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# SUBSURFACE STRUCTURE AND OIL POSSIBILITIES OF PARTS OF CLAY, MARION, AND WAYNE COUNTIES 

BY<br>William H. Easton

## Introdection

The area included in this structural study was ehosen because preliminary contouring revealed undrilled "highs" that might produce oil. The top of the Levias member of the Ste. Genevieve formation was contoured because it is stratigraphically near the possible producing formations and because the struetural features are not confused either by unknown variations of Chester sedimentation or by post-Mississippian erosion. Post-Mississippian erosion in this area cut down into shales within the Kinkaid formation in many plaees and into the massive limestone of the Menard formation in at least one place.

A structure map of the north half of the area, including Ts. 2 and 3 N., Rs. 4 and 5 E., was prepared by Weller and Bell ${ }^{1}$, who used the top of the Omega limestone (Pennsylvanian) as their reference horizon. They named a closed dome eentering in sec. 23, T. 3 N., R. 5 E., the Xenia dome and showed an anticline rising to the west with its axis extending through the center of sec. 9, T. 3 N., R. 4 E. A rising of strueture southsoutheast of sec. 33, T. 3 N., R. 5 E., was indicated. In the seven years since the earlier report was published, enough wells have been drilled into the Ste. Genevieve formation to furnish adequate control for a structural study based on that formation.

[^0]
## Structural Features

The principal structural feature of the area is an anticline whieh is here designated the Siloam antieline (from Siloam School in see. 27, T. 3 N., R. N. E.), the axis of which extends from northwest to southeast across the mapped area. The Xenia pool lies upon a small dome on this anticline, here named the Paine dome (from Paine School in sec. 5, T. 2 N., R. 5 E.), and located in parts of sees. $4,5,8$, and 9, T. 2 N., R. 5 E.

A subsidiary prong of the Siloam anticline passes from north to south through T. 2 N., R. 4 E., and is here named the Iuka anticline (from the town of Iuka); it appears to have closure, in which case it may more properly be ealled a dome. The small dome whose flanks lie in sees. 24, 25, and 36, T. 3 N., R. 5 E., is here named the Kenner dome (from Kenner pool). A elosed "low" lies immediately west of Kenner dome.

The well (elevation 473 feet) in see. 4 , T. 2 N., R. 4 E., encountered salt water at the total depth of 1780 feet. It is prol)able that the water eame from the Degonia sandstone. The top of the Levias limestone is estimated to be 870 feet below the top of the Dcgonia at the loeation of that well, henee the top of the Levias is calculated to be at 2650 fcet. An "oil sand" was logged from 1549 to 1553 fect but this does not nccessarily mean that an oil show was eneountered, because drillers commonly deseribe sandstones as "oil sands" if they resemble producing


Fic. 1. Index map of the south part of Illinois showing the location of the area covered by this report (see fig. 2).
sandstones in other respects. Thesc remarks are included here to correct and to correlate the driller's log as previously published."

The structural interpretation for the area near the wells in secs. 4 and 6 , T. 2 N., R. \& E., could vary between two reasonable extremes. On the one hand, contours can be drawn to continue the anticline northward without closure by connecting the neighboring -2150 contours. On the other hand, the -2175 contour could be closed off around the north end of the dome, which would result in closer spacing of the contours to the north. The interpretation used by the writer is midwa $r$ between the two exextremes, utilizing one closed contour. Existence of the Iuka anticline, however, can be demonstrated independently of the interpretation used for the well in sec. 4 by using other wells for control.

## Oil Possibilities

Future drilling in the area may result in the extension of the Xenia pool in secs. $4,5,8$, and 9, T. 2 N., R. 5 E., by a few new wells but additional drilling there does not seem advisable under present well-spacing restrictions. ${ }^{3}$ The well in sec. 5 tested the Devonian unsuccessfully. A summary of depths to key horizons for this well follows the text.

According to present data, the high portion of the Iuka anticline (hachured area on fig. 2) appears favorable for oil exploration. The crest of the structure seems to be located in the SW. 1/4 SW. 1/4 sec. 16, T. 2 N., R. 4 E. If test drilling is done, wells should test the Ste. Genevieve formation, the top of which probably lies about 2130 feet below sea-level on the high part of the structure. This estimate is based upon correlations between the well in sec. 20 and the well in sec. 22

A favorable region, even though no closure is indicated by present data, is the hachured area including portions of $\operatorname{secs} .14,15,22$, and 23, T. 2 N., R. 5 E. The dip along the axis of the Siloam anticline there is only about one-seventh of a degree, an amount at variance with

[^1]the dip of about three-fourths of a degree off the top of the Paine dome. The well in sec. 24 had shows of oil in the Bethel and Aux Vases sandstones and in the Ste. Genevieve formation, a feature which is encouraging for prospects of obtaining production $u p$ the dip from that well. There is ample room in the hachured area for a closed high as large as or larger than that on which Xenia pool is located. A well in the vicinity of the corner of secs. $14,15,22$, and 23 , T. 2 N., R. 5 E., would determine whether closure exists. If there is closure, the top of the Ste. Genevieve should be encountered not more than about 2325 feet below sea-level. Special attention should be given to possibilities of commercial production from the Bethel and Aux Vases sandstones in any well drilled in the area.

Although shows of oil have been encountered in other wells in the townships, further drilling is not warranted from present indications.

Depths to key horizons in the Northern Ordnance, Inc.-J. Sapp well No. 1, sec. 5, T. \& N., R. 5 E, Clay County, Illinois
Calculated from the electric $\log$ and checked by study of samples by Mr. S. Folk of the State Geological Survey.
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