

collections DOUGLAS LIBRARY



QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY AT KINGSTON

kingston ontario canada





The Supremacy of the Crown, and the Power of the Church, Aj-Serted and Adjusted.

A

SERMON

Preach'd before the

UNIVERSITY

ЭF

OXFORD,

At S' MARY's,

On Sunday Jan. 17. 1713.

By GEORGE RYE, B. D. Fellow of Oriel College in OxforD.

OXFORD,

Printed at the THEATRE, for Anth. Peisley Bookseller: And are to be Sold by J. Churchill, J. Knapton, W. Taylor, H. Clements, W. Meadows and J. Morphew Booksellers in London. 1714.

716911.1714. R94

· ·

1

RIGHT HONOURABLE

JAMES Earl of DERBY,&c.

My Lord,

The more readily comply'd with the Expectation there was of my Printing the following Sermon, that I might make my Acknowledgments, for your great and continual Favour to me, as publick as they are sincere. I am encouraged to hope, that this Discourse may not be altogether unworthy of your Perusal, since it was favourably heard a 2 by

by one of the most Learned Auditories in the World: and that it will not be unacceptable to your Lordship; since I am fully persuaded, you will be as ready to assert, what shall appear to be the just Prerogative of the Crown and Right of the Church, as you have been, gloriously to defend the Religion and Liberties of your Country.

I am

Your Lordships

most Obliged

and most Obedient

Servant

G. RYE.

SERMON

Preach'd Before the University of OXFORD On Sunday $fan. 17. 17^{\frac{13}{14}}$.

Luke xx. 25.

Render therefore unto Cesar the things which be Cesars, and unto God, the things which be Gods.

OD having commanded Men to be subject to the Civil Power, to obey that, is to obey God: and consequently the things that are Gods, taken in the largest sense, comprehend also the things that are Cesars.

And

And where a Prince professes the true Religion, and does by his Laws oblige his Subjects to render to God the things which are Gods; there to obey God is to obey Cesar: and therefore in such a case the things which are Cesars do, in their largest sense, mean also the things which are Gods.

But where, as in the Text, the things which are Cefars, and those which are Gods, are put in opposition to one another; the things which are Cesars must be taken more strictly, and mean only those Duties commanded by Cefar, which as to their substance or circumstances are no otherwise Duties to God, than as they are contain'd in that general Divine Precept, to be subject to the Higher Powers: and the things which are Gods must mean all other Duties to God, whether commanded by the Law of Reason, or by express Scripture, or plainly deducible from thence; among others, the Duties of exercifing or obeying the Spiritual Powers given by Christ to his Church, of feed-ing Christ's Flock; or of obediently hearing, receiving and respecting Christ's Ministers, which is ultimately, as he himfelf tells us, to obey and honour him and his Father.

So that these words, Render to Cesar the things which be Cesars, and unto God the things which be Gods, contain an express Command both to fear God, and to honour the King, and an Implication, that these two Duties may be both perform'd and are not inconsistent with one another: for that this also is imply'd, appears, not only, because these Duties are both commanded, but from the occasion of the words; which was this.

The Jews falsely imagin'd, that it was impossible to obey both God and Cesar, and from hence concluded, that they ought not to obey Cefar, because it was unquestionable that they ought to obey God. And therefore they put this enfnaring question to our Saviour, Is it law-ful to give Tribute to Cefar or no? that if he should deny the Lawfulness of their paying Tribute and thereby of acknowledging Cefars Authority; they might accuse him to the Governour, who would undoubtedly put him to death for such rebellious Doctrine: or that, if he should answer, they ought to pay Tribute; they might incense the People against him, as one who for fear of Cesar taught them to disobey God.

But

But our Saviour to avoid both these Snares, after having convinced them by the Image and Superscription of the Tribute-Money that it was due to Cesar, commanded them, not only to render to Cesar the things which be Cesars, but unto God the things which be Gods: thereby implying, that to enjoin the first Duty was not to forbid the latter, and that they were mistaken in conceiving, that these Precepts could not be both observed.

And as these Jews concluded, that because they were to obey God, they were not to obey Cesar: So on the contrary fome have concluded, that because we ought to render to Cefar the things which be Cesars, therefore we ought not to render to God some of those things which are really Gods. I mean those who reject the beforemention'd Spiritual Authority of the Church, and who have argued, that the Civil Power being acknowledged to be Supreme, the Clergy must derive from it all their Authority: Because if the Ecclesiastical and Civil Powers were distinct, They might command contrary things; and they must necessarily entrench upon one anothers peculiar Provinces; and there would be no one to judge between them

them in those almost infinite Particulars, which would require a special Determination.

To these Men the Text is as full and proper an Answer, as it was to the Jews; Render to Cefar the things which be Cefars, and unto God, the things which be Gods. We cannot conclude, that because we are to obey God, we are not to obey the Civil Power; nor that because we are to obey the Civil Power, we are not to obey God's command of exercifing or fubmitting to the Spiritual Authority of the Church. For we are oblig'd to do both, and both are confistent with one another.

I shall at present speak to the latter of these false Conclusions: and I shall endeayour to shew

- I. That we may and ought to obey as well the Ecclesiastical as the Civil Power.
- II. I shall prove more fully that we may, by shewing in the general how we may, obey both these Powers.

III. I shall shew the same more particularly, with regard to the several parts of Ecclesiastical Power.

I. I am to shew that we may and ought to obey as well the *Ecclesiastical* as the *Civil* Power.

And First, That we may obey both, will appear from the consideration of parallel Cases. Our Adversaries conclude that we cannot; because they apprehend it to be impossible, that there should be any Independent Power but one: And yet they themselves affert, that Paternal and Family Powers are the same as they were in the State of Nature. If so; then according to their own Principles, there are Powers not derived from the Civil Power, but distinct from it and Independent on it, so far as they keep within their due bounds.

Our Saviour acknowledged Cefar to be Supreme over the Jems, and David and the other Jemish Kings were so too: Yet these Princes had no Authority to make Priests or Inserior Ministers of holy things; but those Offices were appropriated

priated by God to the Family of Aaron and Tribe of Levi. Feroboam indeed made Priests who were not of the Sons of Levi, and depos'd those who were; and his People generally comply'd with him, and approv'd of this Proceeding: But He and his People were so far from having Authority to do this, that they were both severely punish'd for it. Since then these Princes were Supreme, and yet could not give Spiritual Authority; it follows that the Priests and Levites had a Spiritual Power, which they did not derive from the Civil Power, and which they might exercise, and at the same time pay all due obedience to the Civil Power.

Princes often constitute in the same City two Governours at least as Independent of one another, as we affert the Civil and Ecclesiastical Powers to be: For instance, one Governour to have the sole direction of all Military affairs, the other to have such a Power in Municipal, as to have Authority even over the Military Men in Municipal matters; nay so far, as for breaches of the Peace to judge and put to death, not only common Soldiers, but the chief Military Governour. And by the way, he would hardly be thought

Serious, who should argue that this was impossible, because these two Governours might command the Soldiers to do contrary or different things at the same time; and because the Municipal Governour having Authority to put the Military Officers to death, must necessarily have Authority to take away their Commissions, since in Deprivation of life is included Deprivation of all Offices whatsoever; and if he could take away, he might give Military Commissions, since no greater Power is required for the one than for the other. Barely to represent this Reasoning is to shew the weakness of it.

Since then an Earthly Prince can appoint two such Governours: and since God himself made the Civil and Spiritual Powers to be distinct under the Law: we must conclude, that God may Subject Christians both to the Civil and Ecclesiastical Powers; and consequently that it is possible for us to obey them both.

Secondly, It has been fully prov'd by others, that Christ left a Power in his Church to exclude notorious Offenders, and to regulate those Points relating to outward Peace and Order, which are not determin'd

determin'd in Scripture, and yet are necessary to be determin'd; that he commission'd his Apostles to Administer the Sacrament, and to Teach in his Name, and to fend others as they were fent themfelves, to the end of the World; and that the Clergy have by the Law of Reason, as well as express Scripture, a Right to demand a sufficient Maintenance; and lastly that as Christ has made it the duty of Some to exercise, so he has obliged all Others to submit to, these Powers. It being then our duty to obey or exercise these Spiritual Powers, and to be Subject to the 'Civil Powers; it follows plainly, that we ought, and consequently that we may obey both in their several order: because it would be absurd to imagine, that the good God should require what is impossible to be perform'd.

Thirdly, Before Princes were converted to Christianity, the Christians most strictly paid all due obedience to the Heathen Emperours, and at the same time justly exercis'd the beforemention'd Ecclesiastical Powers; which Powers were manifestly not deriv'd from, nor so much as permitted or conniv'd at, but prohibited and punish'd by, those Emperours.

Since then the Objections against the possibility of obeying these two distinct Powers now, conclude as strongly against the possibility of obeying them then, as any one may see by applying those Objections to that time; They can be of no weight in either case: And since Christians actually obey'd both these Powers, when the Civil Power was not only Distinct from, but an Enemy to, the Ecclesiastical Power; It is surely not impossible to obey them both now, when the Ecclefiastical is favour'd by the Civil: And Lastly, Since, as is confess'd by our Adversaries, Christians then had a right to exercise and obey this Spiritual Authority, and the Magistrate could not hinder them without manifest Injustice, so long as the Publick receiv'd no Damage: It follows, that they must always have a right to exercise it, unless the Publick could ever receive Damage from the Christian Religion, which cannot be suppos'd. But if this could be supposed, it would not justify the Magistrate in hindring the exercise of this Authority. For though the Magistrate be oblig'd by his Office to promote the Publick Good, yet it is not all Good, but fuch as may lawfully be obtain'd: and that could not be lawfully obtain'd, Church Power Adjusted. Is obtain'd, which could not be obtain'd without prohibiting those Powers, which Christ had enjoin'd the exercise of, and had made essential to the Constitution of his Church, and necessary to its Preservation.

II. I am to prove more fully that we may, by shewing in the general, how we may, obey both these Powers.

In almost all matters, some things are necessary, some convenient, and some indifferent. Some things are always and immutably necessary: Some that in the general are convenient or indifferent, become necessary in some particular circumstances, and in others, inconvenient or pernicious. Again, the same thing may in several respects be necessary, convenient, and indifferent. And many things, that are every one of them indifferent, when considered distributively and compared with one another, are not so, when taken altogether; but on the contrary some one is necessary, and that one may want to be determined.

And thus it is in Religious Matters. Under the Law, It was necessary that the Priests

Priests should be of Aarons Family, and those who Minister'd to the Priests, of the Tribe of Levi. It was convenient that the High Priests should succeed one another according to proximity of Blood; and therefore they generally did Succeed fo: but it was fometimes found more convenient to alter this Method; and it was necessary to alter it, if the next Heir had any Blemish. As to the Offices which belong'd to the Inferior Priests in common, It was indifferent which of the Priests perform'd them, but necessary that there should be some order observ'd. For neither could All attend at the same time; and those who did attend could not Each of them fingly perform all the Offices: And therefore the Priests were divided into twenty four Courses, and it was the Custom for those who attended in their feveral Courses to divide the Sacerdotal Offices among them by Lot. When the Courses were thus settled and the particular Offices allotted; It became necessary, which was before indifferent, for each Priest to attend at such a particular time, and to discharge such a particular Office and no other. And as what was in the general indifferent, did thus become necessary: So the Preservation of the

the brazen Serpent, which was at first convenient to continue the memory of the Miracles wrought by it, prov'd afterward pernicious, when the Jews paid it Idolatrous Worship.

And the Case is the same in the Christian Religion. For Instance, it is necessary, that Publick Worship should be performed in some Time and Place. It is sometimes indifferent When and Where, as before Christians were allowed to have publick Churches. But in most cases, Time and Place ought to be determined; otherwise the Clergy and People would not know how to attend one another: and when the determination is made by Lawful Authority, that Time and Place become necessary, which were before indifferent.

Some of the Qualifications necessary in Pastors are that they be apt to teach and to convince Gainsayers. Now Men with the very same Abilities may be apt to teach and convince in one time or place, who would be very unsit for it in another. As the same degree of Learning may be sufficient in some Times or Places, which in others would render the Clergy despicable

18 The Supremacy and spicable and unable to teach or convince.

These things being premised, we may plainly see what Power the Civil and Ecclesiastical Governours have respectively in Ecclesiastical matters.

In matters purely indifferent, so long and so far as they are indifferent, the Magistrate has an undoubted right to command and to be obey'd. For things indifferent are the proper matter of Civil Laws.

In things which are each of them indifferent when compar'd one with another, but yet some one is necessary; The Magistrate may, for the good of the State command some one, and prohibit others, but cannot prohibit all.

The Church brings the greatest advantage to the State, making Men good Subjects by it's Doctrines, and by it's Piety drawing down divine Blessings: and the State brings the greatest temporal advantage to the Church, restraining the Enemies, and protecting the Professors of Religion.

Religion. Therefore whatfoever is more hurtful to the Church, than profitable to the State, is really hurtful to the State; and whatsoever is more hurtful to the State than beneficial to the Church, is really inconvenient to the Church, prejudicing it more in one respect, than it advantages it in another. And consequently as the Magistrate may unquestionably command those things which are convenient, and prohibit those things which are inconvenient to Church or State: So he may command things convenient to the State, though they be on some accounts inconvenient to the Church, and prohibit things inconvenient to the State, though they be on some accounts convenient to the Church: because even without his taking any cognizance of them, by being really convenient or inconvenient to the State, they become the same with relation to the Church.

If the Magistrate do by mistake prohibit a thing, which is even in the present circumstances really convenient for the Church; that thing does thereby become inconvenient and ought to be forborn: because it is more inconvenient for the Church that she should oppose the Magistrate,

than that she should want some particular conveniencies.

If the Magistrate prohibit a thing which is immutably or in the present circumstances necessary for the Church, or command what is destructive of the Church; He manifestly exceeds his Commission, and acts without Authority: unless we will fay, that he has Authority to act contrary to Christ's Institution and Command; which he certainly has not. But yethe has great Power even in necessary Matters. For he may by Laws, Rewards, and other Methods, encourage and affift the Ecclesiastical Governours in doing those things which are necessary for the Church, or punish them for doing the contrary, as the pious Kings of the Jews did.

And all this is very consistent with the Authority of the Church. For the Power of the Magistrate to make and execute such Laws does not prove, that the Ecclesiastical Governours have no Authority to make Laws in the same cases, much less that they have no Authority at all. For they not only may but must execute the express Laws of Scripture: and they must

must judge of and regulate those things which are not determin'd in Scripture, and yet are necessary to be determin'd: And they may make Laws about those indifferent matters, of which the Magistrate takes no cognizance; and they may also make Laws concurrent with the Civil Laws relating to Ecclesiastical Matters; because men may be influenced more by both Civil and Ecclesiastical Laws and Penalties, than by Either of them singly.

And this is no injustice to the People subject to both these Powers. For the same things may be convenient or necessary both for Church and State; and the same Crimes may be Offences against both Church and State: and consequently both these Powers may command, and judge, and punish, with relation to the same things: As it is common to punish Men who riotously assault and hurt their Neighbours, both for the private Injury, and for the breach of the publick Peace.

From all which it plainly appears, that it is very possible for us to obey all the rightful Commands both of the Ecclesia-stical and Civil Powers, because they cannot at the same time rightfully command contrary

contrary things: But if their commands be contrary, and if the Ecclesiastical Power command what is unnecessary for the Church, and hurtful to the State, or the Civil, what is unnecessary for the State, and destructive of the Church: then one or other of them commands unlawful things, without Authority, and without laying any Obligation on the People.

For we are commanded to render to God, the things that are Gods, and to Cefar, the things that are Cefars: But a Command to obey in fome cases is not a command to obey in all. A Right to make Laws for the good of the Church or State, is not a Right to make Laws to the ruin of Both or Either. An Authority to command or prohibit indifferent things is not an Authority to prohibit necessary or to command pernicious things.

The Ecclefiastical Governours have a Power to command things necessary, and to prohibit things destructive to the Church; and consequently to judge what things are so: but not a Power to alter the nature of things which are in themselves necessary or destructive. For if for instance, they judge that to be destructive to the Church,

Church, which is really necessary for the State, and not destructive to the Church, it will not thereby become destructive to the Church; And consequently a Right in the Ecclesiastical Governours to judge and determine, does not, as is pretended, oblige the People to act according to all their determinations, but only their just determinations. And therefore if they command things pernicious, or prohibit things necessary, to Church or State; It is readily granted, that the Magistrate has a Right to restrain them.

On the other hand, the Magistrate is Judge of the good of the State: yet if he determine that to be necessary for the State, which is really destructive to the Church, and not necessary for the State, or that to be pernicious to the State, which is really necessary for the Church, and not hurtful to the State; It must be allow'd, his Subjects would not be bound to act according to fuch a Determination. As in like manner, the Civil Power has an unquestionable Right to make Laws in indifferent matters for the publick good, and consequently to judge what matters are indifferent: yet if those things be judg'd by the Civil Power to be indifferent, which

which are really prohibited or enjoin'd by express Scripture, They will not thereby become indifferent, nor is any one bound to obey fuch a Judgement, but the contrary.

If therefore the Civil and Ecclesiastical Powers should command contrary things, every Private Man would be bound to judge for himself: which of the commands was unlawful, and which ought to be obey'd, and to act accordingly: As every Private Man is bound to judge for himself of all Laws both Ecclesiastical and Civil, whether they be agreeable to the Di-vine Law. And if he judge aright, his Pri-vate judgement will be of more force, than the erroneous judgement of his Governours. For his Judgement will justify him in not obeying; but theirs will nei-ther justify themselves in commanding, nor others in doing, unlawful things.

When Disputes of this nature happen, the case is ordinarily so very notorious, that men of common capacities may perceive who is in the wrong. In the times of Primitive Christianity, when the Ecclesiastical and Civil Powers commanded contrary things; It was easy for Private Christians

Christians to know, which command was unlawful. At the Reformation it was not difficult for Private men to determine, whether they ought to obey the Pope and his Clergy commanding, or Protestant Princes prohibiting, to worship Images, to pray to God in an unknown Tongue and the like.

Indeed Men in Speculation may start many nice cases, which can hardly be determin'd by the common People: And if fuch should sometimes happen in fact, Private Men must still judge for them-felves; and if they judge amis through invincible ignorance, God will undoubtedly pardon their errour. For we cannot conclude, that Men have not a Right to judge, because they may perhaps pass a wrong judgment: nor argue, as our Adversaries do, that since God hath not appointed a Judge to determine the differences between the Civil and Ecclesiastical Powers, he has instituted no such distinct Powers; because if he had, such a Judge would have been necessary, to have directed the People which of the contending Powers to obey, and to have prevented the pernicious confequences of Private Mens judging for themselves.

T)

For

For there is no necessity of such a Judge: no more than there is of a Judge to determine religious Controversies, and to prevent the Heresies which Private Men will fall into, if they be left to their own Judgment; or of a Judge to decide the Disputes between different Nations, and to hinder those Injuries and Murders which must follow, if each Nation be Judge in its own cause. But it is plain, that in both these cases God has not appointed a Judge, and, therefore we must allow there is no necessity of one, unless we will make our selves wifer than God.

We may perhaps think it convenient, that there should be such a Judge in all these cases: But God has not thought sit to grant us this and many other things which we imagine to be convenient, and therefore we must acquiesce without them.

III. I am to shew more particularly how we may obey both these Powers; and this I shall do, by applying what has been said under the former Head to the respective Parts of *Ecclesiastical* Power.

And First, It is necessary, that those only should Preach and Administer the Sacraments,

Church Power Adjusted. 27 craments, who are Ordain'd by the Fathers of the Church, and that those only should be Ordain'd, who have the necessary Qualifications. But when great numbers have all necessary Qualifications in an equal degree; It is, as to the Church, indifferent which, but necessary, that some of them be Ordain'd.

If therefore, (what, bleffed be God's good Povidence, our Church has no reafon to apprehend) the Prince should command Persons unordain'd to execute holy Functions, or prohibit the Fathers of the Church to Ordain any Persons whatsoever, or suffer them to Ordain only such as are utterly unqualify'd: We must render to God, the things that are Gods.

But if the Prince Nominate one duly qualify'd for the Office of a Bishop; or enjoin that those only be Ordain'd to holy Offices, who give assurance of Fidelity to him; or out of Men already Ordain'd, and who have liv'd suitably to their hely Function, Nominate himself or Authorize other Lay Patrons to Nominate, particular Passors to particular Parishes; He commands what is as to the Church indifferent, and exercises no other D 2 Authority,

Authority, than feems to have belong'd to the Jewish Civil Governours? For Nehemiah made Treasurers of holy things. Jehoshaphat appointed Priests and Levites by name, to Teach in the Cities of Juda, and to Judge in Jerusalem. Solomon put Zadok the Priest in the room of Abiathar the High Priest. The Sanhedrim sometimes nominated the High Priest. And the Romans nominated the High Priests mention'd in the New Testament. Yet no one can pretend, that these Civil Governours gave these High Priests their Pontificial Authority, or that they could have nominated any Persons besides the Descendents of Aaron.

Secondly, For the Clergy to Officiate and the People to join with them in publick Worship, is an Affirmative duty, and therefore necessary, but not in all times and places.

If therefore it be necessary for the Service of the State, that a particular Clergyman of extraordinary Qualifications should for a time discharge some particular Civil Office; and if that Office be not inconsistent with the discharge of his Spiritual Function; or though it be inconsistent

consistent with the Personal and Actual Discharge of some parts of his Spiritual Function, yet if there be other Clergymen to fupply his place; The Prince has the fame right to command fuch a Clergyman to discharge such a Civil Office, as David had to appoint out of the Levites many Officers and Judges, not only in all business of the Lord, but also the Service of the King. If the Prince upon occasion send some of his Lay Subjects into parts, where they cannot have outward Communion with any Christian Church; this is no greater Authority, than was justly exercised by the Jewish Kings, and is not properly a Prohibition but an indirect and temporary Hindrance of Mens joining in publick Worship: In short this is to command no more than Merchants or other Subjects may lawfully do for their own private occasions.

But if the Prince command all Clergymen to execute such Civil Offices as would wholly disable them from discharging their Spiritual Functions: if he should not only hinder some Laymen from publickly communicating with the Church for a time and on particular occasions, but should prohibit all of them to Worship

30 The Supremacy and thip God at any time or place: We must obey God rather than Men.

Thirdly, It is necessary that the Church should have a Power to make Canons, but not that She should always exercise it. It is necessary that some Rules should be made about time, and place, and order, and about the Ordination of the Clergy and other matters. But when necessary things are determined, It ceases to be necessary; When all things expedient are determined, It ceases to be convenient, to make any farther Canons. So when a State is well regulated with good Laws, there may for a long time be no occasion, and for a much longer, no necessity of making new Laws.

If then there should happen a manifest, present, and absolute necessity for the Church to make new Constitutions, and the Civil Power should prohibit them: The Church cannot but have the same Right, which the Primitive Christians had and exercised.

But as St. Cyprian wisely resolved to do nothing without the consent of his People: so much more is it lawful for a Church,

Church, to forbear making Canons, till they have the Approbation of the Prince, when there is a Determination of all Ecclesiastical matters which are necessary, and of most of which are expedient, though some things expedient may still be wanting; and when that Church has receiv'd and is continually receiving great Privileges from the State; especially fince Ecclesiastical and Civil matters are much intermix'd; since Ecclesiastical Rules ought not to be contrary but rather conducive to the Civil Good, of which the Prince is the best Judge; and fince the Prince has himself so great an Authority in these matters. For he also may make Laws to promote the true Religion, the greatest interest of the State. He may command both Clergy and Laity to perform their respective Religious Duties. He may restore Purity or prohibit Corruption in Religion. He may appoint a national Humiliation or Thanksgiving, as being the best Judge when the necesfity of the State requires them. All which has been shewn to be confistent with the Churches Authority, and is no more than what the Civil Governours of the Fews justly did.

Fourthly, The Church has an inherent Power of excluding all notorious Offenders from her Communion: and the Execution of this Power is convenient in the general, but not in all Cases. For as in Civil, so in Ecclesiastical matters it is sometimes most convenient to relax Discipline, as when Offenders are numerous and untractable, or for other reasons.

And therefore as the *Primitive* Church did not always exercise it with equal rigour; so though our Church has declar'd, that she does much wish for the Restoration of *Primitive* Discipline; yet she has not hitherto thought fit positively to require it, forbearing to exercise Discipline in any manner, but what is consistent with the Laws of the Nation. And this she may lawfully do: since the Civil Power it self punishes many Sins, and leaves the Cognizance of most others to the Ecclesiastical Governours.

And though it be granted, that some of the Offences, which now come under the Censure of the Church are as much of a Civil Nature, as those punish'd by the Magistrate; and that Civil Penalties are annex'd to Church Censures, and confequently

Church Power Adjusted. 33

fequently that the Ecclesiastical Governours act by the Authority of the Civil Power: yet that they act by that Authority only does not follow from either of these Concessions. For as to the first, the Church has good right and reason to take cognizance of fuch Civil crimes, because they also are Sins, and such Sins as are not ordinarily punish'd otherwise. And as to the latter, the Ecclesiastical Governours act by the Authority of both Church and State.

And it is lawful for Ecclesiastical Governours to act in these matters by the Authority of the State as well as the Church. Because as the Civil Powers may justly enact Laws with relation to Ecclefiaftical Crimes and Persons, so consequently they may punish both; and therefore may authorize the Clergy to punish in their names. So Solomon thrust out Abiathar from being High Priest for Treason a Civil crime. And Nehemiah chased away one of the Chief Priests for having marry'd a Stranger which was an Offence against Religion. And Nehemiah also prohibited some pretended Priests to eat of the most holy things, for not having kept their Genealogy. \mathbf{E}

But.

34 The Supremacy and

But yet, by the way, no one can from thence conclude, that Solomon or Nehemiah could make Priests, or that they could properly deprive them of their Office, tho they did justly hinder them from exercising it.

For the Priests might for several reafons be disabled from exercising their Functions, and yet continue Priests. As those who had any blemish were not allow'd to offer the offerings of the Lord, and yet most certainly were Priests; because they were permitted to eat the bread of God both the most holy and the holy, which it was lawful only for Priests to do.

Fifthly, It is necessary that the Clergy should have a sufficient Maintenance; It is convenient that they should have a plentiful one, that they may attend upon the Lord without distraction, and be examples of Charity and Hospitality. But whatever Right they may have, they justly think it most expedient, to take thankfully that proportion which is voluntarily given them by the State or otherwise, how narrow soever it may be in some Instances.

And this Right of the Clergy is not at all

Church Power Adjusted. 35

all inconsistent with the Princes Taxing or Amercing his Subjects for the publick good. For the Clergy have a Right to be maintain'd by the People only out of what is the Peoples own, not out of what is not the Peoples but due to the Prince.

Having thus gone through, what I at first propos'd, and having, 'tis hop'd, shewn that it is both possible and necessary to obey as well the Ecclesiastical as the Civil Power, I shall now briefly conclude with some Inferences.

And First, From hence appears the Injustice of charging the Clergy of this Church, with denying the Princes Supremacy in Ecclesiastical matters, and craftily endeavouring to engross to themselves all Civil Power as well as Ecclesiastical: Since we acknowledge, that the Civil Powers have Authority, to command or prohibit in Ecclesiastical matters which are not necessary, and to oblige the Clergy as well as others to do those things which are necessary; and consequently that they are properly Supreme in all Ecclesiastical things or causes. For there can be no things or causes, but what are either necessary or not necessary.

E 2 Some

Some indeed contend, that much more must be meant by Supremacy: But the words of the Statutes and Canons are, that the Queen is Supreme in all Ecclesiastical things or causes as well as Civil; Which cannot imply, that the Queen has more Authority in Ecclesiasticals than in Civils. Since then our Adversaries will not allow, that because She is Supreme in Civils, therefore She has all Civil Power whatfoever, and the other Branches of the Legislature receive all their Power from Her; They have no pretence to fay, that because She is Supreme in Ecclefiasticals, therefore She has all Ecclesiastical Power whatsoever, and the Clergy have no Power but what they derive from Her.

Secondly, From hence also it appears, that the Romanists do falsely object to us, that our Ecclesiastical Constitution is purely Parliamentary, and that our Church has no spiritual Power but what it derives from the State.

For our Church does no more receive all its Spiritual Power from the State, than the Priests and Levites did from the Jewish Kings. It does not ascribe any other Authority to the Civil Power, than

Church Power Adjusted. 37

than what is in the main agreeable to the Principles and Practices of almost all Christians, except the Romanists, since Princes have been converted to Christianity. In short it is only so far Parliamentary, as is consistent with its being the true Church of Christ, and that it is so far and no farther, we are not asham'd of but glory in.

Thirdly, and Lastly, We learn from the whole that we are strictly obliged to obey both the Civil and Ecclesiastical Powers, to render unto Cesar the things which be Cesars, and unto God the things which be Gods: Since Obedience to both is possible and necessary; and it may be added, that it is manifestly our temporal Interest.

And therefore let us make it our utmost endeavour to serve and fear God,
and to obey and honour the Queen: and
let us humbly bless his Holy Name, that
chiefly by his Gracious overruling Providence, and next by Her Majesty's Care
and Favour, we are, by the Civil Power,
permitted, excited, commanded and rewarded in exercising and obeying those
necessary Spiritual Powers, wherewith
Christ has entrusted his Church: That
what

38 The Supremacy &c.

what Inconveniencies we are under, are fought out by her Wisdom, and daily lessen'd by her Bounty: that we enjoy, what the Primitive, the Best of Christians, could not obtain for themselves by their Prayers, the Privilege of leading quiet and peaceable lives in all Godliness and Honesty.

And to our Thanksgivings let us join our Prayers, that God would by his Grace enable all his Clergy to malk worthy of the Holy Vocation wherewith they are called: that he would fill her Majesties Heart with all Christian and Royal Virtues, and crown Her with the Increase of Earthly, and with the late Addition of Eternal, Glory: and Lastly, that he would Bless her People with all Temporal, and especially with Spiritual Mercies, with National Piety, and universal Zeal for his Honour, that so he may delight to continue among us, and may transmit these his Blessings to our latest Posterity.

Now to God the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, three Persons, and one God, be all Honour and Glory for evermore. Amen.

FINIS.

Books Printed for and Sold by Anth. Peisley.

The Doctrine of the Trinity Prov'd from Scripture. A Sermon Preach'd before the University of Oxford at St. Ma-

ry's, on Sunday May 13. 1711.

Peace and Unity Recommended. A Sermon Preach'd before the University of Oxford at St. Mary's, on Sunday Aug. 17. 1712. Both by the Author of the Collection Entitled the Common Prayer the best Companion.

Cathedral Service Decent and Useful. A Sermon Preach'd before the University of Oxford at St. Mary's, on Cacilia's

Day 1713. by W. Dingley B. D. Fellow of C. C. C.

39. Articuli Eccleiiæ Anglicanæ, brevibusque notis illustrati, & Concentus Veterum, sive Appendix ad 39. Articulos Ecclesiæ Anglicanæ, Edit. II. Authore Edvardo Welchman.

The Divinity of Our Blessed Saviour Prov'd from Scripture and Antiquity. A Sermon Preach'd before the University of Oxford at St. Mary's, on the Epiphany Jan. 6th, 1711. In which Mr. Whiston's Attempt to Revive the Arian Heresy is Consider'd, by Richard Ibbesson M. A. Fellow of Oriel Coll. in Oxford.

A Sermon Preach'd on the Anniversary Fast for the Mar-

tyrdom of King Charles the first in the last Century.

A Sermon Preach'd at St. Mary's before the University of Oxford, upon Act-Sunday 1710. by Thomas Coney, D. D. Marcus Antoninus, Lib. XII. Notis Illustrati, gr. lat.

Cura Ibbetson, 8vo. E Theatro.

Sophocles Tragoed. Gr. Lat. cum Scholiis, per Johnson. 2 Vol.

An Essay on the Usefulness of Mathematical Learning,

Corn. Nepos cum Fig. Cura Adams, 8vo. E Theatro. Bp. Milles's Answer to Mr. Dodwell, on the Immortality of the Soul, 8vo. E Theatro.

Sandersoni Physica, 8vo. Oxon.

Scheibleri Philosophia Compendiosa, 8vo.

Commentarii de Scriptoribus Brittanicis, Auctore Joanne Lelando, Londinate, Cura Hall. 2 Vol. E Theatro Sheld. 1709. Ignatii Ignatii Epistola Genuina, Gr. Lat. cum Annotationibus Ep. Pearsoni, & Thomae Smith, S. T. P. Illustrat. E Theatro

Sheldoniano, 1709.

Sectionum Conicarum Elementa nova methodo demonfirata Editio. 2da. emendata, pluribusque in locis aucta & illustrata, per Jacobum Milnes. 8vo.

Dr. Wells's Geographical Tables, both Antient and Mo-

dern.

Remarks on Dr Clarke's Introduction to his Scripture-Doctrin of the Trinity, By Edward Wells D. D.

A Letter to the Reverend Dr. Clarke, in Answer to his

Letter to Dr. Wells.

A Recantation-Sermon against the Errors of Popery, Particularly Transubstantiation. By Will. Aylmer lately Pro-

festor of Divinity in the Roman Church.

The Church of England Man's Companion; or a Rational Illustration of the Harmony, Excellency, and Usefulness of the Book of Common Prayer, &c. Wherein all the Rubricky, Prayers, Rites and Ceremonies, &c. are explain'd and vindicated, and compar'd with the Ancient Liurgies, and the Practices of the Primitive Church. To which is prefix'd an Introductory Discourse, shewing the Lawfulness and Necessity of a National Precompos'd Liturgy. By Charles Wheatly, M. A. Fellow of St. John Baptist's College in Oxford. The Second Edition with large Additions. Oxford Printed at the Theater, 1714.

The Lawfulness of Feating with the Danger of Abuling it. A Sermon Preach'd before the University of Oxford at St. Mary's, on Sunday Jan 3. 1714. by Charles Wheatly M.A. Fellow of St. John Baptist's Coll. Oxon. Publish'd at the Re-

quest of Mr. Vice-Chancellor.

Artis Logicæ Compendium Edit. 2da. Emendata, pluribusque in locis Austa & Illustrata 12mo. E Theatro Sheldo-

niano, 1704.

Now in the Press and will be Published in sew Days, Fisieen Sermons Preach'd on several Occasions and on Practical Subjects, By the late Reverend Mr. Rich. Duke Prebendary of Glocester, and Restor of Witney in Oxfordshire, and Chaplain to the Bp of Winchester. Never before Printed.







