

special collections DOUGLAS LIBRARY



queen's university At kingston

KINGSTON ONTARIO CANADA

.

4

CONSIDERATIONS

0

ON THE

STATE OF PARTIES,

AND THE

MEANS OF EFFECTING

RECONCILIATION

BETWEEN THEM.

LONDON:

hold by G. G. and J. ROBINSON, PATERNOSTER-Row, atd J. JOHNSON, ST PAUL'S CHURCH-YARD.

[Price ONE SHILLING.]

Al 411. 1793. 665

ERRATA.

p. 15, l. 4, for *where* read *whole*. p. 32, l. 31, for *publified* read *enatied*. p. 33, l. 10, for *thier* read *their*.

A T a time when the opinions of men are fo much divided upon various points of political fpeculation, and when, in confequence of that difference of opinion, the part which they act is fo opposite, it feems extraordinary that there fhould exift a very general agreement respecting the dangers of the country, and the infecurity of the constitution; but this, however extraordinary, is unquestionably the fact; wherever public affairs are made the fubject of conversation, the fame unvaried gloom prevails: We hear no triumphant affurances that the nation is unanimous, no hopes of a fpeedy amendment of our affairs, no attestations of popular contentment. On the contrary, we perceive many perplexed with doubts and apprehenfions which they cannot conceal and yet are unwilling to confess, divided between interest and duty, conviction and shame; others again, once confident and fanguine, brooding over recent mifcarriages in the fullennefs of difappointment; fome forming prelages of calamities; and many venting the deep, though not loud, murmurs of discontent. The origin of our prefent evils is much contested; the precife tendency of them is also a matter of violent difpute; but whether it be probable that they will lead to an abfolute monarchy, or to a democratic republic, as the oppofite parties rendered violent Α

3030746

violent by long conflict fhall chance to prevail in power, this is certain, that in either cafe the present form of constitution will be violated, and from recent events it may be inferred, that if that form of constitution be worth preferving, its prefervation can only be effected by the speedy and active union of moderate men in lenient and conciliatory measures. To a wife, prompt, and vigorous co-operation of this fort, upon conftitutional grounds, and for conftitutional purposes, the truest friends of their country turn their prefent regards, as the best, perhaps the only method left, of abating the dangerous animofities of the high monarchical and popular parties, and of withdrawing the wellmeaning on both fides from those extremes which a generous love of natural rights and a quick fenfe of grievances on the one hand, and an exclusive affection for property and power on the other, may compel them to purfue. For when the circumstances of our fituation, internal and external; when our private diffenfions and public calamities are impartially examined, there will appear fufficient reafon to believe that the English constitution cannot long exift precifely the fame in all refpects as it exifts at this period. If a timely and judicious reform in the representation takes place, the prefumption is, that it will be invigorated and perpetuated : If abufes fhould be peacefully acquiefced in, it is natural to fuppofe that the unchecked and rapidly increasing influence of corruption would foon produce the diffolution of it : If the delay of reform fhould occasion a difastrous

[4]

difastrous struggle, terminating at length in the annihilation of what is called the republican party, it is not lefs obvious that the confequences of fuch a defeat would be generally fatal to our dearest rights, which would then be retrenched at pleasure by the victorious faction to the immoderate aggrandifement of the ariftocracy or the crown. Laftly, fhould the contest end in favour of the popular party, it is not vifionary to conjecture, that a mighty body, inflamed by eloquence, exafperated by wrongs, and rendered by opposition fensible of their own strength, might tumultuously bear down the privileged orders of the state, and establish republican equality upon the ruins of our exifting constitution. To these different terminations of our present form of polity, apprehended by men in different degrees, as their interests, fears, and prejudices determine them, there can be little fatisfaction in looking, except from a motive to recommend fome mode by which a contest fo hazardous may be prevented, our grievances redreffed, our animolities allayed, and our constitution preferved from the fury of heated factions, as well as from the danger of internal delay.

No one who reflects upon public affairs can be ignorant that three confiderable parties are formed in the flate, of unequal ftrength, and of different opinions. One determined, until all danger from republican doctrines fhall be finally removed, to fupport at all hazards, and with all its influence, the ufurped as well as confiitutional privileges of the ariftocracy and the A 2 crown.

crown. Another is formed of men who, eager in the caufe of natural rights, and wearied of renewing fruitless petitions to the legislature for a moderate reformation of abufes, have at length pledged themfelves folemnly to purfue with unremitted zeal the establishment of univerfal fuffrage and annual parliaments, as effential and preliminary to every other reform. The third confifts of those who wish to effect an immediate and temperate reform in the way of amicable compromise between the above parties, for the fake of national tranquility, and for the extension and better fecurity of our just rights, by those regular means which our impaired conftitution is still faid to afford. From this bare enumeration it is evident that the last-mentioned description of men differs much more from the first than from the fecond ; and therefore, in the rapid progress of abuse, when the diforder, grown defperate, will not only justify but demand a more desperate remedy, when ftrong apprehenfions for the very existence of liberty shall become nearly universal, it is not impossible that this party, defpairing of the practicability of moderate plans in a highly agitated state of the public mind, and preferring acquiescence rather than opposition to the popular will, may in fuch deplorable circumstances be driven into a closer union with that which professes the most extensive fystem of reform. As these parties already unite in many points, and may hereafter in a conceivable crifis unite in more; fo the two first never can unite in the fentiments which either of them

them maintain; one fide being determined to refift the invalion of opinions which they term democratical, at the rifk of rendering our monarchy abfolute; the other no lefs intent upon afferting their natural rights, and repreffing the encroachments of the privileged orders, although in confequence of bitter opposition to their demands, the struggle should terminate in the establishment of a pure republic. If this statement be tolerably exact, it furely exhibits an object of infinite importance, and neceffarily points to the most ferious confiderations-the fate of our conflitution, and the happiness of every individual living under it, are immediately involved; and when we reflect upon the influence of Great-Britain, it is not too much to add, that the freedom and welfare of Europe depend upon the event. Hostilities between the two opposite parties cannot possibly, in the present distracted state of public opinion, and the calamitous fituation of public affairs, lead to any conclusion which the friends to order and liberty united will not deeply deprecate: And it feems highly expedient that their bitter and encreasing animofities should be suspended by patriotic and conflitutional means, by the respectable intervention of the middle ranks of men co-operating with the declared and active advocates of moderate reform. To urge this seasonable interference, before the time be past, is the true object of the following pages; and the writer has only to regret that (confcious as he is of the prefling importance of the fubject) he cannot do it justice, nor treat it with an ability

lity in any degree proportionate to his zeal. It is his intention to flate fome particulars refpecting each of the abovementioned parties, and to confider the refult in connexion with the prefent fituation of our affairs.

Of the high monarchical party, the views and opinions may be collected from a variety of authentic fources; from the refolutions entered into, and the writings circulated by the late affociations; from the conduct those affociations purfued, and from the measures they fupported in others. These meetings confilted of men diftinguished from their fellow-citizens chiefly by their flation, their wealth, or their dependency on the higher powers ;-effimated with regard to their influence or property, they certainly composed a very respectable share of the kingdom; but, upon a numerical comparifon, they bore a very fmall proportion to that body of the people by whom no affociations were either formed or approved. Thefe meetings originated from a report propagated from high authority, and fpread with infinite industry, that a favage plot had been detected upon the point of execution, formed to overthrow the monarchy, the peerage, and the church; to level all diffinctions of property and birth; to deftroy every fubfifting eftablishment; and to introduce republican equality, with a long train of horrors in their room. The parliament was fuddenly affembled, the militia embodied upon very fufpicious grounds, the tower fecured by additional fortifications, barracks were erected near the great manufacturing towns, and troops difpatched

dispatched by forced marches to places supposed to be most eminently infected with the spirit of revolt. These measures naturally filled the kingdom with altonishment, and the more fo when there appeared no object worthy of fuch mighty preparations. Yet although no enemy, foreign or domestic, was discovered, the plan of defence was confidered as still incomplete; as if the whole civil and military powers of government were not to be depended upon in fo perilous a crifis, as if the lower ranks without exception were ready to burft forth into rebellion, ministry thought fit to raife private combinations of men of property to support the exertions of the executive magistrate. In order that this pointed infinuation of the weaknefs of the executive power, and the neceffity of reinforcing it with fresh influence should be made known with every poffible effect, the imminent danger of the country was first proclaimed by an assemblage of the professed friends and dependants of ministry, with a well-known placeman at their head. This was the original affociation, and the object was clearly, under pretext of a prefent alarm, to gain a general pledge from the higher ranks in support of future measures, and to excite in the nation at large a disposition to undertake a war against that people with whom the fhadowy authors and abettors of domeftic confpiracies were fuppofed to be clofely allied.

Whether any plot really exitted, and whether the affociated Bodies believed in its exiftence, are points with which the prefent argument has little concern. If a plot exifted, miniftry

ministry ought to have proved its existence, and punished the authors of it in broad day-light, by the known laws of the country, for the fake of public example: If the whole was a forgery, (and where is the demonstration of its truth?) then are ministry to be reprobated as the true authors of the alarm itfelf, and of the confequent war. As to the Affociators, if they did not believe in the reality of the plot, but fupported the belief of it in others, it is manifelt that they are men, who, profeffing a regard to truth, at the fame time deem fraud and impofture neceffary in the administration of a free government: If they did believe with fincerity, (which we are willing to admit) then their fubfequent declarations may be regarded as fo much the more dangerous to the liberties of England, from being the effect not of warmth or delufion, but of cool and deliberate conviction. Ir would, however, be unfair to impute to every individual concerned in these meetings all the fentiments which were published by the authority of their committees, or an unreferved approbation of the conduct which those committees fuggefted, and for a while fo eagerly purfued. Many worthy men were hurried into those affociations by the ftrong current of opinion, by the mandates of power, by a dread of public danger, which a little reflection proved to them was falfe; or by a dread of private calumny, which no experience has proved not to have been founded in truth ;- many refufed to fign any other than the temperate declaration, drawn up at Merchant Taylors' Hall: [II]

Hall; many having figned others, never afterwards frequented those extraordinary meetings; while fome, with a fpirit worthy of more general imitation, publicly feceded from them as foon as they difcovered their proceedings to be inconfistent with the liberal spirit of English gentlemen, destructive of all private confidence and public harmony, and hoftile to the conftitution they pretended to preferve. But even after these exceptions, the numbers and influence of those who remained inflexibly attached to the fupport of High Monarchical and Ariftocratic doctrines are fufficient, connected as they are with ministerial power, and supported by the immense patronage of the crown, to excite in us a well-grounded apprehension for the fecurity of those rights which we still enjoy under our decayed constitution.

Thefe affociated Bodies, confcious, we will fuppofe, of real danger themfelves, found it expedient to raife an alarm in others; and to prove the fincerity of their own fears, they appealed to those measures of ministry, the neceffity of which had never been fubstantiated by evidence, (though capable of the clearest proof if :eal) and which, in fact, could only be justified by proofs the most cogent. Miniftry, in return, appealed to the fears of these Alarmists as a justification of their own meafures, and made the alarm they themfelves had created a ground for fubfequent proceedings; thus, by a collution of parties, or an impolition of one upon the other, the public mind was kept in a state of anxious suspense, and effectual B steps

fleps were taken to profit by the credulity of the moment, in order to strengthen the hand of the executive power. During this memorable perturbation of the kingdom, all who durft avow themselves the friends of popular rights experienced the most unkind and ungenerous treatment. Their enemies, entrenched behind ministerial and judicial power, continually haraffed them with vexatious attacks, and betrayed too frequently a barbarous and unmanly triumph. Unmerited odium was studiously attached to their character, dark furmises were circulated respecting them, and their conduct was imputed to the worft of motives; becaufe they refused to abandon their fixed principles to the capricious humours of the opposite party, they were reviled as the promoters of difcontent, and the inftigators of rebellion; and their principles were anathematized as dangerous Theories, hostile to the rights of the reigning Family, although by the operation of those very principles that bigotted tyrant, James, had been expelled from the kingdom, and the prefent Family feated upon the throne. While in fuch meetings every mind brooded with a distempered sensibility over the horrors of revolution, and every tongue was loud in declaiming against impracticable Speculations, the Sovereignty of the people, Anarchy, Atheifm, and the Rights of Man, was it to be wondered if, by a natural affociation of ideas in minds thus difeafed, the very name of Liberty should be heard with horror, and confidered as the watchword of infurrection? Accordingly, in their mifguided zeal

zeal to extinguish the flames of licentioufness, which their fickly imaginations continually prefented to them, they had well-nigh fwept from those altars, which had been erected by the labour of ages, and cemented with the best blood of our anceftors, the Vestal fires of the British Constitution. For admitting that our frame of government might have been endangered by the circulation of opinions defcribed under the loofe and general denomination of feditious, what candid man will deny that freedom itfelf, the foul and spirit of that government, was brought into imminent hazard, when the whole kingdom was converted, as it were, into a Spanish Inquisition, and when the power of accufation, placed by the conftitution in the attorney-general, or grand juries of the kingdom, was boisterously usurped by a fet of men who enjoyed no fpecific delegation or public trust? The celebrated proteft publicly entered into against these proceedings, by Mr Erskine and the other friends to the Liberty of the Prefs, deferves to be long and gratefully remembered. They affembled " to object to the po-" pular profecution of public offences, which the " crown, if they exist, is bound in duty to pro-" fecute by the attorney-general, where no in-" dividual can count upon a perfonal injury, " and where the perfonal intereft of the fubject " is only as a member of that public which is " committed to the care of the executive au-" thority of the country." And they afk, in folemn terms, and with a patriotic folicitude for the liberty of the fubject, " When, without Ba any

" any flate neceffity, or requisition from the " crown or parliament of the kingdom, bodies " of men voluntarily intrude themfelves into a " fort of partnership of authority with the exe-" cutive power, and when, from the univerfal " and admitted interest of the whole nation " in the objects or pretexts of fuch affociations, " the people, if they continue to fpread as they " have done, may be faid to be in a manner " reprefented by them; where is the accufed to " find justice amongst his peers, when arraigned by fuch combinations? Where is the boasted " trial by the country, if the country is thus to " become informer and accufer? Where is the " cautious diftruft of accufation, if the grand " jury themfelves, or fome of them, may have " informed against the object of it, brought in " the very bill which they are to find, and fub-" fcribed for the profecution of it? Where, in " the end, is the mild, complacent, relenting " countenance of the jury for trial, that last " confolation which the humanity of England " never denied, even to men taken in arms " against her laws, if the pannels are to come " reeking from veftry rooms, where they have " been liftening to harangues concerning the " abfolute neceffity of extinguishing the very " crimes and the criminals which they are " to decide upon in judgment, and to condemn " by their verdict? But if thefe proceedings " must thus evidently taint the administration " of justice even in the fuperior courts, where " the judges, from their independence, their " fuperior learning, and their further remo-" val

" val from common life, may be argued to " be likely to affift juries in the due discharge " of their office, what must be the conduct of " the courts of quarter fessions, where jurisdic-" tions over these offences are co-ordinate,---" where the judges are the very gentlemen who " lead thefe affociations in every county and city" " in the kingdom, and where juries are either " their tenants and dependants, or their neigh-" bours in the country justly looking up to " them, with confidence and affection, as their " friends and protectors in the direction of their " affairs ?- Is this a trial by an English court and " jury? It would be infinitely more manly, and " less injurious to the accused, to condemn him " at once without a hearing, than to mock him " with the empty forms of the British constitu-" tion, when the substance and effect of it are " destroyed. By these observations we mean " no difrespect to the magistrates of our coun-" try; but the beft men may inadvertently place " themfelves in fituations abfolutely incompati-" ble with their duties."

This memorable declaration, a glorious monument of the moderation, the talents, and the patriotifm of its author, was made public on the 19th January, 1793.—Much effect could not be expected from it at the moment of its appearance, but even then it feemed to animate the timid and dejected friends of public freedom, and to raife a blufh in all of the oppofite party who were not deflitute of fhame; it was rather calculated to produce conviction when the enthufiafm of the moment fhould fubfide, and

and when its manly warnings fhould be confirmed by melancholy facts: Thefe daily occurred: The large fubfcriptions entered into by those who now formed the Club-government of the kingdom, were in many inftances appropriated to the reward of fpies and informers, either acting under the direction of the committees, or communicating to them anonymous intelligence. In other inftances the expences of profecution were defrayed from the fame funds; where the proofs fecretly communicated did not amount to conviction, the unfortunate perfons, the objects of difguifed flander, were fel-. dom permitted to escape unpunished; if in trade, they were marked out as perfons of fufpected loyalty, and therefore unworthy of encouragement. Where the betrayers of private confidence or focial freedom denounced their victims upon stronger evidence, great bail was generally demanded, and fevere fentences inflicted upon their conviction. If the accufed perfon was found perfectly innocent of the charge laid against him, no reparation could be expected by the injured defendant; whereever it appeared that the accufation was malicious and defamatory, the miferable fufferer was unable to punish the aggreffors by profecuting them in return; becaufe, however he might be injured by the malignity of the fecret informer, no action could lie against the public profecutor in that cafe for damages. In reviewing the trials that have taken place throughout the kingdom, chiefly at the infligation of these Tory Clubs, the miserable effects of this uncon-

unconstitutional system of jealousy, influence, and arbitrary coercion, were moreover proved by the different degrees of punishment which were inflicted in different places upon perfons in circumstances precifely fimilar. No one uniform principle prevailed: In confequence of which, perfons on whom no feditious intention could be proved, provoked perhaps by the watchful agents of power, or furprized in the unguarded moments of intoxication, were fometimes configned to punishments equal in degree with those which in other places had been inflicted upon more notorious offenders. Of fuch a nature were the meafures eagerly purfued by the combined Alarmists in the heat of their zeal, to prevent the people from reading any political publication which pointed out abufes in Government, or which taught them to believe that their Conftitution would be fecured, and their happiness promoted by a Parliamentary Reform.

If thefe Tory combinations were objects of confiderable alarm, from the illegal and unconflitutional means they employed in fupprefling whatever was publifhed by the oppofite party, the danger was encreafed when the nature and tendency of their own papers came to be confidered. Writings more hoftile to morals and religion, more infulting to mankind, more libellous upon the Englifh Government, never appeared. The exploded *High Church and King* doctrines were now revived in full vigour, and eagerly fubfcribed by men who wifhed to pafs amongft their fellow-citizens as genuine

nuine Whigs. Some of these men prefumed upon their former characters to give popularity to their present doctrines; this had a confiderable effect; and the old defenders of revolution principles cordially uniting with the Tory party, poured forth from every prefs elaborate defences of Monarchical rights, and rancorous invectives against the supporters of freedom. The horrible exceffes committed in France formed the conftant theme of their puerile declamations; thefe they uniformly, with equal falfehood and abfurdity, imputed to the Principles of liberty. At length, the execution of the unfortunate Lewis, who fell a facrifice to the villainy of bad ministers, and whose fate foreign Courts, predetermined upon a war with France, for obvious reasons took no pains to avert, however they might affect to deplore it, proved an event highly ferviceable to the defigns of the Tory party; and they impatiently feized the favourable opportunity of inflaming a loyal people to a pitch of unparalleled frenzy. The public was now told, that every confideration of policy, and every dictate of religion, required an inftantaneous difplay of Vengeance from Great-Britain; it was reprefented as inconfiftent with our duty to God, to the King, to Ourselves, and to Mankind at large, to suffer the perpetrators of this foul deed to live;-but those who had voted the death of the unfortunate Prince were fupported by the great body of the people; thus a war of vengeance neceffarily led to a war of Extermination, and for this execrable alternative every art was ufed

ufed to prepare the popular mind. The rea-der is referred for proofs of these uncharitable fentiments to the Appendix - without ftrong proofs fuch a charge ought not to be credited. In short, the most despotic principles of the most despotic Princes of Europe became the creed of the party. The Duke of Brunfwick's retreat was piteoufly bewailed, and his manifesto represented as well-intentioned and just; although every reasonable man must concur with Mr Sheridan, when he afferted on the 15th December, 1792, " it was that hateful "outrage on the rights and feelings of human " nature, that wretched tiffue of pride, folly, " and inhumanity; it was the Duke of Brunf-"wick's manifelto that first steeled the heart " and maddened the brain of all France; which " provoked those it had devoted to practife all " the cruelties it had impotently threatened to " inflict; which sharpened the daggers of the " affaffins of the 2d of September, and whetted " the axe fuspended over the unfortunate Mo-" narch."-The fingular publications circulated at this period, after magnifying the horrors of what they call Anarchy and Republicanism, depicted in glowing colours the comparative mildness and stability of absolute Governments, wherein one perfon reigning by divine authority, or indefeafible hereditary right, can inftantly, by a judicious exertion of military force, overawe feditious complainants, and preferve the peace of civil fociety, without recurring to the dangerous expedient of granting redrefs : They urged arbitrary Monarchs to be watchful of their C own

own rights, the better to fecure the bleffings of arbitrary Government to their happy fubjects; they bade them beware of fuffering Reformers to exift in their respective States, and exhorted them to coerce with falutary rigour every effort of Liberty as the future parent of Anarchy and Atheifm; they abjured for ever the uncourtly doctrine of the natural rights of mankind, and, coupling Democracy and Ruin as caufe and effect, they fcrupled not to prophefy the certain downfal of the American States. The pre-eminent degree of freedom and happinefs enjoyed by the wife and generous republicans of the new world, while it falfified the arguments, excited the malignity even of fome who had rejoiced in the final establishment of their independence; the actual state of America had been frequently appealed to by the admirers of republican doctrines; it became necessary therefore for the advocates of High Monarchical principles to diminish the force of this powerful example, by underrating the happiness of that people, mifreprefenting the merits of their Go-. vernment, and confidently prefaging its deftruction. These fame authors maintain that all Government exifts for, not from, the people; and are careful to refuse the people any rights in their collective capacity, particularly that fupreme right by which, according to the opinion of Locke and others, they are justified, when they feel themfelves violently aggrieved, in refifting tyrannical exertions of power, and in altering the frame of their Government; but although they withhold from the people.

people of every country a right to interfere in their own concerns, they applaud the interference of princes in the concerns of a foreign people, and of courfe, by the natural confequences of their argument, juftify native princes in any change which they may chufe to make, upon grounds of State neceffity, &c. in the Government of their own fubjects, over whom they poffefs a fort of natural authority.

In these publications, the people were often reminded that the English Constitution was the beft and most free in the world; and while they were strictly forbidden to pry into its defects, they were confidently affured that all attempts to improve it must end in its ruin. They were told that Republics were neceffarily feats of civil confusion, but were not permitted to enquire into the facts. They were informed, that the poor had more reasons of contentment than the rich; but were not permitted to read any thing which queftioned this statement. The whole body of the Diffenters were in other works defcribed as difloyal, feditious, and peftilential, infected to a man with democratic opinions, and implacable enemies to fubfilting eltablishments; to them were ascribed most of our great national evils, particularly the American war. These calumnious imputations upon fo respectable a body of citizens (refuted by the reading and the obfervation of every unprejudiced man) were capable of producing the most difastrous effects; and the marked lenity with which the leaders of the Church and King mobs at Birmingham and other places had been treat-C 2 ed,

ed, juftly alarmed them for their future fafety, while thefe writings, openly reviling them as a profcribed and impious race, were circulated not only with impunity, but for fome time with the express fanction of the Government Clubs. What paffions the authors of thefe performances defigned to raife in the people by fuch affertions, they alone can inform us; the feditious tendency of fuch malignant fallehoods, when connected with the hiftory of certain loyal infurrections, is immediately feen.

These and other inflammatory doctrines were ftrongly enforced in numerous works, diftributed or recommended by many of the affociated Bodies. But by no author, employed in this difgraceful fervice, were fimilar doctrines fupported with greater extravagance of fancy, or want of candour, than by the justly celebrated Agriculturist, Mr Arthur Young, in his Tract entitled " The Example of France a warning to Great-Britain," a Tract which deferves the reader's notice, not only from the fingularity of its contents, fo ftrikingly at variance with the author's other productions, but alfo becaufe the very able and fpirited pamphlet lately published in answer to it, under the name of " Peace and Reform against War and Corruption," will amply repay him for the difgust excited by the perusal of the former. After the most virulent invectives against the friends of temperate Reform, whom he terms lacobins difguifed for the eafier perpetration of their horrid schemes, he declares his utter averfion " to milk-and-water declarations of loyalty," and afferts that the conduct of the Court

[22]

[23]

Court party ought to be " in extremes," becaufe there is " no medium in moments like thefe." After avowing, in one part of his book, that, " if there is any one principle more predominant than another in his politics, it is the principle of change;" and affigning this as a curious reafon " for not having changed his principles," he in another part calls upon the Affociated Fraternities " to refift every idea of Reform upon principles. of giving more power to the people," and with a caution doubtlefs well applied, exhorts them to oppose " all change in that Conflitution which gives them the means of wealth, and protects them in the enjoyment."-Tired of pronouncing eulogiums upon the Englifh Government, he libels at length the Houfe of Commons as "corrupt and bribed," and is not ashamed to ask, " if the nature of fuch an affembly demands to be corrupted in order to pursue the public good, who but a visionary can with to remove corruption?" " Extravagant Courts, felfish Ministers, and corrupt Majoritics," are in his mind " intimately interwoven with our practical freedom;" and he adds, that the Houfe of Commons, " which ought NEVER to be confidered as the reprefentative of the people, have produced the happiness of this country by NOT speaking the will of the people."-After indulging in these contradictions and abfurdities with much confidence and felf-applaufe, he at length commences the most violent attack upon public liberty that has been made fince the period of the Revolution. Englishmen well know, and we truft will always maintain, that the the Right of petitioning for a redrefs of grievances, or for any alterations in Church or State, is amongst the most ancient and invaluable of their privileges, and particularly fecured by a statute of William and Mary, which " declares the fubject's right to petition, and that all commitments and profecutions for petitioning are illegal." This venerable bulwark of the British Conftitution, this facred provision for the liberties of the people, which it were high treafon on any plea of ftate neceffity to diminish, or abrogate, or fufpend, our author boldly and unblushingly proposes to remove; he proposes that the people themfelves flould petition for its removal, little doubting, if the people of Great-Britain could convert this right into an inftrument of its own destruction, that the most effential advantages might be taken of fuch a furrender by the executive power, in times fo adverfe to the pretensions of Princes. " It appears to me (fays he that there would be a fingular propriety in the Affociations which are at prefent fpreading through the kingdom petitioning Parliament to pafs an act to declare all clubs, affociations, focieties, and meetings of men, that affemble for the purpofe of obtaining changes in the Constitution, illegal." Having deprived the people of this grand fundamental right, he applies himfelf to difcover fuch measures as might for ever prevent them from regaining it; and, fuspecting the temper of the prefent militia as too constitutional, distrusting even the support of a regular ftanding army in fuch a cafe, and forgetting the use that might be made of foreign auxauxiliaries, he loudly exclaims, "the innovation WE want, and ought to call for with one voice. is a MILITIA RANK AND FILE OF **PROPERTY!**"

What are the wants of this gentleman, and the party of which he is the organ, Englishmen will do well to examine, before they abandon the best fruits of the Glorious Revolution, and admit into their balanced Government the hideous innovation of a Military Aristocracy; a monster, whose wasteful ravages would foon prove equally fatal to the People and the Crown.

Of fuch materials is the work of Mr A. Young compofed; nor would any notice have been here taken of a Pamphlet fo unworthy of ferious refutation, but that from the ftrong recommendations iffued in its favor from the Crown and Anchor, and other affociated Bodies, it may be confidered as the orthodox Creed of the prefent Court Politicians—the authentic Manifesto of the Monarchical Party.

Befides the treasonable and feditious doctrines enumerated above, of which one should have thought that nothing but the excess of alarm could have prevented Englishmen from perceiving the true drift, there was one circulated of a more novel and extraordinary nature; this was the notion refpecting an Equalization of Pro-It was held by the Crown and Anchor perty. Affociation, and other affiliated Societies, that this was a general principle of the French Legiflature, and of all who were supposed to wish for a peace with France. Nothing was ever more remote from truth than this affertion. It was well

well known that there exifted a decree in France making it death to propofe even an Agrarian Law; and it was univerfally allowed, that any attempt to equalize property would be abfurd, wicked, and impracticable in any country, or under any form of Government. Whence then arofe this chimerical idea? From the neceffity of producing fuch a degree of terror in perfons of the landed and monied interests as should incline them to purfue, without examination, all the measures of Ministry respecting France, and to adopt all the doctrines promulgated by the Tory combinations. To this fource may be traced that most impudent as well as most dangerous of all falfehoods, the report of an Equalization of Property. Yet this doctrine, which was folely founded in the imposture of . those who pretended to fupport Government, was gravely combated, and with much laboured ingenuity, as a popular and prevailing opinion by feveral eminent oppofers of Peace and Reform! Many men of great good fenfe and integrity were deceived into a belief of it, by the arts of the Ministerial Committees, affisted by their own prejudices; and from their writings against it, the lower ranks of the country were first awakened to the confideration of the doc-This favage outcry against a phantom trine. of their own raifing had other effects befides exciting a political terror; it tended to bring even the terms Equality and Equal Rights into difgrace, and thus mifreprefentation and calumny were artfully used to force the people to renounce what their ancestors had established with their blood. This

This exclusive regard for the prefervation of property against the supposed defigns of persons no otherwife known than by the general defcription of Levellers and Republicans, may be confidered-as no obscure intimation of the future conduct of the High Monarchical Party, who being now completely terrified by the reports which prevailed, anxioufly fought to encreafe that Power by which they imagined they fhould be protected in the undiffurbed enjoyment of their poffestions. For if these Aflociators are fincere in the apprehenfions they expressed; if they think the dangers of Republicanifm fo imminent, and the introduction of it big with fuch calamity to themfelves and to their country, it is not unreasonable to infer that their opposition to popular rights will increase in proportion to the encreasing strength of the popular party; that they will, on the one hand, feek to diminish the privileges of the people, as the means of giving fuccess to popular pretensions; on the other, o enlarge the prerogatives or influence of the Crown, as the means of fuppreffing the *(pirit* of *Reform*; and finally, that in cafe of any popular eruption (which Providence avert !) they will much rather chuse to render the Monarchy abfolute, than concede in moderation to the just demands of the aggrieved party. Can we entertain a reafonable doubt of this, when we fee an attempt revived by the favourite Authors in these Government Clubs, to place the Rights of the English Monarchy upon other grounds than the free confent of a free people? D Whv

Why do they endeavour with fo much zeal to introduce, under the difguife of eloquence and fophiftry, the pernicious doctrines of Kingly Rights exploded at the æra of the Revolution, unlefs their plan is profpective; and that having relaid the old foundation, they mean, in cafe of exigency, to re-establish that superstructure of Tyranny and Superstition demolished by our glorious anceftors? Hear the oracles of their party : " The doctrine that the Sovereign-" ty, whether exercifed by one or many, did " not only originate from the people, but that " in the people the fame Sovereignty conftantly " and unalienably refides, tends, in my opinion, " to the utter fubverfion not only of all Go-" vernment in all modes, and to all ftable fecu-" rities to rational freedom, but to all the rules " and principles of morality itfelf."-Burke's Appeal, p. 56, 57. In another work, the fame great Author ridicules Dr Price for " telling " the Revolution Society that his Majefty is " almost the only lawful King in the world, " becaufe the only one who owes his Crown to " the choice of his people. This doctrine is either " nonfenfe as applied to the Prince now on " the Throne, and therefore neither true nor " faise; or it affirms a most unfounded, danger-"ous, illegal, and unconstitutional doctrine."-Reflections, p. 16, 17.

Englishmen need not to be here informed, that their own immortal Patriot and Philosopher, LOCKE, an eye-witness of that Revolution, the principles of which Mr Burke has attempted with all the wonderful powers of his ingenuity ingenuity to pervert, holds a language diametrically oppofite to that just quoted : This venerable Whig expresslv afferts, that King William's title was only valid inafmuch as it was founded in the confent of the people; " which " being the only one of all lawful Governments, "he has much more fully and clearly than " any Prince in Christendom." Yet with this authority, and that of many other writers, fpectators of that great event, directly in opposition to his ideas of paffive obedience, Mr Burke fcruples not to declare, that " those who con-" demn him and his book, of course condemn " all the principles of the ancient Constitutional " Whigs of this country." Many other paffages might be here cited from the works of our modern Filmer, to fhew in what utter contempt he holds that great fundamental doctrine in our Conftitution, which derives all power ficm the people. The Tory doctrine, that the Monarch reigns by a right independent of the confent of his people, thus relcued from oblivion after the interval of 100 years, was upon the late alarm eagerly adopted, inculcated, and maintained by near three thousand affiliated Societies, as the Grand Specific against the dreaded infection of Republican opinions. Amongst the numerous papers industriously circulated by these Tory Meetings, to imprefs this truth upon the common people, one boldly avows, in broad expreffions, the Divine Right of Kings. " Have " you not read the Bible? Do you not know " that it is there written, that the King is the " Lord's Anointed? But did you ever hear of " his D 2

" his having anointed a republic?" Several hundreds of this libellous and treafonable handbill, ftamped with the imprimatur of Mr Reeves, were circulated by authority in every town in Great-Britain. Dr Horfley, Bishop of St David's, preaching before the Lords, uses the following language, which fo far from being difavowed by the auditory to which it was addreffed, received their unanimous fanction on the motion of the Archbishop of Canterbury:-"God, to his own fecret purpofe, directs the " worft actions of Tyrants no lefs than of the " best and most godly princes. Man's abuse, " therefore, of his delegated authority, is to be " borne by refignation, like any other of God's "judgments. The oppofition of the individual " to the Sovereign Power is an opposition to "God's providential arrangements. In Go-" vernments which are the worft administered, " the Sovereign for the most part is a terror not " to good works but to the evil; and, upon the " whole, far more beneficial than detrimental " to the fubject. But this general good of Go-" vernment cannot be fecured upon any other " terms than the *fubmiffion* of the individual to " what may be called its extraordinary evils. " St Paul reprefents the earthly Sovereign as " the vicegerent of God, accountable for miscon-" duct to his heavenly Master, but entitled to " obedience from the fubject." Here we fee Scripture perverted into an inftrument of attack upon the Conftitution, and by one of those who profefs to bewail the declining attachment of the people to the Crown, and who labour, bour, according to their own account, to make the principles of Monarchy generally popular, to fecure our liberties upon their just basis, and to display the true spirit of the glorious Revolution! Will it be faid, that the propagators of these regenerated dogmas do not ferioufly believe in them? This fort of excule only aggravates their conduct, becaufe it is a confession that they are employed in diffeminating what they know to be falle: But whether they believe or not in these pernicious absurdities, it is evident with what unceasing pains they inculcate them upon others; and what would be the confequence of their fuccefs? Unquestionably the fecurity of the English Monarchy, which now refts upon the affection of Englishmen, would be diminished, if placed upon the narrow mouldering pedeltal of antiquated Right, rather than upon the found and broad foundation of Popular Confent: Standing, as it does, upon this ground, it has an interest in preferving the basis by which it is fupported; but, refting upon any principle independent of the people, whether of Divine Authority, or Hereditary Indefeafible Right, it clearly acquires an interest totally distinct from the people, and a privilege of extending its own prerogatives at pleafure, being, as the Bifhop afferts, " accountable for misconduct only to its heavenly Master," and in no wife responsible to the people for the exercise or extension of power, to which refistance is forbidden, and fubmission, it feems, enjoined on Scriptural authority. Good God! are thefe the doctrines into

31]

into which we are to be repelled by our abhorrence of Jacobine Systems, or the Theories of Mr Paine? Is this the Idol which in the end of the 18th century is to be enfhrined in the Sanctuary of the British Constitution? Are these the Altars to which they lead us? Is this the prepared Sacrifice, the unhallowed flames of which are to be lighted up with the Records of our Freedom? When, under pretext of oppofing falutary reforms, fuch palpable innovations are attempted, it behoves Englishmen to confider their constitutional securities, and to reflect upon the Rights which gave rife to them, and the circumstances under which they were obtained. The times call urgently for this careful retrospect. For when such dogmas as have been glanced at above are embellished with the argument and eloquence of the greatest writers, disperfed by the members of near three thoufand Affociations, propagated with unwearied art and industry, the authors of them loaded with ministerial favours, those who do not believe in them reviled as Republicans, the more violent oppofers of them coerced with penal feverities; what unprejudiced man will maintain, that the Conftitution is in no danger from the unrefifted progress of the High Monarchical Party? The foregoing premises justify us in concluding that the danger is confiderable, particularly when we call to mind that most extraordinary act, the Convention Bill, lately publifhed in Ireland; reviewing carefully the declarations, doctrines, and conduct of this junto, we are authorifed in afferting, that, however upright their

1

their private intentions may be, their public proceedings as a party are not conflictutional; that, in a panic for one Branch of the Conftitution, they have renounced all regard for the other; that, in their abhorrence of innovation, they have abandoned their ancient jealoufy of the executive power, and the neceffary fupport of popular rights; that, in confequence of a groundleis alarm for their own fafety, (which, if real, would not excuse thier conduct) they are employed as a matter of precaution in fortifying that influence which is the notorious caufe of popular difcontent; and, finally, that in a more advanced stage of the dispute, which every good man will deprecate, they will readily invest the executive magistrate with uncontrouled power, rather than fuffer their hated adverfaries to accomplish a Reformation upon the broad plan avowed by one who has now the honour to be a Cabinet Minister, and Master-General of the Ordnance.

WHILE the Tory party forfook the middle grounds for thefe unconflitutional exceffes, the more zealous Theorifts in the caufe of Reformation were in confequence repelled into the oppofite extreme. If the dread of Anarchy diminifhed the terrors of abfolute Monarchy to the one, an apprehension for their remaining Rights, when fuch High Church and King doctrines were avowed, raifed a new spirit of refission was derided on both fides, and busied chiefly with watching the motions of their antagonists, each feems to have almost forgotten how large an intermediate fpace

space was filled by their fellow-citizens who embraced more temperate opinions. Reviled as Jacobines, Incendiaries, Affasfins, and publicly charged with a defign to execute the most horrid plots against their country, the advocates of Univerfal Suffrage were not likely to fupplicate the party that calumniated them, nor to intermit their endeavours to procure the object of their purfuit. Divided and weakened they might have been by lenient and conciliatory measures originating from the higher Powers; but it is evident to the most superficial obferver, that they have recently gained both numbers and confidence from the nature of this ill-judged and ill-conducted opposition. Their arguments were strengthened, their antipathies became more implacable, their demands grew more peremptory, and their zeal was encreafed to the utmost, not only by a daily accession of converts, but by this circumstance, that while the abufe thrown upon them, and the feverity of their fufferings, made feveral perfons who difapproved in part of their doctrines regard them as injured citizens, it was utterly impoffible for any unprejudiced man to undertake a bona fide rational defence of the opposite party. Before the avowal of exploded Dogmas became the fignal of perfecution for opinions, and before the fpirit of Filmer, of Laud, and of Jefferies, revived in opposition to Liberty, had roused a ten-fold spirit amidst these men in its favour, it was reafonable to fuppofe that by prudent management and accommodation the number of theoretical Reformers might have been

been diminished; by prudent compromise and conceffion, it is to be hoped that their demands might yet in great part be fatisfied, and their party prevented from encreasing its ranks. The Duke of Richmond is certainly a high authority; and when it is confidered that during the riots, on the 2d June, 1780, while a lawlefs mob were burning the metropolis, breaking open the King's caftles, belieging the doors of Parliament, and maltreating many of its members, this unshaken advocate in the cause of Reform, regardless of times, and feasons, and circumstances, brought in his bill for annual parliaments and univerfal fuffrage, as the best means of quieting the raging tempest of popular infurrection; when this is remembered, furely all redrefs should not be denied to the more confistent Theorists of the present day, who are content to purfue their object at a moment of domestic tranquility, and when this fame Duke of Richmond prevents the poliibility of riot by the erection of Barracks throughout the kingdom, and by the additional fortifications of the Tower; much lefs ought abufe to be lavished upon those who, marking the rapid progrefs of that party from the time in which the noble Duke was their oracle, with, earnestly wifh, by moderation, by friendlhip, by conciliatory propolitions, to induce them to co-operate upon principles lefs obnoxious to the ruling powers, and better fuited to the prefent circumstances of the State.

From a comparison of the petitions presented at the close of the American war, praying for a Reform

Reform in the Representation, with those which were prefented on a late occasion, it appears, that while a bolder and more decifive tone was affumed by fome Bodies, who formerly had declared they would be content with moderate conceffions, the most extensive system of redrefs was claimed even by fome who formerly had not petitioned at all; and though the perfons petitioning did not constitute a majority of the nation, yet when the numerous impediments to the exercife of that right were remembered, when it was confidered how great was the influence of the affociated Bodies, the Ariftocracy, and the Crown; in preventing fuch a declaration of public opinion, and how certain it was that where influence could be used the people were not left at full liberty to declare their real fentiments upon the fubject, it may be fafely conjectured that those who petitioned bore a fmall proportion to others who were prevented, by the circumstances of their fituation, from manifesting the zeal which they felt in the caufe of Reform.

This injudicious reftraint naturally encreafed the force and popularity of those Opinions which it was intended to suppress; penal prohibitions rouled the spirit of curiofity, although they made enquiry hazardous; and the whole of the Inquisitorial System, so far from making one convert, soured the aspect of society, and united the adversaries of arbitrary power more firmly in the general cause. These forcible obstructions, thrown in the way of men who would otherwise have declared their wishes for some suppression. [37]

fubftantial yet temperate improvement in the reprefentation, may be confidered therefore as one principal reafon of the encreafing popularity of this party's opinions.

The Advocates for univerfal fuffrage and annual Parliaments may be divided into three classes : Persons who will for the immediate introduction of a Republic : Theoretical Republicans, who neverthelefs think that a total change of the Constitution would be highly difadvantageous in the present circumstances of the country; and perfons who, without any partiality whatever for a republican form of government, fupport this species of Reform as the most fafe, efficacious, and conftitutional. These different descriptions the Court writers find it their interest to confound; they allow of no distinction between them, and denounce them all confidently of antimonarchical principles, as Levellers and Jacobines, the fworn Allies of the " Cannibals" of France : Indeed their profeription is more general, for they include within it not only those who profess to support the most temperate as well as extensive plans of Reformation, but all who do not join in their own favorite measures. Of these classes there is no good reafon to believe that the first is at present very numerous; if it is really numerous, the fact must be lamented, becaufe the vexations and difcontents naturally arising from a protracted war will confiderably reinforce its numbers, unlefs public grievances are fully and fpeedily redreffed. Men there undoubtedly must be in this, as in all other countries, of fanguine and im-E 2 petuous

petuous tempers, unfixed in their principles, desperate in their fortunes, lovers of change rather than of order, who for the fake of confusion alone might wish for the fudden and total fubverfion of our present Government: To fuch men the depravity of public Morals, the encreafing corruption of the State, the Revolution in France, and the diftreffes of War, will be matter of animating encouragement: Others again there may be, poffeffing as little prudence, but more conscience, who, wrapt in the contemplation of a favourite object, totally overlook the means;-enthufiaftic zealots, who are inflamed perhaps by furveying the two greatest Revolutions in the history of man, and convinced that the benefits which potterity would derive from the fubflitution of a Republic in the room of our prefent exifting Constitution, would infinitely outweigh the calamities which might enfue from the ftruggle of parties at the moment of its erection. Such men there certainly may be; but that fuch men fhould ever have influence in this country (unless a Reform is delayed till times of confusion and diffress, as happened in France) is utterly improbable. If the hour of Reformation should become a point not of choice but of neceffity, not of compromise but of force; if it should be postponed to a period of great public jealoufy and irritation, moderate remedies and moderate men would then be of no avail, and this clafs of desperate Revolutionists would unquestionably be left to the full accomplishment of their views. When they had overawed all oppofition

.

tion by the feverity of their punifluments, and had debauched a people (on whom the doctrine of EXTERMINATING their enemies had already been inculcated) by munificent largeffes, the rich fruits of plunder and confifcation, a Pruffian . or an Austrian alliance might not be able to quell them into obedience; a threatened descent of Coffacks or of Heffians would perhaps only irritate a people to acts of vengeance, who are too jealous of their own independence to be fubdued by a foreign confederacy. Can the bare poffibility of fuch fcenes be contemplated without horror, or without an anxious regard to the means of preventing them? And is not the prefent moment in every respect the fittest for commencing that scheme of political amendment, which bids fair to confolidate the attachment of the people to their ancient Constitution, and to render it impossible for such a faction at any time, or in any degree, to difturb the tranquility of their country?

The fecond clafs, composed of *Theoretical* Republicans, is probably much more numerous, because a Republic has hitherto fucceeded fo well in America, and because there is no just proof whatever that a Republic may not in time fucceed equally well in France; because, moreover, men are naturally fond of civil Equality, and can only be reconciled to artificial distinctions by prejudices of habit, or a real experimental conviction of their good effects.— But to use the language of the acute Author of *Comments on the purposed War*, "These " are men not in the least to be feared, for " they

" they confess that every nation is bound to be " governed by its majority; and that to at empt " a change by force against the majority in Eng-" land, is as foolifh as it is unjuft : That even " fhould there be fuccefs attending an attempt " against the Monarchy here, there would pro-" bably be the utmost difagreement about the " form of Republic which was to fucceed it; " that all the poffible benefit to arife from a " Revolution, in favour of a Republic, confift-" ed only in the difference between a Govern-"ment experienced to be good, and another " only *suspected* to be better; and that, there-" fore, it is their duty, under fuch circumstan-" ces, to remain contented with the happy Go-" vernment already fo fortunately in our poffef-" fion. This is the language of men not in " the least disposed to mischief, and to such " language it will be always wife to allow vent, " becaufe men will ever be reftless the moment " they feel that their honest intentions are con-" trolled,"-If, therefore, according to the opinion of this judicious observer, no danger need be apprehended from the body of Theoretical Republicans, much lefs reafon of alarm is there from those of the third class, who are advocates for univerfal fuffrage merely because they think it a right of which no man ought to be deprived, and the only remedy which can in their minds effectually remove the growing corruptions of the State. And if these two descriptions of men are honeft and upright in their intentions, (for the holding of fuch opinions alone can be no proof of bad intentions) it is the height

height of folly, as well as of malice, to provoke them to extreme courses by branding them with every foul term of reproach. If, on the contrary, they are factious and turbulent, ftill their reputed numbers and encreasing popularity render it much more politic to divide and difarm them by giving a reafonable fatisfaction to their claims, than to drive them to defperation by calumny and abuse. Whether or not it is expedient in the actual circumstances of this country to allow every man his natural right to a vote, is a quettion upon which the belt men may differ in opinion; and nothing can, in our present fituation, be more inhuman or more dangerous, than to make a man's opinion upon that, or any other speculative fubject, the telt of his moral character. No one abandons even a paradoxical notion the fooner, becaufe, in confequence of it, he is traduced by an adverfary as a monster of depravity. On the contrary, he supports it the more oblinately, becaufe his pride is wounded, and naturally confiders the irritation and malignity of his antagonist a proof that his opinions are not destitute of effect. The more bitterly a man is calumniated for his speculative doctrines by his fuperiors, the more eagerly alfo will he endeavour to inculcate them upon his equals: If difgrace is attached to them, he will be defirous that others should share it with him, and will anxioufly labour to produce that revolution in public fentiment which will carry his ideas into practice, and at the fame time vindicate his private character from unmerited reproach : Add

Add to this, that an injured perfon always fucceeds at laft in an appeal to the public; and if he can shew that, on account of his speculative notions, he has been made the object of mifreprefentation and malevolence, the people at large will lend a more favourable ear to his writings than they otherwife would have done, had those writings been answered by temperate argument rather than by judicial feverity or perfonal invective. Admitting, therefore, in their full force, the objections which have been often urged against Personal Representation, from the unequal distribution of property, from the existence of a depraved and luxurious metropolis, from the extent of our empire, and from the ignorance in which (by a miferable policy of Government) the lowest ranks are unhappily involved, and laftly, from the obftinacy with which this fpecies of Reform would be oppofed by a very powerful fhare of the community; admitting thefe and other objections as arguments against the present expediency of the measure, yet still it must appear that the meafure itself has been combated in a manner the most decidedly impolitic. The Tory party could not have chosen means lefs likely to blunt the edge of the popular arguments. Throughout the whole contest they have manifested a foreness of temper, and a too exclusive partiality for their own perfonal interests; and they have roufed the fpirit of their adverfaries by flander, and have encouraged them to proceed by weakly betraying, in every inftance, their perfonal apprehenfions .- " The people," (as it is happily expressed by

by Mr Wyvill in his Defence of the Reformers) " and their just constitutional claims, " have been the constant objects of their un-" qualified fcorn and derifion, their unmitigated " abhorrence and execration; and ftill in each " wild and moody change of temper, their " alternate exceffes of rage and ridicule, of " horror and contempt, have been but the varied " expressions of their FEAR."-When, therefore, we confider the expansive nature of political opinion in free States, the force it acquires from every attempt to reftrain it, the curiofity always excited by doctrines reprobated because they are new, and the fascinating fimplicity of theories founded on abstract rights; when we call to mind the high authorities by which thefe doctrines were once supported, the industry with which they are propagated, the great ability of many writers on that fide, and the zeal with which fome have almost courted the feverest punifhments; when, moreover, we reflect upon the existence of great public grievances long and generally acknowledged, upon the certain expences and the poslible effects of the prefent calamitous war, we must think that those who profeffed a funcere alarm at the rapid progress of these notions, and who now see the inefficacy of the late measures taken for the suppression of them, are bound to try other means for the accomplifhment of what they deem to be fo defirable an object. After an eager pursuit of harsh and rigorous proceedings for the fpace of fifteen months, not only without effect, but with notorious difadvantage, they are bound by every F tie

tie of honour, and by every confideration of expediency, to adopt a contrary line of conduct; to make the just experiment of lenient and conciliatory measures; and to revive, if possible, by the well-timed efforts of prudent reformation conceded with an affectionate franknefs, the abated though not extinguished regards of one large portion of the people for our present frame of Government. But if it be not poffible, by temperate concessions, to regain the alienated affections of these our fellow-countrymen, let us still recollect who these men are; they are Englishmen like ourfelves, and it is neither fit for them to endure, nor for us to inflict, what exceeds the measures of justice, or may violate the interests of freedom; should this party, inflexibly firm in their original purpofe, difdain to concur in moderate refolutions, yet the effect of fuch refolutions, if carried, would not be loft; the chief ends to which all reformers of every description direct their attention being procured by them, in all human probability, the advocates of Perfonal Reprefentation, deprived of their best arguments, would find their numbers decreafe.

It is in times of public calamity that a people are driven to inveftigate the Theory of natural rights; to thefe fubjects the curiofity of a nation is awakened by opprefiion and reftraint, by the corruption or undue adminifiration of their Government. War and Taxes are and ever will be the great caufes of difcontent, and confequently of political fpeculation. When a free people *feel* their fituation truly truly happy, their tranquility not haftily violated, their revenues not unprofitably fquandered, their blood not wantonly poured forth, their loyalty repaid not by the empty panegyric of a periodical speech, but by a fensible diminution of public burdens, and a fresh security for the privileges they enjoy, it would be plainly monstrous to suppose that they should apply themfelves generally to invefligate queflions of abstract right with a view to subvert their existing Constitution. An equal Government, attached to principles of liberty, economy, and peace; laws wifely framed and impartially executed; a faithful and uncorrupt expenditure of public money; a strict attention to public morals; and, laftly, a diligent regard to the comforts and education of the lower ranks, ever will content the great mass of mankind, and render them totally indifferent to political disquisition. On such a people the arguments of Mr Paine will make no impression. Of a country under fuch circumstances, the happines of its inhabitants forms the furest defence; and without garrifons, barracks, or a ftanding army to defend it, fedition will not know where to enter or to erect its standard. No Constitutional Clubs, no Corresponding Societies, no Affociations for Reform, would there be found : nor would there be wanted a combination of fpies, placemen, and inquifitors, to detect and punish the adversaries of corruption, under pretext of preferving the public peace. Hiftory approves and warrants this reafoning. Where is the inftance to be found in all its voluminous F 2 records

records, of a people difcontented under a full enjoyment of their rights? Or, in what age did any country become a prey to faction, except from fome radical defect in its Government, or from the wilfulnefs, the tyranny, the treachery, or the prodigality of those who administered its powers. Men are led in fearch of remedies by the preffure of grievance; diforders in the politic body produced the difcovery of political rights, as difeafes in the natural body gave rife to the theory and application of medicine: In every department of life, evil felt, or ftrongly apprehended, is the fummons to exertion; and man feems fo conitituted, as to be roufed to the purfuit of fpeculative perfection chiefly by the fenfation of It is to be lamented practical inconvenience. that reflections like thefe, founded in reason, and confirmed by experience, fhould have had no falutary influence upon the conduct of the High Monarchical Party. Fundamental maxims of juffice and policy demand to be univerfally applied; and it is always in the end injurious to the community, when they are obstructed by cabal and combination, by futile objections to the time present, or by an exclusive regard (produced by a groundless fear) in certain orders of men, for their private interests and personal dignity .- Fear is an abject, felfish, and cruel paffion; it precludes all manly and generous counfels; it degrades the mind and pollutes the heart; under its influence it is impoffible to act either with wifdom or justice.

HAVING

[47]

HAVING flated thus much concerning the two Parties which at prefent fupport the oppofite extremes of political opinion, we may fairly conclude, that if the nation was divided into thefe defcriptions alone, the most alarming confequences would neceffarily follow. One ftriving to retain, the other to acquire the maitery, no compromife could well take place between them. The ruling party would naturally try to beat down its adverfary by the force of power; the afpiring party would endeavour to fupplant its oppreffor by the diffution of opinion; and in the end, either a species of abfolute Monarchy would be established by the Tories, through an exceffive dread and hatred of Republican doctrines, or elfe the popular leaders, in the moment of victory, would exceed those limits to which by a prudent and timely conceffion they might have been confined. On the one hand there might be erected a gloomy, hopelefs, degrading Defpotifm, gratifying the higher orders in lieu of their old conditutional privileges with a power of opprefling the lower; preferving internal tranquility by the force of mercenary arms, and fuperinducing ignorance and bigotry, by shutting up the sources of knowledge, and annihilating the freedom of the prefs. Grinding as the oppressions of absolute power must always be to the bulk of a nation, yet under a Sovereign of a prudent and pacific difpolition, rank and property might be chearfully enjoyed by their respective posses, who feared from the extension of liberty a system of maffacre and confiscation; and even in the worft

worft of circumstances the fervility of the Courtier might form fome fecurity against the caprices of an absolute Prince. Amidit the most ignominious flavery and deplorable ignorance, the higher orders of Spain confole themfelves with the pleafures purchased by their wealth, and the obeifance commanded by their rank; they leaft of all men regret the abolition of the Cortes; the liberty, which while it rendered them independent of the Crown made them in fome meafure depend upon the people, would not perhaps be accepted by them; nor would fuch men readily exchange their petty despotism and cumbrous magnificence for the conftitutional privileges of an English Peer. Men. whole chief object is rank and fortune, will always in turbulent times become the willing flaves of a Power which gilds the chain of vaffalage, and allows them to enjoy in fafety the splendor and advantages of birth.-Hence, when high notions of liberty and natural rights become popular, and Republican models are held out for imitation, the titled and the rich (with the exception of a few fearlefs independent minds) will be found ready to create an extraordinary power fomewhere for the fuppression of the adverse faction. What they lofe in effential rights, is amply made up by the fecurity afforded them against the levelling party; while the grandeur and diffipation of an abiolute Court are infinitely preferable in their eyes to a State of manners, fevere in proportion as a Government is free. But under this dispensation of things, the lot of the people at at large would neceffarily be miferable; and as the tyranny of their Government would juftify a forcible revolution, fo in the progrefs of time the people would feek to throw off the flavifh yoke; and thus a defpotifm introduced under pretext of faving bloodfhed, would infallibly in the end produce more aggravated confusion.

On the other hand, if, in the struggle of parties, the Aristocracy should fuffer a defeat, minds overheated with the bitter spirit of hatred and retaliation would not be difpofed to that moderation which is effential to the adjustment of political questions. Besides the evils of civil commotion, a foreign war might be the confequence; England might then fuffer from another Convention at Pilnitz; an English Calonne might intrigue at Petersburgh or Vienna; and the final fettlement of her Constitution be retarded by the officious and concerted interference of foreign Powers, who, denying the right of nations to fettle their respective Governments, and afferting the right of royal Juntos to interfere at pleasure, studioufly embroil the affairs of neighbouring States, in order to take advantage of their miferies, their follies, or their crimes. After a few years of anarchy and war, fome species of Republican Government might be established, perhaps not more generally approved, nor more advantageous to the community, than our prefent limited Monarchy is capable of becoming by means of a fuitable Reform. Thus a revolution would be produced by force, and in the effects of that revolution would be feen whether ther we really posses all the humanity, justice, and religion to which we daily make fuch Pharifaical pretensions; and whether the populace of Great-Britain, already accustomed to a spirit of independence, and possessed of some state of knowledge, would upon the whole conduct themselves with more generosity than has been exhibited by the abused and degraded populace of France.

From the review of this gloomy Alternative, we must deem it fortunate for the country at large, that while fo many men purfue opinions tending to the excess of Liberty and Power, the more numerous division of the community is as yet content to tread the middle courfe. It is therefore to the body of Temperate Reformers alone that this country can look for falvation. A band of Patriots standing upon the firm grounds of the British Constitution, attached neither to the military defpots of the continent, nor to the democratical rulers of France, hating tyranny of every kind, anxious to maintain the legal prerogatives of the Crown, the just privileges of the Peerage, and the undoubted rights of the People, and breathing an ardent with for the progrefs of freedom and for the fystematic establishment of Peace, feem to be eminently entitled in the prefent momentous crifis to public confidence and support. Upon the degree of support given them by their fellow-countrymen, the caufe of the Conftitution effentially depends; it is therefore important that their views and opinions fhould be clearly underftood. Abufed they have been

been by writers of the oppofite parties, each being willing to leffen their credit by imputing to them the principles of the other. By certain authors on one fide, they have been treated as tame, inefficient, and compromifing politicians, who make Reform the stalking-horse to private ambition; and the conduct of the Duke of Richmond, Mr Pitt, and many others, is quoted as a proof of this fuspicion, as if the apostacy of those men could taint their fucceffors in the caufe of Reform. On the other fide, they have been most maliciously traduced, as connected with the incendiaries and affaffins in France. This ungenerous treatment is a ftrong evidence of the utility of their conduct, and should be a strong motive for a public declaration in their favour. It forms a prefumption that their views are conftitutional, and from thence it ought to be inferred that their intentions are upright. Confiding in the rectitude of their motives, and in the falutary tendency of their plans, they are firmly perfuaded that an honeft and difabufed people will at no very distant period of time form a just estimation of their political conduct. Of the principles upon which they ever mean to act, they are proud to make an (explicit profession; and if in pledging themfelves publicly, without refervation or ambiguity, upon the points in question, they have multiplied the obstacles in the way of defertion, they think it not unreafonable that the people should confider the difficulty of apoftacy as fome proof of their fincerity, and a prefumption that they will perfevere G with

with confiftency in the caufe. Inftructed by the concurrent teffimony of historians, that timely reformation is the ftrongest barrier against revolutionary movements, they are animated in the pursuit of a Reform upon the acknowledged principles of our ancient Constitution; and they prefs the prefent moment as the fafeft for an enquiry into the inadequacy of the Reprefentation, and the caufes of the prefent difcontents, becaufe there prevails a very general abhorrence of violent innovation, and becaufe grievances longer continued, perhaps immoderately encreafed, may gradually difpofe the minds of good fubjects for the reception of novel Theories. The great object of the Temperate Reformers is to give the people a just confidence in, and a just controul over their own Branch of the Legislature; and by thus encreasing and perpetuating their reverence for Parliaments, to place the Constitution beyond the reach of affault. This they conceive might at prefent be peaceably effected by a scheme of Reformation less theoretically perfect than that of Universal Suffrage and Annual Parliaments; and if while they propofe to themfelves the fame ends with other conftitutional Reformers, they are averfe from going the fame lengths in the application of means, it is becaufe (defirous above all things of public harmony) they wifh to apply those means only which are likely to be adopted with moft general concurrence; which deviate little from the practice, and which are ftrictly confonant with the fpirit of the Conftitution. To annihilate all undue influence with the caufes of riot, corrupcorruption, and expence at elections; to commit the choice of candidates to those by whom the truft is least likely to be abused; to collect, according to the expression of Mr Fox, the greatest number of *independent* wills; to obtain such a construction of the popular Branch of the Legislature, that, though not chosen by all, it should have no other interest than to prove itself the Representative of all; and lastly, to shorten the duration of Parliaments, is the final wish of men who, uninfluenced by partial interests or theoretical confiderations, regard the principle of General Expediency as the best rule of political conduct.

From a temperate, conflitutional, and yet radical improvement of this fort, procuring to the people the advantages of a fair, free, and frequent Representation in Parliament, every other fubordinate Reform would peaceably arife. In a regular and gradual method we might expect to fee Religion brightened by the effablifhment of toleration, Morality invigorated by the exclusion of corruption, and in proportion as the comforts and civilization of the lower orders were encreased, an additional tide of profperity would flow in upon the kingdom; fresh bul warks would be gained for property, and fresh sec wities for personal freedom. While, therefore, those men who are known as the Temperate Reformers of England earneftly defire to reftore public harmony, and to terminate amicably the differences fabfifting between the High Tory and Popular parties, they appeal with confidence to the utility, the necessity of G 2 their

their conduct, for a just and candid interpretation of the motives from which they act: They call with folicitude upon moderate and independent men of every description to give effect to their labours by a constitutional support; they entreat their fellow-citizens to confider the acknowledged danger of the country, and to cooperate with them cordially and effectually upon the falutary principles of Union and Peace. Го fatisfy the just grievances of those who are respectable from their numbers, to repress the unjust usurpations of others who are formidable from their power, to mitigate their mutual difgusts, to revive their affection for each other and for their common Conflitution, is furely at all times the most confolatory work upon which true patriotifm can be employed. It may be expected that upright and difinterested men will come forward to enforce the advantages of mutual compromise, and to effect this defirable work of mediation. If the pacific and conftitutional plans of the Temperate Reformers are fpeedily feconded by a strong declaration of public opinion, might we not entertain a reafonable hope that the majority of the Tory party would at length be content to furrender their invidious privileges, to renounce their unconflitutional doctrines, and to acquiesce in fchemes approved by the nation at large, and effential for the general good? It is equally to be hoped, that a majority of the opposite fide would, for the fake of tranquility, make fome concessions on their part, and relax fomewhat of the rigour of abstract' speculation. Even thofe

those of them who have been driven into extreme notions by a continued refufal of moderate redrefs, are not perhaps fo bent upon realifing their favourite theories as to oppose more temperate schemes, if adopted foon, and found to produce most of the good effects which they expect from their own enlarged fystems. But upon supposition of the reverse, it may be contended, that a fubstantial Reform of Parliament, effected by a concurrent majority of the nation, would fo unite the great body of the people, would remove fo many just causes of complaint, and fo encrease the reverence of men for a Constitution which they found really capable of correcting its defects in a manner thus exemplary, that however there might still be room, perhaps a wish, left for other gradual and future improvements, yet to produce a sudden change in it under such circumstances would neither be hoped nor attempted by the most fanguine zealot of innovation. That a body of Patriots, powerful and respectable enough to effect these defirable improvements, would be unable to give ftability to them when effected, (although this is feared by timid, and objected by interested men) feems contrary to all probability, as far as Reafon and Analogy can decide. The neceffary tendency of redrefs is to fatisfy complaints. If we dread the confequences of difcontents, it behoves us to invefligate the evil, and apply a fuitable remedy. And if this obvious and prudent conduct is not free from hazard, it is in vain to enquire from what other measures, in the present state of knowledge,

knowledge, of opinions, of public temper, of affairs domeitic and European, we can derive any hopes of fecurity to our endangered Conftitution.

Without attempting to delineate an overcharged picture of national misfortunes, we may confidently appeal to the general conviction of men respecting our actual fituation; diver-fities of opinion obtain upon this subject, and on either fide fanguine writers may have em. braced extremes remote from the point of truth; but amongst those who, forgetting party heats, advert to the prefent late and future confequences of the war, we may observe that the general fentiment which prevails is not a fentiment of confidence, but of depression,-a depreffion arifing from a total uncertainty refpecting the dependence to be placed upon our allies, the faithlefs and rapacious plunderers of Poland; respecting their private views in the continuance of the war, the probable length of hostilities, the political effects of them, whether fuccessful or unfortunate; the consequences of our conduct to the great neutral powers, and the fituation we may hereafter find ourfelves placed in towards foreign States; fome (as for instance, Ruffia) greatly aggrandized themselves; others (Spain, &c.) extremely jealous of Britifh aggrandizement. Powerful as our refources are, it is imposfible for any fincere lover of his country to reflect upon the above circumstances, in connection with the present flate of popular opinion, and not to feel confiderable anxiety. We are involved in a labyrinth by the

the artifices and intrigues of the German Powers: France, it is true, may be ruined in the end by the confederacy; but what confolation fhall we derive from her fall, if fhe is to be entombed, like another Sampfon, amidft the ruin of her enemies?—Let us then confider our real fituation without prejudice, avoid extremes, and endeavour fpeedily to fecure to our native country the bleffings of Moderation, Harmony, Peace, and Reform.

APPEN-

APPENDIX.

Page 8, R ESPECTING this plot, the fol-line 22] R lowing are the affertions of Mr Reeves and the Committee at the Crown and Anchor :-- " It was KNOWN that Emiffaries " were paid by France to ftir up fedition, and " Engineers fent to affift in military operations; " and that a revolt was planned in the beginning " of December, when the Tower was to have " been feized; the Agents in thefe defigns, whe-" ther French or English, were KNOWN." If these facts were really known, they were capable of proof, and the great importance of them furely required that they fhould have been fubftantiated by proof: All the measures of Miniftry relative to France fince that period have been founded upon a supposition of the existence of these contested facts; what then would Ministers have lost by producing evidence of the defigns in queftion ?- The difloyal faction was either weak or powerful; if weak, the calling out of the militia, and other violent meafures, were prepofterous and abfurd; if powerful, it was no difcouragement to their defigns to pronounce them notorioufly guilty, and yet to fuffer them to escape unpunished. The most probable fuppofition is, that Ministry found it convenient at that moment to confound the nation into a belief of these circumstances as perfectly well KNOWN, which, neverthelefs, they were

were utterly unable to prove. On certain occafions ftrong affeverations are more ufeful than weak proofs. Thofe who can rely on confidence will never fubmit to enquiry. Mr Sheridan's judicious motion for " evidence of ledition," &c. &c. placed this beyond difpute.

P. 19, l. 3] Copious proofs might here be adduced from ministerial pamphlets, &c. The following extracts are made from Fast Sermons, preached on the 19th April, 1793:

The Reverend J. Gardiner, speaking of the French, &c. exclaims, "Shall we not la-"bour to bring fuch perfons to a fense of their "duty, or EXTERMINATE them and their opi-"nions?"

The Reverend Mr Bromley expresses an affurance "that the reckoning which God will "make will not long be delayed again/t a nation "which is certainly behind no other, whose mea-"fure of iniquities has in any records of time. "called forth his vengeance to ERASE it from "the carth."

Bishop Horsley thus confidently denounces the vengeance of God against the whole nation: "Infatuated and remorfeless people! The measure "of your iniquities feems at length to be full! the "bour of retribution is coming fast upon you! "Drunk with the blood of your fellow-citizens, "you have dared to spread your rawages abroad; "rousing the furrounding nations, in justice to "themselves and the common cause of humanity, to "confederate against you, in order to execute the "WRATH of GOD on your DEVOTED heads."

The Reverend Septimus Hodion reprefents the

[60]

2 100702

the French as "wretches, whose daring infidelity, "&c. &c. have released us from the obligation of "PITY."

The reader's piety shall not be outraged by any further extracts.

Who fhould be *pitiful*, if you be not? Or, who fhould fludy to prefer a *peace*, If HOLY CHURCHMEN thus delight in *broils*?



_

1.1



