



# Library of The Theological Seminary

PRINCETON · NEW JERSEY



PRESENTED BY

William Anderson Jewell

SCC 10,642 v.1  
Toplady, Augustus Montague,  
1740-1778.

The works of Augustus M.  
Toplady





THE  
**WORKS**

OF

**AUGUSTUS M. TOPLADY, A. B.**

LATE VICAR OF BROAD HEMBURY, DEVON.

---

NEW EDITION,

WITH AN ENLARGED MEMOIR OF THE AUTHOR.

---

IN SIX VOLUMES

VOL. I.

---

LONDON :

PRINTED FOR RICHARD BAYNES

23, PATERNOSTER ROW

1825.



# CONTENTS.

## VOL. I.

	Page
AN Account of the Life and Writings of the Author -	1
Last will - - - - -	134
Elegiac Poem on the death of the Author - - -	142

### INTRODUCTION.

Occasion of the present undertaking. Free-willers punished with imprisonment by king Edward VI. and our first reformers. Harmony between Popery and Arminianism. Remarkable particulars concerning John Goodwin the Fifth-monarchy-man. Case of departed infants considered -	169
---	-----

### SECTION I.

Free-willers the first dissenters of the reformed church of England. Calvinism of king Edward, and of the Lord Protector Somerset. That king a prodigy of parts, piety, and learning. Vindication of his character from the nibbling of Papists and Arminians - - - - -	211
---	-----

### SECTION II.

Modern Geneva arminianized, through the abolition of ministerial subscriptions. Some particulars concerning Dr. Christopher Potter. Arminianism proved on the church of Rome. Pope Leo X. anathematizes Luther for denying the doctrines of free-will and perfection. Luther's undaunted behaviour on that occasion - - - - -	227
---	-----

### SECTION III.

The council of Trent called, with a view to stem the progress of the Calvinistic doctrines. The decisions of that council, and therein of the Romish church at large, in favour of free-will, conditional predestination, merit, and justification by works - - - - -	243
---	-----

### SECTION IV.

The Arminianism of the church of Rome farther evinced, in her treatment of Janssenius and Quesnel. Concise history of Janssenius and Quesnel. Concise history of Janssenius, and of the celebrated five propositions. Extracts from the hundred and one propositions of Quesnel. Bull Unigenitus -	248
--	-----

### SECTION V.

The supposed Calvinism of Thomas Aquinas, considered. Summary of St. Austin's doctrine concerning grace - - -	261
---	-----

## SECTION VI.

Some account of the Ranters, and their principles. Doctrinal agreement between that sect and many of the modern Arminians - - - - -	267
---	-----

## SECTION VII.

Arminianism not the doctrine of the four first centuries. The judgment of Barnabas, of Clement, of Ignatius, and of Polycarp, concerning those articles of faith which stand between Calvinists and Arminians - - - - -	274
---	-----

## SECTION VIII.

Judgment of some eminent persons, who flourished antecedently to the Reformation, concerning those points. The Albigenses and Waldenses. Sketch of Gottschalkus' doctrines and sufferings. Remigius of Lyons. Florus Magister -	301
---	-----

## SECTION IX.

Judgment of eminent persons, before the Reformation, continued. John Huss, Jerom of Prague, John de Wesaliâ -	321
---	-----

## SECTION X.

Judgment of several eminent persons in England, previous to the Reformation. Bede, Bishop Grosthead, Doctor John Wickliffe, Archbishop Bradwardin, Lord Cobham -	332
--	-----

## SECTION XI.

The charge of Mahometanism refuted and retorted - -	378
---	-----

## SECTION XII.

Judgment of eminent English martyrs, prior to the settlement of the Reformation. Sawtree, Claydon, Bilney, Baiuham, Tyndal, Lambert, Ascough, Barnes, Hamelton, Frith, Wishart - - - - -	385
--	-----

## SECTION XIII.

The judgment of our English reformers. Archbishop Crammer, Bishop Ridley, Bishop Latimer - - - - -	407
--	-----

## SECTION XIV.

Judgment of the English reformers continued. Bishop Hooper, Doctor Peter Martyr, Doctor Bucer - - - - -	471
---	-----

## SECTION XV.

Of Calvin's share in the reformation of the church of England. Honours paid to his name by our old bishops and divines. His cordial approbation of episcopacy - - - - -	512
---	-----



## PREFACE TO THE WORKS.

BY THE PUBLISHERS.

---

**T**HE Works of Mr. TOPLADY have now been long in the hands of the religious world. Nor will it be alleged, that the estimation in which they have been so generally held, has at all been diminished, by the length of time that has elapsed, since their first publication. The grand doctrines, which it is their object especially to illustrate and establish, must be for ever interesting. They lie at the very foundation of the Christian system. And so long, therefore, as vital Christianity shall be valued among men, the Works of this Author must be esteemed a precious treasure of divine truth, till the language in which they are written, shall cease to be understood.

The doctrines here contended for with such earnestness, and supported by such evidence, are, we are bold to say, the very same which it was the leading design of the apostle Paul, in all his writings, but especially in his epistles to the Romans, the Galatians, and the Ephesians, to establish. For the proof of this assertion, we, with confidence, appeal to the Works themselves. Their Author will be found to take the apostle for his guide. He has, accordingly, been assailed by the same objections, and might, with equal truth, have made the same

retort, by asking at each objector, the laconic, though appalling question: "Nay, but, O man, who art thou that repliest against God \*?"

The principles, exhibited in these volumes, are not, by any means, to be regarded as abstract speculations, that can have no connexion either with the feelings of the heart, or the morality of the conduct. They will be found to have a direct, and a very powerful influence on both. They are fitted to secure unspeakable joy, and to give "everlasting consolation;" because they lead those, who truly believe them, to cherish "good hope through *grace* †."—Nor can any thing, but the grossest misconception of the nature of these doctrines, cause them to be viewed as leading to licentiousness. The very same grace, to which salvation, in its eternal source, in its eventual application, and its final completion, must be traced, is calculated, as the apostle intimates, in the passage just referred to, not only to "comfort the hearts" of its subjects, but also to "stablish them in every good word and work ‡." The doctrines of grace, therefore, will always be found to be "doctrines according to godliness." They lay the axe to the very root of the tree of corruption; and at the same time, convey constant nourishment to that which is "the planting of the Lord," and which produces in the richest variety and abundance, "the fruits of righteousness, which are by Jesus Christ, unto the glory and praise of God."—"The grace of God that bringeth salva-

\* Rom. ix. 20.

† 2 Thess. ii. 16.

‡ 2 Thess. ii. 17.

tion” to those whom he had chosen in his Son from eternity, and redeemed by his Son in time, “teacheth us, that, denying ungodliness, and worldly lusts, we should live soberly, righteously, and godly, in this present world.” A very powerful reason for this is added.—“The great God, even our Saviour Jesus Christ, gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto himself a peculiar people, zealous of good works\*.”

The discussions of Mr. TOPLADY, on these doctrines of grace and godliness, will be found peculiarly interesting. Seldom have these ever been brought in union, to bear on any topics, such a fund of information and learning, such vigour of mind, and such fervour of piety.

To the original Editor of these Works, therefore, the Public were deeply indebted. In bringing forward the present uniform edition of them, the Publishers flatter themselves, that the volumes will be found worthy of yet more cordial approbation.

In the Editorial department, they have been assisted by a Rev. Gentleman, who has availed himself of all the sources of information to which he had access, both in compiling the Memoir, and introducing some original matter, not in former editions. A new arrangement has been made in the order of many of the separate articles, which, it is presumed, will render the whole more luminous to the reader; the errors of former editions have been corrected; and the typographical department has been executed,

\* Titus ii. 11—14.

in such a manner, as will, they trust, do no discredit to the revered memory of the Author, and the intrinsic merits of his Works.

How far the Editor, and the Publishers have succeeded in performing their respective tasks, must now be left to the judgment and decision of the religious Public.—They are, in the mean time, conscious of having done their best, to offer, in an inviting form, the Works of a man, whose praise has long been in the churches, and whose name, they have no doubt, will be held in “everlasting remembrance.”

AN ACCOUNT  
OF THE  
LIFE AND WRITINGS

OF THE

Rev. AUGUSTUS MONTAGUE TOPLADY, A. B.

---

**T**HE rise of Methodism in England, formed a new and important era, in the ecclesiastical history of the country \*. However variously different individuals may judge of the comparative merits, or demerits, of the opinions and the character of Whitefield and of Wesley, and their coadjutors and successors, it must be acknowledged by all, that their ardent zeal, and their Herculean labours, gave a powerful impulse to the religious world, in all the departments into which it is divided. The spirit by which they were animated, soon forced its way beyond its original sphere; and, besides the effects produced on their immediate followers, kindled, in many others, a zeal for godliness, which has since burned, if not with a brighter, yet with a steadier flame.

The church of England itself was indirectly, but greatly benefited by the very men, who, in the first instance, drew many from the pale of its communion. By a very natural re-action, its dormant spirit was roused; the fire, which had been well nigh extinguished on many an altar, was rekindled; offerings

\* See Southey's Life of Wesley.

of rightcousness were presented; and in not a few churches on which *Ichabod* had long been inscribed, many worshippers now felt constrained, to exclaim, "Surely the LORD is in this place; this is none other but the house of God, and this is the gate of heaven\*."

The apostolic ROMAINÉ had to lament, that, at the commencement of his career, there were comparatively few clergymen to sympathize and co-operate with him in his ardent zeal and evangelical labours. But, it gave him great pleasure, in the prospect of his demise, that he was to leave behind him hundreds of ministers to plead the cause of Christ, and to maintain the honour, and secure the strength and stability of the church of England. Every friend to real Christianity, whatever be the party to which he belongs, will rejoice that the number of such clergymen, has, since that period, been greatly augmented, and is still increasing, not only in England, but throughout the British dominions.

Of those evangelical divines, who were so ornamental to the establishment, and so useful in the world, about the middle of last century, the author of these volumes will be allowed to have occupied a very high, if not a pre-eminent place †.

AUGUSTUS MONTAGUE TOPLADY descended from a family, of which it does not appear, that any detailed account was ever given to the world. All that can be known respecting his father, Richard Toplady,

\* "Mr. Whitefield," says Mr. Toplady himself, "was the person whom the gracious Spirit and providence of God raised up, and sent forth to begin that great work of spiritual revival in the church of England, which has continued ever since, and still continues with increasing spread, to replenish and enrich the evangelical vineyard by law established." Works, vol. iv. p. 130.

† Southey, though not without a sneer, tells us that his admirers speak of him as "the ever memorable Toplady, who stands paramount in the plenitude of dignity above most of his contemporaries." Life of Wesley, vol. ii. p. 374.

is, that he was a major in the army, and died at the siege of Carthagena, soon after the birth of his son. His mother, whose maiden name was Catharine Bate, belonged to a respectable family, residing in the vicinity of London. Two of her brothers were ministers in the establishment. One of them held the rectory of St. Paul's, Deptford, and by him her marriage was solemnized, in his own church, Dec. 21, 1737. The fruit of this marriage was two sons, Francis, who died in infancy, and Augustus Montague, the subject of this Memoir.—Mrs. Toplady appears to have been a woman of singular piety and prudence. She gave good proof of the one, in the manner of conducting the education of her son, and of the other, by the way in which she managed her secular affairs. Early involved in the sorrows of widowhood, she received “everlasting consolation and good hope through grace” from HIM who is “the Judge of the widow,” and “in whom the fatherless findeth mercy.” Her Maker thus became her husband, and the Father of her only surviving son. This son was born at Farnham, in Surrey, on the 4th of November, 1740: and was baptized by the name of Augustus Montague, in honour of the two gentlemen, who stood as his godfathers, receiving the Christian name of the one, and the surname of the other. These gentlemen were, Augustus Middleton, and Adolphus Montague, Esquires.

It has been remarked by Dr. Johnson, that “not to name the school or the masters of men, illustrious for literature, is a kind of historical fraud, by which honest fame is injuriously diminished.” It is much to be regretted, that but few particulars are known, respecting Mr. Toplady's education. We do know, indeed, that he received the first rudiments of it at Westminster school. But of the names of his masters, or of the plans pursued by them, in laying the foundation of his future eminence in literature, nothing can be told, because nothing can now be

known. This is a defect greatly to be lamented in any biographical narrative of distinguished men, and for reasons much more important, than that to which Dr. Johnson adverts. The character of the scholar, and even of the man, is often determined by the exercises and discipline of the juvenile seminary. And detailed accounts of this kind should not be withheld; because those plans, which have been successfully employed in the case of one, or of many, may be reasonably expected to prove of equal advantage to more, in future generations.

It is certain that young Toplady was a very apt scholar; and that neither want of talent nor want of diligence prevented him from making the most of whatever advantages he enjoyed. Discovering from the first a vigorous intellect, and uncommon application, he soon attained a degree of proficiency in the languages, which raised him high above his compeers.—Some of the idlers, or the dissipated among the young nobility, perceiving his superiority, applied for his aid: and at by-hours, he was in the habit of writing the prescribed exercises for some of those who were either too indolent, or too ignorant to write them for themselves. His services, in this way, were duly appreciated; and he is said to have received from his employers, at the rate, sometimes, of three or four shillings a day.

His proficiency at Westminster school was the more remarkable, that when called to leave it, he could hardly be said to have past the years of boyhood. His mother, having some title to an estate in Ireland, found it necessary in prosecution of her claims, to remove her residence into that kingdom. Thither she was accompanied by her young son, who was, shortly after, entered a student in Trinity College, Dublin; at which seminary, in consequence of his previous preparation, and continued diligence, he was soon fitted for receiving academical honours, and took his degree of Bachelor of Arts, accordingly.



Possessing, as he now did, every advantage for cultivating his genius, and improving his taste and talents, he prosecuted, with singular avidity and success, all those studies, which were calculated to be most useful or ornamental, in future life. Amid his more severe exercises, he found time, by way of relaxation, to pay court to the Muses: and between the age of fifteen and eighteen, he wrote a small volume of poems, which was published at Dublin, when he was yet only in his nineteenth year. Hume has remarked it, as a very usual indiscretion in authors to put their works to the press too early. This remark applies, perhaps, with more force to poetical works, than to any other species of literary composition. Mr. Toplady's volume was, indeed, allowed, by competent judges, to have been highly creditable to his genius. Yet it has contributed nothing to his posthumous fame. It shared the fate of most juvenile productions of a similar class; and is now little known, and never sought after.

While, however, he amused himself with these comparatively elegant trifles, Mr. Toplady bent all the force of his mind towards the acquisition of solid learning and useful science. But having, at an early period, resolved to serve God in the ministry of the gospel, he devoted his chief attention to those studies which were best calculated to make him, what he indeed became, "a scribe well instructed unto the kingdom of heaven." With this view, he was particularly careful to acquire an extensive and accurate knowledge of the Hebrew and Greek languages, that he might be able to study the inspired oracles in the originals, and thus to draw from the purest sources, for his own edification, and that of others, "things new and old."

This is a qualification of which it is surely discreditable for any *clergyman* to be destitute. No graduate in any university, it is true, can be altogether ignorant of the Greek language. It is, however, a

notorious fact, that there are many in the church, who know but little of the Greek Testament, and still more, who know nothing of the Hebrew Bible at all. Why is this permitted? Why, in particular, is it not insisted on, in the episcopal church of England, as in the established and dissenting churches of Scotland, that candidates for the ministry should study Hebrew as well as Greek, and that, before attempting to explain the scriptures to others, they should themselves be able to understand both the Old and New Testament in the language, in which these divine records were originally communicated to the world? And why is it, we may further ask, that such numbers, who, either from necessity, or from choice, attended to these studies in their youth, have yet so neglected them in their riper years, as to be reduced to the degrading necessity of begging from translators to do for them what they might so honourably, and perhaps to much better purpose, have done for themselves? It may sometimes be unsafe, while it can never be wise, and must always be mortifying to be obliged to receive at the second hand, what might have been obtained at the first.

Mr. Toplady, determining to judge for himself, in matters of eternal importance, soon recognized the necessity of making himself intimately acquainted with the original scriptures. But anxious to know the opinions of others also, he read extensively in the Greek and Latin fathers; and as the slightest inspection of his works will show, he ultimately acquired a particular knowledge of the most learned productions on theology, both of ancient and modern times.

It was while laying up such stores for future use, during his academical course in Ireland, that it pleased God, in a very singular way, to reveal his Son in him,—and thus to do for him, what neither classical, nor even theological learning can alone

effect,—“to make him wise unto salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus.”

About the age of sixteen, he, one evening, apparently by accident, but really under the unseen direction of a wise and gracious providence, strolled into a barn, at a place called Codymain in Ireland, where a layman, of the name of Morris, was preaching to a few poor people. The text on which the preacher discoursed was Ephes. ii. 13. “But now in Christ Jesus, ye, who sometimes were far off, are made nigh by the blood of Christ.” It was from the night, on which he heard a plain, but what was, in his experience, a powerful sermon, delivered from this text, by an illiterate clown, that our highly accomplished student dated his effectual conversion to the faith of the gospel! But it was of “the Lord of hosts, who is excellent in counsel, and wonderful in working.”

It was not only at the time, but long after, that Mr. Toplady himself viewed the matter in this light. Thus we find the following striking reference to it in his Diary, dated Feb. 29. 1763. “At night, after my return from Exeter my desires were strongly drawn up to God. I could, indeed, say that I groaned with groans of love, joy, and peace; but it was even with comfortable groans that cannot be uttered. That sweet text, Ephes. ii. 13. ‘Ye, who were sometimes afar off, are made nigh by the blood of Christ,’ was particularly delightful and refreshing to my soul; and the more so, as it reminded me of the days and months that are past, even the day of my sensible espousals to the bridegroom of the elect. It was from that passage that Mr. Morris preached on the memorable evening of my effectual call, by the grace of God. Under the ministry of that dear messenger, and by that sermon, I was, I trust, brought nigh by the blood of Christ, in Aug. 1756.

“Strange that I, who had so long sat under the means of grace in England, should be brought nigh

to God in an obscure part of Ireland, amidst a handful of God's people, met together in a barn, and under the ministry of one, who could hardly spell his name! Surely it was the Lord's doing, and is marvellous! The excellency of such power must be of God, and cannot be of man. The regenerating Spirit breathes not only on whom, but likewise when, where, and as he listeth."

This very remarkable fact in the history of our author, will be differently viewed by different readers, in proportion to the discrepancy betwixt their respective theological creeds. In some, the relation will only excite a smile or a sneer. Others, with whom it is equally vain to argue the point, will account for it, by merely alleging, as not at all wonderful, that the ardent mind of the youth was merely inoculated with the preacher's enthusiasm, which it had been previously so well prepared to receive. Some, again, will take occasion from it, to reprobate all sudden conversions; as if He who spoke, and the structure of the universe arose, could not, but by a slow and systematic process, such as would meet their views, turn sinners "from darkness to light, and from the power of Satan unto God." That Mr. Toplady was the subject of a saving change of heart, was a fact, verified by the whole tenor of his subsequent conduct. And that this change was produced at the time, and by the means, above stated, rests on his own testimony, to which neither reason nor revelation can entitle any to offer a contradiction.

If, indeed, there be any thing staggering in the narrative, it is, that the conversion of an enlightened youth, should have been effected by the instrumentality of a self-constituted, and very ignorant Instructor, of whom there was reason to doubt whether he was even a good man\*. But the grand Agent in conversion has different ways of showing, that the

\* See Mr. Toplady's Diary, p. 26.

work is all his own, and that to Him must be ascribed all the glory. — Even in a sense which bears on the subject before us, “ God hath chosen the foolish things of the world to confound the wise, and God hath chosen the weak things of the world to confound the things which are mighty; and base things of the world, and things which are despised, hath God chosen, yea and things that are not, to bring to nought things that are; that no flesh should glory in his presence †.”

It would be no less unwarrantable on the other hand, from the success of Morris, in this instance, or any others, to conclude, that lay-preaching, or any preaching, by ignorant and illiterate men, is at all to be approved, or in any way encouraged. Paul rejoiced that Christ was preached by some, though out of envy, strife, and contention. But while he rejoiced in their success, he must have condemned their spirit, and certainly could not have encouraged others to follow their example. Even great success, in preaching, will not, of itself, be a sufficient proof either of the preacher’s piety, or of his call to the ministry of the gospel. The Author of the gospel himself speaks decisively on that point, in the following striking declaration: “ Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you; depart from me, ye that work iniquity ‡.”

Every man, who thinks at all, will often find his mind perplexed with doubts and difficulties respecting theological subjects of primary importance. It was so with Mr. Toplady. For a considerable time, at least, he was not a little embarrassed in his attempts to balance the evidence of the Calvinistic and Arminian creeds. With great avidity, and with no less

† 1 Cor. i. 27—29.

‡ Mat. vii. 22, 23.

candour, he read a vast variety of books on each side. Still, however, his perplexity remained, till at length, he providentially met with Dr. Manton's Discourses on the xviii<sup>th</sup> of John; which were happily instrumental in rectifying his views, and in inspiring him with that mortal hatred of the Arminian heresy, for which he afterwards rendered himself so famous. It was in the year 1758 that he was thus freed from what he considered as a dangerous delusion, and obtained a clear and settled conviction of the truth and importance of the doctrines of grace. He never forgot this; and he was wont frequently to say to his friends "that he should, when in heaven, remember the year 1758 with gratitude and joy."

It was not till about four years, after this memorable period, that he ventured to declare himself a candidate for holy orders. Having, however, during the interval, continued to prosecute his studies with unabated ardour\*, he was at the end of it, by well directed diligence, and by frequent and earnest prayer, in no common degree, prepared for entering on the work of the Christian ministry. And he was accordingly ordained *Deacon*, on Trinity Sunday, the 6<sup>th</sup> of June, 1762.

This was, by no means, regarded by him, as a matter of course, or as a mere ceremony to be gone through, in order to the attainment of a particular end. He had well weighed the importance of the work to which he was thus to be solemnly appointed: Nor did he propose to undertake it, till he could, in the designed and legitimate meaning of the words, sincerely declare, that "he was moved to it by the Holy Ghost." He has asserted himself, what none who knew his character for integrity could doubt,

\* It was during this interval that he wrote his translation of ZANCHIUS on PREDESTINATION—"It was quite a juvenile performance," he says, "accomplished about a year and a half before I entered into orders, by way of filling up a few supernumerary hours."

that having “subscribed to the articles, homilies, and liturgy five separate times, he did so *from principle*; that he did not believe them, because he subscribed them, but subscribed them, because he believed them.” How strange, and lamentable, that it should ever, and especially, that it should so often be otherwise, among men, professing to believe, and teach the religion of eternal truth! Nay, how amazing, and how deplorable is it, that so many should outrage all truth, and all decency, by presuming to enter on the work of the *holy* ministry, with a consciousness of violating the very first principles of common honesty, if not of common sense!

Mr. Toplady, having subscribed to the doctrines of the church of England, *ex animo*, was prepared to preach them with a degree of zeal and earnestness, corresponding to his firm conviction of their intrinsic truth, and their eternal importance. He had the opportunity of giving practical proof of this, almost immediately after entering into holy orders. The living of Blagdon in Somersetshire, having become vacant, he was inducted into it, in consequence of the application of some friends on his behalf. They had procured it for him in the same way, in which such livings are often obtained. Of the method adopted by them, it would seem, that he was not, for some time, aware. But when, at length, he made the discovery, his tender conscience was troubled, and he never felt easy, till he had resigned his charge.

It would, indeed, have been an awful affliction to himself, and a serious injury to the church, if the injudicious kindness of his friends had obliged him to be silent in his Master’s service. But on relinquishing one cure, which he could not hold with a good conscience, he obtained another, in which he laboured with pleasure, because he believed that his way to it was opened by the providence of God †.

† See Diary, p. 42.

In the year 1768 he became vicar of Broad-Hembury, near Honiton in Devonshire. In this comparatively sequestered situation, his spiritual labours were abundant, while his temporal reward was small. His exactions, indeed, were always beneath what the law entitled him to demand; and the clear produce of his living never amounted to the sum of £80 a year. On money however, he set little value: nor did he ever seek after that preferment, to which his talents and attainments might so well have encouraged him to aspire. It was, while the humble vicar of Broad-Hembury, that he composed most of those writings which would have done credit to the highest Dignitary of the establishment, and which, as a very competent judge has remarked, “will ever render service to the church, and do honour to his memory, while truth and learning shall be esteemed valuable among men\*.”

It has frequently been the practice of men of distinguished piety to keep a written record of their religious history and experience; a plan which has been found well calculated to maintain warm impressions of gratitude for the past, and to excite pious resolutions to diligence for the future. When such private and personal records have been written, (as was the case with those of Wesley and some others) with an ultimate view to public inspection; they can be regarded as affording but a very dubious test of character. The Diary, however, which was meant to meet no eye but that of the writer, may well be supposed faithfully to exhibit the feelings of his heart, to show the man as he is; and, at any rate, the idea of deception must be precluded, because, in the case supposed, there could be no temptation to deceive. Of this latter kind, unquestionably was the private Diary, which Mr. Toplady began to write, some short

\* Wilks' Biographical Dictionary.



time before he left Blagdon. Had it begun at an earlier, and been continued to a later period of life, though voluminous, it would have been invaluable, as furnishing the best means of producing an extended Memoir, which few could have read without shame, and which many must have read with advantage.

As it is, his Diary is indeed a precious record. It was found, after his death, among a variety of other manuscripts, and is entitled: "Short Memorials of God's gracious Dealings with my Soul in a Way of spiritual Experience from Dec. 6th, 1767." There is prefixed this motto: "Bethel visits ought to be remembered."—This Diary shall now be given, in the words of the writer; and it will be found to afford ample proof, alike of his ardent piety as a man, and of his laborious diligence as a minister.

Sunday, Dec. 6, 1767. In the morning, read prayers and preached, here at Fen-Ottery, to a very attentive congregation. In the afternoon, the congregation at Harpford was exceeding numerous; and God enabled me to preach with great enlargement of mind, and fervour. The doctrine did indeed seem to descend as the dew, and to be welcome as refreshing showers to the grass. O my Lord, let not my ministry be approved only, or tend to no more than conciliating the esteem and affections of my people to thy unworthy messenger; but do the work of thy grace upon their hearts: call in thy chosen; seal and edify thy regenerate; and command thy everlasting blessing on their souls! Save me from self-opinion, and from self-seeking; and may they cease from man, and look solely to thee!

Monday, 7. Received a letter from Mr. Luce, and answered it. Gracious God, dispose of the event, to which it relates, as seemeth best to thee! Choose thou my heritage and my lot! Let it be thy doing, not mine!

This afternoon, I received a letter from my honoured mother, and my chest from London. It is a satisfaction to receive these presents and pledges of an earthly parent's love: but all the relations, and all the good things of this life, are less than nothing, and vanity, when compared with the love of Christ that passeth knowledge, and with one glimpse of thy special favour, O thou gracious Father of spirits.

Tuesday, 8. Was much refreshed, and sensibly comforted in the evening, while reading Dr. Gill's Sermon on the Death of Mr. Fall.

Wednesday, 9. A good deal of company dined here. How unprofitable are worldly interviews! Spent the evening much more advantageously in reading Dr. Gill's sermon on "The Watchman's Answer," and that great man's Tract on Final Perseverance. Lord, grant me more and clearer evidences of my interest in that everlasting covenant, which is ordered in all things, and sure!

Thursday, 10. Heard that Mr. Duke has had a relapse into his fever. Pity, that so amiable a person, in other respects, should want the one thing needful! How much has he suffered, since I knew him, by drinking too freely, and how many narrow escapes has he had of his life. Yet, I fear, he goes on still, as an ox to the slaughter. "It hath set him on fire round about, yet he knows it not: it burneth him, yet he lays it not to heart." I bless God, who has enabled me to be faithful to the soul of my friend; and put it into my mind to write him that letter of remonstrance, from London, above a twelve-month ago. But, alas! I have only delivered my own soul. Neither experience of present evils, nor the remonstrances of friends, will or can have any true effect on a sinner's heart, except thou, O Almighty Spirit, vouchsafe to reveal the arm of thy grace, and quicken the dead in sin, by the effectual

working of thy glorious power. As overseer of this parish, I went down, in the morning, to view two of the poor-houses, and see what repair they want. Lord, what an I, that thou hast cast my lot in fairer ground, and given me a more goodly heritage! Surely, in a way of providence, no less than in a way of grace, thou hast made me to differ; and I have nothing which I did not receive from thee.

In the evening, wrote to my mother. Some particulars, in her last letters to me, obliged me, in my answer, to make the following observations, among others: "God has fulfilled his promises to me, so often, and so many ways, that I think, if we could not trust his faithfulness and power, we should be doubly inexcusable. That he works by means, is certain; and I hope to try all that he puts into my hands. In the mean while, let us cast our care on him; and remember that he that believeth, shall not make haste. There is one thing that pleases me much, about Broad-Hembury, and makes me hope for a blessing on the event, viz. that it was not, from first to last, of my own seeking: and every door, without any application of mine, has hitherto flown open, and all seems to point that way. As a good man somewhere says, "A believer never yet carved for himself, but he cut his own fingers."—The all-wise God, whose never failing providence ordereth every event, usually makes what we set our hearts upon unsatisfactory; and sweetens what we feared: bringing real evil, out of seeming good: and real good, out of seeming evil: to show us what short-sighted creatures we are, and to teach us to live by faith upon his blessed self. If I should really exchange my present living, for Broad-Hembury, it will, I believe, be soon after Christmas. In the mean while, add your prayers, that God himself would be pleased to choose my heritage and fix my lot; command his gracious blessing on the event;

turn the balance, as seemeth good in his sight; and make it entirely his own doing, not mine. Do not let your tenderness for me get the better of your confidence in God: a fault, I fear, too common, even with believing parents. Poor Mr. D. is relapsed, and his life is despaired of. Alas! what is wealth, with its usual attendants, the lust of the eye and the pride of life, when death stares us in the face! An interest in the covenant of grace is of more value, than all the worlds God hath made. Riches profit not in the day of wrath: but righteousness, even the obedience, blood, and intercession of Christ, delivereth from the sting of temporal, and from the very possibility of suffering eternal death. In him may we be found, living and dying!"

In my chamber, before I went to bed, was much comforted while singing praise to the great Three-One, the author of all the blessings I enjoy, and of all I hope for. I can testify, by sweet and repeated experience, that singing is an ordinance of God, and a means of grace. Lord, fit my soul to bear a part in that song for ever new, which the elect angels, and saints made perfect in glory, are now singing before the throne and before the Lamb!

Friday, 11. Rode to Broad-Hembury, on a visit to Mr. Luce, where I spent the day, and stopt all night. Before I went to bed, God was with me in private prayer.

Saturday, 12. After breakfast, left Broad-Hembury, and returned home to Fen-Ottery, taking Ottery St. Mary in my way, where I called on my friend Mr. Johnson. In the evening read bishop Newton on the Prophecies. At night, was earnest with God in private prayer, for a blessing on my tomorrow's ministrations; and received an answer of peace. Lord, evermore increase my mental dependence on thy holy Spirit. I am less than nothing, if less can be: and O! I am worse than nothing, for

I am a vile sinner. But thou art infinitely gracious, and all power is thine.

Sunday, 13. The Lord was with me, both parts of the day. Water, O God, the souls that heard; and the seed of thy word, sown in weakness, do thou raise in power.

Between morning and afternoon service, read through Dr. Gill's excellent and nervous tract on predestination, against Wesley. How sweet is that blessed and glorious doctrine, to the soul, when it is received through the channel of inward experience! I remember, a few years ago, Mr. Wesley said to me, concerning Dr. Gill, that "he is a positive man, and fights for his opinions through thick and thin." Let the doctor fight as he will, I am sure he fights to good purpose: and, I believe it may be said of my learned friend, as it was of the duke of Marlborough, that he never fought a battle which he did not win.

Monday, 14. This morning, one William Towning, about nineteen years old, was brought here before Mr. Penny, for breaking open and robbing farmer Endicott's house yesterday afternoon, in time of service, while the family were at church. My honest parishioner, it seems, just before he went out, stept back into his room, he knew not why, and put away a considerable sum of money into a more secret place than where it had lain for some time past: by which means he was only robbed of little more than thirty shillings in money. How evidently providential! Just before the unhappy young man was going off, from Mr. Penny's, for Exeter Jail, his father, who had heard of his son's situation but an hour or two before, came up to the house with a look that too plainly declared the agonies of his heart. Unable to face his parent, the young man burst into tears, and retired into the orchard, whither his guard and his father followed him. Lord, if it be consistent with the counsel of thy will,

be the comforter and the salvation of this sinner and his afflicted family. Bad as he is, thy grace can melt him down. By nature, I am as vile as he: yet I am, I trust, a monument of mercy, and a trophy of thy redeeming power. Blessed be the Lord, my New-creator! Blessed be the Lord, my faithful keeper! On all occasions of this sort, I would recollect that excellent line,

“ Aut sumus, aut fuimus, vel possumus esse, quod hic est.”

Before I came out of my chamber to-day, I was too hasty and short in private prayer. My conscience told me so, at the time; and yet, such was my ingratitude, and my folly, that I nevertheless restrained prayer before God. In the course of the day, I had great reason to repent of my first sin, by being permitted to fall into another. It is just, O Lord, that thou shouldst withdraw thy presence from one, who waited so carelessly on thee. May I never more, on any pretext whatever, rob thee (or rather, deprive my own soul) of thy due worship; but make all things else give way to communion with thee!

The Lord, however, was pleased, in a few hours, sensibly to heal my backslidings; and open the intercourse of love between himself and me. I never so feelingly wonder at my own depravity, nor so deeply abhor myself, as when the fire of divine love warms my heart, and the outpourings of God's Spirit enliven my soul. Surely, the knowledge of salvation is the most powerful incentive to repentance; and not only the most prevailing, but an absolutely irresistible motive to universal holiness!

Began Le Clerc's "*Ars Critica*." A most learned, and, in many respects, useful performance: yet sadly interlarded with scepticism and profaneness. God keep me from being a mere scholar. As a specimen of this learned Frenchman's religion, I transcribe the following passages, from that part of his book I have hitherto read. Page 52. "———In N. T. omnia ferè pietatis officia, sacrificii nomine, interdum indigi-

tantur. Mors Christi sacrificium quoque vocatur, quòd fuerit pæcipua ejus pietatis pars; et quædam habeat sacrificiis similia." Page 106. "Religio Christiana non est ità cælo integra delapsa, ut nullam rationem habeat religionum, quæ antea erant; sed omnia nova hominibus afferat: contrà est veluti religionis Judaicæ surculus, at ipso trunco major ac viridior:" which latter clause is no more than a cold, paltry compliment, added, I suppose, to qualify, in some measure, the rudeness of what goes before. But, surely, primitive Judaism, and Christianity, are not two religions; but one and the same religion, under two different dispensations. Page 122. he positively asserts, that there are very many things in the Old Testament, "quæ intelligi nequeunt:" for proof of which, he assigns six reasons; but such as even I, with my little knowledge, can see through the fallacy of, and, to my own satisfaction, at least, refute. Page 125. he does, in fact, deny that Hebrew can be understood at all, with certainty; some Jews, says he, did, about a thousand years after Christ, begin to compose Grammars, and Commentaries on scripture. "Sed quam quicquid Judæi recentiores dixerunt hanc in rem, nitatur vel autoritate Massoretharum, vel veteribus versionibus, vel eorum conjecturis; necesse est eos" [i. e. the Christian writers] "non minùs fluctuare ac cæteros interpretes. Massorethæ enim—Menda sui codicis consecrârunt." The preceding part of the citation represents the language itself as hardly intelligible: but the latter is such an home thrust at the scriptures, as, I am apt to think, never fell from the pen of any other writer that called himself a Christian. Presently after, he tells us, that the Samaritan Pentateuch is preferable to the Hebrew; as being free from many smaller blunders, with which the latter "*passim*, every where," abounds. He ranks it among Rabbinical conjectures, to suppose "Codicem hodiernum carere mendis, [et] linguam Hebræicam perfectissimam esse." Page 126. he falls foul on Grammars and Lexicons: as things in which

very little confidence can be reposed: adding, by way of crown to all the rest, “Itaque fatendum est, eum conari *χεδιη περααν μεγα κυμα θαλασσης*, qui sperat se, subsidiis memoratis” [namely, the Hebrew scripture itself; all commentators, whether Jewish or Christian; and all Grammars, Lexicons, &c.] “adjutum, mediocrem adepturum cognitionem linguæ Hebræicæ.” If so, farewell to all knowledge, not only of the Hebrew, but of every dead language whatever. Even Lexicons and Grammars are not to be trusted. But is not this the very quintessence of scepticism? And should not such a critic, with all his pomp of literature, be hissed out of the learned world? I mean, so far as he endeavours to sap the foundation of learning itself, and (which will always, in some measure, stand or fall with it) sound religion? Yet this is the writer, whose theological works (which I never desire to see) were so strenuously recommended to me, some years ago, by my friend, the present bishop of Clogher!

Friday, 18. Rode to Honiton; when I bought Whitty’s Sermons, the excellent professor Wakeus’ Works, and two volumes of the Cripplegate Lectures. In the evening, on my return to Fen-Ottery, had some short but sweet rays of comfort from above.

Saturday, 19. Was afflicted with wanderings in private prayer. Lord, melt down my icy heart, and grant me to wait upon thee *αδιασπαζως*. O when, to use the language of the seraphic Mr. Hervey, will my devotions be no longer “like the motes, which fluctuate to and fro in the air, without any vigorous impulse or certain aim; but like the arrow, which springs from the strained bow, and, quick as lightning, flies to the mark!” My God, I want the *δεησις ενεργημενη*, the inwrought prayer (as Mr. Henry justly translates James v. 16.) the prayer of the heart, wrought in the soul by the Holy Ghost.

Sunday, 20. Was indisposed, the former part of the day. Read prayers and preached, in the morning, but languidly. In the afternoon, God renew-



ed my strength: and I read prayers and preached, at Harpford, with much freedom of soul, to an exceeding large congregation. O the difference, the inexpressible difference, between enjoying God's presence, and pining in his absence! This day, my soul has been like a chariot without wheels; and, afterwards, mounted as on eagle's wings. Blessed be God, for tempering distress with joy! too much of the former might weigh me quite down; too much of the latter, might exalt me above measure. It is wisely and kindly done, O God, to give me a taste of both.

Monday, 21. In the morning, married John Court and Susannah Carter, at Harpford. On my return hither, spent the after part of the day, reading the late Mr. Whitty's Sermons, not without some sensible comfort and joy in the Holy Ghost: yet, evangelical as the matter of these discourses is, the style in which they are written, will not suffer me to think that the worthy author himself ever intended them for publication. It is a pity, but the editor had first let them pass under the file of some able friend. Nevertheless, the inaccuracies of composition are greatly over-balanced by the sweet savour of that precious name and adorable grace, which, to the believing soul, are as ointment poured forth.

Tuesday, 22. All day within. The former part of it, I was considerably out of order: and experienced something of what it is to have a body without health, and a soul without comfort. But, while I was musing, the fire kindled: and the light of God's countenance shone within. I found a particular blessing, in reading Mr. Mayo's Sermon (Morning Exercises, vol. iv. Sermon. iv.) on our "deliverance by Christ from the fear of death," Heb. ii. 15. Several things, in that choice discourse, struck me much; among the rest, the observations that follow: "The apostle says, 1 Thes. iv. 14. that

Jesus died; but that the saints sleep in him: the reason, why the phrase is varied, is, because he sustained death with all its terrors, that so it might become a calm and quiet sleep to the saints."—Satan desired to have Peter, that he might sift him as wheat: and with what did he sift and shake him? Why, it was with the fear of death. Peter was afraid they would deal with him, as they were dealing with his master. It was his slavish fear of death, that made him deny Christ: but anon, he recovered himself, and got above this fear: how came this about? It was by means of faith. Christ had prayed for him, that his faith should not fail. It may be said of those, who are fearful of death, that they are of little faith."——"It is usual with God to give his people some clusters of the grapes of Canaan, here in the wilderness: to give them some drops of that new wine, which they shall drink in the kingdom of their Father. This sets them a longing, to have their fill thereof: even as the Gauls, when they had tasted the wines of Italy, were not satisfied to have those wines brought to them, but would go to possess the land where the vines grew."

In the afternoon, my indisposition was, in great measure, removed. Surely the shedding abroad of divine love in the heart, and a good hope through grace, frequently conduce as much to the health of the body, as to health of soul. This is not the first time I have found it so.

Thursday, 24. My faith was weak, and my comfort small, this whole day; especially in the evening. Yet, this is my rock of dependence, that the foundation of the Lord standeth sure; his love is unchangeable; his purpose according to election cannot be overthrown; his covenant is from everlasting to everlasting; and he girdeth me when I know it not.

Friday, 25. Read prayers, preached and administered the holy sacrament, here at Fen-Ottery, in

the morning. — Farmer T——e (whom I happened to meet at Miktam, no longer ago than last Wednesday evening, so drunk that he could hardly sit on his horse) presented himself at the Lord's table, with the rest of the communicants: but I past him by; not daring to administer the symbols of my Saviour's body and blood to one who had lately crucified him afresh, and had given no proof of repentance. He appeared surprised and abashed. Lord, make this denial of the outward visible sign, a means of inward and spiritual grace to his soul!—In the afternoon, read prayers and preached to a very large congregation at Harpford. Drank tea at Farmer Carter's. Spent part of the evening, at Mr. Leigh's, at Hayne. From thence, returned home, to Fen-Ottery.—A day of most intense cold.

I would observe, that I have, through the blessing of God, been perfectly well through this whole day, both as to health, strength, and spirits; and gone through my church duties with the utmost ease, freedom, and pleasure, yet I have experienced nothing of that spiritual comfort and joy, which I sometimes do. A demonstration this, that they are prodigiously wide of the mark, who think that what believers know to be the joys of the Holy Ghost, are, in fact, no other than certain pleasing sensations, arising from a brisk circulation of the blood, and a lively flow of the animal spirits. In this light, the consolations of God are considered by those who never experienced them. But if what the regenerate declare to be the sweetness of divine fellowship, is, in reality, no more than, what the cold formalist imagines, the mere result *επιξίας σωματικής*; it would follow, that every person, when in full health and spirits, actually enjoys that inward complacency and sweetness. But this is very far from being the case. I myself am a witness, that spiritual comforts are sometimes highest, when bodily health, strength,

and spirits are at the lowest ; and when bodily health, strength, and spirits are at the highest, spiritual comforts are sometimes at the lowest ; nay, clear gone, and totally absent. Whence I conclude, that the sensible effusion of divine love in the soul, is superior to, independent of, and distinct from, bodily health, strength, and spirits. These may be, where that is not ; and *vice versá*.

At night in my chamber, God was with me in my private waiting upon him ; and I could, indeed, say, from an heart-felt sense of his love, that it is good for me to draw nigh unto the Lord. Thy visitation, sweet Jesus, is the life and joy of my spirit.

Saturday, 26. Gave Dr. Gill's Tract on Justification, another reading : not without much edification and comfort. I do think, that this great man's arguments for the proper eternity of this blessing, *ex parte Dei*, are unanswerable. Glory be to thee, O Lord ; for my sense of special interest in thy everlasting love ! Were all the treasures of ten thousand worlds displayed to my view, the sight of them, the mere sight, would not make me the richer nor the happier : it is the knowledge of peculiar property in any blessing, that felicitates the soul. In this the comfort lies. And, thanks to divine grace, I can look upon all the unsearchable riches of Christ, as my own. Lord, increase my faith, and add to my thankfulness, more and more.

Sunday, 27. In the morning, read prayers and preached at Harpford ; to a congregation tolerably large, and very attentive. Afterwards, administered the Lord's Supper, to some who appeared truly devout communicants. It was indeed an ordinance of love to my own soul. I experienced the favour and presence of God. I sat under his shadow with great delight, and his fruit was pleasant to my taste.

In the afternoon read prayers, and preached, with great liberty and enlargement of mind, here at Fen-Ottery. My subject was Acts xiii. 39. The

Sermon itself (excepting a few additions here and there) was what I had formerly wrote in Ireland, in the year 1760, a little before I quitted college. I can never be sufficiently thankful, that my religious principles were all fixed, long before I ever entered into orders. Through the good hand of my God upon me, I sat out in the ministry with clear gospel light from the first: a blessing not vouchsafed to every one. Many an evangelical minister has found himself obliged to retract and unsay what he had taught before in the days of his ignorance. Lord, how is it that I have been so signally favoured of thee! O keep me to the end, stedfast in thy truths. Let me but go on experimentally and sensibly to know thee; and then it will be absolutely impossible for me to depart from the precious doctrines of grace: my early insight into which, I look upon as one of the distinguishing blessings of my life.

In the evening, received a letter from Mr. Andrew Lacam, of London; wherein he gives me this account of his late sister, Mrs. Carter, who died last month: "She had, for some time, left the fountain of living waters. I had two different conferences with her during her illness. I assured her, that I did not come to lord it over her; but, in love to her soul, put the question, how stand matters between God and you? Her attestation was, with sighs and tears, as follows: I am truly sensible, that I have run away from God: and it is my heart's burthen. But it is written in God's word, Whoso cometh unto me, I will in no wise cast out. I will, therefore, upon his promise, venture to cast my soul, without reserve, upon Jesus Christ: and there I am sure I can never perish. Upon this, we went to prayer," &c.

I could not forbear answering my friend's letter, almost as soon as I received it; and, among other things, observed to him as follows:

“The account you give of dear Mrs. Carter’s decease, is a ground for hope in Israel concerning her. It is a great and blessed thing, when we are enabled to cast ourselves on the promises. It cannot possibly be done, without faith: and he, that believeth, shall be saved. Adored be the free grace of God, which, I trust, healed the backslidings of your sister, and brought her again within the bond of the covenant. His Spirit alone can drive the ploughshare of penitential conviction through a sinner’s heart; and give us to mourn at the spiritual sight of him whom our sins have pierced. The Lord give us to mourn more and more, until we have mourned away our unbelief, our carelessness, and hardness of heart! The soul, I verily believe, is never safer, than when, with returning Mary, we stand at the feet of Christ, behind him, weeping. I read lately of a minister in the last century, whose departing words were, A broken and a contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not despise. Nor can I think such a state to be at all inferior, in point of real safety, to that of a good man who died a few years ago in London, with these triumphant words in his mouth, Now, angels, do your office. Of some, it is written, “They shall come with weeping, and with supplications will I lead them:” while others of the Lord’s people enter the haven of everlasting life, as it were, with full sails and flying colours: they “return with singing unto Zion.” But this is our comfort, that of all whom the Father gave to Christ, he will not lose one. However the joy of faith may decline, the grace itself shall never totally fail; having, for its security, the Father’s covenant love, which is from everlasting to everlasting; the blessed Mediator’s intercession, which is perpetual and all-prevailing; and the faithfulness of the Holy Ghost, who, when once given, is a fountain of living water, springing up in the believer’s heart to life eternal. May he, in all

his plenitude of saving grace and heavenly love, descend upon our souls as dew, and make us glad with the light of his countenance!—When I consider the goodness of God to me the chief of sinners, I am astonished at the coldness of my gratitude and the smallness of my love. Yet, little and cold as it is, even that is his gift, and the work of his Spirit. An earnest, I cannot doubt, of more and greater. The Lord Jesus increase the spark to a flame, and make the little one become a thousand!—My health, after which you are so kind as to enquire, was never better. And, which is greater still, I often experience the peace that passeth all understanding, and the joy that is unspeakable and full of glory. Not that I am always upon the Mount. There are seasons, in which my Lord is “as one that hideth himself.” But he only hides himself. He never forsakes the sinner he has loved. And blessed be his name, he has engaged that the regenerate soul shall never totally forsake him : else, there would never be a saint in heaven.—I rejoice to hear of Mrs. W’s temporal welfare ; and pray God to make her, spiritually, such as he would have her to be. She and I have much chaff, to be burnt up ; much tin, to be consumed ; may the blood of the Lamb be upon us both, for pardon ; and the sacred Spirit be to us a refining fire, for sanctification. If you write to her, do present the captain and her with my Christian respects : and let her know from me, that except she comes to Christ, as a poor sinner, with the halter of self-abasement round her neck, and the empty vessel of faith in her hand ; as a condemned criminal, who has nothing to plead, and as an insolvent debtor, who has nothing to pay ; she is stout-hearted, and far from righteousness. The way to be filled with the fulness of God, is to bring no money in our sack’s mouth.—If you see my old friend, Mr. I. tell him, that he will not be able to find any rest for the sole of

his foot, until he returns to the doctrines of grace, and flies back to the ark of God's election."

Tuesday, 29. At night, before I betook myself to rest, I was enabled to act faith very strongly on the promises. It was as if I had held a conversation with God. He assured me of his faithfulness, and I trusted him. It was whispered to my soul, "Thou shalt find me faithful:" my soul answered, "Lord, I believe it: I take thee at thy word." This, I am certain, was more than fancy. It was too sweet, too clear, and too powerful, to be the daughter of imagination. There was a *nescio quid divini*, attended with joy unspeakable, as much superior to all the sensations excited by earthly comforts, as the heavens are higher than the earth. Besides, in my experiences of this kind, when under the immediate light of God's presence within, my soul is, in great measure, passive; and lies open to the beams of the sun of righteousness. The acts of faith, love, and spiritual aspiration, are subsequent to, and occasioned by, this unutterable reception of divine influence. I bless my God, I know his inward voice; the still, small whisper of his good Spirit: and can distinguish it from every other suggestion whatever. Lord, evermore give me this bread to eat, which the world knoweth not of!

Wednesday, 30. Held my tythe dinner at Harpford. The greater part of both parishes attended: they seemed greatly satisfied; and I had as much reason to be satisfied with them. Busy as I was myself, in receiving my dues, and numerous as the company was, Mr. Powell, of Ottery (who made one), and myself, had several opportunities of conversing on the best subjects, particularly the decrees of God, and the spiritual impotence of man's will.

Paid farmer Carter for four bushels of wheat, to be distributed among the poor, as follows: John Churchill, Robert Bishop, Henry Wilson, James



Bedford, jun. Joseph Westcoat, John Way, Sarah Hare, John Churchill of Southertown; Charles Redwood, Patience Hall, William Perry, William May, jun. Elias Tews, Richard Haddon, and Richard House, one peck each; and half a peck each, to Elizabeth Critchard, and William May, senior.

Before I went to bed, God gave me such sense of his love as came but little short of full assurance. Who am I, O Lord? The weakest, and the vilest, of all thy called ones: not only the least of saints, but the chief of sinners. But though a sinner, yet sanctified in part, by the Holy Ghost given unto me. I should wrong the work of his grace upon my heart, were I to deny my regeneration: but, Lord, I wish for a nearer conformity to thy image. My shortcomings and my misdoings, my unbelief and want of love, would sink me into the nethermost hell, was not Jesus my righteousness and my redemption. There is no sin which I should not commit, was not Jesus, by the power of his Spirit, my sanctification. O when shall I resemble him quite, and have all the mind that was in him? When I see him face to face: which God will hasten in his time.

Thursday, 31. All day within, reading. The thought of how many acquaintances I have lost by death, within the course of this year, dwelt with great weight upon my mind. The following persons are some of them: Rev. Mr. Piers, (rector of Killishee, in Ireland); sir Robert Long, lord Tavistock, Rev. Mr. William Anderson, Mr. Davis, of Hatton-garden; my aunt Bate, at Deptford; archdeacon Potter, Mrs. Cox, Mrs. Carter, Mr. Warner, Mr. Benjamin Jones, Mrs. Weare, Mr. Powell, jun. of Dublin; Mr. Unwin. And yet I am spared! Lord, may it be for good, and not for evil! There are, that I know of, but two things worth living for; 1. To further the cause of God, and thereby glorify him before the world: 2. To do good to the souls and bodies of men.

Upon a review of the past year, I desire to confess, that my unfruitfulness has been exceeding great; my sins still greater: and God's mercies, greater than both. It is now between eleven and twelve at night: nor can I conclude the year more suitably to the present frame of my own mind, than with the following verse from one of my hymns; which expresses both my sense of past, and my humble dependence on divine goodness for future favours:

Kind author, and ground, of my hope,  
Thee, thee for my God I avow;  
My glad Ebenezer set up,  
And own thou hast help'd me till now:  
I muse on the years that are past,  
Wherein my defence thou hast prov'd;  
Nor wilt thou abandon at last  
A sinner so signally lov'd.

Saturday, January 2, 1768. In the afternoon, called on William Perry, of Southertown. Our discourse happened to take a serious turn. Among other subjects, we spoke concerning the divinity of the ever blessed Son of God. I could scarce help smiling, at the same time that I heartily applauded the honest zeal of my well-meaning parishioner: "Let any man," said he, "but search the scriptures, and if he does not find that Christ, as a divine person, subsisted, not only previous to his birth of the Virgin Mary, but from everlasting, I will lose my head." This brought to my mind that just observation of the late excellent Mr. Hervey; who, speaking of Christ's atonement, says; "Ask any of your serious tenants, what ideas arise in their minds, upon a perusal of the forementioned texts? I dare venture that, artless and unimproved as their understandings are, they will not hesitate for an answer. They will neither complain of obscurity, nor ask the assistance of learning: but will immediately discern, in all these passages, a gracious Redeemer suffering in their stead; and

by his bitter, but expiatory passion, procuring the pardon of their sins. Nay, farther, as they are not accustomed to the finesses of criticism, I apprehend they will be at a loss to conceive how it is possible to understand such passages in any other sense."

Sunday, 3. Read prayers and preached, in the morning, here at Fen-Ottery; and, in the afternoon, at Harpford, to a very large congregation, considering the quantity of snow that lies on the ground, and the intenseness of the frost, which render it almost equally unsafe to walk or ride. I opened the ministrations of this year, with that grateful acknowledgement of the apostle, 1 Cor. xv. 10. "By the grace of God I am what I am:" which was my thesis, both parts of the day. My liberty, both of spirit and utterance, was very great in the afternoon. Looking on my watch, I was surprised to find that I had detained my dear people three quarters of an hour: and yet, when I concluded, they seemed unwilling to rise from their seats; notwithstanding the unusual intenseness of the cold. Lord of hests, who hast all hearts in thy hand, work in my hearers both to be, to will, and to do, of thy good pleasure!

This dreadfully severe weather continuing, I ordered two more bushels of wheat to be distributed as follows: to ——— Hooper, James Blackmore, John Sanford, Elizabeth Woodrow, Grace Mitchell, and Martha Ham, one peck each; and to John Trimlett, two pecks.

Saturday, 9. This evening I felt unusual diffidence in myself, about the performance of to-morrow's duty. Free (blessed be God) from fightings without, I yet had fears within. I besought the Lord to manifest his strength in my weakness; and these precious words were returned, with unutterable power and sweetness, to my soul, "Trust in the Lord Jehovah, for in him is everlasting strength." I was instantly enabled to cast myself, with perfect acquiescence, on the message from heaven: which,

though delivered as an exhortation, is, in effect, a most glorious and comfortable promise. My doubts ceased; my misgivings vanished away; and I was assured that God would certainly give me a supply of sabbath-day strength for a sabbath-day's work.

Sunday, 10. Found God faithful to his word. Great was my strength, both morning and afternoon; nor less the liveliness of my soul in preaching.

Received a letter from my honoured mother. The same person, who brought it, brought me likewise two London newspapers; which I hope to read to-morrow; but dare not do on God's day. After evening service, visited and prayed with William May, sen. His cry was, "What shall I do to be saved?" But I could not, on close conversation with him, discover the least sign of evangelical repentance. He neither sees the vileness of his heart, nor knows his need of Christ. Lord, bless what I was enabled to speak, and do that work upon his soul, which man cannot! One of the most difficult and discouraging parts of the ministry, I have long found, is, visiting the ignorant and unawakened sick. But nothing is too hard for God. He, whose grace, wrought on me, is able to work on the sinner I have been with to-day; and will assuredly, if his name is in the Book of Life. Amidst all our discouragements, in ministering to others; and amidst all our doubts, respecting ourselves; there is yet a foundation, both sure and stedfast, even the rock of God's eternal election. Were it not for this, how would my hands hang down! and what hope could I have for myself or others? But this sets all to rights. The unchangeable Jehovah knows his own people by name, and will, at the appointed season, lead them, out of a state of nature, into a state of grace, by effectual vocation: for "whom he did predestinate, them he also called." This is all my salvation, and all my desire: the ground of the former, and the object of the latter. At night, God was very gracious to me,

in secret prayer. Great was my joy in the Lord; sweet my communion, and free my access. O that I had but something to render him for all his benefits! Just before I went to bed, that blessed promise was whispered powerfully to my soul, and sensibly sealed upon my heart," I will never leave thee, nor forsake thee." Amen, Lord Jesus.

Tuesday, 12. In the afternoon, read Dr. Calamy's Account of the Ejected Ministers. What a blow to vital religion, to the protestant interest in general, and to the church of England herself, was the fatal extinguishment of so many burning and shining lights! But they are now where the wicked cease from troubling, and where the weary are at rest.

Thursday, 14. Was greatly edified and comforted in reading Mr. Lee's choice sermon on "Secret Prayer," from Matth. vi. 6. in the Supplement to the Morning Exercise at Cripplegate; sermon 14. How sweet are the following remarks, among many others! "At the great day, secret prayers shall have open and public answers.

"We halt, like Jacob, both in and after our strongest wrestlings.

"I may term secret prayer, the invisible light of the soul in the bosom of God. Out of this heavenly closet rises Jacob's ladder, whose rounds are all of light: its foot stands upon the basis of the covenant in thy heart; its top reaches the throne of grace.

"A weeping countenance, and a wounded spirit, are most beautiful prospects to the eye of heaven; when a broken heart pours out repenting tears, like streams from the rock, smitten by the rod of Moses' law in the hand of a mediator.

"It was an ingenious passage of Chrysostom, concerning the woman of Canaan, *φιλοσοφει η γυνη*, the poor distressed creature was turned an acute philosopher with Christ, and disputed the mercy from him. O it is a blessed thing to attain to this hea-

venly philosophy of prayer, and to argue blessings out of the hand of God. The soul, like Jacob, does *in arenam descendere*, enter the lists with omnipotency, and, by holy force, obtain the blessing.

“When the sweet incense of Christ’s prayer ascends before the Father, our prayers become sweet and amiable, and cause a savour of rest with God. This I take to be one reason why the prevalency of prayer is so often assigned to the time of the evening sacrifice; as pointing at the death of Christ, which was about the ninth hour of the day, near the time of the evening oblation. Hence Abraham’s sacrifice received a gracious answer; being offered about the going down of the sun: Isaac went out to pray at eventide: Elijah at Mount Carmel, prayed and offered at the time of the evening sacrifice: Ezra fell on his knees, and spread out his hands, at the evening sacrifice: David begs that his prayer might avail, by the power of the evening sacrifice: Daniel, in prayer, was touched by the angel, about the time of the evening oblation. All, to show the prevalency of our access to the throne of grace, by the powerful merit of Christ’s intercession, who was the acceptable evening sacrifice.

“The holy motions upon the hearts of saints, in prayer, are the fruits of God’s unchangeable decrees of love to them, and the appointed ushers of mercy: he graciously determines to give a praying, arguing, warm, affectionate frame, as the *prodromus*, or fore-runner of some decreed mercy.

“Prayer is that intelligible chain, that draws the soul up to God, and draws mercy down to us; or like the cable, which draws the ship to land, though the shore itself remain immoveable:” intimating, that the saints do not pray, with a view to make God, who is unchangeable, reverse any of his decrees; but, 1. To draw their own souls into near communion with him; and, 2. As one appointed

means, in and through which God is pleased to bestow the blessings to which his people are predestinated. The excellent man goes on :

“ We must gradually be acquainted with all the Three [persons of the Trinity] : first with the Spirit ; then, with Christ ; and, last, with the Father. First, God sends the Spirit of his Son into our hearts ; and, then, through the Son, we cry, Abba, Father. The Father chose us in Christ ; and, sends his Spirit to draw us to Christ ; and, by Christ, to himself. Have ye this access to God, by the Spirit ? Bosom-communion flows from bosom-affection.

“ A godly man prays in finding seasons. There are special seasons of drawing nigh to God ; when he draws nigh to us : when the beloved looks forth at the window, and shows himself through the lattice, Cant. ii. 9. That is a time of grace, when he knocks at the door of thy heart, by his Spirit. Motions of the heart [toward Christ] are like the doves of the east, sent with letters about their necks. It was said of Bernard, *Ex motu cordis, spiritus sancti præsentiâ agnoscebat* ; he knew when the holy Spirit was present with him, by the motion of his heart.

“ When thou canst discern the print of the broad seal of the covenant upon thy heart ; and the privy seal of the Spirit upon thy prayers ; and canst look upon the Son in a sacerdotal relation to thee ; thou mayst come boldly, &c.

“ As Gerson says, *Sequitur lacrymosa devotio flante spiritu sancto* : devout tears drop down from the Spirit’s influence : melting supplications follow the holy Spirit’s gracious infusions.

“ As the seaman, when he has set sail, goes to the helm and the compass, and sits still, and observes the sun, or the polar star, and how the ship works, and whether the land-marks form themselves aright according to his chart ; so do you, when you have been at prayer : mark your ship, how it makes the

port; and what rich goods are laden back again from heaven. Most people lose their prayers, in the mist and fog of non-observation.

“David gave himself to prayer; in the Hebrew, it is, but I prayer: a Christian is all over prayer: he prays at rising, at lying down, and as he walks: like a prime favourite at court, who has the key to the privy stairs, and can wake his prince by night.

“We find David at prayer in the morning; and our blessed Lord, early in the morning, before day. Chrysostom advises, *Νιψον, προ της σωματιδος, την ψυχην*: wash thy soul, before thou washest thy body.” A direction which I trust to observe inviolably, from this day forward; during my pilgrimage below.

The good man observes, page 292. that such, as are truly converted, have no need to pray by a prescribed form: “they have the Spirit of God to assist and enable them; and they need not drink of another’s bucket, who have the fountain.” This certainly holds good, for the most part, at least, with regard to secret prayer: but not always, I apprehend, in open devotions, whether of a public or a domestic kind. Grace and gifts do not always go together. A person may have true grace, and great grace, without gifts: and may, on the other hand, have shining gifts, without a spark of real grace: witness the parable of the talents. All prayer is formal, in the worst sense, which does not ascend from the heart, by the Holy Ghost: and all prayer is spiritual, which does; be it prescribed, or extemporary. Mr. Lee adds, p. 296.

“God hath declared himself graciously pleased with secret prayer, so as to send an angel into Daniel’s chamber; and he was weary with flying, *volans in lassitudine*, he moved so swiftly; as the original text expresses it; Dan. ix. 21. *מועף ביעף*. What an high expression [and strong figure] is this! Even angels are represented as weary with hasty flights to bring saints their answers! Of what great account



does the Lord esteem his praying people, that angels are expressed to be tired in bringing tidings of mercy!"

Sunday, 17. God gave me strength to go through the public duties of the day in a comfortable and becoming manner. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, here at Fen-Ottery, to a large congregation; and, in the afternoon, at Harpford, to an exceeding numerous one. Baptized a daughter of farmer John Carter's. Between morning and afternoon service, read the first epistle to the Thessalonians in the Greek. In the evening, read the Cripplegate Lectures. Though my joy in the Lord has not been great to day, yet this has been a profitable sabbath to my own soul: O God, make it so to the attentive people who sat under my unworthy ministry!

Friday, 22. In the morning, rode to Exeter, by appointment, to meet Mr. Luce. Put up at the Swan. Bought Cave's *Historia Literaria*, Brooks' Dispensatory, and Erskine's Sermons, in three vols. At night, I spent three or four hours, reading Erskine's Sermons; particularly the following ones: "The rent Vail of the Temple;"—"The Harmony of Divine Attributes;"—"The Believer exalted in imputed Righteousness;"—and, "Faith's Plea upon God's Word and Covenant." The reading of these sweet discourses was wonderfully blest to my soul. Great was my rejoicing and triumph in Christ. The Lord was with me of a truth, and his gracious visitation revived my spirit. One moment's communion with Christ, one moment's sense of union with him, one moment's view of interest in him, is ineffable, inestimable!

Saturday, 23. Continued at Exeter, until the afternoon. Before dinner, Mr. Luce and I made a formal resignation of our respective livings, before Mr. Geare and two other witnesses. Having signed and sealed the instruments of resignation, we left

them with Mr. Geare, to be transmitted to the bishop. Prosper thou the work of our hands upon us, yea, prosper thou our handy work, Supreme Disposer of all things! May thy glorious Majesty, and thy gracious blessing, be upon us, for thy mercies sake in Jesus Christ! Amen.

After dinner, left Exeter, and returned to Fen-Ottery. The ride was far from a comfortable one. Hail, rain, or snow, almost the whole way.

I think, this has been the most remarkable day, in point of weather, I ever knew. Between the time of my rising in the morning, and returning home at night, we have had frost and thaw, snow, rain, hail, thunder and lightning, calm, high wind, and sunshine: a mixture of almost all weathers, from sunrise to sun-set.

Before I retired to my chamber, I read Erskine's Sermon (and a matchless one it is), entitled, "The Promising God a Performing God:" and the Lord set the seal of his Spirit on my heart. I was enabled to mix faith with what I read; and God made it a time of love, joy, peace, and spiritual refreshment to my soul. I could look and pray to him as my covenant God in Jesus Christ, who loved me from everlasting, and will love me without end.

Sunday, 24. A day of almost perpetual rain. Read prayers, and preached, in the morning, at Harpford, and here in the afternoon, to large congregations, considering the weather. God was with me in a way of bodily strength: but I cannot say I had much spiritual communion with him in a way of sensible intercourse. But though my fleece was not watered, I trust the dew of heaven fell around.

Between the morning and afternoon service, I read Erskine's Sermon, entitled, "The King held in the Galleries:" not without much comfort and confirmation in Christ.

In the evening, farmer Roberts came here to settle his tythe. I told him, I never transacted business

on the Lord's Day, and desired him to defer paying me until some other time.—At night, read Erskine's Sermon, entitled, "The humble Soul the peculiar Favourite of Heaven."

Sunday, 31. Read prayers, and preached, in the morning, here at Fen-Ottery: and, in the afternoon, to an exceeding large congregation at Harpford. Between morning and afternoon service, I made some very important additions to my sermon (wrote last Monday) on Ezek. xxxvi. 25, 26, 27. In delivering it at Harpford, to-day, God was with me of a truth. His word was eagerly received, and seemed to be deeply felt, by very many. I think I have seldom, if ever, seen such an appearance of usefulness among my Harpford people, since I knew them, as this afternoon. Dr. P. of Ottery, seemed to be touched from above: Lord bring him sensibly and experimentally within the bond of the covenant, if it please thee; and likewise all the elect souls who have heard me this day.

How sweet is the work of the ministry, when attended with the unction and power of the Holy One! My soul has been very barren, ever since last Lord's Day; but this Sabbath has been a Sabbath indeed.

Spent the evening, both agreeably and profitably, in reading the confession of faith, charge, and sermon delivered at Bristol, last August, at the ordination of Mr. Evans, jun. Blessed be God for the advancement of his interest among us, under whatever form. Lord, increase the number of thy faithful witnesses, every where, and in every denomination of protestants!

Monday, February 1. Before I went to bed this night, the Lord favoured me with some sweet intimations of his love.

Sunday, 7. In the morning, at Harpford; and here, at Fen-Ottery, in the afternoon, I read prayers, and preached, to a very full congregation each time;

and, I trust, the word was blest to some. My strength and enlargement of soul (especially in the afternoon) were very considerable. Bless the Lord, O my soul; and learn to trust him, who is faithfulness itself.—In the evening, read Bunyan's Pilgrim. What a stiff, sapless, tedious piece of work is that written by bishop Patrick! How does the unlearned tinker of Bedford outshine the bishop of Ely! I have heard, that his lordship wrote his Pilgrim, by way of antidote against what he deemed the fanaticism of John Bunyan's Pilgrim. But what a rich fund of heavenly experience, life, and sweetness, does the latter contain! How heavy, lifeless, and unevangelical, is the former! Such is the difference, between writing from a worldly spirit, and under the influence of the Spirit of God.

Wednesday, 10. The Lord was very gracious to my soul this afternoon. His Spirit was the comforter, and Mr. Erskine's two sermons, on "The Rainbow of the Covenant," were the channel through which that comfort was conveyed. Amid my many seasons, and long intervals, of barrenness and want of joy, God sometimes makes me glad with the light of his countenance: but, alas! I can too often say, with him of old, concerning such sweet seasons, "rara hora, brevis mora." Yet I can, through grace, say likewise,

A moment's intercourse with thee  
Is worth a year's delay.

Surely, O God, I could not long after thy presence, if I did not know the sweetness of it, and love thee in some measure: and I could not know that, but by the revelation of thy Spirit in my heart; nor love thee at all, if thou hadst not first loved me.—We grieve at the absence of those we love, and of none else: blessed be God for this evidence of true (however weak) grace!

Thursday, 11. Began to compose "A Course of Family Prayer \*." Lord, prosper the work of my hands upon me, and make it useful!

Friday, 12. A little before bed-time, I darted up an ejaculation, that God would be pleased to strengthen me, and give me faithfulness, in the discharge of my duty toward the parishioners of Broad-Hembury, whither I expect soon to remove. My God gave me this sweet answer immediately, "I will enable thee, and bless thee." Behold the servant of the Lord; be it unto me, according to thy word!

I desire to remember, with grief and shame, that, soon after the above manifestation of God's favour to my soul, I was tempted, before I could get to sleep, with high thoughts of my own righteousness, both as a man and as a minister. The enemy plied his fiery darts very thick, and came in as a flood; but the Spirit of the Lord lifted up a standard against him. I was enabled (glory to divine grace) to reject the cursed insinuations as I would hell-fire. Oh, that ever such a wretch as I, should be tempted to think highly of himself! I that am, of myself, nothing but sin and weakness; I, in whose flesh naturally dwells no good thing; I, who deserve damnation for the best work I ever performed! Lord Jesus, humble me to the dust, yea to the very centre of abasement, in thy presence. Root out and tear up this most poisonous, this most accursed weed, from the unworthiest heart that ever was. Show me my utter nothingness. Keep me sensible of my sinnership. Sink me down, deeper and deeper, into penitence and self-abhorrence. Break the Dagon of pride in pieces before the ark of thy merits. Demolish, by the breath of thy Spirit, the walls, the Babel of self-righteousness and self-opinion; level them with the trodden soil, grind them to powder, annihilate them for ever and ever. Grace, grace, be all my experience, and all my cry! Amen. Amen.

\* These Family Prayers are published in the v. vol.

Sunday, 14. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, here at Fen-Ottery, to a pretty full auditory. In the afternoon, read prayers at Harpford, and preached Mrs. Mary Wheaton's funeral sermon, to an exceeding great congregation indeed. I could not forbear observing, "that God had spared her to a good old age: that she was born in the year 1675, ten years before the death of Charles II. and about fourteen before the coming in of king William III. that she lived in the reigns of seven monarchs, and died last Tuesday, aged ninety-three." Great was my fervour and enlargement of soul; nor less, to appearance, the attention of them that heard. Nay, they seemed to do more than attend: the word, I verily believe, came, with power and weight, to their hearts. I never yet saw my church so full (in-somuch that there was hardly any standing) and, think, seldom, if ever, beheld a people that seemed to relish the gospel better. Neither they nor myself were weary, though I detained them much longer than usual.—Since my intention of changing livings with Mr. Luce has been publicly known, a spirit of great earnestness and life appears to have been poured out on my people. And yet, I trust, I see my way plainly pointed out, and that it is the will of God I should leave them. A wonderful combination of providential circumstances, leaves me scarce any room to doubt of my call to Broad-Hembury. Lord, bring me not up thither, unless thy presence goes with me! Take care of thy own elect (and so thou assuredly wilt) here and in this neighbourhood! And give us, O give us, some more parting blessings!—Mr. Holmes, of Exeter, came from thence this morning, to hear the unworthiest of God's messengers. This gentleman was at my churches, both parts of the day; and, from what conversation I had with him, appears to be one who knows and loves the truth as it is in Jesus.

Wednesday, 17. In my chamber, this evening, those words, 2 Tim. i. 7. "God hath not given unto us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind:" were imprest much upon my heart, and my meditation on them was attended, not only with great peace and sweetness, but with joy in the Holy Ghost. My sense of union and communion with God was very clear: and I was enabled to see myself one of God's regenerate people, by finding within myself (through the riches of grace alone) those three infallible evidences of conversion, which that delightful text lays down. The Spirit of Christ was to me a spirit of power, when he effectually called me to the knowledge of himself, in the year 1756, at Codymain, in Ireland, under the ministry of Mr. James Morris: he has been, and is, a spirit of love, in my soul, to all the divine persons; and, as such, the principle of sanctification: and he has been to me a spirit of a sound mind, by leading me into, and confirming me in, the light of gospel truth, in its full harmony and consistency; which I verily believe, for my own part, to be a branch, at least, of that *σωφροσινισμος* (which, among other significations, denotes wisdom and instruction), mentioned by the apostle in that passage; and may not, I apprehend, be improperly rendered, soundness of judgment. Yet, the *σωφροσινισμος*, abstracted from the *δυναμις* and the *αγαπη*, is not, of itself, a certain evidence of regeneration: it is the divine power, and the love of God shed abroad in the heart, which render soundness of judgment not only comfortable, but a mark of saving grace. Blessed be God for my experience of all the three!

Sunday, 21. Read prayers, and preached, in the morning, at Harpford; and in the afternoon, here at Fen-Ottery. I have great reason to be thankful for the strength and presence of mind, with which I was enabled to go through with my public duties, both

parts of the day ; and to be humbled in soul, for my want of spiritual liveliness and fervour. Lord, I am and can be alert in thy work, no longer than I feel the efficacy of divine attraction : may I, if it please thee, feel it more and more, for the sake of thy rich mercy in Jesus Christ. Amen.

In the evening, I was enabled to draw much spiritual improvement from that passage, John xi. 40. " Said I not unto thee, that if thou wouldst believe, thou shouldst see the glory of God ? " Lord, cause me to do the one, and to see the other !

Tuesday, 23. Awoke very early this morning, with those words full and deep upon my mind, " I will give unto you the sure mercies of David." I cannot say that I had an immediate sense of covenant interest in that glorious promise : yet the impression of it was attended with a satisfactory sweetness, and its signification was, as it were, spontaneously opened to me, in a manner too clear and pleasing to express. It seemed to me (and I can find no reason still, to think otherwise) that the passage *τα ἴσια Δαβὶδ τα πισα*, may be literally rendered " the sacred" [i. e. the inviolable and] " faithful things of David : " for, why may not *ἴσιος*, which signifies holy, just, and sacred, have, in this connection, the signification of inviolable ? and denote the firmness, certainty, and perpetuity of those spiritual blessings, which are given, and made over to God's elect, by virtue, and in consequence of the Father's covenant of grace, made in their behalf, with Christ, our anti-typical David ? This, at least, must be granted ; that the words, as they lie in the New Testament, will bear the translation I have given : and my translation and sense of them seem exactly to coincide with the original passage, as it stands in the prophet, from whence the apostle quoted it.

Sunday, 28. The Lord was with me in the discharge of my ministry, both parts of the day ; especially in



the afternoon at Harpford. O my faithful God, bless the word spoken!

Wednesday, March 2. In secret prayer, this morning, before I left my chamber, the fire of divine love kindled, and the Lord sensibly shone upon my soul. I could not forbear saying, "O why art thou so kind to the chief of sinners?" I was so taken up, and as it were circumfused, with the love of God, and the perception of my union with him, that I could hardly ask for pardon.—Thus I walked in the light of his countenance, for, I suppose, two or three minutes; when, alas! evil wanderings intervened, my warmth of joy suddenly subsided, and I was, in great measure, brought down from the Mount. Yet the sweetness and peace of this heavenly visit remained, after the blessed visitant was withdrawn. Though the sun himself retired from view, yet (if I may so express it) I enjoyed the refraction of his beams. He did not disappear, without leaving a blessing behind him; sufficient, I trust, for faith to live upon until I see him again.

In the afternoon, wrote several letters. Among the rest, one to my honoured friend, Dr. Gill: which I concluded thus: "You see, sir, my letter is the very reverse of Ezekiel's roll. And with reason. Since, when God puts gladness into the heart, why should not the lips overflow with praise?—Though I am certain that you are immortal until your work is done; and that God will perform the thing that is appointed for you; I am yet enabled to bear you, in the arms of prayer, to the throne of grace: and presume to request, that, at the seasons of access with joy, you will not forget the meanest of God's people, and the unworthiest, the most impotent (yet not the least favoured) of his messengers. I need not tell you, that I mean, honoured and very dear sir, your obliged, &c."

Thursday, 3. Upon a review of this day, in which my mind has been variously exercised, I have

great reason to stand astonished at my own baseness ; nor less so, at the several instances of mercy, both temporal and spiritual, with which God has favoured me since I awaked this morning. I can, through grace, adopt David's language, and close the evening with his sweet hymn of thanksgiving : " Praise the Lord, O my soul, and forget not all his benefits : who forgiveth all thy sin, and healeth all thine infirmities ; who saveth thy life from destruction, and crowneth thee with loving kindness and tender mercies ; who satisfies thy mouth with good things," the good things of his providence, and thy heart with the better things of his grace ; " making thee young and lusty as an eagle," Psalm ciii.

Sunday, 6. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, at Harpford ; and, in the afternoon, here at Fen-Ottery : would I could say, with the fervour and sensible joy I sometimes experience. But I was rather in a cold frame the whole day. Lord, pardon my unworthiness, and wash away the iniquity of my holy things, in the blood of him thou hast provided for a burnt-offering ! Thou art faithful, who hast promised : nor is my interest in thee the less secure, because I have not always eyes to see it clearly.

Tuesday, 8. Our family dining early to-day, Mr. Harris (of Wellington) and myself took a walk, about two in the afternoon, to the top of Fen-Ottery Hill. Looking round from thence, I observed to him how plainly we could see the two churches, of Harpford and Fen-Ottery, in the vale beneath us. Perceiving, however, a pillar of smoke rising into the air, at a little distance from Harpford tower ; I asked my companion, " What he thought it was ?" He replied, " I suppose they are burning stroil." Imagining this to be the case, we continued our walk for, I believe, full three hours, round Ailsbear Hill, and other parts of the common. Coming, at last, to Micktam in our circuit, we called on old farmer Francke : and were hardly seated, before

he asked us, "Whether we had heard of the fire at Harpford?" Adding, that, "according to the best of what his eldest son could discern, it was farmer Endicott's house that was burning." The wind being pretty strong, north-east by east, I knew, that, if it was Endicott's house, or any of the adjoining ones, the vicarage-house and offices must be in imminent danger. I posted away for Harpford, without delay: and, being got within near view of the village, plainly perceived, by the course of the smoke, that the vicarage had actually taken fire. By the time I arrived at the wooden bridge, I met a man coming to acquaint me with what had happened: upon seeing me, he saluted me with "Sir, your house is burnt down to the ground." Entering the village, I found it almost literally true. The dwelling house, the barn, the lincays, the stable, &c. with the back house rented by John Woodford, were, as it were, by sympathy, all in flames at once, and more than half consumed.—Thomas Wilson's house, and that in which Henry Bishop lately lived (from which latter mine caught fire,) were totally destroyed. When I saw the vicarage irrecoverably lost, I returned to Fen-Ottery, and took horse for Exeter; where I arrived between eight and nine in the evening, and put up at Mr. Lathbury's. Being fatigued with my hasty ride, I thought it best to apprise Mr. Gearing (agent for the London Insurance Office) by a note, of what had happened: who, in his answer, desired to see me the next morning.

What I chiefly enter down this account in my diary for, is this: namely, as a memento of God's great goodness to me, both in a way of providence and grace. Though I was not certain whether the expence (I mean, all above the insurance) of rebuilding the vicarage-house, with its appendages, might not eventually fall on me (notwithstanding my resignation of the living last January 23), by Mr. Luce's probably refusing, in consequence of this

misfortune, to complete our projected exchange; yet neither the report, nor the sight, of this alarming visitation, made me so much as change countenance, or feel the least dejection. This could not proceed from nature; for, my nerves are naturally so weak, that, in general, the least discomposing accident oversets me quite, for a time. It was therefore owing to the supporting goodness of God, who made me experience the truth of that promise, "Thy shoes shall be iron and brass; and as is thy day, so shall thy strength be." Surely, we can both do, and endure, all things, through Christ enabling us. Had any one told me beforehand, "You will see the vicarage all in flames, without the least emotion of mind," I should have thought it impossible. But the strength of God was made perfect in my weakness; and therefore it was that my heart stood fast, believing in the Lord. O may thy grace be ever sufficient for me!

Spent the evening not only in a comfortable, but even in a rejoicing frame of mind; and never rested better afterwards. Thou, Lord, canst make the feeble, as David. Thus, the 8th of March was a day to be particularly noted, not in my book only, but in my latest remembrance; on account of that wonderful support with which I was favoured: which not only made my feet as hind's feet, and caused me to walk on the high places of Jacob; but which even bore me up, as on eagles' wings, above the reach of grief, fear, and weakness; and, as it were, laid me at rest on the bosom of Christ, and within the arms of God.

Wednesday, 9. Continued at Exeter until after dinner. Called on Mr. Gearing, and Mr. Geare. Found, upon inquiry, that, the fire at Harpford happening after the living was vacated by my resignation of it, the exchange will certainly stand good, and the melancholy event there, cannot possibly affect me. Who would not trust in the Lord, and

wait until a cloudy dispensation is cleared up? Through grace, I was enabled to do this: and the result of things has proved that it would not only have been wicked, but foolish to have done otherwise. O that I may always be as well enabled to adopt and realize that divine apothegm, "He that believeth, shall not make haste."

Spent about an hour and an half with good Mr. Holmes: whom I found in great distress of mind, on account of his only surviving son being given over in a fever. During our interview, God so opened my mouth, and so enlarged my heart, that, I trust, both my friend and myself found our spiritual strength renewed, and were sensibly and powerfully comforted from above.—In the evening, returned to Fen-Ottery.

Thursday, 10. Drinking tea, this afternoon, at farmer Carter's, I had an opportunity of seeing more leisurely, the devastation at Harpford. The whole vicarage is one large mass of ruins. What a providential mercy was it, that I resigned the living before this misfortune happened! O God, how wise, and how gracious, art thou, in all thy ways!

Friday, 11. After breakfast, rode to Broad-Hembury, where I dined with Mr. Luce; who bears the late afflictive providence at Harpford better than I could have expected.

Sunday, 13. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, here at Fen-Ottery; and, in the afternoon, at Harpford, (from Rom. viii. 28.) to an exceeding large congregation.—I have much reason to bless God, for the great measure of bodily strength, vouchsafed me to-day: yet my soul was by no means in a lively frame. Neither triumphant, nor depressed, my mind seemed to resemble the time mentioned by the prophet, in which the day will be neither clear nor dark, Zech. xiv. 6.

At night, before I went to bed, was much troubled with coldness and wanderings in secret prayer.

Monday, 14. Looking over one of my journals this morning, I could not help blessing God for such a series of mercies as my life has been made up of; upon which, these words were instantaneously and sweetly suggested to my soul, "I will carry thee on." Amen, gracious Lord!

Sunday, 20. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, at Harpford, to a very full congregation: but without any ray of sweetness or enlargement; at least, to myself. Between morning and afternoon service, I was much dejected and bowed down in spirit. I was so far left to the doubtings and evil surmising of my own unbelieving heart, as even to dread the remaining public duties that lay before me. But the glorious Lord was better to me than my fears, and graciously disappointed my ungracious misgivings: for, in the afternoon, he was with me, both in a way of strength, and in a way of consolation. I read prayers, and preached, here at Fen-Ottery, with great freedom, and considerable liveliness, to a crowded church.

About six in the evening, being alone in my chamber, I was still more sensibly led forth beside the waters of comfort. I tasted some sweet droppings of the honey-comb, and could say, "My Lord, and my God." The embers were blown aside, by the breath of the holy Spirit; the veil of unbelief was rent; and the shadows fled away. Light sprung up, and the fire kindled: even the light of God's countenance, and the fire of his love. Yet my comforts did not amount to the full triumph, and extatic bliss, I have sometimes experienced; but were gentle, peaceful, and serene: attended with a mild, refreshing, lenient warmth; which melted me into conscious nothingness before God, and made me feel him and rest upon him as my all in all. The very state this, in which, if it be his will, I could wish both to live and die: for I look upon such a placid reception of his gently-pervading in-

fluence, where all is soft and sweet and still, to be the most desirable frame of soul on this side heaven. But I desire to leave all to the disposal of him who best knows how to deal with his militant people; and who will be sure to lead them to heaven by the right way, and me among the rest.

Monday, 21. Between ten and eleven at night, in my chamber, a little before I betook myself to rest, the Lord favoured me with some gracious outgoings of affection toward himself. My meditation of him, and communica with him, were sweet; and the intimations of his love to me, drew forth my love to him. The cherishing south wind of his loving Spirit breathed upon the garden of my soul, and the spices thereof flowed out. I could say, and still can, "Whom have I in heaven, but thee? and there is none upon earth that I desire in comparison of thee. Come, O my beloved, into thy garden, and eat thy pleasant fruits!" Thus, though affected, ever since the afternoon, with a slight head-ach, my bodily indisposition was more than compensated with the peace that passeth all understanding; and I could rejoice in the sense of union with Christ, my exalted head; a head, that is never out of order.

Thursday, 24. In the afternoon, the Lord gave me this word of comfort, "I have put away thy sin." It came with power, and I was enabled to believe the testimony of the holy Spirit. Towards evening, I was in a very comfortable frame of soul, while making some considerable additions to my sermon on John ii. 19. How greatly do these occasional visits from above, cheer and strengthen a sinner on his way to Zion! Surely, there is a river, and not only the streams, but even a few drops of it, make glad the city of God.

Friday, 25. This afternoon and evening, but especially at night, the Lord has been very gracious to my soul. I could see myself loved with an ever-

lasting love, and clothed with Christ's everlasting righteousness. My peace flowed, as a river; and I found the comforts of the holy Spirit to be neither few nor small. My sense of justification was unclouded, as when the clear shining of the sun giveth light. My beloved is mine, and I am his. Under these sweet, unutterable manifestations, I have scarce any thing to pray for: supplication is swallowed up in wonder, love, and praise: Jesus smiles, and more than a ray of heaven is shed upon my soul. "I will greatly rejoice in the Lord; my soul shall be joyful in my God; for he hath clothed me with the garments of salvation, he hath covered me with the robe of righteousness, as a bridegroom decketh himself with ornaments, and as a bride adorneth herself with her jewels." My harp is taken down from the willows, and I can sing the Lord's song in a strange land.

Touch'd by the finger of thy love,  
 Sweet melody of praise I bring;  
 Join the enraptur'd choirs above,  
 And feel the bliss which makes them sing.

Saturday, 26. A letter from London, informs me, that poor old lady Goring is lately turned papist. Surely, it is a debt I owe to God, to truth, to my own conscience, and to the friendship with which that unhappy lady formerly honoured me, to write to her on this sad occasion. Lord, keep me stedfast in the purity of thy blessed gospel, and, if it please thee, recover her from this snare of the devil!

Was indisposed, great part of this day, with the head-ach: but enjoyed, toward evening, a measure of the peace of God. At night, a little before I went to bed, the Lord was pleased to give me a full assurance of his being with me in a way of grace and strength, and carrying me comfortably through the



duties of the ensuing Sabbath. I could no more doubt of his giving me a Sabbath-day's blessing, than if the Sabbath had been passed, and the blessing actually received.

Sunday, 27. Palm-Sunday. Between eight and nine this morning, the Lord visited my soul with a lively sense of his salvation. My comfort, joy, and triumph, were unutterable, for some minutes; and the savour of his precious ointment, thus divinely shed abroad in my heart, abode with me, more or less, through the course of the whole day. In the morning, my congregation here at Fen-Ottery, was very full; and I was enabled to read prayers, and to preach, with more inward liberty, and consolation of spirit, than I have done for some Sundays back. The gospel ordinances were sweet to my taste, and I experienced that animating promise, "He that watereth, shall be watered also himself."

In the afternoon, read prayers, and preached, at Harpford, to a congregation indeed. "Behold the Lamb of God," was my subject: O Lamb of God, cause me, and those who heard me this day, to behold thee, here, in the light of special faith; and hereafter, in the light of endless glory!

Though I have a violent cold upon me, with a tendency to a sore throat, yet I was carried through my duties, not only with great comfort, but with unusual strength of body and voice. A worse church to speak in, I never knew, than Harpford; yet I am confident, I was well heard by all present; whose number, I apprehend, was at least seven hundred: which, I dare believe, I should not have been, considering my hoarseness to-day, had not my soul been particularly happy in the Lord. The sense of his presence giveth power to the faint, and makes men act beyond themselves. Under the influence of his Spirit, the meanest believer, becomes like the chariots of Amminadib, and goes forth like a giant refreshed with wine: the places of God's worship are, each,

a banqueting house ; and the means of grace are so many mountains of spices.

Tuesday, 29. That sweet text, “ This God is our God for ever and ever ; he shall be our guide even unto death :” proved a cordial to my soul this morning. Blessed be his name, I could adopt those words of triumph, and still can, in the assurance of faith. I am, through grace, as clearly satisfied of my interest in the blessing they contain, as if they were addressed to me by name.

I remember a delightful paraphrase of this golden passage, written by Mr. Hart ; which I cannot help putting down here ; and the rather, as they are the very language of my soul at present :

“ This God is the God we adore,  
 Our faithful, unchangeable friend ;  
 Whose love is as great as his pow’r,  
 And knows neither measure nor end.  
 ’Tis Jesus, the first and the last,  
 Whose Spirit shall guide us safe home ;  
 We’ll praise him, for all that is past,  
 And trust him, for all that’s to come.

In the afternoon, began, and about half finished, a sermon on Phil. ii. 8. which, if the Lord please, I hope to deliver from the pulpit next Friday. The Lord has already, while writing it, made it a means of grace to myself ; and gave me to experience the power of that dying love, which the text and the preceding context so sweetly celebrate. O Lamb of God, slain for me ! Thy blood is balm ; thy presence is bliss, thy smile is heaven. Through thy precious righteousness, sinners and salvation meet together. Thou hast knit me to thyself in the bonds of an everlasting covenant which shall not be forgotten and cannot be annulled. Thou hast set me as a seal upon thine arm, and hast set the seal of thy Spirit upon my heart. I can sing, with one of thy saints, now in heaven,

“ Love mov'd thee to die ;  
And on this I rely,  
My Saviour hath lov'd me, I cannot tell why :  
But this I can find,  
We two are so join'd,  
He'll not be in glory and leave me behind.”

April 1. Good-Friday. In the morning, read prayers, preached, and administered the blessed sacrament, at Harpford. Both in the pulpit, and at the Lord's table, my joy, consolation, and enlargement of soul, were great: and, I think, I never saw communicants more humble, serious, and devout. God's presence seemed to be manifested among us in a very uncommon manner. In the afternoon, read prayers, and preached, here at Fen-Ottery: and the glorious majesty of the Lord our God was evidently upon speaker and hearers. This has been a Good Friday indeed to my soul; and, I dare believe, to the souls of many beside. Lord, make the sensible unction of thy Spirit not only to descend upon us, but to abide with us!

Saturday, 2. After breakfast, rode to Exeter; where I dined at Mr. Holmes'. Found that dear and excellent man not only more resigned to the will of God, but even more cheerful, than I could well have conceived. Mrs. Paul, of Topsham, and Mr. Lewis, a worthy Baptist minister, dined with us. Our conversation at table was on the best subjects: and I found our Christian discussions sensibly blest to my soul. After tea, myself and four more followed the remains of master Holmes to Eade, about two miles out of the city, where they were interred. Mr. Cole, curate of the parish, read the funeral service; and I preached a sermon, suitable to the solemn occasion, to a large auditory, and one of the most attentive ones I ever saw. I had a violent hoarseness upon me all the afternoon, which made me apprehensive I should both speak and be heard with difficulty. But,

upon my entrance into the pulpit, while the first psalm was singing, I lifted up my heart to God, and prayed, "Lord, help me, this once." Nor was my supplication lost. I was helped indeed. I preached forty minutes, with great ease to myself, and with great strength, readiness, and distinctness. It was a blessed season to my own heart; and, I earnestly trust, to the souls of many that heard. The word did indeed seem to come with the demonstration of the Spirit, and with power. Returning to Exon, I supped with Mr. Holmes and the company; and from thence, between eleven and twelve at night, returned home to Fen-Ottery.

Sunday, 3. Easter Sunday. Rose, this morning, with such a cold, and hoarseness on my voice, that I could hardly speak either audibly or intelligibly. Read prayers, however (if it might be called reading), here at Fen-Ottery, and administered the blessed sacrament: but, knowing it would be in vain to attempt preaching, ordered the clerk to make an apology to the congregation. At the table of the Lord, the Lord of the table was with me of a truth; and made my soul rejoice, amid all the weakness of my body. In the afternoon, rode to Harpford; where, after reading prayers to a very great congregation, as well as I could, which was very badly, I was in some doubt whether I had best attempt to preach or not. Considering, however, that, if I found I could not make myself heard, I could but cease; and grieving at the thought of sending away such a multitude, without even endeavouring to break to them the word of life; I went up into the pulpit, and besought the Lord to manifest his strength in my weakness: and he graciously did. I preached three quarters of an hour, with wonderful strength, and unusual enlargement of soul. Awe and attention were visible on every face. I was enabled to exert myself greatly, and to pour out my whole soul in the ministry of the word. The sense of God's

presence, together with the sweetness and dignity of the subject I was upon, melted me so, that, I think, I was never more strongly carried out. Once, in particular, I could scarce refrain from bursting into tears. Hoarse and disagreeable as my voice sounded, yet, I am convinced, the voice of the holy Spirit made its way to many hearts. Indeed, all were struck, if there is any judgment to be formed by appearances. My wonder, at the ability with which I was endued, and my gratitude to the blessed God, for the comforts that were experienced, will hardly suffer me to desist from saying more of this memorable opportunity. Lord, who would not trust thee? Who would not love thee? The work, O God, was thine; and thine be all the glory! Amen, Amen.

Tuesday, 5. My hoarseness, blessed be God, begins to go off. Drinking tea, to-day, at Mr. Leigh's, at Hayne, the company went away early, and Mr. Leigh and I had the remainder of the afternoon to ourselves. Our conversation took a very improving turn. We talked much of death, the assurance of faith, and the invincibility of converting grace. My conversation on the latter subject never seemed to come to him with so much conviction and power, as now. He almost gave up his Arminianism, and drank in, what I was enabled to say, with a seriousness and sensibility I never saw in him before. He even appeared to relish the doctrine of grace, and to feel some of its power. Lord, let not thy Spirit leave him, until thou hast made him cry, from the depth of his heart, "O sovereign grace! I am nothing! Thou art all!"

On my way home to Fen-Ottery, especially as I was riding over Tipton-bridge, my soul was in a very comfortable frame. O the unutterable sweetness of sensible interest in God's election, the covenant of grace, and righteousness of Christ! I trust, I can say, they are all mine.

Wednesday, 6. ☞ This afternoon, about two o'clock, I received institution, at Exeter, to the living of Broad-Hembury. While on my knees, the chancellor was committing the souls of that parish to my care, my own soul was secretly lifted up to God for a blessing: which, I humbly trust, will be given, for his mercy's sake in Jesus Christ.

Immediately after I was instituted to Broad-Hembury, Mr. Luce was instituted to Harpford.

Thursday, 7. That gracious promise was given me to-day, "I will inform thee and teach thee in the way wherein thou shalt go; and I will guide thee with mine eye." I had been, previously, much dejected in spirit, and exercised with various doubts: but that word of comfort came with such power and effect, that I was soon set to rights again.

Friday, 8. Mr. Luce dining here to-day, we walked, in the afternoon, to Harpford; where I inducted him into that living. In the course of this day, I was favoured with some comfortable glimpses of my heavenly Father's countenance. O that I could ever have a heart warm with love! But it is better to catch fire now-and-then, than to be always cold. Blessed be the comforter of God's elect, a live coal, from the golden altar which is before the throne, is sometimes dropt into my heart; and then I can sing,

Lov'd of my God, for him again  
 With love intense I burn:  
 Chosen of thee ere time began,  
 I choose thee in return.

To have a part and lot in God's salvation, is the main thing: but to have the joy of it, is an additional blessing, which makes our way to the kingdom smooth and sweet.

Saturday, 9. In the evening, while returning from Broad-Hembury (where I dined to-day); and at night after my return hither to Fen-Ottery; I had

the comfort of sweet communion with God, and not only enjoyed that peace which the world cannot give, but was favoured with some delightful assurances of God's everlasting love to me a sinner. I was, like what is said of Naphthali, "satisfied with favour:" even with the favour of him, whose name is as ointment poured forth; whom to know, is life eternal; and whom to converse with, is heaven. The Spirit himself bore witness with my spirit, that I am a child of God, and a joint heir with Christ. Lord, doubtless thou art my Father: O enable me to love thee as such, and to walk worthy of my heavenly pedigree!

Sunday, 10. Did duty, this day, at the churches here, for, I suppose, the last time. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, at Fen-Ottery: and, in the afternoon, read prayers, and preached, at Harpford, to a very great congregation. At the latter church, God did indeed open to me a door both of knowledge and of utterance: insomuch that I could not possibly confine myself to my notes; but was carried out with extraordinary enlargement, readiness, and presence of mind: especially while speaking of the certain perseverance of God's regenerate people, and of the utter impossibility of being justified by works. I did not take any leave of my dear people. Farewel-sermons, in my opinion, carry in them such an air of self-importance, that I have long resolved never to preach one again.—Let me rather close my ministry in this place, with, 1. Secretly begging pardon of God to-night, for my manifold sins, omissions, and infirmitities, both as a man, and as a minister: 2. I earnestly intreat my gracious Lord to make me thankful for the innumerable mercies, I have experienced, since I had the care of these parishes upon me. 3. I pray God to command his efficacious blessing on my weak, sinful, and unworthy labours here; most humbly beseeching him to own the messages of salvation I have delivered from time to time, and to grant that

the seed, he has enabled me to sow, may be found after many days. 4. I beg him to stay with these that stay, and to go with me when I go from them : that his presence and his blessing may be their portion, my portion, and the portion of those among whom I expect shortly to minister. O thou God of power and of grace ! all hearts are in thy hand, and all events are at thy disposal ! Set, O set the seal of thy almighty *fiat* upon each of these petitions ! And supply all our need, according to thy riches in glory by Christ Jesus ! Amen, Amen.

Tuesday, 12. At night, the Lord gave me to experience some gracious meltings of heart. How sweet are the humiliations of penitential love ! I desire no greater bliss, than to lie at my heavenly Master's footstool, dissolved in wonder, gratitude, and self-abasement.

Friday, 15. Several words of comfort were, this day, at different times, spoken to and sealed upon my heart : particularly these three, "Fear not ; I will be with thee."—"Trust me."—"I will uphold thee with the right hand of my righteousness." At another time these words were powerfully suggested to my soul, "Be joyful in the Lord." To many, all this would appear as the most palpable enthusiasm ; and there was a time, when I myself should have thought so too. But blessed be God the comforter, I know what it is to enjoy some degree of communion with the Father, and the Son by him. And, exclusive of this inward *ελεγχος*, which is, to myself, equivalent, in point of mental satisfaction, to ten thousand demonstrations ; my experiences of this kind, considered even in the most rational view, cannot, I am well persuaded, be justly counted enthusiastic, or the offspring of an heated imagination : for, 1. They are attended with such a powerful sweetness, and such commanding weight, such satisfactory clearness, and such a perfect consistency with the promises of scripture, as leave me no cause to doubt of its being indeed the voice of God to my soul.



2. My mind, on these occasions, is as absolutely passive, as my body can at any time be on hearing any person speak with whom I converse. 3. I argue from events. I can, to the best of my remembrance and belief, truly say, that I never yet have had one promise, or assurance, concerning temporal things, impressed on me beforehand in a way of communion with God, which the event did not realize: I never, that I know of, knew it fail in any one single instance. I do not say, that a particular assurance, concerning any particular futurity, is always given me beforehand: far from it: but when it has, two unisons never harmonized more exactly than my assurance and the subsequent providence. And, if this has, hitherto, been the case with me in temporal concerns, and matters of providence; why should similar indulgences from above, respecting spiritual things, and matters of grace, be treated as fanciful?

At night, in my chamber, the Lord gave me several solid assurances of his future providential goodness to me. I was enabled to know the voice of him that spake within, and to cast the anchor of faith on what he said. My complacency and satisfaction of soul were equally comfortable and unutterable. O my God, that, which thou hast promised, thou art able also to perform.

Saturday, 16. In the evening, rode to Broad-Hembury; where, at night, before I went to bed, the Lord gave me some comfortable assurances in secret prayer.

Sunday, 17. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, at Broad-Hembury, to a large congregation. I opened (if I may so speak) my spiritual commission, by discoursing from those words, 2 Cor. iv. 5. "We preach not ourselves, but Christ Jesus the Lord." In the afternoon, read prayers, and preached, *ibid.* to a very numerous congregation, from Jude 3. and baptized two infants. Great was

my reason for gratitude and thankfulness to the gracious Author of all good. I was enabled, both parts of the day, to go through the duties of it with much satisfaction and presence of mind: and the word preached seemed to be relished by many, and to be well received by all.

In the evening, returned to Fen-Ottery; where I read, with great comfort and joy in the Holy Ghost, Mr. Hervey's sermon on "The Way of Holiness." In secret prayer, too, before I went to bed, the channel of comfortable intercourse was opened between God and my soul. All weakness and all unworthiness as I am, I have, in Christ, both righteousness and strength: and God, through him, is my portion for ever. In his favour is life: and that life is mine.

Monday, 18. Late to-night, when the rest of the family were retired to rest, the reading of Jenks' Meditations was much blessed to my soul. Truly, my fellowship is with the Father, and with his Son Jesus Christ, whose precious blood, in a way of expiation, cleanseth me from all sin.

Thursday, 21. Riding home, to-night, from Exeter, the Lord was with me in a way of spiritual communion. Applying to him for a blessing on my intended removal to Broad-Hembury, this answer was given me, "Go, and I will be with thee:" and, a little while after, "Thou shalt shake off every weight."

Friday, 22. Before I left my chamber, this morning, I was enabled to hold sweet intercourse, with the Father of spirits, in secret prayer. For a minute or two, my comforts, not to say raptures, were of a very exalted kind. Yet, within an hour after, I was grieved with the bubblings up of indwelling sin; and was, for some time, in a very uncomfortable state of inward temptation: but the Lord kept me from mine iniquity, and withheld me from actually falling. Towards evening, while finishing a sermon on Psalm


xxxii. 1. I experienced some gracious meltings of soul, and sensibly enjoyed the rays of my heavenly Father's presence.

Saturday, 23. After dinner, rode to Broad-Hembury; where, at night, in my chamber, a little before I went to bed, my soul was harrassed, in a sad and very unusual manner, with doubts and fears and unbelief. I was in spiritual darkness, even darkness that might be felt. I do not know that I ever was so much given up to the evil surmisings of my own heart, since I have been in orders. I could hardly act faith at all. Had it not been for fear of exposing myself and disturbing the family, I should have roared for the disquietness of my heart. My heavenly Pilot disappeared; I seemed to have quite lost my hold on the rock of ages; I sunk in the deep mire; and the waves and storms went over me. Yet, at last, in prayer, I was enabled, I know not how, to throw myself, absolutely and at large, on God, at all events, and for better for worse: yet without comfort, and almost without hope. I was, in short, almost in a state of despair. My horror and distress were unutterable. And in this condition I remained, until it pleased God to give me some sleep.

Sunday, 24. When I awaked this morning, I had peace of soul, and a considerable measure of confidence in God.—Read prayers, and preached, with strength of body and enlargement of mind.—After my return from public morning service, my consolations from above were inexpressible. Heaviness did indeed endure for a night; but joy came in the morning. My soul could magnify the Lord; and my spirit rejoiced in God my Saviour.—Read bishop Wilkins' Preacher, with great approbation and pleasure, and not without improvement.—In the afternoon, read prayers, and preached, to a very large congregation: and God was with me of a truth. My own soul was richly watered, and there

seemed to be showers of blessing all around. I never preached so much extempore, in my life before. My whole introduction was off-hand: nor did I ever express myself more freely, pertinently, and to my own satisfaction. My text, both parts of the day, Psalm xxxii. 1. O what infinite amends has God made me, for the distresses of last night! Might I choose for myself (which, however, I am not qualified for, nor yet desirous of doing), I should hardly, I think, care how much God humbled me in private before him, so I might but enjoy his presence and blessing in the discharge of my public duties.

What a day has this been! A Sabbath-day indeed; a day of feasting to my soul; a day of triumph and rejoicing. He brought me into his banqueting-house, and his banner over me was love. I never was more assisted from above, than this afternoon; very seldom so much. Lord, bless the people, as thou hast blessed me!

 Here let me leave it on thankful record, for my comfort and support (if it please God) in future times of trial and desertion, that I never was lower in the valley, than last night; nor higher on the Mount, than to-day. The Lord chastened me, but did not give me over unto death. And he never will. He may, indeed, for a small moment, hide his face from me; but with everlasting kindness will he have mercy on me.

Saturday, 30. After dinner, rode to Broad-Hembury; where I spent the evening, and lay at Mrs. Pynsent's. Very different, through the tender mercy of God, was my frame of mind, to-night, from what it was the Saturday before. I was now enabled to rest, with comfortable complacency, on the power, faithfulness, and grace of my heavenly Father. What a poor, feeble creature, is a believer, when faith is not in exercise! He is like an eagle, whose wings are pinioned. But, when the

south-wind of the holy Spirit breathes upon the soul, and fans the smoking flax, the Christian grows as the lily, and casteth forth the root as Lebanon. He is, for the time being, almost tempted to sing that *requiem* which David, in similar circumstances, sung to his soul, "I shall never be removed; thou, Lord, of thy goodness, hast made my hill so strong."

May, 1. Sunday. Read prayers, and preached, morning and afternoon, at Broad-Hembury. The Lord was with me both parts of the day.—In private, spent several hours in reading Seed's Sermons. Elegant, and masterly, is the composition; nervous, and refined, the reasoning: but the main thing, I apprehend, is wanting; even that spiritual unction, that vital vein of gospel experience, without which, the correctest performances of this kind are, to me, powerless and tasteless.—Read also Wall's Critical Notes on the New Testament; in which are many things useful and ingenious: yet I cannot help thinking that the alterations (improperly styled, amendments), which the learned author would make in the original text, are, for the far greater part, extremely flighty and conjectural; often, quite injudicious; and, sometimes, astonishingly daring. Besides, the dead fly of Arminianism marring and taints the whole pot of ointment.

Thursday, 5. My honoured and most dear mother's birth-day. Gracious God, crown her inestimable life with many years to come; and crown each year with additional grace and redoubled happiness!—After dinner, removed, for good, from Fen-Ottery to Broad-Hembury: where, being arrived, I spent the evening in a comfortable frame of soul; humbly trusting, that the God and guide of my life, who fixeth the bounds of our habitations below, will, himself, vouchsafe to be the dwelling place of my soul, here and ever. At night, there was some thunder: during which especially, I was favoured with a

sweetly awful sense of God's majesty and love. How happy, O Lord, is the soul, which is enabled to wrap itself in thee!

Friday, 6. Enjoyed the peace of God to-day: particularly at night, before bed-time; when my communion with the Father of Spirits was near and sweet. I could indeed say, "My Lord, my love, my all!"

Saturday, 7. Was occasionally comforted from above. Blessed, O God, unutterably blessed, is the man whom thou choosest, and causest to approach unto thee! Thy secret is with me: and thou hast shown me thy covenant.

Sunday, 8. In the morning, read prayers, and read the Thirty-nine Articles, and the declaration of conformity. In the afternoon, read prayers, and preached, to a very large congregation. Between morning and afternoon service, I experienced much of God's presence, alone in my study, while revising the sermon I intended to preach. My comforts and joy did not only flow as a river, but rose like the waves of the sea.—In the evening, read Turretin's *Theologia*: true is that great author's observation, and most happily expressed, "*αδυναμία, fides est fundamentum ενδυναμίας.*" Read also Dr. Sibbes' "Soul's Conflict:" in which the following observations are equally important, certain, and comfortable:

"The angel troubled the waters, which then cured those that stept in: it is also Christ's manner to trouble our souls first, and then to come with healing in his wings.—As for crosses, he doth but cast us down, to raise us up; and empty us, that he may fill us; and melt us, that we may be vessels of glory: loving us as well, in the furnace, as when we are out; and standing by us all the while.—In the worst condition, the church hath two faces: one towards heaven and Christ, which is always constant and glorious; another towards the world, which is, in appearance, contemptible and changeable.—In all

storms, there is sea-room enough, in the infinite goodness of God, for faith to be carried with full sail.—Places and conditions are happy or miserable, as God vouchsafeth his gracious presence more or less.—God is nearest to his children, when he seems furthest off.—It is as natural for sin to raise doubts and fears in the conscience, as for rotten flesh and wood to breed worms. Sin, like Achan in the camp, or Jonas in the ship, is that which causeth storms within and without.—Of all troubles, the trouble of a proud heart is the greatest.—The greater part of our troubles we pull upon ourselves, by not parting our care so, as to take upon us only the care of duty, and leave the rest to God; and by mingling our passions with our crosses; and, like a foolish patient, chewing the pills which we should swallow down.”

Tuesday, 10. Whilst taking my evening walk, by myself, on the hill that overlooks this village, and surveying the lovely vales, that lie beneath on either hand, the Lord melted me into gratitude and praise. I was not alone; for the great Father of all was with me.—On my return, wrote part of a sermon, after supper, on 2 Cor. v. 8. and my peace and joy in believing were great.

Sunday, 15. In the morning, rode to Sheldon; where I read prayers, and preached, to a very attentive congregation; a small church, but well filled. After service, returned home to Broad-Hembury; where, in the afternoon, I read prayers and preached to a great auditory: and the Lord was with me in an especial manner. Spent the evening very comfortably and profitably, in writing part of a sermon. At night, those words dwelt much upon my mind, and were greatly blest to me, “The Lord is my portion, saith my soul:” through the influence of his good Spirit, I could see and rejoice in God as my portion indeed.

Sunday, 22. Whitsunday. In the morning, read prayers, preached, and administered the holy sacra-

ment to thirty-six communicants. In the afternoon, read prayers, and preached, to a very large congregation. I trust the ordinances were blest to some: but, as to myself, I can only say, that I went through the duties of the day with strength, ease, and presence of mind. I desire to be thankful for this: yet am grieved, that I was not more fervent in spirit, and higher on the Mount of divine love. I could ever wish to be

“ Like the rapt seraph that adores and burns.”

Fain would I mount; fain would I glow;  
 And loose my cable from below:  
 But I can only spread my sail;  
 Thou, thou must breathe th' auspicious gale!

Friday, 27. Notwithstanding my aggravated sinfulness and my absolute unworthiness, God gave me, this night, to drink of his consolations, as from a river. “ Pardon and sanctification,” was my prayer: “ Mercy, pardon, and salvation,” was the gracious answer.

Saturday, 28. This evening, I was enabled to rejoice in spirit. God gave me not only a good hope in his grace, but the assurance of faith. Finished a sermon on Rev. ii. 17. I do think and trust that I can say, that text is verified in me, even me a sinner. Through the blood of the Lamb, I believe that I shall overcome: I am often fed with the hidden manna of communion with God; there are times when I can set to my seal, that the white stone of absolution and justification is mine: and that I have the new name, the privilege of adoption into the invisible family of God: the consciousness of which is attended with such comfort as is only known to them that receive it. To Father, Son, and Spirit, be all the glory!

Sunday, 29. Read prayers, and preached, morning and afternoon, to a much larger congregation, both times, than I expected, considering



the wetness of the weather. God has watered the earth, to-day, with his rain, which has been, for some time, greatly wanted: but the spiritual shower of divine love did not descend upon my soul, until I retired to my study, this evening, after family prayer. I had then some short, but comfortable intercourse with God. An observation which I met with to-day, in reading Downname's "Christian Warfare," struck me much, speaking of the holy Spirit as the sealer of the elect, he asks, "How is it possible to receive the seal, without feeling the impression?" O that I might feel it, more and more!

June 5. Sunday. This morning, I read prayers, and preached, to a large congregation; and, in the afternoon, to a very large one. My God was present with me, both times: and, I trust, I have reason to hope, that my labour was not in vain in the Lord. Visited and prayed with farmer William Taylor, twice to-day. The first time, particularly, I had great freedom of speech, in conversing with him on spiritual matters. He has, probably, not many days to live; and, I would hope, is not without some sense of divine things. Visited also, and prayed with Edward Granger: a very ignorant person, and full of what are called good resolutions, if God should restore him again to health. It is a melancholy thing, that, in a protestant country, a minister should have so much ignorance to combat with, in most of the common people. I thank thee, holy Father, if I am, in any measure, enlightened into the knowledge of thee; and beseech thee to make me an instrument, in thy hand, of giving light to others, so far as my little sphere extends. Was, through grace, very comfortable in my own soul, several times this day.

Thursday, 9. In the morning, visited and prayed with farmer William Taylor. One thing, which he said, I took notice of with satisfaction: his words were, "My pains are nothing, to my hopes." Dined

and drank tea at Grange. At night, after my return from thence, I was happy in the Lord. I was enabled, from a sense of interest in Christ, to sing those sweet lines,

“Jesus, thou art my righteousness,  
For all my sins were thine, &c.”

Sunday, 12. Read prayers, and preached, morning and afternoon. Might I judge of what others felt, by the comfortable enlargement I experienced myself, both parts of the day, I should trust that the arm of the Lord was revealed. The afternoon audience was very great: and God was with me of a truth. A door of knowledge, and of utterance, was opened to me: and I humbly hope, God opened to himself a door into the hearts of some that heard. I cannot forbear observing, that, last night, and to-day, the Lord gave me some special assurances of his being with me in the discharge of the public duties of this Sabbath: and his gracious intimations were verified indeed. The promises of man frequently exceed the performance; but God's performance exceeds even his promises.

Saturday, 18. All day at home. Wrote several hymns; and, while writing that, which begins thus: “When faith's alert, and hope shines clear,” &c. I was, through grace, very comfortable in my soul: so, indeed, I have been the whole day. Read bishop Hopkins' Works, which were sent me from Exeter yesterday, with much spiritual improvement. From morning until now, i. e. until eleven at night, I have enjoyed a continual feast within. Christ has been unspeakably precious to my heart, and the blessed Spirit of God has visited me with sweet and reviving manifestations. Temptations of a particular kind, beset me more than once; but the Lord lifted up his standard, and I fell not; the gates of hell attacked me, but did not prevail against the grace of God which was with me. Glory be to God on

high, who spreads a table for me in the wilderness, making me to banquet on his love; and who has caused my cup of joy to overflow this day. Yea, and I shall dwell in the house of the Lord, and be myself his house, for ever.

Sunday, 19. Though somewhat out of order in the morning, God carried me well through the duties of the day. Read prayers, and preached, twice, as usual. In the afternoon, the congregation was very large. This has not been such a rejoicing day, to my own soul, as yesterday was; but, I trust, the word preached was not powerless altogether. Yet this, I fear, I can truly say, that my lot has never hitherto been cast among a people so generally ignorant of divine things, and so totally dead to God. I know of but three persons, in all this large and populous parish, on whom, I have solid reason to trust, a work of saving grace is begun: and these are, Mrs. Hutchins, farmer William Taylor, and Joan Venn. But this I verily believe; that, if God had not some elect souls to call, he would not have sent me hither. When vicar of Harpford, I laboured among that people for a great part of two years, before I could perceive a sensible out-pouring of God's holy Spirit upon them: and yet, before I left them, God seemed to have owned my ministry in a very great and unexpected manner. Lord, grant, if it please thee, that I may have the same consolation here!

Wednesday, 22. Calling on Mrs. Hutchins this evening, I found Joan Venn there, from whom I had the comfort of hearing that my unworthy ministry has, in general, been attended with great power to her soul: but, above all, on the 21<sup>th</sup> of last April, in the afternoon, under that sermon from Psalm xxxii. 1. Lord, carry on thy work in her soul and mine, to the day of Christ!

Friday, 24. Visited and prayed with Sarah Grange. In the evening, had a very comfortable interview with old farmer William Taylor, who,

though better than I ever expected to see him, is not, in all probability, far from the invisible world. God enabled me to pray with him extempore; and I never yet saw him so affected. If the Lord gives ability, I think to lay aside forms of prayer, in my future attendance on the sick. I generally find, that prayer, on these occasions, offered up as God gives utterance, is more blest to the souls I attend upon, as well as to my own. Lord, may thy good Spirit, which maketh intercession in thy saints, be ever present with me, to help my infirmities, and teach me to pray as I ought. There are, certainly, particular exigences, and cases, which few if any prescribed form can reach. With regard to this, and every other part of my duty as a minister, my help standeth in the name of the Lord, who hath made heaven and earth. I only wish, that my natural diffidence was less, and my faith greater!

Sunday, 26. A Sabbath of joy and blessing. Was somewhat cast down, last night, and early this morning, at the prospect of the public duties lying before me, as I have been, for some days past, troubled with a cough, which grows upon me more and more. But God heard my petitions, and was better to me than my expectations. I read prayers, and preached, in the morning, to a large congregation; and, in the afternoon, to an exceeding full one; with unusual freedom of utterance, and strength of body, both times. After morning service, visited and prayed with Sarah Granger. In prayer, she was quite melted down, and wept greatly. God gave me both words and matter, suitable to her case. In the evening, visited and prayed with farmer William Taylor: and, on this occasion too, I was enabled to pray with much liberty of speech, and comfort to myself. In the course of our conversation he told me, that "Being alone yesterday evening, and begging of God to hearken to his supplications, he thought he heard a voice say, "I will bear thy prayers:" and that his

hope of acceptance has been ever since, greater than usual." How this really was, I dare not say: but would choose to suspend my judgment about it. This, however, I am glad to observe in him, namely, that he is most earnestly desirous of gaining the assurance of his justification. Surely, if the foundation of true faith was not laid in his soul, he would hardly be so desirous of having the top-stone brought forth with joy. At night, finished a short morning sermon, which I began yesterday, on 1 Kings xvii. 21.

Tuesday, 28. In my way to Grange (where I dined and spent the evening), visited Sarah Granger. I found her surrounded with weeping friends and relatives, and herself little more than alive, in point of bodily strength, but perfectly sensible. My mouth was opened, to speak much, and pertinently to her case: and the Lord gave me very great freedom, enlargement, and warmth in prayer. I hope it was made a season of blessing both to her and to those who were present, as, through grace, it was to myself. She, strongly, and in a most affecting manner, requested me to have an eye over her children, when she was dead and gone, and to do what I could in furthering them in the way to the kingdom of God. I assured her, that nothing, in my power, should be wanting, if I lived, which might conduce to their spiritual or temporal welfare.

Friday, July 1. Drinking tea, this afternoon, at Priory, we were surprised with a very unexpected storm of rain, thunder, and lightning. The flashes were so frequent, and so very violent, that Mrs. Sydenham proposed shutting the windows, letting down the curtains, and having candles brought in: which was done accordingly. I dropt an intimation of my readiness to go to prayer: but the hint was not accepted. After about two hours, the weather being fair again, I took that opportunity of returning home to Broad-Hembury. On my way, the

thunder and lightning were renewed; but there being no rain, I kept on: and, blessed be God's good providence, arrived safe at the vicarage. The Lord preserved me from a slavish fear: but I felt a very desirable awe on my mind, even such as I would always wish to feel, on such a commanding occasion. I conversed much with God in mental prayer, and desire to bless his name, that the awful manifestations of his power were not commissioned either to hurt or destroy. I have heard much louder thunder; but never, I believe, saw such prodigious lightning: except my being more exposed to it, than I ever was before, makes me think so. Thou, O Lord, commandest the waters; it is the glorious God, who maketh the thunder: and (adored be the riches of thy mercy) it was thou, who didst bid the lightnings alarm, but prohibit them to strike. O take me, and seal me thine for ever!

Saturday, 2. God gave me, this night, some very express and comfortable assurances of his blessing me in the course of the public duties to-morrow. Lord, I humbly say, Amen: I beg that it may be so; I believe that it will be so.

Sunday, 3. Early this morning, took horse for Fen-Ottery; where being arrived, I went to captain Penney's. After being with him about half an hour, we walked to church. As we were going, the captain suddenly took hold of my left arm: I, imagining he might have something particular to say to me, went closer to him; when he fell on me, with all his weight. At first, I supposed he might have stumbled, and lost his footing: but was alarmed, when I found him continue motionless in my arms. In less than half a minute, he came to himself; and was as well as ever. It seems, he has, several times before, been struck in a similar manner: and, had I not been by his side, he must have fallen prostrate. O that he may, in this his day, know the things that belong to his everlasting peace, before

they are hid from his eyes! Being come to the church, I read prayers, and then preached, with very great enlargement and liberty both of mind and utterance. If I might judge by the tears, which some shed, under the word preached (and, indeed, I myself did with great difficulty refrain from weeping, toward the conclusion), the message of salvation seemed to be attended with power. After dinner, rode to Harpford; where I read prayers, and preached, to a very great congregation. Though my cough was somewhat troublesome, at intervals; I detained my old audience for fifty minutes, and great was my strength of voice, and fervour of spirit: nor less, their attention. After drinking tea at farmer Carter's, I returned to Fen-Ottery; where I lay at captain Penney's.

Upon a retrospective view of this Lord's Day, I find abundant reason to adore, admire, and praise the goodness of God. Mr. Luce's being at Plymouth, rendered it necessary for me, as a friend, to assist him, by officiating at his churches: and the Lord has been very gracious to me in my unworthy ministrations. I have had also, the additional satisfaction of delivering the tidings of peace and salvation to a people of whom I had, lately, the charge, and whom I affectionately love in the Lord. Thou God of all grace, command thy omnipotent blessing, on what they have heard!

Tuesday, 5. Laying at Otterton last night, I took an airing, this morning, with Mr. Duke, in his coach, to Budleigh, Knowle, Tidwell, and Salterton; and the Lord enabled me, at times, to hold comfortable communion with himself by the way.

Saturday, 9. The merciful and gracious Lord was sensibly with me, the latter part of to-day.—“Awake and sing,” and, presently after, “Arise and shine,” were spoke to my soul, from above, with power and sweetness.

Late at night, God was again pleased to give me the knowledge of a Sabbath-day's blessing to-morrow. Such comfortable and peremptory convictions of God's future presence and support on a succeeding Sunday (with which I have been so often favoured beforehand) I intend, henceforth, as often as God is pleased to grant them, to distinguish by the name of Saturday-assurances. Assurances they are indeed: so clear, positive, and satisfactory. I never knew them once fail, or deceive my trust. I have often been dejected and fearful, at the approach of a Sabbath on which I was to minister publicly; and God has frequently, not to say generally, been better to me than my unbelieving fears; but, on those happy days (and, blessed be his name, they have, of late especially, been very many) when previous assurances have been given me of his help and presence on the Sunday following, those assurances have always been made good. The Lord has often disappointed my doubts, and the evil surmisings of unbelief; but he never once disappointed my hope, when he has said previously to my soul, "I will be with thee."

Sunday, 10. God has made this a comfortable Sabbath indeed. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, to a considerable congregation; and, in the afternoon, to an exceeding great one: with great readiness, strength, and presence of mind, each time. In the evening, God delivered me out of a grievous temptation, and saved me from falling by it. Visited and prayed with Sarah Granger. I was heartily glad to find that the Lord has made her sensible of the deceitfulness of her heart. Her fears that she is not sincerely earnest in seeking God, and, to use her own expression, in her "longings after the Lord Jesus;" are to me, favourable signs of her being so. In praying with her, God gave me enlargement of mind, and great freedom of speech. Visited old Mrs. Hutchins: who longs for the assurance of



faith ; but whose fear of death rather increases, than abates : I was enabled to speak a word in season ; and trust, it was not wholly in vain in the Lord. At night, read Polhill's Treatise (late the property of the excellent Mr. Pearsall), entitled, "Precious Faith." It is a precious book, and on a precious subject.

Friday, 15. God shone upon my soul greatly this evening.

Sunday, 17. In the morning, read prayers, and preached ; but not with that sensible comfort which I sometimes enjoy. In the afternoon, Mr. Savery was so kind as to read prayers, and preach, in my stead. My cough was rather troublesome to-day. After evening service, I was much cheered and refreshed in soul, while reading Mr. Erskine's sermon, entitled, "Faith's Plea on God's Word and Covenant."

Sunday, 24. In the morning, rode to Sheldon ; where I read prayers, and preached. Returning thence, I read prayers, and preached, here at Broad-Hembury, in the afternoon, with uncommon strength and liveliness, and to the largest congregation I have yet seen in this place. Blessed be the God of all comfort, for the distinguished mercies of this delightful Sabbath. I was carried, through the duties of it, as on eagles' wings ; and, amidst the vast auditory, the word preached seemed to reach some hearts with power and the demonstration of the Spirit. May it be fastened, as a nail in a sure place, and be found after many days !

Sunday, 31. Read prayers, and preached, both morning and afternoon, with strength and some liveliness ; but with little spiritual joy.

At night, was visited with some tastes of comfort, and with the sweet rays of my heavenly Father's countenance, in reading Erskine's sermons. Read likewise, not without sensible improvement, some part of the acts of the synod of Dort : particularly, the judgment of the British divines, "De Perseverantiâ Sanctorum."

Saturday, August 6. Was much dejected in soul to-night: but, in seeking the Lord, received some comfortable intimations.

Sunday, 7. In the morning, rode to Plymtree; where I read prayers, and preached, with very great freedom, strength, and enlargement, to a serious, attentive congregation: some of whom seemed to experience as much of the holy Spirit's power, as I did. After dining at Mr. Harward's, I returned to Broad-Hembury: where I read prayers, and preached, to a prodigious full church, with equal fervour and liberty both of mind and utterance, as in the morning. I can never enough adore thy goodness, O thou God of all grace!

Monday, 8. I cannot help noting, to my shame, and as a mark of my exceeding depravity, that, after all the Lord's Sabbath-day's mercies to me yesterday, I was never, that I know of, more cold, lifeless, and wandering, than I was in secret prayer last night, just before going to bed. Pardon, dearest Lord, my want of love! Alas, if I loved thee more, I should serve thee better.—During the course of the present day, God gave me some very humbling and instructing views of myself. Abstracted from special, efficacious grace, nothingness (or, if any thing, utter sinfulness) may be written on all I have, and am, and do. Blessed be God, that I have some ground to hope myself interested in a better righteousness than my own!

Sunday, 14. Read prayers, and preached, morning and afternoon. Was, in general, greatly depressed in soul, this day: but not so much, during the seasons of public worship, as before and after. In the evening, and at night, my heart aspired to God with groanings that cannot be uttered. Yet, while reading Whitty's Sermons, I experienced a great degree of divine power, and now and then, some sweetness; but I could not rejoice in the Lord: nor is it fit, that such a sinner always should Deal

with me, O God, as thou wilt: but O seal me to the day of redemption, and make me be found in the number of thine at last!

Thursday, 18. At Exeter, to-day, I spent some time with that excellent Christian, good old Mr. Brewer: and, in the course of our conversation, I experienced much of the divine presence. Among other matters, he mentioned some particulars, spoke in a charge lately given at the ordination of a young dissenting minister: which I put down here, as they are too good to be lost. "I cannot conclude," said the old ambassador of Christ, "without reminding you, my young brother, of some things that may be of use to you, in the course of your ministry. 1. Preach Christ crucified, and dwell chiefly on the blessings resulting from his righteousness, atonement, and intercession. 2. Avoid all needless controversies, in the pulpit; except it be, when your subject necessarily requires it; or when the truths of God are likely to suffer by your silence. 3. When you ascend the pulpit, leave your learning behind you: endeavour to preach more to the hearts of your people, than to their heads. 4. Do not affect too much oratory. Seek rather to profit, than to be admired." In the afternoon, returned to Broad-Hembury.

Sunday, 21. In the morning, attended my friend, Mr. Savery, to Sheldon; where he read prayers, and preached. Returned, by dinner, to Broad-Hembury, where I read prayers, and preached, in the afternoon, to a large congregation, with a spirit and life that seemed to reach the hearts of most present. It was a Sabbath-day's blessing indeed. Surely, nothing but heaven itself can exceed such a golden opportunity! Bless the Lord, O my soul; and all that is within me, praise his holy name.

Saturday, 27. In secret prayer, to-night, God gave me a Saturday-assurance of a blessing to-mor-

row : and I was enabled to believe that it would be unto me, even as the Lord had said.

Sunday, 28. Read prayers, and preached, both parts of the day, with uncommon strength of body, and with vast enlargement of soul. Between morning and afternoon service, being in my study, and comfortably engaged in secret prayer, the Lord visited me with a refreshing shower of divine love : so that my soul was like a watered garden. I never felt so intense a desire to be useful to the souls of my people ; my heart was expanded, and burnt with zeal, for the glory of God, and for the spiritual welfare of my flock. I wished to spend and be spent in the ministry of the word ; and had some gracious assurances from on high, that God would make use of me to diffuse his gospel, and call in some of his chosen that are yet unconverted.—In the afternoon, the congregation was exceeding great indeed. I was all on fire for God ; and the fire, I verily believe, caught from heart to heart.—I am astonished, when I review the blessings of this Lord's Day. That a sinner so vile, so feeble, so ill, and so hell-deserving, should be thus powerfully carried beyond himself, and be enabled to preach with such demonstration of the Spirit. Unto me, who am less than the least of all saints, is this grace given, that I should preach, among the Gentiles, the unsearchable riches of Christ. Lord, let thy word run, and be glorified ! Out of weakness, I am made strong : to thy name alone be the entire praise ! And go on, O go on, to own the counsel of thy unworthiest messenger, and to make the feet, of him that sent me, sound behind me ! Thy mercies to me, both as a man, as a believer, and as a minister, have already been so wonderful, that there is hardly any thing too great for me to hope for at thy hands.

Monday, 29. This evening, after my return from Grange, God was very gracious to my soul. My

meditation of him was sweet, and he gave me songs in the night season. I had sweet, melting views of his special goodness, and of my own utter unworthiness. The united sense of these two, keeps the soul in an even balance. I am then happiest, as well as safest, when my very exaltations lay me lowest.

Wednesday, 31. Writing, this afternoon, to Mrs. Browne, of Bath, I could not help enumerating some of God's chief mercies to me, both in a way of providence and grace, since I saw her last. Among other things, I observed as follows: "God has also given me, in general, a much greater portion of health and strength, than usual; and crowned his other mercies, by enabling me to dispense his gospel, for the most part, with a liveliness and fervour, which I have seldom experienced for so long a time together.—I sing, and ought to sing, of mercy and loving kindness. I can indeed set up my Ebenezer; erect a monument of thankfulness; and inscribe every separate blessing with David's motto, *This hath God done*. May his grace lay me low at his footstool, as a Christian; and his almighty Spirit command success on my unworthy labours, as a minister!—The Lord go on to make you, madam, happy in his love, and an instrument of extensive good to his people below. In the exercise of the grace he has given you, and in the discharge of the duties he has allotted to you, may your joy and peace flourish as the lily, and your comforts cast forth the root as Lebanon. Amid all your bodily complaints, may his strength be perfected in your weakness, and his right hand sustain you; until, by the blood of atonement, and the faithful guidance of his Spirit, he has brought you to that land of light and rest and joy, where the glorified inhabitant shall no more, in any sense whatever, say, I am sick.—I purpose, if providence permit, to set out

for London, the latter end of September; where I hope to spend the ensuing winter with my honoured mother: happy should I be, in the mean while, to hear, that your health is at least no worse than usual.—I rejoice to find, from several gentlemen of Dorsetshire, that Mr. ——'s health is greatly improved. I have not taken the liberty of writing to him, since last March was twelvemonth; one reason of which is, lest he should think I had any interested views to serve: which, I am sure, is very far from being the case; my present living being vastly more eligible than any, of which my honoured friend is patron. When you send next to Frampton, you will oblige me in condescending to mention my name, and tendering my most respectful compliments.—My affectionate remembrance, and best wishes, attend the three young gentlemen, your nephews: nor can I give a sincerer proof of both, than by praying, that they may flourish as olive branches in the courts of the Lord's house; be made wise unto salvation, by his Spirit; and increase with the increase of God.—Mr. and Mrs. Derham have my affectionate compliments: they may wonder, perhaps, that I have not done myself the pleasure of writing to them; but dear Mrs. D. deserves only a scolding letter (if I could find in my heart to send her such an one), for leaving London, last autumn, without seeing me, though she knew I was then in town: and the friend, at whose house she was, and who informed me afterwards of these particulars, was engaged to drink tea with me the very day Mrs. Derham set out for Bath."

Friday, September 2. Received, this morning, a letter from a gospel friend; informing me, that Mr. Morris, of the county of Wexford, in Ireland (whose ministry was, a little turned of twelve years ago, blest to my conversion), is waxing cold in the work of the Lord. Upon which, I thought it a

debt due to friendship, and to the cause of God, to write him a letter\*.

Saturday, 3. God was graciously pleased, this night, to give me an assurance of his blessing on the public work of to-morrow. How tenderly and bountifully does the Father of consolations deal with his sinful messenger! Surely, doubting is doubly a sin in me!

Sunday, 4. In the morning, rode to Sheldon; where I was enabled to read prayers, and preach, with great comfort to myself, and, I have reason to hope, with power to them that heard. On my return, being part of the way over Hembercombe (more properly, Hembury Common), a most violent storm of rain obliged me to turn back, and take shelter at Richard Lane's. After half an hour's stop there, I returned to Broad-Hembury; where, in the afternoon, I read prayers, and preached, with the greatest freedom and fervour, to a most attentive and (in appearance) affected congregation. Wet as the afternoon has proved, a great number of strangers were at church; and, I verily think, the presence and power of God was amongst us.—After service, good old Mrs. Hutchings, and Joan Venn, drank coffee with me at the vicarage. Our conversation was, for the most part, savory and comfortable.—Was rejoiced to hear, that the word of God from my lips has been greatly blest of late, to those two persons; to farmer Copp, and his eldest son; to old Mr. Thomas Granger, farmer Smith, and several other of my parishioners.—Since I came down last into Devonshire, from London (i. e. not quite a twelvemonth ago), God has owned my ministry more than ever: particularly, at Harpford, and here. Blessed Lord, the work is thine alone. Go on, I

\* This affecting pathetic epistle, is the second in the Collection of Letters, vol. vi. p. 139. of Mr. Toplady's Works.

most humbly beseech thee, to speak to the hearts of sinners, by the meanest mouth that ever blew the trumpet in Zion!—At night, I was much comforted in spirit, in reading bishop Beveridge's *Private Thoughts*.

Monday, 5. Had some sweet, refreshing intercourse with God, several times to-day.—Upon a review of my experience during the former part of last year, and occasionally in the course of the present, I cannot help observing, that great humiliations are, often, the best preparatives for ministerial usefulness.

Saturday, 10. God refreshed and satisfied my soul to-night, with a Saturday's-assurance. "I have blest thee, and will bless thee again," was the answer I received.

Sunday, 11. In reading prayers, and in preaching, the Lord was signally with me, both parts of the day. In the afternoon, especially, the word, I verily trust, went forth with power and was glorified.

Saturday, 17. Received some satisfactory and comfortable intimations of a Sabbath-day's blessing to-morrow. Surely, the Lord is indeed good to them that wait for him, and to the soul that seeketh him!

Sunday, 18. Read prayers, and preached, morning and afternoon, with very great fervour, strength, and enlargement. That God is doing his work of grace upon the hearts of some, I have all the proof, both public and private, that the nature of the case will admit of. The Lord hath been to my soul, this day, both in my study, and in the temple, a place of broad rivers and streams.

This evening, I met with a paragraph from archbishop Usher, which well deserves to be entered here. "I must tell you," says the excellent prelate, as my author relates it, "that we do not well understand



what sanctification and the new creature are : It is no less than for a man to be brought to an entire resignation of his will to the will of God ; and to live in the offering up of his soul continually, in the flames of love, as a whole burnt-offering to Christ." I trust, I have experienced and do frequently experience, something of this blessed work, in myself : Lord, make the little one become a thousand !

Saturday, 21. Dined at Ottery, to-day, at Mr. Dare's. Our conversation turned partly on historical, partly on religious subjects. We talked particularly on the nature of regeneration : and I took occasion, among other things, to observe, that the whole process of the new birth seems included in that threefold conviction, mentioned by our Lord, and declared by him to be the office of the Holy Ghost : namely, Conviction of Sin, or of our total depravity by nature and practice ; of the impossibility of our being justified by works ; of our liableness to the whole curse of the law ; and our absolute inability to help, save, or recover ourselves, whether in whole or in part : 2. Conviction of Righteousness, i. e. of the perfection, necessity, and efficacy of Christ's righteousness, in order to justification before God : 3. Conviction of Judgment, or that act of the holy Spirit on the soul, whereby "the prince of this world is judged ; brought, as it were, to the bar ; found guilty of usurpation ; and dethroned : from which happy moment, the sinner is brought into sweet subjection to God, his lawful sovereign, sin is weakened as to its dominion (in order to its final extirpation), and the regenerate soul is more and more conformed to the image of God's holiness. So that, I suppose, conviction of sin is only another name for evangelical repentance ; conviction of righteousness, for true faith in Christ ; and conviction of judgment, a periphrasis for sanctification : which three capital graces are the constituents of regeneration. — Toward evening, returned to Broad-Hembury.

Sunday, 25. In the morning, read prayers, and preached: and the power of God appeared to accompany the word spoken. Young Mr. Minifie, in particular, was, I am informed, greatly affected from above. In the afternoon, the congregation was by far the greatest I ever yet saw here: the people flocked like doves to the windows; and such an auditory, and that auditory so solemn and attentive, was a most awful affecting sight. I read prayers, and preached, with a fervour, strength, and liveliness, which only God could give. His word seems to run like fire which none can quench. Lord, pardon my unworthiness, and accomplish the work of thy grace upon the hearts of them that hear, and on the base, sinful heart of me the feeblest and most undeserving of thy messengers!—After evening service, Mary Ellis called on me. If ever a soul was truly convinced of sin, I believe she is so. I endeavoured to administer balm to her wounded spirit, by opening up the promises, and unfolding a little of the unsearchable riches of Christ.—This morning, as I was going to church, Joan Venn put a paper into my hands. Last Tuesday, she gave me an account of God's past dealings with her soul: and I have seldom seen a person, of the truth of whose conversion I had so little cause to doubt. In consequence of our interview that day, she has had some exercises of mind, as I find from this paper, which, omitting what relates to my unworthy self, runs thus: "I have had very deep thoughts, and very great trouble, since my last discourse with you. I have looked into my life past; I have ransacked my soul, and called to mind the sinful failings of my youth: and I find it very hard and difficult, to make my calling and election sure. I have earnestly desired to leave no corner of my soul unsearched; and I find myself a very grievous and wretched sinner. I have committed grievous sins, very grievous sins, such sins as are not fit to be named before God's

saints. I have examined my soul by each particular commandment, and find myself guilty of the breach of all, and that in a high degree. And now, when I look upon the glass of the law, and there see my own vileness, I find God's justice and my own deserts even ready to surprise me and cast me down into the nethermost hell, and that most righteously: but O, see the goodness of a gracious God, in that he hath given me a sight of my sins! And I am inclined to think, that, if God did not work with me, this sorrow could not be. O, sir, I cannot but let you know, that sometimes I have some blessed thoughts of God: and O, how sweet are they to my soul! they are so ravishing, that I cannot possibly declare it: but they are like the morning cloud and early dew, soon gone, and then I am afraid. I have had abundance of trials and temptations in these three years almost; but if I could think that my dear Lord had shed his blood for me, I should not be so much shaken: and, because I cannot apply these things to myself, my heart doth mourn within me. I am greatly afraid of the deceitfulness of my heart, lest that should deceive me. But let the righteous smite me, and it shall be a kindness; and let him reprove me, and it shall be excellent oil which shall not break my head. O that the Lord Jesus Christ would but sprinkle what I have said, with his precious blood! And, now I have opened my soul to you, I most humbly beg and desire your advice concerning these weighty matters: for they are matters which concern my never dying soul.— And I have a high esteem for you: but what is my esteem? The esteem of a poor worm: of a poor sinful creature. O that the Lord would let me see, more and more, my own vileness! Now I have declared to you what the Lord, through grace, hath revealed to me; though I am unworthy to write to such," &c.

O that all my parishioners were, not only almost, but altogether such in spirit, as this woman! Illiterate she is, and, I believe, chiefly supports herself by spinning: but, when God teaches, souls are taught indeed.

October 2. Sunday. In the morning, read prayers, and preached, to a large and affected auditory: afterwards, I administered the blessed sacrament. Last Whitsunday, I had but thirty-six communicants: to-day, I had the comfort of counting sixty-one. It was a season of spiritual joy and refreshment. Duty is pleasant, when God is present.—In the afternoon, read prayers, and preached, to a still more crowded church than ever. Great were my strength and joy in the Lord: and the word, I verily trust, was armed with divine power. Mr. Pratt, of Dalwood, in Dorsetshire, with two other gentlemen of the same place, were here, both parts of the day.—I know not that I ever spent a more comfortable and triumphant Sabbath. How is it, O thou God of love, that thy tender mercies should thus accompany and follow the vilest sinner out of hell! That to me, who am less than the least of all saints, this grace should be given, that I should both experience and preach the unsearchable riches of Christ!

Monday, 3. Good Mr. Bampfied, of Sheldon, called on me this morning; and our conversation, though short, was chiefly on the best subjects.—Having been informed, yesterday, that Mr. Rutter, a worthy dissenting minister in Honiton, was seized, a few days ago, with the palsy, and disabled from the work of the ministry, I wrote him a letter\*.

December 3. Saturday. Mr. Bottomley, a worthy person, for whom I have a very great esteem, but who has long been an Arminian, put a paper into my hands, last night, at the Queen's Arms,

\* See Letter IV. vol. vi. p. 143.

after the club broke up, containing some of his chief objections to the Calvinistic scheme. It is a copy of a letter, sent by him, some time ago, to Mr. Romaine: and runs in an humble, modest style; very different from the bigotry and fury, the abuse and wilful misrepresentations, too usually found in the productions of those who pretend, amidst all, to be advocates for universal love in the Deity; but of which they seldom show any traces in themselves.

I gave my friend the substance of my thoughts in a letter †."

To those, who dislike *the religion of the heart*, and who, though they may assume the form of godliness, yet deny its power, the preceding Diary, will be regarded as merely furnishing a singular specimen of wild, but well-meaning enthusiasm. "There was a time," Mr. Toplady himself tells us, when he should have thought in the same way, of such feelings as he now experienced, and of such an expression of them, as he now conceived, was fully warranted, by the soundest deductions of reason, grounded on the explicit testimony of revelation. And to his own judicious observations on the subject, we must refer the reader back, p. 60, 61.

The Diary, strictly answering to its title, "Short Memorials of God's gracious Dealings with his Soul, in a way of spiritual Experience," gives scarcely any hints respecting his literary and theological studies. —There can, however, be no doubt, that these studies were constantly pursued by him, with indefatigable labour. Not only his days, but unhappily his nights, were spent in researches which, however greatly they might increase his mental stores, gradually undermined his bodily constitution. With a very unjustifiable indiscretion, considering the feeble-

† Letter V. vol. vi. p. 144.

ness of his frame, he unhappily neglected, in his own case, the sound and seasonable advice, he so wisely gave to another, "Write little, that you may write long."

That he had read a vast variety of books, both ancient and modern, and both in his vernacular and other languages, is evident from all his works, but especially from his "Historic Proof of the Doctrinal Calvinism of the Church of England."—It may be mentioned here too, alike as a proof of his intense application, and as being in itself a literary curiosity, that one of the most abstruse and laboured of his productions\*, consisting of 114 octavo pages, was, amid "much ill health," begun and finished within a fortnight. Such was his thirst for knowledge, and his zeal for doing good, that, even when the deleterious effects of severe study began to be obvious, he could not be prevailed on, to relinquish the practice. His uncle, Francis Toplady, Esq. remonstrated with him on the subject, by letter. But the remonstrance, though thankfully acknowledged, was of no further use, than to extort the following curious and characteristic reply: "Your kind solicitude for my health, merits my affectionate acknowledgments. Though I cannot entirely agree with you in supposing, that intense study has been the cause of my late indispositions; I must yet confess, that the hill of science, like that of virtue, is, in some instances, climbed with labour. But, when we get a little way up, the lovely prospects, which open to the eye, make infinite amends for the steepness of the ascent. In short, I am wedded to those pursuits, as a man stipulates to take his wife, viz. for better for worse, until death us do part. My thirst for knowledge is literally inextinguishable. And if I thus drink myself into a superior world, I cannot help it; but must say, as

\* The Scheme of Christian and Philosophical Necessity asserted, &c.

some report Aristotle to have said, when he threw himself into the sea (if it be true that he did so throw himself) *Quod non capere possum, me capiet.*"

Naturally possessed of a keen and ardent mind, and animated besides, by a spirit of sanctified zeal, too powerful for the slender tabernacle in which it dwelt, whatever Mr. Toplady did, he seemed determined to do with all his might. As a student, and as a minister, he appears to have acted with a conscientious, but hurtful attention to the favourite maxim of his friend, Mr. Whitefield, "that it is better to wear out, than to rust out."

Yet, diligent, zealous, and serious as he was, he never betrayed any thing like the spirit of a monkish student, a churlish devotee, or a gloomy recluse. Amid his abundant labours, he found time to mix with society. His ardent piety was accompanied with great cheerfulness; and his natural temper continued not only to be always pleasant, but even occasionally playful. Nor was he a stranger to the elegancies of life. Descended from what, not only in the Christian's, but in the world's vocabulary, is called, a good family, he had the best opportunities for mingling with people of rank. His manners, indeed, might have received all the polish, which could arise from frequent intercourse with lord Chesterfield himself. He had been introduced to that nobleman in early life; and then he received several proofs of his attention and kindness. "When I was a boy," says Mr. Toplady, "he used to give me a guinea now and then; and generally prefaced his donation (which to me was then a capital sum) with some such proper advice as this: 'Now, do not buy too many apples, or nuts, or oranges, to make yourself sick.' He certainly had a great fund of good nature at bottom, "though it was half smothered and corrupted by art and finesse."

The familiarity with which he had long been treated by the noble courtier, emboldened him, after

entering the church, to present a petition, the answer to which, displayed the real character, "the smooth dissimulation" of this celebrated worshipper of the Graces. "The year after I was in orders," he says, "I asked his lordship for a scarf\*. 'I am exceedingly sorry, Sir,' replied he, 'that you did not mention it early enough. Had you asked me two days sooner, a scarf should have been at your service; but no longer ago than yesterday, I gave away my only vacant one.' I answered, that I should be glad to hope for the honour of the next that fell. He replied, the very next is already promised; but you shall certainly have the next after that." An apparent contradiction betwixt these two speeches, was overlooked by his lordship, but readily perceived by his petitioner, who, though he felt indignant, remained silent at the time, but afterwards remarked, in the account he gave of the matter to a friend: "I never asked him again; but looked upon him, as a finished courtier, from that day forward."—He justly appreciated the writings, as well as the character, of the nobleman. Dr. Johnson had coarsely, but emphatically characterized Chesterfield's Letters, as "A system of morals for a whore, and a system of manners for a dancing-master." I totally agree with the Doctor," says Mr. Toplady, "as to the morals; and partly agree with him as to the manners. Seriously," he adds, "poor Mr. Phil. Stanhope, was greatly to be pitied, for falling under the management of such a father; a father, who was at once capable of guiding his son into the ruinous paths of vice, and of pretending at other times, to give him a few squeamish cautions against it. Like some hypocritical prostitute, who entices with an air of affected modesty; and assumes that air of modesty only to render her enticements the more effectual. Moreover, after all his lordship's attention to the 'graces' of his disciple,

\* Meaning that he wished to be one of his lordship's chaplains.



the poor young gentleman lived and died almost as great a stranger to the graces of politeness, as to those of the holy Spirit. So that the disappointed father had the mortification of perceiving, that he had only been raining upon a rock. Few men of education and high connection, were ever more clumsy and ungainly in their devoir, than Mr. Stanhope."

It is but too common with those, who occasionally associate with the great, to overlook, if not to despise, the lower orders of society. It was, however, very different with Mr. Toplady. He "knew the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that though he was rich, yet for our sakes, he became poor:" And therefore, the poor were the objects of his especial care and sympathy. Those of them, who were pious, found him ready, not only to relieve their wants, but to take pleasure in their company †. It was a maxim with him, that a minister was bound to "condescend to those of low estate;" and like his Master, to "go about doing good" to all classes, and that, in regard both to their spiritual, and their temporal concerns.

Cherishing the "mind which was also in Christ Jesus," he was clothed with humility, and filled with the spirit of kindness, and Christian charity. And although for reasons, and on principles, that will afterwards be noticed, he, in his writings, expressed himself with great severity against the enemies of the truth; yet *all* its friends occupied a place in the warmest affections of his heart. There was, accordingly, nothing in his demeanour, which savoured of the spirit, either of the haughty gentleman, or the high church bigot. He had frequent and friendly intercourse with many dissenters. The late Mr. Ryland, (father of the present venerable Dr. Ryland, of Bristol) and some other leading men among them, were his particular friends. He wished well to all of

† See his Diary, *passim*.

them, who held the head, even Christ; and anxiously desired and prayed, that circumstances would admit of a fellowship more intimate and endearing. With a truly catholic spirit, he exclaimed, "Would to God, that the nasty party walls, which separate the Lord's people from each other below, were every one of them thrown down. Sure I am, that, in heaven, all God's house will be laid into one. Ephraim shall then no more envy Judah, nor Judah vex Ephraim."

Many enlightened and pious dissenters, on the other hand, felt and expressed a strong reciprocal attachment to him. So very highly did some of them esteem him as a man, and as a minister, that they would gladly have enjoyed the benefit of his occasional services, even, had this been practicable, in their own places of worship. Strange as it may seem, an application of this kind was once actually made to him: and it is mentioned chiefly with a view to introduce part of his very striking reply: "I wish I could fulfil your request; but the times will not allow it. Every one that knows me, knows that I have the greatest and most cordial regard for the evangelical dissenters.—Most heartily do I wish, that the wall of partition was so far pulled down, as to permit all gospel ministers to occupy each others' pulpits, without distinction of party and denomination. But as this is a happiness we cannot expect to see, I am under a necessity of foregoing the satisfaction it would give me, to hold forth the word of life to those Christians, who are beyond the pale of the establishment, except (which, in the course of my ministry, many hundreds have done) any of them are so condescending as to attend on me, who they know, am tied up from waiting on them."

Perhaps no episcopal minister was ever more followed and admired by dissenters, than Mr. Toplady. The fame of his popularity, and of his success, as a preacher, had spread throughout the empire: and

even some of the strictest Scottish Presbyterians, when occasionally in London, at the times he preached there, though what they call "promiscuous hearing," was then very generally condemned by them; yet could not resist the temptation of going to hear so celebrated an orator, and ornament of the English establishment. Some few of them remain unto this day, and remember with delight, the satisfaction with which they heard the gospel from his lips †.

† The Rev. JOHN BROWN, of Whitburn, a distinguished member of the Secession church of Scotland, and author of the *Life of Hervey*, speaks of having heard Mr. Toplady, in London, with great pleasure, fifty years ago.—When informed of the design of re-writing his life, he wrote to the Editor, in the following terms: "I am glad you are called to write a Memoir of Mr. Toplady. I hope it will be very useful, and occasion a renewed circulation of his Works. His character, as a sensible divine, and pious writer, is high. I hope you will draw a new character of him, and sufficiently commend him." To Mr. Brown, the author is indebted for an excellent letter, written by Mr. Toplady, shortly before his death, to a friend in affliction. This letter, which has never before been published, is here subjoined.

Mr. SAMUEL WALLIS, Colechester-Street.

*"My very dear Friend,*

"1. Do not imagine, that I *forget* you. On the contrary, I remember you continually, both on my knees, and off them.

"2. Do not imagine, that I *slight* you. My reason, for not having visited you so long, is, because I have been, for a great while past, almost as much an invalid as yourself.

"3. Do not suppose, that God is less your friend than he was, because it was his pleasure that you should break your leg. Every hair of your head was numbered from everlasting: He is still your Father, your lover, your keeper, and your own God in Christ Jesus. He will make you "to hear of joy and gladness; and the bone, which *He* hath broken, shall rejoice."

"4. Do not think, that his angels were off their watch, or slept upon their posts, because they did not *so* bear you in their hands, as to prevent the calamity under which you labour. They were all attention, at the very time. And your fall, together with its effects, was an event, which their God and yours, gave them express commission not to hinder.

Even from the commencement of his ministry, Mr. Toplady distinguished himself as a judicious, evangelical, and eloquent preacher. Short as was the period of his incumbency, at Blagdon, he had, before he left it, collected an overflowing congregation. His popularity and usefulness increased, on his removal to Broad-Hembury; and it continued till that which was his last and best removal, at least, for himself,—his removal from the earthly sanctuary, to the heavenly Zion. Nor was it in obscure country parishes only, that his pulpit eloquence electrified his audience. So highly was he esteemed in the metropolis, that the churches, in which he preached there, could not contain the immense multitudes that crowded to hear him. Even on week-days, when he preached, he was always sure of a large audience.

“ 5. Do not surmize, that I am not deeply affected by what has befallen you, because I argue in the above manner. The Lord knows, that I sympathize with you most tenderly: and, were it possible, should have rejoiced to lessen your pains, by bearing half of them myself. My grand comfort is, that you have a great and faithful, a compassionate and unchangeable High Priest, who is touched with a feeling of your infirmities; who has graven you on the palms of his hands; and who carries your name upon his breast. To his never-failing grace, and all-powerful intercession, I commend you. In his care, I leave you. And, if his holy and wise providence permit, I will embrace the first opportunity of coming to see you.—In the mean time, and at all times, may the shinings of his countenance, upon your soul, fill you with all joy and peace in believing; and make you to abound in hope, through the power of the Holy Ghost. All is well, while we enjoy his presence, and while our eye is unto Him, and while our wills are melted down into his. The happiest seasons for a child of God, are, when he and his heavenly Father have but one will between them.

“ For the present, dear Sir, adieu. Grace be to you, and love, with faith, from God our Father, and from our blessed Lord Jesus. In whom I remain,

“ Your very affectionate  
and sympathizing Brother,

AUGUSTUS TOPLADY.”

Knightsbridge,  
Feb. 2. 1778.

This galled his enemies, some of whom, instead of endeavouring prudently to conceal their feelings of envy and rage, were guilty of the singular indiscretion, of publicly inveighing against the man, who had obtained that unbounded applause to which they thought they were much better entitled themselves. This was the case, in particular, with Thomas Oliver, or Olivers, as Southey calls him, a preacher at the Foundery in London, and a celebrated coadjutor of John Wesley. This most imprudent man, speaking of Mr. Toplady, and foolishly representing him as chargeable with Antinomianism,—a doctrine which his soul abhorred, is reported to have said to his auditory: “ I went, last Wednesday morning, to a famous Antinomian church in the city, to hear one of the Antinomian clergymen. I expected to have seen very few people there; and though the church is large, the church was quite full. What a shame is it, my brethren, that an Antinomian preacher should have so many people to hear him, when I, who preach the pure gospel, was forced, but now, to wait a considerable time for my congregation, and after waiting long, to begin to eighteen or twenty people !”

Mr. Toplady united, in a very high degree, those various excellencies, which make a captivating preacher. Dignified and serious, yet singularly pleasing in his appearance, having a melodious voice and a graceful action, with a keen eye, an ardent spirit, and a peculiar talent for fluent and felicitous expression, he could readily chain the attention of any audience. Nor did he fail to impress the hearts of many, whose tears were often seen to flow along with his own.

Yet after all, it was not the *manner*, but the *matter* which formed the grand charm of his pulpit oratory. He, who could translate the language, and digest the doctrines of such a work as that of ZANCHIUS, when little more than a boy, must have been well

prepared for teaching the most important divine truths, when he became a man. Out of the rich stores which he had gathered from the Bible, from other books, and from the deep reflections of his own mind, he poured forth the richest treasures of heavenly wisdom. Of the style of his discourses, the reader can judge for himself. SOUTHEY, who disliked him, because he hated his doctrine,—respecting which, indeed, it would be difficult to say, whether his inveterate hatred, or his gross ignorance were most remarkable—even SOUTHEY characterizes “his intellect” as having been “quick and lively;” and though he speaks of “his manner of writing as coarse,” he yet, with more appearance of candour and truth, admits, that “it was always vigorous, and sometimes fortunate\*.”

At the commencement of his ministry, Mr. Toplady, having carefully written, slavishly read his discourses from the pulpit. In proportion, however, as he entered into the true spirit of preaching, he broke through the trammels, in which he felt his ardent mind so uncomfortable, and began, accordingly, to preach *extempore*. Of his success in the efforts which he first made in this way, he speaks, as has been seen, in his Diary, with great satisfaction: and, in time, he relinquished the use of notes in the pulpit altogether. Indeed, one of the most valuable of his printed sermons, entitled “A Caveat against unsound Doctrines,” could not be prepared for the press, but from the notes, taken by a shorthand writer, when it was preached in St. Ann, Blackfriars. But, whether he preached *extempore*, or from notes, his sermons were always the fruit of his own labour. He scorned to soar on borrowed feathers. He knew that “the Lord was against the prophets that stole his words every one from his neighbour;” and while he could make a legitimate

\* Life of Wesley, vol. ii. p. 374.

use of the labours of others, he would have despised himself, if he had assumed the clerical character, under the conviction, that he could not preach at all, unless he should preach what others had prepared. He mentions a very curious anecdote, illustrative of his views on this subject, in a conversation which took place betwixt him, and Osborne, the bookseller, with whom Dr. Johnson is reported to have had so strange an encounter. "In the spring of 1762, a month or two before I took deacon's orders, I was cheapening some books of him. After that business was over, he took me to the farthest end of his long shop, and, in a low voice, said thus: 'Sir, you will soon be ordained. I suppose you have not laid in a very great stock of sermons. I can supply you with as many setts as you please. All originals: very excellent ones; and they will come for a trifle.' My answer was, 'I certainly shall never be a customer to you in that way: for I am of opinion, that the man, who cannot, or will not, make his own sermons, is quite unfit to wear the gown. How could you think of me buying ready-made sermons? I would much sooner, if I must do one or the other, buy ready-made clothes.' His answer shocked me: 'Nay, young gentleman, do not be surprised at my offering you ready-made sermons; for I assure you, I have sold ready-made sermons to many a bishop in my time!' My reply was: 'Good Mr. Osborne, if you have any concern for the credit of the church of England, never tell that news to any body else, from henceforward for ever'."

Mr. Toplady's fame as a preacher, and especially as a zealous and able advocate for what are commonly called "the Doctrines of Grace," caused him, as might have been expected, to be assailed by the obloquy and opposition of those, who became his enemies, only because they were the enemies of that particular system of theology, which he illustrated with such perspicuity, and defended with such

power.—Entering the field of action, soon after Mr. HERVEY was called to leave it for ever, he may not improperly be regarded, as the legitimate successor of that very amiable, but zealous and powerful champion of the grand and distinguishing doctrines of the church of England. He had to contend with similar opposition, and even to enter the lists with some of the same antagonists. Of these, Mr. JOHN WESLEY was, on many accounts, by far the most remarkable. Like most eminent men, especially if they have distinguished themselves in the field of theological warfare, WESLEY had many friends and many enemies. By the one, he has been represented as a paragon of talent, of learning, and of “every virtue under heaven.” By the other, he has been described as weak, ignorant, and wicked. The extravagancies of the former, have, however, if possible, been exceeded by those of the latter. “His understanding, (says one of his contemporaries, and a former Biographer of Mr. Toplady,) “strictly speaking, was but ordinary. His imagination was fertile in littleness. The reader is disturbed, and disgusted, by the indistinctness of his ideas, and the inconclusiveness of his reasonings, the glaring misrepresentations, and the plagiarisms of his pages. His arguments have been made up of undigested materials, heterogeneous and repugnant, without either shape or form, the frivolousness of their design and application, have been completely destroyed, by being only set in array against each other. If a prize had been given to dulness, and the most superlative conceit, this gentleman might have started with the certainty of triumph. His resentment towards those who differed from him was intense. His self-importance was astonishing, so that no reprehension, given in ever so mild a way, could instruct him.”

This, to say the least, is not the language either of soberness, or of truth. John Wesley (candour should have made even his keenest opponents allow),



gave many proofs of great acuteness, learning, and piety. Yet, it must be confessed, that he often acted the part of a very unfair antagonist. Fleeing to the meanest subterfuges, when he wanted protection, and taking every little advantage unwarily given him in the contest, he frequently presented himself in an attitude, calculated to rouse the indignation, even of the most generous adversary\*. Mr. Toplady, accordingly, was roused, and thus, in some instances, led to express himself with a degree of coarseness and asperity, that cannot be justified. It must be remembered, however, that Mr. Wesley touched the apple of his eye. The grand error of the one could get no quarter from the other. "It seems," says Dr. Pringle, "to have been his favourite game; and whenever it started, he followed the chace, till he run it down. So fully was he versed in this controversy, that he never seems more master of his subject, than when dissecting and confuting Arminianism. Many a sore drubbing poor Mr. Wesley and his adherents received from his able pen †."

It must be remembered, further, here, that Mr. Toplady, considering Mr. Wesley to be, what indeed, he called him, an "inveterate troubler of Israel," thought it his duty to employ a studied strength and severity of expression, in exposing his erroneous and dangerous opinions. "Tenderness," he said, "it is very evident, has no good effect on Mr. Wesley, and his pretended family of love. Witness the rancour with which Mr. Hervey and his Works, are treated by that lovely family. For my own part, I shall never attempt to hew such millstones with a feather. They must be served as nettles: press them close,

\* "He is so unfair in his quotations," says Mr. Hervey, "and so magisterial in his manner, that I find it no small difficulty, to preserve the decency of the gentleman, and the meekness of the Christian, in my intended answer."

† Sketch of the Character and Life of TOPLADY, prefixed to his translation of Zanchius, and published at Perth, in 1793.

and they cannot sting.—Yet have they my prayers for their present and future salvation. But not one hair's breadth of the gospel, will I ever (God being my helper) offer up at their shrine, or sacrifice to their idol." In the same style, he wrote on another occasion: "The envy, malice, and fury of Wesley's party are inconceivable. But as violently as they hate me, I dare not, I cannot hate them in return. I have not so learned Christ.—They have my prayers, and my best wishes, for their present and eternal salvation. But their errors have my opposition also; and this is the irremissible sin which those red-hot bigots know not how to forgive."—Many of those who have been loudest in the cry against Mr. Toplady's intemperate language, would yet never have charged him with cherishing any thing like a bitter or damnatory spirit, could they have followed him to his closet, and heard him pouring forth his supplications to the God of all grace, in behalf of the very men, who were attempting to wrest his sentiments, and to stab his reputation. Even of Mr. Wesley, he said, "O, that He in whose hearts the hands of all men are, may make even this opposer of grace, a monument of its almighty power to save! God is witness, how earnestly I wish it may consist with the divine will, to touch the heart and open the eyes of that unhappy man. I hold it as much my duty to pray for his conversion, as to expose the futility of his railings against the truths of the gospel."

The strenuous opposition by which Mr. Toplady was assailed, so early in his ministerial career, obliged him soon to assume the attitude of defence, and, ere long, to proclaim open war against the enemies of those doctrines of the faith, once delivered to the saints," for which, he conceived himself imperiously called upon, earnestly to contend. This necessarily gave to most of his writings, a controversial air, calculated, in the first instance, to repel,

rather than to attract the attention of those readers, who wish to be followers equally of peace and truth. A slight examination, will, however, remove any unpleasant feeling of this kind. And a thorough examination will be richly rewarded, by much valuable information, conveyed in strains of enlightened and ardent piety, well fitted at once to improve the understanding, to impress the heart, and to regulate the conduct.

It was the original intention of the Compiler of these Memoirs, to have attempted a critical analysis of Mr. Toplady's Works. But the subjects are so multifarious, and the limits prescribed for this Essay, have already been so far transgressed, that the Works must be allowed to speak for themselves, after there has been given, a short account of the publication and contents of those of them that are most important.

The first, and not the least important, was that entitled "The Church of England vindicated from the Charge of Arminianism, in a Letter addressed to Dr. Nowel."—This treatise was published in 1769, the year after the famous expulsion of six young men from the university of Oxford\*. This disgraceful affair, made the press teem with Statements, and Replies, and Rejoinders of various kinds, and of various merits. Among the rest, a pamphlet entitled *Pietas Oxoniensis*, made its appearance; in an answer to which, Dr. Nowel broadly asserted the Arminianism of the church of England. This was too much for Mr. Toplady to bear: and though only twenty-nine years of age, he immediately came forward with the reply, bearing the above title; a reply, displaying a mass of information and learning, seldom possessed by so young a mind, and abounding with

\* For a very humorous exposure of the sentence of the University, see M'Gowan's "Shaver."

arguments which remained unanswered, only because they were unanswerable.

In the same year, was published, the celebrated translation from the Latin of JEROM ZANCHIUS, with this title: "The Doctrine of Absolute Predestination stated and asserted, with a Preliminary Discourse on the Divine Attributes, accompanied with the Life of Zanchius." The history of this production has already been referred to. It was completed before he had arrived at the years of majority. His own account of its publication, and the remarks that follow, are particularly striking\*. The volume was soon universally known, both at home and abroad. The intelligence he received of the reception it met with, in America, led him to say to a correspondent in New York: "I am glad, for the truth's sake, to hear, that my translation of Zanchius was so well received, and has been reprinted on your side of the Atlantic. God bless it there, as he has graciously vouchsafed to do to many in England."—In Scotland too, as may well be supposed, this book found many admirers. It was republished at Perth, in 1793, preceded by a short Memoir of Mr. Toplady, and a very excellent preface, by the Rev. Dr. Pringle of that city. "Many volumes," says the Doctor, "have been written on the Arminian controversy: but I have met with nothing that more completely, and, in so concise a manner, cuts it up by the roots. This valuable translation of Zanchy came into my hands about two years ago, with some other pieces of Mr. Toplady's own Works. The manly boldness of the learned Translator and Author, his fervent zeal, and purity of gospel doctrine, and his masterly way of dissecting and exposing error, very much struck and pleased me," &c.

The publication of Zanchy was very offensive to John Wesley. In a pretended abridgment of the

\* See Letter XXIV.

work, to one part of which, he affixed Mr. Toplady's initials, he made him to utter downright blasphemy. Southey, with much satisfaction, stains his pages with this blasphemy; and accords with Wesley in representing it as the sum of Mr. Toplady's doctrine †. If he had read, and understood Mr. Toplady's reply to Mr. Wesley, he would have expressed himself in a very different style. That reply was published in 1770, addressed, "To the Rev. John Wesley, relative to his pretended Abridgment of Zanchius."

It was soon followed by another publication, loudly called for, by the growing errors of the times. This was a Discourse preached at St. Ann's church, Blackfriars, entitled "A Caveat against Unsound Doctrines:" a discourse, in which its author, to use his own words on the subject, "fixes his foot on Arminianism, as being, in its several branches, the gangrene of the protestant churches, and the predominant evil of the day."

In the year 1771, Mr. Toplady published three Sermons, preached in his own church; two were on the same text, and entitled, "Jesus seen of Angels;" the third, "God's Mindfulness of Man." These Sermons, valuable on many accounts, contain some of the most beautiful passages any where to be found in Sermons of modern date.

The next production of the author, was a pamphlet, entitled, "Free Thoughts on the projected Application to Parliament, in the year 1771, for the Abolition of Ecclesiastical Subscription." While he explicitly pleaded for the right of private judgment; he yet with real consistency, and with great force of argument, maintained the reasonableness and necessity, of demanding from the teachers of religion, a solemn avowal of their belief in those great theological truths, which the church has marked out as of paramount importance.

† Life of Wesley.

Mr. Wesley, and his followers, having anew assailed Mr. Toplady, he was compelled again to publish, in his own defence, in the year 1772. The title which he gave to this publication was, "More Work for Mr. John Wesley, or a Vindication of the Decrees and Providences of God." This was a farther developement and defence of the principles contended for in his translation of Zanchius.

On the 11th of May, 1772, Mr. Toplady was appointed to preach a visitation sermon, before the clergy of the archdeaconry of Exeter. He took for his text, Mat. iv. 23. and afterwards published the discourse, with the following title, "Clerical Subscription no Grievance," &c. It contains an able exposition of some of the leading doctrines of the gospel, in fifteen particulars. He must have been a fearless and a faithful man indeed, who could deliver such a discourse, in such a time, and to such an assembly.

In 1774, was published, the most learned and laboured of all Mr. Toplady's works,—*"Historic Proof of the Doctrinal Calvinism of the Church of England,"* &c. In this Work, filling nearly two octavo volumes, he has given large quotations from the most celebrated theological writers, since the days of the apostles, to prove the grand point which it was his object to establish. Nor can the unprejudiced reader have any doubt with regard to his complete success. He was, indeed, well aware, that "authorities are not arguments." What he said of the fathers, in the words of a Latin writer, he would have been ready to say of every one of the immense number of excellent divines, from whom he so largely quotes: *Unus Augustinus, præ mille Patribus; et unus Paulus præ mille Augustinis.* "One page of Augustine's, is worth a thousand of the other fathers; but one page of Paul's, is worth a thousand of Augustine's."—Yet his vast collection of authorities was not made in vain; for, besides

the confirmation given to the doctrines of grace, by the unanimous consent of so many excellent men, the quotations do not merely state, but, by various arguments and illustrations, prove and establish these great doctrines as the truths of God. He might well say, as he did, in his letter to Dr. Ryland, on the subject: "On a retrospective survey of the whole matter, I myself, stand astonished, at that profusion of evidence, which pours from every quarter, in favour of the main point."

The next work published by Mr. Toplady, professedly on the Arminian controversy, was one, before referred to, "The Scheme of Christian and Philosophical Necessity Asserted." This has been represented as one of the most acute and philosophical of all the productions of his pen. But from the very nature of the subject, it will not, in the view, even of some of his greatest admirers, be deemed the most satisfactory.

Five Sermons, in addition to those already mentioned, together with a variety of Essays, Meditations, &c. of which our limits prevent us from mentioning even the titles, were sent to press, by Mr. Toplady, between 1773 and 1776. He also published a Collection of Hymns, to be used in divine worship. It must further be mentioned, as a proof of his indefatigable labour, that the Gospel Magazine, the new series of which, commenced in 1774, was conducted by him, from December 1775, till June 1776. This contributed greatly to the sale of the Work. He wrote in it some anonymous papers, which are said to "shine conspicuous." Of his other papers, in that Magazine, a few have his initials annexed: some are signed MINIMUS, and others CONCIONATOR.

Mr. Toplady's constitution, as has already been intimated, was never robust. But by intense and protracted study, and by his frequent preaching and publishing, it was soon completely worn out. While yet in the prime of life, in regard to years,

he laboured under a heavy load of the infirmities, common to advanced age. His great debility, superinduced by his incessant labours, was supposed to be much aggravated by the moist atmosphere of Devonshire. An unsuccessful attempt having been made, to exchange his living, for a parish in the southern part of the kingdom, he was advised, it seems, by the faculty, to go and reside in London. The soundness of the advice, may, under all the circumstances, be fairly questioned. Mr. Toplady could reside nowhere without preaching, while any small measure of strength remained. And for a man, on whose vitals, consumption had already begun to prey, to reside and preach in London, was, one would think, to expedite his journey to the grave.

He had no sooner arrived in the metropolis, than applications, very inconsiderately made, were very unwisely complied with, to preach in various churches, for the support of charitable Institutions. Nor was this all. His friends and admirers, in the city, were determined to enjoy the pleasure and advantage of his stated ministrations; and, with this view, made an agreement with the Trustees of the French Calvinist reformed church, in Orange-street, Leicester fields, for their chapel, as the scene of Mr. Toplady's labours, on Sunday and Wednesday evenings. There, accordingly, he delivered his first lecture, on Sunday evening, April 11th, 1776.—His addresses, from the pulpit, in that chapel, are represented as having been, in a particular manner, heavenly, and enchanting.

After having persevered in his work, to the great delight of his audience, for the space of two years, the apprehensions of his friends respecting his health, became very painful. On Easter Sunday, April 19th 1778, having ascended the pulpit, he began to preach from that text, Isaiah xxvi. 19. "Thy dead men shall live, together with my dead body shall they arise," &c. But after announcing the text, his



hoarseness was so great, that he was under the necessity of coming down from the pulpit, without even entering on the illustration of the important subject, to which the passage of scripture, chosen for his theme, would naturally have led him to direct the attention of his audience.—Still anxious to engage in his favourite work, he soon renewed the attempt. For four Sundays after this, he preached to his affectionate auditors; and, seeing the rapid decay of his earthly tabernacle, they listened to him each time, as if it were to be the last.

He now waited, and wished for his dissolution himself. When his illness increased, his only regret was, that he was debarred from his favourite employment, of preaching the gospel of salvation. But respecting this too, he could say, “It is the Lord; let him do what seemeth him good.” Quite in the spirit of the zealous preacher, and the resigned saint, we find him using the following language on the subject: “I was unable to preach, while at Salisbury; and begin to apprehend, that I must not attempt to preach at my own church, here next Sunday. But though such absolute uselessness is the most afflictive part of my present visitation; yet even this I can leave with God my Saviour, who is the governing head of his family, both in heaven and earth, and orders all things well.”

The feeling of weakness and pain, extorted from him no complaint: nor does he seem to have been at all troubled with the slightest apprehension, respecting the pangs of death, or the consequences of dying. “Welcome,” he said, “ten thousand times welcome, the whole will of God. I trust, his Spirit has begun to render me passive in his blessed hand, and to turn me as clay to the seal. I am enabled to be more than resigned: I am thankful for his every dispensation, knowing them to be all ordered in faithfulness and love.” And again: “My mind is quite at rest. All my affairs respecting both this

world and a better, are completely settled. My salvation was provided for, in the covenant of grace, from all eternity, and sealed by the finished redemption of my adorable Saviour. My temporal business is all settled to my satisfaction, by the completion of my last Will and Testament, before I left London. So that I have, at present, nothing to do, but to sing in the ways of the Lord, that great are the glory and the goodness of the Lord."

How enviable the situation of the man, who, in the immediate prospect of dissolution, could calmly and joyfully express himself in such language as this! The same spirit of resignation and peace, of hope and joy, continued to the last. A very base attempt, indeed, was made, to convince the public of the contrary; and a report was industriously circulated, that Mr. Toplady had renounced those principles, which it had been the business of his life, to advocate. This very infamous and unfounded report, was attributed to Mr. Wesley, and some of his followers, as its authors. And, as if with a view to exalt the leader of Arminian Methodism, at the expence of this dying champion, of the Calvinistic cause, it was further alleged, that Mr. Toplady was anxious to recant his former principles, and protest against them, in Mr. Wesley's presence!

The object of this diabolical report could be no other, than to load Mr. Toplady's memory with infamy, and to bring his principles into contempt. But this object was most happily and most completely frustrated. The report was premature, and proceeded, no doubt, on the supposition, that Mr. Toplady, seemingly ready to sink into the grave, would be unable either to speak or write, in his own defence. But, to the confusion of his enemies, strength was given him to do both. Nor did he ever appear more triumphant, than when almost with his dying breath, he made so honourable, and

so successful an effort to repel the attacks of calumny, and to maintain the cause of truth.

Shocked above measure, at the report in circulation, which was conveyed to him, through various channels, he stated to his physician, his determination to appear once more before his congregation, and make a solemn appeal, in reference to his past and present principles, and thus to counteract the influence of calumnies, not more discreditable to his own character, than injurious to the cause of God and truth. When informed, that it would be dangerous to make the attempt, and that probably he might die in the execution of it, he replied, "A good man once said, he would rather wear out, than rust out; and I" he added, with true magnanimity, "would rather die in the harness, than die in the stall."

On Sunday, June the 14th, less than two months before his death, he came from Knightsbridge, and after a sermon, by his Assistant, the Rev. Dr. Illingworth, he ascended the pulpit, to the utter astonishment of his people; and delivered a very short, but very affecting discourse, from 2 Peter i. 13, 14. "Yea, I think it meet, as long as I am in this tabernacle, to stir you up, by putting you in remembrance; knowing, that shortly I must put off this my tabernacle, even as the Lord Jesus Christ hath showed me." When speaking of the abundant peace he experienced, and the joy and consolation of the Holy Ghost, of which, for months past, he had been a partaker, together with the persuasion, that, in a few days, he must resign his mortal part to corruption, as a prelude to seeing the King in his beauty, the effect produced, was such, as may, perhaps, be conceived, but certainly cannot at all be described. His closing address, was in substance, the same with the following paper, which was published the week after, and entitled, "The Rev. Mr. Toplady's Dying Avowal of his Religious Sentiments."

“Whereas, some time since, a wicked, scandalous and false report was diffused, in various parts of this kingdom, by the followers of Mr. John Wesley; purporting, that I have changed some of my religious sentiments, especially such of them as relate more immediately to the doctrines of grace, I thought it my indispensable duty, on the Sunday after I received this information, which was the 13th of June last, publicly to declare myself, from the pulpit in Orange-street chapel, to the following effect: “It having been industriously circulated, by some malicious and unprincipled persons, that, during my present long and severe illness, I expressed a strong desire of seeing Mr. John Wesley before I die, and revoking some particulars relative to him, which occur in my writings: Now, I do publicly and most solemnly aver, that I have not, nor ever had, any such intention or desire; and that I most sincerely hope, my last hours will be much better employed, than in conversing with such a man.” To which I added: “So certain and so satisfied am I, of the truth of all that I have ever written; that, were I now sitting up in my dying bed, with a pen and ink in my hand, and all the religious and controversial writings I ever published (more especially those relating to Mr. John Wesley, and the Arminian controversy), whether respecting facts or doctrines, could at once be displayed to my view, I should not strike out a single line relative to him or them.”

“Matters rested thus, when I received a letter, dated July 17, 1778, from a friend who lives near an hundred miles from town, in which letter is the following passage: “I cannot help feeling an uncommon emotion and surprise, at the report, that you have recanted all that you have written and said against John Wesley, and many like things; and that you declared as much, to your congregation, a few weeks ago. I was told this, by two persons, who said, they were there present at the time. How

am I amazed, at such falsehoods! The party, and name, and character, that are established by lies, have no good foundation, and therefore can never stand long.”

This determined me to publish the present address to the religious world. I pray God to give the perfect liars, grace and repentance to the acknowledgment of the truth. And may every blessing, of the upper, and of the nether springs, be the portion of those who maintain, who experience, and adorn, the glorious gospel of the grace of God.

Should any hostile notice be taken of this paper, I do not intend to make any kind of reply. I am every day in view of dissolution. And, in the fullest assurance of my eternal salvation (an assurance which has not been clouded by a single doubt, for near a year and a half last past) am waiting, looking, and longing for the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ.

I once intended subjoining to this paper, the specific outlines of my religious sentiments; but on farther reflection, I believe it may be more expedient, to refer the reader to the several \* writings I have published: every one of which I do hereby, as a dying man, ratify and declare to be expressive of my real religious principles, from any one of which principles I have never varied, in the least degree, since God enlightened me into the clear knowledge of his truth; which is now within a few weeks of twenty years ago.

I was awakened in the month of August, 1755, but not, as has been falsely reported, under Mr. John Wesley, or any preacher connected with him.

Though awakened in 1755, I was not led into a full and clear view of all the doctrines of grace, till the year 1758, when, through the great goodness of

\* If the reader wishes to see a doctrinal compendium of these, he will find it, in a sermon of mine, entitled, “A Caveat against unsound Doctrines:” every part of which I hereby avow to be declarative of my fixed and ultimate judgment.

God, my Arminian prejudices received an effectual shock, in reading Dr. Manton's Sermons on the xviith of St. John.

I shall remember the years 1755, and 1758, with gratitude and joy, in the heaven of heavens, to all eternity.

AUGUSTUS MONTAGUE TOPLADY.

Knightsbridge,  
July 22, 1778.

While yet in the enjoyment of his ordinary health, and some years before the period to which the preceding statement refers, Mr. Toplady had, in a letter to his friend, Mrs. Macaulay, expressed a wish, that, were it the will of God, he might be removed by a sudden death. "Was I qualified," he said, "to choose for myself, and were it lawful to make it a subject of prayer, I would wish for this indulgence, whenever my appointed change may come. It is, I think, the most desirable mode of departure, where the person is in a state of grace. How happy to be surprised into heaven! And, to surviving friends, it is but a single shock, once for all."

The great Arbitrer of life and death, knows best how to order the manner and circumstances of both, so as to contribute, in the highest degree, to the promotion of his glory, and the advantage of his people. Had Mr. Toplady's death been sudden, whatever might have been the effect as to himself, it would have been a loss to the world. Having, while in health, set an example to others, of the way to improve and enjoy life, he now, during the severe and protracted illness, that terminated in his dissolution, taught them how to suffer, and how to die. His sun, when past the meridian, still continued, amid clouds of affliction, to shine in its strength, and it appeared greater than ever at its setting. The patience and piety, the hope, the joy, and the triumph which he displayed, in the midst of all his

troubles, and at the very hour of death, were well fitted to make his brethren in Christ, give glory to God in the highest, and to extort, even from the lips of infidelity, the exclamation of Balaam, the son of Bosor, "Let me die the death of the righteous, and let my last end be like his!"

The dying scene of this truly excellent servant of Christ, was, indeed, grand and impressive, above measure. It shall now be described in the words of some of the favoured persons, by whom it was witnessed, and who happily did not trust to their memory, but for their own satisfaction, and for the benefit of others, committed to writing, much of what passed, during the most interesting moments of this most interesting man of God. A short narrative, prepared from their notes, was published soon after his death; and from that narrative, the following account is extracted.

"In conversation with a gentleman of the faculty, not long before his death, he frequently disclaimed with abhorrence, the least dependence on his own righteousness, as any cause of his justification before God, and said, that he rejoiced only in the free, complete, and everlasting salvation of God's elect by Jesus Christ, through the sanctification of the holy Spirit. We cannot satisfy the reader more than by giving this friend's own relation of intercourse and conversation. "A remarkable jealousy was apparent in his whole conduct, for fear of receiving any part of that honour, which is due to Christ alone. He desired to be nothing, and that Jesus might be all, and in all.—His feelings were so very tender upon this subject, that I once undesignedly put him almost in an agony, by remarking the great loss, which the church of Christ would sustain by his death, at this particular juncture.—The utmost distress was immediately visible in his countenance, and he exclaimed to this purpose; What; by my death? No! By my death? No.—Jesus Christ is

able, and will, by proper instruments, defend his own truths.—And with regard to what little I have been enabled to do in this way; not to me, not to me, but to his own name, and to that only, be the glory.

“Conversing upon the subject of election, he said; That God’s everlasting love to his chosen people; his eternal, particular, most free, and immutable choice of them in Christ Jesus; was without the least respect to any work, or works, of righteousness, wrought, or to be wrought, or that ever should be wrought, in them or by them: for God’s election does not depend upon our sanctification, but our sanctification depends upon God’s election and appointment of us to everlasting life.—At another time he was so affected with a sense of God’s everlasting love to his soul, that he could not refrain from bursting into tears.

“The more his bodily strength was impaired, the more vigorous, lively, and rejoicing, his mind seemed to be. From the whole tenor of his conversation during our interviews, he appeared not merely placid and serene, but he evidently possessed the fullest assurance of the most triumphant faith. He repeatedly told me, that he had not had the least shadow of a doubt, respecting his eternal salvation, for near two years past. It is no wonder, therefore, that he so earnestly longed to be dissolved and to be with Christ. His soul seemed to be constantly panting heaven-ward; and his desires increased, the nearer his dissolution approached.—A short time before his death, at his request, I felt his pulse; and he desired to know, what I thought of it. I told him, that his heart and arteries evidently beat (almost every day) weaker and weaker. He replied immediately with the sweetest smile upon his countenance, Why, that is a good sign, that my death is fast approaching; and blessed be God, I can add, that my heart beats every day stronger and stronger for glory.



“ A few days preceding his dissolution, I found him sitting up in his arm chair, and scarce able to move or speak. I addressed him very softly, and asked, if his consolations continued to abound, as they had hitherto done. He quickly replied; O, my dear sir, it is impossible to describe how good God is to me. Since I have been sitting in this chair, this afternoon (glory be to his name!) I have enjoyed such a season, such sweet communion with God, and such delightful manifestations of his presence with, and love to my soul, that it is impossible for words, or any language, to express them. I have had peace and joy unutterable: and I fear not, but that God’s consolations and support will continue.—But he immediately recollected himself, and added, What have I said? God may, to be sure, as a sovereign, hide his face and his smiles from me; however, I believe he will not; and if he should, yet still will I trust in him: I know I am safe and secure; for his love and his covenant are everlasting.”

To another friend, who, in a conversation with him upon the subject of his principles, had asked him, whether any doubt remained upon his mind respecting the truth of them; he answered; Doubt, sir, doubt! Pray, use not that word, when speaking of me. I cannot endure the term; at least, while God continues to shine upon my soul, in the gracious manner he does now: not (added he) but that I am sensible, that while, in the body, if left of him, I am capable, through the power of temptation, of calling into question every truth of the gospel. But, that is so far from being the case, that the comforts and manifestations of his love are so abundant, as to render my state and condition the most desirable in the world. I would not exchange my condition with any one upon earth. And, with respect to my principles; those blessed truths, which I have been enabled in my poor measure to maintain, appear to me, more than ever, most gloriously indubitable.

My own existence is not, to my apprehension, a greater certainty.

The same friend calling upon him a day or two before his death, he said, with hands clasped, and his eyes lifted up and starting with tears of the most evident joy, O my dear sir, I cannot tell you the comforts I feel in my soul: they are past expression. The consolations of God to such an unworthy wretch are so abundant, that he leaves me nothing to pray for, but a continuance of them. I enjoy a heaven already in my soul. My prayers are all converted into praise. Nevertheless, I do not forget, that I am still in the body, and liable to all those distressing fears, which are incident to human nature, when under temptation and without any sensible divine support. But so long as the presence of God continues with me in the degree I now enjoy it, I cannot but think that such a desponding frame is impossible. All this he spake with an emphasis, the most ardent that can be conceived.

Speaking to another particular friend upon the subject of his "dying avowal," he expressed himself thus; My dear friend, those great and glorious truths, which the Lord, in rich mercy, has given me to believe, and which he has enabled me (though very feebly) to stand forth in the defence of, are not (as those, who believe not or oppose them, say) dry doctrines, or mere speculative points. No. But, being brought into practical and heart-felt experience, they are the very joy and support of my soul; and the consolations, flowing from them, carry me far above the things of time and sense. Soon afterwards he added; So far as I know my own heart, I have no desire, but to be entirely passive; to live, to die, to be, to do, to suffer, whatever is God's blessed will concerning me; being perfectly satisfied, that, as he ever has, so he ever will do that which is best concerning me; and that he deals out, in number, weight and measure, whatever will con-

duce most to his own glory, and to the good of his people.

Another of his friends, mentioning likewise the report that was spread abroad of his recanting his former principles; he said, with some vehemence and emotion, I recant my former principles! God forbid, that I should be so vile an apostate. To which he presently added, with great apparent humility, And yet that apostate I should soon be, if I were left to myself.

To the same friend, conversing upon the subject of his sickness, he said; Sickness is no affliction; pain no curse; death itself no dissolution.

All his conversations, as he approached nearer and nearer to his decease, seemed more and more happy and heavenly. He frequently called himself the happiest man in the world. O! (says he) how this soul of mine longs to be gone! Like a bird imprisoned in a cage, it longs to take its flight. O that I had wings like a dove, then would I flee away to the realms of bliss, and be at rest for ever! O that some guardian angel might be commissioned; for I long to be absent from this body, and to be with my Lord for ever. Being asked by a friend, if he always enjoyed such manifestations, he answered; I cannot say, there are no intermissions; for, if there were not, my consolations would be more and greater than I could possibly bear; but, when they abate, they leave such an abiding sense of God's goodness, and of the certainty of my being fixed upon the eternal rock Christ Jesus, that my soul is still filled with peace and joy.

At another time, and indeed for many days together, he cried out, O what a day of sun-shine has this been to me! I have not words to express it. It is unutterable. O, my friends, how good is God! almost without interruption, his presence has been with me. And then, repeating several passages of scripture, he added, What a great thing it is to rejoice in death! Speaking of Christ, he said, his love

is unutterable! He was happy in declaring, that the viiith chapter of the epistle to the Romans, from the 33d to the end of the six following verses, were the joy and comfort of his soul. Upon that portion of scripture, he often descanted with great delight, and would be frequently ejaculating, Lord Jesus! why tarriest thou so long! He sometimes said, I find as the bottles of heaven empty, they are filled again; meaning, probably, the continual comforts of grace, which he abundantly enjoyed.

When he drew near his end, he said, waking from a slumber; O what delights! Who can fathom the joys of the third heaven? And, a little before his departure, he was blessing and praising God for continuing to him his understanding in clearness; but (added he in a rapture) for what is most of all, his abiding presence, and the shining of his love upon my soul. The sky (says he) is clear; there is no cloud: Come, Lord Jesus, come quickly!

Within the hour of his death, he called his friends and his servant, and asked them, if they could give him up: upon their answering in the affirmative, since it pleased the Lord to be so gracious to him, he replied; O what a blessing it is, you are made willing to give me up into the hands of my dear Redeemer, and to part with me: it will not be long before God takes me; for no mortal man can live, (bursting, while he said it, into tears of joy) after the glories, which God has manifested to my soul. Soon after this, he closed his eyes, and found (as Milton finely expresses it)

---

A death like sleep,  
A gentle wafting to immortal life.

On Tuesday August the 11th, 1778, in the 38th year of his age.

On the Monday following, at four o'clock in the afternoon, his remains were brought from Knights-bridge, to Tottenham Court chapel, for the purpose

of interment. And though the funeral was meant to have been as private as possible, several thousands of persons were nevertheless collected, to witness the solemnity.

It was Mr. Toplady's particular request, that no funeral sermon should be preached for him. The Rev. Rowland Hill, however, then in the vigour of life, though now as venerable for his years, as he has always been distinguished for his zeal and piety, could not repress his feelings so far as to be silent on the occasion. Trespassing for once,—but trespassing very innocently, and very properly, on the wish which modesty led his deceased friend to express, he, in his best style of eloquence, pronounced a funeral oration, which is said to have produced a very powerful impression on the minds of those, to whom it was addressed. The funeral service was read by the Rev. Dr. Illingworth; and a suitable hymn having been sung, the body was entombed in the family grave of his friend, and executor, Mr. Hussey. Over the grave, which is under the gallery, and opposite the pulpit, in the above named chapel, is laid a plain stone, on which, nothing more than his name and age, are inscribed.

The bitter enmity with which some had pursued Mr. Toplady, through the greater part of his public life, not only continued its virulence, as we have already seen, during his last illness, but even, as must now reluctantly be told, followed him to the grave. After the account which has just been given, on the most indubitable testimony, of his joy and peace in believing, during his sickness, and at his death, will it be credited, that his old antagonist, Mr. Wesley, publicly asserted, that he died blaspheming, and in the horror of despair!

It was, at first, our design, to have suppressed any account of this most outrageous attempt to disturb the ashes, or, at any rate, to disgrace the memory of a man, eminent for piety, above most men that have

lived since the days of the apostles. But as it is possible, that some may still retail the story, to blacken the reputation of this celebrated Calvinist, and thus to give a thrust at the heart of Calvinism itself; a regard to the memory of the dead, to the welfare of the living, and to the honour of the truth itself, seems imperiously to require, that the matter be fairly and fully stated. And this can be done with the greater confidence, that the fact of the cruel and calumnious report, together with its complete and triumphant refutation, rest on evidence altogether irrefragable.

Sir Richard Hill, equally a friend of Mr. Toplady, and of the truth as it is in Jesus, indignant at the conduct of Mr. Wesley, on this occasion, addressed to him an anonymous letter, in one of the newspapers of the day, calling upon him to deny the report, referred to, if unfounded. No reply having been made to this letter, Sir Richard, in a few weeks after, published another, in the form of a small pamphlet, to which he annexed his name, and in which he avowed himself the author of the preceding letter, signed, VERITAS. Both these documents shall now be given at full length.

*Letter addressed to the Rev. John Wesley, which appeared in the Magazines of that period.*

REV. SIR,

“ I give you this public notice, that certain persons who are your enemies, perhaps only because you keep clear of their Calvinistic doctrines, have thought proper to affirm, that you and some of your preachers, have been vilifying the ashes, and traducing the memory of the late Mr. Augustus Toplady. Nay, it was even positively alleged, that you told Mr. Thomas Robinson of Hilderthorpe, near Bridlington in Yorkshire, and the Rev. Mr. Greaves,

curate to Mr. Fletcher of Madeley, that the account published concerning Mr. Toplady's death, was a gross imposition on the public; for that he died in black despair, uttering the most horrible blasphemies; and that none of his friends were permitted to see him. All which was repeated at Bridlington, by one of your preachers, whose name is Rhodes, who further compared Mr. Toplady's case, to the awful one of Francis Spira: and added, "that the dreadful manner in which he died, had caused a woman who attended him to join your societies."

"Now, sir, as many living respectable witnesses can testify that Mr. Toplady departed this life in the full triumph of faith, and that the account published to the world of the state of soul he was in during his long illness, and at the hour of dissolution, was strictly and literally a true one, you are earnestly requested, for the satisfaction of your friends, thus, publicly to assure the world, that you never advanced any thing of this sort to Mr. Robinson, Mr. Greaves, or to any other person; or else that you will produce your authority for your assertions; otherwise, it is to be feared, that your own character will suffer much, for having vented a most gross malicious falsehood against a dead man who cannot answer for himself, in order to support your own cause and party."

I am, Rev. Sir,

Your sincere well wisher,

VERITAS.

*Letter to the Rev. Mr. John Wesley, Hawkstone,  
Nov. 29, 1779.*

Rev. SIR,

"The cause of my thus publicly addressing you, is owing to an information I received, that you wished to know who was the author of a letter, which appeared in the General Advertiser, on Friday the 8th

of October last, wherein were some queries put to you concerning certain reports which it was supposed you had spread, relative to the illness and death of the late Mr. Augustus Toplady. I was further given to understand, that you had declared your intention of answering that letter, if the writer would annex his name to it. This being the case, though no names cannot at all alter facts, yet as I really wish to be rightly informed myself, and as the reports which have been propagated about Mr. Toplady have much staggered and grieved many serious Christians, I now (under my real signature) beg with all plainness, and with no other design than that the real truth may be known, again to propound those questions to you which were put in that letter, of which I confess myself to have been the sole author. And as I hear you have been pleased to call the letter a scurrilous one, I should be glad if you would point out to me wherein that scurrility consists; for though it were anonymous, I am not in the least conscious that there was any thing in it unbecoming that respect which might be due to a gentleman of your venerable age and function; and when you have shown me wherein I have been culpable, I shall then readily and submissively ask your pardon. The letter itself I shall annex to this. The queries contained in it may be reduced to the following.

1st. Did you, sir, or did you not tell Mr. Thomas Robinson, of Hilderthorpe, near Bridlington in Yorkshire, that Mr. Toplady died in black despair, blaspheming; and that a greater imposition never was imposed on the public than that published by his friends relative to his death?

2dly. Did you ever tell the same in substance to the Rev. Mr. Greaves\*, curate to Mr. Fletcher of Madeley, or to any other person?

\* I hope this worthy gentleman, for whom I profess a sincere esteem, will pardon my having introduced his name without asking his permission.



3dly. Did you, or did you not say, that none of Mr. Toplady's friends were permitted to see him during his illness?

I now beg leave to tell you, that the cause of my offering these queries to you was owing to the following letter, which I received just before, from a kind friend, and worthy minister of the gospel at Burlington (or Bridlington) in Yorkshire.

“ Honoured and dear friend,

“ Grace, mercy, and peace be multiplied unto you from the Father, and from Jesus Christ, by the blessed Spirit. On the 21st day of August, 1779, I received from Mr. Thomas Robinson, of Hilders-thorp, the following awful, and no less shocking, account, respecting the death of Mr. Toplady. He said, Mr. J. Wesley told him, that Mr. Toplady died in black despair, blaspheming; and that a greater imposition was never imposed on the public, than that published by his friends relative to his death. He added also, that none of his friends were permitted to see him in his illness: and that one of Mr. John Wesley's preachers, whose name is Rhodes, did, on the 20th instant, declare, that Mr. Toplady's case was equal to that of Francis Spira; and that the servant who waited upon him did, after his death, join Mr. Wesley's societies, signifying that there was something very awful†. Now, dear Sir, as I know nobody more capable of giving me some satisfaction respecting this heart-affecting report than what you are; please to excuse the liberty I have taken in troubling you; wishing and beseeching you, to give me if you can, a true account of this gloomy story,

† I cannot believe so ill of Mr. Rhodes, as to suppose he himself invented this horrid tale. He best knows whence he had it. But Mr. Wesley and he being at Burlington about the same time, there is reason to suppose he received it from the same quarter Mr. T. Robinson did.

and you will very much oblige one who wishes you the peaceable enjoyment of every temporal and spiritual good. Believing, nevertheless, that the foundation of God standeth sure, having this seal, the Lord knoweth them that are his. The redeemed of the Lord shall return and come with singing unto Sion, &c.

“ Dear Sir, believe me to be  
 your sincere, affectionate friend,  
 and humble servant, in the gospel of  
 Christ Jesus our Lord,  
 J. GAWKRODGER.”

Bridlington, August 30, 1779.

Methinks, sir, this letter breathes the language of real Christianity, and of an heart deeply concerned and interested in the welfare of one from whose works I know, that Mr. G. had received the highest delight and satisfaction. He had read the account of Mr. Toplady's illness and death; he rejoiced to see the doctrines of the gospel confirmed and established in the experience of that eminent servant of Jesus Christ; and his own heart found strong consolation whilst he meditated on the triumphant victory, which his late brother in the ministry had obtained over the king of terrors, through faith in our glorious Immanuel.

Amidst these views and meditations, he is told, by a pious friend and neighbour of his, that Mr. John Wesley had assured him, “ that Mr. Toplady died blaspheming, in black despair; that none of his friends were permitted to see him in his illness; and that the account of his death, published by his friends, was a gross imposition on the public; and that a preacher of Mr. Wesley's had moreover asserted the same, with this further circumstance, that the person who attended Mr. Toplady in his illness,

struck with horror at his awful departure, had joined the methodists.”

Overwhelmed with grief and amazement at this declaration, and the authority produced in defence of it (an authority which he dares not call in question), he writes to me to be further informed of the matter. Upon the receipt of this letter, I thought it best to go to the fountain head, in order to investigate the truth, and therefore called upon you, in the public papers, to know whether you did, or did not, assert the things which are charged upon you. If you did not assert them, Mr. Robinson, Mr. Greaves, and several other persons, have treated you in a manner the most injurious, by making use of the sanction of your name for the propagation of a most wicked and malicious lie. If you did assert them, either you had, or had not authority for your assertions; if you had no authority, then you, yourself, must have been the inventor of them. If you had authority, then you must know whence that authority came. In order, therefore, to exculpate your own character before the world, be pleased now to name that authority. Tell us how you became so well acquainted with what passed in Mr. Toplady's sick chamber, and on his dying-bed, when even his most dear and intimate friends were not permitted to see him? Did his nurse, Mrs. Sterling, who attended him, and was with him when he died, communicate this intelligence? I hear she has called upon you on purpose to vindicate herself, from the charge of any such assertion; and is ready to declare to all the world, that throughout Mr. Toplady's long illness, to the hour of his dissolution, prayer and praise, joy and triumph in the God of his salvation, were the continual employments of his lips and heart. But as your conduct will probably make one of the many friends who were permitted to see Mr. Toplady in his illness, think it necessary to give the public some further particulars, relative to the

state of his soul in that trying season ; I shall only, in this place, present you with a short abstract, from a letter which I received from a worthy clergyman, a friend of Mr. Toplady's, soon after his departure ; his words are as follow :

“ You will be pleased with the two following remarks made by Mr. Toplady, not long before his death : “ To a person interested in the salvation of Christ, sickness is no disease, pain no affliction, death no dissolution.” The other was an answer to Doctor Gifford, in consequence of the Doctor's expressing hopes that Mr. Toplady might recover, and be again useful. Mr. Toplady heard what his friend had to say, and then expressed himself nearly in the following words : “ I believe God never gave such manifestations of his love to any creature, and suffered him to live.”—Thus far, my friend.

We can now look to no other source from whence these reports may have flowed, than to the most deliberate malice of Mr. Toplady's avowed foes, among whom, notwithstanding your continual preaching about “ love, love ; peace, peace, my brethren,” I fear you are chief. Till therefore you produce your authority for what you told Mr. Thomas Robinson and others, I have full right, nay, I am absolutely necessitated to fix upon you, Rev. Sir, as the raiser, and fabricator of this most nefarious report ; which I cannot look upon merely as a common falsity, but as a malicious attempt to invalidate and set aside the testimony which God, the eternal Spirit himself, was pleased to bear to his own truth, and to his own work, upon the heart of a dying believer ; and even to turn that testimony into the blasphemies of satan. And in this view of it, how far short it falls of the unpardonable sin against the Holy Ghost, must be left to your awful consideration.

When one Jane Cowper, a person belonging to your societies, died, you were ready enough to give your imprimatur and recommendation to every wild

flight of fancy she uttered, as “all strong sterling sense, strictly agreeable to sound reason.” “Here, (says Mr. Wesley in his preface) are no extravagant flights, no mystic reveries, no unscriptural enthusiasm. The sentiments are all just and noble.”—The cause is plain. The Lord (it seems) had promised this Jane Cowper, “that Mr. J. Wesley’s latter works should exceed his former,” therefore she must be canonized\*; but Mr. Toplady, in his dying avowal, had borne his open testimony both against Mr. Wesley and his principles; therefore, “the devil himself could not have invented any thing worse than what he had uttered,” and he must be sent blaspheming and despairing into the bottomless pit. Behold! Sir, what self partiality and a desire to make known your own importance leads you to. The like spirit runs throughout all your publications, whether sermons, journals, appeals, preservatives, Arminian magazines, &c. &c. in all of which, it is too evident, that the grand design in view is that of trumpeting forth your own praises. Tedious and fulsome as this appears in the eyes of men of sense and judgment, yet a gentleman of Mr. Wesley’s cunning and subtlety can, from hence, suck no small advantage, as there are multitudes amongst your own people, who, through a blind attachment to your person, and a no less blind zeal to promote your interests, look upon it as perfectly right and proper; and are at all times, and upon all occasions, ready to pay the most implicit obedience to your *ipse dixit*, and to believe, or disbelieve, just as you would have them. But I have nothing to do with

\* Notwithstanding this young woman might, in some instances, be under the influence of a spirit of enthusiasm, yet far be it from me to affirm, that the whole of her experience was a delusion. On the contrary, I am persuaded she was a sincere devoted Christian, and believe that she is now in heaven.

such bigots : to endeavour to open their eyes by argument, would be as vain as to attempt to wash the *Æthiopian* white, or to change the leopard's spots. There are, however, many persons of good sense and true piety in your societies, who, in spite of all your artifices, begin to form a judgment of you according to facts. It is for the benefit of such persons, as well as to vindicate the memory of a departed saint from your foul aspersions, that you are presented with this epistle ; though I confess I was some time before I could bring myself to write or print it. I considered, that a misjudging, prejudiced world would be happy to take advantage from its contents, and to cry " there, there, so would we have it ;" " the methodists \* are all fallen together by the ears, and are discharging their artillery at one another." I considered again, that as to expose you was not my motive, so to bring you to any submission was never in human power. I had well nigh resolved to be silent. On the other hand, I perceived that the sealing testimony, which God vouchsafed to his own truths in the experience of Mr. Toplady, during his illness, and at the time of his death, was not only denied by you, but even construed into a gross imposition of his friends to deceive the public, and thereby the good effects which might justly have been hoped for, were in great measure counteracted ; that his enemies were

\* I observed, upon a former occasion, that the name of Methodist, as it is indiscriminately given by way of reproach, to all who have more zeal for religion than is consistent with the fashion of the times, I have no desire to shake off ; on the contrary, I would glory in it, as the badge which every real Christian is allotted to wear ; and I would pray that I might deserve it much more than I do. But as it conveys the idea of an attachment to the tenets of Mr. Wesley, in opposition to the word of God, and to the doctrines of all the protestant churches, that of this nation in particular ; in this view of it, I desire totally to renounce it.

hardened against the truths he maintained, and so ably vindicated; and even his friends staggered by the shocking accounts forged and propagated: I say, when I saw this to be the case, I determined (to adopt an expression of your own) to “write and print.” I said, let God be true, and every man a liar. If you make no reply, I cannot avoid construing your silence into an acquiescence of your being guilty of the matter brought against you. If you do “write and print” in answer, let me beg you, for once, to avoid quibbles and evasions.

I am, Rev. Sir,

Your sincere well wisher, &c.

and most humble servant,

RICHARD HILL.

“We whose names are underwritten are willing to testify upon oath, if required, that all the particulars published to the world in the late Memoirs, relative to the illness and death of the late Rev. Augustus Montague Toplady, are strictly true; we ourselves having been eye and ear witnesses of the same. And therefore we all heartily join the author of the foregoing letters, in calling upon Mr. John Wesley, to produce his authority for what he told to Mr. Thomas Robinson of Hilderthorp, the Rev. Mr. Greaves, and others, as specified in the letter.

Andrew Gifford, D. D. British Museum.

John Ryland, senior, Northampton.

Thomas Evans †, Apothecary, Knightsbridge.

William Abington, Beaufort-buildings, Strand.

† Mr. Evans attended Mr. Toplady the whole time of his last illness until his death.

Thomas Hough, Surgeon, No. 3, Coventry-street.  
 William Cowley, Barbican.  
 John Cole, Upper Seymour-street, Portman-square.  
 Thomas Jarvis, Charing-cross.  
 Thomas Burgess, Mill-street, Hanover-square.  
 William Hussey, }  
 Susanna Hussey, } Coventry-street.  
 Elizabeth Sterling \*, Nurse.  
 James Matthews, No. 18, Strand.

It would be an unpardonable omission, not to take notice of the nervous reprehension Mr. Wesley received on his unjust assertions, by a pious dissenting minister, who expostulated with him, in a pamphlet, in the following words †: “ Mr. Wesley, and his confederates, to whom this letter is addressed, did not only persecute the late Mr. Toplady, during his life, but even sprinkled his death-bed with abominable falsehood. It was given out, in most of Mr. Wesley’s societies, both far and near, that the worthy man had recanted and disowned the doctrines of sovereign grace, which obliged him, though struggling with death, to appear in the pulpit emaciated as he was, and openly avowed the doctrines he had preached, as the sole support of his departing spirit. Wretched must that cause be, which has need to be supported by such unmanly shifts, and seek for shelter under such disingenuous subterfuges. O! Mr. Wesley, answer for this conduct at the bar of the supreme. Judge yourself,

\* Mrs. Elizabeth Sterling was the only nurse who attended Mr. Toplady until his death, and of course must be the person falsely charged by Mr. Wesley, to have joined his societies in consequence of his (Mr. Toplady’s) awful departure. A charge equally false with all Mr. Wesley’s other assertions.

† See a tract entitled, “ The Foundery Budget Opened,” printed for Johnston, 1780, by the reverend Mr. Mc’Gowan, author of the *Shaver, Death a Vision, &c. &c.*



and you shall not be judged. Dare you also to persuade your followers, that Mr. Toplady actually died in despair! Fie upon sanctified slander! Fie! Fie!”

Those who have read the preceding letters, astonished as they must have been at their contents, will be yet more astonished to hear, that, to the loud and repeated calls, thus given him to speak for himself, Mr. Wesley answered not a word. Nor is it too much to say, that, by maintaining a pertinacious silence, in such circumstances, the very vitals of his character were stabbed by himself. He thus *consented* to a blot remaining on his name, among the foulest that ever stained the reputation of a professed servant of Jesus Christ. The truth or falsehood of those principles, however, which it was the object of his long and laborious life to propagate and defend, cannot be considered as at all affected by his unhappy, and unmanly, and ungedly conduct, in reference to this matter. The account that has just been given of his conduct, together with the reprehension it so well merits, must not, therefore, be considered as designed to repudiate his peculiar principles. By their own merits, or demerits, they must be justified, or condemned. Still less, is it our object to reflect on Mr. Wesley's followers. By the better part of them, the conduct which we have reprobated, will not be approved. Differing from them, as we do, in a few other points of far greater importance, we yet know, and are happy to acknowledge, that some of them are eminent for great talents, and extensive learning; and that more of them are distinguished, for what is still better,—for piety towards God, for faith towards our Lord Jesus Christ, and for an ardent and enviable zeal to promote the present and everlasting happiness of their fellow men.

We must now return once more to Mr. Toplady. And before taking our final leave of him, we shall present our readers with a copy of his last Will and Testament; a document, too characteristic to be omitted in any account of its author. It is as follows:

**I**N THE NAME OF GOD AMEN. I Augustus Montague Toplady, Clerk, Bachelor of Arts, and Vicar of the Parish and Parish church of Broad-Hembury, in the county of Devon, and diocese of Exeter; being mindful of my mortality, (though, at present, in a competent state of bodily health, and of perfect mind and memory) do make and declare this my last will and testament (all written with my own hand, and consisting of three folio pages), this twenty-eighth day of February, in the year of our Lord, One Thousand Seven Hundred and Seventy-Eight, in manner and form following: That is to say, First: I most humbly commit my soul to the hands of almighty God; whom I know, and have long experienced, to be my ever-gracious and infinitely merciful Father. Nor have I the least doubt of my election, justification, and eternal happiness, through the riches of his everlasting and unchangeable kindness to me in Christ Jesus his co-equal Son; my only, my assured, and my all-sufficient Saviour: washed in whose propitiatory blood, and clothed with whose imputed righteousness, I trust to stand perfect and sinless and complete, and do verily believe that I most certainly shall so stand, in the hour of death, and in the kingdom of heaven, and at the last judgment, and in the ultimate state of endless glory. Neither can I write this my last will without rendering the deepest, the most solemn, and the most ardent thanks, to the adorable Trinity in Unity, for their eternal, unmerited, ir-

reversible, and inexhaustible love to me a sinner. I bless God the Father, for having written, from everlasting, my unworthy name in the Book of Life; even for appointing me to obtain salvation, through Jesus Christ my Lord. I adore God the Son, for his having vouchsafed to redeem me by his own most precious death; and for having obeyed the whole law, for my justification. I admire and revere the gracious benignity of God the Holy Ghost, who converted me, to the saving knowledge of Christ, more than two and twenty years ago, and whose enlightening, supporting, comforting, and sanctifying agency is, and (I doubt not) will be, my strength and my song, in the house of my earthly pilgrimage. Secondly: As to my body, I will and desire it may be interred in my chancel, within the parish church of Broad-Hembury, aforesaid, if I should be in Devonshire, or near to that county at the time of my death. But, in case I die at, or in the neighbourhood of, London; or at any other considerable distance from Devonshire; let the place of my interment be, wheresoever my executor (herein after named) shall choose and appoint; unless, in writing or by word of mouth, I should hereafter signify any particular spot for my place of burial. Thirdly: Let me be buried where I may, my express will and desire is, that my grave be dug to the depth of nine feet, at the very least, from the surface of the ground; or (which would be still more agreeable to my will and desire) to the depth of twelve feet, if the nature of the soil should admit of it. I earnestly request my executor to see to the performance of this article, with particular care and exactness. Fourthly: My express will is, that my funeral expences may not, if possible, exceed the sum of twenty pounds sterling. Let no company be invited to my burial. Let no rings, scarfs, hatbands, or mourning of any kind, be distributed. Let no fu-

neral sermon be preached. Let no monument be erected.\* Fifthly: Whatsoever worldly substance and effects I shall die possessed of; and whatsoever worldly substance and effects I may be entitled to, before, at, or after the time of my decease; whether money, plate, china, books, coins and medals, paintings, linen, clothes, furniture, and all other effects, of whatsoever kind, and to what amount soever, whether in town or country, at home or abroad; together with all arrears, and dues, of every sort; I do, hereby, give and bequeath the whole and every of them (excepting only such single sum, as shall be herein afterwards distinctly named and otherways disposed of) to my valuable and valued friend Mr. William Hussey, china and glass-dealer of Coventry-street, in the county of Middlesex, and parish of St. James, in the Liberty of Westminster; and who [viz. the said Mr. William Hussey] when not resident in town, is likewise of Kensington-Gore, in the said county of Middlesex, and parish of St. Margaret, Westminster. And I do hereby nominate, constitute, and appoint him, the said William Hussey, the whole and sole executor of this my last will and testament, and my whole and sole residuary legatee. Sixthly: My will is, that my effects, so left and bequeathed, as abovesaid, to the aforementioned William Hussey, shall be, and hereby are, charged with the payment of the clear and neat sum of one hundred and five pounds, good and lawful money of Great Britain, to Elizabeth Sterling, now or late of Snow's-Fields, in or near the borough of Southwark, in the county of Surrey, spinster. Which said sum of one hundred and five pounds lawful money of Great Britain, as aforesaid, I will and desire may be paid, clear and free of all deduction whatever, to the said Elizabeth Sterling, by my be-

\* Some part of this was altered by his own verbal direction.

fore named executor, Mr. William Hussey, within three months, at farthest, after my decease; for and in consideration of the long and faithful services, rendered by her, the said Elizabeth Sterling, to my late dear and honoured mother of ever-loved and revered memory. Seventhly: Let all my manuscripts of what kind soever (I mean, all manuscripts of and in my own hand-writing,) be consumed by fire, within one week after my interment †. Eighthly: Whereas, it may seem mysterious, that I leave and bequeath no testamentary memorial of my regard to any of my own relations, whether by blood or by alliance, and whether related to me by my father's side, or by my mother's, it may be proper just to hint my reasons. In the first place, I am greatly mistaken, if all my own relations be not superior to me, in point of worldly circumstances. And, secondly, as my said relations are rather numerous, I deem myself more than justified in passing them all by, and in not singling out one, or a few, in preference to the rest; especially seeing my good wishes are impartially divided among them all. Ninthly: With respect to many most valued and honoured persons, whose intimacy and friendship have so highly contributed to the happiness of my life, though not related to me by any family tie; these I likewise, omit, as legatees: First, Because they are, in general, abundantly richer than myself; and, Secondly, Because they too are so extremely numerous, both in town and country, that it is absolutely out of my power to bequeath, to each and every one of them, a substantial or very valuable memento of the respectful love which I bear to them in Christ our common Saviour! and to distinguish only some of them by legacies, might carry an im-

† This was repealed by his own request, and left to the pleasure of his executor.

plication of ingratitude to the rest.—In testimony of all which premises, (and at the same time, utterly revoking, cancelling, annulling, and rescinding every and all other will or wills, by me heretofore made) I hereunto set my hand and seal, the day and year first above written, viz. Saturday, the twenty-eighth day of February; and in the year of our Lord, One Thousand Seven Hundred and Seventy-Eight; and of the reign of his majesty, king George the Third, the eighteenth year.

AUGUSTUS MONTAGUE TOPLADY. L. S.

Signed, declared, and published, as and for the last will and testament of him, the said Augustus Montague Toplady, in the presence of us, who subscribe our names in the testator's presence, and at his request.

JOHN BERNARD JUNTHUR.

THOMAS WILKS.

From the preceding statements, the peculiarities of Mr. Toplady's character, are sufficiently obvious. They have been made on evidence, the validity of which, cannot for a moment, be questioned; and might well warrant the moral painter, to exhibit a portrait, which would be highly coloured indeed, before it could be at all overcharged. An ardent and diligent student in his youth, he was distinguished for extensive information and solid learning, in his riper years. Habits of close application, acquired in early life, continued with him till the last. Never idle, he has left behind him, such monuments of literary industry, as have not often been equalled by any, who have lived for so short a space, as not

to have completed thirty-eight years. He was of those who live long in little time. His zeal for the truth, for which he was called earnestly to contend, procured him many enemies. But this same zeal for the truth, together with his affability and condescension, the kindness of his heart, and the elegance of his manners, the sanctity of his life, and the usefulness of his labours, attached to him an incomparably greater number of the best friends. Admired by them as a preacher, and beloved by them as a man, they showed the greatest veneration for his character while he lived, and for his memory after he died.

From the time when it pleased God to reveal his Son in him, piety was the element in which he breathed. Eminently a man of prayer, and delighting in spiritual meditation, and other spiritual exercises, he maintained close and constant fellowship with the God of his salvation. He thus became more and more assimilated to the image, and devoted to the cause of his divine Redeemer. To exhibit the glory of his person, to extol his righteousness, and to magnify his salvation, to persuade perishing sinners to believe on him to eternal life, and to encourage fellow saints, still more, to glory in his cross, and honour all his laws, were the leading objects of all his anxious wishes, and all his fervent prayers, of all his discourses from the pulpit, and all his publications from the press.

He truly spent his strength in the service of his Master. Worn out at length, he, with becoming patience, but with joyful anticipation, waited for the moment, when his Lord should call him to enter his beatific presence, and to join the society and take part in the services of an innumerable company of angels, and of all the spirits of just men made perfect. As his outward man decayed, his inward man was renewed day by day. Weak in body, but

strong in spirit, his hopes brightened, and his joys increased, as he approached the verge of mortality. The earthly house of his tabernacle, as if overpowered, by the manifestations of glory made within, fell at length: And then the angelic spirit, now emancipated from its prison-house, rose to the regions of immortality, there to inhabit “an house not made with hands, eternal in the heavens.”—“Mark the perfect man, and behold the upright, for the end of that man is peace.”



**AN ELEGIAC POEM**

**ON**

**THE DEATH**

**OF**

**THE REV. A. M. TOPLADY.**



# AN ELEGIAC POEM

ON

THE DEATH

OF

THE REV. A. M. TOPLADY.

---

*The Elegiac Poem, here subjoined, appeared shortly after the death of Mr. Toplady, and, as these Pieces, in general, descend into oblivion, the Editor, in order to preserve this from a similar fate, has inserted this tribute to departed worth, as it appeared in the Magazines of that period.—The Author's name (he believes) was Mr. John Fellows.*

DESCEND ye shining seraphs from on high !  
Ye, who with wonder and with praise survey  
The great Redeemer's love to fallen man ;  
Ye, who with ceaseless songs surround the throne  
Of filial godhead, basking in the blaze  
Of boundless glory ; ye, who burn with love  
To all the saints ; and have, at Christ's command,  
Oft join'd in bright assemblage, and came down  
From heaven's high summit thro' these lower skies,  
To bear his sons triumphant to his throne.  
Descend ! and in full legion aid the flight  
Of a fair saint, who now rejoicing lies  
On death's cold verge : who, in his God's embrace,  
Smiling resigns his mortal breath, and stands  
On love's strong pinions ready to ascend.  
Salvation to the Lamb who once was slain !  
Dominion, glory, majesty, and praise !

Unerring wisdom shines, and boundless might  
 In all his deeds. By his almighty power  
 He hath disarm'd the monster of his sting,  
 And tyrant death is now a conquer'd foe.  
 Wide as the sound of mighty seas, let all  
 The heavenly multitudes begin the song.  
 Let all the skies with hallelujahs ring :  
 And each angelic harp resound his praise.

Thus as AUGUSTUS yielded up his breath  
 And smiling sunk into his Saviour's arms,  
 His guardian angel sang. Mean while a train  
 Of mighty cherubs, by heaven's King's command,  
 Assembled, wait the signal to descend,  
 And bring the saint in triumph thro' the skies.  
 Michael, the chief of the angelic hosts,  
 With Gabriel, the fast friend of all the heirs  
 Of glory, now commanded : The glad chiefs  
 Prepare their trophies, and with heavenly pomp  
 Worthy the great occasion, swift descend  
 Thro' the pure æther. All the shining train  
 With strong immortal pinions cleave the skies.  
 Michael the prince, before the troop descends,  
 Exulting thus to friendly Gabriel spake :

MICHAEL.

Gabriel behold with what extatic joy  
 Our favour'd train receive the high command  
 To fetch AUGUSTUS to the climes of bliss !  
 With eager haste each cherubim proceeds ;  
 Fix'd to the chariot stand the steeds of fire,  
 Which beat with burning hoofs the sounding plain,  
 And snorting toss on high their beamy heads  
 Reluctant to the rein. The fervid wheels  
 Instinct with spirit, and with love inspir'd  
 Burn for the course. Each cherub waves his shield,  
 And claps his wings impatient of delay.

## GABRIEL.

If any thing can add to heavenly bliss,  
Or give new relish to the boundless joys  
We feel in doing our great Maker's will,  
It is the holy pleasure which expands  
Our glowing hearts, when from the lower world  
We bear on high Immanuel's ransom'd sons,  
The chosen objects of his early love :  
But when we bring to his eternal hill  
Those who have labour'd in his righteous cause,  
And have each glorious gospel truth maintain'd  
Against the rage of Sion's numerous foes,  
Our joys are greater : And these earthly stars  
We bear to heavenly heights and set to shine  
In brighter skies. But see, the signal made  
For our departure ! Down the steep of heaven  
As swift as light, ye legions bear away !

## MICHAEL.

Here ! this way lies our course ! Behold yon star  
Which feebly glimmers thro' the distant void ;  
And scarce to angels' sight appears in view.  
This is the sun that fills the lower skies  
With light and heat ; and bath successive years  
Pour'd from his burning throne the blazing day  
Which cheers the world where the Redeemer bled.  
A world where horrid guilt, outrageous reigns,  
And black rebellion seeks to storm the skies :  
Where haughty man, the lord of all the globe,  
Presumes with daring insolence t' arraign  
The conduct of his Maker ; break his law,  
And disbelieve his word. A world where hell's  
Black horrid king in ceaseless tumult reigns,  
Fomenting rage, and cruelty, and war  
In all their horrid forms ; and every vice

So hateful and abhorr'd, that heavenly lips  
 Disdain to mention ; but for this devote  
 To ample vengeance, at th' appointed day  
 When she shall burn by heaven's awakened ire,  
 And God in thunder vindicate his law.

GABRIEL.

Yet in this world, such is the sovereign will  
 Of heaven's dread Monarch, and his high decree,  
 The sons of grace and heirs of glory dwell.  
 Here they are kept at distance from his throne,  
 And from surrounding evils safe preserv'd  
 By powerful grace ; and here they undergo  
 Such discipline as trains them for the skies.  
 On their account it is that vengeance stays,  
 And heaven's rich blessings crown this wicked world  
 In wide profusion. When the last of all  
 The ransom'd race hath pass'd the gates of death,  
 Almighty vengeance, like a flood, will burst  
 From heaven's high throne, and wrap the world in fire.

MICHAEL.

These are the objects of his choice regard  
 Whom the bright natives of the sky adore,  
 Who once was dead, but lives and reigns for ever.  
 He keeps them in his eye ; his power supports  
 In every trouble. At the hour of death  
 His arms receive them ; and his guards he sends  
 In shining squadrons, his cherubic guards  
 To fetch them to his throne.

GABRIEL.

This is the cause,  
 The joyful cause which wings our present flight.  
 Nor is a common saint our precious charge ;

But one whose love and labours well are known  
On heavenly ground. How often have his prayers  
Ardent ascended thro' thick night, and burn'd  
Like grateful incense, which heaven's king receiv'd  
With pleasing smiles which bright'ned all the sky.

## MICHAEL.

How oft, amongst the happy sons of light,  
Hath the Redeemer spoke his servant's praise ;  
And, smiling, held him up to heavenly view,  
As a defender of his righteous cause ?  
Mention'd his labours, and his holy zeal  
With approbation : and enjoin'd the throng  
Of listening cherubs to adorn their harps  
With flowery garlands, and prepare new songs  
Against the joyful, th' appointed day  
Which brings him to the skies.

## GABRIEL.

How oft with joy  
And holy wonder hath the ardent train  
Of warrior angels, when from earth's low plains  
They brought some precious saint to heavenly heights,  
And taught their unfledg'd wings to scale the skies ;  
Heard them relate, how from their native night  
And heavy slumber on the brink of hell,  
They were awoke to see their dreadful state,  
And sue for mercy, by the mighty power  
Of sovereign grace, which to their hearts apply'd  
Some powerful portion dropping from the lips  
Of that dear servant of the Lord, who now  
Demands the care of our surrounding shields,  
Our swiftest pinions and our sweetest songs.

## MICHAEL.

And with what transport have we often heard,  
 As we ascended thro' the trackless void  
 With some fair charge, how the Redeemer's love  
 Was first display'd to cheer their drooping hearts  
 By some sweet words, which heavenly power apply'd,  
 Warm from the heart and flowing from the lips  
 Of this dear man! How have the saints been warn'd  
 Not to erect their building on the sand,  
 But on th' eternal rock, which all hell's powers  
 Can never shake! How have their doubts been clear'd  
 By the full blaze of heavenly truth! How were  
 Their minds enlighten'd, comforted, upheld  
 By his instructions! With what fervent praise  
 Have they approach'd the great Redeemer's throne,  
 And, safe on heavenly ground, have bless'd the day  
 When first they sat attentive at his feet  
 And heard his words!

## GABRIEL.

'Tis true, he was indeed  
 A burning and a shining light; set up  
 By heavenly power to lead the ransom'd race  
 Safe thro' the darkness which o'ershades the land.  
 The heights of science in his youth he gain'd,  
 And with a rapid course explor'd th' extent  
 Of learning's province. Then, by powerful grace,  
 Call'd out, and to his Saviour's vineyard sent,  
 His ardent soul, inspir'd with love divine,  
 Pour'd all her faculties and all her strength  
 Into the noble work: and all her powers  
 Burn'd to display a bleeding Saviour's love,  
 And teach a wond'ring world Immanuel's praise.



## MICHAEL.

The great Redeemer's glories to reveal,  
And make the saints more ready to embrace  
A free salvation, 'twas his constant care  
To show the wretched state of native man.  
How from the bitter fountain of the fall,  
In every stream, the dire pollution runs.  
Corrupt and wicked all the rising race  
Of Adam stands. Not one but in his heart  
Dares to withstand his Maker's sov'reign will,  
And all his father in his soul rebels.  
For this devote to death each sinner stands  
And heavy vengeance hangs o'er all the race ;  
Which none escape but thro' a Saviour's blood.

## GABRIEL.

But with what holy extasy and joy  
Did wond'ring crowds hang on the precious lips,  
Of the dear saint for whom we now descend ;  
While in his powerful, soul-affecting strain  
The great Redeemer in full glory rose !  
How glow'd each heart with joy while he display'd  
His glorious person, his amazing love,  
His great salvation, his victorious deeds,  
And pardon preach'd to sinners thro' his blood.

## MICHAEL.

How did the skies with acclamations ring,  
When new ascended souls on heavenly plains  
Beneath the trees of life, were heard relate  
To listening angels, in what powerful strain  
He spake the glories of th' incarnate God ;  
And the exalted Lord of life display'd  
In the full blaze of Deity supreme :

Ador'd, as such, by all the happy throng  
 Of saints and angels, while he fills the skies  
 With boundless glory.—Hence, ye impious throng!  
 Whose darken'd minds and eyes unus'd to light,  
 Ach at the glories of the Son of God.  
 Ye, whose bold pride presumes such daring heights  
 As would degrade the sovereign of the skies;  
 And will not worship at the glorious throne  
 Where every bright archangel veils his face,  
 And falls with deepest reverence. But, vain man  
 Would fain be wise; and in his native filth  
 Boldly rush in where angels dare not tread,  
 And make a god himself can comprehend!

## GABRIEL.

And with what clearness did the pious saint,  
 Whose voice on earth will now be heard no more,  
 Display the glories and the mighty power  
 Of Sovereign Grace! Not by the will of man,  
 He plainly show'd, but the all-conquering might  
 Of God the Spirit, is each sinner call'd.  
 'Tis his resistless power that first begins,  
 Maintains, and thro' each stage he carries on  
 The noble work; prevailing o'er the filth  
 Of ruin'd nature, 'till it stand complete,  
 In heavenly glory. All the ransom'd race,  
 Safe-guided thro' the wilderness, shall find  
 Their Father's house. Not one of all the train  
 Shall ever perish. All the powers of hell,  
 Tho' all their rage unite against one saint,  
 Can never pluck him from his Saviour's arms.  
 But sinful man, such is his native pride,  
 Would fain be sharer in this noble work;  
 Of his own doings a proud structure raise,  
 And from its summit boldly mount the skies.  
 But heaven, with anger, views the impious toil  
 Of all such builders; mocks their vain attempts,

O'erturns their boasted fabrics in its ire,  
“ And buries madmen in the heaps they raise.”

## MICHAEL.

How great the folly of mistaken man,  
To think his works are worthy to appear  
On heavenly ground ! Who hopes to share the praise  
Of his salvation ; and with dirty feet  
Would dare pollute the bright transparent stream  
Of love divine ; which, from th' eternal throne,  
Flows pure and clear, and in this lower world  
Streams like a fountain thro' a Saviour's blood.  
But will not with the muddy waters mix  
Which rise from nature's fountain.

## GABRIEL.

Whether pride,  
Or stupid folly in mistaken man,  
Most calls for censure, is a puzzling question  
No angel can resolve. How much of each  
They all betray, when they presume to rise  
Against the glories of a sovereign God,  
Who sits enthron'd, amidst the boundless blaze  
Of uncreated brightness, and that light  
No mortal can behold ! He from his throne  
At one vast comprehensive view beholds  
The universe, and all created things,  
Past, present, and to come. How oft have we,  
And all the heavenly multitude, retir'd  
With trembling awe, while the eternal King  
Hath in surrounding darkness veil'd his throne ;  
And not the tall archangel durst presume  
To pry into the secrets of his reign !  
But man, vain man ! can boldly dare to blame,  
Oppose and contradict his high decree :  
In his own narrow limits would confine

Eternal love, nor give heaven's Sovereign leave  
 To choose amongst his creatures whom he will,  
 And bring the happy objects of his choice  
 Safe to his throne by his almighty power,  
 Because proud man can see no reason why.

MICHAEL.

But see the world to which we wing our way  
 Appears in view. Behold the clouded sphere  
 Of earth and water form'd. The darker parts  
 Are spacious seas; the lighter solid land,  
 The seat of man. See, in triangular form  
 Great Britain rise, and swell upon the sight.  
 Here, in full peace, the heirs of glory dwell,  
 And sit beneath the gospel's joyful sound.  
 And from this favour'd land each day we bring  
 Numbers of shining saints, and bear on high  
 To people all the skies.

GABRIEL.

What cause for praise  
 Hath every native of this happy land!  
 Happy! thrice happy! knew they how to prize  
 Each precious privilege which they enjoy,  
 Since their deliverance from th' oppressive power,  
 And purple tyranny of haughty Rome.  
 But, cold and careless grown, they sit supine,  
 And her ungrateful sons behold the place,  
 Without emotion, where their fathers bled:  
 And, fearless now, they with the serpent play,  
 By whose deceitful wiles, and bloody rage,  
 A world hath smarted.

MICHAEL.

See, the tools of Rome  
 With demons join'd, how cunningly they hide

Their base designs ! How, in the dark, they work,  
And on unwary and unstable minds  
Too much prevail ; while, like a lamb they paint  
The papal monarch ! But if once he rear  
His bloody standard, this revolted land  
Will hear him like a dreadful lion roar :  
And late, by sad experience, will be taught  
That the old dragon has not lost his sting.

## GABRIEL.

And now to bring about her base designs,  
See, how the fraud of Rome hath undermin'd  
The British counsels ! for the land declines  
In strength and glory, while the sword of war  
She hath, by madness urg'd, and cruel rage,  
In her own bowels plung'd \*.

## MICHAEL.

But see, we stand  
On earthly ground, and at our journey's end.  
Just rising from the frozen arms of death,  
And from the chains of matter now broke loose  
Our charge appears. His guardian angel smiles  
To see our squadron. Not unknown he views  
Each cherub's features ; and presumes the cause  
For which we left the skies.

## GUARDIAN ANGEL.

Hail ! ye bright train  
Of happy angels ! welcome to the land  
Where great Immanuel trains his chosen sons  
For boundless glory ! And, when fit to rise,  
Having perform'd his holy work and will,  
Sends his bright guards to bear them to his throne.

\* This Poem was written during the American contest. ED.

Such is the cause which brings you now from heaven.  
 With ardent joy I your assembly join,  
 And to your care commit my precious charge,  
 Who burns with heavenly love, and longs to rise  
 With you to worship, and to join your songs.

## GABRIEL.

Sing, all ye seraphs, the deserved praise  
 Of our incarnate God! who reigns on high  
 And dwells amidst the unutterable blaze  
 Of uncreated light. Him all the skies,  
 With awful reverence, and with holy joy,  
 Adore and praise: and his immortal deeds  
 Will find fresh matter for our soaring songs,  
 When we, assembled, sit on heavenly hills;  
 Nor can eternal ages e'er exhaust  
 The boundless theme. Salvation to the Lamb!  
 Immortal glory, honour, power and praise,  
 Are justly his! He triumphs over death,  
 The yawning grave, and all the powers of hell.

## SOUL.

What songs are these which charm my wond'ring  
 mind,  
 And fill with growing joy, unknown before!  
 What stream of heavenly harmony is this  
 Which breathes my welcome to the immortal shores!  
 And sings the triumphs of the mighty God  
 Whom all my ardent spirit burns to see.  
 Say, ye bright natives of the heavenly land,  
 Who in transcendent glory shine around;  
 Who cheer me with your condescending smiles,  
 And fire me with your songs! for I perceive  
 You also worship at Immanuel's throne,  
 And all your heavenly harps resound his praise.  
 Tell me! Oh, tell me, for my vigorous powers  
 Burn to behold my Saviour and my God.

Where shall I find him! which way thro' the sky  
Lies my long journey! Or will you, bless'd train!  
Permit me with your squadron to ascend,  
And learn the way to the Redeemer's throne?

MICHAEL.

Yes, happy saint! we come at the command  
Of heaven's Supreme, from his eternal hill;  
And a bright chariot bring with steeds of fire,  
To bear thee up in triumph thro' the sky,  
And lodge thee in thy dearest Lord's embrace.  
For know, thy labours and thy ardent love  
Are not in heaven unknown: nor will they fail  
To find their full reward. The heavy toil  
Thou hast sustain'd, and now remains the rest,  
Thine are the bliss, the glory, and the joy.

SOUL.

But say, bright armies of the heavenly King!  
Whose condescending love brings you so far  
From your bless'd home; for, strange it seems to me  
You on such errand should forsake the sky;  
What need is there of this amazing pomp?  
Or why should your bright legions take such care  
Of one that's most unworthy? while the praise  
Of the Redeemer claims your ceaseless songs;  
And the great King who fills th' eternal throne  
Your constant worship?

MICHAEL.

Think not heaven so thin  
Of happy natives, or th' eternal throne  
So slightly guarded, but the Lord of all  
Can numbers spare to fetch his chosen sons.  
Nor think the blessed objects of his choice

So little honour'd by the sons of heaven  
 That we should be unwilling to bestow  
 Such tokens of respect as our great King  
 Commands. Nor would the brightness of our train  
 Employ thy wonder, had thine eyes beheld  
 The various beauties of the heavenly land,  
 The boundless glory of th' eternal throne,  
 And the transcendent grandeur of the courts  
 Of our exalted King!

GABRIEL.

Blest soul! thy need  
 Of our cherubic guards, thou wilt perceive  
 Far greater than at present may appear.  
 Thro' the vast trackless void thy journey lies,  
 And great the distance from this world to heaven.  
 Thy unexperienced flight might miss the way,  
 And far aside explore with devious wing  
 The dreary waste. Besides, th' apostate crew  
 Of wicked spirits, whose dominion lies  
 Between the earthly and th' ethereal plains,—  
 These, though they could not wound, might much  
 annoy,  
 And want not malice to attempt their worst.  
 They, by their arts, might shake the trembling air  
 With mimic thunders; and their lightnings play  
 Full in thy face; while with delusive powers  
 They raise around thee various horrid forms  
 To shock thy peace and make thy courage fail.

MICHAEL.

Or if these airy terrors miss'd their end,  
 And still unmov'd thou couldst thy flight maintain;  
 They might assume the drapery of the skies,  
 Array'd in light, attract thy wond'ring view,  
 And seem bright cherubs to thy erring sight.



Then bold delusive scenes of pleasure draw,  
Green shades and silver fountains might be seen,  
And heavenly music seem to charm thine ear;  
But all deceitful, tending to ensnare,  
And lead thee far away from real joy.

## GABRIEL.

These are their arts, but, of our power afraid,  
They tremble when our squadrons come in view.  
For heavenly glories shock their aching sight,  
And gloomy, murmuring, they in haste retire,  
Howl o'er the waste, and shelter in their dens.

## SOUL.

What reason have I then to love and praise  
The great Redeemer! Who to guard me safe  
Thro' every danger, sends his winged train  
Of warrior angels to protect my flight.  
And you, bright heavenly messengers, demand  
My warmest gratitude—But let's ascend!  
My spirit burns to mount the bless'd abodes,  
To join your praises, learn your noblest songs,  
And worship with you at Immanuel's throne.  
Adieu! my dearest brethren and my friends,  
Whom heavenly providence had made my charge;  
Whose souls I watched for with unceasing care  
Both day and night: and, to my utmost power,  
As grace assisted and occasion serv'd,  
Labour'd to fix you on the rock of ages,  
And build you up in every gospel truth.  
Mourn not for me! but rather lift your eyes  
To where the great Redeemer lives and reigns.  
He can repair your every loss, and give  
Such portions of his Spirit as may fill  
Your ardent souls with heavenly love and joy.  
Your teachers die, but your Redeemer lives!  
Shout, all ye saints! your Jesus lives for ever!

## GUARDIAN ANGEL.

Now happy soul thy painful labours end,  
And thou art rising far above the reach  
Of all that would disturb, or wound thy peace.  
Thine, and the gospel's foes, may strive in vain  
With falsehood and deceit to blast thy name,  
They cannot hurt thy Master's cause, nor thee.

## CHORUS OF ANGELS.

Now we ascend, and thro' the skies proclaim,  
Glory to God! Salvation to the Lamb!  
Him all the armies of the sky adore:  
We sing his boundless goodness as we soar:  
His glories shine thro' all the heavenly plains;  
Thy God, O Israel! thy Redeemer reigns!





**HISTORIC PROOF**  
OF THE  
**DOCTRINAL CALVINISM**  
OF THE  
**CHURCH OF ENGLAND.**



## ADVERTISEMENT.

---

FOR prevention of mistake, I request leave to apprise the reader,

1. That in the following Essay I use the words CALVINISM and CALVINISTS merely in compliance with custom. The doctrinal system, established in England, which LUTHER and CALVIN were the honoured instruments of retrieving, subsisted, from the beginning, in the faith of God's elect people, and in the sacred scriptures. But, "Dandum aliquid consuetudini."

2. I use the terms PELAGIANISM and ARMINIANISM in their literal and proper signification, as denoting the system originally fabricated by PELAGIUS, and afterwards rebuilt by ARMINIUS. Though in strictness of speech, that system should rather be denominated, MORGANISM and VAN HARMINISM; the real name of Pelagius having been MORGAN, as that of Arminius was VAN HARMIN.

3. By the word METHODISTS, which likewise frequently occurs, I mean the approvers, followers, and abettors of Mr. JOHN WESLEY's principles and practices, and them only. If some folks, either through want of knowledge, or through want of candour, apply the name of METHODIST to such as agree in all points with the church of England, it cannot be

helped; nor have I the least objection to being involved under that title, in this sense of it: but I myself never use the term, except in the meaning above defined.

4. Mention is often made of the ANABAPTISTS, and of their theological enormities. Be it therefore observed, that the Anabaptists of the sixteenth century were a very different sort of people from the Baptists of the last century, and of the current: consequently, what is observed of the former, does by no means affect the latter.

5. I foresee one objection, in particular, to which the ensuing work is liable: viz. that the two PELAGIAN METHODISTS, namely, Mr. JOHN WESLEY and Mr. WALTER SELLON, whose fraudulent perversions of truth, facts, and common sense, gave the first occasion to the present undertaking, “are not persons of sufficient consequence to merit so large and explicit a refutation.” I acknowledge the propriety and the force of this remark. It cannot be denied, that the church of England has seldom, if ever (at least since the civil wars,) been arraigned, tried, and condemned, by a pair of such insignificant adversaries. Yet, though the men themselves are of no importance, the church and her doctrines are of much. Which consideration has weight enough with me, not only to warrant the design and extent of the following vindication, but also to justify any future attempts of the same kind, which the continued perverseness of the said discomfited METHODISTS may render needful. I mean, in case the united labours of that junto should be able to squeeze



forth any thing which may carry a face of argument. For, otherwise, I have some thoughts of consigning them to the peaceable enjoyment of that contempt and neglect due to their malice and incapacity. Lord Bolingbroke somewhere observes, that “ To have the last word is the privilege of bad writers :” a privilege which I shall never envy them.

Mr. WESLEY and his subalterns are, in general, so excessively scurrilous and abusive, that contending with them resembles fighting with chimney-sweepers, or bathing in a mud-pool. So they can but raise a temporary mist before the eyes of their deluded adherents, they care not what they invent, nor whereof they affirm.

6. Let it not, however, be supposed, that I bear them the least degree of personal hatred ; God forbid ; I have not so learned Christ. The very men, who have my opposition, have my prayers also. I dare address the Great Shepherd and Bishop of souls in those lines of the late Dr. Doddridge :

Hast thou a lamb, in all thy flock,  
I would disdain to feed ?

But I likewise wish ever to add,

Hast thou a foe, before whose face  
I fear thy cause to plead ?

Grace, mercy, and peace, be to all who love, and who desire to love, our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity.



## INTRODUCTION.

**B**EFORE I enter on the principal design of the present undertaking, it may be proper to throw together some preliminary observations, by way of preface, that the main thread of our historic enquiry may, afterwards, proceed the more evenly and uninterruptedly.

In February, 1769, I published a pamphlet, entitled, “The Church of England vindicated from the Charge of Arminianism, and the Case of Arminian Subscription particularly considered:” which I addressed to a learned and respectable Oxonian, who had lately presented us with an apology for the Arminian principles; and whose arguments against the real doctrines of our established church, my counter vindication was designed to obviate.

That omniscient Being, to whom “all hearts are open,” knows, that a feeling regard to his glory, and a tender solicitude for the honour of truth, were my sole determining motives to that humble attempt. I could sincerely adopt the appeal of archbishop Bradwardin, who wrote on a similar occasion, and in defence of the same doctrines: *Scis, quòd nusquam virtute meâ, sed tuâ, confisus, tantillus aggredior tantam causam* (*a*). Far, exceeding far, from presuming on any imaginary abilities of my own, and equally remote from wishing to distinguish myself on the stage of public observation, I resolved to conceal my name; though I could not resolve, by continuing entirely silent, to forego my allegiance to God, and my duty to the church.

The controversy had, indeed, been recently in the hands of a person, whose zeal for the principles of the reformation adds dignity to his rank, and lustre to his talents; I mean the able and learned

(*a*) In Pref. ad libros De Causâ Dei.

author of *Pietas Oxoniensis*: And I freely confess, that I was under some doubt, whether it might not carry an implication of self-confidence, should I glean up, and lay before the public, a few of those authentic facts and testimonies, the mention of which had, for the most part, been omitted by that masterly writer. Considering, however, that of old, even those persons who had but a mite to throw into the treasury, were not therefore wholly exempted from the duty of contribution; I fluctuated no longer; but hastily threw together such observations as then occurred, and in a few weeks transmitted them to the printer. I have much reason to bless God for their publication. That tract, hurried and unfinished as it was, met with a reception, which, in such an age as the present, I could neither expect nor imagine.

Upwards of two years after, i. e. in the summer of 1771, a Mr. Walter Sellon (who stands in the same relation to Mr. John Wesley, as Celestius did to Pelagius, and Bertius to Arminius; viz. of Retainer-general and White-washer in Ordinary) hands a production into the world, designed to prove, that Arminianism and the church of England are as closely connected, as the said Messieurs Walter and John are with each other. The piece itself is the joint-offspring of the two associated heroes. As, therefore, in its fabrication, those gentlemen were united, even so in its confutation, they shall not be parted.

Arminianism is their mutual *Dulcinea del Toso*. And, contrary to what is usually observed among co-inamoratos, their attention to the same favourite object creates no jealousy, no uneasiness of rivalry, between themselves. High mounted on Pine's Rosinante, forth sallies Mr. John from Wine-street, Bristol, brandishing his reed, and vowing vengeance against all who will not fall down and

worship the (*b*) Dutch image which he has set up. With almost an equal plenitude of zeal and prowess, forth trots Mr. Walter from Ave-mary-lane, low mounted on Cabe's halting dapple. The knight and the squire having met at the rendezvous appointed, the former prances foremost, and, with as much haste as his limping steed will permit, doth trusty Walter amble after his master.

How successful these combatants are, in their attack on my first defence of the Doctrinal Calvinism of the Church of England, I cheerfully leave to the decision of the public. This, however, I may venture to say, that, after a tedious incubation of six-and-twenty months, they ought to have hatched an answer that might carry some show, at least, of plausible argument. But even craft itself seems, in the main, to have discharged them from her service. Here is neither subtilty, nor solidity. I am, in fact, going to encounter a phantom. No laurels, therefore, will crown the conquest; and the poor phantom should, for me, have stalked unmolested, had not the importance of the subject retrieved, in some measure, the insignificancy of the performance.

One of them (for it is not always easy to distinguish the immediate speaker) charges me with "crying up the abilities of some against whom I have written, only that I myself may appear to have greater abilities of my own, in vanquishing such able antagonists." Malice has here forged an accusation, too ignoble even for malice to believe. The brace of brothers are, indeed, either too blind to see, or too disingenuous to acknowledge, the excellencies of any from whom they dissent; else they would never have termed those great reformers, Luther and Calvin, a pair of "weathereocks (*c*);" nor

(*b*) Pelagianism was revived in Holland, under the new name of Arminianism, toward the beginning of the last century.

(*c*) Page II.

have contemptuously styled St. Austin the “giddy apostle of the Calvinists (*d*).” For my own part, I acknowledge, with pleasure, the eminent talents of very many worthy persons, from whom I differ extremely in opinion. Mr. Sellon, however, may make himself easy as to this particular. Unless he should improve miraculously, I shall never cry up his abilities. I must want common sense, to suppose him a man of parts; and I must want common modesty, to represent him as such. I can distinguish a barber’s bason from a helmet; of course, all the fruit to be reaped from the contest now depending, is, not an ovation for myself, but the acquisition of a tributary pepper-corn to the doctrines of the church.

Mr. Wesley should have lain the burden of his alliance on other shoulders than those of Mr. Sellon. The lot could not possibly have fallen on a more incompetent man. He is much too unknowing, and too hot, to come off, with any degree of credit, in an engagement which has foiled so many of the wise and prudent. He should have remembered the example of Dr. Waterland and others.

As the church is now internally constituted, her Calvinism is impregnable; while she lives, this is immortal. The legislature have it, indeed, in their power (God forbid they should ever have the inclination), to melt down her liturgy, homilies, and articles; and, when her component particles are severed by state chymistry, to cast her into the Arminian mold: but, until this is really done, all the artifice of man will never be able to fix the banner of Arminius in the citadel, how daringly soever some of his disciples may display it on the walls. Our pulpits may declare for free-will; but the desk, our prayers, and the whole of our standard writings as a church, breathe only the doctrines of grace.

Several respectable men have reduced themselves to a state of pitiable embarrassment, in attempting to disprove this, during and since, what has been properly enough denominated, the ecclesiastical reign of archbishop Laud. Had that prelate been a Calvinist, and had the Calvinists of that age joined hands with the enemies to civil and religious liberty, the Calvinism of the church of England would probably have passed uncontested to the present hour; but that prelate attached himself to the new system (and it was then very new indeed) of Arminius; and, which weighed still more against them in the court balance, the Calvinists were friends to the civil rights of mankind; they (observe, I speak only of the doctrinal, not of the disciplinarian Calvinists) were steady to the true religious and political constitution of their country. They opposed, with equal firmness, Laud's innovations in the church, and Charles' invasions of civil freedom. Unhappily both for the nation and the church, and no less fatally for himself, Charles, nurtured in despotism, deemed it his interest to support the Arminians, for purposes of state. I shall have occasion, in the progress of the ensuing Essay, to trace this evil to its source. In the mean while, I return to Mr. Wesley and his understrapper; whom though I shall not constantly persist to mention together, but hold them up to view, sometimes singly, sometimes conjointly, as just occasion may require; the intelligent reader will not fail to notice, that every exhibition of Mr. John involves his man Walter; and that Walter cannot be exhibited without involving Mr. John.

Monsieur Bayle has an observation, perfectly applicable to the two furiosos above-mentioned; had the cap been made for them, it could not have fitted them more exactly. "In hot constitutions," says that able critic, "zeal is a sort of drunkenness, which so disorders the mind, that a man sees every

thing double and the wrong way. The priestess of Bacchus, who fell upon her own son, whom she mistook for a wild boar, is an image of that giddiness which seizes the zealots (*e*).” I am very far from peremptorily affirming, that Mr. Sellon is as intimately connected with Bacchus, as was the above priestess; but his conduct certainly bears a strong resemblance of hers. He pretends that the church of England is his mother; now, his supposed mother is an avowed, thorough-paced Calvinist: but Mr. Sellon abominates Calvinism, and yet wishes to be thought a churchman. What can he do, in so distressful a dilemma? Necessity dictates an expedient. Amidst some qualifying professions of filial respect, this petty Nimrod bends his twelvepenny bow against her he calls his mother; and pretends, all the while, that he is only combating a wild beast, which has chanced to find its way from Geneva to England.

But the church, and the truths of God, have nothing to fear from the efforts of this jaculator. Parthians might aim their arrows at the sun; wolves may exhaust their strength, by howling at the moon; yet, neither the weapons of those could wound the one, nor can the clamour of these so much as alarm the other. The sun persists to shine, and the moon to roll, unextinguished and unimpeded by the impotence of rage, and the emptiness of menace from below.

I have heard, or read, of a picture, which exhibited a view of the apostate angels, just fallen from their state of blessedness. Every attitude and feature were expressive of the extremest horror, indignation, and despair. An artist, into whose possession it came, by only a few touches with his pencil, transformed the shocking representation into a masterpiece of loveliness and beauty; so that se-

(*e*) Hist. Dict. vol. iii. p. 538. Art. Humius.



raphs seemed to smile and sing, where tormented fiends appeared before, to blaspheme for rage, and to gnaw their tongues for pain. Mr. Sellon has pursued a plan directly contrary to that of the amiable artist. The Methodist's grand business (in which, however, he utterly fails) is, to deform the gospel picture, and to disfigure the beauty of the church. He labours to metamorphose, if it were possible, the wisdom and glory of God into a caricature equally frightful and ridiculous: but all his cavils are *infra jugulum*; they come not up to the point. Mr. Wesley and his auxiliaries resemble the army of Mithridates, who lost the day, by mistakenly aiming their arrows, not at the persons, but at the shadows of the Roman soldiers.

Supposing the principles of the church of England to be ever so exceptionable in themselves, the mode of assault, adopted by the mock vindicators, is by no means calculated to gain its end. The far greater part of mankind can readily distinguish fury from zeal, and abuse from argument. A writer, like Mr. Sellon, who dips his pen in the common-sewer, injures and disgraces the cause he seeks to advance. "The wrath of man worketh not the righteousness of God." It is so far from being a part, that it is the very reverse of that righteousness which the example of God prescribes, and his written will enjoins.

I am charged with violating the meekness I recommend, and with being no less than "a persecutor" of the Arminians (*f*). Aggressors are often the first to complain. When Mr. Wesley thinks proper to scatter his firebrands, "zeal for the Lord of hosts," and "earnest contention for the faith delivered to the saints," are the varnish which his abusive rage assumes: but if no more than a finger be lifted up in self-defence, the cry is, "Oh, you are

without gospel love; you are a persecutor of Mr. John; you will not let the good old man descend quietly to his grave."

As to intolerancy and persecution, I have already declared this to be my stedfast opinion, that "the rights of conscience are inviolably sacred, and that liberty of private judgment is every man's birth-right:" yet Mr. Wesley cannot fully avail himself of this concession; for, by having solemnly set his hand to the articles, homilies, and liturgy of the established church, he comes within the exception immediately added, and which I here repeat: "If, however, any like Esau have sold their birthright, by subscribing to articles they do not believe, merely for the sake of temporal profit or aggrandizement, they have only themselves to thank, for the little ceremony they are entitled to (g)."

It is not necessary to be timid in order to be meek. There is a false meekness, as well as a false charity. Genuine charity, according to the apostle's description of it, rejoiceth in the truth. The conduct of our Lord himself, and of the first disciples, on various occasions, demonstrated, that it is no part of Christian candour, to hew millstones with a feather. Rebuke them sharply (*απειρομως*, cuttingly), says the apostle, concerning the depravers of doctrinal Christianity; wish well to their persons, but give no quarter to their errors. The world have long seen, that unmixed politeness, condescending generosity, and the most conciliating benevolence, can no more soften Mr. Wesley's rugged rudeness, than the melody of David's harp could lay the north wind, or still the raging of the sea. Mr. Hervey, in his famous Eleven Letters, has handled Mr. Wesley with all the delicacy and tenderness that a virtuoso would show in catching a butterfly, whose plumage he wishes he preserve uninjured;

(g) See my Caveat against Unsound Doctrines, p. 14.

or a lady, in wiping a piece of china, which she dreads to break. Did Mr. Wesley profit by the engaging meekness of his amiable and elegant refuter? nay, but he waxed worse and worse; like Saul, he strove to stab the name of that inestimable friend, whose gospel music was calculated to dispossess him of his evil spirit. Like the animal, stigmatized in the lviith Psalm, he stopped his ears, and refused to hear the voice of the charmer, though the strains were no less sweet than wise. Every artifice that could be invented has been thrown out, to blacken the memory of the most exemplary man this age has produced. Mr. Wesley insulted him, when living, and continues to trample upon him, though dead. He digs him, as it were, out of his grave, passes sentence on him as a heretic, ties him to the stake, burns him to ashes, and scatters those ashes to the four winds. Rather than fail, the wretched Mr. Walter Sellon is stilted to oppose the excellent Mr. Hervey; and most egregiously bath the living sinner acquitted himself against the long departed saint! In much the same spirit, and with just the same success, as the enemy of mankind contended with Michael the archangel, about the body of Moses.

Every reader may not, perhaps, know the true cause (at least, one of the principal causes) of Mr. Wesley's unrelenting enmity to Mr. Hervey; an enmity, which even the death of the latter has not yet extinguished. When that valuable man was writing his *Theron and Aspasio*, his humility and self-diffidence were so great, that he condescended to solicit many of his friends to revise and correct that admirable work, antecedently to its publication. He occasionally requested this favour even of some who were enemies to several of the doctrines asserted in the dialogues; among whom was Mr. John Wesley. The author imagined, that the unsparing criticism of an adversary might observe defects, and suggest some useful hints, which the ten-

derness and partiality of friendship might overlook, or scruple to communicate. Several sheets having been transmitted to Mr. John (an honour of which he soon showed himself quite unworthy), he altered, added, and retrenched, with such insolence and wantonness of dictatorial authority, as disgusted even the modest and candid Mr. Hervey. The consequence was, Mr. Wesley lost his supervisorship, and in return, sat himself to depreciate the performance he was not allowed to spoil.

By what spirit this gentleman and his deputies are guided in their discussion of controverted subjects, shall appear, from a specimen of the horrible aspersions which, in "The Church vindicated from Predestination," they venture to heap on the Almighty himself. The recital makes me tremble; the perusal must shock every reader, who is not steeled to all reverence for the Supreme Being. May the review cause the daring and unhappy writers to fall down, as in the dust, at the footstool of insulted Deity! Wesley and Sellon are not afraid to declare, that, on the hypothesis of divine decrees, the justice of God is "no better than the tyranny of Tiberius (*h*)." That God himself is "little better than Moloch (*i*)."—"A cruel, unwise, unjust, arbitrary, and self-willed tyrant (*k*)."—"A being void of wisdom, justice, mercy, holiness, and truth (*l*)."—"A devil, yea, worse than the devil (*m*)." Did the exorbitancies of the ancient ranters, or the impieties of any modern blasphemers, ever come up to this? Surely, if such methodists should finally be converted and saved, we can need no stronger proof that grace is infinitely free, and its operation absolutely invincible! Observe, reader, that these are also the very men who are so abandoned to all sense of shame, as to charge me with blasphemy,

(*h*) Page 3.

(*i*) Page 45.

(*k*) Page 59. 71.

(*l*) Page 74.

(*m*) Page 107.

for asserting, with scripture, that God worketh all things according to the counsel of his own will; and that whatever God wills, is right.

We have seen their portrait of the great and blessed God: let us next hear Mr. Sellon's account of his own self; this he has tacked to the fag-end of his work. Be it my humble office, to rescue so brilliant a passage from the ignominy of its present situation, and place it (where it deserves to stand) in the front.

"As to myself," says the Arminian, "I make no scruple to tell you, I am what some call an exotic; one (*n*) destitute of the honour of an academical education. The highest degree I lay claim to, is that of a poor fellow of Jesus College, in the university of Christianity (*o*)." *(o)*

Never, surely, till now, did such low, whining cant ooze from the pen of meanness!

And is the pretended vindicator of a national church dwindled by his own confession into an exotic? That his doctrines are exotic, or foreign and far-fetched, I always knew; but I was, hitherto, not botanist enough to ascertain the exotism of the man. I hope, in his next vindication, he will inform us, to what class of exotic plants he belongs, and whether himself be not as Dutch as his principles.

He adds, that he never had an "academical education;" I believe him; nor is he in any danger of being mistaken for a man of learning. He will never frighten his brother enthusiasts with that horrible bugbear (so alarming to most fanatics)

(*n*) And true enough it is. Mr. Sellon is, in very deed, destitute of the said honour. His education was as illiberal as are his principles: he was, at his first setting out in life, a low mechanic; he then got himself enrolled on the list of Mr. Wesley's lay-preachers: he next insinuated himself into the favour of a certain person of distinction, who (not being indued with the gift of foresight) procured him admission into holy orders: and thus he came to wear prunella.

(*o*) Page 126.

called human literature. He does not so much as know the difference between a degree and a fellowship: "The highest degree I lay claim to," says this pigmy on stilts, "is that of a poor fellow, &c." You should have said, of the Foundery college, in Moorfields, whereof Mr. John Wesley is president, and wherein Thomas Olivers the preaching shoemaker hath taken his degree in ignorance: that, Mr. Sellon, is the college to which you belong: for into what you cantingly style the "University of Christianity," it does not appear that you are so much as entered. In proof of this, I appeal to your preceptor, Mr. Wesley himself; and to your fellow-pupils, his followers. Your own Arminian friends, for whom you falsify through thick and thin, will not acknowledge you for a believer (*p*). However, as you seem to insist on passing for "a poor fellow," I shall, in the following sheets, attentively consider what the poor fellow has to say against the doctrines of the church of England.

One who has drawn so blasphemous a character of God, and who has, moreover, given the public so contemptible a sketch of himself, can hardly be thought likely to draw a very favourable account of his opponents. His representation of me, in particular, is so very curious, and composed of such contradictory ingredients, that I must, for the reader's amusement, submit it to his view. I had before been delineated, by an Arminian helpmeet of Mr. Wesley's, as "sitting in my easy chair, and enjoying all the comforts of life." One would think, that the see of Durham had been transferred to Broad-Hembury, and that the Devonshire Vicar was warmly enrobed in lawn and black satin. So much for my attitude and enjoyments; next for my titles; these Mr. Sellon enumerates. I am, it seems,

(*p*) See the Gospel Magazine, for March, 1771, p. 135.

“ A Flaming Calvinist (*g*).

“ A Dragon (*r*).

“ An Hooter (*s*).

“ A Venomous Slanderer (*t*).

“ A Persecutor, possessing the same butcherly spirit that was in bishop Gardiner; yea, ten times more (*u*).

“ A Perfectionist (*x*).

“ A malapert Boy, severely scratching and clawing with venomous nails (*y*).

“ A Papist (*z*).

“ A Socinian (*a*).

“ A Mahometan (*b*).

“ The greatest Bigot that ever existed, without one grain of candour, benevolence, forbearance, moderation, good-will, or charity (*c*).

“ A wild Beast of impatience and lion-like fury (*d*).

“ A Materialist (*e*);” that is, an Atheist.

A goodly string of appellations! and not a little extraordinary, that they should all centre in one and the same man! Being so uncommon a person myself, my writings too must be something singular. Take a description of them in the words of the said Sellon: “ I find sophistry, fallacy, false insinuation, raillery, perversion of scripture and the church articles, self-contradiction, self-sufficiency, haughtiness, pride and vanity, glaring in almost every page (*f*).”

Thus, enthroned in my easy chair, dignified with titles, and accurately developed as a writer, I only want a suitable address, to render my magnificence complete; and who so well qualified to prepare it, as the eloquent Mr. Sellon? Lo, he attends; and,

(*g*) Page 77.

(*t*) Page 38.

(*y*) Page 79.

(*b*) Page 18.

(*c*) Page 113.

(*r*) Page 117.

(*u*) Page 31, 52.

(*z*) Page 118.

(*e*) Page 117.

(*f*) Page 126.

(*s*) Page 17, 18.

(*x*) Page 49.

(*a*) Page 84.

(*d*) Page 124.

respectfully advancing, pays me the following compliments: "Unhappily daring, and unpardonably bold, thy tongue imagineth wickedness, and with lies thou cuttest like a sharp razor. Thou hast loved unrighteousness more than goodness; and to talk of lies more than righteousness. Thou hast loved to speak all words that may do hurt, O thou false tongue (*g*)."

Such are the candour and politeness of these Methodists; and such are the arguments, by which they would persuade us, that Arminianism is the religion of the church of England.

These are the men that set up for "universal love;" who call one another by the cant names of "precious believers," "most excellent souls," "charming children of God," "sweet Christians," and "the clean-hearted." If their hearts are no cleaner than their mouths, they have little reason to value themselves on their "sinless perfection."

These are they who seek to bottom election on faith and goodness foreseen; of which foreseen goodness, humility and benevolence, meekness and forbearance, are, I suppose, some of the ingredients. Woe be to those "sweet Christians," if their election has no better foundation than their "sweet" tempers, words, and works.

And why all this torrent of abuse? The plain truth is this: I detected Mr. Wesley's forgeries, and chastised the forger. *Hinc ille lacrymæ.* Hence the outcries of John himself, together with those of Thomas Olivers and Walter Sellon. The camp of the Philistines gave a scream, when they saw the levelled stone penetrate the brass of their Goliath's forehead: but of all the tribe, none screamed so loud as the frightened Walter; of whose talent at screaming, a specimen has been exhibited to the reader. Let me whisper a friendly hint to this notable screamer. If you wish your scurrilities to



obtain belief, restrain them within the banks of probability; malice, when too highly wrought, resembles a cannon too highly charged, which recoils on the engineer himself, instead of reaching its intended object of direction.

I might, with the most justifiable propriety, have declined joining issue, in controversy, with a person of Mr. Sellon's cast, who is, by those that know him, deemed ignorant and unpolished even to a proverb: he is, indeed, to borrow the language of another, "a small body of pelagian divinity, bound in calf, neither gilt nor lettered." I once hoped, that his friends were too severe, in branding him with such a character; but he has been so weak as to publish; he has gibbeted himself in print. I am fully convinced, that his friends were in the right, and my charitable hope mistaken.

Let none, however, suppose, that I harbour any degree of malevolence against either him or his master. Whatever I have already written, or may hereafter have occasion to write, in opposition to them, or to any others, on whom the toil of defending them may devolve, has been, and, I trust, ever will be, designed, not to throw odium on their persons, nor to wound their cause unfairly, but, simply, to strip error of its varnish; to open the eyes of delusion; to pluck the vizard from the face of hypocrisy; to bring Arminian Methodism to the test of fact and argument; to wipe off the aspersions thrown, by the despairing hand of defeated heterodoxy, on the purest church under heaven; and to confirm such as have believed through grace.

Indeed, the purity of my intention speaks for itself. At a time of such general defection from the doctrines of the church established, I cannot possibly have any sinister ends to answer, by asserting those doctrines. It cannot be to gain applause; for, was that my motive, I should studiously swim with the current, and adopt the fashionable system:

neither can it be to acquire preferment; for the doctrines of grace are not the principles to rise by. In the reigns of Edward VI. Elizabeth, and the former part of James I. the Calvinistic points were necessary steps to advancement, and led directly to the top of the church: but the stairs have been long turned another way: what was, once, the *causâ sine quâ non* of ascending, is now a *causa propter quam non*; or, considered as a reason for keeping unfashionable divines as low on the ecclesiastical ladder as possible.

I bless God, for enabling me to esteem the reproach of Christ greater treasure than all the applause of men, and all the preferments of the church. When I received orders, I obtained mercy to be faithful; and, from that moment, gave up what is called the world, so far as I conceived it to interfere with faith and a good conscience. The opposition which I have met with, in the course of my ten years ministry, has been nothing, compared with what I expected would ensue, on an open, steady attachment to the truths of God: and what insults have been thrown in my way, came, for the most part, from a quarter equally abusive and contemptible; I mean from Mr. John Wesley, and a few of his unfledged disciples; whose efforts give me no greater apprehension, than would a fly that was to settle on my hat.

Some readers may suppose, possibly, that, in the course of the annexed Treatise, I have handled my assailants too severely: I request, that such will suspend their judgment, until they have perused the performance which gave rise to the present. Their opinion, I am persuaded, will then be reversed; and they will wonder, either at my deigning to take any notice at all, of an invective so exceedingly low and frivolous; or, at my not chastising the authors of it, with a severity proportioned to their demerits: but, for abstaining from the latter, I had, among others, two reasons: 1. I should have sinned against

meekness ; and, 2. The poverty of Mr. Sellon's talents, in particular, is so extreme, as to render him an object rather of pity than of resentment. As the man cannot reason, nor even write grammatically, I often allow him to rail with impunity. If a malicious ignoramus comes against me with a straw, self-defence does not oblige me, and Christian charity forbids me, to knock him down with a bludgeon.

Moreover, the period may arrive, when this very person, as also his commander in chief, may see the justness, and experience the energy, of those heavenly truths, which they now unite to blaspheme : they may even preach the faith to which they have subscribed, and which they impotently labour to destroy. If having once been an Arminian, were incompatible with future conversion and salvation, we might indeed ask, who then can be saved ? For every man is born an Arminian. Unrenewed nature spurns the idea of inheriting eternal life as the mere gift of divine sovereignty, and on the footing of absolute grace. I will not affirm, that all who heartily embrace the scripture system of Calvinism, are savingly renewed by the holy Spirit of God ; for St. Stephen teaches us to distinguish between the circumcision of the ears, and the circumcision of the heart. Thus much, however, I assert, without hesitation, that I know, comparatively, very few Calvinists, of whose saving renewal I have reason to doubt. I will even go a step farther : sincerely to admit and relish a system so diametrically opposite to the natural pride of the human heart, is, with me, an incontestible proof, that a man's judgment, at least, is brought into subjection to the obedience of Christ : and, to every such person, those words may be accommodated, " flesh and blood have not revealed this to thee, but my Father who is in heaven."

I cannot give the two pelagian gentlemen stronger evidence of my concern for their welfare, than by

wishing them to renounce those unhappy principles, which, under pretence of extending the grace of God, by representing it as a glove accommodated to every hand, and which lies at the option of free-will either to make use of, or to fling behind the fire, do, in fact, annihilate all grace whatever, by ultimately resolving its efficacy into the power, merits, and caprice of man. Mr. Wesley and Mr. Sellon may find, in Strype's Collections, a form of recantation, ready drawn to their hands. The historian introduces it thus :

“ Another letter there was, wrote (A. D. 1555) by one in prison (for the protestant faith, during the Marian persecution), who had lately been one of these free-willers (*h*), but now changed in his judgment, to certain of that persuasion, in prison also for the gospel.” The persecution of protestants was so indiscriminate, that not only the bishops, clergy, and members of the church of England, felt its iron hand, but even some of the free-will men (as they were then called), who dissented from the church and had formed a separate conventicle of their own, came in for a taste of the common trouble: but, though a few of the few free-willers (for their whole number was then exceeding small) were imprisoned for a while, I cannot find that so much as one of them either died in confinement, or was brought to the stake. If Mr. Wesley and his friend can give authentic evidence, that so much as a single free-willer was burned by the papists, let them point

(*h*) During the preceding reign of king Edward VI. there had been a congregation of free-willers, in some part of London, who were separatists from the church of England; and, indeed, all free-willers were then accounted dissenters, and openly professed themselves to be such. Certain salvoes for duplicity, which have since been adopted, were not then invented. The free-willers of that age were, with all their mistakes, too honest, either to subscribe to the articles and homilies of the church, or stately to frequent her public worship.—I shall have occasion to mention the free-will congregation hereafter.

him out by name; and, at the same time, remember to adduce their proofs. Such an instance, or instances, if producible, will reflect some honour on the pelagians of that æra, though unable to turn the scale in favour of pelagianism itself. I now return to the letter of the converted free-will man. In it, says the historian, he lamented “the loss of the gospel (i. e. the revival of popery by queen Mary); showing the reasons of it: whereof one he made to be, that they (viz. himself and his pelagian brethren) had professed the gospel (i. e. protestantism) with their tongues, and denied it in their (*i*) deeds: another, that they were not sound in the doctrine of predestination. In this letter he mentioned what a grief it was to him, that he had endeavoured so much to persuade others into his error of free-will; and that divers of that congregation of free-will men began to be better informed; as namely, Ladley and Cole, and others unnamed: the report of whom gave him and his prison fellows much rejoicing (adding); that he was convinced (i. e. converted from being a free-will man) by certain preachers in prison with him, who reconciled St. Paul and St. James together, to his great satisfaction (*h*).”

A great part of this choice letter is published by Mr. Strype, at the close (*l*) of the volume referred to below. For Mr. Wesley’s sake, and for the sake of those who are led captive by him at his will, I here transcribe the following passages, which may serve him

(*i*) This is one proof, among a million, that the doctrines of free-will and of justification by works (both which were stiffly contended for by these pelagians, and to which most of them added the belief of sinless perfection) are not doctrines really calculated to promote holiness of life, whatever the assertors of those tenets may pretend. Observe, they “were not sound in the doctrine of predestination;” and “their deeds” were so dishonourable to a gospel profession, as to amount even to a “denial” of it.—As it was in the beginning, it is now, and ever will be; generally speaking.—Unsoundness and unholiness seldom fail to walk arm in arm.

(*h*) Strype’s Eccles. Memorials, vol. iii. p. 247. edit. 1721.

(*l*) Ibid. Append. No. xliii. p. 116—123.

as a model of retraction, in case it should please God to grant him repentance to the acknowledgment of the truth.

“ What high lauds, thanks and praise, am I bound to give always to God, who hath certified my conscience, by his Spirit, that he will not impute my sins unto me, for his Son Jesus Christ’s sake, in whom he hath chosen his elect before the foundations of the world were laid ; and preserveth us all, so that there shall never any of us finally perish, or be damned.

“ I, for my part, repent, that ever I was so bitter unto them that were the teachers of this undoubted truth : verily, I am not able to express the sorrows that I have in my heart : most especially, in that I went about, by all means, to persuade others, whereby they might be one with me in that error of free-will. With joy unspeakable I rejoice, giving thanks to God, night and day, in that it hath pleased him to vouch me worthy his fatherly correction at this present, showing me what I am by nature ; that is to say, full of impiety and all evil : therefore, the great grief, which I daily feel, is, because I see the horribleness and the great dishonour, that the filthy free-will of man doth render unto God. I sigh and am grieved, because I spake evil of that good I knew not.

“ Wherefore, my beloved, I am provoked by the Holy Ghost, to visit you with my letter ; hoping, and believing, that God will give it good success : whereby God’s glory may be the more set forth. For I have a good opinion of you, my dear brethren ; trusting in God, that he will reveal unto you the knowledge of himself : for I believe verily, that you be vessels of God’s mercy ; therefore I am assured, that you shall lack no necessary article of your salvation. I have good cause so to judge of you ; not only because God hath opened his truth to me alone, but I also see how mercifully he hath dealt with many of our brethren, whom you do know well enough, as well as though I did recite them by name. God forbid

that I should doubt you, seeing it hath pleased God to reveal himself, in these days, to them that heretofore were deceived with that error of the pelagians, yea, and suffered (*m*) imprisonment in defence of that which now they detest and abhor. God be thanked for them. This is the Lord's doing, and it is marvellous in our eyes.

“Like as you have the truth, as concerning the papists' sacrament, in despising and hating that, as I do, it is well worthy: so likewise is free-will a great untruth, undoubtedly.

“I think that God will receive me home unto himself shortly; therefore, I am moved to signify unto you, in what state I stand, concerning the controversy between the opinions of the truth of God's predestination and election in Christ. I do not hold predestination to the end to maintain evil, as there be some have full ungodly affirmed that we do; God forgive them, if it be his will. We are sure that none, who have the full feeling of their

(*m*) It appears from hence, that, in the foregoing reign of king Edward, i. e. from the very first establishment of the protestant church of England, pelagianism, or holding and maintaining the doctrine of free-will and its connected principles, was punished with imprisonment. I acknowledge, that such a method of dealing with the “Free-will men” reflects very great dishonour on the moderation of those times. It demonstrates, however, the high Calvinism of the church of England, whose secular and spiritual governors (among the latter of whom were the principal reformers themselves) could proceed, with such extreme rigour, against the abettors of those very tenets, which some modern Arminians, more rash than wise, would persuade us, were, even ab origine, the doctrines of the church herself. I must add, that the usage of “the Free-will men” was very severe, both on the right hand, and on the left. In the protestant reign of Edward VI. they had been imprisoned for being too popish, in the articles of justification, election, and grace; all three of which they supposed to be conditional and amissible. In the catholic reign of Mary, they were liable to imprisonment, and some of them actually were imprisoned, for not being popish enough, in the articles of image-worship and transubstantiation. Their troubles, under Mary, were no more than might be expected; but their sufferings under Edward and the reformers, were absolutely unnatural and inexcusable.

election in Christ, can love or allow those things which God hateth.

“ I would wish, that men should not allow the fruit of faith to be the cause of faith. Faith bringeth forth good works, and not good works faith; for then of necessity we must attribute our salvation to our good works; which is great blasphemy against God and Christ so to do.

“ But, I thank God, I do allow good works in their (proper) place. For I was created in Christ unto good works; wherefore I am bound to allow them, according to the scriptures; and not to the end to merit by them any thing at all; for then I were utterly deceived: for Esay saith, all our righteousnesses are as a filthy cloth, and are not as the law of God requireth them; wherefore, I acknowledge, that all salvation, justification, redemption, and remission of sins, cometh to us wholly and solely by the mere mercy and free grace of God in Jesus Christ, and not for any of our own works, merits, or deservings. I myself could not understand St. Paul and St. James, to make them agree together, till our good preachers, who were my prison fellows, did open them unto me. I praise God for them, most humbly; and yet I cannot be so thankful for them as I ought to be.

“ Paul saith, faith only justifieth, and not the deeds of the law: and St. James saith, faith without deeds, is dead. Here are contraries to the carnal man. When I saw these two scriptures plainly opened, I could not stand against the truth therein: and thus were they opened unto me; that faith only doth justify before God; and the good deeds which St. James speaketh of, justify before the world.

“ I thank God that they, who I thought would have been my enemies, are become my friends in the truth: as in sample, by our brethren Ladley and Cole, and such like: if it had lain in their own



wills, they would have been enemies to that excellent truth which they do now allow: praised be God for them; for it is he who worketh both the will and the deed. If he had not been merciful unto them and to me, and prevented our wills, we had been still wallowing in the mire. The prophet Jeremy saith, Turn thou me, and I shall be turned; heal thou me, and I shall be healed. And David saith, The Lord hath prepared the hearts of the poor, and his ear hearkeneth unto them: so that it is the Lord who doth all that good is. And again, David saith, Ascribe all honour and glory to God, who alone is worthy: for no man cometh unto me, saith Christ, except the Father, who hath sent me, draw him. And again he saith, All that the Father hath given me, shall come unto me; and him that cometh unto me, I cast not away.

“Therefore, I believe that we shall, every one, be preserved and kept, in him and for him, according to his own word. I dare boldly say, with our everlasting Saviour Jesus Christ, that all the elect shall be preserved and kept for ever and ever: so then none of them shall be damned at any time. They who say that any of them may be lost for ever, do as much as in them lieth to make (i. e. to represent) Christ unable to preserve and keep them: denying the power of Christ, in so saying: for he saith, he loveth his unto the end: which love remaineth, and shall never be extinguished, or put out: and is not as the love of man, which is sometimes angry, and sometimes pleased. God, at no time, is so displeased with any of his elect, to the end that he will deprive them of the purchased possession, which he hath laid up in store for them in Christ before, and were elect according to the foreknowledge of God the Father, through sanctifying of the Spirit, unto obedience and sprinkling of the blood of Jesus Christ: which Lamb was killed from the beginning, according to God’s divine will

and providence. Christ was ordained to die in the flesh; and all was for our sins. Christ was ordained in this respect; that the Father, seeing the fall of Adam, for that purpose only he ordained Christ, to the end that he would preserve a remnant of the posterity of Adam, even as it pleased his godly wisdom.

“What, will some say a remnant, and not all? St. Paul saith, Like as all died in Adam, &c. And St. John saith, Not for our sins only, &c. Ah! will these free-will men say, Where is your remnant now become? To whom I answer by the scriptures, whereas Christ shall say, in the last day, Depart from me, ye cursed; I know you not: I pray you, tell me, did not God know them, as concerning their creation, and also their wickedness? Yes, verily: but he knew them not for his elect children.

“The true church of Christ doth understand these all (viz. the all, and the whole redeemed world, mentioned by St. Paul and St. John), and all other such like scriptures, to include all the elect children of God. None otherwise, I am sure, that these all can be understood, except we should make the scripture repugnant to itself; which were too much ignorance, and too great an absurdity, to grant.

“I affirm, that all they be blasphemers to God, that do slander the truth in predestination; that say, If I be once in, I cannot be out, do what evil I will or can: all such do declare themselves to be reprobates, and children of God's ire and wrath, rather than any of his. For whosoever delighteth in those things which God hateth and abhorreth, doth declare himself to be none of God's: but, if he be any of his, he will give him repentance, for to know the truth, by his Spirit. For the Spirit maketh intercession for the saints, according to the pleasure of God. For we know that all things work for the

best, unto them that love God, who are called of purpose. For those which he knew before, he also ordained before, that they should be like fashioned unto the shape (i. e. here, to the gracious, hereafter to the glorious, resemblance) of his Son.

“ And, seeing God hath made all his elect like to the shape (the spiritual and moral similitude) of Jesus Christ, how is it possible, that any of them can fall away? Whosoever be he, that doth so hold, is against God and Christ; and may as well say, that our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ may perish as any them; for Christ said unto the Father, Thou hast loved them as thou hast loved me: although Christ spake these words to the comfort of his disciples at the present, so likewise is it to the comfort of all us, his chosen. Those that St. Paul speaketh of, that God knew before, he meant by it, all his elect; and immediately he addeth, saying, Whom he appointed before, them also he called; and whom he called, them also he justified; and whom he justified, them also he glorified. What shall we then say to these things? If God be on our side, who can be against us? That is to say, if God have appointed to glorify us and to save us, who can then deny (deprive) him of any of us, or take us out of his hands?

“ My sheep, saith Christ, hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me; and I give unto them eternal life, and they shall never perish. O most worthy scriptures! which ought to compel us to have a faithful remembrance, and to note the tenor thereof; which is, the sheep of Christ shall never perish.

“ Doth Christ mean part of his elect, or all, think you? I do hold, and affirm, and also faithfully believe, that he meant all his elect, and not part, as some do full ungodly affirm. I confess and believe assuredly, that there shall never any of them perish: for I have good authority so to say; because Christ

is my author, and saith, if it were possible, the very elect should be deceived. Ergo, it is not possible that they can be so deceived, that they shall ever finally perish, or be damned : wherefore, whosoever doth affirm that there may be any (i. e. any of the elect) lost, doth affirm that Christ hath a torn body (*n*).”

The above valuable letter of recantation is thus inscribed : “ A Letter to the Congregation of Free-willers, by One that had been of that Persuasion, but come off, and now a Prisoner for Religion :” which superscription will hereafter, in its due place, supply us with a remark of more than slight importance.

To occupy the place of argument, it has been alleged that “ Mr. Wesley is an old man ;” and the church of Rome is still older than he. Is that any reason why the enormities, either of the mother or the son, should pass unchastised ?

It has also been suggested, that “ Mr. Wesley is a very laborious man :” not more laborious, I presume, than a certain active being, who is said to go to and fro in the earth, and walk up and down in it (*o*) : nor yet more laborious, I should imagine, than certain ancient sectarians, concerning whom it was long ago said, Woe unto you scribes, pharisees, hypocrites ; for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte (*p*) : nor, by any means, so usefully laborious, as a certain diligent member of the community, respecting whose variety of occupations the public have lately received the following intelligence : “ The truth of the following instance of industry may be depended on : a poor man, with a large family, now cries milk, every morning, in Lothbury, and the neighbourhood of the Royal Exchange ; at eleven, he wheels about a barrow of

(*n*) Strype, u. s.

(*p*) Matth. xxiii. 15.

(*o*) Job i. 7. with 1 Pet. v. 8.

potatoes ; at one, he cleans shoes at the Exchange ; after dinner, cries milk again ; in the evening, sells sprats ; and at night, finishes the measure of his labour as a watchman (*q*).”

Mr. Sellon, moreover, reminds me (p. 128.) that, “while the shepherds are quarrelling, the wolf gets into the sheepfold ;” not impossible : but it so happens, that the present quarrel is not among “the shepherds,” but with the “wolf” himself: which “quarrel” is warranted by every maxim of pastoral meekness and fidelity.

I am farther told, that, while I am “be-rating the Arminiaus, Rome and the devil laugh in their sleeves.” Admitting that Mr. Sellon might derive this anecdote from the fountain head, the parties themselves, yet, as neither they nor he are very conspicuous for veracity, I construe the intelligence by the rule of reverse, though authenticated by the deposition of their right trusty and well-beloved cousin and counsellor.

Once more : I am charged with “excessive superciliousness, and majesty of pride :” and why not charged with having seven heads and ten horns, and a tail as long as a bell-rope ? After all, what has my pride, or my humility, to do with the argument in hand ? Whether I am haughty, or meek, is of no more consequence either to that, or to the public, than whether I am tall or short : however, I am, at this very time, giving one proof, that my “majesty of pride” can stoop ; stoop even to ventilate the impertinences of Mr. Sellon.

But, however frivolous his cavils, the principles for which he contends are of the most pernicious nature and tendency. I must repeat, what already seems to have given him so much offence, that Arminianism “came from Rome, and leads thither again.” Julian, bishop of Eclana, a cotemporary

(*q*) Bath Chronicle, for Feb. 6, 1772.

and disciple of Pelagius, was one of those who endeavoured, with much art, to gild the doctrines of that heresiarch, in order to render them more sightly and palatable. The pelagian system, thus varnished and palliated, soon began to acquire the softer name of Semipelagianism. Let us take a view of it, as drawn to our hands by the celebrated Mr. Bower, who was himself, in the main, a professed pelagian, and therefore less likely to present us with an unfavourable portrait of the system he generally approved.

Among the principles of that sect, this learned writer enumerates the following :

“The notion of election and reprobation, independent on our merits or demerits, is maintaining a fatal necessity, is the bane of all virtue, and serves only to render good men remiss in working out their salvation, and to drive sinners to despair.

“The decrees of election and reprobation are posterior to, and in consequence of, our good or evil works, are foreseen by God from all eternity (*r*).”

Is not this too the very language of modern Arminianism? Do not the partisans of that scheme argue on the same principles, and express their objections against Calvinism even in the same identical terms? Should it be said, “true, this proves that Arminianism is pelagianism revived; but it does not prove, that the doctrines of Arminianism are originally popish:” a moment’s cool attention will make it plain that they are. Let us again hear Mr. Bower, who, after the passage just quoted, immediately adds, “on these two last propositions, the Jesuits found their whole system of grace and free-will; agreeing therein with the Semipelagians, against the Jansenists and St. Austin (*s*).” The Jesuits were molded into a regular body, towards the middle

(*r*) Bower’s Hist. of the Popes, vol. i. p. 350. (*s*) Bower, *ibid*.

of the sixteenth century: toward the close of the same century, Arminius began to infest the protestant churches. It needs therefore no great penetration, to discern from what source he drew his poison. His journey to Rome (though Monsieur Bayle affects to make light of the inferences which were at that very time deduced from it) was not for nothing. If, however, any are disposed to believe, that Arminius imbibed his doctrines from the Soci-nians in Poland, with whom, it is certain, he was on terms of intimate friendship, I have no objection to splitting the difference: he might import some of his tenets from the Racovian brethren, and yet be indebted, for others, to the disciples of Loyala.

Certain it is, that Arminius himself was sensible, how greatly the doctrine of predestination widens the distance between protestantism and popery. "There is no point of doctrine (says he) which the papists, the Anabaptists, and the (new) Lutherans more fiercely oppose, nor by means of which they heap more discredit on the reformed churches, and bring the reformed system itself into more odium; for they (i. e. the papists, &c.) assert, that no fouler blasphemy against God can be thought or expressed, than is contained in the doctrine of predestination (*t*)." For which reason, he advises the reformed world to discard predestination from their creed, in order that they may live on more brotherly terms with the papists, the Anabaptists, and such like.

The Arminian writers make no scruple to seize and retail each others arguments, as common property. Hence, Samuel Hoord copies from Van Harmin the self-same observation which I have now

(*t*) Porro, nullum est doctrinæ caput, quod Papistæ, Anabaptistæ, et Lutherani acrius oppugnent; perque cujus latus ecclesiis nostris gravius invidiam concilient, tōtamque aded doctrinam in odium vocent: statuentes, nullam tam fœdam adversus Deum blasphemiam excogitari aut verbis proferri posse. Arminius, in Oper. p. 115. Ludg. 1629.

cited. "Predestination (says Samuel) is an opinion odious to the papists, opening their foul mouths against our church and religion (*u*):" consequently, our adopting the opposite doctrines of universal grace and free-will, would, by bringing us so many degrees nearer to the papists, conduce to shut their mouths, and make them regard us, so far at least, as their own orthodox and dearly beloved brethren: whence it follows, that, as Arminianism came from Rome, so "it leads thither again."

If the joint verdict of Arminius himself, and of his English proselyte Hoord, will not turn the scale, let us add the testimony of a professed Jesuit, by way of making up full weight. When archbishop Laud's papers were examined, a letter was found among them, thus endorsed with that prelate's own hand: "March, 1628. A Jesuit's Letter, sent to the Rector at Bruxels, about the ensuing Parliament." The design of this letter was to give the Superior of the Jesuits, then resident at Brussels, an account of the posture of civil and ecclesiastical affairs in England; an extract from it I shall here subjoin: "Father Rector, let not the damp of astonishment seize upon your ardent and zealous soule, in apprehending the sodaine and unexpected calling of a Parliament. We have now many strings to our bowe. We have planted that soveraigne drugge, Arminianisme, which we hope will purge the protestants from their heresie; and it flourisheth and beares fruit in due season. For the better prevention of the Puritanes, the Arminians have already locked up the duke's (of Buckingham) eares; and we have those of our owne religion, which stand continually at the duke's chamber, to see who goes in and out: we cannot be too circumspect and careful in this regard. I am at this time transported with joy, to see how happily all instruments and means, as

(*u*) Hoord, in bishop Davenant's Animadversions, Camb. 1641.



well great as lesser, co-operate unto our purposes. But, to returne unto the maine fabricke:—Our foundation is Arminianisme. The Arminians and projectors, as it appears in the premises, affect mutation. This we second and enforce by probable arguments (*x*).”

The “sovereign drug, Arminianism,” which, said the Jesuit, “we (i. e. we papists) have planted” in England, did indeed bid fair “to purge” our protestant church effectually. How merrily popery and Arminianism, at that time, danced hand in hand, may be learned from Tindal: “The churches were adorned with paintings, images, altar-pieces, &c. and, instead of communion tables, altars were set up, and bowings to them and the sacramental elements enjoined. The predestinarian doctrines were forbid, not only to be preached, but to be printed; and the Arminian sense of the articles was encouraged and propagated (*y*).” The Jesuit, therefore, did not exult without cause. The “sovereign drug,” so lately “planted,” did indeed take deep root downward, and bring forth fruit upward, under the cherishing auspices of Charles and Laud.

Heylin, too, acknowledges that the state of things was truly described by another Jesuit of that age, who wrote thus: “Protestantism waxeth weary of itself. The doctrine (by the Arminians, who then sat at the helm) is altered in many things, for which their progenitors forsook the church (of Rome): as limbus patrum; prayer for the dead; the possibility of keeping God’s commandments; and the accounting of Calvinism to be heresy at least, if not treason (*z*).”

The maintaining of these positions, by the court divines, was an “alteration” indeed; which the abandoned Heylin ascribes to “the ingenuity and

(*x*) Hidden Works of Darknes, p. 89, 90. edit. 1645.

(*y*) Tindal’s Contin. of Rapin, vol. iii. octavo, 1758.

(*z*) Life of Laud, p. 238.

moderation found in some professors of our religion." If we sum up the evidence that has been given, we shall find its amount to be, that Arminianism came from the church of Rome, and leads back again to the pit from whence it was digged.

The mention of Rome naturally enough paves the way for saying something about John Goodwin: and the rather, as Mr. Sellon seriously supposes that I paid his friend Wesley a very great compliment, when I styled him, which I still do, the John Goodwin of the present age. The greatness of this compliment will appear, from the following short particulars, which some historians have transmitted to posterity, concerning the said Goodwin.

About the year 1652, when Cromwell's design of usurping the sovereign power became more and more apparent, a set of visionaries, known by the name of fifth-monarchy men (*a*), grew very tur-

(*a*) The leading principle, and the extravagant spirit, of these double-dyed enthusiasts, will appear, in part, from the titles of two famous Tracts published by them, about this æra:—1. "The sounding of the last Trumpet; or, several Visions, declaring the universal overturning and rooting up of all earthly Powers in England: with many other Things foretold, which shall come to pass in this Year 1650, lately showed unto George Foster, who was commanded to print them."—2. "Sion's approaching Glory; or, the great and glorious Day of the Lord King Jesus' appearing; before whom all the Kings of the Nations must fall, and never rise again. Accurately described, according to the Prophets, Christ, and his Apostles, in Three and forty Sections: by James Freze, Merchant, 1652." See Grey's Notes on Hudibras, vol. ii. p. 245.

The fifth-monarchists were not entirely extinguished, at the restoration of Charles II. "That king (says bishop Burnet) had not been many days at Whitehall, when one Venner, a violent fifth-monarchy man, who thought it was not enough to believe, that Christ was to put the saints into the possession of the kingdom, but added to this, that the saints were to take the kingdom themselves, gathered some of the most furious of the party to a meeting in Coleman-street (which, by the way, was the very part of the town where John Goodwin, at that very time, privately exercised his ministry; and it is not improbable, but Goodwin's own meeting-house might be the place of rendezvous, to which Venner convened his brother conspirators. See Calamy's Account of the Ejected Mi-

bulent and conspicuous. Their grand ring-leader was John Goodwin, the Arminian; who had also

nisters, p. 53. edit. 1713). There they concerted the day and the manner of their rising to set Christ on his throne, as they called it: but, withal, they meant to manage the government in his name; and were so formal, that they had prepared standards and colours, with their devices on them, and furnished themselves with very good arms; but, when the day came, there was but a small appearance, not exceeding twenty: however, they resolved to venture out into the streets, and cry out, No king but Christ. Some of them seemed persuaded, that Christ would come down and head them. They scoured the streets before them, and made a great progress; they killed a great many; but were at last mastered by numbers; and were all either killed, or taken and executed." *Burnet's Own Time*, vol. i. p. 160, 161. folio.

Bishop Kennett justly observes, that the fifth-monarchy men were "the most bold and bloody of all sorts of enthusiasts." *Complete Hist. of Engl.* vol. iii. p. 225.

Dr. Echard will, more than any historian yet quoted, let us into the true knowledge of the unparalleled exorbitancies, which marked the temper and proceedings of this species of fanatics. Venner himself was, it seems, a Preaching Cooper, and used to hold forth in John Goodwin's pulpit (that tub without hoops), in Coleman-street. The topics, on which Venner and his associates usually harangued their Arminian auditory, were, the expedience and necessity of "taking up arms for king Jesus (I shudder at the blasphemy), against the powers of the earth, the king, the duke of York, general Monk, &c. assuring them, that no weapons formed against them (i. e. against their own sect) should prosper, not a hair of their heads be touched; for one should chace a thousand, and two put ten thousand to flight. Upon which they got a declaration printed, entitled, *A Door of Hope opened*: in which they said and declared, that they would never sheath their swords, till Babyion (as they called monarchy) became a hissing and a curse; and (till) there be left neither remnant, son, nor nephew: that, when they had led captivity captive in England, they would go into France, Spain, Germany, &c. and rather die, than take the wicked oaths of supremacy and allegiance: that they would not make any leagues with monarchists, but would rise up against the carnal, to possess the gate, or the world; to bind their kings in chains, and their nobles in fetters of iron." The historian then gives a circumstantial account of Venner's insurrection, in consequence of these godly resolutions: but he and nineteen others, being at length overpowered and taken, were tried at the Old Bailey, "for treason and murder; which being fully proved on Venner and sixteen of the rest, when sentence was pro-

rendered himself remarkable, by aspersing the Calvinistic doctrines of the church of England, and by publishing a folio Vindication of King Charles' Beheaders: yet, behold the art of this crafty Arminian! though the fifth-monarchy men were not a little odious and formidable to Oliver Cromwell, and though John Goodwin was actually at the head of those odious and formidable fanatics; Goodwin, notwithstanding, plyed Cromwell so assiduously with flattery and obsequiousness, as to gain no small measure of that usurper's confidence: even the dissembling Oliver was, in part, over-reached by the still more exquisite dissimulation of master Goodwin.

Let not the candid reader imagine, that my colouring is too strong, or laid on too thickly: to cut off the very possibility of such a surmise, I shall express what I farther have to observe concerning the sly fifth-monarchy man, in the words of others: not forgetting, at the same time, to subjoin from bishop Burnet, as much as may suffice to authenticate what has been already placed to John Goodwin's account.

“The fifth-monarchy men seemed (viz. A. D. 1652 and 1653,) to be really in expectation, every day, when Christ should appear. John Goodwin

nounced against them, and lord chief Justice Foster seriously charged Venner with the blood of his unhappy accomplices, Venner impudently replied, It was not he, but Jesus, that led them. Being sentenced to be hanged, drawn, and quartered, Venner and Hodgkins were, on the 19th of January, executed over against their meeting-house, in Coleman-street.” Echard's Hist. of Engl. vol. iii. p. 42—44.

Bishop Kennett affirms, that most of the fifth-monarchy men, who were executed on account of Venner's insurrection, died “raving, and threatening judgment, and calling down vengeance on the king, the judges, and the city” of London. Complete Hist. u. s.

And yet Mr. John Wesley and Mr. Walter Sellon are for referring us to the writings of John Goodwin (the very man who was at the head of the fifth-monarchy men, and whose meeting-house in Coleman-street appears to have been the rendezvous and head quarters of the party), as the school of orthodoxy, wherein we are to learn what are the “genuine doctrines of the church of England!”—Credat Judæus apella: non ego.

headed these; who first brought in Arminianism among the sectaries. None of the preachers were so thorough-paced for him (i. e. for Cromwell) as to temporal matters, as Goodwin was; for he (Goodwin) not only justified the putting the king to death, but magnified it as the gloriousest action men were capable of. He (Goodwin) filled all people with such expectation of a glorious thousand years speedily to begin, that it looked like a madness possessing them (*b*).” Such being the principles of John Goodwin, what a masterpiece of political cunning must his conduct have been, which could fix him so tightly in the saddle of Cromwell’s esteem! On the one hand, Cromwell was taking large strides toward the throne; and, soon, actually acquired kingly power, though (by spinning his thread of affected moderation too finely) he missed the name of king. On the other hand, Goodwin, “who had long represented kingship as the great Antichrist which hindered Christ’s being set on his throne (*c*),” carried himself fairly with the Protector, who was, every day, visibly approximating nearer and nearer to that very “kingship” which Goodwin abhorred as “the great Antichrist” that excluded the Messiah from possessing his right. A little to save appearances, Cromwell canted, occasionally, to Goodwin, and the rest of the fifth-monarchy men; and, in return, Goodwin as cantingly pretended to be convinced of Cromwell’s holy and upright intentions!

It surprised every body, says Burnet, that John Goodwin, who had been so furious and active against Charles I. should come off with impunity after the restoration of Charles II. “But, (adds the right reverend historian), Goodwin had been so zealous an Arminian, and had sown such division among all the sectaries, on these heads, that it was said, this

(*b*) Burnet’s Own Times, vol. i. p. 67.

(*c*) Burnet, *ibid*.

procured him friends (*d*).” It has long been universally known and acknowledged, that Charles II. himself had been, for some time before the commencement of his reign, a concealed papist; and that he continued such, to the last moment of his life. No wonder, therefore, that Goodwin’s Arminianism (*e*) atoned for the rancour and frenzy of his political principles and behaviour. “Goodwin had, so often, not only justified, but magnified, the putting the king to death, both in his sermons and books, that few thought he could have been either forgot or excused; for (Hugh) Peters and he were the only preachers who spoke of it in that strain (*f*).” Who will say, that John Goodwin knew not how to balance a straw? During the civil commotions, the ranter kept himself secure, by his abhorrence of monarchy. After the nation was resettled, he preserved his neck, and his treasons were overlooked, on account of his zeal for Arminianism. He had been already serviceable to the popish cause, by “sowing divisions” among protestants; and he was suffered to live, by a popish prince who aimed at arbitrary power, in order to his being farther useful in the same laudable department.

So much for Goodwin, as a politician: a word or two, now, concerning him as a divine, and an individual; for it is chiefly in these latter respects, that I have honoured Mr. John Wesley with, what Mr. Sellon calls “the great commendation” of being the John Goodwin of the present age.

(*d*) Burnet, *ibid.* p. 163.

(*e*) Goodwin, however, soon after the coming in of Charles II. trembled for his neck, and thought proper to lie hid for a season. The immediate occasion of which panic was this, in August, 1660, “was called in a book of John Goodwin (then lately a minister in Coleman-street, London), entitled, *The Obstructors of Justice*; written in defence of the sentence against his majesty Charles I. At which time also the said Goodwin absconded, to prevent justice.” (*Wood’s Athenæ*, vol. i. col. 882. edit. 1691.) The fox, however, at length, ventured out of his hole, and was not earthed till 1665.

(*f*) Burnet, *ibid.*

Dr. Calamy informs us, that, on the restoration, Goodwin, "not being satisfied with the terms of the uniformity act, lived and died a non-conformist. He was a man by himself; was against every man, and had every man almost against him. He was very warm and eager (in) whatsoever he engaged in (*g*)."

The same writer observes, that Goodwin "wrote such a number of controversial pieces, that it would be no easy thing to reckon them up with any exactness (*h*)."

If, instead of the word "wrote," we only substitute the word "pilfered," the whole of these two passages will fit both the Mr. Johns as neatly as their skins.

A very humorous circumstance, respecting Goodwin, is related by Anthony Wood: an ingenious writer of that age published a book against Goodwin, with this facetious title: "Coleman-street Conclave visited; and that grand Impostor, the Schismatic's Cheater in Chief (who hath long slyly lurked therein) truly and duly discovered; containing a most palpable and plain Display of Mr. John Goodwin's Self-conviction, and of the notorious Heresies, Errors, Malice, Pride, and Hypocrisy, of this most huge Garagantua. London, 1648." The title is curious; but the frontispiece, prefixed, was exquisitely laughable, and most justly descriptive of the original. "Before the title (continues Wood) is John Goodwin's picture, with a windmill over his head, and a weathercock upon it, with other hieroglyphics, or emblems, about him, to show the instability of the man (*i*)."

The writer of the above piece was Mr. John Vicars, the famous author of "The Schismatic sifted;" who, if he sifted all schismatics as searchingly as he appears to have sifted John Goodwin, the schismatics of that age had no great reason to be much in love either

(*g*) Account of Ejected Ministers, p. 53.

(*h*) Continuation, vol. i. p. 78.

(*i*) Athene, vol. ii. col. 85.

with the sifter, or the sieve. What a masterly sifting would such a man have given to John Wesley and Walter Sellon! But they must not content themselves with Goodwin's legacy of the windmill surmounted by a weathercock.

Goodwin had an excellent talent at scurrility and abuse; whereof take the following concise example: Mr. Nedham had written two treatises against him; the one entitled, "Trial of Mr. John Goodwin at the Bar of Religion and right Reason;" the other, "The great Accuser cast down;" on which the inflammable Arminian immediately took fire, and gave vent to his rage in explosions not the most gentle. He characterized Nedham as having "a foul mouth, which satan hath opened against the truth and mind of God;" as being "a person of infamous and unclean character for the service of the triers;" as "a man that curseth whatsoever he blesseth, and blesseth whatsoever he curseth (*k*)." And yet John Goodwin is represented as having been, like Mr. John Wesley, "a meek, loving-hearted" Arminian! Let me add, concerning the first of these Johns, that (among a multitude of other refuters) he was taken to task, in 1653, by the learned Mr. Obadiah Howe, in a performance entitled, "The Pagan Preacher silenced (*l*)." I question, if any of Goodwin's pagan preachments are still extant: but such of his pagan treatises as have reached the present times, are, I find, the very Bible and Common Prayer Book of Mr. Walter Sellon. I shall close these remarks on Goodwin with some of the encomiums heaped on him by his said admirer. John Goodwin, saith this sagacious critic, was a man "whom envy itself cannot but praise; a glorious champion for the truth of the gospel, and for the genuine doctrines of the church of England (*m*)."<sup>2</sup> Thus chaunts the godly and

(*k*) Athenæ, vol. ii. col. 469. (*l*) Ibid. 558. (*m*) Sellon, p. 26.



loyal Mr. Sellon: the veracity, the modesty, and the propriety of whose panegyric, may be amply collected from the foregoing testimonies, which I have produced, concerning the ranting fifth-monarchy man, J. Goodwin.

Mr. Sellon is no happier in deducing conclusions, than in the drawing of characters: witness his judicious commentary on a passage of mine, from whence he labours to distil no less than the doctrine of universal salvation. In my remarks on Dr. Nowell, I testified my firm belief, that the souls of all departed infants are with God in glory: that, in the decree of predestination to life, God hath included all whom he intended to take away in infancy; and that the decree of reprobation hath nothing to do with them (*n*). From these premises, says Sellon, it follows, that "Mr. Toplady himself maintains general redemption, and even the universal salvation of mankind." *Logica Selloniana!* As if all mankind died in infancy. "Oh, but you quoted Matthew xviii. 14. to prove the salvation of infants:" true; I did so. Let us review the text itself. "It is not the will of your Father which is in heaven, that one of these little ones should perish." Supposing this to be spoken of infants, literally so called, it certainly proves, that all who die in that state are saved. "Oh, but our Lord says nothing about their dying in that state; he speaks of little ones in general, whether they live long, or die soon." Does he indeed? Consult verse 10. "Take heed that ye despise not one of these little ones; for I say unto you, that their angels (i. e. as I understand it, the souls of such of them as die in infancy) do always behold the face of my Father who is in heaven." Now, I should imagine it impossible for the angels, or souls, of little children, always to behold the face

(*n*) See my *Vindication of the Church of England from Arminianism*.

of God in heaven, unless their souls were previously dislodged from their bodies by death: consequently, according to my view of the passage, our Lord, in the 14th verse, speaks of such little ones, and of such only, as actually die in infancy. "Oh, but the word angels means guardian angels, appointed to take care of children." Before I can subscribe to this, I must see a grain or two of that necessary thing called proof. That children, no less than adults, are objects of angelic attention, in the course of providence, I am far from denying: but, in my present conceptions of the passage under consideration, I cannot believe that exposition to convey the true sense of this particular text. Among other reasons, the following is one: how can those superior spirits, who are (upon very probable grounds) supposed, very frequently, if not constantly, to attend on infants, be yet said to behold always the face of our Father, in heaven? In order, therefore, to prove, that the word angels, in this declaration of our Lord, means angels, properly so termed, it must be first proved, that angels, properly so termed, can be present in more places than one, at one and the same time. "Oh, but angels may sometimes attend children on earth, and at other times be present in heaven:" likely enough: but the angels, here spoken of, are said always to behold the face or glory of God, and that in heaven; an affirmation which can never be reconciled to propriety, or even to truth, if they are supposed to be absent from heaven at any period, or on any occasion. "Oh, but if angels are long-sighted, they may see into heaven while they are on earth." I never met with a treatise on the optics of angels, and therefore cannot say much to this hypothetical objection. On the whole, if "little ones in general," whether they die young, or live to maturity, be (as Mr. Sellon contends) entitled to salvation, his own title to happiness is incontestible. If little reasoning, less know-

ledge, and no regard to truth or decency, be a passport to the skies, this exotic star will glitter there, like a diamond of the first water. In the mean while, I should be obliged to the said star, if he would, with the help of Mr. Wesley's irradiation, show me what becomes of departed infants, upon the Arminian plan of conditional salvation, and election on good works foreseen.

From two Arminians, let me, for a moment, pass to a third. It will be found, in the following Historical Disquisition, that I have made some use of Dr. Peter Heylin's testimonies in favour of the grand argument: and I admit his depositions, on the same principle by which men, of the most exceptionable cast, are sometimes allowed to turn king's evidence.



HISTORIC PROOF  
OF THE  
DOCTRINAL CALVINISM  
OF THE  
CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

---

SECTION I.

*Free-willers the first Separatists from the Church of England.—Character and Vindication of King Edward VI.*

TIME has been, when Arianism was more generally predominant throughout the Christian church, than even Arminianism is at present. The whole world, says history, wondered to see itself become Arian. It was Athanasius against all the world, and all the world against Athanasius.

Hardly were the clouds of Arianism dispersed, when the pelagian darkness overspread a considerable part of the ecclesiastical horizon; and its influence has continued, more or less, to obscure the glory of the Christian faith, from that period to this. Yet is the eclipse far from total. We have a multitude of names, even in our present Sardis, who defile not either their doctrinal or their moral garments; and there is very good reason to believe, that their number, in this kingdom, both among clergy and laity, is continually increasing.

It is no novelty for the doctrines of grace to meet with opposition; and, indeed, few doctrines have

been so much opposed as they. Swarms of fanatical sectarists were almost coeval with the reformation itself. Such is the imperfect state of things below, that the most important advantages are connected with some inconveniences. The shining of truth, like the shining of the sun, wakens insects into life, which otherwise would have no sensitive existence. Yet, better for a few insects to quicken, than for the sun not to shine.

I shall not here review the tares which sprang up with the protestant corn in Germany; but content myself with just observing, that there was one congregation of free-willers in London, during the reign even of the pious king Edward VI. and notwithstanding the vigilance of our first protestant bishops—I say, there was one congregation of free-willers; or, as they were then most usually called, free-will-men: and it should seem, that there was then, in the metropolis, no more than one conventicle of this kind, held by such as made profession of protestantism. For that valuable letter of recantation, preserved by the impartial Mr. Strype, and of which so large a part has been quoted in our Introduction, was inscribed (as before observed) with the following remarkable title: “A Letter to the Congregation of Free-willers.”

London, however, was not the only place in England where pelagianism began to nestle, while good king Edward was on the throne. Some of the fraternity appeared likewise in two of the adjoining counties: viz. in Kent and Essex. Observe, I call the free-willers of that age pelagians; because the new name of Arminians was not then known. The appearance of free-will-men in Kent and Essex is assigned by Strype to the year 1550, which was ten years before Arminius himself was born.

“Sectarists,” says the historian, “appeared now (viz. A. D. 1550,) in Essex and Kent, sheltering themselves under the profession of the gospel. Of

whom complaint was made to the council. These (i. e. these free-willers) were the first that made separation from the church of England; having gathered congregations of their own (*a*);” viz. one in London, one at Feversham in Kent, and another at Bocking in Essex. Besides which, they used to hold some petty bye meetings, when a few of them could assemble with secrecy and safety.

Before we proceed, let me interpose a short remark. So far is the church of England from asserting the spiritual powers of free-will, and from denying predestination, that the deniers of predestination, and the asserters of free-will, were the very first persons who separated from her communion, and made a rent in her garment, by “gathering” three schismatical “congregations of their own.” Thus, the free-willers were the original, and are to this day some of the most real and essential, dissenters from our evangelical establishment.

I now return to the historian, who thus goes on: “The congregation in Essex was mentioned to be at Bocking; that in Kent was at Feversham, as I learn from an old register. From whence (i. e. from which same old register) I collect, that they held the opinions (so far as free-will and predestination are concerned) of the Anabaptists and Pelagians (*b*).”

These free-willers were, it seems, looked upon in so dangerous a view by the church of England, that they were complained of to the privy council, and, for the more peaceful security of the reformed establishment, their names and tenets were authentically registered and enrolled.

Mr. Strype, after giving us the names of fifteen of them, adds as follows: “Their teachers and divers of them were taken up, and found sureties for their

(*a*) Strype's Ecclesiastical Memorials, vol. ii. b. i. ch. 29. p. 236.

(*b*) *Ibid.*

appearance; and at length brought into the ecclesiastical court, where they were examined in forty-six articles, or more (c).” Were (which God forbid) all free-will-men to suffer equal molestation in the present age; were all Anti-predestinarians to be “taken up,” “registered,” “find sureties for their appearance,” and at length be “examined in the ecclesiastical court;” what work would it make for constables, stationers, notaries, and bishops’ officers!

But to resume the thread. “Many of those, before named, being deposed (i. e. put to their oath) upon the said articles, confessed these to be some sayings and tenets among them:

“That the doctrine of predestination was meet for devils than for Christian men.

“That children were not born in original sin.

“That no man was so chosen, but he might damn himself; neither any man so reprobate, but he might keep God’s commandments, and be saved.

“That St. Paul might have damned himself if he listed.

“That learned men were the cause of great errors.

“That God’s predestination was not certain, but upon condition.

“That to play at any manner of game for money is sin, and a work of the flesh.

“That lust after evil was not sin, if the act were not committed. That there were no reprobates. And,

“That the preaching of predestination is a damnable thing (d).”

So much for these free-willers, who were the first separatists from the church of England; and whose tenets Mr. Strype (though not a Calvinist himself) justly allows to be Anabaptistical and Pelagian. How exactly do the doctrines of Wesley and Sellon, on the points of election, reprobation, and

(c) *Ibid.*

(d) Strype, u. s. p. 236, 237.



free-agency, chime in with the hot and muddy ideas of their pelagian forefathers! I cannot help indulging a very suitable speculation. What a delicious pastor would Mr. Sellon in particular have made, to the free-willers of Bocking, or Feversham, had the æra of his nativity commenced about 200 years sooner! He would have fed them, not, indeed, with knowledge and understanding, but, after their own hearts. His lack of learning, his being “an exotic without academical education,” would have been no impediment to that piece of promotion: nay, the flock would have liked him the better for it; seeing, in their estimation, “learned men are the cause of great errors.” The spirit of which maxim, aided by his blasphemies against predestination, would have made him (next to free-will itself) the very idol of the sect.

O tibi præteritos referat si Jupiter annos!

Instead of being, as now, Mr. John Wesley’s pack-horse, you might have sat up for yourself; and, as a reward for your meritorious denial of election, been elected Tub Orator to the pelagians of Feversham, or Bocking.

From such samples, as history has recorded, of the vigour (not to say the rigour), with which free-will men were proceeded against, in the days of Edward VI. under whom the reformation of the church was accomplished, it necessarily and unanswerably follows, that the church herself was reformed from popery to Calvinism, and held those predestinarian doctrines, which she punished (or, more properly, persecuted) the pelagians for denying.

The persons who bore the main sway in church and state at the time last referred to, were the king, the duke of Somerset, and archbishop Cramer. Over and above the matters of fact, in which that illustrious triumvirate were concerned, and which

neither would nor could have been directed into such a channel, had not those personages been doctrinal Calvinists; there are also incontestible written evidences, to prove that they were, conscientiously and upon inward principle, firm believers of the Calvinistic doctrines. This shall be proved of Cranmer, in its proper place, when I come to treat of the Reformers. The same will sufficiently appear, as to Somerset, under the section which is to treat of the influence which Calvin had on the English Reformation. The epistolary intimacy, which subsisted between Calvin and Somerset; the high veneration in which that foreign reformer was held by the latter; and the readiness with which the first liturgy was altered, in consequence of the same reformer's application; plainly demonstrate, that the duke of Somerset, no less than his royal nephew king Edward, and good archbishop Cranmer, had (happily for the church) heartily adopted Calvin's doctrine, though (no less happily) not proselyted to Calvin's favourite form of ecclesiastical regimen. To these considerations let me add another, drawn from that most excellent prayer, written by himself, upon his being declared protector of the realm, and governor of the king's person during his majesty's minority. It is entitled, "The Lord Protector's Prayer for God's Assistance in the high Office of Protector and Governor, new committed to him (*e*)."<sup>(e)</sup> A man of the duke's extraordinary piety can never be thought to trifle with God, and to prevaricate on his knees. The prayer itself, therefore, proves him to have been a Calvinist. Part of it runs thus: "Lord God of hosts, in whose only hand is life and death, victory and confusion, rule and subjection; I am the price of thy Son's death; for thy Son's sake thou wilt not lese (i. e. lose) me. I am a vessel for thy mercy; thy justice

(e) See Strype's Repository of Originals, annexed to the second vol. of Eccles. Memor. p. 18.

will not condemn me. I am recorded in the book of life; I am written with the very blood of Jesus; thy inestimable love will not then cancel my name: for this cause, Lord God, I am bold to speak to thy Majesty: thou, Lord, by thy providence, hast called me to rule; make me therefore able to follow thy calling: thou, Lord, by thine order, hast committed an anointed king to my governance; direct me therefore with thine hand, that I err not from thy good pleasure: finish in me, Lord, thy beginning, and begin in me that thou wilt finish." When this illustrious peer fell, afterwards, a sacrifice to the machinations and state intrigues of Warwick (who, himself, within a short time, paid dearly for his insidiousness and ambition), Somerset, during his imprisonment in the Tower, and a little before his death, "translated, out of French into English, an epistle wrote to him by John Calvin, (on the subject) of Godly Conversation, which he received while under his confinement, and was printed at London (*f*)."

As to the Calvinism of king Edward himself, every religious transaction of his reign sets it beyond a doubt. The reformation of the church upon the principles she still professes, might suffice to comprehend all proofs in one: but this excellent prince was not content to establish the church of England; he himself voluntarily and solemnly subscribed her articles. "A book, containing these articles, was signed by the king's own hand (*g*)."

And Edward was too sincere a Christian, to sign what he did not believe; a species of prevarication reserved for the more accomplished iniquity of after-times; and which bids fair to end in the utter extirpation of all religion from amongst us.

Neither would king Edward have honoured what is commonly called Ponet's catechism (of which,

(*f*) Collins' Peerage, vol. i. p. 160. Edit. 1768.

(*g*) Strype's Eccles. Memor. vol. ii. p. 368.

more hereafter) with his own prefixed letters of recommendation, had his majesty not been a thorough Calvinist: nor would he, just before the agonies of death came upon him, have set his seal, as he did, to the doctrine of election, had not that doctrine been an essential and predominant article of his faith. “Lord God (said the royal saint, a little before he expired), deliver me out of this miserable and wretched life, and take me among thy chosen (*h*).”

I unwillingly descend from one of the most wonderful and valuable princes that ever adorned a throne, to the meanest and most rancorous Arminian priest that ever disgraced a surplice. How extreme, how immense the transition, from king Edward VI. to Mr. Walter Sellon! But I must let the reader see, in what way this factor for Methodism pretends to account for the Calvinistic measures of king Edward’s administration. Even thus: “Some rigid Calvinists in power had imposed upon that good young king, and made use of his authority to impose their notions upon the church (Sell. p. 53).” A certain sort of people stand in particular need of good memories. Mr. Sellon’s forsakes him in the very next page; where the “some rigid Calvinists” are dwindled into one. “Up starts rigid Ponet, and gets poor young king Edward, whom he had brought to his lure, to command all schoolmasters within his dominions to teach the youth this catechism (*ibid.* p. 54).” What is this, but calling “poor young king Edward” a poor young fool? An insinuation as false and unjust to the real character of that extraordinary prince, as I should be guilty of, were I to insinuate that Mr. Sellon is a man of sense, learning, and good manners. But supposing we should, for a moment, admit (contrary to all fact and truth), that the “poor young king” was in-

(*h*) Burnet’s History of the Reformation, vol. ii. p. 212.

deed a flexible piece of tape, which Ponet, bishop of Winchester, could easily twist round his finger at pleasure; yet, can it be imagined, that Ponet was an absolute monopolizer of the tape royal? Was he the only haberdasher who made property of the said tape? Could not a soul beside come in for a yard or two? Where (for instance) were Cranmer, and Ridley, and Hooper, and Latimer? Was it possible, that a transaction of such consequence to the church of England, as the public sanction of Ponet's catechism, could take effect, without the participation and concurrence of the other English bishops, and of the Convocation, and of the king's council itself? Every reasonable man will say no: besides, however liable to imposition "poor young king" Edward may be represented, by the Arminians of the present age, yet, surely, his majesty's next successor but one (under whom that same catechism was revived, and published with enlargements, by Dr. Nowell, dean of London) cannot be thought to have been very soft and pliable: but, I dare say, Mr. Sellon, by way of answer to this remark, will content himself with crying out, poor young queen Elizabeth!

King Edward was by no means that ductile, undiscerning prince, for which Mr. Sellon's cause requires him to pass. As this defamer, under the impulse of his inspirer, Mr. Wesley, has thought proper to fasten this obliquity on that king's memory, I shall give a short summary of his character, drawn by the best authorities; and the rather, as Edward's reputation is very closely interwoven with the credit of the church of England, which chiefly owes her present purity and excellence to the pious and paternal authority of that young, but most respectable Josiah.

Bishop Latimer had the honour to know him well; and no man was ever less prone to flatter, than that honest, unpolished prelate. "Blessed (said he)

is the land, where there is a noble king; where kings be no banqueters, no players, and where they spend not their time in hunting and hawking. And when had the king's majesty a council, that took more pains, both night and day, for the setting forth of God's word, and profit of the commonwealth? And yet there be some wicked people that will say (and there are still some wicked pelagians who continue to say), Tush, this gear will not tarry; it is but my lord Protector's and my lord of Canterbury's doing: the king is a child, and he knoweth not of it. Jesu, have mercy! how like are we Englishmen to the Jews, ever stubborn, stiff-necked, and walking in bye ways! Have not we a noble king? Was there ever king so noble, so godly brought up, with so noble counsellors, so excellent and well learned schoolmasters? I will tell you this, and speak it even as I think; his majesty hath more godly wit and understanding, more learning and knowledge, at this age, than twenty of his progenitors, that I could name, had at any time of their life (*i*)."

Bishop John Bale, the Antiquarian, could also speak of the king upon personal knowledge; and his testimony is this: "He is abundantly replenished with the most gracious gifts of God; especially, with all kinds of good learning, far above all his progenitors, kings of this imperial region. The childhood of youth is not in him to be reprov'd; for so might king Josiah have been reprov'd, who began his reign in the eighth year of his age." The occasion of Bale thus vindicating king Edward, was the petulance of one whom he styles "a frantic papist of Hampshire," who had insolently termed his majesty, "a poor child:" which was much the same with Mr. Sellon's contemptuous language of, "poor young king Edward." Mr. Strype, to whom

(*i*) Latimer's Sermons, vol. i. p. 89, 90. octavo, 1758.

I am indebted for the above quotation from Bale, goes on: "Then he (i. e. Bale) comes closer to this papist, so blasphemously reporting the noble and worthy king Edward, then in the fifteenth year of his age, and the fifth of his reign." Bale added, "His (majesty's) worthy education in liberal letters, and godly virtues, and his natural aptness in retaining of the same, plenteously declared him to be no poor child, but a manifest Solomon in princely wisdom (*k*)."

Even bishop Burnet offers the following chaplet at Edward's tomb: "Thus died king Edward VI. that incomparable young prince. He was then in the sixteenth year of his age, and was counted the wonder of that time. He was not only learned in the tongues, and other liberal sciences, but knew well the state of his kingdom. He kept a book, in which he wrote the characters that were given him of all the chief men of the nation, all the judges, lord-lieutenants, and justices of the peace, over England; in it he had marked down their way of living, and their zeal for religion. He had studied the matter of the mint, with the exchange and value of money, so that he understood it well, as appears by his journal. He also understood fortification, and designed well. He knew all the harbours and ports, both of his own dominions, and of France and Scotland; and how much water they had, and what was the way of coming into them. He had acquired great knowledge in foreign affairs, so that he talked with the ambassadors about them, in such a manner, that they (viz. the foreign ambassadors) filled all the world with the highest opinion of him that was possible; which appears in most of the histories of that age. He had great quickness of apprehension; and, being mistrustful of his memory, used to take notes of almost every

(*k*) See Strype's *Eccles. Memor.* vol. ii. p. 377, 378.

thing he heard. He wrote these, first, in Greek characters, that those about him might not understand them: and, afterwards, wrote them out in his journal. He had a copy brought him of every thing that passed in council: which he put in a chest, and kept the key of that always himself. In a word, the natural and acquired perfections of his mind were wonderful. But his virtues and true piety were yet more extraordinary (*l*).”

Mountagu, bishop of Winchester, in his Preface to the Works of king James I. makes very observable mention of Edward, considered even as a writer. “Edward the Sixth, though his dayes were so short, as he could not give full proofe of those singular parts that were in him; yet he wrote divers epistles and orations, both in Greek and Latin. He wrote a treatise de fide, to the duke of Somerset. He wrote an history of his owne time. Which are all yet extant, under his owne hand, in the king’s library, as Mr. Patrick Young, his majestie’s learned Bibliothecarius, hath showed me. And, which is not to bee forgotten, so diligent an hearer of sermons was that sweet prince, that the notes of the most of the sermons he heard, are yet to be scene, under his own hand; with the preacher’s name, the time, and the place, and all other circumstances (*m*).”

It were endless, to adduce the praises which have been deservedly accumulated on this most able and most amiable monarch. But I must not overpass the character given of him by Jerom Cardan, the famous Italian physician, who, the year preceding king Edward’s death, spent some months in England. That foreigner, amidst all his acknowledged oddities, was still a person of very extraordinary genius and learning; so that his ability, to judge of

(*l*) Burnet’s Hist of the Reform. vol. ii. p. 212. & alibi.

(*m*) Bp. Mount. u. s. edit. 1616.



the king's capacity and attainments, is indisputable. And the consideration of his being also a papist, will not suffer us to suppose, that his encomiums have any mixture of party prejudice in this prince's favour. Moreover, Cardan wrote and published his testimony in a country, and at a time, which rendered it (*n*) impossible for him to have any sinister interest in view. "All the Graces," says he, "were apparent in king Edward; and, for the tongues, he was not only exact in the English, French, and Latin; but understood the Greek, Italian, and Spanish. Nor was he ignorant of logic, the principles of natural philosophy, or music: being apt to learn every thing. The sweetness of his temper was such as became a mortal; his gravity becoming the majesty of a king; and his disposition suitable to his high degree. In short, that child was so bred, had such parts, and was of such expectation, that he looked like a miracle of a man. These things are not spoken rhetorically, and beyond the truth; but are indeed short of it. He began to love the liberal arts, before he knew them; to know them, before he could use them. And in him there was such an effort of nature, that not only England, but the world, has reason to lament his being so early snatched away. How truly was it said, of such extraordinary persons, that their lives are short! He gave us an essay of virtue, though he did not live to give us a pattern of it. When the gravity of a king

(*n*) Cardan refused to offer the incense of (what he thought would have been) adulation to king Edward, even in the prince's life-time, and during his (Cardan's) residence at the English court. Much less would he be induced to fawn upon his memory. The philosopher's conduct on that occasion, though it resulted from a mistaken principle, reflects some honour on his integrity and disinterestedness. "I refused (says he), a purse of five hundred pieces (some tell me, it was a thousand; but I cannot ascertain the precise sum), because I would not acknowledge one of the king's titles, in prejudice of the pope's authority." See Bayle's Dict. vol. ii. p. 316. note (*d*).

was needful, he carried himself like a man in years : and yet was always affable and gentle, as became his youth. In bounty he emulated his father, who in some cases may appear to have been bad ; but there was no ground for suspecting any such thing in the son, whose mind was cultivated by the study of philosophy (o).”

Mr. Guthrie’s character of him is far from being excessive. The outlines of Edward’s portrait, as drawn by the masterly hand of that able historian, shall terminate our present review of this great prince. “ Henry VIII. was the Romulus, and Edward VI. the Numa Pompilius, of English Reformation. The former laid its foundation in blood and rapine ; the latter reared its fabric, by justice and moderation. Learning is the most trifling part of Edward’s character. The rod may make a scholar ; but nature must form a genius. Edward had genius. His learning, indeed, was extraordinary ; but in that he was equalled, if not excelled, by others of equal years, and of a different sex. Perhaps his sister Elizabeth, and his designed successor, the lady Jane Gray, at his age, knew the languages better than he did. But Edward discovered a genius for government, beyond what, perhaps, ever was known in so early a bloom of life. He soon fell in with those walks of knowledge, which lead to the glory and happiness both of prince and people. He understood the principles of trade, and the true maxims which the English ought to pursue with foreign countries, to much greater perfection than any author who wrote at that time on those subjects. The papers which remain in his writing, concerning a mart, and the reformation of abuses, might be suspected not to be of his composition, did we know of any person, in those days, who could write so clearly and intelligibly, and, by consequence, so elegantly.

(o) See the *Acta Regia*, p. 139. Edit. 1734.

His journal contains, so far as it goes, an account of all the important transactions falling within it; penned in such a manner, as amply proves its author to have known the bottom of every subject he touches. His perpetual attention to commerce gave him, towards the end of his reign, a true notion of that conduct which England ought to pursue, in those disputes upon the continent, which endanger the balance of power there. It helped him to form great schemes for the improvement of his maritime force, for the security of his coasts, for the protection of his ships; and, in his project of opening free marts in England, there is somewhat that points towards introducing a new and a better system of mercantile affairs, than has yet, perhaps, been pursued. He acquired a taste for elegant magnificence; and, in this, he seems to have been single in his court. His appearances, on public occasions, were sometimes, perhaps, too Eastern: but he seems to have corrected this extravagance, by striking off a great deal of useless expence. Had providence been so well reconciled to England, as to have indulged Edward in a longer reign, he had private virtue sufficient to have brought private virtue once more into reputation: while his judgment was so strong, as at once to reanimate, and employ the public spirit of his people. The application of this royal youth laid the corner stones on which the commerce of England is founded, and which alone gives her the rank of a queen among nations. It was his piety that purged her religion from superstition; it was his good sense, getting the better of his prejudices, that saved her possessions from ruin, and rescued her clergy from contempt. It was his example which fired the young nobility and gentry of his own years, with that generous emulation which pushed them into every glorious pursuit, when their manly qualities, in a following reign (*viz.* in the reign of Elizabeth), raised their drooping country to glory and

to empire. It is owing to Edward's compassion, that, at this day, in England's capital, the helpless orphan finds a father; that erring youth are provided with instruction; and that heaven receives the sounds of praise and gratitude from the mouth of the infant. His wisdom prepared a check for the intemperate, and correction for the idle. His cares make grey hairs go down, without sorrow, to the grave. His bounty embellishes those places, which his charity endowed. And his own person was the habitation where love and learning, the graces and the virtues, delighted to dwell (*p*)."

Let me just add, that whoever has read king Edward's Treatise against the Supremacy of the Bishops of Rome (published at London, in 1682), will cease to be surprised at that admiration, with which the English historians celebrate the parts and piety of the royal author. The merits of that performance, in particular, are so transcendent, that a most ingenious acquaintance of mine once doubted, whether it was possible for so young a prince to be the composer of so learned and masterly a work. But my friend (eminent for possessing one of the finest collections of natural and artificial curiosities, that ever fell to the lot of a private person) has been so happy as to add to his treasures the original manuscript, in Edward's own hand writing; which places the authenticity of the book above dispute.

Judge now, whether Edward, thus endued with the whole circle of princely qualifications, could be that weak, supple, facile, waxen image of a king, which Mr. Wesley's malice and Mr. Sellon's ignorance combine to represent. In trying at which, they not only violate all historic truth, but labour also to blacken the church of England; by defaming the protestant monarch who was, under God, its father and visible head: a monarch, who, like Alfred,

(*p*) Guthrie's Hist. of England, vol. iii. p. 1. 121—123.

was born for the good of mankind; and the lustre of whose crown was eclipsed by the virtues of him that wore it. King Edward's being a Calvinist, is the unpardonable crime for which Arminian Methodism seeks to lay his memory in the dust. Under him it was, that the English liturgy was compiled, reformed, and perfected; the homilies composed; the articles of religion framed; and Ponet's catechism drawn up: which two latter, viz. the articles and the said catechism, "were in general received and subscribed to, all over the kingdom (*q*)."

These were the crimes of Edward and his reforming bishops; for which, Peter Heylin, John Wesley, and Walter Sellon, labour to heap odium on the best of princes and the best of prelates.

## SECTION II.

### *Arminianism charged and proved on the Church of Rome.*

MR. Sellon acknowledges his absolute inequality to the task he has undertaken. "I know nothing at all," says he, "how to fence or push (*r*):" i. e. he can neither attack, nor defend. A very proper person to set up for a champion, and to style himself a vindicator! But there was no need of such an explicit confession. His production sufficiently demonstrates, that its producer can neither fence nor push. Witness the opening of his very first assault, in page 3. where I am presented with a tierce, not of blunderbusses, but of blunders. "In that point," says the blunderer, "which you stickle so mightily for, viz. the doctrine of absolute, irrespec-

(*q*) Guthrie, u. s. p. 114.

(*r*) Page 123.

tive predestination, though all the members of the church of Rome do not fall in with it, because they are not compelled to it, as all the members of the church of Geneva do, because they are compelled to it; yet, if the testimony of Dr. Potter, some time dean of Windsor, be to be depended upon, there are ten catholics that hold this point of Genevan doctrine, for one that is so much an Arminian as to deny it." Such a cluster of glaring untruths deserves no answer. By way, however, of showing, what an honest and accurate opponent I have to deal with, I will give the paragraph a thorough sifting.

1. "All the members of the church of Geneva are compelled to fall in with" the doctrine of predestination. So far is this from being true, that the doctrine itself, of predestination, has been expelled from Geneva, for very considerably more than half a century back. Geneva, which was once dreaded by papists, as one of the head quarters of Calvinism, and termed by them, for that reason, "The Protestant Rome," is now, in that happy respect, Geneva no longer. The once faithful city is become an harlot. The unworthy son of one of the greatest divines that ever lived (I mean Benedict, son, if I mistake not, of the immortal Francis Turretin) was a principal instrument of this doctrinal revolution. And, to the everlasting dishonour of bishop Burnet, he, during his exile, contributed not a little to the inroads of Arminianism at Geneva, by prevailing with the leading persons there to abolish the test of ministerial subscriptions, about the year 1686 (*s*). After his return to England, and his ad-

(*s*) "He was much caressed and esteemed by the principal men of Geneva. He saw they insisted strongly on their consent of doctrine (a formulary commonly known by the name of the Consensus), which they required all those to subscribe, who were admitted into orders. He therefore employed all the eloquence he was master of,

vancement to the episcopal bench, there is great reason to believe, that he would very willingly have played the same game here; and lain the church of England under a similar obligation to "his warmth and the weight of his character," by releasing (to continue the language of his filial biographer) our clergy too from "the folly and ill consequence of such subscriptions." But, through the goodness of providence, the people of England were not such implicit trucklers to his lordship's "eloquence and credit," as were the citizens of Geneva. No "alteration, in this practice," crowned his wish (*t*).

and all the credit he had acquired among them, to obtain an alteration in this practice. He represented to them the folly and ill consequence of such subscriptions. The warmth, with which he expressed himself on this head, was such, and such was the weight of his character, that the clergy of Geneva were afterwards released from these subscriptions." *Life of Burnet*, annexed to his *Hist. of his Own Time*, page 692, 693. Fol. 1734.

(*t*) Bishop Burnet, failing in his desire of abolishing our ecclesiastical subscriptions, was forced to content himself with singing, to the tune of *He would if he could*, in these plaintive and remarkable words: "The requiring subscriptions to the XXXIX articles is a great imposition." [*Hist. O. T.* 2. 634.] An imposition, however, in which his lordship prudently acquiesced, and to which he was the means of making others submit, rather than he would forego (to use an expression of his own) the "plentiful bishopric" of Sarum.

How much more disinterested and heroic was the conduct of that honest Arminian and learned Arian, Mr. William Whiston! The account is curious: so take it in his own words. "Soon after the accession of the House of Hanover to the throne, sir Joseph Jekyl, that most excellent and upright master of the rolls, and sincere Christian; Dr. Clark's and my very good friend, had such an opinion of us two, that we might be proper persons to be made bishops, in order to our endeavouring to amend what was amiss in the church; and had a mind to feel my pulse, how I would relish such a proposal, if ever it should be made me. My answer was direct and sudden, that I would not sign the Thirty-nine articles, to be archbishop of Canterbury. To which sir Joseph replied, that bishops are not obliged to sign those articles. I said, I never knew so much before. But still, I added, if I were a bishop, I must oblige others to sign them, which would go sorely against the grain with me. However, I added further, that supposing I should get over that scruple, and esteem the act only as ministerial, which

The time for the destruction of our establishment was not yet come: and, I trust in God, it is still very far off. To the unspeakable mortification of such as Mr. Sellon, the fence is, hitherto, undemolished. Should our governors in church and state ever suffer the fence to be plucked down, farewell to the vineyard. But, till the barrier of subscription (that stumbling-block to Arminians, who, nevertheless, for divers good causes them thereunto moving, make shift to jump over it) actually be taken out of the way, let no man of common knowledge or of common modesty, call our Calvinistic doctrines the tenets of Geneva. If it be any real honour or dishonour, to drink of the Lemain lake, the Arminians, as matters stand, have it all to themselves.

2. Our author pompously appeals to the authority of “Dr. Potter, dean of Windsor.” He should have said, dean of Worcester. Potter was, indeed, promised a canonry of Windsor; but never obtained it (*u*). This Christopher Potter, in the noviciate

would by no means imply my own approbation; yet, when I were a bishop, I should certainly endeavour to govern my diocese by the Christian rules in the apostolical constitutions, and in St. Paul’s epistles to Timothy and Titus: which, as [namely, in this gentleman’s opinion] they would frequently contradict the laws of the land, would certainly expose me to a præmunire, to the forfeiture of all my goods to the crown, and to imprisonment as long as the king pleased. And this, concluded I, would be the end of bishop Whiston. So I thought no more of it.” Whiston’s Memoirs of his own Life and Writings, vol. i. p. 169.

(*u*) His letter to Laud, in which he supplicated the continuance of that prelate’s interest, for his appointment to a stall in St. George’s chapel, is worth transcribing.

“My most honoured Lord,

“I humbly thank your Grace for very many demonstrations of your love to me; and particularly for your last favourable mediation to his Majesty in my behalf, for a prebend in Windsor. The conveniency of that preferment (if my sovereign master please to confer it upon me) I shall value more than the profit. But, however, I resolve not to prescribe to your Grace, much less to his Majesty; or, with immodesty, or importunity, to press you. The obligations, which I have to you, are such as I can never satisfy, but with my



of his ministry, had been lecturer of Abingdon, where he was extremely popular, and regarded as a zealous Calvinist. But, as Wood observes, "when Dr. Laud became a rising favourite in the royal court, he [Potter] after a great deal of seeking, was made his [Laud's] creature (*x*)."<sup>1</sup> The editor or editors of the Cambridge Tracts, published in 1719, affect to think (*y*), that Laud paid his court to Potter, instead of Potter's being a suitor to Laud. To me, Mr. Wood's account more than seems to prove the contrary. Besides, the archbishop was eminently stiff and supercilious: but the lecturer was as remarkably supple and obsequious. The prelate could have very little advantage to hope for from the acquisition of the lecturer: but the latter had much to hope for from the good graces of the prelate. I conclude therefore, that Potter was a cringer at Laud's levee, and, "after a great deal of seeking," i. e. in modern style, after long attendance and much servility, being found very (*z*) ductile and obse-

prayers: which shall be constant, that your Grace may long live, with honour and comfort, to serve God, his Majesty, and this church, which daily feels the benefit of your wisdom and goodness. Your Grace's, in all humility.

See Cant. Doome. p. 356.

CHR. POTTER."

Potter, however, was distanced in adulation, by the bishop of Cork, in Ireland; who thus wrote to Laud: "What I had, or have, is of your Grace's goodness, under him who gives life, and breath, and all things; and under our gracious Sovereign, who is the breath of our nostrils." Ibid. p. 355.

(*x*) Athen. II. 44.

(*y*) See the Preface to those Tracts.

(*z*) The ductility of our young divine will be put beyond all reasonable doubt, by the letter that follows. He had, in his better days, unwarily written an answer to a popish treatise, published by one Knott, a noisy Jesuit of that age. A second edition of Potter's answer was, it seems, called for, about, or soon after the æra of his connections with Laud. This furnished the author with a fair opportunity of complimenting that prelate, by requesting his Grace to garble the book, and weed it of what offensive passages he pleased, prior to the new impression. On this occasion, he thus addressed his patron:

quious, he was entered on the list of the archbishop's dependents.

Laud's plan of civil and religious tyranny is well known: and the only way for Potter to preserve the favour he had taken so great pains to acquire, was, by a round recantation of the Calvinistic doctrines; which were, at all events, to be discountenanced and smothered, as a necessary prerequisite to our union with Rome: an union which Heylin himself, once and again, frankly acknowledges to have been one of the grand objects in view (*a*).

To promote this design, and still further to ingratiate himself with his patron, Potter writes a Treatise, entitled, *A Survey of the New Platform of Predestination*: the manuscript copy of which fell into the hands of the learned Dr. Twisse, who gave himself the needless trouble of refuting it.

“ My most honoured Lord,

October 6, 1634.

“ The copies of my Answer to *The Mistaker* are most sold, and a new impression intended. I am now reviewing it. I shall be glad to receive from your Grace, by your servant, master Dell, any direction to alter, or correct, if any thing therein be offensive to you. I humbly commend your Grace to the blessed protection of the Lord Almighty; and will be ever

Your Grace's, in all humility,

Cant. Doome, p. 251.

CHR. POTTER.”

His Grace did, accordingly, with his own hand, purge the book of several passages which, in his judgment, bore too hard on the pope and church of Rome; and the very next year, this Potter (for not being made of too stiff clay) was appointed dean of Worcester.

(*a*) But why was the revival of popery one of the grand objects at that time? The cause is easily traced. King Charles indisputably aimed at arbitrary power. To this end, popery must be revived, not for its own sake, but as the most convenient prop to despotism. And no method either so effectually, or so expeditiously, conducive to the firm erection of this prop, as the introduction of Arminianism. These were the three constituary segments of that political circle, into which the court and court bishops, that then were, wished to conjure the protestants of England. Or, if you please, such was the plan of that goodly pillar, which was to be erected, as a trophy, on the grave of departed liberty. Arminianism was to have been the base; popery the shaft; and tyranny the capital that should terminate the whole.

Upon the credit of this renegado Calvinist and pretended dean of Windsor (*b*), we are told,

(*b*) Mr. Sellon seems to have been led into this mistake, respecting Potter's deanery, by the title page prefixed to a letter of Potter's, preserved in the Cambridge Tracts already mentioned. A proof, by the way, of the accuracy and faithfulness with which those Tracts were compiled. A proof, moreover, of the many inconvenient stumbles, to which such writers as Mr. Sellon are exposed, who content themselves with borrowing their information from indexes and title pages.

I have above styled Dr. Potter a renegado. Such, in outward profession at least, he certainly was; and such, no doubt, Laud esteemed him to be. But, after all his tergiversation, the Abingdon lecturer does not appear to have embraced Arminianism *ex animo* and upon principle. Like the magnetic needle when disturbed, he seems to have been in a state of continual vibration, uneasy till he recovered his primitive direction to the good old Calvinistic point. This I infer from his own words. In that very letter to which Mr. Sellon carries his appeal; in that very letter which underwent the necessary corrections and alterations of the good Cambridge Arminians who flourished in the year 1719; even in that letter of Christopher Potter, pruned and amended as aforesaid, I find the following passages. "You are affected," says he, to his friend Vicars (who had charged him, and not temerarily, with inconstancy in matters of religion), "you are affected with a strong suspicion, that I am turned Arminian: and you further guess at the motive; that some sprinkling of court holy water, like an exorcism, hath enchanted and conjured me into this new shape." The virtue of court holy water, is doubtless very efficacious, as an alterative. No transformations, recorded in Ovid, can vie with the still more wonderful metamorphoses, which this potent sprinkling hath occasioned both in patriots, politicians, and divines. Potter's correspondent had exactly hit the mark. It was indeed the application of court holy water, judiciously sprinkled by the hand of Laud, which had made Christopher cast his skin, and come forth, in appearance, a sleek Arminian. But, when hard pushed by honest Mr. Vicars, he was ashamed (as well he might) to set his avowed *probatum est* to the powerful virtues of the said water. And how did he parry off the charge? Even by denying himself to be an Arminian at all. His words are these: "I desire you to believe, that I neither am, nor ever will be Arminian. I love Calvin very well; and, I must tell you, I cannot hate Arminius. I can assure you, I do not depart from my ancient judgment; but do well remember what I affirmed in my questions at the act, and have confirmed it, I suppose, in my sermon; so, you see, I am still where I was." The questions, which he here alludes to, and which had been maintained by him at the Oxford act in the year 1627, were these three: *Efficacia gratiæ non*

3. That "there are ten papists, who hold the doctrine of predestination, for one that denies it." Every man who knows what popery is; every man, who is at all acquainted either with the ancient or

pendet à libero influxu arbitrii; Christus Divinæ Justitiæ, vice nostrâ, propriè et integrè satisfecit; ipse actus fidei, τὸ credere, non imputatur nobis in justitiam sensu proprio: i. e. "the efficacy of grace is not suspended on the free influence of man's will; Christ did strictly and completely satisfy God's justice in our room and stead; the act of believing is not, itself, properly imputed to us for righteousness." In his farther vindication of himself from the charge of Arminianism, Potter makes very honourable mention of seven predestinarian divines, whom (let the reader mark it well) he terms the "worthiest doctors" of the churches of England, France, and Germany. Nay (let Mr. Sellon hear it, and weep), he even styles the Arminians, what indeed they are, dissenters from our own national church. "The Arminians," continues he, "dissent from us only in these four questions [viz. concerning predestination, redemption, grace, and perseverance]. The Lutheran churches maintain against us all these four questions, and moreover a number of notable dreams and dotages, both in matters of ceremony and doctrine: among others, you remember their absurd ubiquity and consubstantiation. Now, notwithstanding all their [i. e. the Lutherans] foul corruptions, yet I presume you know, for it is apparent out of public records, that our better reformed churches in England, France, Germany, &c. by the advice of their worthiest doctors, Calvin, Bucer, Beza, Martyr, Zanchius, Ursin, Pareus, have still offered to the Lutherans all Christian amity, peace and communion: though those virulent, fiery adders of Saxony" [i. e. the Lutheran divines] "would never give ear to the voice of those wise charmers." In the mature judgment, therefore, even of Potter himself, Calvin, Zanchius, and the other five, were wise charmers, and our worthiest doctors. Let us next hear what the same gentleman thought concerning Mr. Sellon's favourite doctrine of election upon faith and works foreseen. "Can you deny," continues he, "that many learned, pious catholic bishops of the old church taught predestination for foreseen faith or works? and suppose them herein to have erred, as, for my part, I doubt not but they did; though upon other grounds, than the bare assertion of Calvin, Beza, or Senensis; yet can you deny, that, notwithstanding this error and others, they were then, and still since, accounted holy catholic bishops?" He adds: "I resolve never to be an Arminian, and ever to be moderate." For the above passages, see the *Cambr. Tr.* from p. 230. to p. 244.

The reader, perhaps, may think, that I have thrown away too much time on this Dr. Potter. I did it to show, on what flimsy props Mr. Sellon rests the weight of his cause. At the very utmost, the doctor was a kind of amphibious divine. In these matters,

present state of that church ; must consider such an assertion, as the most false and daring insult that can be offered to common sense. Have not the doctrines, called Calvinistic, been condemned in form,

Laud seems to have had no great reason to boast of him as a proselyte ; any more than Mr. Wesley's friend Wat has to trust him as a referee. This will appear farther, from another very remarkable passage, occurring in a sermon, preached by this same Dr. Potter at the consecration of his uncle Barnaby to the see of Carlisle. I give the quotation, on the credit of the editors of the above letter. The passage itself is this : " For our controversies, first let me protest, I favour not, I rather suspect any new inventions ; for ab antiquitate non recedo nisi invitus : especially renouncing all such" [viz. all such new inventions] " as any way favour or flatter the depraved nature and will of man, which I constantly believe to be free only to evil, and of itself to have no power at all, merely none, to any act or thing spiritually good. Most heartily embracing that doctrine, which most amply commends the riches of God's free grace, which I acknowledge to be the whole and sole cause of our predestination, conversion, and salvation : abhorring all damned doctrines of the Pelagians, Semipelagians, Jesuits, Socinians, and of their rags and reliques ; which help only to pride and prick up corrupt nature : humbly confessing, in the words of St. Cyprian (so often repeated by that worthy champion of grace, St. Augustin), in nullo gloriandum est, quandoquidem nostrum nihil est. It is God that worketh in us both the will and the deed : and therefore let him that glorieth, glory in the Lord." *Cambr. Tr.* p. 226, 227.

I cannot help thinking (for human nature is prone to speculate) how dexterously Dr. Potter played his game ; and how neatly Dr. Laud, though a knowing one, was taken in. The former (if we are to believe his own solemn protestations) had still very ample mental reserves in favour of Calvinism : while the latter supposed him a sincere convert to Arminianism, and promoted him accordingly.— This reminds me of another very famous instance of worldly wisdom. The elder Vossius published, in the year 1618, a learned History of Pelagianism. Wherein (say the compilers of the *Biogr. Dict.* vol. ii. p. 317.) " he affirmed, that the sentiments of St. Austin, upon grace and predestination, were not the most ancient ; and that those of the Remonstrants [i. e. of the Arminians] were different from those of the Semipelagians." This book delighted Laud so much, that, at his earnest recommendation, Charles I. made its author a prebendary of Canterbury, with permission to reside still in Holland. Seems it not a little strange, that, rather than a vigorous effort in favour of Arminianism should pass unrewarded, a prelate, of such high principles as Laud, should obtain a stall, in the metropolitan church of all England, for one who was, by birth, a German, and, by education and connection, a Dutch Presbyterian ? There was indeed

and the assertors of them pronounced accursed, by the council of Trent? Did any man ever read a single popish book of controversy, written within a century after the reformation, in which the protestants are not universally charged (as we still are by the Arminians) with making God the author of sin, only because they universally held predestination? And, for the modern popish books of controversy, I have hardly seen one, in which the writers of that communion do not exult, and impudently congratulate the church of England on her visible departure from those doctrines. And, God knows, the church of Rome has, in this respect, but too much reason for triumph. Many nominal protestants are saving papists the trouble of poisoning the people, by doing it to their hands. What Heylin quotes from a Jesuit who wrote in the time of Charles I. is in great measure, true of the present times: "the doctrines are altered in many things: as for example, the pope not Antichrist; pictures; free-will; predestination; universal grace; inherent righteousness; the merit" [which Heylin softens into, or reward rather] of good works. The XXXIX articles seeming patient, if not ambitious also, of some catholic sense; *limbus patrum*; justification not by faith alone, &c. (c)."

no preferment, to which Vossius' merits, as a scholar, did not entitle him: his learning and virtues, however, would never have cleared his way to Canterbury cathedral, had he not contributed to the advancement of that new scheme, which Laud had so deeply at heart. But what will the reader say, should he be told, that, after all, Laud was mistaken as to the sincerity of Vossius' Arminianism? Take the account, in the words of Dr. Potter abovementioned: "He" [i. e. Vossius] "hath declared himself, in his last book, *De Scriptoribus*" [I suppose, it should be *Historicis*] "Latinis, to be of St. Augustin's mind in these questions" [viz. concerning predestination and grace]; and is allowed, by the states, public professor at Leyden, where no Arminian is tolerated." *Cambr. Tr.* p. 237. So convenient is it, on some certain occasions, for a divine to look (like Janus, or like the Germanic eagle) two ways at once!

(c) Heylin's *Life of Laud*, p. 238.

The Thirty-nine articles themselves are neither patient nor ambitious of what the Jesuit called a catholic sense. How patient, or even ambitious, of a popish sense, some of the subscribers to those articles may be, is another point. Stubborn experience and incontestible fact oblige us to distinguish, with Dr. South, between the doctrines of the church, and of some who call themselves churchmen.

Studious as I am of brevity, I cannot dismiss the shameless objection, drawn from the pretended popery of Calvinism, without additional animadversion. The slander does, indeed, carry its own refutation stamped upon its forehead: which refutation the following detail of facts may serve to confirm.

I shall demonstrate, in its proper place, that the principles of John Wickliff, and of his celebrated proselyte John Huss, were the same with what have since acquired the name of Calvinistic. An extract from the bull of pope Martin V. fraught with anathemas against the memories of those holy men, and published A. D. 1418, will evince the detestation and the alarm, with which the attempted revival of these doctrines was received by the church of Rome. Some of the articles, against which his Holiness inveighed so fiercely, were as follow (*d*):

“There is one only universal church, which is the university” [or entire number] “of the predestinate. Paul was never a member of the devil, although” [before his conversion] “he did certain acts like unto the acts of the church malignant.”

“The reprobate are not parts of the” [invisible] “church; for that no part of the same finally falleth from her: because the charity” [or grace] “of predestination, which bindeth the church together, never faileth.”

“The reprobate, although he be sometimes in grace according to present justice” [i. e. by a present

(*d*) Fox's Acts and Mon. vol. i. p. 739. Edit. 1684.

appearance of outward righteousness], “yet is he never a part of the holy church” [in reality]: “and the predestinate is ever a member of the church, although sometime he fall from grace adventitiâ, but not from the grace of predestination: ever taking the church for the convocation of the predestinate, whether they be in grace or not, according to present justice.” i. e. whether they be converted already, or yet remain to be so, the predestinate, or elect, constitute, as such, that invisible church which God the Father hath chosen, and God the Son redeemed.

“The grace of predestination is the band, wherewith the body of the church, and every member of the same, is indissolubly joined to Christ their head.”

Nothing can be more innocent and scriptural than these positions. But the religion of the Bible is not the religion of Rome. Hence, in the bull above mentioned, the pope thus fulminates against those doctrines and their abettors: “certain arch-heretics have risen and sprung up, not against one only, but against divers and sundry documents of the catholic faith: being land-lopers, schismatics, and seditious persons; fraught with devilish pride and wolfish madness, deceived by the subtilty of satan, and, from one evil vanity, brought to a worse. Who, although they rose up and sprang in divers parts of the world, yet agreed they all in one, having their tails as it were knit together; to wit, John Wickliiff of England, John Huss of Bohemia, and Jerom of Prague, of damnable memory, who drew with them no small number to miserable ruin and infidelity. We, therefore, having a desire to resist such evil and pernicious errors, and utterly root them out from amongst the company of faithful Christians, will and command your discretions, by our letters apostolical, that you that are archbishops, bishops, and other of the clergy, and every one of you by himself, or by any other or others, do see that all and singular per-



sons, of what dignity, office, pre-eminence, state, or condition soever they be, and by what name soever they are known, who shall presume, obstinately, by any ways or means, privily or apartly, to hold, believe, and teach the articles, books, or doctrine of the foresaid arch-heretics, John Wickliff, John Huss, and Jerom of Prague; that then, as before, you see and cause them and every of them to be most severely punished; and that you judge and give sentence upon them as heretics, and that, as arrant heretics, you leave them to the secular court or power. Furthermore we will and command, that, by this our authority apostolical, ye exhort and admonish all the professors of the catholic faith, as emperors, kings, dukes, princes, marquisses, earls, barons, knights, and other magistrates, rectors, consuls, pro-consuls, shires, countries, and universities of the kingdoms, provinces, cities, towns, castles, villages, their lands and other places, and all other executing temporal jurisdiction, that they expel out of their kingdoms, provinces, cities, towns, castles, villages, lands and other places, all and all manner of such heretics; and that they suffer no such, within their shires and circuits, to preach, or to keep either house or family, or to use any handy-craft or occupations, or other trades of merchandize, or to solace themselves any ways, or to frequent the company of Christian men. And furthermore, if such public and known heretics shall chance to die, let him and them want Christian burial. His goods and substance also, from the time of his death, according to the canonical sanctions, being confiscate; let no such enjoy them to whom they appertain, until, by the ecclesiastical judges, sentence upon his or their crime of heresy be declared and promulgate." The reader, who is desirous of perusing the whole of this bull, may see it in Fox, vol. i. from p. 737 to 742. But the sample here given, may suffice to show that Calvinism appeared as dreadful to the eyes of po-

pery, as it can to those of John Wesley or Walter Sellon.

The see of Rome relished these doctrines no better, in the century that followed. Three years after the rise of Martin Luther, another flaming bull was issued against that reformer, by Leo X. Of this bull, these were some of the roarings: "Rise up, O Lord, and judge thy cause, for foxes are risen up, seeking to destroy thy vineyard. Rise up, Peter, and attend to the cause of the holy church of Rome, the mother of all churches; against which, false liars have risen up, bringing in sects of perdition, to their own speedy destruction, whose tongue is like fire, full of unquietness, and replenished with deadly poison; who, having a wicked zeal, and nourishing contentions in their hearts, do brag and lie against the verity. Rise up, Paul, also: we pray thee, who hast illuminated the same church with thy doctrine and martyrdom, for now is sprung up a new porphiry, who, as the said porphiry did then unjustly slander the holy apostles, so semblably doth this man" [meaning Luther] "now slander, revile, rebuke, bite, and bark against the holy bishops, our predecessors. Finally, let all the holy universal church rise up, and, with the blessed apostles, together make intercession to Almighty God, that the errors of all schismatics being rooted up, his holy church may be conserved in peace and unity. We, for the charge of our pastoral office committed unto us, can no longer forbear, or wink at the pestiferous poison of these foresaid errors; of which errors, we thought good to recite certain here, the tenor of which is as followeth." A long catalogue of pretended heresies is then given: among which, are these two:

In every good work the just man sinneth.

Free-will, after sin [i. e. ever since original sin], is a title and name only [i. e. a mere empty word, without reality or foundation in truth].

On these and the other articles asserted by Luther, pope Leo thus continues to descant: "all which errors, there is no man in his right wits, but he knoweth the same, in their several respects; how pestilent they be, how pernicious, how much they seduce godly and simple minds, and, finally, how much they be against all charity, and against the reverence of the holy church of Rome, the mother of all faithful, and mistress of the faith itself; and against the sinews and strength of ecclesiastical discipline, which is obedience, the fountain and well-spring of all virtues, and without which every man is easily convicted to be an infidel. Wherefore, by the counsel and assent of the said our reverend brethren, upon due consideration of all and singular the premises; by the authority of Almighty God, and of the blessed apostles Peter and Paul, and by our own, we do condemn, reprove, and utterly reject all and singular the articles or errors aforesaid, respectively: and, by the tenor hereof, we here decree and declare, that they ought of all Christian people, both men and women, to be taken as damned, reprov'd, and rejected. And therefore forbidding here, under pain of the greater curse and excommunication; losing of their dignities, whether they be ecclesiastical or temporal; and to be deprived of all regular orders and privileges; also of losing their liberties to hold general schools, to read and profess any science or faculty; of losing also their tenures and feoffments, and of inability for ever to recover the same again, or any other; moreover, under pain of secluding from Christian burial, yea, and of treason also: we charge and command all and singular Christian people, as well of the laity, as of the clergy, that they shall not presume, publicly or privately, under any manner of pretence or colour, colourably or expressly, or how else soever, to hold, maintain, defend, preach or favour the foresaid errors, or any

of them, or any such perverse doctrine (*d*).” This instrument, of which I have hardly retailed the tenth part, is dated June 15, 1520.

Honest Luther laughed at this ecclesiastical thunder and lightning. He published an answer, whose purport did equal honour to his integrity and intrepidity. “A rumour reached me,” says the adamantine reformer, “that a certain bull was gone forth against me, and circulated almost over the world, before I had so much as seen it: though, in right, it ought to have been transmitted first and directly to my hands, I being the particular object at whom it was levelled.” The fact was, the pope’s bull (somewhat like Mr. Wesley’s abridgment of Zanchius) was, as Luther expresses it, of the owl or bat kind: it flew about surreptitiously and in the dark. *Noctis et tenebrarum filia, timet lucem vultus mei*, says Luther; *hunc tamen ipsam noctuam vix tandem, multem adjuvantibus amicis in imagine suâ datum est videre*: “this bird of night sought to elude my view; the owl was, however, though with some difficulty, caught by my friends, and brought to me, that I might survey the creature in its proper form.” I do,” adds Luther, “hold, defend, and embrace, with the full trust of my spirit, those articles condemned and excommunicated in the said bull: and I affirm, that the same articles ought to be held of all faithful Christians under pain of eternal malediction; and that they are to be counted for antichrists, whosoever have consented to the said bull: whom I also, together with the spirit of all them that know the truth, do utterly detest and shun. And let this stand for thy revocation, O bulla, verè bullarum filia, O thou bull, which art the very daughter of all vain bubbles (*e*).” The pope got nothing by stigmatizing Luther with heresy and schism. The German re-

(*d*) Fox, vol. ii. p. 537—541.

(*e*) See Fox, *ibid.* p. 541. and sequ.

former treated the Italian pontiff with no more ceremony than, come out, thou ass-headed antichrist; is not thy whorish face ashamed? I am far from applauding the violence of Luther's temper, and from approving the coarseness of his language. But the good man was heated; and, I suppose, thought it needful, on some occasions, to answer fools according to their folly, lest they should be wise in their own conceit.

### SECTION III.

#### *The Judgment of the Council of Trent, concerning the Doctrines called Calvinistic.*

LUTHER died in the year 1546. The first session of the council of Trent had been held in the year preceding. After many and long adjournments, infinite wrangling, chicanery and intrigue, the council broke up for good, A. D. 1563. During these eighteen years, five pontiffs, successively, occupied the Roman chair; viz. Paul III. Julius III. Marcellus II. Paul IV. and Pius IV. The acts passed by this council, in the course of their five and twenty sessions, most glaringly demonstrate that church's unabated abhorrence of the Calvinistic doctrines. Nay, if Petavius is to be believed (and, on a subject of this sort, there is no reason to question the testimony of that learned Jesuit), the council of Trent was called together, as much on Calvin's account as on Luther's: the condemnation of those (*f*) two reformers (whose doctrine concerning predestination, was one and the same) being, according to that historian, one of the main objects in view. I slightly touched on the tridentine decisions, in my former vindication

(*f*) Vide Petavii Rationar. Temp. Par. 1. l. 9. cap. 12. sub init.  
VOL. I. R

of the church of England. I shall, here, consider them more diffusively. The decrees of the council of Trent are the genuine, avowed, indisputable standard of popery. From them I extract the ensuing passages. Whoever reads them, will at once see, that Arminianism is the central point wherein popery and pelagianism meet.

“ If any one shall affirm, that man’s free-will, moved and excited of God, does not, by consenting, co-operate with God the mover and exciter, so as to prepare and dispose itself for the attainment of justification; if, moreover, any one shall say, that the human will cannot refuse complying, if it pleases; but that it is unactive, and merely passive; let such an one be accursed (*g*).

(*g*) Si quis dixerit, liberum hominis arbitrium, à Deo motum et excitatum, nihil co-operari assentiendo Deo excitanti atque vocanti, quo, ad obtinendam justificationis gratiam, se disponat ac præparet, neque posse dissentire si velit, sed veluti inanime quoddam nihil omninò agere, merèque passivè se habere; Anathema sit. Conc. trid. sess. vi. canon 4. p. 40. My edition is that of Paris, 1738.

Si quis liberum hominis arbitrium, post adæ peccatum, amissum et extinctum esse dixerit, aut rem esse de solo titulo, imò titulum sine re, figmentum denique à Satanâ invectum in Ecclesiam; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 5. \*\*\* This fifth canon was particularly levelled at the memory of Luther, who had asserted, in so many words, that, ever since the fall, free-will is *res de solo titulo*; yea, *titulus et nomen sine re*.

Si quis dixerit, opera omnia, quæ ante justificationem fiunt, quæcumque ratione facta sint, verè esse peccata, vel odium Dei mereri, &c. Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 6.

Si quis dixerit, solâ fide impium justificari, et nullâ ex parte necesse esse eum suæ voluntatis motu præparari atque disponi; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 9.

Si quis dixerit, homines justificari vel solâ imputatione justitiæ Christi, vel solâ peccatorum remissione, exclusâ gratiâ et charitate quæ in cordibus eorum per Sp. S. diffundatur atque in illis inhæreat; aut etiam gratiam, quâ justificamur, esse tantùm favorem, Dei; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 11.

Si quis dixerit, fidem justificantem nihil aliud esse quàm fiduciam divinæ misericordiæ, peccata remittentis propter Christum; vel eam fiduciam solam esse, quâ justificamur; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 12.

“ If any one shall affirm, that, since the fall of Adam, man’s free-will is lost and extinguished; or that it is a thing merely titular, yea, a name without a thing, and a fiction introduced by satan into the church; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that all works done before justification, in what way soever they are done,

Si quis dixerit, hominem renatum et justificatum teneri ex fide ad credendum, se certò esse in numero prædestinatorum; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 15.

Si quis magnum illud usque in finem perseverantiæ donum se certò habiturum absolutà et infallibili certitudine dixerit; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 16.

Si quis justificationis gratiam non nisi prædestinatis ad vitam contingere dixerit; reliquos verò omnes qui vocantur, vocari quidem, sed gratiam non accipere, utpote divini potestate prædestinatos ad malum; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 17.

Si quis dixerit, Dei præcepta homini etiam justificato, et sub gratiâ constituto, esse ad observandum impossibilia; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 18.

Si quis hominem semel justificatum dixerit amplius peccare non posse, neque gratiam amittere, atque ideò eum qui labitur et peccat nunquam verè fuisse justificatum;——Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 23.

Si quis dixerit, justitiam acceptam non conservari, atque etiam augeri, coram Deo, per bona opera; sed opera ipsa fructus solummodò et signa esse justificationis adeptæ, non autem ipsius augendæ causam; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 24.

Si quis dixerit, justos non debere pro bonis operibus quæ in Deo fuerint facta, expectare et sperare æternam retributionem à Deo, per ejus misericordiam et Jesu Christi meritum, si benè agendo et divina mandata custodiendo, usque in finem perseveraverint; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 26.

Si quis dixerit, hominis justificati bona opera ità esse dona Dei, ut non sint etiam bona ipsius justificati merita; aut, ipsum justificatum bonis operibus, quæ ab eo per Dei gratiam, et Jesu Christi meritum, cujus vivum membrum est, finit non verè mereri augmentum gratiæ, vitam æternam, et ipsius vitæ æternæ, si tamen in gratiâ decesserit, consecutionem, atque etiam gloria augmentum; Anathema sit. Ibid. can. 32.

These and the other canons and decrees of this antichristian synod, down to the seventh session inclusive, are refuted by the great Calvin, with admirable force, conciseness, and perspicuity, in a tract of his, entitled, *Acta Syn. Trid. cum Antidoto*: first published A. D. 1547, and since inserted into his *Tractat Theologicæ*, reprinted together in 1612.

are properly sins, or deserve the displeasure of God, &c. let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall say, that the ungodly is justified by faith only, and that it is by no means necessary that he should prepare and dispose himself by the motion of his own will; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that men are justified, either by the imputation of Christ’s righteousness alone, or by a mere” [i. e. gratuitous] “ remission of sins, to the exclusion of inherent grace and charity shed abroad in their hearts by the holy Spirit; or shall say, that the alone bounty of God is the grace by which we are justified; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that justifying faith is no more than a reliance on the mercy of God as forgiving our sins for the sake of Christ; or that we are justified by such a reliance only; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that a regenerate and justified man is bound to believe that he is certainly in the number of the elect; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, with positive and absolute certainty, that he shall surely have the great gift of perseverance to the end; let him be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that the grace of justification does not accrue to any, but to those who are predestinated unto life; and that all the rest” [viz. all who are not predestinated to life] “ are called, indeed, but do not receive grace, on account of their being predestinated to evil; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that the laws of God are impossible to be kept even by such as are justified and in a state of grace; let him be accursed.” [By keeping the laws of God, the church of Rome evidently means a sinless obedience.]



“ If any one shall affirm, that the man who is once justified, cannot thenceforth sin” [i. e. so sin, as to perish finally], “ nor lose grace ; and, consequently, that he who falls and sins” [viz. unto death] “ was never really justified ; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that good works do not preserve and increase justification ; but that good works themselves are only the fruits and evidence of justification already had ; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that the righteous, if they endure to the end by well doing and keeping God’s precepts, ought not, through God’s mercy and Christ’s merits, to expect and look for an eternal recompence for those good works which they have wrought in God ; let such an one be accursed.

“ If any one shall affirm, that the good works of a justified man are so the gifts of God, as not to be at the same time, the merits of the justified person himself ; or that the justified person does not himself merit increase of grace, eternal life, and an increase of glory, by those good works which he performs through God’s grace and Christ’s merits, that is to say, if he die in a state of grace ; let such an one be accursed.”

And now, what opinion can the reader form of Mr. Sellon’s veracity? Must not he, who dares to insinuate that “ predestination is held by ten papists out of eleven,” be either a man of no reading, or a man of no truth? Admit the first, and he is too mean for contempt. Admit the last, and he is too bad for correction.

“ But, it may be, the church of Rome, in the present century, differs from the church of Rome in the year 1563.” By no means. The members of that church are, for the most part, true and steady to her principles. Would to God I could say as much concerning the members of our own. By

continuing the historical chain, we shall quickly and clearly see, that popery and Arminianism have been ever since, as good friends as they were in the days of Wickliff, or at the breaking up of the council of Trent. I shall give two famous instances. The conduct of the Romish church toward Jansenius; and, more recently, toward father Quesnell, will plainly show, that popery and Calvinism are as far from shaking hands as ever.

#### SECTION IV.

*The Arminianism of the Church of Rome farther evinced, in her treatment of Jansenius and Quesnell.*

CORNELIUS JANSEN, bishop of Ypres, flourished about fifty years after the dissolution of the above execrable synod. Though born of popish parents, and conversant with papists all his life, it pleased God to open the eyes of this prelate, in such a manner, as gave the most serious alarm to the friends of Rome; and with a success, which has probably lain the basis of a future reformation in the church of France. This great man, naturally of a studious turn, applied himself with peculiar diligence, to the works of Austin. On reading them carefully, he saw, and was surprised to see, how enormously the church, which calls herself catholic, had deviated from the system of that ancient father, whom, nevertheless, she has justly honoured with the titles of saint, and doctor of grace; and to whose authority, she has often been so audacious as to carry her appeal. Many of the enlightened clergy and laity of our own church, can easily form a judgment of Jansenius' feelings on this occasion, by the astonishment which themselves have experienced,

when, on a careful review of her admirable liturgy, articles, and homilies, they first began to discern the vastness of that doctrinal chasm, which severs her real from her reputed sons.

The farther Jansenius advanced, the more he read, prayed, and reflected, the deeper and the clearer was his conviction of the general apostasy from truth. Yet, determined to make no rash conclusions, and resolved to have firm ground for every step he took, he devoted more than twenty years to the momentous enquiry. He went through the whole works of the voluminous father, ten times. Those parts of them, which professedly treat of grace, predestination, and free-will, he read thirty times over: making such large and laborious extracts from those valuable writings, as, when properly arranged, and digested into a regular synopsis, might ascertain the doctrine of St. Austin, concerning these points, beyond all possibility of doubt.

In a world like the present, but especially in those parts of it where popery is the reigning superstition, it is often extremely difficult to connect integrity with prudence. The man who will be honest, must run some risk. Jansenius, having been sent on a kind of academic embassy, to negotiate some business of importance with the Spanish king, in favour of the university of Louvain, the good fathers of the inquisition appeared extremely desirous to sacrifice Jansenius to the manes of Pelagius. Probably, during his residence in Spain, Jansenius might have rendered himself suspected of heresy, by talking too freely in favour of predestination, and by imprudently hinting, how much he wished to see his church really espouse the principles of that saint, whose works she pretended to revere as oracles. However this was, the inquisitors were alarmed; and actually applied to Basil de Leon, at whose house he had lodged, to furnish them with such materials against him, as might justify their citing him before the tribunal of

the holy office. But, by the blessing of providence on the courage and address of Basil, the rising storm was dispelled; and Jansenius, then lately returned to Flanders, continued unmolested in his college: from whence, a few years after, he was (not for his religious, but for his secular services) raised to the mitre. In his consecration to the see of Ypres, the Romanists have had the mortification to behold a heretical bishop of an infallible church. Nay, he was the very bishop, by the imposition of whose hands cardinal Bellamine himself received the order of priesthood. Misfortunes these, which the zeal of the good Spanish inquisitors would willingly have rendered impossible, by laying the axe to the root in due season.

Let no reader imagine, that I am either blind to the dark parts of this eminent prelate's conduct, or willing to conceal them. Intimidated, very probably, by the narrowness of his escape in Spain, Jansenius did not venture to publish his collections from St. Austin. Nay, (such is man!) he even waged a paper war with the protestants of Holland, and sought to retrieve his character at Rome, by ridiculously attempting to prove, that the doctrine of grace maintained by Austin, was not that doctrine of grace maintained by Calvin. To such wretched shifts, and palpable contradictions, are even great and good men reduced, when they have not a sufficient portion of intrepidity, to assert the truth at all events. And what did he gain by this duplicity? What all trimmers deserve, and most of them meet with: hatred and contempt. His memory is execrated by the general voice of the Romish church, who have, without scruple, branded heresy on his name, and whose bigotry would not suffer his very (*h*) tomb to be left

(*h*) Mr. Bayle, from Leydecker gives the following account of the demolition of Jansenius' monument. "Francis de Robes," who succeeded Jansen in the bishopric of Ypres, "caused the tomb-

standing in his own cathedral of Ypres. For, his valuable *Excerpta* from St. Austin, which he had not the courage to publish himself, appeared within two years after his decease: and raised such a ferment among the papists, particularly in France and Flanders, as all the arts and efforts of infallibility knew not how to lay. Light shone in darkness; and the darkness comprehended it not. She, who affects to pride herself on being the "centre of unity," found herself agitated with all the discord and distractions of a chaotic war. The book asserted, from St. Austin, that "there are no remains of purity or goodness, in human nature, since the fall: that the influence of grace is irresistible: and that, in the work of conversion and sanctification, all is to be ascribed to grace, and nothing to human nature. On the contrary, the Jesuits maintained, as they still do" [would to God that Jesuits were the only ones], "that human nature is far from being deprived of all power of doing good; and that man, born free, may resist the operations of grace (*i*);" i. e. so resist them, as to render them eventually ineffectual.

"In the year 1641," continues the last cited historian, "the Jesuits, adding to their arguments the interest they had at the court of Rome, got the book 'Augustinus' prohibited by the Inquisition; and,

stone of his predecessor to be taken away silently by night, on which were written the praises of his virtue and learning, and, particularly, of his book intitled *Augustinus*." The epitaph, it seems, imported, that "this most faithful interpreter of St. Augustin's most secret thoughts, had employed, upon that work, a divine wit, an indefatigable labour, and all the time of his life;" adding, that "the church would receive the fruit of it upon earth, as he did the reward of it in heaven." Words these, which were not only totally incompatible with the decisions of the council of Trent; but moreover, as Mr. Bayle observes, "Injurious to the bulls of pope Urban VIII. and Innocent X. who had condemned that book." He adds, that "the destruction of the grave-stone was made by an express order of pope Alexander VII." Bayle's Dict. vol. iii. p. 548.

(*i*) Bower's Hist. of the Popes, vol. vii. p. 480.

the following year, solemnly condemned by the pope, as reviving the errors which his predecessors had banished." This pope was Urban VIII. His successor, Innocent X. went still farther in his opposition to the synopsis of St. Austin; for he condemned, "By a bull, dated May 31, 1653, the five following propositions, selected, by the Jesuits, out of Jansenius' *Augustinus*, as the most proper to discredit that work. These propositions were,

"I. There are divine precepts which good men, notwithstanding their desire to observe them, are absolutely unable to obey, &c.

"II. No person, in this corrupt state of nature, can resist the influence of divine grace.

"III. In order to render human actions (*k*) meritorious or otherwise, it is not requisite that they be exempt from necessity, but only that they be free from constraint.

"IV. The Semipelagians admitted preventing grace to be necessary to every" [good] "action; and their heresy consisted in this, that they allowed the human will to be indued with a power of resisting that grace, or of complying with its influence (*l*).

(*k*) Jansenius was, certainly, a man of too great penetration, and too well versed in the theory of consequences, not to know that absolute grace cuts up human merit by the roots. But, being determined to keep up some appearance of attachment to the Roman see, that these truths, he ventured to assert, might have the wider and surer spread among the people of that communion; he found, or thought he found it needful, to open their eyes by degrees, and not pour too much light upon them at once. He contented himself, therefore, on some occasions, with establishing certain premises, from whence, indeed, certain conclusions naturally and necessarily follow; but which he prudently left to the illation of his disciples. This was shrewd; but all the candour in the world cannot call it honest.

(*l*) The learned Maastricht cites this fourth proposition with a little variation: *Semipelagiani admittebant prævenientes gratiæ interioris necessitatum ad singulos actus, etiam ad initium fidei: et in hoc erant hæretici, quòd vellent, eam gratiam talem esse cui posset humana voluntas resistere, vel obtemperare. Operum, p. 1176. Amstel. 1724.*

“ V. Whoever says, that Christ died, or shed his blood, for all mankind, is a Semipelagian.

“ The condemnation” [of these propositions] “ afforded great matter of triumph to the Jesuits (*m*):” and no wonder; for, that artful order of men know but too well, that nothing so effectually bars out popery, as the belief of those doctrines, by whatever name they are called: whether we term them Austenism, or Jansenism; Calvinism, or church of Englandism.

Sir Paul Rycaut, in his continuation of Platina, gives us the very words, in which each of the above five propositions were respectively condemned. A consistory of cardinals and divines being called, and the pope himself presiding in person at the board; the propositions were reprobated in manner and form following (*n*): We pronounce the first of these propositions to be presumptuous, blasphemous, heretical, and condemned with a curse; and, as such, we condemn it accordingly. The second also we pronounce to be heretical; and, as such, we condemn it. The third we pronounce heretical; and we condemn it as such. The fourth we condemn, as false and heretical. The fifth, as importing that Christ died for the salvation of those only who were elected, we pronounce to be false, presumptuous, scandalous, impious, blasphemous, scurrilous, derogatory to the goodness of God, and heretical; and, as such, we condemn it.

Pope Alexander VII. who succeeded Innocent X. “ not only confirmed, at the instance of the Jesuits,

(*m*) Bower, u. s. page 482.

(*n*) Primam temerariam, impiam, blasphemam, anathematice damnatam, et hæreticam, declaramus, et uti talem condemnamus. Secundam hæreticam declaramus, et uti talem damnamus. Tertiam hæreticam declaramus, et uti talem damnamus. Quartam falsam et hæreticam declaramus, et uti talem damnamus. Quintam falsam, temerariam, scandalosam, et (intellectam eo sensu, ut Christus pro salute duntaxat prædestinatorum mortuus sit) impiam, blasphemam, contumeliosam divinæ pietati derogantem, et hæreticam, declaramus, et uti talem damnamus. Rycaut's Lives of the Popes, p. 318.

the bull of Innocent, condemning the five propositions, mentioned above; but, by a new bull" [dated A. D. 1657], "declaring that the said propositions were the doctrines of Jansenius, and were contained in his book; and that they had been condemned in the obvious sense, and in the sense of their author; in sensu obvio, in sensu ab auctore intento. Nay, the Jesuits procured, by their interest in cabinet-councils, a mandate from Louis XIV." [of France], "commanding all, within his dominions, to receive a formulary, or confession of faith, in which, the doctrine condemned by the pope, was owned to be the doctrine contained in the book of Jansenius, styled Augustinus. They, who refused to sign that formulary, were deprived of their livings, and either cast into prison, or sent into exile (o)."

This persecution of Jansenius' numerous disciples (for his book had opened the eyes of multitudes) was both severe and lasting. The truths, which he had brought to light, were, at all events, to be stifled and exterminated, as opening a door to protestantism, and as shaking the very foundations of the infallible church. Hence, in France, where Jansenius' formidable book had made most havoc amongst catholics, the abjuration of the five propositions was exacted, not only from all the secular clergy, but even from every monk, nun and friar, who was not willing to undergo the opprobrium and penalties of heresy. Nay, the very laity of the several dioceses were not excused from abjuring these reputed and real doctrines of Calvinism (p): which, however, could not extirpate the party of Jansenius; who subsist, in vast numbers to this day, and are sus-

(o) Bower, ubi supr. p. 484.

(p) "The assembly of the clergy, in the years 1660, 1661, and 1664, ordered all persons to subscribe the formulary. Which being confirmed by the king's declaration, all the ecclesiastics, monks, nuns, and others, in every diocese, were obliged to subscribe: those who refused, being interdicted and excommunicated." Biographical Diction. vol. vii. p. 17.



pected (not without reason) of only waiting a favourable opportunity of entirely shaking off the papal yoke. The bread of sound doctrine, which the bishop of Ypres has cast upon the waters, will, I doubt not, be found after many days. In vain did pope Clement XIII. by his bull, dated July 15, 1705, renew the fulminations of his predecessors, by declaring, that "the faithful ought to condemn, as heretical, not only with their mouths, but in their hearts, the sense of Jansen's book contained in the five propositions (*g*). In vain did the same pontiff deal out his anathemas, A. D. 1713, against the same five propositions of Jansenius, and against the hundred and one propositions extracted from father Quesnell. The papal fulminations, though launched by pretended infallibility, and seconded by all the real power of the civil arm, have not been able to eradicate the good seed sown by Jansenius in France and Flanders. It is true, the seed is at present, and has been from the first, under the harrow of persecution: insomuch that, in France especially, it is far less dangerous to be taken for an atheist, than for a Jansenist. Truth, however, at the long run, will and must prevail. It is more than probable, that, in some future period, Jansenius (as having laid the first stone) will be considered as the virtual reformer of France. I have dwelt, thus largely, on the violent efforts of the Romish church to extinguish the minutest glimmerings of Calvinism; the more clearly to demonstrate, either the utter ignorance, or the criminal unfairness, of Mr. Sellon, which induced him to aver, that the Calvinistic system is the system of the church of Rome, and embraced by ten papists out of eleven.

I have already observed, that, so low down as the year 1713, the hundred and one propositions, extracted from the writings of Quesnell, were con-

(*g*) Biogr. Dict. *ibid.* p. 18.

demned at Rome, as the very quintessence of heresy. Some of these propositions (for I have not room to recite them all) were as follow :

“ The grace of Jesus Christ, the efficacious principle of all good, is necessary to every good action.

“ The difference between the Jewish and the Christian covenant” [i. e. the difference between the law and the gospel], “ lies in this : that, in the former, God requires the sinner to avoid sin and to fulfil the law, leaving him, at the same time, in his state of inability ; whereas, in the latter, God gives the sinner that which he commands, by purifying him with his grace.

“ How great is the happiness, to be admitted into a covenant, wherein God confers upon us that which he requires of us !

“ Grace is an operation of the Almighty hand of God, which nothing can hinder or retard.

“ When God willeth to save a soul, the undoubted effect always and every where followeth the will of God.

“ When God accompanies his command and external word, with the unction of his Spirit and the internal power of his grace ; it then works in the heart that obedience which it requires.

“ All those, whom God willeth to save by Jesus Christ, are infallibly saved.

“ Faith, the use, the increase, and the reward of faith, are all a gift of the pure bounty of God.

“ What is the church, but the congregation of the children of God, adopted in Christ, redeemed by his blood, living by his Spirit, acting by his grace, and expecting the grace of the world to come ?

“ The church is composed of all the elect and just of all ages.”

These truly scriptural propositions are, among the rest, recited in the bull *Unigenitus*, above mentioned ; and there stand anathematised by the church of Rome in these very words : “ We do, by this our

constitution, which shall be of perpetual force and obligation, declare, condemn, and reject, respectively, all and every one of the propositions before recited, as false, captious, shocking, offensive to pious ears, scandalous, pernicious, rash, injurious to the church" [i. e. to the Romish church] "and her practice; contumelious, not only against the church, but likewise against the secular powers; seditious, impious, blasphemous, heretical, and manifestly reviving several heresies. Whosoever shall teach, defend, or publish them, or any of them, jointly or separately; or shall treat of them by way of dispute, either publicly or privately, unless it be to impugne them; shall, ipso facto, without any other declaration, incur the censures of the church, and all other penalties appointed by the law against such delinquents (*r*)."

Is it possible for the church of Rome to execrate and abjure Calvinism in stronger terms? And is it possible for words to convey clearer and more solid proof, that popery and Arminianism are (so far as these points are concerned) one and the same? And is it possible for impudence itself to forge a more flagrant untruth, than by saying, that Arminianism is not popery, and that ten papists out of eleven hold predestination? Well may the author of the Confessional (than whom, with all his mistakes, scarce any age has produced a more keen and nervous reasoner) make the following just and incontestible remark: "Our first reformers framed and placed the Thirty-nine articles, and more particularly those called Calvinistical, as the surest and strongest barriers to keep out popery (*s*)."

(*r*) See the bull *Unigenitus*, prefixed to Russel's translation of Quesnell on the N. T. vol. i.

(*s*) Confessional, p. 331. edit. 3. What immediately follows the above citation, I here throw into a note, because, though too important to be entirely omitted, it yet does not directly pertain to the argument I am upon. "A protestant divine," adds this masterly writer, "may possibly have his objections to the plain sense of these

In consequence of the above papal fulmination, Quesnell (whose crime only consisted in his having a little more spiritual light than most of his brethren) was first banished from Paris; then, thrown into a dungeon at Brussels, from whence, after almost four months imprisonment, he found means to make his escape into Holland, where he died A. D. 1719, after an exile of about fifteen years. The bull itself, by which his 101 propositions were condemned, is to this very day, so strictly enforced in France, that the clergy of that kingdom (though, generally, less bigotted than the clergy of other popish countries) will not administer the last offices to a dying person, until he has solemnly declared his detestation of the doctrines which those propositions contain. What a front, then, must Mr. Sellon have, to insinuate, that ten papists, out of eleven, hold the doctrine of grace; when, even in France, where popery sits much lighter than in any other unreformed nation, not a single papist, though lying on the bed of death, is permitted to receive the sacrament, until he has, with his dying breath, disavowed the doctrine of predestination in all its branches.

Let me further ask the calumniator, whether he ever knew a single person, who, from being a doctrinal Calvinist, was perverted to the church of Rome? But I myself have known several Arminians, who were carried over to popery with very little difficulty; and, from being half protestants, easily commenced complete Romanists (*t*). Ask your friend

articles" [i. e. to the plain sense of the Calvinistic articles of the church of England]; "but in this case, he ought not to subscribe them at all. For if he can bring himself to assent and to subscribe them in a catholic" [i. e. in a popish-Arminian] "sense, I would desire to know what security the church has, that he does not put the like catholic sense (with which he may be furnished by the Jesuits) upon those articles which concern transubstantiation and purgatory?"

(*t*) How natural and easy the transition is, from Arminianism to avowed popery, is evident, among others, from the examples of

and dictator, Mr. John Wesley, whether numbers of his followers have not, from time to time, gone off to

Bolsec and Bertius, abroad; and, at home, from those of William Barrett, and Godfrey Goodman, bishop of Gloucester.

Jerom Bolsec was originally a Carmelite friar of Paris. From motives either of conscience, or of secular interest, he forsook his order; and, leaving France, made open profession of the protestant religion. Among other places, he went, says Bayle, "to Geneva, as a physician; but, finding that he did not distinguish himself to his satisfaction in that profession, he set up for divinity; and dogmatized, at first, in private, on the mystery of predestination, according to the principles of Pelagius, and afterwards had the boldness to make a public discourse against the received opinion. As soon as his conversation with certain persons, to infect them with his pelagianism, was known, Calvin went to see him, and censured him mildly: afterwards, he sent for him to his house, and endeavoured to reclaim him from his error. But this did not hinder Bolsec from delivering in public, an insulting discourse against the decree of eternal predestination. It is thought, that he was the bolder because he imagined that Calvin was not among his auditors. He had such a thought, because he did not see him [sitting] in his [usual] place. The reason was, Calvin, not coming in till after the discourse was begun, kept himself hid behind the crowd." (Bayle's Hist. Dict. vol. ii. art. Bolsec). Mr. Samuel Clark, a pious, learned, and laborious writer of the last century, informs us (see his Marrow of Ecclesiastical History, part i. p. 298, 299), that Bolsec delivered this harangue, October 16, 1551, taking for his text, these words, "He that is of God, heareth the words of God: whence he took occasion to preach up free-will, and that predestination was out of works foreseen." Calvin himself (see Bayle, *ut supr.*) in a letter which he wrote to the Swiss churches, says, that among other things asserted by Bolsec, on the above, or a similar occasion, the wretch spoke to this effect: that "men do not therefore obtain salvation, because they are elected; but are therefore elected, because they believe; and that no man is reprobate by the bare decree of God, but only those who deprive themselves of the common election." Being, after several fruitless efforts to reclaim him, banished from Geneva, he retired into the territory of Bern; where, says Mr. Clark, "he was the cause of many and great stirs." After being twice expelled from thence, on account of his turbulent behaviour, he returned into France; and, "presently after, when he saw the [French] churches under affliction, he fell back to popery, loading the reformed churches with many reproaches." Thus did this man's tenets of free-will, conditional predestination, universal grace, and salvation by works, ripen him for a relapse to the church of Rome.

the mother of abominations? particularly in Bristol: where, I have been credibly informed, the Romish

Those principles had the same effect on Peter Bertius. He was an intimate friend, and devoted admirer of Arminius. This gradually prepared him for his subsequent apostasy to popery. Arminius died the 19th of October, 1609. And who so fit to deliver his funeral oration (or, in modern language, to preach his funeral sermon), as his good friend and coadjutor Bertius? Preached by him it accordingly was, on the 22d of the same month, which was the day of Arminius' interment. And to this very hour, the said funeral oration (notwithstanding the orator's revolt to the church of Rome a few years after) stands prefixed to all the editions of Arminius' works, which I have ever seen: as if popery and Arminianism were fated to be inseparable. I do not recollect to have met with the exact æra of Bertius' declaring himself a papist. But, in the collection of archbishop Usher's Letters, annexed to his Life by Dr. Parr, I find the following paragraph, in a letter from Dr. Ward to that prelate: "Your lordship was partly acquainted with a business which I had undertaken, to answer one chapter of [cardinal] Perron's latest work, set out after his decease. Since that time, Petrus Bertius, the remonstrant [i. e. the Arminian] is turned Roman catholic, and hath undertaken the translation of that whole book into Latin." This letter is dated September 25, 1622. In one from bishop Usher, to the same Dr. Ward (who was master of Sidney college, Cambridge, and succeeded bishop Davenant in the Margaret professorship of divinity), the excellent prelate tells him, "I do very well approve the judgment of them, who advised you to handle the controversies mentioned in that chapter of cardinal Perron's book, which Bertius pretendeth to have been the principal motive of his verifying the title of his old book, *Hymenæus Desertor*. His oration of the motives to his perversion, I saw before I left England: than which, I never yet did see a more silly and miserable discourse proceed from the hands of a learned man." Let. l. and liii. p. 82. and 85. Thus easy, quick, and ready, is it to pass from the religion of James Arminius, to that of cardinal James Davy du Perron!

A sadly memorable instance of the same kind, happened in our land some years after. Godfrey Goodman, the unworthy bishop of Gloucester, who had long swam with Laud in almost every measure that conduced to the extension of Arminianism, civil tyranny, and ecclesiastical pomp, at length declared, in his last will, that he died "in the faith and communion of the mother church of Rome." But I dismiss so shocking an event, with that observation of the apostle: "They went out from us, but they were not of us; for, if they had been of us, they would doubtless have continued with us." Yet must I subjoin the remark of Echard: "As this was the only apostate English bishop since the reformation; so he was the only one who left children to beg their bread." Ech. Hist. of Engl. vol. ii. p. 782.

priests cry him up (not without reason) as a very moderate and a very useful man (*u*).

## SECTION V.

*The Objection, drawn from the supposed Calvinism of Thomas Aquinas, refuted: with a Word concerning St. Austin.*

BUT, it seems Austin and Aquinas were “two champions for predestination:” and “their names,” I am farther told, “have as much weight, in the church of Rome, as they have with (*x*)” the vicar of Broad-Hembury. I am apt to think, that Mr. Sellon’s acquaintance, either with St. Austin’s

The case of William Barrett, fellow of Gonville and Caius college, Cambridge, is well known. I have already given a sketch of his history, and taken notice of his revolt to popery, in my former pamphlet, entitled, *The Church of England vindicated from the charge of Arminianism*, p. 48. &c.

(*u*) Many specimens might be given of Mr. Wesley’s lax protestantism. Among them, every considerate reader must rank the following paragraph: Justification by works is not the fundamental doctrine of popery; but the universality of the Romish church, and the supremacy of the bishop of Rome. And to call any one a papist, who denies these, is neither charity nor justice” (page the 9th of a twopenny tract, written by Mr. John Wesley, and entitled, *A Letter to a Gentleman at Bristol*, 1758). According to this reasoning, a man may hold transubstantiation, ecclesiastical infallibility, purgatory, image worship, invocation of saints and angels, &c. &c. and yet be a good protestant all the while! The French clergy (for instance) who put a query on the pope’s supremacy, but are (or, at least, generally profess themselves to be) stiff Romanists in most other points, cannot, in Mr. Wesley’s estimation, be with “charity and justice,” considered as papists! Does not such a bare-faced concession look as if the conceder himself was fearful (and upon very good grounds) lest, without a prudent caveat of that kind, the charge of popery might fall heavy on somebody else?

(*x*) Sellon, p. 3.

writings, or with those of Aquinas, is, at best, extremely slender. However, his bare mention of those foreign names may serve to give Mr. Wesley's old women a huge idea of "brother Sellon's purdigious larning."

Whatever may be said for the truly admirable bishop of Hippo; it is certain, that the ingenious native of Aquino was by no means a consistent predestinarian. He had, indeed, his lucid intervals: but, if the Arminians should find themselves at a loss for quibbles, I would recommend to them a diligent perusal of that laborious hair-splitter; who will furnish them, in their own way, with many useful and necessary quirks, without the assistance whereof, their system had, long ago, lost its hold even on the prejudiced and the superficial.

Of all Aquinas' numerous writings (which are said to amount to 17 folio volumes), I have only his *Summa Theologiæ*, and his Commentaries on the gospels, and St. Paul's epistles. To collect all the Semipelagian passages, with which those two performances are fraught, would be a task equally prolix and unprofitable. My citations, therefore, shall be few and short: but such as may suffice to evince, that this scholastic papist does, in many material points respecting the present argument, shake hands from his grave, with his younger brethren, the modern Arminians. "The Book of Life," says he, "is the enrolment of those who are ordained to life eternal. Whoever is in present possession of grace, is, by virtue of that very possession, deserving of eternal life. The ordination, however, sometimes fails: for, some people are ordained to have eternal life, by the" [inherent] "grace they possess; which eternal life, they, notwithstanding, come short of, by the commission of deadly sin. They who are appointed to life eternal, not by God's predestination, but only through the grace" [they are partakers of], "are said to be written in the Book of Life, not abso-



lutely, but under certain limitations (*y*).” Let me add a word, from this author, concerning justification, which he supposes to be synonymous with the infusion of grace: “free-will,” says he, “is essential to the nature of man: consequently, in that person, who has the use of his free-will, God worketh no motion unto righteousness without the motion of the man’s free-will (*z*).” In his comment on the first epistle to Timothy, he thus asserts the merit of works: “Spiritual treasure is no other than an assemblage of merits; which merits are the foundation of that future building which is prepared for us in heaven: for, the whole preparation of future glory is by merits; which merits we acquire by grace; and this grace is the fountain of merit (*a*).”

Now let any man judge, whether this popish writer does not, in these and similar passages, speak the language of Pelagius. That he sometimes stumbles on great and precious truths, cannot be denied. Where this is the case, let him have his due commendation. But the least that can be said, is, that those of his lucubrations, which I have met with, abound with such astonishing self-contradictions, as are only to be paralleled in the puny publications

(*y*) Est enim liber vitæ conscriptio ordinatorum in vitam æternam. Quicumque enim gratiam habet, ex hoc ipso est dignus vitâ æternâ. Et hæc ordinatio deficit interdum: quia aliqui ordinati sunt, ex gratiâ habitâ, ad habendum vitam æternam, à quâ tamen deficiunt per peccatum mortale. Illi qui sunt ordinati ad habendum vitam æternam non ex prædestinatione divinæ, sed solùm ex gratiâ; dicuntur esse scripti in libro vitæ, non simpliciter, sed secundum quid. Aquin. Summ. part 1. qu. 23. art. 3. page 61. Edit. Antverp. 1585.

(*z*) Homo autem secundum propriam naturam habet, quòd sit liberi arbitrii: et ideò, in eo, qui habet usum liberi arbitrii, non fit motio à Deo, ad justitiam, absque motu liberi arbitrii. Aquin. ibid. l. 2dæ quest. 113. art. 3. p. 245.

(*a*) Thesaurus spiritualis est congregatio meritorum: quæ sunt fundamentum futuri ædificii, quod nobis preparatur in celo. Quia tota præparatio future gloriæ est per merita, quæ acquirimus per gratiam, quæ est principium merendi. Ejusdem Lect. 4. in 1 tom. vi. p. 410. Edit. Antverp. 1620.

wherewith Mr. John Wesley hath edified his readers.

So much for Thomas Aquinas. Next, for the celebrated African bishop; concerning whom, Mr. Sellon thus descants: "Austin's writings are judged to confirm the popish doctrines so much, that the effigy of that father is set with three others, to support the papal chair." And suppose I were to make the effigy of Arminius serve as a leg to my chair, would it thence follow that I am an Arminian? As little does it follow, that the doctrine of predestination asserted by St. Austin, is the received doctrine of Rome, only because the pope affects to sit on the shoulders of Austin's wooden image. If my adversary has only such wooden arguments to urge, the interest of his dearly beloved Arminianism will be as ridiculously and as feebly supported, as is the pope's (*b*) chair by the worm-eaten effigy. Is it true, that the system of grace, maintained by Austin, is espoused by the Roman church? Quite the reverse. The writers of that communion do indeed make very pompous use of St. Austin's name, and pretend to pay no little deference to his authority: but with

(*b*) After all, what if none of the four supporting images should be really representative of St. Austin? I am aware, that the contrary has been affirmed, by authority incomparably more credible, than that of Mr. Sellon. I therefore only start the query as a bare possibility. But, were it even fact, it would not be the first mistake of the kind, into which the Holy Infallible See hath fallen. Witness the following famous instance. "Till the year 1662, the bishops of Rome thought they had a pregnant proof, not only of St. Peter's erecting their chair, but of his sitting in it himself: for till that year, the very chair, on which, they believed, or would make others believe, he [St. Peter] had sat, was shown, and exposed to public adoration, on the 18th of January, the festival of the said chair. But while it was cleaning, in order to be set up in some conspicuous place of the Vatican, the twelve labours of Hercules unluckily appeared to be engraved on it. 'Our worship, however,' says Giacomo Bartolini, who was present at this discovery, and relates it, 'was not misplaced: since it was not to the wood we paid it, but to the prince of apostles, St. Peter.'" Bower's Hist. of the Popes, vol. i. p. 7.

just as much sincerity, as Mr. Sellon professes to revere and vindicate the church of England. Papists dazzle the vulgar by the mention of St. Austin, that the brightness of his name may render their apostasy from his doctrines imperceivable.

With what propriety St. Austin's image lends its shoulder to the pope's haunch, may be judged from the following brief sketch of Austin's doctrine: which I shall give in the words of the honest and learned Mr. Du Pin.

“Sinners,” says St. Augustin, “sin voluntarily, and without compulsion: and they cannot complain that God hath denied them his grace, or the gift of perseverance, since he owes his grace to nobody (*c*).” The historian goes on: “He [Austin] again insisteth upon the same matter, and upon the same principles, in both the books which he wrote in answer to Hilary's and Prosper's letters. The first is, of the predestination of the saints; and the second, of the gift of perseverance: wherein he demonstrates, that the beginning of faith and good purposes is the gift of God; and that so, our predestination, or vocation, doth not depend upon our merits. The second book concerns the gift of perseverance; which he shows to depend equally on God, as the beginning of our conversion. St. Augustin composed these treatises in the year 429 (*d*).

“St. Augustin's principles, concerning predestination and reprobation, do exactly agree with his opinion touching grace. Both those decrees, according to him, suppose the foreknowledge of original sin, and of the corruption of the whole mass of mankind. If God would suffer all men to remain there, none could complain of that severity, seeing they are all guilty and doomed to damnation, because of the sin of the first man. But God resolved, from all

(*c*) Dupin's Hist. of Ecclesiastical Writers, vol. 3. p. 203.

(*d*) Ibid.

eternity, to deliver some, whom he had chosen out of pure mercy, without any regard to their future merits; and, from all eternity, he prepared, for them that were thus chosen, those gifts and graces which are necessary to save them infallibly: and these he bestows upon them in time. All those, therefore, that are of the number of the elect, hear the gospel, and believe, and persevere in the faith working by love, to the end of their lives. If they chance to wander from the right way, they return, and repent of their sins: and it is certain, that they shall all die in the faith of Jesus Christ (*e*).”

Let the reader but compare the above summary of St. Austin’s doctrine, with the determinations of the council of Trent, quoted in the 3d of the preceding sections; and he will, at first view, perceive, how little stress is to be laid upon the pope’s reposing his loins upon St. Austin’s effigy, while he tramples the leading (*f*) doctrines of that predestinarian saint

(*e*) Ibid. p. 205, 206. These citations demonstrate the justness of Mr. Bayle’s following remark. “It is certain,” says this shrewd, perspicacious writer, “that the engagement, which the church of Rome is under, to respect St. Austin’s system, casts her into a perplexity which is very ridiculous. It is manifest to all men, who examine things without prejudice and with sufficient abilities, that Austin’s doctrine, and that of Jansenius, are one and the same; so that we cannot, without indignation, behold the court of Rome boasting to have condemned Jansenius, and yet to have preserved St. Austin in all his glory. These are two things, altogether inconsistent. More than this, the council of Trent, in condemning Calvin’s doctrine of free-will, did necessarily condemn that of St. Austin: for no Calvinist ever denied, or can deny, the concurrence of the human will, and the liberty of the soul, in that sense which St. Austin has given to the words concurrence, co-operation, and liberty. So that when they” [i. e. the papists] “boast of having St. Austin’s faith, it is only meant to preserve a decorum, and to save their system from the destruction which a sincere confession of the truth must necessarily occasion.” Bayle’s Hist. Dict. vol. i. art. Augustin.

(*f*) This is evident, among other proofs, from the following instance: some of St. Austin’s works, concerning grace and against free-will, are actually under the black mark of the Romish index Expurgatorius. For the knowledge of which, I am indebted to the

under foot, and anathematizes all who embrace them.

Had I any kind of intercourse with his Arminian holiness of Rome, I would advise him to cashier the image of St. Austin from serving any longer as a support to his easy chair. I would recommend to him a log, made of Ledsham ash: which he might soon obtain, by ordering one of his emissaries (whereof he has a pretty many) in this kingdom, to procure an effigy of Mr. Walter Sellon, as nearly resembling the original, as it can be made; to serve—not, indeed, upon due recollection, as a stay to his holiness' throne—nor even as a prop to his foot-stool—but, which would be perfectly in character, as a leg to a certain convenience (a sella perforata, though not the sella porphyretica), whereon, I presume his holiness deigns, occasionally, to sit: and which, the wooden effigy of this wooden Arminian would, with all imaginable propriety and gracefulness, assist in supporting.

## SECTION VI.

### *The Charge of Ranterism refuted and retorted.*

PASS we, now, to a slander against the Calvinistic doctrines, drawn from a very different topic. “Antinomianism and Ranterism are,” according to my adversary, “the genuine effects of absolute predestination.” As to Antinomianism, I have, else-

information of Spanhemius. “In doctrinam illius [Augustini] de gratiâ et libero arbitrio, iniquiora sunt sæpe judicia familiæ Jesuitarum et obvia. Nec pauca inquisitores hispanici et index Expurgatorius in Augustino damnant, obelo iis consignenda. Spanhem. Operum, tom. i. p. 925.

where (*g*), shown what it is, and demonstrated that it no more follows from Calvinism, than midnight from the sun. But, as the charge of Ranterism may carry a new appearance, to those who are unacquainted with the scurrilities of those superannuated Arminians from whose quiver Mr. Sellon has borrowed his shafts, I will not dismiss it without some examination.

The sect, who, in the reign of Charles I. justly went by the name of Ranters; were no other than the spawn, or continuation of the (*h*) Familists, who made so much noise under the administration of Elizabeth. To judge, therefore, of the branch, we must review the parent-tree. To trace the rise, and ascertain the principles of the Ranters, we must follow the stream to the spring, by carrying up our enquiries to the Familists themselves.

The learned Camden, in his History of Queen Elizabeth, informs us, under the year 1580, that “not only perfidious subjects, but also foreigners, out of Holland, a country (*i*) fruitful of heretics, began, at this time, to trouble the peace of the church and commonwealth of England. Under a show of singular integrity and sanctity, they insinuated themselves into the affections of the ignorant, common people; and then instilled into their minds several damnable heresies, manifestly repugnant to the Christian faith: using uncouth and strange kind of expressions. These named themselves of the Family of Love, or House of Charity. They persuaded their followers, that those only were elected, and should be saved, who were admitted into that fa-

(*g*) See my Caveat against Unsound Doctrines.

(*h*) Fuller's Church History of Britain; b. x. s. 2. p. 33.

(*i*) I do not wonder at this remark of the historian. Nor will any other unprejudiced person, who considers, that among the rest, Arminius (at whom, and his adherents, Mr. Camden strongly seems to glance in this sentence) was a Dutchman.

mily ; and all the rest, reprobates, and to be damned : and that it was lawful for them to deny, upon their oath, before a magistrate, whatsoever they pleased ; or before any other, who was not of their family.” He adds, that their leader, Henry Nicholas, “ with a blasphemous mouth, gave out, that he partook of God, and God [partook] of his humanity.”

King James I. has given us a miniature drawing of these people, sketched with his own hand : “ That vile sect,” says his majesty, “ called the Familie of Love, think themselves only pure, and, in a manner, without sinne ; the only true church, and only wor-thie to be participant of the sacraments ; and all the rest of the world to be but abomination in the sight of God (*k*).”

Hence it appears, that these wretched fanatics (like some others I could easily name) held, 1. That men’s election or reprobation was conditional ; and that the condition was, their joining, or not joining themselves to this pretended family of love : consequently, 2. That salvation did not extend beyond the purlieus of their own sect : 3. That faith was not to be kept with any but themselves : and, 4. That men might, in the present life, be in a manner without sin (*l*). Their tenets, therefore, appear to have been a ferrago of pelagianism, popery, and enthusiasm.

Henry Nicholas, a native of Amsterdam, and the founder of this sect, had, it seems, began to sow his

(*k*) Basilicon Doron, Pref. p. 8. edit. 1603.

(*l*) Of this sect was Velsius, a Hollander, who, in the year 1563, made much disturbance among the Dutch congregation in London. (See Strype’s annals of the twelve first years of Elizabeth ; chap. 34.) This was the same Velsius, who, “ In the year 1556, held a dispute at Frankfort, with one Horne, who appeared in behalf of Calvin’s doctrine of absolute predestination, and against free-will. Him Velsius called *ambitionis et νενοδοξίας vilissimum manicipium*, i. e. a most vile slave of ambition and vain glory. He asserted, that he that was born might not sin, and, in effect, could not sin : that is, if he remained in the grace of regeneration.” (Strype, *ibid.* p. 347.) So far were these ranting free-willers from Calvinism !

tares in England, many years before the æra assigned by Camden: though it was not, perhaps, until about the year 1580, that the Familists were sufficiently numerous and daring, to alarm the vigilance of government. But the enthusiast had, before, taken a voyage hither, in the reign of king Edward VI. and, joining himself, at first, to a Dutch church in London, perverted “a number of artificers and silly women (*m*).”

From a paper, subscribed by one of these sectarians, and published by Mr. Strype, we may derive still stronger light into some of their distinguishing principles. It seems, in the year 1581, Dr. Wolton, bishop of Exeter, deprived the rector of Lidford, in Devonshire, for being a Familist, or Ranter. This ranter's name was Anthony Randal: who, among other heterodoxies, held, that the Mosaic history of Adam's temptation and fall was a mere allegory: “moreover, that as many as receive Jesus Christ and his doctrine, did fully keep all the moral law, and lived pure without sinning (*n*).” In the summary of assertions, which the said Anthony Randal acknowledged under his own hand, I find the following passages. “He saith, that he cannot put down” [i. e. safely commit to writing] “what he hath learned of predestination, or providence, without speaking, or at least seeming to speak, against the law of the realm. He saith, he hath taught openly, and will teach, during his life (being not forbidden by the prince), that as many as receive Jesus Christ and his doctrine, do fulfil, keep, and do, all the moral law given by God to Moses: and so to live clean and clear without sinning, or the act of sin. And moreover, that every one that preacheth any doctrine contrary to this, neither knoweth God, or his Christ, nor yet the power and strength of the holy Spi-

(*m*) Fuller's Church Hist. b. ix. p. 112.

(*n*) Strype's Life of Whitgift, p. 222.



rit (*o*)." Let me make a short stricture on these two paragraphs. It is sufficiently plain, 1. That the ranter denied predestination, and had (at best) but a very lax idea of divine providence: yet, 2. He was honest enough to grant, that the doctrines of predestination and providence were a part of the national belief, established by "the law of the realm." Hence, 3. He would not venture to blaspheme those doctrines under his hand-writing, for fear of the consequences that might follow. Herein, the ranter acted discreetly enough. 4. He was an avowed perfectionist: and, 5. Was a most uncharitable bigot; else he had never affirmed, that every one, who preaches against his ranting doctrine of sinless perfection, knows nothing of God, or of Christ, or of the holy Spirit. Who, on this occasion, can help thinking on Messieurs John Wesley and Walter Selton? I mean, so far as concerns the tenet of perfection. For, on the articles of predestination and providence, even ranting Randal himself would not venture to fly so openly in the face of the "law of the realm," as have his ranting successors, the two adventurers above mentioned.

In the second year of James I. the Ranters or Familists (call them which you please), presented a very long petition to that monarch; in which they had, at last, the courage to own their firm belief of universal redemption, and, not obscurely, hinted their attachment to the doctrine of free-will. The whole petition may be read in Fuller (*p*). I shall content myself with transcribing two or three paragraphs. They term themselves "The Family of Love, or of God;" and disclaim all affinity to the puritans, whom they brand with the epithets of "disobedient, brain-sick, proud-minded, heady, and hypocritical: whose malice," add the ranters, "hath, for five

(*o*) Appendix to ditto, p. 93.

(*p*) Church Hist. of Brit. book x. sect. 18.

and twenty years past, and upwards, and ever since, with many untrue suggestions, and most foul errors and odious crimes (the which we could then, if need were, prove), sought our utter overthrow and destruction." The puritans, I dare believe, deemed themselves to be, as indeed they were, honoured by the low slanders of these wild and extravagant sectarists. But, would we think it possible? this very Family of Love, (or of Lust rather, says Fuller), these identical ranters, pretended, with as much confidence and as little truth as Messieurs John and Walter do at this day, to be very sound and zealous members of the church of England! "If," continue the petitioners, "we do vary, or swerve, from the established religion in this land, either in service, ceremonies, sermons, or sacraments; then let us be rejected for sectaries, and never receive the benefit of subjects." We may learn, from this astonishing instance of impudence and falsehood, that it is no new thing with schismatics, to lay the brats of their own invention at the door of the church. Mr. Wesley, among others, seeks much to avail himself of this audacious artifice. As if the church of England were the common receptacle of error, and looked ninety-nine ways at once!

But to resume the petition. I shall not here stay to enquire into the merits of the question concerning the universality of redemption and grace. I shall only show, from the very words of the ranters themselves, that they held the universality of both. They tell the king that the writings of Henry Nicholas were written and published, "To the end that all people, when they hear or read his writing, might endeavour to bring forth the fruits of repentance, according as all the holy scripture doth likewise require the same of every one: and that they might, in that sort, become saved, through Jesus Christ the Saviour of all the world." They add, that Nicholas' books were written by an immediate

impulse from heaven : or, to use their own phraseology, that his productions did “ proceed out of the great grace and love of God and Christ toward all kings, princes, rulers, and people, upon the universal earth, to their salvation, unity, peace, and concord, in the same godly love.”

“ This Family of Love,” says merry old Fuller, “ who shut their doors before, now” [i. e. about A. D. 1655.] “ keep open house : yea, Family is too narrow a name for them ; they are grown so numerous. Formerly, by their own confession in this petition, they had three qualities ; few, poor, and unlearned. For the last, *billa vera* : their lack of learning they still retain : being otherwise many, and some rich ; but all under the name of ranters. And thus,” adds the facetious historian, “ I fairly leave them, on condition they will fairly leave me, that I may hear no more of them for delivering truth and my own conscience, in what I have written concerning their opinions (*q*).”

By this time the reader must clearly see, with how little reason and justice Mr. Sellon pronounces ranterism to be the genuine effect of the doctrine of predestination. So far from being an effect of it, it is totally and diametrically the reverse of that doctrine, in every point of view. Mr. Sellon is the ranter, both in the speculative and practical sense of the term. Speculatively : for he avowedly holds, with his ranting brethren of the two last centuries, that our Lord is the intentional Saviour of all the world, without any exception ; and that the grace of God extends “ to all people upon the universal earth.” Nay, on the article of sinless perfection, this modern Arminian exceeds the madness of his ancient brethren. For, some of them only asserted, that people may be in a manner without sin : whereas, the still more illuminated Mr. Sellon affirms,

(*q*) *Ibid.* p. 33.

with the hottest of the elder sect, that people may be totally without sin. In which respect, he outrants many of the old ranters themselves. But what is empty speculation, if unproductive of substantial practice? Herein, likewise, my worthy assailant comes not a jot behind the foremost of the primitive ranters. For, what are his written works, but one continued series of ranting against the sovereignty and grace of God, and against all who affirm with the church (art. xvii.) that predestination to life is the everlasting purpose of God, whereby, before the foundations of the world were laid, he hath constantly decreed, by his counsel, secret to us to deliver from curse and damnation those whom he hath chosen in Christ out of mankind? And yet (so exactly does he tread in the footsteps of the "Family of Love"), Mr. Sellon would, like them, fain cajole his readers into an opinion that he is no sectary, nor doth vary nor swerve from the established religion of this land!" Thus, though he has reason enough to be ashamed of his relations, the ranters; he can hardly, I imagine, have the assurance to disown them. Should he, however, be sufficiently case-hardened, to deny the consanguinity; he bears the family likeness so strongly, that in vain would he attempt to shuffle off the name, while every feature and line of his doctrinal physiognomy compels us to write ranter upon his forehead.

## SECTION VII.

*An Enquiry into the Judgment of the earliest Fathers, concerning the points in question.*

IN my letter to Dr. N. I took occasion to observe, that there is the utmost reason to believe, that the main body of the Christian church (in which I

do not include the Arians of those times) were, for the four first centuries, unanimous believers of the doctrines now termed Calvinistic (*a*). For this observation, I assigned two reasons: 1. The universal horror and surprize, which the broaching of Pelagius' opinions, about the beginning of the 5th century, occasioned in the whole Christian church; and, 2. The authority of Dr. Cave, who asserts, in express terms, that Pelagius hæresin novam condidit, "was the founder of a new heresy." From whence I inferred, and infer still, that, if the non-imputation of Adam's offence to his posterity, and the bottoming of predestination and justification upon human worthiness, were (as all historians concur to affirm) branches of Pelagius' new heresy; it follows, that the opposite doctrines, of Adam's transgression imputed to his offspring, and of predestination and justification by grace alone, were, and must have been, branches of the old faith universally held by the church for the first 400 years after Christ.

These two arguments Mr. Sellon very prudently passes over, uncanvassed and unmentioned: and skips to my ninth page, from whence he gleans an incidental remark, on which he thus descants: "Your telling us, p. 9. that, during the four first ages of the Christian church, predestination and its concomitant doctrines were undisputed, for ought appears to the contrary; is no reason at all." It certainly is a strong presumptive reason, though not offered as direct proof: for, two of the direct reasons had been given before, and still remain, not only undemolished, but untouched, by my cautious adversary; who, with all his furious zeal for Arminianism, chose rather to let those reasons keep possession of the field than run the risk of burning his own fingers in assaulting them. I will attend, however, to what he delivers concerning the "no reason at all."

(*a*) Church of Engl. vind. from Armin.

He grants, that those doctrines were, for the four first ages, undisputed: which he thus affects to account for: "because it does not appear, that there were any that held them." We shall presently see, that they were held, and held firmly too, by those of the primitive fathers, who are commonly distinguished by the title of apostolical, from their having lived nearest to the apostles' times, i. e. within the first Christian century. In the mean while, let us weigh the mode of argumentation adopted by Mr. Sellon: "The doctrines of grace were therefore undisputed, because it does not appear, that they were believed." I hardly think, this will stand the test. Here is an absolute, peremptory assertion, built (not so much as on a phantom or a shadow, but) on a mere non-appearance. Besides: does it not at least seem more probable, that these doctrines were therefore unopposed, because they were generally held? For, daily experience evinces, that, to this day, those same doctrines meet with opposition enough, from the persons by whom they are not held: and, I am apt to think, that human nature, as such, is just that now, which it was in the four first centuries. Had the primitive times swarmed with Arminians, as the latter times have, the doctrines of grace would have been no less opposed and disputed against then, than they have been since.

Another consideration also merits our attention. Not only every church, or collective body of professing Christians; but likewise every individual man, who thinks religion and philosophy worthy of attending to, must necessarily form some judgment or other, concerning the points in debate. I may venture, therefore, without taking any undue advantage, to lay it down as a datum, that the Christians of the four first ages (who certainly had the scriptures in their hands, and heard them read in their public assemblies) could not possibly be neutrals, on a subject of such importance as that of predestination and

grace ; but must, unavoidably, have either believed that doctrine, or disbelieved it : they were on one side, or on the other. Indeed, had the holy scripture made no mention at all of predestination, neither for, nor against, it is possible (and but barely possible), that the primitive churches might have thought little or nothing about that sublime article. But it is undeniable, that the scriptures make very express, ample, and repeated mention of it : and the mention there made of it, must be understood in some sense or other. Now, if predestination and its derivative doctrines were at all thought of, by the first churches ; and if, for ought that can be proved to the contrary, those doctrines passed undisputed, till contravened by Pelagius in the fifth century ; does it not (to say the least) look as if they had been universally received and embraced, during the first (*b*) 400 years after Christ ? We will suppose, a moment, for argument's sake, the doctrines of grace to have passed undisputed among English protestants, from the æra of the reformation, down to the emersion of Mr. John Wesley. What, in such a case, would have been the natural inference ? Not, that nobody held these undisputed principles : but, that they would and must have been controverted, long before, had they not been held universally. Why is the existence of a certain luminary, called the sun, undisputed ? Surely, not because its existence is disbelieved ; but, on the contrary, because it

(*b*) The masterly compilers of that learned and valuable work, entitled, *The History of Popery*, expressly affirm what I only advanced as probable. " This doctrine," say they, viz. that ' God bestoweth his determining grace on whom he will, and to whom he will he denieth it ; ' " This doctrine continued generally in the church, till about the year 405, at which time a certain Briton, bred up in the monastery of Bangor, originally named Morgan (but that word, in Welch, signifying, of or belonging to the sea, he was thence in Latin called Pelagius), began to set on foot several errors : as, denyng original sin ; affirming the number of the elect and reprobate not to be definite, but indefinite and indeterminate, &c." *Hist. of Popery*, vol. ii. p. 355.

is universally known and acknowledged. I must, therefore, repeat my question, which seems to have given Mr. Sellon and his fraternity so much disquiet : where was not the doctrine of predestination, before Pelagius?

The Arminians treat election, as Gardiner, the popish bishop of Winchester, treated the doctrine of free justification. Before the Homily on Salvation was published, archbishop Cranmer and others sent for Gardiner, and showed him that excellent homily, “ wherein was handled the matter of justification ; endeavouring to persuade him to allow of it, by reasoning with him concerning it. But Winchester pretended, whatsoever they said could not salve his conscience ; and challenged them to show any old writer who taught as that homily did (c).”

If the testimony of old writers was needful, to confirm the good old doctrines, there are old writers enough at hand, to confirm all and every one of them. But it suffices for me, that we have the suffrages of the oldest writers ; I mean, the prophets and apostles. The holy scriptures are the truest and the purest antiquity (d). While these are for

(c) Strype’s Eccles. Mem. vol. iii. p. 278.

(d) Calvin touches this point, with great judgment and elegance, in one of the most admirable compositions which any age has seen : I mean, his Dedication of his Institution to Francis I. of France. In that highly finished apology for the protestant religion, the apostolical reformer thus speaks ; “ *Improbis clamoribus nos obruunt, ceu patrum contemptores et adversarios. Nos verò adèd illos non contempnimus, ut si id præsentis instituti esset, nullo negotio mihi liceat meliorem eorum partem eorum, quæ hodiè à nobis dicuntur, ipsorum suffragiis comprobare. Sic tamen in eorum Scriptis versamur, ut semper meminerimus, omnia nostra esse, quæ nobis serviant, non dominantur. Nos autem unius Christi, cui, per omnia, sine exceptione, parendum sit. Hunc delectum qui non tenet, nihil in religionè constitutum habebit : quando multa ignorârunt sancti illi viri ; sæpè inter se conflictantur ; interdum etiam secum ipsi pugnant.*”

I am by no means singular in my admiration of the piece now cited. Mr. Bayle acknowledges, that the above Dedicatory Epistle “ is one of those three or four prefatory pieces, so much admired. Thuanus’ Epistle Dedicatory, and Casaubon’s Preface to Polybius,



us, it matters not who are against us. However, the Calvinists of latter ages are very far from standing alone, in their resolute adherence to the scripture doctrines. The learned bishop Beveridge, whose acquaintance with the monuments of primitive antiquity is incontestible, treating (for instance) of regeneration by the efficacious grace of God, expresses himself thus; and avers, that the first churches believed as follows: Our Lord “doth not say, there are some things you cannot do without me, or, there are many things you cannot do without me; but, without me ye can do nothing: nothing good, nothing pleasing and acceptable unto God. Whereas, if we could either prepare ourselves to turn, or turn ourselves when prepared, we should do much. And, to put it out of doubt, the same Spirit tells us elsewhere, it is God that worketh in you both to will and to do, of his good pleasure. It is he who first enables us to will what we ought to do, and then to do what we will. Both the grace we desire, and our desire of grace, proceed from him. And therefore it is requisite, in order to our conversion, that the understanding be not only so enlightened as to discern the evil from the good; but that our wills be also so rectified, as to prefer the good before the evil. By this rectifying, or bringing of the will into its right order again, its liberty is not destroyed, but healed: so that it is free, after, as well as before conversion; free to God and holiness, as it was before free only to sin and wickedness. And this was the doctrine of the primitive church. St. Augustin, in whose days Pelagius first rose up

are of that number. We must join to these the Preface of Mr. Pellisson, on the works of Sarrasin.” Had Mr. Bayle seen Witsius’ Dedication of his *Oeconomia Fœderum*, to king William; and could he have lived to see Dr. Samuel Johnson’s Preface to his edition of Shakespeare; a critic of his taste and discernment must certainly have added those masterly performances to the admired number.

against this truth, hath written several volumes to this purpose (*e*).”

St. Jerom, who was cotemporary with St. Augustin, addressed him, not as the founder, but as a principal restorer, of the doctrines of grace : “ Thou art famous,” said Jerom to Austin, “ through the world. The orthodox revere thee, as the rebuilder of the ancient faith (*f*).” And I am much mistaken, if St. Jerom, who lived more than thirteen hundred years ago, was not better qualified to judge and pronounce concerning the faith of the ancients, than all the followers of Van-Harmin taken together.

There are cases, wherein a man’s own testimony, even in his own cause, is not only admissible, but weighty and respectable. Of this kind I consider the following declaration of St. Austin. “ We have showed (says he, directing his speech to the pelagians), by invincible authorities, that the holy bishops, who lived before us, taught the same faith which we maintain ; and overthrew the arguments which you make use of, not only in their discourses, but in their writings also. We have showed you their opinions, which are very particular and clear. I hope their testimonies will cure your blindness, as I wish it : but, if you continue obstinate in your error, which God forbid, you are no more to look for a tribunal to justify you, but for those wonderful defenders of the truth to accuse you ; St. Irenæus, St. Cyprian, Rheticius, Olympius, St. Hilary, St. Gregory, St. Ambrose, St. Basil, St. John Chrysostom, &c. with all those who communicated with them, that is to say, the whole church (*g*).”

I once devoted a considerable share of time and attention to the fathers. But, I scruple not to ac-

(*e*) Bishop Beveridge’s Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles. Art. 10.

(*f*) See a book, entitled, *Melius Inquirendum*, p. 51. written by Mr. Alsop, the learned and ingenious author of *Anti-Sozzo*.

(*g*) Dupin’s *Hist. of Eccles. Writers*, p. 201, 202.

knowledge, that, after a while, I desisted from this study, as barren and unimproving. Some excellent things are indeed interspersed in their writings: but the golden grains are almost lost amidst an infinity of rubbish. "If a man," says Dr. Young, "was to find one pearl in an oyster of a million, it would hardly encourage him to commence fisherman for life." So say I, of the fathers in general. Even supposing (what I can by no means grant), that the harvest of instruction would recompence the toil of breaking up the ground; a life-time would hardly suffice to read the fathers with care: and, perhaps, two life-times would scarcely enable a reader to digest them completely. That knowledge which is truly important, lies in a much narrower compass. I am quite of his mind, who said unus Augustinis, præ mille Patribus; et unus Paulus præ mille Augustinis. One page of St. Austin is worth a thousand of most other fathers; but one page of St. Paul is worth a thousand of St. Austin's. I speak not this to depreciate the labours of such learned persons, as have trod the paths of what is called primitive antiquity; but simply to profess the idea, I cannot help entertaining, of the vanity and unprofitableness, with which I apprehend this kind of chace to be generally attended. If any are otherwise minded, let them follow the chace, and prosper.

There are, however, a few writings still extant, which, in point both of antiquity and value, appear to rank next below the inspired. The chief of these are the remains of Barnabas, Clemens Romanus, Ignatius, and Polycarp. A few citations, from these venerable divines and martyrs, will serve to evince the falsehood of Limborch's assertion, where he tells us, that, "prior to the rise of St. Austin, the primitive churches knew little or nothing about predestination." If that proverbial remark be true, the nearer the fountain the clearer the stream; the testi-

monies, brought from these early writers, must come with weight little, if at all, short of decisive.

I. Very frequent mention is made of Barnabas in the New Testament. He was originally a Jew by religion, a Cypriot by birth, and for some time a companion of St. Paul in his journies for the gospel. Dr. Cave (*h*), and others, are of opinion, that he was one of the seventy disciples, who were sent out by Christ himself (Luke x.) to preach the word. But it is certain, that, some years after our Lord's ascension, he was expressly fixed upon, by the peculiar designation of the Holy Ghost, to be a preacher at large, Luke xiii. 2. It is probable, that he at last received the crown of martyrdom in his native island of Cyprus.

From the fragment of an epistle retrieved by the learned archbishop Usher, and generally admitted to be the authentic work of Barnabas, I select the following passages.

That he held the absolute freeness of divine grace, appears from this remarkable assertion: "When Christ," says he, "chose his own apostles who were to preach his gospel, he chose them when they were wickeder than all wickedness itself; to demonstrate, that he came not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance (*i*)." That he was far from being startled at the doctrine of reprobation, seems more than probable: else, I should imagine, he would scarcely have represented the incarnation and death of Christ to have been designed for filling up the measure of Jewish iniquity. His words are these: "Therefore did the Son of God come in the flesh, to this very end, that he might finish and bring to perfection the sins of those who had persecuted his prophets unto death. For this reason" [i. e. this was one reason for

(*h*) Hist. Literar. vol. i. p. 11.

(*i*) Epist. Barnab. sect. v.—I follow the edition of Cotelerius.

which] “he suffered (*k*).” If a modern Calvinist were to express himself in this manner, what a hideous outcry would be raised, as if heaven and earth were falling!

Far from representing the death of Christ as a contingency, or as an event which might, or might not have taken place; Barnabas avers that it came to pass necessarily: “It was Christ’s own will that he should thus suffer. It was requisite that he should suffer on the tree. For the prophet saith concerning him, deliver my soul, &c. (*l*).”

Speaking of regeneration and conversion, he ascribes the power by which those supernatural effects are accomplished, entirely to God: “When God hath renewed us by the remission of sins, he hath formed us into a quite different likeness; so that we have a child-like mind: forasmuch as he himself fashions us anew (*m*).” Again: “behold, we have been formed afresh: as he speaketh by another prophet; lo, saith the Lord, I will take away from them, that is, from those whom the Spirit of the Lord fore-viewed, I will take away from them [their] stony hearts, and I will send fleshly hearts into them (*n*).”

In the following paragraph, Barnabas seems to glance at the speciality of redemption: “The Lord saith again” [i. e. Christ, the second person in the Trinity], “In whom shall I appear before the Lord my God, and be glorified? He answereth: I will praise thee in the church, in the midst of my brethren; and sing to thee in the midst of the church of the saints (*o*).” If this venerable writer only

(*k*) *Ibid.*—Father Menard, a learned French papist, who, in his Commentary on this Epistle, is studious of pelagianizing as many parts of it as he can; has yet a very striking, because a very honest, note on this passage: “Profert aliam causam adventus Christi; ut colligeretur et subduceretur veluti summa peccatorum Judæorum: hoc est, ut consummarentur peccata eorum, additâ Christi morte, eorumque impenitentia ad necem, &c.

(*l*) *Ibid.*

(*m*) *Ibid.* sect. vi.

(*n*) *Ibid.*

(*o*) *Ibid.*

glances at particular redemption in the last passage; he more than glances at it in this which follows: "Understand, therefore, O ye children of [spiritual] gladness, that the Lord hath made all [these] things manifest to us beforehand, that we might know to whom we should gratefully render thanks in all things. Since therefore the Son of God, though he is Jehovah, and will judge the living and the dead, suffered, that his punishment might make us alive; let us rest assured, that the Son of God could not have suffered but for us (*p*)."

The sense evidently is, that the essential dignity of Christ, as *Κυριος*, or Sovereign Lord, will not permit us to believe that it was possible for him to suffer and shed his blood in vain, or for those who are not, eventually, "made alive by his (*q*) punishment" in their room and stead. To the same effect he thus speaks, in the person of Christ: "Wherefore?" [i. e. wherefore was the sin-offering under the law, to be eaten by the priests alone?] "Because I am to offer up my flesh as a sacrifice for the sins of the new people (*r*):" i. e. for the sins of those who shall be made new creatures in Christ by the Spirit and grace of God: who can say, with Barnabas, in the words already quoted, "He himself fashions us anew: behold, we have been formed afresh." And these surely, are far enough from including the whole of mankind. It is plain, Menardus understood this passage (as every unprejudiced reader must) of Christ's offering up himself only "for the sins of the new, or renewed people," as militating very strongly against universal redemption; else, in his pert note on the place, he would not, like many other annotators, have flown directly in the face of the text, and presumed to charge Bar-

(*p*) Ibid. sect vii.

(*q*) I have ventured to render *πληγη* by the general word punishment: though it strictly signifies a blow, a stripe, a wound.

(*r*) Ibid.

nabas with being in an error: “*Δας τς ναυς*, i. e. *populi novi*. Non ità rectè: quia Christus pro universo mundo passus est.” Barnabas, however, thought otherwise. And so would his angry commentator, had he duly weighed the notion of indiscriminate redemption, in the balance of the sanctuary.

Barnabas’ judgment respecting the certainty of perseverance, may be concluded from his connecting evangelical hope with final salvation. Though hope is perhaps one of the lowest on the round of Christian graces; yet, a Christian grace it is: and the hope which has the finished redemption of Jesus for its object, shall be crowned with everlasting glory, by him who will never break a bruised reed, nor quench the smoking flax: “They who hope in him, shall live for ever (*s*).” Much less shall the stronger graces fail: “Whosoever eateth of these things, shall live everlastingly. He” [i. e. God] “saith, whosoever shall hear those that call, and believeth, shall live eternally (*t*).”

According to this truly apostolic writer, free-will has nothing to do in the affairs of spiritual and future salvation. Speaking of God’s true Israel, he asks, “But, from whence is it, that they come to consider and understand these things? We, who consider his commandments aright, speak as the Lord willetth us to speak. For that end, he hath circumcised our ears and our hearts, that we might understand these things (*u*).” Again: “He, giving us repentance, hath led us into the incorruptible temple. The person, therefore, who is desirous of salvation, looketh not unto man, but to him that dwelleth in man and speaketh by man (*x*).”

I shall close my citations, from Barnabas, with but one testimony more: “Issue not thy commands to thy maid-servant, or to thy man-servant, in an

(*s*) Ibid. sect. viii.

(*u*) Ibid. sect. x.

(*t*) Ibid. sect. xi.

(*x*) Ibid. sect. xvi.

acrimonious manner, lest thou fear not that God who is master both of you and them: for he came not to call men, *επι προσωπων*, according to their outward condition in life, but [his call is] unto those whom the Spirit hath prepared (*y*),” be their outward condition what it may.

II. Clemens (*z*) Romanus is said to have been a disciple of the apostle Peter: and is universally allowed to be that Clement, whom St. Paul numbered among his fellow labourers, and whose name he peremptorily affirmed to be in the Book of Life, Phil. iv. 3. He was made bishop of Rome, probably, about A. D. 64, or 65. But it is very uncertain, at what time, and in what manner, he was honoured with martyrdom.

His first epistle to the Corinthians is celebrated by many of the ancient writers, as one of the finest and most valuable productions of the apostolic age. So highly was it esteemed, that, for several centuries, it made a part of the public service of the primitive church, being read in their assemblies, and revered as inferior only to the books of the New Testament. Nor does a learned modern (Monsieur Du Pin) betray the least want of judgment, in declaring the epistle now under consideration, to be, “After the holy scriptures, one of the most eminent records of antiquity.” It seems to have been written before the destruction of Jerusalem by the Romans: consequently, much within forty years after our Lord’s ascension; and about six or seven years after the death of the apostle Paul, with whom, and with several others of the apostles, Clement was personally and intimately acquainted.

The testimonies of such a writer in favour of the great truths called Calvinistic, deserve the reader’s

(*y*) Ibid. sect. xix.

(*z*) Vide Cave’s Hist. Liter. vol. i. p. 17. Also, his Apostolici, p. 78. And Dupin’s Eccles. Writers, vol. i. p. 27.



attention. Among which testimonies are the following.

The epistle opens thus: "The church of God, which dwelleth at Rome, to the church of God dwelling at Corinth, called and set apart by the will of God, through Jesus Christ our Lord (*b*)." Hinting at some violent tumults and dissensions, which had lately agitated and divided the Corinthian church; he terms such proceedings "a criminal and unholy sedition, strange and unseemly in the elect of God (*c*)." Reminding them of the exemplary care, with which they had formerly attended to the performance of every good work; he observes, "your contest, day and night, was for the whole brotherhood; that the number of his elect might be saved with mercy and with [a good] conscience (*e*)." Nor did Clement consider the salvation of the elect as precarious, or their perseverance as uncertain. "It being the will of God," says he, "that all his beloved ones should be made partakers of repentance; he hath established them firmly by his own almighty purpose (*f*)."

His judgment, concerning the extent of redemption, may be inferred from the two following passages. In the first, treating of Rahab's deliverance by the line of scarlet depending from her window, he considers that event as typical of salvation by Christ's atonement: hereby, says he, "They" [i. e. Rahab, and as many of her friends as were collected under her roof for preservation] "made it manifest, that redemption by the blood of the Lord should accrue to all who believe and hope in God (*g*)."

Again: the Messiah's "Life is taken from the earth; because of the iniquities of my people. He went unto death (*h*)."

(*b*) Clem. Ep. I. ad Cor. sect. i.

(*c*) Ibid. sect. iii.

(*g*) Ibid. sect. xii.

(*e*) Ibid.

(*f*) Ibid. sect. viii.

(*h*) Ibid. sect. xvi.

That this primitive bishop had the most exalted ideas of the immutability, the certainty, and the omnipotence of God's decrees, is evident beyond all contradiction. Witness his description of the all-controlling power, with which God's providential disposals are attended: "In pursuance of his will, the teeming earth produces, at the proper seasons, abundant provision both for men, and for wild beasts, and for all the animals that are upon it; without varying from, and without altering ought of those things which were decreed by him<sup>(i)</sup>." With a sublimity both of sentiment and style, which would do honour even to Homer or Demosthenes, he thus asserts the independency, sovereignty, and invincibility of the divine appointments: "By the word of his Majesty he hath constituted all things; and he is able, by a word, to overturn them. Who shall say unto him, what hast thou done? or who shall resist the might of his power? He hath done all things at what season he pleased, and in what manner he pleased: and not one of the things which have been decreed by him, shall pass away. All things are open to his view, nor hath any thing absconded from his will and pleasure<sup>(k)</sup>."

Far from supposing, that the precious doctrine of election conduces to immorality; he represents election as the main ground-work of sanctification, and as the grand inducement to virtue and obedience: "Let us draw nigh to God with holiness of mind, lifting up chaste and unpolluted hands, loving our gentle and compassionate Father, who hath made us a part of the election unto himself. For so it is written: When the Most High parcelled out the nations, and when he dispersed the sons of Adam, he appointed the boundaries of the nations according to the number of his angels. His people Jacob were

(i) *Ibid.* sect. xx.(k) *Ibid.* sect xxvii.

the Lord's portion ; Israel was the line of his inheritance. And, in another place, he saith ; Behold, the Lord taketh to himself a nation from the midst of the nations, as a man taketh the first-fruits from his corn-floor (*k*).” Under the ravishing view of interest in this unspeakable blessing of election, well may the excellent father add, as he does, presently after ; “ Since, therefore, we are the portion of the Holy One, let us practise all the works of holiness : avoiding slanders, and defiled and unchaste embraces, drunkenness and innovations, together with abominable desires, detestable adultery, and loathsome pride (*l*).” How far, how infinitely far, is the believing consideration of God's electing love from leading to licentiousness !

Nothing can be more scriptural, than this writer's doctrine concerning the sovereignty and freeness of divine grace. “ Let us,” says he, “ closely and stedfastly adhere to those persons, unto whom grace is given of God (*m*).” To this grace, thus freely given, he ascribes the exercise of the social virtues : “ Equity, and lowliness of mind, and meekness, are found in those who are the blessed of God (*n*).” Speaking of the Old Testament saints, he refers the whole of their good will, good works, justification and eternal felicity, to the discriminating favour and sovereign pleasure of God alone : “ All these persons were glorified and magnified, not by themselves, or by their own works, or by the righteous practice which they wrought ; but by his will. We too, being called by his will in Christ Jesus, are justified, not by ourselves, nor by our own wisdom, or understanding, or piety, or by the works which we have

(*k*) Ibid. sect. xxix.

(*l*) Ibid. sect. xxx.

(*m*) Let us be intimately associated with the blameless and the righteous : for these are the elect of God. As much as to say : Innocency and righteousness of life are the marks by which God's elect are visibly and practically known and distinguished.

(*n*) Ibid. sect. xxx.

performed in holiness of heart; but by faith, whereby Almighty God hath, from eternity, justified all those (o),” i. e. all those whom it was his will to justify.

Clement easily foresaw, that the doctrine of free grace and unmerited justification, as stated by him in the above passage; might be caviled at, by legalists and merit-mongers, as tending to the consequential exclusion of good works. He, therefore, discreetly anticipates this cavil, by entering a just caveat against an inference so unnatural and malicious. What then shall we do, brethren?” says he, in the very next paragraph: “Shall we desist from well-doing, and renounce our love” [to God and our neighbour]? “May the Sovereign Lord never permit this to befall us by any means! Nay, but let us be in haste to accomplish every good work, with earnestness, and with full propensity (p).”

He most carefully guards against the sacrilegious encroachments of free-will and self-righteousness: “It is by Jesus Christ, that we can stedfastly look into the heights of heaven. It is by him, that we shall behold his spotless and most exalted countenance. By him the eyes of our heart have been opened. By him our foolish and dark understanding springs up afresh into his marvellous light. It was the will of the Lord, that we should, by him, taste of that knowledge which can never die (q).” “He that made and formed us, hath introduced us into his world: having afore prepared his benefits for us, even before we were born. As, therefore, we have all things from him, we ought, for all things, to give him thanks (r).”

Dissuading the Corinthians from casting blocks of offence in each others way, he thus enforces his prohibition: “Remember the words of our Lord

(o) Ibid. sect. xxxii.

(q) Ibid. sect. xxxvi.

(p) Ibid. sect. xxxiii.

(r) Ibid. sect. xxxviii.

Jesus: for he hath said, woe to that man; it were good for him rather not to have been born, than that he should cause one of my elect people to stumble (s).” Though the elect themselves may stumble, i. e. though it is possible for them both to offend, and to be offended; yet, according to Clement’s Theology, none of them can finally miss of glory. They shall all, eventually, be completely sanctified, and made meet for the inheritance of the saints in light. “All the elect of God are made perfect in love (t).” He adds: It was of love, that the Lord accepted us. It was through the love which he bore to us, that our Lord Christ did, by the will of God, give his blood for us, and his flesh in the room of our flesh, and his soul in the room of ours.” This eminent saint believed, and expressly asserts, that pardon of sin does not extend beyond the pale of election. His words are these: “It is written, blessed are they, whose iniquities are remitted, and whose sins are covered: blessed is the person, to whom the Lord will by no means impute sin; nor is there deceit in his mouth. This blessedness accrues to those who have been elected of God through our Lord Jesus Christ (u).” The royal Psalmist was, no doubt, one of God’s elect: and he is, accordingly, so styled by our apostolic author: “elect David saith, I will confess unto the Lord, &c. (x).” I cannot close my citations from St. Clement, more suitably, than with that most excellent prayer, which almost concludes his epistle; and which I most earnestly beg of God the holy Spirit to engrave indelibly on the reader’s heart and mine: “May the all-seeing God, the Sovereign of spirits and the Lord of all flesh, who hath elected the Lord Jesus Christ, and us into a peculiar people through him; grant, to each soul that calls on his holy and exalted name,” the graces of “faith,

(s) Ibid. sect. xlvi.

(u) Ibid. sect. l.

(t) Ibid. sect. xlix.

(x) Ibid. sect. lii.

fear, peace, patience, long-suffering, temperance, purity, and soundness of judgment; through our high-priest and defender, Jesus Christ (*y*).”

I have made the larger extracts from Barnabas and Clement, because their two epistles appear to be the oldest remains of uncanonical antiquity. Indeed, the first epistle of Clement to the Corinthians was evidently composed many years prior to some of the writings of the New Testament itself. For, if that epistle (as there is the strongest reason to believe) was antecedent to the final conquest of Jerusalem by Titus, it must have been written considerably earlier than the gospel of St. John, his three epistles, and the book of Revelation. A circumstance, however, which I should not have noticed here, had I not thought it necessary to offer some apology to my readers, for having detained them so long with these quotations, in a work, which, as I transcribe it from the rough copy, I am designedly rendering as concise as may consist with justice to the argument. The genuine epistles of Ignatius and Polycarp, though extremely ancient, yet are not of quite so high antiquity, as the two preceding: for which reason, I shall present the reader with the fewer citations; but those sufficiently weighty and express, to convince any impartial, attentive enquirer, that these two venerable preachers and martyrs, were, in deed and in truth, earnest contenders for the faith which was once delivered to the saints.

III. Ignatius is said to have been ordained bishop of Antioch in Syria, A. D. 66 (*z*), and to have held that see for upwards of 40 years. He was a disciple of St. John, and had the happiness of being particularly intimate with that apostle. Under the third

(*y*) *Ibid.* sect. lviii.

(*z*) See the article Ignatius, in a work, entitled, *The Great Historical, Geographical, and Poetical Dictionary.* Edit. Lond. 1694.

general persecution, i. e. about the year 107, Ignatius, having asserted the divinity of the Christian religion in the emperor Trojan's own presence, was sentenced to be thrown to wild beasts, on an amphitheatre at Rome: which was accordingly executed.

On his way from Antioch to Rome, this blessed prisoner of Christ, loaded with chains, and led as a sheep to the slaughter, wrote those six epistles (of whose authenticity there seems no just reason to doubt), addressed to the Christians in Ephesus, Magnesia Tralles, Rome, Philadelphia, and Smyrna. As to the epistle inscribed to Polycarp, though thought genuine by Vossius, it is rejected as spurious by archbishop Usher; and considered as doubtful, even by Dr. Cave.

In the exordium of his epistle to the Smyrneans, Ignatius addresses them as "Filled with faith and love, and indefectible in every gift of grace (*a*)."  
And, indeed, the gifts of grace would stand us in little stead, if indefectibility were not their certain attendant. So far was this holy bishop from doubting the final perseverance of those who are really endued "with faith and love;" that he tells them, in terms of the fullest assurance, "I glorify Jesus Christ our God, who hath made you thus [spiritually] wise. For I have understood that ye are knit firmly together in immoveable faith, even as though ye were both in flesh and spirit nailed to the cross of Jesus Christ our Lord; and that ye are made stedfast in love, through the blood of Christ (*b*)."

He believed the redemption wrought by Christ, to be co-extensive with the church of God's peculiar people: "Christ," says he, "suffered all these things on our account, that we might be saved (*c*)."  
He would not allow the grace of true repentance to

(*a*) Ignat. ad. Smyrn. p. 1. Edit. Vossii, Lond. 1680.

(*b*) Ibid. p. 1, 2.

(*c*) Ibid. p. 2.

be in a man's own power: for, speaking of some persons, whom he styles "wild beasts in human shape," he adds, "you ought not only to refuse receiving such, but, if possible, you should even avoid meeting them. You ought only to pray in their behalf, if they may by some means repent; which, however, is exceeding difficult: but the power of this" [viz. of making them repent] "rests with Jesus Christ our true life (*d*)."

Sensible of his inability to undergo the tortures of martyrdom, in his own strength, he thus expresses his reliance on the strength of grace: "The nigher to the sword, the nigher to God. When surrounded with wild beasts, I shall be encompassed with God. It is only by the name of Jesus Christ, that I shall so endure all things, as to suffer with him; he enduing me with strength, who was himself perfect man (*e*)."

That he held God's sovereign and righteous preterition of some, appears from the following expression: "Whom some men ignorantly deny; or, rather, have been denied of him (*f*)."

Nothing can breathe a more genuine sense of Christian humility, than his absolute renunciation of merit in all its branches: "It is by the will of God, that I have been vouchsafed this honour" [namely, the honour of being in chains for the gospel:] "not from conscience" [i. e. from my own uprightness, or conscientiousness], "but from the grace of God (*g*)."

On the same principle, speaking of one Burrhus, a deacon, who was to be the bearer of this epistle to Smyrna, and from whose tender friendship Ignatius had reaped great consolation, he thus prays in his behalf; "May grace make him retribution (*h*)."

(*d*) Ibid. p. 3.

(*e*) Ibid. p. 4.

(*f*) Ibid.

(*g*) Ibid. p. 8.

(*h*) Ibid. p. 9.



His epistle to the Ephesians opens thus: "Ignatius, who is also called Theophorus, to the church which is at Ephesus in Asia, blessed by the greatness and fulness of God the Father; predestinated ever, before time, unto the glory which is perpetual and unchangeable, united and chosen [i. e. fixed upon to be the everlasting residence of the saints] by the will of the Father, and of Jesus Christ our God, through the true suffering (*i*)." That is, through the humiliation and sufferings of Christ the true propitiation.

Congratulating the Ephesians, on the harmony which subsisted among themselves, he takes occasion to intimate, that the church, which is Christ's mystic body, is as firmly united to Christ, as Christ himself is united to the Father (*k*). Is it possible to express the infallible certainty of final perseverance, in stronger terms? And would not one almost believe, that Ignatius designed the above passage as a comment on those words of our Lord, because I live, ye shall live also?

How remote he was from crying up the pretended abilities of free-will, may sufficiently appear from what follows: "Carnal men," i. e. men unrenewed by the almighty Spirit of God, "are not able to perform spiritual things—ye do all things," i. e. all spiritual things, "by Jesus Christ (*l*)," or by grace and strength derived from him.

In the inscription of his epistle to the Philadelphians, he observes, of the clergy of that church, that Christ had, in pursuance of his own will, firmly established them in stedfastness, by his holy Spirit (*m*)." A glaring proof, that, in the judgment of Ignatius, saving grace is not that evanid, loseable thing, which Arminianism represents it to be. As the acquisition of it is not owing to the will of man;

(*i*) Epist. ad Eph. p. 16.

(*l*) *ibid.* p. 22.

(*k*) *Ibid.* p. 20.

(*m*) Ep. ad Philad. p. 39.

so neither is it dependant on man's will for preservation and continuance. In the course of the same epistle, he has a similar remark: "Although some have been desirous of seducing me after the flesh, yet that Spirit, which is of God, is not seduced (*n*);" i. e. not to be seduced.

Making mention of one Agathopus, who attended him from Syria toward Rome, at the manifest hazard of life; he terms him "an elect person, who bears me company from Syria, having renounced the present life (*o*)." He styles the church at Tralles, "elect and esteemed of God (*p*):" and, in the same epistle, gives another very strong attestation to the doctrine of final perseverance. For, treating of some heretics, who denied the literality of Christ's sufferings, he thus descants: "Avoid those evil shoots" [that spring up by a Christian church, like suckers by the side of a tree], "which bring forth deadly fruit, whereof, if a man taste, he presently dies. These are not of the Father's planting; for, if they were, the branches of the cross would appear, and their fruit would be incorruptible" [i. e. imperishable and immortal]: "through which he doth by his passion" [i. e. by virtue of his own sufferings and death], "call you, who are his members. For the head cannot be born without the members: God, who is the same" [i. e. who is always himself, unchangeable, and without shadow of turning], "having passed his word for their union (*q*)." Yet, though this apostolic bishop was thus rooted and grounded in a belief of the essential perpetuity of grace; he still was of opinion (and so, I am confident, is every Calvinist under heaven), that, without constant and intense watching unto prayer, the exercise of grace is liable to a partial and temporary failure. "I am

(*n*) Ibid. p. 42.

(*p*) Ep. ad Trall. p. 16.

(*o*) Ibid. p. 45.

(*q*) Ibid. p. 52.

yet in danger," says the blessed martyr: i. e. in danger, if left to my own strength, of denying Christ with my mouth, in order to avoid the torments of death. But his self-diffidence (and who can be too diffident of self?) did not, however, make him lose sight of God's faithfulness to him, which, he well knew, could alone keep him faithful to God: for he immediately adds, in the very next words, "nevertheless, my Father in Jesus Christ is faithful to fulfil your prayer and mine (r)."  
And so he found him to be. God did hear his prayer, and make him faithful unto death. Reader, may the same happy coalition of fear and faith; may the most absolute self-distrust, united with an unshaken confidence in the stability of divine grace; be your portion, and mine, till we enter the haven of everlasting joy: where we shall no longer stand in need of faith, to fill our sails; nor of fear, to steady us with its ballast.

In his epistle to the Romans, Ignatius has an observation, which shows that he was far enough from holding the tenet of free-will, in the Arminian sense of it: "A Christian is not the workmanship of suasion, but of greatness (s):" i. e. men become real Christians, not by the power of moral argument, but by the mighty operation of divine agency. Whoever denies the ability of free-will, in spirituals; must, with that, deny the meritoriousness of human works. And so did Ignatius. Witness that passage, where, speaking of the savage treatment he received from the soldiers who were guarding him to Rome, he says, "They behave themselves the worse to me for my beneficence to them. I reap, however, the more instruction from their injurious behaviour. Yet, I am not justified by this (t)." He knew, that neither the sufferings,

(r) Ibid. p. 54.

(s) Ep. ad Rom. p. 57.

(t) Ibid. p. 58.

which he was enabled to endure for Christ; nor his kindness to his persecutors; nor his improving their barbarities into profitable instruction; constituted any part of that righteousness, for the sake of which he was justified before God. He considered them as valuable fruits of the Spirit, and as proofs of grace received: but not as matter of merit; not as causes or conditions, either of his present or future acceptance with the Majesty of heaven. Yet this consideration did by no means render him negligent to obey, or reluctant to suffer. Warmed with the faith that works by love, his language was, *Καλον εμοι αποθανειν δια Ιησων Χριστον, η βασιλευειν την περαλον της γης*: “It is better for me to die for Jesus Christ, than to be monarch of the whole earth (*u*).”

IV. Polycarp, bishop of Smyrna, is, by many (among whom are Usher and Cave), supposed to have been the person meant by the angel of the church in Smyrna, mentioned Rev. ii. 8. That he was one of the apostle John’s disciples, cannot be questioned, if ancient testimony be allowed to carry the least weight. He was burnt alive for the Christian faith, A. D. 167, or (as others) 169, in about the hundredth year of his age, and about the 74th of his episcopate.

We have one epistle of his, written to the believers at Philippi. From this venerable, but concise performance, two or three short extracts may suffice.

He terms the chains, with which many persecuted Christians were bound for their attachment to the gospel, “The ornamental bracelets of them that have been really elected by God and our Lord (*x*).” For those who have been “really elected,” he believed that the blood of Christ was shed: for he presently adds, “Who submitted to go unto death itself, for our sins (*y*).” And, farther on: “It was

(*u*) Ibid. p. 59.

(*x*) Polycarpi Ep. ad Philipp. sect. i. edit. Coteler.

(*y*) Ibid.

for us, that he underwent all things; that we might live through him (z).” Nor was he less sound, in the article of gratuitous justification by the sovereign will of God: “Into which joy,” says he, “many are exceedingly desirous to enter: knowing, that ye are saved by grace; not of works, but by the will of God through Jesus Christ (a).”

Polycarp considered his own martyrdom for the faith, as an event which God had foreordained: for, in the prayer which he offered up, after he was bound to the stake with his hands behind him, was this expression: “Among whom,” i. e. among that company of foregoing martyrs, who had already set their lives as a seal to truth, “may I be received unto thee this day, for a goodly and acceptable sacrifice: even as thou, the faithful God, who canst not lie, hast foreappointed, and didst reveal to me beforehand, and hast accordingly brought to pass (b).” The same Christians of Smyrna, who recorded their bishop’s dying prayer, appear to have agreed in judgment with him, as to perseverance, and the extent of our Lord’s redemption: for, in their circular letter to the churches, occasioned by the martyrdom of their holy pastor, they observe, the Jews and heathens “do not know that we shall never be able to forsake Christ, who suffered for the salvation of the whole world of them that are saved (c).” They conclude their epistle with this affectionate wish: “We pray for your health and happiness, brethren; and that ye may, according to the gospel, walk in the doctrine of Jesus Christ: together with whom, be glory ascribed to God, even our Father, and to the holy Spirit, for saving the holy elect people (d).” A person, named Pionius, who afterwards took a copy of the above congregational epistle; adds this pious prayer for himself:

(z) Ibid. sect. viii.

(a) Ibid. sect. i.

(b) Epist. Eccles. Smyrna de Martyris Polycarpi. sect. xiv.

(c) Ibid. sect. xvii.

(d) Ibid. sect. xxii.

“That the Lord Jesus Christ would gather me also with his elect (e).”

By this time, it sufficiently appears, that Mr. Sellon must be extremely deficient either in knowledge, or in honesty (I am prone to think, in both;) else, even he would never have ventured to assert, that predestination, and its concomitant doctrines, “do not appear to have been held by any body, during the first four centuries from the Christian æra.” Calvinism is, by no means, that novel thing, which it is for the interest of Arminianism to wish. What Mr. Sellon sneeringly calls “The good old cause,” is indeed an old cause, and a good one. The doctrines of grace must needs be good old doctrines, was it only because they are so plentifully diffused through a good old book, called the Bible. We have also just seen, that they are likewise asserted by those good old divines, who lived nearest to the apostles, and who were actually conversant with them. I have, moreover, shown again and again, and hope to give still farther proof of it in the course of the present defence, that the said good old doctrines are the doctrines of the good old church of England, and were the avowed principles of her good old reformers. Whereas the tenets of Messieurs Wesley and Sellon are as bad as they are new. I mean new, comparatively speaking: else they are, (as I intend to demonstrate, before I have done with them) as old as Pelagius. But no scheme of errors, however grey, is of equal antiquity with the truths from which it deviates.

(e) *Ibid.* sect. xxiv.

fo  
f

## SECTION VIII.

*The Judgment of some eminent Christians, who flourished before the Reformation, concerning the Doctrines in debate.*

**EVEN** in the worst and darkest of times, God has never left himself entirely without witness, nor permitted the truths of his gospel to be totally exterminated. They have, sometimes, lain to all outward appearance, in very few hands: but they have constantly subsisted somewhere. The prophet Elijah once imagined, that himself was the only person who was kept faithful to God, amidst that torrent of idolatry, which then overwhelmed the land of Israel. But what said the answer of God unto him? I have reserved to myself seven thousand men who have not bowed the knee to the image of Baal. Even so then, at this present time also, there is, and at every time there has been and shall be, a remnant, according to the election of grace (*f*). However discouraging appearances may be, in seasons either of persecution, idolatry, or general profaneness; there are many known instances of divine preservation; and many others, unknown by us, but noticed by him who knoweth them that are his (*g*).

Ask now of the days that are past, which were before thee, and they will testify of his unfailing faithfulness, not only in enduing his people with faith, and love to the truth; but also in raising up some of them, to be witnesses for Christ. Even within the circle of my contracted reading, I have met with accounts of many. A select number of the most distinguished shall, without farther cere-

(*f*) Rom. xi. 2—6.(*g*) 2 Tim. ii. 19.

mony, be introduced to Mr. Sellon: and I heartily wish he may profit by their acquaintance.

I. Among those who may, with the strictest justice, be styled, the morning stars of the Reformation, were the ancient and famous churches of the Albigenes and Waldenses: so denominated from Alby, a city of Languedoc in France, where they abounded in great numbers: and afterwards, about the year 1170, from Peter Valdo (*h*), an opulent citizen of Lyons, by whom these excellent people were much countenanced and assisted. Though some suppose them to have acquired the name of Waldenses, quasi Vallenses, from their being extremely numerous in the valleys of Piedmont. Others, from the German (*i*) word *walddt*, which signifies a wood: woods being their frequent refuge from persecution.

Dr. Allix, in his remarks on the Ecclesiastical History of these Churches, is, in general, prodigiously careful not to drop the least hint concerning (what has been since called) the Calvinism of those Christians. But the present learned bishop of Bristol has been more just and candid. His lordship tells us, from Mezeray, that "they had almost the same opinions as those who are now called Calvinists (*k*)."<sup>2</sup> It will, I apprehend, be easily made appear, that their opinions were not only almost, but altogether the same. Nor did they soon deviate

(*h*) "Omnium verò maximè notari meretur Petrus Valdo, civis Lugdunensis; qui cùm dives esset, bona sua omnia in pauperum usum impendit: expositisque, vernaculo sermone, sacris literis confluentem ad se multitudinem puriori fide imbuunt." Joh. Alph. Turretini Hist. Eccl. Compend. p. 149. See a much larger account of this good man, in Usher de Eccl. Christian. Successione, c. 8.

(*i*) Vide Maestrichtii Opera, p. 1121.

(*k*) Dissertations on the Prophecies, vol. iii. p. 177. Lord Lyttelton has a similar remark: who observes, that the doctrine of the Albigenes, &c. "Was much the same with the creed of the protestant churches in these days." Life of Henry II. vol. iv. p. 395. octavo.



from the evangelical system of their forefathers: for, so low down as the æra of the Reformation, I find that “they sent to Zuinglius for teachers, and afterwards to Calvin: of whose sentiments,” add the compilers of the work I quote, “the remainder of them, called the Vaudois, continue to be (*l*).”

Their first rise was of very considerable antiquity. The Romish council, assembled, by order of pope Alexander III. at Tours, in May 1163, prohibited all persons, under pain of excommunication, from having any intercourse with these people; who are described as teaching and professing “a damnable heresy, long since sprung up in the territory of Toulouse (*m*).” Van Maestricht assures us, that they wrote against the errors and superstitions of the church of Rome, in the year 1100 (*n*). According to Pilichdorffius (*o*), the Waldenses themselves carried up the date of their commencement as a body, as high as three hundred years after Constantine, i. e. to about the year 637. For my own part, I believe their antiquity to have been higher still. I agree with some of our oldest and best protestant divines, in considering the Albigenses, or Waldenses (for they were, in fact, one and the same), to have been a branch of that visible church, against which the gates of hell could never totally prevail; and that the uninterrupted succession of apostolical doctrine continued with them, from the primitive times, quite down to the Reformation: soon after which period, they seem to have been melted into the common mass of protestants. Neither does this conjecture limit the extent of the visible church in former

(*l*) Great Hist. Dict. art. Albig.

(*m*) Bower's Hist. of the Popes, vol. vi. p. 128.

(*n*) “Imprimis etiam veritati Testimonium præbuerunt Ecclesiæ, Waldenses; earumque Confessiones, Catecheses, jam Anno MC. scriptæ adversus errores et superstitiones Ecclesiæ Romanæ.” Opera, p. 1120.

(*o*) Vide Usserium, de Christ. Ecclesiar. Success. cap. 8. p. 109.

ages, to so narrow a compass, as may at first be imagined. For they were, says Poplinerius (*p*), “Diffused, not only throughout all France, in the year 1100, but through almost every country in Europe. And,” says he, “to this very day, they have their stubborn partisans in France, Spain, England, Scotland, Italy, Germany, Bohemia, Saxony, Poland, Lithuania, and other nations.”

Archbishop Usher, whose enquiries were never superficial, and whose conclusions are never precipitate, lays great stress on a remarkable passage in Reinerius, a popish inquisitor, who died about the year 1259. The passage is this: “Of all the sects which as yet exist, or ever have existed, none is more detrimental to the church,” i. e. to the Romish church, “than the sect of the Waldenses. And this on three accounts: 1. Because it is a much more ancient sect than any other. For, some say, that it has continued ever since the popedom of Silvester (*q*): others, that it has subsisted from the time of the apostles. 2. It is a more extensive sect than any other: for there is almost no country, in which this sect has not a footing. 3. This sect has a mighty appearance of piety: inasmuch as they live justly before men, and believe all things rightly concerning God, and all the articles contained in the creed. They only blaspheme the Roman church and clergy (*r*).”

I have premised enough, concerning the people. Let us now enquire into the particulars of their faith.

There is extant, a short Waldensian Confession, written in the year 1120, and consisting of XIV

(*p*) Apud. Usher. u. s. p. 106.

(*q*) There were two popes of this name. Silvester I. died A. D. 335. Silvester II. A. D. 1003.

(*r*) Usher De Success. p. 78. Dr. Cave also lays as much stress on this testimony as does archbishop Usher: see his *Historia Literaria*, vol. i. p. 632. And so does the great Spanhemius, *Oper.* vol. iii. col. 1129.

articles. The 1st article professes their agreement with what is usually termed, The Apostles' Creed. The 2d acknowledges Father, Son, and holy Spirit, to be the one God. The 3d recapitulates the canonical books of the Old and New Testament, just as they now stand in the protestant Bibles; and excepts against the Apocrypha, as uninspired. The 4th asserts, that, "By the disobedience of Adam, sin entered into the world, and we are made sinners in Adam, and by Adam." The 5th runs thus: "Christ was promised to our forefathers; who received the law, to the end that, knowing their sin by the law, and their unrighteousness and insufficiency, they might desire the coming of Christ, to satisfy for their sins, and, by himself, to accomplish the law." The 6th affirms, that "Christ was born at the time appointed by God his Father." The 7th, "Christ is our life and truth, and peace and righteousness, and advocate, and master, and priest: who died for the salvation of all those who believe, and is raised again for our justification (*s*)." Six of the remaining articles are levelled at the superstitions of popery: and the last testifies their due subjection to the civil powers.

Almost 400 years afterwards, the descendants of those ancient and evangelical churches gave proof, that they were, in no respect whatever, degenerated from the purity and simplicity of the gospel. For, in the beginning of the year 1508, I find them presenting a large account of their faith, in three separate papers addressed to Uladislaus, king of Hungary. "We believe," say they, "and confess, that Almighty God, Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, three in person, but one in the essence of Deity, is the producer of faith and the giver of salvation (*t*)."

(*s*) History of the Popes, vol. i. p. 423, 424.

(*t*) "Credimus et fatemur, satorum atque fidei salutis datorem esse Deum Omnipotentem, in Deitatis substantiâ unum, in personis

They say, speaking of Christ, “By whose merit the alone Father accomplishes our salvation, according to the purpose of his own election (*u*).” They affirm, that “he intercedes for those who shall possess the inheritance of glory (*x*):” and that “he forsaketh not his church, for which he offered up himself unto death;” but is ever present with her, “in a way of grace, efficacy, and help, which are his free gift (*y*).” They define the holy, universal church to be “the aggregate of all the elect, from the beginning of the world to the end of it:—whose names and number he alone can tell, who hath inscribed them in the Book of Life (*z*).” To these persons, grace is given: “The first and principal ministry of the universal church, is the gospel of Christ, wherein are revealed the grace and truth which he hath painfully purchased for us by the torture of the cross; which grace is given to the elect, who are called by the Holy Ghost and God the Father unto salvation, with the gift of faith (*a*).” Under the article, entitled *Communio Sanctorum*, they come, if possible, more roundly to the point. Nothing can be clearer, than their meaning; though the persons, who drew up the confession, were far from commanding a good style in Latin. “It is

verò Trinum, Patrem, Filium, Spiritumque Sanctum.” *Fascic. Rer. fug. et expet.* vol. i. p. 163.

(*u*) “Cujus merito, solus genitor, secundum propositum electionis suæ, salutem operatur.” *Ibid.*

(*x*) “Qui, in solo gratiæ residens fidelis advocatus, interpellat pro his, qui hæreditatem gloriæ percepturi sunt.” *Ibid.*

(*y*) “Ecclesiam suam, pro quâ seipsum obtulit ad mortis supplicium, gratiâ, virtute, auxilioque, dono gratuito, non deserit.” *Ibid.*

(*z*) “Credimus, sanctam Catholicam ecclesiam—esse numerum omnium electorum, à mundi exordio, usque ipsius consummationem:—quorum nomina, numerumque, ille solus scit, qui ea in vitæ libro exaravit.” *Ibid.* p. 164.

(*a*) “Primum et potissimum Ministerium Ecclesiæ Catholicæ, est Evangelium Christi; quo gratia et veritas, crucis tormento laboriosè acquisita, manifestatur: quæ gratia electis, vocatis dono fidei à spiritu sancto, deoque patri, in salutem largitur.” *Ibid.*

manifest," say they, "that such only, as are elected to glory, become partakers of true faith, grace, righteousness in the merit of Christ, [and] eternal salvation (b)."

What they deliver concerning the doctrine of purgatory, though rather uncouthly expressed, deserves to be lain before the reader. "There is no other chief place of determinate purgatory, but the Lord Christ; of whom it was truly said by the angel, he shall save his people from their sins. And so saith St. Paul: having made a purgation of sins, he sat down on the right hand of the Majesty on high. Every one, therefore, who shall be saved, must draw from this full fountain of righteousness and goodness. By grace alone, through the gift of faith, whosoever is to be saved, cometh to the purgation by Christ Jesus; as saith St. Paul: a man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ; and we believe in Christ Jesus, that we may be justified by the faith of Christ, and not by the works of the law. And Christ himself saith, he that believeth on me, hath eternal life (c)."

I take leave of this confession, with one citation more. St. Paul says, Christ loved his church, and gave himself for it, that he might sanctify it, &c. It is not said that he might prepare her for hell; but for heaven, and for repose, after her present toils. For it is certain, that not only the elect of God are

(b) "Palam itaque est, quod tantummodò electi, veræ fidei, gratiæ, justitiæ in Christi merito, ad gloriam, salutis æternæ participes sunt." Ibid. p. 167.

(c) "Nullus alius locust est principalis certi purgatorii, nisi dominus Christus de quo rectè est dictum ab angelo, ipse enim salvum faciet populum suum à peccatis eorum. Et sic dicit sanctus Paulus: purgationem peccatorum faciens, sedet ad dexterum Majestatis in excelsis.—Et omnis, qui salvabitur, oportet eum de hoc fonte sumere pleno justitiæ et æquitatis:—ex solâ gratiâ, per donum fidei, quisquis salvandus venit ad purgatorium per Jesum Christum: ut dicit sanctus Paulus; non justificatur homo ex operibus legis, &c. Ibid. p. 178.

blessed ; and God leadeth them into that righteousness, which we have already treated of. Concerning them, the apostle saith, he hath elected us in him, before the foundation of the world, that we should be holy and blameless before him in love. And again, he saith ; whom he did predestinate, them he also called ; and whom he called, them he also justified (*d*).”

Archbishop Usher presents us with another concise profession of faith, transmitted by these good people to Francis I. of France, in the year 1544 : which, though subsequent to the opening of the reformation, is too excellent to be wholly unnoticed in this place. A single extract, however, shall suffice. “ We believe, that there is but one God ; who is a Spirit, the maker of all things, the parent of all men ; who is over all, through all, and in us all, and is to be worshipped in spirit and in truth, whom alone we hope for ; the distributor of life, food, and raiment ; the distributor also of health and sickness, of conveniences and inconveniences. Him we love, as the author of all goodness : him we dread, as the inspector of hearts.

We believe Jesus Christ to be the Son and image of the Father, in whom dwelleth all the fulness of the godhead ; by whom we come to the knowledge of the Father, and who is our mediator and advocate : neither is there any other name under heaven, given unto men, whereby to be saved.

“ We believe that we possess the Holy Ghost, the Comforter, proceeding from the Father and the

(*d*) “ Sanctus Paulus dicit, Christus dilexit ecclesiam, et semetipsum tradidit pro ea, ut illam sanctificaret, &c. Non ut pararet eam in infernum ; sed in cœlum, in quietem, post præsentis labores. Quia certum est, quòd soli beati sunt electi Dei ; et illos ducit Deus in eam justitiam, quam superius posuimus ; de quibus apostolus dicit, elegit nos in ipso, ante constitutionem mundi, ut essemus sancti et immaculati in conspectu ejus in charitate. Et iterum dicit ; quos prædestinavit, hos et vocavit : et quos vocavit, hos et justificavit.” Ibid. 179.

Son ; by whose inspiration, we are enabled to pray ; and by whose efficacy, we are born again. He it is, who worketh all good works in us ; and by him are we led into all truth.

“ We believe that there is one holy church, viz. The congregation of all God’s elect, from the beginning to the end of the world, whose head is our Lord Jesus Christ. Which church is governed by the word, and led by the Spirit of God.

“ We believe, that the pious, and those who fear God, will approve themselves unto him, by being studious of good works, which God hath prepared beforehand, that they should walk in them : such are love, joy, peace, patience, kindness, honesty, modesty, temperance, and what other works we find applauded in scripture (e).” It would, perhaps, be difficult to meet with so much genuine gospel, comprised within so small a compass, in any writings, except the inspired. If the reader be de-

(e) “ Credimus unum tantum esse Deum, qui spiritus est, rerum cunctarum conditor, pater omnium, super et per omnia, in nobis omnibus, adorandus in spiritu et veritate, quem solum expectamus ; datorum vitæ, alimentorum, indumentorum, prosperæ item valetudinis, infirmitatis, commodorum, et incommodorum. Hunc diligimus, tanquam omnis bonitatis autorem ; et, ceu cordium inspectorem, timemus.

“ Jesum Christum credimus esse patris filium et imaginem ; in quo omnis plenitudo Deitatis habitat ; per quem cognoscimus patrem ; qui noster est mediator et advocatus ; nec ullum aliud sub cælo nomen hominibus datum est, per quod servari nos oporteat.

“ Credimus nos habere consolatorem, spiritum sanctum, à patre et filio procedentem ; cujus inspiratione precamur, et efficacîâ regeneramur. Is in nobis omnia bona opera efficit : atque per eum in omnem deducimur veritatem.

“ Credimus unam sanctam ecclesiam, omnium electorum Dei, à constitutione ad finem mundi, congregationem : cujus caput est dominus noster Jesus Christus. Hanc verbum Dei gubernat, spiritus sanctus ducit.—

“ Pios et Deum timentes credimus Deo se probaturos ut bonis vacent operibus, quæ præparavit ut in eis ambulent. Hæc autem opera sunt charitas, gaudium, pax, patientia, benignitas, probitas, modestia, temperantia, aliaque opera in scripturis commendata.” Usher, De Succession, cap. 10. p. 151.

sirous to know the horrid and almost unparalleled persecutions, which the Albigenes suffered at the hands of the Romish church, from age to age (after the more open apostasy of that church from the original faith of the gospel), even to the extinction of no fewer than ten hundred thousand lives; he may, among others, consult that excellent work, entitled, *The (f) History of Popery* (a book, which it is pity that any protestant should be without) and Mr. Samuel Clarke's *General (g) Martyrology*. That most excellent prince, Lewis XII. of France, was actuated by a better spirit. When incited to persecute the Waldenses, he returned this truly great reply: God forbid that I should persecute any for being more religious than myself.

From whole churches, let us, for the present, pass to particular persons.

Gotteschalcus, sometime a benedictine monk in the monastery of Orbez, and diocese of Soissons, flourished about A. D. 840. He is thought to have obtained the surname of Fulgentius, or the Shining, on account of his uncommon attainments in literature (*h*); though, perhaps, his agreement in doctrine with the famous Fulgentius (bishop of Ruspæ, in Africa, who was counted the St. Austin of his age, and died in the year 533) might have given the first occasion to calling him by that name.

Archbishop Usher has written the history (*i*) of this worthy and learned person, and of the controversies concerning predestination and free-will, which his (i. e. Gotteschalcus') writings and sufferings were the means of reviving in the ninth century. To this elaborate performance of the great prelate, I

(*f*) Comprised in two volumes, small quarto; and printed at London, 1735.

(*g*) Folio, London, 1660.

(*h*) Cave's Hist. Liter. vol. i. p. 558.

(*i*) Entitled, *Gotteschalci, et Prædestinantiæ Controversiæ ab eo motæ, Historia*. Dublinii, 1631.



stand indebted for most of the particulars which I am now going to lay before the reader.

It seems uncertain, whether *Gotteschalvus* was a native of Germany, or of France. His name appears to indicate the former (*k*).

His deep acquaintance with the writings of *St. Austin* brought him into love with the doctrines of grace; and he determined to avow them, at all events. In such a church as the Roman, and in a period of such religious darkness as the ninth age, it was no wonder that his ardent espousal of the evangelical system, and the unyielding firmness with which he openly maintained it, should involve him in a series of persecution, which, at length, sunk him to his grave.

*Hincmar* was made archbishop of *Rheims*, A. D. 845, and soon distinguished himself as *Gotteschalvus'* inexorable oppressor. This prelate had a mind, unsoftened with any one of the humane feelings (*l*): and, for his religion, it was Christianity reversed. Mean, sanguinary, and imperious, by nature; he had, moreover, imbibed some of the grossest dregs of pelagianism (*m*): which he obtruded on others, with an enthusiastic vehemence, bordering on madness; and with a fierceness, nothing short of brutal. From a metropolitan, thus disposed, and thus prin-

(*k*) *Gott enim Germanis Deum, Schalck servum, denotat. ut Gotteschalvus Θεοδούλου, sive Dei servum, sonet. Usserii Gotesch. p. 14.*

(*l*) He caused his own nephew and namesake, *Hincmar*, bishop of *Laon*, to be deposed from his see, in 871, and thrown into prison, where both his eyes were put out; because, in a dispute between the pope and the French king, he had sided with the former, contrary to the judgment of his uncle.

(*m*) Nor was he a pelagian only, but a violent Anti-trinitarian also; as appears from the following remarkable incident, mentioned by *Dr. Cave*: “*Interiit liber à Ratramno scriptus pro defensione hymni cujusdam vetusti, cui versiculum istum [te Trina Deitas unaque poscimus] Hincmarus expungi jusserat; te summa Deitas, deinceps, in ecclesiâ suâ cantari præcipiens.*” *Hist. Lit. p. 530. sub Art. Bertramus.*

ciplèd; armed, too, with that extent of authority, which ecclesiastics of his rank then possessed; Gotteschaleus had nothing to look for, but that unrelenting hatred and severity, which superior merit [especially, when it ventures to deviate from the beaten path] seldom fails to experience, at the hands of those, in whom ignorance and bigotry are united with the powers of mischief.

Among the articles which Hinemar charged this holy man with maintaining, were the three following (*n*).

1. That, "As God hath predestinated certain persons to life eternal; so hath he likewise preordained other certain persons to eternal death.

2. "It is not the will of God, that every one of mankind should be saved: he willeth the salvation of those only who [eventually] are saved. All are saved, whom God wills to save: consequently, whoever perish, it was not the divine pleasure to save them. For, if all those who are not saved, whom God willeth to be so; it would follow, that God does not act according to his own will: and, if he wills more than he is able to perform, he is no longer omnipotent, but impotent; but the scripture affirms that he is omnipotent; for he doth whatsoever he pleased to do. All things that the Lord would, hath he done, in heaven, and in earth, in the sea, and in all deep places, Psalm cxxxv. 6. Again; O Lord, the King Almighty, the whole world is in thy power; and, if thou hast appointed to save Israel, there is no man that can gainsay thee. Thou art Lord of all things, and there is no man that can resist thee who art the Lord. Esther xiii. 9. 11.

3. "Our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ was not crucified and put to death for the redemption of the entire world, i. e. not for the ransom and salvation

(*n*) Usserii Gottesch. p. 15, 16.—Necnon Vossii Histor. Pelagian. l. 7. par. 4. p. 738.

of the whole of mankind; but only for such as are saved.”

To these were afterwards added, as doctrines of Gotteschalculus:

“They who are predestinated to destruction, cannot be saved; and they who are predestinated to the kingdom, cannot perish.

“Ever since the first man fell by his free-will, none of us are able to use their free-wills unto good, but only to evil (*o*).”

Gotteschalculus' opinions were, undoubtedly, stated by Hincmar in the most rigorous and exceptionable terms. For this reason, let us hear the judicious and learned martyr speak for himself. This he continues to do, in two separate confessions of his faith, penned by his own hand, and which are, happily, still preserved (*p*).

“I believe,” says he, “and acknowledge, that the Almighty and unchangeable God gratuitously foreknew and predestinated the holy angels, and elect men, unto life eternal.—St. Austin asks, ‘Wherefore, said our Lord to the Jews, ye believe not, because ye are not of my sheep? Because’ (saith Austin) ‘our Lord perceived that they were predestinated to everlasting destruction, and were not purchased with the price of his blood. What mischief, then, can the wolf do? What hurt can the thief and robber do? They can destroy those only who are predestinated thereunto.’ The same St. Austin, speaking of the two worlds, expresses himself thus: ‘The church is a (*q*) whole world, and there is also a whole world which hateth the

(*o*) Usser. *ibid.* p. 28.

(*p*) Apud Usser. *ibid.* à p. 211. ad p. 237.

(*q*) Witsius has a similar thought; but much more elegantly expressed. “Electi fideles, post vocationem efficacem, et considerati cum exornante eos gratiâ Dei; licet minor, melior tamen mundi pars, et immundi mundi mundus sunt.” De Oecon. Fœd. l. 2. c. 9. s. 13.

church. The world' [of the reprobate] 'hateth the world' [of the elect]: 'the world of those who are at enmity with God, hateth that world which is reconciled to him; the world of the condemned hateth the world of the saved; the world of the impure hateth the world of the holy.' Austin saith again: 'There is a world, of which the apostle says, That we should not be condemned with the world, 1 Cor. ii. 32. For this world, our Lord doth not pray. So also speaketh St. Isidore; (r) 'There is a double predestination: of the elect, unto happiness; and of the reprobate, unto death' (s)."

The above extract is from *Gotteschalculus'* smaller confession. His larger one runs in the form of a most pious and solemn address to Almighty God. It were needless to cite any parts of it, after what has been already produced. Whoever pleases, may see it at full length, in *Usher's History*, referred to below.

For thus believing, the great and good man was degraded from the order of priesthood, and imprisoned in the monastery of *Hault-Villier*. He was, moreover, sentenced to undergo the

(r) I suppose the person here quoted by *Gotteschalculus*, was that *Isidore*, who fixed his seat of retirement at, or near *Pelusion* (now *Belbeis*) in *Egypt*; whence he is commonly called, *Isiaorus Pelusiota*. He flourished about *A. D. 412*.

(s) *Credo et confiteor, Deum omnipotentem et incommutabilem præcisse et prædestinasse angelos sanctos, et homines electos, ad vitam gratis æternam.*—*Beatus Augustinus*—*itã dicit,*—*Quare dicit dominus Judæis, vos non creditis, quia non estis ex ovibus meis? Nisi quia videbat eos ad sempiternum interitum prædestinatos, non ad vitam æternam sui sanguinis pretio comparatos.*—*Quid potest lupo? Quid potest fur et latro? non perdit nisi ad interitum prædestinatos.* Item, de duobus loquens mundis: *Totus mundus ecclesia est, et totus mundus odit ecclesiam. Mundus igitur odit mundum: inimicus, reconciliatum: damnatus salvatum: inquinatus, mundatum.* Item. *Est mundus, de quo dicit apostolus. Ne cum hoc mundo damnemur. Pro isto mundo dominus non rogat. Unde dicit et S. Isidorus: Gemina est prædestinatio, sive electorum ad requiem; sive reproborum, ad mortum.*" *Apud Usser. u. s. p. 211, 212.*

punishment of scourging : which inhuman discipline was continually repeated, with the most merciless severity, until, by mere dint of torture, they had compelled him to commit one of his own books to the flames, which he had written, in favour of predestination, against Rabon, archbishop of Mentz. His sufferings might, at any time, have been exchanged for liberty and ease, had he but dissembled his judgment, and ceased to avow his faith. But he was enabled to continue stedfast, to the very last. No torments could induce him to deny, with his mouth, the grace which he loved in his heart. In him was eminently realized that saying ascribed to Ignatius : Stand firm as a beaten anvil. It is the part of a magnanimous combatant, to be torn to pieces, and yet to overcome (*t*).

I have termed Gotteschaleus a martyr. And such in fact, he was. I grant his execution was more tedious and lingering, than that of those who are usually crowned with that venerable name. His sufferings did not terminate with the pain of an hour, but were extended through a long series of years : and nothing, inferior to the Almighty power of God, could have kept him faithful unto death. Exhausted, at length, by an uninterrupted succession of hardships, he breathed out his soul into the hands of Christ, A. D. 870, in about the one and twentieth year of his imprisonment. Hincmar, to whose restless persecutions this man of God stood indebted for most of his calamities, did not always ride triumphant on the wheel of prosperity. About twelve years after the death of Gotteschaleus, the Nordmans, swarming from the north of Europe, made irruptions into France ; on which, the prelate of Rheims thought proper to consult his personal safety, by deserting his flock. Abdicating, there-

(*t*) Iguat. ad Polyc.

fore, the see which he had so unworthily filled, he retreated (*Barbarus à Barbaris*) to a more solitary and secure part of the kingdom: in which melancholy retirement, surrounded with woods and morasses, he died (probably of a broken heart) A. D. 882.

III. Remigius, archbishop of Lyons, and Gotteschalculus' cotemporary, deserves to be mentioned here, as an eminent assertor of the doctrines of grace.

Hincmar of Rheims had written a letter of complaint against Gotteschalculus, addressed to the church of Lyons. This was replied to by Remigius; part of whose answer ran thus. The blessed fathers of the church do, with one consent, with one voice, and as it were with one spirit, display and celebrate that immoveable truth of God's prescience and predestination, respecting both its parts, viz. concerning the elect and reprobate: to wit, [the predestination] of the elect, unto glory; and of the reprobate, not unto sin, but unto punishment. And in these particulars, they [i. e. the fathers] openly affirm that the unchangeable series of God's disposals is demonstrated to us; which divine disposals are not temporal, neither did they commence in any period of time, but are strictly eternal. Nor is it possible for any one elect person to perish: or that any of the reprobate should be saved, because of their hardness and impenitency of heart. This both the verity of the sacred writings, and the authority of the holy and orthodox fathers, harmoniously declare, and inculcate on us, as a point to be believed and held by us without the least doubt or scruple. Pursuant to the foregoing account of the universal faith, Almighty God did, from the beginning, prior to the formation of the world, and before he had made any thing, predestinate (for certain just, and immutable reasons of his eternal counsel) some certain persons to glory, of his own gratuitous favour: of which certain persons, not

one shall perish, through his mercy protecting them. Other certain persons he hath predestinated to perdition, by his just judgment, for the evil desert of their ungodliness, which he foreknew: and, of these, none can be saved. Not because of any compulsive violence offered them by the divine power, but because of the stubborn and persevering naughtiness of their own iniquity (*u*).” Remigius expresses himself with a prudential guardedness, which reflects no little honour on his judgment. He acknowledged, as the present Calvinists also do, 1. That there most certainly are a twofold pre-science and predestination, terminating on two sorts of persons, the elect and reprobate. 2. That God’s disposals, or decrees, are strictly eternal: and, 3. That they are unchangeable. 4. That, consequently, not one elect person can perish; nor, 5. any reprobate be saved. 6. That the election of the for-

(*u*) “*Ecce beatissimi patres ecclesiæ uno sensu, uno ore, quia et uno spiritu, Divinæ præscientiæ et prædestinationis immobitem veritatem, in utrâque parte, electorum, scilicet et reprobatorum, prædicant et commendant: electorum utique, ad gloriam; reprobatorum verò, non ad culpam, sed ad pœnam. Et in his, non temporalium, neque ex alioquo tempore inchoantium, sed sempiternarum, dispositionum Dei immutabilem ordinem nobis demonstrari confirmant: nec aliquem electorem posse perire, nec ullum reprobatorum (propter duritiam et impœnitentiam cordis sui) posse salvari. Hoc et Divinarum scripturarum veritas, et sanctorum atque orthodoxorum patrum auctoritas constantèr annuntiant, indubitanter nobis credendum et tenendum inculcant.—Juxta præmissam Catholicæ fidei rationem, omnipotens Deus, ante constitutionem mundi, antequàm quicquam faceret, à principio, certis et justis atque immutabilibus causis æterni consilii sui, quosdam ad regnum, gratuitâ bonitate suâ, ex quibus nemo set periturus, protegente misericordiâ suâ; et quosdam prædestinaverit ad interitum, justo judicio suo, propter meritum, quod præscivit, impietatis eorum, ex quibus nemo possit salvari. Non propter violentiam aliquam Divinæ potestatis; sed propter indemabilem et perseverantem nequitiam propriæ iniquitatis.*” Remigius, apud Usser. Gottesc. p. 29.

The masterly comment of Remigius, on that controverted passage, Who will have all men to be saved and to come to the knowledge of the truth, 1 Tim. ii. 4. may be seen at large, in Usher, u. s. p. 31. I wish it was not too prolix for insertion here.

mer was absolutely gratuitous and unmerited: 7. That the punishment of the latter (observe: not their reprobation itself, but their perdition, or actual damnation) is owing to their foreseen ungodliness. Which foreseen ungodliness results, 8. not from any compulsive force offered to them, or put upon them by God himself, but from that “stubborn and persevering naughtiness of their own iniquity,” which God is indeed able to remove, but under the power and guilt of which it is his inscrutable will to leave them.

Among the illustrious partisans of grace, I must not omit to number,

IV. Florus, surnamed Magister, a deacon of the church of Lyons: who, about A. D. 852, published *A Defence of Predestination*, in opposition to a *Semipelagian* treatise on that subject, written by the famous scholastic, *Duns Scotus*. The drift of Florus’ book (drawn up, it seems, in the name of the whole church of Lyons) was, says *Vossius*, to prove, “That there is a double predestination: viz. of some, who are elected into life; and of others, who are destined to death. That men have, by nature, no free-will, except to what is evil. That the elect are compelled to good. But that the reprobate are not compelled to sin: they are only compelled to undergo the punishment which, by sin, they have merited (*x*).” I am inclinable to doubt, whether *Vossius* (whose “*Pelagian History*” might, with more truth, be styled, *An Apology for Pelagianism*) has, in the above passage, stated the *Theses* of Florus with sufficient candour. I can hardly suppose a man of the judgment and learning, which Florus seems to have possessed, would ever assert, that “The elect are compelled to what is good.” We may, perhaps, learn his sentiments on this subject, with greater certainty and precision, from

(*x*) *Vossii Histor. Pelagian.* p. 745.



his own words, largely cited by archbishop Usher (*y*).

“Our Lord himself,” says Florus, “plainly shows, that the very first commencement of what good we have, is not of ourselves, but of him: Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you, John xv. 16. Thus likewise the apostle speaks to believers: He who hath begun a good work in you, will perfect it even unto the day of Christ, Phil. i. 6. And again; Unto you it is given, in Christ’s behalf, not only to believe, but also to suffer for his sake, Phil. i. 29. The blessed apostle, St. John, affirms, Not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and gave his Son to be the propitiation for our sins, 1 John iv. 10. And again, a blessed apostle says, Let us run, with patience, the race that is set before us, looking unto Jesus the author and the finisher of our faith, Heb. xii. 2. If, therefore, we desire to be true members of the universal church, let us faithfully put all to the account of grace. The Lord chooseth his saints; not they him. God himself both begins and accomplishes what is good, in his believers. He first loves his saints, in order that they may also love him. Man has not, of himself, a will to that which is good: neither has he of himself, the power to perform a good work. Both one and the other are received from him, of whom the apostle saith, It is God that worketh in us, both to will and to do, of his own good pleasure. Through his mercy, he himself is beforehand with the will of man: as saith the Psalmist; My God will prevent me with his goodness. He himself inspires man with the grace of thinking rightly; according to that of the apostle; Not that we are, of ourselves, sufficient to think any thing, as of ourselves; but our sufficiency is of God. He is, himself, the cause of our having a good will. He is, himself, the cause

(*y*) Cottesch. Hist. cap. x. per totum.

of our desiring and accomplishing what is holy. And he not only worketh these things, at present, in his elect; but he hath also, before the formation of the world, predestinated them, by his grace, that they should be holy and blameless before him, Eph. i. 4. Whoever, then, does not believe that this grand and most efficacious cause" [viz. God's predestination and grace] "precedes our will, in order that we may will and do that which is right, doth manifestly oppose the truth, and stands convicted of pelagianism (z)." It is true, that, in these passages, Florus nervously asserts the efficacy of divine influence: but says nothing about forcible compulsion. And, indeed, there was no reason why he should. The operation of grace renders itself effectual, without offering the least violence to the human mind. Open a blind man's eyes to see the sun, and he will need no compulsion to make him admire it. Suppose there was a person to whose ceaseless bounty you owed every comfort you enjoy, but of whom, notwithstanding, you never had so much as the sight. Should that person, in process of time, favour you with a visit; would you stand in need of compulsion to make you speak to him? must you be dragged by the hair of your head, into his presence? No. You would at once fly to him, and bid him welcome. You would freely, yet irresistibly (such is the sweetly captivating power of gratitude), thank him, and give him your best accommodations, and wish your best were better for his sake. Similar is the free, though necessary, tendency of an enlightened soul to God and Christ. Calvinism disclaims all compulsion (a), properly so called. It pleads only

(z) Florus Magist. apud Usser. u. s. p. 143—146.

(a) According to Mr. Locke, compulsion may then be said to take place, "When the beginning or continuation of any action is contrary to the preference of the mind." (See his *Essay on Understanding*, book ii. chap. 21. sect. 13). If, therefore, this acute logi-

for that victorious, conciliating efficacy, which is inseparable from the grace of divine attraction: and acknowledges no other energy, but that to which the apostle sets his *comprobatum est*, where he says, *The love of Christ constraineth us.*

## SECTION IX.

### *The Judgment of some eminent Persons, prior to the Reformation, continued.*

IF we carry down our enquiries, to the century preceding the reformation, we shall find that period illuminated by several very distinguished advocates for the doctrines of free and sovereign grace,

cian was in the right; it will follow, that, in the supernatural agency of grace on the heart, compulsion is quite excluded, be that agency ever so effectual: since, the more effectually it is supposed to operate, the more certainly it must engage the "preference of the mind." And, where the preference of the mind is thus engaged, won over, and secured, (the accomplishing of which is the very business of grace, Psal. cx. 3.) there compulsion can have no manner of footing or existence.

Another remark of Mr. Locke's deserves to be well considered: "voluntary is not opposed to necessary, but to involuntary. For a man may prefer what he can do, to what he cannot do:" [he may, for instance, prefer] "the state he is in, to its absence or change, though necessity has made it in itself unalterable." *Ibid.* sect. 11. I am apt to think, that the preceding citations from Locke will make Mr. Sellon stare. I wish the citation next ensuing may not make him swear. If the "Exotic" can get any body to lend him Locke's Essay, he will find in the 14th section of the chapter above referred to, the following observations: "Whether man's will be free, or no," is "an unreasonable, because unintelligible question. It is as insignificant to ask, whether man's will be free; as to ask, whether his sleep be swift, or his virtue square. Liberty being as little applicable to the will, as swiftness of motion is to sleep, or squareness to virtue." How far such concessions, as these, are reconcilable with some parts of that great man's theological system; or even with some of his own favourite metaphysical principles; I leave to the determination of more competent readers.

as now held by those who are since called (*b*) Calvinists.

V. John Huss, the well known Bohemian martyr, was converted to the truth of the gospel, next under God, by reading the works of our renowned countryman John Wickliff. He took his batchelor of arts' degree in the university of Prague, A. D. 1393, and was eminent for learning (as learning then went), but more so for the exemplary sanctity of his life (*c*). I need not relate the perfidy of the council of Constance, who condemned him to the flames, in open violation of the safe-conduct which had been solemnly granted him by the emperor Sigismund. Suffice it to observe, that this infamous synod acted up to their own maxim, of "No faith to be kept with hereties:" and that he was burned, A. D. 1415. His dying prediction at the stake, is, however, too remarkable to be omitted. "He behaved himself at his martyrdom, with a wonderful cheerfulness; and seems to have had a spirit of prophecy: for whereas Huss, in the Bohemian tongue, signifies a goose, he told them, you now roast a goose; but, after an hundred years, a swan shall rise out of my ashes. Which was fulfilled in Luther, who, just an hundred years after Huss' death, began to appear in opposition to the pope (*d*)."

(*b*) It seems, we are originally indebted to the church of Rome, for this appellation. "Calvinists: a name given by papists to the reformed of France, Switzerland, Germany, and the Low Countries." Great Hist. Dict.

(*c*) Vir, ipsis fatentibus adversariis, doctrinâ illustris, pietate conspicuus. Wharton, in App. ad Cavii Hist. Liter. p. 76.

(*d*) Hist. of Popery, vol. ii. p. 193. Mr. Rolt, in his Lives of the Reformers (p. 17, 18.) gives a more circumstantial account of Dr. Huss' martyrdom and prophecy. "Dr. Huss," says that judicious compiler, "heard his sentence, without the least emotion. He kneeled down, with his eyes lifted toward heaven, and said, with all the spirit of primitive martyrdom, May thy infinite mercy, O my God, pardon this injustice of my enemies. Thou knowest the

Among the articles of pretended heresy, which this excellent man was arraigned and put to death for maintaining, were the following (*e*).

“There is but one, holy, universal, or catholic church, which is the universal company of all the predestinate. I do confess,” said Huss, “that this proposition is mine; and [it] is confirmed by St. Augustin upon St. John.

injustice of my accusations, how deformed with crimes I have been represented; how I have been oppressed by worthless witnesses and an unjust condemnation. Yet, O my God, let the mercy of thine, which no tongue can express, prevail with thee not to avenge my wrongs. The bishops, appointed by the council, stript him of his priestly garments, degraded him, and put a mitre of paper upon his head, on which devils were painted, with this inscription, A ring-leader of heretics. Our heroic martyr received this mock mitre with a gallant air of unconcern, that seemed to give him dignity, instead of disgrace. A serenity, a joy, a composure, appeared in his looks, which indicated that his soul had cut off many stages of tedious journey in her way to the point of eternal joy and peace. The bishops delivered Huss to the emperor, who put him into the hands of the duke of Bavaria. His books were burnt at the gate of the church, and he was led to the suburbs to be burnt alive. When he came to the place of execution, he fell on his knees, sang portions of Psalms, looked stedfastly toward heaven, and repeated these words: Into thy hands, O Lord, do I commit my spirit; thou hast redeemed me, O most good and faithful God. When the chain was put about him at the stake, he said, with a smiling countenance, My Lord Jesus Christ was bound with an harder chain than this, for my sake; and why should I be ashamed of this old rusty one? When the faggots were piled up to his very neck, the duke of Bavaria was officious enough to desire him to abjure. No, said Huss; I never preached any doctrine of an evil tendency; and what I taught with my lips, I now seal with my blood. He said to the executioner, Are you going to burn a goose? In one century, you will have a swan, whom you can neither roast nor boil. If he were prophetic, he must have meant Luther, who had a swan for his arms. The flames were then applied to the faggots; when the martyr sang a hymn, with so loud and cheerful a voice, that he was heard through all the cracklings of the combustibles and the noise of the multitude. At last, his voice was cut short, and he was consumed. The duke of Bavaria ordered the executioner to throw all the martyr's clothes into the flames; after which, his ashes were carefully collected, and cast into the Rhine.”

(*e*) Fox's Acts and Monuments, vol. i. p. 693.

“ St. Paul was never any member of the devil, albeit that he committed and did certain acts like unto the acts of the malignant church” [i. e. St. Paul, prior to his conversion, acted like a reprobate, though he was secretly, and in reality, one of God’s elect]. “ And likewise St. Peter, who fell into an horrible sin of perjury, and denial of his master; it was by the permission of God, that he might the more firmly and stedfastly rise again and be confirmed.” To this charge, Huss replied, “ I answer, according to St. Austin, that it is expedient that the elect and predestinate should sin and offend (*f*).”

“ No part or member of the church doth depart, or fall away, at any time, from the body: forsomuch as the charity of predestination, which is the bond and chain of the same, doth never fall.” Huss answers; “ This proposition is thus placed in my book: “ As the reprobate of the church proceed out of the same, and yet are not as parts or members of the same; forsomuch as no part or member of the same doth finally fall away: because that the charity of predestination, which is the bond and chain of the same, doth never fall away. This is proved by 1 Cor. xiii. and Rom. viii. All things turn to good, to them that love God: also, I am certain that neither death nor life can separate us from the charity and love of God, as it is more at large in the book.”

Another article, objected against him, was, his being of opinion that “ the predestinate, although he be not in the state of grace according to present justice, yet is he always a member of the universal church.” He answers: “ Thus it is in the book,

(*f*) Let not the reader imagine, that I approve of the unguarded manner, in which Mr. Huss here expresses himself. I only give his answer faithfully, as I find it. His meaning, I doubt not, was this: that, by the incomprehensible alchymy of God’s infinite wisdom, even moral evil itself shall be finally overruled to good.

about the beginning of the fifth chapter, where it is declared, that there be divers manners or sorts of being in the church: for there are some in the church, according to the mis-shapen faith; and other some according to predestination: as Christians predestinate, now in sin, shall return again unto grace." The good man added: "Predestination doth make a man a member of the universal church; the which [i. e. predestination] is a preparation of grace for the present, and of glory to come: and not any degree of" [outward] "dignity, neither election of man" [or, one man's designation of another to some office or station], "neither any sensible sign" [i. e. predestination does not barely extend to the outward signs, or means of grace: but includes something more and higher]: "For the traitor Judas Iscariot, notwithstanding Christ's election" [or appointment of him to the apostleship], and the temporal graces which were given him for his office of apostleship, and that he was reputed and counted of men a true apostle of Jesus Christ; yet was he no true disciple, but a wolf covered in a sheep's skin, as St. Augustin saith."

"A reprobate man is never a member of the holy church. I answer, it is in my book, with sufficient long probation out of the xxvi. Psalm, and out of the v. chapter to the Ephesians: and also by St. Bernard's saying, The church of Jesus Christ is more plainly and evidently his body, than the body which he delivered for us to death. I have also written, in the fifth chapter of my book, that the holy church" [i. e. the outward, visible church of professing Christians, here on earth] "is the barn of the Lord, in the which are both good and evil, predestinate and reprobate: the good being as the good corn, or grain; and the evil, as the chaff. And thereunto is added the exposition of St. Augustin."

“ Judas was never a true disciple of Jesus Christ. I answer, and I do confess the same. They came out from amongst us, but they were none of us. He knew from the beginning, who they were that believed not, and who should betray him. And therefore I say unto you, that none cometh unto me, except it be given him of my Father.”

Such were some of the allegations, brought against this holy man by the council of Constance; and such were his answers, when he stood on his public trial, as a lily among thorns, or as a sheep in the midst of wolves. How easy is it for me to write in defence of these inestimable truths, which (through the goodness of divine providence) have now in our happy land, the sanction of national establishment! But with what invincible strength of grace was this adamant saint endued, who bore his explicit, unshaken testimony to the faith, in the presence and hearing of its worst foes, armed with all the terrific powers of this world!

Prior to his execution, Mr. Huss made his solemn appeal to God, from the judgment of the pope and council. In this appeal (*g*) (the whole of which would well repay the reader's perusal), he again repeats his assured faith in the doctrine of election; where he celebrates the willingness with which Christ vouchsafed, “ By the most bitter and ignominious death, to redeem the children of God, chosen before the foundation of the world, from everlasting damnation.”

Much farther proof might be given of Huss' Calvinism. Enough, however, has been produced. Yet will I request my reader's patient attention to the passage that follows. He was accused of having affirmed, that “ Christ doth more love a predestinate man, being sinful, than any reprobate, in what

(*g*) See this Appeal, at full length, in Fox, u. s. p. 695, 696.



grace possible soever he be (*h*).” To which, his reply was: “My words are in the fourth chapter of my book, entitled, Of the Church. And it is evident, that God doth love the predestinate being sinful” [i. e. the elect, even prior to their conversion]; than any reprobate, in what [seeming] grace soever he be for the time: forasmuch as he [i. e. God] willeth that the predestinate shall have perpetual blessedness, and the reprobate to have eternal fire. The predestinate cannot fall from grace: for they have a certain, radical grace rooted in them, although they [may] be deprived of the abundant grace for a time (*i*).”

As to what he says above, concerning the love which God bears to the predestinate, even while sinful; though it be, perhaps, rather incautiously phrased, it still is, in effect, affirming no more than the apostle has affirmed before him: God, who is rich in mercy, for the great love wherewith he loved us even when we were dead in sins, hath quickened us together with Christ. By grace ye are saved. Eph. ii. 4, 5.

It is very observable, that the popish council of Constance charged Huss with being a fatalist (*h*):

(*h*) Fox, *ibid.* p. 700.

(*i*) Fox, *ibid.*

(*h*) See a curious tract, inserted into the *Fasciculus Rerum fugiendarum et expetendarum*, entitled, *Rationes et Motiva ac Reprobationes Articulorum Wiclefi et sequacis ipsius Johannis Hus, in Concilio Constantiensi damnatorum*. By perusing the reasons, which the council of Constance there assign, for their rejection and condemnation of Huss and his doctrines, the reader will immediately see, from what magazine Arminianism pilfers its arguments. By way of specimen, take the following extract. The papists, in the above council, charged the martyr, and not untruly, with holding, that *omnio de necessitate absolutà eveniunt*. On which position, they thus descend: *Ista propositio est falsa et erronea: quia, ex ipsa sequitur, 1. superflua esse precepta prohibitiones, leges, consilia, et monitiones. 2. Sequitur, obliquitates, deformitates, et peccata tolli. Sequitur, 3. omnem actum laudabilem, virtuosum, meritorium, etiam primum et liberum arbitrium, excludi. 4. Quia non laudamur, nec vituperamur, mere-*

and opposed the doctrine of predestination, which he held and taught, by the same identical cavils which have been since so greedily licked up, and so plentifully disgorged, by Messrs. Wesley, Sellon, and others of that fraternity. These gentlemen blush not to whet their bills on the door posts of popery itself, rather than not be enabled to peck at those protestant doctrines, to which they (I will not say, for divers good, but) for divers weighty causes, have themselves most solemnly, though most hypocritically, subscribed.

Next after the testimony of John Huss, naturally follows that of his intimate friend and faithful fellow martyr, Jerom of Prague. As they were united, in their lives, by the most sacred ties of religious and learned regard, so in their deaths they were almost undivided: for they were both executed within a twelvemonth of each other.

VI. Jerom, surnamed of Prague, from the place of his nativity, was a lay gentleman, of competent fortune, and of very extraordinary learning. Having taken his master of arts degree, in the university of his native city, he visited most of the countries in Europe. In the course of this tour, the universities of Paris, Cologne, and Heidelberg, successively complimented him with the same degree which he had taken at Prague. The writers of the Biographical Dictionary (*l*) seem to think it probable, that the university of Oxford likewise favoured

mur aut præmiamur, nisi de iis quæ sunt in potestate nostrâ ad utramque partem contradictionis flexibilia. Fascic. vol. i. p. 288. i. e. "If," say the Romish confessors, "all things come to pass by an absolute necessity, then, 1. all precepts and prohibitions are vain. 2. The very nature of sin is taken away. There can, 3. be no such thing as a laudable, virtuous, meritorious, or even rewardable action. Consequently, 4. we can neither be praised, nor blamed, we can neither merit by, nor be rewarded for, any thing we do." So spake the popish doctors, in the year 1415. And so speak the Arminians, in the year 1771.

(*l*) Vol. vii. p. 39.

him with the same mark of respect. It is, however, certain, that, during his progress, he was over in England; where he copied out the books of Wickliff, and returned with them to Prague (*m*)."

In proving the Calvinism of Dr. John Huss, I have proved the Calvinism of his brother in the faith, the learned and pious Jerom. "I knew him," said Jerom, speaking of Huss, "to be a just and true preacher of the holy gospel: and whatsoever things Mr. Huss and Wickliff have held or written, I will affirm, even unto death, that they were holy and blessed men (*n*)."

In pursuance of this declaration, delivered before a full meeting of the council of Constance, he was condemned to death: and, in the very sentence of condemnation, the council alleged this reason, among others, why they proceeded against him to the ultimate severity, viz. because he had "affirmed, that he never, at any time, had read any errors or heresy in the books and treatises of the said Wickliff and Huss, and because the said Jerom is an adherent and maintainer of the said Wickliff and Huss and their errors, and both is and hath been a favourer of them (*o*)."

As he suffered for the same blessed cause, so he suffered on the same spot of ground where his friend Huss had been executed: and his persecutors gave the strongest proofs they were able of their meanness and malice, by fixing him to a stake which had been shaped into an image, resembling his brother martyr, who had so lately and so gloriously set his life as a seal to the truth in that place (*p*). Yet, though no circumstance was omitted, which might tend to shake his fortitude, and to disconcert him in his last moments; "he suffered with all the magnanimity of

(*m*) Rolt's Lives of the Reformers, p. 19.

(*n*) See Fox's Acts and Monuments, vol. i. p. 722.

(*o*) Ibid. p. 723.

(*p*) See Fox, *ibid.* p. 724.

Huss. He embraced the stake, to which he was fastened with the peculiar malice of wet cords. When the executioner went behind him, to set fire to the pile, Come here, said Jerom, and kindle it before my eyes; for if I dreaded such a sight, I should never have come to this place, when I had a free opportunity of escaping. The fire was kindled, and he then sung a hymn, which was soon finished by the incircling flames (*q*).”

VII. John de Wesaliâ was another eminent witness for the doctrines of grace, and suffered much for his adherence to them. “He was,” says Monsieur Bayle, “a doctor of divinity; and was very ill treated by the inquisition in Germany, for having taught some doctrines which disgusted the catholics (*r*).” Another writer informs us more particularly what those doctrines were, which gave the church of Rome so much disgust. Diether Isenburgh, archbishop of Mentz, convened an assembly of popish doctors, A. D. 1479. to sit in judgment on this pretended heretic, who was then, on account of his religious principles, a prisoner in a convent of that city. A long catalogue of articles was laid to his charge: of which, the following were some.

“God hath, from everlasting, written a book, wherein he hath inscribed all his elect: and whosoever is not already written there, will never be written there at all.

“Moreover, he that is written therein, will never be blotted out of it.

“The elect are saved by the alone grace of God: and what man soever God willet to save, by enduing him with grace, if all the priests in the world were desirous to damn and excommunicate that man, he would still be saved. Whomsoever, like-

(*q*) Rolt, p. 21.

(*r*) Bayle's Hist. et Crit. Dict. vol. v. p. 540.

wise, God willeth to condemn, if the whole clan of pope, priests, and others, were desirous of saving that man, he still condemned would be.

“ If there had never been any pope in the world, they, who are saved, would have been saved notwithstanding.

“ They who undertake pilgrimages to Rome, are fools.

“ I will not look upon any thing as sinful, which the scripture does not call so.

“ I despise the pope, his church and his councils. But I love Christ. Let the word of Christ dwell in us abundantly.

“ It is a difficult thing to be a [true] Christian (*s*).”

The church of Rome took fire at these propositions. The affair was carried before the tribunal of the inquisition. In the course of his examination, another heinous heresy was laid to his charge: viz. that he had given it as his opinion, that St. Paul contributed nothing toward his own conversion by the help of his own free-will (*t*). A man need but look into the 9th chapter of the Acts, to be

(*s*) “ Deus, ab æterno, condidit librum, in quem scripsit omnes suos electos. Quicumque autem in eo non est scriptus, nunquam inscribetur in ipsum in æternum. Et qui in eo scriptus est, nunquam ex eo delebitur.

“ Sola Dei gratia salvantur electi. Et quem Deus vult salvare, donando sibi gratiam, si omnes sacerdotes vellent illum damnare aut excommunicare, adhuc salvaretur ille. Et quem Deus vult damnare, si omnes Presbyteri, Papa, et alii, vellent hunc salvare, adhuc este damnaretur.

“ Si nullus unquam Papa fuisset, adhuc salvati fuissent hi qui salvati sunt.

“ Peregrinantes Romam fatui sunt.

“ Quecunque non dicuntur esse peccata in sacra scriptura, ea non pro peccatis habeo.

“ Contemno Papam, Ecclesiam, et Consilia.

“ Res est difficilis esse Christianum.”

Fascic: Rerum, vol. i. p. 325, 326.

(*t*) “ Opimatur quod beatus Paulus, in sua conversione, nihil fecit suo libero arbitrio pro sua conversione.” Ibid. p. 331.

fully convinced that Dr. Wesalia was in the right. How exactly by the bye, does Mr. Sellon jump with these Romish inquisitors, who has declared, totidem verbis, that, in converting St. Paul, “The Lord did wait for St. Paul’s compliance and improvements!” i. e. at the very time when God struck Saul to the earth, he waited for Saul’s consent to fall! Had the Almighty waited for the compliance of him who was breathing out threats and slaughters against the gospel, he might have waited long enough, and waited for nothing at last.

Wesalia, it seems, was extremely old and infirm, when he underwent the above inquisitorial examination. Being, says Mr. Bayle, “broken by age and diseases, he was not able to express his thoughts before such a dreadful tribunal.” Hence proceeded the retractation, into which he was trepaned. It is plain, that his retractation was not considered as sincere, from his being condemned to perpetual confinement and penance “in a monastery of the Augustins; where he died soon after (u).”

## SECTION X.

*The Judgment of several eminent Persons, who flourished in England, antecedently to the Reformation.*

FROM among the ancient worthies, natives of our own land, and remarkable for having been led into an acquaintance with the distinguishing doctrines of the gospel; Bede, Grosthead, Wickliffe, Bradwardin, and lord Cobham, may be selected as none of the least conspicuous. If our island be disgraced with having given birth to Pelagius, she is

(u) Bayle, u. s. p. 542.

also honoured with having been the mother of such sons, as have cut up pelagianism, both root and branch.

I. Beda, or Bede, whom all succeeding ages have concurred to surname The Venerable, was born A. D. 672, or 673, in the county of Durham, somewhere near the mouth of the Tyne (*x*). Dr. Fuller styles him “the profoundest scholar in that age, for Latin, Greek, Philosophy, History, Divinity, and Mathematics:” and adds, that “homilies of his making were read, during his life-time, in the Christian churches: a dignity afforded to him alone (*y*).” He died A. D. 734 (*z*). An incident, which occurred in his last moments, is of so singular a nature, that I cannot help giving it to the reader. “One of the last things he did, was the translating of St. John’s gospel into English. When death seized on him, one of his devout scholars, whom he used for his secretary or amanuensis, complained, my beloved master, there remains yet one sentence unwritten.—“Write it then quickly,” replied Bede: and summoning all his spirits together (like the last blaze of a candle going out) he indited it, and expired.” Thus, adds the historian, “God’s children are immortal, while their Father hath any thing for them to do on earth: and death, that beast, cannot overcome and kill them, till they have first finished their testimony, Rev. ii. 7. which done, like silkworms, they willingly die, when their web is ended, and are comfortably entombed in their own endeavours (*a*).”

I should offer an insult even to the most unknowing reader, were I to observe, that the very name of Arminius was unheard of for many centuries after this early period. But if Arminius himself was

(*x*) Dupin’s Eccles. Writ. vol. vi. p. 89.

(*y*) Church Hist. cent. viii. p. 98.

(*z*) Idem. Worthies of England, part i. p. 292.

(*a*) Fuller’s Church Hist. u. s. p. 99.

unborn, the doctrines of which that Dutch schismatic was the reviver and the varnisher, had, about the beginning of the fifth century, been broached by Pelagius, who was the Arminius of that age. With what horror and detestation our learned and pious Anglo-Saxon reviewed that heretic and his heresies, appears from what he says of both, in the course of his Ecclesiastical History of the English Nation (*b*). He goes even so far, as to style the free-will system, “The Pelagian plague (*c*).”

Archbishop Usher, in his History of the Predestinarian Controversy, already referred to so often, cites some of Pelagius’ propositions, together with Beda’s refutations of them, in the very words of each writer. The following extract will enable the reader to form an exact judgment of Beda’s Calvinism.

“Whereas Pelagius says, that we are not impelled to evil by the corruption of our nature, seeing we do neither good nor evil without the compliance of our own will; he herein contradicts the apostle, who affirms, I know, that in me, that is, in my flesh, dwelleth no good thing, Rom. vii.—Moreover, when Pelagius asserts that we are at liberty to do one thing always” [i. e. to do always what is good, if it be not our own fault,] “seeing we are always able to do both one and the other.” [i. e. in Pelagius’ opinion, free-will has a power of indifference to good or evil; to either of which it sovereignly inclines, according to its own independent determination: to this Beda replies] “He

(*b*) Particularly in lib. 1. cap. 10. which chapter is entitled, “Ut, Arcadio regnante, Pelagius, Brito, contra gratiam Dei superba Bella susceperit.” And cap. 17. entitled, “Ut Germanus Episcopus, cum Lupo, Britanniam navigans, et primo maris, postmodum Pelagianorum, tempestatem, divina virtute, scda verit.”—p. 12. and 18.—Edit. Antwerp. 1550.

(*c*) “Renascentibus virgultis Pelaginæ pestis Germanus cum seверо Britanniam reversus, &c. Ibid. lib. 1. cap. 21. p. 25.



herein contradicts the prophet, who, humbly addressing himself to God, saith, I know, O Lord, that a man's way is not his own; it is not in man that walketh, to direct his own steps, Jer. x. 23. Nay, Pelagius maketh himself greater than the apostle, who said, With my mind, I myself serve the law of God; but, with my flesh, the law of sin. Rom. vii. 25 (*d*)."

On one hand, Pelagius had affirmed, "That, in the expulsion of Adam from paradise, and in the assumption of Enoch into heaven, God himself had given a demonstration of man's free-will: since Adam would not have merited punishment at the hand of a just God, nor would Enoch have deserved to be elected, unless each of them had it in his power to act the reverse of what they did. In the very same manner, adds Pelagius, we must judge concerning the two brothers, Cain and Abel; and concerning the twins, Esau and Jacob." To this Beda opposes the following simple, strong, scriptural answer: Pelagius here runs counter to the apostle, whose decision is, the children being not yet born, neither having done good nor evil, that the purpose of God, according to election might stand, not of works, but of him that calleth, it was said, the elder shall serve the younger: as it is written, Jacob have I loved, but Esau have I hated. Rom. ix. 11—13 (*e*)."

(*d*) Quod dicit [Pelagius] nos vitio nature ad malum non impelli, qui nec bonum, sine voluntate, nec malum, facimus; repugnat apostolo, dicenti, scio quia non habitat in me, hoc est in carne mea, bonum: Rom. vii. 18.—Quod dicit, liberum nobis esse unum semper agere, cum semper utramque possimus, contradicit prophete, qui Deo supplex loquitur, dicens, scio, domine, qui non sit hominis via ejus; nec viri est, ut ambulet et dirigat gressus suos: Jer. x. 23. Sed et apostolo majorem se facit qui dixit, ego igitur ipse mente, servio legi Dei; carne autem, legi peccati: Rom. vii. 25." Beda, apud Usser. Gottesch. p. 6, 7.

(*e*) "Pelagius: Adam de Paradiso ejicitur; Enoch de mundo rapitur. In utroque, dominus libertatem arbitrii ostendit. Non

Pelagius had asserted, that "The just God could never command us to do any thing impossible; nor can the merciful God condemn a man for doing what he could not avoid." Beda replies, "The former proposition is true, if spoken with reference to that succour, which we derive from him, to whom the universal church thus prays, Lead thou me forth in the path of thy commandments: Psalm cxix. 35. But, if a man trust to his own powers, he is refuted by that most true saying of Christ, Without me ye can do nothing, John xv. 5. And whereas Pelagius declares, that he who is gracious will not condemn a man for doing what he could not avoid; he, in this, flatly opposes the assertion of the same gracious Redeemer and just Judge: who avers, that, except a man, even infants themselves included, be born again, of water and the Spirit, he cannot see the kingdom of God, John iii. 5. (*f*)"

II. Robert Grossthead, born at Stradbroom, in Suffolk, was made bishop of Lincoln, A. D. 1235 (*g*). Mr. Camden terms him, "a much better scholar and linguist, than could be expected

enim a justo Deo, aut ille puniri meruisset, aut hic eligi, nisi uterque utrunque potuisset. Hoc de Cain et Abel fratribus, hoc etiam de Esau et Jacob geminis, intelligendum est.—Beda: contradicit apostolo, qui, de eisdem loquens, ait, cum enim, necdum nati fuissent, &c." Apud Usser. Ibid. p. 7.

(*f*) "Pelagius: Nec impossibile aliquid potuit imperare, qui justus est; nec damnaturus est hominem pro eo quod vitare non potuit, qui pius est.—Beda quòd dicit, dominum non impossibile aliquid præcepisse, qui justus est; verum profectò dicit, si ad ejus respicit auxilium, cui catholica vox supplicat. Deduc me in semitâ mandatorum tuorum, Psal. cxix. 35. Si verò viribus animi sui sidit, refellit eum veridica ejusdem justî conditoris sententia, quâ dicit, sine me nihil potestis facere: Johan. xv. 5.—Quòd dicit, eum, qui pius est, non damnaturum esse hominem, pro eo quod vitare non potuit; contradicit ejusdem pii redemptoris et justî judicis sententiæ, quâ, etiam de parvulis, ait, nisi quis renatus fuerit ex aquâ et spiritu, non potest videre regnum Dei." Apud Usser. u. s. p. 8.

(*g*) Vide Cav. Hist. Liter. i. 716.—necnon Godwinum, de præsulib. Angliæ, p. 289. edit. Cantabr. 1743. fol.

from the age he lived in : an awful reprovcr of the pope, a monitor to the king, a lover of truth, a corrector of prelates, an instructor of the clergy, a maintainer of scholars, a preacher to the people, a diligent searcher of the scripture, and a mallet to the Romanists (*h*).”

This great luminary was translated to heaven, October 9, 1253. Few ecclesiastics make so bright a figure in the annals of their country. “He was,” says Rapin, “a prelate of resolution and courage, neither to be gained by court favours, nor to be frightened by the pope’s menaces. Wholly intent on following what appeared to him reasonable and just, he little regarded the circumstances of the times, or the quality of persons ; but equally opposed the king’s will, and the pope’s pleasure, according as it happened. He could not see, without indignation and concern, the best preferments in the kingdom bestowed on Italians, who neither resided on their benefices, nor understood English. Refusing to institute an Italian to one of the best livings of his diocese, he was presently after suspended : but, regardless of the censure, he continued his episcopal functions. He even refused, at that very time, to admit of new provisions from the pope in favour of other Italians, declaring, that to entrust the cure of souls to such pastors, was to act in the name of the devil, rather than by the authority of God. Soon after, Grosthead touched

(*h*) Britannia, vol. i. col. 565.—edit. 1722.—Part of bishop Grosthead’s character, as drawn by Camden, is given in the words of Matthew Paris. The whole portrait is worthy of being seen at full length. “Fuit ille [i. e. Grosthead] domini papæ et regis redargutor manifestus, prælatorum correptor, monachorum corrector. Presbyterorum director, clericorum instructor, scholarium sustentator, populi prædicator, incontinentium persecutor, scripturarum sedulus perscrutator diversarum, Romanorum malleus et contemptor. In mensâ refectionis corporalis dapsilis, copiosus, et civilis, hilaris et affabilis : in mensâ verò spirituali devotus, lachrymosus, et contritus : in officio pontificali sedulus, venerabilis, et infatigabilis.” Mat. Paris. Apud Godwinum, u. s. p. 291.

the pope in a very sensible part, by computing the yearly (*i*) sums drawn, by the beneficed Italians, out of England. Innocent IV. who then sat in the papal chair, sent him a menacing letter, which would have frightened any but him. Grosthead returned a very bold answer; which put Innocent into a terrible rage. What! said the pope, has this old dotard the confidence to censure my conduct? By St. Peter and St. Paul, I will make him such an example, that the world shall stand amazed at his punishment. For is not his sovereign, the king of England, our vassal? Nay, is he not our slave? It is but therefore signifying our pleasure to the English court, and this antiquated prelate will be immediately imprisoned, and put to what further disgrace we shall think fit. The Annals of Lanercost inform us, that the bishop was excommunicated, a little before his death: but he, without regarding the censure, appealed to the court of heaven. Several historians add, that Innocent moved in the conclave, to have the body of Grosthead taken up and buried in the highway: but to this the cardinals would not consent. Be this as it will, if he was excommunicated, he paid no attention to it, but continued to discharge his functions. Neither were the clergy of his diocese more scrupulous than their bishop: for they obeyed him until the day of his death (*k*).”

It was not without much imaginary reason, that the pope was so violently exasperated against Grosthead: who might well stand in his Holiness' books, for a rebel and a heretic (*l*). Of his rebellions,

(*i*) These sums, remitted to beneficed foreigners, amounted in the year 1252, to seventy thousand marks: while the king's revenue hardly rose to twenty thousand. See Fuller's Church Hist. book iii. p. 65.

(*h*) Rapin's History of England, vol. iii. p. 214—218.

(*l*) Grosthead also passed among some of the vulgar, for a magician: only because he was well skilled in Greek and Hebrew, and

some account has been now given. Of his heresy take the following passage for a sample.

“ Grace is that good pleasure of God, whereby he willeth to give us what we have not deserved, in order to our benefit, not to his. It is manifest, therefore, that all the good which is within us, whether it be natural, or freely conferred afterwards, proceeds from the grace of God: for there is no good thing, of which his will is not the author; and what he wills, is done. He himself averts our will from evil, and converts our will to good, and makes our will to persevere in that good.—A will to good, whereby man becomes conformed to the will of God, is a grace freely given: for the divine will is grace. And grace is then said to be infused, when the divine will begins to operate on our will (*m*).”

The humility of this great and good man is evident from what he says in one of his epistles, written

had a bias to the study of astronomy. Hence those old verses, written in the reign of Richard II.

For of the greet clerk Grostest  
 I red, how redy that he was  
 Upon clergy an hede of brasse  
 To make, and forge it, for to tell  
 Of such things as befell.  
 And seven yeers bysiness  
 He laid: but, for the lacknesse  
 Of half a minute of an boure,  
 Fro first that he began labour,  
 He lost all that he had doe.

Vide Hist. et Antiq. Univers. Oxon. l. i. p. 82.

(*m*) “ Gratia est bona voluntas Dei, quâ vult nobis dare quod non meruimus, ut nobis ex dato benè sit, et non ut ipsi donanti aliquid inde proveniat. Patet itaque, quòd omne bonum, quod in nobis est, sive sit gratuitum, sive naturale, à gratiâ Dei est; quia nullum est bonum, quod ipse non velit esse: et ejus velle est facere. Non est igitur bonum, quod ipse non faciat. Aversionem igitur voluntatis à malo et conversionem ad bonum, et perseverantiam in bono, ipse facit. Bona autem voluntas, quâ est homo conformis voluntati divine, est gratia data à gratiâ quæ est voluntas divina: et tunc dicitur gratia infundi, cum voluntas divina in nostram voluntatem incipit operari.” Grossthead, De Grat. et Justif. In Fascic. Rer. vol. ii. p. 282.

while he was archdeacon of Leicester. "Nothing that occurs in your letters, ought to give me more pain, than your styling me, a person invested with authority, and endued with brightness of knowledge. So far am I from being of your opinion, that I feel myself unfit even to be a disciple to a man of authority; and perceive myself enveloped with the darkness of ignorance, as to innumerable matters which are objects of knowledge. But, did I in reality possess any of those high qualities, which you ascribe to me; he alone would be worthy of the praise, and it would all be referrible to him, unto whom we daily say, Not unto us, O Lord, not unto us, but to thy name give the glory (*n*)."<sup>n</sup> The same spirit of modesty and self-abasement accompanied him to the episcopal chair. Hence he usually styled himself, in his subsequent Letters, *Robertus, permissione Divinâ, Lincolnensis Ecclesiæ Minister humilis*; "Robert, by Divine permission, the poor minister of the church of Lincoln (*o*)."<sup>o</sup>

I acknowledge, that, on the subjects of grace and free-will, Grosthead does not always preserve an invariable consistency. The wonder, however, ought to be, not that he saw no better, but that he saw so

(*n*) "Nihil autem, in literis vestris, mihi magis debet esse molestum, quàm quod dixistis, quocunque animo illud dixeritis, me virum autoritate et scientiæ claritate præditum. Cùm adhuc ad discipulatum viri authenticum me sentiam minùs idoneum, et innumerabilium sciendorum ignorantiae tenebris perfusum. Quòd si aliquid horum esset in me, ille solus ex his laudandus, et totum illi tribuendum, cui quotidie dicimus, non nobis, Domine, non nobis, sed nomini tuo, da gloriam." Idem. Ibid. p. 309.

(*o*) Similar was the humility of the ever memorable bishop Hall; whose last will began thus: "In the name of God, Amen. I, Joseph Hall, D. D. not worthy to be called bishop of Norwich, &c." Fuller's Worthies, part ii. p. 130. Still more demiss were the modesty and self-abasement of that thrice eminent prodigy of holiness, Mr. Bradford, the martyr: who subscribed himself, The sinful John Bradford: a very painted hypocrite, John Bradford: the most miserable, hard-hearted, and unthankful sinner, John Bradford. See Fox's Mart. vol. iii.

well as he did. Like Apollos, he was, as to the main, eloquent, mighty in the scriptures, fervent in spirit, speaking and teaching boldly the things of the Lord : though, like the same excellent Alexandrian, he sometimes needed an Aquila and Priscilla to expound to him the way of God more perfectly (*p*).

III. John de Wickliff, surnamed The Evangelical Doctor, enlightened and adorned the succeeding century. He was born in the parish of Wickliff, near Richmond, in Yorkshire, about A. D. 1324. The historical particulars, relative to the life of this extraordinary man, are so interesting and numerous, that I forbear to enter on them lest they lead me too far.

Mr. Guthrie, in his History of England, observes, that Wickliff “seems to have been a strong predestinarian (*q*).” It will presently appear, that he more than seemed to have been such ; and that Luther and Calvin themselves were not stronger predestinarians than Wickliff. I shall open the evidence with two propositions, extracted from his own writings :

1. “The prayer of the reprobate prevaieth for no man.

2. “All things that happen, do come absolutely of necessity (*r*).”

The manner in which this great harbinger of the reformation defended the latter proposition, plainly shows him to have been (notwithstanding Guthrie’s insinuation to the contrary) a deep and skilful disputant. “Our-Lord,” says he, “affirmed that such or such an event should come to pass. Its accomplishment, therefore, was unavoidable. The antecedent is infallible : by parity of argument, the consequent is so too. For the consequent is not in the power of a created being, forasmuch as Christ af-

(*p*) Acts xviii. 24—26.

(*q*) See Rolt’s Lives of Reformers, p. 10.

(*r*) Fox’s Acts and Mon. vol. i. p. 513.

firmed so many things" [before they were brought to pass]. "Neither did Christ [pre-] affirm any thing accidentally. Seeing then, that his affirmation was not accidental, but necessary; it follows, that the event, affirmed by him, must be necessary likewise. This argument," adds Wickliff, "receives additional strength, by observing, that, in what way soever God may declare his will, by his after-discoveries of it in time; still, his determination concerning the event, took place before the world was made: ergò, the event will surely follow. The necessity, therefore, of the antecedent, holds no less irrefragably for the necessity of the consequent. And who can either promote or hinder the inference, viz. That this was decreed of God before the formation of the world (s)?" I will not undertake to justify the whole of this paragraph. I can only meet the excellent man half way. I agree with him as to the necessity of events: but I cannot, as he evidently did, suppose God himself to be a necessary agent, in the utmost sense of the term. That God acts in the most exact conformity to his own decrees, is a truth which scripture asserts again and again: but that God was absolutely free in decreeing, is no less asserted by the inspired writers; who, with one voice, declare the Father's predestination, and subsequent disposal of all things, to be entirely founded, not on any antecedent necessity, but on the single sovereign pleasure of his own will.

(s) "Christus asseruit, hoc esse futurum: ergò, hoc est, fuit, vel erit. Antecedens est necessarium: ergò, et consequens. Non enim est in potestate creaturæ; quando Christus talia multa asseruit. Nec assertio animæ Christi per accidens est hujusmodi: et ideò, sicut necessariò Christus illud asseruit, ità necessario illud eveniet. Confirmat hoc: quocumque futuro signato, ante mundi constitutionem Deus determinavit hoc fore. Ergo, hoc erit. Quanta ergo erit necessitas in antecedente, tanta est necessitas in consequente. Et quis enim potest facere vel impedire, quin Deus determinavit hoc ante mundi constitutionem?" Wickliff, in *Trialog.* vide *Fascic. Rer.* vol. i. p. 256.



The quotation, however, proves that Wickliff was an absolute necessitarian. And he improves, with great solidity and acuteness, the topic of prophecy into (what it most certainly is) a very strong argument for predestination. As the prophecies of the Old and New Testaments are such an evidence of the divine inspiration of the sacred writers, and such a proof of Christianity, as all the infidels in the world will never be able to overthrow; so, on the other hand, those same prophecies conclude, to the full, as strongly in favour of peremptory predestination. For, if events were undecreed, they would be unforeknown: and if unforeknown, they could not be infallibly (*t*) predicted. To say, that ‘events may be foreknown, without falling under any effective or permissive decree;’ would be saying either nothing to the purpose, or worse than nothing. For, if God can, with certainty, foreknow any event whatever, which he did not previously determine to accomplish or permit; and that event, barely foreknown, but entirely undecreed, be so certainly fu-

(*t*) It is very observable, that Wickliff’s argument for predestination, drawn from the prophecies of our Lord, and cited at large in the preceding note, so puzzled the then archbishop of Armagh (whose name I know not, nor do I think it worth hunting out), that it furnished his popish grace with employment for two years together, to reconcile the free-will of man with the certain completion of prophecy. A task, however, which after all his labour, the Romish prelate found too hard for him. Yet, his lordship, that he might not be forced to acknowledge predestination and give up free-will, thought proper to give up the infallible prescience of Christ himself; blasphemously affirming, that “it was possible for Christ to be mistaken in his prophecies, and to misinform his church as to future events.” The passage is so uncommon, that I will give it in the writer’s own words. “Dicit adversarius [scil. Wickliff], quoad istud argumentum, dominum Armachanum per duos annos studuisse pro ejus dissolutione, et finalitèr nescivit (ut dicit) alitèr evadere, nisi concedendo, quod Christus errasse potuit, et ecclesiam decepisse. Quam conclusionem nullus Catholicus (ut dicit Wickliff) concederet. Et sic videtur ponere Dominum Armachanum extra numerum Catholicorum.” Gulielm. Wodford contra Wicklesum. Vide Fascic. Rer. vol. i. p. 256.

ture, as to furnish positive ground for unerring prophecy; it would follow, 1. That God is dependent, for his knowledge, on the things known; instead of all things being dependent on him: and, 2. That there is some extraneous concatenation of causes, prior to the will and knowledge of God, by which his will is regulated, and on which his knowledge is founded. Thus Arminianism, in flying from the decree, jumps over head and ears into the most dangerous and exceptionable part of that very stoicism, which she pretends to execrate and avoid.

I return now to doctor Wickliff, whose strictures led me into this digression.

What he little more than intimates, in the citation given above; he delivered, it seems, more plainly and peremptorily, elsewhere. Among the 62 articles, laid to his charge by Thomas Netter (commonly called, Thomas of Walden, who flourished about the year 1409), and for which, that writer refers to the volume and chapter of Wickliff's works; are these three:

That "all things come to pass by fatal necessity:

That "God could not make the world otherwise than it is made: and,

That "God cannot do any thing, which he doth not do (*u*)."

This is fatalism with a witness. And I cite these propositions, not to depreciate Dr. Wickliff, whose character I admire and revere, as one of the greatest and best since the apostolic age; nor yet with a view to recommend the propositions themselves: but,

(*u*) Fuller's Church Hist. b. iv. p. 134. What this valuable historian premises, concerning Wickliff, before he enters on his account of him, deserves to be quoted. "I intend," says Dr. Fuller, "neither to deny, dissemble, defend, nor excuse, any of his faults. We have this treasure saith the apostle, in earthen vessels: and he that shall endeavour to prove a pitcher of clay to be a pot of gold, will take great pains to small purpose. Yea, should I be over officious to retain myself to plead for Wickliff's faults, that glorious saint would sooner chide than thank me."

simply, to show, how far this illustrious reformer ran, from the present Arminian system, or rather no-system of chance and free-will. But, concerning even those of Wickliff's assertions, which were the most rash and unguarded; candour (not to say, justice) obliges me to observe, with Fuller, that, were all his works extant, "we might therein read the occasion, intention, and connection, of what he spake: together with the limitations, restrictions, distinctions and qualifications, of what he maintained. There we might see, what was the overplus of his passion, and what the just measure of his judgment. Many phrases, heretical in sound, would appear orthodox in sense. Yea, some of his [reputedly-] poisonous passages, dressed with due caution, would prove not only wholesome, but cordial truths: many of his expressions wanting, not *granum ponderis*, but *granum salis*; no weight of truth, but some grains of discretion (*x*)."

What I shall next add, may be rather styled bold truths, than indiscreet assertions. He defined the church to consist only of persons predestinated. And affirmed, that God loved David and Peter as dearly when they grievously sinned, as he doth now when they are possessed of glory (*y*). This latter position might, possibly, have been more unexceptionably expressed; be it substantially, ever so true.

Wickliff was sound in the article of gratuitous pardon and justification by the alone death and righteousness of Jesus Christ. "The merit of Christ," says he, "is, of itself, sufficient to redeem every man from hell. It is to be understood of a sufficiency of itself, without any other concurring cause. All that follow Christ, being justified by his righteousness, shall be saved, as his off-

(*x*) Ibid. p. 135.

(*y*) Ibid. p. 134.

spring ( $\varepsilon$ ).” It has been already observed, and proved, that he had very high notions of that inevitable necessity, by which he supposed every event is governed. Yet, he did not enthusiastically sever the end from the means. Witness his own words: “Though all future things do happen necessarily, yet God wills that good things happen to his servants through the efficacy of prayer ( $a$ ).” Upon the whole, it is no wonder that such a profligate factor for popery and Arminianism as Peter Heylin, should (pro more) indecently affirm, that “Wickliff’s field had more tares than wheat; and his books more heterodoxes than sound catholic doctrine ( $b$ ).”

His character, as briefly drawn by bishop Newton, and a word or two from Mr. Rolt, shall conclude his article. Bishop Newton terms him, “the deservedly famous John Wickliff, the honour of his own, and the admiration of all succeeding times. Rector only of Lutterworth [in Leicestershire] he filled all England, and almost all Europe, with his doctrine. He began to grow famous, about the year 1360. He ( $c$ ) translated the canonical scrip-

( $\varepsilon$ ) See Allix’s Remarks on the Albigenses, chap. xxiv. p. 229. Dr. Allix farther observes, that Wickliff “rejects the doctrine of the merit of works, and falls upon those who say, that ‘God did not all for them,’ but think that ‘their merits help.’ Heal us, Lord, for nought, says Wickliff; that is, for no merit of ours, but for thy mercy.” Ibid. p. 229, 230.

( $a$ ) See Allix. u. s. p. 235.

( $b$ ) Miscell. Tracts, p. 543.

( $c$ ) A specimen or two of Wickliff’s translation of the New Testament, into the old English of that period, may not be displeasing to the reader.

“Matth. xi. 25, 26. In thilke tyme Jhesus answeride and seid, I knowleche to thee, Fadir, Lord of hevene and of earthe, for thou hast hid these thingis fro wise men and redy, and hast schewid hem to litil children. So, Fadir; for so it was plesynge to fore thee.

“John x. 26—30. Ye beleven not, for ye ben not of my scheep. My scheep heren my vois, and I knowe hem, and thei suen me. And I gyve to hem everlastynge life, and thei schulen not perische, withouten end; and noon schal rauysche hem fro myn hand. That thing that my Fadir gaf to me, is more than alle thingis: and no man may rauysche from my Fadirs hond. I and the Fadir ben oon.

tures into the English language, and wrote comments upon them. He demonstrated the antichristianity of popery, and the abomination of desolation in the temple of God.—His success was greater than he could have expected. The princes, the people, the university of Oxford, many even of the clergy, favoured and supported him, and embraced his opinions.—This truly great and good man died of a [second stroke of the] palsy, the last day of the year 1387. But his doctrines did not die with him. His books were read in the public schools and colleges at Oxford, and were recommended to the diligent perusal of each student in the university, till they were condemned and prohibited, by the council of Constance, in the next century. He himself had been permitted to die in peace; but, after his death, his doctrines were condemned [again], his books were burnt, his very body was dug up and burnt too, by a decree of the council of Constance, and the command of pope Martin V. executed by Richard Fleming, bishop of Lincoln. His followers, however, were not discouraged; and many

“Romans ix. 11—21. Whanne thei weren not ghit bornu, neiþir hadden doon ony thing of good, eithir of yvel; that the purpos of God schulde dwell bi eleccioan, not of workis, but of God clepyng; it was seid to him, that the more schulde serve the lesse: as it is writun, I louyde Jacob, but I hatide Esau. What therefore schuden we seie? wher wickidnesse be aentis God? God ferbede. For he seith to Moises, I schal have mercy on whom I have mercy, and I schal gyve mercy on whom I have mercy. Therefore, it is not neither of man willynge, neither reanynge; but of God hauynge mercy. And the scripture seith to Farao, For to this thing have I styrrid thee, that I schewe in thee my vertu, and that my name be teeld in al erthe. Therefore, of whom God wole, he hath mercy: and whom he wole, he endureth. Thanne seith thou to me, what is sought ghit, for who withstondith his will? Oo man, what art thou that answerist to God! Wher a maad thing seith to him that made it, What hast thou maad me so? Wher a pottere of cley hath not power to make, of the same gobet, oo vessel into onour, a nothir into dispyt!” Taken from Lewis’ edition of Wickliff’s Translation of the New Testament.—London, 1731, folio.

of them witnessed a good confession even unto death (*d*).”

“I am informed,” says Mr. Rolt (*e*), “by a gentleman, who lives near Lutterworth, that the gown, which Dr. Wickliff wore, now covers the communion table in that church (*f*). And, as this eminent man may justly be considered as the author of the reformation, not only in England, but throughout all Europe; surely, some decent respect should be paid to his worth, and a public monument erected to his memory. The Wickliffites were oppressed, but could not be extinguished. Persecution served only to establish that faith which became general at the reformation, about a hundred years after these restraints were moderated. The whole nation then unanimously embraced the doctrine, which Wickliff began; and popery was abolished in England, that the purity of religion might increase the blessings of liberty.” Let me just add; surely, Arminianism must blush to call herself protestant, when he, whom all unite to consider as (under God) the “author of the reformation, not in England only, but in all Europe,” was not merely a Calvinist, but more than a Calvinist; and carried the doctrine of predestination to such an extreme height, as even Luther, Calvin, and Zanchius, did not fully come up to. Mr. Hume is sufficiently moderate, and not at all above par, in affirming Wickliff to have “asserted, that every thing was subject to fate and destiny, and that all men are predestinated either to eternal salvation or reprobation (*g*).”

IV. Thomas Bradwardin, personal chaplain to king Edward III. and at last archbishop of Canter-

(*d*) Dissertations on the Prophecies, vol. iii. Diss. 24. part 1.

(*e*) Lives of the Reformers, p. 12.

(*f*) I, too, remember to have heard (but how authentically I cannot affirm) that the pulpit in which Wickliff used to preach, is still preserved in the church of Lutterworth.

(*g*) Hume's Hist. of Engl. vol. iii. p. 57. octavo, 1767.

bury, may rank with the brightest luminaries, of whom this or any other nation can boast. Mr. Camden observes, that Bradwardin Castle, in Herefordshire, "gave both original and name" to this famous archbishop; "who, for his great variety of knowledge, and his admirable proficiency in the most abstruse parts of learning, was honoured with the title of Dr. Profundus (*h*)," or the profound doctor. That his ancestors had been seated in that part of Herefordshire mentioned above, is admitted by the general stream of writers, who have treated of this great man. But he himself was certainly born in Sussex. Sir Henry Savile seems to have had very sufficient reason for determining our prelate's birth-place to the city of Chichester (*i*). The year that gave him to the world, was, probably, 1290, about the middle of Edward I.'s reign. During the reign of Edward II. he was admitted into Merton college, Oxford: and was proctor of the university, A. D. 1325. He made himself perfect master of the philosophy of Aristotle and Plato. But his chief talent lay in Mathematics and Theology: to these he devoted his main application, and in these he distanced the brightest of his cotemporaries. Sir Henry Savile had in his possession a large manuscript volume of astronomical tables, composed by this extraordinary man; on which that most learned

(*h*) Camden's Britannia, vol. i. col. 686.

(*i*) "De loco nativitatis, putabam aliquando apud Bradwardin castrum et vicum natum fuisse:—sed me ab hac sententiâ non improbabiliter revocârunt expressa verba ipsius Bradwardini ubi non obscure, ut mihi vitetur, innuit, se Cicestriâ oriundum. Verba sunt: Per similem etiâ rationem quicquid nunc scribo Oxoniæ, scriberet pater meus Cicestriæ; quia genuit me scribentem inò avus et proavus. &c. (De causâ Dei, l. 3. cap. 22.) Ut non multâ aberrasse videantur Baleus, et antiquitatum Britannicarum auctor, qui Hartfeldiæ natum asserunt, in diœcesi Cicestrensi: quibus auctoribus, aut quibus permoti argumentis, nescio. Apud me, certè illa auctoris verba præponderant, dum aliquid certius ab aliis afferatur." Savillii præf. ad lect. Bradwardini operi, de causâ Dei prefix.

writer set a very high value, and of which he speaks in very respectable terms.

If sir Henry admired Bradwardin as a philosopher; he revered and was in raptures with him, as a divine. "It was in divinity," says he, "that the archbishop snatched the prize from all his coevals. That single volume [De Causâ Dei,] of which I am the editor, written to unravel and expose the falsehood of pelagianism is alone sufficient to crown him with the most consummate theologian of that century. We have the sad, but resistless conviction of experience, that the pelagian heresy has been a growing evil, for ages back. To this, therefore, our accomplished author opposed his artillery. Some lectures, which he had formerly delivered at Oxford, were the basis of this noble performance. At the earnest entreaty of the Merton Students, to whom those lectures had been read, he arranged, polished, enlarged, and reduced them into form, while he was chancellor of the diocese of London. No sooner was the work completed and given to the public, than vast multitudes of hands were employed in transcribing it, and copies of it were diffused throughout the greatest part of Europe. No treatise could be more eagerly sought and received. Hardly a library was without it. It captivated the very muses; for Chaucer, the father of English poetry, who flourished within a few years after the archbishop's decease, puts him in the same rank with St. Austin, in these lines, so pleasingly remarkable for their antique simplicity of style:

"But what that God afore wote, must needs bee,  
 After the opinion of certain clerkis.  
 Witnessse of him that any clerke is,  
 That in schole is great altercation  
 In this matter, and great disputation,  
 And hath been of an hundred thousand men.  
 But I ne cannot boult it to the bren,



As can the holy doctour Saincte Austin,  
Or Boece, or the bishop Bradwardin (*h*).”

Our excellent prelate, being a most exact mathematician, has, conformably to the rules of the science he so much admired, thrown his theological arguments into mathematical order: and, I believe, was the first divine who pursued that method. Hence, his book against the pelagians is, from beginning to end, one regular, strong unbroken chain. This does, indeed, render his work abstruse and difficult, in some measure, to such as peruse it superficially: but, at the same time, it conduces to make his reasonings intrinsically firm, conclusive, and invincible (*l*).

Having, for some years, sat as Divinity Professor at Oxford, with the most exalted reputation; he was admitted to the friendship of Richard de Bury, the learned bishop of Durham: and, at length, went to live with him as one of his family. Seven other persons (mostly Merton men) conspicuous for genius and learning, were also transplanted, from Oxford, to the house of that munificent prelate, who had a very high relish for the pleasures and improvements resulting from literary conversation (*m*).

Such was the modesty of Bradwardin, that his preferments flowed in upon him, not only unsought, but undesired. It was with great difficulty, that he

(*h*) Chaucer's lines have, perhaps, at present, little else, besides their rust, to recommend them. But sir H. Savile's version of them into Latin, is highly elegant and classical.

Non evenire non protest, quicquid Deus  
Præscivit: ità fert crebra doctorum cohirs.  
Ilic literatum quem libet testem voco,  
Quantis utrinque fluctibus lis hæc scholas  
Trivit, teritque: penè inextricabili  
Ingenia nodo centies mille implicans.  
Excutere nudos hæc adusque furfures  
(Quod ab Augustino præstitum, et Boethio,  
Ac Bradwardino episcopo) non sum potis.

(*l*) Savil. in Præf. u. s.

(*m*) Anglia Sacra, vol. i. p. 766. Edit. 1691.

was prevailed upon to let a canonry of Lincoln be annexed to his chancellorship of London, though the revenue of the latter was far from large. At length, his vast learning and the invariable purity of his life, rendered him so famous, that he was nominated by John Stratford, then archbishop of Canterbury, to be chaplain to his sovereign, king Edward III. In this capacity, he attended that great prince, during his long and successful wars in France. With a warpless integrity, rarely found in those who wait on kings, he made it his business to calm and mitigate the fierceness of his master's temper, when he saw him either immoderately fired with warlike rage, or unduly flushed with the advantages of victory. Nor were his piety and watchfulness limited to his monarch. He often preached to the army with such meekness and persuasiveness of wisdom, as restrained them from many of those savage violences, which are too frequently the attendants on military success.

On the death of Stratford, the church of Canterbury unanimously chose Bradwardin for their archbishop. But the king being still engaged in France, refused to part with him. John Ufford was then put in nomination for that see: but he dying soon after his election, Bradwardin was chosen a second time, and the king yielded to the choice. He was, accordingly, consecrated at (*n*) Avignon, in 1319, and returned into England soon after. But he did not long adorn the metropolitical chair. He died, at Lambeth, the October following (*o*); and was interred in St. Anselm's chapel, by the south wall,

(*n*) Bradwardin was a known predestinarian: a circumstance, which, by no means, weighed in his favour with the pope. Accordingly, on the day of the archbishop's consecration, after the ceremony was over, he was insulted, as he sat at dinner, by a buffoon mounted on an ass for that purpose. The person who procured him this low affront, was the cardinal of Tudela, the pope's near kinsman.—*Anglia Sacra*, vol. i. p. 43.

(*o*) Vide Savil. *ubi supra*.

within the cathedral of Canterbury: disgraced with a most wretched (*p*) epitaph, which is only worthy of preservation for its having once marked the tomb of so great a man.

I have dwelled the longer on the outlines of Bradwardin's history, because I find them so superficially hurried over by the generality of our English writers. A species of negligence, not easily excusable, where a character so peculiarly illustrious, was the object of investigation.

The protestant cause is more indebted to this extraordinary prelate, than seems to be commonly known. He was, in some sense, Dr. Wickliff's spiritual father: for it was the perusal of Bradwardin's writings, which, next to the holy scriptures, opened that proto-reformer's eyes to discover the genuine doctrine of faith and justification. "Bradwardin taught him" [i. e. taught Wickliff] "the nature of a true and justifying faith, in opposition to merit-mongers and pardoners, purgatory and pilgrimages (*q*)."

I now beg my reader's permission to lay before him a few passages from Bradwardin's golden work, entitled, "The Cause of God:" written as an antidote against the pelagian poison, and to demonstrate the absoluteness both of providence and grace. This inestimable performance was printed, A. D. 1618, by the united care (and, it should seem, at the joint expence) of the pious Dr. George Abbot, archbishop of Canterbury, and the most learned sir Henry Savile.

- (*p*) Doctor doctorum Bradwardin hâc jacet urnâ,  
 Norma pastorum laudabilis et diuturna.  
 Qui invidiâ caruit, vitam sine crimine duxit,  
 Et ex ore suo quicquid sit scibile fluxit.  
 Nullus sub sole est, cui sic fuere omnia nota.  
 Cantia, nunc dole: tristeris et Anglia tota.  
 Vos qui et transitis hic omnes, atque reditis,  
 Dicite quòd Christi pietas sit promptior isti.

Weever's Ant. Funeral Mon. p. 25.

(*q*) History of Popery, vol. ii. p. 164.

Bradwardin laments the pelagianism of his own times, in terms but too applicable to the present: "What multitudes, O Lord, at this day, join hands with Pelagius, in contending for free-will, and in fighting against thy absolutely free grace; and against that great spiritual champion for grace, the apostle Paul! By how many is thy unmerited grace looked upon with scornful abhorrence, while they proudly insist, that free-will alone is sufficient to salvation! or, if they make use of the word grace, and slightly pretend to believe that grace is necessary; to what purpose is this pretence, while they boast of its being in the power of free-will to lay thy grace under obligation? thus making grace itself no longer gratuitous, but representing thee as selling it, instead of giving it (*r*).

"Some, more haughty than even Lucifer, are not content with barely lifting themselves to an equality with thee; but are most daringly desirous to govern and control thee, who art the King of kings. Such are they, who dread not to affirm, that, even in a common action, their own will walks first, as an independent mistress; and that thy will follows after, like an obsequious handmaid: that they themselves go foremost, like sovereign lords; while thou walkest behind them, like a hired servant: that they issue their orders, as kings; and that thou, like an implicit subject, actest according to the imperial nod of their determining will (*s*)."

(*r*) "Quot Domine, hodiè cum Pelagio pro libero arbitrio contra gratuitam gratiam tuam, pugnant et contra Paulum, pugilem gratiæ spirituales! Quot etiam hodiè gratuitam gratiam tuam fastidiunt, solumque liberum arbitrium ad salutem sufficere stomachantur! Aut si gratiã utantur, vel perfunctorie necessariam eam simulant, ipsamque se jactant liberi sui arbitrii viribus promereri; ut sic saltem nequaquam gratuita, sed vendita videatur!" Bradw. De Caus. Dei, in præf.

(*s*) "Imò et superiores Lucifero, æqualitate tui nequaquam contenti, super te, rex regum, impudentissime gestiunt se regnare. Non enim verentur astrure, suam voluntatem, in actione communi,

By such nervous reasoning, and by such well adapted images, did this Christian hero cut in sunder the very sinews of what was then termed antecedent merit; but which is now suppld into the smoother phrase of, "conditional grace:" the same thing in sense, though of softer sound.

Among the first positions, which Bradwardin undertakes to prove, are these: that "God is, not contingently, but necessarily, perfect. That he is incapable of changing. That he is not (for instance) irascible and appeasable; liable to the emotions of joy and sorrow; or, in any respect, passive. Since, if he were, he would be changeable: whereas he is always the same, and never varies. He cannot change, for the better: because," says Bradwardin, "He is already perfectly good [and happy]. Neither can he change, for the worse: because, he is necessarily perfect, and therefore cannot cease to be so (*t*)."

He justly observes, that "the divine will is universali<sup>ter</sup> efficac, universally efficacious: which is a mark of much higher perfection, than if his will could be hindered, frustrated, or miss of its intent. If God could wish for any thing, and yet not have it; or if he could will any thing, and yet not bring it to pass, he would and must, from that moment, cease to be perfectly happy: which is impossible (*u*)."  
The consequence is plain: viz.; that every thing falls out according to God's original design, or effective and permissive determination.

præire, ut Dominam; tuam subsequi, ut ancillam: se præire, ut Dominos; te subsequi, sicut servum: se velet reges, præcipere; te, tanquam subditum, obedire." Ibid.

(*t*) De Causâ Dei, lib. i. cap. i. corol. vi. p. 5.

(*u*) "Voluntas quoque divina est universali èr efficac modo dicto. Hoc enim est perfectius, quàm quòd esset impedibilis, frustrabilis, aut defectibilis ullo modo. Si etiam Deus quicquam veliet, et illud non haberet, nec fieret; non esset summè beatus et fœlix, sed miser." Ibid. Corol. viii.

He powerfully beats down the doctrine of human merit. He will not allow, that men can merit at the hand of God, either antecedently, or subsequently, i. e. either prior to grace received, or after it. Is it not more bountiful to give, than to barter? to bestow a thing freely, gratis, and for nothing; than for the sake of any preceding or subsequent desert, which would be a sort of price or payment? Even a generous man often confers benefits on others, without any view to the previous or succeeding merit of the object. Much more does God do this, who is infinitely richer in bounty, than the most liberal of his creatures (x).” From this, and a hundred other passages to the same effect, it is evident, that, where he applies the word meritum to any human act of obedience, he means no more by it, than moral goodness and virtue, as opposed to sin and vice: in which sense the term merit is incontestably used by several of the primitive fathers; though the word has been long and justly reprobated by all sound divines, on account of the anti-christian use that is made of it by papists and pelagians.

From that declaration of our Lord, My Father worketh hitherto, and I work, and from that assertion of the apostle, in him we live, and are moved [*κινεῖμεθα*], and exist; the archbishop infers, 1. “That no thing whatever can put any other thing into motion unless God himself, by his own proper influence, give motion to the thing so moved: 2. That no thing whatever can put any other into motion, without God’s being the immediate mover of it: Yea, 3. That whatsoever is put in motion by any thing else, is more immediately moved by God

(x) “Et nonne liberalius est, dare, quàm vendere? gratis dare, quàm pro aliquo merito, veluti quodam præmio, præcedenti, aut etiam consequenti? Nonne homo liberalis multa sic donat? Cur ergò non Deus, liberalior infinite?” Ibid. Corollar xxix. p. 23.

himself, than by the instrument which sets it in motion, be that instrument what it will (*y*).” This is winding up matters to a very high standard. And yet, perhaps, the standard is no higher than philosophy itself can justify. But my readers will observe, that I am neither dictating to them, nor so much as giving my own express opinion. My present business is, to quote Bradwardin, simply as his judgment stands. “God,” says he, “maketh all things, and moveth all things. In every formation, and in every motion, there must be some unoriginated former, and some immoveable mover; else the process would be endless (*z*).” His meaning is, that, unless we trace up all being, and all philosophic motion (whether active motion, or passive), to God himself, we can find no first cause, wherein to rest: we can have no central point to stop at, but shall be lost amid the immense circumference of boundless, wild uncertainty.

What he delivers concerning the knowledge of God, is worthy of our utmost attention. “It is certain, that God hath a knowledge of all things present, of all things past, and of all things to come: which knowledge is supremely actual, particular, distinct, and (*a*) infallible (*b*). We may consider it

(*y*) “1. Quòd nihil potest quicquam movere, sine Deo idem, per se, et proprie, movente. 2. Quòd nihil potest quicquam movere, sine Deo immediate idem movente. 3. Quòd nihil potest quicquam movere, sine Deo idem movente immediatius alio motore quocunque.” Ibid. lib. i. cap. iv. p. 174.

(*z*) “Constat si quidem, secundum præmissa tertio hujus et quarto, quòd Deus omnia facit et movet: et in omni factione et motione est aliquis factor et motor infactibilis et immobilis. Alioquin esset processus infinitus.” Ibid. cap. v. p. 175.

(*a*) The certainty and necessity of every future event, follow as strongly on the principle of God’s foreknowledge, or omniscience, as they can possibly do, on the hypothesis of the most adamant decree. Moreover, the very same arguments, by which the divine knowledge of future events is proved, are no less conclusive against that false species of capricious free-will which the Arminians so lavishly ascribe to mankind. That God’s omniscience and the Ar-

as either simple, or approbative. His simple or absolute knowledge extends to every thing. His knowledge of approbation includes (over and above the former) the liking, the good pleasure, and complacency of will, which he graciously bears to some persons (*c*).” This distinction of the divine know-

minian free agency, cannot possibly stand together, is acknowledged by the eminent and penetrating Mr. Montesquieu; who, accordingly, puts the following arguments into the mouth of his supposed Persian. “It is not possible, that God can foresee what depends on the determination of free agents. Because, what hath not existed, is not in being; and, consequently, cannot be known: which, having no properties, cannot be perceived. God cannot read in the will, what is not in it: or see in the soul, a thing which is not yet existing in it: for, till she hath determined, the action which she determines upon is not in her. The soul is the maker of her own determination: but there are some circumstances, in which she is so irresolute, that she knows not on which side to determine. Sometimes she may even do it, only to make use of her liberty; in such manner that God cannot see this determination beforehand, neither in the action of the soul, nor in the actions which the objects make upon her. How then can God foresee those things which depend upon the determination of free agents? He could foresee them but in two ways: by conjecture; which is irreconcilable with infinite foreknowledge, or, otherwise, he must see them as necessary effects, which infallibly follow a cause which produces them as infallibly.” This consummate genius, presently after, observes, that, “supposing God to foresee in the latter respect, the idea of absolute free agency vanishes that instant: since the soul in her act of determining, would no more be free, than one billiard ball is free to lie still when it is pushed by another.” See Montesquien’s Persian Letters, vol. i. let. lxix. Edit. 1762.

The matter, then, is reduced to this issue: either God must be stripped of his omniscience; or men must be divested of independent and uncertain free-will. If one stands, the other must fall. Query: which had we best give up? Shall we commence atheists? or shall we confess ourselves dependent beings? What the apostle says, on another occasion, I, for my own part, make no scruple to say here: Let God be true, and every man a liar. Let the knowledge of God be infinite, though it shrivel human freedom to a span. Better is it, not to rob God of an essential attribute, than to crown ourselves with an ideal plume, or rather a diadem of straw, and trample on real deity by supposing ourselves kings and gods.

(*b*) *Ibid.* cap. vi. page 181.

(*c*) “*Scientia Dei est duplex: scil. simplicis cognitiones, seu notitiæ; et approbationis et complacentiæ, quæ, ultra simplicem cogni-*



ledge into absolute and approbatory, is founded on clear scripture evidence. Of the first, see John xxi. 17. 1 John iii. 20. Of the latter, John x. 14. 2 Tim. ii. 19.

He employs a whole (*d*) chapter in proving, *Quod res scitæ non sunt causæ divinæ scientiæ*: or, that “the things known are not the foundation of God’s knowing them.” This to some, may seem a question of unnecessary speculation: but, on a nearer view it must appear to be a point of the utmost importance, in which the perfection (and consequently, the very being) of God are deeply involved. A summary of Bradwardin’s reasoning on this subject, deserves to be lain before the reader. “Knowledge is a principal perfection in God. If, therefore, his knowledge were derived from the objects with which it is conversant, it would follow, that God is indebted, for part of his perfection, to some other source than himself: in which case, he must cease to be self-perfect. He would, moreover, cease to be all-sufficient of himself: for he would stand in need of created help, to render his knowledge complete. His omniscience would be forced to ask assistance from the very things it comprehends. And how could his essential glory be matchless and unrivalled, if any portion of it was suspended on assistance borrowed from without? Add to this, that if the things which God knows, are themselves the producing cause of his knowing them; they must be antecedent to his knowledge, either in commencement of existence, or in order of nature. But they are not prior to his knowledge in either of these respects: for they are all created in time: whereas God and his knowledge are eternal. Besides, if the Deity received any degree of his intelligence from the

tionem, seu notitiam, addit approbationem, beneplacitum, et complacentiam voluntatis.” *Ibid.* cap. vii. p. 138. ubi plura videsis.

(*d*) *Lib.* i. cap. xv.

beings he has made, he would cease to be a pure act : he would be passive, in that reception. Whence it would also follow, that he must be susceptible of change. Nay, he would degenerate into a sort of inferiority to the things known, and (being dependent on them for his knowledge) would, so far, be considered as less noble than they. The divine understanding, would, like ours, be occasionally in a state of suspence and fluctuation. God might rather be said to possess a power or capability of knowing, than knowledge itself. He would only stand disposed to know either this or that, indifferently, according as the event may turn : and would be actuated and determined by agency and casualty, extraneous to himself. And thus he would neither be the highest nor the first (*e*).” Swayed by such reasons as these, the archbishop concludes, that Averroes was right in affirming, that “the knowledge of God is a cause of the things known, and not vice versâ. Human knowledge is founded on its respective objects ; but all objects of the divine knowledge are founded on the divine knowledge itself (*f*).” He adds : “God himself is the first and the last, the

(*e*) “Scire namque est magnæ perfectionis in Deo. Si ergò scientia Dei causetur à scitis, ipse recipit perfectionem ab alio. Ergò, non est, ex se, summè perfectus. Item, tunc non esset per se sufficientissimus : indigeret enim scitis, à quibus posset suffragia suæ scientiæ mendicare. Quomodo ergo erit incomparabiliter gloriosus, qui mendicatis suffragiis gloriatur?—Item, si scita essent causæ effectivæ divinæ scientiæ, præcederent illa. Tempore, vel naturâ. Sed quomodo, cum ista sint temporalia, hæc æterna? Si etiam ità esset, Deus aliquo modo pateretur ab eis :—quare et, aliquo modo, similiter mutaretur. Quapropter et esset, quoquo modo, inferior et ignobilior rebus scitis. Item, tunc intellectus divinus de se esset in potentiâ et indifferentiâ ad sciendum hoc, vel suum oppositum ; et actuareter et determinareter per aliud, sicut noster : et sic non esset actus summus, nec primus.” *Ibid.* lib. i. cap. xv. p. 214, 215.

(*f*) “Sua [i. e. Dei] enim scientia est causa entis : ens autem nostræ scientiæ. Sententia Aristotelis et Averrois est, Deum non intelligere aliud à se, à quo perficiatur, vel quod sit causa intellectionis divinæ.” *Ibid.* p. 215. E.

beginning and the end (*g*). But were the things which he knows, the basis of his knowledge, it would follow, that his creatures contribute to improve their Maker's wisdom. And thus, foolish man, or even the meanest beast of the field, would be exalted into a necessary assistant, counsellor, and teacher of the all-wise God. Well, therefore, may we say, with Austin, God knew all his creatures, both corporeal and incorporeal, not because they exist; but they therefore exist, because he knew them: for he was not ignorant of what he intended to create. Amidst all the innumerable revolutions of advancing and departing ages, the knowledge of God is neither lessened nor improved. No incident can possibly arise, which thou didst not expect and foresee, who knowest all things: and every created nature is what it is, in consequence of thy knowing it as such (*h*)."

We are not to suppose, that Bradwardin contended for what may be called, the mere knowledge of God, nakedly and abstractedly considered. He asserted the infinity, the independency, and the efficacy of the divine knowledge, as founded on and resulting from the eternal sovereignty, and irresistibility, of the divine will. "The will of God," says he, "is universally efficacious and invincible, and necessitates as a cause. It cannot be impeded, much less can it be defeated and made void, by any

(*g*) Ibid. p. 217. D.

(*h*) "Dicitque Petrus Lombardus. Si scita essent causæ divinæ scientiæ, ipsa multa adjuvarent eum in sciendo et darent sibi consilium et ostenderent illi agenda: et sic fatuus homo, vel asinus, esset adjutor necessarius, consiliarius, et doctor sapientissimi Dei nostri. Item Augustinus: universas autem creaturas, suas, spirituales et corporales, non, quia sunt, ideò novit; sed ideò sunt, quia novit: non enim nescivit, quæ suerat creaturus. Cùm decedant et succedant tempora; non decedit aliquid, vel succedit, scientiæ Dei. Quid improvisum tibi, qui nosti omnia? Et nulla natura est, nisi quia nosti eam." Ibid. p. 217, 218.

means whatever (*i*).” What follows is extremely conclusive: “If you allow, 1. That God is able to do a thing: and, 2. That he is willing to do a thing; then, 3. I affirm, that thing will not, cannot, go unaccomplished. God either does it now, or will certainly do it at the destined season. Otherwise, he must either lose his power, or change his mind. He is in want of nothing that is requisite to carry his purposes into execution. Whence that remark of the philosopher: He, that hath both will and power to do a thing, certainly doth that thing (*k*).” Again: If the will of God could be frustrated and vanquished, its defeat would arise from the created wills, either of angels, or of men. But, could any created will whatever, whether angelic or human, counteract and baffle the will of God; the will of the creature must be superior, [either] in strength, [or in wisdom], to the will of the creator: which can by no means be allowed (*l*).” The absolute immutability of God effectually secures the infallible accomplishment of his will: whence our great English Austin justly observes, that “both the divine knowledge, and the divine will, are altogether unchangeable: since, was either one or the other to undergo any alteration, a change must fall on God himself (*m*).”

(*i*) “Nunc autem restat ostendere consequenter, quod divina voluntas est universaliter efficax, insuperabilis, et necessaria in causando; non impedibilis, nec frustalibus, alio modo.” Lib. i. cap. x. p. 195.

(*k*) “Quis ergo nesciat, optimè consequi, si Deus potest aliquid facere, et vult aliquid facere, facit illud; aut faciet pro tempore destinato, potentia et voluntate manente: nihil enim ei deest ad facere requisitum. Dicitque philosophus.—Si potuit, et voluit, egit: omnes enim, cum potentes velint, agunt.” Ibid.

(*l*) “Item, si voluntas divina frustraretur ab aliquo, vel etiam vinceretur; hoc maxime videretur à voluntate creatâ, angelica vel humanâ. Ergo hæc illam excederet in virtute: Quod I. suppositio non concedit.” Ibid.

(*m*) “Post hæc autem reputo demonstrandum, quòd tam scientia Dei, quam ejus voluntas, immutabilis sit omnino: si enim hæc mu-

Pursuant to these maxims, he affirms, that, "whatever things come to pass, they are brought to pass by the providence of God (*n*).” Nor could he suppose, that the great and blessed God is, in point of wisdom, fore-cast, and attention, inferior even to a prudent master of a family, who takes care of every thing that belongs to him; and makes provision beforehand, according to the best of his knowledge and power; and leaves nothing unregulated in his house, but exactly appoints the due time and place for every thing (*o*).”

The sentiments of this learned writer, relative to the doctrine of fate, are too judicious and important, to be wholly passed over. “We must,” says he, “beyond all doubt, admit, there is such a thing as a divine fate (*p*).” By a divine fate, he means, the decree which God hath irrevocably pronounced, or spoken: for he seems to agree with those who derive the word *Fatum*, either à *fando*, or from *fiat*; i. e. from God’s speaking or commanding things to be. Whence he adds: “Is it not written, that in the beginning of the creation, God said, *fiat lux*, let there be light, and there was light? Is it not written again, he spake and it was done? Now, that divine fate is chiefly a branch of the divine will, which is the efficacious cause of things (*q*).” This seems to have been the real sense, in which the doctrine of (*r*) fate was maintained by those of the ancients,

taretur, vel illa, commutaretur necessariò ipse Deus.” Lib. i. cap. xxiii. p. 237.

(*n*) “*Volutio Dei est efficax, nec potest frustrari: patent ergò, omnia, quæ eveniunt, à Divinâ Providentiâ evenire.*” Lib. i. cap. xxvii. p. 261.

(*o*) “*Item, bonus pater familias omnia eum concernentia curat, et providet, quantum scit et potest; nec quicquam relinquit inordinatum in domo, sed omnia suis locis et temporibus ordinat curiosè.*” Ibid. p. 262. A.

(*p*) “*Fatum verò divinum est procul dubio concedendum.*” Lib. i. cap. xxviii. p. 265.

(*q*) Ibid.

(*r*) “Virgil, in the beginning of his *Æneid*, says, every thing that happened to his hero was *Vi Superum*; and Homer says, The

who were truly wise and considerate. And, in this sense, fate is a Christian doctrine, in the strictest import of the word Christian. Nay, set aside fate, in this meaning of it, and I cannot see how either natural or revealed religion can stand. St. Austin was of the very same mind. "All that connection," says he, "and that train of causes, whereby every thing is what it is, are by the stoics, called fate: the whole of which fate, they ascribe to the will and power of the supreme God, whom they most justly believe to foreknow all things, and to leave nothing unordained. But it is the will itself of the supreme God, which they are chiefly found to call by the name of fate; because the energy of his will is unconquerably extended through all things (*s*)." Another passage of St. Austin's, quoted also by Bradwardin, is no less pertinent and judicious: "We are far from denying that train of causes, wherein the will of God has the grand sway. We avoid, however, giving it the name of fate; that is to say, unless you derive the word from *fando*. For we cannot but acknowledge, that it is written in the scriptures, God hath once spoken, and these two things have I heard, that power belongeth unto God; and that mercy is with thee, for thou wilt render to every man according to his works. Now, whereas it is here said, that God hath spoken once; the meaning is, that he hath spoken unchangeably

quarrel between Achilles and Agamemnon, with all its direful consequences, was by the will of Jove. When Cicero says, reason obliges us to own that every thing is done by fate; he means just the same by that word [*viz.* Fate,] as Homer does by  $\Delta\iota\omicron\varsigma\ \beta\epsilon\lambda\lambda\eta$ , and Virgil by his *Vi superum*: *Fatum est quod Dii fantur, vel quod Jupiter fatur* Cic. *de div.* 1. 55." Tindal's Abridgment of Spence's *Polymetis*, p. 29.

(*s*) *Stoici omnem connexionem seriemque causarum, quâ sit omne quod sit, fatum apellant: quod totum Dei summi tribuunt voluntati et potestati, qui veracissimè creditur cuncta præscire, et nihil inordinatum relinquere. Sed ipsam præcipuè Dei summi voluntatem cujus potestas insuperabiliter per cuncta porrigitur, fatum appellare, probantur.*" Augustin, *apud Bradwardin*, u. s.

and irreversibly: even as he foreknew all things that should come to pass, and the things which he himself would do. The kingdoms of men are absolutely appointed by divine providence. Which if any one is desirous, for that reason, to attribute to fate, meaning by that word, the will and power of God, let him hold fast the sentiment, and only correct the phrase (*t*).”

Bradwardin observes, that fate may be distinguished into active and passive. “Active fate is no other than the declaratory decree, or pronounced determination, of the will of God, considered as the disposer of all things. Passive fate may be taken, as the term itself imports, for that subjective effect and inherent tendency, with which things themselves are imbued, in consequence and by virtue of the aforesaid pronounced determination (*u*).” He adds, from Aristotle and Isidore, that the fable of the Three Fates is not without its reality. Atropos denoted what is past; Lachesis, the future; Clotho, the present. But all the three names were only designed to shadow forth God himself, as Plato strenuously affirms (*x*).”

(*t*) “Ordinem autem causarum, ubi voluntas Dei plurimum potest, neque negamus, neque fati vocabulo nuncupamus, nisi forte ut fatum à fando dictum intelligamus, id est, à loquendo. Non enim abnuere possumus esse scriptum in literis sanctis, semel locutus est Deus, duo hæc audivi, quoniam potestas Dei est; et tibi, domine, misericordia, quia tu reddes uni cuique secundum opera ejus. Quod enim dictum est, semel locutus; intelligitur, immobiliter: hoc est, incommutabiliter est locutus. Sicut novit incommutabiliter omnia quæ futura sunt, et quæ ipse facturus est.—Prorsus Divinâ Providentiâ regna constituuntur: quæ si propterea quisquam fato tribuat, quia ipsam Dei voluntatem vel protestatem fati nomine appellat; sententiam teneat, linguam corrigat.” Idem, apud Eundem, u. s.

(*u*) “Adhuc autem est alia distinctio à fato bimembris. Uno enim modo accipitur fatum activè, pro fame, seu fatione, voluntatis divinæ, seu Dei omnia disponentis. Alio modo passivè sicut, et nomen magis sonat, pro effectu et dispositione passivâ hujus fati, ipsiis rebus dispositis inhaerente.” Lib. et cap. u. s. p. 266.

(*x*) Ibid.

The speculations of the celebrated Boëthius (*y*), as cited by Bradwardin, on the articles of providence and fate, are not unworthy of perusal. Though far from unexceptionable, they are subtle and ingenious. "Providence is but another name for the Divine Wisdom itself, which stands at the helm of all things, and by which all things are regulated.— On the other hand, fate is that inherent disposition in things themselves, by which Divine Providence concatenates all things in their proper successions and dependencies. Providence comprehends all things, together and at once, however those things may differ from each other, and however infinite their number may seem. But fate reduces each particular thing into actual order, by a proper distribution as to motion, place, form, and season: inso-much that, this actual evolution of the series of causes (which evolution is temporary, or brought to pass in time), may be termed Providence, if considered as united and gathered to a point in the divine view. This simple connected view of all futurities, which is a perfection essential to the un-created mind, may also be called fate: if you consi-

(*y*) Boëthius was descended of one of the most noble families in Rome. He studied eighteen years at Athens; where, says Dr. Cave, "Omnium artium, omnium disciplinarum, non modò elementa, sed et reconditora mysteria, penitus imbibit:" inso-much that he was deemed the prince of scholars. In the year 487, he was sole consul of Rome. After a life strangely variegated with prosperity and affliction, this great man fell a sacrifice to the tyranny of Theodorie, and was beheaded in prison, at Pavia, A. D. 524. During his exile to this place, he wrote his book on the Trinity; and during his imprisonment, he composed his Treatise on the Consolation of Philosophy; which latter was so admired by our matchless king Alfred, that he used constantly to carry it about him.— This illustrious linguist, philosopher and poet, was interred at Pavia, in the church of St. Austin, under the following epitaph:

Mæoniâ et latiâ linguâ clarissimus, et qui  
 Consul eram, hic perii, missus in exilium.  
 Et quid mors rapuit? Probitas me vexit ad auras:  
 Et nunc fama viget maxima, vivit opus.

See Cave, Dupin, &c.



der that view as gradually opened and unfolded in the several successions of time; for, though fate and providence are not strictly the same, yet the former is dependent on the latter. That series of causes and effects, which is ordered by fate, takes its rise from the simplicity of providence. As some curious artificer first forms, in his own mind, a design or plan of the piece of workmanship he intends to make, and then begins to take the work itself in hand, carrying into execution, through a regular and successive progress, the idea which he had before, simply and readily modeled: so God, by his providence, orders and settles, particularly and firmly, the things that are to be accomplished; and, by fate, manages in all their multiplicity and temporary successions, the things so ordered and settled. Whether, therefore, fate be rendered actually operative by the ministry of those unembodied spirits, who are the servants and executors of divine providence; or by the human mind; or by the whole concurrence of subservient nature; or by the motions of the celestial orbs; or by the power of the good angels; or by the manifold subtlety of dæmons; whether the chain of fate be complicated by any or all of these; thus much is certainly evident, that God's providence is the pure, immoveable model, according to which, matters are conducted; and that fate is the moveable connection, and temporary train, or series, of those things which the divine providence hath appointed to be accomplished. And from hence it is, that all things, which are subjected to fate, are likewise subjected to providence; for providence is the supreme regulatrix, to which fate itself acts in subserviency (z)."

(z) Providentia est ipsa divina ratio, in summo omnium principe constituta, quæ cuncta disponit: fatum verò, inherens rebus mobilibus dispositio, per quam Providentia suis quæque nectit ordinibus. Providentia namque cuncta paritèr, quamvis diversa, quamvis infinita, complectitur: fatum verò singula digerit, in motu, locis,

Thus far Boethius. The reader, perhaps, will be inclinable with me, to ask, what need of labouring the point so nicely? To what end, is the thread so finely spun? one thing, however, is plain: viz. that, by providence, he understood God's eternal foresight; and, by fate, that temporary disposure of events, which we now call providence (*a*). To the former, he might be induced by the literal import of the word providence. If I rightly remember, Cicero, somewhere, shows himself of the same mind, and assigns that very reason for it. It should also be noticed, that, according to Boethius' doctrine, the divine foreknowledge is not a naked, idle specula-

formis, ac temporibus distributa; ut hæc temporalis ordinis explicatio, in divinæ mentis adunatu prospectu, providentia sit: eadem verò adunatio digesta atque explicata temporibus, fatum vocetur; quæ, licèt diversa sint, alterum tamen pendet ex altero. Ordo namque fatalis ex providentiæ simplicitate procedit. Sicut enim artifex, faciendæ rei formam mente percipiens, movet operis effectum; et quod simpliciter, præsentarièque prospexerat, per temporales ordinis ducit; ita Deus Providentiâ quidem singularitèr, stabilitèrque, disponit faciendâ: fato verò hæc ipsa, quæ disposuit, multiplicitèr ac temporalitèr administrat. Sive igitur, famulantibus quibusdam providentiæ divinæ spiritibus, fatum exercetur; seu animâ; seu totâ inserviente naturâ; seu cœlestibus siderum motibus; seu angelicâ virtute; seu dæmonum variâ solertiâ; seu aliquibus horum, seu omnibus, fatalis series textitur: illud certè manifestum, est immobilem simplicemque gerendarum formam rerum esse providentiam; fatum verò eorum, quæ divina simplicitas gerenda disposuit, mobilem nexum, atque ordinem temporalem. Quo fit, ut omnia, quæ fato subsunt, Providentiæ que subjecta sunt: cui etiam ipsum quoque subjacet fatum." Boethius, apud Bradward. L. et C. u. s.

(*a*) The folio edition of Bailey's Dictionary has a paragraph (under the word fate), in which it is observed, that "fate primarily implies the same with effatum, a word, or decree pronounced by God; or a fixed sentence, whereby the Deity has prescribed the order of things, and allotted every person what shall befall him. The Greeks call it *επιταξιμενη*, as though a chain, or necessary series of things, indissolubly linked together: and the moderns call it providence." The folio editors of the above work endeavour to explain away this judicious passage. But it is no wonder that a set of men, who are for excluding the Son and Spirit of God from the divine essence, should be for expunging predestination and its correlative articles from the Christian creed.

tion of what barely would come to pass ; but is tantamount to an operative, effective determination of what certainly shall come to pass. For he supposes absolute fate itself to be no more than a subordinate administrator, whose business it is, to see that all events exactly correspond to that active knowledge of them which God had from everlasting. He expresses this very clearly in another subsequent passage, quoted by Bradwardin, wherein he reciprocates the terms providence and fate : “ this series of fate, or providence, tightly binds down the actions and circumstances of men, by an indissoluble concatenation of causes (*b*).” To this Bradwardin himself heartily accedes, in a remarkable paragraph adopted from St. Austin : “ Our wills have just so much ability, as God willed and foreknew they should have. Consequently, they cannot avoid being endued with whatever ability they possess ; and what they are to do, they absolutely shall do : for, both their ability and their works were foreknown of God, whose foreknowledge cannot be deceived (*c*).”

What Bradwardin professedly delivers, concerning the subjection of our most voluntary actions to the decrees and providence of God ; what he adds, concerning the coincidence of permission, and design ; with several other correlative points of religious metaphysics ; I purposely omit : not for want of inclination, but of room. I shall, therefore, for the present, conclude my extract from his testimony, with a short sample or two, of what he hath advanced concerning predestination itself, the powers of free-will, and the perseverance of the saints.

(*b*) “ Hæc fati series, seu providentia, actus fortunasque hominum indissolubili causarum connexionem constringit.” Boeth. apud Eund. p. 267.

(*c*) “ Quapropter et voluntates nostræ tantum valent, quantum Deus eas valere voluit atque præscivit. Et ideò, quicquid valent, certissime valent ; et quod facturæ sunt, ipsæ omninò facturæ sunt : quia valituras ac facturas ille præscivit, cujus præscientia falli non potest.” Augustin. apud Eund. *ibid.*

Predestination is the only ground, on which the divine foreknowledge and providence can stand. Abstracted from the will and purpose of God, neither persons, nor things, nor events, could have any certain futurity: consequently, they could not be certainly foreknowable. And providence must regulate every punctilio of its dispensations, by the same preconstructed plan; or it would follow, that God is liable to unforeseen emergencies, and acts either ignorantly, or contrary to his own will. The great Bradwardin was so clearly and deeply convinced of this, that he defines predestination to be (what in reality it is) neither more nor less than “*Æterna prævolutio Dei, sive præordinatio voluntatis divinæ, circa futurum: God’s eternal prevolution, or pre-determination of his will, respecting what shall come to pass (d).*” He treats the mysterious articles of election and reprobation in particular, with such force and compass of argument, united with such modesty and judgment, as may alone suffice to class him among the ablest reasoners that ever wrote.

On the subject of liberty and necessity, he acknowledges that there is such a thing (*e*) as free-will in God’s reasonable creatures: and, I believe, every Calvinist upon earth acknowledges the same. The point in dispute between us and the Arminians, is not concerning the existence of free-will; but concerning its powers. That man is naturally endued with a will, we never denied: and that man’s will is naturally free to what is morally and spiritually evil, we always affirmed. The grand hinge, then, on which the debate turns, is, whether free-will be, or be not, a faculty of such sovereignty and power, as either to ratify or to baffle the saving grace of God, according to its [i. e. according to the will’s]

(d) Lib. i. cap. xlv. p. 421.

(e) Lib. ii. cap. i.

own independent pleasure and self-determination? I should imagine, that every man of sense, piety, and reflection, must at once determine this question in the negative. If some do not, who are nevertheless possessed of those qualifications, I can only stand amazed at the force of that prejudice, which can induce any reasonable and religious person to suppose that divine wisdom is frustrable, and the divine power defeatable, by creatures of yesterday, who are absolutely and constantly dependent on God for their very being (and, consequently, for the whole of their operations) from moment to moment.

Bradwardin believed, that the human will, however free in its actings, is not altogether exempt from necessity. He supposed, that what the understanding regards as good, the will must necessarily desire; and what the understanding represents as evil, the will must necessarily disapprove (*f*). A remark this, not spun from the subtleties of metaphysics; but founded in fact, and demonstrable from every man's own hourly experience. The will, therefore, is no other than the practical echo of the understanding: and is so far from being endued with a self-determining power, or with a freedom of indifference to this or that; that it closes in with the dictates of the intellect, as naturally, as necessarily, and as implicitly, as an eastern slave accommodates his obedience to the commands of the grand seignor. As the understanding is thus the directress of the will; so, ten thousand different circumstances concur to influence and direct the understanding: which latter is altogether as passive, in her reception of impressions from without, as she is sometimes active in her subsequent contemplation and combination of them. It follows, that if the understanding (from which the will receives its bias), be thus

(*f*) Lib. ii. cap. ii. per totum.

liable to passive, subjective necessity ; the will itself, which is absolutely governed by a faculty so subject to necessitation, cannot possibly be possessed of that kind of freedom which the Arminian scheme supposes her to be : since, if she were, the handmaid would be above her mistress ; and uncontrollable sovereignty would be the immediate offspring of constringent necessity. Hence Bradwardin observes, that the human will cannot so much as conquer a single temptation, even after God's regenerating power has passed upon the soul, *sine alio Dei auxilio speciali* (*g*), "without a fresh supply of God's particular assistance : " which particular assistance he defines to be, *voluntas Dei invicta* (*h*), the supernatural influence, resulting from the unconquerable will of God : "armed with which, his tempted children get the better of every temptation ; but destitute of which, every temptation gets the better of them (*i*)."

And, indeed, were not this the case, "The number of the elect and predestinate would," as Bradwardin nervously argues, "depend more on man than upon God. Men, by antecedently and casually disposing their own wills to this or that, would leave God no more to do, than to regulate his after-decrees in a subservient conformity to the prior determinations of his creatures, and in a way of subjection and subordination to their will and pleasure (*h*):" than which supposition, nothing can be more impious and irrational. Besides, as he presently adds, if free-will was possessed of these enor-

(*g*) Lib. ii. cap. v. per totum.

(*h*) Ibid. cap. vi.

(*i*) "Quo tentati omnia superant tentamenta ; et sine quo in omnibus superantur." Cap. vi. p. 489.

(*h*) "Secundum data [scil. Pelagiana], homines magis disponunt electos et predestinatos in numero, quam faciat Deus ipse ; nam antecedentè et causalitèr quia homines disponunt voluntates suas, hoc modo, vel illo ; ideò Deus, subservientèr et subexecutivè, disponit numerum electorum tantum vel tantum." P. 480.

mous powers, "It would be vain and idle in a man to pray to God for victory over temptation, or to give him thanks for victory obtained (*l*)."<sup>1</sup> When free-willers kneel down to petition God for any spiritual blessing, what is such conduct, but a virtual renunciation of their own distinguishing tenet? And, on the footing of that tenet, what an unmeaning service is the ascription of praise!

Quesitum meritis sume superbiam.

Away with prayer. Away with thanksgiving. Neither the one, nor the other, has any reasonable pretext to keep it in countenance, on the principles of Pelagius and Arminius. The whole lower creation cannot exhibit a more glaring example of human inconsistency, than a free-willer on his knees.

Bradwardin was not less clear on the important article of final perseverance. According to him, this crowning grace is the gift of God alone: "When David prayed thus for his devout subjects, O Lord God, preserve this will of their heart for ever, and grant that their inclination to thy fear may continue in them (*m*); what was this, but a prayer for their ultimate perseverance? and why did he ask it of God, if it is not the gift of God, but acquirable by every man's own powers (*n*)?" To which the evangelical prelate adds: "As David besought God, for the perseverance of his own religious subjects; so also the Lord Christ, our mystic David, besought

(*l*) "Vanum esset orare Deum, ut tentationem aliquam superaret: vanum esset, pro tentationis victoriâ, gratias agere Domino Deo nostro." Ibid.

(*m*) I Chron. xxix. 18. Our English translation renders it thus: O Lord God,—keep this for ever in the imagination of the thoughts of the heart of thy people, and prepare [the margin reads, stablish] their hearts unto thee.

(*n*) "Sanctus quoque David, I paralip. ult. sic orans Dominum pro populo sibi devoto, Domine Deus, custodi in æternum hanc voluntatem cordis eorum, et semper in venerationem tui mens ista permaneat: quid aliud petit, quam perseverantiam consummatam? Et cur eam petebat à Deo, si non daretur ab eo, sed unusquisque propriis viribus illam posset habere?" Lib. ii. cap. viii. p. 492.

God the Father in behalf of his own people, saying, holy Father, preserve in my own name those whom thou hast given unto me (o).” Quoting that passage, Jer. xxxii. 37—40. he thus descants: “Hence it is evident, that both a departure from evil, and a final continuance in good to the end of our days, by virtue of that everlasting covenant which secures us against revolting from the Lord, which is what we mean by the phrase of perseverance to the end; neither takes its rise from, nor is carried on by man: but from and by God himself. For which reason, St. Austin, in his Treatise concerning the Blessing of Perseverance, observes, that, in the above passage of scripture, God promises perseverance to his people, saying, I will put my fear into their hearts, that they shall not depart from me. What is this (saith Austin), but to affirm, The fear which I will put into their hearts, shall be such, and so great, that they shall preservingly adhere to me (p)?”

It is now time for me to take my unwilling leave of Bradwardin, and put an end to this long section, by just dropping a word,

V. Concerning that illustrious nobleman and martyr, sir John Oldecastle, the good lord Cobham. No one, who is at all acquainted with English history, need be informed, that this great and excel-

(o) “Sicut ille David, pro perseverantiâ populi sui, Deum oravit: sic et David noster Dominus Christus pro populo suo Deum Patrem oravit: Pater, inquires, serva eos in nomine tuo, quos dedisti mihi.” Ibid.

(p) “Unde claret, quòd tam reditio à malo, quàm permansie in bono finalitèr, scilicèt, universis Diebus; pacto sempiterno ut nunquam recedatur à Domino, quæ est perseverantia usque in finem; non est sufficientèr nec antecedentèr ab homine, sed à Deo. Unde et Augustinus, de bono perseverantia, 2. eandem conclusionem per eandem autoritatem ostendit: hanc enim, inquires, scilicèt, perseverantiam promisit Deus, dicens, timorem meum dabo in cor eorum, ut a me non recedant. Quod quid est aliud, quàm quòd talis ac tantus erit timor meus, quem dabo in cor eorum, ut mihi perseverantèr adhæreant?” Ibid. p. 493.



lent person fell a sacrifice, in reality, to the rage of the Romish ecclesiastics; whose hatred he had incurred, by the purity of his religious principles, and by the honest boldness with which he asserted them. King Henry V. notwithstanding his political maxim, of keeping fair with the church, at all events, would probably never have gratified her with a victim of such high rank, and for whom he had a great personal regard, if some churchmen of that age had not trumped up a charge of treason against lord Cobham: when, all the while, his real crime, in their eye, was heresy. The princes of the House of Lancaster could not but be perfectly conscious that their possession of the throne was founded on manifest usurpation. This rendered them extremely suspicious of their subjects; and induced them to avenge, with severity, every measure that seemed to threaten the smallest approaches of a revolution. The papists availed themselves of this circumstance, in the case of lord Cobham. The king, though displeased at this nobleman's abhorrence of popery, was not, perhaps, sorry to hear of his escape from the Tower: as that incident extricated his majesty from the painful alternative of either offending the church, by pardoning Cobham in form; or of resigning a victorious general and faithful subject to the flames, in order to satisfy a set of men who were, in reality, but so many dead weights on the wheel of civil government. But the ecclesiastics would not quit their prey so easily. Some time after lord Cobham's escape from the Tower, about 100 Wickliffites (or, as they were then called, Lollards) were assembled, for the purposes of devotion, in St. Giles' Fields; at that time, an uncultivated tract of ground, overgrown with bushes and trees (*q*). The good people were then obliged by persecution either entirely to

(*q*) Complete Hist. of Engl. vol. i. p. 311.

forego all religious meetings, or to hold them in such sequestered places as those.

This innocent assembly was not conducted with the intended secrecy. The papists gained intelligence of it, and alarmed the king (who was keeping Christmas at Eltham) with information, that a number of Lollards, to the amount of at least 20,000, with lord Cobham at their head, were rendezvoused in St. Giles' Fields, with a view to exterminate the reigning family. The jealous king gave implicit credit to the false representation: and, repairing, at midnight, to the place, with such forces as he could hastily collect, found about 80 persons met together. Some were immediately slaughtered by the soldiers. About 60 were taken prisoners; of whom, 34 were afterwards hanged, and seven hanged and burned.

I mention this pretended conspiracy, because it sealed the doom of lord Cobham. Though he was not so much as present at the above meeting, "A Bill of Attainder passed against him, a reward of a thousand marks was set on his head, and a perpetual exemption from taxes promised to any town that should secure him (*r*)."<sup>r</sup> After a concealment of nigh four years, the attainted peer was apprehended in Montgomeryshire, and conveyed to London; where he received sentence of death. He was executed in St. Giles' Fields, on Christmas-day, December 25, 1417. Nothing could be more cruel than the mode of his sufferings. All historians agree, that he was burned hanging. Echard says, that he was suspended over the fire, by an iron chain, fastened round his middle (*s*).<sup>s</sup> The plate, in Mr. Fox, represents him as hanging with his back downward, by three chains: the first fastened to

(*r*) Biograph. Dict. vol. xii. p. 278.

(*s*) Echard's Hist. of Engl. vol. i. p. 455

his middle, by an iron hoop; the second, to his right thigh; the other to his neck (*t*).

We have very little remaining of what was written by the noble martyr. His two confessions of faith, which occur in Fox, were evidently so worded, as to give no more offence to the times, than was absolutely necessary: a precaution, which, however, did not save the life of their author. I therefore rest the evidence of his probable Calvinism, on the known Calvinism of Wickliff. I have already proved, that Wickliff carried the doctrines of predestination and grace to a very great length: nor is it likely, that lord Cobham should have been so devoted an admirer of Wickliff, as he certainly was; nor have put himself to the labour, expence, and danger, of transcribing and dispersing the writings of that reformer, with such zeal and industry, as he certainly did; had he differed from Wickliff on points which so materially affect the whole system of protestantism. A very judicious writer affirms, that lord Cobham "caused all the works of Wickliff to be wrote out and dispersed in Bohemia, France, Spain, Portugal, and other parts of Europe (*u*)."

Which, I should imagine, he would no more have done, had he not adopted Wickliff's plan of doctrine, than the vicar of Broad Hembury, would be at the pains and cost of reprinting and dispersing the lucubrations of Mr. John Wesley.

Indeed, the principles of all Wickliff's disciples appear, so far as I have been able to find, highly Calvinistical. Take one specimen in lieu of many.

About the year 1391, during the reign of Richard II. a letter of expostulation, written, by a Lollard, to one Nicholas Hereford (who had apostatized from Wickliffism to popery), has the two following paragraphs: "No perversion of any reprobate,"

(*t*) Acts and Mon. vol. i. p. 731.

(*u*) Rolt's Lives of the Reformers, p. 15.

says the pious expostulator, “is able to turn the congregation of the elect from the faith: because all things that shall come to pass, are eternally, in God, devised and ordained for the best unto the elect Christians. Like as the mystical body of Christ is the congregation of all the elect; so Antichrist, mystically, is the church of the wicked and of all the reprobates (*x*).” So true is it, that the doctrine of absolute predestination was held and maintained by the very first protestants, long before the actual establishment of that doctrine at the reformation.

## SECTION XI.

### *The Charge of Mahometanism refuted.*

THE reader may, if he pleases, consider himself as entered, at present, on a kind of historical voyage. Mr. Sellon pretends to think, that we are in full sail for Constantinople; and that Calvinism is at once the compass by which we steer, and the breeze by which we are carried, plump into the Grand Seignor’s harbour. Predestination, and the ineluctabilis ordo rerum, are, according to this sage Arminian geographer, situate only in the latitude of Mahomet: and every man, who believes, with scripture, that God worketh all things after the counsel of his own will; and, with our church, that all things, both in heaven and earth, are ordered by a never-failing providence; every man, who thus believes, is, in my redoubtable adversary’s estimation, a Mahometan.

I must acknowledge, that such a contemptible cavil as this, is too low and ridiculous to merit a single moment’s attention. However, as it has been urged formerly by the wretched authors of Cal-

(*x*) Fox’s Acts and Mon. vol. i. p. 574.

vino-Turcismus (*y*); and now repeated, with an air of seeming seriousness, by Mr. John Wesley's advocate; I beg permission of my readers, to touch at Constantinople in earnest: not with a view to stay there for good, but just to look about us, and determine for ourselves, whether Calvinism and Mahometanism are the same, or not.

Dean Prideaux shall set us on shore. This learned historian observes, that the religion of Mahomet is "made up of three parts: whereof one was borrowed from the Jews, another from the Christians, and the third from the heathen Arabs (*z*)."<sup>2</sup> A whole third, then, of the Mahometan system, is neither more nor less than Christianity at second hand. But shall we therefore disclaim a dozen or twenty articles of our Christian creed, because those articles were adopted by Mahomet? What a prodigious gap such absurd conduct would make in our Confession of Faith, may be easily judged of, from the ensuing specimen.

"The first doctrine that Mahomet propagated among them [i. e. among his followers at Mecca], was, That there is but one God, and that he only is

(*y*) A book was published under this title at Antwerp, in the year 1569, and again at Cologne, in 1603. It was the joint work of two English papists (William Reynolds and William Gifford), who had fled their country. Its drift was to prove the conformity of Calvinism and Mahometanism. Gifford, who finished and published it, was a priest; and had several times encouraged some assassins to murder queen Elizabeth. To the above book, the learned Dr. Sutcliffe, dean of Exeter, published an answer: the title to which ran thus; *De Turco-Papismo, &c.* i. e. "Of the Mahometan Popery; or a Treatise of the Conspiracy of Turks and Papists against the church and faith of Christ; of their agreement and resemblance in religion and morals. To which are added, four books concerning the slanders and calumnies of the Mahometan-Papists; in answer to that most defamatory libel, entitled Mahometan Calvinism, written by William Gifford, a notorious and vile flatterer of the popes and Jesuits." See Bayle, vol. v. art. Sutcliff.

(*z*) Prideaux's *Life of Mahomet*, p. 49. Edit. 1713.

to be worshipped; and that all idols were to be taken away, and their worship utterly abolished (*a*).

“ He allowed both the Old and the New Testament; and that Moses and Jesus Christ were prophets sent from God (*b*).

“ They [i. e. the Mahometans] own that there are angels, executioners of God’s commands, designed for certain offices both in heaven and earth (*c*).

“ They believe a general resurrection of the dead (*d*).

“ They hold both a general judgment, and a particular one [at death (*e*)].

“ If a person ask, Why God hath created the infidels and wicked? Their answer is, That we ought not to be over curious to search into the secrets of God (*f*).

“ The morals of the Mahometans consist in doing good, and shunning evil (*g*).

“ Their casuists hold, that actions, done without faith in God, are sins (*h*).

“ They forbid to judge of uncertain things; because it doth not belong to us to judge of the things which God hath concealed from us (*i*).

“ Their devotion extends even to the sacred names. When they pronounce the name of God, they make a bow; and add, most high, most blessed, most strong, most excellent, or some such epithet (*k*).

“ The Mahometans tolerate all religions (*l*).

“ They are commanded to pray, at the appointed times:

“ And to give alms (*m*).

(*a*) Prideaux’s Life of Mahomet, p. 17.

(*b*) Prideaux, *ibid.* p. 19.

(*c*) Great Hist. Dict. under the word Mahometanism.

(*d*) *Ibid.* (e) *Ibid.* (f) *Ibid.* (g) *Ibid.*

(*h*) *Ibid.* (i) *Ibid.* (k) *Ibid.*

(*l*) Salmon’s Geographical Grammar, p. 431.

(*m*) Salmon, *ibid.* p. 437.

“ They hold a heaven and hell (*n*).

“ Mahomet forbad adultery to his followers (*o*).

“ They assert the immortality of the soul (*p*).”

Among the maxims of the Alcoran, are; “ Forgive those who have offended thee. Do good to all (*q*).”

Now, would any reasonable Christian strike out these articles from his creed, only because Mahomet has inserted them in his? And does it follow, that the most respectable persons in the world, who are influenced by these excellent principles of faith and practice, are, for that reason, to be dubbed Mahometans? But the plain truth is, Mr. Sellon knows no more of Constantinople, than he does of Geneva. He is equally unacquainted with the real systems both of Tureism and Christianity. Even a superficial survey of his subject would have sufficed to inform him, that “ The questions, relating to predestination and free grace, have been agitated, among the Mahometan doctors, with as much heat and vehemence, as ever they were in Christendom (*r*).” The Mahometans have their sort of Arminians, no less than we. If Mr. Sellon asks, “ How goes the stream of doctrines at Constantinople?” I also can ask, in my turn, How goes the stream at Ispahan? If the Mahometan Turks, of the sect of Omar, believe an absolute predestination and providence; it is no less certain, that the Mahometan Persians, of the sect of Halis, deny predestination, and assert free-will, with as much outrageous fervour, as Mr. John Wesley himself. But shall I from hence infer, that Mr. Wesley is a Mahometan? I cannot, in justice, pay the Mahometans so bad a compliment. I rather say to

(*n*) Martin's Philolog. Library, p. 85.

(*o*) Martin, *ibid.* p. 86.

(*p*) Martin, *ibid.*

(*q*) Voltaire's Essay on Universal History, vol. i. p. 11. Dr. Nugent's edition, 1761.

(*r*) Brown's Travels. p. 361.

Mr. Wesley, what the excellent Mr. Hervey said to him long ago, "Before you turn Turk, or deist, or atheist, see that you first become an honest man. They will all disown you, if you go over to their party, destitute of common honesty. Out of zeal to demolish the doctrine of election, you scruple not to overleap the bounds of integrity and truth (*s*)."

After all, there is not that conformity between the Christian and the Turkish doctrine of predestination, which Mr. Wesley and his consistory would have us believe. Do Mahometans assert an election in Christ to grace and glory? Do they maintain, that, in the preordination of events, the means are no less preordained, than the end? Do they consider the Son of God as joint agent with his Father, in the providential disposeure of all things below? Do they hold the eternal covenant of grace, which obtained among the persons of the godhead, in behalf, and for the salvation of a peculiar people, who shall, by the regenerating efficacy of the Holy Ghost, be made zealous of good works? Do the Mahometans believe any thing about final perseverance, and the inamissibility of saving grace? No such thing. I can easily prove their denial of these gospel doctrines, whenever that proof shall be necessary. And even as to the predestination of temporal events, the disciples of Omar (so far as I can hitherto find, and unless their doctrine be greatly misrepresented) seem to have exceeding gross and confused ideas. They appear to consider predestination as a sort of blind, rapid, overbearing impetus, which, right or wrong, with means or without, carries all things violently before it, with little or no attention to the peculiar and respective nature of second causes. Whereas, according to the Christian scheme, predestination forms a wise, regular, connected plan; and providence con-

(*s*) Hervey's Eleven Letters to Wesley, p. 285.



ducts the execution of it, in such a manner, as to assign their due share of importance to the correlative means; and secure the certainty both of means and end, without violating or forcing the intellectual powers of any one rational agent.

I have already scrupled to enrol Mr. Wesley himself on the list of mussulmen. Some of his tenets, however, are so nearly related to the worst branches of the Mahometan system, that he might very readily be mistaken, at first sight, for a disciple of Hali. Survey the dark side of Mahometism; and you will almost aver, that the portrait was intended for the mufti of Moorfields.

“The Mahometans would have us believe, that he [viz. Mahomet] was a saint, from the fourth year of his age: for then, say they, the angel Gabriel took him from among his fellows, while at play with them; and carrying him aside, cut open his breast, and took out his heart, and wrung out of it that black drop of blood, in which (say they) was contained the fomes peccati: so that he had none of it ever after (*t*).” — So much for Mahomet’s sinless perfection.

“They hold it unlawful to drink wine; and to play at chess, tables, cards, or such like recreations (*u*).

“They esteem good works meritorious of heaven (*x*).

“Some will be honoured for their abstinence, in eating and drinking sparingly and seldom. Some profess poverty, and will enjoy no earthly things. Others brag of revelations, visions, and enthusiasms. Some are for traditions, and merits, by which [they suppose] salvation is obtained, and not by grace (*y*).” How easy would it be, to run the parallel between Mahometans and some other folks!

(*t*) Prideaux’s Life of Mahomet, p. 141.

(*u*) Ross’ View of all Religions, p. 164. edit. 1683.

(*x*) Ross, *ibid*.

(*y*) Ross, *ibid*. p. 169.

I must, however, partly acquit Mr. Wesley of Mahometism, on the head of recreations; for, in a certain twopenny extract from somebody else, published in the year 1767, Mr. Wesley recommends the recreating exercise of battle-dore and shuttlecock, together with that of the wooden horse.

Beside the above articles, the Mahometans hold, that there is a third, or middle place, for the reception of some departed souls (*z*).

They deny the perpetuity of faith: believing, that “whosoever renounceth it, loseth the merit of all his good works; and that, during all that time, he can do nothing acceptable to God, until he hath repented: and then he becomes a mussulman, or faithful, again (*a*).” Their dervises “live a very retired and austere life; going bare-foot, with a leathern girdle round their bodies, full of sharp points, to mortify the flesh (*b*).”

The Mahometan bigotry is so excessive, that “they esteem themselves only to be wise, valiant, and holy. The rest of the world they look upon to be fools and reprobates; and use them accordingly (*c*).”

Among the followers of Mahomet, “Any person may be a priest, that pleases to take the habit and perform the functions; and may lay down his office when he will: there being nothing like ordination amongst them (*d*).”

By this time the reader may judge, whether the church of England, or Mr. Wesley and his friend Sellon, make the nearest approaches to Mahometism. As to myself in particular, I can give a decisive proof that I am not a Mahometan. It might be better for Mr. Sellon, if I were. For, it is one of the essential commands, enjoined by the Alcoran, that

(*z*) Great Hist. Dict. Article, Mahometism.

(*a*) Ibid. (*b*) Ibid. Article, Turks.

(*c*) Salmon's Geographical Grammar, p. 4. 8.

(*d*) Ibid. p. 430.

Mahomet's disciples must "never dispute with the ignorant (*e*)." Consequently, were Mahomet and I master and scholar, the Yorkshire Arminian would have escaped the whole of his present chastisement.

## SECTION XII.

*The Judgment of the most eminent English Martyrs, who suffered for the Gospel, prior to the Settlement of the Reformation.*

HAVING seen "how the stream goes at Constanti-  
nople," let us weigh anchor, and return to our own  
more enlightened clime.

When it pleased God to visit this kingdom with a revival of gospel truth, the persons, whose interest it was to keep mankind involved in religious darkness, strained every sinew of secular and ecclesiastical power, to obstruct the progress of a doctrine, which, if not seasonably smothered, would inevitably prove fatal to that golden idol, which the churchmen of those times worshipped. They well knew, that the scheme of free salvation, as it stands simply revealed in scripture, lays the axe, not only to the tree, but to the very root, of popery: which, like Dagon before the ark, cannot but fall, in proportion as the doctrines of gratuitous election and unconditional justification prevail and extend. Hence, the sword of persecution was unsheathed: and they whose eyes God had opened, could sing, with those of old, for thy sake, we are killed all the day long; we are counted as sheep appointed to be slain.

While the sword was brandished, and while the fires were flaming, protestants went cheerfully to

death for the doctrines of Christ. But, now the sword is laid asleep, and the fires are extinguished, the doctrines of Christ are too generally forgotten: nay, what is still more shocking, the very mention of those doctrines seems to frighten some nominal protestants out of their wits. If we have lost the persecutions, we have also (in a manner) lost the spirit and faith of our Christian predecessors. This will too plainly appear, so far as the articles now in question are concerned, even from the few following examples.

I. William Sawtree, an early and eminent disciple of Wickliff, was rector or vicar of St. Scithe's parish in London, and the first who had the honour of being burnt for protestantism in England. That this worthy proto-martyr held the doctrine of election, appears, from part of a paper which he wrote and delivered to Arundel, archbishop of Canterbury. In the fulness of his zeal against angel worshipping, he gave the prelate to understand, that, were he bound to worship one or the other, he would, of the two, "rather worship a man, whom he knew to be predestinated, than worship an angel:" assigning for reason, because "the one is a man of the same nature with the humanity of Christ, which an angel is not (*f*)." He suffered death, A. D. 1400.

II. Mr. John Claydon, a devout tradesman of London, was burned in Smithfield, A. D. 1415. An English book had been found in his custody, from whence fifteen articles of heresy were extracted, which served as the ground-work of his prosecution and condemnation. Among these articles, was one, concerning election and perseverance, which ran thus: "5. That no reprobate is a member of the church, but only such as be elected and predestinate to salvation: seeing the church is no other

(*f*) Fox's Acts and Mon. vol. i. p. 587.

thing but the congregation of faithful souls, who do and will keep their faith constantly, as well in deed, as in word (*g*).” This book, it seems, was entitled, “The Lanthorn of Light (*h*): and Mr. Claydon confessed, that he “had got that copy of it transcribed and bound at his own expence.” On which, he was consigned to the flames, as incorrigible.

III. Mr. Thomas Bilney, who had been the instrument of bishop Latimer’s conversion, was burned in 1531. Among the articles of his examination before Tostal, bishop of London, were the following: “Whether he believed the catholic church may err in the faith, or no? And whether he thought the catholic church is only a spiritual church, intelligible and known only to God?” To this double interrogatory, Bilney answered in these words: “The catholic church” [i. e. the universal church of God’s predestinated people,] “can by no means err in faith: for it is the whole congregation of the elect; and so known only unto God, who knoweth who are his (*i*).” Two other ensnaring questions were put to this holy man: “Whether he believed all things, pertaining to salvation and damnation, to come of necessity, and nothing to be in our own wills? And, whether he believed God to be the author of all evil (*k*)?” He discreetly answered, “God is the author of the punishment only, but not of the offence (*l*).” He would never have been put to the test of such queries as these, if he had not been considered as a known predestinarian.

IV. James Bainham, a gentleman of birth and learning, by profession a lawyer, of the Middle

(*g*) Fox, i. 727.

(*h*) Its author was one Mr. John Grime, a Wicklifist. The short extract from it, cited above, may stand as a general specimen of the doctrines with which the writings of the earliest protestants were fraught.

(*i*) Fox, ii. 213.

(*k*) Ibid.

(*l*) Ibid.

Temple, suffered at the stake in 1532. His judgment concerning the evangelical doctrines, sufficiently appears from one of his answers, on his first trial before Stokesley, bishop of London. "All godliness," said the martyr, "is given of God by his abundant grace: the which no man of himself can keep, but it" [i. e. the retaining, as well as the reception of grace] "must be given him of God (*m*)." So highly was this chosen vessel favoured in his last moments, that, when his legs and arms were half consumed by the flames, he addressed the spectators in these memorable words: "O ye papists, ye look for miracles. Here you may see a miracle; for, in this fire, I feel no more pain, than if I were on a bed of down. It is to me a bed of roses."

V. William Tyndal, though put to death in Flanders, must yet, as a native of this kingdom, be numbered among the English martyrs. He was a person of seraphic piety, indefatigable study, and extraordinary learning. His modesty, zeal, and disinterestedness, were so great, that he declared, he should be content to live in any county of England, on an allowance of ten pounds per annum, and bind himself to receive no more, if he might only have authority to instruct children and preach the gospel.

Heylin himself confesses, that Tyndal has a "flying-out against free-will (*n*)."<sup>2</sup> It will presently be seen, that that early and eminent protestant "flew out," not only against free-will, but also against other corrupt branches of the popish and pelagian system.

His translation of the New Testament into English (for he did not live to finish the Old) made the cloud of persecution, which had been long hovering over him, burst into a storm. He was apprehended at

(*m*) Fox, ii. 246.

(*n*) Miscel. Tracts, p. 544.

Antwerp (through the treachery of an ungrateful Englishman, whom he had liberally relieved and hospitably entertained), and carried prisoner to Filford, eighteen miles from that city; where he was strangled and burned, in 1536.

During his residence at Antwerp, he sent over a letter to Mr. Frith, (then a prisoner in the Tower, and afterwards a martyr) exhorting him to fortitude under his sufferings for the name of Christ. "The will of God," says Tyndal, in this letter, "be fulfilled! and what he hath ordained to be, ere the world was made, that come, and his glory reign over all (o)!" He adds: "There falleth not a hair, till God's hour be come: and when his hour is come, necessity carrieth us hence, though we be not willing.—Be cheerful; and remember, that, among the hard-hearted in England, there is a number reserved by grace; for whose sakes, if need be, you must be ready to suffer." Nothing, on this side heaven, is so sublime and animating, as the Christian philosophy. And what is the Christian philosophy, but another name for Calvinism?

From several treatises, written by Mr. Tyndal, a great number of propositions were extracted by the papists, and branded for, "heretical and erroneous." Of these propositions, the following are some (p).

"Faith only justifieth.

"The Spirit of God turneth us and our nature, that we do good as naturally" [i. e. as necessarily] "as a tree brings forth fruit.

"Faith rooteth herself in the hearts of the elect.

"Works do only declare to thee that thou art justified.

"If thou wouldest obtain heaven by the merits and deservings of thine own works, thou wrongest and shamest the blood of Christ.

(o) Fox, ii. 307.

(p) Fox, ii. 497—499.

“The true believer is heir of God, by Christ’s deservings: yea, and in Christ was predestinate, and ordained unto eternal life, before the world began.

“In believing, we receive the Spirit of God, which is the earnest of eternal life; and we are in eternal life already, and already feel in our hearts the sweetness thereof, and are overcome with the kindness of God and Christ: and therefore we love the will of God; and, of love, are ready to work freely, and not to obtain that which is given us freely, and whereof we are heirs already.

“The longing and consent of the heart to the law of God, is the working of the Spirit; which God hath poured into thy heart, in earnest that thou mightest be sure that God will fulfil all the promises he hath made to thee. It is also the seal and mark, which God putteth on all men whom he chooseth to everlasting life.

“Yea, and by thy good deeds shalt thou be saved: not which thou hast done, but which Christ hath done for thee. For Christ is thine, and all his deeds are thy deeds. Christ is in thee, and thou in him; knit together inseparably: neither canst thou be damned, except Christ be damned with thee; neither can Christ be saved, except thou be saved with him.” The two last clauses of this paragraph, are certainly very strongly expressed. Yet they contain a truth, which our Lord himself affirmed, though in terms less harsh: Where I am, there shall also my servant come.—Because I live, ye shall live also. Christ mystical can no more perish, than Christ personal. Tyndal goes on.

“Hark what St. Paul saith: If I preach, I have nought to rejoice in, for necessity is put unto me.—If I do it willingly,” saith he, “then have I my reward; that is, then am I sure that God’s Spirit is in me, and that I am elect to eternal life.



“ We deserve not everlasting life, by our good works ; for God hath promised it unto us, before we began to do good (*q*).” Yet Mr. Tyndal zealously asserted the necessity of good works, as fruits and proofs of faith ; though, with scripture, he utterly denied their being meritorious in the sight of God : witness the following excellent passage : “ If thy faith induce thee not to do good works, thou hast not the right faith : thou only thinkest that thou hast it. For St. James saith, that faith, without works, is dead in itself. He saith not, that it is little, or feeble ; but that it is dead : and that which is dead, is not. Therefore, when thou art not moved by faith to the love of God, and, by the love of God, to good works, thou hast no faith (*r*).” So true is it, on one hand, that real grace cannot but produce good works ; and, on the other, that (as Tyndal observes) “ if God had promised heaven to us because of our works, we could then never be sure of our salvation : for we should never know how much, nor how long, we should labour, to be saved ; and should always be in fear that we had done too little ; and so we could never die joyfully (*s*).”

Dr. Heylin shall contribute his mite, towards demonstrating the Calvinism of Tyndal : premising, first, that, in the judgment of the said doctor, “ There were so many heterodoxies in the most of Tyndal’s writings, as render them no fit rule for a reformation, any more than those of Wickliff before remembered.” Some of these “ many heterodoxies,” Peter Heylin thus enumerates : “ Grace,” saith Tyndal, “ is properly God’s favour, benevolence, or kind mind ; which, of his own self, without our deservings, he reached to us : whereby [i. e. by which undeserved favour and benevolence] he was moved and inclined to give Christ unto us, with all other gifts of grace. Which having told us, in his Preface

(*q*) Fox, *ibid.* 507.(*r*) *Ibid.*(*s*) *Ibid.* 508.

to St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans; he telleth us, not long after, that, in the 9th, 10th, and 11th chapters of the epistle, the apostle teacheth us of God's predestination: from whence [i. e. from and out of God's predestination] it springeth altogether; whether we shall believe or not believe; be loosed from sin, or not be loosed. By which predestination, our justifying and salvation are clear taken out of our hands, and put into the hands of God only: which thing is most necessary of all. For we are so weak; and so uncertain, that, if it stood in us, there would of truth no man be saved: the devil, no doubt, would deceive him. But now God is sure of his predestination; neither can any man withstand or let him."

Discoursing, in another place, of the act the will hath on the understanding, [a blunder of Heylin's; who meant to say, of the act which the understanding hath on the will,] "He [Tyndal] telleth us, that the will of man followeth the wit [i. e. followeth the understanding:] that, as the wit erreth, so doth the will: and as the wit [the understanding] is in captivity, so is the will: neither is it possible that the will should be free, when the wit is in bondage [through original sin].

"Finally, in the heats of his disputation with sir Thomas More, who had said, that 'Men were to endeavour themselves, and captivate their understandings, if they would believe', Tyndal first cries out, how beetle-blind is fleshly reason! and then subjoins, that the will hath no operation at all in the working of faith in my soul, no more than the child hath in begetting his father: for, saith Paul, It [i. e. faith] is the gift of God, and not of us (*t*)."  
Oh rare William Tyndal! "heterodox" with a witness!—The reader need not be told, that the sir Thomas More, whose tenet of free-will was thus

(*t*) Heylin's Misc. Tracts, p. 545.

combated by Tyndal, was the same sir Thomas, who was afterwards beheaded by Henry VIII. for exalting the pope's supremacy above the king's.

Arminianism will, beyond all question, join hands with popery, in condemning the above extracts: though nothing can be more certain than this great truth, that the principles which they assert, are the very essence of the gospel; and, if the scriptures are true, must be reckoned in the number of its brightest and most valuable doctrines. I agree with the learned and pious Mr. Fox, that, "If these articles be made heresies, which refer the benefit of our inheritance of life and salvation, to God's gift, and not to our labours; to grace, and not to merits; to faith, and not to the law of works; then let us clean shut up the New Testament, and away with God's word:" We have nothing to do, but to "leave Christ and his heretical gospel; and, in his stead, set up the bishop of Rome with his talmud, and become the disciples of his decretals (*u*)."

VI. Mr. John Lambert received the academical part of his education in the university of Cambridge: where it pleased God to convert him by means of Mr. Bilney. His true name was Nicholson: but his subsequent dangers on a religious account induced him to assume that of Lambert, for his greater security against the storm that threatened (*x*). He was, however, burned in Smithfield, A. D. 1538; but with a fire so ill made (purposely to increase his pains), that his legs were consumed, and he still remained alive. Whereupon, two, who stood on each side of him, lifted him on the points of their halberts, as high as the chain (which fastened him to the stake) would reach: and he, lifting up such hands as he had, his fingers ends flaming with fire, cried to the people, with an audible voice, "None

(*u*) Ibid. p. 507.

(*x*) Hist. of Popery, vol. ii. p. 117.

but Christ, ‘none but Christ!’ And so being set down again from their halberts, he fell again into the fire, and breathed out his faithful soul into the arms of his Redeemer (*y*).”

He had been chaplain to the English merchants at Antwerp. On an accusation of heresy, he was seized and conveyed to London. In the course of his examination before Warham, archbishop of Canterbury, he was asked, “Dost thou believe that whatsoever is done of man, whether it be good or ill, cometh of necessity (*z*)?” Mr. Lambert easily perceived, that his being so closely questioned on the article of predestination, was no other than a trap laid for his life. His reply did equal honour to his prudence and faithfulness: “Unto the first part of your riddle, I neither can nor will give any definitive answer. Concerning the second part, whether man hath free-will or no, to deserve joy or pain? as for our deserving of joy, in particular, I think it very little or none; even when we do the very commandments and law of God. When you have done all things that are commanded you, saith our Saviour, say that ye be unprofitable servants. When we have done his bidding, we ought not so to magnify neither our self, nor our own free-will: but laud him with a meek heart, through whose benefit we have done (if at any time we do it) his liking and pleasure. Hence Austin prayeth, *Domine, da quod jubes, et jube quod vis*: Lord, give what thou commandest, and command what thou wilt. Concerning free-will, I mean altogether as doth St. Austin: that, of ourselves, we have no liberty nor ability to do the will of God; but are shut up and sold under sin, as both Isaiah and Paul bear witness: but by the grace of God we are rid and set at liberty, according to the portion which every man” [i. e. every regenerate man] “hath received of the same; some more, some less (*a*).”

(*y*) *Ibid.* p. 419.

(*z*) Fox, ii. 331.

(*a*) Fox, *ibid.* 335.

Lambert was also asked, "Whether faith alone, without good works, may suffice to the salvation and justification of a man who has fallen into sin after baptism (*b*)?" The martyr answered in the words of St. Austin, "Opera bona non faciunt justum sed justificatus facit bona opera: The performance of good works does not justify a man, but the man who is justified performs good works (*c*)."

Lambert was (*d*) not sentenced on his first examination. But, in a short time, he was apprehended again, and appealed from the judgment of the bishops, to the king. Henry VIII. gave him the hearing in person. The stern overbearing roughness, with which that sour unfeeling tyrant treated the evangelical prisoner; and the decent firmness with which the latter acquitted himself, amidst such insults as would either have quite intimidated, or violently exasperated the generality of men; may be read in almost any of our historians. The result was, that Mr. Lambert received sentence of death, and was executed in the manner above related (*e*).

VII. Mrs. Anne Ascough, (commonly called Askew,) a most pious and accomplished young lady,

(*b*) Fox, *ibid.* 332.

(*c*) *Ibid.* 350.

(*d*) Bishop Burnet attributes Lambert's escape at this time, to the death of archbishop Warham, and to the change of counsels which that event, for a while, occasioned.—*Hist. of the Reform.* vol. i. p. 241.

(*e*) In the year 1541, one Alexander Seton, preacher at St. Antholin's, brought himself into great danger for asserting the doctrines of grace. He was at length, unhappily prevailed with to recant: and my reason, for making any mention of him, is, because the doctrines, for which he had like to have lost his life, demonstrate, among a multiplicity of other instances, how high the protestant tide ever ran in favour of Calvinism. Mr. Fox observes, (ii. 452.) that "the greatest matter alleged against Seton, was, for preaching free justification by faith in Christ, and against man's free-will, and against false confidence in good works." The substance of his principles may be read in Fox, (u. s. p. 451.) and are well worthy of perusal, notwithstanding the man himself made afterwards a verbal retraction of them. Gold is gold, let who will fling it away.

of whom the world was not worthy, adorns the protestant calendar. Her understanding only was masculine, not her manners. The diamond was set in gold. The virtues of her heart added value to a genius originally bright, and solidly improved. Both were sanctified and ennobled by the grace of God. Hence, her piety was angelic; her meekness, invincible; her fortitude, supernatural. "She might have lived," says Mr. Fox, "in great wealth and prosperity, if she would have followed the world rather than Christ (*f*)." Her family and connections were of considerable rank (*g*): and, unless I am much mistaken, she herself seemed to have possessed at one time, some post of honour in the court of queen Catharine Parr. For the wit, delicacy, and good sense, with which she embarrassed the lord mayor of London, bishop Bonner, bishop Gardiner, and others, in the course of her examinations, the reader may consult Strype, Fox, and Burnet. She had been so inhumanly racked, during her imprisonment, that she lost the use of her limbs, and was forced to be conveyed to Smithfield in a chair. Three persons of the other sex suffered martyrdom at the same time; and were not a little strengthened in the last stage of their warfare, by the example, prayers, and exhortations of this excellent woman: who, notwithstanding, was so weakened and disabled by the brutal hardships of her confinement, that two serjeants were obliged to support her at the stake, till the faggots were kindled. Amidst all these outward infirmities, her heaven born soul continued triumphant and alert. She was filled with joy unspeakable and full of glory. Her faculties were so entire, and her presence of mind so extraordinary, that, as she stood at the stake, she frequently corrected Shaxton, while he was preaching the execution sermon, when he advanced any thing con-

(*f*) Acts and Mon. ii. 489.

(*g*) See Strype's Eccles. Memorials, vol. i. p. 387.

trary to the doctrines of scripture. Sermon being ended, (which was preached in the open air), the lord chancellor Wriothsley offered the king's pardon to the four martyrs, as they stood at their respective stakes, on condition of recantation. They all nobly refused. Not one of them would so much as look at the papers when held out to them. Mrs. Ascough, in particular, answered, "I did not come hither to deny my Lord and Master." The lord mayor then gave the word of command, fiat justitia: and the flames were immediately kindled. Thus these blessed martyrs ascended in chariots of fire to heaven. The spot whereon they were executed, was that open part of Smithfield, which lies over against the gate that leads to St. Bartholomew's church. Mrs. Ascough was not 25 years of age (*h*).

(*h*) In the History of Popery, vol. ii. p. 464. a piece of spiritual poetry is preserved, which was written and sung by Mrs. Ascough, while she lay under sentence of death in Newgate. Considering it as the production of a lady, whose constitution was quite broken with sufferings; and not forgetting, that it was composed above two hundred and twenty years ago, (*viz.* A. D. 1546.) it will reflect the reverse of dishonour on the amiable authoress, to insert it here.

LIKE as the armed knight,  
 appointed to the field,  
 with this world will I fight,  
 and faith shall be my shield.  
 Faith is that weapon strong,  
 which will not fail at need:  
 My foes therefore among  
 therewith I will proceed.  
 As it had in strength  
 and force of Christ his way,  
 It will prevail at length,  
 tho' all the devils say nay.  
 Faith in the fathers old  
 obtained righteousness:  
 which maketh me so bold  
 to fear no world's distress.  
 I now rejoice in heart,  
 and hope bids me do so:

for Christ will take my part,  
 and ease me of my woe.  
 Thou say'st, Lord, whoso knock,  
 to them wilt thou attend:  
 Undo therefore the lock, [send  
 and thy strong pow'r down  
 More enemies I have,  
 than hairs to crown my head,  
 Let them not me deprave,  
 but fight thou in my stead.  
 On thee my care I cast,  
 for all their cruel spight:  
 I set not by their haste,  
 for thou art my delight.  
 I am not she that list  
 my anchor to let fall  
 for every drizzling mist:  
 my ship's substantial.

That she believed the doctrines of grace, and experienced their power in her own heart, is evident, from the drift, both of the few writings she left behind her, and of her religious behaviour in general. I shall, particularly, instance this, in the article of final perseverance. In an account of her sufferings, written by herself, after observing that the lord chancellor Wriothlesley assisted in torturing her on the rack, with his own hands, till she was almost dead; and that, after she was taken off from the rack, she sat for near two hours on the bare floor, disputing with the lord chancellor, who vehemently importuned her to renounce the faith: she adds, “But my Lord God, I thank his everlasting goodness, gave me grace to persevere; and will do, I hope, to the very end.” [Fox, ii. 488.] What, under the pressure of those languishing circumstances, she only expressed an hope of; she shortly after, expressed her full assurance of: “I doubt not,” said she, “But God will perform his work in me, like as he hath begun.” [Ibid.] I desire no stronger proof of her Calvinism. Whosoever “doubts not,” that the work of grace is of God’s beginning, and shall be of God’s completing; must either adopt such incoherencies, as would disgrace the meanest understanding, or be clear in those other articles of the gospel with which these are so intimately and necessarily connected.

VIII. I must not forget the eminently learned doctor Robert Barns: of whose conversion, pious

Not oft use I to write,  
 in prose, nor yet in rhyme:  
 Yet will I show one sight,  
 which I saw in my time.  
 I saw a royal throne,  
 where justice should have sit;  
 but in her stead was one  
 of moody cruel wit.  
 Absorb’d was righteousness,  
 as by a raging flood:

Satan in fierce excess  
 Suck’d up the guiltless blood.  
 Then thought I—Jesu, Lord,  
 when thou shalt judge us all,  
 hard is it to record  
 on these men what will fall.  
 Yet, Lord, I thee desire,  
 for what they do to mee  
 Let them not taste the hire  
 Of their iniquitee.



Mr. Bilney had been the instrument. Lord Cromwell's fall (who was beheaded July 28, 1540,) seems to have involved in it the doom of this illustrious protestant, who was burned for the gospel on the 30th of the same month.

Heylin's Arminian pen shall, for the present, suffice to prove the Calvinism of Dr. Barns.

"It is no marvel," says that virulent polemist, "if we find somewhat in his [i. e. in Barns'] writings, agreeable to the palate of the Calvinists and rigid Lutherans. From whence it is, that, laying down the doctrine of predestination, he [i. e. Dr. Barns] discourseth thus: But yet, sayest thou, that he [God] giveth to the one, mercy; and, to the other, none. I answer, what is that to thee? Is not his mercy his own? Is it not lawful for him to give it to whom he will? Is thine eye evil, because his is good? Take that which is thine, and go thy way. For, if he will show his wrath, and make his power known, over the vessels of wrath ordained to damnation; and to declare the riches of his glory, unto the vessels of mercy, which he hath prepared and elected unto glory; what hast thou therewith to do?—But here will subtile blindness say, 'God saw before, that Jacob should do good: he saw also that Esau should do evil; therefore did he condemn him.' Alas, for blindness! what? will you judge of that which God foresaw? These children being yet unborn, they had done neither good nor bad: and yet one of them is chosen, and the other of them is refused. St. Paul knoweth no other cause, but the will of God: and will you needs discuss another? He saith not, I will have mercy on him who I see shall do good; but, I will show mercy to whom I will.

"God, of his infinite power, lets nothing be exempted from him, but all things to be subject unto his action: and nothing can be done by them, but by his principal motion. So that he worketh in all

manner of things, that be either good or bad: not changing their nature," [i. e. God is not the author of sin, as though he changed any thing to bad from good,] "but only moving them to work after their natures, so that good worketh good, and evil worketh evil: and God useth them both as instruments. And yet doth he nothing evil, but evil is done alone through the will of man; God working by him, but not evil, as by an instrument (*i*)." Old father Heylin, who cites these judicious passages, is not very well pleased with them. He is particularly disgusted with, what he calls, the Subtlety in the Close thereof: and, because he cannot distil the least drop of Arminianism from these flowers of paradise, he sagely concludes, that Barns draws nearer to "the Zuinglians, touching God's working on the will, than possibly may be capable of a good [i. e. of an Arminian] construction."

Will the reader permit me to subjoin the testimony of two worthy persons, who suffered for the gospel in Scotland, prior to the reformation? I am sensible, that their suffrage does not strictly pertain to the argument of the present section. It is not, however, entirely foreign to it; as martyrs of all nations, are brethren; and as it will conduce to demonstrate, that the first protestants of that country, no less than of our own, were companions in faith as well as in patience.

I. Mr. Patrick Hamelton was a person of very illustrious descent; nearly related, both by father's and mother's side, to James V. the then reigning king of Scotland (*k*). Early in life, he was made abbot of Ferme; and his subsequent preferments would have been very great, had not God opened his eyes, to see the antichristianism of popery. Making the tour of Germany, he became acquainted with

(*i*) Barns, as quoted by Heylin in his *Miscel. Tracts*, p. 544, 545.

(*k*) Burnet's *History of the Reformation*, vol. i. p. 291.

Luther and other learned protestants ; whose conversation was blessed to the conversion of this excellent man. On his return to his own country, he was very assiduous in communicating to others the spiritual light he had received. His sermons were animated with great zeal against the doctrinal corruptions which then prevailed ; and his labours were crowned with such success, as alarmed the ruling ecclesiastics ; who, from that time forward, marked him for the shambles. Being cited to answer before James Be-ton, archbishop of St. Andrews ; such was the martyr's courageous zeal, that he made his appearance early in the morning, some hours before the time appointed. The prelate, and his consistory bishops and abbots, being totally unable to resist the wisdom and spirit with which he asserted the doctrines of Christ, realized the old popish argument, "you have the word, but we have the sword," by condemning him on the spot : and, in such haste were they to dispatch him, that he was burned the same afternoon, which was either the last day of February, or the first of March, 1527. "Learned men," says Mr. Fox, "who communed and reasoned with him, do testify, that the following are the very articles for which he suffered :

" 1. Man hath no free-will.

" 2. A man is only justified by faith in Christ.

" 3. A man, so long as he liveth, is not without sin.

" 4. He is not worthy to be called a Christian, who doth not believe that he is in grace.

" 5. A good man doth good works : good works do not make a good man.

" 6. An evil man bringeth forth evil works : evil works being faithfully repented, do not make an evil man.

" 7. Faith, hope, and charity, be so linked together, that one of them cannot be without another in one man, in this life (*l*)." *(l)*

In exact conformity with the above articles, part of the sentence of condemnation, pronounced on him immediately after his trial, ran thus: "We, James, by the mercy of God, archbishop of St. Andrews, primate of Scotland;—have found Master Patrick Hamelton many ways infamed with heresy; disputing, holding, and maintaining divers heresies of Martin Luther and his followers, repugnant to our faith:—that man hath no free-will; that man is in sin so long as he liveth; that children, incontinent after baptism, are sinners; that all Christians, who be worthy to be called Christians, do know that they are in grace; that no man is justified by works, but by faith only; that good works make not a good man, but a good man doth make good works; that faith, hope, and charity, are so knit, that he, who hath one, hath the rest.—With divers other heresies and detestable opinions; and hath persisted so obstinate in the same, that, by no counsel nor persuasion, he may be drawn therefrom to the way of our right faith.—All these premises being considered, We—do pronounce, &c. (*m*)."

This great and holy martyr, who was executed in the 23d year of his age, drew up a short sketch of Evangelical Divinity, which was afterwards published, with a recommendatory preface, by an eminent martyr of our own country, the learned and pious Mr. John Frith (*n*), who suffered death at London,

(*m*) Fox, *ibid*.

(*n*) This Mr. Frith merits a distinct article to himself, in the present essay. But I am forced to omit both him and a multitude of others: else, my octavo would swell to a folio. I find myself obliged to be superficial, in order to be tolerably concise. Yet let me just observe, that Mr. Frith might vie with Calvin, or with Zuinglius, or even with Luther himself, as a predestinarian. Heylin affirms, that, in this respect, Frith soared higher than even Mr. Tyndal's penetrating sight could follow: and yet, as I have shown in this very section, Tyndal looked as far into predestination, as most men ever did. But, it seems, Frith could contemplate the glorious lustre of that sun, with a still more acute and less dazzled eye. No wonder, there-

in 1533. The whole of this concise treatise is inserted into Mr. Fox's inestimable Martyrology. An extract from it will, I hope, both please and profit the reader.

Mr. Hamelton well knew, that half of our religious mistakes arise from not clearly ascertaining the difference between the law and the gospel, and from not exactly distinguishing the true nature of each. This he does, with great judgment and accuracy, in the following remarks.

“The law saith, pay thy debt. [viz. the debt of perfect obedience to God]. The gospel saith, Christ hath paid it.

“The law saith, thou art a sinner; despair, and thou shalt be damned. The gospel saith, thy sins are forgiven thee, be of good comfort, for thou shalt be saved.

“The law saith, make amends for thy sins. The gospel saith, Christ hath made it for thee.

“The law saith, the Father of heaven is angry with thee. The gospel saith, Christ hath pacified him with his blood.

“The law saith, where is thy righteousness, goodness, satisfaction? The gospel saith, Christ is thy righteousness, goodness, and satisfaction.

“The law saith, thou art bound [over] to me, to the devil, and to hell. The gospel saith, Christ hath delivered thee from them all.”

On the subject of faith, he observes, that this important term signifies, “To believe in Christ, and to believe his word, and to believe that he will help thee in all thy need, and deliver thee from all evil.” He affirms, that “Faith is the gift of God,” which he thus proves:

“Every good thing is the gift of God.

“Faith is good.

“Ergò, faith is the gift of God.”

fore, that Heylin should stare with affrightment, at what he terms “Fritli's high-flying conceits of predestination.” See Heylin's Misc. Tracts, p. 544. and 547.

Nor does he stop here ;, but immediately adds this consecutory proposition : “ Faith is not in our power.” Which he likewise argues syllogistically :

“ The gift of God is not in our power.

“ Faith is the gift of God.

“ Therefore, faith is not in our power.”

On the doctrine of works, he expresses himself with great perspicuity and strength of reason. “ No man,” says he, “ is justified by the deeds of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ. Moreover, since Christ, the Maker of heaven and earth and all that is therein, behoved to die for us ; we are compelled to grant, that we were so far drowned and sunk in sin, that neither our deeds, nor all the treasures that ever God made or might make, could have holpen us out of it. Therefore, no deeds or works [of our own performing] may make us righteous.” He then obviates an objection, which, he foresaw, either the ignorance or the perverseness of some might possibly allege : “ If works make us neither righteous nor unrighteous, then (thou wilt say) it is no matter what we do. I answer : If thou do evil, it is a sure argument that thou art evil, and wantest faith. If thou do good, it is an argument that thou art good, and hast faith ; for a good tree beareth good fruit, and an evil tree evil fruit. Yet good fruit makes not the tree good, nor evil fruit the tree evil. A man is good, ere he do good deeds ; and evil, ere he do evil deeds.

“ Whosoever believeth or thinketh to be saved by his works, denieth that Christ is his Saviour. For how is he thy Saviour, if thou mightest save thyself by thy works ? or whereto should he die for thee, if any works [of thine] might have saved thee ?—What is this, to say Christ died for thee ? Verily, that thou shouldest [else] have died perpetually ; and that Christ, to deliver thee from death, died for thee, and changed thy perpetual death into his own death. For thou madest the fault, and he suffered the pain :

and that for the love he had to thee before thou wast born, when thou hadst done neither good nor evil. Now, seeing he hath paid thy debt, thou needest not, neither canst thou pay it; but shouldst be damned, if his blood were not [shed]. But, since he was punished for thee, thou shalt not be punished.

“I do not say, that we ought to do no good deeds: but I say, we should do no good works to the intent to get the inheritance of heaven, or remission of sin. For if we believe to get the inheritance of heaven through good works, then we believe not to get it through the promise of God. Or if we think to get remission of our sins by our deeds, then we believe not that they are forgiven us; and so we count God a liar. For God saith, Thou shalt have the inheritance of heaven, for my Son’s sake; thy sins are forgiven thee, for my Son’s sake: and you say, it is not so, but I will win it through my works.

“Thus, you see, I condemn not good deeds, but I condemn the false trust in any works: for, all the works, wherein a man putteth any confidence, are therewith poisoned, and become evil.

“Wherefore, thou must do good works; but beware that thou do them not [with a view] to deserve any good through them; for, if thou do, thou receivest the good, not as gifts of God, but as debt to thee, and makest thyself fellow with God, because thou wilt take nothing of him for nought. And so shalt thou fall, as Lucifer fell for his pride.”

Is it not astonishing, that so young a man, a native and inhabitant of Scotland, should write with such precision, and in so masterly a style, almost two hundred and fifty years ago?

II. No person who knows any thing of the Scottish history, can be entirely unacquainted with the character and sufferings of the famous and venerable Mr. George Wishart, who was burned at St. Andrews, A. D. 1545. His remarkable history, and the spirit of prophecy with which he more than once proved

himself to be endued, are so well known, that I shall enter (*o*) directly on the evidence of his Calvinism.

On his examination, before the cardinal archbishop of St. Andrews, he was accused of representing God as the author of sin. “Thou, false heretic, saigest, that man hath no free-will, but is like to the Stoics, who say, that it is not in man’s will to do any thing; but that all concupiscence and desire cometh by God, whatsoever kind it be of (*p*).” Mr. Wishart in his answer, utterly denied that the doctrine of salvation by grace is pregnant with so blasphemous a conse-

(*o*) The description of Mr. Wishart’s person, dress, and demeanor, drawn by one who had been his pupil at Cambridge (for Mr. Wishart received his education, and spent some years, in that university), presents us with an artless, but lively picture of antique simplicity, too singular to be overlooked. “He was a man of tall stature, pold-headed, and on the same a round French cap of the best: judged to be of a melancholy complexion, by his physiognomy. Black haired, long bearded, comely of personage, well spoken after his country of Scotland, courteous, lowly, lovely, glad to teach, desirous to learn, and was well travelled. Having on him, for his habit, or clothing, never but a mantle, or frieze gown to the shoes; a black millian fustian doublet; plain black hosen; coarse new canvass for his shirts; and white falling bands, and cuffs at his hands. All the which apparel he gave to the poor; some weekly, some monthly, some quarterly, as he liked: saving his French cap, which he kept the whole year of my being with him. He was modest, temperate, fearing God, and hating covetousness: for his charity had never end, night, noon, nor day. He forbore one meal in three, one day in four, for the most part; except something to comfort nature. He lay hard, upon a puff of straw; and coarse new canvass sheets, which, when he changed, he gave away. He had commonly by his bed-side, a tub of water: in the which (his people being in bed, the candle put out, and all quiet), as I being very young, being assured, often heard him; and, in one light night, discerned him. He taught with great modesty and gravity; so that some of his people thought him severe, and would have slain him: but the Lord was his defence. And he, after due correction for their malice, by good exhortation amended them, and went his way. His learning was no less sufficient, than his desire: always prest and ready to do good in that he was able, both in the house privately, and in the school publicly; professing and reading divers authors.” See Fox, vol. ii. p. 521.

(*p*) Ibid. 524.



quence: "My lords, I said not so. I say, that as many as believe in Christ firmly, unto them is given liberty; conformably to the saying in St. John, If the Son make you free, then shall ye verily be free. On the contrary, as many as believe not in Christ Jesus, they are bond-servants of sin. He, that sinneth, is bound to sin (*q*)."<sup>1</sup> What is this, but to say? 1. That man's will is not free to good, until after he is converted to the faith of Christ. 2. That, prior to conversion, and in a state of nature, man cannot but offend God. 3. That man can only be made free indeed, by the grace of Christ, breathing faith into his heart.—If this be not Calvinism, I am at a loss to know what is.

A clause, occurring in one of Mr. Wishart's last supplications to God, shall conclude this section: "We desire thee heartily, that thou conserve, defend, and help thy congregation which thou hast chosen before the beginning of the world; and give them thy grace, to hear thy word, and to be thy true servants in this present life (*r*)."<sup>2</sup>

### SECTION XIII.

#### *The Judgment of our English Reformers.*

VERY little need be said, to prove the Calvinism of those illuminated divines, who were made by providence, the instruments of extending and fixing the English reformation. The whole series of our public service, the uniform tenor of our articles, and the chain of doctrine asserted in each book of homilies, are a standing demonstration, that the original framers and compilers believed in, and worshipped, the God of their fathers, after that way which papists and Arminians term heresy.

(*q*) Ibid. 524.

(*r*) Ibid. 525.

Even Mr. Sellon does not, in his 7th page, so much as attempt to call in question the Calvinism of our reformers. Finding himself hard drove, he fairly gives up the point: exclaiming, however, at the same time, that the reformers brought their Calvinism with them from the church of Rome. "Let me tell you," says the angry conceder, "that our first reformers, in the point of predestination, did say over those lessons which they had learned in the Roman schools." I agree with my adversary, in acknowledging, that the reformers were predestinarians; but I pity his weakness in venturing to assert, on the lame authority of Christopher Potter, that those excellent men imported their doctrine of predestination from Rome. I have already shown, that it has, for ages and ages back, been the ruling endeavour of popery, to stifle, demolish, and exterminate, the whole system of Calvinism both root and branch. You might as reasonably affirm, that the glory which beamed from the face of Moses, was kindled at hell-fire; as insinuate, that we are indebted to Rome for any of our Thirty-nine articles.—Mr. Sellon's concession, however, induces me to offer him a plain query. To what end have you scribbled a libel, with a professed view to Arminianize the liturgy, articles, and homilies, which you yourself acknowledge to have been composed by Calvinistic divines? Can any man in his senses, really believe, that a set of predestinarians would draw up a plan of national faith and worship on the Arminian model? Impossible. Your quotation, therefore, from Christopher Potter, which you have adopted for your own, has stabbed the whole hypothesis of your pamphlet to the very heart.

In vain do Messieurs Wesley and Sellon disconsolately walk arm in arm, round about our established Zion, surveying her walls, and shaking their heads at her bulwarks; but unable either to find, or to make a breach, whereat to enter. Happy would they deem

themselves, could they prove that the reformers were Arminians. But, alas! the church of England was settled under king Edward VI. long before Arminius himself was born; and afterwards resettled by Elizabeth, when the same Arminius was an infant in his cradle. Pelagians were (if I may so phrase it) the Arminians of those times: and pelagians are, expressly and by name, branded for "vain talkers," in the ninth article. It clearly follows, 1. That the original compilers of the articles were not pelagians. And, 2. That they could not be Arminians: for Arminius was then unborn and unbegotten (*s*).

Bishop Burnet himself, as I have elsewhere observed, was compelled to grant, that, "In England, the first reformers were generally Sublapsarians (*t*):" tacitly admitting, that the rest of those apostolic men were (dreadful news to Mr. Sellon!) Supralapsarians (*u*). I could corroborate this assertion, if need required, from other very plain and conclusive passages, scattered through Burnet's historic writings. Waving, however, at present, the farther testimonies of that prelate; I shall adduce the attestations of two more modern historians: neither of whom can incur the remotest suspicion of leaning toward Calvinism. These are, Mr. Tindal, the reverend continuator of Rapin; and David Hume, Esq.; whose history, considered merely as a compo-

(*s*) He was born at Oudewater, in 1560.

(*t*) Expos. of the 17th Article.

(*u*) The Supralapsarians suppose, that, in the decree of election and preterition, God did not consider mankind either as fallen or unfallen; but chose some, and rejected others, considered merely as beings that should infallibly exist.—The Sublapsarians suppose, that the elect were chosen, and the reprobate passed by, not merely as creatures; but complexly as sinners. Each hypothesis has been adopted by some of the best and greatest men that ever lived. Calvinism is the general name, under which, the partizans of both are comprehended. The church of England system, as I shall show hereafter, is, strictly speaking, formed on the Sublapsarian principle: though with such moderation, as not to exclude the former.

sition, does honour to the author and the age. I begin with the former.

“In England, a middle course was steered:” [i. e. we admitted the doctrines, but rejected the discipline of Geneva.] “Though the articles of religion are a plain transcript of St. Austin’s doctrine, in the controverted points of original sin, predestination, justification by faith alone, efficacy of grace, and good works; yet are they composed with such a latitude.” No quibbling, good Mr. Tindal. If the articles of the church of England, respecting those tenets, are “a plain transcript of St. Austin’s doctrine;” it irresistibly follows, that they only, who believe as St. Austin did, can honestly subscribe to Austin’s articles. For, of what value is a fence, whose chasms and apertures are of “such a latitude,” as to admit the very persons, whom it was professedly planted to exclude? To imagine, that the reformers, who had, themselves, gone so heartily and strongly into the doctrines above-mentioned; and who, moreover, digested those doctrines into a national creed, to continue as the standing test of ministerial orthodoxy; to imagine that these identical reformers would leave such loopholes of evasion, as would counteract the very design of that test, and render the test itself null and void; is equivalent to supposing, that a man would first fortify the door of his house with as many bolts and bars as he can, and then purposely leave his door on the latch, that every intruder, who pleases, may enter in.

Mr. Tindal proceeds. “The most rigid Calvinist can give his assent to all the thirty-nine articles, except three, which relate to the discipline of the church.” Thirty-six, then, out of the thirty-nine, are most rigidly Calvinistic: else, the most rigid Calvinist could not “give his assent to all the articles except three.” And even those three may be both assented and subscribed to, with full purpose of heart,

by every man who is a Calvinist in matters of doctrine only.—“For though the doctrine of the church of England, as it stands in the articles and homilies, agrees with that of the Calvinists; yet the discipline is entirely different.” I grant that the discipline of our church is “entirely different” from that mode of discipline embraced by some Calvinists: and may it ever continue so. In nothing did the wisdom of our reformers more strikingly appear, than in connecting the purest doctrines with the best form of ecclesiastical government and discipline. A species of discretion, in which the foreign leaders of the reformation were not so happy.—Now, on weighing the collected amount of Mr. Tindal’s (*x*) testimony, I would submit this natural question to the reader: Would

(*x*) The passages, here cited from that writer, occur in the third volume of his *Continuation* (octavo 1758) p. 275.—I cannot pass over, without a moment’s animadversion, what this historian imprudently advances, respecting the liturgy of the church of England. “The liturgy,” says he, p. 276. “or common prayers, were chiefly taken from the offices of the church of Rome.”—This, I well know, is a pretty general opinion. But I cannot help believing it to be unjustly founded. The agreement, between some parts of our public service, and some parts of the Romish missals, falls extremely short of proving the main point. We use the Lord’s Prayer (for example) in common with the papists: yet we receive it, not from Rome; but from the New Testament. A pen, not altogether contemptible, affirms, that the compilers of the liturgy examined not only the popish forms, but likewise “all other service books then in use. These they compared with the primitive liturgies: and whatever they found in them consonant to the holy scriptures, and the doctrine and worship of the primitive church, they retained and improved; but the modern corruptions and superstitious innovations of latter ages, they entirely discharged and rejected.” See Downes’ *Lives of the Compilers*, p. 150. What I shall farther add, I give from an authority incomparably more decisive and respectable.—“Our church of England,” says bishop Stillingfleet, “hath omitted none of those offices wherein all the ancient churches were agreed: and where the [primitive] British or Gallican [church] differed from the Roman, our [present] church hath not followed the Roman, but the other. And therefore our dissenters do unreasonably charge us with taking our offices from the church of Rome.” Stillingfleet’s *Origines Britannicæ*, chap. iv. p. 237.—The Gallican liturgy (extremely different from the Roman) was intro-

the English reformers have established a summary of doctrines “agreeable to that of the Calvinists,” if the said reformers had not been Calvinists themselves? To solve this enquiry, we need only propose another: would such men (for instance) as Pelagius and Arminius, have drawn up such articles, in particular, as the 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, and 18th?

Let us next attend to the florid and ingenious Mr. Hume. “The first reformers in England, as in other European countries, had embraced the most rigid tenets of predestination and absolute decrees: and had composed upon that system, all the articles of their religious creed. But these principles having met with opposition,” [viz. about sixty years after], from Arminius and his sectaries, the controversy was soon” [i. e. soon after the rise of Arminianism in the Dutch provinces, at the period aforesaid] “brought into this island, and began here to diffuse itself (*y*).” Again: “All the first reformers adopted these principles,” viz. the principles of “Absolute decrees (*z*).” No wonder, therefore, when the Arminians started up to oppose the ancient faith, that, “Throughout the nation, they laid under the reproach of innovation and heresy. Their protectors were stigmatized; their tenets canvassed; their views represented as dangerous and pernicious (*a*).”

Hitherto, we have dealt in generals. We shall now (though so plain a case is far from requiring it) descend, briefly, to particulars.

duced, it seems, into England, in the beginning of the fifth century: and is said to have been originally framed by Polycarp and Irenæus. The learned bishop gives a large account of this ancient form of worship; proves it to have been the basis of that now established; and points out a great variety of particulars, in which it differed from the form imposed by the Roman bishops. See *ibid.* from p. 216 to p. 237.—Edit. 1685.

(*y*) Hume’s *Hist. of Engl.* vol. vi. p. 211.—Octavo edit. 1767.

(*z*) *Ibid.* vol. v. p. 572.

(*a*) *Ibid.* vol. vi. p. 211.

Those divines, to whom, under God, this kingdom is chiefly indebted for its reformation from popery, were Wickliff, who laid the basis; and Cranmer, Ridley, Latimer, Hooper, Martin Bucer, and Peter Martyr. Though the two latter were foreigners, yet, as they greatly assisted in that important work, they deservedly stand high on the list of English reformers. Wickliff's Calvinism has been already proved. I proceed, therefore, to the rest.

I. Dr. Thomas Cranmer, archbishop of Canterbury, went as far as he could, or at least as far as he dared, in promoting the protestant cause, during the last boisterous years of Henry VIII. For some time after his elevation to the primacy, he was far from possessing that strong evangelical light, which he afterwards attained. God led him from step to step. He advanced rather slowly, but solidly and surely. He was not (for instance) clear, even as to the manner of Christ's presence in the eucharist, until after the year 1538 (*b*). But the path of the just is a light that shines more and more to the perfect day. His knowledge of divine things was abundantly brighter, when Edward VI. ascended the throne in 1547. The famous catechism, ascribed to bishop Ponet, and of which I have elsewhere (*c*) given an account, received the sanction

(*b*) "The archbishop was not yet convinced of the falsehood of transubstantiation, but continued a stiff maintainer of the corporal presence; as appears from his being unhappily concerned in the prosecution of Lambert, who was burnt, Nov. 20, 1538." Downes, ubi supra, p. 13.

(*c*) In my pamphlet, entitled, *The Church of England Vindicated*, &c.—Dr. John Ponet was translated from Rochester to Winchester, in 1550. According to Godwin, he was one of the most learned persons of the age. "Græcam linguam callebat ad amissim, mathematicarum porrò scientiarum ad miraculum usque peritus:" i. e. a most masterly Græcian, and a prodigy for his skill in mathematics. He excelled also in the mechanic part of philosophy; witness the curious clock, which he constructed for the use of Henry VIII.

of Cranmer's own subscription. We must, therefore, admit, either that Cranmer was as absolute a predestinarian as Calvin himself; or charge the venerable archbishop with such extreme dissimulation and hypocrisy, as are utterly incompatible with common honesty. For, this catechism (as I have shown in my tract referred to below) asserted the doctrines of predestination, efficacious grace, free justification, and final perseverance, in the fullest, strongest, and most explicit terms: and, if solemn subscription to so strict a test be not a sufficient proof of a man's real belief, all integrity and social confidence are at an end. That Cranmer actually did set his hand to it, appears from the unexceptionable testimony of his brother bishop and brother martyr, Dr. Ridley.

“A catechism,” says Mr. Strype, “for the instruction of children in the fundamentals of true religion, passed the same synod [viz. the synod of 1552]: but who was the author, was not known in those days. Bishop Ridley was charged to be the author and publisher thereof, by Ward and Weston, in the disputation with him [held, in the succeeding reign of Mary, prior to his martyrdom] at Oxford. Ridley declared, he was not: but confessed, that he saw the book, perused it after it was made, and noted many things for it; and so consented to the book. Weston then told Ridley, that he [viz. Ridley], being then a bishop in his ruff, had made him [i. e. had made Weston] subscribe it. But Ridley replied, he [had] compelled no man to subscribe: indeed, he [himself] had set his hand to it,

It not only pointed to the hours, and to the day of the month; but showed the lunar variations, together with the ebbing and flowing of the sea. While Edward VI. lived (who had loved him from his earliest childhood, and had reaped much benefit from his sermons) the good bishop enjoyed an uninterrupted series of honours and repose. But on the accession of Mary, he retired to Germany, where he died at Strasburgh, August 11, 1556, aged only 40 years. Vide Godwin. De Præsul. Angl. p. 237, 238.



and so did Cranmer; and that then it [i. e. the catechism] was given to others of the convocation to set their hands, but without compulsion (*d*).” This passage merits a remark or two.

1. The catechism abovementioned (by some, called king Edward’s Catechism; by some, bishop (*e*) Ponet’s; by others, Dr. Alexander Nowel’s, because afterwards enlarged and republished by that learned dean, in the reign of Elizabeth) was approved and passed by a public synod, held at London, under the express warrant of king Edward himself. 2. The synod, which approved, passed, and subscribed this catechism, was the self-same synod, or convocation, which proved, passed, and subscribed the book of articles (*f*): though the

(*d*) Strype’s Eccles. Memorials, vol. ii. p. 368.

(*e*) Mr. Strype believed, that Dr. Alexander Nowel had the chief hand in framing this catechism. I suppose, it is on the authority of bishop Bale, that it is sometimes singly attributed to Dr. Ponet. Possibly, Ponet might digest and throw it into form. But its rough materials were, most probably, furnished by the joint care of the reformers in general, and of Cranmer in particular, who was one of the prime agents, in every thing that related to religion, during this whole reign.

(*f*) “While the parliament was sitting this winter, a synod also was held, wherein was framed and concluded a book of articles of religion, purified and reformed from the errors of popery and other sects;—for the avoiding of controversy in opinions, and the establishment of a godly concord in certain matters of religion. A catechism, for the instruction of children in the fundamentals of true religion, passed the said synod.” Strype, *ut supra*.

Dr. Fuller also ascribes the catechism to the same persons who drew up the articles: i. e. to the reformers themselves. “With these” [i. e. with the articles of religion agreed upon in convocation,] “was bound a catechism, younger in age (as bearing date of the next year,) but of the same extraction, relating to this convocation, as author thereof.” Where let it be observed, that the reformers presided personally in this convocation, and were the very life and movers of all that was acted in it.—Fuller goes on: “Indeed it” [viz. the catechism] “was first compiled (as appears by the king’s patent prefixed) by a single divine, characterized ‘pious and learned:’ but afterwards perused and allowed by the bishops, and other learned men, &c. and by royal authority commanded to all subjects, [and] commanded to all schoolmasters to teach it their scholars.”—

latter were not published until the summer following. Consequently, 3. The church of England is indebted for those articles which at this day are subscribed by her clergy, to the care and piety of that very synod who publicly and solemnly set their seal to that catechism. 4. The catechism being fraught with the highest Calvinism, they, who subscribed it (and Cranmer among the rest), were either temporizing hypocrites, or sincere Calvinists. 5. Bishop Ridley evidently had a hand in compiling it: witness his own words, already quoted, testifying that he had "noted many things for it;" i. e. in modern language, he had furnished some hints towards the materials out of which it had been framed. 6. He owned and assented to the contents of it, in the face of the popish court at Oxford, by whom he was tried and condemned to the flames. 7. From what passed on that occasion, it is conspicuous, that nothing gives the church of Rome so much offence, as the Calvinistic doctrines asserted in that protestant catechism: Mr. Sellon, therefore, is prodigiously mistaken in affirming, that, as predestinarians, "Our reformers did only say over again those lessons which they had learned in the Romish schools." 8. The use of this catechism was enjoined by the united authority of church and state. Both the synod and the king's privy council, concurred in giving it their sanction. "In May, the

Fuller's Church Hist. book vii. p. 421.—The "single divine," charactered, in the king's patent, as "pious and learned;" was probably bishop Ponet: to whom the care of revising and methodizing the catechism, seems to have been committed: and whom Heylin himself characterises as "an excellent Græcian, well studied with the ancient fathers, and one of the ablest mathematicians which those times produced." Heylin also observes, concerning the catechism itself, that it was "bound up with the book of articles, countenanced by the king's letters patents prefixed before it, approved by many bishops and learned men, and generally voiced to be another of the products of this convocation:" though himself, for reasons sufficiently obvious, affects to doubt of the latter circumstance. Misc. Tracts, p. 551. 553.

next year," says Strype, "viz. 1553, the council sent their letters abroad, in behalf of this catechism, enjoining it to be taught to scholars, as the ground and foundation of their [religious] learning; as it is expressed in the Warrant Book (*g*)." Whence it is evident, 9. That the reformers and protestant clergy of England considered the belief of predestination, and its relative doctrines, as essential and fundamental to the very existence of Christianity itself. 10. The injunctions of the council respecting this catechism, were issued at the same time that the articles themselves were published, viz. in May, 1553. The catechism, therefore, was designed as a larger display of these evangelical principles, which were virtually, but more briefly, contained in the articles. The reason is evident. The articles were intended for the clergy, who were supposed not to need so extended and minute a detail of doctrine: a compendious summary would, to them, answer the end, full as well. But the case was judged to be different with the laity of that time. It seemed necessary, that the church articles should be explained to them in a more particular and expanded manner; especially to young persons: and therefore the catechism was enjoined, as a kind of familiar and copious elucidation of what the articles comprised in a narrower compass. The articles were (if I may so speak) the text: the catechism was the commentary.

Peter Heylin's concession, in favour of this catechism, is very observable. "For my part," says that Arminian, "I can see no possible inconvenience which can follow on it, in yielding so far as to admit the passages before recited," [viz. the passages cited by Pryne from the said catechism, which happened to be the very same passages which I too shall presently cite from it in this section] "to be fully con-

sonant to the true, genuine sense and proper meaning of all, but more especially of our 9th, 10th, 13th, 16th and 17th articles, then newly composed. So that whatsoever is positively and clearly affirmed in this catechism, of any of the points now controverted, may be safely implied as the undoubted doctrine of our church and articles (*h*).”

The sum of all, so far as concerns Cranmer, is, that, if he were an honest man (which I see no reason to suspect), he must have been, what Arminians would now call, a rigid predestinarian. Nor is this alternative limited to that good archbishop. It holds equally true of all and every divine, who had any hand in our excellent reformation.

As my former Vindication of the Church of England, from the Charge of Arminianism, has been long out of print; an extract from the above celebrated catechism, though already given in that pamphlet, seems due to the readers of this; and the rather, as Mr. Sellon has been so indecently rash, as to affirm (p. 53.) that this valuable monument of good old church-doctrine “does not contain much more sound divinity than the old Koran of Mahomet.” Whether Cranmer, and those other excellent men, who were the fathers of our English reformation, deserve the name of Mahometans, with which this ignorant, foul-mouthed writer dares to brand their venerable memories, will best appear, from the following passages which occur in the catechism itself. The speakers are supposed to be master and scholar.

“As many as are in this faith stedfast, were fore-chosen, predestinated, and appointed to everlasting life, before the world was made. Witness hereof, they have within their hearts the Spirit of Christ, the author, earnest, and unfailable pledge of their faith. Which faith only is able to perceive the mysteries

(*h*) Heylin's Miscellaneous Tracts, p. 585.

of God; only brings peace unto the heart; only taketh hold on the righteousness which is in Christ Jesus.

“ Master. Doth then the Spirit alone, and faith (sleepe we never so securely, or stand we never so rechless or slouthful), so worke all things for us, as without any helpe of our owne, to carry us idle up to heaven?

“ Schol. I use, Master, as you have taught me, to make a difference betweene the cause and the effect. The first, principal, and most proper cause of our justification and salvation, is the goodness and love of God, whereby he chose us for his, before he made the world. After that, God granteth us to be called, by the preaching of the gospel of Jesus Christ, when the Spirit of the Lord is poured into us: by whose guiding and governance we be led to settle our trust in God, and hope for the performance of his promise.—From the same Spirit also cometh our sanctification; the love of God, and of our neighbour; justice, and uprightnesse of life. Finally, to say all in summe: Whatever is in us, or may be done of us, honest, pure, true, and good; it altogether springeth out of this most pleasant rocke, from this most plentiful fountain, the goodness, love, choice, and unchangeable purpose of God. He is the cause: the rest are the fruits and effects.

“ Yet are also the goodnesse, choice, and Spirit of God, and Christ himselfe, causes, conjoined and coupled each with other; which may be reckoned among the principal causes of salvation. As oft, therefore, as we use to say, that we are made righteous, and saved, by faith only; it is meant thereby, that faith, or rather trust alone, doth lay hand upon, understand, and perceiv our righteous-making to be given us of God freely, that is to say, by no deserts of our own, but by the free grace of the Almighty Father. Moreover, faith doth ingender in

us love of our neighbour, and such workes as God is pleased withall: for, if it be a lively and true faith, quickened by the Holy Ghost, she is the mother of all good saying and doing.

“ By this short tale it is evident, whence, and by what meanes we attaine to be righteous. For, not by the worthiness of our deservings, were we either heretofore chosen, or long agoe saved; but by the only mercy of God, and pure grace of Christ our Lord: whereby we were in him made to doe these good workes, that God had appointed for us to walke in. And although good workes cannot deserve to make us righteous before God, yet do they so cleave unto faith, that neither faith can be found without them, nor good workes be any where found without faith.

“ Immortality and blessed life God hath provided for his chosen, before the foundations of the world were laid.

“ As for the sacrifices, cleansings, washings, and other ceremonies of the law; they were shadows, types, images, and figures, of the true and eternal sacrifice that Jesus Christ made upon the crosse; by whose benefit alone, all the sinnes of all beleivers, from the beginning of the world, are pardoned, by the sole mercy of God, and not by any merits of their owne.

“ As soone as ever Adam and Eve had eaten of the forbidden fruit, they both dyed: that is, they were not only liable to the death of the body, but they likewise lost the life of the soul, which is righteousnesse. And forthwith the divine image is obscured in them; and those lineaments of righteousnesse, holinesse, truth, and knowledge of God, which were exceeding comely, were disordered, and almost obliterated. The terrene image only remained; coupled with unrighteousnesse, fraud, carnal affections, and grosse ignorance of divine and heavenly things. From thence, also, proceeded the infirmity

of our flesh. From thence, that corruption and confusion of the affections and desires. Hence, that plague, hence that seminary and nutriment of all sinne, with which mankinde is infected, which is called original sinne. Moreover, nature is so depraved and cast downe, that unlesse the goodnesse and mercy of Almighty God had helped us by the medicine of grace, as in body we are thrust downe into all the miserys of death, so it was [i. e. it would have been] necessary that all men of all sorts should be cast into eternal torment, and fire which cannot bee quenched.

“ The Holy Ghost is called holy, not onely for his owne holinesse, but because the elect of God are made holy by him. The church, is the company of those who are called to eternal life by the Holy Ghost, by whom she is guided and governed ; which, since she cannot be understood by the light of sense or nature, is justly placed among the number of those things which are to be beleaved. And it [i. e. the church] is therefore called the catholicke, that is, the universal assembly of the faithful ; because it is not tied to any certaine place.”

From the above extracts, an idea may be formed of the doctrines which Cranmer and his fellow-reformers, and the members of the church of England, maintained in those days of protestant purity. In such high estimation was this evangelical catechism held, that king Edward himself honoured it with a prefatory epistle, (dated at Greenwich, May 20.) “ Commanding and charging all schoolmasters whatsoever, within his dominions, as they did reverence his authority, and as they would avoid his royal displeasure, to teach this catechism, diligently and carefully, in all and every their schools : that so, the youth of the kingdome might be settled in the grounds of true religion, and furthered in God’s worship.” Add to this, that it was not only published in English, and annexed to the church ar-

ticles, for the instruction of the king's own subjects ; but also in Latin, that foreigners might with the more certainty, judge for themselves, and see with their own eyes, what were the genuine and authentic doctrines of our reformed church.

Archbishop Cranmer's Calvinism did not expire with the reign of king Edward. The great and good prelate had it seems, soon after the accession of Mary, been falsely accused of temporizing in some religious matters, with a view to ingratiate himself with the new popish queen. This he courageously disproved, in a printed paper, to which he set his name ; and wherein among others, is the following remarkable paragraph : " And although many, either unlearned or malicious, do report that Mr. Peter Martyr is unlearned ; yet, if the queen's highness will grant thereunto, I, with the said Mr. Peter Martyr, and other four or five, which I shall choose, will, by God's grace, take upon us to defend, not only the common prayers of the church, the ministration of the sacraments, and other rites and ceremonies, but also all the doctrine and religion set out by our sovereign lord, king Edward VI. to be more pure, and according to God's word, than any other that hath been used in England these thousand years (*i*)."—Now, the catechism already cited, was a part, and a very distinguished part, of " the doctrine and religion set forth by king Edward VI." Consequently, in the above challenge, that catechism was one of those protestant regulations, which Cranmer publicly offered to defend against the whole army of popish disputants.—Surely, if ever there were a Calvinist on earth, Cranmer (*k*) was one ! And so was,

(*i*) Fox, vol. iii. p. 77.

(*k*) Dr. Edwards cites a passage from this renowned archbishop, which may serve to confirm the general tenor of the evidences already produced. In Cranmer's second treatise against Gardiner, these words, it seems, occur : " Our Saviour Christ, according to



II. Dr. Nicholas Ridley, that illustrious reformer, prelate, and martyr. He became bishop of Rochester, in 1547; and was translated to London, in 1550, on the deprivation of Bonner. Every body knows, that he was finally burned at Oxford, A. D. 1555, at one stake with bishop Latimer. As the two episcopal martyrs were led out to the place of execution, (which was before Baliol College,) they looked up to Cranmer's prison-window, in hopes of seeing him at it, that they might bid the last farewell to their beloved metropolitan. But, at that instant, the archbishop was "Engaged in disputation with some friars: so that he was not then at his window. But he looked after them, with great tenderness: and, kneeling down, prayed earnestly, that God would strengthen their faith and patience, in that their last, but painful passage (*l*)." Being arrived at the stake, Ridley embraced Latimer with surprising cheerfulness, and testified his assurance of divine support, in these remarkable words: "Be of good heart, brother; for God will either assuage the fury of the flame, or else strengthen us to abide it." Nor was Latimer less filled with joy in the Holy Ghost: for, when the fire was kindled at Ridley's feet, the former thus encouraged his blessed fellow-victim, "Be of good comfort, Mr. Ridley, and play the man! We shall, this day, by God's grace, light such a candle in England, as, I trust, shall never be put out (*m*)."

In producing a specimen of bishop Ridley's rooted attachment to the Calvinian doctrines, I shall begin

the will of his eternal Father, when the time thereof was fully accomplished, taking our nature upon him, came into the world, from the high throne of his Father; to give light to them that were in darkness and the shadow of death, and to preach, and give pardon and full remission of sins to all his elected."—See Edwards' *Veritas Redux*, p. 526.

(*l*) Burnet's *Hist. of the Reform.* vol. ii. p. 296.

(*m*) Fox, iii. p. 130.

with a general, but a very decisive proof of it: I mean, the extreme veneration, in which, to the end of his life, he held that excellent catechism of the church of England, published in 1553. The abstracts from it, which have been already lain before the reader, demonstrate, that it was drawn up in the highest strains of Calvinism. The two following passages, written by bishop Ridley, during his imprisonment, and just before his martyrdom, will, consequently, demonstrate him to have been a very high Calvinist. “Finally, I hear say, that the catechism, which was lately set forth in the English tongue, is now” [viz. after the restoration of popery, by queen Mary] “in every pulpit condemned. Oh, devilish malice! and most spitefully injurious to the redemption of mankind purchased by Jesus Christ! Indeed, satan could not long suffer, that so great light should be spread abroad in the world (n).” In his admirable farewell letter to his relations, he observes, that, while protestantism flourished under pious king Edward, “the church of England had, through the infinite goodness and abundant grace of Almighty God, great riches of heavenly treasure; great plenty of God’s true, sincere word; the true and wholesome administration of Christ’s holy sacraments; the whole profession of Christ’s religion, truly and plainly set forth in baptism; the plain declaration and understanding of the same, taught in the holy catechism, to have been learned of all true Christians (o).”

Another general proof of Ridley’s soundness in the faith, may be taken from the pathetic anguish, with which he lamented the abolition of the homilies and articles. The church of England, says he, “had also holy and wholesome homilies, in commendation of the principal virtues. She had, in matters of controversy, articles so pen-

(n) Fox, *ibid.* p. 372.(o) Fox, *ibid.* p. 432.

ned and framed after the holy scriptures, and grounded upon the true understanding of God's word, that, in short time, if they had been universally received, they would have been able to have set in Christ's true religion, and to have expelled many false errors and heresies. But, alas! I may well cry out, O God, the heathens are come into thy heritage: they have defiled thy holy temple, and made Jerusalem an heap of stones. These thieves" [meaning the papists, superinduced by queen Mary] "be of Samaria. These Sabeans and Chaldeans, these robbers (*p*), have rushed out of their dens, and have robbed the church of England of all the foresaid holy treasure of God (*q*)," viz. of her catechism, liturgy, homilies, and articles. Thus did this plaintive nightingale warble forth his woes. Thus did he hang his harp upon the willows, and mourn over the ruins of Zion.—Blessed be God, there were mercies in reserve for this kingdom, which the weeping martyr little imagined, and which soon reversed the face of things. Ridley was executed, October 16, 1555. On the 17th of November, 1558, queen Mary went to give an account of her butcheries to God; and Elizabeth mounted the throne.

(*p*) Would to God, that the present age afforded none of these! No protestant Sabeans, no Samaritans in crape! who, even while they derive their maintenance from the breasts of the church, seek to rob her of her choicest "Holy treasure," the doctrines which are her crown of glory—The doctrines, which the robbers themselves, kneeling at God's altar, have solemnly vowed to maintain—The doctrines, to which they have also deliberately affixed the subscription of the hand—The doctrines, to which they have not only subscribed and vowed at the time of their ordination, but ratified both vow and subscription by immediately receiving the symbols of Christ's precious body and blood as a seal to the whole!—If incontestable fact did not compel us to the contrary, we could hardly believe it possible for the utmost depravity of human nature to aim at the subversion of a church, which the intentional subverters are tied, by such a chain of engagements, to support.

(*q*) Fox, *ibid.* p. 432.

The above general evidences of bishop Ridley's principles, are extremely strong and conclusive. I shall, however, lay before the reader some farther proofs still more explicit and particular.

The doctrine of election, or predestination to life, appears to have been a favourite article with this eminent servant of God. Making mention of bishop Farrar, bishop Hooper, Mr. Rogers, and others, who had lately poured out their souls unto death for the testimony of Christ; he observes, these "were burned at Smithfield in London, with many others in Essex and Kent: whose names are written in the book of life (*r*)."<sup>r</sup> Again: "I doubt not in the infinite goodness of my Lord God, nor in the faithful fellowship of his elect and chosen people (*s*)."<sup>s</sup> His definition of the true, invisible church, is not a little remarkable: by the church of England, says Ridley, "I mean the congregation of the true chosen children of God in this realm of England: whom I acknowledge, not only to be my neighbours, but rather the congregation of my spiritual brethren and sisters in Christ; yea, members of one body, wherein, by God's grace, I am and have been grafted in Christ (*t*)."<sup>t</sup> In his pathetic "farewel to all afflicted for the gospel," he thus concludes: "farewel, farewel, O ye, the whole and universal congregation of the chosen of God, here living upon earth; the true church militant of Christ; the true mystical body of Christ; the very household and family of God, and the sacred temple of the Holy Ghost; farewel! Farewel, O thou little flock of the high, heavenly pastors of Christ: For to thee it hath pleased the heavenly Father to give an everlasting and eternal kingdom.—Farewel, thou spiritual house of God, thou holy and royal priesthood, thou chosen generation, thou holy nation, thou won spouse; farewel, farewel (*u*)!"<sup>u</sup>

(*r*) See Fox, iii. p. 374.

(*s*) Ibid. p. 432.

(*t*) Ibid. p. 432.

(*u*) Ibid. p. 439.

God's election of his people is founded on his free love to them from everlasting. This love is unalterable and perpetual. Whence the following just observation of Ridley: "In all ages, God hath had his own manner, after his secret and unsearchable wisdom, to use his elect, sometimes to deliver them, and to keep them safe; and sometimes to suffer them to drink of Christ's cup, i. e. to feel the smart and to feel the whip. And though the flesh smarteth at the one, and feeleth ease at the other; is glad of the one, and sore vexed in the other; yet the Lord is all one toward them, in both: and loveth them no less when he suffereth them to be beaten; yea, and to be put to bodily death, than when he worketh wonders for their marvellous delivery.—This his love toward them, howsoever the world doth judge of it, is all one. He loved as well Peter and Paul, when (after they had, according to his blessed will, pleasure, and providence, finished their courses, and done their services appointed them by him here, in preaching of his gospel) the one was beheaded, and the other was hanged or crucified by the cruel tyrant Nero; as when he sent his angel to bring Peter out of prison, and [as when] for Paul's delivery he made all the doors of the prison to fly wide open (x)."

As Ridley thus believed the love, with which God embraces his people, to be unchangeably and for ever the same, amidst all the varying dispensations of providence; he must, by virtue of that principle, have likewise believed the final perseverance of those who are thus loved and chosen. According to him, perseverance is the special gift of God: "I wish you grace in God," says he, "and love of the truth: without which, truly established in men's hearts by the mighty hand of the almighty God, it is no more possible to stand by the truth in time of trouble,

(x) *Ibid.* 416.

than it is for wax to abide the heat of the fire (*z*).” Omnipotent grace being the only root of perseverance, the martyr cannot help breaking out elsewhere, into this pious exclamation! “Well, is he, that ever he was born, for whom thus graciously God hath provided! having grace of God, and strength of the Holy Ghost, to stand stedfastly in the height of the storm! happy is he, that ever he was born, whom God, his heavenly Father, hath vouchsafed to appoint to glorify him, and to edify his church, by the effusion of his blood (*a*)!”

It was an essential branch of Ridley’s Theology, that this great gift of perseverance is vouchsafed to all the elect. “The Father,” says he, “who guides them that be Christ’s to Christ, is more mighty than all they,” [i. e. than all the persecutors of his people,] and no man is able to pull them” [i. e. to pull those who belong to Christ] “out of the Father’s hands (*b*).”—What a strong affiance in this grand article, do his following words display! “Blessed be God, who has given you a manly courage, and hath so strengthened you in the inward man, by the power of his Spirit; that you can contemn,

(*z*) Ibid. 372.

(*a*) Ibid. 446.—To the same effect he speaks in his conference with Latimer: “The number,” says Ridley, “of the criers under the altar must needs be fulfilled; if we be seccrated thereto, happy be we. It is the greatest promotion that God giveth in this world, to be such Philippians, to whom it is given not only to believe, but also to suffer. But who is able to do these things” [viz. to believe in Christ, and to suffer for his sake?] “Surely, all our ability, all our sufficiency is of God. He requireth, and promiseth” [i. e. he promises to work in us the duties and graces he requires of us]—“Pray for me; pray for me: I say, pray for me. For I am sometimes so fearful, that I would creep into a mouse hole. Sometimes, God doth visit me again with his comfort. So he cometh and goeth, to teach me to feel and to know my infirmity; to the intent to give thanks to him that is worthy; lest I should rob him of his due, as many do, and almost all the world.” Fox, *ibid.* p. 368.

(*b*) Ibid. p. 370.

as well all the terrors, as also the vain flatteries and allurements of the world: esteeming them as vanities, mere trifles, and things of nought. Who hath also wrought, planted, and surely established, in your hearts, so stedfast a faith and love of the Lord Jesus Christ; joined with such constancy, that, by no engines of antichrist, be they never so terrible or plausible, ye will suffer any other Jesus, or any other Christ, to be forced upon you, besides him, whom the prophets have spoken of (c).”—He that is in us, is stronger than he that is in the world: and the Lord promiseth unto us, that, for the elects' sake, the days of wickedness shall be shortened (d).”—“Ye, therefore, my brethren, who pertain unto Christ, and have the seal of God marked in your foreheads; that is, to wit, who are sealed with the earnest of the Spirit to be a peculiar people of God; quit yourselves like men, and be strong. Ye know, that all that is born of God, overcometh the world: and this is our victory that overcometh the world, even our faith. Let the world fret, let it rage never so much, no man can take us out of the Father's hands, for he is greater than all.—Who shall lay any thing to the charge of God's elect! It is God that justifieth; who then shall condemn?—Who shall separate us from the love of Christ?—We are certainly persuaded, with St. Paul, by the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that no kind of thing shall be able to separate us from the love of God which is in Christ Jesus our Lord (e).” I shall cite him but once more on the head of perseverance: “I consider the subtilties of satan, and how he is able, by his false persuasions, to deceive, if it were possible, even the chosen of God (f).”

(c) Ibid. 371.

(d) Ibid.

(e) Ibid. 372.

(f) Ibid. 442.

Ridley's view of providence was equally Calvinistic. "Know ye, that the heavenly Father hath ever a gracious eye and respect toward you, and a fatherly provision for you: so that, without his knowledge and permission, nothing can do you harm. Let us therefore cast all our care upon him, and he shall provide that which shall be best for us. For if, of two small sparrows, which both are sold for a mite, one of them lighteth not on the ground without your Father, and all the hairs of our heads are numbered; fear not, saith our master Christ, for ye are of more value than many small sparrows (*g*)."

His doctrine concerning the necessity and efficacy of divine influence, may be learned from that striking prayer of his: "The Lord vouchsafe to open the eyes of the blind, with the light of grace; that they may see, and perceive, and understand the words of God, after the mind of his Spirit (*h*)."

And that he supposed redemption to be limited to a certain number, the following passage clearly evinces: "The death and passion of Christ our Saviour was, and is, the one only, sufficient, and everlasting available sacrifice, satisfactory for all the elect of God, from Adam, the first, to the last that shall be born in the end of the world (*i*)."

So much for the doctrines of this great man. A word or two, concerning his general character and usual manner of living, may not be unacceptable to the reader.

He was born in that part of Northumberland, called Tynedale, near the borders of Scotland; and received the finishings of his education, partly at Paris, and partly at Cambridge. "His behaviour," say the compilers of his article in the Biographical Dictionary, "was very obliging, and very pious;

(*g*) Ibid. 437.(*h*) Ibid. 445.(*i*) Ibid. 440.



without hypocrisy, or monkish austerity: for, very often, he would shoot in the bow, and play at tennis; and was eminent for the great charities he bestowed (*k*).” While he resided on his vicarage of Herne, in Kent, providence directed him to the perusal of Bertram’s celebrated Treatise on the Lord’s Supper, written about seven hundred years before (*l*): which effectually convinced him of the falsehood and absurdity of transubstantiation. By his acquaintance with Cranmer and other excellent men of that time; and, above all, by his unwearied application to the holy scriptures; his eyes were farther and farther opened: and he settled, by degrees, into a consistent, evangelical protestant.—After his appointment to the see of London, his exaltation only served to render him more humble, affable, and useful. Nothing could exceed the tenderness and respect, with which he treated Mrs. Bonner, mother to his predecessor, the superseded bishop of London. “Bishop Ridley, being at his manor of Fulham, always sent for this Mrs. Bonner (who lived in a house adjoining) to dinner and supper; with one Mrs. Mungey, bishop Bonner’s sister: saying, Go for my mother Bonner. He always placed her at the head of his table, even though any of the king’s council were present (*m*).”

“His mode of life was, as soon as he had risen and dressed, to continue in private prayer for half an hour. He then retired to his study, till ten: at which time he went, with his family, to common prayer; and, every day, read a lecture to them. After prayers, he adjourned to dinner: where his conversation was always wise and discreet; sometimes, merry and cheerful. This conversation he would indulge for an hour after dinner; or else, in

(*k*) Biographical Dictionary, vol. xii. p. 304.

(*l*) Bertram, or Ratranus, was cotemporary with Gotteschale.

(*m*) See Fox, iii. 360.

playing at chess. The hour for unbending being expired, he returned to his study, where he continued till five; except suitors, or business abroad, otherwise required. Then he went to common prayers in the evening: and, after supper, having diverted himself another hour as before, he returned to his study, where he continued till eleven at night. From thence, going apart to private prayer, he retired to bed: where he, and his household (made virtuous by his example and instruction) enjoyed the sweet repose of a day well spent. A little before king Edward died, he was nominated to the bishopric of Durham. But, great as the honours were, which he received, and were intended him; the highest were reserved for him under queen Mary; which were, to be a prisoner for the gospel, a confessor of Christ in bonds, and a martyr for his truth (*n*).”

He was esteemed the most learned of all the English reformers: and was inferior to none of them in piety, sanctity, and clearness of evangelical light (*o*). His doctrinal system was, as I have already shown, formed entirely on the plan of scripture; to which sacred volume his love and attachment were inexpressible. “In a walk in the orchard at Pembroke Hall (Cambridge), which is to this day called Ridley’s Walk, he got by heart almost all the epistles in Greek (*p*).” To this circumstance, himself alludes, in the following passage, written a little before his martyrdom: “Farewel, Pembroke

(*n*) Biogr. Dict. vol. xii. p. 306.

(*o*) “He was a person small in stature, but great in learning; and profoundly read in divinity. His fine parts, and his great improvements in all the branches of literature necessary to a divine, gave him the first rank in his profession; and his life was answerable to his knowledge. He had a hand in compiling the Common Prayer Book; and of all, who served at the altar of the church of England, he bore, perhaps, the most useful testimony, both in life and death, to her doctrine.” Rolt’s Lives of the Reformers, p. 172.

(*p*) Ibid. p. 305.

Hall, of late my own college, my cure and my charge. What case thou art in now, God knoweth : I know not well. Thou wast ever named, since I knew thee, which is not thirty years ago, to be studious, well learned, and a great setter forth of Christ's gospel, and of God's true word. So I found thee, and, blessed be God, so I left thee indeed. Woe is me for thee, my own dear college, if ever thou suffer thyself by any means to be brought from that trade. In thy orchard (the walls, butts, and trees, if they could speak, would bear me witness) I learned without book almost all St. Paul's epistles : yea, and I ween, all the canonical epistles, save only the Apocalyps. Of which study, though in time a great part did depart from me, yet the sweet scent thereof, I trust, I shall carry with me into heaven. The profit thereof, I think, I have felt in all my life time ever after (*q*).” Were more of our modern divines thus intimately versed in the book of God, the church of England would not be in such danger, from the Arminianism of some, who call themselves her sons.

III. Mr. Hugh Latimer, some time bishop of Worcester, was another of our reformers and martyrs. Though he did by no means shine as a scholar, but appears to have been rather deficient in human learning ; he was, nevertheless, conspicuous for his piety, zeal, and undisguised simplicity. His talents as a preacher, were plain, and not unpopular. His sermons, more practical than speculative (*r*), were chiefly calculated to expose the reigning immoralities of that age, in a style (though he

(*q*) Fox, iii. 434.

(*r*) His zeal and sincerity inspired him with figures of speech, to which learning and study cannot rise.—His discourses were directed, rather to the reformation of manners, than to the controversies of religion.—In short, Latimer, with a moderate share of learning and abilities, was a much greater man, a much better Christian, and a much worthier bishop, than many of his order, who have shone with a more conspicuous figure.” Rolt, p. 174.

often preached at court) altogether suited to the capacities of the vulgar and the unlettered. But the coarse sounding of Latimer's ram's horn was, perhaps, as useful to the common people; as the softer music of the silver trumpet, modulated by Cranmer, Ridley, and the other reformers, was, to the learned and polite.

Though we must not always expect to find, in the discourses of Latimer, that exactness of logical accuracy, and that strictness of systematic harmony, which mark the performances of more accomplished divines; still we shall be sure to meet with genuine signatures of a gracious heart, and with lively vestiges of the knowledge that comes from above. And, notwithstanding the Arminians affect to claim this reformer for their own; the absolute want of truth, on which that claim is founded, will abundantly appear from the many striking and decisive passages, which I shall shortly lay before the reader.

Before I produce those passages themselves, permit me, as usual, to premise a general observation, in favour of our martyr's Calvinism.—I mean the terms of respect and affection, in which he mentions the names of Austin, Luther, and Peter Martyr: who were all strenuous champions for absolute predestination. St. Austin, whom Mr. Sellon ignorantly and abusively styles “The great and giddy apostle of the Calvinists;” this same St. Austin is called by Latimer, “A good Christian, and a defender of Christ's religion and of the faith (s).”—Mr. Sellon terms Luther “A weathercock:” but Latimer terms him, “That wonderful instrument of God, through whom God hath opened the light of his holy word unto the world (t).”—Nor does Latimer speak less respectfully of Peter Martyr: “There are yet among us,” said he, in a sermon

(s) Latimer's Sermons, vol. i. p. 185.—Edit. 1758. octavo.

(t) Ibid. vol. ii. p. 669.

preached before king Edward, "two great learned men, Peter Martyr, and Bernard Ochinus, which have a hundred marks apiece: I would the king would bestow a thousand pounds on that sort (*u*)."

The hand likewise, which Latimer had, in drawing up the first part of our book of homilies, must be considered as a loud and standing evidence of his Calvinism. He had resigned his bishopric (which he never afterwards resumed), about seven years before the death of Henry VIII. on the passing of the six articles (*w*): and, about a twelvemonth after, was committed prisoner to the Tower; where he lay till the accession of Edward VI. On his release, "he accepted an invitation from his friend archbishop Cranmer, and took up his residence at Lambeth: where he assisted the archbishop in composing the homilies, which were set forth by authority in the first year of king Edward (*x*)." These homilies are still a part of our ecclesiastical establishment. Let any man but read them; and then doubt if he can, whether the composers were not Calvinists: i. e. Anti-pelagians; for, at that time, and long after, the very name of Arminians was utterly unknown.

Now for some particular proofs of Latimer's orthodoxy. In producing these, I shall begin,

(1.) With what he advances concerning election, or predestination unto life. "Cursed be he that doth the work of God negligently, or guilefully. A sore word for them," [i. e. for those ministers] "that are negligent in discharging their office, or have done it fraudulently: for that is the thing that maketh the people ill. But true it must be, that Christ saith; Many are called, but few are chosen (*y*)."

(*u*) Ibid. vol. i. p. 117.

(*w*) Parliamentary History, vol. iii. p. 156.

(*x*) Biogr. Dict. vol. vii. p. 393.

(*y*) Latimer's Sermons, vol. i. p. 44.

“Some will say now, why heed we preachers then? God can save his elect without preachers? A goodly reason! God can save my life without meat and drink: need I none therefore? God can save me from burning if I were in the fire: shall I run into it therefore? No, no. I must keep the way that God hath ordained, and use the ordinary means that God hath assigned ( $\varepsilon$ ).” According, therefore, to Latimer (and, indeed, according to scripture and right reason), the decree of predestination does not render the use of ordinary means unnecessary.—On the contrary, the decree is that very root, from whence the means originally derive their efficacy.

Every Calvinist maintains, that good works are the consequence and the evidence of election: and, of those good works, restitution, to such persons as we may have wronged, is certainly one.—Bishop Latimer was exactly of our mind. “Some examples have been, of open restitution: and glad may he be, that God was so friendly unto him, as to bring him unto it in this world. I am not afraid to name him: it was master Sherington; an honest gentleman, and one that God loveth. He openly confessed, that he had deceived the king: and he made open restitution. O, what an argument may he have against the devil, when he shall move him to desperation! God brought this out, to his amendment. It is a token, that he is a chosen man of God, and one of his elected ( $\alpha$ ).”

The passage immediately following, though it may tend to prove the vanity of making any calculation respecting those times and seasons which the Father hath put in his own power; demonstrates, however, the undoubting firmness, with which Latimer held the doctrine of election. “The world was ordained to endure, as all learned men affirm, and prove it with scripture, six thousand years.

( $\varepsilon$ ) *Ibid.* p. 261.

( $\alpha$ ) *Ibid.* p. 294.

Now, of that number, there be passed 5552: so that there is no more left, but 448. And, furthermore, those days shall be shortened. It shall not be full 6000 years. The days shall be shortened for the elects' sake (b)."

"St. Paul, that elect instrument of God, showed a reason wherefore God layeth afflictions upon us (c). We cannot come to that unspeakable felicity, which God hath prepared for his, except we be clean in our hearts (d)."

The hypocrisy of too many religious professors, and the frequent deceitfulness of appearances, occasioned Latimer to make the following remark: "There is no great difference here in this world, between the elect and the reprobate. For the very unfaithful give alms, &c. So that, I say, we cannot tell, as long as we be here in this world, which be elect, and which not. But at the last day, then it shall appear who is he that shall be saved; and, again, who shall be damned (e)."—There were some, however, of whose election the good bishop could have no doubt: witness what he said above, concerning "master Sherington."

He justly observes, that the certainty of our election is to be inferred from the truth of our conversion. No Calvinist says (nor, indeed, will the nature of the case permit any reasonable man to argue so perversely and absurdly), I am elected, and therefore I shall be saved, whether I am converted or not. On the contrary, this is our language: God would not have converted me, if he had not elected me. We are for beginning at the bottom of the ladder, and for taking the chain by the right end. Hence (as bishop Bancroft very properly observed at the Hampton-court conference), we argue, not descendendo, but ascendendo: i. e. we rise to the

(b) *Ibid.* p. 365.

(d) *Ibid.* p. 509.

(c) *Ibid.* vol. ii. p. 484.

(e) *Ibid.* p. 674.

fountain, by following the stream; or arrive at the knowledge of our own particular election, by the solid marks of sanctification. We judge of God's objective purposes concerning us, by that subjective work of grace which he hath wrought within us. As election is the radical cause of regeneration; so regeneration, and its fruits, are the clue, by which we are guided to the sight and sense of election.—This was the precise view, in which Latimer considered the point: whence he says, and we say with him, “We need not go about to trouble ourselves with curious questions of the predestination of God: but let us rather endeavour ourselves that we may be in Christ. For, when we be in him, then are we well: and then we may be sure that we are ordained to everlasting life (*f*).”—Again, “When you find these three things in your hearts,” [viz. repentance, faith, and a desire to leave sin], “then you may be sure your names are written in the book, and you may be sure also, that you are elected and predestinated to everlasting life (*g*).”

Elsewhere, he comes more expressly to the point: “If thou art desirous to know, whether thou art chosen to everlasting life, thou mayst not begin with God; for God is too high: thou canst not comprehend him.—Begin with Christ, and learn to know Christ, and wherefore he came: namely, that he came to save sinners, and made himself subject to the law, and a fulfiller of the law, to deliver us from the wrath and danger thereof.—If thou knowest Christ, then thou mayst know further of thy election (*h*).”

(*f*) Ibid. p. 846.

(*g*) Ibid. p. 848.

(*h*) Ib. p. 886, 887. so again, p. 889. “Here is now taught you, how to try out your election; namely, in Christ: for Christ is the accounting book and register of God: even in the same book, that is, Christ, are written all the names of the elect. Therefore we cannot [viz. at first] find our election in ourselves, neither yet in the high counsel of God. ‘Where then shall I find my election?’ In the counting book of God, which is Christ.”



Speaking of Joseph and his afflictions, he adds, "Here you see how God doth exercise those which appertain to everlasting life (*i*)."—Treating of the last day, he still keeps God's election in view: "The trumpet shall blow, and the angels shall come and gather all those that offend, from among the elect of God. All the elect shall be gathered unto him, and there they shall see the judgment; but they themselves shall not be judged, but shall be like as judges with him. After that the elect are separated from the wicked, he shall give a most horrible and dreadful sentence unto the wicked (*k*).—Then shall the elect shine as the sun in the kingdom of God (*l*)."—Thus, says this worthy martyr, will Christ come, "in great honour and glory, and will make all his faithful like unto him, and will say, unto them that be chosen to everlasting life, Come, ye blessed of my Father, possess that kingdom which is prepared for you from the beginning of the world (*m*)."—We shall find this valuable man no less clear and scriptural,

(*2.*) In his sentiments concerning providence. "Remember the hair, how it falls not without God's providence. Remember the sparrows, how they build in every house, and God provideth for them. And are you not much more precious to me, saith Christ, than sparrows, or other birds! God will defend you, that, before your time cometh, ye shall not die, nor miscarry.—God hath appointed his times, as pleaseth him: and, before the time cometh that God hath appointed, they shall have no power against you.—Till thy time come, thou shalt not die (*n*)."

The sum of Latimer's reasoning, is this: If I believe in Christ alone for salvation, I am certainly interested in Christ; and interested in Christ I could not be, if I was not chosen and elected of God. Which is ascending to election, by the right gradations.

(*i*) Ibid. p. 858.

(*k*) Ibid. p. 867.

(*l*) Ibid. p. 872.

(*m*) Ibid. p. 682.

(*n*) Ibid. p. 295, 296, 297.

According to Latimer, God's will is distinguishable into secret and revealed. His secret will, is his will of decree, known only to himself: His revealed will, is his will of command, discovered and made known in his written word. His secret, or decreeing will, is the rule of his own conduct: His revealed or preceptive will, ought to be the rule of our conduct. Christ, says Latimer, "Teacheth us to pray, thy kingdom come—thy will be done. Here we must understand, that the will of God is to be considered after two sorts. First, as it is omnipotent, unsearchable, and that cannot be known to us. Now, we do not pray that his will, so considered, may be done: for his will, so considered, is, and ever shall be fulfilled, though we would say nay to it. For nothing either in heaven or earth, is able to withstand his will. Wherefore it were but folly for us to pray to have it fulfilled, otherwise than to show thereby that we give our consent to his will, which is to us unsearchable.—But there is another consideration of God's holy will; and that consideration we, and all faithful Christians, desire may be done: and, so considered, it is called a revealed, a manifested, and declared will; and it is opened unto us in the Bible, in the New and Old Testament. There God hath revealed a certain will: therefore, we pray that it may be done, and fulfilled of us (o)."

Latimer has already pronounced God's secret, or "unsearchable" will, to be "omnipotent:" i. e. God's decrees must and shall be accomplished and brought to pass by his providence. No wonder, then, that our reformer, in exact harmony with that grand maxim, should assert as follows: "He [i. e. God] filleth the earth; "that is to say, he ruleth and governeth the same: ordering all things according to his will and pleasure (p)." From whence

(o) Ibid. p. 369, 370.

(p) Ibid. p. 324.

it is very naturally inferred, that “we ought to be at his pleasure: whensoever and whatsoever he will do with us, we ought to be content with all (g).” That is, in modern language, we ought to believe whatever is, is right: seeing “all things” are “ordered according to God’s will and pleasure.”—Does not Latimer speak the very quintessence of Calvinism?

One would imagine, that, if any of mankind might be supposed to be more exempt, than others, from the immediate and constant control of absolute providence, kings and sovereign princes would be the men. Yet even these, according to honest Latimer’s theology, are as much tied and bound from above, as the meanest of the human race. “God saith, through me kings reign. Yea, they be so under God’s rule, that they can think nothing, nor do any thing, without God’s permission. For it is written, The heart of the king is in the hands of the Lord, and he turneth the same whithersoever it pleaseth him.—All those great rulers, that have been from the beginning of the world till now, have been set up by the appointment of God; and he pulled them down, when it pleased him (r).”

Wealth and poverty are distributed by the hand of providence. “It is written, the blessing of God maketh rich. Except God bless it, it [i. e. human labour] standeth to no effect: for it is written, they shall eat, but yet never be satisfied. Eat as much as you will, except God feed you, you shall never be full. So likewise, as rich as a man is, yet he cannot augment his riches, or keep that he hath, except God be with him, except he bless him. Therefore let us not be proud: for we be but beggars the best of us (s).”

To the same effect he speaks elsewhere: We must labour; for so we are commanded to do: but

(g) *Ibid.* p. 315.

(r) *Ibid.* p. 354.

(s) *Ibid.* p. 107.

we must look for the increase at God's hands. For, though a man labour much, yet, for all that, he shall have no more than God hath appointed him to have: for even as it pleaseth God, so he shall have. For the earth is the Lord's, and all is therein (*t*)."

I have already shown, that Latimer believed that the duration of every man's life is fixed and predestinated by God. The good bishop inculcates the same great truth, again and again. "Every man hath a certain time appointed him of God; and God hideth the same from us: for some die in young age, some in old age, according as it pleaseth him (*u*)."—Once more: "of that we may be sure, there shall not fall one hair from our head, without his will: and we shall not die, before the time that God hath appointed unto us. Which is a comfortable thing: especially, in time of sickness, or wars (*w*)."

Latimer very justly maintained, that afflictions also are an effect of God's predestination and providence: from whence he drew this practical conclusion: "Let us learn not to be peevish, when God layeth his cross upon us. Let us not despair, but call upon him. Let us think we be ordained unto it (*x*)."—Again, "seeing that there is nothing done without his will, I ought to bear this cross which he layeth upon me, without murmuring or grudging (*y*)."

Notwithstanding Latimer was thus so strenuous an assertor of God's decrees and providence; we yet find him making use of the word chance. But he evidently means, by that term, the occurrence of some event, unexpected and unforeseen by us ourselves. For, he takes care to let us know, that, by this word, he still intends no other than a providential dispensation. "Now," says he, "when I come to poverty by chance, so that God sendeth

(*t*) Ibid. p. 654.

(*e*) Ibid. p. 456.

(*u*) Ibid. p. 429.

(*y*) Ibid. p. 484.

(*w*) Ibid. p. 430.

poverty unto me ; then I am blessed, when I take poverty well, and without grudging (*z*).”

Every Christian will allow, that the putting of Christ to death, was in itself, infinitely the greatest crime ever perpetrated by man. And yet, so absolute a predestinarian was Latimer, that he represents this greatest of crimes as exactly corresponding to the predestination and providence of God concerning it. Nay, he even supposes, that satan would have hindered the Messiah's crucifixion ; but was not able to hinder it, because “God's counsel and purpose” were, that the Messiah should be crucified. Let us attend to Latimer's own words. “After that, when Christ was born into the world, he [i. e. satan] did what he could to rid him [viz. Christ] out of the way ; therefore he stirred up all the Jews against him. But, after he perceived that his death [i. e. the death of Christ] should be our deliverance from everlasting death ; he [satan] did what he could to hinder his death ; and therefore he stirred up mistress Pilate, who took a nap in the morning, as such fine dames are wont to do, that she should not suffer her husband to give sentence against Christ. For, as I told you, when he [satan] perceived that it was to be his [satan's] destruction, he would hinder it, and did what he could, with hand and foot, to stop it. But yet he was not able to disannul the counsel and purpose of God (*a*).” —Far be it from me to vindicate the whole of this remarkable paragraph. On the contrary, I think it very exceptionable, in more respects than one. But it certainly proves, that Latimer carried his idea of predestination to the highest pitch it is possible for man to do.

It is now time, that I should produce his judgment.

(*z*) Ibid. p. 501.

(*a*) Ibid. p. 774, 775.

(3.) Concerning original sin, or man's total fall from God: on which important article, no less than on the preceding ones, the doctrine of this reformer was essentially different from that embraced by the sect of Arminius. "It was not for nought," says Latimer, that "Jeremiah describeth man's heart in its colours: the heart of man is naughty, and crooked, and a froward piece of work (*b*)."<sup>1</sup> But, how came the human heart to be thus spiritually and morally depraved? Latimer traces it all, to the sin of our first parent. "Our forefather Adam wilfully eat of the apple forbidden. Wherefore he was cast out of the everlasting joy in paradise, into this corrupt world, amongst all vileness: whereby of himself he was not worthy to do any thing laudable and pleasant to God; evermore bound to corrupt affections, and beastly appetites; transformed into the uncleanest and variablest nature that was made under heaven: of whose seed and disposition, all the world is lineally descended. Insomuch that this evil nature is so diffused, and shed from one into another, that at this day there is no man or woman living, that can of themselves wash away their abominable vileness: and so we must needs grant of ourselves to be in like displeasure unto God, as our father Adam was. By reason hereof, as I said, we be, of ourselves, the very children of the indignation and vengeance of God: the true inheritors of hell, and working all towards hell. Which is the answer to this question, made to every man and woman by themselves, what art thou (*c*)?"—I will add but one citation more: "This our nature David, the holy king and prophet, describeth with few words, saying, Lo, in iniquity am I born, and in sin hath my mother conceived me. He doth signify by his words, what he had inherited of his parent

(*b*) *Ibid.* p. 139.

(*c*) *Ibid.* p. 907.

Adam; namely, sin and wickedness. And he speaketh not of himself only, but of all mankind. He painteth us out in our own colours: showing, that we all are contaminate, from our birth, with sin; and so should justly be firebrands in hell, world without end. This the holy prophet showed in these words to put us in remembrance of our own wretchedness: to teach us to despair of our own holiness and righteousness, and to seek our help and comfort by that Messias whom God hath promised to our forefathers.—Another scripture signifieth to us, farther, what we be of ourselves, of our own nature: for it is written, all men are liars. Therefore, man is not clean; but full of falsehood and deceit, and all manner of sin and wickedness; poisoned and corrupted with all manner of uncleanness.—What found he [i. e. God], when he made inquisition? marry, this: all men have declined from God; there was none that did good, no not one. Here, we may perceive what we be of ourselves, of our own nature (*d*).”

Such being Latimer's view of original sin, and its effects; no wonder, that,

(4.) He utterly denied those powers, which Arminians ascribe to what they term man's free-will.

The unceremonious prelate even goes so far as to suppose, that the will of satan, and the will of man, are joint warriors against the will of God. “We desire,” says Latimer, on those words, thy will be done; “We desire, that he [viz. our heavenly Father] will fortify and strengthen us, so that we may withstand the devil's will, and our own, which fight against God's will (*e*).”—But in vain is the will of God fought against: for, as the martyr observes in another place, “No man's power is able to stand against God, or disappoint him of his purposes (*f*).”

He likewise pays a very rough compliment to free-will, in the subsequent passage: "I am, of myself, and by myself, coming from my natural father and mother, the child of the ire and indignation of God, and the true inheritor of hell; a lump of sin, and working nothing of myself, but all towards hell, except I have better help of another than I have of myself (*g*)."

What is the influence of this truth, upon the hearts of those who are born again and converted to God? The bishop shall tell us. "Here we may see, how much we be bound and indebted to God, who has revived us from death to life, and saved us that were damned" [i. e. who were naturally condemned by the divine law]: "which great benefit we cannot well consider, unless we do remember what we were of ourselves, before we meddled with him and his laws. And the more we know our feeble nature, and set less by it, the more we shall conceive and know in our hearts what God hath done for us: and, the more we know what God hath done for us, the less we shall set by ourselves, and the more we shall love and please God. So that, in no condition, we shall either know ourselves or God; except we do utterly confess ourselves to be mere vileness and corruption (*h*)."

Whoever has such an opinion of human nature and its powers, must likewise hold, that man is, in no respect, nor in any degree, the architect of his own salvation. Hence,

(5.) Latimer believed, that, in the whole business of conversion and sanctification, God's free and efficacious grace is all in all.—With an eye to this point, we find him expressly declaring, that his ministry was nothing, unless God made it effectual: "Whether it be unfruitful, or no," says he, "I cannot tell. It lieth not in me, to make it fruitful.

(*g*) Ibid. p. 903, 904.

(*h*) Ibid. p. 903.



If God work not in your hearts, my preaching can do but little good (*i*).”

Speaking of some, who reviled him for preaching the gospel, he acknowledged that the grace, by which alone those persons could be amended, was solely at the sovereign disposal of God himself: “As for me, I owe them no ill will; but I pray God amend them, when it pleaseth him (*h*).”——Again: “Preachers can do no more but call: God is he that must bring in. God must open the hearts, as it is in the Acts of the Apostles. When Paul preached to the women, there was a silk-woman, whose heart God opened. None could open it, but God. Paul could but only preach: God must work; God must do the thing inwardly (*l*).”

On those words of our Lord, If ye then, being evil, &c.; he observes, that Christ here “Giveth us our own proper name: he painteth us out; he pincheth us; he cutteth off our combs; he plucketh down our stomachs. And here we learn to acknowledge ourselves to be wicked, and to know him to be the well-spring and fountain of all goodness, and that all good things come of him (*m*).”——If this is not “pinching” and “cutting the comb” of free-will, I know not what is.

In his third sermon on the Lord’s Prayer, he remarks, that, in the petition of hallowed be thy name, Christ “would have us to confess our own imperfections, that we be not able to do any thing according to God’s will, except we receive it first at his hands. Therefore he teacheth us to pray, that God will make us able to do all things according to his will and pleasure (*n*).”——Farther, by this petition, we be put in remembrance what we be, namely, captives of the devil, his prisoners and bondmen; and not able to come at liberty through our own

(*i*) Ibid. p. 134.

(*m*) Ibid. p. 329.

(*h*) Ibid. p. 135.

(*n*) Ibid. p. 353.

(*l*) Ibid. p. 234.

power (*o*). Wherefore, we may say, with St. Austin, Lord, do thou with me what thou commandest, and then command what thou wilt. For we, of our own strength and power, are not able to do his commandments (*p*).” Latimer, in another place, quotes St. Austin’s words more exactly : “ Like as St. Augustin saith, Lord, give that thou commandest, and then command what thou wilt : as who would say, if thou wilt command only, and not give ; then we shall be lost, we shall perish (*q*).” Which, by the way, is another proof of Latimer’s agreement with Austin on the article of grace. One or two testimonies more shall conclude this head.

“ Except a man be born again from above, he cannot see the kingdom of God. He must have a regeneration. And what is this regeneration ? It is not to be christened in water, as these firebrands [i. e. the papists] would have it. How is it to be expounded then ? St. Peter showeth, that one place of scripture declareth another. St. Peter saith, and we be born again. How ? not by mortal seed, but by immortal. What is this immortal seed ? By the word of the living God : by the word of God preached and opened. Thus cometh in our new birth (*r*). This is a great commendation of this office of preaching. It is God’s instrument, whereby he worketh faith in our hearts (*s*).”

As Latimer thus believed that men are regenerated, not by themselves, nor by the mere water of baptism, nor simply by the word preached, but by the power of God himself “ working faith in their hearts ;” of which supernatural power, the word preached is no more than the usual instrument and channel : so he taught, that, after the work of regeneration has passed upon the soul, man’s own

(*o*) Ibid. p. 357.

(*p*) Ibid. p. 393.

(*q*) Ibid. p. 453.—*Dèmine, da quod jubes, et jube quod vis.*

(*r*) Ibid. p. 185.

(*s*) Ibid. p. 489.

ability can no more preserve him in a state of grace, than it could at first bring him into it. “St. Paul saith, be strong in the Lord. We must be strong by a borrowed strength: for we of ourselves, are too weak and feeble. Therefore let us learn, where we shall fetch our strength from; namely, from above. For we have it not of our own selves (*t*).”

“This is a good doctrine, which admonisheth us to give all praise unto God: and not to ascribe it to our own selves (*u*). It shall be necessary unto all men and women of this world, not to ascribe unto themselves any goodness of themselves; but all unto our Lord God (*w*).” Surely, if Latimer was a free-willer, there is no meaning in words!

(6.) Let us consult him, next, on the important doctrine of justification. According to this good old churchman, justification in the sight of God is absolutely free, and entirely unmerited by man; and accrues to us, only by an interest in the active obedience, or personal righteousness of Jesus Christ.

[1.] For the absolute freeness of justification.—“We must believe, that our Saviour Christ hath taken us again into his favour, that he hath delivered us by his own body and blood, and by the merit of his own passion, of his own mere liberality (*x*).”

“Do I now, in forgiving my neighbour his sins which he hath done against me; do I, I say, deserve or merit, at God’s hand, forgiveness of my own sins? No, no: God forbid. For, if this should be so, then farewell Christ. It taketh him clean away. It diminisheth his honour, and it is very treason wrought against Christ.—Remission of sins, wherein consisteth everlasting life, is such a treasure that passeth all men’s doings. It must not be our merits

(*t*) Ibid. p. 517.

(*u*) Ibid. p. 903.

(*w*) Ibid. p. 369.

(*x*) Ibid. p. 228.

that shall serve, but his. He is our comfort; he is the majesty of God; and his blood-shedding it is, that cleanseth us from our sins. Therefore, whosoever is minded contrary unto this, he robbeth Christ of his majesty, and so casteth himself into everlasting danger. As touching our salvation, we must not go to work, to think to get everlasting life by our own doings. No. This were to deny Christ's salvation, and remission of sins, and his own and free gift (*y*). Thou must beware, as I said before, that thou think not to go to heaven by such remitting of thy neighbour's ill doings. But, by such forgiving, or not forgiving, thou shall know whether thou have faith or no (*z*)."

"There be many folk, which, when they be sick, say, O that I might live but one year longer to make amends for my sins! Which saying is very naught and ungodly; for we are not able to make amends for our sins. Only Christ, he is the Lamb of God which taketh away our sins. As for satisfaction, we cannot do the least piece of it (*a*)."

"Reward! This word soundeth as though we should merit somewhat by our own works.—But we shall not think so: for ye must understand, that all our works are imperfect; we cannot do them so perfectly as the law requireth, because of our flesh which ever letteth us. Wherefore is the kingdom of God called, then, a reward? Because it is merited by Christ. For, as touching our salvation and eternal life, it must be merited: but not by our own works, but only by the merits of our Saviour Christ (*b*)."

"All the papists in England, and especially the spiritual men [i. e. their priests], be the enemies of the cross of Christ, two manner of ways. First, when he is a right papist, given unto monkery, I

(*y*) Ibid. p. 435.

(*a*) Ibid. p. 438.

(*z*) Ibid. p. 437.

(*b*) Ibid. p. 513.

warrant you he is in this opinion. That with his own works he does merit remission of his sins, and satisfieth the law through and by his own works: and so thinks himself to be saved everlastingly. This is the opinion of all papists: and this doctrine was taught, in times past [i. e. in the popish times], in schools and in the pulpits. Now, all those that be in such an opinion, are the enemies of the cross of Christ, of his passion and bloodshedding. For they think in themselves" [i. e. such an opinion is tantamount to thinking, that] "Christ needeth [needed] not to die: and so they despise his bitter passion. They do not consider our birth-sin, and the corruption of our nature: nor yet do they know the quantity of our actual sins, how many times we fall into sin; or how much our own power is diminished, or what might and power the devil hath. They consider not these things: but think themselves able with their own works to enter into the kingdom of God. And, therefore, I tell you, this is the most perilous doctrine that can be devised (c). We must do good works; we must endeavour ourselves to live according to the commandments of God: yet, for all that, we must not trust in our doings. For, though we do to the uttermost, yet it is all imperfect, when ye examine them by the rigour of the law: which law serveth [not to justify us, but] to bring us to the knowledge of our sins, and so to Christ; and, by Christ, we shall come to the quietness of our conscience. Therefore, it is not more necessary to do good works, than it is to beware how to esteem them. Therefore take heed, good Christian people; deny not Christ; put not your hope in your own doings: for if ye do, ye shall repent (d)."

"He will reward our good works in everlasting life, but not with everlasting life; for our works are not so much worth, nor ought to be esteemed so,

(c) *Ibid.* p. 555.

(d) *Ibid.* p. 557.

as to get us heaven. For it is written, The kingdom of heaven is the gift of God. So likewise St. Paul saith, Ye are saved freely, without works. Therefore, when ye ask, Are ye saved? Say, yes. How? marry, gratis; freely. And here is all our comfort to stay our consciences (*e*).”

“ We read, in a book, which is entitled ‘ The Lives of the Fathers,’ that there was once a great, holy man (as he seemed to all the world) worthy to be taken up into heaven. Now, that man had many disciples, and, on a time, he fell into a great agony of conscience; insomuch that he could not tell in the world what to do. Now, his disciples standing about him, seeing him in this case, they said unto him, How chanceth it that ye are so troubled, father? for, certainly, there is nobody so good a liver, or more holy than you have been: therefore you need not fear; for, no doubt, you shall come to heaven. The old father made them answer again, saying, though I have lived uprightly, yet, for all that, it will not help me. I lack something yet. And so he did indeed. For, certainly, if he had followed the counsel of his disciples, and put his trust in godly conversation, no doubt he should have gone to the devil (*f*).”

Bishop Latimer was immoveably radicated in that great scriptural and protestant axiom, that good works do not go before justification, but justification goes before good works. Hence his following excellent remark: “ I pray you note this: we must first be made good, before we can do good. We must first be made just before our works please God. For, when we are justified by faith in Christ, and are made good by him; then cometh our duty, that is, to do good works, to make a declaration of our thankfulness (*g*).” Now, if good works cannot possibly exist, prior to justification; it invincibly

(e) *Ibid.* p. 796, 797.(f) *Ibid.* p. 700.(g) *Ibid.* p. 798.

follows, that justification cannot possibly be caused or conditioned by good works. On the contrary, justification is itself the cause of good works, instead of good works being the cause of justification.

Though Christ will, in the last day, condescend to make mention of the good works which he enabled his people to perform; and will appeal to those works, as evidences of his people's belonging to him; yet the works, so appealed to, will not be the ground even of that public and declarative justification, which will be predicated of the elect at that awful season. So at least good Latimer thought: witness his own testimony. "If we shall be judged after our own deservings, we shall be damned everlastingly. Therefore learn here, every good Christian, to abhor this most detestable and dangerous poison of the papists, who go about to thrust Christ out of his seat. Learn here, I say, to leave all papistry, and to stick only to the word of God; which teacheth thee, that Christ is not a judge, but a justifier, a giver of salvation, a taker away of sin. For he purchased our salvation, through his painful death; and we receive the same through believing in him: as St. Paul teacheth, saying, Freely ye are justified, through faith. In these words of St. Paul, all merits and estimation of works are clean taken away. For, if it were for our works' sake, then were it not freely. But St. Paul saith, freely. Whether will you now believe St. Paul, or the papists (*h*)?"

Nor does this inestimable truth lead, in the least, to licentiousness. Let the apostolic reformer obviate the unreasonable surmise. "But you will say, seeing we can get nothing with good works, we will do nothing at all; or else do such works as shall best please us: seeing we shall have no rewards for our well-doings. I answer, we are commanded, by God's

(*h*) Ibid. p. 805, 806.

word, to apply ourselves to goodness every one in his calling: but we must not do it, to the end to deserve heaven thereby. We must do good works, to show ourselves thankful for all his benefits, which he hath poured upon us; and in respect of God's commandment: considering, that God willeth us to do well, not to make a merit of it; for this were a denying of Christ, to say, I will live well and deserve heaven. This is a damnable opinion. Let us rather think thus: I will live well, to show myself thankful towards my loving God, and Christ my redeemer (*i*)." What a double-dyed Antinomian must such a writer as bishop Latimer appear, in the eyes of such jaundiced theologs, as John Wesley and Walter Sellon! Especially when they consider,

[2.] That according to the bishop's scheme of divinity, the righteousness or personal obedience of Jesus Christ, is the sole meritorious cause of this free justification.

"Our sins let us [i. e. they hinder us], and withdraw us from prayer. But our Saviour maketh them nothing. When we believe in him, it is like as if we had no sins. For he changeth with us; he taketh our sins and wickedness from us, and giveth us his holiness, righteousness, justice, fulfilling of the law; and so, consequently, everlasting life. So that we be like as if we had done no sin at all. For his righteousness standeth us in so good stead, as though we of ourselves had fulfilled the law to the uttermost (*k*).

"All faithful and true Christians believe only in his death. They long to be saved, through his passion and bloodshedding. This is all their comfort. They must know, and stedfastly believe, that Christ fulfilled the law; and that his fulfilling is theirs. So that they attribute unto Christ the getting and meriting of everlasting life. And so it followeth, that they, who attribute the remission of

(*i*) *Ibid.* p. 814.

(*k*) *Ibid.* p. 321.



sins, the getting of everlasting life, unto themselves, or their works; that they deny Christ, they blaspheme and despise him (*l*).

“ He himself had no sin at all. He suffered, to deliver us from everlasting damnation. He took our sins, and gave us his righteousness (*m*). Our Saviour is clearly open unto us. He hath suffered for us already, and fulfilled the law to the utmost: and so, by his fulfilling, taken away the curse of the law (*n*). By his passion, which he hath suffered, he merited, that as many as believe in him, shall be as well justified by him, as though themselves had never done any sin, and as though they themselves had fulfilled the law to the uttermost (*o*). He was very man, and was bound to the law. To what end? That he might deliver us from the law, to which we were bound; and that we might receive the right of the children of God by adoption, through God’s goodness, by his deserving: that we might have, through his fulfilling of the law, remission of sins and eternal life. These are the gifts, which he hath deserved with his keeping of the law (*p*).” Once more: “ he was a lamb undefiled, fulfilling the law for us to the uttermost; giving us, freely as a gift, his fulfilling to be ours; so that we are now fulfillers of the law by his fulfilling. So that the law may not condemn us, for he hath fulfilled it: that we, believing in him, are fulfillers of the law, and just, before the face of God (*q*).— Our unrighteousness is forgiven us through the righteousness of Christ: for, if we believe in him, then are we made righteous. When he [God the Father] gave us his only Son, he gave us also his righteousness and his fulfilling of the law. So that we are justified by God’s free gift, and not of our-

(*l*) Ibid. p. 555, 556.

(*n*) Ibid. p. 604.

(*p*) Ibid. p. 792.

(*m*) Ibid. p. 602.

(*o*) Ibid. p. 777.

(*q*) Ibid. p. 808.

selves, nor by our merits ; but the righteousness of Christ is accounted to be our righteousness (*r*).”

The justification of God’s people, thus founded upon, resulting from, and secured by, the imputed righteousness of Christ ; this justification, according to Latimer, and according to the scriptures, is absolute and total. “ Our Saviour hath taken away our sins, so that they cannot hurt us. For they be no sins, in the sight of God. For he hath taken away the guiltiness of sins, and the pains and punishments which follow sins. Christ hath deserved, that those, who believe in him, shall be quit from all their sins (*s*).”

No people are more crumbled into subdivisions among themselves, than the Arminians. That particular sort of them, whose shameless and fruitless efforts to blacken the church of England have given rise to this present work, are Arminian-Perfectionists. I mean Mr. John Wesley, and his junto. For the sake of these people, and by way of demonstrating, still farther, the palpable falsehood, with which they lay hold on Latimer’s robe, and claim him for their kinsman ; I will subjoin,

[7.] Some part of what this reformer delivers, concerning the doctrine of sinless perfection.

By way of substratum, let us hear his testimony, respecting the spirituality, perfection, and extent of the moral law revealed in the scriptures. “ If he, [i. e. if Christ] had not kept the law, the law had such power, that it would have condemned us all. For so it is written : Cursed be he, who abideth not by all that which is written in the law. So that, the least cogitation that we have against the law of God, bringeth this curse upon our heads. So that there was never man, nor shall be one, that could remedy himself by this law ; for it is spiritual. It may not be fulfilled, but by the Spirit. It requireth us to be clean from all spot of sin ; from all ill

(*r*) Ibid. p. 870, 871.

(*s*) Ibid. p. 336.

thoughts, words, and deeds. But we be carnal ; and as St. Paul saith, sold under sin and wickedness : therefore, he concludes thus : By the works of the law no man can be justified. As Christ did them, they merit : for he did them perfectly, as they ought to be done. But as we do them, they condemn.— And yet the lack is not in the law, but in us. The law of itself, is holy and good. But we are not able to keep it : and therefore we must seek our righteousness, not in the law, but in Christ, who hath fulfilled the same, and given us freely his fulfilling. And this is the chiefest cause, why Christ would fulfil the law [namely, for our justification]. But all the papists think themselves to be saved by the law : and I myself have been of that dangerous, perilous, and damnable opinion, till I was thirty years of age. So long I walked in darkness, and in the shadow of death. And, no doubt, he that departeth out of this world in that opinion, he shall never come to heaven. For, when we will consider the works of the law, which the law requireth ; and, again, how we do them ; we shall find, that we may not be justified by our doings. For the flesh reigneth in us ; it beareth rule, and hindereth the spirit : and so we never fulfil the law. Certain it is, that they that believe in Christ, have the Holy Ghost, which ruleth and governeth them : yet, for all that, there be a great many lacks in them. So that if they would go about to be saved by their works, they should come too short : for their works are not able to answer the requests of the law. The law requireth a perfect righteousness and holiness. Now, all they that believe in Christ, they are holy and righteous : for he hath fulfilled the law, for us which believe in him (t).”

Such being the unrelaxing perfection, which the law inflexibly requires : it necessarily follows, that

(t) *Ibid.* p. 792, 793, 794.

the supposition of possible perfection on earth, is the most fanatic dream, and the most gigantic delusion, which can whirl the brain of a human being. So it appeared to Latimer. "No man born into this world," says he, "is without sin, save Christ only (*u*).—Who is there, in this world, that hath not need to say, Lord, forgive me? No man living. Nor ever was, nor shall be, our Saviour only accepted (*w*).—There is neither man, nor woman, that can say they have no sin: for we be all sinners (*x*).—We be ever in that case, that we have ever need to say, Lord, forgive us: for we ever do amiss (*y*).—St. Paul saith, let not sin reign in your corruptible body. He doth not require us that we should have no sin, for that is impossible unto us: but he requireth, that we be not servants unto sin (*z*).—There is no saint in heaven, neither St. Peter, or Paul, but, when they were here, their nature was corrupt, and given to wickedness (*a*).—How doth he [i. e. Christ] save us from sin? In this manner: that sin shall not condemn us; sin shall not have the victory over us. He saved us, not so that we should be without sin, that no sin should be left in our hearts: No. He saved us not so. For all manner of imperfections remain in us; yea in the best of us: so that, if God should enter into judgment with us, we should be damned. For there neither is, nor was, any man born into this world, who could say, I am clean from sin, except Jesus Christ. Therefore he saved us not so from sin, in taking clean away the same from us, that we should no more be inclined to it; but rather the power and strength of the same sin he hath so vanquished, that it shall not be able to condemn those that believe in him: for sin is remitted, and not imputed, unto believers (*b*)."

(*u*) Ibid. p. 388.

(*w*) Ibid. p. 428.

(*x*) Ibid. p. 431.

(*y*) Ibid. p. 434.

(*z*) Ibid. p. 453.

(*a*) Ibid. p. 576.

(*b*) Ibid. p. 803.

They, who, after this, can set down bishop Latimer for a perfectionist, may, with equal reason, set him down for a free-willer.—I proceed,

(S.) To take his vote on the subject of universal redemption.

His doctrine, concerning redemption itself, is, that the people, for whom Christ died, are, by his death, totally discharged from the punishment due to their sins. “It is,” says he “much like as if I owed another man twenty thousand pounds, and should [i. e. must] pay it out of hand, or else go to the dungeon of Ludgate: and, when I am going to prison, one of my friends should come, and ask, whither goes this man? and, after he had heard the matter, should say, let me answer for him: I will be surety for him; yea, I will pay all for him. Such a part played our Saviour Christ with us (c).” —Elsewhere he repeats the same illustration; but in yet stronger terms: “In such distress cometh a good friend, and saith, Sir, be of good cheer; I will pay thy debts: and forthwith payeth the whole sum, and setteth me at liberty. Such a friend is our Saviour. He hath payed our debts, and set us at liberty (d).”

From these premises, it incontestibly follows, that not one of these for whom “Christ payed all,” not one of those for whom he discharged “the whole sum,” not one of those whom he died to “set at liberty” from punishment; can be finally condemned: since, if condemned and punished they were to be, either the justice of God the Father would fail, or it would not be true that Christ had discharged “all their debts,” and payed off “the whole sum,” and really “set them at liberty.”—Hence, it is evident, that according to Latimer’s argument, Christ was very far from dying for every individual of mankind; it being Latimer’s avowed opinion, that very many will, in the last

(c) *Ibid.* p. 211.

(d) *Ibid.* p. 321.

day, be sentenced to suffer the vengeance of eternal fire. He supposed, for instance, that unpreaching bishops only will fill up a gap in hell, of seven leagues wide (*e*). Surely, the "debts" of these, at least, were not "all paid," nor the debtors "set at liberty!" Consequently, if Latimer may be credited, some of mankind must have been left unredeemed. Observe, I am not here professedly delivering my own opinion. I am only deducing the opinion of Latimer, from Latimer's premises.

But his judgment does not stand in need of deduction. It has the seal and sanction of his own express declaration. He peremptorily affirms, that Christ did not die for such as shall be eventually lost. "Mark here," says he, "scripture speaketh not of (*f*) impenitent sinners: Christ died not for them; his death remedieth not their sins (*g*)."—Now, if there be any, for whom Christ "died not," and whose sins his death "remedieth not;" it follows, that, in this reformer's idea, redemption is not universal.

His frequently affirming, that Christ expiated the sins of "the whole world," does by no means clash with his doctrine in the above passages. Indeed, it is saying no more than the scripture has repeatedly said before him. The point of enquiry is, what does that phrase, the whole world, import? Surely, not every person, without exception, who did, does, or shall exist: for, in that sense of the phrase, it seems impossible that Christ could die for all. Some, for

(*e*) "If," says Latimer, "one were admitted to view hell thus, and, beholding it thoroughly, the devil should say, On yonder side are punished unpreaching prelates; I think a man should see as far as Kenning, and perceive nothing but unpreaching prelates. He might look as far as Calais, I warrant you." p. 138.

(*f*) By "impenitent sinners," he necessarily means the finally impenitent: such as shall actually be banished from the presence of the Lord, and from the glory of his power, when Christ comes to judge the world in righteousness.

(*g*) *Ibid.* p. 322.

instance, in our Lord's time at least, were guilty of that sin which he himself has pronounced absolutely unpardonable: and would he die for the pardon of those, whose sin, he avers, shall never be pardoned? This would be like a man's paying down an inestimable ransom for such as, he knows at the very time of his paying it, neither will nor can ever be set at liberty.—Besides: what shall we say of those many final impenitents, whose departed souls had been in the place of torment, ages and ages before Christ was crucified at all? Full four thousand years had elapsed, from the creation, ere the Messiah was even manifested in the flesh. And scripture will not permit us to believe, that the whole of mankind, who died within that extensive period, were glorified in heaven. Now, it would both impeach the wisdom, and affront the dignity of Christ, as well as infinitely depreciate the value of his sacrifice, to suppose, that he could possibly shed his blood on the cross, for those very souls, which were, at that very time, suffering for their own sins in hell. The tenet, therefore, of a redemption absolutely universal, will not stand the test either of scripture, reason, or the analogy of faith.—Shall we, for example, affirm, that Christ died for the salvation of Judas? The fact seems to be impossible. It is plain that Judas slew himself, subsequently to the apprehension, but antecedently to the actual crucifixion of Christ (*h*). The soul of Judas, therefore, went to its own place of punishment, before Christ had offered himself in sacrifice to God. And I cannot, for my own part, see, with what propriety Christ

(*h*) This observation throws light on that passage of Latimer, where he says, that Christ shed as much blood for Judas, as for Peter. Not that Christ actually died for Judas (whose death was prior to that of Christ himself): but that the mediator's blood was as much sufficient (so infinite was its value) to have redeemed even Judas, had it been shed for that purpose, as to have redeemed any other person. A sentiment, to which I subscribe, with heart and hand.

could die to save a person from going to hell, who was actually there already.

Whether these particular topics ever occurred to the mind of bishop Latimer, or not, I will not take upon me to decide. But one would imagine they had: or, at least, that his judgment, concerning the extent of redemption, was determined by considerations equally weighty. For, when he speaks of Christ's having redeemed the whole world, he takes care to apprise us, that he uses this large term in a very restricted sense. My proofs follow.

“God is not only a private Father, but a common Father unto the whole world, unto all the faithful, be they never so poor and miserable (*i*). —We know that Christ is offered once for us, and that this one offering remedieth all the sins of the whole world; for he was the Lamb which was killed from the beginning of the world: that is to say, all they that believed in him, since Adam was created, they were saved by him (*k*).” It is plain then, that, by the whole world, Latimer means no more than the whole world of the faithful, the whole world of them that believe.—One more testimony shall stand for all. “He only hath merited, with his painful passion, to be a Saviour of the whole world: that is, to deliver all them that believe in him, from their sins and wickedness (*l*).” So speaks Latimer. And Calvinism says the very same.

If Christ died only for them that (*m*) believe, or in whom faith is wrought; it follows, that faith is an exceeding great and precious gift. It may be worth our while to enquire into Latimer's definition

(*i*) Ibid. p. 332.

(*k*) Ibid. p. 557, 558.

(*l*) Ibid. p. 775.

(*m*) No objection can hence arise, against the salvation of such as die in infancy (all of whom are undoubtedly saved); nor yet against the salvation of God's elect among the Heathens, Mahometans, and others. The holy Spirit is able to inspire the grace of virtual faith into those hearts (especially at the moment of dissolution), which are incapable of exerting the explicit act of faith.



of what faith is: and the rather, as his definition of faith will conduce, still farther, to demonstrate, that redemption is, in his judgment, not strictly universal, but limited.

“We must,” says this honest master in Israel, “have the right faith, the lively faith, the faith that bringeth salvation: which consisteth in believing that Christ died for my sins sake.—I must not stand in generalities, as to believe that Christ suffered under Pontius Pilate: but I must believe that that was done for my sake, to redeem with his passion my sins and all theirs which believe and trust in him. If I believe so, then I shall not be deceived (*n*).—I must believe, for myself, that his blood was shed for me (*o*).” Such an account of true faith, as this necessarily infers the actual limitation of redemption. For, where is the peculiar privilege of believing that “Christ shed his blood for me,” if it be true, that he actually shed his blood for every body? If he redeemed the entire race of mankind, without exempting so much as one (which the Arminian doctrine of universal redemption supposes); his blood must of course have been shed for me among the rest, whether I believe it or no. Where, then, is either the use, or the importance of this faith, on the hypothesis of an unlimited ransom (*p*)? I conclude, therefore, that the reformer, who has lain down such a definition of “the faith which bringeth salvation;” could never, in the very nature of things, suppose the ransom to be unlimited. And, indeed, as we have already shown, he himself has expressly declared, that he did not suppose it.

But, though he believed redemption not to be absolutely universal, this belief of his did by no

(*n*) Ibid. p. 436.

(*o*) Ibid. p. 609.

(*p*) Add to this, that the tenet of universal redemption would instead of inducing us to seek for an application of Christ's death to ourselves in particular, be the ready and effectual way to make us “stand in generalities:” which, as we have just heard, good Latimer so expressly cautions us against standing in.

means arise (any more than ours) from a diminutive idea of the worth and value of Christ's atonement. He acknowledged its intrinsic sufficiency to redeem every individual of the human species, though he denied its actual universality. Thus he speaks. "Notwithstanding his death might be sufficient for (*q*) all the whole world, yet, for all that, no man shall enjoy that same benefit, but only they that believe in him (*r*)."—And who are they that shall believe and be saved? Let Latimer answer the question. "Therefore he is called Jesus, because he shall save his people from their sins; as the angel of God himself witnesseth (*s*)."

All that now remains, is,

(9.) To enquire into what he has delivered concerning the doctrine of final perseverance.

There was a time, when Latimer seems to have rather symbolized with some of the Lutherans, on this article. Luther himself did not believe the being of a God, more firmly, than he believed the total and final perseverance of the regenerate elect. But soon after Luther's death, some of those protestants, who called themselves by his name, began to deviate from the purity and strictness of that reformer's system. One of these deviations respected the degree of possible apostasy. A branch of nominal Lutherans began to teach, that, though a

(*q*) Observe, how carefully Latimer varies his phraseology: he does not say, merely, for the whole world; but for all the whole world.—When he affirmed, in the passages quoted above (see p. 298.) that Christ died for the whole world; he explains his meaning, by adding, all the faithful, all those that believe. But here, when he speaks of the dignity and sufficiency of Christ's propitiation; he enlarges the term, and says, for all the whole world. Making it evident, that as, by the whole world, he meant only the world of believers, whom alone he supposed to be actually redeemed by Christ; so, by the still more extensive term of, for all the whole world, he designed, in this place, to signify all mankind at large: for whose redemption, the death of Christ was certainly, in itself, sufficient, and super-sufficient.

(*r*) *Ibid.* p. 600.

(*s*) *Ibid.* p. 609.

truly sanctified person could not fall finally from grace, he might nevertheless fall totally: he might make utter shipwreck of faith, for the time being: though he should certainly (by virtue of God's immoveable covenant and election) be regenerated over again, and saved at last.—Though this was rather a bungling idea of perseverance, equally illogical and unscriptural, yet it did not clash with that part of the Christian system which asserts the certainty of eventual salvation to all true believers: and so came infinitely short of the absurdity of Arminianism, which supposes, not only a total, but a final defectibility of grace; than which nothing can be more monstrous and profane.

That Latimer once imagined divine grace in the hearts of the regenerate to be totally (though not finally) defectible, appears from that passage, in one of his sermons, where he speaks of a person's being sometimes in, and sometimes out of Christ and the book of life. The passage runs thus: "But you will say, how shall I know that I am in the book of life? how shall I try myself to be elected of God, to everlasting life? I answer; first, we may know, that we may one time be in the book, and another time come out again: as it appeared by David, who was written in the book of life; but, when he sinned, he was out of the book of the favour of God, until he had repented, and was sorry for his faults. So we may be in the book one time; and, afterward, when we forget God and his word, come out of the book: that is, out of Christ, which is the book. And in that book are written all believers (*t*)."—God forbid, that I should so much as wish to represent any thing differently from what it really is. I acknowledge, that, when Latimer delivered the above paragraph, he seemed, on this head, to have coincided in judgment with the

(*t*) *Ibid.* p. 816, 817.

new Lutherans. And I likewise add, that he was the only one of all our English reformers, who trod in this bye-path. Consequently, his private opinion, in which he was perfectly singular, and absolutely stood alone, affects not the public doctrine of the church of England.

But if Latimer was, at one time, somewhat eccentric, in point of total defectibility; he was steadfast as a rock, and true as a needle to the magnet, in point of final perseverance. This I aver; and now proceed to prove.

“All they,” says Latimer, “that believed in Christ, since Adam was created, were saved by him (*u*).”

Speaking of the fear of death, from which many eminent saints are not entirely delivered, he thus goes on: “Yea, the elect people of God, the faithful, having the beholding of his face, though God hath always preserved them (such a good God is he to them that believe in him, that he will not suffer them to be tempted above that they are able to bear); yet, for all that, there is nothing that they complain of more sore, than this horror of death (*x*).”

To that artful question, asked by the papists, do you think that all your catholic forefathers are damned? Bishop Latimer judiciously answers, that, as many of them as went to heaven, were saved by virtue of God’s electing grace, and were finally preserved by it to life eternal. “To the question, of our forefathers: God knoweth his elect, and diligently watcheth and keepeth them, so that all things serve to their salvation. The nature of fire is, to burn all that is laid in it: yet God kept the three young men in Babylon, that they burnt not. And Moses saw a bush on fire; but it burnt not. So false doctrine burneth as the fire: it corrupteth.

(*u*) Ibid. p. 558.

(*x*) Ibid. p. 208.

But God kept his elect, that they were not corrupted with it; but always put their trust in one ever-living God, through the death of Jesus Christ our Lord. In Elias' time, idolatry and superstition reigned: so that Elias said, Lord, they have destroyed thy altars, and slain thy prophets and preachers, and I am left alone. But the Lord answered him, I have reserved to myself seven thousand men, who have not bowed their knees to Baal. So God, I trust, reserved our forefathers, in so perilous times, more graciously than we can think (*y*)."

According to this good man, satan is an enemy whom every true believer is certain of overcoming. "The devil hath no farther power than God will allow him. The devil can go no farther, than God permitteth him to do. Which thing shall strengthen our faith: insomuch that we shall be sure to overcome him (*z*)."—God "is able to help us in our distress, and grant our requests. And though these be great things, yet we need not to despair; but consider that he is Lord over heaven and earth, that he is able to do for us, and that he will do so, being our Father and our Lord, and King over all things (*a*)."

Latimer very rightly deduces the final perseverance of the saints, from the love which God bears in Christ to his believing people. "In the prophets, every where, he setteth out his great love which he hath towards us, saying, can a woman forget her own child, which she hath born into the world? yea, and though she do forget the same, yet I will not forget thee. It is a rare thing, when the devil so much prevaieth in parents, that a mother should neglect or forget her own child. Yet, saith God, though it were so, that she could forget her child, yet will not I forget thee when thou believest in my son Christ. For the devil cannot prevail against me, though he

(*y*) Ibid. p. 261.

(*z*) Ibid. p. 463.

(*a*) Ibid. p. 466.

prevail against [such] women, so that sometimes they forget their own children, or kill them: yet he shall not prevail against me, for I am mightier than he is (b).” This is hinging the question on the right point. While God perseveres in his love to the saints, the saints cannot but persevere in the grace of God. So that God himself must cease to persevere, ere they can.

Latimer justly observes, that the comfort of faith may be suspended, though the grace of faith is perpetual and inamissible. “It is said, in scripture, that God leadeth [as it were] into hell, and bringeth up again. And so it is with such fearful men: for God doth cast them into hell, [i. e.] he hideth himself from them; but at length he bringeth them out again, and establisheth them with a constant faith, so that they may be sure of their salvation and everlasting life. I knew once a woman, who was seventeen years in such an exstasy and fear: but at length she recovered again, and God endued her with a strong and stedfast faith in the end (c).”

Nothing can be more comfortable to an awakened mind, than this blessed infallibility of perseverance. “This is now an exceeding comfort to all Christian people: for they may be assured, that when they believe in Christ, and Christ taketh their parts, there shall be nothing, neither in heaven nor in earth, that shall be able to hurt them, or let [i. e. hinder] them of their salvation (d).”—Again: “By this text [viz. he that spared not his own Son, &c.] it appeareth, that he that hath Christ hath all things: he hath Christ’s fulfilling of the law: he hath remission of his sins; and so, consequently, everlasting life. Is not this a comfort (e)?”

“Whoever thus believeth, mistrusting himself and his own doings, and trusting in the merits of

(b) Ibid. p. 575.

(c) Ibid. p. 670.

(d) Ibid. p. 692.

(e) Ibid. p. 795.

Christ; he shall get the victory over death, the devil, and hell: so that they shall not hurt him, neither all their powers be able to stand against any of those who are in Christ Jesus (*f*). Who is a just man? he is just, that believeth in our Saviour. For, as you have heard before those, who believe in Christ, are justified before God: they are clean delivered from all sins, and therefore may be called just; for so they are, in the sight of God. Such, saith the prophet, he hath never seen forsaken of God (*g*).”

So far was Latimer from not holding the final perseverance of true believers, that he held it sinful in true believers to doubt of their own final perseverance. “We must always consider that God is able to save us, and believe undoubtedly that he will save us. So that, when I am sick, as is said before, I may doubt whether God will deliver me from my sickness, or no: but I may not doubt of everlasting life (*h*).”

He considered the doctrine of perseverance, as a powerful support and consolation, under even the outward afflictions of the present life. Christ “Is every where: and will be with us unto the end of the world, as he promised to his apostles after his resurrection, saying, Lo, I will be with you until the end of the world. Which is the greatest comfort that may be, unto a Christian heart: for it is a stay to all trouble (*i*).”

Perseverance is but another name for retaining the grace of God. And, according to Latimer, grace is retained by all who are made truly good. “To retain is the property of the good seed. Therefore if thou canst find the keeping of God’s most holy word in thy heart, then thou art in the good ground, and shalt bring forth much fruit: thou shalt keep the word of God with patience. For God hath ever a church: and those that be of the church, will keep his word with patience (*k*).”—Such,

(*f*) Ibid. p. 809.

(*g*) Ibid. p. 818.

(*h*) Ibid. p. 844.

(*i*) Ibid. p. 851.

(*k*) Ibid. p. 900, 901.

therefore, as do not keep it with patience or perseverance, were never of God's church, but reprobates and hypocrites.

Were I to adduce all bishop Latimer's assertions in behalf of final perseverance, they would amount to a little volume. The three following shall close the subject.

"We may learn here [viz. from Matth. viii. 23, &c.] that the ship signified the congregation of Christ and his church. The disciples, being in the ship, are preserved, through Christ. So all those which are in the church of Christ, shall be saved and preserved by him. The others, which are without this church [i. e. the reprobate], shall be damned and perish (*l*)."

"If thou believest in him, then thou art written in the book of life, and shall be saved (*m*)."

"The Lord knoweth which are his. Also Christ himself saith, No man shall take those from me, whom my Father hath given to me: that is to say, which are ordained to everlasting life (*n*)."

Thus have I lain before the reader some (and only some) of those proofs, which are on record, of bishop Latimer's Calvinism. And I have done it, with much more amplitude, than I otherwise should, for this plain reason; viz. To show, that, though this worthy martyr was (as already observed) by far the most unlearned, and by much the least guarded and accurate, among the whole choir of English reformers; still he was in reality, a Calvinist, a strict Calvinist, a zealous Calvinist, and, in most respects, a consistent Calvinist.

I have produced upwards of a hundred passages from Latimer himself, in support of the above assertion: and, if need had required, could have augmented the list with a hundred more. But enough has been alleged, to turn the balance of

(*l*) *Ibid.* p. 855.

(*m*) *Ibid.* p. 816.

(*n*) *Ibid.* p. 564.



Latimer's testimony on the side of our established doctrines. Though a million of Wesleys and Selons, with their whole ragged regiment of cobblers, tinkers, shoe-blacks, and old women, were to hang by the opposite scale; they would all mount and sprawl aloft in air, till they tumbled off in clusters, not without execrating the insuperable gravity of Latimer and the church of England.

Some readers, perhaps, may think, I have been too extensive and diffuse, in my quotations from this venerable bishop. If the reason, already assigned, will not avail for my apology, the only farther amend I am able to make, is, to promise, that my extracts from each of the remaining reformers, shall be less prolix.

#### SECTION XIV.

*The Judgment of our English Reformers concluded.*

IV. DOCTOR JOHN HOOPER, bishop of Gloucester and Worcester, comes next to be considered. He was born in Somersetshire, A. D. 1495; and received the academical part of his education at Merton College, Oxford. The exact time of his conversion to the protestant faith, is unknown: but it certainly was previous to the year 1539. For, upon the passing of the six bloody articles into a statute which happened in that year, we find Mr. Hooper quitting England for the sake of a good conscience, and wandering, like a partridge hunted on the mountains, first into France, Ireland, and Holland; and from thence to Switzerland: in which latter, he lived partly at Basil, and partly at Zurich,

where he became intimately acquainted with the learned Bullinger.

On the death of Henry VIII. in 1547, our venerable exile returned to his native country. Prior to his setting out from Zurich, he dropt a very remarkable expression, in his parting interview with Bullinger. "In all probability," said Bullinger, "king Edward will raise you to a bishopric. If so, do not suffer your elevation to make you forgetful of your old friends in Switzerland. Let us, from time to time, have the satisfaction of hearing from you." Hooper answered, "No change of place, or of station, no accession of new friends, shall ever render me unmindful of yourself and my other benefactors here. You may depend on my carefully corresponding with you. But it will not be in my power, to write you an account of the last news of all: for" [taking Bullinger by the hand], "others will inform you of my being burned to ashes in that very place where, in the mean while, I shall labour most for God and the gospel (*o*)." The holy man was not mistaken in his prediction. Gloucester was afterwards the principal seat of his religious labours; and, at Gloucester, he sealed those labours with his blood, Feb. 9, 1555, in the 60th year of his age: being, as Burnet (*p*) observes, the first of our protestant bishops that suffered death for the gospel.

He is universally allowed to have been eminently pious, extensively learned, and of the most unimpeachable morals. A noble instance of the steadiness and impartiality, with which he laboured to discountenance vice, occurs in the history last referred to. Being in his diocese of Gloucester, he denounced the censures of the church against some persons of inferior station, who had been convicted of open lewdness. One of them had the courage to say to him, we poor people must do penance for

(*o*) See Fox, vol. iii. p. 119.

(*p*) Refor. vol. iii. p. 240

these things; while great and rich men, though as guilty as ourselves, are suffered to escape unpunished and unnoticed. The bishop answered, name any person, how great soever, who can be convicted of adultery; and I will give you leave to use me as roughly as you please, if I do not proceed against him with all the severity of justice. The intrepid prelate was soon as good as his word: for, in a few days after, he cited sir Anthony Kingston, a man of high consequence in that country, into the ecclesiastical court: and though, for some time, the knight behaved with great haughtiness and outrage, he was at last forced to do penance, and pay a fine of £500 besides (*q*).

As Dr. Hooper was thus a resolute asserter of virtue, so he asserted, with no less resolution, those grand evangelical doctrines, from the experimental belief of which, all genuine virtue flows.—He observes, that, in heaven, the souls of the faithful are “for ever praising the Lord, in conjunction and society everlasting with the blessed company of God’s elect, in perpetual joy (*r*).”—And he mentions it, as one capital instance of the patience of God’s people on earth, that “They wait until the number of the elect be fulfilled (*s*).”—With an eye to the same precious doctrine of election, he adds, in a letter, written a few weeks before his martyrdom, that the glorified spirits of them who had, in all ages, suffered death for the cause of Christ, were joyfully expecting the happy day, “When they shall receive their bodies again in immortality, and see the number of the elect associated with them in full and consummate joys (*t*).”

He is equally explicit, as to the necessity of grace. He justly observes, that true contentment under affliction, is the fruit of supernatural regeneration.

(*q*) Burnet, *ibid.* p. 209, 210.

(*r*) Fox, iii. 132.

(*s*) *Ibid.* p. 133.

(*t*) *Ibid.* p. 135.

“It is not the nature of man that can be contented, until it be regenerated and possessed with God’s Spirit, to bear patiently the troubles of the mind, or of the body (*u*).”—Again: “These things” [viz. the knowledge and love of heavenly objects] “are easy to be spoken of, but not so easy to be practised. Wherefore, seeing they be God’s gifts, and none of ours to have of our own when we would, we must seek them at our heavenly Father’s hand (*x*).—Howbeit, no man of himself can do this” [i. e. can pray and hope aright]; “but the Spirit of God, that striketh the man’s heart with fear, prayeth for the man stricken and feared, with unspeakable groanings (*y*).”—Once more: “Christ saith to every one of his people, by your own patience ye shall continue your life: not that man hath patience in [i. e. of] himself, but that he must have it for himself of God, the only giver of it (*z*).”

On the great article of justification also, Hooper was a thorough Calvinist. This appears from the confession of faith (an extract of which is preserved in Burnet), which was signed, not only by Hooper himself, but by two bishops besides, and seven eminent ministers; all, at that time, prisoners for the gospel: viz. Coverdale, bishop of Exeter; Farrar, bishop of St. David’s; with Taylor, Philpot, Bradford, Crome, Sanders, Rogers, and Lawrence. In this excellent declaration, the heroic sufferers publicly certified, that they “held justification by faith: which faith,” said they, “is not only an opinion, but a certain persuasion, wrought by the Holy Ghost, which doth illuminate the mind, and supple the heart to submit itself unfeignedly to God.” They add, that they “acknowledged an inherent righteousness; yet, they believed, that justification, and pardon of sins, came only by Christ’s righteousness imputed to them (*a*).”

(*u*) Ibid. p. 131.

(*x*) Ibid. p. 132.

(*y*) Ibid.

(*z*) Ibid. p. 133.

(*a*) Burnet’s Hist. Reform. vol. ii. p. 265.

Let me, next, subjoin a word or two, concerning Hooper's doctrine of providence. "God," says he, "hath such care and charge of us, that he will keep, in the midst of all troubles, the very hairs of our head: so that one of them shall not fall away, without the will and pleasure of our heavenly Father. Whether the hair, therefore, tarry on the head, or fall from the head, it is the will of the Father (*b*)."—Again: "They" [i. e. all afflictions] "be servants of God, to go and come as he commandeth them (*c*)."—Once more: "Of this I am assured, that the wicked world, with all its force and power, shall not touch one of the hairs of our heads, without leave and licence of our heavenly Father (*d*)."

A specimen of what he advances, respecting final perseverance, shall for the present, conclude his testimony. To a company of protestants, who had been surprized at a religious meeting, and committed to prison he thus wrote: "God will go in and out with you, and will be present in your hearts and in your mouths.—He that hath begun that work in you, will surely strengthen you in the same (*e*)."—In a letter to his own lady, he says; "Remember, that although your (*f*) life, as all Christian men's be, be hid, and appeareth not, what it is; yet it is safe (as St. Paul saith) with God in Christ: and when Christ shall appear, then shall our lives be made open [i. e. be rendered conspicuous] with him in glory (*g*)."—He adds, a little lower: we may be tempted of the devil, the flesh, and the world; but yet, although these things pinch, they do not pierce: and though they work sin in us, yet in Christ no damnation to those that be grafted

(*b*) Fox, vol. iii. p. 131.      (*c*) Ibid. p. 132.      (*d*) Ibid. p. 134.

(*e*) Strype's Eccles. Memor. vol. iii. Append. No. 27. p. 78.

(*f*) He means, the soul of each person who is regenerated by the Holy Ghost.

(*g*) Fox, u. s. p. 132.

in him. Hereof may the Christian man learn both consolation and patience. Consolation, in that [notwithstanding] he is compelled, both in his body and goods, to feel pain and loss; and, in soul, heaviness and anguish of mind; howbeit, none of them both shall separate him from the love that God beareth him in Christ. He may learn patience, forasmuch as his enemies, both of body and soul, and the pains also they vex us withal for the time; if they tarry with us long as we live, yet, when death cometh, they shall avoid, and give place to such joys as be prepared for us in Christ (*h*).”—To one Mrs. Anne Warcop, who was in danger on account of the gospel, the holy bishop wrote as follows: “I did rejoyce at the coming of this bearer, to understand of your constancy; and that you are fully resolved, by God’s grace, rather to suffer extremity, than to go from the truth of God which you have professed. He that gave you grace to begin so infallible a truth, will follow you in the same unto the end (*i*).”

From bishop Hooper, I pass on,

V. To Doctor Martin Bucer: a man, whose discretion, mildness and benevolence, procured him the name of “the moderate reformer;” and whose admirable talents obliged even Vossius to style him, *Ter Maximum Bucerum*. His judicious labours, during his residence in this kingdom, greatly assisted in the reformation of our church, at home; and his learned pen was no less zealously and successfully engaged in defending and vindicating her doctrine, worship, and discipline, from the calumnies of papists, and from the ill-judged exceptions of some foreign protestants, abroad. Taking every thing into the account, he was, perhaps, in point of temper, conduct, and abilities, one of the most amiable and unexceptionable divines that ever lived:

(*h*) *Ibid.*

(*i*) *Ibid.* p. 135.

though few persons have been more insulted and traduced by bigots of all denominations.

He was born, A. D. 1491, at Schelestadt, in Alsace. In his early part of life he entered himself of the order of Dominican Friars; but, after a time, God showed him a more excellent way. Some writings of Erasmus are said (*k*) to have given his mind the first shock against popery. His doubts were afterwards improved into a full conviction of the truth, by the books of Luther. As Luther's writings had driven the nail to the head; so some personal interviews, which Bucer had with that reformer, first at Heidelberg, and then at Worms, in 1521, clinched the nail so effectually, that Bucer determined from that time forward, to profess the doctrines of the gospel more openly than ever. The conversations of these two great men, during those memorable interviews, appear to have turned chiefly on the articles of free-will and justification.

In the year 1548, Bucer was (not at the recommendation of Melanethon, but at the recommendation of archbishop (*l*) Cranmer) invited by king Edward, from Strasburg to England. The learned Fagius was invited at the same time; and accompanied Bucer hither. Being arrived, Bucer was made divinity-professor at Cambridge; with a salary, treble to what any of his predecessors had enjoyed. "These grave and learned doctors," says Mr. Strype, meaning Martin Bucer and Peter Martyr, "were placed there [viz. in the two universities; Bucer at Cambridge, and Martyr at Oxford]; the lord Protector and the archbishop judging them the fittest persons to inform the students in their notions and doctrines concerning religion. Because,

(*k*) Melch. Adam. vit. Theolog. German. p. 211.—Edit. Heidelb. 1620.

(*l*) See Strype's Eccl. Mem. vol. ii. p. 121.—Also, Melch. Adam. p. 219.

as they were very learned in other sciences; so, in divinity, they took the holy scripture for their guide, and gathered their tenets from no other authority but from thence; according to the constant principle of the great and good archbishop (*m*).”— I shall quickly show, that the archbishop and the lord Protector were not mistaken, in their favourable opinion of the two celebrated foreigners; and that these illustrious divines did indeed “gather their tenets from no other authority but the holy scriptures.”

But Bucer’s business in England, and that of Martyr, was not restrained to the divinity-chairs of Cambridge and Oxford, or to the instruction of the youth who were to serve in the ministry of this protestant church. Those learned professors had likewise a hand in the reformation and settlement of the church herself. Even the fierce-flaming and high-flying Mr. Samuel Downes, notwithstanding all his redundant bitterness against Calvinism, both foreign and domestic, is compelled to own (though with grievous reluctance, and with no little mincing and twisting), that the liturgy itself was submitted to the judgment and correction of Bucer and Martyr. These divines, says Mr. Downes, “Being men of great learning, and of a moderate and peaceable disposition, had been invited over by archbishop Cranmer: and had, by their prudent carriage, and affection to our regular constitution, which they had given a remarkable instance of in their answers to Hooper’s scruples concerning the episcopal habit, so well recommended themselves to the esteem of our bishops; that it was thought expedient to lay a Latin translation of the liturgy before them, and desire their opinion concerning what explanations, or emendations, were requisite to be made (*n*).” Thus

(*m*) Strype, ubi supra.

(*n*) Downe’s Lives of the Comp. p. 175, 176.



far, all is fair, candid and true. And, if this be indeed (as indeed it is) a just state of the fact; I should be glad to know, with what propriety and consistency the Arminian life compiler has subjoined, in the very next words to those last cited, "But there is a wide difference between asking their advice, and adding, or omitting, according to their humour and fancy." Is not this, indirectly, saying that Bucer and Martyr were humorsome, fanciful men? And how does such an unjust and ungenerous implication comport with the character which this very writer has, a moment before, given of these very persons, viz. That "their disposition was peaceable and moderate, that their carriage was prudent, and their affection to our regular constitution so remarkable as to recommend them to the esteem of the reforming bishops?"—It does not appear, that either Bucer or Martyr had any singularity, either of "humour," or of "fancy," to indulge. On the contrary, their modesty and moderation were known to all men, and have pressed even Mr. Downes himself into an acknowledgment of both. It may be asked, Did the English reformers actually make any alterations in the book of Common Prayer, conformably to the judgments of Martyr and Bucer? I answer, yes. And the aforesaid Mr. Downes shall second my testimony. Though, through an excess of bigotry, he falters in pronouncing his evidence, still the evidence itself is full to the point. "If some particulars were altered agreeable to their judgment, it was, because our bishops thought the reasons, which they [i. e. which Martyr and Bucer] gave, for making those alterations, conclusive and convincing (o)." Admitted.—It remains, then, that these two foreign Calvinists were actually concerned in the modeling of our English liturgy: and consequently, that they

(o) *Ibid.* p. 176.

had some hand in the reformation of the church of England.

But were they indeed doctrinal Calvinists? Let their own works answer the question. I shall begin with Bucer: and cite his observations, not under distinct heads, but in the same order as I extracted them, from such of his books as I could have present recourse to.

“Predestination,” says Bucer, “is neither more nor less than pre-limitation, or fore-appointment: and God, who consigns every thing to its proper use, worketh all things agreeably to his own pre-determination; and, accordingly, separates one thing from another, so as to make each thing answer to its respective use. If you desire a more extensive definition of this predestination, take it thus: predestination is an appointment of every thing to its proper use; by which appointment, God doth, before he made them, even from eternity, destine all things whatever to some certain and particular use. Hence it follows, that even wicked men are predestinated. For, as God forms them out of nothing, so he forms them to some determinate end: for he does all things, knowingly, and wisely. The Lord hath made all things for himself, even the wicked for the day of evil [Prov. xvi. 4]. Divines, however, do not usually call this predestination; but reprobation.—It is certain, that God makes a good use of evil itself: and every sin we commit, hath something in it of the good work of God (*p*).—Scripture does not hesitate to affirm, that there are some persons, whom God delivers over to a reprobate sense, and whom he forms for destruction: why, therefore,

(*p*) Every sin we commit, has something in it of the good work of God. I cannot clearly understand, what Bucer intends by this extraordinary, and seemingly harsh mode of expression. Be his meaning what it may, the reader will observe, as usual, that I am not advancing the above proposition, as my own; but simply quoting the words of another.

should it be deemed derogatory from God, to assert, that he not only does this, but resolved beforehand to do it (*q*)?"

Nothing can be more plain and nervous, than the following remarks of Bucer, respecting God's obduration of Pharaoh. Whether the remarks be, or be not, carried too far, is beyond my province to enquire. "The apostle says, Who may resist the will of God? By the word will, Paul gives us to understand, that God actually willeth those very things, unto which men are hardened by him.—When Paul adds, Who may resist? he, in fact, points out the necessity, which they whom God hardens, are under, of doing those things. When God would harden Pharaoh, in order that he might not obey the commandment, it was the actual will of God that Pharaoh should not obey. Yea, God himself wrought in Pharaoh to oppose the commandment sent him. Pharaoh, therefore, did what God in reality willed him to do; yea, he did no more than what God himself had wrought in him: nor was it in Pharaoh's power, to act otherwise than he did." Such was the doctrine, taught by this able and courageous Cambridge professor. Willing, however, to obviate any exceptions, which

(*q*) "Alioqui, quum  $\pi\tau\tau\sigma\sigma\tau\sigma\mu\sigma\sigma$  sit simpliciter preñitio, et Deus preñitò agat omnia, nihil non ad suum usum deputans; atque ità ab aliis rebus, quantum ad istum suum usum attinet, seperat. Si in genere definire hanc preñitionem velis, erit, rei cujusque ad suum usum deputatio, quà Deus singula, antequàm condiderit, ab æterno, ad certum aliquem usum destinat. Hinc, et malorum quoque prædestinatio est. Nam sicut et hos ex nihilo fingit Deus, ità fingit ad certum finem: sapientè enim facit omnia.—Fecit Deus omnia propter semetipsum, etiam inpium ad diem malum. Sed hanc theologi non sustinent vocare, prædestinationem; sed vocant, reprobationem.—Nullo certè malo Deus non benè utitur: et nihil est, quod nos peccamus, in quo non sit aliquod bonum opus Dei.—Scriptura non veretur dicere, Deum tradere quosdam homines in reprobum sensum, et agere in perniciem. Quid igitur indignum Deo, acere, etiam statuisse antea, ut illos in sensum reprobum traderet, et ageret in perniciem?" Bucer. Enarr. Ep. ad Rom. p. 410. Edit. Basil. 1562.

those persons might raise, who had not studied these deep points so carefully and so extensively as he had been enabled to do; he presently after, shelters both his doctrine and himself under the following words, and the correspondent practice, of the great apostle whom he had quoted before: "Nay, but, O man! [who art thou that repliest against God?] St. Paul does not accommodate, nor soften down, a single syllable of what he had just asserted. The sacred penman does not deny, that they, who are hardened by God, perish according to the will of God. The apostle does not admit it to be even possible, that a person, who is hardened from above, can perform what is good. Paul [instead of setting himself to answer our vain reasonings on the matter,] contents himself with merely giving us a solemn caution, not to sit in judgment on the decrees of God: assuring us, that we cannot arraign the Deity at our own bar, without being guilty of the uttermost boldness and impiety (*r*)." If Bucer was not a Calvinist, where shall we find one?

I cannot prevail on myself to defraud the reader of a few more citations, which I lately extracted from another most valuable work of Bucer, entitled, *A continued Interpretation of the Four Gospels*. And I the rather subjoin them, as the book itself is exceeding scarce, though I have been so happy as to meet with it in a neighbouring library.

(*r*) "Voluntati ejus quis resistat? Voluntatem cum dicit, notat, Deum ea velle, ad que homines indurat. Cum addit, quis resistat? indicat necessitatem faciendi ea, que fert induratio. Cum Deus Pharaonem induraret, ne jussui suo obtemperaret; voluit utique illum jussui suo non obtemperare: imò, ut ei repugnaret, ipse in eo effecit. Fecit itaque Pharao, quod Deus volebat eum facere; imò, quod ipse faciebat in eo: nec potuit aliud. Quinimo, O homo! Apostolus nihil mitigat dictorum. Non negat, Dei voluntate perire, quos indurat Deus. Non facit possibile homini, ut beneficiat induratus. Sed deturret tantum et depellit ab eò, ut judicia Domini judicemus: ostendens, hoc esse extremæ impudentiæ et impietatis." Bucer. *ibid.* p. 456.

“ They, who are at any time able to fall quite away from Christ, did never really belong to him. Consequently, they never truly believed, nor were indeed pious, nor had the holy Spirit of adoption: on the contrary, all their performances were nothing but hypocrisy, how sanctified and ready soever unto good works they, for a time, pretended to be. They, whom Christ loves, are loved by him even unto the end: and he doth not cast away those whom the Father giveth him; neither can any snatch them from his hand. Therefore, admitting that these may fall, yet they cannot fall utterly; for they are elect unto life: and God’s election cannot be made void by any creature whatever. Seeing, then, that the purpose of God, according to election, may stand, not of works, but of him that calleth [Rom. ix. 11.]; he not only elected his own people, before they were born, and had done either good or evil [Rom. ix. 11.], but even before the very foundations of the world [Eph. i. 4.] Hence, our Lord said, concerning his apostles, I pray not for the world, but for them whom thou hast given me; for they are thine: that is, they were chosen by thee unto life. As, therefore, on one hand, Christ never knew [i. e. never loved] the reprobate, whatever deceitful appearance of virtue they might have; so, on the other, he always knew [i. e. always loved] the elect, how ungodly soever they might seem for a time. Consequently, as these [i. e. the elect] are predestinated and called, they shall, sooner or later, be formed anew, according to the likeness of Christ: while those [i. e. the reprobate] shall be stripped of that artificial mask, under which they passed for children of God; and be made to appear in their own proper colours (s).”

(s) “ Clarè docemur, qui aliquandò à Christo possunt excidere, eos Christi nunquam fuisse: eòque nunquam verè credidisse, aut fuisse pios, nunquam spiritûs filiorum fuisse nactos: sed omnia il-

On those words of Christ, Ye believe not, because ye are not of my sheep; Bucer thus remarks: "They were not of our Lord's sheep, i. e. they were not in the number of those who were given to him by the Father; they were not elected unto life. Therefore it was, that they were totally destitute of God's good Spirit, and were utterly immersed in flesh: neither were they able to believe in our Lord, nor to embrace him as a Saviour (*t*)."

A little farther on, we find this admirable commentator observing as follows: "My sheep hear my voice, &c. In these words our Lord expressly teaches, that all good things are dependent on God's election: and that they, to whom it is once given to be sheep, can never perish afterwards. Christ here tells us, that they alone hear his voice: that is, they, who are indeed his sheep, are made partakers of faith. Now, whence is it, that some people are Christ's sheep, or susceptible of his doctrine; while others are not?

lorum nil nisi hypocrisiu esse, quantumlibet sancti, et pietate præstabilis, ad tempus, sese fingant. Quos enim Christus diligit, in finem usque diligit: et quos pater illi dar, neque ipse abjicit; neque rapere de manu ejus quisquam potest. Ideò etsi cadant hujusmodi, excidunt tamen nunquam. Electi enim sunt ad vitam: quam Dei electionem nulla potest creatura reddere irritam. Siquidem ut secundum electionem propositum Dei maneat, non ex operibus, sed ex vocante; non solum elegit suos, priusquam nati sint, ac boni aut mali quicquam fecerint; sed antequam jacerentur fundamenta orbis à constitutione mundi. Unde et de apostolis Dominus dicebat. Non pro mundo rogo, sed pro iis rogo, quos dedisti mihi; quia tui sunt: id est, electi abs te ad vitam. Proinde, ut Christo nunquam noti sunt reprobi, ita nunquam ignoti electi: quantumvis, in illis, pietatis species aliqua adblandiatur; et, in his, invisâ impietatis sæpe forma conspiciatur. Eòque, et hi, sicut prædestinati et vocati sunt, sic tandem ad imaginem Christi reformabuntur: et illi, detractâ personâ filiorum Dei factitiâ, sui similes apparebunt, juxta hoc quod à se auditorus hinc testatur [Christus]." Bucer. in Matth. vii. 22.—Apud. ejus in sacr. quatuor Evang. Enarrat. Perpet. pag. 76. b.—Edit. Rob. Steph. 1553.

(*t*) "Non erant ex ovibus Domini; hoc est, donatis Christo à Patre: non erant ex electis ad vitam. Ineò omni spiritu Dei bono carebant: animales toti. Neque potuerant Domino credere, aut ut servatorem ipsum amplecti." Bucer. *ibid.* in Job. x. 25.

Undoubtedly, because the former are inspired by the good Spirit of God, whereas the latter are not inspired at all.—But whence is it, that the former are endued with the holy Spirit, and not the latter? For this reason: because the former were given to Christ, to be saved by him; but the latter were not given him. Let us therefore allow God the honour of being the bestower of his own Spirit, without supposing him to need or receive any of our assistance.—Christ adds, And I know them: i. e. they are committed to my trust; I have them in special charge. And, doubtless, from hence it is, that his sheep follow him, and live the life which never ends. The Father gave them to him, that he might endue them with life eternal: and they can no more be plucked from Christ's hand, than from the hand of the Father, who is mightier and greater than all. Christ and the Father are one: their power and strength are the same. Consequently, as none can pluck the elect from the Father's hand, so neither from the hand of Christ.—We are to observe moreover, that it flows only from God's election, that we are the sheep of Christ, and follow him. We must observe, too, that such can never entirely fall away. For, the Father and the Son being undivided, their hand, that is, their power, must be undivided also: and, out of their hand, none shall ever snatch those whom that hand has once laid hold on for salvation. Now, unto whomsoever it shall be given to hear the voice of Christ, and to follow him; they may be said to be thus lain hold on [by the hand, or power, of divine grace]: seeing, none but the sheep are able to hear and follow the Redeemer. And if they are sheep now, they are so held in the hand of Christ and of the Father, as never to perish, but to have eternal life (*u*).”

(*u*) “Oves mæ vocem, &c. In his appertè docet [Christus], omnia à divinâ electione pendere; eosque, quibus semel datum fuerit oves esse, perire nunquam posse. Hic nanque audimus, eos

Bucer was also a Calvinist, on the article of limited redemption. He was too well acquainted with the scriptures, and too accurate a reasoner, as well as entertained too exalted an idea of the dignity of Christ's sacrifice, to imagine, that the Messiah died, at sixes and sevens, for any body and every body, but effectually for nobody. The learned reformer's sentiments on this subject, appear, among other passages, from his pious and judicious paraphrase on our Lord's answer to Peter (Matth. xvi. 23.), which was, says Bucer, as if Christ had said, "If I am the Messiah, I must, according to the scriptures, be slain for the elect. If I am the Son of God, why should I not obey my Father, whose will it is, that I should be a victim and a sacrifice for the sins of all those whom he hath ordained unto life (x)?"

tantum vocem Christi audire, id est, fidem recipere, qui oves sunt. Jam unde erit, ut alii, oves, hoc est, capaces doctrinae Christi sunt, alii minimè? Indubiè, quòd illi bono spiritu Dei afflati sunt; hi nequaquam.—Unde autem erit, ut illi spiritu donentur, hi secus? Quòd illi filio salvandi donati sunt; hi nequaquam. Domino ergò demus hanc gloriam, ut ipse spiritum det, nihil nostrâ operâ adjutus.—Dominus subjicit, et cognosco illas; h. e. Curae mihi sunt; et hinc certè est, ut ipsum oves sequantur, vitamque vivant quæ finem nescit. Ipsi illas Pater dedit, ut eis suppeditet vitam æternam: tam igitur non poterunt è manu ejus quàm è patris manu, qui major èt potentior omnibus est, eripi. Unum siquidem sunt ipse et pater: eadem est utriusque virtus et potentia. Germanicè, es ist ein ding der vater un der sun. Quarè, ut de manu patris nemo potest electos rapere, ità neque de manu Christi.—Iterum observandum, à solâ Dei electione esse, ut oves simus, et Christum sequamur; tùm, tales excidere nunquam posse. Quia unum sunt pater et filius, eandem manum habent, id est, potentiam: de quâ, nemo unquam rapiet eos, quos illa semel apprehenderit salvandos. Apprehensi autem sunt, quibuscumque datum fuerit Christi vocem audire et sequi. Id siquidem nulli possunt, nisi oves sint. Si jam oves, in manu Christi et patris sunt, ut nunquam pereant, sed habeant vitam æternam." Bucer Enarr. in Joann. cap. 10. v. 27. p. 277. b.

(x) "Si Christus sum, occidi pro electis debeo, juxta scripturas. Si filius Dei, cur non gererem motem patri, qui vult me hostiam pro peccatis esse omnium quos ille ad vitam ordinavit;" Bucer. Enarr. in Matth. xvi. p. 136. b.



I shall only add, concerning this great man, that he died at Cambridge, A. D. 1551; of which melancholy event, good king Edward VI. made the following entry in his journal: "Feb. 28. the learned man Bucerus died at Cambridge; who was, two days after, buried in St. Mary's church, at Cambridge; all the whole university, with the whole town, bringing him to his grave, to the number of 3000 persons. Also there was an oration of Mr. Haddon, made very eloquently, at his death; and a sermon of [Dr. Parker]: after that, Master Redman made a third sermon. Which three sermons made the people wonderfully to lament his death. Last of all, all the learned men of the university made their epitaphs in his praise, laying them on his grave (y)."

Bucer's death was occasioned by a complication of disorders: particularly, the cholic, and the stone: *intestinorum dolor* (says Melch. Ad. p. 220.) *calculus, fastidium ciborum, alvi siccitas, catarrhi copiosi capitis*. During his whole illness, that illustrious mother in Israel, Catharine Brandon, duchess dowager of Suffolk, attended him, watched with him, and deigned to undergo the offices and fatigues of a nurse: in hopes, that providence might make her the instrument of saving so valuable a life; or, at least, that her generous assiduity might conduce to soften the last agonies of her beloved friend and pastor (z.) This was the same lady, who, in the succeeding reign of Mary, to avoid the resentment of the papists, was obliged to follow her second husband, Mr. Richard Bertie, into voluntary banishment; where they suffered such hardships, and ran such dangers, as cannot be read without the strongest

(y) See king Edward's journal of his own reign, p. 20. Annexed to Burnet's Hist. Ref. vol. ii.

(z) *Semper agrotanti adsedit, et omnia humanitatis officia praestitit.* Melch. Adam. p. 221.

feelings of sympathetic distress. On the accession of queen Elizabeth, the religious and noble exiles returned to England; where, many years after, they finished their course, in wealth, honour, and felicity (*a*).

Some short time before Bucer's decease, Mr. John Bradford (the celebrated martyr) coming to see him, with tears, said, "I am going to preach, and will not fail to remember you in my prayers with the congregation." Bucer devoutly answered, weeping, "Cast me not away, O Lord, in my time of old age, when my strength faileth me!" Immediately on pronouncing which words, he seems to have received a fresh and powerful manifestation of the divine presence: for he added, *Castiget fortiter, abjiciet autem nunquam; nunquam abjiciet*: "God may chasten me greatly, but he will never cast me away; no, he will never cast me away." Somebody advising him to arm himself against the assaults of satan; he replied, I have nothing to do with satan: I am only in Christ. I should be sorry indeed, if I did not now experience the sweetest consolations. Among his last words (they seem to have been the very last) were, with his eyes cheerfully thrown up toward heaven, *ille, ille regit, et moderatur omnia!* i. e. "he, he reigns, and governs all!" Thus comfortably did this blessed saint of God expire in the 61st year of his age.

Paul Fagius, who accompanied him to this kingdom, and was fixed at Cambridge, in quality of Hebrew Professor, died there, the November preceding: and the famous Tremellius, an Italian protestant, of great piety and learning, and as rooted a Calvinist as Fagius himself, succeeded to the Hebrew chair; and was afterwards made prebend of Carlisle (*b*). On the death of king Edward, Tre-

(*a*) See Collin's Peerage, vol. ii. p. 53, 54.—Edit. 1768.

(*b*) See Strype's Eccl. Mem. vol. ii. p. 387.

mellius retired into Germany: and, after several removes, died at Sedan, in France, A. D. 1580.

In the reign of queen Mary, the bones of Bucer and Fagius were dug out of their graves, and publicly burned, together with as many of their writings as could be collected.

VI. Peter Martyr, another reformer and luminary of the church of England, was born at Florence, A. D. 1500. "His family name was Vermilius: but his parents gave him that of Martyr, from one Peter, a martyr, whose church happened to stand near their house (c)." Providence had given him a vast capacity: and his acquired learning was prodigious.—The writings of Bucer and Zuinglius were the first means of bringing him to the knowledge of the truth.

For some time after his conversion, Martyr seemed to follow those words of St. Paul too literally, Hast thou faith? have it to thyself before God (Rom. xiv. 22.) But providence would not suffer such a burning and shining light to be always under a bushel. By degrees, God gave him more and more boldness; and, at length, called him forth, in the fulness of the blessings of the gospel of Christ. He preached salvation by grace, with the demonstration of the Spirit and with power. Many were converted under his ministry; some of whom proved eminently useful in the church of God: particularly those two illustrious divines, Zanchius and Tremellius.

Martyr's courage and success soon made his native country, Italy, too hot to hold him. Switzerland and Germany afforded him safe retreat: till, in the latter end of the (d) year 1547, he was invited to England, to help forward the good work of reformation then beginning in this kingdom. Mel-

(c) Biog. Dict. vol. viii. p. 263.

(d) Melch. Adam. Vit. Theolog. ext. p. 46.

chior Adam, a writer of great accuracy and fidelity, observes, that, "On the death of Henry VIII. the young king Edward was resolved to abolish popery, and to reduce the English church to the standard of God's word, under the auspices of his uncle, the duke of Somerset, and of Cranmer, archbishop of Canterbury. And, since the universities may be considered as the nurseries, in which the clergy of the rising generation are trained up for the ministry; it seemed a point of the first importance, to reform those nurseries: that, from them, as from a pure fountain, the streams of sound doctrine might water every corner of the nation. Now, Peter Martyr being, in the judgment of the most learned men, a person of singular erudition, and of such general knowledge as almost seemed incredible, he was thought the properest divine on earth, to preside in the divinity chair at Oxford. He was accordingly, with the king's concurrence, invited to England, by the archbishop (*e*).—The specimens, which I shall shortly produce, of the doctrines, with which Dr. Martyr seasoned the minds of the students that were designed for the established ministry, will demonstrate, that he was indeed one of the "properest divines on earth," to be intrusted with that important charge. Would to God, that all his successors, in the said chair, had been as "proper" for the task, as himself.

But there was also another reason, that induced Cranmer to wish for Martyr's settlement in England; and which, in concert with the cause already assigned, lay at the bottom of the invitation. Even old Anthony Wood doth not scruple to declare, in express terms, that "in 1547, he [viz. Peter Martyr] was invited into England, by Edward [duke of Somerset and] lord Protector, and Dr. Cranmer, archbishop of Canterbury: to the end that his

assistance might be used to carry on a reformation in the church (*f*).” In assisting to carry on which reformation of our church, as also in the care and zeal with which he instructed the Oxford students in our church doctrines; he acquitted himself so much to the satisfaction of the king and the rest of the reformers here, that he was made a canon of Christ church, and a prebendary of Canterbury. Nay, so much was he admired and revered by queen Elizabeth herself, that, after she ascended the throne, “she invited him to return into England, and there to accept of what preferment he pleased (*g*):” but, it seems, he “modestly refused” the offer; being fearful, lest popery might get the ascendancy in this kingdom again: in which case, he might run the risk of being a martyr in reality, as well as name. He therefore continued at Zurich; where he died in peace, A. D. 1562.—So much for the good man himself. Now for his Calvinism.

(1.) “If,” says he, “by fate, be meant, a certain power resulting from the stars, and an irresistible implication of causes, by which God himself is reduced within the bounds of restraint; we justly reject the word fate, in this signification of it. But if by fate, you mean a train of causes governed by the will of God; such a fate, as this, can by no means seem injurious to true religion, however expedient we may think it to abstain from using the word (*h*).”

He very justly observes, that the wiser stoics themselves asserted fate, in the Christian sense of the

(*f*) Athen. Oxon. vol. i. col. 106.—Edit. 1691.

(*g*) Wood, u. s. col. 107.

(*h*) “Si per fatum intelligant, vim quandam manantem ex astris, et connexionem causarum inexpugnabilem quâ etiam ipse Deus cogatur in ordinem; nomen fati non injuriâ repudiabimus. At si eo nomine intelligant, ordinem causarum, qui Dei voluntate gubernetur; ea res videri non potest à pietate aliena: quamvis ab eo nomine judicem abstinendum,” &c. Pet. Mart. Loc. Com. p. 314.—Edit. 1626.

term. "There are some who dream of an iron or adamantine fatality, impressed on the stars and natural causes, unalterable by God himself. This is erroneous; nay, it is impious: it is even contrary to the judgment of the ancient sages themselves; for they plainly declare, that, by fate, they mean no more than the will and providence of the Supreme Being. Witness those verses of Cleanthes the stoic:

Father, and king of heav'n, my footsteps guide!  
 My wish with thy decrees shall coincide.  
 Too feeble for denial or delay,  
 I follow where thy purpose marks my way.  
 Were I reluctant, still the chain proceeds;  
 Fate drags th' unwilling, and the willing leads.  
 Resign'd I stand, to suffer and to do  
 What must be borne and done, resign'd or no.

now," (continues Peter Martyr), "Though fate is strongly asserted, in these lines; still, the reins and government of fate are placed in the hand of God: for the philosopher invokes the Supreme Father, and supplicates the guidance of him, whose will is affirmed to be certain and infallible (*i*)."

(*i*) "Sunt enim qui somniant fatalem quandam necessitatem ferream, vel adamantinam, sideribus et causis naturalibus affixam, quam nec Deus immutare possit. Quod est erroneum, impium, et etiam à veteribus sapientibus alienum: qui disertè ostendunt, se, per fatum, intellexisse voluntatem et administrationem divinam. Carmina Cleanthis stoïci, quæ de hâc rescripsit, Seneca, in lib. 18. Epist. fecit Latina. Illa verò sunt hujusmodi:

Duc me, parens, celsique dominator poli,  
 Quocunque placuit. Nulla parendi mora est.  
 Adsum Impiger. Fac nolle, comitabor gemens.  
 Ducunt volentem fata; nolentem trahunt:  
 Malusque patiar, quod pati licuit bono.

Quamvis, his carminibus, fatum statuatur, ejus tamen gubernatio in manu Dei ponitur; nam invocat summum patrem, ab eoque duci cupit: cujus tamen voluntatem simulque certam fore, ac infallibilem, demonstrat." Martyr. *ibid.* p. 331.

Nothing can be more judicious, than Martyr's reasoning, relative to the true meaning of that blameless fate, which was so wisely and so solidly asserted by the best philosophers of the portico. And our reformer's vindication of that doctrine, as settled and ascertained in the golden verses which he quotes, is a very conclusive proof of his own candour, good sense, and regard to truth. I wish I could have done justice to those admirable lines, by translating them better: but, even as I have rendered them, the maxims which they convey, and the implicit submission to providence, which they inculcate, most certainly breathe the very language of Christianity. They express what Milton so finely sings, in those majestic words, which he supposes to be spoken by God the Father:

—————Necessity and chance  
Approach not me; and what I will is fate (*k*):

The verses of Cleanthes are cited, by Peter Martyr, as they stand in Seneca's translation of them into Latin. Seneca's beautiful lines, are, however, rather a paraphrase, than a version. Cleanthes' prayer ran thus, as cited by Epictetus (*l*):

*Αγε δη με, ω Ζευ, και συ, η Πεπρωμενη,  
 'Οποι ποθ' υμιν ειμι διατελαγμενος,  
 Ως εψομαι σπασθαιος ηδε ακνοος.  
 Εαν δε μη εθελω, ουκ ηπτον εψομαι.*

Lead me, O Jove, and thou, O fate,  
 Where'er your pleasure has ordain'd:  
 I wish, with cheerfulness to meet  
 What no reluctance can withstand.

By Jove, is meant the God and Father of all. By fate, not a power independent on him, or a separate

(*k*) Parad. Lost. b. vii. 172.

(*l*) Enchir. cap. lxxvii. p. 92.—Edit. Berkel.

deity in joint-commission with him; but his own superintending providence.—When I consider such exalted sentiments as these; sentiments, so directly tending to give unto God the honour due to his name, and so completely calculated for the general happiness of man; I cease to wonder at those tributes of high, but just encomium on the ancient stoics, which have fallen from the pens even of some learned Arminians themselves. Dr. Cave informs us, that, “Of all the sects of philosophy, St. Pantænus principally applied himself to the stoics, with whose notions and rules of life he was most enamoured. And no wonder,” says the learned doctor; “seeing, as St. Jerom observes, their doctrines, [i. e. the doctrines of the stoics], in many things, come nearest to the doctrines of Christianity. As indeed they do: especially as to the (*m*) moral and practic part of their principles. They held, that nothing was good, but what was just and pious; nothing evil, but what was vicious and dishonest: that a bad man could never be happy, nor a good man miserable: that the Deity was perpetually concerned for human affairs; and that there was a wise and powerful providence, which particularly superintended the happiness of mankind: that, therefore, this God was, above all things, to be admired, adored, and worshipped, prayed to, acknowledged, obeyed, praised; and that it is the most comely and reasonable thing in the world, that we should universally submit to his will, and ἀσπαζέσθαι ἐξ ὀφθης τῆς ψυχῆς τὰ συμπαινοῦσα πάντα, cheerfully embrace, with all our souls, all the issues and determinations of his providence: that we ought not to think it

(*m*) Here let me ask a very natural and reasonable question. If the stoics, who believed an absolute, overpowering fate in all things, were, nevertheless, the most virtuous and exemplary in their morals, of all the heathen philosophers; with what decency can it be insinuated by Arminianism, that the Christian doctrine of predestination has any degree of tendency to practical ungodliness?



enough to be happy alone, but that it is our duty *απο καρδιας φιλειν*, to love men from our very heart; to relieve and help them, advise and assist them, and contribute what was in our power to their health and safety: and this, not once, or twice, but throughout our whole life; and that unbiassedly, without any little designs of applause or advantage to ourselves: that nothing should be equally dear to a man, as honesty and virtue; and that this is the first thing he should look at, whether the thing he is going about be good, or bad, and the part of a good or a wicked man; and, if excellent and virtuous, that he ought not to let any loss or damage, torment, or death itself, deter him from it. Whoever runs over the writings of Seneca, Antoninus, Epictetus, Arian, &c. will find these, and a great many more, claiming a very near kindred with the main rules of life prescribed in the Christian faith. And what wonder, if Saint Pantæus [or, indeed, every other saint] was in love with such generous and manly principles? which he liked so well, that as he [viz. St. Pantæus] always retained the title of the Stoic Philosopher, so, for the main, he owned the profession of that sect, even after his being admitted to eminent offices and employments in the Christian church (u).”

I must make two short remarks on this observable quotation. 1. We see, that, in the judgment of St. Jerom, St. Pantæus, and Dr. Cave himself, the main branches of the stoical theology and ethics were supposed to come very near the theology and ethics of Christianity: yea, that there was “a very near kindred” between them. So different was the idea, which those eminent persons entertained, concerning stoicism; from the illiterate and ungenerous prejudices, which breed in the bosoms of some puny, piddling sciolist among the

(u) Cave's *Apostolici*, p. 187.

Arminians, against that ancient and respectable philosophy.—2: May not the lives and morals of the stoical fatalists put the generality of free-willers to the blush?

One testimonial more, and that from a very capable hand, shall finish this digression. “I cannot but think”, says the learned and celebrated Mr. Ditton, “that the doctrines of that sect [meaning the stoics] have been much misrepresented.—And the truth of it is, that there is, generally speaking, a nearer approach to Christianity, in the morals, discipline, and doctrines of that noble sect, than in those of any other sect whatsoever (o).”

But I willingly return, from even the excellencies of paganism, to the school of Christ. Let us now listen to those precious, satisfying truths of the gospel, which do indeed render the soul wise unto salvation, and, beyond all the exterior disquisitions in the world, make glad the city of God.

Peter Martyr, the thread of whose testimony I now resume, shall set before us some of those precious truths, pure and genuine as he drew them from the oracles of scripture.—We have heard his judgment, concerning fate: let us,

(2.) Attend to him on the subject of predestination.

“Forasmuch as God worketh all things by his determinate purpose, and doth nothing by chance, or accidentally; it is a most indubitable axiom, that whatsoever he creates and makes, is destined by him to some certain end and use. Consequently, neither ungodly men, nor satan himself, nor even sins themselves, can be exempted from predestination: for, of all these, God makes what use he pleases. Hence, those of the unrighteous, who are devoted to final condemnation, are styled by St. Paul, *σκευη*,

(o) Ditton on the Resurrection of Christ; Append. p. 424.—Edit. 1727.

or vessels, i. e. God's vessels: vessels, in whom God makes known his wrath.—Thus it is said, respecting Pharaoh, to this very end have I raised thee up, that in thee I might display my power. Take the word predestination in this extensive sense, and it reaches to all things: it will import no other, than God's eternal appointment of his creatures to their respective use (*p*).”

(3.) “We may distinguish between the knowledge and the foreknowledge, of God. His knowledge extends not only to every thing past, present, and future; but even to what shall never come to pass: neither possibles, nor impossibles, are unknown to him. But his foreknowledge is conversant with those things only, which are certainly future. God's will is the foundation of his prescience: for nothing could be future, if God did not will its futurition. Whatever he does not will should come to pass, he takes care to hinder from coming to pass. God, therefore, foreknows a thing, because it was his will and pleasure, that the thing should exist and take place (*q*).”

(*p*) “Quoniam autem Deus omnia destinato consilio facit, nihil casu, aut fortuitò; procul dubio, quicquid creat et facit, aliquam ad finem et usum destinat. Hâc ratione, nec impii, nec diabolus ipse, neque peccata, excludi possunt à prædestinatione: omnibus enim iis rebus Deus utitur, quomodo voluerit. Itaque Paulus impios homines, devotos ad extrêmam damnationem, appellavit *σκευη*, hoc est, vasa Dei, quibus iram patefacit.—Et de Pharaone dicitur, in hoc ipsum excitavi te, ut ostenderem in te potentiam meam. Imò, si ità accipiatur prædestinatio, erit rebus omnibus communis. Neque aliud ista vox significabit, quàm Dei, de creatures suis, æternam dispositionem ad usum aliquem suum.” Martyr, u. s. p. 315.

(*q*) “Scire debemus, notitiam Dei latiùs patere, quàm ejus præscientiam. Nam notitia porrigitur, non tantùm ad præsentia, præterita, et futura; sed etiam ad ea quæ nunquam futura sunt, sive possible ea sint, sive, ut loquuntur, impossible: præscientia autem est, non nisi de illis quæ futura sunt. Et idcirco præscientia requirit voluntatem, quæ præcedat: nihil enim futurum est, nisi Deus id esse velit: nam alioqui impediret, præscit ergò Deus ea, quæ vult esse futura.” Martyr, *ibid.* p. 316.

(4.) “Providence is God’s well-ordered, fixed, and incessant management of all things whatever.—When I say, that his providence extends to all things whatever, I advance no more than I am able to prove. And I prove it thus.

“God has a perfect knowledge and comprehension of every thing: else his wisdom would be defective. Now the government of this all-wise being is either unlimited as his knowledge, or partial and confined. If his government be confined and limited, such limitation must arise, either from want of will, or from want of power. If from want of power, he would cease to be Almighty: if from want of will, he would cease to be all-excellent. But to deny the infinity either of his knowledge, power, or excellence, would be the same as to deny that he is God. It remains, therefore, that the supreme Being superintends all things: and this the scripture, in numberless passages, most expressly declares. For it affirms, that the oversight of God reaches even to the leaves of the trees, to the hairs of our heads, and to the meanest birds of the air (*r*).”—The sum of this reasoning is, that to deny the absolute universality of God’s incessant providence, is neither more nor less than plain, direct, palpable atheism.

(*r*) “Obiter sic definiri potest providentia: est Dei ordinata, immobilis, et perpetua universarum rerum administratio.” Martyr. *ibid.* p. 316.

“Quod diximus, providentiam ad omnia pertinere; id sic probari potest: quia Deum nihil latet; alioqui non esset sapientissimus. Quòd si omnia novit, vel ea regit omnia, vel multorum curam abjicit. Si quarum rerum curam abjiciat, id idcirco facit, vel quia non potest, vel quia non vult, curam earum gerere. Si non potest, non est potentissimus. Si nolit, non est optimus. Negare autem Deum sapientissimum, potentissimum, optimum esse, id est planè eum negare esse Deum. Superest ergò, ut Deus omnibus rebus provideat: quod scripturæ infinitis in locis appertissimè testantur. Docent enim, Dei curam extendi usque ad arborum folia, usque ad capillos capitis, usque ad passeret.” Mart. *ibid.* u. s.

“ I term divine providence,” says he, “ the administration of all things whatever ; because nothing can elude its influence : nor, without it, could any thing even continue to exist. I term it well ordered, because it is so conjoined with unerring wisdom, as to preclude all possible confusion and embarrassment. I term it fixed, or immovable ; because the knowledge of the divine administrator cannot be disappointed, nor his power defeated : he is equally incapable of mistake and of disconcertment. Moreover, I termed his providence perpetual, or incessant ; because he himself is constantly and most intimately present with the things which he has made. When he created them, he did not leave them to themselves ; but he is, himself, within them, as their perpetual principle of motion : for in him we live, and are moved, and do exist. Acts xvii. 28.—So much respecting providence : to which, and to its correlative articles, fate is nearly allied. I have already observed, that, if you suppose the word fate to signify such an inevitable necessity as results from the influence and position of the stars ; the ancient Christians did, with very just reason, abstain from the use of the word in that sense of it. But if it [i. e. if the word fate] mean no more than a sure concatenation of second causes, which is not carried on, either with a blind precipitancy, or with an unmeaning accidentality ; but is regulated by the providence of God, and may be varied according to the sovereign pleasure of his will ;—I can see no reason, why the thing called fate should, in this view of it, be disrelished or rejected by any man (s).”

(s) “ Est hæc administratio universarum rerum. Nulla enim res eam subterfugit, nec potest, absque eâ, durare. Dicitur ordinata, quia conjuncta est cum summâ sapientiâ, ut nihil admittat confusionis. Immobilis est, quia scientia hujus administratoris non fallitur, nec ejus potentia frustrator. Est etiam perpetua, quoniam Deus ipse rebus adest. Neque enim, cùm creasset res eas sibi ipsis reli-

(5.) I shall just touch on this reformer's doctrine concerning reprobation: requesting the reader still to bear in mind, that I am not, professedly, delivering my own judgment, but simply setting before him the judgment of Peter Martyr. According to him, "Reprobation may be defined, that most wise determination of God, whereby he did, before all eternity, immutably decree, not to have mercy on those, whom he loved not, but passed by: and this without any injustice on his part (*t*)."

Martyr does not scruple to affirm, that "God withholds his grace from [some] men: which grace being withheld, those men cannot but fall." He even ventures to add, that, "since we all live and move by actuation from God, it is certain, that all the deeds which we perform, are, of necessity, some way or other, wrought under a divine impulse." Yet though he expresses himself with such strength and plainness, he will not admit that this doctrine makes God the author of sin: "There is no need," says he, "for God to infuse additional evil into our hearts. There is enough there already. We have it sufficiently, of ourselves: partly, through the foulness of original sin; and partly, because a created being doth, of himself, degenerate, without measure and without end, unless he is succoured by

quit; imò ipse in illis est, easque perpetuò agitât: in ipso enim vivimus, et movemur, et sumus. Tantum de providentiâ. His rebus fatum etiam est affine. A quo, si accipiatur, ut supra diximus, pro necessitate quâdam inevitabili quæ à vi astrorum pendeat, patres meritò abstinuerunt. Sed si nihil aliud significat quàm certam connexionem causarum secundarum, quæ non feratur temerè aut fortuitò, sed Dei providentiâ gubernatur, proque ejus voluntate mutari possit non video cur res ipsa debeat à quoquam respui." Martyr, ut supr.

(*t*) "Sit igitur reprobatio, sapientissimum Dei propositum, quo, ante omnem æternitatem, decrevit constantèr, absque ullâ injustitiâ, eorum non miseri, quos non dilexit, sed præterit." Martyr. *ibid.* p. 317.

God (*u*).”—From hence, we may easily anticipate his opinion,

(6.) Concerning free-will. “Paul plainly saith, It is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy. Our salvation is the work of God, and not the achievement of our own strength. For he it is, who worketh in us, both to will and to accomplish. Before God thus worketh in us, he has to do [as it were] with stones: for our hearts are hearts of stone, till Christ transforms them into hearts of flesh (*x*).”

“They who are born again, ought never to forget, that they obtained this freedom, not by their own deserts, but by the favour of God. It was owing, not to themselves, but to their heavenly Father, that they were drawn to Christ. For unless God the Father had inwardly won them over, by main efficacy, they would have shunned and avoided Christ, even as others (*y*).”

Luther, in his answer to Erasmus, had, after his blunt, but nervose manner, compared the human

(*u*) “Deinde Deus est, qui gratiam suam hominibus subducit: quâ substractâ, necesse est ut illi labantur. Cùmque illius agitatione omnes et vivamus et moveamur, omnia certè opera quæ facimus, necesse est, ut, quoquo modo, ejus impulsu fiant. Quanquam nihil opus est, ut ab illo nobis infundatur nova malitia. Eam enim, tùm propter labem originis, satis abundè habemus ex nobis ipsis: tùm etiam, proptereâ quòd creatura, si à Deo non juvetur, per seipsam in deterius vergit sine modo et sine.” Mart. *ibid.* p. 317.

(*x*) “Paulus dissertè ait, non est volentis, neque currentis, sed miserentis Dei: illius enim opus est nostra salus, non virium nostrarum. Ipse enim est, qui operatur in nobis et velle et perficere. Antequàm id præstet, si quid nobiscum agat, aut lege, aut doctrinâ verbi, cum lapidibus agit. Corda enim nostra sexea sunt, nisi ea Christus transmutet in carnea.” Mart. *ibid.* p. 109.

(*y*) “Qui ità renati sunt, nunquam debent oblivisci, se hanc libertatem non suis meritis adeptos esse, sed beneficio Dei. Is enim eos reñxit, et, pro corde lapideo, cor carneum in illis posuit. Denique, non ex seipsis, sed ex patre celesti habuerunt, ut ad Christum traherentur. Nisi enim fuissent, à Deo patre, magnâ efficacîâ, intus in animo persuasi; à Christo, non minus quàm alii, refugissent.” Mart. *ibid.* p. 117.

will to a horse: "If grace," says he, "be in the saddle, the will moves to what is good; but man's will, if rid by the devil, is sure to rush headlong into sin." This comparison, unceremonious as it is, was adopted and subscribed to by Peter Martyr; whose words are, "Christ hath said, ye shall then be free, when the Son makes you so: from whence it follows, that, so long as men are unregenerated, they cannot, with truth, be pronounced free. Besides, the tyranny of satan is such, that he detains men in captivity, till they are rescued by Christ: for our Lord has declared, that the strong man armed keeps peaceable possession of his palace, and continues master of the spoils; till one, stronger than he, arrives, and dispossesses him by force. Likewise, in the 2d epistle to Timothy, the apostle affirms, that such as oppose the truth are kept prisoners by satan at his will. And it is a well known illustration, that the will of man resembles a horse, which sometimes has grace for his rider, and sometimes the devil. Now, perhaps, it is set in motion by the former: anon, it is whipped and spurred by the latter. Human liberty, therefore, is cut short by manifold slavery. And, seeing the freedom of the will is so exceeding small, during the present state of things, it is wonderful to me, that men do not, with Luther, rather term the will a slave and a bond-woman, than free. If a man was shut up in prison, maniced and fettered; could he justly call himself free, only because he were able to move his head and lift up his eyelids (z)?"—Thus much for free-will.

(z) "Christus quoque dixit, si lius vos liberaverit, tunc liberi estis. Unde sequitur, falso liberos esse homines, quando nondum sunt renati. Ad hæc omnia, diaboli tyrannis accedit: qui homines, antequam Christi sint, captivos detinet. Christus enim dixit, fortem armatum custodire atrium suum in pace, et spolia detinere captiva, quoad fortior venerit qui ea diripiat. Et, in Epistolâ ad Timotheum 2, habetur, contradicentes veritati detineri captivos à Satanâ



(7.) Now for justification. So far was Martyr from supposing that men are justified and accepted of God on account of their works, that there is a sense, in which he would not admit justification even by faith itself. And very justly. For, though the grace and principle of faith are of God's giving, and of God's infusing, yet faith, as acted and exercised by us, is attended with extreme imperfection: and we cannot be justified, in the strict meaning of the term, by any thing which is defective. Hence the following remark of our judicious reformer: "If faith itself be considered as our act, it is impossible we should be justified by it: because faith, in this view of it, is lame and imperfect, and falls far short of that completeness which the law requires. But we are therefore said to be justified by faith, because it is by faith that we lay hold upon, and apply to ourselves, the promises of God and the righteousness and merits of Christ. A beggar (we will suppose) extends his foul and leprous hand, to receive an alms from a person that offers it: certainly it is not from the leprosy and foulness of his own hand, that he derives any benefit; but from the donation given, and which he receives with such a hand as he has (*a*)."

ad ejus voluntatem. Et satis est vulgata sententia, quæ ait, voluntatem instar equi esse, quæ modò habeat sessorem spiritum Dei et gratiam; modò verò diabolum: et nunc ab eo agitari, nunc verò à gratiâ regi. Est igitur libertas ejus multiplici servitute accissa: et mirum est, cùm tam parva sit ejus libertas, in hoc præsertim statu, eam potiùs appellari liberam, quàm servam. Hæc Lutherus considerans, arbitrium potiùs dixit servum, quam liberum. Si quis esset in carcere, compedibus et manicis constrictus; an rectè diceret se liberum, quòd posset caput movere, aut oculos attollere?" Mart. *ibid.* p. 686.

(*a*) "Quinetiam, si fides ipsa, quæ nostrum opus est, consideretur; eâ justificari non possumus: cùm opus fit et manuum et imperfectum, longè deterius quàm lex requirat. Sed illà justificari dicimur, quia promissiones Dei, et Christi justitiam meritaque, per ipsam apprehendimus, et nobis applicamus. Fingas tibi mendici hominis fœdissimam et leprosam manum, quæ capiat elemosynam ab

point, that it supersedes the necessity of multiplying quotations on the subject in question. Let us hear him,

(8.) On the article of perseverance.

“ If we consult the sacred writings, we shall there find, not only, in general, that God is good and powerful; but likewise, that he is good and powerful for our particular benefit” [i. e. for the particular benefit of us who truly believe]: “ and that in consequence of his power and goodness, he will so confirm our will, that it shall never entirely revolt from him. For he will not suffer us to be tempted above what we are able to bear; but will, with the temptation, make a way for our escape. He will establish you, even unto the end, blameless to the day of our Lord Jesus Christ. God is faithful, by whom ye are called. Very numerous are the scripture attestations, which promise us perseverance through Christ, and the establishment of our wills [in holiness] (b).”

So much shall suffice, at present, for Peter Martyr’s judgment concerning the points in contest.

And let it be further observed, that this excellent divine appears to have had some hand in drawing up the articles of religion, adopted by the church of England. Heylin himself confesses as much: though

offerente: certè, mendicus ille à fœditate seu leprâ suæ manus haudquaquam juvatur, sed eleemosynâ, quam manu qualicumque accipit.” Mart. *ibid.* p. 363.

(b) “ Equidem, si consulamus sacras literas, non tantum intelligemus, Deum generalitèr bonum esse et potentem: sed etiam eam nobis ipsis esse bonum et propitium [menda, pro potentem]: ideoque confirmaturum nostram voluntatem, ne unquam ab eo deficiat. Nam, ut Paulò ante commemoravimus, non patietur nos tentari supra id quod possimus sustinere; sed faciet, cum tantatione, exitum. Et, 1 ad Cor. cap. I. Confirmabit vos, usque ad finem, inculpatus in diem Domini nostri Jesu Christi. Fidelis enim Deus, per quem vocati estis. Sunt præterea alia permulta testimonia in sacris literis, quæ nobis pollicentur et perseverantiam, et confirmationem voluntatis, per Christum.” Martyr. *ibid.* p. 357.

he labours, as usual, to mince and qualify the concession, by every diminishing quirk, in his power to apply. I can compare him to nothing but to a miser, who, forced, against the grain, to pay a sum of money, counts it out with grudging reluctance, and draws it back again and again, until obliged to part with it indeed. Heylin's words are these: "Though Peter Martyr lived to see the death of king Edward, and consequently the end of the convocation, Anno 1552, in which the articles of religion were first composed and agreed on; yet there was little use made of him in advising, and much less in directing any thing which concerned that business,—though some use might be made of him as a labourer to advance the work (c)."

There are testimonies of Martyr's orthodoxy and usefulness still in reserve; able, if need required, to enlarge these gleanings into a harvest. But I must not dismiss this great reformer and ornament of our church, without observing, that he and Bucer were the principal instruments of persuading Dr. Hooper into a compliance (as far as he did comply) with king Edward's reformation, respecting some matters of exterior ceremony: which (however indifferent those matters were in their own nature) became important, because adopted by the church, and enforced by the state.

Few readers need to be informed, that, when Hooper was nominated to the see of Gloucester, he entertained some unhappy scruples, more nice than necessary, concerning the form of the episcopal habit. He supposed, that the robes, in which a bishop was expected to appear, savoured more of superstition and popish pomp, than comported with the scriptural simplicity of protestantism. Amazing, that a person of Hooper's learning, piety, and

(c) Heylin's *Miscell. Tracts*, p. 587.

exalted sense, could look for popery, in the fold of a garment; and extract superstition, out of an angular cap! Groundless, however, and ill-timed, as his scruples were, they had such weight with himself, that he refused to be consecrated after the usual mode, and even suffered himself to be imprisoned in the Fleet, for his contempt of legal authority. But I must also do him the justice to add, that he lived long enough, to see the weakness and absurdity of opposing things which the law of God has left indifferent. The severities of Mary's reign taught the honest, but over-scrupulous bishop, that popery consisted in something more than a robe, a scarf, or a four-cornered cap.

While Hooper's obstinacy continued, Bucer and Martyr took all imaginable pains to solve his objections, and, if possible, reduce him to conformity. They gained on him so far, that he consented to wear the usual habit on some principal occasions. One of Martyr's letters to him may be seen at full length, in an Appendix to (*d*) that edition of his *Common Places*, which has supplied me with the preceding extracts. It is written with such modesty, learning, candour, and force of reason, as are a standing honour to the writer, and demonstrate that his attachment to the church of England extended to her rites, as well as her doctrines.

The letter itself being very long, I shall only give the substance of Martyr's arguments, in Mr. Rolt's judicious abridgment of them. "He commended Hooper, for his pains in preaching; but advised him, not to exert his zeal on points that are indefensible, or things of little moment, lest the people should from thence be led to call in question the judgment of the reformed preachers, and give no credit to what they delivered on the most important articles.—In answer to one objection of Hooper's,

(*d*) Viz. the edition of 1626.—p. 761, 762. and part of 763.

that we ought to have an express warrant from scripture for every thing belonging to religion; Martyr told him, that, if the general rules of order were observed, the governors of the church had a discretionary latitude in little matters. Thus, for instance, our receiving the communion in a church, in a forenoon, not in a reclining posture, [nor] in a congregation [consisting] of men only; stood upon no other than ecclesiastical, that is, upon human authority: to which [nevertheless], he presumed, Hooper had always submitted without any scruple. He told him, further, that it would be difficult to produce any warrant from the New Testament, for singing Psalms in public worship. And that the Christian church from the beginning, had a regard, in many particulars, to the Jewish polity: especially, in the great festivals of Easter and Whitsunday. Supposing, what he [Martyr] could not grant, that the episcopal habit and vestments had been introduced into the church by the see of Rome; yet he did not think the contagion of popery so very malignant, as to carry infection into every thing which it touched. That to govern by such narrow maxims, would lay an inconvenient restraint on the church of God: and that our ancestors moved much more freely, who made no difficulty of turning heathen temples into Christian churches; and of translating, to pious uses, the revenues [once] sacred to idolatry (c).”  
—Had Martyr’s coolness and moderation been universally prevalent in the protestant world, how much vain wrangling and party division would it have prevented!

Bucer was no less assiduous, than Martyr, in respectfully combating the pertinacity of Hooper. They united in assuring him, “That, in the business of religious rites, they were for keeping as

(c) See Rolt’s Lives of the Reformers, p. 115.

close as possible to the holy scriptures, and to the most uncorrupt ages of the church: but, however, they could not go so far, as to believe, that the substance of religion was affected by the clothes we wear; and they thought things of this nature altogether indifferent, and left to our liberty by the word of God (*f*).”—Thus, it incontestably appears, that these two learned Calvinists, Bucer and Martyr, were church of England men, not in word and tongue only, but in deed and in truth.

Before I conclude this section, I beg leave to subjoin an observation, that would more properly have fallen under the immediate article of Bucer; but which, though omitted in its due place, is too important to the design of this undertaking, to be entirely passed over. It has been affirmed (and what is there, which some Arminians will not affirm?) that Bucer held the doctrine of justification by works, and believed human obedience to be meritorious in the sight of God.

That he was once of this opinion, is not at all wonderful, when we consider that he was born and educated in the bosom of the Romish church, with whom the tenet of legal justification is a fundamental principle. And, for a considerable time after God had called him out of papal darkness, his improvements in divine knowledge were progressive. His spiritual growth resembled the gradual vegetation of an oak; not the rapid prosiliency of a mushroom. Bucer seems to have expressed himself the most incautiously, in the disputation at Leipsic, A. D.

(*f*) Rolt, *ibid.* p. 96.—N. B. Two of Bucer's letters, viz. one to Hooper, and the other to A. Lasco, both in vindication of the received modes, are extant in Strype's *Eccles. Mem.* vol. ii. Appendix, from p. 118. to p. 132. The whole letter to A. Lasco was (says Mr. Strype, p. 225.) “translated into English, and set forth, not far from the beginning of queen Elizabeth's reign, for the use of the church, that then was exercised afresh with the same controversy.”

1539; yet, even then, he roundly declared, that “those good works, to which so great a reward is given, are themselves the gifts of God (*g*).” And that passage, which Vossius quotes from Bucer, falls extremely short of proving that the latter was, even at the early period in which he penned it, an assertor of justification by performances of our own. Impartiality obliges me to subjoin that celebrated passage, which so many Arminians and merit-mongers have since caught at, as if it made for the popish doctrine of justification. “I cannot but wish,” said Bucer, in the year 1529, “a more sound judgment to some persons, who have disturbed many in this our age with this paradox, that we are saved by faith only: though they saw the thing was carried so far, as to confine righteousness only to the opinion of the mind, and excluding good works. Where is their charity, who refuse to cure this evil, by one word or two? It is only to say, that, when faith is formed, we are justified; and that, through faith, we obtain a disposition to good works, and, consequently, a righteousness: or, that faith is the foundation and root of a righteous life, as Augustin said (*h*).” Is there a single sentence in this paragraph, to which the strictest Calvinist would not consent? Observe the order in which Bucer arranges faith, justification, and obedience. Faith goes before; justification follows faith; and practical obedience follows justification: we first believe; we no sooner believe, than we are justified; and the faith, which justifies, disposes us to the after performance of good works: or, in other words, justifying faith “is the root and foundation of a righteous life.” Says not every Calvinist the same?

As Bucer advanced in years and experience, he learned to express his idea of justification with still greater clearness and precision, than he had done on

(*g*) Rolt, *ibid.* p. 85.

(*h*) Rolt, p. 85.

some past occasions. Finding that the enemies of grace had greedily lain hold of some inadvertent phrases, and taken ungenerous advantage of some well meant concessions, which he had made, before his evangelical light was at the full; he deemed it necessary, to retract such of his positions as countenanced the merit of works; and to place justification on the scriptural basis of the Father's gratuitous goodness, and the Son's imputed righteousness: still, however, taking care to inculcate, that the faith, by which we receive the grace of God and the righteousness of Christ, is the certain source of all good works. For being thus honest to his convictions, he was loaded, by his adversaries, with accumulated slander and reproach. How modestly and forcibly he vindicated his conduct, may be judged from the following passage: "The Lord," says Bucer, "has given me to understand some places [of scripture] more fully than I formerly did: which, as it is so bountifully given to me, why should I not impart it liberally to my brethren, and ingenuously declare the goodness of the Lord? What inconsistency is there, in profiting in the work of salvation? And who, in this age, or in the last, has treated of the scripture, and has not experienced, that, even in this study, one day is the scholar of another (*i*)?"

Indeed, no stronger proof need be given of Bucer's soundness in the article of justification, than the rapture and admiration with which he mentions the English book of homilies. "No sooner," says Mr. Strype, "were the homilies composed, and sent abroad; but the news thereof (and the book itself, as it seemed, already translated into Latin) came to Strasburgh, among the protestants there: where it caused great rejoicing. And Bucer, one of the chief ministers there, wrote a gratulatory epistle



hereupon to the church of England, in November 1547: which was printed the year after. Therein that learned and moderate man showed, how these pious sermons were come among them, wherein the people were so godily and effectually exhorted to the reading of the holy scriptures; and faith was so well explained, whereby we become Christians; and justification, whereby we are saved; and the other chief heads of Christian religion so soundly handled. And therefore, as he added, these foundations being rightly laid, there could nothing be wanting in our churches, requisite towards the building hereupon sound doctrine and discipline. He commended much the Homily of Faith, the nature and force of which was so clearly and soberly discussed; and wherein it was so well distinguished from the faith that was dead. He much approved of the manner of treating concerning the misery and death we are all lapsed into, by the sin of our first parent; and how we are rescued from this perdition, only by the grace of God, and by the merit and resurrection of his Son (*k*).”

No wonder, that this excellent man was, soon after, called into England, to assist in perfecting that reformation, whose beginnings he so heartily approved. When here, vast deference was paid to his judgment and advice, by Crammer and the other protestant bishops. This is confessed, even by Burnet himself; whose words are, “About the end of this year (1550), or the beginning of the next, there was a review made of the Common Prayer Book.—Martin Bucer was consulted in it: and Alesse translated it into Latin for his [i. e. for Bucer’s] use. Upon which, Bucer wrote his opinion; which he finished the 5th of January in the year following:—And, almost in every particular, the most material things, which Bucer excepted to,

(*k*) Strype’s Memorials Ecclesiastical, vol. ii. p. 31, 32.

were corrected afterwards (*l*).” This acknowledgment of bishop Burnet’s confirms what is delivered by Guthrie : who, in his English History, observes, concerning Bucer and Peter Martyr, that their authority was great in England (*m*).”

## SECTION XV.

### *Of the Share which Calvin had in the Reformation of the Church of England.*

To what has been already observed, concerning our principal reformers, a word or two must be added, relative to that grand ornament of the protestant world, Dr. John Calvin. It has been furiously affirmed, by more than one Arminian, that Calvin had not the least hand, directly or indirectly, in any part of our English reformation. Old Heylin, plays to this tune : “ Our first reformers had no respect of Calvin (*n*).” And again : they “ had no regard to Luther or Calvin, in the procedure of their work (*o*).” To Heylin’s pipe, dances Mr. Samuel Downes ; with the same reverential glee, as poor Wat Sellon squeaks to the quavers of Mr. John Wesley. Let us, however, examine for ourselves, and attend to facts. Mr. Rolt informs us, from Guthrie, that Bucer’s “ remonstrances, together with those of Martyr and Calvin, prevailed with archbishop Cranmer, and the other prelates of the reformation, to suffer it [i. e. to suffer the

(*l*) Burnet’s Hist of Reformat. vol. ii. p. 147, 148.

(*m*) See Rolt, p. 115.

(*n*) Peter Heylin’s Historic. and Miscell. Tracts, p. 548.

(*o*) Heylin’s Life of Laud, Intro. p. 3.

liturgy] to be revised and corrected (*p*).” Such an acknowledgment, from an historian of Guthrie’s principles, must have decisive weight with every rational enquirer.

So must the testimony that follows. “Calvin advised Bucer how to conduct himself before king Edward VI. He [i. e. Calvin] corresponded with the duke of Somerset” (who was the king’s uncle, protector of the realm, and, in concert with Cranmer, the main instrument in conducting the reformation) “and gave him his opinion how the reformation should be carried on. In one of his [i. e. of Calvin’s] letters to the lord Protector, he expressed his dislike of praying for the dead.—Calvin, in his epistolary correspondence with the Protector, was instrumental, not only in pushing some severity against the papists, but in some advances towards bringing the church of England to a nearer conformity with the churches abroad, where the worship was more plain (*q*).” The church, therefore, stood indebted for part of her purity and simplicity, to the discreet and friendly offices of this most eminent divine, “whose decisions,” as an elegant modern historian truly observes, “were received among the protestants of that age, with incredible submission (*r*).”

Even bishop Burnet takes some notice of Calvin’s correspondence with Somerset. “Calvin wrote to the Protector, on the 29th of October (1548), encouraging him to go on, notwithstanding the wars, as Hezekias had done, in his reformation. He [i. e. Calvin] lamented the heats of some that professed the gospel: but complained, that he heard there were few lively sermons heard in England, and that the preachers recited their discourses coldly. He much approves a set form of prayers, whereby

(*p*) Rolt’s *Lives of the Reformers*, p. 116.

(*q*) Rolt, *ibid.* p. 134.

(*r*) Robertson’s *Hist. of Scotland*, vol. i. p. 219. octavo.

the consent of all the churches did more manifestly appear. But he advises a more complete reformation. He taxed the prayers for the dead, the use of chrism, and extreme unction, since they were nowhere recommended in scripture. He (Calvin) had heard, that the reason why they (the English reformers) went no further, was, because the times could not bear it: but this was to do the work of God by political maxims; which, though they ought to take place in other things, yet should not be followed in matters in which the salvation of souls was concerned. But, above all things, Calvin complained of the great impieties and vices that were so common in England; as swearing, drinking, and uncleanness: and prayed him (the lord Protector) earnestly, that these things might be looked after (*s*).”

Calvin did not remonstrate in vain. The communion office underwent a farther reform, in 1550: as did the whole liturgy, in 1551; when among many other alterations, the chrism in baptism, the unction of the sick, and prayers for the dead, were totally expunged (*t*).

(*s*) Burnet's Reform. vol. ii. p. 83.—Dr. Fuller gives a much more satisfactory abstract from Calvin's letter, than does his lordship of Sarum. “Master Calvin,” says Fuller, “is therein very positive for a set form: whose words deserve our translation and observation. *Formulam precum [saith Calvin], et rituum ecclesiasticorum, valde probo, ut certa illa exstet; à quâ ne pastoribus discedere in functione fuâ liceat: 1. Ut consulatur quorundam simplicitati et imperitiâ. 2. Ut certius constet omnium inter se ecclesiarum consensus. 3. Ut obviam ineatur desultoriâ quorundam levitati, qui novationes quasdam affectant. Sic igitur statum esse catechismum oportet, statam sacramentorum administrationem, publicam item precum formulam. That is: I do highly approve that there should be a certain form of prayer, and ecclesiastical rites; from which it should not be lawful for the pastors themselves to discede. 1. That provision may be made for some people's ignorance and unskilfulness. 2. That the consent of all the churches among themselves may the more plainly appear. 3. That order may be taken against the unsettled levity of such as delight in innovations. Thus there ought to be an established catechism, an established administration of sacraments, as also a public form of prayer.” Fuller's Church Hist. book vii. p. 426.*

(*t*) See Strype, Burnet, Downes, &c. sub annis 1550 et 1551.

That the reasonings and representations of Calvin had great influence on the protector, and on the conduct of ecclesiastical affairs in England, is evident, amidst a multiplicity of additional proofs that might be offered, from what is observed by the candid and learned Mr. Hickman: than whom, no person, perhaps, was better acquainted with the religious history of this kingdom. "Bucer, at Cambridge," says that excellent writer, "understood that Calvin's letters prevailed much with Somerset: and therefore intreats Calvin, when he did write to the protector, to admonish him not to suffer the churches to be left void of preachers (*u*)."

Heylin himself, in his History of the Reformation, virtually contradicts what he elsewhere delivers, concerning the "no-respect" which, he would have us believe, was shown to Calvin. Speaking of king Edward's first liturgy, he says, "And here the business might have rested," [i. e. the liturgy would not have been reviewed and reformed] "if Calvin's pragmatistical spirit had not interposed (*w*)."  
The concession is important, though maliciously expressed: for, what is this, but allowing, that the church of England was obliged to "Calvin's interposition," for her deliverance from the alb, the cope, the introits, the exorcism, the trine immersion, the unction, prayers for souls departed, &c. which were all retained by the first liturgy? Surely, if Heylin's complaint be justly founded, that "if Calvin's pragmatistical spirit had not interposed," the first liturgy might have stood as it did; it will follow, I. That the protestant religion in England is under the highest obligations to Calvin, for his successful

(*u*) Hickman's Animadvers. on Heylin, p. 149.

(*w*) Heylin's Hist. of the Reform. Pref. p. 3.—Mr. Whiston, likewise, honestly confesses, that king Edward's first liturgy was then [i. e. in the year 1551] "plainly altered out of human prudence, and out of compliance with Calvin and other foreigners." Whiston's Memoirs, vol. ii. p. 423.

zeal, in occasioning all this rubbish to be wheeled away: and, 2. That Heylin himself, by whom this very circumstance is affirmed, was guilty of a most palpable deviation from truth, in asserting, elsewhere, that "Calvin offered his assistance to our reformers, and that his interposition was refused (*x*)."

(*x*) See Heylin's *Quinquart. Hist.* chap. viii. s. 2. *Misc. Tracts*, p. 548. And yet this very Heylin, in the very next page but one, says, that the first liturgy, "being disliked by Calviu, was brought under a review." *Ibid.* p. 550.

END OF VOL. I.



