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tribute of esteem for professional talent, and for the deep interest which he has ever evinced in the cause of true learning.

## PREFACE.

The author of the present work prepared several years ago a Treatise on Latin Prosody and Metre, which met with no unfavourable reception, and proved, as he has reason to believe, a somewhat useful guide to the young prosodian. This volume haviug been for some time completely out of print, the author has been induced to write a new work on the subject : one that may not only be more worthy of his iucreased experience as an instructer, but may furnish also more detailed information on various points that were necessarily omitted in the previous treatise. In collecting materials for this purpose, the author has derived important aid from the Latin Prosodies of Dr. Carey and Professor Ramsay, especially the latter, and he has introduced into the present work whatever appeared of value in these and other publications of a similar nature. He does not entertain a doubt, therefore, but that the young scholar will find in the present volume everything that may be needed by him, not only at the commencement, but also throughout the several stages, of his academic career.

Very Sew rules are given, it will be perceived, for the structure of Latin verse. This forms a distinct department of study, for which, at some future day, a separate work will be prepared; and this intended work will also contain the Essay o: Leonine and Macaronic Versification, which was to have fi rmed part of the present volume, but which has been omitted through fear of making the work too large a one.
The Latin metrical rules of Alvarez were given in the previous work, but are omitted in this. The practice of giving Latin rules in matters of prosody is fast going out of use, and deservedly so; and, besides this, the rules of Alvarez are in numerous instances decidedly erroneous.

Columbia College, Aug. 2, 1841.

## PROSODY.

## SEC'TION I.

I. Prosody treats of the Quantity of Syllables, and of the different Species of Verse. ${ }^{1}$
II. A Syllable is composedd of one or more Letters; as, I, in, in-tus.
III. Letters are divided into Vowels and Consonants.
IV. Vowels, or open, free-coming sounds, are six in number: A, E, I, O, U, Y.
V. From the vowels are formed eight Diphthongs: $\mathbf{E}$, AI, AU, EI, EU, © , OI, UI.
VI. Consonants, or sounds produced by the compression of the organs of speech, are divided into Mutes and Semivowels.
VII. The Mutes are eight: B, C, D, G, K, P, Q, T.
VIII. The Semivowels, which have a sound more open than that of the mutes, are likewise eight: $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}$, $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}$.
IX. Of the semivowels four are Liquids, $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}$, and they are so called because they flow, as it were, from the lips with less exertion than is required in articulating the other consonants.
X. 'Two other of the semivowels are Double Letters, X and $\mathbf{Z}$; the letter $\mathbf{X}$ being equivalent to CS, GS, or KS ; and the letter Z to DS or SD.

[^0]XI. The letter II is to be regarded, not as a true conso tant, but as a mere breathing.
XII. The letter $J$ was altogether unknown to the ancients. It appears that, among the Romans, the letter I exercised a double function, being sometimes purely a vowel and sometimes a consonant, answering very nearly to our $y$. The character $j$ was introduced, in later times, into those words where $i$ had the power of a consonant, and therefore of itself, when not followed by another consonant, could not have lengthened a short vowel. The letter J, accordingly, is not, as some maintain, a double consonant. ${ }^{1}$
XIII. The letter V, in like manner, represented among the Romans, on some occasions, a consonant, on others a vowel sound. And the character U , to indicate it when a vowel, is, like the letter $J$, a modern invention.
XIV. The letter $\mathbf{Q}$ was precisely equivalent in sound to $\mathbf{C}$ or K , all three being pronounced hard:

## SECTION II.

## QUANTITY OF SYLLABLES.

I. By the Quantity of a syllable is meant the duration or continuance of the voice in pronouncing it.
II. A syllable is either Short, Long, or Common.
III. A shurt syllable is sounded rapidly, and consists of what is technically termed one time, like the $a$ in the English word orator, or the $e$ in the Latin word legere; and is thus marked, lĕgĕrĕ.
IV. A long syllable is slowly pronounced, and occupies twice the time employed in sounding a short one; as in the $a$ of the English word mediator, or of the Latin word sedare; and is thus marked, selāre.
V. A common or doubtful syllable may be made long or

1. Consult reraarks on page 27, with regard to such forms as $\bar{j} u s$, cūjr:s, \&ic
short, at the option of the poet; as, papy̆rus or papȳrus; fuerimus or fuerìmus.'
VI. The quantity of a syllable is either natural, that is, dependant on the intrinsic nature of the vowel itself, as the $r e$ of $r$ ésisto, in which the $e$ is short by nature; or accidental, as the $r e$ in rēstiti, which becomes long because it happens to be followed by two consonants.
VII. The quantity of syllables is determined by certain established rules, or, when these fail, by the authority of the poets.
VIII. In polysyllables, or long words, the last syllable except one is called the penultima, or, more briefly, the penult; and the last syllable except two, the antepenultima, or antepenult.

## SECTION III.

## OF THE ORIGIN OF PROSODIAL RULES.

I. Rules in Prosody originate from a careful examination of the works of the best Latin poets. ${ }^{2}$
II. In making such examination, we perceive that, with a very few exceptions, the quantity of the same syllable in the same words is always the same; and, by classifying those which are analogous, we arrive by induction at certain fixed principles, which are imbodied in rules.
III. In a great many other cases, however, we are unable to detect any fixed principle, and must rest satisfied with saying that we have the authority of the poets for making such syllables long or short. ${ }^{3}$

1. Consult remarks on page 29, Observation 1, with regard to a short vowel before a mute followed by a liquid.
2. For some remarks on the relative value of the Latin poets as metrical authorities, consult A ppendix.
3. All prosodial rules, in fact, are based upon the authority of the poets; but, according to the usage of prosodians, those syllables only are said to be long or short by authority which cannot be reduced to rules.

## SECTION IV. <br> RULES.

## 1. a vowel before another vowel.

I. A vowel before another vowel, or a diphthong, is short; as, fuit, dĕus, tinĕe, eximie.

T'ibull. Quis füit, horrendos primus qui protulit enses?
Virg. Ipse ctiam eximice laudis succensus amore.
The letter H being merely a note of aspiration or breathing, is not regarded in such cases as the present ; and therefore, when $h$ stands between two vowels, the preceding vowel is short ; as, nı̆hil, mžhi.

Pers. De năhilo nĭhil, in nĭhilum nil posse reverti.
Virg. Musa, mìhi causas memora; quo numine leso

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Fio has the $i$ long in all its tenses, except in those where it is followed by er ; as, fio, fiebam, fiam, but fierem, fieri. ${ }^{1}$

1. It has been conjectured that the old form of fio was $f \bar{c} 0$, and that the first syllable was long in all the tenses without distinction. Some of the parts, however, of these tenses in which er occurs, could not have been used at all in Dactylic verse, if the first syllable had been always long: thus, fïērēs, fīērēnt, cannot stand in any place of a Dactylic verse, and not even fiërem, fiëri, without an elision. Hence, when the $e$ of the diphthong was dropped, the writers of heroic verse introduced this change into the quantity of those tenses where it was most necessary, preserving the proper and original quantity in the rest. This opinion receives much support from the fact, that the Comic writers, who lived before the prosody of the language was very accurately defined, and whose verse required no such modification of these words, constantly used fieret, \&c., with the first syllable long; as, "Injurium 'st nant si esset unde id fierct." (Terent., Ad., 1, 2, 26.) On the other hand, in the works of the Christian poets, such as Prudentius, Arator, Tertullian, \&c., not only the first syllable in fierem, \&c., but in fio, \&c., also, is made short. Thus, we have the following Archilochian heptameter in Prudentius: "Iamque tuus fīeri mandas, fīo Cyprianus alter." (Perist., 13. 59.) A less accurate mode of explanation is given by Vossius (Aristarch., 2, 13), founded upon a passage in Priscian. (Ramsay's Latin Prosody, p. 23.)- Of the use of ei in earlier Latinity, where, at a later day, the long $i$ was employed, we have numerous examples. Thus, on the Duilian column we find castreis, socicis, classeis,

Ovid. Omnia jam fient, fieri que posse negabam. Virg. Anehises, fieret vento mora ne qua ferenti.

Exc. 2. The genitives and datives singular of the fifth declension make $e$ long before $i$; as, dièi, speciēi. But it is found short in spĕi, and common in fidēi or fidĕi, and in $r \bar{e} i$ or $r e \breve{e} i^{1}{ }^{1}$
Virg. Nunc adeo, melior quoniam pars acta dièi.
Senec. Credi periisse. - Vix spĕi quidquam est super (lamb. trim.)
Lucret. Nec jacere indu manus via qua munita fidēi.
Statius. Quis morum fidĕique? modus nunquamne virili.
Lucret. Preterea rēi que corpora mittere possit.
Horat. Curtce nescio quid semper abest rĕi. (Choriamb.)
naveis, numei; and in the S. C. de Bacch. we have quei, virei, sibei,
eeis, vobeis, \&c. Consult also Orell., Inscript. Lat. Sclect., n. 626, $3308,3673,4848$, \&c.

1. According to some, the old nominative form of the fifth declension was diē̄s, speciēis, fidēis, making in the genitue dic̄i-is, speciēz-is, fidē-is, which case afterward dropped the $s$, and became dieii, specieii, fideñ, and eventually dièi, specièi, fide $\bar{e}$, the $i$ of the diphthong being dropped. (Ramsay's Latin Prosody, p. 22.) The explanation given by Bopp, however, is in every way preferable. This eminent philologist makes the original form of the nominative to have heen dié-is, speci $\bar{e}-i s$, ful $\ddot{e}-i s$, and the genitive to have dropped its characteristic ending $s$, and to have terminated like the old locative in $i$; thus making diē-i, speciē- $i$, \&c. If we write die-is in Greek characters, $\delta\langle\eta-\iota s$, and call to mind, at the same time, the close relation that subsists between the Ionic $\eta$ and the Doric $\bar{a}$, we will perceive at once a remarkable analogy between the first and fifth declensions in Latin, and why so many words are found belonging, as it were, to each ; as, planitia and planitie-s, canitia and canitic-s, \&c. In this way, too, we can explain the old form of the genitive singular in the first declension, by supposing the nominative to have been, for example terr $\bar{a}-i s$, mus $\bar{a}-i s$, whence came the genitive terr $\bar{a}-i$, mus $\bar{a}-i$, by dropping the $s$ and retaining the locative ending, while the Doric $\bar{a}$ in the nominative terra was displaced by the Rolic $\bar{a}$. (Bopp, Vergleichende Grammatik, p. 141, scqq.-Id ib., p. 217, seqq.)It remains now to account for such forms as fulči, rĕi, \&c. In all probahility we have here merely an attempt to reduce the old forms with the long penult to the operation of the general rule. It is worthy of remark, too. that the examples of fidĕi all occur in writers of the lower age, with whom it is very commoll. The subject of the identity of all the Latin declensions, which has been merely glanced at in this note, may be seen more fully discussed in Bopp's work just referred to, and in Struve's treatise, "Ueber die Lateinische Declination," \&c., p. 38, seqq.

Exc. 3. Genitives in ius have the $i$ long in prose, but common in poetry. Alterius, however, has the $i$ always short, atius always long.'
Virg. Posthabila coluisse Samo; hic illĩus arma.
Id. Tu faciem illius noctem non amplius unam.
Id. Ipsius Anchise longavi hoc munus habebis.
Id. Nunc ultro ad cineres ipsius et ossa parentis
Horat. Nultius addictus jurare in verba magistri.
Virg. Non te nullius exercent numinis ire.
Id. Unius ob noxam et furias Ajacis Oïlei.
Id. Navibus infandum amissis unius ob iram.
Exc. 4. Such proper names as Caïus, Pompeïus, Vulteïus, as also Veius, and the like, have the $a$ or $e$ long before $i_{\text {. }}{ }^{2}$

1. The authority for the remark that genitives in ius have the penult Jong in prose, is furnished by Quintilian: "Praterea qua fiunt spatio, sive eum syllaba . . . . . . . longa corripitur, ut unĭus ob noxam et furias; extra carmen non deprehendas." (Inst. Or., 1, 5, 18.)-Bopp considers the Latin genitive-ending ius analogous to the Sanscrit termination sya, the a being changed to $u$ before the final $s$ by a very usual process in carly Latin. (Compare the Sanscrit rrka-s with the Latin lupu-s, and yung'mas with jungimus.) In accordance with this view of the subject, the old genitive forms of illius, ipsius, nullius, \&c., will have been illi-ius, ipsi-ius, nulli-ius, \&c., which, when changed to illius, \&c., preserved their proper quantity in prose, although the poets took advantage of the circumstance of the $i$ being followed by a vowel, to bring them, when it suited their purpose, under the general rule. (Bopp, Vergleich. Gramm., p. 220.)-With regard to allerius, it may be remarked, that although no instance can be found in any poet of its occurring with the long penult, yet there can be no doubt of its having been once employed. Indeed, Terentianus Maurus, the grammarian, actually uses altcrius on one occasion: in the following Trochaic tetrameter catalectic (cap. 3, de Ped., v. 1352):
"Sescuplo vel una vineet alterius singulum."
Priscian ascribes the short penult in alterius to the circumstance of the genitive's exceeding the nominative by two syllables: "quod duabus syllahis vineit genitivus nominatirum" (lib. 6, c. 7, p. 695. ed. Putsch.). A most singular explanation, certainly, and yet advocated by Vossius (de Art. Gram., 2, 13, p. 150, cd. Foertseh.). The reason assigned by Scaliger for the long penult of genitives in ius, namely, that they were originally written eius, is adopted by Ramssy, but is far inferior to Bopp's explanation given above. (Scel., de Caus. L. L., c. 43.)
2. According to Priscian (7, 5, p. 739). such words as Caius, Pom-

Catull Cinna est Cäüus, is sibi paravit. (Phalæcian.)
Mart. Quod peto da, Cäi, non peto consilium. (Pentam.)
Ovid. Accipe, Pompë̈, deductum carmen ab illo.
Propert. Forte super porte dux Vëzus adstitit arcem.
Exc. 5. The $a$ is long in the penult of the old genitive and dative of the first declension ; as, aulā̈, terrāï, \&c.'
Virg. Aulāï in medio libabant pocula Bacchi. Lucret. Terrä̈que solum subigentes, cimus ad ortus.

Exc. 6. The verb aio is, in some of its parts, pronounced with the first syllable forming a diphthong, and, consequently, long; while in other parts the $a$ and $i$ form two short syllables. 'Thus, we have $\overline{a \imath} o, \overline{u \imath} u n t, \overline{a \imath} e b a m$, $\overline{a i} e b a s, \overline{a \imath} e b a t, \& c . ;$ and, on the other hand, $\breve{a \imath} s, \widetilde{a \imath t}, \& c .{ }^{2}$
Horat. Servus; habes pretium, loris non ureris, $\overline{\text { uio }}$.
Id. Plebs eris ; at pueri budentes, Rex eris, $\bar{a} u n t$.
Id. Felicem! āebam tacitus. Quum quidlibet ille.
Id. Non sum moechus ăss. Neque ego, hercule, fur, ubi vasa.
Id. Nil ät esse prius, melius nil celibe vita.
Exc. 7. In ohe ${ }^{3}$ and Diana ${ }^{4}$ the first syllable is common;
peĩus, Vulteïus, \&c., were originally written Caiius, Pompeiius, Vulteiius. On this supposition we may easily account for the long quantity of the first syllable; and hence the vocatives Cä̈̀, Pomp $\bar{z}$ z. \&c., are in reality Cā-i, Pompē-i, which last undergoes another contraction, in Horace, into Pompēi. (Horat., Od., 2, 7, 5.) In like manner, Vullēt-i becomes, in the same poet, Vultē . (Epist., 1, 7, 91.)

1. The principle on which this exception is based has already been stated, in note 1, page 17.
2. The verb aio was originally written aiio, and in all probability pronounced $\overline{a z}-y o$. One of the $i$ 's being dropped, the $a$ and remaining $i$ sometimes formed a diphthong, as in the original form; and sometimes two short syllables. (Ramsay's Latin Prosody, p. 24.) Quintilian informs us that Cicero actually wrote aiio. (Inst. Or., 1, 4, 11.Consult Spalding, ad loc.)
3. Ohe, the interjection, follows its primitive O , which, since it cannot be clided, because words of this nature require a strong emphasis, is made cither long or short when it falls before a vowel. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 25.)
4. Diana was originally Deiva Iana, the lunar goddess, contracted
in $e h e u^{1}$ and $I_{o^{2}}$ (the daughter of Inachus) it is long The interjection io follows the general rule.
Mart. Ohe jam satis est, òhe, libelle. (Phalæcian.)
Virg. Exercet Diana choros; quam mille sccute.
Id. Constiterunt, sylva alta Jovis lucusve Dĭanc.
'Tibull. Ferreus est, èheu, quisquis in urbe manet. (Pentam.)
Virg. Ad levem clypeum sublatis cornibus İo.
Ovid. Ante oculos Io, quamvis aversus, habebat.
Virg. Clamat io matres, audite, ubi queque Latine.
Exc. 8. In many words of Greek origin a vowel is long, though immediately followed by another; as, $\bar{a} e ̈ r$, Achelōus, Enȳo, Trōas, Tröüs, \&c., the Latin quar tity being controlled by, that of the Greek words whence they are derived. Others, however, would appear to differ in quantity from their archetypes; thus, in Latin we have chorēa and chorëa, while in Greek we
subsequently into Deiana, and at last becoming Diana. The $c$ of the diphthong being dropped, gave rise to the double quantity of Diana, since it could be brought under the general principle of one vowel before another. (Voss., de Arl. Gram., 2, 13.-Varro, R. R., 1, 37, 3.Grav., Thes., vol. 8, p. 311.-Nigid. ap. Maerob., Sal., 1, 9.-Creuzer, Symbolik, par Guigniaut, vol. 2, pt. 1, p. 433.)
5. The interjection cheu is generally thought to have been abbreviated by the transcribers from heu heu. (Hcyne, ad Virg., Eclog., 2, 58.Wagner, ad eund.)
6. As regards $I o$ the proper name, and io the interjection, a difference of opinion exists. The ordinary doctrine is, that the first syllable of both is doubtful, and so the rule is given in most works on Latin prosody. A more correct view of the subject, however, teaches us that the first syllable is always short in the interjection, and always long in the proper name. In Silius Italicus (14,517), the old reading was "Quaque ferebatur ductor Sidonius, io," where the interjection occurs with a long penult; but the true lection is now given as "Quaque rehebutur Crantor Sidonius, Io," where Io is the name of a ship. - With regard to $I 0$, the daughter of Inachus, two lines are found which give the word a short penult (Ovid, Her., 14, 103.-Id., Ibis, 624). But in the first of these the MSS. vary, and some commentators even regard the term as an interjection; while the other is drawn from a poem of doubtful authenticity, and the text of which is notoriously corrupt. Indeed, in this latter line, most editors now adopt the emendation of Heinslus, and read Ion for Io. In Greek, also, this word is always i $\omega$. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 26.)
find Xopeía alone; and so also platěa, which is nothing more than the feminine adjective $-\pi \lambda a \tau \varepsilon i a .{ }^{1}$
Virg. Consurgunt venti, atque in nubem cogitur äër.
Ovid. Opperiuntur aque vultus Achelöüs agrestes.
Claud. Erubuit Mavors, aversaque risit Enȳo.
Virg. Desidice cordi, juvat indulgere chorēis.
Id. Pars pedibus plaudunt chorëas et carmina dicunt.
Horat. Pure sunt platēe, nihil ut meditantibus obstet.
Catull. Istos qui in platēa modo huc modo illuc. (Phalæcian.)

Exc. 9. Those words which are written in Greek with the diphthong $e i(\varepsilon \iota)$, and in Latin with a single $e$ or $i$, have that $e$ or $i$ long; as, AEnēas, Alexundrēa, Antiochīa, Cesarēa, \&c. ${ }^{2}$
Virg. At pius $\boldsymbol{E}$ nēas, per noctem plurima volvens. Propert. Noxia Alexandrēa, dolis aptissina tellus.

Exc. 10. On the same principle as stated in the preceding exception, most adjectives in eüs, formed from Greek proper names, have the $e$ long; and it continues long when resolved into eï; as, Cytherēus and Cytherē̃us; Pagasēus and Pagasē̃us; Pelopēus and Pcloре̄ँus. ${ }^{3}$
Virg. Parce metu Cytherēa; manent immota tuorum.
Ovid. Invocat Hippomenes, Cytherëa, comprecor ausis.
Lucan. Eumenidum vidit vultus Pelopēus Orestes.
Ovid. Quid quod avus nobis idem Pelopē̃us Atreus?

1. Ramsay endeavours to explain these anomalies by supposing that the Romans were in possession of authorities unknown to us. (Lat. Pros., p. 27.) Prudentius uniformly makes it platēa, but his authority is of no value.
2. Many words of this class. such as names of towns, temples, or monuments, are in reality only adjectives, with a noun understood; as,
 ov (iєpov), "Musēum," or temple of the Muses; Mavcwheiov ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon i$ ov), "Mausolēum," or sepulchre of Mausōlus, \&c.
3. Consult remarles on "Dixrcsis," p. 121.

Exc. 11. Greek genitives in eos, and accusatives in $e a$, from nominatives in $\overline{e u} s$, have the penult short according to the cominon dialect, but long according to the Ionic; as, Orphĕos, Orphëa; Anthĕos, Anthĕa (in Greek, 'О $\rho \phi \varepsilon ́ o \varsigma, ~ ' О \rho \phi \varepsilon ́ a ; ~ ' A \nu \theta \varepsilon ́ o \varsigma, ~ ' A \nu 0 \varepsilon ́ a, ~ b y ~ t h e ~ c o m-~$ mon dialect) ; but Idomenēos, Idomenēa; Ilionēos, Il-
 nov $\eta$ a, by the Ionic). ${ }^{1}$

## Ovid. At non Chionides Eumolpus in Orphĕa tais.

Virg. Prospectum late pelago petit, Anthĕa si quem.
Id. Idomenēa ducem, desertaque litora Crete.
Id. Ilionēa petit dextral lavaque Serestum.
Exc. 12. Academia ought to be regarded as having a long penult. ${ }^{2}$ In the proper name Orion the second sylla-

1. We frequently find the quantity vary in words taken from the Greek, because they appear in the original language under a double form, which often depends on the dialect used by the poet. Thus, we have Conopı̌um and Conopẽum, because we find in Greek Kıvต́тьov and $\mathrm{K} \omega$ -


 Dadalöus, Dedälus, from the triple $\Delta a t \delta a \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ o s, ~ \Delta a t ð a ́ d r o s, ~ \Delta a l \delta a \lambda ø s, ~$ \&c. (Ramsay's Latin Prosody, p. 27.)
2. It is always long in the Greek authors, as the following examples will prove.
 past. Tetram. Cat.-Aristoph., Nub., 1001.)
 ap. Brunck, Anal., vol. 1, p. 184.)
 2, p. 59, D.)
 Athen., 8, p. 336, E.)
The two Latin lines quoted in the text give the received quantity of Academia in Cicero's time, the first being taken from the treatise "Dc Divinatione" (1,13), and the second from Laurea Tullius, one of Cicono's freedmen, in an epigram preserved by Pliny (31, 2). This decides the question as far as the practice of the golden age of Roman literature is concerned. In opposition to all this array of authorities in Greek and Latin writers, we have merely one from Claudian, and another from a still later poet, Sidonius, who both use Academia with a short penult. (Claud., de Cons. M., 1, 94-S.Sidon., Ap., 15, 120.2 Hermann thinks that the penult of 'Axadmpic was short by nature, but lengthened by the
ble is always long in every good Latin writer, although it is common in Greek. On the other hand, the first syllable is common in Latin, but always long in Greek; and the third syllable in the oblique cases is also common in Latin and long in Greek. ${ }^{1}$
Cic.

## Inque Academīa umbrifera nitidoque Lycro.

Laur. 'Tull. Atque Academiec celebratam nomine villam.

## SECTION V.

CONTRACTION.

- Rule. Every syllable formed by contraction is long; as, c̄̄go, contracted for cŏăgo or cŏnăgo ; tibücen, contracted for tibüăcen or tibŭ̀cen, \&c.

Virg. Bis gravidos cōgunt fæetus, duo tempora messis.
Ovid. Cur vagus incedit tota tibīcen in urbe.
Obs. 1. Among the contractions of most frequent occurrence, the following may be here enumerated :


[^1]| cōpia, |  | ' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fructūs, |  | " | fructừs, |
| anūs, |  | " | anüıs, |
| luxū, (dat.) |  |  | luxi |
| chely , |  | " | chely̆е. |

2. 'The letter $h$ dropped between two vowels.

3. The letter $v$ dropped between two vowels.

| būbus, | from | boîbus, and | at from | İbus. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bücula, | " | boicula, | " | bŏvicula. |  |
| jünior, | " | jüènior, | " | jüvènior, | from jưvĕnus. |
| jütum, | " | jŭatum, | " | jŭvatum, | " jŭvo. |
| jümentum, | " | jŭamentum, | " | jŭvamentum, | " jŭvo. |
| möbilis, | ، | mötbilis, | " | mơoibilis, | möveo. |
| mōmentum, | " | mŏmentum, | " | mơvĭmentum, | " mòreo. |
| màlo, | " | māŏlo, | " | măvölo, | " măgis-völo. |
| nōnus, |  | nöenus, | * | noัvenus, | " növer. |
| obit, |  | obrūt, | " | obivit. |  |
| prūdens, | " | prôdens, | " | prōoidens. |  |

4. Other letters similarly dropped.
 vowels meet in a compound word, one of them is elided or struck out altogether, in which case the quantity of the remaining one suffers no change; thus, in magnŏpere, compounded of magno $\bar{o}$ opere, the $o$ of magno is struck out altogether, and the o in opere retains its natural quantity: So in semănimis, gravŏlens, suavŏlens, \&c.

Obs. 3. In a few words, the ancients seem cither to have blended the two vowels into one, or to have struck out one
of them at pleasure, and hence the quantity of such syllables is variable. Thus the participle ambittus, from ambin, has the penult long, because it is regarded as a contraction for ambẽtus; while ambĭtus, the substantive, has the same syllable short, because, in this case, the $e$ was supposed to be elided before the $i$.

## SECTION VI.

## DIPHTHONGS.

Rule. A diphthong is long, whether in a Greek or Latm word; but pre preceding a vowel in a compound term is usually short. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Ulitma Cumāi venit jam carminis atas.
Ovid. Exit et in Māas sacrum Florale Calendas.
Virg. Fertur equis auriga neque ādit currus habenas.
Id. Caucasiasque refert volucres, furtumque Promethē.
Ovid. Quas ubi viderunt prĕacutce cuspidis hastas.
Virg. Nec tota tamen ille prior prðॅeunte carina.
Id. Stipitibus duris agitur, sudibusve pr厄厄ustis

[^2]Obs. 1. Greek proper names in eus (genitive eos) always have the eu a diphthong, in both Greek and Latin; as, Orphēus, gen. Orphĕos, Panthē̄s, gen. Panthĕos, \&c.

Obs. 2. Frequently, however, a proper name in eus is declined according to the forms of the second declension in Latin, and then the diphthong disappears; as, Orpherus, gen. Orphë̈ ; dat. Orphĕo, \&c.

Obs. 3. The combination $y i$ also forms a diphthong in some Greek words, since it answers to $v \iota$; as, Orithỳ $a$


Ovid. Orithȳan amans fulvis complectitur alis.
Virg. Et patrio insontes $\dot{H}$ arpȳ̄as pellere regno.
Horat. Levis Agȳ̄eu. (Dactylic dimeter.)
Obs. 4. It is, strictly speaking, erroneous to rank such combinations as $u a, u e, u i, u 0, u u$, among diphthongs ; on the contrary, the letter $u$ seems to have been pronounced in such cases like our $w$; as, linguă (equivalent to ling-wă), sanguis (sang-wॅॅs), equŭs (ek-wǔs); and so also in monosyllables, as, quis (equivalent to kwis), quod (kwod), que (kwe), \&c. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 5. In some cases, it is true, such combinations are long: this, however, is not because they then form a diphthong, but because the vowel with which $u$ happens to be united is in itself long; as, suādet (swādet), suētus (swētus). \&c. So also in the monosyllables: quī (kuī), huic (huick), quo ( $k$ woo), \&c.

Obs. 6. In several words, again, $u$ and the vowel by which it is followed always form distinct syllables; as, sŭŭ, sŭūs, sॅưs, sūōs, sŭŭs, \&c.

[^3]
## SECTION VII.

POSITION.
Rule A vowel before two consonants either in the same word, or in different words, or before a double consonant, is long by position; as, tērra, rēspēxit, gāzd, \&c. ${ }^{1}$

Virg. Tērra tremīt : fugere fera, ēt mōrtalia cōrda.
Id. Libērtās qua sera tamēn rēspēxit inērmem.
Obs. 1. The letter $h$ is not regarded in prosody, as a consonant, but a mere breathing; hence, in such words as $\breve{a} b h i n c, ~ \breve{a} d h u c$, \&c., the first syllable is short. So also if a word end in a consonant, and the succeeding word begin with the letter $h$, there is no length by position. ${ }^{2}$

Virg. Oro, siquis ădhuc precibus locus, exue mentem.
Id. Tempora que messor, que curvus aratŏr haberet.
Obs. 2. A vowel is often found long before the consonant $j$. This, however, is not because $j$ is a double consonant ; but the truth is, that the words in question were originally all written with a double $i$; as, for example, cuiius, huiius, eiius, peiius, maiius, \&c., and would seem to have been

1. The time occupied in pronouncing the two consonants, or the double one, produces the length by position. A vowel thus situated is in fact shorter than one which is long by nature; but still in verse they are regarded as both of equal length. (Krüger, Grundriss der Mctrik, p. 18.)
2. That the $h$ never produces length by position when joined with a consonant either preceding or following it, is expressly asserted by Terentianus Maurus, v. 789, seqq.
"Sola nec rocalis usum, nec tuetur consonce, Tempus aut ministrat ullum brevibus usquam syllabis."
To the same effect are the remarks of Vossius (de Art. Gram., 2, 15, extr.). The opposite doctrine is incorrectly maintained by Velius Longus (p. 2217, ed. Putsch), and also by some modern scholars ; as, for example, by Barth (Advers., 21, 17). Some of the lines adduced in support of this latter opinion have the syllable lengthened by the arsis; in others the reading is erroncous. Equally unfounded is the remark, that the $h$ is sometimes employed to prevent the hiatus in a line. (Schneider, L. G., vol. 1, p. 180, not.)
pronornced cul-yus, hui-yus, ci-yus, pei-yus, mai-yus, \&c., so that the first syllable was long in each, a quantity that was retained after one of the two $i$ 's was dropped.'

## Of the initial $S C, S M, S P, S Q, S T, \& c$.

Rule. A short vowel at the end of a word, when followed by a word beginning with $s c, s m, s p$, $s q$, $s t$, \&cc., is rarely, if ever, allowed to remain short, in serious compositions, by the poets who flourished after the time of ${ }^{\circ}$ Lucretius; but they generally avoid with care such a collocation. ${ }^{2}$

## Of the initial $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Z}$.

Rule. A short vowel at the end of a word, before a word beginning with $x$ or $z$, remains short. At least, there is no evidence at all that it was ever lengthened. ${ }^{3}$

Ennius. Pontibus instratis conjunxit litoră Xerxes.
Virg. Jam medio apparet fluctu nemorosă Zacyntlus.

1. Consult note 1 , page 18.
2. The whole question is discussed with great ability by Schneider (L. G., vol. 2, p. 694) and Ramsay (Lat. Pros., p. 260, seqq.). The canon of Dawes on the subject is particularly examined by the latter. - Proper names, in the use of which the poets have always very naturally allowed themselves considerable license, and the names of stones, trees, \&c., when such cannot be used in the metre without transgressing the rule in the text, are exceptions, of course. This applies to such words as Smaragdus, Scamander, neither of which could be employed in hexameter verse at all without a license of this kind. And it is worthy of notice, that these words are frequently found in good MSS., both Latin and Greek, without the $S$ at all: as, Máparos, Maragdus; Küuavঠpos, Kamander (Dawes, Misc. Crit., p. 6-148, ed. Kidd.) ; which would seem to indicate that it was, in certain cases, softened down in pronouncing them. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 261, not.Compare Knight, Proleg. in Hom., 79.-Spitzner, Gr. Pros., § 7, 6.Thiersch, Gr. Gr., \& 146, 8.)
3. The line from Ennius is merely conjectured by Columna to have been written by that poet; a conjecture, however, without any foundation. (Ennii Fragm., ed. Column., p. 129.) Terentianus Maurus (v. 1160) quotes it without naming the author, and subjoins another immediately after as follows: "Sanguine turbatus miscebat litoră Xerxes." It is more than probable that both lines are his own composition. Another instance is sometimes cited from Lucan ( 2,672 ): "Talis fama canit tumidum super aquoră Xerxen;" but the text is now altered so as to read ". . . . . . . super aquora Persen." (Consult Cort., ad loc.) The Greek poets do not appear to have ever indulged in this li-

## SECTION VIII.

## MUTE AND LIQUID.

Rule. A short vowel before a mute followed by a liquid, both of which are in the following syllable, is common in poetry, but always short in prose ; as, volŭcris and volücris; pătrem and pātrem; pharĕtra and pharētra; cŏchleare and cōchleare; Cy̆clops and Cȳclops; cy̆cnus and cȳcnus, \&c.'
Ovid. Et primo similis volücri, mox vera volūcris.
Virg. Natum ante ora pătris, pātrem qui obtruncat ad aras
Id. Virginibus Tyriis mos est gestare pharētram.
Id. Succinctam pharĕtra et maculosa tegmine lyncis.
Horat. Multa Dircœum levat aura cȳcnum. (Sapphic.)
Id. Donatura cy̆cni si libeat sonum. (Choriambic.)
Obs. 1. This rule depends on three conditions, viz.:

1. The liquid must follow the mute. If it stand before the mute, the preceding vowel, though naturally short, becomes always long; as, fērt, fērtis.
2. The mute and liquid must belong to the same syllable. If they belong to different syllables, the preceding short vowel becomes long; as, $\bar{a} b-l u o, \bar{a} d$-nitor, quam-ōb-rem.
cense.- With regard to $z$, numerous examples are found of a vowel remaining short before it. (Ovid, Her., 1, 87.-Sil. Ital., 1, 275.-Id., 2, 603.-Martial, 2, 58, 1.-Auson., Prof., 22, 11.-Id. ib., 13, 3.Senec., Herc. Fur., 916.-Id., Agam., 433, \&c.) In many of these instances, however, the words commencing with $z$ could not otherwise appear in the verse, unless a short vowel were allowed to precede. This same principle regulates the admissibility of such words into Greek verse. (Hom., I!., 2, 634.-Id., Odyss., 1, 246.-Id. ib., 9, 24.Theocrit., 4, 32.-Oppian, Hal., 1, 367, \&c.) The dramatic poets, however, never allowed themselves this license.
3. This rule applies more frequently to the liquids $l$ and $r$ than to $m$ and $n$. The latter are found for the most part in words derived from the Greek.-The position which a mute and liquid make is termed by grammarians "debilis positio," or weak position. The license in poetry, therefore, consists, not in having the vowel short, but in making it long. The natural quantity, on the other hand, prevails in prose (Vossius, de Art. Gram., 2, 16.-Schneider, L. G., vol. 2, p. 67母 seqg.)
4. The rowel must be short by nature. A rowel naturally long is never rendered short by a mute and liquid following; as, mātris, salūbris, ātri. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 2. The lengthening of a rowel before a mute and liquid takes place most frequently in the arsis of a foot. Examples of a similar lengthening in the thesis are comparatively rare. ${ }^{\text {? }}$

Obs. 3. Even in the arsis, however, the poets do not seem to have lengthened at pleasure any syllable that was followed by a mute and liquid. A particular usage appears to have prevailed on this head, which forbade them, for example, to lengthen the vowel in arbitrnr, genĕtrix, locŭples, \&c., while in other words, containing, in like manner, a vowel before a mute and liquid, the long quantity was more customary than the short. ${ }^{3}$

Obs. 4. The mute and liquid are sometimes found in the beginning of the next word ; as,

Virg. Excursusquĕ breves tentant, et sœpe lapillos.
Auson. Jane novè, primo qui das tua nomina mensi.

[^4]
## SECTION IX.

## REDUPLICATING PRETERITES.

Rule. Reduplicating preterites, or such as double the first syllable, have both the first and second syllables short; as, cĕcildi (from cado), cĕcĭni, dĩdici, tĕtı̆gi, \&c. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Inter cunctantes cēcïdit moribunda ministros.
Id. Tityre, te patula cĕčni sub tegmine fagi.
Ovid. Mox didici curvo nulla subesse tholo. (Pentameter.)
Virg. Nec victoris heri tĕtĭgit captiva cubile.

## ExCEPTIONs.

But cecīdi, from coedo, and pepēdi, from pēdo, have the second syllable long.
Juv. Ebrius ac petulans, qui nullum forte cecidit.
Horat. Nam, displosa sonat quantulm vesica, pepēdi.
Obs. The rule does not, of course, apply to the second syllable when it is long by position ; as in momōrdi, cucūrri, peperci, and the like ; still, however, the first or prefixed syllable is short.
Mart. Docti lima mömōrderit Secundi. (Phalæcian.) Propert. Cum vicina novis turba cücūrrit agris. (Pentam.)
io remark) substituted, in process of time, the long $a$ for the short. (Schneider, L. G., vol. 2, p. 679, seq.)

1. The first syllable is short as a matter of course, since it consists of a short prefix. The second syllable follows the quantity of the verbal root. Hence arise the two exceptions mentioned immediately after, namely, codo and pedo, where the first syllable of the verbal root is long; and so also the forms momördi, cucūrri, \&c. The early form of the perfect of cado must have been cecadi. (Consult Priscian, 10, 4, p. 489, ed. Putsch.- Pott, Etymol. Forsch., vol. 1, p. 19, seqq.Kühner, Gr. Gr., ol. 1, p. 84, seqq.-Bopp, Vergleich. Gramm., p. 697, scqq.)

## SECTION X.

## PRETERITES OF TWO SYLLABLES.

Role. Preterites of two syllables, their compounds, and the tenses formed from them, have the first syllable long; as, vidi, vèni, fovi, fügi; while, in the present tense, video, vènio, föveo, fügio, have the first short. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Ut vìdi, ut perii, ut me malus abstulit error.
Id. Respexit tamen, et longo post tempore vēnit.
Id. Fòvit humum; cape saxa manu, cape robora pastor
Id. Vipera delituit, calumque exterrita fügit.

## EXCEPTION.

Seven dissyllabic preterites, however, have the first syllable short, namely, bĭli, dëdi, fïdi (from findo), scīdi (from scindo), stēti, stïti, and tūli.2

1. According to the theory of Grimm (Deutsche Grammatik, vol. 1, p. 1056), those verbs which change a short vowel in the root, or present tense, into a long $e$ in the perfect, had originally a reduplication. Pango, or, rather, pago, makes pepigi, but compingo makes compegi. This proves the analogy of the two forms; and on the model of pago, pèpĕgi, contracted pègi, we have

| věnio, | ขěrĕni, | věèni, | vēnt, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vĭdeo, | vividi, | vizdi, | vidi, |
| fövco, | foroorvi, | förv, | fôor, |
| Jugio, | füfugi, | füŭgi, | fügi, |
| \&c., | \&c., | \&c., | \&c. |

It is observed, in confirmation of this remark, that such verbs have in many instances a reduplication, or, what is allied to it, an internal inflection, in the cognate languages; thus fugi resembles ré $\phi v y a$; and vènio, vèni, the Mæso-Gothic verb, which is analogous to "come" and "came," \&c. (Pritchard, Origin of the Celtic Nations, p. 151.) It must be borne in mind, however, that the remarks here made about the mode of forming vemi, vidi, \&c., do not apply to such preterites as $l \bar{s} s i$, risi, misi, \&cc., from ludo, rideo, mitto, \&cc., the preterite in these verbs having been formed by the insertion of $s$; as, ludsi, ridsi, mitlsi, and the consonant or consonants before the $s$ having been subsequently dropped for the sake of euphony. (Pricchard, l. c.- Ramsay, Lat. Pros., p. 100.-Struve, Ueber die Lateinische Declination und Conjugation, p. 155.)
2. These seveu are in reality no exceptions at all, but belong to the preceding rule, since they are all reduplicating preterites, some of which have dropped the first syllable, instead of contracting the first two into one. Thus tuli and scidi were anciently tetüli and sciscìdi. The form

Horat. Lūsisti satis, èdisti satis, atque būbisti.
Virg. Hic mihi responsum primus dĕdıt alle petenti.
Ilorat. Demersa exitio; diffidit urbium. (Choriambic.)
Statius. Gaudic, florentesque manu scĩdit Atropos annos.
Virg. Explicuit legio, et campo stčtit agmen aperto.
Id. Constřtit atque oculis Phrygia agmina circumspexit.
Id. Cui mater media sese tūlit obvia sylva.
Obs. Abscidi, from cado, has the middle syllable long; but abscidi, from scindo, has it short.

Lucan. Abscïdit nostre multum sors invida nostre.
Id. Abscidit impulsu ventorum adjuta vetustas.

## SECTION XI.

## SUPINES OF TWO SYLLABLES.

Rule. Supines of two syllables, and the parts of the verb supposed to be derived from them, have the first syllable long; as, vìsum, lūsum, mōtum; vīsus, vīsurus, \&cc ${ }^{1}$

Virg. Terribiles vīsu forme ; Letumque Laborque
Id Lencos, ea vīsa salus morientibus una.
Id. Nascitur et casus abies vīsura marinos.
Horat. Lūsum it Møcenas, dormitum ego Virgiliusque.
Virg. Quos ego-sed mōtos prestat componere fluctus.
tetuli occurs in Plautus (Amphitr., 2, 2, 84, 168.-Menachm., 4, 2, 25, 66.-Pœnul., 3, 1, 58.-Rud. prol., 68); in Accius and Cæcilius (ap. Non, 2, 839); in Catullus (Carm., 63, 47, 52; 66, 35); in Terence (Aner.. 4, 5, $13 ; 5,1,13$ ); and in the grammarians Diomedes (lib. 2, p. 435 ) and Priscian (10, 6, p. 497, seq.).-Of the form sciscidi Priscian gives mamples from Afranius, Attius, Nævius, and Ennius (lib. 10, p. 890.- Compare Aul. Gell., 7, 9). On the same principle, fidi would be originally fîfidi, from fiddo. The form $b i b i$ is an actual reduplication from bio, the same as the Greek $\pi i ́ \omega$. Bibo in the present arose from the diganmatized form $\Pi 1 F \Omega$. As for stẽti and stitti, they are merely different forms of the reduplication of sto, just as dēdi and ď̃di from dö. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 100.-Struve, Ueber die Lat. Decl., \&c., p. 160.-Pol., Etymol. Forschungen, vol. 1, p. 188.)

1. The Sanscrit infinitive is preserved in the latin first supine; as, paగ̌tum, alitum ; sanïtum, cinctum. (Kennedy, Researches, p. 256. Wilkins's Sanscrit Grammar, p. 123.)-The old form of risum appears to have been vidsum; of lusum, ludsum; of motum, mŏvìtum (mõ̌tum) ; of fletum, flēvǐtum (fiē̃tum); of minūtum, minŭŭtum; of

## EXCEPTIONS.

In the following dissyllabic supines the first syllable is short; namely, cǐtum, from cico; dătum, from do; itum, from eo; litum, from Tino; quĭtum, from queo; rătum, from reor; rŭtum, from ruo; sătum, from sero; situm, from sino; and fütum, from fuo, whence $f u$ turus.
Horat. Puppes sinistrorsum cĭte. (Iambic.)
Virg. Intraro, gentique meæ dăta mœnia cernam.
Lucret. Nec repentis ìtum quojus vis cumque animantis.
Ovid. In te fingebam violentos Troas ĭturos.
Virg. Ardentes auro et paribus Tita corpora guttis.
Id. Nos abiisse rati, et vento petiisse Mycenas.
Virg. Impulerat torrens, arbustaque dirŭta ripis.
Id. Deinde sătis fuvium inducit rivosque sequentes.
Horat. Aurum irrepertum et sic melius situm. (Alcaic.)
Id. Quid sit füturum cras fuge quarere. (Alcaic.)
Obs. 1. There is some doubt with regard to the quantity of statum. In Lucan, Martial, Statius, and Claudian, we find stātura, constātura, obstātura, prestātura, with the a long; while the derivatives, stătim, stătus both substantive and adjective, stătio, stător, are used by Catullus, Ovid, and others, with the first short. It seems best, however, to follow the authority of Priscian, and make the first syllable of the supine long. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 2. Citum, from cieo of the second conjugation, has the first syllable short; whence citus, "quick;" concitus and excitus, " aroused;" but citum, from cio of the fourth

[^5]conjugation, has the first syllable long; whence citus " excited;" accītus, concitus, excitus, and incītus. ${ }^{1}$

Virg. Altior insurgens et cursu concitus heros.
Ovid. Nec fruitur somno vigilantibus excĭta curss.
Lucan. Unde ruunt toto concīta pericula mundo.
Id. Rupta quies populis, stratisque excīta juventus.
Obs. 3. Ruo has ruĭtum and rŭtum in the supine. Its compounds form the supine in $\bar{u} t u m$ having the penult short, whence dirŭtus, erŭtus, obrŭtus. ${ }^{2}$

Ovid. Dirŭta sunt aliis, uni mihi Pergama restant.
Virg. Nec mihi cum Teucris ullum post erŭta bellum.
Obs. 4. It must be borne in mind, as regards the derivatives of itum, that the participle is ambitus, but that the substantive is ambĭtus.

Ovid. Jussit et ambitte circumdare littora terre.
Horat. Et properantis aque per amoenos ambĭtus agros.

## SECTION XII.

## POLYSYLLABIC SUPINES.

Rule 1. Supines in utum, of more than two syllables, and the parts of the verb formed from them, have the penult long; as, solūtum, volūtum, minūtum, argūtum. ${ }^{3}$

[^6]Virg. Lumina yara micant, somno vinoque solūti.
Id. Ecce autem flammis inter tabulata volūtus.
Lucret. Conveniebat enim fruges quoque sape minūtas.
Rule 2. Supines in itum, from preterites in ivi, and the parts of the verb formed from them, likewise have the penul long; as, cupīvi, cupìtum ; petīvi, petītum ; conç̄vi, cond̄̄tum, \&c. ${ }^{1}$

Ovid. Mens videt hoc, visumque cupit, potiturque cupīto.
Virg. Telaque trunca viri et bis sex thoraca petitum.
Horat. Ne male conditum jus apponatur; ut omnes.
Rule 3. But supines in itum, from preterites not in $2 v \imath$, have the $i$ short; as, monui, monitum ; tacui, tacǐtum, \&c. ${ }^{2}$ Virg. Discite justitiam monĭti, et non temnere divos. Id. Quis te, magne Cato, tacitum, aut te, Cosse, relinquat Id. Conďtus in nubem, medioque refugerit orbe.

Obs. The rule last given, however, does not extend to polysyllabic compounds from supines of two syllables.

1. Here also, as in the previous rule, contraction operates to lengthen the penult. Thus we have, oldest form petivitum (petivitum), by throwing out the $v$ (or rather $w$ ), petĩ̀tum, and, by still farther contraction,
 tum (condīvě̀tum), condĩ̀lum, condìtum, \&c.
2. In the case of supines in $\bar{u} t u m$ and $\bar{z} t u m$, the contraction is effected by a blending of the two vowels into one long; but in supines in itum, a syncope operates, or, in other words, one of the vowels is dropped, and the remaining one is left with its short quantity. Thus, monǜlum, moñ̈tum; tacŭі̆lım,-tac'ìtum, \&c.-Recensitum is often adduced as an exception to the rule given in the text. It is only, however, a deviation in appearance, being formed, not from recensui, but from the old perfect recensivi. The simple verb censeo made censŭi and censivi in the perfect, censum and ecnsitum in the supine. Hence we find, in an old inscription, censita sunt for censa sunt; and, in some of :De writers on the civil law, censïti for censi; so, also, the noun censor is a contraction from censitor, and occurs in this latter form in other inscriptions which have come down to us, as well as in the writings of the ancient lawyers. (Ulpian, Dig., lib. 50, tit. 15, leg. 4.Inscript. ap. Grut., p. 417, n. 5.-Ib., p. 439, n. 5.-Orell., Inscript. Lat., n. 208, 3044, 3652.) Analogous to this is the verb pono, which made in the perfect posivi as well as posur. Plautus uses posivimus (Vidul. ap. Prise., 10, 7, p. 499, ed. Putsch); Apuleius apposiverunt (ap. eund.); Lucilius opposivit (Fragm., p. 211, ed. Bip.); Catullus denosirit (34, 8), \&c. (Compare Burmann, ad Anthol. Lat., 2, 130, 16.)

These follow the quantity of the simple supines from which they are formed; as, $\grave{t} t u m, ~ o b i ̆ t u m ; ~ d a ̆ t u m, ~ a b d \grave{\imath t u m ; ~}$ condītum, reddītum. ; sătum, insĭtum, \&c., except cognĭtum and agnĭlum, from nötum. ${ }^{1}$

## SEC'TION XIII.

## DERIVATIVES. ${ }^{2}$

Rule. Derivatives follow the quantity of the words from which they are formed.

Obs. 1. This rule applies strictly to the modifications which words undergo in declension, comparison, and conjugation, in so far as those syllables are concerned which, are not affected by the inflections.

Thus, since the first syllable in $\breve{a} r i e \bar{s}$ is short in the nomnative, it remains short in ărietis, ărieti, \&c., since its form does not change with the inflections; but the same cannot be said of the last syllable, which is long in the nominative, although in the oblique cases the corresponding syllable is short: ariëtis, ariĕert, \&c. So also from mĩtis comes mìtia; dürus, dūrior, dūrissimus, \&c.

In like manner, the first syllable in leggo being short, it remains short in all the tenses which are formed from the present; as, lĕgebam, lĕgam, lĕgerem, \&c.; and, on the other hand, the first syllable• in the preterite lēgi being long, it will be long in lēgeram, lēgerim, lēgissem, \&c., and all other parts of the verb formed from the preterite.

Obs. 2. The rule applies to all words which are clearly and distinctly formed from other words, by the addition of certain terminations or suffixes, according to well-established analogy. ${ }^{3}$

[^7]Thus, from ŭй̈mus

| nātūra | " " | nātūralis, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rŏsa | " | rŏsetum, |
| viōla | " " | văolarium, |
| sanguinis | " " | sanguineus, |
| pulvĕris | " " | pulvĕrulentus, |
| lăbor | " " | lăboriosus. |

Obs. 3. But when two words are merely conneeted together by derivation from a common root, we cannot, even when they resemble each other in structure, with any certainty infer that the quantity of the corresponding syllables will be the same; for, although this happens much oftener than otherwise, yet the exceptions are too numerous to admit of the principle being broadly stated.

Obs. 4. Among the exceptions alluded to in the preceding remarks, the following are most deserving of attention.

1. Several kindred verbs which have two forms, one active and the other neuter, or which differ otherwise in meaning, differ also in quantity. ${ }^{1}$ Thus,

| plācare, | plăcere, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sēdare, | sĕdere, | sidĕre, sēdes, |  |
| lēgare, | lëgere, |  |  |
| dīcare, | dīcere, |  |  |
| lăbare, | lābi. |  |  |

2. Words which differ in meaning, but which are spelt in the same way, often differ in quantity. This arose, probably, from the pronunciation being purposely varied, to prevent confusion. Thus,
[^8]| dūcis, from | duco, but dŭcis, | from | dux. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rēgis, | " | rex, | " | rĕgis, | " | rĕgo. |
| lēgis, | " | lex, | " | lĕgis, | " | lëgo. |
| vōces, | " | vox, | " vŏces, | " | vŏco. |  |

Obs. 5. The entire class of verbs in urio, called desideratives, have the $u$ short, though derived from the future participle in $\bar{u} r u s$, of which the penult is invariably long; as, partŭrit, esürit, cœnatŭrit, nuptŭrit, \&c.

Obs. 6. The following deviations in quantity, on the part of other derivatives, are also worthy of notice.
hŏmo, but lūmanus.
hŭmus, hŭmilis, hŭmare, but hūmor, hūmidus, hūmeus, \&c. persŏno, but persōna.
tĕgo, but tēgula.
măcer, măceo, măcies, but mācero.
lăteo, but lāterna.
sŏpor, sŏporus, sŏporifer, sŏporo, bui sōpio, sōpitus. quăter, but quātuor.
dīcere, but dicax.
vādo, but vădum.

## SECTION XIV.

## COMPOUND WQRDS.

Rule. Compound words retain, in general, the quantity of the simple words from which they are formed.

Thus, in perlĕgo, relĕgo, the middle syllable is short, because it is short in the simple lĕgo. But in the perfects perlēgi, relēgi, it is long, because lengthened in the simple lēgi.

Again, atť̆gi, concĭdi, diffidr, ebibbi, rescĭdi, have the penult short, because the corresponding vowel is short in their primitives, tetĭgi, cecǐdi, fidi $b \bar{\imath} b i$, and $s c i ̆ d i$.

Oblitum, from oblino, has the short penult, because the vowel is short in the simple lĭtum. But oblītus is from obliviscor.

Obs. The quantity of the simple words is preserved in the compounds though the vowel be changed. Thus, concĭdo, excǐdo, incĭdo, occǐdo, recĭdo, from cădo, shorten the penult; and, in like manner, etigo, setigo, \&c., from lĕgo. On the other hand, concido, excīdo, incìdo, recido, oocido, from cedo, have the penult long. So also allido, from ledo; exquīro and requīro, from quaro, \&c.

## EXCEPTIONS.

The following shorten the penult, though the corresponding vowels in the simple words are long; as,

| dejēro, pejēro, | from | jūro, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pronŭba, innŭba, | " | $n u \bar{b} o$, |
| maledǐcus, causidicus, \&c., | ، | dìco, |
| semisŏpitus, | " | söpio, |
| cognĭtum and agnǐtum, | " | nōtum. |

Obs. 1. The participle ambītus, as has already been re marked, has the penult long; but the noun ambitus has it short. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 2. The second syllable in connubium is naturally short, but it is occasionally lengthened by the poets in the arsis of the foot.

Virg. Connŭbio jungam stabili, propriamque dicabo.
Id Hectoris Andromache, Pyrrhin' connübia servas?

## SECTION XV.

## PREPOSITIONS IN COMPOSITION.

Role 1. The prepositions $a, e, d e, d i$, and $s e$, in composition are long, except di in dirimo and disertus.?

1. Besides ambio, ambitum, a simple derivative from ambe, there probably was also $a m b-c o, a m b$-itum, a compound from eo.
2. The old form of dirimo was disimo, and hence the inseparable preposition in dirimo and discrtus is in fact dis, not dī. In discrtus the original form appears to have been disscrtus (the participle of dissero), and one of the two s's was subsequently dropped.

Virg. Amissos longo socios sermone requirunt.
Prop. Conjugis Evadnc miseros èlata per ignes.
Virg. Dēducunt socii naves, et littora complent.
Id. Tergora diripiunt costis et viscera nudant.
Lucret. Dissidio potis est sējungi sēque gregari.
Virg. Cęde deo dixitque et proelia voce diremit.
Mart. Causas inquit agam Cicerone disertius ipso.
Rule 2. Re is short; as, rélinquo, réfero; but the impersonal verb rēfert ("it concerns," \&c.), from the noun res, has the first syllable long.'
Ovid. Propellit Boreas, astus et unda rĕfert. (Pentam.)
Virg. Prceterea nec jam mutari pabula rēfert.
Rule 3. The prepositions $\breve{a} b, \breve{a} d, \breve{i} n, \breve{o} b$, perr, and $s \breve{u} b$, are short in composition before vowels, since they are short in their simple state ; as, ăbeo, ădoro, ĭneo, ŏbeo, pĕrambulo, sŭbigo.

1. The impersonal rēfert is only mentioned here in order to guard against the possibility of a mistake in pronunciation, since it is not a compound of the inseparable preposition re, but comes, according to some, from rei, the dative, according to others from re, the ablative, of the noun res, and the verb fero. Verrius Flaccus, the ancient gramma rian, as cited by Festus, was in favour of the dative: "Refert cum dicimus, errare nos ait Verrius, esse enim rectum rei fert, dativo scilicet. non ablativo casu; sed esse jam usu possessum." (Festus, p. ccvii., ed. Scal.) Reisig, on the contrary, maintains that refert comes from the ablative $r e$ and the verb fert, and makes refert mea, for example, equivalent to $r \bar{e}$ fcrl $m c \bar{a}$, "it brings something to bear in my case." In the same way some modern scholars imagine that interest mea is to be explained, and they advance the opinion that inter and proter in early Latin governed the ablative. Hence they account for the quantity of the final syllable in intereā, pratereā. (Reisig, Vorlesungen, p. 640, ed. Haase.-Benary, Rōmische Lautlehre, vol. 1, p. 37.-Hartung, über die Casus, p. 84.-Bopp, Vergleich. Gramm., p. 215.-Schmid, de Pronom., p. 79.) An opposite doctrine, however, with regard to the etymology of réfert, is maintained by Perizonius (ad Sanct. Min., 3, 5. - vol. 1, p. 581, cd. Baucr), and after him by Scheller (Lat. Dcutsch. Wörterb., vol. 4, col. 9188, seqq.), both of whom deduce it from rĕfcro, and regard the change of quantity merely as an expedient for distinguishing between rěfert and rēfert, with their different significations.

Virg. Tityrus hinc ăberat ; ipse te Tityrc pinus.
Id. Bella gero: ct quisquam numen Junonis ădoret?
Id. Et lituo pugnas insignis öbibat et hasta.
Id. Prima leves ăneunt si quando proelia Parth.
Horat Recte necne crocum floresque pĕrambulet Atte.
Virg. Arvina pingui, sübiguntque in cote secures.
Obs. 1. Ob in composition sometimes drops the $b$ before a consonant, in which case the 0 . remains short; as, ŏmitto.

Horat. Pleraque differat, et presens in tempus ömittat. $\theta$
Obs. 2. Trans frequently drops the last two letters in composition, but preserves its proper quantity ; as, träno (from transno); trāduco (from transduco); trādo (from transdo).

Virg. Illa fretus agit ventos, et turbida trānat.
Id. Atque satas alio vidi trāducere messes.
Id. Trādit equum comiti, paribusque resistit in armes.
Rule 4. Pro is short in Greek words; as, Prŏpontis, Prömetheus; but in Latin words it is usually long; as, prōdo, prōcudo, prōcurvus, \&c. ${ }^{1}$
Ovid. Fas quoque ab ore freti longeque Prŏpontidos undis. Virg. Caucasiasque refert volucres, furtumque Prŏmethei.
Id. Prōderc voce sua quemquam aut opponere morti.
Id. Maturare datur ; durum pröcudit arator.
Id. Exoritur prōeurva ingens per littora fletus.
Obs. 1. There are, however, some Latin words in which

1. "It is impossible," observes Ramsay, "to agree with Dr. Carey in supposing that pro was in reality always doubtful, and lengthened or shortened as might suit the convenience of the poets. Since we find so many words in which it is uniformly long, a few in which it is always short, and not above two or three at most in which it is doubtful, such an hypothesis must be pronounced extravagant." (Ramsay's Latin Prosody, p. 89.)
pro is uniformly short, viz., the compounds of cello, fanum, fari, fateri, festus, fugio, fundo, fundus, nepos, neptis torvus; as, prŏcello, prŏcella, prŏfari, prŏfano, prŏfanus, prŏfiteri, prŏfestus, prŏfugio, prŏfugus, prŏfundo, prŏfundus, prŏnepos, prŏneptis, prŏtervus, prŏtervitas, to which add prŏficiscor, prŏfectus, prŏfecto.'

Obs. 2. The following have the pro doubtful, namely, propago (both noun and verb) and propino. To which some, without sufficient grounds, add pröcumbo, prōcuro, pröpello, which have the first syllable always long in the best writers; and prŏfari, prŏfundo, in which it is always short. ${ }^{2}$
Virg. Sylvarumque alic pressos prōpaginis arcus.
Id. Sed truncis olece melius, prōpagine vites.
Id. Sit Romana potens Itala virtute prŏpago.
Lucret. Prōpagare genus possit vitamque tueri.
Id. Ecficis ut cupide generatim sœcla prŏpagent.
Mart. Crystallinisque murrhinisque prōpinat. (Scazon.)
Id. Prestare jussi, nutibus prŏpinamus. (Scazon.)

## SECTION XVI.

## \& A, E, I, U, AND Y, IN COMPOSITION.

$\times$ Rule 1. If the first part of a Latin compound end in $a$, that vowel is long; as, trāno; trāduco, trādo ; ${ }^{3}$ but if it end in $e$, the $e$ is in general short ; as, trĕcenti, nĕfas. ${ }^{4}$

Virg. Expertes belli juvenes; ast Ilva trĕcentos.
Juv. Credebant hoc grande nĕfas et morte piandum.

1. Ramsay's Latin Prosody, p. 84.
2. In the two lines from Virgil, quoted immediately after, in which propago is used in its primitive sense, of the sucker or layer of a tree or shrub, the pro is long ; in all other places it is employed in the figurative sense of progeny, race, stock, and has the first syllable uniformly short. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 88.)
3. These, as has already been remarked, were originally written transno, transduco, transdo; and hence the quantity of the $a$ in the initial syllables.
4. The quantity of the $a$ in Greek compounds is to be learned from the rules of Greek prosody. Thus $a$ is sometimes shor ; as, ădipsos, sometimes long ; as, Neäpolis, geneälogus.

Ors. 1. Verbs compounded of faczo or fio have the e alnost uniformly short, and not common, as is generally maintained. In the following the $e$ is always short: Calĕfacio, calĕfio, calĕfacto; labĕfacio, labĕfio, labĕfacto; madĕfacio, madĕfio; pavĕfacio, pavčfio; rubĕfacio, rubĕfio; stupc̆facio, stupĕfio ; tremĕfacio, tremĕfio; tumĕfacio, tumĕfio. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 2. The only verbs in which any doubt exists re. specting the quantity of the $e$, are patefacio, putrefacio, tepefacio, and liquefacio ; and yet even in these the vowel is much nore frequently short than long. ${ }^{2}$

Obs. 3. The exceptions to the rule of $e$ short in composition are, for the most part, based upon peculiarities of derivation. Thus the following have the $e$ long, namely, nēquis, ${ }^{3}$ nēqua, nēquod, \&c., nēquitia, nēquam, nēquaquam, nëquidquam, nēquando; vidēlicet, ${ }^{4}$ venēfica, ${ }^{5}$ sēmodius, ${ }^{6}$ sēmestris, ${ }^{7}$ sēdecim, ${ }^{8}$ \&c. Martial, however, makes the first syllable of sélibra short in several instances, and never long.

Rule 2. If the first syllable of a compound terminate in

1. Ramsay's Latin Pros., p. 94.
2. Patefacio has the $e$ long only in two instances, both of which occur in Lucretius ( 4,346 , and 6,1000 ); to which some add a line quoted from Ennius by Isidorus: "Inde patefecit radiis rota candida ccelum."-The verb putrefacio is found with the long vowel in one passage of Lucretius $(2,898)$; and so likewise one solitary instance of the long $e$ is found in tepefacio. (Catullus, 64,361.) Two instances of long $e$ occur in liguefacio. (Catull., 90, 6.-Ov., Met., 7, 161.)
3. The difference in quantity between nĕcesse, nĕfas, nĕfandus, něfastus, nĕfarius, nĕqueo, and nëquis, nëquam, nēquitia, \&c., is probably owing to the following circumstance, that in the former class of words the $n \check{c}$ was formed by apocope from the conjunction nĕc, and so retains its original quantity; whereas in the latter it is either the adverb $n \bar{e}$, which is always long, or else the $c$ of nec was in these cases retained in pronunciation, though omitted in writing.
4. Frum vidēre and licet, just as scïlicet comes from scire licet, and ilicet from ire licet.
5. From venērum and facio.
6. By contraction from sēmimodius.
7. Sémestris, "half monthly," is by contraction from sc̄mis and mensis; and semestris, "half yearly," from sēx and mensis. In either case, therefore, the $e$ is long.
8. From sēxdecim.
s or $u$, the vowel is generally shortened; as, omnipotens, causĭdicus, biceps, dŭcenti, quadrŭpes.
Virg. Tum pater omnĭpotens, rerum cui summa potestas: Mart. Et te patronum causĭdicumque putas. (Pentam.) Virg. Tollit se arrectum quadrŭpes, et saucius auras.

Rule 3. When $y$ terminates the first member of a Greek compound, that vowel is short ; as, Thrasy̆bulus, Eurȳp'ylus, Poly̆damas, poly̆pus; unless rendered common by a mute and liquid, or long by position ; as, Polycletus, which has the $y$ common, and $\boldsymbol{P o l y} x e n a$, in which it is long.
Auson. Arma superveheris quod, Thrasy̆bule, tua. (Pentam.) Ovid. Vel, cum Deïphobo, Poly̆damanta roga. (Pentam.)

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. But in ludīmagister, lucrīfacio, lucrīfio, and compendifacio (which are properly not compounds, but each a combination of two distinct and complete words), the $i$ is long ; and the same may be said of agricultura, though the $i$ is short in the compound agricola. ${ }^{1}$
Exc. 2. 'The masculine $\bar{i} d e m,{ }^{2}$ as also $\overline{\text { bigq, }}$ quadrīge, ${ }^{3}$ sïquis, siqua, sīquod, ${ }^{4}$ scīlicet, ${ }^{5}$ bimus, ${ }^{6}$ trimus, quadri-

1. Tubǐeen, according to the general rule, has the $i$ short, whereas in tibicen the middle syllable is long, because, as has already been remarked, it is a crasis of two short vowels into one long, from the original tibŭucen or tibiăcen. In litücen (by syncope from litŭŭcen) the penult is short.
2. The old form for the masculine idem was isdem (is-dcm), where the $i$ was long by position, a quantity retained after the $s$ was dropped. The neuter, on the other hand, has the short vowel ( $\bar{i}-d c m$ ), with which we may compare the Sanscrit $\grave{\text {-dam. }}$ (Bopp, Vergleich. Gramm., p. 500.)
3. Bīge and quadriga have already been explained, being mere contractions from bijŭga, quadrijŭga. (Vid. page 24.)
4. In siquis, siqua, siquod, the long $i$ follows the analogy of $s \bar{i}$.
5. Scilicet is from scire licet, just as $\bar{i}$ Īcet is from ire licet.
6. Bīmus, trimus, quadrïmus, \&c., are, according to Scaliger and Vossius, contractions from biennis, trïennis, quadrìennis. (Voss., Etymol. Lat., s. v.)
mus, quīvis, quìdam, quìlibet, ${ }^{2}$ tantīdem, ${ }^{2}$ bīdu: ${ }^{2}$, tridu$u m,{ }^{3}$ quotidie, ${ }^{4}$ and the other compounds of dies, have the $i$ long.
Virg. Omnibus idem animus, scelerata excedere terra.
Mart. Si totus tibi triduo legatur. (Phalæcian.)
Phædr. Nam vita morti propior est quotīdie. (Iambic.)
Terent. Quotīdiance vite consuetudinem. (Iambic.)
Phædr. Idem facturum melius se postridic. (Iambic.)
Enn. Tantidem, quasi feta canis, sine dentibu' latrat.
Exc. 3. In ubicunque and ubivis, the $i$, as in the primitive $u b i$, is common; while in ubique and ibidem the middle syllable is generally long; though, strictly speaking, it should also be regarded as common. ${ }^{5}$
7. In quivis, quidam, and quilibct, the $i$ follows the quantity of the simple qui. The termination dam, in quidam, is compared by Bopp with the similar termination in the Sanscrit $i$-dam. (Vergleich. Gramm., p. 500.)
8. Tantidem has the short penult in Varro (ap. Non., c. 7, n. 105). "Hospes quid miras nummo curare Serapim? Quid? quasi non curcs tantidem Aristotcles."
Supposing the reading to be correct, we may conjecture that tantidem was formed by crasis from tantīidem, but tant'idem, on the other hand, by syncope. The word indidem (from indē̃lem) affords an argument in favour of this supposition. (Carey, Lat. Pros., § 10, p. 49.)
9. Originally, perhaps, bīsduum, trisduum.
10. Quotidie has the $i$ every where long, except in Catullus (68, 139), where we have quötüdiana. This, however, is either a corrupt reading, as some maintain (Docring, ad Catull., l.c.-var. lcct.), or else, in scanning, we must read quottidiana, and pronounce it quottid-yāna, making the line a Spondaic one.
11. The middle syllable in ubique should be considered common, for the reason that the corresponding syllable in ubicunque and ubivis is regarded as such, they being all derived from $u b i$. The authorities, how ever, to prove that the middle syllable of ubique was short as well as long, are very rare. Wasse cites two lines from Plautus (Bacch., 5, 1, 1.-Cas., 2, 3, 38), in which he maintains that ubique is found with the short penult; and he then goes on to remark: "Totum discrimen in hoc verti videtur, quod ubi, cum desinat in ancipitcm, quoties adjicitur particula copulativa, ultimam corripiat; quoties cxpletiva, producat: apud recentiores quidem, discretionis causa; non itcm apud vetustissimos." The difference in quantity here alluded to, though it may not have any very strong arguments in its favour, may yet be recommended "discretionis causa," and will be found not inconvenient. Fol example, in reading Sallust, where ubique, in the sense of $e \ell u b i$, frequently

## SECTION XVII.

## O IN COMPOSITION.

Rule 1. In words of Greek origin, where the first part of the compound ends in o-micron (or short 0 ), that vowel is short ; as, schoenŏbates, bibliŏpola, areŏpagus, Cleŏpatra; unless rendered common or long by position; as, chirographus, Hippocrene, Philōxenus, Nicōstratus.
Juv. Augur, schocnŏbates, medicus, magus, omnia novit.
Mart. Non habeo, sed habet bibliöpola Tryphon. (Pentam.) Lucan. Hesperios auxit tantum Cleŏpatra furores.

Rule 2. But if the first part of the compound word end in an o-mega (or long o), the $o$ is long in Latin; as, Minōlaurus, geōmetres, geōgrap九us, lagōpus.

Virg. Minōtaurus inest, Veneris monumenta nefanda.
Mart. Si meus aurita gaudet lagōpode Flaccus.
Obs. In compound Latin words, the $o$ is sometimes long, as, aliöquin, quandōque, nōlo; and sometimes short ; as quandŏquidem, hŏdie, duŏdeni. ${ }^{1}$
Horat. Mendosa est natura, aliōquin recta, velut si.
Id. Indignor quand̄̄que bonus dormitat Homerus.
Propert. Hanc utinam faciem nōlit mutare senectus.
occurs, we may pronounce the word with the penult shortened, ubĭque, reserving the long penult for ubīque, when it signifies "everywhere," "in ceery place."-With regard to ibidem, though found long in the best writers, it nevertheless occurs with the penultima short in Juvencus and Mamercus, whose authority, though by no means equal to that of Horace and Virgil, is still not to be overlooked in a case of this kind. Plautus also shortens the penult of the same word in several instances. (Merc., 2, 3, 99.-Most., 2, 2, 51.-Trin., 1, 2, 166.—Captiv., 4, 2, 94.-Bacch., 2, 3, 79.-Stich., 2, 3, 12.)

1. Since quando has the last syllable common, it is more than probable that the $a$, was likewise common in both quandoque and quandoquidem, although no instances can be adduced of a short penult in the case of the former, or a long antepenult in that of the latter.-In like manncr, as $d u o$ has the $o$ common, the same vowel may have been common likewise in dxodeni.

Virg. Dıcıte, z̧uandŏquidem molli consedimus herba.
Horat. Non dices hödie, quorsum hec tam putida tandem.
Virg. Por duŏdena regit mundum sol aureus astra.

## SECTION XVIII.

## INCREMENTS OF NOUNS.

I. By the increments of nouns is meant the syllable or syllables by which an oblique case exceeds the nominative.
II. When a word of one or more syllables increases, the penult is regarded as the increment. Thus, the re in regis, from rex, is the increment, the last syllable never being considered such in any word.
III. When there are nore increments than one, which seldom happens but in the plural, they are to be reckoned in the retrograde order, beginning with the penult.
IV. If a noun has one syllable, in an oblique case, more than in the nominative, it is said to have one increment or increase ; as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rex, } \stackrel{1}{\text { re-gis, }} \\
& \text { sermo, ser-mo-nis. }
\end{aligned}
$$

V. The quantity of the increment of all the other oblique cases is regulated by that of the genitive; as, sermōni, sermōnem, sermōnibus, \&c., in which the $o$ is long, because the $o$ of sermonis is long. There is but one exception to this remark, namely, bōbus, from bos, bŏvis; but this is, in reality, a contraction from bŏvĭbus. ${ }^{1}$
VI. Nouns in general have but one increase in the singular ; but iter, jecur (when its genitive is jecinoris), supellex, and the compounds of caput ending in $p s$, have two increments. 'Thus,

1. Bovibus is the samo as bowibus, which by contraction becomes bowbus, or, when written with the long 0 , böbus.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { jecur, je-ci-no-ris. } \\
& \text { supellex, supel-lec-ti-lis. } \\
& \text { anceps, an-ci-pi-tis. }
\end{aligned}
$$

VII. The dative and ablative plural of the third declension, in ibus, have generally two increments ; as,

But the words mentioned in the previous paragraph have three increments in these two plural cases. ${ }^{1}$ 'Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i-1 i-n-{ }^{3} \\
& j e-c i-n o-r i-b u s . \\
& \text { supel-lec-ti-li-bus. } \\
& \text { an-ci-pi-ti-bus. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## SEC'TION XIX.

## INCREMENTS OF THE FIRST AND SECOND DECLENSIONS.

## FIRST DECLENSION.

Rule. The vowel $a$, in the old increment of the first

1. The uncommon increase of these words is owing to their having come originally from nominatives, now obsolete, which consisted of a greater number of syllables than the nominatives to which they are at present assigned. Iler has for its regular formation in the genitive ileris; and Charisius ( p .108 ) adduces examples of this form of the genitive from Pa cuvius and Hyginus. Priscian (p.695) finds this same form in Nævius. The ablative itere occurs in Lucretius (5,652). Besides iter, however, there was another form for the nominative, namely, itiner, from which comes the ordinary genitive itincris, and the other cases similar to it. (Compare Charis., p. 16, 34, 63, 109.-Priscian, p. 646, 659.) This nominative itiner is found in Lucretius ( 6,338 ).-The remarks just made respecting iter apply equally to jecur, supcllex, and anceps Thus, besides jecur, there was another form for the nominative, jecinur or jecinor, whence came jecinuris or jecinoris. (Charis., p. 34.Priscian, p. 707.) So also supellex borrows its oblique cases from an adjective, supeliectilis, supellectile (Charis., p. 34, 67.-Priseian, p. 724); while anceps obtains them from an old nominative ancipes, which made ancipitis in the genitive, just as miles makes militis. This nominative ancipes occurs in Plautus (Rud., 4, 4, 114). In like manner, praceps borrows its genitive pracipitis, and other oblique cases, from the old form pracipes.
declension, is always long; as, auläï, auräi, longāi, pıctäì. ${ }^{1}$

Virg. Aulä̈̈ in medio libabant pocula Bacchi.
Id. AEthereum sensum, atque aurä̈ simplicis ignem.

## SECOND DECLENSION.

Rule. The increments of the second declension are short; as, miser, misëri; vir, vïri; satur, satüri; pue, puĕri. ${ }^{2}$

Virg. Non ignara mali, misĕris succurrere disco.
Id. Arma vări! ferte arma! vocat lux ultima victos
Tibull. Turbaque vernarum, satŭri bona signa coloni.

## EXCEPTION.

Iber and its compound Celtiber have the penult of the genitive long; as, Ibēri, Celtibēri. ${ }^{3}$

## Lucan. Quique feros movit 'Sertorius exsul Ibēros.

Mart. Vir Celtibēris non tacende gentibus. (Iambic.)
Obs. The increment in ius has already been noticed insection IV.

## SECTION XX. INCREMENTS OF THE THIRD DECLENSION. <br> increment in $a$.

Rule. The increment in $a$ of nouns of the third declen-

1. The principlo on which this long penult depends has already been explained. Consult page 17, note 1.
2. In strictness these are no inu rements at all, since miscr, vir, satur, pucr, \&c., are merely contractions from forms in $u s$; as, misĕrus, vĭrus, satürus, puĕrus, \&c. The vocative puere in Plautus (Asin., 2, 3, 2) can only come from a nominative puerus. Other examples of puerus and puece are given by Priscian (p. 697 and 738). So, again, the form vira, of which Festus speaks, could only come from virus. (Fest., p. 411, cd. Amstel., s. v. Querquetulanc.-Scrv. ad Nin., 12, 463.)
3. These forms, again, liko those mentioned in the previous note, are not actual increments; on the contrary, the nominatives Iber and Celtiber are merely contractions from liērus and Cellibērus, in Greels -IBnoos and Kedribnpos.
sion is chiefly long; as, vectigal, vectigālis; pax, pācis calcar, calcāris, \&c.

Horat. Jurgatur verbis, cgo vectigālia magna.
Ovid. Jañe fac aternos pācem päcisque ministros.
Mart. Accipe belligerce crudum thorāca Minervce.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Masculine proper names in al and ar (excepr Car and Nar) increase short; as, Hannibal, Hannibălis ; Hamilcar, Hamilcăris;' Casar, Casăris.
Sil. Hannibălem Fabio ducam spectante per urbem.
Id. Cui sœevum arridens narrabis Hamilcăris umbris.
Propert. Gallum per medios ereptum Cøsăris enses.
Exc. 2. The adjective par and its compounds; the substantive par ; the noun sal, whether neuter or masculine; and also hepar, nectar, baccar, vas (vădis), mas, anas, lar, and jubar, have the increase short.
Virg. Ardentes auro et păribus lita corpora guttis.
Horat. Damnati populo păria, atque epulum arbitrio Arri et.
Virg. Vela dubant leti, et spumas sălis ere ruebant.
Mart. Ipsa merum secum portat, et ipsa sălem. (Pentam.)
Horat. Quinta parte sui nectăris imbuit. (Choriambic.)

1. Ennius and other early authors wrote Hannibālis, Hamilcāris, Hasdrubālis, with the long penult. Aulus Gellius informs us, that the grammarian Valerius Probus followed this same pronunciation, and asserted that Plautus, Ennius, and many other writers of that period, as we have already remarked, gave the peuult long. He adds, however, that Probus cited merely one verse from the "Scipio" of Ennius, belonging to the trochaic class: "Quique propter Hannilälis copias considerant." (Aul. Gell., 4, 7.) It cannot be denied that this is the more accurate pronunciation, since Ennius and the others lived nearer to the Carthaginian times ; still, however, the authority of their successors, who shortened the penult, is the rule to be followed in modern days. It may be remarked in favour of the long penult, that this harmonizes with the etymology of the names in question; since both Hannibal and Hasdrubal obtain the latter part of their form from the Oriental Baal, where the two vowels coalesce into one long: while Hamilcar is to be adduced in part likewise, from Milcar, where the final syllable is also long. (Consult Gesenius, Phœn. Mon., p. 407.-Gronov. ad Gell., l c.)

Virg. Errantes hederas passim cum baccăre tellus.
Ovid. Sacra Bonc, măribus non adeunda, Dece. (Pentam.)
Avien. Latipedemque anătem cernas excedere ponto.
Tibull. Sed patrii servate Lăres, aluistis et ídem.
Virg. It portis, jubăre exorto, delecta juventus.
Exc. 3. Greek nouns in $a$ and as increase short; as poc̈nnu, poëmătis; lampas, lampădis.
Horat. Non quivis videt immodulata poëmăta judex.
Ovid. Undique collucent precincte lampădes auro.
Exc. 4. Nouns ending in $s$, preceded by a consonant, and many nouns in $\alpha x$, increase short in the genitive; as, trabs, trăbis ; Arabs, Arăbis; fax, facis; arctophylax, arctophylăcis (and many other compounds of фv́ $a_{\xi}$ ) ; climax, climăcis ; dropax, dropăcis, \&c. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Auratasque trăbes, veterum decora alta parentum.
Horat. Otia divitiis Arăbum liberrima muto.
Virg. Jamque fäces et saxa volant, furor arma ministrat.
Mart. Psilothro faciem lavas, ei dropăce calvam.
Obs. The proper name Syphax is commonly supposed to have in the genitive Syp̈phäcis and Syphăcis. This, however, is incorrect, as the short quantity turns merely on an erroneous reading in Claudian, and the true form of the genitive is consequently Syphācis. ${ }^{2}$

## INCREMENT IN $e$.

Rule. The increment in $e$, of the third declension, is

1. These nouns in $x$ are in fact nothing more than nouns ending in $s$ preceded by a consonant, the letter $x$ being equivalent to $c s, g s$, or $k s$.
2. The line of Claudian occurs in the poem "De Bello Gildonico" (v. 91), and is as follows: "Compulimus dirum Syphăcem, fractumgue Metello." The passage, however, of which this line forms part, involves a palpable historical error, if the reading Syphacem be retained; and Hannibalem has therefore been substituted by Barth; an emendation approved of by Broukhusius (ad Propert., 3, 9, 61) and other scholars, although the common reading is retained by Artaud in Lemaire's Collection.
mostly short; as, grex, grĕgis ; pes, pĕdlis ; teres, terĕtis; mulier, muliëris.
Ovid. Nobiliumque grĕges custos servabat equarum.
Fur. Pressatur pĕde pes, mucro mucrone, viro vir.
Virg. Incumbens terĕti Damon sic copit oliva.
afran. Hecc sunt venena formosarum muliërum. (Iambic.)

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Iber, Ibēris, and genitives in enis, have the penult long; as, ren, rēnis ; Siren, Sirēnis ; except that of hymen, which increases short.
Prisc. Quem juxta, terras habitant Orientis Ibëres.
Horat. Si latus aut rēnes morbo tentantur acuto.
Ovid. Monstra maris Sirënes erant, que voce canora.
Exc. 2. Ver, mansues, locuples, hœres, merces, quies, lex, rex, plebs, vervex, seps, and halec, increase long; as, vēris, mansuëtis, locuplētis, hœrēdis, mercēdis, quiētis, lēgis, rēgis, plēbis, vervēcis, sēpis, hatēcis.
Virg. Vēre novo gelidus canis cum montibus humor
Horat. Tu me fecisti locuplētem. Vescere, sodes.
Mart. Edent herēdes, inquis, mea carmina quando.
Id. Turba gravis paci, placidæque inimica quiēti.
Propert. Illorum antiquis onerentur lēgibus aures.
Horat. Fortunam et mores antique plēbis et idem.
Mart. Capparin, et putri cepas halēce natantes.
Exc. 3. Hebrew and other foreign names in el increase long; as, Daniel, Daniēlis; Michaël, Michaēlis. ${ }^{1}$
Alcim. Magnum illum Dominum atqué deum Daniēlis adorans.

Exc. 4. Greek nouns in es and er increase long; as,

[^9]tapes, tapētis; trapes, trapētis; lebes, lebētis; soter, sotēris; crater, cratēris; except ather and aër, which increase short. ${ }^{1}$
Sid. Ap. Ipse per attonitos bacca pendente trapētas.
Ovid. Viginti fulvos operoso ex are lebētas.
Virg. Cratēras magnos statuunt, et vina coronant.
Id. Quacumque illa levem fugiens secat athĕra pennis.
Id. Si nigrum obscuro comprenderit äc̆ra cornu.

## INCREMENT in $i$ and $y$.

Rule. The increment in $i$ or $y$, of the third declension, is for the most part short; as, stips, stipis ; nemo, neminis ; pollex, pollicis ; chlamys, chlamy̆dis; chalybs, chaly̆bis.
Ovid. Dic, inquam, parva.cur stipe qucrat opes. (Pentam.)
Virg. Qualem virginco demessum pollĩce florem.
Ovid. Anchise sceptrum, chlamy̆dem pharetramque nepoti.
Virg. Insula inexhaustis chalybum generosa metallis.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Genitives in inis or ynis, from nouns of Greek origin, have the penult long; as, delphin, delphinis ; Phorcyn, Phorcȳnis; Salamis, Salamīnis.
Virg. Orpheus in silvis, inter delphīnas Arion.
Id. - Laomedontiaden Priamum Salamina petentem.
Exc. 2. The following also have the long penult in the genitive: Dis, Dītis; glis, glīris; vibex, vib̄̈cis; gryps, grȳphis; Samnis, Samnītis; Quiris, Quirītis; Nesis, Nesidis.
Virg. Noctes atque dies patet atri janua Ditis.
Mart. Somniculosos ille porrigit glìes. (Scazon.)
Pers. Si putcal multa cautus vibice flagellas.
Virg. Huic horret thorax Samnitis pellibus ursa.

[^10]Luc. Tradite nostra viris, ignavi, signa, Quirites.
Stat. Silvaque qua fixam pelago Nesida coronat.

$$
\text { INCREMENT FROM ix AND } y x
$$

Rule. Nouns in $i x$ or $y x$ have, for the most part, the penult of the genitive long; as, bombyx, bomb̄̄cis ; perdix, perdīcis ; pernix, pernīcis ; coturnix, coturnīcis; lodix, lodicis.

Propert. Nec si que Arabico lucet bombȳce puella.
Seren. Seu fel perdīcis parili cum pondere mellis.
Virg. Progenuit pedibus celerem et pernīcibus alis.
Ovid. Ecce coturnīces inter sua prelia vivunt.
Mart. Lodīces mittet docti tibi terra Catulli.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Nix, Cilix, strix, fornix, histrix, choenix, varix, salix, filix, larix, coxendix, pix, calix, calyx, Eryx, Styx, Iapyx, Phryx, onyx, and some others, have their increase short, as have likewise some proper and gentile names; such as Ambiorix, Vercingetorix ; Biturix, Caturix.
Virg. Perque nives alium perque horrida castra secuta est. Luc. Armenios Citicesque feros, Taurosque subegi.
Propert. Et'strigis inventce per busta jacentia plume. Calph. Venit ; et hirsuta spinosior histrice barba.
Seren. Sapius occultus victa coxendïce morbus.
Obs. 1. Mastix, mastichis, "a gum," increases short; but mastix, mastīgis, "a whip," or "scourge," has the increment long.
Seren. Pulegium, abrotonum, nitida cum mastīche coctum.

Prudent. Nunc mastīgophoris, oleoque et gymnadis arte.
Obs. 2. Appendix is generally considered as increasing
short in the genitive ; ' and perpendiculum, a noun of kindred origin, has the penult short in Ausonius (Parental., $5,8)$.

Obs. 3. Bebryx and sandix have the increment common Val. Flacc. Bebry̆cis et Scythici procul inclementia sacri. Sil. ltal. Possessus Baccho seva Bebrȳcis in aula. Propert. Illaque plebeio, vel sit sandicis amictu. Gratius. Interdum Libyco fucantur sandice pinna. INCREMENT IN 0 .
Rule. $O$, in the increment of the third declension, in words of Latin origin, is for the most part long; as, sol, sōlis; vox, vōcis; victor, victōris; and all other verbal nouns in or ; ros, rōris; dos, dōtis; statio, statiōnis; and all other feminine verbals in io ; Cato, Catōnis, and other Latin proper names in 0 .

Ovid. Regia sōlis erat sublimibus alta columnis.
Tibull. Ille liquor docuit vōces inflectere cantu.
Virg. Nec victōris heri tetigit captiva cubile.
Lucan. Ire vetat, cursusque vagos statiōne moratur.
Id. Et mala vel duri lacrymas motura Catōnis.
EXCEPTIONS.
Exc. 1. Nouns in $o$ or on, taken from the Greek $\omega \nu$, preserve in Latin the same quantity of the increment which they have in the Greek. If that increment be an omicron, it is short; if an omega, it is long. Thus, sindon, aëdon, Agamemnon, Jason, Philemon, \&c., increase short; whereas Laco, Plato, Solon, Sicyon, \&c., increase long.
Mart. Cultus sindŏne non quotidiana. (Phalæcian.)
Paulin. Si confers fulicas cycnis, et aëdŏna parra.
Horat. Pythagoran, Anytique reum, doctumque Platōna.
Mart. Et gratum nautis sidus fulgere Lacōnum.

1. It is so given, for instance, by Scheller (Lat. Dcutsch. Wörterb., \& v.) and Freund (Wörterb. der Lat. Sprache, s. v.)

Obs. Sidon, Orion, Aggaon, have the increment common, and so likewise has Britto, "a native of Britain." Saxo, Seno, and some other gentile nouns, incroase short. Sil. Ital. Stat, fucare colos nec Sidŏne vilior, Ancon. Virg. Atque equidem Teucrum memini Sidōna venire.
Ovid. Quorum si medius Bœoton Oriŏna quaras.
Lucan. Ensiferi nimium fulget latus Oriönis.
Claud. Hac centumgemini strictos AEgæŏnis enses.
Ovid. Egaōna suis immunia terga lacertis.
Juv. Qua nec terribiles Cimbri, nec Brittŏnes unquam.
Mart. Quam veteres bracca Brittōnis pauperis, et quam.
E cc. 2. Genitives in oris, from Latin nouns of the neu. er gender, have the penult short; as, marmor, marmŏris ; corpus, corpŏris ; ebur, ebŏris.
Ador, however, which is of the masculine gender, makes adŏris and adōris; it being found short in Ausonius, and both long and short in Gannius, an old poet quoted by Priscian. ${ }^{1}$
Auson. Mox ador, atque adŏris de polline pultificum far.
Gann. Illam sponte satos adŏris stravisse maniplos.
Id. Emicat in nubes nidoribus ador adōris.

Exc. 3. Greek proper names in or, and appellatives, as $r$ hetor, increase short.
Val. Flac. Ingemit et dulci frater cum Castŏre Pollux. Ovid. Et multos illic Hectŏras esse puta. (Pentam.)

[^11]Mart. Pelcos et Priami transit vel Nestorris atas. Id. Dum modo causidicum, dum te modo rhetơra fingıs

Exc. 4. Os, ōris; and adjectives of the comparative degree, have their increment long;; as, majöris, pejōris, meliōris.
Virg. Componens manibusque manus, atque ōribus ōra.
Id. Nate dea, nam te majōribus ire per altum.
Horat. Mutius, indignum quod sit pejōribus ortus.
Exc. 5. The compounds of mov́s, as, tripus, polypus, Cdipus; and also memor, arbor, lepus, bos, compos, and impos, increase short.
Juv. Stantibus œnophorum, tripŏdas, armaria, cistas. Mart. Phineas invites, Afer, et CEdipŏdas. (Pentam.)

- Virg. Strata jacent passim sua quaque sub arbŏre poma. Mart. Mavis, Rufe, coquum scindere quam-lepŏrem. '(Pentam.)

Exc. 6. Cappadox, Allobrox, precox, and other nouns which have a consonant immediately before $s$ in the nominative ; as, scobs, scrobs, ops, inops, AEthiops, Cecrops, Dolops, increase short ; except Cyclops, Cercops, and hydrops.
Horat. Mancipiis locuples, eget cris Cappadŏcum rex.
Senec. Materna, letum precŏcis mali tulit. (Iambic.)
Juv. A scrŏbe vel sulco redeuntibus altera cœna.
Virg. Non hæc humanis öpibus, non arte magistra.
Ovid. Virginibusque tribus gemino de Cecrŏpe natis.
Manil. Et portentosos Cercōpum ludit in ortus.
Ovid. Tela reponuntur manibus fabricata Cyclōpum.

## INCREMENT IN $u$.

Rule. The increment in $u$ of the third declension is for the most part short; as, murmur, murmŭris ; furfur, furfüris; dux, dŭcis; prasul, prasŭlis; turtur, turtŭris.

Virg. Aspice, ventosi ceciderunt murmŭris aurc.
Seren. Furfüribusque novis durum miscebis acetum.
Pedo. Consŭle nos, dŭce nos, dŭce jam victore caremus.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Genitives in udis, uris, and utis, from nominatives in $u s$, have the penult long; as, palus, palūdis'; incus, incūdis; tellus, tellūris; virtus, virtūtis.
Virg. Quum primum sulcos aquant sata? quique palūdis.
Mart. Tum grave percussis incūdibus era resultant.
Virg. Vix e conspectu Sicule tellüris in altum.
Id. Mittatur Pallas, quem non virtütis egentem.
Exc. 2. The following also increase long: fur, füris; lux, lūcis; Pollux, Pollūcis; and frügis, from the old nominative frux. But intercus, pecus, and Ligus, increase short.
Virg. Quid domini faciant, audent cum talia füres?
Tibull. Lūce sacra requiescit humus, requiescit arator. Ovid. Pollūcem pugiles, Castora placet eques. (Pentam.)

## SECTION XXI.

## PLURAL INCREMENT OF NOUNS.

The penult of the genitive or dative plural is called the plural increment of a noun when either of these cases contains more syllables than the nominative plural ; as, musce, musārum ; ambo, ambōrum, ambōbus; res, rērum, rēbus; in the first of which the syllable $s a$, in the second $b o$, in the third re, are the respective plural increments. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ So also $b i$ in nubĭum, nubĭbus; quo in quörum ; qui in quĭbus.

PLURAL INCREMENTS IN $a, e, o, i, u$.
Rule. In the increase of the plural, $a, e$, and $o$ are long, $\imath$ and $u$ short ; as, quärum, hārum, Musārum; rērum, rēbus; hōrum, quörum ; quĭbus, trĭl $\iota s$, montĭbus, lacŭbus.

Virg. Quārum quce forma pulcherrima, Deïopeam.
Orid. Cum tamen a turba rērum requieverit hārum. Virg. Jupiter, et rēbus nox abstulit atra colorem.
Id. At Capys, et quōrum melior sententia menti.
Id. Montibus in nostris solus tibi certet Amyntas.
Ovid. Pramia de lacŭbus proxima musta tuis. (Pentam.)
Obs. Būbus has already been explained under section V

## SECTION XXII.

## INCREMENT OF VERBS.

1. The second person singular of the present tense, indicative active, is the measure by which to estimate the increment of verbs. If any tense or person of a verb do not contain a greater number of syHables than the above standard, the verb is said, in that tense or person, to have no increment. 'Thus, in amat, amant, ama, amem, amans, the verb amo has no increment, because they all contain only two syllables, like amas.
II. If, however, a tense or person exceed the given standard, then, if that excess be by one syllable, the verb is said to have in that part a single increment; if by two syllables, a double ; if by three, a triple ; if by four, a fourfold increment. Thus, in aMAmus there is a single increment, which is the penult, for the final syllable is never called an increment; in $a$ MABAmus there is a double increment ; in $a$ MAVERI $m u s$ a triple increment; and in $a u$ DIEBAMIni a fourfold increment.
III. In determining the increments of deponent verbs, we may imagine an active voice, ${ }^{1}$ and obtain from this the requisite standard for the regulation of the increments ; or we may be guided by analogy, and estimate them by means

[^12]of other verbs of the same conjugation which have an active voice. Thus, for the verb largior, we may either form an imaginary active, largio, largis, of the fourth conjugation, or be guided by the tenses of audior, which has a real active.
IV. The final syllable, as has just been observed, is never regarded as an increment.' The first syllable, however, becomes one when the standard tense is a monosyllable. Thus, in the case of $d o$ and fleo, the tenses by which we are to estimate their respective increments are das and fles, and consequently in damus, dabam, dare ; flemus, flebam, flere, the initial syllables are the increments of the verbs.

## VERBAL INCREMENT IN $a$.

Rule. The vowel $a$ is long in the increments of verbs of every conjugation ; as, stābam, stāres, properāmus, docebāmus, audiebāmini, \&c.

Virg. Trojaque nunc stāres, Priamique arx alta maneres.
Ovid. Serius aut citius sedem properāmus ad unam.
Horat. Pugnābant armis, que post fabricäverat usus.
Mart. Festināvit Arabs, festināvere Sabei.
Ovid. Ipse gubernābit residens in puppe Cupido.
Id. Clam tamen intrāto, ne te mea carmina ledant.
Virg. Et cantāre pares, et respondere parāti.
Mart. Esse videbāris, fateor, Lucretia nobis.

## EXCEPTION.

The first increase of the verb $d o$ is short ; as, $d \breve{a} m u s, d \breve{a}$ bunt, dăre ; and hence the pronunciation of circumd $\stackrel{a}{-}$ mus, circumdăbunt, circamdăre; venumdăbo, venumdăre, $\& c$., with the penult short. ${ }^{1}$

1. This violation of analogy on the part of $d o$, to which Priscian alludes (9,6-vol. 1, p. 453, ed. Krehl.), may be accounted for by supposing that the verb was originally conjugated, do, dĕre, dĩdi, dĭtum, as we have it in the compound dcdo (de-do). It would thus have belonged at

Virg. His lacrymis vitam dămus, et miserescimus ultro.
Mart. Mille dăbam numos; noluit accipere. (Pentam.)
Tibull. Quamvis magna dăret, quamvis majora dăturus.
Virg. Taurino quantum possent circumdăre tergo.
Ovid. Multa rogant utenda dări, dăta reddere nolunt.
Obs. The second increase of do, not being excepted, follows the general rule, and is long; as, dăbāmus, dăbātis, dăbāmur, dăbātur, dăbāmini.
Virg. Nam quod consilium, aut que jam fortuna dăbātur.

## VERBAL INCREMENT IN $e$.

Rule. The vowel $e$ is long in the increase of verbs; as, flēbam, rēbar, lacerēris, docērem, legērunt.

Ovid. Flēbat Aristeus, quod apes cum stirpe necatas.
Virg. Sic equidem ducēbam animo, rēbarque futurum.
Mart. D®dale Lucano cum sic lacerēris ab urso.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. But $e$ before $r$ in the first increase of every present and imperfect of the third conjugation, and also in the terminations berris and bĕre, is short; as, cognoscĕre, legĕre, legĕrem, legĕremus, legĕris, celebrabĕris, celebrabĕre.
Virg. Jam legĕre, et que sit poteris cognoscĕre virtus.
Id. Semper honore meo, semper celebrabĕre donis.
Obs. 1. But in the second increment, where the word terminates in rēris or rēre, the $e$ is long; as, diriperèris, loquerēris, loquerère, prosequerēre.
Ovid. Cum consternatis diriperēris equis. (Pentam.)
Mart. Hoc tibi Roma caput, cum loquerēris, erat. (Pentam.) Cland. Jungebam Phrygios, cum tu raperēre leones.

[^13]Obs. 2. The forms vēlim, vélis, vèlit, \&uc., have the e short.
Horat. Musa, vělim memores : et quo patre nctus uterque. Mart. Esse vēlis, oro, serus conviva Tonantis.

Exc. 2. The vowel $e$ before ram, rim, ro, of every conjugation, is short ; as, amavĕram, amavĕrim, amavĕro ; fecerram, fecerrim, fecerro; and the quantity remains the same in the other persons; as, amaverris, amaverrit, amavěrimus, amavĕritis; fecĕrimus, fecĕritis.
Ovid. Fecĕrat exiguas jam sol altissimus umbras.
Catull. Dein cum millia multa fecērimus. (Phalæcian.)
Obs. This rule does not apply to those syncopated tenses which have lost the syllable ve; as, flèram, flērim, flèro; the $e$ in these contracted forms retaining the same quantity which it possessed previously to the syncope ; viz., $f \bar{e}(\mathrm{ve})$ ram, $\boldsymbol{f l} \bar{e}(\mathrm{ve}) r i m, f(\bar{e}(\mathrm{ve}) r o$.

Virg. Implērunt montes, flērunt Rhodopeīe arces. Ovid. Nērunt fatales fortia fila dece. (Pentam.)

Exc. 3. The poets sometimes shorten $e$ before runt, in the third person plural of the perfect indicative active. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Obstupui, stetĕruntque come, et vox faucibus hœsit.
Id. Matri longa decem tulërunt fastidia menses.
Horat. Di tibi divitias dedĕrunt artemque fruendi.
Tibull. Nec cithara, intonse profuĕruntve come. (Pentam.)
Mart. Nec tua defuĕrunt verba Thalasse mihi. (Pentam.)
Sil. It. Terruĕrunt pavidos accensa Ceraunia nautas.

$$
\text { VERBAL INCREMENT IN } i .
$$

Rule. The vowel $i$ in any of the increments of verbs is short, whether such increment be the first, second, third, or fourth; as, linquimus, amabĭmus, docebĭmĭni, audiebamini,

1. Consult remarks under the article Systole, page 127.
\&c., and venimus, comperimus, reperimus, \&c., of the per fect tense.

Virg. Linquimus Ortygice portus, pelagoque volamus. Horat. Infra se positus; extinctus amab̆̆tur idem. Manil. Victuros agimus semper, nec vivĭmus unquam. Claud. Vicimus, expulimus; facilis jam copia regni. Plaut. Quapropter id vos factum suspicamini? (Iamb.) EXCEPTIONS.
Exc. 1. But the $i$ is long in notìto, not̄ete, notimus, notitis, oetimus, vetitis; malimus, malitis; simus, sitis; and cheir compounds, possimus, adsimus, prosimus, \&ic.
Mart. Nol̄̄to fronti.credere, nupsit heri. (Pentam.)
Calp. Credere, pastores, levibus notīte puellis.
Mart. Ne nimium simius, stultorum more, molesti.
Calp. Possitis, ter quisque manus jactate micantes.
Exc. 2. The penult of the preterite in ivi, of any conju. gation, is long; as, petīvi, audīvi.
Virg. Cessi, et sublato montem genitore petīvi.
Id. Adventumque pedum, flatusque audīit equorum.
Exc. 3. In the first increase of the fourth conjugation whenever a consonant immediately follows, the $i$ is long; as, audīmus, audītis, audīte, uudīrem, audīre, au dìmur, audītur, audìrer, audītor, audīri; to which add the contracted form of the imperfect, audibam, and the old form of the future, audībo, which we uniformly find in $\bar{i} b a m$ and $\bar{\imath} b o$, from $e o$, as well as in quìbam and quïbo, from queo.
Senec. Audìmur, en, en, sonitus Herculei gradus. (Iamb.)
Virg. Montibus audīri fragor, et resonantia longe.
Horat. . Alterius sermone meros audīret honores.
Virg Ferre rotam, et stabulo frenos audìte sonantes.
Id. Tu ne cede malis sed contra audentior īto.

Virg. Jungimus hospitio dextras, et tecta subïmus. Id. Nutribat, teneris immulgens ubera labris. Prop jut. Lenībunt tacito vulnera nostra sinu. (Pentam.)
Овз. 1. Venìmus, comperimus, reperimus, \&c., of the present tense, fall under the previous exception, and are long; whereas venïmus, comperimus, reperimus, \&c., of the perfect tense, have the penult short, as has been mentioned, according to the general rule.
Obs. 2. When a vowel, and not a consonant, immediately follows the $i$ in the first increase of the fourth conjugation, the $i$ becomes necessarily short by position; as, audiunt, audǐebam, audĩam, audiar, auđ̌̌ens, \&c.

Obs. 3. The $i$ in the penult of the first and second per sons plural of the indicative future perfect, or second future, and the perfect of the subjunctive is doubtful.'
Lucret. Quas ob res ubi viderimus nil posse creari.
Catull. Dein cum millia multa feccrimus. (Phalæcian.)
Ovid. Videritis stellas illic ubi circulus axem.
Id. Hec ubi dixeritis, servet sua dona, rogate.
Id. Accepisse simul vitam dederitis in unda.
Id. Et maris Ionii transieritis aquas. (Pentam.)
Id. Consulis ut limen contigeritis, erit. (Pentam.)
Virg. Egerimus, nösti; et nimium meminisse necesse est.

1. We have given the rule as equally applicable to both the indica. tive future perfect and the perfect subjunctive. It holds good, however: more certainly of the former than the latter tense. With regard to the perfect subjunctive, it is frequently impossible to distinguish it from the future perfect, since in very many cases where the one is employed, a very slight modification of the sense would render the use of the other equally appropriate. The only example discovered by prosodians where this tense undoubtedly occurs in such a position as to determine its quantity, is in the line from Virgil cited above, and which is found in En., 6,514.-The old grammarians are at variance on this subject. Dionedes (p. 331) and Agrectius (p. 2267) assert that the penult of rimus and ritis in the future perfect is long, and in the perfect subjunctive is short. Probus, on the other hand (p. 1412-p. 1434), affirms that the syllable is long in both tenses ; and both Probus and Servius (ad Virg., l.c.) expressly declare, that the penult of egerimus, in the passage quoted, was shortened by Virgil " metri necessitate:" (Voss., de Art. Gram., 2, 21.)

## VERBAL INCREMENT IN 0 AND $u$.

Rule. In the increase of verbs $o$ is always long, but $u$ is generally short; as, facitōte, habetōte ; sŭmus, possŭmus, volŭmus.

Ovid. Cumque loqui poterit, matrem facitōte salutet.
Id. Hinc quoque presidium lese petitōte figurc.
Horat. Nos numerus sümus, et fruges consumere nati.
Virg. Dicite, Pierides : non omnia possŭmus omnes.
Horat. Si patria volümus, si nobis, vivere cari.
EXCEPTION.
But $u$ in the penult of the future participle in rus is always long; as, peritūrus, factūrus, amatūrus.
Virg. Si peritūrus abis, et nos rape in omnia tecum.
Id. Tarda venit, seris factūra nepotibus umbram.

## SECTION XXIII.

## FINAL SYLLABLES.

The quantity of final syllables is ascertained, in some cases, by position; as, prudēns, precōx ; in others by their containing a diphthongal sound; as, muse, penne; but in most by special rules, which follow.

## FINAL $\boldsymbol{a}$.

Rule 1. A in the end of words not declined by cases is long ; as, circā, citrā, contrā, extrā, frustrā, intrā, \&c.; to which add the imperative of the first conjugation ; as, memor $\bar{a}, a m \bar{a} .{ }^{\text {! }}$

[^14]Horat. Circā mite solum Tiburis et mœenia Catili. (Choriambic.)
Ovid. Dextera diriguit, nec citrā mota, nec ultrā.
Virg. Contrā non ulla est oleis cultura, neque ille.
Horat. Laudet ametque domi, premat cxtrā limen iniquus.
Id. Frustrā, nam scopulis surdior Icari. (Choriambic.)
Virg. Musa, mihi causas memorā; quo numine laso.
Plaut. Si auctoritatem postē̄ defugeris. (Iambic.) ${ }^{1}$

## EXCEPTIONS.

But ei $\breve{a}$, $i t \breve{a}, p u t \breve{a}^{2}$ (the adverb), and $q u i \breve{a}^{3}{ }^{3}$ have the final vowel short ; and also the names of letters; as, alphă, betă, \&c., which latter follow the quantity of the Greek.
Val. Flac. Ferret ad aurigere caput arboris : Eiă per ipsum. Virg. Incolimus ; sed vos, si fert ită corde voluntas.
of a paper in the "Journal of Education" (vol. 1, p. 106), who supposes them to be formed from ante eam, posi eam, \&c., the correlatives antequam, posiquam, still retaining the final letter. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 38.)

1. Poste $\breve{a}$ occurs with the final vowel short in Ovid (Fast., 1, 165); but the difficulty may be obviated either by writing post că, or by prorouncing the word as a dissyllable, posty $\bar{a}$, making it, in this latter case, have the long final vowel.
2. Pută is short only when taken adverbially. When it stands as an imperative the $a$ is long. Some doubt, however, has been attempted to be thrown upon the quastity of the final letter in puta when an adverb. It is found short in Persius (4, 9), and also in Martial (3, 26, 5; 9, 96, $5 ; 11,95,2)$, in accordance with the exception in the text, and it has been allowed to stand by several editors, where others have given puto. Casaubon and König, for example, retain pută in the text of Persius, and Priscian, the ancient grammarian, as cited by the former, observes, "Ita solum a correptum habet, quamvis quidam puta adverbium esse accipiunt, ideoque Persium id corripuisse, ut, hoc, puta, non justum," etc. (Prisc., lib. 15-vol. 1, p. 617, ed. Krehl.) Servius likewise, in his commentary on Virgil (EN., 2, 651-vol. 1, p. 167, ed. Lion), after observing that adverbs in $a$ are long, expressly excepts $p u t \breve{a}$ and ită. Still, in all the instances cited above, many MSS. give puto, which leaves the matter involved in some degree of uncertainty.
3. Dr. Carey, on the authority of a single line in Phædrus, pronounces the final yowel in quia doubtful. The line is as follows: "Ego primam collo nominor quiä lco." (Phedr., 1, 7.) But almost all editors agree in considering the line corrupt, and most of them read "Ego primam tollo quoniam nomina leo."

Virg. Sed quiă non aliter vires dabit omnibus aquas. Juv. Hoc discunt omnes ante Alphă et Betă puella.

Rule 2. A in the end of words declined by cases is short, except the ablative singular of the first declension, and Greek vocatives from nominatives in as ; as, ancho- $\breve{a}$ dè prorā, $\boldsymbol{E}$ neā, Pullā. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Anchoră de prorā jacitur; stant littore puppes.
Id. Quid miserum, Aneā, laceras? jam parce sepulto.
Obs. 1. Greek names in $\bar{e} s$ and $\bar{e}$ are frequently changed by the Latins into $a \bar{a}$; as, Atridă for Atridēs; Orestă for Orestēs; Circă for Circē. In nouns of this class, the final $a$ in the vocative is short. ${ }^{2}$

Obs. 2. Some prosodians make the final $a$ in numerals either long or short. 'The true quantity, however, is the long one ; as, trigintā, quadragint $\bar{a}$, sexagint $\bar{a} .^{3}$

## FINAL $e$.

Role. E final is for the most part short; as, natĕ, fugè, eripĕ, illĕ, sinĕ, pœnĕ, \&c.

1. The final $a$ in the ablative singular of the first declension is long, because contracted from $a$ i. The old dative and ablative singular of the first declension had this latter ending; as, terraī for terra; terraî for terrā. (Plank ad Enn., Med., p. 80.-Gruter, Inscr., 2, 12.-Id., Ind., p. 84.)
2. The short $\check{a}$ in these forms is obtained from the たolic $\check{u}$. (Com-

 vocative, which some deduce. From a Doric nominative Anchisas. It is better, however, to ascribe the length of the final vowel, in this instance, to the force of the cassura or arsis.
3. To support the position that the final $a$ in numerals is common, the following line from Manilius has been adduced: "Ter trigintă quadrum partes per sidera reddant." (Manil., 2, 322.) Bentley, however, insists that the reading here is erroneous, especially since triginta oczurs six lines lower down, in the same poet, with the final vowel long. In all the oldest MSS. of the classics, numbers were expressed by mark, . not by words ; hence, when the transcriber found LXXXX in his copy, he ignorantly, according to the Euglish critic, rendered it by ter triginta instead of nongenta, which latter reading Bentley of course restores. Some other examples of numerals with the short final vowel are adduced from Martial and Ausonius, but the MSS. here give different readings. The rule, therefore, laid down above is undoubtedly the true one.

Virg. Heu fugĕ, natĕ Deu, teque his ait cripĕ flammis.
Id. Illĕ mihi ante alios fortunatusquĕ laborum.
Id. Qucis sinë nec potưerĕ seri nec surgerĕ messes.
Id. Penĕ simul tecum solatia rapta Menalca.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. E final is long in all cases of the first and fifth declensions; as, Eglē, Thisbē, Melpomenē, diē, fidê, fame,$r \bar{e}$; and in adverbs derived from the latter; as, hodiè, pridiè, quarē, \&c. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Eglē Naïadum pulcherrima, jamque videnti.
Ovid. Scpe ut constiterant, hinc Thisbē, Pyramus illinc.
Horat. Quem tu Melpomenē semel. (Choriambic.)
Virg. Forte diē solemnem illo rex Arcas honorem.
Id. Libra diè somnique pares ubi fecerit horas.
Horat. Effare; jussas cum fidē pcenas luam. (Iambic.)
Virg. Amissis, ut fama, apibus mọrboque famēque.
Id. Pro rē pauca loquor ; nec ego hanc abscondere furto
Horat. Muneribus servos corrumpam; non hodiē si.
Id. Quarē per divos oratus uterque penates.

1. The final $e$ is long in all cases of nouns of the first declension, because answering to the Greek $\eta$. It is long in all cases of the fifth declension, because it is a contracted syllable. (Schneider, L. G., vol. 3, p. 355, seqq ) -Under this same exception falls the ablative fame (Virg., En., 6, 421), the noun fames having been, according to Aulus Gellius $(9,14)$, originally of the fifth declension, fames, faméi; like plebes, ple$b \bar{c} i$. - The vocatives Ulyssē and Achillē have also the final $e$ long. These are Greek forms. The Eolo-Doric tribes changed the termination $\varepsilon v_{\varsigma}$
 for 'AXi $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon v^{\prime}$, \&sc. (Maittaire, Gr. D., p. 183.) The Latins, in imitation of these, used Ulysses and Achilles, with some others, as nouns of the third declension, making in the vocative Ulyssē, Achillē, \&cc., with the final $e$ long, because answering to the Greek $\eta$. Another Latin form, and one of more frequent recurrence in poctry, is that in eüs, of the second declension ; as, Ulysscüs, Achilleūs, making in the genitive Ulysscï, Achilleï, contracted into Ulyssî, Achillî. The vocative of such a form will be Ulyssčĕ, Achillëĕ. We may suppose Achillē, in Propertius ( $4,11,40$ ), to be formed from it by apocope, if we retain the common reading, "Quique tuas proavus, fregit, Achillĕ, domos." But Heyne has given the true lection: "Qui tumidas proavo fregit Achille domos," which makes Achille the ablative, with the final vowel short, as a matter of course. (Heyne ad Ain., 6, 840.)

Exc. 2. E final is long in the contracted nominative and accusative plural of the third declension, in words transplanted from the Greek; as, cet $\bar{e}$, melē, pelage $\bar{e}$, temp $\bar{e}, \& c$., the plural vowel in such words answering to the Greek eta, or long e. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
Sil. Ital. Dum cetē ponto innabunt, dum sidera colo.
Lucret. At Musca melē per chordas organici que.
Id. At pelagè multa et late substrata videmus.
Catull. Tempè quce silve cingunt super impendentes.
Exc. 3. Verbs of the second conjugation have $e$ final long in the second person singular of the imperative active; as, gaudë, salvē, valē, \&c. But cave has the last syllable either long or short. ${ }^{2}$
Propert. Gaudē, quod nulla est œque formosa, doleres.
Virg. Salvè magna parens frugum, Saturnia tellus. Id. Cat. Valē, Sabine, jam valete formosi. (Scazon.)

Horat. Cavē, cavē ! namque in malos asperrimus. (Iambic.) Id. Tu cavĕ ne minuas, tu ne majus facias id.
Ovid. Neu cavĕ defendas, quamvis mordebere dictis.
Exc. 4. Adverbs in $e$, formed from adjectives in $u s$, have the final $e$ long; as, placidè , probē, latē, longè, \&c., except benë, malĕ, infernĕ, and supernë.

[^15]Mart. Excipe sollicitos placidē, mea dons, libellos. Catull. Suffenus iste, Vare, quem probē nosti. (Scazon.)
Virg. Directæque acies, et latē fluctuat omnis.
Juv. AEquora transiliet, sed longè Calpe relicta.
Virg. Si benĕ quid de te merui, fuit aut tibi quidquam.
Id. Insequitur, cumulosque ruit malĕ pinguis arence.
Lucret. Ne tibi sit fraudi quod nos infernĕ videmus.
Id. Remorum recta est, et recta supernĕ guberna.
But adjectives neuter of the third declension, used as adverbs, retain the final $e$ short; as, sublimĕ, suavë, dulcĕ, facilĕ, \&c.
Virg. Cantantes sublimĕ ferent ad sidera cycni.
Id. Ipse sed in pratis aries, jam suavĕ rubentı.
Exc. 5. The adverbs ferme, fere, and ohe have the final vowel long. Fere, however, has the last short in the later writers.
Juv. Rarus enim fermē sensus communis in illa.
Horat. Vina ferē dulces oluerunt mane Camœnœ.
Id. Importunus amat laudari donec ohē jam.
Auson. Nam tecum ferč totus ero quocumque recedam.
Exc. 6. Monosyllables in $e$ are also long; as, $d \bar{e}, m \bar{e}, t \bar{e}$, $s \bar{e}$; and $n \bar{e}$ (lest or not) ; except the enclitics $q u \check{e}, v \breve{e}_{1}$ $n \breve{c}$, and the syllabic additions $p t \breve{e}, c \breve{e}, t \breve{e}, d \breve{e}$; as, in $s u$. aptĕ, nostraptĕ, hoscĕ, tutĕ, quamdĕ. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. $D \bar{e}$ colo tactas memini predicere quercus.
Id. Mē me, adsum qui feci; in mē convertite ferrum.

1. The lengthening of monosyllables which consist of, or terminate in a vowel, depends upon an established principle of metrical harmony, since they would be nearly lost in the reading if the voice did not dwall upon them, and make them necessarily long. In the case of cnclitics and syllabic additions, however, the principle does not apply. These are connected so closely with the preceding word, that thcy form but one word witt: it in the rapidity of pronunciation, and are no longer considered as separate monosyHables.

Virg. Tè vcniente die, tē decedente canebat.
Id. Nē pueri, nè tanta animis adsuescite bella.
Id. Arma virumquĕ cano, Troje qui primus ab oris.
Id. Si quis in adversum rapiat, casusvĕ Deusve.
Id. Tantané vos generis tenuit fiducia vestri?
Enn. O Tite tutĕ Tati tibi tanta tyranne tulisti.

## final $i$ and $y$.

Rule. I final is for the most part long; as, frumer 12, scribendì, nulì, partirì, fierì, \&c.

Virg. Paullatim et sulcis frumentī qucreret herbam. Horat. Garrulus, atque piger scribendì ferre laborem. Propert. Nulti cura fuit externos quarere divos.
Virg. Ne signare quidem aut partiri limite campum. Id. Pastores, mandat fieri sibi talia Daphnis.

## ExCeptions.

Exc. 1. I final is short in nisı̆ and quaš. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Nec veni, nisi fata locum sedemque dedissent. Ovid. Quid quasĭ natali cum poscit munera libo.

Exc. 2. The final $i$ and $y$ are short in Greek neuters; as, $g u m m i ̀$, sinapı, mol̆y ; in the dative singular of Greek nouns; as, Palladı̃, Thetidı̂, Phyllidı̃; in Greek vocatives; as, Adonĭ, Alex̆̈, Tiphy̆, Tethy̆, chely̆ (but not in Teth $\bar{y}$, the contracted dative for Tethyi); and in datives and ablatives plural in si; as, heroisi, Dryasi, Troasi. ${ }^{2}$

1. Quasi occurs with the $i$ long in Lucretius (2, 291, and 5, 728), and in Avienus (Phen., 554, 1465, 1567, 1654); but the final vowel in all these instances may be considered as made long by the arsis, especially since we find quasi twice in Lucretius (4, 1011, and 6, 972). Nisi also has the final vowel long in the following Phalæcian line from Statius (Sylv., 4, 3, 59): "His parous, Lechic nisi vetarent;" but the MSS. here are hopelessly corrupt, and scarcely two editors read the passage in the same way. The Bipont edition has "His parous, Lecheo nihil vetante," which is retained in that of Lemaire.
2. It often happens that in such Greek datives as Thētĩ̃̃̆, Păridur, Tyndăridt, \&c., the final vowel is lengthened by the arsis, since other-

Ovid. Moly vocant superi; nigra radice tenetur.
Stat. Pallad亢̃ litorece celebrabat Scyros honorem.
Ovid. Semper Adonĭ, mei, repetitaque mortis imago.
Id. Quid tibi cum patria, navita Tiphy̆, mea. (Pentam.)
Id. Troasinn invideo, que si lacrymosa suorum. ${ }^{1}$
Exc. 3. In $m i h i, t i b i, s i b i, i b i$, and $u b i$, the final $i$ is common. ${ }^{2}$

Virg. Non mihĭ si linguce centum sint, oraque centum.
Id. Tros Tyriusque mihī nullo discrimine agetur.
Id. Haud obscura cadens millet tibĭ signa Boötes.
Id. Cuncta tib̄̄ Cererem pubes agrestis adoret.
Id. Jam sibř tum curvis male temperat unda carinis.
Horat. Quanto quisque sibī plura negaverit. (Choriambic.)
Virg. Aut ib̆̆ flava seres mutato sidere farra.
Id. Ter conatus ibz̄ collo dare brachia circum.
Id. Nosque ubĭ primus equis oriens aflavit anhelis.
Horat. Instar veris enim vultus ub̄̄ tuus. (Choriambic.)
Obs. 1. The quantity of the final vowel in $u t i$ is involved in some uncertainty. Most prosodians make it long, a quantity which it is always found to possess; and so, too, it is always long in veluti. If, however, any stress is to be

[^16]laid upon the fact that the $i$ is always short in sicutr, utionam, and utiqque, the final vowel in uti ought rather to be regarded as common.

Obs. 2. In necub̆̆, sicubl̆, ubinam, and ubivis, the $i$ of $u b i$ is always short ; but if we are to be guided by the quantity of the final letter of $u b i$ out of composition, as also by the circumstance of the $i$ being long in ubīque ("everywhere"), ${ }^{1}$ but common in ubicunque, we shall, in all probability, be more correct in making the $i$ of $u b i$ common also in the compounds first mentioned.

Exc. 4. Cui, when a dissyllable, generally has the i short.
Sen. Mittat et donet cŭі̆ситque terrc. (Sapphic.)
Mart. Sed norunt cŭй serviunt leones. (Phalæcian.)
Id. Drusorum cŭй contigere barbœe. (Ditto.)
Id. Et credit cŭĭ Postumilla dives. (Ditto.)
Obs. Cui is commonly considered as forming a monosyllable in poetry. Instances, however, occur, in which it may be regarded as a dissyllable, even in hexameter verse, without any injury to the metre, but with advantage, rather, to the smoothness and harmony of the line; as in the following, among others:
Juv. Cantabat patriis in montibus : et cŭй non tunc. Virg. At puer Ascanius cŭĭ nunc cognomen Iulo.
Id. Munera vestra cano. Tuque $O$ cŭй prima ferentem.
Id. Incipe parve puer : cŭŭ non risere parentes.
FINAL 0.
Rule. O final is common, though more generally long than short.
Horat. Quandō pauperiem, missis ambagibus, korres.
Mart. Quandŏ more dulces, longusquє a Cesare pulvis.
Virg. Preterea duŏ nec tuta mihi valle reperti.

1. Consult note 5 , page 46 .

Auson. Europam Asiamque duō vel maxima terra.
Mart. Captŏ tuam, pudet heu! scd captō Pontice cœnam.
Gall. Obruta virgŏ jacet: servat quoque nomina turris.
Ovid. Victa jacet pietas ; et virgō ccade madentes.
Mart. Miscuit, Elysium possidet ambŏ nemus. (Pentam.)
Virg. Ambō florentes atatibus, Arcades ambo.
Obs. 1. O final in nominatives of the third declension is, with very few exceptions, long in the writers of the Augustan age and their predecessors. In proper names, however, o final is common even in the best writers; as, Carthagō, Polliŏ, Scipiö, Curiŏ, Viniŏ. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 2. O final in verbs is very rarely shortened by writers of the Augustan age and their predecessors, except in scio, nescio, puto, volo, which are for the most part used parenthetically. ${ }^{2}$

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. All cases in $o$ of Greek nouns, written in the original with an o-mega, or long $o$, have the final vowel long; as, nominative, Ī, In̄̄, Clī̄ ; genitive, An. drogeō; accusative, Athō, Clothō, \&c.
Propert. Iō versa caput primos mugiverat annos.
Auson. Cliō gesta canens transactis tempora reddit.
Virg. In foribus letum Androgeō : tum pendere pœnas
Pedo. Quondam ego tentavi Clothōque duasque sorores.

1. It was not until the age of Lucan that the practice of shortening 0 final in nouns of the third declension became general. In the writings of this poet we find cardö, pulmŏ, tirŏ, turbö, \&c.; and in Martial and his contemporaries it is perhaps oftener short than long. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 55.)
2. No example occurs in Lucretius, Virgil, or in the Odes of Horace, of the final $o$ in a verb being left short, except in scio and nescio, which, as well as puto, volo, rogo, credo, do not form real exceptions, for theso words were either used parenthetically, or in colloquial formulæ enunciated rapidly. -The shortening of the final $o$ in verbs is very rare in Catullus, in Tibullus, in Propertius, and in Ovid; it gradually becomes more common in the writers who follow them, and when we come down to the age of Statius and Martial it is to be found on every page. (Ramsay's Lal. Pros., p. 56.-Lennep ad Oo., Ep., 15, 32.)

Exc. 2. Monosyllables in $o$ are long ; as, $\bar{O}, d \bar{o}, s t \bar{o}, p r o ̄$, \&c. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Ô decus, ō fama merito pars maxima nostre.
Id. Dō quod vis; ct me victusque volensque remitto.
Id. Prō molli viola, prō purpureo narcisso.
Exc. 3. 0 final is long in the dative and ablative singular of the second declension; as, virō, ventō, uurō, sic$c \bar{o}, \& c .^{2}$
Virg. Cui se pulchra virō dignetur jungere Dido.
Ovid. Nutritur ventō, ventō restinguitur ignis.
Propert. Aurō pulsa fides, aurō venalia jura.
Virg. In siccō ludunt fulice; notasque paludes.
Exc. 4. 0 final in the gerund is perhaps never found short, except in writers subsequent to the Augustan age. ${ }^{3}$
Virg. Frigidus in pratis cantandō rumpitur anguis.
Ovid. Et voluisse mori, et moricndō ponere sensus.
Juv. Plurimus hic eger moritur vigilandŏ, sed illum.
Auson. Quce nosti meditandŏ velis inolescere menti.

Exc. 5. Adverbs formed from adjectives have the final o for the most part lọng ; as, multō, rarō, crebrō, consul$t \bar{o}, \& c{ }^{4}$

1. Compare note 1 , page 71.
2. The final vowel in the dative and ablative singular of the second leclension is the result of contraction, and therefore long. The primitive termination was oi. (Struve, über Declin.; \&ic., p. 14.)
3. Two passages oppose this doctrine, which, however, is generally recognised by scholars. One is from Tibullus (3, 6, 3): "Aufer et ipse meum pariter medicandŏ dolorem," where Heyne reads medicandĕ, from Broukhusius. Dissen also gives this same lection. On the whole question respecting the stortening of the final syllable in gerunds, consult Heyne ad Tibull., l. c.-Broukhus. ad Tibull., l. c.-Hcins.ad Ov, Ep., 9, 125.- Burmann ad Anthol. Lut., vol. 1, p. 298.-Perizon. ad Sanct. Min., vol. 1, p. 148, ed. Bauer.-Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 57.
4. These, in fact, retain the quantity of the datire singular, from which they are formed.

Juv. Poona autem vehemens, ct multi savior illis.
Ovid. Adde quod iste tuns, tam.rarō prelia passus.
Horal. Est mini purgatam crebrō qui personet aurem.
Id. Extenuantis as consultō ; ridiculum acri.
Exc. 6. $O$ final is never, perhaps, found short in ergo, ideo, immo, porto, postremo, sero, vero, except in writers subsequent to the Augustan age. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Ergō non hiemes illam, non flabra neque imbres.
Horat. Ergō Quintilium perpetuus sopor. (Choriambic.)
Propert. Ergō velocem potuit domuisse puellam.
Ovid. Ergō dum Stygio sub terri gurgite labor.
Lucan. Ergŏ pari voto gessisti bella juventus.
Juv. Impune ergŏ mini recitaverit ale togatas.
Mart. Sod amen esse tuus dicitur, ergo potest. (Pentam.)
Hort. Ac ne me folios ideō brevioribus ornes.
Mart. An ideŏ tantum veneras ut exires ? (Scazon.)
Catull. Frustra? immō magno cum pretio atque malo. (Pent.)
Mart. Ado bone emit? inquis: immŏ non solvit. (Scazon.)
Id. Vendere, nil debet, fænerat immŏ magis. (Pent.)
Catull. Sid dicam vobis, vas porrō dicite multics.

1. Some of the ancient grammarians, and almost all the modern ones, make ergo, when it signifies "on account of," have the final syllable long, and short when it means "therefore." The distinction does not appear to be a correct one, for the two meanings are in fact the same, and the word in either case is merely $\varepsilon p \gamma \varphi$, the dative of $\bar{\varepsilon} p \gamma o v$. The line quoted by Dr. Carey, from the Ciris, to prove that ergo, "therefore," occurs in good writers with the final syllable short, cannot be received as authority, since the Ciris, which few suppose to have been the work of Virgil, is notorious for its corrupt text. The line is as follows: "Ergo meth capiti Scylla est inimica paterno" (v. 386). Barth reads "Ergo tum capiti," and Heinsius "Ergo iterum capiti," which latter emendation is adopted by Heyne. The passage sometimes cited from Propertins $(3,7,1)$, "Ergŏne sollicita tu causa, pecunia, vita es," is given in the best MSS. and editions as follows: "E.gò sollicita tu causa, pecunia, vita:cs." One instance, however, occurs in Ovid (Her., 5, 59), where ergo has the $o$ short, according to the received reading. It is as follows: "Votis ergo metis alii rediture redisti." It is very probable, however, that'. some error lurks here in the text, since Ovid everywhere else makes the final syllable of ergo long. (Heirs. ad Op., Trust., 1, 1, 87. -Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 58.)

Juv. Multos porrŏ vides, quos seppe elusus, ad ipsum.
Id. Et Scauros et Fabricios postremŏ severos.
Tib all. Heu serō revocatur amor serōque juventus.
Juv. Hac animo ante tubas. Galeatum serŏ duelli.
Mart. Serŏ dedit penas: Discerpi noxia mater.
Virg. Hic verō victus genilor se tollit ad auras.
Stat. Tu potior, Thebane, queri, nos verŏ volentes.
Exc. 7. O final is always short in the following words in good writers : cilŏ, egŏ, ${ }^{1}$ modŏ the adverb, and its compounds dummodŏ, postmodŏ, quomodŏ, tantummodŏ, together with the numeral octö.
Horat. Quicquid precipies esto brevis, ut citŏ dicta.
Ovid. Nec citŏ credideris, quantum citŏ credere ladat.
Virg. Sape egŏ, quum favis messorem induceret arvis.
Lucret. Non modŏ non omnem possit durare per œuom.
Virg. Hic inter densas corulos modŏ namque gemellos.

1. Ego is said to have the final o common. "The fact, however, is," observes Ramsay, "that there are many hundred instances, in writers of all ages, in which ego is found with the last short, and three or four at most, in decent metrical authorities, where it is found long; but even here, in erery case, if I mistake not, under suspicious circumstances.- It may serve to set at rest the question with regard to the final oin ego and modo (the adverb), if I state that I have marked 532 examples of ego with the o short in Ovid alone, 91 in Propertius, 90 in Horace, 64 or 65 in Virgil, 53 in Tibullus, 27 in Catullus, and five in Lucretius, in all 862 ; while in the same authors I have been unable to find more than two with the long $o$; one of these (Catull., 19, 1) is from a poem which, though often placed among the works of Catullus, is found in no MS. of that author, and is now left out by the best editors ; the other from Ovid (Her., 13, 135), in a line where the MSS. afford half a dozen different readings. I am aware that other examples are to be found in old editions, but these bave all disappeared upon a careful examination of the MSS. : as, for example, Propert., 1, 8, 31; 4, 2, 3, \&c. Such being the evidence, I feel justified in reversing the judgment pronounced by Broukhusius (ad Properl., l. c.), Drakenborch (ad Sil. Ital., 17, 357), and Ruperti (ad Sil., l. c.) in favour of the o final in ego being common, and in laying down the rule as I have gan it.-With regard to modŏ (the adverb), I have marked 363 examples in Ovid, 48 in Propertius, 22 in Horace, 13 in Virgil, six in Catullus, two in Lucretius; in all of these (451) the final $o$ is short, against which there is one in Lucretius where it is lengthened by the arsis. The same holds good of its compounds, with the single exception of quom ${ }_{l}$ iò in Catullus (10, 7)." (Ramsay's Lat. I'ros., p. 60, seq.)

Horat. Herculis ritu modŏ dictus $O$ plebs. (Sapphic.)
Ovid. Nam mod̆, vos animo, dulces reminiscor amici.
Lucret. Dummodŏ ne totum corrumpas luminis orbem.
Horat. Fœnum habet in cornu, longe fuge ; dummodŏ risum.
Id. Postmodŏ, quod mi obsit, clare certumque locuto.
Tibull. Postmodŏ que votis irrita facta velit. (Pentam.)
Horat. Cum victore sequor. Mecenas quomodŏ tecum.
Id. Proximus esse. Velis tantummodŏ, que tua virtus.
Manil. Sed regione Nepe vix partes octŏ trahentis.
Juv. Sic crescit numerus, sic fiunt octŏ mariti.
Mart. Vix octŏ nummis annulum unde ccenaret. (Scazon.)
$x$
FINAL $u$.
Rule. U final is long; as, corn $\vec{u}$, met $\bar{u}$, part $\bar{u}, \operatorname{Panth} \bar{u}$, vitatū, diū. ${ }^{1}$
Horat. Corn $\bar{u}$ decorum, leniter atterens. (Alcaic.)
Virg. Parce metū Cytherea, manent immota tuorum.
Id. Eumenidesque sate; tum partū terra nefando.
Id. Quo res summa loco, Panthū, quam prendimus arcen?
Horat. Aiebat sapiens vitatū, quidque petitu.
Virg. Phæobe diū, res siqua dīu mortalibus ulla est.
$\times$ EXCEPTIONS.
Exc. 1. Ind $\breve{u}$, the old form of in, and nen $\breve{u}$ for non, both Lucretian words, have the $u$ short. ${ }^{2}$

1. Final $u$ in the dative and ablative singular of the fourth declension is the result of contraction from ui, and therefore long. (Struve, über Declin., \&c., p. 36.-Burmann ad Pròpert., p. 119.) Hence metu is for metui, and partu for partui, or, rather, partue.-Some of the old grammarians maintained that neuter nouns in $u$ had the final vowel short in the nominative, accusative, and vocative singular, but long in the other cascs. This doctrine, however, is condemned by Priscian (vol. 1, p. 351, ed. Krehl). -The $u$ in Panthu represents the diphthong ov in the original Greek word.-Diu is an old ablative from dius, as is evident from the common phrase diu noctuque. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 62.)
2. Indu appears to have come from the Eolic $\iota_{\nu} \nu \delta \nu$ for $\varepsilon v \delta o v$. Nenu is said to have been the parent of the Latin non. According to Wakefield, the more correct orthography for indu is endu when it stands singly, and indu when compounded. (Wakef. ad Lucret., 1, 83, et 2, 1005.) His authority, however, is of no great weight, especially as the

Lucret. Nec jacere indŭ manus, via qua munita fidei.
Id. Nenü queunt tapidei contra constare leones.
Exc. 2. The $u$ continues short in those words which naturally end in short $u s$, and are only deprived of the $s$ by the more ancient mode of pronunciation, in order to preserve the syllable from becoming long by its position before a consonant at the beginning of the following word ; as, plenü' for plenus, bon $\bar{u}$ ' for bonūs, \&c.'
Ennius. Ille vir haud magna cum re, sed plenü' fidei. Id. Suavis homo, facund $\breve{u}$, suo content $\vec{u}$ ', beatus.

## FINAL $y$.

Rule. Y final is short ; as, moly̆, chely̆, Coty̆, Tiphy̆. ${ }^{2}$
Ovid. Moly̆ vocunt superi; nigra radice tenetur.
Stat. Cedamus chely̆, jam repone cantus. (Phalæcian.)
Ovid. O Coty̆, progenies digna parente tuo. (Pentam.)
Id. Ars tua, Tiphy̆, jacet si non sit in æquore fluctus.
final $l, d, t$.
 those ending in $t$ pure, that is, $t$ immediately preceded by a vowel; as, ăb, ăd, quĭd, illŭud, ět, ăt, amăt.
Ovid. Ipse docet quīd agam. Fas est ĕt ăb hoste doceri.
Virg. Dixǐt : ăt illa furens, acrique incensa dolore.
Tibull. Luce sacra requiescăt humus, requiescăt arator.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. But if $t$ be preceded by another consonant, or the $t$ or $d$ by a diphthong. the syllable must of course remain long; as, āst, amānt, $\overline{a u t}$, hāud.
Ovid. Ast ubi blanditiis, agitur nihil horridus ira.
Eolic change of $\varepsilon$ into $t$ is well kuown. (Maitt., Dial., p. 208, ed. Sturz.)

1. Consult remarks under "Ecthlipsis."
2. The final $y$ answers to the short final $v$ in Greek. This rule is in part repeated from page 72.
 Id. Haud obscura cadens mittet tibi signa Bröles.

Exc. 2. Those third persons singular of the perfect tense, active voice, which contract ivīt or $\bar{\imath} t$ into $i t$, or avĭt into $a t$, have the final syllable necessarily long; as, petīt for petī̆t or petivĭt ; obīt for obrŭt or obivăt ; irritāt for irritavĭt; disturbạ̀t for disturbavĭt.
Ovid. Flamma petīt altum, propior locus aëra cepit. Juv. Magnus civis otīt ct formidatus Othoni. Lucr. Irritāt animi virtutem, ecfringere ut arcta Id. Disturbāt urbes, et terre motus obortus.

FINAL $c$.
Rule. C final has the preceding vowel long; as, illu. ill $\bar{u} c, \bar{a} c, s \bar{s} c, h \bar{u} c$, the adverb $h \bar{c} c$, the ablative $h \bar{o} c$.

Virg. Illīc, officiant letis ne frugibus herbe.
Catull. Ionios fluctus postquam illṻc Arrius isset
Horat. Si sapiat vitet simul àc adoleverit atas.
Virg. Sī oculos, sīc ille manus, sīc ora ferebat.
Catull. H $\bar{u} c, h \bar{u} c$ adventate ; meas audite querelas.
Virg. Classibus hīc locus, hīc acies certare solebant.
Ovid. Aut hōc, aut simili carmine notus eris. (Pentam.)

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Nec, donec, ${ }^{1}$ and the imperative $f a c^{2}$ are short.
Ovid. Parve, nĕc invideo, sine me liber ibis in urbem.

[^17]Ovid. Donĕc cris felix multos numerabis amicos.
Lucret. Non possunt ; făc enim minimis e partibus esse.
Mart. Signa rarius, aut semel făc illud. (Phalæcian.)
Exc. 2. Hic the pronoun is common, but much more frequently long than short.

## Virg. Solus hïc inflexit sensus animumque labantem.

Id. Hic vir hic est, tibi quem promitti sapius audes.
Id. Hac finis Priami fatorum, hīc exitus illum.
Ovid. Atque ait, Hīc, hīc est, quem ferus urit amor. (Pent.)
Exc. 3. The neuter hoc is also common, but no example can be quoted, except from the comic writers, in which it is found short. ${ }^{1}$
Ovid. Dicendum tamen est, hōc est, mihi crede, quod @gra. Id. Hoc deus et vates, hōc et mea carmina dicunt. Plaut. Heus! ecquis hic est? ecquis hŏc aperit ostium? (Iambic.)
Id. Quid hŏc hic clamoris audio ante ades meas? (Iambic.)

## FINAL $l$.

Rule. L final has the preceding vowel short; as, Hannibăl, ${ }^{2}$ semĕl, nihŭl, procŭl.

1. The facts respecting the usage of the poets in the case of hic and hoc are given in the text. The opinions expressed by the old grammarians respecting the quantity of these words differ widely from each other. Velius Longus and Priscian seem to think that hic and hoc are both naturally short, and that in all passages where they are found long they ought to be written hicc, hoce, and regarded as abbreviations of hicce, hocce. Terentianus Maurus, Marius Victorinus, Probus, Charisius, and Martianus Capella, on the other hand, assert, that in these words $c$ has the same force in pronunciation as a double consonant ; that, consequently, hic and hoc ought always to be long, and that Virgil was guilty of an inaccuracy in changing the pronunciation and quantity of hic in the two passages from the Eneid cited in the text. Vossius says that hoc is short in the nominative and vocative; but he is unable to bring any better authority than that of two anonymous poets in the collections. (Priscian, vol. 1, p. 564, ed. Krehl.-Velius Longus, p. 2219, ed. Putsch. -Marius Victorinus, p. 2471.—Probus, p. 1390.-Charis., p. 4, seq.Terent. Maur., v. 1657.--Mart. Capell., lib. 3.- Voss., Art. Gramm., 2, 29.-Classical Journal, vol. 9, p. 339.-Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 35.)
2. Consult note 1 , page 5 b where it would appear probable that the

Juv. Hannibăl, et stantes Collina turre mariti.
Virg. Quum semĕl hセserunt arvis aurasque tulerunt.
Virg. Versando terram experti, nihĭl improbus anser.
Id. Arboris acclinis trunco, procŭl area ramis.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. $S a \bar{l},{ }^{1}$ sōl, ${ }^{2}$ and $n \bar{\imath} l$ contracted from nĭhūl, are long.
Stat. Non säl, oxyporumve, caseusve. (Phalæcian.) Auson. Säl oleurn panis, mel, piper, herba, novem. (Pent.) Ovid. Ulterius spatium medio soll altus habebat:
Claud. $N \bar{\imath} l$ opis externc cupiens, nìl indiga laudis.
Exc. 2. Hebrew names ending in $l$ have the final syllable generally long; as, Daniēl, Raphaēl, Ismaèl. ${ }^{3}$
Tert. Quum magnus Danièl, qualis vir, quanta potestas! Fortun. Qualiter aut Raphaēl occursum impenderit alme. Victor. Nec tamen Ismaēl, Agar de semine natus.
earlier quantity of Hannibăl, and other similar Carthaginian names, was Hannihäl.

1. There is great doubt whether sal ought to be regarded as an exception to this rule. It appears to be nothing more than an abbreviation of the old nominative săle, still extant in a line of Ennius preserved by Aulus Gellius (2,26): "Ccruleum spumat săle conferta rate pulsum." Dr. Carey, therefore, thinks that it was in reality short, and that Statius and Ausonius made it logg merely by poetic license. The apocope could never of itself lengthen säl from sălc, since even those nouns in al, which had the $a$ long in àle before the apocope took place, thence became short; as, cervicäl, tribunăl, vectigăl.
2. Cicero's derivation of sōl from solus would supply us with a sufficient reason for the long $o$ in the former, if the etymology were really worth anything. His remark is as follows: "Cum sol dictus sit, vel quia solus ex omnibus sideribus est tantus, vel quia, cum est exortus, obscuratis omnibus solus apparet" (N. D., 2, 27). So also Boëthius (Cons. Phil, 5, metr. 2):

> "Quem, quia rcspicit omnıa solus, Verum possis dicere solem.".

The Latin sol is rather to be traced to sauil, one of the three Gothic forms for "sun," and both sol and sauil are related to the Sanscrit suria. (Grimm, Deutsch. Gramm., vol. 1, p. 611.-Pott, Etymol. Forsch., vol. 1, p. 130.)
3. The Hebrew words have in the last syllable, in the original tongue, the long vowel tsert.

## FINAL $m$.

Rule. When a word ends in $m$, and is immediately followed by a word beginning with a vowel or $h$, the poets of the Augustan age and their successors generally elide the $m$ by a figure termed ecthlipsis, and also cut off the vowel preceding the $m$ by another figure termed synalopha: as, monstr' horrend' informe for monstrum horrendum informe, \&c. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Monstrum $\neq \begin{aligned} & \text { Krendum informe ingens cui lumen ademp- }\end{aligned}$ tum.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. The older poets, or those prior to the Augustan age, frequently preserved the final $m$ from elision, and made the preceding vowel short.

## Ennius. Insignita fere tum millia militŭm octo.

Id. Dum quidĕm unus homo Roma tota superescit.
Lucil. Pratextce ac tunice, Lydorum opu' sordidŭm omne.
Lucret. Vomerĕm atque loceis avertit seminis ictum.
Id. Nam quod fluvidŭm est, e levibus atque rotundis.
Id. Sed düm abest quod avemus, id exsuperare videtur.
Obs. 1. An instance of $m$ being retained before a vowel occurs even in Horace (Sat., 2, 2, 28) :

Quam laudas, pluma ? cocto nŭm adest honor idem?

1. Consult remarks on Ecthlipsis and Synalœepha, among "Figures of Prosody."-In strictness, no grammatical figure, such as ecthlipsis ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota s$, i. e., "a dashing out"), takes place here, but the whole is a mere matter of pronunciation. The final $m$ was never fully sounded among the Romans, as Priscian expressly remarks: "M obscurum in extremitatc dictionum sonat." Quintilian also, who in one part calls $m$ a "quasi mugiens littera" ( $12,10,31$ ), observes in another passage, "M lillera, quoties ultima est, et vocalem verbi sequentis itc contingit ut in eam transire possit, etiamsi seribitur tamen parum exprimitur, ul multum ille, et quantum erat; adeo ut pane cujusdam nova littera sonum reddat; neque enim eximitur sed obscuratur, et tantum aliqua inter duas vocales velut nota est ne ipse coēant" $(9,4,40)$. It would appear, therefore, that the Romans did not yive to such a syllable as om or $u m$ a full labial sound with a close compression of the lips, but uttered the $m$ with a slight nasal sound, such as the French give it, for example, in the word faim, and as the Portuguese enunciate it evell in Latin words. It would seem that even in Hebrew the final mem was not very clearly enunciated; at least, such is the opinion of Gesenius (Heb-Gramm. Arm., $\oint 78,2$ a).

Obs. 2. But the best and purest writers seem in general to have retained this practice only in words compounded of com (or con) and of circum ; as, cŏmcs, cŏmedo, circŭmago, circŭтео.
Ovid. Tu tibi dux cŏmiti; tu cŏmcs ipsa duci. (Pentam.)
Juv. Luctantur pauce, cŏmcdunt coliphia pauce.
Id. Quo te circŭmagas? quce prima aut ultima ponas.
Stat. Circŭmeunt hilares, et ad alta cubilia ducunt.

## FINAL $n$.

Rule. N final has the preceding vowel for the most part long, both in Latin words and in those of Greek origin; as, nön, èn, rēn, splēn, Sirēn, Hymèn, Pän, Titän, quīn, sïn, \&c. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. De grege nōn ausim quicquam deponere tecum. Id. Dixerit, Hos calamos tibi dant (èn accipe) Musce.
Ser. - Et tritá illinitur : vel splēn apponitur hedi.
Catull. Hymēn, O Hymenœe! Hymēn, ades, O Hymence!
Tibull. Lacte madens illic suberat Pān ilicis umbra.
Lucret. Flammiger an Titān ut alentes hauriat undas.
Ovid. Non potuit mea mens, quïn esset grata, teneri.
Phædr. Quem si leges, letabor; sin autem minus. (Iamb.)
To these add Greek accusatives in an from nominatives in $a s,{ }^{2}$ and accusatives in en from nominatives in $e$ or es; as also all Greek genitives plural in on; as, AEneän, Tire-

[^18]siän, Penelopēn, Anchisēn, Cimmeriōn, Chalybōn, Metemorphoseōn, \&c. ${ }^{1}$
Virg. Et sœevum AEneān, agnovit Turnus in armis.
Id. Occurrit ; veterem Anchisēn agnoscit amicum.
Catull. Jupiter! ut Chalybōn omne genus pereat! (Pentam.)
Tibull. Cimmeriōn etiam obscuras accessit ad arces.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. $\nexists n$, forsăn, forsităn, ïn, tamĕn, vidĕn', satı̆n',? ${ }^{2}$ are short.
Horat. Quis scit ăn adjiciant hodierne crastina summe. Virg. Mittite ; forsăn et hac olim meminisse juvabit. Id. Forsităn et Priami fuerint que fata requiras.
Ovid. Ludit in humanis divina potentia rebus.
Virg. Hic tamĕn ille urbem Pataví sedesque locavit. Tibull. Votu cadunt : vidĕn', ut trepidantibus advolet alis ? Terent. Satīn' id est? Nescio, hercle : tantum jussu' sum. (Iambic.)

Exc. 2. Nouns in en, which increase short in inis in the genitive case, have the final syllable short in the nominative ; as, nomĕn (nominis), flumĕn (flumirnis), tegmĕn (tegmĭnis), augmĕn (augmĭnis).
Ovid. Nomĕn Arionium Siculas impleverat urbes.
Virg. Casperiamque colunt, Forulos, et flumĕn Himelle.
Id. Tegmèn habent capiti; vestigia nuda sinistri.

1. As the Greek genitive plural ends in $\omega \nu$, the Latin on formed from this is of course long. The later Latin poets, however, make errors almost continually in words borrowed from the Greek, which in the latter language end in $\omega \nu$. Thus, we have in Prudentius (Peristeph., 2, 505) the following iambic dimeter: "Dum damŏn invictum dei," where the on in damon is erroneously shortened, the Greek form being $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu . ~ S o$, again, in the same writer (Psychom., 857), the following hexameter occurs: "Hic chalcedön hebes perfunditur cx hyacinthi"," where chalcedon has the final syllable short, although the Greek form is $\chi a \lambda \wedge \eta \delta \delta^{\omega} \nu$.
2. Vidĕn' is a colloquial form of vidēsne, and the change of quantity is supposed to have resulted from the former being employed as a short interrogation. So satin' for satisne is of very frequent occurrence in the romic writers. (Ramsay's Lat. Pra . D. 67.)

Exc. 3. The final syllable on is short in the singular cases of Greek nouns, which have those cases written in the original with an omicron or short 0 ; as, nominative, Iliŏn, Erotiŏn, Peliŏn; accusative, Cerberŏn, Menclaŏn, Rhodŏn.
Ovid. Iliŏn et Tenedos, Simoïsque et Xanthus, et Ide.
Mart. Pallida nec nigras horrescat Erotiŏn umbras.
Ovid. Cerberŏn abstraxit, rabida qui percitus ira.
Id. Tu fore tam lentum credis Menelaŏn in armis?
Horat. Laudabunï alii claram Rhodŏn, aut Mytilenen.
But Greek accusatives in on, of the Attic dialect, havirg an omega or long $o$, in the original, are long; as, Athōn, Androgeön, Peneleōn, Demolcōn.

Exc. 4. Greek accusatives in an, of the feminine gender, are also short; as, Maiăn, Iphigeniăn, Orithyiän. ${ }^{1}$ Ovid. Maiăn et Electram Taÿgetamque Jovi. (Pent.) Id. Nescio quam dicunt Iphigeniăn iter. (Ditto.) Id. Orithyiăn amans fulvis amplectitur alis.

Exc. 5. Greek accusatives in in and $y n$ are likewise short; as, Thyrsĭn, Daphnĭn, Parīn, Thetīn, It $\mathrm{y} n$.
Propert. Thyrsin et attritis Daphninn arundinibus. (Pentam.)
Ovid. Tantaque nox animi est. Ity̆n huc arcessite, dixit.

## FINAL $r$.

Rule. R final has the preceding vowel for the most part short; as, calcăr, audiăr, oleastĕr, itĕr, gloriĕr, calŏr, robŭr, ceditŭr.
Ovid. Crescit, et immensum gloria calcăr habet. (Pentam.)
Id. Trans ego tellurem, trans latas audiăr undas
Virg. Infelix superat foliis oleastĕr amaris.
Id. Angustum formica terens itēr, et bibit ingens.
Ovid. Fratre magis, dubito, gloriĕr, anne viro. (Pentam.)

1. Consult note 2 , page 85 .

Virg. Seu plures calŏr ille vias et caca relaxat.
Id. Vomis et inflexi primum grave robŭr aratri.
Id. Ceditŭr et tilia ante jugo levis altaque fagus.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Cūr is long, and also Nār, fār, fūr, and vēr. ${ }^{1}$
Horat. Multa quidem dixi, cūr excusatus abirem.
Virg. Sulfurea När albus aqua, fontesque Velini.
Ovid. Fār erat, et puri lucida mica salis. (Pentam.)
Mart. Callidus effracta numos für auferet arca.
Ovid. Et vēr auctumno, brume miscebitur astas.
Exc. 2. Greek nouns in er, which in the original end in $\eta \rho$, and which increase in the genitive, have the final syllable of the nominative long; as, aèr ( $\dot{a} \eta \rho, \dot{a} \dot{\varepsilon} \rho o s)$ ),
 But patĕr and matĕr ( $\pi a \tau \eta ́ \rho, \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s ; ~ \mu \eta ́ \tau \eta \rho, \mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ s)$ have the final syllable short.
Lucret. Inde mare, inde ac̄r, inde athēr ignifer ipse.
Ovid. Summus inaurato cratēr erat asper acantho.
Virg. Est mihi namque domi patēr, est injusta noverca
Id. Non jam matĕr alit tellus viresque ministrat
Obs. Hector, Nestor, and Castor, however, though coming from "Eкт $\omega \rho$, N $\varepsilon$ $\sigma \tau \omega \rho$, and Ká $\sigma \tau \omega \rho$, have the final syllable short.

Ovid. Hectör eraí : tum colla jugo candentia pressos.
Id. Cum sic Nestŏr ait, vestro fuit unicus avo.
Horat. Infamis Helence Castör offensus vice. (Iambic.)
Exc. 3. Ibēr is long, but Celtiber has the final syllable long in Catullus and short in Martial.

1. Cūr is merely a contraction from quur, and consequently long. (Priscian, vol. 1, p. 45, ed. Krehl.)- Für apparently gets its long quantity from the Greek $\phi \omega \bar{p}$. - Fär, if we may judge from its genitive farris, was originally written färr, being long by position. - Ver is from the Greek $\dot{\eta} \rho$ (a contraction from $\varepsilon a \rho$ ) with the digamma prefized.

Lucan. Si tibi durus $\mathbf{I} b \bar{e} r$, aut si tibi terga dedisset.
Catull. Nunc Celtibēr es : Celtiberia in terra. (Scazon.)
Mart. Ducit ad auriferas quod me Salo Celtib̆̈r oras.
Obs. 1. Par and lar are usually accounted long; and so, indeed, they are found, the former very frequently, the latter in one instance in Ovid (Fast., 5, 141) ; but it would sf em more consistent with accuracy to call them common. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 2. The quantity of cor has also been made a matter of dispute. The best opinion, however, is in favour of its being accounted short. ${ }^{2}$

FINAL as.
Rule. Final as is long; as, terrās, tempestās, tractas, veniebās. ${ }^{3}$

Virg. Turbabat caelo, nunc terrās ordine longo.
Id. Forte sua Libycis tempestās appulit oris.
Horat. Tractās et incedis per ignes. (Iambic.)
Ovid. Dure quid ad miseros veniebās exulis annos.

1. The reasons that have been assigned in support of this latter opinion are as follows: 1. Par and lar increase short; and all other nouns in $a r$, which have a short increment, have the final syllable short. -2. Even those which from are (with the a long) are reduced by apocope to ar, have the ar short ; as, calcăr, pulvinăr, torculăr.-3. Valerius Probus says, "Nominativus singularis, $R$ litera finitus, omni genere . . . . brevem habet." (Putsch, Gram. Lat., col. 1393); and Servius (ad En., 3, 91) remarks, "Omnia monosyllaba ad artem non pertinent."4. The compounds of par are found short in Prudentius (In Symm., 8, 5), Avienus (Fab., 23, 8), and Martianus Capella (6,55), whose authority (though not sufficient to outweigh that of earlier writers) may be allowed to have some weight in a doubtful or probable case, when supported by reason and analogy. (Carcy's Lat. Pros., p. 140, 3d cd.)
2. It is shortened, for example, by Cicero (T'usc. Quast., 3, 26). by Ovid (Trist., 5, 8.-Ep. ex Pont., 1, 3, 32.-Met., 5, 384), by Martial ( 10,15 ), and by Paulinus (de Cels. Ob., 379). In opposition to all these authorities, the following line has been cited from Ovid (Her., 15 , 79): "Molle meum levibus cồr est violabile telis." Burmann, however, conjectures, "Molle mihi levibusque cör cst violabile tclis;" and a Frankfort MS. has "Molle meum levibusquc," \&c.
3. In terras, and other accusatives plural of tho first declension, as is long because contracted from acs. - In nominatives like tempesias, it is long because the old form was tempestäts. - In tractas and tie like, it is long because contracted from aiss.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Anăs, "a duck," has the final syllable short.
Petron. Et pictus anăs enotata pennis. (Phalæcian.)'
Exc. 2. Final as is short in the nominative of Greek noun 3 which form their genitive singular in dos (or in the Latin dis) ; as, Arcăs, genitive Arcados or Arcadis; Pallăs, genitive Pallados or Palladis.
Mart. Cum quibus Alcides, et pius Arcăs erat. (Pentam.) Ovid. Bellica Pallăs adest, et protegit agide fratrem.

Obs. But Pallās,•• genitive Pallantis, Calchās, genitive Calchantis, and the like, follow the general rule, and have as long.
Virg. Tela manusque sinit: Hinc Pallās instat et urget. Ovid. Quam postquam reddit Calchās ope tutus Achillis.

Exc. 3. Final as is also short in Greek accusatives plural of the third declension; as, heroăs, lampadăs, delphinăs. Virg. Permistos heroăs, et ipse videbitur illis. Tibull. Accendit geminas lampadăs acer Amor. (Pent.) Virg. Orpheus in sylvis, inter delphinăs Arion.

## FINAL es.

Rule. Final es is long; as, spēs, noctēs, vidēs, ponēs. ${ }^{\text {? }}$ Ovid. Una tamen spēs est, que me soletur in istis.

1. This line occurs in Petronius Arbiter (c. 93, 1), but Barmann conjectures avis for anas.
2. Ennius furnishes one instance of the Latin plural es being short, in the following line: "Virginē nam sibi quisque domi Romanus habct sas" (Enn., Fragm., p. 32, ed. Column.). Cicero is said to give another in a fragment of his poctical version of Aratus (v. 472): "Obruitur Procyon; emergunt alitěs una ;" but Ernesti reads, "Obruitur Procyon; emergunt alite lapsu," \&ic. The common text of Ovid (Her., 10, 86) also contains a reading which exhibits es in the accusative plural short: "Quis scit an hac saras insula tigrès habct." Burmann, however, gives the line as follows: "Quis scit, an hae scovas tigridas insula habet ?" and observes, "Duo sunt que in hoe versu offendunt. Primo, quod Latine haud dicitur, Quis scit an habet, sed an habeat. Deinde quod posteriorem in tigres corripit." (Burmann ad Ov., l. c.)

Virg. Noctēs atque dies patet atri janua Ditis.
Propert Hoc quodeunque vidēs, hospes, quam maxiina Roma.
Horat. Ponēs iambis sive famma. (Iambic.)

## EXCEPTIONS.

$2 \leadsto$ Exc. 1. Nouns in es of the third declension, which increase short in the genitive, have es in the nominative short; as, hospĕs, cœspĕs, alĕs, milĕs, prøpĕs, \&c. (in the genitive hospïtis, caspittis, al̃tis, militis; prapĕtis).
Ovid. Vivitur ex rapto : non hospĕs ab hospite tutus.
Rutil. Exiguus regum rectores cœspĕs habebat.
Virg. Namque volans rubra fulvus Jovis alĕs in athra.
Id. Myrmidonum Dolopumve aut duri milĕs Ulixi.
Id. Acer, anhelanti similis, quem prœpĕs ab Ida.
Obs. But ariēs, abiēs, pariēs, and Cerēs, as also pees, with its compounds, follow the general rule.
Virg. Creditur: ipse ariēs etiam nunc vellera siccat.
Id. Populus in fuviis, abiēs in montibus altis.
Horat. Votiva pariēs indicat uvida. (Choriambic.)
Virg. Flava Cerēs alto nequidquam spectat Olympo
Manil. Desuper Auriga dexter pēs imminet astro.
Horat. Omnia magna loquens : modo sit miki mensa tripēs et. Virg. Stat sonipēs, ac frena ferox spumantia maridit.
Id. Tollit se arrectum quadrupēs, et calcibus auras.
3 Exc. 2. Es, in the present tense of the verb sum, is short, together with its compounds potĕs, abĕs, adĕs, obĕs, prodĕs, \&c. ${ }^{1}$

1. In many passages of Plautus, an enumeration of most of which may be found in Wasse (cap. 16, p. 226, seqq.), es from sum occurs with a long quantity. These passages are too numerous to permit our supposing the syllable lengthened by a mere license, or by the force of the arsis, and it is therefore probable that, in the time of Plautus, es from sum, corresponding as it did to the Greek $\varepsilon i s$, was actually long, and was only shortened at a subsequent period. Sirch, at least, is the opinion of Schneider (Gr. Lat., vol. 2, p. 757.)-According to Vossius, cs, "thou eatest," th : second person of edo, is long, being contracted,

Virg. Quisquis es, amissos line jam obliviscere Graves
Id. Tu potēs unanimos armare in pralia fratres.
Id. Tuque adës inceptumque una decurre laborem.
Exc. 3. The preposition peneus has the final syllable short. Ovid. Me peneus est unum vasti custodia mundi.

- Th

Exc. 4. Es is likewise short in Greek neuters; as, cacoëthēs, hippomenes, \&c.; and in Greek nominatives and vocatives plural of the third declension, from nouns which increase in the genitive singular, but which do not form that case in eos; as, Tritonees, Arcades, Thoes, Amazoněs, Troadēs, \&cc. ${ }^{1}$
Juv. Scribendi cacoëthĕs, et gro in corde senescit
Stat. Armigeri Tritonës cunt, scopulosaque cote.
Virg. Ambo florentes ctatibus, Arcadčs ambo.
Id. Pulsant, ct pictis bellantur Amazoněs armis.
Obs. 1. But nominatives and vocatives plural in es, from Greek nominatives forming the genitive singular in eos, are long; as, heresès, crisēs, phrases, metamorphoses, ${ }^{2}$ \&c.

Obs. 2. Where the Latin es represents the Greek $\eta \rho$, it is of course long; as in Alcides, Brontēs, Palamedēs, from ' $А \lambda \kappa \varepsilon i ́ \delta \eta \varsigma, ~ В \rho o ́ v т \eta \varsigma, ~ \Pi а \lambda a \mu \eta ́ \delta \eta \varsigma . ~$

## FINAL is AND $y s$.

Rule. Final is and $y s$ are short; as, dulč̌s, lapins, buss, amabīs, bibīs, Thetīs, Tethy̆s, It y̆s, Capy̆s. ${ }^{3}$
Horat. Dulcirs inexpertis cultura potentis amici.
Tibull. Fac lapı̌s his scriptus stet super ossa notis. (Pent.)

[^19]Lucan. Ante bīs exactum quam Cynthia conderet orbem.
Mart. E: bibīs immundam, cum cane, pronus aquam. (Pent.)
Ovid. Tethy̆s et extremo sape recepta loco est. (Pentam.)
Virg. At Capy̆s, et quorum melior sententia menti.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. All plural cases ending in is have that syllable long ; as, Musīs, terrīs, nobīs, vobīs, illīs, amaris. ${ }^{1}$

Mart. Carmina quod seribis, Musis et Apolline nullo.
Lucret. Secernunt, coelumque a terris omne retentant.
Id. Nobīs est ratio, solis luncque meatus.
Ovid. Abstulit omne Phaon quod vobīs ante placebat.
Virg. Pinguia concipiunt, sive iltis omne per ignem.
Id. Strymonieque grues, et amarìs intuba fibris.
Exc. 2. Final is is long in the second person singular of verbs of the fourth conjugation ; as, sentīs, fastidīs, aus$d \bar{i} s$; to which add $f i s$, from fio. ${ }^{2}$
Horat. Sentīs, ac veluti stet volucris dies. (Choriambic.) Id. Pocula, num esuriens fastidis omnic preter.
Propert. Non audis? et verba sinis mea ludere, cum jam.
Horat. Lenior ac melior fïs, accedente senecta?
Exc. 3. Gits, vis whether noun or verb; vetis and sis, ${ }^{3}$ with their compounds, as quamvis, nolis, malis, adsis, possis, ${ }^{4}$ have the final is long.

1. Plural cases in is were anciently written with the diphthong ei; as, Museis, terreis, \&cc.
2. The syllable is in verbs of the fourth conjugation is the result of contraction, and therefore long. Thus, we have audiis, contracted audis; sentiis, sentīs, \&̌e.
3. Sis is formed by contraction from sies. The old forms siem, sies, siet, occar frequently in Plautus.
4. In Javenal ( 5,10 ) some read possis with the final syllable short. Ruperti, however, condemns this reading, and substitutes possit. So in Ovid (Her., 12, 71 ), nescis is said to occur with the final syllable short, but erroneoasly. It appears neither in the edition of Heinsius nor in that of Burmann. The latter merely mentions it in a note, as a reading which is in direct violation of the metre.

Mart. Hac tibi si vīs est, si mentis tanta potestas.
Id. Bellus homo et magnus, vīs idem, Cotta, viderı.
Id. Esse vetis oro serus conviva Tonantis.
Horat. Cum sīs, et prave sectum stomacheris ob unguem*
Propert. Quamvīs ille sua lassus requiescat avena.
Juv. Et cui per mediam notis occurrere noctem.
Horat. Magnas Grœcorum malis implere catervas.
Virg. Adsis, O Tegeæe, favens; oleæque Minerva.
Horat. Non possīs oculis quantum contendere Lynceus.
Exc. 4. The adverbs foris, gratīs, and ingratīs have the final syllable long. ${ }^{1}$
Horat. Ne biberis diluta, foris est promus et atrum.
Phædr. Gratīs anhelans, multa agendo nil agens. (Iambic.)
Lucret. Effugere haud potis est, ingratīs haret et angit.
Exc. 5. Final is is long in those nouns which form their genitive singular in entis, īnis, or $\bar{i} t i s$, with the penult long; as, Simoīs (gen. Simoēntis), Salamīs (gen. Sal amīnis), Samnīs (gen. Samnītis), līs (gen. lītis).
Ovid. Hac ibat Simoīs; hac est Sigeïa tellus. Lucil. Samnīs in ludo ac rudibus causis satis asper

Exc. 6. The final syllable ris, in the second future of the indicative and perfect subjunctive, is common; as, amaveris or amaveris. ${ }^{2}$
Exc. 7. Final $y s$ is long in such contracted plurals as Erinnÿs for Erinnyes or Erinnyas. The following line of Seneca (OEdip., 644) shows the use of the

1. Foris is in reality the ablative of fora, "a door," the same as foris of the third declension. Gratis and ingratis are contracted datives for gratiis and ingratiis, which are found in the open form in the comic writers.
2. Almost all the examples in which it is found long are in the arsis ; but there is at least one instance in Horace which cannot be explained upon this principle: "Si ture placaris et horna" (Od., 3, 23, 3).-A numerous list of instances where ris occurs, either with the long or short quantity, may be seen in Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 77.
word, though it cannọt be made any proof of the quantity :

Et mecum Erinnys pronubas thalami traham.

## FINAL os.

Role. Final os is long; as, virōs, puerōs, custōs, ventōs, jactatōs.

Virg. Inter se coīsse virōs, et cernere ferro.
Propert. Differat in puerōs ista tropæa suos. (Pentam.)
Horat. Custōs amatorem trecentc. (Iambic.)
Virg. Ventōs et varium cali prediscere morem.
Id. His accensa super, jactatōs equore toto.

EXCEPTIONS.
Exc. 1. Final os is short in compŏs, impŏs, ŏs ("a bone"), and its compound exŏs.
Ovid. Insequere, et voti postmodo compŏs eris. (Pent.) Lucret. Exŏs et exsanguis tumidos perfluctuat artus.

Exc. 2. Final os is likewise short in Greek words, written in the original with an omicron or short o; as, Iliŏs, Tyrŏs, Samŏs, Chiŏs, Rhodŏs, epŏs. ${ }^{1}$
Ovid. Tum cum tristis erat, defensa est Iliŏs armis. Lucan. Et Tyrŏs instabilis, pretiosaque murice Sidon. Horat. Rome laudetur Samŏs et Chiös, et Rhodŏs absens.
Id. Facta canit, pede ter percusso, forte epŏs acer. FINAL $u s$.
Rule. Final us s short ; as, taurǔs, tempŭs, cultŭs, improbŭs, solibŭs, scindimŭs, intŭs.
Virg. Taurŭs, et adverso cedens Canis occidit astro.
Id. Tempŭs humo tegere, et jamdudum incumbere aratris.
Id. Conveniat, que cura boum, qui cultŭs habendo.
Id. Improbŭs, et duris urgens in rebus egestas.

1. But those words in which the Latin os represents the Greek $\omega \varsigma$ re tain their original quantity; as, herōs ( $\bar{\eta} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ ), Minös (Min us), \&r.

Virg. Pulverulenta coquat maturis solibŭs testas.
Id. At priŭs ignotum faro quam scindimŭs aquor
Id. Intūs que dulces, vivoque sedilia saxo.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Monosyllables in us are long; as, jūs, plūs, pius, thūs. ${ }^{1}$
Pedo. Ned rigidum jūs est et inevitabile mortis.
Mart. Ami hortos ; plūs est : instrue tu; minus est (Pent.) Horat. Proscripti Regis Rupilî pūs atque vencnum. Id. Angulus ale feret piper et thūs ocius uva.

Exc. 2. Final $u s$ is long in nouns which increase with long $u$ in the genitive; as, virtūs (gen. virtūtis), tellūs (̂̂llū̄ris), servitūs (servitūtis), palūs (palūdis).?
Horal. Virtūs indigno non commillenda poets.
Prisc. Divitias magnas hic tellūs ipsa ministrat.
Phædr. Brevi docebo. Servitūs obnoxia. (Iambic.)
Virg. Cocyti, tardaque palūs inamabilis unda.
Exc. 3. Final us is also long in the genitive singular, and in the nominative, accusative, and vocative plural, of the fourth declension; as, genitive sing., manes ; nom., accus., and voc. plural, manūs. But nominative and vocative singular, manüs. ${ }^{3}$
Pedo. Scilicet immunis si luctūs una fuisset.
Lucret. Sensūs ante ipsam genitam naturam animantis.
Virg. Saltūs et saturi petito longinqua Tarenti.
Exc. 4. Final $u s$ is also long in words transplanted from

1. This exception and the one immediately following belong in strictness to the same head, namely, that of a long increment in the genitive.
2. Horace (Ep. ad Pis., 65) furnishes a solitary instance of pulics with the final syllable short. Bentley proposes a different reading. The line, however, is retained unaltered in the best editions. - (For some remarks on the verse, consult Horat., ed. Anth., p. 326, not. crit.)
3. In the genitive singular of the fourth declension the final $u s$ is a contrastion from $u$ is; and in the nominative, accusative, and vocative plural from uss. Both, therefore, are long, of course.
the Greek, in which us represents the Greek ovs, whatever the case may be; as, Panchūs (חavOoũs),
 סoṽs), \&c.
Virg. Panthūs, Othryades, arcis Phcebique sacerdos.
Id. Est Amathūs, est celsa mihi Paphos, atque Cythera.
Id. Fatidice Mantūs et Tusci filius amnis.
Varro. Didūs atque suum misceri sanguine sanguen.
Oss. 1. Polypŭs has the final syllable short in Horace (Epod., 12, 5), which it gets, not from the common Greek form $\pi о \lambda v ́ \pi o v \varsigma$, but from the Doric $\pi \omega \lambda \nu \pi o \varsigma$, which will account also for the lengthening of the initial syllable. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 2. The sacred name IESUS (in Greek IHEOY is included in this exception, and has the final syllable long.

## SECTION XXIV.

## FINAL SYLLABLE OF A VERSE.

The final syllable of every verse (except the Anapæstic and Ionic a minore) may be either long or short, at the option of the poet ; that is, a long syllable may be used to close a verse, though the measure require one that is short ; or a short syllable may be used, though the measure require one that is long. Thus, in the first of the following lines, the long syllable ree is made to stand in place of a short; and, in the second, the short syllable que stands in lieu of a long.

Horat. Jam satis terris nivis atque dirce. (Sapphic.)
Virg. Nesæe, Spioque, Thaliaque, Cymodoceque.

1. Athenæus $(7,107)$ quotes the following line from Epicharmus, where the Doric form occurs : Пó $\lambda v \pi о i ́ \tau \varepsilon, \sigma \eta \pi i ́ a l \tau \varepsilon, \kappa a i ̀ \pi o \tau a \nu a i ~ \tau \varepsilon v-$



 Schwcigh.) So the Greeks used both Oidínous, -odos, and Oidimos, oor

Obs. 1. The principle on which the above rule depends is not that the syllable in question undergoes, any actual change of quantity, but simply, that, by reason of its position at the end of the line, and the interruption which the metre there sustains, the same strictness is not required as in other syllables differently situated; and hence the real quantity of the syllable becomes so comparatively unimportant, that the poet has the license of which we are treating allowed him. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. 2. The exceptions in the case of the Anapæstic and Ionic a minore measures will be explained when we come to treat of those two kinds of verse.

## SECTION XXV.

## REMARKS ON THE QUANTITY OF THE PENULT OF WORDS.

I. Patronymics in ides or ades usually shorten the penult; as, Priamides, Atlantiădes, \&c. Unless they come from nouns in eus; as, Pelides, Tydìdes, \&c. ${ }^{2}$

1. Compare the remarks of Hermann: "Quum in numeris tempora omnia certa esse ac definita debeant, facile intelligitur, in numeris ipsis nihil usquam posse anceps esse; itaque si que inveniuntur ancipites syllaba, i. e., que breves sint quum longa esse debeant, vel longa quum debeant breves esse, eas, quod ad numerum attinet, pro talibus numerari, quales debeant csse, etsi non sint tales. Id autem nemo non videt sic tantum fieri possc, si qui sint in numeris loci in quibus pravilas isla mensure nihil aut parum offensionis habeat. Hujus modi loci duo sunt. Unus est in Anacrusi ex una brcvi syllaba. Alter est in fine ordinis, ubi, quoniam nihil sequitur, quod terminum ponat certum, ac potius pausa quadam succedit, pariter delitescit mensure pravitas. Unde vel brevis syllaba longa locum tenere potest, vel longa pro brevi esse." (Herm., Elcm. Doctr., 1, 9.)
2. In a paper on "Greck patronymics" (published in the European Magazine for August, 1817), Dr. Carcy, in remarking on the patronymics 'Atpeiojns. Пŋ $\lambda \varepsilon i \delta \eta \varsigma$, \&c., and their corresponding Latin forms (which he writes with ei instead of the long $i$ alone, as), Atrcides, $P_{c}$ leides, observes, "I conceive, that wherever, in Greek or Latin poetry, we find one of those patronymics in such a position as to allow the alternative of one long syllable or two short, we are, if not bound, at least authorized, to pronounce the ci as two distinct syllables; thus producing, in each of the following instances, a dactyl instcad of the spondee, which results from the ordinary mode of pronunciation; ex. gr.,
II. Patronymics and similar words in äis, ëis, itis, öis, otis, ine, and one, commonly lengthen the penult; as, $\boldsymbol{A} c h a \vec{a}-$ ïs, Ptolemāis, Chrysē̄̀s, Enē̈̈s, Memphītis, Latōīs, Icariōtis, Nerine, Arisiōne. Except Thebăis and Phocăïs; and Nereïs, which is common.
III. Adjectives in acus, icus, idus, and imus for the most part shorten the penult; as, Agyptiăcus, academĭcus, lepidus, legitimus; also superlatives, as fortissimus, \&c. Except opācus, amīcus, aprīcus, pudīcus, mendicus, postīcus, $f \hat{\imath}-$ dus, infìdus (bat perfĩdus, of per and fides, is short), bimus, quadrimus, patrimus, matrimus, opïmus ; and two superlatives, ìmus, prīmus. .
IV. Adjectives in emus have the penult long; as, postrēmus.
V. Adjectives in alis, anus, arus, irus, ivus, orus, osus, $u d u s$, urus, and utus, lengthen the penult; as, dotālis, urbänus, avärus, detīrus, astīvus, decōrus, formōsus, percrūdus, edūrus, astūtus. Except barbărus, opipărus.
VI. Adjectives in ilis, if derived from verbs, shorten the penult; as, aǧlis, facillis, habĭlis, \&c. But derivatives from nouns usually lengthen it ; as, anìlis, civīlis, herìlis, \&c. To these add exilis, subtīlis; and names of months ; as, Aprilis, Quinctīlis, Sextūlis : except humülis, parilis, and also simŭlis. But all adjectives in atilis are short; as, versatĭlis, volatillis, umbratülis, \&c.
VII. Adjectives in inus, derived from inanimate things,
 Atrē̃das, Priamumque, ct scovum ambobus Achillem.

Thus also, instead of spondaic lines in the following instances (lliad, 2. 9 , and 17. 191),


we should have verses of the regular form, with the dactyl in the fifth place; and the same remark applies to $\Pi \eta \lambda \varepsilon i \omega v a$, which ofter occurs in the Iliad, and to various other patronymics, which it is not here necessary to enumerate."
as plants, trees, stones, \&c.; from adverbs of time, or from substantives denoting the four seasons of the year, shorten the penult ; as, amaracinus, crocinus, hyacinthïnus ; cedrïnus, fagïnus, oleaginus; adamantĭnus, crystallinus, smaragdinns; craslïnus, diutĭnus, serotïnus ; earĭnus, oporinus, chimerinus, therinns; also annotinus, hornotïnus. To which add bombycinus, clephantinus, which seem to refer rather to the silk and ivory than to the animals themselves.
VIII. Adjectives in inus, derjved from living things, numeral distributives, proper names, and gentile nouns, lengthen the penult; as, agnīnus, canīnus, leporinus ; bīnus, trīnus, quīnus; Albīnus, Cratīnus, Justīnus; Alexandrīnus, Latinus, Venusinus, \&c. To which add adjectives of place; as, colinus, marinus, vicinus; and those derived from nouns denoting time; as, matutīnus, vespertīnus; together with all other adjectives in inus not included in the preceding rule: as, festīnus, libertīnus, inopinus, peregrinus, supīnus, \&c.
IX. Diminutives in olus, ola, olum, and ulus, ula, ulum, always shorten the penult; as, urceölus, filiöla, muscōlum; lectūlus, ratiuncūla, corcŭlum, \&c.
X. Adverbs in tim lengthen the penult; as, oppidätim, virīìim, tribūtim. Except affătim, perpētim, and stătim. ${ }^{1}$
XI. Desideratives in urio shorten the antepenultima, which in the second or third person is the penult; as, es $\bar{u}-$ rio, esüris, esürit. But other verbs in urio lengthen that syllable; as, ligūrio, ligüris; scatūrio, scatūris.

1. But stätim, signifying "on the spot," "steadily," "constantly," has the penult long. It occurs in Plautus (Amph., 1, 1, $84 ; 16 ., 120$ ) and in Terence (Phorm., 5, 3, 7). It is said to be derived, in commona with stätim, from the same verb, sto.

## SECTION XXVI.

FIGURES OF PROSODY.
I. Cesūra. ${ }^{1}$

Parti.
I. The term Cæsūra is used in two different senses by prosodians; first, with reference to whole verses, and, secondly, as regards single feet.
II. In the former acceptation, it means the division of a verse into two portions or members, affording a short pause or rest for the voice in some convenient part, where that pause may take place without injury to the sense or to the harmony of the line; as,

## Virg. Tante molis erat \|Romanam condere gentem.

Id. Errabant acti fatis \|| maria omnia circum.
III. In this sense, however, it is usually, for distinction' sake, styled the Casural Pause, and is chiefly connected with the consideration of hexameter verse. It will there. fore be treated of more at large under that head.
IV. In its application to single feet, the cæsura means the division or separation which takes place in a foot, on account of the syllables which compose that foot belonging to different words ; as,
Virg. Pasto|res ovi|um tener|os de|pellere $\mid$ fotus.
In this verse the cæsura, in its second acceptation, occurs three times, viz., in the second foot, between res and ovi; in the third, between um and tener; and in the fourth, between os and $d e .{ }^{2}$
V. There are three kinds of cæsura in its second accep-

[^20]tation，namely，the Syllabic，the Trochaic，and the Mono－ syllabic．

VI．The Syllabic Casura is that in which the first part of the divided foot consists of the last syllable of a word； as，

Virg．${ }^{\text {S }}$ Slves｜trem tenu｜i mus｜am medı｜taris av｜ena．
Here the syllables trem（of sylvestrem），$i$（of tenui），and am （of musam），are instances of this species of cæsura．

The Syllabic Casura may take place in a heroic verse at what are technically called the triemimerris，penthemimĕ－ ris，hephthemimëris，and sometimes at the ennehemimerris．${ }^{1}$ Thus，

Virg．Si cani｜mus sylv｜⿰氵⿱亠⿱口小彡
Id．Ille la｜tus nive｜um moll｜i fult｜us hya｜cintho．
Here the cæsural syllables mus（of canimus）in the first line，and tus（of latus）in the second，are in the triemimé－ ris，or third half－foot of the line；the syllables（of sylvas） and $u m$（of niveum）are in the penthemimèris，or fifth half－ foot；the syllables $\mathscr{E}$（of sylva）and $i$（of molli）are in the hephthemimèris，or seventh half－foot；and the syllable us （of fultus）is in the ennehemiméris，or ninth half－foot．

VII．The Trochaic Casura is that in which the first part of the divided foot consists either of a long and short sylla． ble，or，in other words，a trochee（ $-\sim$ ），remaining at the end of a word，or of an entire word composed of one long and one short syllable ；as，

Virg．Fortu｜nātŭs et｜̄̄llē de｜os qui｜nōvĭt a｜grestes．
1．These terms owe their origin to the practice，generally adopted by the old grammarians，of measuring lines by half－feet．Thus，the triem－ iméris is that portion of a verse（counted or measured from the beginning of a line）which contains three half－parts（ $\tau р \varepsilon i_{\rho}, " t h r c e, " \dot{\eta} \mu l$ ，＂half，＂ and $\mu \varepsilon \rho i s$ ，＂a part＂），i．e．，three half－feet，or a foot and a half（two short syllables being counted as one long）．Again，the penthemimerris indi－ cates five half－parts（ $\pi \in ́ v \tau \varepsilon$ ，＂fivc，＂$\eta \mu \varepsilon$ ，and $\mu \varepsilon \rho i s$ ），or two feet and a half；the hephthemimĕris，seven half－parts（ $\varepsilon \pi \tau a$ ，＂seven，＂训，and $\mu \varepsilon$－ $\rho(\varsigma)$ ；and the enneheminēris nine half－parts（évvéa，＂nine，＂$\eta \mu \mu$ ，and uєpis）．

Here nātŭs in the second foot, ìllč in the third, and nōvĭt in the fifth, form each a trochee, and in each of these divisions of the line a trochaic casura takes place.

The Trochaic Cesura may occur in either of the first five feet of a verse; as,

Virg. Armă pro|cul curr|ūsquĕ vir|um mi|rātŭr in|anes.
Id. Talia | vōcĕ re|fort, o| tērquĕ qua|tērquĕ be|ati.
'Two successive trochees, however, in the second and third feet must be avoided, since they give the verse a flippant and undignified air. 'Thus,
Ennius. Ergo ma|gīsquĕ ma|gīsquĕ vir|i nunc |gloria |claret. Propert. Et gravi|ōră re|pēnd̄̆t in|iquis | pensa qua|sillis.
In the third and fourth they are nearly as disagreeable; ${ }^{1}$ as, Ennius. Prudent|em qui| mūltă $l o|q u \bar{v} v e ̆ ~ t a| c e r e v e ~ \mid ~ p o s s e t . ~$ But two successive trochees may agreeably occur in the first and second feet; as,

Virg. ब्erĕ ci|ēerĕ vir|os Mart|emque ac|cendere |cantu.
Or in the fourth and fifth; as, Virg. Et glau|cas salì|ces casi|āmquĕ cro|cūmquĕ ru|bentem.
VIII. The Monosyllabic Cosura is that in which the first syllable of the divided foot is a monosyllable ; as,
Virg. Hīc vir hic |ēst tibi|quèm pro|mitti | scpius | audis.
IX. Of the three kinds of cæsura which have been here enumerated, the syllabic seems to have been the principal one in Latin versification; and but few harmonious lines can be found in which it is not introduced. Next in metrical effect is the trochaic.

[^21]X. On the cæsura depend, in a very great degree, the beauty and melody of a verse. While its presence serves to give animation to the line, and, by connecting together the different words of which it is composed, imparts to it an air of gracefulness and ease, its absence, on the other hand, is marked by a total want of poetic harmony. A line in which the cæsura is either wholly omitted, or in a great degree neglected, has, in fact, little to distinguish it from common prose, and can only be admitted into Latin poetry on occasions in which harmony is purposely avoided, as in many of the neglected hexameters of Horace.
XI. The following lines may serve to show the uncouthness and inelegance attendant upon the neglect of the cæsura.
Ennius. Sparsis | hastis | late |campus | splendet et |horret.
Id. Disper $\mid$ ge hostes $\mid$ distrahe $\mid$ diduc $\mid$ divide $\mid$ differ. Propert. Non me $\mid$ moribus |illa sed $\mid$ herbis $\mid$ improba $\mid$ vicit.
XII. A cæsura, however, is not indispensably requisite in every foot of a verse. Although the most melodious lines are certainly those in which the cæsura is frequently introduced, still a long uninterrupted series of them would have any other than a pleasing effect; and therefore it becomes advisable occasionally to omit the cæsura in one or more of the feet, and in this way to produce an agreeable variety. -'The following rules have been laid down on this subject, and deserve attention.

1. In the first foot of a verse the cæsura may generally be omitted ; as,

Virg. Pauperis | et tugur|i con|gestum | cespite | culmen.
2. In the second foot the cæsura is often omitted; but, when this omission takes place, the word which begins the foot is generally of sufficient length to com
plete it, and leave a cæsural syllable in the next foot ; ${ }^{\text {? }}$ as,
Virg. Squamea |convolv|ens sub|lato $\mid$ pectore $\mid$ terga.
3. The cæsura is not so frequently omitted at the penthemimeris as it is in the other feet; and when it is omitted in the third it always occurs in the fourth, and generally in the second foot. When this omission of the cæsura at the penthemimeris takes place, the third foot generally consists of the first two or three syllables of a word, which is finished in the next foot; as, Virg. Jussa mor|ique | sorti|tus non $\mid$ pertulit $\mid$ ullos.
4. In the fourth foot the cæsura is not necessary, if there be one at the penthemimeris; as,
Virg. Pinguis et $\mid$ ingra $\mid$ to preme|rctur | caseus $\mid$ urbi.
5. The syllabic and monosyllabic cæsuras are seldom introduced after the fourth foot, but the trochaic often occurs at the ennehemimeris, and in most instances is conducive to the harmony of the line; as,
Virg. Sape le|vi somn|um sua|debit in|īrĕ su|surro.
Id. Hinc al|ta sub | rupe can|et frond|ātör ad |auras.
6. When there is but one cæsura in a verse, it is generally in the third foot, sometimes in the fourth, but never in the second; as,
Virg. Quem mea $\mid$ carmini $\mid b u s$ merulisset $\mid$ fistula $\mid$ caprum
7. In a pentameter verse, a syllabic cæsura generally

[^22]takes place at the penthemimeris, and a trochaic in the foot preceding the final syllable in the second hemistich or half-verse; as,
Ovid. Nec quere|rer tard|os \|| ire re|licta diles.
Id. Nil mihi | rescrib|as, \|| attamen | ipse ve|nn.
8. There is sometimes a monosyllabic cæsura at the penthemimeris of a pentameter, when the preceding word is a monosyllable ; as,
Ovid. Magna tam|en spes | est \|| in bonit|ate de|i.
9. The trochaic cæsura is sometimes neglected in the foot preceding the final syllable of a pentameter, and the verse is concluded by a word of four or more syllables; as,
Ovid. Lis est | cum form|a \| magna pu|diciti|a.

## Cestūra.

## Part II.

I. A syllable naturally short is occasionally lengthened when it is cæsural. ${ }^{1}$ This, however, takes place chiefly in hexameter verse, and more sparingly in elegiac and lyric versification. Thus, in hexameters we have, among other instances, the following :
Virg. Pectori|būs inhi|ans spirlantia |consulit | exta. Id. Emicat $\mid$ Euryallūs et $\mid$ muncre $\mid$ victor a mici. Horat. Cum gravi]us dors $|o ~ s u b i| i t ~ o n u s . ~|~ I n c i p i t ~| ~ i l l e . ~$
Virg. Graius hom|o infect|os linq|uens profu|güs hymen|eos.

1. In ordinary language we ascribe this to the force of the casura; but the true principle on which the rule depends is simply this; that the pressure of the voice is exerted on the syllables in question, and produces the same effect as if they were pronounced with double length. The stress of the voice in dactylic versification always falls, it must be remembered, on the first part of the foot. This part is called the arsis ( $\quad$ a ots), or "elevation," the tone of the voice being here somewhat elevated; while the remaining portion is termed the thesis ( $\vartheta$ éots), or "depression," the voice being in this part of the foot comparatively depress-ed.-(Compare the remarks of Maltby on the Itius Metricus, ch. 3, p. xii.-Lex. Pros.)

In the second line of the elegiac distich we have the following :
Tibull. Quicquid ag|at sang|uīs || est tamen | ille tujus. Propert. Vinceris | aut vin|cīs \|| hac in am|ore rot|a est. Ovid. In liquid|xm redi $|\bar{i} t| \mid$ 'rthera | Martis eq|uis.
In lyrics the following occur :
Horat. Si non | peri|rēt \|immisera|bilis.
Id. Caca tim|ēt ali|unde |fata.
Id. Perru|pīt Acheront|a Herculeus | labor.
Id. Si filgit adumant|inos.
Id. Angu|lus rildēt ubi|non Hym|etto.
II. Instances even occur in which, on account of the influence of the cæsura (to adopt the common phraseology), the final $m$ remains unelided before a vowel in the beginning of the word following, and forms, with its own preceding vowel, a long syllable. The following are among the number:
Propert. O me $\mid$ feli $|c \bar{c} m!~ O| n o x ~ m i h i \mid$ candida et $\mid O$ tu. Tibull. Et tant|um vene|ratur vir $\mid \bar{u} m$ hunc $\mid$ sedula $\mid$ curet. Luc. Scit non | esse cas $\mid \bar{a} m$. $\mathrm{O} \mid$ vite $\mid$ tuta fa|cultas. Manil. Emerit $\mid$ s ccel|ūm et $\mid$ Claudia $\mid$ magna pro $\mid$ pago.

1I. Elision.

Elision is the cutting off of the final vowel or diphthong, or of the two final letters, of a word, and is divided into Synalopha and Ecthlipsis.

## Synalgepha.

I. Synalœpha is the elision of a vowel or diphthong at the end of a word, when the following word begins with a vowel, a diphthong, or the letter $h ;{ }^{1}$ as,

[^23]Virg. Conticucre omnes, intentique ora tenebant.
Id. Dardanidce e muris : spes addita suscilat iras.
Id. Sœuus ubi Alacide telo jacet Hector, ubi ingens.
Id. Humida solstitio atque hyemes orate serenas.
Which lines, in scanning, are read as follows :
Conticuer' omnes, intentiqu' ora lenebant.
Dardanid' e muris : spes addita suscitat iras. Savus ub' AEacide telo jacet Hector, ub' ingens. Humida solstiti' atqu' yemes orate serenas.
This, however, is done only in scanning, and not in writing, nor in the most approved mode of pronouncing a verse. In the two latter cases, the lines are always written, and generally pronounced, without any elision. ${ }^{1}$
II. Synalœpha affects not only a single syllable, but also two syllables sounded as one by synæresis; as,

Virg. Stellio; et lucifugis congesta cubilia blattis.
Catull. Et earum omnia adirem furibunda latibula. Gal.
Here, in the first line, stellio et is pronounced stell yet; and, in the second, omnia adirem becomes omn yadirem.
III. In addition to the elisions already mentioned, the earlier Latin poets were in the habit of frequently eliding the letter $s$, in words ending in ${ }_{\imath} s$ and $\breve{u} s$, when followed by a word beginning with a consonant, and thus permitting the vowel, which would otherwise have been long by position, to remain short ; ${ }^{2}$ thus,
ot申aí "coēuntes litera" $(9,4,36)$.-The word Synalapha is from the Greek ovvah.ot市, and, according to its etymology, refers, not so much to tho elision of one vowel before another, asuto the blending of two vowcls or syllables into oue. On this account, some have considered tho term Synalapha, as commonly used, an improper one, and rccommend that, instead of Synalapha and Ecthlipsis, the general term Elision be substituted.

1. The best opinion with regard to the ancient mode of pronouncing Latin verse is this, that much of the apparent harshness of elisions was removed, by giving the elided syllable so slight and imperfect a sound that it could hardly be distinguished, and, consequently, interrupted but little the measure of the verse.
2. Ennius, Lucilius, Lucretius, and the comic writers furnish the most

Enn. Te nunc sancta precor Venus, et genetrix patrì(s) nostri.
Id. Versibŭ(s) quos olim Fauni Vatesque canebant.
Lucret. Ut quasi transactis sœpe omnibŭ(s) rebŭ(s) profundant.
Catull. At fixus nostris tu dabi(s) supplicium. (Pentam.)
IV. We may notice here a peculiar species of elision or abbreviation not unfrequently employed in short, quick questions, by which the vowel is dropped in the interrogative particle ne before a consonant ;' thus,
Catull. Ten' provincia narrat esse bellan? (Phalæcian.) Propert. Vidistin' toto sonitus procurrere colo?
Virg. Hectoris Andromache Pyrrhin' connubia servas? Horat. Men' moveat cimex Pantilius? aut cruciet quod.
V. It has already been remarked, under the rules for final $n$, that in such forms as vidJू' $u t$, the $s$ is dropped before $n$, and the vowel shortened. Both this and the last-mentioned abbreviation are combined in viden' (for videsne) and vin' $t u$ (for visne tu).
Catull. Nostra verba. Viden'? faces.
Horat. Tempore dicam; hodie tricesima sabbata; vin' tu.
These expressions, as might be expected, are very common in the comic writers. ${ }^{1}$

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. Synalœpha never takes place in the words $\boldsymbol{O}$,

[^24]$h e u, a h, a i, i o, p r o h, v a, v a h, h e i$, and the like interjections, which sustain the voice, and retard the prorsunciation, on account of the feeling or passion which they express ; as,
Virg. O pater, | $\bar{o}$ homin|um div|ûmque a $\mid$ terna pot $\mid$ estas.
Id. $\quad H \overline{e u} u b i \mid$ pacta fid $\mid e s$ ? ubi $\mid$ qua jur|are sol|ebas.
Tibull. Àh ego | ne poss $\mid$ im $|\mid$ tanta vid|ere mal|a. (Pent.)
Ovid. Ipse su|os gemit|us foli|is in|scribit et $\mid \overline{a \imath}$ ai.
Id. Et bis $i \mid \bar{o}$ Areth $|u s \bar{a} a| \bar{o}$ Areth $\mid u s a$ voc|avit.
Exc. 2. Elision is sometimes apparently neglected in the case of a long vowel or diphthong; and this generally happens when the unelided vowel or diphthong is in the cæsural syllable. Hence arise the following rules:
First. When a long rowel or diphthong occurs in a cæsural syllable, and the word that follows begins with either a vowel or diphthong, then the long vowel or diphthong sometimes apparently remains unelided; but, in fact, the long vowel or diphthong parts, by means of elision, with one of its two short component vowels, and the remaining short vowel, being in the cæsura, is lengthened again by the stress of the voice.
Secondly. When a long vowel or diphthong occurs, not in a cosural syllable, and the word that follows begins with a vowel or diphthong, then the long vowel or diphthong sometimes apparently remains unelided ; but, in fact, the long vowel or diphthong parts, by means of elision, with one of its two short component vowels, and the remaining short vowel, not being in the cæsura continues short.

## ILLUSTRATIONS OF EXCEPTION SECOND．

## Rule First．

Virg．Et succ｜us pecor $\mid \bar{\imath}$ ，et $\mid$ lac sub｜ducitur｜agnis
Id． Stant et $|j u n i p e r| \bar{z}$ et $\mid$ castane $\mid \overline{\operatorname{c}}$ hirs $\mid u t e$.
Id．$\quad$ Ter sunt $\mid$ cona $\mid \bar{t}$ im $\mid$ ponere $\mid$ Peliö $\mid$ Ossam．${ }^{1}$
Id．Amphi｜ọ Dir｜cॄus in $\mid$ Acte $\mid \bar{o}$ Ara｜cyntho．
Ovid．Et celer｜Ismen｜us cum｜Phocaï｜cō Erymןantho．
Rule Second．
Catnill．Uno in｜lectulŏ｜erud｜itul｜i ambo．（Phalæcian．） Virg．Credimus？｜an quĭ am｜ant ips $\mid i$ sibi $\mid$ somnia $\mid$ fin－ gunt？
Id．Nomen et $\mid$ arma loc｜um serv｜ant të am｜ice neq｜uivi．
Id．Et long｜um form｜ose val｜ē valĕ｜inquit I｜ola．
Id．Insul厄्巴｜Ioni｜o in magn｜o quas｜dira Cel｜eno．
Id．$\quad$ Te Coryd｜on ŏ $\operatorname{Al|} \mid$ exi trah｜ $\mid$ it sua｜quemque vol｜uptas． Horat．Et Esq｜uili｜n๔̆థ allites．（Iambic．）

Obs．The only exception in Virgil to the remarks con－ 29 tained in the preceding paragraph，occurs in the following line ：
Glaucō｜et Pano｜pece et｜Ino｜o Meli｜certce．（Georg．，1，437．）
Here the $o$ in Glauco is not elided，but is allowed to remain long，though not in cæsura．The line，however，in all probability，contains a false reading，and for Glauco we should substitute Glaucoque．${ }^{2}$

[^25]$7 / 4-$ Exc. 3. Very rarely is a short vowel left unclided. This happens only twice in Virgil, and in both cases there is a pause after the word ending with the short vowel, so that in repeating the line the effect would not bo disagreeable. ${ }^{1}$ Thus,
Addam | cereal | prună: hon| os crit | humic quoque | poo. (Eccl., 2, 53.)
Et ve|ra incess|u patu|it Deă. | Ale ubi|matrem. (ANn., 1, 405.)

Obs. For some remarks on elision at the end of a verse, when the next verse begins with a vowel or diphthong, consult the article Synapheia.

Ecthlipsis. ${ }^{2}$
I. Ecthlipsis is the eliding of the consonant $m$ at the end of a word, when the following word begins with a vowel or the letter $h$; and after the $m$ is removed by eth lipsis, a synalœpha immediately operates, and cuts off the vowel which preceded the $m$. Thus,

Caul. Omnia tecum una perierunt gaudia nostra.
Id. Sternum hoc santa foedus amicitic. (Pent.)
In scanning which lines we must read them as follows:
Omnia tec' una perierunt gaudia nostra.
Extern' hoc sancte foedus amicitic.

[^26]II. Ecthlipsis sometimes, by the aid of Synapheia, strikes out a syllable at the end of a line, when the next line begins with a vowel, and no long pause intervenes; as,

> Virg. Jamque iter emensi, turres ac tecta Latino|rum Ardua cernebant juvenes, murosque subibant.

H re the second line is to be read $r^{\prime} \boldsymbol{A} r d u a$ cernebant, \&c., the last syllable of Latinorum being cut off from the first verse and prefixed to the second.

## EXCEPTIONS.

Exc. 1. The elision of $m$ is sometimes neglected, especially by the older poets, and in this case the syllable ending in $m$, when not a cæsural one, is short ; ${ }^{1}$ as,
Lucret. Nam quod fluvidŭm est, e levibus atque rotundis.
Id. Sed dŭm abest quod avemus, id exsuperare videtur.
Exc. 2. In some instances the cæsura operates to preserve the final $m$ unelided, in which case the syllable thus saved from elision becomes long; as, Propert. O me felicēm! O nox mihi candida! et O tu.

Before quitting the subject of elision, it may not be amiss to add the following rules, which regulate its use.

1. A verse in which there are more than two elisions, is most commonly deficient in harmony ; as, for example, the following pentameter line :
Catull. Quam modo qui me unum atque unicum amicum habuit.
2. Elisions may generally be intreduced into a verse without diminishing its harmony, when the final vowel of a word is the same as that which begins the next word, and when the elided vowel is either naturally short, or is followed by a long syllable; as,
3. This and the succeeding exception have been partially anticipated in the remarks relative to final $m$.
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Virg. Ipse ego cana legam tenera lanugine mala.
Id. Tum casia atque aliis intexcns suavibus herbis.
3. An elision has seldom a good effect, either when it occurs in the first syllable of a verse, or in the end of the fifth foot of an hexameter, or immediately after the penthemimeris in a pentameter, or in a word ending with a long vowel or a diphthong before a word beginning with a short vowel ; as,
Horat. Nam ut ferula cadas meritum majora subire.
Juv.' Loripedem rectus derideat Æthiopem Albus. Catull. Troja nefas! commune sepulcrum Europæ Asiæque. Id. Me misero eripuisti omnia nostra bona. (Pentam.)
III. Synderesis.
I. Synæresis is the contraction of two syllables into.one, and is resorted to by the poets in order to make a word admissible into hexameter or other verse, which could not otherwise be introduced without some degree of inconvenience or dissonance, or perhaps not at all.
II. Hence we have, among others, the following instances of this species of poetic license.

1. contraction of $\overline{e a}$.

| aurēā is pronounced as aurā. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cerēāa | " | " | cerā. |
| alvēāria | " | " | alväria. |
| respondēāmus | " | " | respondyamus (4 syllables)." |
| antēāctos | " | " | ant $\overline{y a}$ ctos (3 syllables). ${ }^{2}$ |
| antēāmbulo | " | " | antyambulo (4 syllables). |
| antēhāc | " | " | ant $\bar{y} a c(2$ syllables). |

Virg. Aurea composuit sponda, mediamque locavit.

1. The $y$ is to be pronounced here like the same letter when it stands at the beginning of an English word.
2. This word and the two that follow may otherwise be pronounced ant'actos, ant'ambulo, ant'ac, and the rowel $\varepsilon$ may be supposed to have been actually elided before the one that succeeds.

Horat. Abdiderant furtim terris, et imagine cerea.
Virg. Seu lento fuerint alvearia vimine texta.
Lucret. Quid respondeamus nisi justam intendere litem.
Tibull. Cum memor anteactos semper dolor admonet annos.
Mart. Anteambulones et logatulos inter. (Scazon.)
Horat. Antehac nefas depromere Cecubum. (Alcaic.)


Virg. Centum ærei claudunt vectes aternaque ferri.
Lucret. Nec nebulam noctu, neque aranei tenuia fila.
Virg. Exanimem, rapiens immania pondera baltei.
Id. Ferreique Eumenidum thalami et Discordia demens.
I, Atria, dependent lychni laquearibus aureis.
Id. Qui candore nives anteirent cursibus auras.
Horat. Te semper anteit sava necessitas. (Alcaic.)
3. Contraction of eo.
alvēō is pronounced as alvō.
aurēō "
Typhoéo

Virg. Deturbat, laxatque foros, simul accipit alveo.
Id. Vulcanum alloquitur, thalamoque hac conjugis aureo
Id. Inarime Jovis imperiis imposta Typhöeo. ${ }^{1}$

[^27]4. contraction of ia.
vindernāātor is pronounced as vindemyãtor (4 syllables). ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Formāāno " ". Formȳuno (3 syllables)

Horat. Vindemiator, et invictus, cui sepe viator. Catull. Formiano saltu non falso Mentula dives.


Virg. Connubiis arvisque novis operata juventus.
Mart. Denariis tibi quinque Martialem. (Phalæcian.)
Virg. Pæoniis revocatum herbis et amore Dianc. ${ }^{2}$
Id. Puniceis ibant evincti tempora tæniis.

## 6. contraction in io.

 connubī̄ is pronounced as connub $\overline{y o}$ ( 3 syllables). Idomenīōs " " Idomenyos (4 syllables).Virg. Connubio jungam stabili propriamque dicabo.
Catull. Idomeniosne petam montes? at gurgite in alto.
7. contraction in iu.
$f$-omontōrium is pronounced as promontoryum (4 syllables).


1. The $y$, as has already been remarked, is to be here pronounced like the same letter at the heginning of an English word.
2. In scanning this line some erroneously make Pconi a dactyl. The second syllable, on the contrary, is long, the corresponding Greek form being Hatúvloç. Such a form as Paöniis could only refer to the Pxonians (ITaiovas) of Thrace. (Consult Heyne ad Virg., En., 7, 779, not. crit.)
3. Genitives in ium are frequently written without inserting the i,

Ovid. Inde legit Capreas promontoriumque Minerve.
Id. Et telhus Circca, et spissi litoris Antium.
Lucret. Inbecillorum esse equum misererier omnium.
Ovid. Cum tua sint cedantqué tibi confinia mensium.
Virg. Exclusi, ante oculos lacrymantiumque ora parentum.
8. CONTRACTION IN 00. coăluerint is pronounced as cōluerint. $\begin{array}{rlll}\begin{array}{c}\text { cŏŏperiant } \\ \text { cŏŏperuisse }\end{array} & \text { " } & \text { cōperiant. } \\ \text { cōperuisse. }\end{array}$

Lucret. Tandem coolverint ea que conjecta repente.
Id. Cooperiant maria ac terras immensa superna.
Id. Per terras omneis atque oppida cooperuisse.


Lucret. Talibus in rebus communi deesse saluti.
Virg. Deest jam terra fuge: pelagus Trojamne petamus Id. Divitis uber agri Trojave opulentia deerit.
Lucret. Deerrarunt passim motus ab sensibus omnes.
Virg. Vir gregis ipse caput deerraverat, atque ego Daphnin.
II. Synæresis may sometimes be referred to synalæpha, or, in other words, the first vowel in several instances may rather be considered as elided, than as uniting with the fol-

[^28]lowing one to form one syllable. Thus many words compounded with semi followed by a vowel, or by the letter $h$ with a vowel, such as semianimis; semianimus, semiadaper. tus, semihians, semihomo, semiustus, \&c., lose by elision the final vowel of semi, and are to be pronounced in verse, sem'animis, sem'animus, sem'adapertus, sem'hians, sem'homo, sem'ustus, \&c.'
Virg. Semianimesque micant digiti ferrumque retractant. Lucret. Languida semianimo cum corpore membra videres. Ovid. Obliquum capiat semiadaperta latus. (Pentam.) Catull. Semihiante labello. (Choriambic.) Virg. Semihominis Caci facies quam dira tenebat. Id. Fama est Enceladi semiustum fulmine corpus.
III. Words which contain an $k$ between two vowels occasionally drop the $h$, and contract the two vowels into one syllable; thus, we have vëhëmens, vëhĕmenti, vĕhĕmenter, vĕhëmentius, and also vèmens, vèmenti, vèmenter; so prehensi and prensi, dĕhinc and dḕnc, nĭhĭlum and nīlum, mĭhĭ and $m \bar{\imath}$, prŏhйbeat, pronounced prōbeat (three syllables), \&c. ${ }^{2}$
Virg. Transit equum cursu, frenisque adversa prëhensis.
Id. Ingentes tollent animos, prensique negabunt.
Id. Cervici subnectĕ dehinc ubi libera colla.
Id. Eurum ad se Zephyrumque vocat, dehinc talia fatur. Lucret. Nam sive est aliquid quod prohibeat efficiatque.
IV. More violent than these are ostrē, $\overline{e a} d c m, \bar{e} d e m$, ē̄$d e m, \overline{e o s} d e m, ~ t o r r e \bar{a} t, ~ d \overline{e o r s u m, ~ s \overline{e o r s u m, ~ s e ̄ r s u s, ~ o m n \bar{a}} \text {, }}$ v $\bar{e} t i s, \boldsymbol{G} a l \bar{u} \bar{\imath}$, or $\bar{u} n d i$, patr $\bar{u}$, flùtant, pronounced as dissyllables; precant $\bar{\imath}, \overline{\imath a} z y g e s, d \overline{u e} l l i c a$, prāoptarit, as trisyllables ; prout, a monosyllable; all of which might be, and most of them often are, employed without being contracted. ${ }^{3}$

[^29]Horat. Sudando, pinguem vitiis albumque neque os'rea.
Virg. Una eademque via sanguis animusque sequıntur.
Propert. Hoc eodem ferro stillet uterque cruor. (Pentam.)
Lucret. Uvescunt, eædem dispansa in sole liquescunt.
Id. Eosdem habuit secum quibus, est elata capillos.
Id. Quod sitis exurat miseros atque arida torreat.
Id. Pondera quantum in se est deorsum deducere pugnent.
Id. At neque seorsum oculei, neque nareis, nec manus $i p s a$.
Id. Seorsus item sapor oris habet vim, seorsus odores
Virg. Bis patrice cecidere manus; quin protenus omnia.
Horat. Qui sudor vietis, et quam malus undique membris.
Propert. Et qui nunc nulli, maxima turba Gabii. (Pentam.)
Lucret. Denique ccelesti sumus omnes semine oriundi.
Stat. Nocturnique canum gemitus, ubi lumina patrui.
Lucret. Per malos volgata trabeisque trementia fluitant.
Virg. Praferimus manibus vittas et verba precantia.
Ovid. Iazyges et Celchi, Metereaque turba Getæque.
Lucret. Lanigere pecudes, et equorum duellica proles.
Catull. Omnibus his Thesei dulcem præoptarit amorem.
Horat. Pasco libatis dapibus. Prout cuique libido est.
V. I is sometimes considered as a consonant, and in these cases had, it is probable, the sound of the English $y$
 bus, were pronounced in dactylic verse as ābyĕlĕ, $\bar{a} b y e \check{c}$ ĕzbus, $\bar{a} r y$ ĕtĕ, pāryĕtïbus, the first syllable being considered long by position. ${ }^{1}$

Virg. Adificant, sectaque intexunt abiete costas.
Id. Abietibus juvenes patriis et mintibus aquos.
Id. Custodes sufferre valent. La lat ariete crebro.
Id. Harent parietibus scala, postesque sub ipsos.
VI. Upon the same principle, although withont the plea

1. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 131.
of absolute necessity, we find füviorum in a passage of Virgil (Georg., 1, 482), where it must be pronounced flùvyorum; and Nasǐdǐeni in Horace (Sat., 2, 8, 1), which must be pronounced Nasidyeni. In Propertius likewise, we have ăbücgni $(4,1,42)$, to be pronounced äbyegni, and abiegne ( $3,19,12$ ), to be pronounced äbyegne.

Virg. Fluviorum rex Eridanus, camposque per omnes.
Horat. Ut Nasidieni juvit te ccena beati? ?
Propert. L®eserat abiegni venter apertus equi. (Pent.)
Id. Induit abiegnæ cornua falsa bovis. (Pent.)
VII. In the same way the poets took advantage of the double power of $u$, and made it a consonant in words where such a change was necessary or convenient. In this way tēnŭı̆̆, tēnŭŭus, tĕnŭйs, těnŭŭ, tĕnŭem, tĕnŭi, těnŭēs, gènŭă, cūrrŭum, förtūītus, pītŭĭtă, become tenvia, tenvius, tenvis, \&c., genva, currvum, fortvitus, pitvita, \&c. ${ }^{2}$
Virg. Velleraque ut foliis depectant tenuia Seres.
Lucret. Qua neque mobilius quidquam neque tenuius exstat.
Virg. Tenuis ubi argilla et dumosis calculus arvis.
Id. Genua labant, vastos quatit ager anheiitus artus.
Id. Per campos pascuntur equi; que gratia curruum.
Juv. Non quasi fortuitus, sed ventorum rabie, sed.
Horat. Prœcipue sanus, nisi quum pituita molesta est.
Horat. Nec fortūîtum spernere cespitem. (Alcaic.) ${ }^{3}$
Catull. Mucusque et mala pītūītă nasi. (Phalæcian.)

1. Näsŭūene, without contraction, occurs in Martial (7,8): "Axt rigila aut dormi, Näsidiene tibi." (Pentam.)
2. By combining the processes descrited in this and paragraph V., ç̆nüīöre is pronounced tēnv-yörc. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 132.)
3. Förtüìlus, without contraction, occurs also in Phædrus (2,4,4). On the other band, in Manilius $(1,182)$ we have fortvitus.

## IV. Dieresis. ${ }^{1}$

I. Diarĕsis is defined to be the division of one syllablo into two; as, auräï for aure, süādent for sūadent, milüus for milvus, sylŭa for sylva, solŭo for solvo, \&c.
Virg. Ethereum sensum, atque auraï simplicis ignem. Lucret. Atque alios alii irrident, Veneremque suädent. Phædr. Columber sape quum fugissent miluüm. (Iambic.)
Horat. Nunc mare, nunc syluæ. (Dact. Trim. Cat.) Tibull. Nulla queat pesthac nos soluïsse dies. (Pentam.)
II. In strictness, however, no such figure as diæresis exists, nor did the poets ever assume the power of stretching out words, although they sometimes contracted them. 'Ihe examples usually quoted of this so-called figure, including those given under the preceding paragraph, are in reality archaisms, or antiquated forms of expression, which were adopted by the poets for the sake of convenience or ornament.
III. As the Ionic dizlect in Greek frequently resolves the diphthongs $\varepsilon \iota$ and $\eta$ into $\eta \ddot{\eta}$, the Roman poets occasionally availed themselves of that license in, words of Greek origin, written in the latter language with either of those diphthongs ; as,
Ovid. Flebilis indignos, Elegē̆̃a solve capillos.
Stat. Quas inter vultu petulans elegēa propinquat.
Ovid. Invocat, Hippomenes, Cytherēĭa, comprecor ausis.
Virg. Parce metu Cytherē̄a ; manent immota tuorum.
Ovid. Quid quod avus nobis idem Pelopēīus Atreus.
Lucan. Eumenidum vidit vultus Pelopēus Orestes.
Propert. Plēīadum spisso cur coït imbre chorus. (Pent.)
Ovid. . Plē̄ades incipiunt humeros relevare paternos.
Id. Plēıas enixa est, letoque det imperat Argum.
Virg. Pleias, et Oceani spretas pede repulit amnes.

1. Ataiperis, "a separation," "a taking or drawing asunder," from suá and cipéco.
 us; Thrē̃cius, Thrēcius, and Thrācius; Pegasēius and Pegasĕus, \&c.

## V. Prosthesis. ${ }^{1}$ - Apheresis. ${ }^{2}$

I. Prosthĕsis is defined to be the addition of a letter or syllable to the beginning of a word; as, gnatus for natus, gnavus for navus, \&c.
II. In strictness, however, no such figure as prosthesis exists. On the contrary, the forms which are cited as instances of it are in reality archaisms, or antiquated modes of expression.
III. Apharersis is defined to be the cutting off of the first letter or syllable of a word; as, maragdus for smaragdus, conia for cicunia.
IV. Aphæresis is, in truth, as imaginary a figure as prosthesis. The forms usually cited as instances of it are either archaissms or colloquial shortenings.

## VI. Syncope. ${ }^{3}$-Epenthesis. ${ }^{4}$

I. Syncŏpe is defined to be the dropping of a letter or syllable out of the body of a word; as, aspris for asperis, caldior for calidior, circlos for circulos, oraclum for oraculum. periclum for periculum, \&c.

Virg. Improvisum aspris veluti qui sentibus anguem.
Horat. Caldior est? acres inter numeretur. Opinor.
Virg. Ac primum laxos tenui de vimine circlos.
Catull. Oraclum Jovis inter astuosi. (Phalæcian.)
Virg. Vota metu duplicant matres, propusque periclis.
II. We must not imagine, however, that forms such as

1. Прórөerıs, "addition," from $\pi$ ро́s and тîضuц.
2. 'Aфаípeбţ, "a taking away," from ċтó and alpéw.
3. $\Sigma v \gamma \kappa o ́ \pi \eta$, "a cutting short or to pieces," from oviv and кóттн.
4. 'E $\pi \varepsilon \nu \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$, "an insertion," from $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i, \varepsilon \nu$, and $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$.
these were all peculiar to the poets, or that syncope was a figure the use of which was confined to this class of writers. Many of these shortened forms, on the contrary, occur alṣo in prose. Thus, for example, vincla is found in Cicero (Ep. ad Fam., 16, 18).. Quintilian (1, 6) says that calfacit was more common in his time than calefacit; while from the contracted periclum we have the verb periclitor, and so on.
III. The words most frequently contracted by syncope are the præter tenses of verbs; as, scripsti for scripsisti, dixti for dixisti, promisse for promisisse, illuxe for illuxisse: the participles of compound verbs; as, repostum for repositurn: genitives plural; as, deûm for deorum, amantum foramantium; and words which have a $u$ in the penult before the consonant $l$; as, vinclum for vinculum, \&c.
IV. Epenthŏsis is defined to be the insertion of a letter or syllable into the body of a word; as, pluvi, fuvi, annuvi, genuvi, which is done, according to the grammarians, in order to lengthen the short $u$ of plui, fui, annui, genui. All these so-called instances of epenthesis occur in Ennius.
V. Epenthesis is, however, an imaginary figure, like those of which we have already made mention. "If we study," observes Ramsay, "the etymological formation of the Latin verb, we soon discover, that, in a very large class, the perfect tense is distinguished by the addition of $v$ to what Bopp calls the crude form; we shall ilso readily perceive that the $v$ is frequently dropped, as in amasti, amarunt, audieram, \&c. We shall then have no difficulty in recognising the true old perfects of pluo, fud, \&c., in pluvi, fuvi, \&c.; and, as might be expected, they are found in the very earliest specimens of the language, and scarcely, if ever, appear after the time of Plautus. It would be just as reasonable to assert.that a $v$ had been inserted in audiveram or $a b i v i$, in order to lengthen the short $i$ of audii and $a b i i$, as to advance the same proposition with regard to pluvi, fuvi,
and the rest. Moreover, we have the express testimony of Priscian, that preterites in $u i$ had the $u$ long in the oldest writers, especially those derived from the present in $u o$; as, erun, erū $i$; arguo, argūi ; unnuo, annūi; and he quotes from Ennius, 'Annūit sese mecum decernere ferro;' in all of which it is clear that the long quantity of the $u$ pointed out the recent disappearance of the $v . "$

## VII. Apocope. ${ }^{2}$-Paragoge. ${ }^{3}$

I. Apocŏpe is defined to be the omission of the final vowel or syllable of a word; as, men' for mene.
II. In such instances as the one just cited, where a quick colloquial interrogation occurs, the figure may be allowed to stand. In other cases, however, its existence is purely imaginary; as, for example, in the following line from Lucretius:

Disjectare solet magnum mare transtra, guberna, where guberna is said to be by apocope for gubernacula.
III. Paragōge is defined to be the addition of a letter or syllable to the end of a word; as, amarier for amari, docerier for doceri, legier for legi.
IV. Paragoge is also, in strictness, an imaginary figure, and amarier, doccrier, legier, \&c., are merely archaisms, or antiquated modes of expression, mistaken for a poetical or grammatical figure.

## Vili. 'Tmesis. ${ }^{4}$

I. Tmesis is defined to be the separation of a word into two parts, for the purpose of inserting another word between them; as,

1. Lat. Pros., p. 160.-Compare Voss., de Art. Gramm., 2, 13.
2. 'Атоко́т ${ }^{\text {, " a cutting off," from áró and ко́ттш. }}$
3. Mapay $\omega \gamma$ ฑ, "a leading or bringing beside," from mapá and $\ddot{a} \gamma \omega$. In military phrascology, "the extending a line of troops beyond the flank, and presenting a new line or front."
4. T $\boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota$, " a cutting," from $\tau \hat{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \omega$, "to cut."

Virg. Talis Hyperboreo Septem subjecta trioni. Lucret. Languidior porro disjectis disque supatis. Id. Catera de genere hoc, inter quequomque pretantur. Id. Conlaxat, rareque facit lateramina vasi.
II. Here the words septemtrioni, dissipatis, interpretantur, and rarefacit, are supposed to be divided by the figure tmesis. The truth appears to be, however, that, in the earlier forms of the language, many words which were used separately were in process of time compounded together; and hence, just as we should expect, these separations are much more frequent in Lucretius and the older writers than in those who succeeded them. ${ }^{1}$

## IX. Antithesis. ${ }^{2}$-Metathesis. ${ }^{3}$

1. Antithĕsis is defined to be the putting of one letter for another; as, olli for illi, faciundum for factendum.
II. Antithesis is, in fact, no figure at all. The forms commonly assigned as instances of it, are merely old modes of spelling words, which are found under this shape on many monuments, some of them of a late date, and certainly many of them not antiquated even in the age of Augustus. ${ }^{4}$
III. Metathĕsis is defined to be a transposition of letters; as, Evandre for Evander, Thymbre for Thymber, corcodilus for crocodilus.
Virg. Hac mea magna fides? At non Evandre pudendis. Id. Nam tibi, Thymbre, caput Evandrius abstulit ensis. Phædr. A corcodilis ne rapiantur, traditum est. (Iamb.)

[^30]IV. The instances, however, that are given of metathesis are merely antiquated forms of expression. Thus, Evandre and Thymbre are nothing more than vocatives regularly formed from old nominatives in us ; and corcodilus is merely another form for crocodilus, obtained through the Greek.?

## X. Systole. ${ }^{2}$

I. Systŏle is defined to be the shortening of a syllable, which, from its natural quantity or from position, ought to be long.
II. No such figure, however, as systole in reality exists ; and we must not suppose that the poets could shorten syllables at their own caprice.
III. Under systole are placed, for example, those compounds of jacio which sometimes drop the $j$. Thus,

| For $\bar{a} b j i c i t, ~ \bar{a} b j i c i, ~$ | we find | ăbicit, ăbĭci. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{a} d j i c i t, ~ \bar{a} d j i c i$, |  | ădııcit, ădı̌ci. |
| cōnjicit, | " | nĭcit. |
| injicit, | " | iñǐcit. |
| ōbjicis, ōbjicit, | " | ŏbiccis, öbľcit. |
| sūbjicit, sūbjici, | " | sübĭcit, sŭbĭci. |

IV. These, however, are by no means cases of systole or poetic license, but are, in reality, old forms of the language. ${ }^{3}$

1. The Greeks appear to have said both крокó $\delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda$ os and корко́ $\delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda о \varsigma$, as they said краঠia and ксрঠia, кратеро́s and картєро́s, and as the Scotch use indifferently frith and firth. (Ramsay, L. P., p. 162.)-Gudius, indeed, maintains that the form corcodilus is more correct than crocodilus. His words are as follows: "Testari possum, in optimis et septingentorum, et amplius annorum membranis, non tantum apud poetas, iis in locis, ubi metri ratio id postulat, sed etiam in prosa apud oratores et philosophos reperiri Corcodilus. Neque his obstabit, quod bellus istius Niliacæ nomen a Grecis Latini acceperint, qui Kpoкódeıえos scripserint. Sic enim sæpe vocabula, quæ a Græcis habent Latini, immutarunt. Sic tardus a $\beta$ padús, etc. Eodem modo кćpтєpos et крátepos. Non negandum vero est; poetas, quoties prima foret corripienda, ad imitationein Græcorum scripsisse Crocodilus. Sic Hor., Epod., 12, 11: Postea unice tandem obtinuit ut scriberetur Crocodilus." (Gud. ad Phadr., 1, 25, 4.)
2. $\Sigma v \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \eta$, "a drawing together," from $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ and $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$.
3. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 136. -Pareus, Lex. Crit.-Noris, Cen-
V. Under systole we also find ranked the shortening of the penultimate syllable in the third person plural of perfects; as, dedërunt, tulĕrunt, stetĕrunt, \&c. It is probable, however, that we have here the ancient pronunciation; at least the analogy of the language is in favour of it. ${ }^{1}$
VI. 'To systole is also attributed the quantity of the initial syllable in ăperio, ömitto, hŏdie, and the like, which, being compounded, as is said, of ad and pario, ob and mitto, $h \bar{c} c$ and die, \&c., ought to have the first syllable long. It will be sufficient, however, to observe, in reply, that the quantity of these and similar words is invariable, and must therefore have been the result of the ordinary pronunciation, and not of any poetic license. ${ }^{2}$
VII. A similar remark may be made with regard to vidĕn' for vidēsne. In the latter of these two forms the syllable es

[^31]2. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 156
is uniformly long; whereas in vidĕn' the $e$ is as uniformly short. The reason probably is this, that in vidën', as well as in satĭn' and a ${ }^{2} \imath^{\prime}$ ', the pronunciation was so rapid that the voice was not permitted to dwell upon any of the syllables. ${ }^{1}$

## XI. Diastole. ${ }^{2}$

1. Diastŏle (or Ectăsis ${ }^{3}$ ) is defined to be the lengthening of a syllable naturally short. Like systole, however, it is merely an imaginary figure.
II. 'ro diastole is attributed the lengthening of the first syllable in -Ytalia, Prīamides, -Arabia, \&c., from -Italus, Priamus, ©Arabs, \&c. But the true reason is, that such forms as Itẵăă, Priămĭdes, Arăbĭă, \&c., could not have been used in dactylic verse unless the first syllable were lengthened. This license was therefore assumed by the Roman poets, in imitation of the Greeks. ${ }^{4}$
III. To diastole is also attributed the lengthening of the first syllable in certain compounds of $R \breve{e}$. Thus, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rèlīgio, rèlögione, rètigionum, rètigiosa. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rēcidëre, rēcīdīmus. } \\
& \text { rē̄ātum, rēmōtum. } \\
& \text { rēducil, rēducunt, rēducere. }
\end{aligned}
$$

To these must be added the three preterites rēperit, rèpultt, rètulit, and the tenses formed from them, rēpereris, rēpererit ; rēpuleris, rēpulerint; rētuleram, rētulerat, rētuleris, rētulerit, \&cc. ${ }^{4}$
IV. Various explanations have been given of this anomaly. According to some, such words as religio, reliquic, recidere, could not find a place in dactylic verse, unless the first syllable were made long; but, although this is true as far as these words are concerned, it will by no means ap-

1. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 156.
2. $\Delta t c \sigma t o ́ \gamma . \eta$, "a separation," "a drawing out," from dıú and oté $\lambda \lambda \omega$
3. "Ектсетs, "a stretching out," from $\varepsilon x$ and $\tau \varepsilon i v \omega$.
4. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 139, seq.
ply to relatus, remotus, reducit, \&c., which are generally found with the first syllable short; nor will it explain the quantity of rēperit, rēpulit, rètulit, which is invariable. ${ }^{1}$
V. Other scholars, following the old grammarians, content themselves with doubling the consonant after $r e$ in all cases where that syllable is long, and write relligio, relliquia, redduco, remmotus, \&c., but this at best is but an evasion of the difficulty.
VI. A more plausible explanation is grounded upon the supposition that the ancient form of re was red, as it appears in reddo ; for it cannot be said that the $d$ is here inserted for the sake of euphony, as may be urged in regard to such words as redeo, redimo, and the like. In this way the original form of refero, recido, removeo, reduco, \&c., was redfero, redcido, redmoveo, redduco, \&c.; and, although the $d$ was afterward dropped, the poets, especially the earlier ones, considered themselves entitled to make use of either form, as best suited their convenience.
VII. This may be the true account of the matter in most instances, but it certainly does not apply to the preterites reperit, repulit, retulit, which ought always to be written with the consonant doubled, being in reality contractions for the reduplications repeperit, repepulit, retetulit. This is the account given by Priscian ${ }^{2}$ with regard to repperit, and it applies equally to the other two. ${ }^{3}$
VIII. The first syllable in quatuor, when made long, has also been regarded as an instance of diastole, since quătuor is found short in Ennius, and its derivatives quăter, quăterni, quădrupes, \&c., have the $a$ short. But Gesner and Vossius correctly maintain, that Virgil should be made the standard of pronunciation with regard to the word in

[^32]question, and that the first syllable should be considered long, as he uniformly makes it.

## XII. Synapheta. ${ }^{1}$

I. Synaphḕa is the connecting of verses together, so as to make them run on in continuation, as if the matter were not divided into separate verses.
II. By this arrangement, the initial syllable of a succeeding verse has an influence on the final syllable of the preceding, affecting it by the concourse of consonants, by ecthlipsis, and by synalœpha.
III. Synapheia chiefly prevailed in anapæstic and Ionic a minore verse, in which strict attention was paid to its observance. Its occurrence in other kinds of verse was occasional and limited, and in these kinds it was seldom resorted to by good writers.
IV. The following anapæstic lines furnish examples of the effect of synapheia :
$\operatorname{Pr} \overline{\mathbb{e}} c \bar{c} p s|s \bar{y} l v a ̄ s||~ m o ̄ n t e \bar{s}| q u e ̆ ~ f u g i ̄ t ~|\mid ~$
Citŭs Åct|ळ̄ōn, || ăgĭtis $|q u e ̆ ~ m a ̆ g i s ~| \mid ~$
Pēdĕ pēr | sāltūs \|e èt sāx|ă văgūs \||
Mētūū̀ | mṑās || Zëphy̆̆rīs | plūmās. || (Seneca.)
The short final syllables of fugit, magis, and vagŭs here become long by position before their own final, and the initial, consonants in the lines that immediately follow.
V. Among other instances of synapheia, the following may be enumerated:
Virg. Inseritur vero et foetu nucis arbutus horrilda Et steriles platani malos gessere valentes.
Virg. Jactemur, doccas: ignari hominumque locorum!que Erramus, vento huc, et vastis fluctibus acti.
Horat. Dissidens plebi, numcro beato|rum Eximit Virtus, populumque falsis.

[^33]Horat. Cur facunda parum deco|ro
Inter verba cadit lingua silentio?
In the first of these examples, the first line ends with horr, and the $i$, being regarded as the final syllable of the verse, becomes long. The syllable $d a$ combines with $E t$ in the second line, and by synapheia and synalœpha we have d'et as the initial syllable of this line.

- In like manner, the second line of the second example commences with querramus, the second line of the third with r'eximit, and the second of the fourth with r'inter. In r'eximit, it will be observed, an ecthlipsis operates.
VI. In most cases of synapheia (except in anapæstic and Ionic a minore measures) there is little or no pause at the end of the line.
VII. The rule of synapheia is carefully observed by the Greek tragic writers in their anapæstic systems; and, as far as our authorities go, by the Latins also. The law of synapheia was discovered by Bentley.'


## SECTION XXVII.

OF FEET.
I. A Foot is a combination of two or more syllables, with due regard to their times or quantities.
II. Feet in metre are so called, because by their aid the voice, as it were, moves along through the verse in a measured pace. ${ }^{2}$
III. Feet are divided into simple and compound. Of the simple feet, four are of two syllables, and eight of three. The compound feet are sixteen in number, and contain each four syllables.

[^34]
## SIMPLE FEET OF TWO SYLLABLES.

I. The Spondre (Spundaus) consists of two long sylla bles, as $\bar{o} m n \bar{e} s$, and derives its name from $\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta}$, "a libation," because it was much used in the slow, solemn chant which accompanied a sacrifice. ${ }^{1}$
II. The Pyrrhicu (Pyrrhichius) consists of two short syllables, as dëurs, and was so called from the martial Pyr. rhic dance ( $\pi v \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{i} i \chi \eta$ ), which was performed in quick time, and in the measure adapted to which this foot predominated. ${ }^{2}$
III. The Trochee (Trochaus) consists of one long and one short syllable, as sērcăt, and takes its name from $\tau \rho \varepsilon$ $\chi \omega$, "to run," or from тpoरós, "a wheel," in consequence of the tripping character which it communicated to the verses in which it prevailed. ${ }^{3}$ It was also called by the Greeks रopeios (from $\chi$ ópos, "a dance"), and by the Latins Chorius or Chorcus, because it is well adapted to dancing. ${ }^{4}$
IV. The Iambus (Iambus) consists of one short and one

 к. т. 入. (Schol., Hephcest., p. 82, 4.) According to Diomcdes (Mb. 3, p. 473), this foot was called by Numa Pompilius Pontificius, from its being employed in the music of the Salic hymns. (Consult Terent. Mant., cd. Santen., p. 62.)


 p. 629, e.)-Another derivation of the name is from Pyrrhus, the son of Achilles, "qui crebris et citis exsultationibus bis breviter prominentem elypeum genibus incumbens, et, per hune, terrorem hostibus immittens,
 3, p. 471.)-The Pyrrhich was also called $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega \nu$, "the leader," because by some it was ranked first among metrical fect. Another name was $\Delta i b \rho a \chi v \varsigma$, which the Latins rendered by Bibrevis. It was likewise called Пapiapbos (Pariambus), "quod minus habeat unum tempus ab Iamдo: $\pi a \rho a ́$ enim Græci minus dicunt." (Mar. Vict., p. 2489.-Compare Schol. ad Hephast., p. 11.)
3. Mar. Victorin., p. 2487.-Schol., Hephest, p. S2.-Platius, p. 21:25.-Diomed., p. 474.-Sunten. ad Terent. Mater., p 69.
4. The names Chorius (or Choraus) and Trochceus were also given to the tribrach.
long syllable, as piōs. Most of the old grammarians would derive it from Iambe, the name of a damsel, the slave of Eleusinian Celeüs, who amused Ceres by dancing and song when mourning the loss of her daughter Proserpina. ${ }^{1}$

## SIMPLE FEET OF THREE SFLLABLES.

I. The Molossus (Molossus) consists of three long syllables, as dēlēctūnt, and derived its name, according to the scholiast on Hephæstion, from Molossus, son of Pyrrhus and Andromache, who repeated hymns, in which this was the prevailing foot, at the shrine of Dodona in Epirus. ${ }^{2}$
II. The Tribrach (Tribrăchys) consists of three short syllables, as métuŭs, whence its name is derived ( $\tau \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \varsigma$, "threc," and Bpaxís, "short"). It is also called Chorius, ${ }^{\text { }}$ and sometimes Trochcus. ${ }^{4}$
III. The Dactyl (Dactylus) consists of one long and two short syllables, as cārmină, and derives its name from $\delta a ́ \kappa \tau v \lambda o s, " a ~ f i n g e r, " ~ b e c a u s e ~ e a c h ~ f i n g e r ~ c o n s i s t s ~ o f ~ o n e ~$ long joint and two short ones. ${ }^{5}$
IV. The Anapast (Anapestus) consists of two short syllables and one long, as ănīmōs, and it is thus denomina-

[^35]ted from the rerb $\dot{a} \nu a \pi a i, \omega$, " to strike in reverse order," because the order of its syllables is directly the reverse of that observed in the dactyl. ${ }^{1}$ Hence the anapæst is also called 'Avcidákтvios (Antidactylus) by the Greeks, and Retroac$t u s$ by the Latins. ${ }^{2}$
V. The Bacchīd ( $\mathrm{B} \alpha \kappa \chi \varepsilon i o \varsigma$ ) consists of one short syllable followed by two long ones, as dōtōrēs, and it is so called from its having been frequently used by worshippers in the hymns to Bacchus. ${ }^{3}$
VI. The Antibacchius ('Avtlbakxeios) ${ }^{4}$ consists of two long syllables followed by a short one, as pellūntŭr, and it takes its name from its opposition to the Bacchius.
VII. The Amphimăcer ('A $\mu \phi i \mu a \kappa \rho o s$ ), or Cretic (Creticus), is composed of one short syllable between two long, or, in other words, of a long, a short, and a long, as cāsti$t \bar{u} s$. Both names are mentioned by Quintilian, who makes the second, however, the more usual one. The former of these two appellations comes from $\dot{a} \mu \phi i$, "on both sides," and $\mu$ aкрós, "long," while the latter is said to have been given to this foot from its resembling in time the blows struck by the Corybantes in Crete on their brazen shields, to drown the cries of the infant Jove, lest these should reach the ears of Saturn. ${ }^{5}$
VIII. The Amphibrach (Amphibrăchys) consists of one long syllable between two short, or, in other words, a short,

[^36]a long, an! a short; as, ămärĕ. It derives its name from $\dot{a} \mu \phi i$, " on both sides," and ßpaxús, " short."

## COMPOUND FEET.

I. The Dispondeus, or Double Spondee, is composed of four long syllables, or, in other words, of two spondees; as, infinititis.
II. The Proceleusmaticus consists of two pyrrhichs, or of four short syllables; as, hŏmĭnibŭs. It is said to have taken its name from $\kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \mu a$, the word of command given by the ballet-master, in double quick time, to accelerate the step. ${ }^{2}$
III. The Diïambus, or Double Iambus, consists of two iambi; as, sĕvērītās.
IV. The Ditrocheus, or Dichoreus, consists of two trochees; as, pērmănērĕ.
V. The Ionĭcus Major (or a Majōre) consists of a spondee and a pyrrhich, that is, of two long syllables followed by two short ; as, cālcārĭbǔs.
VI. The Ionĭcus Minor (or a Minöre) consists of a pyrrhich and a spondee, that is, of two short followed by two long; as, prŏpëräbānt.

The two feet just described are called Ionic, either from their having been favourites with the Ionians, or from Ion, their inventor. ${ }^{3}$ One is called Ionicus Major, or a majore, because it begins with the greater quantity, that is, with two long syllables; and the other is called Ionicus Minor,

1. The amphibrach is also called Amphibrevis. (Diomed., 3, p. 475.) Victorinus styles it Mesites (p. 1956).


 Ant. Rom., 1. 7, p. 476.) For some learned observations on the proceleusmaticus, consult Santen. ad Terent. Maur., v. 1460.
2. Gesner thinks that the Ionic mode of dancing was adapted to these two feet, whence their name. (Thesaur, L. L., s. v.) Plotius, on the contrary, relarks, "Ionici $a b$ Ione inventore suo dicti" (p. 2626).
or a minore，because it begins with the less quantity，that is，with two short syllables．${ }^{1}$

VII．The Сhoriambus consists of a choræus or trochæ－ us and an iambus，that is，of two short syllables between two long；as，nōbülitās．${ }^{2}$

VIII．The Antispast（Antispastus）consists of an iam－ bus and a trochee，that is，of two long syllables between two short；as，sěcūndārĕ．It derives its name from ávitl－ $\sigma \pi a ́ \omega$ ，＂to draw asunder，＂two long syllables being separa－ ted or drawn asunder by two short ones．${ }^{3}$
IX．The Epitritus Primus，or First Epitrit，is compo－ sed of an iambus and spondee，and consists of one short syllable and three long；as，sălūtāntēs．

X．The Epitrĭtus Secundus，or Second Epitrit，is composed of a trochee and a spondee，and consists of a long，a short，and then two long syllables；as，cōncĭtātī．

XI．The Epitrǐtus Tertius，or Third Epitrit，is com－ posed of a spondee and an iambus，and consists of two long syllables，followed by a short and a long；as，cōmmūu－ nücānt．

XII．The Epitrĭtus Quartus，or Fourth Epitrit，is composed of a spondee and a trochee，and consists of three long syllables and one short；as，inicāntārĕ．
 and $\delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \pi$＇$\varepsilon \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o v o \varsigma ~ ' I \omega v \iota o ́ s .-T h e ~ g r e a t e r ~ I o n i c ~ w a s ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ P e r-~$ sicus，because Persian histories were written in this measure．Hepot－
 （Schol．，Hephest．，p．82．）The minor Ionic was likewise styled Hypo－ cyclius（＇$\Upsilon \pi о к v ́ к \lambda ı o s .-S c h o l ., ~ H e p h a s t ., ~ l . ~ c.) . ~ . ~$

2．The choriambus was also called Cyclius（Kv́к $\lambda \iota o s$ ），and Hypobac－
 Tpoxaiov）．It was probably used in the circular dances around the altar of Bacchus，whence the names just mentioned，which are given by the scholiast on Hephæstion（p．83）．Compare Santen．ad Terent．Mqur．， v． 1487.

3．The antispast was also called Spondaacus（ $\Sigma \pi o v \delta a \iota a \kappa o ́ s) ~ a n d ~ B a к-~$ xeios кaт⿳亠二口丿＂Iap6ov．（Schol．，Hephast．，p．83．）－This foot appears to have been a favourite with the prose writers．Vossius states（Inst．， Orat．，4，4）that he counted in Cicero＇s oration for Archias twenty－six instances of an antisprast at the ered of a rlanse．＂omplare Proluss，p． 1492，and Diomedes．if 567

These four last-mentioned feet derive their name from the word $\dot{\varepsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \rho$ ítos, which denotes a combination or proportion containing some particular number, together with a third part thereof. 'Thus, in the present instance we have three long syllables, which may be regarded in one sense as the basis of the foot, and besides these we have another syllable, a short one, from the relative position of which last in each of the four feet are derived the names of first, sccond, third, and fourth epitrits. In other words, we have three syllables, with a third of these, namely, one syllable, added thereto ( $\tau \rho i \tau \eta-\varepsilon ं \pi i ́) .{ }^{1}$
XIII. The Peon Primus, or First Paon, is composed of a trochce and a pyrrhich, and consists of one long syllable followed by three short; as, cōnficečrĕ.
XIV. 'The Peon Secundus, or Second Preon, is composed of an iambus and a pyrrhich, and consists of a short and a long, and then two short syllables; as, rĕsolvĕrĕ.
XV. The Peon Tertius, or Third Peon, is composed of a pyrrhich and a trochee, and consists of two short syllables followed by a long and a short; as, sŏcīārĕ.
XVI. The Peon Quartus, or Fourth Peon, is composed of a pyrrhich and an iambus, and consists of three short syllables and one long; as, cēlëritīs.

The Paon, according to some authorities, ${ }^{2}$ derived its name from Pæon, an ancient poet, its alleged inventor. According to other and better accounts, however, this foot was so denominated from its having been particularly used in the hymns to Apollo. ${ }^{3}$ Hence also, besides the appel-

1. Cicero renders $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \tau \rho \iota \tau o \varsigma$, which Plato uses in his Timæus (p. 36, a., ed. Steph.), by the Latin sesquilerlius (Tim., c. 7), a term likewise employed by Augustinus (de Mus., 2, p. 76).-Aulus Gellius (18, 14) defines an epitritus as follows: "Epitritus est qui habel totum aliquem numerum, et ejusdem partem tertiam." (Compare Macrob., in Somn. Scip., 2, 2.) The scholiast on Hephæstion has also the following:
 тои́тov.
2. Plotius, p. 2626.-Isidor., Orig., 1, 16, p. 830.

lation of Pæon, it received that of Pcan.-The pæon is directly opposed to the epitrit. In the latter there is ore short syllable with three long ones; in the former, one long with three short. The first, second, third, and fourth pæons are so named from the relative situation of the long syllable in each.

To the list of conpound feet are sometimes added the following:
I. The Dochmíus ( $\Delta$ ó $\chi \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ), composed of an antispast and a long syllable, and consisting of five syllables, namely, a short, two long, a short, and a long; as, ăbērrāvĕrānt. ${ }^{2}$
II. The Mesomăcer (Мєбópaкрos), composed of a pyrrhich and a dactyl, and containing also five syllables, namely, two short, a long, and two short; as, ăvīdīssĭmŭs. ${ }^{3}$

The following table exhibits the feet, both simple and compound, in the order in which they have just been described.

## SIMPLE FEET OF TWO SYLLABLES.



тal. (Schol., Hephast., p. 12.) Compare the remark of another scho-
 (Schol., Hermog., p. 394.)

1. Aristot., Rhet., 3, 8.-Cic., de Orat., 1, 59.-Santen. ad Terent. Maur., v. 1532.
2. The Dochmius takes its name from סóxplos, "obliquus," in allusion to the irregularity that marks the order or succession of its component times; or, in the words of an ancient writer, ס८à tò mockỉ.ov кaì
 Mus., 1, p. 39.)-This foot is sometimes called Dochimus, but incorrectly. (Ernesti ad Cic., Orat., 64.-Santen. ad Tcrent. Maur., v. 1551, p. 130, scq.)
3. From $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o s, " m$ 'ddle," and $\mu$ акро́s, "long," the name being derived from the position of the long syllable, in the middle, between two shor on each side.

## SIMPLE FEE＇T OF THREE SYLLABLES．



COMPOUND FEET．
FOUR VIITH THE SAME FOOT DOUBLED．
\｛1．Dispondetus
2．Proceleusmaticjs
\｛3．Ditrocheuss
ーーーレ
\｛4．Dinambus

> FOUR of contrary feet.
\｛1．Greater Ionic
2．Miñor Ionic
－－
s 3．Choriambus


4．Antispast

## FOUR IN WHICH LONG TIMES EXCEED．

1．First Epitrit
2．Second Epitrit
3．Third Epitrit
－－－－
4．Fourth Epitrit

## FOUR IN WHICH SHORT TIMES EXCEED．

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 1．First Pezon } \\ \text { 2．Second Peon } \\ \text { 3．Third Peon } \\ \text { 4．Fourth Peon }\end{array}\right.$
two other componnd feet，of five syllables．
$\{1$ Dochmius
2．Mesomacer

## SECTION XXVIII.

## OF METRE.

1. Metre, in the general acceptation of the word, signifies a combination of verses belonging to the same or to different classes, which succeed each other in a fixed order. When we speak of Dactylic metre, Iambic metre, \&c., the word metre is employed in this general sense, and is then synonymous with verse.
II. But a metre, in the technical and restricted sense, signifies either a single foot in a verse, or a combination of two consecutive feet, according to circumstances.
III. In Latin poetry, the metres employed are the following :
2. Dactylic.
3. Anapestic.
4. Iambic.
5. Trochaic.
6. Сhoriambic.
7. Ionic.
IV. 'These metres have received their respective names from the frequent recurrence in them of some particular foot ; and it has been supposed that each species was originally made up of those feet only from which it is denominated, but that others, equal in time, were afterward admitted under certain restrictions.
V. Metres, however, are often called also after the name of some celebrated poet, who used a particular species of verse ; as, Sapphic, Anacreontic, Hipponactic, \&c.
VI. Metres are likewise classified according to the number of feet or measures which they contain. Thus, a verso
of seven metres is called Heptamĕter.

| "six " |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| "five " | Hexamĕter. |
| Pentamĕter. |  |


| of four metres | is called | Tetramĕter. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| " three | " | " | Trimĕter. |
| " two | " | " | Dimëter. |
| " one | " | " | Monomĕter. |

VII. In Anapøstic, Iambic, and Trochaic verses, a metre consists of two feet; but in the other kinds of verse a single foot constitutes a metre.
VIII. 'Thus, in Anapœstic, Iambic, and Trochaic versification,

| a Monometer contains | two | feet. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dimeter | " | four | ". |
| Trimeter | " | six | " |
| Tetrameter | " | eight | " |
| Pentameter | " | ten | " |
| Hexameter | " | twelve | " |
| Heptameter | " | fourteen | " |

On the other hand, in Dactylic, Choriambic, and all other measures except the three just mentioned,

> a Monometer contains one foot.

| Dimeter | " | two | feet. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trimeter | " | three | " |
| Tetrameter | " | four | " |
| Pentameter | " | five | " |
| Hexameter | " | six | " |
| Heptameter | " | seven | " |

IX. A combination of two consecutive feet is sometimes termed a dipodia ( $\delta \iota \pi o \delta i ́ a)$ or syzygy ( $\sigma v \zeta v \gamma i a$ ). Most usually, however, the combination of two dissyllabic feet is called a dipodia, and that of two trisyllabic, or a dissyllabic and trisyllabic, a syzygy.
X. The conjunction of two feet is often likewise termed a basc. ${ }^{2}$

[^37]
## SECTION XXXIX．

## OF ISOCHRONOUS FEET．

I．By Isochrõnous（or even－timed ${ }^{1}$ ）feet are meant those that are interchangeable in metre．

II．In order to ascertain what feet are thus interchange－ able，recourse must be had to the arsis and thesis．

III．As has already been observed，${ }^{2}$ that part of the foot which receives the ictus，the stress of the voice，or beat of the time，is called arsis，or＂elevation．＂The rest of the foot is termed thesis，or＂depression．＂

IV．The natural place of the arsis is the long syllable of the foot，and hence in the iambus it falls on the second syl－ lable；in the trochee，on the first；while the spondee and tribrach leave its place alike uncertain．

V．The fundamental foot of a verse，however，deter－ mines the arsis for the other feet，and hence the spondee， in iambic and anapæstic verse，has the arsis on the second syllable，but in trochaic and dactylic on the first．

VI．So，again，the tribrach，when it stands for the iam－ bus，is to be pronounced $\smile \smile$～；but when it stands for the trochee，としい．

VII．Now the ancients considered those feet only iso－ chronous which were capable of being divided into parts that were equal in time；so that a long syllable should have either a corresponding long syllable or two short ones．${ }^{3}$

VIII．The following scheme will exemplify this more clearly，the place of the arsis being denoted，as above（VI．）， by a mark resembling that of the acute accent．

1．＂Ioos，＂cqual，＂and xpóvos，＂time．＂
2．Page 106 ，note 1.
3．Dawes，Misc．Crit．，p．62，seqq．，cd．Kidd．
IX. By this we perceive that the iambus and the trochee are each interchangeable with the tribrach, and that the dactyl, spondee, and anapæst are interchangeable with each other.
X. In like manner it will appear that the iambus and the trochee are not interchangeable, and that an iambus never admits a trochee into iambic verse, nor a trochee an iambune into trochaic verse. Thus,


Here it will be perceived that the long syllable of the iambus has neither a correspondent long syllable in the trochee, nor two short ones in the same. And the case is precisely similar with the long syllable of the trochee, which has nothing to correspond to it in the iambus. Hence the two feet are not interchangeable or isochronous. ${ }^{1}$
XI. Again, it may be shown in the same way that the spondee and amphibrach are also not interchangeable. Thus,


Here, in whatsoever way the amphibrach be divided, each division contains either more or less than the correspondent part of the spondee. Hence the two feet are not isochronous; and, for the same reason, the amphibrach is not isochronous with the dactyl or anapæst.

[^38]
## SECTION XXIX.

## of VERSE.

I. A Verse is a certain number of feet disposed in a reg. ular order, and forming a line of poetry.
II. A Hemistich' is, properly speaking, a half verse ; the name, however, is commonly applied to either portion of a hexameter line divided at the penthemimeris; as,

## Ere ciere viros, $\|$ Martemque accendere cantu.

III. Scanning is the dividing of a verse into the feet of which it is composed, and the assigning of their proper quantity to the respective syllables of each foot.
IV. Verses are denominated Acatalectic, Catalectic, Brachycatalectic, Ḧypercatalectic (or Hypermeter), and Acephălous.
V. An Acatalectic verse is one that is complete in all its parts, and comes to a full termination; as the following, which is Iambic Dimeter Acatalectic. ${ }^{2}$
VI. A Catalectic verse is one that wants a syllable at the end to complete the measure ; as the following, which is Iambic Dimeter Catalectic. ${ }^{3}$
Mūs्̄e | Jŏvèm || cănē|bant. - \||
VII. A Brachycatalectic verse is that which wants two syllables at the end to complete the measure; as the following, which is Iambic Dimeter Brachycatalectic. ${ }^{4}$


[^39]VIII. A Hypercatalectic or Hypermeter verse is that which has a syllable at the end beyond the complete measure ; as the following, which is Iambic Dimeter Hypercatalectic or Hypermeter. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
IX. An Acephalous verse is that which wants a syllable at the beginning; as the following, which is Acephalous Iambic Dimeter. ${ }^{2}$

Nön | èbūr \| nĕque $\overline{a u} r \mid e \check{e} u ̄ m . \|$

## SECTION XXX.

## DACTYLIC MEASURES.

I. The only feet admissible in dactylic verses are the dactyl and spondee..
II. Originally all the feet were dactyls, but the spondee was afterward admitted, as being an isochronous foot, and in order to impart more gravity and dignity to the line.
III. It will be remembered that in dactylic verses a single foot constitutes a metre; and hence a dactylic hexameter contains six feet, a dactylic pentameter five, a dactylic tetrameter four, \&c.
IV. In treating of the dactylic, as of other measures, we will commence with the simplest in use, and end with those of the greatest length.

1. Dactylic Dimeter Acatalectic, or Adonic.
I. This measure consists of two feet, a dactyl and spon. dee ; as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tērrŭŭt | } \bar{u} r b \bar{e} m . \\
& \text { Vīsěrḕ } \mid \text { mōntēs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

II. The dactylic dimeter, or Adonic, is usually subjoined

[^40]
to three Sapphic lines, thus forming what is called the Sapphic stanza, of which an account will be given elsewhere.
III. In tragic choruses, the Adonic is arbitrarily added to any number of Sapphics, without regard to uniformity. ${ }^{1}$
IV. This measure was called Adonic (Adonium metrum -'A $\delta \dot{\prime} \nu \iota o \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho o \nu)$ because employed originally by the Greeks in the lamentations for Adonis. ${ }^{2}$
V. Sappho is said to have composed entire poems in this measure, now lost. Terentianus Maurus, from whom we derive this information, has also left us a short piece of this kind, ${ }^{3}$ and another of thirty-one successive Adonics occurs in Boëthius. ${ }^{\text { }}$

## 2. Dactylic Trimeter Catalectic.

I. This measure, also called Archilochian Penthemimeris, is an heroic penthemimeris, or the first five half-feet of a dactylic hexameter. In other words, it consists of two feet and a half.
II. Horace, who uses this verse in one of his odes (4, 7) alternately with the dactylic hexameter, uniformly has two dactyls and a semifoot ; as,

> Horat. Ārbŏrīlbūsquĕ cŏm|ब्e.
> Id. Flūmīnă | prētē̃ē|̄̄nt.
> Id. $\quad D \bar{u} c e ̆ r e \breve{e}|n u \bar{u} d a ̆ ~ c h o ̆ r| o ̄ s . ~$
III. Ausonius, however, who has a poem of fifty-seven lines, ${ }^{5}$ all in this measure, sometimes makes the first foot a spondee, and in two instances uses a spondee also in the

[^41]second place. But the spondee, in either case, is a disparagement to the verse, particularly in the latter.

Auson. Dōctrī|na ēxĭgū|̄̄s.
Id. $\bar{e} t ~ l \bar{\imath}|\bar{b} r t \bar{\imath}| n \bar{a}$.
Id. $\bar{e} t ~ t \bar{u}|C o ̄ n c o ̄ r| d \bar{z}$.

## 3. Dactylic 'Trimeter Acatalectic.

I. The Dactylic Trimeter Acatalectic consists of the last three feet of a dactylic hexameter; that is, the first foot may be either a dactyl or spondee, but the second must be a dactyl, and the third a spondee ; as,

> Horat. Grātō| Pȳrrhă sŭb | āntrō.
II. But the lines which are usually thus denominated are with greater propriety included in the class of choriambics, and ranked with Pherecratics.
4. Dactylic Trimeter with a Base.
I. This measure consists of two dactyls, followed by a spondee, with a base (that is, one long, or two short syllables) prefixed to the beginning of the line.-Ausonius will afford an example. ${ }^{1}$ Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mơdư|lāminnĕ |n̄̄enŭă | trīst̄̄, } \\
& \text { Tăč̌t|ūm sine hŏn }|\bar{o} r e \check{e} r c ̧| \bar{l} n q u a ̄ t, \\
& \text { Sŭpĕr | īndŏlĕ | cūjūs ăd | } \bar{u} l t \imath \text {, } \\
& \text { Māg|n̄̄厄 bŏnă | cōpŭă | laūdīs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Dactylic Trimeter Hypercatalectic.

I. This measure consists of three dactyls, and a syllable over. The following example of its use is taken from Ausonius. ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Pārva ětū } \bar{a} m \text { f } u \text { ŭt }|\bar{I} d a ̆ a ̆ \imath| \bar{a},
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Parent., 17, 1.
2. $16 ., 28$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ēt spĕcü|ēm mĕrū|īt Věnĕr|īs, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Quām cēlē|brāt sưb hŏn|̄̄̀rĕ pĭ|ō, }
\end{aligned}
$$

II A variety of this measure is found in Boèthius (3, metr 6 ), which admits of a sponde $\vec{e}$ in the first two places. Thes,

> Hīc claūs|īt mēmbr|īs ănı̆m|ōs.
> Ūnŭs ēnnīm rē|rūm pătĕr | ēst.
> Nī vǐtī̀ìs pēj|ōră föv|èns.
III. The Dactylic Trimeter Hypercatalectic is called by some Tetrameter Catalectic.

## 6. Dactulic Tetrameter Meiūrus, or Faliscan.'

I. This measure consists of the last four feet of an ordinary hexameter, except that the concluding foot is an iambus instead of a spondee. Thus,

Sept. Ser. Vītŭs ĕt | $\bar{u} l m u ̆ s ~ \breve{u} t \mid \bar{i}$ simŭl $\mid$ ēānt.

Boëth: Fälcĕ rŭb|ōs fī̄||cēmquĕ rĕ|sĕcāt.
II. The dactyl was preferred in the first three places, though the spondee was nevertheless admissible into the first and second.

[^42]
## 7. Dactylic Tetrameter a posteriore, or Spondaic

 Tetrameter.I. This measure consists of the last four feet of a dactylic hexameter, and hence it is called Tetrameter a posteriore, because supposed to be taken from the latter part of an hexameter line (a posteriore parte versus hexametri).
II. In other words, the first two feet of this measure may be either dactyls or spondees, the third is generally a dactyl, rarely a spondee, but the fourth is invariably a spondee. Thus,

Horat. Īb̆̄mŭs, | ō sŏcìī̀ cŏmūt|èsquē.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Cērtūs ë|nīm prō|mīsĭt A Ap } \mid \overline{o l l o ̄ . ~}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ō fört|ēs pēj|örăquĕ | pāssi. }
\end{aligned}
$$

III. In the following line a spondee is found in the third foot, preceded by a dactyl, answering to the spondaic line of the hexameter; and in such a case as this, the preceding foot ought always to be a dactyl, or the line will be too heavy. Thus,

Horat. Mē̄nsōr|èm cŏhй $|b \bar{e} n t ~ A ̄ r c h| \bar{y} t \bar{a}$.
8. Dactylic Tetrameter a priore, or Alcmanian. ${ }^{1}$
I. This measure consists of the first four feet of a dactylic hexameter, with merely this difference, that the fourth or last foot is always a dactyl. And it is called a priore because taken from the first part of an hexameter (a priore parte versus hexametri). Thus,

Id. Dēsüpĕr | ìn tērr|ām nōx |fūndītŭr.
Auson. Dīcē|bās in $|m \bar{e} m a \bar{a}| t \bar{e} r t e ̆ r a ̆ a . ~$
II. The Dactylic Tetrameter a priore is also called the Alcmanian Tetrameter, from the Greek poet Alcman, by whom it was frequently employed. ${ }^{1}$
III. This metre was much used in tragic choruses.

1. Sere., p. 1820, cd. Putsch.

## 9. Phalectan Dactylic Pentameter.

I. This measure, which is called Phalæcian on the authority of Terentianus, ${ }^{1}$ consists of a dactylic penthemimeris (two feet and a half), followed by a dactylic dimeter or Adonic ; as,


II. Like the Eolic Pentameter, this species of Phalæsian verse admits a trochee in the first place; as,

Senec. Ārvă | mūtān|t̄ēs \|quāsquĕ Mॅ̈|ötīs.
Id. Allüu|īt gēnt|ēs || frïgŭdă | flūctū.*

III. Besides the trochee, Boëthius uses the iambus in the first and second places; as,
$H_{\imath} c$ e ê|nīm caū|sās \|| cērnĕrĕ | prōmptum ēst.
Illīc | lătēnltēs || pēctŏră | tūrbānt.
Cūnctă $\mid q u \bar{\epsilon}$ rār|ā $\|$ prōvēhŭt | $\bar{e} t a ̄ s$.
Stüpēt |cūm sübū|t̄̄̄ \| mōbūlĕ | vūlgūs.
IV. A Phalæcian Dactylic Pentameter may be formed from an hexameter, by striking out the fourth foot and the latter half of the third. Thus,
Hex. At rē|gină grăv|ījām|dūdūm| saūčă $\mid$ cūrā.
". Cōnsḕd|ērĕ dü|cēs èt $\mid$ vūlgì | stāntĕ cŏr|ōnā.
" Sānguinnĕ|āquĕ măn $\mid \bar{u}$ crĕpĭ $|t a ̄ n t i ̄ a ̆ ~| ~ c o ̄ n c u ̆ t u ̆ t ~ \mid ~ a ̄ r m a ̄ . ~$
Phalæc. Ât rḕ|gīnă grăv|ī || saūcŭă | cūrā. $\mid$
" Cōnsēl|ērē̆ dư|cēe \|| stāntĕ cŏr|ōnā. |


[^43]V. Those Phalæcian lines in which neither the trochee nor iambus occur, may be scanned as Choriambic 'retrameters Catalectic. Thus,

Visḕ|bāt gēțdळ्ब | sidĕrră brūm|ब्ब
Jām nūnc | blāndă mĕlōs | cārpĕ Ď̌ō|nê.

## 10. Eolic Pentameter.

I. The Æolic Pentameter, so called from its native dialect, was invented, it is said, by Sappho, a native of the Eolic island of Lesbos. ${ }^{1}$
II. It consists of four dactyls, preceded by a spondee, a trochee, or an iambus. In other words, it is a Dactylic Tetrameter Acatalectic with a base. ${ }^{2}$ Thus,
Terent. Maur. Cōrdī̀ |quāndŏ fū $|\bar{i} s s e ̆ ~ s i ̀| b i ̄ ~ c a ̆ n i ̆ t ~ \mid ~ a ̄ t t h i ̆ d \breve{a}$


## 11. Dactylic Pentameter.

I. The Dactylic Pentameter was so called in consequence of the manner in which it was scanned by some of the old grammarians, who viewed it as consisting of five continuous feet, namely, two dactyls or spondees, followed by a spondee and two anapæsts, according to the following scale:


Frigidù|ūs glăcì|ē pēct|ŭs ămānt|ĭs ërāt. Nīl mīhī | rēscrīb|ās àt|tămĕn īps|ĕ vĕnī. Lāssā|rēt viduū|ās pēnd|ūlă tēl|ă mănūs. Flèbām | sūccēss|̄̄ pōs|s̆ cărē|rĕ dōlōs.

[^44]2. Terent. Maur., v. 1722.—Diomed., p. 502.-Quintil., 9, 4.
II. Hephæstion, however, who has been followed by almost all modern scholars, regards it as composed of two dactylic penthemimers. In other words, the first two feet may be either dactyls or spondees; then comes a long syllable, to which succeed two dactyls, followed by another long syllable. ${ }^{1}$ Thus,

 Nìl mīhĭ | rēscrīb|ās \| āttămĕn | ìpsě vẹn|ī. Lāssā|rēt vèdựās \| pēndŭlă | tēlă măn|ūs Flēbām | sūccēss|ū || pōssĕ căr|ērĕ dōl|ōs.
III. That this last is the proper view to take of the structure of the pentameter seems certain from the fact, that a division of the verse takes place invariably at the end of the fifth half-foot, as well in the Greek as in the Latin writers.
IV. Ovid is considered the model of this species of verse among the Romans, and the wonderful smoothness and melody of his compositions are the result of close attention to a number of minute observances, which were altogether neglected by the Greeks, and by their imitators, Catullus, 'I'ibullus, and Propertius. ${ }^{2}$ 'These rules will now be given.

## Rules for the Structure of Dactylic Pentameters.

I. The pentameter must always be so constructed as to have the cæsural pause after the penthemimeris, and thus

[^45]oe divisible into two equal portions of two feet and a half each. And the pause ought always to be strictly the last syllable in a word, and not fall on any syllable before this. ${ }^{1}$ Hence the following line is faulty :

## Inter nostros gentilis oberrat equus.

2. It is also deemed a blemish for the cæsura to fall after a syllable which has become the last by elision; as in the following lines from Catullus:

> Quam veniens una atque \|l altera rursus hyems. Troia virum et virtutum \| omnium acerba cinis. Nec desistere amare $\|$ omnia si facias.
3. If the first cæsural syllable be a monosyllable, which ought not to happen frequently, it must be preceded by a long monosyllable, or by a word of the same time, i. e., a word consisting of two short syllables ; as,

Ovid. Et mihi si non vis \|I parcere, parce meis.
Id. Tu dominus, tu vir, \|| tu mihi frater eras.
Id. Nulla tibi sĭnĕ me ll gaudia facta neges.
Id. Preterito măgiss est || iste pudendus amor.
An exception to this rule, however, is made when the monosyllable is est, and the word before it suffers elision. Such lines as the following are not uncommon:

Ovid. Litteraque invisa est, \|l hac mea parte tibi.
Id. Quo nisi consilio est \|l usà puella tuo.
But such as the following are very rare in Ovid:
Sed sic inter nos || ut latuisse velint.
Quod licet inter vos || nomen habete meum.
$J u s t a q u e ~ q u a m v i s ~ e s t, ~ \| ~ s i t ~ m i n o r ~ i r a ~ d e i . ~$.
Qucere suburbana hic il sit mihi terra locum.
4. The last word of a dactylic pentameter is, in the great majority of instances, a dissyllable in Ovid. We oc-

[^46]casionally find est in this place, preceded by a dissyllable which suffers elision; as,

Hic est cujus amans hospita capta dolo est. Nec repetor; cessas, iraque lenta tua est.
More rarely two monosyllables; as,
Premia si studio consequor ista, sat est.
But such a line as the following must be considered altogether unworthy of imitation;

Omnis an in magnos culpa deos scelus est. ${ }^{1}$
5. The trisyllabic ending, although very common in the Greek poets, in Catullus, \&c., may be said to be altogether excluded from the Ovidian pentameter. We find one example only in his earlier works, and five others in the Epistles from Pontus, which, together with the Tristia, were composed while the poet was plunged in the deepest despondency, and bear tokens of less accurate revision than his other productions.

Que tamen externis danda forent generis. ${ }^{2}$

> Ne non peccarim, mors quoque non faciet. ${ }^{3}$ Quolibet ut saltem rure frui liceat. ${ }^{4}$ Aut quod sape soles, exigis ut recitent. ${ }^{5}$ Vix excusari posse mihi videor. ${ }^{6}$ Spectarem, qualis purpura te tegeret. ${ }^{7}$
6. The quadrisyllabic ending is likewise very uncommon, except in the Tristia and Epistles from Pontus. We have, however, two or three examples in his other works.

Unda simul miserum vitaque deseruit. ${ }^{8}$.
Et circumfusis invia fluminibus. ${ }^{9}$
Cantabat mœstis tibia funeribus. ${ }^{10}$

1. Ovid, Ep. ex Pont., 1, 6, 26.
2. Id., Ep. ex Pont., 1, 1, 66.
3. Id. ib., 3, 5, 40.
4. Id. ib., 4, 9, 26.
5. Fasti, 5, 582.
6. Ib., 6, 660. - Other examples are given in Ramsay, p. 184.
7. The quisquesyllabic ending is still more rare than the quadrisyllabic.

Lis est cum forma magna pudicitiæ. ${ }^{1}$
Nec sedeo duris torva superciliis. ${ }^{2}$
8. As to the kind of words that conclude the line, they ought to possess some emphasis. They are usually nouns, the personal and possessive pronouns, or verbs. Adjectives do not often occur in this place, adverbs still more rarely, and less frequently than either, the present participle active.
9. Elisions should be resorted to sparingly, especially in the second half of the verse, where they are by no means harmonious. They may be allowed in the first of the two dactyls; as,

Ultimus est aliqua decipere arte labor.
Incipis, incipiet desinere esse mea.
But when they fall on the second dactyl, the melody of the line is destroyed; as,

Quis scit an hac savas tigridas insula habet ? ${ }^{3}$
10. At the beginning of the verse it is better to have a dactyl followed by a spondee than the reverse. Thus,

Vīx Priămūs tānti totaque Troja fuit.
Rēs èst söllicĭti plena timoris amor.
V. Dactylic pentameters are never found in a system by themselves, in the classic writers (unless seven lines in Ausonius can be taken as an exception), but always in combination with hexameters.
VI. Hexameters and pentameters, placed alternately, constitute what is termed the Elegiac Distich. ${ }^{4}$

[^47]VII. The name of Elegy ("Eheyos) was first applied to the alternating hexameter and pentameter in the time of the Greek poet Simonides; whether it was that he himself introduced the name, or whether the mournful and plaintive nature of his themes justified this appellation from others. ${ }^{1}$
VIII. Ovid, in some of his elegiac pieces, employs occasionally a species of metrical echo, if we may so term it, the second half of the pentameter being a repetition of the commencement of the preceding hexameter, either preciscly the same words being used, or else a slight change being made in them. Thus,

## Militat omnis amans, et habet sua castra Cupido:

 Attice crede mihi, militat omnis amans. ${ }^{2}$ 'Graia juvenca venit, que te patriarnque domumque Perdat. Io prohibe! Graia juvenca venit. ${ }^{3}$

Invida vestis eras, que tam bona crura tegebas; Quoque magis spectes, invida vestis eras. ${ }^{4}$

Ars tua, Tiphy, jacet, si non sit in aquore fluctus. Si valeant homines, ars tua Phobe jacet. ${ }^{6}$

Auspiciis animisque patris, puer, arma movebis, Et vinces animis auspiciisque patris. ${ }^{6}$
IX. Martial also, in one of his epigrams (9, 98), has six consecutive distichs, each commencing and ending with the words rumpitur invidia. ${ }^{7}$

1. This combination of hexameters and pentameters is said to have been invented by Callinus, and applied by him to martial themes. It was not called $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o s$ at first, but $\varepsilon \pi \%$, the latter of which terms was afterward confined to heroic rerse, when Simonides brought in the name Eneyos, and along with it the handling of plaintive themes in this species of measure.
[^48]> Rumpitur invidia quidan, carissime Juli, Quod me Roma legit, rumpitur invidia. Rumpitur invidia, quod turba semper in omni, Monstramur digito, rumpitur invidia, \&c.

## 12. Dactylic Hexameter.

I. The Dactylic or Heroic Hexameter was considered to be the most ancient as well as the most dignified species of verse, and was said to have been invented by Phemonoë, the first priestess of the Delphian Apollo, who, when inspired by the god, was wont to chant his oracles in this measure. ${ }^{1}$
II. The origin of dactylic versification, however, is to be traced back to the earliest periods of the Greek language, and connects itself with the peculiar mode of intonation that characterized the Æolic tribes. ${ }^{2}$
kind were called "Versus Lyrici, Ophites, and Serpentini." Some of these pieccs may be found collected in the Miscell. Obs. Noo. (vol. 5, p. 475, seqq.). Wernsdorff gives in his collection (Poet. Lat. Min., vol. 3, p. 268) a poem of this kind by Pentadius, "De Adventu Veris," the commencement of which is as follows :

> Sentio fugit hyems, Zephyrisque moventibus orbem Jam tepet Eurus aquis; sentio fugit hyems
> Parturit omnis ager, prasentit terra ealorem, Germinibusque novis parturit omnis ager.
> Lata vireta tument, foliis sese induit arbor, Vallibus apricis lata vireta tument, \&c.

1. Schott. ad Procl., p. 18.-Voss., Inst. Poët., 3, 3, 2.-Fabruc., Bibl. Gr., vol. 1, p. 154.-Plin., H. N., 7, 56.-Pausan., 10, 5.Schol. ad Eurip., Orest., 1093.
2. Göttling's Greek Accentuation, § 2, seq.-The tendency in the Eolic pronunciation was to throw the accent back on the root, or as near to it as possible, so that the dactylic rhythm, with the arsis on the first syllable of the foot, cquld easily and naturally arise from this kind of pronunciation. As the Eolic dialect was spoken at Dclphi, the native city of Phemonoë, the two accounts just given may casily be recon-cilcd.-The most ancient hexametcrs known are those which Herodotus informs us $(5,59)$ that he himself saw at Thebes, in the temple of the Ismenian Apollo, inscribed on certain tripods, consecrated by Amphitryon, and by two other princes of the 13 th or 14 th century before our cra. It is more than probable, however, that the historian was here imposed upon by the pricsts. (Bähr ad Hcrod., l.c.-Wolf, Prolegom. ad Hom, p. Iv.)
III. The dactylic hexameter was introduced into Latium by the poet Ennius, who first discarded the rude Saturnian measure of his predecessors. ${ }^{1}$
IV. This species of verse is also called the Heroic, from its having been selected by both the Greeks and Romans as the proper medium for heroic or epic themes. It was also employed in didactic and satiric compositions.
V. The Dactylic Hexameter consists, as its name imports, of six feet, whereof the fifth is usually a dactyl, and the sixth always a spondee, while each of the other four feet may be either a dactyl or a spondee, at the pleasure of the writer; as,
Virg. Sic ăbĕ|ūnt rědē|ūntquĕ mē|̄̄̀ vărī|āntquĕ tăm|ōrēs.
Catull. Èt quām|vis $t \bar{c} \mid c \bar{u} m$ mūlt $\mid \bar{o}$ cōn $|j \bar{u} n g e ̆ r e ̆ r ~| ~ \bar{u} s \bar{u}$.
 Id. Cōllēect|ūmquĕ frëm|ēns vōlv|īt sūb|nārìbŭs | īgnèm.
VI. Sometimes, however, in à solemn, majestic, or mournful description, or in expressing astonishment, consternation, vastness of size, \&c., a spoudee is admitted in the fifth foot, and the line is thence termed Spondaic; as,
Virg. Carád deûm soboles, magnum Jovis | ìncrēe|mentum.
Id. Constitit, atque oculis Phrygia, agmina | circūm|spexit.
Cat. Equorec monstrum Nereïdes | ādmī |antes.
Man. Scorpius ingentem perterruit | Ōrīona.
VII. In spondaic lines the fourth foot is usually a dactyl ; not uniformly, however. Thus,

Virg. Sax̀a per et scopulos et | dēprēss|ās cōn|valles.
Id. Aut leves ocreas lent $\mid \bar{o}$ dūu|cūnt ār $\mid$ gento.
VIII. The older poets do not scruple to use lines containing spondees alone; as,


[^49]Enn. Cīvès |Rōmā|n̄̄ tūnc |fäctī |sūnt Cām|pānī.



- IX. Spondaic lines are much more common in the Greek than in the best Latin poets. There are, for instance, some twenty-eight of this description in Virgil, while in a single piece of Catullus" ${ }^{11}$ (containing 409 lines), who formed his verses upon the Greek model, we find a greater number. ${ }^{2}$


## Casura in Dactylic Hexameters.

X. The beauty and harmony of hexameter verse depend in a very great degree upon the proper management of the cæsura. In its application to single feet, the cæsura has already been explained; it only remains to consider it with reference to whole verses, in which acceptation it may be more correctly termed the Cœsural Pause.
XI. The cæsural pause most approved of in heroic poetry, and which, above all others, tended to give smoothness and rhythm to the line, was that which took place after the penthemimeris. This was particularly distinguished as The Heroic Cæsural Pause. Thus,

Virg. At domits |interüpr, || regali splendida luxu.
Id. Julüus |ă magn|o.|l dēmissum nomen Iülo.
XII. Sometimes the penthemimeral pause is found combined with others; as,

Virg. Ad nos vix tenuis \|fame \|l perlabitur aura.
Id. Insignis \|reserat \| stridentia limina consuk.
Id. Sunt gemince || belli $\|$ porte, $\|$ sia nomine dicunt.
XIII. Instead, however, of the cæsural pause at the exact penthemimeris, a different division was equally admitted as heroic, which took place after a trochee in the third foot; 2s,

Virg. Effigi|em statu|èrĕ, \|| $n:$ fas que triste piaret.
Id. Tecta met|u peti|ērĕ, || ruunt de montibus amnes.

[^50]XIV. Next in merit to the penthemimeral was the hephthemimeral pause. Thus,
Virg. Arbori|busque sut|isque Not|us, $\|$ pecorique sinister. Id. Haud mora $\mid$ prosilu|ere su|is: \|l ferit ๔thera clamor.
XV. In some instances we find lines where the penthe. mimeral is combined with the triemmeral; as,
Tibull. Di patrii \|i purgamus agros, \|purgamus agrestes. Virg. Prima tenet, $\|$ plausuque volat, $\| \mid$ fremituque secundo.
XVI. 'The cæsural pause the least approved of in heroic poetry was that which divided the verse exactly into halves, since it gave the line an undignified air, and degraded it to a Priapēan. ${ }^{1}$ Thus,
Virg. Cui non | dictus Hy|las puer $\|$ et La|tonia | Delos? Id. Exple|ri ment|em nequit \|| ardes|citque tu|endo.
XVII. The cæsural pause between the fourth and fifth feet was considered bý grammarians as peculiarly adapted to pastoral poetry, more especially when the fourth foot was a dactyl; and it was termed, from this circumstance, the Bucolic cæsural pause ; ${ }^{2}$ as,
Calpurn. Idas | laniger|i domi|nūs grĕgǐs, || Astacus horti. Auson. Commu|nis Paphi|e dea | sidĕrís || et dea floris.

## 13. Priafean.

I. The Priapean measure was so called because originally employed on themes having relation to Priapus, the god of gardens.
II. This measure is generally regarded as a species on hexameter, the first, as likewise the fourth, foot of which was most commonly a trochee, often, however, a spondee,

1. The Priapean will be considered after the hexameter.
2. Valckenaer was the first to mark the bucolic cresura in Theocritus. The first seven Idyls, with the tenth and eleventh, contain 927 lines, of which not less than 711 have this casura. Virgil's Eclogues consist of 830 lines, but of these only 232 conform in the bucolic model (Was ton. de P'uës Bucui.-Thcocrit. vu'. 1. I. xxivi)
but rarely a dactyl; the second almost always a dactyl; the third, though sometimes a dactyl, much more frequently an amphimacer. The scale is as follows:

 Id. İn fōss|ā Līgū|rī jücēt | sūppēr|nātă sē|cūrī.
III. A preferable mode of scanning, however, is to make the first hemistich a Glyconic, and the second a Pherecratic verse, and thus to consider the line, not as forming one dactylic verse, but as composed of two choriambics.

## 14. Hexameter Meiurus.

I. The Hexameter Meiūrus is a defective species of hexameter, having an iambus in the sixth place instead of a spondee ; as,
Liv. Andron. Dirige odorisequos ad certa cubilia cănēs.
II. It is to be considered, however, rather as a vicious and defective hexameter, than as forming a separate species of verse ; though Livius Andronicus designedly wrote such lines as these, which he alternately mingled with perfect hexameters. They have all, however, perished except two.

## SECTION XXXI.

## ANAPASTIC MEASURES.

I. In Anapæstic verse the feet admissible without restriction are, the anapæst, the spondee, and the dactyl.
II. Dactyls, however, ought to be employed sparingly in Latin anapæstics. When introduced, they ought to be the first foot in the dipode, and ought to be followed by a spon. dee in preference to an anapæst.
III. Anapæstic verse is scanned by metres of two feet each; thus, an anapæstic monometer contains two feet; a dimeter, Your; a trimeter, six, \&c.
IV. No specimen of anapæstic verse is extant in the purer Latin writers. Those that have reached us belong to a later age.
V. No Latin poet ever wrote anapæstics necessarily consisting of four anapæsts (with the exception of a few in Seneca and Ausonius) ; but they all appear to have intended their anapæstics for single measures or monometers, leaving the reader to connect or disjoin, as the sense might require or his own judgment dictate. Convenience in printing, however, is answered by the division into dimeters, and hence they are generally exhibited in this form in editions of ancient authors.
VI. Anapæstic verses arranged in monometers or dimeters, and thus forming a succession of many lines, are called Systems.
VII. The species of anapæstics most in use among the Greek tragic writers was the Anapøstic Dimeter Acatalectic, which is frequently found in systems interspersed with the Monometer Acatalectic.
VIII. These systems of anapæstic dimeters are usually closed, among the Greeks, by an Anapœstic Dimeter Catalectic, otherwise called a Parcemiac, it having been a favourite vehicle for proverbs (Пароццial).
IX. The Latin writers do not, as often as the Greeks, close a system of dimeters by a Parœmiac: Seneca never does.
X. It must be borne in mind that anapæstic systems proceed on the principle of continuous scansion. Hence the last syllable of every verse is not common, but is subject to the ordinary rules of prosody, unless at the end of a sentence, or any considerable pause in the sense. ${ }^{1}$

1. Consult remarks under the article Synapheia, page 130.
XI. The only exception to the rule laid down in the preceding paragraph is in the case of the Parcmiac, the last syllable of which is common. An anapæstic system, therefore, is, in fact, one long line broken up into several lines, the end of which long line is marked by the Paremiac.
XII. Hence we see why the last syllable of the Parœmiac is the only one in the entire system that is common, it being in reality the concluding syllable of a long line, which line is supposed to commence with the first verse of the system.
2. Anapestic Monometer Acatalectic, or Anapestic Base.
I. The Anapœstic Monometer consists of two feet, which may be either anapæsts, spondees, or dactyls.
II. The scale, therefore, of this measure is as follows:


Senec. ǔlūlāss|ĕ cănēs. \||
Id. Fūndite ${ }^{\text {en }} \mid$ fiètūs \||
Ēdītē | plānctūs ||
Fingǐtē | lūctūs ||
Rĕsŏnēt | trīstī ||
Clāmōr|ē fŏrū̀m. ॥|
2. Anapestic Dimeter Acatalectic.
I. The Anapœstic Dimeter Acatalectic consists of four feet, which may be either anapæsts, spondees, or dactyls.
II. The scale is as follows :


> Senec. Indūs | gētùdūm || pōtăt Ăr|āxèm, \|| Āllūm | Pērs̄̄x, || Rhēnūm|quĕ b̄̈būnt. \|
Quı̆bŭs Ö|cĕănūs \| vīncŭlă | rērūm \|.
Lüxĕt ĕt | īngēns || pătēāt | tēllūs ||
Tēthȳs|quĕ nŏvōs || dētĕgăt | ōrbēs \|
Nēc sīt | tērrīs \|| ùltümă | Thūlē. \||

## 3. Anapestic Dimeter Catalectic, or Paremiac.

I. This measure consists of three feet, followed by a catalectic syllable.
II. The third foot of a Parœmiac must always be an anapæst. The dactyl is less frequently used in the first and second places than the spondee.
III. The following is the scale.


> Prudent. Vènīēnt | cĭtŏ s $\bar{\omega} c \| u ̆ l a ̆ ~ c u ̄ m \mid j \bar{a} m$
> Sŏciūs | călŏr ōss\|ă rĕvīs|īt,
> Annimāt|ăquĕ sāng\|uinĕ viv|ō
> Hăbītāc|ŭlă prist\| $\mid$ ǐnă gēst|ēt.
IV. Prudentius, from whom the above lines are taken; does not-admit a dactyl, and uses a spondee in the first place only. Boëthius, however, allows himself greater lat. itude, as may be seen by the following:
Qū̄ sē | vŏlĕt c̄ss \|ĕ pŏtēnt|ēm.
Anĭmōs | dŏmĕt îll||ĕ fērō|cēs,
F $\bar{c} d \bar{l} s|~ s u \bar{b} m \bar{c} t t \| a ̆ t h a ̆ b \bar{e}| n i \bar{s}$.
Ětĕnīm | Ticč̌t IInd\|īcă lōng|ē
Tēllūs | tŭă jūr\|ă trĕmēs $\| c \bar{a} t$,
Tämĕn àtr|ās pèll\|ërĕ cūr|ās,

Mīsĕrās|quĕ fưgā\|rě quĕrē|lās<br>$N o ̄ n$ pōss|ĕ, pŏtēnt\|Ïă nōn | ēst.

## SECTION XXXII.

## IAMBIC MEASURES.

I. lambic verses are scanned by measures of two feet, it having been usual, in reciting them, to make a short pause at the end of every second foot, with an emphasis.
II. This kind of verse derived its name from the iambus, of which foot it was originally composed, to the exclusion of all others.
III. Afterward, in order to vary the rhythm, and diminish the labour of the poet, a spondee was allowed in the odd places of the verse, the iambus still occupying the even places.
IV. In the even places, the long syllable of the iambus was resolved into two short ones, and thus the tribrach, which is isochronous with the iambus, gained admission.
V. In the odd places, by resolving the first long syllable of the spondee, an anapæst was formed; and, by resolving the second syllable, a dactyl.
VI. Thus eventually all these feet were employed in iambic measures, subject, however, to certain restrictions, which will now be specified. ${ }^{1}$

1. An iambus is admitted into every place, which may be resolved into a tribrach in every place except the last, where there must be invariably an iambus.
2. The spondee may be used in the uneven places; that is, in the first and third of the dimeter, and in the first, third, and fifth of the trimeter.
3. The dactyl may be used in the uneven places, like the spondee; but its appearance in the fifth place of the trimeter is very rare.

[^51]4. The anapæst also is admitted into the uneven places, and in the fifth place seems to have been particularly affected by the Roman tragedians.
5. A proceleusmaticus is sometimes found in the first place of the iambic trimeter.

## 1. Iambic Dimeter Catalectic.

I. This measure consists of three feet, properly all iam. bi, and a catalectic syllable.
II. It admits, however, the tribrach, spondee, and anapæst into the first place, but suffers no variation in the third foot.

> Petron. ănūs |rĕcōct\|ă vin $\mid \bar{o}$ trĕmēnt|ībūs \|| lăbēll|īs.

Prudent. Lēx h $\bar{o} c|d \breve{a} t a \bar{e} s t \| c a ̆ d u ̄| c \bar{u} \mid c$ Dĕō | jŭbēnt \|ĕ mēmbr|īs ūt tēmp|ĕrēt $|||l a ̆ b o ̄ r| e \bar{m}$ mĕdūcä|b̄̈līs \|| vŏlūpt|ās.

## 2. Iambic Dimeter Acephalous. ${ }^{1}$

I. This measure is an iambic dimeter, wanting the first syllable.
II. Horace and Prudentius made no variations, but uni. formly employed the iambus in the few lines they have left us of this metre.

Horat. Nōn | ëbūr || nĕque aūr|ĕūm.
Prud. Dō|nă cōn\|sciēn|tī̄ .
III. Some consider such lines as catalectic trochaic dim. eters, and scan them as follows:

Nōn ēb|ūr nēlque aūrç|ūm.
Dōnă | cōnscĭl|ēntī̀a.

[^52]

## 3. Iambic Dimeter Hypercatalectic.

I. This measure, likewise called Archilochian, and forming the third line in the Alcaic stanza, is an iambic dimeter with an additional syllable at the end.
II. According to the usage of Horace, the first foot may be either a spondee or an iambus, but is generally a spondee; the second foot is an iambus; the third is invariably a spondee, ${ }^{1}$ and the fourth an iambus. The Horatian scale, therefore, is as follows :

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c||c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \\
\hline--- & -- & -- & -- & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Horat. Sȳlv $\bar{e}|l a ̆ b o ̄ r \| a ̄ n t e \bar{e}|$ gělū\|quē.
Id. $\quad D \bar{e} p r \bar{u}|m e ̆ ~ q u a ̄ d r\|\bar{i} m u \bar{u} m \mid S a ̆ b i ̄ n\| \bar{a}$.
Id. Pūēr | quĭs ēx \| $\overline{a u} l \bar{a} \mid$ căpīlld $\mid \bar{i} s$.
III. The practice of Horace differs from that of Alcæus as regards the third place, the latter having uniformly an lambus in this part of the line.
IV. This measure is called by some the Alcaic Ennea syllabic. ${ }^{2}$

## 4. Iambic Dimeter Acatalectic.

I. This measure consists of four feet or two metres.
II. Properly speaking, all the feet are iambi. It admits, however, the variations that are usual in iambic verse, and its scale is as follows:


[^53]
Id. Vēl h̄̄ed $d$ ŭs $\bar{c}\|r \bar{c} p t \bar{u} s \mid l \bar{u} p \bar{o}$.

Id. $\quad \bar{a} s t ~ e ̆ g o ̆ ~|~ v i ̌ c i s s \| i m ~ r i s| e ̈ r o ̄ . ~| | ~$
Id. Vīdēr|ĕ prŏpĕr $\quad|\bar{a} n t e \bar{s}|$ dŏmūm. ||
Prudent. Jām mēll|ă dḕ || scŏpŭtīs | fŭūnt. ||
Hadr. ănı̆mū|lă văgŭ\|llă blānd|ŭlā, \|
Hōspēs | cŏmēs\|quĕ cōrp|ŏř̄s, \||
 Pāltudŭ|lă rı̆gı̆l|dūlă nū|dūlā? || Nĕc ūt | sŏtēs || dăā̄̄s | jŏcōs. \|
III. Although Horace has not used this metre except in conjunction with verses of a different kind, other authors wrote entire poems in it, as Prudentius, St. Ambrose, Pope Damasus, Sedulius, \&c.
IV. The Liturgy of the Church of Rome has several hymns in this metre. The following lines form the commencement of one of them, and will also furnish an instance of rhyming or Leonine versification.

Salutis humanœ sator, Jesu voluptas cordium, Orbis redempti conditor Et casta lux amantium : Qua victus es clementia Ut nostra ferres crimina? \&c.

## 5. Iambic Trimeter Catalectic.

I. This measure is the iambic trimeter, wanting the last syllable. In other words, it consists of five feet, properly all iambi, followed by a catalectic syllable; as,

Horat. Vŏcā|tŭs àt\|quĕ nōn | vŏcā\|tŭus $\overline{a u} d \mid i t$.
Prudent. Pīūs | fídē\|lis īn|nơcēns || pŭd̄̄|cūs.
II. Like the trimeter, however, it admits the spondee
into the first and third places, but not into the fifth, which would render the verse too heavy and prosaic.

Horat. Trăhūnt|quĕ sī̀\|cc̄s mā|chīn̄e \| cărīn nās.
Prudent. Nōnnūll|ă quērc\|ū sūnt | căvā\|ta ēt $\bar{u} l m \mid \bar{o}$.
III. Terentianus prefers scanning this kind of verse as part of an iambic trimeter, with three trochees following. Thus,
$\operatorname{Trăhūnt|quĕ~sic~} \mid c \bar{a} s$ || māchŭ $|n \bar{e} c u ̈ r| i ̄ n a ̆ s . ~$

## 6. Iambic Trimeter Acatalectic.

I. This measure consists of three metres, or six feet, originally all iambi, and when a line of this kind stild occurs, it is called a pure iambic line.
II. The other feet that were subsequently allowed to enter, and the places proper to each, have been considered elsewhere. ${ }^{1}$
III. When other feet besides the iambus enter into the line, it is called a mixed iambic.
IV. Another name for this measure is the Senarius, from the six feet of which the line is composed.
V. The cæsural pause most commonly falls after the fifth semifoot ; as,

VI. The scale of the mixed iambic trimeter is as follows:


## Pure Iambics.


Catull. Ĕs im|pưdī\|cưs ēt : vōrāx \| ĕt àl|çō. \|

[^54]Spondee in 1 and 3.
Catull. Pēr cōns|ŭlā\|tūm pējjěrā̄t \|Vătīnjīus.\||

$$
\text { Spondee in 1, 3, } 5 .
$$


Tribrach in 1, and Spondee in 5.

Tribrach in 2 and 4, Spondee in 1, 3 and 5.
Horat. Vēctū|băr hümēr\|īs tūnc | ĕgo īnī\|mīcīs | ĕquēs. |
Tribrach in 3, and Spondee in 5.
Horât. Lībēt | jăcē\|rč mŏdŏ | sŭb ān\|līqua īl|īcē. \|l
Dactyl in 1, Spondee in 3 and 5.

Dactyl in 3, Spondee in 1 and 5.

Anapœst in 1, Spondee in 3 and 5.

Anapœst in 1 and 5, Tribrach in 2.
Horat. Păvĭdūm|quě lēpö\|rem èt ād|věnām\|lăquĕo $\mid$ grūēm. $\|$
Dactyl in 1, Tribrach in 3 and 4.
Horat. Ātitī||bŭs ātilquĕ cănī|bŭs hŏmĭl|cīdam Hēct|ŏrēm. ||
VII. The prevalent cæsural pause in iambic trirseters is, as we have already remarked, the penthemimeral. If this be wanting, the line must then have the hephthemimeral pause. Thus,

Catull. Ait fuisse $\|$ navium celerrimus.
Id. Rhodunve nobilem || horridamve Thraciam.
Horat. Defixa calo \|| devocare sidera.
Id. Cave! cave namque || in malos asperrimus.

Catull. Neque ullius natantis \| impetum trabis. Id. Proponitida trucemve $\|$ Ponticum sinum.
VIII. There is no instance in Catullus of the total omission of the cæsural pause, and only two in Horace, namely
(Epode, 1, 19) Ut assidens implumibus pullis avis.
(Epode, 11, 15) Quod si meis inœstuat prœcordiis.
Porsonian Pause.
IX. Porson, in his celebrated preface to the Hecuba, as serted that the following rule was always observed by tho Greek tragedians :
"When the iambic trimeter has, after a word of more than one syllable, the cretic termination ( $-\smile-$ ), either included in one word, or consisting of $-\smile$ and a syllable, or of a monosyllable and - -, then the fifth foot must be an iambus."
X. There is no exception to this law in Catullus, whose iambic trimeters are almost all pure; but it is constantly violated by Horace, in those odes in which iambic trimeters are combined with other kinds of verse; whereas in Epode 17, where these form a system by themselves, it is but once neglected:

Alitibus atque canibus homicídam Hēctorem. ${ }^{1}$

## 7. Scazon, or Chollambus.

1. This measure, called also Hipponactēan, is a variety of the Senarius. It differs from it in this, however, that while the iambic trimeter has invariably an iambus in the sixth place, the scazon has invariably a spondee in the sixth place, and an iambus in the fifth.
II. In all other respects the scazon is the same as the trimeter.
III. An iambus is necessary in the fifth place, in order that the line may not be too lame and heavy; as in spon-

[^55]daic hexameters, we usually find the fourth foot a dactyl for a similar reason.
IV. The terms Scazon ( $\Sigma \kappa a ́ \zeta \omega v$, " limping" or "halting") and Choliambic (X $\omega \lambda \iota a \mu b \iota \kappa o ́ s, " ~ l a m e ~ i a m b i c ") ~ h a v e ~ r e f e r-~$ ence to the peculiar characteristic of this measure, namely, its lame and halting gait, occasioned by the spondee in the sixth place, in opposition to the brisk and lively ending of the regular trimeter.
V. The name Hipponactēan is derived from that of the virulent poet Hippōnax, who, according to some, invented this measure. After his example it was much employed in invectives and in sarcastic composition generally.
VI. The following may be taken as a specimen of this measure.
Catull. Sūffēenŭs īst||ĕ Vār $\mid \breve{e}$ quēm \|| prŏbēe | nōstī, \|l
Hö̀mo ēst | vĕnūst||ūs ēt | diccāx || ēt ūrb|ānūs, \||
Ĭdēm|quē lōng\|è plūr|īmōs \|| făcīt | vērsūs. \||
Pūto èss|e ĕgo ìll||ī mìll|⿺辶a aut \|| dĕcem aut | plūrā \||
Pērscrīpt|ă nēc \| sicc, ūt |fĭt, īn \| pălīm|psēstō \|

Nơvi $\bar{u} m b|i \bar{l} i \overline{\|}| c \bar{c}$, lōr|ă $r \bar{u} b r\|a ̆, m e \bar{c} m r \mid \bar{a} n \bar{a}\|$


## 8. Comic Iambic Trimeter.

I. In comedy, satire, and fable, the poets indulged in very great licenses as regarded the structure and scansion of the trimeter.
II. They admitted the spondee and its equivalents, the dactyl and anapæst, into the second and fourth places, not confining themselves to the iambus except in the sixth. ${ }^{1}$ Thus,

[^56]Petron. An ūt | mātrō\|na ōrnā|tă phălē\|rīs pĕlă|gì̀s. \|
Id. Tūō | pălā\|tō clāu|sūs pāv\|ō pāsc|ītūr. \||

Phædr. Pěrrī|cŭl̄̄||sām fê|cīt mëdī||cīnām | lŭpō. ||

Id. Rē̄x ūrb|īs ê\|jŭs ēx|pĕriēn\|dī grā|tīā. \||
Id. Ignö|tōs falll|ìt nō|tīs èst || dērī|sūī. ||
Terent. Fìde èt | tăcì̀ūr\|ň̆tä|te. Ēxspēct\|ō quīd | vētīs. \|


Id. Allĕre $\overline{a u} t \mid$ cănēs $\| \bar{a} d$ vēn $\mid \bar{a} n d u m ~ \overline{a u} t ~ \| ~ a \bar{d} d$ phīlơ|sŏphōs. II



Id. Dīc sō|dēs quǐs hěr\|ī Chrȳs dri凶. .||

9. Iambic Tetrameter Acatalectic, or Octonarius.
I. This species of verse consists of four measures or eight feet, properly all iambi, but subject to the same variations as the iambic trimeter; so that, by prefixing one measure to a common iambic trimeter, we convert it into an Octonarius.
II. This metre is often used by the Latin comic writers.

Terent. Sānē | pŏl īs\|tăa tē |mülēnt\|a ēst müTil|ĕr ēt \|těmërār $\mid \vec{a}$. $|\mid$
 rēs pōst $\bar{u} l \bar{l} \bar{t}$. ||
Id. Pătěrḕtūr: nām \|| quēm fêrr|ēt sì \|părēnt|ēm nōn \|| fêrrēt | sŭū̀m? ||

[^57] cēnt|īùm. ||
Id. . Nēquīd | prōptēr \|| tưām | fîdèm || dēcēpt|ă pălĕ-\| , $\bar{e} t \bar{u} r|m a ̆ t \bar{l} .| |$
 $\bar{u} \| \mid n o$ ömnēs | sītē. ||
Plaut. Illlōs | quī dānt ||ēōs | dèrī||dès; quī |dè̄̄̄\|\|dunt dē-| pērīs. ||

## 10. Iambic Tetrameter Catalectic.

I. This measure, called likewise Hipponactic, from its inventor, Hippōnax, is the tetrameter or Octonarius deprived of its final syllable.
II. The same variations are admissible here as in the case of the trimeter and tetrameter, and the comic writers, who sometimes used this species of verse, took as great libertiés with it as with the trimeter; always observing, however, to make the seventh foot an iambus.



Terent. Nōn pōss|ūm săt̄̆ $\|n \bar{a} r r a ̄ r|e ̆ ~ q u \bar{s} s\|l \bar{u} d \bar{o} s \mid p r \bar{a} b \breve{u} u \stackrel{e}{l}\|$ ris īnt|ūs.
 $\bar{e} s s \mid \bar{e}$.
III. In this measure there is uniformly a division of the verse at the end of the fourth foot. Thus,

Remitte pallium mihi $|\mid$ meum quod involasti
1 Deprensa navis in mari $\|$ vesaniente vento.
IV. This species of verse is a great favourite with the Greek comic poet Aristophanes, and is also found in many passages of the Latin comedians. The only specimen of it , however, in a pure state in the Latin classics, is a short poem of Catullus'. ${ }^{1}$

1. This measure comes to our ears with a very lively and graceful cadence in those lines where accent and quantity do not clash. Thus,

## 11. Galliambus.

I. This measure derives the first part of its name from the Galli, or priests of Cybele, by whom it was employed in their wild orgies.
II. The only specimen of this verse extant is the poem of Catullus on the legend of $\Lambda$ tys, consisting of 93 lines, and remarkable for the wild dithyrambic spirit that pervades it.
III. From the scanty remains that we possess of this measure, it becomes a difficult task to determine its structure with any great degree of precision. The following, however, is the scheme given by Vulpius, an eminent commentator on the Roman poet:

1. The first foot of the six into which he dirides the measure is generally an anapæst, but sometimes a spondee or a tribrach.
2. The second is generally an iambus, rarely an anapæst, a tribrach, or a dactyl.
3. The third is generally an iambus, rarely a spondee.
4. The fourth is a dactyl or spondec.
5. The fifth is often a dactyl, sometimes a cretic or spondee.
6. The sixth is an anapæst, and sometimes an iambus, preceded by a cretic.
According to this view of the Galliambic measure, the scale is as follows :

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline \smile \smile- & \smile- & -- & -\smile c & -\smile \smile & \smile \smile- \\
-- & \smile \smile- & -- & -- & -\smile- & \smile- \\
\smile \smile- & \smile \smile & & & -- & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Idcmque Thalle turbida rapacior procella;
tike the English ballad, "And thus wee daily danee and sing, and cast all care behind us."
IV. The following lines will afford an idea of the measure :

Sŭpĕr āljlŭu vēct|ŭs Att|ȳs cēlĕr|ī rătĕ | măriāa.

Viridēm $\mid$ cïtüs ăd|ít $|\mid d a \bar{m}$ pröperr|āntĕ pĕ|dĕ chŏrūs

V. Some prosodians, however, make the Galliambic measure consist of an iambic dimeter catalectic (the first foot of which is generally a spondee or an anapæst), followed by another such dimeter wanting the last syllable. Hence they give the scale with its variations as follows:





## SECTION XXXIII.

## TROCHAIC MEASURES.

1. Trochaic verse derives its name from the foot which prevails in it, namely, the trochee.
II. Originally the trochee was the only foot allowed to enter into the line; but variations were afterward introduced, as in the case of iambic verse.
III. The trochee, like the iambus, is convertible into a tribrach. Hence this last-mentioned foot is allowed to enter, and so are the spondee and anapæst. The dactyl, however, is in general not admitted, except in the case of a proper name.
IV. A difference, deserving of careful notice, exists between the iambic and trochaic measures, in that the former
admits the spondee and anapæst into the uneven places but the trochaic into the even only.

## 1. Trochaic Dimeter Catalectic.

I. This measure consists of three feet, properly all trochees, and a catalectic syllable; as,

Horat. Nōn ē|būr nē̈\|que $\overline{\operatorname{cuc}} \boldsymbol{r} e ̄ \mid \bar{u} m$.
Prud. Dōnă | cōnscĭ\|ēntī̀|़्e.
II. In the second place it admits the spondee, the dac tyl, and likewise the anapæst.

> Senec. Lēnis | $\bar{a} c$ mödīl|cūm flŭ|ēns, $A \bar{u} r a ̆{ }^{\prime} \mid n e \bar{c}$ vēr $\|$ gèns lăt $t \bar{u} s$
> Dūcăt | intrĕpülldām răt $\mid \bar{e} m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V \bar{\imath} t a ̆|d \bar{a} c u ̄ r r \| \bar{e} n s v i \bar{l}| \bar{a} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

III. The trochaic dimeter catalectic is otherwise scanned as an Iambic Dimeter Acephalous.
2. Trochaic Dimeter Acatalectic.
I. The trochaic dimeter consists of four feet, properly all trochees; as,

Boëth. Nōn făā̄̄t quŏd \|| ōptăt | ìpsč.\|
II. The spondee, however, and its equivalents in quantity, the anapæst and dactyl, are admitted into the second place.

Buchanan. Incō| ${ }_{\bar{L}} \bar{e}$ tērr $\|\bar{a} r u m ~ a ̆ b ~ \mid \bar{o} r t \bar{u}$,
 Ējă | Dŏminnō || jūb̄̄illātě. || Cōnscì|ōs scĕlĕr $\|$ İ̀s nĕ| fāndz̀. \||

## 3. Trochaic Tetrameter Catalectic.

I. This measure consists of seven feet and a catalectic syllable.
II. Originally all the feet were trochees, but variations
having been subsequently introduced, the following result was finally obtained:

1. The trochee may in every place be resolved into a tribrach.
2. In the even places, that is, the second, fourth, and sixth, in addition to the trochee, a spondee is admissible, which may be resolved into an anapæst.
3. A dactyl is admissible, in the case of a proper name, in any place except the fourth and seventh.
III. The cæsura uniformly takes place.after the fourth foot, thus dividing the verse into a trochaic dimeter acatalectic and a trochaic dimeter catalectic.
IV. The scale, according to what has just been laid down, is as follows :

Proper Name.


Crās ăm|èt quī || nūnquam ăm|āvīt || quīque ăm|āvīt ||crās ăm|èt.
 Vērě | cōncōrd\|ānt ăm|ōrēs, \| vērĕ |nūbūnt \| à̄Tt| $\mid \bar{e} s$,

V. The following lines are given without the marks of scansion, to show the place of the cæsura.

Quando ponebam novellas || arbores mali et piri
Cortici summe notavi || nomen ardoris mei.
Nulla fit exinde finis \| vel quies cupidinis:
Crescit ardor, gliscit arbor, \| ramus implet literas. ${ }^{2}$
VI. This metre was much used in hymns, for which,

1. These lines are taken from the Pervigilium Veneris.
2. Burmann, Anthol. Lat., sol. 1, p. 687.
from its grave and sonorous character, it is well adapted. The division made in the line by the cæsural pause would suit, no doubt, the convenience of the chorus, one portion of their number singing the complete dimeter, the other the catalectic. Thus,

> Prudent. Macte judex mortuorum, \| Macte rex viventium. M. Cap. Scande coli templa Virgo, \|| Digna tanto fredere.
VII. The comic writers took equal liberties with this as with the iambic measure, introducing the spondee and its equivalents, the anapæst and dactyl, into the trochaic places.
Terent. Quōt mŏd|īs cōn\|tēmtūs|sprētūs? \|fāctă | trāns$\bar{a} c t \| a$ ōmnĭ|a hềm.
Id. $\quad$ Tāntām|rēm tām \| nēclē|gēntĕr \| ăgĕrěe? | prātĕřì\| $\bar{e} n s$ mŏd $\mid \bar{o}$.
 prō̄̄̆|qui aut.
Id. Tōt me $\bar{i} m \mid$ Pědīūnt $\| c \bar{u} r \bar{e} \mid q u \bar{\epsilon}$ mĕ\|um ănĭmūm $\mid$ dīvōr\|s $\bar{e}$ tră| $\mid h \bar{u} n t$.
Id. Alīquīd | făcĕrem, ŭt \|hōc nē $\mid$ făcĕrēm $\|$ sēd $n \bar{u} n c \mid$ quīd prī\|mum èxsê|quār?

## SECTION XXXIV.

## CHORIAMBIC MEASURES.

I. Choriambie verses are so denominated from the foot (or measure) which predominates in them, namely, the choriambus, compounded of a choree (or trochee) and an iambus ; as, TāntăTidळ.
II. The structure of choriambic verses is extremely simple, the first foot (with the exception of the dimeter) being generally a spondee, sometimes a trochee or iambus, the last an iambus, while one, two, or three choriambi are interposed.

1. Choriambic Dimeter.
I. The Choriambic Dimeter consists of a choriambus and a bacchius; as,

> Horat. Lȳdīă dīc | për ōmnēs.
> Pērdĕтĕ cūr | ăpricūm.
> Cūr nĕquĕ mì|Ťitārīs.
> Tēmpērăt ṑ|ră frēn̄̄s.
II. This measure occurs once in Horace, in conjunction with another spccies of choriambic verse.
2. Choriambic Trimeter Acatalectic, or Glyconic.
I. This measure, called Glyconic from the poet Glycon, its inventor, consists, as it appears in Horace, of a spondee, a choriambus, and an iambus; as,

Sic tē | Divă pŏtēns | Cy̆prī.
Vēntō|rümquc̆ rĕgāt $\mid$ pătēr.
Nāvīs |qū̄e tîbù crē|dत̄tūm.
II. 'This species of choriambic yerse is not used in a system by itself in the works of either Horace or Catullus, but in combination with other species of choriambic measures.
III. Horace, who was very fond of the Glyconic, invariably adheres to the spondee in the first placc, except in the two following instances, where a trochee occurs:

Tēucĕr et Sthenelus sciens. (Od., 1, 15, 24.) Ignis Iliacas domos. (Ib., 1, 15, 36.)
The best editions, however, now read,
Tēucēr, te Sthenelus sciens.
Ignis Pergameas domos.
IV. Catullus, however, frequently has a trochee in the first place; as,

Rū.tt̄̀ca āgrǐcōta| | bŏnīs.
Cīngĕ | tēmpóră fiōr|ī̀ūs.
Flāmmĕ|ūm căpĕ $\overline{\bar{c}} \mid t u ̆ s ~ h u ̄ c . ~$
$N \bar{u} p t \imath \imath|a ̄ T i a ̆ ~ c i ̄ n| c i n n e ̄ n s . ~$
$V o ̄ c \breve{e}|c a ̄ r m i ̆ n a ̆ ~ t i ̄ n n| \breve{u} l \bar{a}$.
V. The same poet also occasionally uses an iambus in the first place ; as,
VI. In the following line Horace lengthens a short final syllable by the force of the cæsural pause :

Si filgīt adamant|inos.

## 3. Choriambic Trimeter Catalectic, or Pherecratic.

I. The Pherecratic verse, so called from the poet Pherecrătes, is the Glyconic (which we have just been considering) deprived of its final syllable. It consists of a spondee, a choriambus, and a catalectic syllable; as,

Horat. Grātō | P̄̄rrhŭ sŭb ān|trō.
Id. Nīgrīs | 〒َquŏră vēnt|īs.
Id. $\quad$ Spērāt $\mid$ nēsciừs $\overline{u u} r \mid \bar{e}$.
II. 'This species of verse, like the preceding, is not found in a system by itself in the works of either Horace or Catullus.
III. In Horace, the first foot is invariably a spondee. In Catullus, although a spondee is sometimes employed in the first foot, a trochee is far more common; as,

> Āmnăū$m q u e ̆ ~ s o ̆ n a ̄ n t \mid \bar{u} m$.
> Dī̀tă | lüminĕ Lūn $n \bar{a}$.
> Tēctă |frūgibŭs ēx|plēs.
IV. An iambus also occurs in Catullus, but rarely; as,

$$
\boldsymbol{P} \breve{u} \bar{e} l l|\overline{\widetilde{x}} q u e ̆ ~ c a ̆ n \bar{a}| m u \bar{s} s
$$


V. In one instance in Catullus, a long syllable is found supplying the place of the two short ones that contribute to form the choriambus; as,

> Nutri|unt hūmor|e. $\mathbf{Q}$
VI. The Pherecratic, as it appears in Horace, wich a spondee in the first place, is scanned by some as a dactylic trimeter acatalectic. Thus,

> Grātō | Pȳrrhă süb|āntrō.
> Nigris | $\overline{\text { a }} q u o ̆ r a ̆ a \mid v e ̄ n t i ̄ s . ~$
> Spērāt |nēsciưs | $\overline{a u} r$ 厄̄e.
4. Choriambic Ascleflădic Tetrameter.
I. ${ }^{\text {.This measure (sometimes called the Minor Asclepia- }}$ dic) consists of a spondee, two choriambi, and an iambus.
II. The name Asclepiadic is derived from that of the poet Asclepiădes, who is said to have been the inventor of the measure.
III. The following are specimens of it:

Senec. Nōn ìll|ūm pŏtĕrānt \|| figĕrè cūsp|ī̀ $\bar{e} s$.
Prud. Hōstīs | dīrŭs ădēst $\|$ cūm dücĕ pēr $\mid$ fìdō.
IV. The cæsural pause always falls after the first choriambus, as marked in the lines just given; and in the following verses this pause lengthens a short syllable.

Horat. Quam si quidquid arāt $\|$ impiger Appulus. Certa sede manēt, \|| humor et in genas.
V. The position of the cæsural pause after the first choriambus, facilitates the scansion of this measure as a dactylic pentameter catalectic. Thus,

Mēecēnās ătă|vīs || ēdītĕ | rēgibǔus.
 Hōstīs | dīrǔs ăd|ēst ${ }^{\|}$cūm dŭcĕ | pērfidǒ.
VI. This latter mode of scanning was, as we learn from Terentianus Maurus, adopted by many of his contemporaries. He himself, however, condemns the practice. ${ }^{1}$

1 Sunt qui tradiderint, ullima versui
Tanquam pentametro syllaba dempta sit,
Oxam si restituas, pentametrum fore, \&c. (Ter. Maur., 2650.)
VII. Sometimes, though very rarely, the first foot of the Asclepiadic was made a dactyl; as,

Senec. Effŭğ̄|um, et miseros libera mors vocet.
M. Capell. Ómnı̆gē|num genitor regna movens Deûm.
5. Choriambic Tetrameter Acatalectic.
I. This species of verse consists of three choriambi and a bacchius ( -- ); as,
Sept. Ser. Jānĕ pătēr, | Jānĕ tūēns | dīvĕ bŭcèps | bŭfōrmīs.
 Claud. Ömnĕ nĕmūs, | cūm flŭvī̀ss, | $\bar{o} m n e ̆ ~ c a ̄ n a ̄ a t ~ \mid p r o ̆-~$ fūndūm.
II. It admits, however, of variations, each of the three choriambi being changeable to other feet of equal time ; as,
Seren. Cui resera|tă mūgĭūnt |aurea claust|ra mundi.
Id. Tib̆ vètŭs ār|ă călŭĭt ăbŏ|rigineo | sacello.

## 6. Choriambic Pentameter Acatalectic.

I. This measure consists of a spondee, three choriambi, and an iambus; as,
 tìbz.
Id. $\quad N \bar{u} l l a ̄ m|V a ̄ r e ̆ ~ s a ̆ c r a \bar{a}|$ vītĕ prūūs $\mid$ sēvĕris ārb|ŏrēm.

II. This species of verse is sometimes called the Greater Asclepiadic.

## Epichoriambic Verse.

I. By Epichoriambic verse is meant a species of measure which admits some feet that do not properly belong to cho-

## And again:

> Quod jam pentametri non patitur modus;
> Nam sic tres videas esse pedes datos. (Id., 2663.)
riambic measure, but which are, as it were, superadded ihereto. ${ }^{1}$
II. 'The most important varieties of this species of measure are the two following :

## 1. Epichoriambic Trimeter Catalectic, or Sapphic.

I. This measure is a variety of the choriambic trimeter catalectic, and is composed of a second epitrit, a choriambus, and a bacchius; as,

## Horat. Jäm sătīs tēr|rīs nivǐs āt|quĕ dīrā.

Catull. C $\bar{a} s a ̆ r i \bar{s} v i s|\bar{\epsilon} n s ~ m o ̆ n u ̈ m e ̄ n t| a ̆ ~ m a ̄ g n i ̄ . ~$
II. In practice, however, it is more convenient to con sider it as composed of a trochee, a spondee, a dactyl, and two trochees ; as,

Jām săt|īs tērr|īs nĭvĭs | ātquĕ | dirॅe.

HI. Horace invariably has a spondee in the second place; but Catullus,-imitating the example of the Greeks, admits a trochee; as,

IV. Horace generally makes the first syllable of the dactyl cæsural; as,

Pindarum quisquis || studet amulare.
Sanguinem, per quos || cecidere justa.
Integer vite || scelerisque purus.
V. More rarely the first two syllables of the dactyl close a word, thus forming a species of trochaic cæsura; ${ }^{2}$ as,

1. The term Epichoriambic is from trii, "in addition to," and Xopiaцbos.
2. Horace, however, seems to have changed his opinion with regard to this pause. In the first three books of the Odes it occurs but seldom (as, for example, 1,$10 ; 1,12 ; 1,25 ; 2,30 ; 2,6, \& c$.), while in book fourth it happens eleven times in odes second and sixth, four times in ode eleventh, and twelve times in the Carmen Saculare. The form

Nuntium curvaque lyra parintem,
where the enclitic que is the second syllable of the dactyl, occurs twice

Laurea donandus｜｜Apollinari．
Pinus aut impulsa｜｜cupressus Eu＇o．
VI．In one instance，Horace lengthens a short syllable in the cæsura；as，

Angulus ridēt $\|$ ubi non Hymetto．（Od．，2，6，14．）
VII．Catullus，following the Greeks，neglects this cæsu－ ra altogether；as，

$$
\text { Seu Sacas sagittiferosque Parthos. } \quad(11,6 .)
$$

Ultimi flos pretereunte postquam．$(11,22$.
Sapphic Stanza．
I．This stanza，so called from the two celebrated frag－ ments of the gifted Sappho that have reached our times， consists of three Sapplic lines，such as have just been de－ scribed，followed by an Adonic，or Dactylic Dimeter Acat－ alectic．

II．＇Taking Horace for our model，the scale of the Latin Sapphic stanza will be as follows：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-レー } 1--
\end{aligned}
$$

> Jam satis terris $\|$ nivis atque dirc Grandinis misit $\|$ pater, et rubente Dextera sacras $\|$ jaculatus arces Terruit urbem.

III．There is one feature prominently conspicuous in the Sapphic stanza，namely，a close connexion between the third and fourth lines，and hence Horace four times divides a word between them ：

[^58]Labitur ripa, Jove non probante, ux-
-orius amnis. (1,2,19.)
Thracio bacchante magis sub inter--lunia vento. (1, 25, 11.)
Grosphe non gemmis neque purpura ve--nale nec auro. (2, 16, 7.)
$P_{\text {endulum zona bene te secuta } e \text { - }}$
-lidere collum. (3, 27, 59.)
IV. We have a similar instance in Catullus (11, 11):

Gallicum Rhenum horribilisque ultim--osque Britannos.
V. This division of a word is confined, it will be remembered, to the third and fourth verse; no example being found of such a division at the end of the first, second, or fourth. Hence it has been conjectured, and the supposition is a very probable one, that neither Sappho, nor Catullus, nor Horace ever intended the stanza to consist of four separate verses, but wrote it as three, namely, two five foot Sapphics, and one of seven feet (the fifth foot of the long verse being indiscriminately either a spondee or trochee); thus : ${ }^{1}$

Jam satis terris nivis atque dire
Grandinis misit pater, et rubente
Dextera sacras jaculatus arces, terruit urbem.
Ilice dum se nimium querenti
Jactat ultorem, vagus et sinistra
Labitur ripa, Jove non probante, uxorius amnis.
Otium bello furiosa Thrace,
Otium Medi pharetra decori,
Grosphe, non gemmis, neque purpura venale nec auro.
VI. Elision sometimes takes place between the second

[^59]and third, and the third and fourth lines. Thus, in-Horace,
\{2. Dissidens plebi numero beator(um)
3. Eximit virtus, \&c. (2, 2, 18.)
2. Mugiunt vacce, tibi tollit hinnit(um)
3. Apta quadrigis equa, \&c. $(2,16,34$.$) .$
2. Plorat, et vires animumque mores(que)
3. Aureos educit in astra, nigro(que)
4. Invidet Orco. (4, 2, 22.)

S 3. Romule genti date remque prolem(que)
4. Et decus omne. (C. S., 47.)
VII. Elisions of this kind, however, are not necessary in their nature. Thus we find an hiatus between the third and fourth lines in the following, from Horace:

Neve te nostris vitiis iniquum
. Ocior aura. (1, 2, 47.)
Between the first and second, from the same:
Sive mutata juvenem figura Ales in terris, \&c. $(1,2,41$.
And between the second and third:
Aut super Pindo gelidove in Hæmo
Unde vocalem temere insecute
Orphea sylvc. (1, 12, 6.)

## 2. Epichoriambic Tetrameter Catalectic, or Greater Sapphic.

I. This measure consists of a second epitrit, two choriambi, and a bacchius; as follows:

$$
-v--|-v--\|-v-| \cup--
$$

Horat. Tē děōs ōr|̄̄ Š̆bărin \|cūr prơpĕrās | ămāndō.
II. It is, in fact, the ordinary Sapphic, with the addition of a choriambus in the third place.
III. The first syllable of the first choriambus ought to be cæsural, and there ought to be a division of the verse after the first choriambus. ${ }^{1}$

## SECTION XXXV.

IONIC VERSES.
Ionic verses are of two kinds, the Ionic a majore and Ionic a minore, which are so denominated from the feet or measures of which they are respectively composed.

> 1. Ionic a Majore Verses.

Of these the most celebrated is the

## Ionic a Majore Tetrameter Brachycatalectic.

I. This measure is otherwise called the Sotadēan, from Sotădes, a Thracian, who lampooned Ptolemy Philadelphus.
II. In its pure state it consists of three Ionic a majore feet, followed by a spondee, according to the following scheme :

$$
\text { .--u-|--u-1--u } 1--
$$

Tūtō măris | īrās vidĕt $\mid \bar{e}$ līttŏrĕ |nāut $\bar{a}$.
III. Several of these Sotadean verses are to be found ir the remains of the Greek poets, and have been carefully analyzed by Hermann. In Latin, a short fragment of Ennius, and a few irregular lines in Martial and Petronius Arbiter, are the only specimens of the measure, except such as are met with in Plautus. (Aul., 2, 1, 30; 3, 2.)
IV. The Ionics a majore of Martial, and these are but two lines, have the proper foot in the first two places, and a ditrochæus in the third, followed by a spondee.

Hās cūm gĕmĭn|ā cōmpĕdĕ | dēdr̄cāt căt|ēnās Sātūrnĕ tīb|ī̀ Zṑľus | ānnŭlōs prì|ōrēs.?

1. Hermann, D. M. E., 3, 16.-Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 199.
2. Ep., 3, 30.
V. This change of the third measure to a ditrochæus eems to have been a favourite with the writers in this species of verse, as tending to give greater softness and harmony to the otherwise stiff and monotonous line.
VI. By a farther variation, either of the long syllables in each of the three Ionic measures might be resolved into two short, which resolution was regarded as an improvement;' but it does not appear that both the long syllables were ever thus resolved at the same time.
Petron. Pēdĕ tēndītě, | cursum addite, convolate planta.
 Solet integer | ănăpǣstŭs ĕt | in fine locari. Hunc effici|ēt Mĩnŭcĭŭs | ut quis vocitetur. Catalexis enim dicitur | ĕă clāūsŭlă | versus.
Petron. Ferrum timui, quod trepi|dō mălĕ dăbăt | usum.

## 2. Ionic a Minore Verses.

1. The Ionic a minore verse is entirely composed of that foot or measure called the Ionic a minore.
II. We have one specimen of this kind of verse in Horace ( $O d ., 3,12$ ), which is differently arranged by different editors, but is usually considered as a system of Tetrameters Acatalectic.
III. Ionic a minore verses, in fact, are not confined to any particular number of feet or measures, but may, like anapæstics, be extended to any length, provided only, that, with due attention to synapheia, the final syllable in each measure be either naturally long, or be made long by the concourse of consonants; and also that each sentence or period terminate with a complete measure, having the spondee or two long syllables of the Ionic foot for its close.
2. "Nam, quo fuerint crebrius hi pedes minuti,

Vibrare sonum versiculos magis videmus."
(Terent. Maur., v. 2054, seq.)
2. This line, together with the three that follow, are taken from Terentianus.
IV. The specimen from Horace above alluded to is as follows:

Mísĕrārum ēst |nĕque ămōrī | dărĕ lūdūm, | nĕquĕ dūlcī





## SECTION XXXVI.

## LOGAGEDIC VERSES.

I. Logacedic verses are those which are formed by adding any number of trochees to any dactylic verse.
II. They receive their name from $\lambda$ óyos, "discourse," and doo $\delta \dot{\eta}$, "song," because dactylic verse is the lofty language of poetry, whereas the trochaic approaches more nearly to ordinary discourse. ${ }^{1}$
III. Of logaœdic verses the most important are the following :

## 1. Archilochian Heptameter.

I. This measure is composed of a Dactylic Tetrameter a priore, followed by a pure Trochaic Dimeter Brachycatalectic.
II. The first three feet may be either dactyls or spondees; the fourth is always a dactyl ; the last three are trochees. Thus,


Horat. Sōlvǐtŭr | àcris hy̆|ēms grā|tā vǐcě \||vēris |ēt Fäv|ōnh̆.
III. The first syllable of the third foot ought to be cessu ral, and the fourth foot ought to end with a word.

1. The iambus is the true foot for discourse, and the trochee for dari-
 beiōv éath. (A. P., 4.)
IV. Horace uses this species of verse once in Od., 1, 4, where it is placed alternately with an Iambic Trimeter Catalectic.

## 2. Alcaic Decasyllabic, or Minor Alcaic.

I. This measure consists of a pure Dactylic Dimeto. Acatalectic, followed by a pure Trochaic Monometer Acat alectic ; as,

## Flūmĭnă | cōnstı̆tūr|īnt ăc|ūtŏ.

II. 'This forms the fourth line of the celebrated Alcaic or Horatian stanza, which we are presently to consider.

## 3. Phaleccian Hendecasyllabic.

I. This measure, termed Phalæcian from the poet Phalæcus, and Hendecasyllabic because consisting of eleven syllables ( $\left.{ }_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \varepsilon \kappa a \sigma v \lambda \lambda a 6 a i ́\right)$, is composed of five feet, a spondee, a dactyl, and three trochees; as,

Mart. Nōn ēst | vìvĕrĕ | sēd văl|ẹrē̆ | vìtă.

II. In other words, it consists of a Dactylic Dimeter Acatalectic, followed by a Trochaic Dimeter Brachycatalectic.
III. Catullus, with whom this is a favourite measure, uses a trochee not unfrequently in the first place, and sometimes an iambus; as,

Ārǐd|a modo pumice expolitum. (1, 2.)
Tōtă | millia me decem poposcit. (41,2.)
Amī|cos medicosque convocate. $(41,6$.
Mēās | esse aliquid pùtare nugas. (1, 4.)
IV. This liberty, however, was rarely taken by the poets subsequent to Catullus. ${ }^{1}$
V. Catullus has in some instances marred the elegance

[^60]and harmony of this measure, by introducing a heavy spondee into the second place; ${ }^{1}$ as,

Et mult ${ }^{\text {is }}$ lāng|uoribus peresus. $(55,31$.
VI. The same poet, in one line of a very irregular piece, has a tribrach in the first place, a license, however, which appears authorized by the difficulty of otherwise employing a proper name.

Cămĕrì|um mihi pessimœ puella. $(55,10$.
VII. The name Hendecasyllabic does not exclusively belong to Phalæcian verse, since there are other measures to which it is equally applicable. For instance, the Sapphic and a variety of the Alcaic not only contain the like number of syllables, but also in like proportion of long to short, so that the same words sometimes may, in different positions, become either a Phalæcian, a Sapphic, or an Alcaic. 'Thus,'
(Phal.) Sūmmūm | nëc mētū| $\bar{a} s ~ d \bar{\imath}|\bar{e} m n e \check{c}| \bar{o} p t e ॅ s . ~$

(Alc.) $\quad S \bar{u} m m u \bar{u} m|n \check{e} c \bar{o} p t| \bar{e} s \| n \bar{c} c m e ̆ t u ̆ \mid \bar{a} s ~ d i e ̆ m . ~$

## SECTION XXXVII.

COMPOUND MEASURES.

## 1. Dactylico-Iambic.

I. This measure occurs in the eleventh epode of Horace. being used there alternately with the Iambic Senarius, and consists of a Dactylic Trimeter Catalectic, followed by an Iambic Dimeter Acatalectic; as,

Scrībĕrĕ | vērsĭcü|lōs || ămōr|č pēr $\|$ |cūssūun | grăvī. ||
II. This measure properly falls under the head of Asynartēte ${ }^{2}$ verses, that is, the component parts are not subject

1. This is made by some a scparate measure, and called Pseudo-Phalacian.
2. From á, privative, and ovvaprú $\omega$, "to join together;" bence ảбvy ápripos, " not closcly joined togcther."
to the ordinary laws of prosody and versification, since the last syllable of the first member of the verse may be either long or short, just as if it were the final syllable of a separate line; and, moreover, an hiatus may take place between the two members of the measure. Thus,

> Inachia furerē, || silvis honorem decutit. Arguit et laterē || petitus imo spiritus. Libera consiliā || nec contumelie graves Fervidiore mero \|| arcana promórat loco. Vincere mollitia \| amor Lycisci me tenet.

These lines all occur in the eleventh epode of Horace. In the first, second, and third, the short final syllables in $f u$ rerĕ, laterĕ, and consiliă are considered long, by virtue of their position at the end of the dactylic trimeter catalectic ; while in the fourth and fifth there is an hiatus between the two members of the verse (mero arcana and mollitia amor).

## 2. Iambico-Dactylic, or Elegiambic.

I. This measure is directly the reverse of the preceding, that is, it consists of an Iambic Dimeter, followed by a Dactylic Trimeter Catalectic. Thus,
Horat. Tर̄u vì|nă Tōrq\|uātō | mŏvē $\|$ cōnsŭlĕe | prēssă mê|ō. Id. Rĕdū|cĕt in || sēdēm | vz̆cē. \| Nūnc ēt Å|chळ̄mĕnĭ|o.
 Id. Fīndūnt |Scămānd\|rī fūm|īnā\|lūbricŭs|ēt Simō|īs.
II. This measure, like the preceding one, belongs properly to the class of Asynartete verses; and hence, in the second, third, and fourth lines just cited, the short final syllables in vicĕ, pectoră, and fumină are considered long, by virtue of their position at the end of the iambic dimeter.
III. There are in all nine lines belonging to this species of verse in Horace. It is not used in a system by itself, but is placed alternately with the heroic hexameter in Epode 13.

## 3. Alcaic Hendecasyllabic, or Greater Alcaic.

I. This measure is compounded of an Iumbic Monometer Hypercatalectic and a pure Dactylic Dimeter Acatalectic ; as,
 Claud: Vĕnūs | rĕvērrs|ūm || spērnăt A|dōnĭdĕm.
II. But the first foot of the iambic portion is, of course, alterable to a spondee; as,

Horat. Ō mā|trě pūl|chrā\|fīiă |pūlchriōr.

III. Horace much more frequently has a spondee than an iambus in the first place, and Prudentius always a spondee.
IV. The Alcaic is sometimes scanned with a choriambus and an iambus in the latter colon or member; as, Vīdēs | ùt ālt|ā\| stēt nivě cānd|īdūm.

V. Although Horace, who has made greater use of this measure in his lyric compositions than any other, never employed it except in conjunction with two other species of verse (see Alcaic Stanza, below), ofher write-s have composed entire poems in it alone, as Prudentius, who haz a long piece entirely consisting of unmixed Alcaics (Pcris teph., 14), and Claudian a shorter production (In Nupr Hon. Aug. et Mar.).
VI. Claudian's piece begins as follows :

Princeps corusco sidere pulchrior,
Parthis sagittis tendere certior, Eques Gelonis imperiosior, Quce digna mentis laus erit arduce? Que digna formce laus erit ignee? \&c.

> The Alcaic Stanza.
I. This consists of four lines : the first two are Alcaic

Hendecasyllabics, or Greater Alcaics ; the third is an Iambic Dimeter Hypermeter, or Alcaic Enneasyllabic; and the fourth is an Alcaic Decasyllabic, or Minor Alcaic.
II. The scheme of the Alcaic stanza is therefore as follows:

Lines 1 and 2.


Line 3.


Line 4.


Vides ut alta stet nive candidum
Soracte nec jam sustineant onus Sylve laborantes geluque

Flumina constiterint acuto?
III. According to the scheme which has just been giren, it will be seen that the first foot in each of the first two lines may be either an iambus or a spondee. Horace, however, as we have already remarked, gives a decided preference to the spondaic commencement. Out of 634 Alcaic Hendecasyllabics extant in his works, 18 only have an iambus in the first place; that is, about one in thirty-five.
IV. Once only do we find in the same poet two lines in succession beginning with an iambus; as,

## Mĕtū deorum continuit? quibus

Pĕpērcit aris? O utinam nova. (1, 35, 37.)
V. The fifth syllable in the first and second lines ought always to be cæsural ; as,

$$
\text { Non si trecen } \mid \text { is } \mid \text { quotquot eunt dies. }
$$

VI. Horace, however, directly violates this rule twice. ${ }^{1}$

1. Special rules for the structure of the Alcaic stanza may be found in Ramsay, Lat. Pros., p. 220, seqq.

Mentemque lymphatam Mareotico. (1, 37, 14.),
SDectundus in certamine Martio. (4, 14, 17.)

## SECTION XXXVIII.

## MEASURES NOT INCLUDED UNDER THE PREVIOUS CLASSIFICATION.

1. Cretic Verse.
I. Cretic numbers belong in strictness to the trochaic, and are nothing else but a Catalectic ${ }^{\circ}$ Trochaic Dipodia, which consists of arsis, thesis, and arsis again.
II. Since this order is periodic, it is plain that the thesis cannot be doubtful, but consists always and necessarily of one short syllable only, but that each arsis may be resolved; whence it comes to pass that both the first and fourth pæon, and, moreover, even five short syllables, may be put for the cretic. Thus,

III. It must also be remarked, that when several cretic feet are conjoined in one verse, no one coheres with another in a periodic order ; and the last syllable of the last foot, as every final syllable, cannot be resolved except in systems in which, since the numbers are continued in one unbroken tenour, the last foot of the verses, unless it is at the same time the last foot of the whole system, is subject to the same law as each intermediate foot.
IV. Cretics are much used by the Roman tragedians and comedians, and with the same license as to prosody as the rest of the metres. Whence, if they ever put a molossus for a cretic, they do it in such a manner as to conceal the faultiness of the measure under the ambiguity of a familiar pronunciation. ${ }^{1}$ Thus,

Plaut. Aūt sōtūltōs sināt, | quōs ārgēnt|o ēmérīt. ${ }^{2}$

[^61]
Id. - Ul tūō $\mid$ récīpū̄̄s | tēctō, sērv|ēsquē nōs. ${ }^{2}$
V. As they commonly use the tetrameter, they often made the verse, divided into two equal parts, asynartete. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, Ennius in the Andromacha:


VI. Plautus has not only dimeters sometimes, but still oftener catalectic tetrameters, and that, too, with the third foot having the last syllable doubtful, and the fourth admitting a resolution of the arsis. Thus, in the Trinummus (2, 1, 17, seqq.) :

D̄̄̄ mĭhi hōc, | mēl mĕūm, | sī me ămās, | si au dǐs :
Ĭb̌̆ pēndēnt|èm fĕrīt : | jam àmptı̄̄s | ōrăt.
Nōn sătūs ìd | èst mălì, | ni āmpľūs't | ētiăm, \&c.

## 2. Bacchiac Verse. ${ }^{4}$

I. The ancient metricians referred bacchiac numbers to the pronic kind, as having arisen from the contraction of the second or fourth pæon.
II. Modern scholars, ${ }^{4}$ however, on account of the iambic anacrusis, have joined them with trochaic numbers, although they are in reality spondaic with an iambic anacrusis.
III. The numbers of the amphibrach ( $-\cup$ ), if repeated, were with reason displeasing to the ancients, on account of their too great weakness. Wherefore, to give them strength, they changed the trochee into a spondee, and thus produced the bacchius ( -- ).
IV. The Roman tragedians and comedians made great use of bacchiac verses, joining also, for the most part, many of them together.

1. Rud., 1, 5, 15.
2. Ibiu., 1, 5, 19.
3. Vid. page 192 .
4. Herin., Elem. Doctr. Metr., 2, 22.
V. The legitimate measure of a bacchius in the middle of verses is this, --- ; but in the end of verses this,

The freer prosody of the Latins, however, tolerates both a long anacrusis and a dissyllabic one. And a dissyllabic one was usually admitted by Plautus in the first and third foot of tetrameters, that is, in the beginning of each member, which is commonly composed of two feet; sometimes in the second and fourth foot also. Thus, in the Aulula. ia ( $2,1,4, s \in q$.) :

Quāmquam hāud fāls|ă sūm nōs| ōdīōsās | hăbērī.
Nām mūltūm | löquācēs | mĕríto ōmnēs | hăbēmūr.
In the Menæchm. $(5,6,6)$ :
Mĕrĭto hōc nō|b̄̄̀s fīt qū̄|quădem hūc vēn|ĕrimūs. In the Amphitryon (2, 1, 15) :

Tūn' mé vērb|ĕro aud $\bar{e} s$ | hĕrūm lū|dǐfǐcār̄̄.
VI. Tetrameters having a cæsura at the end of the second foot are a kind very much in use. That cæsura, however, is often neglected. Plautus, who delighted very much in this measure, sometimes inserted a dimeter in the midst of tetrameters. Sometimes he even coupled two verses by means of an elision; as in the Amphitryon (2,2):

Sătīn pārv|ă rès èst | vŏlūptā|tum in vīta àt|(que)
In $\bar{\alpha} t \bar{a}|t e ~ a ̆ g u ̄ n d \bar{a},|p r \bar{e} ~ q u a ̄ m ~ q u o ̄ d ~| ~ m o ̂ l e ̄ s t u m ~ e ̀ s t . ~$
VII. These tetrameters sometimes appear to have clausulæ of an iambic dimeter catalectic ; as in Terence, $A n$ drian. (3, 2, 4) :
Quŏd jūssi $\bar{\imath} \mid$ |dărī būbĕr|e èt quāntum ìm|pĕrāvī || dătĕ mōx | ĕgo hūc | rëvērt|ōr.
VIII. Bacchiac verses sometimes appear to be continued in systems, so that a doubtful syllable has no place in the end of the verses, and words may be divided between two
verses. Thus, we have the following from Varro ( $\pi \varepsilon p i$


Quēmnām te èss|ĕ dīcām. | fĕrā quī | mănū cōr-|
 guīnīs, tề|quē vìtā | leॅvās fērr|ēo ēnsē. |
IX. Catalectic bacchiacs, having the last foot an iambus, are remarkable in Plautus. Thus, we have the following dimeters in the Persa (2, 28, 30) :

> Pērge, ūt cā̀|pērās,
> $H \bar{o} c, l \bar{e} n \bar{o} \mid t \stackrel{\imath}{b} \bar{\imath}$
> Dēlūde, ūt | lübēt,
> Hêrūs dum hīnc | ăbēst.
> $V$ ădēsne, ūt |tŭīs
> Dīctīs pār|ēō?
3. Saturnian Verse.
I. The Saturnian verse, which some rank among the asynartete measures, appears to have been the only one used by the most ancient Roman poets.
II. In it both inscriptions and poems were written. Livus Andronicus translated the Odyssey into this measure, and in it Nævius wrote his poem on the First Punic War.
III. The Saturnian has the following scheme:


IV. But the rude poets of this early age both disregarded the cæsura often, and used every kind of resolution, resolving even the doubtful syllable in the end of the first member. After the manner of ancient language, too, they allowed spondees in all the places. Nay, the most ancient of the poets seem to have thought it sufficient if their verses only bore some sort of resemblance to these numbers. The
verses of the inscription composed by Nævius on himself, and preserved by Aulus Gellius (1,24), are tolerable enough:

Mōrtā|ľ̀s ìm|mōrtā|l̄s || flērĕ | sī för|ēt făs,
 Ĭtăquē | pōstquam ēst | Ōrcī|nō\| $\operatorname{trā} d \bar{i} \mid t \bar{u} s$ thēs $\mid \overline{a u r o ̄, ~}$

V. The last of the Romans who used this measure ap. pears to have been Varro in his Satires. ${ }^{1}$

## SECTION XXXIX.

ON THE UNION OF DIFFERENT KINDS OF VERSE. ${ }^{2}$
I. A poem receives the name of Monocölon, Dicōlon, Tricölon, \&c., according to the number of different species of verse which it contains.
II. When a poem contains one species of verse only, it is called Monocōlon (from $\mu$ óvos, "alone," "single," and $\kappa \tilde{\omega} \lambda o v$, " a limb," or " member"). The Eclogues, Gcorgics, and Æneid of Virgil, the Satires and Epistles of Horace, the Metamorphoses of Ovid, are all examples of Carmina Monocōla, since they consist of hexameters alone. So also the first ode of the first book of the Odes of Horace is a Carmen Monocolon, since it is a system of choriambic Asclepiadics, unbroken by any other species of verse; and so on.

IlI. When a poem contains two species of verse, it is called Dicōlon. The Fasti and Epistles of Ovid, the Elegies of Tibullus and Propertius, which are composed of dactylic hexameters and dactylic pentameters, placed alternately, are Carmina Dicōla. So also those odes which are written in the Sapphic stanza: the third of the first book of Horace, which contains two different species of choriambic verse, and numerous others.

1. Herm., Doctr. Elem. Metr., 3, 9.
2. Ramsay's. Lat. Pros., p. 230, seq.
IV. When a poem contains three different species of rerse, it is called Tricölon. Of this we have an example in the Alcaic stanza of Horace, which is composed of three different kinds of verse.
V. Another series of terms has been devised in order to point out the intervals after which the first species of verse used in any poem regularly recurs.
VI. When the first species of verse regularly recurs after the second line, the poem receives the epithet of Distrŏphon.
VII. Thus, poeras composed in elegiac verse are called Carmina Dicōla Distrŏpha. But a poem in the Sapphic stanza, although Dicōlon, is not Distrŏphon, because the first species does not recur regularly until after the fourth line.
VIII. When the first species of verse recurs after the third line, the poem receives the epithet Triströphon; after the fourth line, Tetrastrŏphon; and after the fifth line, Pentastrŏphon.
IX. According to this system, a poem written in the Sapphic stanza is termed Carmen Dicōlon Tetrastrŏphon; in the Alcaic stanza, Carmen Tricōlon Tetrastrŏphon; while the Epithalamium of Julia and Manlius, in Catullus, is Dicōlon Pentastrŏphon.
X. This species of nomenclature, however, is by no means perfect, as it does not point out the circumstances under which the first species of verse is repeated. Thus, in the Alcaic stanza, the first two lines are in the same species of verse, the third and fourth are different from this and from each other ; the grammarians, however, call a poem in this stanza Tricōlon Tetrastrŏphon. But if a stanza of four lines is arranged in such a manner that the first line is one species of verse, the second and the third different from the first, but the same with each other, and the fourth different from any of the preceding; or if the first and second are different from each other, the third and fourth different from
the two preceding, but the same with each other, then, in either of these cases, the poem must be called Tricolon Tetrastrŏphon. So a poem in the Sapphic stanza is called Dicōlon Tetraströphon; but if a stanza were composed containing one Sapphic line followed by three Adonics, the poem would still bear the same appellation.

## SECTION XL.

## LATIN ACCENTUATION. ${ }^{1}$

In every word of more than one syllable, one is distin guished by a peculiar stress or elevation of the voice, which is called accent, of which those that precede or follow are destitute. The syllable so distinguished is said to have the acute accent, which is sometimes marked thus ( ) ; the grave ('), which is seldom marked, is supposed to be placed over those syllables which are pronounced without that stress of the voice before spoken of. The circumflex, ( ${ }^{\wedge}$ ) or ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ), is supposed to be formed by a combination of the acute and the grave, and hence is usually placed over contracted syllables.

In modern languages, the accent, when it falls upon a short syllable, has, in most cases, the same effect as if it were long; but in Latin and Greek, accent and quantity were distinguished from each other; and, by care and practice, this may be done in reading those languages.

Words of two syllables have in Latin the accent on the first: if this is naturally long, as in Rōma, māter, there is no difficulty; if short, as hŏmo, păter, we must endeavour to give the first syllable that percussion of the voice which constitutes the accent, without lengthening the vowel, or yet doubling the following consonant.

The accent never falls on the last syllable of Latin words except when words of the same letters, but different senses are to be distinguished by it: e. g., poné, behind ; $\operatorname{erg} \hat{0}$, on

[^62]account of; to distinguish them from pone (imper. of pono), and érgo, therefore.

Words of three syllables or more have the accent on the last syllable but one (penultima) when it is long, and on the last but two (antepenultima) when the penult is short; as, amâsse, audîsse, imperátor, hómines, Constantinópolis. No accent is in Latin thrown farther back than the antepenultima.

Some words, from their close connexion with those which precede them, are pronounced as if they were the last syllables of those words; e. g., prepositions when they are placed after their cases, and ne, que, ve. They are called enclitics; and the last syllable of the word to which they are appended always has the acute accent; as, pectoribrisque.

As the system of accents in Latin is so simple, no accentual marks are used except the circumflex, which is placed over some contracted syllables, and over the ablatives of the first declension (musâ, poeta), to distinguish them from the nominative: The Latins themselves do not place the circumflex over the genitive ; and it is doubtful if this form arose from contraction.

$\qquad$


## APPENDIX.

## relative value of the latin poets as metrical AUTHORITIES. ${ }^{1}$

I. We will first give a list of the Latin poets, with the dates of their birth and death, where these particulars can be ascertained, and then a statement of their relative value as authorities in matters of a metrical nature.


[^63]1. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. vii., seqq.

II. In the above list, some who precede Lucretius must be thrown out of consideration altogether. We can attach no importance, in controverted points, to these early bards, of whom nothing has descended to us except short and mutilated fragments. It is well known that these scraps are all
collected, at second land, from the old grammarians and others, who. cited them for the purpose of proving or illustrating particular points, which seldom have any reference to quantity. The quotations, it would seem, were frequently made from memory, and therefore subject to every kind of change and corruption in the first instance, in addition to the subsequent mutilations which they suffered in transcription, arising from the strange and uncouth dialect in which many of them were expressed.
III. The comic dramatists, Plautus and Terence, must also, in strictness, be excluded. We are still comparatively ignorant of the laws by which their verse is regulated, notwithstanding the labours of such men as Erasmus, Scaliger, Faber, Hare, Bentley, Hermanu, and a host of others.
IV. Lucretius and Catullus, although inferior in genius to none of their successors, scarcely occupy the first rank in the estimation of the prosodian, because they may be said to exhibit the language in its transition state, at a period when much of the ancient roughness was removed, but when it had not yet received the last brilliant polish.
V. Virgil, Horace, Tibullus, Propertius, and Ovid are our great standards : yet even among these slight differences may be perceived. The first two never admit the double $i$ in the genitive of nouns of the second declension in ium and ius, which is common in Ovid; and the shortening of final o in verbs, which was afterward extended to nouns and adverbs, first begins to appear in the immediate successors of Virgil.
VI. Of the above, Propertius is the least valuable, on account of the small number and imperfections of the MSS., which have, in many passages, baffled the acuteness of the most practised editors.
VII. Next follows a group of seven, all of little moment. After these we come to Phædrus, whose fables are now generally received as authentic; but the text is derived from one or two indifferent MSS., and is, consequently, in many places confused and unsatisfactory.
VIII. With regard to those who come after, up to the end of the first century, it may be laid down as a rule, that their zuthority is admissible in points where we can obtain no information from purer sources, but must never be placed
in competition with that of the great masters who went before.
IX. All the successors of Statius must be regarded as of little value for matters of prosody, except Calpurnius, Ausonius, and Claudian, the latter of whom is not more remarkable for the purity of his diction than for the false glitter of his style.

## I N D E X.

A final Taye
A " in numcrals $\quad$ n. 3 on 68

Aro (trisyllabic and dissylla.
bic)
A cnding 1st member of com- pound voords ..... 43
A in sing. increment of 1 st declension ..... 49
A in sing. increment of $3 d$ de- clension ..... 50
A in plural increment of nouns ..... 59
A in increment of verbs ..... 61
A the preposition in composi- tion ..... 40
A in Greek compounds n. on 43
A vocative of Grcek nouns in es and e ..... n. 2 on ${ }^{6} 68$
Ab , the preposition in compo-sition41
Abicit, abici ..... 126
Abiegni as ab-yegni ..... 120
Abiete as ab-yete ..... 119
Abscidi and abscidi ..... 33
Academia n. 2 on 22
Acatalectic verses ..... 144
Acecnt, Latin ..... 202
Accphalous verscs ..... 145
Achillē ..... n. 1 on 69
Ad, preposition in composition ..... 41
Adicit (adjicit), adici, \& $c$. ..... 126
Adjectives ending in -acus, -icus, \&c., quantity of pe- nult of ..... 99
Adonic verse ..... 146
Ador n. 1 on 57
Aeta ..... n. on 25
Agæon ..... 57
Eolic dialect, pronunciation of ..... 157
Bolic pentameter ..... 151
Afranius, metrical authority of 206 Agnītum ..... 37, 40
Agri-cultura ..... 45
Aï in genitive of 1 st declension explained ..... 1. on 17
Aï, quantity ofS 2
Ato explained ..... n. 2 on 19
Al, nouns cnding in, incre-
ment of . . . . . 51 and n.
Alcaic verse (the greater) . . 194
" " (minor) ..... 191
" enneasyllabic ..... 167
" decasyllabic ..... 191
" hendecasyllabic ..... 194
" stanza ..... 194-5
Alcmanian tetrameter ..... 149
Alexandrea ..... 21
Alius ..... 18
Alterius ..... n. 1 on 18
Ambe ..... 23
Ambītus and ambittus
$25,35, \mathrm{n}$. on 40
An, Greck accus. from nom.
a . . . . . n. 2 on 85, 87
An, Greek accus. from nom. as ..... 85
Anapast ..... 162
Anapastic verses ..... 161-5
" dimeter ..... 163
" " catalectic ..... 164
" monometer ..... 163
Anas ..... 90
Anceps, ancipes, \&c. $n .1$ on 49
Anteā, \&c., formation of n .1 on 66
Antithesis ..... 125
Aperio, quantity of initial syl-
lable ..... 127
Apharesis ..... 122
Apocope ..... 124
Appendix, increment of
n. 1 on 56
$\mathrm{AR}_{\mathrm{R}}$, nouns in, increment of
56 and n .
Arabia, quantity of 1 st syllable ..... 128
Archaisms ..... 121
Archilochian iambic dimeterhypermeter.167
Archilochian heptameter ..... 190
Ariete as ar-yete ..... 119
Aristophanes, metre much used Page Choriambic pentameter ..... Pug
" tetrametcr ..... 183As final . . . . . . 52,89As, Grcck nouns in, increment
of ..... 52
As, Greck nominaticc ..... 90
As, " accusative plural ..... 90
Asclepiadic verse (minor) ..... 182
At in contracted pretcrites ..... 81
Atrëides, Atrìdes ..... n. 2 on 98
Attius, value as metrical au-
thority ..... 206
Ausonius, value as metrical authority ..... 208
Authority in quantity ..... 15
B.
3 final ..... 80
Bacchiac verse ..... 197
Buse in metre ..... 141
Bebryx, increment of ..... 56
Bibi, from bibo ..... 32
Bìduum, \&c. . ..... 46 and $n$.
Bigæ, ${ }^{+}$ ..... n. 3 on 45
Bimus, \&c. n. 6 on 45
Böbus and bübus n. 1 on 48
Brachycatalcctic rerse ..... 144
Britto, increment of ..... 57
C.
C final. ..... 81
Cæcilius, value as metrical authority ..... 206
Casura ..... 101
" kinds of ..... 102
" rules for ..... 104
" ponoer of ..... 106-107
Caïus, trisyllabic ..... 18
Callinus, inventor of elegy . . 156Calpurnius, value as metricalauthority208
Catalcctic verses ..... 144
Catullus, value as metrical au-thority207
Causidicus ..... 40
Cave, quantity of ..... n. 2 on 70
Cecìdi and cecìdi ..... 31
Celtiber ..... 50, 88
Censitum, censitor, \&c.
n. 2 on 36
Choliambus171
Chorēa and chorèa ..... 20
Choriambic vcrses ..... 17 ..... 188
" Asclepiadic ..... tc.
trameter ..... 182
Choriambic tetrameter catalec- ..... tic ..... 151
Choriambic trimeter acatalectic ..... 189
" " cataicutic ..... 181
" dimeter acatalectic
Ciris, value as metrical au-thorityn. on 77
Cītum, cǐtum, and compounds 35
Claudian, value as metricalauthority208
Cognītum, \&c. ..... 37, 40
Compendi-facio ..... 45
Compound words, quantity of 39
Concitus ..... 34-35
Conjicio ..... 126
Conjugations, ancient form ofn. 4 on 23
Connubium ..... 40
Conopēum and Conopǐumn. 1 on 22
Consonants ..... 13
Contractcd syllables ..... 23-24
Contraction of ea ..... 114
" " ei ..... 115
" " eo ..... 115
" " ia ..... 115
" " ii ..... 116
" " io ..... 116
" " iu ..... 116
" " 00 ..... 117
117
Corcodilus and crocodilus ..... 126
Crctic verse ..... 196
Cui (dissyllabic) ..... 74
Cur . ..... n. 1 on 88
D.
D final ..... 80
Dactylic vorses ..... 145-161
" " casura in ..... 159
" vcrsification, origin
of ..... 157
Dactylic hexameter ..... 157
" " Meiūrus ..... 161
Priapean ..... 160
" pentameter ..... 151
rules for 152
Eolic 151
6
D.
Dactylic Pho Recian pentameter 150 tetrimeter a priore . 149
E in plural inerement of nouns ..... Paxo
59
E in verbal increment ..... 62" " a posteriore 149" " Meiūrus . 148" " catalectic . 148
" trimeter ..... 147
" with a base. ..... 147
" hypercatalec-
147
tie
146
Dactylic trimeter calalectic ..... 145
Dactylico-iambic metre ..... 192
De, preposition in composition ..... 40
Dedi from do ..... 32
Dejero. ..... 40
Deponent verbs ..... n. 1 on 60
Dcrivatives, quantity of . . 37" " "excep-
tions to n. 3 on 37,39
Desiderative verbs in -urio . 100
Di, preposition in composition 40
Diaresis ..... 121
Diana ..... n. on 19
Diastole ..... 128
Dicare, dicere ..... 38
Dicolon ..... 200
Dionæus, rcmarks on quantity of æ ..... 25Diphthongs13
quantity of ..... 25
" crroncously rank-
ed as26
Dipodia ..... 141
Dĭrimo ..... n. on 40
Dīrŭtum ..... n. on 35
Disertus ..... n. on 40
Distrophon . . . . . . 201Diun. 1 on 79
Do, increment of ..... 61-62, and n. 1 on 61
Donec n. 1 on 81
Double lctters ..... 13
Duo n. 1 on 47
E.
E final
E " of adverbs ..... 68-72
" ..... 7071
E in Greek neuter plural .70
E in inerement of $3 d$ deelen-sion . . . . . . . 52́-54
E in contracted gen. a nd dat.of 5 th declensionn. 1 on 69
E before R (in verbs) ..... 62
E " Ram, RIm, ro. ..... 63
E torninating lst member of compound words ..... 44
E, preposition in composition ..... 40
E long from Greek $\varepsilon$ ع ..... 21
E in imperative of verbs of $2 d$conjugationn. 2 on 70
EA, Greek accusative from eus ..... 22
Eetasis ..... 128
Eethlipsis ..... 112
Ego, quantity of final syllable
n. 1 on 78
Eheu ..... n. 1 on 20
EI of 5 th declension ..... n. on 17
Ei in Hebrew names .....  53,83
Elcgiambie measure ..... 193
Elegiac distich ..... 155
Elegy, origin of ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ s)$ ..... 156
Elision of final vowels. ..... 108
" of M final and its vow-
el sometimes negleeted ..... 113
Elision, effect of in poetry ..... 108
" at the end of a verse 113
"" of the vowel of ne 109
sometrmes neglected ..... 110
Elision of a short vonoel neġ-
leeted in Virgil ..... 112
Elision in compound words ..... 24
" of final s
n. 2 on 108, 107, ..... 108
Elisisn, rules for ..... 113
Endo for in ..... n. 3 on 23
Ennehemimeris ..... n. 1 on 102
Ennius, value as metrical au-thority206
Eos, Greek genitive from eus 22
Epenthesis ..... 123
Epichoriambic verse ..... 183
tie ..... 184
Epichoriambic tetrameter ..... 187
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}$, nouns in, increment of '53-54
Ergo ..... n. 1 on 77
-erunt of preterite, shortening
of penult in . 63, n. 1 on 127
Erŭtum ..... n. on 35
Es final ..... $90, \mathrm{n} .2$ on 90
Es of Greck nouns ..... 92
Es Greek masc. singular . 53-54
Es from edo . . . n. 1 on 91
Es from sum, and compounds
Hipponactic tetrametcr ..... Pas
174 ..... 172n. 1 on 91
Eüs, adjcctives in ..... 21
Eus, Greck proper names in ..... 26
" according to Latin de-clension26
Excitus ..... 31-35
F.Facn. 3 on 81
Facio, verbs compounded with 44
Faliscan versen. 1 on 148
Famè, quantity of final syllablen. 1 on 69
Far n. 1 on 88
Feet, metrical 1 on 88
" " table of. ..... 138-139
" simple 132-135
" compound ..... 135-138
" isochronous 142-143
Fere ..... 71
Fidi from findo ..... 32
Figures of Prosody ..... 101-131
Final syllables ..... 66
" of a verse ..... 97
Fio, quantity of penult $\quad$ n. on 16
" verbs compounded of ..... 44
Fluviorum as fluv-yorum ..... 120
Foris ..... n. 1 on 94
Fortuitus ..... 120
Fur n. 1 on 28
Fuvi, an old perfect ..... 123
G.
Galliambus ..... 175
Gerunds in do, quantity offinal syllable . . n. 3 on 76
Glyconic verse180
Gratis n. 1 on 94
H.
H a mere breathing . 14, 16, 27H dropped bctween two vowels 24Hannibaln. 1 on 51,8 ,
Hemistich144
Hephthemimeris n. 1 on 102
Heroic casural pause ..... 159
" verse ..... 158
Hexameters most ancient
n. 2 on 157
Hexameters introduced into the
Latin by Ennius ..... 156
Hic and hoe 82 and n .
Hodic ..... 127
Horace, value as metrical au-
thority ..... 207
Hymen ..... 53
Hypercalalectic verses ..... 145
Hypermeler verses ..... 143

## I.

I as a consonant, and soundedas initial y119
I terminaling lst member of compound voords ..... 45
I in singular increment of $3 l$ declension ..... 54
I in plural increment of nouns ..... 59
I in verbal increment ..... 63
I final ..... 72
I long from Greck $\varepsilon \iota$ ..... 21
I Greck vocative of $3 d$ declen- sion ..... 72
I final lengthened by arsis
n. ..... 72I in $2 d$ fut. ind. and perf. subj.
65 and n. 1
I in Greek dative 3d dcclension ..... 72
Iambic verscs ..... 165-176
" trimeter ..... 169
" " comic ..... 172
" " catalectic ..... 168
" scazon ..... 171
" tetrameter ..... 173
" " calalectic ..... 174
" dimeter ..... 167
" " acephalous ..... 166
" " hypermeter ..... 167
" " catalectic ..... 166
Iambico-dactylic metre ..... 193
Iambus, origin of name ..... 133
Iber ..... 50, 86
Ibi ..... n. 2 on 73Ibidem46
Idem ..... n. 2 on 45
Iesús ..... 97
Ibis, adjectiocs in, quantity of penult ..... 99
In, preposition in composition ..... 41
Increment of nouns ..... 48
" " Ist and $\underset{\sim}{2} d$

- declension ..... 50 and n. 2Inctement of nouns, $3 d$ decien-sion50-59
Increment, plural of nouns 59-6,0 " of vcrbs . . . 60-66
Indu . . . . 79 and n. 2, 23
Lucretus, value as metrical authority ..... 207 ..... 45
Lucrifacio and lıerifio
Lucrifacio and lıerifio
Injicit (inicit) ..... 126
Innuba ..... 40
Inus, adjectives in . ..... 99-100Io and io
20 and n. 2
Ionic verses ..... 188-190
" a majore ..... 188
189
Is in verbs of $4 t h$ conjugation ..... 93
Is in $2 d$ fiut. ind. and perf.
subj ..... n. 2 on 94
Is final ..... 92
Isochronous fcet ..... 142
IT in coniracted preterites ..... 81
Italia ..... 128
Iter and Itiner n. 1 on 48-49
Itum, supines in 36 and n. 2
IUs, genitive inn. on 18Ivs, proper names inn. on 18
Ivi in the preterite ..... 64
Ix , increment of nouns in ..... 55
J.
J ..... 14
J not a double consonant ..... 27
J , vousel long before ..... 27-28
Jacio, compounds of ..... 126
Jecur, increments ofn. 1 on 48-49
Tuvencus, value as metrical authority ..... n. on 47
K.
Ká $\mu a v \delta \rho о \varsigma$ ..... n. 2 on 28
L.
L final ..... 82
Lăbare, lābi ..... 38
Lar, quantity of a in n. 1 on 89
Lēgare, lĕgere ..... 38
Leonine verses ..... 168
Letters ..... 13
Liquefacio ..... 44
Liquids ..... 13
Livius Andronicus, value as
metrical authority ..... 206
Logacdic verses ..... 190-192
Lŭcerna from lūceo ..... n. on 38
Lucilius, value as metrical au-
thority ..... 206
Ludi-magister ..... 45
M.M final short84
M " clided ..... 84
M " " (the reason)
n. 1 on 84
M " not clidcd ..... 84
M " in the best writers cli-ded cxccpt in compounds ofcom (con) and circum . . 85
Mæotis, quantity of 1 st sylla-
ble ..... 25
Maledicus ..... 40
Mamercus, value as metricalauthority . . . . n. on 47
Maragdus (Múpayjos) n. 2 on 28Martianus Capella, value asmetrical authority n. 1 on 89
Mas, maris ..... 51
Mastix, -igis, and mastix, -ichis ..... 55
Meiūrus tetrameter ..... 148
Metathesis ..... 125
Mctre, definition of ..... 140
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { " classification of } & 140-141 \\ \text { Mihi, sibi, tibi, \& } c . \text {. } & \text { n. } 2 \text { on } 73\end{array}$Modo, quantity of final syllablen. 1 on 78
Mǒlestus from mōles
n. 3 on $37-38$
Monocolon ..... 200
Mutes ..... 13
" and liquids ..... 29-30
N.
N final . ..... 85
Nævius, value as metrical au- thority ..... 206
Nasidienus as Nasid-yenus ..... 120
Ne , elision in ..... 109
Něcesse, nĕfas, $\& c$. ..... n. 3 on 44
Nenŭ ..... ก. 2 on 79
Nĕqueo ..... n. 3 on 44
Nēquis, nëquam, \&\&c. ..... n. 3 on 44
Nescis0.0 final74-79
0 in composition ..... 47
O in Greek cases ..... Page
56$O$ in " nominatives75
$O$ in singular increment of $3 d$declension56
O terminating 1 st member of compound Greek woords . ..... 47
0 terminating 1 st member ofcompound Latin roords47
$O$ in plural increment of nouns ..... 59
$O$ in the gerund ..... n. 3 on
0 in increment of verbs ..... 66
n. 4 on O of adverbs n. 40
Ob, preposition in composition41, 42
Objicio (obicio) ..... 126
Octo ..... 78-79
Octonarius ..... 173Odyssey translated in Satur-nian rerse . . . . . . 199
Ohe ..... 19 and n., 71
Olus, diminutives in ..... 100
Omitto ..... 127
Os, Greck singular ..... 87
On ( $\Omega \mathrm{N}$ ), Greek gen. plural. ..... 85
Ophites versus ..... n. on 157
$\mathrm{Or}_{\mathrm{r}}$ Greek nouns in ..... 57
Orion ..... 23 and n. 1, 57
Os final ..... 95
Ovid, value as metrical au- thority ..... 207
P.
Pacuvius, value as metrical authority ..... 206
Pæōnius and Pæŏnius
Palus
n. 2 on 116
96 and n. 2
Par, paris, and compounds51, n. 1 on 89
Paragoge ..... 124
Parietibus as par-yetibus ..... 119
Paræmiac ..... 162, 164
Patefacio ..... 44
Patronymics, quantity of pe- nult of ..... 98-99
Pejero ..... 40
Pelē̃des and Pelìdes n. 2 on 98
Penes ..... 92
Pentadius, de adventu veris .
n. 1 on 157
Pentastrophon ..... 201
Penthemimeris n. 1 on 102
Penultima ..... 15
Penultima of va rds, quantity
${ }^{525}$ of ..... 98-100
Pepedi ..... 31
Per, preposition in composition ..... 41
Perfects, old, how formed ..... 123
Phædrus, value as metrical authority ..... 207
Phalacian dactylic pentameter ..... 150, 191
Phalacian hendecasyllabic ..... 191
Pherecratic verse ..... 181
Plācare, plăcere ..... 38
Platēa ..... 21
Plautus, value as metrical aut- thority ..... 207
Pluvi, old perfect ..... 123
Poets, Latin, relative value as metrical authorities ..... 205
Pölypŭs ..... 97
Porsonian pause ..... 171
Position ..... 27
Possis ..... n. 4 on 93
Posteā n. 1 on 67
Præ, preposition in composi- tion before a vowel . 25 and n.Prepositions in composition 40-4331
Preterites, reduplicating ..... 31
of two syllables
32 and n ." in ui anciently had
u long ..... 124
Priamides ..... 128
Priapean verse ..... 160
Pro, the preposition in compo- sition ..... 42-43
Pronuba ..... 40
Propago ..... 43
Propertius, value as metrical authority ..... 207
Propino ..... 43
Prosody ..... : 13
Prosorlial rules, origin of ..... 15
Prosthesis ..... 122
Prudentius, value as metrical
authority n. 1 on 21
Pută ..... n. 2 on 67
Putrefacio ..... 44
Q.
Q, sound of ..... 14
Quadrigæ ..... 45
Quando ..... n. 1 on 47
Quandoque ..... 47
Quandoquidem ..... Page
Serpentini versus
Fage ..... n. on 157Quantity, definition of . . . 14Quasin. 1 on 72
Quatuor, foc. ..... 129
Quia, final syllable of n. 3 on 67
n. 1 on 46
Quivis, \&c.
Quomodo ..... n. 3 on 78
Quotidianus n. 4 on 46Quotidien. 4 on 46
R.
R final. ..... 87
Rare-facio, tmesis in ..... 125
Re in composition ..... 41
$\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{E}}$, vocative from nominative in ER ..... 126
Re made long in rèligio, \&\&. 128
Recensitum. n. 2 on 36
Rēfert ..... 41 and $n$.
Rēgula from rego ..... n. on 38
Reperit, repulit, and retulit
128-129
Rutum and compounds ..... 35
S. ..... 80S final
S " elided ..... 108
Sal, salis ..... 51, n. 1 on 83
Sandix, -icis ..... 56
Sanscrit analogies in Latin 17,
$18,33,45, n .1$ on $46, \mathrm{n} .2$ on 83
Safphic verses ..... 184-185
tween $3 d$ and 4th line in ..... 185
Sapphic verses, elision in ..... 186
greater ..... 187
Sappho, inventress of Eolic pentameter ..... 151
Satin' ..... n. 2 on 86
Saturnian verse ..... 199
$\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{sq}, \mathrm{st}$, \&c., initial ..... 28
Scamander and Camander
ก. 2 on 28
Scanning ..... 144
Scazon ..... 171
Scidi from scindo ..... 32
Scio and nescio ..... 75
Se, preposition in composition ..... 40
Sēdare, sëdere, sīdere, sēdes ..... 38
Selibra ..... 44
Semisopitus ..... 40
Semiroovels ..... 13
Senarius ..... 169
Sidon, increment of
Sis from sies ..... 57 ..... n. 2 on 93
Sm initial
28
Smaragdus and Mare gdus
n. 2 on 28
Sol n. 2 on 83
Solvo, soluo ..... n. 3 on 35
Sotadic verse ..... 188
Spondaic tetrameter ..... 149
Statĭm ..... 100
Statīm ..... n. 1 on 100
Statum, quantity of penult of ..... 34
Steti and stiti ..... 32
Sub, preposition in composition ..... 41
Subjicio (subicio) ..... 126
Supellex, increment of
n. 1 on 48-49
Supines of two syllables 33 and $n$.short,
as citum, \& C ..... 34
Supines, polysyllabic ..... 35-37
" contracted ..... н. 1 on 37
Syllable, definition of ..... 13
" length of ..... 14
Syncresis ..... 114
". in compounds of
semi ..... 119
Synalapha ..... 108
" absorbs 2 syllables ..... 108
". .. confounded by
Quintilian with synaresis
and ecthlipsis ..... n. 1 on 107
Synapheia ..... 130
Syncope ..... 122-123131
Sypop
Sypop Syphax, -acis ..... 52 and n .
Systole ..... 126
Syzygy ..... 141
T.


## T.

T final ..... 80
Tantidem ..... n. 2 on 46
Tenuia as ten-via ..... 120
Tepefacio ..... 44
Terence, value as metrical au-
thority ..... 207
Tetrastrophon ..... 201
Tibicen ..... n. 1 on 45
Tim, adverbs in ..... 100
Tibullus, value as metrical au-thority207
Tmesis ..... $124, \mathrm{n} .1$ on 125
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[^0]:    1. The Doctrine of Accent will be found under a separate head, immediately after that part of the present work which treats of Versification.
[^1]:    force of the accent, as the term was one in frequent and commor tse. (Herm. ad Aristoph., Nub., 1001.-Id. de Metris, c. 23.-Class. Journ., vol. 6, p. 123.)

    1. It is erroneous to say, as some do, that Orion has the second syllable common. The true rule is given in the text. In Greek, however, the $\iota$ in 'Opi $i \omega v$, gen. 'Opi $i \omega \nu o s$, is of variable measure in Homer and the epic poets, but short in the tragedians. (Spitzner's Gr. Pros., p. 112.)
    2. Ambe was an old form of amb or am. Compare the Greek à $\mu$ фí. (Varro, L. L., 7, 3.)
    3. Indu or endo was an early form for in. Compare the Greek tvooi.
    4. The third conjugation is regarded now as the oldest, and the 1 st, 2 d , and 4th as merely contracted conjugations derived from it. (Consult Struve, "Ueber die Lateinische Declination und Conjugation," Königsberg, 1823.)
[^2]:    1. The syllable pra being originally praĩ or praë, the latter of the two vowels is tacitly elided. Thus praustus, praacutus, praeo, become pră'ustus, pră'acutus, prä’eo, and the $a$ is necessarily short by its position before the succeeding vowel. There is one example, however, in Statius, where pra is lengthened in prairet, namely, "Pramia, cum vacuus domino prāirct Arion" (Theb., 6, 519); but, besides this, other instances of such lengthening are found only in the latest poets, as, for example, praxesse in Sidonius Apollinaris (Carm., 23), and prāoptare in Martianus Capella (lib. 1, pag. 9, ed. Grot.); but such instances are of no value. (Consult Schneider, L. G., vol. 1, p. 103.)-In some of the cases where pre occurs short in composition, we may obviate this by having recourse to synæresis ; this, however, will not answer on all occasions. (Compare Max. Vict., p. 1965, ed. Putsch, and, on the other side, Vossius, de Art. Gram., 2, 15, p. 161, ed. Foertsch.)-Ovid, on one occasion, shortens the diphthong in Meotis (Trist., 3, 12, 2), in which he is imitated by Seneca (Oed., 474), but it is made long by him elsewhere, as well as by other poets. The same poet has also Aeceta (Heroid., 6, 103). In this and in Mr̈otis he appears to have followed the Greek license. (Consult Buttmann, Ausf. Gr. Gr., § 7, anm. 25.) -The old reading Diončus in Catullus (29, 7) has long since been changed. (Docring, ad Catull., l. c.-Vossius, de Art. Gram., 2, 33, extr.)
[^3]:    1. The whole question is ably discussed by Schneider, L. G., vol. 1. p. 324, seqq.
[^4]:    1. To determine whether a vowel which we find long before two consonants be naturally long, or only rendered so by that position, we must look to the word in a different state, where the position does not occur. In some few instances it is quite impossible to determine with any certainty the natural quantity of the vowel; as, for example, in Venafrum, hybrida, \&c. (Schneider, L. G., vol. 2, p. 677, not.)
    2. Schneider, L. G., vol. 2, p. 676.
    3. Thus we find colŭbris only in Valerius Flaccus (6, 175), but frequently colūbras and colūbris. So in Lucretius $(4,406)$ we have rŭbrum, but everywhere else rūbri, rübro, \&c. Again, we havo Iïbri, Tilbro, \&c., in only a very few places; as, for example, Horat., Serm., 1, $10,63$. Id., Epist., 2, 1, 217.-Auson., Idyll., 11, 77.-Id., Epist., 4, 98 ; but very frequently $\sqrt{2} b r i, \& c$. In like manner, we find the oblique cases of niger, with the short $i$, in Catullus (43, 2), Horace (Od., 1, 32, $11 ; 3,6$, $4 ; 4,12,11$ ), Virgil (AEn., 8, 353), \&c., while those with the long $i$ occur far more frequently.- With regard to migro, it is to be observed, that it is found, together with its compounds, having the short penult in many passages of Plautus, and once also in Terence, Lucretius, and Manilius (Heusing., Obs. Antibarb., p. 375); but Virgil, Horace, Martial, Juvenal, and other poets uniformly have migro. Hence it has been supposed that migro at first had the short $i$, and retained this down to the time of Catullus, but afterward changed it to the long quantity; while, on the other hand, latro (another word which has given rise
[^5]:    ačưtum, acŭĭtum, \&c. Contraction rendered the syllable long. But fütum, rŭtum, \&c., are formed by syncope, and therefore continue short.

    1. "Steti vero statum supinum penullima producta debet facere." (Priscian, 9, p. 863, ed. Puisch.)-The variation in quantity, noticed in the text, seems to have arisen from the prosodial difference in stātum and stătum, as we see exemplified in prastītum and prastätum, which are both attached to prasto as its supines. (Ramsay's Latin Pros., p. 193.-Vossius, de Art. Gramm., 2, 22.)
[^6]:    1. But scitum is always long, whether it comes from scio or scisco. Scitus, from scio, signifies "skilful," "graceful," \&c.; but scitus, from scisco, "ordained," "decreed;" whence we have plebis-scitum, " a decree of the commons."
    2. It has been supposed, and with great appearance of probability, that $u i$, in the supine of ruo, was pronounced as one short syllable, roǐtum; whence dirwĭtum, erwǒtum, \&cc., which afterward became dirŭtum, crŭtum, on the dropping of the i. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 103.)
    3. Solütum and volūtum do not come from solvo and volvo, where $v$ is a consonant, but from the carlier forms solŭo and volüo. The supines in question will, according to this view, have been originally solüitum, volŭz̆tum, changed afterward by contraction to solūtum, volütum. The same principle of contraction operates, as already remarked, in the case of other supines in $\bar{u} t u m$. Thus minūtum is contracted from minŭĭtum. argūtum from argŭìtum; tribūtum from tribŭ̌̆tum, \&c.
[^7]:    1. Many of the Latin supines are simple contractions, made long by the rule of position; thus, legitum, leg'tum, lectum; rumpitum, rump'. tum, ruptum ; nubitum, nub'tum, nuptum ; scribitum, scrib'tum, scrip. tиm ; docitum (dokitum), doc'tum, doctum, \&c.
    2. Ramsay's Latin Prosody, p. 9, seqq.
    3. Still, however, there are some exceptions to this. Thus, from
[^8]:    möles we have mölestus; from rĕgo, rēgula; from lüceo, lücerna, \&c. With regard to these and others of the kind, we may use the language of Varro: "Cum in vestitu, adificiis, sic in supellectile, cibo, catereis omnibus, qua usx $\angle \lambda$ vitam sunt adsumpta, dominetur inaqualitas; in sermone quoque, qui est usis causa constitutus, ea non repudianda."

    1. Exceptions, however, to this remark not unfrequently occur. Thus, we have clärare and clärere; rigare and rigere; fügare and fugere ; jăcī̀e and jăcēre, \&\&.
[^9]:    1. Hebrew names in el follow the analogy of the long vowel in that language.

    $$
    \text { E } 2
    $$

[^10]:    1. Greek nouns in es and er which increase long in the genitive, have $\eta$ in the penult of that case in Greek. On the contrary, ether and aër have $\varepsilon$ in the genitive; as, ai $\theta$ épos, úépos.
[^11]:    1. Hence we have adōreus in Virgil, and adōrea in Horace and Clau-dian.-It is possible that the variation of quantity in adöris and adöri, may be connected with a difference of gender. Priscian considers ador an irregular noun, which, though ending in or, still shortens the penulf of the genitive, and which terminates in or, though of the neuter gender. For this he is censured by Scaliger, who maintains that ador properly makes only adōris in the genitive, and that adöris comes from the obsolete nominative adus, of the neuter gender. (Scal.ad Fcst., vi.-Priscian, 6, 9, 49-vol. 1, p. 251, ed. Krehl.) Scaliger, however, is himself in error when he derives ador from the Greek $\dot{i} \theta \dot{v} \rho$. It is to be traced to the Sanscrit ad, "to eat." (Lindemann ad Fest., p. 302.)
[^12]:    1. Most deponent verbs had, in fact, in earlier $L$ atin, an active voice, which was dropped during the more cultivated period of the language. Consult Struve, über die Lat. Decl. und Conj., p. 80.-Reisig, Vorlesungen, p. 243, § 150.
[^13]:    first to the third conjugation, and afterward have been transferred to the first, with a change of $\check{c}$ to $\breve{a}$. Such forms, therefore, as circumdăre, venumdăre, \&c., were originally circumdëre, venumdëre.

[^14]:    1. In imperatives of the first conjugation the final $a$ is long, because formed by contraction from ac. Thus, memorăĕ, memorā; amăĕ, ama $\overline{\text {, }}$ \&c. (Struve, übcr die Lat. Declin. und Conj., p. 135.)-With regard to circa, citra, contra, \&c., various opinions exist. Scheller views them as old ablatives singular feminine of the first declension, with an ellipsis of parte, or opera, or some other equivalent term. (Scheller, Lat.Deutsch. Wörterb., 8. v.) Ramsay, on the other hand, regards most of them as old imperatives of verbs of the first conjugation, of which frustro, intro, supero (or supro), are still in use; while, as respects such forms as an e $\bar{a}$, posic $\bar{a}$, intercā, praterca, \& $c c$., he agrees with the author
[^15]:     $\tau \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \varepsilon a, \tau \notin \mu \pi \eta, \& c$.
    2. The second person singular of the present imperative active, in verbs of the second conjugation, is, like the corresponding tense in verbs of the first, a contracted form. Thus, gaudēce, gaudè ; saloēē, salvè; valēc̄, valē, \&c.-The double quantity in cavē or cavĕ arises from the foilowing circumstance, that anciently two forms of the verb were in use, one belonging to the secoud, and the other to the third conjugation; just as we find both feroeo and fervo; fulgeo and fulgo; oleo and olo, \&c. (Struve, über dic Lat. Decl., \&c., p. 189.-Voss., de Art. Gramm., 2, 25.) - Besides carć or cuvč, we find it frequently asserted that rale, vide, responde, and salve have the last syllable common; but it will be discovered, on examination, that there is little, if any, evidence to prova this. The question will be found disoussed in Ramsay's Latin Prosody, p. 44, seqq.

[^16]:    wise forms like these could not find a place (on account of their containing three short syllables in succession) in dactylic verse. Instances of such lengthening occur in Catullus (64, 21), Propertius (3, 8, 29, seq.), Valerius Flaccus (1, 190), Ovid (Heroid., 20, 60), Id. (R. A., 711), \&c.

    1. In this example, the $n$ added to Troasi is placed there merely to prevent the hiatus at the meeting of the two vowels, and makes no difference whaterer in the quantity. It is like the $v \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \varepsilon \lambda \kappa v \sigma \sigma t<o v$ of the Greeks.
    2. These words originally ended in the diphthong ei, as mihei, tibei, sibei, ibci, ubei, and under this shape they are frequently found in inscriptions (compare note 1, page 16) and MSS., especially those of Lucretius. One of the vowels of the diphthong being subsequently dropped, they would sometimes appear as mihe, tibe, sibe, \&c., and sometimes as mihi, tibi, sibi, \&c. In the former case, the final $e$ being short in Latin words, except under particular circumstances, the last syllable would be made short by the poets; in the latter case, the final $i$ being long in Latin words, the syllable would retain its original quantity, as it probably always did in prose. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 50.)
[^17]:    1. Donĕc is merely an abbreviation of donicum, a word of frequent occurrence in Plautus, and itself evidently an adjective of the neuter gender.
    2. Vossius says that fac is always long, and cites the following lines in support of his opinion:
    Hos fac Armenios, hac est Danaëia Persis. (Ov., A. A., 1, 225.)
    Durius incedit, fac ambulet, omne papille. (Id., R. A., 337.)
    Heinsius, however, upon unexceptionable MS. authority, restored in the first Hos facito for Hos fac, and in the second fac inaribulet for fac ambulet. -In almost all cases where fac is followed by a vowel, the MSS raty between fac and face. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 33.)
[^18]:    1. Ramsay gives the rule of $n$ final as making the previous vowel short. We have thought it more advisable, however, to retain the old form of expression. -In Greek nouns, such as Siren, Hymen, \&c., there is a long vowel, in the original, in the final syllable.
    2. There is some doubt with regard to the accusative in an from slort $a$ in the nominative, since some examples occur in which it is made lang. In all of these, however, the syllable is in the arsis, and we may therefore safely pronounce it to be naturally short. Thus, we have in Ovid (Trist., 2, 395), "Qui legis Electrän et cgentem mentis Orestem;" and จgain (Met., 4, 756), "Protenus Andromedān, et tantï pramia facti." But then, on the other hand, we have Orithyiăn (Ov., Met., 6, 707); Ossän (Propert., 2, 1, 19.-Ov., Fast., 1, 307) ; Iphigeniăn (Ov., E. P., 3. 2, 62, \&c.--Ramsay's Lal. Pros., p. 67). Consult Exc. 4 unde! this rule.
[^19]:    probably, from cedis. (Toss., de Art. Gramm., 2, 31.) Carey opposes this, but on weak grounds.

    1. Es here answers to the Greek $\varepsilon s$, and is short, as a matter of course.
    2. Because es liere answers to the Greek ais.
    3. Final gs corresponds to the Greek vs, which is for the most part short.
[^20]:    1. Ccesūra, "a cutting off" (in Greek $\tau 0 \mu \eta$ ), from ceado, "to cut."
    2. Some give the name Cæsura to the syllable that remains after the completion of a foot ; as, res, um, and os, in the line given in the text. The best prosodians, however, consider it more accurate to confine the term to the separation or division which takes place in a foot, and to call the residuary long syllable simply a long syllable, or a senifoot.
[^21]:    1. In Homer, however, we have two remarkable instances of the use of successive trochees in producing a beautiful onomatopœia. Thus,
     23, 116.)
    
    The following striking passage may also be cited from Virgil (厷 $n ., 1,85$ ):
    Una Eu|rūsquĕ Not|ūsquĕ ruknt cre|bērquĕ pro|cellis.
[^22]:    1. The frequent recurrence of the verb nescio as a dactyl, and of the prepositions inter and intra as spondces, forming the second foot, appears at first view inconsistent with this rule; but, in reality, it is quite in accordance with it. It has been clearly ascertained that the preposition and its case were frequently pronounced with one accent as one word, and there is reason to suppose that nescio was often connected in a similar manner with the word which followed it. Thus, the words inter se were pronounced, and consequently regarded in versification, as though they were written interse, and nescio quis as though written nescioquis. A similar connexion is not unusual in English words; thus, some body is pronounced somebody; no body, nobody; can not, cannot. (Bradley's Lat. Pros.,-p. 49.;
[^23]:    1. Quintilian applies the term Synalopha, in one place $(1,5,18)$, to what is commonly called Synaresis; as, Phethon for Phaëthon: and in another ( $9,4,36$ ), to what is usually styled Ecthlipsis; as, Presidi' est for Presidium est. As a general definition, however, he terms ovvad-
[^24]:    numerous examples of this license. Some instances also occur in Cicero's translation of Aratus, though comparatively few in number. About the time, however, when Cicero wrote his Orator, the custom of dropping the $s$ before a consonant appears to have beels fast going out of use; at least the following passage from the work just referred to is in favour of such an opinion: "Quin eliam, quod jam subrusticum ridetur, olim auıcm politius, eorum verborum quorum eadem erant postremee duc litera qua sunt in optumus postremam literam detrahebant, nisi vocalis inscqucbatur. Ita non erat offensio in versibus quam nunc fugiunt pocta noxi ; ita enim loquebantur; qui est omnibu' princeps, non omuibus princeps, et vita illa dignu' locoque, non dignus." (Cic., Orat.; 18, $\$$ 161.-Compare Quintil., リ, 4, 38.-Mar. Vict., p. 2472.)

    1. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 114.
[^25]:    1．In this line，the $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { in } \text { Pelio furnishes an illustration of rule second．}\end{aligned}$
    2．Ramsay＇s usual accuracy deserts him，when he regards this line as transplanted without change from some one of the Greek poets，who were much less strict，in matters of this kind，than the Latins．It hap－ pens，unfortunately for this remark，that the Greek，in that event，would
     syllable of 「えav́кц would be long，as a matter of course，without any li－ cense whatever．Aulus Gellius $(13,26)$ informs us that Virgil borrow－ ed the line from the Greek poet Parthenius，in whom it ran as follows：
     Fulv．Urs．，Virg．cum Grac．Script．O${ }^{\eta} l$ ．，p．130．）This form of the

[^26]:    Greek line, however, still remains hostile to Ramsay's opinion. Wagner, on the other hand, maintains that the true reading in Virgil is Glaucoque, as we have remarked in the text. His words are as follows: " Nullus dubito, quum nusquam longam syllabam in to positu produxcrit Virgilius, pessumdata etiam soni clegantia, quin scripserit Glaucoque. Apparel autcm nusquam facilius excidere potuisse hance copulam, per simplex q scilicet cxprimi solitam, quay hoc loco, praceedentibus duabut ipsi $Q$ similibus liters, altera quidem simillima, $C$ et $O$. Sic in Medic. An., 1, 69, a n. pr. scriptum est SUBMERSASQ. BRUE pro submersasq. obrue. \&ec. (Wagner, Quest. Virgil., 11, 3.)

    1. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 116.
    2. The subject of Ecthlipsis has been in part anticipated in the remarks respecting $m$ final, p. 84, seqq.
[^27]:    1. The nominative of this proper name is Typhöcus (three syllables), never Typhars.
[^28]:    as, parentum, serpentum, mensum, \&c. The poets, however, use the open form also, when it can be conveniently introduced; as, parentium, serpentium, \&c.; nor must it be supposed that the contraction is purely a poetic license, since it is found in the best MSS. of prose authors. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros.; p. 124.)

[^29]:    1. Among these some would place grav'olens and suav'olens, but these ought to be considered as two distinct words, grave olens and suave olens. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 123.)
    2. Vēmens occurs in Horace, Epist., 2, 2, 120 ; vèmenti in Lucretius, 3, 153; vēmenter in the same, 2, 1023, and 4, 821.

    3 Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 124.

[^30]:    1. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 161.-Compare the remark of Hermann. "Quam tmesin vocant grammatici, ea et natura et usu prior fuit quam $\sigma \dot{v} \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$. Sed nimirum, quoniam recentior consuetudo, ad quam omnia exigebant, $\sigma v i v \theta \varepsilon \sigma t v$ usurpabat, tmesin istam ut discessionem a communi usu animadvertere jubebant." (De Emend. Rat., G. G.; p. 117.). Consult also, on the subject of tmesis in early Greek, the remarks of Matthiæ (G. G., vol. 2, p. 1398, 3d Germ. ed.), Kühner (G. G., vol. 2, p. 313), and Nägelsbach (Anmerk. zu Ilias, Excurs. 16).
    
    2. Merádeбlৎ, "a transposition," from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{c}$ and $\tau i ́ \theta \eta \mu L$.
    3. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 162.
[^31]:    otaph. Pis., diss. 4, c. 4.-Aul. Gell., 16, 7. The last-named writer blames Laberius for using coicior, on the ground that it was "obsoletum, aut ex sordidiore usu vulgi depromptum."

    1. By some these anomalies have been attributed to the mistakes of transcribers, who, it is supposed, may have written crunt instead of ĕrant, or in some cases érint, both which terminations are occasionally found in MSS. Thus, in the following line from Virgil's Eclogues (4, 61), "Matri longa decem tulěrunt fastidia menses," we find three readings in the MSS., tulerunt, tulerant, and tulcrint. If we examine, however, with care the different examples adduced, it will be found that in not a few of these the indicative perfect cannot be struck out without great violence; nor can we fail to perceive that a transcriber, when copying a MS., if he came to such a quantity as tulërunt or stetērunt, which he might consider anomalous, would be much more likely to change it to tulerint or tulerant, than to transform one of these into tulërunt, in violation of all ordinary rules. (Ramsay's Lat. Pros. p. 105.)-Others, again, have boldly contended that the poets who used these forms were inadvertently guilty of a breach of prosody. There might be some plausibility in this assertion, if the instances of this pretended violation of rule were only few in number. It happens, however, that in Lucretius there are no less than 15, in Virgil five, in Propertius five, in Ovid 19, \&c. It is idle, therefore, to talk in such a case of "inadvertent" errors.- The supposition in the text, that the penult of the perfect, in the third person plural, was originally short, seems much more probable, and coincides also with the general rule of $e$ short before $r$, or, in other words, with the analogy of the language; and, in confirmation of this opinion, we may cite the words of the grammarian Diomedes: "Fcre in tertio ordine plerumque vckeres tertia persona finitiva temporis perfccti, numeri pluralis, E mediam vocalem corripiunt, quasi legĕrunt, emèrunt," \&c.
[^32]:    1. The old reading in Catullus $(66,35)$, "Si reditum rětulisset is, aut in tempore longo, et," is now changed in the best editions to " S 亿 reditum tetulisset," \&c.
    2. Vol 1, p. 509, ed. Krehl.
    3. Ramsali.s Lal. Pros, p. 140.
[^33]:    1. Evvaф́ía, "a junction," from ov́v and ä $\pi \tau \omega$.
[^34]:    1. Disscrtation upon Phalaris, p. 190, cd. Dyce.-For farther observations respecting synapheia, consult remarks on the Anapæstic and Sapphic measures.
    2. "Pes vocatur, sive quia in pcrcussione metrica pedis pulsus ponitur tolliturque; seu quia, ut nos pedibus ingredimur atque progredimur, ita et versus per hos pt les metricos procedit ct scandit." (Mar. Vict., p. 2485.)
[^35]:    1. Plotius, p. 2625.-Schol., Nicand. Alex., v. 130, p. 139.-Schol., Hephast., p. 81.-Eustath. ad Od., 11, 277.-Other derivations may be found in the notes of Santenius to Terentianus Maurus, p. 67, seqq.
    2. Others say that it was named from the Molossi in Epirus, who used it in their war-songs. (Diomed., p. 475.) It was known also by several other names, such as Vortumnus, Extensipes, Hippius, Chaonius. (Diomed., l. c.)
    3. Schol., Hephast., p. 158.
    4. Quintil., 9, 4.-Terent. Maur., v. 1446.-Santcn., ad loc.
    5. Plotius, p. 2625.-Cledonius, p. 1885.-Victorinus, p. 2488, quoted, among other authorities, by Santen (ad Tercnt. Maur., v. 1406).Diomedes gives another derivation for the name: "ab Idais Dactylis. Hi namque in insula Creta Jovem custodiendo, ne vagitu se paroulus proderct, lusûs cxcogitato gencre, clypeolis aneis inter se concurrentes, tinnitu aris illisi rhythmica etiam pedis Dactyli compositione cclavere rocem infantis." To the same effect the scholiast on Hephæstion re-
    
     Kpóvov ท̇тútcy. (Schol, Hephasi., p. 82.) The dactyl was also called Heröus, from its being particularly employed in relating the exploita of heroes. (Aristot., Rhel., 3, 8.-Cic., de Oıat., 3, 47, seq.)
[^36]:     ròv $\Delta a ́ k T v \lambda o v, ~ q u i a ~ r e c u r r e n d o ~ r e p e r c u t i e n s ~ D a c t y l u m ~ s o n o ~ r e c i p r o c o ~ o b-~$ loquitur ei per antistrophen." (Diomed., p. 475.)
    2. Diomed., l. c.-Quintil., 9, 4, 81.
    3. A great deal of confusion exists among the old grammarians with regard to the bacchius and antibacchius.-Terentianus Maurus, for example, makes the former consist of two long syllables followed by a short $(-->)$, and the antibacchius the reverse of this ( --- ). The common distinction, however, as given in the text, has the authority of Quintilian $(9,4,82)$ in its favour. (Consult Santen. ad Terent. Maur., l. c.)
    4. Called also Palinbacchius.
    5. Compare note 5 page 133, where a similar etymology is given for the term dactylus.

[^37]:    1. Some of these, of course, do not occur. They are given, however, to illustrate the rule laid down.
    2. Diomed., p. 501.-Mar. Vict., p. 2489.
[^38]:    1. For this reason the scholiast on Hephestion calls the iambic and
     кaì oiov vinevavtios тढ̣ láub५ ó тpoxaïos. (Schol. ad Hephast., p. 76. -Trich., de Metr., p. 9, ed. Herm.-Compare Dazees, Misc. Crit., p. 103, ed. Kidd.)
[^39]:    1. From $\dot{\eta} \mu$, "half," and orixos, "a verse."
     not having an abrupt termination. Compare Hephæstion (c. 4, p. 24,
     $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \nu$ ह́ $\chi \varepsilon \iota$.
    2. Catalcctic, from каталクпкткós, whigh is itself a derivative from $\kappa а т a \lambda \dot{j} \gamma \omega$, denotes verses that stop before they reach their full ending. Compare the language of Hephæstion (l. c.) : Ката入ךктєкù $\delta \varepsilon ̀ \delta \sigma a \mu \varepsilon$ -
    
    
    
[^40]:    
     e., going bcyond acatalectic.

[^41]:    1. Senec., Ed., 110, seqq.-Id., Troad., 1010, seqq.-Id., Thyest., 546, sєqq., \&ic.
    2. Mar. Vict., p. 2564.-Plotius, p. 2640.
    3. Terent. Meur., v. 2160.-Some commentators think that the words ${ }^{\prime} \Omega$ ròv "A $\delta \omega \nu \iota \nu$, cited by Plotius ( p .2640 ), belonged to the Adonic poem of Sappho mentioned by Terentiatus. (Santen. ad Ter. Maur., l. c.)
    4. De Cons. Phil., 1, metr. 7.
    5. Auson., Prof., 10.
[^42]:     oúpáv, quod veluti cauda minor et mutilus sit." (Forcelt., Lex.) Diomedes calls such verses "Ecaudes" (3, p. 499), and Marius Victorinus styles them Teliambi (p. 2512). -The origin of the name Faliscan has been much disputed. The form Phaliscus, given in some editions of Terentianus Maurus, as well as Paliscus (Augustin., de Mus., 4, p. 83), are erroneous. It is probable that the appellation Faliscan was given to this species of verse from the poet Annianus, who frequently employed it, and whe was of tho nation of the Falisci. (Santen. ad Terent. Maur., 1998.) Victorinus states that this measure was called by the Greeks Calabrion (p. 2578).-Servius, the grammarian, styles Faliscan poems "docta," the reason for which appellation is unknown. (Scre., in Centimelr., p. 1824.)

[^43]:    1. "Namque hic de genere est Phalaciorum." (Ter. Maur., v. 1946.) The name is derived from that of the poet Phalæcus, who wat said to have been the inventor of this species of verse, along with the others that went under this gencral appellation. The true form is Phalecian, not Phaleucian. (Santen. ad ¿"er. Maur., v. 2545.)
[^44]:    1. Terent. Maur., จ. 2148.-Compare Mar. Vict., p. 2559.-Plot., p. 2636.-Scrv., p. 1824. - The 29th Idyl of Theocritus is in this measure: O $\bar{\imath} \nu \check{\imath} \varsigma,|\bar{\omega} \phi \bar{\imath} \lambda \check{\varepsilon}| \pi \alpha \bar{l}, \lambda \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma \bar{\varepsilon}|\tau a \bar{l} \kappa \alpha \breve{\iota} \breve{u}| \lambda \bar{u} \theta \bar{\varepsilon} \breve{u}, \mid \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. For oth er examples, consult Gaisford ad Hephest., p. 275.
[^45]:     é $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon i o v$, к. т. 2. (Hephast., p. 92, cd. Gaisf.) Compare the language
    
    
    2. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 184. - Tate, Remarks on Dactylic Pertameters, in the Classical Journal.

[^46]:    1. There is no exception to this, even in Greek, except in a proper name ; as,
    
[^47]:    1. Heroid., 16, 288.
    2. Ib., 17, 16.-For other instances, consult Ramsay, p. 184.
    3. $I b ., 10,86$.
    4. The Greeks employed this combination of the two measures in war-songs, hymns, and epigrams or inscriptions; the Romans in epigrams, epistles, and amsiory poetry.
[^48]:    2. Am., 1, 9, 1. 3. Her., 5, 117.

    4 Am. 3, 2, 27.
    5. Trist., 4, 3, 77.
    6. A. A., 1, 191.

    7 This species of play upon words gave rise, in later ages, when taste had hecome thoroughly corrupted, to entire poens. Verses of this

[^49]:    1. Luo st., 1, 118, seqq.-Sil. Ital., 12, 410, seq.-Column., Ennis Fit., p. 6 -For an account of the Sa'urnian, vid. page 199.
[^50]:    1. Catull., 64.
    2. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 173.
[^51]:    1. The rules here given are much less strict, as regards the dactyl and anapæst, than those which regulate the Greek jambic trimeter.
[^52]:    1. Acephalous, i. e., without a head (or initial syllable), from $\dot{\alpha}_{1}$ prio and кeфaj, $\dot{\text {, " " }}$ a hcad."
[^53]:    1. The line of Horace, which occurs Od., 2, 19, 15, "Disjccta non lērī rtina," has been corrected by Bentley, from MSS., as follows* "Disjucta non lēnē ruina."
    2. Consult remarks on the Alcaic measure.
[^54]:    1. Consult introductory remarks on iambic verse, paragraphs III., IV., V., VI.
[^55]:    1. Ramsay's Lat. Pros., p. 208.
[^56]:    1. "Cum non solum Tercntius, sed cliam Plautus, Ennius, Acciusque et Navius atque Pacuvius Turpiliusque, et omnes tam tragadia quam comœdic vetcris Latince scriptores codem metri modo Iambici sunt usi, ut omnibus in locis indiffcrenter poncrent quinque pcdes; id cst iambum, vel tribrachyn, vel anapœstum, vel dactylum, vel sponidaum, absque postrcmo loco, in quo vel iamibum vel pyrrhichium omnino posu-
[^57]:    isse inveniuntur; miror quosdam vel abnegare esse in Tcrentii comadiis metra, vel ea quasi arcana quadam, et ab omnibus doctis semota, sibi solis csse cognita, confirmare." (Priscian, de Vers. Com.-vol. 2, p. 403, ed. Krehl.)

[^58]:    only in the first three books，namely，Od．．1，10，6，and 18，while in the fourth book it is found four times in ode second，once in ode sixth，and seven times in the Carmen Saculare．（Ramsay＇s Lat．Pros．，p．195．）

[^59]:    1. Monthly Reviero, January, 1798 p. 45.
[^60]:    1. In Statius, for instance, not a single example of the kind occurs in upward of 450 lines; in Prudentius, not one in above 260 ; not one in Ausonius, who has more than 2000 verses in this measure; while Sidonius Apollinaris, in upward of 1200 Phalæcians, has not above twoo that can be proved, and those are proper names. (Carey's Lat. Pros., p. 282.)
[^61]:    1. Bentlcy ad Cic., Tusc., 3, 19.—Id. ad Ter. Adelph., 4, 4, 2.Hermann, D. E. M., 2, 19.
    2. Captiv., 2, 1, 11.
[^62]:    1. Zumpt's Latin Grammar, Kenrick's edition, p. 469, seqq.
[^63]:    CCornelius Gallus.
    Pedo Albinovanus. *
    Publius Syrus.
    Marcus Manilius.
    Gratius Faliscus.
    Aulus Sabinus.
    Casar Germanicus.

[^64]:    Tmesis

