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## TORONTO :

W. J. CAGE \& COMPANY, 54 FRONT STREET WEST. I 884 .
\&

## PREFATORY NOTE.

The title-page sufficiently sets forth the end this little book is intended to serve.

For convenience' sake I have arranged in alphabetical order the subjects treated of, and for economy's sake I have kept in mind that "he that uses many words for the explaining of any subject doth, like the cuttle-fish, hide himself in his own ink."

The curious inquirer who sets himself to look for the learning in the book is advised that he will best find it in such works as George P. Marsh's "Lectures on the English Language," Fitzedward Hall's " Recent Exemplifications of False Philology" and "Modern English," Richard Grant White's "Words and Their Uses," Edward S. Gould's "Good Englisḩ,", William Mathews' "Words: their Use and Abuse," Dean Alford's "The Queen's English," George Washington

Moon's "Bad English" and "The Dean's English,', Blank's "Yulgarisms and Other Errors of Speech," Alexander Bain's "English Composition and Rhetoric," Bain's "Higher English Grammar," Bain's "Composition Grammar," Quackenbos' "Composition and Rhetoric," John Nichol's "English Composition," William Cobbett's "English Grammar," Peter Bullions' "English Grammar," Goold Brown's "Grammar of English Grammars," Graham's "English S;nonymes," Crabb's "English Synonymes," Bigelow's "Hand-book of Punctuation," and other kindred works.

Suggestions and criticisms are solicited, with the view of profiting by them in future editions.

If "The Verbalist" receive as kindly a welcome as its companion volume, "The Orthoepist," has received, I shall be content.
A. A.

New York, Octoler, 1851.

Eschew fine worls as you would rouge.-Hare.
Cant is properly a double-distilled lie; the second power of a lie.-Ciplyle.

If a gentleman be to study any language, it ought to be that of his own country.-Locke.

In langnage the unknown is generally taken for the mag-nificent.-Richard (irant White.

He who has a superlative for everything, wants a measure for the great or small.-Lafater.

Inaccurate writing is generally the expression of inaccurate thinking.-Richary Grant White.

To acquire a few tongues is the labor of a few years ; but to be eloquent in one is the labor of a life.-Anorrmous.

Words and thoughts are so inseparably connected that an artist in words is necessarily an artist in thoughts. -Wilsox Flati:.

It is an invariable maxim that words which add nothing to the sense or to the clearness must climinish the force of the expression. Campeell.

Propriety of thonght aud propriety of diction are commonly fonnd together. Obscurity of expression generally springs from confusion of ideas. Macallay.

He who writes badly thinks badly. Confusedness in worls can proceed from nothing but confusedness in the thoughts which give rise to them. - C'obbett.

## THE VERBALIST.

A-An. The second form of the indefinite article is used for the sake of enphony only. Herein everybody agrees, but what everyborly does not agree in is, that it is euphonious to use an before a word beginning with an aspirated $h$. when the accented syllable of the word is the second. For myself, so long as I contimue to aspirate the $h$ 's in such worls as heroic, harangue, and historical, I shall continue to use " before them: and when I adopt the Cockney mode of pronouncing such words, then shall I use an before them. To my ear it is just as euphonious to say, "I will crop off from the top of his young twigs a tender one, and will plant it upon an high mountain and eminent," as it is to say an harangue, on heroic, or an historical. An is well enough before the doubtful British aspiration, but before the distinct American aspiration it is wholly out of place. The reply will perhaps be, "But these $h$ 's are silent; the change of accent from the first syllable to the second neutralizes their aspiration." Howerer true this may be in England, it is not at all true in America; hence we Americans should use a and not an before such $l i s$ until we decide to ape the Cockney mode of pronouncing them.

Errors are not unfrequently marle by omitting to repeat the article in a sentence. It should always be repeated when a noun or an adjective referring to a distinct thing is introduced ; take, for example, the sentence, ${ }^{\cdots}$ He has a black and
white horse." If two horses are meant, it is clear that it should be, "He has a black and a white horse." See Tue.

Ability-Capacity. The distinctions between these two worls are not always observed ly those who use them. " C'rpacity is the power of receiving and retaining knowledge with facility; ubility is the power of applying knowlerge to practical purposes. Both these faculties are requisite to form a great character : capacity to conceive, and ability to excente designs. Capacity is shown in yuickness of apprehension. Ahility supposes something done; something by which the mental power is exercised in exeenting, or performing, what has been perceived by the capacity."-Graham's " English synonymes."

Abortive. An outlantish use of this word may be oecasionally met with, especially in the newspapers. "A lat was yesterday canght in the act of chorticely appropriating a pair of shoes." That is abortive that is untimely, that has not heen borne its full time, that is immature. We often hear abortion usel in the sense of failure, but never by those that study to express themselves in chaste English.

Above. There is little authority for using this word as an adjective. Instead of, "the "hoce statement," say "the forpefoiny statement." Abore is also used very inelegantly for more thon; as, "above a mile," "above a thonsand"; also, for beyoml; as, "ahove his strength."

Accident. See Chsulaty.
Accord. "He [the Secretary of the Treasury] was shown through the building, and the information he desired was accorled him."-Reporters' English.
"The heroes prayed, and Pallas from the skies
Accords their vow."-Pope.
The goidess of wisdom, when she granted the prayers of her worshippers, may be said to have accorded : not so, howerer,
when the clerks of our Sub-Treasury answer the inquiries of their chief.

Accuse. See Blame it on.
Acquaintance. See Friend.
Ad. This abbreviation for the word culertisement is very justly considered a gross vulgarism. It is doubtful whether it is permissible under any circumstances.

Adapt-Dramatize. In speaking and in writing of stage matters, these words are often misusel. To culcopt a play is to modify its construction with the view of improving its form for representation. Plays translaterl from one language into another are nsually more or less rulapted ; i.e., altered to snit the taste of the public before which the translation is to be represented. To dromatize is to change the form of a story from the narrative to the dramatic; i.e., to make a drama out of a story. In the first instance, the product of the playwright's labor is callerl an culuphetion: in the second, a drumutizution.

Adjectives. "Very often adjectives stand where allverhs might be expecterl; as, 'ilrink terp,' 'this looks stronge,' 'stancling erect.'
"We have also examples of one adjective qualifying another arljective ; as, 'rirle open,' 'red hot,' 'the pale blue sky.' Sometimes the corresponding adverb, is used, but with a different meaning; as, 'I fomnd the way eresy -ecsily'; 'it appears clear-clecrly. Although there is a propriety in the employment of the arljective in certain instances, yet such forms as 'indifferent well,' 'extreme bad,' are grammatical errors. 'He was interrogaterl relutice to that ciremmstance, should be relutively, or in relution to. It is not musual to say, 'I would have done it independent of that circumstance,' hont indepeudently is the proper construction.
"The employment of adjectives for adverbs is accounted for by the following considerations:
"(1.) In the classical languages the nenter adjective may be used as an adverb, and the analogy would appear to have been extemberl to English.
"(2.) In the oldest English the adverb was regularly formed from the adjective by adding 'e,' as 'soft, softe,' and the dropping of the ' $e$ ' left the arverl) in the adjective form ; thus. 'clone, adverb, beeame 'clean,' and appears in the phrase 'clean gone'; 'forste, fast,' 'to stick fust.' By a false analogy, many adjectives that never formen adverbs in -e were freely used as adrerbs in the age of Elizabeth: 'Thou dirlst it excellent,' 'equal (for equally) grod,' 'prrellent well.' This gives precenlent for such errors as those mentioned above.
"(3.) There are cases where the subject is qualified rather than the verb, as with verbs of incomplete predication, 'being,' 'seeming,' 'arriving,' etc. In 'the matter seems clour,' 'clear' is part of the predicate of 'matter.' 'They arrived 'safe': 'safe' does not qualify 'arriver,' but goes with it to complete the predicate. No, 'he sat silent,' 'he stool firm.' 'It comes berutiful' and 'it comes berutifully' have different meanings. This explanation applies especially to the use of participles as adverbs, as in southey's lines on Lorlore: the participial epithets applied there, althongh appearing to modify 'came,' are really adlitional predications abont 'the water,' in elegantly shortenel form. 'The church stood gleaminy through the trees': 'gleaming' is a shortened predicate of 'church': and the full form would be, 'the church stood und gleamed.' The participle retains its force as such, while acting the part of a coörlinating arljective, complement to 'stood'; 'stood gleaming' is little more than 'gleamed.' The feeling of ad verbial force in 'gleaming' arises from the subordinate parti-
cipial form joined with a verb, 'stool,' that seems capable of predicating ly itself. ' $P$ ussing strange' is elliptical: 'passing (surpassing) what is strange.' "-Bann.
"The comparative aljectives wiser, better, lurger, etc., and the contrasting adjectives different, other, etc., are often so placed as to render the construction of the sentence awkward; as, 'That is a much better statement of the case then yours,' instead of 'That statement of the case is much better theun yours'; 'Yours is a lecterer plot of ground than John's,' instead of, ' Your plat of ground is laryer than John's'; 'This is a different course of proceeding from what I expected,' instead of, 'This course of proceeding is ditferent from what I expected'; 'I conld take no other method of silencing him then the one I took,' instead of, 'I could take no method of silencing him other than the one I took." "- Gonld's "Good English," p. 69.

Administer. "Carson died from llows administered by policeman Johnson."-"New York Times." If policeman Johnson was as barbarous as is this use of the rerb to culminister; it is to be hoped that he was hanged. (qoveruments, oaths, medicine, affairs-such as the affairs of the state-are administered. but not blows: they are deult.

Adopt. This worl is often used instead of to decitle upon, and of to tak": thus, "The measures adopted [by Parliament], as the result of this inguiry, will be productive of gool." Better, "The measures decilled "pon," etc. Instead of, "What course shall you relop,t to get your pay "" say, "What course shall you tuke," etc. Adopt is properly used in a sentence like this: "The course (or measures) proposel l,y Mr. Blank was adopted by the committee." That is, what was Blank's wats onlopted by the committee-a correct use of the word, as to ulopt, means, to assume as one's own.

Adopt is sometimes so misused that its meaning is inverted.
"Wianted to adopot," in the heading of advertisements, not unfrequently is intended to mean that the advertiser wishes to be relicecd of the care of a child, not that he wishes to assume the calre of one.

Aggravate. This worl is often usel when the speaker means to provoke, irritate, or" anger'. 'Thus, "It ry!rurutes [provokes] me to be continnally found fanlt with"; "He is easily uygriecuterl [irritaterl]." To aggrarate means to make worse, to heighten. We therefore vary properly speak of "!ffrucratin!g circumstances. To say of a person that he is "y!yrerotell is as incorrect as to say that he is prelliuted.

Agriculturist. This word is to he preferved to agrimulturulist. see Converisationist.

Alike. This word is often most bunglingly coupled with both. Thus, "These bomets are both alike," or, worse still, if possible, "Ioth just alike." This reminds one of the story of sam and Jem, who were very like each other, especially S'am.

All. See Universil.
All over. "The disease spread all orer the country." It is more logical and more emphatic to say, "The disease spread ocer all the comntry."

Allegory. An elaborated metaphor is called an cellegory; both are figurative lepresentations, the words used signifying something beyoml their literal meaning. Thus, in the eightieth Psalm, the Jexws are represented muler the symbol of a vine:
"Thou hast bronght a vine out of Egypt: thou hast east ont the heathen, and planted it. Thou preparedst room before it, and didst canse it to take deep root, and it filled the lamd. The hills were covered with the shadow of it, and the boughs thereof were like the goorlly cellats. she sent out her bonghs unto the sea, and her branches minto the river.

W'hy hast thou then broken down her herlges, so that all they which pass by the way do pluck her? The boar out of the wool doth waste it, and the wild beast of the field doth derour it."

An allegory is sometimes so extended that it makes a rolume: as in the case of swift's "Tale of a Tub," Arbuthnot's "John Bull," Bmyan's "Pilgrim's Progress," etc. Fables and parables are short allegories.

Allow. This worl is freruently misused in the West and sonth, where it is made to do service for assert or to be of ofinion. Thus, "He allows that he has the finest horse in the country."

Allude. The treatment this word has received is to lee specially regretterl, as its misuse has wellmigh robbed it of its trme meaning, which is, to intimate delicately, to refer to without mentioning directly. Allurle is now very rarely userl in any other sense than that of to speak of, to mention, to name. which is a long way from being its legitimate signification. |This degradation is doubtless a direct outcome of untutorerl desire to be fine and to use lig words.

Alone. This worl is often improperly used for on' $\%$. That is clone which is maccompanied; that is only of whice there is no other. "Virtue clone makes us happy," means that virtue unaiderl suftices to make us happy: "Virtue only makes us happy," means that nothing else can do it-that that, and that only (not alone), can do it. "This means of communication is employed ly man alone." Dr. Quackenloos should have written, "By man only." see also Oxir.

Amateur Novice. There is much confusion in the nse of these two worls, althongh they are entirely distinct from each other in meaning. An comuteur is one versed in, or a lover and practicer of, any particular pursuit, art, or science, but not engaged in it professionally. A novice is one who is
new or inexperienced in any art or business-a beginner, a tyro. A professional actor, then, who is new and unskilled in his art, is a norice and not an cmutetr: An amateur may be an artist of great experience and extraordinary skill.

Ameliorate. "The health of the Empress of Germany is greatly cemrliorated." Why not say improved?"

Among. Sec Berwees.
Amount of Perfection. The ohservant reader of periodical literatme often notes forms of expression which are perhaps best characterized by the word bizare. Of these queer locutions, cmomat of perfection is a rery good example. Mr. fi. F. Watts, in the "Nineteenth Century," says, "An amount of perfection has been reacherl which I was by no means preparel for." What Mr. Watts meant to say was, doubtless, that a degree of excellence hatl been reached. There are not a few who, in their prepossession for everything transatlantic, seem to he of opinion that the English language is generally letter written in England than it is in America. Those who think so are comselled to examine the diction of some of the most noter English critics and essayists, beginning, if they will, with Matthew Arnolrl.

And. Few rulgarisms are more common than the use of roml for to. Examples: "Come aml see me before you go"; "Try and do what you can for him"; "Go and see your brother, if you can." In such sentences as these, the proper participle to use is clearly to and not cond.

And is sometimes improperly userl instead of or ; thus, "It is ohvions that a language like the Greek and Latin" (language?), etc., should be, "a language likc the fireek or the Latin" (langnage), etc. There is no such thing as a (ireek and Latin language.

Answer-Reply. These two words should not be used indiscriminately. Ancenswer is given to a question ; a reply,
to an assertion. When we are addressed, we answer ; when we are acensel, we reply. We answer letters, and reply to any arguments, statements, or aceusations they may contain. Crabb is in error in saying that replies "are used in personal discourse only." Replies, as well as ansurers, are written. We very properly write, "I have now, I believe, answered all your questions and replied to all your arguments." A rejoinder is macle to a reply. "Who goes there?" he eried; and, receiving no ansuer, he fired. "The adroeate replied to the eharges made against his client."

Anticipate. Lovers of lig words have a fondness for making this verb do duty for expect. Anticipate is derived from two Latin worls meaning lefore and to take, and, when properly usel, means, to take beforehand; to go before so as to preclude another; to get the start or ahead of ; to enjoy, possess, or suffer, in expeetation ; to foretaste. It is, therefore, misused in such seutences as. "Her death is hourly anticipated"; "By this means it is anticipated that the time from Europe will be lessened two days."

Antithesis. A phrase that opposes contraries is called an untithesis.
"I see a chief who leads my chosen sous, All armed with points, antitheses, and puns."
The following are examples:
" Though gentle, yet not dull; Strong, without rage; without o'erflowing, full."
" Contrasted faults throngh all their mamers reign ; Though poor, luxurious ; though submissive, vain ; Though grave, yet trifling : zealous, yet untrue; And e'en in penance planning sins anew."
The following is an excellent example of persomification and untithesis combined:
-. Talent convinces: (ienins lnt excites: That tasks the reason; this the soul delights. Talent from solber judgment takes its birth, And reconciles the pinion to the earth ; (ienins unsettles with desires the mind, Contented not till earth be left behind."

In the following extract from Johnson's "Life of Pope," individual peculiarities are contrasted by means of antitheses:
"Of genius-that power which constitutes a poet; that quality without which jurlgment is cold, and knowledge is inert: that energy which collects, combines, amplifies, and animates-the superiority must, with some hesitation, be allowed to Dryilen. It is not to be inferred that of this poetical rifor Pope had mly a little, because Dryden had more: for every other writer, since Milton, mmst give place to Pope: and even of Dryden it must be said that, if he has brighter paragraphs, he has not better poems. Iryden's performances were always hasty, either excited by some external occasion or extorted by domestic necessity ; he composed withont consideration and pullished without correction. What his mind couhl supply at call or gather in one excursion was all that he sought and all that he gave. The silatory caution of Pope enabled him to conlense his sentiments, to multiply his inages, and to accmmulate all that stuly might promluce or chance might supply. If the flights of Dryden. therefore, are higher, Pope continues longer on the wing. If of Dryden's fire the blaze is hrighter, of Pope's the heat is more regular and constant. Dryden often surpasses expectation, and Pope never falls below it. Dryden is read with frequent astonishment, and Pope with perpetual delight. Dryden's page is a natural field, rising into inequalities, and diversified by the raried exuberance of abundant regetation;

Pope's is a velret lawn, sharen by the scythe, and leveled by the roller."

There are forms of antithesis in which the contrast is only of a secondary kind.

Any. This woru is sometimes made to do service for at a'l. We say properly, "She is not any better"; but we can not properly say, "She does not see any," meaning that she is blind.

Ansbody else. "P'nblic School Teachers are informed that anyborly else's is correct."-"New York Times," Sunday, July 31, 1SS1. An English writer says: "In such phrases as anybody clse, and the like, olsp is often put in the possessive case; as, "anyboly else's servant'; anl some y"ammarians defend this use of the posscssive case, arguing that somebody else is a compound nonn." It is better grammar and more euphonions to consider else as being an a:ljective, and to form the possessive by adding the apostrophe and $s$ to the word that else qualifies; thus, anybody's else, nobody's else, somebody's else.

Anyhow. "An excee lingly rulgar phrase," says Professor Mathews, in his "Words: Cheir Use and Abuse." "Its use, in uny manner, by one who professes to write and speak the English tongue with purity, is unpardonable." Professor Mathews seems to have a special dislike for this colloquialism. It is resognizel by the lexicographers, and I thin' is generally accountel, even by the caraful, permissible in conversation, thongh incompatible with dignified diction.

Anxiety of Mind. See Equinmity of Mind.
Apostrophe. Turning from the person or persons to whom a discourse is addressed and apparling to some person or thing absent, constitutes what, in rhetoric, is called the apostrophe. The following are some examples:
"O gentle sleep,
Nature's soft nurse, how have I frighted thee,
That thou no more wilt weigh my eyelids down;
And steep my senses in forgetfulness?"
"Sail on, thou ione imperial bird
Of quenchless eye and tireless wing!"
"Help, angels, make assay !
Bow, stubborn knees! and heart with strings of steel,
Besoft as sinews of the new-boan babe:
All may yet be well!"
Appear: See Seem.
Appreciate. If any worl in the langnuge has cause to complain of ill-treatment, this one has. Apprecinte m ans, to estimate $j u s t l y$-to set the true value on men or things, their worth, beauty, or adrantages of any sort whatsoever. Thus, an overestimate is no more appreciution than is an underestimate; hence it follows that such expressions as, "I appreciate it, or her, or him, high!!," can not be correct. We value, or mize, things highly, not a preciate them highly. This worl is also very improperly mate to do service for rise, or increase, in value; thus, "Land appreciates rapidly in the West." Dr. L. T. Townsend blunders in the use of appreciate in his "Art of Speesh," vol. i, p. 142, thus: "The laws of harmony . . . may allow copiousness . . . in parts of a discourse . . . in order that the condensation of other parts may be the more highiy a preciaterl."

App:ehzad-Comprehend. The English often use the first of these two words where we use the second. Both express an effort of the thinking faculty; but to apprehend is simply to take an idra into the mind-it is the mind's first effort-while to comproliend is fully to understond. We are dull or quick of apmehension. Children apprehend much that they do not comprehend. Trench says: "We apprehend many
truths which we do not compreliend." "Apprehend," says Crabb, "expresses the weakest kind of belief, the having [of] the least idea of the presence of a thing."

Ayt. Often misused for likely, and sometimes fow liable. "What is he apt to be doing?" "Where shall I be apt to find him?" "If properly directed, it will be apt to reach me." In such sentences as these, likely is the proper word to use. "If you go there, you will be apt to get into trouble." Here either likely or iabile is the proper word, according to the thonght the speaker would convey.

Arctics. See Rubbers.
Artist. Of late years this word has been appropriated by the members of so many crafts, that it has wellnigh been despoiled of its meaning. Your cook, your barber, your tailor, your boot-maker, and so on to satiety, are all arlists. Painters, sculptors, architects, actors, and singers, nowadays, generally prefer being thus called, rather than to be spoken of as artists.

As. "Not as I know": read, "not that I know." "This is not as good as the last": read, "not so good." "It may be complete so far as the specification is concerned": correctly, " $a$ s far as."

As, preceded by such or by same, has the force of a relative applying to persons or to things. "He offered me the same conditions $a_{s}$ he offered you." "The same conditions that" would be equally proper. See, also, Like.

Ascribe. See Inpute.
At. Things are sold by, not at, auction. "The scene is more beautiful at night than by day ": say, "by night."

At all. "It is not strange, for my uncle is King of Denmark." Had Shakespeare written, "It is not at all strange," it is clear that his diction would have been much less forcible. "I do not wish for any at all"; "I saw no one
at all"; "If he hal any desire at all to see me, he would come where I am." The at all in sentences like these is superfluous. Yet there are instances in which the phrase is certainly a very convenient one, and seoms to be mobjuctionable. It is much used, aud by gool weiters.

At best. Inste.ll of at best and at warit, we should say at the best and at the worst.

At last. See At length.
At Ieast. This adverbial phrase is often misplaced. "' The Romans understood liberty at least as well as we.' This must be interpreted to mean, 'The Romans understood liberty as well as we understand liberty.' The intended meaning is, 'that whatever things the Romans failed to understand, they understood liberty.' To express this meaning we might put it thus: 'The Romms understood at least liberty as well as we do'; liberty, at leas', the Romans understood as well as we do.' 'A tear, at least, is clue to the unhappy'; 'at least a tear is due to the unhappy': 'a tear is due at least to the unhappy'; ' $a$ tear is due to the unhappy at least'-all express different meanings. 'This can not, often at least, ba done'; 'this ean not be done olter, at least.' (1. 'It often happens that this can not be done.' 2. 'It does not often happen that this can he (lone. ${ }^{2}$ ) $S$, 'man is a'morys eapable of laughing'; 'man is capahle of laughing aluctys.: " -Bain.

At length. This phrase is often aser instead of at lust. "At length we munage to get away": reut, "at last." "At length we heurd from him." 'To hear from any one at length is to hear fully; i. e., in detail.

Authoress. Witl regard to the use of this and certain other worls of like formation, Mr. Gonld, in his "Good English," says: " 1 'oet means simply a person who writes poetry; and author, in the sense under consideration, a person
who writes poetry or prose-not a man who writes, but a person who writes. Nothing in either worl indicates sex; and everybody knows that the functions of both poets and anthors are common to both sexes. Hence, authoress and poetess are superflnous. And they are superiluous, also, in another respect-that they are very rarcly used, indeed they hardly can be used, independently of the nume of the writer, as Mrs., or Miss, or a female Christian name. They are, besides, philological absurdities, becanse they are fabricated on the false assumption that their primaries indicate men. They are, moreover, liable to the charge of affectation and prettiness, to say nothing of pedantic pretension to accuracy.
"If the ess is to be parmitted, there is no reason for excluding it from any noun that indicates a person; and the next editions of our dictionaries may be made complete by the addition of writress, officeress, m inajeress, superintend. entes;, secretar!/ess, treasureress, walkeress, talkeress, and so on to the end of the vocabulary."

## Avocation. See Vocation

Bad cold. Inasmich as colds are never good, why say a bul coll? We muy talk about slight colds and severe collds, but not about bied colds.

Baggage. See Luggage.
Bolance. This word is very frequently and very erroneonsly usel in the sense of rest, remimder. It proparly means the excess of one thing orer another, and in this sense and in no other should it be usel. Hence it is improper to talk about the balcence of the edition, of the evening, of the money, of the toasts, of the men, etc. In such cases we shoull say the rest oi the remainder.

Barbarism. Defined as an offence against good usage, by the use of an improper word, i.e., a woed that is anti-
quated or improperly formed. Preventative, enthuse, agriculturalist, donute, etc., are burburisms. See also Solecrsm.

Baen to. We not unfrequently hear a superfluous to tacked to a sentence; thus, "Where have you been to?"

Beg. We often see letters begin with the words, "I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your favor," etc. We should write, "I heg leave to acknowledge," etc. No one would say, "I locg to tell you," instead of, "I beg leare to tell you."

Begin-Commence. These words have the same meaning ; careful speakers, however, generally prefer to use the former. Indeed, there is rarely any good reasou for giving the preference to the latter. See also Commence.

## Being built. See Is being built.

Belongings. An old idiomatic expression now coming into use again.

Beside-Besides. In the later unabridged editions of Webster's dietionary we find the following remarks concerning the use of these two words: "Braite and besides, whether used as prepositions or adverhs, have been considered synonymous from an early period of our literature, and have been freely interchanged by our best writers. . There is, however, a tendency in present usage to make the following distinction between them: 1. That beside be nsed only and always as a preposition, with the original meaning by the side of ; as, to sit beside a fomntain; or with the closely allied meaning aside from, or out of ; as, this is beside onr present purpose: 'Paul, thou art liesile thyself.' The adrerbial sense to be wholly transferred to the cognate word. 2. That besides, as a preposition, take the remaining sense, in crldition to ; as, besides all this; brsides thie consilleration here offered: 'There was a famine in the land bexides the first famine.' And that it also take the adverbial sense of moreover, beyond,
etc., which had been divided between the words; as, besides, there are other considerations which belong to this case."

Best. See At best.
Between. This word is often misused for among; thus, "The worl fellow, however much in use it may be between men, sounds very objectionable from the lips of women.""London Queen." Should be, amon.s" men." Between is used in reference to two things, parties, or persons; among, in reference to a greater number. "Castor and Pollux with one soul between them." "You have among you many a purchased slave."

Blame it on. Here is a gross vulgarism which we sometimes hear from persons of considerable culture. They use it in the sense of accuse or suspect; thus, "He blames it on his brother," meaning that he accuses or suspects lis brother of having flone it, or of being at fault for it.

Bogus. A colloruial term incompatible with dignified diction.

Both. We sometimes hear such absurd sentences as, "They both resemble each other very much"; "They are both alike"; "They both met in the street." Both is likewise redundant in the following sentence: "It performs at the same time the offices loth of the nominative and objective cases."

Bound. The use of this word in the sense of determined is not only inelegant but inlefensible. "I am bound to have it," should be, "I am determined to have it."

Eravery-Courage. The careless often use these two worls as though they were interchangeable. Bretery is inborn, is instinctive : comorfe is the product of reason, calculation. There is much merit in being courageons, little merit in heing brave. Men who are simply bruce are careless, while the courageons man is always cautions. Brarer! often degenerates into temerity. Moral courage is that firmess of
principle which enables a man to do what he deems to be his duty, although his acticn may subject him to adverse criticism. True moral couruge is one of the rarest and most admirable of virtues.

Alfred the Great, in resisting the attacks of the Danes, displayed bruvery; in entering their camp as a spy, he displayed couraye.

Bring-Fetch-Carry. The indiscriminate use of these three words is very common. To liring is to convey to or toward-a simple act ; to fetch means to go and bring-a compound act; to carry often implies motion from the speaker, and is followed by away or off, and thus is opposed to bring and fetch. Yet one hears such expressions as, "Go to Mrs. D.'s and bring her this bundle; and here, you may fetch her this book also." We use the words correctly thus: "Fetrh, or go bring, me an apple from the cellar"; "When you come home bring some lemons"; "Carry this book home with you."

British against American English. "The most important peculiarity of American English is a laxity, irregularity, and confusion in the use of particles. The same thing is, indeed, observable in England, but not to the same extent, though some gross departures from idiomatic propriety, such as different to for different from, are common in England, which none but very ignorant persons would be guilty of in America. . . . In the tenses of the verbs, I am inclined to think that well-educated Americans conform more closely to grammatical propriety than the corresponding class in England. . . . In general, I think we may say that, in point of naked syntactical aceuracy, the English of America is not at all inferior to that of England; but we do not discriminate so precisely in the meaning of words, nor do we habitually, in either conversation or in writing, express ourselves so gracefully, or employ so classic a diction, as the

English. Our taste in language is less fastidious, and our licenses and inncumracics are more frequently of a chanacter indicative of want of refinement and elegant enltire thant those we hear in edinc.stel society in Englent." - Gieor de P. Marsh.

British against American Orthoëpy. "The canses of the differences in pronunciation [between the English aml the Americans] are partly physical, and therefore difficult. if not impossible, to resist ; and partly owing to a difference of circumstances. Oit this latter class of influences, the universality of reading in America is the most obrions and important. The most marked difference is, perhaps, in the length or prosodical quantity of the vowels; and both of the causes I have mentioned concur to prodnce this effeet. We are said to drawl our words by protracting the vowels and giving them a more diphthongal sound than the English. Now, an Englishman who reals will habitually utter his vowels more fully and distinctly than his comtryman who does not; and, upon the same principle, a nation of realers, like the Americans, will pronounce more deliberately and elearly than a people so large a proportion of whom are unable to reat, as in England. From our universal habit of reading, there results not ouly a greater distinctness of articulation, but a stroug tendency to assimilate the spoken to the written langnage. Thus, Americans incline to give to every syllable of a written word a distinct enmeiation ; and the popular habit is to say dic-tion-ar-y, mil-it-(t)"-y, with a secondary accent on the penultimate, instead of sinking the thind syllable, as is so common in England. There is, no doubt, something disagreeably stiff in an anxious and attected conformity to the rery letter of orthorraphy ; and to those accustomed to a more hurried utierance we may secm to drawl, when we are only giving a full expression to letters
which, though etymologically imporiant, the English habitnally slur over, sputtering out, as a Siwedish satirist says, one half of the word, and swallowing the other. The ten lency io make the long vowels diphthongal is noticel by foreigners as a peculiarity of the orthoëpy of on langnacse; and this tendency will, of course, be strengthened by any cause which produces greater slowness an l fulluess of articalation. Besides the inflnence of the habit of reading, there is some reason to think that climate is aftecting our articulation. In spite of the collness of our winters, our floca shows that the climate of even one Northern States belongs, upon the whole, to a more sonthern type than that of England. In southern latitudes, at least within the temperate zone, articulation is generally much more distinct than in the nortiern regions. Witness the prommeiation of Spanish, Italian, Turkish, as compared with English, Danish, and Germon. Participating, then, in the physical influences of a sonthem climate, we have contracted smmething of the more distinct articulation that belongs to a dry atmosphere and a clear sky. And this view of the case is confimed by the fact that the inhabitants of the Southern States incline, like the people of southern Europe, to throw the accent toward the end of the word, and thus, like all nations that use that accentuation, bring out all the syllables. This we obscrve very commonly in the comparative Northern and Southern pronunciation of proper names. I might exemplify by citing familiar instances; but, lest that should seem invidious, it may suffice to saty that, not to mention more important changes, many a Northern nember of Congress goes to Washington a dactyl or a trochec, and comes hone an umplitirach or an icmlus. Why or how external physical causes, as climate and motes of life, should affect pronmeiation, we can not say ; bat it is evident that material influences of some sort are prolluing a change in our
bodily constitution, and we are fast acquiring a distinct national Anglo-imerican type. That the delicate organs of articnlation should participate in such teurlencies is altogether natural; and the operation of the canses which give rise to them is palpable even in our haudwriting, which, if not miform with it-elf, is generally, nevertlieless, so unlike common English script as to be readily distinguished from it.
"To the joint operation, then, of these two cansesuniversal reading and climatic influences-we must aseribe our habit of dwelling upon vowel and diphthongal sounds, or of drawling, if that tem is insister upon. . . . But it is often noticed by foreigners as both making us more readily understood by them when speaking onr own tongne, and as connected with a flexibility of organ, which enables us to acquire a letter pronunciation of other languages than is usual with Englishmen. In any case, as, in spite of the old adage, speech is given us that we may malse ourselves understood, our drawling, however prolonge l, is preterable to the nauseous, foggy, mumbling thickness of articulation which characterizes the cockuey, and is nut unfrequently affected by Englishmen of a better class."-Georde P. Marsh.

Bryant's Prohibited Words. See Index ExpurgaTORICS.

But. This word is misused in various ways. "I do not doubt but he will be liere" : reuld, doubt that. "I should not wonder but" : rearl, if. "I have no donht hut that he will go": suppress but. "I do not doabt lout that it is true": suppress lout. "There can be ino doubt but that the hurglary is the work of professi nal cracksmen."-"New York Herald." Doubt that, and not lut that. "A careful canwass leaves no doulst but that the nomination," etc. : suppress but. "There is no reasonable cloubt lut that it is all it prodesses to be": suppress but. "The mitid no sooner entertains any
proposition but it presently hastens," etc. : read thon. "No vtacr resumre but this was alluwed him" : resd, thun.

## Ey. See Ar.

Calculate. This word means to ascertain by computation, to reckom, to estimate ; and, say some of the purists, it never means anything else when properly usel. If this is true, we can not say a thing is culculuted to do harm, but must, if we are ambitions to have our English irreproachahle choose some other form of expression, or at least some other word, likely or apt, for example. Cubbett, however, says, "That, to Her, whose great example is so well calculaterl to inspire," etc.; anl, "The first two of the threc sentenees are well enough calculated for ushering," ete. Calculate is sometimes vuigariy $u$ sell for intend, purpose, expect; as, "He calculates to get off to-morrow."

Caliber. This worl is sometimes used very absurdly; as, "Brown's Essays are of a much higher caliber than Smith's." It is plain that the proper word to use here is order.

Cant. Cant is a kiud of affectation; affectation is an effort to sail under false colors ; an effort to sail under false colors is a kind of falschood ; and falsehood is a term of Latin origin which we often use instead of the stronger Saxon term lyivg !
"Who is not familiar," writes Dr. Willian Natthews, "with seores of pet phrases and cant terms which are repeaterl at this lhy apparently withont a thonght of their meaning ? Who ever attendel a missionary meeting without heating 'the ITacelonian cry,' and an account of some 'little interest' and 'fichls white for the harvest'? Who is not weary of the ding-dong of 'our Zion,' and the solecism of 'in our midst'; and who does not long for a verbal millennium
when Christians shall no longer 'feel to take' and 'grant to give'?"
"How minch I recrrex," says Coleridge, "that so many religious per=ons of the present day think it necessia. $y$ to alopt a certain cant of manner and phraseology [and of tone of roice] as a tolen to cach other [one another]! They improve this and that text, and they must do so and so in a prajerful way ; and so on."

Capacity. See Ability.
Caption. This word is often nsed for healiag, but, thus nsed, it is condemned by carefnl writers. The true meaning of caption is a seiznre, an arrest. It does not come from a Latin worl meaning a head, but from a Latin word meaning tosize.

Caret. Colbett writes of the caret to his son: "The last thing I shall mention under this head is the caret [1], which is used to point upwaril to a part which has been omitted, and which is inserted between the line where the carct is placed and the line above it. Things should be called by their right names, and this shoull be callel the blunder-mark. I would have yon, my dear James, scorn the nse of the thing. Think before you write; let it he your cnstom to write correctly anl in a picin liancl. Be ciareful that neatness, grammar, and sen-e prevail when you write to a biacksmith about shoeing a horse as when yoi write on the most important subjects. Habit is powerful in all cases ; butits power in this case is truly wonderial. Wien you write, bear constantly in mind that some one is to read and to understand what you write. This will make your hand-writing and also your meaning plain. Far, I hope, from my dear James will be the ridiculous, the contemptible affectation of writing in a
slovenly or illegible hand, or that of signing his name otherwise than in plain letters."

Carry. Sec Bring.
Case. Many persons of consilerable culture continually make mistakes in conversation in the use of the cases, and we sometimes meet with gross errors of this kind in the writings of anthors of repute. Witness the followins: "And everybody is to know him except $I$." -George Merideth in "The Tragic Comedies," Eng. ed., vol. i, p. 33. "Let's you and $I$ go": say, me. We can not say, Let $I$ go. Properly, Lct's go, i. e., let us go, or, let youl and me go. "He is as gool as $m e$ ": say, as $I$. "She is as tall as him": say, as he. "You are older than me": say, than $I$. "Nobody said so but he": say, buthim. "Every one can master a grief but he that hath it": correctly, but kim. "JJohn went out with James and $I^{\prime \prime}$ : say, and me. "You are stronger than him": say, than he. "Between you and $I$ ": say, and me. "Between you and they": say, ami them. "He gave it to John aud $I$ ": say, anl me. "You tokl John and I": say, and me. "He sat between him and $I$ ": say, and me. "He expects to see you and $I$ ": say, and me. "You were a dunce to do it. Who? me?" say, I. Supply the ellipsis, and we should have, Who? me a dunce to do it? "Where are you going? Who? me?" say, $I$. We can't say, me going. "Who do you mran?" say, whom. "Was it them?" say, they. "If I was him, I would do it": s.y, wre he. "If I wesher, I would not go": say, were she. "W"as it him?" say, he. "Was it her?" say, she. "For the benetit of those whom he thought were his friends": say, who. This error is not easy to detect on account of the purenthetical worls that follow it. If we drop them, the mistake is rery apparent; thus, "For the benetit o. those whom were his friends."
"On the suppositiou,"says Bain, " that the interrogative $w^{h} h$ has $w . h o n$ for its objective, the following are errors: who do you take me to be?' 'w'lo should I meet the other day?' 'rio is it by?' 'w'lo did you give it to?' 'who to? ' who for?' But, considering that these expressions occur with the linest writers and speakers, that they are more en"rgetic than the other form, and that they lear to no ambiguity, it may be doubted whether grammarians have not exceeded their province in condemning them.'

Cobbett, in writing of the pronouns, says: "When the relatives are placel in the sentence at a listance from their antecedents or verlbs or prepasitions, the ear gives us no assistance. 'Who, of all the men in the world, du you think I saw to-day?' 'Who, for the sake of numerous serrices, the office was gi:en to.' In both these cases it should be whom. Bring the verb in the first and the preprsition in the second case closer to the relative, as, who $I$ sau, to who the office was giren, and you see the error at once. But take care! 'Whom, of all the men in the worll, do you think, wras chosen to be sent as an ambas:2ll a?' 'Whom, for the sake of his numerous services, luel an oilise of honour bestowe l upon him.' These are nom native cases. anl ought to have who; that is to say, who ras chosen, who hall an office."
"Must grammarians," says Dr. Bain, in his "Higher English Grammar," "have laid down this rule: 'The verb to be hus the sam? clse after as before it.' Macaulay censures the folluwing as a solecism: 'It was him that Horace Wralpole called a man who never made a ball figure but as an author.' Tha keray similarly adreits to the same deviation from the rule : "Is that him?" said the lady in questionuble grammar.' But, notwithstanding this," continues Dr. Bain, "we certainly hear in the actual speech of all classes of society such expressions as 'it was $m e$,' 'it was him,' 'it was her,' more
frequently than the prescribed form.* 'This shy creature, my Hother saly", is me'; 'were it me. I'd show him the difference.' -Clarissa Ilarlowe. 'It is not met you are in love with.' -Ahliso:n. 'If there is one chanacter more hase than another, it is him who,' ete.-Syduey smith. 'If I were him'; 'if I hat been her,' etc. The anthority of giod writers is strong on the sille of oljective forms. There is also the analogy of the French languade; for while 'I am here' is je suis ici, the answer to 'who is there?' is moi (me); and e'st moi (it is me) is the legitimate phase-never c'est $j e$ (it is I)."

But moi, accorling to all French grammarians, is very often in the nominative case. Moi is in the nominative case when usch in reply to "Who is there?" and also in the phrase "C'est moi," which makes "It is $I$ " the correct translation of the phrase, and not "It is me." The French equivalent of "I! I am here," is "Moi! je suis ici." The Frenclman uses moi in the nominative case when $j e$ wonld be inharmonions. Euphony with him is a matter of more importance than grammatical correctness. Bescherelle gives many examples of moi in the nominative. Here are two of then: "Mon arocat et moi sommes de cet avis. Qui vent alier aree lui? Moi." If we use such phraseolo $y$ as "It is $m e$," we must do as the French do-consider $m e$ as being in the nominative case, and offer euphony as our reason for thas using it.

When shall we put noms (or pronoms) preceding verbal, or participial, noms, as they are called by some grammarians

[^0]-infinitives in iny, as they are called ly others- in the possessive case?
"'I am surprised at John's (or' his, your, etc.) refusing to go.' 'I ams surprised at Jolm (or him, you, etc.) iefusing to go.' [In the latter sentence refusing is a paticiple.] The latter construction is not so common with promotus as with noms, especially with such nouns as do not reatily take the possessive form. 'They prevented him !foin!! forward': better, 'They prevented his !goin!! forward.' 'He was dismissel without any rerson beiny assigned.' 'The boy died throngh his clohhos leing burned.' 'We hear little of any comection being kept up between the two nations.' 'The men rowed rigorously for fear of the ticle turning against us.' But most extumples of the constrution without the possessire form are fibiously dee to mere sloverlivess. . . . 'In case of your bein! absent': here bein! is an infinitive [rerlal, or p.rticipial, noun] qualified by the possersive ?/om?. 'In case of you bein! preseut': here being would have to be construed as a participle. The possessive comstruction is, in this crese, the primitios alld regular construction; TIE WTHER Is A MEFE Lapse. The difiiculty of adhering to the possersive form occurs when the suliject is not a jerson: 'It does not seem sate to rely on the rule of demand creating supply': in strictness, 'Demund's creating supply.' 'A petition was presentell against the license being granted. But for the awkwardness of extending the possessive to impersonal subjects, it would be right to say, 'against the liense's bein!! granted.'
'He had conductal the ball without any complaint hein! urged igainst him.' The possessive wouk be suitable, lat untesirable and umecessary." Professor Alexamler Pain.
"Taongh the ordinury syntax of the possessive case is sufficiently plain and easy, there is, perhaps, among all the puzzling atel disputable points of grammar, nothing more
difficult of decision than are some ruestions that occur respecting the right management of this case. The olservations that have been made show that possessises lefore participles are seldom to he appproved. The following example is manifestly inconsistent with itself; and, in m!/ opinion, the three posiscsices ure ull wromg: 'The kitchen, too, now legins to give lreadful note of preparation ; mot from /1rmorer: accomplishing the haights, but from the shopmuitis chopping forcemeat, the "ppuratice's cleaning knives, and the journeyman's receiving a practical lesson in the art of waiting at table.' 'The daily instances of men's dying around us.' Say rather, 'Of men dying around ns.' The learling word in sense onght not to be manle the arljunct in construction." - lioold Brown.

Casualty. This worl is often heard with the incorrect addition of a syllable, cosuctity, which is not recognized by the lexicographers. Some writers object to the word casualty, and always use its synonym umerent.

Celebrity. "A number of relebrities witnessed the first representation." Thlis worl is frequently used, especially in the newspapers, as a concrete term ; but it woull be better to use it in its abstract sense only, and in sentences like the one above to say distin!muisherd persom..

Character-Reputation. These two words are not synonyms, though often used as such. Chaructor means the sum of distinguishing qualities. "Actions, looks, worts, steps, form the alphabet ly which you may spell characters." - Lavater. Reputution means the estimation in which one is hell. One's reputation, then, is what is thought of one's character ; consequently, one may have a goorl reputation and a had character, or a goot character and a lan reputation. Calumuy may injure reputation, but not chuructror. Sir l'eter does not leave his character behind him, but his reput itionhis good name.

Cheap. The dietionaries define this adjective as meaning, bearing a low price, or to be Lad at a low price: but nowadays good usage makes it mean that a thing may be had, or has been sold, at a bargain. Hence, in order to make sure of being understood, it is better to say lou-priced, when one means hir-priced, than to use the word cheap. What is low. priced, as everybody knows, is often deors, and what is highpriech is wfien chent. A cliamond necklace might be chenp, at ten thousamd dollars, and a pinchherk necklace dear at ten dollars.

Cherubin. The Hobrew plural of chrouh. "We are suthorized," says Ir. (amplell. "both hy use and analogy. to say either chorulss auch soruphs, acenvling to the English idiom, or chorubine and seruphim, accorling to the Oriental. The former suits betier the familiar, the latter the solemn, style. As the worls cheruham and serolhim are plural, the terms checubions and serophims, as expressing the plural, are quite insproper."-"Philosophy of Rhetorie."

Citizen. This word properly means one who has certain political rights ; when, therefore, it is used, as it often is, to resignate persons who may le aliens, it, to say the least, betrays a want of care in the selection of words, "Several cilizens were injured by the explosion." Here some other word-prersons, for example-should be used.

Clever. In this country the word hlerer is most improperly used in the sense of goorl-natured, well-disposed, goodhearterl. It is properly used in the sense in which we are wout most inelegantly to use the word smart, though it is a less colloquial term, and is of wider application. In England the phase "a clever man" is the erquivalent of the French phase, "un homme d'esurit." The word is properly used in the following sentences: "Wery work of Archhishop Whately must be an olject of interost to the admirers of clecer reason-
ing": "Cohbett's letter . . . very clrere, but very mischier. ons": " Bonaparte was certainly as chero a man as ever livel."

Climaz. A clanse, a sentence, a paritraph, or any literary composition whatsocicr, is said to enl with a rlimes when, by an artistic a rangement, the more effective is mate to follow the less cffective in regular gratation. Any great departuse from the order of ascending strength is callen an anti-clinus. Here are some examples of climax:
" Give all diligence: and to four faith, virtue: and to firtue, linowlelge: ami to knowledge, temperauce ; anl to temperance. patience : ind to pationce, gralliness; and to grodliness, brotherly kinhiess; and to brotherly kindines, charity.
"- What is every year of a wise man's life hat a criticism on the past : Those whose lite is the shortest live long enough to langh at one-half of it ; the broy despises the infant, the man the loy, the sage botis, ant the Christian all."
"What a piect of work is man! how nolle in reason! how intinite in feculties ! in form amb moving, how express and almiable: in action, how like an angel ! in apprehension, how like a gorl!"

Co. The frefix co shomk be uscal only aten the wotd to which it is juined begius with a rowel, as in co-rtal, cor incilent, co-opmitur, etc. Con is used when the word bedins with a consonant, as in con-temporary, con-junction, etc. C'oprotuer is an exception to the pule.

Commence. The Britons use or misuse this word in a maner peculiar to tlimselves. They say, for example, " commenced merchant," ". commencel actor," "ecmmenced politician," and socn. Dr. Hall tells us that commence lias heen emplogal in thie serse of "hegin to be," "beeome," "set up as," hy tirst-class writers, for more than two centurics. Careful speakis make smail nse of commence in any sense :
they prefer to use its Saxon equivalent, legin. See, also, begin.

Comparison, When only two objects are comparecl, the comparative and not the superlative desree shonld be usel ; thins, " Wary is the o'der of the two"; "John is the sironyer of the two"; "Brown is the richer of the two, and the riclies" man in the city" : "Which is the more desirable, healtin or wealth"" "Which is the most desirable, health, weaith, or genius:"

> "Of two such lessons, why forget
> The nobler and the manlier o.te?"

Completed. This word is often incorrectly used for finiskerl. That is complete which lacks nothing; that is finisherl whick has had all dune to it that was intenderl. The Bniller of a house may finisk it ancl yet lease it very incomplute.

Condign. It is safe to say that most of those who use this word do not know its meauing, which is, suitable, deserved, merited, proper. - His endeavors shall not lack rondign praise" ; i. e., his enduavors shall not lack proper or their merifed praise. "A villatin comdiynly punished"" is a villain punished crrordin!t to lis deserts. To use condign in the sense of serere is just as incorrect as it would be to use spesercel or merital in the sense of sorere.

Confirmed Invaiid. This phrase is a chuvenient morle of expressing the iflea it convey:, hat it is difficult to defent, inasmuch as contirmed means strengthenel, established.

Consequence. This worl is sonctimes usel instead of im: ortanse or moment ; as, "They were all persons of more or less cons"quence": reml, "of more or less import mers." "It is a matter of $n$ " conssrquence" : rearl, " of no moment."

Consider. "This word," says Mr. Richard (irant White, in his "Words aml Their L"ses," "is perverted from its
meauing by most of those who ase it." Corisiler means, to meditate, to deliberate, to reflect, to revolve in the mind; and yet it is made to do service for think. shppose, and revered. Thus: "I comsidor his course very munstifiable": "I have always comsileral it my dnty," etc.; "I consider him as being the cleverest man of my actuaintance."

Contemptible. This worl is sometimes ased for contemptuous. An olel story says that a man once said to Dr. Parr, "sir, I lave a contemptible opivion of you." "That does not smpprise me," retnrned the Doctor; "all your opinions are contemptible." What is worthless or weak is conterntitile. Despicable is a mord that cxpresses a still more intense degree of the contensptible. A traitor is a despicable character. while a poltrons is ouly contemptible.

Continually. See Perpettally.
Continue on. The an in this phrase is renerally stiperflnons. "We continued on our way" is itliomatic English, and is more emphonious than the sentance wonld be nithont the particle. The meaning is, "We continned to travel on our way." In such sentences, however, as "Contime on." "He continned to read on. .. The fever continned on for some honrs," and the like, the ore generally serves no parpose.

Conversationist. This woml is to he prefered to conrersutioncist. Mr. Richard Grant White says that conrerscttiona'ist and atriculturalist are inadmissible. On the other hand, Dr. Fitzedward Hall says : "As for con ermetionetst and conresationulist, atriculturist and atriculturalist, as all are alike legitimate formations, it is for convention to decide which we are to prefer."

Convoke Convene. At one time and another there has been some discnssion with regard to the conrect inse of these two words. According to Crabl, "There is nothing imperative on the part of those that crsetuble, or conrene, and nothing
binding on those assembled, or comwned: one assembles, or conrenes, by invitation or reguest; onc attends to the notice or not, at pleasure. Comroke, on the other hamb, is an uct of authority; it is the eall of one who has the anthority to give the call ; it is heeded ly those who feel themselves bound to attend." Properly, then, Presilent Arthur conrokes, not concenes, the senate.

Corporeal-Corporal. These aljectives, though regaider as synonyms, are not nsed imliseriminately. Corporal is used in reference to the boily, or animal frame, in its proper sense ; corporeal, to the animal substance in an extenderl sense -opposed to spiritual. Corforal punishment; corporal or material form or substance.
"That to corporenl substances could add speed most spiritual."-Milton.
" What seemed corporal Melter as breath into the wind."-Shakespeare.
Couple. In its primitive signifieation, this word does not mean simply two, but two that are uniterl by some bond; such as, fur example, the tie that mites the sexes. It has, however, been so long usel to mean two of a kind considered together, that in this sense it may be deemed permissible, though the substitution of the worl two for it wonld often materially improve the dietion.

Courage. See Bravery.
Crime-Vice-Sin. The confusion that exists in the use of these words is due largely to an imperfect understa: ding of their respective meanings. Crime is the violatirn of the law of a state ; hence, as the laws of states differ, what is erime in one state may not be crime in another. fire is a eourse of wrong-doing, and is not modified either by country, religion, or eondition. As for sin, it is very difficult to define what it is, as what is sinful in the eyes of one man may not
be siuful in the eyes of another ; what is sinful in the eyes of a Jew may not be sinful in the eyes of a Clnistian ; and what is sinful in the eyes of a Chistian of one comntry may not be sinful in the eyes of a Clristian of another comntry. In the days of slavery, to harbor a rmaway slave was a crime, but it was, in the eyes of most people, neither a rice nor a $\sin$.

Crushed out. "The rebellion was finally crushed out." Out of what? We may rrush the life ont of a man, or crush a man to death, and crush, not crush out, a relsellion.

Cultured. This word is said to be a product of Boston -an excellent place for anyboly or anything to come from. Many persons object to its use on the ground that there ean he no such participial adjective, because there is no verl) in use from which to form it. We have in nse the substantive culture, but, thongh the dictionaries recognize the verb to culture, we do not use it. Be this oljection valid or be it not, cultured having but two syllables, while its synonym cultivated has four, it is likely to find favor with those who employ short words when they convey their meaning as well as long $o^{n}$ nes. Other adjectives of this kind are, moneyed, whiskered, slippered, lettered, talented, cottaged, lilied, anguished, gifted, and so forth.

Curious. This word is often used insteal of stranye or remarkuble. "A rurious fact": better, "a remarlable fact," "A curious proceeding": lretter, "a strunge proceerling."

Dangerous. "He is pretty sick, lut not drm!erous." Dangerons people are generally most dangerons when they are most vigorous. Say, rather, "He is sick, but not in dangfer."

Dearest. "A gentleman once began a letter to his bride thus: 'My decrest Maria.'. The lady replied: 'My dear John, I log that you will mend either your momals or your grammar. You call me your "dearest Maria"; am I to molerstand that you have other Marias?'" -Moon's "Bal English,"

Deceiving. "You are sleceiring me." Not unfrequently deceirin! is nsed when the speaker means tryiny to decrive. It is when we do not expect deception that we are deceired.

Decimate. This word, meaning as it properly does to tithe, to take the tenth part, is hardly permissible in the sense in which it is userl in such sentences as, " The regiment held its position, though terribly decimated by the enemy's artillery." "Thougi terribly tithed " wonld be equally correct.

Demean. This worl is sometimes erroneonsly used in the sense of to debetse, to disyrfoce, to humble. It is a reflexive verb, and its true meaning is to behere, to cury, to combert: as, "He dempons himself' in a gentlemmly manner," i.e.. He hehuces, or carries, on contucts, himself in a gentlemanly manner.

Denude. "The vulture," says Brande, "has some part of the head and sometimes of the neek denuled of feathers." Most birds might be rlenuled of the feathers on their heads ; not so, however, the rulture, for his heal is always featherless. A thing eain not be denculd of what it does not have. Denuding a volture's head and neck of the feathers is like denurlin! an eel of its seales.

Deprecate. Strangely enough, this word is often used in the sense of disapprove, censure, condemn ; as, " He drprecates the whole proceeding"; "Your course, from first to last, is universally deprecuterl." But, according to the authorities, the word realiy means, to endeavor to avert by prayer : to pray exemption or deliverance from; to beg off; to entreat; to urge against.
" Daniel kneeled upon his knees to reprocute the captivity of his people."-Hewyt.

Despite. This worl is often incorrectly preceded hy in and followed ly of"; thas, "In despite of all our eflorts to detain him, he set out"; which should be, "Despite all our efforts," etc., or, " In spite of all our elforts," etc.

## Determined. See Borvir.

Diction. This is a general term, and is applicable to a single sentence or to a comectel composition. But diction may be due to errors in grammar, to a confused disposition of words, or to an improper use of words. Diction, to be good, requires to be only correct and clear: Of excellent examples of bad diction there are very many in a little work by Ir. L. T. 'Townsend, Professor of Saered Rhetoric in Roston University, the first volume of which has lately come under my notice. The first ten lines of Dr. Townseml's preface are :
"The leading genius ${ }^{2}$ of the l'eople's College at Chantanqua Lake, with a [the:] view of providing for his course a a textbook, asked for the publication of the following laws and principles of speech.:
"The author, not seeing sutficient reason + for withholling what had heen of much practical benefit ${ }^{5}$ to himself, consented. 6
"The sulbject-matter herein contained is an ontgrowth from " occasional instructions ${ }^{8}$ given ${ }^{9}$ while occupying the chair ${ }^{10}$ of sacred Rinetoric."

1. The phrase lectlin! !fenius is larlly chosen. Fomuler, projector. hearl, organizer, principal. or president-some one of these terms would probably have heen appropriate. 2. What course? Race-comrse, course of ethics, esthetics, rhetoric, or what?* 3. "The following laws and prineiples of speech." And how came these laws and principles in existence? Who made them? We are to infer. it would seem, that lrofesson Townsend made them. and that the world wonld have harl to go without the laws that govern language and the principles on whieh language is formel hat it pleased Professor "Townsend to withhold them. 4. "S fif-

* Should be, a text,houk fir his courap, and not, for his course a textbook.
cient reasmn" ! Then there were reasons why Professor Townsend onglit to have kept these frool things all to himself; only, they were not sufficionf. i. "Practical benefit"! Is there any such thing as impractical benefit? Are not all benefits practical? and, if they are, what purpose does the epithet procticel serve? 6. Consenterl to what? It is easy to see that the Doctor means recerled to the request, but he is a long way from saying so. The object writers nsually have in view is to convey thonght, not to set their realers to gnessing. 7. The outgrorth of woukl lee English. S. "Occasional instructions" : Very vagne, and well calculated to set the reader to guessing again. 9. (iiven to whom? 10. "The chair." The definite article marle it necessary for the writer to specify what particular chair of Sacred Phetoric he meant.

These ten lines are a fair specimen of the diction of the entire volume.

Page 131. "To render a giren "mulitguous or unintelligible sentence transparent, the following suggestions are recommended." The words in italirs are mmecersart, since what is ambignons is unintelligible. Then who has ever heard of reroummendin! suggestions?

Dr. Townsend speaks of mastering a sulrject before publishing it. Publishing a sulbject?

Page 183. "Violations of simplicity, whatever the type. show eithor that the mind of the writer is tainted with affectation, or rise that ch sfiont is moking to conceal comscious porerty of sentiment under loftiness of expression." Here is an example of a kind of sentence that can be mended in only one way-by rewriting. which might he done thus: Violations of simplicity, whaterer the type, show either that the writer is tainter with affectation, or that be is making an effort to conceal poverty of thought under loftiness of explession.

Page 143. "This gmulity is fully stuted and recommended," $^{2}$ etc. Who tas ever heard of stutiz:y "quality!'

On page 14.5 Dr . Townsen $l$ says: "A pe:son can mot rearl a single book of pour style withoat having his own style ritiated." A lo ofk of poor style is an ambwarl expression, to say the least. A siay'p limelly-urittern luroli would have been unoljectionahle.

Page 16!). "The presenteri picture produces instantly a definite effect." Why this unusual disposition of words? Why nont say, in accomance with the itiom of the languace, "The picture presented instantly produces." etc. ?
l'age 161. "The boy sturlies . . . geostaply anilhates everything connecter? with the sea aud laml." Why the lry? As there are few things lesiles seals and turtles that are connecte l with the sea ant land, the hoy in question has few things to hate.

On page 1/5, Dr. Townsen:l heads a chapter thns: "Art of acguiring skil! in the use of Poetic speech." This reminds one of the man who triel to lift himself wrer a fence by taking hold of the seat of his breeches. "How to acruaire skill" is probably what is meant.

Un page 232, "Jeremy Taylor is amony the lest models of lones sentences which are both clear an l logical." Jeremy Taylor is a clear and logical loarg sentence ": True, our learnerl rhetorician suys so, but he doesn't mean it. He means, "In Jeremy Taylor we find some of the best examples of long sentences which are at once clear and logical."
since the foresoing was written, the secont volume of Professor Townsenl"s "Art of spueeh" has heen published. In the brief preface to this rolume we fimb this characteristic sentence: "The author has felt that rerg!!men more than thow of other professions will stu ly this treatise." The antecelent of the relatisc thase being elerpymen, the senterce, it
will be perceivel, says: "The anthor has felt that clertyment. mite than eres:lyme of other profossions will study this treatise." Comme.it oin such "art" as Professor Townsemil's is not necessary.

I find several noteworthy examples of bad diction in ans artiele in a recent number of an Australian magazine. The following are some of them: " Lurye rupitul always manages to make $i{ }^{2}$ self master of the situation ; it is the small eapitalist and the small lantholler that would suffer," ete, should be, - The lreipp cimpitalist . . . himself." ete. Again: "The small farmer wonld . . . Ire lespoiled . . . of the meagre profit Which strennons lalnor hat conquereal from the relucturet soil." Not only are the epithets in italics superthous, and cousequcutly weakening in their effect, but idioms does not permit atrenuous to be used to qualify luhor: hemel latror and strenuonz ettort. Again: " Capital has always the choice of a large field" Should be, " the choice offered by, a large field." Again: "Nhoull capital be withlrawn, tenements would soon prove insufficient." Sho vhl le: "the number of tenements woult," ete. Again: "Men of wealth, therefore. would diml their Fifih Arenne mansions and their summer villas a little more burdened with taxes, but with this increase happily balancel by the exemption of their bonds and mortgares, their plate and furaiture." The thought here is so simple that we easily divine it; but, if we look at the sentence at all carefully, we diml that, thonsh we supply the ellipses in the most charitable manner possible, the sentence really says: "Men woald find their namsions more burlened, but woull find them with this increased burden happily balanced by the exemption," etc. The sentence should have been framed somewhat in this wise: "Men . . . would find their . . . mansions . . . more burdenel with taxes, but this increase in the taxes on their real esse te would be happily
balancel by the exemption from taxation of their bonds, mortgages, plate, and furniture." Again: " Den generally . . . Wonld be inclinerl to langh at the idea of intristing the molern politician with such gigantic opportunities for enriching his favorites." We do not iufrost one another with orporlunitis. To rimich would better the diction. Again: "The value of land that has acerued from labor is not . . . a just oljeect for confiscation." Correctly: "The value of land that has resulted from labor is not justl!! . . . an object of contisuation." Accrue is properly use 1 more in the sense of evontuneous ! fromth. Again: "If the state attempts to confiscate this increase by means of taxes, either rentals will increase correspondingly, or such a chect will be put upon the growth of cuch place and all the enterprises comnected uith if that greater injury would be done than if things had been left untouche l." We have here. it will he olserved. a confusion of mools; the sentence brgins in the indicative and eads in the conditional. The worls in italics are worse than superfluons. Rewritten: "If the state should attempt to eonfiscate this increase ly means of taxes, either rentals would increase corresponingly, or such a check would be put upon growth and enterprise that greater injury would," etc. Again: "The theory that land . . . is a boon of Nature, to which every persua has an inalienable right equal to every other person, is not new." The worls thipory and boon are here misusel. A theor!! is a system of suppositions. The thiugs man receives from Nature are !ift:, not hoons: the gift of reason, the gift of speech, etc. The sentence shonld be: "The declaration (or (assrion) that land . . . is a gift of Nature, to which every person has an inalienable right equal to that of etn!, other person, is not new." Or, more simply an!l quite as forcibly : ". . . to which one person has an inalienable right equal to that of another, is not new." Or,
more simply still, anl more forcibly: ". . . to which one mun has as gool a right as anothor, is not new." Py substituting the worl $m \cdot h$ for prosm, we lave a worl of one syllable that expresses, in this connection, all that the longer word expresses. The fewer the syllables, if the thought be fully expresse $l$, the more virorous the dietics. Inalienability being foreign to the disenssion, the loag word inalienable only encilmbers the sentence.
"We have thus ${ }^{1}$ passel in review ${ }^{3}$ the ehanges and improvements ${ }^{3}$ which the revision contains ${ }^{4}$ in the First Epistle to the Corinthians. It has ${ }^{3}$ not. indeed, ${ }^{6}$, heen possible to refer to ${ }^{\text {i them all ; but so many illustrations have been given }}$ in ${ }^{9}$ the several classes described that the reader will have ${ }^{10}$ a satisfactory ${ }^{11}$ survey of the whole subjest. Whaterer may le said of other portions ${ }^{12}$ of the Ner Testament, we think it will be generally almitte $l$ that in this Epistle the changes have improved the old ${ }^{13}$ translation. They are such as ${ }^{14}$ make the English veasion ${ }^{15}$ conform more completely ${ }^{16}$ to the Greek original. If this be ${ }^{17}$ true, the revisers have done a grond work for the Canrcl. ${ }^{14}$ If it be true ${ }^{19}$ with regard to all the New Testament bosis, the work which they have done will remain ${ }^{20}$ a blessing to the readers of those books for ${ }^{21}$ generations to come. But the hlessing will be ouly in the clearer presentation of the livine truth, and, thercfore, it will be only to the glory of Goul."

This astonishiogly slipshol bit of composition is from the pen of the Lev. $\mathrm{D}_{i}$. Timothy lwight. If the learnerl Professor of Livinity in Vale College deemed it worth while to give a little thonght to manner as well as to matter, it is probable that his diction would be very different from what it is; and, if he were to give a few miuntes to the making of verbal corrections in the fore roing paragraph, he would perhaps, do something like this: 1, change thus to now: :2, write
some of the changes ; :3, strike out aml improrements: 4, for contuins chen!fes substitute some other fom of expression; 5 ,
 steal of refire to, write rite: 8 , change illusimitions to eccumplen; 9, inste:d of in, wite of: 10. instead of the rechler will lucte, write the realler will le able to apt; 11, change suttisfuctor!! to tolereloir: 12, chamge portions to perits: 13, not talk of the old translation, as we have no new one; 14, strike ont as snperthous the words nire such us; 15 , change remion to tort ; 16, sulstitute nearly for completely, which does not abmit of comprison; 17, sulstitute the indicative for the conditional; 18, end sentence with the worl work; 19, introduce also after be : 20 , instead of remain, in the sense of lor, use bir; $\because 1$, introrluce the after for. As for the last sentence, it reminds one of Mendels whn's "Songs withont W'ords," thongh here we have, instead of a song and no words, words and no song, or rather no meanng. As is often true of eant, we have here simply a syntactical arrangenent of words siguify-ing-nothing.

If lrofessor Dwight were of those who, in common with the Addisons and Macaulays and Newmans, think it worth while to give some attention fo diction, the thought conseyed in the paragraph muler consideration would, perhaps, have been expressed somewhat in this wise:
"Whe have now passed in review some of the changes tlat, in the revision, have been made in the First Epistle to the Corinthians. It was not possible to cite them all, but a suffieient momber of examples of the several classes described have been given to enable the reader to get a tolerable survey of the whole sulject. Whaterer may lee said of the other parts of the New Testament, we think it will he generally admitted that in this Epistle the changes have improved the translation. They make the English text conform more

## THE TERBALIST.

nearly to the Greek. This being true, the revisers have done a good work; and, if it be also tone with regard to all the New Testament books, the work which they have rlone will be a blessing to the readers of these books for the generations to come."

Die with. Nan and brute die of. and not with, fevers, consumption, the plagne, puemmonia, old age, and so on.

Differ. Writers differ from one another in opinion with regard to the particle we should ase with this verb. Some say they differ with, others that they differ from, their neighbors in opinion. The weight of authority is on the side of always using from, though A may differ with C from D in opinion with regard, say, to the size of the fixell stars. "I differ, as to this matter, from Bishop Lowth.' - Cobbett. Different to is heard sometimes instead of different from.

Directly. The Britous have a way of using this word in the sense of when, $\alpha s$ soon $\alpha s$. This is quite foreign to its true meauing, which is immediately, at onee, straightway. They say, for example, "Directly he reaehed the eity, he went to his brother's." "Directly he [the saint] was dead, the Arabs sent his woolleu shirt to the sovereign."-"London News." Dr. Hall says of its use in the sense of as soon as: "But, after all, it may simply antieipate on the English of the future."

Dirt. This word means filth or anything that renders foul and unclean, anl means nothing else. It is often improperly used for earth or loam, and sometimes even for sand or gravel. We not unfreguently hear of a dirt road when an umparel road is meant.

Discommode. This word is rarely used ; incommode is accourtel the better form.

Disremember. This is a word rulgaly used in the sense of foryet. It is said to be more freqnently heard in the South than in the North.

Distinguish. This verh is sometimes improperly userl for diasrimimut. We distimunish ly means of the senses as well as of the dmberstamling: we diserminale by nealus of the umberstamling omly: "It is difficult, in some catses, to riosfinetuish befmen," ete. shonht be, ${ }^{\circ}$ it is ilitiecult, in some



Dock Wharf. The tirst of these words is ciften improperly used for the second. (of docks there arp several kinds: a numat llork is a place for the keeping of maval stores, timber, and materials for ship-building : a dr? dork is a place where vessels are drawn ont of the water for repairs ; a wet dork is a place where vessels are kept afloat at a certan level while they are lowterl ansl muloaded ; a sertiontel dor\% is a contrivance for raising vessels ont of the water on a series of air-tight boxes. A dork, then, is a place into which things are receivel: hence, a man might fall info a dock, hat could mo more fall off a dook than he could fall off a hole. A whoff is a sort of fray built ley the side of the water. A similar structure built at a right angle with the shore is generally called a purr. Tessels lie at whares and piers, mot at dorles.

Donate. This woml, which is cletine 1 as meaning to give, to contribnte, is looked upon hy most champions of good English as being an abomination. Donution is also little userl by careful writers. "Domute," says Mr. Conld, " may be dismissed with this remark: so long as its place is oecupied by !fire. liestor, !romt, present, etc., it is not neceled; and it shonlh he unceremmionsly bowen ont, or thrnst ont, of the seat into which it has. temprarily, intruled."

Done. This past participle is often very inelesmaty, if not limproperly: lisel thes: "He dial not ery ont as some have done against it," which should read, "He din not ery ont as some have against it"; i. e., "as some lurre rierl out "gainst it."
"Tone is frequently a very ireat offemier aganst grammar," sivys frbbet. "To do is the ant of drint\%. We see people write, 'I diel not speak yeiterlay so well as I wished to have $1 /$ me." Now, what is msant by the rriter" He means to sty that he dirl not speak so well ats he then wished, or was wishing, to, spumit. Therefore, the sentence shoulal be, - I disl not sprak yosterilay so well a i I wishe lo ilo.' That $\mathrm{j}_{3}$ to say, ‘so well as I wishel $t$, do it ' ; that is to say, to do or to parform the ant of specakials.
"Take great care not to be too free in yonn ase of the reathondoin any of its times or moles. It is a nice little hamly worl, an l, like omr oppresse 1 it, it is made use of very often when the writer is at a lox* for what to put down. To so is torry, ame therefore it never can, in any of its parts, smpply the place of a nuter verh. 'How do you do?' Here who refers to the at ite, and is essontially passive or neuter. Yet, to employ it for this purpose is very common. Dr. Blair, in his 2 :3 Lecture, says: "It is somewhat unlortmate that this number of the "speciator" did mot rmi, as it might have dour, with che formor heantiful periol.' That is to say, fome il. Anl then we ask, D, me wint? Not the wel of -mlin!, lecoluse in this sase there is no metion at all. The
 This same verl) to enl is sompimes aus active verb : I mml my sentence'; then the verl, (.) 1/, mry sumply its place: as, 'I have not enderl my sentence so well as I mi shat have dome': that is, done if; that is, done, or perfomand, the "H of ending. But the N'umber of the 'Spectator' was wo "roor' ; t was expected to perform mothing: it was, lyy the !netor, wishel to
 have emleil. . . This woulid have han enrect: but the Doctor wished to avoid the irprlition, amb thus he fell into ba: gramusur: "Mr. Speaker, I do not jipel so well satisfiesl
as I should have doue if the light Honorable (ientleman had explainerl the matter more fully.' To fied satisfied is -when the satisfaction is to arise from eonviction prodnced ly fact or reasoning - a senseless expression ; and to supply its place, when it is, as in this case, a neuter verb, by to do, is as senseless. Done relut? Done the cut of jeelin!f!' 'I do not frel so well satisfiell as I should have rlone, or executel, or performend the uct of feelin!'! What ineomprehensible words !"

Don't. Everybody knows that con't is a contraction of do not, and that dorsiat is a contraction of does not; aml yet nearin evergholy is gnilty of using don't when he should use dopsn"t. "So you don't go; John dows't either, I hear."

Double Genitive. An iurectote of Mr. Lincoln-an aneedote of Mr. Lincoln's. We see at a glanee that these two phrases are very different in meaning. Sio, also, a portrait of Brown-a portrait of Brown's. No precise rule has ever been given to guide us in our choice between these two forms of the possessive ease. Sometimes it is not material which form is employed; where, however, it is material and it generally is -we mast consider the thonght we wish to express, and rely on our diserimination.

Dramatize. See Ainart.
Drawing-room. See Parlor.
Dress-Gown. Within the memory of many persons the onter gament worn hy women was prop rly called ia foum by everybody, instead of being improperly ealled a dress, as it now is by nearly everybody:

## Drive. see Riue.

Due-Owing. These two words, though closesynonyms, shonld not be used indiscriminately. The mistake usually made is in using due instead of oring. That is due which ought to be pail as a delbt: that is owing which is to the referrerl to as a source. "It was accing to his exertions that
the scheme succeeded." "It was ouriny to your negligence that the accident happened." "A certain respect is due to men's prejurlices." "This was owing to an indifterence to the pleasures of life." "It is due to the public that I shond tell all I know of the matter."

Each other. "Their great anthors address themselres, not to their country, lut to euch other:"-Buckle. Euch other is properly applied to two only ; one another must be used when the number considered exceeds two. Buckle should have written one cmother and not each other, muless he meant to intimate that the Germans had only two great anthors, which is not probable.

Eat. Grammarians differ very willely with regard to the conjugation of this verb; there is no douht, however, that from every point of view the preferable forms for the preterite and past participle are respectively ate and euten. To refined ears the other forms smack of vilgarity, although supported by good authority. "I ute an apple." "I have eaten dinner." "John ate supper with me." "As som as you have eaten breakfast we will sct out."

Editorial. The use of this adjective as a substantive is said to be an Americanism.

Education. This is one of the most misused of words. A man may be well acquainted with the contents of text-books, and yet be a person of little educution: on the other hand. a man may be a person of good education, and yet know little of the contents of text-books. Abraham Lincoln and Elwin Forrest knew comparatively little of what is generally learned in schools; still they were men of culture, men of colucution. A man may have ever so much book-knowledge and still be a boor ; bnt a man can not he a person of goon education amd not be-so far as manner is concerned-a gentleman. Eilucution, then, is a whole of which Instruction and Breeding are
the parts The man or the woman eren in this democratic a ountry of ours who denores the title sf efontleman whly is alway at fersm of edncation；i．e．he or the has a abficient acquaintance with boxk：and with the neages of secial inter－ course io mequit hins lf or herself creditahly in the society et enltivated fetit．Son monal worth．um leamiug，hor weal h． nor all therce com？inerl，can matislet make a gentlaman，for
 hred．machooled in thes thiass which alone make men welceme it the society of the retinerl．

Effectuate．This wust iogetler with rotiorimoto and countuefe，is sairl to be a great favorite with the ramal members of the Arhansas legislatiore，

Efluyium．The plaral of this word is effitria，It is a cemmon errer with those who have no knowlelge of Latin to speak of＂a ilisagreenhle eftutia．＂which is as inconect as it Wratll te tr talk atront＂at disagreablle vapora．＂

Efort without Effect．＂Nome writers loai in exple． tives to is clegree that tires the ear and offeucis the unler－ standing．With them everything is cacersiet $\beta$ ，or immonei\％．
 relundentif，er the like．The tration of such writers is that these worlo sivestrently to what they are styids．This is a
 never lo iculat in the arorix．Eig－sounting wotus，witl：out thobhits crrospobling，are eftort withotit effect．＂－William

 to lie sure of incthag hut iheir own existane．＂－－Teid．

Egotist．＂（One who，tatks mach of himaelf．＂
＂A t．ilasf egotisk for whom I lave a＇ways harl a motal sbersion．＂＂内人antator，＂

Either. This worl means, strictly, the one or the oftor of two. Unlike 子uth, which means two taken collectively.
 this sease "rik is the letter word to use. ". tive me pithrr of them" means, (tive me the one or the wther of two. "He has a farm on rithe, sile of the river" would mean that he has two farms, one on ew hor either sitle of the rixer. ${ }^{\text {He he has }}$ a falm on $/$, $e^{\prime \prime}$ siles of the rive. " would mean that his fam lies parily on the one side of tha river and partly on the other. The nse of rillor, in the sense of ench, thongh biblieal aul defensible, may be accounter little it any better than an affectation. Jither is the nesative of either: Eiller is responded to lyy or. mither by mor; as, " ither this or that," "urither this are that." Eill,rrand arihershould not-strictly -be usel in relation to mose than two objects. But. thongh both rither azol "rithor are strictly applicable to two only. they lave been fow a rery loms time nsed in relation to more than two loy many gos. 1 whiters ; amd, as it is often comenient so to use them, it a eems probalhe that the unstum will prevail. libhen more that two things are refer?en? to, "ny aud nowe
 three, " not, " rithir of the three ": "nome of the fonm," not, " $n$ either of the tonn."

Either Alternative. The worl wiforative means a choice offeres lectween two things. Sn crimomlire roit. for example, offers the cht inution of chosing betucen the doing of a specitiel ant or of showing canse why it is net done. such propositions. turefoic. as. " liun are at liherty to



 a friem on betray my tomst." We rarely hear the word ailo nute or any of its denatives conectly promonecal.

Elder. sue Older.
Flegant. P'ofessor Proctor says: "If you say to an American, 'This is a fine morning,' he is likely to reply, 'It is an elegrent morning,' or perhaps oftener l,y using simply the worl eleffent. This is not a pleasing use of the word." This is not American English, Professor, but popinjay English.

Ellipsis. The omission of a word or of words necessary to complete the grammatical construction, but not necessary to make the meaning clear, is called an ellipsis. We almost always, whether in speaking or in writing, leave out some of the words necessary to the full expression of our meaning. For example, in dating a letter to-lay, we should write, " New lork, August 25, 1881," which would be, if fully written out, "I am now writing in the city of New York; this is the twenty-fifth day of Angust, and this month is in the one thonsand eight hundred and eighty-first year of the Christian era." "I am going to Wallack's" means, "I am going to Wallack's theutre." "I shall spend the summer at my aunt's"; i. e., at my aunt's house.

By supplying the ellipses we can often discover the errors in a sentence, if there are any.

Enjoy bad Health. As no one has ever been known to enjoy barl health, it is leetter to employ some other form of expression than this. Say, for example, he is in feeble, or delicate, health.

Enthuse. This is a word that is oceasionally heard in conversation, and is sometimes met with in print; but it has not as yet made its appearance in the dictionaries. What its ultimate fate will be, of course, no one can tell; for the present, however, it is stndiously shmmed by those who are at all careful in the selection of their language. It is sair to be most used in the South. The writer has never seen it
anywhere in the North but in the eolumns of the "Boston Congregationalist."

Epigram. "The word epiyram signified originally an inscription on a monument. It next came to mean a short poem containing some single thought pointedly expressed. the subjects heing very various-amatory, eonvivial, moral, eulogistic, satirieal, humorous, ete. Of the rarious devices for brevity anl point employed in sueh compositions, especially in modern times, the most frequent is a play upon words. . . . In the epigram the mind is rousel by a conflict or contradiction hetween the form of the language and the meaning really conveyed."-Bain.

Some examples are :
"When you have nothing to say, say it."
"We ean not see the wood for the trees"; that is, we can not get a general view because we are so engrossed with the details.
"Verbosity is cured by a large vocabulary" ; that is, he who commands a large voeabulary is able to seleet words that will give his meaning tersely.
"By inlignities men eome to dignities."
"Some people are too foolish to commit follies."
"He went to his imagination for his facts, and to his memory for his tropes."

Epithet. Many persons use this word who are in enror with regarl to its meaning; they think that to " apply epithets" to a person is to vilify and insult him. Not at all. An epithet is a word that expresses a quality, good or bad; a term that expresses an attribirte. "All urljoctives are epithecs, but all epithets are not cedjectires," say's Crabb; "thus, in Virgil's Pater Eneas, the puter is an epith t, but not an adjectire." Epithet is the technical term of the rhetorician ; addjective, that of the grammarion.

Equally as well. A rerluedant form of expression, ats any one will see wion for a moment consitlens it. A.s wril, or "qually ure'l, expresses quite as much as cyurnlly ass urell.

Equanimity of mind. 'This phrase is tantulugical, innl expresses no more than dees efretnimity (literally, " "ypal minderluess I alouc; hence, "if mind is snlafinows, and consequently inclegant. -fuxirly of mind is a scarcely less reehndant form of expession. -t colpricious mamel is in the same category.

Erratum. Plural, moutu.
Escuire. An csquire was originally the sheld-hearer of a knight. It is much, and, in the opinion of some, rather. absumily, nsed in this comtry. Mr. Richard (irant White says on the subject of its nise: "I have yet to disenver what a man means when he addresses a letter to John Dash, Lirif:." He manns no more nor lessis tham when he writes his. (master). The use of Esiq. is quite as prevalent in England as in America, and has little more meaning there then here. It simply lelongs to omir stock of courteous eppithets.

Euphemism. A deseription which describes in inoftensive lan_uage that which is of itself ofiensive, or a figure which azes agrecable phraseology when the literal would be offensive, is callet a rm. lr mism.

Eventuate. See Effertite.
Everlastingly. This adverb is misused in the kr,uth in a manner that is very apt to excite the risibility of one to whon the peculite misuse is new. The writer recently risited the upher part of Nen York with a distibguished southern poet amd jommalist. It wats the gentleman's first rivle orci an elevated frol. TVlen we were faisly moler way, in fulmiratum of the bate af speed at which the cars were moring, he exclaine.l, "il ell, they du just eirr"astimyly shaot alone. dont tiaey!"

Every. This worl, which means simply each or all taken separately, ${ }^{\text {F }}$ s of late jean fiequently made, ly slij. l.cit speakers, fo do duty for prevect, chite, freat, wh all posible. Thus we have such expressions as rerry lains, eri!! confidence, eroy praise, , erry charity, and on on. We also have such diction as, "E'rry che has this in common' ; meaning, "A th of "cs have this in cemm.on."

Every-chay Latin. A foithri: with strenger reaton. A powrioni: fom the efiect to the calse. A primeri: ficm the canse to the cffect. ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Bonce jirle: in gool faith ; in reality:
 eircomstances being erpal. Je jueto: in fact; in reality. De jure: in right; in law. Eico lomo: heliok! the man. Er:o, therefore. Et celror: and tlic rest; aml an en. Emerptu: extracts. Larmpli gromior: hy way of example; ablneviated, e!!., and ex, ! $r$. L.r: officio: by virtre of his oftice. Exe purte: on one sirle; an rat prife statement is a statement on one site only. Jliderm: in the same place: allneviater!, ihid. Jhirm: the same. If ist: that is ; ablineviatert, i. e. Imprimis: in the first place. In siulu gua: in the former state ; just as it was. In stafu riuc, crutr bellam: in the same state as beiore the
 ing inclex. In ractrmis: at the point of dcath. In imo morirm: in memosy. $/ \rho$ ser diuit: on his sulc assertion. Jlem: also.
 sifilli: the place of the seal. Jin tum in ferrov: much in little. Mutulis mutholis: after making the neccasal y chanders.
 rolmis: willing or mwilling. Nom lome: matk well: take


 cef.s crunimis: an acermpliee. Peraivi: I hate sinned. for
se: by itself. Prima fucie: on the first view or appearance : at first sight. Probono pulbico: for the pullic goonl. Quid nunc: what now: (uicil pro quo: one thing for another; an equivalent. Quonderm: formerly: Jura aris: a rare birl; a protigy. Resurytem: I shall rise again. Srriatim: in orter. Sine die: withont specifying any particular day ; to an indefinite time. Sine rua non: an inrlispensable con!lition. Sui generis: of its own kiul. Verle mecum: go with me. lerlutim: worl by word. Versus: against. Vale: farewell. I'iu: by the way of. I'ice: in the place of. Virle: sce. I'i et armin: ly main force. T'ita roce: orally; by word of mouth. Tox popruli, rox Dei: the roice of the people is the voice of God.

Evidence-T'estimony. These words, thongh differing widely in meaniug, are often used imliseriminately by careless speakers. Eridence is that which tents to convince: testi mony is that which is intended to convince. In a judicial inrestigation, for example, there might be a great deal of testimony-a great deal of testif!imen-and very little pridence; and the eridence might be quite the reverse of the testimony. see Proor.

Exaggeration. "W'eak minds, feeble writers and speakers, delight in superlatives." See Efront without Effect:

Except. "No one need apply except he is thoronghly familiar with the business," should be, "No one neel apply unless,", ete.

Excessively. That class of persons who are never content with any form of expression that falls short of the superlative, freruently use exceswirely when excredingiy or even the little word rery would serve their turn better. They say, for example, that the weather is excessivelyhot, when they should content themselves with saying that the weather is rery
a) f the word suits them better, hot. Intemperance in the use of language is as much to be censured as intemperance in anything else ; like intemperance in other things, its effect is vulgarizing.

Execute. This word means to follow ont to the end, to carry into effect, to accomplish, to fulfil, to perform; as, to execute anorder; to execute a purpose. And the dictionaries anclalmost universal usage say that it also means to put to death in conformity with a judicial sentence; as, to execute a criminal. Some of our careful speakers, however, maintain that the use of the word in this sense is indefensible. They say that lumes and sentences are executed, lut not criminals, and that their execution only rarely results in the cleath of the persons upon whom they are executed. In the hanging of a criminal, it is, then, not the criminal who is excented, but the law and the sentence. The criminal is honyed.

Expect. This verb always has reference to what is to come, never to what is past. We can not expect backwand. Instead, therefore, of saying, "I erpect, you thought I would come to see you yesterday," we shoukd say, "I sulpose," etc.

Experience. " We experience great difficulty in getting him to take his merlicine." The worl hare onght to be big enough, in a sentence like this, for anyborly. "We eiperiencel great hardships." Better, "We sufferel."

Extend. This verl, the primary meaning of which is to stretch out, is used, especially hy lovers of big words, in connections where to give, to show, or to offer would le preferable. For example, it is certainly better to say, "They shorrel me every courtesy," than "They extomberl every courtesy to me." Nee Every.

False Grammar. Some examples of false grammar will show what every one is the hetter for knowing: that in literature nothing shouk be takeu on trust ; that errors of grammar
evers are form 1 w'נe"e we should lenst expet them. "I do n't kus wist'er the imprtation "r juet or not."-
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 a. bayer . . . timu to sink . . . in eatting one "molhers
 prixity of style, was ame peeazing hefree the inmites of a Iuntic asylun. In one of his illustrations he painter a scene of a mat cat lemue to he huns. bat repoieve l under the gellows." The=e tw: seatenees are sa faulty that the ouly way to meml them is th rowre then. They are from a work tint professes to t. an the "art of spees.". Menle.l: " A minister, $n$ nto 1 for his polixity. once pmorhel hefore the in! tees nt a luncte a yom. By way of illustration he p!inte la ssene in which a man, who hat bros eondemped to


Female. The terms mole and ferm'e are not unfrefumity uss ${ }^{1}$ vieve goo? tate woul sugsest some other wis. For ivmpre, we :se aver the doma of shool-houses. "Entraner fon mites," -. Entrume for fem?es." Now bucks and lull we moles ats well at hoys and men, aml cows and sヶw : are fem les as well as girls and women.

Fetch See Blisi:.
Fewer. see Lesw.
Final Completion. if there were such a thine as a pharality o"a series of competion, there woad, of course, be sad a thing as the an at completion ; but, as every completion is fimel. to tell aboat a din' comp, matan is as absurd as it would le to tall almat a finu! pinality.

First rate. There ate peop? who o?je th this phase, and yet it is well enrugh when properly phaced, as it is, for example, in stuch a sentence is this: "He's a 'first-class' fellow, and I like him first itt: if I di.ln't, 'You bet' Iil just give him 'hail Chum',ia' for 'howing' the thing all romed ti: inu like the hisg fool that he is."

F'irstly. (ieorge Watimgton Nom siys in ilefense of
 a Werb): but, in sentences where it would be followed by
 fe: ? ?."." Tothis. one of Mi. M1, mis critics replies: "However desimale it may he to employ the worl nitat y on certain Deasions, the fact ramins that the empoyment of it on any $0:$ "asim is not the lest $11 * a$ se." Weluter inserts firslly, lint remaris, "Improperly use: for atis,"

Flea-Fly. Theo weme though near of kin, are not interchanserble. For example we con mot say. "He the the city," "He.l ir from his enemies," "He Ht ,H at the approwels of danger, ", It in beiny the imperfect tense of to fil, which is
properly used to express the action of hirts on the wing, of kites, arrows, etc The imperiest tense of to fler is fled; hence, "He Het the city," etc.

Forcible-feeble. This is a "noricy" kind of diction in which the would-be forcible writer defeats his object by the overuse of expletives. Examples: "Ami yet the great centralization of wealth is one of the [great] evils of the day. All that Mr. - ufiers [says] upon this point is formille and just. This centralization is clue to the mormous reprolnctive power of capital. to the immense arlvantage that rovtly omd complicated machinery gives to !/reret [large] establishments, and to the morked lifference of personal force among men." The first !pert is misplaced; the worl uthers is misused ; the second !reat is ill-chosen. The other words in italies only enfeelle the sentence. Again: "In comntries where immense [large] estates exist, a breaking up of these rast demesnes into mumy minor frecholds would no doulst be a [of] rery great alvantage." Substitute lurge for immense, and take out rowst, many, and rery, and the language becomes much more forcible. Again: "The rery first effect of the - taxation plan would be destrictive to the interests of this grect multitude [class]; it would impoverish our innumerable farmers, it would confiscate the earnings of [our] industrious tradesmen and artisans, it would [anll] paralyze the hopes of strutetlia!! millions." What a waste of portly expletives is here! With them the sentence is high-flown and weak; take them out, and introduce the worls inclosed in brackets, and it becomes simple and forcible.

Friend-Acquaintance. Some philosopher has said that he who has half a dozen friends in the course of his life may estecm himself fortunate; and yet, to julge from many people's talk, one would suppose they had friends by the score. No man knows whether he has any friends or not
until he has "their adoption tried"; hence, he who is desirous to call things by their right names will, as a rule, use the woal anquintance instend of firienl. "Your friend" is a $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}$ vorite an l very obje tionable way m iny people, especially young people, have of writing themselves at the bottom of their letters. In this way the obscure stripling protests himself the Frifind of the first man in the land, and that, too, when he is, perlaps, a comparative stranger and asking a favor.

Galsome. Here is a good, sonorous Anglo-saxon wordmeaning malignant, venomous, churlish-that has fallen into disuse.

Gentleman. Few things are in worse taste than to use the term gentleman, whether in the singnlar or plural, to designate the sex. "If I was a gentlemon," says Miss Snooks. "Gentlemen have just as much curiosity as lalies," says Mrs. Jenkins. "Gentlemon have so much more liberty than we laties have." says Mrs. Parveme. Now. if these ladies were ladies, they would in each of these cases use the word man insteal of yentlemrn, and woman instead of lwly; further, Mis; Snooks would saly, "If I wert." Well-bred men, men of culture and refinement-gentlemen, in short-use the terms leuly and ! fontlemen comparatively little, and they are especially careful not to eall themselves gentlemen when they can avoid it. A gentleman, for example, does not syy, " I, with some wher gentlemen, weut," ete.: he is careful to leave out the word other. The men who use these terms most, and especially those who luse no opportunity to proclaim themselves gentlemen, belong to that elass of men who cock their hats on one siele of their headis, and often wear them when and where gentlemen would remove them: who pride themsolves on their faniliarity with the latest slang; who proclaim
their independence by showing the least possible consideration for others; who laugh long and loud at their own wit; who wear a profusion of cheap finery, such as outlandish watch-chains hookerl in the lowest button-hole of their vests, Brazilian diamonds in their shirt-bosoms, and big seal-rings on their little fingers; who nse bad grammar ant interlard their conversation with big oaths. In business correspondence smith is addresserl as Sir, while Smith \& Brown are often allilressenl as Gent'emon-or, vulgarly, as Gients. Better, much, is it to address them as Sirs.

Since writing the foregoing, I have met with the following paragraph in the Lonton publication, "All the Vear Round": "Socially, the term 'gentleman' has become almost rulgar. It is certainly less employed by gentlemen than by inferior persons. The one speaks of 'a man I know,' the other of 'a geatleman I know.' In the one case the gentleman is taken for granter, in the other it seems to neel specification. Again, as regards the term 'laly.' It is quite in accordance with the us.gges of society to speak of your acquaintance the duchess as 'a very nice person.' People who wouli say 'very nice lady' are not geterally of a social class which has much to do with dnchesses ; and if you speak of one of these as a 'person,' you will soon be made to feel your mistake."

Gents. Oî all rulgarisms, this is, perhaps, the most offensive. If we say !fents, why not say lactes?

Gerund. '" 'I have work to rlo,' ' there is no more to say,' are phrases where the vell is not in the common infinitive, bot in the form of the frrmel. 'He is the man to do it, or for doing it.' 'A house 10 lot,' 'the course to steer by,' 'a place to lie in,' 'a thing to be done,' 'a city to take refuge in,' ' the means to do ill dee ls,' are adjective gerumds; they may be expanded into clauses: 'a house that the owner lets or will le : 'the conrse that we shomb steer by"; 'a thing that
should be tome : 'a city wherein one may take refuge'; 'the means whereby ill deeds mity be done.' When the to ceased in the twelfth century to be a distinctive mark of the dative infimitive or gerund, for was introllaced to make the writer's intention clear. Hence the camiliar form in 'what went ye out for to see?" they came from in show him the temple. ""Hain.

Get. In sentences expressing simple possession-as. "I have got a book," "What has he got there?" "Have you got any news?" "They have got a new house," etc. -got is entirely superfluous, if not, as some writers contend, absolutely incorrect. Possession is completely expressed by have. "Foxes have holes; the birds of the air bave nests": not, "Foxes have got holes: the birds of the air have got nests." Formerly the inperfect tense of this rerb was gut. which is now obsulete, and the parfect participle was gotter, which. some grammarians say, is growing obsolete. If this be trie, there is no gooll reason for it. If we say eaten, written. striten, forgotten, why not say !otten, where this form of the participle is more cmphouions as it often is than !fot?

Goods. This term, like other terms nsed in trade, shoukd be restricted to the vocabulary of commerce. Messrs. Arnold \& Constable, in common with the Washington Market huckster, very properly speak of their wares as their goods; bit Mrs. Arnoll and Mrs. Constahle should, and I doubt not cho, speak of their gowns as being made of fine or coarse silk, cashonere, mustin, or whatever the materiat may be.

Gould against Alford. Mr. Ehward S. Fionld, in his review of Dean Alforl's "Quecn's Emerlish, " remark*, on page 131 of his " Goml English": " And now, as to the style* of the Demis book, taken as a whole. He must be held respon-

[^1]sible for every error in it; because as has been shown, he has hal full leisure for its revision.* The errors are, nevertheless, numurous: anl the shortest way to exhibit them is tin talmar form." In several instances Mr. (iould would not have taken the ban to task hal he knom English better. The following are a few of Mr. Gould's corrections in which he is clearly in the right:
Paragraph
4. "Into enother land then"; should he. "into a Iand ot the then."
16. $\cdot$ We do not follow rule in spelling other words, hat custom": should be, "we do not follow ruic, but. custom, in spelling, "etc.

1s. "The listinction is observel in French, Fut nerer appeters to have been marle," etc.; rearl, "uppeters nerer" to have heen made."
61. "Rather to aspirate more thum less"; should be, "to aspirate more rather tha, less."
9. "It is suid also only to occur three times," ete.; read, occur ouly turee times."
41. "This doubling only tethes place in a syllable, etc.: reat, "tuties phuce only:"
142. "Which can ouly the tecided when those circumstances are known "; read, "can be decillel only when." etc.

16i3. "I will onlys:ay that it produces," etc.; read, "I will sery ouly," etc.
170. "It is said that this can only be fillel in than"; rearl, "can be fillen in only thes."

35S. 'I I can ouly real with the complaint in a general way"; reat," dell with the complaint ouly," ete.

Sis. "In so far as they are idiunatic," etc. What is the use of in?
171. "Try the experiment"; "triel the experiment." Read, mate anl made.
345. "It is most generally used of that very sect," ete. Why most?

Bu:. "The juinins together two clamses with a thirl," etc.; rearl, "? two clanecs, ' ete.

[^2]Gown. See Dress.
Giaduated. students do not armilurite: they are graduaterl. Hence most writers nowadays say, "I uras, he urus, or they irere gratuated"; and ask, "IWhen vere you, or ros's he, graluated !"

Grammatical Errors. "The correctness of the expression !raminatical errors has been disputed. 'How,'it has been asked, "can an error be grammatical :" How, it may be repliel, can we with propriety say, !/rummatical!! ivcorvert? Yet we can do so.
"No one will question the propriety of saying :frammaticully correct. Yet the expression is the acknowle lgnent of things iframmaticall! ineorvect. Likewise the phrase !ncamanatical correriness implies the existence of grammatical incorsectnesis. If, then, a sentence is grammatically incorrect, or, what is the same thing, has gremmatical iurorrectorss, it includes a grammatical Error. Grammatiogly incorrect signifies iscorrect whe relation to the rolfs of Ghammar. Graninuticat errors signifies hrrors witil relatins to the reles of gramilh.
"They who ridicule the phase grammetiont errors, and substitute the phrase error: in grammar, wake an egregious mistake. Cau there, it may be askel with some show of reason, be an error in grammar? Why, grammur is a science founded in our nature, referable to our ileas of time, relation, method; imperfect, loubtless, as to the system ly which it is representel; but surely we can speak of error in that which is error's criterion ! All this is hypereritical, bat hypereriticism must be met with its owu weapons.
" (Oit the two expressions-a gramnnticat error, and an tror in grammer-the former is preferable. If one's judgment can aceept neither, one mast relinguish the belief in the possibility of tersely expressing the jidea of ath offence ayainst
grammatical rules. Indect, it would be difficult to exprese the idea cren by circumluention. Should some one say, 'This sentence is, according to the rules of grammar, iner.rrect.' "What!' the hypercritic may exchaim, 'ineorrect! and according to the rules of grammar!" 'This sentence, then,' the corrected person woukd reply. 'contains an error in grammar." 'Nonsenve!' the hypercritic may shout, 'grammar is a science: you may be wrong iu its interpretation, bat principles are immutable!"
"After this, it need scarcely be adled that, grammatically, so one can make a mistake, that there can be no grammatical mistakes, that there can be no bad graumar, aud, consequently, no bad English; a very pleasant conclusion, which would save us a great amount of tronble if it did not lack the insignificant quality of being true."-"Vulgarisms aud Other Errors of Speecls."

Gratuitous. There are those who object to the use ot this worl in the sense of tufomuler, unwarranted, urreasonable, untrue. Its use irs this sense, bowever, has the sanction of abundant authority. "Weak and grefuitous conjectures." -Porson. "A grretuitous assumption."-(iodwin. "The gretuitors: theary."-Sonthey. "A !fratuifous invention."De Qimeey: "But it is needless to iwell on the improbability of a hypothesis which has been shoen to be altogether gratuitous."--Dr. Newman.

Grow. This verb originally meant to iacrease in size, but. has normally come to be also ased to express a change from one state or comdition to another: as, to grom dark, to from weak or strong, to girne fiint, etc. But it is doultful whether what is large ean properly he said to aror small. In this sense, become would seem to be the letter word.

Gums. See Robeels

Had have. Nothing could he more iucorrect than the bringing together of these two auxiliary verbs in this manner; and yet we occasionally fiud it iu writers of repute. Instead of "Hat I known it," "Hatl you seen it," "Had we been there," we hear, "Had I kuce known it," "Hal you hure seen it," "Had we hare been there."

Had ought. This is a vulgatiom of the worst dessription, yet we hear people, who would be highly indignant if any one should intimate that they were not laties and gentlemen, say, "He hal onght to go." A fitting reply would be, "Yes, I think he better hal." Ouyht says all that hal ought says.

Had rather. This expression and hat better are mucli used, but, in the opinion of many, are intefensible. We hear them in such sentences as, "I herl rather not do it," "You hat better go home." "Now, what tense," it is askul, "is hed do and had go? If we transpose the words thus, "You had do better (to) go home." it becomes at once apparent, it is asserterl, that the proper word to use in connection with rather and letter is not hall, but would: thus, "I would rather not do it," "You would better go home." Examples of this use of had can be found in the writing of our best anthors. For what Professor Bain has to say on this subject in his "Composition Grammar," see Scbucsctive Mond.

Half. "It might have been expressed in one half the space." We see at a glance that one here is superfluous.

Hanged-Hung. The irregular form, hun!, of the past participle of the verb to hang is most used; but, when the word denotes suspension by the neek for the purpose of destroying life, the regular form, lerengerl, is always used by careful writers and speakers.

Haste. See Hurrr.
Heading. See Captios.

Healthy - Wholesome. The first of these two worls is often improperly used for the second; as, "Onions are a herlthy vegetable." A man, if he is in good health, is leculthy; the fool he eats, if it is not deleterious, is wholesome. A heulthy ox makes wholesome foot. We speak of healthy surroundings, a heulthy climate, situation, employmont, and of wholesome fool, advice, examples. Healthful is generally used in the sense of conducive to health, virtue, morality; as, healthful exereise, the healthful spirit of the commonity meaning that the spirit that prevails in the commmity is conducive to virtue and good morals.

Helpmate. The dictionaries suggest that this word is a corruption of help and meet, as we find these words used in Gen. ii, 18, "I will make him a help meet for him," and that the proper word is helpmeet. If, as is possible, the words in Genesis mean, "l will make him a help, meet [suitable] for him," then neither helpmale nor helpmeet has any raison l'ctre.

Highfalutin. This is a style of writing often called the freshman style. It is much indulged in by very young men, and by a class of older men who instinctively try to make up in elatter for what they lack in matter. Examples of this kind of writing are abundant in Professor L. T. Townsend's "Art of Speech," which, as examples, are all the better for not being of that exaggerated description sometimes met with in the newspapers. Vol. i, p. 131: "Very often adverbs, prepositions, and relatives drift so far from their moorings as to lose themselves, or make attachments where they do not belong." Again, p. 135: "Kvery law of speech enforces the statement that there is no excnse for such inflated and defective style. [Such style!] To speak thus is treason in the realms and under the laws of langnage." Again, p. 175: "Cultivate figure-making labitudes. This is done by asking the spiritual import of every physical object seen; also by
forming the habit of constantly metaphorizing. Knook at the door of anything met which interests, aml ask, 'Who lives here?' The process is to look, then close the eyes, then look within." The blundering inanity of this kind of writing is equalled only by its bumptions grandiloquence. On p. 13i Dr. Townsend quotes this wholesome admonition from Coleridge: "If men would only say what they hare to say in plain terms, how much inore eloquent they would be!" As an example of reportorial highfalutin, I submit the following: "The spirit of departerl day had joinel communion with the myriarl ghosts of centuries, and four full hours fled into eternity before the citizens of many parts of the town found out ther was a freshet here at all."

Hints. "Never write abont any matter that you do not well understand. If you clearly understand all abont your matter, you will never want thoughts, and thoughts instantly become words.
"One of the greatest of all faults in writing and in speaking is this: the use of many worls to say little. In order to guard yourself against this fault, inquire what is the substance, or amount, of what you have said. Take a long speech of some talking Lord and put down upon paper what the amount of it is. You will most likely fiud that the amount is rery small; but at any rate, when youl get it, you will then be able to examine it anl to tell what it is worth. A rery fer examinations of the sort will so frighten you that you will be for ever after upon your grard against talliing a great "leul aul scying little."-Cubbett.
"Be simple, be unaffected, be honest in your §peaking and writing. Never use a long worl where a short one will do. Call a spade a sporle, not a well-linown oblong instrument of mamual hushundry; lot home be home, not a residence; a place a place, not a locality; and so of the rest, Where a short
word will do, you always lose ly using a loug one. You lose in clearness; you lose in honest expression of yonr meaning; and, in the estimation of all men who are qualitierl to julge, yon lose your reputation for alility. The only true way to shine, even in this false worlh, is to be modest and massuming. Falsehood may lee a very thick crust, but, in the course of time, truth will find a place to break through. Elegance of language may not be in the power of all of us ; lont simplicity and straightforwardness are. Write much as you woukd speak: speak as you think. If with your inferior's, speak no courser than usual : if with your superiors, no finer. Pe what you say; and, within the rules of prudence, say what you are."-Dean Alford.
"Go critically over what you have written, and strike out every word, phrase, and clause which it is found will leave the sentence neither less clear nor less forcible than it is without them."-Swinton.
"With all watchfulness, it is astonishing what slips are made, even by good writers, in the employment of an inappropriate word. In Gibbon's 'Rise and Fall,' the following instance occurs: 'Of nincteen tyrants who started up after the reign of Gallienus, there was not one who enjoyed a life of peace or a natural deall.' Alison, in his 'History of Enrope,' writes: 'Two great sins-one of omission and one of commis-sion-have been committed by the states of Enrope in modern times.' And not long since a worthy Scotch minister, at the slose of the services, intimated his intention of visiting some of his people as follows: 'I intend, during this week, to visit in Mr. M——s district, and will on this occasion take the opportunity of embraring all the servants in the district.' When worthies such as these offend, who shall call the bellman in question as he cries, 'Lost, a silver-handled silk lady's parasol'?
"The proper arrangement of worls into sentences and paragraphs gives clearness and strength. Fo attain a clear and pithy style, it may he necessary to cnt down, to rearrange, and to rewrite whole passages of an essay. Gibbon wrate his 'Vemoirs' six times, and the first chapter of his 'History' three times. Beginners are always slow to prone or cast away any thonght or expression which may have cost labor. They forget that brevity is no sign of thoughtlessness. Mnch considemation is neederl to compress the rletails of any subject into small compass. Essences are more difficnlt to prepare, and therefore more ralualle, than weak solutions. Pliny wrote to one of his friends, 'I have not time to write you a short letter, therefore I have written you a long one.' Apparent elaborateness is always distasteful and weak. Tividness and strength are the prorluct of an easy command of those small treuchant Saxon monosyllahles which abound in the Finglish language."-"Leisure Hour."
"As a rule, the student will do well to banish for the present all thought of ornamentor elegance, and to aim only at expressing himself plainly aml clearly. The lest ornament is always that whicli comes unsought. Let him not beat abont the bush, but go straight to the point. Let him remember that which is written is meant to be read; that time is short; and that-other things being erual the fewer words the better. . . . Repetition is a far less serions fanlt than obscurity. loung writers are often unduly afraid of repeating the same word, and reguire to be reminded that it is always better to use the right word over again than to replace it by a wrong one-and a word which is liable to be misunderstowl is a wrong one. A frank repetition of a word has even sometimes a kind of charm - as bearing the stamp of truth, the fommlation of all excellence of style."-Hall.
"d young writer is afraid to he simple: he has no faith
in heanty matorned, hence he erowds his sentences with superlatives. In his estimation, turgility passes for eloquence. and simplicity is hot another name for that which is weak and nrimeaning." - George W'aslington Moon.

Honorable. See Reverexo.
How. "I have heard hoin in Italy one is lesect on all sides by heggars": reat, "heard that." "I have hearl how some erities have been pacified with elaret and a supper, and others laid asleep with soft notes of flattery."-Dr. Johnson. The hor in this sentenee also should be thrit. Hom neans the monner in mhich. We may, therefore, say, "I have heard how he went about it to circmment you."
"And it is gorsl judgn:~nt alone can diictate hor far to proceed in it and mhen to stop." Uohbett comments on this sentence in this wise: " Dr. Watts is speaking here of writing. In such a case, an adverb, like hou for, expressive of longitulinal space, introduces a rhetoricul figure: for the plain meaning is, that judgment will dictate how much to arite on it and not hor fur to proreed in it. " The figure, however, is very proper and much better than the literal words. Bet when a figure is hegun it should be carried on throughout, which is not the case here; for the Doctor begins with a figure of longitulinal space and en Is with a figure of time. It should have been, where to stop. Or, how loney to proceed in it and irhen to stop. To tell a man hour fur he is to go into the Western countries of America and utien he is to stop, is a very different thing from telling him how fur he is to go and where he is to stop. I have dwelt thus on this distinction for the purpose of putting you on the watch and guarding you against confounding figures. The less you use then the better, till you understand more about them."

Humanitarianism. This word, in its original, theolugical seuse, means the doctrine that denies the gorlhead of

Jesus Christ, and avers that he was possessed of a human nature only; a lumumiluriun, therefore, in the theological sense, is one who believes this toctrine the worl and its derivatives are, however, nowadays, both in this comery and in England, most usel in a humane, philanthropic sense; thins, "The andience enthusiastically endorsed the humanifurianism of his eloquent discourse."-Hatton.

Hung. See Hwired.
Hurry. Thongh widely differing in meaning, both the verb and the noun hurry are contimally used for haste and hasten. Hurry implies not only herse, bat laste with confusion, flury; while haste implies only rapidity of action, au eager desire to make progress, and, unlike hurry, is not incompatible with deliberation and dignity. It is often wise to hasten in the affairs of life; but, as it is never wise to proceel without forethought and methol, it is never wise to bury. Sensible people, then, may be often in huste, luat are never in a hurry; and we tell others to make hasle, and not to hurry up.

Hyperbole. The magnifying of things beyond their natural limits is called hyprebole. Language that signifies, literally. more than the exact tinth, more than is really intenderl to be representerl, by which a thing is represented greater or less, hetter or worse, than it really is, is said to be hyperbolicul. Hyperbole is exaggeration.
"Our common forms of compliment are almost all of them extravagant hyperboles."-Blair.

Some examples are the following:
"Rivers of bloorl and hills of slain."
"They were swifter than earles; they were stronger than lions."
"The sky shomk upward with umsual dread, And trembling Tiber div'l beneath his bed."
"So frowned the mighty combstants, that hell siew daker at their frown."
"I saw their chief tall as a rock of ice; his spear the blasted fir; his shield the rising moon; he sat on the shove like a cloud of mist on a hill."

Ice-cream-Ice-water. As for ice-crcam, there is no such thing, as ice-cream would be the proluct of frozen cream, i.e., cream made from ice loy melting. What is ca!lel ice-cream is cream iced; hence, properly, ichl cream, and unt ire-cream. The product of melted ice is ice-water, whether it le cold or warm : but water made cold with ice is icell water, and not ice-water.

If. "I dotibt if this will ever reach you": say, "I doult achether this will ever reach yon."

Iil. See Sick.
Illy. It will astonish not a fer to learn that there is no such word as illy. The form of the adverb, as well as of the alljective and the noun, is ill. A thing is ill formed, or i!l done, or ill made, or ill constructed, or ill put together.
" I/l fares the land, to hastening ills a prey,
Where wealth accumulates and men decay."- Coldsmith.
Immodest. This adjective and its synonyms, indecont and indelirate, are often used without proper discrimination being made in their respective meanings. Indecency ant immonlesty are opposed to morality: the former in externals, as dress, words, and looks; thee latter in conduct and disposition. "Indecency," says Crabl, may be a partial, immondest!y is a positive and entire breach of the moral law. Indectry is less than immodest!, but more than indmicary." It is ind cent for a man to marry agrain very soon after the death of his wife. It is indrlicute for any one to obtrude himself upon another's retirement. It is inlecent for women to exporse their persoms as do some whom we can not call immomest.
"Immodest words almit of no defence.
For want of decency is want of sense."

- Earl of Roscommon.

Impropriety. As a rhetorical term, defined as an error in using words in a sense different from their recognized signifieation.

Impute. Non-painstaking writers not unfrequently use impute instead of asribe. "The nmmbers [of blunders] that have been imputed to him are endless."-"Appletons' Journal." The use of impute in this connection is by no means indefensible; still it would have been better to use ascribe.

In our midst. The phrase in our mielst and in their midst are generally supposed to be of recent introduction ; and, though they have been used by some respectable writers, they nevertheless find no favor with those who study propriety in the use of langnage. To the phrase in the midsit no one objects. "Jesus came and stood in the midst." "There was a hut in the miclst of the forest."

In respect of. "The deliberate introduction of incorrect forms, whether by the coinage of new or the reviral of olsolete and inexpressive syntactical combinations, ought to be resistel even in trifles, especially where it leads to the confusion of listinct ideas. An example of this is the recent use of the alverbial phrases in respect of in regorel of, for in or with respeet to, or regard to. This imovation is without any syntactical ground, and ought to be coudemned and avoided as a mere grammatical crochet."-feorge P'. Marsl, "Lectures on the Englisin Langnage," p. 660.

In so far as. A phrase often met with, and in whieh the in is superflnons. "A want of proper opportunity would suffice, in so fir as the want could be shown." "We are to act up to the extent of our knowlalge; but, in so far as our knowlerge falls short," etc.

Inaugurate. This worl, which means to install in otlice with certain cermonies, is made, by many lovers of bigg words, to do service for hegin ; but the somer these rhetorlica
high-fliers stop imaunuratim! and content themselves with simply beqimmin!, the things they are called upon to do in the ordinary rontine of daily life, the somer they will cease to set a very hart example.

Indecent. see Immodest.
Index expurgatorius. Willian Callen Bryant. who was ac careful student of English, while he was editor of the "N W York Evening Post," sought to prevent the writers for that paper from using "over and above (for 'more than'); artiste (for 'artist') : aspirant ; anthoress ; beat (for 'ilefeat'): bagging (for 'capturing'); balance (for 'remainder'); banquet (for 'diuner' or 'supper'); bogns; casket (for 'coffin'): chaimed (for 'assertel'); collidet; commence (for 'hegin'): compete; cortáge (for 'procession'); cotemporary (for 'contemporary'): comple (for 'two'); darky (for 'negro'); day before yesterday (for 'the day before yesterday'): dibut; decrease (as a verb): democracy (applied to a political party); develop (for 'expose'): devoning element (for "fire"); donate ; employé ; enacted (for 'acted'); indorse (for 'approve'); en route; esy.; graduate (for 'is graduated'); gents (for 'gentlemen'); 'Hon.'; Honse (for 'Honse of Representatives'); hmbug; jnangmate (for 'begin'); in our midst: item (for 'particle, extract, or paragraph'); is being done, and all passives of this form; jeopardize: jubilant (for 'rejoicing'): juvenile (for 'loy'): latly (for 'wife'): last (for 'latest'): lengthy (for 'long'); lenieney (for 'lenity '); loafer; loan or loaned (for 'lend' or 'lent'); located; majority (relating to places or circumstances, for 'most'); Mrs. President, Mrs. Governor, Mrs. General, and all similar titles; mutual (for common ); official (for "officer"); ovation: on yesterday: कver lis signature; pants (for 'pantaloons'); parties (for 'persons'); partially (for 'partly' I: past two weeks (for 'last two weeks' and all similar expressions relating to a (lefinite time); poetess; portion (for
'part'); posted (for 'inforwed'); progress (for 'advance'); reliable (for 'trustworthy'); rendition (for 'performance'); repudiate (for 'reject' or 'disown'); retire (as an active rerb); Rev. (for 'the Rev.'); rôle (for 'part'); roughs; rowdies; sece $l_{1}$; sensation (for 'noteworthy event'); standpoint (for ' point of view'); start, in the sense of setting out ; state (for *say"); taboo; talent (for 'talents' or 'ability'; talented; tapis : the deceassd; war (for 'dispute' or 'disagreement')."

This index is offered here as a curiosity rather than as a guide, though in the main it may safely be used as such. No valis reason, however, can be urged for discouraging the use of several words in the list; the words aspirant, banquet, casket, compete, decrease, progress, start, talented, and fleceaserl, for example.

Indicative and Subjunctive. "'I see the signal.' is unconditional ; 'if I see the signal,' is the same fact expressed in the form of a condition. The one form is said to be in the indicutire mood, the mood that simply states or indicates the action: the other form is in the subjunctive, conditional, or conjunctive mood. There is sometimes a slight variation male in English, to show that an attirmation is made as a condition. The mood is called 'suljunctive,' because the affirmation is subjoinel to another aftirmation: 'If I see the signerl, I will call out.'
"Such forms as 'I may see,' 'I can sce,' have sometimes been consitlered as a variety of moorl, to which the name 'Potential' is given. But this can not properly be maintained. There is no trace of any inflection corresponding to this meaning, as we find with the subjunctive. Moreover, such a mool would have itself to be subdivided into indicative and subjunctive forms: 'I may go,' 'if I may go.' And further, we might proceed to constitute other mools on the same aualogy, as, for example, an obligatory mood-' I must
so,' '. ' I ousht t. go'; a mool of resolution - I will go. you shall ges '; a mool of gratification- 'I am delighten to go'; of Nepreation 'I an grievel to go.' The only diderence in tac last two instances is the use of the sign of the infinitive 'to, which il ees not ocell2 after 'mly,' 'can,' 'must,' 'onght,' ete.: hut that is not an essential difference. some grammarians consiler the form ' I do gro' a separate moul, and term it the emphatic mood. Fut all the aloove objections apply to it likewise, at well as many others."-Bain. See scmasctwe Murn.

Individual. This worl is often most improperly used tor prion; as, "The indiritmul I saw was not over forty"; "There were several indivilnuls on board that I had never scen lefore." Indirilucel means, etymolugically, that which can not be dividerl, and is nsed, in sluaking of things as well as of persons, to express unity. It is opposed to the whole, or that which is divisible into parts.

Indorse. Carefnl writers generally discomntenance the use of indorse in the sense of senction, approve, appland. In this sifnification it is on the list of prohibited words in some of our newspaper oftices. "The following rules are indorsed loy nearly all writers upon this subject."-- Dr. Townsemb. It is plain that the right wosl to use here is afproms. "The public will leartily indorse the sentiments ntered loy the cont."- New lork "Enening Telenam." "The pullic will heartily "prore the sentiments raproseal hy the court," is what the sentence should lee.

Infinitive Mood. When we call choose, it is gencrally better to use the verb, in the infinitive that in the participial form. "Alility bein' in grneral the power of doing," ete. say, fo do. "I desire to reply . . . to the proposal of" *ut stitutiny a tax upon land values . . . and in thiny this tax, as near [nearly] as may be, crual to rent," etc. Say, to substi-
tute and to malie. . This quality is of prime importance when the chief object is the impartin! of kuowledge." say, to imprart.

Initiate. This is a pretentious worl, which, with its derivatives, many perans - especially those who like to be grandiloquent use, when homely Enslish woull seme their turn much lietter.

Innumerable Number. A repetitioual expression to be
 but we should not say an innumarulle num'rer of times.

Interrogation. The rinetorical figure that asks a question in orler to emphasize the reverse of what is askell is callell interroycution; as, "Do we mean tos submit to this measure . $o$ we mean to sulmit, and consent that we onrselves, our country and its rights, shall le tranpled on?"
"Doth (fod pervert judgment" or doth the Alwighty pervert justice?"

## Introduce. See Presest.

Irony. That mode of speech in which what is meant is contrary to the literal meaning of the words-in which praise is bestowed when ceusure is inteurlel-is calle lirony. Irony is a kind of felicate sureasm or satire-raillery, mockery.
"In writins's of humor, figu es are sometimes usel of so delicate a mature that it shall often hapes thit s me people will sece things in a direct contrary sense to what the author and the majority of the rea lers understand them ; to such the most innocent irouy may appear ireligion."-Cambri!ge.

Irritate. N'ee AGGR.ATITE.
Is being built. A tolerable idea of the stite of the dis. enssion regarding the propriety of itsing the lusinion is brim! built, and all like expressions, will. it is hop a l, he obtainel from the following extracts. The Rer. l'eter bullions, in his "Crammar of the English Language," says:
"There is properly no passive form, in Englislr, contspondiny to the proyressite form in the active roice, except where it is matle by the participle iny, in the passive sense, thus, 'The house is building': 'The garments are making'; - Wheat is sclling, ' etc. An attempt has leen made loy some grammarians, of late, to hanish such expressions from the langrage, though they liare been userl in all time past liy the best writers, aud to justify and defend at clumsy solecism, uhich has been recently introducel ehiefly throngh the newspaper peess, but which has gained such entrency, amd is becoming so familiay to the ear, that it seems likely to prevail, with all its uncouthness and deformity. I refer to such expres ions as "The house is being built': 'The letter is leeing written : 'The mine is leing worked'; 'The news is being telegraphet," etc.. etc.
"This mode of expression bud moxiatence in the languagetill within the lest fitity yecer:.* This, indeerl, would not makethe expression wrong, were it otherwise nnexeptionable; but its recent origin shows that it is not, as is pretended, a recessary form.
"This form of expression, when analyzed, is found not to express what it is intended to express, and would be used only by such as are either ignorant of its import or are careless and loose in their use of langrage. To make this manifest, let it be crnsiderel, first, that there is no fimyresisice form of the rerb to be and no need of it; hence, there is no such expression in English as is lu in!/. (If conrse the expression 'is briag built,' for example, is not a compound of is brints and britt, hat of is and lieing laiit; that is, of the resb to be and the prosert pucticiple pessuir. Now, let it be observed that the ouly verls in which the present participle passive expresses a continued action are thase mentioned above as the

[^3]first class, in which the regular passive form expresses a confimuance of the action; as, is lovel, is desired, etc., and in which, of course, the form in question (is hein! buit) is not reiguired. Noboly woall think of saying, 'He is heing loved'; 'This result is being lesirel.'
"The nse of this form is justifiel only by condennin! an estublished usagn of the langmage; mamely, the passive sense in some rerbs of the participle in iny. In reference to this it is flippantly askel, "Waut does the house buill l?' 'What does the letter write?' etu.- taking for granted, withoat attempting to prose, that the purticiple in ing can not have a passive sense in any verb. The following are a few examples from writers of the best reputation, which this novelty womld condemn: 'While the ceremony was performing.'.-Tom Brown. 'The court was then holilinf."--sir (i. MeKenzie. 'Aud still be doing, nerer done.' - Butler. 'The books are selling.'-Allen's 'Grammar.' 'To know nothing of what is transacting in the regions above us.'- Dr. Blair. • The spot where this new and strange tragedy was acting.'-E. Everett. 'The fortress was builling.'- Trving. 'An attempt is making in the English Parliament. - D. Webster. "The church now erecting in the city of New lork.'-'N. A. Review.' 'These things were transacting in England. '- Bancroft.
"This new rloctrine is in opposition to the almost unanimous jud!gment of the most distin!guished !prommuriuns and crities, who have consilcred the subject, and expressed their views concerning it. The following are a specinen: 'Expressions of this kind are conlemnal by some crities; but the usage is unquestionably of far better authority, and (according to my apprehension) in far better taste, than the more complex phraseology which some late writers alopt in its stead; as, "The books are now being soll." "- (ioold Brown. 'As to the notion of intmolucing a new and more complex passive
form of conjugation, as, "The brirlge is heinal lmit," "The bridge wos lreirg Imill," and so forth, it is one of the most absurd and monstrons innovations ever thonult of. "The work is nou bring puldixher," is certainly no lutter English


 the moods and tenses. What a language we shall have when our verhs are thus conjugited!'-Brown's 'Cir. of Euglish Gir., p. 3til. De War observes: 'The participle in int, is also passive in many instances: as, "The honse is luilling," "I heard of a plan forming." ' ete.-Quoted is 'Frazee's (trammar,' p. 49. 'It woukl he an absurdity, indect, to five up the only way we have of denoting the incomplete state of action ly a passive form (viz.. hy the participle in in! in the passive sense).' - Arnold's 'English (iranmar;' p. 46i. 'The present participle is otten nsed passively: as, "The ship is hailding." The form of expeession, is hein! luelt, is leing committerl, etc.. is almost miversally condemmed hy grammarians, but it in sometimes met with in respectable witers: it oecurs most frequently in newspaper paragraphs and in hasty compositions. See Worcester's "Lniversal anl Critical Dictionary:" -Wrid's 'Grammar." pp. 118 and 180. "When we say, " The honse is halling," the adrocates of the new theory ask. " luulding what:" We might ank, in turn, when you say, "The field plonghs well," " Ploughs what"." "Wheat sells well,"-"sells what:" If usage allows us to say, "W"heat sells at a dollar," in a sense that is loot active, why may we not say, "Wheat is selling at a clollar;" in a sense that is not active"-Hart' "Grammar,' p. its. 'The prevailing practice of the best autiors is in favor of the simple form ; as, "The house is billing." - Wells' 'school (irammar." p. 148, 'several other expressions of this sort
now and then oecur, such as the new-fangled and most nucouth solecism. "is le in!! rlone," for the good old English idiom "is doing"-an ahstarl periphresio driving ont a pointerl and pithy turn of the English language. - 'N. A. leview; quoterl lyy Mr. Wells. p, 145. "The phrase, "is heing built," and rothers of a similar kind, have been for a few years insimating themselves into our language: still they are not English. - Harrison's 'Rise. Progress, and Presenc Nitucture of the English Langnage." "This morle of expression [the house is leeing lnilt] is becoming duite common. It is liaile, howewr, to several important ohjections. It appear: formal and peclantic. It has not, as far as 1 know, the support of any respectable grammarian. The easy and natmal expression is, "The louse is builling.""-Prof. J. IV. (irihbs."

Mr. Richard Grant Whhite, in his "Words and Their Uses," expresses his opinion of the locrtion is brin!y in this wise: "In harl eminence, at the hearl of those intruders in language which to many persons seem to be of estahlished respectability, but the right of which to be at all is not fnlly admitted, stands out the form of speech is liming done, or rather, is lerin!, which, alout seren'y or eighty years ago. bergan to alliont the eje, toment the ear, anl assamit the common sense of the spaker of plain and idiomatic English." Mr. White ilerotes thirty pages of his book to the divellsien of the snliject, and adduces evidence that is more than sutficient to convince those who are content with an es fererto examination that "it can hardly be that such an incongruons and ridiculous form of speech at: is lefing done wat contsivel by a man who. ly any stretch of the name, shoukl be includen? among grammarians."

Mr. (ieorge i'. Marsh, in his "Leetures on the Finglish Language," says that the deviser of the lowution in question was "some grammatical pretender," and that it is "an
awkward neologisn, which neither comrenience, intelligibility, nor syntactical congruity demands."

To these gentlemen, and to those who are of their way of thinking with regard to is lreing, Dr. Fitzedwats Hall replies at some length, in an article pullished in "soribner's Montilly," for April, 1sie. Dr. Hall writes:
" 'All really well edneated in the English tongue lament the many invorations introduced into our language from America; and I donbt if more than one of these novelties deserve acceptation. That one is, substituting a compound participle for an active verl, nsed in a meater signification: for instance, "The house is being bui't," instead of, "The house is buildiny." Such is the assertion and such is the opinion of some anonymons imminary,* who, for his liberality in welcoming a supposed Americanism, is somewhat in advance of the herd of his countrymen. Almost any popular expression which is considerel as a novelty, a Briton is pretty certain to assume. off-hand, to have originated on our side of the Atlantic. Of the assertion I have quoted, no pronf is offered ; and there is little probability that its author had any to offer. 'Are being,' in the phrase 'are being thrown up,' $\dagger$ is spoken of in 'The North American Peview' $\ddagger$ as 'an ontrage upon English idiom, "to be detested, abhorred, execrated, and given over to six thousand" penny-paper editors : and the fact is, that phrases of the form here pointel at have hitherto enjored rery much less faror with us than with the English.

[^4]"As lately as 1860 , Dr. Worcester, referıing o $i$ ' 'in!! built, etc., while acknowlerging that 'this new form has wen used by some respertable writers,' speaks of it as having 'been introlucel' 'within a few years.' Mr. Richard Grant Whhite, by a most pesuliar process of ratiocination, endeavors to prove that what Dr. Worcester calls • this new fo:m' came into existence just fifty-six years aro. He premises that in Jarvis's translation of •Don (luixote, publis'ıel in 174?, there oceurs 'were carrying,' an 1 that this, in the edition of 1818 , is sophisticaterl ints 'were being carried.' 'This chanfe, eontiunes our logician, "and the appearauce of is bein! with a perfect participle in a rery few hooks publishel between A. ค. 1815 and 1820 , indicate the former period as that of the origin of this phraseology, which, although more than half a century old, is still pronouncel a novelty as well ats it minsance.'
"Who. in the next place, devised our modern imperfect passive? The question is not, origimally, of my asking: but. as the learued are at open fend on the subject, it should not be passed by in silence. Its deriser is, more than likely; as undiscorerable as the name of the valiant antelilivian who first tasted an oyster. But the derluctive chazacter of the miscreant is another thing; and hereon there is a war hetween the philosophers. Mr. (G. P. Marsh, as if he hail actually spotterl the wretchel cruature, passiomately amd categorically denomes him as 'some grammatical pretembler.' 'But,' replies Mr. White, 'that it is the work of any grammarian is more tha: doultful. Grammarians, with all theit faults, do not deform langrage with fantastic solecisms, or even seek to enrich it with new and startling verbal combinations. They rather resist novelty, and levote themselves to formulating that which use has already established.' In the
same page with this, Mr. White compliments the great unknown as "some precise aml feebleminterl sonl," and elsewhere calls him 'some perdantic writer of the last generation.' To add eren one worl towarl a solution of the knoty point here indicated transeends, I confess, my utmonst competence. It is painful to picture to one's solf the agonizing emotions with which certain philologists would contemplate an anthentic etligy of the Attila of speech who, by his is beiny built or is being done, first offe: en violence to the whole circle of the proprieties. So far as I have ohserved, the first grammar that exhibits them is that of Mr. R. S. Skillern, M.A., the lirst ealition of which was publishel at filoucester in 1802. Robert rionthey lath not, on the !th of October. 1795 , been out of his minority quite two months when, evidently delivering himself in a way that had already become familiar enough, he wrote of 'a fellow whose uttermost npper grimer is brin! fora out by the roots lyy a mutton-fisted barber.'* This is in a letter. But repeaterl instances of the same kind of expression are seen in sionthey's graver writings. Thus, in his 'Colloguies, ete., $\dagger$ we reat of 'such [nmmeries] as at this time "rep hein!g re"stablishmol."
"'While my hand was lwin! drest lyy Mi: Yonng, I spoke for the first time.' wrote Coleringe. in March, 1707.
"Chailes Lamb speaks of realities which "wre beinel acted before us,' and of 'a wall who is lefin: strontifel.'
"Walter Savage Lamen, in an imaginary eonversation, represents litt as saying: "The man who possesserl them may read swedenborg and kinnt while he is bein! tossel in a blanket.' Again: 'I have seen nohles, men and women,

[^5]kneeling in the street before these hishops, when no ceremony: of the Catholic Chureh weas bring pergormed. Also. in a translation from Catullns: 'home crimbal is bein!t tried tor muriler.
"Nor does Mr: De (Uuincey scrmple at such Eoglish as 'marle and bein! mode', the luride that urus briug morried to him, ant the shafts of Hear en were even now heing ion:ged. On one occasion he writes, 'Not done, not eveu taccordiner to morlern purism) bein! done'; is if 'pmism' meant exactness. rather than the aroidance of 2 coterism.

- I need, surely, name no more, among the dead. who foumd is being built, or the like, acceptable. 'Simple-minderl common people and those of culture were alibe protecten against it by their attachment to the itiom of their mother tongue, with wh:c' they felt it to be directly at variance.' So Mr. White informs us. But the writers whom I have quotel are formilable exceptions. Even Mr. White will starcely deny to them the title of people of culture.
"So much for offenders past repentance : and we all know that the sort of phaseology noter consideration is daily tecoming more and more common. The lest written o: the English reviews, magazines, and jormals are perpetually marked by it ; and some of the choicest of living English writers employ it freely: Among these, it is enough if I specify Bishop Wilberforce and Mr. Charles Rearle.*
"Extracts from Bishop Jewel downwat heing also given, Lord Macaulay, Mr: Dickens, 'The Atlantic Monthly, and 'The Brooklyn Eagle are alleged by Mr. White in prof that people still use such phrases as 'Chelsea Hospital was building,' and 'the train wus jrifurring. 'Hence we see,' he

[^6]arkls，＊＂that the form is bein！rloue，is brim！mull，is bein！！ built，laeks the support of authoritative nsige from the period of the earliest classical langlish to the prest nt day．＇I fully concur with Mr．W＂hite in regarding＇neither＂The Brooklyn Eagle＂nor Mr．Dickens as a rery high anthority in the use of language ：jet，when he hits renommed the aid？of these contemmel straws，what has he to rest his inference on，as to the present day．but the practice of Lord Macanlay and＇The Atlantic Monthly＇？Those who think fit will bow to the dictatorship here prescribed to them；but them may he those with whom the classic sanction of Sonthey，Coleridge，and Landor，will not be wholly void of weight．All scholars ate aware that，to convey the sense of the imperfects passive，our ancestors，centuries ago，prefixer，with is，etc．，in，afterwarl cormpted into u，to a verbul sulstantive．＂The homse is in Juildin！＇eonld be taken to mean nothing but whlos mificantur； and，when the in gave place to $n, \dagger$ it was still manifest enomgh，from the context，that building was governed by a preposition．The second stage of change，however，bamely， when the＂was omitted，entailed，in many cases，great danger of confusion．In the early part of the last century， when English was undergoing what was then thought to be purification，the polite world sulstantially resigned is a－building to the vulgar．Toward the close of the same century，when，mader the influence of free thought，it began to be felt that even ideas had a right to laithful amd une－ quivocal representation，a just resentment of ambignity was evidenced in the ereation of is being built．The lanent is too late that the instinct of reformation did not restore the oll form．It has gone forever ：and we are now to make the best

[^7]of its successors. "The brass is joryiny," in the opinion of Dr. Johnson, is 'a ricions expression, probably corrupted from a phrase more pure. but now somewhat obsolete, . . . "the brass is a-for!ing." Yet, with a true Tory's timidity and arersion to change, it is not smprising that le went on preferring what he found estalalished, vicions as it confessedly was, to the enl. But was the expression 'vicious' solely beeause it was a corrnption? In 1787 William Beckforl wrote as follows of the fortune-tellers of Lishon: ' $I$ surv onv dratgying iuto riyht, as I passed hy the mins of a palace thrown down ly the earthquake. Whether a familiar of the inquisition was griping hoer in his clutches, or whether she weas telking to "ecount log som "isarpointed rotary, I will not pretend to answer.' Are the expressions here italicizerl either perspicnous or gracefnl? Whatever we are to have in their place, we should be thankful to get quit of them.
"Inasmuch as, concurrently with buildin! for the active participle, arrl bein! built for the corresponding passise participle, we possessed the former, with is prefixed, as the active present imperfeet, it is in rigil accordance with the symmetry of our verls that, to construct the passive present inperfect, we prefix is to the latter, prombing the form is being builh. Such, in its greatest simplicity, is the procedure which, as will be seen, has provokerl a very leranter of ire and vilitication. But anything that is new will be excepted to by minds of a certain order. Their tremulons and impatieut dread of removing ancient lamemarks even disqualifies them for thoroughly investigating its chatacter aul pretensions. In has built and will $\boldsymbol{l}$, i'd, we find the active perticiple perfect and the active infinitive subjoinerl to anxiliaries : and so, in hecs bren built and will lre built, the passive partieiple perfect and the passive inflitive are subjoned to anxiliaries. [ns is Guileling and is being luitt, we hate, in strict harmony with
the constitution of the perfect and future tenses, an ansiliary followel lys the ative paticiple present and the passive participle pesent. Built is reterminel as active or passive ley the rewhe which qualify it, fure and be: and the grammarians are rigit in considering it, when emborierl in hus buitt, as actire, since its analogne, embolie.l ior hrs. been lomit, is the exchnively passive been huilt. Hesides this, how beent buit would signify something like has rxisterl, built,* which is plainly nenter. We are debarrest, therefore from such an amalysis; ami, hy parity of reasoning, we may not resolve is Imin!g built int, is being + built. It must have been an inspiration of anslogy, felt or rufelt, that suggested the form, 1 am disenssins. Is beint + built, as it can mean, pretty nearly, only eaisti, buit, would never have been proposed as adequate to convey any but a nenter sense; whereas it was perfectly natural for a person aming to express a passive sense to prefix is to the passive concretion being built. +
"The analogieal justification of is being built which I have brought forward is so obrions that, as it occurred to myself more than twenty years ago, so it must have occured spontane onsly to hanlreals hesiles. It is very singular that those who, like Mr. Sarsh and Mr. White have ponderel long and painfully over locntions typitied by is leint! buitt, should have missed the real igroun 1 of their grammatical defensibleness, and shon! 1 have warmel themselves, in their opposition to them, ints uttering opinions which no calm julgment can accept.

[^8]"One who is bein! healen" is, to Archbishop Whately, 'tuconth Euglish." ". The bridge is bein! buit," and other phases of the like kimk, have panert the eye' of Mr. David Booth. Siuch phrases, accorling to Mr. M. Harrison, 'are not English,' To Professor I. W. (tibles 'this morle of ex. pression . . . appears formal and pedantic': and 'the easy and natural expression is, "The house is huritim!!." "* In all this, little or nothins is discemible beyoud sheer prejudice, the prejulice of those who resolve to take their stand against an innoration, regardless of its utility, and who are rearly to find an argument against it in any random epithet of disparagement provoked by unreasoning aversion. And the more recent denouncers in the same live have no more reasoil on their site than their elder brethren.
"In Mr. Mursh's estimation, is b in! built illustrates 'corrnption of language'; it is 'clumsy and unilionatic'; it is 'at best but a philological coxeombry'; it 'is an awkward neologism, which neither conrenience, intelligibility, nor syutactical eongrnity demands, aul the use of which ouglit, therefore, to be ilisconntenancerl, as an attempt at the artificial improvement of the language in a point which veerlel no amendment.' Again, 'Toreject' is huirininy in faror of the molern phrase 'is to violate the laws o: language by an arbitrary chamse; an l, in this peenliar case, the proposed substitnte is at war with the genius of the Englis! tongue.' Ar. Marsh seem; to have fanciel that, wherever he points out a beanty in is luillinf, he points out. inclusively, a blemish in is beiny luitt.
"The fervor anl feeling with which Mr. White alloanees to the elarge are altogether tropical. The full absurlity of

[^9]this phrase, the essence of its monsense, seems not to have been hitherto pointer ont.' It is not 'consistent with reason'; and it is not 'conformed to the nommal development of the langraage.' It is 'a monstrosity, the illogical, confusing, inaccurate, midiomatic character of which I have at some lengtlı, but yet imperfectly, set forth.' Finally, 'In fact, it means nothing, and is the most incongrmons combination of worls and idcas that ever attained re-pectable usage in any civilized language.' These be 'prave 'urds'; and it seems a pity that so much sterling vitnperative ammmitirn shonke be expendel in vain. And that it is so expended thinks Mr. White himself ; for, thongh passing sentence in the spirit of a Jeflieys, lue is not really on the judgment-seat, but on the lowest hassock of despair. As concerns the mode of expression exemplified hy is being huilt, he owns that 'to check its điffusion would be a hopeless mulertaking.' If so, why not rescre himself for service against some evil not avowedly beyond remedy?
"Again we rearl, 'Some precisc and feeble-minded sonl, having been taught that there is a passive voice in English, and that, for instance, buildin! is an active participle, and buiderl or built a passive, felt conscientions sermples at saying "the honse is buildin!." For what could the house build :" As children say at play, Mr. White burns hure. If it had occurred to him that the 'conscientions scrmples' of his hypotlietical, 'precise, and feeble-minted soul' were roused by been luilt, not by built, I suspect his chapter on is beiny buit wouhl have leen much shorter than it is at present, and very different. 'The fatal absurdity in this phrase consists,' lie tells $n s$, "in the combination of is with beiny: in the making of the verl, to be a supplement, or, in grammarian's phase, an anxiliary to itself-an absurdity so palpable, so monstrous, so ridiculons, that it should need only to be
pointed out to be scouted.'. Lastly, 'The quest is thus narrowel simply to this, Does to be being (esse ens) mean anything mere or other than to be?'
"Having convicted Mr. White of a mistaken analysis, I am not concenned with the olservations which he founds on his mistake. Howerer, even if his analysis had been correct, some of his arguments would avail him nothing. For instance, is lein!! luit, on his understanding of it, that is to say, is bein! + buit, he represents by ens. relificutus est, as 'the supposed corresponding Latin phrase. $\dagger$ The Latin is illegitimate; and he infers that, therefore, the English is the same. But redificiens est, a translation, on the model which he offers, of the active is luildin!, is quite as illegitimate as ens. redificutus est. By parity of non-sequitur, we are, therefore, to surremler the active is buibling. Assume that a phrase in a given language is indefensible unless it has its counterpart in some other language; from the very conception and definition of an illiom every idion is illegitimate.
"I now pass to another puint. 'T'o be ant to exist are,' to Mr. White's apprehension, 'perfect synonyms, or more nearly perfect, perhaps, than any two rerhs in the language. In some of their meanings there is a shate of difference, but in others there is none whatever ; and the latter are those which serve our present purpose. When we say, "He, being forewarned of danger, fled," we say, " He, eristiny furewarned of

[^10]danger, flen." When we say that a thing is done, we say that it rasts done. . . . Is lumet lone is simply exists existiny rome.' but, since is and exists are equipollent, and so being and existin!, is beiny is the same as the mimpeachable is pacisting. (!. non E. D. Is eristin! ought, of connse, to be no less objectionable to Mr. White than is beinet. Just as absurd, too, shonld he reckon the Italian somo stulo. firl stuto, sid stato, jossi streto, sermo stuto, setirei sticto, rasere stuto, and ess rudo stato. For in Italian hoth essore and struse are reguined to make up the verb substantive, as in Jatin both psser and the offspring of fuore are required; and store, primarily to stand,' is monlified into a trme auxiliary. The allegerl 'full absurdity of this phrase,' to wit, is being bui $t$, ' the essence of its nonsense, vanishes thus into thin air. So I was about to comment bluntly, not forgetting to regret that any gentleman's cultivation of logis should fructify in the shape of irrepressible tendencies to suicile. But this would he precipitate. Agreeably to one of Mr. White's judicial placita, which I make no apology for citing twice, 'no man who has preserverl all his senses will dombt for a moment that " $t$ o exist a mastiff or a mule" is absolutely the same as "to lee a mastiff or a mule."" Declining to armit their identity, I have not preserved all my senses; and, accordingly-thongh it may be in me the rery superfetation of huacy-- I would caution the remler to keep a sharp eye on my arguments, hereabonts particularly. The Cretan, who, in declaring all Cretans to lue liars, left the question of his veracity doubtful to all eternity, fell into a pit of his own digging. Not unlike the mufortnnate Cretan, Mr. White has tumbled heallong iuto his own smare. It was, for the rest, entirely mavailing that he insisted on the insanity of those who should gainsay his fundamental postulate. Sanity, of a crude sort, may accept it; and sanity may put it to a use other than it propomnler's.
" Mr. Marsh, after setting forth the all-sufficiency of is buidiny, in the passive sense, goes on to say: 'The reformers who object to the phrase I am defending must, in comsistency, employ the proposed substitute with all passive participles, and in other tenses as well as the present. They must say, therefore, "The subscription-paper is bein, misised, but I know that a consitlerable sum is being wonted to make up the amount"; "the great Victoria Bridge hrss heen beiny Invilt more than two years"; "when I reach Lomlon, the ship Leviathan will be being luilt"; "if my orders had been followed, the coat woidl lue "bren bein! mude yesterduy"; "if the honse lad then been being lait, the mortar wouid have been bein! mixel." We may reply that, while awkward instances of the old form are most abundant in our literature, there is no fear that the repulsive elaborations which have been worked ont in ridicule of the new forms wili prove to have been anticipations of future usage. There was a time when, as to their adverls, people compared them, to a large extent, with -er ancl -rst, or with more and most, just as their ear or pleasure dictated. They wrote plaintior and ploinliest, or more phuin!! and most p! (in!!; anl some adverbs, as erm!!, lete, ojirn, seflom, and soon, we still compare in a way now become anomalons. And as onr forefathers treated ti:eir adrerbs we still treat many adjectives. F'urthermore, 'oblyingness, pirpurednes.s, and desi!gnedly seem quite natural ; yet we do not feel that they authorize ns to talk of 'the speingness of the eye,' 'the understoorluess of a sentence,' or of 'a statement acknowledyedty correct.' 'The now too notorions fact' is tolerable: but 'the never to be sutliviently execratel monster Bonaparte' is intuletable. 'The sun may he shom'n of his splembor; lut we do not allow clomly weather to shem him of it. How; then, can any one claim that a man who peefers to say is being buill should say lues been being built? Are 1.0 t
awkward instances of the old form. typified by ix buildin!f, as easily to be picked ont of extant literature as such instances of the new form, likely wer to be used, are to be invented : And 'the reformers' have not forswom their ears. Mr. Marsh, at p. 135 of his admirable 'Lectures,' lays down that 'the adjective relinble, in the sense of worthy of conpilence, is altogether midiomatic'; and yet, at 1 . 112 , he writes 'reliable evidence.' Again, at p. 396 of the same work, he rules that whose, in 'I passed a house whose windows were open,' is 'by no means yet fully established': and at p. 14.5 of his very learned • Man and Nature' he writes 'a quadrangular pyranid, the perpendicular of uhose sides,' etc. lieally. if his own judgments sit so very loose on his practical conscience, we may, without being chargeable with exaction, ask of him to relax a little the rigor of his requirements at the hands of his neighbors.
" Beckford's Lisbon fortune-teller, hefore had into court, was 'drat!fing into light,' and, perchance, 'west tulin!! to account.' Many moderns would say and write 'leing drofyed into light,' and 'was being tuken to account.' But, if we are to trust the conservative critics, in comparison with expressions of the former pattern, those of the latter are 'unconth,' 'clumsy,' 'awkward neologisms,' 'philological coxcombries,' 'formal and pedantic,' 'incongruons and ridiculous forms of speech,' 'illogical, confusing, inaccurate monstrosities.' Moreover, they are neither 'consistent with reason' nor 'conformed to the normal development of the language'; they are 'at war' with the genius of the English tongue'; they are 'undiomatic'; they are 'not English.' In passing, if Mr, Marsh will so define the term midiomatic as to evince that it has any applicability to the case in hand, or if he will arrest and photograph 'the genius of the English tongue,' so that we may know the original when we meet with it, he will confer
a public favor. And now I submit for consideration whet ther the sole strength of those who decry is being built and its congeners does not consist in their talent for calling hard names. If they have not an uneasy subconscionsness that their cause is weak, they would, at least, do well in eschewing the violence to which, for want of something better, the adrocates of weak canses proverbially resort.
"I once had a friend who, for some microscopic penumbra of heresy, was charged, in the words of his accuser', with 'as near an approach to the sin against the Holy Ghost as is practicable to human infimity.' Similarly, on one view, the feeble potencies of philological turpitude seem to have exhibited their most consnmmate realization in engendering is being built. The supposed enormity perpetrated in its production, provided it had fallen within the sphere of ethics, would, at the least, have ranked, with its denunciators, as a brand-new exemplitication of total depravity. But, after all, what incontestalble defect in it has any one succeeded iu demonstrating? Mr. White, in opposing to the expression objections based on an erroneous analysis, simply lays a phantom of his own evoking; and, so far as I am informed, other impugners of is boiny built have, absolutely, no argument whatever against it over and beyond their repugnance to novelty. Subjected to a little untroubled contemplation, it would, I am contilent, have ceasel long ago to be matter of controversy ; but the dust of prejulice and passion, which so distempers the intellectual vision of theologians and politicians, is seen to make, with ruthless impartiality, no exception of the perspicacity of philologists.
"Prior to the evolution of is being built and ress being built, we possessed no diseriminate equivalents to irtificatur and relificabutur; is lonitt and was built, by which they were rendered, corresponding exactly to ordificutus est and arlifico-
tus: erut. Cum urfificuretur was to ns the same as redificubutur. On the wealth of the (ireek in expressions of imperfect passive I neel not lwell. With rare exceptions, the lamans were satisfied with the present-imperfect and the past-imperfeet; and we, on the comparatively few oceasions which present themeelves for expressing other imperfects, shall he sure to have recourse to the oll forms rather than to the new, or else to use periphases.* The purists may, accordingly, dismiss their apprehensions, esprecially as the neotcrists have, clearly, a kcener horror of phaseological ungainliness than themselves. One may have: no hesitation about saying 'the house is beiny buit,' and may yet recoil from saying that 'it should hawe bern luin!, beitt last Christmas'; and the same personjust as, provided he rlid not feel a harslmess, inategnacy, ind ambignity in the passive 'the house is muldiny,' he wonld use the expression-will, mone likely than not, elect is in propuretion preferentially to is leeing propured. If there are auy who, in their zealntry for the congruous, cloose to arthere to the new form in its entire range of exchangeability for the old, let it be hoped that they will fiml, in Mr. Marsh's speculative approbation of comsisteney, full amends for the discomfort of encountering smiles or frowns. At the same time, let them be mindful of the career of Mr. White, with his black flag and no rfuarter. The deall Polonins was in Hamlet's pinase, at smpper. 'not where he eats, but where he is raten.' Shake$s_{1}$ ware, to Mr. White's thinking, in this wise expressed himsolf at the bust. and ileserves not only admination therefor, but to be imitaterk. 'TVhile tise ark wows lmilt,' 'while therark

[^11]urs. prepured,' writes Mr. White himself.* Shakespeare is commended for his ambignous is eaten, though in catiny or an catin! would have been not only correct in his day, but, where they woull have come in his sentence, unvocal. With equal reason a man would be entitled to commendation for tearing his mutton-chops with his fingers, when he might cut them $u_{i}$ with a knife and fork. '/s euten,' says Mr. White, 'does not mean las bren cuten.' Very true; but a continuous unfinished paesion-l'olonius's still undergoing manducation, to speak Johnsonese - was in shakespeare's mint; ant his words deseribe a passion no longer in generation. The King of Demmark's lord chamberlain harl no precedent in Herod, when 'he was euten of worms'; the original, yevóneros
 worm-eaten.'
"Having now done with Mr. White, I am anxions, before taking leave of him, to record, with all emphasis, that it would be the grossest injustice to write of his elegant 'Life and (ienius of Shakespeare,' a book which does credit t, American literature, in the tone which I have fomml mavoidable in dealing , with his 'Words and their Uses.'"

The student of English who has honestly weighed the arguments on both siles of the question, must, I believe, be of opinion that our language is the richer for having two forms for expressing the Progressive Passive. Further, he must, I believe, be of opinion that in very many eases he conforms to the most approved usage of our time by employing the old form; that, however, if he were to employ the old form in all cases, his meaning would sometimes be uncertain.

It. Cobbett discourses of this little nenter pronom in this wise: "The word it is the greatest trombler that I know of in limgruage. It is so small and convenient that few are * "'Words and their Cses,' p. 343."
careful enongh in using it. Writers seliom spare this word. Whenever they are at a loss for either a nominative or an objective to their sentence, they, withont any kind of ceremony, clap in an it. A very remarkahle instance of this pressing of poor it into actual service, contrary to the laws of grammar and of sense, occur's in a piece of composition, where we might, with justice, insist on correctness, This piece is on the suljeect of grammar; it is a piece written hy a Doctor of Dirinity and read ly him to students in grammar and language in an acalemy; and the very sentence that I am now about to quote is selectel by the author of a grammar as testimony of high anthority in favor of the excellence of his work. Surely, if correctness be ever to be expected, it must be in a casc like this. I allude to two sentences in the 'Charge of the Reverend Doctor Abercrombie to the Senior Class of the Philadelphia Academy, ' pullished in 1806; which sentences have been selected and published by Mr. Lindley Murray as a testimonial of the merit. of his grammar : and which sentences are by Mr. Murray given to us in the following words: "The unwearied exertions of this gentleman hare done more toward elncidating the obscurities and embellishing the structure of our language than any other writer on the subject. Such "rork has long been wanted, and from the success with which it is executed, can not be too highly appreciated.'
"As in the learned Doctor's opinion obscurities can be eluciriated. and as in the same opinion Mr. Murray is an able hand at this kind of work, it would not be amiss were the grammarian to try his skill upon this article from the hand of his dignified eulogist ; for here is, if one may use the expression, a constellation of obsenrities. Onr poor oppresserl it, which we find forced into the Doctor's service in the seend sentence, relates to 'such " urork,' though this work is nothing
that has an existence, notwithstanding it is said to be 'executed.' In the first sentence, the 'exertions' leecome, all of a sudden, a 'mriter': the exertions: have done more than 'any other writer'; for, mind yon, it is not the geutlomon that has done anything; it is "the racitions." that hare done what is said to be done. The word !fontleman is in the possessive case, ant has nothing to do with the action of the sentence. Let us give the sentence a turn, and the Doctor and the grammarian will hear how it will sonnd. 'This gentleman's exertions have done more than any othor mriter.' This is on a level with 'This gentleman's doy has killed more hares than any other sportsmon.' No donlt Doctor Abererombie meant to say, 'The exertions of this gentleman have done more than those of any other writer. such a work as this gentleman's has long been wanter; his work, seting the successful manner of its execution, can not be too highly eommended." Mernt! No doubt at all of that! And when we hear a Hampshire ploughboy say, 'Poll Cherrycheek have giv'd a thick handkecher;' we know very well that he merns to say, 'Poll Cherrycheek has giren me this hanlkerchief ; and yet we are too apt to lonugh at him and to call him igmoront; which is wrong, because he has no pretensions to a knowlerlge of grammar, ant he may be very skillful as a ploughboy. Howerer, we will not laugh at Doctor Abercromhie, whom I kuew, many years ago, for a very kind and worthy man. But, if we may, in any ease, he allowell to laugh at the ignorance of our fellow-creatures, that case certainly docs arise when we see a professerl grammarian, the anthor of vohminous precepts and examples on the sul,ject of grammar, prolucing, in imitation of the possessors of raluable medical secrets, testimonials rouching for the efficacy of his literary panacea, and when, in those testimonials, we fimd most flagrant instances of bad grammar.
"However, my dear James. let this strong and striking instance of the misuse of the worl it serve yon in the way of cantion. Never put an it upon paper withont thinking well of what you are about. When I see many its in a page, I always tremble for the writer.:"

Jeopardize. This is a modern word which we conld easily do withont. as it means neither more nor less than its vanerable progenitor to jropari, which is greatly preferred by all careful writers.

Just going to. Instead of "I am just yoing to go," it is better to say, "I am just chlout to go."

Kids. "This is another vile contraction. Habit blinds people to the unscomliness of a term like this. How would it soumd if one should speak of silk gloves as sills: ?"

Kind. Nee Polite.
Knights Templars. The name of this ancient borly has been adopterl by a branclo of the Masonic fraternity, but in a perverted form-Knights Tomplur: and this form is commonly seen in print, whether refersing to the oll knights or to their modern imitators. This donbtless is sthe to the erroneous impression that T'emplerr is an adjective, and so can not take the plural form ; while in fact it is a case of two nouns in apposition-a double designation-meaning Knights of the orter of Templars. Hence the plural should be Kinights Templars, and not huighls Templiri. Nembers of the contemporanerns order of Nt. John of Jerusalen were commonly called Knights Hospitallers.

Lady. To use the term locl?, whether in the singular or in the plural, simply to designate the sex, is in the worst possible taste. There is a kind of pin-feather gentility which seems to have a settled aversion to using the terms man and woman. (ientlemen and ladies establish their clatims to being called such by their beaing, and not by arrogating to them-
selves, eren inclircti!, the titles. In Enyland, the title Tadly is properly correlative to lorl: but there, as in this comtry, it is used as a term or complaisance, and is apruopriately applied to tromen whose lives are extmplary, and who have receivel that school and home education which enables them to al pear to alvantage in the better eircles of society. Such expressions as "'he is a fince lully, a clever ladl, a welldressed '(lri!!, a gooul lorl!, a modest lerly, a charitable lad!y, an amiable lurly, a bantsome lud!y, a tascinating lurly," and the like, are studionsly aroided by persons of refinement. Lorlies say, "we womm, the romen of America, women's apparel," and so on : reltur" women talk about "us lucties, the lurlics of America, lurliss" apparel," and so on. If a woman of culture ami refinement - in short, a lady-is compelled from any cause soever to work in a store, she is quite content to be called a sales-uconam: not so. howerer, with your yomng woman who. heing in a store, is in a better position than ererbefore. She, Heaven bless her ! boils with indignation if she is not denominated a sales lorly. Lady is ofter the proper term to nse, and then it womld be very improper to nse any other : but it is rery certain that the terms lurly and geatleman are least used by thuse persons who are most worthy of being designated by them. With a nice discrimination worthy of speeial notite, one of ourdaily papers recently said: " Miss Jemine Halstead. daughter of the proprietor of the 'Cincinnati Commercial,' is one of the most lrilliant young eromen in Ohin."

In a late number of the "Lomlun Queen" was the following: "The terms farlirs anl !fontlonen lecome in themselves volgarisns: when m sappicel, and the impoper application of the wrong tenm at the wrong time makes all the dhfference in the worlh to eans polite. Thns, calling a man a genllemon when he should be callerl a metn, or speaking of a man as a
mon when he should be spoken of as a !entleman: or alluding to a lady as a woman when she should be alluded to as a Joly, or speaking of a woman as a lotly when she should properly be termed a iroman. Tact and a sense of the titness of things decide these points, there being no fixed mete to go upon to determine when a man is a man or when he is a sentleman: and, although he is far oftener termed the one than the other, he does not therel)y lose his attributes of a gentleman. In common parlance, a man is always a mon to a man, and never a gentlomun; to a woman, he is oceasionally a mon and occasionally a !fontlemetn: but a man would far oftener term a woman a comun than he would term her a laily. When it man makes nse of an aljective in speaking of a lady, he almost invariably calls her a woman. Thus, he would say, 'I met in rather agreeable woman at dinne! la night'; but he wonld not say, 'I met an ag e ablele ledy' . Wox he might say, 'A lady, a friend of mine, told me,' etc., when he would not say, 'A romen, a friend of mine, trold me,' ete. - Again, a man would say, 'Which of the laties did yon take in to dimer?' He would certainly not say, 'Which of the romen,' etc.
"'peaking of people en mos.se, it would be to belong to a very altanced school to refer' to them in conversation as 'men and women,' while it would be all but vulgar to style them 'lanlies and gentlemen,' the compromise between the two being to speak of them as 'laties and men.' Thus a latly would say, 'I have askerl two or three ladies and several men'; she would not say. 'I have asked several! men and women'; neither would she say, 'I have asket several_ladies and gentlemen.' Aml, speaking of numbers, it would be very usual to say, 'There were a great many ladies, and but very few men present, or, 'The ladies were in the majority, so few men being present.' Agnia, a la ly would not say, 'I expect
two or three men, but she would say, 'I expect two or three gentlemen.' When perple are on ceremony with each other [one another], they might, perhaps, in speaking of a mast, call him a gentcman; but, otherwise, it woukd be more nsmal to speak of him as a man. Larlies, when speaking of each other [cne another], usnally employ the tem womun in preference to that of lady. Thus they would say, she is a very goodnatureil womnn.' 'What sort of a womun is she?' the term lady being entirely out of place under such circuanstances. Again. the term young locly gives place as far as possible to the term ! $/ i r \%$ although it greatly depents upon the amount o intimacy existing as to which term is employed."

Language. A note in Worcester's Dictionary says: "Langucue is a very general term, and is not strictly confimell to utterance by words, as it is also expressed by the comntemance, by the eyes, and by signs. Tonyue refers especially to an original language: as, the Hebrew ton!yue. The morlern languages are clerived from the original tongnee:." If this be correct, then he who speaks French, German, English, spanish, and Italian, may properly say that he speaks five lem!nages, but cnly one tongue.

Lay-Lie. Errors are frequent in the use of these tro irregular rerbs. Lay is often used for lie. and lie is sometimes used for luy. This confusion in their use is due, in some measure. doubtless, to the circmmstance that lay appears in both verbs, it bing the imperfect tense of to lie. We say, "A mason luys: bricks." "A ship lies at anchor," etc. "1 must lie down": "I must luy mỵself down": "I must lan! this book on the table "; "He lirs on the grass"; "He lug/s his plans well": "He luy on the grass"; "He luirl it away": "He has luin in betl long enongh"; "He has luid up some money," "in a stock," "llown the law"; "He is layiny ont the grounds"; "ships lic at the wharf"; "llens 'ay eggs":
"Trie shij) liy, at anchor": "The hen livil an egre." It will ine seen that lay always expresses transitive action, and that lie expresses rest.
"Here lies our sovereign lord, the king, Whose word no man relies on;
He never says a foolish thing,
Nor ever does a wise one."

- Written on the healchamber doot of Charles II. by the Earl of Rochester.

Learn. This verh was long ago usel as a synomym of fench, but in this sense it is now obzolete. To ternl/ is to give instruction; to learn is to take instruction. "I will lerr"n, if you will teuch me." See Teacin.

Leave. There are gramm:urians who insist that this verb should not be userl without an object, as, for example, it is usel in sueh sentences as "When do you leare?" "1 leave to-morrow." The object of the verl-home, town, or whatever it may le-is, of course, understood; lont this, say these gentlemen, is not permissible. On this point opinions will, 1 think, differ; they will, however, not differ with regard to the rulgarity of using leore in the semse of let; thus, "Lerre me be"; "Leure it alone": "Lectre her be-don't bother her "; "Leutre me see it."

Lend. see Loss.
Lengthy. This word is of comparatively recent origin, and, though it is said to be an Americmism, it is a goon deal use in England. The most careful writers, howerer, hoth here and elsewhere, much prefer the word long: "a lon!g discussion," "a lomy discourse," etc.

Leniency. Mr. (ionll calls this worl and lenience "two philological abrtions." Lerity is undonbtedly the proper word to use, thourgh both Webster aud Worcester do recognize leniency and leniencr.

Less. This word is much used insteal of fener. Less relates to quantity: femo to number. Insteal of, "There were not less than twenty persons present," we should say, "There were not fewer than twenty persons present."

Lesser. This form of the comparative of little is accomuted a comption of less. It may, howerer, be used instead of les.s with propriety in verse, and alsu, in some catses, in prose. We may say, for example, "Of two evils choose the less.," or "the leser.". The latter form, in sentences like this, is the more euphonions.

Liable. Richarl (irant White, in inveighing against the misuse of this word, cites the example of a member from a rural district, who called out to a man whom he met in the village, where he was in the habit of making little purchases: "I say, mister, kin yer tell me whar I'd be li"hle to find some beans?" Nee, also, Apt.

Lie. See Lay.
Like-As. Both these words express similarity ; like (arljective) comparing things, ". (adverb) comparing action, existence, or quality. Like is followed by an object only, and dues not admit of a rerb) in the same construction. As must be followed by a rerb expressed or understood. We say, "He looks lilie his hrother." or "He looks "s, his hrother looks." "1ho $/$.s I do," not "like I do." "Lou must speak as James does," not "like James dres." "He died tr.s he had lived, like a dog." "It is as blue as imago"; i.e., "as indigo is."

Like, To. See Love.
Likely. Ne APt.
Lit. This form of the past purticiple of the verb to liyht is now obsolete. "Have you lighthl the fire:" "The gas is lighted." Het for heuted is a similar, but much greater, vulgarism.

Loan－Lend．There are those who contend that there is no such rerh，as to loun，althongh it has been fomm in our literature for more than three humbed years．Whether there is properly such a verb or not，it is ruite eertain that it is only those having a rulgar penchom for big words who will prefer it to its symonym／rml．Better far to say＂Lenl me your umbrella＂than＂Loom me your umbrella．＂

Locate－Settle．The use of the vert，lo locule in the sense of to seltle is saill to be an Americanism．Althongh the dictionaries recognize to locute as a neuter verb，as such it is maked＂rarely used，＂and，in the sense of to setfir，it is among the rulgarisms that careful speakers and writers are studions to aroid．A man sellles，not locules，in Nebraskia． ＂Where do you intend to settle？＂not locall．Sce，also， Settle．

Loggerheads．＂In the mean time France is at loymme－ heads internully．＂－．＂New Lork Herall，＂April ：29，1ssi． Loggerheads internully！！

Looks beautifully．It is sometimes interesting to note the difference between rulyfo bad grammar and ！fonicel bad grammar，or，more properly，between non－painstaking and painstaking bad grammar．The former uses，for example， adjectives instead of adverbs ；the latter uses alverbs iustead of adjectives．The former says，＂This bomet is trimmed shockin，y＂；the latter says，＂This bonnet looks shorkin！yl！．＂ In the first sentence the epithet qualiiies the verls is frimmed， and consequently should have its adverhial form－shorhingly； in the second sentence the epithet qualities the appouronce－ a moun－of the bomet，ani conserfuntly shomd have its ad－ jectival form－shorkin！．The second sentence means to say， ＂This bonnct presents a shocking apperrance．＂The bonnet eertainly does not really look；it is looked at，and to the looker its appearance is shorking．So we say，in like manner，of a
person, that he or she looks sreet, or charming, or hecuutiful, or hemrlsome, or horvid, or graceful, or timid, and sn on, always using an inljective. "Miss Coghlan, as Lady Teazle, ookel cherminyly." The grammar of the "New York Heralil" would not hare been any more incorrect if it had said that Miss Coghlan looked gladly, or sully, or mul'y, or delightedly, or plectiselly. A person may look sick or sick:ly, but in both cases the qualifying word is an arljective. The verbs to smell, to fiel, to somm, anl to appear are also found in sentences in which the qualifying worl must be an adjective aul not an adverb. We say, for example, " The rose smells whet"; "The butter smells goort. or bat, or fitsh"; "I feel !?lial, or seal, or bat?, or despondent. or annoyerl, or nerrou:": "This construction sounds harsh": "How deliyhtful the conntry appears !"

On the other hand, to look, to fite', to smell, to somme, and to "fpern are fomm in sentences where the qualifying word must be an adrerb; this, "He feels his loss lisenly": "The king looked !nverionsly on her"; " I smell it duintly." We might alsu say, "Ille feels sun" [arljective], because he feels his loss leenly" (alverb); "He appears u: ll" (atcerb).

The expression, " She sefmed ronfiuselly, or fimidly," is not a whit more incorrect than "she lookal leautijully, or churmingly," See Adjectives.

Love-Like. Men who are at all carefnl in the selection of language to express their thoughts, and have not an undue leaning towarl the superlative, lore few things: their wives, their sweethearts, their kinsmen, truth, justice, and their country. Women, on the contrary: as a mle, love a multitude of things, amt, among their loves, the thing they perlaps lore must is-tatty.

Luggage Baggage. The former of these words is generally used in England, the latter in America.

Lunch. This word, when used as a substantive, may at the lest le accominted an inelegant abbreviation of lumeheon. The dictionaries barely recognize it. The proper phaseology to use is, "Have yon lunched." or, "Hare you had your lmuchoon?" or, better, "Hate you had lmacheon?" as we may in most eases presuppose that the person addressed would hardly take anylody's else lmncheon.

Luxurious-Iuxuriant. The line is drawn mach more sharply between these two worls now than it was formerly. Luxurions was once nsed, to some extent at least, in the sense of runk growth, lont now all careful writers and speakers use it in the sense of indulging or delighting in luxury. We talk of a luxurious till, e, a luxurious liver, luxurious ease, luxurious freedom. Laxmiant, on the other hand, is restricted to the sense of rank, or e.ccessire, growth or production: thas, lurwriont weeds, luxuri int foliage or branches, luxuriant growth.
"Prume the luxuriunt, the unconth refine,
But show no mercy to an empty line."-Pope.
Mad. Professor Richard A. Proctor, in a recent number of "The Gentleman's Magazine," says: "The word mul in America seems nearly always to mean umyry. For mul, as we use the word, Americans say crazy. Herein they have manifestly impaired the language." Have they?
"Now, in faith, Gratiano,
You give your wife too mkind a canse of gricf ;
An twere to me, I would be moul at it."
—"Merchant of Tenice."
"And being exceedingly mal against them, I persecuted them even unto strange cities."-Acts xxri, 2.

Make a visit. The phrase "make a visit," according to Dr. Hall, whatever it once was, is no longer English.

Male, See Female,

Marry. There has bern some disenssion, at one time and another, with lembll to the use of thisw $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{l}}$. Is John Jonez
 mavele to exch outher? In tim xeh as the woman loses her name in that of the man to w'som she is wed led, and beenmes a menber of his fandy. $n$ t he of has-iarsmuch as, with few exceptions, it is her lie that is msel in his-it woall sem that, properly, Sully B:own is muriel to John Jones, and that this wonld be the propse way to make the amonncement of their having been werlela anl not John Jones to Sally Brown.

There is also a difference of opinion as to whether the active or the passive form is preferable in refercing to a person's weldel state. In speaking defnitely of the act of marriage, the passive form is necessarily usen with reference to either spouse. "Jolm Jones was marrie l to Sally B :own on Dee. 1, 1851 '; not, ' 'John Jones muried Sally Brown' ou such a date, for (muless they were Quakers) soms third person marriel him to her ant her to him. But. in speaking indefinitely of the firt of marriage, the active form is a matter of course. "Whom did John Jones mury"." "He marie l Sally Brown." ".John Jones, when he h.t 1 sown his will oats, married [marriel himself, as the French say ${ }^{\circ}$ ] and settled down." Got married is a rulgarism.

May. In the sense of com, muy, in a negative clause, has become obsolete. "Though we mays say a horse, we may not say a ox." The first $m$ ty here is permissible ; not so, however, the second, which should be ram.

Meat. At table, we ask for aml offer heef, mutton, verl, steak, turkey, luck, ete., and (k) not ask for no offo: In $t^{\prime}$, which, to say the leat, is inele gant. "Will y u sure [ 1 t, take] another piece of beef [not, of tie beef]?" nut, "Will you have another piece of ment?"

Memorandum. The phural is memomoulu, except when the singular means a book: then the plural is memoremtums.

Mere. This word is not mefrequently misplacel, and sometimes, as in the following sentence, in consequence of being misplaced, it is changer to an adverb: "It is true of men as of God, that words merely meet with no response." What the writer evidently intended to say is, that mere words meet with no response.

Metaphor. An implied comparison is called a metaphor; it is a more terse form of expression than a simile. Take, for example, this sentence from Spenser's "Philosophy of Style": "As, in passing throngh the crystal, beams of white light are decomposed into the colors of the rainbow; so, in traversing the soul of the poet, the colorless rays of trath are transformed into brightly-tinted poetry." Expressed in metaphors, this becomes: "The white light of trnth, in traversing the many-sided, transparent soul of the poet, is refracted into iris-hued poetry."

Worcester's definition of a metaphor is: "A fignre of speech founded on the resemblance which one oljject is supposed to bear, in some respect, to another, or a figure by which a word is transferred from a subject to which it properly belongs to another, in shch a mamer that a compurison is implied, though not formally expressed ; a comparison or simile comprised in a word; as, "Thy word is a lanp to my eet.'" A metuphor differs from a ximile in being expressed without any sign of comparison; thas, "the silver moon" is a metaphor: "the noon is bright as silver" is a simile. Examples:
"But look, the morn, in risset mantle clat, Walks o er the dew of yon high eastern hill."
"Canst thou not minister to a mind diseasedPluck from the memory a rooted sorrow?"

## "At length Erasmus

Stemined the wild torrent of a barbarons age,
And drove those holy Vandals off the stage."
"Censure is the tax a man pays to the pnblic for being eminent."

Metonymy. The rhetorical finure that puts the effect for the cause, the cause for the effect, the container for the thing contained, the sign, or symbol, for the thing signified, or the instrument for the agent, is called metonymy.
"One very common species of metonymy is, when the badge is put for the office. Thus we say the miter for the priesthond; the croon for royalty ; for military occupation we say the sword ; and for the literary professions, those especially of theology, law, and physic, the common expression is the gown."-Camplell.

Dr. Quackenlos', in his "Course of Composition and Rhetoric," says: "Metonymy is the exchange of names between things relaterl. It is founderl, not on resemblance, but on the relation of, 1, Cause and effect: as, 'They have Moses and the prophoti,' i.e., tleeir writings; 'Gray hairs shonld be respected,' i. e., old ugf. 2. Progenitor and posterity; as, 'Hear, O Israel!' i. e., descemlunts of listuel. 8. subject and attribute ; as, 'Youth and bectuty shall be laid in dnst,' i. e., the yom? and berontiful. 4. Place and inhabitant: as, 'What lund is so barbarons as to allow this injustice?' i.e., what people. 5. Container and thing contained; as, 'Our ships next opened fire,' i.e., our salors. 6. Sigo and thing signified; as, 'The scepter shall not depart from Judah,' i.e., kingly power. 6. Material and thing mate of it; as, 'His sterl gleamed on high.' i. e., his sirorr."
"Petitions hawing proverl unsuccessful, it was determined to approach the throne more !obllly."

Midst, The. See In otr Mnst.

Fixind-Capricious. "Low" Nalishury"s mind is rifri
 Mind.

Misplaced Clauses. In writing and speaking, it is as important to give cach clanse its proper place as it is to place the worle properly. The following are a few instanes of misplaced clanses and inljunets: "All these eircumstaners brought close to us a state of thines whinch we never thomght to have witnessed [to witne*s] in peaceful Englamt. I/" the sister istrall, indeel, we hud reenl of such hirrors, but now they were brought home to ond wery honseholl hearth."-Swift. Better: "We had reat, inteed, of sucl horrors occurring in the sister island," cte.
"The sarage people in many places in America, except the govermment of families, have no govemment at all, and live at this day in that savage mamer as I have sail before." -Hobles. Better": "The savage people . . . in America have no govermment at all, except the govermment of fammies," ete.
"I shall have a comerly for yon, in a season or two at farthert, that I lelieve will lie worth jour acceptance." dioldemith. Bentered: "In a season or two at farthest, I sliall have a ecmely for you that I believe will he worth your acceptance.'

Among the following cxamples of the wrong placing of words and clauses, there are some that are as amusing as they are instructive: "This orthog apliy is regateal as nommal in Ligfomel." What the writer intenderl was, "in England as ucrumel"-a vely diferent tlionght. "Tie Nomal scheol is a commodious building capable of accommadating thrce hunerrd stuc.cnts fomr sioties ligh." "Horsekeeper.-A hif lily res lectable midderated Person who has lieen filling the alowe bituation with a gent?cnan fir upwat?s of eleven
years and who is now deceased is anxions to meet a similar one." "Tu Piano-Furte Makeri.- - A lady keeping a tirstclass school reguiring a good piano, is slesitous or receiving a daughter of the above in exchange for the same." "The Moor. seizing a boister boiling orer with rage and jealousy, smothers her." "The Dying Zonase the most womlerful mechanical representation ever seen of the last breath of life being shot in the breast and life's blood learing the wounk." "Mr. T-_ presents his compliments to Mr. H-_, anıl I have got a hat that is not his, and, if he have a hat that is not yours, no doubt they are the expectint ones." See Oxly.

Misplaced Words. "Of all the faults to be fomm in writing," says Cobbett, "this is one of the most common, and perhaps it leads to the greatest number of misconceptions. All the words may be the proper words to be used upoa the ocersiou, and yet, by a mixpluring of a part of them, the meaning may be wholly destroyed; anl even made to be the eoutrary of what it ought to he."
"I askel the question with no other iutention than to set the gentleman free from the necessity of silence, and to give him an opportunity of mingling on equal terms with a polite assembly from which, hom rer unea:\%, he could not then escolpe, by a kind introduction of the only subject on whieh I believed him to be able to speak with propriety." Di: Johnson.
"This," says Cobbett, " is a very bad sentence altogether. "Hourerer' uneasy' applies to cessombly and not to genllemen. Only observe how easily this might have been avoidtd. From which he, howerer unerrsy, could unt then escape.' After this we have, 'he could not then esonf", b!y " kind introntuction. We know what is mernt ; but the Iroctor, with all his rommus, leaves the sentence confusen. Let us see whether we can not make it clear. 'I askel the question with no
other intention than, by a kind introluction of the only subject on which I helievel him to be able to speak with propriety, to set the gentleman free from the necessity of silence, and to give him in opportunity of mingling on equal termy with a polite assembly from which he, however meagy, could not then escape.'"
"Reason is the glory of haman nature, and one of the chief eminences wherely we are raised above our fellowcreatures, the brutes, in this lower world."-Doctor Watts' "Logic."
"I have hefore showell an error," Cobbett remarks, "in the first sentence of Doctor Watts" work. 'This is the second sentence. The words in this lowre world are not words mispheced only; they are wholly unecessatery, and they do great harm ; for they do these two things: first, they imply that there are brutes in the higher, world ; and, second, they excite a doubt whether we ure raisel ahove those brutes.
"I might greatly extent the mmber of my extracts from these authors; but here. I trust, are enough. I had noterl down about two hembied errurs in Dr. Johnson's 'Lives of the Pocts' ; but, afterward pereeising that he hal revised and eorrected 'The Rambles' with cxtroordinary care, I chose to make my extracts from that work rather than from the 'Lives of the Poets.: "

The position of the adverb, should be as near as possible to the word it iqualifies. Sometimes we place it before the auxiliary and sometimes after it, according to the thonght we wish to express. The lifference between "The fish should properly be broiled" and "The fish should be properly broiled" is apparent at a glance. "The colou may be properly used in the following cases": should he, "may properly be used." "This mote of expression ruther suits a familiar than it grave style": should lee, "suits a familian mhlur than a
grave style." "It is a frequent error in thr mitings ecen of some gool anthors": should be, "in the writings of exp some yoorl authors." "Both the circumstances of contingency and futurity are nccessary": should be, "The circun:stances of contingency and futurity are hoth necessary." "He has made charges . . . which he has failed utterly to sustain." "Ner Iork Tribune." Here it is uncertain at first sight which rert, the adverb is intented to qualify; but the nature of the case makex it probable that the writer meant "has utterly failed to sustain."

Mistaken. "If I am not mistakon, you are in the wrong": say, "If I mistrkle not." "I tell yon, you are mist thon." Here mistuk $\cdot n$ means, "You are wrong: you do not understand"; but it might lee taken to mean, "I mistulie !rou"" For "you are mivtukpn," say, "you zwistake." If, as Horace and I'refessor Davidson awer, usage in langnage makes right, then the grammariansoaght long ago to have inventel sume theory upo i which the locution you are mistalen could be defended. Until they do invent such a theory, it will be better to say you mist che, lie mistrtien, and so on: or you ure, or he in-as the case may be-in rrour.

More perfect. such expressions as, "the more perfect of the two," "the mont perfect thing of the kind I have ever seen," "the most complete cooking-stove ever iuvented," and the like, can not be defended logically, as nothing can be more perfect than perfection, or more complete than completeness. Still such phrases arc, and probably will continue to be, used by gool writers.

Most. "Everybody abuses this word," says Mr. Gomld in his "Good English"; anl then, in another paragraph, he allis: "If a man would cross ont movt wherever he can find it in any book in the Eaglish language, he woald in almost every instance inprove tic s'yl of the bouk." Tuat this
statement may appear within homms, he gives many examples from $f, y z$ ththor-, some of which are the fullowing: "a most profoturd sileace"; "a inst just idlea"; "a most complete orator": "this was mos extrandinary"; "an oljject of m,st perfest esteem"; "a mst extmsive erulition": "he gave it most liberally away"; "it is, mont assuredly. not hecause I value his services least": "would most seriomsly affect us"; "that such a system must most wilely an $l$ most powerfully, ". etc.; "it is mont effectually naile l to the connter"; " it is most undenial, le that." etc.

This word is much, and vers erroneonsly, used for almost. "He comes here most every day:" The user of such a sentence as this mans to say that he comes urroly every daty, but he runt!! sinys, if he says anything, that he comes more every day than he iloes every night. In such sentenees almosit, and not $m$ ost, is the word to use.

Mutual. Tinis worl is mach misusel in the phase "our mutmel frie:d." Macaulay says: "Mrumb friend is a low rulsarism for common friend." Muruel properly relates to two persons, and implies reciprocity of sentiment-sentiment. be it what it may, receivel and retarned. Tinus, we say properly, $\cdots$ John anl James howe a mutucl affection, or a mufnal aversion," i.e., they like or dislike each other; or, "John and James are mutully dependent," i. e., they ate depentent on each other. In usiug the word muturl, care should be taken not to ald the worls for earle other or ou eth othor, the thought conveyel by these worls being alrealy expressed in the word mutuc". "Dependent on exch other" is the exact equisalent of "mutually dependent"; hence, saying that Johu and James are m"turly depen lent on each oftor is as relunlat in form as it wonl be to say that the elitns of "Tne lireat Vilifier" are the biggest, greatest mulslingers in America.

Myself. This form of the per:omal pronoun is properly useif in the nominative calee only where incruavel (mphasis is aimerl a.t.

> "I had as lief not be a live to be In awe of such a thing as I myself:"
"I will do it mysurf?", "I saw it my/s/f." It is, therefore, incorrect to say, "Mrs. Brown and myself were hoth very much pleased."

Name. This worl is sometimes improperly used for mention; thus. "I never nommal the matter to any one": should he, "I never mrationed the matter to any one."

Neighborhood, Nee Vicisity.
Neither. See Either.
Neither-Nor. '• He wonld meither give wine, nor oil. nor money."-Thackeray. The conjunction should be placen before the excluded object: "neither gies" implies neither some ther rorl, a meaning not intenderl. Re-arrange thins, taking all the eommon parts of the contracted sentences together: "He would give mither wine, nor oil, nor money." so, "She can urither help her beanty, wor her courage nor her cruelty" (Thackeray), shonld be, "she can help neither;" cte. "He hat nrithrr time to intercept nor to strop her" (S'cott), shomll Jre, "He hal time urither to intercept," ete. "Some mithrr can for wits nor critics pass" (Pope), should be, "Sone can neither for wits nor critics pass."

Never. (irammariais differ with regard to the correct ness of msing nerer in sucle sentences as. "He is in error, thongh nerer so wise," "Charm he nerre so wisely." In seutences like these, to sity the least, it is better, in common with the great majority of writers, to use cere.

Tiew. This aljective is often misplaced. "He has a new suit of clothes and a ure pair of gloves." It is not the suit and the puir that are new, hut the rlofloses and the !plores.

Nice. Archneacon Hare remarks of the use, or rather misuse, of this word: "That stupid vulgarism by which we use the word nier to denote almost every mode of approbation, for almost every variety of quality, and, from sheer porerty of thought, or fear of saying anything definite, wap up everything indiscriminately in this characterless domino, speaking at the sume bre th of a nice cheese-cake, a nice tragedy, a mice sermon, a nice day, a nice country, as if a universal deluge of miaiserie-for nice seems originally to have been only nicis-had whelmed the whole island." Nice is as good a word as any other in its place, but its place is not everywhere. We talk very properly about a mice distinction, a nice discrimintion, a nice calculation, a nice point, and about a person's leeing nice, and over-nice, and the like; but we certainly ought not to talk about "Othello's" being a nice tragedy, abont Salvini's being a nice actor, or New York bay's being a nice harbor.*

Nicely. The very quintessence of popinjay rulgarity is reached when mirely is made to do serviee for well, in this wise: "How do you do?" "Vicely." "How are yon?" "Nicely."

No. This word of megation is responded to by nor in sentences like this: "Let your meaning he obscure, and no grace of diction nor any music of well-turned sentences will make amends."
"Whether he is there or no." Supply the ellipsis, and we have, "Whether he is there or no there." Clearly, the wurd to use in sentences like this is not no, but not. And yet our best writers sometimes inadrertently use no with whether.

[^12]Example: "But perhaps some people are quite indifferent whether or no it is said," etc.-Richard Grant White, in "Words and Their Cses," p. 84. Supply the ellipsis, and we have, "said or no saill." In a little book entitled "Live and Learn," I find, "No less than fifty persons were there; No ferrer," etc. In correcting one mistake, the writer himself makes one. It shonld be, "Jot fewer," etc. If we ask, "There were fifty persons there, were there or were there not?" the reply clearly would be, "There were not fewer than fifty." "There was no one of them who would not have been prond," ete., should be, "There was not one of them."

Not. The correlative of not, when it stands in the first member of a sentence, is nor or neither. "Not for thy ivory nor thy gold will I unbind thy chain." "I will not do it, meither shall you."

The wrong placing of not often gives rise to an imperfect negation; thus, "John and James were not there," means that John and James were not there in compuny. It does not exclude the presence of one of them. The negative should precerle in this case: "Neither John nor James was there." "Our company was not present" (as a company, but some of us might have beeu), should be, "No member of onr company was present.'

Not but only. "Errors frequently arise in the use of not-mbut only, to muderstand which we must attend to the force of the whole expression. 'He did not pretend to extirpate French music, but onl!, to cultivate and civilize it.' Here the not is obviously misplaced. 'He pretended, or professed, not to extirpate.' "-Bam.

Notorious. Though this word can not be properly used in any but a lad sense, we sometimes see it used instead of notect, which may be used in either a good or a bad sense. Notorious characters are always persons to be shmoed,
whereas motel characters may mo mat be persons to be shumes.
"This is the tax a mon must pay for his virtues-they hold up a torch to his vices amb rember those fralties notorious in hins which wonlil pass withont observation in another." -Lacon.

Novice. See Amuterr.
Number. It is not an uncommon thing for a promoun in the plaral number to le usel in connection with an antecerlent in the singular. At present, the following notice may be scen in some of our Broadway omnibnses: "Fifty dollars seward for the conviction of any person caught collecting or keeping fares given to them to deposit in the box." Should be, to him. "A person may be very newr-sightel if they can not recognize an acyuaintance ten fect off." Should he, if he.

The verly to be is often used in the siugular instead of in the plural: thus, "There is several reasons why it would be better": say, ar". "How many is there?" say, are. "There is four": say, "re. "Wrus there many"" say, were. "No matter how many there uras": say, mpre.

A verb should agree in ummber with its subject, and not with its predicate. We say, for example, "Weath is the sages of sim," and "The wages of sin "re death."
" When singnlar nomns connected lis aud are precedel by each, arery, or no, the rerls mast be singulia." We say, for example, "Buch boy and euch girl studles." "Ever"y leaf, and exerytwis, and werty drop of water teems with life." "So book and no paper mas arrangerl."

Euch heing singular, at promon or verb to agree with it must also be singular" thus, "Let them depend each on his own exertions"; "Each sity has its peculiar privileges"; "Everybody has a right to look after his own interest."

Errors are often the result of not repeating the verb;
thus, "Its significunce is ans raried as the presions"; correctly, "as are the passions." "The words are as incapable of analysis as the thing signilied"; eorrectly, "as is the thing signified."

Observe. The dictionaries authorize the use of this wort as a syuonym of st!! and remark: as. for example, "What did you ohs ree?" for "What dirl you st!!, or remaili?" In this sense, howerer, it is better to leave obsere to the exclusive use of those who delight in beins fine.

O'clock. "It is a ruarter to ten oclosk." What does this statement mean, literally? We mulerstumbl by it that it laeks a quarter of ten, i. e., of being ten: but it does not really mean that. Inasmuch as to means toward, it really means a quarter after nine. We should say, then, a quarter of $f$, which, means, literally, a quarter out of ten.

Of all others. "The viee of covetousness, of all others, enters deepest into the soul." This sentence says that covetousness is one of the other vices. A thing ean not be cthother thing, nor can it be one of a nmmber of other things. The sentence should be, "Of all the rices, covetousness enters deepest into the soul"; or, "The vice of covetonsness, of all the rices, enters," etc.; or, "The riee of covetonsness, above all others, enters," etc.

Of any. This phrase is often used when of all is meant; thus, "This is the largest of uny I have seen." Should be, "the largest of all," ete.

Off of. In such sentenees as, "Crive me a yaral off of this pieee of ealico," either the off or the of is vulganly superfluons. The sentence would le correct with either one, hut not with both of them. "The apples fell off of the tree": read, "fell off the tree."

Often. This adrerb is properly compared by changing its termination: often, oftener, oftenest. Why some writers
nise more and most to compare it，it is not easy to see ：this mode of eomparing it is certainly not euphonious．

Oh O．It is only the most careful writers who use these two interjections with proper－diserimination．The distinc－ tion between them is saill to le modern．Oh is simply an exelamation，and should always be followed ly some mark of punctuation，usually by an exclamation point．＂Oh ！you are come at last．＂＂Oh，help him，you sweet heavens！＂ ＂Oh，woe is me ！＂＂oh ：I die，Horatio．＂（ $)$ in addition to leing an exclamation，denotes a calling to or aljuration ： thms，＂Hear，$O$ heavens，and give ear，$O$ earth ！＂＂O grave， where is thy victory！＂＂O heavenly powers，restore him ！＂ ＂$O$ shame！where is thy bhush ？＂

Older－Elder．＂He is the older man of the two，and the oldest in the neighborhood．＂＂He is the elder of the two sons，and the eldest of the family．＂＂The cleler son is heir to the estate：he is oldco than his brother by ten years．＂

On to．We get on a chair，on an omibus，on a stump， and on a spree，and not on to．

One．Certain pronoms of demonstrative signification are called indefinite because they refer to no particular suls－ jeet．This is one of them．If we were putting a supposition by way of argument or illustracion，we might say，＂ふ：＂plose $I$ were to lose my way in a wood＂；or，＂suppose ！ou were to lose your way in a wool＂：or，＂suppose one were to lose one＇s way in a wood．＂All these forms are used，but，as a rule，the last is to be preferred．The first verges on egotism， and the second makes free with another＇s person，whereas the third is indifferent．＂If ome＇s honesty were impeacherl，what should one do：＂is more courtly than to take either one＇s self or the person addressed for the example．
one should be followed by one，and not by he．＂The better acquainted one is with any kind of rhetorical trick，the
less liable he is to be misled by it." Should be, "the less liable one is to be misled by it."

In the phrase, "any of the little ones," one is the numeral employed in the manner of a pronoun, by indicating something that has gone before, or, perhaps, has to come aiter. "I like peaches, but I must have a ripe one, or ripe ones."

Professor Bain says, in his "Composition Grammar ":
"This pronoun continually lands writers in difficulties. Fnglish idiom requires that, when the pronoun has to be again referred to, it should be used itself a second time. The cotrect usage is shown by Pope: 'Une may be ashamed to consume half one's days in bringing sense and rhyme together.' It would be aggainst idtiom to say 'half his days.'
"still, the repetition of the pronoun is often felt to be heary, and writers have recourse to rarions sulustitutions, Even an ear accustomed to the idiom can scarcely accept with unmixed pleasure this instance from Browning :
"'Alack : one lies onearly

Even in the stating that one's end was truth, Truth ouly, if one states so much in words.'
"The representative ' $I$ ' or 'we' occasionally acts the part of 'one.' The following sentence presents a curious alternation of 'w'e' with 'one'-possibly not accidental (George Eliot) : 'It's a desperately vexatious thing that, after all one's reflections and quiet determinations, we should be ruled by moods that one can't calculate on beforehand.' By the use of 'we' here, a more pointed reference is suggested, while the vagueness actuaily remains.
" Fenimore Cooper, like Scott, is not very particular : an example may be quoted: ' Modesty is a poor man's wealth; but, as we grow sulstantial in the world, patroon, one can afford to begin to speak truth of himself as well as of his neighbour: Were Cooper a careful writer, we might per-
suade ourselves that he chose 'we' and 'one' with a purpose: 'we' might indicate that the speaker had himself and the patroon directly in his eye, although at the same time he wanted to put it generally; and 'ons' might hint that modesty sncceeler in getting the better of him. But 'himself' and 'his' would alone show that snch speculations are too refined for the occasion.
"'The form 'a man,' which was at one time common, seems to be reviving. In 'Adam Belle' we have, ' $A$ man can never do anything at variance with his own mature.' We might substitute ' one.'
"' Men' was more frequent in good writing formerly than now. 'Neither do men light a candle, and put it under a bushel.' 'Do men gither grapes of thorns?' Hume is fond of expressing a general subject by 'men.'
"'Small birds are mnch more exposed to the cold than large ones.' This usage is hardly 'indefinite'; and it needs no further exemplification."

Only. This word, when used as an adjective, is more frequently misplaced than any other word in the language. Indeed, I am confident that it is not correctly placed half the time, either in conversation or in writing. Thns, "In its pages, papers of sterling merit [only] will only appear " (Miss Braddon); "Things are getting dull down in Texas; they only shot [only] three men down there last week"; "I have only got [only] three." Only is sometimes improperly used for excent or mentess; thus, "The trains will not stop only/ when the bell rings." The meaning here is clearly "except when the bell rings."

Dr. Buin, in his " Higher English Grammar," speaking of the order of words, says:
"The word requiring most attention is only.
"According to the position of only, the same words may be male to express very different meanings.
"'He onl!/ lived for their sakes." Here only must be held as qualifying '/irod for their sakes,' the emphasis being on firod, whe word immeliataly a ljoining. The meaning then is 'he lired,' but did not oork, did not die, did not do any other thing for their sakes.
"'He lived only for their sakes.' Unly now qualifies 'for their sakes,' and the sentence means he lived for this one reason, namely, for their sakes, and not for any other reason.
"'He lived for their sakes only.' The force of the word when placed at the end is peculiar. Then it often has a diminutive or disparaging signification. "He lived for their sakes, and not for any more wortliy reason. 'He gave sixpence on'y,' is an insiunation that more was expected.
"By the use of alone, insteal of only, other mennings are expresserl. 'He alone lived for their sakes'; that is, he, and nobod!! else, did so. 'He livel for their sakes alone,' or, 'for the silke of them alone'; that is, not for the sake of any other persons. 'It was alone by the help of the Confederates that any such design coukl be carried out.' Better ouly.
" When men grow rirtunus in their old age, they only make a sacrifise to God of the devil's leavings.'- Pope. Here only s rightly place. . Taink on'y of the past as its remembrauce gives you pleasure,' shoull be, 'think of the past, only as its renumbrance,' etc. 'As he did not leave his name, it was only known that a gentleman had called on business': it was known only. 'I can only refute the accusation by laying before you the whole': this wonld mean, 'the only thing I am able to do is to refnte; I may not retaliate, or let it drop, I must refure it.' 'The negroes are to appear at chureh only iu boots'; that is, when the wegroes go to church they are to have no clothing but bonts. "The negmes are to appear onl!
at church in bor, ts' might mean that they are not to appear anywhere but at church, whether in loots ov out of themr. The proper arrangement wond be to connect the adverbial adjunot, in boots, with its verl, uppear, and to make only fualify' ct cluerch and no nure : 'the negroes are to appear in boots ouly at elmurch.' "

It thus appears very plair that we should look well to our onlys.

Ought-Should. These two words, though they botk imply olligation, should not be used indiscriminately. Ou!fht is the stronger term; what we ought to do, we are morally bound to do. We ough to be trinthful and honest, and should be respectful to onr elders and kind to our inferiors.

Overflown. Flown is the past participle of to fly , and flowed of to flow. As, therefore, a river does not fly over its banks, but flows over them, we should say of it that it has overflowed, and not that it has overfown.

Overly. This word is now used only by the unschooled, Owing. See Def.
Pants. This abbreviaticie is not used by those who are careful in the choice of worls. The purist does not use the word pantuloons even, lut trousers. Pants are worn hy gents who eat lunches and open wine, and trousers are worn by gentlemen who eat lunchoons and order wine.

Paraphernalia. This is a law tem. Ir Roman law, it meant the goorls which a woman brought to her husband besides hir dowry. In English law, it means the goorls which a woman is allowed to have after the leath of her husband, besides her dower, consisting of her apparel and ornaments suitable to her rank. When used in speaking of the affairs of every-day life, it is generally misused.

Parlor. This worl, in the sense of drouring-room, according to Dr. Hall, except in the United States and some of the English colonies, is ohsolete.

Partake. This is a very fine word to use for eat; just the worl for young women who hobble on Freach heels.

Partially -Partly. "It is only purtially done." This ase of the adrerbs parlially is sanetionel loy high authority, but that floes not make it correct. A thing done in part is partly, not partially, done.

Participles. When the present participle is used substantively, in sentences like the following, it is preceded by the definite article and followed by the preposition of. The smittiag of the preposition is a common error. Thas, "Or, it is the drucing a conelusion which was before either unknown or dark," should be, "the drawing of a conclusion." " Prompted by the most extreme ranity, he persisted in the writing bad rerses," should be, "in writing bad rerses," or "i in the writing of bad rerses." "There is a misuse of the article a which is rery common. It is the using it before the word most."-Moon. Most writers would hive said "the using $\theta f$ it." Mr. Moon argues for his construction.

Particles. "Nothing but study of the best writers and practice in composition will enable ns to decide what are the prepositions and conjurctions that ought to go with certain verhs. The following examples illustrate some common blunders :
"' ' It was characterized with eloguence : read, 'by.'
"' A testimonial of the merits of his grammar': read, "to. ${ }^{\prime}$
"'It was an example of the love to form comparisons': read, 'of forming.'
"'Repetition is always to be preferred before obscurity': read, 'to.'
"' He made an effort for meeting them ': reail, 'to meet.'
"' They have no other object but to come': read, 'other abject than,' or omit 'other.'
"Two vellos are not unfrequently followed ly a single preposition, which accords with one only ; e.g., 'This duty is reperted and inculcated upou the reater." 'Repeat upon" is nonsense; we must read 'is repeated to and incrlcated upon." "-Nichol's "English Composition," p. 39. We often see for used with the substantive $s, m_{p}$ uflyy; the best practice, however, uses uith; thms, "Words can not express the deep sympathy I feel with you."-Queen Victoria.

Party. This is a very good word in its place, lout it is very much out of its place when used-as it often is by the vulgar-where good taste would nie the word person.

Patronize. Tbis word and its derivatives would be much less ased by the American tradesman than they are, if he were better acquainted with their true meaning. Then he would solicit his neighbors' custom, not their pratronage. A man can have no patrons without incurring obligations without becoming a protége; while a man may hare customers innumerable, and, instead of placing himself under obligations to them, he may place them muler obligations to him. Princes are the putrons of those tradesmen whom they allow to call themselves their purveyors; as, "John Smith, Haberflasher to H. R. H. the Priuce of Wales." Here the Prince patronizes John Smith.

Pell-mell. This advert means mixed or mingled together: as, "Men, horses, chariots, crowies pell-mell." It can not properly be applied to an intivilual. To say, for example, "He rushed pell-mell down the stairs," is as incorrect as it would he to say, "He rushed down the stair's micell together."

Per. This Latin preposition is a good cleal used in English, as, for example, is such phrases as per day, per man, per pound, per ton, and so on. In ail such cases it is better to ase plain Euglish, aud say, a day, " man, " pond, a ton, etc.

Per is correct before Latin nouns only ; as, per annum, per diem, per cent., etc.

Perform. "She performs on the piano beautifnlly." In how much better taste it is to say simply, "She plays the piano well," or, more superlatively, "exceedingly well," or "armirably"! If we talk about performix, on musical instrments, to be consistent, we should call those who perform, piano-performers, corvet-performers, violin-performers, and so on.

Perpetually. This word is sometimes misused for continually. Dr. William Mathews, in his "Words, their Use and Abuse," says: "The Irish are perpetually using shall for will." Perpetual means never ceasing, continuing withont intermission, uninterrupted; while continual means that which is constantly renewell and recurring with perhaps frequent stops and interruptions. As the Irish do something besides misuse shall, the Doctor should have said that they continually use shall for will. I might perhaps venture to intimate that jerpetually is likewise misused in the following seutence, which I copy from the "Loudon Queen," if I were not conscious that the monster who can write and print such a sentence would not hesitate to cable a thunderbolt at an offender ou the slightest provocation. Judge, if my fears are groundless: " But some few people contract the ugly habit of making use of these expressions unconsciously and continually, perpetually interlarding their conversation with them."

Person. See Party; also, Intorvideal.
Personalty. This word does not, as some persons think, mean the articles worn on one's person. It is properly a law term, and means perwonal property. "There is but one case on record of a peer of England leaving over $\$ 7,500,000$ personalty."

Personification. That rhetorical figure which attributes sex, life, or action to inanimate objects, or ascribes to objects and brutes the acts and qualities of rational beings, is called persomification or prosopopaia.
"The mountains sing together, the hills rejoice and clap their hantls." "The worm, aware of his intent, larangued him thus."
"See, Winter comes to rule the varied year,
Suller and sad with all his rising train."-Thomson.
"So saying, her rash hand, in eril hour,
Forth reaching to the fruit, she plucked, she ate !
Earth felt the wound; and Nature from her seat,
Sighing through ull her works, !ave signs of woe,
That all was lost."-Milton.
"War and Love are strange compeers.
War sheds blootl, and Love sheds tears;
War has swords, and Love has darts ;
War breaks heads, and Love breaks hearts."
"Levity is often less foolish and gravity less wise than each of them appears."
"The English language, hy reserxing the distinction of gender for living beings that have sex, gives especial scope for personification. The highest form of personification should be used seldom, and only when justified by the presence of strong feeling."-Bain.
"Knowledge and wisdom, far from being one, Have ofttimes no connection. Knowledge dwells
In heads replete with thoughts of other men ;
Wisdom in minds attentive to their own.
Knowledge is proud that he has learned so much; Wisdom is humble that he knows no more."-Cowper.
Phenomenon. Plural, phenomena.
Plead. The imperfect tense and the perfect participle of
the verb to pleal are both plendel and not plenl. "He plearded not guilty." "You shoald have plecrled your canse with more fervor:"

Plenty. In Worcester's Dictionary we find the following note: "Plenty is much usel colloquially as an adjectire. in the sense of plentiful, both in this country antl in England: and this use is supported by respectable authorities, though it is condemned by rarious critics. Johnson says: 'It is usend barbarously, I think, for plentiful'; and Lr. Campbell, in his 'Philosophy of Rhetoric,' sxys: 'Plenty for plentiju! appears to me so gross a vulgarism that I shoull not have thought it wortiy of a place here if I hal not sometimes found it in works of considerable merit.'" We should say, then, that money is plentifu', and not that it is plenty.

Pleonasm. Redundancy or pleonasm is the use of more words than are necessary to express the thought clearly. "They retnrned back again to the same city from whence they came forth": the fire words in italics are rellundrant or pleonnstic. "The different departments of science and of art mutually reflect light on each other'": either of the expressions it italics embodios the whole iden. "The universal opinion of all men" is a pleonastic expression often heard. "I wrote you a letter yesterday": bere a letter is redundant.

Redundancy is sometimes permissible for the surer conreyance of meaning, for emphasis, and in the language of poetic embellishment.

Polite. This word is much used by persons of donbtful culture, where those of the better sort use the word lind. We accept kind, not polite, invitations; and, when any one has been obliging, we tell him that he has been kind; and, when an interviewing reporter tells us of his having met with a polite reception, we may be sure that the person by whom he has been received deserves well for his considerate kinduess,
"I thank you and Mrs. Pope for my kind reception." Atterhury.

Portion. This worl is often incorrectly usel for part. A portion is properly a part assigned, allotted, set aside for a special purpose; a share, a division. The verb to portion means to divide, to parcel, to endow. We ask, therefore, "In what purt [not, in what portion] of the country, state, county, town, or street do you live?"-or, if we prefer grandiloquence to correctness, reside. In the sentence, "A large portion of the land is untilled," the right word would be either part or proportion, accorting to the intention of the writer.

Fosted. A word very mach and very inelegantly used for informel. Such expressions as, "I will post you," "I must post myself up," "If I had been better posted," and the like, are, at the best, but one remove from slang.

Predicate. This worl is often very incorrectly used in the sense of to licse; as, "He prerlicule his opinion on insufficient data." Then we sometimes hear people talk about predicating an action upon certain information or upon somehorly's statement. To predicate means primarily to speak before, and has come to be properly used in the sense of assumed or believed to be the consequence of. Examples: "Contentment is prelicatel of virtue"; "Goorl health may be preticuted of a good constitution." He who is not very sure that he uses the word correctly would do better not to use it at all.

Prejudice-Prepossess. Both these words mean, to incline in one direction or the other for some reason not fonnded in justice: lut by common consent prejulice has come to he ased in an unfarorable sense, and prepowsers in a favorable one. Thns, we say, "He is prejulicel against him," and "He is prepowsexset in his favor," We sometimes hear the
expressom, "He is prejulicel in nis favor," but this can" not be accounted a gool use of the worl.

Prepositions. The errors made in the use of the prepositions are very numerons. "The indolent child is one who [that"] has a Etrong aversion from action of any sort." Graham's "English synonymes," p. 236. The prevailing and best morlern usage is in favor of to instead of jrom after arerse and crersion, and before the object. "C'learness . . . enables the realer to see thonghts without noticing the language with which they are clotherl."-Townsend's "Art of Speech." We clothe thoughts in language. ."Shakespeare . . . and the Bible are . . . morlels for the English-speaking tongue." -Ibid. If this meaus models of English, then it should be of; but if it means models for English organs of speech to practice on, then it should be for: or if it ineans models to model English tongues after, then also it should be jor. "If the resemblance is too faint, the mind is fatigued uhile attempting to trace the analogies." "Aristotle is in error while thus describing governments."-Ibid. Here we have two examples, not of the misuse of the preposition. but of the erroneous use of the adverb while instead of the preposition in. "For my part I can not think that Rhelley's poetry, except b? snatches anil fragments, has the valne of the good work of WForlsworth or Byron." - Matthew Arnold. Should be. "except in snatches." "Taxes with us are collected nearly [almost] salely from real aud personal estate.""Appleton's Journal." Taxes are levied on estates and collected from the owners.
"If I am not commenderl for the beauty of my works, I may hope to be pardoned for their brevity." Cobbett comments on this sentence as follows: "We may commend him for the heanty of his works, and we may furdon him for their brevity, if we deem the brevity a joult: but this is not what
he means. He means that, at any rate, he shall have the morit of brevity. 'If 1 am not commembel for the beanty of my works, 1 may hope to be parkoned on account of their brevity. This is what the Doctor meant; but this would have marre? a little the antithesis: it would have unsettle:l a little of the balance of that seesence in which Dr. Johnson so much delighted, and which, falling into the hands of novel-writere and of members of Parliament, has, by moving unencumbered with any of the Doctor's reason or se ise, lulled so many thonsands asleep! Dr. Johnson created a race of writers and speakers. 'Mr. Speaker, that the state of the nation is very eritical, all men will allow; but that it is wholly desperate, few will believe.' When you liear or see a sentence like this, be sure that the person who speaks or writes it has been reading Dr. Johmson, or some of his imitators. But, olserve, these imitators go no further than the frame of the sentences. They, in general, take care not to imitate the Doctor in knowledge and reasoning."

The rhetoricians would have us avoid such forms of expression as, "The boy went to and asked the advice of his teacher"; "I called on and had a conversation with my brother."

Very often the preposition is not repeated in a sentence, when it should be. We say properly, "He comes from Ohio or from Indiana"; or, "He comes either from Onio or Intiana."

Prepossess. See Prejentce.
Present-Introduce. Few errors are more common, especially anong those who are always straining to be fine, than that of using present, in the social world, instead of introduce. Present means to place in the presence of a superior ; introduce, to bring to be acquainted. A person is presentel at court, and on an official occasion to onr Presi-
deut f but persons who are unknown to each other are introtheed by a common acquaintance. And in these introductions, it is the yomger who is introluced to the older; the lower to the higher in place or social position; the gentleman to the lady. A lady should eay, as a rule, that M1. Blank was in. troluced to her, not that she was introluced to Mr. Blank.

Presumptive. This word is sometimes misused by the careless for presumpituous.

Preventive. A nseless and numarranted syllable is sometimes added to this word-prerentative.

Previous. This adjective is much used in an adverbial sense; thans, "Precious to my return." ete. Until prexion" is recognizet as an adverb, if we would speak grammatically, we must say, "Preciously to my return." "Preciously tomy learing England, I called on his lordship."

Procure. This is a word mucl used by people who strive to he fine. "Where clid you grt it "" with them is, " Where did you procure it?"

Profanity. The extent to which some men habitually interland their talk with oaths is disgnsting even to many who, un occasion, do not themselves hesitate to give expression to their feelings in onths portly and metnous. If these fellows could be made to know how offensive to decency they make themselves, they would, perhaps, be less profane.

Promise. This word is sometimes very improperly used for cassure; thus, "I promise you I was very much astonished."

Pronouns of the First Person. "The ordinary uses of 'I' and 'we,' as the singular and plutal prowouns of the first person, wonld appear to be above all ambiguity, uncertainty, or dispate. lict when we eonsider the force of the plural 'we,' we are met with a contrivliction; for, as a rule, only one person can speak at the same time to the same audience. It is only by some exceptional arrau ement, or
some latitude or lieense of expression, that several persons can lee conjoint speakers. For example, a plurality may sing together in chorns, and may join in the responses at chnreh, or in the simultaneous repetition of the Lord's Prayer or the (reed. Again, one person may be the anthorized spokesman in delivering a jalgmant or opinion held by a mumber of parsons in common. Fimally, in written compositions, the 'we' is mot unsuitable, hecause a plurality of persons may append their names to a domment.
"A speaker using 'wa' may speak for himself and one or' more others; comm mly he stan:ls forward as the representative of a class, more or less conuprehensive. 'As soon as my companion and I had entered the field, we saw a man coming toward ux.; 'wo like our new curate'; 'you do us poets the greatest injustice'; 're must see to the efficiency of our forces.' The widest use of the proanam will he mentioned presently.
"'We' is used for ' [' in the derrees of persons in authority ; as when King Lear says:
' Know that ue have divided In three our kingdom.'
By the fiction of plurality a veil of molesty is thrown over the assumption of vast suprionity over homan beings generally. Or, 'we' may ba regardel as an official form wherehy the spatker personally is magnitiel or embled to rise to the dignity of the oseasiou.
"The elitorial 'we' is to be understoal on the same principle. An anthor using 'we' appears as if he were not alone, but sharing with other persons the responsibility of his views.
"This representative position is at its utmost stretch in the pacctica of nsing 'we' for human heings generally; as in disconsing on the laws of humb nature. The preacher, the wo elist, or the philosopher, in dwelliag upon the pectuarity
of our common constitution, being hinself an example of what he is sperking of, associates the rest of mankind with him, and speaks collectively by means of 'we.' 'We are weak and fallible'; 'we are of yesterday'; 'we are doomed to dissolntion.' 'Here have we no contiluing eity, bat ue seek one to come.'
"It is not unfrequent $t$ ) have in one sentence, or in close proximity, both the editorial and the represeatative meaning, the effect being ambiguity and confusion. 'Let uss [the author] now consider why we [humanity generally] overrate distant gool.' In such a case the author shonlll fall back upon the singalar for himself - I will now consider-.' '/Fe [speaker'] think we [himself and hewrors together] shoull come to the conclusion.' Say, either ' $I$ think,' or '!ou would.'
"The following extract from Butler exempliies a similar confusion: 'Suppose we [representative] are eapable of happiness and of misery in degrees equally intense and extreme, yet ure [rep.] are capable of the latter for a much longer time, beyond all comparison. We [chinge of subject to a limitesl class] see men in the tortures of pain-. such is our [back to representative] make that anything may beonme the instrument of pain and sorrow to $u$.s. The 'we' at the commencement of the second sentence-'IVe see men in the tortures' coukl be advantageonsly changed to 'you,' or the passire construction could be substituted; the rem ining we's would then be consistently representative.
"From the greater emphasis of singularity, energetic speakers and writers sometimes use 'I' as representative of mankiud at large. Thus: 'The current impressions reeeived through the senses are not voluntary in origin. What $I$ see in walking is seen becanse / have an organ of vision.' The 'ruestion of general woral whigation is forcibly statel by Paley in the in livilual form, 'Why am / oblige l to keep my
word? It is sometimes well to confine the attention of the hearer or reader to his own relation to the matter moder cons sideration, more especially in difficult or non-popular argu* ment or expmsition. The speaker, by using 'I,' does the action himself, or makes himself the example, the hearer being expecter to put himself in the same position."-Bains "Composition Grammar."

Pronouns of the Second Person. "Anomalous asages have sprung up in comnection with these pronouns. The phral form has almost wholly superseded the singular; a usage more than five centuries old. "
" The motive is courtesy. The singling out of one person for address is supposed to lie a liberty or an excess of familiarity; and the effect is softened or diluted by the fiction of taking in others. If our address is uncomplimentary, the sting is lessene: by the plural form : and if the reverse, the shock to modesty is not so great. This is a refinement that was muknown to the ancient langlages. The orators of Greece delighted in the strong, pointed, personal appeal implied in the singular 'thon.' In motern German, 'thon' (du) is the address of familiarity and intinacy; while the ordinary pros noun is the curiously indirect 'they' (Sic). On solemn occasions, we may revert to 'thou.' Cato, in his meditative soliloquy on reading Plato's views on the immortality of the soul before killing himself, says: 'Plato, thour reasonest well.' So in the Commandments, 'thon' adhresses to cach individual an unavoidable appeal: 'Thou shalt not -..' But our ordinary means of making the personal ippeal is, 'you, sir,' 'yout, madam,' 'my Lord, you —..,' etc.; we reserve 'thou' for the special case of addressing the Deity. The application of the
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"' Fou' is rot unfresuently employed, like 'we, as a representative pronom. The action is represented with great vividness, when the person or persons addressel may lee put forward as the performers: 'There is such an echo among the old ruins and vanlts, that if you stamp a little londer than ordinary, you hear the somed repeated'; 'Some practice is required to see these animals in the thick forest, even when you hear them close by you.'
"There should not be a mixture of 'thon' and 'you' in the same passage. Thus, Thackeray (Adventures of Philip): 'So, as thy sum rises, friend, over the hmmble house-tops ronnd about your home, shall you wake many and many a day to duty and labor.' So, Cooper (Water-Witch): 'Thou hast both master and mistress? You have told us of the latter, but we would know something of the former. Who is thy master?' Shakespeare, Seott, and others might also be quoted.
'. Y'e' and 'you' were at one time strictly distinguished as different cases; 'ye' was nominative, 'you' objective (dative or acensative). But the Elicabethan dramatists confounded the forms irredeemably ; anl 'yon' has gradually ousted 'ye' from ordinary use. ' Te ' is restricted to the expression of strong fecling, and in this employment occurs chiefly in the poets."-Bain's "Composition Crammar."

Proof. This word is much and very improperly used for erileace, which is only the inerlium of prooj, proof being the effect of exidence. "What eridence have you to offer in proof of the truth of your statement?" See also Enidence.

Propose Purpose. Writers and speakers often fail to discriminate properly between the respective meanings of these two verbs. Propose, correctly used, means, to put for-
warl or to offer for the consideration of others: hence, a moposal is a scheme or design offered for acceptance or consideration, a proposition. Purpose means. to intend, to design, to resolve; hence, a pmpose is an intention, an aim, that which one sets before onc's self: Examples: "What do you purpose doing in the matter?" "What do you propose that we s!all do in the matter?" "I will do" means "I purpose doing, or to do." "I purpose to write a history of England from the aecession of King James the Seeond down to a time which is within the menory of men still living." Macaulay. It will be observel that Mreaulay says, "I purpose to write," and not, "I purpose uritiny," using the verb in the infinitive rather than in the participial form. "On which he purposed to mount one of his little guns." See Infinitive.

Proposition. This word is often used when proposal would be better, for the reason that proposal has but one meaning, and is shorter by one syllable. "He demonstrated the proposition of Euclid, and rejected the proposal of his friend."

Prosaist. Dr. Hall is of opinion that this is a word we shall do well to encomrage. It is usel by good writers.

Proven. This form for the past participle of the verb to prore is said to be a Scotticism. It is not used by careful writers and speakers. The e arect form is proved.

Providing. The present participle of the verb to proricle is sometimes vulgarly used for the conjunstion procidet, as in this sentence from the "London Queen": "Society may be congratulated, . . . proricliny that." etc.

Provolze. See Aggratite.
Punctuation. The importance of punctuation can not be overestimated; it not only helps to make plain the meaning of what one writes, but it may prevent ones being miscon-
strued. Thongh no two writers could le found who punctuate just alike, still in the main those who pay attention to the art put in their stops in essentially the same manner. The differense that punctuation may make in the meaning of language is well illustratel by the forlowins aneculcte:

At Ramessa there live 1 a benevolent and hospitaile prior, who cause I these lines to be painted orer his dour:
"Be open evermore, O thou my door :
To none b a shint - to honest or to poor !"
In time the gool prior was succee led by a man as selfish as his predecessur was generous. Tine-lines over the door of the priory were allowed to remain ; one stop, however, was altered, which made them read thus:
"Be open evermore, O thou my door ! To none-be shut to honest or to poor !"
He punctuates best who makes his punctuation contribute most to the clear expression of his thought: and that construction is best that has least need of being punctuated.

Tine Comma. - The chief difference in the punctuation of different writers is usual'y in their use of the conmma, in recgard to which there is a gool deal o: latitu le : much is left to indi : idual taste. Nowa lays the be-t pructi e uses it sparingly An idea of the extent to which opinions differ with resard to the use of the comma may be formel from the folluwing exce:p: from a paper piepare l fo: private use:
"In the following examples, eratherel from various sources -chiefly from standard books-the supertluous commas are inclosed in parentheses:
"1. 'It remains(,) perhaps(,) to be saicl(,) that, if any lesson at all(,) as to these clelicate matters(,) is needell, ) n this period, it is not so much a lesson." etc. 2. 'The obedi-
ence is not due to the power of a right anthority, but to the spirit of feal, and(,) therefore(,) is(, ) in reality(,) wo ohedience at all.' 3. 'The petriot disturbanecs in Canada . . . awakened deep interest among the people of the United sitates(,) who livel adjacent to the frontier.' 4. 'Olservers(,) who have recently investigated this point(.) do not all agree,' ete. 5 . 'The wind did(.) in an instant(,) what man and steam together had failed to do in hours.' (6. 'All the cabin passengers(,) situated beyond the center of the buat(,) were saved.' 7. 'No other writer has depicterl(,) with so mueh art or so much accuracy(, ) the habits, the mamers,' etc. 8. 'If it shall give satisfaction to those who have(, ) in any way(, ) befriended it, - author will feel,' etc. 9. 'Formed(,) or eonsisting of(,) - ay.' 10. 'The subject [witeheraft] grew interesting; ana(,) to examine Sarah C'loyce and Elizabeth l'roctor, the deputygovernor(, ) and tive other magistrates(,) went to Sclem.' 11. - The Lusitanians(,) who had not left their home(,) rose as a man,' ete. 12. 'Vague reports . . . had preceded him to Washington, and his Mississippi friends(,) who chancel to be at the capital(,) were not backward to make their boast of him.' 13. 'Ow faith has acepuired a new vigor(, ) and a clearer vision.' 14. 'In 1819(,) he removed to Cambridge.' 15. ' Moré was loon at Straslmrg(,) in 1832, and labors,' etc. 16. 'We should never apply dry compresses, charpie, or warlding(.) to the wound.' 1.. '- to stand ifle, to look, aet, or timink(.) in a leisurely way. 18. '-portraits taken from the farmers, schoolmasters, aml peasantry(, of the neighborhood.' 19. '-gladly weleomed painters of Flanders, Holland, and Spain', to their shores.'
"In all these cases the elauses leetween or following the inclosed commas are so closely conneetell grammatically with the immediately preeding words or phrases, that they should he reant without a perceptible patmse, or with only a slight
one for breath, without clange of voice. Some of the commas would grossly pervert the meaning if strictly construed. Thus, from No. 3 it would appear that the people of the United States in general lived arljacent to the frontier ; from No. 4, that all observers have recently investigated the point in question ; from No. 6, that all the cabin passengers were so sitnated that they were savel, whereas it is meant that only a certain small proportion of them were saved; from No. 10 (Bancroft), that somedrody whose name is atecidentally omitted went to Salem 'to examine Sarah Cloyce and Eizabeth Proctor, the deputy-governor, and five other magistrates'; from No. 11, that none of the Lusitanians had left their home, whereas it was the slaughter by the Romans of a great number of them who had left their home that caused the rising.
"Commas are frequently omitterl, and in certain positions very generally, where the sense and correct reading require a pause. In the following examples, such commas, omitted in the works from which they were taken, are enclosed in brackets :
" 1 . 'The modes of thonght[,] and the types of character. which those mo:les produce[,] are essentially and unirersally transformel." 2. 'Taken by itself[,] this cloctrine could have no effect whatever; indeed[,] it wonld amomit to nothing but a rerbal proposition.' 3. 'Far below[,] the little stream of the Oler fuamed over the rocks.' 4. 'When the day retnmeal[,] the professor, the artist[,] and I rowed to within a hundred yards of the shore.' 5. 'Proceerling into the interior of India[,] they passed throngh Belgaum.' 6. 'If Loring is defeated in the Sixth Distriet[,] it can be borne.'
"In No. 3, the reader naturally enunciates 'the little stream of the Oler' as in the objective case after 'below'; but there he comes to a predicate which compels him to go back and read differently. In No. 4, it appears that 'the day
retumed the professor,' and then 'the artist and I rowed,' ctc."

All clanses shonld generally be isolated by commas; where, howerer, the comnection is very close or the clanse is very short, no point may be necessary. "But his pride is greater than his ignorance, and what he wants in knowlellge he supplies ly sufficiency." "A man of polite imagination can converse with a picture, and fiud an agreeable companion in a statue." "Though he slay me, yet will trust him." "The prince, his father being dead, succeeded." "To confess the truth, I was much at fanlt." "As the heart panteth after the water-brooks, so panteth my soul after thee." "Where the bee sucks, there suc'z I." "His father dying, he succeeded to the estatc." "The little that is known, and the circumstance that little is known, must be considered as honorable to him."

The comma is used before and after a phase when co-ordinating and not restrictive. "The jury, having retired for half an hour, bronght in a verdict." "The stranger, unwilling to obtrude himself on onr notice, left in the morning.' "Rome, the city of the Emperors, became the city of the Popes." "His stories, which made everybody langh, were often made to order." "He did not come, which I greatly regret." "The yonnger, who was yet a boy, had nothing striking in his appearance." "They passed the cup to the stranger, who dr"uk hatatily." "Peace at any plice, wlich theṣe oraturs secm to adrocate, means war at any cost." "Sailors, who are generally superstitions, say it is unlncky to embark on Friclay."

Alverbs and short phrases, when they break the comnection, should be between commas. Some of the most common words and phrases so used are the following: Alas, too, there, indeed perhaps, surely, moreover, likewise, howerer, finally, namely,
therefore, apparently, meanwhile, consequently, muquestionably, accordingly, notwithstanding, in truth, in fact, in short, in general, in reality, no doulbt, of course, as it were, at all events, to be lricf, to be sure, now and then, on the contrary, in a word, by chance, in that case, in the meantime, for the most part. "History, in a word, is reple e with moral lessons." "As an orator, howerer, he was not great." "There is, remember, a limit at which forbearance ceases to be a virtue." "Our civilization, therefore, is not an unmixed good." "This, I grant yon, is not of great importance."

If, however, the arlverb does not break the connection, but readily coalesces with the rest of the sentence, the commas are omittel. "Morning will come at last, however dark the night may be." "We then proceeded on our way." "Our civilization is therefore not an ummixed good." "Patience, I say ; your mind perhaps may change."

Adverbial phrases and clauses beginning a sentence are set off by commas. "In truth, I could not tell." "To sum up, the matter is this." "Ererything being rearly, they set out." "By looking a little deeper, the reason will be found." "Finally, let me sum up the argmment." "If the premises were admitted, I should deny the conclusion." "Where your treasure is, there will your heart be also."

Words used in apposition should be isolated by commas. "Newton, the great mathematician, was sery modest." "And he, their prince, shall rank among my peers." In sach sentences, however, as, "The mathematici in Newton was very modest." and "The Emperor Napoleou was a great
ldier," commas are not used.
The name or designation of a person addressed is isolated by commas. "It touches you, my lord, as well as me." "John, come here." "Mr. President, my object is peace." "Tell me, boy, where do you live?" "Yes, sir, I will do as you say." "Mr. Brown, what is your number?"

Pairs of words. "Old and young, rich and poor, wise and foolish were involven." "sink or swim, live or lie, surrive or perish, I give my hand and heart to this rote." "Interest and ambition, honor and shame, friendship and enmity, gratitude and revenge, are the prime movers in public transactions."

A restrictive clanse is not separated by a comma from the norm. "Erery one must love a boy who [that] is attentive and doeile." "He preaches sublimely who [that] lives a holy life." "The things which [that] are seen are temporal. "A king depending on the support of his subjects can not rashly go to war." "The sailor who [that] is not superstitious will embark any day."

The comma is usel after adjectives, nouns, and rerls in sentences like the following :
" Are all thy conquests, glorics, triumphs, spoils Shrunk to this little measure ?"
"He fills, he bounds, connects and equals all."
"Who to the emrapturel heart, and ear, and eye Teach beauty, virtue, truth, ant love, and melody." *
"He rewarded his friends, chastise 1 his foes, set Justicc on her seat, anl made his conquest secure."

The comma is used to separate arljectives in opposition, but closely comnected. "Though ileep, yet clear; though gentle, yel not dull." "Liberal, not lavish, is kind Nature's hand." "Though black. yet comely; and though rash, benign."

After a nominative, where the verb is understood. "To err is human ; to forgive, divine." "A wise man seeks to
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A long subject is often separated from the predicate by a comma. "Any one that refuses to earn an liouest livelihood, is not an object of charity." "The circumstance of his leing mprepared to adopt immediate and decisive measures, was represented to the Gorernment." "That he harl persisteutly disregarded every warning and perserered in his reckless course, had not yet undermined his eredit with his dupes." "That the work of forming and perfecting the character is difficult, is generally allowed "

In a series of adjectives that precelle their noun, a comma is placed after each except the last: there usage omits the point. "A beantiful, tall, willowy, sprightly girl." "A quick, brilliant, studions, learned man." *

A comma is placed between short members of compounl sentences, connected by ant, But, for, nor, or. Decuuse, whererts, that expressing purpose (so that, in order that), and other conjunctions. "Be virtuous, that you may be respected." "Love not sleep, lest you come to porerty." "Man proposes, but (iod disposes."

A comma must not be placel before thut except whem it is equivalent to in order that. "He say's that he will be here."

A comma must not be placed before rend when it connects two words only. "Time and tide wait for no man." "A rich and prosperons people." "Plain and honest truth wants no artificial covering."

A comma is sometimes necessary to prevent ambignity. "He who pursues pleasure only defeats the c lje ct of his
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The following sentences present some miscellancous examples of the use of the comma by writers on punctuation: "Industry, as well as genius, is essential to the pronluction of great works." "Prosperity is secured to a state, not by the acquisition of territory or riches, but by the encouragement of industry." "Your manners are affable, and, for the most part, pleasing." *
"However fairly a lod man may appear to aet, we distrust him." "Why, this is rank injustice." "Well, follow the dictates of your inclination." "The comma may be omitted in the case of too, also, therefore, and perhaps, when introduced as not to interfere with the harmonions flow of the period; und, particularly, when the sentence is short. " + "Robert H riton, MI. I., F. R.S." "To those who labor, sleep is doulbly pleasant"; "Sleep is doubly pleasant to those who labor." "Those who perserere, succeed." "To be overlooked, slighted, and neglected; to be misunderstood, misrepresented, and slandered; to be trampled underfoot by the envious, the ignorant, and the vile ; to be crushed by foes, and to be distrusted and betrayed even by friemls-such is too often the fate of genius. "She is tall, though not so handsome as her sister." "Terily, verily, I say unto you." "Whatever is. is right." "What is foreordainerl to be, will be." "The Emperon Augustus was a patron of the fine arts." "Augustus, the Emperor, was a patron of the fine arts." "Cuited. we stanl; divilenl, we fall." "Gou sain, Let there be light." "July 21, 1881." "President fiarfield was shot, Saturday moming, July 2, 1S81; lie died, Monday night,

[^16]Nept. 19, 1881." "I am, sir, very respectfully, your obedient servant, John Jones." "New Iork, Aucust, 1SS1." "Room 20, Equitable Building. Broadway, New Y̌ork."
"When you are in donlet as to the propmiety of inserting comman, omit them; IT IS BETTER TU HAVE TOO FEN THAN тои masy."-Quaekeuhos.

The semicoloz.-Reasons are preeedel by semicolons; "Economy is no lisgrace: for it is better to live on a little than to outlive a great cleal." Clauses in opposition are separated by a semicolon when the second is introduced by an adrersative: "Straws swim at the surface; but pearls lie at the bottom"; "Lying lips are an abomination to the Lord ; but they that deal truly are his delight." Without the adrersative, the colon is to be preferred: "Prosperity showeth rice: adrersity, virtuc." The great divisions of a sentence must be pointed with a semicolon when the minor dirisions are pointed with commas: "Mirth shonld lee the embroidery of courersation, not the web; and wit the ornament of the mind, not the furniture." The things enumerated must be separated by semicolons, when the ennnciation of particulars is preceded by a colon: "The value of a maxim depends on four things: the corrctness of the principle it embodies; the subject to which it relates : the extent of its application; and the ease with which it may be practically carried out." When as introduces an example, it is preceded by a semicolon. When several successive clanses have a eommon comection with a preed ding or following thuse, they are separated by semicolons; as, "Children, as they samboled on the lieach; reapers, as they gathered the harwost: mowers, as tiey rested from using the seythe; mothers, as they busied themselves about the houschold were victims to an encmy, who disappeared the moment a blow was struck." •Reason as we may, it is impossible not to read in such a fate much that we
know not how to interpret; much of provocation to crnel dee:ls and deep resentment; much of apology for worng and perti ly; mach of doubt and misgiving as to the past ; much of painful recollections; much of dark foreboling." "Phitosophers assert that Nature is unlimited ; that her treasures are cudless; that the inerease of knowlelge will never cease."

The Colox.-This point is less used now than formerly ; its place is supplied ly the period, the semicolon, or the dash; and sometimes, even by the comma. The colon is used very differently by different writers. "He was heard to say, ' 1 have done with this world.'" Some writers would put a colon, some a comma, after scty. "When the quoted passage is bronght in without any introductory word, if short," says Quackenbos, " it is generally precerlerl by a comma : if long, by a colon; as, 'A simpleton, meeting a philosopher, asked him, "What affords wise men the greatest pleasure?" T'urning on his heel, the sage replierl, "To get rid of fools." ,"

Formal enumerations of partienlars, and direct quotations, when introluced by such phrases as in these uords, as follows, the following, nuneiy, this, these, thus, etc., are properly precerled by a colon. "We hold these truths to be self-evident: that all men are createrl equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the jursuit of happiness." "Lord Bacon has summerl up the whole matter in the following words: 'A little philosophy inclineth men's minds to atheism; but depth in philosophy bringeth men's minds to religion." " "The human family is composel of five races: first, the Caucasian : second, the Mongolian; thim, the," ete.
"All were attentive to the gorllike man, When from his lofty couch he thus began : 'Great queen,'" ete.-Dryden.
When the quotation, or other matter, begins a new para-
graph, the colon is, by many writers, followed with a dash; as, "The cloth being removed, the President rose and said:-
"'Ladies and gentlemen, we are,'" etc.
The colon is used to mark the greater breaks in sentences, when the lesser breaks are marked by semicolons. "Yon have called yourself an atom in the universe: you have said that you are but an insect in the solar blaze: is your present pricle consistent with these professions?" "A clause is either independent or dependent: iniepentent, if it forms an assertion by itself ; dependent, if it enters into some other clanse with the value of a part of speech." A colon is sometimes used instead of a perionl to separate two short sentences, which are closely connected. "Neser flatter people: lease that to such as mean to hetray them." "Some things we can, and others we can not do: we can walk, but we can not fly."

The Period.-Complete sentences are always followed either by a period, or ly an exclamation or an interrogation point.*

The period is also used after abhreviations ; as, R. D. Van Nostraud, St. Louis, Mo.; Jno. B. Morris, M. D., F. R. S., Loudon, Eng.; Jas. W. Wallack, Jr., New Jurk City, N. J.; Jas. B. Roberts, Elocutionist, Phila., Pa.

Interrogition-ponst. - This point is used after questions put by the writer, and after questions reported directly. " What can I do for you ?" ." Where are you goins?" " What do you say?" cried the ('eneral. "The chilil still lives?" It should not be userl when the question is reported inclirectly. "He asked me where I was groing." "The Judge asked the witness if he believer the man to be guilty."

Exclamation-point.- This mark is placerl after interjec-

[^17]tions, after sentences and clauses of sentences of passionate import, and after solemn invocations and addresses. "Zounds ! the man's in earnest." "Pshaw! what ean we lo?" "Bah! what's that to me"." "Indeel! then I must look to it." "Look, my lord, it comes!" "Rest, rest, perturbel spirit!" "() heat, dry up my brains!" " Dear mail, kiul sister, sweet Ophelia !" "While in this purt of the country, I once more revisited-and, alas, with what melancholy presenti-ments!-the home of my youtl." "O rose of May !" "Oh, - from this time forth, my thoughts be blooly or be nothing worth!" "O heavens! die two months ago, and not forgotten yet?"
"Night, sable gorldess ! from her ebon throne, In rayless majesty now stretches forth Her leaden scepter o'er a slumbering work. Silence, how dead! and darkness, how profound!"

> --Young.
"Hail, holy light! offspring of heaven just born !"-Milton. "Bat thou, O hope! with eyes so fair, What was thy delighted measure ?"-Collins.
It will be observed that the interjection $O$ is an exception to the rule : it is often followed by a comma, but never by an exclamation-point.

An exclamation-point sometimes gives the same worls quite another meaning. The difference batween "What's that?" and "What's that!" is obvious.

The D.sin.-Cobbstt did not favor the use of this mark, as we see from the following: "Let me caution you agrinst the use of what, by some, is eallel the dash. The dash is a stroke along the line; thus, 'I am rich-l was poor-I shall be ponr agrin.' This is will work in lead! Who is to know what is intended by these dishes? Those who have thought proper, like Mr. Lindley Murray, to place the dash amongst
the grammetical points, onght to give us some rule relative to its different longitulinal dimensions in different cases. The inch, the the e-quatifop inch, the ha!f-in h, the quarter-inch: these woul 1 ba something determinate ; but 'the d.ash,' withotat measure, mast be a purilous thing for the yourg grammarian to handle. In short, ' $t /$ " $d$ shth' is a cover for iguorance as to the use of points, and it car answer no other parpose."

This is one of the few instances in which Cubbett was wrong. The derth is the proper point with which to mork an unexpecte 1 or emphatic pause, or a sulden break or transition. It is very often preceled by another point. "Anl Hutzilo. poshtli-a sweet name to roll under one's tongue-for how many years has this venerable war-gol blinked in the noonday sun!" "Crowds gathered abont the newspaper bnlletins, recalling the feverish scenes that occurred when the President s life was thought to be hanging by a threa l. - Wraldn't it be too bad,' s.id one, 'if, after all -no, I won't allow myself to think of it.'" "Was there ever-bici I scorn to boast." "You are-a, I'll not tell you what you are."
"He suffereal-but his pangs are oer;
Enjoyed-but his delights are fled;
Hal friends - his friends are now no more;
And foes-his foes are dead."- $\$ 1$ ntrgomery.
"Greece, Curthrgु, Roms-where are they?" "He chastens; -but he ciastens tu save."

Doshes are much usel where pareatheses were formerly employed. "In the days of Tweel the expression to divide fair-forcible, if not grammatial-acruire l mach currency." "In truth, the character of the great chief was depicted two thousand five hundrel ye urs before his birth, and depictedsuch is the power of genius-in colors which will be fresh as many years after his death." "To remler the Constitution perpetual-which God grant it may be !-it is necessary that
its beuefits shomli he practically felt by all parts of the country."

P'arestumis.-This mark is comparatively little need nowalaty. The dash is preferred, probably because it distignres the page lews. The office of the parenthesis is to isolate a phase which is merely incidental, and which might be omitted withont detriment to the granmatical construction.
" Know then this truth (enongh for man to know), Virtue alone is happiness below."- Pope.
"The biliss of man (could pride that blessing find) Is not to act or think beyond mankind."
Brackets. - This mark is used principally to inclose words improperly omitted by the writer, or words introduced for the purpose of explanation or to correct an error. The bracket is oftell used in this lonok.

The Arostropie.-This point is used to denote the omission of letters and sumetimes of figures; as, Jan'y, 'Sl; I've for I hure; you'll for you will; 'tis for it is; don't for do not; con $t$ for cen not; It was in the year 93 ; the spirit of 96 ; It was in the years 1812 , ' 13 , and ' 14 .

Also to demote the possessive case ; as, Brown's honse ; the king's command; Moses' staff; for conscience' sake ; the boys' garden.

Also with $s$ to denote the plural of letters, figures, and signs: as, Cross your ''s, lot your i's, and mind your $p$ 's and q's ; make your 5 's better, and take out the $x$ 's.

Capitals-A capital letter should legin every sentence, every line of verse, and every direct protation.

All numes of the Deity, of Jesus Christ, of the Trinity, and of the Virgin Mary must hegin with a capital. Pronouns are usually capitalized when they refer to the Deity.

Proper names, and noms and adjectives formed from proper names, names of streets, of the months, of the days of the week, and of the holidays, are capitalized.

Titles of nobility and of high office, when used to designate particnlar persons, are capitalized; as, the Earl of Dunraven, the Mayor of Boston, the Baron replied, the Cardinal presided.

The Pafagrapir. - In writing for the press, the division of matter into paragraphs is often quite arbitrary; in letterwriting, on the contrary, the several topics treaterl of should, as a rule, be isolated by paragraphic divisions. These divisions give one's letters a shapely appearance that they otherwise nerer have.

Purchase. This word is much preferred to its synonym buy by that class of people who prefer the word reside to lice, mocure to :et, incuusurale to beyin, and so on. They are generally of those who are great in pretense, and who would be greater still if they were to pretend to all they have to pretend to.

Purpose. Sue Propose.
Quantity. This word is often improperly used for nurber. (uucntity should be used in speaking of what is measured or weighed; number, of what is counted. Examples: "What quontity of apples have yon, and what mumber of pineapples?" "Delaware prorluces a large quantity of peaches and a large number of melons."

Quit. This word means, properly, to leare, to go away from, to forsake; as, "Avaunt! quit my sight." This is the only sense in which the English nse it. In Ameriea, it is generally used in the sense of to leave off, to stop: as, "Quit your nonseuse "; "ruit laughing"; "Quit your noise"; "He has quit smoking," and so on.

Quite. This word originally meant completely, perfectly, totally, entirely, fully: and this is the sense in which it was used by the early writers of English. It is now oftell userl in the sense of ruther; as, "It is ruite warm',': "she is quite
tall"; "He is quite proficient." sometimes it is incorrectly used in the sense of considerable; as, quite an amount, quite a number, quite a fortune. (uite, according to gool mokern usage, may qualify an adjective, but not a noun. "She is quite the lady," is a vile phase, meaning, "she is very or quite ladylike."

Railroad Depot. Few things are more offensive to fastilluus ears than to hear a railway stution called a depot. A depot is properly a place where goods or stores of any kind are kept; and the places at which the trains of a railroador, better, railuay-stop fur passengers, or the points from which they start and at which they arrive, are, properly, the stations.

Railway. The Englisin prefer this word to railroad.
Raise the rent. An expression incorrectly used for iucruse the rent.

Rarely. It is no uncommon thing to see this adrerb improperly used in such sentences as, "It is very rurely that the puppets of the romancer assume," etc.-."Appletons' Journal," February, 1881, p. 177. "But," says the defender of this phraseology, "rurely qualifies a verb-the verb to be." Not at all. The sentence, if written out in full, woulid be, "It is a very rare thing that," etc., or "The circumstance is a very rare one that," etc., or "It is a very rare occurrence that," etc. To those who contend for "It is very rarely that," etc., I would say, It is very sudly that peisons of enlture will write and then defend-or rather try to defent-such grammar.

Ratiocinate. See Effectutate.
Real. This adjective is often vulgarly used in the sense of the adverl) rery; thus, real nice, real pretty, real angry, real cute, and so on.

Recommend. This word, which means to commend or praise to mother, to declare worthy of esteem, trust, or favor, is sometimes put to strange nscs. Example: "Resolverl,
that the tax-payers of the county be recommended to meet," etc. What the resolving gentlemen meant was, that the tax payers should be counse'ed to mect.

Redundancy. See Pleonasm.
Reliable. This is a modern word which is often met with ; but it is not usell by our careful writers. They prefer its synonjun trustuorthy, and argue that, in consequence of being ill-forme l, re iable can not possibly have the signification in whic! it is used.

Remainder. See Balasce
Renclition. This word is much misused for rendering Example: "The excellence of Mr. Gilbert's rendition of $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{r}$ tain characters, sil Peter and Sir Antony, for instance, is not equaled." etc. Rendition means the act of yielding possessiou, surrender, as the rendition of a town or fortress. The sentence above should read, "The excellence of Mr. Gilbert's renderinj," etc. Rendition is also sometimes improperly used for performance.

Reply. See Ayswer.
Reputation. See Character.
Reside. A big word that Mr. Wouldbe uses where Mr. Is uses the little worl live.

Residence. In speaking of a man's domicile, it is not only in better taste but more correct to use the term house than residence. A man has a residence in New York, when he has lived here long enough to have the right to exercise the franchise here; an l he may hare a house in Fifth Arenue where he lices. People tho are lire in houves; perple who would be reside in resilences. The former buy things; the latter purchiose them.

Pest. S'ce Balayce.
Restive. Some of the dictionarics, Rishard Graut White, and some other writers, contend that this word, when properly
used, means unwilling to go, standing still stubbornly, obstinate, stubborn, and nothing else. In combating this opinion, Fitzedward Hall says: "Yery few instances, I apprehend, can be produced, from our literature, of this use of reatice." Webster gives impatient, uneasy, as a second meaning ; and this is the sense in which the word is nearly always used.

Retire. It is ouly the over-nice who use reitre in the sense of go to bed.

Reverend-Honorabie. Many persons are in doubt whether they should or should not put the before these adjectives. Emphatically, yes, they should. See "Words and Their Uses," ly Richard Grant White, for a full discussion of the question ; also, "Good English," by Elward S. Gould.

Rhetoric. The art which has for its object the reulering of langrage effective is called whetoric. Withont some study of the art of composition, no one can expect to write well, or to judge the literary work of others.
" True ease in writing comes from art, not chance, As those move easiest who have learned to dance."
Ride-Drive. Fashion, both in England and in this country, says that we must always' nse the second of these words when we speak of going out in a carriage, although ride means, according to all the lexicographers, "to be carried on a liorse or other animal, or in any kind of rehicle or cartiage."

Right. Singularly enough, this word is made, by some prople, to do service for ourght, in cluty hound, under obligation to; thus, "Sou had a riphl to tell me," meaning, "You should hare told me." "The Colmists contended that they houl no rijht to pay taxes," meaning, "They were under no obligation to pay taxes," i.e., that it was mjust to tax them.

Right here. The expressions "right here" and "right there" are Americanisms. Courcetly," just here" and "just there."

Rolling. The use of this participial adjective in the sense of mululating is said to be an Americanism. Whether an Americauism or not, it would seem to be quite unobjectionable.

Rubbers. This word, in common with gmms and arctics, is often, in defiance of good taste, nsed for orershofs.

Sabbath. This term was first used in Englanl for Sunday, or Lord's day, by the Puritans. Nowadays it is little used in this sense. The word to use is Stinday-

Sarcasm. Bain says that sarcasm is vituperation softencl in the outward expression by the arts and figures of disguise-epigram, innuendo, irony - and embellished with the figures of illustration. Crabb says that sarcasm is the indulgence only of personal resentment, and is never justifiable.

Satire. The holding up to ridicule of the follies and weaknesses of mankind, by way of rebuke, is called satire. Satire is general rather than individual, its object being the reformation of abuses. A lampoon, which has been defined as a personal satire, attacks the individual rather than his fault, and is intended to injure rather than to reform.

Said Sheridan: "Satires and lampoons on particular people circulate more by giving copies in confidence to the friends of the parties than by printing them."

Saw. The imperfect tense of the verly to see is carelessly used by good writers and speakers when they should use the perfect: thus, "I never sew anything like it before," when the meaning intented is, "I hare never [in all my life] sern anything like it before [until now]." We say properly, "I never san anything like it when I ras in Paris"; bout, when the period of time referred to extends the the time when the statement is made, it must be huve seen. Like mistakes are made in the lise of other verbs, but they are hardly as
common; yet we often hear such expressions as, "I was never in Philadelphia," "I never went to the theatre in my life," instead of hate been in Philadehphia, and hare gone to the thealre.

Soction. The usn of this word for region, neighborhood, ricinity. lat (of the town or country), is sail to be a Westennism. A setlen is a division of the public lands containing six humdred an ifo iy acres.

Seem-Appear. Graham, in his "English synonymes," says of these two words: "What seems is in the mink; what appears is external. Things appear as they present themselvos to the eye; they seem as they are represented to the mind. Things appear good or bad, as far as we can jutge by our senses. Things secm right or wrong as we determine by reflection. Perception and sensation have to do with appearing; reflection and comparison, with seeming. When things are not what they appeer, our senses are deceived; when things are not what they serm, ow judgment is at fault."
"No man had ever a greater power over himself, or was less the man he seemed to be, which shortiy after appeared to everybody, when he cared less to keep on the mask." Clarendon.

Seldom or ever. This phrase shonld be "seldom if ever," or "seldom or never."

Seiaphim. Tlis is the plural of seraph. "One of the seraphim." "To Thee cherubim and seraphim continnally do cry." Sec Cherusint.

Set-Sit. The fomer of these two verbs is often incorrectly used for the latter. To sut; imperfect tense, st ; participles, sellinit, wh. To sil; imperfoct tense, sul; participles, sittiny, sul. To sel nicans to put, to place, to plant; to put in any place, condition, state, or posturc. We say, to set about, to set against, to set out, to set going, to set apart, to
set aside, to set down (to put in writing). To sit means to rest on the lower part of the bolly, to repose on a seat, to perch, as a bird, etc. We say, "Sit up," i.e., rise from lying to sitting; "We will sit up," i.e., will not go to bed; "s゙it down," i. e., place yoursclf on a seat. We sit a horse and we sit for a portrait. Garments sit well or otherwise. Congress sits, so does a court. "I have sat up long enourh." "I have. set it on the table." We set down figures, but we sit down on the ground. We set a hen, and a hen sits on eggs. We should say, therefore, "as cross as a sitting [not, as a setting] hen."

Settle. This word is often inelegantly, if not incorrectly, used for pay. We pay our way, pay our fare, pay our hotelbills, and the like. See, also, Locate.

Shall and Will. The nice distinctions that shonld be made between these two auxiliaries are, in some parts of the English-speaking world, often disregarded, and that, too, by persons of high culture. The proper use of shall and will can much better be learned from example than from precept. Many persons who use them, and also shoulle and would, with well-nigh unerring correctness, do so unconsciously; it is sinply habit with them, and they, though their culture may be limited, will receive a surt of verbal shock from Biddy's inquiry, " Will I put the kettle on, m'am?" when your Irish or Se, tch conntess would not be in the least disturbed by it.

Shill, in an affrmative sentence, in the forst person, and will in the second and third persons, merely announce future action. Thins, "I shall go to town to morrow:" "I shall not; I shall wait for better weather." "We shall be glad to see yon." "I shall soon be twenty." "We shall set ont early, and shall try to arrive by noon." "You rill be pleased." "You will soon be tweuty." "You will find him honest." "He will go with us."

Shall, in an affirmative sentence, in the second and thirl persons, amonnces the sperakers intention to control. Thus, "You shull hear me ont." "Jou shull go, sick or well." "He shall be my heir." "They shall go, whether they want to go or not."

Will, in the first person, expresses a promise, anomones the speaker's intention to control, proclaims a determination. Thus, "I wil' [I promise to] assist you." "I will [I am determined to] have my right." "We will [we promise to] come to you in the morning."

Shall, in an interrogatire sentence, in the first and thirel persons, consults the will or judyment of cmother; in the second person, it inquires concerning the intention or fulure action of amother. Thus, "Shull I go with you?" "When shall we see you again?" "When shull I receive it?" "When shall I get well?" "When shall we get there?" "Shall he come with us?" "Shall you demand indemmity:" "Shall you go to town to-morrow?" "What shall you do about it?"

Whll, in an interrogative sentence, in the second person, astis concerning the wish, and, in the third person, concerning the purpose or future action of others. Thus, "Will you have an apple?" "Will you go with me to my unele's?" "Will he be of the party?" "W'ill they be willing to receive us ?" "When will he be here?"

Will can not be used interrogatively in the first person singular or plural. We can not say, "Will I go?" "Will I help you ?" "Will I be late ?" "Will we get there in time?" "Will we see you again soon?"

Official courtesy, in order to avoid the somblance of com pulsion, conveys its commands in the you-wi/l form instead of the strictly.grammatical you-sluall form. It says, for example, "You will proceed to Key West, where you will find further instructions a waiting you.'

A clever writer on the use of shall and will says that whatever concerns one's beliefs, hopes, fears, likes, or dislikes, can not be expressed in conjunction with $I$ will. Are there no exceptions to this rule? If I say, "I think I shall go to Philarlelphia to-morrow," I convey the impression that my going depends upou circumstances beyond my control ; but if I say, "I think I will go to Philarlelphia to-morrow," I convey the impression that my going depends upon circumstances within my control-that my going or not depenils on mere inclimation. We certainly mmst say, "I fear that I shell lose it": "I hope that I shail be well"; "I believe that I shall have the ague"; "I hope that I shall not be left alone"; "I fear that we shall have bad weather'; 'I shell clislike the country"; "I shall like the performauce." The writer referred to asks, "How can one say. 'I will hare the headache '?" I answer, Very casily, as every young woman knows. Let us see: "Mary, you know you promised John to drive out with him to-morrow; how shall you get out of it?" "Oh, I will have the headache!" We request that people will do thus or so, and not that they shall. Thus, "It is requested that no one will leare the room."

Shall is rarely, if ever, used for will; it is will that is used for sholl. Expressious like the following are common: "Where will you be next week?" "I will be at home." "We will have dinner at six oclock." "How will you go about it?" "When will you hegin?" "When will yon set out?" "What will you do with it?" In all such expressions, when it is a question of mere future action on tire part of the person speaking or spoken to, the auxiliary must be shall, and not will.

Should and would follow the regincm of shull and will. Would is often used for should ; should rarely for would. Correct speakerssay: " 1 shozthl go to town to-morrow if I hatl
a horse." "I should not; I should wait for better weather." "We shon'l be glad to sea yon." "We shou'd have started earlier, if the weather hanl been clear." "I should like to go to town, and rould go if I conk." "I rould assist you if I could." "I should have been ill if I had gone." "I would I were home again!" "I should go fishing to-day if I were home." "I shou'd so like to go to Europe!" "I should prefer to see it first." "I should be delighted." "I should he glad to have you sup with me." "I knew that I should be ill." "I feared that I should lose it." "I hoped that I should? see him." "I thought I should have the ague." "I hoped that I should not be left alone." "I was afraid that we should have bad weather." "I kisew I should dislike the country." "I should not like to do it, and will not [determination] unless compelled to."

Shimmy. "We derive from the French language our word chemise-pronouncel shemmeeze. In French, the word denotes a man's shirt, as well as the under garment worn by women. In this country, it is often pronounced by people who should know better-shimmy. Rather than eall it shimmy, resume the use of the old English words shift and smock. Good isage unqualifiedly eondemns gents, pants, kids, gums, and shimmy."-"Vulgarisms and Other Errors of Speech."

Should. See Orgnr.
Sick-Ill. These words are often med indisuriminately. Sick, however, is the stronger word, and generally the better word to use. Ill is usel in Fngland more than with us: there sick is gencrally limited to the expressing of nausca; as, "sick at the stomach."

Signature, over or under? A man writes under, not over, a signature. Charles Dickens wrote under the signature of "Boz": Mr. Samuel L. Clemens writes under the signature
of "Mark Twain." The reason given in Webster's Dictionary for preferring the nse of under is alisurd: viz., that the paper is nulfer the haud in writine. The expression is clliptical, and has no reference to the position either of the siguature or of the paper. "Given under my hanl amd seal" means "under the guarantee of my signature an I my seal." "Under his own signature" or "name " means "under his own character, without disguise." "Under the signature of Boz" means "inder t'le disguise of the assumed name Boz." We alway: write under a crrtain date, th ugh the date be placed, as it often is, at the bottom of the page.

Signs. In one of the principal lusiness streets of New York there is a sign which reads. "German Lace Store." Now. whether this is a store that makes a specialty of German laces, or whether it is a store where all kinds of lace are sold, kept by a Geman or after the German fashion, is something that the sign doubtless means to tell us, but, owing to the absence of a hyphen !' German-Lace Store," or "German Lace-Store"), does not tell us. Nothing is more commen than erroneous punctuation in signs, and gross mistakes by the unlettered in the wording of the simplest printed matter.

The bad taste, incorreet punctuation, false grammar, and ridiculors non-ense met with on signs and placards, ami in advertisements, are really surp ising. Analfortisement tells us that "a pinow which assiste in procuring slcep is a benediction": a placard, that they have "Charlutie de Russe " tor saie within, which netas, if it meens anything, that they have for sale scnichody or foncthing called Clarlotte of Russian; aud, tinen, on how many signs do wh see the possessive case when the plural number is intended:

Simile. In rhetoric, a dircet and formal comparison is ealled a simile. It is gelerally denoted by lihe, as, or so: as,
"I have ventured,
Like little wanton boys that swim on bladers, These many summers in a sea of glory."
"Thy smile is dos the dawn of vemal day."-Shakespeare.
"As, down in the sumless retreats of the ocean.
sweet flow'rets are springing no mortal can see ;
So, deep in my hosom, the prayer of devotion,
Unheard by the world, rises silent to thee."-Moore.
"'Tis with our julgments as with oll watches; none (io just alike, yet each lelieves his own."-Pope.
" (irace abused brings forth the foulest deeds,
As richest soil the most luxuriant weeds. "-Cowper.
" $A$ s no roads are so rough as those that have just been mended, so no simers are so intolerant as those who have just turned saints."-"Lacon."

Sin. See Crinue.
Since-Ago. Dr. Johnson says of these two adrerbs: "Reckoning time toward the present, we use since; as, 'It is a year since it happened': reckoning from the present, we use ago ; as, 'It is a year ago.' This is not, perhaps, always observed."

Dr. Johnson's rule will hardly suffice as a sure guide. Since is often used for ago, but afo mever for since. $A$ go is derived from the participle agome, while since comes from a preposition. We say properly, "not long" or "some time ugo [agone]." Since requires a verbal clatuse after it; ils, "'Since I saw you" ; "Since he was here."

Sing. Of the two forms-sang and suny-for the imperfeet tense of the rerb to sing, the former-sany-is to be preferrel.

Sit. See Set.
Slang. The slang that is heard among respectable poople is made up of gennine worls, to which in arbitrary meaning
is given. It is always low, generally coarse, and not unfrequently forlisl. With the exception of cant, there is nothing that is more to be shmmerl. We sometimes meet with persons of considerable enlture who interlard their talk with slang expressions, lint it is safe to assert that they are always persons of coarse natures.

Smart. See Clever.
Smell of. See Taste of.
So. Sce As; Scen ; Tifit.
So much so. "The shipments by the coast steamersare very large, so much so [large :"] as to tax the capacity of the different lines."-"Telegram," September 19, 1881. The sentence shonld be, "The shipments by the coast steamers are very large, so large as to tax," etc.

Solecism. In rhetoric, a solecism is defined as an offense against the rules of grammar by the use of words in a wrong construction ; false syntax.
"Modern grammarians lesignate hy solecism any word or expression which does not agree with the established nsage of writing or speaking. But, as customs change, that which at one time is considered a solecism may at another be regarded as correct language. A solecism, therefore, differs from a barbarism, inasmuch as the latter consists in the use of a word or expression which is altogether contrary to the spirit of the language, and can, properly speaking, never becone established as correct language."-"Pemy C'yclonedia." See, also, Barbarism.

Some. This worl is not mufrequently misusel for somewhat; thus, "She is some better to-day." It is likewise often misused for ahout; thus, "I think it is some ten miles from here ": real," "about ten miles from here."

Specialty. This form has within a recent perion bren generally substituted for speciality. There is no apparent
reason, however, why the $i$ shonld be dropped, since it is required by the etymology of the word, and is retained in nearly all uther worls of the same formation.

Specious Fallacy. A fillucy is a sophism, a logical artifice, a deccitful or false appearance; while specious means having the appearance of timth, platil, He. Hence we see that the rery essence of a fint'ury is its specionsmess. We may very perparly say that a jaltoy is m 小⿺ or less spocioue, but we can nut properlys sy that a fallacy is specions, since without speriousness wo can have no fallacies.

Spleniaid. This pror wuil is usel by the gentler sex to qualify well-nigh everything that has their approval, from a sugar-plum to the national capitol. In fact, spicmeliel and aroful seem to be abont the only adjectives some of our superlative yonug women have in their vocabularies.

Standpoint. This is a worl to which many students of English serivu-ly object, and among them are the editors of some of our daily papers, who do not allow it to appear in their columns. The phrase to which no one objects is, point of riew.

State. This word, which properly means to make known specifically, to explain particularly, is often misused for say. When sty says all one wats to say, why use a more pretentious word?

Stop. "Where are you stopping?" "At the Metropolitan." The proper word ts use here is staying. To stop means to cease to go forwarl, to leare off ; and to st'y meaus to abille, to tarry, to dwell, to soj u:n. We stay, nos stop, at home, at a hotel, or with a friend, as tixe c se may be.

Storm. Many persons inculge in a carcless use of this word, using it when they mean to say simply that it rains or snows. To a storm a viulent commotion of the atmosphere is indispensable. A very high wind constitutes a storn, though it be dry.

Straightway. Here is a good Anglo-Saxon word of two syllables whose place, without any gorl reason, is being usurped by the Lat in worl immediutely, of fice syllables.

Street. We live in, not on-meet our acq:aintances in, not on-things occur in, not on-houses are built in, not on, the street, cul so forth.

Style. This is a tem that is usel to character:ze the peculianities that distinguish a witcror a compsition. Currectness and clearness properly belong to the domain of di:tion; simplicity, conciseness, ghavity, elegance, diffusenes, floridity, fo:ce, feehbne:s, cuarseness, etc., bclong to the domain of style.

Subjunctive Mood. This mood is mpopmlar with not a few now-a-lay grammarians. One says that it is rapilly falling into disuse; that, in fact, there is good reason to suppose it will soon become obsolete. Another says that it would, perhaps, be better to abolish it entirely, as its use is a continual source of dispute among grammarians and of perplexity to schools. Another says that it is a universal stumbling-hlock; that nobody secins to understand it, although almost everybody attempts to use it.

That the subjunctive mood is much less used now than it was a hmolred years ago is certain. but that it is obsolescent is very far from certain. It would not be easy, I think, to find a single contemporary writer who does not use it. That it is not always easy to retermine what form of it we should employ is very true; but is we are justified in abolishing it altugether, as Ar. Chamller suggests, hecause its correct use is not always easy, then we are also justified in abolizhing the use of shell and will, and of the prepositions, fur surely their right use is likewise at times most puzzling. Meamwhile, most persons will think it well to leara to $1=e$ the subjunctive mood properly. With that olject in riew, one can not, per-
haps，do better than to attem to what lr，Alexander Bain， I＇rofessor of Lagic in the University of Aberlecn，says upon the suhject．In E＇rofessor Bain＇s＂Higher English Grammar＂ we fincl：
＂In subordinate clanses．In a clause expressing a con－ dition，aud introduced by a conjunction of condition，the verb is sometimes，lut not always，in the subjunctive mool：＇If I be able，＇＇if I wrere strong enongl，＇，＇if thon should come．＇
＂The subjunctive inft xions have been wholly lost．The sense that something is wanting appears to have led many whiters to use indicative forms where the suljunctive might be expected．The tenleney appears strongest in the case of ＇wort，＇which is now msel as indicative（for＇wast＇）only in poctical or clevated langrage．
＂The following is the rule given for the use of the sul）－ junctive mood：
＂When in a conditional clanse it is intended to express doubt or denial，use the suljunctive mood．＊＇If I urre sure of what you tell me，I would go．＇
＂When the conditional clanse is affirmatire and rertain， the verb is indicutire：＇If that is the case＇（as you now tell me，and as I believe），＇I can understand yon．＇This is equiva－ lent to a clause of assumption，or supposition：＇That being the case，＇＇inasmuch as that is the case，＇ete．
＂As futurit！＂is by its nature mucertain，the suljunctive is estensively used for future comlitionality：＇If it rain，we shall not be ahle to go＇；＇if I he well＇；＇if he come shortly＇； ＂if thou icturn at all in peace＂：＇tlough he slay me，yet will I trust in him．＇These events are all in the uncertain future， and are put in the subjunctive．+

[^18]"A future result or consequence is expressed by the subjunctire in such instances as these: 'I will wait till he return'; 'no fear lest dinner cool'; 'thou shalt stone him with stones, that he die'; 'take heed lest at any time your hearts be overcharged with surfeiting.'
"Uncertainty as to a past event may arise from our own ignorance, in which case the subjunctive is properly employed, and serves the useful purpose of distinguishing our ignorance from our knowlerlge. 'If any of my readers has looked with so little attention upon the world aronud him'; this wonld nean- 'as I know that they have.' The meaning intended is probably-' as I do not know whether they have or not,' and therefore the subjunctive 'have' is preferable. 'If iguorance is bliss,' which I (ironically) admit. Had Gray been speaking serionsly, he would have said, 'if ignorance be bliss,' he hinself dissenting from the proposition.
"A wish contrary to the fact takes the subjunctive: 'I wish he were here' (which he is not).
"An intention not yet carried ont is also subjunctive: 'The sentence is that you be imprizoned.'
"The only correct form of the future subjunctive is-' if I should.' We may say, 'I do not know whether or not I shall come'; but 'if I shall come,' expressing a condition, is not an English construction. 'If he will' has a real meaning, as

[^19]being the present subjunctive of the verb 'will': ' $i$ he be willing,' 'if he have the will.' It is in accordance with good usage to express a future subjunctive meaning ly a present tense; but in that case the form must be strictly suljunctive, and not indicative. 'If any member alhsents himself, he shall forfeit a pemy for the use of the club'; this onglit to be either 'ahsent,' or 'should absent.' 'If thou neglectest or doest unwillingly what I command thee, I will rack thee with oll cramps'; better, 'if thou neglect or do unwillingly,' or 'if thou shonld neglect.' The indicative would be justified by the speaker's belief that the supposition is sure to turn out to be the fact.
"The past subjunctive may imply denial; as, 'if the book were in the library (as it is not), it should be at your service.'
'" 'If the look be in the library,' means, 'I do not know whether it be or not.' We have thus the power of discriminating three different suppositions. 'If the book is in the library' (as I know it is) ; 'if it be' (I am uncertain) ; 'if it uere' (as I know it is not). So, 'if it rains,' 'if it rain,' 'if it rained.' 'Nay, and the villaias march wide between the legs, as if they hoel gyves on,' implying that they had not.
" The same power of the past tense is exemplified in 'if I could, I would,' which means, 'I can not'; whereas, 'if I can, I will,' means 'I do not know.'
"The past subjunctive may be expressed by an inversion: 'Had I the power,' 'reere I as I have been.'
"In Principal Clauses. - The principal clause in a conditional statement also takes the subjunctive form when it refers to what is future and contingent, and when it refers to what is past and uncertain, or denied. 'If he should try, he would succeed'; 'if I had seen him, I should have asked him.'
"The usual forms of the subjunctive in the principal clause are 'would,' 'should,' 'would have,' 'should have';
and it is to be notel that in this application the secel per suns tike the inflexional euding of the indicative: 'shouldst, 'woulilst.'
"' If 'twere done when 'tis done, then 'tioere (would be) well It trare (should be) done quickly.'
" The Figlish idiom appears sometimes to permit the use of an indicative where we should expect a subjunctive form. 'Many acts, that had been otherwise blamable, were em ployed ; ' I leal fainted, unless I had believed,' etc.
"' Which else lie furled and shrouded in the soul.'
"In 'else" there is implied a conditional clause that would snit 'lie'; or the present may be regarded as a more vivid form of expression. 'Had' may be indicative; just as we sonretimes find pluperfect indicative for pluperfect subjunctive in the same circumstances in Latin. We may refer it to the geueral tendency, as already seen in the uses of 'could,' 'would,' 'shoald,' etc., to express conditionality by a past tense; or the inlicative may be used as a more direct and sivil mode. 'Had' may be snbjunctive; 'I h'td fainted' is, in constraction, analogous to 'I should have fainted'; the worl for futurity, 'slall,' not being necessary to the seuse, is withdrawn, and its past inflexion trausferred to 'have.' Compare Germ. wïrle huhen and hätte."

In aldlition to the foregoing, we find in Professor Bain's "Composition frammar" the following:
"The casc most suited to the snbjunctive is contingent futurity, or the expression of an event nonkown absolutely, as being still in the future: 'If to-morrow lie fine, I will walk with you,'
"، Unless I were prepared,' insinuates pretty strongly that I am or am not prepared, according to the manner of the principal clause.

## "' What's a tall man unless he fight?"

"، The sword hath entled him: so shall it thee, Unless thon yield thee as my prisoner.'
"' Who but most laugh, if such a man there be?
Who would not weep, if Atticns accre he?'
"'I am to second Ion if he fosil'; the failing is left quite doubtful. 'I should very imperfectly execute the task which I have undertaken if I were merely to treat of battles and sieges.' Maeaulay thas implies that the scope of his work is to be wiler than mere battles and sieges.
"The suljunetive appears in soms other constructions. 'I hope to see the exhibition before it close'; 'wait till he return'; 'thon shalt stand by the riser's brink against he come'; 'take hed lest passion sucty thy judgment'; 'speak to me, though it be in wrath'; 'if he smite him with an instrument of iron so that he die, he is a murderer'; 'beware this night that thon cross not my footsteps' (Shelley).
"Again. 'Whatever this be'; 'whoever he be'; 'howe'er it be' (Tennyson) ; and such like.
"' And as lony, O God, as she Hare a grain of love for me, So long, no donbt, no doubt, Shall I nurse in my tark heart, However weary, a spark of will Not to be trampled out.'
"The Future Subjunctive is given in our scheme of the verb as 'siould' in all persons: 'If I should, if thou shonkl, if he sheuld.' In old English, we have 'thou shouldst': 'if thou, Lord, shouldst mark iniquities.'
"An inverted conditional form has taken deep root in our language, aud may be regarded as an clegant and forcible variety. While dispensing with the conjumetion, it does not cause ambiguity ; nevertheless, conditionality is well marked.
"'If son should abanclon your Penelope and your home for Calypso, --': 'should you abandon -..' "' 'G'o not my horse the better, I must become a borrower of the night For a dark hour or turin.'
" 'Here had we now our country's hono: roof'd Were the grace:l person of our Banquo present.'
"' Be thou a spirit of health or goblin damn'd, Briny with thee airs from heaven or blasts from hell, Be thy intents wicked or charitable,
Thou com'st in such a questionable shape That I will speak to thce.'
"' Come one, come all, this rock shall fly From its firm base as soon as I.' -Scott.
"The following examples are given by Mätzner:
"'Varney's communications, be they what they might, were operating in his favor. - scott.
". 'Governing persons, vere they never so insignificant intrinsically, have for most part plenty of Memoir-writers.' Carlyle.
"' Even vere I disposed, I could not gratify the reader. 'Warren.
"' Bring them back to me, cost what it may.'-Coleridge, - Wallenstein.'
"' Aud will you, nill you, I will marry you.' ' Taming of the Shrew.'
"Were is used in the principal clause for 'shonld be " or 'won'd be.' *
" ' I $w^{\circ}$ re (=should be) a fool, not less than if a panther Were panic-stricken by the antelope's eye, If she escape me.'-Shelley.

* "So, in G=rman, väre for wiirde zein. 'Hatt' ich Schwincen, hatt' Fligel, nach den Higeln zjo' ich hin,' for 'miscle ich ziehe'n."
" Where you but riding forth to air yourself, Such pirting were too petty.'
" "He uere" (= woukl be) no lion, were not Romans hinds."
" Should he be rouseal out of his sleep to-night, . . .
It were not well; indeed it were not well.'-shelley.
"Had is sometimes used in the principal clanse for 'shonkl have' or 'wonld have.' *
"Had I known this hefore we set ont, I think I houl ( $=$ would have) remained at home. '-sicott.
" 'Hadst thou been kill'd when first thou didst presume,
Thon halst not lived to kill a son of mine.'
" ' If he
Had killed me, he had done a kinder deed.'
" ' For once he had been ta'en or slain,
An it had not been his ministry.' -Scott.
"' If thou havlst said him nay, it huel been sin.' +
'" 'IIad letter, rather, best, as lief, as well,' ete., is a form that is explained under this heading. 'Had' stands for 'would have.' The explodel notion that 'had' is a corrupted 'would ' must be guarded against.
"'I hud as lief not he." That is-'I would as lief hure not ( $f 0$ ) be.' $=$ 'I wonld as willingly (or as soon) have nonexistence,'
" 'Houl you rather Cæsar were living -_?' 'Wouhl you rather have (wouhd yon Imejer that) Cesar were living?'
"'He hal better reconsiter the matter' is 'he would better hace (to) reconsider the matter,'

[^20]"' I had rather be a kitten and cry mew
Than one of these same metre ballad-mongers;
I houl rather hear a brazen canstick turnel.'
"Let us compare this form with another that appears side by side with it in early writers. (Cp. Lat. 'habco' and 'mihi est.)
"The construction of 'had" is thus illustrated in Chancer, as in-Nonne Prestes Tale, 3 C0:
"'By Gol, I hurlde levere than my scherte, That ye hadde rad his legend, as I have.'
"Compare now:
" 'Ah me rere !evere with lawe looxe my lyf
Then so to fote hem falle.'-Wright, 'Polit. S.'
" Here 'were' is unquestionably for 'would be'; and the whole expression might lee given by 'had,' thus: 'Ah, I hadde levere---_,' '(to) loose' and '(to) falle,' changing from subjects of 'were' to objects of 'hadike.'
"So, in the Chancer example above, if we substitute ' be for 'have,' we shall get the same meaning, thus : 'By God, me were levere-..' The interchange helps us to see more clearly that 'hadde' is to be explained as subjunctive for 'wohld have." S" See Indicative and Scbuexetive.

Such. "I have never lefore seen such a large ox." By a little transposing of the words of this sentence, we have, "I have never before seen an ox such large," which makes it quite clear that we shoukl say so large an ox and not such a large or. As proof that this error in the use of such is common, we find in Mr. George Washington Moon's "Dean's English and Bad linglish," the sentence, "With i.ll due deference to such a high authority on such a very important matter." With a little transposing, this sentence is made to read, " With all due deference to an anthority such high on a matter such very important." It is clear that the scntence should read, "With

## THE VERBALIST.

all ine deference to so high an anthority on so very important a matter." The phrases, such a handsome, such a lovely, such a long, such narrow, etc., are incorrect, and should be so handsome, so luvely, so long, and so on.

Summon. This rerb comes in for its full share of mauling. We often hear such expressions as "I will summons him," insteal of summon him; and "He was summonsel," in. stead of smmmoned.

Superfluous Words. "Whenever I try to write well, I alocey.s fiud I can do it." "I shall have finished by the latter end of the week." "Iron sinks down in water." "He combined together all the facts." "My brother called ou me, and we both took a walk." "I can do it equelly as well as he." "We could not forbear from doiag it." "Before I go, I must first be paicl." "We were compelled to return back." "We furced them to retreat luck fully a mile." "His conduct was approved of by everyborly." "They conversed toycther for a long time." "The balloon rose up very rapilly." "Give me another one." "Come home as soon as ceer yon ean." "Who tiuds him in money?" "He came in last of ull." "He has !ot all he can carry." "What have you got?" "No matter what I have !!ot." "I have !ot the hearlache." "Hare you !!ot any brothers "." "No, but I have !got a sister." All the words in itu $i$ 's are superfluons.

Superior. This word is not muferuently nsed for able, excellent, gifted; as, "She is a superior woman," meaning an excellent woman; "He is a superior man," meaning an able man. The expression an inferior $m$ in is not less objectionable.

Supposititious. This word is properly user in the sense of put by a trick into the place or character belonging to another, spurious, counterfeit, not gemine; and improperly in the sense of conjectural, hypothetical, imaginary, presump-
tive; as, "This is a smpoxititious case," meaning an imagivary or presumptive case. "The English critic derived his materials from a stray copy of some supposititious indexes devised by one of the 'Post' reporters."-"Nation." Here is a correct use of the word.

Swosh. There is a kind of ill-balanced brain in which the reflective and the imaginative very much outweight the perceptive. Men to whom this kind of an organization has been given gentrally have active minds, but their minds never present anything clearly. To their mental vision all is illdefined, chaotic. They see everything in a haze. Whether such men talk or write, they are verbose, illogical, intangible, wili-o'-the-wispish. Their thoughts are phantomlike; like shadows, they continually escape their grasp. In their talk they will, after long dissertations, tell you that they have not said just what they would like to say; there is always a subtle, lurking something still unexpressell, which something is the real essence of the matter, and which your penetration is expected to divine. In their writings they are eccentric, vague, labyrinthine, pretentions, transcendental,* and frequently ungrammatical. These men, if write they nin t, should confine themselves to the descriptive; for when they enter the essayist's dumain, which they are very prone to do, they write what I will venture to call swosh.

We find examples in plenty of this kind of writing in the essays of Mr. Ralph Waldo Emerson. Indeed, the impartial critic who will take the trouble to examine any of Mr. Emerson's essays at all carefully, is quite sure to come to the con-

[^21]clusion that Mr. Emorson has seen everything he has ever made the suhject of his essays very much as London is seen from the top of St. Panl's in a fog.

Mr. Emereon's clefinition of Nature runs this: "Philoso" phically considerent, the miverse is composed of Nature and the Soul. Strietly speaking, therefore, all that is separate from us, all which philosophy distinguishes from the Not Me -that is, both Natmre anl Art, and all other men, and my own body-mmst be rankel muler this name 'N゙Attre.' In enumerating the values of Nature and casting up their sum, I shall use the worl in both senses-in its common and in its philosophical import. In inquiries so general as our present one, the inaceuracy is not material ; no confusion of thonght will occur. Nature, in the common sense, refers to essences unchanged ly man; space, the air, the biver, the leaf. Art is applied to the mixture of his will with the same things, as in a honse, a canal, a picture, a statne. But his operations, taken together, are so insignificant-a little chipping, baking, patching, and washing-that in an impression so grand as that of the world on the human mind they do not vary the result."

In "Letters and Sacial Aims" Mr. Emerson writes: "Eloquence is the power to translate a truth into language perfectly intelligible to the person to whom yon speak. He who would convince the worthy Mr. Domderhead of any truth which Dunderhead does not sce, must be a master of his at $t$. Declamation is common: but sueh possession of thought as is here requirenl, such practical chemistry as the conversion of a truth written in Goil's language into a tionth in Dumberhearl's languare, is one of the most beautiful and cogent weapons that is forgel in the shop of the livine Artificer."

The first paragraph of Mr. Emerson's "Essay on Art" rearls: "All departments of life at the present day-Trade.

Politics, Letters, Science, or Religion-seem to fcel, and to labor to express, the identity of their law. They are rays of one sun ; they translate each into a new langnage the sense of the other. They are sublime when seen as emanations of a Necessity contradistinguished from the rulgar Fate by being instant and alive, and dissolving man, as well as his works, in its flowing beneficence. This influence is conspicuously visible in the principles and history of Art." "

Another paragraph from Mr. Emerson's "Essay on Eloquence": "The orator, as we have seen, must be a substantial personality. Theu, first, he must have power of state-ment-must have the fact, and know how to tell it. In a knot of men conversing on any subject, the person who knows most about it will have the ear of the company, if he wishes it, and lead the conversation, no matter what genius or distinction other men there present may have; and, in any public assembly, him who has the facts, and can and will state them, people will listen to, though he is otherwise ignorant, though he is hoarse and nugrateful, thongh he stutters and sereams."

Mr. Emerson, in his "Essay on Prudence," writes: "There are all degrees of proficiency in knowledge of the world. It is sufficient to our present purpose to indicate three. One class live to the utility of the symbol, esteeming health and wealth a final good. Another class live above this mark to the beanty of the symbol, as the poct and artist, and the naturalist and man of science. A third class live above the beanty of the symbol to the beauty of the thing signified; these are wise men. The first class have common sense ; the second, taste; and the thiml, spiritnal pereeption. Ouce in a long time a man traverses the whole seale, and sees and eujoys the symbol solilly; then, also, has a clear rye for its beanty; and, lastly, whilst he pitches his tent on this sacred volcanic isle of nature, does not offer to build houses and barns
thereon, reverencing the splendor of God which he sces bursting throush each chink and cramny."

Those who are wont to accept others at their self-assessment and to see things through other people's eyes-and there are many such -are in danger of thinking this kind of writing very fine, when in fact it is not only the veriest swosh, but that kind of swosh that excites at least an occasional doubt with regard to the writer's sanity. We can make no greater m stake than tusuppose that the reason we do not understand these rhetorical contortionists is because they are so subtle and profound. We maderstand them quite as well as they understand themselves. At their very best, they are but incolherent diluters of other men's ideas. They have but one thing to recommend them-honesty. They believe in themselves.
"Whatever is dark is deep. Stir a puddle, and it is deeper than a well,"-Swift.

Synecdoche. The using of the name of a part for that of the whole, the name of the whole for that of a part, or the using of a definite number for an indefinite, is called, in rhetoric, synectoche. "The bay was covered with sails"; i. e., with ships. "The man was old, carewom, and gray"; i. e., literally, his. hair, not the man, was gray. "Nine tenths of every man's happiness depends on the reception he ineets with in the world." "He had seen seventy winters." "Thus spoke the tempter": here the part of the character is named that suits the occasion.
"His rouf was at the service of the outcast; the unfortunate ever found a welcome at his threshold."

Take. I copy from the "London Queen": "The verb to taie is open to being considered a vulgar verb when used in reference to dinner, tea, or to refreshments of any kind. ' Will yout tuke' is not considered comme il frunt: the verls in
favor for the offering of civilities being to hure." According to "The Queen," then, we must say, "Will you have some dimner, tea, coffer, wine, fish, beef, salad," etc.

Taste of. The redundant of, often used, in this country, in comection with the transitive verbs to taste and to smell, is a Yankeeism. We taste or smell a thing, not taste of nor smell of a thing. The neuter verbs to taste and to smell are of ten followed by of. "If butter tastes of brass." "For age but tastes of pleasures."
"Sou shall stifle in your own report, And smell of calumny."-Shakespeare.
Tautology. Among the things to be avoided in writing is turtolory, which is the repeuting of the same thouftht, whether in the same or in different words.

Tautophony. "A regard for harmony requires us, in the progress of a sentence, to avoid repeating a sound by em ploying the same word more than once, or using, in contiguous words, similar combinations of letters. This fault is known as tautolorfy."-Dr, G. P. Quackenbos, "Advanced Course of Composition and Rhetoric," p. 300. Dr. Quackenbos is in etror. The repetition of the same sense is tautology, and the repetition of the same souncl, or, as Dr. Quackenbus has it, "the repeating of a sound by employing the same worl more than once, or by using in contiguous words similar combinations of letters," is tautophony.

Teach. To impart knowledge, to inform, to instruct; as, "Teach me how to do it": "T'eurh me to swim": "He taught me to write." The uncultured often misuse leurn for teuch. See Learn.

Tense. The errors made in the use of the tenses are manifold. The one most frequently made by persons of cul-ture-the onc that everybody makes wonld, perhaps, he nearer the fact-is that of using the imperject instead of the
perfect tense: thas, "I never sern it played but once": say, hote sech. "He was t're larsest mum I ever sute": say, huce seen. "I never in my lite harl such trouble": say, hare harl. Another freguent error, the making of which is not eonfined to the unschoolerl, is that of using two verhs in a pist tense when only one shouitl be in that time; thus, "I intendel to here gone": say, to go. "It was my intention to hure come": saly, to come. "I expected to hrue found you here": say, to find. "I was very desirous to lure gone": say, to go. "He was better than I expected to hove found him" say, to find.

Among other common errors are the following: "I seen him when he done it": say, "I suw him when he rlid it." "I should have went home ": say, gone. "If he had went": say, !fone. "I wish you had went": say, gone. "He has uent out": say, !one. "I come to town this morning": say, came. "He come to me for advice": say, came. "It leegun very late": say, bregan. "It hail already begren": say, begu". "The following toasts were dronk": say, drunk. "His text was that Goul was love": say, is love. Another error is made in such sentences as these: "If I had have known ": say, hael knorn. "If he hall hace come as he promised": say, hud come. "If you had hue told me": say, hud told.

Testimony. see Eviderce.
Than. Thun and as implying comparison have the same case after as hefore them. "He owes more than me ": real, than $I$-i. e., more than $I$ ouce. "John is not so old as her": read, as she-i.e., as she is. We should say, then, "He is stronger than she," "She is oller than lie," "Yon are richer than $I ;$ "ete. But it does not always happen that the nominative case comes after than or hs. "I love you more than him," "I give you more than him," "I love youl as well as him"; that is to say, "I love you more than I loce him," "I give you more than I yive him," "I love you as well as I love him.'

Take away him and put he in all these cases, ant the grammar is just as goorl, but the meaning is quite different. "I love you as well as him," means that I love you as well as. I love him; but, "I love you as well as he," means that I lore you as well ux he lores you.

Than whom. Cobbett, in his "Grammar of the English Language," says: "There is an erroneous way of employiug whom, which I must point out to your particular attention, because it is so often seen in very good writers, and bec.uuse it is very deceiving. 'The Dake of Argyll, than uhom no man was more hearty in the cause.' 'Cromwell, then whom no man was better skilled in artifice.' A hundred such phrases might be collected from Hume, Blackstone, and even from Drs. Blair and Johnson. Yet they are bad grammar. In all such cases who should be made use of: for it is nominative and not objective. 'No man was more hearty in the cause than he was'; 'No man was better skilled in artifice than he was,' ${ }^{*}$ It is a very common l'arliament-house phrase, and therefore presumably corrupt ; but it is a Dr. Johnson phrase, too: "Pope, thetn whom few men had more vanity.' The Doctor did not say, 'Myself, than whom few men have been found more base, having, in my dictionary, described a pensioner as a slave of state, and having afterward myself become a pensioner.'
"I differ in this matter from Bishop Lowth, who says that 'The relative who, having reference to no verb or preposition understood, but only to its antecelent, when it follows than, is aluays in the objectire case; even though the pronoun, if substituted in its place, would be in the nominative.' And then he gives an instance from Miltou. 'Beelzebub, than whom, Satan except, none higher sat.' It is curious enough

[^22]that this sentence of the Bishop is, itself, ungrammatical! Our poor unfortunate it is so placed as to make it a matter of donbt whether the bishop meant it to relate to who or to its antecedent. Howerer, we know its meaning; but, though be says that who, when it follows them, is always in the objective case, he gives us no reason for this departure from a clear gencral principle; unless we are to regard as a reason the example of Milton, who has committed many hundreds, if not thousands, of gramaatical errors, many of which the Bishop himself has pointel out. There is a sort of side-wind attempt at reason in the words, 'having reference to no rerb or preposition understood.' I do not see the rectson, even if this could be ; but it appears to me impossible that a nom or pronoun can exist in a grammatical state without having reference to some verb or preposition, either expressed or understood. What is meant liy Milton? 'Than Beelzebnh, none sat higher, except Satan.' And when, in order to avoid the repetition of the word Bcelzebub, the relative becomes necessary, the full construction must be, 'no devil sat higher than who sat, except Satan'; and not, 'no devil sat higher than uhom sat.'* 'The supposition that there can he a nom or pronoun which has reference to no rerb and no pre, osition, is certainly a mistakc."

Of this, Dr. Fitzedward Hall remarks, in his "Recent Exemplitications of False I'lihology ": "That any one but Cobbett would alide this as English is lighly improbable; and how the expression-a quite classical onc-which he discards can be justifier grammatically, except by calling its then a preposition, others may resolve at their leisure and pleasure."

Thanks. There are many persons who think it in questionable taste to use thamks for thank you.

That. The best writers often appear to grope after a separate employment for the several relatives.
"'That' is the proper restrictive, explicative, limiting, or defining relatire.
"' Thut,' the neuter of the definite article, was early in use as a neuter relative. All the other oldest relatives gradually dropt away, and 'that' came to be applied also to plural antecedents, and to masculines and feminines. When 'as,' 'which,' and 'who' came forward to share the work of 'that,' there seems to have arisen not a little uncertainty about the relatives, and we find curious double forms: 'whom that,' 'which that,' 'which as,' etc. Gower has, 'Venus whose priest that I am'; Chaucer writes-'This Abbot which that was an holy man,' 'his love the which that he oweth.' By the Elizabethan period, these double forms have disappeared, and all the relatives are used singly without hesitation. From then till now, 'that' has been struggling with 'who' and 'which' to regain superior favor, with varying success. - Who 'is used for persons, 'which' for things, in looth numbers; so is 'that'; and the only opportunity of a special application of 'that' lies in the important distinction between coördination and restriction. Now, as 'who' and 'which' are most commonly preferred for coördination, it would be a clear gain to confine them to this sense, and to reserve 'that' for the restrictive application alone. This arrangement, then, wonld fall in with the most general use of 'that,' especially beyond the limits of formal composition.
"The use of 'that'solely as restrictive. with 'who' and 'which solely as coördinating, ulso avoids ambiguities that often attend the indiscriminate use of 'who' and 'which' for coördinate and for restrictive clauses. Thus, when we say 'his conduct surprised his English friends, who har not known him long,' we may mean either that his English friends
generally were surprisel (the relative being, in that case, coirelinating), or that only a portion of them-namely, the particular portion that had not known him long-were surprised. In this last case the relative is meant to define or explain the antecedent, and the doubt would be remored by writing thus: 'his English friends thet had not known him long.' so in the following sentence there is a similar ambi- guity in the use of 'which': 'the next winter which you will spend in town will give you opportmities of making a more prudent choice.' This may mean, either 'you will spend next winter' in town' ('which being cörrinating), or 'the next of the winters when you are to live in-town,' let that come when it may. In the former case, 'which' is the proper relative; in the latter case, the meaning is restrictive or defining, and would be lest brought out by 'that' : 'the next winter that you will spend in town.
"A further consideration in favor of employing 'that' for explicative clanses is the unpleasant effect arising from the too frerfuent repetition of 'who' and 'which.' Grammarians often recomment 'that' as a means of varying the style; but this cud ought to be songht in subservience to the still greater end of perspienity.
"The following examples will serve further to illustrate the distinction between that, on the onc hanl, and who and which, on the other:
"' In general, Mr. Burchell was fondest of the company of children, whom he used to call harmless little men.' 'Whom' is here idiomatically used, being the equivalent of 'and theme he used to call,' etc.
" ' Bacon at last, a mighty man, arose,
Whoin a wise king and nation chose
Lord Chancellor of both their laws.'
Here, also, 'whom' is equal to 'and him.'
"In the following instance the relative is restrictive or defining, and 'that' wonld be preferable: 'the conclusion of the "Iliad" is like the exit of a great man out of company vhom he has entertained inarniticently.' Compare another of Addison's sentences: 'a man of polite imagination is let into a great many pleasures that the vulgar are not capable of receiving."
"Both relatives are introduced discriminatingly in this passage:-'she had learned that from Mr's. Wood, who had heard it from her husband, who had heard it at the publichouse from the landlord, who had been let into the secret by the boy that carried the beer to some of the prisoners.
"The following sentences are ambiguons under the modern system of using 'who' for both purposes:- 'I met the boatman who took me across the ferry.' If 'who is the proper relative here, the meaning is, 'I met the boatman, and he took me across, it being supposed that the boatman is known and definite. But if there be seseral boatmen, and I wish to iudicate one in particular by the cirenmstance that he hat taken me acruss the ferry, I shoull use 'that." "The yomgest boy who has learned to dance is Janes.' This mans either 'the youngest boy is James, and he has learned to dance, or, 'of the boys, the youngest that has learned to dance is James.' This last sense is restrictive, and 'that' shomll be used.
"Turning now to "which." we may have a series of parallel examples. 'The court, which gives currency to manners, should be exemplary' : here the meaning is 'the court should be exemplary, for the court gives currency to manners. 'Which' is the idiomatic relative in this case. 'The cat, which you despise so much, is a very useful animal.' The relatise here also is coörlinating, and not restrictive. If it were intended to point out one individual cat specially despised by the person addressed, 'that' would conrey the sense.
'A theory which does not tend to the improvement of practice is utterly unworthy of regard." The meaning is restrictive; 'a theory that does not tend.' The following sentence is one of many from Goldsmith that give 'that'instead of 'which' : -'Age, that lessens the enjoyment of life, increases our desire of living.' Thackeray also was fond of this usage. But it is not very common.
" "Their faith tended to make them improvident; but a wise instinct taught them that if there was one thing which uught not to be left to fate, or to the precepts of a deceased prophet, it was the artillery'; a case where 'that' is the proper relative.
" 'All words, which are signs of complex ideas, furnish matter of mistake.' This gives an erroneous impression, and should be 'all words that are signs of complex ideas.'
"'In all cases of prescription, the universal practice of judges is to direct juries by analogy to the Statute of Limitations, to decide against incorporeal rights which have for many years been relinquished' : say instead, 'incorporeal rights that have for many years,' and the sense is clear.
"It is necessary for the proper understanding of 'which' to arlvert to its peculiar function of referring to a whole clanse as the autecedent: 'William ran along the top of the wall, which alarmed his mother very much. The antecerlent is obviously not the noun 'wall, but the fact expressed by the eutire clause-'William ran, etc. 'He by no means wants sense. which only serves to aggravate his former folly'; namely, (not 'sense,' but) the circumstance 'that he does mot want sense.' 'He is neither over-exalted by prosperity, nor too much depressed by misfortune ; which you must allow marks a great mind.' 'We liare done many things which we onght not to hare done, ' might mean 'we ought not to hare done many things' ; that is 'we ought to have done few things.
'That' would give the exact sense intemled: 'we have done many things that we ought not to have done." 'He began to look after his affairs himself, which was the way to make them prosper.'
"We mmst next allude to the cases where the relative is governed by a preposition. We ean use a preposition before 'who 'and 'which,' but when the relative is 'that, ' the preposition must be thrown to the end of the clause. Owing to an imperfect appreciation of the genius of our language, offense was taken at this usage by some of our leading writers at the begimning of last century, and to this circum-tance we must refer the disuse of "that " as the relative of restriction.*
". It is curious that the only circmonstance comected with Scott, and related by Lockhart. of which I was a witness, is ineorrectly stated in the "Life of sir Walter.""-Leslie"s

[^23]'Memoirs.' The relative shonlil be restrictive: 'that I was a witness of.
"، There are many worls which are arljectives which have nothing to do with the qualities of the noms to which they are put.'-Cobbett. Better: 'there are many words that are adjectives that have nothing to do with the qualities of the nouns (that) they are put to.'
" 'Otiner ohjects, of which we have not occasion to speak so frequently, we do not designate by a name of their own.' This. if amended, woukl be: 'other objects thut we have not occasion to speak of so frequently, we do not,' etc.
"'sorrow for the deat is the only sorrow from which we refuse to he divorced': 'the only sorrow (that) we refuse to be divorcel from.'
"'Why, there is not a single sentence in this play that I do not know the meaning of."-Addison.
"'Originality is a thing we constantly clamor for, and constantly quarrel with.' - Carlyle.
"' A spirit more amiable, but less vigorons, than Luther's would have shrunk back from the dangers which he braved and surmonntel ': 'that he braved"; 'the dangers liraved and surmounted by him.
" 'Nor is it at all improbable that the emigrants had been guilty of those fanlts firom which civilized men who settle among an mucivilized people are rarely free.'-Macanay. 'Nor is it at all improbable that the emigrants had been guilty of the faults that (surh faults as) civilizen men that seftle (settliny, or seftled) among an uncivilized people are rarely free from.'
"' Prejulices are notions or opinions which the mind entertains withont knowing the grounds and reasons of them, and which are assentel to without examination."-Berkeley. The 'which' in both cases shonld be 'that,' bat the relative
may be entirely dispensed with by participial conrersion : 'prejudices are notions or opinions entertained by the mind without knowing the grounds and reasons of them, and assented to without examination.
"The too frequent repetition of 'who' ant 'which' may' be avoided by resolving them into the conjunction and personal or other prononn: 'In such circumstances, the utmost that Bosquet could he expected to ib was to hold his ground, (which) and this he did." - Bain's "Higher English (trammar."

This word is sometimes rulgarly used for so: thus, "I was that nervons I forgot everything"; "I was that frightened I could hardly stand."

The. Bungling writers sometimes write sheer nonsense, or say something very different from what they have in their minds, hy the simple omission of the definite article : thus, "The indebtedness of the English tonguie to the French, Latin and rreek is disclosed in alnost every sentence framed., According to this, there is such a thing as a French, Latin and (rreek tongue. Professor Townsend meant to say: "The indebtedness of the English tongue to the French, the Latin, and the Greek," etc.

Then. The use of this word as an adjective is condemned in very emphatic terms by some of our grammarians, and yet this use of it has the sanction of such eminent writers as Addison, Johnson, Whately, and Sir J. Hawkins. Johnson says, "In his then situation," which, if brevity be really the soul of wit, certainly has much more soul in it than "In the situation he then occupied." Howerer, it is doulbtful whether then, as an adjective, will ever again find favor with careful writers.

Thence. See Whexce.
Think for. We not unfrequently hear a superfinons for tacked to a sentence: thus, "lou will find that he knows more ahout the affair than you think for."

Those kind. "Those kind of apples are best": read, "That kiml of apples is best." It is truly remarkable that many persons who can justly lay claim to the possession of considerable culture use this barharous combination. It would be just as correct to say, "Those flock of geese," or "Those irove of cattle," as to say, "Those sort or linel of people."

Those who. This phrase, applied in a restrictive sense, is the molem substitute for the ancient idiom they that, an idlom in accorlance with the true meaning of thut.
"، They thet' told me the story said'; 'Blesserl are they that monrn': 'and simon ami then that were with him'; 'I love them that love me, ant they thut seek me early shall find me'; 'they that are whole have no need of a physician'; 'how sweet is the rest of them that labor!' 'I can not tell who to compare them to so fitly as to them that pick pockets in the presence of the judge'; 'the! thut enter into the state of marriage cast a lie of the greatest contingeney' (J. Taylor).
.. That man hath perfect blesserlness
Who walketh not astray,
if expressed according to the old idiom would be, 'the man hath-that walketh.'
"'That' aud 'those,' as demonstrative adjectives, refer backward, and are not therefore well suited for the forward reference implied in making use of 'that which' and 'those Who' as restrictive relatives. It is also very cumbrous to say 'thut case to which you allude' for 'the case (thut) you allude to.'
"Take now the following: "The Duke of Wellington is not one of those who interfere with matters orem which he has no control': 'the Duke is not one of them that interfere in matters that they have no control ocer (matters that they can not control, beyoud their control, out of their morince).' If 'them that' sounds too antiquated, we may adopt as a con-
venient compromise, 'the Duke is no, one of those that ': or, 'the Duke is not one to interfere in matters out of his province'; 'the Duke is not one that interjeres with what he has no control orer.' '"-Bain.

Threadbare Quotations. Among the things that are in bad taste in speaking and writing, the nse of threadbare quotations and expressions is in the front rank. Some of these users ef cussis. old-timers are the following: "Their name is legion"; "hosts of friends "; " the upper ten ": "Yariety is the spice of life "; "Distance lenls enchantment to the riew"; "A thing of beauty is a joy for ever": "the light fantastic toe": "own the sort impeachment"; "fair women and brave men"; "revelry by night ": "A rose by any" other name would smell as sweet."

To. It is a well-estahlishel rnle of grammar that to, the sign of the infinitire moorl, shonld not be used for the intinitive itself : thiss. "He has not done it, nor is he likely to." It shonld be. " nor is he likely to do it."

We often find to, when the sign of the infinitive, separater? by an alverb from the verb to which it lelongs. Professor A. P. P'eabody says that no stanlard English writer makes this mistake, and that, so far as he knows, it occurs freque. tly with lat one respectable American writer.

Very often to is used insteal of ut ; thus, $" l$ have been to the theatre, to churd, to my uncle s, to a concert," aud so on. In all these cases, the preposition to use is clearly at, aud not to, see, also, Asis.

To the Fore. An old illiomatic phrase, now freely used again.

Tongue. "Much tongue amd much jad ment selfom go together."-L'Estrange. See Lavitrdiz.

Toward. Those who profess to know about such things say that etymology furnishes no pretext for the akding of s to
werd in such worls as buckward, formard, tomard, upward, onward, downucted, ujtermard, heatemeard, earthewerd, and the like.

Transferred Epithet. This is the shifting of a qualifying worl from its proper subject to some allied subject. Fxamples:
"The little fiehls mate green
By husbandry of many theifty y ars."
"He plods his wetr!! wry." " Hence to your ille bet !" By this figure the diction is rendered more terse and rigorous; it is much used in verse. For the sake of conciseness, it is used in prose in such phrases as the humutic usylum, the criminal court, the comlemnet cell. the hind usylum. the choleru hospital, the foun liny asylum. and the like.
"Still in hamonions intercourse they liverl
The rural day, and talkel the flowing heart."
"There be some who, with everything to make them happy. plorl their discontented and melancholy way throngh life, less grateful than the torg that licks the hame that feeds it."

Transpire. This is one of the most frequently misused words in the language. Its primary meaning is to evaporate insensibly through the pores, but in this sense it is not used; in this sense we use its twin sister perspire. Transpire is now prgperly usen in the sense of to escape irom seerecy, to become known, to leak out: anl improperly used in the sense of to occur, to happen, to come ts pass, and to elapse. The word is correctly used thins: "You will not let a nord concerning the matter trensipire ": "It fromspires [leaks ont] that S. \& B. control the enterprise"; "soon after the funeral it tronspired [became known] that the dead woman was alive"; "It has trenspirel [leaker out] that the movement originatel with John Blank"; "No report of the proceedings was al-
lowed to transpire"; "It has not yet transpired who the candidate is to be." The worl is incorrectly used thus: "The Mexican war trunspired in $184^{-}$"; "The drill will transpire under shelter ": "The accident trunspired one day last week"; "Years will transpire before it will be finis
"More than a ... rmaspired before it was revisited by civilized man."

Trifling Minutiæ. The meaning of trittes and of minutice is so nearly the same that no one probally ever uses the phrase tritting minutie except from thoughtlessuess.

Trustworthy, See Reliable.
Try. This word is often improperly used for make. We make experiments, not try them, which is as incorrect as it would be to say, tim the attempt, or the trial.

Ugly. In England, this worl is restricted to meaning illfarored; with us it is often used - and not without authority - in the sense of ill-tempered. vicious, ummanageable.

Unbeknown. This word is no longer used except lyy the unschoolerl.

Underhanded. This worl, though fond in the dictionaries, is a mulgarism, anl as :uch is to be aroided. The proper word is underluted. An undertund, not an muderhenderl, proceerling.

Universal-All. "He is universally esteemed by all who know him." If he is uni"risully estemed, he must be esteemed by all who know him; and, if he is esteemed by all who know him, he must he mire wally estemed.

Upward of. This phrase is often usel, if not improperly, at least inelegantly, for more than; thus. "I have been here for unuarl oi a year" : "For upurciol of three quarters of a century she has," etc., meaning, for more then three quarters of a century.

Utter. This veris is often misused for saty, expuess. To
utter means to speak, to monounce; aud its derivative uttersuce means the act, manner, or power of uttering, rocal expression; as, "the utterance of articulate sounds." We utler a cry; express a thought or sentiment; specti our mind; and, though prayers are saitl, they may be ultered in a certain tone or manner. "Mr. Blank is right in all he utters": read, say. " The court utleretl a sentiment that all will appland": read, expressed a sentiment.

The primary meaning of the adjective uttrr is outer, on the outside: but it is no longer used in this sense. It is now userl in the sense of complete, total, perfect, mere, entire ; but he who uses it indiscriminately as a synonym of these words will frequently utter ulter nonsense-i. e., he will utter that which is without the pale of sense. For example, we can not say utter concord, but we can say utter discord-i. e., without the pale of concord.

Valuable. The following sentence, which recently appeared in one of the more fastidions of our morning papers, is offerer as an example of extreme slipshodness in the use of language: "Sea captains are among the most culucuble contributors to the Park aviary." What the writer probably meant to say is, "Sea captains are anong those whose contributions to the Park ariary are the most valuable."

Vast. This word is often met with in forcible-feeble diction, where it is used instead of great or lerge to qualify such words as number, majority, multitude, and the like. Big words and expletives should be used only where they are really needed; where they are not really needed, they go wide of the object ained at. The sportsman that hunts small game with buck-shot comes home empty-handel.

Veracity. The loss would be a small one if we were to lose this word and its derivatives. Truth and its derivatives would supply all our needs. In the phrase so often heard,
"A man of truth and veracity," veracity is entirely superfluous, it having precisely the same meaning as truth. The phrase, "A big, large man," is equally good diction.

Verbiage. An unnecessary profusion of words is called verbiuge rerbosity, wordiness.
"I thought what I read of it verbiage."-Johnson.
Sometimes a better name than verbiage for wordiness would be emptiness. Witness: "Clearness may he developed and cultivated in three ways. (a) By constantly practicing in heart and life the thoughts and ways of honesty and frankness." The first sentence evidently means, "Clearness may be attainerl in three ways"; but what the second seutence means-if it means anything-is more than I can tell. Professor L. T. Townsend, "Art of Speech," vol. i, p. 130, adds: " This may be regarded as the surest path to greater transparency of style." The transparency of Dr. Townsend's style is peculiar. Also, p. 144, we find: "The laws and rules" thus far laid down ${ }^{2}$ furnish ample foundation for ${ }^{3}$ the general statement that an easy and natural \& expression, an exact verbal incarnation of one's thinking, ${ }^{5}$ together with the power of using appropriate figures, and of making nice discriminations between approximate synonyms, ${ }^{6}$ each being an important factor in correct style, are attained in two ways. ${ }^{7}$ (1) Through morals and mental discipline. (2) Through continuous and intimates acquaintance with such authors as best exemplify those attaimnents." 10

1. Would not laus corer the whole ground? 2. En passant I would remark that Dr. Townsend did not make these laws, thongh he so intimates. 3. I suggest the word justify instead of these four. 4. What is natnral is easy ; eusy, therefore, is superfluons. 5. If this means anything, it does not mean more than the adjective clear woull express, if properly used in the sentence. 6. Approximate synonyms!! Who ever
heard of any antagonistic or even of dissimilar synonyms?
-. The transparency of this sentence is not unlike the transparency of corrugated glass. S. What has morality to do with correctness? 9. An intimate acquaintance would suffice for most people. 10. Those attaimments! What are they ? Dr. Townsend's corrngatel style makes it hard to tell.

This paragraph is so badly conceived throughout that it is well-nigh impossible to make head, middle, or tail of it; still, if I am at all successful in guessing what Professor Townsend wanted to say in it, then-when shorn of its redundancy and high-flown emptiness-it will read somewhat like this: "The laws thus far presented justify the general statement that a clear and natural mode of expression-together with that art, of using appropriate figures and that ability properly to cliscriminate between synonyms whicix are necessary to correctness -is attained in two ways. (1) By mental discipline. (2) By the study of our best anthors.

The following sentence is from a leading magazine: "If we legin a system of interference, regulatiny menis yains, bolstering here, in order to strentthen this interest, [and] repressing e'senthore [there], in order to equalice wealth, we shail do an [a] immense deal of mischief, and without bringing abont a more agreeable condition of things them now [we] shall simply discourage enterprise, repress industry; and check material growth in ull directions." Read without the eighteen words in italics and with the four inclosed.
"Nothing disgusts sooner than the empty pomp of languacge."

Vice. See Crime.
Vicinity. This word is sometimes incorrectly used without the possessive pronoun; thus, "Washington and ricinity," instead of "Washington and it.s vicinity. The primary meaning of ricinity is nearnese, proximity. In many of the cases
in which vicinity is used, neighborhoorl would be the better word, though vicinity is perhaps preferable where it is a question of mere locality.

Vocation-Avocation. These words are frequently confonnded. A man's rocation is his profession, his calling, his business; and his arocations are the things that occupy him incidentally. Mademoiselle Bernbardt's cocation is acting; her arocations are painting and sculpture. "The tracing of resemblances among the objects and events of the world is a constant arocation of the human mind."

Vulgar. By the many, this word is probably more frequently used improperly than properly. As a noun, it means the common people, the lower orters, the multitude, the many; as an a ljective, it means coarse, low, unrefined. as "the vulgur people." The sense in which it is misused is that of immodest, indecent. The wearing, for example, of a gown ton short at the top may be inderent, lunt is not rulyar.

Was. "He sail he harl come to the conclusion that there mes. no God." " The greatest of Byron's works wer.: his whole work taken together."-Matthew Arnold. What is true at all times should be expressed loy using the verb in the present teuse. The sentences abore should read is, not was.

Wharf. See Dock.
What. "He would not believe but what I did it": rearl, but that. "I do not doubt but what I shall go to Boston tomorrow" : read, doubt that. We say properly, "I have nothing but what you see" ; "You have bronght everything but what I wanted."

Whence. As this adrerb means-maided-from what place, source, or canse, it is, as Thr. Johuson styled it, "ia vicious mode of speech" to say firom whonce, Milton to the contrary notwithstanding. Nor is there any more propriety in the phrase from thence, as thence means- unaided-from
that place. "W'hence do you come?" not "From whence do you come"" Likewise, "He went hence," noc "from hence."

Whether. This conjunction is often improperly repeated in a sentence: thus, "I have not decided whether I shall go to Boston or whether $I$ shull !o to Philadelphia."

Which. This pronoun as an interrogative applies to persons as well as to things; as a relative, it is now made to refer to thinys only.
"Which is employed in coördinate sentences, where it, or

- they, and a conjunction might answer the purpose; thus, "At school I studied geometry, which (and it) I found useful afterward.' Here the new clause is something independent added to the previous clause, and not limiting that clause in any way. So in the adjectival clause; as, 'He struck the poor dog, which (and it, or although it) had never done him harm.' Such instances represent the most accurate meaning of which. Who and which might be termed the courdinatist: relatives.
" Which is likewise used in iestrictice clanses that limit or explain the antecedent; as, 'The house which he built still remains.' Here the clause introduced by which specifies, or points out, the honse that is the subject of the statement, namely, by the circumstance that a certain person built it. As ręmarked with regard to who, our most idiomatic writers prefer that in this particular application, and would say, -The honse that he built rtill remains.' "
"Which sometimes has a special reference attaching to it, as the neuter relative: 'Cæsar crossed the Rubicon, which was in effect a declaration of war.' The antecedent in this instance is not Rubicon, but the entire clause.
"There is a peculiar usage where which may seem to be still regularly used in reference to persons, as in 'John is a soldier, which I should like to be,' that is, 'And I should like to be a soldier.'" see That.

Who. There are few persons, even among the most cultivated, who do not make frequent mistakes in the use of this pronoun. They say, "Who did yon see?" "Who did you meet?" "Who did he marry?" Who did you hear?" "Who did he know?" "Who are you writing to?" "W"ho are you looking-at?" In all these sentences the interrogative pronoun is in the objective case, and should be used in the objective form, which is whom, and not who. To show that these sentences are not correct, and are not clefensible by supposing any ellipsis whatsoever, we have only to put the questions in another form. Take the first one, and, instead of "W"ho did you see?" say, "Who saw you?" which, if correct, justifies us in saying, "Who knew he," which is the equivalent of "Who did he know?" But "Who saw you?" in this instance, is clearly not correct, since it says directly the opposite of what is intended.

Who was little used as a relative till about the sixteenth century. Bain says: "In modern use, more especially in books, who is frequently employed to introduce a clanse intended to restrict, define, limit, or explain a noun (or its equivalent): as, 'That is the man who spoke to us yesterday." "
"Here the clanse introduced by $w / h o$ is necessary to define or explain the antecedent the man; without it, we do not know who the man is. Such relatife clauses are typical adjective clauses-i.e., they have the same effect as adjectives in limiting nouns. This may be called the restrictive use of the relative.
"Now it will be found that the practice of our most idiomatic writers and speakers is to prefer that to who in this application.
"Who is properly used in such coördinate sentences as, 'I met the watchman, who toll me there had been a fire.' Here
the two clanses are distinct and independent; in such a case, and he might be sulsstituted for who.
"Another form of the same use is when the second clause is of the kind termed adverbial, where we may resolve who into a personal or demonstrative pronom and conjunction. 'Why should we consult Charles, who (jor he, seeing that he) knows nothing of the matter?'
"Who may be regarded as a modern objective form, side by side with uhom. For many gool writers and speakers say ' who are you talking of ?' ' who does the garden belong to ?' 'who is this for?' 'who from ?'" etc.

If this be true - if who may be regarded as a modern objective form, side by site with whom-then, of course, such expressions as "W'ho did yon see?" "Who did you meet?" "Who did he marry?" "Who were you with ?" "Who will you give it to?" and the like, are correct. That they are used colloquially by well-mgla everybody, no one will dispute; but that they are correct, few grammarians will concede. See That.

Whole. This word is sometimes most improperly used for all; thus, "The whole Germans seem to be saturated with the belief that they are really the greatest people on earth, and that they would be universally recognized as being the greatest, if they were not so exseeding modest." "The whole Russians are inspired with the belief that their mission is to conquer the world."-Alison.

Wholesonie. See Healthy.
Whose. Mr. George Washington Moon discountenances the use of whose as the possessive of which. He says, "The best writers, when speaking of inanimate objects, use of which instead of whose." The correctness of this statement is doubtfiil. The truth is, I think, that good writers use that form for the possessive case of which that in their judgment is, in
each particular case, the more euphonious, giving the preference, perhaps, to of which. On this subject Dr. Camplell says: "The possessire of who is properly whose. The pro noun which, originally indeclinable, had no possessive. This was supplied, in the common periphrastic manner, by the help of the preposition and the article. But, as this could not fail to enfeeble the expression, when so much time was given to mere conjunctires, all our best authors, both iu prose and verse, have now come recularly to adopt, in such cases, the possessive of who, and thus have substituted one syllable in the room of three, as in the example following: 'Philosophy, whose end is to instruct us in the knowledge of nature,' for 'Philosophy, the end of which is to instruct us.' Some grammarians remonstrate ; but it ought to be remembered that use, well established, must give law to grammar, and not graminar to use."

Professor Bain says: "Whose, although the possessive of who, and practically of which, is yet frequently employed for the purpose of restriction: 'We are the more likely to guard watchfully against those faults whose deformity we have seen fully displayed in others.' This is better than 'the deformity of volich we have scen.' 'Propositions of whose truth we have no certain knowle.lge.'-Locke." Dr. Fitzedward Hall says that the use of whose for of wohich, where the antecedent is not only irrational but inanimate, has bad the support of high authority for several hundred years.

Widow Woman. Since widows are always women, why say a widow woman? It would be perfectly correct to say a willowed woman.

Widowheod. There is goorl authority for using this word in speaking of men as well as of women.

Without. This word is often improperly used instead of unless: as, " You will never live to my age without you keep
yourself in breath and exercise＂；＂I shall not go without my father consents＂：properly，unless my father consents，or， without my father＂s consent．

Worst．We should say at the rorst，not at roorst．
Wove．The past participle of the verls to weave is woven． ＂Where was this cloth woven？＂not wore．

You are mistaken．See Mistaken．
You was．Good usage does，and it is to be hopol always will，consider you was a gross vulgarism，certain grammariaus to the contrary notwithstanding．You is the form of the pronoun in the second person plural，and must，if we would speak correctly，be used with the corresponding form of the verb．The argument that we use you in the singular number is so nonsensical that it does not merit a moment＇s considera－ tion．It is a custom we have－and hare in common with other peoples－to speak to one another in the second person plural，and that is all there is of it．The Germans speak to one another in the third person plural．The exact equivalent in German of our How are you？is，How are they？Those who would say you was should be consistent，and in like manner say you has and you does．

Yours，\＆cc．The ignorant and obtuse not unfrequently profess themselves at the bottom of their letters＂Yours，\＆c．＂ And so forth！forth what？Few vulgarisms are equally offensive，and none could be more so．In printing corre－ spondence，the newspapers often content themselves with this short－hand way of intimating that the writer＇s name was preceded by some one of the familiar forms of ending letters； this an occasional dunderhead seems to think is sufficient authority for writing himself，Yours，dec．
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## INTROI)UCTION.

## I. LITERATURE AND ITS DEPARTMENTS.

1. Literature in its widest sense embraces all kinds of literary productions which have been preserved in writung; but is generally restricted to those works that come within the sphere of the literary ant or rules of rhetoric.
2. Classification.-Literature, in regard to its furm, is divided into (1) Prose and (2) Poetry. In regard to matter, it has three divisions: (1,) Composition, designed to inform the understanding by description, narration, or exposition ; (2) Oratory ; (3) Poetry.
3. Description, or descriptive composition, is of two kinds : (1) Objective, where the observer pictures what he describes as it is perceived by his senses or realized by his fancy ; (2) Subjective, where the observer, referring to the feelings or thoughts of his own mind, gives his impressions as they have been excited by the outward scene. Scott is a good example of an objectice, and Byron of a subjective writer.
4. Narration is that kind of composition which gives an account of the incidents of a series of transactions or oventu. It may also be aubjective or objective.
5. Exposition includes those literary productions where facts or principles are discussed and conclusions reached by a process of reasoning. It embraces various treatises, from the bricf editorial, or essay, to the full discussion in extensive works. To this class belongs the philosophic puem.
6. Oratory is that kind of composition in which arguments or reasons are offered to influence the mind. It admits of the following divisions: (1) Judicial, (2) Political, (3) Religious, and (4) Moral suasion.
7. Prose compositions are those in which the thoughts are arranged in non-metrical sentences, or in the natural order in common and ordinary language. The principal kinds of prose composition are narrative, letters, memoirs, history, biography, essays, plilosophy, serinons, novels, speeches, \&c.
8. Sentences are divided grammatically into simple, complex, compound, and also into declarative, interrogative, imperative, and exclamative. Rhetorically, they are divided into loose sentences and periods.
9. A loose sentence consists of parts which may be separated without destroying the sense. It is generally adopted by Addison.
10. A period is a sentence in which the complete sense is suspended until the close. The first sentence of Pararise Lost, and also the first sentence of the Tasl, Book III, furnish examples.
11. Poetry is that species of composition in which the words are metrically arranged. It also differs from prose in (1) having a greater number of figures of speech, (2) cmploying numerous archaic, or non-colloquial terms, (3) preferring epithets to extended expressions, (4) using sliort and euphonious words instead of what are long or harsh, and (5) permitting deviations from the rules of grammar,
12. Metre is defined as "the recurrence within certain intervals of syllables similarly affectec." This may arise from (1) alliteration, (2) quantity, (3) rhyme, (4) accent, or (5) the number of syllables.
13. Alliteration, which was the characteristic of Old English poetry, consisted in the repetition of the same letters.
14. Quantity has reference to the length of vowels or syllables. In the classical languages, quantity was measured by the length of syllables; in English, by the length of the vowels.
15. Rhyme is a similarity of sound at the end of words ; its essentials being (1) vowels alike in sound, (2) consonants before the vowels unlike, and (3) consonants after the vowels alike in sound. Poetry without rhyme is termed blank verse. Blank verse usually consists of five, or five and a half, feet.
16. Accent, which forms the distinguishing feature of English verse, is the stress on a syllable in a word.
17. Rhythm. - When the words of composition are so arranged that the succession of accented syllables produces harmony we have rhythm. When the accents occur regularly we have verse, or metre.
18. Couplets, triplets, \&c., are used to designate tivo, three, \&c., verses taken together.
19. Stanza is a term applied to a part of a poem con. sisting of a number of verses regularly adjusted to one another.
20. Feet. - A portion of a verse of poetry consisting of two or moro syllables combined accurding to accent is called a foot. Two syllables thus combined is called a dissyllabic foot, which may be (1) an iambus, when the accent is on the second syllable, or (2) a truchee, when the accent is on the first syllable, or (3) a spondee, when both are
accented, or both unaccented. Three syllables combined form a tri-syllabic foot, which may be a dactyl, an amphibrach, or an anapaest.
21. Monometer, dimeter, trimeter, tetrameter, pentameter, and hexameter, are terms that indicate the number of feet or measures in the verse. Thus five iambic feet are called iambic pentameter. This is the metre of the Deserted Village, The Task, and also of the principal epic, dramatic, philusiphic, and descriptive poems. From its use in epic poetry, where heroic deeds are described, it is called leroic measure. An iambic hexameter verse is called an Alexcundrine.
22. The Elgiac stanza consists of four pentameter lines rhyming alternately.
23. The Spenserian stanza consists of eight heroic lines followed by an Alexandrine.
24. Common Metre consists of four verses, the first and third being iambic tetrameters, and the second and fourth, which rlyme, iambic trimeters.
25. Shert Metre has three feet in the first, second, and fourth lines, and four in the third.
26. Long Metre consists of four iambic tetrameter lines.
27. Ottava Rima is a name applied to an Italian stanza consisting of eight lines, of which the first six rhyme alternately, and the last two form a couplet.
28. The Rhyme Royal consists of seven heroic lines, the first five recurring at intervals and the last two rhyming.
29. The Ballad Stanza consists of four lines, the first and third being iambic tetranteters, and the second and fourth iamhic trimeters.
30. Pauses. - Besides the usual pauses indicated by the punctuation and called sentential pauses, there are in poetir
diction the Final panse at the end of each line and the Cesural pause.
31. The Cæsural Pause is a suspension of the voice somewhere in the line itself. It is not found in short lines, and in long verses is movable. It generally occurs near the middle, but may come after the 4th, 5th, 6th, or 7th syllable. It is often found in the middle of a foot, but never in the middle of a word. Sometimes a secondary pause called demiccesural found before and also after the cersural.
32. Scansion is a term applied to the division of a verse into the feet of which it consists.
33. Classification of Poetry.-In respect to form and mode of treatment, poctry may be divided into (1) Epic, (2) Dramatic, and (3) Lyric.
34. Epic poetry is that variety in which some great event is described, or where the exploits of heroes are treated of. The leading forms of Epic poetry are these :(1) The Great Epic, as the Iliad, the Eneid, Paradise Lost; (2) The Romance, as the Faerie Queene, The Lady of the Lake; (3) The Ballad, as Chery Chase, Macaulay's Lay of Horatius; (4) The Historical Poem, as Dryden's Annus Mirabilis; (5) The Tale,as Byron's Corsair, Enoch Arden; (6) The Mixed Epic, as Byron's Childe Harold; (7) The Pastoral, Idyll, \&c., as the Cotter's Saturday Night, the Excursion ; (8) Prose Fiction, including sentimental, comical, pastoral, historical, philosophical, or religious novels.
35. Drawatic Poetry deals also with some important events, but differs from Epic poetry where the author himself narratos tho events forming its subject, in having the various chararters represent, in action or conversation, the story to be ilescribed. Dramatic poetry is of two kinds, (1) Tragedy, where the human passions and woes or misfortune of life s

- in such a manner as to ex.
cite pity, as Shakespeare's Macbeth or Hamlet; (2̃) Comedy, where the lighter faults, passions, actions, and follies are represented, as the Merchant of Venice.

36. Lyric Poetry is so called because originally written to be sung to the Lyre. Its principal kinds are: (1) The Ode, as Gray's Bard; (2) The Hymn, as those of Cowper ; (3) The Song, as those of Burns or Moore ; (4) The Elegy, as Gray's ; (5) The Sonnet, as those of Shakespeare or Wordscorth : (6) The simple Lyric, as Burns' Mountain Daisy.
37. Further Classification as to object will embrace ; (1) Descriptive poetry, as Thomson's Secasons; (2) Didactic, as Wordsworth's Excursion; (3) Pastoral, as Rumsay's Gentle Shepherd; Satirical, as Butler's Hudibras; (5) Humorous, as Cowper's John Gilpin.

## II. FIGJRES OF SPEECH.

33. A Figure is a deviation from the ordinary form or construction or application of words in a sentence for the purpose of greater precision, variety, or elegance of expression. There are three kinds, viz., of E'tymology, of Syntax, and of Rhetoric.
34. A Figure of Etymology is a departure from the usual form of words. The principal figures of etymology are: Aphceresis, Prosthesis, Syncope, Apocope, Paragcge, Diceresis, Synueresis, Tmesis.
35. Aphæresis. -The elision of a syllable from the beginning of a word, as 'neath fur beneath.
36. Prosthesis.-The prefixing of a syllable to a word, as agoing for going. If the letters are placed in the middle, Epenthesis, as farther for farer.
37. Syncope.-The elision of a letter or ayllable from the body of a word, as med'cine for medicine.
38. Apocopo.-The elision of a letter or syllable from the end of a word, as tho' for though.

44, Paragoge. -The annexing of a syllable to the end of a word as deary for dear.
45. Diæresis. -The divison of two concurrent vowels into different syllables, as co-operate.
46. Synœresis. -The joining of two syllables into one, in either orthography or pronunciation, as dost for doest, loved for lov-ed.
47. Tmesis.-Separating the parts of a compound word, as "What time soever." When letters in the same word are interchanged, as brunt for burnt, nostrils for nosethirles, the figure is called Metathesis.
48. A. Figure of Syntax is a deviation froniz the usual construction of a sentence for greater beauty or force. The principal figures of syntax are : Ellipsis, Pleonasm, Syllepsis, Enallage, Hyperbuton, Periphrasis, Trentology.
49. Ellipsis.-An omission of words with it rhetorical purpose, as "Impossible!" Asyndeton is the omission of connectives.
50. Pleonasm.-The employment of redundant words, as "Thy rod and thy staff, they comfort me."
51. Syllepis.-An inferior species of personification, as "The moon gives her light by night."
52. Enallage. - The substitution of one part of speech for another, as-
"Whether charmer sinner it or saint it If folly grow romantic I must paint it."-Pope.
53. Hyperbaton.-The transposition of words in a sentence, as " A mar he was to all the country dear."
54. Periphrasis or Circumlocution. - The employment of more words than are necessary to convey the sense, as the use of a definition or descriptive phrase instead of a
noun, as "He was charmod with the idea of taking up arms in the service of his country."
55. Tautology.-The repetition of the same sense is. different words, as-
"The dawn is overcast-the morning lowers, And heavily in clouds brings on the day." - Addison.
56. A Figure of Rhetoric is a form of speech artfully varied from the direct and literal mode of expression for the purpose of greater effect. Rhetorical figures may be divided into three classes.
57. I. Figures of Relativity. - Antithesis, Simile, Metaphor, Allegory, Personification, Apostrophe, Vision, Allusion, Irony, Sarcasm, Synecdoche, Metonymy, Euphemism, Litotes, Epithet, Catachresis.
58. II. Figrires of Gradation.-Climax, IIperbole.
59. III. Figures of Emphasis.-Epizenxis, Anaphora, Epiphorr, Anadiplosis, Epanalepsis, Alliteration, Anacoluthon, Aposiopesis, Paraleipsis, Erutesis, Epanorthosis, 心yl. lersis, Ecphonesis.
60. Antithesis.-The statement of a contrast of thoughts and words, as "The wicked flee when no man pursueth, but the righteous are bold as a lion."

Under this figure may be mentinned Oxymorm, or a contradiction of terms, as "a pious fraud"; Antimetabole, where the words are reversed in each member of the antithesis, as "A wit with dunces, and a dunce with wits."
61. Simile or Comparison. - A formal expression e resemblance, as: "He shall be like a trea planted by t\} rivers of water."
62. Metaphor.-An implied enmparison or a simils without the sign, as "Pitt was the pillar of the State."
63. Allegory.-A contimation of metaphors, or a story having a figurative meaning and designed to convey in.
struction of a moral character, as Bunyan's Pilgrim's Pro gress
(i4. Personification.-A figure in which some attribute of life is ascribed to inanimate objects, as "The mountains sing together, the hills rejoice and clap hands."
65. Apostrophe. - A turning off from the subject to address something absent, as "Death is swallowed up in victury. O Death, where is thy sting?"
66. Vision.-The narration of past or absent scenes as though actually present, as "I see before me the giadiator lie," etc.
67. Allusion.-That figure by which some word or phrase in a sentence calls to mind something which is not mentiond, as "It may be said of him that he came, he saw, he conquered."
(i8. Irony.-A figure by which we mean to convey a meaning the contrary of what we say, as where Elijah addresses the worshippers of Baal, "Cry aloud, for he is a gud."
69. Sarcasm.-A mode of expressing vituperation under a somewhat veiled form, as the Letters of Junius.
70. Synecdoche.-A figure where-

1. A part is put for the whole, as "A fleet of twenty sail."
2. The species for a genus, as "our daily bread."
3. The concrete for the abstract, as "The patriot comes forth in his politics."
4. The whole for a part, as "Belinda smiled and all the world was gay."
5. The genus for the species, as "The creature was sad."
6. The abstract for the concrete, as-
"Bolgium's capital had gathered then Her beauty and her chivalry.'

Antonomasia is a form of synecdoche where a proper noun is used to designate a class, as-
"Some village IIampden, that with dauntless breast, The little tyrant of his fields withstood."
71. Metonymy.-A figure where one thing is described by another thing in substituting-

1. The cause for the effect, as
" A time there was, ere England's griefs began, When every rood of ground maintained its man."
2. The effect for the cause, as "Gray hairs should be respected."
3. The sign for the thing signified, as "He carried away the palm."
4. The container for the thing contained, as "Ine toper loves his bottle."
5. The instrumeat for the agent, as "The pen is mightier than the sword."
6. An author for his works, as "We admire Addison."
7. Ec:phemism.-A figure by means of which a harsn expression is set aside and a softer one substituted in its place, as "The merchant prince has stopped paymert."
8. Litotes.-A figure in which by denying the contrary, more is implied than is expressed, as
" Immortal names,
That were not born to die."
74 Transferred Epithet.-An epithet joined to an. other tc explain its character, as "The sumy South"
9. Catachresis.-A figure where a word is wrested from its original application and made to express something at variance with its true meaning, as "Her voice was but the shadow of a sound."
10. Climax.-An ascending series of thoughts or statements increasing in strength, as "What a piece of wort
is man! how noble in reason ! how infinite in faculties : in form and moving, how express and admirable! in ac. tion, how like an angel! in apprehension, how likea God !Hamlet. Where the series is descending we have an Anticlimax, as "If once a man indulges himself in murder, very soon he comes to think little of robbing ; and from robbing he comes next to drinking and Sabbath-breaking, and from that to incivility and procrastination."-De Quincy.
11. Hyperbole.-A figure by which more is expressed than the truth and where the exaggeration is not expected to betaken literally, as "'rhey were swifter than eagles, they were stronger than lions." (Referring to David's statement concerning Saul and Jonathan.)
12. Epizeuxis.-The immediate repetition come word or words for the sake of emphasis, as-
"Restore him, restore him if you can from the dead."
13. Anaphora.-The repetiticn of a word or phrase at the beginning of each of several sentences or parts of a sentence, as-
" No more the farmer's news, the barber's tale,
No more the woodman's ballad shall prevail,
No more the smith his dusky brow shall clear."
14. Epiphora. - Where the repetition is at the end, and Anadiplosis. - Where the repetition is in the middle :
"Has he a gust for blood? Blood shall fill his cup."
15. Epanalepsis.-Where there is a repetition at the end of the sentence of the word or words at the beginning.
16. Alliteration. - The repetition of the same letter or letters, as "Apt alliteration's artful aid."
17. Anacoluthon.-A figuro by which a proposition is left unfinished and something else introducea to fivish the sentence, as-
"If thou be'st he-but oh, how fallen, how shanged from him who," etc.
18. Aposiopesis. - A sudden pause in a sentence by which the conclusion is left unfaisled, as"For there I picked up on the heather, And there I put within my breast, A moulted feather, an eagle's feather-Well-I forget the rest."-Browning.
19. Paraleipsis or omission.-A figure by which a speaker pretends to pass by what at the same time he really mentions, as "I do not speak of my adversary's scandalous venality and rapacity ; I take no notice of his brutal conduct."
20. Erotesis.-An animated or passionate interrocation, as-
" Hath the Lord said it? and will He not do it?
Hath He spoken it? and shall He not make it good?"
21. Epanorthosis. - A figure by which an expression is recalled and a stronger one substituted in ita place, as "Why should I speak of his neglect-neglect did I say? call it rather contempt."
22. Syllepsis. -The use of an expression which is taken in a literal and metaphorical sense, as-
"Lie heavy on him, Earth, for he
Laid many a heavy load on thee."
23. Ecphonesis. - An animated exclamation, as Othello. -O, my soul's joy, If after every tempest come such calms, May the winds blow till they have wakened death."
24. Other figures are often found, as zeugma, whereby a verb, etc., applicablo to only one clause does duty for two, as-
"They wear a garment like the Scythians, but a lan. guaga peculiar to themselves. "-Sir J. Mandevilla.

Anaccenosis, where the speaker appeals to the juag. ment of his audience on the point in clebate, as if they had feelings common with his own. The Éniqmu or riddle. The Epigrum, where the mind is roused by a conflict or contradiction between the form of the language and the meaning to be conveyed, as "The child is father of the man." Personal Metaphor, where acts are attributed to inanimate olijects, The Purvinomusiu or pun. The Parable, Proverb, Fiopurtce, etc.

## III. LIST OF PRLNCIPAL WRITERS.

Dryden, Juhn (1630—1700). Annus Mirubilis, AbsaIom and Ahitophel, Mac Fleclince, The Hind and Panther, Translation of Virgil, Ode for St. Cecilia's Day, Alexarder's Feast.

Locke, John (1632-1704). Essay on Human Under. standing, Letters concerning T'uleration, T'reatise on Civil Government, Thoughts concerning Education.

Newton, Sir J. (1642-1725). Priacipia, Optics.
Wycherly, William (1640-1715). Several immoral Comedies.

De Foe, Daniel (1661-1731). Besides editing The Review, wrote Robinson Crusoe, Moll Flanders, History of the Great Plague, Captain Singleton, Mrs. Veal's Apparition.

Bentley, Richard (1662-1742). Editions of Horace, Tercnce, Phoedmes, and other classical works.

Prior, Mathew, (1665-1721). The Town and Country Mouse, Solomon.

Swift, Jonathan (1666-1745). Tale of a Tub, Drapier's Letters, Gulliver's Travels, and puems including Morning, The City Shower, Rhapsody on Poetry, Verses on My Own Death.

Congreve, William (1669-1723). Several comedies of
a very immoral tendency, and the tragedy The Morning Bride.

Cibber, Colley (1671-1757). The Comedy Careless Husband.

Steele, Richard (1671-1729). Besides writing for the Tatler, Spectator, Guardian, Englishman, etc., he wrote comedies-The Funeral, The Terder Husband, The Lying Lover, The Conscious Lovers.

Addison, Joseph (1672-1719). Contributions to the Tatler, Spectutor, G'ıardian, Whig, Examiner, etc. Puems -Letter from Italy, Campaign, Hymns, Rosamond, The Drummer, Cato.

Vanbrugh, John (1672-1726). The Provoked Wife.
Rowe, Nicholas (1673-1718). The Fair Peritent and Jane Shore.

Watts, Isaac (1674-1748). Hymns, Logic, The Improvement of the Mind.

Philips, Ambrose (1675-1749). The Distressed Mother.
Philips, John (1676-1708). The Splendid Shilling.
Farquhar, Geo. (1678-1707). The Recruiting Officer, The Bcaux' Stratagem.

Parnell, Thomas (1679-1717). The Hermit.
Young, Edward (1681-1565). Night Thoughts, The Revertge, The Love of Fame.

Berkeley, George (1684-1753). Theory of Vision.
Tickell, Thomas (1686-1740). Besides writing for Spectator and Ginurdian, wrote the ballad of Culin and Lu?!, and the poem Kensington Gardens.

Gay, John (1688-1732). The Shepherd's Week, Trivia, The Fan, Black-eyed Susan, Beggars' Opera.

Pope, Alexander (1688-1744). Essay on Criticism, The Messiah, Elegy on an Unfortunate Lady, The Rape of the Locl:, Thue Epistle of Eloisa to Abeherd, The Temple of

Fume, translation of Miad and Odyssey, The Dunciad, Essay on Man, Windsor Forest.
Richardson, Samuel (1689-1761). Pamela, Clarissa Harlowe, Sir Charles Grandison.
Savage, Richard (1690-1743). The Wanderer.
Thomson, James ( $1700-1748$ ). Seusons, Liberty, The Castle of Indolence.
Wesley, Jolnn (1703-1791). IIymns and Sermons, Journal.
Fielding, Henry (1707-1754). Joseph Andrews, Tom Jones, Jonathan Wild.

Johnson, Samuel (1700-1784). Wrote for the Rambler, Idler; and A Life of Savage, Dictionary of the Enjlish Language, London, Rassclas, Journey to the Hebrides, Lives of the Poets.

Hume, David (1711-1776). A Treatise of IInman Nature, Moral and Philosophical Essays, Political Discourses, History of England. .
Sterne, Lawrence (1713-17608). Tristan Shandy, The Sentimental Journey.

Shenstone, Williaun (1714-1763). The Schoolmistress, The Pastural Ballad.
Gray, Thomas (1716-1771). The Elegy, The Progress of Poesy, The Bard, Ode in Spring, Ode to Adversity, Ode on a Distant Prospect of Eizn.

Walpole, Horace (1717-1797). Lesters and Memoirs, The Castle of Otranto.
Collins, William (1720-1759). Odes to Liberty and Evening, The Passions, Oriental Eclogues.

Akenside, Mark (1720-17r0). Plensures of Imagination.
Robertson, William (1721-17\%0). Histories of Scotland, Charles the Fifth of Germury and Amerira.

Smollett, Tobias (1721-17\%1). Roderick R..ndom,

Seregrine Pichle, Humplarey Clinker, History of England Edited Critical Rerieu:

Warton, Joseph (1722-1800). Ode to Fancy.
Blackstone, William ( $1723-1780$ ). Commentaries on the Lauts of England.

Smith, Adam (1723-1790). The Wealth of Nations, The 'Theory of Moral इentiments.

Goldsmith, Oliver (1728-1774). The Traveller, The Irserted Village, Iietaliation, The Vicar of Wakefield, The Gour-Nuturrd Man, She Stoops to Coniquer, Animated Nature, Histories of Eingland, Rome, Greece, Citizen of the World.
Percy, Thomas (1728-1811). Published a collection of bullads entitled Reliques of English I'netry.

Warton, Thomas (1728-1790). The Pleasures of MeL anchosly, History of English Poetry.

Burke, Edmund (1730-1797). The Vindication of Natrical Society, Essay on the Sublime urd Deautificl, Reflection on the Revolution in France, Letters on a Regicide Peace.

Falconer, William (1730-1763). The Shipureck:
Cowper, William (1731-1800). Truth, Table-talk, Exqustriction, Error, Hope, Charity, John Gilpin, The Taski translation of Homer, Letters.

Darwin, Erasmus (1732-1802). The Botanic Garden.
Gibbon, Edmard (1737-1794. The Decline and Fall of the liuman Limpiore.

Bracpherson, James (1738-1796). Fingal and Temora, for ric piems, which he represented he had translated from materials discovered in the Highlands.

Junius, (Sir P. Francis) (1740-1810). Letters of Jumius.

Boswell, James (1740-1795). Life of Johrwon.
Paley, Willism (1743-180.). Elements of Moral and

Political Philosophy, Horce Paulinae, Evidences of Chris. tianity, Natural Theology.

Mackenzie, Henry (1745-1831). The Man of Feeling, The Man of the World.

Bentham, Jeremy (1747-1832). Fragment on Govern. ment, and numerous writings on Law and Politics.

Sheridan, Richard B. (1751-1817). The Rivals, The School for Scandal, The Duenna, The Critic.

Chatterton, Thomas (1752-17\%0. Wrote the tragedy of Ella, Ode to Eila, Execution of Charles Buredin, and other poems which he represented he found, and said had been written in the 15th century by Rowley, a Monk.

Stewart, Dugald (1753-1828). Philosophy of the Iu. man Mind, Moral Philosophy.

Crabbe George (1754-1832). The Library, Thie Village, The I'serish Register, The Borough, The Tales of the Hall.
Burns, Robert (1759-1796). Tum O'Shunter, To a Daisy, T'o a Mouse, The Cotter's Saturday Night, The Jolly Beggars.

Hall, Robert (1764-1831). Sermons.
Clarke, Adam (1760-1832). Commentaries on the Bille.
Bloomfield, Robert (1766-1823). The Farmer's Loy, Rural ''ules, May-day with the Muses,

Edgeworth, Maria (1767-1848). Castle Rachivent, Popmlar Tales, Leonora, Tales of l'ushionable Life, P'ntronaype.

Opie, Amelia (1760-1853). F'ather and Dunghter, T'ules of the lleart, Temper.

Wordsworth, William (17\%0-1850). An Evening Walk, Descriptive Sketches, The Excursion, The White Doe of Rylsture, Souncts, Laodumiu, Lines on Recisiting the Wye.

Scott, Sir W. (1771-1832.) Border Minstrelsy, The Lay of the Last Minstrel, Marmion, The Lady of the Lake, Vision of Don Roderick, Rokeby, Life and Works of Dryden; no-
rels, including Waverley, Rob Roy, Ieanhoe, Kenilucorth, Wromlitack; Life of Napulcon.
Montgomery, James (17i1-1854). Greenland, The Pelican Island, The Wamerer in Switzerlaris, Prison Amisements, The World before the Flood.

Coleridge, Samuel T. (1772-1834). Ode to the Departing Sear, The Rime of the Ancyent Marinere, C'hristaliel, Generiece, Lectures on shakiespeare, Biographin Literaria.

Lingard, John (1771-1851). History of Einglaurl.
Southey, Robert (1774-1843). Witt Tiller, Thalalin, The Curse of Kchama, Fioderick, Visim of Judyment, Lives of Hesley, Corpper, fic.
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## IHE DEVELOPMENT OF ENGLISH POETRY.



Poetry as a Mirror.-The literature of a nation bears an intimate relation to its history. The pocts of a period fairly express its prevailing thoughts and sentinrents. Great eras in a country's rise and progress have always been found to correspond with the great intellectual eras of its growth. When questions of a political, social, moral or religious importance have stirred men's minds, then have arisen authors whose works have refected the predomintht features of the times in which they lived. Thus th $\rightarrow$ heroic greatness of the Hellenic race is marked by Honser, not only rich in poetic thought, but clearly the outcome of the mental life and claracter of ancient Greece. The age of Pericles, brilliant in politicalachievements, was no less illustrious for its intellectual vigor. The Augustan era, forming the lofty climax of Roman influence and power gave to the Latin languase Virgil and Horace, Cicero and Liry. A review uf English literature, and especially English puctry, exhibits still more clearly this intimate relationship. Whe writings
of Chaucer, Spenser, Shakespeare, Milton, Dryden and Pope as well as Cowper, Burns, Scott, Temyson and Browning reflect, as with a magic mirror, the genius of the periods of which they are distinguished representatives.

Canucer belongs to a period when the darkness of the Middle Ages was passing away. New languages were forming on tho continent, and the halily fusion by courtly intluence of Auglu-Sixun aid Norman-French, terminated a lung struggle for ascendancy, and produced our noble English tongue. It was the age of Dante, of Petrareh, and Buccaccio-when Wyelifie by liis writings. translations and discourses was creating a ferment in the religious world,-when Creey and Poictiers were gained. and Edward III. Was encouraging the settement of Flemishartisans and extending the trade of the English merchants over every sea of Europe, and thus paring the way for that commercial supremacy wheh should subsequently add to the nation's glory. With Chancer is well exemplified the fact that the poct to be successful must live with and for his generation, must suit himself to the tastes of his public, must have common sympathies with his readers and must alopt a style that aceords with the cmutions by which he is actuated. The Cunterbury I'ules, his greatest work, vividly represents that gaily applarelled time when king tilted in toumament: and knight and lady rode along with falcon on wrist, and when friars sitting in tavern sang war songs yuite in harmony with the mation's victories on the continent, but little in keeping with their sacred calling. With the "father of English poetry" every claracter is a perfeet study elaborated with a careful finish and minuteness of touch; the beautiful and grand objects of nature are painted with grace and sublimity ; and results are thus combined which are unsurpassed by any English poet that
lived before his time. He became the acknowledged inventor of the heroic line, characterized not by quantity as that of Greece and Romre, but by accent which thus became a recognized feature of English versification. The legacy left to our literature has not been unproductive in the hands of a long succession of heirs. His influence had its effect upon all the great poets that followed him, and upon none more evidently than those of the present century.

Spenser.-The breaking up of old systems, the revolts of the people, and the furious struggles between the Houses of York and Lancaster darkened for a time as with a mist, the lamp of English poetry, but it possessed sufficient vitality to enable it to blaze forth under favorable influences with greater brilliancy than before. The invention of printing; the interest in classical literature : the study of Greek philosophy, and, especially, the freedom with which religion was discussed, aroused a spirit of activity which added powerful impulses to the growth of the national intellect. The translation of the works of modern Italy, and those of France whero letters reccived an earlier revival ; the circulation of the Scriptures presenting a variety of incidents, images, and aspirations connected with oriental life and manners; the study of the allegorical tales and romances of chivalry and the fostering influence of a learned queen who surrounded her court with men qualified to shine in every department of learning, ushered in a period which is appropriately termed the Augustan age of English literaturc.

It is not dillicult to understand how, with such knightly spirits as Ralcigh and Essex, the essential spirit of chivalry, " high thought and a heart of courtesy" as Sidney puts it, found a litting exponent in Edmund Spenser. Among the pocts who llourished exclusirely in
the reign of Elizabeth he stands without a rival. Nu master-piece of the great painters crer glowed on canvas with more reality than the Ferie Quecne, and no poet s:lys Wilson, "has ever had a more exquisite sense of tise heautiful" than its author. He cleemed himself the p eeti al son of Chaucer, and was, in his own times, taunted with "aflecting the ancients," and with engrafting on his own language the "old withered words and exploded persons" of a former period. If guilty, so may Virgil and Milton, Scott and Wordsworth receive similar enndemmation. At all events succeeding generations have paid homage to the richness and pathos of his strains, and the author of Paradise Lost, and the author of the Seasons, as well as Scott and Tennyson have been essentially indebted to this "Rubens of English poetry."

Shakespeare.-The new impulses by which the human mind began to be stirred, mark the early part of the sixteenth century as the great frontier-line which divides the Literary History of the Middle Ages from what we call Modern. The Revival of Classical Learning opened up to a people zealous for enquiry the rich mines of knowledge of the Greeks and Romans. Theological discussions aroused a spirit of researel and investigation. The extensive circulation of the Scriptures and wther works decided the question of a national tongrue. Under Shakespeare, the greatest writer the world hits ever seen, the drama reached its highe $\boldsymbol{c}$ perfection. But the "myriad-minded" writer of tragedy and comedy with all his depth, sublimity, creative power and refinement was inspired br that same love of nature and truth that previdles the works of Chaucer, Spenser and the great modern poets. Nature was his great preceptress from whose inspired dictates he spoke-" warm from the heart and faithful to its fires"-and in his disregard of rules he
pursued at wils his winged way through all tl labyrinths of fancy and of the human heart. No writh has exhibited such a deep acquaintance with the human beart, its passions, its powers, its weaknesses and its aspirations. From his works may be gathered precepts adapted to every condition of life, and to evely circumstance of human aflitirs, and no writings except the Bible have been more closely interwoven with the language of every-day life.

Milton nobly closes that rich poetry of the imagination which marks the age berrun by Spenser. With a mind stored with invaluable treasures of the mines of Greece and Rome, and an extensive acquaintance with the older English poets, many years actively employed in the keen strugrgle for ciril and religious liberts, well qualified him for unclertaking a theme lofty in its conception, and intimately connected with everything important in the circumstances of human history. In the crash which shattered the regal and hierarchic institutions of the country, his majestic, unwordly and heroic soul saw only the overthrow of false systems, and the dawn of a bright period marked by private investigation and individual liberty. All the higher influences of the Renaissance are summed up in Milton. That pure poetry of natural description which he began in L'Alleigro, and $\Pi$ Penseroso has no digher examples to produce from the writings of Wordsworth, Scott, or Keats. Living in ar age when skilful criticism, though it purified English verse, gave rise to false conceits and extravagance, his knowledge of good classical models enabled him to free his works from the advancing inroads of a rising school.

Not only did he create the English epic and place himself by the side of Homer, Virgil and Dante, but he put new life into the masque, sonnet and elegy, the descriptive
lyric, the song and the choral drama. Though untrue ir. his descont from the Elizabethans in a wat of humos and of the dramatic faculty, we can forget these defects while we listen to the organ ring of his versification, the stately march of his diction, the beautiful and gorgeous illustrations from nature and art, the brightly coloured pictures of human happiness and innocence, and the lofty sentiments of P'aradise Lost. Blank verse, which Surrey had introdnced into our literature, is managed by Milton with a skill that shows its power in the construction of an heroic poem. The deptli or sublimity of his conceptions finds a corresponding expressiveness in his numbers; and lis power over language was not in its variety due to a musical ear, but had its source in the deep feelings of a heart influenced by the conscientious spirit of Puritanism.

The Restoration. With the return of the English people to monarehical government they were sadly disappointed in their expectations of a roturn at the same time to their ancient natronality and modes of thought. The exiled Charles and his royalist followers had rubbed off by their friction with the men and manners of other nations much of those external habits and customs, which, if not of the most commendable description, possessed a spirit of nationality and patriotism. They returned with strong predelictions in favor of Fiench literature, beng fully impressed with the belief of its superiority over that of every other country. It was not tho first or last instance when a foreign literaiure exercised a marked intluence lip:on our own. Chaucer, thongh plainly the poet of char:oter and of practical lifo, writes largely after the manner of the Provingals, but improved by Italian nodels. Spenser's mannor is also that of the I'rovingals, bnt guided by the authors of a later Italian schoul. The character of fierman literature influenced Scott, and in our own day, Carlyle.

Milton, as we have seen, was the great representative of the Classical schoul, now to be followed by the writers who moulded their works after the tastes of Paris. I'he social mis diliefs of the Restoration were the worst fruits of the French influence. The Court and the society of the metrupolis began to exercise a powerful influence on the various departments of literature. The corrupt and profligate mamers of the Court tainted too easily a people wholnad felt the restraints of Puritin rule. Thelighterkinds of composition mirrored faithfully the surrounding blackness, which retpuired no short period of time, no little exertion and a religious revival to clearitaway. The drama sank to a fricritful derree of shame and grossness. Other forms of puetry were marked by no higher object than that to which satire aspires. Writin's verse was degraded from a high and noble art to a mere courtly amusement, or pander to the immorality of a degencrated age.

The Artificial School of Poetry. The poets already considered belonsed tu the "school of nature." Influences were now at work which gave rise to another phase of ?oetic genius. The Gutlic and Romance literature of the Middle Ages gave its inspiration to Chaucer, Spenser, Shakespeare and Milton. The study of the Greek and Roman Classics gave an impetus to a class of writers who, influenced by causes of another kind, developed a new style of poetry. The great masters possessed artistic as well as natural powers. The secondary poets of the Elizabethan period, though fresh and impassioned, as a result of the strong feelings that inspired them, were extravagant and unrestrained because of their want of art. When the national life grew chill, the pocts inspired by no warm feelings became lavish in the use of "far-fetched meanings," and fanciful forms of expression. With puetry axtravagant in words and fantastic in images, the sense
becane often obscure. The natural style unregulate 1 by art assumed an unnatural character. Milton, in ac lition to the inspiration derived from Gothic and Roman $\lrcorner$ literature, by his knowledge and imitation of the great dassical models, gave the first example in England of a pure, f $n$ ished and majestic style. Those who felt during the Restoration period the power of his genius were also influenced by the "school of inquiry," which all over Europe showed its work in science, politics and religion. In France this tendency to criticise was well represented $i_{n}$ poetry by Boileau, LaFontaine, and others, whose effort after greater finish and neatness of expression told on English writers at a time when French tastes began "even to mingle with the ink that dropped from the poet's pen." The new French school was founded on classical models, which had already become fashionablo in England. The admirers of Charles II. were also admirers of that great nation so friendly to the Stuarts, which under Louis XIV. had reached the highest point of civilization then attained by any European state. It would be a mistake to conclude that the Restoration was the origin of the "artificial school." The work had already been begun and had made much progress before the death of the Protector. The accession of the "merry monarch" gave it a mighty impulse, and in accelerating the arloption of "cold, glittering mannerism, for the sweet, fresh light of natural language" added at the same time the poisonous colouring of an immoral court.

Dryden. Milton the great leader of the setting age, had scarcely given to the world his Paradise Lost, when Dryden, the leader of the rising age, appeared before the public. As a poet his is the great name of the period that followed the Restoration. He lad fallen upon evil times. The poet must reflect his age. There was little noble to
reflect. The pretry of the passions of the human heart, the poetry of the affection, and the poctry of religion had shown evident indications of decline. Satire, didactic and philosophical poetry came to the front. Living in a most infanous period of Englisin history when the most flagrant corruption was rampant in ch!neh and state, Dryden, in want of better subjects turned sutirist. There his wit and sarcasm turned agrinst his opponents rendered him unsurpassed by Hurace or Juvenal. Our literature possesses no more vigorous portrait-painter. His choice of words and forms of expression are $\quad$ most appropriate. In versification he is one of our greatest masters. He was a diligent student of the best models. He carried to the highest perfection the rhymed heroic couplet of ten syllables By the occasional introcluction of a triplet and the skilful use of the Alexandrine at the end of a paragraph, he knew well how to break the uniformity of the couplet and give to his versification that
"Long-resounding march and energy divine."
which gave to his poetry of this matre such rigour, sonorousness and variety.

Pope. The glitter of Dryden's poetry dazzled the public mind from the death of Milton till his uwn in 1700. His most distinguished pupil was Alexinder Pope, who as a poet surpasses his master in the most characteristic features of the artificial school. In mechanical execution Pope is without a peer. His neatness and correctness of expression, pointed and courtly diction, harmony of versification and melody of rhyme rank him par excellence the artist of poetic style. In his polished heroic couplets are found sparkling wit, strong sense, good taste and terse and vigorous command of the choicest English. We find, however, that coldness of sentiment and disregard of the
emotinns and passions of the soul which Dryden had obsorved, carried to such perfection by Pope that the public soon after longed for a return to nature. The age was not designed to cultivate the highest poetic genius. Matter was regarded of less importance than the form ot the words by which it was expressed. We look in vain through Pope's elaborately polished verses for those qualities that would place him among the greatest masters of the lyre. He has none of the universality of Shakespeare or sublimity of Milton. Of the varying shades and gradations of vice and virtue, wisdom and folly, he was a nice observer and an accurate describer Had he studied the great English poets more, and paid less attention to the school of Horace and Boileau, his memory would have been hallowed with still more affectionate and permanent interest. His great object was to express limself smoothly. Attractive and lucid utterance was his aim. With a desire to "set" gems rather than create thiem, to make "correct" verso his "study and aim," it is no woncler that "truth" was cften "cut suort to make a sentence round." In the first half of the eigntconth century no name is more brilliant than that of the author of The Rupe of the Lock, Windsor Forest, The T'mple of Fame, The Dwwied and the translation of Homer. In his Epistles and Essay on Man we have numerous passages that have supplied to our current literature more phrases snd sentiments remarkable for their mingled truth and beauty than are to be found probably in any other pieces of equal length.

Decay of the Artificial School. The greater part of the eighteenth century was, in a literary point of view, cold, dissatisfied and critical. It valued forms more than substance. Warm feelings, grand thoughts and creative genius, were less esteemed than elerance of plirase and symmetry of proportion. In a period when philosophy
was essentially utilitarian, and religion a system of practical morality, it is not surprising that poetry was largely didactic and mechanical. With such attention to form, an active criticism rendered our English prose, when employed by such masters as Addison, for the first time. absolutely simple and clear. For similar reasons during the same period, Nature, Passion, and Imagination decayed in poetry. But matters were coming to a crisis. Hume and Robertson were beginning their carcer as historians. Riclardson, Fielding and Smoliet aroused a taste for light literature. In moral philosophy Jonathan Edwards and Joseph Butler were laying tine foundations of systems on a sounder basis. New thoughts moved men. The poets felt the impulse of the transition period. The publication of Warton's History of Poetiry and Percy's Relighes revived a taste for the bold, free style of our carlier writers. The inspiration seized the writers of verse, and a return from the classival to the romantic, from the artificial to the natural, soon began to manifest itself. Pope's name stood highest until his death in 1744, but the most distinguished of his contemporaries departed widely from the style of their great masier. Thomson made no attempt to enter the scliool of polished satire and pungent wit. Equal originality is shown by Young in lis startling denunciations of death and judgment, stirring appeals and choice epigrams. Gray and Cullins in aiming at the dazzling imagery and magnificence of lyrical puetry slow the "new departure." The former is not without the polish and exquisitely elaborated verse of Pope, but as well as Collins, he shows the freshness, the spirit of inagination, and the sprightly vivacity of the older poets. Akenside ins strains of melodious and original blank verse, expatiated on the operations of the mind and the associated charm of taste and genius. Johnson alone of the eminent
authors of this period seems to have adopted the style of Dryden and Pope. lut his ponderous Latinized composition was counteracted in part by the simplicity of Goldsmith and Mackenzie. Many of the poets of the transition period show the didactic tendency of the times. It, required in some cascs an eftort to break off from what had been popular. To such a low ebb had the public tiste been reduced that Gray was ridiculed and Collins was neglected. The spirit of true poetry was not, however, dead. The conventionai style was destined to fill, leaving only that taste for correct language and polished versitication which Pope had established. The seed was sown and the next generation wis to see under Cowper that work completed which Thomison liad begun.

The Spstem of Patronage. During the Elizabetlian period and consicterable time afterwards the social standing of literary men was far from encouraging. The names of Spenser, Butler and Otway are sufficient to remind us that warin contemporary recognition was not enough to secure an author trom a position of want Paralive Lost yielded its author during eleven yeers only £15. Ben Johnson in the earlier, and Dryden in the latter part of the seventeenth century found the laureate's pittance scarcely sutficient to keep their heads above water. The first few years of the next century showed signs of unprovement. In the reign of Charles II., Dorset had introduced the system of patronage, which, under Montague, Earl of Halifax, beeame subsequently so serviceable to men of literature. The politicians who came into power with the Revolution were willing for a time to share the public patronage with men of intellectual eminence. Addison. Congreve, Swift ard other authors ot less note won by their pens not omly temporary profits, but wermanent places. Prior, (iay, Tickell, liowe and

Stecle held offices of consider:able emolument, and Locke, Newton and others mere placed above indigence by the same system of princely favor. Before Pope was thirty the fruits of his pen amomed to over $£ 6000$, and by the popular mode of subscriptiun he received $£ 8000$ for his translation of Homer. Such rewards indicate a readiness among both political parties to patronize literature with a beneficence honourable to thuse who gave, and adrantageous to those who received. In one respect at least the period may be termed the Augustan age of literature. Its patrons were in high places and were prepared to give it substantial rewards. Fortunately for the cause of literature, though painfully inconvenient for many writers of the "transition period," this system of patronage was doomed shortly after the accession of the House of Hanover.

Decline of Patronage. The reigns of Willian IIL and Anne are noted for the encouragement given to literature by those in authority. After the accession of the House of Hanover, there was a marked change. The reign of George II., though productive of much progress in science and literature is marked by no indication of originality. Still it had many authors who deserved better treatment than they received. As the system of party government developed, the pulitical partisans were sufficient to absorb all the sinecures at the disposal of the leaders. Authors were rowarded by no manificent patronage from the Crown or ministers of atate. Harley and Bulingloroke were suecceller by Sir Robert Walpule, a wise tactician, but a man with no taste for learning, no admiration of genius. His liberality to the extent of $£ 50,000$ was extended only to obscure and unserupulous partisans, the supporters of a corrupt government, whose names might have passed into oblivion biat for the satire
of Pope. Scribbling tor a party in pamphlets and newspapers was rewarded, while genius was neglected. The considerable sums spent on literature were given fo: services equally degrading to giver and receiver. Men of talent, who would not stoop to the "dirty work" of sustaining with their pens a base administration, might starve in Grub Street, or be pilloried in the Dumciad, although had they lived thirty years before, they might have been entrusted with an embassy o, appointed Commissioners, Surveyors or Secretaries. Men like Churchill, who turned their pens to political satire, were well remunerated. Young obtained, in time, a pension, and Thomson, after tasting the worst miselies of althor-life, was rewarded with a sinecure. But Collins, Fie!ding, and even Thomson and Johnson, were arrested fur debt, and the wretched and precarious lives of many, liave mado Grub Street, in which they herded together, suggestive of rags, hunger and misery. The age of dedication was intolerable to men of independence of spirit. Authors by profession must either starve or become parasites. The reading public wis very limiterl, and the booksellers, in consequence, were not to be blamed for the small sums given to authors. A better day was dawning. The right of the I'ress to discuss public affairs created a class of writers of higher moral and literary qualifications. Tho time was rife for the emancipation for ever, of literature from the "system of flattery." The letter of Johnson to Chesterficld gare the "knock-clown" blow. It was, as Carlyle calls it, "the far-famed blast of doom proclaiming into the ear of Lord Chesterficld, and through him, of the listening world, that patronage should be ro more." The period between the old and the new system, was one of much prisation and suffering. In that period lived Gold. smich.

Revival of the Natural School. From about the middle of Pope's life to the death of Johnson, was a time of transition. The influence of the didactic and satiric poetry of the critical school, lingered among the new elements which were at work. The study of Greek and Latin classics revired, and that correct form for which Pope sought, was blended with the beautiful forms of " natural feeling and natural scenery." The whole course of poetry was taken up with greater interest after the publication of Warton's History of English Poetry, and Dr. Percy's Reliques of Ancient Linglish Poetry in 1765. Shakespeare was studied in a more accurate way, and the chil l-likeness and naturalness of Claucer began to give delight. The narrative ballad and the narrative romance, afterwards perfected by Sir Walter Scott, took root in English verse. Forgeries such as Fingal, an Ancient Epic Poem, by Macpherson, and the fabrications of Chatterton,

> " the marvellous boy.

The sleepless soul, that perish'd in his pride,"
indicate the drift of the new element. It was felt that the artificial school did not exhibitfully the noble sentiments, emotions and thoughts of the human soul. Man alone had小...:n reated of by the pnets. Nature now was taken up. The polish and accuracy of Pope is fully preserved by such writers as Gray, Collins and Goldsmith, but their verse is also "instinct with natural fceling. and simplicity." Natural description had appeared already in the poems of the Puritans, Marvel and Milton; but Thomson, in the Seasons, was the "first Poet who led the English peoplo into the new world of nature in poetry, which has moved and enchanted us in the works of Wordsworth, Shelley, Keats and Tennyson, but which was entirely impossibie fur Pope to undorstand." The real and actual were, as
subject of song, to be sulstituted for the abstract and remote. The increase in mational wealth and population, led to the improvement of literature and the arts, and to the adoption of a more popular style of composition. The human intellect and imagination, unhampered by the conventional stiffness and classic restraint imposed upon former authors, went abroad upon wider survevs and with nıre ambitious designs.

The age of Cowper. Of all poetical writers of the last twenty years of the eighteenth century the name of Cowper casts the greatest illustration upon the period in which he lived. The hard artificial britliancy of Pope standing: " the head of that list, which incluted Gibbors and Hume, Chesterfield and Horace Walpule had scarcely ceased to dazzle the poets of the Johnsonian era. The death of "king Samuel" in England, like that of Voltaire in France, was not frllowed by the accession of another to the throne of literature. The reaction which followed the Restoration did not readily subside, and the approach of the French Revolution was marked by movements of great social as well as of great political importance. In England the forces which had been silently gathering strength ushered in a revolution no less striking than that which convulsed the continent. The attention of the community was arrested by changes of a moral and religious character, which are still running their course. The earnestness of the puritan liad almost disappeared, and the forms of religion were found with little of its prower. Scepticism widely pervaded the wealthy and eilucated classes. The progress of free inquiry had produced a general indifference to the great questions of Christian speculation. It arose partly from an aversion to theological strife, as a result of the civil war, and fartly from the new intellectual and material channels
to which human energy was clirected. The spiritual decay of the great dissenting bodies had gone hand in hand with that of the establishment. It was an age of gilded sinfulness amonig the higher classes, and of a sinfulness ungilded, but no less cuarse, among the lower classes. Diunkenness and foul language were not sufflcient to render the politician guilty of them unfit to be prime minister. The purity and fidelity of woman were sneered at, as out of fashion. The vast increase of pepulation which had followed the growth of towns, and the rapid development of manufactures had besn met by little effort to inprove the moral or intellectual condition oi the masses. Without schools the luwer orders were ignorant, and brutal to a degree which if is hard to conceive. The rural peasantry who were fast being reduced to a state of pauperism by the abuse of the poorlaw had in many casus no moral or religinus training of any kind. Within the towns inatters were worse. There was no effective police to withstancl the outhreaks of ignorant mobs. It was the age of thee wid criminal law when cutting a pear-tree or stealing a hare, was rogarded as a capital crime, while the "gentleman"' migh.t. with impunity be guilty of duelling, gambling, or outrages on female virtue. It was the agre of the old system of mison discipline, which aroused the philanthropy of Howard. It was a period which has assuciated with it figging and bullying in school and the general application of the rod as the most potent aid in the process of instuwtion. It was the period with which the names of Walpole and Newcastle are identified, and which has associated with it rotten boroughs, pulitical corruption, party without principle, and all the rancoumess of faction warfare. The sights that indicate cruelty and hardness of heart, such as bull-rings, cock-pits and whipping-posts
wers quite as common as the fumes that inclieate intemperance. It was the age of great reforms. Johnson had left his impress on the improved tune of society and had overthrown the system of patronage; Wilberfurce and Clarkson were coming forward to abolish the slave trade. Burke and Pitt were to restore the higher principles of statesmanship, and to redeem the character of public men. A more important reform and one which gave an impulse to all the others, was of a religious character.

In the middle classes, the piety of a former period had not complately died out. From that quarter issued the "Methodist movement," whieh awakened a spirit of moral zeal, that softened the manners of the people, ealled forth philanthropists and statesmen who infused clemency and wisdom into our penal laws, reformed our prisons, abolished the slave trade, gave to popular edueation its first impulse, discussed measures for arresting the evils of intemperance, and adopted various methods of a Christian charaeter for bettering the social condition of the humbler classes. (See Green's English History.) The enthusiasm. of th: Wesleys and Whitefield was not kindled against the rules of the Church or State, but muly against vice and irreligion. The results of their zeal are not confined to the denomination which owes its origin to the movement, and no body is more ready than the English Chureh to acknowledge the great advantages of the religious revival of the last century.

If Wesley came to revive religion and impress upon his followers that Christian worship was "of the heart," Cowper, who was imbued with the spirit of the movenent came to regenerate poetry, to Christianize it, to elevate it, and to fill it argin with feeling and with truth. If the billads of a nation have, as in the case of Burns, a lasting effect in arousing patriotism, the religions puems of Cowjer may bo regarded no less inflnential in extending "that rli.ion which exalts and emobles man."
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## Gage's Practical Speller.

A new Manual of Spelliner and Dictation.

## Price, 30 Conts

PGOMANLINT FEATURES
The book is divided into file parts as follows:
l-ART I
Contain the words in common use in faily life together with abbreviations, forme, etc. If a boy has to leave school carly, he should at least know how to spell the words of connnon occurrence in connection with his business.

IART 11.
Cises words liable to be spelled incorrectly hecauso the same sounds are spelled in tariuus ways in them.
bart ill.
Contains words pronounced alike but sjelled differently with difierent meanings.

## part it.

Contains a large collection of the most difficult words in common use, and is intended to suplly material for $\pm$ generul reciew, and for spelling matches and testa.

I'Aft $v$.
Contains literary selections which are intended to be menarized and reciled as well as used for dictation lisenesx :an! lessons i: mornts.

DiClATIUS b.tminu)s.
All the lessons are suitable for d.ctation lessons on the stavo or in dicta. tival wouk.

SFFIEWS.
These will be found throughont the book.
An excellent compendium. Ilex. Weliar, Jrin. Acai'y, Dupluy,N.S.
 of which it treats. Ity matural and jadicions artantement well acoords with its title. Pupils instnectel in ite pminciples, under the care of diligent teachers, cannot fail to twecome correct spellers. It ervat vatae will, domhtless, secure for it a wisle cirealation. I have seen no book ont the subject "hich a can more cordially recomanend than "The l'ractical spe:ler."

## Supply a want long felt. Juhn Johnston, I.f'S., lirlucillo.

'Ilie hints for teaching spelling are excellent. I have blown it to a muntber of experienced teachers, and they all think it is the loss amb host practical work oflscilime and dictation ebter presented to the prelic. It will suipily a want long felt by teachers.

Adnairably ndapted. Colin W'. hoscoe, I.P.S., W'olfeille, S. S.
'ilse a:ran\%oment aul erarling of the different classes of worde I reward as excellent. Nush inweit lawst arise from committing to memory the "Literary selcetions." The work is admimbly adapted to our public schools, and 1 shall recommend it as the best 1 have seen.
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[^0]:    * If th- is true in Ensland, it is not true in America. Nowh re in the United states is such "qucstionable grammar" as this frequent,y heard in cultiva.ed circles.
    f "It may be confidently affirmed that with good spea'vers, in the case (f negation, not we is the usual partice."-Bain. This, I confidently attirm, is not true in America.-A. A.

[^1]:    * Mr. Gould criticises the Dean's dretion, not his state.

[^2]:    - Better, • , revisc it."
    ;"Is to prut them in tabular form."

[^3]:    * Bullions" "Gramatar" was puhlished in $1=67$.

[^4]:    ** L. W. K.. CLI, LL.D., EX. S, H., T. C. D Oi this reverend gentlemans folsonality I know mothing. He does nut say exacily what he useans: but what h:mens is, !ct, umistakable. The extract given abure i- from 'Public "pinion,' January 20,1 sü6."
    $\dagger$ ' The analysis, taken for granted in this quotation, of 'are being thrown $u y^{\prime}$ ' into 'are being' and 'thrown up' will be dealt with in the sequel, an 1 shown to he untenable."
    :"Vol. x15, p. 544 (1535)."

[^5]:    "'The Life and Correspondence of the lat Robert So athey, vol, i, p. 24?.
    *"Vol. i, p. 338. 'A thulent who is he'ny erammad': 'that verh is eternally being declined. - - The Doctor, 111 . 35 and 40 (: cnctune cd.) "

[^6]:    *: In 'P'ut Yourself in has Piace, chaptor $x$, he writes: she backed in the present delizhr, and looked as ii she maz betult tak: $n$ to hez sn by an angel.

[^7]:    ＊＂＇Words，＇c＇c．，1．310．＂
    f＂Thomas linller writes：＇At his arrival，the last stake of the Chr＂s－ tians was on losim！．＇－＂The Mistorie of the Moly Warre，＇p．21s（tel．16\％7．）

[^8]:    *"I express mysilf in this manner because I distinguish between be and ris\%"
    f "samuc' Richarden" writes: "Jenny, who attend- me here, has more than once hine al to me that Miss Jorvis loses tes sit mplate, cither
    
    
    "The transition is bery sli,nt by which we pass from 'sit being read $t)^{\prime}$ to "ish. ibs read to."

[^9]:    * "I am here insebted to the last edition of Dr. Worcester's 'Dictionary,' Preface, !'. ж未ix,"

[^10]:    * "'Words and their Uses, p. 3.33. "
    f" 'It is ber"ny is simply equal to it is. Aml, in the supposed correspondrng Latin phria-es pus. fictus rat, ens wdicutus est (the ab-oleteness of ens as a participle being stanted), the monstres ty is mot in the use ; i ens whhmetus, but in that uf ens with 'st. The absurdity is, in Lati , jnst what it is in Ensiish, the n-e of is with lurin?, the making oi the verb to le' a complement to it-clf. - /bid., p. 3.-3, 335.
    "Apparently, Mr. White reagnizes no more difference between supphem 'ut and romphompt than h. recornizes hetween be and extst. See the extract I have male above, fro: $\%$. 353 ."

[^11]:    
    
    
    
     more of fidelity than of tasite, wombl hase to put bein) wot whe betag done. There is not minch to choose hetween the two."

[^12]:    *The posiessise construction here is, in my judrment, not imperatively demanded. There is certaniy mo lack of authorty for puttins the
     to nee, h sever, to be preferible.

[^13]:    * "The ue of the plural for the singular was established as early as the beginning of the fouitenth centur.:"-Morris, p. 118, § 153.

[^14]:    *"s me writers onit the conma in cas s where the conjunction is used. But, as the conjunction is weherally empluyed in such cases for emphasis, commas olught to be used; although. Where the words are very closely connected, or where they con-litute a clause in the midst of a long sentence, they may be omitted."-Biqelow's "Handhook of Punctuation."

[^15]:    * "This usage violates one of the fundamental principler of punctuation; it indicates, very impopery, that the noun mun is more clowely connected with learivel than with the other adjectives. Analory and perspicuity require a co:nma after lectrmed."- (Guarkenhos.

[^16]:    * Many writers would omit the last two commas in this sentence.
    + The commas before and after particularly are hardly necessary.

[^17]:    * The only exception to thic rule is the occasional use of the colon to suparate two short sentences that are closely connected.

[^18]:    ＊Dr．Ausus on the＇Enqlish Tongue，art．527．＂
    ＋＂In the following jassares，the indicative mood would he more suit－ able than the subjunctive：＇If thou be the son of God，command that these

[^19]:    stones be made bread;' 'if theu be the Son of God come down from the cros-' For, although the address was not sucere on the part of the speakers, they really meant to make the sumposition or to gra: t that he was the Son of God ; 'zeeing that thou ant the Son of God.' Likewise in the f 11 wing: 'Now if Chist be preached, that He rose from the dead, how say some among you that there is no reartection from the dead? ${ }^{\circ}$ The meaning is, 'Seeing n.w that Christ is preaehed.' In the continuation, the conditional clanses are of a different character, and be' is appogriate: But if there he no remrrection from the dead, then is Christ not risen. And if Christ be not riven, then is our preaching bain, and your faith is also vain.' Again, If thou bring thy gift to the altar, and there rememberest,' etc. Consistency and eorrectness require 'remember.'"Hartison on the "English Language," p. 287.

[^20]:    * "So, in German, hieite vecurs for uथrde hethen. "Wäre er da
    
     fee indicatere is occasomally used; which is explaised as a mo re vivid furill."
    t"In prine i al clanses the inflection of the secemp person is always
     subordinate clause, althourh subjunctive, shows, 'hisdist.' And this usare is exceedin ly common."

[^21]:    * To tiose who are not quite clear as to what transcendertalism is, the following lucid definition will be we.cs ne: " It is the spiritud cignos ence of paycholu ical irretra rability connered with concutient ademition of incolunnien sin ituality and chereaiz d eontention of sub uliory concretion" Transated by a New York Lawer, it stund thu-: "Tcanscendentalism is two holes in a san l-baak: a suran wasites awdy the somd-bank without disturbing the holcs."

[^22]:    * "Cromwell-than he no man was more skilled in artifice ; or, Crom well - no man was more skilled in artifice than he (was)."

[^23]:    *"Speaking of Dryden, Hallam says, 'Hı" "Essay on Dramatic Poesy, published in 166s, was reprintel sixteen yeass afterward, and it is curious to observe the changes which Dryden made in the expre-sion. Malone has carefully noted all these; they show both the care the author tuck with his own style and the change whech wav radually working in the English languaye. The Angliciom of terminating the sentence with a preposition is rejected. Thus, "I can not think so contemptibly of the aree l live in," is exchanged for "the are in which I live." "A deeper expres-ion of belief than all the actol can persuade ns to," is altered, "can insi:nate into us." And, though the old form continued in use long after the time of Dryden, it has of late years been reckoned inelegant, and pro-cribed in all cases, perhaps with ail 11 nece-sary fastidionsness, to which I have not uniformly deierred, since our lancriage is of Tentonic structire, and the rules of Latin and French grammar are not alway to bind us.
    "The following examples, taken irom Ma-singer's 'Ciand Duke of Florence, will show what was the nsage of the Elizabethan writers:-
    "'For 1 must use the freedom I was born with."
    "' In that dumb rhetoric which you make use uf."
    ""--if I hal been heir
    Of all the globes aml sceptres mankind borns to.'
    ...- the name of friend
    Ithich you are pleased to !race me woth.
    . "-wilfully isnorant in my opinion
    Of what it did invite lim to.'
    "'I look to her as oll a princess
    $I$ dure not be ambitiotes of.'.

    $$
    \because-a \text { duty }
    $$

    That I was born with.'

[^24]:    なHEEND。

