in refusion globemploy, them, merely to avoid the expresses. Four of the physicians enumerated by Mr. Bond are provided for in 'recities; two in that with the Winnebagoes, of September, 1832, and two in that with the Ottowas and Chip-pewas; of March 18, 1836, in both the pay is fixed. Conductors of exploring particle-are also comployed under treaty stipulations. Mr. Boxis styre: "We find thirty three commissioners and special acents." special agents.

REMARK. Of the thirty-three, twelve were employed REMARK. Of the univerties, theory acts improved under resolutions or levil of Congress, directing negotistions, or the examination of claims six to execute treaty articles, and one conducted an exploring party, for which the appropriation will include at the suggestion of the Committee on Hultan Affairs in the Senate. Of the remaining fourteen, ten were employed by the express requirement of laws or treaties, two to execute treaty articles, one to conciliate the wild tables of the practices. and bring deputations of them to Washington, and the last is the district attorney in Florida, charged with temporary duty, having in view the removal of the Apalachicolas. Of the -three, there is but one not authorized and required by rethirty solution, law, or treaty, and that one has been employed to preserve the peace of the Western fronticr. Since the 30th of September, 1537, the date of the report from which Mr. B. quotes, 19 of 4f the 23 have been discontinued. The pay of com-missioners was, as far Lock as 1801, eight dollars, per day, from the time they left home, until they returned, and all necessary Dearborn to Messrs. Davie, Wilkinson and Hawkins, American Stere Papers, Judian Affairs, vol. 1, page 650.)

Mr. Boxp presents an array of fifty three blacksneiths, twenty farmers, eighteen teachers, five toillers, one surveyor, and five miscellateous agenes. It is enough to say with regard to these, that with the exception of one in the last class, who is a mes that with the except on of one in the last class, who is a mes-senger in the effice of the Acting Stype-interedent at Detroit, performing duty also as a cb rk, at 830 per month. (not a very extravergant allowance,) they are all employed in the fulfil-ment of recary stypelations, and that when the treaty does not fix their compression, it is regulated by the Physectics, of the act of Ime 3004, 18-11, or anzing the D partnerst of Indan Affidirs. Every case conversant with Indian relations, knows that is convergence conversant with Indian relations, knows that, in every negatration, the badaas wish provision made for similar, invincis, and trachers. Act, and the Government has alwhere a control reachers &y, and the Government has al-where a control, because such measures will conduce to their civilization. The amount set apart for these controls of civilization. The emonates et apart for these purposes, forms a part of the consideration paid for the land coded by the Ina pair of the sonsideration paid for the lated celled by the In-lands. If does parsons were not complexed, so match more measurement have been paid to the holisms. But in this case, too, We Bond leaves at to be is ferred, that such persons were on in the service in 1828. But from a very lasty examination of the reports from twoorf the superintendencies, St. Jours and Micheem, it appears that there then were therty blacksmith a twenty-two halonees, thus framers, the targeters, three physics the negative accession entropy one for the second determinant of MASS transford out special access. As he is probable that most of the means under which they were exployed, an still in fores, and of coarse these appointments make a part of those entroperated. by Mr. Bad.

But, says Mr. B. even Bist's not all. The Commissioner of Rule size Mr. B. even the same ab. The Combine some of Indian Affairs size the beta in be line loos, is not accurate eq complete. He leaves, must called rather. And why did he not quote the rasses residued by the Commustioner? In the mg acquired that the messes of all the persons on played on the 20 h freq to do should be given it is impossible. physed on the 10 n f optimal color should be given, it is impossible to present the n-turns in standard model with the Referen-Bestle this, nonverture color neglect to make returns, and in other cases it is to practicable, from a variety of causes to obtain the regist is in formation. This before the to copie's an faccurate, so far a this office has the means of making it so.<sup>19</sup> Knowing well the provisions in the treatnes, the Commany Government on the part of Messrs. Blair and sioner could not belp seeing at once that the returns did not em some robul not map seeing a once one returns on one en-brace all the pers us in the service. Taking only the treatise-made since 1828, (sixt)-four in number.) he knew that they provided for farty principal smiths, while the list shows only twenty-eight; the re-shue of the fity-three named by Mr. B.

being assistants; and so with the other classes of persens. "Here, indeed," exclaims Mr. B. "Is a display of patronage." Truly it is a great thing to have the appointment of a smith or a farmer for Lohans on the frontier, and hardly withto reach of a white settlement. But have as it happened that so much "patronage" rests with the Executivel Not by any set, pri-marily, of his own. It is Congress that dicects a treaty to be made, ratifies it, and makes appropriations to carry it into effect. If the Executive, in consequence, possesses power, in has been knowingly and deliberately given by Congress. fect.

It has been shown that the expenses of superint inlents, agents, and interpreters, is twenty-five thousand dollars less now agents, and interpreters, is twenty-five thousand dollars less now than in 1828. And more than that. In Doc. 474, House of Re-presentatives, First session, Twenty-third Congress, page 46, may be found an "organization of the Indian Department," pro-posed by the Committee on Iadian Afdiars, the experise of which is a fraction in reftern the present. This report was prepared by Mr. Everatt of Vermour, who deservedly acquired much credit by r, and the contributive constrainted on the reform and referencement, introduced by the laws then passed, and which wave less of norm u. which were 's sol upon it,

The sum of the matter is this. There have been sixty-four treaties made with balants since 1828. The S ares have been slowly and gradually reheved of their huhan population. The tribes have been soluted beyond the Mississippi and Missouri, so that intercourse with them is necessarily more expensive so that inferious with mem is necessarily more exponence than ever. Is we neutrice have been introduced into the as a The balance have non-been talked with merely to get their lands, been object due it only triffing and temporary with and left in the neugraportion they were found in. They have tail being the negribation of the were found in. They have had assigned to them a fertheau beddy country, and have been liberally supplied with ploughs, bees, and axes, for the new, and bounds burthe women, with mechanics to cardiand weak for then and formers to be all up have coded to the United of all his accounts with the public. The spe-states 18,2500 docress, for which the Covernment has sight of all his accounts with the public. The spe-states the should have been up and the second to be committee view of control by this House, and expected that all the should have been does at no is respect to the commenced the effort of the Opposition expenditure over that m1828

ments of the amount received by the Globe office, | forward to s-ignatize the Administr tion and the during the last and present Administrations, as proof afficial paper by their accusations. Only six weeks of the extra vagance of the Republicans, and ground of the session remained, and the Opposition knew of suspicion against the honesty of the editor. He how difficult it would be, when all the Jusiness of gives the sum total of the amount paid for plutting the session was had iled into this narrow space, to for Congress and the Departments, in the Globe of - take up a postponed question, especially in regard **\$220,000.** It take it for granted, having no oppor-tunity for the examination of the multitude of items have by a special committee, a Conservative [Mr. Hop-work is special committee, a Conservative [Mr. Hop-tunity is a special committee, a Conservative [Mr. Hopbeen disbursed through the Globe office for printing ( Rive, of Vinginia) moved a reconsiderate n. This and paper, and other materials necessary to this hung up the decision two weeks, and was, species of work, so absolutely indispensable in the 1 am afraid, intended for this purpose, proper discharge of the business of Congress and When the subject was again taken up, every the Departments. My colleague will allow that print- artifice was resorted to for the purpose of ing must be done for the Government. It is looked, defining inquary. It was first proposed to upon as the alory of our free Government, that all refer it to a standing committee, composed of one its acts are exhibited in print, and full information of the accusets [Mr. CROCKETT] and others, making afforded to an enlightened community of every a majority of Opposition members who had shown thing done by its public agents. This is the me-them-elves afraid of the investigation. If the case dimit through which the functionaries and representa- ) had been referred to the accusers as jadges, would tives of a people overspreading a continent, are post the whole inquiry have been smothered, or a reheld to an accountability, stricter than that which port as four and erroneous as the original charges the people of the ancient Republics could complete interference by these making them? The accusers [BOND, / mand in a district of country, not greater than one. Chookerr, and Canten] excited themselves in this of our large counties. The only questions brought anompy, but it was voted down by the House. up by my colleague's display of the public printing. An effect to lay the subject of the table, an so done by the Globe establishment are, has it here get rid of it, vias tried by the same party in done well? Has it been done the apply? Has it been done well? Has it been done to apply the same appointed, done hence dy? By biassing together the labors of a land had the instructions of the House to Liquite great establish text from  $r_{\rm e}$  from dation to the pressure the whole subject of the public pointing, when sent moment, report labors [Mr, B] would instruct to come forward and asked the ordinary power an aconstition of exorbitant charges against the of sending for persons and papers to obtain evi-

Rives. My colleague showed his caution in thus accusing by intendo. He was perfectly aware of the unimpeachable honesty of the proprietors of the Globe office, and did not dare to make a single specification against them. But a member from Tennessee, [Mr. CROCKETT,] in pursuing the game of the Opposition in a private letter, charged that three or four hundred thousand dollars of the publie incuey had been paid to the Globe office for printing the speeches of Messrs. BENTON and CALnous in defence of the Administration. His colleague, [Mr. CARTER,] perceiving that this exceeded by some bundreds of theusands all the public money that passed through the Globe office in payment for work done and materials pur hased for all the Departments and Congress, came to the rescue of his friend [Mr. CROCKETT] by declaring that a tariff had been loid on the pay of the clerks to make up the sum paid for publication. The moment that these charges appeared, the Editor of the Globe, through his paper, challenged an investization by a committee of Congress. The members of Congress who made them were told it was their duty to bring the debuquent they denounced to justice. My colleague and his accomplice advisors shrunk from meeting the man they had charged, befere a committee of our own body. Mr. Blair then appealed to this House, by petition, to refer to defeat, by indirection, the inquiry, which they My coll-agree has recourse to the official state-thnew would disprace the persons they had put

dence of the facts it was required to report, the have shown, they attempted to keep pending and Opposition opposed this, and moved to discharge the committee altogether from the duty assigned.

This failed, and the committee met and organized for the examination. If opened its sittings almost every morning at 8 o'clock, but not one of the accusing members came forward to make good their charges. At last the committee addressed a note to Mr. CROCKETT, inviting him to support by evidence, the allegations made by him in the House and his private letters. Mr. CROCKETT replied, if the investigation was to be confined to the prayer of the petition, to a scrutiny embracing only the accounts of the Globe office with the public Departments and Congress, he would have nothing to with it; but if the committee would go out of the instructions of the House, and inquire into the private accounts of the editor of the Globe, he would examine witnesses! How the committee treated this evasion, I am not informed; probably with silent shortest replies as a sample of the whole: contempt. But I am authorized by Mr. Blair to say that he is willing to submit his private accounts and his private life to the closest scrutiny. The bold assailants in this House skulking thus from the summons, the committee had no alternative but to seek out testimony on the allegations made before the representative body, by interrogating those who, from the nature of the charges, must know their truth or falsehood.

Mr. CROCKETT's charge, made by him in writing, verified by his signature, and secretly circulated, was couched in these words:

"Hundreds of thousands of Mr. Benton's and Mr. Calhoun's speeches, as I am informed, have been printed gratuitously, and circulated by 'the party' throughout the land. "My own opinion is, houestly, that they are paid for out of the

"My own opinion is, honesity, that they are paid for out of the public Trastry, and I believe it could be proven to the satis faction of every unprejudiced mind; at any rate I should like to know how *Dear Blair*, the printer of the Globe, can afford to print so many thonsand speeches' for nothing, and find himself? No man *ean* believe it who is not as blind with party prejudice as asnake in dog days. The cost at the ordinary rate cannot, 1 think, be less than from 3 to \$400,000."

As explained by his colleague (Mr. CARTER) in the presence of the House and of Mr. CROCKETT, and afterwards published by Mr. CARTER in the National Intelligencer, it steed thus:

"S.r, my colleague did not intend to say that the money was taken directly from the Treasury, and applied to these purtaken driveng from the treasury, and appned to mess pur-poses, but he intended to say, and does say, that the public money is indirectly appropriated, and applied to this specific use. Sic, are there no crounds for this opinion! If the salaried officers of the Government are paid extravaganily, and those salaries made sufficiently high to give the officer a reasonable compensation for his services, after contributing several hundiad dollars for purity purposes—to pay for these partisan speeches and extra Globes, if you please, which is sail to be coereed from them by this party regulation and discipline—I would ask, in the name of common sense, if this is not virtual. ly defraying these expenses ont of the public Treasury, to all intents and purposes? Sir, it is virtually the same thing, inst intents and purposes? Sir, it is virtually the same thing, just as much so as if the editor of the Globe was directed by the Goas much so as it the control the trippe was interior by the two-vernment to cause to be printed ten thousan 1 copies of that pa-per every week, and circulated to non-subscribers, and to draw his money directly from the Treasury. The effect is the san c; per every week, and circulated to non-subscribers, and to draw his money directly from the Treasury. The effect is the san c; the Government pays the mony in either case, and, in either point of view, improperly. Sir, were not the salaries of these officers increased the or twenty per cent, just before the last Pre-sidential election, upon the recommendation and vote of the party that reigned and ruled in this House so triumphantly— increased in this work as is said to be the tax laid and collect-d off those officers for party operations?<sup>29</sup>

This imputation on the Government and the Editor of the Globe thrown out in secret letters at first, and afterwards promulgated on the floor of this House, for the purpose of giving it the stamp of

unrefuted by an inquiry, until after the elections, was put down by a cloud of witnesses. The committee addressed a note to all the Departments, in parsuing the inquiry as to the facts pat in issue by Messis. CROCKETT and CARTER:

It was responded to by all the Departments; every one proving that no change in the ordinary price of printing had been made favorable to the Globe office; but on the contrary, the Department of State, Treasury and Post Office, point to particular instances of considerable reductions. The clerks of all the Departments have, in a body, given written testimony, signed by their names, establishing, in the most explicit manner, the utter want of foundation in truth for the assertion of the members from Tennessee, [Messrs. CROCKETT and CARTER,] that a latiff had been imposed on them to pay for publications at the Globe office. I select the

### NAVY DEPARTMENT

July 7, 1838. Str.: Therewith transmit the answers of pryself and clerks. and that of the clerks of the Navy Commissioners' office, to the queries propounded in your letter of the 3d instant. I have the honor to be, sir, Very respectfully,

### Your obedient servant, J. K. PAULDING.

Hon. Jas. J. McKAY, Chairman Select Commities House of Representatives.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, SS. Personally appeared before me, Robert Getty, one of the Jus-tices of the Peace for said District, James K. Paulding, Secretary of the Navy of the United States, and, being duly sworn,

and of the vary of the object states, and being duty swith,
That he does not know of any instance in which the Editors of the "Globe" have been allowed for printing for the Navy Department a compensation greater than that usually paid to other printers for printing, similar to that required to be done burght and burght.

by those Editors. 2. That he does not know any instance since he has been at the Head of the Navy Department, in which printing, not pro-perly chargeable to the United States, according to law or the usages of his predecessor, has been ordered and paid for out of the public fund, either to the Editors of the Gloke or any other printers; and that he does not know of any of the officers of the Navy Department being tariffed or required to raise funds to pay for public documents or speeches to be published at the

(0) a voir paon account of the mode or prices in the execution of the printing done for the Navy Department has been intro-duced since he has been at its head, differing from that cus-tourback with not for the sight out for the sight not tomary under his predecessors; and further soith not. J. K. PAULDING.

Sworn and subscribed this 7th day of June, 1838 ROB'T GETTY, J. P.

### NAVY DEPARTMENT, June 7, 1838.

The undersigned, clerks in the Navy Department, respectfully state, in answer to an inquiry contained in a letter of the 3d instaut, addressed to the secretary of the Navy by the Hon. James J. McKay, chairman of the select committee of the Honse of Representatives, to which was referred so much if the memorial of F. P. Blair as invutes a scruiny into all his the memorial of F. P. Blair as invites a scruting into all his accounts for printing for Congress and the public offices, that they have not "been tariffed or required to raise funds to pay for public documents and speeches to be published at the office of the Globe, or elsewhere." (Signed) JOHN BOYLE.

JOHN BOYLE. J. D. SIMMS. L. B. HARDIN. THOS L. RAGSDALE. B. M. VOORHEES H. STARK.

- M. POOR

A. H. QUINCY. A. B. HOYLE. Swom and subscribed before met at Washington city, this 7th day of June, 1838. (Signed)

ROBT. GETTY, J. P.

A declaration like the above was sworn to and a Congressional impeachment; and which, as I subscribed by the clerks in the Navy Commissioners' office, before Chas. W. Goldsborough, J. P. ] and sent to the committee.

There are 444 clerks in all the Departments. Of these a large majority are in opposition to the Administration, and to the press which supports it; and yet, with perfect unanimity, they bear unhesitating testimony to the utter groundlessness of the charge made by the members from Tennessee, [Messis. CROCKETT and CARTER.] It appears there was not the slightest circumstance to countenance it-not a pretence to found it on. Lt was made on this high authority, was to do mischief to the Administration in the approaching elections, under the hope that inquiry might be baffled during the remnant of the session, or that the committee would not be able to examine the whole subject submitted to it; and that as it could not report in full, the evidence would not come out in time to correct what I might call fabrication, until it had done its work for the party. To defeat this iniquitous scheme, I felt myself called on to seek from the same quarter the same evidence which the committee has obtained, and I use it to put in a proper light before the country those who would abuse their high station to destroy the reputations of innocent men for party objects.

It appears from the official and verified statements of the Departments, that no increase of price on any jobs executed for them by the Globe office, has in any instance taken place; on the contrary, that great reductions have been made on several; and on inquiry, I learn that the printers and others who appeared before the committee, who measured the work, and made the requisite calculations to I ascertain whether it had been well done by the Globe office, and charged for according to law, proved, as far as they progressed, that all was right.

To enable the public to judge of the excess of profits; which my colleague, [Mr. BoxD.] by his aggregate of \$230,000, would make the impres-sion had been made by the Globe office, I have obtained from Mr. Rives, who has the entire management of the establishment, the following statement:

"The prices which Congress pays for its printing were fixed by three disinterested practical printers in 1819, residing in dif-ferent sections of the Union. Two weeks after the Globe office commenced printing for Congress, (the 11th December, 1835,) the wages of journeymen printers were raised by the Typograpical Society of this city, 10 per cent. on former prices. That rate has continued ever since; but the price paid by Congress has remained under the price paid by Congress

The has continued ever since; but the price paid by Congress has remained unattered." The Globe office and materials cost \$40,000; the wear and tear of materials is about \$5,000 a year; the interest on \$40,000 is \$2,400; the hands in the Globe office have been paid in six years \$14,000; the paper used in printing for the Departments and Congress, cost \$63,000."

It will be seen, therefore, from the foregoing statement, that the actual expenditure of the Globe office, in connection with the public work, is \$268,000; a sum greatly exceeding the amount received from the Treasury. Yet a reasonable profit has been made upon the public work, because an establishment adequate to its performance in the intervals of public employment, and in association with it, is enabled, by publishing newspapers, speeches for members of Congress, jobs for private ndividuals, and advertisements, to add largely to ts income.

I now turn to the public printing executed at the ewspaper offices of the Opposition in this city. One

would suppose from the outery that they, at least, had been proscribed, as they pretend the office-holding partisans of Federalism have been, and were almost starving for patronage, while the Globe was rioting in an enormous monopoly. My colleague-who has been almost petrified with horror at the extravagance of the Administrations which (with the command of the Departments and majorities in Congress) have, in a course of six years, allowed the only press in this city advocating the Republican policy to derive a reasonable profit on work and materials furnished to the amount of \$220,000-does not think worth while to mention the trifling amount which has passed through the mills of the Intelligencer and Telegraph during the same six years, and when both were devoted to the cause of the Opposition. Every body in Washington knows (it is of record) that the National Intellenger, office, house and lot, types, presses, profits due and to become due, are deeded to the Bank of the United States for facilities granted it at various times, amounting to between ninety and one hundred thousand dollars. This is prima facie proof that it has been starved at the Treasury during the six years that the Globe has rolled in such abundance. I have looked to the record, and find that the editors of the National Int-Iligencer have drawn the following sums from the Treasury during the six years of long abstinence that the Globe interfered with its income:

Gales and Seaton received during the six years bcginning the 1st October, 1831, and ending the - \$432.348 18 30th September, 1837

Duff Green received	-	- "363,293 9	4
	Together,	\$795,642 1	<b>2</b>

Together,

- For the extra session, in the name of Allen, up to this time (7th July) Gales and Seaton have received
- for printing to the House -In addition to this, is an appropriation of \$49,000, which has just passed, for the purchase of Gales and Seaton's Register of Debates, (volumes made up from the pages of the Intelligencer) and other books which they have printed and kept on hand for distribution, at the expense of the public, among the members. Of this sum, a few job printers in this city will come in for five or six thousand dollars. Gales and Seaton's part will be at least
- For work ordered at this session and not yet paid for, there will be added to the receipts of Gales and Seaton (in the nane of Allen) at least
- The sum total already voted by the
- present House to its printers, is If the next session of three months may be calculated upon as the ratio, (and as there is always more work done in the same space of time in the short session than the long one,) it is a fair calculation that one-third of the printing expenditure already incurred by the

\$120,000 00

43,000 09

15,000 00

178,000 00

House, may be set down for the

18: coming short session-that is ..... -90 The following results are shown by the comparison:

1st. The editors of the Intelligencer will have received for the printing for one branck, during the 25th Congress, and for old books distributed among the members, seventeen thousand dollars more than the whole amount which my colleague [Mr. BOND] House with the bill "to provide for the support of has drawn together for work done by the Globe establishment for all the Departments, and for Con-lycar 1838, and for other purposes," and so forced gress, during six years.

2d. It shows that the two leading Opposition presses in this city have received about one million dollars, commencing with the period which my colleague [Mr. Bond] has fixed upon as beginning the condenined career of the Globe establishment.

And yet my colleague sees nothing extraordinary in the enormous expenditure on the National Intelligencer, but is shocked at the pampered condition of the Globe.

But if the difference of amount's received by the two establishments is amazing, the mode in which the Intelligencer's receipts have been so swollen is the expenses against the wishes of the Administranot less astonishing.

Neither tranch of Congress has ever been solicited by the proprietors of the Globe to print books for distribution among the members; nor have any such publications been voted to be done by the Globe office. Only two works, I understand, have passed through its press-the Diplomatie Correspendence of the United States from 1783 to 1789, and the Commercial Regulations. The former was printed for less than it had been done for previonsly; and the latter, which had not been printed before, was printed and bound at less than Con- It is just as valuable a work as so many cancelled gressional prices.

The enormous sums which have swelled the blosted receipts of the Intelligencer, are made up of borks to fill the private libraries of members of Congr-se, being the republication of old State papers and Registers of Debates, containing the speeches of the members themselves. This system of seducing members of Congress, by their private interests and personal vanity, to vote the wagon loads of volumes annually distributed from the Intelligencer office, began before I came here. The old members having obtained their share, the new comers do not refuse what has been previously printed for them; and the old members, as matter of course, vote it, because, if they did not, it would be an acknowledgment that they had taken money from the Treasury to make perquisites in books for themselves to which they were not entitled; and so this abuse is handed down from Congress to Congress by the rump of the old members, who are obliged to give to the new members the douceur of books which they had previously shared among themselves. I voted for this resolution. I regret that I done signal did not understand at the time its full bearing, and the amount it involved; and I now say, that the portion of the books which fa'ls to me, is the property of the Government, and at its service, whenever it may be found advantageous to surrender them - The Senate have again and again dollars to be real zed, at the same rate, for the apvet down this appropriation; but the majority in proaching session, showing an aggregate of TWO the HUNDRED AND THIRTY SEVEN THOUth: House then tack i ton some indispensable apprepriation bill, and compet the Senate to vote it, ISAND DOLLARS for one branch of Congress

and the President to sign it, or else lose the means 59,000 00 of supporting some regular establishment of the Government, and be compelled to throw the business of the country into confusion, or leave it undone. The forty odd thousand dollars appropriated to the Intelligencer's book contribution, was rejected by the Senate at the present session, as heretofore; but it was alterwards coupled by the the Military Academy of the United States for the upon the Senate and President. It is in this way, when the Federalists have a majority in either branch of Congress, that they compel a Democratic Administration to submit to the appropriation of unnecessary millions, with which, by log-rolling, they load the bills indispensable to the support of Government. Their courupt appropriations to subscrve private, local, and personal interests, are always tacked to a bill which must be passed, or the Government be stepped.

But another mode is adopted by the Federal party having the majority in either House, to swell tion, and then charge the extravagance to it. For example, at the present session, the House printed more than one hundred thousand dollars in documents, many of them not worth the paper (now made waste paper,) on which they are printed. Of this sort is a volume of one hundred and thirtyeight pages, consisting entirely of the cancelled drafts of the Treasnry which my colleague [Mr. BOND] called for, and had printed. He could have had no motive in calling for this but to make a fat job of rule and figure work for Gales and Seaton. checks drawn on a bank. In the beginning of the session, Mr. GARLAND of Virginia, a Conservative, called for the correspondence of the Treasury with the banks. The House was informed that there were not clerks in the Department sufficient to prepare it during the term of the members. It would have made a fat job of at least \$50,000, on which the gentlemau's friend, Mr. Allen, would have had a per centage, and Gales and Seaton their profits. Another call was made by him for all the documents in regard to the defaulters to the Treasury; and this would have been to copy and print the papers of the office of the Solicitor of the Treasury out and out. This would, besides the expense of copying, cost at least \$50,000 more for printing. It was found there were not clerks enough to make the copies, and Mr. GARLAND moved a resolution to authorize new appointments for this purpose, but it failed. This shows what the Conservatives and Whigs would have dene, by way of bri-ging grist to Gales and Seaton's press, to afford vast profits to them, and increase the percentage of Allen. What they have done appears, from the gross sum of a hundred and twenty thousand dollars for the extra and present session of the House printing, with an accent of fifteen thousand dollars yet to be received for it, with forty-three thousand dollars for books; and at least fifty-nine thousand

years by colleague [Mr. Bond] has scanned their another document, and found a like fraud commitprinting, only one, hundred and six thousand dol- |ted; and an unlawful profit of between 3 and \$400 lars for Congress printing and materials, and one obtained by it. This was but a beginning. The hundred and fourteen thousand from all the De- committee had not time to prosecute the inpartments, for the same time ...

But the most scand lous part of this history of the printing of the House is yet to be told. Although Gales and Seaton receive all this money for printing, they were not elected its printers. Allen was elected to perform this confidential trust, although he had only about 21 or 22 votes in a House of 242 inclubers. Does it not look as though after three days' balloting a corrupt bargain was made, under which it was arranged that Allen was to become nominally the printer to the House, but Gales and Seaton were to do the work and receive the pay? After the caucus was he betrayed; and to make good to those who acted held which consummated the infamous agreement, (if such was the case,) by which it was he received into the means of supporting the press stipulated that Gales and Seaton's friends woull established in this city for their common benefit. go over to Allen and unite with the Conservatives All the legitimate profits upon the public work will in his election, suspicions were excited by the announcement of this determination; and several the aliment of the Madisonian will be derived of the honest Conservatives, whose votes were necessary to the success of Allen, interrogated him as to the supposed intention of transferring the printing to Gales and Seaton. He denied positively that there existed such an engagement, and pledged himself to execute the printing in his own office, declaring that he was making provision for that purpose. That he made the pledge, and forfeited it, is established by the statement of a member on this floor, [Mr. SNYDER, of Illinois.] Their votes standard, in the event of success. They will, no were, in effect, sold to Gales and Seaton, although doubt, give them a share of the plunder, but not they protested, in advance, against being made an atom of the power, of the Government. accessory to such a shameful and corrupt transaction.

Mr Chairman, a high public trust, personal in its character, has been farmed out in violation of all principle and decency, to individuals to whom it is known a majority of this body, as well as their constituents, were unwilling to confide it; and Allen, in violation of another Republican principle, holds assinecure. Will any one hereafter say, that this House is to be trusted to elect the Chief Magistrate of this country, when it is found, that in electing a printer, pecuniary considerations, the bases of bargaining between parties, have controlled the result.

gaining, has been discovered before the committee appointed to investigate the subject. This committee being appointed at the heel of the session, has not yet had time to make full investigation, and report; but I feel at liberty to use the information which I have derived from a witness, sworn before it. A first rate practical printer, called on by that committee to measure the work and calculate the price which it was lawful to charge for it, and compare it with that which Gales and fence of our rights. He has encouraged the re-Seaton, in the name of Allen, as printer to this sistance of the Indians to the policy of the Govern-House, had received for it, found that the first ment, and stimulated the feeling which led to the document printed for this House at this session, by butchery of so many gallant men, the exhaustion Gales and Seaton, was fraudulently printed, and of the public Treasury, and the rain of the fa-hat by diminishing the page, and using types difficultary, whose cause he pretended to espouse. It is ferent from those authorized by law, they had on this dishonest frau fulent Englishman, that more

for one Congressional term; whereas Messrs. made an illicit profit, amounting to between thir-Blair and Rives have., received, during the six teen and fourteen hundred dollars. He examined quiry further at this session; and asked leave to continue it at the next, which was grant-ed. I have a statement of these facts, in from the witness, as sworn to by writing, him before the committee. He is a firstrate printer, well acquainted with Congressiona work, and of unimpeachable character. I have little doubt from this commencement that it will be found in the end, that Gales and Seaton have made as much out of their frauds, as will pay Allen the per centage he required as compensation for the deception practised upon those Conservatives whom with him his promise, to convert the consideration thus, probably, be cleared to the Intelligencer, and from the frauds committed by his Whig accom-plices on the Treasury. How characteristic this of the two printing concerns, and the two parties which entered into the vile coalition that gave birth to the bastard Madisonian? Is it not notoriously fed on offal of the foul peculations of the Intelligencer? And this is an earnest of what the Federal party will do for the little Spartan band of Conservatives, who shall have joined their

Will not the American people ask, for whose interests the honor of the great representative body of this country has been compromised, and its hall made a market place, in which the votes of members, and a high public trust, have become a subject of mercenary barter between the leaders of two parties assuming to be actuated by lofty political principles? When understood, their priaciples are like the man for whom they have sacrificed the noble character of the country. He is an Englishman, a perfect prostitute in politics-notoriously the stipendiary of the Bank of the United States-and the ready instrument of every faction, which, in alliance with that institution, would Another natural consequence of this bar- contribute to the overthrow of our Republican institutions. He is an alien, too, in all his fellings. He was found the willing organ of Gorostiza in vind eating the cruel and perfidious conduct of Mexico towards our citizens, and its insults to our Government. He took the part of France, when she shamefully withheld the indemnity she was pledged by treaty to pay, and endeavored to throw the blame on our Government, and raise a party for France, in case we were driven to war in de-

think him poorly compensated. Mr. Chairman, I think I have said, perhaps more than once, that there are existing abuses; and for reasons which I have given, abuses will exist. gate, and if any man had a controversy, and came But if "those who administer this Government to the king for judgment, then Absalom said "The were as pure as the angels that minister in Heaven," there would be lean, lank, hungry, unprincipled hyenas to howl around this Capitol, with appetites prepared not only to devour the reputation of those who live to administer the Gavernment, but the grave itself would be insufficient to secure the dead from their blighting and withering howl.

My colleague has finished his speech with a case from the Old Testament Scriptures, illustrative, as he supposes, of the corrupting influence of power upon the Democratic party; the total want of analogy between which, in some of its strongest points, may be easily perceived; for while Hazael came to the throne by the murder of his master, that is, through blood and crime, the present and past Administrations came into power, not by crime and blood, but by the suffrages of a free and indepen-pent people; and by the same operation which brought these Administrations into power, was a corrupt and usurped Administration politically throttled, and its corrupt workers of iniquity thrown into the mud. But, sir, to the Scriptural case. I shall close my remarks by a reference to a case from the same high authority. Sir, the course of the party at present out of power-but earnestly desiring, and sparing no pains, labor, or expense, to get into power-reminds me, and may remind the country forcibly, of the case of the unfortunate Absalom. I refer not to his contriving the death of Amnon, nor his forming a conspiracy with Ahithophel against the administration of the government, but to his ambition, and the mcans he used with the people to carry his designs.

Being suffered to return from his banishment into the neighborhood of the throne he plied his

than a million of the public money has been lavish- | political wiles with the unsuspecting people, alledging that justice was not done them, promising if he was put into office, that things should be made better. Thus speaking, and forming a party against the Government, we are told that Absalom rose up early, and stood beside the way of the matters are good and right, but there is no man deputed of the king to hear thee. O! that I were made judge in the land, that every man which hath a suit or cause might come unto me, and I would do him justice." And it was so that when any man came nigh to him to do him obeisance, he put forth his hand, and took him and kissed him. So Absalom stole the hearts of the men of Israel. He sent spies throughout the land (political mission-aries) to all the tribes of Israel, and under pre-tence of piety towards God, he left Jerusalem for Hebron, where he was to set up his authority. But ere his mad ambition obtained its consummation, the beast which he rode forsook its rider, and Absalom fell by the hand of trusty Joab, who made his grave in a pit.

> Absalom went out to war upon a mule-a mongrel beast, half horse, half ass. What kind of a mongrel hobby is the present Opposition mounted on? Bank, Anti-bank—Tariff, Anti-tariff—Maso-nic, and Anti masonic, &c. &c. They have no opinion in common, except it is hostility to the people's best interests, and a contempt for their understanding, or, in other words, a decided hatred to the simple institutions of Democracy. But 'when the people shall rise in their strength, this modern mule shall forsake its riders, and leave them to the fate of Absalom.

> With all the ambition and chicanery of Absalom, backed by the cunning of his counsellor, Ahitophel, the Federal party never can again succeed in getting into power. They have been driven into political banishment, from which they never will be permitted to return.

1.2 - 10









