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## PREF I (E

 asemble at the Chiversity of Kitmsas a rollertion of Ebmme ces that Womblerree as a working basis for such a study. These oollections Wrote accumulated slowly, since only certain summer months were arablable to me for collecting, and since the -peries are sare for one or two, extremely elusive and difficult of accession. In the smmmer of $192 \overline{7}$, collections were marle in Arkansa and Temesee ; in 19:- in Kansas; in 1929, in New Mexico, Arizona and Califomia: in 1930, in Texas. New Mexico, Arizona, Califomia, Nerada and Utah: in 1931, in Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexieo and Colorado. Thus much first-hand information on habits and habitats was obtained.

In 1932. accompanied by Hobart smith. I ventured into Mexico. Here it was apparent, was a fairly accessible tera incognita that held the an-wer to many relationship problems and whids doubtless still had undiscovered species. It was a critical region and lareer scries of known species were needed before the relationship of Mexiean and American forms could be understood. This Mexican journey carried us into serenteen Mexican states and rewarded us with more than a hundred specimens of these kinks, certain of which represented species apparently new to science. However, the very disheartening fact remained that we had failed to obtain several rare forms lomg known to science. in spite of the fact that search was made in the type localities in some cases.

The summer of 1933 was spent in Eastern museums, examining and reëxamining -pecimens.

In 1934 I jommered in westem Mexico in the states of sonora, Sinaloa and Nayarit. Here I met with most disheartening results as regards Eumeces. In the two months collecting although more than 1.500 specimens were collected only a single specinen of Eumeces was taken. Hobart Smith, in 1934, accompanied by David Dunkle, made a journey into northwestern Mexico in the states of Chihuahua, Durango, Zacatecar and Nuevo León. and while generally successful, likerrise obtained only a single specimen of Eumeces.

Aside from the material segrewated at Kansas Lniversity, I have been fortunate in having been permitted to examine prewred -perimens belonging to all the larger American Musemms and many of the smaller ones.

In 1928 I learned that Dr. Charles Burt had likewise in mind a study of the genus Eumeces and we agreed to combine our cfforts. Doctor Burt, during the summers of the two succecding years, collected data on specimens in the American Museum of Natural History and the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoölogy. In 1931 Doctor Burt, due to press of other work and the difficulties involved in our being in separate localitics, withdrew from the undertaking, but very generously made available to me his aceumulated data.

Owing to the necessity of having available more detailed data than had been taken, I reëxamined the specimens at Harvard and the American Museum and in most cases checked the data taken by Doctor Burt. In cases where this was not done acknowledgment is made to Doctor Burt in the text where his data are used.

The work in its present form was completed November 28, 1934. Edward Harrison Taylor.
Lawrence, Kansas.
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12. Eumeces laticeps (Schneider). Fig. 1, Mich. U. No. 67792, Pigeon River, Butte Co., Alabama; adult female; snout to vent, 93 mm . Fig. 2, Mieh. [. No. 67793 , Houston Co., Ceorgia; adult female; smout to vent. 87 mm
13. Eumcces luticeps (Schneider). Fig. 1, Mich. U. No. s7717, Micanopy Road, Florida; snout to vent, 54 mm ; seven-lined form. Fig. 2 , Mich. IT. No. 56607 , Alachua Co., Florida; adult female with fourteen undeveloped eggs; snout to vent, 9.5 mm.; seven-lined form. Fig. 3, Mich. U. No. 566S6, Hanover, Indiana; snout to vent, 81 mm.; five-lined form. Fig. 4, 0kla. T. No. 7265; Delaware Co., Oklahoma; adult male; snout to vent, 112 mm ; five-lined form
14. Eumeces ine. pectutus Taylor. Fis. 1, Mich. U. No. (i1629, Gulfport, Pinelas Co., Florida; female; snout to vent, 67 mm ; actual size. Fig. :2, same, dorsal view. Fig. 3, Mich. ! No. 61631, Hillsboro Co.. Florida; snout to vent, 50 mm . actual size. Fig. 4, K.U. No. S232, Citrus Co., Florida, type; shont to vent, 6fimm. Fig. 5, Ki.t. No.上2?3; paratype; Citmes Co., Florida; snout to vent, 62 mm

## LIST OF HLIUSTRATHONS-CONTINEED

## Plate

15. Eumeces ranthi Günther. British Museum No. S9, 6, 25, 1. Ichang, China. Figs $1,2,3$, cotypes, about natural size. Photographs by British Museum
16. Eumeces elegans Bonlenger. Fig. 1, (…s. No. 31402 , smont to yent, 69 mm . Fig. 2, C. 1.5 No. 31399 (the head seales of this specimen are shown in Text, Fig. 4, 1 and B). Fig. 3, (CAs. No. 26762, snout to vent, 89 mm . Ilt from Mo Kan Shan, China
17. Eumeces stimsonii Thompson. Fig. 1, C.A.s. No. 21658; snout to vent, (i3) mm. Fig. 2, C.A.s. No. 2l6.99; snout to vent, 55 nm, Fig. 3, C.A.s. No. 21670; snout to vent, 60 mm . Fig. 4, C.A.s. No. 21648 ; snout to vent, 53 mm . All from Ishigakijima.
18. Eume ces marginatus (Hallowell). Fig. 1, C.A.S. No. 24252; snont to wht, 53 mm .; male. Fig. 2, (A.S. No. 24254; snont to rent, 70 mm . male. lig. 3 , C.A.S. No. 24251 ; snout to rent, 72 mm .; male. All from Nago, Okinawa
19. Eumces okadae (N(cjneger). Fig. 1, U.S.N.MI. No. 23895; suout to vent, 79 mm .; female. Fig. '2, UN.N. No. 23896; snout to vent, 41 mm.; young. Both from Niishima, Idzu lslands, Japan (p. 000). Eumeces oshimensis Thompson. Fig. 3, C.A.S. No. 21595; Amamioshima, Riu Kiu Islands; 51 mm
20. Eumcees oshimensis Thompson. Fig. 1, C.A.S. No. 21634, Kikeima, Riu Kiu lslands. snout to vent, 65.5 mm . Fig. ㄹ. C.A.s. 21626 , Kikaiga, Riu Kin lshands; s. 5 mm . Fig. 3, C.A.S. A.. 21613 , Amamioshima, Riu Kiu lslands; 66 mm . Fig. 4, C.A.s. No. $215 \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{Amamioshma} ,\mathrm{Rin} \mathrm{Kiulslands;} 78 \mathrm{~mm}$. Fig. 5, C.A.s. No. 21633, Kikaiga, Riu Kiu Islands; 33 mm .
21. Eumects lotiscutatus (Hallowell). Fir. 1, C.A.S. No. 3302s, Kobe, Japan; suout to vent, 72.5 mm . Fig. 2, C.A.S. No. 33048 , Miyazo, Japan; 74.5 mm . Fig. 3, C... S . No. 33049 , Miyazo, Japan; 72 mm .,
22. Eumects brerilincatus Cope. Fig. 1, Ki.l. No. $\mathbf{7}$ (69, Helotes, Bexar Co., Texas; snout to vent, 51 mm . Fig. 2, K.U. No. 13199 , Glass Mits., Brewster Co., Texas; snout to vent, 49 mm . Fig. 3, K. U. No. 13200, Chisos Mlts., Brewster Co., Texas; snout to vent, 58 mm . Fig. 4 , K.L. No. ZनBs, Ahpine, Brewster Co., Texas; snout to vent, 59 mm.,
23. Eumcees callicephalus Bocourt. Firs. 1 and 2, Harvard No. 1592s, Chihuahua; snout to vent, 57 mm . Figs. 3 and t. C.A.s. No. 4809.5 . Huachnea Mts., Arizona; snout to vent, 52.2 mm .
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24. Eumeces obsoletus (Baird and (iirard). Fig. 1, E.H.T. Collection, Lawrence, Kansas; snout to rent, 94 mm . Fig. 2, K.I. No. 7775 , Cameron Co., Texas; snout to vent, 90 mm . Fig. 3, E.11.T. Collection, Lawrence, Kimsas: snout to vent, 97 mm .
2.5. Fig, 1, Eumeres chinensis puleher (Duméril and Bibrou). ('..Ans No. $146 \mathrm{H}_{2}^{2}$, Shanghai, China, (p,593). Fig. 2, Enmeces chincusis chimensis (Gray). Mich. U. No. 6502s, Moh Kan Shan, China: snout to vent, 92 mm . (p. 593). Fig. 3, Eumeres chinensis chinonsis (Ciray). C.1.́. No. 18603, Keelung, Formusa
 Rin Kiu lslands, Japan; snout to vent, so mm. Fig. 2, (A.s. No. 21722, Mlyakojima, Rin Kiu lilands, Japan; snout to vent, 13.4 mm. Fig. 3, CA.s. No. 21725, Ishigakijima, Ran Kiu lslands, Jaquan; snout to vent, 137.5 mm
2-. Enmees multirirgutus (Hallowell, Fig. 1, Denver Miss. No. b; smout to rent, 60 mm . Fig. 2, D.M. No. $3 ;$, sout to vent. 57 mm . Fig. 3 ,

2々. Enmees ar ptentriomalis ohtusirostris (Bocomert). Fig. 1, K.U.No. 1315s,


No. 13159 , same locality; snout to vent, 45 mm . (p.599). Eumeces multivirgatus (Hallowell) (p. 599). Fig. 3, U.S.N.M. No. 30833, Chilmahua; snout to rent, 69 mm . Fig. 4, Collection Grand Canyon Nat. Park, from Grand Canyon; snout to vent, 35 mm .
29. (Not printed.)
30. Eumeces humilis Boulenger. Figs. 1 and 2, K.U. No. 13161, Carlsbarl Caverns, Eddy Co., New Mexico; snout to vent, 47 mm . Fig. 3, Mich. U. No. 70516 , Cuadalupe Mts., Culberson Co., Texas; snout to vent, 65 mm
31. Eumeces egregius onocrepis (Cope). Fig. 1, U.S.N.MI. No. 60515, Auburndale, Pope Co.. Florida; snout to vent. 54 mm . (p. 603). Eumeces eqregius egregius (Baird). Fig. 2, U.S.N.M. No. 61692, Big Pine Key, Florida; snout to vent, 46 mm . (p. 603). Eumeces parmulus Taylor. Fig. 3, U.S.N.M1. No. 51395, Miniman, Nayarit; paratype; snout to vent, 37 mm . Fig. 4, U.S.N.M. No. 56003, Tepic, Nayarit; type; snout to vent, 51 mm . (p, 603). Eumeces parriauriculatus Tiaylor. Fig. 5, U.S.N.M. No. 47536 , Alamos, Sonora; type; snout to vent, 47 mm
32. Eumeces anthracinus (Baird). Fig. 1, K.U. No. 11342, Cherokec Co., Kansas. Fig. 2, K.U. No. S219, Lawrence Co., Arkansas. Fig. 3, K.U. No. 8221, Lawrence Co., Arkansas. Snout to vent, all specimens, 56 mm . Fig. 4, K.U. No. 11339 Galena, Kansas, x-1. Fig. 5, K.U. No. 11340, Galena, Kansas, x-1
33. Eumeces copei Taylor. Fig. 1, U.S.N.M. No. 32291; "Either the valley of Mexico or the neighboring one of Toluca"; snout to vent, 70 mm . Fig. 2, E.II.T. \& H.M.S. No. 3865; 10 miles southeast of Asuncion, western Mexico, Mexico; snout to vent, 62 mm . Fig. 3, E.H.T. \& H.M.s. No. 3859 ; same locality; snout to vent, 76 mm
34. Eumeces septentrionalis septentrionalis (Baird). Fig. 1, K.U. No. 6982; shout to rent, 74 mm . Fig. 2, K.U. No. $6979 ;$ snout to vent, 65 mm . Fig. 3, K.U. No. 6991 ; snout to vent, 68 mm . All specimens from Onaga, Kan
35. Eumeces skiltonianus skiltonianus (Baird and Girard). Fig. 1, C.A.S. No. 48923, Carmel, Monterey Co.; snout to vent, 40 mm . Fig. 2, Idem. Fig. 3, C.A.s. No. 39330, Comptehe, Mendocino Co.; snout to vent, 65 mm. Fig. 4, C.A.S. No. 26986, Carmel, Monterey Co.; snout to vent, 67 mm .
36. Fig. 1, Eumeces lagunensis Van Denburgh. U. of C. No. 13760, Comondú, $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$., Baja California, Mexico; snout to vent, 50 mm . (p. 613). Fig. 2, Eumeces skiltonianus skiltonianus (Baird and (Girard). Cal. U. No. 10487, Todos Santos Islands; snout to vent, 65 mm .; typical specimen. Fig. 3, Eumeces shiltonianus skiltonianus (Baird and Girard). C.A.s. No. 13736 (male), Carmel, Monterey Co.; snout to vent, 65 mm .; specimens of this type were found with the typical ones. Fig. 4. Eumeces shiltonianus skiltomianus (Baird and Girard). Cal. T. No. 10950, Turner's Lyonsville, 3,500 ft., Tehama Co.; snout to vent, 61 mm .; a single atypical specimen obtained from a large series.
37. Eumeces gilberti gilberti Yan Denburgh. Fig. 1, C.A.S. No. 655307, Panamint Mts., Inyo Co.; snout to vent, 75 mm . Fig. 2, Cal. U., Fosemite Valley, Mariposa Co. Fig. 3, C.A.S. No. 50158 , Yosemite Valley, Mariposa Co.; snout to rent, 96 mm
38. Eumeees gilberti gilberti Van Denburgh. Fig. 1, Stanford U. No. 3421, San Joaruin Co.; approximately natural size. Fig. 2, Stanford U. No. 3422 , San Joaquin Co.; approximately natural size. Fig. 3, Cal. I. Xus. Zool. No. 3985, Carbondale, Amador Co.; snout to vent, 89 mm . Fig. 4, Cal. U. Mus. Zool. No. 3559, San Joaquin Co.; snout to vent, 98 mm

## 

PITE
39. Eumcces gilberti rulricaudatus Taylor. Fig. 1, C.A.s. No. 30001, Tehachapi Mts., Kern Co.; snout to vent, 5l mm. Fig. 2, (...... No. 35363 , Witeh (reek, San Diego Co.; snout to vent, 39.5 mm. Fig. 3, Cal. U. No. Estio, near Fort Tejon, Kern Co.; snome to vent, simm. Fig. 4, C.A.s. No. 40301 (male), Campo, sin Diego ('o.; smout to vent, 101 mm .
40. Eumees quadrilinentus (Blyth). Fig. 1. A.M.N.H. No. 30197: male: South Monntains, Nodon, Hainan; snout to vent, 73 nmm (p. 621). Eumeces lymate lyme Wiegmann. Fig. 2, Mich. U. No. 4sotif; fomale: (imerrero, Hidalgo, Mexico; snout to vent, 67 mm . (p. $\mathfrak{i v}$ l). Eumeces colimensis Taylor. Fig. 3, F.M.N.H. No. 1649; troe, fomale. Colima, Mexico; snout (o vent, bī mm. (p. 6id). Eumeces l!mere lymac Wiegmann. Vig. 4, (.ふ.N.M. No. 1 lion, female: snout to rent. 62 mm
41. Eumeces brerirostri: (Cünther). Fig. 1, E.H.T. \& H.MI.s. No. 25si. Fig. 2, E.H.T. \& H.M.S. No. 2.51. Totalco, Vera Cruz: smont to vent, both specimens, 54 mm. Fig. 3, C.S.N.M. No. fitis?, La Parada, Oaxaca; 64 mm .
42. Eumeces induhitus Taylor. Fig. A, E.H.T. \& H.M.s. No. 1674 paratype; 40 miles south of Mexico City; about actual size. Fig. B. E.H.T. \& H.M.s. Nu. 1731; type; same locality; about actual size, 125
43. Eumeces ochoterenae Taylor. Fig. 1, E.H.T. \& H.M.s. No. 1481. Mazatlín. Guerrero, Niexico; snout to vent, 53 mm . Fig. 2, E.H.T. \& H.M.S. No. 1015, same locality; 56 mm . (p. 627). Eumeces dugesii Thominot. Fig. 3, U.S.N.MI. No. 26153, Guanajuato, Mexico; snout to vent, 58.6 mm . Fig. 4, С.E.N.MI. No. 26154, Guanajuato, Mexico; snout to vent, 67 mm
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# A Taxonomic study of the Cosmopolitan seincoid <br> Lizards of the Ciemus Eramects 

With an Account of the Distribution and Relationshipof Its Species

Abstract: This paper is a monographic revision of the genus Eumeces Wiemmann. based for the most part on the collections to be foumd in the Enited States. All species and subepecies have been redescribed and data on rariation have been recorded. The measurements of a series of specimens of each form have been given. Practically all sucties have been figured either by line drawings or photographs. A more or less complete list of localities where specimens have been taken is given, as well as maps showing the present known distribution.

Numerous nomenclatorial changes have been made from those commonly accepted.

Compared with the "Checklist of North American Amphibians and Reptiles" Stejneger and Barbour, 3d ed., 1933, the following names are added, omitted or changert.

Eumeces laticeps (Schneider).
Eumeces incxpectatus Taylor.
Eunceses egregius cgregius (Baird).
Eumeces euregins onocrepis (Cope).
Eumeces septentrionalis septentrionalis (Baird).
Eumeces arptentriomalis obtusiostris (Bocourt) (formerly Eumeces pachyurus Cope).
Eumeces gilberti gilberti Van Denburgh.
Eumeces gilberti rubricaudatus subsp. nov.
Eumeces skiltonianus breripes Cone.
Eumeces gaigei Taylor.
Eumeces plurialis Cope placel in the synonymy of Eumeces anthracimes (Baird).

Compared with Boulenger's Catalogue of the Lizards of the British Museum, vol. III, 1857, the following changes, additions or omissions oceur in forms
found outside the United States. (This Catalogue is the only complete treatment of the group.)

E'umeces latiscutatus: (Hallowell).
Eumeces chinensis chinensis (Gray').
Eumces chinensis pulcher (Duméril and Bibron).
Eumeces bellii (Gray) (placed in synonymy of Eumeces lyuxe lymxe (Wiegmann).
Eumeces lymxe lynxe (Wiegmann).
Eumuces lynace furcirostris (Cope).
Eurneces dugèsia Thominot.
Eumeces parviariculatus Taylor.
Eumects parvulus Taylor.
Eumcees colimensis Taylor.
Eumeces indubitus Taylor.
Eumeces ochoterenue Taylor.
Eumeces altamirani Dugès.
Eumeces managune Dunn.
Eumeces tacmiolatus Boulenger referred to the srnonymy of Eumeces managuae Dunn.
Eumeces scutatus Theobald referred to Eumeces tacmiolatus (Blyth).
Eumeces pavimentatus ( $i$ eoffroy-St. Hillaire).
Eumeces princeps (Eichwald).
Eumeces zarudmyi Nikokky.
Eumeces algeriensis algerionsis (Peters).
Eumeces algcricnsis meridiomalis Domergue.
Eumces chincusis formosamus Van Denburgh referred to the synonymy of Eumeces chimensis chimensis (Cray).
Eumces xanthi Ciunther.
Eumecs pekinensis Stenegre refert to the synonymy of Eumeces ranthi Giinther.
Eumeces kishinouyci Stejneger.
Eumeres okadar (Stejneger).
Eumeers oshimensis Thompon.
Eumeces stimsoniz Thompron.
Eumeces barbouri Van Deuburgh.
Enmeces marginatus kikaigensis Van Denburgh and Eumeces marginatus amermirnsis Van Denburgh ate placed in the syonỵy of Enomeces oshimensis Thompoon.
Eumeces ishigalitnsis Van Denburgh is placed in the synonymy of Eumects stimsomi Thompon.

## INTRODIC(TION

In attempting a taxmomic revision of this puzzling genus Eumoces. I hawe had as a goal the proper definition of the genas and of its known forms; the description of new and unrecognized forms: the resurredion of species long buried in smonymies: the disentanglement of rertain taxomomic knots; and in a measure the bringing about of more adequate facilities for the recognition or determination of species hy means of more complete descriptions and use of more aderpate illustrations.

I have also attempted to arrive at the most probable derivation and relationships of the genus and its speeies, and so far as my data go to plot their present known distribution.

The task involving the revision of a genus places a very considerable responsibility upon the reviewer. Particularly is there a responsibility as regards his interpretation of forms with relation to taxonomy. Shall this form be made subspecific? Shall this be recognized as a species? Shall this rabiety even be recognized with a name? Or. on the other hand, shall this form now recognized be relegated to oblivion in the synonymy?
"Lumping" is the lazy method of treatment and probably does more to obscure true relationships and the consequent hearing on the evolutionary history of a group than anything else a reviewer might do. Exeessive zeal in "splitting" and thus multiplying named forms, rather than reducing them, may likewise defe:t the desired end. The supreme difficulty is the maintenance of a consistent attitude. A question arises concerning two forms occupying adjacent territory: are they species or subspectics? With a considerable number of characters whieh tend to but do not definitely separate the forms, it might appear wise to regard them as subspecies. If, on the other hand, only a single specimen or a very occasional one shows a tendency to merge certain characters, it sems unwise to so regard them. When two forms are able to maintain their identity throughout a considerable area common to both. one should regat them as species despite an occasional specimen which seems to combine characters of both, for in this care it may be adaptive resemblance due to the same enviroment. An oecasional erose between species does not nece-sarily imply (lose subspecific) rehationship. We are aware of erosse occuring between very distinct species or even genera which might show mixed characters of the two forms. One can conceive such erosses in which certain dif-
ferential specific characters are of such a nature as to behave as Mendelian characters in inheritance, and in a single brood of the sccond generation, one might have typical specimens of each species from a single mother.

In this work, where there secms to be doubt che to an insufficiency of material, I have usually retained forms under subspecific names, especially where their ranges are contiguous and have definitive characters of size, color or squamation which permit identification of the adult.

In some forms, notably Eumeces obsoletus, the specimens from north to south vary so grachually that it seems necessary to retain the variants under a single specific name. In the case of Eumeces brevirostris, Eumeces skiltoniamus and certain others, I have placed a number of variant forms under a single name, due to too great an insufficiency of material to positively limit and define these variants as either species or subspecies. Throughout the work I have endeavored to maintain a consistent attitude, but unconsciously consistency may have been riolated.

In attempting to determine relationships I have found many difficulties in the way of arriving at massailable conclusions. No single set of criteria will suffice, and one may claim that relationships exist between certain forms becanse of certain scale and color pattern similarities; in another case one will feel constrained to postulate relationship in spite of great dissimilarity in color pattern and scale formula; or, in still another, to separate widely forms that agree in certain scale or color characters. Here again, perhaps, consistency has been violated.
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## METHODS AN゙D MATERIALS

In this study of the genus Eumeces, the general method of treatment is that followed in numerous recent monographic works of a similar sort, save that space has forbidden my quoting extensively from other authors.

I have endeavored to make the synonymies complete, but I am aware that this has been done only in a measure, and that doubtless I have overlooked important papers. Owing to lack of adequate library facilities, the literature was transeribed by typing or photostating so that, save for certain rare works, the entire literature was immediately available. Unfortmately, in the literature of the Fasciatus group, and again in that of the Schneiderii group. it has not been possible to relegate, with certainty in all cases, each species reference to the proper syonymy, owing to my in-
ability to determine, at times, what species was being treated by a particular author. The deseriptions have been drawn itp from individual specimens in rather considerable detail. Many species have not been adequately dearibed heretofore. It appears obvionthat brevity in descriptions contributes more to taxonomide conntasion thatn does prolixity.

In the descriptions many characters are given just as they appear" : and under the topie "rariation" the variation of only the more salient characters is given. It must of course, be realized that more characters than are montoned moler this topic also vary: for instance. lamella formmate sales about insertion of arms, ete. The color deariptions are taken largely from alcoholie specimens. since it is in this eondition the specimen is most frequently studied. When the coloration is taken from living specimens, this fact is mentioned. It must be remembered that specimens preserved in formalin* are nsually greatly darkened, and often the pattern is ahmost wholly obscured. If such specimens are placed below water, the pattern can often be more easily discerned.

Where a series is available, the measurements of several specimens are given, showing a series from young to old. It will be noted that relative body proportions change as the specimens grow older; for instance, the length of limb in proportion to the axilla to groin measurement, and the width of the head in proportion to its length.

Distribution of the forms is, for the most part, based on the locality records of specimens examined. A certain amount of published data on localities has been discarded or retained with a question. inasmuch as the cxact identity of the specimens reported may be open to question.

Owing to the courtesy of the authorities of the various museums of the United States, and owners of certain private collections, it has been posible to study most of the Eumeces material preserved in the Cnited states. This material has been subjected to a careful scrutiny and very detailed data taken on practically every specimen examined. Thus, for each single specimen, locality data and museum data have been recorded; ten measurements have been taken; forty-seven other items of data hare been recorded. together with color data or details of markings. These aforementioned items involve a count of scales from parietals to above ams: four counts

[^0]of scales round the body at various points; and when tail is complete the long series of subcaudals. This involves counting nearly 300 sales on a single sperimen; and in most cases these were counted under a binocular microscope. When one considers the very large number of secimen examined, it becomes apparent that the accumulation of data is so great that it is feasible to publish but a -mall part of it.
something less than one third of the species has been observed and collected by myself. A few species collected by others have been observed alive in the vivarium. This phase of the work has been in a measure neglected since in the case of only a few species has any extensise acquaintance been made with habits and life historice in the field. Data obtained appear under the various speries discused. Specimens of certain form:-obsoletus, fasciatus and septentrionalis septentrionalix-brought to my laboratory have laid eggs and the young have been hatchel. Noble and Mason 119331 report on the behavior of laticeps and fasciatus, and considerable data on behavior in the field appear in the works of many authors.

## hllestrations

The drawings particularly as regards the appearance of the rostral on the dorsal side of the head, may appear to differ from the details given in the descriptions. This is due to the fact that the artist has attempted to draw in perspective the recering tip of the snout. The same is true of scales in the dorsolateral region of the head. It will be further noted that the drawings are considerably enlarged, and considerable effort has been made to show more or less accurately the smaller as well as the larger scales.

It will be noted from descriptions that certain changes and additions have been made in nomenclature of the scales. This has been done for the purpose of permitting more careful word pictures of the forms. The scales to which these words apply may he discerned from the section beginning on page 70 or from the figure on page 71 .

The photographic illustrations have been made by photographing the preserved specimens under water. The specimens are placed on pins which are fastened to a piece of glass. This is submerged in water in a white enameled pan at some distance from the bottom, thus allowing the shadow formed to be thrown out of focus. By this method much of the light reflected from the scales is eliminated. The same results can be obtained by using a glass bottomed container for the water.

## TYPE EPECDMENS

Perhaps nothing is more important to a reviewer of the taxomomy of a group than a study of the type material on which the various species have been foumded，inatmuch as the written deseriptions， often brief，and the figures，if anys are often inadequate to convey a correct pieture of the speries．

In this study，the following typer hare been examined：

anthraciones Baird．T＇nited states National Musenm．
bicolor Ifarlan．Academy of Natural sicionces，Philatelphat．
brevilineatus Cope．I＇nitedstates National Muscum．
breでpre Cope．United States N゙ational Masemun．
colimensis Taylor．Fied Museum of Natural History．
copei Taylor．E．H．Taylor－H．smath Collection，Kansas Thivasity．
dicei Ruthven and Ciaige．Muremm of Zoölogy，University of Michigan eqregius Baird．U＇nitedStates National Mnsenm．
epiplemotus Cope．Unitod States National Musemm．
？fruthoncephahss Cilliams．Academy of Natural Sciences，Philadelphia．
？fumbrosus Cope．Conited States National Musemm．
furcirostris Cope．Academy of Natuma Sciences，Philadehthia．
gaigei Taylor．Kansas University Museum．
guttulatus Hallowell．United States National Museum．
indubitus Taylor．E．H．Tiylor－H．Smitl Collection，Kansas Eniversity．
incxpectatus Taylor．Kansas University Museum．
inornatus Baird．United States National Museum．
latiscutatus Baird．United States National Museum．
latiscutatus okalac Stejneger．United Siates National Museum．
leptogrammus Baird．United States National Museum．
longirostris Cope．United States National Museum， managuae Dunn．Enited States National Museum．
marginatus Hallowell．U＇nitedstates National Museum and Academy of Natural Sciences，Philadelphia（No．9309）．
multivirgatus Hallowell．Academy of Natural Sciences，Philadelphia．
obsoletus Baird．United States National Museum．
ochoteremar Taylor．E．H．Taylor－II．Smith Collection，Kansas University．
pachyurus Cope．Academy of Natural Sciences，Philadelphia．
parviauriculatus Taylor．Inited States National Musenn．
parmolus Taylor．United States National Museum．
pekimensis Stejneger．United States National Museum．
quadrilineatus Hallowell．Enited States N＇ational Musemm．
rovirosar Duges．Alfredo Dugès Musemm，Cuanajuato，Mexico．
schminti Dumn．Academy of Natural sciences，Philadelyhia．
septentriomalis Baird．United states National Museum．
skiltoniomus Baird．United States National Museum．
sumichorasti Cope．Cnited States National Museum．
tetragrammus Baird．Enited States National Museum．
tungemas Stejneqer．Cnited States National Museum．
xanthe Ciïnther．British Muspum，Natural History．

## Paratypes of the following have been examined:

chinensis formosmms Van Denburgh. California Academy of Sciences. margimatus amamiensis Van Denburgh. California Academy of Sciences. marginatus kikaiqensis Van Denburgh. California Academy of Sciences. oshimensis Thompson. California Academy of Sciences. stimsonä̈ Thompson. Califomia Academy of Sciences.
Neither types nor paratypes have been seen of the following speries and subspecies:
aldrorandii Duméril and Bibron. Probably in the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.
amblygrammus Cope. Formerly in the United States National Museum. Now apparently lost.
americanus Harlan. Originally at the Academy of Natural Sciences. Philadelphia. Now apparently lost.
algcriensis Peters. Zoologischen Museum, Berlin.
barbouri Van Denburgh. Califomia Academy of Sciences.
*bcllii Gray. British Muscum, Natural History.
blythianus Anderson. Indian Museum.
*hocourti Boulenger. British Musemm, Natural History. Same type as tumilis.
*brevirostris Ciunther. British Mureum, Natural History. callicophalus Bocourt. Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris. capito Bocourt. Nuseum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Paris.
tceprdii Merrem. Location of type unknown.
chinensis Gray. British Museum, Natural History.
cuprius Cuvier. Probably no existing type.
dugesio Thommot. Nusem National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.
clegans Boulenger. British Museum, Natural History.
$\dagger$ fasciatus Linnaeus. Figure from Catests's "Carolina."
hallouelli Bocourt. Museum National d'IIistoire Naturelle, Paris.
*humilis Boulenger. British Mhseum. Natural History.
japonicus Petcrs. Zoologisclaen Museum. Berlin.
lagunensis Van Denburgh. Trpe formerly in the California Academy of Sciences. Destroyed in the fire. 1906.
luticeps Schneider. Present location unknown.
lynxe Wiegmann. Zoologischen Museum, Berlin.
meridionalis Domergue. ? Museum of Oran.
obtusirostris Bocourt. Musemm National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.
onocrepis Cope. Formerly in the Peabody Museum, Salem, Massachusetts. Now apparently lost.
parimentatus Geoffroy-St. Hillaire. Present location unknown.
pluvirlis Cope. Formerly in the Tnited States National Museum. Now apparently lost.
polygrommus Cope. Formerly in the Cnited States National Museum. Now apparently lost.
princeps Eichwald. Present location unknown. Possibly Moscow. pulcher Duméril and Bibron. Probably Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.

[^1]quadritimatus Blyth. Formerly in the Indian Mnseum. Now apparently. lost.
quedrizirgatus Hallowell. Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadehphia.
quinquelincatus Limacus. Probably no existing type.
rufeseens Shaw. Probably no existing type other than Aldrovandi's figure. Quad. Ovip., r. 660.
mufo-guthatu" Cantor. British Museum. Natural Ihistory.
schnciderii Daudin. Probably. Musem National d'llistoire Naturehle. Paris.
schuortzci Fischer. Naturhistorischen Muscum. Mambure.
*scutetus Theobald. Indian Musum. Same type as tafniolutus.
syriaca Boettger. Senckenbergian Museum. Frankiort an Main.
*tacniolatus Blyth. Indian Museum.
triaspis Cone. Vomen mulum.
tristatus Daudin. Probably Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Paris.
vittigrom Hallowell. Fomerly in the Academy of Natural Sciences. Philadelphia. Now apparently lost.
a arudnui Nikolski. Probably Museum of Leningrad.

## Clasification of The (iENUS ECMECES

Clase Reptilia Laurenti (1768)
+̇ubclars Diapsida Osborn (1903)
Order Squamata Oppel (1811)
Suborder Sauria MacCartney (1802)
Division Autarchoglossa Wagler (1830)
Section Scincomorpha Camp (1923)
Superfamily Scincoidea Cuvier (1S17)
Family Scincidae Gray (1825)
Genus Eumeces Wiegmann (1834)

## GEALS ECMECES MIEGMANN <br> SYNONYMY

1756. Lacerta (part.) Linnaeus. Systema Naturae, 10th Ed., Vol. 1, p. 205; idem, 12th Ed., 176f. 1. 359.
1757. Sconcus (part.) Harlan. Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1V. pt. 2, 1824, p. 28f;

182f. Mahuyn (part.) Fitzinger. Nell. Class. Relt., 1026. 1. 23.
1758. Euprepis (part.) Wagler. Nat. Syst. Amph.. 1-30. 1. 101.
1759. Eumuces (part.) Wigmann. IImp. Mex., 1434, b. 36 (type Scincus parimontatus $=$ Eumeces pavimentatus Geoffroy [part.]): Wiegnann. Arch. fïr Natur., 1I. 2, 1835. F. 28 (type Eumeces parimentatus Geoffroy-St. Hillaire); idem, 1II, 1. 1837. 1p. 131, 132: Hallowelt. Trans. Amer. Philos. Soc., New Series, 1860. p. 73 (subgenus); Peters, Mon. Ber. Akad. Wiss. Berlin. 1864, p. 48; Stoliczka, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengat, XLI, 1872, p. 121: Bucourt, Miss. Sri. Mexique, Liv. VI, 1879, pp. 418-422; Smith, Rep. Gerl. Surv. Ohin, V. pt. 1. 1ane. p. fiso: Murray. Zoöl. Sind. 1884, P. 355 ; Boulenger. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., I11. 1887. yp. 365-36f: Hoffman, in Bronn.

* Photographs of the types have been examined.
$\ddagger$ Pirasida Williston: Lepimesaeria Romer.


## Klass. Ord. Thier-R., VI, pt. 111, 1890, Pr. 1148 , 1149 ; Boultnger, Trans. Zoül. Soc.

 Hprrich, Bull. sci. Lah. Denison Univ., NI, 1699, rp. 146-147; Stejneger, Bull. U. S. Nizt. Mus., No. 5s. 1906, pp. 193-195; Beddart, Proc. Zoül. Soe. London, 1907, P. is: Van Denhurgh, Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci., 4th Ser., 1I1, 190s-1913, pp. 211-213; Ditmars, The Reptile Bork, 1919, pp. 195, 196; Schmidt, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XlıX, 1919,1 . 30; Camp, Bull. Amer. Nus. Nat. Hist., XLV111, 1923, p. 33: stejncger, Proc. $\mathrm{l}^{\top}$. S. Nat. Mus., LXTl, 1926, pp. 44, 45; sm, Cont. Biol. Lab. Sci. soe. Clina, II, 1926, 1. 2.
1s:34. Plestiodon Duméril and Bibron. Erp. Gén., V. 1839, 1. 697, (subgenus); Gray, Cat. Spec. Liz. Coll. Brit. Mus., 1s45, J. 90 (genus); Hallowell, Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc., 1860, XI, p. 81 (sulagenus); Brown, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1857, p. 215 ; Hoffman, in Bronn, Klass. Ord. Thier-R., Yl, pt. III, 1890, p. 1148; Brown, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 190s, H1, 118, 119; Van Denlurgh, Occas. Papers Cal. Acad. Sci., X, No. 1, 1922. P. 575 ; Pratt, Yert. Anim. Amer., 1923, p. 205.
1843. Pleistodon Fitzinger. Syst. Rept., 1843. P. 22 (emendation; type Pleistodon quinquelincatum).
1843. Pariocela Fitzinger. Syst. Rent., 1843, p. 22 (type Pleistodon laticeps).
1848. Plistodon Agassiz. Nomencl. Zool. Ind. Univ.. 1s4s, 1. s63 (emendation); Cope, Second and Third Ann. Rep. Peabody Acad., 18i1, p. 82.
1852. Lamprosaurus Hallowell. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1852, p. 206 (type Lamprosaurus guttulatus).
1854. Eurylepis Blyth. Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, XXII1, p. 739 (type Eurylepis taeniolatus).
1064. Mabouia Gïnther. Rept. Brit. India, 1864, p. 82; idem, Proc. Zö̈l. Soc. London, 1861, P. 316.
1887. Platypholis (non Boulenger) Dugès. La Naturaleza, 2d Ser. I. 1887, p. 486 (type Eumeces altamirani Dugès).
History. The generic name Eumces (from cìmiкns. elongated) was proposed by Wiegmam in his Herpetologia Mexieana (1834, p. 36). Three species were included: Scincus panimentatus Geoffroy ; Scincus rufescens. Merrem; and Scincus punctatus Schmeider. He defined the group as follow:
"Scutella verticalia tria; fromtalia tria: arntes primores $\mathbf{i}$, maxillares utrinque 20/25: mares in mertio sentello sitne (smotlis fhobus in unnm coalitis); squamae dorsi laeves."

This was divided into two groups:
"A. Palpebra superion mediocris; inferior seutellato-squamosa; dentes palatini mumerosi. SCiNCUs parmentates Gfoff.; Solnoys rufescens Merr.
"B. I'alpebra superior brevis. inferior perspieillata: Señés punctates Schucid."

The following year, in an article in which he reviewed his own work (Archiv, für Naturg., Vol. 2, 1835, p. 288, Wicgmann designated scincus parimentatus as the type of the genus by a statement in which he says that both Scincos rufescons Merrem and Scincus punctatus selmeiler had been included in the group due to error, and that both belong to the genus Euprepes, sensus strictu, while only Scincus parimentatus Geoffroy belongs to Eumeces. Thus, with a single species in the genus, this species must become the genotype. And since Wiegmam must be comsidered the first reviewer, the genus Eumeces must stand.

Duméril and Bibron (Erpétologie Ciénérale, 1839 , V, pp. 6:29. (630). after discussing at length the group Eumeces of Wiegman. state:
"Il résulte de ces diverses observations que le sous-are me Emmeres de M. Wiegmann ne repose pas sur des bases asey fixes pour que nous puissions le conserver: nous en prenons simplement be nom pour lappliquer an groupe dont les earacteres essentiels sont exprimés dams la diagnose mise en tête de cet article. groupe auquel now donnons toutciois pour typ whe des trois espes d'Eumèes de M. Wiegmann, ou le scinens panctatus de Schneider."

It is apparent that these rexiewers were unaware of the second contribution on the subject by Wiegmann himself, so that their choiec of a genotrpe ramot stand. In the above work these authors asociated under the genus (sous-genre) Eumeces Wiegmann, the following forms: Eumeces punctatus Wiegmann [二Riopa punctata (Limé)]: Eumeces sloanii Duméril and Bibron $[=$ Mabuya sloamii (Daudin)] ; Eumeces spixi Duméril and Bibron [ = Mabuya auruta Schneider (part.)]: Eumeces mabouia Duméril and Bibron [ = Mabuya nigropunctata (spix)]; Eumeces freycinctii Duméril and Bibron $[=$ Emoia atrocostatum. (Lesson)]; Eumeces carterctii Duméril and Bibron [=Emoia cyamogaster (Lesson)]; Eumces baudimii Duméril and Bibron [ $=$ Emoia baudimii (Duméril and Bibronl]: Eumeces lessonii Duméril and Bibron [=Emoia cyamure (Lesson)]: Eumeces opelii Duméril and Bibron [=Riopa rufescens (Shaw)]; Eumeces microlcpis Duméril and Bibron [=Riopa microlepis (Duméril and Bibron)].

For the species listed by Wiegmann as Scincus parimentatus and certain other related forms. Duméril and Bibron erected the genus Plestiodon and associated in the genus four presumed species, as follows: Plestiodon aldrovandii [ = Eumeces schnciderii (Daudin) (part.) and Eumeces alyeric nsis (Peters) (part.)] ; Plestiodon sincme Duméril and Bibron [=Eumeces chinensis (Gray)]; Plestiodon laticeps [二Eumeces laticeps (schneider)]; Plestiodon fumumelincatum [ = ? Eumeces fasciatus Limné)]: and Plestiodon pulchrum $[=$ Eumeces chint nsis pulcher (Duméril and Bibronl]. No genotype is mentioned.

The specific forms now recognized under the schmeiderii group were placed in a single species; and another recognized form. Euprepes lymar Wiegmann, was plared in the synonymy of the speries Eumeces fasciatus.

Fitzinger (Syst. Rept., 1843. p. 32) designates the genotype as Pleistodon quinquelincatum $[=$ ? Eumeces fasciatus (Linné)].

Many subsequent authors followed Duméril and Bibron in their interpretation of the genas Eumces. Thus we find in Günther's "Reptiles of British India" (1864) a list of sixteen species placed in the genus, none of which are now recognized as belonging to Eumcees Wiegmann. The three species of true Eumeces treated in the work, Eumeces quadrilincatus (Blyth). Eumeces chinensis (Gray), and Eumeces schmeiderii (Daudin) are placed in the genus Mabuya Fitzinger, as Mabouia quadrilincata, Mabouia chinensis and Mabonia aurata, respectively. A fourth species, erroneously placed in this group, is Mabouia maculata Blyth [=Sphenomorphus maculatus (Blyth)].

Boulenger (Cat. Liz., III, 1887) and Cope (Croc., Liz. Snakes, 1900) have both utilized the genu- Eumeces for the lizards associated under the designation Plestiodon by Duméril and Bibron.
A few other names, some emendations, have been proposed for species now recognized in the genus Eumoces.

Plcistorlon. This was an emendation of Fitzinger (Syst. Rept., $18+3, \mathrm{p}$. 22), who dexignated the type of Duméril and Bibron's genus as Pleistodon quinqualincatum (Limné).

Parioccla Fitzinger (loc. cit.) The type designated is Pleistodon laticeps (Sehneider).

Plistodon Agassiz, Nomen. Zool. Index L'nivers., 1848, p. 863 (Emendation).
Eurylepis Blyth, Journ. Asia. Soc. Bengal. XXIII, p. 739. This name was proposed for a species of Indian skink named taenolatus and characterized by broad plates across the back.

Lamproscumes Hallowell. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1852, p. 206. This genus was erected for a young specimen of Eumeces obsoletus which Hallowell named Lamprosaurus guttulatus. The adult Eumeces obsoletus he placed in the genus Plestiodon. The character used for the separation of the two forms appears to have been the apparent absence of pterygoid teeth in the young speei-men-" "no palatine or shenoidal teeth."

In 1857 (Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Plila.. pp. 215, 216), having obtained other specimens of the same species, he considers them as belonging to Plestiodon and discards his own generic name with the following statement: "The original specimen from New Mexico was in such a condition as to render it extremely difficult to determine its true characters." He still failed to realize that he was dealing with the young of obsoletus.
Platypholis A. Dugès, La Naturaleza, Ser. 2, T. I, 1887-1890,
pp. 485, 486. This generic designation was proposed for a Mexican species, which he describes under the name Eumeces altamirami, in the following manner:
"¿Debemos considerar este cesincóideo como una variculad monstruosa ó el adulto del Eum. Hallowelli? No lo ereo, porque además de otros caracteres menos importantes que los separan, se observa una regularidad tal en la coalecencia de las escamas medianas de todo el dorso, que defícimente se puede considerar esta disposición como un caso de anomalía. Como esta particularidad es desconocida entre los otros escincóideos creo que si no hay lugar de establecer un género especial para el Eumeces Altamirani, á lo menos se le debe conservar con justicia el nombre específico que le impongo; pero si se creyese conveniente formarlo, se le puede Hamar Platrpholis."

The action of Duméril and Bibron in proposing Plestiodon for this group does not change or modify the proposal of Wiegmann in 1835. However, it has influenced many subsequent authors. As late as 1908 Arthur Erwin Brown (Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1908. p. 112), after a short review of the forms listed in the Wiegmamian genus Eumeces, concluded that Plestiodon is the available name for the genus, a suggestion that was followed by many American herpetologists, the name appearing as late as 1917 in the Stejneger and Barbour checklist of North American Amphibians and Reptiles.

However, in the edition of 1924 of this same work, Eumeces was again restored, and one of the authors, in 1926 (Stejneger, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. Vol. 66, p. 45), points out the steps by which he has determined the type of the genus.

## GENERIC RELATIONSHIPS

Within the family Scincidae, Eumeces belongs to the section characterized by conical maxillary teeth, the presence of pterygoid teeth, and an unmodified tail-the seetion also occupied by the genera Mabuya and 'Lygosoma' Boulenger, although certain members of the genus Mabuya display a tendeney toward bicuspid teeth, and some of the lygosomoid genera likewise show a departure from the typical conical teeth.

When compared with Mabuya, it is noted that Eumeces has the palatine and pterygoid bones separated on the median line of the palate. However, this is a variable character in Eumeces, some forms having these elements widely separated, others showing a eloser approach or actual contact, at least of the palatines, anteriorly.

When compared with 'Lygosoma' we find that here, too, variation obtains in the relation of the palatines to each other (usually, if not always, meeting on the mesial line of the palate) and the pterygoids are in contact at least anteriorly.

In the conformity of external characters the approach in the greater number of points appears to be closest to certain smooth or nearly smooth-sealed forms of Mabuya.

Thus, the nostril is pierced in a nasal, and a postnasal is present. There are two loreals and two presuboculars; the superciliary series bears the same general characters; the series of enlarged plates on the lower eyelid, the paired prefrontals, the paired frontoparietals, the four supraoculars, the lobules on the edge of the auricular opening, and other very numerous characters are practically the same in the two genera. The temporals, however, are not, at least in specimens of Mabuya examined, clearly differentiated, as they are in Eumeces.

In certain lygosomoid genera (notably Dasia), we find a close approach to the characters of the temporal scales and the widened subeaudals of Eumeces, but as regards many other characters, a much greater difference obtains than in Mabuya.

At no point, however, do the genera approach so closely that there can be any confusion in placing the known forms in their proper genus.
groups within the genus


## EUMECES A GENERIC ENTITY

In dealing with the genus Eumeces it has been convenient to associate certain related species into groups, but with no intention in mind of considering them of the status of genera or subgenera. However, since certain earlier authors have proposed generic names for species or groups of species now recognized in the genus Eumeces, it may be wise to consider the characters on which these generic names have been proposed.

It will be noted in the arrangement given above, that the species fall readily into three groups. This grouping is based on the character and relationship of the preanal scales (see key). Section I includes the Taeniolatus, Schwartzei and Schneiderii groups; Section II, the Longirostris group; and Section III, the remaining eleven groups. Should these groups be considered worthy of generic (or subgeneric) distinctions, we find that the oldest generic designation for the first is Eumeces, since E. pavimentatus of the Schneiderii group is the type of the genus (designated by Wiegmann in 1835). For the second, the Longirostris group, no name has been proposed. For the third group the name Pariocela Fitzinger is the oldest available generic name (Eumeces laticeps the type), rather than Plestiodon, since Duméril and Bibron apparently consider E. pavimontatus (the type of Eumeces) as the type of their genus, and it is therefore a synonym of Eumeces. Pleistodon of Fitzinger, with Pleistodon quinquelineatus as type, is an emendation.

In the second grouping of six sections the following associations obtain. The old section I is divided into two groups, group A containing the Taeniolatus and Schwartzei groups, and for which two names have been proposed: Eurylepis Blyth (1854) (Eumeces taeniolatus the type) and Platypholis Dugès (1887), with E. altamirani as the type. The latter generic name, however, is preoccupied. For group B, including the Schnciderii group, the name Eumeces would be available. Group C (identical with section II, including longirostris) is without a name, as noted previously. Group D, including the Lynxe, Sumichrasti, Fasciatus, Brevilineatus, Obsoletus, Multivirgatus and Anthracinus groups, has available Fitzinger's Pariocela (1843). A sceond name, Lamprosaurus Hallowell (1852) (type Eumeces obsoletus), is available if Parioccla were untenable. For group E, including the Brevirostris, Skiltonianus and Quadrilincatus groups, no generic name has been proposed; nor has a generic name been suggested for group F, including the Egregius group.

The likelihood that further generic or subgeneric divisions of the genus will ever be considered for species now known is extremely remote.

Boulenger (1887) apparently is the first reeent author to treat the genus as a whole, and since this work was published the only suggestion of a generic divivion is that of Dumn (1933), who states, "These are the only Emoneres [riz., schuratzei, managuac, scutatus and tacmiolatus $]$ with enlarged middorsals, and it is obvious that they form a natural and a closety related subgroup of the genus. Inded, in some ways each of the American species is more like one of the Indian sperics than it is like its American relative. The distribution, the Pumjab, the cast coast of southern Mexico, and the west colst of Nicaragua, is quite wierd; but the American species have certainly no direct relationship with any other American Emmeces. save for the recently deseribed schmidti from Honduras. which is elose enough to fasciatus, schwartzci and managuae are the only New World Eumeres south of the Mexiean Plateau. I am somewhat inclined to use Eurylepis Blyth (185t, Journ. Asiatic. Soe. Bengal 23, p. 739, iype tacmiolatus) as a name for these four "Eumeces" with enlarged middorsals."

That this character is not a "fixed" character is evidenced by the rariation that obtains in the number of these dorsals that are fused or divided in the individual species. Since only a part of the two median dorsal rows fuse there is usually a double series of scales following the nuchals that are not fused, and in some forms, a double series following the fused series, anterior to the base of the tail. Should one wish to separate these forms it seems quite likely that other characters less obvious but certainly of more "generie" importance should be used; but when other differential characters are used, the association of tacniolatus appears closer to members of the Schneiderii group, which would thus necessitate the erection of a name for members of the Schwartzei group.

I feel quite certain that any breaking up of the present group here treated as a generic entity is unwise since, if begun, it would necessitate the erection and recognition of sereral genera, four of which (including quadritintatus, egregius, taeniolatus, lynxe) would be monotypie and would in no measure have the same generic significance as eren the genera (subgenera) formed from the genus "Lygosoma" as used by Boulenger.

It is significant that the recent study of the skulls of Eumeces by Kingman (1932) shows no osteological differences of sufficient import to warrant generie separation.

The more one considers the problem of breaking up the genus Eumeces (as currently comprehended) into genera and subgenera of doubtful validity, the greater becomes the certitude that we are dealing with a single generic entity, all of whose species are quite clearly and entirely set apart from any other such generic groups and whose relationships among themselves is such as to warrant a single generic association.

## PHYLOGENETIC TREE

The following figure expresses in general my opinion of the relationships of the various species. I conceive of the ancestral type as a medium-sized, five-lined skink approximating fasciatus


Fig. 1. Phylogenesis in the genus Eumeces Wiegmann.
in size, character and habits. The relationships of greigei and parviauriculatus are in doubt. It is possible that the former may actually be a derivative of the Breritincatus gromp, allied with callicephalus: and that the latter may be a derivative of the Bretirostris group, a relative of ochoterenae. The evidence for these associations is equally as strong as that which has cameed me to associate them with the Multivirgatus group. The young of these, when diseovered, may offer more certain clues. Should the other relationship be the eorrect one, their present resemblanees may be explained as the effeet of similar environment.

## GENERIC DESCRIPTION

The genus may be defined as follows: Maxillary and mandibular teeth conical or with romnded, spheroid crowns, variable in number; the premaxillary teeth, usually three on left side, four on right side; pterygoid teeth present, variable in size and number; prevomerine teeth present or absent (usually two when present); the palatine bones not meeting on the median plane of the palate, but varying in degree of proximity; pterygoids separated on median line.

Eyelids well developed, the upper eyelid variable (better dereloped in African and western Asiatic forms) ; tympanum present, deeply sumk; nostril pierced in a nasal, which may be single, partly divided by a suture or more or less completely divided, in which ease the nostril is between the two moieties; supranasals present; never more than four supraoculars; prefrontals, frontoparietal and interparietal distinct. Limbs well developed, pentadactyl, all digits clawed; digits subeylindrical or compressed, with transverse lamellate seales below, which may be compressed, keeled or padlike in character. Body scales usually small, more or less eycloid, occasionally fusing dorsally into larger plates.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE SKELETAL ELEMENTS OF EUMECES

I have chosen as a type for this description, a skeleton of a sperimen of Eumeces obsoletus from Kansas. The deseription of the skull is taken from Kingman (1932).

[^2]

Fig. 2. 1, Eumeces chinemsis (Gray) Amoy, China. Male. E. H. T. Coll. No. SS0; 2, Same, ventral view. 3, Eumeces obsolctus (Baird and Girard), Lawrence, Kan. E. H. T. Coll. No. 881. 4, Same, ventral view. From Kingman (1932).

2. Eumeces laticeps.

1. Eumeces laticeps


3 E schnederis pavimentatus


4 E schnerdern pavimentatus.

Fif. 2a. Skulls of Eumeres. 1, Eumeccs laticeps (Schneider). K. U. No. 9127, Imboden, Ark.; Byron Marshall Coll. Alult female; dorsal view. 2. sime specimen, ventral view. 3, Eumeces parimentatus (Ceof-froy-St. Hillaire). E. H. T. No. 860, Haiffa, syria. Dorsal view. 4, same specimen, rentral view. From Kingman (1932).
scales above the eyes. Anteriorly it articulates with the nasal bone, to which it unites along a crescentic suture from the median line. In the anterior lateral portion of the orbit it is in contact with the prefrontal. A small maxillary process is found on the anterior lateral surface where it comes in contact with the maxillary bone lateral to the nasal suture. Posteriorly it meets the anterior edge of the parietal bone. Laterally along the margin of the orbit it is in contact with the postorbital.
"Parietal. The parietal is a single median bone located beneath the purietal, interparietal and nuchal scales of the surface of the head. This is composed of a more or less triangular body which has within it, in the median line, a small opening, the parietal foramen for the organ of the same name. The opening is a little anterior to the middle of the body of the bone. Extending posteriolaterally are two processes, the squamosal processes. These are curved and slightly recurved away from the median line. In the median line at the posterior border there is a prominent notch into which fits a membrane and a small knob-like element that suggests the location of an "interparietal." Lateral to this notch two posteriorly directed processes extend to meet the occipital bone. Along the median and posterior border of the parietal there is a marked ridge which is continuous with an obliquely directed surface for the attachment of the neck muscles of the skull.
"On the ventral surface of the body of the parietal bone and in direct line with the parietal foramen are two sliverlike processes which extend down almost at right angles to the remainder of the bone. These articulate with the epipterygoid and with the latter enforce the upper jaw and gave rigidity to the membrane surrounding the brain.
"The parietal articulates with the following: frontal, squamosal, postfrontal, paraoccipital, and epipterygoid bones.
"Supraoccipital. The supraoccipital is an unpaired median element fused, in the adult, at the basal part of the skull with the exoccipitals, paroccipitals and some of the bones of the otic capsule. The posterior and lateral limits of this element cannot be distinguished in the adult. It probably forms a median raised area from the foramen magnum forward to the median line of the parietal as well as a slight flattened process on either side of raised median portion. These flattened processes contain the median portions of the semicircular canals which are visible from the dorsal surface.
"Exoccipitals. The exoccipitals form the sides of the foramen magnum and the lateral pieces of the occipital condyle. The occipital condyle is composed oi three parts; the median piece is the basioccipital while the lateral two are exoccipital parts. The main portion of this bone is inseparably fused with the paroccipitals. The lateral processes articulate with the quadrate, parietal, squamosal and supratemporal bones.
"Basioccipital. The basioccipital is placed ventrad to the foramen magnum forming about thirty degrees around that aperture. The general outline of this bone is suggestive of the shape of a diamond with its long axis running from left to right. Along the anterior and lateral border of this diamondshaped area the basioccipital articulates with the basisphenoid by an irregular suture. In the adult a slight depressed groove remains, separating the basioccipital and the exoccinital bones.
"Bisispherond. The basisphenoid is located just anterior to the basioccipital, with which it articulates by an irregular suture. The body of this bone is more or less triangular with the base posterior and its apex extending to the interorbital rostrum anteriorly; which is in the region of the presphenoid. Extending laterally from the hody are two fan-shaped processes, the pterygoid processes, which form broad but thin facets for the articulation with the ptergoid as it moves with the movement of the lower jaw.
"I'roïtics. The proïtics are two bones between the basisphenoid, basioccipital, paraoccipital and supraoccipital bones. In the adult the sutures are not clearly visible.
"Parasphesold (presphenoid). The parasphenoid is continuous with the basisphenoid and extends forward to the prevomers and palatines. This bone has been homologized with the vomers of mammals. This element in these lizards is cartilaginous and forms the ventral support for the interorbital septum. The space in which this is located is called the interpterygoidal space. It is impossible to sce where it unites with the ethmoid or sphenethmoid in prepared skulls.
"Quadrates. The quadrates are two conspicuous bones at the posterior and lateral surfaces of the skull, articulating directly with the pterygoid on the ventroanterior surface; with the paroccipital, supratemporal and squamosal on the dorsal and posterior border. Each quadrate is concave on its ventral posterior surface, while it is convex anteriorly. There is a double articular surface for the movement of the lower jaw; the tympanic membrane and the columella are parts articulated with this bone.
"Pterygoids. The pterygoid bones are long ( 10 mm .) and extend about half the length of the entire skull on the ventral surface. The anterior portion may be considered the body, which bears teeth upon its ventral median surface. These teeth are placed in depressions and scemingly in two rows of irregular size and range from six to ten on each side. The teeth are rather heary and are blunt at their extromity. This bone connects anteriorly with the palatines, laterally with the ectopterygoids and the jugals, while posteriorly it articulates with the quadrate, and about its middle with the basisphenoid. (The posterior process is a thin knifebladelike process passing from the basisphenoid to the quadrate.) Its articulation with the ectopterygoid is by a broad, flat surface directly under the ectopterygoid bone. The ectopterygoid, or os transversum, with the pterygoid process togetber produce the posterior bar, the limit of the postpalatine vacuity.
"Ectopterygoids (os transiersum or transpalatines). There are two ectopterygoids, and they cxtend from the maxillary and jugal bones to the pterygoid, and these are the only bones with which they articulate.
"Epipterygoids. The epipterygoids are a pair of slivershaped bones extending from the dorsal surface of the pterygoid to the parietal bone. The union with the pterygoid bone is made by means of a socket in which the enlarged end of the epiptervgoids fit. The other end of the epipterygoid is attenuated and meets a sliverlike process extending down from the parietal bone, with which it articulates.
"Palatines. The palatines are two in number and meet in their anterior portion. There are two plates that make up this bone, one located dorsally
and one rentrally; both plates are united along the lateral margins. The rentral flate is nearly flattened and is continuons with the broad palatine process from the alveolar surface of the maxillary bone. Posteriorly it is continuous with the anterior surface of the pterygoid. The dorsal plate has a somewhat curved surface as well as a double curved margin along the median line. At the anterior surface of this plate the left and right palatine bones come in contact. This contact is directly posterior to the prevomer teeth, which project back a little distance in the median line. The dorsal plate articulates with the prevomers anteriorly while posteriorly it unites with the pterygoids as does the other. The space between the dorsal and ventral plates of the palatime bone produces a passage for air down the sides of the prevomer to the nasal passage.
"Prevomer (vomers, but not homologons with the vomer of mammals). The prevoners are represented in this form by a single inseparable piece in the adult, which has all evidence of being composed of two distinct parts united in a groove in the median line. At the posterior end of the plate near the median groove is found a pair of toothlike processes that may be considered the homologue of prevomerine teeth. Extending from these processes forward is a gentle ridge which becomes flattened near its articulation with the premaxillary bones. At the extreme anterior end in the median line is a tubercle with a cartilaginous tip and a slight depression on either side. Two openings may be seen along the lateral margin next to the maxiliary bones; these secm to connect with a cavity in the prevomers and may be the opening to Jacobson's organ. Posterior to these openings and along the margin in the maxillary bone is a slitlike passage which is continuous with the nasal passage above.
"Premanhliky. The premaxillary bones are two in number and are located on the anterior surface of the uper jaw. There are two distinctly seprate bones in this form. Left and right elements are not equal in size as the right one is slightly larger, having four teeth while on the left side only three are present. The premaxillary bones articulate dorsally and posteriorly with the nasal bones, laterally and posteriorly with the maxillary bones and ventrally with the prevomers. The dorsal median processes form a separation between the external nares.
"Maxilaries. The maxillary bones are elongated bones that constitute the outer edge of the upper jaw and bear the majority of the teeth in this region. They form the posterior and lateral margin of the external nares and the lateral margin of the postpalatine vacuity and lateral margin at anterior edge of the orbit of the eye. The maxillary articulates with the following bones: anteriorly with the premaxillaries, prevomers, nasals and septomaxillae; posteriorly with the frontals, prefrontals, lachrymals, jugals, and ectopterygoids; and medially with the palatines. The outer edge of the ventral surface of the maxillary bone is raised into a flange, while the inner surface is on a lower level and is continuous with the palatine bone. The nearly eylindrical tecth are fastened to the lower surface of this bone and also to the raised flange, making the teeth pleurodont in attachment. Smaller teeth are visible on the lower surface and are the replacing teeth for wornout older ones.
"Jegals. The jugal bones are narrow bones forming the angle of the upper jaw and the outer and posterior margin of the orbit. The entire shape suggests that of a hockey stick. The straight handle-shaped portion is fastened near its end along the edge of the orbit, making up part of the lateral borler. The ventral part is cursed and mects the maxillary at its posterior end. Here it becomes narrowel to a very thin process that is lowled between the maxillary, cetopterygoid and lachrymal bones. On its dorsal and posterior end it articulates with the postirontal, postorbital, and squamosal. On the ventral surface of the jaw a posterior lateral spine is scen as though it were a continuation of the upper jaw.
"Sguthosils (paraquadrates of Gaupp). The squamosals as here identified articulate in front with the jugal and postorbital, at about the middle of its extent with the parietal, and posteriorly with the quadrate, supratemporal and paroccinitals. It is a flattened curved bone forming the outer border of the dorsal surface of the skull. This bone is undoubtedly not a quadratojugal, as the lateral temporal vacuity is not formed because of the disappearance of the lateral areade.
"Supratemporal (supramastoid, suprasquamosal, tabular of Noble, or squamosal of Gaupp, epiotic, post parictal). These bones are two small, insignificant, sliver-shaped bones located between the squamosal and parietal bones laterally, while posteriorly they articulate with the quadrate and paraoccipital processes. They are never in contact with the postorbital and postfrontal bones in this form. In disarticulated skulls and in some prepared skuls there is an additional element that may be an atrophied tabular or quadratojugal. In most skulls it is represented as an aperture on the quadrate near its articulation with the squamosal and supratemporal at the place of its articulation.
"Postfrontals. The postirontal bones form the posterior border of the orbit. A thin, narrow piece extends along the margin of the frontal bone and the orbit; the body of this bone is a nearly leaf-shaped element in contact with the parietal medially and with the postorbital laterally and with the jugal on its anterolateral surface at the posterior lateral boundary of the orbit. Its posterior extremity is variable both on left and right sides on the same skull as well as in different skulls.
"Postorbitals (postfiontals-Gaupp). The postorbitals, two small bones in this skull, do not form part of the orbit nor part of the edge of the skull. They articulate with the postfrontal, squamosal, jugal and by a slight point touch the parietal on one side in one skull studied. Each borders on the iontanelle or vacuity on the dorsal surface of the skull. Its variation will be brought out in the comparisons of the various species to follow. Ventrally it presents a triangular appearance.
"Prefrontals (lachrymals of mammals-Gaupp). The prefrontal bones are located at the median anterior end of the orbit; they are inseparably united with the lachrymal bone, articulating with the frontal, maxillary and lachrymals. A part of the suture remaining suggests the place of mion with the lachrymal. A marked ridge and a groove just below shows the point of attachment of the small supraocular bone, which is found in careful preparations. It is easily removed with the skin unless extra care is used.
"Lachrymals. The lachrymal bones are at the anterior extremity of the
orbit and are, as previously stated, fused in the adult with the postfrontals. Each is characterized in this form by having a foramen penetrating it from the orbital side into the nasal cavity, and articulates with the maxillae, jugals, and prefrontals.
"Nasals. The nasal bones form part of the septum between the external nares as well as part of the posterior boundary of the same. These bones are thin plates nearly oroid in chape, with their anterior median extremities covered by the dorsoposterior projections of the premasillary bones. Posteriorly they articulate with the frontals and laterally with the maxillae. The small septomaxillae probably do not come in contact with this element, but do with the maxillary bone.
"Stapes. The stapes are thin cylindrical bones that fit into the foramen ovale of the paroccipital process. They pass out posteriorly to the quadrate, where they seem to be strengthened in their position by this bone and by the tympanic membrane on the outer surface of the head."

Dentary. This element extends posteriorly almost to the middle of the base of the coronoid on its lower surface. It bears 22 pleurodent teeth which point upward and outward, the extreme tips being slightly recurved; the upper inner face of the bone has a beaded rim, forming a trough at the base of the toothrow.

Splenial. This bone is elongate, extending as far back as the dentary. Anteriorly it borders an elongate foramen and has another small foramen near its anterior end. It does not reach the edge of the beaded inner side of the dentary.

Coronoid. The upper free edge of the coronoid is elevated about a millimeter above the ramus, with a forward projecting base which meets and forms a posterior continuation of the beaded inner edge of the dentary. The inner free edge is raised above the inner level of the ramus. There is no posterior projection, and only a slight projection forward on the outer face of the ramus. On the inner face of the ramus, the lower edge of the coronoid forms a semicircle.

Surangulare. This element is rather extensive on the outer posterior face of the ramus. It is notched somewhat by the angulare posteriorly.

Angulare. This element shows a short anterior notch in which is inserted the posterior lower part of the dentary ; a similar notch occurs in the posterior border.

Prearticulare. This narrow element extends forward to the anterior lower part of the coronoid and appears to be (at least partially) free from the articulare.

Articulare. The upper surface of the articulare has several ridges and depressions, the anterior part of the articular surface
raised, forming an elevation somewhat less in height than the eoronoid projection; the posterior part of this element is thin and flattened.
sterxtal and Rabs. The anterior edges of the sternum form a right angle, the edges strongly grooved longitudinally. The posterior edges are sealloperl. Two posterior foramina are present. The ribs of the ninth, tenth, and eleventh reatebrae join the sternum. The xiphisternum is elongate. divided throughout its length, forming two equal moicties. Ribs from the twelfth vertebra attach near the middle, those from the thirteenth and fourteenth attach at the posterior end of the xiphisternum. The ribs following are free, their terminal joint curving inwards.

Tertebral Colume. There are cight vertebrae anterior to those with ribs attaching to the sternum. The epistropheus is large. with a large spine. which is much lengthened, having both an anterior and a posterior projection. The other vertebrae have a rather narrow posterior spine. The first vertebra following the epistropheus apparently lacks ribs; those of the next three with short, flattened ribs, while on the two following the ribs are elongate and slender. There are nineteen thoraco-lumbar vertebrae, all bearing ribs. Two fused sacral vertebrae are present, their processes somewhat widened distally. Cherron bones begin on the fourth caudal vertebra.

Pectoral Girdle and Forelinib. The interclavicle is in the form of a maltese cross the lateral wings narrow, not widened at their bases; the anterior wing reaches as far forward as the anterior edges of the clavicles on their under side. Clavicles meeting on median line, where they are slightly widened with one or two somewhat mediad fenestrae. The bone then narrows slightly and then widens again at the angle of the bone. It then becomes much narrowed when it joins the suprascapula. This latter clement is narrowed at the point of contact with the scapula. but is much widened distally: The scapula is broad at the point of contact with the suprascapula; and then it narrows considerably where it fuses with the coracoid. The precoracoid and supracoracoid are fused with the coracoid. The epicoracoid cartilage borders the medial edge of the combined coracoid, and helps inclose two large, nearly equal-sized, fenestrae, the outer of which may not be completely inclosed. The forelimb is well developed. The humerus is distinctly longer than the radius or ulna. The uhare and radiale are
large, articulating directly with the lower ends of ulna and radius respectively. The centrale is present, but the intermedium is probably wanting or fused with another element; five carpalia are present. The pisiforme is somewhat ventral to the end of the ulna. The formula for the phalanges is: $2-3-4-5-3$. The middle finger is slightly the longest.

Pelvic Girdle and Hind Linb. The ilia are directed backward in contact with two sacral vertebrae. The pubic bones are narrow, forming a right angle at the symphysis. Near the junction of the pubis with the ischium there is a narrow, very strongly curved rentral process. The ischial symphysis is somewhat elongate, the bones being wider at this point than elsewhere, forming a forward projecting point. The foramen cordiforme is very large. Each ischium has a small posterior projection. I camot find an os hypoischium in this speeies and believe that it is normally wanting.

The femur is heary, and slightly longer than the tibia. Between the articulation of the femur with the fibula is a small rounded sesamoid (patella) and two small sesamoid elements about the rentral side of the articulation of the femur and the tibia. The astragalus and ealcaneum are fused. There are only two tarsalia present. The phalangeal formula is: 2-3-4-5-4.

The characters of the bony elements vary somewhat in the various species. Kingman (1932) discusses variation in the cranial elements. These differences do not involve the loss of any elements, nor the presence of added elements. He notes some differences in relationship of the bones, and in the size of fenestrae, number of tecth, and proportions of various skull elements. My skeletal material other than skulls is so limited that at this time I have not made a comparative study of the skeletons of the various species.

## GENERAL DISTRIBUTION

The present distribution of the genus Eumeces is probably more restrieted than formerly, since there are four discontinuous areas now occupied. These are: An area in the western hemisphere comprising the southern edge of Canada, the United States, Mexico and part of Central America; the isolated Bermuda Islands; an area comprising the northern edge of Africa and part of southwestern Asia; and a fourth area including part of southeastern Asia and the island ares lying to the east.

It is probable that in North America, during glacial periods, species have been forced to the south. At the present time it seems
probable that they are pushing farther north. Their absence from Europe is probably due to glaciation; and their present restricted distribution in Africa is due to limitation by the desert. The break in the continuity of their distribution in Asia seems to be caused by desert and plateau factors. I offer no explanation of the species on the isolated Bermuda Islands.


Fig. 3 Distribution of the genus Eumeces Wiegmann.

## mexican and central american forms

Mexico and Central America have no less than eight of the fifteen groups recognized in this work, all oceurring in the Mexican territory, while only two enter Central America. The Skiltonianus, Obsoletus and Anthracinus groups are largely American in distribution, although the latter extends as far south as the platean itself, if the species Eumeces copei is properly associated with this group, a matter about which there may be some doubt. The territory occupied by this species is not contiguous with that of other members of the group.

The Schwartzci and Sumichrasti groups are south Mexican and Central American in distribution and are confined to territory bordering the southern part of the Mexican Plateau, or lying to the south of the plateau.

The Lynxe group belongs to the high plateau region, as does
largely the Brevirostris group. Certain species, at least, in both of these groups have developed ovoviviparity. The Brevilineatus group appears to occupy territory in both lowland and highland regions, some species being adapted to both habitats.

The factors governing the distribution of certain of the various species of the genus in Mexico are indeed obscure, the usual controlling factors of elevation, temperature and barriers being in a large measure disregarded, since at least certain of the known forms occur in the plateau region and in the low coastal region as well. Certain forms occupy restricted areas, and others are widespread. Each species apparently must be regarded as a law unto itself, and considered individually.

The most distinctive forms of this fauna are those belonging to the Schwartzei group: schwartzei, managuae and altamirani. The two latter species, known as yet from only one or two specimens, offer little data save that managuae is from low elevation on the shore of Lake Managua, while the type locality of altamirani is "regiones calidades del Estado de Michoacán" (presumably near Apatzingan), which lies south of the plateau edge. The records for schwartzei show it to be a lowland form; the type locality, a small island in Laguna de Términos, Campeche, is near sea level. These three form a compact group whose closest relatives, judging by scale characters, may be western Asiatic forms.

The type locality of $E$. sumichrasti, placed in a group of the same name, is usually accepted as Orizaba, Vera Cruz. Whether this refers to the neighborhood of the mountain, to the town, or is an error, cannot be stated, since the specimens collected by Ferdinand Sumichrast did not always bear accurate labels. In his own report of the species he mentioned finding it at an elevation of 590 meters "en los encinales de Portrero" near Cordova. A record for Jalapa is the only one from a high elevation. Other reports of the species, from Vera Cruz, Mineral de Sinta Fe, Chiapas (E.rovirosae Dugès) and Lancetilla and Tela, Honduras (E.schmidti Dumn), are all from sea level or relatively low localities.
Save for the detail of the color pattern on the head, the species resembles to a considerable degree the five-lined forms of southeastern United States, and in my opinion is a distant relative. This is based on the conformation of the scales, the five-lined color pattern, and the character of the pits on the seales, as well as body proportions. At no point, however, are their known ranges contiguous.

I have recently described and named Eumeces copei, a species long known from a brici description by Cope (1855), but associated with another species (brerionstris) as a variety without a mame. This form oceurs in the hightam region, maintaining an elevation from about 5,000 to 10,000 feet, wherever it has been found. It exhibits certain color characters common to septentrionalis and anthracimes, but differs in the general character of the dorsal seales. as well as in the details of the color pattern. The relationship, if properly diagnosed, is more distant than obtains among the other nembers of the anthracimes group.

In the central southern highland region, occupying territory in San Luis Potosí. (iuanajuato. Querétaro. Hidalgo, Vera Cruz and Tlaxcala, is a small group consisting of two closely related forms, lyme and furcirostris. These small, five-lined forms, with the median line forking on the anterior part of the frontal, seem to be confined to the high plateau region, and their relationship with other groups is not elear. Numerous characters lacking in lymxe seem to suggest also a relationship not only with the five-lined forms of the Fasciatus group, but also with the Brevilincatus group, the members of which have lost all but the anterior part of the median line. They agree in the character of the scale pits. However, the members of the Lynxe group are ovoviviparous.

The species obsoletus, which is apparently closely related to chinensis, occurs in the northern part of Mexico. Specimens have been collected in northern Tamaulipas and northern Chihuahua. The range in Nexico must be much greater than these two records show. I have taken specimens in the southem part of Brewster county. Texas. within ten miles of the borders of Coabuila, and in the Huachuca mountains of Arizona, within two miles of the boundary of sonora. so one is safe in prophesying its discovery in these northern Mexican states. This species occupics habitats from sea level (at Matamoros, Tamaulipas) to elevations of 8,000 feet in the Chisos mountains of Texas; from open hillsides in the wooded region of eastern Kansas to the semidesert areas of Arizona and Chihuahua. It would appear to be a very adaptable form.

The remaining forms known from Mexico have been placed into four groups: the Brevirostris, Brevilineatus, Multivirgatus and Skiltonianus groups, the latter known from Baja California in Mexico.

The Brorilineatus group is represented by three species (brevilineatus, tetragrammus and callicephalus) . all three of which occupy areas on either side of the boundary, only one, callicephalus (which
reaches the state of Guanajuatol, extending any considerable distance to the south. In the north (and considerably modified from the typical) callicephalus reaches the Gila river in Arizona. A vertical range of about 6,000 feet is evidenced.

Three representatives of the Multivirgatus group are known. In western Mexico, in the state of Nayarit, is a small species known from three specimens which I have recently described under the name parvulus; from Sonora another diminutive species, parviauriculatus, has been recently described; while a third, a variant of multivirgatus. is known from Sonora, New Mexico and Texas.

The Slittonianus group extends from British Columbia throughout Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada and Utah, occupying only the western part of Arizona, narrowing its range in the south so that it enters Mexico only in Baja California. As yet no species of those I have assigned to this group are known to occur in any other of the northern tier of Mexican states.

In Baja California three species are known: S. skiltonianus, gilberti rubricaudatus and lagunensis, the two former entering and occupying together the proximal end of the peninsula. Lagunensis occurs in the more distal parts. The probabilities that the ranges of skiltonianus and lagunensis overlap are small. Despite certain museum records skiltonianus* is not known in other parts of Mexico.

The southern part of the plateau region is inhabited by two closely related species, indubitus and dugesii, of the Brevirostris group, the former occupying more southeastern teritory than the latter, and differing from the latter in having four instead of three supraoculars, a divergence parallel to that which obtains between lynxe and furcirostris. Whether their territories actually overlap without intergradation is not known, although typical specimens of each apparently occur in Michoacán. The known distribution of dugesii is Guanajuato and Michoacin; that of indubitus, Morelos, Mexico and eastern Michoacán. Dugès' record for Chiapas is not substantiated by any known specimen in museums. Brevirostris occupies a considerable part of the highlands of southern Mexico. The species as here recognized is somewhat variable, and lack of sufficient material the cause of my failure to recognize certain of the variants subspecifically. The species is known from Vera Cruz,

[^3]Oaxaea, Puebla, Durango, Colima and Jalisco. With added material certain of these forms may be profitably separated as subsecies.

Three other forms are tentatively associated with this group: ochoterenae from Guerrero, colimensis from Colima and dicei from Tamatipas. The last two are known only from type epecimens; ochoterenae from as series of eleven specimens.

It is self-erident that exact limits of distribution of most speries camot at this time be plotted, and conclusions based on present inadequate data may have to be thrown into the discard both as to specific limits of forms, and the interpretation of their relationships, when future collections shall present a clearer picture of variation.

The presence of the genus in Central America has only recently been demonstrated through the diseovery by Harry Malleis of $E$. schwartzei at Petén, Guatamala (three specimens) ; of E. sumichrasti (E. schmidti Dumn) by J. A. G. Rehn at Lancetilla and Tela, Honduras, in 1930 (two specimens) ; and the still more recent discovery of a distinctive new species, E. managuae Dumn, at the aviation field in Managua, Niearagua, by James H. Ivy (one specimen). These three species, represented by six specimens, are the only known representatives of the genus south of the Mexican boundary. Conjecture as to whether future collections will prove the presence of Eumeces in South America is futile.

## CANADLAN AND AMERICAN FORMS

The general distribution of the genus in this territory is from southern Canada, south to the Mexican boundary and Gulf of Mexico. In Canada the genus is authentically reported from southern British Columbia, Manitoba and Ontario; and perhaps also from Nova Scotia. It is highly probable that species occur in certain other border provinces. Only three species are known. Eumeces skiltonianus is the western form, septentrionalis septentrionalis, the central form, while fasciatus is found in the east. Eumeces septentrionalis septentrionalis has been reported from Canada for the first time as recently as April, 1934.

In the United States species are known from all the states except Montana and four New England states: (Vermont, Rhode Island, New Hampshire and Maine). The apparent absence from these states lends doubt to Cope's (1900) record from Nova Scotia. When more extensive herpetological collections are made in Montana, the presence of skiltoniamus will doubtless be demonstrated. However, the likelihood of extending the range of fasciatus into northern New

England may be doubted, since here, it would appear, there has not been the dearth of effort in collecting as obtains in Montana.

In the United States and Canada representatives of six of the fifteen recognized groups occur, each occupying a limited region, none covering the entire territory.

The Egregius group occupics the most restricted territory. It is known only from peninsular Florida and the Florida Keys. A single specimen has been taken in the southern part of Georgia. The group shares this territory with three members of the Fasciatus group-laticeps, inexpectatus and fasciatus. This latter group is widespread, occupying the eastern half of the United States and the adjoining Canadian territory, the species being restricted in the west apparently by the reduced rainfall and consequent limitation of forests. This north-south line approximates the 97 th meridian.

The Anthracinus group, which in a considerable measure occupies the same territory as the Fasciatus group, seems likewise to be limited in the west by reduced rainfall. Anthracimus appears to be rare (or absent) without reason from the central eastern states.

A north-south line following roughly the 93d meridian in Kansas and Oklahoma, then moving somewhat farther to the west in Texas, marks the castern boundary of the Obsoletus group, represented in America by a single species, obsoletus. In the northern part of the range it does not reach the Rocky Mountains, but in the south it extends across Texas and New Mexico to Sonora, and north to Utah.

The Multirirgatus group extends from western Nebraska south through Colorado, New Mexico and Arizona, in which territory it is represented by three small species.

Approximately the western third of the United States (including the adjacent territory in Canada and extending to the tip of Baja California) is occupied by members of the Skiltonianus group. In this group are five forms which, while having considerable similarity in squamation of head and body, and in the young similar color patterns except on the tails, are very different in size and in the evolution of the color pattern in adults. One form of gilberti is apparently a high mountain form, being most common in the high Sierras in California, while the other, rubricaudatus, appears to be in the San Joaquin Valley and the lower ridges to the south. I would regard it as highly probable that these forms were originally separated by a water barrier and developed through isolation, but now that the water barrier has vanished, the differences may be
ranishing also. The variation that obtains between the northern skiltonians. and secimens occurring in the northern part of Baja California and routhern California suggest that perhaps a similar condition obtained; that is, a separation of the southern territory as an island, and the consequent development of a form having the reduced interparietal inclosed by parietals. With the mion of this territory to the maink the intermingling of the forms has continued until the line of separation has become largely obliterated. Lagunensis in the south may have developed due to isolation by a desert barrier rather than by a water barrier.

The Brerilineatas group is largely Mexican in distribution, but extends into the United States in all the border states save California. These medium-sized species are apparently related to the Fasciatus group.

## EASTERN ASIATIC FORMS

The eastern Asiatic species fall readily into three groups: the Obsoletus group containing kishinouyei, chinensis chinensis, and chinensis pulcher; the Fasciatus group containing elegans, xanthi and tunganns on the continent and stimsonii, barbouri, oshimensis, marginatus, latiscutatus and okadae on the islands of the east coast; and a third group represented by a single species, quadrilineatus. The first two mentioned groups have representatives in North America. The tast species is confined to southeastern Asia.

The discontinuity in the distribution of the genus across Asia seems to be actual rather than merely apparent. The area occupied by the northern desert of Gobi, the Tibetan Plateau and the heavily forested region of great rainfall in India and Burma, lacks known species. It may be that in these regions where limited herpetological exploration has been accomplished, unknown Eumeces await discovery, which will lessen the hiatus that separates the eastern Asiatic species from those in western Asia. The fact that the latter area is populated by members of two groups most distantly related to the eastern groups, suggests that the barrier is real and is not crossed by the genus.

The striking similarity betreen American and Asiatic species of the Fasciatus group bespeaks a close relationship-a relationship dependent no doubt upon a former continuity of the territory occupied by the group.

I regard eastern North America as the most probable place of origin of this group, and the form fasciatus as the most primitive of its living species (most generalized type). I do not adhere to the
postulate that would place the most primitive form farthest from point of origin in this ease. It is widely distributed; occupies a variety of habitats; endures wide ranges of temperature (not of elevation) and competes with one or two derivative forms throughout a good portion of its range.

I regard migration from North America to Asia as having taken place via land bridges joining the Alaskan peninsula with Asia either at Bering Straits or via the Alcutian Island are to Kamchatka, or both. One would need postulate but slight climatic changes, since the present climate of this coastal region is probably no more rigorous than that of southern Canada, which has three species of the genus.

I do not hold that the land bridge so built would include the Kuriles, the larger islands to the south, or the Riu Kius. The mode of speciation in these islands is linear, much as would be the case did they form a continuum with America. However, there is certain evidence which seems to preclude the above possibility.

What docs seem to be the most reasonable explanation of the present distribution is that the island groups begimning with Formosa, Riu Kius, etc., were formerly a peninsula jutting from the mainland from Fukien (rather than from Korea or Kamchatka).

The following facts seem to support this postulate: 1 . The genus is absent from the northermmost island group (Kuriles).
2. Two groups are present on the southern islands nearer the mainland.
3. Species of both the Fasciatus and Obsoletus groups in Formosa and Pescadores islands are so little changed that subspecific designations appear to be unwarranted.
4. There is a gradual diminution in the character of the irregular patch of scales on the posterior side of the femur, and it becomes lost in the northernmost forms.
5. The most northern Chinese species is xanthi, and it is more distantly related to latiscutatus, occurring on the northern islands, than to elegans, which is more southern in distribution.

The location of the sole member of the Quadrilineatus group (Eumeces quadrilineatus) in the southern part of China suggests that its relationship, if any, with the four-lined Skiltonianus group in America is very remote. It probably represents one of the more ancient forms of the genus, a presumption supported by the fact that only a small number of specimens are in museums, betokening an actual rarity of individuals, a rather limited distribution, and its
present isolation. In the case of all presumably ancient species the number of individuals that have been taken is relatively small; in the case of the presumed more recent species, particularly members of the Skiltoniants and Fasciatus groups, the numbers of individuals taken are large. The possibility that this is due to some other cause has not been overlooked.

## AFRICAN AND WESTERN ASIATIC FORMS

A review of the localities at which species of the genus have been taken in Africa shows that the genus follows the northern coast of the continent from southwestern Morocco to the upper borders of the Red Sea, at no place reaching a distance greater than about 500 miles from the coast. This is roughly the African territory assigned to the Mediterranean Region. The limiting factor would appear to be the Sahara desert. Elsewhere members of the genus seem to have been able to adapt themselves to regions where semidesert conditions prevail, quite as well as to moist wooded regions, but none are known in true deserts.

The territory occupied seems to follow the characteristic lines mapped by Engler for the Mediterranean (botanical) Region. Schmidt (Herpetology of the Belgian Congo) has suggested that plant distribution is indeed a vital factor in determining the distribution of African animals. However, that the external physical factors limiting plant life would also directly affect animal distribution is obvious.

In western Asia the genus is limited in distribution. To the east it reaches and covers western India and approaches Tibet, but in these regions encounters barriers of three types: to the north, the deserts; in the central region, the high, cold platean of Tibet; while in the south the tropical character of the country, with heavy rainfall, seems to prove an impassable barrier to a further extension of the range. To the south it doubtless reaches the coastline save in the region of the Arabian desert.

To the north the cause of limitation is not clear. One would expect the whole of Asia Minor, and the region south of the Caucasus Mountains to be occupied, but so far as collections go this is not true. The northern distribution farther east includes Turkestan and Eastern Turkestan (Yarkand), but I believe no records show species occurring farther north than the Aral Sea.

Two groups of the genus, Schneiderii and Tacniolatus, occur in this territory. The former is represented by several species, ex-
tending over the entire territory with the exception of the extreme northeastern part, while the Taeniolatus group, represented by a single species, reaches no further west than Arabia, and does not cover the entire territory occupied by the Schneiderii group. It does not occur on Cyprus, the eastern Mediterranean island which is occupied by a member of the Schneiderii group; nor does it reach Africa.

Whether the hiatus in the Asiatic distribution in the north-south central region (including Mongolia, Tibet, peninsular and eastern India, Burma, Siam and the Malay Peninsula) is real or only apparent, due to lack of collecting, can only be known after a greater amount of exploration has been done. I suspect that the Gobi desert to the north of Tibet would serve as an effective barrier on the north. I am of the opinion that the hiatus is a real one.

It appears that, despite the rather marked uniformity of the larger head scales, the Schneiderii group consists of five or six species rather than the three recognized by Boulenger. This is discussed under the various forms of the group.

## HABITAT OF EUMECES

The finding and collecting of the species of Eumeces is beset with many difficulties, and for a number of reasons. For the most part these lizards are very shy, hiding underground and under rocks a good part of the day. Their morements are so snakelike and noiseless that, save for a few species, the individuals are rarely observed save by digging them out of the ground or exposing them by lifting rocks.

In many localities the number of individuals appears to be very limiterl, if one may judge by the number of specimens that have reached muscums. Whether this rarity is actual, or is onty apparent, due to the choice of habitat and time and place of feeding, I cannot state. I am inclined to regard the latter alternative rather than the former as the more probable.

The choice of habitat varies with the species, and the same species may be able to adapt itself to a variety of habitats.

Eumeccs obsoletus is usually found along rocky ledges in the neighborhood of creeks and streams along which are to be found some natural growth of timber. These ledges may occur back some distance from the streams, but as long as the timber remains the species is present. When the timber is cut away, they may persist for some years. Where the timber alone is present $E$. fasciatus
may be fom oceasionally below fallen tree trmes and umer bark of fallen trunks, or about rotting stumps where food in the form of inseets and insect larvae is plentiful. In Arkansas, where I have collected, this was the more typical habitat. Farther east it is often collected in the vicinity of sawmills in and about the wood refuse.

Only rarely is this form seen in trees, at least in the western part of its range. On one occasion, in Kansas, a specimen I observed at the hase of a rough-harked tree ascended the bole about twenty feet. Doctor Ortenberger hat written me that during Mareh in central Oklahoma he found an adult male in a tree, in an old bird's nest.

On the other hand, the large species Eumeces laticeps apparently is typically an arboreal form, being almost invariably found in trees. I believe the absence of any considerable number of young in collections is due to the fact that the small size of the young in the trees renders them more or less inconspicuous and likewise inaceessible. That both young and adults may descend to earth is attested by occasional specimens captured on the ground. The claws of this form appear to be more curved, a modification suggestive of climbing propensities.

In eastern Kansas one finds, besides Eumeces fasciatus, three forms: Eumeces obsolctus, septentrionalis septentrionalis and anthracinus.

The larger form. obsoletus, occurs most frequently on open hillsides where there are some rock exposures or scattered flat rocks. Here the species burrows in the ground and under roeks; often runways are observable when the rock is lifted. In the absence of rocks the species burrows in the open. Here they are found with greater difficulty. They are rarely seen in the open. Out of some two hundred specimens captured possibly less than half a dozen were observed in the open.

In Texas a few specimens have been dug from paek-rat burrows; one war shot from a rock in the Chisos mountains at high elevation (near extreme summit) as it issued from a rock crevice, and a specimen of brevilineatus was oltained a few feet away burrowed in moss.

Septentrionalis septentrionalis in eastern Kansas prefers open, grasey hillsides where small, flat roek offer some shelter. In certain localities they appear to be numerous, but their distribution is unquestionably erratic. At Onaga, Kan., where more than one hundred specimens have been collected, they have with very few
exceptions been captured under small, flat rocks. I have observed but two moving about in the grass.

Eumeces anthracinus, another species apparently of very erratic distribution, has usually been found in eastern Kansas in the neighborhood of small streams or springs. I have observed specimens to take refuge in water when disturbed. They dive in, swim to the shallow bottom and take refuge under a plant or another object. Sometimes they swim under water to an opposite bank and slowly emerge if weeds or other cover offer protection from observation. In southeastern Kansas, near Baxter Springs, several specimens were observed moving about in the open, in sunlight, feeding on insects.

Eumeces brevilineatus is likewise quite diurnal, and a large proportion of the specimens I have taken were observed usually in the neighborhood of small streams or springs moving about during the day feeding. In the type locality (the Marnock farm near Helotes, Tex.) numerous specimens were seen running about in brush and leaves on the cdge of a tiny rivulet. One specimen, previously mentioned, was taken at high elevation, 8,000 feet, on the highest peak of the Chisos mountains, and not near any surface water. It is apparent that elevation is not a pertinent factor in its distribution.

Eumeces skiltomianus likewise appears to have a wide vertical range. It occurs on the seacoast near sea level; and it also may be found up to elevations of 8,000 feet in the mountains. In company with my esteemed friend, L. M. Klauber, I captured a number of specimens in small meadows near the summit of Palomar Mountain in the northern part of San Diego county. The specimens were surprised while rumning about in the grass, or were found ensconsed underneath old posts or boards.

The large western form, gilberti gilberti, apparently is a mountain dweller exclusively, while the related gilberti rubricaudatus may occur in the valleys between the high Sierras and the Coast Range. Whether either of these forms is in any measure arboreal, I have not ascertained.

In southern Texas tetragrammus, a form closely related to brevilineatus, is very shy. I have never seen adults of this form moving about on the ground. All specimens I have collected were encountered while excavating in pack-rat burrows. In northern and central Texas septentrionalis obtusirostris was found in moist localitics about gravel pits or along pond or river banks. They were not moving about, but were routed from under leaves or
collected refuse under trees or in deeaying brush piles. Here they were found in company with Lciolopisma unicolor (Harlan) which was especially numerous, and with Potomophis striatulus, a small snake whieh occurred in some localities in almost unbelievable numbers, especially in the wet trash in the gravel pits near Waco, Tex. Two obtusirostris were captured at night on a $\log$ near the edge of a small pond. Both took to the water, but were captured when they emerged.

The small cgregius is a lowtand form, hiding in the coral rock. The Mexican copei was found in lava rock near Mexico City, and in the pine forests of western Mexico (state) under bark and slabs where logging operations were going on. Occasional specimens were taken from under rocks. Indubitus seems to be likewise a denizen of the pine forests, occupying habitats identical to those of copci, being very common where it occurs. However, I have not taken copei and indubitus in the same identical localities. They attain an eleration up to 10,000 feet and probably do not oceur much below 6,000 feet.

A small form of brevirostris was of very frequent occurrence in a barren lava field near Totaleo, Vera Cruz. The specimens were discovered under lava fragments. None were seen in the open.

North African and eastern Asiatic species are adapted to semidesert habitats and all are terrestrial or fossorial, and confined largely to land having a relatively low elevation. Quadrilineatus, managuae and schwartzei, are probably lowland forest dwellers, but whether arboreal or terrestrial is a matter of conjecture. Longirostris is an inhabitant of the low, coral-bordered Bermuda Islands.

## FEEDING HABITs

An examination of the stomach contents of numerous preserved specimens and specimens observed in captivity show that the food preferences are usually not strongly defined. The food consists of a very extensive variety of insects and insect larvae, Arachnida and occacionally small crustaceans. In a few specimens traces of plant material have been observed, but I regard this as being most probably of accidental introduction in the diet. Probably the most surprising fact about the diet of the forms examined is that ants are absent. I have found no specimens of this ever-present insect among the stomach contents, nor small sand grains or pebbles, the usual accompaniment of the myrmecophagous diet.

Some of the larger species, notably obsoletus and laticeps, are
known to capture and engulf small vertebrate forms. I removed the remains of a Cnemidophorus sexlineatus from the stomach of an Oklahoma specimen of obsoletus. From a captive specimen of laticeps I have removed a young adult fasciatus which it had consumed during shipment from Imboden, Ark., to Lawrence, Kan. Obsolctus. placed in a cage with fasciatus, will often kill the latter, but I have not observed any part devoured save a freshly severed portion of a tail. Hartman (1906) reports a Crotaphytus killed and eaten. Out of a large group of some eighty specimens of obsoletus kept in captivity, a considerable number learned to eat small fragments of ground beef placed about the floor of the cage. Meal worms, Orthoptera, Diptera and other insects were taken with avidity. The animal is very crafty in its movements. When a moving worm or insect is sighted the animal crouches somewhat and then moves forward craftily and noiselessly. When the victim is approached closely enough there is a sudden jerk of the head, the insect is seized and after a few chewing movements it is swallowed; usually but little attention is paid to dead or motionless insects. After being kept a short time in captivity they are quite undisturbed by one's presence, and feed with equanimity.

## DEFENSE HABITS

Like many other animals, members of the genus Eumeces, when annoyed, react with a defense attitude that appears to be a generic attribute. In wild specimens of Eumeces fasciatus, a male when moving about may encounter another male. When this occurs, usually both assume a defense attitude which is evidenced by arching the back, rising, and lifting the weight to the front part of the feet as if to attain height, after a greater than normal inflation of the lungs; this may be repeated two or more times. Occasionally one, more aggressive than the other, approaches, and the other takes flight.

In captive specimens, I have observed the same activity in specimens of obsoletus, shiltonianus and laticeps.

Usually captive specimens become tolerant of the presence of others of their own or other species after a time. They even lose some of their fear of the presence of man. In tame specimens the defense attitude may be evoked if one places a hand near them. They rise on their feet to as great an extent as possible, arch their backs, move their tails slowly, inflate their lungs greatly and expel the air so forcibly that an audible hiss is evident. This latter
activity may be repeated several times while the animal remains in the same tracks; or, moving mechanically, it may change its position by slow steps, keeping the head directed toward the hamd or other invading ohjeet until some distance from it has been attained. It will then run to another part of the cage. Frequently, touching or seratching the sides of the borly will calluse the lizard to astume the arched attitude without the hissing reaction. Fometimes a large beetle phaced near a tame specimen will canse it to react and arsume a "fighting" or defense attitude, accompanied by hissing.

Two males in the same cage with a female often engage in fights. Usually one is more aggressise than the other. One will seize the other by the throat or neck, perchance by a limb, and will hold tenaciously as the other trics to escape. If the one attempting to escape is held by the tail, as is often the case, that member is frequently severed due to the frantic efforts of the captive to escape.

When large snakes are placed in cages the skinks appear to avoid the intruders. Occasionally a small snake, such as Carphophis or Diadophis, is seized and held, the bite resulting in the death of the snake.

## BREEDING HABITs AND LIFE HISTORY

Obsoletus breeds quite readily in captivity. I hare observed the courtship of the form on several occasions. When my presence was noted by the skinks the courthip usually ceased. The mate maneuvers so as to bring his body alongside that of the female, and then rubs his body against the sides of the quiescent female. The latter frequently responds by a pressure of her body against that of the male. Occasionally a mate follows a female about the cage, the female moving slowly ahead, the movements somewhat tensed and mechanical. Several times males were observed holding onto the tails of the females or dragging them by the tail about the floor of the cage. If the female became impatient and escaped she was followed and again seized by the male.

Hobart smith (in litt.) describes the position of copulation as follows: "The male was on the left side of the female, which was in the normal position on the bottom of the cage. The male had the head and forepart of the body partly across the body of the femate, holding on to a portion of loose skin on the side of the female's neek with his jaws. The male's tail was crooked about under the tail of the female at right angles to the latter, the ventral surface of the tail turned somewhat forward, but not turned a com-
plete revolution. One hemipenis was inserted. Vibratory movements were quite noticeable in the male. They were in this position when discovered, and were observed for three minutes and twenty seconds after which time they separated."
Sexual activity has not been observed by me in other species kept in captivity; however, fasciatus and septentrionalis do so breed, since fertile eggs have been laid by captive females of these forms in $m y$ vivarium. The time ensuing after copulation before deposition of the eggs has not been recorded in the oviparous forms.

Ovoviviparity has developed only in certain Mexican forms of the Lynxe and Brevirostris groups. It is known in Eumeces lynxe, brevirostris and dugesii. The first record which I can find is that of Duges," who, writing of Eumeces dugesii Thominot, states, "Si se puede juzgar por una observation única, los creo vivíparos: los chiquitos nacen con un resto de vitellus colgando de su cordon umbilical y el amnios arrollado á modo de cintura en la region sacra."

Hartweg (Copeia, 1931, p. 61) records ovoviviparity in Eumeces lynxe. His material consisted of a single female, containing six young, measuring from 44 mm . to 46 mm . in length. Hartweg describes the position of the young in the uterus and body cavity, not being aware that this distribution was due to the fact that in my examination of the specimen I had removed certain of the embryos for study of size and coloration, and that they had not been returned to their original position in the uterus. It would appear that the young were nearly ready to be born, as practically no yolk matter remained attached to them. Originally, all were in the uteri and none free in the body cavity.

One specimen in the United States National Museum (No. 30213, Eumeces brevirostris) contains a series of four developing embryos. The specimen is in a poor state of preservation, and the yolk material has disintegrated so that none of the embryos is attached to the yolk membranes. The embryos are about 30 mm . in length. The uterine walls are rotted so the young appear to be loose in the coelom. They show, as yet, no color save the eye pigment.
Still another specimen of the same species (U.S.N.M. No. 30089) shows the presence of four embryos, but here, as in No. 30213, the yolk material and the utcrus have disintegrated and four small embryos, about 26 mm . in length, appear to be free in the body cavity in a semiliquid yolk.

These data seem to prove conclusively that Eumeces dugesii,

[^4]lynue and bretiostris are normally oroviviparous. None of the species in the United States, Asia or A frica have as yet been found to be ovoviviparous.

This condition in America is paralleled in the gemus Sceloporus. Few of the species ocmuring in the Cnited states appear to bring their young forth alive, while many Mexican ejecties are known to do so.

The condition of both oviparity and oroviriparity is likewise typical of certain other gener: of the Scincidae notably Jabuya and Leiolopisma. species of which. in the same locality, may exhibit both conditions. Leiolopisme pulchellum pulchellum is oriparous, Leiolopisma semperi, ovoviviparous: Mabuya multicarinata, oviparous. Mabuya multifasciatus, ovoviviparous, in the Philippines. The latter two species occur in the same loealities.

The oviparous species usually deposit their cogs in moist earth bencath logs or rocks of sufficient thickness to protect the eggs from too great heat from the sun. The eggs are usually not completely covered with earth. It was found in the case of eggs laid by Eumeces septentrionalis septentrionalis in captivity that if the eggs were completely covered by moist earth they invariably rotted. If only partially covered, they developed quite normally.

The eggs of Eumcces fasciatus, laticeps and obsolctus are at least in sorec measure incubated by the skink. The body is placed about the clutch and this position is maintained at least for the greater part of the time the eggs are incubating. This characteristic has been observed in obsoletus in the case of a female in Oklahoma which was brooding a clutch of ten egge. This is the only elutch of this species I have found.

Captive specimens deposited the eggs in loose earth beneath rocks. The eggs which were removed as soon as found to other incubating grounds were apparently never brooded, at least not immediately after they were laid.

Noble and Mason (1932) give an excellent account of the brooding habits of fasciatus and laticeps.

## GROWTH

The growth pattern of the various species and subspecies of the genus Eumeces appears, so far as investigation has gone, to be of a very stable nature and characteristic of the species. Throughout the range of a species the data show a variation in maximum size of but a few millimeters and this should diminish with a larger number of individuals available for measurement.

I am of the opinion that occasional cases of gigantism may appear, as is true of many other organisms, but I have not observed it in this genus. The supposed great loeal differences in size in fasciatus and skiltonianus of many authors is due to the failure to discern that two or more distinct species were involved.

The taxonomist should not overlook the fact that a change in a gene producing a form whose maximum bulk is three or four times that of the parent stock is quite as "specific" as one that produces a postnasal or splits a postmental. I consider maximum size and the growth pattern a pertinent part of the definition of a species. However, the necessary description can only be written when a very considerable amount of material has been subjected to careful scrutiny, and careful data recorded. Data on the snout to vent measurement were recorded for most of the individuals of all the species I have had available. Data so taken, when plotted, show the individuals falling into groups which I interpret as representing age groups. This is particularly true of those forms that live in a territory where a well-marked winter season occurs which produces hibernation. The same may be true in regions where distinct wet and dry seasons occur. The majority of specimens collected in the United States were obtained cluring the months of April, May and June. Those taken in other months were greatly in the minority, although specimens were examined that had been collected each month in the year.

As a check on the sum total of the data from specimens of a species taken at various times of the year and in numerous localities, I plotted the measurements of a series of indiriduals taken at the same time of the year (May) and in the same locality. The measurements thus taken fall into the various groups as shown in the total data and approach the average size for the data groups. When two or three such series check in this manner, it lends much weight to the postulate that these groups are age groups. When data for the two sexes are plotted, the numbers representing meas-
urements for the adult females are usually a millimeter or two larger than those for the males.

As the total amount of such data is rery great, it is not feasible to publish it here. However, the following table shows a summary of the growth data for five speries. It is obvious that the figures maty be made more accurate with large series from single localities, but I believe the awerages are not far from the expected size for any given year of life.

Growtli table for species of Eumuces

| Year. | 1, ${ }^{\text {** }}$ | ? ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $3 d$ | ith | 5 th | $6{ }^{\text {6 }}$ | ith | Sth | 9th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fasciatus | 27.5 | 33.6 | 40.9 | 48.1 | 52.2 | 56.9 | 1i0. 3 | 62.7 | 65.7 |
| laticeps | 35 | 44.2 | 53.5 | 60 | 66 | 76 | 8.5 | 90 | 96 |
| incrpectat us | $\because 5$ | 33 | 42.2 | 48.2 | 5.4 | 57.5 | 60.1 | 63.2 | 6.6 |
| skiltonianus | 26 | 32.4 | 39 | 45.3 | 50 | 54.5 | 58.2 | 61.7 | 63.8 |
| g. gilberti | 32 | 40 | 46 | 51 | 60.5 | 6 s | 74.6 | 80 | 85.8 |
| Ytar | 10th | 11th | 1)2th | 13 th | 1:1h | 15th | 16 th | $1 \% t h$ | 18th |
| fasciatus | is. 3 | 70 | 72.3 | 74.9 | 7 |  |  | 80 |  |
| laticeps | 100.3 | 105.5 | 110 | $11 \pm$ | $11 \% .5$ |  | . . . | . . . | 124 |
| inexpectatus | 69 | 71.4 | 74.3 | 77 |  | 83 |  |  |  |
| skiltonianus | titi. 4 | 69 | 70.3 | 72 | . . . |  | 75 | . . . |  |
| g. gilbcrti | 90 | 95.2 | 100 |  |  | 106 |  |  | 113 |

* In September; other years June.


## speciation And Mode of evolution

The species which I belicve represent the more ancient members of the genus belong to the Schneiderii, Schwartzei, Taeniolatus, Longirostris, Obsoletus, Egregius and Quadrilineatus groups. These are so regarted largely because each is now isolated from its most closely related group. The Taeniolatus, Longirostris and Quadrilineatus groups are monotypic, the last two widely separated from their nearest living relatives. There may be some doubt whether Taeniolatus is more closely related to the Schneiderii group or to the Schurartzei group. I am inclined to regard the former as the nearer relative.

The Schurartzei group has three forms, all apparently very strongly differentiated, while the Schneiderii group has six forms, all lacking strong differential characters. By reason of this the latter is presumably the more ecently developed stock of the abovelisted groups. I regard it quite probable that the genus originated in Asia, later spreading to America and Africa. The stock of the four- and five-lined groups appears to be for the most part of much more recent development, so regarded largely beeause they are contiguous at some point with a related group; they appear plastic and as yet show less specialization from the generalized ancestral type. I regard the modern five-lined skinks of the genus in eastern

Asia as having been derived from American forms, since the members all belong to one group, and all are quite closely related to each other, as well as to the American forms of their group, and all with a minimum of specialization.

The American forms have differentiated to a much greater extent, suggesting a longer sojourn in their present similar environment. It is possible, but not certain, that the four-lined group originated in southeastern Asia, and has spread to North America.

The island derivatives of Eumcces elegans show less modifications from the parent species than one usually regards as specific. However, I prefer to use specific rather than subspecific names for forms on isolated island groups which are definable and which cannot intermingle with other similar populations.

Inasmuch as the criterion of what constitutes a namable form is constantly changing, it seems probable that when the reptiles have been studied with the same amount of material as mammals, we will doubtless see far more named varieties than I have regarded it wise to recognize at this time. It seems quite likely that at least certain forms of E. skiltonianus, laticcps, brevirostris and multivirgatus will be separated on the basis of variants already known.

There are no strong trends in this group toward specialization of the limbs, as is evidencer in several genera of the skinks, such as Brachymeles and Chalcides, and which appear to be due to orthogenic evolution; nor trends such as one finds in Mabuya and Tropidophorus toward modification of the seales with strong keels and spines. Single scales may become modified along orthogenic lines (lateral postanal), and occasionally groups of seales (fusion of the dorsal rows). Striation of dorsal scales has appeared in two remote groups. Variation that obtains appears to be more sporadic; and similar variations from the generalized type are brought about by fusion (or dropping out) of elements or by the breaking up of elements. There is a tendency for both dwarf and giant forms to appear. Habitation of small islands has not had very great effect on size, or at least no very consistent effect. Longirostris, on the Bermuda Islands, is a relatively large form. Kishinouyei, a smatlisland species, is very large and equally as large as its related continental species. Barbouri, however, likewise an island form, is the smallest member of its group. On the other hand, egregius, dicei, parvulus and parviauriculatus, all continental species, have become dwarfed in a variety of habitats. There seems to be no envirommental factor in common stimulating the development of large size in laticeps and gilberti.

The number of seale rows bears no consistent relation to size. True, most of the smaller species have fewer rows than their related larger species. However, the number of seales is as much reduced in certain members of the Schwartzei and Schneiderii groups, certain members of which attain a size greater than any other members of the genus.

## SEXUAL DIMORPHISM

No striking general sexual dimorphism is evident in squamation in Eumeces. Howerer, in many species the sex may be determined on the basis of special seales and color characters. In adult males the proximal ventral portion of the tail is somewhat fuller and more rounded due to the presence of the hemipenes. In a number of species, notably certain Asiatic members of the Fasciatus group, a lateral postanal scale is strongly modified. This is true to a lesser extent in members of the Obsolctus and Skiltomiamus groups. In these Asiatic forms of the Fasciatus group the scale bears a strong, well-defined keel which is wanting or dimly evident in the females. In the Obsoletus group the seale is somewhat enlarged and moundlike, often bearing less pigment than the adjoining seales. The scale in several other groups is more or less modified in the males, but the difference is usually much less evident.

As a general rule, in forms in which there is color dimorphism, the female tends to retain the juvenile coloration, or, if this is lost, it is lost at a time later than in the male. In most, if not all, of the members of the Sumichrasti, Fasciatus, Longirostris and certain of the Skiltonianus and Obsoletus groups, the juvenile lined pattern becomes dim and in older males finally lost completely, while more or less of the lined pattern is retained by the oldest females. An exception to the latter rule obtains in Eumeces gilberti gilberti and gilberti rubricaudatus. In these forms the females take on quite faithfully the same color and markings as the males (except the reddened head), but do so two or three years (sometimes more) later than males of equal age.

Old males of the four groups mentioned above usually have the temporal region more or less inflated, often quite abnormally distorterl, apparently reaching the greatest development in Eumcees laticeps.

During the breeding seazon males of many species display a reddish coloration on the head and sides of the neek and chin. This varies greatly in shade and intensity in various species, likewise in
individuals of the same species. Sometimes this red coloration is more or less permanent on the heads of the males. I have not observed it on the heads of the females.

The body length of adult females (axilla to groin) is proportionally longer than in males, at least in proportion to the length of the limbs. Thus, the adpressed limbs of females overlap less or are separated by a greater distance than in the males.

In females distended with eggs or young, the scales, due to the stretching of the skin, appear to be somewhat larger than in the males.

## CONSIDERATION AND EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC CHARACTERS LSED IN DESCRIPTIONS

Data listed in the descriptions may be in a measure misleading unless the significance and variability that may be anticipated is considered; hence the following discussion of characters.

Rostral. The rostral reaches to the top of the snout a greater or lesser distance, and the area of the part seen from a dorsal view is usually a constant character. In the drawings, due to foreshortening, the part visible may appear less than the description states. When there appears to be a variation in the relative size of the visible part of the rostral and the frontonasal, it is due to variation in the size of the latter scale.

Nasal. The nasal scale is in most species of Eumeces divided by sutural grooves which emerge from the anterior edge of the nostril and continue to the upper edge of the scale, and from the lower anterior edge of the nostril, continuing to the rostral or first labial. The nasal scale may be shed singly or may break at the suture; if together it usually may be separated by a touch. Sometimes the scale lacks the suture, no or only a slight depression marking the position of the suture, and the scale does not break at this point. The latter is the expected condition in E. septentrionalis septentrionalis.

Normally the posterior part of the nasal carries the part of the scale flooring the nostril; the anterior part, only the anterior turned down rim. When a postnasal is not present, there is usually a small area of the scale behind the nostril; when present, the posterior part is narrow, forming merely a rim about the posterior part of the nostril. I presume that the normal postnasal is formed chiefly from the nasal, although its position may occasionally suggest a derivation from the first loreal. Occasionally the anterior loreal


Fig. 4. Head plates of Eumeces. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal riew of head; C, rentral surface of head; D , region of eye.
may be segmented transversely in forms where no postnasal occurs, and gives the impression that a postnasal is present. That this is not the case is evident by noting that in such cases the upper part of the loreal is separated from the labials-a condition that does not nomally occur in any species, but does occur frequently in E. latiscutatus.

Postnasal. This seale is relatively constant when present, and in species where it is normally absent, rarely appears. However, in multivirgatus, a species in which the scale may be regarded as normally present, it is absent on one or both sides in about 10 percent of the specimens. One may, however, expect occasional exceptions to the general rule in practically all species. The variation is likewise great in E. obsoletus, being absent in the south, but present in numerous northern specimens.

Supranasals. Constancy of size and relation to adjoining seales is the normal expectation as regards the supranasals. They are usually in contact, separating the rostral from the frontonasal; however, the supranasals may separate, and in the case of $E$. septentrionalis septentrionalis this condition oceurs in more than 20 percent of the material cxamined. In forms having a variable frontonasal the size of the prefrontals rather than the supranasals is usually affected. This separation of the supranasals oceurs only rarely in other species and normally occurs in none.

Prefrontals. These scales, differing in size and shape in various species, are likewise quite variable within most species, and in some species it is difficult to say whether the normal condition is to have the scales forming a median suture (separating frontal and frontonasal) or to have them separated, leaving the frontal and frontonasal in contact. In Eumeces laticeps, one may definitely say that the prefrontals are normally in contact, being separate in less than one percent of the specimens. E. fasciatus, on the other hand, has these scales extremely variable, reaching 78 pereent separate in certain localities, and as low as 5 pereent in other localities. When in contact the scales are usually distinctly larger than when separated, and the shape of the posterior part of the frontonasal and of the anterior angle of the frontal is likewise modified.

Frontal. The general shape and the relative width and length of the frontal are only moderately constant, since the separation of the prefrontals usually causes a greater length. A rare anomaly is the transverse segmentation of the scale. This I have found oecur-
ring in at least ten species. The type of $E$. lynce furcirostris shows this division, but it is doubtless merely an anomalous condition. Many species may oceasionally show small portions segmented from the pesterion part of the scale. Anomalies have been observed in several species which pemit the frontal to tonth the interparictal: in E. taeniolatus this is presmably the normal condition.

Intekbabetal. In very foung opeemens this seale is almost invariably proportionally larger and more prominent than in the adults. Apparently the actual shape of the scale may change as the specimen grows older. In most species the seale is separated from the frontal (see above paragraph) and is in contact posteriorly with the nuchals. In a few species the normal condition is for the interparietal to be separated from the nuchals by a union of the parictals. This is true of several Mexican speeies, and the transitional condition is evident in E. skiltoniamus in the extreme southern part of California, where a considerable precentage of the specimens shows this condition, which apparently beeomes the normal relationship in Baja California.

Supracclars. The number of supraoculars is uniformly four throughout the greater part of the genus and anomaties producing more or less are rare. In E. dugesii, E. lynze furcirostris and E. egregius, however, three is the normal number. In most descriptions of E. taeniolatus, algeriensis and schneiderii, the number is usually given as five; this is due to the fact that the small vertical seale terminating the superciliary series has become greatly enlarged and has been rated as a supraocular, while the same scale, invariably present in other known species, is considered the terminal superciliary. To be consistent the scale must be interpreted the same throughout the genus-all having five (four in egregius, ete.) or none having five. I choose the latter interpretation.

Superciliaries. The number of superciliaries is quite variable, but in general character they are constant for a given species. The posterior ones of the series tend to segment or fuse (as the case may be). The expectation is for the anterior one to be in contact with the prefrontal and only rarely does it fail to be so. Normally, too, it is separated from contact with the frontal, but occasionally they may touch. The last two of the series are normally in contact, but oceasionatly the last (vertical) one may be separated from the preceding one by the fourth supraocular; or a small postocular may intervene. This latter condition is typical in E. eqregius.

The median superciliaries are in contact in most species with the upper palpebral scales bordering the edge of the eyelid, while the anterior and posterior ones are separated from the palpebrals by small granules. In some forms, however, practically all the palpebrals are in contact with the superciliaries (E.schwartzui), while in the Schnciderii group all are separated by one or more rows of granules, thus permitting greater movement of and giving greater area to the upper eyelid.

Presuboculars. This term is applied to the small seales lying between the anterior corner of the eye, the labials and the posterior loreal. Two is the usual number (rarely, anomalously, one or three). In E. schwartzei, altamirani and managuae, however, the normal number appears to be three.

Postsuboculars. A series of small scales bordering the lower posterior edge of the orbit separating the temporals and labials from that part of the orbit is so designated. The scales of this series are usually variable in different species. In E. obsoletus this series and the presubocular series may actually appear continuous, due to a slight enlargement and the presence of darker pigment in the small, light-colored, opaque scales of the lower eyelid. An anomalous condition due to segmentation of a portion or portions of the subocular labial may produce this same continuation between the two groups (observed in E. laticeps). The continuity of the presuboculars and postsuboculars is normal in certain African and western Asiatic species.

Temporals. The group of seales occupying the temporal region is somewhat difficult of interpretation and heretofore the terminology has not been adequate for accurate description. However, these scales must be considered as important and as pertinent to a description of a species as any other scales on the head. The number of these scales varies somewhat with the species. Four is the normal expectation. The most anterior may be considered as the primary temporal, and is usually small, single and normally present save in $E$. egregius, $E$. dicci and possibly colimensis; the next (posterior) are termed the secondary temporals. These are two usually, the upper one bordering the parietal; the other just below it, is the lower secondary, which is in contact with the primary save in a few species where it may be separated from it leaving the upper secondary in contact with the last labial. In this case the scale may be pushed back or may be interpreted as wanting. The tertiary temporal (occasionally divided) is usually a vertically
elongate scale bordering the upper secondary temporal and extending down behind the lower secondary. The temporals for any given species may be regarded as constant as most of the other head seales and in many species may be diagnostic. In E. ochoterenae there is considerable variation in the relation of the last labial and the upper secondary temporal; very rarely is this variable in skiltonianus.

Parietals. These scales, due to their great irregularity of shape, are somewhat difficult to describe to bring out specific characters, yet differences are usually in evidence on a comparison of two species. Usually their relationship, whether in contact or separated, is dingnostic; an exception is Eumeces brevirostris as here interpreted.

Loreals. Two loreals are present, an anterior and a posterior, the former of which is usually vertically elongate and higher than the latter. The length of the posterior is usually greater than its rertical height. However, in certain species the anterior reaches no higher than the posterior, and is a constant character.

Boulenger et al. have regarded the large seale following the nasal in taeniolatus (scutatus Boulenger) as being a third loreal. I interpret this as a postnasal.

The posterior loreal is occasionally found with a posterior segment (vertically segmented usually), while the anterior is found occasionally transversely segmented (frequently in E. multivirgatus and $E$. septentrionalis septentrionalis).

Preocular. This is a small seale lying between the first supereiliary, the posterior loreal, and the presubocular, against which the anterior palpebrals of the upper and lower lids abut. It is followed, above the palpebrals, by one or more granules diminishing in size, or by a continuous series across the lid, as in African forms.

Postoculars. A pair of small scales lying at the posterior corner of the ere. inclosing partially the posterior palpebral of both upper and lower lids, is so designated. The upper may enlarge to such a size that it breaks the continuity of the superciliary series.

Scales of Lower Eyelid. Practically all species show an enlarged serics of opaque or semitransparent scales lacking pigment other than white, which are in contact with the lower palpebral scales. These are usually vertically elongate, rectangular, and diminish in size from the center. Neither their number nor their size is constant. These are separated from the pre- and postsuboculars
and the subocular by from one to four rows of very small seales which are usually flat, and either juxtaposed or imbricating. The number of rows is usually fairly constant for the species. In certain forms of the Schneiderii group the enlarged seales may be in two scries, reduced greatly in size.

Upper Labials. This series is considered as terminating with a large seale whose posterior edge lies some distance in front of the ear and is separated from the ear by one seale, a pair of scates, or in some cases, several (four or five) pairs. In some published descriptions these small seales are counted as labials, and, where only a single seale appears, it is quite similar to those of the labial series, and may actually partially border the corner of the mouth. For the sake of uniformity the last labial counted is the large scale, invariably the second following the subocular labial; the scales following are regarded as postlabial or preauricular seales. The general characters of the labials are diagnostic in many cases.

The anterior part of the series (three, four or five) may be variable in many species; for instance, five is the usual number in $E$. laticeps and only rarely are four present; four is the normal number for $E$. fasciatus, but the number five appears rather frequently. In E. egregius, rarely also in $E$. anthracimus, the number may be reduced to three. The relative height and the length of the labials, perhaps more especially of the subocular, are relatively constant for a species.

Lower Labials. This series of scales is likewise variable in number, and the count is made from the mental to the largest elongate scale which appears to terminate the series, but which may be followed by one or more smaller scales, concealed below the large (last) upper labial.

Mental. The scale for a given species usually is constant as to the extent of its labial border and its depth.

Postmental. The mental is followed by either a large, single, undivided scale, or by two scales formed by a transverse division of the large single seale. In most species one or the other of these conditions is constant save for an occasional exception. However, in $E$. fasciatus, where the normal expectation throughont the greater part of the range is two postmentals, oceasional individuals may be found with a single, undivided postmental, and in the extreme southwestern part of the range (Okiahoma), this condition may be present in 40 percent of the individuals.

Chinshields. There is a very remarkable constancy in the general relation of the chinshiclds following the postmentals; these consist of three pairs of scales, the first strongly in contact medially (ravely not); the second pair separated by a single small scale; and the third pair separated usually by three seales. In E.egregius there are only wo pairs of chinshields normally present.

Postgental. I use this name to describe the elongate seale bordering the lower labials posterior to the last (third) chinshield. This scale is msually constant, and in the greater number of the species is bordered on its imner edge by an elongate seale shaped somewhat similar to the postgenial, though smaller, and which likewise borders the posterior cdge of the third chinshield. This seale, in certain Mexican species, is very different, and appears as a broad scale, distinctly of greater diameter trimsversely than longitudinally, and is constant for the species. This condition obtains also in certain African forms. However, the elongate scale marely may fuse with the postgenial, resulting in a wider postgenial, which is then bordered by the adjoining seale which is wider than long. This fusion has probably brought about the condition in the Mexican species. In Eumeces skiltonianus the fusion takes place oceasionally.
scale Rows. The variation in the number of scale rows is considerable, and it varies at various parts of the body. Thus, in the region behind the ear, there is a postauricular series of four or five vertical rows which are sharply set off from a series of lateral neck scales by their smaller size, and by a definite line denoting a different direction of the scale rows. This series of neck scales is then set off posteriorly from the suprabrachial lateral shoulder scales by another line usually rumning up from the anterior point of insertion of the forelimb onto the shoulder (usually diagonally).

Posterior to the insertion of the arm in the axilla is an area, small in some forms, larger in others, with tiny granular scales, which may also border the arm insertion dorsally; behind this there is a radial series of scales, rumning upward and backward, which usually continue diagonally a distance equal to the length of the forelimb and sometimes farther. These are, however, somewhat irregular in their point of termination and occasionally one terminating normally anteriorly will be continued to the groin, thereby increasing the seale count at the middle of the body. Sometimes the intercalated rows may terminate near the middle and a count one or two scales farther forward may vary the count by two rows.

The greater number of species vary considerably so that in some species a variation of as many as eight scales may occur in the counts; and they may vary as much as six scales in a group of specimens from a single locality. However, this is greater than is usual and a variation of two rows is the more normal expectation. The number of scale rows about the base of the tail is fairly constant and is usually very different in most species from the number about the body. In some forms the number may be nearly the same (counts should be made from the first widened subcaudal). In the region posterior to the insertion of the hind limb there are in most American. Mexican and eastern Asiatic forms, no granular seales. In the African and western Asiatic species there is usually a considerable area in this region covered by tiny, nonimbricating scales. When the limb is laid back on the side of the tail a pocket-like depression is formed along the side of the anal region.

In most species the scales on the side of the body form parallel longitudinal rows. In two forms, E. longirostris and E. obsoletus, the normal condition is to have well-defined diagonal rows on the sides of the body. However, in the latter species, in the extreme southwestern part of the range, the scales may be parallel on the sides in some of the specimens. In all speeies the scales in the axilla form diagonal rows.

In certain of the western Asiatic, Mexican and Central American species the two median rows appear to unite, forming a single median row for a part of the distance on the back; in the African forms the two median series are much widened, but never unite to form a single median series.

In many species, on the dorsal surface of the neck following the nuchals, the scales are wider than the succeeding scales, and in the Tacniolatus and Schuartzei groups, where several pairs of nuchals are present, the succeeding widened scales between the nuchals and the median widened series have been likewise called (erroneously) nuchals in the deseriptions of certain authors.

In numerous species the termination of a lateral row is marked by one or two considerably enlarged scales; the ventral scales on the breast, too, are usually very considerably enlarged.

Preanals. The anterior edge of the anus is usually bordered by six or eight scales. These consist of a median, frequently somewhat thickened pair, more or less greatly enlarged, with two or three scales on each side, diminishing in size. In the Taeniolatus,

Schurartasi and sehneiderii groups, the imer soales orerlap the edges of the outer pairs. In all other species the outer sates overlap the edges of the imner, exept in longitostris, in which the serond outer orerlaps the median as well as the adjoining sate.
Lateral Powtanal. In the males of most species there is present a more or less differentiated sale lying at the posterior lateral border of the anus. In certain castern Asiatic species the seale bears a flattened pine or keel. The seale however, in most species is larger and may hase a slight convexity of increased thickness. The seale is prominent in E. obsoletus, and to a leser extent in the species of the skiltonianses group or uring in California. It is probable that a glandular area is present umber the scale. In several specics the sexes can be determined by this character, since it is undifferentiated or less differentiated in the female than in the male.
scbeatdals. The width of the subcaudal seales in relation to that of the adjoining rows is a constant character and very little variation has been noted. When the tail is regenerated, the character of these scales changes and in species where the subeaudal scales are not widened in the original tail, they may become greatly widened in the regenerated part (true especially in Eumeces inexpeetatus). The number of subeaudals varies somewhat, but within a relatively small range.

Scale Pits. The scales on the sides of the posterior part of head, the seales of the sides of neck, body and base of tail and the scales on upper arm and leg are usually pitted with two or more small pits near the posterior part of the scale. These may be rounded or set in a short distance from the posterior edge of the scale or may form a groove to the posterior edge. Often there are more than the typical two on scales of side of neck and body while invariably the seales in the posthumeral and postfemoral regions have more than two.

The head scales likewise show evidence of pitting, but this is often not evident. Only a few forms have the dorsal scales pitted. The pitting is less distinct, occasionally quite obsolete, in old adults.

Color Description. The names median, dorsolateral and lateral light lines are self-explanatory. The sublateral usually is very low on the side, and when present is never conspicuous. Usually it disappears before any other line.

Secondary Lines of Color Pattern. The young are nearly always quite dark, black-brown, or actually black, a color that
changes in intensity even during the first year, the tendency being for the pigment to segregate towards the sides of the scales (less frequently to the posterior part of the scale), thus leaving contrasting lines in the ground color. These light and dark lines are referred to as secondary, and when present never have the clean-cut distinctness of those forming the primary color pattern (multivirgatus). Sometimes, too, the darker pigment on the head will tend to arrange itself so as to appear to form the "bifurcating" head lines. These are always less distinct than when these form a part of the primary pattern.

## KEY TO THE SPECTEN OF EUMECER WIEGMANN

The
 If fall within the normal more than once in the the key.
 lowed by paired median seatos for a whor distanee: no (or only a wery smail) area of granular seales sterior to the insertion of hind limb; lower suture in nasal from nostril to first labial; presubocular :ud postsubocular series not contimusus. (. Frontal and intorparictal in contact; heed without greatly endarged plates or pads; terminal bamelia of digits not tightly bound about base of chaws: two presuboculars; median palpelbai seates separated from the superciliary series by smat 21 mules; four pairs of postlathals; two postmentals; 19-21 scale rows; four suprow ulars; toes and fingers with only a dorsal and a ventral : rome seales for the greater part of their leugth. Eumeces tremmatues (Blyth)

 thetween last labial and ear; toes and fingers with a dorsal, ventral and a lateral (on eaph sulf.) series of seales.
1). Scries of thefe broad black stripes heginting at rostral continus some distance on the anterior part of body; these break up posteriorly into quadrangular black spots, forming indefinite ines; tail with quadrangular black spots above; subeaudals immaculate; ground color grathrown or gray-olive: $2 l$ seate rows; fio- 63.3 spatos from parictats to above anns. (Hontheastern Mexion and (inatemala.)
Eumores schurartzei lïscher, 94
1). No brom black st ripe begiming at rostral. dark lines or lines of dark spots; seales from parielals to above ams, fit -69; 17 seale rows about body: (Niearagua.)

 not forming distinet tines; a broad lateral bamb of brown on sides; 59 seales from parictat to ahone amus seates in 19 rows. (Micho--sotng zuvazuvzpo sastungt
 forming an ill-defined pocket when the limbs are moved bark; seales round the boty normally in feen numbers of rows, alf muet, wider than fong:
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| BB. Supraoculars, normally four. <br> C. Scales on sides of body formang diagonal rows from axilla to groin. <br> 1). Wach outer preanal scale in turn overlaps the next inner; young, black with a white dot on each hean markings, either nearly uniform olive or olive with varied liner of darker color; one (or no) posthasal nuchals; seales rows, 26 -2s; max. size, 125 mm . (Specimens from New . Mexico and Arizona sometimes tral and southwestem United states and northern Mexico) .................................. . <br> DD. Preanal seate, adjoining the enlarged median pair of preanals, overlaps the medial scate and the adjo 35 rows; a four-lined pattern in young, lost in adult males; occasionatly a sublateral line present in y when adpressed; max. size, 50 mm .; postnasal present; one postmental; one pair of large nuchals. <br> CC. Seales on sides of body in parallel rows save in axillary region. <br> D. White or cream lines, varying in length, present on body, the light lines tending toward olive or br lateral brown stripe. (Except in humilis and tungrmus, which are without lines.) <br> E. Une postmental. <br> F. Postnasal present. <br> G. No keeled lateral postanal seute. <br> 11. Rather small species, uniformly colored; lacking a definite brown lateral stripe or adult; adults bronze or olive-bronze; palpebral scales separated from the (or very nearly so); subcaudals only slightly widened; scales from parietals to labials; 24-26 scale rows; max. size, snont to vent, 73 mm . (New Mexico Mexico)................................................................................ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
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|  | Kli. Larger species; lower secondary temporal present; limbs elongate, broadly overlapping when adpressel; profrontals separated; broad dorsolateral hes continue to tail, separated by eight scale rows; max. size, 69 mm ; 2s scale rows; parietals enclosing interparietal; 16 lanellae under <br>  <br> JJ. Primary temporal present; lower secondary temporal present. <br> K. Seventh labial not in contact with the upper secondary temporal. <br> L. Parietals do not enclose interparictal; two pairs of nuchals. <br> M. Very small species; scate rows, 20 ; prefrontals separated; ear opening minute, partially covered hy a scale; size, 47 mm . (Western Mexico.) <br> MM. Larger forms; max. size, above 65 mm ; scale rows, more than 20. <br> Eumeces parviauriculatus Taylor, 368 <br> N. Four dorsal scale rows widened, each scale row usually with a continuous line of dark dots (rarely absent) ; dorsolateral line from first superciliary; post genial bordered lyy a seale broader than long; primary temporal larger than lower secondary; max. size, 7 f mm. ; limbs widely separated in ardults; seales in $22-24$ <br>  <br> NN. Dorsal seale rows not or searcely widened; no lines of wetl-defined dots on seates, exept oceasionally on tail; forsolateral lines from last supraoculars to tail, separated by four or more seale rows; limbs well-developed, overlapping or touching save in females distented with eggs: 24-2s, usually 26 or 28 seale rows; postgenial bordered by a scale longer than broad; primary temporal smatler than lower secondary; max. size, 70 mm . ( Wastern [T. S. to Kianas and Oklahoma) ...........................................es anthracinus (13aird), 373 <br> LL. Parictals enclose interparietal; $2 \pm$ seale rows; two pairs of muchals: six superciliaries; primary temporal very large, equaling the upper semondary temporal in size; forsolateral line lost on back; lateral line rearhes ear; max. size, 51 mm . (West Mexico.) <br> Eumeces parvulus Taylor, <br> KK. Seventh labiat in contact with the uppor spoudary temporal (exeept in eprtain ochoterenaf, in which case the parietals are not enclosed) ; primary temporal small. <br> L. Dorsolateral lines broad, separated by less than four seale rows, oceupying outer two thirds of second seale row and inner half of third, extending on proximal third of tail; a lateral line to arm; bluish eolor of tail retained more or less in adults; $2: 2$ scale rows, rarely 24; two pairs of nuchals; 54 scales from occiput to above anus; parietals do not enclose interparietal; body slender; max. size, 56 mm . (Guerrero, Mexico.) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

RE. Two postmentals present.
KEY TO THE SPEOK OF EUMECES WHEGMANN CONTINUED

key to the species of etaleces wiegmann - Continued
II. No keeled lateral postanal seale.
J. Typical five-lined pattern, the median line bifurcating on the nuchal.
K. Scales under tail not or but very little widened; young usually with a sublateral line; seven or
eight labials; $2 \mathrm{~s}-30$ scale rows; max. size, so mm. (Southeastern U. S.)
Eumeces inexpectatus Taylor, 224

| .1.1 | I'only with four-limed pattern (lest in adults of nome species). No bifurcating lines on heard <br> K. A lateral and dorwolateral line; the latter extend to tail and are separated by equivalont of three or less seale rows (usually two whole rows ant two half rows). <br> L. Lines persistent in adults; tails blue in young; max. size, under 90 mon. ; seale rows, 24 2s, usually 26; 59 seales from parictals to above amus. (Northwestern and western U. S. to Bajat (adifornia. In sonthern part of range the parietal is endowed.) <br> L.L. Lines disappearing in adults. <br> Eumeces skiltonianus skiltonitnus (13airel and (iirard), 415 <br> 11. Young blue tailed; hody losing stripes in carly life; old sperimens bluish green to olivegreen, often with red heal; langer; max. size H13 man; scate rows, 2.-26, (Nierra <br>  <br> MM. Young pink- or red-tailed; boty lowing atripee in "arly life; max. size, 101 mm.; 24-26j scale rows. (California and Baja ('al.) Eumeces qilbertirubrictudus subsp nov., 4.4t; |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | kh. Iorsolateral lines separated by at least four seale rows. <br> L. No secondary pattern of black and light lines; seale rows, 24; max size, tit mm. (New Mexico, Тexas) .................................................. . . Eunteces paigei Taylor, 353 <br> LLA. A well-developed secondary pattern of dark lines on batk bordering the primary white lines and secondary light lines; the median secondary line widened and apparently bifur(ating on haad; 24 25 sale rows; max, size, 65 mm. (Nebraska, Wyoming to Texas.) |


I. Parietals enclose interparietal. (Arizona and northwestern Mexieo.) ...... Enmeers rellocephalus Bocourt, 2go
KEY TO THE SPECIER OF ELMECFR HIEGMANN CONTINUED
II. Parietals do not enclose interparietal. (Southern Texas and northeastern Mexico.)
Eumeces $t$ tragrammus (Baird), 29s
KEY 'FO THE SPECVES OF' EHMEC'ES WTEGMANN CONTINUER

KEY TO THE SPECIEN OF EIMIECES WIEGMANN CONClUDED


## TAXONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

## SCHWART/EEI (iROLP

This group may be characterized as follows: The division between anricular seales and the lateral nerk ecales prominent, directed backwards: line separating neck and supabmachial seales is anterior to arm insertion; postgenial sales posterior to third chinshield, bordering labials, not well differentiated; three presuboculars, separated from postsuboculars: upper eyelid reduced, the superciliary and palpebral seales in contact; lower eyelid with there rows of scales.

Terminal pair of lamellae tightly drawn about base of claw; a few small tubercular axillary seales; median preanal seales overlap lateral preanals: scales preceding preanals more or less modified; enlarged heel pads: no small tubercular seales behind insertion of the hind leg. Seales in axillary region and behind the hind limb, on sides of tail, also on the posterior side of arm and hind limb and the region behind ear, very strongly pitted with tiny elongate pits or grooves on the extreme posterior edges of scales: pitting only dimly rivible elsewhere, save in postauricular region; four or five pairs of nuchals, followed by several paired scales which in turn are followed by greatly widened mediam plates on back. The third supraocular is widely separated from the frontal, the frontoparictal touching second supraocular; ear lobules prominent, distinct, roundel, all strongly in contact. Three anterior supereiliaries widened and elongate, diagonally placed. Broadened subcaudals preceded by four paired seales. Regenerated tail has greatly widened scales ahove as well as below. separated from each other by five, two or one row of lateral seales, depending upon the point where regeneration is begun.

Three species, all large $(120 \mathrm{~mm}$. snout to vent), are considered as belonging to this group, which is confined to the southern part of Mexico and the northern part of Central America. I am considerably in doubt about their closest relationship. They resemble taemolutus of India and western Asia, in the broadened median -eries of doreal scales and general plan of markings, but they differ from it in muncrous other characters of equal import, so that it is not impossible that the two gromps arrived at this character independently.

# Key to the Species of the Schwimtzei Group 

A. Limbs widely separated when adpressed. General color above light brownish with eight dark, narrow dotted lines on back. Scales in 17 rows about boly; 67-69 seales in a row from parietals to above anus...................... Eumeces managuae Dunn, $10 t$
A.1. Limbs more elongate, touching or barely failing to meet when adpressed.
B. Color olive-histre, lighter anteriorly; three broad, dark stripes, a median and two lateral, beginning on rostral, continue on back and sides where they break up into series of quadrangular spots; a light line on side of head. sicale rows 21. semles ocriput to above anus, 62-93... . . . . . . . . . . Eumeces schwartzei Fischer,
BB. Light yellow brown, lacking broall stripes anteriorly; occipital and nuchal region without markings: scales, with small dark dots, in 19 rows. sicales occiput to above anus 59 Eumece altamirani Duges, 102

## Eumeces schwartzei Fischer

(Plate 1; Figs. 5, 6)
RYNONYMY
1894. Eumeres schurartzei Fischer. Abh. Nat. Ver. Hambure, VIll, 1894, p. 3, pl. VII, fig. 1 (type description; type locality, 1sland in Laguna de Términos, Bay of Campeche [Mexico]) ; Günther, Biol. Cent. Amer., 1885. Oct., p. 33 (spelled schwartzii; reference to type description) : Boulenger. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887, p. 382 (data from type description; spelled schwartzii) ; Cope, Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc., XXII, Jan. to Oct., 1885 , p. 170 (key characters; spelled schwarzei) ; Cope, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus. No. 32, 1887, p. 46; Boulenger, Proc. Zö̈l. Soc. London, 1894, p. 725 (lists a specimen from the West Indies from Christiania Mhsemm; spelled schwartzii); Shattuck, the Peninsula of Vuratan, Camegie. 1933. App. A, p. 575 (spelled schuartzii); Stuart, Occ. Papers, Mus. Zoin., Cniv. Mich., No. 292, June 29, 1934, pp. 13, 14.

History. The type specimen from "einer kleinen Insel in der Laguna de Términos (Campeehe Bai)" reached Hamburg, Germany, apparently as a stowaway in a cargo of dyewood. When captured it was sent to the Zoological Garden in Hamburg, and later, at its death, to the Naturhistorische Museum. When deseribed by Fisher (1884), it was named in honor of E. W. E. Schwartze, an officer of the Zoologische Gesellschaft in Hamburg.

The type description is a good one and is accompanied by a figure in black and white showing seale characters and markings, together with some smaller line figures. As regards scale proportions and finer details these are inaccurate.

The species has remained a great rarity in collections. A single specimen in the British Museum is labeled "West Indies," doubtlessly an ineorrect locality. A single specimen is in the University of Michigan collection (No. 68226, Chichen-Itzá, Yucatán, Mex.), three in the U.S.N.M. (Nos. 71380, 71409 and 71948), all from Guatemala, and two in Harvard from Yucatán and Guatemala have been available for study.

Dugès' species Eumeces altamirani, deseribed in 1891 from "las regiones cálidas del Estado de Michoaéan," seems to be a close relative of Eumeces schwartzei, while the recently deseribed man-
aguae Dum is more distantly related. Duges, at the time he deseribed his sperges, was matate of the deseription of this species by Fiseher, as was Dum matare of the Duges species when he described managuae. Duges figures are notorionsly poor in detail. and the true relationship are hard to determine. Atrexamination of the type of altamirani, while not wholly satisfatory, catues me to retain it as a distinct speries.

Diagnosis. Enmeres scherartafi is a large eperies of the gemus. charamerized by the preenere of a postasal, a single postmental, two supratoculare touching the frontal, four or five pairs of very broad muchals, followed by about ten pairs of seates somewhat narrower than the nuchals, which in turn are followed be a hroadened median series of seutes about five times as broad as deep. A broad, median, dark stripe begiming on shout is lost on the back; a broad laterad dark band from snout to hind leg; this is not of solid color posteriorly, but breaks up into rows of gutadrangular spots; beginning on the tip of the smout, a lighter line of gromed color follows the canthus, the supracular region and along the side of the back where it becomes widened and lost; toes and fingers with four complete series of seales throughout their length.

Description of specics. [Drawn from three specimens from the Čnitel states National Musemm: $71: 380$ Chuntuquí, Petén, (inatemala; 71409 and 71948, Remate. Petén, Guatemala.] Rostral wider than high, the part visible above relatively small, more or less rounded behind, not forming a median angle; supranasals large, forming a broad median suture, laterally in contact with the nasal and postnasal, the posterior suture with the first loreal greater than that with the frontonasal. Frontonasal large, longer than its distance from the end of the snout, touching laterally the first foreal and the prefrontal, narrowly touching the frontal posteriorty; prefrontals more or less quadrangular, forming subequal sutures with the two loreals, the first superciliary and the first supraocular, narrowly separated from each other; frontal about once and three fourthe as long as broad, not forming sharp angles at either end, touching laterally the two anterior supraoculars; between the frontal and supraoculars is a very distinct groove which continues back onto the frontoparietals; frontoparietals small, forming a broad median suture, touching laterally three supraoculars; interparietal scarcely larger than a frontoparietal but usually more elongated (enclosed by parietals in U.S.N.M. No. 71948 and separated very narrowly in U.S.N.M. No. 71380) ; parictals diagonally placed, four-
sided, the ends of equal width, the inner side very much longer than outer.

Nostril directly above the labio-rostral suture, piereed between the two parts of the nasal scale; the posterior part narrow, forming the very narrow rim and floor of the nostril, the anterior moiety about one third the size of the postnasal; postnasal relatively large, sometimes approaching the size of the combined area (including nostril) of the two nazals, usually extending as high and its lowest point inserted slightly between upper edges of the first two labials, broadly in contact with the supranasal; anterior loreal very high,


Fig. 5. Eumeces sehuartzei Fischer. Mich. I'. No. 68226. ChichenItza, Yucatán. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual bead length, 17.6 mm .; wilth, 16 mm .
extending nearly half its height beyond the posterior loreal; the latter is longer than high, the elevation anteriorly greater than posteriorly; three well-defined subequal anterior suboculars follow the second loreal: four supraoculars; eight superciliaries; four posterior suboeulars; four or five semitransparent enlarged seales on lower eyelid, separated from subocular by three or four rows of small scales: upper palpebral series forming sutures its entire length with the superciliaries: ! upper and 11 lower palpebrals; preocular small, lanceolate, separating the first presubocular from the first supereiliary, and followed by two small seales; eight upper labials, the last very much the largest, the fifth smallest; eighth separated from the ear lobules hy three or four pairs of postlabials, the anterior largest; primary temporal moderate, reetangular; upper scoondary narrow, elongate; lower secondary very large, triangular,
touching primary ; tertiary temporal separated from upper secondary by a scale, and from the ear lobules by two or three small postlabials.

Mental followed by a single, unpaired postmental; six enlarged lower labials, the first two touching the postmental; three pairs of enlarged chinshields, the first pair in contact, the second pair separated by a single scale, the third pair by three scales; no welldefined postgenial, the seales following third chinshield scarcely distinguishable from body seales in two specimens; in a third there is an clongate, narrow seale following, which reaches to near angle of the mouth.

The number of scale rows varies on the neck just posterior to the ear, from 33 to 36 ; shortly in front of the foreleg the count reaches as low as 26 ; the count about abdomen is 21 . The dorsal series following the parietals consists of a series of four to five greatly widened nuchals, six or seren times as wide as deep, the anterior usually not as wide as but deeper than the succeeding seales; these are followed by ten or eleven pairs of scales about four times as wide as deep. which continue to a point on a level with the insertion of limbs; from here the dorsal surface is covered by a single median series of broadened scales four to five times as wide as deep, which continue to a point as far back as the groin. Total count from occiput to above anus is $60-63$; seales on tail not differentiated save on underside where they are distinctly widened, their posterior edges strongly curved; the widened series separated from vent by five paired seales; 11 seales about base of tail at beginning of widened series; lateral body scales vertically elongate, larger than ventral scales; rows of scales following the insertion of forearm small, forming somewhat diagonal rows for a short distance, but on sides they form series distinetly parallel to dorsal scales. Scales in the postauricular region very small, the number around ear opening 21-23; three auricular lobules, directed back; the seale following the eighth upper labial is somewhat enlarged, with a superimposed scale, the two separated from ear by a second pair; 14 seales about the arm near insertion and about 20 scales around hind limb just above point of insertion; six scales bordering anal flap, the two median only moderately enlarged and overlapping outer; no differentiated lateral postanal scute at corners of went in either sex; a strongly defined. large, padlike wrist tubercle; the palm has about 13 enlarged scales with numerous intercalated smaller ones; the lamellar formula of fingers: $6 ; 10 ; 12 ; 11 ; 9$; the heel is bordered
by five seales, the two median largest, the imner more than twice as large as outer; these preceled by about 10 enlarged, rounded seales intermingled with smaller ones; the lamellar formula of toes: $7 ; 11 ; 1 \pm ; 16 ; 11$; lamellac smooth; toes encased by four longitudinal rows of seales. the terminal ones bound about claws. Seales elaborately pitted, each lateral and dorsal scale with numerous elongated pits near the posterior border.

Body rather heary, elongate, with the limbs strong, well developed; the adpresed limbs of adults not or but searecly meeting on the sides of the body; the average width of the body contained about five and one half times in snout to vent measurement. Head moderately slender, not conspicuously widened in males, the eye relatively small, its greatest diameter contained about two and one half times in its distance from the tip of the snout.

Color and markings. Above, the general ground color is a variegated olive-bistre, slightly elearer anteriorly and probably approaching cream in life; a broad, dark brown to blackish stripe, pointed anteriorly, begins at the rostral, widens, and continues back to the shoulder or farther, then narrowing, becomes broken up into one or two series of diseonnected quadrangular spots; rostral light; two light lines have their origin here and continue back along eanthi, above eyes, and along the sides of the back where they are lost in the general color of the back; after the shoulder is past they develop regular black spots on alternate seales. A dark lateral band begins at nostril, passes back involving eye and upper part of labials, and the upper part of auricular opening; it then passes along the side of the boty, where it gradually breaks into series of dark and lighter spots, forming five diseentinuous lines; a few lighter flecks appear anteriorly on the dark band and lighter flecks are prominent on the sides; posterior dorsal part of body and tail (unregenerated parts) marked more or less regularly with quadrangular dark spots; chin, throat, belly, underside of tail and underside of limbs uniform greenish or dirty cream; narrow longitudinal dark stripes on limbs; sides of neek and lower labials with dark vermiculations; lower part of upper labials immaculate, appearing as a white line.

Variation. The type has not been available for study. It is obvious that the type is a much smaller, probably much younger, specimen than those which I have examined. The most significant difference in the type and the specimens studied is the very much narrower head, it being less than half the length (possibly an error

Measuremonts of Eumeces schurartzi Fischer

| Museum <br> Number <br> sex. | $\underset{\substack{\text { 11:mbl } \\ \text { type }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Micht } \\ \substack{1 i s e-2, t i} \\ 0^{7} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \% \\ 20.04 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | - | 112 | 113 | $11: 3$ | 11. | 120 | 120 |
| Tail. | 129 | 127* | 127* |  | 7-* | 133* |  |
| snout to foreleg |  | 36 | 37 | 3.7 | 39 | 42 | 3.7 |
| Snout to ear | 1.5 | 20 | 20 | 19.5 | 20 | 23 | 20.2 |
| Snout to eve... |  | $\checkmark$ | 8.5 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 9 |
| Width of head. | 6 | 1. | 16.5 | 15 | 16 | 19 | 1ti |
| Length of head... | 11 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 1, |
| Width of boty. . |  | 22 | $\because 1$ |  | 24 | 21 | . . . . . . |
| Postanal tail width |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Axilla to groin. |  | 64 | 65 | 65 | 68 | 67 | is |
| Foreleg. | 19 | 26.5 | 23 | 24 |  | $\because$ | 27 |
| Hind leg | 27 | 37 | 40 | 37 |  | 39 | 39 |
| Longest toe. |  | 12.5 | 12 | 12.5 |  | 13 | 13 |

* Regenerateal bartly

Type, from Laguna de Términus, Campeche; 58226,29238 , Chichen-Itzá, Yucatán; 7194s, $71409,71350,25404$, Petér, Guatemala.
in measurement). The limbs overlap when adpressed. That the limbs overlap in the small, younger specimens is the normal expectancy in this genus, even though they are separated in the adults.

A specimen in the Michigan University Muscum, No. 68226, agrees in practically all essential seale characters. The regenerated tail shows two stages of regeneration; the older proximal part has the scales very irregular in size and shape. while the distal (more recent) part, 50 mm . in length, has throughout the greater part of its length only a single dorsal and a single ventral series, which meet laterally. The first pair of chinshiclds is separated by a single scale. The color agrees save that the shade varies; thus the areas between the black stripes on the hearl are almost a dove gras, but fade to the leaden gray of the back; the limbs are brownish gray, the seales with darker -pots forming lines, eight on forelimb, eighteen on the posterior.

The following additional variation is noted in the six specimens studied: two have the parietals very slightly separated, the others have them in contact. The number of seales from occiput to above vent are from 60 to 63 , the first number occurring five times; the upper labials are invariably eight. Nuchals and the scales between the nuchals and the beginning of the large median serics are: one.

4-5 nuchals, $12-11$ smaller body scales; one, $4-5$ nuchals, $10-10$ smaller; one, 5-5 nuchals, 11-11 smaller; two, 5-5 nuchals, 12-12 smaller; one, 5-4 nuchals, 12-13 smaller scales. No variation was noted in the supraoculars save that two were partly fused in one specimen. The postmentals, loreals and labials preceding the subocular are constant. The frontonasal is broader than long in two specimens, the length and width equal in four; the frontonasal touches frontal in all specimens; two supraoculars are in contact with the frontal in all specimens. All have three presuboculars, and four or three postsuboculars. The limbs fail to touch in all, by a distance of from 5 mm . to 10 mm .

The scale rows about the body show the following variation: On neck behind ear, 32 to 39 ; on narrow part of neck, 26 to 29 ; behind arm, 29 to 31 ; around the middle of the body, 19 to 21 ( 19 occurring only in one Guatemalan specimen) ; 15 to 16 about base of the tail. The scales surrounding the ear vary from 21 to 24,21 in two specimens, 22 or 23 in two, and 24 in two. The superciliaries are $8-8$ save in one specimen, which has 10-8. Ear lobules are three or four. The subdigital lamellae of fourth toe rary from 15 to 17,16 being the most frequent number.

The pitting on the posterior edge of the scales is very prominent on posterior side of foreleg; on the side, above and behind the foreleg; on the posterior side of hind leg and on tail behind the hind leg; also in the postauricular region. The pitting is but dimly evident on neck and sides of body. There is a faint suggestion of striations on dorsal scales, the striac being located above the main canals of the scales which are visible in some of the specimens.

The description of the coloration of the younger type specimen given by Fischer states that the light lines begimning on the rostral are yellow anteriorly, becoming more rose posteriorly, giving a rosy tone to the last two thirds of the back. The broad, dark lateral streak on the sides of the body is mixed with yellow and rosy light spots. The markings agree in most details with those previously given.

Remarks. The species apparently is most closely related to altamirani. These forms, together with managuae, constitute a clearly defined group whose relationships are with western Asiatic, rather than with any other group on the American continent. (See discussion under the Taeniolatus group.) Fischer (1884) compares it with Mabuia brevirostris (Eumeces brevirostris) as the most
closely related species in the New World. However, the relationship with this form is no closer than with any other known species outside of its own group.

Little is known of its habits. Two labels, on National Museum specimens, with notes by Harry Malleis, their collector, which state: "caught in a trap" and eaught "in hot sun" in trap, suggest diumal habits. Whether the species is ovoviviparous could not be determined from the specimens examined. I presume that it is an arboreal form.


Fig. 6. Distribution of the species of the Schwartzei group in Mexico and Central America

Distribution. The records available suggest that schwartzei is a lowland forest form, occupying the lowland territory cast and south of the isthmus of Tehuantepec, to and including Guatemala.

Locality records.
Caxpeche: Island in the Laguna de Términos. (Type locality) (Hamburg 1; type).
Yucatan: Chichen-Itzá (M.C.Z. 1 Shattuck) (Mich. 1).
Gutamala: Remate, Petén (U.S.N.M. 2. H. Malleis Coll.) ; Chuntuqui, Petén (U.S.N.M. 1. H. Malleis Coll.) ; Uaxactun, Petén (M.C.Z. 1).

## Eumcces altamirani Dugès

(Plate 2; Fig. 6)
SYNONYMY
1s91. Eumecfs altrmirami Dugès. La Naturaleza. (2), 1 (185-1-1890), 1891, pp. 485,486, pl. XIIl (in color) with 6 figs. (type description; type locality, "regiones cálidas del Estado de Michoacán," Mexico; Altamirano Coll.); (Platypholis is suggested as a generic name, but not used) ; and idem. (2), II, 1894, pp, 480 and 485 (Apatzingan); Boulenger, Zoöl. Record, $1893, \mathrm{pp}$. 1-38 (notes that Platypholis Dugès is preoccupied by Platypholis Boulenger, 1890).

History. This species was founded on a single specimen which was discovered in the low part of Michoacán (regiones cálidas) and forwarded to Alifredo Dugè by Dr. Fernando Altamirano, then director of the Instituto Médico Nacionál. Dugès published a good description in either the latter part of 1890 or the first part of 1891 (probably the latter), together with a hand-colored plate. This figure is satisfactory for the general color markings and the body contour. The details shown, however, are very untrustworthy.

In a later publication, Dugès lists the form and gives Apatzingan (Apatzingan de la Constitución, Michoacín) as a locality, presumably referring to this as the type locality, since no additional specimen is mentioned. The author appears to have been unaware of the description of Eumeces schurartzei by Fischer, published in 1884, and considers his species to be related to Eumeces hallowelli Bocourt, and makes a comparison of the form with Eumeces Bocourti Boulenger.

I was able to make an examination of the type specimen, now in the Alfredo Dugès Museum, Guanajuato, Guanajuato, Mexico, and concluded that it represents a species distinct from, but most closely related to, Eumeces schuartzei. It is more distantly related to the recently described Eumeces managuae Dunn.

Diagnosis. A member of the Schwartzei group. A large species lacking typical dorsolateral or lateral light lines; likewise, lacking a median line bifurcating on head. General color light yellowbrown, with small blackish spots on the seales; no elongate black stripe on head continuing to middlle of the body. Three or four nuchals, followed by 12-11 widened body scales, in turn followed by 45 very broad, median seales, making a total of 59 from parietals to above anus; median preanal scales very large, their edges overlapping the small adjoining scales bordering the anus; heel plates not greatly enlarged; parietals inclose the interparietal; four supraoculars. Scales in 19 rows; one postmental; one postnasal; eight upper labials.

Description of species* (from the type, an unnumbered specimen in the Alfredo Duges Musemm, (iuanajuato, Mexieo).

Rostral moderate, thiangular. wider than high; supranasals in contact forming a suture slightly more than half their length; frontonasal large, broadly in contact with frontal, forming sutures with the anterior loreals, whech are smaller than those with supranasals or the preirentals; latter pentagonal. their sutures with the fromtal only lightly smatler than those with the frontomasal; sutures with the two loreats nearly equal, ase these with the first superciliaries and first supraoculars. Frontal angular anteriorly and posterionly. relatively narrow, touching two supranculars; frontoparietals in contact (their size camot be determined because of a wound; the same is likewise true of the interparietal) ; parietals narrowly in contact behind the interparietal; latter followed by a small scale narrowly separated from it by the union of the parietals, and partially separating the first pair of nuchals; nuchals wide, three on one side. four on other.

Nasal moderate. followed by a single postnasal; two loreals; three presuboculars. four-five postsuboculars; primary temporal forming a suture with the lower secondary, separating the eighth labial from the large upper secondary temporal; tertiary temporal present: eight upper labials; three supereiliaries touching first supraocular; last of the series large (regarded by Dugès as a fifth supraocular) : postmental single, followed by three pairs of chinshields, the first two separated by a single seate. Ear opening oral, with four lobules on the anterior border; lower eyelid with six enlarged semitransparent plates.

Scales in 19 rows about the middle of the body, the nuchals followed by 12-11 widened body scales which are followed by 45 large, transversely widened scales, making a total of 59 seales in a row from parietals to above anus; median preanal seales greatly enlarged, the outer smaller, the inner scales overlapping the outer scales; plates bordering heel not so large as in schuartzei; lamellar formula for fingers: $6 ; 10 ; 12 ; 13 ; 9$; adpressed limbs widely separated. Character of seale pits not discernible.

Color (in alcohol). Cieneral color light yellow-brown, with a few scattered black dots on the head; the occipital and nuchal region lighter than rest of body, and without marking; the median dorsal seales are of a darker shade than those of neek, each seale with one

[^6]or more small, blackish dots, placed more or less irregularly, not forming lines; along the sutures of the median series an unspotted line extends to the tail, outlined by a row of brown dots along the middle of the first lateral scale row, one dot on each seale; a second unspotted line follows the first and second scale rows with a broad, brown band, darkest on neek, and is flecked and reticulated with lighter color; on the sides of the head, it is represented by a series of heavy brown dots or spots on the edge of the labials; the fifth scale row has a series of dark dots from axilla to groin; unregenerated part of the tail with brown dots on each scale; on the regenerated part these are scattered; apparently unspotted below.

| Head length | 15 | Body width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head width | 14 | Tail (reg.) |

Body length .............. 68
Remarks. As I was unable to make a complete examination of the type, much detail is lacking in the description. It differs from both schwartzei and managuae by the very different color patern, but is undoubtedly more closely related to schwartzei.

Distribution and locality records. Only the type locality, Apatzingan, Michoacán, Mexico, is known. (See Fig. 6 for distributional map.)

## Eumeces managuae Dunn

(Plate 3; Figs. 6, 7, 8)
SYNONYMY
1887. Eumeces taeniolatus (Non Blyth) Boulenger. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887, p. 383 ("India;" Brief description).
1933. Eumeces managuae Dunn. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., 46, 1933, pp. 67, 68. (Type description. Type locality Managua, Nicaragua.)

History. This striking species, since the publication of the third volume of Boulenger's catalogue, has been masquerading under the name of an Indian species, Eumeces tacniolatus. In this work a short description of a specimen is given, but no locality data other than "India," and no collector's name is given.

Owing to my discovery that Eurylepis taeniolatus Blyth and Plestiodon scutatus Theobald were founded on the same types, it was apparent that Boulenger's specimen belonged to an unnamed species. In 1932 Mr. H. W. Parker, of the British Museum, kindly furnished me with photographs of this specimen, which were clear enough to permit a detailed study of the scales as well as the color

[^7]markings. It was obvious after an examination of the photographs, that the rehationship was with Eumeces altamirani and Eumeces schuartzei, rather than with an Indian species, and that the species was an undescribed form, probably from Central or South Americat

Apparently no further specimens reached any musemm until 1932, when a speeimen was diseovered in the aviation field at Managua, Nicaragua, by James H. Iry, and forwarded to the United States National Museum through Dr. S. S. Cook. It was deseribed by Dr. E. R. Dumn, Mar. 24, 1933. The type is now U.S.N.MI. No. 89474.

Dumn called attention to the fact that, "In some ways each of the American Species [i. e., Eumeces schurartzci and E. managuac| is more like one of the Indian species than it is like its Ameriean relative." It is presumed that he meant that managuae was more like taeniolatus Boulenger than it was like schuartzei; but he did not consider the possibility that they were identical. Dunn gives a key to a part of this group of Eumeces, based upon the number of nuchals, placing the two American species (he does not consider Eumeces altamirani Dugès in a group having 14-17 pairs of nuchals; the two presumed Indian forms in the group having $4-5$ nuchals. As a matter of fact both the Indian and American species have practically the same number of nuchals. Dumn has mistaken the widened body seales following the nuchats for true nuchats, and these are present in Eumeces taeniolatus Blyth, averaging about 12 in number, which by Dumn's interpretation would give 16 nuchals, and consequently would not differ in this character from the American forms.

Diagnosis. A large species, a member of the Sehuartzei group, eharacterized by a median series of greatly expanded seutes, extending from the shoulders to a point near the base of the tail; inner preanal scutes overlapping the outer; nostril pierced in a very small nasal directly above the suture of the rostral and first labial; upper palpebral series all in contact with the supereiliaries; four pairs of expanded nuchals; two tertiary temporals, not strongly differentiated; one postmental; a postnasal; three presuboculars; two pairs of postlabials; large auricular lobules; terminal lamellae of toes bound tightly about base of claws; two greatly enlarged heel plates; subeaudals transversely widened; no differentiated lateral postanal scute; adpressed limbs widely separated; brown, dark lined, above.

Deseription of type. (U.S.N.MI. No. 89474.) A large species.

The rostral broad, relatively low, the part visible above forming a very obtuse angle, and much less in area than the frontonasal; supranasals large, transersely placed, forming a median suture; frontonasal much larger than the prefrontals, rounded anteriorly, laterally in contact with the anterior loreals; prefrontals generally pentagonal. forming sutures with the frontonasal, frontal, second loreal, first supraocular, first loreal, and first superciliary, the sutures varying in length from larger to smaller in the order named; frontal somewhat rounded anteriorly, with a small pointed tip posteriorly, which touches the interparictal; frontoparictals much


Fig. 7. Eumeces managuae Dunn. U.S.N.M. No. 89474; Managua, Nicaragua. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 15 mm .; width, 13 mm .
smaller than the prefrontals (one abnormally fails to touch the second supraocular, allowing the third supraocular to contact the frontal) ; interparietal narrowing to a blunt point behind, in contact with nuchals; parietals about three fifths as wide as long.

Four supraoculars normally (the fourth divided, forming five on the right side); four pairs of broad nuchal scales (the left anterior small), followed by several widened body scales; nasal small, merely a rim about the nostril, save for a minute triangular moiety at the upper anterior corner; nostril very large, pierced in the nasal directly above the suture of rostral and first labial; nasal probably not divided, although there is a trace of a groove from nostril to the supranasal and perhaps another to the rostral (certainly not to the first labial as is true of most American Eumeces).

Powthasal nearly as karge as nawal: anterior loreal latge, much wider at top than botom, mukh higher than second loreal; second loreal a litte longer than high; thee well-defined presuboculars (a character shared only with Eumeces of the Schatataci group) ; nine-cight superciliaries, the anterior narrow, clongate, as is the last and of about same size; a minute preocular, narrowly in contact with the loreal, with wo small seales above amel behind it; two very small postoculars; four postuboculars, the upher large, of same size as the last superciliary; primary temporal rectangular, broadly in contact with the large fan-shaped lower secombary ; upper secondary rather angular, bordered posteriorly he the muchat but in contart with the uper and larger of the two tertiary temporals."

Of the anterior pair of postlabials the lower sale is largest; these followed by a second pair of which the upper is largest; eight upper labials, five preceding the subocular (nine on right side, where the third appears to be segmented) ; six lower labials; mental with a labial border slightly greater than the rostral; postmental relatively smatl. narrow; three pairs of chinshields, only the anterior pair in contact; first postgenial small, bordered internally by a larger and longer scale; upper palpebral scales small, directly touching superciliaries throughout the greater part of the series. Lower palpebrals small. with a series of six or seven enlarged semitransparent scales separated from the subocular by two rows of granules. Line separating the postauricular series from the lateral nuchals forms a strong diagonal. Ear opening large, with thee (or two) lobules; about 23 scales around ear.
Scales from parictals to above the anus, 69, arranged as follows: four pairs of nuchals, followed by thirteen pairs of widened body scales. which are in turn followed by fifty-two much widened median scales five or six times as wide as long; scales around anterior nuchal region, 30 ; about constricted portion of neck, 23 ; about axillary region, 25; about middle of body, 17 rows; 13 about base of tail; lateral and ventral scales much widened; subcaudals greatly widened, five or six times as wide as long; no well-defined area of granular seales back of insertion of the forelimb (usually not more than two short rows) ; a few gramules behind insertion of hind limb; the intercalated scale series of the axillac disappear before a distance equal to forearm to elbow is reached.

Twelve scales about insertion of forearm; palm with an outer

[^8]wrist tuberele moderately well defined, with four or five smaller posterior tubercles, and three large padlike anterior seates surrounded by smaller granules; fingers with four rows of seales to tip, the formula for the ventral lamellae being: $7 ; 10 ; 11 ; 11 ; 9$. The terminal upper scale is very small and is, with the terminal lower lamella, tightly bound about the base of the claw, allowing apparently but little movement of the claw; seventeen scales about insertion of hind limb; two greatly enlarged triangular seales on heel and a single enfarged scale on the sole surrounded by smaller, granular, slightly imbricating seales; lamellar formula for toes: 6; $9 ; 13 ; 14 ; 9$. Toes with four scale series, the terminal ones same as on fingers.

Color and markings. Above generally a sepia or bistre, the ground color of sides lighter; the head dark, due to numerous angular dark areas. Two dark, more or less continuous lines begin on parictals and continue along the middle of the back, but become obsolete on the base of tail. A second, somewhat less distinct, dark line begins on the second seale row while similar dark lines follow the third and fourth rows to tail, that on the fourth row being best defined; fifth, sixth, and seventh rows with less-distinct dotted lines; limbs with dotted lines; scales of tail above, each with a darker area, not forming lines. The ventral surface of head, body, and limbs cream white; subcaudal seales strongly dotted with dark gray or blackish; upper and lower labials light, each with a strongly defined dark spot.

Measurements of Eumeces managuae Dunn

| Museum Number. Sex. | $\underset{\substack{\text { U.S.N.MI } \\ 59474 \\ \delta^{7}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { British Mus. } \\ & 53,8,17,6 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent. | 117 | 116 |
| Snout to eye. | 7.8 | 7.2 |
| Snout to ear. | 17.4 | 17 |
| Snout to foreleg. | 33 | 32.5 |
| Axilla to groin | 65 | 66 |
| Foreleg. | 20 | 19.7 |
| IInd leg. | 26 | 26.7 |
| Width of body | 15 | 15 |
| Width of head. | 13 | 14.5 |
| Length of head. | 15 | 14.4 |
| Postanal width. | 10 | 10.2 |
| Tail. |  | 168* |

[^9]Variation. The specimen from the British Museum, deseribed by Boulenger as Eumeces taeniolatus (No. 53, 8, 17, 6) differs for the most part in only minor details. The supraculars are 4-4. (Boulenger has mistaken the last large supereiliary for a fifth supraocular) ; supereiliaries 8-8; upper lathials $7-7$; the number of scales around the neek, body, and tail are identical with the type.

Two points of difference may be noted, both of which tre within the expected range of ratiation. One is, that the interparietal is inclosed by the parietals, a character which, if foumd constant, might warrant giving the specimen a different designation. (This char-


Fig. 8. Eumeces managuae Dunn. British Mus. No. 53, 8, 17, 6. A, lateral view of head; $B$, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 14.4 mm .; width, 14.5 mm .
acter, while usually constant in Eumeces, is variable also in Ermeces schwartzei.) The other character is the presence of only five paired scales following the nuchals instead of thirteen pairs as occurs in the type. However, there is only a difference of two seales in the total number from parietals to above anus.

That the total number of broadened dorsal seales varies and likewise the number of the paired scales between the nuchals and the broadened scales is shown by the variation in both Eumeces taeniolatus Blyth and Eumeces schurartzei. In the former the paired scales are known to vary from 12 to 16 (four specimens) ; in the latter from 10 to 13 (six specimens). Larger series will probably show a much greater variation.

Save for the fact that the color markings of the British Museum specimen have faded, they are identical with those of the type.

An examination of the table of measurements shows that the two specinems are almost exactly the same size, differing seareely more than one millimeter in any measurement.

Remams. That so large a species should exist in Central America and remain unknown save for the two mentioned specimens suggests that the epecies may even be eventually discovered in northern south America. Nothing is known of its habits.

Distribution and loculity recorls. Only the type locality is known. (See Fig. 6 for distributional map.)

## TAENIOLATUS GROUP

Only a single Asiatic species, tarmolatus, is here included. It is characterized by four or five pairs of nuchals, followed first by paired scales, then directly by a much widened median series of scales. A large postnasal present; two (rarely one) postmentals; frontal in contact with the interparietal, which is not inclosed by parietals. Limbs small, widely separated when adpressed; heel plates not much enlarged; upper palpebral scales not in contact with superciliaries; terminal lamellae of toes not bound tightly about base of claws. Imer preanal scales overlap outer; three supraoculars touch frontal; two presuboculars; last labial separated from ear by about four pairs of postlabials. Twenty-one scale rows. As remarked under the Schwartzei group. I regard the fusion of the median scale series (incomplete in schwartzci group) as a character possibly independently arrived at in the two groups. The form has no elose relatives, but it probably has more specialized characters in common with the sehenciderii group than with any of the others.
It is quite probable that in the material here considered there is more than one species. The specimens in European museums should be segregated and reviewed. (Note comments of Parker under rariation.) The specimen here described differs considerably from the characters shown in a photograph of the type, but to what extent this is due to the cighty years of preservation of the type I cannot say.

# Enomerestarnioletus（Blyth） <br>  <br> ぶざいざいリ 
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］W－Ermects scutatus Boulenerr．Cot．I．iz．Brit．Nus．．III，1sai，n． 382 （Sind，Punjal），
 I．ombon．Dec．1－91，p．Dies（Puli Hatun［Pul－i－Khatun］，Transeaspia）；Nikolsky，Mem． trad．Imp．Sci．St．Petersburg．NVII，No．1，1905，pp．1st－155；Mthailuvski， （Yearb，Zoül．Mus．Imp．Acad．Sci．St．Petersburg，Russian Text），1X，1904，1， 41 （Durun，near Askhabad and Bakharder）；Annandale．Journ．Asiat．Soc．Bengal（new
 N．Liashmir，Chitral［Daly（ioll．］，Afghanistan［Gren Coll．］）：Deriugin（Proc．St． Petersburg Naturalists soe．linssian Text），XXXVI，jt． 1 and 3．futhors separate （Andera，near Sumbar，Transeaspia）：N゙kolsky（Famna Russia and Neighboring Coun－ tries．Russian Text），1915，1，P． 508 （Reports specinens ohtained hy Vasiliev，1904． Arvaz Pass at Liorpet－dag）：Ingoldsly and Proctor，Journ．Bombay Nat．Hist．Soc． KXIX，Apr．20，1923，1． 120 （Kaur Bridge．Ladha，Wana，in Waziristan，N．W． Frontier Province）．
History．The two first specimens of this species were，so far as is known，collected in the Salt range in Punjab，by William Theo－ bald．who was，at that time，a member of the Geological Survey of India．In 1sit Blyth，curator of the Zoological Department of the Muscum of the Asiatic Society of Bengal，described the same two specimens under the name of Eurylepis tacniolatus，at the same time making them the type of a new genus．The descriptions leave much to be desirect．The characters of the head scales are said to be as in Anolis pare and Scincus pazimentatus Geoffroy－St．Hillaire， in Savigny，Desc．Egypt．It is apparent that a very hasty examina－ tion of the details of the animals was made，for later authors have pointed out errors in the description．In 1866 （1868）Theobald，in preparing a catalogue of the reptiles in the Museum of the Asiatic Society of Bengal，describes Plestiodon scutatus as a new species， from two adult specimens without data regarding locality or col－ lector．It seems apparent that these two are really the types of Blyth＇s Eurylepis tacmiolatus，since the catalogue apparently takes
no cognizance of other specimens, or of the species taeniolatus. Fortunately the description is clear and the more essential characters are recorded. The measurement of the total length is somewhat different ( 9.75 as to 9 inches; tail length, 5.75 as to $5 \frac{1}{4}$ inches). The second of the two specimens may have been measured. In 1870 T. C. Jerdon obtained and reported a specimen, which was identified as scutatus, from the Alpine Punjab on the route from Jhelum into Kashmir. This specimen was apparently sold to the British Museum and is now No. $70,11,29,9$ in that institution.

Anderson (1871), while discussing the genus Eurylepis, gives a careful and a somewhat more extended description of the types of Eurylepis taeniolatus Blyth. He states: "Both Blyth and Theobald have fallen into some inaccuracies regarding certain of their characters. The former says that the nostril is pierced in a small, separate, nasal shield, an error repeated by Theobald. Mr. Blyth also states that the lower eyelid has a translucent disk, but Mr. Theobald more accurately describes it as scaley with a transverse row of large plates. He, however, says the body is surrounded by 23 rows of scales, while the two specimens exhibit only 21 in the middle of the body, and Blyth limited them to 19."

It is self-evident that Anderson regarded the types of both species to have been founded on the same specimens, and places scutatus Theobald as an absolute synonym of taeniolatus Blyth.

Stoliczka (1872) reports specimens from Kachh. Theobald (1876), in his Descriptive Catalogue of the Reptiles of British India, recognizes only one species, Eumcces tacniolatus, and places his species scutatus as a synonym and gives as measurements: length of body. 3.75 ; tail, 5.25 ; totaling 9 inches-the total length given by Blyth and perhaps an admission of his own error in the original description.
IV. J. Blanford (1875, and 2d Yarkand Mission Rept.), reports on a specimen, collected on the road from Mari to Srinagar in Kashmir, which, if indeed of this species, is one of truly enormous size ( 18 inches in total length, of which the tail [probably regenerated] is only 6 inches).

Boulenger (1887) again rescues scutatus from synonymy, deseribing the species from T. C. Jerdon's specimen and a half-grown specimen collected by Theobald, which was then in the British Museum; and from another specimen lacking all data, he describes a form as Eumeces taeniolatus. From these two descriptions it was obvious that two species were involved, a fact that was borne
out bey photographe of the two forms furnished me by Mr. H. W. Parker of the British Musemm. With the publication of the deseription of Eumeces manaquac he Dum (19:3), it became evident that Boulengers specimen was of this species and must have originated in Central America rather than India. It agrees in practically all esential details with managuae.

Due to the courtesy of Mr. H. W. Parker, I have been emabled to examine the type, and mhesitatingly place Eumeers tacnolatus Boulenger (non Blyth) as a synonym of Eumeces managuae Dunn. (Note discussion of this specimen under managuat.)

Diagnosis. A large species having a generalized pattern of three wide, hrown stripes on the body, a median and two lateral, which tend to become obscured with age and replaced by irregular series of darker angular spots. Characterized by four or five pairs of nuchals, followed by a series of paired scales, which in turn are followed by a median series of broad scales five times as broad as long, extending to near point of insertion of hind limbs; a large postnasal ; two loreals; two (rarely one) postmentals; four supraoculars, followed ber a much enlarged posterior superciliary appearing much like a fifth supraocular. Frontal in contact with interparietal, which is not inclosed by the parietals; snout narrow, compressed, the portion of the rostral visible above very large, nearly equal in area to the frontonasal. Limbs small, widely separated when adpressed; plates bordering heel subequal, not greatly enlarged; supereiliaries separated from upper palpebral seales; inner preanal scales overlapping outer.

Description of species. (From Field Museum, No. 1868, "Puli Hatun," Transcaspia.) Head small, narrowed anteriorly; body elongate, moderately slender. Portion of rostral appearing above more than two thirds the size of the frontonasal, more or less pointed behind, narrowly separating the nasals, the anterior portions of which are broadly visible above; supranasals smaller than nasals, nearly transversely placed, forming a median suture, touching postnavals, and narrowly (on one side) the first loreal; frontonasal broader than long, broadly in contact with the first loreal (on one side also with the postnasal); prefrontals relatively large, broadly in contact mesially, forming a much longer suture with the first than with the second loreal; the suture with superciliary larger than that with the first supraocular; frontal truncate anteriorly, forming a very obtuse angle, constricted medially, pusterior width equal to anterior and with a slight rounded projection on its
posterior edge in contact with the interparietal; frontal touching three supraoculars; frontoparietals smaller than prefrontals, separated narrowly ; parietals truncate behind, in general the shape of a parallelogram, not inclosing the interparietal, separated or only minutely in contact with the fourth supraocular, being separated by the very large posterior superciliary which appears like a fifth supraocular; interparietal of moderate size, and of typical shape; four pairs of broad nuchals; nasal large, higher than wide, the nostril pierced anterior to the rostrolabial suture, distinctly divided by grooves, the anterior part very much the larger; postnasal large (loreal, according to Boulenger [1887]), equally in contact


Fig. 9. Eumeces taeniolatus (Blyth). E.H.T. Collection, No. 4888; Puli Hatun, Transcaspia. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 13 mm .; width, 11 mm .
with the first two labials, much higher than posterior part of nasal; first loreal nearly as large as second, higher than second, nearly as long as high, touching secoud and third labials; second loreal only minutely longer than high; two presuboculars, the anterior touching two labials; four very umequal postsuboculars; one small preocular; two small postoculars; eight superciliaries, last as large as first; upper palpebral scales separated from superciliaries by a complete series of scales on upper eyelids; three enlarged plates on lower eyelid, separated from the subocular by three irregular rows of tubercles; primary temporal of moderate size; upper secondary temporal large, widened posteriorly ; lower secondary somewhat fanshaped, very narrowly in contact with primary; tertiary temporal elongated, forming a suture with upper secondary, separated from ear by three scales.

Eight upper labials, the eighth somewhat larger than seventh; five labials preceding the subocular, the suture of first with the rostral about two thirds the height of the scale; last labial separated from the ear by four pairs of postlabial seales, covering a distance much greater than the length of last labial, the upper seales of the first two pairs much the largest of the series, the others decreasing in size; extent of the mental on the labial border distinetly greater than that of rostral; two postmentals, the first much shorter than mental; first pair of chinshields shortest and smallest, in contact; second pair largest; third pair much narrower than second, their posterior edge rounded; these followed by a pair of elongated postgenial scales, not strongly differentiated from other seales following chinshields, each bordered on its inner edge by a scale similar in shape and size.

Ear opening relatively small, with three auricular lobules, upper much the largest; about $2 \underline{2}$ scales surround the ear; line separating the postauricular seales and lateral neek seales, distinct, vertical; line separating the lateral neek scales from the suprabrachials arises above anterior point of insertion of arm; about 81 seales from parietals to above anus: these consist of four pairs of nuchals followed by twelve paired widened scales, these followed by 57 single median scales, a little more than five times as broad as long; then follows eight paired scales; 32 rows of scales around neek behind ear; 27 about narrow part of neck; 29 in axillary region; 21 about middle of body; twelve about base of tail; lateral rows parallel, the seales on sides smallest; six preanal seales, the median pair very large, almost as long as wide; the median preanals overlap the outer scales; the posterior line of the preanal seale not or but slightly differentiated; small series of seales on posterior anal border missing; a series of broad subeaudal seales; regenerated tail with a broad dorsal series.

Limbs relatively small; about fifteen seales about insertion of arm, with two rows of minute granules in axilla ; 21 about insertion of hind leg, with one or two rows of minute granules behind insertion. Palm with a scattered series of large, flat tubercles, interspered with smaller tubercles; outer wrist tubercle not strongly differentiated; lamellar formula of fingers: $6 ; 8 ; 12 ; 13 ; 7$. Fourth toe only slightly longer than third; six subequal scales forming a continuous series on heel; sole with numerous larger, seattered, tuberculate scales; lamellar formula of toes: $6 ; 9 ; 14 ; 15 ; 11$; claws long, the upper terminal lamella hood-like, not tightly bound
about base of claw; toes surrounded by only dorsal and ventral scries sare on outer side of the proximal joint.

Color. Above generally putty gray to gray-brown, the median dorsal arca bearing a browner stripe extending to tail, but growing indistinct posteriorly, bearing quadrangular brown spots more or less irregularly distributed and not forming rows; spots more numerous anteriorly; first lateral scale row with a regular series of brown dots on alternate scales; on sides another brownish stripe covering part of the second, third, and fourth rows; scales of the second, thind, and fourth rows with brown spots usually appearing on every other scale, frequently forming vertical series; a few small, whitish flecks on lateral scales altemating with the vertical series of brown dots; head colored like body with a few brown flecks along margins of seales: upper labials generally light, slightly edged with brownish; the temporal scales with definite brown spots; entire ventral surface immaculate cream, the color extending up to fourth scale row but becoming slightly tinged with bluish gray; however, the fifth row has an irregular series of brown spots; regenerated tail fawn-colored with very small irregular brown spots.

Measurements of Eumeces taeniolatus (Blyth)

| Museum <br> Number* | Field 1865 | $\underset{4 S, S}{\text { E.H.T. }}$ | $\underset{4370}{\text { M.C.Z. }}$ | $\underset{4493}{\text { M.C.Z. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M.C.Z. } \\ 7192 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent. | 105 | 98.2 | 132 | 117 | 104 |
| Tail. |  | 154 |  | 178 |  |
| Total length. |  | 252.2 |  | 295 |  |
| Snout to foreleg | 24 | 25.2 | 33 | 28.5 |  |
| Snout to ear | 16 | 15 | 16 | 14 |  |
| Snout to eye | 6 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5 |  |
| Axilla to groin | 69 | 63 | 86 | 76 | 67 |
| Width of body . | 14 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Width of head. | 11 | 10.4 | 14 | 13 |  |
| Length of head. | 13 | 12.2 | 15 | 152 |  |
| Foreleg. | 20 | 19 | 22 | 23 |  |
| nind leg | 24 | 22.5 | 33 | 30 | 24 |
| Longest toe. | 9 | 8.2 | 9 | 8 | 7 |

[^10]The number of scale rows is $\supseteq 1$ normally; a single specimen (Amballa, Ind.) has 19. Blyth's statement of 19 seales in the type is contradicted (see History). All the other specimens have 21. Scale rows behind car about neck, 32-3:3: about constrieted part of neck, $27-29$; about axil!a, 29-30; ; ahout base of tail, 13-15. Three sperimens have the upper labials, cight-eight; one, seven-seven (Amballa). Scales about car rary $20-21$; there are four pairs of nuchals in allf the number of pairs of divided median seales rary from 12-12 (Puli-Hatum) to 15-16 (Karachi). All show only four suptaofulars. but the last supereiliary is enlarged and might be mistaken for a fifth; postmental divided; postnasal large in all. Boulenger interprets this scale as a loreal seale making three loreals; its position and relationship to adjoining seales makes it imperative to recognize this scale as the postnasal enlarged. The last labial (seventh or eighth) is largest; the nasal is of moderate size; the relation of the nostril to the suture is the same in all; the frontonasal and frontal are separated in all. The frontonasal touches the postnasal as well as the first loreal; three supraoculars touch the frontal, and the frontal is invariably in contact with the interparietal ; two presuboculars, normally; one in the Karachi specimen. The postsuboculars are $4-4$ or $5-5$, the anterior (inferior) ones small. not well differentiated; ear lobules 3-3 or 3-4; these are usually somewhat wrinkled or puckered; the formula of the postlabial series in front of ear usually $1 ; 1 ; 1 / 1 ; 11 ; 11$; in one specimen it is 14,11 ; 1:1. The total number of scales from parietals to above anus, 78 to 83, the lowest number being in the specimen from Amballa, India, the highest, the one from Karachi. The character of the heel and palm seales is similar in all. In all, the tertiary temporal is divided, or, two are present, the lower not touching the upper secondary temporal. The adpressed limbs are separated, in all, by six or seren scale lengths. In all, the upper palpebral scales are separated from superciliaries by a row of granules; and the inner preanal scales orerlap the outer smaller ones; Annandale (1905) points out that one of the types has two, the other, one, postmental.

The color is generally the same. The stripes apparently are more definite in younger specimens. The whitish dots on the side rary in distinctness; the annulation of the tail is more marked in Transcaspian specimens.

The very large specimen mentioned by Blanforl gives a maximum snout to vent measurement of approximately 175 mm .

Concerning a British Museum specimen from El Kubar, S. W. Arabia, Mr. H. W. Parker writes:
"The El Kubar specimen might conceivably be racially distinct, but on the basis of a single specimen it would. I think, be very unwise to describe it. The color pattern is more intense than in other specimens, so that the lateral and middorsal dark bands, instead of being composed of spots, are solid from the forelimbs forward and the middorsal extends forward over the head to the rostral ; the lower surface of the tail is beset with brown spots like its upper surface."

Distribution. The present known distribution is western Asia from S. W. Arabia to Yarkand, including Transcaspia, Persia, Afghanistan. Baluchistan and northwestern India. It is not known from Asia Minor or Trans-Caucasia.


Fig. 10. Distribution in Asia of Eumeces tacniolatus (Blyth) O; Eumeces zarudnyi Nikolsky $\square$ and Eumeces princeps (Eichwald) $\triangle$. Distributional data on Eumeces princeps are very incomplete.

Locality records. (In certain cases I have not been able to check identifications of material on which some of the locality records are based, since they are in European or Asiatic museums.)
Arabra: El Kubar, S. W. Arabia (Brit. Mus. 1. Bury Coll.).
Transcaspra: Puli Hatun (Pul-i-Khatum) (Brit. Mus. 8, Eylandt Coll.); Bacharden (Senckenberg 1, A. Zander Coll.); Ai Dare (Senckenberg 1, O. Boettger Cohl.) ; Arvuz Pass at Kopet-dag (Nikolsky, 1915); Durun near Askhabad and Bakharden (Mikhailovski, 1904); Andera near Dumbar (Univ. of Petrograd 2; Nikolsky, 1915).

Baltemistan: Kondalo (München 1. Znemerer Coll.): Bela (München 1, Zugmeyer Coll.).
Afghnistin: (Indian Mus., Green Coll.; Amandale, 1905).
1Noln: Sind (Indian Mus.) ; Rajputana (Indian Mus., N. Billety Coll.) ; N. Kashmir (Indian Mus., 2d Yarkand Mise.) ; (hitral (Indian Mus., F. J. Daly Coll.) ; Waziristan N. W. India, Kan Bridge (7 spec.), Ladha (S spec.), Wana (19 spec.) (Ingoldsby and Proctor, 1923) ; Punjab (type locality. Mus. As. Soc. Bengal 2) ; Alpine Punjab on the route from Jhelum into Kishmir (Brit. Mus. 1, Jerdon Coll.) ; Urira, N. W. Kachh (Stoliczka, 1572) ; Chakoti on the road from Mari to Srinigar in Kashmir (Blanford, 2 d Yarkand Miss.).

## SCHNEIDERII GROUP

The species and subspecies included in this group are characterized by the absence of a postnasal; the palpebral scales separated from the supereiliaries; one or two postmental shields; the more median preanal scales overlap the outer ones; a rather large area of small granular or parement-like scales behind insertion of hind limb; when hind limb is laid back along tail a small pocket is formed lateral to anus.

The forms included in the group are Eumeces algeriensis algeriensis, E. algeriensis meridionalis, E. pavimentatus, E. zarudnyi, E. princeps and E. schneidcrii.

The taxonomy of this group, occupying territory in western Asia and northern Africa, has long been in a confused condition. That certain forms were long known before the time of Limacus is evidenced by a form appearing about 1640 in a work by Ulyssis Aldrovandi (Quad. Digit. Ovip., Lib. 1, p. 660) under the name Lacerta Cyprius scincoides, a name placed as a synonym of Lacerta aurata by Limacus in the 10th edition of Systema Naturae. It appears, however, fairly certain that the Laccrta aurata* is a species quite distinct from the Cyprian lizard illustrated by Aldrovandi.

The first "Linnacan" name applicable to any skink of this group is Scincus schneiderii of Daudin (1802, Vol. IV, pp. 291-292), which he decrribes as follows: "Major, supra lucidus fuscescens lineâ longiturlinale pallidâ in utroque latere, subtùs albescens caudâ duplò longioré." In the synonymy he cites several references Seba. Schneider, Gronovius, Lacépède), all to authors who used names which are non-Limnacan. He states: "J'ai rapporté à l'anolis

[^11]doré la plupart des synonymes qui ont été regardés par Lacépède comme semblables au scinque doré; mais je dois avouer ici que j’ai cru nécessaire de m'y déterminer, dans l'espoir qu'on pourra parvenir dans la suite à eclaircir cette partie réelment obscure de l'histoire naturelle des sauriens."

One gathers from the text that the skink Scincus schneiderii is described from a specimen in "la galerie du museum d'Histoire Naturelle" (Paris)."

This species is compared with the scinque rembruni. He further states: "Sa coulcur est d’un brun clair très-luisant en dessus, lorsqu'il court an soleil; mais il ne paroît pas avoir l'éclat de l'or pendant qu'il est vivant; aussi ne peut-on pas lui paisser l'épithete de doré; c'est pourquoi j'ai préféré lui donner celle de schneidérien, La couleur d'un brun clair, que régne dessus ce grand scinque, est tranchée sur chaque flanc par une ligne droite et longitudinale blanchâtre, que va depuis les bras jusque auprès des cuisses; le dessous de cet animal est blanchâtre, sans aucune tache et sans aucun grain poreux sons les cuisses. La queue est cylindrique, et deux fois environ aussi longue que le reste. Tous les écailles qui la recourrent sont rhomboidales, presque hexagones et un peu imbriquées."

The measurements given (rectuced to millimeters) are: total length, approximately 392 mm .; head and body, 114 mm .; tail, 278 mm .; hind leg, 46 mm .; front legs, "sont plus courtes."

Shaw (1802), under the name Lacerta rufescens, describes a species (probably from Seba, p. 112, taf. 105, fig. 3), giving as the habitat Arabia, Egypt and Cyprus, and placing Lacerta Cyprius scincoides, Lacerta aurata? L., and Lacerta maritima maxima Seba as synonyms. It appears that he had not seen Daudin's work, which was probably published when Shaw's description was written. The characters offered are as follows: Fifteen inches or more in length from nose to the end of the tail, color pale rufous brown, with a paler stripe down the back and along each side; the head is covered in front with large angular scales; the body, limbs and tail with rounded ones; legs short and thick. It is highly probable that Shaw's name represents a composite of more than one species, and cannot be certainly identified.

In 1820 Merrem (Syst. Amph., 3, 1820, p. 71) used the name Cepedii, based on Lacépède's description of Le Doré. Since the

[^12]description is from a specimen which is the type of schneiderii it is obrious that these names are syonyms. In Sarigny's Description de l'Egypte (Histoire Naturelle Reptiles. published presumably in 1827) appears deseriptions of two forms, one, Scincus schnciderii (p. 135, pl. 3, fig. 3; L'molis giganterque), a more or less uniformly colored specimen with a light lateral stripe; and a second speeies, Scincus pavimontatus (p. 135, pl. IV. fig. 4), represented as being brown with light torsal lines. Thus pavimentatus is apparently the first name for the species having a series of dorsal, light, narrow lines.

The name Scincus cypmius of Curier (1829, Reg. Anim., 2d Ed., p. $6 \underline{2}$ ) was used for a form occurring in "Levante," and harks back to the Lacerta Cyprius scincoides of Aldrovandi, and Eumeces schneidcrii, portrayed by Geoffroy-St. Hillaire. Gray (1831) used the name Tiliqua cyprimus, but I am uncertain whether this was intended as a new name or is an error or emendation for Cuvier's cyprius.

Duméril and Bibron (1839. V, p. 701) describe the skinks of north Africa under the name Plcstiodon aldrovandii, including a specimen from Bônc, Algeria, and two from Egypt, one of which, if I interpret correctly, served as the type of Le Doré Lacépède, and of Scincus schneidcrii Daudin. In consequence, it is, at least in part, a synonym of schnciderii. In the list of synonyms is given one of the forms listed as l'Anolis gigantesque and Scincus schneiderii by Geoffroy-St. Hillaire in the Descript. Egypt ; but Geoffroy-St. Hillarie's other form, Scincus pavimentatus, apparently is overlooked, or at least no allocation of this name could be found. It is mentioned on page 629 in a quotation from Wiegmann.

The discussion given by Duméril and Bibron makes it evident that Lacerta aurata Linné is a species different from aldrovandii. They also give a discussion of other synonyms of aldrovandii, but offer no reason for disregarding the appellation given by Daudin. The Algerian specimen listed is very likely a specimen of Eumeces algcriensis.

Eichwald (1839) described as new a species (princeps) from western Asia ("In ora caspia oceidentali, ad montes praesertim Talyschenses"). The description (in Latin) is good and refers to a species with the color of the head, back, limbs and tail uniform dark gray, and with a lateral light line.

From the foregoing it is evident that, with the exception of the Gcoffroy-St. Hillaires, who recognized two species, the authors who
preceded them, and those who followed for many years, believed that there was only a single species, and each devised a name of his own choosing.

It was not until Boulenger's catalogue (Vol. III) appeared in 1887 that the name schnciderii was reëstablished, the name having been overshadowed by the names pavimentatus and aldrovandii, both actually used for all the various forms of the group, which were regarded apparently as a single species. Before Boulenger's catalogue appeared, two subspecies were described: Eumeces pavimentatus var. algeriensis by Peters (1864) from the western part of north Africa; and Eumeces pavimentatus var. syriacus was described by Boettger in 1883. The type locality of the latter was "Sarona bei Jaffa, Syria." This specimen is referred by Mertens (who had ready access to the type) to the synonymy of schneiderii pavimentatus.

As remarked, Boulenger (1887) revived Daudin's name schneiderii for the British Museum skinks of the genus (Tunis, Egypt, Syria, Armenia, Persia, Baluchistan) and retained Peter's pavimentatus algeriensis for the species occurring in Algeria and Morocco under the specific designation of algeriensis.

In 1899 Nikolsky described Eumeces zarudnyi from Persian specimens collected by N. A. Zarudny in the provinces of Kirman and Seistan, Persia. Domergue (1909) later described a subspecies, algoriensis meridionalis, from Ain Sefra, Algeria.

Robert Mertens (1920), in a paper under the title "Uber die geographischen Formen von Eumeces schneiderii Daudin," makes a first attempt to review the group, and he later (Nov., 1924) makes a second revision. In this latter work he recognizes four subspecies of schneidcrii, namely, schneiderii, pavimentatus, cyprius and algeriensis. Schneiderii pavimentatus Geoffroy-St. Hillaire is used for the Syrian form, including as a synonym Boettger's (1883) syriaca. For the form from Algeria and Morocco the name algeriensis Peters is used, including in the synonymy a subspecies, algeriensis meridionalis Domergue, as well as Plestiodon aldrovandii (part.) Duméril and Bibron and Plestiodon duratus (part.) Gray.

He states "Nach Priufung mehrerer Stücke aus Nordafrica, bin ich zum Ergebnis gekommen, dass der Unterschied awischen Eumeces schnciderii cyprius und dicser Form [algeriensis] gar kein so grosser ist, und da diese beiden Formen nirgends nebeneinander vorkommen, halte ich es für richtiger die westliche Form als Unterart zu Eumeces scheiderii zu stellen." For the species occurring in Lower Egypt to eastern Algeria the name schnciderii cyprius Cuvier is used.

The name given by Cuvier is based on Aldrovandi's Lacerta cyprius scincoides, and on Geoffroy's plate (Dese. of Egypt, pl. III, fig. 3), which would make it in part synonymous with schnciderii. (The figure of Laccita cyprius scincoides of Aldrovandi [Quad. Dig. Vivip., 1663, p. 660 | is without tuy marks of distinction save for a light stripe on the sides, the seale being drawn with no attempt at accuracr.)

The tepical form scheiderii, Mertens believes is restricted to a west Asiatic form. He states (Mertens, 192ta, footnote): "Herr Prof. Lorenz Müller in Miunchen mathte mich kï̈rzlich darauf aufmerksam, dass der Daudin'sehen Originalbeschreibung ron Eumees sehncilerii vermutlich diese westasiatische Form zu Cirunde lag."

On what such a judgment is based I am uncertain. I presume on the meager data given as regards color. I believe beyond question that the trpe locality is Egypt or Sinai, as the trpe specimen, as already mentioned, also served as a cotype for Plestiodon aldrorandii and was one of two Egyptian speeimens mentioned as follows by Duméril and Bibron (1839): "Cette espéce se trouve en Egypte et en Algerie: nous en possedons deux individus de premier de ces deux pays; et un troisieme qui nous a été envoye vivant de la province d'Alger par M. Guyon." Again speaking of the type of Daudin's schneiderii, they state: "Individu qui existe encore aujourd’hui dans notre Musée National."

To anyone who has followed the foregoing discussion it must appear obvious that the confusion in the literature regarding these forms is almost insurmountable, and, as regards some points, must remain obscure. The placing of literature references under the rarious species must necessarily be subject to uncertainty. The uncertain references are left in the synonymy of schenciderii.

A more certain judgment of the status of the various forms of the Schnciderii group can only be obtained when large series are arailable for study. My own material is too meager and from too few localities to determine relationships. or delineate the various forms without some doubt as to the validity of my judgments.

It is a fact that as regards the general pattern of head seales there is marked similarity among many of the forms. However, there are many chamacters usually not mentioned in deseriptions which may be regarded as important in differentiation of species as is the head squamation, such as size, length of limb, scate rows on limbs, intercalated scale rows on toes, postlabial, temporal and postgenial seales.

It is likely that in these different forms there may be a tendency to duplicate color pattern. The lateral line and red, orange or copper spotting is present in several forms, and there is likely to be similar variation in two or more forms. It appears certain that, at least in parts of the territory occupied by the group, two or more forms may be present.

The task of straightening out the present tangle that obtains should involve an examination by a single person of the material in all European collections, including all types, if extant, and the segregation of large series of new material from numerous localities throughout the range of the group. Until this is done, some doubt and confusion must remain. I know of no more worthy task in the field of herpetology.

## Key to the Forms of the Scheneiderit Group.

A. No lateral line of cream, orange or red on the sides of the body; a pattern of light transverse lines extending to or nearly to abdomen; auricular lobules blunt; two scales occupy area of the typical subocular labial; postgenial scales small, about as broad as long; typical heel plates not strongly differentiated from scales that precede and follow; about 25 seale rows around upper arm, 27 rows about femur; no noteh formed by the second presubocular on the upper labial border; scales more or less striated.
B. Eight or nine unper labials; nasal divided; 70 seales from occiput to above anus; 30 scales about neck and 30 rows about middle of body; 20 to 24 about base of tail; length of frontal a little less than its distance from end of snout; subocular labial about size of the preceding labials; pre- and postsuboculars form a distinct continuous scries; median scale rows about one and threc-fourths times as wide as the adjoining scales; four or five pairs of nuchals; on inner side of fingers the serics of scales intercalated between the dorsal and ventral lamellae only at base, with a single scale near tips, except fifth, where the series is complete from base to tip; on outer side the intercalated series is complete to tip save on fifth finger; on toes on inner side one or two intercalated scales on basal phalanx; on outer side series complete to tip. Above brown, with a series of irregular crossbands of cream or orange extending to abdomen; intervening irregular rows of ocellated reddish spots. Snout to vent 185 mm . (Algeria and Morocco. Plains form.) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Eumeces algeriensis algeriensis (Peters),
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RB. Similar in many respects to E. a. algericnsis, but scale rows 27 to 28 ; one pair of nuchals; fewer scales about base of tail; 60 to 62 scales from occiput to above anus; scales of the pre- and postocular series more elongate; snout to vent 124 mm . (Ain Sefra and adjacent territory. Plateau form.)

Eumeces algeriensis meridionalis Domergue, 152
AA. A lateral line to, and sometimes continued on, tail; pattern of dorsal spots, if present, not reaching below lateral line; auricular lobules four to six, usually more or less sharply denticulate (somewhat short in blythianus); only one typical subocular; typical heel plates differentiated from adjoining scales; postgenial scales longer than wide; less than 25 scales around middle of upper arm; a more or less distinct notch in upper labial border made by second presubocular; scales not striated.
B. A single postmental. Thirty scale rows around middle of body; 59 or 60 scales from occiput to above anus; nasal divided; postgenial only slightly longer than wide; limbs elongate, overlapping when adpressed; olive-brown above, with three dark brown lines along back from head to some distance on the tail; a broad dark band along the side of the body, below which is a well-defined pale yellowish band extending from below eye to some distance on tail; a dark line below this; tail slender. Snout to vent, 90 mm . (Punjab, India.)

Eumeces blythianus (Anderson), 143

Bl3. Two postmentals.
C. Tail red at base; ear with five or six acute lohules; soalds in oth rows; limbs overlap when adpressed; unform hrownish gray, with a whish lateral line: snout to vent, 111 mm . (Loutheastern Persia [probalbly also Bahuchistan].)

Eumeces zarudnyi N゙ikolsky, 112
CC. Tail not red at base.
1). Nasal incompletely divided, lacking the lower suture from nostril to rostral: phates on lower eselings small, searcely difterentiated: liti-tis scales from occiput to above ambs; 13 seales aromm mblelle of upper arm; 20 scalue ahout femur; $2 \pm$ scale rows abont midulle of boly; 19 scales alout hase of tail; pres-and postsul oxular serine dixomtinuous or nearly so; suborular labial not laraver than wrtain prempliner labsals; anterior loreal a little longur than high: on inmer wile of fingurs one or two intercalated scalses, fifth with three: on onter side the scales half the length of the somond, third amb fourth fingers; on inner sule of toes one or two seales at hase; on onter side the seales rxtemt the length of first and secon. 1 toes half the length of the thind amb fourth. Brown with a dim dorsolateral lighter line and a strong lateral wrean line; eight rows of very narrow, discontimuous 'ream lines. Shout to veat, 134 mm . (Egypt amd syria.)

Eumeces pavimentatus ((icoffroy-St. Hillaire), 133
1)1). Nasal completely divitled.
E. Plates on lower eyelid large, much higher than wide; bt scales occiput to above anus; 17 scales about midhle of upper arm; 24 scales around middle of femur; 26 rows about body; 19 about tail; pre- and postsubocular series discontinuons, or those below eve not differentiated from granules on evelid; subocular labial large, slightly longer than high, no larger than certain preceding labials; anterior loreal much longer than high; on inner side of fingers, interealated seales only at base, save on fifth, where the series extemuls the length of the digit: on onter side the series extents the lengtl of first and second fingers, on the third and fourth on the basal phalanx only; on outer side of toes one or two intercalated scales at base only; on outer side, the series extends to tip on the first, second and third toes, about half the lengih of the fourth, and none on the fifth. Above uniform lavender or blackish gray, a light stripe from below eye to groin or on tail: below on sides very light grayish, becoming lighter below. Snout to vent, 124 mm . (Territory south of the Caspian Sea. Transcaspia, northern and eastern Persia.)

Eumects princeps (Eichwald), 138
EL. Plates on lower eyelid small, searcely higher than wirle; 66 seales occiput to above anns; 15 scales about forearm: 24 about femur; 24 scales about middle of body; pre- and postsubocular scales continuous; 109 subeaudals; subocular as high as wide, larger than preceding labials; posterior loreal not much longer than high; on inner side of fingers one or no intercalated seales; on outer side same save on thumb, where series extends to tip; on inner side of toos only one or two interalated scales at base; on outer side the series extends about half the length of first and second toes: only one or two at base of third and fourth. Above brown or olive, the median seale rows a shade darker; light spots on alternate scales of median rows extending onto tail: very dim dorsolateral lines; a slightly darker lateral band bounded below by a eream stripe from below eye; very light gray low on sides; below whitish: hind leg witl numerous spots; a few scattered spots in the dorsolateral region, or nearly uniform olive or hrown (golden). Snout to vent, 170 mm . (Syria, Arabia, l'ersia, Mesopotamia, Cyprus, Egypt, Tripoli, Tumis and eastern Algeria.)

Eumeces schneiderii (1)andin), 12;

# Eumeces schneiderii (Daudin) 

(Plates 6, 10; Fig. 1; Figs. 11, 1)<br>SYNONYMY

(Many of these titles may actually refer to species other than schneiderii.)
1800. Larerta scincus (non L.) Georgi. Geogr. Phys. Beschr. Russ. Reich., T. 3, Bd. VI, 1800 , p. 1876 (Kura) ; Hohenacker, Bull. Nat. Moscow, 1831, p. 365 (Caucasus) (possibly princeps [Eichwald]).
1802. Scincus schneiderii Daudin. Hist. Rept., IV, 1802, p. 291 (type locality not given; mresumably Egypt; I. Geoffroy-St. Hillaire, Desc. Egypt, Nat. Hist., I, 1827, p. 135, pl. III, fig. 3 (loeality not given; presumably Egypt; and figure probably from type specimen).
1802. Lacerta rufescens Shaw (part.). Gen. Zoöl., III, 1802, pp. 285-286 (pale rufous brown with a pale stripe; apparently based on Seba's figure. Arabia and Egypt, Cyprus.).
1820. Scincus cepedii Merrem. Syst. Amph., 1820, pp. 71-74.
1829. Scincus ryprius Cuvier. Reg. Anim., nouv. Ed., II, 1829, p. 62.
1831. Tiliqua cyprinus Gray. Syn. Griffith's Anim. King., IX, 1831, p. 68 (Egypt).
1832. ? Scincus officinalis (non Laur.) Dwigubuski, Mem. Soc. Nat. Moscow, 1832, p. 15, fig. 1 (In Russ.).
1839. Pléstiodon aldrovandii (part.) Duméril and Bibron. Erp. Gén., V, 1839, p. 701 (Egypt and north Africa) (includes type of Eumeces schneidcrii Daudin); Guichenot, Expl. Sc. Alger. Sc. Phys. Zool., 1850, p. 17 ; Duméril and Duméril, Cat. Meth. Coll. Rept. Mus. d'Hist. Nat., Paris, 1851, Paris, p. 164; De Filippi, Viagg. in Persia, 1865, p. 354 ; Steindachner, in Unger and Kolschy's Insel Cypern, 1865, p. 573 ; Gasco, Viagg. Egitto, pt. HI, 1876, p. 109 ; Lortet, Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat. Lyons, III, 1883, p. 187.
1845. Plestiodon auratus (part.) Gray. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 1845, p. 91 (N. Africa); Günther, Proc. Zoul. Soc. London, 1864, p. 489 (Dead Sea).
1564. Eumeces pavimentatus Peters. Mon. Berl. Ak., 1864, pr. 48, 51; Anderson, Proc. Asiat. Soe. Bengal, 1871, P. 180; Stoliczka, Journ. Asiat. Soe. Bengal, 1872, p. 121; Blanford, East Persia, Zö̈l. Geol., II, 1872, pp. 387-388 (Pishin, Baluchistan; Sarjan, S. W. Karman, Southern Persia; Niriz, East of Shiraz); Boettger, In Radde, Faun. Flora S. IV. Caspian Geb., 1886, p. 57; and Zeits. Ges. Nat. (Geibel), 1877, p. 288 ; and Ber. Senck. Nat. Ges. 1879-'80, p. 183; Kessler, Trans. St. Petersb. Soc. Nat., VII, 1878 , Suppl., p. 177 (Transeancasian Region); Bedriaga, Bull. Soe. Imp. Nat. Moscow, 1879, No. 3, p. 27; Tristram. West Palestine, Rept. Batr., 1884, p. 152. 1864. Mabouia aurata Günther. Rept. Brit. India, 1864, p. 82 (Persia).
1883. Eumeces pavimentatus syriaia Boettger. Abh. Senck. Nat. Ges., XII, 1883, p. 120.
1883. Plestiodon pavimentatus Lortet. Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat. Lyon, III, 1883, p. 187.
1887. Eumeces schneiderii Boulenger. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887, p. 383 (Dead Sea, Jerusalem, Palestine; Kirind, Persia, Shore Kielegar); Boettger, Zool. Jahrb., Bd. III, Syst., 1888, p. 918 ; Boulenger, Trans. Linn. Soe. Zoöl., V, 1889 , p. 101 ; Fauna Brit. India, Rept. Batr., 1890, p. 219; Boettger, Ber. Senck. Ges., 1892, p. 147 (Posten Bartas, Cancasus) ; Anderson, Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London, 1892, p. 16 (Duirat, Tunesia) ; Boettger, Cat. Rept. Samml. Mus. Senckenb. Nat. Ges., I, 1893, p. 111 ("Sarona bei Jaffa," Jerusalem, Syria; Kopet-dagh, Transeaspia; Gabes, Tunis); Peracca, Boll. Mus. Torino, IX, 1894, No. 167, p. 9 (Es-salt and Dscheraseh); Olivier, Mem. Soc. Zoäl. France, VII, 1894, p. 114; Boulenger, Trans. Zoïl. Soc. London, 1895, p. 136 (Cherb Berrania, Matmata, Weel Kebiriti [North of Chott Fejejl and Gafsa); Anderson. Contrib. Herp. Arabia, with Prelim. list Rept. Batr. Egypt, 1896, p. 104 (Marsa Matru: Maryut district, Egypt); Boettger, Jahr. Natur. ver. Madgeburg (1896-1897), 1898, pp. 1-22 (Syria); Anderson, Zoöl. Egypt, Rept. Batr., 1898, pp. 196-199, pl. XXV (Egypt. Excellent plate); Boettger, in Radile, Mus. Cauc., 1899. p. 282 ; Nikolski, Ann. du Mus. Zool., IV, 1899, p. 399 (Gerri Schotur in Chascht-Adno.); Nikolski, Herp. Turan., 1899, p. 44; Domergue, Soc. Geog. d'Areh. Prov. Oran, XX, 1900, pp. 269-272 ("Sahara, Tuneslen"); Nikolski, Mem. l'Acad. Imp. Si. St. Petershourg, VIII Ser., Vol. XVII, No. 1, 1905, pp. 195-187 (Caurasus; Dshulfi near R. Arax; Baku; Beirut; Achal-tieke; Aul Aber [Astrabad]; Karatay ; Balaschuan ; Syria; Elisabethpol; Gululi-Dagh; Suljukli; Nuratin, Western Bukara; Samarkand; Palestane; Kerak, Moawia.) ; Innandale, Journ. Asiat. Soc. Bengal, New Ser., I, 1905. p. 150
(Baluchstan); Nikolski, Herp. ('aucasica, 1913, 1p, 110-112; Faun. Ross., 1, 1915, p. a 0 s (Numerous localities) ; W̌mmer, Verh. K. K. Zool.-Bot. Ges. Wien., Jahr, 1917, pp. 1:1-2:20 (Prov. Pars, Persia) ; Mertens, Senckenl,. Bd. 2, [lelt b, 1920, pp. 17G-
 Terr., XXX, 1919, p!, 15, 339, 35:3; idem. XXIX, 1919, 1, 290; Calahresi, Boll.
 Tohronk, Arenaiea); Ingoldsby and Proctor, Jomrn. Bomb:y Nit. llist. foc., XXIX,
 Persia) ; Czernov, Bull. Sci. l'Inst. Expl. Reg. Caucase du Nord. Vr, No. 1. 1926, p. G1 Firmani (Ordabar, Catheasus) ; Wetstein, Sitz. Kiais. Mkad. Wiss. Wien., Vol. 137, 11eft 10. 192s, p. 783 ; Werner, Sitz. Kais. Ikad. Wiss. Wien., Vol. 13s, Bd. 1, Heft 2, 1929, p. 19.
1914. Eumeces schneiderii syriacus Barbour. Proc. New England Zoöl. Cub, V, Dec. 2,

1924. Eumces schneiderii princeps Mertens. Abh. Bur. Mus. Nat. llemat. Nat. ver. Madgeburg. Bd. III, lleft. 4. 1924, pp. 284-286, pl. XIl, fig. t.
1924. Eumeces schnciderii cyprius Mertens. Senckenb., Bd. YT, lleft. 5-6, Nov. 1, 1921, 1. 183.
1924. Eumcces schneiderii schneiderii Mertens. Senchenb., Bd. VI, Nov. 1, 1924, Pp. 18:183.

History. The history of this form is given under the discussion of the group.

Diagnosis. A very large species; generally gray-olive above; two rows of irregular cream spots on the two median scale rows; a welldefined cream-colored line from the sixth labial, passing through ear and on sides above the legs to some distance on the tail; tro scale rows above the lateral "ream line darker gray-olive; entire rentral surface dull eream.

Upper labials, eight; lower secondary temporal larger than upper; nostril above the rostrolabial suture; two loreals; two presuboculars. The seventh labial separated from the ear by three pairs of postlabials; three much enlarged aurieular lobules; prefrontals in contact; four supraoculars, three touching the frontal; four pairs of nuchals; 66 scales from parietals to above vent; 24 seale rows around middle of body, the median dorsal rows much larger than other scales on the body; three chinshields; the postgenial scarcely differentiated; median preanals enlarged, overlapping smaller outer preanats; a well-defined area of small granular seales lateral to the anus, behind the leg forming a fold or pocket; limbs widely separated when adpressed.

Description. (From No. 65르, E.H.T. collection; Haiffa, Syria.) Rostral high, narrow, part visible above approaching the size of the frontonasal, or larger; supranasals are a little longer than wide, forming a median suture; frontonasal small, not or only a little larger than the prefrontals, in contact laterally with the anterior loreal; prefrontals pentagonal, forming a median suture (partly fused in this specimen) ; frontal much longer than its distance from
the tip of the snout, the sides constricted in the posterior third, then widening; frontoparietals pentagonal, forming a median suture, about the same area as the prefrentals; interparietal small, short, little larger than the frontoparietal; parietals large, angular, almost inclowing the interparietal; four pairs of slender nuchals.
Nasal quadrangular, nearly as long as ligh, the scale divided wholly or partially by two grooves from nostril, one to the supranasal, the other to the restral; nasal touching two labials, the nostril above the rostrolabial suture; anterior loreal higher than wide, much higher than the posterior loreal; no postnasal; normally


Fig. 11. Eumeces schneiderii (Daudin). E.H.T. No. 6521; Haiffa, Syria. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length. 24 mm .; width, 23 mm .
two presuboculars (on one side one scale is abnormal, being broken into five parts) forming a continuous series, below the eye, with the postsubocular series, of which there are seven; a preocular, followed by two or three smaller scales, and these by three rows of granular scales extending to posterior corner of the eye, separating the upper palpebral scales from the superciliary series; six superciliaries, the anterior very large, more than two and one half times the size of the last superciliary, and of nearly same area as the first supraocular; two small postoculars; three or four small seales on lower eyelid touching lower palpebral, and separated from the pre- and postsubocular series by about five rows of granules; four supraoculars, three in contact with the lower secondary temporal, which is very large, its posterior margin vertical, much larger than the upper secondary temporal, which is somewhat wider posteriorly
than anteriorly; tertiary temporal present, separated from ear by three seales, from the upper secondary temporal by a small undifferentiated scale that might be considered a second tertiary temporal.

Eight upper labials, the first smallest, trapezoidal; suborular labial longer than high; last (cighth) largest, but not as high as the seventh labial; last labial separated from the car by about three pairs of seales, which are somewhat irregular, occupying a space equal to the length of the seventh labial; three large, and one smaller, toothlike preauricular lobules as long as the width of the ear.

Mental normally with about the same labial extent as rostral; two postmentals, the anterior very small; three pairs of irregular chinshields, the first pair in contact, the third pair widely separated; postgenial scales following not or scarcely differentiated; eight lower labials.

Scale rows parallel; median pair widened, more than two and one fourth times as wide as deep. Scales about the ear, about twenty-four; 27 rows about neck; 30 rows around body in axillary region; 24 about middle of body; preanal scales eight, the median greatly enlarged, overlapping the outer preanals, which diminish in size laterally; subcaudals much widened ( 100 in tail that has been reproduced) ; a small differentiated seale, with a raised rounded surface, near posterior lateral border of anus; legs short, strong; 18 scales about insertion of arm; numerous granular seales in axilla; palm with numerous, somewhat enlarged, padlike, overlapping tubercles, smaller about base of fingers; lamellar formula for fingers: $6 ; 9 ; 10 ; 12 ; 8$; about 25 seales about insertion of leg; heel bordered by a series of enlarged plates, preceded by three or four enlarged scales; sole covered with subequal granules; lamellar formula for toes: $5 ; 10 ; 13 ; 16 ; 10$. Terminal lamellae enlarged above and below, not binding base of claw; no intercalated series, the toes and fingers with only a dorsal and rentral series of scales; pits on seales, if ever present, have become entirely obsolete.

Body much elongated, the limbs separated by a distance equal to the length of six lateral scales. The body appears quadrangular in cross scetion and the tail likewise, the depth of the tail being a little greater than its width.

Color in alcohol. This very well preserved specimen is of grayolive color above; the two median rows are darker than the two adjoining (second and third) while the fourth and fifth are slightly darker gray-olive than the median pair; the cream spots on the
Measurements of Eumeces schneitcrii (Daudin)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nuseum. } \\ & \text { Number. } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{L}_{6521} .11 . \mathrm{T} .}{}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C} Z}{\substack{200}}$ | $\underset{9 \times 60}{\text { MIC.Z. }}$ | $\underset{3 n 64}{\text { Mi. } C: Z}$ | $\underset{9862}{\text { II. } \mathrm{CR} Z}$ | $\underset{9861}{\text { M.C.Z }}$ | $\underset{120.39}{\text { A.M1.N. } 11 .}$ | $\underset{9369}{\mathrm{Mi}(\mathrm{C},}$ |  |  | $\underset{12 \Omega 35}{A . N . ~}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1.C.Z. } \\ & 9870 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shout to vent | 160 | 144 | 130 | 121 | 117 | 110 | 103 | 109 | 93 | 8 | 64 | 59 | 55 |
| Tail. | 259 |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |  | 171 |  | 115 | 106 | 94 |
| snout to eye |  | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9.5 | 8 |  | 9 | - | , |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Snout to ear. |  | 25 | 2.7 | 22.3 | 2 | 20 |  | 21 | 19 | 16 |  | 12.5 | 12 |
| Suout to foreleg | 47 | 39 | 42 | 37 | 36 | 33 | 32 | 29 | 29 | 26 | 21 | 21 | 19 |
| Axilla to groin | 98 | 62 | 51 | 67 | 65 | 62 |  | 68 | 50 | 46 |  | 30 | 28 |
| Length of head | 24 | 23 | 20.2 | 21 | 19 | 15 |  | 18.5 | 16 | 1.1 .5 |  | 11 | 11 |
| Width of head | 23 | 18 | 20 | 20 | $1{ }^{6}$ | 14 |  | 1.5 | 13 | 12 | $\checkmark$ | 9 | 9 |
| Foreleg. | 34 |  | 32 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| Hind leg | 53 | 50 | 46 | 43 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 34 | 26 | 2.5 | 23 |
| Longest toe... | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 9 | $\checkmark$ |
| Anal tail width ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 21 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12.5 | 11 | 8 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.4 |
| Width of body |  |  | 26 | 22 | 19 | 20 |  | 20 | 17 | 16.5 |  | 11 | 11 |

two median rows are near the outer border and appear usually on every other scale, forming an irregular row; a few flecks on the dorsolateral scale rows; on the tail a few appear on the median dorsal rows; the lateral cream line from sixth labial spreads out and includes the comer of mouth and most of the car border, and on the sides occupies all the sixth row and the outer edge of the fifth; below the lateral cream line, the body is gray for one or two rows. Under surfines dirty aream; lower labials grayish. Head same as body: Hind limb with cream flecke, abose, and somewhat brownish in the postfemoral region. Tail somewhat lighter than body, becoming (ream on the entire regencrated portion ( 83 mm .).

Variation. A total of 17 specimens have been available in my study of this form and they agree in the main in most essential characters.

In no specimen other than the one described are the parietals in contact behind the interparietals, although only minutely separated in one specimen (A.MIN゙.H. 2280).

In all cases save one the lateral scales are parallel. This is Mich. 67-95. Abd El Kadar, Lower Egypt, and the scales are arranged in long diagonal rows on sides. Scale rows about neek vary from 27 to 30 . 29 being the usual number about the narrower part of the neck. The number of seale rows about the middle of the body is normally $2 t$ (occurring in 13 specimens) ; 25 occur once, and 26 three times. The number of seales in a row from occiput to above anus is from 64 to 67.64 oceuring once, 65 occurring eight times, 66 five times and 67 twice ( 16 specimens counted) ; subcaudals vary in four specimens with complete tails as follows: 122,128 , 130, 131.

The upper labials are $8-8$ in all the specimens save a single exception with nine. The scales about the ear vary usually between 20 and 22 . Two specimens have 25 ; nuehals extremely variable, as follows: $2-2$. twice; $2-3$, once; 3-3, twice; $3-4$, once; $4-4$ seven times; 4-5, twice; 5-6, once.

Supraculars invariably $4-4$; the first three touching the frontal. Two postmentals and no postnasal is invariably the case in the series. The serenth and eighth labials are often of about the same size; sometimes the eighth is definitely the larger; five is the usual number of labials preceding the suboculars.

The nasal is distinctly divided in most of the specimens. The lower part of the suture is not evident in one. The frontonasal is invariably broader than long. The prefrontals are invariably
broadly in contact; subdigital lamellae vary between 15 and 18,16 occurring most frequently. The pre- and postocular series are continuous and the formula is usually $2+5$ or rarely $2+6$, in one case each $1+6$ and $2+7$.

Color variation. The following specimens show variation from the described specimen. Mich. 67251 §, Abed El Kadar, Lower Egypt. Large specimen, 151 mm . snout to vent; 202 mm . tail. Above gray-olive (the head more brownish), covering about eleven scale rows; sides and underparts white or cream; faint gray spots on lower jaw, side of neck and along sides; limbs light olive-brown, spotted or dappled with white; much white on anterior surface of hind limb; back spotted with light, arranged in longitudinal as well as transverse rows (about 23 of the latter) ; spots about size of scales; last three labials and postlabials covered partly by a large cream spot. A slight striation is evident on ventral as well as dorsal scales. Tail with dim annulations.

No. 37291 U.S.N.M., Lower Egypt. o 145 mm . snout to vent. Tail regenerated. Brown above with a slight tendency for the deeper brown color to form dim lines on the scale edges except along the median line. There are four of these dim, darker lines; the most distinct borders the first and second scale rows; the areas between make four very dim lighter lines; those on the second and third scale rows lightest; a white line from subocular to ear, widening in front of ear, but not reaching the top of ear; bei. ind ear the line begins from lower half, follows along sides and to a considerable distance along tail, following fifth scale row, but not covering it; below this is a gray stripe that fades into the cream color of the ventral regions; two dim rows of light spots on back, the spots appearing on alternate scales. A few scattered light spots on tail.

In the series from Petra, Arabia, the tendency to form transverse bands of light spots is more pronounced than in Syrian or Egyptian specimens. Their color in life is as follows: "Rich bronzy olive, with scattered spots, on the dorsal scales, of the color of burnished copper, and a light lateral stripe of lemon-yellow or salmon-pink on the lower portion of the sides, and below brilliant glistening white, sometimes with a light greenish tinge. The young individuals are very differently colored. The middorsal area, comprising just the two rows of broad scales, is entirely unspotted. On each side of this region there are two narrow dark lines, and then a wide dusky lateral band from the neck region to the groin. This is
spotted with white scales. The lower regions of the sides, pure white in the adults, are mottled with dusk spots." (Barbour, 1914.)

Mr. H. W. Parker has submitted notes on British Museum specimens from Cyprus, as follows:
"Uniform brownish above. A strong white line from upper lip or ear onto base of tail. Scales 24 or 26 ( 6 specs., 4 with 24 rows). The only specimen showing any trace of the juvenile livery has a faintly darker middorsal zone the width of the two middorsal seale rows, faintly edged with darker brown. This is separated by a lighter dorso-lateral stripe from a wide dark lateral stripe two scales wide. This is bordered below by the strong white lateral line. One adult shows a few scattered white spots on the base of the tail and towards the flanks."

Distribution. This form, as here recognized, extends apparently from castern Algeria and Tunis across north Africa and into western Asia, and on the island of Cyprus. The published records of the occurrence of this form are not included, and I am in doubt, in many eases, as to whether the various specimens listed belong to this species.

Locality records:
Egrpt: (Type locality; type formerly in the Paris Muscum); Lower Egypt (A.M.N.H. 1) (U.S.N.M. 1) ; Abd el Kadar (Mich. 1).

Arabia: Petra (M.C.Z. 10) (A.M.N.H. 2).
Srrla: Haiffa (E.II.T. 1); Mt. Jerusalem (M.C.Z. 1).
Algeria: (U.S.N.M. 1).
Eumeces pavimentatus (Geoffroy-St. Hillaire)
(Plate 5, fig. 2; Figs. 12 and 13)

## SYNONYMY

[^13]Bocttger (1883) described a form occurring in Syria, Eumeces parimentatus syriacus. Mertens, who has examined the type of striacus, places it as a synonym of sehneiderii pavimentatus.

Diagnosis. One of the Schneiderii group, characterized by a slender, elongate body. General color brown above, with a more or less well-defined lateral line along the side of the body to the groin, little or no evidence of spots on the labials, and the line from the ear to foreleg not widened. Scale rows on back with very small, elongated white dots or dashes on the middle of each scale, save the two median rows, where the dashes are on every other scale. These white marks make a series of eight clotted lines on the back. Scale rows, 24 about body; two postmentals; no postnasal; nasal individed. Median dorsal seale rows widened.

Description of species (from K. U. No. 11022, "Haiffa, Syria," O. Tofohr, collector). Portion of rostral visible above distinctly larger than the frontonasal; supranasals relatively small, in contact medially; frontonasal broader than long, in contact laterally with the anterior loreal; prefrontals relatively very large, much larger than the frontoparietals, broadly in contact meclially, the suture with the frontal largest; frontal longer than its distance to end of snout, relatively narrow, narrower than the supraocular region; frontoparietals relatively small, forming a median suture; interparietal small, not inclosed by the parietals; four pairs of nuchals.


Fig. 12. Distribution in Africa and Asia of Eumeces schneiderii (Daudin), E. algerionsis algericnsis (Peters), E. algeriensis meridionalis Domergue, and E. parimentatus (Geoffroy-St. Hillaire). Data on E. schneiderii and E. pavimentatus very incomplete.

Nasal longer than high, the scale with a suture rumning from supralabial to the nostril, but no suture is apparent from nostril to the rostral; from lateral view nostril is directed straight in; no postnasal; anterior loreal higher than wide, but not or only slightly higher than the posterior loreal ; the latter only slightly longer than high, and strongly differing in shape and character from the same scale in schneiderii: two large presuboculars, more or less continuous with the seven postsubocular scales, of which the anterior are very


Fig. 13. Eumeces pavimentatus (Geoffroy-St. Hillarie). K. U. No. 11022 : Haiffa, Syria. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 19.2 mm .; width, 16 mm . (The rostral extends more to the upper surface than is shown.)
small, scarcely distinguishable from granular scales of lower eyelid; six superciliaries, the anterior twice as long as wide, more than half size of the anterior supraocular; last superciliary large, vertically placed (lateral view), generally resembling a supraocular, but smaller; small triangular preocular, two very small postoculars; palpebrals rather small, separated from superciliaries by two or three rows of granular scales; lower eyelid with a series of three small plates only a little larger than granular scales, which are separated from the pre- and postsubocular series by four or five granular scale rows. Primary temporal about as large as the largest labial, the main axis vertical (in lateral view); upper secondary relatively small, narrow, twice as long as its greatest width; lower secondary very large its main axis vertical; the tertiary temporal vertical, scparated from the upper secondary by a scale, from ear opening by three seales; upper labials eight, the first smallest, the
rostrolabial suture directly below nostril; the first two labials in contact with nasal; five labials preceding the subocular labial, which is as high as long; seventh labial larger and higher than eighth; latter scale only a little longer than high, separated from auricular opening by a distance greater than its length; three pairs of postlabials, diminishing in size; four well-developed, sharply denticulate lobules in front of ear, directed backwards; 24-26 seales around ear; mental with a labial border equal to that of rostral; two welldeveloped postmental shields, followed by three pairs of chinshields, none of which are in contact; postgenial small, bordered on the inner side by a scale of equal size and shape; seven lower labials.

Scale rows generally parallel, in 24 rows about the middle of body; 33 scales about neck behind ear; 27-28 on narrower part of neck; about 19 seales around base of tail; two median dorsal rows widened, the rows low on sides smallest; eight scales border the anus, the median pair enlarged, the median scales overlapping the adjoining outer scales; lateral postanal scale strongly differentiated, rounded and raised; subcaudals much widened; tail vertically compressed; seventeen scales about arm at insertion; an area of small imbricating scales in the axilia; no well-defined outer wrist tubercle; numerous large padlike tubercles on base of paln, the tubercles growing smaller towards base of digits; lamellar formula for fingers: $6 ; 9 ; 11 ; 10 ; 7$; the intercalated scales on fingers are on base only, save on the second finger, where a series extends to claw between upper and lower scales on the outer side of digit; about 25 scales around leg at insertion; behind insertion of limb numerous small scales; when the legs are moved back a small pocket is formed on each side of the anus. In the middle of heel two enlarged plates; the scales on under surface of foot conical, slightly juxtaposed or slightly imbricating. Lamellar formula for toes: $6 ; 9 ; 9 ; 16 ; 9$; toes covered generally by two rows of scales save on outer side of the three inner toes, where there is an intercalated row of scales extending completely or almost to claw ; one or two at base of other toes.

Color. Above brown to amber-brown, the color varying in intensity; the brown color is more intense on outer edges of the two median scale rows, and gives the impression of two dim, darker lines separated by a median that is somewhat lighter, with each darker line bounded laterally by a slightly lighter stripe; a narrow, more or less distinct eream or white lateral stripe, not evident anterior to ear; below this a brownish-gray stripe fading into the ground color of the ventral surfaces.

On the back one notes two series of lighter markings. On the first and third seale rows are very dim lighter markings on the outer half (or third) of alternate seales, white on the third row the spots may be the size of the seale on altemate seales. These are only dimly visible (more distinct in K. L. 11021). Aside from this series of markings are series of small white dots and dashes which form dotted lines on each of the eight dorsal satale rows, the dots on the median seale rows on every other scale, those on others on each seale; these flecks continued to near tip of tail, but here they are more seattered and suggest dim annulations, the scales bearing the dots likewise being browner than adjoining scales.

Measurements of Eumcces pavimentatus (Geoffroy-St. Hillaire)

| Museum <br> Number <br> Sex. | $\begin{gathered} K V_{i} \\ 11021 \\ \sigma^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K.U } \\ & 11022 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{9 \text { A.sibl }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | 136 | 134 | 79 |
| Tail. | $209 *$ | $14.5 \dagger$ | 148 |
| Snout to eye. | 9 | 9.2 | 6.3 |
| Snout to ear. | 22 | 22 | 16.6 |
| Snout to foreleg | 42 | 43 | 24 |
| Axilla to groin. | so | 85 | 46 |
| Width of head | 17.5 | 16 | 11.8 |
| Length of head. | 21 | 19.2 | 14 |
| Width of body | 17 | 20 |  |
| Postanal tail width | 14 | 12 | 8 |
| Foreleg. | 3.5 | 33 | 22 |
| Hind leg. | 59 | 54 | 31.6 |
| Longest toe. | 19.5 | 18 | 11 |

* Broken. † Regenerated. TNos. 11021, 11022, "Haifta, Syria"; 9661, unknown locality.

Variation. Only three specimens have been available for examination, and two agree quite remarkably in markings and seale characters. A few variations, however, are evident: superciliaries 7-7, 6-6 and 5-5; lamellae under fourth toe 13-14, 16-16, 15-16; scales from oceiput to above anus $66,66,68$. In coloration but little difference is noted. The smaller specimen has the head covered above with white dots, only dimly evident in the adults; there is a suggestion of vertical lines behind the car. A curious anomally occurs in this smaller specimen. The frontoparietals are completely wanting, apparently fused with the aljoining scales. In this specimen, too, the first pair of chinshields are in contact
and the postgenial is proportionally larger than the adjoining scale. There are 126 subcandal scales in the complete tail.

Remarks. This form differs from the typieal schneiderii in a more slender head and body; with a proportionally longer hind leg; the limbs touching when adpressed or, in younger specimens, strongly overlapping (in A.N.S.P. No. 9661 they overlap the width of nine scales). The nasal is apparently not completely divided and the first pair of chinshields are not in contact medially. The markings and color are not distinctive.

Distribution. This species is probably confined to Egypt, Syria and closely adjacent territory, and it appears to overlap territory occupied by certain other forms of the group.

Locality records:
Syria: "Haiffa" (K.U.2) ; Sarona near Jaffa (Senckenberg 2).
Egypt: (Geoffroy-St. Hillaire type; present location of type uncertain).
Eumoces princeps (Eichwald)
(Plate 3, fig. 3; Figs. 10, 14)
SYNONYMY
1839. Euprepes princeps Eichwald. Bull. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscow, II, 1839, pp. 303-307 (type locality "In ora Caspia occidentali, ad montes praesertim Talyschensis"; type probably in Mosenw) ; and Faun. Caspia-Cauc., 1841, pp. 93, 116, pl. XVI, figs. 1, 2, 3; Severtzoff, Nacht. Ges. Moscow, VIll, pt. 2, 1873, p. 72; Nikolsky, Trans. St. Peters. Nat. Soc. NV1I, 1886, p. 406 ; Zarudny. Bult. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscow, 1890 , p. 295 (Murgab, Tedjent in oases of Merve and Peunde).
(The association of further references to the synonymy of this species must needs await an examination of the materials on which the records were made. Nere geographical probability will not suffice as a basis, inasmuch as there is likelihood that the territories occupied by certain forms overlap, nor will the meager details published suffice.)

History. This species was very early referred to synonymy either under the name Eumcces schnciderii or Eumeces pavimentatus, being used only by certain Russian authors. It was revived by Mertens in 1924 , but it is doubtful that the forms associated under it actually belong to Eichwald's species. In the same year Mertens placed the name in the synonymy of Eumeces schneiderii schneiderii (Daudin).
Diagnosis. Above nearly uniformly brownish slate to lavender, the scales showing some seattered gray flecks. An indistinct, narrow, lateral cream line begiming on the posterior labials can be traced through the ear and along the sides to the groin on the sixth and seventh seale rows; below this line, grayish, becoming somewhat lighter below. Tail above lighter than body; mental with distinetly wider labial border than rostral; presuboculars elongate and very narrow.

Description of species (from K.U. No. 11020, Transeappia \& ) . Rostral high, extending as far back on the snout as a line comeeting the middle of the nasals; the part visible above equally as large as the frontonasal; supmanals moderately large, in eontact medially for half their width; fontonasal much wider than deep, touching the anterior loreals; prefrontals large, much larger than the frentoparietals; frontal narrow, a little longer than its distance from the end of the shout (in parimentatus much longer [.5mm. to $\because .3 \mathrm{~mm}$.]), the anterior angles more obtuse than in parimentatus; frontoparictals in contact rather narrowly, the transverse width as great


Fig. 14. Eumeces princeps (Eichwald). K.U. No. 11020; Transcaspia. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 18.2 mm .; width, 15 mm .
as the length (longer than wide in pavimentatus); interparietal rather large, with as great a length as the parietals; parietals large, very wide; four pairs of narrow, widened nuchals.

Nasal large, divided by sutures, one from rostral to nostril, and one from supranasal to the nostril, the upper moiety nearly twice as large as that in pavimentatus; no postnasal; anterior loreal higher than posterior; the latter much longer than ligh, the upper edge horizontal. much narrowed posteriorly; preocular small ; presuboculars relatively elongated and narrow; seven supereiliaries, the anterior larger than posterior; palpebral scales separated from middle superciliaries by a row of scales as large as or larger than palpebrals: and anteriorly and posteriorly by a second series which is inconspicuous medially; four large plates on lower eyelid (much more elongate than in pavimentatus), separated from the subocular
by five scale rows; seven postsuboculars, which reach to the presuboculars, forming an unequal but practically continuous series; two small postoculars; four supraoculars.

Primary temporal very distinctly smaller than in pavimentatus, its area less than half the upper secondary temporal; lower secondary temporal moderately large, with an area not or only slightly larger than that of upper secondary, and much higher than long; tertiary temporal narrow, high, separated from the upper secondary by a seale, from the ear lobules by one vertically elongate scale and one smaller scale (in pavimentatus by three or four scales).

Eight upper labials, first smallest, seventh highest, its area about equal to that of eighth; eighth labial separated from the ear lobules by about four rather irregular seales, the lower seale in contact with the labial largest; mental with a labial border much greater than that of rostral; two postmentals (the posterior broken on right side; the part broken is fused with the first chinshield) ; normal chinshields on right side three, the second narrower and much broader than other two; the postgenial smaller and shorter than seale bordering it on its imner side and likewise in contact with the third chinshield; five or six lower labials; ear opening with four large lobules, their bases strongly overlapping; about 22 seales around ear.
The seales on sides of body slightly diagonal in axillary region, but parallel farther back; the scales of the two median rows much wider than the adjoining, which in turn are larger than the third row; scales on side much smaller than the dorsal scales or ventral scales; 28 seale rows around narrow part of neck; 34 in axillary region; 26 about middle of body; 20 about base of tail at first widened subcaudal.

Eight anal plates; the median pair very large, overlapping outer scales, and each in turn overlapping the scale touching its outer border; a group of small granular scales in the axilla; a group of granules posterior to the insertion of the hind limb, extending to the sides of anus, and when leg is pulled back, a small pocket is formed beside the anus; lateral postanal in female large and fairly well differentiated (probably much more so in male) ; 64 scales from parietal to above anus; $110+$ subcaudals (tip of tail missing and probably five to eight subcaudals).

Body slender, elongate; limbs well-developed, overlapping but slightly when adpressed; digits with terminal lamellae not tightly bound about claw; palm with a series of larger scales diminishing in size distally, separated from bases of digits by several series of
small granules; lamellar formula for fingers: $5 ; 8 ; 10 ; 12 ; 6$. Claws very long (perhaps due to captivity) ; heel plates forming an unbroken series from basal lamellae of imer toe around to base of outer toe: scales on sole only slightly entarged; lamellar formula for toes: $5 ; 8 ; 10 ; 14 ; 9$.

An interealated series of seales between the dotsal seales and the rentral lamellae of toes, on the outer side of the first and second toes, and the immer side of the fifth toe; on third and fourth toes they are on the basal part of the outer side only; on the first, seeond and third toes the intercalated scales extend the length of the toes on the outer side; on the fourth they are absent only on distal phalanx, and are present on the inner side of the fifth.

Color (in alcohol). As in diagnosis. Limbs much browner and lighter than dorsal color of body; the tail gradually becoming lighter toward tip; car lobules light; upper labials light brownish on lower part, dark slate on upper part; the supraocular region lighter than the median region of the head.

Measurements of Eumeces princeps (Eichwald)

| Museum. <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { K.U. } \\ 11020 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | 125 |
| Tail. | 193* |
| Snout to eye | 7.5 |
| Snout to ear | 22 |
| Snout to foreleg | 35 |
| Axilla to groin | 75 |
| Length of head. | 18.2 |
| Width of head. | 1.5 |
| Foreleg. | 32 |
| Hind leg. | 47 |
| Longest toe | 15) |
| Anal tail width | 12.5 |
| Width of boty. | 20 |

* Extreme tip missing.

Variation. Only the single specimen has been available for study:

Distribution. Known definitely from the region south of the Caspian Sea. It probably occurs in Transcaspia to Bokhara and northern Persia.

# Eumeces zarudnyi Nikolsky 

(Fig. 10)
SYNONYMY
1899. Eumfces zarudnyi Nikolsky. Ann. Mus. Zool., 4, 1899, p. 399 (type description in Latin; type locality Seistan and Kirman in Eastern Persia, Zarudny Coll.); Yearb. Zö̈l. Mus. lmp. Acad. Sci. St. Petersburg, IV, 1899, p. 400 (description in Russian; I an uncertain which of these two descriptions was first published; both bear the date 1899).
History. The three cotypes of this species were collected by N. A. Zarudny on an expedition into Persia. The localities given are as follows: No. 9339,* Buzman (Urbs Busman) in Eastern Kirman; No. 9340, Labeab in Seistan; No. 9341, Schur-ab in eastern Kirman. The description, while brief and lacking detail on very numerons important points, does seem to point to a form worthy of either secific or subspecific recognition. Unfortunately, I have seen no specimen referable to this species.

The comparison given is with E. schneiderii, but just what form Nikolsky has in mind I cannot say since he (Nikolsky, 1905) places both princeps and pavimentatus as syonyms of sehneiderii.

Diagnosis. Related to schnciderii; the hind limb about two to two and one fifth times in length from snout to vent; anterior loreal one and one half times as high as wide; frontonasal as long as wide; no postnazal. Scales in 26 rows.

Description of the species (from Nikolsky). Nasal scales touching two anterior labials; nostril above the anterior third of the first labial; postnasal wanting; four supraoculars; (the description notes five supraculars, but it appears likely that the last large superciliary is regarded as the fifth); frontonasal as long as wide or length less than width; three supraculars touch the frontal; parietals not in contact behind the interparictal; ear opening large, the anterior edge with five-six acute lobules; diameter of the ear is searcely les than the longitudinal diameter of the eve; two unpaired postmental scutes.

Dorsal seales of the body smooth, arranged in 26 longitudinal rows; lateral scales smaller; scales of four longitudinal vertebral rows much larger than the abdominal scales; seales of the two middle vertebral rows twice as wide as long; with limbs adpressed, the toes touch carpus of front foot; subcaudals widened.

Color. Body brownish-gray above, yellowish-white below; base of tail red above; a white lateral stripe passes from eye through ear to femur.

[^14]

Remarks. Whether the speemens mentioned be Blamford (18t-2) belong to this species 1 eamot say, but it reme likely that they approach closer to this form than to the real parimontutus. These specimens, nine in all, were collected in wouthern Persial feave one at Pishin, Bahehistan). All the speeimens from Persia have 26 scale rows. The only other seale data is as follow: "The foreleg when laid forward in some sperimens only reathes the eve, in others it extends to the end of the snout. The natal shieh is divided in all my specimens, and two central rows of dorsal seales are broader than the others

The color is olive gray or sandy grays, with at times golden yellow longitudinal stripes, varying in breadth and distribution, down the sides. In two specimens from Sarjan there are dusk longitudinal bands down the back and sides."

The specimens concemed are two from sarjan near Karman (Kirman), southern Persia, 5.500 feet, and six specimens from Niriz, cast of Shiraz, southern Persia, 4,000-6,000 feet eleration.

The specimen from Pishin, Baluchistan, has os seale rows.
It is quite likely that the secimens from Waziristan noted by Ingold by and Proctor (19231 may likewise belong close to this form. or represent a distinct species.

Distribution. Known only from the type series from Kirman and Seistan.

Eumeces blythianus (Anderson)<br>(Plate S)<br>SYNONYME

1871. Mabouia blythiana Andersm. Prof. Asiat. Suc. Bengal, 1871, p. 156 (type description; type locality [?] Amritzur, Punjab; Purchased from a Bokhara merchant, who stated it was (htained at Amritzur).
1872. Eumeces blythionus Theobaid. Desc. Cat. Rept. British India, 1876, p. 66 and p. S, synnpsis (short description taken from type description); Blanford, Eastern Persia, Vol. II (Zoälngy and Geology), 1870-1572, p. 3-n: Boulenger, Cat. Liz, Brit. Mus., 111, 1887, p. 385 (redescription of type); Boulenger, Fauna of Brit. India, Reptiles, 1890 , p. 222 (redescription of type); Finn, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, July, 1898, pp. 189-190; Annandale, Journ. Asiat. Soc. Bengal, New Series. I, No. 5, May, 1905, p. 150 (type locality listed); Boulenger, Proc. Zuil. Soc. Lundon, 1898, p. 722 (Afridi Country, Green Coll.) ; Mertens, Senckenbergiana, Bd. -2, LIeft. 6, 1920, p. 179.
History. This species has been known for more than sixty years, having been described by Anderson in 1871 from a specimen obtained from at merchant from Bokhara, who stated he had obtained it at Amritzur, Punjab. Most of the data published after this time on this species has been derised from this carcfully made type
description. Practically nothing new has been learned of the form. A new locality was added by Finn (1898): Afridi Country (Green, Collector).

The data incorporated here are drawn chiefly from two photographs of this last mentioned specimen, prepared for me by Mr. H. W. Parker of the British Museum. These photographs are remarkably clear and only a few characters cannot be ascertained owing to the position of the body. A few data are taken from the type description.

Diagnosis. A member of the Schneiderii group, the dorsal region olive-brown, with three brown stripes. A well-defined dark brown stripe on the side, bordered below by a clearly defined, broad, yellowish line; limbs well-developed, overlapping when adpressed. One postmental; no postnasal; prefrontals in contact; 30 scale rows about the middle of the body; the two median dorsal rows greatly widened; frontoparictals forming a broad suture; interparietal large, not inclosed by the parietals; about 60 scales from parietals to above vent. (Character of anals unknown but presumably as in other members of the Schneiderii group, with median overlapping outer.)

Description (drawn from type description and data on a specimen in the British Museum of Natural History [No. 98, 7, 12, 1]). Rostral triangular, hexagonal, separated from the frontonasal by supranasals, which form a broad suture; frontonasal wider than long, separated from the loreal (touches loreal in type) ; prefrontals large, hexagonal, forming a broad median suture, and sutures with the frontal, first supraocular, first superciliary, both loreals and the supranasal; frontal large, much wider anteriorly than posteriorly, the anterior margin forming an obtuse angle; frontoparietals moderate, forming a strong median suture; interparietal large, broad, very sharply truncate behind (wedge-shaped in type) ; parietals large, widely separated behind interparietal, the right segmented, forming an extra scale between parictal and upper secondary temporal; three pairs of nuchals, the anterior pair largest.

Nasal divided, the anterior part triangular, posterior part subquadrangular; anterior loreal much higher than wide, higher than posterior, touching second and third labials; posterior loreal higher than long; two presuboculars, anterior largest; seven or cight superciliaries, the anterior and posterior largest; primary temporal large; lower secondary temporal triangular, broadly in contact with pri-
mary: upper secondary relatively small: tertiary small, separated from muchal and upper scomolary tomporal by a small scale, and followed posteriorly by a rather large seale: cight upper labials, five anterior to the subocular. the first and fifthe smatlest, eighth largest. distinetly larger than seventh, separated from the auricular opening by mumerour sales. its distance from ear greater than its length. Six or seren lower labials; an undivided postmental, followed be three pairs of chinshields, the anterior pair in eontact; postacnials rather short. Thirty seale rows about hody (in type), the two median mueh widened transersely, those following the nuchals likewise very wide and much wider than the adjoining second row: 59 or 60 seales in a row from parietals to above anus; two enlarged preanals, with smaller lateral scales; tail rounded, slighty laterally compresed, one and two thirds times as long as the body: a row of enlarged subcaudals.

Ear large. surrounded by 21 scales; four well-developed auricular lobules: limbs well-developed; terminal lamellae not tightly bound about claws.

Color. "Olive-brown above; three dark brown longitudinal lines along the back, from the nape to the base of the tail. A broader dark-brown band from the eve over trmpanum, along the side. A broad pale-yellowish band below it from below the eye, through one half of the trmpanum along the sides to the groin. A palish dusky band from the angle of the mouth, orer the shoulder, and along the side below the yellowish band. Upper surface and sides of tail pale, uniform brownish-olive. All the under parts yellowish."

## Measurements* of Eumecis blythianus (Anderson)

| Total length | 240 | Forelimb | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head | 15 | Hind limb | 38 |
| Body | 75 | Tail | 150 |

Variation. With the extremely small number of specimens, little can be known about the amount of variation. Blanford (1872), speaking of a serics of speeimens which he identified as Eumeces parimentatus Geoff., states: "I find 26 seales round the middle of the body in all specimens except one, which is from Pishin in Baluchistan, and has 28, this showing a tendeney to a passage into the very closely allied Mabouia Blythiana Anderson." It appears that his opimion is based on the key characters of scale rows. I doubt greatly that the species are in reality more closely related

[^15]than schneideri and algeriensis. Finn (1898) mentions "red spots" on his specimens.

Distribution. Known definitely only from the Afridi district, India, Afghan borderland. (Brit. Mus. 1.)

Eumeces algeriensis algeriensis (Peters)<br>(Plates 9, 10, Figs. 2, 3; Figs. 12, 15)<br>SYNONYMY

1837. Scincus eyprius (non Cuvier) Cervais. Ann. Nici. Nat., (2), VI, 1836 (1837), p. 309 (listed from Barbarie).
1.39. Plestiodon aldrozandii (part.) Duméril and Bibron. Erp. Gén., V, p. 701; Gervais, Amn. Sci. Nat., (3), 1a48, X, pp. 204-205; lJuméril, Arch. du Mus., VII, p. 219 ; Guichenst, Expl. Aci. Algerie Pemd. Aon., 1840-1842 (1850), p. 17 (Bône); Dumérit, Cat. Rept. Paris Mus., 1851, p. 164 (part.) ; Eichwald, Nouv. Mén. Sue. Nat. Moscou, (2), 1X, 1851, p. 487 ; Duméril and Duméril, Cat. Meth. Coll. Rupt. Mus. Hist. Nat. Paris. 1851, 1r. 164 (fart.) (Böne [Guichenot] and Frontière S-E de Algerie [Pelissier]).
1838. Plestiodon auratus Gray: Cat. Liz. British Mus., 1845, p. 91 (part.); Jan, Ann. Mus. Civ. Milano, Ind. Sist. Rett. Anf., 1857, p. 6.
1839. Plestiodon cyprium Strauch. Mém. Acad. Imp. Sci., St. Petershourg, (7), 1V', No. 7, 1862, 1. 44 (Nit. Cloud, Le Sig and Arzew).
1840. Eumeces pavimentatus var. algeriensis Peters. Mon. Königl. Preus. Acad. Wiss. Berlin, 1564, 1P. 48-49 ("type tjescription"); Boettger, Abh. Suckenb. Nat. Ges., XIII, $1883, \mathrm{p}, 120$ (senarate p. 2n; discussion and mmerous localities given).
1841. Eumeces pavimentatus (non. Geoffroy-st. Hillaire) Boettger. Ahh. Senekenb. Nat. Ges., IX, 1873, p. 140 (separate p. 20) (redescribed from Moruceo); Boettger, Ber. senck. Nat. Ges., 18st-18 1 , p. 145.
1842. Eumeces algeriensis Bonlenger. Cat. Liz. British Mus., MI, 1sh7, p. 384 (N-West Africa): Boettger, Cat. Rept. Samm. Mus. Senekenb. Nat. Ges., Teil I, 1893, p. 112 (Casablanca, Elendaher); Olivier, Ment. Soe. Zooll. France, 1894, pp. 1-36; Boulenger, Trans. Zö̈l. Soe. London, XIII, 1895, p. 13f, pl. XVI; Boulenger, Novitates Zoöl., XII, 1905, pp. 73-77 (Dellaïn, Diruchan, Atlas of Moroceo); Beddard, Proe. Zoöl. Soe. Lomdon, May 16, 1905 (notes on circulation and brain of Eumeces algeriensis); Zulueta, Bol. lieal soc. Esp. Hist. Nat., V1II, Dec., 190s, Mp. 454-455 (Mogador): Zulueta, idem, IX, Julio, 1909, p. 35t; Pellegrin, Bull. soc. Zoä. France, XXXIIl, 1912, pp. 25ti and 263 (Fedhalla, Azemmour, Mogador, Fort Gurgens); Hediger, Blitt. für Aquar-Tem-kuml, XXXIX, No. 20, 1928, p. (Rabat); Werner, Sitz. Acad. Wiss. Wien. Math-Natur Klasse, Abt. 1, Band 138, Heft 1 and 2, 1929, pp. 14 and 19 (Casablanea); Werner., idem. Band 140, Heft 3 and 4, 1931, pp. 292, 293 (Taforalt-Berkane Tiznit, Agadir.) ; and idem, p. 257.
1843. Eumects algeriensis algeriensis Domergue. Bull. Soe. Geog. Areh. Oran, 1900, p. 270, pl. 1X.
1844. Eumeces schneiderii algerifnsis Mertens. Senckenbergiana, II, 1920, pp. 176-179 (diseussion; as subspecies) ; Mertens, idfm, MI, Heft 5 and 6, Nov. 1, 1924, pp. 182184.

History. The first published authentic record of this species seems to be that of Paul Gervais (1837), who reported a specimen of Scincus cyprius Cuv. collected in Algeria by Doctor Guyon. Duméril and Bibron (1839) mention a specimen from Algeria likewise collected by Doctor Guyon (perhaps the same specimen) under the name Plestiodon aldrovandii. Strauch (1862) reports three specimens as Plestiodon cyprium from St. Cloud, Le Sig and Arzew, and mentions a speeimen collected by Guichenot at Bône
and specimens in the Paris Museum from the southeastern frontier of Algeria collected by Pelissicr.

Peters (1865), in a diseusion of the genus Eumeces, mentions the northwest Afriean specimens as "rar. Algeriensis," with scareely more "description" than to note that the speeimen from Persia agrees with the Egyptian form hut was separated by shape and eertain head seale characters from the varicty algeriensis.

Boettger (1si3) noted the form in Morocco as Eumeces parimentatus, and later ( 1883 ) reverts to the name proposed by Peters (Eumeces pawimentatus algeriensis).

Boulenger (1857) gave the form full specific rank, as it deserves. and it so has been treated by subsequent authors with the exception of Robert Mertens (1920), who suggests that the west Algerian and Morocean form is of only subspecific importance, and later (1924) definitely places algerionsis as a subspecies of Eumeces schneiderii and likewise throws into synonymy Domergue's (1900) Eumeces algeriensis meridionalis. The species is treated here with specific rank. There appears to be no intergradation of characters betreen this and the North African form of Eumeces schneiderii. I believe it wise to recognize two forms, algeriensis algeriensis, and a. meridionalis Domergue.

Boulenger (1895), who discusses the distribution of algeriensis and schneiderii, shows that algeriensis is eonfined to Moroceo and Oran (absent in the Tangiers peninsula, Tangitanian District), while sehneiderii oecurs in Constantine and Tunesia.

One may presume that algeriensis is a form long isolated by desert from the eastern stock. The occurrence of schneiderii in adjacent territory (posibly overlapping) may be a relatively recent approach due to a lessening of desert conditions along the coast.

Diagnosis. A very large member of the Schneiderii group, lacking evidence of longitudinal lines. A series of irregular transverse light bands altemating with similar ocellated bands (reddish in life) on a brown ground color. Four pairs of nuchals; eight or nine upper labials, five or six preceding the subocular labial; preand postsubocular series continuous; upper scales on lower eyelid not or only slightly enlarged; an area of granular juxtaposed scales following the insertion of hind leg, forming a pocket-like depression when leg is folded back; median dorsal seales wider than adjoining seale rows: 28-32 rows about middle of body, the scales more or less keeled; median preanals overlap smaller outer preanal scales; nostril above suture of first labial and rostral; mental with smaller labial
border than rostral; two postmentals; no postnasal; limbs touch or are slightly separated when adpresed.

Description of species. Portion of rostral visible above very large, separating the nasals by a relatively narrow distance, and not extending farther back than highest point of nasals; supranasals placed diagonally, forming a median suture; frontonasal relatively small, a little wider than long, in contact laterally with the anterior loreal, not or but slightly larger than a prefrontal; prefrontals forming a broad median suture, and sutures with the frontal, frontonasal, posterior loreal, anterior loreal, first superciliary, and first supraocular, their length in the order named; frontal not or


Fig. 15. Eumeces alderiensis algoriensis (Peters). K.U. No. 11019; Casablanca, Morocco. A, lateral view of head; B , dorsal view of head. Actual head length. 30 mm .; width, 29 mm .
scarcely angular anteriorly, the sides somewhat concave posteriorly, touching three supraoculars; frontoparietals quadrangular, forming a median suture; interparictal short, truncate posteriorly; parietals rather transersely placed, wider than long, not in contact behind interparictal; four (or five) pairs of nuchals (in one specimen the posterior part of the left parietal segmented and a small intercalated scale between the first pair of nuchals).

Nasal large, divided by two grooves, one running from nostril to supranasal and another to the rostral, wedged between the rostral and first labial, in contact with the second labial; anterior loreal little higher than posterior loreal; latter as high as long; the presuboculars and postsuboculars forming a continuous series; four supraoculars; six superciliaries, the anterior and posterior large,
approaching the first supraocular in size; primary temporal large (divided on left side in one) ; upper secondary quadrangular, not as large as the lower secondary temporal, which forms a broad suture with the anterior: tertiary temporal present, single for divided into two parts on left side) ; nine (or eight) upper labials, the first much the smallest, its suture with the restral less than half the height of the seale, separated from the anterior loreal; seven or eight lower labials; last large labial followed by a pair of large porthabials, the lower of the two much the larger, and might be mistaken for one of the lahial series; these followed by four vertical rows of scales diminishing in size as the ear is approached; four well-defined ear lobules, more or less rounded behind; mental small, the labial border much less than that of the rostral; two postmentals, the posterior much the larger, and (abnomally) partially fused with the first pair of chinshields; three pairs of chinshields, all separated, third followed by a short and broad postgenial; this latter followed by a second, more elongated scale.

Upper eyelid well-developed, the upper palpebral scales separaterl from the superciliaries by four or five rows of granules; scales bordering the lower palpebral scales not or only slightly enlarged, separated from the subocular by six or seven rows of gramules and the suborular series; ear surrounded by about twenty seales.
scales on the body in longitudinal rows, the median series distinctly widened; about 70 scales from occiput to atbove anus; 38 scales about neck behind ear: 33 about constricted portion of neck; to about body at axillary region; 30 about the middle of body; 24 about base of tail; dorsal. and rentral scales to a lesser extent, wrinkled or keeled; head scales somewhat rugose.

Limbs well-developed. Twenty-eight seales about the insertion of forearm; seales in axillary region granular; wrist without a welldefined tuberele, this area being covered with four seales of equal size; seales of foream merge gradually into the rounded flattened tubercles of palm, whirh are subequal over much of the surface; lamellar fomula of fingers: $6 ; 10 ; 12 ; 13 ; 8$. Fingers with an intercalated series of scals on outer side (except fifth, on imner side); the terminal lamellae not tightly bound about the clats; about 3t scales around the insertion of the himd leg, an area of small granular seales forming a shallow pocket behind insertion; toes with an intercalated series of seales on outer side. Lamellar formula of toes: $7 ; 11 ; 13 ; 14 ; 9 ;$ scales of leg gradually merge into the rounded
flattened tubercles of heel and sole, which gradually become smaller and more imbricating toward the base of the median toes. Six preanal scates, the median pair much entarged, overtapping the adjoining seale, which in turn overlaps the very small, scarcely differentiated outermost scale; lateral postanal seale differentiated noticeably, its surfaces raised and rounded; subeaudal scales much widened (normally about 34 scales). Head much widened posteriorly.

Color (in alcohol). Above, brown to tan; the head generally more orange-brown on anterior part; begimning on shoulder the body is traversed by irregular light bands about one scale wide, separated by three scale rows, but growing wider low on sides; the median of these three rows bears a transerse band of somewhat ocellated spots. Rostral, nasal and anterior labiats light. A light cream spot on seventh and eighth labials, another anterior to ear; two or three vertical spots of cream on side of neck, the anterior partially involving the ear; limbs and tail of a lighter tan than body; all ventral surfaces dull cream.

Weasurements of Eumeces algerionsis algeriensis (Peters)

| Nuseum <br> Number ${ }^{+}$ | $\begin{gathered} M C . Z . \\ 1159 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M1.CZ} \\ 31+49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kas } \\ & 11019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phil, } \\ & 12123 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USN.MI } \\ 37290 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nich. } \\ & 65763 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phil } \\ & 12122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1.C.Z. } \\ & 31450 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total length | 28.5* | 35 s | 381* |  |  | $305^{*}$ |  |  |
| Snout to vent | 122 | 163 | 173 | 175 | $1 \times 0$ | 18.5 | 185 | 185 |
| snout to eye..- | 9.5 | 10 | 13 | 11 |  |  | 11.5 | 14 |
| Snout to ear | 29 | 29 | $3{ }^{6}$ | 35 |  |  | 38 | 37 |
| Snout to foreleg. | 41 | 15 | 54 | 57 | 55 | 5.5 | 54 | 61 |
| Tail. | 163 | 19.7 | 208 |  |  | 220 |  | . |
| Widtli of heard | 23 | 35 | 29 | 23 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 32 |
| Length of head. | 23 | 33 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 33 |
| Width of body. |  |  | 36 |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| Foreleg | 35 | 43 | 49 | 47 | 46 | 50 | 49 | 48 |
| llind leg | 46 | 49 | 60 | 56 | 53 | 58 | 60 | 54 |
| Longest toe. | 14 | 15 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 16 | 18.2 | 16 |
| Postanal tail width. | 15 |  | 21 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 21 |
| Axilla to groin | 65 | 6 \% | 92 | 97 | 107 | 100 | 95 | 95 |

[^16]Fariation. The largest specimen examined measures 207 mm . shout to vent (locality uncertain; A.N.s.P. No. 9386 ). The number of subeaudals varies from 83 to 86 in the sperimens with perfect tail, 85 in one with the extreme tip regenerated. The parictals are inclosed in none.

In ten specimens, the seales from parictals to above anus vary between 66 and 71 , the number 66 oreurring twice, 67 four times, fi8 once, 69 once, 70 once, and 71 once. The scale rows on neek vary from 29 to 33 , the arerage being about 31 ; seale rows about the middle of the hody 28 to 30 , 28 ocemring twice, 29 once. and 30 seren times. seales about base of tail rary from 20 to 26 . The labials are usually $8-8$, the number $9-9$ oceuring twice, and 8-9 once. The nuchats are usually $5-5,5-4$ occurring three times and $4-4$ once. Invariably two postmentals and no postnasals occur.
supereiliaries five to seven, the wewal number being $5-5 ; 7-7$ oecurs twice. There are either four or three ear lobules, three being a little more frequent. The frontonasal is never in contact with the frontal. Subdigital lamellae under fourth toe eleven to fourteen, 11 occurring twice, 12 five times, 13 five times and 14 seven times. The primary temporal tends to divide, this condition being present in five specimens.

Distribution. This subspecies appears to be confined to the countries of Moroceo and western Algeria, north of the Sahara.

Locality records:
Morocco: Mogador (Brit. Mus. 1) (Zulueta, 1908; numerous specimens) (Pellegrin, 1912) (Bocttger, 1883); Dellaïn, Diruchan, Atlas of Morocco (Boulenger, 1905) : Melilla (Zulueta, 1909, 1 spec.) : Fedhalla (Pellegrin, 1912): Azemmour (Pellegrin, 1912); Fort Gurgens (Pellegrin, 1912); Salé Ored (Pellegrin, 1912) ; Ykem Talaint (Pellegrin, 1912); Anti-Atlas, 650 meters (Pellegrin, 1912) ; Morocco (Brit. Mus. 1); Rabat (Hediger, 1928) (Pellegrin, 1912) : Cazablanea (K.U. 1) (Boettger, 1883) (Werner, 1929).
Algerna: Oran (Brit. Mus. 1) (Paris Mus. 1) (C'S.N.M. 1): St. Cloud (Stratuch, 1862): Le Sig (Strauch, 1862); Arzew (Strauch, 1862); Bône (Guichenot, 1850); Fleurus (Oran Mus.) Taforalt (M.C.Z. 1): Chapelle santa-Cruz (Domerque, 1300): Djebel Yeffry (Domerque, 1900) ; SaintLucien (Domergue, 1900): Klèher (Domergue, 1900); Saint Leu (Domergue. 1900) : Aï-Témouchent (Domergue, 1900) ; Lamoriciere (Domergue, 1900).

Unidentified localities: Northwest Africa (Brit. Mus. 5 ) : West Africa (A.N.S.F 2) (Mich. L. 1); North Africa (M.C.Z. 1).

# Eumeces algeriensis meridionalis Domergue 

(Fig. 12)
SYNONYMY
1900. Eumeces algeriensis var. meridionalis Domergue. Soc. (ieog. Arch. Prov. Oran, XX, 1900. p. 272, pl. XVI, fig. 3 (type description; type locality, Ain Sefra): Werner, Sitz. Kaiserl. Akarl. Wiss. Math., Natur. Klasse Wien., CXXIII, pt. IV, Apr., 1914, pp. 352, 354, 356; and ibid, CXXXVIIl Band, Abt. I, Heft 1 and 2, 1929, p. 11 (Ain Sefra)
History. This form was first recognized by Domergue (1900) in his work on the Herpetology of Oran, and very briefly characterized. The characters chosen to distinguish the form are those based on the "sousoculaires" (the pre- and postsuboculars), the first superciliary, and the ear lobules. The type specimen is very young, "de 11 et 15 mm ." Domergue states that three adult examples were later received from M. Gaston Buchet from Cap Sim (Mogador), which he refers to the same variety. Whether his reference of these specimens to meridionalis can be taken so that they can be regarded as part of the type series I do not know. If so, I propose to designate the smaller, Ain Sefra, specimen as the lectotype, as there may be some doubt as to whether the two forms should be regarded as the same subspecies.

Diagnosis. Related to algeriensis algeriensis but differing in having a lower number of scales (usually six or seven less) from oceiput to above vent; a reduction in the number of nuchals (usually only a single pair instead of four or fivel. Two or four scale rows less about the tail at base; a higher number of supereiliaries (usually $8-81 ; 8-10$ scales in the combined pre- and postsubocular series; these narrow and elongate instead of nearly square.

Scales, in 27 or 28 rows about middle of body; upper labials, 8-8; postmentals, two; no postnasal; subdigital lamellae under fourth toe 18; an area of granular scales posterior to insertion of hind leg; inner preanals overlap outer seales.

The markings are generally similar to those of algeriensis.
Variation. Among the three topotypes from Ain Sefra in the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology, there is a rather negligible amount of variation. The scales from parietals to above anus are $62,62,60$. Scales about the narrow part of neck are $30,29,30$; in axillary region, $36,36,36$; around middle of body, $28,27,28$; upper labials, $8-8,8-8,8-8$. (On the last specimen the eightl labial on one side is broken) ; scales around ear, 19, 19, 20. The supraoculars are in the first $4-5$, one seale being broken; in the second the supraoculars are badly broken; in the third they are normally $4-4$;

Measurements of Eumeces algeriensis meridionalis Domergue

| \useum. <br> Number. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mr } \\ 2-4, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCZ. } \\ & 27+54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ICZ } \\ 274.33 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| snout to vent | 121 | 119 | $\checkmark$ |
| Tail. | 176* | 132* | 119 |
| Snout to eye | $s$ | 8 | 7 |
| Snout to ear | 23 | 24 | 19 |
| snout to foreleg | 10 | 37 | 29 |
| Axilla to groin. | 6.7 | 63 | 4.7 |
| Width of head. | 2.2 | 21 | 16 |
| Length of head. | 23 | 22 | 17 |
| lostanal width | 17 | 14 | 9 |
| Foreleg. . . . . | 31 | 30 | 2.5 |
| Ilind leg. | 44 | 39 | 33 |
| Longest toe. | 12 | 11.5 | 11 |

* Regenerated.
postmentals invariable; behind anus only one or two divided sub)caudals. followed by 93 (in smallest) widened subcaudals; prefrontals invariably in contact; three supraoculars formally touch frontall: pre-and postsubocular series $8-9,9-10,7-9$. The temporals and posterior labials are much the same, save that in the first and largest, the upper secondary temporal is divided abnormally.

Color variation. No. 2745.5 . Light transerse bars strongly evident: the ocellated lines dimly indicated; white lateral spots continuous with the transeree bars; top of head strongly dotted with brown. (iround color olive tail lighter in color than body. Neck spots dim.

No. $\quad-745 t$. same as the preceding, but the ocellated lines more distinct; head heavily spotted, rostral brown. Nasal, supranasals light without soots; labials light with some white blotehes.

No. 274.3 . The lincs of ocelli extend as far at nuchals: 17 or 18 light transverse lines: ten or twelve lateral spots continuous with the transerese lines; tail distinetly banded with lighter.

It appears that the three specimens listed here are those mentioned by Werner 11929$)$, although there are shght discrepancies in the measurements.

Distribution. This subspecies is known from the type locality. Ain sofra. Jomergue hat placed in the subepecies specimens from Mogador, but this ascociation may be questioned matil rerified hy other material from this locality.

## LONGIROSTRIS GROUP

A single species, Eumeces longirostris, is included, characterized by dorsolateral and lateral light lines, which become more or less lost in the adult; the seale rows on the sides are in diagonal rather than in parallel rows; a postnasal; a single, undivided postmental; scales in 32-36 rows; four supraoculars; three pairs of chinshields; limbs elongate, strongly overlapping when adpressed (in adults); eight (or ten) scales border anterior edge of anus; outer two or three small, the third or fourth somewhat larger, overlapping the median enlarged anals and the adjoining outer anal seale. A group of small scales behind insertion of hind leg.

The single isolated species, Eumeces longirostris (Cope), considered in this group, combines features that are characteristic of certain other groups, as, for example, the complete separation of the palpebrals from the supereiliaries; the group of smaller scales following the insertion of the lind leg; and the much enlarged lower secondary temporal, all suggest characters occurring in members of the Schneiderii group. However, it differs from these in many of their most typical charaeters.

It has the general color pattern of the Shiltonianus or Anthracimus groups, but differs in the character of the preanal seales, the squamation of the digits, the general character (shape) of the temporals, the general contours of the body, longer legs, the much greater number of scale rows, and their direction of growth on the sides. In this latter character, the diagonal rows of scales, it agrees with obsoletus, but here the resemblance ceases. From the Fasciatus group, which occupies the territory along the Atlantic coast, it differs in most of the diagnostic characters.

Should we hypothesize that it is a form that has reached the Bermudas from continental America, derived from some form now living, we would have to consider these unique modifications as an immediate result of restriction to a low oceanic island and the intricate interplay of associated envirommental factors which have acted as the stimulus for the mutations or have selected them. I am inclined to the opinion that it is a relic of the more ancient dissemination of the group (genus) as evidenced by the presence of the Schuartzei group in Mexico and Central America, with the most closely related Taemiolatus group in the Central and Western Asiatic regions. It may be regarded as a form contemporaneous with the ancestors of the present Fasciatus, Anthracinus and Skil-
tomianus groups, that has maintaned its primitive characters due to it: long sojourn in an enviromment that has in all probability changed but little since its arrival.

## Eumocos longirostris (Cope) <br> (Plater 11: Figs. 1fi, 17) <br> SYNONYM!

1859. Scincus related to S. fasciatus. Jones. Naturalist in Bemumila.

1sto. Scincus fasciatus Gonlet. Bemmuta, 1460, 1. 251.

1801. Pleatiodon longirostris Cope. Prox. Acal. Nat. Sci. Phala. ()et. Indi, pp. 312-311

 1017. P . 7 .
 lslands): Coode, Amer. Jour. Sci.. 1ar7. p. 290; (iarman, Bull. U. S. Nat, Nus., No.



 Barbour. Checklist N. Anter. Amph. Rept., 2d Ed., 1923, p. T6; idtm, 31 Lil., 1933, p. 31.

History. This species appears to have been first noticed by Mr. Jones. in 18.59, in "The Naturalist in Bermuda." He reported it as common, while former writers had either not mentioned it or stated that lizards did not oecur. D. T. L. Godet, in "Bermuda." in 1860. mentioned two speries. Scincus fasciatus and Scincus ocellatus. It would appear that he mistook old males for the ocellatus, and the young blue-tailed ones for the Scincus fasciatus.

In 1861 Cope described the species under the name Plestiodon longivostris, giving a careful description, and comparing the form with Plestiodon laticeps.

Garman (1885) gives a good description and reviews the history of the species. He calls attention to the fact that Captain John smith, of colonial fame, reported "large" lizards on the islands in carlier times but at the time of his writing they were extinct, having been killed by cats. Garman is uncertain whether the auther might be referring to this species or to a larger insular species such as occurs now in the Galapagos Islands. The term "large" is or may be very relative, and unfortunately no standard of size is given.

The six types were collected he J. H. Darrell, who sent them to the E.S. National Museum. The type locality is "Bermuda."

Later. Yarrow ( 182 ) ) reports another specimen in the United Stater National Museum, collected by (i. Brown Goode. In 1887. Boulenger describes the form from a lot of four specimens obtained in Bermuda by the Challenger Expedition. since that time large
series have reached Eastern Museums, collected by Philip Pope, L. S. Mobray, E. Q. Vanatta, T. H. Bean, R. L. Ditmars, T. Barbour, Mr. (iross and E. L. Mark.

The six types are catalogued under U.S.N.MI. No. 4737. I designate the largest specimen, having a body length of 71 millimeters and a tail length of approximately 76 millimeters, as the lectotype. The series is in good condition.

Diagnosis. Eumeces longirostris is a medium-sized species of the genus, reaching a body length of 80 mm ., characterized by a dorsolateral line beginning above the first superciliary and contiming to base of tail; a lateral line begimning on the anterior labials and continuing to tail, sometimes broken on side of neck; evidence of a sublateral line in young. Scales small, in 32-36 rows around body, the dorsal and lateral scales smaller than ventrals, the laterals smallest, and arranged in distinct diagonal rows on the sides of body; a postnasal present; the postmental undivided; limbs long, strongly orerlapping ( 18 millimeters in adults) when adpressed; four supraoculars, three touching the frontal; a large pair of nuchals, sometimes followed by a second very narrow pair; seven or eight upper labials, four or five preceding the subocular. The typical lines are lost in old specimens.

Description of species. Rostral distinctly wider than high (2 mm. to $21 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$.), forming an angle behind; supranasals relatively very large, forming a broad median suture, touching nasal and postnasal laterally, the first loreal and frontonasal posteriorly; the suture with the loreal less than half that with the frontonasal; frontonasal much broader than long, forming sutures with the anterior loreals; prefrontals large, very wide, forming a median suture equal to half their width, laterally in contact with the two loreals, the suture with the first less than half that with the sccond; the suture of the first supraccular large, that of first superciliary small (K.U. 7280 abnormal in having the first superciliary broken and joining with a segment from the first supracular so that there are five supraoculars on the left side) ; frontal forming an obtuse angle anteriorly, broadly in contact with the three anterior supraoculars, abruptly pointed behind, separating the frontoparietals and coming in contact with the attenuated end of the interparietal (or with frontoparietals in contact narrowly); parietals not greatly widened, separated widely ly the interparietal; one pair of nuchals, normally (rarely two; when present, the anterior much larger but not so wide transversely as the posterior' ; frontoparictals small, touching two supraoculars.

Nostril pierced in the nasal, which is divided by two sutures from nostril, the posterior part small, being merely the rim of the nostril, the anterior part smaller than postnasal; the nostril is posterior to suture of first lathial with rostral; posthasal distinct, touching two labials; two loreals, both ver low, the anterior loreal not higher than greatest height of second: latter longer than high, trumeate posteriorly, separated from the subocular labial by two presubocular seales, the anterior much harger than posterior; seven or eight supereiliaries: four supraoculars normally, three touching the frontal; five small postsuboculars, upper posterior enlarged, the


Fig. 16. Eumeces longirostris (Cope). K.U. No. 7280; Castle Island, Bermuda Islands. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 14 mm .; width, 12 mm .
others scarcely differentiated from small scales of lower eyelid; ten upper palpebral scales, normally separated from the superciliaries by a row of small granules; lower eyelid with a series of enlarged, vertically elongate scales, separated from the subocular by five rows of small granular scales; two very small postoculars.

Eight upper labials, the fifth smallest, the eighth largest, or seventh and eighth of nearly equal size and height; the primary temporal quadrangular, wedged between and forming equal sutures with the serenth and eighth labials, separated from the parietal by the last postsubocular; two large secondary temporals, the upper clongate, slender, nearly three times as long as wide, in contact with the parietal its entire length; lower secondary temporal very large, much larger than eighth labial, its lower posterior side slightly rounded; this is followed by a narrow, elongated tertiary temporal; eighth labial separated from ear by three or four postlabial scales
covering a distance equal to the entire length of eighth labial; temporals separated from ear by one or two seales; ear opening large, vertically oval, twice as high as wide.
Mental large, having a much wider labial border than the rostral; postmental single, very large; three pairs of chinshields, the first pair in contact, two following pairs separated; third pair followed by an elongate postgenial and a smaller, similarly shaped scale, longer than wide.

Dorsal seales larger than laterals, and usually smaller than ventrals; seale rows on sides of body and tail diagonal; the median ventral series of the tail somewhat widened (about 1.2 to 2.9 mm .), 109 seales in the subcaudal series. The number of scales in a row from parictal to above anus, 63 to 67 ; seales about auricular opening 26 to 31 ; two or three minute lobules on auricular margin.

Thirty scales about insertion of leg; about 24 around insertion of arm; outer wrist tuberele rounded, padlike, separated from typical arm scales by three rows of granules. Ten or eleven large, rounded, padlike seales on palm surrounded by smaller granular scales, and a few interpolated among the group; the lamellae under proximal two thirds of toes flattened pads, not imbrieating; one or two series of intercalated scales on basal half (or two thirds) of fingers on inner side, between the dorsal and ventral lamellae; none on outer side. On the toes, this same condition exists, the two intercalated series reaching to or almost to the last distal joint.

Claws short, thick, the terminal lamellae not bound tightly about claws; heel scales large, contiguous, juxtaposed, none of the larger or smaller scales on the sole imbricating. In the axilla there is a group of small, nonimbricating, pavementlike seales, and a similar but somewhat less extensive group back of the insertion of the hind leg; lamellar formula for fingers: $8 ; 11 ; 15 ; 17 ; 11$; for toes: $9 ; 13$; 18; 24; 14 .
Ten scales border anterior edge of vent, the three outer on each side very small; the fourth enlarged somewhat, and overlapping the very strongly enlarged median seales, and likewise overlapping the adjoining smaller scale, differing thus from other known species; three scales in the lateral postanal region of males differentiated; these are somewhat rounded on thie surface and shaped differently from the surrounding seales. The pitting on the seales is extensive, occurring along the sides of neek and body and for some distance on the tail; these are also prominent in posthumeral and postfemoral regions; a few dorsal scales likewise have dim evidence of
pits. There are msually six pits, frequently more; they are elongated, extending along the posterior edge of the scale for short distance.

Body moderately stout, with a relatively short axilla to groin measurement, the distance from the snout to foream contained in the axilla to groin distance 1.3 times; limbs strongly developed, the adpressed hind limb reaching elbow of adpressed foreleg or just failing to reach the axilla; the foreleg about two thirrls the distance from axilla to grom, raching forward to beyond eye; the width of body contained in head and body length little more than five times; tail heary, thick at base; head slender, somewhat longer than wide, the snout somewhat elongated but not eonspicuously so. Diameter of eye contained 1.35 times in the distance from tip of snout.

Color and markings (from K.U. No. 8215, Bermuda). Ceneral ground color of dorsal region grayish olive, practieally uniform on four median rows anteriorly, and six median rows posteriorly; dorsolateral line light greensh to creamy white. It originater on the edge of first supraocular, continues back to base of tail, covering 2 half scale rows; it bordered abose by a deep brown line equal to one seale row in with anteriorly, narowing posteriorly; rostral region light, with two light areas extenting back along prefrontals and onto sides of frontal; labials with a few seattered, ereamy, irregularly placed whitish spots, more or less linear in arrangement, the line passing through ear and continuing as a broken series of spots to above forelimb, becoming continuous here and continuing to groin; between the light lines the color is deep ehocolate brown for a width of about four to four and a half scales; rentral to lower light line and bordering it is a narrow, dark chocolate-brown stripe; chin and lower labials light, belly bluish gray; the lateral brown stripe continues some distance on tail.

Variation. This form, like most of the other species, shows considerable variation in the evolution of the color pattern due to age. The scale relationships appear rather constant. Some 40 specimens examined show the postnasal present in all save one (A.M.N.H. No. 27180), and only a single specimen (A.M.N.H. No. 27172 ) has two postmentals. The number of labials varies between seven and eight. The latter number is due to the breaking of the third or fourth labials into two parts. Thus either four or five labials preeede the subocular labial. In forty specimens, 25 have five preceding the subocular (eight upper labials) and 14 have four preceding the subocular (seven labials) and one specimen four on one side, five
Table of Measurements of Enmeces longirostris (Cope)

on the other. The number of supereiliaries varies: the number 5 appears five times; 6 , sixteen times: 7 . fourteen times, while 8 only three times. In two cases the number differed on sides of same animal: $8-7$; $7-8$. A single specimen showed variation in the supraoculars. The subdigital lamellae under the longest toe raries from 19 to 25 as follows. The number 19 appears once; 20, two times: 21 , eleven times; 22 , sixteen times; 23 , five times; 24 , one time. and 25 , onee.

The character of the temporals varies somewhat from that given in the deseription, but the condition deseribed may be regarded as typical; the upper sceondary temporal is frequently segmented, forming two upper temporals, the posterior part the larger; the tertiary temporal may likewise be divided. Occasionally the second pair of transversely widened nuchals is absent on one or both sides. The relationships of the frontonasal to the loreal, and the union of the prefrontals, appear to be constant.

The coloration given is that of a young male, probably adult, since the testes are large. In younger specimens the markings are generally on the same plan. There are markings on the rostral and on the canthus rostralis that are analogous to the anterior part of the two lines formed by the bifureation of the median line in other species. The tail is bluish or purplish black.
In an older female (K.U. No. 8211), the dorsal coloration is grayish-olive, and the dorsolateral light lines are still more or less in evidence bordering the brown lateral stripe; but practically no trace remains of the narrow brown stripe bordering the dorsolateral light stripe above, save a few brownish flecks. The lateral brown band is of a very light brown color, broken up by diagonal series of light greenish dots forming diagonal rows; these are distinet, low on the sides, and may reach as far as the dorsolateral light line; the lower lateral light line is almost obsolete; the chin and preanal plates creamy-white.

In a large male (K.U. 8216) the entire dorsal and lateral surface is dark brown with a very large part of the scales showing greenish fleck:. On the sides the lateral brown band shows fewest flecks. Lower on sides the greenish flecks are arranged in diagonal rows directed backward: the head is greenish to yellowish-olive above, heavily flecked with brown in the occipital region, less so anteriorly; on the sides of the head a light yellowish color predominates, with a darker area behind and below the eve. The labials are flecked with dull reddish-vellow; the chin and anterior
part of the throat are immaculate yellow; belly bluish or greenishblue.

In (M.C.Z. No. 6911) embryos in eggs about ready for hatching, the white dorsolateral lines are seen beginning on the first or second supraoculars; a pair of elongate light spots on the snout simulate the termination of a pair of head lines. These spots extend from rostral along the anterior part of the sides of the frontal; the lateral lines are represented by a series of spots on the neck. The embryos are 29 to 30 millimeters long.

Remarks. The presence of this species on the Bermuda Islands is distinctly puzzling. There are no near relatives, and it appears to be an archaic form that has existed since Bermuda was connected with a former land mass; or that reached Bermuda from some land that is no longer existent; or that came from a body of land still existent but from which its ancestors have disappeared.

Unfortunately, other reptilian contemporaries have not survived on Bermuda. These, did they still exist, might offer a clue as to which of these possibilities was most likely. However, it appears that the true history of the colonization of the land, now Bermuda, is lost forever in oblivion.

Distribution. The species occurs only on the Bermuda Islands.


Fig. 17. Distribution of Eumeces longirostris (Cope), in Bermuda Islands.
Locality records:
Bermuda Islands: (M.C.Z. 54) (A.M.N.H. 37) (U.S.N.M. 6) (Field Mus. 25) (A.N.S.P. 2) ; Castle Island (M.C.Z. 30) (K.U. 4) (Mich. 6) ; Ducking Stool (A.N.S.P. 2).

## LYNXE GROUP

This group, comprising two closely related Mexican forms, is characterized as follows: A short median light line runs forward bifurcating on the medial or anterior part of the frontal; and these resulting lines reunite on the frontal. They are in contact anteriorly
with the dorsolateral light lines which follow the third and fourth scale rows. A broad lateral brown stripe present ; a lateral light line to groin. bordered below by a darker line; indistinet dotted dark lines on dorsal seales. Tail bluish in young. Ovoviviparous. Limbs small, widely separated in adults when adpressed. Scate rows, 릉 to 26 . Supraculars variable.

## Key to the Forvis

A. Four supratulars ................................................ece lynxe lynxe (Wiegmann), 163
A.A. Three supraneulars ................................. Eumeces lynxe furcirostris (Cope), 173


Fig. 18. Distribution of members of the Lynxe group, in Mexico.

## Eumeces lynxe lynxe (Wiegmann)

(Plate 41, Fig. B; Figs. 18, 19)
SYNONYMY
1823. Scincus quinquelineatus var. Wiegnam. Isis, 1828, p. 373.

1-34. Euprepes lynce Wiegmann. Herpet. Mexicana, 1934, pp. 36-37 (type description; type locality, "Specimena nostra prope Chico invenit Depp"'); Arch. für Naturg., Jahr. 1, Band 2, 1-35, p. 2s8; German, Bull. Essex Inst., XVI, 1884, p. 15 (under Eumeces).
1s39. Plestiodon quinquelincatum Duméril and Bibron. Erp. Gén., V, 1839, pp. 707-708 (part.) (Euprepes lynxe made a synonym of quinquelineatum Linnaeus); Dugis, La Ňaturaleza, (1), I, 1870, p. 144;? Gravenhorst, Nova Act. Acad. Leop.-Carol., XXIII, 1551, pp. 350-354 (part.).
1345. Plestiodon Bellii Gray. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 1845, p. 92 (type locality unknown).
1804. Eumeces lynxe Peters. Monatsb. Acad. Wiss. Berlin, 1864, p. 484; Bocourt, Miss. Sci. Mexique, Livr. VT, 1579 , pp. $437-439$, pl. XXIl E, figs. 9, 9a, 9b, 9c, 9d (deseription of specimens from Guanajuato, Mex.); Sumichrast, La Naturaleza, (1), VI. 1882-1884, pp. 31-45 (reports the species common up to 3,000 meters on Orizaba; perhaps this is furcirostris) ; Cope, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci., Phila., XXII, 1885, P. 170 (key characters); Günther, Biol. Cent. Amer., Rept. Batr., 1885, p. 32; Boulenger,

Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887, p. 380 (part.) (specimen from Jalapa); Cope, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 32, 1887, p. 46; Garman, Bull. Essex Inst., X1X, 1887, p. 129 ; Dugès, La Naturaleza, (2), 1, 1889, p. 282 (Aztec name); Cope, Rept. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1898, (1900), p. 630 (key); Dugès, La Naturaleza, (2), II, 1900, p. 484 (Guanajuato) ; Gadow, Proc. Zoïl. Soc. London, 1905, pp. 218-219 (habits; also listed as Eumeces lynce, typ. error, p. 233).
?1865. Plistodon lynxe Cope. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1865, pp. 185-198 (this specimen, "Tableland and Southern mountains of Mexico, Doctor Sartorius Coll.," is referred by Cope [1887] to E. brevirostris; a specimen of E. copei in the National Museum, No. 7037, with only "Mexico" as a locality label, may be the specimen referred to).
1887. Eumeces bellii Boulenger. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887, pp. 378-379.
1931. Eumeces lynxae Hartweg. Copeia, No. 2, 1931, p. 61 (ovoviviparity).

History. The first specimen of Eumeces lynxe reached Europe in a collection made by Ferdinand Deppe in Mexico. The specimen was mentioned by Dr. A. F. Wiegmann (Isis, 1828, p. 373) under the designation Scineus quinquelincatus var. Schneid. as follows:
"Aus der Familie der Scincoiden erhielten mir die von Schneider (Hist. Amphib., II, p. 201) beschriebene Varietät des Scincus quinquelineatus mit dem blauen Schwanze velche von den Einwohnern Lynxe genannt und wegen ihres vermeintlichen giftes sehr gefürchtet. Auch Hernandez* erwähnt ihrer bereits unter dem namen Tetzauhcoatl."

In 1834 Wiegmann, while dealing with the entire known Mexican herpetological fauna, described this specimen under the name of E [uprepis] lynxe, failing to associate the form with Eumeces, the newly created genus of his own making in this same work.

Peters (1864) appears to have been the first to place the form in its correct genus. Cope (1865) used the generic name Plistodon; Bocourt (1879) refers the species again to the genus Eumeces; and most authors have subsequently referred the species to this genus.

The form of the specific name of the species has been changed by Hartweg (1931) from lynxe to lynxae, which seems to be incorrect. The name is presumably (vide Wiegmann [1828]) the Latin equivalent of native Mexican for the large wild cats; and the scientific name based on the classic Latin word lynx, if placed in the genitive, would be lyncis. This change is not necessary.

Gray (1845) described Plestiodon Bellii from a specimen from an unknown locality. Boulenger (1887) maintained it as a separate species, apparently on the basis of its having a large first supraocular touching the frontal, and having the sixth and seventh labials of equal size. H. W. Parker, of the British Museum, has recently had the great kindness to examine the type to see if aught could be determined regarding its origin, and to compare it with Eumeces lynxe. He writes:

[^17]
#### Abstract

"Nothing whatever is known of the locality of the specimen; it was formerly in Thomas Bell's collection and most of the specimens went to Oxford; I have written to see if there is any list or catalogue in existence. I am afraid that, having no knowledge of the genus whatever, I am not competent to express any views as to its statis, but it appears to me to be very close to E. lynue in most characters except that the first supraocular is decidedly larger and in eontact with the frontal."


Mr. Parker has furnished a photograph of the type to me for study.

An examination of the exeellent photographs causes me to concur with Mr. Parker's opinion. In the material available to me of this species I find that the first supraocular is variable as regards its relation to the frontal. Sperimens having the two scales in contact, and others having them separated, appear in the same brood. Likewise, this same variation obtains in speeimens from identical localities. Some of these show variation in the length of the suture. In certain ones it is considerable; in others the scales are in contact at a single point. The size of the sixth labial varies somewhat so that oceasionally it approaches the seventh in size. Unless it is possible to show that a considerable population exists in which these characters are fairly stable, it seems best to consider bellii a synonym of E. lynxe.

Boulenger has placed Eumeces furcirostris as a synonym of lynxe, probably presuming it to be merely an abnormal specimen. The type has a divided frontal, and only three supraocułars, and these characters were used by Cope to separate it from lynxe. The character of the reduced number of supraocular plates, appearing as it does in the southern part of the range, seems to warrant the retention of Cope's species as a subspecies of lynxe. I betieve the division of the frontal to be an abnormality, since I have found this condition on several occasions in other species of the genus: viz. laticeps, fasciatus, and skiltonianus, and it does not occur in a second specimen of furcirostris examined.

Gadow ( $1905, \mathrm{pp} .128,195$ ) mentions Eumeces fuscirostris (sic). It would appear that he really meant brezirostris, since he later omits fuscirostris and records brevirostris from the same locality and eleration.

The type locality of Wiegmann's species is "prope Chico." This place name probably refers to a locality of this name either in Vera Cruz or Pueblo; both are near the old Camino Real between Mexieo City and Vera Cruz.

Diagnosis. A medium-sized species with limbs touching in young, widely separated in adults when adpressed. A median light line, extending to the shoulder: or somewhat beyond, bifurcates on the frontal, the parts joining again on the rostral; the dorsolateral light lines distinct, usually retained in adults (but may be lost in old males), beginning on rostral, and extending to base of tail, usually lessening in distinctness posteriorly; a lateral light line begins on rostral and continues to groin; one postmental; no postnasal; anterior superciliary may or may not touch the prefrontal; first supraocular either in contact or not, with the frontal; four supraoculars.


Fig. 19. Eumeces lynxe lynxe (Wiegmann). A.M.N.H. No. 12835; Hidalgo, Mexico. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 9.3 mm .; width, 8 mm .

Description of species. Rostral moderate, the portion visible above normal; supranasals large, widely separating the frontonasal from the rostral; frontonasal much broader than long, touching anterior loreal laterally and usually forming a suture with the frontal (sometimes not) ; prefrontals rather small, usually separated, touching both loreals laterally and very broadly in contact with the first supraocular; frontal not noticeably elongated, but distinctly longer than its distance from the end of the snout, somewhat narrowed at a point not far from the posterior end, after which it widens slightly, touching three supraoculars laterally (sometimes only two, in which case the most anterior is excluded) ; frontoparietals more or less rectangular, forming a moderate median suture ; interparietal about a third longer than wide, not inclosed by the parietals; two pairs of nuchals, the anterior of same transverse length but distinctly wider than posterior.

Nasal diagonally placed, rectangular, twice as long as high; the nostril directed down and forward, posterior to the suture of the first labial with the rostral; no postnasal; two loreals, the anterior higher, touching two anterior labials; posterior loreal about as long as high, touching the second and third labials, but widely separated from the fourth; two presuboculars; six supereiliaries (rarely five or seven), first relatively small, seareely of greater bulk than second, usually excluded from contact with the prefrontal; four supraoculare, the anterior variable in size and in its relation to the frontal; three postsuboculars; seven upper labials, the last usually largest, separated from auricular opening by a curved, elongate postlabial; this separated from ear by two minute scutes; subocular (fifth labial) somewhat longer than high, somewhat higher posteriorly; first labial largest of the first four, not abruptly elevated posteriorly; the fourth smallest; fou temporals, the primary about as large as those of the second series, forming a moderate suture with the lower. excluding the seventh labial from the upper secondary; the tertiary is narrow, elongated, curved, entering the auricular border; labial border of mental more extensive than that of rostral; a single postmental; three typical, paired chinshields, followed by an elongate postgenial shield, bordered on its imer anterior edge by a scale wider than long; six lower labials; eve length equal to the distance from nostril: palpebral scales in contact with the superciliaries save for one or two small intercalated scales at anterior and posterior corners; a small preocular and two small postoculars; three or four enlarged opaque scales on the lower eyelid separated from the subocular labial by two rows of granular scales.

Ear opening small, rounded, surrounded by about 16 scales; usually a single, roundel, preauricular lobule, or one large and one small one; seales of the two median dorsal series transersely elongated anteriorly, all with curving posterior edges, not, or only slightly, larger than adjoining rows; scales on sides of body and narrow part of neek parallel; seales on sides behind arm not strongly diagonal; seale rows around neck immediately behind ear. 28; around narrow part of neek, 25; behind arm, 29: about middle of body. 2t; about base of tail, 15 to 17 ; from occiput to above anus, 60 to 63 : seales on sides and abrlomen not or only slighty smaller than the dorsal series; nine or ten seales about arm insertion; fourtecn about insertion of leg; eight preanal seales, the two median much enlarged, those adjoining laterally decreasing in size, the outer ones smaller but overlapping the imer; the scales under the tail
distinetly widened; tail only a little longer than head and body; limbs small, widely separated when adpressed; lamellar formula of fingers: $5 ; 8 ; 11 ; 10 ; 8$; of toes: $5 ; 9 ; 11 ; 12 ; 8$. A series of five enlarged scutes border the heel; three or four enlarged tubercles on posterior part of the sole.

Color. Above generally brownish olive with (usually) a series of six very narrow lines of small blackish dots; somewhat posterior to the shoulders a median light line bordered with brown begins and continues forward, growing more distinct; on the anterior or medial part of the frontal it bifureates and the branches pass to the prefrontals, where they unite with the dorsolateral light lines and continue to rostral ; the dorsolateral light (whitish or cream) line passes back along the side, on the edges of the third and fourth scale rows; a broad brown lateral stripe from in front of eye to tail, slightly wider on neek, where it involves the upper edge of ear, but continues as a stripe of uniform width the length of the body, covering the whole of the sixth scale row and half of the two scale rows adjoining; this stripe bordered above by the dorsolateral light line, and below by a lateral light line; latter begins on rostral and continues to groin, where it stops or is indistinctly continued on the front of femur; the lateral line is bordered below by a very narrow indistinct darker line, below which the color merges into the dull bluish-gray of the abdomen; chin and lower labials cream; a cream or whitish area on breast; tail an indefinite bluish-gray; the dark color of the back continues some distance on tail, behind which indistinct flecks can be observed. Each scale on side of tail has a darker area; anal seales and the median ventral subcaudals of lighter color, usually of a shade of lavender; head slightly more brownish than back, irregularly flecked with darker.

Variation. Seventy-eight specimens of this species have been available for study, representing localities from a considerable part of its known range. By far the largest number of these specimens are in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy at Harvard, collected in Guerrero and San Miguel, Hidalgo, by W. M. Mann; and in the Alvarez Mountains, San Luis Potosi, by Edw. Palmer and W. W. Brown.

The number of scale rows about the body and neck varies as follows: Behind ear, 27 to 32 ; about more constricted portion of neck, 23 to 26 , with 25 occurring twice as frequently as any other number (one specimen has 29 rows) ; about middle of body, 22 to 26 , with 26 occurring once, 25 , three times, 24,71 times, 23 , once,
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and 22, twice. The upper labials are seven, save in one specimen with six on each side, and one with a formula of seven-eight. The seventh (or last) of the series is invariably the largest, but the sixth often approaches it in size. Two pairs of nuchals are especially constant; only one exception, this having a single pair, was noted. The single postmental is invariably present, and the postnasal invariably absent. The superciliaries are usually six, the numbers five or seven rarely occurring. The relation of the frontonasal to the frontal is quite variable. They touch in 46 specimens, and are separated in 32. The exclusion of the first supraocular from the frontal occurs in 13 specimens; in three of these, it was true on one side only. This variation oecurs more frequently in specimens from Guerrero, Hidalgo, but it is not constant. The number of lamellae under the fourth toe varies from cleven to fourteen, in the following order of frequency: $14,13,11,12$, the last number being three times as frequent as any of the others. A single specimen has $15-16$ lamellae. The number of scales from oceiput to above anus usually 60 to 63 , occurring in the following order of frequency: 60,63 , 61,62 , the latter two numbers slightly more numerous than the former two. One specimen has 65 , while seven have only 59 . The parietal is never inclosed. The first supereiliary varies greatly in its relationship with the prefrontal, being in contact in about 47 percent of the cases and separated in 53 percent. The frontonasal is invariably in contact with the loreals. The number of presuboculars was constantly two the usual number of postsuboculars is $3-3$, with $3-4$, and $4-4$ oceurring rarely. The character of the temporals is remarkably constant. The primary is always large and invariably touching the lower secondary. Usually there is a single anterior postlabial followed by a pair of small scales.

The ground color varies in the adult from a bronze to chocolate, or olive-brown. Usually dark areas, on the scales of the six dorsal rows, form indistinct dotted longitudinal lines; the two median, where they border the median light line anteriorly, may join and form continuous lines. The dorsolateral light lines vary from greenish-white to yellow-cream. In old specimens they may be grayish or even tan, and usually less distinct posteriorly, but rarely becoming completely lost posteriorly. The dorsolateral line occupies the outer half of the third scale row and a small adjoining part of the fourth row; the brown lateral stripe is always separated from its fellow by six complete scale rows and the edges of the adjoining rows.

In two specimens. 19083 and 19087, M.C.Z., practically all trace of the median line is wanting, as well as the bifureating lines on the head. No. 19087 is light brown, the seales not showing the dotted black lines. The head is colored like the body; the lateral stripe is dark chocolate brown and very distinct, but the light stripes normally bordering it are scarcely discernible. No. 19083 is olive in color, the dotted lines dimly visible on the back. Other large specimens from the same locality have the light lines more or less distinct.

The tails are usually grayish or bluish or bluish-gray. The brown stripe is continued a greater or less distance on its sides. The under side of the tail, in preserved specimens, is very often lavender in color (possibly pinkish in life). In the young the light stripes are more distinct anteriorly. Only rarely can the median line be traced back past the middle of the body, and it appears never to be very distinct past the shoulders.

The head is dark brown to blackish. The forking lines which begin on the frontal and join the dorsolaterals on the prefrontals may sometimes be very indistinct even in very young specimens ( 26 mm ). Usually, though, they are very distinct, the separation beginning about midway on the frontal.

The upper part of the ear is not involved in the lateral light line. In older specimens, the forking line is the first part of the median line to disappear, but occasionally it is retained in fully adult specimens ( 50 to 60 mm .).

The minimum size of the young when born is 26 to 27 millimeters; the largest specimens seen, a mate and female, measure 70 millimeters. In the very young the limbs when adpressed touch or overlap one or two millimeters. In old adults they are separated by as much as 15 millimeters.

Remarks. Hartweg (1931) has reported on presumed ovoviviparity in the species. In the material cxamined I found developing embryos in M.C.Z. numbers 19082, 11318, 11324, 11325, 11328, 11323. and 11331. Ovoviviparity would appear to be the normal method of reproduction in this species.

An attempt to locate definitely the type locality. Chico has not been successful. There are villages of this name in Jalisco, near Mascota, in the district of Tepexi, Puebla, near Irapuato, Guanajuato, and rillages named El Chico near Jalapa, Vera Cruz, near Autlan, Jalisco, and near Coahuayana, Michoacán. One would presume that the one in Puebla, not far from the Mexico City - Vera

Cruz highway, or that in Vera Cruz, might be the locality mentioned by Wiegmann.

The native Mexican name in Guanajuato is Agujilla. I collected about Santa Rosa where Dugès obtained specimens, but neither here or elsewhere in Mexico did Hobart Smith or I find specimens of the species. The small skinks are regarded as deadly by the people near Santa Rosa, while many other lizards were said to be harmless.

The relationship of this subspecies is nearest to lynxe furcirostris; both are apparently aberrant members of, or related to, the Brevilineatus group, differing in certain characters of markings and squamation, and differing especially in their mode of reproduction.

Distribution. Eumeces lynxe, a high mountain or plateau form, occupics a considerable portion of the southern plateau region. It probably does not reach the western and southern limits of the plateau on the Pacific side. However, there are certain questionable records of the species in southern Jalisco, or Colima, and in Guerrero.

These two records, "Nevado de Colima," and "Omilteme, Sierra Madre, west of Chilpancingo, Guerrero" of Gadow (1905), may be questioned, on the presumption that the material was identified incorrectly. It is possible that the "Nevado de Colima" specimens are now represented in the British Musuem collection by a specimen identified as brevirostris, labeled "Nevada Camp" Gadow. I can find no trace of the Omilteme speeimen.

The British Museum has two specimens identified as lynxe from "Tauvitavo, Michoacín, 8.000 feet." Neither the "Directorio General de Correos y Telegrafos," nor any recent map I have consulted, gives Tauvitavo as a place name of settlement or mountain. It is possible that it is a mis-pelling (or misreading of a label) for Tarecuato, Tarejero, Taretaro, Tarietaro, or Tarimoro, all place names in Michoacin. The eastern part of Michoacín is within the presumed range of the species. The species is definitely known from the states of Guanajuato, Hidalgo, and San Luis Potosí. The records for Yera Cruz probably refer (at least for the most part) to Eumeces lynxe furcirostris (Cope).

Locality records:
Vers Crez: Alpine Region of Orizaba to 3,000 meters (Sumichrast 1882);
Jalapa (several specimens. Boulenger [1887]. Possibly certain of these should be referred to $E$. lynxe furcirostris).
San Lutis Potosí: Alvarez Mountains (M.C.Z. 11) ; Alvarez (M.C.Z. 28).
Michoacan: "Tauvitaro," 8,000 feet (British Mus.) (Doubtful).
Guerrero: "Omilteme," Sierta Madre west of Chilpancingo, 8.000 feet (Gadow, 1905) (Doubtful).
 1) ; Guerrero (M.C.Z. 21) (A.M.N.II. 4) (Mich. 4 with 6 embryos); "Hidalgo" (A. Duges Mus. 1).
Peebla: Zacatlan (A.M.N.If. 1).
Guanduuto: Santa Rosa (A. Dugès Mus. 2); "Ciuanajuato" (Bocourt, 1879). Indeterminate records: Mexico (U.S.N.M. 1) (identified as E. Bellii); near Chico (type locality' 1, Wiegmann).

> Eumeces lymxe furcirostris (Cope)
> (Figs. 1s, 20)
> sYNONYMY
1085. Eumeces furcirostris Cope. Proc. Amer. Phil. Soc., XX11, Jan. to Oct., 1885, pp. 169 170 (printed Mar. 7, 1885) (type description; type locality not stated); idem, p. 380 (Jalapa named as the type locality): Günther, Biol. Cent. Amer., Rept. Batr., Oct., 1885, p. 33 ; Ferrari-Perez, Proc. L'. S. Nat. Mus., IX. 1886, p. 196 (state of Puebla; Teziutlan) ; Cope, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus. No. 32, 18.57. p. 169 : and Rep. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1898 (1900), p. fi30 (Key).
185i. Eumeces lynxe Boulenger. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887, p. 380 (part.).
History. The trpe specimen was eollected at Jalapa, Vera Cruz, by Doctor Flohr, and originally formed a part of the collection of the Comision Ceographica, part of which was later obtained by the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia. The specimen, abnormal in the character of the divided frontal, was described by Cope (1885), who at the same time published in the deseription a key to the known Mexican species of the genus. The type is now No. 11327 in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia.

Two vears later Boulenger (1887) placed furcirostris in the synonvmy of Eumeces lymxe (Wiegmann). In the description given for lynxe he notes "four supraoculars, second and third in contact with the frontal. first very small. sometimes mitel with the first supraciliary;" evidencing the presence of this form in the Hocge series of specimens in the British Musemm. It seems that since in the northern part of the range the number of supraoculars in lymxe is fixed at four while in the southeastern part of the range the number is three, it is well to recognize the latter population as representing a subspecies rather than a species, since there is evidence that the characters overlap, in a part of the range, as suggested by the British Museum series.

Diagnosis. Similar to Eumeces lynxe lynxe in having a dorsolateral and lateral light line, with a short median line from the shoulders bifureating on the frontal and joining the dorsolateral lines near the tip of the snout; general character of scale- simika to lynxe lynxe save that there are three supraoculars, two touching
the frontal, and the first supereiliary is larger and invariably in contact with the prefrontal.

Description of subspecies. (From type specimen, No. 11327, A.N.S.P. collection; collected by Doctor Flohr, Jalapa, Vera Cruz, Mexico.) Similar in general contour and markings to Eumeces lynve lynxe. The part of rostral visible from above distinctly less than the frontonasal; supranasals moderately large, in contact mesially, larger than nasals; frontonasal very broad, broadly in contact with the anterior loreal; prefrontals large, fused (abnormally) to form a single scale, and forming sutures with the fronto-


Fig. 20. Eumeces lynxe furcirostris (Cope). E.H.T. and H.M.S. No. 2517, young; Toxtlacuaya, Vera Cruz, Mexico. A, laterial view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 5.3 mm ; width, 4.2 mm .
nasal, frontal, posterior loreal, first supereiliary, anterior loreal, and the first supraocular, the length of sutures in order named; frontal segmented transversely (abnormally), forming an obtuse angle anteriorly, somewhat rounded posteriorly, touching two supraoculars; frontoparietals diagonally placed, longer than broad, forming a strong median suture; interparietal broad, short, not inclosed by the parietals; parietals rather narrow, elongate; first nuchals very large, their longitudinal width more than one and one half times that of the second pair (the right member of second pair divided, leaving a median scale).

Nasal low, elongate, divided by sutures, the anterior portion larger than posterior; nostril directed strongly down and forward; two loreals, the anterior only slightly ligher than posterior; latter largest, broadly in contact with two labials, and forming equal sutures with the first superciliary and the prefrontal; two presuboculars, the posterior deeply wedged between the fourth and fifth
upper labials; three postsuboculars; three supraoculars, the interior very large, triangular; five-six supereiliaries, the anterior very large, the posterior vertical scale relatively very small; primary temporal very large, not or but slightly smaller than the upper secondary, and somewhat smaller than the lower secondary, with which it forms a broad suture; tertiary temporal narrow, elongate, separated from the car be a very mimute scale; seven upper labials, four preceding the subocular, of which the first is highest and largest, the fourth very greatly reduced; serenth labial largest ; postlabial, on the left, one very large diagonally-placed seale, separated from the ear by two minute seales; on right side, the scale is much smaller than on the left and the two following are much larger; two small, very ineonspicuous preauricular lobules; six lower labials; upper median palpebral seales not separated from the superiliaries by granules; two (three) enlarged seales on lower eyelid, separated from the subocular by two rows of granules. Mental with labial border greater than that of rostral; a single azygous postmental; three pairs of nearly equal-sized chinshields; postgenial relatively small, bordered on its inner anterior border by a scale much broader than long; fourteen scales about auricular opening, which is relatively small.

Scales on body generally parallel, these on the dorsal surface somewhat larger than the lateral or ventral series; those of the two median rows slightly larger than adjoining series, and distinctly widened transversely in nuchal region; seales in 30 rows behind ear; around narrow part of neck, 26 rows; in axillary region, 29 rows; about middle of body, 24 rows; about base of tail, 17 ; sixtytwo scales in a row from occiput to above vent; tail regenerated; six preanal scales, the two median strongly enlarged, the two outer small, subequal, the outer overlapping imner; subcaudals very distinctly broadened.

Limbs short, slender, separated by length of eight scales when adpressed; a prominent wrist tubercle; the palm with a group of enlarged scales; lamellar formula of fingers: $5 ; 8 ; 11 ; 9 ; 6$. Heel bordered by four or five enlarged padlike scales, the sole with one or two slightly enlarged tubercles, but scales subequal and slightly imbricate; lamellar formula of toes: $5 ; 9 ; 12 ; 12 ; 8$. The terminal lamellae not tightly bound about base of claws; eleven scales about insertion of arm; a small area of granular scales in axilla; fourteen scales about insertion of hind limb; no granular scales behind insertion; an enlarged scale in the lateral postanal region, undif-
ferentiated save for a lighter colored median area. Pits present on scales; in lateral nuchal region, one or two pits are dimly evident; in axillary region and posterior humeral region the pits are stronger, sometimes four or five pits heing present on a single scale; one or two pits dimly evident on the lateral body scales, but on posterior femoral scales and on sides of the tail in the anal region the scales bear from three to eight pits.

Color. Above generally olive to olive-bronze, the head dark brown, with a short median line extending from the scapular region to the middle of the frontal, where it divides, each part running forward to rostral, inclosing a brown area; dorsolateral light lines extend from the first superciliary back along side of head and body to tail, where they become lost; each follows the middle of the third scale row and covers about half the scales; both the median and dorsolateral lines are edged anteriorly with deep brown, leaving anteriorly intercalated lines of ground color; these dark, bordering lines scarcely reach the middle of body on the dorsal region; a clearly defined brown lateral stripe begins on the loreals and runs to some distance on the tail, anteriorly involving eye and upper half of ear, and bordered above by the dorsolateral, and below by the lateral, light lines; lateral line begins on rostral, follows lower edge of upper labials through lower half of ear to insertion of hind limb; below this the color merges into the light greenish-gray color of the sides; rostral and lower surface of head and neck region light yellow-brown; under side of limbs and area about vent whitish; fingers and toes blotched or barred with silver-gray; under side of feet brownish; upper part of arm and leg dark brown, sharply delimited from the gray color of the posterior humeral and femoral regions of the limbs.

「ariation. The young specimen (T-S. No. 2517) measured below has 22 scale rows, the frontonasal much broader than long, touching the frontal; latter undivided; the prefrontals separated, distinct; the dorsolateral light lines bluish to greenish-white, the median line bifurcating and joining the dorsolaterals, extending posteriorly to the middle of the body, gradually becoming fainter until it is lost; very dim grayish lines begin on the neck and border the edges of the first and second rows; dotted lines on the back scarcely discernible; head blackish; lateral line from third labial, involves lower half of ear, widens a little on the side of neck, and continues as a very narrow line along the side, on the upper edge of the sixth scale row, to sides of tail, interrupted at insertion of
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hind limb; soles and palms dark; chin and breast cream; ventral surfare bluish-gray ; tail ultramarine; adpressed limbs overlap about one millimeter.

Remarks. The type specimen in the Philadelphia Academy of Natural Sciences is still in good condition. The extreme tip of the tail is regenerated, and the color is doubtless somewhat faded.

A very young specimen collected by Hobart Smith at Toxtlacuaya was found in pine forest at an eleration of about 8,000 feet. The specimen was routed from the bark of a fallen pine tree. The tail is a brilliant blue. This specimen is figured. It will be noted that the interparietal is proportionately larger in young than in adult specimens.

It may appear that this form has been retained as a distinct subspecies on relatively meager data. It is true that only a few specimens have reached muscums. With the accumulation of more material of lynxe from Puebla and Vera Cruz, my conclusions as regards the distinctness of this subspecies must either be corroborated, or. failing to do so. must see the name returned to the oblivion of synonymy.

Distribution. This subsecies is foum in southern Hidalgo. Puebla and Vera Cruz. It is probable that there is a part of this
region where the characters of the two subspeeies overlap. (See Fig. 18 for distributional map. 1

Locality records:
?HidalGo: Zacualtipan (A.N.S.P. 1).
Vera Cruz: Jalapa (Xalapa) (Brit. Mus. several) (A.N.S.P. 1, type);
Toxtlacuaya, near Las Vigas, Vera Cruz (Taylor-Smith 1).
Prebla: Teziutlan (Ferrari-Perez 3).

## SUMICHRASTI GROUP

To this group I assign the single species Eumeces sumichrasti (Cope), known from southern Mexico and northern Central America. It is characterized by rather large size and is typically five lined, save that the median light line bifureates on the posterior part of the frontal instead of on the nuchal. Lines lost in the adult males. Two presuboculars; tails blue in young; eight upper labials; two pairs of muehals; postgenial bordered by a seale longer than wide on its imer margin; seales in 28 to 30 rows, parallel on the sides; many lateral seales with numerous pite on posterior borders; subcaudals widened. Limbs large, broadly overlapping when adpressed; terminal lamellae not tightly bound about base of claws.

This group seems to be more or less elosely related to the Fasciatus group, and agrees in most pertinent characters save in the character of the head lines.

Eumeces sumichrasti (Cope)<br>(Plate 12; Figs. 21, 22, 23) SYNONYMY

1866. Ilistodon sumichrasti Cope. Pruc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1866, p. 321 (type description; type locality erroneously stated to be "Orizava"; Sumichrast Coll.).
1867. Eumeres sumichrasti Bocourt. Miss. Sci. Mex., Rept., Liv. 6, 1879, p. 422; Cope, Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc., XXIl, 1885 , p. 170 (Key); Günther, Biol. Cent. Amer., Rept. Batr. 1845. p. 32: Bonlenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mינs., MI, 1887, p. 371 (Jalapa, Honge Coll.) : Cope, Bull. 1. S. Nat. Mus., No. 32, 1s̊i, p. 46 (Orizaba, Vera Cruz; and Potrero, Terra (ahente of Vena Cruz (Sumichrast)).
1868. Eumeces (Plestiodon) sumichrasti sumuhrast. La Naturaleza, VI, 1882-1884, p. 40. ?1595. Eumeces rovirosae Duges. La Natural+za, (2), 11, 1s95-96 (1895), pp. 298-299, Lam. XIll (type description; type lunalty, Mineral de santa Fé, Chiapas; Navarro Coll.): idem. 1896, P. 376; Boulnget, Zoïl. Record, 1893, pp. 1-38 (makes rovirosae a synonym of lynxt).
1869. Eumerts sehmidti 1umb. Pber. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila, LXXXIV, Mar. 22, 1932, pp. 30-31 (type description; type bocality dancetila, H mburas, Rohn Coll.; also listed from Tela, Honduras).

History. Francis sumichrast, a noted swiss collector-maturalist, resident in Mexico from about 1855 to his death in $188^{\circ} 2$, collected the type, the first known specimen of this species. It was forwarded to the smithsonian Institution sometime prior to 1866 , in
which year Cope (18ifit) publi-hed a description. The type, which is still extant and in surprisingly good condition, is an ohd male specimen in which practically all trace of juvenile color and markings has been lost. The tail is regenerated. The type locality was given by Cope as "Orizasa," but the tag bears the inscription "Potrero" (No. 4, F. sumichrast). This village is Potrero (or El Potrero) situated on the highway between Orizaba and Vera Cruz. a few kilometers besond Córdoba.

In 1880 sumichrast published some notes on his collections, and states that he had found the species "en los eneinales de Potrero. cerea de C'órdoba a una altura de 590 metros." This must be regarded as the trpe locality. (iunther (1885) states that Sumichrast found two specimens of this species in the oak woods at a height of 1,800 feet.

The first specimen known to have reached Europe was a young one, collected at Jalapa, by C. T. Hoege. The specimen became a part of the collections in the British Museum and was available to Boulenger when his third volume of the catalogue of the lizards was written (1886). He describes the markings of this specimen, comparing it with lynxe: ". . . light vertebral line (in the young) bifurcating on the frontal, as in $E$. lymue, enclosing a dark rhomboilal spot on the foreheal."

In 1895 Alfredo Dugès obtained a young specimen collected by Jose N. Rovirosa at "Mineral de santa Fé in Chiapas." He deseribed it under the name of Eumeres Roviosae and published a figure of the form in color. This specimen, which I examined, has indeed been "muy mal tratarto del vientre, cuello $y$ ano," as suggested by Dugès. It was imposible to determine the total number of seales round the body, but, judging by the rows on back and sides, the number is 28 or 30 . He notes the similarity of the markings to E. lynve, and likewise notes the distinguishing charatters. The type. unnumbered, is now in the Alfredo Dugès museum in Guanajuato, Mexico.

In 1930 (July-September), while on an expedition to Honduras. two specimens of this speries were encountered by J. A. G. Rehn, one at Tela, and one at Lancetilla. Honduras. This latter specimen. now A.N.S. No. 19877, was made the type of a new -peries, Eumeces schmidti, by Dunn.

The disposal of Eumcess rocirosae and Eumeces schmidti in the synonymy of sumichrasti may seem, on superficial consideration, surprising, since both are fise-lined forms, with the median line
bifurcating on the posterior part of the frontal, a character unique in the genus. (In most forms having the median line the bifurcation is on the first nuchals or the back part of the interparietal; in lynxe and furcirostris the line bifureates on the middle of the frontal. The point of bifurcation is practically constant for a species, so far as data on the genus goes.) On the other hand, sumichrasti is described as a species lacking all trace of lines on the body. Since many species (notably those of the Fasciatus group) tend to lose most or all of the juvenile pattern of coloration in the adult males, it is the anticipated condition in both romirosae and schmidti.

It has been most fortunate that I have been able to examine the types of the three forms, including the cotype of schmidti; and also, I have at hand a superb photograph of the Hoege specimen of sumichrasti, in the British Museum, which was kindly prepared for me by Mr. W. H. Parker. I synonymize them for the following reasons:

First, the geographical probabilities considered, we find the type locality of ronirosae situated approximately 275 miles from that of sumichrasti; that of schmidti, approximately 650 miles. Second, all the localitics are at low elevations: sumichrasti, 590 meters in forest; rovirosae, unknown (probably no higher); schmidti, coastal plain rain forest region; so that they may be generally considered as lowland forms primarily (one record for Jalapa may be higher). Third, the variation observed is well within normal variation to be anticipated in the species. The table of measurements, and the discussion under variation, will show more details of the similarities, and the absence of pertinent characters in the material now available, that would warrant the retention of either, even as subspecies. This does not, of course, preclude the possibility that larger series will show size differences and possibly other characters which would necessitate a different interpretation of the status of either one or both of the forms.

Diagnosis. A large species of the genus characterized in the young and middle aged by the presence of a median line extending the length of the body and onto tail, hifureating on the posterior part of the frontal; a dorsolateral line covering the edges of the third and fourth scale row the length of the body, and a lateral line involving the lower half of ear, and extending onto tail. Limbs, large, broadly overlapping in young and adults; scale rows, 28 to 30 about middle of the body ; no postnasal; one postmental (normally) ; seven or eight upper labials; the postgenial (normally)
bordered medially by a sale longer than wide; rostral low; the prefrontals fommen a suture: seventh labial separated from upper secondary temporal.

Description of type. Rostral low, the portion visible above only about a half the size of the relatively small frontonasal; supranasals large, forming a median suture somewhat shorter than that formed with rostral, their greatest width about three fourths their length: the frontonasal relatively small, in contact laterally with the anterior loreal: prefrontals very large proportionally, apparently equalling area of frontonasal, forming a broad suture mesially, laterally in contact with two loreals, narrowly with the first, while


Fig. 21. Eumeces sumichrasti (Cope). Type, U.S.N.M. No. 6601: Potrero, Mexico. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 16.2 mm .; width, 15 mm . The depth of the head is slightly greater than the drawing shows. Drawing by Dr. Doris Cochran.
the suture with the second is three times as long; the suture with the first supereiliary smaller than that with the first supraocular; frontal distinetly shorter than the distance from frontal to end of snout, reaching only the rostral; anterior angle of frontal is very obtuse, wide anteriorly, diminishing in width gradually; posterior end slightly rounded rather than angular; frontoparietals abnormal; left divided into two parts (nearly into three) ; right separated from frontal and from left frontoparietal by a series of three small scales (one expects these normatly alsent); the interparietal relatively slender, enclosed, narrowly, by the parietals; two pairs of muchals, the posterior edges strongly curving; nasal rather large, divided by sutures, the anterior part strongly triangular, not or but slightly larger than the posterior part; postnasal absent; anterior loreal about equal in height to the larger posterior loreal; superciliaries

9-h, the anterior large, touching prefrontal: four supraoculars, three tounhing the fromtal; a relatively large preocular; two presuboculars; two very small postoculars; four postsuboculars. Eight upper labials, five preceding the subocular, of which the first is the largest, but no higher than the three succeeding labials; eighth labial no higher than seventh, but distinctly larger than any other in series; prinary temporal large; upper secondary temperal wider posteriorly than anteriorly, lower serondary somewhat fan-shaped, touching the primary; the tertiary temporal small, separated from eighth labial and ear: two pairs of postlabial seales, each pair superimponed, of which the lower is larger in cach case; three preauricular lobules. closely flattened against the anterior border of the ear opening: mental with a longer labial borter than the rostral, relatively deep; normally a single postmental (anterior upper part of this scale in the type is aboomally divided, the anterior part not touching labials) ; three pairs of chinshichs, the anterior pair in contact. The postgenial following third pair rather short, longer than wide, segmented longitudinally on one side, single on other; 7-6 lower labials.

Scales of the body generally uniform, the median series no larger than adjoining sale rows, those on side not or only slightly smaller than dorsal scales. Scale row: behind ear, 35; around constricted part of neck, 30 ; about axillary region, 38 ; :about middle of body, 28: six preanal suales, median pair broadened, the outer pairs small, overlapping inner; median subcaudals much wider than adjoining seales, but not, or lout slightly more than, double their depth; an area of small tubercular nomimbricating scales in axilla, a few of which continue above and slightly anterior to forearm; 21 scales about insertion of foreleg; a few enlarged tubercles on posterior edge of patm, with smaller tubereles intermingled; basal lamellae on toes padlike; lamellar formula for fingers: $6 ; 9 ; 13 ; 13 ; 8$; and $6 ; 9 ; 13 ; 13 ; 9$. Formula for toes: $7 ; 11 ; 14 ; 15 ; 12$; and $7 ; 11 ; 14$; 17; 13. Four large scales on heel; outer side of sole with large, flat imbricating seales; inner side with smaller tubercles and three of these somewhat enlarged. Pitting on the scales is practically obsolete.

Color (in alcohol). Gencrally olive-gray, the scales showing darker areas, with a faint lateral stripe of brown; a median dorsal light line is visible for a short distance behind nuchats, but disappears on shoulders; a faint dorsolateral light line evident on cides of neck only; no evidence of a lateral line; head brownish-
yellow, slightly darker in frontal region, and browner in temporal region: chin, tip of shout, breast, and under side of limbs lighter; tail above apparently slightly more brownish.


Variation. The variations in color and markings noted are those having to do with the normal color evolution between young and old. The following description is drawn from the young Jalapa specimen, from photograph; from the types of "schmidti"" and from the type of "rorirosae." The details are identical in all. It will be noted that the types of "schmidte" have been darkened by their preserving fluid (presumably formalin), and the colors are doubtless changed and the markings somewhat obscured.

Color of young: Cround color black or brownish-black; a narrow median cream or yellowish line extends the length of the body and some distance on the tail; on the posterior part of the frontal it bifurcates, reuniting on the rostral; a similarly colored dorsolateral line begins on the anterior supraocular or prefrontal, extends the length of the body on the edges of the third and fourth seale rows to some distance on the tail; along the sides from the labials to the tail is a narow lateral yellowish line involving lower half of the ear, and interrupted by the insertion of the lind leg; a dim postiemoral light line: on sides the gromod color is more intense, and in
older specimens becomes a broad, lateral dark-brown stripe from loreal region to side of tail, covering about two and one half scale rows; the lateral light line bordered below by a narrow dark line that merges with the ground color of the abdomen. The tail is a brilliant blue, fading to grayish-black in older specimens; the abdomen is bluish-gray, the chin, throat, and breast yellowishwhite or cream.

In older specimens, 48 to 64 mm ., the ground color begins to grow lighter, taking on a gray-brown color, leaving the whitish lines bordered with continuous dark lines; the ground color of the head


Fig. 22. Eumeces sumichrasti (Cope). Paratype, E. schmidti Dunn. F.M.N.H. No. 13004; Tela, Honduras. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 11.5 mm .; width, 10 mm .
becomes somewhat spotted with lighter and darker brown. In the old males the color becomes more or less uniform, while in the females (no specimens seen) the juvenile color pattern is likely to be retained to a greater degree. The pitting on scales is distinct, there being five to seven pits present.

In the three type specimens of sumichrasti, schmidti, and rovirosae, the following characters are the same, save where variation is noted. The variation in scale rows about the body is from 28 to 30 ; of the two schmidti specimens, one has 29 , one 30 , while sumichrasti has only 28 ; the rostral is low, the part visible above, small; supranasals invariably in contact; frontonasal broader than long, forming contacts with the anterior loreal; the prefrontals
broadly in contact; supraoculars $4-4$, three touehing frontal (2-2 in rovirosae, the third narrowly separated) ; upper labials $8-8$ in sumichrasti, 8-7 or $7-7$ in schmidti, $7-7$ in rovirosae; postnasal absent ; postmental single (abmomal in paratype of sehmidti, being double) : nuchals two pairs, the posterior strongly eurving (probably two pairs in rovirosae; the specimen is injured); parietals in sumichrasti (type; not in Jalapa specimen) forming a suture, separated in schmidti specimens; frontoparietals as large as prefrontals or slightly larger; seales under tail strongly widened; nasal divided by sutures; presuboculars 2-2; postsuboculars 4-4; superciliaries $8-9$ or 9-9; the temporals in the specimens of all agree save that in the trpe of schmidti the seventh labial is in contact with the upper secondary temporal, separating the primary and lower secondary. The paratype, however, agrees with the condition in the other specimens of sumichrasti; the lamellae under the fourth toe vary: 15-17 in sumichrasti; 17-17 in schmidti; 19-19 in rovirosae. It will be observed that none of the variations are of such a nature that they might not occur in the same species in a single locality.

Remarks. That a species so large and conspicuous should remain so rare in collections is a matter of surprise, oceurring as it does through so wide a territory, and having been diseovered so early in the faunistic exploration of Mexico. Little is known of habits save that it occurs in forests at relatively or very low elevation, but may also attain considerable elevation if the locality "Jalapa" on the British Museum specimen is trustworthy.

The single adult female (schmidti) contains ripe eggs in the oviducts. No evidence of developing embryos was discernible in one egg examined. It is presumed that the form is oriparous.

Distribution. Apparently the species is confined to the lowland region on the east of the southem part of the Mexican plateau, and extending through the isthmus to Honduras. As it appears to be a woodland form, it should be looked for in the peninsular area occupied by Tabasco, Yu'atín. Campeche, Guatemala and British Honduras. A specimen in the British Museum labelled sumichrasti, a photograph of which I have, appears to be tetragrammus or a related form, judging by the color pattern and short legs.

Locality records:
Vera Cruz: "Encinales de Potrero, cerca de Córdoba" (type locality, U.S.N.M. [No. 6601] 1, Sumichrast Coll.) ; Jalapa (apelled Xabapa on recent Mexican maps) (Brit. MI. 1, ys., Hoege (oll.).

Charas: Mineral de santa Fé (type locality E. rocirosar, Alfredo Dugès Mus. 1, Rovirosa Coli.).
Honderas: Tela (Field Mus. 1, paratype schmidti; Rehn Coll.); Lancetilla (type locality schmidti, A.N.S.P. [No. 19577] 1, Rehn Coll.).


Fiti. 23. Distribution of Eumeces sumichrasti (Cope), in Mexico and Central America.

## FASCIATUS GROCP

This group occupies the territory in the United States and southern Canada east of the 98th meridian and in Asia in North and Central China reaching near Tibet in the west and southern Siberia in the north. They are present in the line of islands of the eastern coast of Asia as far as Formosa and the Pescatores.

The similarities between the Asiatic and American species are of such a mature that it seems beyond peradrenture that they are closely related and bespeak a direct land comection between their present area of distribution to the exclusion of the territory to the west of the 98th meridian.

The striking thing regarding the two groups is the small extent of modification that obtains between eertain Asiatic and eastern American forms, in some cases so small that they were originally placed in the same speeies.*

Twelve species, three American and nine Oriental, are included.

[^18]
## Key to the spectes of the Fasciatys (imotre

the hifareating lints on head do not or rarely jom to the ne colian lime on machals; seven

11 Eukemulals strongly widened.
13. Noserongly keeled lateral portanal scale.
 unerer secondary thmporal nore or lese triangular, emargimate behind, notehed below: lower, nearls paralld-sded; two pootmentals; whe perthasal.
 234
(C) No patch of enlarged sathes on posterior side of fem oral region.
1). Large species ( 120 mm ) ; scale rows u*ually 30-32; upher secondary temporal not triangular, not +marpinate; lower secondary not parallelsided, but usually more or lese fan-shaped; interealated seales bet ween unerer and lower lamellae of fourth toe extend to near the distat phalanx: a sublateral line in eastern forms, none in western; head red in old males: usually only one postlabial, or tho very small ones. (South-

1)1). smaller, max. size 40 mm.; scale rows $20-30$; head not red in old males: intercalated scales on fourth toe extend but little beyond loasal phatan; two, rather large, postabials. (Eastern [.s.)

Eumects jasciatus (Linmaens, 15s
BB. A strongly keted lateral postanal scale.
C. A postriasial normally present; one or two postmentals.
L). Two postmentals; a well-defined patch of irregular enlarged scales on po-terior side of femur; upper secondary temporal with sides anteriorly more or less parallel. rounded poteriorly; lower secondary more or less fan-shaped; 7 timm. length; $22-24$ scale rows; usually 2 nuchals.

IDI. One postmental; upper secondary temporal triangular, emarginate posteriorly: lower secondary with sirles more or less parallel.

1. Scale rows 2?, five-lined species, the median bifurcating on nuchal; frontonasals forming suture or not; seven labials; two pairs of muchals; max size titimm. (Amamionhma.)

Eumeces barbouri V'an Denburgh, 265
E1. Scale rows more than 24.
F. Satle row 2n-30, usually 2s; loreal variable, sometimes divided transiersely, often separated from the labials; no evidence of scalc patch on femur; median line not bifureating on head: prefrontals alwas: separated. (fdzu lslands.)

Eumects okadue (Stejneger), 2ヶ2
FF. falle row = 24-2ti, usually $2 t i$; no trace of a patch of enlarged seales on femur; prefrontals forming suture or not; posttemporal scales not strongly differentiated; usually one pair nuchals; max size somm. (Larger Japanese lalands.) Eumecrs latiscututus (Hallowell), 276
C( No posthasal: one postmental
1). Seven-lined; a sublateral line; lateral passing above ear; 24-24; scale rows; ponttemporals modified; median line bifureating on head: nuchals, one pair; upper labials, seven; 63 mm. max size. (Ishigakijima.)

Eumfets stimsonii Thompson, 560
1)1). Fire-lined; no sublateral line, the lateral passing through ear.
F. The patch of scales on posterior part of femur strongly defined; three scales following upper temporal, well differentiated; scale rows $26-25$; frontonasals usually separated; seven or frequently six upper labials; very frequently only two supraoculars touch frontal: 93 mom max size. (China)

Eumeces elfogans Boultnger, 245

# Key to the Species of the Fasciatus Ciboup-Concluded 

> EE. Patch of irregular scales on posterior side of femur not or but scarcely defined; seven upper labials; three supraoculars in contact with frontal; usually 26 scale rows.
> F. Median series of scales usually distinctly widened; the white lines extend on tail one half to three fourths length; the dorsolateral line follows middle of the third scale row. (Riu Kiu Is.) ............ . Eumeces marginatus (Hallowell), 267

FF. Median series of scales less widened; light lines extend less than one third the length of tail; dorsolateral line passes along back, along the edges of the third and fourth scale rows. (Northern Riu Kiiu Is.)

Eumeces oshimensis Thompson, 253

## Eumeces fasciatus (Limnaeus)

(Plate 13; Figs. 24, 25, 26, 27)

## SYNONYMY *

1758. Lacerta fasciata Linnaeus. Syst. Nat., Ed. 10, I, 1758, p. 209 (type description based on Catesby's drawing in Nat. Hist. Car., vol. II, pl. 67; type locality, Carolina) ; and Ed. 12, I, 1766, p. 36f; Dondorff, Zoöl. Beit., IIl, 1798, p. 120, No. 40 ; Shaw, Gen. Zoöl., London, III, 1802, p. 241 (noted as a small species); Garman, Bull. Essex Inst., XVI, 1884, p. 1i (under Eumeces).
1759. Lacerta quinquelineata Linnaers. Syst. Nat., Ed. 12, I. 1766, p. 366 (type description, based presumably on a description made by Doctor Garden from specimens observed in Carolina); Meyer, Synop. Rept., 1795, p. 29; Dondorff, Zoöl. Beit., 1798, p. 120, No. 24; Shaw, General Zoülogy, IIt, 1802, p. 241; Green, Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., IV, pt. 2. 1818, p. 284, pl. XVI, fig. 2 (5-lineata var.).
1760. Scincus quinquelineatus Schneider. Hist. Amph., I1, 1801, p. 201 (part.); Daudin, Hist. Nat. Rept., IV', 1802-'03, p. 272, pl. LV, fig. 1; Merrem, Tent. Syst. Amph., 1821, p. 72 ; Kuhl, Beitr. Zoïl. Vergl. Anat., Frankfort, 1820, p. 128; Harlan, Journ. Acad. Nat. Sei. Phil., VI, 1s29, p. 10 (part.) ; and Med. Plys. Res., 1835, p. 138, and 161 (part.) ; Hollook, N. Amer. Herp., III, 1839, p. 39 (the plate VI is a reproduction of inexpectatus): Storer, Boston Jomrn. Nat. Hist., III, 1840, p. 219 (Barre, Mass.) : Latreille, Hist. Nat. Rep1., JI. p. it, fig. p. 64, No. 3.
1761. Scincus auratus Schneider. Hist. Amph., Fasc. II, p. 182, Var. A. (part.); Merrem, Tent. Syst. Amph., 1821, p. 71 (part.).
1762. Scincus lateralis Saeger. Silliman's Journ., 1839, pp. 323-324 (Detroit, Mich.).
1763. Plestiodon quinquelineatum Duméril and Bıtron. Erp. Gén., V. 1839, pp. 707-708 (part.) ; Delkay, Zoort. New York, Pt. III, Reptiles and Amph., Albany, 1842, p. 30 (Pennsylvania to Florida) ; Linsley, Amer. Jour. Sci. Arts, (1), 46, 1843, p. 41; Gray, Cat. Spec. Liz. Coll. Lerit. Mus., 1845, p. 91 (part.); Gtavenhorst, N. Acta. Ac. Leop. Carol., XXIII, 1851, 1, p. 350, pl. NXXV (part.) (No. 1 is same specimen as deseribed by Schneider from the "Lampeschen sammlung;" not type of Eumeces laticeps); Jan, Cenni. Mus. Civ. Milan, Ind. Sist. Rett. Anf., Milan, 185才, p. 6 (Georgia) ; (?) Maximilian, Verz. Rept. Reise Nord. Amer, beob. wurd., Dresten, June, 1s65, pp. 63-64 (either fasciatus or laticeps) (hetween Natehez and Memphis); Wright and Funkhouser, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., Mar., 1915, pp. 134-136 (part.) (Georgia; a good account of three species [fasciatus, laticeps and ineapectatus considered as one.]) ; Schmidt, Journ. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc. XXXII, Apr., 1916, No. 1, p. 36 (North Carolina?) ; Dunn, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., 37, 1917, pp. 596, 597, 627 (North Carolina) (part.).
1764. Scincus fascintus var. Dekay. Zoü. New York, Rept. Amph., 1842, pp. 29-30.
1765. Plestiodon viftigerum Hallowell. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phil., 1856, p. 310 (type description; type locality, Flint, Mich. Doctor Miles Coll.).

[^19]1859. Plestiodon fasciatus Baird. Expl. surs. R. R. Route Pacific (Ictan, 1-ist, Zowl. Rent., Pt. 4 (Fort Smith. Aik.): Allen. Pror. Buston Soc. Nat. Hist., Xlli, 1nio, fp. 260-

 stecker. Contr. Bavor. Uni. Mus.. No. 2, dan. 15, 192n. 1. 2: and idem, No. 3. Feb. 15. 1926. p. 1: Linsdale. Copeia, No. 164, 1927, p. -- (K゙ansas): Stejneger and Barbour, Check List No. Amer. Amph. Rept., 1917, p. i99; Bishop, Copeia. No. 54. 1918, pp. 35-36; Dumn, Copeia, No. 53, 1918, pp. 16-27 (part.); ? Deckert. Copeia, No. 54, 1:15. p. 31 (probably not fasciatus) ; Patch, Canadian Field Nat., XXXIII, 1919. p. Gif (Canada) : Dama, Proc. Biol. Suc. Washington, KXXill, Dec. 3n, 1920. p. 136 (part.): Blanchard. Wece Papers Mas. Zoül. Uni. Michigan. No. 117, July th, 1920. p. Z (part.); Löding. Geol. Surs. Nabama, Alahama Mus. Nat. Ilist.. Mus. Paper No, b, 1922 , p .25 (Alahama: part.): Etrecker, Bull. No. 4. Eri. Eoc. San Antonio. Apr., 1929 , p. 31 (Texas): Pratt. Vert. Anm, (". A.. 1:233, p. 20fi (part.); Strecker, Baylor Uni. Bull., XXVIl, No. 3, pt. 3. 1924. 11. 37, 3, (part.) (hahits): Fchmidt, Copma. No. 132. p. fi- (somth Carolina): Forte. Copeia, No. 141, Apr. 30, 192.5, p. 25 (okla.). I'listodon striatue Abbot. Geol. Now Jerses, 1868, p. 801.
18:1. (?) Plistodon lineatus Cope. 2d and idd Ann. Rep. Peabody Acad. Sci., 1871, y. 22 (lapsus.).
1875. Eumeces fasciatus Cope. Bull. L. S. Nat. Mus.. I. 1475, p. 45; (?) Coues and Yarrow, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phile., 1sis, pp. 21-2s (Fort Macon, N. C.) ; Cragin, Kan. Acad. Sci.. VII, 18:9-se, (1880), p. 115 (Kansas) ; Cope, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus.. 17. 1850. p. 18 (part.); Yarrow, Bull. U. S. Nat Mus, 24, 1882, pp. 41-42 (part.) (includes inexpectatus and laticeps. Numerous localities): Smath, Rep. Geol. Surs. Ohio, V, pt. 1, Zoöl., 1882, pr. 650-651 (part.); Dasis and Rice, Bull. Chicago Acad. Sci., I, No. 3, 1883, p. 31 (Illinois); and Ill. State Lab. Nat. Hist., Bull., 5, 1883, p. 47; Cragin. Kan. Acad. Sci., IN. 1883-1884 (1885), p. 137; and Bull. Washburn College Lab., 1, No. 3, 1855 (Mar, and Apr.), p. 102 (Kansas); Hay, Ind. State Bd. Agri., Amph. Rept., XXVIII, 1886, p. 214 (author's separate, p. 14); Jordan, Manual Vert. Anim. U. S., 1916. p. 201; Hay, Jour. Cincinnati Soc. Nat. Hist., X, Mar. 30, 1857, p. 59 (Indiana) ; Abbot. Pop. Sci. Mon., Dec., XXXIV. 1886, pp. 170-172 (text fig.; account of habits: called "blue-tailed skink," the scientific name not mentioned); Nelson, Geol. Survey New Jersey, II. p. 2, Zoöl., 1890, p. 642; Blatchley, Jour. Cincinnati Soc. Nat. Hist., XIV, 1891, p. 33: and Rep. of State Geologist, 1891, pp. 545-549; and Ann. Rep. Ind. Dept. Geol. Nat. Res., 1892, pp. 546-549 (part.); Hurter, Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis, Dec. 12. VI, 1893, p. 259 ; ? Loennberg. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., XVII, Nov. 15. 1894, p. 321 (very doubtfully fasciatus) (Florida); Garman, H., Bull. Essex Inst., NXTI, 1894, p. 34 (K゙entucky); Rhodes, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1895, pp. 386-387; Mearns, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., X, 1898, p. 326; ?McLain, Contr. N. Amer. Herp., Feb. 1899, pp. 1-5 (part.) ; Smith, Proc. Linnaean Soc. New York. No. 11, 1899, p. 18 (p. 9, author's separate); Beyer, Proc. Louisiana Soc. Nat., 1897-1899 (1900), pp. 25-46 (part.); Atkinson, Ann. Carnegie Mus., Bull. I, 1901-1902, p. 154 (Pennsylvania) ; Gibbs, Morris, Notestein, Clark, 7th Ann. Rep. Mich. Acad. Sci., 1905, p. 110 (Michigan); Stone, Amer. Nat., XL, No. 471, Mar., 1906, p. 16 s (Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware); Fowler, Ann. Rep. New Jersey State Mus., 1906, pt. II pp. 195-196 (text fig., laticeps; pl. 50, inexpectatus. copied from Holbrook) (New Jersey) : Surface, Zool. Bull. Dept. Agri. (Penn.). V, No. 8, 1908, pp. 249-251. pl. 31 (also p. 248, and fig. 26); Brimley, Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, XXII, June 25, 1909, p. 133 (Craven Co.. North Carolina) ; idem., Mar. 23, 1910, p. 12 (Mississippi, Georgia, Florida [part.]); Somes, Proc. Iowa Acad. Sci., 18, 1911, p. 150 (lowa); Dunn. Copeia, No. 18, May 15, 1915, p. 6 (Virginia); Stejneger and Barbour, Check List No. Amer. Amph. Rept., 2d Ed., 1923, p. 75 (part.); (?) Meyers, Copeia, No. 131, June 30, 1924, p. 61 (North Carolina); Blanchard. Papers Mich. Acad. Sci. Arts Letters, IV, 1924, pp. 535-536 (Missouri and 1llinois) (part.) ; idem, V, 1925, pp. 367-38s (Kentucky and Indiana); Strecker, Contr. Baylor Mus., No. 5, May 15, 1926, p. 6 (part.) (Texas); idem., No. 7, July 15, 1926, p. 7 (Texas); Bishop, Copeis, No. 152, Mar. 25, 1926. p. 118 (Kentucky); Ortenburger, Copeia, No. 155, June 24. 1926. p. 138 (Okla.); Netting, The Pittsburg Naturalist, I, No. I, lan., 1926, p. 7 (Pennsylvania); Ortenburger, Uni. Okla. Bull., Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci., pt. 1, VI, 1926, p. 95 (Oklahoma); Brimley, Jour. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc., XLII, 1926, p. 83 (part.) ; (?) Pickens, Copeia, No. 165, Dec., 1927, p. 111 (part.); Strecker, Contr. Baylor Uni. Mus., No. 10. Mar. 15,
1927. p. 14 (Enemies): idem., No. 16, pp. 1-21 (part.): strecker and Williams. Contr. Baylor U. Mıs., No. 17, ()ct. 20. 192s, p. 15; Burt, Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis, XXVI, No. 1, Aug., 192s, pp. 51-5t; (Map of distribution, fig. 11.) (Kansas); Blanchard, Copeia, No. 167, 1928, p. 47; Ruthven. Thompson. Gaige, Nichigan Hant Book series, Herp. Mich., 19es, pp. 66-79, pl. 13, fig. 3 and map; Rodty, Rept. Lancaster County and state of Pemn., 192s, pp. 48-50 (Pennsylvania) ; Pope ami Duckmson, Bull, P'uth. Mus. City Milwauker, V1II, No. 1, 1928, p. 46, pl. 10, fig. 2 (Wieconsin); Gloyd. Trama, Kan. Acad. sci., NXXI, 1928, p. 120 (Kansas); Burt. Jour. Kan. Ent. Soc., I, No. 3, 1928, pp. 50-f;; Ortenburger, Copeia, No. 170, 1929, pp. 11, 27 (Ohlahoma): Stretker, Contr. Baylor L'ni. Mus., No. 19, Sept. 1, 1929, p. 13 (Texas); Cahn, Copria, No. 160, Apr. 30, 1929, p. 6 (Wisconsin); Corrington, Copera, Nu. 172, Nuv. 15, 1929. pp. is-69 (South Carolina) ; (?) Burt and Burt, Amer. Mus. Now. No. 3s1. Nor, e. 1929, p. 9: Klot1s, Copeia, No. 173, Jan. 16, 1930, ph. 167-10n (New Jmsey); Ortenburger, Copeia, No. 183, Jan. 16, 1930, pp. 94-95 (Oklahoma) ; Bahmete, Boxton sow. Nat. Hist., No. 57, Oct., 1930, pp. 11-12 (and fig., p. 10); Nettime, Am. Carmpge dias., XIX, No. 3, Jan. 21, 1930, pp. 171, 172 (Pennsyhama): (?) Wellof, Proc. Jumor soc. Nat. Sci., I, 1930, pp. 9-11; Meyers. Copeia, No. 173, Jan. 16, 1930, 1. 111 (N.w Jersey); Noble and Teal. Copeia, No. 2, 1930, June 30, 1ph. 54-5tj (breding habits) ; (?) Harper, Copeia, No. 4, 1930, 1. 154 (Georgia) ; Conant, Bull. Antiv. Inst. Amer., IV, No. 3, 1930, 1. 63 (part.) ; Furee, Comwia, No. 2, 1930, p. 29 (Okhahoma): Boml, Copeia, No. 2, 1930, r. 54 (West Va.); MicCoy, Bull. Boston suc. Nat. Hist., No. 59, 1931, pp. 25-33 (Key) ; Weller, (iuide to Exh. Amph. and Rept. Cincimnati Soc. Nat. Hist., 1931, p. 4 ; Haltom, Alahama Mus. Nat. Ilıst., Uni. Alahama Museum Paper, No. 11, 1931, p. 118; Gloyd, Pap. Mich. Acarl. Sici. Arts Letter, XV', 1931, pp. 393, 401; Taylor, Uni. Kansas ści. Bull., XX, No. 13, Oct. 1, 1932, pp, 251-258, pl. 261 (comparison with inexpectatus); idem, pp. 263-268 (tomparison with latıceps); Kingman, Kansas sci. Bull., XX, Ort. 1, 1932, pp. 273-287, pl. XXHV, fig. 3 (skull characters); Burt, Copeia, No. 2, 1932, p. 104 (elimmated from Colorato list); Stejneger and Barbour, Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 1933. p. 81 ; (?) Burt, Amer. Midland Nat., XIV', 1933, pp. 170-173 (Missouri); (?) Van Hyning, Copia, No. 1, Apr. 3, 1933, p. 5 (Florida); Noble and Mason, Amer. Mus. Nov.. No. 619, May 11, 1933, pp. 1-19 (breeding habits); (?) Necker, Bull. Chicago Acad. sci., V, No. 1, Jan. 26, 1934, p. 2 (Trinn ssee); Dury and Williams, Baker-Hunt Fund. Mus. Bull. 1, Nov., 1933, p. 14 (Kentucky record).
1sis. Eumeres strintus Cope. Pros. Amer. Philos. Som. 1478, p. 65 (lapsus).
1879. Eumeces quinquelineatus Bocourt. Miss. Sci. an Mexique, Liv. 6, 1879, pp. 426-428, pl. XXII E, fig. $10-10 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{c}$ (part.) (fasciatus; possibly also laticeps and inexpectatus) ; Hurter, Cat. Rept. Batr. Coll. Missouri (privately printed), 1883, pp. 1-8; Boulenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus.. III, 18s7, 1. 269 (part.) ; Boettg r, Cat. Rept. Samm. Mus. Senckent. Nat. Gesell., Tril. I. 1893, pp. 110-111 (part.); Cope, Ann. R p. U. A. Nat. Mus., 1898 (1900), pp. t32-640 (part.); Strecker, Trans. Texas Acarl. Sci., IV. pt. 2, 1901 (1902), p. 3 (Texas); Paulnier, in New York State Mus. Bull., 51, Apr., 1902, p. 390 (New York); Brown, Proe. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1903, p. 558; Stone, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1903, pp. 538-542 (Arkansas, Okla. and Texas) (part.): Ditmars, Ann. Rep. N. Y. Ziool. Soc., Vill, 1903, p. 160; Morse, Proc. Olio State Acad. Sci., IV, pt. 3, Special Paper No. 9, 1904, p. 125; Henshaw, Occ. Papers Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., VIl, 1904, p. 6 (Mass., Conn.) ; Gibbs, Morris, Notestem and Clark, Tth Ann. Rep. Mich. Acall. Sci., 1905. p. 110 (Michigan); Basky, Nuth Amer. Famn, 25, 1905, p. 45 (Texas): Brmey, Jour. Elisha Mitchell sci. Soc. No. 4, Dec. 1907. pp. 144-160 (Key) (Carolina); Ntrecker, Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, XX1, Fel. 29, 1908, p. 49 (Texas) ; Chid. Mar. 21, 1908, pp. 73 (Texas) and 89 (Hot Springs. Ark.) ; ibjl, July 27, 190\&, f . 169 (Texas) ; Hurter, Trans. Acail. Sci. st. Louis, XX, 1911, pp. 140-142 (part.) (Missouri); Ruthven, Mich. Geol. Biol. surv. Pub. 10, 1911. pp. 263-264 (Michigan); Graenicher, Bull. Wis. Nat. llist. foc., IX, 1912, pp. 80, 81 (Wisconsin); Ditmars, The Reptile Book, 1915. p. 196 (pl. LVII; part.), (also part., pr. 201-203): Wight aml Funkhouser, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., Mar., 1915, pp. 134-136 (part.) (Georgia); Thompson, Occ. Papers Mus. Zö̈l. U'ni. Michigan, No. 18, Dec. 15, 1915, p. 4 (Northern Peninsula Mich.); Ellis, 1!th Rep. Michigan Acad. Sci., 1917. pp. 45, 48, 52, 55 (Michigan): (Anon.), Okla. Geol. Surv., Circ. 6, 1917, pp. 34-35; Over, South Dakota Geol. Nat. Hist. Survey, Bull. 121 (Series XXIII, No. 10, Bull. Uni. S. D.), 1923, p. 20 (Sorth Dakota) ; Ditmars, Reptiles of the Worki, 1928. pp. 183-185 and 197 (part.).

History. Probably no group of species has been more confused or misunderstood than that compered of Eumeces fasciatus, laticeps: and imexpectutus, and perhaps there is no taxonomic problem more involsed than that which concerns asociating the correct name with the varions forms. That there are three distinct and well-defined species ramot be doubted by anyone who will take time enough to examine them in series.

Pre-Limatan literature on the forms is not extensive, and apparently no effort was made to differentiate the species. The earliest records I find atre those of Petiver,* who figures a form under the name Laccrta marinus minor caudâ cuerulcâ.

Petiver's figures are such that Holbrook (1842) states concerning the last (Petiver, 1702): "which reference must go for little as no one ean positively determine at this time what animal Petiver had in view."

Harlan (1835) gives the following reference, "s. (cincus) Americamus Petiver gaxophylacii Naturae et Artis 1711 tab. 69. fig 13," and on this basis nes the name Scincus americanus for a specimen eleven inches in length from the sonthern states in the collection of the Academy of Natural sciences, Philatelphia.

Marc. Catesby, $\dagger$ in his Natural History of Carolina, Florida, and the Bahamai Islands, London 1751-175t (9) vols. in folio, pls. 1-120), gives a figure of a lizard which be called Lacerta caud $\hat{a}$ cacrulea, from Carolina; a figure which apparently can be distinguished as the smatlest of the three species that occur in Carolina.

Limacus, in the 10th edition of the Systema Naturae (Vol. 1, 1755. p. 209), based the species Lacerta fasciata on Catesby's Lacerta caudà cacruleà, and gives as a second reference, Petiver, ( azz. Nat. et Artis, pl. 1, fig. 1. Linnacus' description is very brief, the descriptive data being taken from Catesby's picture and description of the lizard.

In the 12 th edition of the Systema Naturae, Linnacus (1766) lists two species of lined skinks from Carolina, Lacerta quinquelineatus appearing on page 366 , and Lacerta fasciata on page 369. The former was inchuded on the basis of a description sent to Linnaeus (apparently) by Doctor Carden, of Charleston. Here again, the data recorded are so general in nature that no clue can be found to determine which of the forms the (iarden deseription may

[^20]

Fic. 24. Lacilla caude catinlea. Fiom Catebse, "The Natural History of Carolina, Florida and the Bahama Islands," vol. II, pl. 67. Somewhat reduced.
have referred to, and the name quinqualincatus apparently canot be applied certainly to any of the three forms of blue-tailed skinks.

Gmelin, in the 13 th edition of the Systema Naturae, retains the forms as given in the 12 th edition.

Certain references to these Carolina skinks appear in works of authors who did not recognize or follow the binomial nomenclature of Limacus. In Lacépèle's Histoire Naturelle des Quadrupedes Ovipares et des Serpens (1788-1790), the name Le Lézard Strié was used for one form (rol. II, P. 116) and Le Lézard à quoue. blcue (vol. II, pp. 79-80) for the other.

Here asain one eammot erpatanly state which natme applies to there-pecter. Datulin, in Latreille Histoire Naturelle der Reptiles. refers to $l$ e veimpue ì cimy raics.
 ( hipares et les serpens [the secomel volmate of l'Enererlop. method Diet. Erpet) reeognizes two forms: Le Lizard it quele blut, and Le Lezard strif, based probably on the works of Latcopione.
shneirler (Amphibiorium naturalis et litterariat fasciculus segundux) (1-011 recognizes the Limatean peree quinquelinteths under his genus Scincur. The description of a sperimen in the collection of the Musemm in Giottingen is brief, and its identity is in doubt. However. Gravenhorst redecribes the specimen (iravenhomet 1851, r. 350. pl. NXXV). He giver detailed measurements, and a detailed color description. noting that certain color charactere deacribed by schmeider were no longer visible. Giravenhorst liste thee Mexican seembens moler the same deweription (perhaps Eumeces lymat Wiegmannl.
schmeider, in this same work 1pp. 1ns-1901. dereribes as new a species of skink, Sicincus latice $s$, from a specimen in the (iöttingen Musem. The deseription, while brief, appears to agree with the characters of the form called latiecps in this work. No type lorality is given. The great widening of the head back of the eye combined with coloration. seems to point to thi form batel apparently ean point only to this speeies, and has been so construed by certain subsequent athors who have recognizet the large skinks of the -outheatern Conited states as distinct. Daudin (1802-03) recognized it: Dumeril and Bibron 18391: (iray 118t51. Horrever. it doce not appear certain that the type was examined by any of these aththors. Holbook 11st゚, rol. 11, J. 1211 places this form umber Plestiodon crythrocephalus (Cuilliamss and states: "I cannot receire the -pecific bame "latict pe" for this reptile, because I do not -uppore it with them to be idenciarl with Seincus laticrps of schacider. His decription is too short and vague to distinguish his animal from those dovely allied. And he never saw hut one peci-
 grayish-brown colour abose, and the tail had two hlack spots near the extremity.

Resarding the eolor of the ammal. I translate schnevider as "The original color of the -perimen in the motem of (ioittingen was dark
 tail two blackish -pot- were present 'guoul in exterme coulde duat
negricantes maculae aderunt'।." He mentions, also, a picture sent by Doctor Tilesius from Leipzig, as of a specimen belonging to the species, but this is obviously an error of identification, since the specimen is spotted over the body, and the tail is annulated with dark. It would appear that the spots on the tail of the type might be discoloration due to injury or fixation, as no known skink, so far as I am aware, is so marked.

Whether or not this type specimen is extant has not at this time been ascertained.

Shaw, in his General Zoölogy (Vol. III, 1802), recognizes Linnaeus' Lacerta fasciatus for the same lizard ("seldom exceeding eight inches in length") occurring in Virginia and Carolina; he restricts the name Lacerta quinquelineatus to the form in Carolina. The descriptive material does not differentiate this from the preceding form or from laticeps, a species apparently not recognized by Shaw. Daudin, in his Histoire Naturelle des Reptiles, IV, p. 272, pl. LV, fig. 1, describes and figures a form under the name Scincus quinquelineatus. The particular species cannot be distinguished by the figure, while the descriptive material makes certain that it must include laticeps since he mentions specimens with a length of "dix pouces trois lignes." Apparently unaware that Limnaeus had named the lizard pictured by Catesby (see above) Lacerta fasciata, he attributes the name fasciata to the later edition of Systema Naturae (Ed. XIII) by Gmelin, and states that he regards this a variety of quinquelineatus, partly on the evidence furnished him by Bose, and partly from his own observations.

Daudin also describes in this work a presumed new species under the name Scincus tristatus, using a name applied to it by Bose in a manuscript ("Deseription Manuscrite Commmiquée"). The length given for the type ( 9 pouc., 1 lign.) makes it certain that this can only refer to laticeps. The details given in the description likewise agree with the characters of this species. This is the Lézard rembrumi of Daudin in Latreille, Hist. Nat. des Rept., Vol. 1, p. 248, fig. - (fide Daudin, 1803). Should future researches (i.e., the discovery of the type) show the Schneiderian name laticeps untenable, this name is apparently the first name certainly available for the large skink. In this work Daudin lists Scincus laticeps Schneider and quotes Schneider's description. Noting that it appears to be very close to the scinque rembruni ( $=$ Scincus tristatus), he suggests the possibility that this may be a presumed African skink, such as one figured by Seba (Thes. 1734-1765, Vol. II, pl. XII, fig. 6).

The first American auther to use a binomial for one of the three skinks was Jacob Green (1815), who describes and figures a species under the name Lacerta $q^{\prime}$ inmuelineata (Jour. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phil.. I), and in the same year and in the same publication (pp. $+61-46 \geq$. pl. XVIII, fig. ㅇ) Gilliams (1818) describes as new a form which he calls Scincus crythrocephalus. The type locality is Maryland (James Keech coll.). The description makes it evident that the species is laticeps schneider (tristatus Daudin). The figure is extremely poor. Thus a thitd name is definitely ascribed to the large southern form of five- or sceen-lined lizard. The type apparently is no longer extant.

Harlan (Journ. Acad. Nat. sici. Phila., IV, 1824. pp. 286-2s6. pl. 2) describes as new a species under the name Scincus bicolor, from a specimen preserved in the "Philadelphia Museum." The size of the specimen as well as its characters make it certain that it is laticeps (head and body + inches). The figure is very poor and might equally well represent any of the three species save for size. In the same paper Scincus erythrocephalus Gilliams var. is listed. He mentions two dried and faded specimens in the "Philadelphia Museum." These are small specimens, and the description is indeterminate.

Harlan (1829) recognizes three species. These are Scincus quinquelineatus, presumably including the young of all three species, Scincus erythrocephalus and Scincus bicolor. He now gives a locality for the latter--"Inhabits southern states." Harlan (1835) lists a species, Scincus americamus, quoting as authority for the name "s. ctmericanus. Petiver Gaxophylacii Naturae et Arti- 1711 tab. 69, fig. 13." He also places "S. erythrocephalus Cilliams" as a synonym. Scincus quinquelineatus appears, including Lacerta fasciatus as a synonym. He still retains his species Scincus bicolor with the comment. "according to Say this is a bleached specimen of Scincu: 5 -lineata."

Holbrook (1838, vol. II) redescribes and figures a large specimen of laticeps as Scincus erythrocephalus. The sperimen figured is still extant in the Museum of the Academy of Natural sciences of Philadelphia. The description is an excellent one. The. range of the species is given as being from latitude 39 degrees north to Florida along the Atlantic States. He includes Harlan's Scincus americanus as a srnonym, but does not note Daudin's tristatus as a possible synonym. In Vol. III, p. 39, 1839, a species Scincus quinquelineatus is described and figured by Holbrook. It is ob-
viously a composite group that is considered. since he includes in the synonymy Scincus tristatus Dautin. latiecps Schneider, and Scincus bicolor Harlan, as well as the scincus quinquelincatus of various authors. However, the sperimen figured is the form incopectatus, as is evident hy the ehararter of the sates under the tail. This character is mentioned in the text, but he states that this is for one third of the length and posteriorly they are wide, "like subcaudal scales of the boa." The geographice distribution likewise shows that a composite form is considered. This is from latitude 35 degree- north to the Gulf of Mexico. He states that say observed it on the Misconi river at Engineers Cantomment and that he has received sperimens from Louisiana and Mississippi. scincos fasciatus is decmibed in Vol. III, p. 45, and figured on plate 7. The sperimen figured is a roung seven-lined latireps. In the second edition of his work, isued in 1842. Holbrook again treats of the three forms. Scincus fasciatus is a composite including specimens from Pennsylvania south. The figure is of a young specimen of laticops. Scincus quinqualineatus is apparently still a composite, the figure however, being inerpectatus: and Plestiodon erythrocephatus is an adult malle lationps.

In a later paragraph he states: "The gengraphic distribution of animals would, if it were properly known, go far in determining the identity of the species: thus the scimous quinquolineatus is a southern animal and has never yet been foumd, as far as I know, north of Virginia, though abundant in the Carolinas. Georgia and the more southern and western states ascending high up in the Valley of the Mismippi [Ohio and Mirouri]; while the Scincus fasciatus inhabits the Atlantie states from New York to Florida, but has not been found west of the Allegheny Mountains."

Authore subsequent to Holbrook apparontly did very little critical work on these forms.

Hallowell (1856) deseribe as new a kink from Michigan as Plestiodon vittigerum, a name that certainly applies to the small, widespread Eumeces fasciatus. The type locality is Flint, Mich.
saeger (1839) had alrearly described a form from Detroit, Mich.. as semens lateralis sily ertr. If one were to refuse to accept ('atesby's figure as belonging to this form. Hallowell's name is the first mame that unfucstionably can he applied to this small, widely distributed species of the five-lined skinks.

In Copes great work on American herpetology 11900 the three -precies are mited under the name Eumoces quinqualineatus. Cope
states: "Profesor Baird" has shown that Seincus erythrocephathes quinquelineatus and fasciatus are forms of the same species, the first mame hasing been given to ohd males. . I have atopted his opinion. . ." Cope apparently overlonked the fact that fasciatus is the oldest mane.

Howerer, Cope names a seren-lined form, polygrammus, as a rat riety of Eumures quinquelimatus from Colonels Island off the coast of (eorgia. Conortuately, this secimen No. +156 U.s.N.M. $)$ is no longer extant, and sine both laticepsend inexpectatue may have seven lines, this name is indeterminate mote comments inder inerpectatus.). Two other mames have been applied. but these appear to be due to error (Abbot (1868). Plistodon striatus amd Cope 11871 Plistodon lincotus.).
From the foregoing account the difficulties of definitely ascertaining the proper name for the three American skink of this group must seem obrious.

My opiaion is that Caters figure and description, on which fasciatus is primarily based, is an attempt to portray the young of the small five-lined form. here called fasciatus, a species which occurs from Florida to C'anada, and west to Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas. Netraska and Dakota. This hecause of the description given by Cateby as follows: "Thi- Lizard is usuatly small, seldom exceeding six inches in length, the head short, the tail is blue, the rest of the body brown: except that from the mose runs five yellow lines at equal distancer, along the back to the tail. They are seen often on the gromd and frequent hollow trees. Some people suspeet them to be renomon*, tho' I never heard of an instance to confirm it. They are found in Virgima and c'arolima." Should one fatil to arcept this, the mame next in order for this form that can be applied with certainty is Hallowell's E. vittigerum.

I believe the name laticeps, proposed by schmeider, was hased on an adult of the large skink of the southern states, since amone known -pecies there are none that the deaription fits more closely than this -pecies. There in great likelihood that the type is the harge secies from the southern part of the Conited states, since in the eane work he describes other specimens from this region and in the same musem. However, should the type be diseovered, and the contrary proved, the next name in order je Daudin's Eumeces tristatus that of (iilliams. Enmeres arythrocephalus, chosen by Holbrook, being much laterl.

[^21]For the third species I do not belicve that any of the earlier names can be applied. Whether quinquelineatus was based on this form or one of the other two cannot be ascertained, since it was based on a bricf account (whether or not accompanied by a specimen, as Holbrook [1842] stater, canot at this time be determined) written by D. D. Garden, of Charleston, Carolina. The description will fit any one of the three forms at certain stages, since the sublateral lines are the first lost and all may become five-lined lizards and the color of the lines vary from light blue, white, yellew to brown. Holbrook attempted to fix this name for a firelined form, but without any more positive information as to the type than has been given here. Moreover, there is evidence that his quinquelincatus is a composite form. Whether the name polygrammus* proposed by Cope for a seven-lined form refers to this form or a young laticeps camot be ascertained, since it appears that the type was lost while the collection of the U.S.N.M. was in Cope's hands at Philadelphia or sulserquently, since it apparently never reached the U. S. Nitional Musem after the time it was loaned. Conles this type ppecimen can be found and proved to be of the form with small scutes moler the tail. I believe the name Eumeces inexpectetus, which I proposed in 1932 for this form, should stand.

Diagnosis. A member of the Fasciatus group, with the median light line bifurcating on the nuchat. the branches reuniting on the tip of the some ; a dorsolateral light line, and a lateral line, reaching the tail, the lateral passing through the ear; tail blue in young. Males lose the lined markings and become miformly colored above, the lateral boown stripe remaining more or lese evident throughout life. Seren (more rapely eight) upper labials, the last largest, -eparated from the auricular mening by a pair of subequal superimposed postlabial scales; the lower secondary temporal usuatly more or lese fan-shaped; scalew about body normally 28 or 30 (very rarely 26); postmental diviled: a single postnasal. Maximum -ize. 80 mom.: prefrontals in contart or not; inchals mewally one or two pairs: lamellace under fouth toe uswally 16 or 17 ; intercalated serales on outer side of fourth toe extending no farther than basal phatane (rarely part way on the adjoining phatanx). Subeaudals rery distinctly widened.

[^22]Description of the species. A medimm-sized species. The rostral high. the part risible athove usuatly les than half the size of the frontonasal: supremasals forming a median suture or not, distinctly smaller than the prefrontals; frontomal usually hroader than long, in contact laterally with the anterior loreal, and in contact or not with the frontal: prefrontals rariable in size, if large, forming a median suture. when smatl, widely separated. occasionally fused with the frontonasal: frontal much broader anteriorly than posteriorly, the anterior tip angular or truncate depending upon the relation of the prefrontals; frontoparietals forming a median suture insariably; interparictal usually clongate, never enclosed by the large parietals; usually two pairs of nuchats, the anterior pair usually larger (but shorter transversely) than the second pair; nasal relatively large, sometimes approaehing the size of the internasals, the scale divided by a suture, the anterior portion largest. usually subtriangular; a postnasal almost invariably present ; anterior loreal relatively large, distinctly higher than wide, reaching much higher than the posterior loreal; latter longer than high, much higher anteriorly than posteriorly; two presuboculars (very rarely three, in which case the posterior loreal is shortened) ; there are from seven to nine superciliaries usually, eight being the most frequent number, the anterior one usually larger than the posterior of the series; four supraoculars three in contact with the frontal.

Primary temporal large, subrectangular, invariably in contact with the lower secondary ; latter different in shape, but not especially smaller than the upper secondary, which is relatively short and widened posteriorly ; tertiary temporal well-defined, separated from the ear by an elongate scale: four postsuboculars (rarely five) a small preocular. and two small postoculars; upper medial palpebral scales in contact with the superciliaries; lower eyelid with elongate scales separated from the suboculars by two or three rows of granular seales. Upper labials normally seven, four preceding the subocular (more rarely eight. with fire preceding the subocular) ; the first labial a little higher but not larger than the three succeeding; subocular much longer than high; serenth labial much larger than the sixth. the last labial, whether serenth or eighth, always largest; two more or less regularty shaped superimposed postlabials, whieh enter the car or are narrowly separated from it by one or two very small gramular scales. Mental very large, the labial border greatly exceeding that of the rostral; two postmentals (very rarely except in Oklahoma specimens, where it occurs frequently, there is a
fusion of the two to form a single postmental) ; three pairs of large chinshiclds, the anterior pair in contact, each posterior chinshield followed by a large postgenial which is bordered along its anterior, internal border by a relatively large sale longer than wide; usually six lower labials including the last, which is the largest ; ear usually surroumled by 18 to 20 scales; three or two small lobules on the anterior border.
scates on the sides of body parallel, save behind arm insertion; the median dorsal scales not or but slightly larger than adjoining rows; lateral seales as large as or sometimes a little larger than


Fig. 25. Eumocs fascinlus (Limnacus). K.U. No. 8332, Lawrence Co. Arkansas. A, lateral riew of head; B, dorsal riew of head. Actual head length, 11.5 mm .; width, 11.5 mm .
dorsals. Fale rows aromel head behind ear. 33 to $36 ;$ about constricted portion of neck, 2! to $3: 3 ;$; about axillary region, 34 to 38 ; about mildle of body, 1swally 28 or 30 (in Kansas specimens the number 26 occurs in several specimens). Sis preanal scales, the median pair much enlarged, the outer scales overlapping the imer; the lateral postanal scale only slightly differentiated in mates.

About 15 seales around insertion of arm: outer wrist tuberele well differentiated; numerons emlarget tubereles on the posterior half of the palm; lamellar formula for fingers: $5 ; 9: 11 ; 11 ; 8$. Twenty seales ahout insertion of leg; heel hordered by four entarged padlike tuhereles, the median separated; only one or two enlarged tubereles on the sole. Lamellar formulal for thes: $6 ;!9: 13: 17 ; 10$. Subcatulal seales distinctly widened.

Color (in life). Young, black, with five greenish or hhish-white
lines. the median hifureating on the nuchal, the branches unitine on the rostral; dowolatemal line begiming on the first supereiliary. passing back along the side, following usually the edges of the third and fourth salle wows although the greater width is on the fouth mow; these, with the median, continue about half the length of the tail: the lateral light line begin- on rowtral. but is usualiy dim anterion to the fourth lathial. Here it widens and contimu: ibalk to the middle of the front edge of ear (in Arkamsas epermens it takes as slighty diagonal trend and may reach nearly to the top of the (ar) : it then fosues from the lower hadf of ear behind and comtimes to some distance on the side of the tail: a more or less distinct light line may be evident on the posthumeral and postiemoral regions, the latter more generally present ; below the lateral line there is a -tripe of back whose lower edge mergee into the grayish or bhas-hgray of the abdomen; chin, light cream, becoming grayish posteriorly: tail azure.

Adult males have the dorsal color olive-brown, the light lines gray. or light tan, usually indi-tinetly bordered with darker color; a well-defined brown stripe between the dorsolateral ant lateral lines which continues onto the tail; belly somewhat greenish, the head somewhat arange or reddish, at least during the breeding season; bifurcating lines on the heal dim. Tail dark gray-olive. Ghater lowe practically all trace of the light lines. beroming : Imost miform olive of brewn-olive above: the lateral brown stripe is retained and sometimes the lateral light line. The chin, throat and breast weam; the abdomen gray.

Ahult females retain to a considerable degree the color marking-
Mestirnemente oi Eumeres foscintus (Linnator)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Xlustums } \\ & \text { Number } \\ & \text { sex. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{3+5}{72 .} \\ \text { ye. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2162 \\ 15.1 \\ 5 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7+1 . \pi \\ & \mathrm{k} .1 \\ & \text { yg. } \end{aligned}$ | $132$ | $1 \stackrel{490}{2} \times 1 \times$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fin } \\ & \text { K! } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\pi}{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & ? \\ & \text { Kit } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shout to remt | 2.5 | 37 | 4.3 | it | . 4 | (i) | fit | 70 | 75 |
| Enout to foreters | 112 | $1{ }^{\text {fi }}$ | 1; | 21 | 20 | 24 | 23 | 263 | 215 |
| dxilla to groin | 12 | 1, | 21 | 2.5 | 29 | 32 | 37 | 37 | 40 |
| Tail. |  |  | 33 |  |  | 114 | 1110 |  |  |
| Lenuth of hewa | 15 \% | - | 111 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 110 | 13 - | 14.2 |
| Width of hati | I | + | $\checkmark$ | 10 | 10 | 10 - | 10 | 13 | 131 |
| Foreleg. | $\checkmark$ | 11 | 1:3 | 17 | 1+i | 15 | 17 | 191 | 19.2 |
| Hind leg.... | $10 \%$ | 113 | 1 ; | $\because 4$ | 24 i | 24 | 23 | 29 | 29 |

[^23]of the juveniles save that the dorsal ground color is brownish or brownisl-black, the lateral dark stripe differentiated, and the tail loses all traces of the blue color; the lines on the head may become dim and the color of the light lines less intense.

Tariation. This species, occupying such a large territory, from Canada to the gulf, westward to Dakota and Texas, might be expected to exhibit very considerable variation. The very surprising fact is that it exhibits less than most species even when one considers a species of very limited distribution.

There is apmarently very little difference in size; the largest specimens from Michigan are three or four millimeters longer than those from any other locality, and slightly more robust.

Probably the nearest approach to subspeciation was discemed in certain Okfahoma specimens loaned by Dr. A. I. Ortenburger. In this lot are numerous specimens in which the postmental is undivided, a character occurring very rarely elsewhere in the species. Thus, in a series of thirty Oklahoma specimens from the western part of the range of the species, fifteen have a single postmental. A group of fourteen from this lot is from Seminole county, and of these nine have a single postmental. Throughout other parts of the range this character occurs rarely (about one in a hundred) in ti:e several hundred specimens examined. The preserved material shows no well-defined color markings that would distinguish them from their brothers, save in a living specimen-an adult male (taken in a tree!)-which displayed a very unusual shate of color, the dorsal surface being uniform gray-brown with lavender or purplish iridescence. It appears that these aberrant specimens are on the extreme western edge of the territory occupied by the species, and represent, no doubt. an incipient species. In from 40 percent to 50 percent of the specimens examined, an extra muchal is present on one or both side- occurring with about equal frequency in specimens throughout the greater part of the range but in Kansas specimens the percentage having two nuchals is from 70 to 80 .

The usual number of lower labials is seren, the last always largest. Eight upper labials (five prececting the subocular) oceur on both sides rarely (approximately four in a hundred), these chiefly through the eastern and southern part of the range. Specimens showing them on one side were of more frequent oceurrence.

The character of the temporals and postabial seales showed a surprising comstance the two superimposed postabials being invariably present.

The range of seales from occiput to above anus varies from 53 to 6 . Counts arailable on more than 500 specimens show the prevalence of these counts in orler: :30:39;60: 185; 129; 90: $24 ; 1: 1 ; 1$. Thus thirty specimens have a comt of 53,39 of 54 , ete. There is some regional variation. Kansas seerimens have a much larger percentage with 56 . Oklahoma with 58 . The range in a single lot from a single locality (Lanrence. Kan.) is 5:3. 6:54. 10; 55. 7; 56. 19:57. 7.

The postnasal is absent in a very few specimens. I have recorded its absence in five specimens, two from Ohio, two from Lousiana and one from Kimsas. Two other specimens have the scale absent on one side.

The lamellae under the fourth toe rary typically from 15 to 17 , the number 16 being most frequent, the numbers from 14 to 19 occurring the following number of times respectively. in sperimens counted: 1. $40,107,55,4,2$.
superciliaries vary from six to nine: 6, $\varrho$ times; 7,$84 ; 8,144$; 9. 40 .

The sale rows about the middle of the body vary from 25 to 32 ; 25 occurring once (Kansas) : 26, 13 times 10 Kansas, threce Ohiol; 25. 60 times: 29.17 times ; 30. 49 times and 31 once (Indiana), in $1+1$ counts.

Remarks. The well-known habit of this species in brooding its eggs has been mentioned numerous times in the literature. the most extensive account being the recent work of Noble and Mason (1933).

On numerous werasions I have captured specimens with egge, usually under some flat rock, or under the bark of stumpe or fallen legs. They usually appeared loath to leave the clutech.

Dates on which eqge were taken are June 2.5, 1926 (Devall Blufi, Ark.), two lots (9 eggs in clutch, very slightly incubated) ; July 2.
 eggs, the foung well formed. the color markinge exident. Newty
 July 2 (f. These had appatenty just emerged from cogs as suggested by the condition of the umbilical regien.

Eggs taken in June were from one or two millimeters smaller in both transvere and hongitudinal diameter than thowe taken in July.

On numerous ocrations sperimenc have heen kept in a vixarium during April. May and June hou 1 have not ohared this form breeding.

Distribution. This species has a greater range than any other known in the genus. Authentic recorts from South Dakota, the northern peninsula of Michigan, and Ontario, mark the known northern distribution. Enauthentieated reports of the presence of a blue-tailal lizard in Manitoba have reached me, but this must of course be verified. Cope reports a sperimen from eastern Nova scotia which now appears to have been lost. In the Atlantic states the presenee of this specie- has not been rerified north of Massachusetts. To the south it extents at least to northern Florida. Along the Cinlf of Mexieo the speries extends to Louisiana and eastern Texas. Burt (1929) believes that a seremen of obsoletus reported by Cope from Matamoros is mobably facciatus. An examination of this sperimen shows it to be ungurationably Enmeces obsoletus.

The western limit of distribution appears to be a line approximatety following meridian 97 degress west. Certain records of the presence of this species from more westem localities must be discomede Yarow (1882) reports three perimens and Cope (1900) three perimens of skinks from (ibla River, Arizona both records wfer to C.N.N.M. 9231) the former as Enmeces fosciatns and the
 identification of the eperimens, considers the sole remaining specimen in the $[$. S. National Nuseum bearing the number ag:3 as a foung perimen of fasciathe. An examination of this epecimen proses it to be Eumeces calliorphatus or a speries extremely closely allied to the latter.

Jinrow (1473) repont- a specimen. C.A.....N. No. 9230, collected hy $W^{\prime}$. S. Wool from Bridgers Pass. Wivo. Cope 11900 (report--pecimens C.ふ.N.M. Nos. 3125 Lieut. Bryant Aug., 1856) and 9230 1W. A. Wood, collector from Bridger's Pases, of Eumcres quinfurlimutux. S'p cimens bearing these numbers are no longer present in the collection. However. a sperimen of Eumeres mu'tizirgatus. L.N.N. 9230, from Bridger's Pasi (? , Wyo., is present.

In the L. A. National Musem are two perimens listed under No. S12.2. as Eumbers fasciatus from "Between (iuadelupe Mts. and Perse R., Texas." Cope liste this number umler Eumeces quinquetincatus, hut for a locality, substitutes a !?). These specimens are fasciatus, but the lomality is certamly incorrect. Two other -peemens (L.A.N.M. No. 12193, beat the locality "santa Fe, N.M.? Nowberry," There are typical fascrutus. The locality is doubtless incorrect.

In the American Museum of Natural History is a specimen iNo.
1596) , fosciatus, ommewhat atypual. which bears the locality "Western Mexieo" (Frank Tondant coll.. 190t). It would appear that the locality is incorrect. hut of this there may be some doubt; for the present 1 shall resard it as a faceiatus probably originating in some locality in the nomal range.


Fig. 26. Eumets fascintus (Limnatus). A.M.N.H. No. 1596; "Western Mexico." A. lateral riew of head; B. dorsal view of head.


Fic. 27. Distribution of Eumeces fasciatus (Linnaeus), in Eastern C'nited States.

## LOCALITY RECORDS

Massachusetts:
Worcester Co.: Barre (Storer, 1840).
Bristol Co.: New Bedford (Allen, 1870).
Connerticte: (Ditmars, 1908).
Litchficld Co.: Salisbury (Linsley, 1843).
Fairficld Co.: Trumbull (Linsley, 1843).
New Haven Co.: New Haven (Henshaw, 1904).
New Tork: (A.M.N.H. 1).
Rockland Co.: Ramapo (A.M.N.H. 1).
Orange Co.: Sterling Lake (A.M.N.H. 1); Highland Falls (Mearns, 1898) ; Bearfoot Mt., Greenwood Lake (Smith, 1899).

Unidentified: Bhuffs of the Pallisades (Smith, 1899).
Delawale: Choptank Mills (A.N.S.P. 2).
New Jersey:
Passaic Co.: Bluffs of the Passaic at Patterson (Smith, 1899); Greenwood Lake (Myers, 1930).
Atlantic Co.: Two miles above Weymouth (Cornell 1); Hammonton (A.M.N.H. 1) ; Mays Landing (Stone, 1906) .

Bergen Co.: (K.U. 1) ; Pallisades Park (Meyers, 1930).
?Sussex Co.: Lake Hopatcong (Fowler, 1906).
Mamplan: (A.N.S.P. 1).
Prince Georges Co.: (U.S.N.M. 1).
Charles Co.: Marshall Hall (U.S.N.M. 1).
Ame Arundel Co.: Annapolis (A.M.N.H. 2).
West Virginaa:
Logan Co.: (T.Z.S. 1).
Grant Co.: Near Dorcas (Carnegie 1).
Marion Co.: Fairmount (Cornell 1).
Pennsylvania:
Clarion Co.: (Surface, 1908).
Huntington Co.: Diamond Valley (A.N.S.P. 4).
Dauphin Co.: (Surface, 1908).
Cumberland Co.: Carlisle (T.S.N.M. 6).
Center Co.: Laurel Valley (Carnegie 1); near Game refuge (Cornell 1).
Clinton Co.: Maneyville (Carnegie 1).
Allegheny Co.: Clairton (Carnegie 1); near Wilkinsburg (Atkinson, 1902).

Wrestmorcland Co.: ? (Netting, 1930; embryo 34 mm . Iong. Possibly laticeps).
York Co.: York Furnace (Stone, 1906) (may be laticeps).
Montour Co.: (Surface, 1908).
Philadelphia Co.: Fairmount Park, Philadelphia (Stone. 1906).
Kentucky:
Wayne Co.: Mill Springs (M.C.Z. 1) (Mich. 1) (B.H.F.M. 1) (C.S.N.H. 2).

Brathitt Co.: Quicksand (Cornell 1); Lost Creek (B.H.F.M. 1).
Harlan Co.: Pine Mountain (B.H.F.M. 1).
Carter Co.: (C.S.N.H. 1) ; Cascatle Caves (C.S.N.H. 1)

```
Vibginia: (U.NN.MI.2): Femy Lamding (CONN゙M1.2).
    Albemarle Co.: Crozet (M.C.Z.1)
    Hulifax Co.: Midway (M.C.Z. 1).
    Fairfax Co.: Mt. Vernon(M.C.Z.3)(U.S.N.MI. 12); The Dyve
        (U.S.N.M. 2).
    Pittsylermia Co.: Danville (M.C.Z. 2).
    Irincess Amne Co.: Lymhaven (A.M.N.II. 2).
    Noofolk ("o.: Lake Drummond. Dismal swamp (L`s.N.MI. S) (Mich. 2);
        Jericho Canal, Dismal swamp (USN゙MM.2).
    Caroline Co.: Chilesburg (U.S.N.M. 1).
    Allegheny Co.: Clifton Forge (U.S.N.M. 2).
District of Coldybis: Washington(UN.N.M. 2).
North (`moliNa: (A.M.N.H. 1).
    Hake Co.: Raleigh (Field 1).
    Guilford Co.: Guilford (Mich.3).
    Lenoir Co.: Kinston (U.S.N.M. 3).
    Craven Co.: Newbern (U.S.N.M. I); Neuse River, New Bern (Mich. 1).
    Cataba Co.: (M.C.Z.2).
    Granville Co.: (M.C.Z.1).
    Vance Co.: Kittrell (M.C.Z. 1).
    Cartaret Co.: Beaufort (M.C.Z. 1).
    Robeson Co.: Rowland (M.C.Z. 2).
    Unidentified localities: Port Hudson (M.C.Z. 2); Lake Tahoma
        (M.C.Z. 2).
South Carolina:
    Anderson Co.: Anderson (A.N.S.P. 1).
    Abberille Co.: Abberille (A.N.S.P.1).
    Charleston Co.: Charleston (M.C.Z.1) (A.N.S.P. 7).
    Dillon Co.: Little Pee Dee River (A.M.N.H.1).
    York Co:: Rock Hill (Mich. 1).
Georgla: (M.C.Z. 2).
    Heard Co.: Houston (Mich. 3).
    Walker Co.: Chickamagua (Phil. 1).
    Liberty Co.: Fulton (M.C.Z. 2).
    Charlton Co.: Thompson's lodge, Folkston (Cornell 7).
Alabama:
    Perry Co.: Uniontown(A.N.S.P. 2).
    Calhoun Co.: Anniston (M.C.Z. 1).
    Madison Co.: Eutaw (U.S.N.M. 1).
Florida: (A.N.S.P. 2).
    Marion (o.: (Mich. 3) (Carnegis 1) ([SN.N.1).
    "V'anderburg Co.: Perry Township (Mich. 2) (specimen so labeled, pos-
        sibly Vanderburg Co., Indiana).
Mississmpl: (U.S.N.M. 3) (A.M.N.H. 1).
    Perry Co.: New Augusta (U. of Rochester 2).
    Lafayette Co.: University (Mich.4).
    Harrison Co.: Biloxi (A.M.N.H. 1).
```


（＇admo（＇o：（Parish）Gayle（Field 4）（Baylor 26）．
H＂：st Comol（＇o．：（Field 1）（Mich．1）．

J！！Jatis（\％．： 1 mi．n．Fenton（Mich．1）：Jemings（Comell 2）
Ih Soto（o．：Frieron（Baylor 21）；near Mansfidels（Baylor 5）．

I＇loquemimes（o．：（M．C．Z．2）；Belair（M．C．Z．1）（C．S．N．M．1）．
Brosier（on：Benton（Batyor 5）．
Tenveree：（Mich，o）．

Franklin（ $\quad$ ．：（C．S．NM I）
Hamilton（＇o．：Lookout mountain（I＇SN．M．1）．
Firah Cor：Sming City（M．C．Z．1）．
Sholby Co．：Raleigh（ANAS．1）．
Romenc Co．：（C．SN．M．1）．
Corroll Co．：Huntingedon（UNふ．M．1）．
॥゙illiamson Co．：Franklin（UふズM．1）．
Obion Go．：Relfoot Lake（Mich．7）．
Madison（＇o．：Jackion（Mich．1）．
Henry（on：Henry（Mich．5）；neat Como（Mich．1）．
（＇umberlout（＇o．：Devils Tip Hollow，near Crowille（Mich．1）．
HWhte Co．：Sparta near Bon Air（Mirh．1）．
Bonten Co．：Camden（Miclı．1）．
1×わいい：（M．（．Z．5）．
H：lls：Co．：（Mich．1）：Bluffton（Mich．1）．
Harrisom Co．：Near Pahnyra（Midh．1）．
Momroc Co：5mi．rat Bloomington（Mich．1）．
Tomblerbury（＇o．：Evanville（Mich．1）．
Jay／Co．：Salamonia（Fiell 1）．
Pike（ore：Stendal（Fich 1）．
Knox Co．：Wheatland（U．SNM．S）．
Posry Co．：（M．C．Z．5）．
Vigo（\％o：（M．C．Z．1）．
lation Co．：（M．C．Z．1）．
I＇utnam Co：（M．C．Z 1）．
Craxford（\％）：（MCZ．2）．
Akrinsas：

Lafayett，Co．：（K．I ${ }^{\top}$ 34）．

Prairir（＇o．：I）wall Bluff（K．C．11）．
W゙ashimgtom Co．：（Ki．U．S）．

 （ANAP．t）．
（＇arol Co．：Latke Lureme（Mich．1）．
Bouton（＇0．：21²mi．NE Sulphur Springs（Mich．6）；¹2 mi．S Sulphur Sming（Mich．1）．

Garland Co．：Hot Aprings（Baybor 5 ）．
Saline Co．：（E．I．T．3）．
$\mathrm{OHIO}^{\text {：}}$
Adams（＇o．：Buena Vista（O．S．M．1）．
Franklin（ o．：Truro Twp．（O．心．M．1）；（ohmbus（O．S．M．1）．
Athens（＇o．：Athers（Ohio U．，Athens，Ohio，1）．
šrcoto（＇o．：Brush（reck（Toledo 2）；Sumshine（Toledo 1）；Roosevelt Cime preserve（Toledo 1）：Shawnet Forest（O．S．M．1）．
P＇alding Co．：Antwerp（O．N．M．1）（Cincinnati M．N．H．1）．
Hamilton Co．：（O．S．M．2）．
Hardin Co．： 3 mi．east Mt．Victory（Toledo 36）；Dudley Twr． （Toledo 3）．
Hocking Co．：Good Hope Twp．（Toledo 1）（O．S．M．1）；Clear（hoek （O．S．M．2）．
Wood Co．：Ross Twp．（Toledo 1）．
Butler Co．：Huestons Woods near Oxford（Toledo 1）．
K゙nox Co．：Mt．Vernon（Oberlin College 1）．
Putram（＇o．： 3 mi ．NW Ottawa（Toledo 1）．
Lueas Co．：Toledo（Toledo 1）；Richfield Twp．（Toledo 14）；Treadway （Toledo 1）；Bancroft and County line Road（Toledo 6）．
Crauford Co．： 4 mi ．NW Sulfur Springs（Toledo 1）； 3 mi ．N Bucyrus （Toledo 1）．
Inion Co．：Washington Twp．（Toledo 1）．
Highland Co．：Foot Hill（Toledo I）．
Pike Co．：Mifflin Twp．（Toledo 1）．
Hancock：Co．：Cass Twp．（Toledo 3）．
Ashtabula Co．：Prmatuning Swamp（Toledo 1）．
Richland Co．：Plymouth Twr．（Toledo 1）．
Illinois：
Alexander Co．：Olive Branch（Field 14）．
Union Co．：Celto Pass（Field 6）．
Pulaski Co．：Grand Chain（Field 1）．
Cook Co．：Edgewater（Field 1）：Shemmerville（Fueld 1）．
Johnson Co．： 20 mi ．N．Metropolis（Mich．1）．
W＂abash Co．：Mount Carmel（U．S．N．M．6）．
Menard Co．：Athens（M．C．Z．1）．
Jack：son Co．：Murphysboro（M．C．Z．1）．Cinidentified：Aux Plains Ill． （U．S．N．M．1）（possibly not llinois）．
M1CHIGAN：（M．C．Z．1）（A．N．ミ．P．5）．
Lemaure Co．：（Mich．1）．
Huron C＇o．：Sand Point（Mich．16）；Rush Lake（Mich．1）．
St．Clair Co．：China Twp．（Mich．1）．
Gaklani Co．：Royal Oak Twn．（Mich．1）．
Momue Co．：（Mich．7）．
Manister Co．：East Lake（Mirh．1）．
Crauford Co．：（Mich．1）．
Ingham Co．：East Lansing（Mich．1）．
Berrien Co．：Warren Dumes（Mich．2）．
Charlezoix Co．：（Ruthten et al．，1928）．
14－1123

```
    Grand Travcise Co.: Traverse City (Mich. 2).
    Muskegon Co.: (Fiel_ 2).
    Marquette Co:: (Mich.1).
    Missaukee Co.: (Mich. 1).
    Lake Co.: (Mich, 1).
    Kalkaska Co.: (Mich. 2).
    Washtentu ('o.: Amm Arbor (M.C.%. 1). (Alon Kalanazoo. Ǩnt,
        Ottawa, St. Joseph. Van Buren, Mont Calm and Barry commties Listed
        by Gibss, Notestein and Clark, 1905.)
Okl.AHOMA: (A.M.N.H.1).
    Pittsburg (o.: south Mc.tlester (A.N.S.P. 1).
    Cleveland Co.: (O.U. 5).
    Creel: Co.: Sapmp:t (A.M.N.H. 1).
    Caddo ('0.: (O.IT.1).
    Rogors Co.: (O.I'. 1): ('laremore (N.C.Z.1).
    LeFlore (o.: (0.E".3): Numarloaf Mt.(A.N゙心P. 3): Wistar (A.N.SI'1)
    Hu!blues Co.: (0), (% 2).
    Ottawa Co.: Wyandotte (A.N.s.P.1).
    Sominole Co.: (0.U. 14).
    Choetaw Co.: (O.U. 1).
    Washington Co.: (K.V.1).
    Scquomuh Co.: (0)V. 2)
    Latimer ('o.: (0).T'. 19).
    Aduir Co.: (1).U. 3).
    Mc'(urtain ('0.: (0).['.6): Broken Bow (Fiold 6).
    Logun Co.: (0.IT . 5).
    Olilnhoma Co.: (O.U. 1).
    Tulsa Co.: (O.U. 2) (Mich,6).
    Olmmulgre (%.: (0.U. 20) (K.L`.3) (Mich.%).
    l'ayme Co.: (0).V. 3).
    Comanclue Co.: (O.L. 1).
    Alolia (o.: Limmetone (ra] (A.N.S.P.4).
Kancas:
    Amdersom 「o.: (K゙L゙, 43).
    Framklin (%o.: (Ki.I. 5) (Mich.17).
    Wilsom (%.: (K.U. 2).
    Labette CO.: (K.U,7).
    Woodson Co.: (Burt. 192S).
    Summer Co.: (K゙.I. 2).
    Doumlas ('o.: (Ki.C'. 10%) (Fioht 1) (Cornedl 1)
    Ell: ('o.: (Burt, 192S).
    Chembler Co.: (K.U. %) (.A.M.N.H.1).
    Bomblom (O.: (Mich.6).
```



```
    Grafmwow? (%o.: (K゙.T`, 2).
    Domiphan Co.: (K.L. 1).
    Wymmbotle ('0.: (K゙.U. 5).
    Mont!ommery(o.: (K゙.+., 9).
    A/hen Co.: (N.I'.16).
```





Riley Co.: ( (Tatin, 1sino).

Atchison (\%: (Burt. 1929).
Jo fiferson (o.: (Burt, 1929).
Mhanerit:

Jackson Co.: (K.I. 4).
 (A.M.N.H. 2)

Christim (\%: (hashwik (A.N.S.P. 1).
Denklin Co.: (Cameqie 1) (A.M.N.H. 1).
Pemiscot (o.: 10 mi . SE Portageville (11ch. 2) : 3 mi . SE Portageville (Mich. 1).
('arter Co.: Big Spring Park (Mich. T). Enidentified: Shepard. Mo. (Mich. 1).
Texs:
Henderon (\%: New York (Baylor 1).
Harrison ('o.: Caddo Lake (Baylor 1).



Ellis Co.: (K.1., 1).
Baylor Co.: Seymour (AN.N.P. 1).
Matagorda Co.: Matagorda (A.N's.P. 1).
Liberty ('o.: Liberty (Mich 2); Dayton (Comell 1) : Cleveland Baylor 1).


Motion Co.: Lake Cadilo (A.M.N.H. 1).
Bontr ('o: Sulphar River (Baydor 10).
Wreconsin:
Juman Co: Nuw Li-hon (Field 1).
Clarl: Co.: (Field 1).
Hatworth (o. (Higlay. 18ऽ9).
Milumutar ( O : : (Pope-Dickin*on. 1928) .
W'ashinatom ( $\quad$ : : (Pope-Dickineon. 1929) .
Poll: (on: (Pいpe-Dickin*on. 1924).
Pontotyr Co: (Jome-J)ickin*on. 1926).

Xebrax 1: "Fort Pierr. (Copra 1900) (-pucimen lowt).
Jow. : (Fonmo. 1911).
Howthury Co.: sioux (ity (ANSP. I) (labeled Dakota, posibly from across the river).


## CANADA

Nota Scorta: St. Catherines (River?) (U.S.N.M. 1, No. 4827).
Ontaho:
Esw $x$ (\%.: Point Pelee (N.M.C. 9) ; Amer (N.M.C. 3).
Peterborough Co.: Peterborough (N.M.C. 2).
Frontenac Co.: Mountain Grove (N.M.C. 1).
Simcoe Co.: Go Home Bay (R. Ontario M. 1); Honey Harbor R. Ontario M. 1).

## Eumeces laticeps (Schneider)

(Plates 14, 15; Figs. 28, 29, 30)
Note: It has been impossible to determine with certainty that the names allocated here all belong under this species. Moreover, it is quite as probable that certain listed under Eumeces fasciatus belong here.

## SYNONYMY

1801. Scincus laticeps Schneider. Hist. Amph.. 11, 1801, pp. 189-190 (type description; no type locality; type originally in Museum of Ciottingen, Germany) ; Daudin, Hist, Nat. Rent.. IV, 1803, p. 301 (rutescription, after Schneider); Merrem, Tent. Syst. Amph., 1821, p. 72.
1802. Lacerta tristata Latreillf. Hist. Nut. Rept., I. F. 248 (not seen).

1802-'03. Scincus tristatus Daudin. Hist. Nat. Rept., IV, p. 296 (part.) (description based partly on a manuseript description and partly on specimens presumably from Carolina).
1803. Scincus quinquelincatus Daurlin. Hist. Nat. Rept., IV. 1803, p. 272 (part.); Harlan, Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., VT. pt. 1, 1829, pp. 10-11 (part.) ; and Med. Phys. Res., 1835, Pr. 138 and 161 (part.) ; Holbronk, N. Aner. Herp., III, 1839, pp. 39, 40 ; and 2d Ed., II, 1842, PP. 121-125.
181s. Scincus erythrocephalus Gilliams. Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., I, 1818, p. 461, pl. XVIII (type description; type locality, "southern States") ; Harlan, Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., VI, pt. 1, 1829, p. 11 (southein states); and Phys. Med. Res., 1835, pp. 138. 139 ; Holnrook, N. Amer. Herp., 1st Ed., II. 1838, pp. 101-103, pl. XXII (plate (lrawn from A.N.S.P. No. 9298) ; Cuvier, Reg. Anim., 1829, P. 62; Griffith, Cuvier's Anim. King., IX, 1831, p. 157.
1824. Scincus bicolor Harlan. Jour. Acad. Nat. Sci. Plila., IN, pt. 2, 1824, p. 286, pl. XVIII, fig. 1 (type description; type locality, "southem States"); and Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., VI, pt. 1, 1829. pp. 11-12, and 37; and Med. Phys. Res., 1835, p. 139; Cuvier, Reg. Anin., 2d Ed., II, 1829, p. 62; Griffith, Cuvier's Anim. King., IX, 1831, p. 157.
1830. Euprfpes tristatus Wagler. Syst. Amph.. 1830, p. 62.
1831. Tiliqua rrythrocephala Gray. In Griffith's Cuvier's Anim. Kingd., IX, Syn., 1831, pp. 69-70; Mag. Nat. Hist. Jardine, I, f. 292.
1831. Tiliqua quinquelineata Gray. In Griffith's Cuvier's Anim. King., IX, Syn., 1831, 111. 69, 70 (part.).
1831. Tiliqua bicolor Gray. In Griffith's Cuvier's Anim. King., IX, 1831, p. 70.
1835. Scincus ampricanus Harlan. Ned. Phys, Res., 1S35, pp. 135. 139 (name apparently hased an Petiver's [Gazonh. Nat. et artis, 1711] tab. 69. fig. 13 [not seen]).
1839. Plestiodon laticfps Duméril and Bibron. Erp. Gén., V, 1839. pp. 705-706; Gray, Cat. Spec. Liz. Coll. Brit. Mus., 1845, pp. 90-91; ?Jan, Cenni. Mus. Civ. Milan Ind. Sist. Rett. Anf., Milan, 1857, p. f (Cenrgia) ; Baird, Expl. and Surv. R. R. Route Pac. Ocean, 1859, pp. 25-27 (specimen mentioned now U.S.N...I. 9239) ; ?Theobald, Cat. Rept. Mus. Asiat, Soc. Bengal, (No. CXIVI), Ext. number Journ. Asiat. Soc. Bengal, 1866, 5 . 26.
1839. Plestiodon quinquelintatum Duméril and Bibron. Erp. Gén., V. 1839, pp. 707-709 (part.) ; Wright and Funkhouser. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1915, pp. 133-136 (Okefinokee Swamp. [part.] This lot contains laticeps, inexpectatus and a form having 24 scale rows. This specimen has lueen helifaded and cannot be properly identified).
1439. Scincus fasciatus Holbrow. N. Amer. Herp., 1st Ed., 1s39, 111, p. 4., pl. 7; and idem, 2 d 1ed., 1842 , II, pp. 127-129, pl. 1s (the figure is laticeps).
1s38. Tiliqua erythrocephala Gras. Cat. Shemb- 'hombd Saur., Mag. Nat. Hist., II, 183s-'39, p. 292.
1S42. Plestiodon erythrocephalus Holbrow. N. Amar. H.rp., 2d Et., 1~12, 1p. 117-120, nl. SII; DeKay, Zoöl. N. K'., Rept. Amph., 1442, b. 30.
1864. Eumeces laticeps Peters. Monatsb. Konigl. Akad. Wiss. Berl., 1s64, p. 49; Bucourt, Miss. Sci. Mex., Lix. 6, 1879, pl. JX11, D, figs. 6, 6a, (b); Taylor, Univ. Kan. Sci. Bull., XXX, No. 13, May 15, 1932 (issued Oct. 1, 1932), ph. 251-261 (comparisun with E. inexpectatus) ; ibid, No. 14, May 15, 1932 (issued Oct. 1, 1932), pp. 263-271, pls. XIX and XX: Dury and Williams, Baker-llunt Found. Mus., Bull. I, Now., 1933, p. 14 (Kentucky records).
1579. Eumeces quinquelineatus Bocourt. Miss. Sci. Mex., Liv. 6, 1879, pp. 426-12s (fart.) : smith, Rep. Geol. Surs. Ohio, V, pt. 1, 1se2. pp. bi50, disl (part.) : Boulener, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1s57, p. 269 (part.) ; Rhodes, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1-95, pp. 386, 387 (part.) ; McLain, Contr. N. Amer. Herp., 1849, pp. 1-5 (part.); Stone, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1903, p. 53 S (part.) (Spec. from Petit Jean Mt. Ark. A.N.S.P. 15452); Stone. The Amer. Nat., NL, Mar., 1906, p. Ins (York Furnace, York Co., Pa.) ; Strecker, Proc. Biol. Suc. Wash., XXI, July 27, 190 , p. 169 (part.); Hurter, Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis, XX, 1911, pp. 140-142 (part.) (Missouri) ; Ditmars, The Reptile Book, 1915, pp. 196, 197 (part.), and pp. 201-203 (part.).
1ss2. Eumeces fasciatus Yarrow. Bull. L. S. National Museum, No. 24, 1882, Mr. 41, 42 (part.) ; Blatchley, Rep. State Geol., 1891 (1892), pp. 548,549 (part.); Stejneger and Barbour. Check List N. Amer. Ampli. and Rept., 2d Ed., 1923, p. 75 (part.); Blanchard, Papers. Mich. Acad. Sci. Arts Lett., IV, 1924, pp. 535, 536 (part.) (Nos. 58737 and 58738); Strecker, Contr. Baylor U. Mus., No. 5, May 15, 1926, pp. 5. 6 (part.) (Lomisiana) : and idem, No. 7, 1926, p. 7 (part.); Ortenherger, Uni. Okla. Bull., Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci., Vi, pt. 1, 1926, p. 95 (part.); Brimley, Journ. Elisha Mitchell sci. Soc., XLII, 1926. p. 83 (Key; part.); Ortenberger. Copeia, No. 170,1929 , p. 11 (part.), and p. 27 (part.); Conant, Bull. Antiv. Inst. Amer., IV, No. 3, Dec., 1930, r. 63 (part.).
1917. Plestiodon fasciatus Stejneger and Barbur. Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 1917, P. 69 ; Blanchard, Occ. Papera Mus. Zö̈l. U. of Mich., No. 117, 1922, p. 7 (part.; 2 specimens from Henry, Tenn.): Strecker, Baylor U. Bull., XXVII, No. 3, pt. 3, 1924, pr. 37, 39 (part.) (Arkansas).

History. In a short paper published in 1932 I revived the name Eumeces laticeps for the large. lined skink occurring in the southeastern part of the Cnited States, a name first assigned by Schneider (1801) to a broad-headed skink in the Muscum of Göttingen. This brief description points out certain salient features of the adult male, but omit- pertinent details of squamation. It likewise mentions the fact that formerly the specimen had black spots near the end of the tail, a character normally present in no skink known today. One presumes that, if present, these marks may have been due to injury or some aceident of preservation such as a fungus growth when a preserving fluid las become very weak). Unfortunately the origin of the eperimen was either not known or not recorded by schmeider. The second -pecimen mentioned by schneider. known to him only be a drawing, is obviously not of this species. Inquiry regarding the type has to date met with no reply. I regard it likely that it is still extant.

Under Euneces jusciatus I have traced the history of this form,


Diagnosis. A large species of the Fasciatus group, characterized by the presence of five or seven white lines in the young, the median bifurcating on the nuchal, and the branches rumning forward to the frontonasal; tail blue in young; limbs long, overlapping; prefrontals broadly in contact; one pestnasal; two postmentals; subcaudal scales greatly widened; scale rows $30-32$; usually eight supralabials, five preceding the subocular, which is relatively high; primary temporal relatively small, touching the lower secondary, which is frequently enclosed by the tertiary temporal and the last labial; latter relatively low and much elongated; the intercalated plates on the outer side of fourth toe extend to or nearly to ultimate phalanx.

Description of the species. Portion of rostral visible above often approaching the size of the frontonasal; supranasal moderate, longer than wide, forming a median suture; frontonasal relatively small, almost invariably separated from the frontal; prefrontals large, almost invariably in contact, much larger than the frontoparietals; frontal usually constant in shape, broadly angular anteriorly, posterinrly the sides sloping gradually, in contact with three supraoculars; frontoparictals relatively small, forming a suture usually equal to a half or more of their length; interparictal relatively short and wide, usually truncate posteriorly; one, rarely two, pairs of nuchals, not as strongly differentiated as and relatively smaller than in most pecies.

Nasal moderately large, divided by a suture, the anterior part larget; a small postnasal; anterior loreal higher than wide, higher than posterior; latter large, much longer than high; two presuboculars the anterior usually much the larger; four supraoculars; -uperciliarice cight to ten; five (or four) postsuboculars; primary temporat subquadrangulat, in contact with the lower secondary temporal, which is triangular if enclosed by the seventh labial and the elongate tertiary, or the lower part may be elongated by fusion with a scale segmented from the tertiary temporal and as a result reaches the edge of the ear; upper secondary temporal usually more than twice the area of the primary, much widened posteriorly. Usually eight labials (rarely seven), five preceding the subocular, which is as high or higher than length of its labial cuge; first labial a little higher than but rarely as large as the third or fourth; eighth labial much elongated along labial border, separated from the ear by (normally) one small postlabial, very rarely by two superimposed, in which cave the upper is usually a segment
of the tertiary temporal; anterior ear lohule: inconspicuons, manally thickened and flattened. lying elose to the surface; upper palpebral scales, with the exception of one or two (or in one ease nonc), separated by a row of gramules from the superciliaries; lower lid with four or five entarged plates separated from the subocular by usually four, rarely three or five rows of gramule; mental moderate. with a hathial border much larger than that of rostral; two postmentals, the anterior small; three pairs of chinshields, first only in contact; last followed by an elongated postgular, which is bordered on its anterior inner edge by a scale longer than wide; six lower labials, the last largest.


Fig. 28. Eumcers lnticeps (Schneider). Field Mus. No. Sos3, Entermise, Florida. A. lateral view of head: B. dorsal riew of head. Actual head length, ahout 25 mm .; width, about 26 mm .
scales on berly, save in postaxillary region, parallel; no for very slight) differences in size of the seales about the middle of body. There are $2+$ to 26 scales around the ear. Scales in a row about neck behind ear 35 to 42 . the higher counts most frequent; about constricted portion of neck 33 to 36 . thirty-four being most frequent; about body in axillary region 38 to 4.5 rows: about middle of body 30 to 32 oceuring with about equal frequency (very rarely a little higher or lower). Widened subcaudals vary from 100 to 106. Six seale horder the anterior edge of rent, the median pair distinctly enlarged, the onter seales overlapping inncr; lateral postanal sente nsually somewhat enlarged and differentiated in males; about wenty seales about arm insertion; outer wrist phate or tubercle strongly cularged: cole with a group of various-sized, cularged, partlike tubercles: lamellar formula of fingers: $6 ; 10 ; 12 ; 14 ; 8$. Twentr-four scale about incertion of leaz heel matally bordered by
four padlike scales not in contact medially; usually three padlike tubercles on sole posteriorly; the outer seales of sole strongly imbricated, flat, the inner scales small, granular or tubercular; intercalated scales on outer side of fourth toe extending the length of the two basal phalanges, and all or part of third. Lamellar formula for toes: $8 ; 11 ; 14 ; 19 ; 14$.

Head in young and females normal; in old and adult males it becomes greatly widened behind the ear; in old mates the width of the head exceeds considerably the length.

Color. Young, deep black above, with a tail deep blue, slightly ultramarine below. A median greenish or (bluish posteriorly) light line bifurcating anteriorly on the muchals, the branches reuniting on the frontonasal or supranasals; the line extends about one third the length of the tail. The dorsolateral line begins on the first supereiliary, passes back along the sides of the body and continues from one third to one half the length of the tail, oceupying the middle part of the fourth scale row the greater part of its length; a lateral line begins on the presuboculars, eurves under the eye, and rises to top of ear; it emerges about middle of posterior edge of the ear, continues back on the basal half of the tail, wider on sides of neck, following the middle of the seventh scale row the greater part of its length; sublateral light line begins on back edge of lower jaw, rums to shoulder where it is interrupted, then follows back along the tenth row or edges of the ninth and tenth to the hind leg, where it is interrupted; it is discernible a short distance on the tail. This line is lese intense than the others. Oceasionally, whitish spots on the forearm and a postfemoral light line, sometimes reaching the foot; dorsal lamellae of the toes with lighter areas; first four labials light, the lower part of the posterior labials dark; chin, cream; belly, bhuish-gray. The dorsal lines are usually greenish-white anteriorly, but posteriorly they may be light blue or blue-white; underside of limbs grayish-white; more rarely they appear cream or light tan.

Young adults have the ground color lighter, some of the darker pigment remaining, usually forming dark lines along the median and dorsolateral light lines. The dark area between the dorsolateral and lateral light lines remains dark but usually changes to a dark brown, more or less uniform, but occasionally flecked with olive.

From this time on the color of the males tends to diverge from the juvenile character, the stripes losing their distinctness and the dorsal color becoming more or less miform brown or olive, or even olive flecked with darker color. The lateral brown stripe is usually more
Measurements of Eumeres laticeps (Schneider)

|  |  |  |  |  | USN.S.a. | UkNM |  | $U_{\mathrm{E} \leqslant 26,1}^{\mathrm{S}_{2}, M_{1}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shout to verit | 30 | 36 | 40.5 | 52.4 | 59 | 79 | ${ }_{95}$ | 10. | 110 |
| Fial | 40 |  | (ii) | $\because$ | 93 | 124 | 141 | 153 | reg. |
| Stout 10.c.e | : | 3.2 | 4 | 14.4 | 5.3 | 6.2 | \% 1 | 9 | 11 |
| Shout to car | * | 8.2 | ${ }^{11}$ | 12 | 13.1 | 17.5 | 21) | 21 | 29 |
| Suowt to liorelce. | 13 | 13.4 | 16.5 | 19.3 | 22.8 | 29 | 31 | 41 | 12 |
| Asilla to groin | 14 | 19 | 21 | 27 | 31 | 4 | 5 | 53 | 37 |
| Wisth of heal | 5.3 | 6.1 | $\checkmark$ | 9 | 10 | 14.0 | 1 | 20 | $26 ; 6$ |
| Leneth of thead. | 7. | 2.7 | 9.1 | 10.6 | 12 | 15.5 | 15 | 20.2 | 24 |
| Wieth of loorly | 5.2 | ${ }^{6}$ | 9.6 | 11 | 12 | 1.3 | 23 | 21 | 2 |
| Foretere | 10.2 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 15.4 | 23 | $2 \times$ | 30 | 33 |
| Hinal lex | 13 | 11 | 17 | 22.1 | 27 | 33 | 41) | 11 | 45 |
| Longest tow | 5.1 | 6.2 | 7 | 7 | ${ }^{10}$ | 133 | 11 | 1. | 5 |

or less distinctly retained, and the lateral light line continues to be more or less discernible. The head becomes red.

The females tend to retain more of the details of jurenile coloration, and the light stripes are discemible in the largest specimens examined. They, however, become tan or a different shade of olive; the ground color becomes lighter and usually is olive, flecked with black. The lateral stripe is very distinct.

Tariation. In my study of this species I have examined 278 specimens. 20 states and more than 117 localities being represented. In a species having so large a distribution, it was surprising that no well-established variation in squamation was discerned. True, in certain localities it is possible to demonstrate certain average differences. Thus a larger percentage of specimens in the southeastern


Fig. 29. Eumeccs laticups (Schncider). K.U. No. 7809; Imboden, Lawrence Co., Arkansas. Female. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length. 17 mm .; width, 18 mm .
part of the range have the lower secondary temporal enclosed posteriorly by the tertiary temporal and the seventh labial; however, numerous examples show a different arrangement ; in the specimens from the more western parts the above arrangement occurs much less frequently. In a previous paper (Taylor, 1932) I regarded that this difference, together with the absence of the sublateral line, might warant the searation of the eastern from the western form. After an examination of the series mentioned I have comeluded that this is, at least at the present. not warranted.

The following data on occurence of this character were taken in 103 specimens from numerous localities: Florida, 8 with lower secondary temporal enclosed, one not enclosed; Georgia 7, 4; Ala-
bama 2. 0: South Carolina 7. 2; Virginia 3, 2; Ohio 0,4: Maryland 0. 1; West Virginia 1, 0; Misersippi 0, 1; Indiana 1. 5; Illinois 0, 2; Oklahoma 2. 19: Arkansas 0. 14: Louisiaua 0. o- Temessee 0.8; Kentucky 0. 1; Texas $0.2:$ Missouri $0,4$.

The sublateral line is lacking in all younger specimens in the territory west of the Appalachian Mountains, but whether this is invariably true cannot be asertained in all specimens, due to the fact that this character is: obliterated in adult males.

The number of scales from the parietals to above rent varies from 54 to 60 , the numbers 57 and 5 occurring with practically equal frequencr, each nearly threc times as frequently as 59 or 56. specimens having 54 and 55 are from northern Arkansas. The higher numbers are also present in the same lot from the same locality. The widened subeaudal scales from anus to tip of tail vary between 93 and 100, usually 96 or 97 . The extra pair of nuchals is present in about four cases: howerer, a single extra nuchal on one side or the other is about twiec as frequent in oecurrence. The frontal is separated from the frontonasal in about 95 per cent of the specimens; a single pormental occurs in about two percent of the specimens.

The number of superciliaries in 180 counts ( 90 specimens) vary frem seven to eleven. orcurring in the following order of frequency: $7.2 ; 8.58: 9.80: 10.36$ : and 11,4 times. Eight is of more frequent occurrence in western specimens. Subdigital lamellae under the fourth toe vary between 16 and 20 : in 104 specimens 16 orcurring
 difference apparent. The postsuboculars rary from four to six. In 1.59 specimen: four occurs on one or both sides 79 times; 5. 159 times: 6: 3 times.

The number of sale rows about the middle of the body raries from 28 to 34 . which represents a range more or lese typical of certain other -pecies of the genus. The numbers from 25 to 34 occurred with the following frequency in 136 -perimens where counts were mate: 1, 1, 56, 1:3, is, 5,7 . Thus, 30 rows, orouring 56 times are hut lightly mone numerou- than 8 - rows ofeuring 53 times. The 5 -pectinen- with 33 , and 7 with 34 , are not confined to any particular region, but are from widely seatered localities.

Remarks. The separation of this speries from the two related species. fasciathes and imripectatus, is not difficult if one takes the time to compare the -perinens with the descriptions here given. In
the recent edition of the Check List N. Amer. Amph. Reptiles, by Stejneger and Barbour. 3d Ed., 1933, p. 80, the following footnote occurs: "Two additional species of Eumeces, viz. E. inexpectatus and E. laticeps, have been recently recognized by E. H. Taylor (Univ. Kansas science Bull., Vol. 22, No. 13, 1932, p. 251, and Univ. Kansas Science Bull., Vol. 22, No. 13, 1932, p. 263). The evidence thus far alduced does not support the validity of these forms."

Since the above statement has been published I have discussed the question with both Doctor Stejneger and Doctor Barbour, supplying still further evidence for the recognition of the forms. For so long a time Cope's concluzions (1900) in regard to this group have held sway that it is difficult to realize that a different interpretation is tenable, inasmuch as Cope's keen discernment rarely overlooked forms worthy of taxonomic distinction. Even with the desire to rerognize the three forms, and trying to verify their status, the herpetologist is still doubtful that it is warranted when, on examining a jar of specimens, all of which come from the same locality (not impossibly collected on the same date and by a single collector), he finds three specimens which have the characters of the three proposed forms. The conclusion based on experience is that he is dealing with a variable form and the characters are unworthy of even subspecifie recognition. Another jar examined may show specimens which exhibit characters of only two species. I say it seems more reasonable to suppose that one is dealing with a variable form, rather than with three separate species, since it is rare in one's experience to find three species occupying the same general range, having enough characters in common to cause a herpetologist to mistake them as one, and having at the same time distinguishing features, perhaps less obvious, that would warrant their being regarded as totally distinct species.

However, I believe, unquestionably, that this is exactly the state of affairs with regard to the forms fasciatus, laticeps and inexpectatus. I likewise belice that anyone who has access to sufficient material and who will examine the material with sufficient care to note all characters, cannot fail to be convinced of the separate identity of these forms.

Distribution. The species occupies in general the entire southcastern part of the United States, extending north to the southern parts of Pemsylvania, Ohio, Indiana and Missouri, and as far west as eastern Oklahoma and Texas.


Fig. 30. Distribution of Eumeces laticeps (Schneider), in Eastern United States.

## LOCALITY RECORIS

Pencosylinia: Lancaster Co.: York Furnace (A.N.S.P. 1).
Maryland: Camp Roosevelt (U.S.N.M. 1).
West Virginia: Jefferson Co.: ¹⁄2 mi. above Harper's Ferry (U.s.N.M. i).
Virginia: (A.N.S.P. 1).
Loudoun Co.: (A.N.SP. 1).
Princess Anne Co.: Virginia Beach (U.S.N.M. 1).
Priner William Co.: Manassas (C.S.N.M.1).
Agusta Co.: O'Connell's Place (C.S.N.M. 1).
Gloucester Co.: (U.SN.MI.1).
Ruchbridge Co.: Natural Bridge (U.S.N.M. 1).
North Carolina:
Cartaret Co.: Beaufort (M.C.Z. 1).
Columbus Co.: Lake Waccamaw (T.S.N.M.1).
W'ake Co.: Raleigh (Baylor 1).
South Carolina:
Edgefield Co.: 1 mi. NW Trenton (T.SN.M.1).
Beaufort Co.: Miltonhead (A.N.S.P. 1); Port Royal (M.C.Z. 1).
Anderson Co.: Anderson (A.N.S.P.1) (C.S.N.M.1).
Dillon Co.: Little Pee Dee River (A.M.N.H. 2).
Charleston Co.: Charleston (M.C.Z. 1; Holbrook's specimens) (Field 1)
(U.S.N.M. 1); Mount Pleasant. Christ Church Parish (U'SN.M. 4).

Berkeley Co.: St. Stephen (Toledo Zoül. Soc. 1) : Oakley (T'S.N.M. 1).
Richland Co.: Columbus (U.S.N.M. 1).
（iEntit：（A．NAP．1）（Comoll 1）．
Cobb Co．：Roswoll（M．（̌．Z．3）．
Dade Co．：Siand Mt．Tipnton（A．M．N．H．1）
Grady Co．：Beachton（Fiehd 2）．
Thomas（＇o．：＇Thomasville（A．N．s．P．3）．
Turmer（＇o．：Ashburn（A．M．N．H．2）．
Lowombes（o．：Vialdosta（A．M．N．H．2）：Molioze（Mich．1）：＂a little north of Valdusta＂（M．C．Z．1）．
Charlan（＇o．：（＇yress Bayou，Okefinokee Swamp（A．N．N．H．1）；Oke－ finoke（Comell 1S）：East of Folkiton（Comell 1）：St．Petersbourg （Cormell 1）．
Heard Co．：Houston（Mich，2）．
（＂amdt＂（＂o．：St．Mary＂＇（M．C．L．2）（C．ミ．M．M．1）．
Cluthem Co．：Savannah（M．C．Z．2）．
Fulton Co．：（M．C．Z．f）．
Librety Co．：Riceboro（［S．N．M．B）．
Borit＂（\％．：Narhville（U．S．N．M．2）．

Perry（o．：Iniontown（A．N．S．P．1）．
Butler（o．：Pigeon River（A．N．S．${ }^{\text {P }}$ ）．
Callown（＇o．：Ammiston（M．C．Z．2）．
Mont！om＋ry（＇o．：Montgompry（TT．N．M．1）：Barachias（U．S．N．M．1）．
Buhlwin（＇o．：P＇erdido Bay（T＇S．N．M． 1 ）．

Adams Co．：（A．N．S．P．1）．
Tencestee：
Shelby Co．：Raleigh（A．N．S．P．2）．
？Obion Co．：Reelfoot Lake．Samburg（A．N．尺．3）．
Menry Co．：Henry（Mirh．2）．
Houstom Co．：Danville（C．S．N．M．I）．
Knox Co．：Knoxville（f．s．N．M．1）．
Montgomery Co．：Clarksille（T「N．N．M．1）．

Volusin（on．：Volusia（A．N．S．l．1）；Enterurise？（Field 1）；DeLand （U．S．N．M．1）（Field 1）（Comell 3）．
Marion（＇o．：（Field1）（Comell 3）；Eureka（A．M．N．H．1）．
Ouzal Co．：Nrar Jackenville（A．M．N．H．f）；Arlington（M．C．Z．1） （1．S．У．М．2）
Alartua（：o．：Near（iamesville（A．M．N．H．1）；Alachua（Mich．1）； （ianesvilts（Mich．2）：Micanopy Roal（Mirh．1）．
Latie Co．：（Mich．1）．
Lron（＇o．：Tallahasee（Mirh．1）．
Framklin Co．：Apalachicola（U．N．N．M．1）．
Nassam Co．：（U．S．N．M．1）．
Columbiar Co．：Blounts Ferry（U．N．N．M．1）．
Momroe（o．：Hulian Koy（UN．N．M．1）．

Indentified localities as regards cometies：Indian River，Fla．（U．S．N．M． 1）；（＇amp Baracea（M．C．Z．1）；st．John＇s River，Beerher Pt． （IN．N．M．1）．





st．Tammany Co．：Cowington（IかふM．1）．

Texds：


Ẅrthington（＇o．：Clifton Bosque＂．（Cornell 1）．
Matamond（o．：Matarorda（Comell 3）．
V＂ctorin（＂）：Black Bayou（Corndll 6）．

Busque（o．：（Baytor 3）．
Liberty（o．：Cleveland（Baylor 2）．

Oкцмmoms：
Mcerartain Co．：（O．I．4）．
Deloware（o．：（0．E．6）．
（homtare Co．：（0．U．2）．
Pushmataha Co．：（O．U＇．1）．
Leflore（o．：（0．U．3）（Comell 4）．
Latimer Co．：（O．U．5）
Ündentified：Old Fort Cohb（T゚SぶM．1）．
1rkoxsm：
Lomon Co．：Petit Jean Mt．（or Mell Co．）（ANふP．1）．
Luwerner Co：Imboten（K．「．J）（Field 2）．
Soriar（\％：Lakebure（A．M．N．H．2）．
Jeffersun（！，：New（ iacomy（ANSP．2）．


A．kley（o．Wilmot（U．S．N．M．1）．
MBhr（ $\circ$ ．：（Baylor 2）．
（1）11）：
Howling（＇o．：（ioond Hope Twp．（Toledo Zoïl．soc．1）；Clear（reek （1）hio state Mus．1）．
Itarl：（o．Greenville（Comell 1）．
Athons fo．：Athems（1）hio［＇．1）．
Homilton Co．：Cincinnati（Baydor 1）．
Indiavi：
Pitir（o．：stondel（Field 1）．

If：If．（＂o：Blutton（Mich．1）．
Vamboberg（＇o．：Examsille． 7 mi．sll（Mich． 1 ．

Randmph Co．：（Chater（Dlich．1）．
Monto Co：Rad Bun（Mich．1）．


Alexander Co.: Olive Branch (Field 2).
St. Clair Co.: Belleville (U.S.N.M. 1).
Wabash Co.: Mt. Carmel (U.S.N.M. 1).
Missouri:
Pemiscot Co.: (Mich. 1).
Butler Co.: (U.S.N.M. 2).
Stone Co.: (A.M.N.H.1) (Cornell 1).
St. Louis Co.: St. Louis (U.S.N.M. 4).
Cooper Co.: Boonville (M.C.Z. 1).
Montgomery Co.: ?Bigspring Park (Mich. 1).
Kextcoky: (M.C.Z. 2).
Fulton Co.: Hickman (U.S.N.M. 2).
Kenton Co.: (B.H.F.M. 1) : Independence (B.H.F.M. 1).
Grant Co.: Crittenden (C.S.N.H. 3).
Eumeces inexpectatus Taylor
(Plate 16; Figs. 31, 32)
SYNONYMY*
1839. Plestiodon quinquilineatus Holbrook. North Amer. Herp., III, 1839, pp. 39-41 (part.), pi. VI (the plate is a picture of a specimen of this species); and 2d Ed., II, 1842, pp. 121-124 (part.), pl. XV1I.
18i9. Eumeces quinquelineatus Bocourt. Miss. Sci. Mex., Liv. Yi, 1879, pp. 426-428; Liv. VII. 1881, pl. 22E, figs. 10, 10a, 10b and 10 e (part.).
1932. Eumeces inexpectatus Taylor. Uni. Kans. Sci. Bull., XX, No. 13, Oct. 1, 1932 (Bull. Uni. Kansas. Vol. XXXIII, No. 10, 1932), pp. 251-261, m. XVII, figs. 1-5 (type description; type locality Citrus Co., Fla.).

History. This species, apparently common over the southeastern part of the United States, has long been identified under the name Eumeces quinquelineatus and Eumeces fasciatus. Whether or not this form was actually described by Linnaeus cannot absolutely be proved or disproved. Since fasciatus should be used for the widespread species, I am of the opinion that neither name can be applied to this form. The brief description of quinquelineatus given by Linnaeus is so inadequate that it applies equally well to all three of the species occurring in the type locality-fasciatus, laticeps and inexpectatus. As the types of the Linnaean species are, so far as can be ascertained, lost, it is obvious that there can never be an absolutely certain fixation of the name quinquelineatus.

The only attempt to fix the name quinquelineatus to any one of the three species is that of Holbrook (1838 and 1842), who applies the name to this species at least in part, and gives a figure of a specimen of this species. It is obvious that this is an arbitrary choice. Moreover, from the data given in the discussion and the distribution, it is apparent that it is in a measure a composite

[^24]form. Some of Holbrook's specimens in the Academy of Natural sciences. Philadelphia, with this name are Eumeces laticeps.

When I diseerned that three distinct species oecurred, the question arose as to whether the Linnacan name quinquelimatus or some later name might apply to this third form. After considerable researeh in the literature (see discussion maler fasciatus), it seemed that none of these could be applied with any degree of certainty, and a new name, incxpectatus, was erected.

At that time I had arailable only 36 specimens. To date I have been able to study 226 specimens, all of which agree, with that chosen as the type, in all essential details. These additional specimens have added but little to our knowledge of distribution, save that the southern Virginia records take it a little farther north than was known, and those from Lousiana a little farther to the southwhot. Numerous specimens have since been examined from Alabama and Georgia, as well as from those states where its presence was definitely known previously. Consult the history of Eumeces fasciatus in this work for a more detailed account of the earlier names than is given here.)

Diagnosis. A member of the Fasciatus group. with characters - omewhat intermediate between Eumeces laticeps and E. fasciatus. Young with median light line from head to tail. bifurcating on the muchal. disappearing in adult males; a distinct dorsokateral line usually, but not invariably, remaining evident in arbult males; a broad lateral brown stripe, bordered by a light lateral line, usually. not continuous on the latials: young with (usually) a sublateral light line. Upper labials seven or eight, last largest, separated from the ear hy an elongate lower postlabial, with two smaller postlabials above it; usually one pair of nuchals; one postnasal; two postmentals: median preanal seales relatively small: 30-32 seale rows about the middle of the borly; subeaudal scales not distinetly enlarged. Young with a blue tail.

Drscription of type (Kansas University Muremm, No. Nox:2, Citrus Co., Fla.). The portion of the rostral visible above a little lese than half the bulk of the frontoparietal; supranasal large. forming a relatively short median suture, touching postnasal and anterior loreal haterally ; frontomasal murh broader than long, tomehing the anterior loreal laterally; the sutures with the supanatab somewhat shorter than those with prefrontak: batter large, broadly in contace medially. forminge subegual sutures with the first sumaocular and firet supereiliary: frontal about one fourth longer than
its distance from the end of the snout, distinctly wider anteriorly than posteriorly, the sides gradually sloping, in contact with three supraoculars; frontoparietals moderate in size, forming a suture half their length; parietals very broad, not enelosing the interparictal; a pair of large nuchals; nasal divided, the posterior part forming a narrow rim about nostril; a relatively large postnasal; two loreals, the anterior very little higher than the posterior, which is elongated; two presuboculars; four-five postsuboculars; primary temporal quadrangular, nearly square; upper secondary temporal elongate, widened but little posteriorly; lower sccondary temporal


Fig. 31. Eumeces incxpectatus Taylor. K.U. No. S232 (type); Citrus Co., Florida. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 13.2 mm. ; width, 12 mm .
nearly triangular, the longest side next to the labial; an elongate tertiary temporal following behind the secondaries; nine superciliaries, the first and last largest.

A small preocular; two small postoculars; large opaque plates on lower eyelid separated from subocular by four rows of tubercles; only the four median upper palpebral seales form sutures with the superciliarics; eight upper labials, first with posterior part much elerated above the succeeding four which prececte the subocular; seventh distinctly smaller than eighth, which is the largest of the series; this followed by an elongate curved postlabial which enters ear, with two small scales in contact with it above (on left side the posterior is small) ; three small free lobules on anterior border of ear; six lower labials; mental with much greater labial extent than rostral; two postmentals; chinshields typical, the last followed by
two scales. the outer large. elongate, the inner small, variable. a little longer than wide: 37 seales about neck behind ear; 31 row: about narrow part of neck; for row about body in axillary region; 32 scale rows about midtle of body; 21 rows about base of tail; lateral scales parallel sate in axillary region, a little larger than doreals; six or eight preanals, the metian only moderately entarged. outer scales overlapping imner; subciudal scates not or but slighty differentiated in shape or width from the other caudal seales: scales about insertion of arm. 15; a well-lefined, large, wrist tuberele: 12 to 15 cnlarged padlike tubereles on palm; lamellar formula for fingers: $6 ; 10 ; 13 ; 13 ; 8$. About 20 scales around insertion of himd limb: heel bordered by four or five contiguous plates, with only one or two somewhat enlarged tubercles anterior to the four heel plates. Lamellar formula ior toes: $7 ; 11 ; 13 ; 18 ; 11$. Interealated scales on fourth toe not reaching beyond the basal phatan.

Head slightly bulging behind eve; ear opening moderate; limbs: well develoned, the hind leg reaching elbow of adpressel forelimb. The pits on the scales are very small, punctate, occurring on sides of neck and body, on posthumeral and postfemoral regions and silleof tail. In the neck region scales maty have as many as 1, pit(much more numerous and smaller than in fasciatus). In older specinens these become obsolete as they are in the type. Howerer in certain of the paratypes they are quite distinct.

Color (in alcohol). Above generally bronze, the sale showing certain metallic reflections; many scales howing a somewhat datker area; the top of head somewhat yellowish-brown; a mestian lighter line, whitish or yellowish, dimiy visible, bifurcating on the nuchat. the line still visible to the prefrontals; a domenateral light line extending from supraculars far onto the side of the tail; the line following outer edge of fourth and inner third of the fifth row of seales, bordered above by a fine row of -mall black dots. A howat, brown stripe on side of head, somewhat leeper hrown that on tup of head. growing gradually darker on neek, and beemming ahmost black along side of body and tail: a lateral light line begiming on the presuboculars, forming at first a series of tom more or lese discomereth white spots, the late reaching top of car; it emerge from lower half of ear and montinues above hind limb on the side of the tail. very strongly defined its contire length; lateral light line bordered below by a dark stripe; no sublateral light line visible at this age; chin and lower latials flesh colomed renter grayish, growing bluish-gray posteriorly; a light line on the posterior site of
femur; toes and feet lighter than venter, the scales darker edged on toes.
('olor of female (paratype): Above very dark brown, with the median light line bifurcating on nuchats, continuing to rostral, bordered by deep black lines; bronzy anteriorly, blue-black posteriorly: dorolateral line narrow, ruming through middle of fifth -cale row, greenish-white with metallic reflections, bluish posteriorly: lateral stripe intensely black on sides, brownish on head; lateral line prominent, wider anteriorly: otherwise similar to male.

Measurements of Eumeces inexpectatus Taylor

| Museum <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{SL} 2 \mathrm{~S} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} 1 \\ & 823 \end{aligned}$ | Mich. <br> 61632 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mich. } \\ & 61634 \end{aligned}$ | Mich. <br> 61754 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | (it) | 62 | -9 | 73 | 77 |
| shout to foreleg | 26 | 2 | 29 | 2. | 26 |
| snout to ear. | 15 | 14 | 17 | 17.3 | 17.1 |
| Tail. | reg. | reg. | 123** | 1s1* | 115* |
| Widtli of head. | 12 | 10 | 15 | 13.6 | 13 |
| Length of head. | 132 | 114 | 15.2 | 15 | 14.7 |
| Axilla to groin | 34 | 30 | 3. | 37 | 41 |
| Postanal tail width | 9 | 7.5 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Foreleg. | 20 | 19 | 24 | 21.5 | 22 |
| Hind leg... | 23 | 2.5 | 33 | 31 | 31.5 |

[^25]Variation. Detailed seale count: were made on 90 specimens, while data on certain seales were recorded in a larger number. The following rariation is evident: Seales in a line from parietals to above anus, $55-59$, the number 5.5 octurring 6 times; 56, 12 times; 57. 39 times; 58,23 times; and $5 \%$, 10 times. The variation has no gengraphical significance. The scate rows about the middle of the body vary from 29 to 36 ; they are normally 30 , 31, or 32 . In 108 counts, 30 oceurs 94 times; 31, 24 times ; and 32,47 times. Two -pecimens have 29 , one 33 ; ten have 34 , and one has 36 . These specimens with very high numbers are from varions localities. The specimen hatving 36 scale rows is from Little Sarasota Bay, Florida (U.S.N.M. 9953). The labials are either seven or eight ; in 106 counts, the mumber $7-7$ oecurs 46 times: $8-8$ oecoms 35 times; and the number $7-8$ ocenrs ${ }^{5}$ timer. The postmental is invariable.

In 12.5 pecimens, 10:3 have the prefrontals in contact; 20 have them separated but urablly the separation is very small. In one
ease they are separated be a small interealated scale. The nuchals were obserted in 92 specimens. The arrangement 1-1 (one pair) occurs in 61 specimens; $1-2$ in $2 \underline{2}$ specimens; $2-2$ (two pairs) in 7 specimens: and $3-2$. in two. The number of lamellae under the fourth toe was counted 188 times ( 94 specimens). The number 14 occurs once; 15 . five times; 16, 37 times; 17,88 times; 18,37 times; 19. 10 times; and $\because 0$, twice.

Superciliaries vary from 7 to 10 . seven occurring 10 times; 8. 66 times: 9.83 times; and 10 , seven times, in 84 specimens. The number of postsuboculars raries from three to five. The numbers $3-3$ occur three times; $4-3$, three times; $4-4,69$ times; $4-5$, seven times; $5-5$, ten times.

The limbs. when adpresed, invariably overlap; in old males the arerage is about 12 millimeters. The scales separating the last labial from the ear and the lower secondary temporal show some rariation; occasionally there is only a single scale above the elongate postlabial: more frequently two.

Color rariations seem to be those dependent on age or sex. Here, as in related species of the fasciatus group, the adult males undergo a complete or nearly complete color evolution from the brilliant lined young with azure tails to dull-colored, brownish-olive specimens with orange or reddish head in old age. The brown lateral stripe appears to remain very distinct in the old. The females retain in much greater degree the jurenile pattern.

Coloration of young from University Michigan Museum, No. 61629; from near Gulfport, Pinelas Co., Fla.). General ground color deep black: a very narrow median greenish-white line running to nuchals. where it is slightly separated from the two diverging lines of the head; posteriorly, on back, median line light blue or bluishwhite. beroming a deeper blue on tail, and finally lost in the blue ground color of the latter part of the tail; dorsolateral line, not touching diverging lines of head, arises separately on the first superciliary, continues with irregular edges over outer side of the supraoculars, then continute along side of body to tail, following the fifth scale row, gencrally greenish-white, but beconing bhe posteriorly: first four labials the creamy color of the chin and lower labials: lateral white line arises on the second loreal, passing through the presuboculars and unter cye, crosing the last two labials to the top of ear. where it stops; the line then begins behind ear about middle and continues back to tail; lower part of the posterior labials dark; between the median and dorsolateral lines are two
dim, narrow, bronze-colored lines on the edges of the second and third scale rows, visible as far as the tail, where they become blue; belly bluish-gray, which color reaches on side to the black stripe which is below the lateral line, at which the gray is slightly lighter so as to suggest a dim sublateral white line; tail deep blue, darker posteriorly, the underside of tail a more grayish-blue. Chin and breast cream color; a whitish line on posterior surface of hind leg.

A second young specimen, an immature male ( 48 mm .) (University of Michigan, No. 61631, from Hillsboro Co., Fla.), already begins to show the brownish coloration on the side of the head and the labial line shows the tendency to form white spots on the brown color of the posterior labials; the throat shows a slight salmonbrown suffusion. In general, the markings are the same as in the preceding specimen. The bifurcating lines usually do not actually contact the median line on the nuchals, but tend to turn out slightly at this point.

Remarks. While, as pointed out, this form bears much similarity to fasciatus, it should in no sense be construed as a subspecies of cither fasciatus or laticeps, since the fact that it occurs through so wide a territory occupied by these two species and maintains its identity, precludes such an association. The maximum size of this species is 89 millimeters snout to rent; I have found four males reaching 85 , and a single one reaching to 89 millimeters in the 236 specimens examined. It is slightly larger than fasciatus, but much smaller than laticeps. The tails are rarcly complete. A specimen, 27 mm . snout to rent, has a tail 44 mm . ; one 50 mm ., a tail 100 mm .; one 53 mmi ., a tail 95 mm .; one 79 , a tail 125 mm . The subcaudal scutes in two complete tails were 110 and 112.

Unfortunately, I have no data on the habits of this form, nor can I state whether it is terestrial or arboreal. The claws are somewhat more of the general character of laticeps, and distinctly larger than in fasciatus. The character of the subcaudals serves to separate the species most easily. In tails that have been completely regenerated this is impossible, since in the regenerated part the scales are strongly widened.

Like all of the known species of Eumeces, rariation in head scales at well as scale rows and details of the markings must be anticipated, at least to the extent to which they occur in any other species of Eumeccs, closcly related or not. Many of the variations in the material examined have been pointed out; other variations oceur.

Cope (1900. p. 637) states: "The Plestiodon vittigerum of Hallowell from Miehigan belongs 10 the middle stage of this species var. polygrammus." As this scems unreasonable I smepect a typographical error, and that the latter part should read: "of this opecies.

Var. polygrammus," cte.
There follows comments on a specimen from Colonels Island which differs from quinquelineatus, "in having the five bluish-white lines on a black ground very narrow; the legs uniform black without any stripe. There is a third lateral stripe on each side, between the fore and hind legs, less distinct than the other, and a short, light -tripe on each side of the merlian one on the back of the neck. This is along the adjacent edges of the first and second row of seales from the median line, the inner edge of this first row involved in the median stripe. The posterior extremity of the oval light outline on the head above. instead of being connected with the end of the dorsal stripe as its bifurcation, has the two branches curved outward, as a quarter circle, and connecting with the two supplementary short cervical stripes and not at all with the median."

Practically every character listed is characteristic of both incxpectatus and laticeps, except the statement that there is no stripe on the leg. since a white stripe on the posterior part of femur occurs in both species. In regard to the median line. I find that the "bifureating" lines of the head usually do not contact the median line. However, in some specimens they do (vide Taylor 1932, pl. XVII, fig. 2.) and in none I have examined do they agree with the description as I understand it. Certain specimens of laticeps sometimes fail to have the median dorsal line touch the head lines. The lines between the dorsolateral line and the median line develop in both species, perhaps earlier in inexpectatus.

Since the type of this species is apparently lost it seems impossible to do more than hazard a guess as to the identity of poly!rammus, although to me it scems more likely that it is the form ealled inexpectatus than laticops. However, were I to use the name polygrammus instead of inexpcetatus, it is obrious that I would be exchanging a certainty for an uncertainty, and in that case one might just as well use the name quinquelineatus, another uncertainty and an older one!

Moreover, there is of course a possibility that this is the form described as capito by Bocourt." I have not allocated this name to

[^26]synonymy, but think it probable that it is based upon an aberrant specimen of fasciatus.

Distribution. The northern limits of distribution of this form are as yet uncertain. It follows the Atlantic and Gulf Coast line from Norfolk, Va., to the Mississippi river mouth. Whether it reaches any considerable distance from the coast in the seaboard states is known only as obtains in Mississippi, where a series of specimens have been collected at University in Lafayette Co., approximately 250 miles from the coast. In South Carolina it is known to reach York Co., about 150 miles from the coast. Indiana records in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy, Harvard College, must be regarded as too doubtful to be considered. A very considerable part, if not the entire range of this species, is shared with laticeps, and, with the possible exception of southern Florida, also with fasciatus.


Fig. 32. Distribution of Enemeces incxpectatus Taylor, in southeastern [nited states.

## LOCALITY RECORDE

Viminin：Vorfolk（ou：Norfolk（F゚ふN．M．1）；Wallaceton，Dismal swamp （U．S．NM．1）．
North Caromsa：
Crazen Co．：Newbern（Mirh，V．1）（1゚ぶス．M．1）．
Lenoir Co．：K̆inston（C．S．N．M．1）．
Dare（＇o．：Hatteras，Hatteras ls．（1．SA．N．2）．

soteh（＇molina：
（＇harleston（＇o．：Chaterton（M．C．Z．1）（Fieht 1）（C゚．s．N．M．I） （A．NSS．4）．
York（＇o．：Near Rockhill（Minh．L．1）．
Finrida：
Alachua Cu．：（Mich．U．1）；Gainesville（Mich．（．2）．
Brezard Co．：Eau Gallic（M．C．Z．2）：（ e orgian：（C．S．N．M．16）；
Canaveral（A．M．N．H．5）：Micco（A．M．N．H．1）．
Citrus Co．：（K．C．2，types）；Pineola（A．M．N．H．1）．
Dade Co．：（U．SN．M．1）；Everglade（A．M．N．H．1）；Homestearl（M．C．Z． 1）；Long Pine Kiey（M．C．Z．1）；Miami（M．C．Z．3）；Lemon City （U．S．N．M．1）．
Hillwboro Co．：（К゙．U．5）（Mich．U．，2）．
Lake Co．：（USS．N．M1．1）：St．Iohn＇s River，Hawkinsrille（M．C．Z．1）； Tavares（C．S．N．M．2）；Lakeland（A．M．N．H．5）．
Lee Co．：（USAN．M．1）：Fort Merers（Mich．L．1）：Captive Is． （A．M．N．H．1）．
Manutce Co．：Littlo Sarazota Bay（U゚ふN゙M．3）．
Marion Co．：（Field 2）（Cimnegie 2）：Eureka（Toledo Z．S．1）．
Monroe Co．：Key West（M．C．Z．1）（U．心．N．M．1）；Tortugas（M．C．Z．2）； Pine Key（M．C．Z．1）：Paradise Key（M．C．Z．3）；（？）Boca Chica Key（M．C．Z．1）．
N゙assau Co．：（1．SNM．1）．
Orange Co：：Chuluota（INN．N1．1）．
Osceola Co．：Kissmmee（M．C．Z．1）：Lake Kissimmen（U．N．N．M．1）： （？）Kissimmee Prairie（A．M．N．H．3）．
 Lake Worth（U．SN．M．1）；Hobe somed（A．M．N．IF．2）．
Pasco Co．：Aroo（1．N．sP．3）．
Pinclas（o．：Long lipy（Mich．V．2）；near Clearwater（Mich．l＂． 1 ： near Culfort（Mich．1．2）：st Peterthrg（Comell 3）．

St．Lucic Co．：Sebastian（M．（．Z．2）．
Volusia Co．：Volusia（ANSP．S）：New smyrna（T．s．N．M．1）．
Indeterminate localities－as regads eomuty：Lake Okechobe（MCZ．Z． 1）；East Florida（M．（｀Z．1）；Florsar（M．C\％．6）（A．M．N．H．2） （Cameqie 1）：Cablage Rey（Mirh．［．1）；N．John＇s River（U．心．M．N． 1）；South Fla．（U．S．N．MI 1）；Arcadia I．（UNS．N．1）；（entral
 （A．M．NHIH．5）．
(iegrgla: (M.C.Z. 1).
Liberty ('a.: Riceboro (U.S.N.M. 1).
Charlton Co.: Cyress Bayou. Floyds 1-., Ok, fenoke Swamp (A.M.N.H. 2) : Okefenoke Swanp (Comell 10).

Alabama:
Lee Co: (USMM.1).
Mobile Co.: Whistler (U.S.N.M. 2) ; Mobile (M.C.Z. 1); 10 miles west of Mohile (M.C.Z. 1).
Greenc Co.: Eutau (U.S.N.M. 1).
Mississippi:
Lafayrtte Co.: Vniversity (Mich. U. 6).
Honcook ('o.: Bay St. Lomin (Mich. U. 3) (U.S. N. M. I).
Harrison Co.: Bilosi (Field 1) (U.S.N.M. 3).
Jackson Co.: Ocean Springs (Comell 1).
Loutidana:
East Baton İouge Co.: Camp Wilson. Indianmound (Field 10; one number, 4831 , with five young faseiatus).
East Cariol Co.: Mellville (USN.M.3).
?Imans: (Several specimens in M.C.Z. from the Blatchley Collection bear the following records: Putnam Co.. Ind. (M.C.Z. 2); Knox Co., Ind. (M.C.Z. 1) : Crauford Co.. Ind. (M.C.Z. 1) ; Indiana (M.C.Z. 3). I am -trongly inclined to regard these localities as doubtful until further material is discovered in this state.)

## Eumeces tumgamus Stejneger

(Fig. 35, Distribution)
SYNONYMY
1896. Eumeces Janth Günther. Ann. Mus. Zö̈l. Sit. Petersbourg, I, 1896, p. 203 (non Günther, 18s9).
1924. Eumeces tunquas Steineger. Journ. Washington Acad. Sci., XIV, No. 16, Oct. 4, 1924, H1. 383, 384 (type description; type locality, Tung River Valley near Luting Kiao, western Szechwan) ; and Proc. L. S. Nat. Mus., LXll, Art. 25, 1926, pp. 51, 52 ; Gee, Bull. Dept. Biol. Yenching U., I, 1929-'30, I. 63.

History. The trpes of this species were discovered August 9, 1923, by the Rev. D. C. Graham in the Tung River valley near Luting Kiao in western Szeehwan. Doetor Stejneger (1924) pointed out that the Russian explorer Potanim had obtained specimens in August. 1894, at Li-Fangfu (also in the Tung River valley). which Günther (1896) identified as Eumeccs xanthi Günther. Doctor Stejneger had these specimens compared with drawings of the type of tungamus by Mr. S. Czarewsky, who pronouneed them identical with the species from which the drawing was made.

The type specimen is injured by a great gash across the shoulders, and the preservative (apparently formalin) has discolored the specimen and perhaps obscured certain color markings. The smaller paratype is in good condition, but is likewise discolored.

A second series of eleven opecimens was later sent to the L. S. National Musemm. These are Coss.al. Nos. 81976-8197s, and -2750-82757, collected by Reverend (iraham at Lu Ding Chiao, szechwam, China, altitude 5.000 ft .. July, 1930.

Diagnosis. A typical five-lined species with the median light line bifurcating at the nuchal and later reuniting on the snout; a patch of irregular, enlarged seales on the posterior surface of the thigh; a keeled, lateral postanal scale is absent. A postnasal present: two postmentak; limbs overlapping when adpressed; 28 scale rows about the body; 64 seales from parietals to above the anus. The upper sceondary temporal large, the posterior border greatly elongate, notched below by the small, nearly parallelsided lower secondary temporal.

Description of the species (from the type, U.S.N.MI. No. 66736, Luting Kiao, western Szechwan, "Where the road to Tatsienlu crosses the Tung River;" alt., 5-6,000 ft.; collector, Rev. D. C. (iraham, Aug. 9, 1923). Snout relatively slender, the part of rostral visible above pointed, the area much less than the frontomasal; supranasals large, forming a median suture; frontonasal six-sided, relatively narrow due to heigit of the anterior loreals which border it laterally, not or searecly larger than the prefrontals; latter pentagonal, forming a median suture, and sutures with the frontal, frontonasal, second loreal, first loreal, first supraocular, first superciliary and each other, the length of the sutures in the order named; frontal elongate, obtusely angular at each end, its length a little greater than its distance from the end of the snout, bordered by three (two on left side) supraoculars, broadly separated from the frontonasal ; frontoparietals larger than the prefrontals, their median suture greater than half their length; interparietal rather small, elongate, not enclosed by the parietals; parietals angular, distinetly longer than wide: two pairs of nuehats; four supraoculars; nasal small, divided by a suture, the lower suture reaching the first labial; a postnasal slightly wedged between first and second labials; anterior loreal much higher than wide, much higher than posterior, which is rery much longer than high; two presubnculars; eight superciliaries, the anterior large, elongate, the last relatively very small compared with the typical condition in the genus: four postsuboculars; primary temporal rectangular; upeer secondary very large, the posterior part curved. greatly elongated, the lower side notched by the small, nearly parallel-sided lower secondary temporal; tertiary temporal rather short and wide. separated from the
nuchal by another seale longer but less wide; first pair of postlabials large, the upper scale the larger; the second pair small; two or three tiny serrate auricular lobules; seven upper labials, last largest, widely separated from the upper secondary temporal, the four anterior not greatly differing in height, all lower than the subocular; five or six lower labials; two azygous postmentals (abnormally divided in the type so that the second part is separated from the labials) ; three pairs of chinshields, the first in contact; the postgenial large, bordered on anterior inner border by a slender elongate scale; mental with a much larger labial border than rostral.

Eye small, its length much less than its distance from the nostril; most of the upper palpebral series contact the superciliaries; lower eyelid with three enlarged scutes separated from the subocular labial by two granular rows of scales; a tiny preocular; two small postoculars; ear surrounded by about twenty or twenty-one scales.

The median dorsal seale rows widened anteriorly, not or only slightly widened in the middle of back; scales around neck behind ear, 40 ; about narow part of neck, 34 rows; 38 rows in axillary region: $\mathfrak{2} 6$ about middle of body $; 21$ about base of tail ; space of three subcaudals occupied by four or five series of small scales following anus; subcaudals distinctly widened, about four times as wide as long; tail regenerated posteriorly; eight preanal scales, the two median greatly enlarged, the outer scales overlapping the inner, their posterior edges forming a curve; the lateral postanal scale in males lacking any noticeable keel.

Limbs strong, elongate, overlapping a length of about 18 scales when adpressed; seventeen seales about insertion of the forelimb; palm with two (or threc) outer wrist tubercles, the inner of the two largest; palm with five or six enlarged padlike tubercles irregularly disposed, all contiguous; other tubercles small; lamellar formula of fingers: $6 ; 10 ; 12 ; 13 ; 6 ; n o$ laterally intercalated series of scutes; terminal lamellae not bound tightly about toes; claws narrow. clongate; 18 scales in series about the insertion of the hind limb; on posterior surface of thigh a well-defined, irregular series of enlarged scales; lamellar formula of toes: $7 ; 9 ; 16 ; 17 ; 11$.

Color and markings (preserved in formalin). Above dark black-ish-brown with five distinctly outlined light stripes. The median bifurcates on the nuchal or interparietal and its branches run forward to unite on rostral; behind it is visible a short distance on tail; the dorsolateral lines begin on the first supereiliary, pass atong sides of head to the third scale row, continuing back along this

Taylor: The Gents Euafor
Measurements of Eumoes tmothm: Stegneger

|  |  | ${ }_{5251}^{14}$ |  |  | $1$ |  | $18 \mathrm{sin} 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1 | 7:3 | $\because$ | 6.5 | 61 | is | is | 87 |
|  | 111 | $110^{*}$ |  |  |  | 94 |  |
| - | - | 6.2 | 6.2 | $\therefore 3$ | 5.2 | $\therefore$ | 5.2 |
| 19 | 17 | 11 | 14 | 123 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 26 | 24 | 23 | 23 |  | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 41 | 3 | 43 | 29 | 32 | 31) | 9 |  |
| 1.5 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | , | 9 | , |
| 16.2 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 11: | 11 | 122 | 11 |
| 15 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11.5 | 11 | 12 | - |
| 21 | 21 | 20.6 | $1 \times$ | 17 | 17 | $\cdots$ | 17 |
| 28 | $2 \times$ | - | 23 | 23:3: | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 106 | $10: 3$ | 11 | 5.5 | - ! | - | -. | 2 |


row occupying medially a little more than the half of the width of the scales, visible some distance farther on the tail than the median line; lateral line begins apparently on the rostral, follows the lower part of labial series back to eye, then rises, passing diagonally above the ear and contimues back to insertion of the hind leg; the region both in front of and posterior to ear light but not the shade of the light color of the lateral stripe; head a lighter shade than back; a differentiated darker stripe between the dorsolateral and lateral light lines; chin, throat, underside of limbs, anal region, and underside of tail light cream. Tail lighter brown. (A dark line present below the lateral light line.)

Variation. It is with the greatest reluctancy that I have placed under this species, the paratype U.S.N.M. No. 66737, U.S.N.M. Nos. 82751-55 and U.S.N.M. Nos. 81976-78, owing to the fact that all show a completely different color pattern from the type. Doctor Stejneger has pointed out to me that the preserving fluid may have been responsible for the loss of the typical marking. However, it appears to me that the type itself was preserved in the same fluid as the cotype.

These specimens lack the typical "quinquelineatus" pattern which is typical in every way in the type; not only is it wanting in the adults, as might be expecterl, but likewise in the very young ones as well. There is no trace of bifurcating lines on the head. The general color is apparently gray-olive (in life; now somewhat darkened) with three indefinitely-edged, lighter olive lines bounded by slightly darker stripes, likewise indefinitely-edged. There is also a suggestion of a lateral darker stripe. Another specimen, No. 82754, is uniformly dark olive above, slightly darker on the sides; head buffy. No. S2752, head discolored buff; tail lighter than back; a suggestion of a median line on the neck; chin, labials, and an area before and behind the ear light.

An examination of the scales, however, shows a strict conformity to the type pattern. The temporal pattern, with the peculiar notch in the upper secondary temporal, is identical, as are the general relations of the head scales. The body scales exhibit only the normally expected amount of variation. Thus, the seales in a row from parietal to above amus vary between 63-71, 66 being the most frequent number; scales about the neck, 32 to 36 ; about middle of body, $26-28$, the former number being the most frequent. There is one pair of nuchals, but in three specimens there is an extra nuchal on one side or the other; superciliaries vary from 6-8, the
higher numbers being most frequent: subdigital kamellae of the fourth toe vary from $16-20$, the number 18 being most frequent. When adpresed the limbs in all cases overlap, a greater proportional distance in the young. This varies from $6-16$ sale lengethe

Remarks. It is conceivable that two speries hatring such similar scale pattems might occupy the same general region and set not interbreed. The number of sperimens avalable at present of these Szechwaln forms is so small, that, lacking data on habits and hahitats. it seems wiser to leave this association as it now is, for the present if not for all time.

Distribution. The records arailable show western Sechwan as the only known habitat, with the following localities: Tung River valley near Luting Kian. $5,000-6,000 \mathrm{it}$, alt. : type locality (C.SN.M. 2) : La Ding Chian this may be a different spelling of the foregoing name) (U.S.N.MI. 11); Lifang fu (Günther. 1896); Yalley of the Tung river ((iunther. 1896). see Fig. 3.5 for (listributional map.!

## Eumeces ranthi (iunther

## (Plate 17; Figs. 33, 35)

SYNONYMY
1on9. Eumeces xanthi Günther. Arm. Nag. Nat. N1st., (6), 1559, p, 215 (type descriptwn: type localaty, lchang, Hupeh, Chinst; Pratt, collector; four sperimens): Boultnger, Proc. Zül. Soc. London, 1890, b, st (typer referred to); Werner, Ah,h, K. Bayer Akad. Wiss., 11, kl. XX1I, B., 2, 11 Abt., 1903, pp, 343-384 ("Hupe. Szetschwan'); Mell, Lingnan Sci. Journ., 1930, p. 225 (mentioned, as of west China); stejneger, Journ. Wash. Acad. Sci., XIV, 1924, [1p, 353-3>t (discussion in relation to Sezechwan skinks).
1924. Eumeces pekinensis Stejneger. Occ. Papers Bustun Nat. Hist. Ew., V, July 21, 1924,
 Imperial Hunting Grounds, Chinli Province, 605 mi . NE of Peking, China; A. de C. Sowerly) ; and Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., LXVI, Art. 25, 1926. pp. 49-51, fig. 2 (three Ine (lrawings of head); schmidt, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., LIV, Art. 4, 1927, fr. 502 , 503 ; ? Mell, Lingnan sci. Journ., 1930, p. 2e5 (discussion of distribution); Tchang, Bull. Fan Mem. Inst. Binl., Ill, 1931, pp. 275-276 (short description: specimens from Peiping); Boring. Liu Cheng-Chao, Shu-Ch'un Chow, Handb. N. China Amplı. Rejt., Handb. 3, Peiping Nat. Hist. Bull., 1982, p. 58, fig.: Pavlov, Pub. do Mus. Hoangho Pai ho, No. 12, 1932, p. 8 (lists swecimens from localities in "Tchewli" and 11 nongolia).
History. This species was diecosered at Ichang by Mr. Pratt. who sent pecimens to the British Museum. Theso were described under the name Eumeces xanthi. The description, while accurate so far as it goes, leaves unmentioned three characters of importance: the characters of the temporal sales and the presence or athence of specialized postfenoral and bateral postanal whtes. Noreover, the character of the median dorsal sales was umluly emphased, and the relationship was stated to be with Eumeces skiltomianus.

It is small wonder that stejneger. on receipt of specimens collerted
by A. de C. Sowerby from a northern province, should regard them undescribed. His presumed new form was named pekinensis, the holotype being U.S.N.M. No. 60863, with two paratypes, Nos. 60864 and 60865 . The type description, a very brief diagnosis published in 1924 , was supplemented in 1926 by a very complete description and figures depicting the squamation of the head scales from three views. He compares the species with latiscutatus and elegans.

Clifford Pope, at a somewhat later time, obtained a series of twelve specimens at a point 13 miles north of Hsien-Lung Shan, Eastern Toombs. Chihli, which were sent to the American Museum of Natural History, New York. Schmidt (1927) reported on this series and published Pope's field notes on the habits of the form.

In the beginning of my study of these forms, Mr. H. W. Parker of the British Museum was kind enough to prepare photographs of the type specimens of Günther's xanthi. An examination of these photographs indicated that this species and pekinensis are closely related. The photo was later compared with the type of pekinensis, and my suspicion that the two species are the same was confirmed. At a somewhat later date $I$ had the privilege of examining two of the trpes then at the American Museum of Natural History, due to the characteristic kindness of Mr. Clifford Pope. He likewise had independently concluded that petimensis and xanthi are synonyms.

Stejneger has already pointed out the possibility that the specimens of xanthi reported from Li Fang-Fu, Szechwan, may very probably belong to his recently described species Eumeces tunganus, though I believe this is based upon probability only. It likewise appears probable that the Szechwan specimens reported by Werner (1903) may likewise be referable to tunganus.

Diagnosis. A medium sized species, characterized by typical dorsolateral and lateral white lines, and a median line bifurcating on the nuchal and joining again on the snout; the median line as well as the others tends to become obsolete in old specimens. One postnasal; two postmentals; primary temporal large, in contact with the larger fan-shaped lower secondary temporal; scale rows $22-24$ (rarely 26); nuchals two pairs; seven upper labials; limbs overlap when adpressed except in very large specimens; a group of enlarged, differentiated postfemoral scales and a differentiated lateral postanal; subcaudals widened.

Description of the species. Portion of the rostral visible above triangular, with an area more than half as large as frontonasal;
supranasals relatively large, as large as or slighty larger than the prefrontals, more than double the size of the natals. forming a strong median suture; frontonasal broader than long. iomming a relatively broad suture with the frontal. the sutures with the nasals largest touching the anterior loreal laterally; frontal longer than its distance from the end of the snout, narrowly trumate anteriorly. rounded posteriorly, touching three supracolars, wider anteriorly than posteriorly: frontoparietals not noticeably larger than the prefrontals, more elongate, forming a median suture; interparietal with an acute anterior angle, somewhat romuled behind: parietals broad relatively short, truncate posteriorly not enclosing the interparietal; two pairs of nuchals (two-three in one specimen).

Nasal completely divided, low, elongate, the nostril almost directly above point where the rostrolabial suture reaches the mouth; postnasal large: anterior loreal much higher than wide, higher than the posterior: : anterior part much ligher than posterior part of the second loreal, the scale longer than high (rertically broken in one specimen: four supraoculars; seven superciliaries (normally), the anterior very much larger than the last, which is fan-shaped and not greatly higher than wide; a tiny preocular and two small postocular: ; presuboculars two; postsuboculare five; upper palpebral scales not wholly separated by interabated granules, at least three or four touching the superciliaries; usually four enlarged scales on the lower eyclid. separated from the subocular by three rows of scales; primary temporal large lapproadhing the size of the sixth labial in one specimen). touching lower secondary temporal; latter fan-shaped, larger than primary temporal; upper secondary large, its upper and lower sides parallel for more than half its length; two tertiary temporals, the upper largest ; two uperimposed post habials, followed by three very tiny sales; two low lobules on the edge of car: 21 or 29 scale abont ear opening; seven upper labials, the first not larger than others of the four preceding the subocular; serenth labial largest; lower labials six.

Mental large, with a much larger labial border than the rostral; two postmentals: three pairs of chinshields, the anterior pair narrowest. in contact; postgenial vere large, bordered anteriorly by a small narrow scale much longer than wide: scales about the neck posterior to ear. 32; about constricted portion of neck, 30; in axillary region, 40 : about body, $2+2(2$ in one) ; about base of tail, 20; scale rows generally parallel, but forming somewhat irregular lines on the sides: the median seale rows rather distinctly but not
greatly widened, except on nerk; 5! to 60 seales from parietals to a point atoove vent; eight scales bordering anterior edge of vent, the two metian greatly enlarged, the three laterals diminishing in size toward the outer edge, the outer scales overlapping the inner; 99) subcamdak, the two nearest ams broken into smaller seales; legs moderately large, overlapping length of three or fom scales when alpressed; about 15 seate rows about insertion of foreleg; outer wrist tuberde well developed, with the adjoining seale modified; a second padtike tubercle on palm below base of first digit; seven enlarged tubercles on the palm; lamellar formala: $6 ; 10 ; 12 ; 12$; 8 : lamellae not compresed or keeled below; 1s scales about in-


Fis. 33. Entmerss xuthi (iiantho. Fiekt Mus. No. 7396; Hsien-LungShan district, Chilli, Chinat A, lateral whew of head: B. dorsal view of lefold. Actual head length. 10 mm .; wilth, 8 mm.
sertion of hind leg; a group of greatly enlarged sates on tower posterion edge of femur, the sales not following the regular series; scates on heel entarged, separated by two gramules; two enlarged tubereles on inner side of foot greatly differentiated from all other gramules on the foot, which are subequal amb not imbricated on the outer part of foot. Lameflar formmat of toes: $6 ; 11 ; 14 ; 17 ; 11$.

Claws on the toes distinctly smaller than those on the fingers; the bateral postamal scale modified, with a low but wanally disarnible keel.

Golor (in alcohol). Dorsal ground color grayish, flecked with brown; a median hhish-wray (in young, whitishl stripe, bifureating on the nuchat, rums forward to the rostral, covering the inner third of the two merlian sale rows: a pair of dorsolateral lines, originat-
ing on anterior superciliary, contimes batck pasing through the midde of the third seale row, orempring at least one half of each seale: median line clearly edged with dark hrown, the domsolateral line dimly edged with brown abowe. A broal, brown, lateral stripe covers a width equisalent to two seale rows. The labials are all rather light. but a more distinet whitish or bluish white line begins below anterior part of ere, patse arose the upher part of the last lathats to upper part of ear, then continues behind the car, passing there seate wow- above mortion of foream ahome the side; it is broken by the insertion of the hind lag. then continues some distance on the sides of the tail: this laterab line borders the lateral brown tripe and is bordered below by an indefinite bown stripe. The lower sides and abdomen ate bhish to bluish-gray; therot and breast, maderside of limbs and tail and preanal seales, cream. Cemally a small brown area is present on the labials in front of the ear.

Metsurement. of Eumacts rathi Giunther

| Musenm <br> Nimber. | $\begin{gathered} \text { B.INNH } \\ \text { Cotype } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B.XIN } \\ \text { Cotye } \end{gathered}$ | OM, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent. | 76 | 72 | H2 | : 19 | i4 |
| Tail. | 132 | reg. |  |  |  |
| snout to eye... | $6: 3$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 51 | i | ; |
| Snout to ear | 1.84 | 14 | 12 | 117 | 11.5 |
| snout to foreleg | 2.5 | $\because 2$ | 22 | 20 | 193 |
| Sxilla togroin | 41 | 40 | 32 | 30 | 21 |
| Foreleg. . | 22 | 20 | 17.5 | 1i | 16 |
| Hind lea . | 29 | 27.3 | $22+$ | ? | 20.2 |
| Width of head. | 11 | 10.3 | 9 | 9 | , |
| length of head | $1:$ | 12 is | 10.5 | 10.2 | $!$ |
| Wioltho tholy | 14 | 11 | $\checkmark$ | 10 | 10 |
| Longest tom |  | 111 | 7 | 7 | 7 ij |

[^27]F'ariation. Sejneger 1 192 $(6)$ has noted in the paratyper a somewhat analler frontonasal and state that the enlarged post femorat scale are more localized as "patchose" and smatler in the paratypes than in the type. The following variations are evilent in northern

 The number of sath rown from oreciput to above ame it to in . if
being the most common number. The labial number is seven (one shows only six), the last constantly largest ; superciliaries vary from six to eight ; lamellae under fourth toe from 14 to 16 . The relation of the supraoculars to the frontal is generally constant (in one case only is the third separated slightly from the frontal).

Older specimens tend toward a loss of the light lines. These are very strongly defined, and strongly contrasted with the black or black-brown ground color, in the young. In young adult specimens the ground color becomes more brownish and in some males the ground color is greenish-olive instead of black or brown, and the head is olive-brown.

The species is relatively small, the largest specimen being 79 millimeters, snout to vent ; the tail is 130 millimeters; snout to foreleg, 25; foreleg, 16; hind leg. 23. The snout to foreleg distance averages 34 percent of the body length; the hind leg, 34 percent; the tail length averages 60 percent of the total length. The axilla to groin measurement is approximately 50 percent of the body length; the limbs in larger specimens overlap or are very narrowly separated when adpressed to body.

Remarks. The northern form "pekinensis" differs from the southern $x$ outhi in slightly different seale averages, which will doubtless disappear with larger series of the southern specimens. Thus, usually three out of four specimens of xanthi have 24 seale rows, while one shows 26 rows; in pelinensis the usual number is 22 rows, 23 and 24 rews also oceurring. This variation is no greater than occurs in many other species of Eumeces; the number of scales from parietals to above anus is 59 to 60 in xanthi and from 56 to 59 in pekinensis; the lamellae under the fourtly the in ranthi are 16 to $1 \bar{i}$. in pokimensis 14 to 17 .

Guinther seemed to emphasize the size of the median body scales. The northern pekinensis, when compared with the ranthi types, shows that in certain specimens there is no difference or only a slight apparent difference in the size of these scales in the two forms, while in others they are somewhat smaller. The color patterns, when specimens of equal age and sex are compared. show no differences.

Eumces ronthi agrees with clegons in having enlarged postfemoral scales, the postnasal and the less specialized granular seales on the fect, but $E$. clegons has only one postmental, one pair of nuchals, more numerons seales under the fouth toe, and temporals like E. latischtatus. E. xanthi agrees with chinensis in having two postmentak and in temporal scalation, but it has a postnasal, en-
larged postfemorals and lack: the specialized foot seales; from $E$. latiscutatus it differs in haring the double postmental, the longer snout, fewer seate rows and vere different temporals.

Pope, quoted by schmidt (1927, pp. 502-503), states that the egge of the species are deposited in burrows under rocks. The burrows are twetre inches in length, two inches wide and less than an inch in depth; the number of eqge varies from fou to cight. The female remains with the eggs and from the number of nest foum in one small locality it appears that the breeding femates asemble in colonies. The eggs were being deposited August 1-t.

Distribution. If one disregards Günther's (1896) record of this species at Li-Fang-Fu Valley of the Tung river, Szechwan, and that of Werner (1903) for Szechwan (which are quite likely recorts of Eumees tungenus Stejneger), this species is only known with certainty from the provinces of Hupeh, Chihli and Mongolia. One may surmise that it must also occur in Honan. Stejneger (1926) has sugested that specimens collected by Elpatjewsky and Sabanejew on the C'suri coast at Olga and Vladimir Bays may belong to this species (pekinensis) mather than to marginatus or latiscutatus, as they were identified by Nikolski (1915). Should siejneger be correct in his sumise, the range would be extended a considerable distance to the northeast. (See Fig. 35 for distributional map.)

Locality records:
Chisa: Hupeh: Ichang, in the valley of the Yangtze-Kiang river (types, British Mus. 4; Pratt Coll.) (Werner, 1903, "Hupe," 9).

Chihli: Imperial Hunting Grounds, Hsien-Lung-Shan District (U'SNA. MI. 3. types of pekinensis; Sowerby Coll.) : 13 miles north Hsien-LungShan, Eastern Toombs (Field 3) (M.C Z. 1) (A.M.N.H. 8); Peiping (M.C.Z. 1) (Tchang, 1931, 1) ; Pait'a (Pavlov, 1932) ; Paiho (Pavlor, 1932): Hei lung tang (Pavlor, 1932) ; Nanjeli, Wextem Chihli (Patlov, 1932).
Mongolia: "Siao wan wan kiow" (Pavlor, 1932).

## Eumeces elegans Boutenger

(Plate 18; Figs, 34, 35)
SYNONYMY
1-63. Mabouia chinensis (iray. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (3), XIII, 1863, p. 225 (Tamsui, Iormosa) ; (iünther, Rept. Brit. India, 1s64, p. s3 (part.), pl. X, fig. f (flegans) (N.ngpo, China; non Gray, 1~3n).

15i9. Eumects pulchra Bocourt. Mıss. sci. Mex., Zail., Rtpt., Liv. ti, b. 423 (Non Duméril and Bihron).
1857. Eumeces elerans Bonlenger. Cat. Lizards Bit. Mhs., Ill, 18nt, ph. 271, 272 (type description; type not designated: Shanghai, Ningpo, Formosa, Pescadore Is., Kin Kiang Mts.) ; and Proc. Zoül. Suc. Land., 1899, P. 162 (Fukien); Boettger, Offenl. Ver.

[^28]History. The brevity of Cray's early description (1838) of a Chinese skink under the name of Titiqua chinensis seems to have been responsible for certain subsequent writers rofering all Chinese specimens of the genus to Cray's species. Thus, Swinhoe (1863), Gianther (1864), and perhaps others confused the species under discussion with chinensis. Apparently, it was not recognized until 1887. When Boulenger described it from specimens from China, Formosa, and the Peseadores Islands. He failed to designate a type or type locality. After this time the name appeared in literature, with reports of sperimens from virious localitis. Stejneger (1907) gives a very gool description of a Formosa sperimen and discusses the relationships of the species. concluding that the form is more closely related to latiscutatus than to marginatus. Van Denburgh (1912) gives an excellent summation of the variations in the Chinese specimens, and in those from Formosa and the Pescadores, presenting the data in tabulated form. Stejneger (1926) points out that Barbour (1912) has mistaken a young specimen of elegans from I chang for Giinther's xanthi from the same locality.

Schmidt (1927) and Pope (1929) discussed the Chinese specimens in the American Museum of Natural History, the greater part of which were collected by Pope. This series is very extensive, comprising 198 specimens of all ages.

Diagmosis. A typical five-lined species of large size, the median light line bifureating on the nuchal; dorsolateral line from the prefrontal extending more than two thirds the length of tail; the lateral line begins as a series of labial dots more or less connected,
passing through the ear, involving all exeept lower part; no sublateral line; brown lateral stripe distinct; a large pateh of irregular scutes on postfemoral region; a keeled lateral postanal seute; postnasal absent; a single postmental: series of soutes following the (marginate, fan-shaped uper secondary temporal well differentiated in mates; lower secondary temporal with sides nearly parallel; scales in $26-28$ rows.

Drscription of species (from Chinese sperimens). A considerable portion of the rostral visible from above; supranasals morlerately large, not or rarely appromehing the size of the prefrontals; frontonasal usually large, usually in contact with the loreals (rarely not) and usually in contact with the frontal (frequently not); prefrontals variable in size, apparently never as large as the frontoparictals, in contact with both loreals, their longest suture with the frontonasal. Frontal morlerate, much longer than its distance from the end of the smout, usually only slightly widened anteriorly, the sides converging slightly posteriorly, in contact with three supraoculars: frontoparietals longer than wide, occasionally as wide as long, forming a median suture equal to half their length; interparietal usably less in area than the frontoparictals, narowed posteriorly, and usually rounded behind, always in contact with the nuchal; parietals large, their greatest width about three fourthe of their length: a single pair of nuchats (rery rarely two complete pairs), very deep; this followed, behind the outer half of the seale. by two differentiated sales, one following the other, the hindemost largest, separated from their fellows by two seales; nasal moderate. at least partially divided, the posterior part behind nostril harger than anterior part; no postnasal ; anterior loreal not twice as wide at high, very little higher than the posterior, which is usually three fourthe as high as long, touching usually only two labials; two prenboculars: fom supmoculars, three touching the frontal; usually eight or nine supereiliaries, the anterior nearly three times as large as the last : a small preocular, followed by a small seute and one or two small granules: a pair of postoculars; usually fom postsubocular: ; primary temporal large, rectangular, broadly in contact with the two secondary temporals; the upper of these is very large, nearly triangular, the posterior edge emarginate, followed posterimly by three nearly exmal-sized vertical seales, the lat of which contact- the larger of the postunchal seales; lower secondary temporal with sides nearly parallel, the posterior end somewhat rounded: tertary temporal -mall, contering ear; seven mper labials, the first
slightly higher and larger than succeeding three; seventh labial always very much larger than sixth; four median palpebral seales directly in contact with the superiliaries; lower evelid with four or five large plates separated from the subocular by two (rarely three) gramular rows; two superimposed postabials follow the seventh labial, separating it from the car; two or three inconspicuous auricular lobules; about 20 seales surounding the ear; mental large, with a labial border much greater than rostral ; postmental relatively small. undivided; three pairs of chimshields, the anterior smallest, the third pair largest, followed by an enlarged postgenial which is hordered on its anterior inner edge by a seale longer than wide.


Fig. 34. Eumecs elequms Boulenger. Field Mus. No. 7327 ; Ningkwo, Anhwei, China. Male. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length. 12.4 mm ; width, 11 mm .

Seales parallel on the sides, the median pair of scale rows not or very slighty larger than adjoining rows or the lateral scales; about $38-40$ seales about neek behind ear; $32-36$ seales about constricted portion of the neck; $38-40$ scales about body in axillary region; about middle of body, $26-28$ rows; about base of tail, 15 ; subcaudal seales greatly widened, about 105 in the series; lateral postanal seute strongly keeled; cight preanal seales, the median pair very large, the smaller outer scales overlapping inner seales.

Fifteen seales about insertion of arm; a series of five or sis rows of gramular scales in axilla; outer wrist tuberele prominent, with two or three smaller adjarent scutes; palm with about four unequalsized, enlarged tubercles ; lamellar formula of fingers: $6 ; 10 ; 12 ; 13$; 8; the hasal lamella of each toe enlarged and thickened.

Eighteen seales about insertion of the leg. A patch of enlarged irregular seales on lower back part of femmer heel with two pairs of padlike tubereles separated medially: sole with one or two larger tubercles; a series of more or lese equal-sized seales reach the base of the fourth the; the scales of the outer side of the sole flat. imbricated. Lamellar formula of toes: $6 ; 12: 16 ; 18 ; 13$. Terminal lamellae not tightly bound about elaws; intercalated series of digital seales on the basal phatanx only.

Color. Loung, dark backish or brownish-blark, with five strongly defined cream lines, the median bifureating on nuchal, extending halfway back on tail; dorsolaterals from the prefromtals or the first supereiliaries. follow the lower two thirds of the third seale row, rarely encroaching on the edges of the fourth posteriorly; lateral line on lathials a series of more or less connected spots, passes back involving the upper hali or two-thirds of ear, then pasing back along the sixth and seventh row, chiefly on the sixth posteriorly; below the lateral stripe there is a lateral dark line, which grows lighter on its lower edge, merging into the lighter gray color of the sides of abdomen; immaculate cream below. Tail blue above. lighter below, sometimes lavender. This type of coloration is retained with little change in the adult females save the dark ground color. which is less intense save on the sides where a broad darker stripe is evident. In adult males the lighter lines gradually become obsolete, and in the oldest males there is practically no trace of the typical pattern. The dorsal surface becomes olive, the head yel-lowish-red (red in life?). There is, however, usually a lateral brown stripe evident.

Variation. Thanks to the authorities of the American Museum of Natural History, and to the courtesy of Dr. G. K. Noble and Mr. Clifford Pope, the very extensive series of specimens of this museum was made available derpite the fact that Mr. Pope himself was studying them at the same time for a work on the herpetological fauma of China. Altogether about 330 specimens from a large number of localities have been available. The large number of specimens has been almost bewildering, and complete statistical data on variation was not taken on more than a half of the specimens by me. The rariational data here presented is largely a compilation from Pope, Schmidt, Stejneger, and Van Denburgh, as well as data taken by myself.

Seven is the typical number of upper labials, eight occurring (in about 300 specimens) only six times. In these eight specimens,
Measurements of Eum ors theme Boblenerer

five rather than the typical fomr labials precede the suborular. About 2.- -perimens have only six upper labials on one or both sides, with only three preceding the suborular. The supraoculars are invoriably four. but in $2 \underline{2}$ specimens only two supraoculars tonch the frontal on one or both sides. The mumber of scales between the parietals and a point above the antus is its-s. the number oft being most frequent. While 54 or $\overline{5} \boldsymbol{s}$ is a rlose secombl. Higher numbers are verre rate. In $26 t$ sperimens the salle counts about midde of
 maximum size is 93 mm ., shout to vent measurement, five specimens hating heen examinerl which measure 90 mm . or more. Recently hatched sperimens measure ar-2. mm., the larger size being the more frequent.

Van Denburgh (1912) wives a "key" to the variable characters with relation to geographical habitat. The charaters noted as to monher of scale rows were: (hina, usually more than 26 ; and Koshun Formoza, usually ot. From my foregoing statements it is eeen that the mainland specimens have in far larger proportion only 26 scale rows. I have had aceses to Van Denburgh's material from these localitics and the only point of difference that seems pertinent is a slightly lower average of lamellae under the fourth toe and a -maller size in the Pescalores sperimens. Moreover, the color pattern appears to be lost earlier in both males and females, and the postemporal scales berome thickened. as do the other head scales when the specimens are smaller. One sperimen of this lot has the parictal- enclosing the interparietal; one has the anterior loreal divided; a third has a postnasal on one side.

Boulenger (1699). in speaking of the coloration of atult males, mentions that they "have the sides of head and neck of a bright vermillion, which color is continued on the side of the body as more or les distinctly defined stripes abose and below the light streak extending from the ear."

Szechwan perimens mentioned by Strjneger (1926) have the first pair of chinshelds separated.

Pope (1929) notes that the color of the striper in young is gilt.
Th maths. Pope 19299 expreses the opinion that this species is generally a mountain form, and states that it was never seen on the open irrigated plains of the plateaus and the valleys. Pope $(1929)$ also states that the young emerge from their underground "nests" about the first and second weeks of August. There are from 7 to 10 eggs in a clutelh. The size of the fully developed eqg-
(ready to hatch) are 24 to 26 mm . by 12 to 13.2 mm . The shell is yellow-brown. The largest specimen measured by Pope was 96 mm . snout to vent.

Both Stejneger and Van Denburgh realized the lack of wisdom in naming the Formosan and Pescadores Islands forms. In neither are the scale variants of such a character as to warrant such treatment; while the precocious attainment of adult characters, and the apparently smaller size of the specimens from the Pescadores Islands may seem important, I do not care to christen them with a trinomial.

Distribution. The species is widely distributed on the Asiatic mainland, occurring from the coast to the central plateau region, in the Chinese Provinces bordering the Yangtze, and lying to the south. I have records for all provinces except Kweichow and Kwangsi. It is ako known from the Chusan Archipelago, Pescadores Islands, and Formosa.


Fig. 35. Distribution of the continental Asiatic species of the Fasciatus group.

## Locality records：

## Chins：

Chekiang：Mo－Kan－shan，near Huchow，1．000－1．500 ft．clev．（C．A．S．5）； Ning po（Brit．Mus．5）：showy Valley，Ningpo（Brit．Mus．4）；Chusan Arehipehago（Brit，Mus．2）；Da－latensath，sll Ningpo（Brit，Mus．4）； Tung loung 1s．（Brit．Mus．6）：Tunglu（Mich．20）；Chapoo（Boettger，
 （M．C．Z．63）：Geng－xin（M．（C．Z．2）．
Kíung＊u：Nanking（C．A．s．4）：Shanghai（Brit．Mun．2）（sum．1906）．

 （Field 2）：Y（nping（Fidd 3）（A．M．N．H．15）；（Chungan Haim （A．M．N．H．179）．
Kím， （Mïnchen Z．s．B．S．4）（Basel 1）．
Y゙unum：（A．M．N．H．2）：Y゙uman Fu（Brit．Mu．3）（A．M．N．H．1）．
Huн⿰扌и：Chaneha（A．M．N．H．1）．
Anhwer：Ningwo（Field T）（A．M．N．H．27）．
Hupeh：Ichang（M．C．Z．1）．
Kutengtung：Canton（Vogt．1914）．
Szechuran：Wanhsien（A．M．N．H．1）；Suifu（U．S．N．M．3）；Kiating （T゚NスM11）
Formosa：（C．A．S 1）：Kan－shirei（C．A．S．18）：Maru Yama（C．A．S 2）；

 Tokyo 2）
Pescadores Is．：（C．A．S．15）（Brit．Mus 4）（Sci．Coll．Tokyo I）．

## Eumces oshimensis Thompson

（Figs．3f， 401 ）<br>－VKONYMY



 forately primed（type desenption：type loxality Kikaigashina．Luo Chno lands：
 No． 4 ．Rept．12，1917．Fp． $1-9$（reqarling date of puhlication of type（lescription）．
1912．Eumeces marginatus amamionsts Van Dunburgh．Advance Diagnoses of New Rept．
 （type dusciption；type lueality，＂Amami Owima．Loo Cluo Islands，Japan＂：typ＂
 ［1．217－219（Amaniensis［sic］）（detailed description with a dham－ion of vatatmon and relationship）．
1912．Eumects marginatus kikaigusis Van Inenluggh．Adv．Diag．New Remt．Ampls．Lom Choo 1s．Formosa，July 29．1912，I．5，privately printed（type descriptime type Jocality＂Kikaigo slama，Leo Choo Islands＂；Kuhne Coll．）：and Proc．（al．Acatl．sci．，
 （ussion of variation and relationship）．
Ifistory．Apparently the first record of thi－－pecies is that of Doederlein（1881）who reports Eumeces quinquelineatus from ＂Amami－（O）hma＂as follows：＂Yon Eidechsen find ich Euneces quinquelineatus L．sehr hansig．＂

In 1912, from a large series of secimens in the California Academy of seience, the species was described by surgeon J. C. Thompson as follows: "Specimens from Amamioshima and Kikaigashima, two islands in the Oshima group of the Loo Choos may be distinguished from the typical form found in Okinawa Island. They differ in having regularly 28 scale rows round the middle of the body, and in the two dorsal series not being enlarged. These differences appear constant through a fairly large series."
"For those who feel the nevessity of giving to such a geographical variation a new name or of promoting it to subspecific rank, the name Eumeces oshimensis is proposed. The type would then be No. 21729. California Academy of Sciences; male; April, 1910, Kikaigashima, Lou Choo Islands." The date on the private publication is June 25. 1912.

A little more than one month later (July 29, 1912) Dr. John Vian Denburgh published privately a short paper describing two subspecific forms of Eumeces marginatus from the material mentioned in the preceding paper, a form called Eumeces marginatus kikaigensis and one called Eumeces marginatus amamiensis.

The first of these is from the type locality of Thompson's oshimensis. It is described as follows:
"Dingnesis. One azy gons poximental: no patch of much enlarged sales on back of thigh; no postuasal; posterior loreal usually long, usually in contact with three supertabials; sixteen to twenty-one plates under fourth toe; usually twenty-eight (sometimes twenty-six) scales around middle of body; young with one median and two lateral light lines, latter narrow and separated by not less than width of two scales: lower lateral line separated from forelimb by less than distance between lateral lines, and rumning at about the level of top of hind limb but below top of ear; scales of first row on each side of middorsal line usually not appreciably wider than those of next dorsal rows. Superciliaries not less than eight; upper latual line brouler, on scales of third and fourth rows from midilorsal tine."
"Typer Cationna Academy of scienero, No. 21.62s, Kikaig Ohhima, Loo Choo Islands, Apr. 30, 1910."
The second subpecies is deseribed as follows:
"One azygous postmental; no patch of much enlarged sales on back of thigh: no postnasal; posterior loreal lomg, usually in contact with three supralabials: swenteen to twenty-one plates under fourth tof; twenty-six (rarely twenty-four or twenty-eight) scales aromen the middle of body: young with one median and two lateral light lines. latter broader but separated by not less than width of two scales; lower lateral line separated from forelimb by less than distance between lateral lines, and rumning at about the level of top of hind limb but below top of ear; scales of first row on each side of middorsal line very rately wider than those of next doral rows: superciliaries not less
than eight: upper lateral line bromer, on sates of thind and fouth rows. from middorsal line."
 Choo Istands, Japma, April 24 to May 1, 1910."

It is obvious from a perasal of the two lan D (onbureh deserip) tions that the eharamers used to separate the subserese are - 0 trivial that in my opinion the separation is unwaranted ; hence the two forms are here requded a-syonyms and, likewior, syonyms of Eumbere ashime nas Thompson.
lougnosis. Closely related to amd having general characters of Eumeces marginatus but the two median seale rows not distinetly whened; the dorvolateral light line on the third and formeth seate rows: 26 or 28 sale rows around the body; no postamsal; one postmental.

Deseription of species (from topotypes). Portion of rostral visible above large, often approtaching the size of the frontonasal: supranasal: rather large. oceasionally nearly as large as the prefrontals; frontonasal moderate. wider than long or the length equalling the width, almost alway in contact rather broadly with the frontal; prefrontals rariable in size, their longest suture with the frontomasal: frontal elongate, longer than its distance from the end of the snout, wider anteriorly, touching three supraoculars: frontoparietals longer than wide, forming a median suture almost always larger than the prefrontals; interparietal usually of equal or ereater area than a irontoparietal, in contact with the nuehal; normally one pair of muchals, rarely none, or two; the two scales following outer half of muchals enlarged, the anterior usually the smaller: nasal moterate, divided by a suture, the anterior part often the size of the posterior: no postnasal ; anterior loreal higher than posterior, the lower part wewally witer than upper; posterior loreal longer than high, wally in eontact with three labiak: two presuboulars, the anterior woully not larger than the pesterior: usmally nine supereiliaries, rarely eight or ten, the anterior at beast twiae as large as posterior ; a small preocular; four supraoculars. the largest wider than the frontal; four or five postenboculare; two small postombars. The median palpebral seales in contact with the *upereiliaries primary temporal nearly rectangular. rather large; lower secombary narrow, chongate, the sides nearly parallel, some-
 emarainate behind. followed by two or three sables. the anterior


Seven upper labials, the last largest, much larger than sixth; the first larger than the three succeeding scales; lower eyelid with five or more large opaque seales, separated from the subocular by two trarely morel rows of granules; two superimposed postlabials, the lower largest, both entering auricular border or separated from it by a small scale; usually three small auricular lobules; usually six lower labials; postmental single, large; three pairs of chinshields, the anterior smallest; a large postgenial, bordered internally by scales longer than wide: 17 to 20 seales about the ear.
seales on sides parallel; median seales on the back not wider than adjoining rows. Scales about neck belind ear 34 to 36 ; about con-


Fig. 36. Eumeces oshimensis Thompson. U.S.N.M. No. 64210 (C.A.S. No. 21547); Amamioshima, Loo Choo Islands, Japan. A, lateral view of head; $B$, dorsal view of head. Actual head length. 14 mm .; width, 12 mm .
stricted portion of neek 28-32; about axillary region 36-38; about middle of body $26-28$ : 15-17 about the base of the tail ; 100-103 subcaudals, much widened; six or eight seales border the anus, the median pair greatly enlarged, outer diminishing in size, the outer seales overlapping the inner. A large, well-elifferentiated, keeled, lateral postanal seute: about thirteen scales about arm insertion; lateral wrist tubercles usually two or three; four or five seattered palmar tubereles; lamellar formula for fingers: $7 ; 12 ; 12 ; 13 ; 9$, the basal lamellae enlarged. About 19 seales around insertion of hind limb; two inuer heel tubercles, usually padlike, outer pair either flat or rounded; outer scales on sole somewhat large, usually imbricate; no or only one small sole tubercle; lamellar formula for toes: $8 ; 12 ; 18 ; 21 ; 13$. Terminal lamellae not tightly bound about claws; intercalated scales on the fourth toe not or rarely extending
heromd the batal phatanx. Limbe well developed: limbs, adprosed. ororlapping the length of fourth toe or somewhat more. Pitting on acales rather inconspicuons. largely obeolete in alulte. but eome in pothmmeral and pettomonal rexion.

Color. Typically five-lined in young, the median aremm line bifureating on the muchal. the bramehes rmming forward and remiting in very yomg. but ins somewhat ohler -peromens appear to terminate on the prefrontals: porterionly the median line terminate at -hont distane back of the base of the tait: the dorsolateral line begins on the first supraculat and follows back the edges of the thire and fourth seate rows, continuing a short distance on the tail: latema light line repreented on labials he few epots, then pareow therobe the midelle of the eare and followe along side on the sixth *aterow, matally. The general ground color is blackish in young, hat very early becomes a dark brown, and later an olive color appear: in the middle of each scale, while the darker color is evident only along the dorsolateral and median ream lines. The area between the dorsolateral and lateral lines is watly darker brown than the back and this color is never completely lost; the rentral surfaces are creamy white, save on the tail in rery young, where, hike the dorsal surface. it is blue.

Young adult amd old males lose pratetically all evirlence of ream lince. beeoming a miform brown-olive above, with a lateral bown or redilish-brown stripe from the shout along the side to the base withe tail. This brewn line is bordered below by light gravi-h. which merges into the cream eolor of the ventral surfaces. There i- in many specimens a bggestion of the lateral line and it is most evident behind the ear, when present.

The heads of old males become much widened, and take on an amber or light rellow-brown color. In certain old males the entire upper surface of body is light brown, with no evidence of markingin front or back of ear. The adult females retain some trace of the median and dorsolateral lines, but these are now of a shade of brown or olive and weually dim, and the ground color never become a uniform as in the males.

Voriation. Among the $7!$ sperimens atailable to Van Denburgh, he noted that all have one postmental, no postnazal. There were only two exeptions in which the frontal and frontonateal were - epatrated. Two perimens hat the frontonatal divided. (only two specimens failed to have the posterior loreal touch three labials on one side or the other: the scales around the middle of the boly are

Measurements of Eumeces oshimensis Thompson

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Museum } \\ & \text { Number* } \\ & \text { sex. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. } 1 . \mathrm{S} \\ 21610 \\ 0^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { C. } \\ 2159 \\ 8}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} C .1 . \mathrm{S} \\ 21.56 . \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.A. } \\ 2156 \mathrm{~S} \\ { }_{0}^{7} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} C .1 .2 \\ 20.51 \\ \sigma^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{C 1 \\ 21.5 \\ \sigma^{\prime}}}{\substack{\text { Cos }}}$ | $\underset{2156}{0^{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.A.S. } \\ 21580 \\ \mathrm{yg} . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | 99 | 99 | 80 | 74 | 69 | 56 | 56 | 52 | 12 |
| Tail. |  | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 11.5 |  |  | 97 |  | 66 |
| Snout to foreleg. | 3.5 | 37 | 24 | 2.7 | 22 | 20 | 204 | 18 | 16 |
| Snout to eye. | 85 | 8.8 | 7 | 7 | $6^{6}$ | 5.2 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4 |
| Snout to ear | 24 | 23 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 9.2 |
| Axilla to groin | 32 | 32 | 4 | 39 | 3.$)$ | 25 | 23 | 26 | 22 |
| Width of head | 1s | 20 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6.8 |
| Length of head. | 22 | 233 | 14.5 | 16 | 14 | 11.4 | 11.8 | 9.8 | 8.8 |
| Width of boty. | 15 | 19 | 1.5 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 9 |
| Foreleg | 29 | 2 | 23 | 22 | 29 | 17 | 17.2 | 15 | 13 |
| Hind leg | 41 | 40 | 32 | 31 | 25 | $\because 4$ | 2.5 | 21.5 | 19.2 |
| Longest tom. | 142 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 |

[^29]26 in all but three specimens; two have 24 , and one has 28 (if counts are made three scales farther forward the percentage with 28 increases to nearly 50 percent). The frontal touches three supraoculars on each side except in three cases, where there are two on one side only. Lamellae under the fourth toe vary between 17 and 21,18 and 19 of most frequent occurrence.

The scates on the back of the femur are slightly enlarged, but


F14. 37. Eumeces whimensis Thompon. (‘AN. No. 21554; Amamioshima, Loo Choo lstands, Japan. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual heart length, ahout 17.5 mm .; width, about 14.2 mm .
these do not form a path nor are the seales irregutar in shape Van Denburgh notes that some of the secimens have stighty enlarged middorsals. This seems to be relatively true, due to the smatler size of the adjoining seale rews.

The rariation obtaining in the sperimen from Kikaigathima (Eume ces marginathes kiliaige nsis: V'an 1)enburgh) is small. Here, too, the relations of the temporats, dorsal head seales and hateral heall scakes are unusually eonstant. The upper labials are eight on one side in thee specimens; in the others the wisat seven are present. There is only a single pair of nuchats normatly in the series of 17 specimens examined (in one specimen there are two on one side. I am at a total loss to understand Vian Denburgh's statement (191卫. p. 200): "One sperimen has a single pair of nuchats: one has two on one site and three on the other: the others all hare three pairs the anterior larger." The larger number of specimens hase 28 sale row: about the middte of the body, but the percentage is just above 60 . The largest specimen from this istand I have measured is a male of 87 mm . from shout to vent.

Remarks. The use of the name oshimensis Thompson for the form from Amamigunto is neressitated by the date on Thompson's privately printed paper dated June 2.5, 1912. Van Denburgh's privately printed paper (see synonymy) was not issued until July 29. 1912. Regardles of the "right" in this controversial melange Thompeon': name is the eartier, unless it can be proved that the date is fictitious, a matter in which I have no opinion (see Barbour, 1917 .

The separation of oshimensis from marginatns is based perhaps on relatively minor characters, but on the whole these appear as constant as charactere are in the genus. Furthemore, satisfactory -eries are available and in the case of oshmensis a very large series.

Howerer, an attempt to separate the Amamioshima specimens from those on Kikagashima is. I believe, futile. The characters on which Van Denburgh made such a separation are of such a nature and their variability so great, that I am of the opinion that this -hembld not stand. Moreover, one of the thief chatacters given is the presence of three nuchats, as statement date to error.

Distribution. A- here interpreted the species is confined to Amamigunto. comprising Amamioshima, Kikaigashima, Tokinoshima. ( hinoverahujima and Yoromjima, although at present records are confined to the two larger islands onls. wee Fig. 40 for distributional map. 1

Locality records:
Amamioshima (C.A.S. 73, including types of amamiensis and oshimensis) (Doederlein. 1881) (A.M.N.H. 2) (U.S.N.M. 1) (M.C.Z. 1) (A.N.S.P. 1, No. 9350 )
Kikaigashima (C.A.S. 19, including types of kitaifforis.) (Brit. Mus. 2).

Eumeers stimsomï Thompson<br>(Plate 19; Fiss. 35. 4 (1)<br>SYNONYMI

1:12. Eumects stimsomii Thompson. Herp. Notices Nin. 2. Dews. New pper. Rept. Batr. from the Far East, मrivately printed, san Francisco. Junt 2a, 1912, f. 4 (type fescription;

 1:12, r . 4 (mentioned as an addition to the fama of Low Choo Arelipelago).
1412. Eumbes ishigatitmsis Dan Denlumgh. Alvance Imanobes uf New Rpptiles Amph.


 (redescription).
1417. Eumefes stimpsonir Barhour. Oec. Papfrs Mus, Zoril. Univ. Mich.. No. 44. Sppt. 12, 191\%. 1. 2.
History. The speries was collected in Irhigakijima by V. Kuhne, who obtained a large series consisting of 33 sperimens. These, together with wther species. were studied by Doctor Yan Denburgh and the descriptions placed into manuseript previous to January, 1911. This manuscript was presented for publication on May 18, 1912, and was published on December 16, 1912. Between the time the manuscript was presented and the date of its publication, both the manusrript and the specimens were available to surgeon J. C. Thompson, U. S. N.. who published privately a series of three papers, one of which, the second, contained a description of Eumeces stimsomi, based on C.A.S. No. 21645 of the Ishigakijima series. Yan Denburgh learned of Thompson's intention to do this, but not knowing that the descriptions were in print, extracted from his manuseript short diagnoses and printed them privately, the paper appearing July 29, 1912, a month later than the date which appears on the second Thompson paper. At the present time it seems unavailing to question the date of this latter paper, and regardless of the ethical question involved it scems that Thompson's name must be recognized, since it has a terlmical priority of thirty days. [See Barbour (1917) for further data on this "regrettable tangle of names."]

Diagnosis. A seren-lined pecies, having a median line bifurcating on the head, a dor*olateral line from the first superciliary, a lateral line passing above the car, and a sublateral line; scale rows
 temporal large, fan-shaped, emarginate behind; lower secondary narow, elongate: a keeled lateral postamal seale; limbs overlapping when adpressed.

Description of the species tirom the paratype series. Portion of the rostral visible above more than half the size of the frontonatal ; suptamasals rery large sometimes approaching the size of the prefontals. forming a median suture frontonasal broader that long, in contate with the anterior loreal (rarely mot and uanally forming a suture with the frontal; prefrontal variable in size, usually separated. oreatonally forming a median suture. the suture


Fit. 3s. Emmeces stimsomii Thompson. C.A.s. No. 21670; Ishigakijima. A. dateral view of head; B. doreal view of head. Actual head lenath, 10 mm.: width, 11 mm .
with the frontonasal alway largest; frontal elongate, about one fouth longer than its distane from the end of the snout, somewhat wider anteriorly than postriorly, touching three supraoculars, pointed for truncatel anteriorly frontoparietals wather larger than the prefrontals. generally rectangular in shape, larger than, or about equal in area to, the interpariotal; latter usmally in contart with muchal- (one exreptionl: parietals rather narrower than usual in the genu-: a pair of nuchals widened longitudinally ; two differantiated -rales following the murhals on their outer ends. one following the other, the posterior the larqer, the two separated from their fellow by two body sales.

Xaral moderate, not completely sommented, the posterior part as large as part anterior to nostril; no postnasal; anterior loreal a
little higher than the posterior; latter typical, longer than high; two presuboculars; a small preocular, followed ahove by a smaller scale and five granules; four or five postsuboculars and two small postoculars: cight or nine superciliaries, the anterior three times as large as the poterior; primary temporal nearly rectangular, of about same width as, but shorter than, the lower secondary, with which it is in contact ; lower secondary narrow, rounded posteriorly, the upper and lower sides approaching the parallel; upper secondary temporal large, fan-shaped, emarginate behind, followed by three enlarged, nearly equal sized scales, one following the other. In the adult males these scales, together with those previously mentioned following the nuchals, become much thickened and of the same general character as the head scales; tertiary temporal relatively short, entering (usually) the edge of ear, in contact with the small lobules.

Seven upper labials, the first a little larger and higher than the three succeeding labials; seventh more than once and a half the area of the sixth, followed by a superimposed pair of postlabials, the lower larger and more elongate; usually six lower labials; mental large, deep, with a much greater labial border than the rostral; a single undivided postmental, followed by three pairs of chinshiedds, the anterior mallest (rarely fused with the postmental) ; postgenial differentiated, bordered on the anterior internal side by a scale longer than wide; five upper palpebral seales join the superciliaries directly; lower eyclid with four or five enlarged plates separated from the subocular by two rows of granules sometimes part of a third); ear surrounded by 18 to 20 scales; usually three inconspicuous ear lobules; scales parallel on sides, the median rows equal to or somewhat smaller than the lateral series; scale rows behind ear, 34 to 37 ; around neck, 29 to 31 ; about middle of body, 24 to 26 (one specimen 28); 15 to 16 about base of tail; scales in a row from parietals to above anus, 54 to 57 ; subcaudals much widened; a well-lifferentiated, keeled, lateral postamal seale; preanals eight, median pair very large, outer diminishing in size and overlapping the inner; about 14 seales around insertion of arm; a group of three or four scales of unequal size in place of the outer wrist tubercle; four enlarged, padlike tubercles on the palm, the basal lamellae of fingers also padlike; lamellar formula for fingers: 7, 11, 13, 13, 7 ; about 15 scales around the insertion of the hind limb; a pair of rather large padlike scales on the heel, separated by granules, each preceded by one or two enlarged tubercles or padlike scales; outer
side of foot covered with rather large, flat, imbricate seales; lamellar formula for toes: 7, 11. 15. 20. 12; hasal lamellate not enlarged; terminal lamellae not tightly bound about the claws; lateral intercalated seales on fourth toe not extending berond the hasal joint.

Ceually three or four pite are present on the scales on the side of the neek, more manerone in the axilary region amb in the poothumeral and potfemoral regions. In the potiomoral region there is a suggestion of the enlarged and irregular condition of the sales such as one finds in clogans; the larger scales, however, are in regular series.

Color. Above black (in young) or brownish-black to olive (old males). In the young the pattern consists of seren cream lines, the median dividing and forming a pair of lines on the head that reunite anteriorly. The dorsolaterals begin on the first superciliary, follow the third seale row and extend about one fourth the length of the tail. The lateral line begins near the rostral, follows the labials (as a contimous line or as a series of irregular spots in older specimens), diagonally rising posteriorly passing above the ear. following the fifth sale row to the tail; the sublateral begins behind the ear and passes back along the side on the serenth scale row.

The chin, throat. breast and underside of limbs are cream; the abdomen is dull grecmish or bluish-gray, the tail usually bluish. The lind leg has traces of light lines. In males the median line

Measurement- of Eumecos stumsomii Thompon

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nuceum } \\ & \text { Number } \\ & \text { sex. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { CAS } \\ 21646}}{\substack{\text { or }}}$ | $C 1670$ | $\underset{\substack{C A \\ 21655 \\ 9}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A. } \\ 2167 \\ O^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.A. } \\ \underset{21663}{\sigma^{7}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C A B \\ 21674 \\ O^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAS } \\ 216.5 \\ \sigma^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C A= \\ 21653 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} c 11 \\ 21652 \\ y \mathrm{~g} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shout to sent. | $6: 3$ | (6) | 60 | is | 56 | 54 | i2 | 49 | 27 |
| Tail |  |  | 89 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | 89 | 3.5 |
| snout to eye | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 47 | 4.2 | 4 | 4 | 2.4 |
| snout to par | 13 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 11.7 | 12.1 | 11 | 10.5 | 10 | 6.3 |
| Snout to foreleg. | 23 | 20 | 19 | 21.5 | 19 | is 7 | 19 | 17.4 | 11.4 |
| Axilla to groin | 33 | 31 | 30 | 24 | 30 | 20 | 27 | 2.5 | 11.2 |
| Width of head | $10 \geq$ | 10 | 8.4 | 9 | 10 | - 3 | $8 \because$ | 72 | 1.4 |
| lefngth of head. | 12 | 11 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 11.2' | 10 | 10.2 | 9.4 | 6.5 |
| Width of body | 12 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | $\checkmark$ | 9 | 4- |
| Foreleg | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1ti | 162 | 1.12 | $1+\mathrm{h}$ | 1.5 | 14 | s |
| lind leg. | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 21.6 | 211.3 | 21 | 12 |
| l.ongest toe. | 9 | $\cdots 5$ | - 9 | $\therefore 2$ | $\times$ | 9 | - 5 | $\checkmark$ | 44 |

grows dim in early middle age as does the sublateral; the dark line between the dorsolateral line and the lateral becomes a deep brown; the head becomes a yellowish-brown. The underside of the tail becomes dirty white and the upper part gray or olive. In very old males the color is nearly uniform olive, with usually some trace of the lateral brown stripe.

Variation. A total of 29 specimens have been available of the original series of 33. Van Denburgh (1912) has called attention to the fact that certain of the specimens show an incipient enlargement of eertain postfemoral scales. These, however, are usually in regular rows, the scales only oceasionally showing a change in shape suggestive of those in elegans and related species on the mainland. None show more than a single postmental and the postnasal is invariably lacking. Two anomalies occur in the supraoculars: one has the third left supraocular divided, and another has the third and fourth left supraoculars fused into a single seale. Van Denburgh gives the following data: "scales around the body are 26 in 28 specimens, 24 in three and 28 in two." He further notes that: "In the largest sperimens (snout to anus ( 64 mm .) the lateral lines have quite or nearly disappeared, and the temporal regions and sides of the body and neck are suffused with brick-red." This red color is not evident now, presumably haring faded in the preservatives, despite the fact that the other coloration is very bright.

Remarks. It is rather futile to attempt to determine the exact relation of stimsonit to Eumeres elegans, marginatus and latiscutatus, as it seem: to have pretty much the general characters of all. The change in the position of the lateral stripe, the addition of the sublateral stripe in the young and the reduction in size all speak of a long era of isolation. The presence of this species in the neighboring islands of Iromotijima, Yonakunijima and the smaller neighboring islands may be portulatel, although it may be doubted that it occurs on the islands of the Miyakojima group. Stejneger (1907) mentions specimens of "marginatus" (Hamburg Mus. Nos. 1182 and 19001 from Iromotijima. These may be specimens of stimsonit, as is certainly the case with another specimen from Ishigakijima (U.S.N..NI. No. 34185) listed by Stejneger as Eumeces marginutus. Nos. 21223 and 21224 in the collection of the American Museum of Natural History, from the "Yaerama" islands appear to belong to stimsomii. Both have the sublateral line, and the lateral line passes above the ear.

It is a bit remarkable that in the 28 specimens examined only
two were females. These apparently had recently laid their eggs. One maty presume that most of the fmake were in burrows brooding egge.

Distribution. Known certainly only from lahgakijima of the
 stimsonii Thompson and ishigationsis V:an Denburgh) (II.C.Z. 11
 distributional map.)

Eumeces barbouri Vian Denburgh<br>(Fig. 40. Distribution)<br>※YKONYMY

1912. Enmeces barbouri Van Imatmrgh. Adv. Diag. New Rept. Amph. Loo Choo la.. privately printed, July, 1912 (type descrption: typt locality Amamioshima, Riu Liu lslands; type No. 21545 Cal. Acmu. Sici.); V゙an Denhurgh. Proe. Cal. Aead. Sir.. (4), Ill. 1908-1912 (Dec, 12, 1912), pp. 215, 216 (redescripton of type); Barhour, Oce. Papers Mus. Zuöl. Univ. Moh., No. 44, sept. 12, 1917. n. 4.
History. The two specimens on which the -pecies was founded
 and May 1. 1910. The preliminary diagnosis wat published privately by Dr. Jolm Van Denburgh in san Francisco July ํ9. 1912 (see Barbour. 1917). Later in December of the same sear a detailed deseription was published, which is here reproduced. The type is now in the California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco; the paratype was presented to the British Museum by .J. C. Thompson. As I have been unable to cxamine the type or cotype I include the deveription and dicuscion given by Doctor Van Denburgh.

Dingmesis. "One azyrour postmental: no patch of enlarged seales on back of thigh: pasthasal prestat; posterior loreal short, normally touching two labials: fitteen or sisteen phates under fourth toe; twenty-two sealex around middle of body: roung with one median and two lateral light lines; bater narrow, and segratal by not less than width of two seales; lower lateral line angated irom iore limh by lew than the diance between the lateral lines. and running below the level of top of hind limb and top of ear."
D.scription of the type (California Academy of Semenes. No. 215t5. "Amami 0) Ahima, Lon (hoo I-lands," Japan; April 20-30. 1910): "Similar to $E$. lentiercutatus. Nasal mall, in contact with rostral. sumpanamal. juesthasal. and first labial plates. Anterior loreal forming suture- with jostnasal, supranasal. prefrental, posterior loresl. :und serond labial plates. Posterior loreal longer than high, in contact wihh (wo (right) or three (left) labials. First labial in contact with rotral, nasal. portmasal, and second labial. Frontal jurt separated from frontonasal. in consact with theer supmonelars on each side. Parietah larce. separated be interparintal. One left and two right muchale.


around middle of body; fifty in a row from parictals to line joining backs of thighs: two middorsal rows slightly enlarged. Median subcandal row broad. No patch of enlarged sales on back of thigh. Fifteen or sisteen scutes under fourth ton. Hind limb reaching between wrist and elbow. Tail forked at point of regrowth.
"The color above is nearly uniform light brown, with a few dark brown fots at the bases of the scales posteriorly. A dark brown band extends from the temporal region to the base of the tail, and is edged above and below with lighter brown indications of the lateral light lines. The upper lateral and middorsal lines are evident on the tail. The limbs are brown, the centers of the scales being lighter. The lower surfaces are greenish white, clearer yellowish white on the chin preanals and mideaudals.
"A young specimen is black above with two narrow lateral pale blue lines on each side, and a broader middorsal lime which bifurcates on the head as in other species of the group. The tail is very bright blue.

| Length to anus. | 66 | 49 mm . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length of tail |  | 90 mm . |
| Snout to ear | 13 | 10 mm . |
| snout to fore limb. | 22 | 28 mm . |
| Fore limb | 19 | 15 mm . |
| Hind limb | 28 | 22 mm . |
|  | 12 | 10 mm . |

Variation. "The smaller specimen differs from the type in having the frontal in contact with the frontonasal. the second loreal touching only two labials on each side, the superposition of the first loreal, the presence of two muchals on each side, and sixteen plates under each fourth toe. The scale counts around the body and along the back are twenty-two and fifty."

Remarks. "This lizard must be rather rare; for of cighty-one specimens of this genus taken on Amami Oxhima only two are of this species, the others being Eumeces marginatus. Eumeees babouri is practically a Eumeces latiscutatus with the scates around the middle of the body reduced in number to twenty-two.
"The presence in the Loo Choo Islands of a close relative of Eumeces latiscutatus is one of the most interesting facts brought out by these collections, since it :uffords, as I believe, the first definite evidence of a former land-connection between these islands and Japan proper.
"It is a pleasure to name this lizard in homor of Mr. Thomas Barbour of Harvard University."

The extremely low sale count on this derivative of the Japanese latiscutatus is surprising, since the form okadae varies from latiscutatus in a marked increase in the number of scale rows. Whether Van Denburgh is justified in thinking that the presence of this species affords the first definite evidence of a land connection with the mainland may be doubted. Marginatus itself is a species closely related to latiscutatus and its distribution might offer just as convincing evidence of such a connection. The surprising fact, and the one not so easily accounted for, is the presence of two such derivatives on a small island. The fact that so large a series of the mar-
ginatus form was obtained（79 sperimens）and such a small one of barbouri（2 specimens），sugests a very definite habitat difference． rather than rarity of the latter form．

Distribution．Known only from two specimens from Amamio－ －hima．（see Fig． 40 for distrihutional map．

## Eutur ces maryinatus：（Hallowell）


ミゾONYMリ


#### Abstract

1－til）．       r．Aio（fart．）（Okinawa）：lbottger，Jahrb．Offenb．Ver，für Naturk．，149．i，P． 115      119．1923．p．-6 （discredits reconels of the species from the Awatie mambam）．


History．The first specimens of this island species were included in the collections of Dr．W．Stimpson，the naturalist of the Rodgers North Pacific Exploring Expedition．These specimens were studied by Dr．Edward Hallowell．who（according to stejneger，1907，p． xriii died hefore the paper was published．He mentions two cotypes，one from＂Ousima，＂Japan（ $=$ Amamioshima），and one from Loo（hoo Island $t=$ Okinawajima）．Stejneger（1907）states that the larger of the two specimens from＂Ousima＂is lost．He therefore designated the smaller Loo Choo specimen，now［＇S．N．M． No．11713，as the type lectotype）．

When examining specimens in the Philadelphia Academy of sciences in 1933．I discovered a specimen of a skink belonging to the marginatus section of the Fasciatus group，in a bottle，labeled ＂Eumecrs fasciatus．＂The container，however，had a label which showed the specimen to be from the Rodger：North Pacific Ex－ ploring Expedition，and is，I believe，the missing cotype．＂

Boulenger（1887）considered the island forms from the Riu Kius and those from the large islands of Japan to be conserefice，as did Okada（1891）and Bocttger（1893）．Stejneger（1907）clearly de－ fined and limited latiscutatus（Hallowell）to the Istands of Japan proper，and restricted the name marginatus to the species occurring

[^30]in the Rin Kius, believing it to occur throughout the group, mentioning specimens from Ishigakijima and Iromotijima.

Van Denburgh (1912, 1912a) has cssayed to break up the Riu Kius species into several forms. The southern specimens he named ishigatiensis, while those of the islands to the north of Okinawa, he named amamiensis and kikaigensis, from their island habitats. Thompon (1912), anticipating the change, likewise described the two latter forms as $E$ oshimensis.

One report of the species from the mainland on the Lisuri coast by Elpatjewsky and Sabmejew has been diseredited by Terentjer (192:3).
Diagnosis. A typical member of the Fasciatus group; five light lines present, the median bifureating on the nuchal, the branches reuniting on the snout; the dorsolateral light line arises on the first superciliary, follows usually middle of the third seale row to middle of the tail; the lateral light line broken on labials, passes back from middle of ear and follows usually the sixth seale row. No sublateral light line. Median dorsal seale rows distinetly widened; no distinet pateh of differentiated postfemoral scales; a kecled lateral postanal scale; subeaudals widened; no postnasal; a single postmental; seale rows, 26. Limbs long, overtapping when adpresed. Adult males lose practically all trace of white lines. The markings and color of young similar, save the tail is a bright blue.

Description of species (from topotypes). Portion of rostral visible above usually between one half and three fourths the size of the frontonasal; supranavals relatively large. forming a median suture, always sparating the rostral from frontonasal; latter scale somewhat variable, usnally about as long as wide, in contact with the frontal in practically all cases (one exception in 30), the suture often broad; prefrontals variable, usually relatively small, often of equal or smaller size than the supranasals, their sutures with frontonasal longest, the sutures with the other scales subequal, that with frontal variable; frontal slender, frectuently (if mot usually) more narrow than the supracular region in its widest part, and distinctly longer than its distance from the end of the snout; frontoparietals always longer and larger than the prefrontals, always forming a merlian suture; interparietal always in contact with the muchal; parietals relatively slender; normally only a single pair of nuchals, but frequently there may be two on one side, one on the other, rarely two complete pairs.

Nasal moderate, apparently only partially divided by a suture;
anterior loreal little higher than the posterior, which is. mach longer than high. in contact, nomatlys. with three lathats; fom sumatweulars, thee brodly in contad with the frontal: seren to mine -uperciliaries leight usatly), the anterion more than domble the -ize of the posterior: a smatl preocular. followed ley a maller seale and one or two gramule: two small, chongate potombars: medi:n

 two premboculars: four of five postwhoculars wery rarely (here): anterior temporal madly rather large, in contact with both rewmelary temporals: upher secombary lage triangulat. or fan-sh:ped. defintely emarginate poteriorly, usually followed by ther monderately well-defined. vertieally elongate sales, one following the other. which are bordered above he two scales posterior to the outer part of the nurbald. the second of the largest tin adult mater there seales become thickened as do the other head seales. This pattern of porttemporal seales only a little les- distinct tham that of E. (legems): lower ecomdary temporal narrow, elongate. somewhat rombled posteriorly (broken in two eases) : tertiary temporal small, not well differentiated. Seven upper labiaks, the seventh largest. but not relatively as large as the same seale in clegans, and conserquently the difference in size between the sixth and seventh labials is not so great ; the first labial is uswally higher and larger than the three following: a pair of postlathials, superimposed. the lower largest, usually in contact with earr, the upper sometimes separated hy one or two very small seales: two or three small auricular lobules: ear surrounded bỵ 1 ! or ơ seales; wablly six lower lathals; mental rather large, the labial borter much greater than that of rotral; usually a single postmental; three pairs of chin--hields, followed by an elongate postgenial. which is bordered internally by a scale longer than wide.

Scaler on hody parallel, the median doreal series usually a little wider than the two adjoining, those in posterior half of body sometimes only as large as the adjoining rows scales in a row from parietals to a point above amme from to 60 , the urab mumbers being ant or 58 : scales about neek belind ear. 34 to 36 ; constricted part of neck. 29! to 32: in axillary reqion. 36-38; about middle of
 ent orer the u-a al hateral areas: about arm and leg insertion and in porthumeral and portfemoral regions the pits are more numerous. subcetudal. wide. ahout !o to tip of tail, when complete: a well-
defined, keeled, lateral, postanal scute, less distinet in females; the postfemoral scales frequently show some irregularity and enlargement suggestive of the postfemoral patch of scales in Eumeces elegans; about 14 seales about insertion of arm; outer wrist tubercle usually not strongly differentiated, represented by three or four small tubercular scales; palm with five or six seattered enlarged tubereles; basal lamellae of digits usually somewhat enlarged; lamellar formula for fingers: $7 ; 10 ; 12 ; 12 ; 8$. About 19 seales around insertion of hind limb; four large, paired, padtike, heel tubereles separated medially by small seales; none or at most only one larger tuberele on sole. Terminal lamellae not tightly bound about toes; interealated series of scales on outer side of fourth toe usu. ally does not extend beyond basal phalanx. Limbs strong, welldeveloped, overlapping, when adpressed, about the length of the fourth toe.

Color. Young brownish or olive-black, with a median bluish white stripe from middle of tail to first nuchals, where it bifureates, the prongs uniting on the frontonasal or, in slightly older specimens, terminating on the prefrontals; dorsolateral light stripe from first *upereiliary, following the middle of the third scale row, the outer edges of adjoining scale rows often with minute bluish-white flecks; the lateral line in youngest -pecimen available $(50 \mathrm{~mm}$. snout to vent), shows four cream spots on the posterior labials in front of ear; it emerges from lower half of the ear posteriorly and follows the sixth scale row or edges of the fifth and sixth to middle of tail; tails blue in young.

Male apecimens lose the median stripe when about 60 mm . snout to vent; the dorsolateral lines are distinct and the area between these and the lateral lines is a deep brown, while the dorsal surface is olive. The heads are lighter; females of this age retain the typieal lines and stripes, the lateral brown stripe being very distinct. The belly is bluish-gray, but the remainder of moderside is cream. Old males loose all trace of the white lines, becoming brownish-olive above with a well-defined brown lateral stripe. The heads are yellowish (probably redtish in life). In younger specimens the light lines may have dark borders and the olive color is at first in the centers of the seales. Limbs similarly colored above.

T'ariation. Most of the rariable characters have been noted in the deseription. Three specimens have been found with the postmental divided ( $21221-2123$ A.MI.N.H.) ; a fourth is reported by Brown (1902), but whether in this form or in oshimensis it is imposible to say, as he does not state the source of the specimen. In

Mea-urements of Einmeces marginatus (Hallowel)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Museum } \\ & \text { Number. } \\ & \text { Sex } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \times 811 \\ & 9309 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} M(1) Z \\ 71109 \\ \sigma^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ |  | [siciol | $\begin{aligned} & C A S \\ & 2+2.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C 15 \\ & 21+33 \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{C 12}$ | $\underset{\substack{C .1 . C \\ 21+10 \\ 0}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C1S } \\ & 211+2 \\ & \text { yg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to wht. | 93 | 0.5 | 76 | its | 70 | 6.5 | (1) | 60 | 32 |
| Tail | 39 reg |  |  | 111? |  |  |  |  | 102 |
| Snont to foreleg. | $\because 1$ | 23 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 22.6 | 19 | 20 | 15 |
| snont to cre. | 7 i | (5: | 5 3 | 5.5 | 5. | 5 | 16 | 41 | 35 |
| Snout to ear | 23 | 19 | 17 | 心 | $1{ }^{16}$ | 1.5 | 13 | 12 | 102 |
| Axilta togroin | 49 | 44 | 4.3 | 11 | 37 | 34 | 3 | 32 | -3 |
| Width of head. | 20 | 1.5 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 11.5 | 94 | 10 | $\checkmark$ |
| L.ength of head. | 21 | 14) | 1.5 .5 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
| Width of boty. |  | 15 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.5 | 13 | 11 is | 1.5 | 111 | 10 |
| Foreleg | 25* | 22 | 21 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 176 | 161 | 15 |
| Hind leg | 33* | 29 | 29 | 32 | 29 | 31 | 26 | $2 \cdot$ | 21 |
| Longest 'of. | 14 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 |

* Claw missing. All sperimens from Okinawa.
one pecimen, No. 2122 A.MI.N.H., the frontonasal is fused with the left prefrontal. The frontonatal varies markedly in size, and as it is larger or smaller, the prefrontals are smaller or larger. When of small size the frontonasal lose contact with the anterior loreal.

The color rariation already noted is trpical. However, certain males tend to retain the median and other light stripes until a greater size is reached. The ohd males have reddish heads. One female examined has six egge, three in each oriluct.)

Remarks. This form may be distinguished from oshmensis by the widened median seales, the greater length of the white lines on the tail tin oshmensis they do not extend one fourth the length: in marginatus, one hali to three formsthe the length): and by the fact that the dorsolateral line pases along the midde of the thind scale row instead of atong the edges of the third and fourth. The amome of differentiation between these two forms is lese than between marginatus and stimsomï.

Distribution. Apparently confined to Okinawaima and posibly aloo to the near-by i-fands, Hevalima, Kmmehima. Iyedima amb Kerumathima, although no records are arailable for any exeep the first mentioned ishand. wee Fig. 40 for distributional malp. 1

Locality records:
Okimaurt: (U.s.N.M. 7. including type: "Loo Choo Island." April, 1855), Cat. No. 11713; Dr. Wr. Stimpon Coll.) (M.(C.Z. 3. A. Owston Coll.) (A.M.N.H. 5. M. Ohima Coll.) : Nago (Bril. M11., !. "(irmat Loo (hoon ls.." Hoht


Eumeces okadue（stejneger）<br>（Plate 19，Figes． 1 and 2；Fig．40）<br>SYNONYMY



 190が－13（1）ec．16，1912），f． 214.
History．The first pecimens of this species appear to have been collected he okadia in the Idzu Islands，May 3．1887，but were not described until 1906 when steinegers moniumental work on Japanese herpetology appeared．Stejneger had nine specimens， from two of the istands，the trpe being［＇s．N．M．23891 from Diverkehima．It was named for the follector．Since that time apparently no further secimens have reached American or Euro－ pein collections and the only other literature reference merely re－ come tharacters of a sperimen from Xiishima，one of the original －eries stulied by Stejneger．

Diagnosis．A specier relaterl to Eumeres latiscutatus，having a five－lined pattern，the median not hifureating on the head，the pattern early becoming reey dim or obsolete．Scale rows about middle of hody，28；a large postnatal，which usually separates the anterior loreal from the labials；postmental single；a keeled postanal rate in maler，lese distinct in females：seven，rarely eight，upper lathals，median doreal series somewhat widened．

Description of the type（U．S．N．．．1．No．23891，Miyakeshima，Idzu Iskmes，Japan．May 3．18s7，by N．（）kata）．Rostral high，the part visible above somewhat less than the area of the frontonasal； ＊upramasals very large probably equal in area to the prefrontals， forming a merlian suture；frontonasal as broad as long，touching the anterior loreals．forming a broad suture with the frontal；pre－ frontals small，widely separated medially：frontal clongate，longer than ite distance to the end of the soout，touching three supraocu－ lars；frontoparietals very much larger than the prefrontals，form－ ing a median suture lese tham half their length；interparietal slender． not endosed by the large parietals；one pair of nuchak；nasal moderate：a small．well－defined postnasal；anterior loreal high， much higher than the posterior，narrow；second short，only little longer than high；four supraoculars，three touching frontal；eight－ nine superciliaries，the anterior three or more times as large as the eecond or lant；two presuboculars，four－five posteuboculars；two small postoculars and one preocular，with a small scute above fol－ lowed by a series of granules；only four median palpebral scales
touching the superciliaries: five or six entarged males on the lower eyelid. these separated from the subecular by three rows of granules; primary temporal rather large, rectangular; upper secondary very large, triangular, its posterior border sinuous; the lower secondary temporal narrow, clongate, the upper edge slightly curring but nearly parallel with lower edge, posterior edge slighty rounded; tertiary temporal elongate, scparated from the muchal by a small seale: seren upper labials, the anterior slightly higher and equally as latge as, or larger than, the thee suceceding seake: serenth labial largest, widely separated from the upper secondary temporal; two superimposed postlabials; six lower labials; mental large, wide, its labial border much greater than that of the rostral; a single postmental. followed by three pairs of chinshields, the last followed by a large strongly differentiated postgenial, which is bordered on anterior inner side by a narrow, elongate seale; car opening large, surrounded by about 21 scales, the three anterior lobules very minute: scales of the median series of the back slightly wider than adjoining seales and distinctly wider than the lateral series; 56 scales from parietals to above anus; 36 rows about neck behind car; 32 rows about narrow part of the neck; 43 rows in the axillary region; 30 seale rows about middle of body; 20 rows about base of tail. Tail regenerated; median preanals very large, the laterals, two or three on each side, diminishing in size, the outer overlapping the inner; lateral postanal seale bearing a well-developed keel.

Well-dereloped area of axillary scales, with a few at the upper anterior insertion of the limb: two or three granular series behind insertion of hind limb; about 17 scales around insertion of forelimb; outer scale on the wrist rounded, padike; palm with a triangular area of six enlarged, rommed, pudlike scales, with other smaller ones; basal lamellae on toes parlike; lamellar formula for fingers: 6 , 13: 13: 11: 7. About 24 seales around insertion of leg; heel with several enlarged scutes, only part of which are padlike; two hunded padlikersales on outer mid-portion of sole: rest of sole covered with small granules. Lamellar formula for toes: $7 ; 12 ; 16 ; 19 ; 12$. subeaudals widened.

Color (in alcohol). Abore nearly uniform dark olive, the head very little lighter; a very obseure hrown lateral band visible from the temporal region to groin along each side and bordered above by a very slightly lighter shade of olive than back irisible only in liquid, below grayish, the chin, throat, underside of limbs lighter. nearly cream; underside of tail also light for a part of its length.
Neasurements of the types and partypes of Eumeces okudae (Stejneger)


Variation. The three specimens from the type loeatity agree in the absence of lines on the back, the presence of a dim, brown. lateral line and the presence of thitty sale rows.

The eperimens from the island of Xiishima, Idan Filands, Jap:an. differ strikingly in color and markinge and appear to approach more chachy their large-island relative. Eumeces latiscutatus. The doreohateral lines are narrower and the median line appears to stop at the interparictal. not forming the typieal bifurcating line evident in all the coung specimens of the typical latiscutatus. TThe youngest specimen. te mm.. hat only a suggestion of lines on the sout.) The trpical five lines are retained quite clearly in a specimen (CKN. NI. No. 23895 of 79 mm . snout to vent. In the largest male. 70 mm . snout to vent, the five lines are still rather clearly visible, white the head has beoome buff.

The postnasal appears to be nomally present, and the lower part of the anterior loreal is missing or fused with the postnasal or the posterior loreal. and only rarely is the anterior loreal in contact with labials. In all the Niishima sperimens, the anterior loreal is divided into two regular seales. A second small postnasal is present on the left side in No. 36533: in No. 23895 , the anterior loreal is fused with the prefrontal.

In the specimens from Miyakeshima, the postnasal is typically present in two specimens; in the third it is wanting or fused with the lower half of the anterior loreal.

The normal number of upper labials is seren, but one specimen has eight on the left side only. The number of scale rows is 28 in five specimens, 30 in four. The sales in a row from parietak to above anus vary between 56 and 58 . The lower number occurs three times, the higher four times. The superciliaries are 8-8 except in two sperimens, one having $7-7$, the other 8-9. The frontonasal usually is about as long as broad or shightly broader, in contact with the anterior loreal. The frontomasal is in contact with the frontal in all specimens examined. Three supraoculars touch the frontal in all cases. The postwboculars are either four or five. four being of most frequent oceurence. The limbs are strong. welldeveloped, overlapping widety when adpressed in all specimens.

Romalis. My reason for recognition of this form as a distinct specie- from Eumeces latiscutatus is based on the following chararter: an average of 3.6 more seale rows ; an arerage of three more scates in the distance between parietals to a point above anns; the reduction of the anterior loreal to a small scale widely separaten!
from the labials, and the consequent increase in size of the posterior loreal. The color pattern has been modified and the bifurcating lines on the head appear to be wanting in the very young, the median line not even reaching the muchals in a specimen 41 mm . in snout to vent measurement.

That the species is derived from latiscutatus stock cannot be doubted, but the period of isolation from the mainland form appears to have been as long as that which brought about the related species, marginatus, in the Rill Kius.

The Idzu archipelago stretches to the south of Honshu, the largest Japanese island, a distance of about 180 miles. Whether the speeies reaches the outermost islands of Hachijo, Awoga and Bayonaise is not known, but if so it would not be surprising to find forms that would warrant subspecific designation. I have already noted the differences between specimens from Niishima and Miyakeshima.

Distribution. Known onty from Idzu archipelago. (See Fig. 40 for distributional map.)
Miyakeskima: (U.S.N.M. 3, including type) (Science college Tokyo 1).
Niishima: (U.S.N.M. 4) (C.A.S. 1).

Eumeces latiscutatus (Hallowell)<br>(Pl. 21; Figs. 39, 40)<br>SYNONYMY

1838. Scincus quinquclincatus Schlegel. Fauna Japon., Rept., 1838, py. 99, 139, Saurii et Batr., pl. 1, figs. 1-4b (non-Linnaeus).
1839. Plestiodon quinquelineatus Duméril and Bibron. Eip. Gén., V, 1839, p. T0 (part.); Gray, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus. $1845, \mathrm{p} .91$ (part.); Bleeker, Naturk. Tijdselir. Nederl. Ind., XVI, 1858, P. 204 (Japan).
1840. Plestiodon latiscutatus Hallowell. Proc. Aead. Nat. Sei. Plila., 1860. P. 496 (type description; type locality Simoda, Japan. Coll. Rodgers, N. Pacific Explor. Exped.).
1841. Eumeces (Plestiodon) quinquelincatus var. Japonicus Peters, Mon. Ber. Berlin Acad. Wiss., 1864, p. 57 (type description; type locality Nagasaki; ron Martens collector); Martens, Preuss. Exped. Ost.-Asien, Zool., I, 1876, p. 376 (Nagrasaki).
1842. Eumeces (Plestiodon) japonicus Boettger. Offenb. Ver. Naturk., 17-18 Ber. Mitth., 1878 , p. 4 (Japan).
1843. Eumeces japonicus Bocnurt. Miss. Sci. Mexique, Rept., Livr. 6. 1879, 1. 423.
1844. Eumeces quinquelincatus Hilgendorf. Sitz. Ber. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berlin, 1880, p. 113; Fritze, Mitth. Deutsch. Ges. Ost.-Asions, V, 1891, p. 299 (Yezo).
1845. Eumeces marginatus Boulenger. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887, P. 371 (part.) (description; "Miyanoschita" and Nikkn) (non Hallowell) ; Okada, Cat. Vert. Jap., 1891 , p. 70 (part.) (Tokyo, Hakone, Nikko, Aevaji, Surva.) ; Bowttger, Kat. Rept. Mus. Senckenb., 1, 1893, p. III (part.) (Nikko, Iezo); Fritze, Zool. Jahrb. Syst., VII, 1894, p. 860 (nart.) (Hondo; Iezo.).
1846. Eumeces latiscutatus Stejneger. Bull. I. S. Nat. M1ıs., 58, pp. 195-200. 1907, pl. XV, figs. 1, 2, 3, and text figs. 179, 180 ; Barbour, Proc. N. England Zoöl. Club, 1V, Nov. 24, 1909 , r. 63 (Yokohama); Van Denburgh, Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci., (4), III, 1908-'13, (1912), pp. 213, 214 (Ǩhe Hondo, and Kagoshima, Kinsin); Ilatta, Zool. Anz., XLIII, Nov. 4, 1913, p. 32 (Hokkaido): Terentiev, Copeia, June 16, 1930, No. 119. F. $76:$ Nikolski, Faun. Ross., Putrograd, I, 1915, P. 508 (doubts identification
```
    of lizards collected at Imperator on mamlaml); Paslor, Pub. Muse" Lloang ho l'ai ho, No. 12. 1932, p. S (Kanson, Koankia ho; doultíul).
?1931. Eumeces latisculatus (sic) Tehane. Bull. Jan Mem. Inst. Biol, N1, Der.. 1931,
```



``` fication).
```

History. The collections mate in Japan by Bucrger and Von siebold reached the Leiden Musemm, and furnished the material upon which sehlegel and Temminck based their Fannal Japonica. The -pecimens of this species were regarded as identical with Limmans Lacerta quinquelimata. 'rchlegel writes, after comparing these with specimens of a skink from Tennesse: "L'examen d'un si grande nombre d'individus m'a demontré qu’il n'existe pas la moindre différence entre ees amimax, recueillis sur deux points du globe assez distants, l’un de l'autre quoique situés a-pen-pres sous le même parallèle."

Schlegel's account contains considerable detail regarding the color crolution from juveniles to old adults, together with data on habits and habitats. He gives the Japanese name, awo-to kague. Subsequent accounts persisted in referring the Japanese skink to the American species until 1860, when Hallowell discussed this matter after examining specimens brought back by the Rodger's North Pacific Exploring Expedition. He concluder that the Japanese form was different and proposed the name Plestiodon latiscutatus.

Peters, in 1865, apparently independently arrived at this same conclusion and suggested the rarietal name japonicus, after an examination of specimens brought from Japan by Doctor von Martens. No further account of particular import was made until that of Boulenger (1887) when he united Hallowell's latiscutatus, and marginatus under the latter name. In 1906 Stejneger, in his monograph on the Herpetology of Japan, reviewed the literature, and again separated the two forms. With the aid of Dr. W. Stimpson's field catalogue he fixes the type locality as Simoda, Japan. Stejneger pointed out the salient characters which separate the Asiatic from the American forms, and commented on the value of the temporals as diagnostic characters.

Certain records report the occurrence of this species on the Asiatic mainland, but doubt has been cast on these records, by Nikolski (1915) and Stejneger (1907). Recently Terentjev (1923) examined presumed Asiatic specimens in the Zoölogical Museum of Noscow, and pronounced them as being latiscutatus. Stejneger (1926) still questions the identification. offering as a suggestion
that the specimens are in reality E. pekinensis (=xanthi), which is the most northern of the five-lined skinks known to occur on the mainland, but admitting the possibility of aceidental introduction.

Diagnosis. A medium-sized species of the Fasciatus group, having in the young a typical black ground color with a narrow median white line extending from the proximal half of the tail to the interparietal, where it bifurcates, the branches rumning forward and reuniting on the frontonasal or supranasals. Dorsolateral line from first supraocular to midway of the tail, following the middle of the third scale row; labials spotted; a lateral line from the middle of the ear to tail, along the sixth seale row. Tail blue. Adult males become olive, losing stripes. Normally a single postmental; a postnasal; upper sceondary temporal largest, wedge-shaped, emarginate behind; lower secondary narrow, elongate, the siles often nearly parallel. Normally 24 or 26 scale rows about the body.

Description. A medium-sized species. The part of the rostral appearing above smaller than the frontonasal, rarely equal; supranasals smaller than the prefrontals, in contact normally (one exception with a small interealated scale between them), usually smaller than the prefrontals: frontonasal somewhat variable in size, in contact with or separated from the frontal, normally in contact with the loreal (rarely not) ; prefrontals somewhat variable in size, in contact or separated; frontal normally distinctly longer than its distance from the end of the snout, in contact with three supraoculars; four supraoculars; frontoparietals larger than the prefrontals, in contact medially; interparictal moderate, sometimes approaching the area of a frontoparictal; usually a single pair of nuchals (rarely more); nasal moderate, apparently divided by a suture; a postnasal normally present (very rarely absent), small or larger, very often so large as to separate the anterior loreal from the labials (or may be regarded as absent and the anterior loreal split transversely) : anterior loreal frequently small, and separated widely from the labiak, or touching the labials, much higher than wide, very mueh higher than posterior loreal, which is usually relatively short in proportion to its width and in contact with two upper labials; cight or nine superciliaries, the anterior more than double the size of the most posterior; a very small preocular, followed by two or three granules; two small postoculars; median palpebral seales in contact with the supereiliaries; lower eyelid with enlarged seales separated from the subocular by three rows of granules.

Two presuboculars, and four (normally postsuboculars; primary temporal relatively large, often approaching area of lower scondary, which is clongate, somewhat wider posteriorly than anteriorly, or the upper and lower side approaching a parallel; upper secondary very large, wedge-shaped, slighty anarginate behind; tertiary temporal usually small, poorly differentiated; seales following the -uperior serondary temporal amd muchals are not strongly differentiated in males as in the Rin Kiu island forms, but approach the -ano general relationship. Leper labials nomally seren, the last greatly enlarged, the first usmally sarcely larger than the three sucreeding seales; a pair of postlabials, superimposed, separate the -eventh labial from the auricular opening: two or three auricular


Fig. 39. Eumeces latiscutatus (Hallowell), Stanford U. No. 5629 ; Wakamura, Japan. A. lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of hearl.
lobules. small, inconspicuous; mental with a slighty longer labial border than the rostral; one postmental; three pairs of chinshields; a long postgenial bordered internally by scales longer than wide; usually six lower labials; 18 to 20 scales about ear; scale rows on sides parallel, the median dorsal rows slightly wider (rarely very distinctly wider) than the adjoining rows; scales around neck belind ear, about 30 ; about constricted portion of neck, $26-29$; in axillary region, $35-39$; about middle of hody, 26-28; soales from parietals to above anus, 53-57. Pits present on part of lateral scales, growing dim or obsolete in adults. subcaudals widened: usually six preanals, the median pair much enlarged, the lateral diminishing in size, the outer scales overlapping imer: : well-differentiated, lateral. keeled. poramal sente: a few gramular seale in axilla, none or but a single row posterior to the insertion of femur.

About fourten scales around insertion of forearm; usually two, occasionally three, outer wrist tubercles; five or six large padlike tubercles on the palm. Lamellar formula for fingers: $6 ; 8 ; 10$, 11: 8. The basal lamellae of toes usually enlarged. About 18 scales around insertion of the hind limb; usually two pairs of padlike heel plates, separated medially; sole usually with only one or two larger tubercular seales; lamellar formula for toes: $6 ; 9 ; 14$; $17 ; 11$. Intercalated row of scales on fourth toe not extending half way on the basal phalans; terminal lamellae not tightly bound about claw.

Color (in alcohol). Young, black or brownish-black, with five longitudinal white lines isee diagnosis for detail). This coloration in males grows lighter brown or olive, and the lines gradually disappear, the area between the dorsolateral and the lateral lines becoming a deep brown, forming a conspicuous lateral stripe. Adult males may be nearly uniform brown or olive above and the lateral dark stripe remains distinct. The heads widen and the color is yellow-brown (reddish-hrown in life). Females tend to retain the gencral pattern of juvenile coloration, save that the ground color becomes lighter, usually brownish, or olive, with darker edges on the scales; the blue of the tail is lost early.

Tariation. As is typical of members of the genus, certain characters are variable. Thus, the interparietals vary in size in proportion to the variation in size of the frontonasal. When large, the

Measurements of Enmeces latiseutatus (Hallowell)

| Museum. <br> Number* <br> Sex | $\underset{\sigma^{7}}{\text { C.A.S. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.A.S. } \\ & 33045 \\ & 0^{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { C.A.S. } \\ 26133}}{\text { of }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { C.A.S. } \\ 24274 \\ 0^{7}}}{\text { an }}$ | $\underset{\substack{7}}{\text { C.A.S. }}$ | $\underset{0^{7}}{\text { C.A.S. }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { C.A.S } \\ 24275 \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { C.A.S. } \\ 33050 \\ \text { 'g. } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { C.A.S. } \\ 35921 \\ \text { yg. }}}{\text {. }}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { C.A.S. } \\ 2612 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathrm{yg} . \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent. | so | 76 | 73 | 72 | 6.5 | 62 | 59 | 50 | 46 | 32 |
| Tail. | reg. |  | ... |  | 125 |  | 98 | 80 | 78 | 57 |
| Snout to foreleg. | 25 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 17.6 | 16 | 13 |
| Snout to eye. | 6.3 | 6 | 5 | 5.6 | 5 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4 | 3 |
| Snout to ear. | 17 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 8.2 |
| Axilla to groin. | 42 | 40 | 42.4 | 38 | 36 | 33 | 35 | 25 | 20 | 16 |
| Width of head. | 14 | 13 | 10.4 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 5.5 |
| Length of head. | 16 | 15.s | 13.3 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 8.5 | 7.3 |
| Foreleg. | 23.5 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 15.3 | 15 | 10 |
| Hind leg. | 31 | 31 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 20 | 18 | 14 |
| Longest toe . | 10.5 | 12 | 9.6 |  | 11 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 |

[^31]two prefrontal scales form a median suture. This condition occurs s. times in of specimens. Two of these are ammalous, one having a prefrontal joined with the frontomasal, the other having the frontonasal segmented, leaving a moiety inserted between the prefrontals and leaving them in contact at a single minute point. I have mentioned above details regarding the postnasal. It would appear that the segmentation of the loreal the postuatsal fusing with the lower part) is most frequent in specimens from Hondo. In a series from Kobe, five of the twelve have the anterior loreal widely separated from the labials; a series from Miyazo has five out of six so arranged. Doctor Stejneger (1907) remarks on the variation that occurs in a series of specimens from Fujiyama and notes five lacking a postnasal.

The usual number of seale row is 26 . I have counts on 6 specimens. The number 26 occurs 41 times; 24 occurs 19 times. Most of the specimens having a count of 26 , if counted a few scales farther forward, have 28 , those with 24 may have either 26 or 28 , so counted. The intercalated axillary series should normally terminate before a distance equal to the length of the arm is reached.

Remarks. The general uniformity of this species and the lack of fixed variation on the several Japanese islands berpeaks no great degree of isolation as regards time; or, the closenes of the various islands still permits an exchange of specimens.

The species does not appear to be uncommon. I have collected it on the hills about Nagasaki and on the road between Nagasaki and Moji on the island of Kyushu. Here, the lizards were seen in considerable numbers sumning themselves in bright sunlight, which had made its appearance after a period of four cold, rainy days. The lizards would lie motionless on the rocks until one approached; then they would dart into a crevice in the rock walls. Being illequipped for collecting. only a few specimens were obtained.

Distribution. The species appears to occur on the southern islands Kyushu. Shukoku and Hondo bat least in the southern part of the latter island, with equal frequenes. Stejneger (1907) has pointed out the lack of records irom the northem half of the island. A few records oceur for the istand of Hokkaido. The records for the Asiatic mainland must be verified beiore they are to be accepted. Those of Hokkaido are not questioned by Okada (1933) in his distributional studies of Japanese lizards.


Fig. 40. Distribution of island species of the Fasciatus group, in Eastern Asia.

## Locality records:

Jaban: (Boettger, 1878) (U.SN.M.5) (Brit. Mus. 5) (A.M.N.H. 2) (Basle 6). Kynshu: Satsuma Prov.: Kagoshima (C.A.S. 7); Yamagawa (U.S.N.M. 6). Hizen Prov.: Nagasaki (Peters, 1865, type locality of japonicus) (Martens, 1876) (Stanford 1). Hyuga Prov.: Miyazaki (U.S.N.M. 1). Shikoku: Tosa Prov.: Kochi (U.S.N.M. 9).
Hondo (Nippon): Idzu Prov.: Simoda (Hallowell, 1860; type locality; type lost). Kanagawa Pref.: Yokohama (M.C.Z. 2) (U.S.N.M. 2) (Basle 3). Suruga Pror:: Mt. Fujiyama (U.S.N.M. 21). Settsu Prov.: Kobe (C.A.S. 12). Sagami Prov.: Miya-noshita (Brit. Mus. 6): Hakone (Okada, 1891). Tochigi Pref.: Nikko (Brit. Mus. 6). "Musashi Tokyo Fu": Tokyo (Okada, 1891). Kawachi Prov.: Kiyotaki (Stejneger, 1907). Kii Pror.: Wakamura (Stanford 6). Osaka Prov: : Yodo (Stanford 1).

```
Yamato: Korivama (U゙sinc.M. 1).
Awaji: Awaji Prov.: (Okada, 1891).
Hokkaido (Yezo): (Fritze. 1891) (Hattat. 1913) (Boettyer. 1893) (Ntoj-
        neqer, 190).
Donbetul Chines Rerords: (Nikokki. 1915) (Sum. 1926) (Cire. 1930)
        (Tchung. 1931) (Patlor, 1932) (Terentier, 1923).
```

BREVILINEATLSGROCP

Three small -pecies atre asoctiated in this eromp. They oceur in northern Mexico, and in sonthern [nited stater in the states of Texas, New Mexico and Arizonal.

The group may be characterized as follows: skinks of medium size: small median preanals overlaped by smaller outer preanal *cales: the subcaudal series only slightly widened; the merlian dorsal white line is cither short or long, bifurcating on the muchals and extending a greater or lesser distance on neck or completely wanting in old adults; dorsolateral and lateral light lines present with a well-defined brown lateral stripe between them, their length rarring in the four species: habials seven; postmental one (rarely two in tegragrammus) : no postnasal; scale rows $24-28$; interparietal enclosed or not: postgenial bordered on its immer edge ly a scale longer than wide; tails in young azure.

## Key to the Siecies of the Brevilineatys Groyp

 able in wedth: postnasal variable, present or absent. molally absent in Arizona specimens; an elongate postlabial follows the seventh labial which is often no latger than sixth labial. (Armona, New Mexier and morthwestern Mexico).

Eumeres callicephaius Buconrt. P. 290
AA. Parietals not enclosing the interparjetal.
B. Seale rows $26-25$, usually the first number nmeh more frequent: nuchals two (rarely three): dorsolateral and lateral light lines with lateral brown stripe, rarely extending more than a half the length of body and often not this distanee: median line often scarcely discernible behind the point of bifureation. (Somthern T+xas and northern Mexica).......Eumeefs brevilimentus Cope, D. 253
13 B . Seale rows, usually 28 ; nuchals, usually thre pairs; seventh labial separated from ear by two postlabials or two superimposed postlabials; bifureating lines on the head are never joined posteriorly and no median line prestent in roung; dorsolateral and lateral lines rresent, enclosing a broad, brown stripe: all lines extending entire length of hody; lines obscured in old adults. (southern Texa and northeavern Mexico). Eurneres titragrammus (Baird), r. 298

# Enmects bicrilincatus Cope <br> (Plate 22: Figs. 41, 42, 43) <br> SYNONYMY 

 (type description; type Loeality Helotes. Bexar Co., Texas. G. W. Marnock, colleetoralso, Fort Concho. Texas): Boulenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1b-i, p. 376 (Texas); and Proc. Zoül. Soc. Londun, 1890. Ip. it, 85: Cope, Ann. Rept. U. ㄷ. Nat. Mus., 1898, (1900), pp. 6f4-fibs, fig. 137 (redescription and cumparison with tetragrammus and anthracinus); Brown. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1903, p. 553 (rangr restricted
to Texas district); Bailey, North Amer. Fama, No. 25, 1905, p. 45 ; Strecker, Proc. Bial. Soc. Wash., XXI, 1908, !. 169 (Burnet Co., Texas) ; and Baylor Uni. Bull., XII, No. $1,1: 904, \mathrm{Pr} .5,6$ (Burnet and Brewster counties; gives data on habits and colur) ; litmars, The Reptule Book, 1915, 1. 200 ; stejneger and Barbour, Check List N. Amer. Ampli. liept., 2l Ed., 1923, p. 75 ; Strecker, Cont. Baylor Lini. Mus., No. f, 192f; Ortenburgr, Uni. of Okla. Bull., Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci., Vol. VI, pt. 1, 1926, p. 95 (Cartdo Co., Okla.) ; Strecker and Williams, Cont. Baytor (T'. Mus., No. 12, 1927, 1r. 14 (Hays, Bexar, Comal, Kendall, Burn t and Travis comities, Texas); Strecker, Cont. Baylor Uni. Nus., No. 16, 1928, pp. 1-21 (common name); ul,m, No. 23, 1930. pp. 10-11 (Austin, Texas); Stejneger and Barbour, Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 1933, p. 80.
1917. Plestiodon brvilimeatus, Ste neger ant Barbour. Chick List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 1917, !. 69 ; Strecker, Bull. No. 4, Sci. Soc. San Antonio, 1922, 1. 22 (Bexar county records).
History. The original discovery of this species was made by Mr. G. W. Mannock at his fam on the eastern edge of the Edwards plateau region, near Helotes, twenty miles northwest of San Antonio, Tex. Specimens were sent to the National Museum, which Cope described in 1880, two of the cotypes being still at the National Museum (No. 10159) and two in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia.

At the time the species was described specimens were in the National Museum from Fort Concho (across the river from San Angelo, Tex.), collected by Mr. Boll, and these specimens were likewise divided between the two institutions. Two specimens collected by Geo. Stolley were sent to the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy at about this time.

Within the past few years a considerable number of specimens has been collected. Seven specimens from San Marcos and San Antonio are in the American Museum of Natural History; eight from Brewster and Jeff Davis counties are at the Museum at the University of Michigan; three from various localities in the Field Museum. Chicago, and 24 in the Kansas University Collection collected by myself in various parts of Texas. The first record for a specimen collected in Mexico is one taken by Hobart Smith and myself in Nuevo León in 1932.

Since no single type was designated of the four cotypes, I shall designate the specimen (U.S.N.M. No. 10159) measuring 59 mm . snout to vent, tail length 66 mm . (incomplete) as the lectotype. The types are in fiir condition, showing the typical coloration, in spite of being somewhat shrunken.

Diagnosis. A medium-sized species, characterized by an olive coloration with dorsolateral cream lines beginning on the anterior supraocular and continuing along the third, and later the fourth scale rows, a short distance on the back; a lateral line beginning
near or on the first hathal and passing along the side of head and body a similar distance. On the side of the head and anterior part of the body is a brown stripe which extends as far as the cream lines. A pair of curving line begin on the rostral, and pass back following the edges of the frontal, to unite on the first nuchal for may fail to umite in older specimens). Posterior to the terminations of the lateral and dorvolateral lines, the sides are mifomly of the same shade as the back. One postmental; no postuasal; seven upper labials, the sixth or serentla largest or of equal size; limbs touch in young when adpresed; separated in adults. Scale rows about middhe of body 26 or $2 s$ : subeaudals not or only slighty enlarged; median preanals relatively small.


Fig. 41. Eumeces brevilineatus Cope. K.L. No. 7 It4, topotype; Helotes. Texas. A, lateral view of head: B. dorsal riew of head. Actual head length, 9.4 mm .; width; 8.6 mm .

Description of the species (drawn from topotypes). Portion of the rostral visible above equal to more than half the area of the frontonasal: supranasals forming a median suture; frontonasal broader than long, touching the anterior loreal; prefrontals forming a very small median suture, and forming suture with the frontonasal. frontal, second loreal, first superciliary, first supraocular and first loreal. the length of the sutures in the order named. Frontal angular anteriorly (greater than a right angle), very obtusely angular posteriorly or slightly lobulate. much longer than its distance from the end of the shout; frontoparietals as large as or larger than the prefrontals, forming an clongate median suture; interparietal in contact with nuchals, about size of a frontoparietal.

Parietals normal, the posterior and lateral edge curved or slightly angular. scales not in contact with cach other: natal small, divided,
the anterior part equal to posterior part with nostril ; anterior loreal a little higher than wide, very little higher than the posterior, which is longer than high; a small preocular, followed by a single small scale ; two small postoculars: two presuboculars; four supraoculars. three touching the frontal; seven or eight superciliaries, the anterior more than twice the size of the last; upper palpebral scales directly in contact with the superciliaries; four or five elongate, enlarged scales on lower eyelid separated, by two or three rows of granular scales, from the subocular labial.

Primary temporal small, quadrangular or somewhat rectangular, in contact, sometimes narrowly, with the lower secondary; upper elongate, widened posteriorly; tertiary temporal small, separated from the auricular opening by a single scale; seven upper labials, the first largest of those preceding the subocular; latter scale much longer than high; sixth and seventh labials of equal size, or seventh largest (rarely the sixth) ; last labial separated from the ear by two pairs of superimposed postlabial seales, occasionally the scales of each pair fusing to form two large scales; auricular lobules small but rather distinct; ear surromded by 16-19 scales. Scale rows about neck in postauricular region, 30; constricted portion of neck, 28; about axillary region, $30-32$; about mildle of body, 26 or 28 ; about base of tail, 22. Subcaudals small, only slightly enlarged; six preanals, the median pair largest but relatively small, the outer scales overlapping the inner. Limbs relatively small, similar in practically all characters to tetragrammus save that they appear a little less robust. The granular area of scales in axilla likewise reduced, as in tetragrammus.

Subcaudals. 105; scales from occiput to above anus, 56-59. Other characters not mentioned are as in tetragrammus.

Color (in life). A well-defined greenish-olive (rarely olivebrown) above, each scale with a slightly darker indistinct anterior area. Dorsolateral and lateral lines as described in the diagnosis, sare that frequently the dorsolateral line is edged with dark brown above: sides of head and throat reddish in males during breeding season; chin, throat, breast, and underside of limbs cream; abdomen and lower surface of tail greenish or greenish-blue. Young specimens much darker, with a brilliant azure tail.

T'ariation. Forty-five specimens were studied in detail. Most of the characters of the lead scales are fairly constant. The prefrontals. however, are in contart in about 40 percent of the speci-
Table of Measurements of Eumeces brevelimeatus Cope

mens. The interparietal is never enclosed. Four supraoculars is the constant number. save in one specimen, which has the fourth transversely split on one side, making five; four postsuboculars, save in one specimen with five. The scales about the car vary from 14 to 18; the scales in a row from occiput to above anus from 54 to 60.57 occurring in about half of the specimens examined. Scale rows about the middle of the body are $26-28$, save in a single specimen from Fort Concho, Tex., which has only 24 . The number 26 occure thirty-two times, 27. six times, 28, six times. The nuchals usually are two or three pairs, $2-2$ occurring twenty-nine times; $2-3$ occurring eleven times, 2-1 occurring six times. Only two of


Fig. 42. Eumeces brevilineatus Cope. E.H.T. and H.M.S. No. 276; near Sabinas Hidalyo. Nuevo León. Mexico. A. lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 9 mm .; width, 8 mm .
the 45 specimens have two postmentals. The lamellae under the fourth toe vary between 13 and 16 , the number 13 occurring five times. 14 , nineteen times, 15 , thirty-seren times, and 16 , twentynine times.

Remarks. The relation between brevilincatus and tetragrammus is indeed close. I have retained the former as a distinct species because I have fomm no positive evidence of intergradation between the two. The color pattern is seemingly the only positive character that will separate them. since all other characters seem to break down in large series. It appears that ranges of the two species overlap for a known distance of three hundred miles," another

[^32]reason for mantaining them as distinet species. Should intergradation oceur [ would expect it to oreur -omewhere in southem Nuevo León.

The secome loseal in brerilincatus is usually longer, and the frontoparictal- longer than in tetratrammus; the legs average slightly shorter, proportionallys to the axilla to groin distance.

This species is apparently much less shy than tetragrammus. Most of the specimens: I have collected have been seen moving about in daytime. At Helotes the pecimens were usually seen along the emall gullies which empty into Helotes week. Thes would take refuge in mases of leares or brush and were usually near pools of water. At Alpine, in Brewster countr, they were captured from piles of rotting brush along the edge of a tiny stream fed by a spring. Some escaped by entering the water, diving and entering piles of brush which were in the water. Specimens captured near Sabinas Hidalgo in Nuevo León were in rotting piles of brush, formerly the "nests" of pack rats. At Somerset, Atascosa county, Texas. the species was observed about large plants of Opuntia and some were captured with the assistance of Mr. A. J. Kirn by remoring the large spreading cacti and digging about among the roots.

Distribution. The species occurs through southern Texas west of $97^{\circ}$ east long.. and south of $31^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ north lat., and through the northern part of Nuevo León, and probably also Tamaulipas and Coabuila and eastern Chihuahua.


Fir. 43. Distribution of Eumeces brerilineatus Cope, in Texas and Mexico.

A single record for Caddo Co., central southern Oklahoma, by Ortenburger (1926) has not been verified by me, but it is possible that these records are based on Eumeces septentrionalis obtusirostris Bocourt. Whether these specimens are lost or not I cannot say. They were evidently not in the National Museun in August, 1933. The Nuevo León specimens were collected 31 miles south of Sabinas Hidalgo ( 3 specimens), and four miles west of Sabinas Hidalgo (1 specimen).
Locality records:
Texas:
Brewster Co.: 3 miles southwest of Alpine (K.U. 5) ; Chisos Mts. (K.U. 1) ; Glass Mts., 5 mi. north of Marathon (K. U. 1); East Ranger Cañon, Alpine (Cornell 1) ; Paisano, 5,300 ft. (Bailey, 1905).
Jeff Davis Co.: Cherry Cañon, Davis Mts. (Mich. 3) (M.C.Z. 1).
Valverde Co: Near mouth of Devil: river (K.U. 1); 10 miles north of Comisto (Comell 1).
Dimmit Co.: Near Carrizo Springs (K.U. 2).
Atascosa Co.: Near Benton (K.U. 1).
Jim Itclls. Co:: Nueces river, near Casablanca (K.U. 2).
Tiavis Co.: Near Austin (K.U. 1).
Bexar Co.: Helotes (U.S.N.M. 2 Cotypes) (A.N.S.P. 2 Cotypes); Helotes, 20 mi. NW San Antonio (Cornell 6) (Byylor 6) (K.U. 2); Somerset (K.U. 1) ; San Antonio (K.U. 1) (Taylor Coll. 1); near San Antonio (A.M.N.H. 4) ; Medina river, San Antonio (Cornell 1).
Comal Co.: New Braunfels (K.U. 2).
McCulloch Co.: Brady Creek (Taylor-Smith 2).
Hays Co.: San Marcos (A.M.N.H. 4) (Mich. 1) (Field 1) (Baylor 2).
Kendall Co.: Boerne State Park (Cornell 1) ; Boerne (Strecker, 1926).
Wilson Co.: Cibolo creek (Baylor 6) ; C. A. Goeth Ranch (Baylor 3).
Tom Green Co.: Fort Concho (A.N.S.P. 3).
McLemnan Co.: (Field 1); Bluff creek (Baylor 10); Tonkaway creek (Baylor 13) Rock creek (Baylor 1).
Burnet Co.: Morgan creek (Field 1); White Eagle Copper Mine (Baylor 1).
Unidentified locality: Texas (M.C.Z.2).
Netero León: Four mi. west Salimas Hidalgo (Taylor-Smith 1); 31 miles south of Sabinas Hidalgo (Taylor-Smith 3).

Eumeces callicephalus Bocourt
(Plate 23; Figs. 44, 45)
SYNONYMY
1879. Eumeces callicephalus Bocourt. Miss. Sci. Mexique et Cent. Amer., Liv. 6, 1879, pp. 431-433, Pl. XXII D, figs. 2, 2a, 2b, 2c, and Pl. XXII E, fig. 2 (type description; type locality, Guanajuato, Mexico, Dugès Coll.); Cope, Proc. Amer. Phil. Soc., XXII, Jan. to Oct., 1885, p. 170 (Key); Günther, Biol. Cent. Amer., Rept., Batr., (18851902), 1885, Oct., p. 431 ; Boulenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 1II, 1887, p. 378 (Ciudad. Forrer Coll.) ; Cope, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 32, 1887, p. 46 ; Cope, Ann. Rept. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1898 (1900), p. 628 (key); Taylor, Uni. Kansas Sci. Bull., XIX, Nov., 1929, pp. 67-69 (Huachuca Mts., Arizona; first report for U. S.).

```
1ss%. Eumeces fasciatus (part.) Yarrow. Bull. 1'. S. Nat. Nus., No. 24, 1s,2, !. &2 (surci-
    men from Gila river, Arizona); Bunt, Une. Papers Mus. Zoiok. Iniv, Mirhagan, No.
    201, 19:9. r. 4.
159%. Plesthiodon callicephalum Duges. La Naturatoza, (2), 11, 1896, (18!%), mb, fs0) and
    14.3.
?l900. Eumeces quinquelincatus (past.) Cope. Ann, R+pt. L. S. Nat. Mus., 1<98 (1900), p.
    G3!) (*fecimbens from (il:1 viver, Arizona, No.9231).
```



```
        (suerimen from, Gils river, Arizoma; No, %:31).
192.2. Eumeces obsoletus (bart.) Van Denhurgh. Oce. Papers California Acarl. Rei., X, Vol. I. liz, 1922, P . 592 (young specimens: Hnachuca Mis.).
```

History. Apparently the earliest specimen (or specimens) of this species was collected hy Dr. C. C. Newherry in 1873, along the Gila river. Arizona; at least there is a small specimen in rather bad state still listed under Cat. No. 9231 in the U. S. National Museum. The original listing of this number by Yarow (188.2) gave three specimens, all identified as Eumeces fasciatus, collected by Dr. C. G. Newberry, Gila river, Arizona. Cope (1900, p. 639) first list. No. 9231 under Eumeces quinquelineatus, "3 spec. Gila river, Arizona; Dr. C. G. Newberry, collector," and later (p. 646) under Eumeces guttulatus, "Gila river Arizona, 1 spec. Dr. C. G. Newberry, collector." Burt (1929) has recently examined the (apparently) sole remaining specimen of the original lot and incorrectly identified it as Eumeces fasciatus.

The discovery of the type specimen of this species was made by Dr. Alfredo Dugès near Guamajuato, Mexico, who sent a specimen to the Paris Museum, prior to 1879. It was carefully described by Bocourt (1~79) in the "Mission scientifique au Mexique." He noted that the species shows certain similarities to Eumeces sumichrasti. There is, however, no close relationship between them, as they belong apparently to widely differing groups. Mr. Forrer collected a specimen for the British Museum in Ciudad, Mexico (presumably one of three villages of this name in Durango, rather than Sinaloa), which is described in Boulenger's Catalogue of Lizards, 1087. In 19281 found and recognized the species in the Huachura Mountans in southeastern Arizona, and subsequently a specimen, which is now in the Museum of the University of Michigan, was collected there by H. K. Gloyd.

Two specimens in the California Academy of Sciences from the Huachucal Mountains (mentioned by Yan Denburgh, 1922, as young obsoletus) ; one specimen in the Field Museum, Chicago, collected at Tombstone, Ariz, a specimen in the Harvard Museum from Madera, Chihuahua, Mexico; and four specimens in the Alfredo Dugis Musemm, Guanajuato, represent the material I have had arailable for study besides specimens collected by myself.

Diagnosis. A medium-sized species probably not reaching a body length greater than 70 mm . (largest seeimen known 65 mm .) ; dorsolateral and lateral light lines present which may disappear or become obsolete before the middle of the body is reached; a short median light line forking on the nuehal; a dark. blackish or brownish lateral stripe; limbs fail to touch when ithpressed, even in young; seales from parietals to ahove anus, 56 to 59 ; seate rows on the middle of the body, 28 , rarely 26 ; two postmentals; postnasal present or ahent (usually absent in Arizona specimens); subcaudals very slightly widened; seven upper labials, the last largest or equal to sixth; prefrontals in contact.


Fig. 44. Eumeces callicephalus Bocourt. K.U. No. 6474, Ash Cañon, Huachuca Mts., Arizona. A, lateral vicw of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 10 mm .; width, 8.5 mm .

Description of the species. (From M.C.Z. No. 15928, Madera, Chihuahua, Mexico.) The portion of the rostral visible above, large, nearly equal to the area of the frontonasal; supranasals large, separating the frontonasal from the rostral; the frontonasal hexagonal, somewhat broader than long, tonching the anterior loreal; prefrontals only slightly smaller than the frontonasal, forming a broad median suture; frontal moderate in size, about equal in length to its distance from the tip of the snout; the sides very straight, converging somewhat, the anterior part forming an obtuse angle, the posterior a right angle (posterior tip of the frontal abnormally segmented transersely ; frontoparietals pentagonal, forming a median suture; interparietal small, broadly enclosed behind he the large parietals; two pairs of nuchals, both rather narow, but transervely clongate; nasal small, the nostril large, the anterior
part of the seale much larger than the poterior part, when pormasal is present: postnasal distinet farent sometimes in more northern specimens), touching two lahials and suramasals; anterior loreal much higher and narrower than the second, the two forming equal sutures with the prefrontal; second loreal longer thin high, touching three (or two labials below, separated from the first supratocular; two presuboculars. the upper largest; four supraoculars, the two anterior (or three) touching the frontal; eight mperediaries, the anterior laree forming a suture with the prefontal equal to that of the first stpraocular: one or two small prooculars and two postoculars; upher palperbal seales transparent, in contact with superciliaries (at least five) ; entarged seales on lower erolid separated from the -ubocular by two or three series of small gramular sales; four postsmboculars ; seven upper labials, four preceding the subocular labial, all more or less of equal height and seeming to differ little in size, the seventh larger than the sixth (irequently about equal) ; primary temporal as large as sixth labial, more or less rectangular, distinetly less than half the size of the elongate upper secondary temporal and also forming a distinct suture with the lower secondary temporal; latter relatively large, as large or harger than serenth labial, and about one third of its bulk extenting behind the seventh labial dusually about one half or two thirds) ; tertiary temporal not large or well differentiated, separated from the auricular opening hy a large preauricular seale; an elongate postlabial following the serenth labial, lies below the lower secondary temporal and is separated from ear by two tiny preamicular seales: two well-defined amicular lobules. Six lower labials. last rery large; two postmentals, the anterior smatl, touching only the first labial: mental with a much longer labial border than the rostral; three pairs of chinshields, the anterior pair in contact; the postgenial scale somewhat enlarged, bordered internally by a narrow, elongate scale.

The auricular opening moderate, surrounded by about is soales; 32 scales abont neck immediately behind the ear; 29 about constricted part of the neck: 34 about body in axillary region: as about midelle of borly and 21 about base of tail; the dorsal seales are not or but slighty larger than laterals amb are practically parallel on sides sare in axillary region and in groin. seales on side of neek, above and behind insertion of the arm, on side and in groin, with one or two emall pite: on the side these are les distinct but appear to be absent elsewhere; the preanal seales are relatively smatl. but
the median pair distinctly enlarged, the three on each side smaller; the outer scales overlap inner; the median ventral subeaudal scales slightly larger than the adjoining rows; those under regenerated part of tail are, however, distinctly widened; a scale at the posterior corner of anus is large and shows a slight raised area (present only in males) ; a very small group of small seales in the axilla.

Arm small; brought forward it fails to reach eye; palm with several enlarged tubercles and a few intercalated smaller granules; a prominent tubercle on wrist behind base of the fifth finger; lamellar formula for fingers: $5 ; 8 ; 11 ; 11 ; 7$; heel bordered by five larger tubercular scales; sole with rather uniformly small tubercles, a single larger one posteriorly; lamellar formula for toes: $6 ; 10$; $12 ; 15 ; 11$. Scales under tail, 100.

Color. Above, generally olive-brown, the scales with a more olive center, the edges bordered with brown; a dim line begins medially between shoulders and passes forward to the nuchals; here two lines begin and run forward along the edges of the frontal and later unite on the rostral; these lines are very narrow; the dorsolateral light line begins on the first superciliary and runs back across the sides of the neck and along the sides where it follows the inner half of the fourth lateral scale row; it is separated from its fellow by six complete scale rows; it can usually be traced dimly to the tail; a light lateral line runs from rostral along the upper edge of the posterior labials to the upper cdge of the ear; below this line posteriorly, labials dark; the lateral line continues from middle part of the ear above arm to groin; between the two light lines is a deep chocolate to blackish-brown stripe beginning on snout, passing back to base of the tail where it ceases; the stripe on the side is two whole- and two half-scale rows wide, narrowing greatly above insertion of the hind limb; lower lips and chin, underside of limbs and anal plates light cream to white (alcohol), while the remainder of the sides and belly is bluish-gray, becoming somewhat brownish below lateral stripe; head dark brown and the light lines on neek bordered with the same color. The light lines on neck are prominent but become less prominent posteriorly.

T'ariation. The small number of specimens fail to give any complete picture of the variation, but the Arizona specimens seem to differ in the absence matally of a postnasal (absent seven times; present on both sides once; on one side once, while the three Mexican specimens have it present on both sides). They differ, too, in having a narrower lateral brown stripe, one and one half to two scales wide,
Measurements of Eumeces callicephalus Bocourt

| Mieh. | $\begin{gathered} K . U . \\ 6.473 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Field } \\ !10 \end{gathered}$ | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M. } \because Z Z . \\ & 1592 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{6.174}{K .1}$ | C.A.S. | C.A.S | $\begin{gathered} \text { K. } \\ 616 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { K.U. }}{6.175}$ | 13.21. | $\underset{\substack{\text { K. } 10}}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6.) | 64.2 | 63 | S | 57 | 58 | 52.2 | 50 | 50 | 46.5 | 42 | 28 |
|  |  |  | 75* |  | 101 | 87 * | 8fi* |  |  | $6{ }^{6}$ |  |
| 20 | 22 | 21 |  | 1s | 20 | 18.8 | 18 | 17 | $14 \%$ |  | 11.2 |
| 40 | 36 | 36 |  | 32 | 32.8 | 27 | 26.7 | 27.5 | 23 |  | 17 |
| 10 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 9 | 9 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 7 | 7 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 53 |
| 9 | 10.2 | 9.2 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | -. 1 | S | $\therefore$ | ! | 21 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |  | 7 | 7.2 | 4.9 | fi | 5.7 | 6 |  | 3.4 |
| 13.5 | 1.5 | 12.5 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 11.2 | 12 | 12 | 9.1 | 10 | 7 |
| 20 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 18.7 | 17.5 | 16.8 | 16 | 15.4 | 15.1 | 1.5 | 12: |
|  | 11 |  |  | 12 | 102 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | - |  | (6.5) |


with the lateral and dorsolateral stripes missing from the posterior part of the body even in young specimens, and the lines, where present, wider; the frontonasal is generally smaller and is occasionally (twice) separated from both anterior loreals, and in three cases from one; in four cases they touch on both sides as in the Mexican specimen described.

Scate rows in middle of body vary from 26 to 28, 28 appearing 9 times, 27 once and 26 twice; the number of nuchals is variable: $1-1$ is the typical number in the northern specimens, $1-2$ and $2-2$ occasionally occurring. In all specimens examined, save the one described, the three anterior supraoculars touch the frontal. The parietals usually enclose the interparietal, sare in one case a small intercalated scale separates them, and in three others the interparietal. In the younger specimens the adpressed limbs are in contact; in adults they are narrowly separated in males, widely so in females.

The distinctness of the lines in the Chihuahua specimen here described scems to differ from the type as well as from Arizona specimens. A young specimen from the Huachuca Mountains has the light lines no more distinct than the adults from the same region. The tails of the young are bright blue to ultramarine and the color is retained until about half grown. The adults of the Arizona specimens are more grayish than Mexican specimens.

In the Alfredo Dugès Museum, Cuanajuato, are four specimens labelled "Plestiodon callicephalus San Blas, L. Boc." I was unable to examine the specimens save through their container. My inability to discern all the characters must leave their identity in doubt, athough a part of them are certainly of this species.

Remarks. The specimens which I collected in Ash Cañon, Huachuca Mountains, Cochise Co., Arizona, were taken at approximately 6,000 feet clevation. Three were captured by overturning small, flat stones exposed to the sum. Another specimen was found rumning over stones in a tiny stream that trickled at the bottom of the ranon at this elevation. A very young specimen was taken about 1,000 feet lower on the edge of a small flat among weeds and grass.

The stomach contents show the typical food consisting of insects, with a predominance of smatl Coleoptera, and occasional dipterids and blatticls.

The specimen described differs from both the Arizona and Guanajuato specimens in having somewhat narrower dorsolateral lines, and in having distinctly wider brown hateral stripes. They agree in
the general color pattern and in mot of the details of rquanation. One of the eperimens in the Mfereto Duge Mhaedm, and 1 wo in Phatadelpha, hate the pate at- efparated.

Probably the most rignificant whater is that the lower secondary temporal extends more of it- area behind the vertieal line drawn from posterion edge of the lat lathat that onder queces.

Distribution. This -pecis- is a very wide-ranging one, oecomring as it does along the wothern part of Arizona and extending south to the state of Michoram. Mexieo. It is to be found on both sides of the sierra Madre, at keat in the more nothern part of its range. Records are arailable for Arizomat in the Coited States, and Chihuahua, Durango (probably, Zacatefas, Guanajuato and Michoacin, in Mexico. Sperment have been taken within three miles of the northem boundary of sonora in the Huachuca Mountains, Arizona.

Most of the records sugge-t that the species is a hightand form, but in the state of Arizona the rerords for Tombstone and Gila river show that it is not neesesarily confined to highlands.


Pra. 45. Di-urbution ai Eutucas callicephalus Bocourt, in
Arizenal and Mexico.

Locality rccords:
Arizosa: Gila river (U.SN.M. 1, Newberry Coll.).
Cochise ('o.: Huachuca Mts. (C.A.S. 2. Slevin Coll.); Ash Cañon, Huachuca Mts. (K.U. 5. Taylor. Wright and Lunceford Colls.); Ramsey Cañon, Huachuca Mis. (Mích. 1, Gloyd Coll.) ; Tombstone (Field 1, Willard Coll.) ; Carr Cañon, Huachuca Mts. (A.N.S.P. 1, Hebard and Reln Colls.).
Santa Cruz Co.: Penallanca Cañon, Tumacacori Mts. (A.M.N.H. 1).
Chimualua: Madera (M.C.Z. 1. Brownlee Coll.).
Durango: Ciudad (B.M. 1. Forrer Coll.).
Zachtecas: Mesquital del Oro (B.M. 1. Buller Coll.).
Guanajuato: Guanajuato (Bocourt ; type locality; Dugès Coll.) (A.N.S.P. 2) (Dugès, 1897).
Michoacan: Michoacín (Duyès, 1897).
Narakit: 3 mi. west Tepic (E.H.T. 1, Taylor Coll.).

Eumeces tctragrammus (Baird)<br>(Fig. 46. Distrilution)<br>SYNONYMY

1855. Plestiodon tetragrammus Baird. Pioc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1858, P. 256 (type description; type locahty Lower Rio Grande: type number 3124 U.S.N.M.); Baird, ['. S. and Mexican Boundary Surv., Rept. of Bound., Vol. 2, pt. 2, 1859, pp. 12, 13 (Salado river, Doctror Kennerly; and Matamoros. Mex., Lt. Couch.); Garman, Bull. Essex Inst., XVI, Jan. 9. 1884. 1. 10: Stejneger and Barbour, Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 1917, p. i1; Pratt. Vert. Anim. U. S., 1923, p. 207.
1856. Eumecrs tegragrammus Coır. Bull. L. S. Nat. Mus., No. 1, 1875, p. 45 ; Boulenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 111, 1857. pp. 375-376; Cope. Ann. Rept. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1898 (1900), 1. 660 (fig. 134. probathy not of this species; Cook and Cameron counties, Tex.) ; Brown, Proe. Acarl. Nat. Sci. Phila.. 1903, p. 553 (restricted to the Texas region): Strecker, Proc. Biol. Sne. Washington, XXI, 1908, p. 49 (Refugio, Refugio Co., Tex.) ; Baylor Cni. Bull. Nll. No. 1, 1909 (Burnett Co., Tex.) ; Ditmars, The Reptile Book, 1915, P. 199; Steneger and Barbour. Cherk List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 2d Ed., 1923, p. 77; Strecker and Williams, Cont. Baylor Uni. Mus., No. 12, Dec., 1927, p. 14 (Granite and Burnett counties, Tex.); Stejneger and Barbour, Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 3fl Ed.. 1933, p. 83.
History. The types, originally twelve (or more) in number, were collected in Matamoros, Mexico, partly by Doctor Berlandier, and partly by Licutenant Darius Nash Couch, who conducted an expedition, survering a route for a Pacific railway in northern Mexico. Lieutenant Nash purchased a collection from Doctor Luis Berlandier, which probably contained some of the types, and these with his own collections were sent to the Smithsonian Institution in Washington. spencer Baird described the species in 1858, listing as the type, No. 3124, which number was applied to all the specimens (at least twelve originally). Doctor Kennerly, who was with Lieut. Col. W. H. Emory on the Mexican Boundary Survey, later collecter a specimen "Below Salado river" in northern Mexico.

Apparently no further -pecimens were collected until a much
later date when specimens collected by G. H. Ragsiale and C. K. Worthen in Cook and Cameron counties. Texas, respectively, were sent to the National Muscum.

In 1900 Cope mentions that some of the specimens from Matamoros, Mexico, are "lustrous black" and designates two specimens (No. 3120) as the types of a variety funcbrosus. Since that time very few specimens of tetragrammus have been found. There is a single specimen in the American Museum of Natural History, one in the Field Museum, two in the Museum of the University of Michigan, and eight, which I collected in Starr and Cameron counties, Texas, are in the Kansas University Museum. Strecker (see synonymy) reports four specimens, three of which are presumably at Baylor University, Texas. One specimen, reported by Strecker from Brewster county, is, in fact, Eumeces septentrionalis obtusirostris (Bocourt) (now No. 58337 U.S.N.M.). One additional specimen from Brule, Texas, is in the U. S. National Museum.

Two specimens in the British Museum from Tampico, Mexico, appear to belong to this species. Mr. H. W. Parker has furnished me with an excellent photograph and a drawing of the head of one of these specimens, and on these I have essayed an identification.

The type series, U.S.N.M. No. 3124, consists at this date (Aug., 1933) of a series of eleven specimens, five of which are in fair condition, somewhat discolored by preservative, but showing more or less of the markings; the remainder of the series is darker, due, I believe, to some unusual preservative. Some of the specimens are a deep lavender, approaching black in color. It is probable that part of this series are types of the var. funebrosus Cope. This series bears the catalogue entry "Matamoras Tamaulipas, Lt. B. Couch, collector, 12 specimens." An old specimen in the U. S. National Museum, No. 9233, in bad state, without data, appearing to be of this species, may be the missing twelfth specimen.

I am designating one of the series (specimen measuring 69 mm . snout to vent; tail 95 mm .) numbered by me 3124A, and designated "lectotype" (engraved on back of tag) as the lectotype. This specimen is in good condition, but is somewhat discolored and a few of the scales are missing from the sides and back.

Cope (1900, fig. 134) gives a drawing of a specimen (number 15543 U.s.N.M., a specimen no longer extant) which appears to be a figure of a specimen of Eumeces septentrionalis obtusirostris Bocourt. It shows a divided mental fometimes present in tetragrammus), one nuchal instead of the typical two or three, and the
seventh labial separated from the ear by one instead of two pairs of postlabials.

Diagnosis. A medium-sized species characterized by narrow dorsolateral light lines separated by six seale rows which arise on the anterior supracular and continue to base of tail (absent or dim in old adult males); a lateral line begins on anterior labials, follows along their upper edges, passes through middle of ear and continues on side to groin; a pair of curved lines on head arising on the rostral, terminating on the frontoparictals. Postmental single or divided; no postnasal; parietals do not enclose interparietal; two or three pairs of nuchals; seven upper labials, the last largest; 26 or 28 scale rows; limbs touch when adpressed in young, separated about five millimeters in adults.

Description of species (from K.U. No. 7756, collected 20 miles north of Brownsville, Cameron Co., Texas, by E. H. Taylor; the specimen is an adult mate, in alcohol). Portion of the rostral appearing above, not extensive, somewhat less than half the area of the frontonasal; supranasals molerately large, forming a median suture; frontonasals much broader than long, separated narrowly from the frontal, broadly in contact laterally with the anterior loreal; prefrontals rather large, forming sutures with the frontonasal, frontal, scoond loreal, first supraocular, first superciliary, and first loreal, the lengths of the sutures varying in the order named. Frontal relatively narrow, elongate, considerably longer than its distance from the end of the snout, forming a slightly acute angle antcriorly, and an obtuse angle posteriorly; frontoparietals pentagonal, slightly smaller than the prefrontals, forming a broad median suture; interparietal small, of about same area as a frontoparietal, in contact with first pair of nuchals: parietals rather short, their posterior edges forming a gentle curve; two pairs of nuchals, the anterior wider longitudinally, and shorter transversely than the posterior.

Nasal moderate, divided, the anterior part about equal to the area of the posterior with the nostril; anterior loreal higher than posterior, higher than wide; posterior loreal much longer than high, somewhat angular posteriorly; eight superciliaries, the anterior more than double the size of the posterior; four supraoculars, three touching the frontal: two presuboculars, four postuboculars; a small preocular followed by a small scale; most of the upper palpebral scales directly in contact with the superciliaries; five elongate, enlarged scales on the eyelid, separated from the subocular by two or three rows of granular scales; two very small postoculars.

Primary temporal rectangutar. wombe the karge fan-athed lower secondary; upper secondary temporal elongate, widened posterionly; tertiar temperal harge, tonching the upper secondary: seren upper labials, four preceding the subocular, the first sighty larger, and distinctly higher than the three suceeding seates : subocular longer than high: last labial distinctly larger than the sixth, separated from the auricular opening he (usually) two pairs of seales Ceach pair sometimes fu-ing) : mental very large, having a labial border equal to rostral and the first upper labials; postmental single. large; three pair of chinshicld, the second pair largest. the first pair in contact; postgenial scale large, bordered on its inner side by a seale much longer than wide. Six lower labials, five on right side, the last greatly elongated. Nincteen or twenty seales around the ear; two auricular lobules, small and inconspicuous.

Lateral seale rows parallel: fifty-six scales in a dorsal row between parictals and a point above the anus; the neck scales following the nuehals are transersely widened; dorsal body scales not or but slightly larger than laterals: scale rows, 29 behind ear; 27 about constricted portion of neck; 30 rows about axillary region, 27 rows about middle of body, and 21 about the base of the tail; six preanals, the median relatively small, but larger than the outer scales which overlap the inner; subcaudats only very slightly widened (103 in specimen with complete tail, K.U. 7754). The lateral postanal scute is not or but slightly differentiated. Limbs well-developed, failing to touch, when adpressed, by a distance equal to two scalelengths; fourteen scales about the insertion of the arm. Outer wrist tubercle well developed; a group of enlarged palmar tubereles, the three anterior largest. Lamellar formula for fingers: $5 ; 8 ; 11$; 11: s. Sixteen seales about insertion of hind limb; two prominent median heel tubeccles, with another pair anterior to and slightly lateral to these; other granules on feet somewhat tubercular, slightly imbricate. Lamellar formula for toes: $6 ; 8 ; 12 ; 16 ; 10$. The terminal lamellae are not tightly bound about the base of the claws; toes surrounded be two rows of seales only, a dorsal series and the ventral lamellar series. There is a very much redued area of granular scales in the axilla.

Color. Ahove olive-brown, carh sale being slighty darker on its anterior third; head sellowish-hrown (reddish in life) ; a pair of dim dorsolateral cream or tam line- begin on the supraceular and are traceable to the tail, separated by six sale rows: a lateral cream line is evident behind the car (arise on the rostral or first labial in
the young) and can be traced to the grom; between this and the dorsolateral line is a brownish stripe which extends from eye to groin; two lines originate on the rostral, curve back along the sides of the frontal and terminate on the frontoparietals. Chin, neck and breast immaculate cream, as are the undersides of the limbs; abdomen grayish.

Table of Measurements of Eumeces tetragrammus Baird

| Museum. <br> Nunber** <br> Sex. | $\begin{gathered} \text { K.U. } \\ 7756 \\ \sigma^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{K} \\ \mathrm{O}^{7}}}{\substack{\mathrm{U}}}$ | $\underset{3124^{*}}{\text { U.S.N.M. }}$ | $\underset{8160}{\text { A.M.N. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mich. } \\ & 54050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} K . U . \\ 7754 \\ \delta^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K.U. } \\ 774 \\ \text { of } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { K.U. } \\ \text { 7.5. } \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mich. } \\ 69252 \\ \mathrm{yg} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S.N.M. } \\ \substack{\text { yg. } \\ \text { yg. }} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent... | 71 | 71 | 69 | 67 | 64 | 62 | 58 | 54 | 52 | 34 |
| Tail. |  |  | $95 \dagger$ |  |  | 109.5 |  |  |  | 39 |
| Snout to forelimb. | 26 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 18 | 12.2 |
| Snout to eye. | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5 | .... |  | 4.5 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Snout to ear. | 14 | 13 | 13 |  |  | 11.2 |  | 9.2 |  |  |
| Axilla to groin. | 39 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 28 | 27 | 19 |
| Width of head. | 11 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Length of head. . | 12.2 | 11 | 10 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 10.2 | 9 | 9 | 9.2 |  |
| Width of body . . | 12 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10.2 | 9 | S | 9 |  |
| Foreleg. . . . . . . | 16.5 | 16.5 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 9 |
| Hind leg..... ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 24 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 11 |
| Longest to 3 . | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 7.2 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4.8 |

* Lectotype. $\dagger$ Broken.
** Numbers $7756,7757,54050,7754,7755$ are from near Brownsville, Cameron Co., Texas; 3124, Matamoros, Mlexico: 8160, Padre lsland, Cameron Co., Texas; 7747. Arroyo El Salado near Rio Grande City, Starr Co., Texas; 69252 San José, Mexico; 78581, Brule, Rio Grande, Texas.

Variation. Twenty-five specimens have been examined, including the type series. The following scale variations occur: Parietals never enclose the interparietal; frontonasal touches frontal six times, separated 19 times; invariably four supraoculars, with three touching the frontal; the number of upper labials $7-7$ save in one case, where the third and fourth are joined on the left side; the serenth labial is the largest; lower labials six; scales about ear 13 to 16 ; nuchals $1-1$, two times; $2-2$, sixteen times; $2-3$, five times, and $3-3$, two times. The mental is single in 21 cases, double in 4 (these latter all in the type series from Matamoros, Mexico). Postnasal invariably absent.

Scales from occiput to above anus vary from 53 to 59 ; the highest and lowest numbers occur only once; 54, seven times; 55, two times; 56 , five times; 57, three times; 58, five times. The scale rows about the neck are 28 or 30 , the lower number most frequent; scales about
the middle of the boty, 96 to $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ : 26 , accurs cight times; 27 , two times: and 28 , fourteen times. The supercilitries vary from five to eight: presubocular- two, the putabhoculars form. One specimen has the frontal transersely segmented. The markings are much more distinct in the young. In a voung specimen (K.C. 12746) the dorsolateral and lateral lines are greenish. showing metallic glints. The curved head lines terminate on the frontoparietals; on the neek the dorsolateral line follows the third ecale row, then for a time it borders the third and fourth, and through the latter half of the body follows only the fourth row; the dorsolateral line may or may not join the curved head lines anteriorly. The dorsal coloration of the young is much darker than in adults. It is ueually blackish-brown with minute metallic flecks. In no specimens I have examined do the curved head lines extend back and form a union. The tail is blue in the young and the abdominal region is usually a light greenish-blue in life.

Remarks. I have usually found this species when tearing up the large "nests" of pack rats. They appear to be especially secretive. I have nerer observed a speeimen moving about above ground. It mas probably be that the species is somewhat nocturnal.


Fig. 46. Distribution oi Eumeces tetragrammus (Baird), in Texas and Mexico.

The stomach contents examined showed a large percentage of arachnid food. One specimen had several insect egg cases, belonging to an undetermined species, probably a blattid.

Distribution. The locality data available shows this species occupying a territory in sonthern Texas and Tamaulipas. The most northern (unquestioned record is Dilles, Tex.; the most southern, Tampico, Vera Cruz, a north-south range of about 500 miles.

Locality records:
Texas:
Cameron Co.: Brownsville (C.S.N.M. 1); 20 miles north of Brownsville (K.U. $\mathbf{3}$ ) (Mich. 1) (Field 1) : Padre 1sland (A.M.N.H. 1).

Starr Co.: Arroyo El Salarlo, near Rio Grande City (K.U. 1); Arroyo Los Olmos, 3 mi. SE Rio Grande City (Taylor-Smith 1).
Refugio Co.: Near Refugio (Strecker 1).
Burnett Co.: Honey Creek (Strecker 1).
Frin Co: Near Dilley (K.C. 1).
Tamachpas, Mexreo: Matamoror (U.N.N. Typer 11); San Joeé (Mich. 1); Hacionda La Clementina, near Forlón, 68 mi. S. Ciudad Victoria (SmithDunkle 1).
Vera Cruz: Tampico (British Mus. 2).

## OBSOLETUS GROUP

To this group I assign a single American species, Eumeces obsoletus (Baird and Girard), ranging throughout the southwestern United States and northern Mexico, and three Asiatic forms, Eumeces chinensis chinensis, E. chinensis pulcher and E. kishinouyei. The group is characterized by the deep black coloration of the young, with light body lines. or lacking all body lines and with a series of white or cream spots on the scales of the head. Tail a brilliant azure blue.

Adults lose the uniform black and blue color and become olive, with a blacki-h area on each scale, the areas sometimes arranged in rows, forming indistinct lines.

Scales on sides of body in diagonal rows (obsoletus, usually) or parallel (in Asiatic forms) ; postnasal present or absent; seven or eight upper labials; four supraocular: ; scale rows $25-30$; legs long, overlapping, usually, in adults.

Two postmentals (rarely single) ; parietals not enclosing interparictal; one pair of nuchals usually; postgenial large bordered by a scale longer than wide; two or three supracculars touch frontal.

I believe that the closest relative of this group will be found in the

Fasciatus group, but I eammot definitely point out one member of that group which represents a closer appoach than another. The rariation in the relationship, of the supraculare to the frontal; the ramiation in the phethasal; and the superimpoed reddish spotting on the sides of the hody, are charatere which, together with many others catue me to plare thene American and (hinese forms together.

## Key to the Sieces of the (bsonetts Cikolp

A. Bocly backing light hines in young and adult: the vomeng with white or eream spots on the Letad scales. (Central and sonthwestern United states, and Mexien).

Eummers obsolotus (Baird and Girard), Page 305
4. Body with well-defined lines in young and adults.
13. A wen-lund fom: the median light line bifurcatme, and apparing dimly (1) the had: the suldateral more or less broken into sputs anteriorty. Very
 24-26 scale rows; 17 lameltae under fourth toe; two or three pairs of nuchals: normatly a postnasal; three (oceasionally two) supraoculars nomally touch frontal: two postmentals: dorsal and lateral seales of adults usually showing striate (Yaeyama and Miyaka groups of Riu Kiu 1slands).

Eumeces kashinouyei Stejneger, page 334
BB. Five-lined forms, showing no evidence of forking lines on head or striations (in seales; smaller forms, maximum size. $12 \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{mm}$. ; normally no postnasal.
(. Three (normally) suprauculars touch frontal; dorsolateral light lines broken; six (normally) upper labials; arlult femates retain the juvenile olor pattern. (Northern central China).

Eumetes , himersis multher (Dumetil and Bibron), page 328
(C. Normally two sumrageulars tometh frontal; dorsolateral light line contimuous; lateral light line broken into spots, with other light spots above and below it: adult females lose juvenile color pattern. (southern rentral China)................Eumeres chimensis chmensts (Gray), page 320

## Eumeces obsoletus (Bairl and Cirard)

(Plate 24; Fige. 47. 48)

SYNONYMY
1852. Plestiodon obsoletum Baird and Girard. Proc. Acacl. Sci. Phila., VI, 1s52, p. 129 (type description; type lewality, Valley of the Rio San Pedro of the Rio Grande del Norte); Halloweh, Reptiles in Sitgreaves' Rent, of an Exped. down the Zuni and Colorado rivers, 1853. pp. 111, 112 (complete deseription of type); Hallowell, Proe. Acad. Sci. Phila., 1556, 5. 239 (Kansas suecimens); Baird, U. S. and Mexican Bomndary Surrey. Reptiles of the Bomdary, Vol. 2, rt. 2, 1859, p. 12, pl. XXV, figs. ! 9 -1f (ohsoletus): Baird. Expl. and survey for a R. R. Route to Pacific Ocean,
 11. 11. 15: tejneger and Barbour. Check List N. Amer. Amph. \& Rept., 1917. p. 70 ; trecker, Bulh. sci. Fue. San Antonio. No. 4, 1922. p. 22; Van Denburgh. Occ. Papers
 tion): Platt. Vert. Amm. [. S., 1923, p. 20n.
1852. Lamprosaurus guttulatus Hallowell. Proc. Acad. sit. Phita.. Iece. 1ming, pro 206, 207 (type descrintion: type bocality. Fort Fillmose below "Jomada del Muerte," N. Al.): Garman, Bull. Essex lust.. XVI, Jan. 19. 16at. 1h, 14, 15: Hallowell. Reptibes in Sitgreaves' Ruport of an Exped. Zuni and Colorado ivers. 14.a3, ph. 112, 113, ph. IN (complete deceription of tipe).
1857. Plestiodun guttulatus Hallowell. Prone. Acad. Nat. Aci. Phila., 1s.at, p. 215; Baird,
 Baird, Expl, and Surv, of a R. R. Route to the Pace Octan, Zouil., Rept., No. 3,


Ampr. Anph and Reptiles, 1917, pp, 6:9, 7n: Van Denhurgh, Oce. Papers Cal. Acad. Ell, X, Vol. 1, 1922, 1Pr. 594-597 (Vely detailed description); Pratt, Vert. Anim. of T. S., $1!23, \mathrm{p} .207$.
1sibi. Plistodon obsoletus Cope Proc. Acall Nat. Aci. Phila., 1witi, p. 304.
1866. Plistodon guttulatus Cope. Proc. Acad. Nat. Aci. Phila, 1a66. p. 304.

15ī5. Eumetes obsoletus Cone. Bull. U'. A. Nat. Nus., No. 1, 1si5, p. 45; Yarrow, Rept. Cieng. (ieol. Explr. Surv., West 100th Mer., Wheeles. Vol. 5, Zuöl., Chap. 4, 1878. p. 550 ; Coues, Rept. (ieng. \& Genl. Exphr. and sinv. West 100th Mer., Wheeler, Vol. 5. Zoöl., Chap. V, 1878, 1. fint: Cope. Bull. L. S. Nat. Mus., No. 17, 1880, ph. 18, 39, 40 (rariatuns in species); Cragin, Kansas Acad. Sci., VIl, 1879-'80, p. 115 (reprint, 1906i); Bucourt, Miss. Sci. Mexique, Lix. i, 1851, pl. XXII A, figs. 4, ta, 4b, and pl. XXIL D, figs. t, ta (complete deseription of a Kansas specimen); Farrow, Bull. U. S. Nat. Nus., No. 24, 1sne. p. 40 ; Davis and Rice, Ill. State Lab. Nat. Hist. Bull., No. 5, 1883, 1, 47; Davin anel Rice, Bull. Chicago Acad. Sci., I, No. 3, 1883, p. 31 ("central and southem Illinois"): Boulenger, Cat. Liz. British Mus., Ill, 1ssi, p. 37t; Cope, Bull. L'.A.N.M., No. 32, 1887, p. 46 ("City of Chiluahua'): (cope, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1s92. p. 334: Cockerell, Amer. Nat., NXX, 1896. p. 326 ; Van Denhargh, Proc. Cal. Acarl. Sci., (2), VI, 1896. pp. $33-349$; Cole, Rept. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1899, (1900), pp, 646-644, fig. 128 (detailed descriptun and distributional data); Brown, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila.. 1903, p. 545 ; Stone and Rehn, Proc. Acat. Nat. Scj. Phila., 1903, 1f. 16, 34; Railty, North Amer. Fama, No. 25, 1905, मи. 35. 45: Strecker, Proc. Binh. Soce. Wash., XXI, 1908, 1. 33 ; Strecker, Baylor U. Bull., XHI. No. 1, Jan., 1909, pp. B, 14; Streeker, Baylor I'. Bull., XIII, Nos. 4 and 5, 1910, pl. 13, 14: Stone, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1911, 1\%. 231; Ellis and Henderson, Univ. Colo. Studhes, X, No. 2, 1913, 14. 79. 80. pl. HI, figs. 15, 16 ; Van Denburgh and Slevin, Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci., (4). III, 1913, P. 393 ; and idem (4), V, 1915, p. 106 ; Strecker, Bull. Baydor L'ni., XVIII. No. 4, 1915, p. 26 ; Ditmars, Reptile Book, 1915, p. 198; Jordan, A Manual Vert. Anim. U. S., 1916, p. 201; Anon.. Okla. Geol. Survey Circular 6. 1917, p. 35; Ste neger and Barbour. Cherk List N. Aner. Amph. Rept., 2d Ed., 1923, p. 76; Van Denhurgh, Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci., (4), XHI, No. 12, 1924 , p. 214 (New Mexico records); Ortenburger, Copeia, No. 155, 1926, p. 13s (Oklahoma); Ortenburger, Univ. Okla. Bull., Proc. Oklahoma Acad. Sci., IN, pt. 1, 1926. p. 95 (Oklahoma) ; Strecker and Williams, Cont. Baytor U. Mus., No. 12, Dec., 1927, 1. 14 (Texas remorts); Ortenhurger, Cobreia, No. 163, 1927, p. 47 (Oklahoma recorl); Burt, Oce. Papers Mus. Zoöl. U. Mieh., No. 189, 1927, p. 4; Burt. Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis, XXVI, No. 1, 1928. pp. 58-63 (Unites obsoletus and guttulatus: halits and distribution in Kansas); Burt, Jour. Kansas Ent. Soc., I. No. 3, 1928, pp. 62, 63 ; I3urt, Occ. Papers Mus. Zö̈l., Ľni. Mich., No. 201. June 17. 1929, pr. 1-12, pls. 1-3 (monographic treatment); Gloyd. Trans. Kan. Acarl. Sci., NXXI, 1929, p. 120 (breeding habits); Burt and Burt, Jomr. Wash. Acad. Sci., XLX, No. 20, 1929, p. 455 (Fiansas); Burt and Burt, Amer. Mus. Noy., No. 381. 1929, r. 10 (Kansas); Strecker, Bayior Lni. Contr. to Folklore, No. 3, 1929, p. 6 (aquatic and hithernation habits); Force. Copeia, No. 12, 1930, p. 29 (Oklahoma); Ortenberger and Freeman, Pub. Uni. Okla., Ni, Biol. Survey, No. 4, 1930, p. 181 (Oklahoma) ; strecker, Cont. Baylor U. Mus., No. 23. 1930. p. 11: Mosaner, Occ. Papers Mus. Zoöl. U. of Mich., No. 246, 1932, p. 10 Guadahupe Mts.); Stejneger and Barbour. Check List N. A. Amph. Rept., 3d Ed., 1933, p. 82.
1875. Eumeces guttulatus Cope. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 1, 185.5, p. 45; Varrow, Rept. Geog. and Geol. Explr, and Surveys, West 100th Mer., Wheeler, Vol. 5. Zoül., Chap. IV, p. 556 ; Coues, Rept. Geog. and Geol. Explr. Surv., West 100 th Mer., Wheeler, Vol. 5, 1878, P. 604; Cragin, Trans. Kan. Acarl. Sci., V'II. 1879-'80 (1880), p. 115 (reprint, 1906): Yarrow, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 24, 1882, p. 41 ; Bonlenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus.. III, 1887, p. 369 ; Cope, Rept. U. S. Nat. Nus. for 1898, (1900), pp. 645, 646, fig. 127; Bailey, N. Amer. Faun., No. 25, 1905, pp. 35. 45 ; Streeker, Baylor Uni. Bull., NIII, Nos. 4 and 5, 1910, p. 13 ; Ellis and Henderson, Univ. Colo. Studies, X, No. 2. 1913, pp. 78-80, figs. 15, 16; Strecker, Baylor Bult., XVIII, No. 4, 1915. p. 26; Ditmars, The Reptile Book, 1915, p. 198; Jorılan, A Manual of Vert. Anim. U. S., 1916, p. 201; Stejneger and Barhour, Check List of N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 2d Ed., 1923, p. 75 ; Grant, Copeia, No. 164, 1927, pp. 67-69 (habits); Burt, Oce. Papers Mus. Zoiil. U. Mieh., No. 189, 1927, 1. 14 (regarded as "probably" obsoletus) : Burt, Jour. Kansas Ent. Sof., 1, No. 3, 1928, p. 62; Ortenburger. Copeia,

No. 173. 1930, P. 94; Ortenhurerer amp Freman, Pub. Cniv. Okla., Vil. 1l, Bul. surv., No. 4. $1930, \mathrm{p} .181$.
1929. Eumeces fascintus Burt (mon linné). Oce. Yapurs Mus. Zoü. Univ゙. Mich., Nu, 201, lune 1\%. 1929, P. 6.

History. This large and conspicmo member of the genu enjoys the distinetion of having been dewerbed twien the same year, and in the same journal." under different names and in different genera.

The wher name. Plestiodon obsoldtom, appearing on page 129 (loc. cit.) Was applied ley spencer Baird and (Charles (irasd to an adult -perimen (No. 3133 ('N.N.M.) collected by John H. Clark fumder Col. J. D. (iraham) of the Mexiean Boundary (ommission. in the Valley of the Rio San Pedro bat present Devil's river'. Texas. The second name. Lamprosenus anttulatus (appearing on mage 206. was applich hy Edwarl Hatlowell to a very young. mutilated specimen, collected by Dortor Hammond below the Jomada del Muerte. Fort Fillmore, N. Mex.

The following year Hallowell 11853 ) redeseribed the adult specimen from, presumably, Baird and Cirard's type specimen different total length given) ; he likewise published a detailed deseription of the type of Lamprosaurns guttulatus in the same work. This type is now in the Philadelphia Acadeny of Natural seiences Collection. Three years later (Hallowell, 185(i) Plestiodon obsoletus was reported from Kamsas on the basis of five sperimens sent to the Phitadelphia Academy.

In the next year, Hallowell (18.57), having obtained two Kansas specimens of the young, referred them to Plestiodon guttulatus, relegating his Lamprosaneus to syonymy. Of the type he ays, "The original specimen from New Xexien was in such a condition as to render it extremely difficult to determine its true characters." Two sears later (Bairl, 18591, $\dagger$ both species were figured.

From this time on, to 1917, the two forms were considered distinct. Stejneger and Barbour (1917, page 69), in a footnote to Plestiodon guttulatus, state, "Possibly the young of obsoletus?," but in 19233 the names are maintained as distinct species. Since that time, certain authors have syonymized the forms, and. in the most recent checklist (stejneger and Barbour, 1933), they are considered as a single epecies.

In published works Eumeces obsoletus has only on rare occasions been confused with other -peries. Van Denburgh (1920) referred

[^33]specimens of Eumeces callicephalus from the Huachuca Mts., Arizonat. to this species as the young. Cope, at an earlier date (1900), confuses a young callicephalus; with this form (U.S.N.M. 9231), a specimen which Burt (1929) erroneously refers to as "a young and mutilated specimen of fasciatus." In this same work Burt refers to a specimen of obsoletus (U.S.N.M. 3151, Matamoros, Mex.) as "Probably fasciatus."

In certain museums the species has been confused with multivirgatus, and numerous specimens were found so labeled.

With the exception of Eumeces fasciatus (including laticeps and incxpectatus as treated by recent authors), this is the best known American form, due to numerous, and in some cases extensive, accounts of it that have appeared.

With regard to the relationship of this pecies I have heen somewhat in doubt. I believe that it should be considered in a section apart and may represent one of the older species of the group. The absence of any typical, white, dorsolateral or lateral lines, and the intense, uniform, black coloration of the young with the cream or yellow light spots on the head, show a lack of near relationship with any of the other species in its own group. It agrees with Eumeces longirostris in having (usually) the lateral seales arranged diagonally, but in all other pertinent characters the differ widely. In the scale pattern of the head, the character of the preanal plates, the terminal scales of the digits, the seales about the insertion of the limbs, the character and relationship of the postgenial, this form differs but little from the Skiltonianus and Fasciatus groups and may be an aberrant form derived from the common ancestral stock of these groups.

The type specimen (No. 3133 U.S.N.M.) is still in good condition save that many of the dorsal scales have slipped.

Diagnosis. A large species larking typical, median, dorsolateral and lateral white lines: young black, with white spots on upper and lower labials, and on other head seales exeept loreals and temporals; pitting on scales dim in young, but still evident in adults; outer preanal scales overlapping inner; subeaudals widened; postgenial large, bordered by a scale longer than wide; one or no postnasal; two postmentals (rarely one); nuchat small; lateral seate rows usually diagonal; usually 26 or 28 scale rows about the middle of body.

Description of species (from No. 480t, Taylor-Smith collection. Rio Crande (ity, Tex., September, 1932; adult male). Portion of
the rostral visible from above about equal to the area of the frontonatal; supranasals relatively lage, forming a median suture; frontomatal generally lozenge-shaped. in contact lateratly with the anterior loreal, widely soparated from the fromtal by prefrontals: latter large, each nearly equal to area of the fromonasal; their broadest suture with the fromonasal; suture likewise formed with the fromtal, second loreals, first loreals, first supereiliaries and first supraceulars the length of sutures diminishing in the order mamed; frontal not especially latge. somewhat shorter than its distance from tip of shout or from the posterior part of interparictal, more than one and one half times wider anteriorly than posteriorly, the sides


Fig. 47. Eumeces obsoletus (Baird and Cirard), K.U. No. 7775, Cameron Coo, Texas. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal riew of head. Actual head length, 16.5 mm .; width, 14 mm .
generally straight. or very slightly concave; frontoparietals large, forming a long median suture, widely separating interparietal and frontal: interparietal narrow, elongate, less than once and a half times as wide anteriorly as posteriorly, not enclosed by the parietals: parietals relatively short and wide; a single pair of small, differentiated nuchals.
Nasal scale somewhat smaller than supranasal, the scale divided by a suture from nostril to upper edge, and another from the nostril to the lower edge of the scale; the anterior portion equal to or somewhat smaller than posterior part, including nostril; anterior loreal narrow, higher than posterior; latter large, the anterior part of upper edge not or slightly higher than the posterior, highest in the middle, lower edge on a level with that of the anterior; two
prewhoculars, the anterior large, the second small, slender, distincty clongate, not forming a notch between fourth labial and subocular labial, but lying with the greater part of its length above the subocular; four supraoculars, three touching frontal, the last short and wide, but much larger than first; cight superciliaries, the anterior largest, nearly equal to the first surrancular, at least four times the area of the econd superciliary, and about twice as large as the last, vertical superciliary: four postsuboculars the most posterior much longer than others, about half the size, and of same general shape as, the mimary temporal; latter longer than wide, diagonally maced, about one fourth or one fifth the size of the upper secondary temporal, foming a suture with the lower secondary, thus separating the seventh labial and the upper secondary temporal; lower secondary temporal irregularly triangular, the apex pointing down; tertiary temporal slender, elongate, bordering the lower secondary, widely separated from the car opening by two postlabial scales; seven upper labials, the last largest; the four anterior with approximately the same identical elevation, the third or fourth larger than the two anterior; seventh labial separated from the auricular opening by a pair of emlarged postlabials, which are surceeded (usually) by two pairs of smaller scales; the auricular lobules are thick, flattened against the edge of ear opening rather than extenting out from edge : six lower labials, last much elongated; mental large, the length of the habial border not or but slightly larger than that of rostral; three pairs of chinshields, the anterior pair smallest, sparated (usually in contact); postgenial large, elongate, bordered on the anterior internal edge by a scale longer than wide. No portnasal on left side; a small postmatsal present on the right side; fon median upper palpebral scales touching the sunerciliaries: lower evelid with a series of vertically elongate, opaque scales (traneparent in lifel on lower lid, separated from the subocular by three or four rows of small granular seales, the lowermost fow somewhat large, frequently pigmented and suggesting a contimata row involving preuboculars and portsuboculars.

Scake about body are arranged in six or seven parallel rows on the dorsal surface of the bark, while those on the sides are arranged in diagonal rows from shoulder to groin: the ventral rows are again parallel; the scales of the two median dorsal rows widest; all dorsal rows larger than laterals or ventrals. Thirty-seren scale rows about the anterion part of neck behind ear ; 3O about constricted portion of neck; to rows behind insertion of arm; 2. rows about middle of
body: 19 rows about base of tail tat first widened subeandall. In a dorsal row from parietal to above anus 59 reales; 99 widened subeaudals, their transeree length about three and one half to four times their longitudinal length; preanal region bordered by six seales, the two median large, the outer scales overlapping the inner; about 20 seales aromed the ear opening.

Limb: well-developed. ovellapping the width of eight lateral seates when adpresed: $\underline{2}$ seales ahout insertion of the hind limb; 17 scales about insertion of forelimb; Lamellai formula for fingers: $7: 10: 13: 13: 7$. A heals thickened seale on outer side of wrist; palm covered with sereral much enlarged, flattened, tubercular seales, intemingled with others of varying sizes; lamellar formula for toes: $\overline{7}$ : 10; 15: 17; 11. Heel bordered by six large padtike seales, the three outer the larger, the most distal at the base of the fifth and first toes; sole with two, much-enlarged tubereles surrounded be numerous scales of varying size; the interalated series of scales on the fouth toe on outer side reaches to base of antepenultimate phalanx: terminal lamellae not tightly bound thout claw hase; a group of small granular seales in axilla; none lehind the insertion of the hind limb).

The pitting on the scales is erident on sides of neck, axillary region. along side of body and at side of the base of the tail, on sales of dorsal and posterior parts of upper arm, and on posterior and dorval surface of the femoral region. However, the pits are matll and few in number on each seale and are discemed with diflicultes.
Color. Above, the general color of the dorsal region may be defined as a brownish to whe-gray, qenerally olive-brown on head; a lighter gray to bluish-gray on sides; undersurface generally creamy white; the ground color of the tail is light brownish or putty color. All the seales of the dorsal surface and the upper lateral region edged with dark brownish-black to black, the color somewhat more intense on lateral side of sales, thas forming indefinite parallel lines on back and irregular diagomal lines on the doreolateral region.

Dorsal head saber chouded with darker. While latemal head seales are irequently spotted or edged with dark brown; upper latial seates with light eream -poute distinctly disernible: lower habials light, like ventral surfare: begiming in the vicinity of the auricular opening, there is ateries of indefinite brick-red -pots, which continue to groin; part of the blothe are in the more hearily pigmented doreo-
lateral region; other are lateral, on the more uniform gravish lateral ground color: upper parts of limbe with marking. like those on dorvolateral region of body; below white; dorsal lamellac on toes light, edged with deep brown posterionly.

Measurements of Eumeces obsoletus (Baird and (iirard)

| Museum. <br> Number* <br> Sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kil } \\ 730 ; \\ \mathrm{yg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 233 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{KL}}{7265}$ | $\underset{\sigma}{K}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { U.S.N. } \\ 3133 \\ ?}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{U} \\ \mathrm{~T} 696 \\ \mathrm{o}^{7} \end{gathered}$ | Field 6538 $0^{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totat lengtl. |  | 129 |  |  |  |  | $2 \times 0$ | ..... |
| Snout to vent | 3.7 | 62 | 73 | s2 | 93 | 110 | 116 | 125 |
| Snout to foreleg | 20 | 22 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 36 |  |
| Tail. |  | 67 |  |  |  |  | 164 |  |
| Width of head. | 10 | 10 | 11 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1s | 19 | 23 |
| Length of head. | 10 | 10.5 | 11 | 145 | 16 | 19.4 | 16 | 22 |
| Postanal tail width | 1 | $1)^{1}$ | 7 | 9 | 13 |  | 15 | 18 |
| Foreleg | 14 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 24.6 | 22 | 23 | 31 |
| Hind leg. | 19 | 22 | 23.5 | 30 | 26 | 33 | 35 | 45 |
| Longest toe. | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 8.5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 13 |
| Axilla to groin | 29 | 32 | 39 | 4.5 | 49.5 | 53 | 64 | 6.3 |

[^34]Color dariation. Cope (1900, p. 648) mentions some unusually marked specimen> from Kansas, forwarded by Professor Snow, and, presumably, from the vicinity of Lawrence, Kansas. Adults of some Kansas and Oklahoma secimens develop a rather elaborate distribution of the dark pigment of that a secondary pattern is developed. The deep bluc-black coloration of the soung gives place to a lightening of the color on the eenters of the scales so that at about the third year many approximate the color pattern of individuals from the southern and westem part of the range. Then as they become older there is a tendency for the segregation of more pigment at the outer edges of the scales of the first and second rows, thus defining two dark lines. with a wide (width of two seales) stripe of nearly miform olive ground color, usually without black pigment, though sometimes with the edges of seales dark; the diagonal rows on the sides likewise shift the bulk of the pigment to the lateral chles of the scales, making eeries of diagonal dark lines. In many old males the regulaty of the lines is obliterated and the pattern appear- as scattered fleck- over the dorsal and dorsolateral parte of the bedre.

The lined type of coloration is more or lese exident in most adult Kimsar seremens bate ocensonal foerimens in the extrene west), in most adult Oktahoma -pecimens, and becasiomally in those of northern Texas. These from the oruthern part of Texas, New Mexico, and Arizona have the pigment more eremly distributed and the fincation is usually not at all or only dimly disermible. The amount of pigment on the tail is sery deridedly lese in southern spermens. and in the young adults the tail may asome a pale sellow-green color without any dark pigment, and in the older ones the tail is very much lighter than the ground color of the back. However, it appears that this character is developed gradually, and progressively more dark pigment is in evidence the farther north the species is traced.

The color of the young is much the same throughout the range. This, at hatching, is a deep black orer much of the body. with the tail a vivid blue. The head scales, at least most of them, have each a creamy white sot varying in size in different seales. These dots on the top of the head are arranged so as to suggest a typical pattern of dorsolateral white lines and "bifureating lines" in the mesial region. A similar series of larger ocellated whitish cream dot- are in evidence on each upper labial and each lower, and invariably present are two larger auricular sot*, one preceding, the other posterior to, the auricular opening. Occasionally, a young specimen shows a dim, more or less continuous, white line from the nuchal sale along the neck to a point near the shoukder, and in such cases there i- likewise a light lateral line ruming from ear to a point near to or above the insertion of the foreleg. Howerer, this has been discerned in specimens from widely separated localities both in the north and south, and may appear in a single specimen of a brood where, in all the other specimens, these lines are larking. In the northern specimens, the white dots on the dorsal surface of the head border the sides of the frontal and may extend to near the nuchats: in more southern and particularly southwestem -pecimens, from southeastern Arizona, the dots do not usually follow the sides of the frontal. Oceasionally there is a white dot in the mesial region of the anterior part of the frontal, which sometimes assumes a $V$-shape. This general coloration of the young is retained through the second year. and the deep color is uwally replaced by lighter areas on the centers of the scales. Postmentals and chimshields frequently have white spots less distinet than those on the labials.

In adult males, some reddish coloration may develop on the tem-
poral region. In old males the temporal region is somewhat bulged out. It never reaches such dimensions as oceur in laticops.

S'ale cariation (approximately 260 specimens). Like other memhers of the genus, many scale characters are decidedly unstable, although in the number of scale rows about the body and in the number of scales in a row from parietals to above vent the range of variation is less than in most other species.

No specimens have been seen with parietals enclosing the interparietal; the nuchals are normally one pair; two pairs have been found only four times, while an added scale on one side has been found ten times.

The divided postmental shows only three exceptions; one each from Riley and Anderson counties, Kansas, and one from Cochise Co.. Arizona. in whieh there is a single seate.

The postnasal scale is yery unstable and is absent sporadically in southern specimens. hut generally present. while in specimens from Kansar it is generally absent. The percentages are as follows: Southem Texas, New Mexico, and Arizona specimens 97 percent present; ()klahoma, 40 pereent: Kansas 1 counting two specimens as one where scale is present on one side), $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ percent. In groups of -pecimens from certain counties in castern Kamsas the percentage is sometimes less than 4 percent present.

In Kansas specimens there is a strong tendeney for the anterior loreal to segment transersely, and this anomaly may be present on one or both siles in as many as 36 percent of the specimens. There is no apparent variation in the subcaudals, chinshields, upper labials the lower labials, howerer, are frequently reduced to five), preamak and supraoculars. The size of the frontoparietal and its relation to the loreals is very unstable in northern forms and it may fail to touch the enterior loreal in 39 percent of the specimens. The frontoparietal sery rarely is in contact with the frontal, and likewise rarcly touches the rostral. Five specimens show it contacting the rostral while only two show it in contact with the frontal, with the consequent separation of the prefrontals.

The frontal varies in length and as a comerfuence the number of the -upraoculars touching it. It appears that the posterior part only is affected. and when the frontal is shortened, the fromtoparietals are distinctly larger: the prefrontals are enlarged at the expense of frontonasal and not of the frontal.

The superciliaries vary from seven to ten, the numbers cight and nine occurring most frequently; the general relationship of size of
the first, second, and last, remains fairly stable. The number of supraculars is invariably four, but cither two or three seales touch the frontal. two being the more frequent number in the nerthem specimens: (Oklahoma, Kansas), while three is decidedly the more frequent number in southern couthern Texas. New Mexiro, Arizona). The temporal seales and the two last labials rary a comsiderable amount in size, but bear the same general relationship. The primary temporal increases in size usually at the expense of the upper secondary. It thas varies from one fourth or one fifth to nearly half the size of the latter seale and often approximates the lower secondary temporal in size. The tertiary is alway present, *howing small variation. In by far the greater number of epecimens the sixth and serenth labials are equal in area; and in certain localities, esperially in sperimens from the (iuadelupe Mountains, New Mexico and Texas, it is the usual condition. The number of postlabials is five or six, the scales arranged in superimposed pairs; rarely are the pairs united, forming larger scales; the preauricular lobules are flattened, thickened scales, two or three usually in ervidence: presuboculars are two, nomally, with one occurring several times due to the union of the two scales; a few cases show the presence of three scales, due to a segmentation of the posterior lareal. Four is the expected number of postsuboculars, but five occurs frefuently; oceasionally the lower row of gramular eyelid seales are enlarged somewhat and pigmented. suggesting a continuous poit- and presubocular series under the eye.

The number of scale rows varice from twenty-five to thirty. However. the counting is difficult due to the diagonal lines; the higher numbers. $27-28$, are most frequent in northern form: : $26-27$ more freguent in southern forms: the number of axillary rows is fewer in southwestern secimens than dewhere. The lateral rows tend in these perimens to approach a parallel with the dorsal rows. The median dorsals are always larger than other dorsals. and all dorsals are usually larger than the lateral series.

The limbs tend to touch or overlap generally in both young and adults, but in some sperimens, expecially adult frmales, the legs may fail to touch, and be separated by one or a few caler.

The character of scales on the fect and the arrangement of lamellae differ little or not at all, between the northern and somthern forms: the lamellae under the fourth toe range from fourten to seventeen. the ligher number being rare the lower numbers ofcurring most frequently:

From the above discussion of the variations in different populations it is evident that subspecifir designations could not be reasonably applied to the variants without difficulty. Were the color characters constant, particularly as regards the tark markings of the dorsal and lateral surface, one might separate a subspecies based on the presence of the longitudinal dark dorsal lines and diagonal dark lines. Unfortunately, this character may be absent in young and certain very old specimens. Southern specimens have less dark pigment on the tail, and in southwestern specimens the tail may be almost without marking; but the presence of lines on the back and an intermerliate condition of pigment on the tail obtains in certain sperimens.

As regards the scale variation we find again a lack of constancy. There is, to be sure, a great temeney to eliminate the postnasal route in the lined specimens, a tendency which increases to a very great percent as one approaches the northeastern limit of distribution, but the increase from north to south is gradual, as already stated.

As to the direction of the lateral scale rows, one discovers that there is a tendency toward the reduction of interpolated scale rows following the axilla in going south, so that the diagonal tends more toward the horizontal than the vertical; in the southwest this tendency is carried to such a point that in many individuals the lateral rows are distinctly parallel to the dorsal. This is true in perhaps 20 percent of the sperimens from Arizona, particularly those from the Huachuca and the siantal Catalina Mountains.

It is obvious that we hatse to do with subseceies or species in the making. but separable lines have as yet to be strengthened before cubspecific forms can be defined clearly enough to avoid confusion. At leart, such is my opinion.

Distribution. Eumeces obsoletus occure throughout most of the central western states and into northern Mexico. Nebraska appears to be the northernmost limit, while in the south, Santa Caterina, Nuevo León, is the most southerly locality record. The eastern records for "central and southern Illinois" (Davis and Rice, 1883), I believe, should be questioned until further evidence of its presence there is noted. I believe the form has not been reported from Missouri, but it most likely occurs along the westem border, having been raptured in adjoining countics in Kansas. The record for Arkansas (Baird, 1859, p. 39 ) may be regarded as doubtful, although it may occur along the western part. The name "Arkansas"
may refer to the river. In the west it oreure eertamly in Arizona and Colorato, hut the single resord for (tah (Yarrow, 1880) has been questioned. Woodbury (1931) does not include it in the state fama. It seems quite likely that this is a correet record. The
 himself, but no definite locality is indicated.

I have found the species everywhere bither rate or very difficult to find and collect, with the exeeption of eastern Kimsas. Here it is not difficult to obtain, for I have collected two or three dozen individuals of this species in one day-a number which I have searcely totated in nearly a half-years collecting in the southwestern part of its range. It is possible that different habits and habitat make them more difficult to capture there.


Fig. 48. Distribution of Eumects obsoletus (Baird and Girard), in Central United states.

## Locality records:

Arizons:
Cochise (6.: Ituachuca Mt. (Mich. U. 9) (M.C.Z. 2) (C.A.S. 5); Noctezuma Cañon, Huachuca Mis. (Mich. U. 1) (M.C.Z. 1) (A.M.N.H. 1) : Ash Creek (? Cañon. Huachuca Mts.) (U.S.N.M. 1); Carr Cañon, Huachuca Mts. (A.M.N.H. 1) (A.N.S.P. 3); Ramsey Cañon. Huachuca Mls. (L.M.K. 1) (M.C.Z. 1) (S.D.S.N.H. 2); Pinery (añon floor, Chiricahua Mts. ( 1 . of Cal. 1) ; Cave Creek ( U . of (al. 1).
Pima ('o.: Sabino Cañon. Santa Catalina Mts. (K.U. 1); Tucson (U.N.N.M.1)

Graham (o.: Fort Grant (Stanford 1).
Yarapai (o.: Prescott (U.S.N.M. 1): Fort Whiplle (Cones. 1875).
Indeterminate hocalities: Cave spring (Yarow, 1855); Arizona (U.S. N.M.4).

New Mexico:
Doün Aun ('o.: One mile weat Las Cruce: (M.C.Z. 1); Fort Fillmore (A.N.S.P. 1).

Sumoro ('o.: Fort Craig (M.C.Z. 1).
V'alencial ('o.: Grants (1'S.N.M. 1).
Bernalillo Co.: Albuquerque (T.S.N.M. I).
Eddy Co.: Guadahpe Mts. (Mich. U. 7) : Carlsbad (K.U. 1).
Taos Co.: Taos (K.U. 1).
Catron Co.: Near Glenwood (K.U. 2).
Unidentified locality: Bero Springs (Coues, 1875).
Utah: Only record from "Utah"; collected by Yarrow (U.S.N.M. 1).
Nebraska: Only record "Platte river" (U.S.N.M. 1).
Arkansas: Ypper Arkansas (U.S.N.M.1); Coal Creek, Arkansas (U.S.N.M. 1).
(There localities may refer to the Arkaman river.)
Color.mo:
Larimer Co.: Four miles east of Wellington (Ellis and Henderson, 1913).
W'ch Co.: Near Creeley (Ellis and Henderson, 1913); Creasewood Lake, S. E. Osgood (Eltis and Hènderson, 1913).
Las Animas Co.: Corrizo Cretk (Ellis and Hender:on, 1913).
(These Colorado localities have not been verified.)
Kansas:
Lenvenurorth Co.: North of Lawrence (K.U. 15) (Cornell 5).
Jefferson Co.: Nortl of Lawrence (K.U. S).
Douglas Co.: (A.N.S.P. 2) ; near McLouth (K.U. 30) (Mich. U. 1).
Franklin Co.: Near Ottawa (Mich. U. 5) (Ottawa U. 19).
Anderson Co.: North of Garnett (K.U. 45) ; Hyatt (K.U. 18).
Bourbon Co.: (Mich. U. 5).
Johnson Co.: (Carnegie 3).
Miami Co.: (Carnegie 1); Haverhill (Carnegie 1).
Allen Co.: (K.U. 3).
Montgomery Co.: Independence (K.U. 2).
Woodson Co.: (K.U. 2).
Shaunee Co.: Topeka (T.S.N.M.1).



Greemenod（o．：Soar Toronto（K．1．11）．

 （1「シNM．1）．
 （Mich．L．2）．
W゙tshimostom（＇o．：（Mieh．3）；Barnes（Field 1）．
 （Cornell 1）．
 （じょ゙ベ，M．1）．
 Strone City（U．S．N．M．1）．
Dickimson Co．：Carrelton（K．U．8）．
 （A．M．N．M．1）：Marion（C．S．N．M．1）．
Butler（O．：（Carnegie 1）：Chelsea（C．s．N．M．1）：Harenhill（A．M． N．H．1）．
Coudey（＇o．：Winfield（Field 1）（M．C．Z．1）（U．N．N．M．14）；Arkansas City（K．U．5）．
Summur Co．：（Burt，1928）．
Melherson Co．：（But，1928）．
Salime Co．：（K．L．1）．
Ottate Co．：（K．E．S）（Mich．L．1）：Minneapolis（A．M．N．H．1）．
Republic C＇o．：（Ottawa L＇．1）．
Ellsworth Co．：（M．C．Z．1）．
Barber Co．：（K．L．1）．
Russell Co．：（K．U．1）．
Ellis Co．：Hays（K．C．4）．
Cloud Co．：South of Miltomville（Ǩ．C．14）．
Clark Co．：Ashland（A．M．N．H．1）．
Clay Co．：Clay Center（K．L．1）．
Morton Co．：Walsh＇s Panch（K．E．1）．
Hamilton Co．：（Burt．1928）．
Morris Co．：Council Grove（T．S．N．M．1）．
If uell Co．：Mankato（I「．S．N．M．1）．
Oklahoma：
Woods Co．：Alva（K．U．1）（M．C．Z．2）．
Comanche Co．：（Okla．U．7）（Mich．U．1）．
Tulsa Co．：（Okla．U．＇）（Mich．U．2）．
Alfalfa Co．：（Okla．U．2）．
Murray Co．：（Okla．U．2）（K゙．じ．1，with eggs）．
Custrer Co．：（Okla．L＇．1）．
（＇imarom Co．：S miles sil Boise City（Okla．E．3）；near Kenton （Denver Mus． 1 ）．
Kay Co．：（Okla．U．3）；Newkirk（U．S．N．M．1）．
Harper Co．：（Okla．（＇．1）．

Ponme Co.: Quay (Ortenburger, 1930).
Osage Co.: Avant (U.S.N.M.1).
Strivens Co.: Alma (U.S.N.M. 5 ).
Texas:
Breuster C'o.: (Mich. U. 1) ; Chisos Mts. (Mich. L. 1) (Taylor 1).
Jeff Davis Co.: Cherry Cañon, Jeff Datis Mts. (Mich. U. 1); Davis Mts. (Mich. U. 1) (Baylor 1) ; 20 miles SE Toyahiral, 5,000 ft. elev. (Bailey, 1905).
Culberson Co.: Guadalupe Mts.. 6,800 ft. (Bailey. 1915) ; near Frijoles, Guadalupe Mits. (Mich. U. 4).
Cameron Co.: Brownsville (Field 1) (K.C+5).
Starr Co.: Rio (irande City (Taylor-Smith 1).
MeLemmen Co.: MeGiregor (Strecker, 1908) ; Tonkaway Creek (Baylor 4); Bluff Creek (Baylor 1).

Burntt Co.: Atkinoon Ranch, near mouth of Spring Creek (Baylor 1).
Mitchell Co.: Colorado (Baylor 1).
IV'ilbarger Co.: Harrola (Baylor 1); Vermon (Baylor 1).
Travis Co.: (Strecker, 1930).
Potter Co.: Near Amarillo (Mich. U. 2).
Duzall Co.: San Difgo (Phila. 7) (Taylor-Smith 7) (Brit. Mus. many); near Hebronville (Mich. U. 3).
Ťalverde Co.: Valley Riosian Pedro (USN.M. 1; type).
Bexar Co.: Helotes (Phila. 1) (Baylor 5)
Recre Co.: Pecos (Phila. 5).
El Paso Co.: El Paso (Fiekl 1) (Senckenberg 3); San Elizario (U.S.N.M. 1).

Eustloud Co.: Eastland (K.U. 1).
Howard Co.: Big Springs (Cope, 1892).
Hidalgo (o: : Edinhmar (Comell 2).
Mexico:

Nuero Lém: Santa Caterina (USN.M.1).
Chihumhu: City of Chihuahua (U.N犬.MI 1).

Eumeces chimensis chimensis (Cray) (Plate 25, Figs. 2. 3: Fig., 49, 5in)<br>$\therefore$ NONYM

1838. Tiliqua chinensis Gray. Ann. Mag. Nat. H2nt., II, 1835. p. 289 (hrief type description; type locality. "China").
1.39. Plestiodon sinene Dumérl and Bums,n. Erp. Gén., V. 1839, p. 704 (description; Canton: Tiliqua chimensis is given as at smomyn): Hallowell, Proce Acad. Nat. Sci.
 pp. 81, 82 (practically identical to the preceding paper).
1839. I'lestiodon chinensis Gray. Cat. Spec. Liz. Coll. Brit. Nus., 1845, p. 92 (China, Reeves Coll.).
1840. Mabouia chimensis (iantlip1. Rept. Brit. India, 1b6t, N. 53 (part.); Swinloe, Proc. Zool. Sue. London, 1870. 1). 239 (Ilainan, China. sonth of the langtsze, Formosa and Pescadores) (part.) ; and idem. p. 410 (Pescadores); Berettger, Offenh. Ver. für Naturk, おh, 24. 25, 1852-1454. PP. 119. 144 (Cinton, Chekiang, Fommosa).
") afie. P'sstiodon quinquelincatum Theobald. Cat. Rept. Mus. Asiat. Soc. Rengal, 1866 (extra number CXLVI), p. 25.
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    wther localiti*s, Taipeh and Kembung).
```

History. From the literature of this species I am umable to learn the history of the type. Gray's (18:38) recorl, "China, Britioh Muscum" is all that appears to be known, unless his notice in the Catalogue (1845) refers to the same specimen. This hardly seems likely. due to the fact that the latter Chinese specimen is colored differently. This specmen is eredited to J. Reeves 1 Bonlenger's Catalogue, 1887).

Gray bestowed the name, Tiliqua chinensis. The following year Duméril and Bibron (1s:3s) we the name Plestiodon sinenst, recegnizing in the smonymy, Tiliqua simonis Gray (Illus. Ind. Zoolog. Hardwick, and Cat. 1s3s), and Euprepis d Hadurdi Cocteau Tahl. Syop. scinc. (I have not ven the first and last mentioned papers: They list three eperimens from China, preented by the French (eon-ul. M. (iemacrt, at Cantom. (antor (1nto) deseribed a Chince -kink under the name Tiliqua rufo-guttatn. This specimen is listed by Boulenger (1s-i) as -perimen "C. Alult, Chusan, Dr. (:antor. Trpe of Tiliqua rufo-guttata." Schmidt 119271 offers the opinion that this shouk properly be regarded as a syonym of E. pulcher.

The Chinese skink long remaned a rarity in collections. hut in recent years a large mumber of ejecimens have been collected, the
largest series being that accumulated in Fukien by Clifford Pope (Pope, 1929), a series which numbered 147 specimens.

The exact relationship between this form and pulcher is still uncertain. The northern form pulcher appears to be confined to the provinces that border the Yangtze river, while the typical chinensis occupies the provinces to the south. Whether there is a territory between these two areas where the forms are indistinguishable from each other, is not definitely known; but the probability that such is the case seems quite likely.

Dingnosis. A large-sized skimk having a somewhat typical fivelined coloration, the median light line apparently not bifurcating on the head; the dorsolateral line is continuous, arising on the supraoculars; the lateral line is broken up into spots, with other seattered light spots both above and below it.
Normally no postnasal; two pairs of nuchals, and two postmentals; the lower secondary temporal is large, more or less fanshaped; subeaudals widened. Limbs elongate, usually overlapping when adpressed. Scale rows normally 24 , rarely 22 or 26 ; seven upper labials (rarely 6). Frontal normally shorter than its distance from the end of the snout, in contact normally with only two supraoculars.

Description of the species. Rostral large, the part appearing above usually a little smaller than the frontonasal (rarely larger) ; supranasals relatively small, only very little longer than wide, in contact medially; frontonasal normally separated from frontal (rarely in contact), in contact usually with the anterior loreals (rarely separated) ; prefrontals normally much larger than supranasals, forming a median suture; frontal relatively short, normally shorter than its distance from the end of the snout. normally in contact with only two supraoculars (occasionally with three) ; frontoparictals usually larger than the prefrontals, forming a long median suture; interparictal typical, usually of smaller area than the frontoparictals, in contact with the nuchal, sparating the parietals; latter seales typical, longer than wide. Normally two pairs of nuchals (frequently one or occasionally three).

Nasal rather small, apparently completely divided by a suture; normally lacking a postnasal (one rarely present): anterior loreal higher than wide, only slightly higher than the posterior; latter a little longer than high, usually touching three labials; two presuboculars; four postsuboculars (rarely five) ; one small preocular, followed by a diminishing series of minute scales: two small postocu-
lars, the lower latere: four supracolare, the second proportionally very large the first and secom in contact with frontal (rately also the third) ; normally dight superaliaries, the anterior about $\because$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times as large as last; upper median palpehral seales in contant with the supereiliates; lower eyetid with several enlarged plates, separated from the subocular by two gramular seale rows. Primaty temporal relatively mall; upper secondary temporal elongate, somewhat wider posteriorly than :mteriorly: lower seeondary nearly or equally as large as upper, the upper end widened mote than posterior, touching the primary temporal; tertiary temporal narrow. clongated. sometimes broken into two parts, oceasionally cutering ear.


Fig. 49. Eumects chimensis chinrnsis (Cray). K.U. No. 9095; Foochow, Fukien. China. A, lateral riew of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 21 mm .; width, 21 mm .

The scale- following the upper secondary temporals lacking the uniform differentiation of these scale in the elogons group; seven upper lathials normally (frequently six), the last of the series largest. The first is distinctly larger and higher than the three following (when only six. it may he stightly -maller than the one following); u-ually two potlabials, equal, or lower largest ; wo or three incon--picuous a turicular lobules; usually six lower labials: mental moderate, with a labial berder only slightly longer than that of the rostral; two po-tmentals, the anterior narrow; three pairs of chinshiekls; a large portgenial, the sates bortering imer edge much longer than wile; ear rather -mall, surmonder loy $18-20$ - wales.
scak around the neck behind car, 3 - -34 ; around narrow part of
neck, 26-29. 2s appearing mont frequently; scates around body, 으-ㅡㅇ, nomally 24 . Subcaudak wilened, athout 90 from vent to tip of tail; eight preanal railes, the medians enlarged, the laterals diminishing in size, the outer overlapping imer: the lateral postanal not or but slightly differentiated, larking all trace of a keel.

Limbs large. well-developed, orerlapping a few millimeters when adpresed; thirteen or fourteen scales about the insertion of forearm: a pair of well differentiated wrist tubereles; a group of at least six padlike palmar tubereles, the posterior largest; lameilar formula for fingers: 5: 9: 12: 11; 6. About 1 s sealer around insertion of lind limb; no trate of a patcla of enlarged, differentiated scales on posterior part of the femoral region; two pairs of large padlike heel phater. the posterior of each pair largest, sometimes separated; the marged tubereles tem to arrange themselves longitudinally in two rows passing toward the base of the fourth finger. Lamellar formula for the toes: $5: 9 ; 12 ; 17 ; 9 ;$ intercalated row of scales on fourth toe only on basal phalans; terminal lamellae not tightly bound about claws; a group of small axiliary sales, these usnally imbricate; no smatl seales behind the insertion of hind leg.

Color (in alcohol). Young (enout to vent, to mm.), dark blackish with a median ream or white line from posterior part of the interparietal. Dorsolateral line begins on the last supracoular, and follows the edges of the second and third scale rows onto the tail, continuou: (or very rarely broken); anteriorly the edges of the first and second scale rows with lighter edges, not appearing as a line; upper and lower labials with cream dark-edged spots, also one on the tertiary temporal; on top of head cach scale with a brown area, these areas bordered with black; sides with three rows of cream or white spots extending to the ear. cach spot covering one or two seales. Tail bluish; chinshield light, elged with slightly darker color; throat, breast, and undersurface of limbs light; belly grayish.

In older specimens there is a diminution in the distinctness of the light lines until. in the male specimens, the color becomes olive, brown-olive, or brown, and all trace of the jusenile pattern is obliterated. In these older specimens the head tends to become a uniform yedtow-brown (reddish in life). There is usually a darker lateral stripe that may be more or less continuous, but which grows less distinct as older age is reached. until practically no trace is left, or it may form disconnected, irregular, dark spots; in this darker area, traces of the lateral light spots may persist for many years and in males many of the seales become reddish, especially
in the neck region. In female the lines are retainela a litte longer. and in old age. when the line are lost, often the seales on the back retain darker edges.

Meanurements of Eumeres thimensis chinensis (Gray)

| Museum <br> Number <br> $\therefore x$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { Mr }}$ | MCR <br> $2900^{-}$ | M.C. $\%$ 2900. | $\begin{aligned} & 31 r \cdot Z \\ & 2900 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Mi} . \mathrm{CZ}$ <br> 20003 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} M 1 C Z Z \\ 2900 f \\ y \mathbf{y} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to wht | 11: | 10 | 11, | 104 | 10.5 | (t) | it | 4: |
| Tail. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Snour to forelag | 39 | 31 | 36 | 33. | 32 | 31 | 23 | 15 |
| smont to ese | 9.5 | 9 | 9 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark \therefore$ | 7 | 5.6 | . 5 |
| Shout to ear. | 2.5 | 2 | 29 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 15 | 1.1 | 9.5 |
| Axilla to groin. | 62 | ini | i3 | 33 | 5.5 | 53 | 41 | 23 |
| Width of head. | 19 | 1 | 15 | 1.5 | 15 | 1.5 | 11 | Q |
| Length of heard | 21 | 1- | 19 | 162 | 20 | 1.5 | 15 | 9 |
| Width of holy. | 21 | ?- | 19 | 21 | 20 | 19 | Iti | 9 |
| Foreleg | 2 | 26 | 2.5 | 26 | 26 | 2.5 | 20 | 12 |
| Hind leg. | 11 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 3 t | 33 | 26 | 17 |
| I.ongest toe. | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | I |

All sperimens from Fung-li, Chekiong, Chma.
Fariation. As is expected. Eumeces chinensis raries considerably in the details of squamation. but the percentages are such that the norm of a character is easily discemel. One difficulty has obtruded itself, and that is the lack of certanty in the determinations of forms reported in literature. Fortunately Mr. Pope's large series was available for study and it was possible to arrive at a rather accurate estimate of variation obtaining in Fukien Province. Little that is new is added to the already published data of Schmidt (1927) ant Pope (1929) on this series.
scate counts are arailable on 204 specimens. Oi these 1 so have 24 rows about the middle of the borly: 2 have 23:5 have 25 ; and 15 have 26 . Dakcolm Smith (1923) notes 29 rows on a sperimen from Hainan. The variation in the scales in middorsal rows from parietals to above the rent is from 50 to 55 , the numbers $51-53$ occurring with greatest frequency. In about 200 specimens examined, a posthasal orcurs on one or both sides only 12 times, and the postmental is single in only o cases. The second postmental in 10 caves was abormal, either rertically split, or united to one or the other of the chinshichs. The nuchals were nomally $2-2$ in about it percent of the cares, the remainder had the nuchals $2-1$
or 1-1. The constancy of the prefrontal suture fseparating frontonasal and frontal) is greater than in all other species of which large series are arailable, except Eumeces laticeps. The number of supracoulars touching the frontal hows great instability; two touch the frontal more frequently than three the percentage being apmoximately 64 and 36 , respectively. Detailed counts of subdigital lamellae of the fourth toe were not made on all of the sperimens, but in some fifty seeimens the number 16 occured in 7 e pereent and 17 im about 18 percent. The limbs tourh or overlap when adprosed in practically all specimens. However, if the specimen is stiffened or shrunken, they may fail slightly to touch. The limbs are longer in the young in proportion to the axilla to groin distance.

A few other scales showed an occasional tendency to split. In four epecimens one or both prefrontals were broken. The tertiary temporal was segmented in several cascs. On the whole the temporals were very constant, as was the presence of the single pair of postlabials. The superciliaries were usually eight or nine, eight predominating.

Fan (1931) reports on ㄴ1 sperimens from Yaoshan (Loshang and Kutchen) in which nine have a postnasal on both, three on one sicle. His statement "usually $5-5$ supraoculars" is probably an error due to combting the last supereiliary.

Remarts. In the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelpha, is a specimen purporting to be from Jara. The specimen is old and arcurate measurements could not be made. There were but 48 scales from parietals to above rent, and but ge sale rows about the body. In other characters discerned it appeared to be typical. The locality I believe is erroneous.

The absence of large scries from the more western provinces makes it difficult to estimate the true relationship of this form with Eumcess chinemsis pulcher. In Chekiang both forms necur. Those in the northern part along Hangehow bay appear to have the typieal adult coloration of pulchor, while those in the central and southern parts are typically chinensis. One perimen from Kingpu or Wusung, Hangchow Bay (L.S.N.M. Fog th, Sowerby Coll.) has limbs which overlap the length of two seales; while in other typical pulcher the limbs are relatively shorter and fail to overlap save in the rery young.

The status of Cantor's Tiliqua rufo-guttata is likewise in doubt. It comes from an island in the Chusan archipelago lying off the Chekiang coast, and might be cither chinensis or pulcher.

Mr. Clifford Pope, who is persomally familiar with the habitats of the two forms, suggests that chinensis is a typieal mountain form, while pulcher is a plains, open fielt, or river valley form. Howerer, Sun (1926) reports specimens from near Nanking (presumably pulcher) from the slope of Purple Nount:in. Sehmidt (1927) suggest that future insertigations may prove the two worthy of only subspecifie rank.

Distribution. In general this form is confined to the southeastern third of China. There are, so far as I know, not any records of the


Fif. 50. Distribution of the species of the Obsoletus group, in Eastern Asia.
species in Kweichow or Yunnan, nor authentic records in provinces lying to the north of those provinces in the valley of the Yangtze river. Many literature records are omitted here. due to the uncertainty of identification.

## Locality records:

China: (Brit. Mus. 2).
Kwangsi: (Ahl, 1930) (Werner, 1903, 17 spec.) ; Yaoshan (Fan, 1931, 21 spec.).
Kwangtung: (Werner, 1903, 10 spec.); Hongkong (Bocttger, 1893) (Brit. Mus. 3) ; Lilong (Boettger, 1882) ; Sikiang (Brit. Mus. 1); Canton ('oogt. 1924) (Mell, 1922) (Boettger, 1894) (Brit. Mus. 1); Hainan (Boettger, 1894): Hainan. The Hummocks. 25 km. from Hoi-hao (Smith, 1923. 1 spec.).
?Szchuran: Yenchingkau, Wahnsien (A.M.N.H. 1); "Man Tschow" upper valley of Min river (Vogt, 1924, 2 spec.).
Kiangsi: (M.C.Z. 1, Gordon Coll.).
Fukien: (A.M.N.H. 5) (Field 1); Futsing Hsien (A.M.N.H. 38) (U.S. N.M. 3) ; Yenping (A.M.N.H.90) ; Yenping fu (U.S.N.M. 25) (M.C.Z. 9) ; Ch'ungan Hsien (A.M.N.H. 6) ; Hokow (A.M.N.H. 13) ; Yoochow (U.S.N.M. 2) (K.U. 4): Kuliang (U.S.N.M. 1); Fuching Dist. (U.S.N.M. 3); Amoy (Field 1) ; Kuatun (Field 1).

Chekiang: Ningpo (Boettger, 1894) (Brit. Mus. 2) ; Chusan (Brit. Mus.; type Tiliqua rufo-guttata) (A.N.S.P. 2); Da-lanshan near Ningpo (Boctger, 1893); Tung li (Mich. 1) (U.S.N.M. 9) (M.C.Z. 8) ; near Mo Kan Shan (Mich. 1).
Pesculores Islands: ?(Swinhoe, 1870). (I am unable to identify Pavlor's [1932] locality "songchow Tchoeize, Mongolie Or le.")
Formosa: San shi Ka (type locality of formosensis) (C.A.S. 1); Keelung (C.A.S. 2) ; Taipeh (C.A.S. 1).

Eumeces chinensis pulcher (Duméril and Bibron)
(Plate 25, Fig. 1: Figs. 50, 51)
SYNONYMY
1539. Plesturlon pulchrum Duméril and Bibron.* Erp. Gén., V, 1539, pp. 710, 711 (type description; type lucality "China"); Gray, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 1845, p. 92 (China; J. Repres CoIl.).

1~4.. ? Tilequa rufo-guttata Cantor. Ann. Mag. Mist., 1N, 1542, p. tse (assignment here not cestain ; type not txamined).
1579. Eumeces pulchra Bocourt. Miss. Sci. Mex., Liv. 6, 1879, 1. 423.
1927. Eumeces pulcher Schmidt. Bull. Amer. Miss. Nat. Hist., LIN ${ }^{+}$, Art. 4, Oct. 11, 1927, 1P. 503-505 (rehabilitation of the name pulcher for the northern Chinese form, with a comparison of this form with typieal chinensis); Gee, Bull. Dept. Biol. Yenching Univ., 1, 1929-'30 (Jan., 1930), p. 63 (Hunan, Anhwei).
History. The specimen described by Duméril and Bibron was obtained from the Britioh Museum ("L'échantillon dont il est ici question provient du Musée Britannique: il nous a a été donné comme originaire de Chine") and may very probably have been one of the series rollected by J. Reeves, which likewise bears only the locality record "China."

The original description states:
"Le Beau Plestiodonte. Plestiodon pulchrum. Nobis.
"('aractères. Pas de plaques fréno-nasales; oreilles vertico-ovalaires, grandes, sans lobules it leur bord antérieur; parties supérieures noires; trois lignes dorsales blanches."

## Description:

"Formes: C"est avec doute, nous l'avouons, que nons inscrivons ici cette espère sous un autre nom que celui que porte le Plestiodontet décrit dans

[^35]larticle précédent: car elle nen differe que par lobsence do phaques frénonasales et de lobules on de petites écailles flothantes de lone du bord antériour de son orifice auriculaire.
"Colorotion: Quant a son mode do coloration, il samat lame satne denx raies blanches latérales de moins. L'individu que noms awons maintomant sous les yeux, et qui est en toms points somblable at men seond que nous arons obseré dans le muséum rosal d'Histoire naturelle de Londres, a be bout du musean blane et les plaques qui lo peritent en de-sus et laterakment, ainsi que les sus-oculaires de la meme couleur. mais bordés de noir. La lime Whanche de son dos ne dépasse pas loociput. C"est certamment un jeune sujet. En voici les principales dimensions."
"Dimensions: Lonqu ur totale. s" $1^{\prime \prime \prime}$; Tôle. lons.. ऽ"'; Cou, lons.. $5^{\prime \prime \prime}$ :
 long.. f $^{\prime \prime} S^{\prime \prime}$."

That the authors compared the species with "quinquelineatum" rather than with their Plestiodon sinensis is clue to the fart that the type of $P$. pulchrum is a young individual with juvenile coloration. while the available specimens of their Plestiodon Sinense three from China) all appear to have been adults and lacking jurenike markings.

Four years later Cantor (1842) described a skink from the island of Chusan as Tiliqua rufo-guttata as follows: "Bronze-colomed above, with four black zigzag lines; the sides pale yellow. with numerous red spots. Beneath pale yellow."

Bocourt (Mission Sci., Liv. 6, 1879, p. 42:3) accept- the two pecies. separating them in his Tableau syoptique (p. 423) on the basis of a single postmental scale in pulchro and a double postmental scale. in sinensis; and on the clifferences in coloration.

Boulenger (1887) placed rufo-guttata. pulchrum and chint nsis. in the single species, Eumeces chimensis (Crayt.

Schmidt (1927) on the basis of a series of seven specimens roblected in China by Clifford Pope, reëstablishes the name pulcher for the large skink occuring in the provinces of Huntm and Anhwei. He shows that, sare for the color differences, the basis of separation is arerage scale differences. He states. "This series differs from the Fukien chinensis in a number of characters, each insufficient if taken alone, to warrant the distinction of a speries (or a subspecios) hut amounting to conclusive evilence when taken together."

Besides the series studied by schmidt. I have had available for sturdy four specimens in the United stater Nittional Musemm: Nos. 31720 Shanghai. 67034 suchow. Kiangsi. 731st, twenty miles west of Shanghai, and 72916 Kimepu or Wusung. Hang Chow Bay.

From my sturly I feel that the more northern form of Eumeces
chincosis is worthy of recognition, but believe it wiser to assign it subspecific, rather than specifir, rank. Schmidt (1927, p. 505) himself regarded this as a probable relationship.

Diamosis. Closely related to Eumoces chinensis chinensis (Gray), having practically the same growth pattern and adult size, but hating three as the normal number of supraoculars touching frontal (rarely two): the number of upper labials normally six (seven occurring oceasionally). The adult females retain to a large extent the jurenile color pattern (lese distinct and occasionally partly obliterated in males.


Fir. 51. Eumeces chinensis pulcher (Duméril and Bibron). C.A.S. No. 14662 , Shanghai. A, lateral riew of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, approximately 11 mm .; width, about 10 mm .

Description of species (drawn from Field M. Nos. 7185 of and 7186 ㅇ. Ningkwo, Anhwei, Chima). Portion of rostral visible above half the size of the frontonasal; supranasals relatively short and wide, forming a median suture; frontonasal broader than long, in contact with the anterior loreal; prefrontals moderately large, forming a strong median suture; frontal only slightly narrower posteriorly than anteriorly, touching three supraoculars (abnormal in 7186, where the supraoculars are fused and broken on the right side while the third supraocular on the left side is minutely separated from frontal); frontal as long as its distance from end of snout; frontoparictals about same size as prefrontals, forming a median suture; parietals moderate in size, not enclosing the interparietal; nuchals two pairs (in the male there are three on left side).

Nasal at least partially divided by a suture, the anterior part smaller than posterior part (inclurling nostril); no postnasal; an-
terior loreal higher than wide, higher than second, broadly in contact with first and recond labials; posterior loveal moderate, its greatest height usually lese than it- Kength, wuching two marely three labials: two presuboculars; four pesteuboculars: a smatl preocular, followed lew three gramule diminishing in size: so en superciliaries, the anterior largest. often as large as first mpmocular; last of the series about half a large an firs : two shatl potoculars, the lower largest; upper median palpebral seales in contant with the supereiliaries: four enlarged plates on the lower lid. separated hy about three row of gramule from the subocular, the bower row relatively much enlarged; four supratoulars, thee normally tourhing the frontal; primary temporal moderate, longer than wide; upper seondary large, the main axis of the scale diagomal; lower -econdary triangular; tertiary small; six for lese frequently seren) upper labials, three (or four) preceding the subocular: first distinctly higher than other seales preceding the suboculars; last labial largest. urually much longer than high. separated from ear by a rather large postlabial, upen which is superimposed one frarely twol smaller seales; five lower labials; mental with a slightly larger labial border than rostral: two postmentals (the second scale broken in the female) ; three pairs of chinshields the first pair in contart ; a well-developed postgenial, bordered on its imer edge by a seale longer than wide.

Scales on body in parallel rows exeept in axilla; the median pair on back usually a little larger than adjoining row; 31 scales about neck behind ear; narrow part of neck, 27 ; around body at axilla, 31 ; around middle of borly, 23-24 rows; 17 scales about bave of tail. Pits on seales distinct, numerous behind ear. sides of neck, on arm and about arm insertion and axilla, on upper and posterior side of femur and behind insertion of hind leg; 16 scales around insertion Of amm: two well-derotoped wrist tubercles; paln with eix or eight enlarged padlike granules: lamellar formula for finger: : $5: 9 ; 11$; 10; 7. Eighteen reales around insertion of hind limh; four padlike heed tubercles with two small conical tubereles on sole: lamellar formula for toes: $6: 9: 12: 16: 10 ;$ no granular sales behind insertion of the hind leg; an interealated row of sales on digits extending nearly half the length of toe on outer side, elsewhere seldom extemeling beyond betal phalanx: the strongly compresed; eight preamal scales, the median much enlarged, diminishing in size on -ides, the outer sales orerlapping imer: car rather smalt, surrounded by about or ables.
Measurements of Eumeces chincnsis: pulcher (Duméril and Bibron)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Muspum } \\ & \text { Number. } \\ & \text { Hex. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { A.M1N.N. } 11 \\ \text { 31:26. }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A.MIN.H } \\ \substack{23.57 \\ ?} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S.N. N1. } \\ 317.20 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { U.2916 } \\ \sigma^{7}}}{\text { U.N.M. }}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A.MIN } 11 \text { ( } 31205 \\ ? \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { A.MIN.H. } \\ 31207 \\ ?}}{\text { and }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A.M1.N.H. } \\ 31203 \\ ? \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Field } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Fis } \\ \sigma^{\circ} \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent. | 81 | 85 | 59 | 97 | 98 | 101 | 102 | 105 | 110 | 113 | 115 |
| Snout to eye.. |  |  | ; .s | 7.5 | 6 | 7.4 | 6 |  |  |  | 9 |
| Snout to ear |  |  | 16.4 | 18 | 17 | 15.2 | 17.5 |  |  |  | 25 |
| Snout to foreleg. | 19 | 26 | 31 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 40 | 40 |
| Axilla to groin |  |  | 51 | 50 | 53 | 55 | 53 |  |  |  | 65 |
| Length of hear | s.2 | 12 | 14 | 15.3 | 15 | 15 | 15.5 |  |  |  | 21 |
| Width of heasd | - | 11 | 12.5 | 14.2 | 16 | 13 | 13.5 | 14 | 14 | 22 | 20 |
| Foreleg. | 12 | 21 | 15 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 15 |
| Hind leg. | 17 | 25 | 19 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 29 | 30 | 33 | 26 |
| Longest toe | 7 | 12 | 9.5 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 11 |

Color. Male dark olive above each seald thowing a lighter. bronze. posterior edge: side with mmerom doep hatk spots, each lese than hati a seale in size frepumbly romigums: sides, below dark region, bluish-graty, with lighter acale intermixed which appear to have been reddi-h in life: som and side of head generally amber color: limbs gencrally like body. with stmall black and light flerk- expectally on proximal portion. Vontal surface generally light, some of the rake of abdomen showing darker on anterior edges of scales.

Female with three dim light stripes on back, olive in color. each edged by rows of badek dot-: lateral line represented be a fow disconnected light -pots; seales on sile of head edged more or less with brown; dark stripes on side more distinet than in male. Tail colored like dorsal surface of hody.

Fariation. The number of scales around the middle of body varies from 24 to 26 rows: 24 occurring eight times; 25 . once: 26 , twice. The sables around the neek vary from 26 to $28 ; 26$, four times: 27 , twice; and 28 , five times. The upper labials are six or seven. Counting both sides, six occurs fifteen times while seven occurs seven times; the nuchals are normally $-2-2$, three oceurring on one side in two eases. supraoculars are three or four; counting both sides: three occurs four times; four occurs 18 times. Invariahly two postmentals are present; a postnasal occurs on one side in one specimen. The frontonasal is either broader than long or equally as broad as long. The frontonasal is in contact with frontal in a single case. Supraoculars touching frontal are either two or three; three ocrurring fifteen times, two occurring seven times.

In color the sariation has to do chicfly with age, the younger the -pecimen, the more closely is jurenile coloration approached. A young specimen of pulcher. when ampared with the young of typical chinensis, shows the following differences: The dorsolateral line is broken throughout its length instead of being continuous; the rounded labial spots are nearly surrounded by dark color; much less so in chinensis. Pits on scales are smatler and spead over a wider area on edge of scale: in chinensis fewer, and more segregated; light spots present on frontoparietal. The gramular scale rows on the lower eyelid distinetly larger than in chinensis. In life the red lateral coloration is probahly more pronounced in pulcher thatn in chinensis.

Remarks. The exact type locality of neither of the two forms is
known, but it is highly probable that the typical specimens of chinensis were collected on the coast near Hong Kong in the vicinity of Canton: While that of pulcher may have come from shanghai.

Distribution. It is uncertain just how sharp the line of demarcation is between the northern and southern forms of this Chinese species. The northern specimens of chinensis pulcher all appear to have been taken in the lowland regions of the ralley of the langtze river. It seems probable that rertain literature recorls of Eumeces chinensis chinensis actually belong to the northern form. (See fig. 50 for distributional map.)

## Locality records:

```
China: (type. N.H.M.P. 1).
    Kiangsu: Shanghai (C.A.S. 1)(U.S.N.M. 1); 20 miles west of Shanghai
            (U.S.N.M. 1); Kangpu or Wusung on Hangchow Bay (U.S.N.M. 1).
    Kiangsi: Suchow (U.S.N.M. 1).
    Hunan: Huping College, Yochow (A.M.N.H. 1).
    Anhwei: Ningkwo (Field 2) (A.M.N.H. 4).
```

    Enmeces kishinonyfi Stejneger
        (Plate 26; Figs. 52. 53, 50)
    SYNONYMI
    1901. Eumeces kishinouyei Stejnegrer. Proc. Biol. Suc. Wash., XIV, pp. 190, 191 (type description; type No. 22, Science College Nuseum, from Islands of Yayeyama Group, Riu Kiu Archipelago) ; and Bull. L'. S. Nat. Mus., 58, 1907, pp. 210-213, figs. 186189 (top, ventral, and lateral view of the head, and underside of foot) (gives type locality as Miyakoshima, Sakishima group; Tashiro, collector); Barbour, Proc. New Eng. Zö̈l. Club, IV, 1909, p. 64 ("Ishigakishima"); Van Denburgh, Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci., (4), 1908-'13 (1912), 1p, 227. 228 (Miyakoshima and Ishigakishima).

History. From material loaned by the Science College Museum, Tokyo, Stejneger in 1901 described this form briefly, naming it for Dr. K. Kishinoure of the Imperial Fisheries Bureau, Tokyo. The type locality is Miyakojima, of the southern Yayeyama group of the Riu Kiu (Loo Choo) chain which lies close to the large island of Fomosa. The type is No. 2., Science College Museum, Tokyo, Japan.

Stejneger later (1907, p. 210, figs. 186-189) described the species more fully, giving a detailed study of a series of specimens from the I'ayeyama group, discussing its relationship with other related forms and publishing line drawings of the head. Van Denburgh (1912, pp. 227-228) reported on seven specimens from the same group: five from the type locality; two from the near-by island Ishigakijima, from which place Stejneger had already listed specimens.

The form is one of the largest -perios of the gemus and, as pointed out by Stejneger, is closely related to Eumeces chinensis.

Diagnosis. Chatacterized be a seren-lined pattern; head with a pair of dim lines which join on the frontopariotal: a continuous dorsolateral line from the atherior supraorular, a lateral line, broken into dots on labiak and neck, contimous on the side, and a sublateral line broken into separate spots anteriorly. The pattern is retained by females until old age; it is less distinct or obsolete in old males. So differentiated porfemoral sentes: no well-differentiated lateral portanal sute: mormally a porthasal and two port-


Fig. 52. Eumeces Kishinouyfi Stejneger. After Stejneger (1907, figs. 186. 157). Sci. Coll. Mus Tokyo, No. 22; Miyakoshima, Sakishima group, Riu Kiu I-dands. Jaman. A. lateral vew of head; B, dorsal view of head. Aetual head width, 24 mm.
mentak: $24-26$ seale rows; two or three pairs of muchals; outer preanals overlap inmer: subsatulals widened: intercadated lateral lameflae on fourth toe not extending to the three distal joints: limbs "worlapping, when adpresed, nearly the length of the foupth toe

Description of species (drawn kargely from topotypes). The portion of the restral visible above equal to one half to two thith the area of the frontonasal: supranatals forming a median suture, their greatest wilth about two thirls their greatest length, touthing the postnasal laterally; fromomasa! very variahle in size, uswally broader than long, in contact with the firet lureal haterally; prefrontals moderate, forming a median suture, wally separating the frontonasal from frontal, forming a wider -uture with the semond
loreal than with the first, the suture with first supracoular not much greater than that with the first cuperciliary; frontal somewhat wider anteriorly than posteriorly, as long as or very slightly longer than it- distance from the eme of the snout, not or very slighty constricted medially, usually tourhing three supracolare (rarely only twol; frontoparietals usually elongated, large, excecting the interparietal in area, forming a median suture usually more than half their length: parietals large, typical, narrowly separated posteriorly; interparietal narrowly pointed behind. its length exceeding its width.


Fig. 53. Eumects kishinonyci Steineger. C.A.S. No. 21724, Ishigakijima, Riu Kiu T-lands, Japan. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length. 15 mm .: width. 12 mm .

Nasal moderate, very distinctly dividel, the anterior part large and triangular. posterior part small, narrow: the nostril large, the greater part anterior to a vertical line drawn from the labial end of the suture between rostral and first labial; postnasal usually present; first loreal much higher than second; second much longer than high, sometimes approarling once and two thirds as long as high; two presuboculars; one preocular, slightly above comer of crelids, followed by a second smaller seale: posterior corner of eyelids terminating between the two small postoculars; median upper palpednal scales in contact with superciliaries; four (rarely five) postabocular: : usually five enlarged, opaque phates on lower eyelid, separated from subocular by thee rows of small srutes; seven upper labiats, the last largest, four preceding the subocular, the first highest and of an area equal to, or only sightly less tham, that
of :my of the other three: -ixth no larger in areat than fifth exubocularl: primary temporal elongate, sometimes bearly one and one hati times at long at wible touthing both soomolar lemporals;
 larger in areat tortiary emall, searely differentiated from the supat-
 colge of ear. separated from car lobule be a rery -mall preanicular - cute boming equal suture with serenth labial amel howeremmary tomporal: soond postathial superimposed above the porterior end of lower postabial, -eparating the lower secondaty temporal from the ear: four supatorulars, watly three tourding frontal; seren -uperaliaries. the anterion three times the size of seomal; lats seeond in -ize : two or wewally three paire of nuclats.

Nental nommal, its labial extent sightly groater than that of rostral; wo pormentals; three pairs of chanshede, the thind pair whdest transwerely: thind pair followed by a greatly elongated postgenial, bordened on it: inner side anteriorly by a smatl elongate seale.

Eighteen to twenty-two scales about ear opening; median dorsal series of seales widened, somewhat larger than lateral soales dorsal and lateral seales of adults usually show five very dim striae which follow on the surface of the sale abore the longiturdinal camals in the scales: scale rows immediately behind ear. 28-30; narmow part
 middle of belly ; some of the lower. interalated axillary seale rows extend back to near midlle of borly: about 17 rows about base of tail: 47 scales in a row from pariotals to above anus: subcaudal serise strongly widened transversely : lateral postanal not or scarcely differentiated: six preanals, the median greatly enlarged, the two outer pairs differentiated: the outer seales overlapping inner; head normal or somewhat widened fold male): limbs long, the adpressed hind limb reaching elbow of the adpresed forelimb; toer relatively -hort: two strongly differentiated wrist tuberele and twelve large pahmar pads more or les contigums ; lamellar formula for fingers: $\overline{5}: 8: 10: 10: 7$; usually two pairs of parllike sutes on hed, separated medially bey small gramules; lamellar formula for toes: $6 ; 8 ; 13: 17$; 11: a series of entarged parlike subimbrisate or ronisal sales from heed to base of fourth toe, and a similar row to third toe; the interratated -ries of scales between dorsal and rentral lamellae of the fourth toe on hasal joint only : a small group of granular axillary coales; sometimes a single row of gramule behind insertion of hind leg.

Color: Young ( 84 mm ). Dorsal coloration olive-brown, the srales showing some darker areas where they adjoin white for (ream) lines. A median light line from first nuchals to some distance on tail. This seems to divide and dimly comeert with two dimly defined lines which follow the lateral frontal sutures and join on the frontoparietal; dorsolateral light line begins on the anterior supereiliary, follow the third scale row, occupying a half of the are: (sometimes encroaching on the outer edge of the second scale rowl, continuing on tail for two third or more of its length. A series of irregular cream spots on labials bark of ear to above arm, where they now fom a continnous lateral series which extends along the fifth or edges of the fifth and sixth scale rows; a deep brown lateral stripe between the dorsolateral and lateral lines, darker on the outer cdges; a sublateral brown stripe, bordered by a series of crem spots or a contimuous line, is usually evident ; ventral surfaces dull cream.

In adult females the color is more olive, the darker areas on the scales tending to form distinct lines. In the larger males the lines become almost obsolete, the brown lateral stripe represented by a few. darker, scattered spots.

Variation. Twelve specimens of this species have been available and a study of them confirms the fact that, while certain differences exist between specimens from different islands, the number of speci-

Measurements of Eumeces kishinouyci Stejneger

| Muspum, <br> Number. sex |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { USN.MI } \\ \text { 340.i } \\ \sigma^{\prime}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAS } \\ & 21722 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{q}{\text { C.A.S }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.A. } \\ 21.26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { A.M.N. } \\ 8_{8}^{7}}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CA.S } \\ 21719 \\ \sigma^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.A.S } \\ 21721 \\ 0^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{O} \\ 2 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{A} \\ \hline}}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent... | 164 | 140 | 134 | 131 | 12.5 | 116 | 96.2 | 82 | 80 |
| Tail | 198 | 102 | 133 |  |  | 104 |  | 131 |  |
| Snout to eye... |  | 12 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 9 | 11 | 7.7 | 7 | 7 |
| Snout to ear. | 39 | 30 | 2.5 .2 | 24 | 25 | 26.2 | 18 | 17 | 16.2 |
| snout to foreleg. | 55 | 45 | 38 | 3.5 | 39 | 37 | 31 | 27 | 27 |
| Axilla to groin... | 78 | 73 | 73 | 6. | 6.5 | (63) | 45.7 | 43 | 36 |
| Width of head. . | 34 | 25 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 24 | 14 | 11 | 13 |
| Length of head. . | 31 | 26 | 23 | 22 | 21.5 | 22 | 17 | 15.5 | 15 |
| Width of boty.. |  | 30 | 27 | 23 | 22 |  | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| Foreleg. | 45 | 40 | 3.7 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 25.5 | 22 | 22 |
| Hind leg. | 61 | 53 | 50 | 50.5 | 17 | 45 | 37 | 34 | 31 |
| Longest toe. . | 21 | 18 | 1.52 | 15.2 | 16 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 11.5 |

Nos. $34080,34081,21724,21726$ are from Ishigakijima; No. 21226 is from Yonakunijima; Nos. 21719,$21 ; 20,21721,21722$ are from Miyakojima.
mens is so small. and the differences of such a character, that no subspecifie separations -em warmantal.

A spermen from Yonakmijima (A.M.N.H. 21226, shont to rent meaturement 116 mm .) hat the head wilth exeerding the length bex two millimeters, a condition that appears only in vere old males. That this specmen is smile is axdenced be the ehrivelled comdition of the teto. A male from Lathakijima (130 mm.) show the head slightly wider than long, hut in an older male from the same island (16t mm.) the head width exceels the kengh by four millimeter.

It would appear that the Conakmijima specimens never attain the size of specimens on I-higakijima or Miyakojima. Of five sperimens from Diyakoima, thee lack the postnasal, although one of the three has a part of the porterior nasal partially separated. In all other specimens the postna-al is normally present. The number of muchal: is cither two of three, the numbers 3-3 occurring three times. -2 three times and $3-2$ sis times. There are two postmentals Howerer in two specimens from Miyakojima the second postmental is rertically split, and in one of the two specimens, fused on one side with the first pair of chin-hields. The superciliaries vary between seven and nine, the numbers $7-7$ occurring twice, $7-8$ twice, $8-8$ five times, $7-9$ once, and $8-9$ once. In three specimens the frontonasal is in contact with the frontal. The usual number of supraoculars touching the frontal is three. the numbers 3-3 occurring nine times; $2-2$ twice: 3-2 once.

The number of subdigital lamellae on fourth toc varies between 15 and 18 , the numbers 15 occurring once, 16 nine times, 17 twelve times and 18 once.

Very little variation occurs in the character of the temporals. In one specimen the upper secondary is considerably enlarged and the tertiary broken into two sales.

Remarks. My reasons for recognizing this form to a specie- distinct from Eumeces chinensis are based on the fact that the species is much latger larges eperimen in 198 Eumeces chinensis, 197 mm .; largest kishinouyei 164 mm.): the doral sates tend to develop striations in the adult ; the pitting on the lateral seales is very much more strongly pronounced; the limbs are distinctly longer, overlapping. usually, nearly the length of the fourth finger; the presence of a seven-lined color pattern in the young, with a pair of curving lines on the head, more or lese continuous with the median line, and the retention of thi pattem throughout the greater part of the
individual'- life: and the prevene normally of a postnasal scute. Howerer, kishinougri is allied more closely to chimensis than to any other known speries.
bistribution. The species as here defined appears to be confined to the Yayevama and Miyakat groups of the Riu Kiu Iskands, these the southermmost ixands of the chain, lying close to Formosa. Fperimens are known from the latger inlands. (see Fig. 50 for (distributional map.)

Locality ircords:*
Miymojima: (science (olleqe Tokyo 2. incluting type) (C.A.S. 5).
Ishigukijime: ((…S.2) (U.今.N.M. 2) (M.C.Z. 1).
Iriomotejime: (sicience Collequ Tokyo 3).
Yomukumijime: (A.M.N.H. 2).

## MELTIVIRGATC心GROUP

To this group 1 arsign five small speries extending from Nebraska southwest to Nayarit, Mexico, including sinaloa, Sonora, Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico and Chihmahua.

The color pattern in the group is very variable, ranging from a most elaborately marked species. multivirgatus, to the uniformly olive-colored humitis; in a third a median line is wanting and the dor:olateral and lateral light lines are well defined (gaigei).

That none of these forms are color varicties or subspecies may be affirmed since their ranges overlap and three may occur in the same gencral area (humilis, multivigatus, and gaigei from Guadelupe Mts.).

With the exceptions of multidirgatus, all are quite rare in collections, cach being known from one or only a few sperimens.

The group may be characterized as small or medium-sized members of the genus, of variable coloration and markine; parietals usually not enclosing interparictal (enclosed in parulus); one (or not postnasal: postexenial bordered by a scale longer than wide on the inner margin; the limbs, barely touching in the young, are widely separated in adults; postmental single or douhle.

Key to the sifecies of the Multidrgates Groct
A. Postmental single.
B. Nopostnasal pesent: frumg with at least short dorsolateral and lateral lines: palpebrals and superciliaries in contact generally; the primary temporal large, approaching size of the upper secondary temporal.
C. Parictals enclose interparietal: 24 sale mows: scales bordering ear do not overlap it; max. size. 51 mm . (Western Mexicri).

Eumects parıulus Taylor, page 363









 scales under tail more whened：mediom hate prosent or absent；forsolateral lines
 seales abways sparatel partally from supmoliaries．

 hase：shont to vent，tif mom，distinct dorsulateral and latomal lines，with a lat－


Eumeres gaiget Taylor，Hage 353
BB．A rather dim，hroad，vecombary median line develops with age，or may he
 dateral line to forearm or to hind lege if not，a secondary line develops on site with age：gromad color protheos brown lines butatering the light lines and as a result secombary light homes（monally quite light in colur）appear lee－ tween the otlar darker limes：postnasal normally present（occasionally absent）： scale rows， $24-2 f^{\prime}$ ；max．size． 73 mm ．（Nelomaska and Wyoning somth through


# Eumeces multirirgatus（Hallowell） 

（Plates 27，2タ：Figs．54，55，5ヶ）
SY゙NONYME
155：．Plestiodon multwirdatum Hallowell．Proc．Acad．Nat．Sí．Phila．，15．5．1，21．5（type description；type lncality＂Posa creek， 460 miles west of Furt Riles，Kiansas＂frob－ ably Cuw creek，Larmer Co．，Colo．］：Hammond Coll．）；Garman．Bull．Essex Institute， AV，lan．9，1854，11P．14－15（Hacod with Eumeces）：Stejneger and Barbour．Check List N．Amer．Amph．Rrpt．， 1917 ， P ． 7 ；Pratt，Vert．Anim．U．A．，1923，1）．207．
1858．Plestiodon leptorrammus Baird．Proc．Aead．Nat．Sci．Phila．，185s．P．256（type duscription；type locality Platte River Valley［Nebraska？］Lt．Warren．Dr．Hayden Colls．）；Gaman，Bull．Essex Inst．，XVI，Jan．9，1884．リ！．14－15（placed umper E＇tmeces）．
 tion：type locality samd Hills of Platte river［Nobranka］：Lt．Womert and Dr． Hayrlen Colls．）；Garman．Bull．Exas Inst．，XVI，Jan．4，1s＝4，far．14，15（placed under Eumeces）．
1975．Eumecs multivitgatus Come．Bull．L．․ Nat．Mus．，Nu．1，1－75，p．4．s：Cragm，








 19月0，p．6．55］，the brackut shmlal mot incluth the Texas－puc．Nu．8lo2）；Ditmara，


 repords，not Colorado［patt］）：Bntt，Tians．Acad．sci．Sif．Louis，DXVI，No．1，Aug．

 List N．Amer．Amph．Rerlt．．Bl Eil．，1933，1．A．

18:5. Eumects leptogrammus Cope. Bull. L. S. Nat. Nus., No. 1, 1si5. 1. 45; Cragin, Kansas Acad. sci., VII (1879-'80), 1880, p. 120; Yarrow, Bull. L. S. Nat. Mus., No. 24, 1882, p. 40 (" 100 mi . east of Fort Laramie, Wyo."); Boulenger, Cat. Liz. British Mus., 1II, 1887. p. 378 (regards epipleurotis as being the adult of leptogram mus) ; Cole, Ann. Rept. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1896 (1901), p. 651, fig. 130; Ellis and Henderson, Unix. Colorado Studies, X. No. 2, 1913. pp. 80, 81; Strecker, Baylor Bull., XVlII, No. 4, 1916, p. 26 ; Ditmars, Reptile Buok, 1915. pr. 197, 198.
1875. Eumeces inornatus Cope. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 1, 1875, p. 45; Cragin, Kansas Acad. Sci., VII, (1879-'80), 1880, p. 120.
1880. Eumeces epipleurotis Cope. Bull. U. S. Nat. N1us.. No. 17, 1880, pp. 40, 41 (type description; type locality "Northem Boundary of Texas," and "Nebraska at Ft. Kearney"): Cragin, Kansas Acad. Sci., VII (1879-'80), 1880, p. 120.

History. This species, one of the most distinctive of all that are found within the borders of the Cnited States, considered on the basis of coloration and markings, was described as Plestiodon multicirgatum in 1857 by Hallowell, from a single specimen collected, presumably. in northeastern Colorado by Doctor Hammond, U. S. A., at Cow creek, " 450 miles west of Fort Riley" (hansas).

The following year Baird again described the species under two separate names, Plestiodon leptogrammus and Plestiodon inornatus, from material presumably collected in western Nebraska by Lieutenant Warren and Dr. F. V. Hayden, the type locality being "Platte River Valley" for leptogrammus, and for inornatus "Sand Hills of Platte." These were separated on the basis of a variation in the character of the postnasals, and on color differences. It would appear that Baird was maware of the precious description by Hallowell of multivirgatus.

In 1880 Cope again described the form under the name Eumeees epipleurotis from the "northern boundary of Texas" and from "Nebraska at Fort Kearney," Later the scond specimen was listed under multivirgatus (Cope, 1900).

Boulenger (1887) makes cpiplourotis a synonym of leptogrammus but fails to allocate inormatus and multirirgatus, and merely lists them in a footnote (p. 365) without further comment. Cope (1900) failed to agree with Boulenger's disposition of the forms and maintained three of them as distinct, but placed inornatus as a synonym of multivirgatus.

The four-lined pattern (with a dim secondary median line and a suggestion of secondary bifurcating lines on the head), is usually largely obscured by the secondary pattern of dark and light lines. The brown pigment of the ground color forms a series of dark lines leaving a ground color of secondary light lines. It seems to present something of the 'desert coloration' of many mammals and reptiles. Unfortunately I have not cxamined any live or freshly preserved young material and am not aware whether the tail of the young is
blue or not: the foung in the presered material examined have tails with only a -ugestion of hue. Int they are probably dark hhe in tife.
 are puzzling. Ther are in excellent condition and still have the chief color dharacteristice destibed bey Cope (1900). The type description does not mention color, but the name suggests the lack of markinge. Thee serimens are pea green Ridgewayst, uniformber colored orer the dorsal surface of head and body to the tail the regenerated tail :mproaching buff), while the ventral surface is generally a much lighter shade of pea green, the chin and throat cream. There are no lines or markings discernible save a doubtful -uggestion of the dorsolateral light line. As these specimens are of medium size. both 53 millimeters from snout to vent, the loss of markings camot be construed as a change brought about by old age. The measurements, given dsewhere, show no variations from the typical.

The types of Eumeces epipleurotis are in the U. S. National Museum Nos. 9219. Fort Kearner, Nebraska, one specimen [formerly two?], and 5263. Northem Boundary of Texas). The second of these two specimens is in a very bad state lacking all trace of color patterin, and cannot be identified with certainty. The other specimen. 9219, is in good condition. This specimen I designate as the lectotype of Eumcces epiplcurotis, since it appears to be the -pecimen from which the trpe description was drawn. Cope. 1900 , p. 6.71, was under the wrong impression-that No. 526.3 was in existence. This lectotype is a very typical specimen of Eumeces multivirgatus. The other secimen camot be certainly identified, but quite arobably it is a specimen of multivirgatus.

The types of Eumeces Ifptogrammus apparently are lost : at least they are no longer to be found in the National Museum collections - 1 designated. Cope ( 1900 ) lists the type number given by Baird No. B119, with the following notation: "10 specimens. Raming Water. Mebraka, Lieut. Warren." but does not designate them as the types. Judging by the type derriptions and that given by Cone 19001 . Leptogrammus is all aboolute synomem of multiviryutus.

The type of multitirgatus i- No. !9:31, Acalemy Natural Seiences of Philadelphia. It is in exerllent condition, save that a few scales are miswing on the side amb abdomen. Hallowedl sated that the type locality was Pow arev, 460 miles west of Fort Riley, Kan.

Fortmately the original tag is present and reads "Cow creek." The "Posa" is either a typographical error or an error of Hallowell in reading the label. It most probably refers to Cow creek, Larimer Co., Colorado, which is roughly this distance, though somewhat north of direct west.

It seems certain that leptogrammus and opipleurotis should be thrown into synonymy. I am less certain in regard to inornatus, since the total absence of the elaborate color pattern (provided it has not been brought about by preservation) suggests change in the species that, were it a prevalent condition, should receive nomenclatorial recognition. In June, 1932, I made a journey into Nebraska to study multicirgatus in the field, hoping that new collections might throw some light on the matter. Five dars spent in intensive search resulted in my finting not a single individual, although a large variety of possible habitats were examined, and this in more than thirty localities between Ogallala and Scott City, Neb. In this work I am reluctantly placing inornatus in the synonymy of multivirgatus.

Diagnosis. A dim secondary median line extending length of body, apparently hifureating on the interparietal; the dorsolateral light lines distinct; the laterals present to arm; additional secondary dark and light lines formed by ground color also present, growing more distinct with age.

A variable postnasal (oceasionally absent or greatly reduced), small or large, separating, or not, the anterior loreal from the labials; normally two postmentals (rarely one); $-4-26$ scale rows around body and 61-65 seales in row from occiput to above anus; generally light gray to grayish-white above with, usually, ten brown longitudinal lines of unequal width, several extending to near tip of tail.

Description of species (drawn largely from specimens from northeastern Colorado). Portion of the rostral visible from above somewhat lese than extent of the frontonasal, from which it is separated by the length of the supranasal suture; supranasals not greatly longer than wide, in contact or not with the postnasal; frontonasal broater than long, masully separated from the frontal, touching the anterior loreal laterally; prefrontals nentagonal, the imer sides usually smallest (quadrangular when frontonasal and frontal are in contact) ; frontal much wider anteriorly than posteriorly, slightly constricted at a point about one fourth of it: length from the posterior cond, and in contact with three supraoculars (the third rarely
exdudedt: frontoparictals moderate forming a modian suture one third of their length; parietals moderate, not enclowing the interparietal: latter, small, not greaty attemated; nomally two methals, the anterion widest but posterion pair maty be hoken up into four ve:les almost identieal with the doreal neek sealex; nasal small. divided. the anterior bar laner than posterion hat mot greatly wider: the motril is piered entirely posterion to the sumbe of first labial and rostral, and is directed downand and forward; postnatal variable, from size of pin peint to a sale as large as the redured upper loreal, am may or may not oparate the anterior


Fit: 54. Enumess multiritutus (Hallowell). E.H.T. Coll.: Weld Co., Colorato: Barry, collector. A. lateral view of heal; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length. about 9 mm .; width, about 8 mm .
loreal from the labials, occasionally in contact with the supranasals. rarely absent; posterior loreal large not reaching as high as anterior, its shortest side foming a suture with the prefrontal; four supraculare, the first and last of nearly equal area, the three anterion touching the frontal; the supercilaries vary from five to seren, the usual number being six; three or four median palpebral scales fom direct sutures with the superciliaries: others semated be a series of small grambes; two or three basally three) entarged opate phates on lower exelid, separated from subocular by two rows of erander: two presuboculars and four or tive pesteuboculars; seren upper labials, the lant largen, the fifth and sixth not differing greatly in area; of the anterior four, the fourth is matlest, and the first or third largest; one or two anterior labials touch the postnaral; one, two or none tonches the first loreal; and numbers two and
three touch the second loreal: last labial followed by a postlabial, which is separated from ear by one or two small preauricular scales; erlge of ear with one or two small lobules; the primary temporal small, generally rectangular: upper secondary much the largest, much elongated; lower secondary somewhat fan-shaped, touching the primary temporal, separating seventh labial from the upper secondary temporal; the tertiary temporal is small, usually not strongly differentiated from the neek seales separated from ear by one or two scales: mental with a mucly wider labial border than the rostral: two postmentals; normally six lower labials; chinshields topical, varing somewhat in relative length and width, the third pair followerl by an elongate scale hordering lower labials, and another seale bordering the former, of same shape but very much smatler.

Ear opening moderately small, 17 to 19 seales surrounding the opening; the line separating postaturicular series from the lateral neck seates nearly rertical; that separating the lateral neck scales from the suprabrachials is slightly anterior to and above insertion of foream; the seales on side of body parallel save in the suprabrachial and the axillary region, where they are strongly diagonal. scales about neck behind ear. 30-33; on neck, 27-30; behind arm, $31-33$; about middle of body, $24-26$ : about base of tail (postanal), 19-20; in a row from occiput to above anus, 61-63; one or more of the intercalated axillary scale rows may continue a distance greater than the length of forearm, behind the axilla; the seales of the doral median rows somewhat larger than the adjacent scales; subcaudal scales, 93-95, distinctly widened; median preanal seales largest, overlapped by the adjoining seals, which are in turn overlapped by the much smaller outermost pair.

Limbs small, relatively weak, orerlapping when adpressed in small, young sperimens, but separated the length of hand (or more) in older and adult specimens: 12 scakes about insertion of hind limb; lamellar formula for fingers: $5: 8 ; 13 ; 10 ; 6$; for toes: $6 ; 9$; 11: 13; 9; no enlarged tubercular scales on sole save on border of heel; those about base of fourth the enlarged and flattened.

Color and markings. Above generally a puttr-colored gray of varying shades, with series of dark-brown lines; the dorsolateral lines are distinctly lighter, the median less so, and the lateral rarecly ran be differentiated from the light lines of the ground color; the median line broad, covering two half rows of scales, growing lese distinct on the oceiput, forking and running forward
to rostral at dim shadowy light line on the olve-brown of the heald: this berdered lateratly by two deep brown lines which cover the outer halif of the median row :med imer third of the second; outer two thise of the second row light ground color. forming a distinct stripe: imner fourth of the third row brewn, forming a brown line of more or lese continuous, small, triangular pots; the median half of the third row traversed by the dorsolatema light line. which arises on the posterior superciliaries and continues to the tail; outer fourth of the third row brown, forming a line of brown triangular spots more or less continuous; imner half of fourth row light ground color, outer half of the same dark brown, extending over onto the fifth row; this bordered by another light line which is the little-differentiated lateral light line which appears to begin near or on the rostrat; below this the color becomes the more or less uniform greenish-white to crean coler of the entire under surface; the chin underside of the arms. preanal region, and a stripe under the tail can be discerned as lighter: the tail above is somewhat lighter than the ground color of the body and the dark and light stripes of the body can be followed sometimes to the tip of the tail.

Variation. As has been already suggested, the postmasal may be entirely wanting; 5 specimens, three from Gehenes Mt.. N. M.;

Measurements of Eumeces multivirgatus (Hallowell)

| IIuseum <br> Number* | $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{M}$ | $\underset{6}{\mathrm{D} . .11}$ | I. | $1$ | $\mathrm{I}$ | $11.3$ | D.M. | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{M}}$ | U110 | I.S.N.N. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | 1.3 | 62 | 62.5 | 60 | in | 54 | Sh | 5.3 | 33 | 53 |
| Tail. |  |  | . | 106 | 95 | 94 | . | 91 |  |  |
| Snout to foreleg.. | 19 | 19 | 1,.5 | 19.7 | 17.0 | 19 | 15.2 | 16..) | 16.2 | 16 |
| snout to ear | 10 | 10.5 | 10 | 111 | 47 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9 | 4.2 | 9 |
| Snout to eye. | 4.3 | 4.2 | 42 | 43 | $t$ | 4 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Axilla to groin..... | 37 | 35 | 36.5 | 36 | 33.5 | - 33 | 33 | 34 | 30 | 30 |
| Width of heend. | 9 | 9 | 4 | 9 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | , | $\checkmark$ | 7 | 7 |
| Lengtis of head. | 9 | 9.3 | 9 | 9 | 9. | 2 | $!$ | 9 | -. 2 | s |
| Postanal tail width. | 7 | 6 | - | 65 | ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | S, | 5.2 | ${ }_{6}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | 6.2 |
| Foreler. | 14 | 135 | 14 | 1.5 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 12: | 13.5 | 12 | 13 |
| Hind legr | 15 | 12.5 | 1, | 15 | 1 ; | 17.5 | 1.5 | $1 \mathrm{fi}_{2}$ | $1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 15 |
| Adprowenl limbersep- <br> arated. | 6 | ${ }^{6}$ | 5 | $\checkmark$ | . | 「 | - | 110 |  |  |
| Longest toe ........ |  | $\therefore 2$ | (i) 6 | $\therefore \therefore$ | $\therefore 3$ | i | 5 | $\therefore 2$ |  |  |

[^36]one from the Guadalupe Mountains, Texas, and one from Greeley, Coborado, show this condition on both sikes, while several Nebraska specimens show the scale absent on one side or the other; specimens having a large postnasal in contact with a second loreal and the supranasal occur in the same locality as those with very small onses, in contact with neither scale. The number of postmentals is very constantly two; in 43 perimens examined three only show a single large undivided postmental. Two of these are from Nebraska (Sioux Co., and sand Hills of the Platte river) ; one is from Peros, sim Miguel, N. Mex. The lamellae under the fourth toe vary from 11 to 15 ; the highest numbers, 14 and 15 , are from the southern part of the range in New Mexico, while the numbers 12 and 1:3 are most common in the northern part of the range. The number of upper and lower labials is seven and six, respectively. A single specimen, Weld Co., Colo., has six upper labials, the third and fourth being completely fused, while a single specimen from near Walsenberg, Coto., has eight upper labials on one side, seven on thre other; twelve specimens from several widely separated loralities have the frontonasal touching the frontal.

The temporals show but little variation, but the character of the preauricular sules and the postabials varies considerably. Usually there are four of these scales, but sometimes there are but two, three, or very rarely one enlarged scute.

I am umable to distinguish the sexes by color alone. The lateral postanal seute is but stightly differentiated on the males.

The species thes not attain a very large size. The maximum size seem is, shout to vent measurement. 73 mm . Itail partly regen-erated)-that of a specimen from Estancia, N. M., collected by Hobart Smith. Specimens next in size are 64 mm ., one from Sioux county, Nebraska, one from southern and one from northeastern Colorado.

Eight sperimens, ranging in length from $40-60 \mathrm{~mm}$., having complete tails, show the average relation of tail length to total length to be approximately . 68 ; of the sout to forearm length, in body length, .32: foreleg to body length, .23; hind leg to body length, . 30 ; axilla to groin distance in borly length, .59. In very young specimens these proportions vary considerably from those of the adult; the tail is. 5 fi percent totat length; snout to foreleg in body length, 32 ; foreleg to borty length, 27 ; hind leg to body length, 34 . Thus it appears obvious that the limbs are proportionally longer in the young than in the adult. In the young the legs overlap or touch when atpressed.

The following are characters of the two type of inomatux. C.N.

 median enlaredt: foral divided, with $1-1$ porthatal-, hateal divided.


 If potorremmuse ate at mollows: In there the typieal line atre extremely dim, insteal of being etrongly momomed as in the young of most opecio- that are - triped. The median line appears as a very dim eries of transerely widened light areas on the posterion enges of the median a alle rows: and no more distinct than a similar -eries on the seemd seale row: the median line at the muchal gives off two very dim branches which go forward to the interparietals. where they stop-then again begin on the sides of the frontal. gradmally growing more definite, and forming a widened soot on the outer edge of the prefrontal and contiming forward to the rostral. There is no such intensity of light color as obtains in the dorsolateral or lateral lines.

The dorsolateral line ir, however, relatively distinct: arising on the first superciliary it continues back distinctly, showing on the head. becoming less distinct on the neck, but continuing to the tail as a series of transversely widened whitish dots along the third seale row: the labial region is white and the line. very distinct, passes through ear and continues well-defined to foreleg, and on the side of the boty is represented by dim light dots on the lateral scales. Tlie tail was probably bluish in life.

The specimen- are somewhat discolored by alcohol. but, while the original colors are in doubt, the markings presumably remain as in life. socemens preserved in formalin lose their coloration and the marking fan sarcely be discerned.

Lemartis. Mr. Lewis V. Barry of the Denver Museum has found the species to be common and casily collected in Wrad comnty and in Denver counts, oceuring in racant lots in Denver City. He has fornithed me with numerous splendidly preserved specimens, and numerome moter. He states:
"May 13. 1931. on a triy, for rattemake- near Milom reservoir in Weld county. wr found the first aink. Later the sume day four more were collected. Thes were all foum under cow dung in a pairie-log town. Feding on ant larva.

- Another decimen wa- laken near (irover in Weld county by Donald Watcon. who was collecting bird in the region. He shot a Desert sparrow Hawk, and the bird had one oi these reptiles in his claws."

The -peris i- oviparous: an Ameriean Museum specimen (A.M.
 proximately 13 xismillimeters. ereatest length and width.
bistribution. The present known distribution ai Eumeces multiFont is inchudes western south Daketa, Western Nehraska, eastern Wroming. catern Colorado. northwestern Texas, northern New Dnatoo, and mothern drizona. It has, apparently, not yet been daken in Oklahomat or Kiansas. The eastern Kimsat reeords (Burt, 10ㅡ․ mons be comsidered as extremely doubtiul. Burt. quoting stojnexer in letter, scopt, 9. 1920. stater:
 identitication. Whe fem Labetto (oo. I identitied as E. . piphemetis, which l now considur idntical with E. maltivirgatus. Another from Anderson county 1 dantition as E . h phogrammas. This I ahon comsider a syonym of $E$. multi2'rgotus."
(bagin 11- 1 ) lists a pecimen irom Neosho Falls. Woodson comatr. None of the peemens are present in the eolleetions of Kim-i- Lniversity or Washburn College. where one presumes they would le: Were the eorrectly identified one might presume they Were fiom other localities. It is quite likely that the form will erentually he fomd in western Okhahoma and westem Kansas. Unlese the Kimsas specimens, at itentified, can be resurreeted and


Fig. 55. Distribution oi Eumects multizirgatus (HaHtowetl) and Eumeces gaigei Taylor, in Southwestern Lnited States.
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 be identifed.

## Addenda

Since the above was written I have examined a series of specimens from Arizona. First, I received a very young specimen from Mr. Edwin D. McGee, park naturalist for the Grand Cañon National Park, in which locality the specimen was collected. This -perimen shows three well-developed light dorsal lines (a dorsolateral line and a median which bifureates on the nuchal, the brancles reuniting on the rostrall. The lateral line is quite distinct on the labials and is continued as far as the foreleg, after which it narrows and continues as a scarcely discemible line as far as the hind leg. The tail is blue. There are no secondary lines evident.

Some time later five other specimens were sent me: One from L. M. Klauber, collected in Arizona; and four sent by Chas. M. Bogert, collected near Mt. Elden, 712 miles east of Flagstaff, Ariz. Three of these are in a poor state of preservation; the fourth, however, is well-preserved, showing the color and markings fairly well. It agrecs with the rounger specimen save that, being older, two secondary light lines have dereloped between the median and the two dorsolateral lines: and two secondary lines have likewise dereloped on cach side in the lateral region between the dorsolateral and lateral lines. The same characters are dimly evident in the three much larger specimens which apparently were originally preserved in formalin. This color pattern shows a much closer relationship to that of multirirgutus than I had believed possible when examining the young specimen. These specimens differ from the typical multivirgatus in having usually a distinctly smaller frontonasal, somewhat larger nasals and prefrontals; a smaller interparietal enclosed (or nearly so) in the fise specimens. The frontoparietals are distinctly larger.

The color of the one specimen (No. 200, Bogert Coll.) shows the median line interrupted a short distance back of the nuchals; the median line and dorsolateral are bordered br deep black lines instead of the brown lines, and the median line is narrower.

In the United States National Musemn there are two problematiral specimens, both old and much faded. One (U.S.N.M. No. 30833 , shows the primary light lines dimly, but shows no trace of the secondary pattern of dark and light lines; the lines on the head are obsolete. The frontoparietals are abnormal, one being completely fused with the frontal or parictal, and the other one is much smaller than a prefrontal. The postnasal is lacking. There are two postmentals, the second. (perhaps abnomally) separated from the lathials. (Siee Fig. 56.)
 Lincoln Co．．N．Mex． ，bikewise hats the recondary pattern wanting． but this may be due to fading．It agree more closely with multi－ biroutus than the other eperinem．

At first 1 regarded these secemens as wothy of a nomenclatorial deagnation：but with the added material from Arizona，I recognize the wisdom of awating more material from this critieal region hefore such a step is taken．


Fig．56．Eumeces multivirgatus（Hallowell）．UNぶ．N．No．30833，Chi－ huahua，Mexico．A，lateral view of head；B．dorsal view of head．Ac－ tual head length． 10.2 mm ．：width． 9.5 mm ．

The following locality records are added：
Arizona：（＇ocomino Co．：Grand Cañon Nat．Park（Grand Cañon Nat．Park Coll．1）：Flagstaff（Bogert Coll．1）；Mt．Elden． $7^{1}$ ：mi．east of Flagstaff （Hogert Coll．3）（L．M．Klanher 1）．



> Eumeces gaigei Taylor
（Fige it，55）

## SYNONYM

1932．Eumece humehe？Kmgman．Bull．Vinix．Kan．XXXlli，May，1932（Oct．1， 19321 ，

1935．Eumeres gaiqe Taylor．「ni．Kan．sci．Bull．，Vol．XXll．Apr．15．1935．ply 219－223， fig． 1.
Diethosis．A medium－rized－perjes，whaterized by the abeence of a median line with forking lines on the head：the mesence of trpical dorsolateral lines following the middle of the third scale row，and separated hy four whole and two one thind scale rows．

The lateral line extends back farther than ear. Brown lateral stripe very narrow; limbs short, not meeting when adpressed; subcaudals widened; postnasal present or absent; two postmentals; interparietal not enclosed by parictals; seven upper labials; 24 spale rows about the body.

Description of type. No. 7300; collected near Taos, N. Mex.. June 13, 1929, by E. H. Tathor. Portion of rostral visible above less tham half the size of the fromonatald; supranasals large, forming a median suture; frontonasal large, touching anterior loreals, separated from frontal; prefrontals rather large, medially in contact,


Fig. 57. Eummets gaigei Taylor. Mich. U. No. 70517. Culberson Co., Texas. A, lateral riew of head; $B$, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 9.2 mm ; width. 7.8 mm .
forming sutures with frontonatal, frontal, posterior loreal, first supraocular, anterior loreal, and superciliary, their lengths in the order named; frontal large, a little longer than its distance from the tip of the snout, slightly constricted laterally. in contact with three supraoculars; frontoparietals in contact; interparietal with sides converging posteriorly, not curving; parietals short and broad, not in contact behind interparictal; two pairs of nuchals, of about the same size.

Nasal typical, divided by a suture, the interior part largest; postnazal present; anterior loreal distinctly higher than wide, higher than the posterior; latter longer than high, touching two or three rabials; presuboculars two (one on right side) ; six (right) or seven (left) superciliaries; four supraoculars; four postsuboculars ; merlian upper palpebrals directly in contact with superciliaries: two rather
large phates on lower eyelid, sparated from suboular by two rows of gramber primary temporal lare practieally of same size as the lower secondary temperal, with which it iorms a suture: uper secondary tomponal slightly wider poteriorly than : onteriorly; tertiary temporal high, shemer. sparated from ar by two salas.
serem upper labials, the first usually the mathent the serenth (last) hargest; the subocular larger tham usual, apmoarhing or equaling size of -ixth labial; serenth lathal seatated from ear by two pair- (-uperimposed) of sales; one minute car lobule; mental large. with a larger labial border than rostral; two posmentals: three pairs of chim-hiells, the first in contart; postemial large throken aboomally into two satess, bordered on imer side by a scale longer than wide; six or seven lower labials.
scale- in parallel rows about equal in size around the boly; scales around neck behind ear, $32:$ : about marrow part of neck, 26 ; in axillary region, 32 : about middle of hods, $-4: 16$ about base of tail at first widench subeadal: subeatals nearly double width of adjoining scald row; six preanals, the median pair much enlarged. the outcr seales overlapping imer.

Limbe short the area of gramular axillary rale greatly redured. only one or two rows: none behind insertion of hind limb; wrist tubercle not strongly differentiated; the sales on wrist and posterior part of patin equal in size, all rather large; lamellar formula for fingers: $5 ;-10 ; 11 ; 6$. Heel with two large seales in contact, these each preceded by a single large seale; scales on sole subequal and for the mos part imbricating; lamellar formula for toes: $5 ; 9 ; 11$; 12; 8. Terminal scales not tightly bound about claw. Ear small. surrounded by about 16 scales; scaler on side of neck with, usually. two pits; these obsolete on sides of body; pits orcasiomally three or more in axillary and postiemoral region.

C'olor the type is somewhat discolored by formalin). Above brownish, the scales showing an anterior and a posterior darker area; no evidence of a methan line or bifurrating lines on head; a dorsolateral line begine on the anterior supratoular and rontinues back. following the middle of the third scale row, as a series of light dots onto the tail. The lateral line begins on the rostral but camot now be traced quite to ear; chin, anterior part of throat and anal scales light; underside of regenerated tail, light.

Remarks. The two topu opecincers irm near Tans, N. Mex.. in the Kansa Conversity Musum were collected in baren hills along a strem about a mile from the large Indian village throngh which

Measurements of Eumeces gaigri Taylor

| Nluseum <br> Number <br> Sex. | $\begin{gathered} \text { K.V. } \\ 7300 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { N1ich. } \\ 70517 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | 66 | 62.7 | 59 |
| snout to eye | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| snout to car. | 11.8 | 11 | 10 |
| Snout to foreley | 22 | 18 | 16 |
| Axilla to groin | 40 | 39.4 | 35.5 |
| Width of head. | 8.7 | 8.2 | 7.8 |
| Length of head. | 10 | 10 | 92 |
| Width of borly | 12 | 11.9 | 10 |
| Foreleg. | 14 | 13.8 | 13 |
| Hind leg. | 19 | 18.7 | 18 |
| Longest toe | 12 | 12 | 11 |

the stream flows. They were found under small, flat rocks on a steep hillside, and appeared to be making burrows, as the earth was freshly disturbed; they took refuge in the burrows, which extended three inches below the surface.

I made journeys to Taos in 1930 and 1934, hoping to discover more specimens, but without result.

The Michigan specimen, which I have been permitted to examine through the kindness of Mrs. Gaige, was collected in the Guadalupe Mountains by Dr. Walter Mosaucr, for whom I examined the specimen. I at first believed it to be an aherrant, discolored multivirgutus.
A fourth specimen, badly dried but still showing well the original coloration, is in the American Museum of Natural History. Through the kindness of Dr. C. K. Noble. I was permitted to examine it. The color characters are practically identical with those of the Dichigan sperimen, and differs strikingly from young multizirgatus from the same locality.

Fariation. The -pecimen in the Cniversity of Michigan Museum -hows the color markings very distinctly. These are as follows:

Above, olive-brown, the outer edges of the sales of the first and recond rows with darker brown coloration. which forms a dim line following edges of first and second row, and serond and third; the dorsolateral white line begins on suprancular or anterior edge of parietal. passes back along the middle of the third seale row, the upper and outer edges of which are dark brown; the light line ap-
pears ar a series of dots, since the poterior edge of each seale is also somewhat darker; a brad. dark-brown line begins behind ese. pases above shoukder and beromes redued to a marrow lateral line which paree above adges of the fourth and fith seale rows; this is berdered athove and below hy doted lines of ground color, slightly lighter than that on back: a light labial line irom serond babial pases above ear and stop"; a lateral line begine at middle of ear and pasee back to atove arm and beeomes lost: chin and throat light: belly bluish-grat: mudersides of legs and anal region light; anterior part of head dark brown, no bifurcating lines visible.

The young secimen in the American Museum. also from the Guadalupe Mountains, hat the brown ground color with the dotted dorsolateral lines weam yellow: along the median part of the body are a few lighter flecks on the seales, hut in mo sense a median line. This sperimen differs irom a young multivirgatus taken in the same locality.

The sale variation in these specimens is negligible save that in the Michigan specimen a trpieal postnasal is absent. On one side. however. is a small sale partially fused to (or separated from) the upper posterior part of the first labial.

Remarlis. Despite the seale relationship of this form with $E$. multivirgatus. I do not regard it as a subspecies. since the two forms oerur together from northern New Mexico (Taos) to Texas (Guadalupe Mountains). Much herpetological collecting remains to be done in New Mexico and Arizona before a clear picture of these forms and their relationship can be known.

Whether the specimen in the Cnited states National Musemm No. $\boldsymbol{i}$ ( 631 , from the northern boundary of Texas, one of the types of epiplenrotis. belongs to this form cannot now be stated, since the opecimen is in such a condition that it eamot be identified with any degree of certainty. I propose to designate U.S.N.M. No. 9219. Fort Kearney, Neb., as the lectotype of epipleurotis, since it appears that the description wa- drawn from this specimen. It is mdoubtedly a specimen of $E$. multivirgatus and is still in a good state of prevervation.

The epecie- is named for Mrs. Helen Gaige, curator of Herpetology, Lniversity of Michigan, Museum of Zoölogy, to whom I am deeply indebted for assistance.

Distribution. The species is known only from the states of New Mexico and Texas, and from the following localities isee Fig. 5.5 for distributional map):

New Mexico:
Tass Co.: Near Taos (K.U. 2; tyrues).
Eddy Co.: Guadalupe Mountains (A.M.N.H. 1).
Texas: C'ulberson ('o.?: Near Frijoles (Mich. 1).

Eumeces humilis Bonlenger

(Plate 30 ; Figs. 58, 59)

## SYNONYMY

1885. Eumfces Bocourtii Boulenger. Ann. \& Mag. Nat. 1list.. (5), 11, 1883. R. 342 (non Brocchi) (typ» description: type locality, Pıesidio (near Mazatlán, Sinaloa), (Forrer Coll.) : Giinther, Binl. Cent. Amer., Rept. Batr., 1885, p. 32, pl. XXII, fig. C (fullsize drawing of one of the types); Cope, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 32, p. 90.
1886. Eumeces humilis Boulengr. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887, p. 377 (new name; redescription of types) ; Mosaner, Occ. Papers Mus. Zoül. Univ. Michigan, No. 246, Jine 3. 1932. ph. 10-12, ph. I, fig. 3 (Guadahpe Mts., Texas; first record for United States).
History. Herr Alphonso Forrer collected the two type specimens of this rare species at Presidio. Aexico. This locality is presumably a small village about fifty miles south of Mazatlán (Kellogg, Bull. U.S.N.MI. 160, p. 13), where Forrer collected many -pecimens. It may merely refer to a fort or prison of Mazatlán. In the state of Durango, bowever. where Forrer also collected, are three villages hearing the name Presidio, but whether any of these are referred to, camot be aseertaned at present.

The specimens were described by (i. A. Bonlenger in 1883 under the name Eumeces Bocourtii. (iinnther (1885) gave a figure, life size, showing the markings. Boulenger ( $\mathbf{1 8 8 7}$ ) again published a description, changing the name to humilis, bocourtii being preoccupied by Eumeces bocourtio Brocchi for a New Caledonian skink.

No further specimens were obtained until 1930, when Walter Mosaucr discovered it in the Texan part of the Ginadalune mountains, and collected two specimens, an adult female and a very young one, thus adding a new form to the known fauna of the United States. In 1931 I was fortunate in obtaining a single young specimen at a point two miles south of the entrance of Carlsbad Cavern in New Mexico.
This species differs from other known species of American skinks of this gemus in lacking all white stripes or dots in the young. The adults may develop an indistinct scondary torsolateral lighter line (much as occurs in some obsoletus) if one may judge by a photograph of the cotypes and (iunther's figure of the older specimen. The latter has a "faint yellowish" dorsolateral line, while the younger specimen has no trate of white or yellowish lines. The lateral brown stripe is distinct in young and adults. The specimens
ohtamed by Mosather, and that collected bẹ me. likewise show no trace of dorsohateral or hateral light lines. but the lateral brown tripe is distinct. If the above assmotion regarding the seeondary development of a light doroolateral line is incorrect it is due to the fact that in reality two fome are reperented by the two type -pecemens, a question that cammet at the moment be dedermined due to the death of serimens arailable for stady.

Diatmosis. A moderate-sized-peries reatching a known maximum lengh of 73 millimeters shout to vent measurement: yomg generally gray-olive ahore, larking trace of light lines; a lateral brown


Fig, is. Eumete humilis Boulenqer. Ki.l. No. 13161; Eddy Co., New Mexion. A. lateral viow of head: B, dorsal view of head. Aetual head length. 7.5 mm .: width. 6.0 mm .
hand. Aduli- with or without trate of a faint dorsolateral lima, with a darker, usually bronze. dorsal coloration. Upper habiak seven; postmental single: small postnasal usually present; scales under tail -mall; limbs short; interparietal not emelosed by paristals: ot wate row : about the middle of the body.

Deseription of speciex ifrom No. zo.sh6 Musemm of Zoölogy, Cniversity of Michigan. Collewted Cimadalupe Mts., Texas, by Dr. Walter Nowatuer. Rostral prominently visible above; suptanasals chomete fomming a narow shture with postnasal, their commom - bture rather narrow: frontonatal broater than long, tourbing both loreals. but -eparated from the frontal by the prefrontals, which form a moderately wide median suture: frontal (3.5 millimeters) distinetly longer than its distame from the end of smout, bordered
by゙ three supraoculars, the suture with last being very small; fronioparietals larger than prefrontals, forming a broad median suture; interparietal ( 2.5 millimeters) much shorter than frontal, not enclosed by parietals, but their separation is narrow: two well-differentiated pairs of nuchals; the nasal witl its labial suture less than that with rostral; a small postnasal present, touching first two labials; anterior loreal much higher than and about half the width of second, which touches the second and thirl labials; two rather large presuboculars, with a small preocular scale above at anterior corner of eve; seven supereilitries, the anterior greaty larger than second; two tiny postoculars; three postsuborulars, the upper largest, touching the upper secondary temporal: four supraorulars, the second much the largest and widest; a large, well-developed, nearly rectangular primary temporal; two secondary temporals, the upper twice as long as wide, rounded behind, the lower nearly triangular, the posterior border, however, forming a very obtuse angle, touching the primary temporal; tertiary temporal small, narrow; two postabials, the lower much smaller than upper, these separated from the aurioular opening by a pair of very small, similarly shaped scales; two distinct aturicular lobules, the upper flat, with a rounded edge directed backw:ard; auricular opening surrounded by about 15 scales: first and fourth labials distinctly smaller than second and thind; subocular labial well elevated, as high as its width on labial edge; sixth lahial smaller than seventh, both of which are widely separated from the upper secondary temporal; three large semitramsparent sales on eyelid, separated from the suborular by two rows of granular scales : a few mall scales on lid anteriorly and posteriorly ; a practically complete series of granular scales between palpehral scales and superciliaries; eight lower and nine upper palpebral scales; six lower labials, last greatly elongated; mental wide, deep, with a much wider labial border than rostral; a single postmental; first pair chinshielfs broadly in contact ; seond pair much the widest of series; third pair separated by three scales; last chin--hield followed by an elongated postgenial, which is bordered on inner anterior adge bỵ a sate longer than wide; lateral and ventral scales about same size; the two median dorsal rows slightly widened; $2+$ longiturlinal srale rows about middle of body; median seale rows following nuchals much wider than the second rows; 28 seale rows around narrow part of nerk; 32 behind ear; 30 following arm; all extra rows dropped out at a distance from axilla not greater than the length of the arm; 17 scales about base of tail one centimeter back of anus: a pocket of small gramular seales in axilla; seales on
sides parallel ; 63 scales in a series from parietals to above anns; 10 : 3 subeatudal scales, very shehtly widened; six preanal scales, the median entarged, the outer orerlapping imner; the line dividing the lateral nerk scales from the postamicular sales curving shaply forwad; the line from the lateral mublal seales and suprabrabhial - Cales nearly vertical fom anterior line of insertion of arm; twelre False about insertion of am: fourteen about insertion of hind hanb: palm with about fifteen rather that gramules, with some smaller wanule between these and bases of fingers; lamellar formula of fingers:
 scales, those on sole not conspicuously enlarged, but the rows directed to the base of the fombth toe somewhat harger than others. Lamellar formula of toes: $6 ; 8: 11: 13 ; 8: \mathbb{E} 6 ; 9 ; 12 ; 13 ; s$. The -pecimen is a female containing small eggs in the ovaries.

Color. thove a definite bronzy-olise to bronze-brown, the eotor extending to hut beroming slightly darker on the tail; head of about the same eolor; a lateral brownish streak, more pronounced anteriorly, begins back of eve and rontinues ahong neck and on the side. but camot be traced onto the tail. Careful serutiny of the dorsal - Herare shows the first and second soale rows shohtly browner, the third and part of fourth rows slightly lighter; upper habials light brown ahove, growing lighter on lower edges; nearly white on sixth and serenth labials: the light color surrounds ear save at upper poterion corner: thin and throat whitish; a dim light spot above ear: abolomen ashegray; bower side of tail light bhe, eath seale showing a darker portion; limbs darker above than back, lighter below than abdomen; preanal scales light; a faint suggestion of a median lighter line under tail.

Variation. The table of measurements shows clearly the variation in measurement.

Variation in stuanation: scales from oceiput to abore ants. 61-6;), the first number appearing in two speeimens. Fate rows on nerk, $2 \mathrm{z}-30$; about middle of body, $24-26$; supraorulars, upper and lower labials, postmentals, postnasals, subeaudals, loreals, super"iliaries, frontonasal show no variation in number or appreeiable variation in size and relationships. One sperimen has the two porthabials fused into a single large soale. The subligital lamellae under fourth toe $12-14$, the first mumber being most frequent. Postouborulars, 3-3 or $4-4$, the former number most frequent. Little or no variation is disernible in the general size and relationshipof the temporals. Young seerimens have blue tails. Variation in color and matrkings is divasiod ehewhere.

Mensurements of Eumoces humilis Boulenger

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Museum, } \\ & \text { Number* } \\ & \text { Sex...... } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mich. }{ }^{\top} . \\ 70103 \\ \text { yg. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K.U. } \\ \text { 13161 } \\ \text { yg. } \end{gathered}$ | Brit. M. Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totallength. | 14.5 |  | 125 | 131 |
| Tail. | 120 |  | 75 | $54 \%$ |
| Snout to vent... | 6.5 | 26 | 47 | 73 |
| Snout to eye... | 45 |  |  |  |
| Snout to ear. | 10.5 | 6 |  |  |
| Snout to foreleg. | 175 | 10.2 | 15 | . . . . . |
| Foreleg. | 112 | , | 10.5 | 17 |
| Axilla to mroin | 40 |  | 27 |  |
| Postanal width.... | 7.7 | 23 | 4 |  |
| Hind leg | 17.5 | 10.3 | 13 | 22 |
| Longest toe.... | 6.3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Adpressed limbs separatel. | 120 |  | 1 |  |
| Head length. | 10 | 3 | 7.5 | 12 |
| Head width..... | $\checkmark$ | 26 | 6 | 10 |
| Rody width. | 13 | 2 \% | 6 |  |

[^37]Remarts. The sperimen described was collected by Water Mosaner near Frijoles. Tex.. where "it was found slipping through moist vegetation at the margin of the miniature pond formed by the spring." His second specimen bame from Dark Cañon. Both localities are in the Ciladalupe mountains. Both localities are high, the first approximately 6,000 feet, the seeond 5,500 feet.

The single specimen collected hy myelf was found in a pile of drift at the elge of Black river, which flows partly above and partly below ground in the Carlshard region.

The species appears to be related to Eumoces multirirgatus, and the scale formulae and patterns, as well as the general body contours are approximated by that species. That the ranges of the two forms overlap, earh inaintaining its identity, should prechude the possibility of these forms being regarded as subspecies. The very striking differences in markings and color should assure their proper identification.

Distribution. The known records asuming that the type locality is indeed Mazatlán rather than Durangol show the range to extend from southern New Mexico, south through Texas, to Sinaloa,
preamably including territory in (hihuahata and lurango; not improbably is it also present in somoria. Since Presidio, Mazatlín, is near seal leved, the vertical distribution is from this point to about ( 5.000 feet.


Fig. 59. Distribition of Eumeces humilis Boulenger. E. parmulus Taylor and E. parciauriculatus Tatrlor, in fouthern United states and Mexico.
Locality records:
New Mexico: Eddy Co.: Black river. 2 miles fat of entrance of Carlsbad Caverns (K.C. 1).
Texts: Culbersom. Co.: Near Frijoler. Guadalupe Mts.. $6,000 \mathrm{ft}$. (Mich. 1); Dark Cañon. $5.500 \mathrm{ft} .$. Guadalupe Mts. (Mich. 1).
Sinamot: Presidio (type locality) (Brit. Mus. [83, 4, 5. 33, 34] 2, Forrer Coll.).
Eumeces paromlus Taylor
(Plate 31, Figs. 3. 4: Figs, 59, Bi()
ぶNONYM
1!33. Fiumeres purtulus Taybur. Proe. Bich. soc. Washingtan, VIVII. Oct. 2f, 1933, pn.

History. The complete history of the type is not known to me. It was reecied at the L. S. National Xuseum from the collertion of Julius Hurter, of st. Louis, and purports to come from Tepice (the nane presumably referring to the ejty rather than to the old terri-
tory of that name). Nayarit. The date of collection is April 10. 1910. The sperimen was tentatively identified as Eumeces lynxe Wiegmamm. The U.S. National Museum Catalogue number of the type is 56903 .

A second sperimen, collected by J. C. Thompson, is likewise in the U. S. National Museum (No. 51395), from Miniman, Nayarit. This was originally identified as Eumeces brevirostris Günther, since it is a goung specimen and superficially resembles that species.

A third, and, apparently, a very anomalous, specimen has been tentatively assigned to this species. It was rollected by Dr. E. W. Nelson and E. A. Goldman at "Plumosas"," sinaloa. Only future collections can verify whether the supposed anomalies are such, or characters of a distinct species. My julgment has been based on the fart that the fusion of the prefrontal and frontonasal scales as obtains in this specimen has been noted in individuals of certain other speries.

Diagnosis. A small species, haring a dorsolateral line beginning on rostral, pasing back on side of head and neck, and disappearing about the middle of the bark; a lateral light line from rostral to car, following the lower edge of labials: no median light line or "bifurcating lines" on head; four supraoculars; no postnasal; one postmental; parietals enclose interparietal; frontonasal touches frontal; a relatively very large primary temporal, larger than lower secondary temporal, and in contate with it. Twenty-four scale rows about the middle of the body; postgenial large, bordered on inner edge by a scale wider than long. Adults tend to lose all markings.

Description of type. Part of rostral, appearing on anterior tip of snout, small, separated from frontonasal by the paired supranasals: frontonasal broader than long, angular anteriorly, rounded posteriorly, forming a considerable suture with the frontal, and in contact laterally with the anterior loreal; frontal more than a third longer than its distance from tip of snout, truneate anteriorly, rounded behind, constricted at a point about one third of its length from the posterior edge, broadly in contact with the three anterior supraoculars; frontoparietals distinctly rectangular, making a median suture less than one third of their length; interparietal rather small, broadly enclosed by the parictals; first pair of nuchals very large, nearly twice the depth of the second pair; nasal small, distinctly divided; first loreal distinctly higher, but narrower that the second.

[^38]in contact with serond and third labials: two presuboculare; six -uperciliaries, the first nearly twiee the area of secomel: four supat oculars, the third widest, first tomehing the prefrental; three postsuboculars: the single primary temporat atmont as large as the upper eecondary temporal, and of much the same shape; the lower seeondary temporal somewhat fan-shaped, smaller than the primary temporal; tertiary temporal elongate.
seven upper labials, four precoling the subombar, the first higher than the three following and equally as high as subocular; the -eventh upper labial larget, followed be a pair of postlabial seates,


Fig. 60. Eumeces parvulus Taylor. U.S.N.M. No. 56903, type; Tepic, Nayarit, Mexico. A. lateral view of head; B. dorsal view of head. Actwal head length. 9 mm .: width. 7 mm .
of which the lower is narrow, longer than the upper; these are separated from the minute ear lobules by two or three very small scales; seventh labial largest, not in contact with the upper secondary temporal and separated from ear by a distance lese than its length; six lower labials; mental large, forming a longer labial border than rostral; a postmental and three pairs of chinshields, the lat pair followed by an elongate postgenial scale which is bordered on it anterior imer edge hy a seale much broader than long; diameter of eye about equal to distance from nostril, but distinctly -horter than distance to ear; the median palpehmal waldes in direct contact with the supercilianies; lower evelid with a series of fom enlarged opague or semitransparent seale separated from the subocular by two or three sale rows, the lowemont largest. Ear
moderate, surrounded by about 14 to 15 scales; the line separating the postaturicular series from the lateral nuehal series vertical and separaterl from ear by five salle rows; seale rows around neek behind car. $\bullet^{7}$; about constricted part of neck, 24 ; about body in axillary degion, 31 ; about middle of body, 24 ; the axillary series are all dropped at a distance from axilla a little greater than length of the foreleg. The scales on back are rather small, rounded behind; the median rows not larger than other dorsals, but dorsals are all larger than laterals or ventrals. Limbs short but rather stout; palm, bearing a few enlarged, rounded scales, with several smaller granules; foot without distinctive sales save about heel; the lamellar formula of fingers: $4 ; 6 ; 9 ; 10 ; 5$; of toes: $3 ; 7 ; 9 ; 13 ; 5$. The two median preanal scales somewhat enlarged; three small lateral preanal seales on each side, the outer of which overlap the inner; subcaudal scales slightly wider thatn adjoining scales; 63 scales in row from parietals to above anus.

Color (in akcohol). Above brownish-olive: dorsolateral light line beginning on sout pases back along head and follows, first the thind, then the fourth seake rows; it disappears about middle of body; the dorsal ground color is cight rows wide on middle of body; a brown lateral stripe from the rostral passes back along the side of head and body, where it shows dimly, covering two seale rows; a Lateral line begins on the rostral, but teminates at the ear after passing along the lower edges of the labials; chin and lower labials (ream; the remamber of the lower surfaces grayish; preanals and

Mearatments of the type and paratypes of Eumeces parculus Taylor

| Museum <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cs.NM } \\ 06903 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.S.N.MI. } \\ 51395 \end{gathered}$ | UAN. NA. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snont to vent | in | 37 | 28.5 |
| snout to foreles | 14 | 13 | 9 |
| snout to ear | 8.3 | $\checkmark$ | 6.3 |
| Snout to eye | 3.2 | 3 | 2.2 |
| Head greatest width | 7 | 5 | 4.5 |
| Heal length.... | 9 | 7 | 4.6 |
| Axillat to groin.... | 32 | 20 | 1.5 |
| Postanal tail witth | 5 | 3.6 | 2.7 |
| Foreley. | 9 | 8 | 6.3 |
| Himblers | 14 | 12 | 8 |
| Longest toe | 6 | 3.5 | 2.2 |

[^39]the under side of limbs lighter; the gravish color of belly borders the brown lateral stripe.


 and (Goldman), are at hand for eomparizom.

The first of the two shows the following variations in sratation: for inseral of 63 seales from head to above rent; about 15 seales arombl rar: there are $1+$ instead of $1: 3$ lanmellate mator longest toe the atpresed limhs are very narowly separated when adpressed, while in the larger specimen they are separated by eight millimeters. The color of this sperimen is darker, appearing brownish abore with the doroblateral lines eream color and well differentiated to about malway on body. The lateral stripe is difficult to differentiate from body eolor: chin and throat ream; the color of the abdomen appears somewhat in darker and lighter lines, a character also visible but tim in the trpe: under side of tail lighter than abolomen, the median scale row with a lighter strak; regenerated tip of tail, cream.

No. 47667 L.s.N.M. from Plomosis, sinaloa, Mexico, shows a number of abmormal peeuliarities. The frontonasal and prefrontals are fused into a single scale and this separated from the frontal by a partly obliterated suture; the posterior constriction of the frontal i- very shight the enclosed interparietal is as broad as long; the moterior temporal is as large as upper posterior, but both are - Ienderer in type; the head appears to be proportionally wider; there appears to be only 2.2 sale rows the specimen has been injured. am! it is difficult to make an acrurate comet at midrle of bodse: other -rales and matking a are smilar, generally, to type. The color above is gravish-brown, the lateral brown line very distinct.

If the chanacter of the fused frontonasal and prefrontals were not abnomal, it would be necessary to recognize this ats a distinct -pecies Howerer, I strongly suspert it is abmomal and, for the time being, it will be placed umber this speries similar anomalies hare heen observed in specimens of several other sperde-

Remarks. The relationship of the species is not reatr. It appears 10 show more characters in common with Enmecos purnianriculatus, a- I have sugqested moler that seeries, that with any other member ot the genur.

Distribution. The known distribution includes localities in south-

rimaloa: Plomoxa: (U.SN.MI. 1).


# Eumeces parviauriculatus Taylor 

(Plate 31, Fig. 5; Figs. 59, 61)
SYNONTMY
1933. Eumeces parvamriculatus Taylor. Proe. Biol. Noe. Wash., NLV1, Oct. 26, 1933, pp. 17-181, fig. 2.

History. The type specimen, United states National Museum No. 47536 , was collected by Mr. Edward A. Goldman at Alamos, Sonora, January 5, 1899, when en route to California from the Sierra Madre in western Chihuahua. Probably due to the poor state of preservation the sperimen was tentatively identified at the museum as $E$. bretirostris, to which species it bears a superficial resemblance. In 1933 privilege of describing the species was granted me by Dr. Leonhard stejneger.

The speries is tentatively assigned a place in the Multivirgatus group, but is not closely related to other members of it. It is apparently a diminutive form, although absence of the viscera precluded an examination of the sex organs to determine the age of the type. It does not, however, appear to be a young specimen. In the character of the scales overlapping the auricular opening the species resembles cgregius.

Diagnosis. A small, slender species, with a distinct dorsolateral line beginning on rostral, and continuing posteriorly on sides, losing itself on the back; a lateral line begins on rostral and continues across labials and ear to forearm, where it is lost; one postmental; no posthasal; parietals not enclosing interparietal; four supraoculars, three touching frontal; seventh labial largest of series, but relatively small, sarcely larger than sixth, and separated from the extremely small auricular opening by a distance greater than its length: primary temporal large, in contact with the very large lower secondary temporal; postlabial scales overlap edge of auricular opening: 20 scale rows around body; subeaudals somewhat enlarged: two pairs of nuchals; small tubercular scales behind and above the insertion of forearm.

Description of type. The part of the rostral appearing above, very small, separated from the frontonasal by the pair of supranasals which form a median suture; frontonasal much broader than long, pointed anteriorly, rounded posteriorly, touching anterior loreal; prefrontals small. widely separated, their sutures with frontal equal to that with the frontonasal, also forming sutures with the two loreals, the first superciliary and first supraocular; frontal broad and elongate, much longer than its distance from end of the snout,
and distinctly eonstricted at a point about one thind the distance from the poterior end: fromoparictals rery much larger, at leant double the size of the prefontals, and forming a moderately long median suture: interpariotal wide and short, not enclosed by the pariotab: a pair of well-dereloped muchals followed by a seeond pair saales broken on left side! ; nasal small, the nostril direrted -trongly forward and downwatd two loreals. the anterior high, touching first and second labials. somewhat rectangular; posterior loreal somewhat rectangular, tourhing the second and thind labials: four supraoculars, the anterior nearly triangular. forming a small -uture with prefrontal. the third widest, forming an angular wedge between the frontal and frontoparictal (on right side, due apparently to an injury, the two first supraoculars are partly fused and these fused with the first superciliary) ; five superciliaries, the first not greatly larger than second; two presuboculars: three postsuboculars; primary temporal large, larger on left side than right side, larger than seventh labial, broadly in contact with the lower secondary temporal: the upper secondary temporal largest. smaller on left than right side; the lower secondary temporal nearly square. forming an clongate suture below with a very narrow elongate postlabial; second postlabial separates the two scales from the auricular opening. and overlaps its edge; the tertiary temporal small, separated from auricular opening by second postlabial: seven labials, last largest, but not greatly larger than sixth; the subocular very low, distinctly lower than first labial; the first labial much higher than three sucreeding: six lower labials: postmental large, single: three pairs of chinshields. the first pair broadly in contact. second separated by a single seale. last pair followed by an elongate postgenial bordered internally hẹ an enlarged scale much wider than long.

The larger scales in front of and above auricular opening overhang it; no lobules can be observed; about ten minute seales about horder of ear: eye small, as long as, or slighty longer than, its distance from nostril. much lese than its distance from ear: the line dividing the postamicular scale series from the lateral nuchal series curves strongly forward: the scales of the median row following nuchals much widened; on borly the median rows of scales are somewhat wider than adjoining serics. the posterior edge of seales not curved, but practically parallel with the anterior edge.

Scale rows hehind ear, 25 : on constricted portion of neck, 23 ; behind arm, 26; around middle of body, 20 : about base of tail, 15; there are 63 srale- in a row from pariotals to abowe anus: the seales
on sides and abdomen are smaller than the median dorsals; the intercalated axillary rows are dropped at a point lese than one and one half the length of foreleg irom axilla; behind arm and continuing above arm to point of anterior insertion are several rows of small. gramular, flattened, nonimbricating scales; anus bordered by a median pair of seales, much enlarged, and two lateral seales on each side, the outer of which overlap the imner; lateral postanal scale elongate, but not otherwise differentiated; subcaudal seales widened, at least two to two and one half times as witle as leep,


Fig. 61. Eumeces parriquriculatus Taytor. Uss.N.M. No. 4ions6, type. Alamos, sonora. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 7 mm .: width. 6 mm .
more than a half wider than adjoining scale rows; limbs slender, delicate, very widely sparated when alpresed; a few rounded, enlarged tubercles on palm, separated from the series at base of digits by sereral small granular tubercles; a few enlarged granules on sole near enlarged sutes bordering heel; other swales on sole small: the lamellar formula for fingers: : 3:7:7; $7: 5$; for the toes: $4 ; 7$; $10 ; 11 ; 7$.
('olor (in alcohol, probably much discolored). Above, dark slatybrown, the scales appearing darker on their sutures, forming indistinet dutted darker lines; a distinet light-rolored dorsolateral line from rostral back along sides on the third sale row, which is lost on the posterior part of bark: a lateral line begiming on labials
continue back to forearm, involving carr; chin, lower labials, and breast ceam; belly dark. A darker lateral band, which pases from the side of head. through eyes, atong the side, is difficult to distinguish, but on tail is more distinct, the scales showing large central brown areas with lighter alges.

| Measurements of the type of Eumeces parvienticulatus Taylor |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| suout to foreleg. ........ 14 | Axilta to groin. |
| shout to ear............ 7.9 | Postanal tail wid |
| shonl to ere............ 3 | Foreleg .... |
| Head width greatest.... 6 | Hind lex |
| Head length from muchats, 7 | Lon |

Romarks. Only a single apecimen, the type, has been examined. It is in poor condition; many of the sales have slipped, and the abdomen is somewhat softencd. The riscera have been removed. The tail is present, but is broken into two pieces; the tip is regencrated: the forefeet have been dried. Despite all this, none of the esential characters are obscured.

The relationship of the species is not clear. It is probably a degenerate especialized) form and may be distantly related to parmens. It is probably totally unrelated to the recently described dicei Ruthren and Gaige, which, judging by the character of the temporals, belongs to another group to which I have assigned it.

The known Eumeces fauna of Sonora is indeed meager, this type -pecinen being the only specimen I am aware of collected in this large state. Eumeces callicephalus and humilis Boulenger may be expected to oceur. It is not chosely related to either humilis or callicephatus.

The type locality name, Alamos, refers apparently to the city ADunicipalidad in the district of Alamos in the southern part of the state. There are, however, two other places named Alamos, one a pueblo in the district of Cres, and a rancho. of the Municipalidad of Commeripa, district of Guaymas.

Distribution. The probahilities are that the species, now known only from the type -pecimen, is confined to the western slope of the Sierra Datre in Mexico. Alamos is situated in low mountains, bordering the low harow constal plain, about fifty miles from Santa Barhara bay on the Culf of California, in the state of sonora.

Locality recorls. Only the trpe locality known. (see Fig in for distributional map.

## ANTHRACLNCS GROUP

Four forms are included in this group: the two subspecies of Eumeces septentrionalis (Baird), Eumcces anthracinus (Baird) and Eunces copei Taylor.

It seems probable that this group is most closely related to the multicirgatus group, perhaps through septentrionalis and multivirgatus. Eumeces copei is the least typical of the group.

The group may be characterized as one of medium-sized skinks having four well-defined light lines, a dorsolateral arising on the last suprancular (on snout in copei) and continuing on the tail; a lateral line from shont (sometimes broken on labials) to the hind leg. A broad lateral brown stripe. The dark dorsal ground color of the young becomes olive. with secondary rows of black dots. Often a secondary (dim) light median line, but no "bifureating" lines on head; one or two postmentals; no postnasal; limbs not or hut slightly overlapping; seven upper labials; postgenial bordered by a seale longer than wide (except in copei).

## Key to the Species of the Anthrachads Groyp

A. Four dorsal rows of seales widened; the pustgenal bordered by a scale broader than bong, on its inner efge; dorsolateral line w,gmates on the shout; sixth and seventh lakals very large; primary temporal larger than lower seconday; mterparietal poportionately very large; $22-24$ scale rows: no median light lme; usually dotted dark lines on scale rows of back. Maximum size. 76 ham. ; lmas widely segarated in adults ( 15 mm .). (States of Morelos and Mexict, and Distrito Fefleral, in


AA. Dorsal seale rows not noticeably widened; portg.mal bortered by a scale longer than wide; labials not enlarged more than normal for genus; the primary temporal much smaller than lower secombary; interparietal mot larger than usual.
 wh females distended with eggs; lateral lin basses throngh ear; 24-28 scale
 70 mm . (Easten Conted states to kianas and Oklahoma.)

Euncets anthractus (Baird), page 373
BB. Two postmentals; frontonasal vanable, in contact or not with the anterior lureal; limbs shont, never overlapping save in voming: lateral line yasses above ear; usually 28 scale rows.
C. Frentonasal small (occasionally fused), ftequently in contact with rostral, normally not in contact with the thtemor loreal; average of dio scales from parietals to above amus. Maxmmon size, 75 mm ; Hsually a dim median light lime to parietal. (Central 1 '. A. from Canada to southem Kansas)...........Eumeres sf ptentrionalis septentrionalis (Baud), page 394
CC. Frontunasal normal in suze. 14 contact hornally with the anterior loreal; dim metlian line frequently absent, usually not reaching head when present; scales from parietal to above anus average 57 . (Chlahoma and Tevas)............Eumetes septentrimalis obtusirostrie (Bocourt), page 405

# Eiumeces anthracimu：（Baird） 
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History．Spencer F．Baind derribed this species in 18.50 from several－pecimens（five cotypes still present in the collection of the （nited state－National Xusemm）collected by himself on the Nowth momatain，near（＇arlisle，Pa．（＇ope（1875），in his rheck list，records the range of the species from＂Pemerlvania to Texas in Xnountains，＂ the latter state recorl semingly based on specimens collected by Shumard on the Brazos river．Texas．（iarman（108t）adde Mis－ risimpid the li－t of localitios．Hurter and strecker（1900）report the speric－from Xixomi and Oklahomal Since that time several state fepert－have been puhli－hed and its range generatly wablixhed． Conc 1sial mentions：variety of the speries from Monhile，Ala．，
collected by Dr. Jos. Corson, and two years later (Cope, 1880) described this specimen as the type of a new species, Eumeces phucialis. No further specimens were identified as belonging to this until a specimen, collected near Molile by H. P. Löding, was so clasified in the U.s. National Mtesemm.

Cope separated plarialis from anthracimus, because there were 26 seale rows, and seven instead of six labials. His statement that it has five supraoculars (and so repeated by Boulenger, 1887) is apparently a lapsus. The green lateral and dorsolateral lines of phavialis are typical of young anthracinus and, at least often, the color is greenish to greenish-white in many live or recently preserved adults. With an examination of the types of anthracinus and a topotype of pluvialis, it appears that the characters assigned to phurialis are likewise characteristic of many specimens of anthracims. Thus, in the greater number (more than 95 percent) of the -pecimens examined, the number of scale rows is $26-28$, and the reduced number of labials (six) occurs occasionally in specimens having 28 scales rows. It is significant that the second known specimen identified as phuvialis from Mobile has only 25 seale rows. The type of pluvialis has a dim median stripe which is likewise usually present in anthracinus. Cope, himself, mentioned such a specimen (Cope, 1900 , p. 663). Consequently, it seems wisest to regard the two forms as belonging to the same species, and I have here so considered them.

The material available for study consisted of 91 specimens, the larger number being from Kansas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas. The types (No. 3138 U.S.N.M., 5 specimens) are now in very poor condition, faded badly so that the color patterns are effaced, and most of the scales are wanting.

Within the presumed range several states are without locality records. I have found no authentic records of the species in Illinois, Indiana, Virginia, West Virginia, New Jersey, Kentucky, and Tennessce. It seems reasonably certain, however, that the speeies is present, but rare or difficult to find, since in several other states it is known from very few or only a single record. In eastern Kansas its distribution seems quite erratic.

Diagnosis. A medium-sized species with a maximum head-body length under 70 millimeters; a broad, brown lateral stripe; a dorsolateral light line from last superciliary or supraocular extending onto tail, sometimes bordered above by a dotted brown line; lateral light line from labials to some distance on tail, passing through the
(ar, tending to break into -pots on posterior labials; a more or less distinct secondary median lighter line. not or rarely elged with a dim, dotted dark line; -4 to 28 seates about middle of body ; seven labials; one postmental and no postmasal; scales under tail widened; limbs moderately well developed, overlapping in all young and in adults except older females when distended with eqge: posterenial hordered by a scale longer than wide.

Deseription of the species drawn largely from No. 16020. E. H. Taydor Collection. Baxter spmings. Cherokee Co., Kimsas): The portion of the rostral visible from above triangular, equal or nearly


Fig. 62. Eumeces anthracinus (Baird). K.U. No. S221: Imboden. Arkansas. A. lateral view of head; B, doral view of head. Actual head length. 10.2 mm ; width. 9 mm .
equal to frontonasal in area; supranasals with edges more rounding than angular, forming a median suture trarely separated, allowing (ontact between rostral and frontonasal), normally separated from the prefrontals; frontonasal wider than long, making a suture with the first loreal, separated from the frontal (rarely in contact) ; prefrontals angular, forming a median suture (rarely not), equal to or somewhat less in size than the frontonasal. forming subequal sutures with two loreals, the first supereiliary and first supraocular; frontal obtheely angled anteriorly, nearly a right angle posteriorly, the -ides straight, distinctly wider anteriorly than posteriorly, in contact with the three anterior supraoculars, separated from the interparietal; frontoparictal: rather regularly rectangular. wailly as large as or larger than the prefrontals; interparietal clongate, not enclosed posteriorly by the parictals. which are diagomally elongate
and not greatly widened; two pairs of nuchals (sometimes incomplete or only one pair present), the anterior pair the larger; nasal divided hy a suture, the entire seale smaller than supranasal; no postnasal; anterior loreal high and narrow, much higher than posterior, its lower edge forming an obtuse angle between the first and serond anterior labials; posterior loreal about as high as long, irregular in shape; eight-nine superciliaries, the anterior large, two to three times area of serond, and equally larger than the last vertically-placed superciliary; the four median palpebral seales in contact with the supereiliaries; four suproculars; two presuboculars and four postsuboculars: primary temporal elongate, nearly rectangular; upper secondary temporal nearly twice the length of primary, relatively slender, never in contact with the last labial; lower secondary temporal regularly fan-shaped, tourhing primary; tertiary temporal elongate, entering ear sometimes separated by a single scale); two postlabials (nomally); seven upper labials (rarely six), the first higher than the four following; subocular low, elongate; seventh lahial largest (rarely sixth equals seventh): mental with a slightly longer labial border than rostral; a large, undivided postmental; three pairs of chinshichds; a relatively smadl, clongate postgenial, bordered on its mesial elge by an elongate scale equal to or smaller than the postgenial in size; three or four small but fairly distinct auricular lobules; 19-20 scales about the ear opening; lower eyelid with a series of four or five enlarged opaque sales separated from the subocular by three rows of small granular stales.

Scales on the body generally in parallel rows, but showing some irregularity occasionally on the sides; scale rows behind ear, 34; narrow part of neck, 29 rows; in axilla, 38 ; about middle of body. 26 rows; about base of tail, 21 rows; from parietals to above vent, 53 scales in a dorsal row: subcaudals, 99 from rent to tip of tail. The dorsal scales are equal or occasionally apparently smaller in females with body distemed with eggs) to the laterals; about equal in size to the rentral series. Eight seales border vent anteriorly; median pair not greatly enlarged, outer preanal scales bordering them much larger than usual in the genus, the outer scales overlapping inner.

Limbs moderately well developed, overlapping in young and young aulults, sometimes somewhat separated when adpressed in older specimens, expecially females; small granular seales in axilla, and a row usually passing above the limb insertion; a thickened
sate on outer chace of wrist: patm with secreal enlarged wales sparated by smaller gramules: lamellar formulat for fingers: i: 11: 10: 7. Hece bordered be four enlarged plates: - old hoad, with two differentiated. tubereular se:ales; other - -alde subequal and
 lamella of digit- not tighty hound about hate of daw.

Color. Above unifom olive-brown from shout where of tail. whene the eround color beeome more olive: a very dim median dorsab line of a lighter brownish shate: domedateral lines distinct, arising on the last supaorular, parsing along the third sate row to the shoulder. then bordering the edges of the thire :and fourth in -ome di-tance on the tail; this line bordered on the intemal border by a doted line of deep brown : a broad, lateral, deep brown tripe beximing at eve involving upper edge of the auribular opening. woreing the equisalent of three whole sale rows. pawe bark th tail and is continued as a narrow. lesedistinct stripe to near che of tail: the eenters of the sales in the stripe deeper brown or hathish. -ugesting two or three larker lines on the stripe: lateral light line broken into -pots on labials, tending to pase through the ear. widenbehind it, then narrow, following the middle of the seventh rale row to behind the himd leg; tip of din dirty white: undersuriace of body and tail and lower lateral region greenish or bluish-gray darker under tail; limbs with indistinct darker areas, the toes lighter, the lamellae bencath dark.

Age groups. The snout to vent measurements recorded for the specimens studied show that they tend to fall into grouping which sugget age groups. At hatching, the measurements are from ${ }^{2} 1-$ 23 mm . ; 2 d year, $26-29 \mathrm{~mm}$.; 3d year, $30-34 \mathrm{~mm}$. ; 4th year. $35-40$ mm.: 5th year. $42-46 \mathrm{~mm}$; 6 th year, $49-51 \mathrm{~mm}$. 7 th year, $33-56$ mm.; sth year. $56-59 \mathrm{~mm}$.; 9th year, $59-60 \mathrm{~mm}$.; 10th year, 61 mm .: 11 th year, 62 mm ; ; 12th year, 63 mm .; ete.

The areage expectation for sping collecting is 27 mm. 21 year (but less than one year old) ; 34, 32 mm m.; 4th, 37.5 mm .; $5 \mathrm{th}, 4 \mathrm{f}$ mm.:
 $\mathrm{mm} . ; 11 \mathrm{th}, 62 \mathrm{~mm} .: 10 \mathrm{th}, 6: 3 \mathrm{~mm}$.; $13 \mathrm{th}, 64 \mathrm{~mm}$. That the eridence of these measurements is not conclusive as to the ade groups is admitted, sine the number of datal is small and in the ereater mumber of specimens the date of collection is not complete. These data maty be ehecked against measurements of series taken at the same becality and at the same time.

Fiariations. The variations here recorded are compiled from data


taken from the 91 perimens examined. Complete data on all sperimens have not been aken since injur or condition may have prevented so doing.

The nomber of scales in a longitudinal line from snout to rent raries between 50 and 58 ; the smatler mombers, $50-54$, are from Arkansar and eastem states, the higher series, 53 to 56 , are Kansas and Oklahoma topotype of phatialis has 5 g). Two specimens from
 with gratest frequencr. In Arkasas perimens, $\boldsymbol{y}$. oceurs most frequently. In Kansas and Oklahoma, 5: and jt are equal. In eastern secimens the more usual nmober is $\delta$. The subeaudals in the few specimens with complete tails nomber about 100 .

The namber of sale rows about the body varies as to the place where the romnt is made, and it likewise varies when counts are made at the same point on varions sperimens. Thus, at a point behind the ear', the come vary between 31 and 38 ; at the constricted part of the nerk, from $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ to 31 ; about body shightly behind insertion of the foreleg. $32-36$ : about the midalle of the body, from 24 to 30 . Only a single -perimen (Michigan No. 68toto) has 30 scale rows: while only one peremen has 24 and one $2 \boldsymbol{5}$ bade fom the typer. These weve C.N. N. 3 . 3197 and 75291 (plurialix). respertively.
 9 times: 28,42 times, and 29 , only once.

The nomat number of kabiats is seven, four anterior to the sub)octilu labial; the exceptions are few. In -perimens examined other than the trpes, the number $6-6$ ocrurred in three: one from Fansas, one from Arkansas, and one from Pemmertrania. The reduction on one side, $6-7$, oremred in $\overline{5}$ eperimens, three frem Kimsas, and two from ()klahoma. The rhararter of the reduced labial series used to differentiate anthracimus from pheialis is in reality an abnormality, and in the Pemsylvania perimen mentioned, the point of junction between the third and fornth habiats is still obvious. The lower labial: are nommally six, comnting to and including the elongate postand sable; however, a mumber of sperimens have only five on we - de with the nommat number on the other.

The nomal number of machals is one pair; however, in ten sperimens out of 90 , two pairs were present, and in 1t specimens an extra sate was present on one side or the other; in two specimens the muchak as such were wanting or broken into smaller sales.

In all specimens examined the postnasal was wanting, and in all but two sperimens the postmental is a single scale. In these two there is a complete division.

The number of -mpraceulare is invariably four, save in two anomahou specimens where a part of the seond is regnented. making five, the extra seale being irregulaty -haped but tonding the frontal, making four supraculars touching this scale. In all other pecimens four is the number of supraoculars. three contanting the fromtals. The superiliaries vary between seen and nine, eight being the most frequent number.

The frontonasal varies con-iderably in the relative length and width. In four cases it forms small sutures with the frontal, but in all others the prefrontals are in contact. The eount of seales surrounding the ear varies between seventeen and twenty; the latter number is more frequently present. The ear lobules are very small: two or three are usually diseernible. The nasal sutures rumning above and below the nostril to edges of the scale are deep and separate the two parts of the scale.

The frontonasal is almost invariably in contact with the anterior loreal. three exceptions being noted: two in Kansas specimens in which the small frontonasals are separated from the loreal on both sides, and one in a Pemsylyania specimen separated on one side only. The variation in the number of lamellae under the fourth toe extends from 13 to 18 ; however, the smaller number rarely appears and does not seem to be confined to any particular region. However, the western specimens have a slightly higher average, 16 or 17 being the more nemal counts, while in the eastern specimens 15 or 16 are more u-ual. In lamellae under the other digits a similar rariation is evident. This is an anticipated condition. since the digits of eastern specimens are on the average slightly shorter than in western and southern specimens. In only three sperimens did I find the frontonasal in contact with the rostral-a variation that oceur: much more frequently in septentrionalis. Presuborulars are normally two, three occurring ahormally in a few secimens, due perhaps to the segmentation of a small portion of the second loreal; four is the normal number of postsubocular: (rarely theee.

The temporal scales are quite fixed in their relation to each other, all four being invariably present. In not a single serimen examined is there an exception. The small postlabiak-nomatly wo-may sometimes fuse and form a single scale: the tertiary temporal may enter the ear or be separated from it by a single seale. In none did the seventh labial form a suture with the upper secondary temporal.

The rariations in color and markings are not great and have to
do chiefly with variation in shades of color, and also in the distinctness of the medial line. In young specimens the dorsolateral and lateral lines are greenish or greenish-white, showing some metallic reflections; in older specimens these lines may be cream, yellowish or whitish. The rentral and ventrolateral surface likewise may change in adults from a greenish to a dirty creamy white on chin. and darker grayish or bluish-gray on abdomen.

In very young specimens the tails are blue; the ground color is dark, almost blackish, and the light lines are very narrow and dim. Instead of the light lines stopping short at the last supraocular, the edges of the other supmoculars have their outer edges with irregular lighter areas that may be contiguous with the dorsolateral line. and so with the scales anterior to these supraoculars. The lateral light line is usually represented anteriorly by a series of light areas beginning on the presuboculars, with a light spot on the three succeeding labials, one in front of the ear, and one following the ear; behind this it is evident as a very narrow line. During the second season the light color of the canthus and the supraculars fade, so that in older specimens practically no trace of this is in evidence; the dots on the labials change but little and rarely become contiguous; the white spot behind the ear usually is joined with the line and the resultant impression is that the light line passes through the ear. The lateral dark brown stripe varies but little in width, but in many older specimens the scales show central areas that are darker-in fact almost black, thus giving a lined appearance. The dorsal ground color varies through varying shades of black or black-brown (in the young) to light browns, greemish-gray to olive. I have seen a New York specimen and two Pennsyrania specimens pea-green above. This may be due to fading or may be normal. Sometimes the merlian line is evident, sometimes wholly absent in young, middle-aged and old adults. When present it is usually only a few shades lighter than the ground color and may or may not have a decp brown edge of dotted or continuous color. The dorsal surface of the tail is usually somewhat of the same shade as the dorsal ground color but more frequently than not is peppered with dark brown dots irregularly arranged.

The width of the dorsolateral and lateral lines raries; when passing through a single scale row they are much marrowed; if slightly lower, or higher, bordering the edges of two seate rows, they may be distinctly wider; in the young sperimens (second and third seatons), the dorsal and lateral head scales may be hearily edged
with dark brown, and thi color may be retained in some adults. There i- mo trate of markinge comesponding to the bifurcating lines on the wop of the head. Likewise there is no trame of a light line on the posterior part of the femur.

The had never arexume the much distended combition iound in old males of laticepe or fasciatus, although some -peecimens e presumahly all adult males have the side of the head tinged reddish or orange during the brecting season.

The amount of pitting on the sales in the young is exceded in no speries of the genus. Practically all the sales on the side of the neck and bodys, those on the limbs, and, to a lesser extent, those on the outer dorsal rows have the posterior part of the scale with a row of pits six to ten in number. These pits are in eridence in specimens uj) to a lenoth of fifty millimeters, shout to vent measurement. but beeome obsolete save in axillary and groin regions of older adult- particularly males.

Size. The maximum size of the species, snout to rent measurement, probably toes not exceed 6.5 millimeters, and only a few examined were above 60 millimeters. A sperimen with a perfert tail from Gireen Co.. Miss. (Univ, of Rochester), has a snout to rent measurement of 61 mm . and a tail length of 118 millimeters, totalling 17: millimeters. K. $V^{\circ}$. Nos. $8: 30$ and 8226 from Imboden. Ark., measure respectively 62.2 and 6.3 millimeters, snout to vent, but the tails are broken or regenerated; while the largest specimen seen $(64$ millimeters) is from Franklin Co., Kansas (Ottawa Cniversity, Ottawa, Kin.. No. 198). The length when hatehing appears to be about 으-2t millimeters in snout to vent measurement, the total length from 46 to 49 millimeters.

The relative proportions of the borly change from young to adult. The areage proportions in the soung are as follows: Tail, 1.4 times head :md body length; length shout to forelimb in head-body length. 2.2 times: foreleg into head-body. 3.01 times: himd leg in heal-body lengeth. e.t: axilla to groin distane in head-borly length, ㄹ..… In the largest atult, the proportions are as follow: Tail length, 1.74 time- heal-borly length; length shout to forelimb) in heal-body length, 3.0. times: length of foreleg into head-boty length, 4.2 ; length of hind heg into head-body length, 3.1 time-: axilla to groin distance into head-body length. 1.8 times.
 limbe exem somewhat horter and the propertions are: length shout to foreleg in heal-body length. :s times: foreleg length in heal-body
length, 4.46 times: limd leg length into head-body length, 2.2 ; axilla to groin distance into head-body length, 1.9 times. It appears that, in general, sperimens from the northeastern part of the range have somewhat shorter and slightly heavier limbs.

Romarks. This oriparous species appears to be quite secretive, and as a result a rather small number of specimens have been discovered bey collectors.

Specimens which I collected in Anderson Co., Kansas, were found under rocks on rocky bluffs along Pottawatomie creek. In Cherokee county, Kansas, the species wals discovered in the neighborbood of a small spring which had a flowing outlet, filled with water cress. While I was searching for salamanders, among the ronts of the water cress, a specimen of a skink was routed, apparently having taken refuge among the plants. Search in a seattered pile of small rocks in the pasture land adjoining the rivulet resulted in the capture of 1.5 pecimens of rarying sizes. Certain ones near the water would take refuge in the water, diving among the cresses. One specimen was later taken in woods under a log. Apparently they are wholly terrestrial or fossorial, although the burrows observed merely consisted of a runway under a roek. Stomach contents show a rariety of insects constituting their food, as well as certain other types of small invertehrate animals.

Gloyd (1928) obtained eight eggs from a captive female which were laid June 21-23, and hatehed July 2t-25, approximately one month after deposition. The egge areraged $6 \times 10$ millimeters. The size of newly hatched young is given as 47 mm . total length. When hatched (newly) they were black with blue tails. White Gloyd makes no mention of the dorsolateral and lateral lines, these are discernible in newly hatched sperimen of 49 mm . total length (snout to rent. 22 millimeters), although, after preserration in formalin, these lines can only be discerned with difficulty.

The types of Eumeces anthracimus (No. 3138, 5 specimens), now in the Enited States National Musemm. are in an extremely bad -tate and nothing whatever is left of the original color and markings. The scales are largely missing so that accurat data camot be obtained. The following notes were made:

1. Prefoontals much enlarged. the frontoparietal much reduced; frontal abommally truncate; first pair of muchals fused medially; wix upper labials, three preceding the subocular.
2. Interparictal larger than others. approaching the size of the parietals; frontal and prefrontals normal; seven upper labials, four preceding the subocular: frontal and frontonasal separated.
3. Typical save onty six upper lahials, three preceding the subocular. Interparictal nomal.
t. Interparictal rery large; frontal tomehe fromonatals; upher labials $7-7$.
4. Similar to No. 4, but the frontal separated from fromtonasal.

In three specimens the scale horlering inner mesial edge of the postgenial is wider than long.

The type of Eumecos plutalis Cope has been lost and I propose to designate C.S.N.M. No. Tow 91 as aneotype of the form. Were one to separate platialis from anthracimus on the basis of the character: pointed out by Cope, it would likewise be necessary to separate the western populations from both on similar slight and rariable characters. Cnles large series of specimens in the southeastern part of the range can be brought together that show some differential characters now not known to exist, one is left no alterna-


Fig. 63. Distribution of Eumcees anthracinus (Baird), in the United States.
tive other than to regard it a syonym of Eumeces anthracimus (Bairel).

Distribution. This species is widely pread over the eastern half of the United States, and its range is rather similar to $E$. fasciatus save that jts northern range particularly in the northwest may be more restricted. The absence of specimens in eollections from sereral states where one may presme the peries oferms (Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Virginia, Kentucky, Tennesce, (ieorgia, ete.) argues that they may eventually be diseovered in Iowa, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Nebraska. Ashas been suggested, the species seems erratic in distribution, and eren in stater where it oceurs, the localities where it has been taken are few in number.

Locality records:

## Peñsylvania:

Clinton Co.: Renova (U.N.N.M.1).
('umberlamd Co.: North momtan, near Carlisle (type locality) (U.S.N.M. 5, cotypes).

Clearfield. Co.: (Carnegie M. 1).
New York:
Tompkins ('o.: Caroline (Cornell 1).
Orlcuns Co.: Berqen Swamp (Wright, 1919).
Momboe Co.: Irondequoit Bay (Bishop, 1918).
Ontario Co.: Bristol Hills, near Academy (Bishop, 1918) : Fishers (Bishop, 191S).
Maryland:
Alleqheny Co.: (A.N.S.P. 2).
Ǐnidentified locality: Mt. City Gap, Md. (Comell L', 1).
North Carolina:
Transyluanier Co.: Chubb Gap, Pisgale forest (Mich. U. 1) ; Looking Cilass (U.S.N.M. 1).
Buncombe Co.: Asheville (E. H. Taylor 1, (iloyd Coll.).
Alabama: Mobile (o.: Mobile (U.S.N.M. 1, pluvialis neotype).
Mississind: Green Co.: Gaines Creek (Univ. of Rochester 1).
Loumina: Cuddo Co.: Gayle (K.L゙. 1) (Mich. U. 1) (Field 1) ; side of Wallace Batou (Ntrecker. 1926).

Arkansts:
Laverne ('o.: Imboden (K.U. 19) (Cornell 2) (Carmegie 1) (Mich. U. 3) (M.C.Z. 7).

Lafayette Co.: Lewisville (K.U. 7).
Benton Co.: (Mich. U. 1).
Garland Co.: Hot Springs (Strecker, 1924).
Suline Co.: (E.H.T. 1).
Missouri:
Carter Co.: Near Van Buren (Mich. U. 1).
Jfferson Co.: Peveley (T.S.N.M. 1) (Baylor 1).

La rlede Co．：（Hurler and stremer，1909）．
Miller and Pulaski（＇os．：Rubidatux Crok（Mieh．L．I）．
Bumy（o．：Near Rockhous Cave（A．M．N．ll．1）．
Kivess：
Audtron（＇o．：Near（ilonlork（K．U＇，1）．
Franlilin Co．：Ottawa（Ottawa C．1）．
Dickinsom（＇口．：（К̌．l．1）．
Miami Co．：Piqeon Lake（Mi九h．L＇．4）（Ottawa U．1）．
Chorolee Co．：Near Baster Suringa（F．H．T．s）（A．M．N．H．3）．
OkLAhomis：
Latimer Co．：（Okla．V．13）．
Bryan（O．：（Okia．C．1）．
Comanche Co．：（Ortonburg ©r．1926）．
Pushmataha（＇u．：（Ortenburger．1926）．
Tulaa Co．：（Otenbureer．1926）．
Choctau Co．：Fort Towson（Cope，1900）．
Texss：Only a single record．Cope．1900，＂Brazos River，＂Shumard Coll．． U＇A．N．M．2．These specimens are apparently lost and the record may be ractarded as doubtín．

Eumeces copei Tarfor

（Plate 33：Figs．64，65）

SVNOSVMV
1585．Eumers brevirnstris var．Cont．Proc．Amer．Plit．suc．．XVXII，Jan．－Oct．，1885，387； （oopt，Bull．TV．太．Nat．Mus．，No．32，14nt．1． 46 （part．）．
1933．Eumerts coptri Taytor．Proc．Binl．Soc．Washinertom，NLJJ，lune 30，1933，Mp．133－ 137，fig．head，enlarged，dorsal and lateral views（tupe despription）．

History．This species was first given a name as recently as Jume 30．1933．However，its original discovery in either the valley of Mexico of the neighboring one of Toluca was made by C．T．Hoege in 1sat or 180．5．t In the latter year．Come published a wort deacrip－ tion of the sperimen（No．32e91．U．A．N．MI．）under the name Eumeres bremostris（ithr．car．，but failed to name the rariety．Cope like－ wiee had access to another specimen（No． 7037 ）in the National Musemm lacking all data save the locality＂Mexico．＂This speci－ men was entered in the Muremm catalngue much earlier tham the other．and its，date of discovery，now lost，certainly anterlates that of the－ferimen collected by C．T．Hoege．This－pecimen is so badly faded that the color pattern is all but lest．It is emath wonder that no one has phacel a specific mame on the tag．

[^40]Since neither of these specimen* bore a definite locality, the type was chosen from a series of specimens obtained by Hobart Smith and myself, from near Asuncion in the westem part of the state of México, Mexico, August 4, 1932. It is No. 3859 of the TaylorSmith Collection, in excellent condition, save that it is somewhat darkened by preservatives.

In the Museo Nacional de México there is a specimen of this species, presumably from the mountains from between Mexico City and Toluca, state of México, which is labeled Eumeces herrerae. A search through available Mexican publications, and inquiries failed to exhume a pulbished description. This does not preclude the possibility that such a description exists, in some journal, or that the description was privately printed.

The species has been placed tentatively in the Anthracimus group, although it differs from the other members in having a reduced number of scale rows. with dorsal series widened, the dorsolateral lines originating on the snout in the young instead of on the last supraocular, the sixth and seventh labials greatly increased in size, with the primary temporal larger than the lower secondary, and the upper secondary likewise proportionally smaller. The interparictal is proportionally larger than in all other species.

Diagnosis. A moderately large form, having well-defined lateral and dorsolateral whitish lines extending the length of the body, but lacking any trace of a median white line or forking light lines on the head; the limbs small, widely separated (in adults) when adpresed on sides of body; four supraculars, three touching the frontal; two pairs of nuchals; seven labials, last largest or equal to sixth; a single postmental; no postnasal; large primary temporal in contact witl lower secondary temporal and equal or larger in size; a broad seale bordering the postgenial on its anterior median side. Scales in 22 or 24 rows about the middle of the body, the median rows somewhat widened.

Description of the type. Adult female. Rostrals moderately high, the part visible above one third, or less, the area of the frontonasal; latter in contact with the frontal and forming sutures with supranasals, prefrontals, and the anterior loreal; prefrontals quadrangular, the longest side forming the frontonasal suture; the sutures with the frontal next in size; that with the second loreal larger than the sutures with anterior loreal, superciliary or anterior
suptancular: frontal large, broad anteriorly, the anterior end a very obtuse angle, as is the posterior, in contart with three supraoculars: two irregubarly rectangular fontoparictals, forming a median suture one third their length; interparietal large, broad, not enclosed by the parictals: parietals large, irmeqularly hexagonal; two pairs of nuchals. the anterior pair largest.


Fig. 64. Eumeces copei Taytor. E.H.T. and H.M.s. No. 1827; near Tres Marias, Morelos, Mexico. A, łateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 10.2 mm .; width, 8.3 mm .

Nasal relatively small, the part in front of nostril much smaller than the posterior part including nostril; no postnasal; anterior loreal much higher than wide. distinctly higher than posterior loreal, which is longer than high; two presuboculars, the anterior largest; three postsuboculars, the upper largest; primary temporal large, equal in area to and broatly in contact with the lower secondary temporal. separating the seventh labial from the upper second-
ary temporal; tortiary temporal small, clongate, widened medially; a pair of postlabials border anterior edge of the ear, the lower dongate; seven upper labials, four preceding the subocular, of Which the first is the largest; sisth and seventh very large, of about same area; eye with four enlarged srales on lower lid separated from the subocular by three rows of minute tubercles; six -uperiliaries, the anterior large, the second less than half its size; mental large, having a longer labial border than the rostral; a single, large, azyous postmental; six lower labials, the last elongate; three pairs of chinshields, the first in rontact medially; the postgenial elongate, bordered on anterior imner edge by a scale broader than long.

Scales on the body large, in 22 rows, larger dorsally than ventrally, the rows parallel on the sides; about the neck posterior to the ear, 28 rows; about narrow part of neck, 66 rows; behind arm, 29 rows, and 15 about base of the tail: sales from parietals to above the anus, 61 ; scales above and behind insertion of limbs with numerous pits on posterior borders scales under tail widened, about 21,2 times as wifle as long; anus bordered by two median preanal scales and two smaller scales on each side, the outer seales werlapping the inner; a very small area of small juxtaposed scales in axilla; lateral postanal scale enlarged but not strongly differentiated.

Limbs small, weak, wirlely separated when adpresed; palm with several enlarged, rounded seales mixed with smaller; the wrist tubercle on outer alge of under side of wrist not especially enlarged; lamellar formula for fingers: $5 ; 8 ; 11 ; 10 ; 6$; foot with (normally) four enlarged tubercles bordering the heel; usually one enlarged tubercle on the sole with other smatler tubereles; lamellar formula for toes: $4 ; 7 ; 10 ; 12 ; 9$; fourth toe with intercalated lateral scales only at base of the proximal phatanx.

Color in life. Above the general rolor is a brownish-olive to light rhocolate; a very distinet, very narow, creamy-white, dorsolateral line begins on the rostral, pases back along the sides of the head and along the side of body to some distance on the base of the tail, orcupying the median thirl of the third sate row. A cream-white lateral line begins on rostral, passes along the lower part of the first four labials, then rises somewhat, parsing arrose the upper part of the succeeding labials, reaching middle and upper edge of ear; behind ear it begins on lower half of the ear edge, then bends down slightly. continuing back above am along the side and to some
distance on the tail. A deep blak or bark-hown aripe begins on the side of the heat, mine alome the side, orcupying the area between the light lines :and bordering the imer odge of the lateral light line: on the median pate of the bark there are fiee bown lines; the thee median, which follow the edges of the sale rows are quite distinct, but are much ligher in eolor and narrower than the deep hatekbrown line bordering the light dorsolateral lines; head with a few back-brown sots: below the lateral light line, side blatek-brown. each seale with lighter grayish areas foming more or lese distinct lighter lines: lower labials, chin, abdomen, underside of limbs and tail dirty grayish to blui-h-gray, the preanals showing some brownish color: seales of arm and leg showing irregular light dots, the

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { Sex.... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tybe } \\ & 3 \times 59 \end{aligned}$ | $34-0$ | $3.51$ | 353. ¢ | 32060 9 | $\underset{\sigma^{7}}{3 .+14}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \times 56 \\ 0^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \sin \\ 8^{7} \end{gathered}$ | 3596 ¢ | $\begin{gathered} 3.95 \\ 5 \mathrm{y} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3598 \\ \sqrt[y]{9} . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | 76 | 74 | 67 | 6.5 | 63 | 60 | 5.5 | . 30 | 4.5 | 34 | 29 |
| Tail. |  |  | 9.5 |  |  |  |  | 71 |  | 49 |  |
| Snout to forelimb. | 23 | 22.2 | 20.5 | 1s. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 19 | 20.3 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 11 |
| Snout to ear. | 12 | 12.3 | 12 | 11 | 11) | 10.5) | 10 | 9.4 | $s$ | \% | 6 |
| Axilla to groin. | 47.7 | 45.5 | 35 | 40 | 37.5 | 31 | 30 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 1.5 |
| Width of head. | 10 | 9.5 | $9 . \therefore$ | 9 | 8.2 | 9 | $\cdots 1$ | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| Length of head | 11 | 10 : | 10.2 | 4.8) | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.2 | $\therefore .7$ | 7.7 | 6.3 | 6 |
| Widtly of body | 11.5 | 12 | 10. | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9.2 | $\checkmark$ | S. 2 | $\overline{7}$ | 6.5 |
| Foreleg. | 13 - | 14 | 1.5 | 13.5 | 14 | $12 . i$ | 13 | 11 | 10.2 | 6. 5 | 7.5 |
| Hind leg. | 1) - | 19.4 | 20 | נ. | 1ヵ2 | 1. | 17 | 16 | 14 | 112 | 92 |
| Scale rows | 22 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 24 | こ2 | 22 | 24 | 24 |
| Interparietal inclosed.. | no | 110 | no | no | no | 110 | 110 | no | 100 | no | no |
| Seales occiput to anus | (i) | 6.4 | 63 | +i3 | 80 | (i)) | (i2) | ti2 | 6.4 | (i3 | 138 |
| Clumer labials: | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| supraoculars | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\pm$ |
| Nuchals, pairs. | 2 | 2 | 21.2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $2{ }^{1} 2$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Postmentals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | ] |
| Posthasits | $1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1)$ | $1)$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Larmest liabial | 7 | 7 | $7=1$ | 7 | 7 | T - ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | $7=6$ | 7-6 | $7=\ldots$ | $7=i$ | $7=6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Frontonss:s] touches } \\ & \text { front:al } \end{aligned}$ | yrs | yes | S" | yes | ye- | res | yes | ver | 10 | no | Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Suprancular: toum } \\ & \text { frontal...... } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Seventh latnal tourhes upper semberlary tompor: $]$ | 120 | 100 | H19 | 110 | 110 | 180 | no | 10 | no | 110 | 10 |

fingers with cream dots on each scale. the toes only partly so; soles and palms bluish-black.

Trariation. Detailed data taken on 36 specimens show the following variations: All show a rather decided tenacity to the color pattern described, and moreover it seems to be retained in both sexes to old age with very little change save that the bluish color of the tail is lost early. There is some rariation in the shades of brown forming the ground color and in a few specimens the three chocolate lines borlering the edges of the three median scale rows are dim or obsolete; occasionally the dark spots on the dorsal part of the head are wanting.

The sale claracters show some rariation. The frontal occasionally is separated from the frontonasal ( 8 times in 36). The parietals fail invariably to enclose the interparietal; the sixth and seventh upper labials are of about equal area, the seventh occasionally the largest; there are invariably four supraoculars, three of which touch the frontal (one exception on one side) ; seven upper labials tone exception with six on each side); the seales about the ear vary between 15 and 17 ; invariably one postmental; a postnasal occurs on one side in a single specimen; superciliaries vary between sis and seven (five in two specimens). The number of seales from the parietals to above anu- varies between 60 and 64 , while 62 occurs twice as frequently as any other number. Csually one auricular lobule rarely two, or nonet, enlarged. The frontonasal invariably touches the first loreal. The lamellae under the fourth toe vary between 12 and 14,13 being the most frequent number (two specimens have 16 on one side) ; post-uboculars usually three (three exceptions with four). In the greater part of the specimens the primary temporal is larger than the lower secondary (which is unusual in the genus) and is invariably in contact with it, thereby separating the seventh labial from the upper secombary temporal. Two specimens show three nuchals on one sile. In two specimens the posterior loreal is broken vertically on one side; a single presubocular oceure on both sides in four specimens, on one side in one. The limbs when adpressed fail to touch save in the youngest and smallest specimens (29-34 mm.). where they may overlap one or two millimeters: in the largest specimens $(76 \mathrm{~mm}$.) they are separated by a distance of 15 millimeter.

The color of the specimens in preservative is very much darker than in life.

Remarks. The specimens collected by Mr. Smith and myself
were obtained for the most part by tuming over rocks on a grassy hilkside (elevation about 6.000 feed or from under logs and hark slabs in a pine forest where logging uperations had been carried on (eleration approximately 9,500 feet). The populations were exelusively of this species in these two separate types of localities. In a near-by foeality in exactly the same type of fores habitat, the entire Enemeces population observed or collerted bedonged to indubitus. Our first encounter with copei occurred when Mr. Smith ronted a single specimen from under a rock in a lava field near Tree Marias, Morelos, in the momatans to the south of Mexion (ity atong the Mexico-Cuernavaca highway, about thirty mile- from Alexion City, and about fifty miles from the type locality.

The large type series contains to specimens of varions ages and sexes. An eximination of the sex organs failed to dischese whether the form is ovoviviparous or not. All the femates examined lack developing egge in the oriducts; Cnited States National Museum specimen No. 3291 shows five well-developed egos in the oraries. The stomachs contain a variety of insects belonging to several families, chiefly coleoptera and blattics. No ants were observed.
The species is named for Edward Drinker Cope, who first noted the form, but failed to give it a name.

Data obtained by correlating the snout to vent measurement: of


Fig. 65. Distribution of Eumrees coper Taylor, in Mexieo.
the serics collected in August show the following expected measure－ ments for the first ten years．

| 1st | 20 | 34 | 4 th | 5 th | 6 th | 7 th | Sth | $9 t_{1}$ | 10 th | 11 th | 12th | nth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 34 | . | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 63 | 65 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . | 76 |

Distribution．Known certainly only from the states of Morelos and Méxieo，and the Distrito Federal．

## Locality records：

Mexico：
Mixiro（State）： 10 miles southeast of Asunción，western Mexico．Type locality（E．H．T．\＆H．s．4S）．
Morrles：Near Tres Marias，alone the Mexico City－Cuemavaca high－ way（E．H．T．d H．S．1）．
Distrito Federal：Santa Lucia（A．M．N．H．1）．
Indefinite localities：Mexico（U．S．N．M．1）：＂Either the Valley of Mex－ ico or the neighboring one of Toluca．＂（U．S．N．M．1）．

Eumeres septentrionalis septentrionalis（Baired）
（Plate 34；lFigs，Gif，（it）
SYNONYMY
 （typer description；type lucality gisen as Mmmenota and Nelnaskat Rer．Manney （＇ull．）；and Explr．Surv．Railr．Route Pac．（Moar，Zaïl．，Vol．I（1853－1856），Rept．
 redescription）：？Hayden，Trans．Abrer．Plal．Sue．，XlI，186：f．177；Garman，Bull． Essex Jnst．，XVl．Jun．，1sint，p． 15 （umder Eumects）：Pratt，Vert．Anm．U．S．，1923， P．20t（key）：Strineger and Barhmar，Cheek Lint N．A．Amph．Rept．，1917，p． 71.
1875．Eumeres septentrianalis Cope．Bull．1．N．Nit．Mun．．No．1，1s75．p．4t；Conts and Yarow，Bull．1．S．Geol．Chog．Surv．Terr．，lV，N゙ッ．1，Feb．5，1sis．p．87；Coure，


 （whmom at far morth as Lak Wimmbaga＂）：latvis and Rice，lll．State Lab．Nat．


 203－20f（color descriptim，hahitat and distrituthon）；somms，Proc．Acad．Aci．，XVIII， 1911．1．1．9（Tuwa）：Graenicher，Nit．Ilist．Fuc．Wisponsm，1X，1911，p．T9（numer－ cus localities in Wisconsin）：Ditmass，The Rerpile Rook，1915，1． 149 （general ac－
 soutl I aknta Geol．\＆Nat．liot．Surver，Bull．12．Feries XXIll，Bull．Unix．S． Itaknta，（oct．，1423，1．20；stemeger ame Barlour．（＇heck List N．A．Aump．Rept．，
 Hist．．X，No．2，1923，D．22；心hmiult，Copmia，Nu．154，May 20，1926，D． 182 （Chipmowa Falls．Wis．）：Nulting．Rept．Comb．Ntate Fanna，Iowa，Pp．1－3：Pope and Dimkinson．Bull．Pul，Mus．City Milwamker．MIll，No．1，192s，1．45，ph．11，fig． 3
 Burt，Trans．Acad．Sci．St．Lomis．XYlI．No．1．Aug．，1920，Mp．63－fif，fig． 14 （dis－ tribution in Kiansas and hatsit－）：Burt amal Bunt．Dmer．Nus．Nor．．No．3n ，Nov．2， 1429，1）． 10 ：Force，Copria， 1430. No．コ，p．2！（Tulsa Co．．Okla．）：？Patch，Copria，

Ifistory．This form hat been known since 185s，when Baird deseribed it from specimens in the U．S．National Museum，from
the type locality＂Minmenta and Nebraska，collected by Rev．
 in considerable detail，giving the trpe number a－C．N．N．No．13：56
 dereribed from Minnesota and aloo known to ocour in Nehrarka and K゙ansas．＂Yarow（1ssor lists three perimem－from Fort Ripler．Minnesota cunder the number 3156i．Which，one presumes， are the cotypes：no＊mention is male of Nehraska epectinerns．

Cope 19900 liste the three perimens 1 No．315tio from Fort Ripley，Minnesota，received from（avernor Stevens．Abo，No． $31: 37$ from sand Hille of Nebratka．

There are at present three specimens in the National Museum bearing the number 3156 from Fort Ripler，Minnesota（collector， Doctor Headi，labeled types（cotypes），and it would appear that the above locality should be considered the actual type locality． Since no lectotype has been chosen，I propose to designate as lecto－ trpe of Eumeces septentrionalis，the medimm－sized perimen of the three cotypes No．3156，having a sout to vent meaturements of ap－ proximately 70 millimeters．This specimen，particularly as regards the character and relationship of the frontonasal，approathes more closely the norm of the species，while the larger specimen has a reduced number of labiak and has the frontonasal srale fused with the prefrontak．which are in turn partially fused；in the smallest of the three cotypes，the frontomasal is reduced to a minute scale， and the labials are abnommal．

In the trpe dercription it would appear that the collector＇s name （Rev．S．W．Mamney may be in error，since later mention of the －pecimens attributes the material from Furt Ripley to Dortor Head the present desigations．but in Cope 11900 the three specimens are noted as＂received from Governor stevens．＂It will be noted． too．that the original number as listed by Baird is 1356 ，while later works and the present eatalogue number of presmably the same specimens is 3156 ，sugesting that the former number is a metathe－ sized form of the latter，and in error．

Cones and Yarmo 1 siat report the－peries in their paper on the Herpetology of Dakota and Montana，without definite locality． Cragin（1sob reoord－a perimen from Neorho Falls．Kan．Yarow （1802）adels Texas（＂northem boundary of 「 「exas＂）amd an au－ thentic Mebraska localies．Fort Keamey．Hoy 110n3）reports the

[^41]species in Wisconsin. Ruthren (1910) first records the species from Iowa. Over (1923) substantiates the Dakota report, stating it has been taken as far north as Roberts county, and that it is common in the eastern part of the state. Whether the species actually occurs in Montana may be questioned.
Diatnosis. A medium-sized species (maximum size, about 75 millimeters) with (normally) two postmentals and no postnasal; frontonasal small. frequently fused with adjoining seales or absent, not in contact with the anterior loreal; limbs relatively short, not orerlapping when adpressed in adult specimens; dorsolateral white line arising on the posterior part of the supraocular or superciliary region, and continuing some distance on tail; lateral white line arising on snout, passing back above ear to some distance on tail; these lines bordered above and below by dark brown, and the entire space between them of the same color; ground color of back usually forming three light-brown lines, usually bordered by darker brown lines.

Description of the species (from No. 6982 et seq. Kansas University Museum; collected June 10, 1928, near Onaga. Pottawatomie county, Kansas: E. H. Taylor, collector'. The rostral large, the part visible above much larger (sometimes more than twice) than the frontonasal; supranasals normal, generally rectangular, forming a median suture and (usually) separating the frontonasal from the rostral, likewise forming a suture with the prefrontals, separating the frontonasal from the anterior loreal; prefrontals large, pentagonal, forming a broad median suture (usually ) ; forming subequal sutures with the first supraocular, the two loreals (only one on right side) and the supranazals; frontal short, its length not equal to its distance from the end of the snout coceasionally as long as this distance), bordered laterally by two supraoculars (normally three) the second of which is very large; frontoparictals large, irregularly pentagonal, invariably forming a median suture; interparietal moderate, not enclosed by the parietals; parietals very large, broad, truncate behind; two pairs of muchals (one pair more usually). Nasal scale large, nearly equal in area to the supranasal, its anterior elevation nearly equal to its length and not divided;* two loreals, the anterior somewhat higher, normally touching the prefrontal (failing to do so on the left side) ; second loreal nearly as high as long; four supraoculars, two or three touching frontal; seven super-

* In most species there are strong grooses emerging above and below from the nostril which divides the nasal into two parts and the shed scale separates along the sutural line. In this form a simple depression may be observable, lut the scale does not separate here.
riliaries, the anterior about three time- the size of the second; the lat rertieal, ahout se of erond: two relatively large presuboculars: four postouboculars: primary temporal moderately large, broadly in contact with the lower socondary tomporal: upper secondary temporal largot: tertiary temporal rertionl, narmw, separated from the auricular opening be a single tiny sate; seren upper lahials. the firs lavest of the four tanterior, and (urwally) highest; the first loreal temd to make a wide noteh between the first and seeond labiak: : suberulas labsial longer than high; the sixth and serenth lahials with about the same elevation, but the serenth lateret and


Fig. 66. Eumeces septentrionalis septentrionalis (Baird). K.U. No. 6988 ; five mile-w of Onaga. Kansas. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length. 10.6 mm .; width. 10.2 mm .
longest (nemally): two porthabials, upper largest. separated from the minute auricular lobule by three tiny seales; five fusually) lower labials anterior to the elongate posterior (sixth) labial; montal large. with a labial edge much larger than the rostral; two poetmentals; three pairs of chinshields followed bey the elongate postgenial. which is hordered on its anterior inner edge by a scale longer than wide.

Eye moderate. its lengh equal to the distane from it- anterior corner to the anterior calge of the nostril; the upper median palpebral scale- join the superciliaries; lower eyelid with four on five cmarged opaque sales separated from the subocular by two rows of granular seales.

The car opening of moderate size, surrounded by about 20 scales, the lobules minute. scarcely differentiated; the seales on the body are in parallel longitudinal row: exept hehind the am, where the
interpolated sories back of the arm are diagonal (some specimens show some irregularity to the groin); pitting on the lateral seales evident, few on sides of neek 'e or 3), white pits may be more numerous on posterior sides of limbs and about insertion of limbs; in the narow pat of the neck there are 30 sale rows; behind am, 35 rows: about mithle of body, 28 rows; on base of tail behind anus, 21 rows: 60 wale in a row from the parietals to above anus; scales under the tail somewhat widened; two enlarged preanals (a median abnomal scale present), bordered laterally by a differentiated preanal, and this by a second smaller scale, the outer preanals overlapping the inner.

Limbs small. widely separated when adpressed la distance of about 10 sates) the terminal lamellae not tightly bound about claws. Lamehtar formula of fingers: $7 ; 9 ; 11 ; 11 ; 7$; of toes: $7 ; 9 ; 13$; 15; 9. Pahm bordered by entarged scales; on sole of foot, the seales are imbricating, flat, irregular in size. The subcaudals are not greatly widened. The regenerated tail, however, may show them very strongly widened. The same is true of the dorsal caudal series which in the regenerated tail is quite unlike the original dorsal squamation and is of a different shade.)

Color and markings. Above, the ground color is gray, or olivegray, forming a slightly lighter putty-colored median line, terminating anteriorly at the interparietal, covering somewhat less than half of each of the two median seale rows; this line bordered laterally by two darker gray lines with brown spotting (frequently well-defined dark brown lines), earh about as wide as the median; these darker lines bordered by lines of the gray-olive ground color, each covering approximately one and two thirds sale rows; lying between these and the dorsolateral white (or cream) lines are narow brown lines lese than one whole scale row in width; these originate on the parietak and continue on the tail; the dorsolateral light line definitely originates on the last suprow ular and continues as a narrow line to nearly a half the length of the tail, its width rarety more than half a wale, but orcupring edges of two sake rows (fourth and fifth) ; lying between the dorsolateral and lateral lines is a deep brown band originating behind eve talthough a few brown sots about and in front of eye suggests that the brown band began farther forward and has become obsered) ; it continues to some distance on the tail; the light lateral line begins below eye, runs diagonally upward to and above the upper edge of the ear. where after a slight break it passes back, bordering the brown lateral stripe to some distance on the tail; below the lateral white line there is a very narrow brown
line formetimes not a comected line bordering it；upper labials generally light from rothal，whewhat edged with darker，gray or brown on their upper edece and with a tiny light apot in each cor－ ner of the eve；lower labials and dhin，to a leseere extent the throat． breate underside of limbe and anal plater．areamy white：the hateral amd sentral sides of the ablomen and underside of tail a dult，light hlui－h－gray：limbe above wenerally like ground color of the body with some larker dot：；a whitish hark－bordered line on posterior

Table of mearurement－of Nemhern suecimens of Eumecs whtemtiomalis se petentrimalis（Baird）

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mumeum, } \\ & \text { Xumber. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Field } \\ 14.9 . \\ \text { yg. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Field } \\ & 17922 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Field } \\ & 14753 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Field } \\ 1+7 \times 0 \\ o f \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Field } \\ & 1+7 \times 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Field } \\ & 11579 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{2 \\ 3150}}{N_{0}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shout to vent．．． | 32 | 33 | 52 | H2 | 65 | 70 | 57 | 70 | 74 |
| snout to foreleg | 12 | 11.7 | 16.3 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 17.2 | 20 | 22 |
| Width of heard．．． | 5.5 | 5.5 | 7 | 9.3 | S． 9 |  | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| Length of head． | 6.3 | 6． 2 | 9 | 10 | 10 |  | 102 | 10.1 | 12 |
| Foreleg | 8.5 | －． 2 | 12 | 13.7 | 1.5 | 16.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 .2 | 16 |
| Hind leg | 10 | 10.5 | 1.5 | 17.5 | 15 | 20.2 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 15 |
| Longest toe．．．． | 3 h | 3.6 | 5 | 5.5 | 6 | 6.3 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| Axilla to groin ．．． | 17 | 17 | 31 | 35 | 39 | 11 | 32 | $3 \times 2$ |  |

＊Field Museum Nos．from Burnett Co．，Wisconsin（Schmidt Coll．）；U＇．S．N．．M．specimens are cotypes：sperimen 31.06 ， 70 mm ．in length，chowen lectotype．

Table of meaturements of Eumeces st ptoticonalis septentrionalis（Baird）－ Fouthem surcimen．

| Museum <br> Number Sex | Kici |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{~L}^{\top} . \\ 69 \times 0 \\ 8^{7} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K.U. } \\ & 699^{7} \\ & \sigma^{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K.L. } \\ 6990 \\ \text { of } \end{gathered}$ | に゙じ 694．） ${ }^{\circ}$ | に゙し 6945 ¢ | に．じ 6932 ఠ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total length | 8.5 .5 | 36.3 | 10．5 5 | 117 | 133 | 138 | 175 |  |  |  |  |
| Snout to tent | 26 | 26.2 | 41.5 | 17 | 80 | 54 | 64 | 69 | 72.5 | 74 | 75 |
| Snout to foreld． | 11 | 11 | 12.2 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 21.5 | 21 | 23.2 | $\because$ | 22 |
| Tail． | 29.5 | 30.6 | 64 | 70 | －3 | 84 | 114 |  |  |  |  |
| Width of head | 4 ． |  | 万．j | ¢． | 7.2 | $s$ | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10．2 |  |
| Length of head | － |  | ¢． 2 | 7 | － | $\bigcirc 2$ | 11 | 10.9 | 11． |  |  |
| Foreleg． | 7 | 7 | 11 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 14 | 15 | 1．5 | 16 | 1.5 | 15.5 |
| Hind leg | 9 | 9 | 1．5 | 1．5．6 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 22.2 | 20 | 21 |
| Longest tor． | 3.5 | 3 | 5 | 5.5 | 6 | 19 |  | 7 | 7．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | i | 7 |
| Axilla to groin | 12 | 12 | 24 | 26 | － | 32 | 3.7 | 34 | 10 | 10 | 4.5 |

[^42]side of femur; dorsal surfare of tail colored like body, and after the definite dark lines cease, they may be evident as scattered brown dots.

Tariation. The material available for the study of septentrionalis septentrionalis consisted of 98 specimens. By far the larger number of these specimens are in the collection of the Kansas University Duseum. The material, with few exceptions, was made up of small series from widely separated localities. Material from the critical reqion in Oklahoma was meager. and more specimens. particularly large series from a few localities, will determine beyond peradsenture the status of obtusirostris and septentrionalis, which are here treated as subspecies of the same species.

The maximum size of the subsecies as shown by material studied is about 75 millimeters, four specimens reaching this size. Newly hatehed young are 25 to 26 millimeters in length.

The number of seales from parictals to above anus varies from 57 to 62 , 60 being more than twice as frequent as any other number. The variation in seale rows about the middle of the body is rather considerable, varying as they do from 24 to 29 , the usual numbers heing 26,27 , or 28 , the last appearing the greatest number of times. The northern epecimens from Iowa. Mimesota, and Wisconsin have a lower number on the atcrage, 26 being the most usual number. Four of the northern specimens have 25 , while in three, the count is 24 . The number of upper labials is 7 , uniform in all save six specimens, of which there are five having two labials fused on one side of the head. No specimen showed a larger number.

The mumber of muchals is usually one pair, but in 18 specimens (out of 861 an extra nuchal is present on one side; in 14 two complete pairs are present; in one three pairs, and in one all of the nuchals are broken, resembling body scales. The supraoculars are invariably four, three usually contacting the frontal, but in eight cases, on one side only, two are in contact, while in three cases there are only two on each side. Three epecimens only have a single postmental. The postnasal is absent in all cases, but in 12 specimens the anterior loreal is broken transersely, leaving an extra scale simulating a very large postnasal. The frontonasal is normally small. surrounded by the supranasal and the prefrontals. In four cases, however, the scale is in contact with the anterior loreal. In 19 cases the scale is in contact with the rostral; in 18 cases it is fused with either the right or left prefrontal or equally fused to the two prefirontals and apparently absent. The superciliaries are six,
seren, or eight, seven being the nomal number for Kansas eperimens, with eight rarely. While in the Mimesota and Wisconsin specimens seren is most frequent, with six appearing oereationally.

The number of lamellae on the fourth toe varies from 1 do 1017. In serenty secimens, eounting both sides. the following numbers appeared: 12, four times: 13. twenty-form times; 14 , sixty-one times: 15. thirty-one times: 16, eleren times; and 17. three times.

The limbs when adpressed on the sides of the body fail to wach in specimens orer 50 mm . shout to vent measurement; while under this size they watially touch or oserlap, and in newh hatehed specimens may overlap + millimeters. In general. the temporals are as described the primary moderately large. forming a definite suture with the lower secondary. In two cases only are there exeptions which allow the seventh labial to form a definite suture with the upper secondary temporal. There are usually two postlabials. The postsuboculars are thre or four (about equally - rarely fise.

In large adults the tail is 1.5 times head-body length; snout to forelimb in head-hody length. 3.3 times; foreleg into head-body length, 4.54 ; hind leg into head-body length, 3.59 times; axilla to groin in head-body length, 1.61 times farerage male and female). (Females have a longer axilla to groin measurement than males.)

In younger specimens the proportions are quite different. In newly hatched young the proportions are as follows: the tail, 1.17 times head-body length; the snout to forelimb in head-body length, 2.4: foreleg into head-boty length. 3.67 times: hind leg into headbody length. 2.85 times: the axilla to groin in head-hody length, 2.14 times. From these comparative figures it will be observed that the limbs are proportionally longer in the young than in the older specimens, a condition that obtains in all species I have examined.

The head length always exceeds the wilth, ewon in the oldest males. The head never assumes the strongly inflated condition found in males of the Fasciatus gromp.

Color variation. The variation in color and markings in Eumeces septentrionalis scptentrionalis is not great sare that the brown pigment forming dorsal stripes may be sparse. so that instad of lines the stripes of the ground eolor may be bordered by dots or merely a line of decper olive color. The median line usually shows as a lighter fometimes approaching white) line a difference that is erident in some newly hatched young. The brown stripes likewise vary in width and in consequence the lighter. gromd color lines are somewhat narrower.

In the young the dark stripes occupy more area than the lighter gromed color and the makings on the head are moderately distinct. These lighter marks are of about the same color intensity as the median dorsal line and are arranged somewhat concentrically in the parietal region.

Occarionally the general olive ground color of the back tents toward a light brown; occasionally toward a somewhat greenish coloration. The tails of the young are blue and this color may be retained until the third rear. Occational ohder specimens may show some bluish reflections.

Remarks. Males during the breding seation develop a deep redtish-orange coloration on the elges of the lower jaw and the -ides of the head in the temporal region. This soon tends to fade ont and no trace of this color is left in specimens collected a month later.

Specimens collected in May deposited cogs. while in captivityduring the latter part of the month. The burrows were made in soft earth under flat rocks. The clutches mumbered from five to eight eggs. These were removed and plared in boxes of moist earth. Those completely buried failed to develop, while those with a portion of the shell left above the surface of the earth under a rock, hatched. Unfortunately, complete data on dates of laying and hatching, white kejt, have since been lost.

The similarity of this form with multidirgatus is often a bit puzzling. The two forms, owing to the fact that the ground color is broken up by the darker brown streaks on the back, appear to have a larger series of light lines on the back than normal for the genus. However, the pigmentation (or lack of it of the secondary lines is of a different quality from the trpical dor-olateral and lateral lines as may be noted in young specimens and older ones preserved in formatin. In multivirgutus, too, there is a tendency for a dark area on the head to divide the lighter color on the head. resulting in a marking suggestive of the bifurcating lines found in the Fasciatus and Brerilineatus groups. In fact, it may be the conmecting link between these two patterns.

In the young of septentrionalis the three dorsal lines of the ground color are dimly in evidence at hatching and there is a series of light marks, scattered in a more or less definite pattern on the parietal region of the head, but in no way suggesting the bifurcating lines of the other mentioned groups. The typical dorsolaterat and lateral light lines are strongly marked.


Fig. 67. Distribution of Eumeces septentrionalis septentrionalis (Baird) and E.s. obtusionstics (Bocourt), in Central Cnited states.

Distribution. The locality records show the presence of septentrionalis septentrionalis in Canada. North Dakota, South Dakota, Mimnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas and Oklahoma. However, perhaps throughout the greater part of the latter state some of the characters are present that distinguish the subspecies obtusirostris. I have examined a single Kansas specimen that had the typical obtusirostris frontoparietal.

## Locality records:

havas:
Pottauratomic Co.: Five miles north of Onaga (Field 5) (U.S.N.M. 3) (Mich. 2) (M.C.Z. 2) (A.M.N.H. 6) (Ottawa U. 1); St. Marys (M.C.Z. 1) (E.H.T. 14).

H'oodson Co.: Neosho Falls (K.U. 2) (U.S.N.M. 1).
Habaunsee Co.: Maplehill (U.S.N.M.3).
Anderson (Co:: Near Glenlock (U.S.N.M. 1); Fish creek near Glenlock (K.U. 2).

Douglas Co.: Near Lawrence (K.C. 1); 10 miles west of Lawrence
(K.U. 2) ; 5 miles west of Lawrence (K.U. 3).

Franklin Co.: 8 miles east Ottawa (E.H.T. 1).
Atchison Co.: Atchison (Mich. U. 1).
Cherokee Co.: (Burt, 1928; no definite locality).
Minnesota:
Chisago Co.: Rush City Bridge (Pub. Mus. Milwaukee 1).
Crow $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{ing}$ Co.: Bramard (Toledo Z.S. 3); Fort Ripley (U.S.N.M. 3, cotypes; Dr. Head Coll.).
Sherburne Co.: Elk River (U.S.N.M.4).
Beltrumi Co.: Bemidji (K.U.4).
Unidentified localities: Elk Head Minn. (A.M.N.H. 2) ; ?Red River of the North (U.S.N.M.2).
Wisconsin:
Burnctt Co.: Randall (Pub. Mus. Milwaukee 2. Graenicher, 1911); mouth of the Yellow river (Pub. Mus. Milwaukee 3. Graenicher, 1911) (Field 15).

Polk Co.: Nevers Dam (Pub. Mus. Milwaukee 1, Graenicher, 1911).
Clark Co.: Chippewa Falls. Worden township (Schmidt, 1926).
Whashbur Co.: (H. V. Ogden Coll. 2) : (as far north as Lake Winnebago, Hoy.).
Nebraska:
Buffalo Co.: 2 mi. south Kearney (A.M.N.H. 2) (U.S.N.M. 1, Fort Kearney).
Unidentified locality: Sand Hills, Neb. (Cope, 1900).
Jowa:
Dickinson Co.: Lake Okoboii (M.C.Z. 1).
I'alo Alto Co.: (Mich. U. 1) ; 3 mi. wert of Ruthern (Mich. U. 1).
('lay Co: 2 mi. SE Gireenville (Mich. U. 1); Milford (Mich. U. 1).
Polk (o,: Des Moines (T'S.N.M.1).

－Thlar（＇0．：（Forer ．1930）


 （じがN．М）
 Roberts Co．（Over，1923）．
（＇inams：Maritobat：Onah， 20 mi，cast of Branton（Patch，1934，1）．

## E：umeces septentrionalis obtusirostris（Bocourt）


SYNONYMY
15：9．Eumeces obtusirostris Bucourt．Mission Sci．Mexique，Liv．6，1879，p．423，pl．22 D． fix．1，1a，11，（type description in key；typ locality，Texas），and Liv，i，1＝： 1, Ill． 4＋1－443．
 kncaltys，near Dallas．Texan：Mr．Boll，collector：compared with septentrinnalis，speci－ mens of which are erroneously histed from savannah，Ga．）；Boulenger，Cat．Liz．Brit． Mus．III，1557，n．37t；Cope，Amn．Rept．L．S．Nat．Mus．．1595（1900）；p．659： Brown，Proc．Acad．Nat．Sci．Phil．， 1913, p． 533 ；Strecker，Baylor Univ．Bull．，Xll， No．1．Jan．，1909，pr．11－15（reports Eumeres tetragrammus from Paisann，Brewster Co．，Texas；this specimen，now $5-337$ L．S．N．M．，is a specimen of E．s．obtusirostris）； strecker，Proc．Biok．Fnc．Wash．，XYIII，1910，IM，118，119，pl．H，fig．2（photo of two specimens）（Waco，Texas；detailed descriptions of two specimens）；Ditmars，The Reptil，Book，1915，p．20；Stejnwor and Barbour，Check List N．Amer．Amph．Rept．， 1923，pp．76，77：Ortenburger，Ini．Okla．Bull．，Proc．Okla．Acal．Sci．，pt．1，VI，p． 9.5 （Caddn and Cleveland cmonti，s．Okla．）；Strecker，Copeia，No．162，Jan．－Mar．，1927， 1．9：Stepneger and Barbour，Cheek List N．Amer．Amph．Rept．，3d Ed．，1933，p．？2．
 3ヵn．
1917．Plestindon pachondus stujnpger and Bablour．Check List N．Amer．Amph．Rept．， 1417，R． 70 ．

Histor！．This form was first recognized by Bocourt，in 1879. who published the name Eumeces obtusirostris with key characters and figures of the same，in livatison 6．Mission Scientifigue au Nexique et Dans l＇Amerique C＇entrale．The complete deaription， however，appeared in livaison 7，in 1801．It would appear that the earlier date of 1879 must be recognized since that is the date of the first publication，and the name obtusirostris must take prece－ dence over pachymus of Cope．The deveription as given in the key is brief，but the figures are execllent and ummistakathle．The trpe is a specimen which Bocourt received from Prof．W．Peters；the type locality is Texas．The trpe is presumably in the Natural History Mareum of Paris．

Coper，in 1050 （Bull．C．．．N．．．．No．17），described as new Eumeces pachyurus．The type locality of his speries is near Dallas，Tex．，the trpe having been collected by Mr．Boll and presented to Cope． On a comparison of the deseription it appears indisputable that
they refer to the same species and that the older name must be retained.

Boulenger, without having carefully examined the description and figures of the types, has placed Eumeces obtusirostris in the synonymy of Eumeces tetragrammus, and Cope (1900) has followed Boulenger's disposition of the species.

While I have not examined the type, it is quite obrious from a study of the description and figures that the form cannot be tetraterummus. My conclusions are based on the following facts:

1. Two postmental plates; one in tetragrammus usually.
$\therefore$ A grayish median line; none in tetiagrammus.
2. Dorsolateral line bordered above with darker; not true in tretragrammars.
t. Head with darker dots; not present in tetragrammus.
3. The lateral white line passes above the ear; passes through the ear in trtragrammus.
4. Head without curving lines; present in tetragrammus.

The descriptions and figures do agree with the type of Cope's Eumuces pach!ntrus and the two must be considered synonyms.

Boulenger (1887), in his catalogue, recognizes Cope's pachyurus, but he had no sperimens avalable. Save for the type no new specimens were known until strecker (1909) obtained a specimen in Brewster Co., Texas, which he called Eumeces tetragrammus. This -pecimen, now 58337 U.S.N.MI., is an obtusirostris, typieal save that the frontonasal is slightly smaller than normal and fails to touch the first loreal. It is quite certainly not tetragrammus.

Strecker (1910) recognizes specimens collected near Waco, Tex., as belonging to Copes $E$. pachymus, and gives descriptions of an arlult and a young specimen. He compares the forms with tetragrammus, but he had no sperimens of the closely related septentrionalis at hand for comparison.

Ortenhurger (1926) lists the species from Caddo and Cleveland counties, Oklahoma.

As has aheady been pointerl out by Cope (1880), the form is related to soptentrionalis. Howerer, the characters used to separate pachyurus from septentrionalis are not as stable as Cope supposed, particularly as regards the number of scale rows about the midide of the body. It appears that the characters of the southern form are usually more stable than those of the northern form. The necessity of combining obtusirostris and septentrionalis as subspecies under a single species becomes obvious when series of these forms are compared.

In obtusirostive the white lateral lines originate on the posterion sumbercular region; a dim median line is often present; in many seemens four brown lines are evident on the back. The legs are ustatly a little longer in sopentrionalis and in eomsequence their separation when adpresecel is les than in obtusirostris. In this character there does not appear to be an abrupt change. Lnfortunately in Oklahoma, which is the critical region between obtusirostris and septentrionalis, only few specimens have been available. Those from Cleveland county agree with the characters laid down for obtusirestris, while in a brood of four from Kay comty, Oklahoma, two show the frontonasal as in septentrionalis and two as in obtusirostris.

Whether the total population shows such intergradation of these characters may be doubted and larger collections must be obtained before the distributional limits of the two forms can be determined. A single southern Kamsas specimen shows the typical characters of obtustrostris, while all others examined, chiefly from the northern part of the state, are septentrionalis.

Diagnosis. Similar to Eumeces septentrionalis septentrionalis, but with the frontonasal larger and in contact with the anterior loreai; limbs averaging somewhat shorter; with a tendency to lose the dim median lighter stripe and the brown dorsal lines, save those bordering the dorsolateral light lines.

Description of the species. Since the two subspecies are so closely related, I shall make the description of obtusirostris chiefly a comparison with $s$. septentrionalis, using Texas specimens from near Waco and San Antonio. Tex.

The part of the rostral visible above ustatly smaller and the angle more obtuse. The supranasals are of the same size or slightly iarger. always in contact, preventing the rostral from touching the frontonatal blatter seales frequently in contact in northem speci-men- oi s. spepentrionalis. The frontoparietal is always wider than long, and only in two case bone. Benton, Atascosa county, and me from Brewster comety) do the frontonasals fail to tou the anterime loreals. The frontal and asociated sumpoular- are simiker in the twon forms the frontal touching the frontonasal in about 50 pereent of the - pecimen- [rately toudhen in arpentrionaliv) : frontoparietals are larger than the periomtale (of equal size or shatler manally in s. stptentrionalis). (he or rarely two pairs of muchals; the interparietal always in contact with the muchals: seren labials, the last largest, their character as in se ptotrionalix. The first loreal is rarely
transersely segmented (in two specimens a small fragment is segmented, leaving a tiny postmental on one sidel; the nasal is apparently not completely divided; the interior loreal always higher than the posterior. The scales in a row from the occiput to above anus, from 55 to 58 , the average being 57 (the usual number in $s$. spptentrionalis being 601. The scales about the neck average two less. On the body the number of sale rows is 28 ; the type of pach! !urus and one other has 26 rows.

The limbs arerage a little shorter than those of s. septentrionalis where sperimens of equal sout to vent measurement are considered, and the number of lamellae under the fourth toe arerages only one less.

Color. The color charaters are very similar. A dorsolateral line originates on the last supraocular and follows the middle of the fourth row of seales to tail. In s. septentrionalis the origin is the same, but in practically all cases the line follows the outer edge of the fourth row and the inner edge of the fifth for the greater part of the body length. The median secondary line is dim even in young and usually does not reach nuthals; it is bordered by very dim warker lines (wually very distinct in $s$ septentrionalis). The dorsolateral light line is bordered above by a dark line usually much narrower than in $s$. septentrionalis; the course of the light lateral line is the same with relation to the ear in both forms. sperimens from Waco are olive, showing the same shades of color

Measurement- of Eumeres septentrionalis obtusirostris (Bocourt.)

| Museum. <br> Number sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { NNS } \\ 13.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KIV. } \\ \substack{\text { Osol } \\ \sigma^{7}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K } 1 \\ 13159 \\ \sigma^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{15.1 \\ 15562}}{\substack{10}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 151 \\ 1274 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K.1. } \\ 1315 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { yg. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K.U. } \\ \text { Ss.92 } \\ \text { yg. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | If | 64 | 63 | 62 | 5.3 | 35 | 45 | 32 |
| Tail. | \% | 108 |  |  |  |  | 73 |  |
| Snout to eye |  | 4 | 42 | 4 | 3.5 | 32 | 3 | 2.3 |
| Snout to ear. |  | 103 | 11.2 | 103 | 10 | 9.2 | 82 | 6 |
| Snout to foreleg | 22 | 20.2 | 203 | 1s | 17.5 | 172 | 15.2 | 11.7 |
| Axilla to groin |  | 36 | 37 | 37 | 32.5 | 323 | 26 | 15.5 |
| Width of head. | 9 | $\checkmark$ | 10 | - j | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7 | 5 |
| Length of head | 10.2 | 9 | 10.2 | 92 | - 1 | S. 3 | 7.8 | 6 |
| Foreleg. | 14 | 12 | $1+$ | 13 | 11 | 112 | 11 | 8 |
| Hind leg. | 15 | 17.5 | 18.2 | 17 | $15 \%$ | 1.54 | 14 | 9 |
| Longest to | 75 | $\therefore 1$ | 13 | $\therefore 7$ | 54 | $\therefore 5$ | \% | 3.8 |

[^43]as s．septentrionalix，white those about san Antomo are usually brownish above．In young specimens the tip of the snout is cream color．The median line is always more distinet in females than in males．

Tariation．Most of the variable characters have been discussed in the foregoing description．

Remarks．This speeies，like its neighbor，Eumeces brecitinentus， frequents the vicinity of the large masses of Opentia so common in southern Texas，and usually takes refuge in the sand about their hases，from which places they may be captured only with con－ siderable difficulty．Near Waco．Tex．，I captured several specimen－ from debris about the bases of willow trees in gravel pits．Here they were feeding in company with Leiolopisma．In this same locality and association were collected more than one hundred －precimens of the small shake Potamophis striatulus（Limé）

While collecting amphibians at night about a small pomel near somerset．Tex．，two were disorered and captured．They were first observed on a small stump in the edge of the water，and when disturbed took to the water to escape，diving below its surface．It suggests that they may be somewhat nocturnal in habit．To the west of Waro．along the Brazos river，two specimens were taken from leaves and other debris at the base of a large tree．

Distribution．The extent of the range of this subsperies cammot be fixed with rertainty．It appears to have an east－west range in Texas．from Houston to Alpine．The characters on which the two subspecies are founded seem to intermingle and many of the Oklahoma records（and one from Kansast are of specimens more or less transitional between the two forms．See Fig，is for dis－ tributional map．）

Locality records：

## Oklahoma：

Cleveland Co．：（Okla．L．2）．
Tulisa Co．：（Okla．U．1）（Mich．Ľ．3）．
Kıy Co．：（Okla．U．4）．
（）kmulgee Co．：（Okla，U．2）．
Garvin Co．：（Okla．U．5）．
Seminole Co．：（Okla．U．1）．
Cuddo Co．：Old Fort Cobb（U．S．N゙．M．1）．
Texas：
Atasoosa（Co．：Near somerse（K．C．2）（E．H．T．1）：near Lythe（Bay－ lor 3）．
 Waco（Baytor 3）（Fiell 1）（U＇ぶN．M．1）（Ntrecker，1910，2）：7 mi．
west Waco (Baylor 1) ; Flood's farm near Waco (Baylor 1); Traver's Farm (Baylor 1); Tonkaway Creek (Baylor 1).
Palopinto Co.: Near Mineral Wells (K.U. 1).
Brcuster Co.: Near Paisano (U.S.N.M. 1).
Dallas Co.: Near Dallas (A.N.S.P. 1, type); Dallas (Baylor 2).
Kansas: Kingman C'o.: Near Norwich (K.U. 1) ; (this specimen approaches this subspecies more closely than the typical s. septentrionalis, and is associated with this subspecies).

## SHILTONIANESGROUP

In the Shiltonianas group I include several forms oceurring in the western part of North America, characterized by the presence (at least in the roung) of trpical dorsolateral and lateral light lines, the former pair always separated by less than four scale rows. No median light line present or lines on the head. Postnasal normally present; two postmentals. Subeaudals much widened. Tails in young blue or red; scale bordering postgenial on median side elongate; upper labials seven or eight; lateral postanal scale more or lese differentiated in males.

The relationship of this group is with the Mexican Brevirostris group primarily from which it differs in but few characters. $E$. laguncnsis appears to be the annectant form. The group next closely related is probably the Quadrilincatus group in southeastern Asia.

In examining the western skinks clasified in American collections under the nam: Eumeccs shiltoniamus, it becomes apparent that the extraorlinary differences evidenced by the material cannot be explained by considering them ar variants of a single species. Not only are there marked differences in the evolution of color patterns in certain populations. but there are also different growth patterns, as well as marked differences in maximm size. Unfortunately these color and growth differences are not acrompanied by any striking or constant seale differences. and all the specimens examined serm to fit into a single form when the usual key characters alone 1 Cope"s. 1900 , are ronsidered.

In the genus Eume cos me camot ahwaye depend on the usual key charaeter of head seales to distinguish species; and often two wholly umrelated speries may bear a striking resemblance if scales alone are consilered. In such case characters other than the presence or absence of postnasals, a single or divided postmental, the number of labials, supraoculars and superciliaries must be considered; the color marlings and growth characters must also be given definite
comsideration. Oiten the rariation of the usual key chamaters withim a secies is such as to permit it to fit the chatacter of more than one form. Hence the great merdiability of most keys in determining the identity and ralidity of a speries. Often, too, the deseriptions published are so meatger as to prevent a species from being recognized.

I am fully consinced that the erolutionary factors that make for the permanent changes in a color pattern, and that permanently change rate and amount of growth, are quite are significant at one that unites two seales such as a naval and postnatal; that divides a postmental into two seales; or allows an intercalated axillary seale row to extend farther on the siles of the body, thus increasing the number of seale rows about the body. Often forms designated as color varieties may be far more worthy of the name species than presmed species founded on a seale variant which may be anomalous.

That more than a single specific entity is involved in the skiltoniamus complex has been recognized by various authors, and names have been proposed for most of the recognizable forms.

History of the described forms. The first notiee I have seen of any member of this group in scientific literature appears in a paper by Arery J. Skilton in the American Joumal of Seiences and Arts (silliman's Joumali, vol. VII, May, 1849, where the author mentions: "Also sereral skinks resembing s. quinquelincatus were eaught he the Indians for the miswionaries, with hair snares. They dreaded them. declaring they were poisonous." These specimens were sent be Rer. George Gary, superintendent of the Methorlist Miswions. to Skilton, who in turn forwarded them to the National Dusem, where spencer Baird and Charles Girard as coanthors deseribed them under the name Plestiodon skiltoniamum in two publications which appeared practically at the same time.

There is some question as to which deseription of shiltoniams. that of Baid and Girard in Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philat. VI, 1, 5-2-1-53. p. 69 (April, 1852. procectings. or that of Baird and Cinard in Exploration and surver of the Valley of the Creat Salt Lake of
 at the type deseription. It is obvions that the former deseription was prepared to preede the latter, since the statement in the paper (p. (is) states: "Full dewriptions and figures of these eperies will -hortly appear in Captain stambury's Report to Congress on the Great Salt Lake (['tah)." I have not been able to aseertain the
exact date of publication of either of these publications. In the Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., VI, 1852-1853, p. 124, appears a communication, dated August 3, in which Prof. S. F. Baird claims priority for a species published in Stansbury's report-. 'as this report was published carly in June [1852] and earlier than the Proceedings (for May and June)." Voh. VI, No. 1, Proc. Acarl. Nat. Sci. Phila.. was received in the Smithsonian Institution. Washington, before April 14,1852 see same publication, p. $711 . *$ Vol. VI, No. 2, was received by the American Philosophical Society before June 21, 1852. The May and Jume nmmber (No. 3? was published before August 3.

Thus it will be scen that certainty as to which of the two publications was first published apparently cannot be accurately ascertained unkes more accurate data is unearthed than is here produced. At any rate not more than a very few days can have intervened, and it is quite likely that the April "Proceerlings" actually antedated the stan-bury report. Since no question of anthorship is involved. the question is academic.

There are two cotypes now in the National Musemm, both still in fair condition, save that in the larger of the two the scales have slipped in several plates. I designate the smaller specimen as the lectotype of the species.

In 1854 Edward Hatlowell described a specimen under the name of Eumeces sp. This specimen came from southern California, "Near Mojave river, and in San Bermardino valley." Later, Hallowell (1859) describes this same sperimen as Eumeces quadrilineatus. and figures the form. This type is present in the National Museum, but is in such a poor state that absolute identification is impossible. Howerer, the description is such as to make practically certain that it is a typical skiltoniamus.

Later collections have extended the range throughout California, Oregon and Wishington. Boulenger (1887) reported the species from Vincouver Iskand; Vin Denburgh (1905) reported the species on the Coronados Islands, which are situated on the coast of Baja California; Ruthven and Gaige (1915), from northeastern Nevada; Van Denburgh (1915), from Utah; and Vian Denburgh and Slevin (1921), from Idaho.

In 1879 Bocourt described as new a species, Enmeces hallowelli, characterized by a single postmental and $2 \pm$ rows of scales about the body. The type is a young specimen from Califormia and ap-

[^44]pears, from the careful deseription, to be an anomatous opecimen of skiltomionus. speefmens having et sate rows oremr oecasionatly throughout its range. The modirided potmental is, howerer, of rave occurrence. Unfortunately, no definite trpe locality is known.

Vian Denhurgh, in 1895, deocribed a suecies, Eumoces lagum nsis, from Baja California from two specimens collered in the Sierra de La Laguna in the southern part of the pemineula. This dearetion, while brief, is acompanied by a carcfully drawn figure, showing well the differential characters of the -pecies. The typer were afterward destroved in the fire of 1906. In 1s90 Van Denburgh de--rribed another species from the Yoemite in the Sierra Nevada of California. This was named Eumects yillocrti, having been collected by Dr. Charles H. (iilbert and James M. Hyde the same year. The deseription is discrete and a series of measurements is given of the paratypes. The type and paratypes of this species are still in existcuce in sanford Cniversity. I have not seen the type but I have examined several paratypes collected at the same locality by the same collectors.

Cope (1900) described two varieties of shiltomiams. One was based upon a dpecimen from Fort Humboldt, which was given the name amblygrammus. The character used to separate the form from the typical skiltonianus was the fact that the body was deep hack ant the dorsolateral stripes were much wider-"occupies the adjacent two-thirds of the second and third rows of seales from middle of back and is half as wide as the black dorsal interspace." The type of this form coukd not be found in the collection of the L'. S. National Museum in 1933.

The second form mentioned by Cope is var. brevipes, based on a large female epecimen, the body greatly distended with eags. The limbs are proportionally shorter than in typical skiltonianus, and there is hut a single postmental. I have been able to examine the type of this form, and regard it as belonging to a subspecies differing from skiltomianus.

The subequent fate of the varions secies name concerns us. Bairl (1059) makes quadritineatus a syomym of skiltomianus and it has been so accepted by subsequent writers save Hallowell, who in 1859 or ' 60 reported the species from Astoria, Colmubia river.

Eumeces hallow lli Bocourt was placed in the syonymy of $E$. skiltomatus by steineger (1993) and has so been regarded by writers since that time.

who states: "It is not improbable that Mr. Van Denburgh's species has abnomal head shields, and is simply a synonym of $E$. skiltonianus." Eumeces gilberti Van Denburgh was recognized at first by Grinnell and certain other authors. However, Camp (1916) concludes that there is only a single species in Califormia and considers gillerti a synonym of skiltoniams. He has been followed in this opinion by most subsequent writers on this form.

Grimell and Camp (1917) formally place the above forms, together with Cope's brevipes and amblygrammus, in the synonymy of skiltoniams. Nelson (1921) recognized lagunensis as a subspecies of E. skiltonianus. Stejneger and Barbour (1923) recognized E. lagnenensis as a full species. Loveridge (1930) again places the species back in the syonymy, only to be resurrected by Linsdale (1932) as a subspecies of skiltonianus. The fact that such variable and changeable opinion has obtained regarding the western skinks is proof that the problem of their identity is not simple by any means.

I have examined most of the preserved pecimens of these western forms in the museums of the Cnited States. My conclusions which are here expressed are tentative. They represent my solution of certain of the problems; but due to lack of material, I leave certain problems unsolved to my own satisfaction. These, it seems, must await the accumulation of more material from critical territory, and a study of the vertical distribution of the various forms.

Thus. I have associated with Emmeces skiltomiamus, as a sub--fecies, a group of specimens from Eldoralo comery which differ from the typical and which agree with Cope's skiltonianus breripes; with gilberti, two forms which, sate for lark of adequate material and the poscibility of their being aberrant sperimens, would be regarded as distinct forms.

The nominal species amblygrammus, owing to the fact that the type appears to be lost and that no material is available which I fan unhestatingly associate under this name, is placed in the synonymy of shiltomians.

I wish to exprese my heartiest thanks to Dr. Joseph Grinnell, who has made available the large Eumeces collection of the Museum of Vertebrate Zoölogy, University of Califormia, and has read this part of the manuscript and offered numerous and valuable suggestions; to Dr. Jean Limsdale and Mr. Fiteh of this institution, who have likewise read this section, offered many helpful suggestions and thecked spelling of geographical names; to Mr. L. M.

Khaber and Mr. Joxeph slevin, who have mate avalable large collemens. cither privately awnel on mader their rhares. They likewise have read the m:museripe on the *kiltomiemes group and hatw offered sugqestions.

## Key to the remon of the skhtonhite (imote

PWE

A. The wownth labial broally in contan with the upper speondary momoral: parictals "nelost inturparietal; tail mitorm red or salmon colored in youge prinary tomporal



A. The seventh labial not in contat with the upper secombary tomporal; interpariotal enelosed or not tail red or blue in young lermary temporal large: lambe variahle.
13. Young with red tails aud four light lines. Adnlts unitorm olive: -ize large-


13B. Young with bhe tails and four light lines.
C. Smaller, mas. size ahout is mm.
1). General chameter of the marking of yomer retamed in achalt male and femate save the blue of tail is lost. Sale rows $2+-24$, usually 2 . Parietals enclose parietal only in southern part of Califormia-not in
 scales snout to ahove amus. The dorsolateral line usually ocrupies only about one half or less of the secoud scale row, leaving light dorsolateral lines separated usually by two whole and two one-half seale rows. (West of Rocky Mts.)

Eumers skiltomituns skiltomianus (Baird and Girard), 41.5
DD. Larger, maximum size $5,50 \mathrm{~mm}$. Similar to the above subsperies but head longer, axilla to aroin listance longer and the limbs proportionally shorter. Scales more glazed in appearance. Tail lavemer. Eldorado Co., Cal., aml north along the Nierra, and south to (?) Fresno Co

Eumeces shiltonianus brevipes Cone, $42 s$
CC. Larger, maximum size above 113 mm ; the juvenile coloration lost about third or fourth year by both malem and females. The dorsolateral light line usually occupying more than half of second seale row. I'sually eight upper labials. (California east of the san lompuin Valley.)

Eumects gilberti gilberti Vian 1)whurgh, 439
Eumeces skiltoniams skiltomianus. (Baird and (iirard)

$\therefore$ TNONYMY
 tions several sink- ifstmbling S. 'iumquituatus [types]).
1452. Plestiodon skiltonianum Baird anf (iiturl. Puc. Acatl. Nat. sci. Phila., 1452. p. 69
 Baird and Girard, in Stansbuy's Expl. surs. Val. Girat salt Lake. Lure. Rewn. Romat.


 Ablot), X, pt. 4, 1459, p. 9. 1H. 1X, tig. 3: (iatman. Bull. Eswex lust., Xill, Jan. 9,
 1917, pp. 175, 176; Stejneger and Bartmur. Cherk Liat N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 191\%. p. 71 ; Cowles, Juurn. Fnt. and Zuil., Xh1, No. 3. 1920, p. 66 ; Stwhens, Trans, San Diego Soc. Nat. Hist., I1t, No. 4, 1921. p. 93 (Fan Diegu Co. Calif.); Van Denhurgh and Slevin, Proe. Calif. Acarl. Fci., (t), N1, No. 3, July a, 1921, pp. 40, 44, 52 (Idahor) ; idem, No. 3, 1921, D. 29 (Nevada); Van Denburgh. Oenas. Papers C:alif.
 Nat. Hist., XLYI, Art. 11, p. 682 (also mentioned pr. 612, 613, 617, 620, 621, 622, (628, 630) (fart.) ; Pratt, Vert. Anim. U. S., 1923. P. 207; Erwin. Eleventh Bien. Rept. Buard Trustees State Hist. Soc. Idaho, 1927-1928 (1928), p. 32 (Idaho); Whomlbury, Copeia. No. 1fif, Mar. 23, 1928, p. 19.
1854. Eumeres sn. Hallowell. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Plita., 1854, p. 95 (near Mojave River and in San Bernardino Valley, southern California "Lower California").
1859. Eumeces quadrilineatus Hallowell, Expl. Surv. R. R. Route to Pac., 1853, pt. IV, Zool. Rept., 1859 (Williamson), p. 10, pl. IX. figs. 3a, b. c, d (type description; type locality near "Mojare River, and in San Bernardino Valley, southern part of upper California"): Herman, Expl. Surv. R. R. Pac.. 1853, pt. IV, Zöl. Rept., 1859, p. 24; Hallowell, Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc., NI, pp. 73, 74.
1875. Eumeces skiltomanus Cope. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 1, 1875, p. 45; Yarrow and Henshaw, in Wheeler's Ann. Rept. Chief Eng. Geng. Surs. Terr. U. S. west 100th Mer. etc., Appendix NN, 1878, p. 218; Bocourt. Miss. Sci. Mex., Rept., 6th Livr., 1879 , p. 433, pls. NXHA, fig. 3, and XXIllA, fig. 3: Varrow, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 24. 1883, p. 41 ; Core, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 18s3. 1. 32 ; Boulenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., IlI, 1887. 1. 373 ; Townsend. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., X, 1587, p. 238 ; Stejneger, N. Aner. Ftuma, No. 7, 1893, p. 201 (part.); Van Denburgh, Oceas. Papers Calif. Acad. Sci., V, 1897, p. 144, fig.; McLain, Contr. N. Amer. Herp., 1899, p. 10 (regards E. lagunensis a synonym of this species) ; Core, Ann. Rept. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1598 (1900), Pr. 460-464, fig. 126 (part.) (good discussion. dptailed description): Van Denburgh, Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (3), IV, No. 1, p. 18 (N. Coronados I, Mex.); Ditmars, Rept. Book. 1907, p. 198, pl. LTH, fig. (part.); Grinnell and Grinnell, Throop lnst. Bull., No. XXXV, Mar., 1907, pp. 35-37 (Los Angeles Co.) ; Yan Denburgh, Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., ( $\ddagger$ ). I11. Jan. 17. 1912, 1p. 147, 149, 151; Hurter, First Ann. Rept. Laguna Marine Lab., 1912, p. 67 ; Atsatt, Univ. Calif. Publ. Zoöl., SII, No. 3. Nor. 20. 1913, pp. 40. 41, 46. 48 (part.); Van Denburgh and Slevin, Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (4). IV, Dec. 30, 1914, pp. 133, 138. 140, 141 (Catalina Island, North and East Coronados Islands); Ditmars. Rent. Book, 1915, p. 198; Ruthren and Gaige, Oceas. Papers Mus. Zoül. Ǔniv. Mich.. No. 8, Apr. 25, 1915, pr. 2f-28: Van Denburgh and Slevin, Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (4), V, No. 4, 1915, pp. 105-106 (U'tah); Camp, U'niv. Calif. Publ. Zö̈l., XVII, No. 7, 1916, pr. 72, 86 (note on chlor); Grinnell and Camp, Univ. Calif. Pull. Zoöl., XV'II. No. 10, July 11, 1917. PP 175. 176 (locality records); Stejneger and Barbour, Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 2d Ed., 1923, p. 77; Tanner. Copeia, No. 163, Apr.-June, 1927, p. 56 (U'tah): Rogert, Bull. South. Calif. Acad. Sci.. XXXIX, Jan.-Apr., 1930, pt. 1, pp. 3-14; Klanher, Bull. 5, Zö̈l. Suc. San Diego, Mar. 12, 1930, p. 4; Woodbury, Bull. Univ. U'tah, NXI. Feh., 1931. fig. 20 (Biol. Survo, Vol. 1. No. 4) (Utah) (part.); Stejneger and Barbour. Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 3d Edl., 1933, p. 83; Svihla and Svihla. Copeia. No. 3. Oft. 15, 1933, pp. 125-127 (Washington).
1699 Eumeres hallouelli Bocourt. Miss. sci. Mex., Rept., 6ith Livr., 1879, p. 435, pl. XXIIE, fig. 7 (type description; type locality, California): Bunlenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1887. P. 373.
? 1898. Eumeces vkiltonianus var. amblyarammus Cofe. Ann. Rept. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1898 (1900), p. 643 (type locality, Fort Hunbelit).

Diagmosis. Characterized by four longitudinal white lines, the dorsolateral originating on the first superciliary and continuing back on the tail for some distance. following the second and third scale rows, not or rarely occupying more than one half of the second row; lateral line beginning on the anterior labials, usually the third or fourth, passing back toward upper half of ear, not involving upper edge, then rontimuing along the siles to the tail; tail in young blue, in adults, colored like body; the dorsolateral and lateral stripes not obliterated in old specimens; scale rows normatly 26. 2t orcurring more or les frequently, while 28 occurs
darely: seren of eight upper lahials: two postmentals: whe postnatal; parietals eqpatated or in contact; momatly two patirs of nurhal-: maximmm size not exoreding ! millimetors; upper serondary tomporal normally separated from the seremh or cighth labials; prefonsal- either eparated of fomme a median suture; tail in
 n-1atly orerlap in male amblal to overlap in females orarely in ohd malles) ; adult make lacking a distinetive red head, but orcabionally showing some reddish during breeding seatons.

Description of the species. Portion of the rostral appearing ahove distinctly less than a hati the size of the frontonatal; -upatasals large, their length not quite one and one half times their depth, in contact medially: frontonasal latge, murh broader than long. in contact laterally with the anterior loreals. in contart for not with the frontal; prefrontals moderate, in contact or not medially. the suture with frontonasal and frontal about equal; frontal typical, its length about a fourth greater than its distance from the tip of the sout, tourhing three supraoculars: frontoparietals subrectangular in shape. forming a merlisu suture less than half their length; interparietal relatively narrow, clongate, not enclosed by the parietals foften enclosed) ; parietals large, followed hy two pairs of nuchals, the anterior much the larger; natsal small. not wholly divided by a suture, the part anterior to the nostril much larger than the part posterior ; a single postnasal; anterior loreal much higher than wide, higher than the posterior; latter short, often as high as long. but normally a little longer than ligh; four supraoculars, the anterior forming a broad suture with the prefrontal, oceasionally excluding the first superciliary from contart with this seale; seven supereiliaries, usually the last much smaller than the first; two presuboculars, three or four postsuboculars; the median upper palpebral seales in contact with the supereiliaries : a small preorular, followed hy two or three granular scales: two small postoculars, the lower the larger: primary temporal moderately small. quadrangular; upper secondary large, slightly widencel posteriorly; lower secondary generally triangular, often somemhat emarginate, often extenting farther bat than the seventh labial, in contact in front with the primary: tertiary temporal not much enlarged, bordering the upper seeondary, separated from the car by one or two sales: labials seren or dight. four or five preceding the subocular, the first watly no larger than sucreeding seals; subocolar nearly as ligh as ite labial border; last labial largest
werenth or eighth), separated from the ear by a pair of postlabiats or by a large postlabial followed by a pair of seales (ramely two pairs of postlabials).

Normally six lower labials; mental with a labial border much longer that that of rostral; postmental divided (very rarely not); three typical chinshields: a large postgenisl. bordered usually by a scale longer thatn wille cocasionally this sale fused with the postgenial. the scale then bordering the latter usually wider than long).

Scales on the lower eyelid enlarged, separated from the snboeular by about two rows of granular scales; car opening moderate, with two or three lobules of thequal size. Borly sales in perallel rows on the sides; median dorsal rows usutlly distinctly wider than the aljacent roxs and likewise kager than the lateral or ventral seales; scale rows around neck immediately behind ear, 33; about constricted portion of neck, 27 ; in axillary region, 31 ; about middle of boty, e6; about base of tail, 17 ; seales under tail much widened, about $10 t$ scales in the series; six preanal seales, the median much enlarged, the outer seales overlapping the imer; a distinctly enlarged postanal seute in males, frequently forming a slight moundlike projection; females with a more or less definite constriction at the base of the tail; latter subouarlangular in cross section, at least through the proximal half, better defined in some specimens than in others.

About 14 sales around the insertion of arm; outer wrist tubercle well defined; palm with several seattered larger tubercles; lamellar formula for fingers: $\overline{-} ; 9 ; 11 ; 11 ; 8$. About 19 seales around the insertion of the leg; usially four enlarged heel pads, the two median usually not in contact : a few larger parls on the sole, wally forming a diagonal line from the base of the first digit across to the base of the fourth; lamellar formula for toes: $6 ; 9 ; 12,14 ; 9$. Terminal lamellate not tightly bound about claws; amall area of granular scales in axilla, none behind insertion of hind leg.

The pits on the scates of the side of neck and body are distinct in Joung. dim or obsolete in old -pecimens. The nowal number is two, hewally placed close together, or somewhat wider apart on the larger, moredorsal rows. There may be three or four pits on scales behind ear and in the posthmeral, postfemoral and axillary regions.

Coloration of youmg. Above blackish, with a bluish or bluishwhite dorsolateral line on or near the rostral, passing along the edges of the head and body on the outer half of the second sale
row and the imer half or thirl of the thind arale row, to a shome distance on the tail, whe it beemes los. The lateral light line begin- on the labials lvarying from the seeond to the subocular
 edge of the ear only the lower half is berkered. Thenee it pase back along the side of the bedy, heitally on the sixth ofe sixth and -erenth reale rows, to a distanee on the tail matally a little ereater than the deroblateral line. The eoler between the two light lines is more intenee than on the back. There is a narrow dark line below the lateral light line. The tail varies from ultramarine to cobalt hhe above and on the sides. The chin and throat are histatly fle-h of are:m, the ablomen buish-gray to gray and the underside of the tail frequently laventer with a median light line indicated. The muler sides of the limbs and the anal region are eream.

Adult coloration. The jurenile coloration tends to become lighter, the donsal ground color becoming lighter, usually gray-olive or brown-olise. leating a black or deep brown line bordering the dorsolateral line above. The dorsal scales may show some dark edges or fleck. The lateral stripe between the two light lines becomes deep brown, either uniform or, in southern forms, with gray fleck- or a threadtike line of flecks. The tail now is ereenish-gray and later becones the same shate as the dorsal surface. The dorsolateral lines remain distinct, often, however, hating only a slighty lighter thate of wive or brown than the ground color; the lateral line is usually lighter, often remaining more or lese eream color. The head in older specinen- become- brownish and at the breeding seatem shows a reddish tinge on the sites of the head. The head never lowe the tarker pigment noi becomes a uniform reddiah as in gilterti. The dark line bordering the dorsolateral line above be-come- frequently broken into a series of dots. The light line below the tail is retained and is memally fairly well defined.

Tariation. Eumects aittomiams in varisus parts of it range ex-hibit- numerous variations from the typical, some of which might warrant rewonition of subpecies were the com-tant. In certain cares it would appear that thee may have beem brought about by isolation, but sine this iowlation no longer exist-, the pepulations have been allowed to mingle, and the charaders which hat beeome fixed are heing broken down. This is sugested in the cate of -pecimens with a reduction of the interparictal and it- consequent epat ration from the nuchat by the function of the parictals, and thone with the interparietal in contere with the nuelats.


| Nuscum Number** Nox. |  |  | -1\% |  | ¢ | ¢ | 2111 |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{gathered} -11 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \angle 1 \\ & 370 \\ & 3 y . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ 342 \\ y, \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snomt to vent | 72 | 72 | (i) | 65 | 63 | 68 | 4,0 | is | S | $\therefore$ | 49 | 12 | 31 |
| Tail |  | 122* | 132 |  |  |  |  | 10.5 | 106 |  |  |  |  |
| shout to exp | 52 | , | 5 | 5 | . | t | $1 \because$ | 13 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 3.5 | 2.6 |
| Snoul to forder | 21. | 2 | 21.5 | 22 | 21 | $20 . \%$ | 20 | 1s. 5 | 19.7 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 29 | (3) | 26 | $\because$ | 1.7.5 |
| . xilla to grom. | 41 | 12 | 39 | 3. | 38 | 82 | 31 | . | - | , |  | ti. 3 | $\therefore$ |
| Wielth of hearl | $16:$ | 10.5 | 9 | 11 | 9.5 | 10 | $=$ | $\checkmark$ | ! | * | - | 10.3 | $\cdots$ |
| Leneth of head | 12 | 12 | 11. | 12.9 | 12 | 11 - | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | - | f) |
| Postamal width | 9 - | 92 | 9 | , | 8 | 7 | 7.: | (i) 3 | 6.2 | 6 | 6 | . | 3 |
|  | 17 | 17 | 17 . | 17 | 15.5 | 115 | 1.5 | 17. | 16 | 14 | 13 | $10: 3$ | 9 |
| Forcer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 19 | 19 | 16.:3 | $11:$ |
| Himileg | 2.5 | 21.5 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 21 | $19 .$. | -3 | 19 | 19 |  |  |
| Longest tor | 9 | $9:$ | 9 | $\therefore$ - | 9 | 7 | - | $\wedge$ | - | 7.5 | 7.3 | 62 | 15 |

[^45]A repy limited number of -pecimene hat been examined from the merthern part of the range in Briti-h Colmmbia. Wiahingen ant Oreon. From no locality of this region have I had more than two or there -perimens. Thoee that were arailable di-played much rariation among themsetres. Most of the northern -perimens -erm to -how more of a light area on the shout where the dorsolaterals join. some of the individual pecoliarities observed are as follows:
A.MIN.H. No. ᄅ̈rist. Whet Branch Kootenay river, is young. mea-uring 26 mon. -nout to vent. The body is browni-h and white. the tail iaintly blue. The colors appear to have fated. A matl -rate on each side of the frontonasal -eparates the later from the anterior loreal. The temporals are typical. A sownd -pecimen,
 no characters to distinguish it from typiral seecimens in California. Both have the labials $7-7$.

Two Wadhaton secimens are peculiar in having the primary temporal fused with the upper secondary temporal. leaving the serenth labial broady in contact with the upper temporal. A specimen from "Fort Klamath. Des Chutes river." Oregon, has the seventh labial forming a suture with the upper secondary temporal. while the primary temporal in present, but emall. I am doubtiul that this character is as common in the northem specimens as the small serie I have eeremight indicate. This relation of the serenth labial to the upper eecondary temporal is extrenely rare in Catiformia -perimens; two such were observed in some three hundred -perimens. Nevertheles this is the normal condition which whains in E. latmmersis. at well as in rettain other species related to stiltomiunus ocrurring in Mexico.

The northern forms have -4 or 26 sale rows, the hater ocurring only rately: the upper labials are $7-7$. The largest -pecimen is -1 mm . and i regarded as one of the trpes. Moreover. it is harger than any typical perimen seen. In the northern part of Califomia (incluting siskrou and Tehama counties a series of 22 specimens were examined. Thee do not differ greatly in color from more nothern permens. The males and iemate- differ in the length of limbs, the females having a greater axilla to groin tength and shohtly shorter limbs. which in adule opecimens iail to orerlap. when adpresed, by two to four millimeters. In male of the same shout to vent mea-urement, the adprest limbs werlap two to four millimeters. The maximum size whered in the 2.3 seemens is 66 mm . The rales in a row from the parietals to a point above the rent
arerage about 59. The upper labials are usually eight, 13 having eight upper labials, five having that number on one side and seven on the other, while four have seven on each side (eight occurring in about 70 percent of the cases). In 12 the prefrontals are in contact, in 10 they are separated. The temporak show no peculiarities. In none is the interparictal enclosed. Twenty-six scale rows about the body is the typical number. The heads of males are not particularly widened and there are no peruliarities of markings that would identify their locality.

From the group of comnties to the south of the above-mentioned, inchuding Mendocino, Lake, Sonoma, Napa, Solano and Marin, I have examined in detail some 40 specimens. These are quite like those from the northern counties save for proportions, or variations in certain seale characters. Thus, the number of specimens having the eight labiak is reduced to about 30 pereent of the cases. The relative length of limbs in ponortion to the axilla to groin length is the same as in the counties to the north. The seale rows about the middle of the body is 26 in about 96 percent of the cases. In no -fecimens are the parictals in contact, inclowing the interparietal. The maximum size is 70 millimeters.

In the group of counties west of the san Joaquin valley to the south of San Francisco Bay, extending south to Los Angeles county, more than a humdred specimens were examined. In this region the mesence of eight upper labials is very rare, oceuring in only about $t$ percent of the specimens and thes from the extreme northern part of the region under consideration. The interparietal is enclosed by the parictals in about 10 pereent of the cases, the condition being encountered most frequently in specimens in the southern part of the range. The number of scale rows is nomally 26 , although $2+$ occurs in a small pereent of the specimens and an occasional one shows 27 on 2s. In some luealities practically all have the prefrontals sepamater, while in others most of the specimens have theirs forming a median suture, the average being about 50 percent each way. The maximm size of secimens in this region is 72 milimeters.

In the region about Carmel, in Monterey county, as well as farther south, a few secimens were noted having shorter. broader heads than typical (note illustration). Some of these are much browner in coler than is typical (Pl. 36, fig. 3).
south of the satn Bernardino mometans the species appears to be of somewhat smaller maximum size. The largest specimens are about 67 millimeters in length (snout to vent) and in the southern
part of san Diego combty and the northern part of Baja ('aliforma most - perdmens have the median sate rows no wider than the adjoming rows From north to south there is a contimal increate in the propertion of perimens haring the parietals andosing the
 pereent. While in nemthem Baja (ablifomia the aremage is so percent. (on Tortas santos the arerage is 100 percent. In this region the momber of sale rows is lower, öt ocomring about as freduently as 26 . Thare is les differenee in the proportional length of the legs in males and females. The coloration is typical; the tails in the young are blue.

An exechent reries of specimens from the Coronados Ishand group i- preent in the California Academy of sciences. These are quite similar in detail to those occurring along the eotast about Los Angeles, the maximm size reaching 7 omm. Here, in 11 specimens out of 35 . cight labials are precent on one or both sides, the actuad percentage foming both sides being ot percent. There are only - wen -pecimens which do not have the parietals enclosing the interparietak. The nuchat are more frequently -2. . In many northen specimens the formmat might be $2-1$ or $1-1$, athough $2-2$ is fommon. In 15 percent of the specimens the nuchats are $3-3$. The prefrontals are in contact in all but ! specimens, and where sopabated the separation is ver minute. In coloration there is but little difference hetween these and typical mainland specimens. It is noticeable that there is a line of imall lighter flecks in the upper edge of the brown hateral stripe a character evident in some secimens on the mamland. The larger mmber of specimens have ob - cale rows, the others ey rows.

The -pecimens from Totos santor Island differ more from the manland forms than those of the Cormatos Iskur wee Pl. 36, fig. -2). In wemeral the wale charactere are the same save that there is a ceparation of the firet mpereiliary from the prefrontal in about half of the pecimens. There seerimens are les olive and more brown in rotor than is trpical.

In many of the -outhern specimens, on islams as well as on the mainkand there is a tembency for the serenth labial thast to be -omewhat smatler. While the lower -eeondary temporat extends farther back: that $i-$ beromel a vertical line drawn from the bark edge of the last labial.
sueromen- from ddaho. Etah and eastern Nerada differ in no -triking eharacteristion from rentral Catifomia -promens. The
limbs appear to be slightly longer in these females than in those from Calitornia, since in practically all sperimens (two exceptions) examined the adpresed limbs touch or overlap. The labials are uatally - -s or $8-7$ : only three out of seventeen have the number $7-7$. The oldest and largest sperimens examined showed the dorsolateral and lateral lines clearly defined. The dorsal ground color is olivegray or olive-brown with a trace of a dark line bounding the dorsolateral hight line. There may be a row of darker flecks near the middle of the median sale rows. The median scale rows are widened nomally. These perimens have the dorsal seales very *ightly rugnse. I have not noticed this in California specimens. The brown lateral stripe is very distine in the oldest specimens, and the light line under the tail is evident in all. scale rows are 24 or 26, the numbers occurring about equally. Dorsal scales in a row from parietals to above rent average about 60. In the young -pecimens: the tails are blue and the dorsolateral and lateral lines are almost of the same shate of blue as the tail. This is likerrise true of certain Califormia operimens. Nuchals are ㅇ-2 usually, the prefrontals urually touch, and the parietal is never enclosed.

In the Maseum of Comparative Zoölogy at Harvarl is a sperimen (No. 4727 ) which purports to have been collected in Colorado. I feel that this locality should be questioned. The specimen apparently was killed by being run orer by an auto, and apparently was dried when found. There is no asiurance that the seerimen originated in Colorado even though it was collected there. In all characters it agrees with the normal C'tah pecimens. It is of course, not improbable that the species extends into Colorado.

Remark. The supposed types of Eumeces skiltonianus, now in the United stater National Museum, are in good condition (1933), but in the larger of the two the scales are miswing in many places. The larger sperimen has a shout to vent meaturement of 83 mm ., which is larger than the typical specimens from California, in fact larger than any other specimen seen of typical skiltoniamus. It raiser a cuestion as to whether this is artually one of the types, and if so, whether it really originated in ()regon. The catalogue card suggests California ats locality. I therefore propose to designate the smaller of the presumed two types as the lectotype, since there is an clement of doubt that the larger specimen originated in (regon and that it was one of the original trpes.


Fus. 6s. Distribution of Ebmeres skiltomiomes skiltonimus (Baird and (iirard), and Eumuras shiltomianus bitwipe (Cope), in Wentem tnited states.

## Locality records：

Britisal（old mbia：
West Branch liootenay river（A．M．N．H．1）；Vasemx Lake south of lentirton（Pateh，1934，1）；Vancouver I．（Brit．Mus．2）．
Nevaba：
Elkw（＇o．：（Gulin Cortez Range（Mich．［T．4）（M．C．Z．2）．
Chark：（ro．：Charleston Park（S．D．S．N．H．2）．
Colorabo：（M．C．Z．1）．（I regarl this as very loubtful．See note elsewhere．） Idaho：

Adr Co．：Boise（C．AN．1）．
Bamorki（＇o．：Pocatello（K．l＇．1）．
Bimyhume（＇o．：Fort Hall（C．A．S．1）．
Nez Peref（＇o．：Lewiston（C．A．S．1）．
Toorl，（＇o．：Foothills east of Fischer＇s Pass， $3^{12} 2$ miles west of Clover （Knowlton and Janes，1934．1）．
UTAH：
Brater（ó：（U．N．M．2）；Beaver（C．A．s．1）；Wild Cat Cañon （C．A．S．1）．
Juob Co．：Levan（C．A．s．1）．
Millarl（o．：Fillmore（Mirh．UT．1）．
I＇ashimalom（＇o．：（A．M．N．H．3）（M．C．Z．1）．
Oregon：
Marvey（＇o．：Diamond（U．s．N．．．M．1）．
Klamuth（＇o．：Deschutes river（T．ふ．N．M．1）；？between Ashland and Klamath Falls（U．S．N．M．1）．
Marion（＇U．：Salem（［＇．S．N．2）．
Wultommah（＂o．：Ardonwald near sellwood（L．M．K．1）．
Localities mot allorated to countios：Willanmette Valley（A．N．S．P．2）； Colmmbia liver（A．N．心．3）．

MismingTon：
Atlams Co．：Swamphake（Comell 2）．
Sipotiam（＇o．：Cheney（Cal．U．3）；Apokane（Cal．U．1）．
Whitmon（＇o．：Pullman（A．M．N．H．1）（Comell 1）；Wawawei（A．M．N．H． 1）（ М．（＂．Z．1）．
Trimentified：Clark＇s Ford．Lower kootemy River（A．M．N．H．1）．

## Califoncia：

Niskigon（＂o．：Fort Jomes（Stamforl（T．1）．

Humboldt Co．：Near Harris（（omall 1）；Fortuna（M．C．Z．1）．
Trimity C＇o．：Yollo Bolly Mt．．4，000 it．（C＇al．U．1）．
Shasta（＇o．：Amberon（stamford 1）；Pit river（Van Demburgh，1922）； Baird（Van Denburgh，1922）．
Lassern（＇o．：Pil river（Stanford 1）．
Tehoma（＇o．：Nanton，3．000 ft．（Cal．（T．1）；Red Blaff，350 ft．（Cal． $1^{\top} .1$ ）：Turner：Lyomsille， $3,500 \mathrm{ft}$ 。（Cal．（T．18）．

Memdorimo（＇o．：Cormo（Cal．［．1）（C．A．S．1）；south of Covelo（Cal． U．1）：（kiah（T．S．N．M．1）；Lake Lembard， 10 mi ．N W Thiah（Cal．

[^46] (A.M.N.II 1) (C…A.1\%).



 hurg (V:an Dtuburwh. 1929).




 Rafael (CA.A. 2) : Point Rfye (Van Denburgh, 1922) ; Phoenix (iulch (Vian Denburoh, 1922); Rock spring- (C.A.s. 1).

 Grizzly Peak (Cal. [. J) : Wild Cat Cañon (Cal. I. 1).
 Clatement Cañon near Berkeles (Cal. (V. 1) ; Fonth Oakland (Cornell 1): Oakland (1.S.N.M. 1): Haywatd (U.N.N.M. 1) ; 1) akland Hill: (C…s. 2) : Loma Heights (C.A.S. 1).
San Matro (O.: Pescatero (stanford 1): Summit above Searsville (stanford 1): San Mateo (M.C.Z. 2).

 forl 19) ( Mich. 2) ; Jasper Rider (stanford 「) ; near Black Momntain (Stanford 1): Alun Rock Canon (Stanforl 1): Wrightㅇ (stanford 1) : Ruckers Mos Valley (stanford 1); Momet Hamilton (stanford 1): suith Creek. Nt. Hamilton (Ntanford 1); Ruckers. CVa, Valley
 2): Lo- (iator (Van Denburgh. 1922).
 Lommond (Cal. C. 1) : Cormatos (stanforl 1): Big Ba-in, Santa (Guz Mt*. (stanford 2); Boukler Cret (stanford 1).

 Benito (A.M.N.H. 1) ; Jimebure ( ('A.心. 1).

 Vrutura ('o.: Vorthoff ( ('al]. [': : $)$.











Angeles（L．S．N．M．1）：Placenta（añon（C．S．N．M．1）；Avalon，Cata－ lina Inland（C．A．S．1）：La Cresernta（C．A．S．1）：San Petro（Van Denburgh，1922）：Fish Cañon（Vian Denburgh，1922）．
Rinemide C＇o．：Firs Mill Site．San Jacinto Mts．． 5.300 ft ．（Cal．L．1）； Strawbery Valles， 5.500 ft ．．Jacinto Mts．（Cal．U．1）；Palm Springs （Ca1．U．1）（Stanford 1）；Jyllwild（S．I．S．N．H．3）（A．M．N．H．1）； san Jacinto（Stanford 1）；Banning（U．S．N．M．3）；North of Cabezon （T．SN．M．1）．
Som Disqu（＇o．：（A．M．N．H．1）（K．E．1）（L．M．K．2）（Field 1）；Chula Vista（（＇al．U．1）；Bathoa Park（Cal．U．1）（S．D．S．N．H．1）；Point Loma（心．D．N．N．1）（L．M．K．1）；Sin Dieqo（C．A．S．3）（U．S．N．M． 2）（A．N．S．P．1）（M．C．Z．2）（S．D．S．N．H．2）；Camp Kearney（S．D．S． N．H．1）；Laguma Mts．（S．D．S．N．H．9）（L．M．K．4）（A．M．N．H．1）； Monmment 258 （S．D．S．N．H．2）；beerhorn Flat（S．D．S．N．H．2）；Tor－ rey Pines（心．D．s．A．H．1）；Alsarado Cañon（S．D．S．N．H．1）；Viejas Valley（L．M．K．1）：Pine Hills（L．M．K．1）：Alpine．（L．M．K．1） （K．C．1）；Julian（L．M．K．1）；Lakeside（L．M．K．1）；Doane Valley， Palomar Mt．（L．M．K．2）；Palomar Mt．（K．V．5）；Jamul（Indian Spring）（L．M．K．1）；Cuyamac：Mt．（L．M．K．3）；Pamma（L．M．K．1）； La Mesa（L．M．K．1）；Dulzura（Stanford 1）；Fallbrook（Cornell 1）； Musey（K．C．1）；Twin Oaks（U．S．N．M．1）；near Escondido（Van 1）enburgh．1922）：Witch Creck（C．A．s．1）：Poway（Van Denburgh， 1922）．
Tulare（＇o．：＊Kaweah（C．A．s．1）；Monache Meadows Sierra Nevada （C：al．U．1）：？Traver（U．S．N．M．1）．
Baha C＇aliforvia，Mexico：$\dagger$
Coronados Is．（M．C．Z．6）（C．A．S．6）；South Coronados（Cal．U．1） （S．D．S．N．H．2）（L．M．K．2）（C．A．S．17）；East Coronados（A．M．N．H． 1）（C．A．S．9）：North Cormados（A．M．N．H．1）（C．A．S．2）；Todos santor Islands（Cal．［．3）（C．A．S．7）；San Quentin（C．A．S．1）； Enseñada（C．A．S．2）；Alcatraz，San Pedro Martir Mts．（C．A．S．1）； Arroyo Encantalo（C．AA．2）：san Jose 2,500 ft．，Lat． $31^{\circ}$（C．A．s．4） （Cal．［．1）；La Grulla， 7.500 ft ．，San Pedro Martir Mts．（Cal．U．1）； Rosarita Divide（Field 1）：San Pedro Martir Mts．（U．S．N．M．1）； Aqua Caliente（C．A．S．3）．

## Eumeces stilltoniamus brevipes（Cope） <br> （Fig．6s） <br> SYNONYMY

1900．Eumerts skiltumitmus var．brevipes Cuper．Ann．Rept．1．S．Nat．Mus．， 1898 （1900）， Юい．643，titt（type lonality，Frestu，Cal．；（i．Eisen，colloctor；type No． 12558 I＇．．N．M．，in gexilt eondition）．
1922．Eumates skiltominmas（part．）Van Tenburgh．Oce．Papers Cit．Arad．Sci．，X，Vol．I，

History．The type，together with certain Eumeces which appear to belong to the form here recognized as Eumeces gilberti rubri－

[^47] nized the form under the name bre ripes. -tating that " Wdditional -perimens are nereseaty to determine the que-tion of the rank of this form." The eperimen has an modivided postmental, and this. I suspert, is an amomalous comdition. This name has inviatiahty


Diagnosis. Related to skiltoniomus skiltomiames, hut larger and more robust, the body proportionally more elongate, the seale haring a more glasey appearane . The tat is lavender, a color retatined more or lese in the adults.

Deseription of the type. Type No. 1255s, L.A.N.M.. (i. Eisen. collector. 1 From Cope (1900).
"In a large and probably old inecimen (Cat. No. 1255S) there is but one mental fate, and the limbe are consmously shorter than in the adult of the typical form. The color is aloo modified in a way which is different from that seen in other adults. Additional specimens are necessary to determine the question of the rank of this form. It diverges, however, so widely from the normal that I deacribe it under a distinct name.
"The limbs adpressed to the sides. do not meet by a space equal to the length of the forearm and band, which is more than double the spate between the limbe in the adulte oi the typical variety. The hind timb is one-third the length from the groin to the end of the muzzle. The tail is usually robust, but the extremity is los in the reperimen. In coloration the dorsal ten rows of arales are all alike, dark olive, bordered with brown. There is a pale soot on the outer border of the scales of the third row from the median line on fach side. which gives the impresion of an indistinct narrow pale streak. Belly and posterior gular region blue; chin, throat, a crowhand at axillae, and the inferior surfaces of limbs and tail. light vellow. The external border of the broad median row of subcaudal scales (which are twice as wide as those of the adjacent rows) are bordered with phmbeous, forming two narow streaks. The seales of the upper side of the tail are brown bordered. The dimensions (quad those of the largest adults of the manal trye."
 experially thick; foot with four large seales which erose the foot diagonally; limbs separated by 10 seales. The eperimen is a large female greatly distemded with eqges. Exeept for a greater length of the head and head seates the fomm resembles the general dhar-
 nosis. The eolor has now fated comsiderably. The domeotateral lines are still distinct. ecpatiated by two whole and a little more than two hali rows of sales. The lateral tine is not clearly differentiated. The tail. however. shows four dim lighter lines. All the anterior labials white ; posterior labials with upper edges dark.

Meanurements of El Dorado county specimens referred to Eumeces skiltonianus bienipes Cope

| Thaseum <br> Number <br> Sex | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{~L} \\ 3645 \\ y \mathrm{~g} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 . \mathrm{V} \\ 364 \\ 9 \mathrm{gg} . \end{gathered}$ | $8647$ | $\underset{0^{7}}{5+4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V} \\ 3639 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \therefore \mathrm{C} \\ 36+1 \\ 0^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \therefore r^{\circ} \\ ? \\ o^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{8607 \\ 0^{7}}}{\substack{\mathrm{~V}^{7}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shout to vent | 13 | $4{ }^{3}$ | 60 | 68 | 74 | 77 | 79 | so |
| Tail. |  | 79 |  |  | $123+$ |  | $123+$ | 142 |
| Snout to foreleg | 15 | 16 | 14 | 22 | 24.2 | 24.6 | 26 | 24 |
| Axilla to groin... | 23 | 25 | 33 | 34 | 12 | 11 | 4 | 43 |
| Head length. | 8.5 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 |
| 11 ead width... | 6 | 6 | s | 9 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Foreleg. . | 10 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 19 |
| Hind leg. | 15 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 2.5 |

Nis. 3tiot, Sugar Loaf P.O., 5000 ft., El Dorarlo Co; others from Fyffe, El Dorado Co.
Fariation. I have associated with skiltoniamus breripes a group of pecimens in Stanford Eniversity, from Eldorado countr. The series consists of numbers 3607. 2639-3648. (No. 3420 [89mm.] purporting to be from Eldoralo county [Fyffe] is Eumeces gilberti gilberti, quite probably from Yosemite Valley.) In this series the roung have the light greenish-white dorsolateral line occupying about three fiftlis of the second scale row. The dorsal coloration in the youngest ( 40 mm .) is gray-olive with black lines bordering the dorsolateral light lines. The lateral stripe is deep black-brown. The lateral greenish-white line begins on the rostral, involves all but the upper and lower edges of the ear, and passes to the tail; below this is a narow dark line. The tails are lavender above and on sides; the chin, throat, breast and underside of tail cream; the belly grayish.

This color pattern is kargely retamed by both makes and females until a length of approximately 80 mm . is reached. The lateral stripe, however, is mot so lark, and the light lines become more olive but still distinct. One sperimen, No. 3607180 mm .), shows the dorsolateral lines merging posteriorly into the dorsal coloration so that they are lost on the posterior part of the body.

In general the specimens appear to have a smooth, more glazed appearance, are less robust than the gilberti forms and apparently do mot show the red hearls amd complete loss of the jurenile markings of that species.

Scale data from these -pecimens are as follows: upper labials, $7-7$ in fire sperimens, $8-8$ in four, $8-7$ in two, $8-6$ in one; prefrontals

In contact in cight, - eparated in four ; muchals, o-2 in eight, 1-1 in
 times: median seale row- widened: none with the parietal enclosed. limb in male tonch or overlat two or three millimeters: in femake the harely thuch or are sparated bey two to five millimeters. The subeaudals are from 109-11:3: sale from parietals to vent 61 to $6: 3$.

Remarlis. Inamuch at (instar Eisen sent from Fresuo specimens of typical skiltonianus skiltoniamus which apparently does not oecur in this locality at the present time). together with gitberti mbricandatus and the type of the present form. I regarl it as quite probable that there may be an error as regarls the type locality of the present form, skiltomiams broripes.

Distribution. The epecimens which I refer to this form are all from Ehforalo county, California. It seem: likely that it replaces gilberti gilberti in the sierras north of Eldorado county, and that it may poribly also be taken to the south, if the type locality is authentic. The locality records are given in the table of measurements.

## Eumeces lagumensis Vian Denburgh

(Plate 3ti, Fig. 1: Fig. 1i9, T0)
SYNONYMY
 134. 135, fh. Xlll (enerral drawing of enture animal, with drats of head and fore-

 sterneger and Barbour. Check List N. Amer. Runt., 2d Edl., 1923, p. 5.




 114.115.

 1. 37:

History. Two perimens, collerted in Baja California bey Ciustav Fiem. Darch $2-$, 1 ato. were sent to the California Acadmey of sacinces and were dearribed mader the natme Eumecs lagmensis by John Van Denburgh in 1-9.5. The -pecifie name refors to the Sierra de La Laguna of Baja California, in whicl the type locality. Sim Franciequito, i- located.

This desription. whicl is somewhat bricf. was supplemented by (arefully drawn figure. Infortmately the most distinetive character
of the form, that of the relationship of the temporals, was omitted from the deseription, and despite the fact that it is clearly shown by the figures, it reems to hare been disegarled by subsequent writers treating of the form. The description states that there are seven labials: the figure etrpe ? ) shows eight.

MeLatin (1s99) expreses the opinion that latumensis is a synonym of skiltoniamus, on the basis of an orange-tailed specimen of (?) skiltoniamus." schmidt (192), without having seen a sperimen of latmencsis but basing his opinion on peecimens of skiltoniamus from Touks Santos Island opposite Ensenarla, Baja California, regarded lagnomensis a symonym of skiltomiams.

Loveridge, in 1930, on the hasis of certain specimens collected by (.. T. Bruce on Los Cormados Istands, Bajat C'atifornia, concludes that shiltonmmus and legmensis are identical. It is apparent that he failed to examine the type figure with regard to squamation of the temporal region. Linsdale (1932), having a specimen of lagumensis before him obtained at Comondí, Apr, 9, 1931, by Chester C. Limb, concluded that the specimen "helps to confirm the opinion expresed by the describer of the species (1929, p. 589) that it should be recognized as distinct." Linstate believed that, because the differences were small, it should be considered subspecifically.

I have been fortunate in having Linsdale's specimen (U. of C., No. 13760 ) for sturly and find it ronforms very closely not only to the description, but also to the careful figures given by Van Denburgh published with the type deseription, save in number of habials —seven instead of eight-and the differences are very striking from the typical skiltoniams, particularly as pertains to the significant differences in the temporal region.

In an examination of the Eumocos material in the United States National Museum, I find a series of six specimens rotlected between Loreto and C'omondú, Baja C 'alifomia, by I)r. IV. M. Mann, and classified as skiltomiams. This material is uniform in regard to the peculiar charaters of the temporat and agrees likewise with the type figures.

The reddish-orange color of the tail is retained in the Catifornia specimen, but has been lost in those in the National Museum. This, of course is to be expected, since in pratically all the species, the color of the tail in juveniles is changed in the adult. So far as I have observed in skiltoniames the pink or orange color is never present alone sare in regenerated parts of the tail, reports to the

[^48]contrary notwithatading tan ormge tail does oecur in gilberti mubricaudatus).

Unfortmately, the typer of kamousis. (C.A.s.. Nos. 400 and 40 ) were de-troyed in the carthanake and fire in 1906. I designate
 "on the trail between Loreto and Comondu" to serve as a neotype, of which Nos. $67399.67400,67+01$, 67402 , and 67403 may he regarded as neoparatypes. since no topotype is available at the moment.

The original type locality is Sin Francisquito, presumably** the barrio of that name belonging to La Paz, near the southern end of the peninsula.

Diagnosis. A medium-sized member of the Skiltonianns group, having a well-defined dorsolateral light line from snout to some distance on tail and a lateral line arising on anterior labials passing throngh the ear to groin; -2t scale rows about body; four supraoculars, three touching frontal; frontemasal in contact with frontal or not: seven upper labials, four preceding the subocular; parietals enelosing the interparietal; seventh labial broadly in contact with the upper secondary temporal, widely separating the primary from the lower secondary temporal; tertiary temporal present; two postlabials, not superimposed. Tail of young orange this color disappearing in adults. The light lines on body are retained in adults.

Description from Coniversity of California Museum of Vertebrate Zoölogy, No. 13760; Comondú, $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$., Baja California, Mexico, Apr. 9. 1931. Chester C. Lamb). Part of rostral, appearing above, - Hatll, triangular, less than a third the area of the frontonasal; -upranazals moderate in size, forming a median suture, touching posthasal and loreal; frontonasal longer than broad, touching anterior loreals, supranasals, prefrontals, and narrowly in contact with the frontal; prefrontals distinctly smaller than the frontoparictal, forming sutures with the two loreals, first supraoculars, frontomasal and frontal, exchoded from first supereiliary, and not making a median suture; frontal elongate. much longer than ite di-tance from end of shout. in contact with three supracoulars. not constricted on -ides; frontoparietals broadly in contact, not or but slightly smaller than the interparietal; parietals large, broadly in contact behind the interparictal; two pairs of medhals, the anterior distinctly deeper than posterior.

[^49]Nasal small, divided by sutures from the nostril, the anterior part much larger than the posterior: postnasal large, tonching two labials; :anterior loreal distinctly higher than wide, higher than posterior ; latter short, only a little longer than high; four supraoculars, the first relatively large; seven superciliaries, the first not in contact with the prefrontal, smaller than the last ; two presuboculars, the :mterior much the largest ; four postsuboculars; primary temporal small; upper secondary large, of equal width throughout its length, forming a broad suture with the seventh latial; lower secondary


Fit. 69. Eumeers Ingnensis Van Denburgh. U. of C. No. 13760; Comondú, 1.000 feet, Baja California. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of heal. Actuat head length, 7.3 mm .; width, 6.5 mm .
rectangular, widely separated from primary; tertiary temporal narrow, elongate, not entering ear.
seren upper labials, the first neither larger nor higher than the three sucreeding; seventh much larger than sixth, followed by a relatively large postlabial, which is separated from the auricular opening by a single small scale.

Eye omall, the upper palpebrals in contart with the superciliaries thronghout the greater part of the series; lower eyelid with a series of four semitramaparat, enlarged seales. separated from the subocular by three rows of granules. A minute preocular, and two -mall postorulars, of which the lower is largest ; ear opening relatively large, with two well-defined lobules; 17 seales surrounding ear. Mental large with a longer labial borter than rostral; two postmentals; three pairs of chimshields, the median largest, the last
foltowed by an embared potemial and a sale bordering the postgenial whieh is longer than wide.

Scales on dorsal surfare somewhat widened, the four median rows of about equal size, and larger than laterals or rentrals; seale rows
 bolly at axilla, e!! : about midule of body : 4 ; about base of tail, 16 ; scale- in a row from parietals to above vent, ss; scales under the tail very homb, 102 sales from vent to tip.

Limbs moderate, failing to touch when adpressed; no small granwhat sales in axilla, and none following insertion of hind leg; 13 seales ahout insertion of foreleg; 16 about insertion of lind leg; outer wrist tuberele well developed; palm with three entarged tubercular - cales: lamellar formulat for fingers: $5 ; 7 ; 10 ; 10 ; 7$. Heel bordered by four larger sales; sole with one entarged tubereular scale; lamellar formula for toes: $5 ; 9 ; 11 ; 14 ; 8$. Toes apparently a little more compresed, with the terminal dorsal seale lese enlarged than in skiltomiann.s. Vent bordered be six sales, the outer overlapping inner; median pair strongly enlarged.

Pit- on scales poorty developed. Scales of the sides of neck and body urwally with only a single punctate pit; in the posthumeral and portiemoral regions orcasimally two or thee pits.

Color (in formalin). Above dark slaty black; a dorsolateral whitish line begins on rostral, continues along side of body to tail where it widens and is lost; the line covers the outer half of second sale rows and inner third of the third, separated from its fellow by two whole and two half sale rows; lateral line begins on second lathial. follows the lower edge of the anterior labials, then rises a little, leaving a narow larker line on the edge, maintaining a -traight even course to ealr, which it enters about its middle; behind ear it begins on the lower half and continues bark to groin on the sixth scale row; chin and breast light: belly leal color; under side and major portion of tail light, dull reddish orange, fleckent with darker and with a median darker line; underside of limbs light.

Fariation. seven specimen- have bern available, masurements of which are given in the following tathe. The vartation in seate row: is at follow: beliud ear, ご to :30; on constricted part of neck,
 first number occuring once. scals- in a row from parietals to above rent, 5 , 59 or 60 , the latter once, the other two there each; -fales about cat. 1.5 or 16 , the later number the more frequent. Epper and lower lathat invariable, as are the -upatoculars, post-

Tatbe of measurements of Eumecre lagum Msis Van Denburgh

| Museman <br> Nunber. <br> sex. |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 . \text { of } \mathrm{C} \\ 13760 \\ \mathrm{yg} . \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total length: |  | 141 |  |  |  |  | . |
| Snout to went. | 39 | 30 | 56 | 57 | 58.5 | 59 | 60 |
| Snout to eve. | 3.4 | 4 | 4.3 | 4 | 4 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| Shout to ear. | b | 9.3 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 11 |
| Snout to foreleg. | 13 | Is | 178 | 198 | 18 | 20 | 19.3 |
| Tail |  | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wilth of head | 6.5 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 9.3 | 8.2 |
| Length of head. | 7.3 | $\therefore \mathrm{s}$ | 8.5 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.8 | 9.3 |
| Width of bo ly | 7 | $s$ | 9.4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| Postanal width. | 44 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| Foreleg.... | 9.5 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13.7 | 13.2 | 13 |
| Hind leg. | 13 | Is | 15 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 19 |
| Longest toe. . | 5.2 | 6 | 6.1 | f | 6 | 6.1 | 6.6 |
| Axilla to groin. | 23 | 27 | 34 : | 33 | 35 | 34 | 35 |

nasals, postmental, and the rharacter of the enclosed parietal. Four pecimens have the frontonasal in contact with the frontal, three separated: lamellat under fourth toe 14 to 16 , the latter oecurring once only. Four postsuboculars in all save one specimen.

The limbs overlap in the smallest sperimen (two millimeters), touch in those of intormediate size, and are separated a little in the largest specimens. The "hacter and relationship of the temporals are invariable. The single large postlabial seems to be constant.

In the largest specimen the color above is olive-brown the dorsolateral line complete, greenish-white in color. bortered above by darker color; sides with a dark-brown band, bordered below by the lateral line; latter bordered below by a dark line: belly blaishgreen; salles on limbs with grayish centers; tip of regencrated tail purplish. In the youngest specimen the ground color of back is darker. The tail is gray, the regenerated tip light orange.

The specimen described in detail appears to have been preserved in formatin: those in the Natomal Nuseum have been preserved in alcohol and are generally lighter.

The older sperimens have the pitting on the scales somewhat less distinct than in the younger. Many of the seales show two pits. In the postfemoral region the pits are a hit nearer the posterior edge of the sate, and in some rases noteh the sable.

Remertis．A－ide from the difference in the temporats，thin form seem－to difter from shiltomiames in a thathely lower count of－ub－ digital lamellate usually wo lese seale rows around the body，the grater differentiation in size between the sixth and serenth habials， in having the lats labial in comtart broadty with the upper serontary temporal，and the difference in the character of the axillary soales mot examined in the National Nusemm serjes．It aloo differs in having an orange tail in the voung insteal of a blue tail．I have seen 10 young sperimen of skiltonitmus with an orange tail；the newly begun regeneration take an orange color the orange tail woes oecur，however．in gilberti mbricandatus）．Also the linbs ：mer somewhat shorter．

Until further evidence to the contrary wan be marshalled．thi－ form shouk be considered as a species distinct from stiltoniamus． The eritiral region where this evidence may be found is the nothern and central part of Baja Calitomia．

Distribution．The form is known only in the southern third of Baja California．


Fig．70．Distribution of Eumers lemumenis Vian Dombureh，in Baja（ahlifomia

Locality records：
 （＇A．s．2，destroyed）；Comondú（ C ．of（C．1，Lamb Coll．）；on trail between Loreto and Comondú（C゚ふズ．M．6．Mann Coll．；No． 67398 designated as neotype）．

Eumeces gillurti gilberti Van Denburgh<br>(Plates 37, 36; Figs. 71, 72)<br>SVNONYMY


#### Abstract

1591. Eumeces skiltoniamus štejneger. N. Abser. Fauna. No. 7, 1893, pp. 261, 262 (part.); Grinnell and Storer, Hall, Handhook of Vostmite Nat. Park, 1921, 1). 179 (part.); Yan Ionburgh, Oreats. Paprers Calif. Acad, sci., X, Vol. 1, 1922, pp. 58t-587 (part.) (description; the plate given is of Eumerts rubricaudtotus): Crinnell anfl Storer, Anim. Life Yosemite, V'ms, Calif. Press. 1924, Dp. 633-635 (part.) (descriptions and habits). 1-96. Eumeres gilberti. Vian Denlmargh. Proc. Calif. Acarl. sci., (2), V1, 1sioti, pp. $350-352$ (type desoription: type localots. Vosemite Valley. Mariposa comity, Calif.); and Oreas. Papers Calif. Acarl. Sci.. V, 1897, Hr. 147-149 (part.) (redescription with ddditional lowality records); Ditmars, Rept. Book. 190t, p. 19s: ? Grinnell. Gniv. Callf. Publ. Zoal. V. No. 1. 190s. Mr. 163. 16it (san Bernardino Mts.. Calif.) ; Atsatt, Univ. Calif. Publ. Zomi, Jll, No. 3, pr. 31, 50; Ruthron and Gaige. Oceas. Papers Mus. Zuiol. Iniv. Mich., No. 8, 1015, ple. 26-2h (romuarison, skittonianus with gilberti) ; Camp, I niv. Culif. Publ. Zaril., XY11. No. 7. Dec. 28, 1916, p. 72 (places gilberti in symonymy of skiltomomus).


History. Eumeces gilberti was first recognized by Dr. John Van Denburgh, in a collection of reptiles made by Dr. Charles $H$. Giilbert and James M. Hyde in 1898, in and near Yosemite Vabley, Mariposa countr, Califomia. The description is a careful one, and measurements are given of a series of paratypes. The young (snout to vent, 52 millimeters) are likewise deseribed. The type chosen is No. 4139. Leland Stanford Junior University Museum, collected June 10-15, 1898.

In 1897 Van Denburgh, in his "Reptiker of the Pacific Coast," again describes this species and gives additional data and new locality records. He compares the form with skiltonianus. Here he states (p. 14!), "Were it not for the different position of the light stripes of the young and the fact that this form seemingly does not oecur in most parts of the range of $E$. skiltonianus, Eumeces gilberti might be regarded as a color phase of the western skink."

Between the time of its description and 1916 this speries was generally arcepted by herpetologists. In this latter year Camp (1916) discussed the sperimens of Eumeces in the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology of the University of Califormia, and pointed out that on the basis of coloration and markings all are one species (i. e., skiltoniamus and gilberti). He states: "The upshot of the matter, then, is that all the California Eumoces are to be considered as a single species, skiltoniams, which exhibits age and sex variations amost identical with those shown hy the eastern skink, $E$. quinquelincatus. According to Cope 1900, pp. 636-637). quinquelincatus attains a much larger size in the more southern states than in the northern, there going through all the stages of coloration, and that the farther north the more is this restricted to the
primary pattern. A parallel situation seems to obtain in shiltomicmus."

The comparison which Camp drew from Cope's statement (which is erroneous. due to the fact that Cope confused thee eastern -peceics is to be given no weight.

Since 1916. on the basis of C'mp's conclusions, the name gilberti has been relegated to the limbo of syonymy, no one, apparently, reviewing the same or other material to detemine whether charalters other than size might separate the two forms.

Vian Denburgh apparently acepted C'mmp's conclusions with considerable reluctance, since, in his "Reptiles of Western North America" (pp. 58,5-585), he gives a complete sparate description of gilberti (under skiltoniomus). Speaking of Camp's action, he states: "It, therefore, seems necessary to regard all California skinks as belonging to one species, although no explanation has yet been given of the fact that this species in a portion of its range (southern California and the Sierra Nevadal grows mueh larger than elsewhere and develops a color phase-sometimes even in the youngwhich in other regions seems never to be fully shown. Under these (ireumstances, it seems best to give separate descriptions of the two phases although one name is used for both."

My study of this group of California skinks seems to vindiate Van Denhurgh's belief in the distinctness of E. gilberti. Apparently the greatest difficulty in reoognzing gilborti has been due to the fart that the young of gilberti gilberti and skiltomianus are very -imilar in general characters. Yet a careful comparison will doubtles show gilberti larger at hatching and with certain details of the color different from those of skiltomiomus. There is usually no difficulty in recognizing the adults. although the color evolution hringe a variety of age color-pattems that is very confusing when limited material is available.

With the recognition of the subsperies Eunces gilberti rubricondatus, a second difficulty is enoomered. In the case of these forms, the young are strikingly different: however, the adults in -ome case are very similar and difficulty may be met in separating them.

Diagnosis. A large eperies belonging to the skiltomianus group. having four buish or whitish line in the goung; these lines soon fade and may be lowt as early as the third rear. The upper fdoreolaterali) light lines occupy from one half to almost the whole of the seeond scale row and are thus separated sometimes by only
two scale rows; the lateral light line is usually continuous from the rostral and usually with straight edges on the labials, wider than is usual in stiltomians. The tail is blue. The lateral brown stripe is lost early. Aldults with body rather stout, with the tail practically eircular in crose section; the limbs long, overtapping in, males, tourhing or narrowly failing to tourli in the females. Scale rows, $24-26$, the median widened; scales occiput to above vent average about 62.5 ; upper labials, eight; nuthals, one pair normally: two postmentals; one postnasal; superciliaries, normally seven; prefrontals forming a strong median suture; subcaudals, 112. Borly brownish or greenish-olive, the sales sometimes darker


Fig. 71. Eumeces gilberti gilberti Van Denburgh. U. of C. No. 12611. east of Cooperstown, on county line between Stanislaus and Tuolumne Cos. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, about 16 mm .; width, about 15 mm .
edged, rarely washed with reddish; toward tail, often redigrisgreen; head hright poppy-red.

Description of species (ehiefly from paratypes and topotypes). The pertion of rostral visible above usuatly equals half (or more) of the frontomasal: supram:ats moderate, slightly longer in proportion to width than in skiltoniamax: frontonasal large, tourhing anterior loreal: prefrontals distinctly hexagomal. forming a strong median suture ; frontal as long as. or minutely longer than, its distance from the end of the snout, touching three supraoculars; frontoparietals smaller than the prefrontals, forming a median suture: interparietal narow, elongate, normalfy not enclosed by the parietals: parietats relatively short and wide; normally a single pair of large nuchals.

Natal small, at leazt partially divided by a shight growre the area of the anterior part greater than that of posterior part: portnasal invariably preant : anterior loreal narow, higher than wide: postorion loreal hage, longer than high: normally seven superaliaries. the anterior large broally in contare with the prefontal. nore than twice as lare as the posterior: four supracoulars; two presuboculars: normally four posteuboculars doceasionally diree or fires: a small preorular, followed by seremal smatl wranules diminishing in size: upper median palpobsal sates rontatoting the -upereiliaries: two -mall poterulars. the lower larger the lower erelid with four or five enlarged sales separated from the suborular labial by four rows of granules: primary temporal moderately laree. in contact with the somewhat fanshaped lower seendary temporal: upper secondary subrectangular, slightly broader posteriorly than anteriorly : tertiary temporal elongate. bordering the upper serondary, separated from ear hy a single sale.

Eight uprer labials, five preceding the subocular; latter with a labial border a little grater than its height; eighth lathial much larger than the seventh, followed hy two pairs of post labials, the lower anterior being math the largest and sometines fured with the lower scale of the posterion pair; wewally two well-defined aurimalar lobules: about twenty seales surounding the ear; mental moderate. with a labial border a little longer than that of the rostral: fin lower labials; two postmentals: these followed by three pair: of chinshields, the anterior pair in contact: postgenial harge. normally bordered on anterior inmer edge by a seale longer than wide.

Body seales on tides in parallel lines, the median dorsal rows wider than others: sale around head behind ear. 33 ; aromud nar-
 tatil. 17. subeaudak much widened, about 110-11こ from amus to tip of tail: -ix preamal sales the two merlian murh the barest,
 more or le-s differentiated in mater, watlly -howing in obler -peci-
 aromad insertion of arm: outer wriot tuberele clearly defined; sercral large, flat tubereles on palm, sometimes arranged in a V-shaped or triamgutar series ; lamellar formula for fingers: $7: 10 ; 12 ; 13 ; 3$. Heel plates very variable, watly form or five; sole with seremal large flat pads. sometme forming a fow to base of fourth the lametlar formula for toes: $7 ; 11 ; 14: 16 ; 10 ;$ tox survoumbed bx
only a dorsal and ventral series; the interealated scales on outer side not extended the length of basal phalanx; lamellae not tightly houmd about the claws. A series of small gramular seales in the axilla; none or only a single row of tiny scales about posterior part of insertion of hind leg; 22 seales about insertion of hind leg; adpresed limbs overlapping about two millimeters in adult males, toueling or failing to touch by one or two millimeters in adult females.

Color. Very young specimens are blackish or dark brown above, bordered by dorsolateral lines of grayish white which begin on the rostral or prefrontal and continue back, with a somewhat varying width, covering part of the third and two thirds to five sixths of the second row; the lateral light stripe appears to be continuous from the rostral, widens somewhat posteriorly, the edges appearing straight. It emerges from the ear, involving much more than the lower half of the ear and eontinue- back chiefly on the sixth, but partially on the seventh, rows; the lateral brown stripe is very distinet and relatively narrow, involving two eomplete scale rows and the edges of the adjoining seale rows; below the lateral light line is a dim, narrow, gray-olive line. Belly helow, grayith; tail blue, with a suggestion of larender below.
In slightly older speeimens the median part of the baek becomes olive and the darker coloration remains bordering the dorsolateral line. During the third and fourth year the dorsolateral and lateral lines become olive as does the general coloration, while some trace of the latcral stripe can be traced to the fifth year. The blue of the tail is lost about the third year.

In adult male and female specimens, the color becomes nearly uniform, without a trace of dorsolateral or lateral lines. The general color is greenish-olive, sometimes showing bluish-olive with a -light brown wash. The head is colored almost uniformly red (yellow in preservative). The sides of the body are gray or bluish-gray. The chin and throat as well as part of the breast are uniform crean (red in life?, and this color extends upward, almost surrounding the ear. Limbs about the same color as body, the toes being somewhat lighter. Under surfaces of limbs and underside of the tail light cream color in alcohol.

Tariation. I have examined forty specimens from the Yosemite region. The following variation is noterl. Scale rows, $24-28,24$ occurring six times: 25 , six times; 26 , twenty-three times; 27 , four times $; 28$, once. The number of scales from parietal to above vent




Nukernitl
Numbrery
surnt to vent जnourt to eyf smont tor rat shont toforelow Frial.... Axilla to groin Wiulth of heatl lemeth of head Post:anal width Forelem lindlrim. longest 190.

[^50]are: 61, occurring five times: 62 . fifteen times; 63 , nineteen times; and 64 , three times. The upper labials are $7-7$ in three specimens; $7-8$ in two specimens; $8-8$ in thirty-five specimens. The muehals are usually $1-1$, this average being found in 30 specimen; ; $1-2$ in five: and $\mathfrak{2}-2$ in three specimens. The prefrontals are broadly in contact in 36 specimens, narrowly separated in four. Subdigital lamellae under the fourth toe ( 70 comits) are: 14 , twice; 15 , nine times; 16 , thirty-nine times; 17 , serenteen times; and 18 , three times. The character of the postmentals, posthasal and supraoculars is constant. The parietats are separated in 37 specimens; in three they enclose the interparietal.

The specimens from Inyo county on the east slope of the Sierra Nevada differ somewhat. Nine specimens have an average of seales, occiput to anus of 61.6 ; the number of seale rows averages a little lower, the number $2 t$ occurring in five of the nine specimens. The nuchats are $2-2$ in seven and $2-1$ in two. The labials are $8-8$ in all. The prefrontals are joined in six of the nine specimens. No young specimens were examined from the eastern slope. These Inyo county specimens are a little darker generally. Some trace of the dorsolateral line is visible until a somewhat greater age is reached, not so much by its remaining light but by slightly darker color which edges the scales of the two median rows.

I am, at least temporarily, associating with gilberti gilberti three specimens from San Joaquin county. This is done with considerable reluctance, as the color characters, combined with growth and seale characters (if constant), would warrant separation from this species. The adult female has four dark lines down the back, and the head is uniquely mottled. The lateral light limes are widened and the adpressed limbs widely separated. The general ground color is of a hluish-gray.

The two young are gravish olive with a suggestion of two darker, continuous or dotted lines on the back. The heads likewise show a mottled condition. The photographes are excellent, so no detailed discusion of the markings is given. (Pl. 38, figs. 1, 2. 4.

The measurements of the large female (Cal. Mus. Zoöl. No. 3559 ) are as follows: shout to vent, 98 mm .; to eye, 6.2 mm ; to ear, 18 mm .; axilla to groin, 57 mm .; width of hear, 15 mm .; length of hearl, 16.4 mm .; body width, 16 mm .; foreleg. 23 mm.; hind leg. 33 mmn .; longest toe. 10.6 mm .; adpresed limbs fail to touch by 2 mm .

In the collection of the Musem of Vertebrate Zölogy, University of California (No. 3985) is still another puzzling specimen (Pl. 38,
 i- an adnlt female having a mueh clongated borly and rekatively -horter limbs. The doredaterad lines are avident, bordered on their inner side by a strongly detined sories of deep bletek dots on the - coond seate row The gromel colon is deep olive-brown. The lateral brown stripe is distinct but not of sothel eolor. The lateral light line is also evident.

Measurements: shont to rent, s.9 mm.; to eye, 6 mmn ; to ear, 14.5 mm : : axilla to groin. $5: 3 \mathrm{~mm}$. width of head, 10 mm . length of head, 13.2 mm ; body width, $1: 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. f foreleg. $20.2 \mathrm{mm}$. ; hind leg. 24 mm.; longest toe. 0.5 mm ; adpressed limbs fall to overlap by 8 mm .


Fui. 72. Distribution of Eunuccos gilberti gilberti Vian Denbureh and E. g. mbricaudatus subsp. nor., in southwestam Cnited Stato.

Locality records:

## Califorvia:

Mariposa Co.: Bear Valley, west slope of the Sierra (U.S.N.M. 1); Pleasant Valley, 600 ft . (Cal. U. 6) ; Coulterville, 3.200 ft . (Cal. U. 9) ; near Kinsley, 2,800 it. (Cal. U. 4) ; Smith Creek, Coulterville (Cal. L. 1) ; Yosemite Valley, $4,000 \mathrm{it}$. (Cal. U. 2) (Stanford 4); Anderson Flat. 3,400 ft. (Cal. U. 1) : Dudley, 3,000 ft. (Cal. U. 1) ; Inspiration Point, Yosemite (Stanforl 2); between (iroveland and Crockers (Stanford 1) : four miles from Wawona, $4,500 \mathrm{ft}$. (Stanford 1).
Madera Co.: Raymond, 940 ft . (U.S.N.M. 1) (Cal. L. 5) ; Jesbel, 540 ft.. 8 miles NH Raymond (Cal. U. 1): Norfolk (Cal. U. 1).
Tulare Co.: Monache Meadows. Sierra Nevada (Cal. U. 1); Strathmore (Cal. U. 1); White River (Stanford 1).
Stanislaus ('o.: La Grange. $6,054 \mathrm{ft}$. (Cal. U. 1) ; East Cooperstown, on county line between this and Tuolumme Co. (Cal. ['. 1); Berkeler, Tuolumne Camp (Cal. U. 1).
Imyo Co.: Panamint Mts, heat of Willow creek, $7,000 \mathrm{ft}$. (U.S.N.M. 1) ; Argus range, Manturango Spring (U.S.N.M. 2); Johnson Cañon. 6.000 ft ( Cal. U. 1) ; Panamint Mts. (Cal. U. 3) (C.A.S. 1) ; Honopee Cañon (Field a) ; Beveridge Cañon (Field 1) ; Coso Valley (Field 1).
Arizona:
Yazapai Co.: Prescott (U.S.N.M. 1)
Eumeces gilberti mbricaudatus subsp. nov.
> (Plate 39; Figs. id, 73)

syoneniay
1882. Eumeres skiltominmus Yarms. Bull. L. S. Nat. Mus., No. 24, 1sad, p. 41 (part.): Stejneger. N. Amer. Filma, Nu. 7. 1843, Pr. 201-212 (fart.) (Fort Tejon); Van

 C'alıf. Acact. Aci, (t), 1II, Jan. 17, 1912. 1. 147 (Lythe ereek, Fan Bernardmo county); Atsatt. Univ. Calif. Puht. Zäil. XII, N゙い, B, 1913 (part.) (San Bemardino Mts.) :


19n- : Enmets aitherti Grimull. I nix. Calif. Pull, Zoull, V, No. 1, 1pp. 163, 164 (non (an Itenturgh) (Santa Ina Cañon, san Bemardins, Mlts.).
1917. Prestedon skitonianus Grinnll and Camp. Iniv, Calif. Publ. Zö̈l., XVII, No. 10,
 57-5sa (part.), pl. if (Eumeres skiltomianus).

In examining the preserved skinks from the region about Fresno and localitics to the south, it was noted that, instead of having the blue tate typical of siftonianms or gilberti gillerti, the young skinks have tails which lack all dark pigment and are of a uniform whitish or pinkish color. Tags or published data called attention to the fact that the tails of perimens just captured were red or pink. It wan furthor noted that these foung bore the scate characters of a large form which likewise occurcet in the San Joaquin Valley aml the region to the routh as lar as Baja Catifornia-a form re-
sembling gilberti gilberti in -ize and both shiltonians: and gilberti gilberti in numerous seale charactess. There were, howerer. in the upper part of the range no blue-tailed young of any kimb, and in the southern part of the range the only blue-taled fom- were thone of the skiltomianus oreurring in southern Califomis parietals usually enclosing interparietal, and usually only seren supratahials. In this region were atoo oreational young specimens with uniformly colored, red or pink tails. differing from the blue-tailed form in latking an enclosed interparietal and in having usually cight instead of seven upper labiak. Other lese obrious differences, such as in the color details of the upper labial line, greater width of the dorsolateral line and the higher arerage count of sales hoth unter the tail and from occiput to above vent, were evident.

That the two species gilberti mbricaudatus and skiltoniam, oecur in the same general teritory and maintain completely separate identity, precludes any posibility of considering the former either as a subspecies or as a color variety of skiltoniams.

Although some of the larger adults of gilberti mbricometus bear a rertain resemblance to some perimens of gilberti gilberti, I an not certain that they should be regarded as subspecies, de-pite the absence of striking sale characters. It is obrious that the forms orupy tontiguous territory. It may be that the bartier is one of altitule. Final judgment on the relationship of mbricaulutue: amb and gilberti-whether specific or subspecifie-must await thorough collecting in the region where the two form occupy antiguns territory or where their ranges overlap. A few problematic eperimens from the region of Calaveras and Jan Joaquin combties may offer a clue to the relationship. However, this material is too meager to draw fat conclusions. Theos specmens are diswsed elsewhere. Serertheles, in this work I am regarding the fome ar subsercien. influenced in some meature by the opinions of Dr. . Woeph (irimedl and br. Jean Lindale. who requrd thi- as being the most probable relationship.

Dingnosis. Young with four light rellowish or whiti-h lines, the dorelateral pair originating on anterior part of heald paring to tail along the second and third sale rows, and werpying from one half to four fifthe of the seomel row; lateral fine begiming on the anterion labials and pawing traight hack, involving all exerpt upper edge of auricular rim. thence parsing to tail. cowering the sixth seate row and edges of the adjoining rows: tarker line below lateral line very dim or entirely wating: tail bright. uniform pink or red.
lacking dark pigment. Adult- latcking all stripes, olive above, the scales edted with brownish, the tail much lighter brown; entire under surfare of tail uniform light eream (in alcohol), or edges of subcaudals may have a slight edging of lead color (in the southern specimens). Upper labials nomally cight (rately seven! ; 24 to 26 scale rows, usually 24 ; parietals not in contact; seales occiput to above vent 61-64 (average 62.3); nuchats more frequently two than one (average 1.7); postmentals twon; superciliaries seven; pre-


Fig. 73. Eumeces gilbertirubricaulatus subsp, nov. Cal. U. No. 560; Old Fort Tejon. Kem (o. A. latesal vew of head; B, dorsal riew of head (parietal region drawn more elongate than actual). Actual head length, 13.2 mm .; width, 10.8 mm .
frontak in contact or not, usually the former. Adpresed limbs in mater maty touch of owerlap; in adult females the are separated. Description of Type (C.A.s. No. 39002, Telaachapi Mts., Calif.). Portion of rostral above small. lese than half the size of the frontonasal; supranasals moderate, forming a suture; prefrontals larger than frontoparictals, foming nomally a median suture; frontal longer than its distane from the tip of the soout, touching three supraoculars; frontoparictals small, quadrangular, forming a median suture : parietals not enclosing the elongate interparietal; two pairs of nuchals, the anterior with much the greater depth; nasal small, at least partly divided; postnasal present; anterior loreal much higher than posterior, touching the frontonasal; posterior loreal
 the enterior large and in contace with the perforntal: two presub)-
 ing lower serondary: upper serombary temporal hater distinctly wider postoriorly than anteriorly ; tertiary tomporal touching upper -romdary, barrow, dongate. eppatiated from the ball hy smatl
 the adjoining lahial and - eparated from the rat hy a pair of portlabials and a pair of very minute seales: mental rather small, with a somewhat lareer labial border than motral ; two postmentals: five or six lower lahials: three pairs of chanshelds: large postgenial bordered hy a sable longer than wide: are moterate, about equal in length to it-distance from the notril: car typical, with two welldefined auricular lobules; about 21 seale aroumel ard.
sales in 31 rows about the neck, $3: 3$ rows at axillat, $\because 6$ fows about midelle of body and $1+$ rows about tail at first widened subeaudal: soules on sifles parallel: the two merlian dorsal rows not or but -lightly widened; subeaudals widened.

Limbs moderately long, harely tourhing when atpresed. Other chararters not mentioned are gemerally as in Eumoces gilloriti gillerti.

Color. The young are generally brownish-black above. The doronateral greenish or gray-white lines arise on or near the rostral and continue batek to the base of the tail, ocrupying about three fourthe or four fifthe of the secomel scale row and the inner half of the thind. with the edges of earh srate slightly darker. The dorsal batcish gromad color extends heyond the base of the table a distance about equal to the lengila of the hind leg. The lateral white or fream line begins on the third upper labial (the first and second hrown-whiter, pases under the ere widens on the posterior lahala and involves all exerpt the extreme upper and lown margins of the (alr. On the sides of the body it orerupies most of the fifth and a half of the sixth scale rows; no dark line is present below the lateral. The lateral region between the light lines is slightly darker than the bates; the belly is gray or bhush-gray. The lower labials, the degion bedow the ear, the hreast, unter side of the arms and leges and the entire under sele of the tail are light we:m or pinkish (ream. The tail is ped or pink in life. This cotor begins to disappear about the seomel or third yeats and hy the formth yrear 50 to 60 man. it appears to be los entirely preserved material).

The adults vary somewhat, bat the exaral color is miform olive
brown, each seale showing a slightly browner edge. Traces of a lateral dark stripe may persist until a length of 80 mm . is attained in females, but is probably lost earlier in males. The head apparently does not assume the uniform red coloration found in gilberti gilberti; at least there is no suggestion of the typical coloration of preserved gilberti gilberti in the preserved specimens of gilberti rubricandatus. There is, at least in many specimens, a total absence of the lines on the under side of the tail typical of skittomianus and gilberti.

Measurements of Eumeces gilberti rubricaudatus subsp. nov.

| Museum <br> Number* <br> Sex | $\underset{\substack{\text { C.A.S. } \\ 40301 \\ \sigma^{7}}}{\text { and }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { U.S.N.M. } \\ 20385 \\ 0^{7}}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S.N.M. } \\ 11799 \\ \sigma^{8} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Of }}}{\text { C.A.S. }}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { C.A.S. } \\ \sigma^{7}}}{\text { Con }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.A.S. } \\ 35363 \\ \text { yg. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent.. | 101 | 96 | 86 | 88 | 87 | 51 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | $\dagger 125$ |  |  | 66 |
| out to eye | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 3 | 2.5 |
| Snout to ear. | 19.5 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 14.5 | 9.8 | 9 |
| Snout to foreleg. | 33 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 2.5 | 17.4 | 15 |
| Axilla to groin | 53 | 50 | 53 | 55 | 54 | 29 | 19 |
| Width of head. | 16.5 | 16.2 | 14.6 | 12 | 10.8 | 7 | 6 |
| Length of hear | 17 | 17.3 | 15 | 15 | 132 | 9.5 | 7.6 |
| Postanal width. | 13.5 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| Foreleg. | 2.5 | 23.2 | 19 | 21 | 20.2 | 12 | 10.6 |
| ml leg. | 36 | 32 | 27 | 29 | 25 | 18 | 15 |
| Longest toe. | 13 | 12 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 6.2 | 6 | 5 |

[^51]Variation. The length of the limbs of this form, as is true of many species of Eumeces, differs in the males and females. In the latter the limbs are actually shorter and the axilla to groin measurement is greater than in males having the same snout to vent measurement. It appears that there is a slight difference in specimens from the northern and southern part of the range. The material is especially inadequate for drawing conclusions, but it appears that the specimens in the San Joaquin Valley are more slender than those in San Diego Co., although the young are practically indistinguishable. The limbs touch and overlap slightly in males and are more or less widely separated when adpressed in females.

The interparictal is apparently never or but rarely enclosed by
the parictals. The seales from the occiput to above anus vary between 61 and $6 t$ (average 62.3 ) ; scate rows on neck. from 97 to 30 . slightly higher in the southern part of the range; seales about middle of hody, 24 to 26,24 occuring more than twice as frequently as 25 or 26 . The upper labials are $8-8$ or $8-7$; only two specimens examined had $7-7$. The nuehals are most frequently $2-2$ or $\because-1$. the formula 1-1 occurring in only 6 pereent of the specimens examined. The frontomasal is in contact with the frontal in about 40 percent of the specimens examined, and is invariably in contart with the first loreal. The lamellae under the fourth toe vary between 14 and 18 ; the higher numbers are more frequent. The superciliaries vary between six and eight, seven being the most frequent number.

Distribution. The distribution of the red-tailed form is from Fresno Co., Cal., south through the lower part of the San Joaquin Valley, through the Tehachapi Mts. south to northern Baja California. Much collecting in the southern region will have to be done before more exact limits can be traced. (See Fig. 72 for distributional map.)

Locality records:
California:
Fresno Co.: (U.S.N.M. 1).
? Friant: (M.C.Z. 6).
Tulare Co.: (Cal. No. 7403).
Kern Co.: ? Fort Tejon (U. S. N. M. 4, also with label, Cape st. Lucas B. Cal.) ; Grapevine Cañon, Old Fort Tejon (Cal. U. 1) ; Old Fort Tejon (U.S.N.M. 1); Tehachapi Mts. (Cal. U. 1) (U.S.N.M. 1) (C.A.S. 1) ; Rosedale (Cal. U. 2).

Riverside Co.: Strawberry Valley, 5,500 ft., San Jacinto Mts. (Cal. U. 1).
San Diego Co.: Santa Isabel, Witch creek (U.S.N.M. 1) ; Witch creek (C.A.S. 1); Jacumba Hot Springs (U.S.N.M. 1); Mussey (K.U. 1); Chihuahua Mts. (Stanford 1) ; ? Campo (C.A.S. 1) ; Doane Valley, Palomar Mt. (Klauber 1) ; Deerhorn Flat (S.D.S゙.N.H. 1) ; Lawson Valley (S.D.S.N.II. 1).

## QUADRILINEATLS GROUP

This group includes a single modium-sized eastern Asiatie species. characterized by the absence of a median line or bifureating heal lines. A dorolateral and lateral line are present.

Median scale rows widened, number around body reduced to 20 . Most of the palpebral scales in contact with the supereiliaries. Three pairs of nuchals; parietals enclose interparietal. Limbse elongate, overlapping. No small sales behind insertion of femur.

It seems probable that this is an Awiatic relative of the Skiltoniom, group. Enfortunately a very limited amount of material has been available and not a single young seerimen has been seen.

## Eumeces quadrilineratus (Blyth)

(Plate 40, Fig. 1; Figs. 74. 75)

## SINONYMY

1053. Phstwdon quaririlmeatum Blyth. Junn. Satic Soc. Bengal, XNII, 1853, p. 652 (type description: type locahty, China [1Hmghong?], J. C. Bowring Esq., Coll.; type in Asiatic Snciety (collection).
1054. Eumeres quadrivirgatus Hallowell. Prose. Arad. Nat. Aci. Phila., 1880, p. 502 (Hongkong, collected ly Mr. Wright, Nay 4, 1sit; an alparmatly older tag in the bottle gives Stimpson as collector).
 (redescription); Theobald, Cat. Rept. Mus. Asiat. Soc. Bengal (extra number Journ. Asiat. Soe. Bengal, No. CXLY1), 1sfiti, 1. 24 (Hongkong).
1-29. Eumeres quadrilineatus David. Jomr. de mon Trois. Voy. dExplor. dans I'Emp. Chinois, I\& II, Paris, 1855; Bocourt, Miss. Aci. Mexume, Rept., Liv. 6. 1879, p. 423, 13. XX11 D, fig. 5 (Cambodia; specimen in the Paris Mnsomm) ; Boutenger, Cat. Rept. Brit. M11s., 1887. p. $3^{〔} 1$ (redescription, Hongkong); (iintleer, Ann. Mhs. Zoöl. St. Petersbourg. I, 1896, pp. 199-219 (Szechan); Wemer, Ahh. K. Bayer Akad. Wiss. 1I. Kl. AXII, Bit. II, Abt. 1903, pl. 343-384 ("Szetschwan," Kwangtung): Nocquard, La Revue Coloniale, July, 190f, (1907), p. 37 ; Mell, Arch. Naturg. 88, Abt. a, 10 Heft. 1422, pp. 100-134; Sehmidt, Bull. Amer. Mus. Not. Hist., LI4, Art. 3, p. 428. fig. 12 (description of a sperimen from Haiman with figure of head); Smith, Journ. Siam cuc. Nat. Hist., Sumpl. VIIl, No. 1, I)ec., 1929, p. 49 (Muak Lek near Forat, Siam; Manson Mits., Tonkin) ; Mell, Beitr, zur Faum. sin., IV. Grundz. Okol. Chin. Rept.
 Nı. 1, 1929, ․ 63.
Mistory. The trpe of Eumecos quadritincatus, collected presumably on Hongkong) by .J. (. Bowring. Esa.. reached the collection of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, and was deceribed briefly by E . Blyth in the journal of that society in 1853 as Plestiodon quadrilincatrm. Blyth notes a similarity of this form to Plestiodon laticeps of America. When Thenhald prepared his catalogue of the reptiles of the Asiatic Society of Bengal (1866), he notes this specimen as follows: "M. |abomia| quaditimeata, Blyth.J. A. S. NXII, 652 (labeled Plestiodon quinquelincatum, L, North Carolina, Rev. F. Fitzgerald) a. Fine specimen-Hongkong-.J. (. Bowring. Eeq." It may be presumed that a part of the trpe series (certainly topotypes were sent to the British Muscum, for (iïnther in 1864 had arailable two sperimens. likewise eollected by J. C. Bowring in Hongkong.

Specimens of this speries were obllected May 4, 185t. in Hongkong hy Mr. Wright fa tag in the rontainer also credits the pecimen to Stimpson) and these secimens reached the United states National Musem prior to 1860 when Hallowell published a deseription of the form under the name Eum ces quadrivirgatus, apparently
unaware that the species had alrealy been deseribed by Blyth.
 It is softencal, and most of the walles have slipped, and to a large extent the color pattern is whatureal.

Bocourt (1879) lists a eperimen from Camborlia, French IndeChina, then in the Paris Mu-emm of Natural History. Schmilt $1192 \overline{1} 1$ report : a specimen from Hainam, and smith (1929) reports the diserery of the eperies near Korat, Siam.

I believe that there are but two specimens in American museums. one. No. 30197, in the American Museum of Natural History ant the trpe specimen (U.SA.NI. 7495 ) of Eumeces quadrinirgatus. I wa- unsucterstul in obtaining the epecies in my collecting on Hongkong.

Diugnosis. A medium-sized species, characterized be a pair of dorsolateral greenish-white lines beginning on the rostral, and following the second scale row to some distance on the tail; a lateral light line from the labials pases through the lower part of the ear and emtinues to the groin; central surfaces white.

One po-thatal; two postmentals; three pairs of chinshiedds, with a very large postgenial which is bordered by narrow, elongate scales; seven upper labial: (rarely cight), the last largest; four supraoculars: parictals enclose the interparietal; superciliaries in contact with the upper palpebrals; outer preanals overlap inner: subcaulatwidened: granular scales in the axillat; mone behind the hind leg; fingers and toes with an intercalated series of sales on the outer vide of digit- (making three rows surrounding the toes); terminal lamellae not tightly bound about the hase of the claws; twenty seale rows about the middle of the body.

Description ifrom AMNH No. 30197, collected in the mountains south of Nonloa, Hainan). Snout moderatels short, the portion of the rostral visible above lese than half the size of the frentonasal; -mpranazals large. forming at median suture, touching the poetnatal and firet loreal; fromomatal large. browler than leng, touching the anterior loreal: prefrontal foming at relatively boad median - 1 ture, and forming sutures with the fromomasal, frontal, posterior loreal. firt -ummocular, first supereiliary and the anterior loreal, the length of the suture in the orler mamed: frontal relatively short, whtheely angulate at both end. shorter than its distance from the end of the sonat ; frontoparictals elongate, larger than the prefrontal- of interparietal, formine a modian suture ; interparietal small, encloned by the large parietal-; three pairs of nuchats, the
anterior shorter transversely, but wider (longitudinally) than the two succeeding pairs.

Nasal moderate, divided, the sutures from nostril reaching the supranasal and first labial; the nostril pierced posterior to the rostrolabial suture; postnasal small, touching two labials; anterior loreal high and narrow, somewhat higher than the posterior, which is large and longer than high; seven-eight superciliaries, the anterior slightly larger than the posterior; a small square preocular, and two small postoculars; four supraoculars, the three anterior toucling the frontal; two presuboculars and four postsuboculars;


Fic. 74. Eumces quadrilineatus (Blyth). A.M.N.H. No. 30197 ; South mountains, Nodoa, Hainan. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 14 mm .; width, 12 mm .
most of the upper palpebrals touch supereiliaries; four enfarged scutes on lower eyelid, separated from the subocular by three rows of granular seales; primary temporal square, touching the triangular lower secondary temporal narrowly; upper secondary temporal large, slightly wider posteriorly (broken on the left side into two parts) ; tertiary temporal touches the upper secondary but is separated from the nuchal by a single seale, and from the auricular opening by two seales; seven upper labials (eight on left side), the first normally not larger than others preceding the subocular; serenth labial very large, separated from the ear by two pairs of postlabials (on left side the two lower scates of each pair fused) ; auricular lobules two, not conspicuously enlarged; about eighteen scales surround the ear.
Mental large, with a labial border much longer than that of the
rowtral ; six lower lahials; two postmentak, the second largest ; three pairs of chinshiche the posterior largest, the anterior pair in contact; the postgenial seale is copecially large, bordered on the inner side by two very narrow, elongate seales.

Body sales in parallel rows, the two median rows very distinctly widened; fifte-four seales in a row from parietals to ahove rent. scale row: about neck behind ear. 30; on constricted portion of neck, 26 ; in axillary region, 30 ; about middle of body, $20 ; 14$ about the base of the tail. scales in the postauricular, posthmeral, axillary and postfemoral regions with several (three to six) minute pits. Fifteen seales about the insertion of the forearm; wrist tuberele double, conical; three conical palmar tubereles, the basal digital lamellae conical. as are certain subarticular lamellac. Lamellar formula for fingers: $6 ; 9 ; 14 ; 13 ;$ s left hand amputated). A small area of gramular seales in axilla. Seales about insertion of hind limb. 19; heel with two conieal tubercles, separated by a row of granules, the imner preceded by another conical tuberele; outer part of sole with rather large imbricating scales; basal lamellae tubercular: lamellar formula for toes: $6 ; 12 ; 14 ; 19 ; 14$. Six preanals, the two median greatly enlarged, the outer smaller seales orerlapping the imner; subeaudals greatly widened; lateral postanal ocale smail. not or but slightly differentiated. Adpressed limbs overlap the length of seven scales; postfemoral seales not differentiated.

Color. Back dark gray-brown, the head more yellowish-brown
Measurements of Eumeces quadrilineatus (Blyth)

| Museum. | AMNH. | Type | Brit. M * * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 30197 |  |  |
| sex | $0^{7}$ |  |  |
| snout to vent | 73 | 70 | 5.5 |
| Tail. | 63 reg . | 121 | - 5 |
| snout to eye. | 4 - |  |  |
| shout to ear | 14 |  |  |
| Snout to forelimb | 26 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Axilla to groin. | 40 |  |  |
| Width of head. | 12 |  | * |
| Foreleg. | 22 |  | 15 |
| Hind leg. | 24 | 24 | 22 |
| Longest toe | 10.2 | . |  |

with a slightly darker area in the interparietal region; a silvery domolateral line extemols from the parietals to more than halfway the length of the tail, covering the greater part of the second scate row as far as the tail, and here it encroaches on the median scale row ; the lateral line can be traced from the lower edge of the ear alome the side a short distance where it becomes lost in the silverygray lateral coloration; below miform dirty brownish-cream. Area between the lateral and dorsolateral line of the same color as back.

Varation. The seale characters given in this description differ in no pertinent detail from data given in other descriptions. The type of Eumaces quatribirgatus Hallowell has the following characters: nuchals. -3 ; the number of smpraoculars touching the frontal is two on one side, three on the other; supereiliaries, $7-7$. The formula of the body sales is: 30 behind ear; 27 neck; axillary region, 27 ; mirklle of body, 20 . Lamellae under the fourth toe, 19$\because 0$. The portgenial very large, bordered on inner side by a sate wider than long, and followed by three narrow scales.

The dorsolateral light line begins on the rostral, continues back, occupring a little more than half the second scale row, but is nearer the inner than outer side; the lateral line borders the lower edge of the ear, then follows along the millle of the fifth sate row. The area between the dorsolateral and the lateral lines is a deeper brown than the back; there is a brownish stripe below the lateral light line. The abolominal scates show shintly darker areas. The tail is broken.


Fur. 7is. Distribution of Emoneces quadrilincutus (Blyth), in sumtheastern Asia.

Distritution．The eperice is known from siam，Frencla Indn－ （hina．omthern China and Hainan．It appear－to be rate．

Lorality ra cords：
Sha：Mark Lak near Komat（Brit，Mus．1）：Doi Mane Ka Mat． 20 milk nuth Cheingmai（Brit．Mus，1．MI．sumith（ooll）．
 hondia（Paris Mus．1）．
C月ハ心：




## THE BREVIROSTRIS（iROUP

The epecies awociated in this group inelude Enomeces dugesia． colimensis．dicei，ochotercnar．indubitus and boritostris．This－ Eroup，which is probably most cheely related to the Skiltonimu： group，appears to be confined to Mexico．

The group may be characterized as follows：small or medium－ sized－perie－in which the rate bortering the potigenial is wider than long：there is hat a single postmental scale，and the postnasal is wanting．In most of the serefer the seventh labrial is in contact with the upper recondary temporal（wariable in ochoteronae）．

The batic color pattern is four－lined，but there is a strong temdency to redure the line porteriorly in certain speries．There is no trace of a median line or line on the head．Erally the dorso－ lateral line are reparated be more than two whole and two half seale row－laer in ochotremes）．

The relation－hip，with the kiltonianus group is shown in the primary color pattern：the tendency for the interparietal to be enclosed by parietals：and the tendeney for the large lat labial to fom a suture with the mper secondary temporal foecurs in lagumensis and as an orcasionat anomaly in skiltomianus）．

## Key to the sheqen of the Brembortris（imnep

[^52]BB. Frontal not in contact with interparietal,
C. Primary temporal wanting or fused with upper seeondary temporal; lower secondary temporal wanting; $22-24$ scale rows; eleven scales about ear opening; parietals do not enclose interparietal; last labial broadly in contact with mpper seconlary ten oral; twlve lunglae unlor fourth toa: dorsolateral line to tail; lateral line to ear; atpressed legs very widely separated (18 scales); max. size, 47 mm . (Northeastern Mexico).

Eumcces dicei Ruthven and Gaige,
CC. Primary temporal present; lower secondary temporal present but small; seventh labial in contact with the upper secondary temporal (except in certain specimens of ochoterenae, in which case the parietals are not enclosed, and the primary temporal does not approach the size of the upper secondary temporal).
E. Jorsolateral lines broad, occupying outer two thirds of second scale row and inner half of third, extending onto proximal third of tail; a lateral line passes to arm, involving lower edge of ear; bluish color of tail retained more or less in adults; 22-24 seale rows, usually 22; nuchals, two pairs; 54 scales from parietals to above anus; parietals do not enclose interparietal; upper secondary temporal sometimes in contact, sometimes not, with last labial; twelve lamellae under fourth toe; body small, slender; max. size 56 mm . (Sonthern Mexico.) Eumecfs ochoterenae Taylor, 485
EF. Dorsolateral lines longer or shorter, but if extending to tail, follow third row of scales, leaving lines separated by four whole seale rows.
F. Larger robust form; 22-24 scale rows; parietals enclosing interparietal; lateral line to ear; dorsolateral line not extending back of shoulder; tail blue in young, color lost in adult. (Southern part of Mexiean Plateau............. Eumeces indubitus Taylor, 466
FF. I'sually smatler, extremely variable; parietal enclosed or not; lateral line to ear or farther; (if only to ear, dorsolateral line usually more or less distinct for more than half the length of body'). (Southern part Mexican Plateau.)

Eumercs brevirostris (Gunther), 459


Fif. 76. Distribution of the species of the Brevirostris group in Mexico.

## Eumeces brecirostris (Giunther)

(Plate 41; Figs. 76, 75)
SYNONYMY
1860. Mabmia brevirostris Giünther. Proe. Zaïl. Sorc. Landom, 1ntio, Mr. 316, 317 (type description; type locality, Oaxaca, Mexien); Amm. \& Mag. Nat. Hist., 1869, p. 442, pl. V1; Garman, Bull. Essex Inst., V, Jan. 9, 1hnt, p. 1f, (listed under Eumeces).
1879. Eumeces brctirostris Roenurt. Miss. Sci. Mexique et Cont. Amer., Rupt., Liv. VI, 1879, pp. 439-440, pl. XXllA. fig. 7, Ta. Th, and pl. XXlle, fig. 1a (eomplete (leseription); Cope, Proc. Amer. Philk. Sne.. XX1I, Jan. to Oct, 1885, p. 170 (Key); Günther, Biol. Cent. Amer. Rept. Batr., 1s85, p. 32, ph. 22, fig. b; Boulenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 11I, 1887, p. 379 (Oaxaea; Ciudad; Forrer Coll.) ; Cope, Bułl. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 32, 1887, p. 46 (part.) (Vera Cruz, Tehuantepec, Oaxaea; record for "Valley of Mexieo" or "Toluca" is Eumeces copei Taytor); Cope, Ann. Rept. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1898 ( 190 (t) , p. 630 (hey); ? Gadow, Proc. Zoäl. Soc. London, 1905, P. 218 (Eumfces brevirostris?).

History. Albert Giunther described this speries in 1860, under the genus Mabouia, from a specimen collected in Oaxaca foresumably the city $)$, Mexico, by Auguste Sallé, probably about 1855, and sent to the British Museum. The single type is an adult. The type description is rery incomplete, the author comparing the species with Mabouia agilis, stating that "The general arrangement of the shields of the head being the same as in Mabomia agilis-it does not appear necessary to give a detailed description." Bocourt (1879) gives a careful description of the species from two topotypes collected by Salle in Onxaca, and states that the specimen is "entièrement identique au type unique." He fails to note the unusual relationship of the temporals.

Boulenger (1887) redescribes the types specimen, giving some details omitted by Gïnther, and records two additional specimens collected by Alfonso Forrer in Ciudad (this is rery probably a village of that name near Durango, Durango, Mexico, situated on the trail between Mazatlán and Durangol.

Mr. H. IV. Parker, who courteously examined the type for me, states in a letter: "There were only three specimens in the British Museum when the Biologia was written and of these only one with a complete tail is the type. Consequently the figure must have been drawn either from this specimen or from imagination. Actually, the enlarged drawing of the head scales is most inaceurate; for instance, the length of the frontoparictal is only contained once and a quarter in the interparietal length instead of as shown, and the relationship of the first supraocular to the frontal is more as shown in the small figure to the right than as depicted in the large one."

The specimen which was described by Cope (1885, p. 387) as Eumeces brevirostris var, is a specimen of Eumeces copei Taylor.

The Cnited States National Museum ohtained three specimens
from Francis sumithrat (Nos. 30:213, 30089; 39089). E. W. Nelonn and E. A. Coldman obtained a typical specimen at La Pamala, ()axalca (UNXI No. 46682$)$. It is from this specimen that the following description is taken. A few other speemens are in museums: there are listed in the locality reeords. Whether the -peciment in foreign mosems are identified correctly I cannot say, since I have not seen them. In many eases no descriptions of these have been published, the lists having been furmished to me in letters by curators of collectioms in various musmms. Boulenger has, I heliere. ermondsy placed Eumeces dugesii Thominot as a synonym of this species. (Note remarks unter that species.)

Diagmosis. The dorsolateral line originates on the rostral and continue- back a variable distance on back; the lateral line may extemb to tail. or terminate in front of ear ; seventh labial very large, forming a brod sutme with upper secondary temporal; lower secondary temporal widely separated from primary ; tertiary temporal present of atrent. Scale rows, 2. or 24 ; no postnasal; one postmental; seren upper labials; prefrontals in contact or not; parietals enclose interparictal or not; two pairs of muchals, the anterior much the larger; limbs short, widely separated when adpressed; postgenial hordered by a scale wider than long; palm with its largest tuberele at base of imner finger.
 Oaxatar, Mexico; Coll. Nelson aud Coldmam, August 19, 1894). Adult mate: Portion of rostral visible above, distinetly smaller than frontomasal; suranazals large, approaching the size of first toreal, forming a meditin suture: frontonasal large, broader than deep, touching anterior loreals laterally; prefrontals large, touching both loreals, also forming sutures with first supracular, first superciliary, forming a rommon median suture separating widely the frontonasal from frontal; latter distinctly longer than its distance from the end of the sont, not more than once and a balf times as long as wide, broally in contart with three supraoculars, wider than the supraorukar region f frontoparietals relatively large, karger than prefrontals. forming a suture one third the length of the interparietal; latter much longer than wide, not enclosed by the parietals, which are large, their greatest length more that twice their greatest width.
trasal very distinctly divided, the anterior and posterior parts of ahout equal area; no postnasal; anterior loreal large, not higher than posterior loreal, their upper and lower edges forming practically parallel lines anturiorly: posterion loneal trapezoidal; two
preathoulars, the atuerior much the latuer: a emath quatrangular
 oculars: seren upper lathials. for anterion to the subocular. of which the thited is large-t: seventh labial about wee and at half the area of the sixth, forming a broad suture with the upper secomdary tomporal: the single primary temporal is rectugular. las than one thind the -ize of the upper - ecombary but about two third the -ize of the lower seondars: tertiary temporal marrow, entering ear'; the -eventh latiat followed be :n elongate portabial which entere the eat: : bowe this another smater seale: wo prauricular lobules: five lower labials. latet largen and followed be an elongate - wale: mental has same extent as ostral on lahial horder: portmental single. wite: three pairs of ehmedieds, the seemed withest the third chinsheh followed by two sales. a portanial elongated longitudinally. and a seale bordering the imer margin of the potgenial, which is much wider than long: bower eyelid with four enlarged opatque srales. separated from the suborular by dutally three series of small ceales ; most of the palpelsal acale- form sutures with superciliaries: two well-teveloped pars of muchals, the anterier much the largest: os seales aromd neck behind the ear; 9 around more constricted part of the neek: 30 seale bedind arm, and $\because 4$ row around middle of bodr: 14 ahout tail just posterior to anus; -ix seales border the anus anteriorly, median pair enlarged. with two smatler seales on wath side the outer overlapping the inner: -ubeaudal seale widened. so from thus to tip the last seven are reqenerated but differ but little from other scales) ; the median -erice of reales on back are stightly wider than the lateral seales: unatly two or three mimute pits on the seales on sides of neck and on anterior part of body; on the posthmeral and posticmoral region the pit- are usually more mumerous.

The limbs are short and frail; when adpresed they fail to meet: lamellar formula of fingere: 5: $5: 10$ : 10; 5: of toe $\overline{5}: 9: 11: 14$ : 7: a failly well-develoned wrist tuberde: palm with sattered latger tubereles. the largent at the bate of the imer toe: temmal lamellae wrales) not tighty bomm ahom chats: sole of foot short, the heel
 anlus. 61.

Color. Above generally bronze-bown, eath sale with a somewhat darker area, but not forming distinet dark lines: head dark blark-brown: doreolateral line of a light gellowi-h-brown begins on the rostral. follows sidew of head, then pasese onto the seromd
Measwements of Eumeces brevirostris (Giunther)

| Museum. <br> Number <br> sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { A.MN.H. } \\ 19270 \\ \text { yg. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { L.N.N.MI } \\ 39098 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V.H1.T. } \\ 1688 \\ \sigma^{7} \end{gathered}$ | M.N.11.P $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.N.MI } \\ & 300.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brit. MI } \\ \text { Type } \\ \text { ? } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S.N.M1. } \\ 30213 \\ q \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total length |  | 121 |  | 127 | 156 | ....... | 161 |  |
| Snout to vent | 42 | 52 | 53 | 56 | 64 | 154 | tit | 70 |
| snout to foreleg | 1.9.2 | 16 | 18 |  | 21 | 20 |  | 21.3 |
| Snout to ear. | $\therefore .6$ | 10 | 10 | 9 | 11.2 | 11.4 |  |  |
| Snout to eye | 34 | 3.8 | 4 |  | 4 | 4.1 |  | 4.5 |
| Tail. |  | $69^{*}$ |  | 71 | 92 |  | 9.5 |  |
| Length of head | 7.6 | 9.3 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10.2 | 12 | 11 |
| Width of head | 7 | , | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8.3 | 9 | 9.2 |
| Width of body | $s$ | 10 | 11 |  | 11.5 | 12.6 |  | 12.5 |
| Anal tail width |  | 5 | 6.5 |  | 7 | 6 |  | 7.2 |
| Foreleg. | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15.6 |
| Hind leg. | 14.5 | 17.5 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 20.4 |
| Longest toe | 4.2 | 6.5 | 6 |  | 6.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.3 |
| Axilla to groin. | 23 | 32 | 21 |  | 39 | 40 |  | 43 |

* Regenerated. (Nos. 30213 and 3909s, Orizala, V. C. Uthers are from Oaxaca.)
seale row, later involving the edges of serond and third and then onto the third row where it contimues to the tail, covering about two thirds the width of a single scale row; below this a brown stripe begins on nasal, follows batk along head involving eye and upper part of ear, continuing along the sides to the base of the tail; this is about two and one half sales wide; it is bordered below by a light line begiming near the tip of shout which follows lower edge of the labials, then curves up slightly over the posterior part of the labials, leaving a dark area along the lower edge; it involves the lower third of the ear, then passes along the side of body aml base of tail, interrupted by insertion of hind leg; below this a narrow brownish line, about same color as the deep lateral brown stripe; below this the srales are ash to silver gray with darker brown areas on the adjoining row; chin lighter, but flecked with gray: under side of tail brownish; limbs brown, with some silver fleck: thes with silver blotches on each dorsal lamella; median preanal scales light. (Tail blue in young.)

Measurements of Eumeces brevirostris Giinther (Totalco V. C.)

| Collection Number Sex... | T-S <br> $\substack{\text { anc } \\ \text { yg. }}$ |  | $\underset{\substack { \text { T.-s. } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{\text { 2594. } \\ \text { yg. }{ \text { T.-s. } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \text { 2594. } \\ \text { yg. } } } \\{\hline}\end{subarray}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T. - } \\ 2 . \\ \text { yg. } \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow{T-s}$ |  | T.-S <br> $\substack{2541 \\ 0 \\ \hline}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T. -S } \\ & 2575 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{S} . \\ 2575 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{N} \\ 25 \mathrm{in} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total length | 67 |  | 74 5 | 87.5 | 122 | . |  |  |  | 144 |
| Snout to vent | 28 | 31 | 31.5 | 3.5 | 50 | 53 | 53 | 56 | 56 | 53 |
| Snout to foreleg | 10.2 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15.7 | 16 | 15.5 | 17 | 16 | 16.7 |
| Tail. | 39 |  | 43 | 52.5 | 72 |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| Length of head | 5.2 | 6 | 5 - | 5.8 | 7.3 | 74 | $\checkmark$ | 8 | 8.3 | 8.2 |
| Width of hearl. | +.3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 73 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.2 |
| Width of boly | $+2$ | 5 | 5 | 5.2 | 7.3 | 8 | 7.2 | Si | 8 | 7.2 |
| Anal tail width. | 26 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | $4 \times$ | . | , | 万 | 5.2 |
| Foreleg. | 64 | 7.5 | 6.4 | ti. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Hind leg | 9 | ! | 10 | 10.3 | 15 | 1:2 | 16 | 1.5 .8 | 15 | 16.2 |
| Longest toe | 3 , | 43 | t | 4 | 5.7 | 5 | 54 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| Axilla to groin. | 1i) | $1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $1 i^{3}$ | 145 | 31 | 33 | 312 | 33 | 31 | 3.52 |

Tariation: Specimens occurring in various localities in Mexico differ rather distinetly from the typical form. Unfortunately large series are wanting from all known lowalities except Totalco, V. C.. from which place a series of 19 sperimens is available. When sufficient material is at hand it is highly probable that certain of
thene will profitably be reparated as species or subspecies. With limited material it secme unwise to do so.

## The following key will show the variation from the typical form:

d. Interparietal not enclosed by parietals.
13. Interparietal not in contact with the frontal; frontal wider than supraocular rexion.
(. Larger (maximma size 70 mm.) : dorsolaterad and lateral lines distinet or more or less obseured posteriorly; dorsolateral lines separated by four seale rows and edges of adjacent rows; lateral brown stripe abont wilth of two ant one half seale rows; 24 ceate rows. Haxaca specimens, typical.
( $(1$. smadler (maximum size $5 \times$ mon.).
1). Laterad linu from third labial passes through ear, involsing all but upper elge; dorsolateral line beroming dimmer and temding to widen to the second scale row rather than to fourth, and is then separated from it, fellow by lese than lour sale rows; $22-24$ scale rows. Totalco, V. C. (1! sperimens).
111. Fither lower secondary temporal or tertiary temporal wanting; lateral line on posterior labials not distinct beyond ear, and involving only part of lower edse; dorsolateral line less than one scale row wide, separated by fou whole rows, and edges of adjacent rows; lateral hrown stripe covering wiltl: of two and one half scale rows. Traces of darker lines low on sides producing the effect of doted lighter lines. Specimen from daliseo (La Cumbre de los Arvantrados, Talpa, Mascota) (1 examined).
BB. Frontal and interpariotal in contact; the frontal distinctly narrower than the supracular region; dorsolateral stripes very distinet on body and on one third of tail, following the second and third scale rows, separated by two whole and two half serale rows; lateral line from snout to foreleg, involving only hower edge of far; 24 seale rows Specimen, Ruma Hidalgo, Waxaca (AMIA.14. No. 19270).
AA. Interparictal inclosed by parietals; 24 scale rows: dorsolateral line nearly one and one half seale rows wide and separated throughout 1 y four seale rows and edges of adjoining rows; lateral brown stripe about width of one and one half seale rows, covering all the fifth sow and edges of the adjoining rows; lateral line wide on posterior labials, involving three fourths of ear, and is fairly distinct as far as the forearm, but on side is very dim or lost in ventrolateral foloration. (Primary temporal lused to upper secondary in one specimen.) Tertiary temporal absent. Specimens, Coyote, Durango (Field: : Sierra de Jatnoeatlan, La Liguna, Jalisco (L゙. N゙M. 1).

 A. hateral viow of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 7.6 mun.; witth, 7 mun.

From the table of measuremonts and the key, it will be noted that the specimens from the more southern localities are somewhat larger, and have slightly longer limbs. In the series of 19 specimens collected by Hobart smith and myself at Totaleo, Vera Cruz, the largest specimen measures only 58.5 millimeters.

The ratiation in salation observer in the series of 23 specimens examined is as follows: seale rows about nerk behind ear, 27 to 29 ; about narrow part of the neck, 23 to 26 , the numbers 26 and -5 about equal, each of the lesser numbers appearing once; scales around the middle of body, $2 \underline{2}$ to 24 , the number $2 \cdot$ ocrurring six times, 23 , four times and 24 , thirteen times; sales from parietals to above ants, 57 to 63 , the number 59 , twice, 60 , three times, 61 , three times, 62 , nine times, 63 , six times. Cpper labials $7-7$, save one with $6-7$; the seales about the ear are usually 15 , the number oceurring in 8 specimens on both eides, and $15-14$ oceuring $1: 3$ times. One specimen had 15-16, another 14-13. No variation is observable in the supraoculars amd postmental; the postnasal is invoriably absent : the superciliaries are usually six or soen, the higher number appearing most frequently.

A single specimen has an abormally small interparictal, permitting the parietals to form a union behind it. The frontonasal is invariably broader than long, touching the first loreal. The frontonasal forms a suture with the frontal in four cases; in 19 they are separated by the junction of the prefrontals; three supraoculars touch the frontal in all sate three specimens, and in those, thire exrluded by a minute distance. The lamellae under the fourth toe vary between 11 and 14 , the mumber 11 oceurring is times: 12. (ighteen times; 13, thirteen times, and 14, ten times; presuboculars two; post-uboculars three to five. three occuming ej times; four, J times; five once. The lower secondary temporal is of(asionally wanting (fusd with the tertiary). ()rasionally the tertiary is separated from the nuchal be a mall seale.

Remorks. The sperimens from near Totateo. V. (… were obtained noar the hishway in a barren field. covered in part by an old lava flow. The specimen- were found under latia rock. They appeared to be very numerous in the lowality, at the sorice of 19 Wat olstaned in about three hours collecting. Nany that were seen ercaped. No other speries of Eumecrs was obtained in this focality.

One of the specimens in the U . S. National Museum, No. 30上2 $\because$. contains disinteqrating (ages, with partially devoloperl ambryor

The uterine walls have rotted and the egg. with the embryos are loose in the boly cavity. The embryos show no pigmentation. A seemet pecimen, U.A.N.M., No, 30089, has four much older cmbryos. 26 mm . in length, which show some makings. Here, too, the uterine walls have rotted and the young are floating in the -rmiliquid yolk mass in the body cavity.

Distribution. As here considered the speries ranges from Durango and Jalisco to the south and east, reaching to Vera Cruz and Gaxaca. It appears to be confined largely if not wholly to the high plateau region.

Certain specimens in foreign museums have not been studied; nor have I ineluded the locality records since it seems likely that more than one recognized speries is identified under that name. See Fig. 76 for (listributional map.)

Locality records:
Oxaca: "Oaxara" (type locality; type Brit. M. 1. Sallé Coll.) ; (Bocourt. M.H.N.P. 1. Sallé) (M.N.H.P. 2) ; La Parada (U.s.N.M. I, Nelson and Gothman Coll.) ; Tehumenece (C'S.N.M. 2, Simichrast Coll.).
Yera Crez: Orizaba (TM.N.M. 2, Sumichrast Cofl.) ; Totalco (Taylor-smith 19. Taylor-Smith Coll.).

Deraxio: Coyote (Field 3).
Jausco: La Combre de los Arrastrados. Talpa, Maseota (Brit. Mus. 6. Buller Coll.) (Senckb. 4, Buller Coll.) (Taylor 1, Buller Coll.) : Bierra de Juanocatlan. La Laguna (U.S.NTM. 1).

## Eumeces indubitus Taylor <br> (Plate t2: Figs. $\overline{\text { Fin }}$. is) <br> SMNONYMY

1933. Enmeces indubitus Taylom. Iniv. Kansas Sci. Bull., XXI, 1933, 1p. 257-267, pls, 24, 25. tir. (type description; type hocality, Mpxico-Cuernavaca highway, 40 miles southeast Mexifo City [kilometer fis], near Cuernavaca, Morelos).
History. The specimens on which this speries is based were rollected in pine forest in the high mountains between Mexico City and Cuemavaca, July 9, 1932, at an elevation of about 10,000 feet. The speries was again encountered August 5 and ( 3,1932 , in western Mexico (state), near Awneion, in pine forest at an elevation of about 9,000 feet, and in the state of Michoacín near Zitácuaro, in a similar habitat. The epecimens seemed very common in the pine forest, but the distribution was not uniform, as no specimens were found in several similar, near-by localities. In one near-by place it was apparently wholly replaced by copei.

Diagnosis. A medium-cized, robust species; four supraoculars. the three anterior in contact with the frontal: the parietals enclosing
a small interparietal; one postmental; no porthateal; the subeatudats distinetly widened: seron upper labials: seren supereiliaries; the sesenth upper labial broally in contan with the upper secomeary temponal: primary temporal -madl. widely separated from the wall
 from oceciput to above anus. Limbs moderately large. hut failing to touth, eren in soung. when :uphered. Color abore, olive to olivehrown. with a short dowedateral light line from rostral, the line disapparing on the shoulder; a narow labial light line terminating at ear; no median light line or forking lines on the head; no lateral tine heromb eat.

Deseription of the type lathalt maled. Portion of rostral risible above equal to about half the size of the frontonasal; supranatals large, hoadly in contact; frontonasal hexagonal, forming sutures with loreals, and narrowly in contact with the frontal. forming its longe-t -utures with prefrontals; latter narrowly semated, forming suture with first superiliary. both loreals and the enterior supraocular: frontal longer thim its distance from the end of the snout, ohtheely angular anteriorly, somewhat rounded posteriorly, somewhat narrowed in the middle, and only a little wider in the anterior part than in the posterior; four supraoculars, the first longer than wide, with an area sarely lese than the feurth, the three anterior bortering the frontal; frontoparietals much larger than prefrontals, their common -uture lese than half their length: interparietal short and broad. enclo-ed behind by the parietals, which are more than twice an long an their greates width; two pairs of nuchats, the anterior pair somewhat the larger.

Nital of moderate size. divided, the anterior part not as large as the porterion part with nowil ; anterior loreal distinctly higher than fong. higher than the po-terior. which is considerably longer than high: seven -uperciliaries, the anterior lese than one and one half time- the size of the second; two subequal presuboculars: four post-uborulats: primary temporal low than one fourth the size of the upper aromdary temporal; latter sery broadly in contact with the seventh labial, the suture more than half it- length; serm upper latials, four mereding the suborulat, which is low and chongate; seventh nearly double the size of the sixth, and soparated from the car by a pair of small pootlabials; tertiary temporal the lower serondary presumably wating wall: car surounded be 16 seales; the ear opening no larger than the first upper labial: six lower labials. the last elongate: mental large deep, with a distinctly
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Fig. 7s. Eumeces indubitus Taylor. E.H.T. and H.M.S. No. 1727. (1) Lateral view of head; (2) dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 10 mm . (Certain differences in scalation from the type shown.)
larger labial borter than rostral: one azgous postmental: three pairs of chimshields, only one pair in contact; the postential large, bordered on its anterior imer edge by a scale wider than long; cee small; the lower evelid with four or five colarged opaque seales, separated from the suboculars by at least three rows of granules; two or three median palpebral scales directly in contact with superfiliaries, othere sparated by smatl granular seates.
scales on the dorsal surface and sides about equal in size; 24 rows about the middle of the body ; 29 rows about neek behind ear; 30 about the constricted portion of the neck: 30 about body in axillary region; 1.5 rows about the base of the tail; 57 scales from occiput to abose the anus; scales under the tail two and one half to three times as broad ats long; median preanal seales large, broad. with two small, sarely differentiated scales on each side, the outer scales overlapping inner; lateral postanal scale not or scarcely differentiated; scales behind ear, about insertion of arm and in axillary region, on posterior side of femur, behind insertion of hind limbs, and along side of anus, with distinct pits; two small auricular lobule:

Legs morlerately large, separated when adpressed by a length of three or four seales; a very small area of granular, axillary scales; wrist tubercle flat, well differentiated; several larger romeded tubereles on palm, mixed with smaller tubereles; lamellar formula for fingers: 5; 8: 11; 10;7. Heel bounded by five large, flattened, tubercular scales, centiguons with or overlapping one or two differentiated tubercles on sole; lamellar formula for toes: 5; 9; 11; 13: 9. Terminal lamellae (seales) on toes not tightly bound about claw:; no intercalated series of scales along the side of the fourth toe.

C'olor in life. Above, light olive-brown, the head somewhat darker brown; darker flecks: in the median part of each sale, more prominent posteriorly and tending to form dotted darker lines; a dorsolateral light line bordered on its imer edge with darker, hegins on rostral and continues on the side of the head and neek but loses its identity on the shoulder; the two median scale rows are at shade darker than the two adjoining rows on each side; beginning on the side of the head is a dark blackish-brown stripe, the color not uniform, each scale with light bronze areas, the black concentrated on the anterior part of the scale and tending to form an indistinct line on each scale row; a crean line begiming on the rostral passes along the lower edge of the first four labials, and through the middle of
the last three, the edges of the line clearty demareated, terminating in the lower anterior corner of the ear; below the dark lateral stripe the ground color is grayish and the scales have darker areas forming two or three very indistinct dotted lines; lower labials light, bordered with darker; chin, lower side of neck and breast. light, a few of the seales with darker flecks; hind legs darker than forelegs, each rrate with lighter flerking; tail bluish-gray above, lavender-blue below; lamellae under toes lark.

Toriation. The table, giving data from a part of the series availahle shows the principal variation of this spectes are regares measurements and sale variation.

The number of sale rows is 24 save in two cases where there are but 22 rows ; the number of upper latials is constantly seren; one sperimen shows the thirl and fourth partially fused on one side. Only a single specinen shows the parietak separated, and this only

Mearmements and scale coments of Eumects indubitus Taylor

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number. } \\ & \text { Sex. } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{3543}{0}$ | $1731$ | $16: 71$ | $172.5$ | 1724 | $167: 3$ | $\underset{\substack{3594 \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 1697 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3500 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1724 \\ \mathrm{yg} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1696 \\ y \mathrm{yg} . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | 1 if | 64 | 62 | 64 | di | 63.3 | 55 | $\therefore$ | 41 | 37 | 32 |
| T:ail | 118 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  |  |  | (6) | 37 | 47 |
| Shout to fore limb | $\because 2$ | 20.5 | 19 | 20 | 1s | 20 | 1.4 | 19 | 14 | 13 | 12 |
| Axillat to groin... | 37 | $3 ⿺ 𠃊$ | $3 \times$ | 40 | 4.3 | 3! | 34 | 31 | 2 | 23 | 19 |
| Width of hearl. | 11 | 10.4 | 10 | 10 | 9 |  | - 4 | $\checkmark:$ | . | 7 | 6 |
| Length of head | 12 | 10.3 | $9 \%$ | 111 | 9.3 |  | -6 | 111 |  | 7 | 7 |
| Willth of horly. | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 | $\checkmark$ | 7 | 6 |
| Foreleg. | 1.5 | 13 | 12.2 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 95 | 8.5 | 8 |
| Ilind leg. | $20:$ | 19 | 17 | in | 15 | 19 | 1.5 | 1:5 | 12 | 11 | 10 |
| scale rows, body | $\because 1$ | 27 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 22 |
| scales occiput to :above anus | 57 | 56 | 59 | 59 | 61 | (t) | 59 | S | 9.1 | 59 | 59 |
| U'per labials. | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Supraoculars. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Nuchals pairs. | 2 | 2 | $\because$ | 3 | $\because$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | $2^{1} 2$ | 2 | 2 |
| Postmentals.... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | l |
| Postnastls. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Largest lahial. | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Frontomsal toucla frontal...... | yes | ses | yes | no | no | $n \%$ | yes | no | yes | no | no |
| seventh labial tourh upper seec. temporal | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { supraoculars touch } \\ & \text { frontal. } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3-2 |

very narowly. falde about the ear vary from 15 to 18: the numhere 15 :udd 16 are eommon: the higher numbers rately oreme. The seale from oreciput to above anus vary from 57 to 61 , si being twice as frepuent as the other numbers. (he postmental and mon postnasal seen to be invariable charactes. The seventh lathal is invariably the largest, frequently double the size of the sixth; subdigital lamethe mater fourth toe $11-14,12$ and 13 being the most usual numbers. The number of the supracolars is invariable. The temporak are surprisingly stable in character.

The ground color varies in shade from daker to lighter. In rounger specimens the color on the dak lateral stripe may be uniformby back. The character of the light lines does not vary and is identical in the very young ( 31 mm .) and adults. In the young the tail is a bright blue, and this color is usually retained by the arlulte, but with blackish or gray flock- beaking the uniformity. The head in the young is never black. The doted dark lines on dorsal scales are more distinct in some sperimens than in others.
liemurks. The relationship of this specie- is with Eumeces duyesio. de-pite the very striking flifference in the character of the scales of the top of the head. It shows a parallel development with that which has taken place in the Lymxe group. Fuccirostris with three - upraoculars stands to lyme as dugesii doc to indubitus. However, it appears that in the two latter, the elifferential character- have berome rompletely stabilized.

This new form maty readily be separated from Eumeces dugesii by the character of four supraculars, three tourhing the frontal, in-teat of three supraculars, with only two touching the frontal. The contrast of coler between the dorsal surface and the sides is much more promomed in dugesii than in indubitus: the former often beemese yellowi-h-bronze and even silvery above. In all the -pecimens examinod, thirty-three in all, there is no evidence that the characters eparating the two forms overlap or intergrade in any mammer:

The -peries is more distantly related to Eumeces borirostris Giinther an sugested by the presence of a large seventh labial which make- a suture with the upper eecombary temporal.

So far an is known, it is a high momtain form, as all sperimens taken were found in the mountains in pine forest, under rocks or loge.

The food oi thi - perics, juiged by stomad contents, combits wholly of -mall insects. An examination of the reproductive organs
gave no clue as to whether the form is oviparous or ovoviviparous, since neither the ovaries nor uteri contained developing eggs. It is highly probable, however, that this, like its close relative, dugesii, is ovoviviparous.

A single paratype (No. 1672) was presented to the Instituto de Biologia in Mexico City.

Distribution. The present known distribution includes the states of Morelos, Mexico, and eastern Michoaceín in the high mountains, probably largely confined to the pine region in the high mountains at elevations of 8,000 to 12,000 feet. (See Fig. 76 for distributional map.)

Locality records:
Morelos: Near Cuernavaca (kilometer 63), Mexico City-Cuermavaca highway (type locality, Taylor-Smith Coll. 10) (In:t. Biol. 1).
Mexico: Near Asunción, western Mexico (Taylor-Smith Coll. 20).
Mirhomean: 15 miles southeast of Zitácuaro, eastern Michoacán (Taylorsinith 2).

Eumeces dugesii Thominot<br>(Plate 43; Figs. 76, 59<br>SYNONYMY

1883. Eumeces (Plestiodon) Dugesii Thoninot. 13ull. Soe. Plilo. de Paris, (7), VII, (1882_ 1853 ), $1883, \mathrm{pp} .139,139$ (type description; type locality, "Province Guanajuato" [Alexico]. collected by A. louges); Dugès, La Naturaleza, MT, (1889-1884), Nov. 4, 1883, pp. 361, 362, lam. 9, figs. 1-7 (r, deacription of the speies from other material from type locality; full-length figure, hand colored); Cole, Proc. Amer. Phil. Soc. XXII, Jan to Oct., 1885, pp. 165-194 (key characters); (iintleer. Biol. Cent.-Amer., Oct., 1ssin. 1. 32; Cupe. Bult. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 32, 1s47, p. 46 (gives Michoacán, and Zacualtapan [Murelos] as localifjes): Duges, La Natualeza, (2), II, 1894, p. 485 ; ? Merrera, Cat. Col. Rept. Batr. Mus. Nae., 1495, p. 24, Apatzingan, Michoacán; and Ed. 2d, 1004, p. 24; Cone, Ann. Rept. U. S. Nat. Mins., 189s. (1900), p. 630 (Key).
1884. Eumeces brevirustris (1)art.) Boulenger. Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 1887, p. 379.
?1900. Eumeces triaspis Cope. Ann. Rept. L'. N. Nat. Mus., 1898, (1900), p. 1232 (Nomen mudum).

History. This form was first discovered by Alfredo Dugès in Guanajuato, in 1882 or 1883 . One specimen was sent to the Paris Museum, and in 1883 it was made the type of a new species named dugesii in honor of its discoverer. In the same year, Nov., 1883, Dugès published a description of the species under the same name (attributing the name to Thominot), but stating that he had not seen the type description. He published a plate (hand colored), giving a full-lengtl dorsal view, and several figures, all of which are rather inaccurate. In this paper, Dugès recorded the fact that the species is "viviparous." The contribution is based on other than the type material. I have examined the specimens, three from Tangancíquaro and Patamban, collected by Dr. Octavius Navarro,
and now a part of the Alfredo Dugès Museum Collection, in Guamajuato. Guamajuato, Mexico.

Boulenger (1887) placed the species as a syonym of Eumeces brecirostris Günther. Cope (1900), however, failed to follow this disposition of the form, but eontinued to recognize it as a distinct species. In this same work he published the name Eumeces triaspis as an inhabitant of the "Anstroccidental" district, a district including the range of this speries. It appears to be a nomen mudum, since I can discover no evidence that such a name with description was ever previously published. The name triaspis (three shields) is presumably suggested by the three suprocular seales. The other species having the three shields is furcirostris and this is listed on the preceding page; dugesii is omitted; so it would appear that this species was intended. Two specimens of the species are in the United States National Musemm.

Careful drawings of a serimen from Stanford Coniversity, together with drawings of other related Mexican species, were sent to Dr. F. Angel, Muscum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, for comparison with the type. Concerning the drawing of the Stanford Museum specimen, he states that the figure corresponds exactly to the characters of Eumeces dugesii Thominot, the only difference being that the type of dugesii has two pairs of nuchals instead of three. (In the drawing sent there are three muchals on one side; on the other the third seale is partially segmented.)

Diagnosis. A medium-sized species characterized by dorsolateral light lines, tending to become obsolete in adults, and the absence of a median line or bifurcating lines on the midtle of head. Three -upracular seales, the two anterior broadly in contact with the frontal; the interparietal broad, with the parietals forming a broad suture behind it; two pairs of nuchals (normally), the anterior pair larger; a small primary temporal, separated from the lower secondary temporal by the greatly enlarged seventh labial, which forms a suture with the upper secondary temporal; a member of the Brationstris group. The species is ovoriviparous.

Description of species (from No. $2615 t$ U. S. Nat. Mus. Collected by Dugès, (iuanajuato, Mexico). The portion of the rostral visible above of moderate size forming a very obtuse angle; suranazals clongate, diagonal, twice as long as wide, forming a common suture less than their width in length; frontonasal wider than long, touching loreal (on one side abnormally separated from loreah: prefrontals large, making equal sutures with the two loreals, and
forming a rery short, common suture medially, separating frontal from frontonasal; frontal very large anteriorly, broadly in contact with the anterior superciliary (perhaps abnormally); three supraoculars, the anterior large, triangular, the two anterior forming -utures with the fromtal; frontoparictals about the size of the prefrontals, forming a moderate median suture; interparietal small, distinctly les than half the length of the frontal; parietals large, broad, forming a considerable suture behind interparietal; two pairs of large muchals; masal very small, divided, the suture with the first latial longer that that with the rostral; nostril directed strongly formard; no postnatal; two loreate; the anterior but very little


Fiti. 79. Eumeses dugesiï Thominot. Stanford U. No. 3842 ; Michoacán, Mexico. A. lateral view of head: B. demal view of head. Actuat head length, 6.5 mm .; width, 5.5 mm . Young.
higher than fosterior; latier generally rectangular, but little longer than high, narowly in contact with second labial, but in contact the cntire length of thirl; five or six supereiliaries, anterior largest: two small postoculars a small primary temporal, separated from the small lower secomiary temporal by the suture of the serenth labial with the large uper secondary temporal; the tertiary temporal is small, elongate, very narowly seatated from the aurioular lobules.

Seven upper labials, the formth smallest, the last much the largest; the subocular labial is low, only slighty higher than second or third; palpehral series largely in contact with superciliaries: four rather large plates on lower evelid, separated from the subocular by two irregular rows of granules; five lower labials anterior to large posterior, which is followed by a smaller seale hidden under seventh
upper labial; erventh upper labial mparated from car ly 1 wo - - matl postabiak and a single very small seale: mental relatively -mall, its labial border of searely greater extent that that of rostral; postmental single, somewhat rombled anterionly ; theer trpical pairs of chimshickls, the anterior patir fommeng aroad suture, the weond paif separated by a single pair of seales; last pair followed by an clongate postgenial seale which is bordered on its anterion medial border hey a scale wider than long.

Exe smatl, the diameter of ofbit lese than its distance from the nostril: Car small, nearly romd, with two distinct lobules; ear surounded by about 15 scales; median sale mows on neck wider thatn on bark; 30 sate rows aromol the nerk behind ear; ot on marrow part of neck: 30 about boty behind am; 24 about middle of body; sates latger dorsally than ventrally or laterally; the axillary series are dropped out at a distance from axilla not exceeding length of arm to wrist: limbs moderately well developed, when adpresed separated by about $\overline{5}$ millimeters palm with numerous (about si large, rounded tubereles, with numerous other -maller granules; four laree heel plates, all in contact; sole with scattered lateg granules and numerous small ones; 12 lamellat under longest toe: dorsal and ventral lamellate of toes in contact, without intervening seales save oceasionally at hase; anus bordered anteriorly by six seales; the median preanals well differentiated, much wider than long; the outer preanal sale overtapping immer: lateral postanal scale somewhat enlarged in males. but otherwise not differentiated; seales hordering amus behind strongly pigmented: subcadal series much widened (esperdally latee on reqenerated portiont: no small gramalar sute posterior to the insertion of hind limb; a few small granular scales in axilla.
('olor. Above on head and body, gray-olive, the head sighty bownish; the dorsolateral line begins on the tip of ro-tral. pases to neck on the second sable row ; it shortly begins to encroatel on the third row and after parsing shoulder oceupies the third row entirely: posteriorly the upper oders of the fourth row are insolved; the line beeome- eradually dimmer posterionly and can he distinguished from the gromad folor with differulty: a brown lime begint on side of soout, pares through ere, alonge side of neek amb side of the body; the brown color is not solid, but the seates ate sather uniform! flecked with the graying gromad color; this color extendon tail as far as the regemerated part ; a lateral light lime begine on sout and pases over hathals and throwgh the lower adge of the
car to above arm, where it becomes dim or obsolete on the side; below this, each scale, of the next four or five rows, with a darker area, these forming indistinet, dotted, brown lines; these, low on side, gradually merge into the general drab-slate ventral coloration, the scales of which show some darker areas, and form very indistinet lines. The labials, both upper and lower, show brown markings; the sutures of the lower labials are almost entirely brown; chinshield cramy-gray, with numerous brown spots along sutures; arms and legs dark brown, heavily flecked with silver-gray; fingers and toes more or less barred with same color; unregenerated portion of tail with larger dark areas on seales, those on side very large and strongly pronounced, those on underside more distinct than dorsally; preanals only slightly lighter than ventral abdominal region.

Measmements of Eumeces dugesii Thominot

| Museunn <br> Number: | Type | ${ }_{26154}$ | $\underset{26153}{\text { U.S.N.M. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stanford } \\ 3 \times 42 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snout to vent | 65 | 67 | 5s.6 | 35 |
| Snout to forelimb. |  | 21 | 19.5 | 12 |
| Snout to auricular opening |  | 12 | 9.5 | 7 |
| Snout to eye.. |  | 4.3 | 4 | 28 |
| Eye to nostril. | $\ldots$ | $3 \%$ | 3.1 | 2 |
| Head width, greatest | $s$ | 9 | 8 | 5.5 |
| Head length. | $9+$ | 11).2 | 86 | 6.5 |
| Axilla to groin . |  | 39 | 36 | 20 |
| Foreleg. |  | 1.5.5 | 12 | 7 |
| Hind leg |  | 21 | 16 | 10.2 |
| Greatest body width. |  | 10 | 9.5 | 5 |
| Postanal tail width |  | 1. | 6 | 3.3 |
| Longest toe |  | 7.2 | 6 | 3.5 |

* The trpe and Nos. 26151, 20153 are from Guanajuato, Mexico; 3842 is from Michoarán.

Tariation. A second specimen (U.S.N.M. No. 26153) has the frontonasal forming a slight suture with the frontal and touching both (insteat of a single) loreals; the frontal is proportionally less wide anteriorly and touches only one superciliary. Other dorsal head scales practically the same in shape and proportions, and the lateral heat seales, especially those of the temporal region, identical with the deseribed specimen save that the subocular is slightly smaller.

The color above is lighter than the deseribed speeimen, bluish or
gremith-gray, from which the dorolateral line can be distinguished with difliculty on the body, although prominent anteriorly from shoulder to snout ; on the head these light lines are edged above by brewn lines which eontinue some distance on the neek; the brown stripe on side of body is les distinet, the brown color forming very indistinet dotted lines; the lateral line from head to arm is sery distinct, but very dim or wanting on sides of body: the light areas on the lower labials form distinet rounded spots which are somewhat more distinct than in the described sperimen. Scales on the back show very dim darker areas, those of the median rows slightly more pronounced, forming very dim dotted lines from rump onto the tail. The number of seales from the parietals to above the anus is slightly higher ( 60 as opposed to 56 in the desoribed specimen). The subdigital lamellae are 11-11, instead of 12-12. The limbs are separated when adprescet by a slightly greater distance.

In a young specimen (stanford No. 3842) the dorsolateral cream line reaches only halfway between head and shoulder, and the lateral line reaches only to the forearm. The limbs in the young are separated when adpressed, by a small distance. Eighty-six widened subcaudal sicales on the under surface of the tail (complete). In a young sperimen in the Alfredo Dugès Museum, the lateral line appears to continue to near the groin, but the older specimens show the lateral reaching only to the arm.

All the specimens agree on the characters of the supranculars. temporals, seale rows labials, and parietals. The frontonasal touches the frontal in one, and is separated in two sperimens: in one specimen on one side the first loreal is exeluded from contact with the frontonasal; superciliaries. 6-6 or 6-5.

Remarks. As observed elsewhere, this species is closely relatent to indubitus, from which it differs only in the details of coloration and the presence of three instead of four supraoculars. There is no evidence that the territories ofeupied by these forms overlap.

As pointed out by Dugis (1805) this speries is oroviviparous "viviparous"). Little else than this is known of the hahite of the -pecies.

Distribution. The peries is eretainly known to werur in the states of Michomein and Cuanajuato. Duges record for Chiapas may be questioned. It is a high momatain form, and probably does not oceur in the lowland part of Michoarin. Sce Fig. 76 for distributional map. 1

Locality records:
(ifnstorto: "Province de Guanajuato (Mexique)" (M.H.N.P. 1, type); Guanajuato, Mexico (['S.N.MI. 2, Dugès Coll.).
Muronotx: Michoación (stantord 1, Dugès Coll.) ; "Tanganzíquaro et Patamban" (Alfredo Dugès Mus. 3, Navarro Coll.).
Uncertain or questionable localities: Chiapas (Dngès, 1894); Zacualtipan (Cope, 1857).

## Eumeces colimensis Taylor

(Plate 40, Fig. 3; Figs. 76, 80)
SYNONYMY
1:135. Eumects colimensis Taylor. Field Mus. Nat. Ilist., Zö̈l. Ser., XXX, May 15, 1935, p. 7t-80, fig. 7.

Diagnosis. A medium-sized species belonging to the Brevirostris group, characterized by broad dorsolateral light lines originating on the rostral and continuing to tail, and a lateral light line, probably distinct in young but dim or obsolete in adult; no median line and no bifurcating lines on head. One postmental; no postnasal; frontal in contact with interparietal; parietals enclosing the interparietal; seven upper labials, last the largest, forming a suture with the upper sccondary temporal; primary temporal wanting (possibly abnormatly fused with the upper secondary) ; lower secondary and tertiary temporals present; ear of nomal size; limbs well developed, strongly overlapping when adpressed.

Description of type (No. 1649. Field Museum of Natural History; Colima, Mexico; collector unknown; adult female). Portion of the rostral visible above small, much less than one half the size of the frontoparictal; supranasals large, of much greater length than the nasals, forming a median suture; frontonasal much broader than long, touching lateralty the anterior loreal, forming broad sutures posteriorly with the prefrontals, in contact with the frontal at the attenuated anterior end; prefrontals narrowly separated, quadrangular in shape, the side touching frontal the longest, also forming unequal sutures with the frontonasal, second toreal, first supraocular, first loreal and first superiliary, the varying lengths of the sutures in the order named: froutal very long 15 mm .) one and one third times its distance frem end of snout, posteriorly narrowed and bluntly pointed, in contact with the interparictal; frontal in contact with the first, second and third supraoculars on the left side, with the second and third only on the right side. Frontoparietals one and one half times as long as broad, separated, their posterior embe forming a noteh in the anterior part of the parictals; latter
very broal, strongly truncate behind, enelosing the interparietal, forming arather narrow mutual suture; interparietal rather narow, elongate; two pairs of muchats, the first very boat and deep, the second pair very much smaller.


Fig. so. Eumeces colimensix Faylor. F.M.N.II. No. 1649; type, Colima. Colima, Mfexico. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actmal heal length. 10.7 min. wilth. 9.7 mm. CCourtery, Field Musemm of Natmal Histuryl

Nasal distinctly divided, the nextril behind the bine of the motrolabial suture; the anterior part of masal harger than the posterion part: ne pertazal: first loreal distinetly higher than the weme loreal; latter much longer than high, in eontact with the seemel and thim labials; two presuboculars, the anterior much the larget, somewhat pentagonal in shape; four postaboculars, the upper very large, but not to be mistaken for the mising primary tempral; six supereflames, anterior the largest. the last next in seare eye smath.
distinctly less than its distance from the nostril; three or four median upper palpebral seales forming sutures with the supereiliaries: a relatively large, wedge-shaped preocular, followed by a small scale on upper eyelid; two small postsuboculars; four or five large, vertically placed, opaque seales on lower eyelid, separated from the subocular by two irregular rows of tubercular seales, somewhat larger than is typical for the genus. Primary temporal wanting (perhaps fused abnormally with the upper secondary temporal); the upper secondary temporal broadly in contact with the sixth and serenth labials, more than twice as long as its greatest width; lower scondary temporal of moderate size, somewhat fan-shaped; tertiary temporal elongated, not entering ear, but in contact above with the upper secondary. Seven upper labiak, four preceding the subocular, of which the first is highest, fourth the smallest; seventh labial largest of the series, but not conspicuously larger than sixth, -eparated from the ear by two superimposed pairs of postlabial scales, the anterior the largest; two very inconspicuous ear lobules; six well-defined lower labials, the last followed by a smaller scale that may be considered as a seventh. The mental has practically the same extent on the labial border as the rostral; postmental large, single; three typical pairs of chinshields, the third followed by a relatively short postgenial, which is bordered on the anterior mesial side by a seale wider than long.

Seales on back about cqual to those of lateral and ventral regions, forming parallel lines, save behind and above arm; postauricular scales relatively large, 18 around ear; 30 scales ahout neek behind car: 27 about constricted part of neck; 32 in axillary region; 28 rows about middle of body; 19 at base of tail; subeaudal series distinctly widened; median preanal seales enlarged, with two smaller scales lateral to these, the outer scales overlapping the inner; an elongate seale at each outer posterior corner of the anus, not otherwise differentiated.

Limbs well developed, overlapping the length of the foot with toes when adpresed. A well-developed outer wrist seale; a group of large conical tubercles in middle part of palm; hasal lamellae more or less conical; lamellar formula for fingers: 6-9-11-12-8. Three large, thickenet, somewhat conical seales at heel; basal lamellae strongly tubercular; two enlarged conical tubercles on sole; lamellar formula of toes: 6-9-13-16-11. Eighteen seales about insertion of lind limb; twelve about insertion of arm. A series of small gramuar scales in axilla. Lateral nuchal seales usually with
two pit-; - ale in porthmeral and porthemotal resions, in the portaxillary region amd behind the insertion of hind lag with more numerour pit-.

 -ix indistind doted lines; head more boromish, followed bey a brownish streak begimning in the muchal region, comtimang back about a eontimotor on berk: -mall darker areas on prefontak. - Lumaconlats and parietals; no "hifureating" lines on head and no median tripe: boad dorsolateral light lines begiming on the shout, continte on sides of head and body to tail, covering parts of the third and fourth sale row- separated from each other her four Whole and two half seale rows: the dark pots on the seales bondering the light etripe more pronomaded than elsewhere; a brotel labial stripe begins on rostal, follows lower part of labials through lower half of ear ; berond this it can scarcely be distingui-hed from the coloration of the side: a broad band of brown beginning anterion to the eve continues along the side to some distance on the tail. covering two whole and two hali rows of seales: lower labials. chin. throat and breast cream eolor': under side of limbs, anal scales and along a medtan line on subeadals. lighter; limbs above generally dark brown, with lighter brown areas on the seales, eontinuing on tocs. giving them a shighty coos-hared appearance; abomen and lower part of shes somewhat lead color dhe to preservativel. each seate with a darker areal

Moresuremernte of the type of Eumecots colime usis Taylor

|  | min. |  | 11111. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total lenoth | 134 | Lemeth of hear | 10.7 |
| Tail (rewencrated) | 69 | Foreles | 1s |
| shout to foreler | 23).2 | Hind lex | 26 |
| Snome to fars. | 13.5 | Lemurest toe | 11 |
| snout to eve | 5 | Wielth of bouly | 11.3 |
| Axilla to aroin | 33 | snout to vrnt.. | 6.5 |

Remarks. This- perden may he differentiated fiom all other mem-ber- of this groul, be the greater development of the limbs. which
 foret. In the ahsence of the primary temporal it agrees with dicer, but differe markedly in: the eharadere of the lower erelid. the presence of a lower seomdary temporal. and in a moneh larget size as well at in the greatly incerased nomber of sate rows about the body. Whether the contatet betwern the fromtal and interparietal
and the lack of a prinary temporal are normal conditions can only he determined when a series of specimens are available for com－ parison．

So far as am able to learn，the collector is unknown．
Distribution and locality records．The single specimen known is from Colima（presumably the town），Colima，Mexieo．（See fig． 76 for（listributional matp．）

## Eumeces dicei Ruthven and Gaige （Figs．76，81） <br> SYNONYMY

1933．Entmects dicei Ruthen and Gaige．Oee．Papers Mus．Zoai．Iniv，Dichigan，No．260， Apr．3．1933，pp．1－3（type description；type localıty，Marmolejo，Tamanlipas，Mexico）．

History．The species was first discovered at Marmolejo，Tamauli－ pas，during the first part of the month of August（1－10），1930，by Dr．Lee R．Diee of the Lniversity of Michigan，for whom the species was named．It is known only from the type，which is No．69253， Museum of Zoölogy，University of Michigan．

Diagnosis．A small speries，with a narrow dorsolateral light line， but lacking a lateral line and a median line bifurrating on the head； no primary temporal；no lower secondary temporal；tertiary tem－ poral present；sixth and seventh upper labials much enlarged，form－ ing sutures with the large，upper secondary temporal；four supra－ oculars，three broadly in contact with the frontal；first labial much larger than the three succeeding labials；no postnasal；one post－ mental；scale in 2.2 rows about the middle of the body；parietals not enclosing the interparietal．

Description of the type．Body slender，the habitus similar to Emmeces egregins：head rather elongate，narrow on top；rostral moderately large，scparated from frontonasal by the supranasals； frontonasal about as long as broad，separated from the frontal by a minute distance，but in contact narrowly with the anterior loreal； prefrontal：large，pentagonal，in contact mesially at a single point， touching two loreals，the first superciliary and the first supraocular ； frontal sharply pointed anteriorly peculiar in being constricted just posterior to the middle，behind which it widens，forming a lateral angle，rounded posteriorly；frontoparietals generally rectangular， forming a moderate median suture about one third their length； interparictal slightly shorter than the frontal，but nearly as wide at the widest point，not enclosed by the parietals；latter large，bordered on the side by the elongate upper secondary temporal and the larg－ cot，most posterior of the postsuboculars；two pairs of well－differ－
entiated muchals; four supraoculars, the first and last of about equal size, the third forming an angle between the frontal and the frontoparietalf natal divided, the anterior moiety larger than the superficial part of the posterior; nostril piered behind the rostro-labial suture; no posthasal; anterior loreal very distinctly higher than the posterior, higher than wide; posterior loreal relatively small, equal to or of only shightly greater surface area than anterior, distinctly longer than high, bordering second and third labials; seven superciliaries, first not greatly larger than the second ; two presuboculars; three postsuboculars, the posterior sery large proportionally, eye


Fig. S1. Eumeces dicei Ruthren and Gaige. Mich. U. No. 69253; Marmolejo, Tamaulipas, Mexico. A, lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length, 6.5 mm .; width, 5.5 mm .
small, the long axis of the orbit less than its distance from the nostril ; two tiny postoculars; one small preocular; medial palpebrals touching superciliaries; anterior and posterior palpebrals separated from supereiliaries by small intercalated granules; lower eyelid with the upper series of plates not or seareely larger than some in the second row; only two rows between lower palpebrals and the subocular. Primary temporal absent (or fused rompletely with the upper secondary) ; lower secondary temporal absent; tertiary temporal proportionally larger than waal in the genus, entering aturieular opening. Seven upper labials, the sixth smaller than the greatly enlarged seventh, but both forming sutures with the upper secondary temporal; four labials preereding the suborular labial, of

Which the first is distinctly the largest; serenth habial separated from the aturicular opening by two minute postabials.

Ear small, surmonded by cleven ecales; one or two small lobules bomber anterior margin; the interalated axillary scale rows short, the ane between the serenth and eighth rows dropped in the midbody regiom so the count slightly anterior to the middle is at seale rows instead of 2 rows, which cover the hatter half of the body; scales on the batck not or scarcely larger than laterals or ventrals; 62 - cales in a row from parietals to abose anus: median row of scales under tail which is miseing save for a bit of the proximal portion) not or only moderately widened apparently: six preanal seales, the two median -omewhat enlarged, the outermost imallest, the outer scales overlapping the inner': no differentiated lateral postanal sale (fomale): mental large. it- labial border greater than that of rostral; a single undivided postmental; three pairs of chimshields, first forming a -uture, the two succeeding separated; third pair followed by an chongate postemial, bordered on its imer anterior edqe by a scale beoder than long: six lower labials. Limbe small. separated by 18 crales when adpresed on the sides of the body; the length of the hind limb contained four and one fourth times in body length; arm with a prominent thickened sale on the outer edge of wrist; three cularged gramules on the palm; lamellar formula of fingers: $4 ; 7$; (1; 10:6: 4: : $: 9 ; 10: 6$. A greatly enlarged sute at base of heel, with two smaller inner soutes near the base of the first toe lamellar formula for tocs: $5 ; 8 ; 10: 12 ; 7: 5 ; 7 ; 10 ; 11 ; 7$. No enlarged gramules on sole; only a dorsal and a rentral series of scales on toes: the trminal seales not bound chocly about the claws.

Color. Abose dark akh-gray the rales with darker eenters which form six rather indistinct, darker, dotted rows on back: rostral and -upranasals eream, with a dorsolateral light line originating on rostral, passing on outer edge of supraoculars, continuing back on third and fontl seale rows, and later, begiming at a point some distance behind shoulder, on fourth atone, growing dim posteriorly, where it ocempies but one half of the fourth scale row: below this line a dark stripe begins on masal, passes back above the ear, where it widens, then narows to one whole and two half scale rows in width and pases to tail; below this the scales become gray, someWhat darker than on back, each seale with scattered darker areas not forming lines; upper labials and region in front and behind car, cream; chin and throat ream; upper side of limbs dark; lower parts light, strongly differentiated; neck, below, eream, but seales
with darker areas: some sattered light flecke muder hase of tail: lateral light line abent on neek :mul side.


Remarks. This speries hat heen tentatively phaced in the Branirostris group and probably represents a very degencrate (opecialized member. It agrees in sereral characters with $E$. brebirostris and likewise show many smilaritie to cogregins, equedally in the character of the temporals* and posterior labials.

In thi- -pecies the limbs are proportionally smaller and more widely separated than in forms of bratrostris of equal size examined. E!pegins has the ear opening farther removed from the reventh labial, with the seales anterior, overlapping and partially forering the ear oneming; the median doreal scales we wider. Before a more certain asigmment of dicei can be made, larger series of Afecimens must be arailable to furnish data on variation. Nothing is now known of its habits.

Distribution and locality records. Only the type locality, Marmolejo. Tamaulipas, is known. Whether it occupies the coastal region or is a high mountain form is not known, since the tyfe locality is intermediate between the two. Gee Fig. 76 for distributional map. 1

Enmeces ochoternat Taylor<br><br>$\therefore \mathrm{YNONYOM}$




History. The series of eperimens on which this specjes wat fommed was rollected in the summer of 1932 by Hobart smith and mreelf in the mometains in the neighborhood of Chilpancingo. Guerrern. Mex. A total of eleven serimens was obtained. It appears to be a high momatan form, and is pobably restricted to elevations above five thomand feed.

[^53]The species was named for Dr. Isaac Ochoterena, director of the Instituto de Biologia, Chapultepec, D. F., Mexico, a noter Mexican listologist and botanist.

The type is in the Taylor-Smith collection. Paratype specimens have been presented to the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy, Harvard, the U. S. National Museum, and the Instituto de Biologia de México.
Diagnosis. A small, slender species, with limbs small, widely separated when adpressed; four supraoculars; interparietal not enclosed; two pairs of nuchals; seven upper labials; postmental single; no postnasal; primary temporal sometimes in contact with lower secondary temporal, separating seventh labial from the upper secondary temporal; scale bordering the inner side of the elongate portgenial wider than long; subcaudals widened; $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ ( $2+$ ) scale rows. Blackish or brownish, with a broad dorsolateral light stripe on the bark, ruming to tail; a lateral line on labials to forearm; a black or black-brown lateral stripe; no trace of a median light line or forking lines on the head.

Description of the type. Rostral much wider than ligh, the portion visible from above less than half the area of the frontonasal; -upranasals normal in size, forming a median suture touching the anterior loreals; frontona*al six-sided, forming its longest sutures with the prefrontals, its shortest with the anterior loreal; prefrontals wider than long, forming a strong median suture and subequal sutures with the first supraocular, first superciliary and the two loreals; frontal longer than its distance from the tip of the snout, bordered by the three anterior supraoculars; first supraocular equally as large as fourth, second largest; frontoparictals small, square, forming a median suture; interparictal narrow, elongate, not enclosed behind by the parietals; latter diagonal, twice as long as their greatest width, narrowly separated posteriorly; two pairs of broad nuchals of about equal size.

Nasal small, the anterior part triangular, nearly as large as remainder of seale, including the nostril; no postnasal; anterior loreal nearly as long as high, distinctly higher than second loreal, which is about once and one half as long as high; seven-eight superciliaries; two presuboculars, the lower largest; three postsuboculars; seven upper labials, the first higher than the four succeeding seales; seventh labial somewhat larger than sixth, separated from the upper secondary temporal; the primary temporal small, quadrangular, touching lower secondary, which is fan-shaped; upper secondary
elongate, once and two thirds as long as its greatert width; tertiary temporal marow and elongate, not entering the ear; wemth labial ecparated from the ear by two subequal pairs of postathial scales; car opening small, with one or two very black auricular lobules Upper medial palpehral seates not sopatated from the superciliaries; lower eyelid with three enlared opaque scales soparated from the


Fic. S2. Eumeces ochoterenae Taylor. E.H.T. and H.M.s. No. 1015, trip. A. lateral view of head; B, dorsal view of head. Actual head length. 7.4 mm .; width. 6 mm .
subocular by two or three rows of small granular sates, six lower labials, last longest; mental moderate, having a labial border very slightly longer than the rostral; a single azyous postmental; three pairs of chinshields, the second pair largest; the third pair followed by an elongate postgenial bordered internally by a scale broader than long.

Body scales in 22 rows athout the midde, the dorsal seater slightly larger than laterals or ventrals; scales on neek behind ear, 30 rows; narrow part of the neck, 23 rows; about hase of tail, 15 rows; the subcaudal scales two and one half to three times as wide as long;

94 sale from ams to tip of tail；from occiput to abose anus， 54 ； lateral sale rows generally parallel；margimal pits on scales mu－ merou－about insertion of arm and leg，but chewhere dim or want－ ing：two enlarged preanals，with two smaller sates on each sille， borter the ams；the outer scales overlapping imner；lateral postanal arale slightly differentiated．

Palnt with sereral enlarged tubereles，and with many smaller ones：the wrist tubercle prominent：lamellar formula for fingers： $4 ; 8 ; 10 ; 10$ ： 6 ；the sole with one or two differentiated seales；the heel bordered bey four flat scales or tubereles；lamellar formula for toes： $5 ;-10 ; 12 ; 8$ ；the terminal lamellae not tightly bound about beree of clatw：
＇olor．Above hacki－h to gray－hrown，with irregular，minute， daker flecking：a hroad，dorsolateral，light gray－white line origi－

Tables of measurements and scale counts of the type sories

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { Sox. } \end{aligned}$ | Type <br> i01．） | $\frac{14.1}{7}$ | $14 \times 3$ | $\begin{gathered} 1480 \\ 8^{7} \end{gathered}$ | $1012$ $\infty^{7}$ | $1013$ | $14 \Omega 2$ | $1014$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.4 \\ y \mathrm{~g} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1016 \\ \mathrm{yg} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1017 \\ 5 \mathrm{~g} . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shont to vent | Sti | －3 | －1 $\quad$ ） | らl こ | S1 | ．0）is | 20 | 49.6 | 26 | 25.5 | 23 |
| T：ill．． | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| Shout to fore limir | 17.2 | 14 | 16 | 16． 5 | 16 | 157 | 16 | 16 | 10.2 | 8.9 | 9 |
| shout to ear | 9 | 3.4 | 8.9 | 9 | S． 7 |  | $\therefore .5$ | S．is | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| Axillat togroin． | 33 | 30.5 | 30 | 24 | 30 | 29 | 33 | 29 | 12 | 12.5 | 12.2 |
| Wirlth of hearl． | ＇i | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6． 7 | 6．3 |  |  |  |
| Length of hoarl | 74 | $\checkmark$ | 75 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.5 | $7: 2$ | 5 | 5 | 5.1 |
| Widtly of horly． | $\checkmark$ | 8.7 | $\checkmark$ | 9 | く | 7.8 | 7 | N | 4 | 4 | 3.5 |
| Forelers | S．9 | 11.2 | 9. | 10.7 | 9 | $9: 2$ | 12 | 92 | 5．2 | 4.4 | 4 |
| Himel lers | 14.1 | 14 | 13 | 14.3 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | $s$ | 7 | 5.8 |
| scale rows | 22 | 23 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| Interparietal inclosed． | 110 | no | 110 | no | no | no | 110 | no | no | no | no |
| scales ofciput tormus． | 5.5 | 54 | ． 51 | 5.5 | iti | 93 | 5.5 | 54 | 54 | 57 | 55 |
| lepper lahials． | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| supraorulars． | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Nuchats．pairs． | 2 | 2 | $\because$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Postmantals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1＇ostniasils | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| largest lathial | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Frontonatsal tonders frontal | 100 | 110 | 110） | 10 | no | no | no | yes | no | 110 | no |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Supraron }{ }^{\text {lars touc. }} \\ & \text { frontal } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Geventh labiad touchos ириer sere trimpors］ | 110 | 110 | 110 | yes | 160 | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes |

nating on the rotral, pares hatek oxer head and atong -dede amt
 thirls of the secome wale row, and the inmer hali wi the third the color is mot rlear, but is dirtr-looking dhe to decking with darker "olont: a lateral line begins on the rostral and par-s batel to moar insertion of foreleg ; only the lower exte of the ambular opening is involved: the color beeome marls intensified below the ere amd from there on betek is a silvery white: lower labials. thin, and throat light: abdomen. side and undor limbs. glayish or blushgray, flerked with minute darker areas; tail brownish at base, but di-tal two thirde of a very deep purplish-blue color, low pronounced below. Ear lobule hark.

Trariation. The ehaef rariations are listed in the table. The sables preceting the ear, between ear and seventh labial are usually as described, but may be replaced by two elongate ones, or three, with the upper two uniting. The character of the temporals f the relation of the -eventh labial to the upper secondary temporal) is variable; thes are separated in about half of the sperimens and form a common suture in the other half. The number of subeaulal srales varies from of to ?0.

Pemartis. This iom is apparently related to Eumeces brevirostris, but differs in having a lower average eount of scale rows: smaller and shorter limbs; the much broader dorsolateral lines - -eparated by two whole and two half dark-colored seales); in the absence of a lateral light line along the siter of the abolomen; in the retention of blue eolor in the tails of adults: and a smaller average number of scales from parietals to above anus (usually 6 to s leses.

This form was first encountered at Mazatlan, near Chilpandingo. Gucrero. Mexico. June $26,19: 3$. The sperimens were routed from under stones and leaves and the rotting mases of agave plants. A fow days later, ouly 1,1982 , several sperimens were taken high in the mountain- in pine forest between the villages of Rinson and Cajones: south of Chilpandingo. These sperimens were found usually in rork ledges and under leaves at the base of large boulders.

A total of cren -perimens was taken. No other species of the genus Wat found in our collecting in the state of fuerero.

Distribution. The speciee is presmathly eonfined to the sierra Madre del sur, Mexied.

Locality ricords:
Citerrero: Mazatlin, 4 miles noth of Chilpancingo (Taylor-simith 6): between Pincón and Cajones. near ('hilpancingo (Taybersmith i).

## EGREGILS GROLP

This group, comprising the two subspecies of Eumeces egregius, i. ehentaterized as follows: Very small -peries, oviparous, larking ath trace of a median line or bifureating lines on the head; dorsolateral and lateral light lines present, either short or extending to tail. Two pairs of chimshields; three supraoculars; no primary temporal; -uperciliary series broken by small postocular; ear opening partly covered ley scales; $18-20$ seale rows; limbs widely separated. Tail pink or orange in young and adult. The species, with its two subspecies, occupies the extreme southeastern part of the United stater in Floridat, including the outlying islands, and eontiguous part of (eeorgia.

The specialization of this group is such that I can find no welldefined point of contact with the remaining species of the genus. It is significant, lowever, that (ertain degenerate (specialized) members of the Bretirostris group approalh the Egregius group in certain characters.

## Eumeces rgregins: (Baird)

I have fomed it necesary to recognize two subseries of this speries, which may be characterized as follows:
A. Four well-define il narmow light lines extmoling omth tail, the dursolateral lines
 half seale rows, from its follow: the lateral line selaratel from the downateral hy two whate rows amb two half rows of stals: the median scale rows much larger than adjoinnuy wws.................... Enmetes rurtyus egregius (Baird), page 490
AA. Finur light lines evident anteriorly, usibally widenel, the dorsolateral occurying part of twn scale rows, and if contmued followng the midtle of the third row, separated from the follow the four whole aul two half thus of seales: lateral line usually short, but if dreent sequated from the dorsolatemal line one whole and two half rows of scales the median dorsal stales only slightly larger than adjacent mins.

Eumices tyregius onecrepis (Cope), page 49 :
Eumeces cgregins egregius (Baird)
(Plate 31, Fige 2: Piges 8a, © 4 )
SYNONYMY
1858. Plestudon curfaius bairl. Proc. Acath. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1mis, p. 25 et (type descriptoon: tyne lemality. Indan K゙ゃ, Fla.; enllector. (i. Wurdemann; type No. 3128 (SN. M1.): Stejnger and Barbour, Check list N. Anter. Amph. Rept., 1917, p. 69 (part.) (western Florida and the Florida Kevs).
1875. Eumuces eurvins Chle. Bull. Ǐ. S. Nat. Mus. No. I, 1ain, p. 45: Davis and Riee, Ill. State Lab. Nat. Hist., Bull. 5, 1as3, p. 46: Garman, Bult. Essex Inst., XVI,
 Rept. 1. A. Nat. Mus., 1898 ( 19001 ) p. 655, fig. 132 (part.) (complete deseription; phares E. (onorepis in syomymy); Ditmars, The Reptile Book, 1915. p. 199; Pratt, Vert. Anim. Ľ. S., 1:23, 1. 207; Stejneger and Bathour, Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 2d Ed., 1423, 1, 75 (part.) (western Florida and the Florida Keys) ; Loveridge, Copeia, No. 173, Tan. 18. 1930. p. 112 (part.) (variation, Royal Palm Beach) ; Van Hyning, Copeia, No. 1, Apr. 3, 1433, p. 5) (pat.) (Florida).

History. The types of thi- subspecie- were collecten on Indian Key hy Mr. (i. Wurdeman and were sent to the L"nited states National Museum where they were described by Sencer F. Bairel under the name of Plestioton cgregins. The deseription is very brief, and certain of the statements are erromeons bat leat in view of a more modem nomenclature). The type description states: "(bne post-natal plate: pest-frontal and inter-nasals separated by a post-masal. Four upper lahiaks. Ears very mall. Two central dorsal row hargest. Bedy ertindrical. Color reddish ash, with two or three white lines on cach side, margined with duky sometimes a third; all these along the eentere of single row- of sales. Lepper lateral lines separated by two plain rows. Body encircled be about 2-2 rows of seales."

The statement, "one port-nasal" refers no doubt to the anterior loreal. since a postnasal is absent; likewise the statement "four upper labials" is incorrect, as seven upper labials are present in buth cotyper normatly:

Diagnosis. One of the smallest species of the eentre characterized by a pair of narrow dorsolateral line- and a pair of lateral lines complete to tail; tail rose or orange-colored. Three supranculars; parictals usually not enclosing interparictal; supereiliary series interrupted posterionly by an enlarged postocular: no posthasal; two postmentals; two pairs of chimshields ; sale bordering enlarged postgenial wider than long ; last labial broadly in contact with the upper secondary temporal; anterior temporal wanting; a small posthabial; tertiary temporal separated from ear by two large sales, the last of the series oserlapping auricular opening; metian sale rows wider than adjoining rows.

Description of subspecies (from L.s.N.MI. No. 61692. Big Pine Key, Monroe Co.. Floridal. Portion of rostral visible above, triangular, more than half as large as the frontonamal; supranasals larger than nasals, foming a strong median suture; frontonasal moderate, broally in contact with the anterior loreal, forming a suture with the frontal; prefrontals separated, forming equal sutures with the frontal, first superciliary, posterior loreal, and frontonasal, not in contact with the first supraocular; frontal narrow, clongate, its length much greater than it- distance from the end of the snout, in contact laterally with two supraoculars and the first superciliary; frontoparictals larger than the prefrontals, forming a broad median suture; interparictal large, larger than a frontoparictal, not enclosed by the parietals; latter large, typical; two pairs of nuchals, of equal size.

Nasal small, divided by a suture; the nostril pierced somewhat back of the rostrolabial suture; anterior loreal much higher than wide, higher than posterior loreal; latter subrectangular, once and two third as long as high; two prewboculars ; a small preocular, followed be one large and one or two small gramular seates; six superciliaries, the enlarged postorular contering the series and separating the last superciliary from the series; the anterior superciliary very large, touching the frontal; three supratoculars, the anterior very large, triangular; three postsuborulars, the upper much the largest; anterior temporal miswing; upper secondary very large, broadly in contact with sixth and seventh labials; lower secondary temperal wanting or oerupying place of the tertiary temporat) ; the


Fis. 83. Eumects eqregius cgregius (Baird). U.S.N.M. No. 61692; Big Pine Ker, Florida. A, dateral view of head; B, dorsal view of hearl. Actuad head length. 7.2 mm ; width, 6 mm .
tertiary temporal not strongly differentiated and not in contact with the upper secondary ; a small posthabial sepatated from ear by the lower end of an elongated seale which overhangs the ear and partly conceals the opening. Four equal-sized anterior labials precede the subocular, which is large, and much elongated; sixth and seventh labials large, of nearly equal size, or the seventh largest. The eye small, it- length two and one third times it. distance from tip of shout; upper palpebral series of scales forming sutures with the superciliaries the greater part of its length. Enlarged scales on lower eyelid separated from the subocular by about two rows of granules. (Lower eyelid not shown in fig. 83.)

Five lower labiak; mental large, with a labial border much greater than that of rostral; two postmentals, the second very large proportionally; only two pairs of chimshields, the first pair in contact,
 on merlial side her a rabe wider than hong.
 the sides patrallel, the two median doreal rows distinctly widened:

 fows in axilla drop out before a distance equal to the length of the arm is reathed; lateral and rentral scales atont equal in size, buth smaller tham median dorsals: subeamdals widened; vent bordered
 -mall, overlapping the inner seales: about 13 seales aromm insertion of forelimb: onter wrist seale well developed: palm with three enlarged gramules: lamellar formula for fingers: $5 ; n ; 11 ; 11 ; 7$. An area of -mall gramular seales in the axilla extenting -omewhat above insertion of arm. About 14 seales aromm insertion of leg; heed hordered he fom larger parllike scales the posteriom not in contact medially ; one or 1 wo larger tuberenlar seales on sole; onter half of foot with imbricating scales: inner scales tuberoblar. Lamellar formula for toes: $5 ; 8 ; 10 ; 14 ; s$; terminal lamellae not tighty bomd about claws: no intereakated seales between torsal and rentrad lamellate.

Color. Above medially brownish-gray, the sales flecked with darker eolor, this ocrupying two thirde of the median salle rows: dorsolateral white line beginning on the shont, widening on the prefrontals, then continuing bark on the sides of hearl and body, following the midelle of the seoond sable row, the inner border of which is hown, learing the dorsolateral white stripe bortered by a brown -tripe above; a lateral dak stripe along the sides ocerpying outer part of second seale row, the third, fourth and part of fifth, the colon not miform, the sales with grayish white dote forming two very indistinct lighter lines: lateral light line begins on rostral, pases along lower edge of first fom habiak (the upper edges of which are darkl. then widens somewhat, passing batk to upper part of ear. leaving a dark line on lower part of the posterior labiats: the line then continues directly brhind ear and along the sides onto the tail, following the midale of the fifth sale row; below the lateral line there is a narrow dark line which merges into the grate color of lower lateral region; chin, thoat and abomen dirty white; tail somewhat rellowish-brown, probably orange in life. The white lines continne on the tail to a point where regencration hat replated original tail (probably contmming half lengtla of tail nommally'. A
Measurements of Eumects coregius egregius (Baird)

dim sublateral line is evident on tail. A second regenerated portion of tail is forked.

Fariations. The color chatacters of the specimens of this subspectes examined vary somewhat in thate and somewhat in the amount of darker pigment, hat aree in the eseential markingThe narmw doreolateral light lines are of uniforn with separated by two whole sale rows, and two hatif sale rows, the lines extending onto the tail a greater or leser distance; the lateral light line is separated from the dorsolateral by two whole seale rows, and two half rolls on the sides of the borlys.

The seale vary somewhat but the two median rows are very distinctly larger than adjoining rows and the seales unter the tail appear to have a smaller transerse length and greater longitudinal width than the related form, onocrepis.

The sales from parietals to above vent vary between dit and 69 , the average being 65.5. The number of scales about the body is 20) or 2-2, the former number oreuring four times, the latter five times, the variation not bearing any definite relation to geographical distribution.

The number of labials is likewise variable, either six or seven being present; the former number is present in five specimens, the latter in four, the variation apparently having no relation to distribution. The lower labials are usually five, although there are six present in two specimens; the nuchals are two-two in all save the specimen from southern (ieorgia, where only one pair is present. The scales following, however, are enlarged; three supraoculars are invariably present; the frontonasal and frontal are in contact in seren specimens (separate in the trpes). The postmentals are invariably two; only two pairs of chinshields. The last upper labial is usually largest, but in sertain specimens the last two are of nearly equal size. The number of lamellae under the fourth toe varies from 10-14.

The marking: on the bark are often bronzy, with darker and lighter flecks, sometimes showing strong metallie reflections. The part bordering the dor:al light lines is darker than elsewhere. The lateral dark stripe is likewise mixed with bronze and black flecks very similar to the doral coloration, save that the darker and lighter parts are often more pronounced. The lateral line is mueh wider on the labials, and frequently passes to the upper part of ear. then hegins on the fower part of ear behind, leaving a break in the continuity of the line. If the abdomen is light, the lateral line may
merge somewhat in the lateral abdominal color. On the island forms howeres, it is usually quite distinct.

Romarlis. The reëstablishment of the two forms of Eumeces (gregius has bern difficult berause of inadequate material. When Cope deseribed onocrapis he probably had not examined the types of correqus. Compared with the type deseription they seemed wholly malike. In his work on the species in the Crocodilians, Lizards, ete. 11900), he probably examined the types, wheh, if they were as they are tolay, might resmble onocrepis in many sable characters, the color and markings now being wholly obliterated.

I am convincel that originally two entirely distinct -pecies were in existence, one probably developed by isolation on an island or Whands but which now, due to the union of this land with the eomtinent. has tended to spread ower the territory ocoupied by the other form. The southern form is the typical cgregius; the typical onocrepis, that of the western part of the penimeula. It is obrious that the two forms at present overlap in their distribution in the central and eastern parts. I am not wholly certain from my examination of the material arailable that there is actual intergradation. Unfortunately I have had no large series from a single lo(ality ; and it is posible that the variations in a single locality may be greater than I now believe posible. At best an examination of a few sperimens at a time, and these from various collertions, mitigate against the romstruction of an areurate picture of the material. I am certain that the wisest rourse is to separate the two forms as subsperies and leave the final disposition to some worker who can -egregate much new, well-preserved material from all parts of the two ranges. Then and only then can the true status of the two forms be determined. Certainly nothing can he gained by leaving them in their present status, when they may he worthy of sperifie rank, as is suggested by the fact that certain cqregius cgregius appear to be present in the northeastern part of the range of egregius omocrepis.

Distribution and locality records. See Fig. At for distributional map.
Florid: :
Menioe Co.: Indian key (U.SN.M. 2. types, No. 3127. collected by G. Wurdemannt; Tortugas (M.C.Z. 1); Key West (M.C.Z. 2); Big Pine Ker (UAN.M.1).
Alachue (o.: (Mich. 1).
Dural Co.: Dinemore (A.M.N.H. 1).
(ienroia: Charton Co.: Sardis Church (Eniv. Rochester, N. Y. 1).

```
Eummecesegragius onoweremis (*ope)
(Platu 31, Fig. 1; Fig. -t)
-NONYNH
```

 -2. 3 ftype description: tye hecality, Dummet's Plantatinh, IWorida; Mr. Maynard collector: type originally in the muspun of the Paboudy Academy of semences. Present focation manown).

 1-nt. 1. 15: Bonlenger, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 111, 1nat, P. 3su; Cope, Ann. Rept.
 in synmymy of coregius): Stajneger and Barhomr. Check List N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 2 (d El., 1923. p. is (part.) ; Loveridge. Coleia, No. 173. Jan. 16, 1930. n. 112 (part.: variation) ; Vian Ityning, Copeia, Nor. 1, Apr. 3, 1933. r. 5 (part.) (Fla.): Stejneger and Barbour. Check list N. Amer. Amph. Rept., 3ol Ell., 1933. pp. 80, 81 (part.).

History. In 1871 Cope described Plistodon onorrepis, the description based on a seerimen collected by Mr. Maynard, for the Peabody Academy of Science, at Dummet's Plantation, Florida. I have been unable to discover in what part of Florida this locality occurs.

The description is rather brief and seems to be in error in two points. The statement: "superciliaries only three" should probably read "supraoculars only three." The statement: "eight superior labials" should probably be "seven superior labials." since it is probable that he counted the rather large postlabial as the eighth.

Later, Cope (1900) placed onocrepis in the syonymy of egregius. Just why he did this is not wholly clear. sinee no comment is offered. I doubt that Cope ever compared the two forms directly. I regard it likely that when Cope was writing the account of egregius (1900) the original type of onocrepis had been lost; and the types of (gregius (if they were as I find them at the present time) were in such a state as to hide all characters of coloration.

The material available for the study of this form has not been -ufficient to obtain a clear picture of the range of the form, or to understand clearly the meaning of the variations that obtain.

From the specimens arailable it seems certain that onorrepis is confined to the mainland of Florida.

Diagnosis. Similar to effregius egregius, hut with the dorsolateral line- usually short, somewhat widened; if continuous, separated on back by four whole rows and two half rows of seales, the median scale rows not strongly enlarged. Lathals six or seven; two postmental; ; no postnasal; two pairs of chinshields; three supranculars: tail pink of orange in life.

Description of subspecies ifrom Califmenia Academy of Science.

No. 17992, Fruitland Park, Fla.; C. Brimley, collector). Portion of rostral visible above less than half the size of the frontonasal ; supranasals rather small, forming a median suture; frontoparietal much larger than a prefrontal, in contact with the anterior loreal and narrowly with the frontal; prefrontals smaller than the frontoparietals, the sutures with the frontonasal and frontal largest, those with first supereiliaries and loreals subequal; frontal longer than its distance from the end of the snout, touching two supraoculars (apparently a little shorter and wider than in egregius) ; frontoparietals longer than wide, in contact medially; interparietal larger than frontoparietals, not enclosed by the parietals; latter about twice as long as wide; two pairs of nuchals; nasal small, divided; anterior loreal much higher than posterior loreal; latter nearly rectangular; two presuboculars; three supraoculars; seven superciliaries, the anterior relatively vary large, the series broken by the upper, enlarged postocular which separates the sixth from seventh superciliary; a small preocular, followed by a few granules; three postruboculars: primary temporal wanting. Upper seconelary temporal large, subrectangular, boadly in contact with the sixth and serentla labials; the lower secondary absent ; tertiary temporal small, separated from ear by two scales: seven upper labials, four preceding the subocular. of which the first of the series is largest; subocular low, elongated, twice as long as high; the sixth and seventh upper labials of about equal size; one postlabial, separated from the minute ear by a large scale that partially overlies the auricular opering.

Mental large, followed by two postmentals; two pairs of chin--hields, the anterior pair in contact; second pair followed by an entarged postgenial. bordered internally by a scale longer than wide fon one side this seale is fused to the postgenial and the adjoining scale is wider than long); lower labials, five-six.

Ere small; the upper median palpebrals in contact with the superciliaries; lower lid with four enlarged plates, separated from the subocular by two or three rows of granules; ear minute, surrounded by eight or nine seales; seales on body in parallel series; the dorsals not strongly enlarged, the median dorsal rows only a little larger than those on sides; atsales about neck behind ear; on on narrower part of neck; $2 t$ in axillary region; 20 abont the middle of body. Subcaudal scales much widened, short (longitudinally'. Six preanals, the median pair much enlarged, the outer scales small, overlapping the imer scales; no differentiated lateral postanal sale.

Area of small seales in axilla murh lese than in deseribed -pecemen of fopegius: mone behind insertion of hind leg; outer wrist tuberela not strongly defined; a few enlarged coake on palm behind origin of fingers. Lamellar tormulat for fingers: 4 ; s: 11; 10; 7. Heel bordered by four or five larger sales outer latif of foot covered with large imbricate cates inner hali gramular. Lamellar formula for toes: $\quad$ : 7: 11: 14: R.

Pits on the seales dim for wanting). In egregime these are usually well defined in region on side of nerk. behind axilla, and in the porthumbeal and postiemoral regions.

Alpresed limbs widely separated even in yomgest epecimens: a separation of 18 mm. in larges specimens, to 4 mm . in smallest examined. When limbs are arlpresed.

Color in alcohol. Above, brown, with the color growing lighter posteriorly, becoming yellowish-orange on the base of the tail (greater part of tail missing) ; dorsolateral line begimning on rostral (wheh is practically all whitishl contimes back, as a somewhat diseontimuous, much widened line to the bark of the prefrontal. Here it harows and continues back on sirle of head and shoulder where it move from the serond to the third row of scales. It can be traed -ome distance on the back; it is separated from its fellow by four whole rows and two part rows of seales. The lateral line begins on rostral. continues nearly straight back to ear, the edges irregulat: behind ear it can be traced to above axilla where it becomes lost: below brownsh-yellow or vellow-flesh; the lower part of tail orange.

Fariation. The color varies considerably. The dorsal ground color is usually brown, but maty be light grayish with dark flerks. The dorsolateral line in trpical western and central Florida specimens. is widened on the shoulders, oreupying parts of two sale rows on the region above arm, and then tending to di-appear farther back, even in younger specimens; if it does not disappear it follows as an indistinct natrow line along the middle of the thim stale row. Oca-ional perimens show the line somewhat narrow on the anterior part. In most of the epecimens there is no disermible darker line following the dorsolateral or at most extending only a short distance on neck. The tails of all specimens show evidence of having been pink or orange in life. sometimes the dorsal pigment forms more or less distinct dotted lines which may continue on the tail.

Variation in sabation is no greater than is usual in the genus. The sales from parietal to above vent vary from 60 to 67 , the
Measurements of Eumpees egregins onorrepis (Cope)

higher numbers being present in the females, the average for all being 60.6 . The number of sale rows about boly is 18-20) the number ts occurring once (Royal Palm Beach), 응 oeeuring once (Lake Co.. Fla.) ffide Charles: Burt) and 2 () in all other counts (26). The upper lahials are six or seren; the arrangement 6 - 6 oceurs seven times: $\overline{7}-\overline{7}$, eight times: and $6-\overline{7}$, four times, in nineteen epetimens comted: the lower labials are $\overline{5}$, four oremring once. The seales around ear vary from cight to eleven, s occuring eight times; 9. thirteen times: 10, ten times, and 11, twice. The nuchals show two exceptions to the nomal two pais: in one the formula is $2-3$; in one $2-1$. The postmentals and dinshields appear to be invariable and the poztnasal is always absent. Frequently the last two labials are subequal. The superciliaries are either seven or eight, the later number being most frequent; two specimens have nine. The frontal is in contact with the frontonasal in all but a single specimen.

Lamellae under the fourth toe vary from 13 to 17 , the lower numbers being of most frequent occurrence. The supraoculars are three save in one foecimen from Petersburg. Fla.. which has four. The anterion superciliary rarely touches frontal.

Distribution. This formserms to be widely distributed in peninsular Florida. It occurs from coast to coast. east to west. It ap-


Fig. 84. Distribution of Eumeces eqregius eqregius (Baird), and E. . omorer pis (Cope), in Florida.
peas to overlap the territory orcupied by egregius in the east. Howarer, no typical egregius have been taken in the western part of Florita and no typical onocrepis have been taken in the keys. Whether the foms intergrade can only be po-itively determined by much larger series of specimens than are at present available; the probabilities are that they do, but my obeervations seem to show that the posibility of intergradation is not hevond doubt. Future -tudy may warmant specific recognition.

Locality records:
Furdia (A.M.N.H. 1):
Lake (o.: ( C . N.M. 3) (A.M.N.H. 1) ( M.C.Z. 3) ; Tavares (U.ふ.N.M. 2) ; Fruitland Park (C.A.s. 1) (Cornell 1).

Polk: Co.: Auburndale (U.S.N.M.4).
Dale ('u.: Lemon City (U'S.N.M. 3).
Bituard Co.: Georgiana (U.s.N. M. 4) (M.C.Z. 1).
Pinelas Co.: St. Petersburg (M.C.Z. 3) (Comell 4).
Hermendo ('o.: (room (M.C.Z. I).
Volusin (o.: Volusia (M.C.Z. 1).
Palm Beach Co.: Royal Palm Beach (M.C.Z. 1).
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Li-1. Plistordon striatus on 1 . sol
 Nones giten on the habite of the blue-taided skink. with a figure.
Alle, Elever. 1930. Beitrage zur Lurch- und Kreichtierfauna Kwangais: 5 , Eiderheon. Sitz. Bre. (ics Naturf. Fr. Berlin. 1930, pr. 326-331.
 Liber primus. 1645, 19. $589-692$, numerous umumbered plates. Bononiae, Nicolai Tebakdini.

Plate fage 6for). Lacerta Cyprius seincoides with the description and data 1r. 661-663. Thi- pate and draption in primarily the "type" of seincus cymins Cuvier.
Alland, H. A. 1909. Notes on some ralamanders and lizards of north Ceorgia. Science. SXX, 14. 129-124.

Notes on Eumer cts faccintus.
Allex. J. A. 1890. Notes on Massachuetts reptiles and batrachians. Proc. Bowton Soc. Nat. Hist., XIII, 11. 260-263.

Records Plestiodem foscintus.
Avorrons. John. 1871. On two sambian genera. Eurylepis and Plocederma Blyth, with a description of a new species of Maboula. Fitzinger. Proc. Aviatic Soc. Bengal. 1871, Pp. 186-187.

Gives a complate description of Mabonia tacniolata (Blyth) from the Salt Range. Punjab. The type description of Mabouia blythiana is given, hased on a secimen purchaned from : Bokhara merchant who stated that he obtained it at Amritzur.

- 1892. On a -mall collection of mammaks, reptiles and batrachans from Barhary. Proc. Zoil. Soc. Lonton, 1592. 14. 3-24.

Eumuces schur iderii (Daulin) listed from Duirat. Tunisia.

- 1896. A contribution to the herpetology of Arabia, with a pretiminary list of the reptiles and batrachians of Esypt. London, 1896. pe. 1-122.

Eumeres schme iffoii listed from Marsa Matro and Maryut District. Exym, on r 104.
1898. Zoïlogy of Egypt. Reptilia and Batrachia, London (Bernard (Quaritch), 1898, pif, i-lxi, 1-371, ple. I-L.

An excellent account is given of Eumeces schurdtrii. Sperimens are listed from Marsa Matru (about 150 miles west of Alesandria) and from the Marrut Distift. An excellent figure in color is given (pl. XXV.)
ANXAnme, Nelsox. 1905. Contributions to Oriental herpetology IbI. Notes on the Oriental lizards in the Indian Museum, with a list of species recorded from Britialt India and Ceylon. Part II. Joum. Awiatic roe. Bengal. May 190.5. I (new stries), No. 5, fp. 139-152.

Lists specimens of Eume ces blythiamus from Punjab?", Afridi District (p. 150): tat wiolutus from Pumab, salt Range: sentatus from sereral localitier: and setherid, rii.
Avoxraots 1917. Animal and plant life of oklahoma. Nklahoma (ivol. Sury. Cirr. 6. 1917. pr. 1-6s (Reptiles, pl. 34-35).

Atriseos. 1). A. 1902. The mptile of Allegheny comety. Pemeytrania. Annal: Carnegic Nus.., 1, 1901-1902. pp. 145-1.56.

Reeords: Eumeres jasciatus.
Atsitt. Simat Renefs, 1913. The reptiles of the san Jacinto area, of southern Califomiar. Unir. Calif. Publ, Zoill. NII. pr, 31-50.

Lists Eumerers stiltomianus and mentions a doubtind specimen of $E$. gitberti.

Bibcock. Hamold L. 1930. New England lizard recorls. Bull. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., No. 57, 1930, pp. 9-12.

Gives recoris for Eumecs fasciatus.
Bulley, Vervon. 1905. Biological survey of Texas. North Amer. Fauna, No. 25. 1905, pp. 1-222 (reptiles pp. 38-51).
E. birnimectus, guttulatus, obsolctus and quinquelineatus listed from Texas.

Bimbd, Spencer F. 1850. Revision of the North American Tailed-Batrachia, with descriptions of new genera and speries. Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., (2), I, 1847-1850, [1), 281-294.

Contains the type duscription of Plestiodon anthracinus; the type locality is North mountain, near Carlyle, Pa.
1857. Report of the reptiles collected on the surver (No. 3). Expl. Surv. R. R. Route Pacific Ocean, Zoöl.. Rept.. X. Pt. 4, 1853-1856, pp. 16-20. pr. 17, 18, 23, 24.

Plate 24, fig. 2, is of Plestiodon septontriomalis Baird. Plestiodon guttulutus reported from "Tpper Arkansus." This latter possibly refers to the Arkansas river rather than to the state. Plostiodon skiltonianus is also mentioned. In a footnote to the title page is a statement that No. 4 was published in 1859. while Nos. 1, 2 and 3 were published in 1857.
1858. Description of new genera and species of North American lizards in the mustum of Smithonian Institution. Pror. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1855. pp. 253-2.56.

This important paper contains the brief trpe descriptions of Plestiodon Ggregins, Indian Key, Florida, G. Wurdemann, type No. 3128; Plostiodon mormutus, Sand Mills of Platte (Neb.). Lt. Warren and Doctor Hayden, tspe No. 3110; Plestiodon liptogrammus, Platte River Valley (Neb.), Lt. Waren, Dortor Hayden, trpe No. 3119 ; Plestiodon septontrimulis, Minnesota and Nebrarka, Rey. S. W. Manney, type No. 1356; and Plestiodon tetretrammus, Lower Rio Grande (Matamoros, Tamaulipas, Mex.), Doctor Bertandior and Lt. Couch, type No. 3124; trpes in U. S. N. M.
1859. Report upon the reptiles of the route. Expl. surv. R. R. Route Pawific Ocean, Zoöl., Rept., X. Pt. 4, 1859, pp. 38-45, pls. 25-27.

Plestiodon ubsole tus histed from "Coal Creek, Arkansas," B. Nollhausen, and Plestionton fascietus from "Fort smith."

1859a. Reptiles of the boundary. U. S. Mex. Bound. Surr. under Col. IV. H. Emory, IH. Pt. 2, 1859. pp. 1-25, ple. 1-41.

Lists Plestiodon guttulatus with figures 20-28, plate 24; Plestiodon absolrtus with figures 9-16, plate 25 ; and Plestiodon tetragrammus.
Bard, Spencer F.. and Giramd, Charles. 1852. Characteristics of some new reptiles in the muscum of the Smithsonian Institution. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1852, pp. 68-70.

Contains the type description of Plestiodon Skiltonianus; type locality Oregon.

-     - 1852a. Characteristics of some new reptiles in the museum of Smithsonian Institution. Second part. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1852, pp. 125-12S.

Contains the type description of Plestioton obsoletum. The type locality is given as "Valley of the Rio San Pedro of the Rio Grande del Norte." Rio San Pedro is now Devil's river.
Barbocr. Thoms. 1909. Notes on amphibia and reptilia from eastern Asia. Proc. New England Zoöl. Club, IV, 1909, pp. 53-78.
1914. Notes on some reptiles from Sinai and Syria. Proc. New England Zoöl. Club, V, pp. 23-92.

Describes specimens of Eumeces schmiderii syriacus (Boettger) from Petra. Arabia.
1917. A mos regrettable tangle oi names. Oce. Papers Mus. Zosial. Unis. Mich.. Ňo. 4t. sopt 12, 1917, If, 1-9.

Comerns the date of publication of eretain deserpetions of Japancon




 fier. $16-21$.

Bram of Eumeta dugrionsis disensed on page 61. with a figure (text firs 16) on pate 62.
—— 1907. Contributions the the knowedee of syetematic arrangement and anatomy of certain genera amd abecies of sumata Proc. Zoïl soc. Lomdon. 1907. 3p, 35-68s.

Sote on intemal : matomy



Liste Eumbres fasciatros.
Bhemop. Sherma (. 191s. Notes on lizath of New Tork. Copeia, No. it. 1918. 1י1. 35-36.

Gives weords of Eumeces anthracions and notes that the record of Lefolopismu lutciole from New York was lased on a specimen of $E$. antheracimes.
1526. Reends of some amphibians and reptiles from kenturky. Copeia. No. 152. 1926. 11. 115-120.

Record- Eume eas fusciatus fron. Breathitt comntr: Kentucky.
Blachard. Fraxk N. 1922. The amphibians and reptiles of westem Tennesse. Oce. Papers Mus. Zö̈l. Unis. Mich., No, 117. 1929. 111. 1-18.

Notes on Plestionton fasciatus. Two of the repecimens listed from near Henry are inticeps.
—— 1923. The amphibians and reptiles of Dickinson county, Iowa. Unis. lowa Studie Nat. Hist., X.. No. 2. נp. 19-26.
E. sepetentrimalis is listed.
—— 1924. A collection of amphibians and reptiles from southeastern Missouri :and wuitern Illinois. Papers Mich. Acad. Sei. Art- Letters. IV', 1924. 111.533-541.

Notes on Eume ces fusciatus. Nos. 58338 and 5833 are lutiefps.
1925. A collection of amphbians and reptifes from southern Indianat and adjacent Kentucky. Papers Mich. Acad. Sici. Arts Letters. V. 192.5.


Localitise given for Eumecrs fasciutus.
1999. Amphibians and reftiles of Dotagats Lake region in northern Michigan. Copela, No. 167, June 2s, nf. 12-51.

Locality record given for Eumeces fastiatus.
Bhanmod. IV. T. 18:5. Jomm, Asiatic soe Bengal, NLIV (n. .). Pt. II. No. 3. 1].191-196.

Lists Eumeses tafmislatus on the Forsyth Mission.
1876. An account of the journeys of the Persian Boundary Commission 1570-71-72. Zö̈logy ant geology, VII. pr. 1-516.

On page 35 are given interesing data on Eumbers patimentathes Geoff-DeFilinsi, with the Bollowine locality record: Pishim, Bahuchistan: Sarjan, southwes of Karman, southern Persia; and near Niriz, east of Shitaz, 4.000-6.000 feet.
1579. Second Yarkamd Mission Report, Reptilia and Amphibia. Calcutta, 4to. 1p. 1-26. ple 1-2. (1s75.)

Report- and dewribos a large specimen of Enmeces tarmiolatus from Chakoti, on the road from Mari to stmagar, in Fiahmir (p. 19).

Rlatomley, IV. S. 1891. Notes on the reptiles and batrachians of Vigo county, Indiana, Joum. Cincinnati soc. Nat. Hixt., XIV, 1891, up. 22-35. Eumeces fasciatus listed.
Beeker. P. 1858. Roptilien ran Jaran. Natuurk. Tijrschr. Nederland. Indie., XVI, 1858, IP, 204-205.

Lists Plestiodon quinquineatus ( $=$ Enmeces latiscntatus) from Japan (p). 204).

Blyth, E. 1854. Notices and descriptions of various reptiles, new or littleknown. Journ. Asiatic soc. Bengal, XXII, w. 639-655.

Contains the type deseription of Ilestiodon quadritineatum, collected by J. C. Bowring in China. presumably Hongkong.
1854. Report of the curator, Zoölogical Department, for September. Joum. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, XXIII, pr, 739-740.

The trpe dexription of the genus Eurylepis, and the type species, turmiolatus; described from a specimen from the Sialt Range. Punjab, collecterl by W. Theobald.
Boonert, F. 1879. Recherches Zoölogiques pour servir a l'histoire de le Faunt de l'Amerique Central et du Mexique; Etudes sur les Reptiles et los Batraciens. Mins. Sici. Mex. et Amer. Cent., Partie Troisieme (Paris, 1870), Livaison VI, pp, 361-440, pls, 21-22, 22A, 22B, 22C, 22D.

This livation contains descriptions and figures of several species of Eumeres. The type description of Evmeces caprito (pl. 22D, figs. 8, 8a, Sb, $8($ c), with the type locality as "lat cote oriontal des Etats-Unis," appeurs; also of Eumeces ohtusirostris (page 423, 11. 22D, figs. 1, 1a, 1b), the "type description" in Tableau synoptique, the type locality Texas; Eumeces hallouelli (p), 22E, figs, 7, 7a), Califomia; Enmeces callicephalus (pl. 22D, fiys. 2, 2a, 2b, 2c. and pl. 22E. fig. 2), "Guanajuato Mexique."

Avide from the trpe descriptions are given deseriptions of E brevionstris (pl. 22A, figs. 7, 7a, 7b, and h. 22E, fig. 1a) ; E. lymxe (pl. 22E, figs. 9, 9a, 9b, 9火, 9d): E. latireps (pl. 22D, figs. 6, 6a, 61)) : E. quinquelineatus (pl. 22 E, figs. 10, 10a, 10b, 10c) ; E. skiltomiemus (11, 22A, figs. 3, 3a, 3b, and pl. 22E, fig. 3). Eumotes sinensis, E. Japenicus, E. sumichrasti and E. pulchra are noted.
1881. Ibid. Livaison VII, 1p. 441-488, Ms. 22E. 22F, 22G, 22H. 22I, 22J.

Contains a complete docription of Eumees obtusirostris, whose type description must be considered as appearing in the preceding livraison, as well as figures of the same. Eumeces wbsoletus (ph. 22A, figs. 4, ta, and pl. 22D, fig. 4) is also deacribed.
Boettier, Oscar. 1873. Die Reptilien und Amphibien Marokkos und der Camaren. Abh. Nonckenb. Nat. Ges.. LX. 1873, pp). 121-170 (also issued as is separate, 1874 , 4to, pp. 1-71, ph.).

Eumeers pavimotritus (non (ieoffroy) redescribed from Morocco, p. 140 (Separate. P. 20).
1874. Reptilion von Marokko und ron den Camarisehen Inseln - 1. Uderwidht der ron den Itern Dr. C. ron Fritech und Dr. J. J. Rein im Jahre 1872 in Marokko gesammelten Reptilien. Abh. Senckenb. Nat. Ges.,

1879. Reptilien und Amphibien Japam. Offonbach. Ver. Naturk., 1718, Bericht Mitth.. 1878, p. S.

List- Eumeces (Plestiodon) japonicus, p. 4.
1880. Die Roptilien und Amphibion von Syrien. Palaestina und Cy -


18s1. Liate dor von Herm Dr. Wr. Kuhelt in der Prov, Oran. Algerien geammelton Wreichthiere. Ber. Emekenl. Nat. Ges., 1880-1881, mp. 144-147. List- Eumores pmiomontatus.
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Practically a repetition of data in prevous paper of 1881.
Lsome Materialen zur hemetoberischen Fama rom（＇hina I．Offen－ buch．Vor．Naturk．24－25 Bericht．．1sis2－1set．pp．115－170．

The Whbemin chimesis listed and deseriberl（1．144）is Eame cos rlogoms Boul．in pirt．
－－－1886．Die Roptilien und Amphibien des Talyech－Cebtiotor．In Radde． Fama und Flom dess．W．Capi－Gebiotes，Lemzig．

Eumbers perimentatus listed on pr 57.
－18ns．Aufzhlung emiger nou ervothener Reptilien und Batrachier aus Det－Awh．Ber．Enckent．Naturi．（ies．．1888，pr，185－190．

Enmeres murgimatus listed from O－shima，Liukiu－Inseden，p． 188.
—— 1ss8a．Die Reptilien und Batrachier Transkarpiens．Zoäl．Jahoh．III．今rt：（1868）pp，851－9：2．

Eutures sethuth rif listed on p． 918.
－1892．Wiswnshaftliche Ergehmise der Rove Dr．Jean Valentine im Sommer 1890．I．Kreichentiere der Kakksusander．Ber．Sonckenb．Naturf． Ges．．1592，川⿲．131－163．

Reports Eume ces schnciderii from Posten Bartas．p． 14 ．
1892 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ．Liste fon Fireichthieren und Lurehen ans dem tropischen Asien 11．aus Papuasien．Offenb．Ver．Naturk．．1857－1891，Mp．65－164．

Lists Eumeres rlyans Boul．（1，102）from Cambodi：1（Cambotga），with the statement，＂jung，neu für Cambodga．＂

1893．N：atalog der Reptilien－Sammlung im Senckenbergirchen Natur－ forechenden Cowellechaft in Frankfurt am Main．Frankfurt．Teil I，fp，i－x， 1－140．

Numernus pecies listed．
－1894．Materialen zur herpetologischen fama ron Chima IH．Ber． Senckenb．Natur．（ien m．129－152，pl． 2.

Gives beatity recomb for certain Chinese－pejes－Eume ces regans （Chapu，neat Ningpo）and Eumects chimrnsis（pr） 136 and 144）．
－1895．Neme Frozehe und sichlangen von den Liukiu－Inseln．Jahreab． Offenh．Ver．Naturk．，36，1895，Ir，101－117．

Eumeres marginatus is reported from several localitio．
－1899．Ruptilia atrachia，in Radde．Die Sammungendes Kaukasi－


List：Eumeres schemeifloui on 1． 282.
Bogert，Charles M．1930．An amonated list of the amphibians and reptiles of Los Angeles county，California．Bult．South．Calif．Acold．sid．．XXIX． 1930，P＇t．1．pl．3－11．

Liste Eumers shillomiomus．
Boxd．Harley I）．1931．Some amphibians and reptiles of Monangalia county， Weat Virginia．Copela，1931，No．2．July 20．in，53－54．

Enomeres fasciatus listed（ 1 ） 54 ）as ratre．
Borivis，Alice M．1932．A list of Fukien Amphihia and reptiles．First Ann． Rep．M．B．A．C．，1932．119．99－121．

Fatmenes chim nsix and E．chenens listed with locality meords．

Boring, Alfe M.. Lit, Chenf-Chao, she- Ch've Chow. 1932. Handbook of Nortl China Amphibis and reptiles. Handbook 3, Peking Nat. Hist. Bull., Aug., 1932, ]p. 1-64.

Eumeres petimensis listed and figure given, on p. 58.
Boylevger, Cembe Albert. 1883. Descriptions of new species of lizards and frogs collected by Herr A. Forrer in Mexico. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (5), NI, May 1883, pp. 342-344.

Eumecs bocourti is desribed as new from Presidio. This name being preocoupiod, it was changed by Boulenger to Eumeces humilis (Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., III, 1857, p. 376).

185\%. Catalogue of the lizands in the British Musemm of Natural History. Ficond Edition. London, III, pp. i-xii, 1-575, ple. I-XL.

Treats of 31 species of the genus Eumbees.
-I I889. On the reptiles and batrachians obtained in Maroceo by M. Henry Yaucher. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6), III, 1889, pp. 303-307.
1890. Fauna of British India, incluting Ceyton and Burma. Reptiles and Batrachians. London, Sro, 1890, pp. i-xviii. 1-541, 142 figs.

Treats of the four Indian species. Eumects scutatus (Theobald), Eurnces lurnolutus (Blyth). Eumoces blythiruus (Anderson) and Eumects schnciderii (Daudin) (pp. 21S-219).

- 1s90n. First report on the additions to the lizard collection in the British Museum. Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London. 1890, pp. 77-85.

Lists the type of Eumeces xanthe, and Eumects brevilineatus is mentioned from Texas.

- 1891. Notes on Transcaspian reptiles. Proc. Zoäl. Soc. London, 1891. pp. 62S-633.

Eumeces scututus (Theobald) is redescribed from specimens from Puli Hatun. Tranecaspia.
-_ 1892. Descriptions of new reptiles and batrachians from the Loo Choo Islands. Ann. Mage. Nat. Hist.. (6), X, 1892. pr. 302-304.

Eumeces marginatus is reported from Okinawa.
—— 1893. Reptilia and Batrachia. Zoöl. Record, 1893, pp. 1-38.
Notes that Platypholis is preoccupied by Boulenger, 1890. Makes Eumeces rocirosar a synonym of lymxe (erroneously).
1894. Second report on additions to the lizard collection in the Natural History Museum. Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London, 1894, pp. 722-736.

Eumecrs schurntzii Fisch. listed from "West Indies (Christiana Mus)."
-_ 1995. Reptiles and batrachians of Bathary. Trans. Zoöl. Soc. London, 1895. pp. 93-165.

Locality records for Eumeces schneiderii (Datudin) in Tunis are given.
___ 1898. List of species new, or previousty unrepresented. of which specimens hase been added to the collections since 1894. Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London. 1598. ]1, 912-924.

Eumeces blythians. (Anderon) is listed from Afridi country, Green Coll.
1899. On a collection of reptiles and batrachians made by Mr. J. D. Latouche in N. W. Fukien, China. Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London, 1899, pp. 159-172, ple. XVI-XIX.

Eumects eleqans listed.
Brady, Matrice. 1927. Notes on the reptiles and Amphibia of the Dismal Swamp. Copeia, No. 162, 1927, pp. 26-29.

Records eqgs of Eumeres faseritus.
Brimley, C.S. 1905. Notes on the food and feeding habits of some American reptiles. Journ. Eli-ha Mitchell Sci. Soc., XXX, 1905, pp. 149-155.

Eumeres fasciatus is discussed.
——_ 1926. Rovied key and his of the amphibians and reptiln of North Carolina. Journ. Elisha Mitchell sci. Soc., XLII, Nos. 1-2, 1926, pp, $\overline{5}-93$.

Eumuese anthracinus listed from Pisgals Forest; abso E. foscintus.
Brows. Abther Erwis. 1902. A collection of reptiles and batrachians from Bornen and the Loo Choo Islands. Proc. Acad. Xat. Ari. Phila.. 1902, pp. 175-186.

Reports Eumbers marginatus from Ooshima and Okinawa.

- 1903. Texas reptiles and the ir faunal relations. Proce Acad. Nat. sici. Phila. 1903. pr. 543-55s.

Lists Texas specio of Eumects.

- 1904. Post-glacial Nearctic centers of dimersal of reptiles. Proc. Acard. Nat. Sci, Phila., 1904. np. 464-474.

Eumects is placed in the Lower Sonoran Zone.
—— 1908. Generie types of Nearetic Reptilia and Amphibia. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1908, pp. 112-127.

Erroneously states that the name Eum cescannot be used for this genus.
Bumpes. H. C. 1885. Reptiles and batrachians of Rhode Island. Random Noter on Natural History. Providence. R. I., Vol. II, No. 2, p. 13.
Beraetr. W. L. 1932. A new skink for Colorado. Copeia, 1932, No. 1, Apr.. p. 37 .

Reports E. fasciatus from Fort Collins ( $=E$. multivirgutus).
Blekt. Charles E. 192-. An annotated list of the reptiles and amphibians of Riley county, Kansas. Oce. Papers Mus. Zoöl. Univ. Mich.. No. 189, 1927. pp. 1-9.

Eumoces obsoletus and guttuletus listed. Expresses the opinion that the latter is the young of the former.

- 192s. Insect food of Kansas lizards, with notes on feeding habits. Journ. Kiansas Ent. Soc.. I, No. 3, 1928, pr. 50-68.

Food habits of the Kansas Eumeces.
—_1928a. Lizards of Kansas. Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis, XXVI, No. I, 1929. pp. 1-81. distributional maps.

Discusses Kansas species of Eumeces with maps showing their distribution in the state. The following species are treated: anthracinus, fasciatus, multivirgatus, obsoldtus, and septentrionalis. Eumeces guttulatus is phaced as a synonym of obsoletus.
—— 1929. A key to the species of lizards definitely reported from Kansas. Privately printed. pp. 1-2.

List Eumeces species of Kansas.
—— 1929a. The synonymy, variation and distribution of the somoran skink, Eumeces obsolt tis (Baird and Girard). Oce. Papers Mus. Zoöl. Univ. Mich., No. 201, June 17. 1929.

Excellent notes given on Eumeces obsolrtus. Identification of two -pecimens mentioned by Cope are incorrect. No. 9231 is Eumeris callicrphelus, from Gila river, Arizona, and No. 3162 is E. obsoldtus, from Matamoros, Mexico.
1932. Elimination of Eume ces fosciatus from the Colorado famal list. Copeia, 1932. No. 2. July 1, p. 104

Enomects fasciatus Burnctt $=$ E. multivirgatus.
> —— 1933. A collection of amphibians and reptites from somthem Minomur Amer. Midland Naturalist. NIV. Mar. 1933. No. 2. pp. 170-173.

> Eumbets foscratus? from Mountain View, Howell county, is listed.

—_ 1933u. some distributional and ecological records of Kansas reptiles. Trans Kians. Acad. sci. 1933. Vol. 36. pp. 186-20s.

Records anthracinus, fasciatus, obsoldus and septentrionalis.

Bukt，Chales E．．and Burt，Miy Danifem．1929．A collection of amphibi－ ams and reptiles from the Misiswippi Valley，with field observations．Amer． M11s，Nor．，No．381，pp．1－14．

Eumeces whed ths reported from Marion county，Kansas：E．septen－ triomalis from near Karney，Neb．；E．fasciatus from Leesville，La．

1929a．Field notes and locality records on a collection of amphibians． and repties．chiefly from the westem hali of the United States．Journ． Wash．Acarl．sci．．XIX．pp．428－460．

Eumu ces obsold the recorded from Haddam，W＇ashington county，Kansas．
Cams，Alma R．1929．The herpetology of Wamesha county，Wisconsin． Copeia，1929，No． 170, April，pp．4－8．

Lists Emmens fasciatus as＂rare．＂
Culabresi．Exrict 1923．Mission Zoologica del Dr．E．Festa in Cirenaica． Boll．dei Mus．Zool．Anat．Comp．Univ．Torino，NXXYII（n．s．），N． 7 ． pp．1－2s．

Reports collections of Enmeres schmiderii（Dandin）at Bengasi and Tobsuk．
Camp．Charles Liwis 1916．The suhspecies of Selopmins occientalis，with description of a new form from the Sierra Nevada，and systematic notes on other Califorma lizards．Univ．Calif．Zoöl．，XVII，1916，pp．63－74．

The authou emoneonsly refers Eumoces ailberti Van Denburgh to the smonymy of E．skiltomiames．
－1923．Clasification of the lizards．Bull．Amer．Mus．Nat．Hist．， XLX゙III，Art．XI．1923，年．289－435，figs．1－112．

Notes on skull bones．
Cistor．Themore．1842．Cieneral features of Chusan，with remarks on the fanmand florat of that island．Ann．Mag．Nat．Hist，（I），IX．

Tyoe description given of Tiliqua mo－quttuta．
Catesby，Mare 1751－1754．The metural history of Carolina，Florida，and the Bahama Islames．London， 1751 and 1754． 2 vols．．．folio．col．pls．1－120．

Lacorta comde cormene，which is figmed in Tol．II，11．67．is the basis of Limacus：Lacerta fasciata．

A Latin and Cioman odition mblishod in Nuremburg hears the title ＂Piscium of Serpentimm inagines quat Marens Cate by tradidit 1750－1777．＂ in 2 rols．，folio．pls．1－109．
Chanc，Mmiven L．Y．1932．Notes on a collection of ieptiles from Szechuan． Cont．Biol．Lab．Sci．Soc．China，Ylll，Zoïl．Ser．，No．2，pp．9－95，fig． 4.
（iives a complete description of Eumects legras，and list，the form from Chung kiang，and Kiating in sechwan．
Conkerell，T．I）．A．1s96．Reptiles and batrachians of Mesilla Valley，Newn Mexien．Amer．Nat．．Apr．1896．pp．325－327．

Eumeces obsoletus mentioned on P．326．with no more data than that they whe not am near the college near Lan Cruces．
－1927．The zoühogy of Colorado．Univ．Colo，Semicent．Series（Denver， Coln．）．Vol．I11．1927．1川．1－262．

Mentions Eumeces multivirgatus and obsoletus．
Cocteat．1837．Tabl．Srnopt．Sicinc．
Euprepis do Catculy mentioned．
Conwt．Rower．1930．Fiell notes ef a collecting trip．Bull．Antivenin Inst． of Amer．，IV．No．3．1930．pp．60－64．

Lists locality record－for Eumecos fassictus．Certain of these refer to other specier．
Cork．Loneszo．1930．Notes on an Arizona elegans occidentalis．Copeia， Dee．1930．No．4．1． 158.

F．skiltomimnus mentioned from near the International Boundary Monu－ ment No． 258.



On page 320 in given the typ lexription of Plextiodom lomaionstis



 [ of Moxico]."

- 1s66. ()n the Reptilia and Batrachia of the sonoran frovince of the


Lists Plistodom obsold thes and guttulutus.
—— Incie. Fifth contribution to the herpetology of tropical America. Pane Acad Nat sci. Phila., 1N66. fy. 317-323.

Contains the trpe deserption of Eumeres sumichersti. The trye was collected by sumichast, at "Orizara," Mexion.
-_- 1nil. Catalogue of reptilia and batradna ebtained by C. J. Maynart


Tyen duaription of Plistodom inomepris from Dummet- plantation. Fonvida, is included. The Plistoforn limentus listed is probably a lapshs for quimqulineatus.
wis. (heckliot of North American tratrachians and reptiles. Buth



 amd lompirostris.

1-7:. On some new and little known reptiles and fishes from the Autroripatan Region. Prox, Amer. Philos. soc., XVII, June 187\%-June


Eume es unthracimus variety $(=E$. phatalis Cope) is reportod, and Eumeres strintus (probably a lapsus for fosciatus) is listed from Volusia, Florida.

- 18s0. On the zoölogical porition of Texats. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus.. No. 17. 14ヶ0. 1中. 1-52.

Trie thexriptions are given of Eumiess epiplturntis from the northembumbary of Texas and from Nebraska, pp. 10-41: Eneme ess pachyurns from near Dallas. Tex.: and Eumores plurialis (footnote to pr 19) from near Mobile Ala, E. obsoltus i- reportad from Helotes, Tox. Specimen. from Douglas countr. Kamsas, are deseribed and varation moted. Eumeres brovilumetus is noted from Helotes ereek. 20 miles northwest of san Antonio. Texa and Eumeres fasciatus is listed with a staternent that Eumbes ervthoct platus, quinqualimatus and fuscintus ane forms wit the *itue Fiectise according to Proferor Baird.

Wha. Notes on the evographical dietribution of Batrachia and Poptilia
 (iver- Jocality record for Eum er se stiltomianus.
sas. Twelth contribution to the herpetolowe of tropinal America. Proe Amer Philus soc.. XXII, re, 167-194.



 Philos. Soc.. XXII, w. 379-104.

Derephe Ebumere botrostris var. from either the Valley of Mesion or the adfacent one of Toluca. This is Emmeres copo Tavlon. Nentions

1887. Catalogue of the reptiles and batrachians of Central America and Mexico. Bull. U'. S. Nat. Mus., 32, 1857. pu. 1-96.

Lists Eumeres breviostris, giving the published locality records. The locality "Yalleys of Mexico or Toluca" is in reference to specimens of Eumeces copei Tarlor. E. bocourti, E. dugesi. E. schuartzei, E. Iynxe, E. sumichorsti, E. cullicephalus and E. furcirostris are listed. E. obsoletus is reported from the city of Chihuahua.

- 1892. The ostcology of the Lacertilia. Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc., XXX, Арг. 1892, No. 138, fr. 185-220, pls. 2-6.

The osteology of Eumeces obsolitus and fascintus is discussed, pp. 213-215.

- 1892a. The Batrachia and Reptilia of northwestern Texas. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1892, pu). 331-336.

Reports Eumeces obsoletus from Big Spring. Texas.

- 1896. The geographic distribution of Batrachia and Reptilia in North America. Amer. Nat., 1896, pp. 886-902; Dec., 1896, p1). 1003-1026.

Discusses the distribution of the screral known species of Eumeces in America.
1900. Crocodilians, lizards and snakes of North America. Rept. U. S. Ňat. Mus., 1895. pp. xi-xviii, 155-1270, pls. 1-36, text figs, 1-347.

Pages 624-665 deal with the genus Eumeces of the United States, with keys including the Mexican species. Fourteen American species are described, with thre new varieties: Eumeers quinquelineatus polygrammus, from Colonel's Isand. Ga.: E. skiltomianus amblygrammus from Fort Humboldt, Calif.; and E.skiltomiamus brovipes from Fresno, Cal.
Cormagton, Juman D. 1927. Field notes on some amphibians and reptiles from Biloxi, Miss. Copeia, 1927, No. 165. pp. 98-102.

Recorls Eumeces fasciatus as common.

- 1929. Herpetology of the Columbia, South Carolina, region. Copeia, No. 172. 1929, 11). 58-83.

Notes on Eumects fasciatus.
Coles, Elliot. 1875. Synopsis of the rejtiles and batrachians of Arizona with eritical and field notes, with an extensive synonymy. Rept. Geog. \& Geol. Expl. Sury west 100th Merid., under Lt. Wheeler, V, Zoôlogy, pp. 585-633, pls. NTI-XXV.

Eume'cas obsolctus listed.
Coces. Ellot, and Yarrow, H. C. 187s. Notes on the herpetology of Dakota and Montana. Bull. U. S. Ceol. \& Geog. Survers Terr., IV, No. 1, 1878. 1p. 259-291.

Lists Eumrces septentrionalis on page 278 .

- 1878. Notes on the natural history of Fort Macon, N. C.. and vicinity. No. 4. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila.. 1878, pp. 21-28.

Eumeces fasciatus listed.
Cragne. F. W. 1880. A preliminary eatalogue of Kansas reptiles and batrachians. Trans. Kans. Acad, Sci., V'II, 1879-1880, pp. 112-119.

Mention: Eumeces scptentrionalis from Xrosho Falls; E. obsoletus, Douglas county: E. guthulatus, Manhattan; and E. fasciatus, Fort Riley.
-_ 1880a. Supmementary list comping whecies now known as extralimital but more or less likely to be found in Kan-as. Trans. Kansas Acad. Sci., VII. 1879-1880. pr. 119-120.

Lists Eumeces multivirgatus, If ptogrammus, and inornatus.
-_ 1885. Second contribution to the herpetology of Kansas with observations on the Kansar fauna. Trans. Kimsas Acad. Sci., IX, 1883-1884, pp. 136-140.
E. fasciatus listed, and E. multivirgatus reported from Trosho Falls, p. 138. This latter is probably septentriometis.

Lsim. Reent additions to the list of Kansas reptiles and batrachians, with further mota on -pectes perimaty reported. Bull. Washburn College Lah, Nat. Mist.. I. 15s. No. 3. rp. 100-103.

Reports Eumb cos multivirgutus and E. fasciutus. Virtually the same data wiven as the preceding paper presents.
Cumer. (ifomifs. Lse9. Reque Animal, 2d Edition. II., 3d Ed. "(iriffiths," Is31.

Trpe description of Scincus cypmius. p. 62.
Czerson. S. 1926. Sur lat comaisance de lat herpetologitur d'Armenie et de la contre du Nakhiczevan. Bull. Sci. de l'Inst. Expl. Reg. du C'ancase du Sord. V. 1926, pp. 63-72.

Eumeces schncideri reperted foom the Cancasus.
 pens. Vol. II of l'Encyelop. Method.

Mentions Lézard à queue bleue and Le lézard strić.
Dacose. F. MI. 1802. Historie Naturelle des Reptiles. Vols. I-Yill. Vol. IV (1402-1,03).

Type description giwen of Seincus schncidroï. p. 291. Treats of seincus quinquelimatus (p, 272. pl. LV. fig. 1): Scincus latiof ps (p. 301); and scincus tristatus (p. 292), with deseriptions and discussion.
I)arid. Armand. 18,2. Jommal dim voyage dans le Centre de la Chine et dans le Thibet Orimtal. Nour. Arch. Hist. Nat. Paris, VIII, Bull., 1872. np. 3-137.

Mentions Eumeers quadritineatus.
__ 15is. Journal de mon Troivis me Voyage dExploration dans IEmpire Chinois. I and II. Paris.

Mentions Eumeces quadritincatus.
Davis, N. S.. and Rice. F. L. 1883. List of the Batrachia and Reptilia of Illinow. Bull. Chicago Acad. Sici.. I. No. 3, 1883, pp. 23-32.

Records Eumrcos obsolftus from central and southern Illinois. These are very doubtful records. Also E. fnsciatus.

1883a. North American Batrachia and Reptilia fomed east of the Missisippi river, Illinois State Lab. Nat. Hirt. Bull.. V, 1883, pp. 1-64.

Lists the following species with short deveriptions: st ptentrionalis, agegins, onompris, whthiacims and fascintus. The record of $E$. obsoletus for Illinoi (Forbes) is probably incorrect.
Deckert. Pichard. 191S. A list of reptiles from Jacksonville. Fla. Copeia, No. it. rp. 30-33.

List~ I'lestiodon fasciatus.
Delis, Jumes E. 1842. Zoölogy of New York, or the New York fama, Part 3. Peptilia and Amphibia. Albany, 1842. pp. 1-98. pls, 1-23.
scomons fasciatus described with figure (pl. S. fig. 17). Notes on extralimital species are given.
Derivaex. Ki. M. 1901. [Materiale for the hempetology of S. W. Tranerappia and the meiohborhood of Trebizond]. Rusaian text. Ann. Mus. St. Petersb. VI, pp. 8t-111.

- 1905. [A note nn lizards and snakes from the Transcaspian province]. Rursian text. Proc. St. Petmeburg Naturalists Soc.. XXXVI, Pt. 1.
E. scutatus listed from Andera. Transcaspia.

De Stefano Ci. 1903. I Sauri del Querey appartenenti alla collezione Rossignol. Atti. Mus. Milan, XLII, pp. 3s2-417, pls. IX, X.

Plestiodon cadurcensis Filhol. (fossil).
Difuars. R. L. 1903. Obervations on lacertilians. Amn. Rept. N. Y. Zoöl. Sone, for 1903. VIII, pp, 146-160.

Notes on incubation of egge in Eumucrs quinqurlincatus.
33-1123
1915. The reptile book. Doubleday, Page Co.. 1915, pp, 1-472.

Treats in detail many American species. The following appear: Eumeces multivirgatus, quinquelincatus, leptogrammus, wbsoletus, skiltomianus, gitberti, septentrionalis, egregius, enthracinus, tetragremmus, phuvialis, pathyurus, brevilincatus and guttulatus. Several species are figured.
Doederlein, L. 1881. Die Liu-Kiu Insel Amami Oshima. Mitth. Deutsch (1):t-Asions Cies., III (1880-1854), pp. 140-156.

Also issucd as a separate in lokohama, according to Stejneger, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus.. 5s, p. 535.

Reports: Enmeces quinquclineatus from Amami Oshima.
Dou mergue, F. 1901. Essai sur la faume Erpétologiqu de l'Oranie, avec des tableaux analytiques et des notions pour la détermination de tous les Reptiles et Batraciens du Maroc, de l'Algérie et de la Tunisie. Bull. Soc. Ceogr. Archeol. Oran, XIX-XXI, 1899-1901, 27 pls. (Also separately issued, pp. 1-404.)

Gives Eumeces algeriensis meridionalis (pl. NVI, fig. 3) from Prov. Oran and Morocco.
Dondorfr. 1795. Zoölogische Beitrage, III, p. 120, No. 24, and p. 122, No. 40. Lacerta quinquelincata and Lacerta fasciata.
Dugès, Alfieloo. 1870. Catálogo de animales Vertebrados observados en la República Mexicana. La Naturaleza, I, 1869-1870, pp. 137-145.

Lists Plesthiodon (sic) quinquelineatum. Aqujilla, Salananquesa and Zetzancoatl, given as the common names.
1884. Dos reptiles de Mexico. La Naturaleza, (1), VI, 1882-1884, pp. 359-362, pl. IX, fig. 1a.

Redescribes Eumeces dugesii Thominot from new material in his own collection. The species is figured in colors at natural size.
1889. Francisco Hernandez, La Naturaleza, (2), I, pp. 282-288.

Dugès states that the native name Tetzauhcoatl published by Hernandez in "Nora Plantarum, animalium, etc." Rome, MDCLI, for a Mexican lizard, refers to Eumeces lynxe.
-_ 1891. "Eumeces altamirani A. Dug." La Naturaleza, (2) I, 1887-1890, 1p. 485-486, 11. NXII.

Describes as new Eumcces altamirani from "Las regiones cálidas del Estado de Michoacán. He also proposes the generic designation Platypholis for the species.
1897. "Eumeces rovirosate A. Dug." La Naturaleza, (2), II, 1897, pp. 298-299. 11. XIII.

Eumeces rovirosar is described as new from "Mineral de Santa Fé, Chiapas." This is a young specimen of Eumeces sumichrasti Cope.

- 1597a. Lista de atgunos reptiles y batracios de Tabasco y Chiapas. La Naturaleza, (2), II, pp. 375-377.

Lists Eumeces rovirosae from the type locality.

- 1897h. Reptiles y batracios de los E. U. Mexicanos. La Naturaleza, (2), II, 1836, pp. 497-485.

Eumeces altamirani listed from Apatzingan (Michoacán), presumably the type locality, the specimen referred to being the type and the only specimen known; E. dugesi, from Chiapas, Tanganciquaro, Patambán; $\dot{E}$. rovirosae, frons the type locality; E. lynxe, from Guanajuato; Plesthiodon (sic) callicephalum, from several lecalities.
Dumérll, Aug. Notice Historique sur la Menagerie des Reptiles du Museum d'Mistoire Naturelle. Arch. du Museum, VII. pp. 193-319.

Plestiodon aldrovandii listed on p. 219.
Dumírit, A. M. C., and Bibron, G. 1839. Erpétologie général ou Histoire Naturelle complète des Reptiles, Y. 1830. pp. 1-8.55..

Of the three species which Wiegmann placed in his Eumoces, these authors choose a type, Scincus panctatus, and place the form Scincus pavimentarus as presumably the type of a new subgenus, Plestiodom. This
form is treated under the name plestiondon aldonamdii．Four other forms are recognized：$P$ ．laticeps，sinensis，quinquelineatum and pulchrum．
 Collection des Roptiles du Masom d＇Histome Xaturelle de Paris．Paris， 1851．1p．1－224．

The following species are included：Plestiodon aldiozandii，Plestiodun Iaticeps，$P$ ．sinense，$P^{\prime}$ ．quinquelincatum，$P^{\prime}$ ．pulchrom．
Dexs．Emaet Rem．1915．List of the amphibians and reptile observed in the summers of 1912， 1913 and 1914 in Nelson county，Virqini：Copeia， No．15，May 15， 1915 ，pp．5－7．
－1917．Reptile and amphibian collections from the North Carolina mountains，with special referener to alamanders．Bull．Amer．Mus．Nat． Hist．．N゙ざざVII，1917．pp．593－634，pls．LVII－LNI．

Gives records of Plestiodon quinqualincatum．
1918．A preliminary list of reptiles and amphibians of Virginia． Copeia．No． 53,1918 ，pp．16－27．

Locality records for Plestiodon fasciatus．
1920．some reptiles and amphibians irom Virginia，North Carolina， Temmessee and Alahma．Proc．Biol．Soc．Wahhington．XXXIII，1920，pp． 129－138．

Locality records for Plcstiodon fasciatus．
1933．A new lizard from Nicaragua．Proc．Biol．Soc．Wash．，ŇLTT， Mar．24，1933，pp．67－6S．

Eumeers managun is described as new from a specimen collected on the ariation field at Managua．Nicaragua．by Jame：H．Iry．
Dunn．Emnet Reid．and Emlen．John T．，1932．Rentiles and amphibians from Honduras．Proc．Acad．Nat．Sci．Phila．，LXXXIV，Mar．22，1932， pp．21－32．

Eumeces schmidti is described as new from specimens collected at Tela， Honduras．be J．A．G．Rehn．
Dury，Rifph．1933．Notes on some Kimtucky amphibians and reptiles．Bull． Baker－Hunt Foundation Museum．Williams Natural Hist．Collection．No． 1．Nor．．1933．pp．1－22．

Lists Eumeces fasciatus and Eumeces laticeps．
Dwachbrisi，I．1932．Opyt Estestremoy pstoriny reph Jerotnye Roceiskoy Emperii．Pt．III． 1832.

On page 15 （fir．4）is given ścincus officinalis（non Laurenti）．
Eichwadd．Ed．1839．De dubus noris amphbiormon ofeciebus．Bull soe． Imp，Naturaliote Morcow，II．1839．1ヵ，303－307．

The type description of Euprcpis princeps appears．The type locality is＂In ora carpia occidentali，ad monter pracertim Talyschenses．＂
 Sour．Mem．Suc．Nat．No－cow．（2），IX．1851．11）114－144

Plestiodon aldonandia wiven un p． 437.
Elars．Mix M．1917．Amphibians and reptiles of the Douglas Lake（Michi－ gan）region．19th Rept．Mirh．Acad．Sci．，1917，pr．45－63．

Eumeces quinquelineatus listed．
Ellis，Mix M．，and IExdenson，Jexies 1913．The Amph：bia and Reptilia of Colorado．Part I．C＇nis．Colo．Stulier，X，No．2．1913．pp．39－130． 14．1－s

Lists Colorado species of Eumeces．A dumbtful figure of E．obsold the is given on 11.3 （fige 15－16）．This appeats to be $E$ ．multivirutus．
 Fauna des Rusischen Frgänzungen Reichs．Zool．Jahrb．Jena，Abt．f． Syst．．NXIV．pp，24－261，11．2．

E．Intiscutatus is given on p．255（Tai．1s．fise 3）from simus stae Olgar．S－ti Vadimir，sinus Imberater in siberit orient．The identifan－ tion is not certain．

Erwin, Richarb P. 1929. List of Idaho reptiles and amphibians in the Idaho State Historical Museum. 11th Biemnial Rept. Board Trustees State Hi-torical Soc. Idaho, 1927-1928, 14, 31-33.

Lists Eumeces skiltonianus from southwestern Idaho.
Fan. T. H. 1931. Preliminary report of reptiles from Yaoshan, Kwangsi, China. Bull. Dept. Biol. Coll. Sci., Sun Yatsen Univ., May, 1931, pp. 1-154. Eumeers chimensis described at length, with reconds of its collection at Loshiang and Kutchen.
Ferrari-Perez, Ferxindo. 1886. Catalogue of animals collected by the gengraphical and exploring commission of the Republic of Mexico. Part III. Reptiles. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., IN, 1886, pp. 182-199.

Lists Eumeces furcirostris from Pucbla.
Filipri, F. de. 1864. Riassunto del Catalogn degli Animali Vertebrati delle Provincie caucasiche e delle Persia accidentale. Att. Soc. Ital. Sc. Nat., VIl. Riun. Straord. a Biellit, 1864. Selt., Mr. 184-186.
1865. Note di un viaggio in Persia nel 1862. Milano, 1865.

Mentions Plestiondon. aldrocicndii, p. 354.
Fins, F. 1898. Note on a specimen of the rave scincoid lizard, Eumeces blythianus (Anderson) from the Afridi country; with exhibition of the type specimen. Proc. Asiat. Soc. Bengal, 1898, pr. 189-190.
Fischer, J. G. 18St. Herpetologische Bemerkungen. Abh. Geb. Naturw. herausg. Naturw. Ver. Hamburg. VIII, Heft 2, pp. 1-6, pl. VII, figs. la-ld.

Eumeces schurartzei is described as new from a specimen accidentally carried to Hamburg in a load of dewood from "Laguna de Terminos (Campeche Bai)," Mexico. The species is figured on plate VII, figs. la-ld. 1856. Abh. Naturw. Ver. Hamburg. LA, 1866, pp, 51-57, pls. I-III.

Fischer, Joh. yon. 1881. Die Tupfen Echse, Plestiodon aldrovandii Wagl. in der Gefangechaft. Zool. (iart.. No. 10. 1s81. ry) 297-393.
—— 1887. Friichte fressent, in Humboldt (Dammer), 1887, Heft I, pp. 24-25. Plestiodon aldrovandii mentioned.
Fitzinger. L. I. 1826. Verzeichniss der in Ki. K. Zoölogisch Museum zu Wien befindlichen. In Neue Clas-ification der Reptilien. Wien, 1826, pp. 1-66, pl. 1. Lists Mabouia quinquelintata, 1p. 23. 52.
1843. Systema Reptilium. 1843. 1p. 1-106.

Lacerta quinquelincata Limne designated as the type of Plestiodon (p. 22).

Fhower, Stanley S. 1896. Notes on a collection of reptiles and batrachians made in the Malay Peninsula in 1895-96, with a list of the species recorded from that region. Proc. Zooil. Suc. London, 1896, pp. 856-914, pls. XLIV-XLXI.

Eumeces chinensis reported as doultful from the Malay Peninsula.
——— 1933. Notes on the recent reptiles and amphibians of Egypt, with a list of the species recorded hrom that kingdom. Proc. Zaöl. Soc. London, Sept. 20, 1933. pr. 735-8.51, I map.

Gives notes on Eumeces schuriderii. pp, 785-788.
Force. Editis R. 1930. The reptiles and amphibians of Tulsa county. Oklahoma, and ricinity. Copeia, 1930, No. 2, 1'p. 25-39.

Eumeces septentrionalis, obsolctus, anthracimus and fascintus are listed.
Fowler, Hexry W. 1906. The amphilians and reptiles of New Jersey. Ann. Rept. New Jersey State Museum, 1906. Pt. II. pp. 25-250.

Notes on Eumeces fasciatus.
1915. Cold-blooded vertelrates from Florida, the West Indies, Costa Rica and eastern Brazil. Pror. Acarl. Nat. Sci. Phila., LNVII, 1915, pp. 24-269.

Reports Eumects longirostris from Ducking Stool, Bermuda, and Eumbers fasintus from Key West.
-_ 1925. Reconds of amphibians and reptiles for Delaware, Maryland and Virginia. I. Ddaware. II. Maryand. Copela, No. 155, 1925, pp, $57-61$.

Lists Plestiondon faseintus.
 übrigen Japan. Mitth. Deutech. Det-Asiens Ges., V, 1859-1592.

Lists Eumeces quinquelimatus from J'ozo.
1^94. Die Fauna der Liu-Kiu-Insel Okinawa (Japan). Zoül. Jahrb. Syst., VII. 1894, 1P. 852-926. (Aloo fisued as a separate witl pagination 1-i7.)

The recimens of Eumects marginatus from Yozo are doulthess E. latiscutatus.
Gsbow: Hase 1905. Distribution of Mexican amphibians and reptiles. Proc. Zoôl. soc. London, 1905. pp. 191-244.

Lists Eumeces fuseirustris (sie) from Nevadat de Colma (this is probably a lapsus for E. brevirostris, which is listed from the same locality and elevation [ 7.000 ft ]) and E. lynare (also spelled lymet) from Nevada de Colima and Omittme. These records are doubtful for the latter species.
Ginveth. Henry. 1904. List of altitudes in Mexico. Bull. International Bur. Amer. Repuls.. Sept., 1904.
Garman, H. 1894. A preliminary list of the vertebrate animals of Fentucky: Bull. Essex Inst., XXVI, 1s94, pp. 1-63.

Eumeces anthracims listed as probably occurring in the state; E. fasciatus reported as common.
Gimphan, shmele 18st. North American reptiles and batrachians. A list of species occurring north of the Isthmus of Tchuantepec, with references. Buil. Essex Inst., IVI, 1884, pp. 1-46.

Lists under the genus Eumeces 18 species. He includes (with question mark)". Diploglossus millepunctatus O'Shaug. from N. W. North America.
1855. Reptiles of Bermuda. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., No. 25, 1885, Pt. 4. pp. 285-303.

Gives a detailed description, and reviews the history of Eumeces lompirostris Cope.
1557. Reptiles and batrachians from Texas and Mexico. Bull. Essex Inst.. NIX, pp. 119-13s.

Eumeces lynxe reported from Mountains of Alvarez (San Luis Potosi), Mexico.
Gee, Ň. Gist. 1930. A contribution towad a preliminary list of reptiles recorded from China. Bull. Dept. Biol. Yenching Unis.. I. 1929-1930. pp. 53-84.

Many docality records given irom other publications.
Geoffroy sint-Hillime, Emenne [ako Geoffroy, Isidore and Audouin, V.] 1527. Reptiles in Sarigny. Description of Egypt, 1802-1830? pp. 115-1st.

The reptile part was publidhed as follows: Pp, 115-120. Etienme Genffroy Saint-Hillaire; pp. 121-160, Ividore Cooffroy Saint-Hillaire; pp, 161-184, I. Audouin. This work gives very good plates of two species: pl. III, fis. 3, of sicincus schnciderii, and pl. IV, fig. 4, of S'incus pavimentatus.
Cenogi, J. Ci. 1, 000. Geographisch-Phrsikalische und Naturhistorische Beschreibung des Rus-ischen Reiches. T. 3. B. VI, 1wo). B. hor bekant gewordene Thierarten Königsberg, 1800.

Mentions Lacreter seineus (non Limnarme).
Gervali. P. 1836. Enumeration de quelque apeces de Reptiles provenant do Barbaric. Ann. Sci. Nat., (2), VI, 1836, pp. 308-313.


- 1815. Sur lue Animanx Vertehres de I'Marie. Amn. Soi. Nat., (3). S. 1545, pp. 204-20.5.

Gibbs, Morbis, Netretein, F. N.. and Clapk, H. L. 1905. A preliminary lict of the amphibians and reptiles of Michigan. Th Am. Rept. Mich. Accad. Sci., 1905. pp. 109-110.

Lists Eumeres fasciatus.
Gilminis, Jacob. 1818. Deseription of two new suecies of Limacan Lacesta. Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., Vol. I, Pt. II, 18I8, pp. 460-462, pl. XVIII, fig. 2.

Type description of Scincus crythrocephalus. The type locality is Maryland. Type collected by James Keech. The figure is poor.
Glord, H. K. 1928. The amphibians and reptiles of Franklin county, Kansas. Trans. Kansas Acad. Sci., 192S, pp. 115-141.

Data given on the babits of Ermeces obsoletus, anthracinus and fasciatus.

- 1932. The herpetological fauna of the Pigeon Lake region, Miami comintr, Lansas. Papers Mich. Acad Sci. Arts Letters, NV, 1931, pp. 389409, map 3, pls. XXX-XXXII.
Gmelin. Jean-Frederic. 178s. Systema maturae Ed. 13, 1788.
Lacerta quinquelincata and Laccrta fasciata given.
Gonet, D. T. L. 1860. Bermuda. 1860.
Discusses the Bermuda lizards on p. 251. Mentions Scincus fasciatus and Seincus ocellatus presumably as occurring in Bermuda.
Goode, (i. Brown. 1877. A proliminary catalogue of the reptiles, fishes and leptocardians of the Bermudas, with the description of four species of fishes helieved to be new. Amer. Journ. Sici., (3), July to Dec., 187t. pp. 289-298.

Eumeces longirastris listed, p. 290.
Gmenicher, S. 1911. Some records of Wisconsin lizards. Bull. Wisc. Nat. Hist. Soc., IX, pp. 78-81.

Discusses the occurrence of Eumeces septentrionalis in Wisconsin, and gives numerous records. Eumeces quinquelineatus is reported from various localities.
Grant, Chapman. 1927. The blue tailed skink of Kansas, Eumeces guttulatus. Copeia, No. 16t, July-Sept., 1927, pp. 67-69.

An interesting account of a young specimen of $E$. obsoletus.
Gratenhonst, J. L. C. 1851. Uher die im Zoologischen Museum der Universität Breslau befindlichen Wirtelschleichen (Pseudosaura) Krüppelfüssler (Brachypoda) und einige andere denselben verwandte Reptilien, aus den Zïinften der Schleichen und Dickzuingled. Nova. Acta Akad. Leop. Carol. XXIII, 1851, I, pp. 350-354, pl. XXXV.

Under the name Plestiondon quinquelincutus four specimens are discussed. No. 1 appears to be $E$. inexpectatus, while the other three specimens are said to be from Mexico. The descriptions are such that the species cannot be definitely determined. The Euprepes amantus Gravenhorst (from Surinam) is not Eumeces.
Gray, J. E. 1831. A synopsis of the species of class Reptilia. Appendix in Griffith's Curier's Animal Kingdom, IX, 1831, pp. 1-110.

Lists Tiliqua eyprinus Cuv. from Egypt. The description states "Scales smooth, tail longer than the body, brown, with a pale line on each side." Tiliqua quinquelineatus and bicolor are also listed.

- 1838. Catalogue of the slender-tongued Saurians, with descriptions of many new genera and species. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (1), II, 287-293.

Brief type description of Eumeces chinensis.
1845. Catalogue of the specimens of lizards in the collection of the British Museum. 1845, pp. 1-xxviii. 1-289.

Type description of Plestiodon Bellii, from an unknown locality, given; also are listed $P$. laticeps, quinquelineatum. pulehrum, chinensis and auratus, pp. 90-92.
 mibia, accompanied with ohervations. Jomm. Acad. Nat. Aci. Phila., I. Pt. 2. Alig.. 1S18. pl. 318-359. pl. XVI, fig. 2.

Lacerta quinquetincatus is described, with a figure which cannot be identified. Thas appears to be of a specimen of $E$. incoppectatus. The Latertu fasciata listed is as specimen of ser lopomes umdulutux.
Crmanerf, Josepra 190s. The biotal of the San Bernardino mountains. Univ. Calif, Publ. Zoïl, V, No. 1, 190s, 11), 1-fo, pls. 1-24. (Ruptiles pp. 160-1:0).
 arlberti.
 the amphibians and metile- of California. U'nis. Calif. Publ. Zö̈l. XV'II. July 11. 1917. Pr. 127-20s. 14 text firs.

Gives the distribution of Eume ces skillomimns, based on previouk reeords.
Ghidele, Josferif. Dinos. Josebif, and Livadme, Jean M. 1930. Vertobrato natural history of a section of northern California through the Lasen Peak revion. Univ, Calif. Publ. Zoöl. 35. 1930, pp, 1-594. 181 text figs.

Eumeces skiltonianus hahitat record given, p. 148.
Grinvell, Josfefi, and Gmincle H. W. 1907. The reptiles of Los Augeles county, Califomia. Throom [nst. Bull.. No. NXXV. 1907. pp. 1-64.

Gives a dotailed account of Eumuces skiltomianus. p. 35. fig. 12.
Gibinella, Josephi and storfr. Tracy Irwin. 1921. Reptiles and amphibians of Yoermite National Park, in Hall's Handbook of Yosmite National Park. Putnam. 1921. pp. 175-182.

Lists Eumeccs slilloniomus ( $=$ E. gilborti).

- 1924. Animal life in the Yosemite. Tnir. Calif. Press, Berkeley, Cal.. 1924. xviii + 722 pp.. pls. i-lx, figs. 1-65. maps.

Discusses Eumeces skiltonianus, regarding E. gilberti a synonym.
Gumbexot. A. 1850. Exploration Scientifique d l'Algerie pendent les Annes 1 40 -1442. Peptiles. Paris. 1850. 4 to. 130 pe.. 4 pls.
? Plestindon aldrozandii merntioned ( p . 17).
Gumbet. C. 1902. Note on the blue-tailed lizard. Ottawa Naturalint, NVI. p. 239.

Records Eumeres fetsciatus from Ontario. Canada.
Gutliner. Georcie. 1875. Observations on the sizes and shapes of the red corpuscles of vertebrates. Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London, 1875, pp, 474-495.

On page tise is given the diameter of the corpuscles of Plestiodon auratus. The largest diameter is $\frac{1}{1455}$ of an inch. the smallest. $\frac{1}{2400}$.
Gr̈̈vther. Albert. 1860. On new reptiles and fishes from Mexico. Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London. 1860. नp, 316-322.

Mabouia brevirostris. from Oaxaca. Mexion, is deseribed as now.
1860a. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 1860. VI. p. 442.
1864. The reptiles of British India. Lendon. pp. i-xurii. 1-444, ns I-XXII

Treate the focios of Eumeres under the generic name of Mnonuid. Mabonia quatrilinenta is figured on pl . X (fig. E): Mabouia chimensis. figured on pl. X (fig. F). is Eumuces rle goms.

- 1864a. Report un a collection of reptikes and fishes from Palestine. Proc. Zö̈l. Soe. London. 1864. pp. 488-493.

Lista Plostiondme muratus Schn. from the Dead Sea, P. 489.
1466. Zoal. Record. Reptilia, p. 123.

Notes variation in Plistodon aldrovemmii.

- 1885-1902. Biodogia Centrali-Amerieana. Reptilia and Batrachia. 18851902 (part draling with Eumeres dated Oct.. 1855). pp. i-xx. 1-326. ple. 1-76

On pp. 32-33 are listed E. lynxe, brevirostris (pl. XXII, fig. B), bocourti ( $=$ humilis) (pl. XXII, fig. C), callicephahus, sumichrasti, dugesii, schwartzei and furcirostris.
1858. On a collection of reptiles from China. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6), I, pp. 165-172.

Lists Lygosomut elegons ( = Eumeces elegoms) from mountains near Liu Kiang on the "Yantsze" river, China.
1899. Third contribution to our knowledge of reptiles and fishes from the Upper Yangtsze-Kiang. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6), IV, pp. 218-229.

Eumeces xanthi is described as new from Ichang, China.
1896. Report on the collections of reptiles, batrachians and fishes made by Messrs. Potanin and Berezowsi in the Chinese Provinces Kansu and Szechwan. Amn. Mus, Zoül. St. Petershourg, I, 1896, pu. 199-219.

Enmesces quadrilincatus and E. xanthi mentioned.
Hallowell, Edward. 1852. On a new genus and three new species of reptiles inhabiting North America. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1852, pp. 206-209.

The genus Lamprosaurus, with the type species guttulatus, is described from a specimen from Fort Fillmore below the Jomada del Muerte, New Mexico.

- 1853. Reptiles, in Sitereaves', Report of an expedition down the Zuni and Colorado rivers. 1853, pp. 106-147.

Contains a detailed description of Plestiodon obsoletum B. \& G. from near the trpe locality, and a redescription of Lamprosaurus guttulatus.
1854. Deseriptions of new reptiles from Califormia. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 18.54, pp. 91-97.

Description of Eumeces sp. from a specimen "from Lower California, near Mojave river, and in San Bernardino Talley." This is evidently intended for a description of a new species, but the author fails to give a name. This yrecimen later forms the type of Eumices quadritineatus.
1856. On several new species of reptiles in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences. Proc. Phila. Acad. Nat. Sci., 1856, pp. 1.53-156.
—— 1856a. Notes on a collection of reptiles from Kansas and Nebraska, presented to the Academy of Natural Sciences, by Dr. Hammond, U. S. A. Proc. Acarl. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1856, pp. 238-253.

Discusses variation in Plestiodon obsoletum.

-     - 18566. Notes on the collection of reptiles from the neighborhood of San Antonio, Tex., recently presented to the Academy of Natural Sciences by Doctor Heeman. Proc. Plila. Acad. Nat. Sci., 1856, pp. 306-310.

Gives the type description of I'lestiodon vittigerum. Type locality stated as "neighborheod of Flint, Mich."

- 1857. Jescription of several new North American reptiles. Proc. Acarl. Nat. Sci. Phila.. 1857, pp. 215-216.

Type description given of Eumfees multivirgatus from Posa creek, 460 miles west of Fort Riley, Kan. The label on the type specimen actually reads Pou (or Cow) creck rather than "Posa" creek. Lamprosaurus guttulatus is referred to the genus Plestiodom.
1859. Report upon reptiles of the route. Expl. Surv. for a Route to Pac. Ocean, Williamson, X, 1853, Pt. IV, Zoölogy Report, 1859, pp. 1-25, ple. I-N.

Trpe description of Eumeces quadrilincatus appears. The type locality is "southern part of Upper California, near Mojave river, and in San Bomardino Valley." Pl. IX. fig. 3, gives line drawings of the head.
1860. Notice of some new and rare species of Scincidae in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia. Trans. Amer. Philos. Soc., XI (N. S.), pp. 71-82, pls. IH-1V.

Report. Eumeces qualitineatus from Astoria, Columbia river; Plestiodon harlani (=Euprepes harleni) from Liberia; and Plestiodon sinense? from Vingpo, Chima.

1860a. Report unon the Reptilia of the North Pacific exploring expedition meder the command of Captain bohn Rogers. Pror. Acad. Nat. sci. Phila., $1860, \mathrm{pp}$. $480-510$.
soparates the dapanse five-lined skink from the American forms umder the name I'le stimbon latisentethes. I'lestiodom margimatne is deseribed fom "Ousina," Japan and Loo-Choo I flands, and E:umbits quadriniontus ( $=E$. quadrilimatus Blyth) is deseribed as new from Hone-Kong I damd.
 Acarl. Nat. Aci. Phila.. 4. Pt. 2. 1s24. phe 2sti-28s, pl. XVII.

Type description of Scincus bicolor is given, with plate XVHI, firs. I. Thin name is doubtless a synonym of E. laticens.
——— 1229. Gencra of North Ameracan Reptilia, and a smopsis of the species. (Contimud from Volume V.) Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., VI, Pt. 1, 1S29, pp. 7-38.

Lists Scincus quinquelineatus, crythrocephalus and bicolor, pp. 10-11.
—— 1835. Genera of North American Roptilia, and a smop-in of the species. Mfol. Plyys. Researches. I835, pp. St-161.

Lists seincus americanus. using Petirr's name (Gazophylacii Naturae et Artis. 1711. tab. 69, fig. 13) for Eumecrs laticeps. Alsi lits sicincus bicolor Harlan, Scincus quinquelincatus and Scincus tiythrocephalus.
Harper, Fravers. 1930. Notes on fishes amphibians and reptiles of Randoph county, Georgia. Copeia, 1930. No. 4, Dec., pp. 152-154.

Lists Eumeces fasciatus.
Halome. Willim L. 1931. Alabama repiles. Mus. Paper No. 11. Alabama Mus. Nat. Hist. Univ. Alabama, 1931. pp. 1-14.5, pls. 1-39, text fig- 1-57.

Records the type of Eumeces pluvialis from the type locality with a copied figure and description. E. fasciuths is listed with numerous localities.
Hartanan, F. A. 1906. Food habits of Kansas lizards and batrachians. Trans. Kan. Acad. Sci., XX. 1906, pp. 225-229.

Eumeces obsoletus and guttulutus discused.
Hartwer. Norman. 1931. Apparent woviviparity in the Mexican skink Eumeceslynxac Wiegmann. Copeia, 1931, No. 2. p. 61.

Ovoviviparity described in specimens from Guerrero, Hidalgo. Mexico.
Hatta. S. 1913. Zur Tiergeographie ron Hokkaido. Zö̈l. Ann. XliII, pp. 27-36.

Lists Eumeces latisculatus (sic) from Hokkaido.
Hay, O. P. 185\%. A preliminary ratalogue of the Amphibia and Reptilia of the state of Indiana. Journ. Cincimati soc. Nat. Hist., 1857, pp. 59-69. Gives records for Eumcces fasciatus.
155\%b. The amphibians and reptiles of Indiana. Indiana State Bd of Agri., Ann. Rept. for 1886. XXVHI, pp. 201-223.

Remarks on Eumeces fasciatus.

- 1592. The batrachians and reptiles of the state of Indiana. 17th Ann. Rept. Ind. Dept. Geol. \& Nat. Rezources, 1891. pp. 109-610. pls. 1-3.

Under the name fusciatus are discussed the habits of this species ant those of laticeps.
Hayden, F. V. 1863. On the geology and natural history of the Upper Missouri. Trans Amer. Philos soc., XII, 1s62, 1p, 1-218, 4 maps.

Reptiles discussed on pp. 177-17S. Leptogrammus, multivirgatus, inormatus and septentrionalis listed.
Hedrger, H. 192s. Die Tierwelt auf finer Marokkaniochen Farm. Blatt. f. Aquar.-Terr.-kunde, XXXIX. No. 20. 1929.

Eumeces algorionsis is listed from Raba.
Heerman, A. L. 18.99. Report upon reptiles of the routn No. I. Expl. Surs R. R. Route Pac. Ocean, 1853, X. Pt. 4. Zoölogical Rept., 1459. pp, 24-25. ple. I-N.

Lista the type sperimen of Eumbers rumbritionotus.

Henpricht, F. G.. and Eirbenberg, C. C. 1899. Symbolae physicae seu Icones adhuc ineditae corporum naturalimm novorum aut mimus cognitorum quae ex itineribus per Lybiam, Egyptias, Nubiam. Dongolem, Syriam, Arabiam et Habessiniam. . Zoclogica. Berlin, 1599

Figures Eumects schnciderii on pl. IV', fig. 4.
Hexshaw, Sharel. 1904. Farna of New England, I. List of Peptiles. Oce. Papers Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., VII, 1904, pp. 1-13.

Gives records of Eumeces quinquelincatus.
Herrera, Alfovso L. 1895. Catálogo de la collección de reptiles y batracios del Museo Nacional. México, Imprenta del Muveo Nacional, 1895, pp. 1-66.

- 1904. Cat́́logo de la collección de reptiles y batracios del Museo Nacional. México. Imprenta del M1useo Nacional, 1904, pur. 1-65.

Exactly the same pagination as fust edition save an occasional shift in the lines. The crrata of the first are omitted in the second, the corrections leeing made in the text. Lists E. dugesi and fasciatus.
Herrick. C. L... Terry, John. and Herrick. H. N. J. 1899. Notes on a collection of lizards from New Mexico. Bull. Sci, Lab. Denison Univ., XI, Art. VI, pp. 117-14s.

Notes on Eumeces.
Hefler. W. K. 1889. Reptilia and Batrachia of Wisconsin. Trans. Wisc. Acad. Sci. Arts Letters, VII, pp. 156-176.

Lists locality records for Eumeets septentrionalis.
Hhatiniorf. F. 1850. Bemerkungen ueber die von ihm in Japan gesammelten Amphibien nebst Bewchreibung zweior neucr schlangenarten. Sitz. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Ber.. 1880, pp. 111-121.

Eumeces quinquelineatus ( $=$ E. latiscutatus) listed, r. 113.
Hoframne. C. K. 1890. Eidecharn und Wasserechsen. In H. G. Bronn's Klassen und Ordnung des Thier-Reichs. . Vol. 6 (Abt. III, Reptilien, Vol. II , mp. 441-1399, pls. XLIX-CVII, 8 text figs.

On page 114 S is a dexcription of Plestiodon, with notes on the species. "Lanccolatus" is listed from the Loo Choo Islands! Eumeces is recognized as a separate genus on PP 1148-1149.
Hohenacker, Fr. 1831. Notice sur quelques objets dhistoire naturelle des provinces meridionales du Caucase. Bull. Soc. d'Imp. Nat. de Moscou, III, 1831, pp. 363-381.

Laccita scincus (non Linné) mentioned on p. 365.
Hombrok, John Edwards. 1838. North American Merpetology. Vol. II, 1838.
A careful description of Scincus erythrocepholus (p. 101), with a full plate in color ( pl . XXII), is given. The sperimen figured is in the Philadelphia Academy of Natmal Sciences collection.
1839. North American herpetology. Vol. III. 1839.

Scincus quinquelinentus is disensed and figured in color, with a dorsal and rentral view. full size (p. 39, pl. VI) ; also Scincus fasciatus (p. 45, pl. VII).
1842. North American herpetology. Second Edition, II, 1842.

The following species are discussed: Plestiodon erythrocephalus (pp. 117-120, pl. XVI) ; Scincus quinquelineatus (pp, 121-125, pl. XVII) ; Scincus fasciatus (pp. 126-131, pl. XVIII).
Hora. Sunder Lal. 1923. Reptilia and batrachia of the Salt Range, Punjab. Rec. Indian Mus., XXV. pp. 369-376.

Lists Eumeces tacmiolatus (Blyth) from the Salt Range.
Hor. P. R. 1883. Catalogue of the cold-blooded vertebrates of Wisconsin I. Reptiles. Geology of Wisc., I. pp. 422-425.

Lists Enmeces septentrionalis.
Hughes. Edward. 1885. Catalogue of the reptiles and amphibians of Franklin Co. (Ind.). Bull. Brookville Soc. Nat. Hist.. I. pp. 40-45.

Rupror-Eumects fasciatus from Brookville, Ind.
 the state of Mixouri. Privately printed price list.

Lists Eumeces quinquelineatus and anthracinus.
-.-. 1893. Catalogue of reptiles and batrachians foum in the vicinity of St. Louis, Missouri. Trams Acad. Sci. St. Louis, Dee. 12, 1s93, II, pp. 251-261.
-- 1911. Iherpetology of Misonuri. Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis. XX. pp. 59-2-4. pls. XVIII-XXIV.

Gives accounts of Eumeces amthrocious and E. quinquclineutus, with locality records. The old red-headed male from Butler Co. is doubtless E. Luticeps.
1912. Reptiles and hatrachians of Laguna Beath. Amn. Rupt. Laguna Marine Lab.. I. 1912, p. 67.

Reports Eumoces skiltonianus.
Htrter. Jultes and streeker. John K. 1909. Amphibians amd reptile- of Arkansas. Trans Acad. Sci. St. Louis, XVIII, 1908-1909, pp. 1-27.

Reports locality records for Plestionon authorimus in Missouri, Oklahoma and Texas, and several for $P$. quinquelincatus.
INgoldsby, Capt. C. M., and Proctor, Joan B. 1923. Notes on a enflection of reptilia from Waziristan and the adjoining portion of the N. IV. Frontier Province. Journ. Bombay Nat. Hist, Soc., NXIX, pp, 117-130.

Eumices scutatus reported from Kaur Bridge, Ladha, and Wana, in northwest India: E. schencidcrii from Kirghi, Jandola, Kotkai, Serwekai and Wana. Discusses rariation.
Jas. G. 1857. Cenni sul Museo Civico di Milano ed Indice Sistematico dei Rettili ed Anfibi. Mitan, 1857, np. 1-61.

List= Plestiodon laticeps and quinquelincatum from Georgia.
Jerdon. T. C. 1870. Notes on Indian herpetolngy. Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 1870. јр. $22-73$.

Reports Pleistodon (Eumeces) scutatus from the Alpine Punjab.
Jones. 1859 A naturalist in Bermuda. 1859.
Reports a common lizard as Scincus, related to Scincus fasciatus.
Jordan, Dimid Srapr. 1916. A manual of the vertebrates of the United States. 12th edition. 1916.

List- various species of Eumeccs. with liey.
Kessler, İ. 187s. Puteshestive po Zakarkazskomu Krain r. 1875. g, s.zoologisheskou tselin. Trans. Stt Petersb. Nat. Soc., VIII, 1878, Suppl. Eumeccs pazimotatus mentioned p. 174.
King. Willis F. 1932. Arizona records from the vicinity of Mormon Lake (Arizona). Copeia, 1932. No. 2, p. 99.
Kiscman. R. H. 1932. A comparative study of the skull in the gemus Eunces of the Scincilat (A preliminary raper). This. Kans. Aci. Bull.,


Studies in comparation anatomy of the skulls of fasciatus, se perntrionalis, Intierps, tetrogrammus, chincnsis, Iongirostris, srluneitrrii purimentatus, skiltonions and humilis. Excellent figures of the skulls are given.

Kirtland. Jarei Potter. 1835. A eatalogue of the hirds. reptiles, fi-hes. Testacea and Crustacea in Ohio. First Ann. Rept, Ohio Geol. Surv., Conmubur, 183s.

Sincus quinquelinfatus mentioned p. 188.
Klatber, L. M. 192S. A list of the amphibians and reptiles of San Diego comty, California. Bull. Zoöl. Soc. San Diego, No. 4, 1p. 1-S.

Lists Eumeces skiltonianus.

- 1930. A list of the amphibians and reptiles of san Diego county, California. Second edition. Bull. Zoöl. Soc. San Diego, No. 5. 1930. pp. 1-S. Lists Eumeces skiltonianus.
- 1934. Annotated list of the amphibians and reptiles of the southern border of California. Bull. Zö̈l. Soc. San Difgo, Ňo. 11, Aug. 15, 1934, 1p. 1-28, 8 figs.

Eumeces skiltonianus.
Flots, Alexander B.areett. 1930. Notes on Amphibia and Lacertilia collected at Weymouth, N. J. Copeia, No. 173, 1930, pp. 107-111.

Habits of Eumeces fasciatus in care of eggs.
Finowlton, George F.. and Jases, Melvin J. 1934. Distributional and food habits notes on Ttah lizards. Copeia, 1934, No. 1, Apr. 24, pp. 10-12. Notes on Eumeces skiltonianus.
IVuhl, Heinrich. 1820. Beiträge zur Zoologie und vergleichenden Anatomie. Frankfort a. M., 1830, pp. 1-213.

Scincus quinquelineatus mentioned on page 128.
Kulaon, N. M. 1890. Kollektsia presmykaiutshihsia dostarlennaia Doktorom P. A. Burtserym iz Ussuriskago Kraia. Bull. Zoöl. Soc. St. Petersb. Nat. Soc.. LACVII, 1890. Zoöl. Sec., Vol. VI.
Lact́pède. 1788. Histoire naturelle des Quadrupèdes ovipares et des serpens. Tol. I.

Le lézord à queue bleue and Le lézard strié are mentioned on p. 360 .
Lallement, C. 1876. Erpétologie de Algerie. Paris, 1876, pp. 1-47. Abridgement of Strauch's Essai.
Latiste, F. 1881. Liste des Vertébrés recueillis par M. Ie Dr. André pendant l'expédition des Chotts. Arch. Miss. Sci., (3), VII, 1SS1, pp. 395-440.
Latheille, P.-A. 1808. l'Histoire naturelle des Reptiles. Vols. I-IV.
Vol. I, p. 24s, contains the type description of Larerta tristata. In Vol. II, p. 74, is a description of Scincus quinquelincatus.
Linné, Carolus Von. 175S. Systema naturae. Editio Decima, Reformata. Tom. I, 175 S.

The type description of Lacerta fasciata appears, with the type locality (arolina. Based on an illustration in Catesby.
1766. Systema naturae. 12th Esl.

Lacerta fascinta and Lacerta quinquelineata appear. The description of the latter is the type, and the type locality is given as Carolina.
Linidale. Jein M. 1927. Amphibians and reptiles of Doniphan county, Kansas. Copeia, No. 164, 1927, pp. 75-81.

Notes on Eumeces fasciatus.
Linsley, J. H. 1843. A catalogue of the reptiles of Connecticut, arranged according to their natural families. Amer. Journ. Sci. Arts. (1), 46, pp. $37-51$.

Records data on the occurrence of Eumeces fasciatus.
Löding. H. P. 1922. A preliminary catalogue of Alabama amphibians and reptiles. Mus. Paper No. 5, Alabama Mus. Nat. IIs.st. pp. 1-59.

Reports the species Plestiodon pluvialis (Cope) from the type locality, Mobile, Alabama. Eumeces fasciatus is also listed.
Lofnnberg, Einar. 1894. Notes on rentiles and batrachians collected in Florida in 1892 and 1893. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 17, pp. 317-339.

Notes on Eumeces faseiatus, probably mixed with other species.
Lortet, L. 1883. Poissons et Reptiles du Lac de Tibériade et de quelques autres parties de la Surie. Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat. Lyon, III, 1883 (reptiles and amphibians, pp. 183-159).
Loveridie. Arther. 1930. On some skinks of the genus Eumeces from North America. Copeia, 1930. No. 173, Jan. 16, p. 112.

Eumeres efregius is discussed, and E. lagmensis is referred to the synonymy of E. skiltonionus.
MarCoy. Cinton V. 1931. Kiey for the identification of New England amphibia and reptiles. Bull. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist. No. 59. 1931, pp. 25-33.

Lists Eumeces fusciatus in key:

Mchan. Romert bund. 1s99. Noms on a collection of reptiles from the woum comat of the Enited states. (iont to N. Amer. Horp. Privately printod, Wheeling. IF. Va.. Fek. Is99. 1p. 1-13.

Disenses Eumects skiltomianus atml premmes that E. leymunnis is a -rnonym of the former -pecies ( $\mathrm{p}, 10$ ).

Fsage. Nots on a collection of reptikes made by C. J. Pierson at Fort smith. Ark.. with remarks on other eatem reptiles. Cont. to N. Amer. Herp. Privately pinted, 1899, if, l-5.

Numerous locality records from varmus states are given for Eumeces fasciatus.
Matean- Entard ros. 1si6. Japanische Reptilien. Verzedehniss der gesammelton oder beobachteten Wirbelthiere. Prewss. Exped. Ustasien, Zool.. I. $1576 . \mathrm{pp}, 362-410$.

Eumects (Plestiodon) quinquelincatus var. japonieus reported from Nagasaki, p. 376.
Mearas. Edgar A. 189s. A study of the vertebrate fauna of the Hudson highlands with observations on the Mollusea, Crustacea, Lepiloptera and the flora of the region. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., X. 189S, pp. 103-352 (Reptiles pp. 326-330).

Notes on the occurence of Eumeces fasciatus.
Mell, R. 1922. Beiträge zur Fauna Sinica I. Die Vertebraten Südchinas: Feldisten und Feldnoten der Saiuger. Vögel. Reptilien. Batrachier. Arch. N'aturg., S5, Jahr 1922, Abt. a, 10 Heft. pp. 1-146 (Rept. and Batr. pp. 100-134).

Gives localities for Eumeces chinensis, clegans and quadrilincatus.
1929. Beiträge zur Fauna Sinica IV . Crundziige einer Okologie der chinesischen Reptilien und einer herpetologischen Tiergeographie Chinas. Berlin und Leipzig, 1929. pp. 1-282, figs. 1-34.

Various species of Eumrces mentioned.

- 1931. The distribution of Chinese reptiles in relation to zoügeographical boundaries. Lingnan Sci. Journ., S. 1931. pp. 221-258.

Lists Eumeces pekinrnsis as endemic in the North China Province, and xanthi and tungarus (sic) in the West China Province.
Merren, Blisits. 1820. Tentamen Systematis Amphibiorum. Marburg, 1820.
Type description given of Scincus erpertii, and Seineus quinquelincatus is mentioned on page 72 .
Merters. Pobert. 1920. Cber die geographischen Formen von Eumeces schut iderii Daudin. Senckenbergiana. (2), 1920. pp. 176-179.

Rerognizes three subspecies of Eumeces schneiderii (Daudin) : alqeriensis. schnciderii and prinecps.
1922. Terzoichnis der Typen in der herpetologischen Sammhung des Sonckenbergisehen Museums. Senekenbergiana, IV. Heft 6, 1929. pp. 162-183.

Lists the typ specimen of Eumects parimentatus var. syrinca. Type locality, Sarona bei Jaffa, Syria, No. 6383.

- 1924. Amphibien und Reptilien ans dem nördtichen Moropotamien. Abh. Ber. Mus. Natur-Hematk. Naturw. Ver. Magdeburg. III, Heft 4, 1924. pp. 249-390, 1 pl .

Reports Eumecrs sehneiderii prinerps (Eichwald) from Mardin., with duscriptions of the specimens.

1924r. Iferpetologische Mitteilungen V. Zweiter Britrag zur Kermmtniss der goographischen Formen ron Eumeses schuiderii Datulin. Soneken-


Recognizes three subanecific forms of E. sehneiderii: Eumeces schnciderii parimentotus Geoffroy. E.s. cuprius Cuvier, E. s. sehnciderii Daudin and E. s. algo riensis Peters.
1926. U"eber rinige Eiderhern in gefangenschaft. Blaitt. fiir Acquar.-Trer.- kunde, Stuttgart, NXITII, 1926, pp. 1-11 (sep, pag.). 4 figs.

Eumecrs latiscutatus discuserd. pp. 10-11.
1934. Die Insel Reptilien, ihre Ausbreitung, Variation und Artbildung. Zoologica, 32, Lieferung 6. Heft S4, 1934, pp. 1-209, pls. 1-6, text figs. 1-9.

Includes discussion of various island forms of Eumeces.
Meter. Fred, A. A. 1795. Synopsis Reptilium novam ipsorm sistens generum methodum, nee non gottingensum hujus ordinis animalium enumerationem. Gïttingae 1795, Svo (not seen).

Lacerta quinquelineata listed.
Mikhanovski. M. 1904. [Herpetological fana of the Transcaspian region. Material collected near Askhabad in 1903 by I. V. Vasiliev]. Yearbook Zoöl. Mus. Imp. Acad. Sci., St. Petersb., IX, 1904, pp. 39-44.

Eumeces scutatus reported from Transcaspia, Durun near Askhabad, and Bakharden (p. 41).
Mocquard. M. F. 1907. Les Reptiles de l'Indo-Chine. La Revue Coloniale, July 1906, pp. 1-59.

Lists Eumeces quadrilineatus but gives no specific locality.
Morse, Max. 1904. Batrachians and reptiles of Ohio. Proc. Ohio State Acad. Sci., IV, Pt. 3. Special Paper No. 9, 1904, pp. 92-144.

Eumices anthracinus listed as probably occuring in the state. Records given for $E$. quinquelineatus.
Mosacer. Walter. 1932. The amphibians and reptiles of the Guadalupe Mountains of New Mexico and Texas. Occ. Papers Mus. Zoöl. Univ. Mich., No. 246, June 9, 1932, pp. 1-18, pl. 1.

Eumcees obsolctus, E. humilis and E. multivirigatus are reported. The record for humilis is the first for the United States.
Mïller, Baron I. W. 1865. Reisen in den Vereinigten Staaten, Canada und Mexico. Leipzig, 1865. Three Vols. Vol. III, pp. 1-643. (Part III, Die Wirbelthiere Mexicos, pp. 535-643).

Three Mexican species of Eumeces listed.
Mïller, F. 1880. Katalog der in Museum und Universitätskabinet zu Basel aufgestellten Amphibien und Reptilien nebst Anmerkungen. Verh. Naturf. Ges. Basel, VI, 1850, pp. 559-709.
Murrat, James A. 18S4. The vertebrate zoölogy of Sind. A systematic account, with descriptions of all the known species of mammals, birds and reptiles inhabiting the province; observations on their habits, etc. London and Bombay, 1884. Reptilia and Batrachia, pp. 338-401, 5 pls.

Eumeces taeniolatus (Blyth) described, and reported from Sind, Punjab and Kashmir (p.356).
Myers, George S. 1924. Amphibians and reptiles from Wilmington, N. C. Coneia, No. 131, 1924, pp. 59-62.

Eumeces fasciatus listed.

- 1925. Synopsis for identification of amphibians and reptiles of Indiana. Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci., 35, 1925, pp. 277-294.

1930. Amphibians and reptiles observed in the Palisades Interstate Park. New York and New Jersey. Copeia, No. 173, Jan. 16, 1930, pp. 99-103.
Negerer, Walter L. 1934. Contribution to the herpetology of the Smoky Mountains of Tennessee. Bull. Chicago Acad. S'ci.. 5, No. 1, Jan. 26, 1934. pp. 1-4.

Eumeres fascintus. mentioned.
Nelson. Edward W. 1921. Natural resources of Lower Califormia. Mem. Acarl. Nat. Sci., NTI, No. 1, pp. 1-171.

Jists Plestiodon skiltonianus lagunensis (pp. 114-115).
Nelson. Julugs. 1830. Descriptive catalogue of the vertebrates of New Jersey. Geol. Surv. New Jersey, II, Pt. 2. 1890. pp. 491-824.

Comments on Eumeces fasciatus.
Netting. Gratam. 1926. The occurrence of lizards in Allegheny county (Pa.). Pittshurg Naturalist. I, Jan.. 1926, p. 7.

Notes on Eumects fasciatus.
 XIX, No. 3. 1930, !! 169-171.
 given.
 i Zakampisoi oblasti. [Contribution th the knowleden of the fanna of rembate animals of mortheasem Persia and Trameasia. Russian
 tules and batrachians, Ip. 103-106).

Eupre pis principas mentioned on p. 106.

- 1899. Reptiles. Amphibies et Poisoms recurillis pendent le myage do Mr, N. A. Zarouduy on la98 dans la Perse. [Rascian text]. Annuaire


Contains the type description of Eumeces zarutnyi, with the type Incality Sowam ant Kirman in Ea-t Persia (pp. 399-400, Pl. XX, fig. 4). Eumeces schneiderii is listed from Cerri Schotur in Chascht-Adno.

- 1899b. Herpetoloria turanica A. Fedtschenko Reise in Turkestan. Zool. Moscow, 1899, 4 to, pp, 1-84, 9 pls.

Eumeces seutatus (p. 42) and Eumeces schneiderii (p. 44) are discused.

- 1905. Herpetologia Rowica. Mémoirs de l'académie Impériale des Sciencer do sto-Pétersbourg, (VIII). Vol. XVII, No. 1, 1905, pp. 1-517, pls. 1 and 2 .

Lists Eumeces scutatus (pp. 18t-185) and E. schneiderii (pp. 185-150) from Transcaucasia, Transcaspia and Turkestan.
1911. Contribution= a therpetologic de la Boukhara oriental. Ann. Mus. Zö̈l. Ac. Sci. St. Petersb., XVI, 1911, pp. 2ヶ1-2St.

Eumeces schneiderii noted.
_-_ 1913. Herpetologia Cancasica. Tiflis. 1913. pp. 1-272. pls. 1-2.
Eumeces schneiderii listed (p. 110-111) from Transcaspia.
—— 1915. Faune de la Russié et des pays limitrophes fondee principalement sur les collections du Musee Zoologique de 1 Académie Impériale. 3 vols. Vol 1. Chelonia and sumpar. pp. 1-533, with numerous figures.

Discures. Eum ces veututus. pp. 506-505. figs. 63. 63a, 64: E. Iutisentutus. pp. 50S-510; E. schneiderii. pp. 511-513, figs. 65̈-6S; and gives locality records. specimens of a kink from Imperator, Olga and St. Vlatimir bays on the Visuri coast of Siberia are doubtfully referred to $E$. latiscutatus.
Noble, Cr. K.., and Bradley, H. T. 1933. The mating behavior of lizamb: its bearing on the thenry of sexual selection. Ann. New York Acad. Sci., XXXV. 1933. pp. 25-100.

Noble, G. Ki., and Muson, E. R. 1933. Experiments on the brooding hahits of the li arde Eumu cos and (1phisamms. Amer. Mus. Nov.. No. 619. May 11. 1933. pp. 1-29.

Brood ne habits of Eumects fasciatus and E. letien pos dicursed (pll 1-19.)
Noble. C. K.. and Teme. H. K. 1930. The comrthip of some igumid and teind lizarls. Copelia, 1930. No. 2. June. pp. 5t-i6.

Notes on the courtship of Eumecrs fascintus.
Nutring. C. C. Report of committee on state famat of Fowa.
Eumoces septentrionalis listed.
Oкıд八. S. 1891. Catalogue of vertebrated amimals of Japan. Tokyo, 1991, pp. 1-125.

Eumeres marginatus (part) reported thone from Tokyo, Hakona. Nikko. Awibi and suwa are to be referred to Eumeres latiscutatus) (p. 70).

- 1933. On the paralleliem between the di-tribution of lizards and of anurans in the Japanese Empire Science Reports Tokyo Tniv. Lit, and Sci., Ser. B, Vol. I, No, 13. Aug. 1.3. 1933. up. 1 15-153, 1 map.

- 1933 . The herpetological fauna in the vicinity of Nikkô, Japan. Science Reports Tokyo Univ. Lit. and Sci., Sec. B, Vol. I, No. 15, Nov. 15, 1933. pp. 159-173, text figs. 1-10.

Eumeces latzscutatus mentioned, p. 172.
Olivier, Ervst. 1894. Herpétolgia algérienne. Mém. Soc. Zool. de France, 1894. pp. 1-36.

Eumrees algerionsis included.
Dppenheimer, ('., and Pinctsset, L. 1930. Tabulae biologicae. Junk, VY, Suppl. II, 1930.

Collected data presented (p.673) on several species of Eumeces (compiled from other writers).
Ortenburger, A. I 1926. Reptiles and amphibians collected in the Wichita Mts.. Comanche county, Oklahoma. Copeia, No. 155, 1926, pp. 137-13S.

Eumcees fasciatus, obsoletus and anthracinus listed.

- 1926 a. A report of the amphibians and reptiles of Oklahoma. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci., VI, Pt. I, 1926, pp. S9-100.

Ermeces obsoletus reported from Alfalfa, Comanche, Kay and Tulsa counties; E. anthracimus from Comanche. Pushmataha and Tulsa counties; E. pachyurus from Caddo and Cleveland counties; E. brevilineatus from Caddo county ; and E.fasciatus from numerous localities.

- 1927. A list of the reptiles and amphibians from the Oklahoma panhandle. Copeia, No. 163, April-June, 1927, pp. 46-48.

Eumeces obsoletus listed from Cimarron county.

- 1929. Reptiles and imphibians from southeastem Oklahoma and southwestem Arkansas. Copeia, No. 170, 1929. pp. S-12.

Records of Eumeecs fasciatus.

- 1929a. Reptiles and amphibians from northeastern Oklahoma. Copeia, No. 170. 1929, 1p. 26-28.

Locality record for Eumeces faseiatus.
1930. Roptiles and amphibians from Pawnee county, Oklahoma. Copeia, No. 173, 1930, pr. 94-95.

Eumeces fasciatus and guttulatus listed.

- 1930a. A key to the lizards and snakes of Oklahoma. Publ. Okla. Biol. Surs.. II. No. 4. 1930, pp. 209-239.

Enmeces obsolctus and fasciatus listed, with figs. 41 and 43 of faseiatus, and fig. 42 of obsoletus.
Ortenbtrger, A. I.. and Freeman, Beryl, 1930. Notes on some reptiles and amphibians from westem Oklahoma. Publ. Univ. Okla., II, Biol. Surv. No. 4. 1930. pp. 175-18s.

Eumeces obsoletus and guthuatus reported.
Oyer, Whliam H. 1923. Amphibians and rentiles of South Dikota. South Dakota Ceol. and Nat. Hist. Surv. Bull., No. 12 (Bull. Univ. S. Dakota, Ser, XXIII, 1923, No. 10), pp. 1-34, pls. 1-18.
E. scptentrionalis listed with the statement that the species camot regenerate a new tail! E. fasciatus reported from near Termillion, S. D.
Paliary. P. 192s. Sur trois petits vertebrates du Maroc: le Nerus getulus, l'Eumeces algeriensis et le Lacerta perspicillata. Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Algiers. XIX, 1928. p. 100.

Discusses Eumeres algertensis.
Pitch, Clide L. A rattlesnake, melano garter makes, and other reptiles from Point Pelee, Ontario. Canadian Field Naturalist, NXXIII, pp. 60-61.

List: Plestiodon fasciathes as common.
—— 1934. Eumeces in Canada. Cojecia, 1934, No. 1, Apr. 24, pp. 50-51.
Rerords E. fasciotus, septentriomalis and skiltoniamus.
Parlor, P' 1932. Jister de Samiens et Serpens des collections do Musée Hongg ho Pai ho de Tin Trin. Publ. Mus. Hoang ho Pai ho, No. 12, pp. 1-27.

Lists $E$. latisculalus. $E$. chimensis and $E$. pu limensis from rathon- lncalities. The recond of the first speces from Kotakia ho Kitnsou may be regarded as doubtful.
 (Récollés par le Dr. H. Millet.) Bull. Soc. Zoöl. France, XXXYI, 1912, 1F. 255-261.

Eumeces algeriensis given on paxes 256 and 263, from Fedhella, Azemmour, and Mogador, collected by Du Gast.
l'baces. M. (i. 1s94. Viaggio del Drat. Festa in Palestina mel Libano e regione ricine. Rettili ed anfibi. Boll. Mas. Zoöt. Anat. Compr Cniv. Torino, IX. 1894, pp. 1-20.

Reports Eumecos schnciderï (Daudin) from Ls-salt and I) weherasch.
Peters. IV. 1864. Iber die Eidechsen-familie der seinediden, inbesondere über die Schneider schen, Wiegmann'shen und neve Arten des zoologischen Museums zu Berlin. Monatsb. Königl. Preus. Akad. Wiss. Berlin. Jan. 14, 1864. 11. 44-55.

Contains the trpe descriptions of Eumeces schnciderii algeriensis and Eumeces quirquelineatus japonicus. Peters points out that the name Plostiodon is not tenable for these species and reestablishes the name Eumeces Wiegmann. E. lymxe is correctly placed in the genus Eumeces.
Petiver, Jacob. 1695-1705. Musei petiveriani centuriae $\mathcal{X}$, rariora continentes. London, 1695-1705, \& ro.

Gives (rol. 1, pl. 1, fige. 1-2) Lacerta maizamus minor cauda catrulea ( $=$ Lacerta fasciata Limmeus) .
1702. Gazophylacii naturae et artis decades. London, 1702, folio, pls. 1-100.
S. americamus? pl. 69. fig. 13.

Pintt, Jeanc. 1931. Herpetological report of Morgan county, Indiana, Proc. Ind. Acad. sei., 40. 1930. 1p $361-36 \mathrm{~s}$.

Lists Plestiodon fascialise.
Pirkess, A. L. 1927. Reptiles of upper South Carolina. Copeia, No. 165. 1927. Pr. 110-113.

Records Eumeers fasciatus from Anderson, Abbeyville and Columbia.
Pore. Clifford H. 1929. Notes on the reptiles from Fukien and other Chinese Provinces. Bult. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., LVIll, 1929. pr. 335-487.

Treat = E. frgams and E. chinensis, from large series collected by himself, with excellent data.
Pope, T. E. B. 192s. Wisconsin herpetological notes. Vear-book Public Museum, Milwanker. VIII, 1928, pr. 177-184.

Eumeces septentrionalis lised fiom Chippewa Falls. Chippewa countr. 1930. Wisconsin herpetological notes. Trans. Wisc. Acail. Šici. Arts Letters, XV̌V, 1930. pp. 2-3-284.

Same data on Eumeces as in preceding paper (p. 276).

- 1931. Wiscon*in herpetological notes. Tran- Wire. Acad. Soi. Arts Lefters, XXVV. May 1931. np. 321-329.
Pope, T. E. B., and Dickinson, W. E. 192S. The amphibians and reptiles of Wi=con-in. Bull. Pukl. Mus. Milwankee, VIl[. 192s, No. 1, pp. 1-138. ple. 1-21, text fig= 1-28.

Discusses the distribution of Eumtres wptutrionalis and fasc atus within the state of Wisconsin.
Pratt. Henry sumbrag. 1923. Vertedrate animals of the United states Philadelphia, 1923, 1や. 1-420.

Keys for varions -peces of Eumfers are given.
Reed. Hergif D.. and Wheiht. Alblet H. 1909. The vertehtates of Cabuget Lake basin, N. V'. Proce. Amer. Philos. Soc.. SLVIII, 1909, , 31, 370-459.

Lists La iolopixme laterale say from a - peremen which proves to bo
 A1r. 23. 1892. by W. J. Terry and L. A. Fucrtes.

31-1123

Rhodes, Sambel N. 1s95. Contributions to the zölogy of Tennessee. No. 1. Reptiles and amphibians. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila.. 1895. pp. 376-408.

Lists Eumeces fascintus.
Rice, 1:. L. 1920. The development of the skull in the skink Eumeces quinquelineatus. Joum. Morph., NXXIV, 1920, pp. 119-216, 12 pls.

Embryo and skull development treated.
Rodor, Harry Justin. 1928. Reptiles of Lancaster comity and the state of Pennsylvania. Sci. Press, Lancaster, Pa., 1928, , 11p. 1-53.

Notes on Eumeces anthracinus, and E. fasciatus.
Ruthen, A. G. 1910. Contributions to the herpetology of Iowa. Proc. Acarl. Sci. Iowa, XVII, 1910, pp. 195-209, fig. 7.

An account of Eumeces scptentrionalis, with figure, appears.
—— 1911. The reptiles of Michigan. Mich. Ceol. Biol. Surv. Publ. 10, Biol. Ser. 3. 1911. pp. 79-81.

Records E. fasciatus in Michigan.
—— 1919. Contribution to the herpetology of Iown III. Occ. Papers Mus. Zö̈l. Univ. Mich., No. 66, 1919, pp. 1-3. I'listiodon septentrionalis is listed from Lake Okoboji, Dickinson county.
Ruthyen, Alexander G.., and Gage, Helen Thompson. 1915. The reptiles and amphibians collected in northwestern Nevada hy the Walker-Newcomb expedition of the Thiversity of Michigin. Occ. Papers Mus. Zoöl. Univ. Mich., No. S, 1915, pp. 1-34, pls. 1-5.

Reports, with discussion of variation, five specimens of Eumeres skiltonianus from Cartin Peaks, Nevada.

- 1933. A new skink from Mexico. Occ. Papers Mus. Zoöl. Univ, Mich., No. 260, April 3, 1933, pp. 1-3.

The type description of Eumcers dicei appears. The type locality is Marmolejo, Tamaulipas. Mexico.
Ruthien, Alexander G.. Thompson, Crystal, and Gilge. Helen Thompson. 1928. The herpetology of Michigan. Mich. Handbook Series, No. 3, pp.i-x, 1-230, frontis., 19 pls.. 52 text figs.

An excellent account appears of Eumeres fasciatus, with a distributional map of the species within the state.
Sachs, IV. B. 1918. Blitt. fur Aquar. und Terr. Kimde, XXIX, 1918, pp. 281-282.

Eumeces schnciderii discused.
—— 1919. Blitt. Bur Aqu:r. und Terr. kunde, NXX. 1919, pr. 298-299.
Eumeces schneiderii discussed.
Gager, Abrım. 1839. On American amphibia. Silliman's Journ., XXXVI, pp. 320-324.

Tnder the name Scincus luteralis var. is described Eumeces fasciatus, with a poor figure. It is stated to be "found, though rarely, in Detroit."
sir, Thomas. 1818. Notes on Profesor Green's paper on the amphibia, published in the September number of this joumal. Journ. Acad. Nat. Sei. Phila., I, Pt. 2, Oct., 1818, pp. 405-407.

Comments on the identification of Lacerta 5-lincata. Believes it to be a synonym of Lacerta fasciata.
1823. In Long's Account of an experdition from littshurgh to the Rocky Mountains, performed in the years $1 \$ 19$ and '20. H. C. Carey and I. Lea, Philadelphia, 1823, Vol. 2, pp. i-xeviii, 6-442.

Schmidt. F. G. IV. 1926. List of the amphilians and reptiles of Worden township, Clark Co., Wisconsin. Copeia, No. 154, May 20, 1926, pp. 131-132.

> Eumeces septentrionalis listed from Chippewa Falls.

Schmidt, Kabl. 1916. Notes on the herpetology of North Carolina. Journ. Ehisha Mitchell Sci. Soc., XXXII, 1916. pp. 33-37.

Lists Plestiodon quinquelineatus.
1919. Contributions to the herpetology of the Bolgian Congo based on the collection of the Americ:un Musmm Congo expedition, 1909-1915. fart L. Turthes erocodiles, lizards and chamaceons. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist.. 39. Art 2. 1919, 14, 34.5-602.

Notes on distrithtion :med derivatives of Plstiodon.
——1922. The amphbians and reptiles of lower Calitomia and the neighboring island. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. IIst., NLXI, 1922, 11). 607-707.

Lists Eumucts skiltoniunus from Todus santos Is. Regards E. lagunensis a smonym.
—— 1924. A list of the amphibians amd reptiles collected near Chandeston, S. (․ Copria, No. 132, 1924, pr. 67-69.

Lists Plestiodon fasciatus.
-_ 1924. Notes on Chinesereptiles. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. JIist., LIV, 1927. 11. 167-551, pls. NXVIl1-NXX, text figs. 1-22.

Treate of four arecies of Chines Eumers. Peestablishew E. puldore, as a good species. Éumeces quadritineatus is figured (fig. 12).
Soh veider, Jossc Gottong 1699-1801. Historiae Amphibiorum naturalis et literariae. Fase. Primus, 1799; Fase. secmutur, 1801; Jena.

The type deroption of semons laterp appears (Fase. secunchus, p. 159), and semens quinquelinertus is mentioned (p). 201).

Severtzof, N. A. 1s73. Vertikalnoe j gorizontalnoe Rachoztranenie Turkestanskih Jevotnie. [Fama of T'urkestan] Bull. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscow, 1873 , p. 72.
Shaw. (i. 1802. (ieneral Zö̈logy. Amphibja. III, 1802, Pl. 1.
Brief deariptions of Lactrta quinquelineata and fasciata appear. The former is said to have been "described by Doctor Garden," who sent specimen to Limné (p, 241).
Shilone Avery J. 1849. Description of two reptiles from Oregon. Amer. Journ. Sci. Arts (Silliman's Journ.), (2), VII, May 1S49, p. 202.

Mentions that "several skinks resembling s. quinquelineatus were canght by the Indians for the Missionaries with hair snares." These specimens were sent by Rur. (icorge (iary (or Geary) to the Smithsonian Institution and berame the types of $P$. skiltomiams.
Simpir, Evgene. 1899. The turtles and lizarde found in the vicinity of New York. Proc. Limn. Soc. New York. 1895-1599, No. 11, pp. 11-32.

Notes on Eumcces fasciatus.
Smithf, Hobart M., and Leoximb, Akthur B. 1934. Distributional recordof reptiles and amphibians in Oklahoma. Amer. Mitl. Nat., XV. No. 2, 1934. 1р. 190-196.

Lists Eumeces fasciatus. obsoletus and septentrionatio.
Smith, Malculay. 1923. On a collection of reptiles and batrachians from the island of Hainan. Journ. Nat. Hiwt. Soc. Sam. VI, 1923, 11, 195-212.

- 1929. Remarks on three rate reptiles from the Indo-Chinese region. Journ. Siam Soc. Nat. Hiet. Suppl., VIII, 1929, pp. 49-50.

Reports Eumeces quadilinfatus from Muak Lek near Korat.
Smith, W. H. 1579. Catalogne of the reptilia and amphibia of Michigan. Supplement to Sci. News, I, 1579, pp. 1-6.

Eumeces fasciatus listed (pp. 3, 4, 6).
1882. Peport on the reptiles and amphibians of Ohio. Rept. Geol. Surv. Ohio, IV. 1882, 1ヶt. 1. pp. 629-734.

Data un Eumeces quinqurlineatus.
Somes, M. P. 1911. Votes on some Iowa reptiles. Proc. Acad. Sci. Iowa, SVIII, 1911. pp. 149-154.

Lists E. septentrimalis and E. fasciatus.
Stanler, A. 1914. The collection of Chinese reptiles in the Shanghai Musemn. Journ. N. ('hina Aitat. Soc., NLV. 1914, pp. 21-31.

Lists Eumeces clegans.

Stedafger, Len undi 1893. Amnotated list of the reptiles and batrachians collected by the Death Valley expedition in 1891, with descriptions of new sueries. The Death Valley expedition Part II. North Amer. Fauna, No. 7. 1893, pp. 159-228.

Gives an account of Eumeces skiltonianus, with locality records.

- 1898. On a collection of batrachians and reptiles from Formosa and adjacent islands. Journ. Sci. Coll. Imp. Univ. Tokyo, XII. 1898, pp. 215-225.

Reports Eumeces clegans (p. 220) from Taipa, Formosa, and from the Pescadores Islands, and E. chincnsis from Taipa.

- 1901. Diagnoses of eight new hatrachians and reptiles from the Riu Kiu Archipelago, Japan. Proe. Biol. Soc. Wash., XIV, 1901. pp. 189-191.

Contains the type description of Eumeces kishinouyei from islands of the Yayeyama group, Rin Kiu Archipelago.

- 1907. Herpetology of Japan and adjacent territory. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus, LVIII, 1907. pr. i-xx, 1-577, pls. I-XXXY, figs. 1-238.

Contains careful descriptions and figures of Japanese and Chinese forms.

- 1924. A new Chinese lizard of the genus Eumeces. Journ. Wash. Acad. Sci., XIV, Oct. 4. 1924, pr. 383-384.

The speries Eumeres tungraus is described from Luting Kiao, western Szechwan. The types were collected by Rev. D. C. Graham.

- 1924a. Herpetological novelties from China. Oer. Papers Boston Soc. Nat. Hist.. V. July 21, 1924, pp. 119-121.

Contains the type description of Eumeces pr kiornsis (=Eumeces aranthi Giinther). The type locality is Hsin-hung-shan district, Imperial Hunting Grounds, 65 miles northeast of Peking, Chili Province, China.
1925. Chinese amphibians and reptiles in the United states National Museim. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., LXYT, 1925, pp. 1-115, 4 text figs.

Treats four speries of Chinese Eumsers, with a figure of E. pekinensis.
steineger, Leonilari, and Barbotr, Thomas. 1917. A check list of North American amphibians and reptiles. Cambridge. Harvard Cuiv. Press, 1917, pp. i-iv, 1-125.

U'uler the name Plestiodon. 13 species are recognized.
1923. Chack list of North American amphibians and reptiles. Cambridge. Harvard Univ. Press, 1923, pp. i-iv, 1-171.

Fourteen species of Eumeces are listed. Eumecrs lagumensis is the only species added over those listed in the 1917 dition. The generic name Eumeces replaces Plestiodom.
1933. Check list of North American amphibians and reptiles. Cambridge. Harvard Univ. Press, 1933, pp. i-xiv, 1-185.

In this cdition Eumeces calliet phalus and E. humilis are added to the list recognized in the Tnited States, while guttulatus is mate a syonym of obsol tus and E. lagunonsis is made a synonym of skiltomionus, leaving a total of 14 species recognized.
Stermen. Frank. 1921. An annotated list of the amphibians and reptiles of San Diego comenty, California. Trans. San Diego Soc. Nat. Hist.. III, 1921. No. 4. pp. 57-69.

Lists Eumeces skiltonianus (p. 63).
stohezki. F. 1872. Notes on reptile collected by surgeon F. Day in simd. Proe. Asiatic soce Bengal, XLI, 1872, p. 88.

Lists Eumoces taniolatus from "right bank of Indus river between Karachi and Sakkar."
18.2a. Notes on the reptilian and amphibian fauna of Kachh. Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, XLI, 1822, mp. $75-76$.

Eumeses tar molatus (Blyth) described from specimens collected at Urira. northwestern Kachh.
$1872 h$. Notes on various new or little known Indian lizards. Journ. Asiatie Soc. Bengal, NLI, 1872, p甲. 86-135, pls. 2-5.

Eumeres discussed.

 pp. 33 -5!2.
 Jerecy ame Delaware. Amer. Nat.. NL, 1906, pp, 159-170.

Cones several locality weods for Eumeres fuscintus.

- 1911. On some collections of reptide and batrachans from the wotem Inited States. Proce Lead. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1911, pp. 222-232.

Euments vbenthus listed from Carr Cañon. Huachuca Mts., Arizona.
 ni fortion- of sonthern New Mexioo and westem Texas. Proce Acal. Nat Sct. Phila., 1903, np. 16-34.

Enmices obsoletus listed itom Pecos. Texats.
Stoker. D. H. 1sto. A report on the reptiles of Massathmetts. Bostom Jomm. Nat. Hist., III, 1840. pp. 205-253, pl. I (sep., pp. 1-64).

Gives a sood description of Eumecos quinqu lincatus (spp. p. 19).
Stracef. A. 1862. Essai d'une Erpetologie de VAgeric. Mem. Acad. Imp. sci. St. Petersb. ( 7 ), IV. No. T, 1S62, 1中, 1-s6.

Lists Plestiodon cyprium (Aldror.) from Bône, St. Cloud, Le-Sig and Arzew.
Strecker. Johs K. 1902. A preliminary report on the reptiles and batrachians of McLeman county. Trans. Texas Acad. Sci.. IV, 1901, Pt, 2. No. 5. pr. 1-7.

Records Eumeces quinquelineatus.
-_ 190s. The reptiles and batrachians of Victoria and Refugio counties, Texas. Proc: Biol. Soc. Wash., XXI, 1908, pp. 47-52.

Lits alesies of Eumbees: E. quinquelineatus from Vietoria county, and this same species with $E$. tetragrammus from Refugio county.

- 190ss. The reptiles and batrachians of McLeman county, Texas Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. NXI, Mar. 21, 1908, p1. 69-84.

Eumeces obsoleths reported from MeGregor, Texas, and E. quinquelineatus from Waco.

1908b. Notes on the habits of two Arkansas salamanders, and a list of the batrachians and reptiles collected at Hot Springs. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., NXI. 1908. mp: 85-90.

Eunces quinquelineatus listed.
190se. Notes on the breeding habits of Phrynosoma comutum and other Texa- lizards. Proc. Biol. soc. Wash.. NXI. July 27. 190s, pp. 165-170. Notes on Eumeces brevilineatus and E. Jasciatus.
—— 1909. Contributions to Texas herpetology. Notes on the herpetology of Burnett county. Texas. Baylor Cniv. Bull., NIF, No, 1, Jan., 1909, pp, I-9.

A good account of Eumeces brevilineatus, with records for obsoletus and tetratrammus.

1909a. Contributions to Texas herpetology. Reptiles and amphibians collected in Brewster county, Texas. Baylor Úniv. Bull., XII, No. 1. Jan., 1909. pp. 11-16.

Reports Eumeces brovilineatus and E. trtragrammus. This last specimen prowes to be $E$. septentiomalis obtusirostris Bocourt. Reports seeing obsoletus.
1910. Notes on the fauna of northwestem Texas. Notes on the fanna of a portion of the canyon region of northwestem Texas. Baylor Tnis. Bull., NIff. Nos. 4 \& 5, 1910, mp. 1-31.

Eumeces guttulatus and obsoletus reported.
1910a. Description of a new solitary spadefont (Scaphiopus hurterii) from Texas, with other herpeiological notes. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., XXIFI, July 23. 1910, pp. 115-122, pls. 1-2.

Eumects pachyurus is discused and figured (pl. II, fig. 2).

- 1915. Reptiles and amphibians of Texas. Baylor Univ. Bull., XVIII, - 1 . 4. 1915. pp. 1-S2.

Texas specios listed: Eumeces quinquclineatus, guttulatus, obsoletus, leptogrammus, multivirgatus, pachyurus, tetiagrammus, brevilineatus, and nuthracimes (p.25-28).

- 1922. An annotated catalogue of amphibians and reptiles of Bexar county, Texas. Bull. Sci. Soc. San Antonio, No. 4. Apr. 1922, pp. 1-21.

Plestiodon brevilineatus and $P$. obsoldus listed.

- 1924. Notes on the herpetology of Hot Springe, Ark. Baylor Univ. Bull., SXYII, No. 3, 1924, pp. 29-47.

There is a description and discussion of Plestiodon fasciatus and a very detailed account of Plestiodon anthracimus.

- 1926. Amphitians and reptiles collected in Somerville county, Texas. Contr. Baylor Uniy. Mus., No. 2, 1926. pp. 1-2.

Mestiodon fasciatus listed.

- 1926a. Notes on the herpetology of the east Texas timber belt. Liberty county amphibians and reptiles. Contr. Baylor Univ. Mus., No. 3, 1926. pp. 1-3.

Lists Plestiodon fasciatus.
—— 1926b. A list of the reptiles and amphibians collected by Louis Garni in the vicinity of Boeme, Texas. Contr. Baylor Univ. Mus., No. 6, 1926, pp. 1-9.

Eumeces brevilineatus listed.
—_ 1926c. Notes on the herpetology of the cast Texas timber belt. No. 2. Henderson county amphibians and reptiles. Contr. Baylor Univ. Mus., No. 7. 1926, pp, 1-11.

Records for Eumeces fawiatus.
—— 1927. Observations of the food hathts of Texas amphibians and reptiles. Copeia, No. 162, Jan.-Mar, 1927, pp. 6-9.

Notse on the food halits of Eumeces puchyous.

- 1927n. Chapters from the life histories of Texas reptiles and amphibians. Contr. Baylor Univ, Mus. No. 10, 1927, pp. 1-14.

Noters on the enemies of Eumeces fasciatus.
-_ 1928. Common and English folk names for Texas amphibians and reptiles. Contr. Baylor Univ. Mus., No. 16, Aug. 4, 1928, pp. 1-21.

Common names are given for Eumeces brevilineatus and fasciatus.

- 1929. Dragons and other reptiles, real and imaginary. Baylor Univ. Contr. to Folk Lore, No. III. 1929, pp. 1-19, pls. 3-4.

A plate showing an umamed skink is a photograph of Eumeces obsolctus.

- 1929a. Field notes on the herpetology of Wilbarger county, Texas. Contr. Baylor Thiv. Mus., No. 19, 1929. pp. 1-9.

Eumeces obsoletus reported.

- 1929b. A preliminary list of the amphibians and reptiles of Tarrant county, Texas. Contr. Baylor Univ. Mus.. No. 19, 1929. pp. 10-15.

Lists Eumecrs fasciutus from Fort Worth.
-T 1930. A catalogue of the amphihians and reptiles of Travis county, Texas. Coltr. Baylor Univ. Mus., No. 23, 1930, pp. 1-16.

Eumrces brevilimatus and $E$. obsoletus listed as present. States that faseiatus probably occurs.
1933. Collecting at Helotes, Bexar county, Texas. Copeia, 1933, No. 2. July 20. 11p. 77-79.

Eumrces brevilincatus mentioned (1p. 78, 79).
Strecker, John K.. and Friersox. L. S. 1926. Herpetology of Caddo and Dekoto Parishes. Loniviana. Contr. Baylor Univ. Mus., No. 5, May 15. 1926, pp. 1-8 (ummmbered).

Reports Eumecrs fasciutus and anthracinus. The large red-headed speci. men is doubtless $E$. latice $p$ s.
 from the vicinity of San Marcos. Texas with distributional data on the amphihians and reptiles of the Elwark Platoan region and eentral Freas. Contr. Baylor L'nis. Mus., No. 12, 1)e e. 1927, pp. 1-16.
E. brecilineatus diserised, and $E$. wbsoh thes and E. tctragrammus listed.

- 1924. Field note om the herpatology of Bowis comentr. Texas. Contr. Baylor Ćniv. Mus.. Ňo. 17. pp. 3-19.

Notes on Eumects fasciatus.
strint. $^{\text {L. ( }}$. 1934. A contribution to the knowledge of the herpetological fauna of El Peton. Cinatemala. Occ. Papers Mus. Zoïl. Univ. Mich., No. 292. June 29, 1934, pp. 1-15.

Eumeces schuartzei discussed.
Sumiehrast, Ferdnand, 1sBo. Contribution à l'Histoire Naturelle du Mexique I. Notes sur une Collection de Reptiles et de Batraciens de la partie orcidentale de 1'Isthme de Tehuantepec. Bull. Soc. Zoöl. de France, V. 1880. pp. 162-190.

- 1sin. Enumeración de las especies de reptiles observados en la Parte Meridional de la República Mexicana. La Naturaleza, (1), VI, 1882-1884, pp. 31-4.

Reports Eumeces lymes as common about Orizaba (perhaps furcirostris). List: E. sumichrasti.
sus. T. P. 1926. Notes on the lizards of Nanking. Contr. Biol. Lab. Sci. China. II, pr. 1-10.

Description and hahits of Eumoces chimensis. E. Intiscutatus is listed mobably erroneously, also Plestiodtn (sic) clegans.
Straface. H. A. 190s. First report on the lizards of Pennsylvania. Zoül. Bull. Pennerlvania Dept, Agri.. V. No. S. 1908, pp. 234-258, nts. 30-33, figs. 26-2S.

Describes Eurneces anthracinus and fasciatus in considerable detail, with interesting notes on habits.
Svilla, Arther, int Sufhla, Rutif Dowfll. 1933. Amphibians and reptiles of Whitman county, Washington. Copeia, 1933, No. 3, Oct. 15, pp. 125-128.

Eumcess skiltonimus recorded from the north bank of Snake river.
šwindoe, R. 196i3. A list of the Fommon reptiles; with notes on a few specie and -ome remark on a fish (Orthagoriscus sp.). Amn. Mag. Nat. Hist.. (3). XII, pp. 219-226.

Mabouin chiurnsis is reported from Tamsuy, Formosa (this is Eumeces clegons, according to Boulenger [Cat. Liz.]).
1870. List of the reptiles and batrachians collected in the Island of Hainan (China). Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London, 1870, pp. 239-241.

Eumeces chinensis reported from Hainan, Formosa and the Pescadores Islands.

18:0 0 . Notes on the reptiles and batrachians collected in various parts of China. Proc. Zoöl. Soc London. 1870. pp. 409-412.
Tanser. Visco M. 192-. Distributional list of the amphibians and reptiles of Utah. Copria, No. 163. 1927. pp. 54-5s.

Priorts Eumeres skillmiamus from Zion National Park, Now Harmony and Cove Fort.
Taybor. Ebward II. 1929. A species of lizard new to the fauna of the United States: Eumeces callicephalus Bocourt. Tniv. Kans. Sci. Bull, XIX, 1929. пр. 67-69.

The species is reported from the Huachuca mountains in Arizona.
1932. Eumbers inexpectatus: a new American lizarl of the family Scincidar. T゙nir, Kans, Sci. Bull., NX, 1932. py. 251-258, pls. XVH-XVTII.

Gives the trpe description of Eumeres imxpectatus. with the type locality Citrus county. Florida. The form is compard with E. fosciutus, and figures of looth species are given.

1932n. Eumeces laticens: a neglected species of skink. Unix. Kans. sci. Bull., XX, 1932, pp. 263-272, pls. NIX-NX.

Reistablishes the name laticeps for the large species of skink long regarded as the southern form of Eumeces fasciatus.
1933. Two new Mexican skinks of the genus Eumeces. Proc. Biol. soc. Wash.. XLVI, June 30, 1933, pp. 129-138, 2 figs.

Eumeces ochoterence from Mazatlín, near Chilpancingo, Guerrero, and E. copei from near Asuncion, Mexico, Mexico, are decribed as new. Figures of both species are given.
-_ 1933a. Notes on trpe specimens of reptiles and amphibians in the "Alfiredo Dugès" museum, Guanajuato, Mexico. Copeia, 1933, No. 2, July 20, pp. 97-9S.

Eumeres altomirani and rovirosae examined (p.97).
-_ 1933b. New species of skinks from Mexico. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash.. XLJI, Oct. 26, 1933, pp. 175-183.

The type descriptions of Eumeces parvulus from Tepic, Nuyarit, Mexico, and Eumeces parviouriculatus from Alamos, Sonora, Mexico, appear.
1934. Notes on Chinese reptiles and amphibians. Lingnan Sci. Jour.. XIII. No. 2, Apr. 1S, 1934, pp. 297-310.

Eumeces chinensis and clegans reported from Foochow, China.
1934a. A new species of lizard from Mexico. Unir. Kans. Sci. Bull., CXI. No. 5. Mar. 1933 (Nipt., 1934), pp. 257-267, pls. 24-25.

Eumeces indubitus described.
-_ 1935. A new skink from Mexico. Zoöl. Ser. Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Vol. XX . No. 10, pp. 77-80, fig 7.

Eumeces colimensis is described from Colima. Mexico, with figures of the head scales.
Tehoca, Lin Tohang. 1931. Notes on some Chinese lizards. Bull. Fan Memorial Inst. Biol. Peiping, II, 1931. pp. 265-2S0, pl. 1.

Eumeces latiseulatus (sic) and Eumrees pekinensis reported from Peiping, and Eumeces elegans and E. chinensis from Nanking.
Temminck, C. J., and Schlegea, H. 1835-1838. Fama japonica. Reptilia. pp. i-xi, 1-144, map, pls. I-IX (Chelonia). I-X (Ophidia), I-VIII (Sauria and Batrachia).

Discuses Scincus quinquelinertus ( $=$ Eumeces latiseutatus) and gives figures of it (Pl. I, figs. 1-4).
Terentier, Parl. V. 1923. Conceming the question of the presence of Eumeces marginatus Hall. in Russia. Copeia, No. 119. June 16, 1923, p. 76.

Specimens of skinks from the Ussuri coast of Siberia identified by Elpatjewski and Sabanejew as Eumeces marginatus are identified as $E$. latiscutatus.
Theobad. Willmam. 1866. Catalogue of reptiles in the museums of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. Extra Number, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, No. CALVI, 1866, pp. 1-S8, pls. 1-4.

Lists Plestiodon quinquelincatum ( $=$ Eumeers chinensis) from China, and Mabouia quadrilineata Blyth from Hongkong. probably the type. He states the specimen is labelled "Plestiodon quinquelineatum L. North Carotina, Rev. F. Fitzgerald" in Mr. Blyth's handwriting! Plestindon scutatus is also described from a specimen without locality, and $P$. [lestiodon] laticeps D. \& B . is listed from North Carolina.
1876. Descriptive catalogue of the reptiles of British India. Calcutta, Tharker, Spink and Co.. 1876, pp. i-x, 1-238, i-xxxviii, i-xiii, pls. 1-2.

Lists and describes Eumeces tacniolatus from "hills between Jhilum and Kiashmir," and Eumcces blythianus from the doubtiul locality, Amritsar, Punjab.
 Mexique et appartenant ang gente Eumece (Plestiodon). Bull. Soc. Philom,


Eumuces (Plestiodon) duysii is dweribed as new from a specimen collereded by Mire do Duges in the state of (iumajnato. Mexico.
Thoarson. Chatal 1915. The reptile and amphibians of Manister rounty. Michigan, Oec. Papers Mus, Zä̈l. Vniv. Mich.. No. 18, 1915, pp. 1-6.

Notes on Eumeces quinquelinrutus.
Thompsos. J. C. 1912. Herpetologial notices No. 2. Prodrome of description of now suecies of Reptilia and Batrachia from the Far East. Privately printed. Jume 28: 1912, pp. 1-4.

Enme ers whime nis is dereribal as new from Kikaigashimat. Loo Choo Islands, and Eumeces stimsonii from Ishigaki Island, Loo Choo Islands. 1912a. Herretological notices No. 3. On reptites new to the jetamd arr of Asia. Privately printed. July 31, 1912. pp. 1-5.

Mentions Eumeces stimsonii.
Townsexd. Charles H. 1857. Field notes on the mammals, birds and reptiles of Corthem Califomia. Proc. C. S. Nat. Mus., X. 1857, 11). 159-241.

Roports Eumeces skiltonianus from Pitt river.
Tristam. 1860. The Great Sahara wanderings south of the Atlas mountain*. London. 1860. Arpendix VI.

Appendix VI includes data on reptiles.
Turxer. Claresce L. 1914. Wax reconstruction of the brain of an embryo lizard. Eumeces. Unir. Kans. Sci. Bull.. 9. No. 9, pp. 111-11S.
Unger and Kotschy: 1865. Die Insel Cypem. Wjen.. 1865, S vo, pp. 1-572. (Not seen.)
Vin Denblrgh, John. 1895. A review of the herpetology of Lower Califomia. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (2), V. 1895. pp. 77-162, pl. XIII.

The type description of Eumeces lagumensis appears, with good figure on pl. XİII, figs a-f.
-_ 1896. A list of some reptiles from southeastern Arizona, with a deseription of a new species of Chemidophorus. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci.. (2), VI, 1896. pr. 335-349.

Euncees obsoletus reported irom Fort Grant, Graham comty. Arizona,
-_ 1896a. Description of a new lizard (Eumeces gitberti) from the Sierra Nevada of California. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci.. (2), II, 1896. 1י. 350-352.

Type description given of this form from a specimen from losemite Valley. Mariposa county, Califomia.
—— 1597. The reptiles of the Pacific Coast and the Great Basin. Occ. Papers Calif. Acad. Sci., T, 1-236.

Careful accounts given of Eumeces skiltomianus and E. gilberti, with a figure of the former species.
1905. The reptiles and amphibians of the islands of the Pacific Coast of North America from the Farallons to Cape San Lucas and the Revilla Cigedas. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (3), IV, 1905, Tr. 1-40.

Reports Eumeces skiltemianas from North Coronado Island. Lower Caliiornia.
1912. Adrance diagnoses of new reptiles and amphibians from the Loo Choo I-lands and Formosa. Privately printed, July 29, 1912, pp. 1-5.

Describes Eumeces marginutus amamionsis from Amami Oshima, E. ishigations. from I higaki shima. E. marginatus kilatucnsis from Kikaigo Shima, E. barbouri from Amami Oshima, and E. chinfusis formosensis from Formosa.
-_ 1912a. Votes on a collection of reptiles from southern Cithiomia amd Arizona. Proe. Calif. Acad. sci., (4), III. 1912-1913. pl. 117-156.

Eume ces skithonimus reported from locatities in sian Diequ and sum Bernardino comentos. ('alitomia.

1912b. Concerning certain species of reptiles and amphibians from Chima, Japan, the Loo Choo Islands and Formosa. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (4). III, 1912-1913 (Dec.. 1912), pp. 187-258.

A very important paper describing in detail Eumeees barbouri, marginatus rmamiensis, margimatus kikaigensis, ishigakionsis and chinensis formosensis, hrief descriptions of which had been published in a privately printed paper previously.
1922. The reptiles of western North America. Vol. I. Lizards. Occ. Papers Calif. Acad. Sci., X゙, 1922, pp. 1-611, pls. 1-57.

Detailed accounts of P'lestiodon skiltomianus, lagunensis, obsoletus and guttulatus [obsoletus (part.) = callicephalus Bocourt]. Gilberti is described and reluctantly placed in the synonymy of skiltonianus. Fig. 1, pl. 56, is of skiltonimas; fig. 2 is of gilberti; pl. 54 is a photograph of obsoletus.
1924. Notes on the herpetology of New Mexico, with a list of speries from that state. Proc. Calif. Acarl. Sci.. (4), XIII, No. 12, 1924, pp. 189-230.

Lists Eumeces multivirgatus from Fort Wingate and Top of Ridge, Forks of Rio Ruidoso. E. obsoletus and guttulatus are also listed.
Van Denburgh, John, and Slevin, Joseph. 1913. A list of the amphibians and reptiles of Arizona, with notes on the species in the collection of the Academy. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (4), III. 1913, pp, 391-454, pls. 17-28.

Eumcces obsoletus listed from Arizona.

- 1914. Reptiles and amphibians of the islands of the west coast of North America. Proc Calif. Acad. Set.. (4), IV, 1914, pp. 129-152.

Reports Eumeces skiltonianus from Coronatos Islands, Mexico, and from Catatina Is., Cal.
1915. A list of the amphibians and rentiles of Utah, with notes on the species in the collection of the Academy. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (4), V, 1915, pp. 99-110.

Reports Eumeces shiltoniams from Mt. Baldy, Beaver county, Utah.
1921. A list of the amphibians and reptiles from Nevada, with notes on the species in the rollection of the Academy. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (4) XI, No. 2, July 8, 1921, pp, 27-38.

Lists Plestiodon skilfomianus.
1921a. List of the amphibians and reptiles of Idaho, with notes on the species in the collections of the Acadeny. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., (4), XI, No. 3, July 8, 1921, pp. 39-47.

First records of Eumeces skiltoniomus from Idaho: Ft. Hall, Bingham county, and Boise, Ada combty.

1921b. A list of the amphibians and reptiles of the peninsula of Lower California, with notes on species in the collection of the Academy. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci.. (4), XI, No. 4. July S. 1921, pp. 49-72.

Lists Plestiodon skiltonianus and $\dot{P}$. lagunensis.
Van Hyning. O. C. 1933. Batrachia and Reptilia of Alachua county, Florida. Copeia, 1933, No. 1, Apr. 3, pr. 3-7.

Lists Eumeces egregrius (sic) and Eumeces faseiatus (= probably laticeps and inexpectatus).
Vasibjev, L. 1904. Eumeces seutatus in the Transcaspian Province. Ann. Mus. St. Petersb., IX, 1904, pp. xiii-xv, supplement.

Obtains 30 specimens in Transcaspia, especially in the Arvaz (Arizay?) Pass at Kopet-dag (p. xiii).
Verrill, A. E. 1902. The Bermuda Islands. New Haven, Conn., 1902, 8 vo, mp. 1-548, illus.

Note on E. longirostris.
Vogt, Theodor. 1914. Südchinesische Reptilien und Amphibien. Sitz. Ges. Naturf. Freunde, Ber.. 1914, pp. 96-102.

Lists Eumeces elcgans from Canton.
——1922. Zur Rejutilien- and Amphibientama Sialchinas. Arch. Naturg., so. Heft. 10 , Abt. A. 1922, 114. 135-116.

Lists Eumeces chinensis and E. elogans.
-_ 1924. Reptiben and Amphibien ann Sontechwan, Dettibet und Techili. Zool. Anz., LA. 1921 . pr. 337-344.

Emmeres chimensis liated from Oberes Mental an! K゙anton. Sitates "Hinter den zwei parr Nuchalia sind die folgenden Zwei parr Rüchenschuppen deutlich vergrössert."
 und Tübigen, 1830, np. 1-3.54.

Eupremis quinquelineatus and Euprepis fosciatus niontioned p. 161.
Wigier, M. 1St1. Bemerkmeren iher die in der Regentachaft Algier geammelten Amphibien ron Schlegel. Reisen in der Regenschait Algier, Leipzig, 1841.
Weller. W. H. 1930. Guide to the exhibition of amphibians and reptiles, Cincinnati Society of Natural History. pp. 1-4.

Lists Eumeces fosciatus.

- 1930r. On a recent occurrence of the blue-tailed skink in Hamilton county. Proc. Cin. Junior Soc. Nat. Sci.. I, 1930, „. 9.

Reports Eumcces fascintus.

- 1930h. Records of some reptiles and amphibians from Chimney Rock Camp. N. ('.. and ricinity. Proc. Cin. Junior Soc. Nat. Sci.. I. 1930. pp. 9-12 (unnumbered).

Reports Eumeces fasciatus.
Werver. Fravz. 1904. Ther Reptilien und Batrachier aus Guatemala und China in der Zoologischen Stats-Sammlung in Mïnchen, nebst einem Anhang iiber seltene Formen aus anderen Gebieten. Abh. Bayer. Akad. Wiss.. II. Kl. XXII. 1904. pp. 343-384. 1 pl.. 4 text figs.

Records of Chinese Eumeces given: quadrilineatus (Hongkong. Szetschwan. Kwantung), elegans, chinensis and xanthi (the latter doubtfully correct).
1914. Ergebnissee einer ron Prof. F. Werner im Sommer 1910 mit Unterstiitzung aus dem Legate Wedl ausgefïhrten Zoologischen Forschungsreise nach Algerien. II, Vertebrata. Sitz. Kais. Akad. Wiss. Wien. Math.Natur. Klasse. CXXIII. Pt. 4, 1914. pp. 331-363.

Tnder the heading "Die reptilien von Figig" is listed Eumects algericusis meridionalis.

- 1917. Reptilien aus Persien (Provinz Fars). Verhand. K. K. Zool.Botan. Ges. Wien, LXVII, 1917, pp. 191-220.

Eumeces schneiderii (Dand.) is renorted and discussed from this region (p. 203).
1929. Wirsenschafflich Ergebnise einer Zoologischen Forschungsreise nach Westalerion und Marokko. Sitz. Akad. Wiss. Wien. Math.-Natur. Klasee. Abt. I. Band 13s. Heft 1-2, 1929, pr. 1-34, pls. I-IV.

Reports E. algeriensis. E. selnciderii and E. algeriensis meridionalis Domergur (the latter from Ain Sefra).
Wetstens. Otto. 192S. Amphibion und reptilien ans Palaistina und Syrien. Sitz. Akarl. Wiss. Wien., Math.-Naturw. Klases, 137, 1928, pp. 783-786, 1 pl .

Eumeess setheiderii (Daud.) subsp.? is reported from Benyamina, wouth of Haiffa.
Wied. Privzzze Maximmina, 1865. Verzeichmis der Reptikien welche anf einer Reise im nïrdichen America beobachtet wurden. Nova Aet. Acad. Leopold Carol Nat. Curios, XXXII, 1s65, pp. i-vii, 1-143, pls. 1-7.

Contains a detailed account of Plestiorton crythrocephalum and $P$. quinquelinatum.

Wiegañ. A. F. 1828. Beitrige zur amphibienkumde. Isis, 1828, pr. 364-383.
Lists the type sperimen of Eumeres lymer as simose quinquelineatus var. Schneirl. He states that it is called Lynse by the natives. However, the name lyose is apparently a transtation of the name applied to both the lizard and to the large mountain lions by the Mexicans in certain localities. The classic form of the name is lyner, lyncis.
1834. Herpetologia Mexicana, 1834, pp. 1-vi, 1-54, pls. I-X.

Under the name Eupmors lyme Wiegmann describer as a new species the specimen mentioned in Isi- (1828, p., 373) as Seincus quinquelineatus yar. The type locality is "prope, Chico," Mexico. He does not place it in the newly created genus Eumeces appearing in the same work.

- 1835. Bericht iiber die Fortschritte der Zoologie in Jahre 1834 vom Herangeber (Schlufs.). Arch. fiur Naturg., I Jahr, II Band, pp. 255-348.

Choose: Eumeces pavimentatus the type by the statement "Falschlich sind scincus rufcscens Merr. und pmetatus Schn. dazu gestellt; beide gehören zu Euprepes s. st. Nur Sc. pavimentatus Geoffr. gehört zu Eumeces." (p. 288.)
1837. Herpetologische Notizen rom Herausgeber. Arch. fïr Naturg., IH Jahr. I Bind, 1837. pp, 123-136.

Fumeces pavimentatus is discussed (pp. 131-132) as "die typische Art dieser Abtheilung ist der schone Scincus pavimentatus Geoff.," etc.
Williams, J. B. 1903. A further note on the blue-tailed lizard. Ottawa Naturalist, XVII, 1903, p. 60.

On Eumcces fasciatus.
Wolter, O. 1918. Feldposthriefe aus Mesopotamien. Blätt. fiir Aquar.-Terr.kunde, XXIX, 1918, pp. 289-291.

- 1919. Feldpostbriefe aus Mesopotamien. Blatt. für Aquar.-Terr.kunde, XXX. 1919, pp. 15, 339, 353-354.

Eumeccs schnciderii included.
Wombury, Angus M. 1928. The reptiles of Zion National Park. Copeia, No. 166, 192s. pp. 14-21.

Lists Plestiodon skiltonianus.
1931. A descriptive catalogue of the reptiles of Utah. Bull. Univ. C'tah, NXI, 1931 (Biol. Surv. Vol. I, No. 4). pp, 1-129, text figs. 1-5S.

Contains a goorl account of Eumeces skiltonianus.
Wright, Albert H. 1919. The turtles and the lizards of Monroe and Wayne counties. New York. Copeia, No. 66, 1919, pp. 6-8.

Gives New York locality records of Eumcces anthracinus.
Wright, Albert H., and Funkhouser. W. D. 1915. A biological reconnaissance of the Okefinokee swamp in Ceorgia. The reptiles. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., Mar., 1915. pp. 108-192.

Detailed account given of Plestiodon quinquelineatum (=Eumeces laticeps and $E$. inexpcctatus).
Wu, H. W. Herpetological notes from Hangchow. Sc. Rept. Nation. Cent. Unir. Nanking, I, 旷. 51-58.

Eumeces regans listed.
Yaronw, H. C. 1SS2. Checklist of North American Reptilia and Batrachia. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus.. No. 24, 1882, pp. 1-249.

Recognizes the following species of Eumeces: septentrionalis, multizirgatus, leptogrammus, obsoletus, guttulatus, skiltonianus, fasciatus and longirostris.
Yarrow, H. C., and Hexshaw, H. W. 1878. Report upon the reptiles and batrachians collected during the years 1875, 1876, 1877, in California. Arizona, and Nevada by Dr. H. C. Yarrow, acting assistant surgeon U. S. A., and H. W. Henshaw. Ann. Rept. Geog. Surv. West 100th Mer. (App. L. Ann. Rept. Chief Eng. for 1878), pp. 206-226. (Also published in a series, Ann. Rept. Chief Eng. to Secy. War, 187S, pt. 3, App. NN (App. L, pp. 1628-1648).
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 Eimmeces alye rie mis included（pp．451－455）．
1909．Nuta sobre reptiles de Melella（Marmecos）．Bol．Real Soc．


Eume ces alderie mふis included（1．354）．

## AIDENDA TO THE BIBLIOCRAPHY

 tion and surver of the Valley of the Geat salt Lake of tath．App．C．， 1り．336－3．3：
Bowdenger．（i．A．18S．On the geographiaal distribution of the Latertilia． Ann．Mar．Nat．Hi－t．．（5）．NVl．Aur．．lBS5．
—— 18st．List of reptiles and batrathime from Cypms．Anm．Mag．Nat． Hiッt．．（5）．NХ．No．119．Nov．．15S7．M1．344－345．

Eumbers schucideri Dandin listed from Cypras．Five specimens，there with 26．two with 24 sate rows round the middle of body．

1ssata．On the affinitios of the North American lizard fatma．Ann． Mag．Nitt．Hist．．（5）．XX．No．119，Nov．．1S87．pp．345－346．

1ssis．On the affinity of the North American lizarl fanma．Ann．Mars． Niat．Hist．．（6），I，No．2．Feb．．1sSS，Mu）．107－109．
Burt．Charles E．1936．A key to the lizards of the Enited states and Canada，Trans，Kam＊Acad．sci．，XXXVIll．1935，pr．25s－305，7］fig－

This work，just off the pres．contains a kes to eleven of the ninetefn forms of Eumbers ocembing in the territory treated．Certain of bis＂specte＂ are composite groups of there or four forms．The work will b，of lit tle wes in identifying many of the species and subserefes focmuring the Cnitod之tatco．
 Ann．Mag．Nat．Hist．．（6），I，Jan．．lsce，pu，24－2－．
Lord，J．Ki．ISS6．B！iti－h Colomhia，Il，Lizards．M＇，302－30s．
Pore．Clafford II．la3．The reptiles of Chima．Nat．Hist．Cont．Asia．N，


 Fumbers thomumse anid Eumtres xaththi．
Tintor，Ebwsed II．1936．The medi－covery of the lizard Eumbers altamirati （Dusès）with notes on two other Masican fureis of the wemus．



# EXPLANATION OF PLATES <br> (543) 

## PLATE I

Eumeces schwartzei Fischer
Michigan L'niversity Musem of Zoölogy. No. 6S226; snout to vent. 112 mm .; Chichen-Itzá. Yucatín. Mexico.

PLATE I


## PLATE II

Eumeces altamirani Dugè
Fig. 1. Taken from Duges (1s91), Plate X.JII.

Fig. 2. Photograph oi British Museum. No. 53. S. 17. 6: shout to vent. 116 mm . (Emmeres tam miohtus Bonlenger. Itom Blyth).

PLATE II


## PLATE III

Eumeces tarmenlatus (Blỵth)
Fig. 1. Lateral view, Britioh Mu*em, No. 70, 11, 29, 9; Alpine Punjab on the route from Jhelum into Kashmir. Photograph by courtesy of the British Muedum (Natural History).

Fui. 2. Same, dorsal view.
Eume ces primet ps (Eichwald)
Fiti. 3. Kansas Univerity Museum, No. 11020 ; shout to rent, 125 mm ; Transcatial.

PL.ITE III


## PIATE IV <br> ELumates tom niolatus. (Blyth)

Finc. 1. Lateral viw, E. H. Taytor Coblection. No. 48ss: snont to rent. 95.2 mm. ; Puli Hatum, Tranmeatitia.

Fig. 2. Sans. domal view.

PLATE I


[^54]PLATE 1


## PLATE VI <br> Euntuecs blythiomas (Andereom)

Fib. A. Lateral viw, British Mu-emm, No. 98. 7, 12.1; Afridi country, Afthan bowterland. Photograph ly comery of the BritFish Mavem (Natural History).

Fis. B. Same, doreal visw.

PLATE VI


[^55]PLATE \} 1 \mathrm { I }


Firi. 1. Cuited sitates National Masemm, No. 10946 ; -nont to yent, 155 mm ; Alouria.

Eumeres algarin his.s alyeriomsis: (Petera)
 ('avablanca, Morocco.
 Gran, Weat Algeria.

PLATE ill


PLATE IX<br>Eumeres lomgirostris (Cope)<br>Fifi. 1. Kansas Thiversity Muselum, No. 8215 ; snout to rent, 60 mm ; (astle I-land, Bermuda I-kands.<br>Fig. 2. Kansia ' adult mate; Castle Island, Bermmala Islamds.<br>Fig. 3. Kansal Yniversity Musemm, No. 7280 ; snout to vent. 72 mm ; Cartle I-land. Bermudat Istande.

PLATE IN


## PLATE X <br> Eumeres sumichrasti (Cope)

Fig. 1. Lateral view, British Musemm, No. S1, 10.31,30; about natural size; Jalapa, Vera Cruz, Mexico. Photomaph ly comere of the British Museum (Natural llistory).

Fig. 2. Sime, dorsal viow.
Fig. 3. Paratype of "Ehumbers sehmidti" Dumn. Field Museum Natural History, No. 1 soot ; shout to vent, 64 mom. Lameetilla, Homduras.

PLATE


## PLATE XI <br> Eumbers forcintus (Limmanus)

Fiti. 1. Kimsan Crimersity Muscun, No. 11359; adult male, about natural size: Imboden, Ark.

Fiti, 2. Kansar Thiverity Mustum. No. 1135.5; about natural size; transitional coloration: Imberden, Ark.


PLATE XI


PLATE XII<br>Eumeras latier ps (schneider)

Fig. 1. Michigan Cniversity Museun of Zoölogy, No. 67792; snont to vent, 93 mon.; adult female; Pigeon river. Butte comoty, Alabama.

Fig. 2. Michigan Cniversity Museum of Zoölogy, No. 67793; snout to vent, 8. mm.; Homston county, Georgia.

PLATE XII


## Plate Xili

## 

Fui. 1. Michigan I'niversity Muscum of Zoülogy, No. 57217 ; mout to vent, it mon: ecem-lined form: Micamos Road, Florida.

Fu; 2. Midhgan Cniverity Mherm of Zoühgy, No. Sf607; shout to rent, 9.) mome: seven-lined form: femald with it moteveloped eqge in oraries; Alachua comoty. Florida.
 St mom.: five-linct form: H:nmery. Ind.
 lined form: Delaware comoty, wklama.

Platツ N1II


## PLATE XIV

Eumeces inexpectatus Taylor
Fig. 1. Latmal view, Michigan Coniversity Munemin of Zoüogy, No. 61629; femalre: shout to vent, 67 mom.; (inlfiort, Pinelas coment, Florida.

Fli. 2. Sime, domal view.
Fri. 3. Michiqan Cniversity Musemm of Zoïlogy. No. 61631 ; shout to vent. 50 mm. ; Hillsboro county, Florida.

Fig. 4. Kansar Cnimersity Musemm, No. 8232 ; type; snout to vent, 66 mm .; Citrus comoty, Florida.

Fug. 5. Kimsan Cuiversity Museum, No. 8233 ; paratype; snout to vent, 62 mm.; Citrus county, Florida.

PLATE XIV


## PLATE NV <br> Eumucess ramthi Giinther

Fus. 1, 2. 3. Dorsal and lateral viow of British Maseun, No. S3. 6, 25.4: cotypes; aboht natural sizn. Photographe by courtery of the Britioh Mharm (Natural History).

PLATE NT


## PLATE XVI <br> Eumeces eleqans Boulenger

Fita. 1. ('alitomiat Academy of Sciences, No. 31402 ; snout to vent, 69 mm .; Moh Kan shan, China.

Fig. 2. Califomia Academy of Sriences, No. 31399: Moh Kian Shan, China. (The head salse of this seremen are shown in text figure No. 4 , A and B.)

Fig. 3. Califomia Acarlemy of Scienees, No. 26662 ; snout to vent, 89 mm .; Moh Kin Shan, China.

PLATE NOI


## PLATE XVII

Eumeres stimsemii Thommeon
Fri. 1. Califomia Academy of sciencer, No. 21658: snout to rent, 63 mm ; Hhigakijima, Piu Kiu Island, Japan.

Fus. 2. Califomia Acarlemy of semoer, No. 216.59; mout to vont, 5s mm.; I-higakijima, Riu Kin lilands, Japan.
 I-higakijima, Rin Kilu IN:ath. Japan.

Fris. 4. Calitomia Acadmuy of Sciencer. No. 2164s: snont to vent, 53 mm ; 1-higakijima, Rin Kin Flande, Japan.

PLATE NVII


PLATE NVIII
Eumeers margimutus (Hallowell)
 Nagn, ()kinawa, Rin Kin IFand-. Japan.

Fra. 2. Califomia Acadomy of scienees. No. 24254; shout to rent, 70 mm .: Naqo, Okinawa, Rin Kin Lelamts, Japan.

Fig. 3. Califomia Aradmy of sicieners, No. 242.51; -nout to vent, 72 mm.; mate: Nago, Okinawa, Riu Kin I-lamts, Japam.

PLATE NVIII


## PLATE NAN <br> 

 fomale: N
 Niishimat, Jdzu F-lands, Japan.
Eumberes orhime wios Thompeon
 Islames, Japan.

PLATE NIN


## PLATE XX <br> Eumeres oshimfnsis Thompson

Fig. 1. California Academy of Sciences, No. 21634; snout to rent, 65.5 mm.; Kikaigashma, Rin Kiu Istank, Japan.

Fig. 2. Califomia Acatemy of scionces, No. 21626 ; sout to vent, 82.5 mm .; Kikaigashima, Riu Kiu Islands, Japan.

Fig. 3. California Academy of Sciences, No. 21613; snout to vent, 66 mm ; Amamioshima, Riu Kïu Istands, Japan.

Fig. 4. Califomia Academy of Sciences, No. 21565; snout to vent, 78 mm.; Amamioshima, Riu Kiu Islands. Japan.

Fig. 5. Califomia Arademy of Sciences. No. 21633; snout to rent, 53 mm .; Kikaigushana, Riu Kiu Islands, Japan.

PLATE NX


## PLATE XXI <br> Eumnces lenlisrututus (Hallowell)

Fira. 1. California Acaldery of Aciences, No. 33028; snout to vent, 72.5 mm .; Liohn. Japan.

Fri. 2. Califomia Acadeny of seimens, Xis. 33048; mout to rent, 74.5 mun; Miyazo, Japan.

Fri. 3. California Academy of Sciencen, No. 33049, nout to vent. $\mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{~mm}$.; Miyazo. Japan.

PLATE NXI


# PLATE XXII <br> Eumeces brevilineatus Cope 

Fig. 1. Kansar Cniversity Musmm, No. $\quad$ ab9; snout to vent, 51 mm ; topotype; Helotes. Bexar county. Texas.

Fig. 2. Kansar Tniversity Musum, No. 13199; nout to rent, 49 mm ; Ghass Mountains. Brewster county. Texas.

Fig. 3. Kansan Cniversity Muselm, No. 13200; -nout to rent, 58 mm .; Chisos Mountains, Brewster countr. Texas.

Fig. 4. Kansar Chiversity Mureum, No. 7668; -nout to vent, 59 mm ; Alpine, Brewster county, Texas.

PLATE ŠII


## PLATE XXIII

## Eumeces cullicephalus Bocourt

Fig. 1. Lateral view. Harvaral Musenm of Comparative Zoölogy, No. 15928; snout to vent, 57 mon.; Chihmahua (C'ity"?), Chihmahua, Mexico.

Fig. 2. same, dormal viow.
Fig. 3. Lateral view : Califomia Academy of Sciences. No. 48095 ; snont to vent, 52.2 mm. : Huachue: Momntains, Arizonal.

Fra. 4. same, dorsal view.

PLATE XXIII


## PLATE XXIV <br> Eumeces obsoldths (Baird and (iirard)

Fig. 1. E. H. Titylor Collection, No. 1886: mout to vent, 94 mm ; Lawrence, Kan.
 Cameron county, Texas.

Fif. 3. E. H. Taylor Colleqtion, No. 1sst ; mont to rent, 97 mm.; Lawpence, Kim.

PLATE NX゙バ


## PLATE NXV

Entuces chimensis pulchur (Dmméril and Bibron)
Fig. 1. Califomia Academy of Scieneos, No. 14662: Shanghai, China.
Eumeres chinensis chime nsis (Gray)
Fw, 2. Michgan Sniverity Mmenm of Zoülogy, No. 65028; snout to rent, 92 mm. Moh Kan Shan, Chinat

Fti, 3. Califormia Academy of sejences. No. 1s603: Kechung, Formosa. ("Enmeres chinensis formostmus" Van Denburgh.)

PLATE ANF


## PLATE NXVI <br> Eumeces kishimomyri Stejneger

Fut. 1. California Academy of seiences, No. 21724; sout to vent, 80 mm .; I-higakigima, Rin Kiu Istants, Japan.

Fir. 2. California Acallmy of sioncer. No. 21722; -nout to rent, 134 mm ; Miyakojima, Pin Kin lwands, Japan.

Fre. 3. California Academy of seiences, No. 21725; shout to rent, 137.5 mun.; I.higakigima, Riu Kiu I-hanl. Jatan.

PLATE NXVI


## PLATE NXTII

Eumures multivirguthas (liallowell)
Fli. 1. Denter Mumbin, No. G; -nout to vent, 60 mm.; Weld county, Colomalo.
 Colmado.
 Colmanlo.

PLATE XXII


## PLATE NXVIII <br> Eumeres sf ptentrionalis obtusirostris (Bocourt)

Fig. 1. Kansas University Museum, No. 13158; snout to vent, 63 mm ; Waco, McLennan county, Texas.

Fig. 2. Kansas Cuiverity Museum, No. 13159; snout to rent, 45 mm ; Waco, MeLennan county, Texas.

> Eumects multivirgatus Hallowell

Fig. 3. United States National Musemin. No. 30833; snout to vent. 69 mm ; Chihuahua, Chihnahua, Mexico.

Fig. 4. Collection Grand C'añon National Park, unnumbered; young; snout to vent, 35 mm.; Crand Cañon National Park, Arizona.

PLATE NXTII


## PLATE NXX <br> Eumuras humilis Bonlenger

 47 mon. two miles south of entrane of Carlband Casmer. New Mexico.

Fifi. 2. Sinme. domal view.



PLATE AXX


## PLATE NXXI <br> Eumeces raregius onucrepis (Соре)

Fig. 1. C'nited States Nationał Museum, No. 60515; shout to vent, 54 mm .; Auburndate, Pope comnty, Florida.

Eumeres (ate gius r gregins: (Baird)
Fifi. 2. United States National Musemm. No. 61692 ; smout to rent, 46 mm .; Big Pine Kry. Florida.

## Eumeres parmine Taytor

Fif. 3. Cnited States Nationad Museum, No. 51395 ; paratype; snout to rent, 37 mm.; Miniman, Nayarit, Mexico.

Fig. 4. United States National Museum, No. 56903 ; shout to rent, 51 mm .; twhe: Tepic, Nayarit, Mexico.

Eumeres parvinuriculatus Tixylor
Fig. 5. Cnited States National Museum, No. 47536, snout to rent, 4 mm.; Alamos, Sonora, Mexico.

Thylor: Tine Cients Euneces
PLATE SXXI


## PLATE XXXII

## Entueres anthracinus (Baird)

Fig. 1. Kamsar Coniversity Museum, No. 11342, snomt to bent, 56 mm .; Cheroke county, Kimsar.

Fig. 2. Kansat Cniverity Muselm, No. S219; shont to vent, 56 mm ; lmboten, Lawrence comety, Arkansis.

Fig. 3. Kimsas Coniversty Muscum, No. 8221; snout to rent, 56 mm .; lmbeden. Lawrence county, Arkansas.

Fıg. 4. Kamsas C'niversity Maseum, No. 11339; actual size ; (ialena, Cherokee romonty, hansas.

Fig. 5. Kansis Coniversity Musemm, No. 11340 ; actual size; Galena, Cherokee county, Kansas.

PLATE NXXII


## PLATE NXXIII

Eumeces copci Taylor
Fig. 1. Unitci stato National Musoum, No. 32291 ; shout to vent, 70 mm .; "Either the valley of Mexico, or the neighbormg one of Toluca" (Distrito Federal or Mexico, Mexieo).

Fig. 2. E. H. Taylor and Hobart M. smith Collection, No. 3865; snout to vent, 62 mun; 10 miles southeast of Asumeion, Western México, Mexico.

Fig. 3. E. H. Taylor and Lholart M. Smith, No. 3859; mout to rent, 76 mm.; 10 miles southeast of Asunción, Western Mexier, Mexico.

PLATE NXXII


[^56]PLATE XXXIV


## PLATE XXNV <br> Eumures skillomiomus skillomiomus. (Batird and (iirand)

Pri. 1. Lateral biw, Caliomia Acallemy of sicmes, No. 45923 ; nout to vent, 40 man; C'amel. Monterey combty, C'aliomia.

Fifi, 2. Siame, domal vimw.
 Comptele. Andatocino comuts. Califomia.
 C'amel, Monterey coment: California.

PLATE NXXV



## PLATE XXXII

Eumecrs Ingumensis Van Dentmrah
Fug. 1. Thiresity of Califomia. Musemu of Vertebrate Zoïlogy, No. 13760: sout to vent, 50 mm.: Comomdí, 1.000 font, Bala Califomia, Mexico.

Eummers skillomismus skillomianns: (Baind and (iirard)
Ftri, 2. Califomia University Mus⿻um of Vertebmate Zoölogy: shout to
 Mexim).
 male: Camel, Montorey montr. Califmam.

Fu. 4. Califormia Cniverity Musem of Vertobath Zoülogy, No. 10950;
 -ingle atypioal -ruerimen obtamed in a large erres of typical ones.)

PLATE N゙ざV


[^57]PLATE NXIVII


## PLATE NXXVII

Eumbres gitberli gilberti Vian Denburgh
 san Jompuin connty. ('alimomiat.
 Gan Joaquin comoty, Catifornia.





PLATE NXXVIII


## PLATE AXXIX


Fra, 1. Califomia Acarlemy of seremere. No. 39001 : shont to vent, 51 mma, Tehachapi Monmains, Kem county, Califomia.

Fis. 2. Califormia Academy of Seimers. No. 33363 , shout to vent, 39.5 mm .; Witch Creck, san Diequ county. Catifomia.

Fit. 3. California Thimeraty Musemu oi Vertobrate Zoälogy, No. 5560 ; shont to rent, st man: near Fort Tejon. Ken comaty. California.

Fra. 4. Califomia Academy of semens. No. 40301; nale; sout to rent, 101 mm.; C:mmo, sim Diego onnty, Caliomia.

PLATE NXXL

PLATE NLEumbers (fundrilime athe: (Blyth)Fri. 1. Ammican Mustum of Natural History, No. 30197; mak; font to
Enmmes lyme lymat Wionmann
 to rent, 67 man.: (imerrero, Midalgo, Mexien.

## Eumberes colimensis Taydon

 vent, (i.) mm.: Colima, Colima, Moxieo.
Eumuces lynce I!mx Wingmam
 62 mm.: "Mexim."

PLATI: XI.
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PLATE NLI<br>Eumeces bremirostris (Giimther)

Fig. 1. E. H. Taytor and Hobart ME Smith Collertion, No. 2557; snout to rent, 54 mm, Totalen, Vera Cmz, Moxien.

Fra. 2. E. H. Taylor and Hobart M. Smith Collowtion. No. 2571; onout to vent, of mm.; Totalro, Vera (ruz, Mexion.

Fug. 3. Lnited Statos National Musum, No. H66se; shent to vent, 64 mm .; La Panada, Oaxama, Mexion.

PL.ATE NI.I


## PLATE NLII

## Enmers: imbubitus Taylon

Fig. A. Fi. II Taylor and Hobart M. Smith Collewtom, No. 1684; patatyp;




PLATE NLII


Fig. A.
Fig. B.

## PL.ATE NLIII

Eumeses ochotrreme Taylor
Fig. 1. E. H. Taylor and Hobart M. Smith Collection, No. 1481; snout to rent, 53 mm : Mazatlín, Guerrero, Mexion.

Fif. 2. E. H. Taytor and Hobart M. Smith Collection, No. 1015; mout to rent, 56 mom.; Mazatlín, (iumpero. Mexico.

Eumures duessiz Thominat
Fig. 3. Vnited States National Masmun, No. 26153; shout to rent, 58.6


Fime 4. United states National Musomm, No. 26154: shout to wht, 67 mm .; Guamajuato. (imamanato. Mexioo.

PLATE NLIII


## （iENERAL MNOEX

## 1


Abatact，1：3．＝1


Adembla， 541
Atrac：an shemes，5\％．5－
aguls（Mabonia），450
Agıinlla，17：
Ahl，Firnst． $50: 3$ hbl．
aldrutandu（E゙amects）．24，519
aldrotamdii（Ilestiodon），31，121，122，126， 146．514．515．ildi，514
Ahlowandh，L’ysxis，119． 503 bibl．
algertensis（Eumeces），2s，31，73，121，140， $505,521,52 \pi, 529,539,541$
 $\because(1.35 .73$, sz key，119．121，12t key， 1．3：11ap，（1，fito 151 ）， 148 fig．
dhfFRIENSIS MERHDHAALIS（ECMECES），10，20， 35，83 key，119，122，13！key，13：map， 147．（15\％to 15\％），514， 539
wlotrimsis（Eumrees patimentatus），122，140， 14
alurtiensis（Eumecis schnciderii）， $1 \not 16$
Allarel，II．A．， 503 bibl．
Allen，I．A．， 503 bibl．
HLTMMMANI（ECMECES），14．20．27，30，33， 36，3s．50，74． 81 kes，！＇，kes，95， 160 ．

（amamhersis（Eumucts marginatus），20，28， $253,254,268$
ambintus（Eumects），514
allh！u！tammus（Eumeres）．2s
amblyurammus（Eumec＇s skiltonianus），413， 414．416
Dumeran Nho＋Mam Natural History， 23
Aherie：n spectes，53，54， 55
（tmericantes（Eumbors）， 25

Anderobn，John，112，143， $50: 3$ bibl．
Angel．VI．M．F．．24，473
angulare． $4+i$
Anmankake．Nelvon．117，13s．50：bill．
Inoles perqu． 111
 II．54．（in，Th．st hey，for．key， 373 var．，

 $\therefore 2+4,5 \because 5,524,534,534,534,535,540$
SNTHRtiJN：wroup，35，36，4！，54，154，872 key．3－
authrafinus（I＇lestiodon）， 373
Arguatic hahits．tiot，fil
Altwinare，16． 45

A－ratic specirs．western．is
Alkimon．1），A．． 0 ，bibl．
ationcostatum（Emona），31

atrata（Larerta），119，124，121
abrata（．Mabomia），32．12！
aurata（Mabuya），31
 $\begin{array}{rl}3 & 4\end{array}$

Autarchoghose：a， 29

## B

Babeack，Harold L．． 504 libl．
Bailey，Vernon， 504 bibl．
Bairl．Spencer $F$, ，2s9，34：，373．3！4．4：4， S14 hibl．
Bairl，S．F．，and Girard，Charles，Sut．411， 50\＆babl．addenda
barliogri（ELMECES），R＇key， 91 key， 1 心：key （265 to 267），282 map，537，534
Barbume，Thomas，23， $15+\mathrm{i}, 132,133,51 t$ bill．． 505 hubl．
Barmers，57，5s
Barrs．Lrwis T．，24， 344
Basioccipital，42
Basisphenoid， 43
Banla Mhselmin， 24
Bastide．L．，50．5 bibl．
haudimi（Emwin）， 31
baudimin（Eumeces）， 31
Betill．T．IE．，1．ili
Bediliarl．Frank F．． 505 hibh．
billu（Eamtors）．20．2－，143
Bellii（Plestiodom），16i3，164，16i．5．Is
Bembmatier，Itr．Lhms，wa
Berver，George s．．50．bibl．
Buhlantraphy．（5013 to 5if）
bicolar（Scincus），1：5，1！＋t，212，i：l
bicolor（Tiligua）．こ1：
Bugham，Bron li．，e4

Btanchard，Ur．Frank N．．2t，su，bibl．
Blanford，W．J．，112，117，145，177，5（mi bol．
Blatchlex，W．－5，506 bibl．
Bleneker．P．，ioti bibl．



13］ythomus（．Mathoma），143，144，145．50．3
 45！．Emi bll．
 $5: 1$


Bugert, C. M., 352,507 bill.
Bull. Mr., 10.5
Bunul, Harley I), 507 bibl .
Bose, 194
Boulenger, George A., $32,37,54,112,114$, $122,147,155,144,165,173,179,251$, $267,27 \%, 329,342,358,401 ;, 412,459$, $460,473,508$ bibl., addenda
Boriner, Alice M., 50 b bibl., 508 bibl.
Bouring, J. C., Est., 452
Brarhymeles, 6.5
Brarly, Naurice, 508 bibl.
Bre+ding habits, 63, 64
breyilineatus (Elmeces), 11, 12, 15, 27, 38, $51,59,60,85 \mathrm{kev}, 283 \mathrm{key},(283 \mathrm{to} 290$ ), 285 fig., 388 fig., 284 map, 409,504 , $508,511,514,528,533,534,535$
Brevtlineatis gromit, 35, 36, 39, 50, 51, 55, 172, 24.3 key. 402
brevilineatus (Plestionon), 284
brfilipes (Eumeces), 27, 424
brevipes (Eumeces skiltonianus), 13, 19, SI key, 413. 414, 415 key, \{35 maj, ( 128 tい 431 )
BREYIROSTRIS (EUMECES), 13, 17, 22, 28, 38, 51, 52, 61, 64, 65, 67, 75, 87 key, 100 , 387 var., 457,458 key, map, ( 159 to , 66 ), 467 fig, 46' kev, $472,473,506$, $511,512,517,520$
Brevirostris group, 13, 35, 36, 50, 51, 64, 410. 454, 485, 490
brevirostris (Mabouia), 100, 459, 519
brevirostris var. (Eumeces), 387, 459, 511
Brimley, C. S., 50 s bibl.
British Museum (Natural Itistory), 23, 24
Brooding habits, 65
Brown, Arthur Erwin. 33, 509 bibl.
Brown, W. Wilmot, 168
Brises, C. 'T., 432
Buchet, Giston, 152
Bumpus, H. C., 509 hibl.
Bunker, Charles, 23
Burnett, W. L... 5us bih.
Burt, Dr. Charles, 6, 23, 204, 291, 305, 509 bibl., adienda
Burt, C. E., and Burt, May I)., 510 bubl.
Burt, May Danhein, :3

## C

cadurcensis (Plestiodon), 513
Cadwalader, Charles M. B., 23
Cahn, Alvin, 510 bibl.
Calabresi, Enrica, 510 bibl.
Calıfomia Academy of Sciences, 23
calluephalum (Plestionton), 291, 296
CALIACEPHALUG (FUMECES), 12, 15, 28, 3s, 89, 51, 52, 87 kıy, 89 key, 204, 283 ker, (390 t1 298), 24, fig., 308, 371, 504; $504,511,512,520,532,535,534$
Camp, Chatles Lewis, $414,438,439,510$ bibl.
('innthtitn species, 53, 54

Comnibalisin, 62
Cantor, Theodore, $821,329,510$ bibl.
capito (Eumfres), 24, -33., istrt
('arnegie Nusemm, Pattsburth, 23
Carpals, 47,43
Corphophis, 63
(:ar, A. F., 24
carteretii (Eumeces), 31
Catesby, Marc, 191, 197. 510 bibl.
manda caerulea (Lacfrta), 11, 191, 192 fig.
Central American species, $49,50,51,52,53$
ceperdii (Eumeces), 2s
cepedii (scincus), 525
Chalcides, 68
Chang, Mangven L. Y., 510 bibl.
chimensis (Eumeces), 10, 23, 32, 40 figs., 51, $244,246,321,329,335,339,340,507$, $516,518,523,525,529,532,535,536$, 539
CHINENAIS CHINENSIS (EUMECES) , 12. 15, 20, $38,55,89 \mathrm{key}, 90 \mathrm{key}, 304,305 \mathrm{key}$, ( 3.20 ti 328 ), 3.23 fig., 327 map, 334
chimensis formosanus (Eumeres), 537, 538
chinensis (Mabouia), 32, 245, 320, 507, 519, 535
CHINENSIA rulcher (EdMECES), 12, 15, 20, $31,38,55,89 \mathrm{key}, 90 \mathrm{key}, 304$, 305 kry , 326,337 map, ( 328 tu 324 ), 3.30 fig.
chinensi.3 (Thliqua), 240, 320, 321
Chinshields, 77
Clark, John H., 307
Clavicle, 4 -
Chemidophorus sexlineatus, 6"
Cochran, Dr. Doris, 22
Cockerell, T. D. A., 510 bibl.
Cocteau, M., 510 bibl.
COLIMENSIS (EUMECES), 13, 17, 20, 27, 3マ, 53 , if, 86 key, 457 koy, 458 map , ( 178 to 482), 479 fig., 536
Colorado Mraseum Natural History, 24
Color deseription, 7
Conant, Roger, 23, 510 hibl.
Contents, 7. 8. 9
Cook, Lorenzo H., 24, 510 bibl.
Cook, Dr. S. S., 105
Cops, Edward D., 32, 155, 164, 165, 173. $179,196,197,299,308,342,343,349$. $373,374,3 \times 7,393,395,405,406,413$, $459,473,49 f, 497,511$ bibl., 512 bıl.
Colet (Eumeces), 12, 13, 16, 27, 35, 49, 51, 61, 86 key, 372 key, ( 387 to 394), 389 fig., 3.93 may, 459, 511, 536
Copmlation, 63, if 4
Coracoid, 47
Cornell [niversity, 23
Coronoid, 46
Corringtan, Julian, 512 bibl.
Corson, Dr. Jos., 374
Courh, Lient. Jitrias Nash, 293
( iones, E., 512 bibl.
 bibl．

Cuwter．Gempers， 513 bhl．
cyanoquater，Emoia， 31
clanuriom（Emoin）， 31

cyprum（I＇lestiodon），14i，533
cyprins（Eumeces），an
cyprias（Eumeces schneiderii）， 122
cyprins scincoides（Lacerta），119，120，121， $1 \geq 3$
cyprius（Scincus）， $121,196,146,513$


## D

Darrell，J．H．． 15 s
Dasia， 34
Data recurding，25，26
Daubenton，Louis Jean Marie， 313 bibl．
Daudin．F．M．119，120， 194
Davil．Armand， 513 bibl．
Davis，N．S．and Rice，F．L．， 513 bibl．
de Catesby（Euprepis）， 510
Deckert，Richard， 513 bibl．
Defense habits，62， 63
de Gray（Tiliqua）， 32 s
De Kay，James E．， 513 bibl．
Dentars， $4 t$
Deppe．Ferdinand， 1 it
Deringen，K．M．， 513 bibl．
Desitefano，G．， 513 bibl．
Diadophis． 63
Diapsida， 29
dicei（Etmeces），13，27，3々，53，69，74， 85 kry，371，457，458 key，map，（482 to 485）． 483 fig．， 530
Dice，Lee R．．4n？
Diploghosus mille punctatus， 517
Dastribution，general．ts， 49 mab，59，51，52， 53，54，55，5ti．57，54
Ditmars，R．L．， 15 ti． 513 bibl．
Dotderlem，L．． 514 hith．
Bomergue，F．．152，153． 511 bibl．
Dondorff， 51 \＆
Douglas，Mtwin．2t
Dugis，Alfredo，32，33，3t，tit，94，95，102， $152,179,291,472,47 \pi, 51+b i b$ ．
 64． 73,83 key， 457 key， 458 map， 471 ，
 $5 \geq 0,522$
Dugesii．（Eumfers［Plestiodon］），4i2， 537
Dumeril．Aus．．$\overline{1} 1+$ bibl．
Duméril，A．MI．C．and Bibron，Aug．，31，33， 36． $121,123.146,321,32-514$ hihl．
Dumérl，MI．C．and Duméril，Aus．， 51.5 bibl．
Dunkle．Javial， 5
Dumi，Dr．E．R．．105，17！
Dunn，E．R．and Emlen，John T．， 515 bibl．
burs：Ralph． 515 bibl．


## E

Fictoptergonl， 43

ruregius（Eumece＇s），27，37，3心，61，64， 73.
 199，511，513，52t，538
Etregide ovocreptis（Eumetrs），13，14；19，
 male
Erge，4，26，65，203，245，265，271，350，3－1， 343，413， 472
fitreitus egregics（Elmetes），13，16；19， 39，61，73．T4．7ti，77，8．2 key，（f90 to ；96 ，49？fig．， 501 map， 502
turegius（Plestiodon）， $4!n, 504$
Eichwald，Ed．，121， 515 bibl．
Eisen．Gustav， 431
blegins（Eumeces），11，15，eq．35．5．．．tio，伿，8！key， 91 key，18\％key，240，244， （ 35 to 253 ），2！8 fig．，253 map，507， $508,510,519,520,525,529,531,532$, $535,536,538,539,541$
Nigans（Lygosoma）， 520
eltyans（Plestiodon），246，264， 535
Elevation，50，51，52，60， 1 i1， 393
Ellis，Max， 515 bibl．
Ellis，Max M．，and Henderson，Julus，it． bibl．
Elpatjewsky，V．S．，and Sabanejew，L．L．， 515 bibl．
Emaia
atrocostatum， 31
baudinii． 31
cyanogester． 31
cyanurum． 31
Epicoracoid， $4 i$
Epiotic postparietal， 4.5
tpipleurotis（Eumeres），27，342，343．34t， 350，357， 511
Epiptergoid， 43
Eiwin，Richard P．， 516 bibl．
－rythrocephalus（Eumeces），27，197， 511
irythrocephalus（Plestiodon），193．19\％，213． 511， $53!$
Grythrocephatus（Scincus），145，197，212，519， 521， 522
trythrocephalus（Tiliqua），212，213
Eumecres，10，29，32，33，34，36，37，42， 79 map， $410,412,416,509,522,523,52.5$ ， $526,527,528,529,532$
algerimsis，25，31，73，121，144，505， 321 ， 52ヵ，529，539，541
 i3， $82 \mathrm{key}, 119,121,123 \mathrm{key}, 13$ map， （ 1 ； 6 to 1.51 ）， 148 fig．
aluerienwis meridionalis，10，20，32，8．2 key， 119，129，124 key，13：113p， 147 （15）to 153）， 514,539
hitambani， $14,20,27,39,33,34,39,50$ ， 74， 81 key， $9 ;$ key， 100,101 map，（ 102 to 10 ）$, 105,514,534$

Eumeces－Contimed：
amantus，518
amblyarammus． 28
americanus， 28
ANTHRACLNTS， $12,16,19,27,38,51,59$ ， 60．76． 86 key， $3 \sim 3$ key， 378 var．，（ 378 to $38 \%$ ）， $3 \gamma 5$ fig．． 385 map， 505,509 ， 511．513．516，517，518，523，526，527， $524,529,530,534,535,540$
hatmotri， $20,28,38,55,68,84$ kes， 91 key， 187 key，（265 to 267），282 map． 537，536
baudinii． 31
bellii，20，28， 163
becolor，-7
HLYthianus， $14,28,38,124 \mathrm{kes},(143$ to 1／5），503，508，516， 536
bocourti．25， $102,358,508,512,520$
BREVILNEATUS， $11,12,15,27,38,51,59$ ， $60.85 \mathrm{key}, 283 \mathrm{key},(283$ to 290 ）， 285 fig．，288 fig．，28．4 map，409，504，508， 511，514，528，533，534，535
brexipes，27． 429
BHEVIROATRIS． $13,17,22,28,38,51,52$ ， 61，64，65，67， $75,87 \mathrm{key}, 100$ ，s8\％var．， 457， 458 kes．map．（459 to 466 ）， 464 fig．， 464 key，472．473，506，511，512， 517．520
brevirostris var．，387，459，511
CALLICEMHALUS，12，15，2s，38，39，51，52， $8 \%$ ker． 89 key．204， 283 key，（290 to 298）．29，fig．，308，371，506，509，511，二12，520，532．535， 538
cripito，28，232，506；
carteretii， 31
cepedii． 2 s
chimensis，10．28，32． 40 figs．，51，244．246， $321,324,335,339,340,507,516,518$ ， 523．525，529，532，535，536，539
CHINENSIA CHINENSIS，12，15，20，38，55， s！t key， 90 kry． 304 ． 305 key，（ 320 to $328), 323$ fig．，3：7 man， 334 ，
chiufonsts formosimus，20，28，321，537，538 （HINEXASIS FULCHER，12，15，20，31，38，55， 89 kry， $90 \mathrm{key}, 304,305 \mathrm{key}, 326,327$ map．（328 to 334）， 330 fig．
（OLIMENSIS， $13,17,20,27,35,53,74,86$ key， 457 key， 158 nasp，（ $1 \sim 8$ to 482 ）， \％\％fig．． 536
copmi，12，13，16，27，38，49，51，61，86 key， $372 \mathrm{key},(387$ to 394）， 389 fig．， ．393 आау，459，511，534；
cyproms．as
DICEI，13．27，38，53，68，74． $85 \mathrm{key}, 371$ ， 457．i5s key，man，（is．to 185），iss fig．．5：30
H゙けEKII，13， $17,24,28,38,52,64,73,83$ key． $45 \pi$ key． 458 map， 471 ．（472 to


EvMECES－Contimued：
egrequs，27，37，38，61，68，73，74，76，77， 36ヶ．485，490 key，497，498．499，511， 513．524， 538
EGREGIUS EiRfilets， $13,16,19,38,61,73$ ， $74,76,77,82$ key．（490 to 496）， 492 fig．， $501 \mathrm{map}, 502$
efiregilus onocrepis． $13,16,19,38,83$ key， $495,496,501$ map，（197 to 502$)$
El，EGiNs，11，15，2x，38，55，56．6s，8＇f key， 91 key， 187 key，240，244，（245 to 253）， 248 fig．， 252 man，547．50s，510，519， 520 ．525，529，531，532，535，536，538， 539.540
epiplrurotis．27， $342,343,344,350,357$ ， 511
erythrorephalus，27，197， 511
fasciatus，11，14，26，28，31，37，38，53，54， 55． $58,62,64, ~ 65,66,67,72,76,84$ key， 88 key， 91 key，165， 187 key， 188 ， 189．190．191， 192 fig．．（ 193 to 21：）， $204.213,219,224,230,267,291,308$ ， 353．503，504．505．506，508．509．510， $511,512,513,515,516,517,518,521$ ， $522,523,524,525,526,527,528,529$ ， $530,531,533,534,535,536,534,540$
freycinctii． 31
funcbrosus，27， 299
furcirostris．27，165，471，473，511，512， $516,517.520$
GAIGEI，12，19，27，39，39， 89 key， 90 key， $8!1 \mathrm{key}$ ，S50 map，（35．3 to 358）， 35 ， fig．
gilberti，13，413，414，435，446，503，510， 51！．537，5．38
Gilferti githerti，13，16，19，3s，54，60， 67．68，6！， 89 key， $91 \mathrm{kev}, 415 \mathrm{kev}, 430$ ， 431 ．（ 138 to $1 ; 6$ ），i，fig．．i，5 map． 447．44！， 450
GILBERTI RUBRICAUPATUA， $13,17,19,52,54$ ， 60．69，89 key， $91 \mathrm{key}, 415 \mathrm{key}, 42 \mathrm{~s}$ ， $433,437,439,4,45$ map，（46 to 451 ）， 4S fig．
guttulatus，27．204，291，304．504，509．511， 512， $514,518,528,532,533.534,538$ ， 540
hallowelli，25，413，413，41f，506
herretae， 3 s 8
HCMILIS，12， $16,28,38.82,83$ key， 85 key， 91 key， 340,$3 ; 1$ key， 353 ，（ 358 to 363 ）， $359 \mathrm{fig}, 363 \mathrm{map}, 371,520,523$ ， 52f，582
 key，393， 45 ， 45 s ker，map，（ 466 to行）， 536
INENPECTATUS，11，14，19，27，38，64，67， 79． 88 key． 91 key， 187 key，191，196， $197,198,219,220,(224$ to 234），226 fig．，308，518， 519

ELMEtFs Continuter：
inornat $4,27,34 \because, 343,341,347,511,512$ ， $\therefore \geqq$

jupmucus．ニー，ธoth；



 110．113，； 15 kev， 420 ，（ $1: 31$ to 337 ），

1．1TICEIN，10，11，14，19，2t，2－，31，34，3心，

 $141,193,194,195,1!+i,(212$ to 2？i）， 215 fif．， 218 tig．． 221 map， 294 ， 225 ， $\because 30,2: 31,266,305,353,545$, ， 206 ，515，

lutscututus，27 $7,521,522,527,528,536$
1．IfIncltateis，11，15．20，27，38，55，5t， 7－，\＆＇kes， 11 kes， 18 kes， 240,244 ，

 535，534，
letiseutatus akadae．27
leptogrammus，27， $342,344,349,3.50,511$ ， $512,514,521$ ． 334
lesconii． 31
LoNGirostris． $16.14,97,34,61,65,7 \mathrm{G}$, Ti． 83 key，（ $15 \overline{5}$ to 163 ）， $15 \%$ fig．， 163 map，3ش5，511，517，515，523，533， 540
lynce， 1 1；4， 517
lymant，14ia， $5 \geq 1$
lytit，关－，87，51，163，471，511，512，514， 517， $520,529,535,540$
 key，16i3 mity， 165 172．（ 173 to 178）， 17＇fig．180．511，512，513，516，520， ：35
LXXXE 1，YNXE，17，17，20，32，64，65，85 kes， 9 k key，（ 163 ta 183）， 163 ma1， 166 fiッ．．17t，17：．17！，1いい
mubッйa． 31
 til，א1 key， 6 ，kry，！5． 101 111aŋ， 102 ， （10；to 119），10f fig．，109 fig．，113，515
心以 kev，245，24ti，こ5t．255，259，204，
 इ0日，，⒘ 527，53：
 ごロ，537，5：
marginatus kikaigensis．20，29，2．53，254， 25！，シ6n，5：37，533
meridwnalis，थ3
miurolepis， 31
 7－， 75 ，s1， $89 \mathrm{kty}, 204$ ， $30 \mathrm{a}, 311$ ．3；



Fivatites Contimbend：
511， $312,513, ~ 514,515,529$ ， $521,52 t$, $534,538,540$
obsimpetce， $10,12,15,22,26,27,32,36$,
 15．5， $72,74,75,79,23,83 \mathrm{kty}, 154,204$ ， $201,301,(305$ to 330$)$ ， 309 fig．． 317 map， 3．），503，514，506，509，510，511，512， 513，515，516，518，521，524，528，531， 532，533，534，535，535，538，540
whtastostris．25，405，107，506
OHOTFRANAE， $13,17,20,27,38,39,53,75$ ，


Okidae，1．，：丷ㄴ 35，55，S＇key， 11 key， $18 \%$ kes，（ 272 to 2～6），282 map
onacrepes，23，4！ヶ，ล11，513
op＋lii．：31
OSHIMENSIS，11， $15,20,28,39,55,8 ;$ key， 0.2 key， 188 key，（253 tu 260）， 25 t fig．， 258 fig．，2fis， 283 map．532
prihyurks，19，27，405，406，511，514， 5こち， 533 ，534
 52．tio，Si key，3＇1 key， 363 map，367． （ 36 N t1 372 ）， $376 \mathrm{fig}, 536$
PARTVLT゙s，12，16，20，27，38，52，64，s 6 key，3it key． 36.3 may．（36．3 to 365 ）， 365 fig．， 371,536
PaviMENTATLS， $10,14,20,2 x, 2!t, 31,3 t$ ， 35，$\quad 11$ figs．， 83 key，119．121，122， 135 key，136，（133 to 139），142，14\％，145， 505，506，507，517，533，540
petetmentitus algertensix，122，146i，147，507
putwmentatus syriuca，122，126，133，507， i25
phlimensis，21，27，23！，244，243，244，245，


（Plestiolom）juponicus．：2－7．5un
（Plationdom）quintuplintatus var，Inpomens， ここち，506，52．52：
（I＇stwoulon）simichrasti，17s
phomalis．19．25．373．374．3－0，3－3，511， $514, ~ 521$
IRIN゙MP，10，14，20，2s，3ヶ，8：key， 119 Hat， $119,13.5$ key．（138 tu 1i？），13！ tir．．．it1
 inli；5：31

pumbないが， 31
tuadrimmthtus， $13,17,27,29,39,37,5 \%$ ， Sti，b1，s\％key， $412,413,41 \mathrm{t}$, （河？to





 531，533，ist，53

EvMECE Continued：
quinquelincatus polygramomas，2s．197，19， 2：1， 512
Ruzirostt，27．50，178，179，180，183，184， 185．505，514，536
rufiscens， 29
rufo－guttutus， 29
schmidti，11，14，27，37，50，53，174，179， $1 \leqslant 11,183.18$＇fig．， 185,515
SCHNEIDERII，10，14，29，31，32，35，64，73， 8.3 key， $119,122,125 \mathrm{kry},.(126 \mathrm{to} 1.8 \mathrm{~h})$ ， 128 fig．， $134 \operatorname{map}, 135,142,146,147$ ， $503,507,508,510,513,516,517,522$ ， $503,525,527,529,530,539,540$
schufiderii algeriensis， $140,525,529$
schmeideri ruprius， 122,525
schmeiderii puyvimentatus，122．133．525
shmiderii princeps，127，50s
schtuliderii schmeiderii．122．127．134， 225
schmeiderii syriacus．127， 504
－HWMRTZEI，10，14，29，37，35，50，53，61， fíc， 74,81 key， 9 k key，（9＇to 102 ），104， 10．1，10！，121，505，511，512，514，590， ．35
 513，523，52fi，527，538
＂ptortrionalis， 13,27 ，51，3＜1，344，3！n， 407，408， $404,505,5019,510,511, ~ 512$ ， 513．516．514．521．522，523，527，．225， $529,530,531,540$
SEPTENTRIONALIS OBTUSLIOATRIS，18，15，19． 36，60，61， 90 key，290，s72 key，2s 1,
 533
SEPTENTRIONALIS SEPTENTRIONALIS，18． 14. $19,26,38,53,59,64,65,70,72,75,90$ key， 372 key，（ 39 to 405 ）， 397 fig．． 40,3 map， 408,409
sinensis， 320,506
skiltoriamus，22，27，62，66，67，68，72，7．． 7 ל，410，412，412，414，416，431，437， 438． 446
skiltonianus amblygrammus，413，414，41f， $\$ 12$
SKlltonianus previpes， $13,19,81 \mathrm{key}, 413$. 411， 415 key， 425 map ，（428 to 431 ）． 512
stillomiantus lagunensis．431．526
SKIETONIANUA SKILTONIANUS， $13,16,34$ ， 52，53，60．62，66，67，77，87 key，89 key， 165,415 key，（ 116 to 428）， 425 map．428，429，431，429，437，447，503， 504 i ，507，510，511，51．51\％，523，524， $525,52 \mathrm{~s}, 530,531,532,535,537,534$ ， 540
sloanii， 31
＂epecies，＂520
spixi， 31
stimsonil， $11,13,15,20,28,3 \mathrm{~s}, 55,8 ;$ key， 92 key， 187 key，（ 260 to 265）， 261 fig．282 map， 537

Euareres Concluded ：
striatus．1：or， 511
SUMICHRASTI，11，14，2ち，38，50，53， 85 key．4，key，（178 to 186）， 18 保 fig．， 186 1142．291，50f，511，512，511，520， 535 sbuciacta， 29
tanciolates， $10,14,20,24,32,36,37,38$ ， 73，75，81 key．93，104，105，109，（111 to 119），11．＇fig．， 119 map， 503,505 ， $505,522,526,532$ ，530
TETRAGRAMMCS， $12,27,3 \mathrm{~S}, 51,60,85 \mathrm{key}$, 90 key，！ 2 key，1ヵ5，283，286，288．289， （398 to 804 ），405，406，511，514，523， $533,5134.535$
frificms，29，472， 473
tristatus，29，197
 1 今て k＋y，（234 to 239），240，245，252 map，525．532
zittigum，299
XINTHI，11，15，20．27，35，55，56，87 key， 187 key，234，（239 to 245），242 fig．，246， 25：11111，508．520，525，532， 539
7UREDN゙ィ．10．20，29，38，8？key， 118 map． 11！！．122， 125 kes．（ 142 to 143）， 527
Euprepes harlami．520
lynxe， 3 I proncipes，138．139，140．141， 527 tristatus．212
Euprepis de Cateshy， 520
Euprepis， 29
fascuaths，539
lymxe，163． 164
princeps，515，527
quinqualineatis， 539
Eurylepis，311，32，36，37，506；
trieminlatur，30，32，104，111，112． 506
Exocripital，4？
Extlid，30， 5

## F

Fan，T．H．，326， 516 hibl．
fasciata（Lactrta），188，191，194，195，510， 514，517．519，524，529，530，531
FABCIATUS（EUMECES），11，14，2t，28，31，37， $38,53,54,55,58,62,44,65,64,67$ ， 72，76，84 key， 88 key， 91 key，165． 187 key，1sx，189，190，191， 192 fig．，（193 to 212），204，213，219，224，230，267，291， $308,383,508,504,505,500,508,509$ ， $510,511,512,513,515,516,517,518$ ， $521,522.523,524,526,527,528,529$, $530,531,533,534,535,536.539,540$ fasciatus（Euprepis）， 539
Fasciatus group，11，24，35，36，51，54，55， $56,57.69,154,178,180,156,187$ key， 195，214．225，268，278， 402
fasciatus（Plrstiodon），180，213，503，504， $505,513,515,528,529,530,534$
fasciatus（Scincus），155，188，196，197，213， 513，529， 523

Feedias hathit，til，tie
［＇thun！，\＆
Ferrari－Perez，Finathen，ilt；hbl．
Fiedd Miseum of Natural 11atory，es
lilepi，F．．de，illi luth．
Fimn，F．，144，146，itti bit．
Fjacher，J．G．，ile bild．
Finler，Johs．vin，slif bubl．
Fitzinger．I．．I．．31，32
Fhwer．stanley， 516 bith．
Fencl，B1，til．2！nt， $8: 33,451$
Force，Fdith R．， 516 bibl．
Formatin preswation， 2.5
formosamus（Eumeces chinensis），20，2ー，3ン1， 737．53－

Finwler，Henry W．． 23
©remeto（Ermeces）． 31
Fratze，Adulue． $51 \%$ bill．
Frontal， $39, ~ i=$
Erontal，dividecl，16is
funt brosus（Eumeter），2－，29：
fursionstris（Eumeces），27，16i5，4i1，4i3， ㄱ11，512，516，517，520， 535
ftrcirostris（Eumeces lysixe），11，20，35， $\therefore 1.73$. s3 key， 163 map， 16 年， 1 12．（ 173 （ti） 15 s ）， 17 ＇fig．， 180

G
Ganow，Hans，165，172，517 bibl．
GMGFI（ECMECEA）．12，19，27，38，3！，89 key， 90 ker，s＇t key， 350 map，（ $3: 33$ to及35），35＇fig．
Gaig＇，Heltrin T．， $23,35 \mathrm{~m}, 357$
Gameth，Henry， 517 bibl．
Garden．Dr．D．D．， 197
Garman，samuel．155，373，51；bibl．
Gary．Rev．Georce． 411
Gee．N．Gist， 517 bibl．
Generic deseription， 39
Generic relationships． 34
Generic unty， $3 t, 37,3-$
Genetyre，30，31， 32
Gewifroy saint－Hulaire，E．，121，133，玉17 mol．
Gemrgi，J．G．， 517 bibl．
Gerntert，M．， 321
Gervais，Paul，146， 517 bibl．
Gubbs，Morris，Notestein，F．N．，and Clark， H．L．， 51 s hibl．
Gilhert，Charles H．，412． 43 s
gilbrti（Eumects），13，413，414，43－，44h． $503,510,519,537,535$
Gildfrti gilberti（Etmeces），13，16，19，3n， it，60，67，68，bit， 89 key， 91 key， 41.5
 11月等，445，445． 150
（，hererti rebhicat datis（Efmects），13，17， 19，52，54，64， 69,89 kes， $91 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{y}, 415$ ker，428，433，437，439， 165 maj ，（ 166


Gintle．incton：al． 7 8
（iimble．peltre，J


（iomet，1）．T．L．．，153，51s bibl．
Goldman，Fo．A．，Bi－Hin

Gammelat，A．，514 boll．
Citant，Chatruan，51，bibl．
Geammaniost，I．L．（＇．，193，ith babl．
Gray，I．E．，14t， $246,321,51=1 m b$ ．
Grent，lacub． 140 ，Eit？bill，

Grinmell，Joseph and（＇amp，Chas．L．，fly， 519 bibl．
Grinnetl，Joseth，Dixon，Jmeph and Linedale， Jean M．， 519 bihl．
Grimell，J．and storer，Traw T．， $51:!$ hat．
Group
 355
Brevilineatis．35，3f，34，51，51，2．5，172， 2s3， 402
Beembostris，13，35，36，50，il，it，410， 6：57，45．5， 4 to
Efretites，35，36，54，65，9！
Fanciates，11，24，35， $36,81,54,55,56$, 57，69，154，178，180，186． 18 c key， 1 19s． $214,225,265,2$－ 2020
Lovitrostris，35，3fi，67，69，15；
LyNe， $10.35,36,49,64,162,471$
Mletimikgitus， $35,36,39,31,52$ ， 34, $3: 0$ kes， 368
（1bsimetts， $12,35,36,49,54,55,51,17$, fi！． 305 ker， 304
Qumbilineates，35，30， $56,67,410$, i51
Schaehmerif，24，31，35，36，37，57，5s， fit，it， $76,79,111,119,120,121,132$, 123，12：key， 125 ker，14t，154
schwirtzel， $35,36,37,49,30,67, ~ i 5, ~ 79$, 4．3． $4:$ key， 101 map，107， 110,154
Skiltoxhanus， $35,36,4!, 51,52,54,56$, 55，69，79，154，155，（＇10 to＇ 15 ）， 415 key， $433,452.45$
Su’hemrasti， 3 ì， $36,49,69,175$
Tabciolates，35，36，57，55，67，i－， 110. 1.7

Groups within gemus，35，36，37，35
Growth，6f， 67
guttulatu，Plistodon， 511
wuttulatus（Eumucrs），27，204，291，30\％；504， $509,511,512$, 514，51－529，532，533， $534,535,540$
guttulatus（Lamprosturnis），34，32．305，520
guttulatus（Iltstiodon），3n．i，5nt
Guywn．Dr．， 1 ti
Guichenot，A．， 519 bubl．
Gimllet．（．． 519 liml．
Gullmer，Gearge． 519 bibl．
（Minhor，Allent，32，244，354，159，519 bim．

## H

Halmat. 58, 59, 60, 61
Habite
aquatic, 60, 61
beverling, 63, 64
broeding, 65
defense, 62, 63
fter ling, $B 1,62$
Hallowell, Edward, 32, 196, 26i, 277, 307, $342,344,412,452,521$ bibl.
hallowelli (Eumeces), 2s, 412, 413. 416, 506 Halton. William L., 521 bib.
Hamiten, Dr W. J., 23
Hammond, Dr., 30T, 342
hartani, Plestiodon, 523
Harlan, Richard, 191, 195, 521 bibl.
Hatper, Francis, 521 bibl.
Hartman, F A., 521 bih.
Hartweg, Nomman, 64, 164, 170, 171, 521 bibl.
Harvard Museun of Comparative Zoügy, -2
Hatta, S., 5el bibl.
Hay, O. P., 5el bibl.
Hayden, F. V., 342,521 bibl.
Heat. I r., 305
Lead Plates, 71, 71 fis, $72,73,74,75,76$, $\because$
Hediger, F. V., 521 bihl.
Hempricht, F. G. and Ehrenberg, C. G., 522 bibl.
Henslaw, Sanuel, 52e bibl
Herre, Dr, Albert W., 22
Herrera, Alfonso L.. 522 bibl.
herrerae (Eumeces), 35 s
Herrick, C. L., Torry, John, and Iterriok, H. N. J., 52e bill.

Herman, 1. L., 520 bihk.
Hygles, W. K., 522 bibl.
Hilgendurff, F., zee bibl.
Hoege, C. T., 179. 3心-
Hoffman, C. K., 522 bibl.
Hohenacker, Fr., 522 l,ibl.
Hoblornok, folm Edwards, 191, 193, 195, 194, 19-, 224, 522 bhl.
Hora, Sunder Lal, iog bibl.
Hoy, P. R., 395,520 bibl.
Hughes, Edward, 5e2 bibl.
Humerus, 47
humilis (EtMeces), 12, 1f, 2s, 39, 82, 83 key, 85 kev, 91 key, 810 , 341 kes, 353 , ( 358 to 363 ), 359 fig., 363 map, 371, 520, 523, 526.532
Hurter, Julius, 363, 523 bibl.
Hurter, Julius and Strecker, John K., 373, 523 bill.
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## Date Due




[^0]:    * One should aroid preserving Eumeces in formalin; or, if userl. the specimen should be allowed to remain in this flutd no more than twenty-four hours before the transference is made to water (for washing) and then to aleohol.

[^1]:    * Photugraphs of the types have been examined.
    $\dagger$ Based on figures which have been examined.

[^2]:    "Frontal. The frontal bones are two in number located between the orbits of the eve and beneath the frontal and frontoparietal scales of the dorsal surface of the head. In the median line each is flattened except for slight depressions, while along the sides extending from the orbit to its anterior extremity there is a beveled edge that forms the support for the supraocular

[^3]:    * A specimen in the Harvard Museum of Eumeces skiltonianus purports to come from Acapulco, Guerrero, collected ly a ship's captain, H. Davis. The specimen is properly iclentified, but is typical of individuals from the neighborhood of San Francisco. If the specimen was actually obtained in Acapulco, it had doubtless been carried there from some port in the western United States. The lower jaw has been pierend near the symphysis as if a string lad been inserted for holding the animal. I am reluctant to accept the evidence of its presence in Guerrero on the basis of this specimen. Certain other museum records for southern Mexico are based on specimens of brevirostris.

[^4]:    * La Naturaleza (1), VI, 1882-1884, p. 362.

[^5]:    AA. Medial preanal scales overlapped by adjoining seale; scales of the two median dorsal series oceasionally larger, but usmally not or but little larger than adjoinng scales, except that those following nuchals may be womewhat more willened; no pocket or area of minall granular seales posterior to insertion of obsoletus and some tunganus exeepted) and blue or orange eolor on tail. Usually two (very rarely three) pairs of nuchals; the lower nasal suture, if present, reaching first labial; presubocular and postsuboeular series never normally continuons; at least at part of the upper palpebral seales in direet eontact with the supereiliary series (except humilis). (Ameriean, Mexiean and eastern Asiatic forms.)
    
    
    

[^6]:    * In my examination of the type I was not permitted to remove the speeimen from its container; as a result much of the detail must neeessarily be omitted.

[^7]:    * From Dugès.

[^8]:    * These scales, while not occunying the same position with regard to the upper secondary temporal, appear to be the tertiary scale divided in two. This condition obtans in certain Asiatic and African forms.

[^9]:    * Tip regenerated and extreme tip missing.

[^10]:    * Nos. 1868 and 4888, Puli Hatun, Transcaspia; 4370 and 4493, Amballa, India; 7192, Karachi, India.

    Variation. Only a very limited number of specimens have been arailable for study. It is apparent that a greater amount of variation may be present than is shown in these five specimens, and in published data.

[^11]:    * In regard to the identity oi Lacerta aurata consult the diseussions of the following authors: Duméril and Bibron, Erp. Gen., V. pp. 702, 703; Wiegmann. Archiv. für Mus., 1837, 1 t. 1, 1, 134; Gravenhorst, Nova Acta Acad. Leopohd Carol. NXill. 1t. 1, p. 321, w. XXX11; Peters, Nmatab. Akad. Wiss. Berl., 1864, 1. 51. On the other hand, Gray (Cat. spec. Liz. in Brit. Nus., 1こ45, pp. 91, 92) applies the name to a north African Eumeces, and Giunther (Isfit, Proc. Zoül. Soc. London) applies the name to a Eumeces from the Dad sea region; he also does the same in the Reptiles of British India (1s64), giving Persia as a locality.

[^12]:    * According to Duméril and Bibron (1839, V, p. 703), it is the same specimen which served as a model for the description and the figure in Lacépède's Histoire Naturelle des Quadrupedes Ovipares et des Serpens (1788-90, 1, p. 384, pl. 25). It was still in the museum in 1839.

[^13]:    (As has been stated, it is searcely possible to associate certainly with this form all litera. ture references which apply to it; some of the titles listed under schneiderii may properly belong here.)
    1827. Scincus parimentatus Is. Geoffroy-st. Hillaire. Descr. Egypt. Hist. Nat., 1827. p. $138, \mathrm{ml}$ IV, fig. 4.
    1834. Eumeces parimentatus Wiegmann. Herp. Mex., 1834, p. 36; and Arch. für Natur., I, 2, 1535, p. 288 (genotype).
    1883. Eumrces parimentatus var. suriaca Boettger. Abh. Senck. Nat. Ges., XIII, s. 120 (type locality "Sarona bei Jaffa, Syrien," G. Sininn Colt., 1881).
    1924. Eumeces schneiderii pavimentatus Mertens. Fenckenbergiana, Bd. VI, heft 5-h, Nov. 1, 1924, p. 183.

    History. This species appears first to have been recognized by Geoffroy-st. Hillaire and his son, Isadore, who describe and figure the form in Savigny's "Description d' Egypte" as Scincus pavimentatus. In 1834 Wiegmam placed the form under his newly formed genus Eumeces and the following year designated the species as the genotype.

[^14]:    * I designate this specimen as the lectotype.

[^15]:    *From Boulenger (108r).

[^16]:    * Tip missing or regenerated.
    $\div 4159 . N$. Africa; 31449 and 31450 . Taforalt ; 11019, Casablanca, M1or.: 12123, 12122, West Africa; 37290, Oran, Algeria; 65763, West Africa; 31450 , Maraaf, near Casablanca, Mor.

[^17]:    * "Nova Plantarum Animalium et Mineralium Mexicanorum Historia. Tractatus tertius," by Francisco llernandez. (Rome, 1651.) Under the Aztec name, tetzauhcoatl.

[^18]:    * This same close relationship is likewise apparent in Leiolopisma of this same lizard family (Scincidae).

[^19]:    * The correct placing of the synonymy of this species is difficult owing to the confusion in the literature of three species, fasciatus, laticeps, and inexpectatus. It is not impossible that certain of these refer unly to ene or both of the two ather species mentioned.

[^20]:    * Musei Petiveriani conturiar, X , rarions continentes, London, 1695-1705, Vol. 1, pl. 1. fig. 1; and Gazophylacii naturae et artis decales, London, 1702 . Folio, pls. 1-100 (pl. 69, fig. 13) (1711, fide Harlan, 1835).
    $\dagger$ Also issued in Nurenburg, a Latin and German edition entitled "Piscium et Serpentum imagines quas Marcus Catesby tradidit." 1750-1777, e wols, in folliis, phe 1-109.

[^21]:    *If Baird's opinion has been publisheal, the reference has escaped me.

[^22]:    * Cope ( 1900 , r. (i37) states: "The Flestiodon vittigerum of Hallowell from Michigan belongs to the middle stage of this speies, var. polygrammus. In a large number of small skink: etc.. ette.'

    The context sepms to show that this statement is in error. I believe it should read: "The Plestiodon vittigerum of Hallowell from Nlichigan belongs to the middle stage of this specirs."
    "Var. polyurammus: In a large number of small skinks," etc., etr.
    Note further comments on polygrammus under Eumeces inexpectatus.

[^23]:     4*(ta), A-hvalle, south Carilams.

[^24]:    * It is certain that many of the references listed under laticeps and fasciatus refer, at least in part, to this species.

[^25]:    * Partly regenerated. S232, type; 8233, paratype; 61632. Michigan U. Mus., Millsboro, Fla.; 61634, near Gulfport, Pinelas Co., Fla.; 61754, Cabhage Key, Fla.

[^26]:     figs. $8,8 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{~b}, 8 \mathrm{c}$.

[^27]:     Prove, ihina.

[^28]:    Niturk.. 24-25 Ber., 1885, 1\%. 144 ; Boettger, Cat. Rept. Sammb. Nus. Senckenb. Nat. (its., Teil 1, 1893, 11. 111 (Ningpo); and Ber. ïber Stnckenh. Nat. Gis. Frankfort, 1494. N. 146 (Ningpo) ; Stejneger, Jour. Sci. Coll. 1mp. Ini. Tokyo, XII, 1898, pl.
     Kl. XX1I, Bl. 11, Abt., 1903, pp. 169, 203, 372; Ste. neger, Bull. li. S. Nat. Mus., 54, 1907, PP. 202-205, figs. 182, 183 (Tama, Fomnosa, Pescartores) : amel Proc. U. S. Nat. Mns., XXXV111, 1910, 1. 99; Van Denburgh, Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci., (4), I11, 1906-1913, (1912), Pp. 223-225 (China, Pescadores, Formosa; description with notes on variatiom); Stanley, Jour. N. China Asiat. Soc., X1V. 1914, p. 25; Vogt, Sitz. Ber. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berlin, 1914 , F. 100 (Canton): Vogt, Arch. für Natur., Es Jahr. 1922, Abt. A., Hefi 10. गי. 135-146; Mell, Asch. für Naturg., 88 Jahr, 1922, Alot. A., lleft 10, p. 114; Stejneger. Proe. U. S. Nat. Nus., LXV1, 1925, p. 45 ; Schmidt, Bull. Amer. Mas. Nat. Ilıst., LlV, 1927. jo 505 (numerous localities); Pope, Bull. Amer. Alms., LVIlI, 1927. pp. 386-38s, Fig. 2b (nummoms localities, with notes on variation); Wu, Sci. Reps. Nat. Cint. Truv. Nanking. Ger. B., I, No. 7, 1930, p. 53: Gee, Bull. Dept. Biol. Yenching Uni., I, Ň. 1. 1930, pp. 53-84; Tehang, Bull. Fan Men. Inst. Binl., 1I, 1931. N. 276 (Nanking): Chang. Cont. Biol. Lah. Sci. Sire. China, Vlll, Zobl. Ser. 2. 1982. p. 1s, fig. 4 (destription of specimens from szechwan) ; Boring. First Ann. Rep. M. H. A. C., 1932, r. 112 (locality records).
    1912. Eumeces xanthi Barbour. Dems. Mus. Comp. Zoril., XI, 1912, P. 134 (Iehang) (not of Gïnther, 1m89).
    1926. Plfstiodon flfqams Sun. Cant. Biol. Lab. Sci. Soc. China, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1926, p. 5.

[^29]:    * All fron Amamioshima.

[^30]:     tanl，if mm，axilla to groin， 49 mmn ；snout to foreltg， 21 mm ；snont to ear， 23 mm ，；
     specimen must be considered now a luelonging to oxhimensis Thompson．

[^31]:    *Nos. 24274-2427万, 35921, ľagoshima, Japan; 33048, 33050, Miyazo; 33032, Kobe; 26133, 26128, Ikishima Is.; 35929, Sakurajima Is.

[^32]:    * Ihis is true if a sperman identified hy strecker (1909) from Burnet county is aetmally of this specirs. I have been unable to examine this. There is a probability that it is actually Eumeces septertrionalis obtusirostris. If this is incorrectly identified, the known overlap is less than two hundred miles north and south.

[^33]:    * Procperlings of the Academy Nat. Sci., Philadelphia, 1452.
    $\div$ Baird, L. S. and Mexican Boundary Surver, Rept. of the Boundary, 1959, pp. 1-35, plate XXI. figs. 9-16 (ohsoletus) and plate XXVI, figs. 20-28 (guttulatus) the latter from San Elizario, Tex.

[^34]:    * No. T305, Morton Co. Kansas: 7265, 7258 , Hyatt, Anderson Co., Kansas; 7701, -99b. Domglas Ci., Kansas: 233. Franklin Co., Kansas: 6s3s, Brownsville, Cameron Co., Texas: 3133, typr, Yalley of Rio san Pedro, Rio Grande del Norte, Texas

[^35]:    * Buth Duméril and Gray refer to the synonymy Tiliqua pulchra Gray, Mus. Britain. (non Illus. Ind. Zoul.), a reference I have not traced. It presumably antedates Duméril and Bibron's name, but whether a nomem nudum I to not know. They also place in synonymy Tiliqua de Gray Coct. (Svnupt. Scinc.).
    $\div$ Plestionon quinquelineatum.

[^36]:    * Musem of Natural Histrory, Inenver, Coln: Nos. 1-G and 8 atre from Milton reservoir, Weld Co., Colo.; No. F from Rogen, Weid Co. ; No. 3110 U.E.N.M. types of inornatus.

[^37]:    ${ }^{*}$ Nos, $70103, \quad 70516$, Gundahure Mts.: 13161. Near Mouth Carlsbad Cavern, N. M.; Brıtish Mus. No. 83, 4, 5, 33, 34, Presidio, Sinaloa, Mexico.
    $\div$ Regenerated.

[^38]:    * This is Plomosas, a mining town of the mumipality of Rosario. near the southern boundary of Sinalua.

[^39]:    * Tail either broken or incomplete in all specimens. No. 51395 measures 50 mm ., with the latter fart missing, but regeneration begun.

[^40]:     and smuthen Mts．Alexien：Sartorms Cull．）．
    
    
     brevirostris（Canle，1857）．

[^41]:     or doubtimi lumality．Can thase be the Nebraska specinens？

[^42]:    All specimens from Onaga，Pottawatonite Co．，Kansas，

[^43]:    No. 13545, type, Dahlas, Texas; 7801, 13159, 13158, Waco, McLennan Co., Texas; 7745 , 1274, Atascosa Co.. Texas; 155it? Bexar Co., Texas; 8892, central Texas.

[^44]:    * Mr. L. M. Klauber in letter states: "Volume 6. No. 2, of the Proceedings of the lhiladelphia Academy was acknowledged by the smithsoman lnstitution on June 9, 1852."

[^45]:    3417. Wrights; fi, Mt, Hamiltom; R, Momnan View;
    
[^46]:    －I have quastioned the abore localatio．

[^47]:     tion on the locality．
    $\div$ Giurrem，Npxan（Aeapulen）．A seomen in Harvard Musemm of Comparative Zoology purports to be from the above lowality． 1 regard this specimen as having originated in Cabonma，wen thomgh acqumed in deapules．The spommen has han the tip of the lower jaw permed，shgersting that it may hase heen lield on a leash when alise．

[^48]:    * This specmen, from the Chibuahua Nlts., Coodslge Coll., is a young guberti rubricaudatus.

[^49]:    - There is also a barrio sion Francisquito between $29^{n}$ and 30 north iat ; also at point
    

[^50]:     ('rockers, Naripusa (8).

[^51]:    * 40301 is from Campo, San Diego Co.; 20385, from Witch Creek, San Diego Co., Cal.; 11799, Fresno, Cal. ; 39002 , Fresno ; 5560, Tehachapi,
    $\dagger$ Regenerated tip.

[^52]:    
     small：seventh labial forms a suture with the apper seopmbary trmporal，the loner
    
    
     It supratoculare four．
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^53]:    
    
    

[^54]:    PLATE V
    Eumecos schme iderii (I)atulin)
    Fig. 1. E: H. Taylor Collertion, No. 6521 ; -nont to vent, 160 mm, "Haiffa" Syria.

    Eumeres pmimentutus (Gieoffoce Saint-Hillaire)
     "Haiffa" Syria.

[^55]:    PIATE II
    Eumeres alyerionsis. alye riemsis. (Peters)
    From Boulengr, Trans. Zoül. Soc. London, XIII, Plate 16. Ahogenor, Morocen. (Reduced somewhat,)

[^56]:    PLATE NXXIN
    
     Maga, Pottawatomic comoty, Kamsan.
     Whag. Pottawatomin eromty. Kamsan.
     Ghati. Pottamatomis comoty, Kimsas.

[^57]:    PLATE NXXVII
    
    Fis. 1. Cahtornial Academy of seitencer. No. 6.307, shont to vent, 75 mm ; Pamanint Momatame. Inyo connty. ('alitomia.
     Valley, Mamena comty, Caliomia.
     Yomemitr Vabley. Maripoza combty. Califomia.

