BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY
39999063173502

OFFICE OF NATIONAL RECOVERY ADMINISTRATION

DIVISION OF REVIEW

# REPORT OF THE SPECIAL COMMISSION ON <br> WAGE DIFFERENTIALS IN THE CAP AND CLOTH HAT INDUSTRY 

By

Paul F. Brissenden
Chairman
Max Meyer
Wirt A. Gill

WORK MATERIALS NO. FIVE


```
MOVIEILTG Q ROMIEM
```

QEPGR CT TAR SPECIAL CCITISSICN OT
WACE DIFEERENIALS IN THE CAP AND
CLUTU FIT I DTSTRI
E.
Pail Frissenden
Chairman
lax leyer
Tirt A. Cill
agrct, 1 站
ICFE NC

This report of the Specinl Comission on Wace Ditferentials in the Cap and Cloth ifat Industiy was prepared by Messrs. Faul R. Irissenden, Chairman, ias lurer nad Wirt A. Gill.

The report vas made in Janiary 1955 and a small number of copies vas released at that time. It is here reproduced in order that it may be made widely avaingle to sturents in the labor field.

At the back of this report will be founc a brief statement of the studies undertaken by the Divisior of Rfvis.

```
L. C. i.arshmll,
Director, Divicion of Review
```

March 6, 1936
Recomnendations of the Commission ..... 1
Findings of Fact ..... 4
Comments on the Conmission's Recommendations ..... 7
Report
The Industry ..... 11
Sources of Information ..... 15
Trends in Business Volune by Arcas ..... 18
Distribution of Sales According to Area in which Products are Sold ..... 20
Distribution by Tyoe of Outlet ..... 31
Production of Various Types of Caps ..... 34
Total Cost (Excluaing Overhead) ..... 38
Meterial Costs ..... 38
Direct Labor Cests ..... 40
Labor Costs for Individual Operations ..... 40
Mark-Up ..... 43
Selling and Freight Costs ..... 44
Sex of Employees and Sectionalization of Shons ..... 46
Wage Rates, Earnings and Employinent ..... 48
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\because$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## TABLES

TABLE I - Distritution of 535 Peporting Firms Accordine to Numbers of Workers Em- ployed, 103* ..... 13
TABLE II - Type of Plant Operation ..... 16
TABLE III - Number of Reportine Firms and Em- ployees in Cities of Specified Sizes ..... 17
TABLE IV - Number and Fer Cent of Changes in Man-Iours Torised, in l2s Identical Firms, in July and Aufust, 1934, and 103 İentical Firms in August and September, 1034 ..... 22
TABLE V - Distribution of Sales According to AreaA. Number of Firms and Amount ofSales24
B. Per Cent of Total Number of Firms Selling in Jach Area and of Total Salcs Made in Each Area ..... 24
C. Per Cent of Total Number of Firms Prcducing in Each Area and of Total Production in Each Area ..... 25
D. Distribution oi Sales of Caps Proauced in $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{F}$ York City by Areas ..... 26
E. Vistribution of S: les of Ceps Froduced in the Fastern States by Areas ..... 27
F. Distribution of Sales of Caps
Proauced in the Western States by Areas ..... 28
TABLE VI - Distribution of Firms According toPer Cent of Sales through VariousOutlets32
TABLE VII - Production of Various Caps, by Areas A. Number of Firms and Dozens of Caps Produced ..... 35
B. Fer Gent of Total Opps uf Each Type winch are Prounced in Each Area ..... 36
C. Per Cent of Total Caps Froduced in Each Area which are of Bach Type ..... 36
TABLE VIII - Sumary ni Average Zotal Direct
Costs, Material Costs, and Prices, Per Dozen for Various Prico Golf Caps, by Georramical Areas ..... 39
TABIE IX - Average Total Direct Labor Costs and Frodretirity of Lakor for Various Price Golf Caps ..... 41
TABLE X - Sumar: of Average Labor Costs and Efficiencies for Vajor Operations for Varioun Price Gclf Caps, by Geosraplice 1 Area ..... 42
TABLE XI - Proportion of Tetal Cverhead of Freignt and Selling costs ..... 45
TABJE XII - Number of Vale and Fenale Emplovees During the Teek of August ll, 1934. ..... 47
TABIE XIII - A. Frequencir Distribution of Hourly
Barnings of Bmployees for Week Ending July 13, 1934 ..... 49-51
B. Frequency Distribution of Fourly
Earnires of Pmplryees for Week Ending August 11, 1934 ..... 52-54
TABIE XIV - Frequency Jistributions of Prevailing
Weelly Earnings of Employees, Weeks Ending July 14, August 11, and September 15, 1984 ..... $5 \mathfrak{G}-58$
TABIE XV - Frequency Distribution of Prevailing Yours of Tor' of Emmloyees, Neeks Erding Juli 14, August Il, and September 15, 1934 ..... 61-62
TABLE XVI - Average Anrual Earnings of Tage Earners in Cap Factories in Selected States, 1927-1833 ..... 64
TABIE XVII - Extent of Full-Time Employment in 1933
A. Number of Firms and Employees ..... 66
B. Per Cent of Firms and Employees ..... 67
TABLE VIII－A．Individual Plant Total Direct La－ hor Costs，Total Material Cost， Wholest le frice，and Seling Cutlet，b，Geosrapnical Areas－ 25t Golf Cep68
B．Individual Plant Totel Direct La－ bor Costs，Total Material Cost， Wholesale Frice，and Selling Futlet，by geomaninical ireas－ 39申 Golf Cap ..... 70
C．Individual Plant Fotal Direct Ia－ bor Costs，Total Material Cost， Wrolesale Frice，and Selling nutlet，by Geomraphical Areas－ 5gh Coill Can ..... 71D．Inaivioual Plant Total Direct La－bor Costs，Total Material Cost，Tholesale Erice，and SollingOutlet，by Teographical Areas－6צ申 rolf Cap72
E．Individual Plant Total Direct La－ bor Costs，Total Material Cost， Wholesale Price，and Seling Outlet，by Geographical Areas－ 100中 Golf Cap ..... 74
TABLE IX－Individual Plant Totel Iirect Labor Costs and afficiencies ..... 76
TABLE X－A．Indivicual Plant Labor Costs and Pfficiencies by Georraphical Arens－25中 Oolf Cap ..... 77
B．Individual Plant Laior Costs and Etficiencies by Geomraniical Areas－39 Golf Cap ..... 78
C．Individual Plant Labor Costs andEfficiencies by CeographicalAreas－5S $\phi$ Golf Cap79
D．Individual Plant Labor Costs and Efficiencies by Geographical Areas－ $6 \Xi d$ Golf Cap ..... 80
E．Individual Plant Labor Costs andEfficiencies by GeographicalAreas－100¢ Golf Cap81
Figure A - Distribution Among tho Narkets of Total Phycical Volune of Cap Euniness21
Figure B - Frequency Distrivation of En- ployees by Reekly Eernirgs for the Woek Fnding Auguet 11, 1954, by Area ..... 59
Figure C - Frequency Iistribution of Em- ployees by Fours Worked the Teele of Auguat 11, 1934, by Area ..... 63

In the light of its findings, tne Commission recommends:

First, that Article IV of the Code be amended, establishing the following areas in place of those new in the Labor Provisions:

Area "A" - to include the following counties in New York State:

Boroughs of Manhattan, Kings, Queens, Bronx, Richmond and the County of Westchester. Area "B" - to remain the same with the follawing exceptions:

1. That it exclude Area "A" as defined above, and
2. That it exclude Buffalo and Pittsbures: Metropolitan Districts.

Area "C" - to remain the same as at present provided in the Code with the addition of the Metronolitan Areas of Buffalo and Pittsburgh.

Second, that no emplyyee engaged in cutting, blocking, operating or lining making in the several areas shall be paid less than at the rates specified in the following schedule:

Area "A" - 55 cents per hour or at the rated of not less than $\$ 22$ for a 40 hour week. Area $" B$ " $\rightarrow 47-1 / 2$ cents per hour or at the rate of not less than $\$ 19$ for a 4C hour week. Area "C" - 44 cents per hour or at the rate of not less than $\$ 17.50$ for a 40 hour week. Third, that Article IV, Section 1 of the Code with respect to unskilled labor remain unchanged, specifying a rate of $32-1 / 2$ cents per hour for these employees. Fourth, that Article IV, Section 5 of the Code dealing with apprentices remain unchanged.

Fifth, that to alleviate distress and undue hardships in special and exceptional cases, wherein a worker properly belonging to this Industry is threatened with loss of employment or inability to secure employment because he or she is admittedly of abnormally low productive capacity, a special Board be established under the Code and be authorized to permit the employment of such a worker at a wage less than the basic minimum wage. This power may be given to "the special Millinery Board" of the Millinery Code Authority if the necessary arrangements can be made.

Sixth, that the Industry seriously consider the
possibility of combining this Code with one of the major apparel industries, preferably tie iJillinery Code.


#### Abstract

After due consideration of (1) the statistical analyses of payroll and other factual material submitted, (2) the testimony presented at its Hearing and briefs submitted and (5) its orm direct observations and inquiries in shops which it has visited; the Commission finds:

First, that average iotal costs (of direct labor plus material) aie higher in New York City than elsewhere, with one exception.


Second, thet direct labor costs for every important grade of cloth cips, are consistently lower in the East and West than in New York City. The differences in labor costs vary for ecch type of cap. In the Nest the a averase total direct labor cost is from 5 per cent to 10 per cent lower than in New York City in the case of three grades of golf caps, and 25 per cent and 32 per cent lower in the case of two very importent grades. In the East the average total direct labor cost is 4,11 and 32 per cent lower than in New York City for three important

Third, that iverage material costs per dozen caps are somewhat lower in the Vest for all grades of
caps and for all but one grade of caps in the East.
Fourth, that freight onsts and selling expenses are slightly lower in New Yor: then elsewne, the former averaging $9.3,7.2$ and 0.3 per cent of total overhead in the East, and West and Wew York City, respectively, nd the latter 37.2, 33.8 and 49.4 per cent of overhead in the West, the East and Ner: Yoris City, respectively.

Fifth, that the avera e ner cent eooss marl-up in the West for every type of clotr cap, with one exception, was found to be at least bwice as large as the markup in the East and Me: Yoris City.

Sixtin that the averace efficiency of workers in the East is from 15 per cent to 20 per cent below that of workers in liew Yorl: City, nd that tine average efficiency of workers in the West is from 15 ner cent to 00 per cent below that of workers in New Yorte City, beine about 35 per cent lower for three important ri. des of golf cans and 13 per cent and ?2 per cent lower for two other erades.

Seventh, that in spite of the superior lavor efficiency of liew Yorl: City firms, avarage direct labor costs are considerably higiex in this area due to the higer rates of pary.

Eiginth, that in siote of the iact that the
average number of weeks of full-time employment furnished workers in the Mest is greater (averaging forty-one (41) weeks to a najority of employees) than in the East, (thirtysix (36) weeks) or Wew York City (thirty-three (33) weeks), the annual earnings of $N e w$ York City workers are larger. This again is due to the much higher wage rate paid in New York City.

Winth, tinat there is a great volume of unemployment in the Industry nd that even the employed workers are employed for a short week and for only part of the year.

Tenth, that the Cap and Cloth Hat Industry is so small and is made up so predominantly of small and widelym scattered producing units that the problem of Code enforcement is one of extraordinary difficulty; that adequate enforcement has not, so far, been accomplished and is unlikely to be achieved, even after amenüment along lines recommended by this Commission, so long as the burden of enforcement rests wholly upon tie shoulders of any Code Authority that has no greater financial resources than this small Industry is able to rrovide.

The $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}$.nissicn beljeves thet the incornoration in the Goie of an amendint emiod, in, tie forefoins recomendetinns wid teme to inrove concitions in the Industry. It is their oninion wat tie zew and namower uifierentials sa. - onted mold teak to .inini h unfar en? destmactive competition in tho Industry and would tarefore me the problear on cobe enoroment a mucin more manaequle one. If thes recomanditons wee cuopter the differential for the West insucal of boin? 2 er sent maer that in iow York Chty woula ve 30 pr cent lower ma would be 7 per cent lower fian the mule rates in the Jast. The differfitial for the ヨst catsios oi New Moriz Caty moud be 1 ner cent be low tiat of the ware reses in ien Yora Citto

Whet the pronosed redojustment in areas and rates murli : em to tile Iniustry if adopted will be better understace by exuminine the it te on horrly earnings, and rables XII A :nc B. It pers tat in the Eust the howry earnins of suont torn of the rorers equrled or erceeded
 tinn arore, that these moduefs, meng of hiom re now onerating
under stays at $4 \perp \frac{1}{4}$ cents ner hour would have to raise the wages of about one-third of their vorleers by amounts not greater than 6 cents yer howr.

In the West, indicaticns are that in July and Ausust of 1934, over 45 per cent of the workers had ecrnings equal to or greuter then 45 cents per hom. The pronosed minimun of 4 cents per hour for this area would nean, thinefore, Gis western produce:s would be obli, ed to raise somewhat less than half of their workers from 37 to $\angle 4$ cents per hour.

In the case of the Buffalo firms, many of which are now operatine mader a stay, under tine proposal they would have to raise their mirimun wage re from $41 \frac{l}{2}$ to 44 cents per hour.

The Commission does not think that the proposed rate adjustment need move unduly urriensome to western manufacturers in view of the recommendion for special provisionc for handicepped and slow worters wnd espocially in view of the rossibilit, of binging ajout reatuction in costs under the new rate by eniancement of efficiency. In the production oi a staple article line caps, it should be possible, in the oninion of the Comission, to attain almost as high a level of efficiency in the Jest as has been reached in IIew York City.

Although it is true tiat the Cen Indristry is in a deplorable state as a. whole, man firms are doing very vell financially and among these ase some of the concerns which heive been most emphatic in registerime protests against any chenges in minimun howly rates.

More fevorible lador provisions in the major codes to mich the Cap Code is closely relatei muy be urged as a circunstance favorin。 the liberalization of fts labor provisions. lost of the major innoutries in the abarel field have much higner wae and shorter now orovisions then does the Cap and Cloth Hat Code. Mis marizedy true of tine Dress, Coct and Suit, lien's Clotning and illineyy Sodes. The only major aperel code mith no more favorable labor provisions is the Co, ton Gurnent Cole und even its hour provisions are now shorter than those fount in the Gap Code.

The relations between the Cap Industry and the Millinery Industry are close in many wajs, The processes and macininery used are similcr or identical. There is a considerable movement of workers beck and forth between cap and millinery factories. Mere facts mare pertinent not only the subestion thit tie proposed nendicapped workers provision mieht be administered by the special Millinery Board but also the propesel tiat it micint be
well in the best interests of the Cap Industry to amalfamate its Code, for purwoses of enforcement at least, with some other code like that of the Millinery Industry. The Commission believes that for many smsll industries the only solution of their enforcement problen is nore or less complete affiliation with a lar, er, closely related industry. Although it has not made a formal recomendation on the point, the Comnission wishes to emphasize the urgent need for serious consideration of an early action won the contractor problen, the seriousness of which in New York City is fully appreciated in the Inclustry. The Oommission wishes informally to sugeest that some plan for the registration of contractors be worked out after study of the experiences of the coat and suit and other apparel industries now trying to cope with this problem.

The Industry
The Cap Industry is small and is in de up for the most pirt of small and hichly competitive units. For adecade the Industry he s ben rowine smaller. Its decline is not merely a denression phenomeno: ; the depression served mercly to accelerate a dommard trend thet ws a brocess in the middle 20 s . The record is indicted by the Census figures on dollar volume (valus of podacta) since 1925.

| 1925 | $\$ 2,822,729$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1927 | $41,913,965$ |
| 1999 | $35,900,664$ |
| 1981 | $16,367,181$ |
| 1933 | $12,658,883$ |

The followins table, compiled from Census data shows the trend of the distribution of tac dollur volume of business amoné the various areas. The firures shown are a percentage of the total dollir volume of business done by each area:

| New York State | 27.7 | 38.7 | 40.5 | 37.6 | 49.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| East (excl. W.Y. State) | 25.2 | 19.3 | 17.8 | 20.7 | 20.7 |
| Wost | 45.1 | 42.0 | 41.7 | 4.7 | 21.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. |  |  |  |  |  |

These figures show that New York City's share of the cap business doclined from one-half in 1919 to a little over one-inourth of the total business in 1933. The eastern crea appears to have miintained its share of the total cap business. Qite noticeable are the gains mide by the manufacturers located in the Western area, who heve increased their proportion of the total cap business from 30 per cent in 1919 to 46 per cent in 1933.

Wa, es disbursed by the industry dropped from \$9,242,937 in 1925 to $\$ 2,968,064$ in 1933. Simil.r though less pronounced declines have taken place in th: number of establishments and the number of worlers in this industry.

The payroll returns availeble to the Commission indicure that the Industry now emplays about 5,000 workers in about 535 establishnents, and does about $\$ 13,000,000$ worth of business annueliy.

The pre-depression decline in the Industry was probably due in msjor part to the somewhat ;idespread hait of going bareheaded, and partly due to a style trend showing a tendency to wear hats instead of caps.

Table I indicates the size of firms in this Industry. It is seen that roughly half of the firms in the Industry employ ten workers or less. Furthermore, indications re that the Wentern shops are lerger than
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Source: Questionnaire sent out by the Code Authority.
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those in New York nd the East, since 85 per cent of the shops in Wew York Sity and 80 er cent of these in the East employ ten workers or less, while only about 70 per cent of the western firms are tuis small.

The principul manfacturifg centers Chicazo, St. Louls, and Philidelphia. These four markets in 1933 were responsiole respectively for 28,13 , 11 and 5 per cent of the business, and accounted altosether for 57 per cent of tise total doll. r volume attained in that year.

The cap workers in the New Yoriz narkets are strongly unionized, tneir union having signed up most of the firms in the flew Yorl City area in an agreement which rovides fer weekly wages (and for piecevork rates) ranging from $\$ 27$ to \$ ai e not as hiehly mionized as the Mfy York City area.

This smali scale Industry, in contrast to such other anparel industries manufecturing apperel such as cloaks and suits, aresses and millinery, makes a fairly staple product. Excent for ${ }^{T r}$ ew Yorla, there is practically no contract work, the goods being manfactured pincivally by firms owning or leasing their om quarters, ad from their own materials, and not for the accomes of others.

Both "tailoring" and "sectionalized" methods
of production are folioved and tha seems to be true of oll section, but tailorin predominetes in Ner: York City, and in the vely small shops merever loc.ted, while sectionalization madis the western ctnters of the Indiastry, especially in the laref plants. (Sne mable II for furtiner details on sectionalizetion).

It is an urban Industry. More than that, it is not an Industry foud to any empesiable eutent in smoll tows. It is a hig-city Industrf. Table III shors tict cloce to 90 per cent of the firms and about the same proportion of the vorlere are found in cities of over 250,000 pomalation.

Sources of Information
The facts with ener from the inquirios conducted by the Cormission Cerivel from:

1. Public hearins, supplenented by briefs, report and letiers from namufacturers, or their representatives.
2. Visits by the Commission to cop finctories in the various murbets, ind interviews with enployers and employeen ia these factories.
3. Statistical andysis of (1) Datroll returns to tine Code Authorita suplemerted by additionul retirns direct to the Commission, and (3) Ireturns received upon two questionnaires distributed to members of the Industry.

The last-nemed sonree is the chief basis of the rem sults outiinte below. The Commission considered


| Area | Number of Fimas |  | inumber of employees |  | Percent of total number of Firm in $\mathfrak{i c h}$ area |  | Fercent of total number of tmployees in ench area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section | Non-Section | Section | Non-Section | Section | Hon-Section | Section | Mon-Seetion |
| New York City | 30 | 40 | 418 | 555 | 42.9\% | 57.1\% | 43.0\%6 | 57.0 |
| 2ast | 21 | 16 | 273 | 166 | 56.7 | 43.3 | 62.2 | 37.8 |
| West | 31 | 40 | 695 | 365 | $43 . ?$ | 56.3 | 65.5 | 34.5 |
| Total | 82 | 96 | 1386 | 1035 | 45.0 | 54.0 | 60.1 | 39.9 |

Source: questionnaires sent out by the Industry Reporting Unit, Division of Research and Planning
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TA3EE III
SPECIAL COMMISSION FOR THE CAP AID CIOTH HAT INDUSTRY
I. Unber of Reporting Firms and Employees
In Cities of Specified Sizes

| Population of City | United States |  | East * |  | West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | inumber of Firms | ivumber of Erplourees | Yuaber <br> of Firms | Inumber of Enployees | inube: of Firms | Number of Buployees |
| Over 1,000,000 | 250 | 2,730 | 226 | 1,992 | 72 | 738 |
| $500,000-1,000,000$ | 94 | 1,042 | 37 | 251 | 57 | 791 |
| 250,000-500,000 | 64 | 696 | 19 | 151 | 45 | 545 |
| 100,000-250,000 | 30 | 212 | 21 | 130 | 9 | 82 |
| 50,000-100,000 | 15 | 153 | 7 | 55 | 8 | 9.8 |
| 25,000-5,0,000 | 4 | 129 | 2 | 113 | 2 | 16 |
| 10,000-25,000 | 6 | 88 | 6 | 88 | 0 | 0 |
| Less than 10,000 | 4 | 30 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 12 |
| Total | 515 | 5,080 | 320 | 2,798 | 195 | 2,282 |
| * In this Table "East" includes New York City. |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |
| Source: Questionnaire sent sut by Code Authority. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & G: d m \\ & 1 / 7 / 35 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It important, however, to appraise anc interpret the figures in the light of what mas said at nearings and in briefs thereon, end even more important, in its judgment, in the light of its own first-hand observations ind contacts in the shops.

## Trends_in Busıness_Volume by Areas

The acid test of whether or not a given market has suffered from the ("fair" or "unfair") competition of firms in other markets can be made by examination of the reports of members of the Industry on their unit and dollar volume of production.

Using information suplied by 183 firms reporting to the Code Authority we find the available business as show by dollar volume for 1933 and the first nine months of 1934 distributed in the various areas as follows:

Area Per Cent of Total Dollar Volume in Area Indicated

| Jan.--Sept. 1934 | 1933 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24.9 | 27.7 |
| 23.4 | 26.2 |
| 51.7 | 46.1 |
| 100.0 | 100.0 |

This shows that New York City's share of
business has apreci oly diminirhed, nd tne western areas share of it apprecimbly jncresed, since low. As to the position of the Eant the doll vclune data are inconcirsive, but incications are tint certain acrtions of this area like Boston and Philadelphia have Mo gains at the expense of other portions of the eastern axea.

Using unit volume of roduction as a measure of the trend we find the following distribution by areas for 1933 anci nine months in 1934:

Year and Month Fer Cont of Cotal Unit Volune in Area Indicated



These unit volume ficimes indic te, as betwoon

1933 and the whole nine month period in 1931, not so much a loss of business by any area to any other as an all. $\ddagger$ complete maintenance in 1934 of the 1933 apoortionment. (For details see Figure A).

Another indication of shift in business after the adoption of the Code is found in the following tabulation of man-hours vorled in each area.

| Area | ITumpor <br> of <br> Reporting <br> Firms | July | Man-Mours_Worked_in | per Cent <br> Chence <br> July to <br> Sentember |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 87 | 105,812 | 109,583 | 3.6 |
| New York City | 26 | $16,02.4$ | 14,760 | 7.9 |
| East | 14 | 28,930 | 29,596 | 2.3 |
| West | 47 | 60,858 | 65,225 | 7.2 |

This table indicates that fains have been made in the West at the expense of the New Yorlc City mamfacturers, the percentage loss in man-hours in New Yora jity. being almost the same as the gains in the Mest. (Further details are given in Table IV).

## Distribution of Sales According to Areas

in Which Products are Sold
The extent to which manufacturers located in one area sell in that area end other acreas is shown in Table V-A, B. C. D. E. and $\mathbb{F}$. Inasmuch as actual salez figures are not available a hypothetical distribution

# FIGURE A 
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distribution among the markets of
TOTAL PHYSICAL VOLUME OF CAP BUSINESS


SOURCE:
1933
Reportis to Special Commission ano reports to cooe authority.
.
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of sales was obtainec by multiplying actual production fieures by the percenta es rich individual manufacturers estimated as the proportion of tieir seles made in each area.

These tibles indicute the folloming facts:

1. Threc-fourths of sil cos produced are sold in the eastern ad western areas about trothirds of the remeining rroduction being sold in the southern nd one-third in the far= western erres. The 174 firms renorting have an everage monthly production of 178,800 dozen caps; anproxinatel: 58,000 dozen of these caps are sold in the Eist, about $7 \therefore 000$ dozen are sold in the Nid-West, 17,500 dozer in the Far-West, aind about 30,000 dozen in the South. (Table VA).
2. The total sales in eich area are distributed ariong New Yorir City, eustern and western manufacturers as follows:
a. Almost three-fourths of all the caps sold in the Eist are roduced in New Yark City, the remainder being almost evenly divided between the eastern and western munufacturers. (Table V B)

## TABLE V - DISTRIBUTION OF SALES ACCORDING TO AREA

This table shows the extent to which manufacturers located in one area sell in other areas. In part $A$, are given the actual number of firms and a hynothetical monthly figure on sales obtained by multiplying each manufacturers aroduction the percentage of his sales made in each area. Thus 61 of the 64 reporting firms located in New Yorl City sell monthly in the East 41,569 dozen of a total production of 87,116 dozen caps, 29 firms sell 23,62? dozen in the Mid-West, etc.

In part $E$, the percentages of the total caps sold in the East by firms located in Mew Yorle City, the East and the Test are shown; and similarly for the Mid-Test, Far-ilest, and South.

In part $C$, the percent of the total caps produced in New York City which are sold in each of the areas is shown, and similarly the percent of total cans produced in the other areas which are sold in each area.
A. Number of Firms and Amount of Sales

| Froduced | TOTAI EAST |  |  |  | MID-VIST |  | FAR-WEST |  | SOUTH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nurn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mumber } \\ & \text { oi doz } \\ & \text { on cap } \\ & \text { sold } \end{aligned}$ |  | Trumber <br> of doz <br> en cap <br> sold |  | Tumber <br> of doz <br> en cap <br> sold | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{amb} \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { firn } \end{aligned}$ | Number of doz en cap sold | mbe <br> of <br> firm |  |
| New Yors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City | 64 | 87,116 | 61 | 41,569 | 29 | 23,633 | 9 | 7,188 | 18 |  |
| Est | 39 | 24,220 | 38 | 8,898 | 11 | 6,178 | 10 | 3,603 | 13 |  |
| West | 71 | 67,463 | 11 | 6,371 | 57 | 44,530 | 24 | 6,650 | 14 |  |
| Total | 174 | 178,799 | 110 | 57,333 | 97 | 74,380 | 43 | 17,441 | 45 | 2 |

B. Percent of Total Sales In each Area Made by Wew York City, Eastern and Western Firms.

| New Yoriz City | $43.7 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| East | 13.6 | 15.5 | 8.3 | 20.7 | 18.7 |
| West | 37.7 | 12.3 | 59.9 | 38.1 | 31.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## C. Percent of Totel Production of Tew York City, Eastern und Vestern Firms, Sold in Fach Area.

| Area in which Produced | Area in which öold |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ```Number of dozen caps sold``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yumber } \\ & \text { of dozen } \\ & \text { caps } \\ & \text { sold } \end{aligned}$ | ```Number of dozen caps sold``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of dozen } \\ & \text { caps } \\ & \text { sold } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of dozen } \\ & \text { caps } \\ & \text { sold } \end{aligned}$ |
| New York City | 100\% | 47.7\% | 27.1\% | 2.3\% | 16.9\% |
| East | 100 | 36.7 | 25.5 | 14.9 | 22.9 |
| West | 100 | 10.2 | 66.1 | 9.9 | 13.9 |
| Total | 100 | 32.1 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 16.6 |

Source: Questionaire sent out by the Industry Reportine Unit, Research and Plannine Division.

| Percent <br> of total <br> Sales | Nurnber of | W York City | ms with | ified Per | ages of t | ir total | es In: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EAST |  | MIDWIST |  | FAR UEST |  |  | SOUTH |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tumber of } \\ \text { Firms } \end{gathered}$ | Number of dozens of Caps sold* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IJumber of } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ | Number of dozens of Caps sold* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ | Number of dozens of Caps sold* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { Firms } \end{aligned}$ | Number of dozens of Caps sold* |
| 0-19\% | 5 | 1,793 | 12 | 2,177 | 6 | 1,125 | 7 | 2,545 |
| 20-39\% | 6 | 4,545 | 4 | 874 | 1 | 26 | 4 | 2,664 |
| 40-59, | 4 | 5,830 | 10 | 16,693 | 2 | 6,037 | 2 | 572 |
| 60-79\% | 4 | 2,383 | 1 | 2,580 | - | -- | 4 | 8,646 |
| 80-99\% | 8 | 5,123 | 2 | 1,298 | - | -- | 1 | 310 |
| 100\% | 33 | 20,845 | - | -- | - | -- | - | - -- |
| Total | 61 | 41,569 | 29 | 23,622 | 9 | 7,188 | 18 | 14,737 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozen of Caps Produced in the New Yori City area - 87,116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *The number sold is a hypothetical figure based on the estimated percentage of the total production of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| individual manufacturers that was sold in eacin area, multiplied jy the total produced manufacturer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Questionnaire sent out by the Industry Reporting Unit, Division of Research and. Planning. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CAP AITD OLOTE HAT : UANTSACTURIIG IMDUSERY
Taole $V-E$ - DISTRIBUTION OF SALIS OU CAPS FRODUCED IT TV: EASMER STATES BY AREAS
This Table sinors wiere tie manufacturers located in time East sell tneir products. ...Itis more detailed Table $V$ simce it sous the oroortion of tie total sales of individual Eastern menufacturers ande in tile various areas.

| Per cent of total sales | F-umer of Eastern Firns witi Soecieied Percenteros T Teir Ioto? Soles in: - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SAS |  | ITDIEST |  | IAR WEST |  | SOJIE |  |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { finber of } \\ \text { fimrs } \end{array}\right.$ | inumber 0 i dozens of cays sold* | numer $0=$ İrns | nuber of dozens of caps sold* | ituraber of firms | number of COzens oi cans sola* | - Tumber of firms | Tumber of lozens of caps sola* |
| $0-19 \%$ | 4 | 1,253 | 2 | 2.0 | 6 | 440 | 12 | 500 |
| $20-396$ | 3 | 805 | 3 | 4,235 | 4 | 3.133 | 5 | 3,133 |
| $\therefore 0-59,0$ | 4 | 2,202 | 2 | 221 | - | - | 2 | 1,575 |
| 30-79\% | 2 | 09 | - | - | - | - | - | $\because$ |
| $50-99 \%$ | - | - | 1 | 1,462 | $\rightarrow$ | - | 1 | 173 |
| 100\% | 25 | 4,049 | - |  | - | - | 1 | 160 |
| Total | 33 | 8,393 | 11 | 6,178 | 10 | 3,503 | 13 | 5,541 |

Nowber of Firms reoorting in tie Eastern Areas - 39
Total dozons prounced $0-$ firms in tine Eastern Area - 2! , 220
*The munoer sola is a nypotietical figure based on the estimatec percentage of the total production of individual manurecturers tiat was sold in eaci area, multiplied by the total produced by earl individual manufacturer.
Source: questiomaire sent out by the Industry Zeporting Unit, Division of Research and Planning. 1171.27
This table shows where the manufacturers located in the West sell their oroducts. It is nore detailed than table $V$, since it shows the proportion of the total sales of individual Western manufacturers made in the various areas.
Number of Firms rennrting in the Western Area-71
Total dozens of caps noduced by Iirms in the Western Area - 67,463 *The number sold is a hypothetical figure vase on the estimated peicentage of the total production of individual monufacturers that was sold in each area, multiplied by the total oroduced by each individual manufacturer.
Source: Questionaire sent out by the Industry Reporting Unit, Research and Planining Division.
b. Sixty per cent of all caps sold in the Mid-Test are produced by western manufacturers, about half as much by New York City manufacturers, and less than 10 per cent by eastern manufacturers. (Table V B).
c. Of all the caps sold in the Far-West, New York City and western manufacturers produced about the came amounts (40 per cent) and eastern manufacturers approximately half as much. (Table V B).
d. Of all the caps sold in the South approximately one-half were manufartured by New York City firms, about a third by western manufactur€rs, and the remainder (19.9 per cent) by eastern manufacturers. (Table V B).
3. New York City, eastern and western firms each dispose of their total production in the various areas as follows:
2. New York City firms sell almost half of their caps in the aastern aroa, about one-fourth in the Mid-West, and the remaining fourth in the South and Far-Test. (Tablc V C).
reporting sold all of their product in the East. Those remaining New York City firms selling in the MidWest, Far-West and South sold individually a comparatively small proportion of their sales in these ereas. (Table V D).
b. Dastern firms sell a little over onetrird of their production in the East, one-fourth in the Mid-West and onefourth in the South, and the remainder in the Far-Test. (Table V C). About two-thirds of the reporting eastern firms sold all of their product in the East. Those remaining eastern firms selling in the other areas sold inơiviaually a relatively small proportion of their total sales in these areas. (Table $V E)$.
c. Western firms sell two-thirds of their production in the Mid-rest, and the remainder about equally in the East, Far-West and Sauth. (Table V C). Three-fourths of the reporting western firms sold all of their products in the

> Mid-West or Far-Test. Those remaining western firms selling in the Eact and south sold individually a relatively cmall proportion of their total sales in those areas. ble $V E)$.

## Distribution by Type of Outlet

The outlets through which the firms in each area distribute their production are shown in Table VI.

The outstanding facts revealed are:

1. Jobbers serve as the principal cutlet for New York City manufacturers. Of the total of 71 reporting firms in tinis area 56 sold some of their products through this channel as compared with 19 of the 49 eastern manufacturers and 29 of the 83 western manufacturers using this outlet. Moreover, 40 of the 71 New Yorle City firms sell all of their production through jobbers, as compared with only 5 of the 49 ea. in and 7 of the 83 wectern manufacturers using this outlet for all of their product. This is still further emphasized $b_{y}$ the fact that 43 of the 71 New York City firms sell more. than 80 per cent of treir production through jobbers contrasted with 7 of the 40 eastern
1171-32

| Fercent of Total Sales | NEW YORU CITY |  |  |  | EAST |  |  |  | WEST |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Department \& Chain Stores | Retail <br> Stores | Job bers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Con- } \\ & \text { su- } \\ & \text { iners } \end{aligned}$ | Department \& Chain Stores | Retail Stores | Job- bers | Con-sumers | Depart- <br>  <br> Chain <br> Stores | Retail <br> Stores | Jobbers | Con-sumers |
| 0-19\% | 8 | 5 | 3 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 |  | 17 | 6 | 6 |  |
| 20-39, | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 7 |  | 3 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 40-59\% | I | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 |  |
| 60-79\% | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 80-99\% | 3. | 1 | 8 |  | 1 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 1 |
| 100\% | 4 | 6 | 40 |  | 0 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 27 | 7 | 4 |
| Totals | 24 | 16 | 56 | 1 | 20 | 37 | 19 | 6 | 34 | 65 | 29 | 6 |
| Total <br> number <br> report- | 71 |  |  |  | 49 |  |  |  | 83 |  |  |  |
| Source: Questionnaire sent out by the Indus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

and 10 of the 23 western manufacturers selling this amount throurn this outlet.
2. Retail stores serve as the most important outlet for manufacturers in the eastern and western areas. Whereas only 16 of the 71 New York City firms make sone of their seles to retail stores, 37 of the 49 eastern and. 65 of the 83 western firms use this outlet for at least some of their sales. Moreover, 15 of the 49 eastern firms and 27 of the 83 western firms sell 100 per cent of their production throurh retail stores as compared with only $s$ of the 71 New York City firms. This is even more clearly brought out by a comparison of the nunber of firms in each area selling more than 80 per cent of their proauction through retail stores: 24 of the 49 eestern, and 45 of the 83 western firms fall in this eroup as compared with only 7 of the 71 New York City firms.
3. Department, Chain and Mail Order Houses serve as a more important outlet for New York City than they do in the other
less important.
This is shown by the fact that 12 of the
71 New York City firms as compared with 3 of the 49 eastern and 7 of the 83 western firms make morc than 60 per cent of their total sales through these outlets.

Production of Various Types of Caps
The average montilly production of the various types of caps and the extent to which each type of cap is produced in each area is shown in Tables VII A, B and $C$.

The following facts are brought out by the tables:

1. Of the total produation of all types of caps the western manufacturers produced almost 45 per cent, the New York City manufacturers 41 per cent and the eastern manufacturers 14 per cent. (164 firms reporting an average total production of 152,428 dozen monthly--Table VII--A and B).
2. The most impertant types of caps in order of dozens produced are: (Table VII C). a. Specialties such as hunting, helmets, shop caps etc.--27 per cent of the
Table VII - PRODUCTION OF VARIOUS CAPS-BY AREAS A. Wumer of Firms and Dozens of Caps Procuced
This Table gives some indication of the extent which tie various types of caps are produced in each area.


* Incluces Hunting, Uniform, Shop, Railroad and Iovelty Caps.
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B. Percent of Total Cans of Eich Type which are Produced in Each Area Percent of Total Caps of Each Type Produced which a- Produced In: Tyoe of Cap New York City Zast West - T Golf Caps

| \$. 25 | 39.703 | 29.4\% | 30.35 | 100.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 39 | 61.6 | 6.8 | 31.6 | 100.0\% |
| . 59 |  |  |  |  |
| . 69 | 35.6 | 10.4 | 54.0 | 100.0 |
| . 79 |  | 8.2 | 91.8 | 100.0 |
| 1.00 | 41.3 | 9.1 | 49.6 | 100.0 |
| 1.50 | 37.4 | 10.1 | 52.5 | 100.0 |
| Cthers | 42.7 | 18.9 | 38.5 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL | 41.0 | 14.4 | 44.6 | $\overline{100.0}$ |

C. Percent of Total Caps Produced in Zach Areathich are of Each Type Percent of Total Caps Produced in Bach Area which are of Each Type: Type of

| Cap | New York City | East | West |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Golf Caps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ . 25 | 14.56 | 20.15 | 10.3\% |  | 14.8\% |  |
| . 39 | 16.8 | 5.2 | 7.9 |  | 11.1 |  |
| . 50 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 9.0 |  | 6.2 |  |
| . 59 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 4.9 |  | 2.7 |  |
| . 69 | 14.0 | 11.6 | - 19.5 |  | 16.2 |  |
| . 79 |  | 0.4 | 1.3 |  | 0 |  |
| 1.00 | 19.0 | 12.2 | 21.4 |  | 19. |  |
| 1.50 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 |  | 2.0 |  |
| cthers | 28.4 | 35.4 | 23.4 |  | 27.0 |  |
| TOTA | 100.0 | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ |  |  |  |

Source: Questionnaires sent out by the Industry Reporting Tnit, Division of Research and Planning, Fational Fecovery Adninistration. Supplementary Questionnaires sent nut by Special Commission, and Production Reports made to the Code Authority.
total production.
b. $\$ 1$ golf cap -19.3 per cent of the total. rroduction,
c. 69 cert rail cap - 16.1 per cent of the to'ual ornduction.
d. 25 cent golf car - 14.3 per cent of the total production.
e. 39 cent golf cap - 11.1 pin cent of the total production.
3. The nost important golf cans in rsoect to the total dozens produced in each erea are: (Table VII ©)
a. New York City - Specialties; over one-fourth of all caps produced here. Golf caps priced at 41 , and at 39 cents are next in imortance, comprising 19 and 17 per cent respectively of the total production of thic area.
b. تact - About one-third of all the caps produced in this area are specialties and almost one-third are 23 cent polf caps.
c. West - The most important caps prom duced in this area are sperialties, $\$ 1$ solf caps and 69 cent soli caps, each comprising gout no per cent of the total production in this area.

## Total Cost (Excluding Overhead)

The average total cost of manufacture for a dozen caps (excluding overhead) is shown in Table VIII.

It will be noted the cost for wostern manu-
facturers is in every case lower than New York City; it is about 13 per cent lower in the case of 25 cent and 59 cent golf caps and about 7 per cent lower for the 39 cent and \$1 caps.

In the Zast the total cost is 17 per cent lower for the 25 cent golf cap than in New York City, and 3.5 per cent lower for the $\$ 1$ golf cap; it is 3 per cent higher, however, in the case of the 69 cent cap. It should also be pointed out that for the 25 cent cap the difference in cost is the greatest both in the East and in the West. As brought out later the differences in total cost are more laresly accounted for by the differences in the total direct labor costs than by differences in material costs - this in spite of the fact that material costs comprise more than twice as large a part of the total costs (excluding overhead).

## Material Costs

The average total material cost per dozen caps for firms in the various areas is shown in Table VIII.

It is seen that the average material cost in the West is for every important grade of golf cap 3 to
CAP AND CLOTH HAT IUAHUEACTURING IHDUSTRY

| TABLE VIII - SUMCARY OT AVERAG TONA DIRECT LABOR COSTS, MATERIAL COSTS, AID PRICES PER DOZBI FOR VARIOUS PRICE GOLF CAPS - DY GEGRAIHICAL AIEAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This table sives an aralysis of the cost elemonts eacont overhead, in the manufacture average selling price and the nercent inarkp aoove cost in each area. For individual Tables VIII - A, B, C, D, and $E$ in the supmlenent. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Based on Returns for Werk Incing Arrust ll, 1934) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Price and Arca | ```Nwmber of Pimns Roporting``` | Averag <br> Direct <br> Cost P <br> Dozen | Averace Natcrial Fer Dozen | Averag Cost P <br> Dozen | Average sale Sol Price P Dozen | Average <br> Fer Cen <br> lamlap <br> (above |
| 25¢ Golf Cap |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York City | 9 | . 88 | 1.01 | 1.39 | 1.83 | - 3.0 |
| East | 5 | . 61 | . 96 | 1.57 | 1.72 | 9.6 |
| West | 17 | . 67 | .97 | 1.64 | 1.93 | 20.6 |
| 396 Golf Cap 10.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Now York City | 18 | 1.23 | 1.40 | 2.62 | 2.91 | 11.3 |
| West | 8 | 1.09 | 1.35 | 2.44 | 2.99 | 22.3 |
| 59¢GOlt Cap |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liew Yorl City | 12 | 1.25 | 1.92 | 3.17 | 3.78 | 19.2 |
| West | 5 | 1.04 | 1.73 | 3.77 | 4.18 | 51.1 |
| 69¢ Goif Cap. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Her York City | 13 | 1.48 | 2.38 | 3.86 | 1.57 | 13.5 |
| East | 9 | 1.36 | 2.72 | 4.10 | 4.95 | 20.7 |
| West | 27 | 1.43 | 3.30 | 3.75 | 2.63 | 24.1 |
| 圂.OC Golf Cap |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hew York City | 23 | 1.35 | 3.98 | 3.83 | 6.83 | 17.2 |
| East | 13 | 1.77 | 3.85 | E. 62 | 7.55 | 16.5 |
| - | 25 | 1.64 | 3.71 | 0.35 | 7.45 | 39.3 |

4 per cent lower than in New York City with the exception of the 59 cent golf cap where it is 10 per cent lower. In the East the average material cost is 3 to 4 per cent lower than in New York City for the 25 cent and the $\$ 1$ cap but 15 per cent higher for the 69 cent cap.

Direct Labor Costs
The average total direct labor cost for the firms in each area is shown in Table IX.

In the West it is 5 to 10 per cent lower than Tew York City for the 39 cent, 59 cent and 69 cent golf cap; for the 25 cent and $\$ 1$ cap it is 25 per cent and 32 per cent lower resvectively.

In the East the average total direct labor cost is 4 per cent lower than New York City for the $\$ 1$ golf cap, 11 per cent lower for the 69 cent cap and 32 per cent lower for the 25 cent cap.

It should be pointed out that the difference in labor costs are greatest for both the East and the West in the case of the 25 cent $\xi 01 f$ cap.

Labor Costs for Individual Onerations
The average labor costs for the firms in each area for individual operations are shown in Table $X$.

TABTE IX - AVERAGE TOTAL DIRECT LABOR COSTS AiJD PRODUCTIVITY OF LABOR FOR VARIOUS PRICE GOLF CAPS, BY GEOGRAPHICAL ADEAS This table is designed to show the relative labor cost and the productivity of the
morkers in each area as represented in the average of the plants in each area reThis table is designed to show the relative labor cost and the productivity of the
workers in each area as represented in the average of the plants in each area reporting data. For individual plant figures see Table IX-A in the suphement; the averages for each oneration are shown in Table $X$.

## (Teek Endins Aurust 11, 193't)
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Table IX (Continued)
(Veek Ending August 11, 1934)

| Retail Price and Area | Arerase Totel Direct Lavor Cost |  | Average Efficiency |  | Relative Cozts and Efficiencies |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  | Nuraber | Ivunber of | Average Totel | Average |
|  | of |  | Of | Dozen Caps | Direct Labor | Esiciency |
|  | Firms | Anount per | Firms | per amployee | Cost Base: | Base: |
|  | Revortins | Dozen Caps | Reporting | per 40 Vour | NYC $=100$ | ITYC $=1$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\therefore \mathrm{A}$ 柘 |  |  |
| 19x Gols Cap |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wury | 16 | 1.55 | 14 | 19.0 | 100 | 100 |
| East | 15 | 1.77 | 7 | 14.9 | 96 | 78 |
| Vest | 29 | 2.52 | 29 | 22. | 68 | 66 |



The outstanding fact rrought out by this table is that a few operations are responsible for the major part of the differences in total airect laoor costs pointed out above.

```
There are practicnlly no differences in labor costs in every grade of \(501 f\) cap for the operations of blocking and packins. In the cnses of linine making and incidental oper tions such as button making, etc., classed under Cthers, costs are slightly lower in the East and Nest than in New York City.
```


## Cutting costs vary considerably due to the

``` use of a wide variety of cutting monines and are not consistently higher or lover in any one area. The differences in total labor costs are almost completely accounted for by the differences in operations comprised under the term "Operatine." In the West operating costs are consistently about 26 percent lower than Nev: York City for every grade of golf cap. In the East operating costs are 40 to 50 percent lomer than in New York City for the 25 cent and 39 cent golf cabs and abuut 3 percent lover for the 55 cent and \(E 9\) cent caps and 13 percent lower for the \(\$ 1\) cap.
```

Mark-Up
Table VIII shows the average percent gross
mantmp for oach tipe of olf cap in onch arca.
It is seen that the average nor cont gross marioun in tho $\because$ iost for ovory invortant racio of golf cen is over twice as mucin in in ow Yori City, oxcopt for tioc 69 cont rolf can worc it is 25 per cont insucr. Tro mari-un in tho East is ampoyi etely the some rs in Jow Yonk city with tire exce⿹tion of the 25 cont cap.

Attention is callec to tho fect that for tho 25 cent cap an avorage of all tionan-ups of roporting, fizms in row Yorl City ivos an avorage ham-up 3 por cent bolow total costs not comenime overhear. In this city $\leq$ of tio 2 roporting firas woro solling this cem ct a loss. Tris comeros viti an avorajo mark-up of 9.6 or cont for this cep in tic East and 20.6 por cent anaz un in tho veat. Soling and Proigt Costs Tre rolative manitue of sellin; or croces anc. froisint ciares in tise threo areas are incicatod in Teble XI. From tivis table tio followin. conclusions have bo irrom:

1. Solling costs comrise slifitiv smallor por cent of tic total overhead in Few Yont City tian in tice oustern or restorn areas. The

Taiz Fiolo.sicns ine rolative proporation of tine total cuerhead consumed by selling and freigit oxpenses in eacis area.


Source: Questionaire sent out by the Industry Reporting Unit, Division of Researcis and Flaming.
average per cent of the total overhead consuned by selling expense is 29.4 per cent in New York City, 33.8 per cent in the eastern area and 37 per cent in the western area. Selling expenses constitute from 10 to 50 per cent of the total overhead for 9 of the 18 New York City firms, 16 of the 24 eastern firms and 42 of the 65 western firms reporting.
2. Freight costs comprise a slightly smaller per cent of the total overhead in New York City than in the eastern or western areas. The average per cent of the total overhead which freight costs comprise is 6.3 per cent for New York City firms, 9.3 per cent for eastern firms and 7.2 per cent for western firms.

Sex of Employees and Sectionalization of Shops
Table XII indicates the relative proportion of male and female workers employed in the various sections of the cquntry. This table shows that about one-fourth of the workers in New York City, half of those in the East, and 60 per oent of those in the West are women.

Closely related to the large number of female employees in the Industry, especially in the West, is
CAp Aind Clotia hat lianteacturiig Iifdustry
TAs XII--inunber of Wale and Female Employees
durinct the week o. August ll, 1934
This talle inricres the relative erroloynent of rale and femele t-e Jesteri area, and the "iestern area.

the cpread of the so-called "section work" shops.
Both in New York City and in the West about 43 per cent of the reporting firms and 57 per cent of the eastern firms followed section work methods. In New York City 43 per cent, in the East 62 per cent and in the West 66 per cent of the employees are working in section shops.

## Wage Rates, Eārnings and Employment

Hourly wage rates are highly important in this Industry for two reasons:

1. It is in terms of hourly rates rather than in terms of weekly or monthly rates that the minimum standards of wages prescribed by the Code are set.
2. Assuming that the efficiency of labor remains the same, hourly wage rates reflect direct labor costs. Tables XIII A and B show the number and rercentage of employees receiving designated amounts per hour for the weeks ending July 14 and August ll, 1934. Thus in July, 87 per cent of all of the workers in the reporting firms in New York City, 48 per cent of those in the East and 30 per cent of those in the West, earned 55 cents per hour or more. In August, the corresponding
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Special Commieeion for the Cap and Cloth Hat Industry
Table xiti-a
FREquEnCy distribution of hourim earings of explotees for keex
ENDING JULY 13,1934










CUTTERS

earaingo
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ํ

Below $\$ 0.25$
$\$ 0.25=0.299$
$.30=.349$
$.35=.399$
$.40=.449$
$.45=. .499$
$.50=.549$
$.55=.599$
$.60=.649$
$.65=. .699$
$.70=.749$
$.75=.799$
$.80=.849$
$.85=.899$
$.90=.949$
$.95=.999$
$1.00-0 v e I$
Totale
Hourly
Earnloge
1AG: $1 / 10 / 35$
$1 / 10$
 ENDING JULY 13, 1934

## TABLE XIII-A

LININGMGXGS

|  | East |  |  | Fest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Cumu- | Cumu- | Number | Cumu- |
| of Em- | lative | lative | of Em- | lative |
| ployees | Total | Per | ployees | Total |
| Roceiv- |  | Cent of | Reoeip- |  |
| ing Spe- |  | Total | 1ng Spe- |  |
| cified |  |  | cifiod |  |
| Earninge |  |  | Earninge |  |


\$0.432
Burce: Payroll Reports to the Code Authority and the Special Comalsaion.
Special Complasion for the Can and cloth Hat Induatr

## FREQUENCY distribution of hourly earnings of EMployees for meek


WAG: 01
$1 / 20 / 35$

percentages earning this amount or more vere 92 per cent in New Yorz Vity, 63 per cent in the East and 27 per cent in the West.

In view of the fact that this Code does not provide for a weekly wage rate, earnings of employees working only 10 hours a week are $\$ 5.50$ in ITew York City area and $\$ 3.75$ in the v:estern area. The data on weekly earnings show to what extent employens in this Industry, on account of lack of employment for full weeks, fall short of making the nominal weekly minima corresponding to the mandatori hourly minima prescribed by the code and no less importantly to what extent they receive weekly earnings adecuate to cover the cost of a decent livelihood.

In Table XIV there are shown the number of emplojees in each area who received in the designated week amounts of earnings within the ranges specificd. The cumulative percentages of employees earning designated amounts for the week of August 11 are put in graphic form in Figure B. The graph shows that 40 per cent of the cap makers in New York City, 74 per cent of those in the East and 84 per cent of those in the West made earnings of less than $\$ 20$ during the week ending August ll, 1934. Referring to Table XIV it is seen that the corresponding percentages for the week ending July 14 are 64, 78 and 81 per cent for New York City, the East and the West, re-


Trequency Distributions of Prevailing Weelrly Eernings of Employees
Heels Encinc: Tuly 14, 1934

|  |  | Yors |  |  |  | t |  | West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dollars Per Weel: | ```livumber of Employ- ees``` | ```Cumula- tive Toち&ul``` | Cumulative Per Cent of Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thuber } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Enploy- } \\ \text { ees } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cumula- <br> tive <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cunula- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { Per Cent } \\ & \text { of Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wrumber } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Employ- } \\ & \text { ees } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Cumula- <br> tive <br> Total | Cunula- <br> tive <br> Per Cent <br> of Total |
| Under 10 | 58 | 58 | 21.3 | 104 | 194 | $\bigcirc 0.3$ | 220 | 220 | 27.6 |
| 10.00 to 12.39 | 37 | 95 | 34.9 | 52 | 246 | 51.1 | 139 | 359 | 45.0 |
| 13.00 to 14.99 | 15 | 110 | 40.4 | 49 | 235 | 51.3 | 100 | 405 | 58.3 |
| 15.00 to 17.49 | 29 | 139 | 51.1 | 54 | 349 | 72.6 | 130 | 595 | 74.6 |
| 17.50 to 19.99 | 34 | 173 | 33.6 | 28 | 377 | 78.4 | 49 | 544 | 30.7 |
| 20.00 to 21.39 | 11 | 184 | 07.6 | 25 | 402 | 83.6 | 24 | 668 | 83.7 |
| 22.00 to 24.99 | 15 | 199 | 73.2 | 25 | 427 | 83.8 | 34 | 702 | 58.0 |
| 25.00 to 29.99 | 20 | 213 | 30.5 | 33 | 450 | 95.6 | 33 | 735 | 92.1 |
| 30.00 to 39.99 | 23 | 242 | 59.0 | 12 | 472 | 93.1 | 54 | 739 | 93.9 |
| Over 40.00 | 20 | 272 | 100.0 | 9 | 481 | 100.0 | 9 | 798 | 100.0 |
| Source: Peyroll Reports to tre Code Autuority |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wag: jp $12 / 15 / 34$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE XIV (cont.)

| Ta… |  |  |  | F2et |  |  | $\because \%$ \% 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dol?ers Ear 首el | $\begin{gathered} 1.02 .01 \\ 0 I \\ E: 2.0 \%- \end{gathered}$ | ```Cum\mp@code{Má} tive Total``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumata- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { Pe: Cent } \\ & \text { of Iobal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thajuer } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Eny?ou- } \\ \text { ces } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cusua- } \\ \text { tive } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curula- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { Per Cent } \\ & \text { or Iotal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inanen } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Eaploy- } \\ & \text { Ees } \end{aligned}$ | Cumale tive Total | Cumulative Per Cent of Total |
| Under 10 | 43 | 43 | 9.9 | 271 | 271 | 42.3 | 324 | 334 | 26.9 |
| 10.00 bu 12.59 | 63 | 106 | 24.4 | 57 | 328 | 51.3 | 193 | 527 | 42.5 |
| 13.01 ts 3.459 | 34 | 140 | 32.2 | 75 | L0\% | 53.1 | 174 | 701 | 56.5 |
| 15.00 to - -14 | 21 | 151 | 37.0 | 35 | 499 | 68.6 | 264 | 965 | 77.8 |
| 11.50 in 1.9.99 | 14 | 175 | 40.2 | 36 | 475 | 74.2 | 74 | 1,059 | 83.8 |
| 20.00 to c.1.09 | 20 | 195 | 44.8 | 27 | 502 | 73.4 | 34 | 1,073 | 36.5 |
| 22.00 to 24.99 | 123 | 318 | 73.1 | 31 | 533 | 83.3 | 44 | 1,117 | 90.1 |
| 25.00 to 29.99 | 33 | 351 | 80.7 | 26 | 559 | 87.3 | 56 | 1,173 | 94.6 |
| 20.30 to 39.99 | 55 | 406 | 93.3 | 61 | 620 | 96.9 | 50 | 1,223 | 98.6 |
| Over 40.00 | 29 | 435 | 100.0 | 20 | 640 | 100.0 | 17 | 1,240 | 100.0 |

[^0]1171--57
TABLE XIV (cont.)

| Le:- Yorl- City |  |  |  | East |  |  | Nest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dollars Fer Week | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wumber } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Employ- } \\ \text { ees } \end{gathered}$ | Cumien <br> tive <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curula- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { Per Cont } \\ & \text { of Total } \end{aligned}$ | ```Turaber OI Inoloj- _ ees``` | Oumla- <br> tive <br> Total | Cwirulative Per Cent or Total | ```wuber Oi #noloy- ees``` | Curulative Total | Curnulative Per Cent of Total |
| Under 10 | 47 | 4.7 | 24.2 | 170 | 170 | 44.3 | 282 | 282 | 30.0 |
| 10.00 to 12.99 | 25 | 72 | 37.1 | 61 | 231 | 50.2 | 223 | 510 | 54.3 |
| 13.00 to 14.99 | 21 | 93 | 47.9 | 36 | 267 | 6.5 | 114 | 524 | 66.4 |
| 15.00 to 17.49 | 14 | 107 | 55.2 | 32 | 299 | 77.9 | 146 | 770 | 81.9 |
| 17.50 to 19.99 | 22 | 129 | 0.5 | - | 305 | 79.4 | 46 | 516 | 85.8 |
| 20.00 to 21.39 | 10 | 139 | 71.6 | 13 | 318 | 82.8 | 34 | 850 | 90.4 |
| 22.00 to 24.99 | 10 | 149 | 75.8 | 1 20 | 335 | 37.5 | 21 | 871 | 92.? |
| 25.00 to 29.29 | 23 | 17 ? | \% 67 | 23 | 34 | 94.8 | 35 | 905 | 95.4 |
| 30.00 to 39.89 | 16 | 138 | 95.9 | 17 | 351 | 99.2 | 24 | 930 | 83.9 |
| Over 40.00 | 5 | 194 | 100.0 | 3 | 384 | 100.0 | 10 | 940 | 100.0 |

[^1]$11 \div-58$
FIGURE E
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spectively, while 10 the wek enied September 14 they were 67,79 and 87 per cent respectively. Figure B further indicatss that tiae propartions of the workers earning less than $\$ 10$ and less then $\$ 15$ per week, respectively, are higher in the East and in the West than in New York City.

The reasons for these low weekly earnings under the prevailing raies are easily seen by referring to the relatively short number of inours worked per week by these employees. The earnings referred to above, therefore, should be examined in connection with the data in Table XV and Figure C. This table, for example: for the week ending August 11, 1934, indicates that in New York City and the Nest about 17.5 per cent of the workers were working less than 20 hours per week while in the East for the same psriod, more than 35 per cent of the workers were employed for this short wark week. The percentages for those working less than 30 hours per week were much larger being 36.6 , 63.9 and 39.2 for New York City, the East and the West, respeotively.

Unfortunately, no data were secured from members of the Industry in rogard to yearly earnings. However, some data have been compiled from the Census reports. Table XVI shows the annual average earnings of cap employees in certain states. Eere again the eastern centers
Frequency Distributions of Prevailing Fours of Work of Employees

$$
\text { Week Ending July 14, } 1934
$$

|  | New York City |  |  | East |  |  | West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours Fer Week | ```IVunber of Exploy- ces``` | Camula- <br> tive <br> Total | ```Cumala- tive Per Cent of Totel``` | ```Nuraber Df Rmploy- ees``` | ```Currula- tive Total``` | ```Cumpla- tive Per Cent of Total``` | ```Number of Employ- ces``` | Cumulative Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gumula- } \\ & \text { tivo } \\ & \text { Per Cent } \\ & \text { of Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 to 10 | 20 | 20 | 7.4 | 71 | 71 | 14.8 | 38 | 38 | 4.8 |
| 11 to 20 | 73 | 93 | 34.2 | 94 | 165 | 34.3. | 121 | 159 | 19.9 |
| 21 to 30 | 44 | 137 | 50.4 | 121 | 236 | 59.5. | 143 | 302 | 37.8 |
| 31 to 35 | 32 | 169 | 62.1 | 67 | 357 | 73.4 | 146 | 41:8 | 56.1 |
| 36 to 40 | 103 | 272 | 100.0 | 128 | 481 | 100.0 | 330 | 736 | 93.5 |

Veek Inding August 11, 1934

TABLE XV (cont.)
CAP AIJD CLOTH HAT HANUFACITURING INDUSTRY
Frequency Distributions of Prevailing Hours of Worix of Frployeps
Week Inaing Sentember 15, 1934

| New York City |  |  |  | East |  |  | Wast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours <br> Per Week | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mumber } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Employ- } \\ \text { ees } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cormla- <br> tive <br> Total | Curnuative Per Cent of rotal | Number of Employ ees | curnia- <br> tivs <br> Total | Cumbla- <br> tive <br> Por Cent <br> of Total | Number of Mmcloy- ces | Cumale <br> tj.ve <br> Total | Cumulative Per Cent of Total |
| 1 to 10 | 20 | 20 | 10.3 | 45 | 45 | 11.7 | 27 | 27 | 2.9 |
| 11 to 20 | 69 | 59 | 45.9 | 134 | 179 | 46.6 | 127 | 154 | \$16.4 |
| 21 to 30 | 62 | 151 | 77.8 | 114 | 293 | 76.3 | 348 | 502 | 53.4 |
| 31 to 35 | - 19 | 170 | 87.6 | 45 | 338 | 88.0 | 173 | 675 | 71.8 |
| 36 to 40 | 24 | - 194 | 100.0 | 46 | 334 | 100.0 | 265 | 940 | 100.0 |
| Source: | Payroll Reports to the Code Authority. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WAG: jD $12 / 12 / 34$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





# Social Comisejon Por Tit QQ Cloth Het Industry <br> <br> GABLE NII <br> <br> GABLE NII <br>  <br>  

| STATE 1983 1927 | 1923 |  | 22 | 927 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Comecticut | \$r79 | $\$ 1111$ | \$1153 | \$1410 |
| Maryland | 725 | 1028 | 1100 | 1285 |
| Massachusette | 759 | 1026 | $13: 35$ | 1345 |
| New Jersey | 525 | 985 | 1150 | 1525 |
| New York | 710 | 1221 | 1635 | 1330 |
| Pemnsylvania | 763 | 1105 | 1315 | 1485 |
| Ohio | 729 | 877 | 1110 | 1135 |
| California | 835 | 1553 | 1310 | 1390 |
| Illinois | 896 | 1064 | 1290 | 1500 |
| Missouri | 71.3 | 371 | 1000 | 1115 |
| United States | 722 | 1043 | 1342 | 1610 |

SOURCE: Census of lamufacturers

1' Figures show are estimatez obteined by dividing the Census figures for the anownt paid in wases by the average number of wase ermers.

WAG:oq
$1 / 20 / 34$
are shown to be providing not only higher hourly rates and weekly earnings but also for the most part higher annual earnings than western areas. Table XVII indicates the amount of employnent in the various areas. This table shows that for the 153 reporting firms the average number of weeks that the majority of employees were employed full time in 1933 was, in New York City, 32.9 weeks, in the East 35.5 weeks and in the West 40.8 weeks. They indicate the western workers have a differential advantage in respect of amount of employment of 24 per cent over New York. This differential is only a little more than $2 / 3$ as wide as the differential disadvantage they are under with respect to hourly rates. The worker in the East outside of New York City appears to have about 8 per cent more employment during the year than does the New York City employee, an advantage which, it should be noted, is not offset by any differential in hourly rates under the code as now written.

Respectfully submitted, Special Commission far the Cap and Cloth Hat Industry<br>P. F. Brissenden, Chairman<br>Max Meyer<br>Wirt A. Gill

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CAP AND CLOTH HAT MANUFACTURING YNDUSTRY } \\
& \text { TABIE XVII - EXTENT OF FULI-TIME EMPLOYMENT }
\end{aligned}
$$

| This majori of Fir with th in ter | le indicat of the emp who give total numb of percent | TABI <br> the average oyees by Tim e specified $r$ of morters ges of total | XVII - E <br> mumber of in each unber $\oplus \hat{f}$ w employed b <br> A. INoub | REIT OF FULL- <br> eeks Full-time rea. In the elis employinen' these Eirms; <br> $r$ of tims and | IME EMPLOY <br> employmen <br> pper half <br> to a majo <br> in the low <br> Employees | NT <br> during the the table i ty of their <br> half the sam | furnish listed th mployees t data is | to a umber ther sented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INunber of weeks full-time | Huaber of firms furnishing specified momber of weeks fuil-time employnent to a mạjority _-................................................................. 1933 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { firms. } \end{aligned}$ | Twaber of employees I/ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Zumber of } \\ \text { firms } \end{gathered}$ | woraber of employees I/ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IW ber of } \\ \text { firms } \end{gathered}$ | Tumber af employees 1/ | Wumber of sims | Irumber of entoloyees I/ |
| 16 or less | 11 | 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17-20 | 5 | 50 | 3 | 10 | 4 |  |  | 187 |
| 21-24 | $\overline{6}$ | 58 |  |  | 2 | 15 | 11 |  |
| 25-25 | 7 | 80 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 89 | 14 | 179 |
| 29-32 | 16 | 143 | 1 | 22 | 8 | 78 | . 25 | 241 |
| 33-36 | 2 | 30 | . | - | 7 | 92 | 9 | 122 |
| 37-40 | 7 | 256 | 3 | 149 | 9 | \% | 24 | 486 |
| $41-44$ | 2 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 199 | 11 | 10 |
| 45-43 | 4 | 104 | - | 3 | 10 | 362 | 15. | 409 |
| 49-52 | 2 | 42 | 2 | 70 | 10 | 1.29 | 14 | - 241 |
| Totals | 62 | 855 | 20 | 544 | 65 | 990 | 153 | 2,219 |
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CAP AND CLOTH HAT MAIJUFACTURIITG IJIDUSTRY
TABLE XVII - EXTENT OF FULI-TIME EMPLOMMEITT
B. Percent of Firms and Hmployees

| Wuaber of weeks full-time employment. | Perzent of totul nuber of fims in cach area furnishing scecified number of weeks ful employment to a majority of their employees in 1933. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vew Ioris city |  | Dast |  | Test |  | - Total |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { fims } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { eiroloyees } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent of } \\ \text { total } \\ \text { firms } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent of } \\ \text { total } \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { firms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { employees } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { firms } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { ermplojees } \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 or less | 17.7\% | 12.0\% | 25.9, | 16.6\% | 6.1\% | 2.4\% | 14.3\% | $8.4 \%$ |
| 17-20 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 11.5 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 3.7 |
| $21-24$ | 9.7 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 3.2 |
| 25-25 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 8.1 |
| 29-32 | 25.8 | 16.2 | 3.8 | 6.4 | 12.3 | 7.8 | 16.3 | 10.9 |
| 33-36 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 |
| 37-40 | 11.3 | 28.9 | 30.9 | 43.3 | 13.8 | 8.2 | 15.7 | 21.9 |
| 41-44 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 4.4 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 7.2 | 6.3 |
| 45-4s | 5.5 | 11.8 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 15.4 | 36.5 | 9.8 | 21.1 |
| 49-52 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 7.7 | 20.3 | 15.4 | 13.0 | 9.2 | 10.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: questionaire sent out by the Industry
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Source: Questionnaires sent out by the Industry Reporting Unit, Division of Research and Planning,
CAP. AID CLOTA HAT MANUFACTURIITG INDUSTRY
ND SELLING OUTLET BY GBOGRAPHICAL AREAS


[^2]Selling
Outlet




| $\frac{\text { Area }}{\text { WEST }} \text { (Cont'd) }$ | Total Direct Labor Cost Per Dozen | Total Naterial <br> Costs per <br> Dozen | Total Costs per Dozen I/ | Wholesale Selline <br> Price per <br> Dozen | Per Cent ivark Up | Selling Outlet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.18 | 1.67 | 2.85 | 3.15 | 10.5 | Jobber |
|  | 1.16 | 2.45 | 3.61 | 6.00 | 66.2 | Jobber |
|  | 1.25 | 1.92 | 3.17 | 3.35 | 5.0 | Jobber |
|  | 1.50 | 3.20 | 4.70 | 6.00 | 27.7 | Retailer |
|  | 1.38 | 2.25 | 3:63 | 4.50 | 24.0 | Retailer |
|  | 1.32 | 1.20 | 2:52 | 5.40 | 114.3 | Retailer |
|  | 1.32 | 2.36 | 3.68 | 5.25 | 42.7 | Retailer |
|  | 1.47 | 2.98 | 4.45 | 6.00 | - 34.8 | Rotailer |
|  | 1.23 | 2.46 | 3.69 | 6.00 | - 62.6 | zeteiler |
|  | 1.53 | 1.30 | 2.83 | 4.50 | 59.0 | Retailur |
|  | 1.25 | 2.38 | 3.63 | 5.50 | 51.5 | Fetrils |
|  | 1.32 | 2.36 | 3.68 | 5.50 | 49.5 | Fetaizur |
|  | 1.85 | 2.50 | 4.35 | 5.00 | - 14.9 | Retailer |
|  | . 99 | 2.29 | 3.23 | 4.23 | - 29.0 | Retailer |
|  | 1.24 | 2.29 | 3.53 | 5.17 | 46.5 | Retaile |
|  | 1.41 | 2.75 | 4.16 | 5.25 | 26.2 | Retailet |
|  | 1. 00 | 1.77 | 3.57 | 4.05 | 13.4 | Retailer |
|  | 1.48 | 2.40 | 3.58 | 5.00 | 28.9 | Fetailer |
|  | 1.44 | 2.25 | 3.69 | 4.50 | 22.0 | " |
|  | 1.55 | 2.40 | 3.95 | 5.00 | 20.6 | " |
|  | 1.50 | 2.60 | 4.10 | 6.00 | - 46.3 | " |
|  | 1.62 | 2.18 | 3.30 | 6.00 | - 57.9 | 1 |
|  | 1.75 | 2.35 | 4.10 | 6.00 | 46.3 | " |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]Source: Questionnaires sent out by the Industry Peporting Unit, Division of Research and Planning,
CAP AND CLOTH HAT MANJFACTURIIVG INDUSTRY
TABLE VIII E - INDIVIDUAL PLANT TOTAL DIRECT LABOR COSTS, TOTAL MATERIAL COST AND WHOL MSALE AND SELLIIGG OUTLET BY GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS

| $\frac{A R E A}{\text { YOREN }}$ | Total Direct Labor Cost Per Dozen | Total Material <br> Costs per <br> Dozen | Total Costs per Dozen 1/ | Wholesale Sell ing Price Per Dozen | Per Cent Mark Up <br> (above cost) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Selling } \\ & \text { Outlet } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1, 80 | 4.57 | 6.37 | 6.75 | 6.0 | Chain |
|  | 1.35 | 4.00 | 5.85 | 6.75 | 15.4 | Chain |
|  | 1.83 | 4.25 | 6.08 | 7.26 | 19.4 | Chain |
|  | 2.02 | 3.50 | 5.52 | 7.00 | 26.8 | Jobber |
|  | 1.86 | 1.00 | 2.86 | 3.25 | 13.6 | Jobber |
|  | 1.92 | 4.30 | 6.22 | 7:50 | 20.6 | " |
|  | 2.20 | 4.50 | 6.70 | 7.50 | 11.9 | " |
|  | 1.31 | 3.95 | 5.76 | 6.75 | 17.2 | Joboer |
|  | 2.07 | 3.35 | 5.42 | 6.08 | 12.2 | Jobber |
|  | 1.59 | 4.50 | 6.49 | 8.00 | 23.3 | Retailer |
|  | 1.61 | 3.25 | 4.86 | 6.50 | 33.7 | Retailer |
|  | 1.74 | 4.00 | 5.74 | 7.50 | 30.7 | Chain \& Jobber |
|  | 1.68 | 3.00 | 4.68 | 5.00 | 6.8 | Jobber \& Contractor. |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| EAET | 1.83 | 3.35 | 5.18 | 5.85 | 12.9 | Jobuer |
|  | 2.03 | 4.21 | 6.29 | 8.00 | 27.2 . | Retailer |
|  | 2.16 | - 4.21 | 6.37 | 8.00 | 25.6 | Retailer |
|  | 2.12 | 4.17 | 6.29 | 8.00 | 27.2 | " |
|  | 1.56 | 4.00 | 5.56 | 8.00 | 43.9 | " |
|  | . 2.02 | 2.60 | 4.62 | 8.00 | 73.2 | " |
|  | . 1.74 | 2.00 | 3.74 | 8.00 | 113.9 | " $11 \times$ |
|  | 2.11 | 4.61 | 6.72 | 7.75 | 15.3 | " |
|  | . 83 | 5.25 | 6.08 | 7.50 | 23.4 |  |
|  | - 1.23 | 4.96 | 6.19 | $5 \cdot 94$ | - 4.0 | $\therefore$ " |
|  | 2.28 | 2.50 | 4.78 | 7.50 | 57.0 | " |
|  | 1.60 | 4.17 | 5.77 | 8.00 | 38.6 | Chain-Retailer |
|  | 1.45 | 3.97 | 5.42 | 7.50 | 38.4 | Retailer \& Jobber |
|  | - -r | , -- | - -- | 7 ra | 260 | Chain |



The Tables contained in tris supplement support with rore detail sumnery tables contained in the body of the report. For convenience in referring to ther each takle in the supplement is given the same number as the Table to which it pertains (mith the adeition of a letter thereafter). Thus IX-A refers to Table IX in the body of the report.

TABLE II - A, inI IVIDUAL FLANT TOTAL DIRECT LABOR COSTS AND EFFICIENCIES
The Labor officienoy io compared with the labor cost (by dozene of capa) In the following table. Each labor cost figure and the correaponding efticlency flsure appliea to one factory. Thle relation to one factory does not bold trae for the different price

The labor conte are arranged in ascending arder.

| Ares | 254 0015 cap |  | 398 Goll Cap |  | 5940019 Cep . |  | 6920018 Cap |  | \$10c. Goll Cap |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Erf101ency ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | Labor ${ }_{\text {L }}$ | Sriciency ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labor 1/] } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Effictency? | CEbor | Erficienoy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Labor ${ }_{\text {cog }}$ | Efficlency? |
| Or York Cits | $\begin{gathered} .55 \\ .59 \\ .62 \\ .90 \\ .92 \\ .94 \\ .94 \\ 1.04 \\ 1.04 \\ 1.04 \\ 1.28 \end{gathered}$ | - 28.7 45.7 35.7 25.0 32.7 27.4 31.8 32.8 23.6 | \$. 7 $\begin{array}{r} .83 \\ .89 \\ .98 \\ 1.04 \\ 1.06 \\ 1.06 \\ 1.13 \\ 1.13 \\ 1.16 \\ 1.17 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.31 \\ 1.32 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.44 \\ 1.48 \\ 1.02 \\ 2.04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.6 \\ & 33.4 \\ & 27.4 \\ & 22.5 \\ & 28.5 \\ & 30.3 \\ & 18.3 \\ & 29.0 \\ & 26.0 \\ & 25.5 \\ & 23.0 \\ & 24.0 \\ & 22.6 \\ & 25.3 \\ & 20.3 \\ & 21.3 \\ & 22.1 \\ & 21.0 \\ & 30.4 \\ & 21.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.07 \\ 1.11 \\ 1.11 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.16 \\ 1.19 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.27 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.54 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.4 \\ & -4 \\ & 20.0 \\ & 21.5 \\ & 29.0 \\ & 27.0 \\ & 19.3 \\ & 25.4 \\ & 19.4 \\ & 25.5 \\ & 26.7 \\ & 19.8 \\ & 13.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.83 \\ & 1.06 \\ & 1.19 \\ & 1.30 \\ & 1.34 \\ & 1.43 \\ & 1.46 \\ & 1.48 \\ & 1.49 \\ & 1.54 \\ & 1.56 \\ & 1.56 \\ & 1.56 \\ & 1.61 \\ & 1.63 \\ & 1.64 \\ & 1.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.1 \\ & 26.7 \\ & 22.0 \\ & 20.2 \\ & 18.6 \\ & 18.8 \\ & 22.8 \\ & 22.0 \\ & 21.5 \\ & 17.6 \\ & 17.7 \\ & 20.9 \\ & 26.1 \\ & 18.6 \\ & 21.4 \\ & 18.9 \\ & 17.7 \\ & 24.6 \end{aligned}$ | 81.51 1.01 1.05 1.74 1.79 1.80 1.81 1.87 1.85 1.85 1.85 1.96 1.92 1.99 2.02 2.07 2.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \\ & 19.7 \\ & 16.5 \\ & 21.0 \\ & 15.8 \\ & 21.8 \\ & 21.8 \\ & 10.7 \\ & 19.7 \\ & 20.1 \\ & 18.5 \\ & 15.7 \\ & \hline 5 \\ & 15.4 \\ & 14.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| set | .50 .55 .56 .83 | 32.3 24.3 14.2 34.7 32.7 29.5 | $\begin{array}{r}.64 \\ 1.54 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 27.8 22.0 . | .75 1.07 1.47 | 11.5 10.0 15.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ .90 \\ 1.11 \\ 1.29 \\ 1.33 \\ 1.47 \\ 1.49 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.53 \\ 1.54 \\ 1.54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ 14.2 \\ 22.4 \\ 25.0 \\ 15.5 \\ .- \\ 23.5 \\ 19.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .83 \\ & 1.23 \\ & 1.45 \\ & 1.50 \\ & 1.00 \\ & 1.74 \\ & 1.83 \\ & 2.02 \\ & 2.08 \\ & 211 \\ & 2.12 \\ & 2.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ - \\ 20.1 \\ 14.1 \\ 17.3 \\ \vdots \\ 13.8 \\ 13.9 \\ 17.0 \\ 9.2 \end{array}$ |
| \%est | $\begin{aligned} & .52 \\ & .55 \\ & .55 \\ & .57 \\ & .04 \\ & .65 \\ & .65 \\ & .88 \\ & .68 \\ & .68 \\ & .71 \\ & .73 \\ & .74 \\ & .74 \\ & .75 \\ & .79 \end{aligned}$ | 35.1 <br> 25.1 <br> 36.8 <br> 25.0 <br> 30.0 <br> 28.8 <br> 28.8 <br> -8 <br> 25.2 <br> 24.5 <br> 25.9 <br> 29.2 <br> 21.0 <br> 19.2 | .83 .94 1.01 1.04 1.06 1.14 1.14 1.27 1.27 1.53 |  | 89 .39 1.00 1.09 1.22 1.22 1.31 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.2 \\ & 17.7 \\ & 20.8 \\ & 16.9 \\ & 14.3 \\ & 15.8 \\ & 17.8 \end{aligned}$ | .98 .98 1.16 1.18 1.23 1.24 1.25 1.25 1.32 1.32 1.32 1.37 1.38 1.41 1.44 1.47 1.48 1.50 1.50 1.53 1.55 1.50 2.57 1.57 1.62 1.75 1.80 1.85 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.15 \\ & 1.21 \\ & 1.22 \\ & 1.26 \\ & 1.44 \\ & 1.48 \\ & 1.49 \\ & 1.50 \\ & 1.50 \\ & 1.52 \\ & 1.53 \\ & 1.56 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 1.81 \\ & 1.63 \\ & 1.63 \\ & 1.63 \\ & 1.67 \\ & 1.67 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.71 \\ & 1.73 \\ & 1.75 \\ & 2.81 \\ & 2.00 \\ & 2.18 \\ & 2.25 \end{aligned}$ | 15.0 17.9 13.0 15.7 11.8 17.3 1.3 13.3 14.0 14.2 13.3 14.8 11.7 9.9 14.4 11.5 8.0 10.0 12.0 12.4 19.1 9.8 16.1 9.1 24.0 9.0 10.0 10.0 8.0 11.5 |

[^4]Bource: questionnairea eent out by the Industry Repirting Unit
Divias of Regearch a Planning
I ble X-A - Individunl Plant Labor Costs and Efficiencies by Geographical areas




Table X-E - Individual Plant Labor Coste and Efflcienolee by Gsographical Area

| AREA | Cutting |  | Operating |  | Lning Making |  | Blookling |  | Paoking |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount per } \\ & \text { dozen } \\ & \text { Cape } \end{aligned}$ | Number of doz. oape per Emp. per 40 hr . Week | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount per } \\ & \text { dozen } \\ & \text { Caps } \end{aligned}$ | Number of Aoz. caps per Emp. per 40 br . Wrek | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount par } \\ & \text { dozen } \\ & \text { Caps } \end{aligned}$ | Number of doz. caps per kmp. per 40 hr . Week | Amount per dozen Oape | Number of doz. oaps per Emp. per 40 hr . Wrek | Amount per 80z6n Cape | Number of doz. oape per Emp. per 40 hr . Weak | Amount per dozon Caps | Number of doz. oape per Emp. per 40 hr . Weak |
| New Yorl City | . 25 | - | 1.30 | $\square$ | . 15 | $\bigcirc$ | . 20 | $\cdots$ | -12 | - | - | - |
|  | .27 | 200 | 1.06 | 38 | .13 | 200 | . 20 | 200 | . 14 | 220 | - | - |
|  | . 27 | 95 | 1.05 | $\cdots$ | - 29 | 51 | . 25 | -0 | .13 | 3 | - | - |
|  | . 20 | 180 | 1.20 | 33 | -13 | 210 | - 22 | 150 | -10 | 300 | - | - |
|  | .25 | 100 | 1.19 | 34 | . 14 | 180 | .16 | 240 | . 12 | 240 | - | - |
|  | .27 | 100 | 3 | 24 | - | $\pm$ | . 16 | 150 | . 12 | 180 | - | , |
|  | - 24 | 120 | 1.32 | 25 | . 14 | 170 | . 20 | 150 | .15 | 200 | . 15 | 240 |
|  | - 25 | 100 | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | -10 | .16 | 220 | +11 | 250 | . 25 | 150 |
|  | -25 | 150 | 1.14 | 39 | -14 | 210 | . 16 | 210 | -12 | 250 | $=$ | - |
|  | . 28 | 150 150 | 1.03 1.12 | 33 | .14 | 145 | .17 | 180 200 | . 12 | 200 240 | - | - |
|  | . 27 | 100 | 1.09 | 28 | . 11 | 200 | .12 | 210 | . 09 | 229 | - | $\pm$ |
|  | . 35 | 100 | 1.00 | 30 | . 15 | 150 | . 18 | 200 | .15 | 200 | - | - |
|  | . 25 | 120 | 1.35 | 25 | - 20 | 120 | .16 | 225 | . 11 | 250 | - | - |
|  | . 25 | 120 | . 91 | - | .12 | 180 | . 12 | 200 | .11 | 200 | - | $=$ |
| Erbt | . 25 | 157 | 1.18 | 26 | . 10 | 350 | . 20 | 200 | .10 | 200 | - | - |
|  | . 33 | - | 1.25 | - | . 15 | - | . 25 | - | .10 | - | 5 | - |
|  | . 31 | - | 1.25 | - | - | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | . 25 | - | -10 | 175 | . 25 | 00 |
|  | . 33 | 117 | 1.21 | 29 | - 15 | 100 | - 22 | 125 | . 14 | 175 | . 07 | 200 |
|  | - 25 | 100 | 1.00 | - | - | - | . 19 | 160 | -12 | 200 | - | - |
|  | -262 | - | $\overline{80}$ | - | -15 | - | -25 | = | -10 | - | $\pm$ | - |
|  | . 20 |  | . 80 | - | . 09 | - | -20 | $=$ | $\stackrel{.15}{-1}$ | - | .05 | 350 |
|  | . 31 | 60 | 1.25 | $\overline{3}$ | - | - | . 25 | - | .10 | - | . 20 | - |
|  | . 25 | 100 | -66 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - |
|  | . 25 | 88 | - | 25 | - | - | -20 | - | 05 | - | 5 | - |
|  | . 20 | 100 | .55 1.47 | - | -10 | $\pm$ | .08 .25 | - | .05 .15 | 100 | . 25 | 100 |
|  | .33 | $\underline{\sim}$ | . 95 | - | . 08 | - | .15 | - | .07 | - | .10 | - |
| тest | . 37 | 55 | . 60 | $\checkmark$ | . 12 | 125 | . 22 | 65 | . 08 | 180 | . 11 | 100 |
|  | . 23 | 109 | - 84 | 23 | . 15 | 167 | - 22 | 125 | . 06 | 283 | - | - |
|  | . 25 | 100 | . 78 | 26 | . 05 | 200 | -19 | 125 | . 071 | 200 | - | - |
|  | - 30 | 100 | . 74 | 34 | . 08 | 275 | -17 | 150 | . 15 | 100 | . 14 | 108 |
|  | . 45 | 50 | 1.25 | 20 | . 18 | 80 | . 20 | 200 | -10 | 140 | - | - |
|  | - 29 | 83 | -81 | 21 | . 09 | 167 | - 22 | 100 | . 15 | 100 | - | - |
|  | . 34 | 78 | 1.15 | 12 | . 12 | 125 | .15 | 150 | . 25 | 260 | . 07 | 175 |
|  | . 23 | 108 | -. 57 | 27 24 | . 07 | 180 | .14z | 160 | -15 | 100 | . 23 | 175 58 |
|  | . 43 | 96 | . 68 | 20 | .09 | 180 | .12 | 120 | . 121 | 120 | . 121 | 120 |
|  | . 27 | 140 | .97 | 32 | . 09 갈 | 180 | - 3.8 | 210 | . 07 | 221 | . 12 | 123 |
|  | . 32 | - | -91 | $-$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | . 25 | - | .15 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\cdots$ | - |
|  | . 29 | 100 | . 84 | 30 | . 08 | 250 | . 17 | 200 | .10 | 180 | - | $\square$ |
|  | .17 | 125 | . 59 | 36 | . 08 | 240 | -12k | 200 | . 088 | 150 | .16 | 83 |
|  | . 29 | 85 | -80 | 24 | .12 | 125 | . 22 | 110 | .10 | 150 | . 10 | 150 |
|  | . 29 | 85 | . 53 | - | .16 | - | -22 | 150 | .13 | 150 | - 28 | $\square$ |
|  | . 25 | 100 | -90 | 18 | . 20 | 75 | - 20 | 150 | - | $\square$ | - | - |
|  | . 29 | 85 | -72 | 29 | .15 | 160 | -21 | 120 | - 10 | 240 | -12 | 117 |
|  | . 35 | 98 | -68 | 28 | .17 | 125 | . 20 | 1.25 | -10 | 200 | .17 | 76 |
|  | - 29 | 100 | . 81 | 27 | . 09 | 150 | - 25 | 125 | . 09 | 150 | $\checkmark$ | - |
|  | - 22t | 120 | . 50 | 30 | . 124 | 120 | -35 | 120 | . 15 | 120 | .17 | 117 |
|  | - 25 | 100 | .77 | 20 | . 15 | 100 | . 20 | 100 | .13 | 100 | .13 | 100 |
|  | . 24 | 110 | . 48 | 36 | . 0 ? | 250 | . 08 | 325 | . 06 | 250 | . 16 | 126 |

## THE WORK OF THE DIVISION OF REVIEW

Executive Order No. 7075, dated June 15, 1935, established the Division of Revien of the National Recovery Administration. The pertinent part of the Executive Order reads thus:

The Division of Review shall assemble, analyze, and report upon the statistical infornation ard records of experience of the operations of the various trades and industries heretofore subject to cudes of fair competition, shall study the effects of such codes upon trade, industrial and labor conditicns in general, and other related matters, shall make available for the protection and promotion of the public interest an adequate review of the effects of the Administration of Title $I$ of the Naticnal Industrial Recovery Act, and the principles and policies put into effect thereunder, and shall otherwise aid the President in carrying out his functions under the said Title. I hereby appoint Leon C. Narshall, Director of the Division of Review.

The study sections set up in the Division of Review covered these areas: industry studies, foreign trade studies, labor studies, trade practice studies, statistical studies, legal studies, administration studies, miscellaneous studies, and the writing of ccde histories. The materials which were produced by these sections are indicated below.

Except for the Code Histories, all itens mentjoned below are scheduled to be in mimeographed form by April 1, 1936.

## THE CODE HISTORIES

The Code Histories are documented accounts of the formation and adninistration of the codes. They contain the definition of the industry and the principal products thereof: tiae classes of meabers in the industry; the history of code formation including an account of the sponsoring organizations, the conferences, negotiations and hearings which were held, and t: : : activities in connection with obtaining approval of the code; the history of the administration of the code, covering the organization and cperation of the code authority. the difficulties encountered in administration, the extent of compliance or non-compliance, and the general success cr lack of success of the code; and an analysis of the operation of code provisions cealing with wages, hours, trade practices, and other provisions. These and other matters are canvassed not only in terms oi the materials to be fcund in the files, but also in terms of the experiences of the deputies and others concerned with code formation and administration.

The Code Histories, (including histories of certain NRA units or agencies) are not mimeographed. They are to be turned over to the Department of Conmerce in typewritten forn. All told, approximately eight hundred and fifty (850) histories will be conpleted. This number includes all of the approved codes and some of the unapproved codes. (In Wogk Materials No. 18, Contents $2 f$ Code Historias, will be found the outline which governed the preparation of Code Histories.)
(In the case of all approved codes and also in the case of some codes not carried to final approval. there are in NRA files further materials on industries. Particularly northy of mention are the Volumes I, II and III which constitute the material ofticially submitted to the President in support of the recommendation for approval of each code. These volumes 9768-1.
set forth the origination of the code, the sponsoring group, the evidence adranced to support the proposal, the report of the Division of Research and Planning on the industry, the recomendations of the various Advisory Boards, certain types of official correspondence, the transcript of the fornal hearing, and other pertinent matter. There is also much official information relating to anendnents, interpretatiuns, exemptions, and other rulings. The materials mentioned in this paragraph were of course not a part of the work of the Division Of Revien.)

## THE WORK MATERIALS SERIES

In the wor: of the Division of Review a considerahle number of studies and compilations of data (other than those noted below in the Evidence Studies Series and the Statistical Material Series! have been made. These are listed below, griuped according to the character of the material. (In Work Materiais No. 17. Tentative Outlines and Summaries of Studies in Process, these materials are fully described).

## Industry Studies

Automobile Industry, An Economic Survey of
Eituminous Coal Industry under Free Competition and Code Regulation, Economic Survey of
Electrical Manufacturing Industry, The
Fertilizer Industry, The
Fishery Industry and the Fishery Codes
Fishermen and Fishing Craft, Earnings of
Foreign Trade under the National Industrial Recovery Act
Part A - Competitive Position of the United States in International Trade 1927-29 through 1934.

Part B - Section 3 (e) of NIRA and its administration.
Part C - Imports and Importing under NRA Codes.
Part D - E:zports and Exporting under NRA Codes.
Forest Products Industries, Foreign Trade Study of the
Iron and Steel Industry, The
Knitting Industries, The
Leather and Shos Industries, The
Lumber and Timber Products Industry, Economic Problems of the
Men's Clothing Industry, The
Millinery Industry, The
Motion Picture Industry, The
Migration of Industry, The: The Shift of Twenty-Five Needle Trades Fron New York State, 1926 to 1934
National Labor Income by Months, 1929-35
Paper Industry, The
Producticn, Prices, Employment and Payrolls in Industry, Agriculture and Railway Transportation, January 1923, to date
Retail Trades Study, The
Rubber Industry Study, The
Textile Industry in the United Kingdom, France, Germany, Italy, and Japan *
Textile Yarns and Fabrics
Tobacco Industry, The
Wholesale Trades Study, The
Women's Neckwear and Scarf Industry, Financial and Labor Data on

Women's Apparel Industry, Some Aspects of the

## Trade Practice Studies

Commodities, Information Concerning: A Study of NRA and Related Experiences in Control Distribution, Manufacturers' Control of: Trade Practice Provisions in Selected NRA Codes Distributive Pelations in the Asbestos Industry
Design Piracy: The Problem and Its Treatment Under NRA Codes
Electrical Mfg. Industry: Price Filing Study
Fertilizer Industry: Price Filing Study
Geographical Price Relations Under Codes of Fair Competition, Control of
Minimum Price Regulation Under Codes of Fair Competition
Multiple Easing Point System in the Lime Industry: Operation of the
Price Control in the Coffee Irdastry
Price Filing Under NRA Codes
Production Control jn the Ice Industry
Production Control, Case Studies in
Resale Price Naintenance Legislation in the United States
Retail Price Cutting, Restriction of, with special Emphasis on The Drug Industry.
Trade Prastice Rules of The Federal Trade Commission (1914-1936): A classification for comrarison with Trade Practice Provisions of NRA Codes.

## Lahor Studies

Cap and Cloth Hat Industry, Commission Report on Wa_e Djfferentials in
Earnings in Selected Manufacturing Industries, ky States, 1933-35
Employment, Payrolls: Hours, and Wages in $1: 5$ Selected Code Industries 1933-1935
Fur llanufacturing, Commission Report on Wajes and Hours in
Hours and Wayes in American Incustry
Labor Program Under the Natioral. Indistrial Pecovery Act, The
Fart A. Introduction
Part B. Control of Hours and Reemployment
Part C. Control of Waxes
Fart D. Control of Other Conditions of Employment
Fart $E$. Section $7(a)$ of the Recovery Act
Materials in the Field of Industrial Re:ations
PRA Census of Employment, June, October, 1933
Puerto Rico Needlework, Homeworkers Survey

## Administrative Studies

Administrative and Lecal Aspects of Stays, Exemptions and Exceptions, Code Amendments, Conditional Orders of Approval
Administrative Interpretations of NRA Codes
Administrative Law and Procedure under the NIRA.
Agreements Urder Sections 4(a) ard 7(b) of the NIRA
Approve Ccdes in Industry Groups, Classifjcation of
Easic Code, the -- (Administrative Order X-6l)
Code Authorities anc Their Part in the Administration of the NIRA
Part A. Introduction
Part E. Nature, Composition and Organization of Code Authorities
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Part C. Activities of the Code Authorities
Part D. Code Authority Finances
Part E. Summary and Evaluation
Code Compliance Activities of the NRA
Code Making Program of the NRA in the Territories, The
Code Provisions and Related Subjects, Policy Statements Concerning
Content of NIRA Administrative Legislation
Part A. Executive and Administrative Orders
Part B. Labor Provisions in the Codes
Part C. Trade Practice Provisions in the Codes
Part D. Administrative Provisions in the Codes
Part E. Agreements under Sections $4(a)$ and $7(b)$
Part F. A Type Case: The Cotton Textile Code
Labels Under NRA, A Study of
Model Code and Model Provisions for Codes, Development of
National Recovery Administration, The: A Review of its Organization and Activities
NRA Insignia
President's Reemployment Agreement, The
President's Reemployment Agreement, Substitutions in Connection with the
Prison Labor Problem under NRA and the Prison Compact, The
Problems of Administration in the Overlapping of Code Definitions of Industries and Trades, Multiple Code Coverage, Classifying Individual Members of Industries and Trades
Relationship of NRA to Government Contracts and Contracts Involving the Use of Government Funds
Relationship of NRA with States and Municipalities
Sheltered Workshops Under NRA
Uncodified Industries: A Study of Factors Limiting the Code Making Program

## Legal Studies

Anti-Trust Laws and Unfair Competition
Collective Bargaining Agreements, the Right of Individual Employees to Enforce
Commerce Clause, Federal Regulation of the Employer-Employee Relationship Under the
Delegation of Power, Certain Phases of the Principle of, with Reference to Federal Industrial
Regulatory Legislation
Enfurcement, Extra-Judicial Methods of
Federal Regulation through the Joint Employment of the Power of Taxation and the Spending Power
Government Contract Provisions as a Means of Establishing Proper Economic Standards, Legal Memorandum on Possibility of
Industrial Relations in Australia, Regulation of
Intrastate Activities Which so Affect Intorstate Commerce as to Bring them Uncler the Commerce Clause, Cases on
Legislative Possibilities of the State Constitutions
Post Office and Post Road Power -- Can it be Used as a Means of Federal Industrial Regulation?
State Recovery Legislation in Aid of Federal Recovery Legislation History and Analysis Tariff Rates to Secure Proper Standards of Wages and Hours, the Possibility of Variation in Trade Practices and the Anti-Trust Laws
Treaty Making Power of the United States
War Power, Can it be Used as a Means of Federal Regulation of Child Labor?

## THE EVIDENCE STUDIES SERIES

The Evidence Studjes $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fere criginally undertaken to gatner material for pending court }\end{aligned}$ cases. After the Schechter decision the project was continued in crder to assemblo data for use in connection with the studies of the Divisizn of Review. The data are particularly concerned with the nature, size and operations of the industry; and with the relaticn of the industry to interstate commerce. The industries covored by tre Evidence Studies accunt for more than one-half of the total number of worleers under codes. The list $\partial f$ these studies follons:

Automobile Manufacturing Industry
Automotive Parts and Equipaent Industry
Baking Industry
Boot and Shoe Manufacturing Industry
Bottled Soft Drink Industry
Builders' Supplies Industry
Canning Industry
Chemical Manufacturing Industry
Cigar Manufacturing Industry
Coat and Suit Industry
Construction Industry
Cottun Garment Industry
Dress Manufacturing Industry Electrical Contrasting Industry
Eこe:さrical *anufacturing Industry
Fabricated :Hetal Products Mfg. and Metal Fin-
ishing and Metal Coating Industry
Fishery Industry
Furriture Manufacturing Industry
General Contractors Industry
Graphic Arts Industry
Gray Iron Foundry Industry
Hosiery Industry
In fant's and Children's Wear Industry
Iron and Steel Industry

Leather Industry
Lumber and Timber Products Industry
Mason Contractors Industry
Nen's Clcthing Industry
Notion Picture Industry
Motor Venicle Retailing Trade
Needlemorl: Industry of Puerto Ricc
Fainting and Paperianging Industry
Photc Engravins Induatry
Plumbing Contracting IndustrJ
Retail Lumber Industry
Retail Trade Industry
Retail Tire and Battery Trade Inductry
Rubber Manufacturing Industry
Pubber Tire Manufacturins Industry
Shipbuilding Industry
Silk Textile Industry
Structural Clay Products Industry
Throwing Industry
Trucking Industry
Waste Materials Industry
Wholesale and Retail Fcod Industry
Wholesale Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Indus. try
Wool Textile Industry

THE STATISTICAL MATERIALS SERIES

This series is supplementary to the Evidence studies Series. The reports include data on establishments, firms, employment, payrolls, wages, hours, production canacities, shipinc: $:=$, sales, consumption, stocks, prices, material costs, failures, exports and imports. They also include notes on the principal qualifications that should be observec in using the dala, the technical methods emplcyed, and the applicability of the material to the stidy of the industries concerned. The following numbers appear in the series:
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Asphalt Shingle and Roofing Industry
Business Furniture
Candy Manufacturing Industry
Carpet and Rug Industry
Cement Industry
Cleaning and Dyeing Trade
Coffee Industry
Copper and Brass Mill Products Industry
Cotton Textile Industry
Electrical Manufacturing Industry

Fertilizer Industry<br>Funeral Supply Industry<br>Glass Container Industry<br>Ice Manufacturing Industry<br>Knitted Outerwear Industry<br>Paint, Varnish, and Lacquer, Mfg. Industry Plumbing Fixtures Industry<br>Rayon and Synthetic Yarn Producing Industry Salt Producing Industry

## THE COVERAGE

The original, and approved, plan of the Division of Review contemplated resources sufficient (a) to prepare some 1200 histories of codes and NRA units or agencies, (b) to consolidate and index the NRA files containing some $40,000,000$ pieces, (c) to engage in extensive field work, (d) to secure much aid from established statistical agencies of government, (e) to assemble a considerable number of experts in various fields, (f) to conduct approximately $25 \%$ more studies than are listed above, and (g) to prepare a comprehensive summary report.

Because of reductions made in personnel and in use of outside experts, limitation of access to field work and research agencies, and lack of jurisdiction over files, the projected plan was necessarily curtailed. The most serious curtailments were the omission of the comprehensive summary report; the dropping of certain studies and the reduction in the coverage of other studies; and the abandonment of the consolidation and indexing of the files. Fortunately, there is reason to hope that the files may yet be cared for under other auspices.

Notwithstanding these limitations, if the files are ultimately consolidated and indexed the exploration of the NRA materials will have been sufficient to make them accessible and highly useful. They constitute the largest and richest single body of information concerning the problems and operations of industry ever assembled in any nation.
L. C. Marshall,

Director, Division of Review.

9768-6.


[^0]:    WAG:jp
    12/15/34

[^1]:    WAज: jp

[^2]:    Source: $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$ estionnares sent out by the Industry Reportino Unit, Division of Researcli ana Planning, National Recovery Aisiniutration

[^3]:    macluding overhead
    $1 /$

[^4]:    1) Lahor Cont - Amount of Total Drect Labor Cost per Dozea of Capa.

    2f Efflofanoy - Numher of Dozene of Cape per Finployee per 40 Hour week

