

The April Number

OF

LIPPINCOTT'S

MAGAZINE,

READY MARCH 23,

Will contain a Complete Novel entitled

FLOTSAM,

BY

OWEN HALL,

Author of "The Track of a Storm," etc.

And the Usual Variety of Stories, Essays,
Poems, etc.

For List of Complete Novels contained in Former Numbers, see Next Page.

"

THE COMPLETE NOVELS

WHICH HAVE ALREADY APPEARED IN

LIPPINCOTT'S MAGAZINE,

AND WHICH ARE ALWAYS OBTAINABLE, ARE:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>No.
339. A Whim and a Chance . . . William T. Nichols
338. Ground-Swells . . . Jeannette H. Walworth
337. Mrs. Crichton's Creditor . . Mrs. Alexander
336. The Old Silver Trail . . . Mary E. Stickney
335. In Sight of the Goddess. Harriet Riddle Davis
334. My Strange Patient . . . William T. Nichols
333. A Case in Equity Francis Lynde
332. Little Lady Lee . . . Mrs. H. Lovett Cameron
331. A Social Highwayman. Elizabeth Phipps Train
330. The Battle of Salamanca. Benito Pérez Galdós
329. The Lady of Las Cruces . . . Christian Reid
328. Alain of Haldene . . . Anna Robeson Brown
327. A Tame Surrender . . . Captain Charles King
326. The Chapel of Ease . . . Harriet Riddle Davis
325. The Waifs of Fighting Rocks.
Charles McLivaine
324. Mrs. Hallam's Companion
Mrs. Mary J. Holmes
323. Dora's Defiance Lady Lindsay
322. A Question of Courage . . . Francis Lynde
321. Captain Molly Mary A. Denison
320. Sweetheart Manette . . . Maurice Thompson
319. Captain Close Captain Charles King
318. The Wonder-Witch M. G. McClelland
317. A Professional Beauty. Elizabeth Phipps Train
316. The Flying Halcyon . . Richard Henry Savage
315. A Desert Claim Mary E. Stickney
314. The Picture of Las Cruces . . Christian Reid
313. The Colonel Harry Willard French
312. Sergeant Croesus Captain Charles King
311. An Unsatisfactory Lover . . . The Duchess
310. The Hepburn Line . . . Mrs. Mary J. Holmes
309. A Bachelor's Bridal . . . H. Lovett Cameron
308. In the Midst of Alarms . . . Robert Barr
307. The Troublesome Lady . Patience Stapleton
306. The Translation of a Savage. Gilbert Parker
305. Mrs. Romney Rosa Nouchette Carey
304. Columbus in Love . . . George Alfred Townsend
303. Waring's Peril . . . Capt. Charles King, U.S.A.
302. The First Flight Julien Gordon
301. A Pacific Encounter . . . Mary E. Stickney
300. Pearce Amerson's Will.
Richard Malcolm Johnston
299. More than Kin Marion Hurland
298. The Kiss of Gold Kate Jordan
297. The Doomswoman Gertrude Atherton
296. The Martlet Seal . . . Jeannette H. Walworth
295. White Heron M. G. McClelland
294. John Gray (A Kentucky Tale of the Olden Time).
James Lane Allen
293. The Golden Fleece Julian Hawthorne
292. But Men Must Work . Rosa Nouchette Carey
291. A Soldier's Secret . Capt. Charles King, U.S.A.
290. Roy the Royalist William Westall
289. The Passing of Major Kilgore.
Young E. Allison
288. A Fair Blockade-Breaker . . T. C. De Leon
287. The Duke and the Commoner.
Mrs. Poultney Bigelow
286. Lady Patty The Duchess
285. Carlotta's Intended . . Ruth McEnery Stuart</p> | <p>No.
284. A Daughter's Heart . Mrs. H. Lovett Cameron
283. A Rose of a Hundred Leaves. Amelia E. Barr
282. Gold of Pleasure . . . George Parsons Lathrop
281. Vampires Julien Gordon
280. Maiden's Choosing . . . Mrs. Ellen Olney Kirk
279. The Sound of a Voice . . Frederick S. Cozzens
278. A Wave of Life Clyde Fitch
277. The Light that Failed . . Rudyard Kipling
276. An Army Portia . . . Capt. Charles King, U.S.A.
275. A Laggard in Love . . Jeanie Gwynne Bettany
274. A Marriage at Sea W. Clark Russell
273. The Mark of the Beast.
Katharina Pearson Woods
272. What Gold Cannot Buy . . Mrs. Alexander
271. The Picture of Dorian Gray . . Oscar Wilde
270. Circumstantial Evidence . Mary E. Stickney
269. A Sappho of Green Springs . . Bret Harte
268. A Cast for Fortune Christian Reid
267. Two Soldiers Capt. Charles King, U.S.A.
266. The Sign of the Four A Conan Doyle
265. Millicent and Rosalind . . . Julian Hawthorne
264. All He Knew John Habberton
263. A Belated Revenge. Dr. Robt. Montgomery Bird
262. Creole and Puritan T. C. De Leon
261. Solarion Edgar Fawcett
260. An Invention of the Enemy. W. H. Babcock
259. Ten Minutes to Twelve . . M. G. McClelland
258. A Dream of Conquest . . General Lloyd Brice
257. A Chain of Errors . . . Mrs. E. W. Lathmer
256. The Witness of the Sun . . . Amélie Rives
255. Bella-Demonia Selma Dolara
254. A Transaction in Hearts . . . Edgar Saltus
253. Hale-Weston M. Elliot Seawell
251. Earthlings Grace King
250. Queen of Spades, and Autobiography. E. P. Roe
249. Herod and Mariamme.
A Tragedy Amélie Rives
248. Mammon Maude Howe
247. The Yellow Snake Wm. Henry Bishop
246. Beautiful Mrs. Thorndyke.
Mrs. Poultney Bigelow
245. The Old Adam H. H. Boyesen
244. The Quick or the Dead? . . Amélie Rives
243. Honored in the Breach . . . Julia Magruder
242. The Spell of Home.
After the German of E. Werner. Mrs. A. L. Wister
241. Check and Counter-Check.
Brander Mattheus and George H. Jessop
239. The Terra-Cotta Bust . . Virginia W. Johnson
238. Apple Seed and Brier Thorn. Louise Stockton
237. The Red Mountain Mines. Lew Vanderpoole
236. A Land of Love Sidney Lusk
235. At Anchor Julia Magruder
234. The Whistling Buoy . . . Charles Barnard
232. Douglas Duane Edgar Fawcett
231. Kenyon's Wife Lucy C. Lillie
230. A Self-Made Man M. G. McClelland
229. Sinfire Julian Hawthorne
228. Miss Defarge Frances Hodgson Burnett
227. Brueton's Bayou John Habberton</p> |
|---|---|

SINGLE NUMBERS, 25 CENTS. \$3.00 PER YEAR.

THIS NUMBER CONTAINS

A WHIM AND A CHANCE.

By WILLIAM T. NICHOLS,

AUTHOR OF "MY STRANGE PATIENT," ETC.

COMPLETE.

MARCH, 1896

LIPPINCOTT'S

MONTHLY MAGAZINE

CONTENTS

A WHIM AND A CHANCE	<i>William T. Nichols</i>	289-378
THE HORSE OR THE MOTOR	<i>Oliver McKee</i>	379
MIS' PETTIGREW'S SILVER TEA-SET	<i>Judith Spencer</i>	384
THE PILGRIMS (Poem)	<i>Clinton Scollard</i>	389
HOUSEHOLD LIFE IN ANOTHER CENTURY	<i>Emily Baily Stone</i>	390
HENRY	<i>Mary Stewart Cutting</i>	395
RICHARD WAGNER (Quatrain)	<i>Richard Burton</i>	402
THE TALL OFFICE BUILDING ARTISTICALLY CONSIDERED	<i>Louis H. Sullivan</i>	403
THE EVOLUTION OF THE WEDDING-CAKE	<i>Agnes Carr Sage</i>	409
ABOUT WIDOWS	<i>Frances Courtenay Baylor</i>	412
ALAS! (Couplet)	<i>Carrie Blake Morgan</i>	414
A LABOR LEADER	<i>Clare E. Robie</i>	415
A LITTLE ESSAY ON LOVE	<i>Jean Wright</i>	422
THE DECADENT NOVEL	<i>Edward Fuller</i>	427

PRICE TWENTY-FIVE CENTS

PUBLISHED BY

J: B: LIPPINCOTT: CO: PHILADELPHIA:

LONDON: 10 HENRIETTA STREET, COVENT GARDEN.

PARIS: BRENTANO'S, 87 AVENUE DE L'OPÉRA.

Copyright, 1896, by J. B. Lippincott Company. Entered at Philadelphia Post-Office as second-class matter.

made the minister stay to supper, but he didn't look quite easy, and left right afterwards. Aunt Martha said she thought he had a toothache.

And we danced—oh, how we danced! Josh and I were partners all the time, and the way that cornet played, with the concertina chiming in! All who couldn't dance beat time with their feet.

It was nearly four o'clock in the morning before every one left, and Josh and I walked down to take the milk-train for Jersey City. My blue duck suit was all covered with rice, and an old shoe had knocked Josh's hat off, so that 'twas a little dusty, but he didn't mind. Josh carried our two valises, and my feet felt as light as a feather, they were so in tune with the dancing, and the day was just dawning over the salt meadows, all fresh and sweet, and the birds were beginning to sing.

I was so happy, without trying to think why, that I could hardly keep from little bubbles of laughter, and Josh looked at me, and said I matched the morning.

"But why are we going to Jersey City?" said he, stopping suddenly, and letting the valises rest on the ground.

"Why," said I, "so we can go and see the Falls, and your—no, Henry's married sister, at Paterson."

"*What!*" says he, as mad as thunder, and then he burst into a roar of laughter. "If that ain't the best I ever heard! Annie Louise, we ain't running on Henry's plan now. We'll keep on over the ferry, sweetie, to New York. I've a week's vacation, and lots of money in my pocket, and my girl shall have a bang-up wedding trip. We'll go straight on to a real falls, and that's Niagary."

The milk-train was rumbling in before we reached the station, and we got aboard just in time. But while we still stood on the platform of the car, and it was moving off, two figures came running up, too late to get on.

It was Henry, and Mrs. Hunter! They stopped short and looked at us, and Josh he put one arm around my waist, and pointed with his other hand to Henry,—such a gesture, as if he were the meanest thing on earth,—and he called him a name, the sassiest I ever heard.

Henry slunk all together, the way he used to when he had a cramp, and then in a second he was lost to sight. It was the last I ever saw of Henry, or ever want to.

Mary Stewart Cutting.

RICHARD WAGNER.

OLD deeds, old creeds, for centuries dead, rise out
 The grave and swarm beside the storied Rhine:
 The thunders of the heaven are girt about
 With silver zones of melody divine.

Richard Burton.

THE TALL OFFICE BUILDING ARTISTICALLY
CONSIDERED.

I.

THE architects of this land and generation are now brought face to face with something new under the sun,—namely, that evolution and integration of social conditions, that special grouping of them, that results in a demand for the erection of tall office buildings.

It is not my purpose to discuss the social conditions; I accept them as the fact, and say at once that the design of the tall office building must be recognized and confronted at the outset as a problem to be solved,—a vital problem, pressing for a true solution.

Let us state the conditions in the plainest manner. Briefly, they are these: offices are necessary for the transaction of business; the invention and perfection of the high-speed elevator make vertical travel, that was once tedious and painful, now easy and comfortable; development of steel manufactures has shown the way to safe, rigid, economical constructions rising to a great height; continued growth of population in the great cities, consequent congestion of centres and rise in value of ground, stimulate an increase in number of stories; these, successfully piled one upon another, react on ground values;—and so on, by action and reaction, interaction and inter-reaction. Thus has come about that form of lofty *construction* called the “modern office building.” It has come in answer to a call, for in it a new grouping of social conditions has found a habitation and a name.

Up to this point all in evidence is materialistic, an exhibition of force, of resolution, of brains in the keen sharp sense of the word. It is the joint product of the speculator, the engineer, the builder.

Problem: How shall we impart to this sterile pile, this crude, harsh, brutal agglomeration, this stark, staring exclamation of eternal strife, the graciousness of those higher forms of sensibility and culture that rest on the lower and fiercer passions? How shall we proclaim from the dizzy height of this strange, weird, modern housetop the peaceful evangel of sentiment, of beauty, the cult of a higher life?

This is the problem; and we must seek the solution of it in a process analogous to its own evolution,—indeed, a continuation of it,—namely, by proceeding step by step from general to special aspects, from coarser to finer considerations.

It is my belief that it is of the very essence of every problem that it contains and suggests its own solution. This I believe to be natural law. Let us examine, then, carefully the elements, let us search out this contained suggestion, this essence of the problem.

The practical conditions are, broadly speaking, these:

Wanted—1st, a story below-ground, containing boilers, engines, of various sorts, etc.,—in short, the plant for power, heating, lighting, etc. 2d, a ground-floor, so called, devoted to stores, banks, or other establishments requiring large area, ample spacing, ample light, and great

freedom of access. 3d, a second story readily accessible by stairways,—this space usually in large subdivisions, with corresponding liberality in structural spacing and in expanse of glass and breadth of external openings. 4th, above this an indefinite number of stories of offices piled tier upon tier, one tier just like another tier, one office just like all the other offices,—an office being similar to a cell in a honey-comb, merely a compartment, nothing more. 5th and last, at the top of this pile is placed a space or a story that, as related to the life and usefulness of the structure, is purely physiological in its nature,—namely, the attic. In this the circulatory system completes itself and makes its grand turn, ascending and descending. The space is filled with tanks, pipes, valves, sheaves, and mechanical etcetera that supplement and complement the force-originating plant hidden below-ground in the cellar. Finally, or at the beginning rather, there must be on the ground-floor a main aperture or entrance common to all the occupants or patrons of the building.

This tabulation is, in the main, characteristic of every tall office building in the country. As to the necessary arrangements for light courts, these are not germane to the problem, and, as will become soon evident, I trust, need not be considered here. These things, and such others as the arrangement of elevators, for example, have to do strictly with the economics of the building, and I assume them to have been fully considered and disposed of to the satisfaction of purely utilitarian and pecuniary demands. Only in rare instances does the plan or floor arrangement of the tall office building take on an æsthetic value, and this usually when the lighting court is external or becomes an internal feature of great importance.

As I am here seeking not for an individual or special solution, but for a true normal type, the attention must be confined to those conditions that, in the main, are constant in all tall office buildings, and every mere incidental and accidental variation eliminated from the consideration, as harmful to the clearness of the main inquiry.

The practical horizontal and vertical division or office unit is naturally based on a room of comfortable area and height, and the size of this standard office room as naturally predetermines the standard structural unit, and, approximately, the size of window-openings. In turn, these purely arbitrary units of structure form in an equally natural way the true basis of the artistic development of the exterior. Of course the structural spacings and openings in the first or mercantile story are required to be the largest of all; those in the second or quasi-mercantile story are of a somewhat similar nature. The spacings and openings in the attic are of no importance whatsoever (the windows have no actual value), for light may be taken from the top, and no recognition of a cellular division is necessary in the structural spacing.

Hence it follows inevitably, and in the simplest possible way, that if we follow our natural instincts without thought of books, rules, precedents, or any such educational impedimenta to a spontaneous and “sensible” result, we will in the following manner design the exterior of our tall office building,—to wit:

Beginning with the first story, we give this a main entrance that attracts the eye to its location, and the remainder of the story we treat in a more or less liberal, expansive, sumptuous way,—a way based exactly on the practical necessities, but expressed with a sentiment of largeness and freedom. The second story we treat in a similar way, but usually with milder pretension. Above this, throughout the indefinite number of typical office-tiers, we take our cue from the individual cell, which requires a window with its separating pier, its sill and lintel, and we, without more ado, make them *look* all alike because they *are* all alike. This brings us to the attic, which, having no division into office-cells, and no special requirement for lighting, gives us the power to show by means of its broad expanse of wall, and its dominating weight and character, that which is the fact,—namely, that the series of office-tiers has come definitely to an end.

This may perhaps seem a bald result and a heartless, pessimistic way of stating it, but even so we certainly have advanced a most characteristic stage beyond the imagined sinister building of the speculator-engineer-builder combination. For the hand of the architect is now definitely felt in the decisive position at once taken, and the suggestion of a thoroughly sound, logical, coherent expression of the conditions is becoming apparent.

When I say the hand of the architect, I do not mean necessarily the accomplished and trained architect. I mean only a man with a strong natural liking for buildings, and a disposition to shape them in what seems to his unaffected nature a direct and simple way. He will probably tread an innocent path from his problem to its solution, and therein he will show an enviable gift of logic. If he have some gift for form in detail, some feeling for form purely and simply as form, some love for that, his result, in addition to its simple straightforward naturalness and completeness in general statement, will have something of the charm of sentiment.

However, thus far the results are only partial and tentative at best; relatively true, they are but superficial. We are doubtless right in our instinct, but we must seek a fuller justification, a finer sanction, for it.

II.

I assume now that in the study of our problem we have passed through the various stages of inquiry, as follows: 1st, the social basis of the demand for tall office buildings; 2d, its literal material satisfaction; 3d, elevation of the question from considerations of literal planning, construction, and equipment, to the plane of elementary architecture as a direct outgrowth of sound, sensible building; 4th, the question again elevated from an elementary architecture to the beginnings of true architectural expression, through the addition of a certain quality and quantity of sentiment.

But our building may have all these in a considerable degree and yet be far from that adequate solution of the problem I am attempting to define. We must now heed the imperative voice of emotion.

It demands of us, What is the chief characteristic of the tall office building? And at once we answer, it is lofty. This loftiness is to the artist-nature its thrilling aspect. It is the very open organ-tone in its appeal. It must be in turn the dominant chord in his expression of it, the true excitant of his imagination. It must be tall, every inch of it tall. The force and power of altitude must be in it, the glory and pride of exaltation must be in it. It must be every inch a proud and soaring thing, rising in sheer exultation that from bottom to top it is a unit without a single dissenting line,—that it is the new, the unexpected, the eloquent peroration of most bald, most sinister, most forbidding conditions.

The man who designs in this spirit and with this sense of responsibility to the generation he lives in must be no coward, no denier, no bookworm, no dilettante. He must live of his life and for his life in the fullest, most consummate sense. He must realize at once and with the grasp of inspiration that the problem of the tall office building is one of the most stupendous, one of the most magnificent opportunities that the Lord of Nature in His beneficence has ever offered to the proud spirit of man.

That this has not been perceived—indeed, has been flatly denied—is an exhibition of human perversity that must give us pause.

III.

One more consideration. Let us now lift this question into the region of calm, philosophic observation. Let us seek a comprehensive, a final solution: let the problem indeed *dissolve*.

Certain critics, and very thoughtful ones, have advanced the theory that the true prototype of the tall office building is the classical column, consisting of base, shaft, and capital,—the moulded base of the column typical of the lower stories of our building, the plain or fluted shaft suggesting the monotonous uninterrupted series of office-tiers, and the capital the completing power and luxuriance of the attic.

Other theorizers, assuming a mystical symbolism as a guide, quote the many trinities in nature and in art, and the beauty and conclusiveness of such trinity in unity. They aver the beauty of prime numbers, the mysticism of the number three, the beauty of all things that are in three parts,—to wit, the day, subdividing into morning, noon, and night; the limbs, the thorax, and the head, constituting the body. So, they say, should the building be in three parts vertically, substantially as before, but for different motives.

Others, of purely intellectual temperament, hold that such a design should be in the nature of a logical statement; it should have a beginning, a middle, and an ending, each clearly defined,—therefore again a building, as above, in three parts vertically.

Others, seeking their examples and justification in the vegetable kingdom, urge that such a design shall above all things be organic. They quote the suitable flower with its bunch of leaves at the earth, its long graceful stem, carrying the gorgeous single flower. They point to the pine-tree,—its massy roots, its lithe, uninterrupted trunk,

its tuft of green high in the air. Thus, they say, should be the design of the tall office building: again in three parts vertically.

Others still, more susceptible to the power of a unit than to the grace of a trinity, say that such a design should be struck out at a blow, as though by a blacksmith or by mighty Jove, or should be thought-born, as was Minerva, full-grown. They accept the notion of a triple division as permissible and welcome, but non-essential. With them it is a subdivision of their unit: the unit does not come from the alliance of the three; they accept it without murmur, provided the subdivision does not disturb the sense of singleness and repose.

All of these critics and theorists agree, however, positively, unequivocally, in this, that the tall office building should not, must not, be made a field for the display of architectural knowledge in the encyclopædic sense; that too much learning in this instance is fully as dangerous, as obnoxious, as too little learning; that miscellany is abhorrent to their sense; that the sixteen-story building must not consist of sixteen separate, distinct, and unrelated buildings piled one upon the other until the top of the pile is reached.

To this latter folly I would not refer were it not the fact that nine out of every ten tall office buildings are designed in precisely this way in effect, not by the ignorant, but by the educated. It would seem, indeed, as though the "trained" architect, when facing this problem, were beset at every story, or, at most, every third or fourth story, by the hysterical dread lest he be in "bad form;" lest he be not bedecking his building with sufficiency of quotation from this, that, or the other "correct" building in some other land and some other time; lest he be not copious enough in his display of wares; lest he betray, in short, a lack of resource. To loosen up the touch of this cramped and fidgety hand, to allow the nerves to calm, the brain to cool, to reflect equably, to reason naturally, seems beyond him; he lives, as it were, in a waking nightmare filled with the *dissecta membra* of architecture. The spectacle is not inspiriting.

As to the former and serious views held by discerning and thoughtful critics, I shall, with however much of regret, dissent from them for the purposes of this demonstration, for I regard them as secondary only, non-essential, and as touching not at all upon the vital spot, upon the quick of the entire matter, upon the true, the immovable philosophy of the architectural art.

This view let me now state, for it brings to the solution of the problem a final, comprehensive formula:

All things in nature have a shape, that is to say, a form, an outward semblance, that tells us what they are, that distinguishes them from ourselves and from each other.

Unfailingly in nature these shapes express the inner life, the native quality, of the animal, tree, bird, fish, that they present to us; they are so characteristic, so recognizable, that we say, simply, it is "natural" it should be so. Yet the moment we peer beneath this surface of things, the moment we look through the tranquil reflection of ourselves and the clouds above us, down into the clear, fluent, unfathomable depths of nature, how startling is the silence of it, how amazing

the flow of life, how absorbing the mystery! Unceasingly the essence of things is taking shape in the matter of things, and this unspeakable process we call birth and growth. Awhile the spirit and the matter fade away together, and it is this that we call decadence, death. These two happenings seem joined and interdependent, blended into one like a bubble and its iridescence, and they seem borne along upon a slowly moving air. This air is wonderful past all understanding.

Yet to the steadfast eye of one standing upon the shore of things, looking chiefly and most lovingly upon that side on which the sun shines and that we feel joyously to be life, the heart is ever gladdened by the beauty, the exquisite spontaneity, with which life seeks and takes on its forms in an accord perfectly responsive to its needs. It seems ever as though the life and the form were absolutely one and inseparable, so adequate is the sense of fulfilment.

Whether it be the sweeping eagle in his flight, or the open apple-blossom, the toiling work-horse, the blithe swan, the branching oak, the winding stream at its base, the drifting clouds, over all the coursing sun, *form ever follows function*, and this is the law. Where function does not change, form does not change. The granite rocks, the ever-brooding hills, remain for ages; the lightning lives, comes into shape, and dies, in a twinkling.

It is the pervading law of all things organic and inorganic, of all things physical and metaphysical, of all things human and all things superhuman, of all true manifestations of the head, of the heart, of the soul, that the life is recognizable in its expression, that form ever follows function. *This is the law.*

Shall we, then, daily violate this law in our art? Are we so decadent, so imbecile, so utterly weak of eyesight, that we cannot perceive this truth so simple, so very simple? Is it indeed a truth so transparent that we see through it but do not see it? Is it really, then, a very marvellous thing, or is it rather so commonplace, so everyday, so near a thing to us, that we cannot perceive that the shape, form, outward expression, design, or whatever we may choose, of the tall office building should in the very nature of things follow the functions of the building, and that where the function does not change, the form is not to change?

Does not this readily, clearly, and conclusively show that the lower one or two stories will take on a special character suited to the special needs, that the tiers of typical offices, having the same unchanging function, shall continue in the same unchanging form, and that as to the attic, specific and conclusive as it is in its very nature, its function shall equally be so in force, in significance, in continuity, in conclusiveness of outward expression? From this results, naturally, spontaneously, unwittingly, a three-part division,—not from any theory, symbol, or fancied logic.

And thus the design of the tall office building takes its place with all other architectural types made when architecture, as has happened once in many years, was a living art. Witness the Greek temple, the Gothic cathedral, the mediæval fortress.

And thus, when native instinct and sensibility shall govern the

exercise of our beloved art; when the known law, the respected law, shall be that form ever follows function; when our architects shall cease strutting and prattling handcuffed and vainglorious in the asylum of a foreign school; when it is truly felt, cheerfully accepted, that this law opens up the airy sunshine of green fields, and gives to us a freedom that the very beauty and sumptuousness of the outworking of the law itself as exhibited in nature will deter any sane, any sensitive man from changing into license; when it becomes evident that we are merely speaking a foreign language with a noticeable American accent, whereas each and every architect in the land might, under the benign influence of this law, express in the simplest, most modest, most natural way that which it is in him to say: that he might really and would surely develop his own characteristic individuality, and that the architectural art with him would certainly become a living form of speech, a natural form of utterance, giving surcease to him and adding treasures small and great to the growing art of his land; when we know and feel that Nature is our friend, not our implacable enemy,—that an afternoon in the country, an hour by the sea, a full open view of one single day, through dawn, high noon, and twilight, will suggest to us so much that is rhythmical, deep, and eternal in the vast art of architecture, something so deep, so true, that all the narrow formalities, hard-and-fast rules, and strangling bonds of the schools cannot stifle it in us,—then it may be proclaimed that we are on the high-road to a natural and satisfying art, an architecture that will soon become a fine art in the true, the best sense of the word, an art that will live because it will be of the people, for the people, and by the people.

Louis H. Sullivan.

THE EVOLUTION OF THE WEDDING-CAKE.

WHEN in ancient and imperial Rome a maiden was wedded according to *confarreatio*, she always carried three ears of wheat in her hand, while over her head was broken a simple cake of *far* and *mola salsa* as a presage of plenty and an ample abundance of the good things of life.

In this primitive custom we see the germ from which grew the elaborate plum loaves and daintily beribboned boxes of luscious richness that form so conspicuous a feature of our marriage-feasts to-day.

The bridal wreath of an Early English bride was likewise fashioned of bearded (and sometimes gilded) wheaten spikes, while, on her return from church, corn and other cereals were showered upon her and then carefully gathered up and consumed by the wedding guests. In this, also, we recognize a rude ancestor of a modern fashion, that of sending a newly married pair off in a small blizzard of hard, snowy rice.

In the course of time, however, the golden grain was ground and

LASTING INNOVATIONS!
 SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT!
 ARTISTIC RESULTS!

Are Demonstrated in the Highest Degree In

CHICKERING PIANOS

WE UNHESITATINGLY ASSERT THAT, AS
 Now Constructed, are Superior to all
 other Pianos manufactured
 and absolutely

Conquer all Competition.

We call special attention to our Grands
 as the

Finest Examples of the
 Piano Makers' Art.

SEND FOR OUR CATALOGUE.

CHICKERING & SONS,

791 TREMONT ST., BOSTON.



SOLID THROUGH TRAINS BETWEEN

**CINCINNATI,
 TOLEDO AND DETROIT.**

Pullman Vestibuled Trains Between
**CINCINNATI,
 INDIANAPOLIS,
 AND CHICAGO.**

Through Car Lines from Cincinnati via
 Indianapolis to St. Louis; also
 Cincinnati via Indianapolis to
 Decatur, Springfield, Ill.,
 and Keokuk.

WM. M. GREENE, General Manager, CINCINNATI, OHIO.
 D. G. EDWARDS, General Pass. Agent, CINCINNATI, OHIO.

VIN MARIANI

(MARIANI WINE)

THE IDEAL FRENCH TONIC.

"Vin Mariana, the Elixir of
 Life, a veritable fountain of
 youth, giving vigor, health, and
 energy."

Emile Zola.

At DRUGGISTS & FANCY GROCERS. AVOID SUBSTITUTIONS.

Sent free, if this paper is mentioned,
 Descriptive Book, Portraits and Autographs
 of Celebrities.

MARIANI & CO.,

PARIS: 41 Boulevard Haussmann. 63 West 14th St., New York.
 LONDON: 239 Oxford Street.

To **California**
 in **3 days**

from **Chicago**

over the

Santa Fé Route

The California Limited

is a new, strictly first-class fast train,
 vestibuled throughout, lighted by Pintsch
 gas, and running from Chicago to Los An-
 geles and San Diego in three days; to
 San Francisco, three and a half days.

Through compartment and palace sleep-
 ers, chair cars and dining cars.

Principal fast trains from East closely
 connect with California Limited, leaving
 Chicago 6.00 p.m. daily.

On receipt of 4 cents in stamps, the undersigned
 will mail to applicants a copy of the illustrated book,
 "To California and Back."

G. T. NICHOLSON, Room 705, G. P. A., Chicago.