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## PREFACE

It was Xenophon's fortune in the Hellenica to speak after such masters as Herodotus and Thucydides and to deal with an age of less momentous events than those which they described. He is, nevertheless, the able historian of a most interesting period. He would have deserved well of the world if he had done no more than tell the story of the closing years of the Peloponnesian War; to this, however, he has added a description of the gallant struggle of conquered Athens to win back her lost liberty and power, of the masterful though ungenerous rule of Sparta, and of the successful striving of the Thebans for primacy and glory. He does not introduce us to a Themistocles or a Pericles, yet his Agesilaus and Epaminondas are characters scarcely less interesting. Still more important to the student of history, he fills almost alone the gap between the Greece of the fifth century and the Greece of the time of Philip of Macedon, completing the record of the old era and tracing the development of the new conditions and problems which confronted Demosthenes.

The primary aim of this edition of the Hellenica has been to include within one volume of reasonable size those portions of Xenophon's work which are historically most important. In the first two books nothing has been omitted; in the following books enough has been retained to enable the reader to follow the main historical current and the fortunes of the most prominent characters. The result is a body of text about equivalent in amount to the first four books. Wherever chapters or parts of chapters are omitted, brief summaries of them are provided, in order to keep the connection unbroken and to make later allusions intelligible.

As the choice of the selections has been determined by their historical value, so the notes give particular attention to matters of history and frequent references to other authors who deal with the period covered by the Hellenica. In general, the notes have been prepared especially for the use of college Freshmen; but those on the first two books are full enough, it is believed, to afford to teachers in secondary schools an opportunity of avoiding the monotony of overmuch Anabasis by spending an occasional half year on the Hellenica.

It is almost unnecessary to say that in the preparation of this volume free and constant use has been made of the standard editions and commentaries, particularly those of Buichsenschuitz, Breitenbach, Kurz, Grosser, Sorof, and Underhill. The editor is also indebted to the authors of the various special articles and treatises which are mentioned throughout the book. The text is mainly that of Keller. In some cases, however, Keller's judgment seems at fault and his readings have not been followed. The Appendix aims to justify, so far as may be, the text here offered and also to present in convenient and readily accessible form such matters as are of value to teachers and more advanced students.

The editor takes real pleasure in acknowledging his indebtedness and expressing his thanks to the general editor of this series, Professor Herbert Weir Smyth, for most helpful advice and criticism.

New York City.
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## INTRODUCTION

## I. The Life and Writings of Xenophon

Xenophon, the Athenian soldier and man of letters, was the son of Gryllus and belonged to the somewhat aristocratic political class which was known as the Knights. He was born in the rural deme Erchia, of which his contemporary Isocrates, the orator, was also a native. With regard to the date of his birth ${ }^{2}$ Life. ${ }^{1}$ authorities, both ancient and modern, are in conflict, but it seems altogether probable that it should be placed about the year 430 B.c. Nothing is known about his youth and early manhood, which were passed during the troublous times of the Peloponnesian War (431404 в.c.), save only that he became a close associate of the philosopher Socrates, whose influence affected his whole life and character. When he reached the age of military service (c. 412 b.c.), the Peloponnesian War was entering upon its last phase. A large part of Attica was permanently occupied or overrun by a Spartan army under King Agis, while in the eastern Aegean, the principal scene of active warfare, the opposing fleets maintained a doubtful contest. It is not recorded, nor is it likely, that Xenophon bore any part in the foreign campaigns of these closing years of the war ; for it was only under exceptional circumstances ${ }^{3}$ that the Knights, who normally served as cavalrymen, were assigned to sea duty, and but two small detachments of them were sent out from Athens in the years from 412 to 404 B.c. for strictly military service. ${ }^{4}$ The consequent inference that Xenophon remained continuously in

[^0]Athens is strongly confirmed by the manifestly long duration of his association with Socrates and by the fullness of detail and vividness which characterize his descriptions ${ }^{1}$ of events in the city during this period. He did, no doubt, take part with his fellow-troopers in the defense of the walls of Athens, first against the hostile army under Agis, and later, after the battle of Aegospotami, against the combined land and naval forces of the Peloponnesians.

In 4 or b.c., three years after the close of the war, came the expedition with Cyrus, the great experience of Xenophon's life, the story of which his Anabasis has made so familiar. Returning to Asia Minor at the head of the Ten Thousand in 399 b.c., he entered ${ }^{2}$ with them the service of Thibron, commander of the Lacedaemonian forces in the newly begun war against the Persians. He served also, perhaps still in command of his old comrades, ${ }^{3}$ under Thibron's successors in the Asiatic campaign, Dercylidas and King Agesilaus, and when the latter was recalled to Greece in 394 b.c. to face Sparta's enemies at home, Xenophon accompanied him and was present ${ }^{4}$ at the great battle of Coronea, where the king defeated the allied armies of the Athenians, Thebans, Corinthians, and Argives.
It seems to have been at some time during the years spent in Asia Minor (399-394 в.c.) that Xenophon was formally banished from Athens, either, as most ancient authorities state, ${ }^{5}$ on account of his taking part in the expedition of Cyrus, the enemy of Athens, or on the ground of "Laconism," ${ }^{6}$ as shown, apparently, in his enlisting himself and the Ten Thousand in a Lacedaemonian army, and in his own friendship for the Lacedaemonians, particularly Agesilaus. No doubt all these causes combined to bring about the

[^1]unfortunate result. At the time when Xenophon first conceived the plan of accompanying Cyrus (401 b.c.), Socrates expressed the fear that it might be "a cause for accusation against him on the part of the city." ${ }^{1}$ This fear might have proved groundless had not Xenophon returned from the expedition in the conspicuous position of leader and thereupon aggravated his original offense by joining a Lacedaemonian army. ${ }^{2}$ The date of the decree of banishment cannot be fixed exactly ; but Xenophon's own statement ${ }^{3}$ that in the early part of 399 b.c. he was preparing to return home, "for the sentence of banishment had not yet been passed upon him," would naturally imply that this sentence followed very soon thereafter. ${ }^{4}$

After Coronea (394 b.c.) Xenophon followed Agesilaus, whom he greatly admired and whose intimate friend he had become, to Sparta. There he lived for several years, accompanying Agesilaus on his various campaigns, ${ }^{5}$ and there his two sons, Gryllus and Diodorus, were educated in the traditional Spartan training. ${ }^{6}$ About $3^{87}$ b.c. he was presented by the Spartans with an estate at Scillus, in Elis, ${ }^{7}$ where he enjoyed the life of a country gentleman, "hunting and entertaining his friends and writing his histories," as his biographer says, ${ }^{8}$ until 371 b.c. In this year, when the power of the Spartans was broken by the battle of Leuctra, ${ }^{9}$ and Elis reclaimed ${ }^{10}$ the territory of which she had been deprived ${ }^{\text {u }}$ by them, Xenophon was forced to flee from Scillus and took refuge in Corinth. ${ }^{12}$ Good fortune, however, followed close upon ill; for in 369 b.c., as it seems, the Athenian decree of banishment against him was revoked. ${ }^{18}$

[^2]He nevertheless maintained his residence at Corinth until the close of his life, though he probably visited Athens occasionally and certainly sent his sons thither to serve in the Athenian cavalry. ${ }^{1}$ In this service one son, Gryllus, lost his life, being killed in a skirmish which immediately preceded the battle of Mantinea ${ }^{2}$ ( 362 b.c.). Xenophon himself died at Corinth about the year 354 b.c. ${ }^{8}$

All the works which were ascribed to Xenophon in antiquity ${ }^{4}$ have been preserved. The most important of them are ( 1 ) the Writinga. Anabasis; (2) the Memorabilia, a volume of memoirs of Socrates; (3) the Hellenica, a history of Greece during Xenophon's own times ; and (4) the Cyropaedia, a historical romance, almost a novel, though nominally a biography of Cyrus the Great, king of Persia in the sixth century b.c. Besides these major works Xenophon wrote a number of shorter essays or treatises on various subjects. The complete list of his writings, with their approximate dates, ${ }^{5}$ is as follows :

Cynegeticus, on hunting, 402 B.C.
Hellenica, Part I., ${ }^{6}$ c. 393.
Oeconomicus, on household management, 386 (?).
Memorabilia, between 384 and 380 .
Hellenica, Part II., probably between 385 and $380 .{ }^{7}$
Hiero, on the disadvantages of a tytant's life, 383 .
Symposium, a description of an imaginary dinner party and the conversation of the guests, especially Socrates, 380 .

Lacedaemoniorum Respublica, on the Lacedaemonian Constitution, 378.

[^3]Anabasis, probably published ${ }^{1}$ in 371 or a little later. Hipparchicus, on the duties of a cavalry general, 365.
De Re Equestri, on horsemanship, after 365.
Cyropaedia, finished after 364.
Hellenica, Part III., 357 or a little later.
De Vectigalibus, on the Athenian revenues, 355 .
Three other works were also ascribed to Xenophon by the ancients :

Agesilaus, ${ }^{2}$ an encomium upon the famous Spartan king.
Apologia Socratis, in defense of Socrates.
Atheniensium Respublica, on the Constitution of Athens.
The last two are almost certainly spurious, and the Agesilaus is so regarded by most scholars.

## II. The Hellenica

Xenophon's Hellenica. records the history of Greece during a period of nearly fifty years, from the autumn of 411 b.c. to the summer of $3^{62}$ b.c. . Thucydides, the great historian of the Peloponnesian War (431-404 B.C.), carries his account of that struggle only as far as 4 II b.C. ; here, therefore, Xenophon begins. The first two books of the Hellenica describe the last campaigns of the Peloponnesian War, the fall of Athens (404 B.c.), and the subsequent contest between rival factions in the conquered city (404-401 b.c.). The third book is largely devoted to the war in Asia Minor (399-394 B.c.) between Sparta, now the recognized champion of Greece, and the Persians. During its progress, however, hostilities began again in Greece, where the Thebans defeated a Spartan force in the battle of Haliartus (395 b.c.). The fourth book recounts the story of the so-called Corinthian War (394-387 b.c.), in which Thebes, Athens, Corinth,

[^4]and Argos united against Sparta. In this indecisive contest Sparta won important victories by land in the battles of the Nemea and Coronea (394 b.c.), but was defeated in the naval battle ot Cnidus (394 в.c.) and was unable to prevent the rebuilding of the walls of Athens, destroyed at the close of the Peloponnesian War. The fifth book describes the termination of the Corinthian War by the Peace of Antalcidas ( 387 b.c.), the consequent reëstablishment of Spartan supremacy, and Sparta's unworthy abuse of her power in the subjugation of weaker states and the treacherous seizure of the citadel of Thebes ( 383 в.c.). Ultimately, however, the Thebans recovered their citadel and once more allied themselves with the Athenians against Sparta. In the war which followed the Athenians established a new maritime confederacy and defeated the Spartans in the naval battle of Naxos ( 376 b.c.). The sixth book tells of the conclusion of peace between Athens and Sparta, but renewed war between Sparta and Thebes. In the great battle of Leuctra ( 371 b.c.) the Thebans won a decisive victory, which they followed up by the invasion of Laconia. The seventh and last book continues the account of the war, in which all the states of Greece became involved. In the final battle of Mantinea ( 362 b.c.) the Thebans were again victorious, but their success was neutralized by the loss of their great leader, Epaminondas.

Briefly, the Hellenica is the story of the triumph and fall of Sparta, and the rise of Thebes. It is a story of almost incessant warfare, which exhausted all Greece and prepared the way for the supremacy of Macedonia, under Philip and Alexander the Great.

It has been stated that the Hellenica begins at the point (4II b.c.) where Thucydides' account of the Peloponnesian War breaks off. Nevertheless, Xenophon prefixes no word of introduction to his history, but plunges at once in medias res and tacitly presupposes the reader's acquaintance with Thucydides; in order, therefore, to make the first book of the Hellenica intelligible, it becomes necessary to sketch the general course of the Peloponnesian War from 43 I to 4 II B.c.

The name which history has given to this struggle between the Athenian and Peloponnesian confederacies finds its explanation in the fact that our accounts of the war are written from the standpoint of the Athenians, to whom such a designation was the natural one. It should be remembered, however, The Peloponnesian War. that it was not merely the ancient Peloponnesian confederacy, under the headship of Sparta, against which the Athenians were pitted; for Sparta also numbered among her allies the more important states of northern Greece, - Boeotia, Megara, Phocis, and Locris. The Athenians, on the other hand, while their allies in Greece proper were few and comparatively weak, possessed a maritime empire which included almost all the Greek islands and the cities on the coasts of the Aegean and the Hellespont. The contest, therefore, was one in which practically the entire Greek world was involved, a contest for supremacy between two great states, Sparta and Athens, yet at the same time between the military confederacy of the one and the naval confederacy of the other.

It is not essential, for the present purpose, to consider in detail the events of the early years of the war. Spartan successes by land alternated with Athenian successes by sea until the war was interrupted for a time by the vain Peace of Nicias ( 42 I b.c.). There followed a few years of tangled intrigue and universal unrest and dissatisfaction, accompanied by actual violations of a treaty that still remained nominally in force. At length in 415 B.c. Athenian ambition was tempted by the opportunity which seemed to offer itself of subjugating the important city of Syracuse, in Sicily. This project was warmly urged by Alcibiades, a young, wealthy, talented, and unscrupulous popular leader. The Athenians enthusiastically voted to send a powerful expedition to Sicily and chose Alcibiades as one of the three generals in command. When the fleet was almost ready to set forth, Athens awoke one morning to find that the statues of the god Hermes throughout the city had been mutilated during the night. Suspicion attached to Alcibiades, and was strengthened by charges which were now

Yet the Athenians, crippled and imperiled as they were, displayed great energy and determination. To the surprise of all Greece they managed to equip a new fleet, subjugated the revolted Lesbians, ánd not only achieved some successes against the Chians, who had also revolted, but even defeated a Peloponnesian fleet which was sent to their aid ( 412 B.c.). But the allies of Athens continued to fall away from her and she was not equal to the task of recovering them all. Their defection not only reduced her military strength but also deprived her of the revenues on which she had depended. ${ }^{1}$ The Peloponnesians, on the other hand, were now able to send forth a fleet as numerous and efficient as that of the Athenians, and to maintain it by the subsidies received from Tissaphernes; for they had already concluded a formal treaty with the Persian king. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, for the first time in many years, they challenged the power of Athens on her own element, and, furthermore, carried the war into the enemy's country, not merely by establishing themselves in Attica, but by prosecuting naval operations on the islands and coasts of Athens' maritime empire.

Meanwhile Alcibiades again assumes a prominent rôle. Having fallen into disfavor with the Spartans and being condemned to death by them, he fled to Tissaphernes, in whom he thought to find a means of effecting his return to Athens. He first persuaded the satrap to scant his supplies of money to the Peloponnesians, representing to him that it was to the interest of Persia that neither Greek power should triumph, but rather exhaust one another by continued warfare $;^{8}$ next, he caused a report to be circulated among the Athenian forces, who were making their headquarters at Samos, that he could and would win for them the active aid of Tissaphernes on condition that he himself be recalled to Athens and an oligarchical government established there in

[^5]place of the democracy. Many influential Athenians in the fleet at Samos really desired such a change of government, and plans were set on foot to accomplish it. When the time came for Alcibiades to fulfill his promise of enlisting Tissaphernes on the Athenian side, he failed to do so; but the leaders of the oligarchical movement had gone too far to retreat. Throwing over Alcibiades, whom they now suspected and feared, they succeeded by various machinations in setting up at Athens the so-called government of the Four Hundred (May, 411 b.c.). The news of this event was received by the Athenian sailors at Samos, who were stout democrats, with the greatest indignation. Under theleadership of Thrasybulus and Thrasyllus, they bound themselves to preserve the democracy and to hold no communication with the Four Hundred, and elected new generals whose loyalty to the democracy was unquestioned ; a little later they formally recalled Alcibiades, who was still with Tissaphernes, and on his arrival at Samos added him to the number of the generals. The situation of the Four Hundred at Athens immediately became critical. Some of their leaders were ready to betray the city to the Spartans for the sake of maintaining their own power, and with this intention began to build a fort commanding the entrance to Piraeus; but a more moderate party, headed by Theramenes, divined this treasonable purpose and pulled down the fort. ${ }^{1}$ At this moment a Peloponnesian fleet under Agesandridas appeared off Piraeus, apparently by previous arrangement with the traitors among the Four Hundred. The Athenians hastily manned some ships and followed the Peloponnesians round Cape Sunium to the Euboean coast. There a battle took place in which the Peloponnesians were completely victorious. This unfortunate event, involving to the Athenians the loss of Euboea, a principal source of their food supply, seemed a fatal blow. It was not, however, an unmixed evil, for the gravity of the situation compelled internal harmony at Athens; the Four Hundred were deposed, and a modified form of democracy reëstablished.

[^6]The victorious Peloponnesians failed to follow up their advantage by an immediate attack upon Piraeus, which might at this time have proved successful; and meanwhile Athenian fortunes in the East took a favorable turn. The Spartan admiral Mindarus, angry with Tissaphernes, who had entirely cut off his supplies of money to the Peloponnesians, accepted the invitation of Pharnabazus, satrap of Phrygia and Tissaphernes' rival, to transfer his fleet to the Hellespont. Thither the Athenians, under Thrasybulus and Thrasyllus, were forced to follow; for it was absolutely essential that they should not lose control of the Hellespont, through which lay the route of their grain-ships from the Euxine Sea. The opposing fleets quickly came to battle off Cynossema, and the Athenians, though outnumbered, weree victorious. Soon afterwards Tissaphernes set out for the Hellespont, ${ }^{1}$ in order to ingratiate himself again with the Peloponnesians. Midway in the account of his journey Thucydides' history of the war abruptly breaks off.

Xenophon's Hellenica takes up the story at about this point, but its opening paragraphs by no means form a consistent and close continuation of the final chapters of Thucydides. The first words of the Hellenica, "And after this," do not refer to the last event mentioned by Thucydides. The hostile fleets

The Relation of the and individual commanders are found at the beginning of the Hellenica in places more or less removed from those where Thucydides left them. The scene of the opening battle of the Hellenica, which is not stated by Xenophon, cannot be inferred from the concluding chapters of Thucydides. In a word, the connection between the two histories, instead of being exact, is extremely loose. Yet there can be no doubt that it was Xenophon's intention to complete the interrupted narrative of his predecessor. The fact that he begins the Hellenica without any introduction or statement of his purpose or description of the existing situation ${ }^{8}$ or identification of the lead-

[^7]ing personages inevitably suggests such a conclusion; and this conclusion is confirmed by the explicit statements of several ancient writers. ${ }^{1}$ It is true that the Hellenica in its present form is more than a mere supplement to Thucydides; for it not only finishes Thucydides' appointed task, the history of the Peloponnesian War, but also covers a long period thereafter. ${ }^{2}$ There is abundant evidence, however, that just that part of the Hellenica which deals with the Peloponnesian War was written a number of years earlier than the succeeding part. ${ }^{3}$ Xenophon did halt, therefore, at the point where Thucydides had intended to halt. It is a fair inference that originally he purposed nothing more than the completion of Thucydides' work. 'That such was really the case is further indicated by the fact that in the first part of the Hellenica - the Supplement to Thucydides, as it may be termed - Xenophon appears to imitate in more than one respect ${ }^{4}$ Thucydides' own method of treatment, whereas in the later part this imitation disappears entirely.

It seems clear, therefore, that in undertaking the Hellenica Xenophon's primary aim was to finish the narrative which Thucydides had left incomplete. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ How, then, can the looseness and inexactness of the connection between the Hellenica and Thucydides be explained? In answer to this question various theories have been offered, - either that something has been lost from the beginning of the Hellenica, or from the end of Thucydides' history, or that Xenophon intended to prefix an introduction to the Hellenica but failed to do so. Each one of these theories may be said to offer a possible explanation of the facts, but, on the other hand, each is pure assumption, based upon little or no definite evidence. It is much safer to explain the inconsistencies and evident omissions between Thucydides and the Hellenica by the

[^8]Hellenica itself; for in the body of that work are found quite similar omissions and inconsistencies. ${ }^{1}$ It happens, for example, that Xenophon does not secure precise continuity between different parts of his narrative, or introduces his reader to a wholly unexplained situation, or omits to mention facts of material importance. ${ }^{2}$. Such imperfections, which are apparently due either to lack of information or, more often, to lack of care, are found in considerable numbers throughout the Hellenica, though particularly in the earlier part. Their existence seems to justify the belief that the looseness of the connection between the Hellenica and Thucydides is simply another instance of Xenophon's defective workmanship. It is entirely comprehensible that an author who sometimes fails to weld together smoothly the successive portions of his own history should also fail to join his work perfectly to that of a predecessor.

The Hellenica, as it has come down to us, consists of seven books, but this division, which was certainly made by some early editor or critic and not by the author himself, ${ }^{3}$ is wholly artificial and meaningless. Far more important is the natural and original division of the work into different parts written at different periods. For the Hellenica, covering as $\begin{gathered}\text { Bions of the } \\ \text { Hellenica, }\end{gathered}$ it does the years from 411 to 362 b.c., was Xenophon's history of his own times, and it was wholly natural that he should write it part by part, as time went on, instead of waiting till toward the close of his life to tell the whole story in its entirety. In fact, the investigations of many scholars have proved beyond reasonable doubt that the Hellenica falls into three main divisions, written at considerable intervals: Part I. (1. I. 1-2. 3. 10), finishing Thucydides' interrupted story of the Peloponnesian War, $41{ }^{1-}$ 404 в.c.; Part II. (2. 3. 11-5. I. 36), from the close of the Peloponnesian War to the Peace of Antalcidas, 404-387 b.c. ; Part III.

[^9](5. 2. 1-the end), from the Peace of Antalcidas to the battle of Mantinea, 387-362 b.c.

Part I., the Supplement to Thucydides, differs in several important respects from the succeeding parts. Its manifestly conscious imitation of certain Thucydidean peculiarities of treatment ${ }^{1}$ is found nowhere else in the Hellenica. On the other hand, some of the characteristics which mark Xenophon's historical method in the later portions of the Hellenica and in his other works do not appear in Part I. In style and diction also Part I. has been found to be wholly unlike the remainder of the work and to resemble closely the very earliest of Xenophon's writings. All these considerations justify the conclusion that it was written a considerable time before Parts II. and III., that it was, indeed, one of the first products of Xenophon's literary activity. ${ }^{2}$

It remains to determine more precisely, if possible, the date of the composition of Part I. Being a supplement to Thucydides, it was certainly written after Thucydides' history was published. It is generally believed that Thucydides died not earlier than 400 b.c., and his history was not given to the world until after his death. It follows, therefore, that Part I. could not have been written before 401 b.c., when Xenophon left Athens to join the Ten Thousand. ${ }^{8}$ From that time until 394 b.c. he was constantly engaged in military service, ${ }^{4}$ and could hardly have found time for literary work. But Part I. must have been composed very soon after he returned to Greece in 394 B.c. and found a home and quiet at Sparta; for, as already stated, it is clearly one of the earliest of his writings. The date of its composition, therefore, may be placed with much probability at 393 B.c. or a very little later. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^10]Some years after the completion of Part I. Xenophon turned again to historical work. Probably his interest therein was roused anew by the famous Peace of Antalcidas, ${ }^{1}$ which was concluded in 387 b.c. and which manifestly marked an epoch in Greek history. He continued his narrative, therefore, down to 387 в.c., finishing what has been termed Part II. (2. 3. 11-5. 1. 36). This middle portion of the Hellenica, while considerably later than Part I., was also considerably earlier than Part III. Such a conclusion is deduced with much certainty from various casual allusions ${ }^{2}$ in Part II., which serve to fix approximately the time of its composition, and from a comparison of its style with that of Part III. In the light of all the available evidence it seems probable that Part II. was written during the period from 385 to 380 в.с.

Part III. (5. 2. I-the end), covering the years from 387 to 362 b.c., was the work of Xenophon's old age. Doubtless the great battle of Mantinea, ${ }^{8}$ fought in $\mathbf{3}^{62}$ b.c., furnished the impulse which led him to take up again the task he had twice laid down. It was not, however, until some time after Mantinea that Part III. was completed; for 6.4. 35 contains a reference to an event which took place as late as 358 or 357 b.c. This passage, taken in connection with the fact that Xenophon probably died about 354 b.c., ${ }^{4}$ fixes the date of the composition of Part III. with sufficient definiteness.

Thucydides, in his history of the Peloponnesian War, groups the events which he describes by years and seasons. ${ }^{5}$ His year begins with the opening of spring and is divided into two parts, summer ( $\theta$ є́ $\rho 0$ ) and winter ( $\left.\chi^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \omega \nu\right)$ ). He always marks carefully the close of the summer season and, similarly, the close of the winter, i.e. of the year ; and at the latter point he always states the total number of years elapsed since the outbreak of the war. In Part I. of the Hellenica, which follows the annalistic method of

[^11]Thucydides ${ }^{1}$ and adopts a similar " year" (from spring to spring), no less than four different means of chronological determination are employed: (a) The beginning of the year is marked, by various formulas, in the case of six of the seven years which Part I covers. ${ }^{2}$ Furthermore, as in Thucydides, particular events are occasionally assigned to particular times within the year by such phrases as " at the beginning of winter," ${ }^{8}$ "during the summer," ${ }^{4}$ "when winter came on." ${ }^{s}$ (b) In four cases ${ }^{6}$ the number of years elapsed since the beginning of the war is stated. (c) In five cases ${ }^{7}$ the notice of the beginning of a new year is followed by the mention of the name of the eponymous archon for that year at Athens and that of the eponymous ephor at Sparta. (d) In two cases ${ }^{8}$ the new year is designated as the year of an Olympic festival.

The chronological data comprised under (b), (c), and (d), i.e. the references to years of the war, to archons and ephors, and to Olympiads, have been pronounced spurious by the unanimous judgment of all scholars. It has been shown (b) that the number of the years of the war is in every single case stated incorrectly, a circumstance which is sufficient to mark these statements as interpolations. Further, (c) the names of the archons and ephors for the year are given incorrectly in two ${ }^{9}$ out of five cases; and, since Xenophon marks plainly the beginnings of six years, it is difficult to understand why the names of archons and ephors are inserted in only five instances and not in the sixth, ${ }^{10}$ except on the theory that these insertions are the work of a careless interpolator. To

[^12]these considerations must be added the fact that neither of Xenophon's predecessors, Herodotus and Thucydides, employs the lists of eponymous magistrates to distinguish successive years. Again, (d) not only is one of the two Olympiads incorrectly placed, ${ }^{1}$ but we know ${ }^{2}$ that the Olympiads were not employed for the determining of dates until a century after Xenophon's time.

The notices of the beginnings of new years - comprised under (a) above - are not absolutely accurate or complete, but, while it is possible that they also have been interpolated, the probability is that they are Xenophon's own. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

It is Thucydides' custom, after he has described the more important operations of a given season at the principal scene of hostilities, to summarize in detached references the minor events of the war during the same season. This method of treat- (2) Historical ment is found not infrequently in the Hellenica ${ }^{4}$; but interpolathe occasional references, which appear in the pres- tions. ent text of Part I., to occurrences which have nothing to do with the Peloponnesian War and which take place outside Greece, are justly regarded as interpolations. Such are the references to events in Sicily, ${ }^{5}$ in the Persian Empire, ${ }^{6}$ and in Thessaly. ${ }^{7}$ These passages are condemned not merely because of their complete lack of connection with the subject in hand, but also because they present inexplicable difficulties of chronology and phraseology, and are sometimes manifestly inaccurate in statements of fact as well
from 404 B.C. with his lists of archons and ephors, and he places correctly the names of those for 404,405 , and 406 B.C. (at 2. 3. I, 2. I. 10, and I.6. 1, respectively) ; but having missed the beginning of 407 B.C. (at I. 4. 2) he assigns the magistrates for that year to 408 B.C. (at I. 3. I) and, consequently, the magistrates for 408 B.C. to 409 B.C. (at I. 2. I).
${ }^{1}$ At 1. 2. I ( 409 b.C.). The Olympiad in question fell in 408 b.C.
2 On the excellent authority of Polybius, 12. 12.
 ably be regarded as an interpolation, both because of the sentence in which it stands (see below) and because its place is abundantly supplied by the following $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta$ $\chi \in \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \geqslant \lambda_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
4 \text { E.g. 1. 1. 27, 1. 1. 32, 1. 2. 18. } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { I. 1. 37, 1. } 5.21,2.2 .24, \text { and } 2.3 .5 . \\
6 \text { I. 2. 19 and 2. 1. } 8-9 .
\end{array} \\
7 \text { 2.3.4. }
\end{array}
$$

as inconsistent with one another and with other portions of the Hellenica. It must be supposed that they were inserted by some early scholar for the purpose of giving to Part I. a closer outward resemblance to Thucydides. The same purpose on the part of some interpolator is the probable explanation of various allusions to conflagrations and eclipses, ${ }^{1}$ - allusions which are wholly irrelevant, yet at least comparable with those found in Thucydides. ${ }^{2}$

Finally, the passage in 2.3.9-10, containing a list of the eponymous ephors at Sparta during the Peloponnesian War, is almost certainly interpolated. It is intimately connected with an incorrect statement of the duration of the war, ${ }^{3}$ and is manifestly copied in part from Thucydides. ${ }^{4}$

In many respects Xenophon was admirably fitted to be the historian of his own times. He was clear sighted and honest, a master of the art of war as well as a scholar, his varied travels had

The Defects and Merits of the Hellenica. given him a considerable knowledge of the peoples and localities with which his narrative is concerned, his Athenian birth and his close association with Lacedaemonians enabled him to understand and to appreciate both sides of the important questions of the day, and, lastly, his intimate friendship with King Agesilaus afforded him exceptional opportunities to acquire accurate information. On the other hand, his personal preferences and prejudices were strong, and he lacked the studious carefulness which is necessary to the ideal historian. All these qualifications and disqualifications of its author are exhibited in the Hellenica. It has unquestioned merits and unquestioned defects. The latter must necessarily receive a disproportionate amount of consideration, because an understanding of them is essential in order to enable one to qualify and supplement the narrative of the Hellenica, and so obtain a wholly correct view of the period which it covers; but it should not be forgotten that these defects are balanced and more than balanced by positive excellences.

[^13]Mention has already been made ${ }^{1}$ of the inconsistencies which are found in the Hellenica. They appear, in greater or less number, throughout the entire work. The author alludes to an event as though already described of which he has told us nothing, or takes up the thread of a given subject at a later point than that where he dropped it, or introduces us without explanation to a situation which is unintelligible to us, or a personage who is unknown. More extraordinary than such inconsistencies is the fact that many events of considerable importance are either dismissed very briefly or entirely omitted, while, on the other hand, trivial matters are frequently treated with great fullness. ${ }^{2}$ So, for example, no mention is made of two of the most momentous results which followed the battle of Leuctra, viz. the reëstablishment of Messenian independence by Epaminondas and the foundation of Megalopolis as a capital for the Arcadian league ${ }^{3}$; yet an entire chapter is devoted to the fortunes of the small town of Phlius. ${ }^{4}$

For such omissions, inequalities of treatment, and inconsistencies various explanations have been offered. Some critics have maintained that the Hellenica which has come down to us is merely a later epitome of Xenophon's original work. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ This theory, besides

[^14]being inherently improbable, has not even the merit of explaining the facts ; for an epitome would naturally abbreviate trivial matters and preserve all references to weighty events, - just the things which the Hellenica in its present form does not do. Again, it has been held that the Hellenica is a collection of materials for a history rather than the finished historical product; that it consists merely of memoranda which Xenophon noted down from time to time as events passed, intending them for his own use and not for publication. ${ }^{1}$ No doubt Xenophon must have kept a diary and taken notes of current happenings at or about the times of their occurrence, but any idea that the Hellenica is merely a published diary or was made up of detached bits, successively added at short intervals, is abundantly disproved, not only by very many passages which were certainly written long after the events they describe, ${ }^{2}$ but also by the fact that each of the three Parts of the Hellenica proves upon examination to be an organic whole, its various portions clearly interrelated to one another ; ${ }^{8}$ further, many passages ${ }^{4}$ show that Xenophon was consciously writing for publication and not for himself alone. Other apologists ${ }^{5}$ for the Hellenica maintain that it is an unfinished work, perhaps published by strangers after Xenophon's death. This hypothesis can no more be disproved than it can be proved; yet some of the difficulties which stand in the way of its acceptance may be pointed out. In the first place, critics have inevitably compared Xenophon's history with those of his greater predecessors, Herodotus and Thucydides, and have been led, either consciously or unconsciously, to deem it unfinished because of its marked inferiority to them ; yet it is manifest that the only solid basis for declaring that a history which
${ }^{1}$ Cp. especially Wyttenbach (Selecta princip. Histor., praef. p. x) and Büchsenschütz (in his edition of the Hellenica, Einl. p. 7).
${ }^{2}$ E.g. 2. 4. 43. 3. 5. 25, 4. 4. 15, 6.4. 27-37, 1. 7. 35, 3. 1. 5. For the first four passages see Appendix III. pp. 357-360.
${ }^{8}$ This is pointed out by Nitsche, op. cit.
${ }^{4}$ E.g. 4. 2. 16, 4. 3. 16, 4. 8. 1, 5. 4. 1, 6. 4. 37, 7. 2. 1, 7. 5. 27.
5 Hertlein (Observationes criticae in Xen. hist. Graec. II. p. 1) and Christ (in Müller's Handbuch 7. 30I) ; among the editors, Breitenbach, Büchsenschütz, Blake, and Sorof.
shows serious defects is therefore unfinished, must be a knowledge of the historical abilities and qualities of its author, not those of other historians. Such knowledge with regard to Xenophon is not obtainable, for the reason that no other one of his works is similar to the Hellenica or required the same sort of talent. Broadly speaking, the Anabasis is a history, but it describes an altogether simple incident of only two years' duration, whereas the Hellenica covers fifty years of the most diverse and complicated events. The two works are so entirely different that it is no more possible to argue from the skill and completeness with which the story of the Anabasis is told that Xenophon must have been able to produce a better Hellenica, than it would be to argue that the author of an excellent description of a year's travels in France could necessarily write a good history of the Napoleonic period. Secondly, it is difficult to find a reason why Xenophon should have left the Hellenica unfinished. Part I. was surely written almost forty years, Part II. twenty years or thereabouts, before his death. ${ }^{1}$ That he did not cease to be interested in the writing of history is shown by the fact that he returned to it more than once and was engaged in it during the closing years of his life. Why should he have left, not merely the last chapters of the Hellenica but the entire work, unfinished? Thirdly, it must be remembered that some of the best modern histories are characterized by noteworthy omissions and by lack of proportion, even though their authors have enjoyed the advantages of the printed page and, in many cases, of following a host of predecessors; such defects, therefore, are easy to understand in one who wrote an entirely independent history of his own times and before the invention of printing.

All the various theories which we have just considered appear to owe their origin to an unwillingness to admit that Xenophon was in any way incapacitated for writing a first-rate history; yet just this is the natural explanation, and in all probability the correct explanation, of the defects of the Hellenica. For the available evidence in regard to the inconsistencies, omissions, and inequalities

[^15]of treatment which have been pointed out seems to justify fully the following conclusions : (1) Xenophon was not sufficiently careful to connect together the various portions of his narrative so as to leave no imperfect joints or rough edges, and the inevitable result was inconsistencies. ${ }^{1}$ The same lack of care is probably the reason for many of his omissions. ${ }^{2}$ (2) In some cases both omissions and brief, inadequate references to events which we expect to find more fully treated, are due simply to incomplete information or the entire absence of information; for Xenophon did not make the Hellenica his life work, as Herodotus and Thucydides made their histories, nor did he search all over the Greek world, as they did, for full knowledge. On the other hand, campaigns in which he personally shared or incidents of which he was an eyewitness are often described at disproportionate length. ${ }^{8}$ (3) Xenophon sometimes indulges his individual tastes to the extent of losing sight of historical values. Thus, he is extremely fond of personal anecdotes and of the devices of military strategy, and consequently gives overmuch space to them ; ${ }^{4}$ while more important matters, in which for some reason he feels little or no interest, are either passed over entirely or treated with undue brevity. (4) The Hellenica is not an impartial history, and to its partiality are due serious omissions and serious inequalities of treatment. Xenophon's love for Sparta and her institutions and his enthusiastic admiration for King Agesilaus lead him continually to make much of the successes of Agesilaus and the Spartans, and to pass over their reverses lightly and briefly. He does not misstate facts, but he does give his reader wrong impressions. It is noteworthy that he does not favor Sparta at the expense of Athens, though of this he has been unjustly accused. ${ }^{5}$ True, the Athenians had ban-

[^16]ished him, yet he is wholly just to his native city, not only in his account of the last years of the Peloponnesian War, but also elsewhere in the Hellenica. It was the Thebans, who first threatened and then overthrew the supremacy of Sparta, whom he could not forgive and to whom he could not be just. He cannot choose but describe their victories over the Spartans at Leuctra and Mantinea, but many of their other achievements he leaves entirely unmentioned. ${ }^{1}$ Still more serious is the fact that he is guilty of ill-natured suggestions which reflect upon the Thebans, ${ }^{2}$ that he puts wrong constructions upon their acts, ${ }^{3}$ and too openly rejoices, when occasion offers, in their calamity. ${ }^{4}$ Herein lies altogether the most considerable fault of the Hellenica.

There still remains, however, much to be said on the other side. Xenophon's history covers a period of fifty years, yet he has not been convicted of a single absolute misstatement in the story of all that time. His essential honesty shows itself in his free condemnation of the sins of the Spartans ${ }^{5}$ and even of Agesilaus, ${ }^{6}$ and in his frank recognition of the military talent of Epaminondas, the great Theban who brought ruin to Sparta. ${ }^{7}$ His general accuracy and trustworthiness have been repeatedly proved in cases where he is in conflict with other ancient authorities for the period with which he deals. His narrative possesses the great merits of simplicity, clearness, straightforwardness, and freedom from exaggeration or a striving after effect. His judgments of events and his characterizations of leading personages are generally sound and true. His style, even though it is sometimes abrupt and uneven, is for the most part easy and graceful and picturesque, and many of the speeches which he puts into the mouths of envoys, soldiers, and statesmen are really masterly in their concise directness and

[^17]their clear portrayal of conditions. All in all, the Hellenica is much the best authority we have for the half century which it covers. This is a fact not to be forgotten when we compare Xenophon with his predecessors, Herodotus and Thucydides, and realize his distinct inferiority to them. For if the Hellenica is far from being a great history, it is also far from being a poor one.

## III. Other Authorities for the Period covered by the

## Hellenica

The author who serves most frequently to supplement the Hellenica is Diodorus, 'the Sicilian,' who lived during the age of Diodorus Augustus and compiled what he termed a Historical Siculus. Library. This 'library' was a history of the world, written in Greek and extending from the earliest times down to Julius Caesar's campaigns in Gaul. It was divided into forty books, of which fifteen ( $1-5$ and $11-20$ ) have been preserved. Books $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$ 15 treat of the period covered by the Hellenica. Diodorus' history is a composite reproduction of the works of many older historians, and his trustworthiness depends in large measure upon the sources from which he draws. In books $13^{-15}$ his principal authorities for the history of Greece were Ephorus and Theopompus, who lived a generation later than Xenophon and whose works are no longer extant. In general, however, Diodorus is a rhetorical historian, fond of exaggeration, of imposing situations, and of multiplying adjectives and adverbs. He is almost entirely lacking in critical judgment, or even real comprehension of the events which he describes. Further, his chronology is wholly untrustworthy. Hence, although he sometimes enables us to fill up the gaps in the Hellenica or adds fuller details, he can never be accepted as an authority of equal weight with Xenophon.

Plutarch, the great biographer, was a Boeotian and was born about the middle of the first century a.d. Among his famous Lives Plutarch. are included those of Alcibiades, Lysander, Agesilaus, Pelopidas, and Artaxerxes, - all prominent figures in the story of the Hellenica. His life of Epaminondas is unfortu-
nately lost. Plutarch was a master of the art of biography and his detailed characterizations of his heroes are invaluable. His life of Pelopidas, the friend of Epaminondas, is perhaps the most useful to students of the Hellenica; for, since Plutarch was a fellowcountryman of Pelopidas, he writes of him and of the Thebans with full appreciation, even enthusiasm, and thus corrects the wrong impression which Xenophon in his dislike for the Thebans conveys. Of course the methods and aims of a biographer are necessarily different from those of a historian. Plutarch seeks to make us acquainted with his characters on every side, and a description of the historical events in which they figure is only one of many means to that end, not his exclusive object. Exact chronology is not essential and is not found in Plutarch, nor does he always resist the natural tendency to make the subject of his biography the center of every situation. He evidently borrowed not a little of his historical material from Xenophon himself, more from Ephorus and Theopompus. ${ }^{1}$ Like Diodorus, Plutarch often supplements the story of the Hellenica, but he cannot be held to be in the right where he differs from Xenophon.

The one work of the philosopher Aristotle (384-322 b.c.) which is valuable for the present purpose is his Constitution of the Athenians. This treatise, lost for centuries, was discovered in 1890 on a papyrus manuscript found in Egypt. It Aristotle. contains ( 1 ) an account of the historical development of the Athenian constitution from the earliest times down to the fourth century b.C., and (2) a full and systematic description of the government which existed at Athens in Aristotle's own day. Chapters $34-40$ cover the same period ( 411 -401 b.c.) as the first two books of the Hellenica, and have not only shed important light upon Xenophon's story, but in some points added materially to our knowledge. In occasional cases, on the other hand, it is entirely clear that Aristotle has fallen into error; for, while he is a most competent and trustworthy authority in regard to matters

[^18]which lay within the range of his own observation, he is necessarily dependent in the historical part of his treatise upon writers who preceded him.

Lysias was a wealthy manufacturer at Athens during the closing years of the Peloponnesian War. He was not an Athenian by

## Lysias.

birth, but belonged to the class known as metics, or resident aliens. When Athens was captured and the Thirty Tyrants came into power, Lysias and his brother Polemarchus were among those whose wealth tempted the avarice of the Thirty ${ }^{1}$ and who were therefore proscribed and arrested. Lysias escaped, though with the loss of most of his property; his brother was summarily put to death. After the reëstablishment of the democracy Lysias returned to Athens and became a famous advocate and orator. Two of his orations deal with the reign of terror under the Thirty Tyrants; the first is that Against Eratosthenes, one of the Thirty, whom he brought to trial as the murderer of Polemarchus; the second is the oration Against Agoratus, one of the tools of the Thirty. Both these speeches must be read with a grain of allowance; for Lysias the advocate would naturally make his prosecutor's plea in a court of law as strong as possible, and Lysias the man had abundant reason to be biased against the Tyrants whom he attacks. Nevertheless, his vivid and detailed description of the conditions which existed at Athens after the city's surrender and under the Thirty, brings the real situation home to the reader as no history can.

In isolated instances other orations of Lysias, or of Andocides, Isocrates, and Demosthenes, confirm or complete various references in the Hellenica.

As compared with the above-mentioned authorities Xenophon is easily the most important and trustworthy. Without him we should be almost helpless. His contemporary record, written without ulterior purpose and free alike from adornment and from exaggeration, is our central source of information. Where other writers supplement the Hellenica, their aid is most welcome; ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Hell. 2. 3. 21.
where they contradict, it is generally agreed that the Hellenica deserves the preference.

## IV. The Syntax and Style of Xenophon

In all his writings Xenophon frequently departs from the ordinary usages of Attic prose, either admitting words and constructions which are wholly un-Attic or, more often, employing with great freedom those which are found only occasionally in the best Athenian prose writers. The fact that Xenophon spent much the larger part of his life outside Athens and in close intercourse with other Greeks sufficiently explains these peculiarities. Such of them as are illustrated most often in the following Selections are here briefly summarized. It should be distinctly understood, however, that even in these points Xenophon follows the strict Attic usage far more frequently than he deviates from it.
A. Agreement. - A neuter plural subject occasionally takes a
 also 2. 3.8 and 4. 2. 7.
B. Reflexive Pronouns. - The third person of the reflexive is



C. Prepositions. - (1) Sivr, a preposition found very rarely in Attic prose, is used very frequently instead of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ with the genitive.
(2) ' $\mathrm{A} \mu \boldsymbol{\phi}$ ', also un-Attic in prose, is occasionally found with the accusative, instead of $\pi \epsilon \rho \rho^{\prime}$. E.g. 2. 3. 46, 5. 4. 7.
D. Conjunctions. - (r) Te. A single $\tau \epsilon$, the so-called "postscript or afterthought $\tau \epsilon$," serving "to complete or extend a previous statement," is found more frequently than in ordinary Attic prose. E.g. 1. 1. 15, 1. 1. 27, 1. 1. 35, 1. 4. 3, 1. 4. 13, 1. 4. 17, г. 6. 6, i. 6. 7, etc.
(2) $\mathbf{T \epsilon} \ldots \tau \epsilon$, serving to bring two statements into the closest possible relation to each other, is not a rare combination in the Hellenica. E.g. 1. 1. 28, 1. 4. 16, 1. 6. 33, 5. 4. 34, etc.
(3) Kaí . . . $\delta \epsilon ́$, standing at the beginning of a clause, where $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ serves as connective and $\kappa a i^{\prime}=a l s o$, frequently takes the place of the usual prose combination $\delta e ̀$ кaí. E.g. 2. 4. 6, 2. 4. 15, 3. 4. 24, 6. 3. II, etc.
(4) $\Gamma \epsilon \mu \eta \nu$ is one of the most frequent mannerisms of the later books of the Hellenica, sometimes introducing with emphasis a new thought, more often weakened to equivalence with simple $\delta^{\prime}$, and thus standing sometimes as correlative to a preceding $\mu$ év. E.g. 2. 3. 33, 2. 3. 42, 3. 1. 7, 4. 2. 17, 5.4. 1, 6. 3. 14, etc.
(5) Méxpl, until, a conjunction seldom used in Attic prose, appears several times. E.g. 1. 1. 3, 1. 1.6, 1. 1. 27, 1. 2. 16, 1. 3. 6, I. 3. Ir.
E. Tenses. - The so-called " objective" imperfect and pluperfect, representing in indirect discourse a present or perfect indicative of the direct discourse, are found with unusual frequency.
 or $\epsilon$ iौ ) , 5. 4. 19; also 1. 5. 3, 2. 1. 14, 2. 2. 15, 4. 2. 5, etc.
F. Final Clauses. - Xenophon stands alone among Attic prose writers in employing the poetic $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{s}$ as a final particle. E.g. r. 4. 6, 1. 6. 28, 2. 3. 14, 3. 4. 15, etc.
G. Object Clauses. - Besides the ordinary ö $\pi \omega$ s with the future indicative (or the subjunctive or optative) Xenophon shows many wholly irregular uses. The following are illustrated in these Selections:
 13.
 $\sigma \theta \hat{n}, 2.3 \cdot 33$.
(3) is with the future optative, $\pi \rho \circ \kappa i \pi \epsilon \nu$ is $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon i s$ кıทฑ́бocto, 2. I. 22.
H. Result Clauses. - Xenophon departs from the regular usage of Attic prose writers in employing $\dot{\omega}$ as well as $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, both with the infinitive and with the indicative. E.g. (with infinitive) 1. 6. 20, 7. 5. 19; (with indicative) 4. 4. 16, 5. 4. 22.
I. Infinitives. - Xenophon occasionally uses both the present
and the aorist infinitive in a future sense after verba sentiendi et declarandi, especially oṽ $\phi \eta \mu$ and öoma. This seems to be merely an extension of the ordinary Greek use of the present or aorist object infinitive after verbs of hoping, expecting, promising, and the like; in such cases, therefore, ov" $\phi \eta \mu=I$ refuse, and
 receive (note that if the infinitive were in indirect discourse, the meaning would be, he said that he did not receive) ; also ov фapévov


 тávта картєре̂̀, 5. 1. 15.
J. Participles. - The circumstantial participle with ws, most often in the genitive absolute, is employed with unusual frequency as the equivalent of a participle or clause in indirect discourse.

 the king and Tissaphernes were preparing this expedition; r仑̂




K. Anacolutha of various sorts abound in Xenophon. . E.g. i. 3. 18, 1. 6. 4, 2. 2. 2, 2. 2. 3, 2. 3. 15, 2. 3. 28, 2. 3. 54, 3.4. 27, 3. 5. 23, 4. 3. 13, 4. 8. 9. 5. 1. 28, 5. 4. 1, 5. 4. 13, 5. 4. 35, 6. 3. 6, 6. 4. 2, 6. 5. 25, 6. 5. 42, 7. 5. 18.
L. Poetical and rare words or forms of words. Xenophon was a citizen of the world, and he continually employs words and forms which are unknown to the vocabulary of the stricter Attic prose writers. Instances of this sort will be noted as they occur.
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## EENOФRNTOZ EAAHNIKA

## BOOK I

The Further Course of the Peloponnesian War. 4 1i-406 b.c.
$I$

 $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \sigma a \nu a v ̉ \theta ı s ~ \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o ́ v ı o ~ к а i ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o ı, ~ e ́ v i ́ к \eta \sigma a \nu ~$




Chapter 1, §§ 1-10. Naval warfare in the Hellespont. The battle of Abydus. Alcibiades is arrested by Tissaphernes, but escapes. 4II B.C.

1. Meтd $8 \mathbf{i t a v \tau a : ~ l o o s e l y ~ c o n - ~}$ necting the Hellenica with the final chapters of Thucydides. See Introduction, p. 19 f. and Appendix, pp. 351-355. - † $\mu$ крраня: S. 1513; HA. 78I ; B. 388 ; G. 1184 ; Gl.
 Hellespont. See App. p. 353 f. Quroxdipys: earlier in this year (4II B.C.) he was defeated by Agesandridas in an action off Euboea. Introd. p. 18. Soon afterwards Agesandridas was summoned to the Hellespont to reën-
force Mindarus, the Spartan.admiral (who had just lost the battle of Cynossema), and thither Thymochares appears to have followed him. Thucydides 8.95 and 107. - ivaundхךซav aitıs: again, with reference to the recent battle of Cynossema. Thuc. 8. 104-106. See Introd. p. 19 and App. p. 354.
 is gen. of comparison, since $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\text {' }}$
 143 I ; HA. 755 ; B. 363; G. 1153-4; Gl. 517.- -wopıés : a lieutenant of Mindarus, who had been sent on a special mission to Rhodes (Diodorus 13. 38) and was now trying to rejoin his commander. Cp. 5. 19 and App. p. 352.-dpXo-









$\mu$ (vov xeruàvos: of 411-410 b.c.
 the usual formula is $\tilde{a}^{\mu} \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \eta \eta_{\mu} \dot{e} p q$. - tois otparnyois: Thrasybulus and Thrasyllus. Alcibiades, also one of the generals, had not yet reached the Hellespont. See § 5 and Thuc. 8. 108. - avprayovto: put.out. In nautical language ává and katá mean respectively 'out, (to sea) and 'in' (to shore). $\pi \rho d s ~ ग \grave{v} v \gamma \uparrow ̂ v:$ connect with $\phi v \gamma \omega$ v. - ©s ${ }^{\text {nvory }}$ : as he got clear. The verb seems to mean to 'open out' a clear course, to get under way, free of other ships, shores, etc. Cp. 5. 13 and 6. 21. The impf. here and in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \beta \neq \beta a \zeta_{\epsilon}$ with reference to the process, - as one after another of the ships got clear and was beached. - 'Poltetov: Rhoeteum, on the Asiatic coast of the Hellespont, near its entrance.
2. ${ }^{2} \mu$ ахоито : the subject is the
 for not all the ships were beached.
— $\mu$ Xxpt: see Introd. IV. D. 5.Md́vrov: in the Thracian Chersonese, i.e. on the European coast of the Hellespont, near Sestus. бтратбтєEov: fleet. Cp. 6. 3. 18 тà $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta a$ каì đà vavtıкà каì $\tau$ à $\pi \in \zeta ı \mathrm{Ká}$, both fleets and armies.
 (a) an army, - e.g. 2. 7, - (b) its camp, (c) a fleet, or (d) its station, -e.g. 6. 21 and 36.
3. Mivoapos: Spartan admiral for the year 411-410 в.c. - кать© $6 v$ : the verb frequently means, as here, to see at a considerable distance or with some difficulty, to descry. Cp. § 2 above; also § 16 below, 6. 15 , etc. - $\mathrm{Iv}^{\prime}$ 'I $\lambda / 4$ : connect with $\theta \dot{v} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Xerxes and Alexander the Great likewise visited the famous site of Troy to sacrifice to Athena (Herod. 7. 43 and Arrian, Anab. 1. I1). - 0d入artav : i.e. to Abydus (opposite Madytus), where the main Peloponnesian fleet lay, drawn up on the shore (hence



 $6 \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ סvoî̀ $\delta \epsilon o v i \sigma a \iota s ~ \epsilon i ้ \kappa o \sigma \imath ~ \nu a v \sigma i ́ \nu . ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \delta \grave{̀}$ фvỳ̀ 20







каӨс入кúvas）．－dradáßoı：lit．pick $u p$ ．It is often used，as here，of a general who unites to his com－ mand detached units．

5．кaтd Tìv didva：along the strand． $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \omega \dot{\nu}$ is a poetic word．
 morning of the day after Dorieus＇ arrival．．Diodorus（13．45）runs together the two battles described in §§ 3 and 5．－Td $\mu \hat{\imath} v$. ．．Td $\delta e ́:$ at some points ．．．at others．For the acc．see S．1609；HA．719；B． 336 ；G． 1060 ；Gl． 540 ．－＇Aㅅкıßıd． © $\mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ ：whom Thucydides（8．108） leaves at Samos．See App．p． 352. Diodorus（13．46）and Plutarch （Alc．27）agree with the statement of Xenophon that Alcibiades＇ar－ rival decided the issue of the battle．－＊тeเซтגet ：$\epsilon$ is in the com－ pound means into（the Hellespont）， $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ besides，i．e．in addition to，or to
aid，the original force．Cp．§ $12 .-$ סvoiv 反eov́rals etkorı：twenty lack－ ing two，as duodeviginti in Latin． Note that $\delta$ covíals agrees with vavaív．

6．Фapváßatos：Persian satrap of Lesser Phrygia and Bithynia， and Tissaphernes＇rival．It was the aid he had offered to the Spartans which led them to bring their fleet to the Hellespont．See Introd．p．19．－$\mu$ ixpl ：to be joined with the preceding，è $\pi \epsilon \sigma \beta$ aivav $\kappa \tau \lambda$. ；as long as the depth of the water permitted．See critical note． — roîs àגлıts ：explained more pre－ cisely by the following appositives． －пареке入еv́eтo：sc．to follow his example．

7．$\sigma \mu \mu \phi$ 人怎avтes：i．e．ranging them close together on the shore， so as to form a wall（фрá $\gamma \mu a)$ ．－





 $9 \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu \kappa a i ̀ \nu a v ̂ s a i \tau \eta \prime \sigma \omega \nu . \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{̀} \tau a v ̂ \tau a$ Tıббaфє́ $\rho-$


ing marshaled themselves on the shore. - кevas: i.e. abandoned by their crews. Cp. the opposite expression aủroîs ávסoáol, men and all, 2. 12 and often. - is ab̉rol dmळлerav : i.e. before the arrival of Alcibiades, when they were at some points defeated (§ 5). aùтoi, to indicate the recovery of their own ships in contrast with the capture of the enemy's. - $\Sigma \eta \sigma \tau \delta v$ : opposite Abydus.
8. $1 \pi^{\prime}$ deyppodoylav: Athens had lost so many of her tributary allies since the Sicilian disaster that she was almost without revenues ; her generals, therefore, were continually occupied in raising money for the support of their fleets, by voluntary or involuntary contributions from friends or enemies. Cp. §§ 12, 20, 21, etc. The Spartans, on the other hand, were able to rely upon Persian subsidies, first from Tissaphernes and now from Pharnabazus. Cp. § 14 and

alтhбшv: S. 2065 ; HA. 969 c ; B. 653, 5 ; G. 1563, 4 ; Gl. 583 b. See note on $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{s} \mu a \chi o v ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ § 33$.
9. Tıб of Lydia and Ionia. In 412 b.c. he had concluded an alliance with the Lacedaemonians and had engaged to support their fleet. Alcibiades, however, persuaded him to reduce and finally to cut off altogether his supplies of money to the Lacedaemonians, whereupon the latter sought a new paymaster in Pharnabazus. See note on § 6 and Introd.pp. 1719. Tissaphernes now comes to the Hellespont to set himself right, if possible, with the Lacedaemonians (Thuc. 8. 109), and he welcomes the opportunity of arresting Alcibiades as a means to that end. Doubtless the purpose of Alcibiades' visit was to win for the Athenians what he had long promised them, viz. the active aid of Tissaphernes (Thuc. 8. 47, 56,




 そомєขás．





former were ceremonial presents of meat and drink，the latter were ＇keepsakes，＇like the Homeric ккו－ $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota a .-\Sigma{ }^{2} \rho \delta \epsilon \sigma t$ ：capital of Lydia． －ßarıléa：S． 1140 ；HA． 660 c； B．446，N．；G． 957.

Io．Mavtitiov ：nothing is defi－ nitely known about him，although he may well be the same as the Mantitheus of 3．13．－didvios：an attributive participle．S． 2050 a ； HA． 965 ；B．650；G． 1559 ；Gl．
 oav：＇construction according to sense，＇although the grammatical subject is singular．
§§ 11－18．The Athenian fleet is reunited and takes the offensive． The battle of Cyzicus． 410 в．c．

II．Here，in all probability，be－ gins the twenty－second year of the war，－4IO b．c．See App．p．36if． －alo0d $\mu$ vor：having learned．－
$\mu(\lambda \lambda о v \tau a:$ supplementing air月ó $\mu$－ vou．S． 2110 ；HA． 982 ；B． 661 ；G． 1588；Gl．586a．—\＄ईкоvта：accord－ ing to Diodorus（13．49）Mindarus had received considerable reën－ forcements．The Athenians have only forty ships（§8），－hence their secret retreat．－vukrds $\mathbf{d \pi t}$ Sparav：a rather careless repetition from the preceding section．－Kap－ Slav：around the Chersonese，on its N．W．coast．Hence the $\pi \epsilon \rho$ l－ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$ below．－$\sigma$ úv：see Introd．IV． c．I．Xenophon ordinarily uses the simple dative to indicate military accompaniment（e．g．§§ 2，5，9，II， etc．），but sometimes，with no dif－ ference of meaning，the dative with $\sigma_{v i v}^{\prime}$（cp．4．9，5．18，2．2．7，etc．）．－ Kugurov：an Athenian possession， which was now captured by Min－ darus（Diod．13．49）．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ţñ ：by land，across the Chersonese．






12. is $k \pi i$ vavpaxiav: for battle, equivalent to is vav $\mu \alpha \chi \eta \sigma o v-$ ros. For the use of $\dot{\omega}$ s with a prepositional phrase denoting purpose is analogous to the use of is with the fut. part. (see note on §33). With such phrases in the Hell. es is used or omitted with equal frequency and without appreciable difference in meaning or attendant circumstance. む́s є่ $\pi i$ here $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi i i^{\prime}(\dot{a} \rho \gamma v \rho o \lambda o \gamma i a v)$ in § 8.
 $\mu \hat{\imath} \eta \mathrm{s}$ : see Introd. p. ı8. He had been sent from Athens with thirty ships to prevent the bridging of the straits of Chalcis, from Euboea to Boeotia. After failing in this attempt he employed his force in aiding King Archelaus of Macedonia, - hence he comes ánò Maкєסovias (Diod. 13. 47-49). Since the time when the Athenian fleet refused to acknowledge the government of the Four Hundred at Athens, it had been under generals of its own choosing (Introd. p. 18), among whom Alcibiades, Thrasybulus, and Thrasyllus were the most prominent. Theramenes,
although himself one of the Four Hundred, had helped to destroy that government and was mainly responsible for the repeal by the Athenian Assembly of the decree of banishment against Alcibiades. He was therefore accepted by the fleet as one of its generals. Until 407 B.C., when the reunion between the Athenian city and fleet was fully accomplished, the latter continued to choose its own generals, and kept always at its head Alcibiades, Thrasybulus, Thrasyllus, and Theramenes. - Oparifoulos : who later became the liberator of Athens from the Thirty Tyrants. - ทंрүчродоүүко́тєs: see on §8.
13. $\epsilon$ l̃ $\omega v$ : bidding. For the following inf. see S. 1997; HA. 946 b; B. 669, N. ; G. 1523, 2 ; Gl. 658. kal тоข́тоเs: these also, as well as his original force. - 8tต́кeเv: not 'to accompany' him, which would be áко入ov $\theta$ єiv or $\notin \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, but to follow after him when they had made ready ; for Alc. (av̉rós) went
 $=$ 'having cleared for action.' $\mathbf{A}$ trireme regularly had two sails,











 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s$ є́ $\xi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a l$ тoîs $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \iota s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$,

the larger of which, with all its tackling, was removed when a battle was imminent. In action the trireme depended entirely on its oars. - $\$ \xi$ кal ójoо $6(\S 11)+20+20(\S 12)$. - vukTbs ... in $\mu^{\mu} \rho q$ : respectively time within which and time when. S. 1444, 1 539; НА. 759,782 ; B. 359,385 ; G. 1136 ,
 an unusual meaning of the word. sepl aplotov ápav: about midday.
 abtois: i.e. the soldiers gathered in
 رaxeiv кal тeєxо $\quad$ ахеiv: : i.e. against Mindarus, Pharnabazus, and Cyzicus respectively. - хр $\not \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ага : see on § 8 .
15. むриlбаvтo: at Proconnesus. - $\pi$ лoia : i.e. merchant vessels. кal: even. - $\sigma u v \dagger \theta$ potre: translate by the plupf. Cp. Anab. I. I. 2 tîs
 the province of which he had made him satrap. - ${ }_{\xi}{ }^{2} \gamma \gamma \in(\operatorname{Dat}$ : for the mood see S. 2196; HA. 88I ; B. 590; G. 1365; Gl. 638 c.-тe: the postscript $\tau \epsilon$, connecting the two clauses. See Introd. IV. D. i.
 dition. S. 2565; HA. 916; B. 623 ; G. 1434; Gl. 616 a. Note that the opt. might have been used instead, on the principles of indir. disc.-cls rd mepav: to the other side, i.e. to Cyzicus. - $\delta \iota a \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega v$ : the prep. means across, as frequently.
















16．és $k \pi t$ vaupaxiav：see on § 12．－viovros mo入入థी：while it was raining heavily．The dat．in $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varrho}$ is one of manner；for the part．see S． 2072 b；HA． 972 a；B． 657，N．I；G． 1568 （end）；Gl． 589. －кa0орй：see on катьס́́v §4．－
 him，i．e．Alcibiades，who got be－ tween Mindarus and the harbor of Cyzicus under cover of the storm． Diodorus（13．49－51）and Plutarch （Alc．28）give varying accounts of this battle．－\＆$\ddagger$ коvтa：as in § II．

17．oüซas：see on $\mu \in ́ \lambda \lambda o v \tau a$ § II．－тротєроv：i．e．when the two fleets lay at Sestus and Aby－ dus watching one another（§ II）．

Since then the Athenian fleet had increased from forty to eighty－six ships（§§ 11－13），an increase which Alcibiades had taken care to conceal from the Peloponnesians （§ 15 ）．－$\pi p \delta_{s}$ ：near，at the mouth of．

18．tais elkoot：the article may be used with a numeral to designate a part of a given whole．S． 1125 a； HA． 664 a；G． 948 a．－терเтле $\sigma a s$ ：around the contending fleets， so as to land and attack Mindarus in the rear．－kal au̇rós：as well as Alcibiades．－ràs $8 t$ vaûs：first in its sentence for emphasis，－the crews escaped，but the ships were
















nine. In 412 b.c., after the failure of the Athenian expedition against Syracuse (Introd. p. 16), the Syracusans sent a contingent of twenty ships to the Peloponnesian fleet. Thuc. 8. 26.
§§ 19-22. The results of the Athenian victory.
19. aúrinv: i.e. the city.
20. amdedevocv: å $\pi$ ó in composition = back, as frequently. IIfetvorv кal $\Sigma \eta \lambda \lambda \mu \beta p l a v:$ on the northern coast of the Propontis.
21. т $\mathbf{~} \sigma$ трaróte $\delta o v:$ see on § 3 . - ov: for the accent see S. 180a; HA. II2 а; B. 69, 1 ; G. 138, 1 ; Gl. 18.
22. $K a \lambda \times \eta \delta o v i a s: ~ t h e ~ s o-c a l l e d ~$
chorographic genitive, a species of partitive genitive. S. 1311; B. 355.-Xрvбס́то入เv : on the eastern side of the Bosporus, opposite Byzantium. - тìv $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon \kappa \kappa ́ t \eta \eta v : ~ c p . ~ t h e ~}$ English 'tithe.' This source of revenue was of the utmost importance to Athens, impoverished as she was through the loss of her tributary allies (see on §8). Furthermore, the possession of the Bosporus as well as the Hellespont secured the route of the Athenian grain ships from the Black Sea (cp. § 35 and Introd. p. 19).$\pi \lambda 0$ ( $\omega v$ : S. 1392 ; HA. 748; B. 362; G. 1117 ; Gl. 509.- кal: also, besides the necessary custom-
$\pi \lambda о i ́ \omega \nu$ каì $\epsilon i ̋ ~ \tau \iota a ̈ ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \delta u ́ v a \iota \nu \tau o ~ \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ o v s . ~$




 house officials. - גтиелеiodau: S. miral, a Spartan title.- үрацрата 2008 ; HA. 951 ; B. 592 ; G. 1532 ;
 716 b; B. 334 ; G. 1054 ; Gl. 536 b. - $\delta$ v́vaıvтo: a vivid future condition in indirect discourse, hence the opt. It is the purpose, i.e. the thought, in the minds of the generals which leads to the indir. disc. construction. S. 2622 e; HA. 937 ; B. 677 ; G. 1502,2 ; Gl. 651, 3. Note (i) that the subjunctive might have been retained, as in § 15 , and (2) that the apodosis of the condition is the inf. (of purpose) $\beta \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\pi} \pi \epsilon \iota v$ understood.
§§ 23-26. The Spartans report their defeat. Pharnabazus aids them to build a new fleet.
23. 'Iттокра́тous: according to Thuc. 8.107 he was one of the two officers sent to Euboea to bring Agesandridas' fleet to the Hellespont (see on § I). The fact that both he and Agesandridas reached the Hellespont in safety tends to discredit Diodorus' story (13.41) of the total destruction of this fleet. See App. pp. 353 and 354 f. - kmıorodews: vice-ad-
$\pi \not \mu \phi 0$ ivta: a word or phrase which depends upon a participle (here тарà . . . Лакє aípova) $^{\prime}$ is sometimes separated from it by the noun with which the participle agrees. - d ${ }^{2} \lambda \omega \sigma a v$ : plural verb with neut. plural subject. See Introd. IV. A. - is'A日भvas: because of the idea of motion involved in ćá $\omega \omega \sigma a v,-$ captured and brought to Athens. The truly 'laconic' dispatch which follows is in the Spartan (Doric) dialect. - кâda: Spartan for timber, i.e. ships. -
 ȧ $\pi$ orcvie, - is gone. - тuvâvrt
 — аторіорея: а่ аторо̂̂ $\mu \in \nu$. - Diodorus (13.52-53) states that the defeat at Cyzicus so discouraged the Spartans that they made overtures for peace, which the Athenians, persuaded by the demagogue Cleophon, rejected. Aristotle (Const. Ath. 34. 1) tells a similar story of Spartan peace proposals after the battle of Arginusae, four years later. It seems likely that both authors are refer-
$\nu a ́ \beta a \zeta o s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \pi a \nu \tau i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v ́ \mu a \tau \iota$


反voî̀ $\mu \eta \nu 0 i ̂ \nu$, каi ó $\pi \lambda i ́ \sigma a s$ тoùs $\nu a u ́ \tau a s ~ \phi u ́ \lambda a \kappa a s ~ к а \tau e ́-~$
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma a s$ тov́s $\tau \epsilon$ à $\pi$ ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ пód $\epsilon \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o v ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀$








ring to the same incidept, but that one of them is in error regarding the date of it. If this be so, it is safer to follow the authority of Aristotle than that of Diodorus.
24. Zveka $\xi \dot{\nu} \lambda \omega \mathrm{v}$ : on the score of timber (cp. кầa above), in contemptuous contrast to $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \omega^{-}$ нata. - ws : saying that. S. 2086; HA. 978 ; B. 656, 3 ; G. 1574 ; Gl.
 $a s$, etc. Connect with $\mu \dot{\eta} \mathrm{a} \theta \theta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$. For the subjunctive see S. 240I; HA. 916; B. 623; G. 1434; Gl. 631.-Eф68ıov: subsistence.
25. тoùs . . . $\sigma$ тparŋyoús: the generals from the various states of the Peloponnesian confederacy. brownson. hellenica - 4
-'Avcduסpq : at the foot of Mt. Ida. Thucydides (4.52) speaks of it as an excellent place for ship building. It was there that Aeneas fitted out his fleet (Verg. Aen. 3. 5-6).
 S. 2072 a; HA. 972 a; B. 657, N. I; G. 1568 ; Gl. 590 a. - а $\mu$ а тоія 'Avcarbplos: together with the Antandrians. A personal dative with ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha$ is unusual. - evepyecla тє каl по入ьтela: i.e. the title and privileges of benefactors and honorary citizens, not infrequently conferred, by a legislative act, upon individuals or states. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\prime}$ : sing. because $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma i ́ a$ and $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a$ are conceived as a single notion.


 тovs $\pi \rho о \eta \gamma о \rho o v ̂ \nu \tau o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda о ф v ́ \rho о \nu \tau о ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \nu \mu ф о-120$






§§ 27－31．The banishment of the Syracusan generals．
 Xenophon here drops the main thread of his narrative in order to summarize events which were of minor importance，or happened at a distance from the principal seat of war．Cp．калà тòv kaupòv тốтov § 32 and $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ toútovs $\tau o \grave{s}$ र ${ }^{\text {óvovs }}$ § 33．See Introd．p．25．－фév－ yourv：had been banished．The present has a perfect meaning；for as $\boldsymbol{v} \kappa \hat{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}=$ to be victorious，i．e．to have conquered，so $\phi \epsilon$ v́yєıv＝to be an exile，i．e．to have been ban－ ished．Cp．S． 1887 ；HA． 827 ；B．
 the gen．of agent because $\phi \in$ úyeıv serves as the passive of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ to banish．S． 1752 ；HA． 820 ；B． 513；G．1241；Gl． 499 a．－＇Ерио－ kpárovs：Hermocrates had dis－ tinguished himself as leader of the Syracusans at the time of the great

Athenian expedition against their city（415－413 b．c．）．See Introd． p．16．Diodorus states（13．63） that it was through the machina－ tions of political opponents that he and his colleagues were banished． －проךүopoôvros：acting as spokes－ man．－d8inus ．．．тapd тdेv vdrov： i．e．their banishment was both un－ deserved and illegal．－re：the postscript $\tau \epsilon$ ．See § 15 and Introd． IV．D．I．－каl тd 入oimá：in the future also．For the case see on тà $\mu$ év § 5 －－ărठpas ．．．тараүүе入－ $\lambda_{0} \mu \mathrm{va}$ ：good men toward（i．e．in obeying）each successive order．－ dфixшvтat：for the mood see $\mathbf{S}$ ． 2401 ；HA． 921 ；B．623；G． 1465 ； Gl． 631 ．－êcelvav ：éxeivos is some－ times used instead of a reflexive， the pronoun being chosen from the point of view of the writer and not that of the subject of the sen－ tence．Cp．S．1259；KG．467， 12. 28．ăpXetv：i．e．to remain in





command．－тpthpapXot ．．． $1 \pi$ r－ $\beta$ árat ．．．кvßepvףीтat：these were the men of rank in the fleet and were presumably of the better class．Hence they were naturally especial partisans of Hermocrates， whe was the leader of the oligar－ chical party in Syracuse．－$\sigma$ тaotd－ Sotv：i．e．by retaining the com－ mand．－rts：of the Syracusan soldiers and sailors whom they were addressing．－imıka入ol $\eta$ ：opt． in indir．disc．S．2619；HA．932， 2；B． 673 ；G．1497， 2 ；Gl．662．－
 said that they（the soldiers）ought to give them（the generals）a hear－ ing．Despite the previous mani－ festation of the good will of the soldiers，the generals assume an attitude of humility，in order to excite still further and surer sym－ pathy．They do not ask for sup－ port or restoration to their com－ mand，only protection．That they give so great a reason（in the fol－ lowing lines）for so small a request is in line with the whole spirit of their behavior．Their real aim is to obtain what they actually did obtain，－the aid of the soldiers in
securing their recall from banish－
 aúroùs $\kappa$ cei．，having sworn that they would bring them back from exile， etc．，§ 29．The phrase 入óyov Sedóval（which ordinarily means ＇to render an account＇）is used as here in 5．2．20．－$\mu \mu \nu \eta \mu$ ivovs：in agreement with the subj．of ס\＆סóva， which refers to the soldiers．－тs： correlative with the following $\tau \varepsilon$, not with кaí．See Introd．IV．D． 2. －aúrol кa0＇avirovs：aúró is used to strengthen the following reflex－ ive（S． 1235 ；HA．688；B． 473 ； G．997）on account of the contrast with $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega ̂ \nu a ̈ \partial \lambda \omega \nu$ ．Note that aviroús stands for the reflexive of the second person．See Introd． IV．B．and S． 1230 ；HA． 686 a ； B． $47 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{I}$ ；G．995．－veviкiккте： an abrupt change from indirect to direct discourse．The second per－ son instead of the first because，in the spirit of the foregoing，the gen－ erals are not claiming credit for
 i．e．with the Peloponnesians，while avirò ка $\theta^{\circ}$ aúroús refers to their campaigns at home，in Sicily．－ aŋттŋrot reyovare：have proved





 $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho a ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu$ ỏ $\mu o ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau о \iota ~ \kappa a \tau a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ av̉rov́s，







yourselves invincible．－тásıv．．． т $\eta \mathrm{\eta} \nu \mathrm{kpariotn} \mathrm{\nu}$ ：the best（i．e．most honorable）post in the line of bat－ tle．E．g．in the battle of Abydus， according to Diod．13．45．－dpt тhv：skill．—ünd́pXovava：exhib－ ited．Connect with $\pi \rho o \neq v \mu i a v$.

29．סeopivav：see on vavarjoov－ $\mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ § 26．In this case the posi－ tive subject is easy to supply from the preceding negative ovidevós． －aфlкovto：for the mood see S．2395，C．；HA． 922 ；B．619；G．

 The article is omitted in 6．3． 2 also，but nowhere else in the Hell． －「v由बros：the Ionic genitive． S．268，D． 1 ；HA．201，D．；B．110，

2；G．255．－кaráketv：would bring them back－from－exile（кaтá－）．

30．1siq：in particular，in con－ trast with $\pi$ ávtas èmalvô̂vтes．－ol

тробоцц入о仑ิvтes：those who had associated with Hermocrates．The imperfect participle．S． 1872 a .1 ； HA． 856 a ；B．542，I ；G．I289．－ indonjar ．．．кotvotๆta：felt the loss of his care and enthusiasm and good－fellowship．когótr！s in this sense is extremely rare．See
 for the best of those whose acquaint－ ance he made，both trierarchs and， etc．$\dot{\omega} \nu=\dot{\kappa} \kappa \epsilon_{i} v \omega \nu$ oús．S．2522， 2529；HA． 996 and a；B．484， 486；G．103I，1032；Gl．614．－ ovva入t？${ }^{2}$ ：a poetic word．－









 $\kappa \alpha a ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda a \beta o \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \nu a v ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a ́ т \epsilon v \mu a . ~$
avekotvoîto: communicated (to
 sometimes . . . sometimes. Adv. acc.
31. Td $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda d . . . \eta j \delta 6 \xi \xi 6:$ enjoyed the greatest reputation.-
 the preceding year (41I b.c.), on the ground that Tissaphernes was proving himself disloyal to the Lacedaemonian cause (Thuc.8.85; cp. also Introd. pp. 17 and 19). Xenophon recalls this bit of previous history in order to explain whither Hermocrates went (cp.
 and why he received from Pharnabazus, who was Tissaphernes' rival, such ready assistance. Note the differing use of the various participles: 'since Hermocrates had accused . . . and had been adjudged to speak the truth, when he
came to Pharnabazus he received money . . . and busied himself in preparing,' etc.-'Aбtuoxov: who preceded Mindarus as admiral of the Spartan fleet and supported Hermocrates' accusation. - mplv altท̂бat: S. 2453 ; HA. 924 a; B. 627 ; G. 1470; Gl. 568.— $\quad$ rpòs . . . kdeosov: with a view to his restoration. According to Diodorus (13.63 and 75) Hermocrates made two attempts, in 409 and 408 b.C., to effect his return to Syracuse by force. In the second attempt he lost his life. Cp., however, note on 3. 13.- ${ }^{\text {ev }}$ тoútq: meanwhile, used rather loosely with reference to § 29.- ${ }^{j} \mathrm{k} o \mathrm{v}$ : had come. S. 1906; HA. 827 a; B. 52I, N. ; G. 1256; Gl. 454 f. - тติv Eupako$\sigma \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ : partitive genitive.
§§ 32-36. The revolt of Thasos. Agis repulsed before the walls of









 тй $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ o ̈ v \tau a s ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \tau a \xi є ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \Lambda v ́ к \epsilon \iota o \nu ~$


Athens．Clearchus is sent to By－ zantium．

32．©daq ：Thasos，originally an Athenian possession，had re－ volted to Sparta in 411 b．c．－ kard tòv кalpòv roûtov：see on
 Cp．фєúyouєv § 27．－áp the Spartan governor in a depen－ dent state bore this title．－Maनเா－ $\pi(\delta a s$ ：it is altogether probable that he was the Spartan admiral for the year 409－408 b．c．In that case the presumption is that the $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota s$ in Thasos took place dur－ ing his term of office and that Xenophon has anticipated（as in the preceding section）in describ－ ing it here．－ $\boldsymbol{k \pi t}$ ：to the command
 the year 408－407 B．c．

33．$\pi \in \rho$ toúrous roùs xpóvovs：
see on § 27．－Opaनú入入ov：cp．§ 8. －$\Delta$ eкedelas：where the Spartans， under King Agis，maintained a permanent camp in order to pre－ vent the Athenians from cultivat－ ing their lands．See Introd．p．I6． －$\pi \rho \circ$ von $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$ ：a word used only by Xenophon and late writers．See Introd．IV．L．－тov̀s âdגous：i．e． non－citizens，viz．metics and stran－ gers．－ $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ úketov：the Lyceum was a gymnasium outside the walls of Athens to the eastward，later famous as the place where Aris－ totle taught．－©s paxoúpevos：for the purpose，or with the intention， of fighting．The fut．part．with－ out $\dot{\omega}$ is used to express pur－ pose，but only after verbs of mo－ tion．After other verbs is must be used，and it is not infrequently found after verbs of motion also．











Sometimes the fut. part. with as denotes 'alleged purpose,' but there are hardly any sure instances of this use in the Hell. In occasional cases the combination does not indicate purpose at all, but only the thought or statement or belief of some one that something will come to pass. For this use see 3. 5. 19, 4. 2. 18, 7. 5. 20. Cp. also on § 12 .
 i.e. in the rear. - $\mathbf{t} \phi$ ' a ${ }^{\text {jpe }}$ : what he had come for. The phrase is used as an acc. of specification. Tt . .. $\mathbf{\delta t}$. . . $\delta \ell$ : the transition from the copulative to the adversative connection is not rare. $S$. 2981; HA. 1040 b; KG. 520, Anm. 3.
35. L6óv: Decelea is high enough so that one can easily see Piraeus, though nearly twenty miles distant. - $\pi$ лоía . . . $\sigma$ (rov: from the Black Sea. See on § 22.

חetpaiâ: the port of Athens. ката0€оvтa: sailing in. For катásee on ảvprárovio §2. 日eiv, which may be compared with the English 'run before the wind,' means sailing in distinction from
 alpyetv: for the tense of the inf. see S. 1885 ; HA. 826 ; B. 522 ; G. 1258; Gl. 454 d. - тीीs री̂s: S. 1392 ; HA. 748 ; B. 362 ; G. 1117 ; Gl. 509. - cl . . . фоเтậ : unless one (i.e. they, the Lacedaemonians) should also occupy the places from which the imported grain came in - $\sigma \times$ hroot: opt. in indir. disc. representing the fut. ind. of dir. disc. For this form of fut. protasis see S. 2328 ; HA. 899 ; B. 602 ; G. 1405 ; Gl. 648 b. Note that in the apodosis the present (eivau) is used instead of the future, to emphasize the reality of the situation de-scribed.- Te: as in § 15 .- kal: also. As Agis is cutting off the

 $\sigma \hat{\sigma} \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \notin \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \mathrm{M} \epsilon \gamma a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каì $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$





 ミıкє入íà סє́кка $\mu v \rho \iota a ́ \sigma \iota ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s ~ a i p o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma i ̀ ~$


Athenian grain supply from Attica， so some one must also cut off the supply from abroad．－K $\lambda$ eapxov： the Clearchus of the Anabasis．－ $\pi \rho \sigma \xi \in$ vov：corresponding to the modern consul，except that the $\pi \rho o ́ \xi \in v o s$ was a citizen of the state where he performed his duties， not of the state which he repre－ sented．The Spartan $\pi \rho o ́ \xi \in v o s$ at Athens was an Athenian，the American consul at Athens is an American．

36．ס6§̧avtos tovitov：when this had been determined upon．
 transports rather than war ships． This explains why Clearchus was defeated by an inferior force
 grain ships．－ $\mathbf{\Sigma \eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ тóv：Sestus was the Athenian station．Cp．§ II and 2．13．It was probably through
mere inadvertence that Xenophon wrote Sestus here，instead of Aby－ dus，the Peloponnesian station
 see on cis＇A ${ }^{2}$ そ́vas $\$ 23$.
［§ 37．Notice of events in Sicily．］

37．It is almost certain that this section is an interpolation．See Introd．p． 25 ；also note on 2．10．－ ó dviautós：Xenophon＇s year runs from April to April（Introd．p 24）． The year now ended is that from April， 410 to April， 409 в．C－ ＇Avri（as：not the famous Hanni－ bal．For the genitive form see S．225；HA．149；G．188， 3.

Chapter 2，§§ I－II．Thrasyl－ lus＇expedition to Asia Minor． He defeats the Milesians and invades Lydia，but is repulsed with loss before Ephesus． 409 B．c．












1. ${ }^{6} \lambda \lambda_{\varphi}$ : see on ${ }^{*} \lambda_{\lambda} \lambda_{\eta}$ 1. 13 .
 is almost certainly spurious. Both the Olympic date and the names of the Athenian and Spartan magistrates are incorrect. See Introd. p. $24 \mathrm{f} .-\Phi$ : for the dat. see on $\eta_{\eta}^{\mu} \dot{\rho} \rho q$ 4. 12. - $\tau \rho(\tau \eta$
 Olympiad was in fact 408, not 409 b.c. The Olympic era began with 776 B.c. - if . . . ${ }^{\text {'Hiclov : the statement is prob- }}$ ably taken from Pausanias, who notes (5. 8. 10) the addition of this 'event' to the Olympic games
 eponymous ephor, by whose name the year was designated at Sparta, as by the eponymous archon at Athens and by the consuls at Rome. - bvros: a noun depending upon $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ́ t ~ t e m p o r a l ~ i s ~ s o m e t i m e s ~$
modified by a pres. part. S. 2053 a; KG. 438, I. 2. - Өopukóv: on the S.E. coast of Attica. - $\pi \lambda$ oia : here ships of war, - the only case in the Hell. where the word has this meaning. Cp. on 1.15 and 36. is . . . Xploduevos: in order to employ them as peltasts also. See on is $\mu а \chi о$ ú $\mu$ evos 1. 33. á $\mu \alpha$ каí = a strengthened кai. apxouhivo rov Opovs: see Introd. p. 24. - Zб́ноv: a principal base of operations for the Athenians during the closing years of the war. - Thrasyllus was sent to Athens to obtain reënforcements for the fleet in the Hellespont (I. 8), but since the Athenian victory at Cyzicus rendered such reënforcements unnecessary he turned his attention to the revolted cities of Asia Minor.
2. Hb́ye入a: a small town S.W.


















of Ephesus. - 8ceatapuívovs brтas: = simply $\delta \varepsilon \epsilon \sigma \pi a \rho \mu e ́ v o u s . ~ T h e ~$ combination of the two parts. is rare, but comparable to the use of the perf. part. with finite forms of eivau. Cp. also 5. 5 eivau


 friendly sense. $\pi \rho$ ós with the acc. instead of the usual dat. (as in § 2) is due to the original idea of motion in $\beta$ oŋ $\theta \in \hat{c} v$. - eksós : $=\pi \lambda \lambda_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$. Cp. the Euglish 'outside of.'
3. Notrov: the port of Colophon. - dкца́jovtos rod $\sigma$ (rov: in June. - то $\lambda \lambda$ tu: in great quantities.
4. $\Sigma$ rérys: a lieutenant of Tissaphernes (Thuc. 8. 16). - кard rds islas delas: for private plun-
 although the cavalry (of the Athenians) came to the rescue. - iva . . . incd: of the plunderers, not the cavalry.
5. ás . . . $\pi \lambda_{\text {everoúpevos: see }}$ on ès $\mu a \chi o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ 1 . ~ 33 .-~$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ К о \rho \eta \sigma \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ m o \beta \iota \beta a ́ \sigma a s, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ' ~ © \grave{~ i t \pi e ́ a s ~ к a i ̀ ~}$






 тоv̂ ’Apıбтoүє́vovs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega ิ \nu$, кai $\Sigma \in \lambda \iota \nu o v ́ \sigma \iota a \iota ~ \delta v ́ o . ~$

 of the Ephesians' (Acts of the Apostles 19. 24-41). Tissaphernes seeks to rouse the people by representing that the goddess herself is endangered.
6. बlo $\beta 0 \lambda \not \subset v$ : i.e. into Lydia. -Kop

 on 1. 2. - $\sigma$ тратбтt $\delta a$ : see on I. 3 .
 the text be correct; see critical

 vov́rua.- Te: and. See Introd. IV. D. I. - ous: its antecedent is
 i.e. the ships built at Antandrus to replace those destroyed at Cyzicus.

See 1. 18 and note, and 1. 25.-
 HA. 984 ; B. 660, N. ; G. 1586 ; Gl. 585 a. - $\Sigma e \lambda$ ıvov́бtat 8 vio : the reference is to the crews rather than to the ships, so that we should expect oi à à̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Sigma \in \lambda$. $\delta v o i ̂ v$. The intervening relative clause (ail évoXov ктé.) is probably responsible for the changed form of expression. Selinus was a town in Sicily, which had sent two ships with the Syracusan twenty to aid the Peloponnesians. See note on 1. 18. These two ships, like those of the Syracusans, had evidently been replaced by new ones built at Antandrus.
9. $\pi$ pós: in a hostile sense. Cp . the opposite use in § 3.-












© $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon l}$ : about, a rare equivalent for

 $\mu$ evors: who had proved themselves very brave. Ср. yeyóvate I. 28. -
 only awarded honors to the Syracusans and Selinuntines as a body, but also to many individuals. olkeiv dredet: (permission) to dwell tax free (in Ephesus). oikeiv is parallel with ápıттєia. For the case of átelєє̂ see S. 1060 ; HA. 941 ; B. 63I ; G. 928, 1 ; Gl. 571 c. тథ̂ $\beta$ оudouivч del: to any one who at any time desired it. For the use of $\dot{a} \in i$ with the part. cp. 1. 27, and for its position after the
 amш $\lambda^{\prime} \omega \lambda_{\epsilon 七}$ : when their city had been destroyed, i.e. afterwards. It is true that the interpolator in i. 37
puts the capture of Selinus by the Carthaginians in 410 b.c. Diodorus, however, dates it in the year 409-408 в.C. (13.54), and he specifically states (13. 61) that at that time all the Sicilian ships which had been supporting the Peloponnesians returned to Sicily to aid in repelling the Carthaginian attack. The fall of Selinus, therefore, must have come later than the defense of Ephesus (409 B.C.), in which the Syracusans and Selinuntines took part.
II. Toìs vekpoùs Ůmoandubovs dmodaßbures: to ask for a truce in order to recover the bodies of the dead was regarded as an acknowledgment of defeat. - $\mathrm{iml}^{\boldsymbol{l}}$ : towards.
§§ 12-17. After capturing four Syracusan ships Thrasyllus joins







 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a \cdot ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{i} \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \delta \grave{̀}$ ä $\pi a \sigma a \dot{\eta} \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀$







Alcibiades in. operations in the Hellespont.
12. Tifs $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \sigma \sigma$ مov : for the case see
 ctroort : cp. § 8. - aívole avopár: men and all, lit. with (the) men themselves. See S. 1525 ; HA. 774 a ; B. 392, 3, N. ; G. 1191 ; Gl. 525 a. With this construction the omission of both oiv and the article is the rule.
 $\sigma e v$ : not enough is known regarding this Alcibiades to make it clear why he should have been put to death by Thrasyllus, who was a friend of Alcibiades the general. See critical note.
14. X九цн́v: of 409-408 в.C. elpyuivor . . . iv $\lambda_{i}$ 有тоцlans: probably because the Athenian prisoners taken by the Syracusans in 413 B.c. had been similarly treated. Cp. Thuc. 7. 86 f. $\Delta$ skêctav: see on 1. 33.-ot 8t: and some (few). of $\delta^{\prime}$ ' is used without a preceding of $\mu$ év when it refers to the smaller part of the whole number.
15. $\sigma v v \tau d т \tau о v т о я . . . \pi a ̂ v:$ when Alcibiades tried to marshal together the entire army, i.e. his own troops and those of Thrasyllus. The pres. part., coincident in time with the impf. $\dot{\epsilon}$ ßovidovio, is conative. S. 1878 and a; HA. 825 ; B. 523 ;












G. 1255 ; Gl. 459 a. - ©s . . . óvres . . . ${ }^{\text {motere }}$ : a union of the two usual methods of expressing a cause as stated or felt by the subject of the verb. For the former see on $\dot{\omega}$ s öv $\nu \omega \nu$ 1. 24 ; for the latter see S. 2242 ; HA. 937 ; B. 598, N. ;
 the winter of 409-408 в.c.
 $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda_{\text {oîs. }}-\mu \mathrm{e} \mathrm{xpt}:$ see Introd. IV. D. 5.- афє $\lambda_{\text {cтo }}$ : i.e. the pursued.
 made friends with one another of their own accord. For the strengthening aúrós with the reflexive see on 1. 28, and for the reflexive used as a reciprocal see S. 1231 ; HA. 686 b; B. 471 I, N. 2 ; G. 996.-
 made some other expeditions also. For the acc. see S. 1567 ; HA.

715 b; B. 333; G. 1051; G1. 536 a. -els ग̀ेv ท̈respov: i.e. into the interior.
§ 18. The recapture of Pylos. The betrayal of the Heracleans.
18. The section summarizes the disconnected events of the year 409-408 B.C. See on I. 27, and cp.
 кalpóv with the phrases employed in 1. 27, 1. 32, and I. 33.-Kopuфa$\sigma$ ov : the Laconian name for Pylos in Messenia, which had been held by the Athenians since its occupation by Demosthenes in 425 B.C. It was garrisoned largely by Messenians, and was a favorite place of refuge for runaway Helots. According to Diodorus (13.64) it fell at this time because stress of weather held back the relief expedition which the Athenians sent

 є́moíкоvs，ả $\nu \tau \tau \tau \epsilon \tau а \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ O i ́ \tau a i ́ o v s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon-~$



 єХ $\omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ av่т $\omega$ ．］
out．－Xenophon＇s account of the recapture of Pylos is curiously in－ adequate and misleading，－inad－ equate，considering the extreme importance of the events which had resulted from the Athenian occupation of the fortress，and misleading，because the recapture is represented as merely an affair between the Spartans and some fugitive Helots．See Introd．p． 27. －The recovery of Nisaea，the port of Megara，from the Athenians， which took place at about this time （Diod．13．65），is entirely passed over by Xenophon．See Introd． p． 27 and App．p．354．－rov̀s ．．． in Maneas：those of the Helots who had revolted and fled from Malea to Coryphasium．Cp．éán $\omega \sigma a v$ cis ＇A日rivas 1．23．The extremely rare attributive position of the par－ titive gen．is found nowhere else in the Hell．，except in cases where the gen．plur．of $\pi \hat{\alpha} \mathbf{s}$ depends upon the superlative of an adj．Cp．2． 3 ． 49．－roùs èmolkovs：connect with
ìv＇Hpak入eíá．In 426 B．C．the Spartans had newly colonized Heraclea，in Trachis，but had re－ fused to accept any Achaeans as colonists（Thuc．3．92）；and in 413 B．C．the Achaeans of Phthiotis （who are here referred to）had been harshly treated by King Agis （Thuc．8．3）．They now revenged themselves by betraying the Hera－ cleans，to whose aid they had ostensibly come．－троs ：＝eis， about，－an almost unknown use of the word．See Introd．IV．L． $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ e ́ ~ i t r a k o \sigma i ́ o v s ~ s e r v e s ~ a s ~ a n ~ a c c . ~$ subject of ámo入érөal．S． 908 ；HA． 600 b；B． 304 ；G． 906.
［§ 19．The revolt of the Medes．］
19．This section is almost cer－ tainly spurious．See Introd．p．25． We have no other record of the event here described．－tov̂ Пep－
 ring to the Persian king，usually takes the article when modified by an adj．or a genitive．See on I． 9 ．


 ＇A 1


 баעто $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ K a \lambda \chi \eta \delta o ́ v l . ~ o i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ K a \lambda \chi \eta \delta o ́ v ı o l ~ \pi \rho o \sigma ı o ́ \nu-~$


 imméas，кaì $\tau$ às vaûs $\pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̀ \nu ~ к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma a s, ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i ’ s$





Chapter 3，§§ I－7．The Athe－ nians lay siege to Calchedon．Phar－ nabazus aids the Calchedonians． 408 b．c．

1．［Too ．．．Xrovs ：probably an interpolation．See Introd．p．25，
 passage is in all probability spuri－ ous．See Introd．p． 26 and App． p． 362 f．－ $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text {wokalf }}$ ：on the Ionian coast，north of Smyrna．－［Hav－
 interpolation．Both the names and the statement of the number of years elapsed are incorrect．See Introd． p． 24 f．－$\sigma$ тратот $6 \boldsymbol{1}$ ：see on 1． 3.

2．Ka入入ๆঠova kal Butávtıov： whither the Spartans had sent Clearchus．Cp．I． 35 and 36．－ mpos：near．－delav：i．e．whatever could be carried off as booty．－ Opâkas：they had migrated from Thrace to Asia Minor．

3．al \＆t $\mu \mathrm{y}$ ：otherwise． S ． 2346 d；HA． 906 ；B．616， 3 ；G． 1417；Gl． 656 c．

4．тiбтея тетоіпиivos：i．e． трòs tov̀s Bituvoús．－ámerel－ Xıse：proceeded to invest． The impf．，the tense of progress， may present an act in the first stage of its progress．S． 1900.
















 the Bosporus to the Propontis. жотаноо : part. gen. depending on the clause ö́ov . . . $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$, which is itself parallel in construction with Kal $\chi$ ๆסóva. The river broke the line of the wall, but the wall was carried as near as possible (cp. § 7) to each bank of the river.
5. ivtaîa : temporal. -'Inтo-

 Athenians were inside the wall. - <br>%ттия: as in 2. 16.
6. 'I ITrokpáris $\mu$ ìv oủv: con-
 brownson. hellenica - 5

ऍos § 7.- ${ }^{\mu} \mathbf{x p l}$ : see Introd.
 to this time had been engaged against Pharnabazus. Cp. Plut. Alc. 30.
7. бомнксfar: this spelling, instead of $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \mu \xi a$, is shown by inscriptions to be correct. - $\sigma$ тevomoplav: a very rare word, found also in 3. 5. 20. It is explained by the following clause.
§§ 8-13. The Athenians'at Calchedon conclude a compact with Pharnabazus, who agrees to conduct an Athenian embassy to the Persian king.




 Soviovs＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i o \iota s$ ö $\sigma o \nu \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \epsilon i ́ \omega \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \nu ~ к a i ~ \tau a ̀ ~ o ̉ \phi \epsilon ı \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a ~$








 －ot 入oırol $\sigma$ трarŋyol：Thrasyllus and Theramenes．－vmip $\mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{\lambda} \times \eta{ }^{\boldsymbol{\delta} \delta-}$ vos：i．e．in consideration of their sparing Calchedon．Connect with
 Sov：that Pharnabasus should give． The inf．is not in indir．disc． S． 1868 ；HA． 948 a；B．549， 2 ； G．1286．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ecs：the object of this embassy is not stated． Presumably it was to negotiate for an alliance．

9．їтоте入єiv ．．．dтобooval ．． то入єцeiv：as $\delta$ ôvval in $\S 8$ ；for

 tribute unpaid since Calchedon revolted in 411 b．c． －$\pi$ apd $\beta$ a－
$\sigma$ aloos：the phrase limits the subject，yet the choice of the preposition is determined by the verb．The idea is＇until the ambassadors to the king should return from the king．＇See S． 1660 a；HA． 788 a；B． 398 ，N． 3 ；
 connect with $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i v$ ．

10． $\operatorname{lxc} \operatorname{lv} \eta \nu \downarrow \lambda \omega v$ ：through trea－ son，according to Diodorus（13．66） and Plutarch（Alc．30）．The lat－ ter gives a detailed account of the capture．

11．кd́keEvov：him also，i．e．Alci－ biades as well as the other gener－ als．－$\mu$ xpı $\lambda \lambda$ ®ot：until he should come．For the opt．see S．2420； HA． 921 ；B． 677 ；G．1502， 3 ；Gl．












631. - oik ${ }^{\prime \prime} \phi \eta$ : the subject is Alcibiades. - óqeitar : see on $\sigma \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o$ o 1. 35 .
12. ois: = èxcívors ovis (see on $\dot{\omega}$ I. 30) and is made definite by the following appositives. - rov $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ кoเvoे 8pkov: the official oath. The $\tau \varepsilon$ leads us to expect a second acc., but instead we have an independent sentence, describing the personal pledges which the two leaders as individuals (idíqu) exchanged. Nevertheless, Pharnabazus procured the assassination of Alcibiades a few years later. Cp. Plut. Alc. 39.
13. danavtâv . . . els Kósukov: i.e. to come to Cyzicus to meet him. - Mavtlecos : see on I. ro. -
 see Introd. IV. c. r.-'Apyeior: the Argives had been allies of

Athens since 420 b.c. Cp. 2. 2. 7 and Thuc. 5. 47. - Пarim$\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ( $\delta$ as : see on 1. 32. It is probable that he had not yet been exiled. He naturally headed a Lacedaemonian embassy which was sent out just at the close of his term as admiral, - precisely as Antalcidas did twenty years later (see 5. I. 25 and note). Xenophon does not state why the Lacedaemonians (and Syracusans) attached themselves to this Athenian embassy. See Introd. p. 27. -'Eppoкрárys: in this same year, 408 b.c., according to Diodorus (13. 75 ; see note on 1. 31), he was killed in an attack on Syracuse. It seems impossible to reconcile this statement with the present passage. The chances are that Diodorus is in error, especially as




 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho ь o i ́ \kappa \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu e ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu \nu \epsilon o \delta a \mu \omega ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~$
 16 тoì каi тоút $\omega \nu$ ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ Koı $\rho a \tau a ́ \delta a s . ~ o i ~ \delta ' ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~$






Polybius says (12.25) that Hermocrates fought at Aegospotami in 405 B.c. The whole matter, however, must remain uncertain. See critical note. - ${ }^{\circ} \delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ фev́yov: who was already an exile (cp. I. 27), while his fellow-ambassador, Pasippidas, had not yet been banished.
§§ 14-22. The siege and capture of Byzantium.
14. ग̄ycv: was conducting. The impf. because Pharnabazus remained for a time within reaching distance of the belligerents. See
 трoorodás : i.e. eminus et cominus.
15. KXtapxos: cp. 1. 35 f. 一 Мaкe8arporios: the Lacedaemonian, not to be connected with
áp $\mu \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}$ s. So Mevapeús below. גр below. - тuptoiкuv: the descendants of the original Achaean inhabitants of Laconia. They were free, but not citizens. - veofanco$\delta_{\omega v}$ : Helots who had been emancipated. - Meyapets: Byzantium was a colony of Megara. - тоб́tov : the demonstrative, used for contrast with the preceding personal pronoun, can be best translated by an emphasized 'their.'
16. кar' loxúv: unusual for $\beta$ bía or катà крáтоя. See Introd. IV. L.
17. тapd rodv Фapvaßafov: the road which Pharnabazus was taking from Cyzicus (§ I 3) to Gordium (4. 1) led along the Propontis, and somewhere on its coast Clearchus
 $\pi a \rho ’ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ \lambda \eta \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \kappa a i ~ \nu a v ̂ s ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \omega \nu$ ，aî $\bar{\eta} \sigma a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$


 ä入入ą $\nu a v \pi \eta \gamma \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta \sigma a \nu$ ，à $\theta \rho o ́ a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \gamma є \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a l ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \iota ~$





must have met the satrap．－ds rd $\pi$ ipav：as in I．15．－кal vaÖs ．．．Opqikns ：and to collect ships， （namely）what others were in the Hellespont ．．．and at Antan－ drus，and what Agesandridas com－ manded on the coast of Thrace． ${ }^{a} \lambda \lambda a t$ ，which is contrasted with
 relative clause and agrees in case with the relative．S． 2536 ；HA． 995 ；B． 485 ；G． 1037 ；Gl．613 d． －Пavirnt（ove ：see on § 13 and I ． 32．－＇Avrdvסp甲 ：сp．1．25．－＇Aү\％－
 usually＇marine，＇but here appar－ ently the title of some subordinate officer in the Spartan navy．So in Thuc．8．61．－MıvSdpov：Mindarus had now been dead two years（cp． 1．18），but it would seem that Age－ sandridas had been on＇detached service＇（as in I．I）at the time of
the battle of Cyzicus and，having no admiral or fleet to return to， had remained at his post．－${ }^{8 \pi}{ }^{8}$ ．．．vavinүๆөeiŋrav ：parallel with the parts．of purpose $\lambda_{\eta} \psi{ }^{o} \mu$ evos and $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \omega v$ ．－$\pi$ ； means．S． 2063 ；HA． 969 a；B． 653， 2 ；G．1563， 3 ；Gl． 583.
 those who wanted to betray the city．The part．is conative．See on 2．15．－The sentence here begun is interrupted by the long


 reference to the preceding，so that the original subject oi $\pi$ pooidóvess is left without a verb．A case of anacoluthon．See Introd．IV．K．

19．і̇паүб $\mu$ vos 0avárov：we should say＇when tried for his life．＇For the gen．see S．1379；


 ov̉ $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o ́ v i o s ~ \cdot ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ e ̇ v o ́ v \tau a ~ \sigma i ̂ \tau o \nu ~ K \lambda \epsilon ́ a \rho \chi o \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$

 20 тò $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a u \mu o \nu i ́ o v s \cdot ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~ a v ̉ r o i ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma к є v ́ a \sigma \tau o, ~}$




 $22 \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~ a u ̉ z o v ́ s . ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ o v ̂ r o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu ~ 95$



HA. 745 b; B. 367, N.; G. 1133. - iotropov: probably after 405 B.C., when the Lacedaemonians regained possession of Byzantium (2. 2. 1). - dmiфuyev: was acquitted. Cp. the usual law-court terms $\delta \omega \omega \in \kappa \epsilon \nu$, to prosecute, $\boldsymbol{i} \lambda i-$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, to be convicted, etc. maidas . . . kal yuvaikas : the normal order of these words in Greek. a $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda_{\nu \mu i v o v s: ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ g e n d e r ~ s e e ~}^{c}$ S. 1055 ; HA. 615,1 ; B. 422 ; G. 924 a. - $\delta$ t $\delta$ oval: the pres. inf. in ind. disc. often represents an impf. S. 1866 a; HA. 853 a; B. 646 ; G. 1494 ; Gl. 577 a. - elototat: from cioin $\mu$.
20. aürois: for the dat. see $\mathbf{S}$.

1488; HA. 769; B. 380 ; G. 1186 ; Gl. 524 b. - таребкейатт : impersonal. S. 935 ; HA. 602 d ; B. 305, N. ; G. 1240, 2 ; Gl. 493 a. Opqiкıov: a square in Byzantium, described in Anab. 7. 1. 24.
21. кareixov: were masters.
 not knowing what (they were) to do. In this use ovik "Xecv = dropeiv, or, in Latin, non habere. For the opt., representing an interrogative subjv., see S. 2677 b; HA. 932, 2 (2) ; B. 673 ; G. 1490; Gl. 621.
 See on 1. 26. - llabev drodpás: see on ërvyov 2. 8. - dreadion els $\Delta$ eкenctar: see 2. 14 and on 1. 23.









Chapter 4，§§ i－7．The Per－ sian king sends his son Cyrus to aid the Lacedaemonians．The detention and final return of the Athenian ambassadors． 407 B．c．

I．Фapvaßałos 8 K keri．：continu－ anion of 3．13．－Фpuylas：for the gen．see on 1．22．－tod v Xe九رف̂va：of 408－407 в．C．

2． ax ouivov rot $^{\text {epos ：of } 407}$ B．c．See Untrod．p． 24 and note 10．－катаßalvovтes：from Susa
 $\beta$ ens：the use of the article would imply that these ambassadors had been previously mentioned，yet such is not the case．See Introd． p．27．Their mission，however， may be inferred from the results which they report（§ 3）．－тt： correlative with the cai preceding K $\hat{v}$ pos in § 3．－［8voma］：see crit－ ital note．－ot ado äype入ot：the messengers besides，lit．the others， （namely）messengers．See S．1272； HA． 705 ；B．492，N． 2 ；G．966， 2. It seems clear，both from the pro－
ceding and from the following， that these＇messengers＇were at－ tached to the Lacedaemonians， but their exact function is not known．－whvrov in ：inverse at－ traction，ie．of the antecedent to the case of the relative．See $S$ ． 2533 ；HA．${ }^{-1003}$ ；B．484， 2 ；G． 1035；Gl． 613 c．－тєтраубтея elev：this second perf．in a transl－ live sense，as here，is rare．

3．KOpos：also a nom．to an $_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu-}$ t $\eta$ Jav．－apter：purpose．It de－ pends－though rather loosely and remotely－upon the idea of motion

 Cyrus＇commission is described more definitely in Anal．1．9．7： катєлє́лфөך ．．．батра́тŋs $\Lambda$ vóías
 Kаттабокías，бтратәүòs de каì

 Cp．also Anab．1．1．2．Castolus was the mustering place for all the inhabitants of Asia Minor













west of the Halys River, whence it appears that Cyrus' military authority extended beyond the three provinces above mentioned and that both Tissaphernes and Pharnabazus were made subordinate to him. - Te : see Introd. IV. D.
 — кal tabe: this also, i.e. among other things. - kapavov : probably a Doric word, used because the letter was written to Spartans, or at least translated by them.
4. akotovтes . . . каl ivetiŋ̀ . . . eisov: part. and temporal clause are parallel, as fut. part. and $\boldsymbol{o} \pi \omega$ s clause in 3. 17.- ${ }^{\text {PRov- }}$
 most, i.e. if possible, etc., while $\epsilon i$ $\delta_{\mathrm{E}} \mu \dot{\eta}$, otherwise (see on 3. 3),
introduces the less acceptable alternative. $\mu$ á $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{r} a \mu^{\prime} v$, instead of $\mu \grave{v} \nu \mu$ áduota, would be the usual and natural order, but cases are frequent where $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ (as well as $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$ ) is out of its logical place.
5. สTтev ที $\pi$ apaסôval: see on
 $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \omega$ ӧккає, -a frequent separation. - тд̀ траттбнеva: the Persian alliance with Sparta.
6. \&s . . . $\mu \mu \psi \psi \eta$ rat: the pur-
 IV. F. The subject of $\mu \epsilon \mu \psi \eta \tau a \varepsilon$ is Cyrus.
7. ivanurol tpeis: the three years must be reckoned from the time when the Athenian ambassadors set out with Pharnabazus, i.e. the summer of 408 B C . (cp. 3 .



 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda \epsilon \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$.





14), and not from the time when their detention began, i.e. the spring of 407 B.c. (cp. § 2); for Pharnabazus' request ( $\dot{\delta} \delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \eta \theta_{\eta}$ rov̂ Kúpov) must have been presented to Cyrus before the latter went back to Persia in the summer of 405 b.c. (cp. 2. 1. 14). It follows that the ambassadors returned to the Athenian fleet shortly before the final battle of Aegospotami (c. August, 405 B.c.). - irtıбों ou่ :
 Cyrus and Pharnabazus. - 'Aptoßaptáver: who later succeeded Pharnabazus as satrap. Cp. 5. 1. 28.
§§8-12. Alcibiades returns to Athens.
 capture of Byzantium (3.21) the Athenians had gained possession of all the cities on the Hellespont except Abydus (Diod. 13. 68).

Now, at the end of nearly four years of continuously successful leadership, Alcibiades felt safe in returning to Athens, which he had not seen since he set out with the Sicilian expedition in 415 b.c. Still, he would hardly have chosen the present time for his return if he had known of the arrival of Cyrus and the consequent danger to the Athenian cause, - just what Cyrus had taken care to conceal (§5). -
 For Samos see on 2. I. - Alcibiades wishes to show that Athens is mistress of the sea not only in the Hellespontine region, but on all the coasts of Asia Minor; and, furthermore, to collect money enough to make his welcome at Athens the more cordial.-Kaplas: for the case see on 1. 22.
9. ©pafíßoudos: see on I. 12. - $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ viv : see on 1. II and cp. § II
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda a к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i ́ o u s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta к o ́ \tau а ~ к а т \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho є ́ \psi а т о ~ к а і ~$









below．－Odrov：since the time when Thasos had revolted from the Lacedaemonians（1．32）the struggle between opposing factions in the state had evidently contin－ ued（cp．$\sigma \tau$ á $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ below and Diod． 13．72）．Thrasybulus now aids the pro－Athenian faction to obtain supremacy．－＂Xovorav кaxwes：in a bad state．

10．Opdov入los：who returned to Athens bringing a great num－ ber of captured ships，in order to prepare the way for Alcibiades．－ кare $\pi \lambda$ ever ：for the meaning of the prep．see on ávə ááyovto 1．2．－$^{2}$
 yous：there were ten in all．Prob－ ably the three whom Xenophon names were those assigned to the command of the fleet．Cp．§ 21 and Thuc．6． 8 and 7．16．See also on 1．12．－фev́yovta：technically in－
accurate，for the decree of banish－ ment against Alcibiades had been repealed in 411 b．c．（Thuc．8．97）． In a sense，however，he could be called an exile until he actually re－
 $=\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ оїкоц．The influence of $\dot{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ determines the choice of the ad－ verb by a kind of attraction analo－ gous to that in 3．9．
 the following gen．see S． 1437 ； HA． 757 ；B． 360 ；G． 1149 ；Gl． 518 b ．- Tvelolo：the principal port of Laconia，where the Spartan navy yard was located．By this rather contemptuous inspection of the enemy＇s port Alcibiades again （see on § 8）seeks to show how completely Athenian naval su－ premacy is reëstablished．－пapa－ бкevă̧̧tv：$\pi v v \theta a ́ v o \mu a t$, like áкov́w and air月ávouat，is followed by the








inf. instead of the part. when the reference is to a mere report or rumor. HA. 986 ; B. 661, N. 3 ; G. 1 592, I ; Gl. 588 b. - катdmiov : grammatically dependent upon катабкот $\eta$, but explained by the following clause önws . . . éxє $\frac{1}{}$, how the city felt toward him (with reference thereto). A case of prolepsis. S. 2182; HA. 878; B. 717, 18.
12. aùtov: the use of aúrós in place of the indirect reflexive (cp.
 vovs: construction according to sense, for tovs modítas is easily understood from $\dot{\eta}$ módıs (cp. oṽ $\sigma a v$ ) above. - i8(q : in contrast with the public action of choosing him general. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \hat{\rho} \rho q{ }^{n}$ : : the dat. without a prep. is used in definite expressions of time when, ordinarily with words meaning day, night, month, or year. In this case $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a$ is not definite in itself, but is made so by the following relative, while the relative in its turn is made
definite by the specifications.con-
 on this day, early in June, the clothing of the ancient wooden statue of Athena Polias was removed and washed ( $=\pi \lambda{ }^{\prime} v \in \epsilon v$ ), while the statue itself was veiled from sight. - 8 : its antecedent is the preceding statement, катє́т $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$. . .
 note on $\dot{\eta} \mu$ épa above. Yet even when a definite time is stated, $\dot{\epsilon} v$ with the dat. is sometimes found in a meaning closely approaching that of the gen. of time, i.e. in the course of. Cp.S. 1542 c; KG. 426, Anm. 6.- ${ }^{\text {opyov : for the gen. see } S \text {. }}$ 1345; HA. 738 ; B. 356 ; G. 1099 ; Gl. 510 b .
§§ 13-19. His reception at Piraeus, and the comments of his friends and his enemies.
13. The vividness of the following (§§ 13-19) description seems to show that Xenophon himself was one of the curious crowd which gathered at Piraeus. See Introd.










p -Io and note I . More elaborate and rhetorical, but less trustworthy, accounts of Alcibiades' return are given by Plutarch (Alc. 32 f.), Diodorus (13. 68-9), and Nepos (Alc. 5 f.). - Gotews: Athens in distinction from Piraeus, as frequently. - Oavpáłortes: construction according to sense, referring to öx $\lambda o s$. - ol $\mu \nu v$ : correlative with of $\delta$ é in § 17. - кal $\mu$ ovos . . . то入เтеvovtav: and that he alone was not banished justly, but because he was plotted against by those who had less power than he and spoke less well and ordered their political doings with a view to their own
 see critical note. - intßoudeverls: parallel with ov Sexaíws, - ' not for just cause, but because,' etc. -
 тepa $\lambda_{\text {eyóviov: }}$ for political power at Athens depended very largely upon oratorical ability.-Ts: see

Introd. IV. D. 1.- ikelvov
Suvarov: whereas he was always advancing the common weal, both from his own means and from the power of the state. enceivov is emphatic by contrast with the pre-
 кonvóv by contrast with rò ìioov кє́pסos. - $\delta v v a \tau 0 v$ : lit. power (cp. 6. 7 and 14), but with especial reference to the resources upon which that power depended.
14. For the facts alluded to in this and the following sections see Introd. p. 15 f. - 60 גovtos . . . $\mu v a r t i p t a: ~ a n d ~ t h a t ~ a l t h o u g h ~ h e ~$ was willing then to be brought to trial at once, when the charge had just been made that he had committed sacrilege against the mysteries. The sentence still remains dependent upon $\lambda$ é $\gamma о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \delta$ é connecting фúyou and è $\sigma \tau$ ép $\eta \sigma a v$. -
 clause in ind. disc. See Introd.







IV. J and S. 2120; B. 661, n. 4 ; G. 1593, 2 ; Gl. 594. - rd̀ Sokoûvta 8ixala slvat: i.e. an immediate trial.
 kept in the condition of a slave by helplessness. - rois ix 0 olorous : the Spartans and the Persians. - кıv-
 to the sentence of death which was passed upon Alcibiades at Sparta and perhaps to his imprisonment by Tissaphernes (I. 9) ; yet this statement, like the preceding one ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu a \gamma \kappa a ́ \sigma \theta \eta \kappa \tau \mathfrak{e}$.$) , contains a deal$ of kindly exaggeration. - $\pi a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ Eká$\sigma_{\tau \eta \nu} \eta_{\mu}^{\mu} \mathrm{pav}$ : an exceedingly rare substitute for the usual $\kappa \mathbf{k} \theta^{\prime}$
 rous: in contrast with rovs è $\chi$ Oíorovs and explained by the following appositives modíras and
 making mistakes in the conduct of the war. The part. agrees with the nearer of the nouns which it modifies. S. 1053 ; HA. 616; B. 421 ; G. 924 b.
 on 3. 21.
16. oix . . . xpfiroat : and they said it was not the part of those who were such as he to desire revolution or a change in government. For under (lit. from) the democracy it had been his fortune (vináp$\left.\chi^{\mathrm{c} \iota v}\right)$ to have the advantage over his contemporaries and to be at no disadvantage with his elders, while on the other hand it had been the fortune of his enemies to be held in just the same estimation (lit. to seem to be, to be thought to be, just such) as before (i.e. before Alcibiades was exiled), but afterwards, when they had gained power, to slay the best men and, since they alone were left, to be accepted (à $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ $\pi a ̂ \sigma \theta a t)$ by the citizens for this reason only (aưró), vis. that they could not avail of better men. The mutilation of the Hermae and the profanation of the mysteries, in which at the time of his banishment Alcibiades was accused of being concerned, were supposed to




 $\sigma \tau o v s, ~ a u ̉ r o u ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v o v s ~ \lambda \epsilon ı \phi \theta ́ ́ v \tau a s ~ \delta i ' ~ a u ̉ r o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ т o ~ a ̉ \gamma a-~$


be connected in some way with a plot to overthrow the democracy． Alcibiades＇friends try to prove that he could not have desired any such end．Their reason is，the proud position he held under the democ－ racy．This is shown by the fact that his enemies，although they triumphed over him and banished him，were held in just as low esteem after his banishment as before（cp． § 13）．Their later gain in public favor was only apparent，as is ex－ plained in the latter part of the section．－ eqarav：$^{\text {repeating and }}$ replacing $\lambda$ е́yovtes § i3．－тヘิv oløvitcp aùtos：aủtós also would naturally be attracted to the gen． Cp．2． 3.25 and see S． 2532 ；HA． 1002 ；B．485，N． 2 ；G．1036．For the nom．，as subj．of a verb to be supplied，see KG．555，Anm．II． －кaıvติv：cp．Lat．res novae．－ סєíotau：subj．of eival．－vindpxatv： representing the impf．of the dir． disc．See on Soסóval 3．19．Its


Soкeîv，д̀та入入úval，and áyamâodau．一тt ．．．тe：see Introd．IV．D． 2. －aürov：the attributive position of the personal pronoun is ex－ tremely unusual．－тoเoviтots：for the dat．see on $\dot{a}$ teleti 2．10．－ оlovats：for the attraction in case see note and grammar ref－ erences on oíwurep above．－ viotepov סuvacoiciotv：the dat．in agreement with éx $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ рoîs．च̈бтe－ pov refers to the time， 411 b．c．， when the oligarchs，Alcibiades＇ enemies（as they are here termed）， set up the government of the Four Hundred（see Introd．p．18） and put to death many of the leaders of the democracy（rovs $\beta$ हлtiotovs）．－aüroús：acc．as subj．of à $\gamma \alpha \pi \hat{a} \sigma \theta a u$, passing out of immediate dependence upon ข่тápXєเข．

17．ol 8 ：i．e．Alcibiades＇ene－ mies，correlative with oi $\mu \in ́ v$ in § 13 ，from which $\lambda$ é $\gamma$ ovtes is to be supplied．－ $8_{\text {ть }}$ ．．． $6 \boldsymbol{1} \eta$ ：the state－ ment，though exaggerated（see on







 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu e ́ v \omega \nu, \epsilon i ้ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi \tau о \iota \tau о, \mu \grave{\eta}$



§ 15 ), has a very considerable basis of truth. See Introd. pp. 15-18. - $\tau \hat{\omega}$. . . $\gamma \in v \in \sigma \theta a r:$ of the things which were in danger of befalling the state, in contrast with $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ $\pi а \rho о \chi$ о $\mu$ év $\nu \nu$ как $\omega \nu$ above. фо $\beta \epsilon-$ $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ öv $\nu \omega \nu$ is about equivalent to ä éockє or $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$, and hence takes the inf. (instead of $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \gamma^{\text {év }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{r a c}$ or $\gamma^{\text {Évolto }), ~ a l t h o u g h ~ t h e ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~}$ is very unusual. Cp. S. 2001; HA. 952 ; B. 641 ; G. 1521 ; Gl. 565 a. - кเvठvvévoot : would likely, followed by катабтท̂val.- $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \not \mu \omega v$ : synonymous with aitcos. - The shorter space which is given to the comment of Alcibiades' enemies is no doubt proportionate to their smaller number.
18. $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \mathrm{s} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\mathrm{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} v:$ the acc. because of the motion which preceded


Tךסelous: prolepsis. See on § Ir. - cl: (to see) whether, introducing an ind. question.
19. катเठळv: i.e. distinguishing in the crowd. See on 1. 4. -
 tioned in 3. 13, who was still with Pharnabazus. - ämтotro: opt. in ind. disc., which is suggested by the purpose, i.e. thought, implied in тарєбкєvaб $\mu$ évшข. See on $\delta \dot{v}$ vauvto I. 22. - kmıтpetetv: connect with $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$.
§§ 20-23. He defends himself in Athens and is appointed gen-eral-in-chief. After conducting the Eleusinian procession by land he sails for Andros and Samos.
20. $\eta \sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \nmid \kappa \in \iota$. tenses of the dir. disc. are retained. Alcibiades' words were 'I had not (at the time of my
 $\tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ aủroк $\alpha$ áт $\omega \rho$, $\omega$ s oiós $\tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \sigma \hat{\omega} \alpha a l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o$ -
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \not \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \theta a ́ \lambda a \tau \tau a \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$,







banishment) been guilty of impiety' and 'I have been wronged.' -dvarxiofau ăv: representing the aor. ind. in the apodosis of an unreal condition; hence äv. S. 1848; HA. 964 (b) ; B. 647 ; G. 1308 ; Gl. 579. -aũтoкрármp : i.e. general-in-chief, with authority over his nine colleagues. See on § io. as: on the ground that, in the thought that. See on 1. 24.б由ิซal: here for $\dot{a} v a \sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma a$, to recover. - тро́тєроv $\mu$ iv: correlative with $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ § 2 I . $\quad \pi \rho o ́ r \epsilon \rho o v$ seems to be equivalent to the superlative $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} o v$ and to be used in its stead because but two acts of Alcibiades are mentioned. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{d}$ $\mu v \sigma \tau i p l a:$ the central feature of the celebration of the Eleusinian mysteries, which took place annually in September-October, was a procession from Athens to the temple
of Demeter, with whose worship the mysteries were connected, at Eleusis. In recent years the procession had gone by sea, because the presence of the Spartans at Decelea made the land route dangerous. By now escorting the procession with his army Alcibiades accomplishes the twofold object of setting himself right with those who had suspected him of profaning these very mysteries, and of displaying his military power in ostentatious defiance of the Spar-tans.- dyovtav : impf. part. See on
 caused to be conducted (ä $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a})$.
21. тeтd́pтч: see on §§ 12 and 20. - $\sigma v v \in \pi \notin \mu \phi \theta_{\eta \sigma a v}$ : i.e. by vote of the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{i}$, but apparently at Alcibiades' own request. Conon also accompanied him. See on $5 \cdot$






 є̇ $\pi о \lambda є ́ \mu \epsilon$.






i.e. they had been assigned at the time of their election (§ 10 ) to service by land, just as Alcibiades, Thrasybulus, and Conon were given command of the fleet.
22. $x$ wopas: for the gen. see on
 the city (cp. т̀̀v $\pi$ ódıv below). kal toùs $\Lambda$ árovas : loosely added at the end of the sentence, but parallel in construction to 'Avסpious. The reference is to the Lacedaemonian garrison.
23. \%rievoev: leaving Conon with twenty ships to besiege the city of Andros. Cp. 5. 18. -
 i.e. using Samos as a base for his (mainly predatory) operations.

Chapter 5, §§ 1-9. Lysander
becomes Spartan admiral and receives zealous support from Cyrus.

1. трб́тероv тои́тшv: i.e. before Alcibiades' departure for Andros, which took place in the autumn of 407 в.c. See on 4. 20. - Kparn$\sigma เ \pi \pi(\delta q \ldots \pi a p e \lambda \eta \lambda v$. . $\quad$ vias: the admiral's term of office was probably from midsummer to midsummer. Cratesippidas was admiral for the year 408-407 в.C. Cp. 1. 32. He has not been mentioned since his assumption of the command. - $\$ 8 \delta o \mu \eta к о \nu \tau a$ : the first fleet of consequence which the Spartans had collected since the battle of Cyzicus, 410 в.c. (I. 18). Сp. 4. $\mathbf{I I}$. - Kîpos... ब́фікето: cp. 4. 2-3.
brownson. hellenica - 6












2. tois . . . $\pi$ pioßeotv: probably the ambassadors mentioned in 4. 2. It would be natural for them to arrange for the meeting and to introduce Lysander to Cyrus. - $\dot{a}$

 but attracted to the case of Kv́pov.
 to show himself.
3. Xenophon's interest not only in Cyrus, but in personal anecdote generally, explains the fullness with which he describes the following negotiations. See Introd. p. 30. aúrós: for the case see S . 1973 ; HA. 940 b; B. 63I; G. 927 ; Gl. 571 . - oủк ă $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ è $\gamma v \omega \kappa$ evaı : had no other intention. - катакбұєเv: would coin into money, a technical term. With similar magnificence

Tissaphernes, as reported by Alcibiades, promises to coin his bedstead into money to give to the Athenians(Thuc.8.81).-ikdoyro: the 'objective' impf., representing кá $\theta \eta \mu a l$ of the dir. disc. See Introd. IV. E and S. 2624 ; HA. 936 ; B. 676 ; G. 1489; Gl. 624 C. It will be noted that this change of tense, which is the exception in Greek, is the rule in English.
4. тdईal: to fix upon. - тథ vaúrn: for each sailor, i.e. as the daily rate of pay. For the use of the art. see S. II20 f; HA. 657 c;
 obols $=18$ cents. It appears from $\S 7$ that the former rate was 3 obols, which was also the usual rate in the Athenian navy. Thuc. 8. 45. Hence ámo入єíчovot tàs



 $\mu \nu a ̂ s ~ \in ́ \kappa \alpha a ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~ \nu \eta i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l, ~ o ̊ \pi o ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ a ̊ ̀ ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda \omega \nu-$


 Eỉ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa \kappa a ́ \sigma \tau \varphi ~ \nu a u ́ t \eta ~ o ̉ ß o \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta s . ~$


 the war would be so speedily ended. $\mu$ eíwv is a poetic word, frequent in Xenophon instead of the usual ì $\lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \omega \nu$. See Introd. IV. .
5. тap' \& . . . a $\mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda a$ : nearly equivalent to $\ddot{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \hat{\eta}$ (than) $\dot{\alpha}$, but with the difference that ${ }_{a}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda a$, being postponed, sums up the preceding with emphasis, like a demonstr. following a rel. clause. See S. 1252 ; HA. 996 b; G. 1030. -eivat . . .'xovías: = èxetv. S. 2091; HA. 981 ; B. 651 ; GMT. 830. - кal: besides, i.e. Cyrus must regard not only the king's instructions, but also the compact ( $\tau$ às ovvÓjкas), which had been concluded in 411 b.c. between the Persians and the Lacedaemonians (Thuc. 8. 58). - tphákovta $\mu \nu$ âs ... то̂ $\mu \eta$ ขos: = one mina per
day. A mina $=100$ drachmas $=600$ obols, i.e. 3 obols per man per day for a crew of 200. For $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ see on

 1924; HA. 841 ; B. 529; G. 1260; Gl. 464- - aüт¢̂ $\pi \rho \circ \pi$ tóv: after drinking his health. In such cases the cup from which one drank was often presented to the person pledged. Cyrus does better. - $\boldsymbol{T}$ . . . $\pi$ otôv: by doing what, i.e. by what act ; the question is expressed by the part., as frequently. - 8 rt : introducing a direct quotation. S. 2590 a; HA. 928 b; G. 1477 ; Gl. 623.-al . . . $\pi$ poootel $\eta \mathrm{s}$ : the omitted apodosis supplies itself from the preceding clause.
7. rtтtapes ò $\beta 0 \lambda 0$ : : this increase had the desired (cp. § 4) effect. See on § 20 and Plut. Lys. 4. тdv троофкı入дркvov : arrears of pay.












－Itt ．．．$\pi$ potfowkev：gave them a month＇s pay in advance（ $\pi \rho o o^{-}$） besides．

9．Seopivov：concessive．－$\lambda$－ yovtos：for its meaning and the construction which follows it see on cirióv I．13．－äтtp aüròs ìmolet： explained by the clause $\sigma$ котeivv ．．．$\sigma \tau a \sigma$ кáそovtes．The impf．with reference to Tissaphernes＇habit－ ual policy，for which see Introd． p．17．－${ }^{8 \pi m e s}$ ．．．むठเv ：in such object clauses the fut．ind．is more usual ；but see S． 2214 ；HA． 885 b； B． 593,1 ；G． 1374 ， ；Gl． 638 c．－
 none of the Greeks whatever．－ aúrol div aùroîs：see on aủtoì кa $\theta^{\prime}$ avíov́s I． 28.
§§ 10－15．In the absence of Alci－ biades the Athenians are defeated
by Lysander in the battle of No－ tium．

10．नvvetitakтo：had been or－

 note that Lysander had been reën－ forced by 20 ships since reaching Ephesus（cp．$\dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta о \mu \eta^{\prime} к о \nu \tau \alpha$ § 1）． It seems likely，comparing I． 32 and Diod． 13,65 and 70，that these were the ships which his predeces－ sor，Cratesippidas，had commanded．

11．dkovías：for its use with a

 ßoviov：when last heard from （4．9）he was occupied in the region of Thrace．Thence he had evidently proceeded to the Helles－ pont．See Introd．pp．2I and 27.

$\pi \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ r o ́ v, ~ \kappa a \tau a \lambda ı \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$ énì $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \nu a v \sigma i ̀ \nu ' A \nu \tau i ́ o \chi o \nu$
 $12 \Lambda v \sigma a ́ \nu \delta \rho o v ~ \nu a v ̂ s . ~ o ́ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ ' A \nu \tau i o \chi o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon ~ a v ̃ i o v ̂ ~ \nu \eta i ̀ ~ к a i ̀ ~$












$\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \lambda_{0}$ : for the prep. see on 1.15 . $-\$ \pi t$ : in command of. Cp . the use of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ with the acc. in I. 32. rdv . . . кvßepvŋp $\eta v$ : the choice of Antiochus for so responsible a position appears to have been a case of favoritism. See Plut. Alc. 36.
12. Notiov: whither Alcibiades had transferred his fleet from Samos (4.23). Again Xenophon leaves it to the reader to supply the omission. See on ©pacúßovdov above. - тap' aürás: we should say 'right past.' Lysander's ships were drawn up on shore (ср. ка $\theta \in \lambda \kappa u ́ \sigma a s$ below), prows seaward. Antiochus was trying to provoke the Spartans to battle.

Plutarch (Alc. 35 and Lys. 5) and Diodorus (13.71) add that he shouted insults and contemptuous challenges to them as he sailed past.
13. кal $\pi$ daras: кai emphasizes $\pi$ áбas in contrast with the preceding ódíyas, 'every one he had.' - H゙oolkev: got clear and under way. See on I. 2.
14. Sueनrappiviss tais vauol: with their ships scattered. Note the predicate position and use of
 its sentence, - the crews as con-
 having picked up, 一 used here with reference to the deserted or disabled ships of an enemy. Cp. 1.4.












15．Tis：＝French on or Ger－ man man，i．e．they，the enemy． Cp．I．35－－$\beta$ oúdoıto：opt．in ind． disc．See on סúvauto 1．22．－ mo八入ais vavolv：an exaggeration． Alcibiades，starting from Athens with 100 ships，had left 20 at An－ dros（cp．§ 18 and note on 4．23） and lost 15 in the battle of Notium； he had been joined，as the use of ámávals above indicates，by the 30 ships of Thrasybulus（cp．§ II and 4．9）．On paper，therefore， he now had 95 ships against Ly－ sander＇s 90 （§ 10 ），－not＇many＇ more．It is possible that some of Lysander＇s ships were disabled in the battle of Notium，or that Xeno－ phon forgot to subtract from the Athenian number the ships lost at Notium．See on § 20．It should be noted that captured ships were but seldom immediately added to
the victorious fleet，presumably because crews with which to man them were usually lacking．See on 6．3．－$\Delta e \lambda \phi$ lvov：a fortress on the island of Chios，established by the Athenians in 412 b．c．as a base of operations against the re－ volted Chians．－＇Hıova：the port of Amphipolis，in Thrace．
§§ 16－20．Conon succeeds Alci－ biades in command and reorgan－ izes the fleet．

16．duenciàv te кal axpáretav： neglect of duty and dissolute con－ duct，the latter resulting in the former．－$\sigma$ тparnjov̀s © $\boldsymbol{n}_{\text {ovto }}$ ă $\lambda$－入ous：i．e．Alcibiades failed of reëlection．Apparently he was permitted to serve out the unex－ pired portion（see below）of his term as general，but was immedi－ ately deposed as general－in－chief （cp．4．20），being succeeded by
$\Delta \iota о \mu \epsilon ́ \delta о \nu \tau а, ~ \Lambda \epsilon ́ о \nu \tau а, ~ П є р \iota к \lambda є ́ a, ~ ' Е \rho а \sigma \iota \nu i ́ \delta \eta \nu, ~ ' А \rho ı \sigma \tau о-~$


 $18 \mathrm{X} \epsilon \rho \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma o \nu$ єis $\tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta$. $\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \tau a v ̂ \tau a$ Kóv $\omega \nu$






Conon (see on § 18). - The battle of Notium took place in the early months of 406 B.C., probably in March. The annual elections at Athens seem to have been held in April, and the magistrates-elect assumed office in July. Therefore, since Xenophon's years are reckoned from spring to spring, the notice of the beginning of a new year should have been inserted here (§ 16 ) instead of being postponed to 6. I. Probably Xenophon wished to sum up all the results of the battle of Notium before formally passing to the story of the year which followed it. See on $\Pi$ -
 of the great Pericles and Aspasia.
17. тоvtipus . . . фєр $\delta \mu \epsilon$ vos: being in disfavor.-cls rd dauto rel $\mathrm{X} \mathrm{\eta}$ : to his castle, near Pactye. Cp. 2. 1. 25 and Diod. 13. 74.
18. Kóvov: he had been left in Andros by Alcibiades to prose-
cute the siege of the city. See on 4. 21 and 23.-Gív: see on I. 11 . -als elxe vavolv: see S. 2522, 2536; HA. 995 and a; B. 484,485 ; G. 1037, 1038; Gl. 613 d. $-\psi$ mфьбаці́vav: just as Alcibiades, after his election as one of the ten generals (4. 10), had been chosen $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\omega} \nu$ av่ $\tau 0 к \rho a ́ \tau \omega \rho$ (4. 20), so Conon was now made general-inchief, manifestly both for his present unexpired term (see 4 . 10 and on § 16 above) as general, and for the year 406-405 B.C., for which he was now general-elect. - lint: see on 1. 32. - Фavoofiv $\boldsymbol{\eta} v:$ probably one of the generals elected with Alcibiades for the year 407-406 в.C.
19. Oovplavr: Thurii was a town in southern Italy, which, like Syracuse and Selinus (cp. i. 18 and 2. 8), had sent ships to aid the Spartans after the Sicilian expedition (Thuc. 8. 35, etc.). aürois dubpárt: see on 2. 12. -








 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$, ä $\lambda \lambda o \tau \epsilon \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \beta \alpha a i \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$
©wpúa: the same who appears in
 dently at the time when Rhodes was a member of the Athenian confederacy; for, in many kinds of cases, the Athenian courts assumed jurisdiction over the allies. - vind: because of the verbal and passive idea contained in $\phi v \gamma a \dot{d} a$, which $=$ фcúyovta. See on I. 27. - aúrov̂ बàvarov: for the case constructions see S. 1385 ; HA. 752 a; B. 370 ; G. 1123 ; Gl. 514 a. -
 Thurians. The phrase is added to explain how Dorieus, a Rhodian exile, came to be in command of Thurian ships. - Detfoavres adeioav: Dorieus was a famous athlete, with a long record of victories in the Olympic and other games. Pausanias (6.7.2) relates how this fact moved the Athenian Assembly to spare him.
20. d0úrus "Xov: cp. §8. The subsequent defeat at Notium had naturally increased the despond-
 the high pay which the Lacedaemonians received from Cyrus had had the desired effect of causing Athenian seamen to desert. Cp. § 4 and note on § 7. Conon deemed it the best policy to man fully ( $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \sigma a s$ ) a smaller number of ships. He also desired, as appears from 6. 16 , to weed out the poorer element among the crews. Cp. Plutarch, Lys. 4. - oücôv $\pi \lambda$ tov $\hat{\eta}$ dxarôv: this confirms the results of the calculation on § 15 ; for $95+$ Conon's $20(\S 18)=115$. If the number had been many 'more than roo,' it would have suited Xenophon's present point to say so. - тติv . . . $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega ิ v: ~ s t i l l$, in all probability, the generals for











the year $407-406$ b．c．See on §§ 16 and 18．－xupas：partitive with ä入入ך．S． 1439 a；HA． 757 ； B． 360 ；G． 1088 ；Gl． 507 d．
［§ 21．＇Notice of events in Sicily．］

21．This section is almost cer－ tainly an interpolation．Cp．1． 37 and see Introd．p．25．The same notice of the capture of Acragas （Agrigentum）is repeated in 2． 2. 24.

Chapter 6，§§ 1－5．Callicra－ tidas becomes Spartan admiral． His straightforward course when he finds himself hampered by Lysander＇s friends． 406 в．c．
 5．16．－［甲ं ．．dve $\pi \rho \dagger \sigma \theta \eta$ ：these irrelevant allusions are probably spurious．Cp．3．I and 2．3．4，and see Introd．p．26．$-\Phi$ ：for the dat．

 comes the English＇word．This eclipse occurred on April 15 th（406 B．C．）．－$\delta$ тa入aids ．．．wews ：on the Acropolis at Athens．It was de－ stroyed by the Persians in 480 b．c．， but afterwards rebuilt，at least in part．ma入atós distinguishes it from the newer Parthenon．－IItría ．．． ＇AӨłınテเv］：an interpolation．Cp． 2．I and 3． 1 ，and see Introd．p．
 see on 5.1 and 1．32．－［kal ．．． lrêv］：an incorrect and spurious statement．Cp．3．I and see Introd．p．24．－ $\mathbf{d m l}_{\text {：}}$ see on I． 32. －Ka入入ıкрat（סav：Plutarch（Lys． 5）and Diodorus（13．76）are enthusiastic in their eulogies of Callicratidas；Xenophon，more effectively，allows his character to reveal itself by his deeds and words．












2. For the following passage (§§ 2-11) see on 5. 3.-dv aptotepq̣ Ěápov: i.e. between Samos and the mainland. - ov่ . . v vies: Xenophon's own words, not quoted from Callicratidas, -hence the impf.
 veiv: refusing to intermeddle. See Introd. IV. I and KG. 389, Anm. 7. The middle forms of $\phi \eta \mu i$ are extremely rare in Attic prose. See Introd. IV. L. - $\pi p \dot{s}_{s}$ als . . . vavot: see on 5. 18. - tetrapdкоvтa kal dкatov: therefore Lysander had handed over only his original 90 ships (5. 10) and had not incorporated in his fleet the ships captured at Notium. See on 5. 15 , but also on $\S \S 16$ and 26 be-

. 1. 33. In the Hell. the fut. part. with is is particularly frequent after тарабкєvá̧єбӨal, where it is hardly distinguishable from an object infinitive.
4. катана0.bv: the nom. is left without a verb, the sentence being interrupted by numerous explanatory clauses and ultimately beginning anew with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa$ тoúrov $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$. See on 3. 18 and Introd. IV. K. - Tติv पvodubpov $\phi(\lambda \omega v$ : Xenophon has omitted to mention Lysander's activity in building up and reorganizing, in the interest of Sparta and of himself personally, the oligarchical clubs in the Asiatic cities (see on 2. 2. 5 and cp. 3. 4. 7). Their members, as he had intended, now show themselves his
















vos: supplementing катаца ${ }^{\text {oúv. }}$
 тapam(mтouv: made the greatest mistake. - по入入akıs. . . dkef: since they frequently sent out, in place of men who were proving themselves fit and were just coming to understand naval matters and knew well how to deal with men, those who were unacquainted with the sea and unknown to the people of those parts ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \varepsilon \hat{\imath})$. Of course the first two lines are intended to describe a Lysander, the third a Callicratidas. - Xpךनтtov: sc. è écí. re: connecting maparímtotev and кıvסvvevooctv. - тu rabeiv: to come to grief.
5. ßои̃лeтat: professes. - ть кат' ' $\mu \mathrm{l}$ : so far as I am concerned. - тоเิ : for the mood see S. 1805 ; HA. 866, 3 ; B. 577 ; G. 1490 ; Gl. 47 I . Cp. in 3.21 the same construction in secondary sequence. — $\pi$ pods á . . . altiaţeral : in view of the ambition which $I$ cherish (i.e. to perform my appointed task) and the charges which our city in.curs (with reference to §4). $\pi$ foós governs the entire clause, taken as a substantive, and it is unnecessary to supply an antecedent for $\dot{\alpha}$. Note that $\dot{\alpha}$ is cognate acc. (acc. of the inner obj.) with the middle ф८лотцной $\mu$ ar and the passive airuábera.








 $\sigma \iota \nu$ єั̀єка à $\rho \gamma \nu \rho i ́ o v, \phi a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \tau \epsilon, \hat{a} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$ оїкаסє, ката́ $\gamma \epsilon$ тò aữov̂ $\delta v \nu a \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ o u s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \Lambda a к \epsilon \delta a l-~$

 $\sigma a s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{M} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \nu$.




§§6-11. Callicratidas' dealings with Cyrus and with the Milesians.
6. elmeiv : to propose, - hence the following obj. infs. $\pi \epsilon_{i} \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\pi o t e ̂ v .-i \phi^{\prime}$ a $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \epsilon t$ : obj. of
 imroxeiv: see on I. 13 .
7. áx $\theta \in \sigma \theta c l s$. . . ópyrodels : note the chiastic order of participles and datives. S. 3020; B. 717, 5; Gl. 682 a. - cinc̀v . . . elvat: the unusual inf. in ind. disc. after cimeโิv meaning to say is found in the

Hell. only here and in 2. 2. 15. S. 2017, N. ; HA. 946 b; B. 669, 1; G. 1523, 2 ; Gl. 658. - ко入а-


8. $\pi$ т $\mu \psi$ аs трitpets: Xenophon nowhere states the result of their mission. See Introd. p. 27. - ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ ol $\mu$ iv . . . ip $\mu \hat{s}$ ' $\delta t$ : the thought is, duty constrains me and self-interest
 тeтovetval: see on 1. 27.

$\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ ̉ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o \iota s ~ o ̊ ~ \pi \omega s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~$







 $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\sigma \grave{v} \nu$ тoîs $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \delta \epsilon i ́ \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ roîs $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o \iota s$





i.e. set an example.- $8 \pi \pi{ }^{\text {ar }}$ dr . $\boldsymbol{\beta} \lambda$ аттанеv: for this use in final clauses see S. 2201; HA. 882; B. 590, N. 2; G. 1367 ; Gl. 638 c
 see on 3. 9.
10. $\mathbf{d \pi \epsilon}$ : logically, the reason introduced by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{e}$ is contained in the part. àmodoús. Cp. note on
 alleged reason. See on 1. 24. That Lysander's real purpose was simply to make trouble for his successor is shown by the fact that at the close of the war he retained and took home to Sparta the surplus Persian funds which were at that time in his possession (2.3.8).

- фoırâr: to keep going. Cp.

 the good results we achieve during the time in which we are awaiting, etc. - $\$$ : the prep. expressed with the antecedent is not repeated with the rel. S. 1671 ; HA. 1007 ; B. 487 , N. ; G. 1025 - - ekeiva : the money from Sparta. - 0avpafetr: paying court to.
§§ 12-18. After capturing Methymna he defeats Conon and blockades him in the harbor of Mytilene.

12. ${ }^{2} v a v t r o v ̂ \sigma 0 a r: ~ s c . ~ a u ̉ t థ ̂ . ~-~$
 posed a grant of money. - i8ia:












in contrast with the public appropriation. Cp. 4. 12. - $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} / \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ov: chorographic genitive. See on 1. 22.
13. $\pi$ тоorx cpativ: as in 2.4. -
 $\tau \omega \nu$, i.e. the adj. is employed in an active, instead of the usual passive,
 those who had control of the government. - кard кparos: by storm. See on 3. 16.
14. avסpamo8a: captives, i.e. prisoners. - dmodof0at : to sell, into slavery. - кal rov̀s M $\eta$ 甲u uvalous: the Methymnaeans also, as well as the Athenians. See note on § 15 below. 一 ouk $\boldsymbol{1} \boldsymbol{1} \eta$. . .
 oofval : refused to have any Greek enslaved. ávסpamodtaOŋ̀vat is obj. inf. after oviк é $_{\boldsymbol{\phi} \eta}$. See on § 3 and

Introd. IV. I. oúdéva is used instead of $\mu \eta \delta$ éva because it serves simply to repeat the preceding oủk. -als ro knelvov Suvaróv: 'if he could help it '; literally, as in § 7 , so far as his power went. For the use of èкeivos instead of the reflexive see on 1.27.
15. Tovs $\mu$ iv: the Methymnaeans. ì $\lambda e v \theta$ '́povs is used predicatively after $\dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$. - rov̀s $\mathbf{\delta k}$. . . фpoupoùs . . . didiforo: an act apparently inconsistent with Callicratidas' previous declaration that no Greek should be enslaved. This declaration, however, was made in reply to the request of his allies that he should sell the Methymnaeans also. The phrasing of this request shows clearly that both the allies and Callicratidas himself regarded the enslavement of the
poùs каì $\tau \grave{a}$ à $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \pi о \delta a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta o v ̂ \lambda a ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a . ~ \grave{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \tau o . ~$








Athenians as a matter of course，a question which required no con－ sideration and which was conse－ quently left out of account in Calli－ cratidas＇reply．What the Spartan admiral objected to was the enslav－ ing of the inhabitants，combatants and non－combatants alike，of cap－ tured towns which had chanced to be in possession of the Athe－ nians．－Td avסpárofa rd̀ $\delta 00 \lambda \lambda a$ ： the captives who were slaves，i．e． originally．－«divza：both Athenian and Methymnaean．－Kóvwvt ：last heard from（§ 2）at Samos．Ac－ cording to Diodorus（13．77）he had come to the relief of Me － thymna，but finding himself too late had anchored near one of the so－called Hundred Islands， between northern Lesbos and thę mainland．These movements Xenophon characteristically omits to describe．See Introd．pp． 21
 dallying with the sea，whose law－ ful lord Callicratidas claims to be．
$\mu o c \chi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ is one of the rarest of Xeno－ phon＇s unusual words．See Introd． IV．L．For the use of the partici－ ple see S． 2098 ；HA．98ı ；B． 660 ； G．1580．－кatiठفv：see on 1． 4. －і́тотєцvбцкvos：conative．See on 2．15．Callicratidas assumes that Conon will make for Samos， the Athenian base．Cp．§ 2 and on 2． 1 ．

16．Tais vavolv es̉ $\pi \lambda \in o v i \sigma a u s: ~$ with his ships going fast．For the pred．use of the part．cp．5． 14. Note that $\pi \lambda \epsilon i v$ is a general term and may refer to rowing，just as we say that a steamer＇sails＇；for sailing in the stricter sense the technical word is $\theta \in i ̂ v$ ．See on
 Conon had reduced the number of the Athenian ships from more than 100 to $70(5.20)$ ．For the use of
 transferred to）cp．éá $\omega \omega \sigma a v$ cis

 daplotovs：see on 5．20．$-\Lambda \mathbf{t} \omega \mathrm{v}$ кal
















'Eparıv(放: cp. 5. 16 and see on §§ 29 and 30 below. According to Lysias (2I. 8) Archestratus also was with Conon at Mytilene, and died there. - ixardv кal \& $_{\text {Bon }} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ кovta : in §3 Callicratidas is said to have had 140 ships, while in § 26, after capturing 30 more from the Athenians (§ 17), he has 170 . In the present passage it seems clear that Xenophon has obtained the number 170 by inadvertently adding in too soon the 30 captured ships.
17. катакш入vekls: i.e. from reaching the inner harbor and
beaching his ships. See below. For the use of the part. see on
 $\lambda_{1} \mu \mathrm{ivn}$ : at the mouth of the harbor, as in 1. 17.- ol ăvops: see on $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ áv $\delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu} 5.14$.
18. kal (before ík): also. хрү $\mu$ ата... $\boldsymbol{j} \lambda \theta \in v$ : because Callicratidas had now proved himself too able a commander to be slighted or alienated.
§§ 19-23. Conon sendsto Athens for aid. Meanwhile, Diomedon is defeated by Callicratidas.
19. oituv . . . eÜторffaat: cp.










$=\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} v .-\kappa 0 \lambda_{\eta \nu}$ rav̂v: the hollow (part of the) ship, i.e. the hold. - нетаßıßáras: the prep. in composition marks, as frequently, a change; the natural place for the marines was on deck. - тapapúرara: curtains, hung along the sides of the ship, ordinarily serving for protection, here for concealment. - All the details of Conon's stratagem Xenophon describes with characteristic fullness. - See on 5. 3 and Introd. p. 30.
20. oütws dveixov: continued thus, i.e. oarsmen on board, marines in the hold, etc.-els inv dontipav: at evening. - din . . . $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{t}}$ Blßaferv: S. 2568 ; НА. 914. в. (2); B. 625 ; G. 143 I, 2 ; Gl. 627 fin. The process was repeated for four days. - is . . . тonôvtas : so that it might not be evident to the enemy that they were doing this, i.e. disembarking. Conon could not conceal the launching of the ships, which the enemy must interpret as
preparatory to an attempt at escape. He was careful, therefore, to allow them to believe, as they naturally would, that this attempt was to be made by night. Hence they kept close watch at night and were consequently tired and less vigilant during the day. For ws instead of the usual $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ see Introd. IV. H. Note that the clause expresses 'intended result,' i.e. purpose. S. 2267 ; HA. 953 a; B. 595 , N. ; G. 1452 ; Gl. 566 b. - кarafjiovs atvau: the personal construction, followed by the part. in ind. disc. $\pi$ ooov̂vtas. S. 2107 ; HA. 981 ; B. 66I ; G. 1589 ; Gl. 585 a. - limi: as in 2. 11.-cls ro $\pi$ пतayos: to the (open) sea, i.e. in a southerly and then southwesterly direction, while the other went northward.

2I. \%кабтои: i.e. one after another. The same idea is carried out by the impf. $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime \prime}$ ocyov and by the pres. parts. which follow. brownson. hellenica - 7



 $\sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu o l \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta ̂ \gamma o \nu$ єis тò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$ aủroîs ả̀ $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$.










The order of words leads backward by successive steps, thus indicating climactically the complete lack of preparation in the blockading fleet. - ク̈voryov: for the meaning see on 1. 2. - 'ysupo$\mu \mathrm{evor}$ : cp. dvetavóvio in the preceding section. - тuxovres . . . aptoromoooúpevol: added as an afterthought, to explain the haste and consequent confusion ( $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha-$ ра $\gamma \mu$ évol). - eloßàrtes 8t: continuing the sentence with particular reference to the immediately preceding clause. - $\sigma$ тратбте反ov: see on 1. 3.
22. $\Delta เ o \mu \kappa \delta \omega v$ : one of the ten Athenian generals (5. 16). It seems clear - from the small-
ness of his fleet, as well as from § 24 -that he was not sent out from Athens to relieve Conon. Probably he was on 'detached service' in Asiatic waters and had chanced to learn of Conon's. plight. - eüplitov: a narrow channel which connected the northern harbor of Mytilene with the southern. It was in the former that Conon was blockaded. Diomedon apparently entered the southern harbor and anchored near the entrance to the connecting eṽpltos. For cis see on $\pi$ pòs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\eta} \nu 4.18$.
§§ 24-33. The Athenians by great exertions assemble a new fleet. The battle of Arginusae.















age, i.e. from eighteen to sixty years old. - Sorious: it was only in the greatest emergencies, as at the battle of Marathon (Paus. I. 32. 3), that Athenian slaves were employed for military service. Those who fought at Arginusae were rewarded with freedom and allotments of land. Aristoph. Frogs 693 f. - тêv imetov: the Knights, the second of the four political classes into which Solon divided the citizens of Athens. They were ordinarily exempt from naval service. Cp. Thuc. 3. 16 and Introd. p. 9.
25. al trves . . . oṽซau: whatever ships they happened to have abroad, i.e. small detachments en-
gaged in some special service. Cp. I. 36 and on § 29.
26. גkov́wv . . . ov̇бav: see on I. II and 4. II. - aúroo кат $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {ıre }}$ : to maintain the blockade. - xevт $\boldsymbol{\text { gnovia }}$. . . elkort kal dkarov: it will be noted that Callicratidas had added to his fleet the 30 ships taken at the battle of Mytilene (cp. §§ 3 and 17 , and note on § 16), but not the 10 which were captured from Diomedon (§23). See on 5 . 15.-'ETtóvikov: cp. 1. 32. - taîs akoot krd.: the remaining 120. See on I. 18. - Mantq. axpq: the southernmost point of Lesbos.
27. Tais 'Apyıvov́бaus: three small islands between Lesbos and the mainland.













28. dvfүєто: the tense meaning of the impf. is made clear by the following sentence. - \&s : see Introd. IV. F. - dvi $\sigma X^{e v}$ : i.e. the rain.
29. dvtavfyovto . . . Tị̂ ev̉evง́ルч: i.e. the left wing pushed out to sea, while the right remained near the land, thus forming a line of battle which faced about N.W. - ŋֹүєiтo : i.e. Aristocrates was in the lead as the left wing put out to sea in column formation. When, therefore, the proper position was reached and the line faced about, he was on the extreme left. - $\mu$ тג тav̂тa: next in order, reckoning from left to right. - غтєтєтакто 'Apıттокра́тєь: was stationed behind

Aristocrates. For this use of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ (in composition) cp. é $\pi i \quad \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ 1. 34. Pericles and Erasinides commanded the rear line of the left wing, which, like the front line, consisted of 30 ships. - 'Epartri$\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : when last mentioned (§ 16 ) he was with Conon and Leon at Mytilene. His presence at the battle of Arginusae can be explained only on the assumption (which finds some slight confirmation in Lysias 2I. 8) that he was in command of the ship which succeeded in running the blockade of Mytilene. See on § 30. - тард $\Delta$ to ${ }^{\prime}$ ह́8оvтa: still reckoning from left to right. d̄t $\mu$ âs: one deep, i.e. in single line, unlike the wings. See below.





－＊xórevar：next（lit．holding on） to the Samians．－raftapx ${ }^{\text {ave }}$ ：ten taxiarchs，one from each Athe－ nian tribe（ $\phi v \lambda \eta$ ），commanded the tribal divisions（ $\tau \alpha{ }_{j}^{\prime} \epsilon \iota s$ ）of hoplites．They were subordinate to the generals．－kal：also，em－ phasized by the following aũzai． －lil тaúrals：behind these．Cp． $\dot{\text { éneтétaктo．It would seem that }}$ Xenophon is not quite exact in describing the Athenian formation in the center，i．e．the 20 ships of the Samians and of the taxi－ archs，as $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ mâs．There was evidently a rear line，which in－ cluded not only＇the three ships of the nauarchs，＇but also＇others＇ （ädrat）．Now，since there were ＇more than 150 ＇ships in the fleet （§25）and since the various de－ tachments whose exact numbers are here given total only 143 （ 60 ＂ $20+3+60$ ），the＇others＇ must have numbered at least 10 ． Therefore the rear line in the center consisted of about 13 ships，as against 20 in the front line．Diodorus，however，states （13．98）that the Athenian center included the Arginusae islands
and was broken by them．It may be supposed，therefore，that the ships of the rear line were posted at irregular intervals among the islands and did not reënforce the front line，ship for ship，as was the case on the wings．The sup－ port afforded by the islands ex－ plains why the Athenian generals made the center so weak as com－ pared with the wings．Their judg－ ment in this respect was justified by the issue of the battle；for the Athenians seem to have suffered scarcely any losses in the center． See on 7．30．－tติv vavappxwv ：the meaning of the term is uncertain； for，while＇nauarch＇was the regu－ lar title of the Spartan admiral， the Athenian fleet was commanded by the $\sigma \tau \rho a \pi \eta \gamma o i$ ．In 5．I．5，the only other passage where the word nauarch is used of an Athenian， it designates the commander of a fleet of 13 ships．Hence it is in－ ferred that the Athenians may have applied the term to the command－ ers of small detached squadrons．
 סes：whatever other ships there were（namely，ships）of the allies．



 каì $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \pi \lambda o v \nu ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa є v a \sigma \mu e ́ v a l, ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta e ́ \lambda \tau ı o \nu ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$





30. $\mathbf{\Delta v a l a s}$ : the list of the ten generals which is given in 5.16 does not include the name of Lysias. Probably he was chosen to take the place of Archestratus, whose death at Mytilene (see on § 16) had doubtless been reported at Athens by Erasinides (see on § 29). Leon, the third of the generals who were with Conon at Mytilene (§ 16), was probably in command of the ship which was captured by the Spartans (§21), and remained a prisoner of war.
31. oüre : i.e. in double line, except at some points of the center.
 Sidoinv: so as not to give (the enemy) a chance of breaking-through-their-line. The dték a favorite maneuver in Greek naval warfare, consisted in driving at full speed between two ships of the enemy's line, - breaking
oars and inflicting any other possible damage on the way, - and then turning to attack the unprotected sterns or sides of the hostile ships. In the $\pi \in \rho i \pi \lambda$ ous (see below) the same object was accomplished by rowing around the end of the enemy's line. Both maneuvers required skilled seamanship, and at Arginusae the advantage in this respect was with the Lacedaemonians, as against the hastily collected, heterogeneous crews of the Athenian ships. see on 1.12.
32. 8тt кlๆ ка入ติs "Xov: that it was well. For cil ${ }^{\text {ent }} \mathrm{Xov}$ as the equivalent of ${ }^{\mathbf{\epsilon}} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{O}$ see on 5. 5.-
 of . . . ท̂єs §2. - $\pi \lambda_{\text {elovs : }}$ cp. §§ 25 and 26. - oüठ̇̀v $\mu \grave{\eta}$ кáxเov olкf̂tal: would fare none the worse. For ov $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ with the subjv. in an em-















phatic future denial see S. 1804 ; HA. 1032 ; B. 569,2 ; G. 1360 ; Gl. 489 a .
33. Tt . . Te: connecting closely (see Introd. IV. D. 2) the two clauses which describe disaster to the Lacedaemonians on both the right and the left wings. -
 $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega v$.
§§ 34-38. The losses on either side. The Athenians are unable to rescue the crews of their disabled ships. The blockade of Mytilene is raised.
34. aưrots avifparov : the reason for the loss of the crews is indicated in the following section. -
$\pi \lambda_{c}$ lovs $\hat{\eta}$ \& $\ddagger$ ¢коvтa: Diodorus states (13. 100) that the Peloponnesians lost 77 ships in all in this engagement, which he truly describes ( 13. 98) as the greatest naval battle ever fought by Greeks against Greeks.
35. ESofe se kal : and it was also resolved. kai indicates that the generals did not stop with achieving a victory, but also tried to perform all their further duties. Өทipapívŋv тe кal Өрабíßoviov: see on 1. 12. Since both men had served as generals, Thrasybulus in the preceding year (cp. 4. 10 and 7. 5), it was natural that they should be chosen for the present important service. - tpınpápxovs:














the Athenian 'trierarch' was not simply the commander of a trireme. His first and most important duty was to fit out and maintain, at his own expense, the ship which was assigned to him. The state, which furnished the ship and paid its crew, imposed the expense of its equipment and maintenance (for a yearly term) upon wealthy citizens as a kind of direct tax.-катабSukulas: disabled, in a sinking condition; not 'sunken,' as the following phrase clearly shows. -
 subject is to be supplied from the tois $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̂ s$ of the principal clause. 一 тd\& . . . غфор § 26.
 dispatch boat. See on 2. I. 28. daveav: plur. with reference to Eteonicus and his men. - $\sigma$ тparoтefov: see on 1. 3. - $\beta$ owivtas : i.e. so that the Athenians also might hear and be as much depressed by the news as the Peloponnesians were encouraged. Eteonicus' object is to effect his withdrawal from Mytilene in good order and without hindrance.
37. кarkm $\lambda_{\text {cov: }}$ for the meaning of the prep. see on 1. 2. teve rà ciaryenta: made the (usual) thank-offerings for good news. The acc. is cognate. - тоis $\quad \mathrm{\mu} \pi \boldsymbol{m}^{-}$ pots : the traders, who accompanied an army or fleet to sell provisions





 'Aрүı




 каì трі́тор Фıлоклє́a. т тิ̀ $\delta$ є̀ $\nu a \nu \mu a \chi \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta-$
to the soldiers or sailors; for there was no official commissary department in Greek warfare. - tveant vovs: for the case see S. 1060; HA 94I; B. 631, I; G. 928, I; Gl. 571 c. $-\pi \lambda$ oia: to be distinguished from $\tau \rho!\eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \epsilon t s$ below. See on 1. 15.- 8 : almost equivalent to ráp, as frequently in Homer. -

38. eistaltıpos: i.e. after the storm, § 35. - Xenophon does not mention the peace proposals which (according to Aristotle, Const. Ath. 34. 1) were made by the Spartans after Arginusae, but rejected by the Athenians. See on 1. 23.

Chapter 7, §§ i-7. The Athenian generals, except Conon, are deposed and are put upon their
defense for abandoning the men on the disabled ships.

1. Xenophon was probably present at the trial of the generals, which he describes in this chapter with unusual fullness. See Introd. pp. 10 and 30. - $\pi \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \mathrm{V}$ Kobvavos: who had taken no part in the battle. Leon also might have been excepted for the same reason. The fact that he was nevertheless deposed confirms the view that he was now a prisoner of war, whom it would be useless to continue in a merely nominal command. See on 6. 30. - 'ASElщavtov: cp. 4. 21. - тpliov: it is not to be supposed that there were henceforth only three generals, instead of the usual ten. See on 4.








10．－obx dwfineov：did not return． dáó in composition frequently means＇back．＇Protomachus and Aristogenes chose to go into exile rather than stand trial．一 тஸ̂v ${ }^{\circ}$ ： see on taîs cikoot I． 18.
 who was at that time a leader of the people．The term $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \grave{\omega}$ s （or $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta s) ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$ was not the title of any public office，but describes the politician of influ－ ence and power，－not unlike the modern party leader or＇boss．＇－
 and had charge of the theoric fund．The festival of the god Dionysus，of which dramatic per－ formances were the principal fea－ ture，and various other religious festivals were celebrated by the Athenians with great pomp．On such occasions every citizen who might apply for it received from the state a largess of two obols for each day of the festal season，a sum which enabled him to keep the holiday properly or，in the case of
the Dionysus festival，covered the cost of admission to the theater． The public fund which was set aside to meet this expense was called the theoric（ $\theta$ cшрєiv $=$ to be a spectator）or the two－obol fund （ ${ }^{2} \omega \beta$ elia）．Inasmuch as the money which Erasinides is accused （see below）of embezzling might have come in part to this fund，its guardian，Archedemus，assumes jurisdiction in the matter．－$k \pi-$ $\beta_{0} \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \mathbf{~} \pi \pi<\beta a \lambda \omega v$ ：every Athenian magistrate was empowered to im－ pose fines，not exceeding a pre－ scribed amount，for offenses which lay within his official sphere．He might also，as in the present in－ stance，follow up the fine by pros－ ecuting the culprit in court．一㓎
 ably the reference is to contribu－ tions levied in the Hellespontine region．Cp．1．8，i．20，etc．Appar－ ently the charge of embezzlement against Erasinides is mentioned by Xenophon because it was the exciting cause of the proceedings


 тทүoì $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ т \eta ̂ s ~ \nu a v \mu a \chi i ́ a s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta o v s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$
 $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \delta \epsilon \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau a s$ єis тò̀ $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu о \nu \pi a \rho a \delta o \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \dot{\eta}$ ßou入ウ̀



against all the generals. - ${ }^{2} 5 \mathbf{5}$ : as in 6.35.
 to a preliminary hearing before the Senate. That body, finding 'probable cause' against the generals, and. in view of the seriousness of their alleged offense, refers the matter to the Assembly (see
 for final decision. - Tıpoкрárovs: evidently a senator, but otherwise unknown, as are most of those who take an active part against the generals in the following proceedings, eg. Callixinus (§8), Lyciscus (§ 13), and Menecles (§34). - кal rov̀s aldovs: the others also, as well as Erasinides. 33 that the generals were held by their accusers to be guilty of treason ( $\pi \rho 0 \delta o \sigma i a$ ). Persons charged with so serious a crime might be imprisoned, without bail, by the Senate.
4. кaryүopovv: followed by the ind disc. inf. єival. - Onpapivŋs : himself one of the officers detailed (see 6. 35) to pick up the men on the disabled ships. He now seeks to save himself by accusing the generals. In 2. 3.35 he does indeed claim that he did not attack the generals until he was attacked by them. If this claim has any basis of truth, - which is doubtful, - it must be supposed that at the preliminary hearing before the Senate (as at the formal trial before the Assembly, § 5) the generals had stated that Theramenes and Thrasybulus were commissioned to rescue the shipwrecked crews. It is evident, however, from § 6 that the generals do not try to represent these officers as blameworthy. - Sicalovs etvau ктi.: that they ought to render an account. For the personal construction see S. 1982; HA. 944 a; B. 634 ; G. 1527 ; Gl. 574 a. -



 oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̀ ~ \beta \rho a \chi \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ \epsilon ̈ к к а \sigma т о s ~ \grave{\pi} \pi \epsilon \lambda о \gamma \eta ́ \sigma а т о, ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$

 $\tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{~ a ̀ ~} \nu a i ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \nu a v a \gamma \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \xi a \iota \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \eta-$





vavayoús：both living and dead． － 8 rt：to be connected with $\mu$ ap－ túpıov．－ă $\lambda \lambda$ o oúSiv altı＠$\mu$ evot： according to the statement of Eu－ ryptolemus in § 17 f ．it was out of kindness to Theramenes and Thrasybulus that the generals made no reference to them and their unperformed mission in this official report．

5．बже入оүヶбато：agreeing in number with the appositive éka－
 explains $\beta \rho a \chi$ е́ $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ．－$\sigma \phi \boldsymbol{l}_{\iota}$ ：for $\alpha \mathfrak{v}-$ roîs．The use of the indir．reflexive is exceptional，since the clause in which it stands is not dependent upon the preceding clause．See KG．455，Anm． 9 －катd тòv vouov：which allowed every ac－
cused person a definite time in which to make his defense．－ $\pi \lambda$ ionev：were（in the act of）sail－ ing．The pres．opt．represents the impf．indic．of the direct discourse． Cp． $\bar{\pi} \pi \lambda \epsilon o v$ § 31 and see GMT． 673 ；S． 1862 a；HA． 935 b；B． 675，I．N．；G． 1488 ；Gl． 624 b． fin．－тpınpapxav：partitive．－
 6． 35 ．

6．Stor：sc．aircávag $\theta$ ah，from the following clause．－aürouss：i．e． the generals．The nom．，in agree－ ment with oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta$ ol $^{\prime}$ above， would be more regular．See on avtrós 5．3．－altiávar0au：com－ plementary to ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon v$ ，which is equiv－ alent in meaning to $\delta$ vivaroal．S． 2000 a；B． 638 ；Gl． 565 b．－8ть үe ：
 $\chi \epsilon \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ $\mu a ́ \rho т и \rho a s ~ \pi а р є i ́ \chi о \nu т о ~ т о и ̀ s ~ к \nu \beta є \rho \nu \eta ́ \tau а s ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 35$






 alvar: the inf. depends upon an affirmative (ф́áколеv) to be supplied from the preceding $\psi$ evoó$\mu \mathrm{c} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { a }}$. See on I. 29.
7. 4retor: were on the point of persuading. For this use of the impf. see GMT. 38 ; S. 1895 a; B. 527. - Tds Xeipas: the regular manner of voting in the Assembly was by a show of hands. - àv кa0c\&puv: potential indic. GMT. 245 ; S. 1784 ; HA. 858 ; B. 565 ; G. 1335 ; Gl. 46ı b.- проßovגev́бaoav: matters to be considered by the Assembly must first be passed upon by the Senate, whose socalled $\pi \rho \circ \beta$ oú ${ }^{\text {cev }} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ (i.e. proposal or preliminary decree) was then brought before the Assembly. cloeverкeiv: should bring in (to the Assembly) a proposal. Connect with $\delta \delta o \xi \epsilon$. - This resolution directing the Senate to report a method of procedure was apparently a ruse on the part of the
accusers, who intended thereby to prejudice the case against the accused. The supporters of the generals seem not to have understood at the moment the real purport of the resolution, but to have assumed that a method of procedure was to be prescribed for use only in case the Assembly should decide to entertain the charges at all. The matter must have been 'rushed' through in a more or less irregular way, since it was too dark (see above) to distinguish the upraised hands. - kplvotvтo: the opt. represents an interrogative subjv. of the direct discourse. See on 3. 21.
§§ 8-11. Theramenes' device to arouse Athenian feeling against the generals. The Senate proposes an immediate vote upon their case.
8. dylyveтo: was celebrated.'Ataroúpia: a family festival, held in the month of Pyanepsion (Oc-tober-November), at which the










members of each Athenian clan (фрarpía) gathered together for common rites and festivities. These clan-meetings, marked on this occasion by the presence of many who had been bereaved, evidently gave Theramenes and his agents both the idea and the opportunity of gathering for their own purposes a great crowd of mourners. See below.- $\sigma \phi$ l/tr aúrois: for the reflexive as a reciprocal see on 2. 17.- $\pi$ араoккย́arav: suborned. тарабкєvá$\zeta_{\text {etv very frequently suggests bri- }}$ bery or corrupt scheming. The whole tone of Xenophon's narrative implies clearly that Theramenes packed the Assembly with mourners of whom many, at least, were not really kinsmen of the lost. The same implication is evident in the indefinite and rather contemptuous à ávóẃrovs (instead
 $\tau \omega v)$, and still more in the $\omega s \delta_{\dot{\eta}}$ ouyүeveis övres, which can mean only pretending that they were kinsmen. For the frequent ironical use of $\delta \dot{\eta}$ cp. 2. 3. 18, 5.4.6.
 the usual signs of mourning. Kadilfarvov: see on § 3. 一ïrewav: it is not unlikely that this word contains a suggestion similar to that in парєбкєviaqav.
9. Ivretiev: after the Apaturia and the meeting of the Senate. $\gamma v \omega_{\mu} \eta v$ : proposal, i.e. $\pi p o \beta o v v^{-}$
 mentary language means to move. So $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \imath v$ (of a motion put into writing) and $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \varepsilon \iota \nu$. - катŋYopoívтшv кaтd́: катךүореîv is normally followed by the gen. without a prep. (cp. $\S \S 4,6$, and 8 ), but on account of the accumulation of genitives кaтá is here inserted for












the sake of clearness. - $\delta \iota a \downarrow \eta \phi$ raotau: subj. of the conventional E'סoge (cp. the English 'Resolved') which introduced the $\pi \rho \circ \beta$ oú $\lambda \in v \mu a$. S. 2013 b; HA. 957 a ; B. 644 and N. ; G. 1540. - dSıxeiv: to be guilty, a regular court use of the word. roùs vuxhoavtas : revealing in a significant way the animosity of the $\pi \rho о \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v \mu a$. - $\mathrm{\epsilon ts}$ tìv $\pi \rho \circ \tau$ épav кri. : in judicial proceedings Athenian law prescribed a secret ballot. It is possible that even under the method of voting which is here described secrecy might have been preserved. The probability is, however, that these phrases of Callixinus' proposal meant an 'open ' vote in flat disregard of the law. Such a proceeding would have been quite in line with the other illegalities which marked the course of
the trial. Cp. § 5 and on §§ 12 and 34 below. Of course Callixinus' purpose in prescribing an 'open' vote was to make wholesale intimidation possible.
10. rois ivseka: the Eleven, a Board which had charge of condemned prisoners and of the execution of the death sentence. Tग̂s $\theta$ ©ov : Athena, the state deity. A tenth part of the revenue derived from confiscations was regularly paid into her treasury.
 was evidently a tool of Theramenes, and his story about as real as the grief of the sham mourners. imıoreג入etv: representing an impf. See on 3. 19. - тò̀s ámodג $\mu \mu$ évovs: those who were perishing. - aplotovs: pred. adj. - yevopivous: as in 1.28.
$\lambda_{i} \xi_{\epsilon \iota \nu о \nu}^{\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon к а \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma а \nu \tau о ~ т а р а ́ \nu о \mu а ~ ф а ́ \sigma к о \nu т є s ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma є-~}$







§§ 12-15. Euryptolemus and others, who object to the illegality of the procedure, are howled down. The Prytanes also, except Socrates, yield to intimidation.
12. тробека入А́баито: served a summons (to court) on Callixinus. - тapávoua: any citizen who questioned the legality of a proposed measure might declare before the Assembly his intention of bringing an 'indictment for an unconstitutional proposal ' ( $\gamma \rho a \neq \eta$ ) $\pi а \rho а \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu)$ against the author of such measure. The effect of this declaration was to stop any further consideration of the measure by the Assembly, pending a decision on its constitutionality by the heliastic court ( $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma t \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho o v$ ) before which its author was brought for trial. It appears from the following (§§ 16-33) speech of Euryptolemus that the points in which he held Callixinus' proposal to be illegal were ( 1 ) that it allowed the generals no opportunity to be
heard in their own defense, and (2) that it deprived them of their constitutional right to a separate trial. - ovyreypaфivan: see on ciпо́vтоs § 9.- Еіриттбдероя: ср. 4. 19.
13. $4 \pi$ rovisous: thereupon. cimbvros: as in § 9. - -ukiokov: see on § 3. - kal toúrous . . . кal roùs orparŋyoús: кaí is frequently found in each of two comparative clauses, where the English would employ its equivalent - also - but
 к入गिणเv: withdraw the summons. With $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{cp}$. $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon к а \lambda$ е́ $\sigma а \nu т о$ § 12. - тds к $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ hбets: the plur. takes into account the ä $\lambda \lambda 0<\tau \iota \nu \epsilon{ }^{\prime}$ (§ 12) as well as Euryptolemus.
14. тबิv тритáveav: the Senate of Five Hundred was made up of fifty members from each of the ten Athenian tribes. Each tribe's delegation served for one tenth of the year under the name of Prytanes as a kind of standing committee of the Senate, attending






 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$.


to current business and presiding over the meetings of both the Senate and the Assembly．The chairman（ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \boldsymbol{s}$ ）of the Pry－ tanes，who was chosen each day by lot，was also chairman of the Senate and the Assembly．－inpo－
 question to vote．－тapà тòv vómov： see on тарávopa § 12．－катクүо－ pet aüтôv rà aüra：lit．urged the same charge against them，i．e． threatened them with the same treatment which Lyciscus had pro－ posed（§ 13）for Euryptolemus and his supporters．－ot $\delta \boldsymbol{6}$ ：i．e．ô ổ ${ }^{\text {dos．}}$ －ка入eîv：see on тробєка入écavто § 12．－тov̀s oí фdorovtas：those who refused．See on ov фapévov 6． 3.

15．इwokárous：the philoso－ pher，who chanced to be é $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$ （see above）for this particular day． Since he steadfastly refused to put
the question，his place was appar－ ently taken by another from among the Prytanes．See on § 34，and for other accounts of Socrates＇ conduct cp．Plato，Apol． 32 B and Gorg． 474 A，Xen．Mem．I．I． 18
 otherwise than．See L．and S．on $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ ．
§§ 16－33．The speech of Eury－ ptolemus in defense of the gen－ erals．
 opening words of apparent hostil－ ity to the generals are well calcu－ lated to induce the rabble to grant the speaker a hearing．－Euryptol－ emus does not follow precisely the order which he here proposes． His accusation is contained in §§ 17－18，his defense in §§ 29－33， his advice in §§ 19－29．－Hepl－ к $\lambda$ tovs ávaүкatov：this Pericles，son of the great Pericles and Aspasia， BROWNSON．HELLENICA－ 8













was a cousin of Alcibiades, as was also Euryptolemus (4. 19).
17. катทүорڤ . . . aürâv: in a measure ironical, for Euryptolemus is really calling attention to the kindliness (cp. $\phi\langle\lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a s$ § 18) of Pericles and Diomedon. inaiv : i.e. the Assembly. - 8rt imtrafav... avelגoveo: the contents of the proposed $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu а \tau а$. The dispatch which was actually sent contained no reference to Theramenes and Thrasybulus. Cp. § 4 .
18. eita vôv . . . auaprovtav: then have they (Pericles and Diomedon) now the blame in common (sc. with Theramenes and Thrasybulus), although it was those men alone (i.e. the latter) who blundered? apaptóvtuv refers to
the failure of Theramenes and Thrasybulus to rescue the shipwrecked crews. кouvŋ́v associates the men who concealed (see § 17) this blunder with those who made it, while the contrasted ioía urges the injustice of such an association. cira frequently introduces, as here, a question which is asked sarcastically or in indignation. avrl: in return for. - фL入avopor$\pi$ tas: see on § 17.-lketrow : referring, as in the preceding line, to Theramenes and Thrasybulus.
19. oufk: no. For the accent see on 1. 21. - av . . . $\mathbf{y e}$ : at least if. - кal 80ıv: = каì таи̂та (то-
 í $\mu$ âs aưroús. Ср. 1. 28 and see Introd. IV. в. - ппартпко́таs: sup-
$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a$ єis $\theta \epsilon o u ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s ~ a u ̉ r o v ́ s . ~ \sigma v \mu ß o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ \delta ' ~ 95 ~$





 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ öть тò Kavעш $\frac{1}{}$

plementary part. in ind. disc. See on 1. II.-els: towards, i.e. against. - $\theta$ cov́s . . . îpâs aúroús: corresponding respectively to ö $\sigma c a$ and Síkaua above.-lv ols: in pursuance of which. The antecedent of ots is a cogn. acc. to be supplied with $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v i \omega$. - $\epsilon$ lסotes : with full knowledge. - ápa пdavtas: i.e. in case all should prove equally guilty. Of course this is not inconsistent with the speaker's contention that all the generals should have a separate trial. Cp. §§ 23, 26, 34 and on § 12. - $4 \lambda \lambda \alpha$ кăv: yet at least. кä̉v ( $=\kappa a i \not a ̈ v$, i.e. éáv) is sometimes found in the sense of even, at least, where it is impossible to supply the protasis which the ${ }_{a}^{a n} v$ seems to introduce. See KG. 398, Anm. 2. - $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ä入$\lambda$ ous kre .: the speaker artfully implies his full confidence in the real, independent judgment of the people. The use of $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ instead of ov
is due to the influence of the introducing verb, $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega$.
 it has been supposed that this decree provided in definite terms for a separate trial for each accused person. There seems, however, to be no sufficient evidence to support such a view. Doubtless the right to a separate trial was a fundamental principle in Athenian law. The main point with Euryptolemus was to secure for the generals - what had thus far been denied-a real trial, of whatever sort it might be, and he proposed a trial under the decree of Cannonus because that decree, being ívovoórarov (exceedingly strict), prescribed as severe penalties as the enraged Assembly could desire. If any trial were granted, it would follow as a matter of course that each general should be tried separately. - $\mathbf{\alpha} \mathbf{\delta} \mathrm{\kappa} \mathrm{n}$ : is an offender














against, i.e. has wronged. For the pres. with pf. meaning see on фєíyotev 1. 27. - dmoficeiv: an unusual equivalent for àmo入oүєív $\theta a$, and probably an archaic word from the actual text of this ancient
 the Assembly, whereas the second law which is cited ( $\$ 22$ ) called for a trial before a heliastic court
 pit, which served in early times as the place and the means of executing the death sentence. Later, poisoning by hemlock became the usual method of execution.
21. ăv. . . $\mathbf{y}$ : as in § 19.
22. $\mathbf{i} \sigma \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{lv}$ trt: applies to.

in $\alpha \dot{\delta} \delta \kappa \hat{\eta}$ § 20. - $\tau \mathrm{d}$ lepa : any articles preserved in a temple and thereby consecrated. - $\mu \eta \eta_{\text {radfivau : assum- }}$ ing the death sentence as a matter of course. For the inf., which retains the actual language of the

 то́тєроs and ómótєроs, like outos, regularly take the article when they stand in agreement with a noun. KG. 465, 8. - кard tiva 4кaotov: a vital point, here for the first time directly stated, though already implied in the $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu$ Пєрьклє́a of § 21. Euryptolemus seeks (1) a trial for the generals, and (2) a separate trial. See on §§ 12 and 20. - $\delta$ ıпpquivav . . .



 $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a s$ ，oi $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu a i \tau \iota o \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota ~ \dot{v} \phi$＇$\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，$\omega$






 day having been separated from one another，i．e．the day being di－ vided into three parts．－［ivos ．．．
 by some commentator to explain the preceding clause．Speaking broadly，the explanation is correct， but it is confused－particularly in uniting $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\delta \iota a \psi \eta \phi_{i}^{\prime-}$ ऍerөai，two acts which would neces－ sarily come at opposite ends of the day－and the Greek is faulty．－ táv Tt ．．．éàv rt：manifestly in－ tended by the interpolator to mean whether ．．．or，a meaning which they cannot have in classical Greek．See GMT． 493 and 680， and cp ．the preceding note．

25．نицeis：emphatic．The preceding section has described the results to the accused，and the speaker now lays stress upon the
results to their judges．－ejopkoîv－ Tes：for each citizen was under oath to obey and uphold the laws． －toùs ．．．ade入o ${ }^{\text {ivovs ：obj．of }}$ à $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda$ úvтes．－dkelvovs：common

 and note thereon．－тoúrous：re－ peating with emphasis toùs áde入o－ $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ е́vovs каì vevıкทкóтаs．
 inelyecote：but what in the world do you fear，that you are in such great haste？kai gives a tone of impatience to the question，imply－ ing that there could be no reason－ able ground for fear．For the use of the participle in the question
 limiting $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a .-\eta ँ$ ：or，intro－ ducing a $\delta \in \delta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ to be supplied from the preceding $\delta \in \delta \delta o ́ t \epsilon s$ ．After a general question $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ often introduces








a particular inquiry, suggesting in itself the answer or explanation which seems to the questioner most likely. - $\mathbf{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oúk : sc. $\delta^{\prime} \notin \delta i t \epsilon$ rồto, but do not fear this, i.e. that your will may be thwarted. The clause introduced by $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oúk serves to emphasize the preceding thought by denying its opposite.
 explaining mapà $\tau \grave{v} v \nu^{\prime} \mu o v$.
27. kal oúk altiov bvia: who is actually (kaí) innocent. кai, emphasizing the following, indicates the enormity of the crime that might be committed. - $\mu \varepsilon \tau a \mu \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta}$ oat: subj. of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$. - $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$ : in itself, i.e. in general, even when no great
 $\pi \rho o \sigma e ́ t \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ́$, and especially, in contrast with $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$. - кal : emphasizing the following, as in кai oúk aïtcov above. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu а р т \eta к \delta т a s: ~ a g r e e i n g ~$ with the (indefinite) subj. of $\mu \epsilon \tau a-$ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \sim$. For $\mu \epsilon \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota v$ used personally see L. and S.
28. Setvd $\delta^{\prime}$ div mothбaure, cl

 you would do a dreadful thing if, while you granted to Aristarchus . . . , you shall deprive the generals, etc. The first of the two protases is logically subordinate to the second; for what the speaker would characterize as $\delta \epsilon \iota v a ́$ is not the past act described in $\epsilon$ ©ore, but the possible (future) performance of an act so inconsistent with it. See GMT. 509; S. 2170. Note the use of the fut. indic. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ where the aor. opt. would be more normal. S. 2356; HA. gor a; B. 612, I; G. 1421, 2.-'ApıotápX4: in 411 b.c. Aristarchus helped to establish the oligarchical government of the Four Hundred (sf.e Introd. p. 18). Upon its overthrow he fled to the border fortress of Oenoe and treacherously betrayed it to the enemy. - $\pi \rho \rho т$ тяov:
 т̀̀v $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a v . — к а т а \lambda$ úovtt . . . трosıббvть: destroyer . . . betrayer.

 $\nu o ́ \mu o \nu ~ \pi \rho o v ́ \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma \tau ̇ \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o v ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu$













The pres. tense lays stress not so much upon the particular acts as upon the abiding depravity which prompted them. Cp. $\pi \rho o \delta \iota \delta \omega$ and
 ring to $\dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ épav for its antecedent. - тติv aย่тติv тоข่тผv : these same (privileges).

 your own, i.e. which you yourselves have enacted and therefore should not regard as a hostile, external kind of compulsion. For
 and on I. 28. - knave入0cre $\delta \mathfrak{k a l}$ : with кaí -also - the speaker turns
from the law to the facts. Here begins his defense of the generals. See on § 16. - үєүєvणीन0at: $=\pi \epsilon-$

 nificant insertion, reminding the Assembly once more (cp. §§ 25 , 28) of the victory won by the accused generals. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon l s}$ ग̀े v रीv: i.e. to the Arginusae. Cp. 6. 33.
 lowing in line one after another, whereas the contrasted phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{i}$ фá $\lambda a \gamma \gamma o s$ means ' in line abreast.' — $\pi \rho d s$ Muti $\lambda \dagger \nu \eta v$ : the acc. instead of the dat. by a kind of attraction due to $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$. See on 3. 9.

зотоѝs $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \sigma \iota \cdot \kappa а i ̀ ~ \delta o \xi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau о u ́ t \omega \nu ~ к а т а \lambda l-~$ $\pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \nu a u ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̈ \kappa a \sigma \tau o \nu ~ e ̀ к ~ t ท ̂ s ~ a u ́ t o v ̂ ~ \sigma v \mu \mu о \rho i ́ a s, ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$








 $\mu i ́ o u s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega s ~ \pi \rho a \chi \theta e ́ v \tau a ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ́ r o v s ~ \tau a \chi \theta e ́ v \tau a s ~$


30. Sofávtav roúrav: if this should be decided upon.-ката$\lambda_{\text {ıretv : in }}$ the mind of the writer the preceding ${ }^{\prime} \phi \eta$ has passed into a verb of advising or urging, corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \in ́ \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon \nu$ above; hence the obj. inf. (not in ind. disc.) каталıлєiv. - $\sigma v \mu \mu о р$ las : division. Each general had commanded 15 ships. See 6. 29 and 30. - ókтஸ: for Conon and Leon were not present at the battle. See on 6.30 and 7. 1. - Tdes tâv rafláp$X \omega v \kappa \pi t$. : the 23 ships here mentioned were stationed in the center, and evidently incurred no losses whatever. See on 6. 29. жepl: 'for,' 'to deal with.'- $\mathbf{\delta \omega} \mathbf{\delta e x a}$ : according to 6. 34 the Athenians
lost 25 ships. It must be supposed that at the time in question 13 of this number had sunk, while the remaining 12 were still afloat but drifting helplessly.

3I. ïndeov: impf. of attempted $^{2}$ action. That the attempt failed is clear from 6.35.-Ľavess: adequately, with special reference to the provisions for saving the shipwrecked. - тd . . . трах ${ }^{\text {évvra }}$ : acc. of specification, instead of a gen. dependent upon víé́ $\chi \epsilon \tau \lambda$ dóyov. $-\mu \mu_{1}$ : because of the conditional idea in $\pi \rho a \chi \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} v \tau a$. Similarly $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta}$

 oủk àveldovтo: connect with кpive$\sigma \theta a l$. The supposition contained

 $\pi а \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau о . ~ \tau о и ́ т \omega \nu ~ \delta \grave{~} \mu a ́ \rho \tau \nu \rho \epsilon s$ oi $\sigma \omega \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$









in $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \pi \alpha \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a v \tau a s$ is now stated as a fact．

32．duффотipov：with reference to toùs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o u ́ t o v s ~ t a \chi \theta e ́ v t a s ~$ and roùs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{̀ े}$ ávaipectv above， i．e．both the generals and the tri－ erarchs．－$\mu \eta \delta t v:$ either the sailing against the enemy or the rescue of the shipwrecked．For the redun－ dant negative see S．2739；HA． 1029；B． 434 ；G．1615，Gl． 572. —àmò тоヘ̂ aüтоцárov：by mere chance，as contrasted with external
 отратпү⿳⿵人 ：probably Lysias．Cp．
 катабєбикиіая 6．35．－каl：＝кхі－
 this phrase should logically refer to the other generals，but in fact it describes the trierarchs，being almost a repetition of $\mu \eta_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{\pi o v} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-}$

баитаs ．．．ėкé入єєvбav above． Apparently it was a marginal note， inserted－for the purpose of ex－ plaining $\tau \hat{\eta}$ av̉ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \hat{\eta} \psi \eta^{\prime} \phi \omega$－by some commentator who did not fully understand the situation and the argument．See critical note．

33．$\mu$ ท̀ rolvov ктi．：＇do not， then，in the face of your victory and your good fortune，act as if you were beaten and unfortunate； nor，in the face of heaven＇s visita－ tions，show yourselves unreason－ able by laying to men＇s charge treason instead of powerlessness．＇ Manatt．－тต̂v dк 0cov duayкaluv： i．e．the storm．－ayvounveiv：a rare word，found only in late writers．See Introd．IV．L．－
 ably a marginal note on ádvvauias which has been incorporated in the







 $\kappa a i \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ таûта катє $\eta \phi i ́ \sigma a \nu \tau 0 ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu a \nu \mu a \chi \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

text. See critical note. - repalpatv : a poetical word.
§§ 34-35. The generals are condemned and executed. The later repentance of the Athenians.

 cinóvtos § 9 . Euryptolemus offers his $\gamma v \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ as a substitute for that of the Senate, the $\pi \rho o \beta$ ovidev $\mu$. -
 and note thereon. - $\delta 1 \times$ a ikaotov: probably these words are not quoted from the decree of Cannonus, but are added by Euryptolemus in opposition to the $\mu \dot{\hat{q}}$ $\psi \eta$ 'ф $\phi$ крivecv (see below) of the Senate's proposal. Cp. § 23.Sıaxclporovovuivav: the prep. in composition indicates the choice now to be made between the two proposals. The question before the Assembly, therefore, is essentially the same as that which Socrates had refused to put to
vote, viz. on the acceptance or rejection of the $\pi \rho \circ \beta o v i \lambda \epsilon v \mu$. It would seem, however, that Socrates' place as $\dot{\text { éntorátrys has now }}$ been taken by another. See on § 15.-inpuvav: decided in favor of. - vторогацivov: having interposed an objection under oath, questioning in some way the legality of the proceedings. The legal effect of such a ím $\omega \mu$ ooia should have been to postpone any further consideration of the pending question; the fact that a second vote was nevertheless immediately taken was simply one more of the unconstitutional incidents which marked the course of the trial. See on § 9.-Mevek ${ }^{\text {- }}$ 保s: see on Tıцокрáтovs § 3.- $\mu$ етd̀ таиิта: the Senate's proposal being now adopted, a vote is taken in the manner thereby (§9) prescribed on the guilt or innocence of the generals.











35．метфе $\lambda_{\mathrm{E}}$ ：this statement is confirmed by Diod．13． 103 and
 translate by the plupf．－impo－
 plaint presented to the Assembly， alleging an offense against the state．If the Assembly voted that the complaint was justified，the accused was then brought to trial before a heliastic court．－кara－ бगीfar：sc．aủrov́s（from aủt⿳⺈v above）as subject．－\＄8\＆Oךбav： since a defendant＇s bondsmen were responsible for his appear－ ance in court，it followed logically that they were permitted to keep him in corifinement until the time fixed for his trial．－wotepov：in
the winter of 405－404 B．C．，during
 a popular leader of the democratic party，who opposed the acceptance of the terms of peace which were offered to the besieged Athenians． For this reason the oligarchs，by means of a trumped－up charge， procured his condemnation and execution．Probably the reference in $\sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ is to the disorders which accompanied these proceed－ ings．Cp．Lysias 13．12．－кateג－ 0దv：see on катákॄıv I．29．－ol ix Пıьpaıติs：the exiled democrats under Thrasybulus，who overthrew the Thirty Tyrants and returned in triumph to Athens in the au－ tumn of 403 B．C．Cp．2．4．10－39．

## BOOK II

The Close of the Peloponnesian War．Civil Strife in Athens．406－401 b．C．







 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \vartheta \theta o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а \lambda a \mu \eta \phi o ́ \rho \omega \nu \cdot \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \gamma a ̀ \rho$

Chapter I，§§ 1－7．Eteonicus suppresses a conspiracy in the Pel－ oponnesian fleet．He is succeeded by Lysander．
 the battle of Arginusae Eteonicus， vice－admiral of the Peloponnesian fleet，was maintaining the block－ ade of Mytilene．Upon receiving news of the Spartan defeat he dis－ patched his ships to Chios and retired with his land forces to Methymna，in Lesbos．Thence he had evidently sailed to Chios， although Xenophon fails to mention that fact．See Introd．p．27，and cp．i．6． 26 and 36－38．－orpart－ wital butes：for the order of words see on 1．1．23．－むpas ：＝$\dot{\omega} \rho a i i^{\omega} \nu$ ， the produce of the season．－tpya－

לోцмvor：parallel in construction
 1372；HA．746；B． 353 ；G． 1133 ； Gl．513．－xєцашv：of 406－405 в．C． －yuprot：poorly clad，a sense in which this word is often used．－ re：see Introd．IV．D．I．－むs．．．
 Tヘ̂ Xiq：although a friendly city． －ots ．．．aptorkot：for ois àv
 גovs：proleptic．See on I．4．II．

2．The detail with which Xen－ ophon describes the following incident is characteristic．See Introd．p．30．－бivenpa：plot．
 he should deal with the matter． $\tau i$ is cogn．acc．For the optative see on 1．3．21．－Tє ．．．тe：

 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \iota o \iota ~ \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a, ~ a ̀ \nu ~$












 тaı $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \sigma \iota \mu \iota \sigma \theta \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a i ̀ \mu \eta े \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \sigma \omega \sigma i ́ \tau \iota \cdot$ oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon i \sigma \eta^{-}$


see Introd. IV. D. 2. - $\sigma$ фалерóv: dangerous. Hence the following $\mu \eta \dot{\eta}$. Similarly $\delta \epsilon \iota v o ́ v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \mu \eta ́ ~ b e l o w . ~$ - ail: on the other hand; for note
 possible success of Eteonicus in suppressing the conspiracy and is thus logically contrasted with $\Delta v$ крат $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$. - $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \dot{x}$ xovs: allied troops who were concerned in the plot. - $\mu$ ท̀ . . . oxoinv: lest they (the Spartans) might incur harsh
criticism among, etc. - oxoîv . . . むढtv: for the variation in mood see S. 2225 ; HA. 887 ; B. 594 ;
 мara: the (Spartan) cause.
4.8 rt : because, answering dià ti.-kard: as a result of.- del d dкoviov: each one as he heard it, in partitive apposition with tóvecs. For this use of $\dot{a} \in i$ with a part. and its position see on $\tau \hat{\varphi} \beta$ ovio$\mu \in ́ v \varphi$ ácí I. 2. 10.





 тоîs $\sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o \iota s ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta ̀ \nu} \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu ~ v a v a \rho \chi i ́ a \nu, ~ o ̈ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ 35 ~$
 $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s, \sigma v ̀ \nu$ av̀roîs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каì тapà Kv́pov $\tau \alpha v ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~$



 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta o ́ \tau \omega \nu]$.

5. ©s: as though. Cp. is $\sigma$ ov-
 note the distributive meaning of the prep.
 resolved in view of the existing situation to send. - $\mathbf{l m}$ : : see on $\mathbf{I}$. 1. 32.-є фєfopevov: being in high
 1. 5. 17. Lysander was especially popular among the oligarchs of the Asiatic cities, whose cause he had energetically advanced during his previous term as admiral See on 1. 6. 4.-кard: as in §4.iv Notip: cp. 1. 5. 12-14. - vavmaxlav: cogn. acc.
7. Góv: see Introd. IV. C. I. raurda $\lambda$ fyovres: with the same message. For the occasional use of the pres. part. where the fut. (cp. épồvtas above) is more usual, see S. 2065 ; HA. 969 c ; B. 653,
 on I. 1. 23. - [luفv . . . тape $\lambda_{\eta} \lambda \imath 06-$ Tov] : this incorrect statement is undoubtedly an interpolation. Cp. 1. 3. 1, I. 6. 1, and see Introd. p. 24.
[\$§ 8-9. Cyrus commits a deed of despotic arrogance.]
8. This section and the following are probably spurious Cp. I. 2. 19 and see Introd p. 25 ; also






 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ©்s ả $\rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s$ ả $\gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda o v s$.






the following notes．－$\Delta$ apetalov：
Dapccios is a very rare variant for $\Delta$ apeios．The use of the two forms in successive lines is ex－ traordinary and can hardly be ascribed to Xenophon．一包epfov ．．．тarpós：a mistake．The fa－ ther of Darius was Artaxerxes，not Xerxes．－кóp $\quad$ ．．Xetpls：re－ spectively the long and the short sleeve of the Persian cloak （kávovs）．It would seem from the following clause that the act referred to was symbolical of sub－ mission．－$\mu$ aкротtepov：for the gender see S．1048；HA． 617 ；B． 423 ；G． 925 ；Gl．544．－＊＊wv ：one who has．For the part．without the art．as an indef．substantive see

S． 2052 a；HA． 966 ；B．650， 1 ； G．1560， 2 ；GMT． 827.

9．＇Iepauivns：probably the father of Autoboesaces and Mi－ traeus．－Setvòv elvar il：cp．1． 7. 12．－ós appootêv：the interpo－ lator（see above）makes Darius＇ illness merely a pretext for the recall of Cyrus；but according to § 13 and Anab．1．I．I it was the real reason．
§§ 10－14．Lysander refits and reënforces the Peloponnesian fleet． The recall of Cyrus． 405 B．c．
 interpolation．See Introd．p． 24. －＇Apxúra：for the form see on ＇Avvißa 1．1．37．－＇Avrdivסpq ： cp．I．1． 25.




 $\sigma \kappa \in \cup a ́ \zeta o \nu \tau o ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa a i ~ o i ́ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ ' A ~ \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~$











11. "xor : had received. See on
 cp. 1. 5. 3.
12. $\mathbf{1 \pi f}$ : as in § 6. - тареккलudyovio . . . $\pi$ тobs: were making preparations in reference to, i.e. about, upon.- orparjyol: Conon, Adimantus, and Philocles (1.7. I).

13. lmi tov́tols: as in 1. 7. 13.
 Sovalouv: who dwelt on the western coast of the Caspian Sea.
14. oúk cla: warned him not. strau: in indir. disc., depending
upon a verb of saying suggested
 translate with could or might; for the inf. expresses the result which the action 'tends to produce,' i.e. a possible result. - rov́rov Iveker: as far as that (i.e. money) was concerned. - тapt Selfe: assigned. - rd $\pi$ тpırтd Xp斤нara: 'the balance on hand.' Blake. - is elx фtilas: how friendly he was. The genitive is partitive; S. 144I ; HA. 757 a; B. 360 ; G. 1092 ; Gl. 507 d. For the 'objective imperfect ' $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{c p}$. є̇кá-
$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \Lambda а к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu i \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda ı \nu$ каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda v ́ \sigma a \nu \delta \rho o \nu ~ i \delta i ́ a, ~, ~ 75 ~$










 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ v i \pi a ́ \rho \chi o v \sigma ı ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon i ́ \lambda o \nu \tau o ~ M e ́ v a \nu-~$



Oyto 1. 5. 3 and see Introd. IV. E. -dvißarve: the same journey which is mentioned in Anab. I. 1.2.
§§ 15-19. Minor operations of the hostile fleets.
15. Siafovis: see on §5.-Kaplas: for the case see on $K a \lambda$ -
 cp. the variant form Kepapккóv in 1. 4. 8. - ти̂ $\boldsymbol{\text { írtepalqu } \pi p o \sigma \beta o \lambda \hat { n } : ~}$ on the next day's attack. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ viorepaía is almost always used alone, with $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p q u$ understood. -
 explained and justified by the following clause. Contrast Lybrownson. hellenica - 9
sander's treatment of Greek captives, § 19, and see on 1. 6. 15. Note the combination of the aor. with the historical pres.- $8:=$ ráp, as in I. 6. 37.
 Xiov . . . "Eфerov: regular stations of the Spartan fleet. - rois vomápXourt: see on § 12.- прогefiovто : oi 'A $\theta_{\text {quato }}$ is still the subj., but is probably used in this case with reference to the Athenians at home.
 in order to close the Hellespont against the Athenian grain ships from the Black Sea. See on I. I.



 'AӨך 1

 бтратьิิтає ov̉̄aע $\pi$ गovбià каi oìvov каì бíтоv каì









22 and cp. a similar attempt made by Agis, 1. 1. 35- -aüтఱิv: the Lacedaemonians. Construe with à $\phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa v i ́ a s$. - тeddáro: : whereas Lysander's route lay along the coast, mapà Tク̀v 'I $\omega v i a v$. On the adverbial force of the adj. see $S$. 1042; HA. 619; B. 425 ; G. 926; Gl. 546.
18. ^áцұакоv: which Alcibiades had fortified in 409 b.c. (I. 2. 15).
19. alpoणिनt . . . Stipтarav: the change of tense as in § 15 . -
 the principle laid down by Cal-
licratidas (1. 6. 14, 15). Cp. § 15 above.- бגцага: persons. Cp. Eng. everybody.
§§ 20-28. The battle of Aegospotami.
20. кard $\pi 6 \delta_{\text {as }}$ : in their wake.
21. cifìs imtotrioducvor: as soon as they had provisioned. For the use of the adv. see S. 2081; HA. 976 ; B. 655 ; G. 1572 ; Gl. 592. - Alyds жотapoús : i.e. where 'Goat's Rivers' empty into the Hellespont. - $\delta$ Léxx. . . $\sigma$ radiovs krd. : was about fifteen stadia wide. The impf. is sometimes found instead of the pres. when a still exist-








 $24 \pi о \tau а \mu о$ ús. $\Lambda u ́ \sigma a \nu \delta \rho o ; ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau a \chi i ́ \sigma \tau a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu$ éкє́- 115





ing condition is described only with reference to past events. So in English.
22. bpopos: early dawn, regarded here as part of the night. Cp. Plato, Crito 43 A. - mapaoкevaбduevos iss als vavpaxiav: cp. тарєбкєváそovто трòs vavpaхíav § 16, and see on I. I. 12. - тара$\beta \lambda \not$ дала: about the same as the тарари́мата of 1.6. 19. - тровітеи
 manding, like verbs of attention, care, and effort, are sometimes followed by an obj. clause with the fut. ind. or (rarely) the fut. opt. S. 2218 ; HA. 885 and a; B. 593 ; G. 1372-3; Gl. 638 a; GMT. 355 -

Here $\dot{\omega}$ s takes the place of the regular ömws. See Introd. IV. G. 3, and cp. GMT. 351 and App. IV.
23. $\mathbf{l \pi i}:=\pi \rho o ́ s$ in 1. 6. 17.$\lambda_{\text {ıuive : }}$ of Lampsacus. - lv $\mu$ $\tau \omega \pi \varphi:$ in line, as contrasted with $\dot{e} \pi i$ кর́pows (in column). See on 1. 7. 29.
 кatiobvras: constructio ad sensum, referring to the men on board $\boldsymbol{\tau}{ }^{\text {a }}$ s тахíctas.- ${ }_{\xi} \in \beta<\beta a \sigma \in v: s c$. as obj. the sailors of the (main) Peloponnesian fleet. - $\pi \rho l v$. . . $\eta^{\eta} \kappa o v:$ S. 2441 ; HA. 924 ; B. 627 ; G. 1470; G1. 644 a. - imarhyouro: $^{2}$ iterative.








 ${ }_{27}$ aủroì $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ข̂̀v $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i ้$, oủk éкєivov. каì ó $\mu \in ̀ \nu$



 $\sigma \tau \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu, \tau a ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau i a$ то́ $\rho \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \nu о v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ к а \tau а-~$



 thereon. $-\mu$ iv: correlative with
 as in 1. 3.2.- roves modsulous: sc.
 force of the prep. see on I. 6. Ig. - ov . . . vavaaxhrere: the change to dir. disc. as in I. I. 14.
26. aurrol : for the case see on aúrós 1. 5. 3. The regular nom. is retained despite the contrasted
 cival § 14 .
27. drel . . . 'A日qualois: when the Athenians sailed out against
him on the fifth day. For the dat. see S. 1498 ; HA. 771 a; B. 382 ; G. 1166 ; Gl. 523 a. - тois. . . tmo$\mu$ ivors : i.e. Lysander's scouts, who regularly followed the Athenians on their return voyage ( $\$ 24$ ). - $\delta \boldsymbol{t}$ : ironical, as frequently in the Hell., implying that Lysander was not a foe to be despised. - атотлfovtas: for the acc. see on év $\theta \epsilon \mu$ évous 1.6.
 Herodotus (6. 115) this method of heliographing was employed at the battle of Marathon, 490 b.c. For the inf. see on I. I. 13.
















28. नथцтарйє: : i.e. aboard the fleet. Lysander knew that whatever fighting might take place would be on shore. - els rds rave: connect with $\beta$ oŋ $\theta$ eiv. - $\delta$ ккротот: i.e. having only two of the three tiers of oars manned. - $\dagger$ IIapa入os: this and the Salaminia were the state triremes, employed for religious missions, for conveying ambassadors, and as dispatch boats.一ாpols тû rû: as in I. 1. 7.ouvilegev: the tone is that of the colloquial English 'gathered in.' - texiódpia: probably of Sestus. The word is found only here. See

Introd. IV. L. - Diodorus (13. 106) gives a different account of this battle, though he also ascribes its result to Athenian neglect.
§§ 29-32. Conon escapes with nine ships. The fate of the captured Athenians.
29. rd $\pi \rho$ áү $\mu a \tau a:$ as in § 2 . -
 by Lysander in preparation for battle. See on I. I. 13. Conon's object was to delay the enemy's pursuit. - Evaydoav: prince of Salamis in Cyprus. - amayyendovaa: with the tidings. For the pres. see on $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ § ~ 7 . ~$





 $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ x o v s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa є ̇ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ a i \chi \chi \mu a \lambda \omega ́-160 ~$

 $\mu \in ́ \nu o l ~ \eta ̉ \sigma a \nu ~ \pi о \iota \epsilon i v, ~ \epsilon i ́ ~ к \rho a \tau \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ \nu a v \mu a \chi i ́ a, ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$


 $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ गे $\nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \tau \omega \nu ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$, ôs $\tau o v i r o v s$



30. alx $\mu$ a入árovs: according to Plutarch (Lys. 11) 3000 in num-
 frequent order of words in Greek, while the English reverses it. Philocles and Adimantus are especially mentioned because of what is said of them later (§ 32).
 consequently had a fast ship. тpıtaios: see on $\pi \in \lambda$ á $\boldsymbol{y}_{10<}$ § 17.
31. $\delta \boldsymbol{1}$ : of course. - тต̂v'A ${ }^{2} \eta-$
 $\mu$ фкeनav: for the facts cp. 2. 3 below. For the tense of the verb
 for the opt. see on סv́vauvto i. I. 22. - Tû : i.e. the expected. - Tiेv Sofidv Xeipa : according to Plutarch (Lys. 9) the right thumb, ö $\pi \omega s$
 $\delta^{\circ} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a v i v \omega \sigma$, , so that they could not carry the spear, but might still ply the oar. - 8rt. . . катакр $\eta \mu v i \sigma \in \operatorname{cov}$ : parallel with the preceding relative clauses. ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ t is declarative (that), not causal. - кarakp uvioctav: threw overboard.
32. lme入aßero: attacked, opposed. For the following gen.
 $\mu e ́ v \tau o l ~ u ̛ \pi o ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu . ~ \pi \rho o \delta o i ̂ \nu a l ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \nu a v ̂ s . ~ \Lambda v ́ \sigma a \nu \delta \rho o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~$

 $\nu 0 s \epsilon i s{ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s \pi a \rho a \nu о \mu \epsilon i \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \phi a \xi \in \nu$.




 $2 \nu 0 \nu \tau o$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i ̂ o l . ~ \Lambda u ́ \sigma a \nu \delta \rho o s ~ \delta \grave{̀} \tau 0 u ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \phi \rho o v \rho o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

see on äpyov 1. 4. 12. - فжогонभिs: not used elsewhere in this sense.
 кri. : this charge of treason, which was wholly natural in view of Adimantus' release and of the almost incredible negligence in the Athenian camp, is repeated more or less directly by other writers (Lys. 2. 58, 12. 36, 14. 38, Paus. 4. 17, 10. 9, Plut. Lys. II). Whether it was justified or not must remain uncertain. - is . . . катекр申иnoe: the offense restated in justification of the punishment. - dofturevos: ${ }_{a}{ }^{\circ} \rho X \in \iota \nu$ means to be the first to do something, äpXeroal to do something which has not been done before. S. 1734, 5 ; HA. 816, 4 ; G1. 500 b . Hence áp $\xi^{\prime} \rho \mu \mathrm{c} v o s$ does not imply that the Spartans had
followed, or were about to follow, the Athenian example.

Chapter 2, §§ 1-4. Byzantium and Calchedon yield to $L y$ sander. The reccipt at Athens of the news of Aegospotami.
 नaro: the reference is to the establishment of an oligarchical decarchy under a Spartan harmost. Cp. §§ 2 and 5 below and 3.4. 2 ;
 in 408 b.c. this city was in the hands of the Spartans (1.3. 8 f.). Since then it must have been captured by the Athenians. Cp. Introd. p. 27.- ol mpofovres: three years before. See 1. 3. 16-20. -'A ${ }^{\text {quaior }}$ : i.e. Athenian citizens.
2. ditwa: render as equiva-




 $\pi \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \Lambda a ́ \mu \psi а к о \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \nu a v ̂ s ~ e ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \kappa є v ́ a \zeta \epsilon \nu . ~$







lent to övtıva.-sisiss 8 rt . . . Greotat: a blending of two constructions, viz. (1) ör $\begin{gathered}\text { with a }\end{gathered}$ finite verb and (2) the ind. disc. inf. An anacoluthon (Introd. IV. K) of this sort is especially frequent when öt and the inf. are separated by an intervening clause (here $\boldsymbol{\delta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\psi}$. . . Пєє $\rho a t \hat{a}$ ). Other cases in the Hell. are 3. 4. 27, 5. 4. $35,6.5$. 42. The present instance is particularly noteworthy because ci $\delta:{ }^{2} v o u$ is regularly followed by the participle or a öt clause, not by the ind. disc. inf. - boce av miclovs . . . Aarrov: the more . . . the more quickly. With $\theta$ âtrov sc. тoбov́rẹ. - Buţavtiov кal $\mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{\lambda}^{2} \eta \delta \delta$ vos: the possession of these two cities closed the Bos-
porus to Athenian grain ships from the Black Sea. See on I. 17 and I. 1. 22.
3. Tीः Парá入ov: cp. 1. 29.— infyero: iterative, the news passing from mouth to mouth. - $\mu$ крลิv ruxôv: connecting Athens and Piraeus. - $\delta$ Itepos: anacolu-
 instead of $\boldsymbol{o}^{i} \mu \omega \gamma^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$, had preceded. The gen. abs. would be the regular construction. - $\pi$ eveovivres : another case of anacoluthon, oúdeis

 For the affirmative to be supplied from a preceding negative see on
 the strengthening intensive, as in






 $\tau a \hat{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \nu$.

##  

кrd. : when Melos surrendered to the Athenians in 416 b.c., all the men who were taken were put to death and the women and children sold into slavery. Thuc. 5. 116. The Histiaeans were expelled from their city in 445 b.c. Thuc. 1. 114. Scione and Torone were captured in 421 b.c. The men of Torone were carried as captives to Athens, those of Scione were put to death; the women and children of both cities were sold into slavery. Thuc. 5. 3 and 32. The Aeginetans were expelled from their island in 431 b.c. A large number of them settled in Thyrea, in Peloponnesus. There they were taken prisoners by the Athenians in 424 B.c. and put to death. Thuc. 2.27 and 4. 57. The above enumeration of Athenian crimes has been unjustly adduced as showing an anti-Athenian , prejudice on Xenophon's part. Probably the final ẵ $\lambda$ lovs
modnoús is a rhetorical exaggeration, but for the rest Xenophon is simply stating undoubted facts, and facts which were sure to be vividly recalled by the Athenians at just this time. The whole description of the scene at Athens is manifestly that of an eyewitness. See Introd. p. 10.
4. $\lambda_{\text {thivas : at this time Athens }}$ had three harbors: Munichia and Zea on the eastern side of the Piraeus peninsula, and on the western side the main harbor of Piraeus, including a harbor for war ships (Kávөapos) and one for merchantmen ( $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi o ́ \rho \iota o v) . ~ I t ~ w a s ~$ the last mentioned ( $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta^{\prime} \rho \iota o v\right)$ which was now left open. - amo$x^{\text {waral }}$ : the word is found only in the Hell.
§§ 5-9. All the allies of Athens, except the Samians, go over to the Spartans. The city is blockaded by sea and land.
5. катебкє七йбато : = катєбт $\dot{\eta}^{-}$










 $\dot{\eta} \theta \rho o i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu, \dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ aủroùs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \rho a-$


oaro § I. In all the cities which fell under his control Lysander set up the same form of government, -a Spartan harmost with a native decarchy made up, wherever possible, of the members of the oligarchical clubs which Lysander had himself established. See on I.6.4, and cp. 3.4. 2 and 7. Such governments could be relied upon to support him in his ambitious schemes (see on 3.3.3). -ă àdas . . . Murı $\lambda \nmid \nu \eta v$ : see on 1. 30. Mytilene is especially mentioned because it was the principal city of the island and at last accounts (i. 6. 38) was in the hands of the Athenians. - $k \pi l$ ©pq́k ${ }^{2}$ : as in I. 3. 17.
6. $\gamma \nu \omega \rho(\mu \omega v$ : notables, one of
the various terms by which Xenophon designates the aristocratic, oligarchical faction. This faction was everywhere pro-Spartan.
7. \%raple: sent word. -'A $\mathbf{\text { yv }}$ . . . $\Delta$ ex®letav : see on I. 1. 33.oiv Stakoolats vavol: cp. with the simple dat. in § 5 and see on I. I. II.-'Apyciov: who remained faithful to their alliance with Athens. See on 1. 3. 13.-тapayreliavtos: at the command of.
8. 'Aкаסпиеіq: a gymnasium just outside the walls of Athens to the northward, famous in later days as the place where Plato taught. The following explanatory phrase is probably an interpolation.
9. ате
.
Alyıvfitans:



 тóv，кaì тà $\pi \lambda o i ̂ a ~ \epsilon i \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau o \hat{v} . \epsilon i ̈ \sigma \pi \lambda o v$.







 סos．－$\pi$ 入oia：merchantmen，es－ pecially grain ships．See on I．I． 15 and I．2．1．
§§ 10－15．The besieged Athe－ nians sue for peace，but are unwill－ ing to accept the terms offered by the Lacedaemonians．

10．el $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ：except．The con－ nection is not quite logical，for to suffer the pains which they had in－ flicted could not properly be called safety；but oúderíav owtఇpiav may be understood to mean loosely＇no way out of it，＇＇nothing for it．＇${ }^{\alpha}$ ：construe with $\dot{\eta} \delta i ́ \kappa o u v$ as well as $\dot{\text { énoínoav．－où } \tau \mu \omega \rho o u ́-~}$ $\mu$ evor：not in retaliation，with which is contrasted $\delta \dot{a} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \bar{v} \beta \rho \iota v$.
 had inflicted unjustly；that is，

added adverbial idea which is justi－ fied by and supplements $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ r \grave{\eta} v$ $\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \nu$ ．For the plupf．meaning of the impf．see on $\dot{a} \delta \kappa \kappa \hat{\eta}$ 1．7．20．－ －ưठ ．．．$\mu \dot{1}$ ；not a single．oủסè ．．．氏is is regularly stronger than ovideís．Cp．none and not one in English．－ikelvots：the Lacedae－ monians．－For the tone of this section see on § 3 ．

II．Tov̀s drifovs ：the reference is particularly to members of the oligarchical faction who had been concerned in the revolution of the Four Hundred in 411 b．c．（see Introd．p．18）and had conse－ quently forfeited，either wholly or in part，their political rights． Through a measure known as the Amnesty of Patroclides these men were now restored to full citizen－ ship，and the oligarchical party

















was thus materially strengthened． －ou $\delta$ se入ᄉүovтo：refused to make overtures．The impf．denotes＇re－ sistance to pressure．＇$-\sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \mu а \times$ о
 recognize the hegemony of Sparta while still retaining their own inde－ pendence．－$k \pi i$ rov́rous：on these terms．

12．oú ydp ．．．kíplos：for he had no authority，i．e．to conclude peace．For the inf．see on eiva I． 14.
 an interpolation．Sellasia was in

Laconia，being a town near the

 very spot，without coming any further．－el rt Stovtal elphins： note the ind．，if they really had any desire for peace．－ки́a人iov 乃ou－入evoapivous：i．e．with a more reasonable proposal．

14．\＆ws àv $\pi \in \mu \pi \omega \sigma เ v:$ while they should be sending．－т甲̂ $\lambda_{七 \mu \hat{\varphi}}^{\text {：}}$ the（before－mentioned）famine． Contrast $\lambda_{\iota} \mu \hat{\omega}$（without the art．） § 1 I ．

15．$\sigma u \mu \beta o v \lambda e v e t v: ~ t o ~ p r o p o s e ~$









入ov סıà $\tau o ̀ ~ e ́ m \iota \lambda \epsilon \lambda o \iota \pi e ́ v a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma i ̂ \tau o \nu ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a ~ o ̈ ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau ı s ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o \iota ~$
any measure．－eivar ：in ind．disc．， depending upon $\epsilon i \pi \omega ́ v$ ．See on I． 6．7．－पaks $\delta a \mu \mu$ vilots：construe
 see on ėmì тoúros § II．－$\pi$ роика－入oûvro 8 è krí．：Sparta＇s terms had evidently been made known to the Athenian ambassadors at Sellasia （§ 13）．－ § 3．－ikartpov ：instead of éx́óvtov， because the reference is to each of two walls．The gen．is partitive，
 which serves as the obj．of ка $\theta$ e入eiv．

 act of the demagogue Cleophon， and it was on this account that the oligarchs brought about his death． See on 1．7． 35 ．
§§ 16－23．Theramenes is sent to Lysander and afterward to Sparta．The harder terms of
peace which the Spartans now offer are accepted．

16．тotoút $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ठvт $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，conditions being such． －पúrarbpor：who at this time with part of his fleet was be－ sieging Samos．Cp．§6．－ザझat： would come back．－$\beta$ ov入opevor avrtxovor：note the emphasis upon the part．，whether it was because they wished ．．．that they in－ sisted．Cp．1．5．6．－$\pi$ iotems iveca：to obtain a guarantee of good faith，parallel with $\beta$ oviópevoo．－ tpeits $\mu$ भीvas krd．：from December， 405，to the last of March， 404 b．c． For Theramenes＇mission and con－ duct cp．Lys．12．68－70．－imıтทрผิv dmbтe：waiting for the time when． －kmide入otrival ròv $\sigma$ irov：it seems from this that the state－ ment in § II was an exaggeration． －dravta：anything and every－
















thing, further explained by ö Tı $\tau \iota s$ $\lambda$ éro.
17. кartXot, кe入cíot: representing the imperfects of the dir. disc. See on 1.7.5.- itra: often used without $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ when a $\mu \epsilon ́ v$ has preceded. -kipios . . . lфópovs: for the cases
 - els: because of the idea of motion contained in $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau^{\prime} s$. סikaros aüros: with nine others. The phrase serves to designate Theramenes as the leader of the embassy.
 with others, (namely) Lacedae-
monians. See on 1. 4. 2. -'Aptorore $\lambda \eta \nu$ : afterwards one of the Thirty. See 3.2 and 13.
 condition, i.e. with what proposals.

 סer0al : for the redundant negative see on $\mu \eta \delta^{\delta} \varepsilon$ 1. 7. 32. For the facts as explained by the Thebans see 3.5.8. - kjauptiv: sc. 'A Orjuas, $^{2}$ from 'A $\theta$ quaious.
 סuiv : the statement of Justin (5.7) is familiar: negarunt Spartani se ex duobus Graeciae oculis alteram



 Sas каӨévias tò̀ av̉тò̀ éX $\theta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o \nu ~ \nu o \mu i \zeta o \nu \tau a s ~$






eruturos，the Spartans said that they would not put out one of the two eyes of Greece．More than thirty years later，when seeking an alliance with the Athenians，the Spartans reminded them of this act of clemency（Hell．6．5．35）．－ toîs $\mu$ लүírots kıvסuvols：the Per－ sian wars．－lmotov̂vto：conative impf．，offered to make．－ $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ ： on condition that．For the follow－ ing inf．see S．2279；HA． 999 a； B． 596 ；G． 1460 ；Gl．567．－ròv
 тєíXV．一 тois фuyásas ka0＇vтas： allowing their exiles to return． These exiles were mostly of the oligarchical party，which was thus still further strengthened．See on § II and 3．2．－Tòv aúròv ．．．人akeठaヶนovious：a conventional for－ mula for the conclusion of an offen－ sive and defensive alliance．Note
 accs．and that $\Lambda a k \varepsilon \delta a u \mu o v i o s$ de－ pends upon tòv aủróv（S．1500； HA． 773 a；B．392， 2 ；G． 1175 ；
 another common formula，indicat－ ing recognition of the Spartan hegemony．－Sparta＇s terms were severer than those at first offered （§ 15 ），yet they cannot be called unduly harsh．They are stated in substantially the same form as here by Diodorus（13．107）and Plutarch（Lys．14），－who gives what purports to be an exact copy of the proposals formulated at Sparta，－except that both these writers add the condition that Athens should relinquish all her foreign possessions．

21．фоßoúpevol：see on $\theta$ av $\mu \dot{a}^{-}$ そovtes 1．4．13．－$\mu$ 人גлtv：to de－ lay．





 $\kappa a \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ каì тà тєíx $\eta$ катє́бкаттоע vín' aủ $\eta \tau \rho i ́ \delta \omega \nu$
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 $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \pi^{\prime} \lambda \iota \stackrel{\Gamma}{ }.\right]$

22. molofvro: ind. disc. for the غ́лoloûvto of § 20. - проサүб́pit: as in 1. 1. 27.
23. кarimict : coming from Samos (see on § 16). According to Plut. Lys. 15 the formal surrender took place on the 16 th of Munichion, ie. toward the close of April (404 в.C.). - кartoкамто⿱: began to tear down. See on 1.3.4. - úmb: to the music of. - ${ }^{1} \mathrm{pX}$ atv: was the beginning.
[§ 24 Notice of events in Sicily.]
24. This section is almost certainly an interpolation. Cp. 1. I. 37, 1. 5. 21, and see Introd. p. 25.

The capture of Acragas has been already recorded in 1. 5. 21. 'Eppoxpárovs: not the Hermocrates of 1. 1. 27 f. - drupdivvךनe: became


Chapter 3, §§ 1-3. The establishment of the Thirty Tyrants. Lysander sails for Samos, and Agis disbands the Peloponnesian army. 404 в.c.

1. [ $\boldsymbol{\phi}$. . . $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{6}$ ] : an interpolation. With the first clauses ( $\Phi$
 vos 1. 2. 1, and see Introd. p. 24 f. The latter part of the passage ( $\%$. . . $\dot{\omega} \delta \mathrm{s}$ ) depends upon the preceding, and must also be re-




 oî rov̀s matpíous $\nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \psi o v \sigma \iota, ~ \kappa a \theta ' ~ o v i s ~ \pi o \lambda \iota-~$
garded as spurious．For the case of $\dot{\Phi}$（and j）see on 1．4．12．－ovik
 they do not name the year after him as archon eponymous，but write $\boldsymbol{e} \pi i$ ávapxías（i．e．in the archonless year）instead of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$

 is characteristically brief（see Introd．p．27）in his description of the establishment of the Thirty． We learn from other writers that after the fall of Athens the ex－ treme oligarchs，strengthened by the enfranchisement（2．II）and restoration（2．23）of many of their number，began a vigorous campaign to overthrow the exist－ ing democracy and set up an oligarchy in its stead．With this purpose they appointed a central committee of five so－called ephors and succeeded in imprisoning sev－ eral prominent democratic leaders． They were opposed，however，not only by the extreme democrats， but by a party of moderates， headed by Theramenes．Finally， they summoned Lysander from

Samos，whither he had gone after the surrender of Athens（see on § 3 ），and with the help of his threats intimidated the Assembly into passing the decree here men－ tioned．That this was a com－ promise between the extreme oligarchs and the moderates is indicated by the fact that ten of the Thirty were named by the ephors and ten by Theramenes． The remaining ten were nominally chosen by the Assembly from among＇those present，＇but actu－ ally they were not representatives of the democrats．Thus Athens fell under a government modeled after the oligarchical decarchies which Lysander had established （see on 2．5）in other captured cities．For the whole matter cp． Lys．12． 43 f．and $71-76$ ， 13 ． 13 f．， Arist．Const．Ath．34．3，Diod．I4． 3 f．，Plut．Lys． 1 5．－ot ．．．ovy－ үpáぬourt：i．e．they were appointed to draw up a constitution based upon the legislation of Solon and Clisthenes，discarding the radical， extreme democracy of more recent times．According to Aristotle

















and Diodorus it was one of the conditions of the peace of 404 в.c. that Athens should be governed ac-
 For the rel. clause of purpose see S. 2554; HA. 91I; B. 59I; G. 1442; Gl. 615.-Kpıías: Critias, a returned exile, was leader of the extreme oligarchs.
 the third time. Since he first undertook the siege of Samos (see on 2. 16) Lysander had been recalled to Athens to receive the surrender of the Athenians (see
on 2. 23) and again to aid in establishing the Thirty. - Exdorous: the several contingents of the allies.
[§§ 4-5. Notice of events in Thessaly and Sicily.]
4. This section and the following are probably interpolations.
 $\psi \iota v$ : on September 3d. -ăp ${ }^{\text {ans }}$ : the tense as in érvpávvəre 2. 24.
5. Мєovtivol . . . бuvoukoจิvtes: they had been subjugated and compelled to remove to Syracuse.


 á $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$.






 $8 \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \tau$ ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu$ катà $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \tau \alpha i ̂ \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$








- dmionnoav els: revolted and returned to. Cp. on 1. 1. 23.-cls
 to coöperate with traitors who had offered to surrender the city to Dionysius.
§§ 6-10. The surrender of Samos. Lysander returns to Sparta in triumph.

6. ठцо入oyciv: i.e. to come to terms. - oưtws : on these terms.
7. rois apxalots mo入itars: the
aristocrats whom the popular party, aided by the Athenians, had driven into exile in 412 B.c. Thuc. 8. 21. - Stka äpxovtas: the usual decarchy. See on 2. 5.
8. axpштtpıa: as trophies. -
 contrast with the public booty. mepicyivoveo: for the agreement cp. 1. I. 23 and see Introd. IV. A. —mapéftctv: as in 1. 14. - ct $\pi t$ : see on $\epsilon i \not \approx \tau \iota v a 2.2$.






 $\xi \iota \pi \pi о \varsigma, ~ \Pi \iota \tau v ́ a s, ~ \Pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda a s, ~ K \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \mu a \chi o s, ~ " I \lambda a \rho \chi o s$,

 ＇Ібías，＂Аракоя，Еv̉ápхıптоя，Паขтак入ท̂s，Пıтv́as， ＇A $\overline{\text { 人 }}$





9，10．［als $\delta$ ．．．kartmiev－ $\sigma \in v]$ ：almost certainly an interpo－ lation．See Introd．pp．24，26．－
 error for $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \tau a ́$ ．The war lasted from 43 I to 404 B．c．－ol dpl $\mathrm{A}_{\mu}$ ovi－ $\mu e v o r: i . e$ ．the eponymous ephors．
 nians reduced Euboea in 446 в．c．， and in the following year con－ cluded a thirty years＇truce with Sparta．Thuc．I．II4 f．－Here ends the First Part of the Hellen－ ica，completing Thucydides＇story of the Peloponnesian War．See Introd．p．2I f．
§§ 11－14．The rule of the Thirty Tyrants．They obtain a Spartan garrison to support them．
 resuming the narrative of $\S 2$. The Thirty were probably ap－ pointed in the early summer or

 $\dot{\oplus} \tau \epsilon$ ，indicating the terms on which， i．e．the powers with which，the Thirty were appointed，approaches very near to a meaning of purpose． －то入เтévotvro：opt．in implied
 In the corresponding кa $\theta^{\circ}$ ovis












тодетєv́roval of $\S 2$ the ind. is retained. See GMT. 134, 574. yendov: as in 2. 21.- aúrois: i.e. from their own (oligarchical) partisans.
12. iv тî $\delta \eta \mu$ ократlq : i.c. before the recent overthrow of the democ-
 were professional informers, who extorted money from individuals by threats of prosecution or brought suits for the sake of obtaining a share of the fines which might be imposed.-ка入ois káya0its: properly 'men of culture,' but the term is often, as here, a conventional designation for the aristocratic party. Cp. $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau o v s ~ § 22$ and $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i ́ \mu \omega \nu$ 2. 6. - vinfीyov 0avárov: see on I.
 for the Thirty had conferred upon their Senate judicial functions.
 alone among Attic writers occasionally uses the opt. with $\ddot{a} v$ in object clauses, instead of the regular fut. indic. See Introd. IV. G. I, and GMT. 351 and App. IV. In such cases $\boldsymbol{o} \pi \omega$ s is really interrogative and its clause an indir. question with the potential opt. The Thirty asked themselves how it would be possible. GMT. 351, 2; GS. 447. - трөтоv $\mu$ iv: according to Aristotle (Const. Ath. 37. 2) the establishment of a Spartan garrison in Athens was one of the last acts of the Thirty. It is altogether probable, however, that Aristotle is in error. See Introd. p. 33 f. - Alox ${ }^{\text {( }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ve kal 'Aptotote $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\eta} \mathrm{v}$ : both members of the Thirty (§ 2) and the latter apparently a friend of Lysander (2. 18). - фpoupovis . . . deteivv : to


 ф $\rho o v \rho o v ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ K a \lambda \lambda i ́ \beta ı o \nu ~ a ́ \rho \mu о \sigma т \grave{\nu ̀ ~} \sigma v \nu \in \pi \rho a \xi \in \nu$ av̉roîs








aid them in securing the coming of a garrison. фpoupoús is subj. of $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \in i v$, which is the obj. inf. after $\sigma v \mu \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi a$, while $\sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota$ depends upon oív in composition. - $8 \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : ironical (forsooth), as in 1. 27, 1. 7. 8. It was not merely against rov̀s novnpoús that the Thirty had designs. - Opl\&tiv aúrol: that they would maintain it (the garrison) themselves, i.e. at their own expense.
14. фpoupoús: 700 in number.
 most installed in the Acropolis, Athens now had a government entirely similar to those which Lysander had established in other captured cities. See on 2. 5.ผs: see Introd. IV. F.- тต̂v $\phi$ роирю̂v: part. gen. depending upon rıvás, the understood obj.
 the ones whom they wished (to arrest), as contrasted with those whom they had arrested in expectation of the support of public opinion (§ 12). Note that whomsoever they wished would have been ovis $\beta$ ovidourto. - ovis ivo $\mu$ gov . . . $\lambda a \mu$ Bávetv: who they thought least willingly endured being thrust aside, and who, if they undertook to offer any opposition, would obtain supporters in the greatest numbers. Note the predicative use of $\pi \lambda$ ciotous.
§§ 15-20. Theramenes opposes the violent measures of Critias. Three thousand citizens are chosen to share in the government and all the other Athenians are disarmed.
 leaders respectively of the extreme












oligarchs and the moderates（see on § 2）．It was not strange， therefore，that they ultimately dis－ agreed．－twel 86 ：the temporal clause which is herewith intro－ duced is left without an apodosis， the original plan of the sentence being obscured in the mind of the writer by the numerous dependent clauses which follow．Cp．1．6． 4 and see Introd．IV．K．－are：for its use and meaning with the part． see S． 2085 ；HA． 977 ；B．656， 1 ； G． 1575 ；Gl． 593 a．－кal：also， i．e．Critias was prompted in his bloodthirsty policy not only by other motives，but also by a de－ sire for revenge．－фuү⿳亠凶禸 vimò roû $\delta \not \subset \mu 0 v$ ：at some time during the year 407－406 b．c．He had re－ turned with the other exiles upon
the fall of Athens（see on § 2）． For the prep．see on 1．1．27．－ ka入oùs káya0obs：as in § 12 ．－ inel kal dy kri ．：the change to dir．disc．as in I． 25.

16．oikelos $\exp \uparrow$ to：treated as a friend．－$\mu{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mathrm{ouv}$ ：for the double neg．see S． 2745 ；HA．1034；B． 434；G．1616；Gl． 572 a．－6t 8t kri．：a transition to dir．disc．as in the preceding §，but without the inserted é $\phi \eta$ ．Ср．ขєvıкйкатє I．I．
 you think that it is any the less nec－ essary to look out for this govern－ ment just as if it were a monarchy． Note that ruparvis does not mean， as English derivatives would sug－ gest，an unjust or despotic govern－ ment，but merely the absolute rule of one who is not of royal blood．












17. kal d8inws: and unjustly too. - то入入ol . . . नuviordurior: it was evident that many were banding together. For the personal construction see on I. 6. 20. - Tıs: as in 1. 5. 15.- $\lambda \neq \psi$ оtro: for this form of fut. cond. see on $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma 0$ I. I. 35. It is frequently used, as here, in a threat or warn-ing.-төิv траүцárov: the governinent, as in 1.6. 13.
18. of . . . тpld́коvтa: this term is used to designate the tyrants as a body even when, as here, it does not refer to the whole number.

 — $8 \dagger$ : ironical again. The Thirty had no intention of surrendering any part of their authority. Similarly, in 4 II B.c., the oligarchy of the Four Hundred enrolled a
body of five thousand citizens to whom they promised, but never granted, a share in the government.
 to this also. - тоे трڤ̂тov $\mu \mathbf{i v}$ : in the first place, correlative with є̀ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau a \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ below. - $\beta$ ounopívovs . . . тproxidlovs: that, wishing to make the best of tho citizens their associates, they made just three thousand (their associates). The words кoıvшvoùs тoเท́ซaбөal do double duty. See KG. 597, 2 f. - ※ँтep тòv dépı 0 òv . . . E"Xovta : the acc. absolute, which is regular with the part. of an impersonal verb, is occasionally used with other verbs when $\dot{\omega}$ or $\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ introduces the absolute clause. S. 2078 ; HA. 974 ; B. 658, N.; G. 1570; Gl. 591 a;













кri．：must of necessity be，etc．For elvau see S．2004；HA． 952 ；B． 641 ；G． 1521 ；Gl．565．－ơّт＇．．． otov re din：（as if）it would not be possible．The clause is parallel with the preceding acc．abs．，but with change of construction，as though introduced by $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ à $\nu$

 －Bralar tìv apXìv ．．．ката－ oкevafouivous：establishing a gov－ ernment of violence．Note the pred．use of $\beta$ cuíav．－п̈тттva тติv apxopivav：weaker than the gov－ erned，i．e．those outside the 3000 ．

20．${ }^{1} \xi(\mathrm{tractv}$ ：i．e．a review under arms．－Tヘิv ess rov karà－ $\lambda$ dov：all the other citizens ex－ cept the 3000 ．With кata入óyov
 dגлaxov：in different places，thus
dividing the disfranchised and pre－ sumably disaffected citizens into small，easily manageable divisions． －Ofooar rà 8mia：to pile their
 while they were away，i．e．from the mustering places．The citi－ zens are temporarily relieved from duty by the order to pile arms． When they have straggled off， for rest or for food，their arms are seized by the emissaries of the Thirty．－roìs фpoupoús：the Spartan garrison．－тழ̣ vaథ̂：of Athena，i．e．the Parthenon．－Aris－ totle（Const．Ath．37．2）puts the disarming of the Athenians after the execution of Theramenes．See on § 13 ．
§§ 21－23．Arbitrary execu－ tions and confiscations by the Thirty．Theramenes＇continued


 каì тoîs фроироîs хрท́mara סıסóval, кaì $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau о i ́-$











opposition leads them to plot against him.
 ( $\mathbf{~ s )}$ ) it was now possible. For the acc. abs. see on § I9. - т $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ нетоiкшv: dependent upon Éva, which is obj. of $\lambda \alpha \beta \in i v$. The brother of Lysias, the orator, was one of those who were thus seized and put to death. See Introd. p. 34 and cp. Lys. 12. 5-17. The metics were for the most part merchants and manufacturers, and many of them were wealthy. Ikaotov: of the Thirty.
22. $\quad \phi \eta$ : the insertion of a superfluous $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ after an introduc-
ing verb of saying (here dimekpívaro) is not unusual. - ка入фv: honorable. - ouxoфavrûv: see on § 12. - $\lambda a \mu \beta$ ávovv . . . cluv: see on $\epsilon i \eta$ 1. 6. 20. - $\mu \eta \delta \ell v$ : instead of ovi $\delta \frac{1}{v}$ because the part. is conditional, - even though guilty of
 ov่, nonne. - тథิ пavrl: altogether.
 $\mu e ́ v \omega \nu$. A 'short-cut comparison.'
23. пovify 8 tc $\beta$ ouidotro: : the dearest hope of the Thirty. Cp.
 § 2I. - Boudeurds: who now have judicial power. Cp. § 12. - is ... roditelav: on the ground
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that he was injuring the govern－ ment，i．e．the oligarchy．
§§ ．24－34．Critias＇speech against Theramenes．
 than is fitting．－avdyкך：sc．$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$, it is inevitable．－тois ．．．$\mu$ elıotâor：
 $\tau \eta v$ ：the population of Athens and Piraeus at this time，after the great losses incurred in the Peloponnesian War，was probably about 100,000 ．

believing ．．．knowing．Hence the former is followed by the inf．，the lat－ ter by a ö́ $\iota$ clause．－roîs oloıs $\dot{\eta}^{\mu}$ iv

 $\pi \epsilon \rho$ aútós 1．4．16．－$\delta$ нìv $\delta$ fipos ．．．
 the aristocrats．See on kajoîs кá $\gamma$ aOois § $12 .-\gamma v \omega \mu_{\eta}$ ：approval． 26．tav ．．．alotavape日a ．．． el ．．．$\lambda \nu \mu a(v e \tau a l$ ：the first states the general principle，the second passes to the particular，actual case．















－$\lambda$ vда⿱䒑土ттан ：here with the dat．，in $\S 23$ with the acc．The meaning of the verb is unchanged．－тaútp गиी катабтל́re：lit．this establish－ ment，i．e．this（established）gov－ erniment．Cp．каӨírapev above．

27．тourovt：here．S． 333 g ； HA． 274 ；B． 147 ；G． 412 ；Gl． 210. －ots סívaral：by what means he can．－dжодג́́vтa：conative pres．
 this is true．－тà mapovra：the present proceedings．－cl．．．тav̂ta dylyvoore：if he had held these views．－то入éplos $\mu \grave{v} \nu \bar{\eta} v$ ：without ${ }_{a}^{d} v$, since the conclusion is stated as a past fact．On the other

would be true in the present，as－ suming the reality of $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{i} \gamma v \omega \sigma \kappa \kappa$ ． Note that the first apodosis is logically subordinate to the sec－ ond，－although an enemy，still he would not，etc．Cp．1．7． 28.

28．vov 86 ：but as it is，turn－ ing from the unreal hypothe－ sis to the actual fact．－aúrós： emphatic，＇it was he who began．＇ The nom．is left without a verb， the sentence continuing in the
 Introd．IV．K．－ 8 mos：purpose， since ov̉к＇่́＇av̀тథ̂̀ ápévкє means not merely he disapproves，but he ex－ presses his disapproval，he op－ poses．－avं：again，in the event














of another change in the government.

8бч ха入етట́repov: as much more dreadful a thing than war, as it
 ктd.: the thought is 'as much more hateful, as men's treatment of the former is harsher than their treatment of the latter.' Translate and more hateful, inasmuch
 - ôv . . . $\lambda a \mu \beta$ avшot: whomever they catch playing the traitor, a pres. gen. protasis. The apod. is contained in the gnomic aorists
 equivalent to presents and parallel with $\sigma \pi i ́ v \delta o v r a l ~ a n d ~ \pi \kappa \sigma \tau o \grave{~}$
 future.
30. 8rt . . . mout: that these doings of his are nothing new. каıขá is predicative. - тцнанеvos: concessive. - кaтג̀ . . . "Aүvшva: like his father, Hagnon. Connect with the following. - rovs retpakoolovs : for the history of the oligarchy of the Four Hundred (411 B.c.) see Introd. p. 18. That Hagnon and Theramenes were in fact prominent in the movement is proved by the statements of other authorities. Cp. Lys. 12. 65, Thuc. 8. 68, Arist. Const. Ath. 32. 2. dyvitadobvti... ovviotapevov: that some opposition to the oligarchy was gathering. The Athenian fleet re-
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fused allegiance to the new govern－ ment．－aũ ：changing sides again． －ixelvovs：the Four Hundred．

31． 8 万rov：of course．－\＄mt－ кadeitau：is nicknamed．－［kal ．．． d $\mu \phi$ ort $p \omega v$ ］：probably a marginal note，in explanation of the pre－ ceding，which has been incorpo－ rated in the text．The second clause appears to mean and he （i．e．Theramenes）faces both ways． — © i ．．．oì mpoayctv кTd．：ought not to be clever at leading his com－ rades into dangerous undertakings， etc．－toos ．．．катабтడิनเv：lit． until they come into a fair breeze， i．e．get a favoring，instead of an adverse，wind．－cl $8 \in \mu \boldsymbol{l}$ ：other－
wise，more fully explained by $\boldsymbol{e}$ ．．．$\pi \lambda$ 白竍．See on 1．3．3．－ $\pi$ mes ．．．тотe：how in the world．

32．clol $\mu$ iv ．．．Oavarngbpot ： logically subordinate to the fol－ lowing dé clause．See on § 27．－ 8斤tov：as in § 31 above．－$\mu$ eraf
 its compounds are followed by the simple inf．as well as the inf．with тov̂．S． 2002 ；HA． $95^{2}$ ；B． 641 ；G． 1526；G1． 565 a ；GMT．749，798．A noun denoting the person affected stands in the dat．，－here $\pi$ तeióros．
 dependent upon $\pi \lambda$ еíatocs．－тîv
 каl：$=\kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho . \quad$ тад $\theta$ ci＇s is there－


















fore concessive，being subordinate to áve入ónevos below．－кataסív－ таs：see on катабебикvías i．6．35．
 ginusae．For the facts here re－ ferred to see 1．6． 35 and 1． 7. 4 f．

33．$\gamma_{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} v$ ：but indeed，in－ troducing the conclusion．See Introd．IV．D．4．一Tis．．．oiv：as in § 22．－فs $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ．．．$\delta v v a \sigma 0 \hat{\mathrm{u}}$ ：in－ stead of the regular ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega s \mu \eta$ with the fut．indic．See Introd．IV．G．

2，and GMT． 35 I and App．IV．－
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ § 27.

34．ка入入юбтך кrd．：Critias is said to have been the author of a treatise on the Spartan con－ stitution．Athenaeus 1I． 463 e ， Pollux 7．59．－тоÍs пतeloor：i．e．

 ．．．inuiv：of those who hold op－ posite views to yours，i．e．your

















 crats who were in exile.
§§ 35-49. Theramenes'defense.
35. גy由 8t oúx ग๋pxov . . . גóyov: it is possible that this claim has a basis of truth (see on 1.7.4), but the following statements are in direct contradiction of Xenophon's narrative (1.7.3-6) and must be regarded as wholly false. The generals never accused Theramenes of neglect of duty (see 1. 7. 6), and it was they, not he, who maintained (ib.) that the storm prevented the rescue. $\delta \dagger \pi=v:$ putting this doubtful as-
sertion as though it were a matter of common knowledge. See
 concessive. From $\mu$ ot supply $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$
 mevos: saying in my defense. oust . . . $\mu \eta{ }^{\circ} \delta^{\text {rt }}$ : not even . . . much less. S. 2763 d; HA. 1035 a; B. 442, N. ; G. 1504 ; GMT. 708. ciко́тa: what was reasonable.
36. rapavivo understood (the matter), a sarcastic note. - ©єттal(q: where Critias had gone when banished from Athens. Cp. § 15 and Mem. 1. 2. 24. - 8inиократlav







 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ тov̂ $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а т а \sigma \tau \eta ̄ \nu a \iota ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~$






катебкéáse：quietly and artfully suggesting that he（Theramenes） was not the only political turn－ coat．－wiviotas ：serfs．
 Theramenes means to indicate that，despite the charges made against him，he is no friend of de－ mocracy．For the opt．（of wish） see S．1814；HA． 870 ；B． 587 ；
 made emphatic by its separation from тıцшрías．－ठбтıs ．．．evтiv： this is the all－important question． In his answer to it lies the strength of Theramenes＇able defense．－ dv ．．．кplvetv ：apod．of ci кãavoŋ́－ бєтє．S．2356；HA．goi a；B．
 Theramenes on the one hand， Critias and his following on the other．

38．катаテ̈тfीvat ．．．Bovdelav： came into your membership in the Senate．－пौdures：all of us，i．e． of the Thirty．－גүเүข由бкоцкv：as in §§ 27，34．－кa入oús тe кáya0oús： not in the party sense．－кגуш： $I$ also，i．e．as their attitude changed，so did mine toward them．

39．प́́ovtos：general in 406－ 405 в．c．Cp．1．5．16，6． 16. Socrates was detailed by the Thirty to arrest Leon，but refused obedience．Plato，Apol． 32 c．－




















Lnavot : able. - otse tv: see on oủot mệ 2. 10. - Nıxiov: a famous statesman, commander of the Sicilian expedition, and one of the richest men of his time.
40. didd $\mu \eta v$ : and further. 'Avrıфติvros: not the orator Antiphon, who was executed in 4 II b.C.
 in an active sense, would cherish


cp. § 20.—oüס6: because the thought is 'nor did the Lacedaemonians (any more than I) wish to make the city weak.' - $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \neq 1 \mathrm{v} \text { : it }}$ was possible. For the omission of ${ }_{a}{ }^{2} \nu$ see S. 2313 ; HA. 897 ; B. 607 ; G. 1400; Gl. 460. - $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ : emphasizing tov́rov, if this had been what they zvanted. - кal $\mu$ ๆfeva : no one at all. $-\lambda \iota \mu \hat{\varphi}$ : note that it was Theramenes himself who was most responsible therefor. Cp. 2. 16.











 ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ oi $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o v s ~ \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ к \tau \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$,


42. фpoupois $\mu$ moboratar: cp. sion of the Thirty (cp. chap. 4).
§ 13 f . - wos . . . кpartfociv: 'until we, the rulers, should easily have made ourselves masters of the ruled.' Underhill. - i $\mu \lambda \lambda_{\text {opev }}$ : being dependent upon ékóv, which implies non-fulfillment (see on éjə้̄ above), it stands in the impf. ind. after the analogy of a protasis contrary-to-fact. $-\gamma \in$ $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} v:$ and further. Cp. ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta_{\nu}$ § 40, and see Introd. IV. D. 4. ©parvßoudov: one of the foremost Athenian leaders during the latter part of the Peloponnesian War (cp. 1. 1. 12 et passim), afterwards at the head of the movement which resulted in the expul-
-"Avurov: an able supporter of Thrasybulus against the Thirty, in later days notorious as one of
 he had not returned to Athens after Aegospotami (cp. 1. 25). Xenophon fails to mention the fact that Critias, who hated and feared Alcibiades, procured his assassination through the help of Lysander. Cp. Plut. Alc. 38 f. - oṽтш $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ : see on toúrov $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \leqslant$ § 4 I . -rd durliadiov: the opposition, as the term is used in modern politics. -ikavol: as in § 39.
43. ovitor . . . ovitot: taking up with emphasis the preceding par-





 $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \gamma i ́ \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \grave{\eta}$ à oùtol $\pi \rho a ́ t r o v \sigma \iota \nu ; ~ \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$ oif $\mu \mathrm{a} \nu v ิ \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \nu o \mu i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{a}$








ticipial substantives．Cp．rov́rovs 1．7．25．－oüઠiviv dínkoûvтas：a statement of fact．Contrast $\mu \eta \delta \AA \bar{\nu}$ ádeкov̂vtas § 22．－тposiס́óvres ．．． roùs $\phi$ aovs：with reference to § 33 ．

44．á ty ${ }^{\text {dity }}$ ：my policies，
 ．．．Boíneotau：would prefer．－
 xpatiorov：the best element．－
 －тd $\boldsymbol{\ell \pi r \beta a l v e l v : ~ t o ~ s e t ~ f o o t , ~ s u b j . ~}$ of eival．

45．à $\delta^{\prime}$ aṽ єtrev：and again，as to his statement．－olos ．．．Meta－乃а入入сन0а：S． 2003 ；HA． 952 ；B．

64 I ；G． 1526 ；Gl． 565 a．－та0ra： referring to the following．－ im ： in the time of．—кal aürbs：кai emphasizes the pronoun（cp．кaì $\mu \eta \delta t v a$ § 41），it was the people it－ self which voted．For the fact cp．Thuc．8．54，69，Arist．Const． Ath．29． 1.

46．For the events referred to in this section see Introd．p．18．－ lkeivor：the Lacedaemonians．－ ousiè dulearav：did not relax their efforts at all in prosecuting the
 i．e．the three who are named and their fellow generals．For $\mathbf{a}^{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\phi} \dot{i}$

МєлávӨıov каì 'A ${ }^{\prime}$
















see Introd. IV. C. 2. - $\sigma \tau \rho a r \eta y$ रôv-
 $\mu a r t:$ the peninsula (Eetionea), commanding Piraeus. - icralpors: members of the oligarchical clubs, which bore the name éraıpial.
47. атокалеє: regularly used of an abusive name. - $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ оте каl: both words add emphasis to the question, whatever in the world, etc. Ср. тí каì סєठıóтes 1. 7. 26.
48. Ikelvols $\mu \mathrm{èv}$ ктé. : am forever at war with those who do not think there could be a good democracy

those who would sell. The participle with $\not \approx \nu$ represents a pot. opt. S. 1845 ; HA. 987 a; B. 662 ; G. 1308; Gl. 595. - $\delta \rho \operatorname{pax} \mu$ ๆิs : gen. of
 have a share in it, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \dot{\mathrm{o}} \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, the government. For the opt. see S. 2450; HA. 924, 92 I b; B. 627, 624; G. 1470, 1471, 2 ; Gl. 644 c. - oî oủk olovtal kret. : who do not think that a good oligarchy could be established until they should bring the state to being ruled absolutely by a few. - $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ : connect with $\delta$ daтátтєเv. - тоîs $\delta \mathbf{v v a \mu} \dot{\text { ivors }}$






 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \theta \nu \eta ̆ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$.




dowlfuv: i.e. those who are able at their own expense to equip themselves as horsemen ( $\mu \in \theta^{*}$ $i \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ) or hoplites ( $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \sigma \pi i \delta \omega \nu$ ). - úqعлetv: dependent upon Svva$\mu$ évós and used intransitively. סıarartetv tiv modırelav: i.e. to establish a government in which citizenship should be limited to the class just mentioned. - $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon v$ : in the time of the Four Hundred. This section contains an effective statement of Theramenes' position as a moderate, the foe of extremists in either camp. It is this attitude on his part which explains and justifies the high praise bestowed upon him by Thucydides and Aristotle, who also bear witness to the excellence of the government which he was instrumental in establishing after the fall of the Four

Hundred, a government based upon the principle of limited citizenship as described above by himself. See Thuc. 8. 68. 4, 8. 97. 2, Arist. Const. Ath. 28. 5, 32. 2, 33. 2. It is unfortunate that Theramenes was not more worthy of praise as a man.
 had been guilty, in Thessaly and Athens, of both the offenses here
 кrd. : note the alliteration. - ravтwv : see on I. 2. 18. - doxarárara: the superl. of a superl., évozatos being the normal form.
§§ 50-56. The condemnation and execution of Theramenes.
50. $8 \dagger \lambda \eta$. . . imilopuß $\quad$ бara: had shown its good will by applause.
 would escape. - ov่ $\beta$ rwotov: unen-












durable. - rov̀s . . . Ixxovtas: see § 23. - фavєpผ̂s тî $\beta$ ov入n̂̂: in plain sight of the Senate. - тois $\delta$ Supaктots : the bar or railing, separating the Senate from the auditorium.
51. тробтároväpyov єtval . . . , ds av кTL. : a blending of two constructions, (1) it is the duty of a leader of the right sort (oiov סeî) . . . not to allow, etc., and (2) he is a leader of the right sort who . . . does not allow, etc. For $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \tau \alpha^{-}$ rov see on 1. 7. 2. - olov: for the assimilation in case see on § 25 and 1. 4. 16. - тov̂ro: referring to the preceding. - кaıvoís womots: which the Thirty had been chosen
 גoyov: see on § 20. The gen. depends directly upon kvpíous, which is further explained by the

 Ath. 37. 1) that in order to reach the case of Theramenes the Thirty directed the passage of two laws, the first giving them the power of life and death over all those ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi} \boldsymbol{\xi} \omega$ тov̂ кara入óyov, the second excluding from 'the catalogue' all who had taken any part (as Theramenes had) against the Four Hundred. Kenyon reconciles this version of the story with Xenophon's by supposing that the second law mentioned by Aristotle was brought before the Senate after Theramenes had concluded his defense, and was passed by them under pressure of intimidation. Xenophon, then, has simply made the scene more dramatic by omitting a superfluous detail of legal procedure and recording only (in © ©pa $\mu \dot{v} \eta \eta \nu$. . .
















¿ $\xi a \lambda \epsilon$ ci $\phi \omega$ ) its result. - $\sigma u v \delta_{o k o v ̂ v: ~}^{\text {: }}$ acc. abs. - ineis: we (emphatic), not the Senate, before whom Theramenes was originally (cp. §§ 23, 34) brought for trial.
 the steps of the altar of Hestia in the Senate chamber. - ${ }^{\prime \prime} \eta \eta$ : see on § 22. - тவ̀ . . . ivvoциттата: ' only bare justice' (Grote), a cogn. acc., explained by the following appositive infs. - $4 \pi \mathrm{l}$ : in the power of.
53. тойто . . . тоûto: as тaûтa
 am surprised at you. $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota v$
with the gen. often implies censure. -ărbpes кadol кáya0ol: i.e. gentlemen, in the old English sense of that word.- $l$ : conditional in form (hence $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ), but in sense $=$ ötc. S. 2247 ; HA. 926; B. 598, I; G. 1423; Gl. 654. - каl тaûta yryv@бкоvтes: lit. and that too, although you know, i.e. especially when you know. S. 2083; HA. 612 a; B. 656, 2 ; G. 1573.
54. roùs tv6eka: see on I. 7. 10. The Board was now made up of the most violent oligarchs. - dra tòv Onpauivøv: lit. upon or at Theramenes, i.e. to seize him. -
















 $\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ є̈фабаע ảтокоттаßíбаעта єỉтєî̀ aủтóv.
cine $\mu \mathrm{iv}$ ס Kpirias: anacoluthon, as though éкềvou eive入 $\theta$ óvtes had been in the gen. abs. See Introd.
 the proper place (the prison) do what follows from this, i.e. put him to death.
55. ठ the supplementary parts. being understood. - тd ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta$ ev: the space before. - dyvoôิvтes: with $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta}$, construction according to sense.

a very loud voice, lit. with his voice (made) very loud. Tท̂ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \quad \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ would mean with his loud voice. - olp@§otro: would suffer, would rue it. - $\sigma \omega \pi \dagger \sigma \epsilon\llcorner\varepsilon$ : inceptive aor. - ăpa: then. - тd кбvєเov: see on $\beta$ ápa日 $\rho o v$ 1. 7. 20. - фтокоттаßібагта: thrmving out. The reference is to the game called кótraßos, in which one threw the last drops from a wine cup into a metal basin, at the same time pronouncing the name and wishing the health of the one whom he

 тồ ả $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ y a \sigma \tau o ́ v, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta a \nu a ́ t o v ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma т \eta \kappa o ́ t o s ~$
 $\psi u \chi \eta{ }^{2} s$.
1





 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \dot{v} \pi \sigma \chi \omega \rho \circ \tilde{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．

loved．The sound made by the drops striking the basin was re－ garded as an augury of the success or failure of one＇s suit．Here ＇Theramenes invokes and prophe－ sies for Critias the destruction which was so soon to overtake him．＇一т甲̂ ка入ि ：my beloved，col－ loquial Attic．－rav̂ra ：subject．－
 \＆it kplva ：here for the first time in the Hell．Xenophon speaks in the first person．See App．p． 356. －ároposs：poss．gen．with éxeîvo．
 tory apposition with ékeivo．－Tठ фроvıцov：Cicero（Tusc．Disp．I． 40．96）translates by animi aequi－


Chapter 4，§§ i－7．Further excesses of the Thirty．Thrasybu－
lus with a small band of exiles seizes Phyle and twice defeats forces sent against him by the tyrants．

 estates．－фevyơvтшv ：sc．aùvติv，and when they fled．See on 1．I． 26. －кal tvrei0ev：from there（ $\mathrm{Pi}-$ raeus）also．－M＇үapa ．．．Otpas ： the Spartans，at the instigation of the Thirty，issued an order forbid－ ding any Greek state to harbor
 $\tau \omega v$ ），but Thebes and Argos led the way in disobeying this order．

2．Ex 8 t rov́rov：according to Aristotle（Const．Ath．37．1）the seizure of Phyle took place before the execution of Theramenes．See on 3． 13 and 3．20．－Opacífouios： cp． 3.42 and 3．44．－${ }^{-\infty}$ ：connect

 $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ o \iota s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ i \pi \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon u ̉ \eta \mu \epsilon \rho i ́ a s ~$
 $\tau \omega ̂ \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ o \nu, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi о i ́ \eta \sigma \alpha \nu ~$


 є́mı $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$







 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Фи入ウ̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ є ̇ \pi \tau а к о \sigma i ́ o v s , ~} \lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ aủrov̀s катаßаívєı
 strong mountain fortress on the border between Attica and Boeotia． －imetiot ：the cavalry was an aris－ tocratic body and stoutly supported the Thirty．－kal $\mu \dot{\omega} \lambda^{\prime}$ cúnueplas oüनns：the weather being very fine indeed．An emphasizing кai is fre－ quently prefixed to $\mu a{ }^{\prime} \lambda a$ ，while the latter adverb is as frequently con－ nected with nouns which contain an adjective idea．－ciò̀s $\mu \hat{\mu} v: \mu^{\prime} v$ is correlative with $\delta \in \in$ in § 3 ．

3．\＆кто入ıopкhostav：force them （Const．Ath．37．1）states that Phyle was seized＇at the begin－ ning of the winter，＇i．e．of 404－403 B．c．－ $\boldsymbol{v} \pi \boldsymbol{b}$ ：because of the passive meaning of ámoßa入óvтes，which


4．rds ${ }^{6} \sigma$ xardas ：the outlying districts，in the direction of Phyle． －סoov ：about．－$\delta$ vio фu入ds：there were ten divisions of cavalry（as of hoplites），one from each of the tribes（ $\phi v \lambda a i$ ）．

5．repl imtakoolous ：the com－

















bination serves as a gen., with
 korious 1. 2. 18. Note that some time has passed since § 2 and that Thrasybulus' force has vastly increased.
6. $\pi \rho b_{s}$ : towards. - avlotavтo: sc. oi фpoupoi, were getting $u p$ and going. That the verb is used in this free sense, implying motion, is clear from the following. -8тои . . . Ikaotos : i.e. going about their several duties. - т $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ $8 \pi \lambda \omega v$ : the camp, strictly the place where the arms were piled. - $\sigma$ ots
. . . oṽs: = èvíous. S. 2513, 2514 ; HA. 998 ; B. 486, N. ; G. 1029; Gl. 614 a . -imька入oúpevov: see on 3. 3I. - кal... St : and also, correlative with $\tau \epsilon$. See Introd. IV. D. 3 .
7. тротаiov бтךбapevor: in this phrase Xenophon generally employs the middle of iorávau, while classical writers use only the active.一kT: as in 1.2. II.
§§ 8-9. The Thirty treacherously seize many Eleusinians and force the Three Thousand to condemn them to death.
8. тd жраү ${ }^{\mu}$ ата: as in 3.17.-
















eठтe ：purpose．S． 2267 ；HA． 953 a；B．595，N．；G． 1452 ；Gl． 566 b．－ al סefren ：if it should prove neces－ sary．－тараүүellavres：without a following inf．expressed，as in 2． 7.
 －тe：see Introd．IV．D．I．－${ }^{\text {tv }}$ rois imetort ：lit．among the（Athe－ nian）horsemen，i．e．the horsemen were posted around the reviewing ground as a guard，and made pos－ sible the execution of the plan described below．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{s}$ ．．． троб反atjotvro：how large an ad－ ditional（ $\pi \rho \rho_{0} \sigma$－）garrison they（the Eleusinians）would require．－

 1．4．So tòv è ${ }^{\prime}$ しóvтa á $\epsilon$ í below．－ $\pi \cup \lambda i \delta o s:$ in the town wall．－deaya－ Yovia：to Athens．－According to Lysias（12．52）and Diodorus（14． 32）Salamis suffered in a similar way at the hands of the Thirty．

9．＇$\Omega$ © 8 iov ：a building designed for musical performances．The one which is here referred to was just outside the city to the southeast －あбrtep kal ．．．oŭtw kal：for the idiom see on 1．7．13．－кal тต̂v ．．．$\mu$ ertxetv：a cardinal principle of government with the Thirty was，
$\kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oîv $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota \nu i \omega \nu{ }^{6} 0$




 $\ddot{\epsilon} \mu \in \lambda \in \nu$ ．








as Socrates（Apol． 32 C）says，＇to involve as many as possible in their misdeeds．＇See on 3．39．－＇Excu－ $\sigma$ tviov：for the gen．see on I．5．19． －tva．．．фо阝介िनe：that you may have the same hopes and fears as we．For the case of $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$ see on \aкє $\alpha a \mu \mu$ vious 2．20．－фavepáv： predicative．For the fact see on 1．7．9．
§§ 10－12．Thrasybulus takes possession of Piraeus．The Thirty dispatch a force against him．
 were in one half of the Odeum， fully armed．－тఱิv пo入เтติv：i．e． the Three Thousand．The gen． is partitive．－ $\boldsymbol{k}$ rovirov ：four days
after the battle of § 6．See § $13 \cdot$ －$\pi$ epl ．．．ovveldeymevous：who had now gathered to the number of about 1000 ．Thrasybulus＇victory had quickly brought new recruits to his standard．－dvadípourav： leading $u p$ ，i．e．from the low plain between Athens and Piraeus． For the order of words see on I．1． 23 ．

11．＊it $\mu \mathrm{iv}$ ：for a while，i．e． until the time indicated by the correlative émeì $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ clause．－avit vai aưroús：to let them come up． Cp ．ảvaф́́povarav above．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ кv́－ к $\lambda$ os：$: i . e$ ．the line of the town wall of Piraeus on the land side．The wall itself had been destroyed
 тоv̂ äбтє
 $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ~ i \epsilon \rho o ̀ ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ M o v \nu ı \chi i a s ~ ' A \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \mu น \delta o s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ B e v \delta i ́-~$












(2. 20), but its ruins would still make a natural line of defense. oürt: agreeing with aủrois to be understood after ééóкєє. - Mouvı$x^{c} a v$ : the hill on the eastern side of the Piraeus peninsula. - ' $I_{\pi \pi 0}$ סapciov áyopav: named after Hippodamus, a famous architect, who laid out the town of Piraeus.Bevsifetov: the temple of Bendis, the Thracian Artemis. - oủk ©גar-

 $\mu \eta v \omega ิ v$, pay for more than three months, Anab. 1. 2. 11. S. 1074 ;

$\delta_{\text {sev }}$ : the line of battle was normally eight men deep. In this case the extraordinary depth was due to the limited space. For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ see on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ mâs 1. 6. 29.
12. ơ $\pi \lambda \hat{\lambda}_{\text {ov }}$ : see on oúk ${ }^{\prime} \lambda a \tau-$ rov above. - cls: to the number of, practically equivalent to $\dot{e} \pi i$ above. -aúrobev : from the place itself, i.e. Piraeus. -0todar: to ground.
§§ 13-17. Thrasybulus' speech to his troops.
13. moditat: Thrasybulus gives his men the title of which the Thirty had deprived them. -


















S. 1585 ; HA. 721 ; G. 1063.tidegare: cp. § 6.- ovitot 8 y : even these, although the fact seems too good to be true. - $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \mathbf{s}$. . . olkıิิv: note the rhetorical omission of the articles.- ameonual- $^{\text {a }}$ vovio: the verb regularly means, as in 3. 21, to confiscate. Thrasybulus bitterly applies it to rulers who have treated lives as lightly as property. - тapayeyev $\eta$ vтal: subj. oi ipláкоута. - ovitot: inserted for the sake of the contrast with $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \mathrm{s}$.
14. ${ }^{8} \pi \lambda a \quad \mu i v:$ as contrasted with their other helpers, oi $\delta \in \theta$ eoi.

- $\pi 0 \tau \mathrm{t}$ : once, contrasted with $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ below. - ot \$e kal: see on I. 2. 14. —oux ${ }^{8} \pi$ mes . . . didㄱ oist : not only not . . . but not even. S. 2763 c ; HA. 1035 a; G. 1504. - xєヶนôva आ०เ०vิनเข : cp. §§ 2,3 .

15. kal . . . St: see on § 6.
 over the heads of. - пpods bpetov: up hill. - $\delta$ ората . . . ax́vutia . . . $\pi \dot{\epsilon}$ тpous: referring to the three classes (§ 12) of troops under Thrasybulus. -aưTఱิv : for the gen. see S. 1350; HA. 739; B. 356; G. 1099; GI. 510 d .











 $\kappa \alpha a ̀ ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a ̀ \pi o \theta a ́ \nu \eta \cdot ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i ́ o v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon i s ~ o v ゙ \tau \omega ~ \pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ 120 ~$

 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \dot{\dot{\delta}} \mu \mathrm{o} \theta \nu \mu a \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \beta \rho i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \iota \mu \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a$ тoùs ävסpas．


 1．7．7．－тоis $\gamma$ ү трштобтdтаия：of the enemy．－vôv 86 ：as in 3．28．－ むv：＝$\tau 0$ út $\omega \nu$ ฝ $\nu$ ．

17．8тws ．．．ovveloetat ：obj． clause after ovit $\pi$ noceiv as an ex－ pression of striving．－altเштатоs ouv：of being chiefly responsible．－ тıudes：i．e．the honors that belong to citizenship．－taîbas ．．．xal үvuaikas：see on 1．3．19．－$\delta$ ฤ̂ta： surely．－ov゙rw ：connect with кa－ BROWNSON．HELLENICA－ 12
$\lambda o v ̂ . ~ o u ̈ \tau \omega s$ is not infrequently sep－ arated for the sake of emphasis from the word which it limits．－ тalâva：the battle song，usually addressed to Apollo and invoking his aid．The paean was also a song of thanksgiving after victory．－ ＇Evodicov：the war god，Ares．
§§ 18－22．The Thirty are de－ feated and Critias is killed．Cle－ ocritus＇plea for a reconciliation．

18．ठ $\mu$ áviss：the article be－













 $20 \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau о$. К $\lambda \epsilon$ о́крıтоs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ó $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu v \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi, \mu a ́ \lambda{ }^{\prime}$

cause seers were regularly attached to Greek armies. - utrot: for the opt. see S. 2449 ; HA. 937 ; B. 677 ;


 See on I. 6. 7.
19. тd $8 \pi \lambda a$ : i.e. tàs dà $\sigma \pi i ́ \delta a s$. Cp. § 12. - тt0antat: lies buried. - K ${ }_{\eta \phi \iota \sigma 00}$ : a small stream which crosses the road leading from Athens to Piraeus and empties into the bay of Phalerum. - Tôv
 appointed by the Thirty (Arist. 35. I; cp. 3. 11). The use of
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ would imply that they had been previously mentioned, yet such is not the case. See Introd.
 philosopher Plato and a kinsman
 serving as a nominative. See on § 5 .
 herald of the initiated, i.e. those initiated into the Eleusinian mysteries, for which see on 1. 4. 20. By virtue of the sacredness of his office Cleocritus was able to com-
 $\mu \mathrm{vos}$ : causative, having obtained







 $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau i a s$ каì ètalpías，$\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ रà $\rho$ тovit $\omega \nu$ по入入oì











silence．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \omega \mathrm{v}$ ：for its position see S． 118 I ；HA． 673 c ；B． 458 ；G． 977， 2 ；Gl．554， 553 a．

21．deatplas：comradeship．—odi－ you Seiv：almost．S． 2012 d；HA． 956；B．642，1；G． 1534 ；Gl． 569.
 authorities agree in the statement that 1500 citizens were put to death by．the Thirty．Arist． 35. 4，Isocr．7．67，Aeschin．3．235．－
öкrג $\mu \eta \sigma i v:$ probably June， 404 B．C．－February， 403 b．c．See on 3．II．－Sika \％＇Tท：a round figure for the nine years from 413 B．C．， when the Spartans formally re－ newed the war by occupying Decelea，to 404 в．c．

22．didd ．．．$\mu$ ivtou：but for all that．－Tôv ．．．amo0avovtwv： part．gen．with érctuv ov́s．for which see on § 6．－mod入d ：cogn．acc．，


 $\tau а \pi \epsilon \iota \nu o i ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̈ \rho \eta \mu о \iota ~ \sigma \nu \nu є \kappa \alpha ́ \theta \eta \nu \tau о ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \rho i \varphi \cdot \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$










$=$ bitterly. $-\lambda$ oırol : the survivors of the Thirty and of the Ten in Piraeus. - кal. . . davт@̂v: on account also of the fact that their followers were hearing such things. кaí and $\pi \rho o ́ s$ in comp. support one another in indicating an additional reason - besides the defeat - for the retirement of the vanquished. - amtiyayov: according to Lysias (12.53) the victors allowed them to depart unmolested in the hope of a speedy reconciliation.
§§ 23-27. The Thirty are deposed and retire to Eleusis. A board of Ten is chosen, which continues the war against the democrats in Piraeus. 403 B.c.

23 ol tplakovea: now only
twenty-seven. See on 3. 18. -
 were naturally ( $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ) very greatly dejected, etc., when they held their session, etc. The adjs. are pred. -rı $\beta$ Lacorepov: anything partic-
 the inf. after $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \iota v$, though in ind. disc., regularly takes $\mu \dot{\eta}$. S. 2725 ; HA. 1024; G. 1496; Gl.
 кrd.: 'they had no need of these evils, i.e. there was no reason why they should suffer them.' Underhill. - $\delta$ eka : these Ten, who were supposed to be moderate oligarchs and opposed to Critias and his faction, were chosen to bring about a reconciliation. They




 à ${ }^{\prime}$＇é $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \sigma i ̀ v ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \sigma \pi i \sigma \iota ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$






simply continued，however，the policy of the Thirty．Cp．Arist．
 from each tribe．See on 1．5． 4.

24．＇EגevoivdSe：cp．§ 8．－кal
 tv $\tau \underset{\text { ê }}{ }{ }^{\prime} \Omega \delta_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{\varphi}$ ：lit．slept out in the Odeum（see on § 9），i．e．they were put upon guard duty with quarters in the Odeum．íкка日єúdetv is found only here．See Introd．IV． L．－Yriovs kal ．．．domelסas＂xov－ res：in order to serve，as de－ scribed below，both as horsemen and as hoplites．Normally the horseman did not carry a shield． See on $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i} \pi \pi \omega \nu$ каì $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \sigma \pi \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}-$ $\delta \omega \nu$ 3．48．－The Ten considered the cavalry more loyal to the oli－ garchical cause than the hoplites of the Three Thousand，who had deposed the Thirty．－$\delta \imath^{\prime}$ derovelav：

 the time from evening on．－кard： along．一 $\pi \rho \sigma$ s：as in § 6.

25．modiol：pred．－тavrofa－
 shields，as in § 19．The democrats had been deprived of their arms （3．20）and had to equip them－ selves as best they could．－dev－ koîvro：probably in order to give themselves a＇smart＇and soldierly
 the occupation of Piraeus．－кal cl：even if．－loothecav ifeotal： dependent upon $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ̀$ סóvtıs． The ioote入eis were a favored class of resident aliens who，while en－ joying no political rights，stood upon an equal footing with citizens in respect to taxes and privileges before the courts．－$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 l$ $\mu \hat{i}$











 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̈ ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu . ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к a i ̀ ~$


 to the subj．of $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \sigma a v$－－$\dot{\omega} \sigma t$ ：as
 26．\＆ัтเv 8 тs：sometimes．．Cp．
 deme Aexone，south of Athens，on the coast．－пол入a：earnestly． See on § 22 above．

27．גขтamikтetvav：àvti，in re－ taliation．－\｛iméwv：part．gen． with Ka入入íवт $\rho a \tau o v .-l \pi^{\prime}$ dypov：： in the country．－$\mu$ iүa eqpovovv： lit．thought large，i．e．were proud， confident．A following dat．with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ gives the reason or occasion for one＇s pride or confidence．Cp． §§ 29，40，41．－ci $8 \frac{1}{\text { kal kre：}}$ and if I may also tell of this deed，etc．

Instead of the expected apod．，－ ＇I will tell the story，＇－the story itself follows．The phrase is a formula of apology for mentioning an unimportant incident；but it is characteristic of Xenophon to be interested in an ingenious scheme like the one here described．See Introd．p．30．－rovito：see on таи̂та 3．45－－$\mu \eta$ Хavoтoเov̂：for the gen．see on ávopós 3．56．－
 space between the Lyceum（for which see on 1．1．33）and the city wall．
§§ 28－30．The Thirty and the oligarchs in the city request aid from the Spartans．Lysander is









 $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \nu \tau \alpha$ aủroîs $\delta a \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$, кaì av̉тòv $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \eta ̂ \nu$


 $\theta a ́ \lambda a \tau \tau a \nu$ є́ $\phi$ v́入a є́ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon i \omega \nu \cdot \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \pi \chi \grave{v} \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ èv àmopíq $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ oí èv


sent to Athens as harmost, and is followed by King Pausanias with a Peloponnesian army.
28. тติv tv тب̂ кaтa入oүب: the Three Thousand or, more exactly, their rulers, i.e. the Ten. - otov
 tically equivalent to $\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{e} \pi \pi о \lambda \iota o \rho к \dot{\eta}-}$ бecev ${ }^{a r} \nu$, and hence serving as apod. to $\epsilon i$. . . ámoклєєб $\theta$ ei $\eta \sigma a v$. GMT. 502 ; S. 2359. - $\sigma v v_{\text {tipafev: }}$ sc. то̂́g $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \iota y$. For the following infs. see on è $\lambda \theta \in \mathrm{civ} 3.13$. ikardv tadavia: wherewith to em-
ploy mercenaries (cp. $\mu \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ oфópors § 30 ), for the Spartans declined to send out their own troops. Lys. 12. 59. This loan was magnanimously repaid by the democracy after the restoration. Arist. 40. 3, Isocr. 7. 68, Dem. 20. 12. - aürois: the Thirty (Arist. l.c.) and the Ten. Connect with $\delta a v \in \omega \sigma$ भुval.
29. $\pi$ di $\lambda_{\iota v}$ aĩ: again (as in earlier days) in their turn (as contrasted with the Piraeus party, cp. §27).-lini: see on $\mu$ é $\gamma a$ '̇ф $\rho o ́ v o v v$ § 27. - трохळрои́vruv:













see on toloút $\omega \nu$ ổ $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ 2. 16. cl: = ö $\tau \iota$, as after $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega$ 3. 53. - тpeis: i.e. a majority of the five ephors, to whose authority even the kings were subject. - фpoupáv : the regular term for a Lacedaemonian army.
30. $\pi \lambda \grave{v} v$ Botштفิv kal Koptv0 Cove : the very states which a year before had urged the destruction of Athens (cp. 2. 19). Since then, however, the Thebans had shown the utmost sympathy with the exiled democrats. See § I (and note thereon) and §2. In fact, the old anti-Athenian feeling had been largely dissipated by the present helplessness of Athens, and it was time to be jealous of the power of Sparta. Five years
later the Thebans and Corinthians again refused to follow a Spartan leader (3. 2. 25), and in 395 B.C. they bore a foremost part in actual war upon Sparta (3. 5. 3 f.). cuopkeîvăv: i.e. that they would be observing the treaty to which they had sworn in 404 B.c. The prot. is contained in otparєvó $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ vot, hence the following $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{v}$. -
 the plain between Athens and Piraeus. - \úvavopos: now subordinate to Pausanias.
§§ 31-37. After winning a victory over Thrasybulus' troops Pausanias persuades both parties to send ambassadors to Sparta, seeking a reconciliation.




 $i \pi \pi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \phi \nu \lambda a ́ s, ~ \pi a \rho \eta ̂ \lambda \theta ๔ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к \omega \phi o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda \iota \mu e ́ v a, ~$









off to their homes. Pausanias is not offering the exiles restoration to their former homes and property, but is simply bidding them, as an armed mob, to disperse. - 8Fov amd $\beta$ مoffs trexev: lit. so far as concerned (doing it, - the attacking) only (öoov) by means of shouting, i.e. the attack was merely for appearance' sake, a pretense. - 8io $\mu$ opas: the Spartan army consisted of six $\mu$ ópau, or regiments, varying in strength according to the size of the army which was to be put in the field.- тpsits фulds: see on § 4. - $\pi a p f \lambda \theta \epsilon v$ : along the line of the Piraeus wall. - кшфdे $\lambda_{\text {ı }}$ iva : the still harbor, apparently the small inlet west of Eëtionea (3.46).
32. Mâv: a rare form of the inf., instead of è exavectv. - ivivtas: at full speed. - тd $\delta$ \&ika d中'
 the sense of year-classes, each 'year-class' including those men who reached military age ( $\bar{\eta} \beta \eta$ ), i.e. the age of 20 , in the same year. The whole expression, therefore, means all soldiers between 20 and 30 years old. The youngest ten (or fifteen) year-classes are frequently employed for a charge. Cp. 3. 4. 23, 4. 5. 14, 16, etc. - $\pi p \dot{\rho}_{s}$ to Hespanot 0éarpov: for Piraeus was now without walls. See on §11.
33. of $\downarrow n \lambda o l:$ i.e. oi $\pi \in \lambda \tau a \sigma \tau a i ́$. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \delta \mathbf{v t i f o v} \mathbf{~ к т i . ~ : ~ a s y n d e t o n ~ i s ~ e m - ~}$




 каì ä̉入入oı oi $\tau \epsilon \theta a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota ~ \Lambda а к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi u \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$



 $\tau \iota \nu a ́, \pi \alpha \rho \eta \eta_{\gamma} \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ тоîs $\Lambda а к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i o ı s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~$







 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho o ́ v \tau a s ~ e ́ \phi o ́ \rho o v s . ~ o i ~ \delta ' ~$
ployed to lend vividness to the de－ scription．－६ßa入入ov：sc．$\pi$ є́т $\rho o v s$.
 backwards，i．e．still facing the
 was the title of the commander of a Spartan $\mu$ ópa．一 теөa $\mu \mu$ ivot ：see on
 outer（ $\pi \rho o ̀ ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ）Ceramicus was the usual burial place for Athe－ nians who had fallen in battle．
 $\psi i \lambda \omega \nu$ ，who are thus relieved．－$\left\langle\pi^{\prime}\right.$ óктш：eight deep．－ßabitav：as $\mu \epsilon-$
 let them come to close quarters．－ ＇Anaif：a marshy tract near the head of Piraeus harbor．

35．oü＇ $\mathrm{\omega}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ：not even thus，i．e． although they had disobeyed and attacked him．－ola ：．．$\lambda$ i＇yoveas： with what proposals．－rov̀．．．
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \iota e ́ v a l ~ \omega ́ s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \mu e ́ v o v s, ~ 270$







 é $\chi o \nu \tau a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda a к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i o v s ~ \sigma \pi o \nu \delta a ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~$




1фбpovs: see § $36 .-\delta_{i} l o \pi \eta$ : he divided.-kкelcus: sc. those whom he won over to the cause of peace. - $\sigma$ фâs: i.e. himself and the ephors, as above. Cp. éautஸ̂v I. 6. 36. -oüdiv Siovrat: they had no desire. - Sianveivtes : becoming reconciled.
36. voplserat: it is customary.
 merely to observe and, if called upon, to advise; for in the conduct of a campaign a Spartan king had absolute power. Cp. on § 29. - тीీs . . . ${ }^{\text {bveses }}$ : a blending of two forms of expression, (1) $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ (on the side of) Mavбaviov övтєs, and (2) тîs Пavбa-

as subj. Pausanias and the ephors.

- roús $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ \&к ктi.: both the envoys from Piraeus, having the proposals for peace with the Lacedaemonians, and the envoys from those in the city as individuals, viz. C. and M. i8t $\omega$ tas describes the envoys from the city as unofficial, for they do not represent the government (of the Ten), but only that fraction of the Three Thousand which Pausanias had won over.

37. 8 : naturally.—ol and rov̂ кotvov $\mathrm{kn}^{\text {tov }}$ ăбтecos: the authorities in the city, i.e. the government of the Ten. Contrast iócótas above. - aúrol: as contrasted with rov̀s ėv Пeıpalế be-










low. - Xpffotal 8 tr ßoú入ovtal: a formula for unconditional surrender. $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \mu$ denotes purpose. For ö $\tau \iota$ with $\chi$ p $\bar{\sigma} \sigma$ dal see on 1.2.
§§ 38-42. A Spartan commission arranges the terms of the reconciliation. Thrasybulus and his troops enter Athens. Thrasybulus' speech before the united factions.
38. of "фopot: the three who
 $=\dot{\eta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{i}$, the Spartan Assembly. Cp. 6. 3.3 with 5. 2. II. - тентекайкка: Aristotle (38.4) puts the number at ten. - סiad$\lambda$ afac: to bring about a reconcilia-
 20. - is $\pi \rho$ òs daldtious: lit. as regarded their relations to one another, while the following clause of the compact provides for individuals, the return of exiles, etc.

§ 31, except that here the phrase involves the restoration of the exiles to their former properties. - Tติv Ivoeka: see 3. 54 and note. - tề iv Heipacei dofóvrouv Séka: see § 19 and note. Observe the tense of the part., - who had ruled, i.e. before the occupation by Thrasybulus. The Eleven and the Ten in Piraeus, the creatures of the Thirty, had no doubt followed their masters to Eleusis. Aristotle states (Const. Ath. 39.6) that not only the Thirty, the Eleven, and the Ten in Piraeus were excluded from the peace and amnesty, but also the Ten in Athens who succeeded the Thirty. He further says (38.3) that these Ten had been deposed before the coming of Pausanias, and were replaced by a second Ten. It seems probable, however, that he is wrong on both these points. -

















Aristotle gives in full (39), and Andocides less fully ( 1.90 ), the terms of the compact and the oath - to forget the past and bear no malice - which was taken by all parties, from which it appears that even the Thirty and their underlings might be included in the amnesty in case they rendered their accounts, as all Athenian magistrates were required to do, for the offices which they had held. - aúrois : the arbitrators.
39. $\delta$ เf̂ke: disbanded. - aved0bvres ктi.: according to Plutarch (Glor. Athen. 7) the entry of the
democratic exiles into Athens took place on the 12th of Boedromion (Oct. 4th, 403 B.c.), a date which was afterwards observed as a day of thanksgiving.
 ing the famous proverb $\gamma \nu \omega \theta_{l}$ бavoóv, know thyself, i.e. 'take just measure of thyself; which was inscribed in golden letters at the entrance to the temple of Apollo at Delphi. - $k \pi l \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{iv}$ : see
 $\boldsymbol{c l}$ : instead of a clause with $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text {, }}$ correlative with $\pi$ ór $\epsilon \rho o v$ above.
41. kplots: test. - fi des: than













the way in which．$-\gamma^{\prime} \propto \mu \mathrm{n}:$ intel－ ．ligence，judgment．－тери $\lambda \nmid \lambda a \sigma \theta e:$ have been worsted．－ $8 \boldsymbol{h}$ ：to show contempt for this last of all
 toss．－roús ：generic．－$\delta$ dкvovtas kúvas ．．．тapaסı8бartv：copying almost the exact words of a well－ known law of Solon．Plut．Solon 24．The subj．is general，men．－ oürw ：the point of the comparison is contained in the part．rather than the finite verb．Cp．I．6．Io． －кakeivot：repeating oíy $\epsilon$ with emphasis．Cp．I．7．25，2．3． 43. －тои́тч：for its position see S ． 1181；HA． 673 c ；B． 458 ；G． 975 ； Gl． 553 a．

42．$\mu$ iviot：however．The pre－ ceding clauses，stating both the
provocation（ $\left.{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \bar{\varepsilon} \nu \varphi\right)$ ）and the opportunity（ $\kappa \lambda o \omega \hat{\psi} \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon s$ ．．． oixovtal），might suggest to Thra－ sybulus＇followers（ímâs）the thought of revenge．He therefore hastens to discountenance any such idea．－ф $\mu \omega \mu$ кате：see on § 38．－oúŚv：see on ovidéva i． 6. 14．－rois a $\lambda \lambda$ doss kadois：your other virtues．—diternace：dismissed．
§ 43．Ultimate reconciliation with those at Eleusis．The terms of the compact and amnesty are faithfully observed．

43．\＆тo入ıтévovto ：i．e．they car－ ried on their government，－per－ formed the duties and exercised the rights of free citizens，rodîtau． －wovtp甲 xpove：according to Aristotle（40．4）two years later．






 ence to the compact of $\S 38$, to were written, c. 385 в.c. See which in concluding his story of Introd. p. 23 and App. p. 357. the Athenian revolution Xenophon reverts. - $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : see on $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}$ § 23.- $\mu$. derivation, and cp. the Eng. 'amnesty.' —tro kal vov: to this day,

Other authorities also, especially Aristotle (40. I-3), bear witness to the fine spirit of forgiveness which the Athenians showed and their fidelity to their oaths.

## BOOK III

> War between Sparta and Persia. The Accession of Agesilaus and his Expedition to Asia. Outbreak of the Corinthian War. $401-395$ b.c.
 тои́тоv тє́ $\mu \psi a s$ Kv̂pos ả $\gamma \gamma \in ́ \lambda o v s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \Lambda a к є \delta a i ́ \mu о \nu a ~ \eta ̉ \xi i o v, ~$











Chapter 1, §§ I-2. The Lacedaemonians aid Cyrus in his expedition against Artaxerxes. 401 B.C.

1. oloarta aüdes kri. : for the aid rendered by Cyrus to the Lacedaemonians cp. 1. 5. 2-9, 1. 6. 18, 2. I. II-14; for his present project and preparations cp. Anabasis I. I. - үlyveroat: should show them-selves.- $\mathbf{\Sigma a \mu}$ ie: Samius is not referred to in the Anab., although 1. 2.21 records the fact which is stated below, that a Lacedaemonian fleet aided in preventing Syennesis from opposing Cyrus at the 'Cilician Gates.' A month later,
when this fleet joined Cyrus at Issi, it was under the command of Pythagoras (Anab. 1. 4. 2), who had presumably succeeded Samius as nauarch. The Anab. mentions (I. 4. 3) what Xenophon here passes over, that the Lacedaemonians also sent to Cyrus 700 hoplites under Chirisophus, who was afterwards Xenophon's colleague in conducting the retreat of the Ten Thousand. - 8totro: asked. - кal (in ка̉кєivos) $\mu \hat{\imath \quad \text { тоь: }}$ and in fact, indicating the actual performance of the duty laid upon













2. fo $\mu \mathrm{dxy}$ : at Cunaxa, near Babylon, in the autumn of 401 b.c. Cp. Anab. 1. 8. - 0á入artav: the Euxine. Cp. Anab. 4. 7. 20-24.
 would seem that Xenophon's own Anabasis was not published at the time when these words were written. See App. p. 359, note I. Themistogenes is otherwise unknown.
§§ 3-7. The Ionian cities seek the aid of Sparta against Tissaphernes. An army is sent to Asia under Thibron. His successes and failures. 400-399 B.c.
3. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0$ ô ăłos: Tissaphernes had been the first to report to the king the projected expedition of Cyrus against him (Anab. 1. 2. 4-5, 2. 3. 19), had fought creditably at Cunaxa (ib. 1. 10. 7), and brownson. hellenica - 13
had afterwards done his best to prevent the escape of the Ten Thousand (ib. 2. 3-3. 5). - iv Te aúròs . . . kal aiv Kîpos: Tissa- $^{\text {Kind }}$ phernes had been satrap of Lydia and Ionia up to 407 B.C., when Cyrus was commissioned (see on 1. 4. 3) as governor of Lydia, Greater Phrygia, and Cappadocia, and general of all the troops of western Asia Minor. Tissaphernes now receives, in addition to the small satrapy which was left to him in 407 B.c. (i.e. $\dot{\text { wiv }}$ aúròs $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in \nu \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \chi \boldsymbol{\rho})$, both the territories and the military authority which had belonged to Cyrus. - 8tt Kûpov . . . ip ip Ionian cities had been tributary to Tissaphernes (see above note), but all of them except Miletus had revolted and gone over to Cyrus














(Anab. 1. 1. 6). The latter's departure (401 b.c.) and subsequent death left them for the moment practically independent.
 undertake the protection of them also.
4. veosand $\delta \omega v$ : see on 1: 3.15 . - els $\mathrm{X}^{\text {ldiovs : serving as an obj. }}$ acc. See on 2.4.5. Upon this expression depends the part. gen., while $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega$ тas is appositive. -
 the terms of the treaty of 2. 2. 20.
 $\tau \omega v$ : the Athenian horsemen had made themselves odious as the most zealous and unscrupulous supporters of the oligarchy. Cp.
5. 4. 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 24, 26, 31. For the gen. see on $\phi \rho o v \rho \omega \hat{v}$ 2. 3. 14.
1. cls ìv 'Aglav álкоvто: in 399 b.C. Thus the circumstances above related involve Sparta in war with the Persians, her allies during the late struggle with Athens. - $\mu i v$ : continued by a second $\mu$ év two lines below, and ultimately contrasted with the $\delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon^{\prime}$ after $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}(\S)$ ). - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ : indicating that these words were written after the prestige and authority of the Spartans in Asia were destroyed by the battle of Cnidus, 394 в.c. Cp. Introd. p. 28. - rd $\mathbf{\text { immuóv: }}$ i.e. of the enemy. Greek armies were almost always weak in cavalry. — ท̀yáтa: was satisfied. Xeno-
















phon does not try to conceal his dislike and contempt for the in－ competent Thibron．Cp．the fol－ lowing sections．－таи́тŋv：sum－ ming up the preceding clause．

6．of avaßárrts $\mu$ età Kípov： the remnant of the Ten Thousand， numbering from 5000 to 6000， joined Thibron at Pergamus．They were commanded by Xenophon himself，who in all probability continued to serve with them un－ der Thibron．See App．p．350．－ IIpordifs：who bore a part in the expedition of Cyrus．Cp．Anab． 2．1．3．－$\Delta$ прара́тоv：a king of

Sparta，who was deposed in 49I B．C． and fled to Persia．He afterward accompanied Xerxes in his expedi－ tion against Greece．－「oyruidq ： an ancestor，probably the grand－ father，of the Gorgion and Gon－ gylus above mentioned．He had ＇medized＇during the period of the Persian Wars．Cp．Thuc．I． 128. －8rt：the reason is contained in the part．$\mu$ ๆoícas．Cp．1．6．Io．－ ＂фuyev：was banished．
 6．$-\boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ：see Introd．IV．D． 4. －тोे Alyvatlav кa入oupivๆv：so called because Cyrus the Great








 бонévov, $\Delta \epsilon \rho к v \lambda i ́ \delta a s a ̈ \rho \xi \omega \nu$ ảфíкєто є̇mì тò $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a$,



 $\Delta \epsilon \rho \kappa v \lambda i ̂ \delta a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon i ̀ ~ \pi а р \epsilon \lambda a \beta \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a, ~ \gamma \nu o v ̀ s ~ v ̇ \pi o ́-~$


settled there a number of captured Egyptians. Cyrop. 7. 1. 45.фpearlav кxi. : i.e. he sunk a shaft (фрєaтíav) and from the bottom of it dug a tunnel (ímóvouov) to strike the city's water main. - ©s

 テั̀̀ ф ф $\epsilon$ ãíav.-av̉: lit. in his turn, indicating an act which offsets or answers that of another. $-\mathbf{x e \lambda \omega} \omega \eta v:=$ the Lat. testudo.кal таútŋv $\mu$ ivtot: this also, how-



As a verb of ordering it is followed by the inf.
§§ 8-9. Thibron is succeeded by Dercylidas. 399 в.c.
8. apfav: to assume command. — кal . . . Sf: see on 2.4.6. - imsкалеito: as in 2.3.31. - Etoupos: a Corinthian hero, famed for his
 condemned and banished.
 as they had been twelve years before (see Introd. p. 19) ; furthermore, Tissaphernes' recent honors (§ 3) had increased his rival's



Sections 9-28. Dercylidas carries on a successful campaign in the satrapy of Pharnabazus, gaining possession of nine cities.

Chapter 2. After building a wall across the Chersonese to protect the Greek cities from the Thracians, Dercylidas marches to Caria, where he finds the united forces of Tissaphernes and Pharnabazus. He offers battle, but Tissaphernes proposes a conference. A truce is concluded, to continue until each party has referred to his home government the other's demands.

Meanwhile, the Lacedaemonians declare war upon the Eleans, and after two campaigns receive their submission. 399-397 B.c.




 $\kappa a i$ ë́̇єı $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ к а Ө i \sigma \tau а \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ a ̀ \nu т \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \beta a \sigma \iota-~$

 see on I. I. 6.

Chapter 3, §§ I-4. The death of Agis and the accession of Agesilaus. 397 в.c.
I. Merd $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{e}}$ тoûto: i.e. after the Elean War. See above. *Ayss: who had reigned in Sparta since 426 в.c. - áто日v́ras : á $\pi$ ó in comp. often indicates the rendering of some payment or service which is due or belongs to another; here of offering to Apollo his appointed share ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \in \kappa a ́ \tau \eta \nu$, cp.

Eng. tithe) of the Elean booty. - екканеv: inceptive. - 'Hpalq: a town in Arcadia. - $\alpha \pi \eta v i x \theta \eta \mu$ . . . รĉv: logically subordinate to the following $\delta \in$ clause, i.e. con-
 . . . äv日poutov: more splendid than belongs to man. Magnificent funeral honors were paid to Spartan kings, who as descendants of Heracles were counted semi-divine. -inel . . . al thupar: i.e. when the prescribed (ten) days of mourn-

${ }^{2} \lambda a o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ́ s . ~ \epsilon i m o ́ v t o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ t o v ̂ ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega t v \chi i ́ \delta o u . ~ ' A \lambda \lambda ' ~$















Sections 4－II．A conspiracy against the Spartan government， headed by Cinadon，is discovered and suppressed．
was reputed to be the son of Alci－ biades，and had been disowned by Agis．Plut．Lys． 22.

2．ка：Doric for ä้v．－ $\boldsymbol{\#}_{8}$ ：＝
 ßaoridevetv：after the preceding gen．abs．one might have expected here ${ }^{\text {é }} \phi \eta$ ó＇A $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \sigma$ ídaos；but this ＇laconic＇dialogue is made more effective by the omission of any such introducing phrases．－тi ：＝ $\sigma v$.

3．xplo ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ：quoted in full by Plutarch，Ages．3，Lys．22．－ фvidfaroau：to beware of．－
$X^{\text {coǹ̀v }}$ ßarıiclav：Agesilaus was lame．－ムúravopos：Lysander was a friend of Agesilaus，and sup－ ported his claims in the hope of winning through his accession a controlling influence in Sparta．
 lest one should get a sprain and become lame．Lysander seeks to minimize and ridicule the objec－ tion．－$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ．．．ßacidev́reve：lest one who was not of the royal stock should become king．As subj．sup－ ply $\tau \iota \varsigma$ from the preceding clause． －oi d中＇＇Hpar入tovs：see on § I．








 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ каì тov̀s $\sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~ \sigma v \nu a \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ ı o$ $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v o \mu e ́ v \omega \nu ~ т i ́ ~ \chi \rho \grave{̀ ~ \pi o เ \epsilon i ̀ v, ~ \Lambda v ́ \sigma a \nu \delta \rho o s ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta \omega \nu ~}$

 $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' A \gamma \eta \sigma i \lambda a o \nu ~ v i \pi \pi o \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ ，à $\nu$ av̉т $\hat{\varphi} \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \tau \rho \iota \alpha^{-}$


Chapter 4，§§ 1－4．Agesi－ laus is sent to Asia．His desire to sacrifice at Aulis，like Agamemnon， is frustrated by the Boeotians． 396 в．c．

1．$\mu$ erd ravi ${ }^{2}$ fpou tubds：i．e． Herodas had chartered a ship and gone to Phoenicia on a trading ex－ pedition．－тputpers ：we learn from other sources that Pharnabazus and the Cyprian prince Euagoras had persuaded the Persian king to fit out a fleet against the Spartans． This fleet was put under the com－ mand of the Athenian Conon，who had been at the court of Euagoras （cp．2．1．29）since Aegospotami． －Фotvioras：Phoenicia，one of the
few coast states of the Persian em－ pire，was the main source of its naval strength．－кaramicoúgas： see on ávpráyovto 1．1．2．－тробa－ кoúras ．．．kal：see on 2．4．22．－ ils tivv＇Eג入 $6 \delta a$ ：connect with áva－ róncvov．For the order of words see on I．1．23．－is ．．．тараякeva－ ̧ophvov：see Introd．IV．J and on I． 4．14．－8mot：i．e．against whom．

2．kal ．．．kal：both ．．．and， although in the second clause the expected $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \kappa \zeta \hat{\varphi}$ is replaced by a different construction．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{\pi e}\} \mathbf{6 v}$ ： prolepsis．Cp．on 1．4． 11 ．－is dodon ．．．draphév：a proof of the weakness of Persia．－tphakovra $\Sigma$ 玉aprıarøv：＇as lieutenants and
$\delta \omega \nu$, єis $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ o v s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v ́ v \tau a \gamma \mu a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$,





 тєíav, סıסóaбí $\tau \epsilon$ oi Макє






advisers,' says Plutarch (Ages. 6). Cp. § 20. - $\sigma$ ívтаүна: contingent, in apposition with cis ékaкıб $\chi$ chíovs. - $\lambda$ oүเ $\sigma \mu \oplus \hat{\text { : }}$ :calculation, conclusion, vis. that there was every probability of success. - aírós : i.e. on his own account. - Sekapxias : see on 2. 2. 5. -ike(vov: see on éкéivшv
 were jealous, as were the Spartan kings (cp. 2. 4. 29), of the prominence and power of Lysander. tàs matplous тo入ırelas: vis. democracies. Cp. § 7.- $\mu \tau^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{A} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \sigma t-$ $\lambda$ dov: in whom Lysander hoped to find a pliant tool. See on 3. 3.
 Sıaßartipıa: the sacrifice to Zeus and Athena which was always
offered by a Spartan commander before crossing the Laconian fron-
 and the Corinthians refused to send contingents, as they had refused to join the Spartans against Athens in 403 b.c. and against Elis five years afterward (see on 2.4 30) ; the Athenians also, who had sent troops with Thibron (1.4) and against Elis, now for the first time declined to follow a Spartan leader. Pausan. 3.9. 1-3.-00.
 Agesilaus wishes to mark his expedition as a national undertaking, like Agamemnon's.
4. ßotmтapxot: the presiding officials of the Boeotian league. -
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 oppryouevos: Agesilaus never forgot this insult, but remained throughout his life a bitter enemy of the Boeotians. - Tepa$\sigma \tau \delta v$ : at the southern extremity of Euboea.
§§ 5-6. Tissaphernes concludes a truce with Agesilaus and employs the time thus gained in obtaining reënforcements from Persia.
5. Акeite aфiketo: very soon after his arrival in Asia Agesilaus must have met Xenophon. For the close friendship between the
two men see Introd. p. II. - кal . . . kal: for the idiom see on I . 7. 13.- etvar: sc. סєó $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ vos. - тû $\pi a \rho$ ' $\eta \mu\{\nu$ ' $E \lambda \lambda d \delta \mathrm{~s}$ : our own Hellas, suggesting that the Asiatic coast was only an Eastern Hellas. Sıampafánevov: containing the essential part of the apod. - tovitav $\pi i \sigma \tau t v:$ a guarantee on this point. тov́r $\omega \nu$ refers back to $\grave{\xi} \xi a \pi a \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$, but is further explained by the following inf. clause. - $\pi$ ра́rтоvтоs raûta: i.e. conclude a truce. Tfीs $\sigma$ fis dpXifs: your domain, i.e. the coast region, with its Greek cities. - lv: during.







 $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ai $\sigma \theta a \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha$, ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ є่ $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i ̂ s . ~$



 $\Lambda \nu \sigma a ́ v \delta \rho o v$, ätє $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тávтєs тòv $\Lambda v ́ \sigma a \nu \delta \rho o \nu$,






 these things had been said. $\pi \rho a \xi \xi t v:$ would negotiate. - Tiेv clpfunv: the peace suggested by § 5. - "xatv: keeping, observing.
§§ 7-10. Lysander's friends pay court to him instead of Agesilaus. The king finds a method of humiliating Lysander, and, at his own request, sends him to the Hellespont.
7. бvvтетараү $\mu \mathrm{v} \omega \mathrm{v}$ : perf. of a pres. state, hence parallel with the following pres. parts. $-8 \pi^{\circ}$ 'AOnvalov: i.e. in the time of the Athenian empire.
8. ̈̈ $\quad$ चve: enraged, an exceptional meaning for this word in the active. See Introd. IV. L. кal тдv 'A $\mathbf{y} \eta \sigma$ ( $\lambda_{\text {aov: }}$ Agesilaus also, as well as the Thirty. - ol . . . àdoo tpıakovta: the rest of











 $\mu \grave{~} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha i \mu \eta \nu \quad \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \tau \iota \mu a ̂ \nu$, aí $\chi \nu \nu o i ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ä้ $\nu$. каì ò







the Thirty, i.e. besides Lysander.
 ท̀тroukívous: lit. defeated, i.e. of their objects. - ойтє . . . тt: = neque . . . et. - $\lambda$ גartov ${ }_{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ would fare worse.
9. dтццlq : dat. of cause. - $\mu \grave{\lambda} \nu$ ápa . . . ท̇тiotw: you certainly ( $\mu \epsilon \in v$, which here $=\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ) were, then (ä $\rho a)$, a man who understood, i.e. you are now such a man. For
the impf. of a ' truth just realized ' see S. 1902; HA. 833 ; B. 527, N.; GMT. 39. - бúy : you at least, implying that Agesilaus' conduct was exceptional. - кal: emphasizing the following, perhaps it is indeed true that, etc. - тotifs . . . imparrov: without difference of meaning. - <k той $\lambda_{\text {olmov: }}$ lit. from what is left, i . at least. - $8 \pi \omega$ ă ăv: see on 1. 6. 9.- $\boldsymbol{i v}$ каıри̂ : useful.






 $\beta$ áhov $\chi \omega \rho a s \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ à $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$.
${ }_{1}$



 тєs фаעєроі̀ є́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}$




10. $\Sigma \pi t 0 p \iota \delta \alpha r \eta v:$ who probably held some official position under Pharnabazus. Cp. Anab. 6. 5. 7. - اגarroúnevbv tr: had suffered a ( $\tau \iota$ ) slight. For the pres. with perf. meaning see on фev́yout 1 . 1. 27. - $\pi$ spl autrov: i.e. what he had, as we say, 'about him.' There was no time to turn real estate into money. - dvaß_ßarduevos : sc. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$ vav̂v. The verb is used as the causative of a dabaivecv (cp. § 4). - x凶́pas . . . dpXๆ̂s : respectively geographical and political.
§§ 11-15. Tissaphernes, having
received reënforcements, declares war openly. Agesilaus by a sudden march into Phrygia gains rich booty, but an unsuccessful cavalry skirmish leads him to take measures to improve his cavalry.
11. $\mu$ íरa фpovifas . . . int: see on 2. 4. 27. The aor. is inceptive. - $\tau \hat{\varphi} \ldots$... $\pi$ apd $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ : the order of words as in § I. So т ${ }^{2} \nu$ mapov̂cav . . . 'A $\gamma \eta \sigma \iota \lambda a ́ \psi$ below. отратєúpaть: for $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a \operatorname{\pi a\lambda } े$ . . . $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \tau о$ § 6. - ol ă $\lambda \lambda$ оь боидахои: see on 2. 2. 18. -


 $\sigma v \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \omega ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a \nu, ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̂ s ~ r o s ~$







 $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \beta i} \beta a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ éкєîनє，тò $\delta^{’} i \pi \pi \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ єis tò Maıávסoov







 otparelav：see on 1．I．12．－ Kaplav：Tissaphernes＇own resi－ dence was in Caria（§ 12）．－גyo－ par：where the troops might buy provisions．－то̀̀s бvбтратevбонé－ vovs：see on 2．3． 18.
 thetical，indicating the point of the preceding clause；hence $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$ ． Cp．そ̀v ．．．oṽpıov 1．6．37．－

 i．e．from Sardis due south across （ $\delta L^{a}$ in comp．）the mountains． The cavalry，however，he led around（ $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\eta}{\gamma \epsilon)}$ the mountains， making a long circuit to the east－ ward．－thvavtla：in the opposite direction．－ $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ puylas：the satrapy of Pharnabazus．－dva入au $\beta$ divov： see on áva入áßó I．1．4．一 фтроб－ Sorgrous ：used actively，and limiting aúraîs to be supplied from $\pi$ ólecs．
















 $\lambda a ́ o v ~ \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu$ тoîs ó $\pi \lambda i ́ t a \iota s, \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \chi \omega \rho o v \nu$ oi $\beta$ áp $\beta a \rho o \iota$,



13. ठ̀vтos: sc. aủrov̂, i.e. Agesi-laus.- पaбkudelov: where Pharnabazus had his residence. -aùrov̂ : connect with $\pi$ rooióvecs. - ís : see Introd. IV. F. - кal ovirot: repeating the subject and contrasting it with the Greek horsemen. - тovrov: for its position see on roútẹ 2. 4. 41. - \& $\pi$ l retrapuv: as in 2. 4. $\mathrm{II} \cdot-$ où $\pi \lambda$ tov $:=$ ov̉к cis $\pi \lambda$ cíous.

Cp. on oủk ề $\lambda a \tau \tau \boldsymbol{v}$ 2. 4. 11. cis here refers to the breadth of the front line. Cp. 2.4. 12.
14. irptф0ŋनav: an unusual form instead of the 2 aor. è $\tau \rho \dot{a}^{-}$ $\pi \eta \sigma a v$.
 liver of the victim was found to lack one of its lobes, - a most unfavorable sign, which forbade the








 らŋтоín．






 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \gamma \nu \mu \nu a \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \tau o ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime} i \pi \pi o ́ \delta \rho о \mu о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ in $\pi \pi a-160$

proposed advance（ $\pi \rho \circ o \delta \delta \psi)$ ．－ $\downarrow \pi i$ 日d入artav：i．e．to the Ionian coast．－ixavov ：adequate，both in numbers and in efficiency．－＂／vow： he decided．－Sparterevovta：cp． 2. 4．16．－tтoingev ．．．โทroin：he caused these things to be done with all the eagerness with which one would seek a man to die in his stead．$\pi \rho \circ 0$ v́pus practically re－ peats $\sigma \nu \nu \tau o ́ \mu \omega s$ ．
§§ 16－19．Agesilaus＇prepara－ tions in Ephesus． 395 в．c．

16．ouvfyaye：from the various places where the troops had been quartered for the winter．－${ }^{0} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} a$ троïךкe：a favorite method with Agesilaus of obtaining efficient service．Cp．4．2．5．－ӑрıбта $\sigma \omega \mu \dot{\mu} \tau \omega \nu$＂xol：should be in the best condition of．body．E＇Xo is opt． in implied ind．disc．For the gen． with the adv．see S．1441；HA． 757 a；B． 360 ；G． 1092 ；Gl． 507 d． — kal ．．． 86 ：and ．．．also．－ фaveív：should prove themselves．




















180
 entire city also，as well as the gymnasia，etc．－$\theta$ ias ：connect with
 both adjs．limit both the nouns which they inclose．－乌wypadol： to paint the devices on the shields．－む̈テte ．．．oleotat ：sc． tıvá，so that one might have thought．See on $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v}$ 2．I． 14.

18．tkcivo：referring to the fol－ lowing，as in 2．3．56．－＇Aprt $\mu \mathrm{L} \delta \mathrm{L}$ ：
see on 1．2．6．－$\sigma$（ßotvт0 ．．．
 less vivid fut．protasis；for $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathbf{s}$ oủk єiкòs ．．．civau $=$ єiкót $\omega$ s àv cil $\eta$ ．See GMT． 555 ；S． 2359 f．； B． 564 ．

19．$\lambda$ norâv ：i．e．Greek raiding parties．－$\lambda$ cukoús：sc．aủroùs ổvtas．
 posing their skins to the sun，as the Greeks did in their athletic exer－ cises．Cp．$\gamma v \mu \nu$ á̧cıv（from $\gamma v \mu \nu o ́ s$, stripped）and $\gamma v \mu \nu a ́ \sigma \iota o v$. －${ }^{\eta}$ ：be－

20
















cause of the comparative idea in by Xenophon. See Introd. p. 10. סtoícelv, the war would be no - тov̀s dmd тต̂v $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega v:$ i.e. the harder. than, etc.
§§ 20-24. Hostilities are resumed. Agesilaus wins a victory at the Pactolus River.
20. à $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ ovi: sc. रpóvov, since. — oi $\pi \in \rho \mathrm{Cl}$ 'Hpırт(8av : i.e. Herippidas was at the head of the second Thirty, as Lysander had been of the first. Cp. § 2. - $k \pi /$ : see on I. I. 32. - toùs Kupelous : i.e. the remnant of the Ten Thousand (cp. 1. 6), who had perhaps been commanded up to this time
contingents of the allies, as in 1. 1. 25. - тdे крátiota тf:s x山́pas: the fertile and wealthy province of Lydia (cp. § 21). - $8 \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$ : connect with $\pi \rho \circ$ êincy. - aùrdecv: at once. - oütc . . . тaparkevá̧otvтo ós áyшvเoúncvos: lit. might so prepare . . . as men about to contend, i.e. might prepare . . . to contend. See on $\dot{\omega}$ s àmavт $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ópevos I. 6. 3 .
21. $\pi \dot{d} \lambda ı v$ : as before, § 12 . -
 brownson. hellenica - 14


 Пактш入ò̀ тотацò̀ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，aủroì סє̀ кать－
















Sardis，the capital of Lydia．－ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a_{\text {：}}$ pred．，in abundance．

22．єlmev：as in I．I．I3．一 $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ ทัүє $\mu \omega \nu$ ：i．e．of the Persian horse－ men，who are referred to in aùroí below．－avi：as in 1． 7 ．

23．тติv тарєбкєvar $\mu$ ivav ：cp． Tท̂s тарабкєणฑ̂s § II．Agesilaus had both hoplites and peltasts as
 ．．．ग̉ $\mathbf{\gamma \varepsilon v}$ ：contrasted with map－


and to the peltasts are merely in－ cidents of the movement described
 ס $\pi \lambda เ \tau \omega ิ v$ ：equivalent to a part．
 4．32．－ф $\mu \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ aùroits：to close quarters with them．－maphyyelic： sent word．The horsemen were already facing the enemy（§ 22）， and hence in advance of Agesilaus and the infantry．－is：in the assurance that．

24．$\pi$ ávia $\tau \grave{d}$ סetvá：i．e．peltasts

 aipov̂б८ каi тò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. каi oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda$ -




 ${ }^{〔} E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a$ ả $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \quad \gamma \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu$.










and hoplites as well as horsemen. - $\mathrm{lv} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ тотацิิ: in (not 'into') the river, i.e. in trying to cross to their camp on the opposite side (§ 22). - "ф́uyov: note the impf., fled on, i.e. after successfully
 see on 2. 1. 30. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mathbf{u} \mathrm{pe}$ : brought. — kal . . . $\delta 6$ : as in 2.4.6.
§§ 25-29. Tissaphernes is beheaded by order of the Persian king. His successor negotiates with Agesilaus. The latter is
given command of the Spartan fleet.
25. үvoús: as in § 15. - aürds
 19. - тov̂ . . . т̀̀ £avtov̂ : for his affairs' going badly. According to Diodorus (14.80) the king was also influenced in his action by his mother Parysatis, who had never forgiven Tissaphernes for his enmity to Cyrus. - тติv mpaymárav: the trouble. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{ds}$. . . $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon$ เs : i.e. the Greek cities.

 ảd $\lambda a ́, ~ \epsilon \in \omega s ~ a ̂ ̀ ~ \pi u ́ \theta \eta ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s, ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma o \nu$,












 $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ тaîs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \pi a \rho \eta ́ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \nu \eta ̛ \sigma o ı s ~ к a i ̀ ~$

26. Tติv. . . тe入ติv: the authorities, especially the ephors. See on 2. 4. 29.- $\mathrm{d} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{d}$ : at least. -
 Tissaphernes in I. 9, was glad to sacrifice Pharnabazus' satrapy in order to save his own. - lretifin kal: an additional reason, besides the fact that hostilities would naturally cease, pending word from Sparta. - ìेv Sapva$\boldsymbol{\beta}$ átou $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ puylav: i.e. Lesser Phrygia, as distinguished from Greater Phrygia. See on I. 3.
 came (an order) . . . to command. The construction is simply the pas-
 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon$ v́ $\sigma \theta a \epsilon$ in 1. 7.-каl тоө vautıkov̂ : no one except Agesilaus was ever given command of both the land and sea forces of Sparta.
 as he might think best. - © . . . . àv . . . civat: see on ö́t . . . êce б日au 2.2.2. - кat' iv . . . a aффотipors: the strength of both (lit. for both) being united.






 $\sigma \epsilon \nu, \epsilon \in \pi i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Phi \rho v \gamma i a \nu$ ढ̇ $\pi о \rho \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau о$.









28. ${ }^{2}$ yivovto кaıval, кrt.: the result was new ships, etc., lit. there came into being new ships, etc. Agesilaus wished to reënforce the Lacedaemonian fleet in order to meet Conon (see on § I), who was gathering strength and in the preceding year had helped the Rhodians to revolt from Sparta. Diod. 14. 79.
29. aтeıpótepov . . . ass $\delta \in i$ : rather inexperienced in making the needful provisions. Plutarch (Ages. 10) calls the appointment of Pisander a case of sheer favoritism.

Chapter 5, §§ 1-2. Tithraustes undertakes through bribery to incite the other states of Greece to hostilities against Sparta.

1. траүцăтшv: power. Ср. І. 6. 13.-aipŋfetv: would overcome. - тl хрч̂то тоis тра́үиабt: see on 2. 1. 2. - xpuoiov . . . appupiov: gold to the value of fifty talents of silver. The phrase serves merely to translate the gold darics of Persia into Greek notation. -
 - $k$ folotiv: for the tense see GMT. 113.-It should be noted



 $\chi \rho v \sigma i o v ~ o ̈ \mu \omega s ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu o \iota ~ \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ єis тò̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu, \nu о \mu i-$





 $\nu$ loı $\lambda$ ứєı $\tau$ às $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s, ~ \pi \epsilon i ́ \theta o v \sigma \iota ~$

乌̆
that Tithraustes＇gold was but a slight factor in bringing about the war against Sparta．For the im－ portant states of Greece were more than willing to enter upon the struggle．Argos was always hos－ tile to the Spartans，Athens was only waiting her opportunity to be revenged，and Thebes and Corinth had already shown their ill－will toward Sparta and their jealousy of her power（cp．4． 4 and see on 2．4． 30 and 3．4．3）．Through－ out the Greek world the Spartans had shown themselves harsh mas－ ters and had alienated former friends．

．．．civau：lit．thinking that it was theirs to rule，i．e．in the hope of recovering their former power．－
 $\beta$ a入lov．－ovviotarav：conative．
§§ 3－7．War breaks out be－ tween Locris and Phocis．The Thebans support the former， whereupon the Phocians appeal to Sparta for aid，which is readily granted．The Thebans seek as－ sistance at Athens．

3．rov̀s＇Omouvtiovs：as con－ trasted with the Ozolian or Western
 ．．．re $\lambda \in \sigma a t$ ：to levy money from the territory which was in dispute between the Phocians and them－

 4 ё $\lambda a \beta o \nu$. oi oỉv $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o ̀ \nu ' A \nu \delta \rho о к \lambda \epsilon i \delta a \nu \tau a \chi \grave{v}$ є̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma a \nu$







 $\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ é $\pi i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o v s, ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ o ̉ \rho \gamma t-~$


selves (i.e. the Locrians). The HA.952; B.641; G. 1521 ; G1. 565. order of words, separating the dats. $\Phi_{\omega \kappa \varepsilon \hat{u} \sigma \iota}$ and éavtoiss from the adj. on which they depend, is analogous to that in 4. I. - $\pi$ od-
 many times the vallue of the money which the Locrians had levied.
4. ws: on the ground that. -
 lit. that which was admitted to be friendly and allied territory, namely Locris, i.e. 'the territory which was indisputably Locrian and hence friendly to them' (the Thebans). - aữôv : the Phocians. - Si\&áokovess: setting forth.
5. orpartúvtv: the simple inf. after ë̀ $\lambda a \beta$ ov $\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota v . ~ S . ~ 2004 ;$

Below, however, kalpóv is followed
廿ews . . . סexórns: both on account of their claiming (gen. of cause) Apollo's tenth, i.e. of the spoils of the Peloponnesian War. According to Plutarch (Lys. 27) the Thebans were the only allies of Sparta who had ventured to ask for a share of the spoils. Their request was refused by the Spartans, who now ill-naturedly and unfairly put it as though the Thebans had asked for the tenth which was to be dedicated to Apollo. Cp. 3. I and note.- $\Delta$ eкedela: the Spartan headquarters. In-


















 $7 \pi \rho \circ \sigma$ є́ $\tau \iota$ 'О $\rho \chi{ }^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu i ́ o v s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i ́ \omega \nu . ~ o ́ ~ \delta ミ ~ П a v-~$

бat . . . nal Kopıv0lovs meitau: see 2. 4. 30 and note. - $\theta$ vetv $\tau^{\prime}$
 not . . . either, i.e. they did not serve against Piraeus nor on the Asiatic expedition either. For the fact see on 4. 3. - kal кaגòv katpòv elvau: that it was also a favorable time, apart from the other reasons for their readiness to begin war. - кparoovros: being victorious.

thus minded. - ф’povpàv. . . "̈фauvov: the regular phrase for calling out, summoning to arms, a Lacedaemonian army. Cp. ćॄáyєı фןovpáv 2. 4. 29. - $\Lambda$ úgavסpov: who had returned from Asia shortly before. Cp. 4. 20. - Oltalous кri.: peoples who lived in the region of the Malinn Gulf.-'A入laprov: a
 ooar : was to have chief command. -'Opxouevious: Orchomenus was the second city of Boeotia.




 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \tau o \iota a ́ \delta \epsilon$.













7. Sıaßartipla: see on 4. 3. part. is gen. abs., instead of - iү iveso: proved favorable. $\xi$ Evayoús: Spartan officers who raised and commanded the contingents of the allies. - $\pi$ tetorc $18 \omega v$ : sc. $\pi$ ó̀ $\lambda \omega \nu$, Laconian towns round about Sparta, the homes of the Perioeci (see on 1. 3. 15).
§§ 8-1 5. Speech of the Theban envoys at Athens.
 to the fault you find with us on the ground that we voted. The
dat. in agreement with $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} v$. xaderi: viz. the destruction of Athens. See 2. 2. 19. - $\boldsymbol{\text { Its }}$ divip: a certain Erianthus, according to Plut. Lys. 15.-simev: see on
 xots: in the assembly of the Lacedaemonian allies.
9. Tติv tv ăбтєt: the oligarchs in Athens as opposed to the democrats in Piraeus. Cp. 2. 4. 24, 26,














 бovtai $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ o i ~ \mu ı \sigma o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ́ s . ~ i ́ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$


map\&orav: the same word which Thrasybulus uses in 2. 4. 41.rd $\mathbf{i \pi}$ ' inetvols eival: so far as it depended upon them, for all they did to prevent it. For the inf. see S. 2012 c; HA. 956, 956 a; B. 642, I ; G. 1534,1535 ; Gl. 569. - атолшлате: you have (already) perished. This is putting it more forcibly than if the speaker had said $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \ddot{a} \nu$, you would have perished.
10. кal $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ : and further, turning to a new point.-dpxtv: do-

is the happening of this more likely, i.e. how is it more likely that this should happen. $\gamma \in v \epsilon \in \theta a u$, which is subj. of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ understood, appears to refer to the future; in fact it has no meaning of time whatever, the future idea being contained in eiкós. Cp. on $\sigma$ éßoıvto 4. 18. 8 тt: because. Connect with \$o-
 long as they had no one to whom (önow, cp. 4. 1) to revolt. - $\pi$ poiotr oav: offered themselves as leaders.

11. 'Apyciol: see on § 1. Ar-








 $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$ є̇ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ ö $\nu \tau \omega \nu$, є̇ $\pi \epsilon i ̀ \eta u ̉ \tau u ́ \chi \eta \sigma a \nu, \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau a \iota$





gos was the only Peloponnesian state which had not fought with Sparta against Athens. - del тотe : a strengthened del.
12. $\gamma \mu \mu \boldsymbol{v}$ : correlative with the preceding $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. See Introd. IV. D. 4. - vôv totepquivor: as a result of the war described in Chap. 2. See abstract on p. 197.— ${ }^{10} \mathbf{\theta}$ pol . . . трооүеү'ทŋvтau: have been added to the number of their enemies. Kopivelovs . . . il $\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{v}$ : for the double acc. see S. 1622; HA. 725 a; B. 340 ; G. 1073 ; Gl. 536 c. - xp $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ فтшн : i.e. booty. See on
 certainly an exaggeration. - $k \pi e l$


Spartans) achieved success. - The indictment brought against the Spartans in this section and the following goes far toward clearing Xenophon of the charge of blind partisanship. See Introd. p. 31.
13. $d \lambda \lambda d \mu \not \mu v$ : as in 2.3.40. Meverplas: the freedom of Greece had been Sparta's avowed aim in the Peloponnesian War. Cp. 2. 2. 23. - áp see on 2. 2. 5. At this time Ly sander's decarchies had been overthrown (4. 2 and 7); Spartan harmosts, however, were still ruling, not only in the Asiatic cities, but (according to Demosthenes 18. 96) in continental Greece and

 $14 \mu \epsilon \theta^{\top}$ ن̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ катєто入є́ $\mu \eta \sigma \in \nu$ aủroús；$\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oũ $\nu$ oủк єỉкós，


















in the Aegean islands．－kal：as in § 2．－$\sigma u \mu \beta a \lambda$ о $\mu$ коя ：especially in the time of Cyrus， I .5 ． 1 f ．一 $\mu \theta^{\prime}$ î $\mu$ ôv ．．．aúroús：a flattering sug－ gestion that Sparta owed her suc－ cess against Athens merely to Persian aid．

14．cicós ．．．yevefotal：as in § 10．－avi：in your turn，as con－

$\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ § Io．－$\AA \delta \eta$ ：strengthening
 of all the states that have ever been． — ク̈pXere：held sway．—私vtwv： explained by the following apposi－ tives．－т甲ิ mavtl：as in 2．3．22．－ －üठ！：by no means，a strengthened ov．

15．кal ．．．$\mu$ кутои：as in 1． 7. －$\pi$ 入eovefla：assumed dominion． Similarly $\pi$ тлєоуєктои̃б九 below．－
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$.



















§§ 16－20．The Athenians vote to aid the Thebans．Lysander， reaching Haliartus before Pausa－ nias，is defeated and slain by the Thebans．

16．$\delta \mu i v: i$ e．the spokesman of the embassy．－Oparúßovios： the liberator of Athens．－amo－
 the decree for an answer．$\psi \eta$ 向七o－ $\mu \alpha$ is cogn．acc．－dretxiotov ．．．
 veívourv ．．．dmoઈov̂vat：would brave the danger of repaying．－ xápıra：an unusual（Ionic）form for $\chi$ ápıv．See Introd．IV．L．－
 as contrasted with the mere neu－ trality of the Thebans．

17．Kopivelot：see on 4．3．－ кar＇exeiva：in that region．
 he no longer quietly awaited，i．e． oúké́rı negatives the entire phrase．








 є́ $\gamma \in ́ v є \tau о-~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \rho о \pi a i ̂ o \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma т \eta к є ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a s ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \circ \pi о \rho i ́ a ~ v i \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon \nu$ av̉тov́s, vi $\pi о \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ oi

 from the Thebans.
19. ot Onßaior . . . (ßoł@ouv: according to Plutarch (Lys. 28) the Thebans had intercepted a letter from Lysander to Pausanias and, learning in this way the Spartan plan of campaign, had marched at once to Haliartus, leaving the defense of Thebes to the Athenian force which had come to their aid. They reached Haliartus before Lysander, occupied the town with a portion of their army, and with the rest waited outside the walls for Lysander's coming. - $\delta \pi \lambda i$ ita. . . . Imetis : in part. apposition to $^{\text {a }}$

which of the two things happened, which of the two things it was. $\delta \pi$ ótepa thus prepares the way for the following double question. as крartionv: in the belief that he would be victorious. See on is
 any rate. - $\pi p \partial_{s}$ rds $\pi \dot{\text { ujas }}$ : the acc. because of the idea of motion
 south of Haliartus.
20. $\delta$ voxapla . . . aúroús: came upon rough country and narrow ways. - of $\delta \pi \lambda i t a t: ~ i . e . ~ o f ~ t h e ~$ Spartans. There is a similar abrupt change of subject in the
 $\beta^{\beta}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta$. especially stones. Cp. $\pi \epsilon-$














 $\nu \eta \mu a$ ё $\gamma i ́ \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau о \cdot$ ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Паvбалías $\sigma v \gamma к а \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma а s ~ \pi о \lambda є \mu a ́ \rho-~$ Хovs каì $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \eta ̂ \rho a s ~ \epsilon ́ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau о ~ \pi o ́-\epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ 180 ~$

 see on 4．14．
§§ 21－25．Pausanias and the Athenians reach Haliartus．Pau－ sanias does not venture an attack， but requests a truce for the recovery of the dead，agreeing to evacuate Boeotia．On his return to Sparta he is tried and condemned to death．
 see on 2．4．27．－$\pi \boldsymbol{d}_{\iota v}$ ：again．－ avf：on the other hand，indicating the change in the situation．See on má入lv av̉ 2．4．29．－थैфarav：
sc．as subj．Xenophor＇s informants．
－This section and the following reveal Xenophon＇s anti－Theban feeling．See Introd．p． 31.

22．＇A0ףvaior：see on § 19．－ mo入ù $\mu \epsilon \hat{i}\} 0 v$ ：predicative．Its posi－ tion between тó and фоóvqua is most unusual．－то入є $\mu$ dpxovs：see on 2．4．33．－тєvтпкоутipas：the Spartan $\mu$ ópa（regiment）was divided into eight $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau v \in s$ （companies），each commanded by a $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \eta ́ \rho .-\sigma v v a \pi \tau 0 t$ ．．．ával－ poîto：for the mood see on 1．3．21．
${ }_{23}$ кaì rov̀s $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̉rov̂ $\pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau a s . ~ \lambda o \gamma \zeta \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \delta ' ~ o ́ ~ H a v-~$












23. Noyı̧opevos 86: the sentence here begun is left incomplete, the thought being resumed with the verb in the finite form inoyiCovto. See Introd. IV. K. - ol dv renet: including probably not only the officers above mentioned, but also the two ephors who regularly accompanied a Spartan king. See
 is retained in ind. disc., although the verbs preceding and following are changed to the opt. In general this variation is not unusual (cp. $\S 25$ below); in the present case the retention of the impf. is necessary, for the pres. opt. standing in its stead might be understood to represent a pres. ind. See GMT. 670, 673 ; S. 2632, 2623 b;

HA. 933,935 b; B. $674,675,1$; G. 1482, 1488 ; Gl. 624 b fin. - $\boldsymbol{\text { o }}$
 objective impf., representing кєiv-
 5.3.- ơ̈rt : conditional, in agreement with auroîs understood. -
 (upon) because of the idea of an attack from the towers. Cp. note on 1. 3. 9. - ein: the opt. is due to indir. disc., not to $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, which here equals simply kaì סià tồto. GMT. 605 ; S. 2255 ; HA. 927 a; G. 1454. Note that $\epsilon i \eta$ represents an $\dot{\text { ér }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i}$ which in combination with $\dot{\rho} a^{\prime} \delta(o v$ amounts to a pot. opt. See on $\sigma$ '́ßouvo 4. 18.
 condition that.- amival : sc. as $^{2}$















subj. avitov́s, i.e. the Spartans. кal $\mu \mathrm{k} \rho \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{v}}$ : even a little. - xupluv: as in 2. 4. 1. - rov : any one's.
 . . . avflks: see on $\dot{\eta} \kappa 0 \lambda o v i \theta o v v$ § 23. - $\Lambda$ voarvpov: gen. after the comparative in ícrepク́णєtev. §ণी in 403 B.C., as described in 2.4.29-
39. Pausanias had been tried on this charge shortly after the event, and acquitted by only a small majority of the court. Paus. 3. 5.-
 death, more than ten years later. For the inferences which are drawn from this statement see Introd. p. 28 and App. p. 357 f.

## BOOK IV

## The Corinthian War. 395-387 b.c.

Chapter 1. A resumption (from 3.4.29) of the story of the war in Asia. Agesilaus ravages Phrygia and captures many cities. After a visit to Paphlagonia he goes into winter quarters at Dascylium. A meeting is arranged between him and Pharnabazus, which results in nothing more than mutual expressions of personal good will. 395-394 в.c.

 єis $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a$ каì $\tau a ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon เ \varsigma ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa v i a s ~$









Chapter 2, §§ 1-8. In view of the danger at home the Spartans recall Agesilaus. He unwillingly returns, bringing with him many of his Asiatic troops. 394 в.c.

 cp. 3. 5. 1, and see note thereon for the undue emphasis which Xenophon lays upon this circumstance. - tds meүiotas modecs: Thebes and Athens had been
arrayed against Sparta at Haliartus (3. 5. 22), and as a result of the success there achieved the great states of Corinth and Argos, as well as Euboea, Locris, Acarnania and other smaller states, had joined the anti-Spartan league. Diod. 14.82.
2. aürol: contrasting the Spartans at home with Agesilaus in Asia.
 . . . aip



 є̇ $\pi \iota \lambda a ́ \theta \omega \mu a \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma o \mu a \iota ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \omega \nu ~ \tilde{\omega} \nu$
















the time of his recall Agesilaus had planned a march to the eastward, hoping to penetrate to the heart of the Persian empire, - a triumph which fate was reserving for Alexander the Great. Hell. 4. 1. 4I, Plut. Ages. 15. - $\sigma v \mu \mu$ axous: i.e. from the Asiatic cities. - dreiva: matters in Greece. - oú $\mu$ ท̀ $\mathbf{~ k r ı \lambda d - ~}$

4. $18 \alpha \mathrm{arpvarav}$ : inceptive. - $\lambda a-$ ßovres aürov: with him.
5. Sıarq'tav: to keep safe. 'ran $\eta$ vas : Greeks (not the Greeks), who were fellow-countrymen and better fighters than the Persians.
 — троеітє . . . बs . . . 8由бшv: proclaimed . . . that . . . he would give. See Introd. IV. J.






 $\pi a \mu \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ o̊ $\pi \lambda \alpha$ єis $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu$ катє－40









6．кplotv ：decision．－eikpıreiv： apparently in the meaning to select carefully，i．e．to choose only such soldiers as would stand the test of the march to the Chersonese．

7．Td $\mu$ ìv $\pi \lambda_{\text {eiota }}$ ：in part．ap－
 and there were also．－ouk hartov ．．．ra入ávrwv：cost not less than four talents，lit．were produced from（an outlay of）not less，etc． For é̀ $\lambda a \tau \tau o v$, equivalent to áà̀ ì átтovos，cp．2．4． 11 ；for the $^{\text {en }}$ number of é $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$＇́vovto see Introd．
 arms worth very much money，viz．
arms which were provided for the troops by their cities or officers in the hope of winning the prizes offered．$\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ is gen of meas－ ure．S． 1325 ；HA． 729 d；B． 352 and N．；G． 1085,$5 ;$ Gl． 506 a．

8．and mblews：see on à à̀ $\phi u \lambda \eta$ 亿̂s 2．4．23．－$\beta$ arilleús ： Xerxes，in 480 b．c．
§§ 9－15．The Spartan army takes the field．The deliberations of the allies at Corinth．The op－ posing forces meet at the Nemea．

9．фpovpdr é申ŋvav：see on 3. 5．6．－＇A ${ }^{\prime} \eta \sigma$（то入ıs：son of the exiled Pausanias（3．5．25）．－т00





 тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ oióv $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \tau a \mu \hat{\omega} \nu .55$ oî tє $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тотаноì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi \eta \gamma a i ̂ s ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o \iota ~$



 $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тàs пó入єıs $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \delta v \sigma \mu a-~$



 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉סév，$\chi \in \iota \rho o v \mu e ́ \nu o v s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi \eta ̂ \kappa a s . ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau ’ ~ o u ̃ \nu ~$

 lit．for the army．Similarly $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \hat{\varepsilon}-$ $\sigma \theta a c$ is followed by the dat．in 5．2． 28，5．4．35，and 7．5．9；more often，however，by the gen．

10．$\sigma u v e \lambda \theta$ ovtes ${ }^{\text {dßovicúovto }}$ ：i．e． oi évavtion．

11．Tıцб ${ }^{\text {aos：}: ~ c p . ~ 3 . ~ 5 . ~ 1 .-~}$ 8 тouov̂тov ．．．oióvтє $\rho$ ，such ．．． as，would be more natural．－т ．．．траิүна：lit．the case of the

Lacedaemonians．The phrase is merely a circumlocution for oi
 sponding rooov́rę with ioquoó－ $\tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ is wanting，as in 2．2． 2.

12．太ौvev：sc．éкê，at the place whence．－тоттоцivous：agreeing with the anteced．of ímóro．－ evsov：i．e．in their nests．－тd $\pi \hat{v} p$ ：the article because $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ is the usual means of destroying wasps．－$\mu \dot{\mu} \lambda_{\text {сотa }} \mu^{\boldsymbol{i} v}$ ：see on 1 ．













4．4．－tìv $\mu \dot{\alpha} \times \eta v$ ：the impending battle．

13．ग̀yenovias：see on § 18 ．－ cis ómborovs：how many deep．eis， as in 2．4．12．－$\lambda$ lav $\beta$ alelas： this would involve reducing the breadth of the front and there－
 xouv－give the enemy a chance of surrounding（by outflanking）
 having also picked up already．－ rìv ápф（a入ov：sc．óoóv，the sea－girt road．If the text is correct，the reference may be to some road bearing this name which led along or near the Argolic Gulf．

14．тореvo $\mu$ evot：agreeing with both the following subjects．－$\sigma$ X ${ }^{6}$－ రov rt ăpa ：at almost the same time．一oi $\mu$ ìv ．．．Kopırelovs：the Co－ rinthians and their allies．The

Corinthians are made most promi－ nent because the war was in their territory．一 Tû Nє $\mu$ \＆q ：the district of Nemea，southwest of Corinth． Thus far the allies had advanced on the road toward Sparta，follow－ ing the plan of Timolaus（§ 12）． They retraced their steps，however， on learning that the Spartans were already to the northward of them
 into the territory of the Corinthi－ ans．－aúrâv：the Spartans．For the gen．abs．despite the following av̇тои́s cp．$\psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma a \mu$ év $\omega v$ 3．5．8．－ кard：by，by way of．－＇Emefinctav： between Sicyon and Corinth．－ ek тติv ùrep was particularly vulnerable to an attack upon the right flank，since the shield was carried on the left arm．






 $\grave{\eta} \sigma v \chi i a \nu \epsilon i \chi o \nu$.











15． $1 \pi l$ 0d $\lambda a \tau t a v:$ i．e．the shore of the Corinthian Gulf，in order to escape the attack from the heights． －кal ．．．ane入日ovтes：the others （i．e．the enemy）also，however， retired．－ $\boldsymbol{i} v \mathbf{x} \mathrm{xaph} \delta \mathrm{pav}$ ：the dry bed of the Nemea River，which empties into the gulf between Corinth and Sicyon．
§§ 16－17．Enumeration of the opposing forces．

16．Exartpwv：on either side．－ d $\pi \lambda$ frat ：in apposition to the fol－ lowing numerals，but standing at
the beginning like a head－line or caption，－as for hoplites．－els
 So eis and $\pi \in \rho i$ throughout the following passage．See on $\pi$ foòs éntaкooíovs 1．2．18．－Tpıфu入louv ．．．$\Lambda^{\circ}$ raviov：peoples in Elis， ＇H $\lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega^{\omega} \nu$ referring only to the in－ habitants of the city of Elis．－ ＇Emtסavplovv ．．．＇A Atcuv：in Ar－ golis．－Kpीtes то太бтal ：who were mercenaries．－кal $\mu \eta_{\nu}$ ：as in 3．5． 10．－Mapyavewv ．．．＇A ${ }^{\prime} \phi ı \delta \delta \lambda \omega v:$ also Eleans．－ $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathrm{ct} \text {（botot：}}$ Phlius
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was an important city south of Sicyon．－ikexelplav：i．e．a holy truce on account of some religious festival．－The above enumeration gives the Spartans a total of 14,800 men，while the opposing army is said（§ 17）to have numbered more than $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 0 0}$ ．On the Spartan side， however，Xenophon has omitted to list the contingents of the Tegeans and Mantineans（mentioned in § 13），of the other Arcadians，and of the Achaeans（mentioned in § 18）．With these contingents added the Spartan army was no doubt about equal in size to that of the enemy．Diodorus（14．83） gives the Spartans 23，500 men．

17．Ye $\mu \not \eta_{\nu}$ ：as in 3．5．12．－ $\eta \quad \eta$ poiso $\eta$ ：lit．was gathered to－ gether，i．e．consisted of，amounted to．－＇OpXouiviol ov่ тapभfनav：for the reason see 3．5．6．－Eifolas

 to distinguish these from the Thracian Chalcidians．－＇Onouv－
 －кal 廿і入ิิv：of light－armed troops also，as well as horsemen．－rots Tติv Kopıvoluv：in the same sense as oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tov̀s Kopıv日ious § 14.
§§ 18－23．The battle of the Nemea．

18．tws $\mu$ ìv тò cúdrupov elxov： while occupying the left wing the










Thebans faced the Spartans, who constituted the right wing of the opposing army. Xenophon implies, therefore, that the Thebans were afraid, or at least unwilling, to fight with the Spartans, but eager for battle as soon as they were pitted against a less formidable foe, the Achaeans. The truth seems to be that the Thebans, Athenians, Corinthians, and Argives succeeded one another at regular intervals in occupying the right wing, and that with this position went the supreme command of the army. The Thebans, therefore, must needs wait until they were on the right wing before they could give the order for battle, and it may be that they preferred to do so for the sake of having the glory of the leadership. Xenophon is putting a harsh construction upon an act that was probably not at all discreditable. See Introd. p. 31. - ©́s . . . trouli-
vns: saying that there would be a
 33.-тove els ikkalfeka : the sixteendeep (formation), which had evidently been agreed upon by the allied forces. Cp. § i3.- $\boldsymbol{j}$ Yov $\mathfrak{i m i}$ rd $\delta \in \xi \in \operatorname{ld}$ : i.e. they bore away toward the right as they advanced, instead of moving straight forward. Thucydides says (5.71) that this was a tendency with Greek soldiers, being due to a desire not to expose the right, unprotected (cp. on § 14) side to the enemy.- $\pi 0 \lambda_{\kappa \mu} \mu_{\omega v}$ : gen. after vinçéxoıev. S. 1403 ; HA. 749; B. 364 ; G. 1120 ; Gl. 509 b.
 Athenians occupied the left wing. It is clear, therefore, that the rest of the army followed the Thebans in the movement toward the right, and the Athenians were compelled to do likewise in order not to be detached from the rest of the line. The result was that they were outflanked. - $\boldsymbol{k}$ ivठvvos . . .
 עоуто $\pi \rho о \sigma \iota o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu \cdot \kappa а i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \hat{\eta} \nu \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$

















 5.5.

19．\＆тacáncav：see on 2．4．17． The aor．is inceptive．－is els $\mu a ́ x \eta v$ ：see on I．I．12．－$\xi \in v a y o l$ ： see on 3．5．7．－גко入ovêtv тчิ خjyou $\langle v \varphi$ ：to follow the van，a usual expression for advancing to the attack．－ol $\boldsymbol{i} \xi$ фudal：see on 2．4．4；and for the art．on I．I． 18．－Teyed́ras：who were next to the Spartans to the left．

20．＇Ayportpq：a title of Arte－
mis as the goddess of hunting．－
 －т̀ U̇тeptixov：sc．$\mu$ épos，the part of their force which outflanked the Athenians．－He $\lambda \lambda \eta$ veis ．．．Ot－ नтtâs：Pellene and Thespiae were towns in Achaea and Boeotia respectively．－${ }^{2 v} \mathrm{X}{ }^{\omega} \mathrm{pq}$ ： in their places．－ikartpov：added as an afterthought and dependent upon tevés understood．Trans．that is to say，some of each side．

21．8бov ．．．＇A日ŋvalov：that

## Sketch Plan of the Battle of the Nemea

I. Positions at the beginning of the battle, both armies swinging to the right.

a. Boeotians. b. Thespians. c, $d$. Contingents of allies. c. Corinthians. f. Argives. g. Four $\phi u \lambda a l$ of Athenians. h. Six $\phi u \lambda a l$ of Athenians.
a. Achaeans. $\beta$. Pelleneans. $\gamma-\zeta$. Contingents of Spartan allies. ท. Tegeans. 6. Spartans.
II. Changed positions toward the close of the battle.

a. Boeotians returning from pursuit. b. Thespians fighting $\& \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{\chi} \dot{\omega} \rho \mathbf{q}$. $c, d$. Boeotian allies in pursuit. e. Corinthians returning from pursuit. f. Argives returning from pursuit. g. Four $\phi u \lambda a l$ of Athenians in pursuit. h. Six $\phi \nu \lambda a l$ of Athenians in flight.
a. Achaeans in flight. 乃. Pelleneans fighting $\epsilon^{\prime} v \chi \omega \rho q$. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ - $\boldsymbol{\chi}$. Spartan allies in flight. $\eta$. Tegeans in flight. $\theta$. Spartans moving diagonally across the field.

 part of the Athenians which they direction across the field of battle. covered, i.e. faced. - $\mathbf{k \pi o p e v}$ i.e. backwards and in an oblique sively (§ 22) the various contin-





 $\pi a i ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{a} \gamma \nu \mu \nu \grave{a} \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu . ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon-$






 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \tau о i ̂ s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ o \iota s ~ \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \xi \alpha \nu, ~ \in ̇ \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma а \nu \tau о ~ т р о \pi а і ̂ о \nu . ~$




gents of the opposing army (except the four tribes of Athenians) as the latter were returning from the pursuit. - aùтติv: see on
 ä $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ 'Өave.
22. 'Apyelots: who had evidently been next in line to the Athenians. - lk rov̂ evavtiov: in front. - парєival: from $\pi$ арínu, to let the foremost pass by.-rd $\gamma v \mu v a$ : i.e. the unshielded side.
23. $\boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon} \mathbf{I X \eta}$ : of Corinth. - Ko-
pıvicv: i.e. the Spartan sympathizers among them.

Chapter 3, §§ i-9. Agesilaus' homeward march.
I. 'O $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ( $\lambda$ aos : resuming the narrative interrupted at 2.8 . ' $\mathrm{A} \mu \phi \iota \pi \delta \lambda e \mathrm{l}$ : in eastern Macedonia. - $\Delta_{\text {epкид(8as: Agesilaus' prede- }}$ cessor in Asia (3. 1. 8), who had probably returned to Greece a year before (cp. 3. 4. 20). - vıкิิєv: for the tense see on фєúyoutv I. 1. 27. - av̉: contrasting the victory of











 $\delta^{\prime}$＇A $\quad \eta \sigma i \lambda a o s$ סıa入入á ${ }^{\prime} a s$ Maкє



the Nemea with the former defeat at Haliartus（3．5．19 f．）．$-\tau \epsilon \theta \mathrm{va}$－ vat：changing from the ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ con－ struction to the inf．in ind．disc． －obrè кrd．：according to Diodo－ rus（14．83）the Spartans and their allies lost 1100 men，the opposing army 2800.

2． Ev $^{\text {кaıp }}$ ：as in 3．4．9．－ai
 of words see on 1．1．23．－elikòs ．．．etval：see on $\gamma \in v$ é $\sigma \theta a l ~ 3 . ~ 5 . ~$ 10．－$\pi$ apeytvov：i．e．at the battle．
 the impending campaign．－ ．．．зфаце⿱ ：cp．2． 3 ．

3．Sad入AÉas：having passed through，a most unusual meaning of the word．Introd．IV．L．－ Aapıaciol ．．．Фapoádiot：this list of Boeotian allies shows the results of a series of successes which，according to Diodorus （14．82），were achieved by the Theban general Ismenias in Thes－ saly and the Malian region shortly after the battle of Haliartus． These events Xenophon has omitted to mention．See Introd． pp． 27 and 31．－кal ．．． 8 ：and indeed．－фuydסes：it was the Spartan sympathizers who had











 aủrov̀s $\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ ès $\tau a ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ каì $\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ \delta o v ̂ \nu a \iota ~ a v ̉ т o i ̂ s ~$









been exiled．－${ }^{\text {rúruxavov ：sc．örvces．}}$
4．iv $\pi \lambda a u \sigma l \Psi$ ：the usual forma－ tion when an army was exposed to attacks from all sides．

5．ì ка入ิิ ：expedient．Cp．є̇v


6．тараүүยฝлєเv：sc．סtẃкєเข．－ aủroús：intensive，in contrast with

тoîs äldocs．－avaotpoфमı：a chance to turn around．

7．$\pi \lambda a y$ lous ：i．e．turned half way around．

8． $8^{\prime}$ oưv：as in 3．5．19．－${ }^{\text {dv }}$ $\tau \varphi ิ{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{\rho} \epsilon \mathrm{t}$ ：added to distinguish the mountain Narthacium from the town of the same name．
 Праขтòs каì NapӨaкíov, каì aủrov̂ ढ̈ $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon, \mu a ́ \lambda a$



 Bocut $\omega$ ข öpıa.

 Saıцóvto $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu a v \mu a \chi i ́ q ~ к а i ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \nu a v ́ a \rho \chi o s ~ \Pi \epsilon i \sigma a \nu \delta \rho o s ~$





9. Прагто́s: Pras, a town near Narthacium. - rovs $\mu$ iरıotov фpos $^{-1}$ voîvias: Thessaly had been famed since the earliest times for its horsemen. - $\sigma u v \lambda_{0} \xi \in v:$ i.e. in Asia. Cp. 3. 4. 15 f. $-\mu$ xpt trpos: even to.
§§ 10-14. Agesilaus learns of the Spartan defeat at Cnidus, but conceals the truth from his army.
10. $\tau \hat{1}{ }_{1} \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta \lambda_{\hat{n}}$ : the entrance, i.e. to Boeotia. - $\mu \eta$ votiofts: cres-cent-shaped. This partial eclipse of the sun took place on Aug. 14th (394 B.c.). Xenophon apparently connects it as an evil omen with the bad news received by Agesilaus. - ти̂ vavpaxiq: the art. is
used as though the famous battle of Cnidus were already known to the reader. In fact, Xenophon has told us nothing even of the previous operations of the opposing fleets, or of the successes achieved by Conon. See on 3.4. I and 28. - ПeloarSpos: cp. 3.4.29.
II. єlval krd.: in ind. disc. suggested by the preceding clause. - Фotviorans: see on 3. 4. 1. Kobova: whom Xenophon now mentions for the first time since his flight after Aegospotami (2. I. 29). - тो ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \kappa \delta v:$ manned by Greek mercenaries and volunteers ; for Conon received no official support from any state of Greece.








 то仑̂ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{u} \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon і ̈ \eta ~ a v ̉ r \varphi ̂ ~ o t o \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$






12. kal: as in 3. 5. 2. - $\pi 0 \lambda$ ù inartovav: Diodorus (14. 83), however, makes Pisander's fleet only slightly inferior in numbers to the combined fleets of Conon and Pharnabazus. - av่т $\mathrm{\varphi}:$ dat.
 injuries inflicted by the rams ( ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ / $\beta$ olot) of the enemy's ships. -
 phon's characterization of Pisander in 3. 4. 29.
13. ai̛T¢ิ: as in § 12. - oiov . . . $\mu$ ertxetv: see on 2. 3.45. -
 ditional. Therefrom supply aư $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{v}$,
i.e. $\tau \omega ̂ v$ ảjaỒv, after $\mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \chi \epsilon เ v .-$ civat : changing to the inf., as in § 1. - $\mu$ єтаßa入áv: sc. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda-$ $\mu$ éva, the report. Cp. the similar procedure of Eteonicus, I. 6. 36.
 HA. 976; B. 655; G. 1572; Gl. 592.
 sacrifice as if for good news. ' Cp. 1. 6. 37 and note. - $\delta \iota$ итентe: $\delta \dot{\text { á }}$ in comp. = Eng. around. $-\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\mathrm{v} v}$ тө0v ${ }^{2}$ vav: part. gen. Note that after the gods had received their portion of the sacrificial victim, the remainder of the flesh was
 $\nu i \not \omega \nu \nu \kappa \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{n} \nu a \nu \mu \alpha \chi i ́ q$.













 and cp. 3.4. I.
§§ 15-21. The battle of Coronea.
15. Botwtol . . . Moxpol aцффTepor: the roll of the allies is the same as at the Nemea (2.17) save for the substitution of Aivîves (cp. 3. 5. 6) instead of M $\eta \lambda_{l} \epsilon$ ís and 'Aкарvâves. Portions of the several contingents, however, had been left behind at Corinth to guard the isthmus. Sıaßâaa: i.e. across the Corinthian Gulf. By the same route Agesilaus was compelled to return home after Coronea (4. I); for despite their victories at the Nemea and at Coronea the Spartans were unable to brownson. hellenica - 16














 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ́ \delta ́ \epsilon ́ \xi ̆ a \nu \tau o ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ ' A \gamma \eta \sigma i ́ \lambda a o \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ' ~ \epsilon ̈ ф v \gamma o \nu ~$


but it is clear that they were about equal. Cp. Ages. 2. 7 and 9. -
 was present at the battle. See Introd. p. 10. - kyivero (sc. тomúty) ola oűk $a \lambda \lambda \eta$ : lit. proved to be such as no other. For the inference drawn from this statement see App.
 of Gìv $\theta_{\eta}$ ßaloss: replacing the oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ тoùs Kopev日íous of 2.14 because the battle of Coronea was fought in Theban territory and the

Thebans played the most promi-
 sc. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon$ úmatos. - Oŋßaiot . . . Sektol: as at the Nemea (2. 18).
17. and: on the part of.8rov: as in 2. 4. 4- - éppovto: rushed. - is : about, with $\tau \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$.
 eк $\delta$ ра $\mu$ бvт $\omega v$ : pred. part. gen. els Sopv: within spear thrust.
18. $\xi_{i v a v}$ : probably mercenaries
 the reference may be to the Asiatic


 $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ‘ E \lambda \iota к \omega ิ \nu ı ~ \pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon v \gamma o ́ \tau \alpha \varsigma, ~ \delta ı a \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̀ ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́-~$ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau \omega \nu, ~ \sigma v \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon ́ \chi \omega ́ \rho o v \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \rho \rho \omega-~$

















troops (§ 17).—iv тоโs бкevoфо́pots: hence in the rear of Agesilaus. -
 'EXıкธิvı тєфevyotas: were fugitives at Mt. Helicon. The perf. denotes the accomplished result, hence $\pi \rho$ ós is followed by the dat. rather than the acc. - SLamereiv : to break through.
19. тар̂̀ть: see on таре̂̀val 2. 22. - $\omega$ Өoûvto $\kappa$ d. : the asyndeton helps to paint the fierceness of the contest. Cp. 2. 4. 33 .
 fallen to Agesilaus. - тิ̂ vєథ̂: of Athena Itonia. - тot oclov: the deity, i.e. Athena.
21. тaparáfau ктd.: a challenge

 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a s ~ a v ̉ \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~ к a i ~ o i ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau ' ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi o i ́ o v \nu . ~ o i ~ \delta e ̀ ~$



 та入ávт $\omega$.

Sections 21-23. A skirmish in Locris results in a slight loss to the Spartans.

Chapter 4. Agesilaus returns to Sparta. Civil war breaks out in Corinth, and many of the pro-Spartan party are massacred. The remainder retaliate by admitting a Spartan mora within the Long Walls connecting Corinth with its port, Lechaeum. Here a battle is fought, in which the Spartans are victorious. The war continues in a desultory way, carried on for the most part with mercenary forces. The Athenian Iphicrates and his peltasts win noteworthy successes. Agesilaus ravages the territory of Argos and captures the Long Walls of Corinth, while Teleutias, his brother, gains possession of the Corinthian dockyards. 394-391 b.c.

to the Thebans, as well as a ceremony in honor of victory. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ : in honor of the god, i.e. Apollo, the national deity of the Dorians. - viroondibous кri. : see on I. 2.

 $\lambda_{c}$ las: sc. хр $\eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, the money realized from the sale of the booty taken in Asia.

Chapter 5, §§ 1-8. Agesilaus invades Corinth and captures

Piraeum. While exulting over his success he receives word of disaster to a Spartan mora. 390 B.C.
 Corinthians. The strife between parties in Corinth (see abstract of Chap. 4) had resulted in the exile of many of the oligarchs, who favored Sparta, and in a close union between Corinth and Argos, the former state apparently


















yielding its independence．See below．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{t}$ ：Corinth．－Het－ paiq：a peninsula on the western side of the isthmus of Corinth．－ кal то́тe：i．e．as in the previous year（see abstract）．－＂I ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\mu} \mu a$ ：the Isthmian games，celebrated every two years in honor of Poseidon．－ os＂Apyous ．．．bvios：as though Argos was Corinth，i．e．included Corinth（see above），and hence had the right to direct the Isthmian games，which had always been under the superintendence of the

Corinthians．$-\mu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} a:$ connect with
 the road（leading）to Cenchreae．

2．$\tau \hat{\text { î }} \mathbf{\text { Ef }} \mathrm{\varphi}$ ：the sacred precinct

 $\mathrm{k} \dagger \theta \eta$ ：in some events individual contestants were beaten twice，i．e． having entered in both sets of
 victors．

3．тû $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ тetdpra：the minute－ ness of detail in the following nar－ rative is doubtless due to the fact

 äpıбтov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̈ \sigma \tau v, ~ \omega ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \delta ı \delta o \mu e ́ v \eta s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s . ~$


 $\nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho \in \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta$ ótas aủrov́s, $\mathfrak{v i \pi o \sigma \tau \rho e ́ q a s ~ a ̊ \mu a ~ \tau \hat { \eta }}$





 тà $\sigma \iota \tau i ́ a ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \pi v ̂ \rho ~ \epsilon i ́ \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma к o ́ \nu \tau o s, ~ \psi v ́ \chi o v s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o ̛ ̉ \nu t o s ~$

that Xenophon himself accompanied Agesilaus on this campaign. See Introd. pp. 11 and 30. - ă $\mathbf{\sigma r v}$ :
 though the city (Corinth) was going to be betrayed to him. - $\mu$ erc-
 'Iфıкрárŋv: Iphicrates, the Athenian, had introduced changes in the equipment of his mercenary force which amounted to developing a new order of troops, combining the merits of both light and heavyarmed soldiers. Besides the light shield ( $\pi \epsilon \in \lambda \tau \eta$ ), from which these troops took their name, he gave them a linen corselet instead of the heavy metal $\theta_{\omega} \rho \rho \xi \xi$ of the hop-
lite and a new, lighter kind of boots. He also increased their efficiency on the offensive by considerably lengthening both spear and sword. Troops thus equipped were almost as dangerous as hoplites, while still capable of much more rapid movement. Xenophon says (4.17) that the Spartan allies stood in absolute terror of Iphicrates and his peltasts. See abstract of Chap. 4. - $\pi a \rho e \lambda \eta \lambda v-$ 0бtas: leaving Piraeum so much the weaker. - $\theta$ eppá: hot springs.
 naturally be expected after $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho \underset{.}{\text {. }}$
 words as in $\mu a ́ \lambda \alpha$ бòv $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \underset{\sim}{c}$ § I .





















 parenthetical. - ola $\delta \grave{\eta}$. . . $\sigma \pi$ tepla: lit. light clothing such as naturally ( $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ) they had in summer, i.e. since it was summer. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{0}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ artov $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathrm{ka}$ : for the omission of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ see S. 1074 ; HA. 647 ; B. 426, n.
 vos: cp. § i.
5. "Hpaivv: a temple of Hera
at the western extremity of the Piraeum peninsula. - ivretexx $\sigma \mu$ hvov: which had been fortified therein, i.e. in Piraeum. - кal $\pi$ devres 8f: and all in fact, not simply the mora just mentioned. - $\boldsymbol{\iota v}_{\boldsymbol{v}} \tau \bar{\varphi}$ 'Нраяч кататеффеубтеs: see on $\pi \rho$ о̀s
 3. 4. 15. - тิิv $\sigma \phi$ ay $\omega \omega v$ : i.e. those concerned in the massacre de-


















 scribed in Chap. 4. See abstract.
6. $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ каat : even before this time ( 390 b.c.) negotiations looking toward a general peace had been undertaken. Cp. 8. i2 f. -
 tians only, and agreeing with a $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota$ which in the writer's thought is the subj. of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ коv. Eठठкєь: seemed, i.e. pretended.то仑 тpoģivov : their proxenus. See on I. I. 35.- тоv . . . olкобоцд $\mu$ а-
the lake. The lake in question was a short distance east of the Heraeum ; the reference in oiкобoرท́ratos is unknown. - тต̂v Make-
 as in 2. 4. 6.
 seeming like one exulting. Xenophon emphasizes the pride that went before destruction. - $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ exaly: the principal port of Corinth. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{d}$. . . $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{d} \boldsymbol{0}$ os : for the art.







 кótos av̉тô $\tau \grave{a}$ $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a ̀ ~ \epsilon i ' s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda a \tau ̀ ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \Lambda \epsilon \chi a i ́ o v, ~ \pi \rho o \sigma-~$

 $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \grave{a ̀ ~ o ̈ \pi \lambda a ~ \kappa a i ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ y o \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \pi a v ́ \sigma a s, ~ a ̉ \pi \eta ̂ \gamma \epsilon ~}$
 аí $\chi \mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ סıєтí $\theta \epsilon \tau о$.





see on tî vavaxía 3. 10. The incident is narrated in §§ $11-17$ below. - пevтךкоvтifpas: see on
3.5.22. - छ̌vayoús: see on 3.5.7. - ктрика: subj. of ка入еîv.
8. \&uфаүoūtv: after swallowing. - rots $\pi \in \mathrm{pl}$ סaporlav: lit. those about the royal tent, i.e. his messmates. Sxpooiav is Doric for
 ably referring to the king's bodyguard. - тồ $\mu \hat{i v}$ : i.e. 'A $\gamma \eta \sigma u$ dáov.
 тлatú: plain. - 0koar . . . тd 8пतa : to ground arms. - тd $\sigma$ नphтevan: which had meanwhile over-
 for sale.
§§ 9-17. Agesilaus returns to Corinth. The disaster to the Spartan mora described.
9. 8 Tt : why, i.e. for what purpose. i $\tau \iota$ represents the $\tau i$ of the dir. question. -ăorv: Corinth.




 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ тò $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a$. каì тò $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \tau \rho о \pi a i ̂ o \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ к а т є ́-~$















10. трота_ov: commemorating the defeat of the mora. Trophies were held inviolable. - кal... $\mu$ úvrot : and . . . indeed. - Kpetv$\sigma$ кv: a Boeotian port on the Corinthian Gulf. - $\pi \lambda \eta \eta \nu \quad \delta \sigma \omega v$ : except (among those) whose. - iv X ©pa: i.e. without taking to flight. See on 2. 20.
II. 'A 1 uклaiol: Amyclae was
one of the larger towns of Laconia. - del потe: as in 3. 5. II. -dmtpxovtan: sc. oïкade.-rd 'Yakivela: a yearly festival in
 for the paean, here simply the hymn to Apollo. Cp. on 2. 4. 17. - каl тбтє $8 \boldsymbol{H}$ : turning from the general $\dot{a} \in i$ тотє to the particular case. - кarètre : i.e. before
 $\phi v \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ тò тєîХos，aủròs סє̀ $\sigma v ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\pi \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кai



 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ é $\pi i$ тò $\Lambda \in ́ \chi a \iota o \nu, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta ' ~ i \pi \pi a \rho \mu о \sigma \tau \grave{̀ \nu} \nu$ éкé $\lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon$


 $\kappa a i$ ó $\pi \lambda i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ o u ́ \delta e ̀ \nu ~ \eta ̉ \gamma \nu o ́ o v \nu \cdot ~ к а \tau \epsilon ф \rho o ́ v o v \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ 125 ~$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇А $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ \omega \nu$ ó $\pi \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，каi＇І $\boldsymbol{\prime} \iota \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \eta s, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \lambda \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ ，каӨор $\omega \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ av̉тоѝs кaì ov̉ по入入oùs


 .$\gamma \nu \mu \nu a ̀ ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\rho} \not \subset \delta i ́ \omega s$ ä $\nu$
 14 ү

marching to Piraeum（§ 3）．－2723；HA．1024；G．1611；Gl． 564. ＂rafe：detanled．

12．anjút $\pi$ dàv：started back again．－$\mu$ етaסıш́кєเv：sc．aủtóv， i．e．the polemarch．－катєфpovouv： contemptuously thought；hence fol－ lowed by the inf．in ind．disc．－ $\mu \eta \delta \dot{v} v a$ ：exceptional for oủ $\delta$ éva．S．

13．＇Tфıкр́́rys：see on § 3．－тd rupva ：see on 2．22．Corinth was to the right of the Spartans as they marched past．－dmoфvyeiv：the subj．is Callias and Iphicrates．－ रvovtes raûta：having come to this conclusion．
















14. $\delta \mu^{\prime \prime} \nu$ tis: tis marks ò $\mu \in ́ v$ as indefinite both in identity and in number. Trans. nany a one. rov́rovs: referring to the wounded only, as is clear from $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \theta_{\eta}{ }_{\eta} \sigma \alpha$ below. - vinaotrotás: slaves who carried the shields of the hop-
 to Spartan ideas those who later (§ 17) saved their lives by flight,
 ${ }_{7} \beta_{\mathrm{n}}$ : : see on 2.4. 32.
15. ufpouv: caught. - т6: correlative with $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ after $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \pi c_{i}^{\prime}$. See on
 from a spear's throw away; for the peltasts had approached only
near enough to reach the Spartans with their missiles. - $\delta \pi \lambda i \tau a t$. . . medtaotas: since they were hoplites pursuing peltasts, a second reason for the failure of the pursuit. $\pi \in \lambda$ raftás stands in a loose apposition to oúóéva. - ekèneve: i.e. Iphicrates. - avex opovv: i.e. the Spartans. - dre кri. : explaining $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi a p-}$
 swiftly as each one could. For the gen. táxovs see on $\sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ 3. 4.
 in part. apposition with oi $\pi$ epì тòv
 is $\pi$ daylov: in front...on the fank.












 $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota \delta^{\prime}$ oi ảnò tov̂ $\Lambda \in \chi a i ́ o v, ~ \epsilon i \sigma \beta a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \pi \lambda o ı a ́ p ı a ~$









16．of inmeis：the Spartan cav－ alry mentioned in §§ 11，12．－ rois en $^{2} \delta \rho \delta \mu o l s$ ：those of the Spar－ tan hoplites who pursued．－rov－ rots：neuter．－kal aitls：again and again．

17．if में imrakal（beka：sixteen or seventeen．－ot àdè rov $\Lambda_{\text {exalov：}}$
i．e．the garrison of Spartan allies （§ 11）．－кarda：opposite．－roùs дт $\pi$（tas ：i．e．Callias＇troops（§ I4）．
 tav：plunged into the sea，in order to reach the boats．－$\pi$ ver申коитa кal Scakoolovs：this number does not represent the total loss，for all
＇Ек $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$



 $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．oṽ $\omega \chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega ิ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \delta o ́ к o v \nu ~ o i ~} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ิ \tau a l 180$







 ミıкvผ̂vos $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \mu o ́ p a s ~ \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi i a \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a-~$
the wounded except the few men－ tioned in § 14 must have been left upon the field．The great，irrepa－ rable loss，however，was that of the Spartan reputation for invin－ cibility．
§§ 18－19．Agesilaus returns to Sparta．The further successes of Iphicrates．

18．oфa入eioav：defeated．－ Suむ̀v $\boldsymbol{i n}^{\prime}$ otxov：as he passed along homeward，i．e．through（ $\delta \iota$ í in comp．）the Peloponnesian towns． －＇Opxonevor：in Arcadia．－${ }^{\mathbf{p} p}$－ $\theta$ pov ：the time before daybreak，in this case so early that he passed by Mantinea while it was still dark． For the adverbial force of the adj．

бкотаíos see on $\pi$ eláyıo 2．1． 17. －dvarrás：setting out．See on
 krd．：so unwillingly，it seemed to him，would the soldiers behold，etc． For the personal constr．in éסókouv see S．1983；HA．944；B．634； G．1522，2；Gl． 574 a．－Tov̀s Mavtıwas：according to 4.17 they had been ridiculed by the Spar－ tans for their fear of Iphicrates＇ peltasts．

19．$\mu$ ала ．．．ІтeróyXavev：was very successful．－EiSoivvtı ．．． Kроццишิv：Corinthian towns on the isthmus．Their capture by Praxitas，a Spartan polemarch，is described in 4．13．－Olv6n：see



Chapters 6-7. Agesilaus invades Acarnania, defeats the forces of the Acarnanians, and devastates their territory.

The Acarnanians yield and become allies of Sparta. Agesipolis leads a Spartan army into Argolis and lays waste the territory of the Argives. $\quad 389-3^{87}$ B.c.




 $\nu \alpha ́ \beta a \zeta o s ~ к а i ~ K o ́ v \omega \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu i ́ к \eta \sigma a \nu ~ т о и ̀ s ~ \Lambda а к є \delta a ı \mu о-~$







 Xov: suffered and caused trouble.

Chapter 8, §§ I-6. Pharnabazus and Conon follow up their victory at Cnidus by expelling the Spartan harmosts from the islands and coast cities. Dercylidas, however, holds Abydus and Sestus against them. 394 в.C.
I. $\boldsymbol{i v} \dot{\Psi}$ : connect with $\tau \mathbf{\alpha}$ үєvóмєva,-what happened
while, etc. $-\mu \dot{\eta}$ : because $\tau$ às ${ }^{\text {átióas }}$ is indefinite, i.e. contains a conditional idea. - тरी vavuaxlqu: i.e. of Cnidus, 394 b.c. See 3.11 f . - dpuortás: see on 3. 5. 13. จйтย . . . Te: as in 3.4.8.
2. of $\delta f:$ : i.e. the people of the cities. - $\tau \bar{\varphi}$ Фapraßás : who was in chief command; for the victorious fleet, although it included some Greek ships and sailors, was


















a Persian fleet．See on 3．4．I and 4．3．II．－ol＂ExA ${ }^{2}$ wes： $\boldsymbol{i . e}$ ．the European Greeks．－ovotalev：as against the national enemy，Persia．
 as in 1．3．13．－тарјict $\mathbf{1 \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ dpxht ：in order to begin operations by land against Abydus（§6）． kaì ráp introduces a statement of the reason why such operations
 had been sent to Asia by Agesi－ laus（3．2）to report the Spartan victory at the Nemea．－$\quad$ d $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text {ab }}$
mo入／$\mu$ еоs：in 405 B．C．，according to 3．1．9，Dercylidas had been punished on a false charge brought by Pharnabazus．－кaтtoxe tìv ＂Aßusov：took possession of＇Aby－ dus，as de facto harmost．

4．oürt：made virtually perf． by $\pi$ ро́ótev．S． 1885 ；HA．826；
B． 522 ；G．1258；Gl． 454 d．－ curpytas：i．e．more than mere фidoss．For the acc．，after $i \mu \hat{i} v$ ， see on èv $v$ ء $\mu$ évovs 1．6．37．－фal－ voolar ：the subj．is indef．，as the following $\tau \iota v \in{ }^{\prime}$ s shows．－





















．．．is krd．：but it is not this way，that，etc．For ë́ $\sigma \tau \iota$ ．．．oi $\beta$ áp $\beta$ apot below．
ÉXov see on eival éxov́ras 1．5． 5.
 avoids stating the fact directly，as a cause．－oùל̇v．．． $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \sigma \mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} v$ ：we are therefore（ápa）done for，lit． no longer anything．－סìv ти̂ Túxn： along with fortune．－＇E入入Пขしкóv： see on § 2．The adj．is made
emphatic by its position，as also
5．Lovtas：who came，i．e．in flight from their cities．－8rot ．．． iv Xeppovifus：see abstract of Book 3，Chap．2．－${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Fxov}$ ：obtained． －oṽ keelvovs ．．．סeit they ought not to be discouraged either．ovid exceivous is the negative form of кaì roúrous（these also）above．－ bROWNSON．HEILLENICA－ 17




















ds dpXifs: from all time.-doth: for the pres. see on objc § 4.Sivavtal: the subj. is the inhabitants of these cities. - кalrot: and $y e t$, even granting the danger from the king. - $\mu \lambda \lambda 10$ : the opt., instead of the ind., indicates the improbability of the attempt.
 them from being panic-stricken.' Manatt.
 Oau § 5. - $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ orkov: to Dascylium (3. 4. 13). - cis ro tap: cis with the acc. sometimes means ' on the coming of' a given time. - dive in tremberet: from Dercylidas (3. 1) and Agesilaus (3. 4, 4. 1).
§§ 7-11. Pharnabazus ravages the Laconian coast, captures Cythera, and furnishes money to















 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o v ̀ s ~ \phi a i ̀ v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}, ~ к а \tau \alpha-~$

the allies at Corinth．Conon re－ builds the walls of Athens． 393 в．c．

7．$\sigma \cup \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \sigma_{8}$ ：as in 1．5． 20. －vfowvv：the Cyclades．In this sense $\stackrel{\eta}{0} \sigma$ of of lacks the art．， being treated as a proper name．－ Mindov：the westernmost of the Cyclades．－$\Phi_{\text {apds }}$ ：a town on the Messenian Gulf．一 тfीs тapa0a入ar－
 lit．the matter of the relief force， which the Spartans might send against him．一rfss Ku0nplas：Cyth－ era was an island south of Laconia．

8．Tiv $\pi \delta \lambda_{\imath v}$ ：the city bore the same name as the island．－dppo－ $\sigma \pi \nmid v$ ：this title is occasionally given by Xenophon to other than Spartan governors．－тots $\sigma \quad \mu \mu \dot{\text { ab }}$ xols：the allies，i．e．the forces of the anti－Spartan league．－$\pi$ rotove фaivecotar 及acidet：merely in the sense of fighting valiantly against the king＇s enemy，Sparta，in return for the aid received from the Per－ sians；for it is clear that no formal alliance existed between Persia and the anti－Spartan league．

 $\delta^{\prime}$ єis т̀̀ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \delta a ~ \sigma v \nu a \nu a \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma o \iota ~ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \mu а к \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~$










 $\nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ B o 七 \omega т o i ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \lambda o v ́ \sigma \iota a \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon т \epsilon i ́-~ г о 5 ~$

 $\nu a v a \rho \chi o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \grave{\epsilon} \theta a \lambda a \tau \tau о к \rho a ́ \tau o v \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~}$

9. $\lambda$ '́yovtos . . . kal routo ỡv, $\| \phi \eta$ : an anacoluthon, the construction of the part. in the gen. abs. passing into that of the finite verb. - Tà $\mu$ akpd̀ reix $\eta$. . . кal rò . . . тeíxos: destroyed in 404 в.c. See 2. 2. 20-23. - тоvิто : strictly a cogn. acc. Trans. there-

10. $\delta \delta \dot{\delta}$ áфıк $\delta \mu \epsilon v o s:$ for the first time, apparently, since he left Athens as general in 407 b.c.

Cp. 1. 4. 10 and 21, 2. 1. 29.-
 to Athens a new career; for the city's naval strength depended upon fortified connection with a fortified port. - ăd $\lambda \boldsymbol{c}$ ct $\tau t$ : instead of the usual $\epsilon i \tau_{i} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ o, for which
 see on đ̈́vтı . . . oùs 2. 4. 6. Botwrol: who in 404 B C. had urged the total destruction of Athens. See 2. 2. 19 and on 2 4.30. - тథิ





 T $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ ' H \rho ı \pi \pi i ́ \delta o v ~ \nu a v ̂ s ~ j ̉ \lambda \theta \epsilon, ~ к a i ̀ ~ o v ̂ r o s ~ a v ̉ ~$ тov̂ кó入лоv $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \rho a ́ r \epsilon \iota . ~$
 $\tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i o ı s ~ \epsilon e ́ \kappa ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$







．．．$\kappa \delta \lambda \pi \varphi$ ：i．e．the Corinthian Gulf．

II．kmorodaús：see on I．i． 23．－＇Hрเజш 1 Sas：last mentioned in 3．17．－rd＇Piov：a promon－ tory commanding the entrance to the Corinthian Gulf．－$\mu$ eтd то仑ิтo： probably in 392 b．C．－Tedeutlas： according to 4．ig a brother of Agesilaus．－ $\mathbf{k \pi}$ ！：as in I．I．32．－ av ．．．$\pi \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ v：i．e．there was again （ $\pi$ ád $\iota v$ ）an undisputed master of the gulf，but this time（av̉）it was Teleutias．See on 2．4．29，and 3．5． 21.
§§ 12－1 5．Futile peace negotia－ tions． 392 в．c．

12．кaltò тeíxos ．．．eùrpenţol： in a word，that Conon was using the king＇s money and ships for the individual benefit of Athens．－ ajd Tôv dkelvov：with his（the king＇s）money．－Tup（ßatov：suc－ cessor to Tissaphernes and Ti－ thraustes，hence Conon＇s superior． — кal aжтотभीбat ．．．raûбаl $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ： i．e．they might even（ $\kappa a i$ ）accom－ plish the first－mentioned result， but at least（ $\gamma^{\varepsilon}$ ）the second．－ $\gamma$ vovtes oũta：as in 5．13．



 סоута. $\sigma v \mu \pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa a ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma a \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к a i ̀ ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu ~$







 シ̀vєка $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon ن ̀ s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о i ́ \eta ~ \hat{\eta} ~ \chi \rho \eta \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \delta \alpha-140$ $\pi a \nu \not ̣ ́ \eta ~ ; ~ к а i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o v ̉ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda e ́ a ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta v \nu a-~$




14. Iket: i.e. at Sardis, Tiribazus' capital. - кal тaítrs: see on каì таи̂тa 2. 3. 53. - otactip . . . ame00pet: just such a peace (i.e. in respect to its terms) as the king had desired (ėmeÓruce retaining the tense of the dir. disc.). In fact, the terms now proposed by the Spartans were more favorable to the king than those which he had himself offered (3.4.25) in 395 b.c. For their own ends the Spartans were now willing to sac-
rifice the Asiatic Greeks, while by establishing the principle of local autonomy they hoped to cripple their enemies at home. See § 15 and on 5. 1. 33. - widcuv . . . Baoidet: for the cases see S. 1409, 1523 b; HA. 739 a ; B. 356, 392, 1 ; G. 1128 ; Gl. 510 d.- offe: emphasizing the following, - 'the king ought not to molest us, for we can't harm him.'
15. גóyo: words only (repeating the $\lambda$ óyou above), not a reason-









Sections 16－39．Tiribazus is superseded，and the Spartans send an army and a fleet to Asia．Thrasybulus sails to Asia with an Athenian fleet and wins over or captures many cities．Iphicrates defeats a Spartan force near Abydus．392－388 b．c．
able basis for a peace．－$\Lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ vov
 islands，which were among the earliest possessions of Athens， had been lost to her as a result of the Peloponnesian War，but had apparently returned to their alle－ giance after the battle of Cnidus．
－тds Botwtifas modets：Thebes， the leading city of the Boeotian league，had long sought，and with partial success，to make the other members of the league her abso－ lute subjects．－ov：referring to
 ＂Apyos：see 5．I and notes．

## BOOK V

The Peace of Antalcidas．Thebes and Athens again at War wrth Sparta． $3^{89} 9-375$ b．c．
Chapter 1，sections $1-24$ ．The Athenians are harassed by pirates from Aegina．Antalcidas becomes Spartan admiral．Desul－ tory fighting by sea and land．Teleutias makes a descent upon Piraeus，disabling Athenian triremes and carrying off merchant ships． $389-387$ в．c．

 ＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ к a i ~ o i ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \mu a \chi o \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta ' \nu \eta ~ \hat{\eta}$


 тò $\nu a v \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \eta ं \gamma \epsilon \tau o, ~ \delta \iota a \sigma \pi \epsilon i ́ \rho a s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \dot{s ~} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-$


Chapter 1，§§ 25－28．Antal－ cidas returns from Persia and makes himself master of the Helles－ pont． 387 в．c．

25．ó dé＇Avta入k（8as：Spartan admiral for the year 388－387 в．c． In the autumn of 388 b．c．he had gone to the Persian court to re－ new the peace negotiations which had failed four years before（4． 8. 12 f ．）．He now，in the spring of 387 B．c．，returned（кат́є $\beta \eta$ ），bring－ ing with him the treaty framed by the Persian king．For its terms see § 3I below．－Tıpıßagov：who was now once more satrap in Asia Minor．Cp．4．8． 12 and the
following abstract．－＇AOquaiot kal of бípиахоь：at this time，largely $^{\prime}$ through the successes of Thrasy－ bulus and Iphicrates（cp． 4.5 and 4．8），the Athenians had taken the position of prominence in the war against Sparta．－ĩ aúròs neyev：which he himself（the king）directed them to（sc．$\chi \rho \hat{\eta}-$ $\sigma \theta a u$ ）．For this meaning of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \in \nu$ cp．1．5．9．－Nıкdлoxov：vice－ admiral under Antalcidas．－$\lambda$ 人 ${ }^{\text {yov }}$ os $\mu е \tau а \pi \epsilon \mu \pi о \mu i v \omega v$ ：for the constr． cp．4．3． 14 and see Introd．IV．J． Antalcidas wished to make the Athenians anxious for the safety of Calchedon，which Thrasybulus
 $\nu \epsilon \tau о \nu$ каì $\Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \Lambda \epsilon o ́ v \tau \iota \chi o \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \Phi a \nu i ́ a \nu ~ e ́ \delta i ́ \omega к и \nu ~ i o ~$

















had recovered to them two years before．－Пıpкю́тa ：on the Helles－ pont，a short distance from Aby－ dus．

26．$\Delta$ пиааlveтov кri．：Athenian generals who had been sent to the Hellespont to support Iphicrates．
一тapéт入evrav：had sailed past Percote，expecting to find Antal－ cidas farther on the way to Calche－

by Dionysius，tyrant of Syracuse， whom Sparta had befriended．－ $8_{\pi \omega s}$ ：connect with $\dot{\mathbf{a} \phi i к є \tau о . ~-~}$ d⿱va入áßot：as in I．I．4．－ठ Kod－ $\lambda u r$ cús：i．e．of the Attic deme Col－ lytus．The addition distinguishes this Thrasybulus from his more famous namesake，who had lost his life a year before．

27．$\pi р о \sigma \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a l ~ к \tau d . ~: ~ i . e . ~$ if any of the twelve captains were short of men，they were ordered to










 ${ }_{29} \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ．oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oủ $\nu$＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \delta ́ \rho \omega ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i a s ~ \nu a v ̂ s, ~ \phi o \beta o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ ต́s $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ к а \tau а-40$
draw upon the crews of the ships left behind．－кal ．．．$\beta$ paסvetpovv： even by the slower Spartan ships． － $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda$ Һбкоито ．．． $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \sigma a v: ~ i m p f . ~$ and aor．denote respectively the process and the result．
 an anacoluthon．－тt ．．．$\delta \mathbf{\delta k} \mathrm{kal}$ ： ср．$\tau \epsilon \ldots$ ．．каi $\delta \epsilon ́$ in 2． 4.6 and 3．4．24．In the present case the changed order（ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каí for кai ．．． $\left.\delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}\right)$ is due to the repetition of $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta o v .-8 \sigma{ }^{\prime} s: ~ i . e$ ．so much of Ionia as the Persians had recov－ ered after the battle of Cnidus（cp． 4．8．1）．－Tıp（Bajos：who was thus aiding Antalcidas in order to force Sparta＇s Greek enemies， especially Athens，to accept the king＇s peace．－＇Apıoßap̧̧ávous： mentioned in 1．4．7．He had now
succeeded to the satrapy of Phar－ nabazus．See below．－кal $\boldsymbol{\gamma d \rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} v:$
 kri．：in this way Pharnabazus， Sparta＇s bitter enemy（4．8．6）， was removed from the scene and prevented from opposing the king＇s plans for peace with the Spartans．－rds ix tov̂ Hóvtov vavs：especially grain ships．See on 1．1．22．－lavtûv：see on I． 6.
 ка弓еv．
§§ 29－31．A general desire for peace．The terms of the king＇s message．

29．тod入ás：sc．oüซas．——es $\pi \rho \delta т$ тои ：at the close of the Pelo－ ponnesian War；for then also it was the Persian alliance with Sparta whieh had conquered















 $\delta \grave{\omega}$ © $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.


Athens. - то入ıоркои́ $\mu$ evor: being beset. For the fact see abstract above. - $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau a v ̂ \tau a \mu \hat{v} v: \mu e ́ v ~ r e p e a t s ~$ the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ with oi 'A $\theta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ aioo, after $\delta \iota a ̀$ rav̂ra has repeated and summed up the reasons which influenced them. - iv $\Lambda_{\text {exale }}$ : see 4. 5. 18. - tv 'OpXонev : see 4.3. 15 and note. Two morae was a third of the whole Spartan army. See on 2. 4. 31. - пра́үната "хогтеs каl
 see on dंтıцía 3. 4. 9.- фpoupdे $\pi \in ф а \sigma \mu \hat{\imath} \eta v$ : see on 3. 5. 6.-

خ̀ тติv $\mu \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu$ نiтофорá: the Argives in times past had sought to escape threatened Spartan invasions by pleading a sacred truce on account of some religious festival, shifting the time of such festival to suit their purpose.
30. ن̛тakov̂ala: the prep. in comp. suggests submission. - $\pi$ apeरévovio: probably at Sardis. -
 I. 4.3 .

3I. Note that this so-called ' Peace' was rather an edict from
 каi Kúт $\rho o \nu, \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{~ a ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a s ~ ‘ E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ́ \delta a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon ı s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu ı к \rho a ̀ s ~} 60$










the Persian king than an agreement between equals. The terms which it prescribed were essentially the same as those proposed by Antalcidas five years before (see 4. 8. 14 f . and notes), except that Lemnos, Imbros, and Scyros were guaranteed to Athens. Of course the purpose of this modification was to incline the Athenians to accept the treaty. -Kímpov: the inclusion of Cyprus was important, for at this time almost the whole of the island had been subdued by Euagoras, prince of Salamis (cp. 2. 1. 29), who was in revolt from the Persian king and was actively supported by the Athenians. dтобтрои: whichever, i.e. of the two contending parties in Greece, - as though to imply that there was not a definite understanding
between Persia and one of those two parties, namely, the Spartans. - ty由: note the change of per-

 $v \omega v$ : it was under this clause that the Spartans assumed, to their own great advantage, the role of champions or executors of the peace. See §§ 33 and 36 below.
§§ 32-34. All the Greek states accept the peace, Thebes under compulsion. The Argives are forced to depart from Corinth.
 the name of all the Boeotians, i.e. Thebes wished to be acknowledged as sovereign over all Bòeotia. See on 4. 8. 15.- $\delta$ \&
 scene took place at a second congress, held in Sparta. - odx
 $\nu o ́ \mu o u s ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu a \iota ~ к а i ~ \mu \iota к р a ̀ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu є \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu . ~ o i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$
















Safaroar: see Introd. IV. I.cival: see on Sov̂val 1. 3. 8.
 see on 3. 4. 4 and cp. 4. 5.6.10usto : i.e. the sacrifice preliminary to an expedition against the The-bans.-lyevero: as in 3. 5. 7.Sıaßartipla: see on 3. 4. 3.$\xi \in v a y o v{ }^{\prime}$ : see on 3.5.7, where King Pausanias gathers his army in the same way as Agesilaus here. It will be noted that the Spartans still summon and command their allies, despite the autonomy provision of the king's peace. Nom-
inally, indeed, the states of the Spartan confederacy had always been autonomous, and so the Spartans still pretended to consider them ; practically, however, Sparta retained absolute control of her own allies, while she deprived the other Greek states of theirs. Thus she obtained a more commanding position than she had ever held before.
34. Kopiveiol . . .'Apyelev : for the relations between the two states
 the impf. as in $\delta_{\epsilon \epsilon \lambda}{ }^{\prime}$ रovto 2.2.11.






 $\phi \in u ́ \gamma o \nu \tau a s$.








- кal тoúrous: i.e. coercing them also, as well as the Thebans.driocev: parallel with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi o t \in \nu$, i.e. ä $\pi \epsilon \mu \mu$ retains in the opt. its normal fut. meaning. - aüǹ ' $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ aúrôs: lit. itself in control of itself, i.e. independent. For the combination of intensive and reflexive see on I. I. 28. - $\sigma$ фareis : see abstract of Book 4, Chap. 4. - aürol rvovres: of their own accord. - кarestXovro: see on кaтá $\xi_{\text {єı }}$ 1. 1. 29.toùs . . . фev́yovtas : see on 4 . 5. I.
§§ 35-36. The results of the peace. 386 в.c.

35. むцшнбкєбар : it is probable that the peace was not finally ratified until the early part of 386
B.C. - $\mu \dot{\nu}$ 8 $8 \eta$. . . ovirw : the same formula with which Xenophon concludes his account of the civil war in Athens (3. I. 1). - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{d} \mathbf{v}$. . . $\pi \delta \lambda_{\mu \mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ : i.e. the Corinthian War, now ended. - ka0aupfotews : dependent upon $\boldsymbol{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o v$, the order of words as in 3.5.3.
36. For the significance of the summing-up contained in this section see App. p. 358. - iv rê $\pi \div \lambda \notin \mu \varphi \kappa \pi d$. : 'while in the war it was rather a case of holding their own with their antagonists, yet,' etc. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{o v}$ modifies the whole clause, comparing the slight success of the Spartans in the war with the great diplomatic success



 $\tau а і ิ \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \lambda a \beta o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu, \sigma v ́ \mu \mu a \chi o \nu$ Kó－





Chapter 2，sections r－io．The Spartans chastise those among their allies whose loyalty had wavered during the war： the wall of Mantinea is destroyed and its inhabitants scattered in villages；the Phliasians are compelled to recall their exiles． $3^{86-384}$ в．c．

II


which followed．－dvtıppómes ．．． траттоитеs：cp．the frequent phrase $\epsilon \mathfrak{v} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota v$ ．For the tense of the part．see on $\pi \rho o \sigma o \mu i \lambda o u v v-$ тes i．1．30．－тfीs ．．．ка入ou the so－called Peace of Antalcidas． Strictly， $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ is temporal，in the time of．－$\pi$ робтáral：champions，in effect executors．The Peace of Antalcidas was the result of nego－ tiations in which only Persia and Sparta had a share（see on $\S \S 25$ and 31）．It was really a treaty between these two powers alone， to be by them imposed upon the anti－Spartan states of Greece．The

Persian king＇s threat，contained in the last clause of his message， was to make war in company with those who desired peace，i．e． especially the Spartans，upon all who refused to accept the treaty． Hence the rôle of champions of the peace fell naturally to the Spar－ tans，and they played it in a way to advance greatly their own interests．

Chapter 2，§§ in－ig．Acan－ thus and Apollonia send ambassa－ dors to Sparta．The speech of Cligenes． 383 B．c．

II．＇Akávelov ．．．＇A ＂Oגuvoov ：cities on the peninsula


















of Chalcidice. - rov̀s $\sigma$ vuци́xovs: i.e. delegates from the allied states, sitting in joint session with the Spartan Assembly. See on I. 33.
12. $\pi \rho \hat{\text { 人िभa: }}$ danger. Cp. $\pi \rho а ́ \gamma \mu а т а ~ \pi а р е ́ \chi є \iota v .-8 т ь ~ \mu i ́ v: ~$ $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v} v$ is not followed by a correlative $\delta \delta^{\prime}$, but none the less suggests a contrast between the statement contained in its own clause and something yet to come which the Spartans do not know. - $k \pi l$ Opqikns: as in 1. 3. 17.- Tâv
 . . . тport $\lambda a \beta$ ov: thus forming the Olynthian league, which forty years later, in the time of Demosthenes, bore an important part in the struggle against Philip of
 see on 2. 2. 20. - Tôv $\mu$ eç̧buv: indicating that the earlier acquisitions were small cities. - 'Apsvrov: father of Philip and grandfather of Alexander the Great.
13. Heldav: at that time capital of Macedonia. - ठrov odx: all










 $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s$ єis $\tau a v ́ \tau a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon ı s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \sigma \nu \mu-$



 $\nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ ย \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \dot{~} \pi \eta \eta \kappa o ́ o v s ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~$
 مติs aṽтal ai $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \phi o ́ \beta \eta \nu \tau a \iota \cdot ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \mu \iota \sigma o \hat{-}$
but，lit．only not．－rouv：the tense as in ämíotev I． 34.

14．aüтото入ital ilval：as op－ posed to $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda_{\imath \tau \tau}$ écıv § 12．－ ris：as in 1．5．15，instead of the
 text is almost certainly corrupt， for the number here given is shown by the following narrative and by the statements of other authorities to be too small．

Athenian and Boeotian envoys．－ dpâte：take care．For the follow－ ing ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ with the fut．ind．，in－ stead of the more usual $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ with the subjv．，see S． 2220 a；HA． 887 a； B．594，N．；G．1379；Gl． 638 d．－ ikeiva ：lit．those matters，referring rather indefinitely，but no less dis－ tinctly，to the Athenians and the Thebans．－Пotelfauav：an impor－ tant city only a few miles distant from Olynthus．－тaútฑs ：i．e．Пa入－ 18







 $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ，éк $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi о \rho i \omega \nu, \pi о \lambda \nu a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$






 speaker skillfully transforms a weak point in his case－the fact that no one else had complained of the Olynthians－into a strong one．
 the first clause is logically subor－ dinate to the second，as in 1．7．28． Trans．with while ．．．，neverthe－
 ．．．ein：referring to Sparta＇s suc－ cessful attempt to break up the Boeotian league by means of the Peace of Antalcidas（5．I． 32 f．）． Hence $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}^{\eta} v a \iota$ is secondary， and the following obj．clause may take the opt．，for which see on


27．Therewith corresponds $\dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o-$
 4．8．14．－ot кaтd री̀v $\mu$ кov： whereas Boeotia had never been strong except катà $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu .-\tau \ell \gamma$ dp $\delta \eta$ каl：for the force of каí in a question see on 1．7．26．－ $\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ： see Introd．IV．D． 4.
 aßaracurot：for that reason．they could more easily be added to the Olynthian league．－vir＇ixetvovs： the acc．on the same principle as in múdas 3．5．19．－пo入入ウ̀ ．．． Sívalıs：predicate．－aürך：sub－ ject．— rd ．．．xpíctaa：in later days the wealth derived from the gold mines of Mt．Pangaeum







 $\lambda a \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~ a i ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̈ ́ \kappa o v \sigma a l ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda t-~$ $\tau \epsilon i a s ~ к о \iota \nu \omega \nu o v ̂ \sigma a \iota, ~ a v ̃ \tau \alpha \iota, ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ i ̂ \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \tau i \pi a \lambda o \nu, ~ \tau a \chi \grave{v}$










aided Philip to make himself mas－ ter of Greece．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{its}: ~ e m p h a t i c$ in contrast with $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ．．．$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mu$ ．
 acc．as in 3．5．12．－каи тס6e：the speaker takes care not to defeat his own ends by representing Olynthus as too formidable a power for Sparta to subdue easily． －ov̉ซav：supplementary．

19．kriyaplais：the right of
 right of holding real property in one another＇s dominions．－map＇ djגך入入oss：connect with the pre－


§§ 20－24．The Spartans de－ cide upon war against Olynthus， whither Eudamidas with an ald－ vance－guard is sent．

20．$\lambda$ ojov ：permission to speak，














 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ o v ̉ \pi \omega ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa є \chi \omega \rho \eta \kappa v i ́ a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \sigma \tau \eta ̂ \nu a l ~ a ̈ \nu ~ g o ~$

a hearing, as in I. I. 28. For the fact see on I. 33.- - ) to make (i.e. raise) an army, an unusual expression. - to els roùs $\mu \nu p l o v s$ бívтaүpa: i.e. its proportionate share of a total army of 10,000.
21. $\lambda$ ojor : proposals. Thereon
 vaîov kard ăvסpa: three Aeginetan obols (=half an Aeginetan drachma) per day for each man, i.e. in order to pay for a substitute. The Aeginetan drachma, which was the standard in many parts
of Greece, was worth nearly half as much again as the Attic drachma (see on 1. 5. 4). -iтmtas . . . sisootal: if any state normally furnished horsemen (but wished to give money instead), pay equal to that of four hoplites (i.e. two drachmae) be given for each horseman.
22. नтarfft: = two drachmae.
23. $\mu \hat{v}$ : subordinating, as in § 16 above. $-8 \sigma \eta$. . . $\bar{\xi} \in \lambda=0 \mathrm{ol}$ : as large as could set forth quickly. тробкехшрךкvias : gone over, i.e. to the Olynthians.





















24. Eкเคเтติv: a people who lived on the northern frontier of Laconia. - $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\circ}\llcorner\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ( $\delta a v$ : subj. of $\mu \in \tau$ téval. - aủtós : Eudamidas. - eikef$\nu \omega v$ : i.e. the Olynthians.
§§25-31. The seizure of the Cadmea.
25. Tov̂ Eu̇סap (Bov : gen. of sep-aration.-iv $\Theta \nmid \beta$ aus: i.e. in the district of Thebes. Cp. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{\eta}$
 probably the so-called Heracleum, southwest of the city. - $\sigma$ aactagov$\tau \omega v$ : the democratic party was antiSpartan, the oligarchical party pro-
 polemarchs were the chief officials of Thebes. -'I $\sigma \mu \eta$ vias: cp. 3. 5. 1. - i̇aupıิิv : political clubs. Cp. 2. 3. 46.





















27. dтокекtриктаи кri. : showing that the democratic party was now in control. Cp. also the fact mentioned in § $15 .-\mu \eta \delta i v a: ~ a ~$ redundant negative. See on 1. 7 . 32. - $\ddagger$ нeis : emphatic, -we, your friends. - "O $\lambda$ vvOov . . . Oధßas: the chiastic arrangement emphasizes the contrast in thought.
28. троор $\mu$ ๆбаи: i.e. in order
to dispel any possible suspicion on the part of the Thebans. -
 see on $\sigma$ т $\rho a \tau$ ậ 4. 2. 9.
29. ไv ти̂ . . . orộ: instead of in the Cadmea, or citadel of Thebes, the usual place of meeting. - 0cб mophoria was a festival in honor of Demeter, celebrated by women



 $\pi a \rho \iota e ́ v a l ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho o ́ \pi \pi о \lambda \iota \nu$ ŏ $\nu \tau \iota \nu a$ $\mu \eta ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ o \iota, ~$
















only. - גтогтрффat: àmó in comp., back.- пaptivan: to let in, from тарі́ŋме.
 the scene is precisely similar to that between Critias and Theramenes, 2. 3.51 f. $-\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu 0-$ moovivra: both in the past (cp. § 35) and by now befriending Sparta's enemies, the Olynthians
( $\$ 827,34$ ). - \$vea eipףral : to the place where you have been directed to take him. So Critias uses the phrase oi $\delta \in \hat{i}$ (2. 3. 54) instead of saying 'prison.'
31. ol... үүүv由бкоитеs: as in 2. 3. 34. It includes both oi $\mu^{\prime} v$ and
 coupled with that of Ismenias in 3. 5. I.















§§ 32－36．Leontiades in Sparta． The Spartans decide to retain the Cadmea．Ismenias is condemned and executed．

32．$\pi 0 \lambda \not \mu^{\prime} a p \chi o v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda o v: ~$ Archias．Cp． 4.2 and Plut．Pelop． 6．－xalenĉs ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ xovtas：Xenophon does not allude to the more in－ tense anger which was felt through－ out the other states of Greece at the treacherous seizure of the Cad－ mea．The Spartans so far yielded to public opinion as to punish Phoebidas by a heavy fine and suspension from his command （Plut．l．c．，Diod．15．20），yet they retained possession of the Theban citadel（§35）．－оí тробтах ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ขта ：
predicative，without authorization．
 to Plutarch（Ages． 23 f．）Agesi－ laus was suspected of being the real author of the plot which Phoe－ bidas carried out．Diodorus（l．c．） even says that general instructions had been secretly given to Spar－ $\tan$ commanders to seize the Cad－ mea if they found an opportunity． － incaios el $\eta$ ：for the personal constr．see on 1．7．4．－aürd： nearly equal to $\mu$ óvov．－dya日à मे кakd：from the point of view of policy，not of morality．

33．Toùs èkк入ोtovs：see on
2．4．38．－іл८үєте：iterative．－

















ouk.. . ouk : the first $=$ nonne, introducing both the following clauses; the second negatives $\dot{\eta} \theta \dot{\theta} \lambda \eta \eta \sigma a \nu$ only. Trans. did they not refuse
 $\mu$ нv: see 2. 4. 30 and note. - $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\omega-}$ кê̂̃ı . . . imeテтpárevov: see 3.5.4.
34. 4moเoิิvтo: conative impf., for it seems that the proposed alliance (§ 15 ) had not been actually concluded. - тбтє $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu v}$ : i.e. before the seizure of the Cadmea, as is clear from the correlative vivv $\delta^{\prime}$ è $\pi \epsilon i ̀$ ктé. - $\beta$ Lafonívous . . . eivau: see on 1. 32. - $\sigma \kappa u \tau d \lambda_{\eta}$ : the Spar$\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a n }}$ cipher dispatch. A narrow
strip of leather was wound diagonally around a staff, and upon the surface thus formed the message was written lengthwise of the staff. The strip was then unrolled, its message thus becoming unreadable, and sent to its destination. The recipient was provided with a staff of the proper size, which enabled him to read the dispatch. - ikeielv: from Thebes, i.e. by the Thebans.
35. $\sigma \sigma \pi t \rho$ : used, as in § 28 , of carrying out a course of action consistently with a beginning already made. -'I $\sigma \mu \eta v i q$. . . тоเท̂бat : lit. to make a trial for Ismenias, i.e.





 $\mu^{\prime} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau a, ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \epsilon ̈ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{~} \quad$ ov̉
 $\mu e ̀ \nu ~ к а \tau \epsilon \psi \eta \phi i ́ \sigma \theta \eta ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̀ \pi o \theta \nu \eta \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \cdot$ oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \Lambda \epsilon о \nu \tau \iota a ́-$



Sections 37－43．Teleutias is sent against the Olynthians and defeats them in a pitched battle． 382 b．c．

Chapter 3．Teleutias is defeated and slain before Olynthus． King Agesipolis，who succeeds him in the command，dies of fever． Olynthus is ultimately starved into submission．

On complaint of Phliasian exiles the Spartans make war upon Phlius，which is reduced after a long siege． $381-379$ в．c．

1 По入入à $\mu$ èv ov̉v ä้ $\tau \iota \varsigma$ to bring Ismenias to trial．－катク－
 a strange accusation in a Spartan court after the Peace of Antalcidas！ Note that the first three charges really cover the same ground，de－ scending gradually from the gen－ eral to the specific．$-\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\varphi} \Pi_{\text {épon }}$ ： i．e．Tithraustes．Cp．3．5．1．－ Tติv mapd 乃acıiteos ．．．din：as stated in 3．5．1．－ 8 tt $\tau$ रीs ．．． tapax If кrd．：i．e．that he had been responsible for the Corin－ thian War．Here lay the real
 cause of Ismenias＇condemnation．

36．Toे $\mu \grave{\text { ǹ oi ．．．बivau：＇that }}$ he was not full of great and evil undertakings．＇For the double neg．see on 2．3． 16 ；for the un－ usual $\tau$ ó with the inf．in ind．disc． see GMT． 794 ；S． 2034 g．

Chapter 4，§ I．Righteous retribution overtakes the Spar－ tans． 379 в．c．

1．This section suffices to show that Xenophon was not a blind partisan of Sparta．Cp．3．5． 12 and see Introd．p．31．－$\lambda$ tyctv ．．．













ws：mention（cite）as proof that． Cp．2．3．27．－dorßoívtwv ．．．
 to the spirit or intent，the second to the actual deed．－גцe入ovort：i．e． leave unpunished．－Tt ．．．Tt： connecting the two clauses．See Introd．IV．D．2．－ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ap ：not for， but more like namely，introducing the concrete case in point．－${ }^{\circ} \mu \mathrm{o}$－ oavres：i．e．on the conclusion of the Peace of Antalcidas，1．32．－ ที่＇aürâv $\mu$ ovav кxi．：by the very men，unaided，who had been thus wronged．－dko入döךбav：i．e．at Leuctra in 37 I в．c．（6． 4.9 f．）．－
 separation see on oúdè ．．．mụ̣̂ 2.
 see on 3．5．14．－крarn $\theta$ evtes： conquered，－not defeated，for the

Spartans had in fact suffered de－ feats．－tò̀s ．．．elvayayóvтas ．．． kal ßoun $\eta$ Өvias：standing at the beginning of the clause as though the obj．of a verb to come，but ultimately replaced by the slightly different and more precise expres－
 avicol tupavveiv：as though iккei－
 Onoar had preceded；that is，auvroi is attracted to a nom．which exists only in the writer＇s thought．
§§ 2－12．The liberation of Thebes．

2．rois mepl＇Apxiav moдepap－ xois：there were probably three polemarchs，although Xenophon mentions only Archias and Philip by name．－тà入入a：i．e．besides the duties which properly fell to














him as $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i s$. －кal тробөєv ．．．\＆̈v：see on ov̉ac 4．8．4．－ Tむิv．．．$\theta_{\eta} \beta$ alwv ：part．gen．For the fact see 2．31．According to Plutarch（Pelop．6）Sparta com－ manded the Athenians not to re－ ceive these exiles，but the Athe－ nians disobeyed the command out of gratitude for the protection which＇the Thebans had given to Thrasybulus and his fellow－exiles in 404 b．c．（see 2．4．I and note）．一 Tà $\pi$ rel＇Apxlav ．．．rupavvifoa： lit．the facts about Archias and the tyranny in connection with Philip． Apparently it is for the sake of exact correspondence between the two phrases that $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ Фincmaov is used in the second instead of the
simple genitive．－$\gamma$ voús：having found out．－$\mu$（rov̂vta：Plutarch （l．c．7）says that Phillidas had ob－ tained the post of $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \varepsilon^{s}$ for the express purpose of betraying the polemarchs．－$\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o v$ aùrov̀： $=\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o v \hat{\eta}$ av̉ròs $\dot{\epsilon} \mu i \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ．

3．© Mè $\omega$ ：Plutarch（Pelop．8） makes Pelopidas，later famous as general and friend of Epaminon－ das，the leader of the enterprise．－
 amtovecs：as if returning from the country．They were disguised，ac－ cording to Plutarch（Pelop． 8 f．）， as farmers and hunters．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} v i x a \pi \in p$
 at the time when the last returning laborers came in．









 тò $\pi \rho о \tau a \mu \epsilon \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ то̂ $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu a \rho \chi \epsilon i ́ o v, ~ a u ̉ r o ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$






4. тd ăдла ітецелеіто: made the other arrangements. The acc. is cognate. - ws: here equal to äтє. -'Aфpobifia: not a festival in honor of Aphrodite, but simply a farewell banquet. - кal $\delta \eta$ каl:
 as $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta e v$ ©̈v § 2.
 of the affair, according to the famous story which Plutarch (Pelop. 10) tells, a messenger brought Archias a letter, bidding him to read it at once, since it related to serious matters. In fact, it con-
tained a full account of the plot against the polemarchs. Archias, however, put the letter aside with the remark Eis aüpoov $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \pi o v \delta a i a$, 'Serious matters for to-morrow.' - кслесо́тши: see on 1. 1. 26.
6. тротанкiov: an anteroom adjoining the treasury, hence a safe place. - cls dévos: sc. otkov. S. 1302 ; HA. 730 a. The gen, dependent upon an omitted otkos or ve $\omega$ s (temple) is analogous to the English 'at Jackson's,' 'to St. Peter's.'-8 $\boldsymbol{t}$ : ironical. See on I. 7.8.




 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu a ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a i ́ \tau \iota \beta o u ́ \lambda o \iota \tau o$. ò סè étú $\gamma \chi a \nu \epsilon$

 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu \nu o \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$ єívtéval. oi $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\pi \epsilon i ̀ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \eta ̂ \lambda \theta o \nu, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~$




 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \mu о \phi u ́ \lambda a \kappa \iota \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma} \tau \iota a ̈ \nu \delta \rho \alpha a \ddot{a} \gamma o \iota \pi a \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu a ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu 60$




7. ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \phi$ l: see Introd. IV. C. 2. — Leovtadov: cp. 2.25 f. - xupls: alone, in contrast with the banqueters. - кагакся $\mu$ vos: upon the dining couch. - etmov . . . кekdelorar: 'they ordered that the door should be shut (and remain so),' the perf. inf. signifying 'that the action is to be decisive and permanent.' S. 1865 c ; G. 1275 ; GMT. 11о. - áтоктєivat: for the tense see on $\delta$ ô̂rau 1. 3. 8.
8. avaketov: prison. - roùs ©eбнытas 凤uvav: presumably because so many of them were political prisoners, i.e. democrats and friends of the conspirators. -
 tured arms, which were hung in the stoa as trophies. -'A ${ }^{\prime} \phi$ ciov: the shrine of Amphion, a Theban hero. - $\theta \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{a}$. . . Td $8 \pi \lambda a$ : to stand under arms, i.e. in readiness.
















 $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$ : at daybreak, according to Plutarch (Pelop. 12 f.), the citizens gathered in assembly and elected Pelopidas, Melon, and Charon as Boeotarchs (cp. 3.4.4), thus asserting once more the claim to headship in Boeotia which the Peace of Antalcidas had forced Thebes to relinquish (1. 32 f.). -
 (i.e. after) those of the Athenians who were on the borders, viz., two of the generals. In all probability some portion of the text, explaining how an Athenian force chanced to be on the borders ready to assist
the Thebans, has been lost. It is clear from § 19 that the two Athenian generals knew beforehand of the plot against the polemarchs and that they acted without orders from the Athenian govern-
 knowing the thing (i.e. errand, purpose) for which they (the Thebans) had sent out the horsemen, came to their aid. The text, however, is very uncertain.
10. IIגaratás: Plataea, an ally of Athens at the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War, had been captured and destroyed by the Spartans in 427 B.C., but rebuilt by them after the Peace of Antalcidas
















in order to serve as a check upon the power of Thebes．Both Pla－ taea and Thespiae were now occu－ pied by Spartan garrisons．

II．d̀hor bvess：connect with ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ yvooav．The garrison numbered 1500，while the attacking force was ten times as large．Diod． 15 ． 25 f．－Te：connecting ëzvorav and $\dot{\epsilon} \omega \dot{\rho} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．See Introd．IV．D．I．
 also（кai）large prizes were offered to those who ascended the acropolis first，an additional reason for the $\pi \rho o t v \mu i a$ re－ ferred to．－lmi rov́rols：on these
terms，summing up the preced－ ing．

 tans，but Theban oligarchs（hence $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu)$ who had taken refuge in the acropolis after the death
 were spirited away．
§§ 13－18．The Spartans de－ clare war upon Thebes．Agesilaus declines to take command．The expedition of Cleombrotus．

13．ウìv ßot日ciav：the relief force which was sure to come un－ der the circumstances．Cp．4．8．7．






 $\nu o \iota s, \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi$ тó入єı $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi o \iota . ~ \epsilon i ̈ a ~ o v ̃ \nu ~ a u ̉ r o v ̀ s ~ \beta o v-~$










 on 2．4．32．－тगीs davtต̂v：sc．$\chi{ }^{\omega}$－ pas．－oütw $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ．．．dтeঠelikvus ：＇an anacoluthon．One would expect this clause to be parallel with vinèp тєтгара́коvтa ．．．eil $\eta$ and to be followed by a principal verb agreeing with＇A $\gamma \eta \sigma i$ idaos above．
 rat：Agesilaus had made enemies at Sparta by his extreme war policy，especially in support of pro－Spartan oligarchs－elk ouv wri．：i．e．he took no part in the
discussion of the present question．
14．K $\lambda_{c} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mu} \beta_{\rho o t o v}^{\text {：}}$ brother and successor of Agesipolis．See ab－
 vos：see on $\mu$ ála cínucpias 2．4． 2.
 Tiv is Miaralas：the first the eastern，the second the western route over Mt．Cithaeron．－ol телта⿱宀таl：i．e．of Cleombrotus． —arkp甲：of Mt．Cithaeron．－rois
 about，i．e．the same meaning which either word alone would have．－ brownson．hellenica－ 19
$\pi \epsilon \lambda \tau a \sigma \tau a i ̀ ~ \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu a \nu \cdot a u ̉ r o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к a \tau e ́ ß a l \nu \epsilon ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$


 $\kappa а i ́ \delta \epsilon к а \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \chi \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ єis $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \pi เ a ́ s . \quad \kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \in \hat{\imath}$













 крท́m
 long afterwards Plataea was re－ duced by the Thebans．

15．Kuvos ксфа入فs：a village near Thebes．

16．Kpévotos：see on 4．5．10； and for the gen．form see on $\Gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma t o s ~ 1 . ~ 1 . ~ 29 .-~ к а l ~ \mu a ̀ \lambda a: ~ a s ~$ in 2．4．2．－побтера́ тотє пбденоя $\pi \rho \delta_{s}$ ：the alliteration is perhaps intended to picture the impatience
of the soldiers．For moté in a question see on 2．3． 47.

17．$\sigma \eta \mu a i v a t v:=\sigma \eta \mu \in i o v$ eivau．
 The reference is to Cleombrotus＇ defeat at Leuctra a few years later．
 Mt．Cithaeron．－aütois $\sigma \kappa \in$ vévt： baggage and all．For the idiom and constr．see on 1．2．12．－ $8 \pi \lambda a$ ：i．e．shields．

















18. गกfs Mcyapıkff: see on
 vavto: i.e. after the loss of so much of their baggage.
§ 19. The Athenian generals who aided the Thebans are punished.
19. ग̀े . . . $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\alpha \mu \eta v: ~ C l e o m b r o-~}^{\text {a }}$ tus' expedition, therefore, was not without some result. - $\boldsymbol{i v}^{\boldsymbol{K}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{op}}\left(\mathrm{v} \theta_{\varphi}\right.$ oúxetrt : i.e. as it had been before the Peace of Antalcidas, when the Spartans were unable to pass the isthmus of Corinth. - गेv . . . dveßad入ov: for the objective impf.


т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ : see § 9 and note. - $\sigma$ тpaт $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, oí $\sigma \cup v \eta \pi เ \sigma \tau d \sigma 0 \eta v$ : an extreme case of the not unusual mixture of dual and plural.
§§ 20-24. Sphodrias' raid into Attica. 378 в.c.
20. as̃ . . . xal aírot : i.e. the Thebans as well as the Athenians (§ 19). - фо krd. : lit. being fearful, if (in case that) no others except themselves should make war, etc., i.e. feeling that their position was dangerous in case, etc. $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ is opt. in




















there is some question whether Xenophon is right in representing Sphodrias＇act as inspired by the Thebans．Cp．the story in 3． 5. 3 f．and see Introd．p．31．－由s ทัтшлтงv́cтo：referring to $\chi$ рй $\mu a \tau \alpha$
 the purpose of the Thebans，not
 dently the new fortifications of Piraeus，begun by Conon（4． 8. 10），had not been entirely com－
pleted．－кa0avóretv：sc．t̀̀v ódóv， would finish the journey．

21．Opıâot：locative form of $@ \rho i a$, an Attic deme near Eleusis． －ivtaîea：thereupon．－बनтe： purpose．See on 2．4．8．

22．$\pi$ ．$\ell \sigma \beta$ eve：the object of this embassy is unknown；per－ haps it had come to protest against the act of the two Athenian gen－ erals（§§ 9，I9）．－oürw ．．．©s ：＝ оั்т...






 $24 \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ．oi $\delta^{\prime}$ モ̈фороı à $\nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \tau o ̀ \nu \Sigma \phi o \delta \rho i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~$


Sections 24－33．Sphodrias disobeys the command to return to Sparta for trial，yet is nevertheless acquitted．
 тòv $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \nu \dot{\omega}$ oi $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o ́ v ı o l ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ o ̊ \pi \omega s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \eta ́ \sigma a \iota \nu \tau о, ~$

 $\tau \epsilon \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \iota a ̂, \nu a v ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu a v \pi \eta \gamma o v ̂ \nu \tau o, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon$ Boıんтoîs 5

－каталацвагбреvоv：conative， that an attempt was making to scise Piraeus．－кal tavota：as in 2．3． 53.

23．oust：i．e．that the state was not cognizant of this attempt either，any more than they，the ambassadors．－$\Sigma \phi o \delta p l a v: ~ m a d e$ emphatic by its position，as for Sphodrias．The natural Eng．or－ der would be：éqaáav єv̉ cióéva
一 $\mu \eta \delta t v$ ：see on $\mu \eta \delta \dot{v} v a 4.5 .12$.
 3． 19.
§§ 34－41．Athens aids the The－ bans．Agesilaus＇campaign against Thebes．
 on oủX ö $\pi \omega$ s 2．4．14．－vaîs lvav－ $\pi \eta$ 〒oivvo：the renewed activity of the Athenians in naval affairs， which is here only suggested， culminated in the following year （ 377 в．c．）in the formation of what is known as the Second Athenian Confederacy．This Con－ federacy ultimately came to include not only Thebes and Euboea，but many Aegean islands and mari－




 $\mu \eta ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о к а т а \lambda \eta ́ \psi о \iota \tau о ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ K ı \theta a \iota \rho \omega ̂ \nu a, ~ o v ̉ ~ \rho ̣ a ́ d ı o \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma \tau a \iota ~$

 є̇коь


 троката入аßєì aủтov̀s тò̀ KıӨaıрஸ̂va．тoîs $\delta^{\prime}$＇O $\rho \chi^{\prime-}$








time cities，and gave to Athens a position of greater prominence and strength than she had held since the Peloponnesian War．Strangely enough，the whole matter is en－ tirely passed over in the Hellenica， except for the above vague allu－ sion．See Introd．p． 27.

35．$\sigma \phi(\sigma \mathrm{L}:$ for the dat．see on

 $\sigma$ oal 22.
 vioss：Cletor and Orchomenus were towns in Arcadia．－el tr Ee $\eta$ 位 $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ： sc．av่rồ，if he had any need of it．

37．тр⿳⺈⿴囗十七亍：：i．e．before doing anything else．








 катаßєßŋко́т $\omega \nu$, т $\omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu a \beta a \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, є̇ $\pi \epsilon \lambda a v ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \cdot$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o i ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ є̇va, Ev̈





 krd. : the parts of the country on his side of the stockade and trench. $\chi$ ${ }^{\omega}$ pas is part. gen. with the entire following phrase; $\sigma \tau a v \rho \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ and táф éautov̂, which amounts to an adv. of place, e.g. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \omega$, outside. - yap: the following clause explains why Agesilaus kept to his own side of the stockade. - ©s d $\mu \nu v o v i \mu e v o r: ~$ see on és $\mu \alpha \chi$ ои́ $\mu \in v o s$ I. 1. 33.
39. oia $8 \dagger$ : = äтє. - ovoxevaโo $\mu \mathrm{v} \omega \mathrm{v}$ : making their prepara-
tions, i.e. for going away.- *ैitı катаßе $\eta_{\eta \kappa \delta т \omega v: ~ b e i n g ~ s t i l l ~ d i s-~}^{\text {- }}$ mounted. The perf., of an accomplished result continuing as a present state (cp. on 4.3. 18), is here so entirely equivalent to the pres. as to take the adv. of continuance, ë́ $\tau$.
40. of limais: of the Spartans.
 acted like people who had drunk a little with the midday meal, and hence lacked the steadiness of nerve and judgment to wait for













Sections 42－66．Phoebidas is defeated and slain．Agesilaus conducts a second fruitless campaign against the Thebans．Cle－ ombrotus undertakes to lead an army into Boeotia，but is unable to force a passage over Mt．Cithaeron．

The Athenians defeat the Spartans in the naval battles of Naxos and Alyzia．$\quad 378$－ 375 в．c．
the right moment before throwing their spears；consequently $\vec{\epsilon} \xi$－ кขov̂vto oṽ．－avaortpĺ申ovres ．．． б由бeka ：a kind of inverse parti－ tive apposition，i．e．ávāt $\rho$ éфovtes refers to the whole and $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ to the part，yet it is the latter which is subj．of the verb．Note that
 roooúrov：more than a spear＇s throw．
 as Agesilaus himself，§ $38 .-8 i^{\circ}$ ep $\quad$ pulas：i．e．finding the stockade still ungarrisoned．With ép $\quad$ uias
supply $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega v$ ，as in $\delta i^{\prime}$ é $\rho \eta \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ s $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega v$ 3．4．21．－IVw：a poetic form．Introd．IV．L．－тâ̂ra mothoas：i．e．Agesilaus felt that he had accomplished enough so that he could retire without loss of prestige；in fact，his campaign had been almost as fruitless as that of Cleombrotus during the preceding winter（\＄§ 14－18）．－ $\Phi_{o l} \boldsymbol{\beta} i \delta a v$ ：although he had been nominally punished for the seizure of the Cadmea（see on 2．32），he was evidently not out of favor．－ ن̀тєр $\beta a \lambda \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ：i．e．over Cithaeron．

## BOOK VI

Peace between Athens and Sparta. The Battle of Leuctra. The First Theban Invasion of Laconia. 374-369 b.c.
Chapters I-2. The Pharsalians seek the aid of Sparta against Jason of Pherae, but their request is refused. Jason becomes ruler of all Thessaly.

Peace is concluded between Athens and Sparta, but the treaty is almost immediately broken. A Spartan expedition against Corcyra results in total failure. An Athenian fleet under Iphicrates sails around Peloponnesus. 374-372 b.c.

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̛ \tau o v ́ s, ~ i к \epsilon \tau \epsilon v o ́ o \nu \tau a s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \Theta \epsilon \sigma \pi ı a ̂ s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho u \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$





Chapter 3, §§ i-3. The Athe- Shortly afterwards Thespiae also nians send envoys to Sparta to treat for peace. 371 b.c.

1. II入aralâs . . . Өıơтเâs: since 376 b.c. Boeotia had been free from Spartan invasion, and the Thebans had been actively and successfully engaged in reestablishing their supremacy over the other Boeotian cities. In the early part of 372 b.c. (probably) they attacked and captured_Plataea (see on 5.4.10), and razed the city to the ground. Its inhabitants, expelled from Boeotia, were kindly received at Athens.
was destroyed, and its population apparently scattered in villages. Diod. 15. 46. - ф ${ }^{\text {ajous }}$ bvtas: for a century and a half the friendship between Athens and Plataea had been exceedingly close. See
 not to look on and see them without a city. For the part. with $\pi \epsilon р и \delta \in i ̂ v ~ s e e ~ S . ~ 2103 ; ~ G . ~ 1585 ; ~ ;$
 while . . ., still. See on 5. 2. 16. - Td $\mu \hat{k} \mathrm{v}$. . . Td $\delta \ell$ : partly ... partly. - коเvøveiv . . . aưots: Thebes and Athens had been







 бтратоs 'A






allies since 378 b.c. See 5.4. 34 and note. - $\phi$ Movs ${ }^{\text {dpxalovs . . . }}$ $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text {witas: }}$ : Phocis had been allied with Sparta in the Peloponnesian and Corinthian wars, but Thucydides (3.95) bears witness to the friendly feeling of the Phocians toward Athens. - $\pi \delta \lambda_{\text {ats }}$ trorás: Plataea and Thespiae had brilliantly distinguished themselves in the Persian wars. It was the Plataeans alone who aided the Athenians in the battle of Marathon, and the Thespians alone who fought to the death in company with the Spartans at Thermopylae. Thebes, on the other hand, had sided with the Persians,
a fact which the Athenians and Spartans were prone to remember against her. Cp. § 20 and 5. 35.
2. \&k roúrov: causal. - Kad$\lambda$ las 'Immovikov: for the omission of $\dot{\delta} \mathrm{cp}$. I. I. 29 and note.
3. Kad入írтparos: leader of the party which favored peace with Sparta. - el aúròv adqiin: Callistratus had accompanied Iphicrates on the cruise mentioned in
 $\psi \in t v:$ Iphicrates had been much hampered by lack of money. oũtos: summing up the preceding, as $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ тoúvoss in 5.4.11. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} v . .$. ëтраттє: where the Eng. would use the plupf. - kкк入htous: see on


















4. 4. 38.             - $\delta \delta q \delta 00 \mathrm{Xos}:$ i.e. torchbearer of the Eleusinian mysteries, an honorary and hereditary office. Cp. 2. 4. 20 and note. Callias, already mentioned in 4.5.13 and 5.4.22, was famous for his wealth and for his patronage of sophists. Apparently Xenophon did not ad-
 on 2.3.45. Note the accumulation of aspirated words in this clause, as well as the alliteration in $\dot{\eta} \tau \tau o v$ $\tilde{\eta} \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. - каl тотє $\delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : indicating that in the following speech Cal-
lias was true to his character as above described.
§§ 4-6. The speech of Callias.
1. ìे $\pi \rho \circ \xi \mathrm{cviav}$ : cp. 5. 4. 22.
 rhetorical combination suggests Callias' training by the sophists, as do various points in the following. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \hat{\mathrm{s}}$ : not the 'modest plural,' but referring to Callias' family. - oтparŋyoùs $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{as}: ~ e . g . ~ C a l l i a s, ~$ 4. 5. I3; Hipponicus, Thuc. 3. 91. - $\delta$ ls $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$ : the occasions alluded to are uncertain.














2. $\mu$ крри: emphasized by contrast with a total absence of dif-
 opt because $\sigma \omega \phi$ óvov $\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \tau i$ amounts to an opt. with $\stackrel{a}{a} v$, 'wise men would not undertake war, etc. Cp. on 3. 4. 18. тヘ̂ข өavpactôv: pred. part. gen., the adj. being neuter.
3. $\boldsymbol{\eta} v:$ impf. with reference to the time when war broke out. $\mu \eta \delta t$ : i.e. not even to begin war, much less to continue it now. $\lambda_{i}$ yerau $\mu i v$ : каì . . . $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ serves as correlative, instead of the expected
 endary ruler in Eleusis, who was initiated into the mysteries by Demeter herself and who carried
from Attica throughout Greece both the cult of the goddess and the knowledge of her art, agriculture. In all this sacred lore Callias, the $\delta a \delta o v y o s$, is naturally at home. - при́ross tivors: predicative. -'Hparids T仑̂ iथceTtpq dpXyytrn: see 3. 3. 3 and note. - $\Delta$ workoúpotv: Castor and. Pollux, putative sons of King Tyndareus of Sparta. - it úpâs . . . in ${ }^{\text {as }}$ re: a slight irregularity, instead of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. . . $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ or $\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$. . $\tau \epsilon$. - $\mu \mathrm{\eta}$ oux 6: for the double neg. see S. 2745, 2746; HA. 1034 b; B. 434 ; G. 1617 ; Gl. 572 a. ทีpâs: i.e. you and ourselves. - \& : then. For its use in an apodosis see S. 2837 ; HA. $10 ; 6$ c: B.
$\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ \omega ́ s ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda a i ́ \tau a \tau a, ~ o ̈ \tau a \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \gamma e ́ v \eta \tau a l, ~ к а \tau а-55 ~$ $\lambda v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \hat{\eta}$ סvvãòv $\tau a ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau a$.


















 sc.aüróv.
§§ 7-9. The speech of Autocles.
4. The following just arraignment of the selfish policy of the Spartans is the more interesting because reported, without comment, by a pro-Spartan historian.
 жрఱттv: cogn. acc., this is the first stipulation you make. - dкodoveciv
. . . тpoofncet: how is this consistent with autonomy?
5. тঠ̀ . . . غvavtıต́тaтov: in apposition with the following sentence. S. 994 ; HA. 626 and b; B. 318 ; G. 915 ; Gl. 504. - סeкар$\mathrm{X}^{\text {las: }}$ see on 2.2.5. - tplakovtap$x^{\text {las: }}$ as at Athens. See on 2.3.2. -apxóvtov: proleptic with ént-











 $\mu e ́ v o u s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \chi ~ O o \mu e ́ v o u s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a ı \mu o \nu i o u s ~ e ́ m o i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon . ~$




 mevor: you manifestly delight. Eика, like $\sigma$ vivoida, is followed by a suppl. part. either in the nom. or (much more frequently) the dat. S. 2133 ; KG. 481, Anm. 3 . Cp. 4. 5. 7, 5. 4. 40. - то入ıтelaus: free governments.
6. Faciloìs $\pi$ pootrartev : i.e. in the Peace of Antalcidas. See note on 5. 1. 31. - Tôv $\boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \lambda_{\text {cowv : }}$ of Boeotia. See 5. I. 32. - aưroús: intensive. - $8 \pi \omega s$ : occasionally used with the superl. instead of is. - $\pi \lambda_{\text {coovekтov̂vtas : contrasted with }}$

milder than áducoivtas. For the meaning of фaiveotal with the part. see S. 2143; HA. 986; B. 661, N. 3; G. 1592, 1; Gl. 588 c. Cp. also note on éoíкатє § 8.
§§ 10-17. The speech of Callistratus.
7. тapa: on the part of. So
 ${ }^{d} x^{\theta} 0 \mu$ ivovs: for almost all the Greek states were represented at this congress, as allies either of Athens or of Sparta. - 8 $8 \pi \omega$... גцартииата: that mistakes have not crept in. ö $\pi \omega$ s is practically equivalent to ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ or $\omega \in$, though


 $\tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ a ̀ \nu ~ к о \lambda a \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ víò̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \eta \mu a ̈ \tau \omega \nu$, $\dot{\omega} s$







 єíp $\eta \nu \eta \nu$ סıaßád入ovoıv, és $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ́ s ~ o v ̉ ~ \phi \iota \lambda i ́ a s ~ \delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, ~$






strictly the indir. form of a $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ov question (e.g. 2. 3. 22). S. 2668 c ;
 $\lambda_{0}$ viva: with omission of övra, as in 4.3.3.- кal ev่mopaтtepor: even easier to deal with, as opposed to

 euphemistic and conciliatory. -
 - $\eta_{\mu}$ âs: as in § 6, continuing the conciliatory tone.

 $\delta_{\text {as }} \AA \theta_{\square}$ : apparently the Spartans had again sent Antalcidas to Persia, in order once more to obtain the king's aid in bringing about peace; yet it seems improbable, despite Diod. 15. 50, that the Persians initiated or took part in the present negotiations. - "'ypaqe: in 387 b.c. The following inf. as after $\pi \rho o \sigma-$




















кri.: in explanatory apposition with $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau 0$. - dautê: for him. жетрâx日au: passive.
13. ciev: so much for that, a formula of transition. - 8 th . . . oiv dтороиิvтes: sc. $\bar{\eta} \times о \mu e v$, that it is not becanse we are in straits. rovts: i.e. the Thebans. - oús dpe$\sigma \pi$ : oúk instead of $\mu \dot{\eta}$, the regular neg. of the protasis, because it limits ápectá only. - ìv iveкa: = тоútшข ёv:ка öth because. - тepleбшбате गे $\mu$ âs: i.e. in 404 в.c., despite the wishes of the Thebans.

See 2. 2. 19 f. and cp. 2. 3. 25, where the same verb is used in reference to the same act. - $\dot{\alpha}$ op0is tyrumev: apparently the feelings which we rightly conceived, i.e. our deserved gratitude.
14. tra: like ut in ut ita dicam, whereas the Eng. uses an inf.civl $\mu$ iv . . . кal: for the irregular

 which side with you.
15. dadd $\mu$ iviot: = at vero. -























§ 14. - $\mathbf{d \lambda \lambda A}:$ see on $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ § 6. mâגov $\hat{\eta}$ otx : a blending of the two formulas $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\eta}$ and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha}$ ov; hence the neg. is untranslatable.
 § 15. - ท̀ेv ăซкทनเv: their athletic training, i.e. their careers. - dru-

то́хшои: succeed, as in 4. 5. 19.
17. шбт' . . . גтоßалеiv: explaining totồtov. - ávaotpeqol-
 versari, is sometimes weakened to practical equivalence with eiva.
§§ 18-20. Peace is concluded. The exclusion of the Thebans. BROWNSON. HELLENICA - 20









18. $\mu$ ì elval Ivopkov: it will be remembered (see 5. 1. 36 and note) that the Spartans had interpreted the last clause of the Peace of Antalcidas as giving them the right and imposing upon them the duty of enforcing its provisions. As Xenophon says, they became $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ \tau a l$ of the treaty. It was because of their abuse of this position that the peace now concluded had no $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ z a l$ and bound no one to help coerce unwilling states. Herein lies the great difference between the two treaties.
 that the Spartans took the oath for (i.e. in the name of) themselves and their allies is not strange, despite the fact that these allies were conceded by the Spartans (see on 5. 1. 33) and proclaimed by the treaty itself to be autonomous. For the Spartan confederacy was an old established
confederacy, whose constitution and practical workings were well known. The Athenian confederacy, on the other hand, was of recent formation (see on 5.4.34), and the Athenians had emphasized in every way the independence of its members in order to clear themselves of the suspicion of striving after a subject empire such as they had ruled in the days before the Peloponnesian War. Hence in the present instance they were careful not to assume any right to act for their allies. - amoүpaqdanevot: having signed their names.-ot Oŋßaiol . . . ot $\pi \rho \hat{\ell} \sigma \beta$ ets aủzêv: the appositive repeats the original subject in more definite, exact form. - $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \rho \dot{a} \phi$ tıv avil $\Theta_{\eta} \beta$ ßalav Boowrov́s: the Thebans were members of the Athenian confederacy under the name © $\eta$ Baion, not Bowtó, and it was as members of that confederacy that they were present at the congress. Hence







they signed the treaty as $@ \eta \beta \alpha i o n$, but without waiving - at least in their own intent - their claim to be acknowledged as supreme over all Boeotia. It was probably in the course of subsequent discussion that they suggested that, in order to avoid any misunderstanding of their attitude, the word © $\eta$ ßaioo be changed to Bowntoi. They felt strong enough now to insist upon what they had been forced to yield (cp. 5. 1. 32 f.) in 387 B.c. Plutarch (Ages. 28) tells the story in a somewhat different way. He describes a stormy scene between Agesilaus and Epaminondas, the leader of the Theban embassy, Agesilaus demanding that the Thebans acknowledge the independence of the Boeotian towns, and Epaminondas replying by asking whether Sparta was prepared to acknowledge the independence of the Laconian towns. Thereupon Agesilaus, angered at this retort, struck out the name of the
 тe кal dтeүрáұагто: the two verbs are closely connected, because changing the signature would amount to changing - so far as the independence of the Boeotian towus was concerned - the terms to which the Thebans had sworn.
20. elphıqv: concluded about midsummer, 371 в.c. $-\gamma v \omega \mu \eta v$ :
 vov: according to the common saying, in apposition with @ $\eta$ ßaíovs . . . סeкатєv仑̂̀vau. See on tò . . .
 in the time of the Persian wars the Greeks had sworn that the property of those who, like the Thebans, voluntarily went over to the Persians, should be appropriated and made to pay a tithe to the Delphian god, Apollo. Herod. 7. 132. For the tense of the inf. see on סô̂val I. 3.8.

Chapter 4, §§ i-3. Cleombrotus invades Boeotia.
I. Tติv $\boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \lambda_{\epsilon} \omega v$ : referring espe-
















cially to the cities which Iphicrates had recently captured. See abstract of Chap. 2 above.
 coluthon, the original plan of the sentence being lost in course of the long parenthesis which fol-
 had been sent to Phocis, probably in the early part of this year, to protect it from Theban attack. Cp. 3. 1. - rd... re $\lambda_{\eta}$ : as in 3. 4. 26. - $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \in \sigma 0 a t$ : i.e. funds for the war, the temple of Apollo at Delphi to be the treasury. - 8 oor ... $\beta$ ovi入otrto: according to the
last clause of the treaty, 3. 18. ydp av: ä้ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ belongs to civau. тov́s te $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ coús . . . кal tas rodets : the former, because the Spartans would thus be keeping their oaths; the latter, because no one would be compelled, as some of the allies in Cleombrotus' army actually were, to serve against their will.
3. фגvapetv: since the Thebans had practically given notice of their intention to keep the Boeotian towns, it seemed absurd to disband an army that was already close to the scene. -




 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ \nu, ~ o u ̃ \tau \omega ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ äyє $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Boı $\omega-$















Əुyev : i.e. the Spartans to their de-
 ${ }^{0} \mu \beta \rho \delta \tau \varphi$ : resuming in a different form the sentence begun in §2.-


 Oroßôv: i.e. by a more southern route than the Thebans had expected him to take. - Kpeiortr : see on 4.5.10. The possession of this
port secured Cleombrotus' communications with Peloponnesus.
§§ 4-15. The battle of Leuctra.
4. $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ : except. Cp.1.7.15.
5. $\sigma 0 v:$ poss. gen. with the following clauses, i.e. they will recall your deeds as therein described. Cp. 7.5. 8 ย̇maıvê a vitov̂
 I praise his pitching his camp. - els Kuvòs кєфа入ds ктf.: see 5.






 $\pi a \rho \omega \xi$ そ́vєтo $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ aṽ 45






 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ a u ̉ r o v ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ~ o ́ ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \omega ̀ s ~ \delta e ́ o \iota ~$


 $\sigma \alpha \nu$ ঠ̀̀ тои̂тo тò $\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a$ oi $\Theta \eta \beta a i ̂ o l ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta s . ~$

4. 15 f. - vัธтєpov $\sigma \tau$ рatcú $\omega v$ : see

 and abstract of 5. 4. 42 f . 一 т f s marplfos imıӨveis: : i.e. do not wish
 $\beta a l \omega v$ : i.e. the war party at Sparta interpreted Cleombrotus' inborn love of peace as merely a disloyal fondness for the Thebans.
6. of mposotêres: the seven Boeotarchs, of whom Epaminondas was one.-aútêv: gen. of separation. - поגıopкŋfotvro : fut. mid. with passive meaning. S . 807; HA. 496; B. 515, 1 ; G. 1248 ; Gl. 393. - тефеиуóтеs трбб̈ev: as described in 5. 2. 31 .
 rapêver: Molpia and Hippo,


 є́ $\xi \omega \rho \mu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v$ ．oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \omega ̀ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~$ $8 \tau \epsilon \chi \nu$ áб $\mu a \tau \alpha$ ท̂ $\nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ．єis $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̂̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$ тoîs $\mu$ èv $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ o \iota s ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu a \nu \tau i ́ a ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma i ́ \gamma \nu є \tau о, ~$










 aủroùs каì катєठí $\omega \xi$ аע $\pi \rho$ òs тò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu$ тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
according to Pausanias（9．13．5）， who describes the incident here referred to．－dve申́yovto：were opening，the impf．retained from the dir．disc．－aфavf etvat：had disappeared．－техขáruara ：Ionic for $\tau \in \chi$ р $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mu}$ a $\alpha$ ．Introd．IV．L．

8．$\delta^{\prime}$ ous ：but at any rate．－
 by their own efforts or merits．－
 5．4．40．The part．would natu－ rally agree with aúroús instead of standing in the gen．abs．；but see

S． 2073 b；HA． 972 d；B．657，I，N． 2.
 the three following substantives． －aүopdr тарєбкеvaко́тшv：see on
 Epaminondas，fearing treachery， had given his Boeotian allies per－ mission to withdraw，if any wished to do so．The forced return of these unwilling troops and of the camp followers can hardly have added much strength to the The－ ban army．－＇Iépowos：a Spartan． －＇Нрак入єติтal：cp．3．5． 6 and





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' O \rho \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu i o v s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta \epsilon \sigma \pi เ a ̂ s, ~$










note. - mod̀̀ $\mu \hat{v} v: \mu \hat{v} v$ repeats the $\mu \dot{v} \nu$ after $\pi \rho \omega ̂ \neq \frac{\nu}{\nu}$ above.

 Orchomenus (see on 3.5.6) was the only Boeotian city which still remained independent, despite the efforts of the Thebans to subju-

II. $\delta$ ovvтeтaypivos: the man who was detailed, i.e. to take a particular horse and serve in the
 тєย́єто: for the impf. with äv, of customary action, see S. 1790;

HA. 835 ; B. 568 ; G. 1296 ; G1. 46 I a ; and for the repetition of ä้v see S. 1765 ; HA. 864 ; B. 439 , N. 2 ; G. 1312. - iк той парахрffua: i.e. without any preliminary training. 12. els tpeits: for the meaning of the prep. see on eis 3. 4. 13. - Tinv dvaporlav: the tactical unit in the Spartan army, being one half of a $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa o \sigma \tau u ́ s$ (company) or one sixteenth of a $\mu$ ópa (regiment). The strength of the ėvouoría, here 36 men, varied naturally with that of the но́pa. See on 2.4.31. - то仑िто . . .



 Bротоs $\pi \rho$ òs тoùs $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s, \pi \rho \omega ิ \pi o \nu ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho i ̀ \nu ~ к а i ~$







ro ßatos: lit. and that this turned out for them not more than twelve in depth, i.e. this formation resulted in their being not more, etc. For $\pi \lambda$ éov ( $=$ tis $\pi \lambda$ ciovs) see on 2.4 . II. So $̀ \lambda a r \tau o v$ below $=\dot{\text { è }} \pi \boldsymbol{i}$ ì̀ $\lambda a \tau \tau o ́-$
 massed together. In the battle of Leuctra Epaminondas employed for the first time his famous $\lambda 0$ osi $\phi a^{\lambda} a \gamma \xi$, or oblique line of battle, gathering his best troops in a deep column on the left wing and with them making the attack, while the remaining, weaker part of the line was held back, serving principally to keep the left wing from being surrounded. It will be remembered that as long ago as the battle of Nemea the Thebans had shown a fondness for the deep-column formation (4. 2. 18), and that at

Nemea and at Coronea they had defeated the troops opposed to them (4. 2. 20, 4. 3. 18). In both these battles, however, they occupied the right wing and were pitted against the allies of the Spartans. Epaminondas now puts his strength on the left wing in order to meet Cleombrotus and the Spartans themselves, who formed the opposing•right wing.
13. kal $8 \dagger$ : $=\tilde{\eta} \delta \eta$, already, straightway. The following каí= also. - ouveßeß入hкєбav . . . ท̈т-
 plupf. sometimes denotes the im mediate accomplishment of an action, 'it was all over with.' S. 1953; GMT. 52.- бadat . . .
 absence of the article. Cp. 5. 2.


 $\mu a \rho \chi o s$ каì ミфобоías $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \delta a \mu о \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ~ K \lambda \epsilon \omega ́-~$




 $\delta_{\iota \epsilon} \beta \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \alpha ́ \phi \rho o \nu, \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o v ~ \epsilon ̈ \tau v \chi \epsilon \nu$











Cleombrotuswas mortally wounded and died before the fight ended, the first Spartan king since Leonidas to lose his life upon the battlefield.
14. $\pi 0 \lambda$ кцархоs: see on 2.4. 33. - ºofplas: cp. 5. 4. 20 f. -
 — kal ol $\mu$ èv ineteîs: here begins the main clause, kaí meaning also. The reference in inteis is probably to the Spartan king's bodyguard,
a picked force of 300 men, who were really hoplites, though bearing the name $i \pi \pi$ eis. - $\sigma u \mu \phi$ opeis : probably aides. - "tuxev oüra: the trench, therefore, was not one which had been dug for purposes
 the order of words see on 4.5.4. -iothuar: see on 2.4.7.
15. $\Sigma$ ттaptıatâv: i.e. full Spar-
 Salmovíw above includes also
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o v ̂ s ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̉ \chi ~ O o \mu e ́ v o v s ~$




 $\sigma \pi o ́ v \delta o u s ~ \dot{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \sigma a \nu$.




 à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ $\delta \iota a \gamma \omega \nu i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \epsilon i ̈ \omega \nu . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ o ̉ \nu o ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$






Perioeci and Neodamodes．The Theban loss is reckoned by Dio－ dorus（ 15.56 ）at 300．－oisd ax日ontrovs：i．e．not merely loath to fight，but not even，etc．The allies could now safely reveal the feelings which many of them had long cherished toward Sparta．
§ 16．The effect of the news at Sparta．

16．रทuvoтaıరı0̂v：a Spartan festival，celebrated with singing，
dancing，and gymnastic exhibi－

 8ıaywrifaroar：to finish（ $\delta a^{-}$）its performance．－ク๋v dpâv ктd．：cp． the similar scene in 4.5 .10 ．On this occasion，however，the law which condemned the survivors of a defeat to árcцía was suspended； for Sparta was poor in men and dared not sacrifice so many．Plut． Ages． 30.
 тađєاขov̀s $\pi \epsilon \rho i o ́ \nu \tau a s$.

















§§ 17-18. The Spartans send out a relief force under Archidamus.
17. $\mu$ ofarv: note the dual. Since there were six $\mu$ ópac in all (2. 4. 31), Cleombrotus had evidently taken four with him to Phocis. - têv retтapákovтa à $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\beta} \eta \mathrm{\eta} \boldsymbol{s}$ : the oldest men who were ever called upon for service abroad. Cp. 5. 4. 13 and see on

four which had been under Cleombrotus and were now at Leuctra. By the present order the oldest five year-classes belonging to these mópol were sent out to join them. - $\$ \pi^{2}$ dpxais : in public offices.
18. тमीs dotevelas: described in 5.4. 58. 一**L ${ }^{3} \omega v$ : this phrase is used because a little later (5.6-9) Xenophon tells of the overthrow and partial annihilation of Stasip-












 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ ' I a ́ \sigma o \nu a, ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \mu a \chi o \nu ~ o ̈ \nu \tau a, ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \pi о \nu \quad \sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta}$ oi ${ }_{175}$

 ஸ́s $\beta \circ \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu$ катà $\theta a ́ \lambda a \tau \tau a \nu, ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda a, 3 \grave{\omega} \nu$ §è тó $\tau \epsilon$


abstract of Book 5, Chap. 2.Sıaßıßaffelv: i.e. across the Corinthian Gulf.
§§ 19-26. The Theban herald is coldly received at Athens. Jason comes to the aid of the Thebans. A truce is concluded, and the defeated Spartan army retires.
 סгаßатท́pıa (see on 3. 4. 3).тávrov: gen. of cause. S. 1405 ; HA. 744 ; B. 366 ; G. 1126 ; Gl. 509 c.
20. 8re $\mu \mathrm{iv}$. . . ทividoñav: a
contrasted $\delta \in ́$ clause-'but (although) they did not speak out their feelings ' - is suggested, but left unexpressed. See on 5. 2. 12. - $\mathbf{1 \pi l}$ Etivia: representatives of other states were regularly entertained as public guests in the Prytaneum (see on 1. 7. 14). -'Idoova: see abstract of Chap. I.
 (see on I. I. 33) put the Phocians, Jason's enemies, off their guard. - $\delta$ иеторе60 $\eta$ : i.e. through Phocis.


 то入入aХồ тò тáXos $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \beta i ́ a s ~ \delta ı a \pi \rho a ́ t \tau \epsilon \tau a l ~ \tau \grave{a}$










 $24 \pi o \iota \omega ̂ \nu, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o v s ~ \mu \iota \kappa \rho o v ́ s . ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ o u ̉ \nu ~ \Theta \eta-~$




－dype入偖s：the pers．constr．is used for the sake of parallelism with ód $\theta$ ei＇s．－yoûv：at any rate．

22．ăva日av：i．e．from the top of the hill on the slope of which the Spartan camp was situated．
 that the Thebans are ready to as－ sume the heavier part of the task． －玉̈rte：used precisely as in 3．17． 23．avaykáfoเvto：i．e．should
find themselves in a like posi－ tion，as compared with èv ává $\gamma \kappa \eta$
 would fight it out（סuí－）reckless of their lives，lit．having given up all thought of life．

24．imina0todar ．．．$\pi$ deos ：to forget the disaster which has be－ fallen you，i．e．by winning in the future such a victory as shall enable you to forget it．The text，

ả $\nu a \pi \nu \in u ́ \sigma a \nu \tau a s ~ к a i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu a \pi a v \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ к а i ~ \mu \epsilon i ́ \zeta o v s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon-~$ $\nu \eta \mu e ́ v o v s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \eta \tau т \eta ́ r o ı s ~ o u ̛ t \omega s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ i e ́ v a \iota . ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \delta e ́, ~$
入є́ $\gamma о \nu \tau a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \phi \iota \lambda i ́ a s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ o \iota s . ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ к ~ \pi a \nu t o ̀ s ~$
 $\pi \rho o \theta v \mu o v ̂ \mu a \iota, \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota ~ v i \mu a ̂ s ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \delta ı a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$ $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi i \lambda i a \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ к a i ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \xi \in \nu \epsilon i ้ ~ v i \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．



 ai $\sigma \pi о \nu \delta a i ́, \pi a \rho \eta \prime \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a \nu$ oi $\pi о \lambda є ́ \mu a \rho \chi о \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \eta \prime \sigma a \nu \tau a s$ бvעєбкєváбӨa८ пávтas，ès тท̂s עvктòs порєvбонévovs，


 $\sigma \iota o s, \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ пı $\sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \hat{x} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta}$ тaîs $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i ̂ s$.

however，is uncertain．For the acc．in $\pi a^{\prime} \theta$ os（instead of the gen．） see S． 1358 ；HA． 742 b；G． 1108 ； Gl． 511 b fin．－oüros：summing up the preceding participles．－
 with the dat．－$\pi a r p o s:$ perhaps Lycophron，who is mentioned in 2．3． 4 ．

25．kal oưzot：apparently Xeno－ phon has in mind others with whom Jason had pursued simi－ lar tactics．－ikelvov：instead of the reflexive．See on èkeivory i．

1．27．－бvveซкevdraal：to have their baggage packed and ready．
 dortpas：lit．from（the beginning of）evening，i．e．at the fall of cuening．－rìv Sà Kpeívios：ap－ parently the route was changed as well as the time，the line－of march leading around Mt．Cithaeron in－ stead of over it．Cp．5．4． 16 f． －т甲ิ $\lambda a \theta \in i v$ ктi．：perhaps the Spartans remembered the incident described in 5．4． 12.

26．ota $8 \dagger$ ：as in 5．4．39．－







Sections 27-37. A digression on Jason and his successors.
Chapter 5, sections 1-21. A new peace congress is assembled under the presidency of Athens, and the several states swear to defend any whose autonomy may be violated.

The Mantineans rebuild their wall, disregarding the remonstrances of the Spartans. Civil war breaks out in Tegea; the partisans of Sparta are killed or banished by the opposing faction, aided by the Mantineans. The principal Arcadian cities, except Orchomenus, unite for mutual protection against Sparta and establish a central government. Agesilaus invades Arcadia, but retires without accomplishing anything. 371 1-370 в.c.





 experiences on this same road, 5. 4. 17 f. - $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text {ím }}$ ахоь: i.e. those who had not joined Archidamus on his march northward.

Chapter 5, §§ 22-32. The Thebans come to the aid of the Arcadians. The invasion of Laconia. 370 в.c.
22. of 'Apkd8es: i.e. the Arca-
dians and their allies, vis. the Eleans and the Argives. Cp. § 23. Similarly, oi © $\eta$ ßaîo below includes the Theban allies enumerated in § 23.-aürol 8t: while they themselves. -'Hpauas: Heraea was a town in western Arcadia. - т00 'Apkadikot $\mu$ ertxtetv: to be members of the Arcadian confederacy. See the above abstract. -
 каì ë́коттоע тà סévסра.

















iverinimpuv: a most unusual form from $\lambda \mu \pi i \pi \rho \eta \mu \mu$, following the inflection of contracts in -á $\omega$. Cp. S. 746 b; HA. 419 ; B. 170,4 ; G. 630; Gl. 372, 2. - oürees: as in 4.24.
23. \%retoov: conative, urged. $\Phi_{\text {wokes }} \mathrm{krd}$ : this roll of Theban allies indicates a vast extension of the power of Thebes in northern Greece, a result which naturally followed the battle of Leuctra but
is not alluded to by Xenophon save in this indirect way. See Introd. p. 31. - 'Hpardeबิтat: the Heracleans, as well as the Phocians, had fought on the side of the Spartans at Leuctra. Cp. 4. 2 and 9. - rivv . . . ipnulav: the dearth of men. The Spartans, few in number at best, had lost heavily at Leuctra, and had since been deserted by very many of their allies. - $\lambda$ (yovtes: describing. brownson. hellenica - 21














$\pi \rho l v{ }^{2} \mu \beta a \lambda_{e} i v:$ the inf. with $\pi \rho^{2}$ after a negative sentence is unusual. See S. 2455 and d; GMT. 629 ; also note on I. 1. 31.
24. $\mathrm{Ex} \mathrm{\iota pl} \mathrm{\tau t}$ ( os: : see on 5.2.24. - $\phi$ poupoús: predicative. - T@v Teycarâv фuyḑav: see abstract above. - Maded́rıסos: a frontier district to the west of Sciritis. -

 the Lacedaemonians would gather quickly and that they would fight, etc. For this use of the part. with ws see Introd. IV. J. Note that in the second clause it is inconsistently replaced by the inf. in ind. disc. with auvooús, despite the introductory $\omega$ s and the correla-
tion with каi . . . каí; also that Sv́raplv is grammatically in apposition with rov̂ro.- od đúvv mpoжerefs: Xenophon is not loath to ascribe to the Thebans a lack of courage. See Introd. p. 31. According to Plutarch (Pelop. 24) Epaminondas and his associates in the command of the army were near the close of their term of office, and by prolonging the expedition rendered themselves liable to the penalty of death.
 mapfloav 8t: for the combination тє . . . $\delta$ ́́ see on 1. I. 34. Caryae was a village in northern Laconia, east of Sciritis. - rikov . . . $\lambda$ éyovтes: sc. $\tau \in \nu \epsilon$ 's. Cp. on eै $\chi \omega \nu$ 2. 1. 8.

















 'Арка́ठєs émo



- ффбкоvтеs . . . $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {leyov }}$ \& : changing from part. to finite verb, as in 4. 8. 9. As a result the dependent structure of the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ clause is forgotten, and the thought is resumed in $\pi$ áv $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ a . . . ảкovovtes below as though an independent sentence had préceded. See Introd. IV. k. -els: in. See on trós 3. 5. 19. - kal vov: even now, when inva-
sion was merely threatened. kal mapd márowv: and from all (masc.). Connect with ákovovecs.

26. Td $\delta 6 \sigma \beta a r a:$ to the north of Oeum. - iфlotaro: had made his stand there. - vov $86:$ but in fact. Cp. on 2. 3. 28. -'ApkdSes: an appositive,-namely, the Arcadians. - avaßalvovres: an afterthought, climbing up thereon.












 $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a i$ єis $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, тà $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ̀ ~ \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$






27. Eed入arlav: see on 2.2. 13. - Yeфúpas: over the Eurotas
 a title of Athena.
 an unwalled city. See on 2.3.56.
 which indicates to the eye the fewness of the Spartans. - $\lambda a \mu \beta$ ávetv (after $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́): ~ o b j$. of $\pi \rho o \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{v} v$. - is . . . dooukivovs: as is $\sigma v v e \lambda$ -
$\theta_{o v} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ä้ $\S$ 24. The part. limits the subj. of $\lambda a \mu \beta$ ávecv. - This wholesale emancipation of Helots seemed necessary on account of the defection of the Perioeci (§25).
28. amоүра́qaroat: as in 2.4 . 8. -aṽ ovitot: i.e. as well as the enemy. —ol . . . $\mu$ но0фороt: whom Agesilaus had brought home with him from Arcadia. See abstract above.


















 $\tau$ ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ O$\eta \beta a i ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a ~ \epsilon ̈ \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon, ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa а \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a-$ $32 \tau 0 \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau 0$ ．каì $\tau$ ò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda ı \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \beta \alpha-100$

30．кат＇＇A $\mu$ úк入as：opposite Amyclae，a city a few miles south of Sparta．－T6 ．．． 86 ：as in § 25．－ वржау†v：the Arcadians were noto－ riously fond of plundering．Cp．5． 2．19．－Гacab́xov：Doric form of the Homeric $\gamma a{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}$ ，a title of Po－ seidon．For the gen．see on évós 5．4．6．The race－course was evi－ dently a part of the sanctuary of

Poseidon，who，according to tradi－ tion，was the creator of the horse．

31．тоเ†баvтеs：agreeing with oi पaкєסaupóviol，whose parts are
 тఱ̂v Tuvઠaplઠิิv：sc．oikía．For the Tyndaridae see on 3．6．－ éreve：stood firm．

32．кal тò $\mu$ ìv $\mu \grave{~}$. ．．aúrov́s： and that they would make no fur－





 бvvéтратєv́ovто тоîs $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ © $\eta \beta a i ́ \omega \nu$.










then ( ${ }^{\text {(̈ rt) attempt upon the city. - }}$ 0appaicótepov: more certain. Th ios cal Tíctov: cities on the Laconian Gulf. - $\pi \rho o \sigma k \beta \lambda \lambda_{0}$ : it seems likely that the attack was successful, though the matter is uncertain. - /m\& $\theta$ ceto: sc. 「vecíq.
§§ 33-36. Deliberations at Athens. The speeches of the Spartan ambassadors and their effect.
 $\lambda$ cv pa, for which see on 1.7.7.viruxov maporres: not implying that the presence of these envoys was
accidental, for they were undoubtedly sent for the express purpose of soliciting Athenian aid, but rather that they chanced to reach Athens at just the time when the Athenians were preparing to discuss the matter. - virodolmev . . . aujrois: the order of words as in 3. 5. 3.-80ev: wherefore, indieating the reason for $\lambda \lambda$ you only,

 toùs rupávvous ouveкßadeiv: ie. Hippias, son of Pisistratus, in 511 bic. The plur. is used be-

















cause Hippias was remembered merely as one of the Pisistratidae. -trolıopxoivro: i.e. in the Third Messenian War, 464-455 B.c.
34. Si kal: correlative with $\tau \epsilon$ (after divєцí $\nu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa o v$ § 33), as in 2. 4. 6.- cin : representing an impf., as is clear from the con-

 variation in mood is not unusual. See on $\dot{\eta} \kappa$ коо́́Oovv 3. 5. 23. -
 the formation of the Confederacy of Delos, 477 B.C. - Tâv koเvติv
. . . фtiakes: the treasury of the Confederacy was first at Delos, afterwards at Athens. - Tôv $\boldsymbol{\Delta a x c}-$
 exaggeration, to suit the present purpose. The Spartans had found themselves practically forced to accept the situation.
35. indis ... ©ekarevelpual: see on 3. 20. - $\delta \iota \uparrow \lambda \lambda \in v$ : i.e. in the
 ing to dir. disc. - iviketvoo : were
 in 404 B.c. - Oqßalwv ßovגouivav kTd. : for the fact, already often




 tevoav aủroîs．Scé $\theta \epsilon \iota$ oûv кaì кãà toútovs tov̀s 140


 ö $\tau \iota \circ \circ \pi \lambda a$ є̇ $\pi \eta^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \nu \mathrm{T} \epsilon \gamma \epsilon a ́ \tau a \iota \varsigma$.
37 à $\nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~ K \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta s ~ K o p i ̀ \theta ı o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon i \pi t \epsilon ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~ ' A \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
referred to，see 2．2．19．－$\sigma \boldsymbol{\phi}$ ifs ： the Spartans．

36．$\delta$ плєíros ．．．$\lambda$ byos ：i．e．the point which the Spartans talked about most，as contrasted with $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o v ~ a b o v e$ ，the point which weighed most with the Athenians． －kard roùs 8pkous：see abstract above，p．320．－oí yàp ．．．imt－ $\sigma \pi \rho a r e v i o t e v:$ ：continuing the indir． quotation，although rá gives the clause an independent form．S．

 referring to the Spartans，i．e．to the logical subject of the clause $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}-$
 pres．，since the invading army was at this moment in Laconia． －ot＇Apkófes：particularly men－ tioned instead of the Thebans， because it was between the Arca－
dians and the Spartans that the trouble began．－ßoŋ\＃\＃бdivtav rots Teyearaus $\boldsymbol{\kappa r i}$ ：for the facts alluded to in the following see abstract above，p．320．－Obpußos ．．．ol
 talk and action here indicated was characteristic of the Athenian As－ sembly．－Sucalws：limiting $\boldsymbol{\beta o m}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ Өŋ $\sigma a \iota$ ，but placed early in its clause for emphasis．－Têv wepl tovv $\mathbf{\Sigma r a ́ -}$
入акшขi弓ovtes 4． 18.
§§ 37－48．The speeches of the Corinthian Cliteles and the Phlia－ sian Procles．
 the Assembly itself（i．e．independ－ ently of the speakers）was trying to determine these matters．Note that $\delta o \rho i^{\prime} \zeta \omega$ is identical in deriva－ tion with the Eng．determine．－























dитilyeтal：is a disputed point．
 on their way to Arcadia．－kal taîta：as in 2．3．53．－©ंs ．．． cip º́dos：$_{\text {：see }}$ Introd．IV．J．－ óp0日s te kal 8lкaıa：parallel in fact，though not in form．

38．Прок入ीิs：a particular friend of Agesilaus（5．3．13）．－ тติv $\mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ：i．e．besides the Spar－ tans．－тov ăpfau：dependent upon є̇ $\mu \pi \sigma \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$.
 ers may think．－$\pi \delta \rho \rho \omega$ ：i．e．in

 40 ả $\nu a \gamma \kappa a ́ \zeta o \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \delta_{\iota} \alpha \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o v s . ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~$








 $\nu i ́ o \iota s, ~ \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o u s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \pi a \nu \tau a ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o u s ~$


 єis àєí, $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau a \nu \tau a \iota ~ \delta \grave{~} \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ oì $\tau \epsilon \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \mu a \chi o \iota$




 verb one may conveniently supply if you should do so. - סıapaxeorat: as in 4. 23.
40. duaфúywotv: see on 2. 3. 50.-iv0vu $10 \eta \mathrm{Tr}:$ the change in person (from $\tau \iota \nu$ és above) is made easy by the preceding $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} v$. - каl
 well as individuals. - imkkouplav

тติท тротєтоюๆนivav: lit. an aid from their previous efforts, i.e. in what their previous efforts have obtained for them.
41. ктŋfag0at: see on $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$
 $\sigma v^{\prime}-$, uniting in this respect the contrasted subjects. - d $\mu \mathrm{d} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : a matter of indifference.
42. is . . . yevtreotal: see on
$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta}$ кaкov̀s aủrov̀s $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. $\epsilon i$ रáp tıves









 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \pi \rho o \theta \nu \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ a u ̉ z o v ̀ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ v i \mu a ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \eta i \mu a ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ ~-~$








2. 2. 2. In the present case the irregularity is particularly strange because there is no intervening clause to obscure the connection.
43. ข่mठे $\beta$ appapav : on account of the passive idea in $\notin \lambda \theta o c$ кivov-
 inf. from ė̃ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \sigma \phi \rho \in ́ \omega$ (as though from $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \pi i \phi \rho \eta \mu \iota)$, to let in. The allusion recalls, by contrast, the disloyalty of the Thebans at
that time. - wiv tvera: as in 3.13. éveкa is to be supplied with the fol-


44. тapóvtwv . . . aitofs: the order of words as in § $33 .-\mu$ خे
 10^Aovaal: in apposition with the subj. implied in the verb. - at $\beta$ on0ốrau: emphatic in contrast with ai

















accession of Athens changes the situation in this respect also．

45．akov́av ：placed first in em－ phatic contrast with $\pi a \rho \grave{\omega} \nu \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega}$ ， then repeated（ $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}$ ovov）in the clause depending upon $\dot{\epsilon} \zeta \dot{\eta} \lambda o v v$. －aṽ：in their turn．

46．тоте：this single，indefinite word recalls in the most effective way the well－remembered time．－ oúk＂imetrav：did not succeed in
 vovs：practically equivalent to ảmo入écधal éávaırє below．See on 3．1．－тヘิv ．．．тpoүరvตv：poss．

$\sigma \theta a u$ ，which is also subj．of $\lambda^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} \epsilon \tau a \mu$, －your ancestors＇deed in not allowing（lit．when they did not allow）．．．is told as a noble deed．Cp．on 4．5．－тoùs＇Ap－ yelwv кri．：after the defeat of the legendary expedition of the Seven against Thebes it was only by an Athenian army that the Thebans were compelled to permit the burial of their enemy＇s dead． Isocr．4． 55 ．

47．नxóvtes：checking．－Tiेv Eipuodicos кri．：the sons of Hera－ cles，driven from Peloponnesus by Eurystheus，found protection and



 48 aủroîs. ómórє $\delta$ è каì ท̀ $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \gamma a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a ~ o i ~ \sigma v \nu a \gamma o-~$

















effective aid at Athens. - $k d \lambda \lambda_{\text {เov }}$ :
 cp. 3. 6 .
48. \&пб́те $8 \mathfrak{k}$. . . dya0oîs: and when even we, who by word urge you to aid brave men, are proud of doing so. ítóte is practically causal, as 'when' often is in Eng.
§§ 49-52. The Athenians send an army under Iphicrates to aid the Spartans. The return of the Thebans, which Iphicrates fails to prevent.
49. tyiveto: as in 3. 5.7.'Akaঠпиеlq: see on 2.2.8. - тотt: at length.


















50. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 01$ : limiting all three nouns.-ăyovtes . . . ф'forvets: the former of animals, the latter of portable property. - $x \in \mu \omega v$ : i.e. the latter part of the winter of 370-369 в.с.
51. amex'́povv: Xenophon omits all reference to the most important result of the Theban expedition, viz. the reëstablishment of the independence of Messenia, which for centuries had been subject to Sparta. Epaminondas founded the city of Mes-
sene as a capital for the new state, and the descendants of exiled Messenians, gathered at his summons from all parts of the Greek world, were restored to their own. See Introd. pp. 27 and 31.-6ls Kópıvorv: where in all probability Xenophon himself was living at this time. See Introd. p. II f. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'Ovєl $\varphi$ : a mountain range southeast of Corinth. - $8 \pi \omega s$ нì Súvaıvтo ктe. : in just this point Xenophon seems to have mistaken the intentions of Iphicrates. The great
 $52 \pi a ́ \rho o \delta o \nu . \quad \mu a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ סè $\beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta o ̛ ́ \tau \epsilon s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \epsilon \nu ~$










 $\lambda о \nu \tau o \dot{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$.
object of the Athenian expedition, viz. the rescue of Sparta, had already been accomplished, and Iphicrates was probably seeking to harass the Thebans rather than to block their homeward march. - Keyxpetids: the eastern port of Corinth, on the Saronic Gulf.
52. бкотойs: Xenophon's misunderstanding. See on §51.Lseiv: in the emphatic position,
being contrasted with the following. - dl Sfor dmox copetv: a fut. less vivid protasis, the writer putting himself back in the past and considering the question as it then presented itself to Iphicrates. For
 apod., - equivalent to fậov (as adv.) âv túxoucv, - see on 3. 4. 18. - ol Onßaion . . . anffitaov: in $^{2}$ the spring of 369 в.с.

## BOOK VII

The Theban Hegemony. The Battle of Mantinea. 369-362 в.c.
Chapters r-5.3. Athens and Sparta conclude a formal alliance. The Thebans invade Peloponnesus and capture Sicyon. The Spartans win a decisive victory over the Arcadians and Argives. An unsuccessful attempt by the Thebans to impose a new " King's Peace" upon the Greek states. The third Theban invasion of Peloponnesus.

A digression on the affairs of Phlius.
The career and death of Euphron, tyrant of Sicyon.
The Corinthians make peace with Thebes. War breaks out between the Arcadians and the Eleans, and a desperate battle is fought at Olympia during the progress of the games. Dissensions among the cities of the Arcadian confederacy.

Mantinea and some other Arcadian cities, as well as the Achaeans and Eleans, ally themselves with Athens and Sparta. 369-362 B.C.






Chapter 5, §§ 4-8. The fourth Theban invasion of Peloponnesus. Epaminondas' march to Tegea. His good generalship. 362 в.c.
4. taôta: the negotiations referred to in the above abstract. -
 support those Arcadians who had remained loyal to Thebes. See
abstract above.-E¿ぇoâs: as in
 tyrant of Pherae, who had become a subject ally of Thebes. - Tôv tvavt(ov aủtê: i.e. Thessalian cities which the Thebans had recently liberated from the rule of Alexander. - $\sigma \phi$ ใotv aürois: as an indir. reflexive.









 $\mu \grave{e} \nu \quad \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s ~ \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi o \iota s ~ \epsilon i ̉ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi เ \rho \rho \omega ̂ \sigma a l ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ́ s, ~$




 $\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \pi о \rho \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \grave{a} \pi \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \in ́ v a l$ ，катà $\theta \alpha ́ \lambda a \tau \tau \alpha \nu ~ \delta \grave{~}$


5．$\sigma \phi$ 手เv：i．e．the Thebans．
 viovs：see on 6．5．51．一 тà $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\text { фítupa }}$ фpovoîvtas：see on 6．3．14．－ Mєүалото八ital：Xenophon no－ where mentions the important fact of the founding of Megalopo－ lis in 370 b．c．as a capital for the Arcadian confederacy．See Introd． p． 27 and note 3．－el tives：＝ aíteves．－そेvaүкblyovto：sc．to side， like their neighbors，with the The－ bans．

6．N $\kappa \mu$ iq：see on 4．2．14．－
$\pi a p t o v^{2} a s:$ i．e．on their way to Arcadia，to join their allies．－©s ouvedóvt clmeiv：to put it briefly． For the dat．see S． 1497 ；HA． 771 b；B． 382 ；G．1172， 2 ；Gl． 523 a ；for the inf．see on 3．5．9．
 every loss the Athenians suffered was a gain for the Thebans．Note that $o \delta \tau$ is cogn．acc．

7．of o $\mu$ офpovoûvtes：i．e．the enemies of the Thebans．－тapa－
几ake $\delta a / \mu \mathrm{ovos}:$ i．e．that they in－ 22







 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \iota \tau о . ~ к а і ̀ ~ \pi а р а \sigma к є v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ́, ~ \epsilon і ̈ ~ \tau о v ~ \epsilon ́ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \tau o, ~ \grave{\nu}$







 ov้̉ катє $\mu a ́ \nu \theta a \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{M} \alpha \nu \tau i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \iota \pi \alpha ́-$


tended to land in Laconia and march through that country to Arcadia.
8. ฮu่rux $\mathfrak{~ : ~ m a d e ~ e m p h a t i c ~ b y ~}$ its position. - yevfotar: proved. - $8_{\sigma a}$. . . $\lambda^{2} \lambda_{\iota \pi \varepsilon โ v: ~ t h e ~ s t r o n g e s t ~}^{\text {a }}$ words of praise which are found in the Hellenica, doubly significant because bestowed unwillingly upon an enemy of both Sparta and Athens. Cp. § 19 f. and see

Introd. p. 31. - aúrov: see on $\sigma$ ov


- olórevos: concessive. - креіт$\tau \omega v$ : Epaminondas' army seems to have been somewhat stronger numerically than that of the enemy. - xwplors: by places which they occupied, i.e. in position.
§§9-13. Epaminondas invades Laconia.















 є́vó $\mu \zeta \epsilon$ ，тои̂то $\lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ тò $\chi \omega \rho i ́ o \nu ~ к а т є ́ \beta a \iota \nu \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ o v ̉ к ~$
$u p$ a strong position．－Пe入入れиn： a town in Laconia，some miles
 for the dat．see on $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau t a ̣ ̂ ~ 4 . ~ 2 . ~ 9 . ~$

10．Kpis：a Cretan deserter． Polyb．9．8．－têv a $\mu v v o \mu i v \omega v: ~ i t s$ defenders．— $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \in \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ：from Pel－
 —каl $\mu$ ब $\lambda a$ ：see on 2．4．2．－ $\lambda \delta \mathrm{x} \omega \mathrm{v}$ ：a $\lambda$ óxos was half a $\mu$ ópa． See on 2．4．31．－ol tpeis：for the article see on I．I． 18.
 city limits，while $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$ oólıv below means the city in the narrower sense，the central，thickly settled portion．Cp．èv Ớßaus 5．2．25．
 （the Thebans）would presumably fight，etc．ö $\pi$ ov anticipates $\tau a v ́ r \eta$.
 were covered with old men and boys．Diod．15．83．－ois 8 \％ov ．．．bvtes：nor where they（sc． $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \lambda \lambda o v\right)$ would fight with no ad－ vantage over the few，although they were many，i．e．where Epami－ nondas could not use his supe－ rior numbers to advantage．$\mu \eta \delta \delta_{v}^{v}$, because the clause is hypothetical．

 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \chi^{\omega}{ }^{\omega}$ íov，anticipating the follow－ ing antecedent．－кartßauve кal oúk





 тоѝs $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ o v s, ~ o i ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a \nu \tau i ̀ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v s ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho о \sigma є ́ т \iota ~$

 ＇Е $\pi a \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ้ \delta o v ~ a ̉ \pi o \theta \nu \eta ́ \sigma \kappa о v \sigma \iota \nu . ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota ~ a ̉ \gamma a \lambda \lambda o ́-~$



 є́тєкра́тŋбє каì то⿱̀s є́vтаи̂Өa $\pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$

areßarvev：i．e．Epaminondas chose as a starting point for his advance a spot which was higher than the city itself instead of lower．He actually gained possession，accord－ ing to Polybius 9．8，of that part of the city which lay toward the Eurotas River．

12．тd ．．． $\boldsymbol{y e v o \mu} \boldsymbol{\mu v o v : ~ a c c . ~ o f ~}$ specification，instead of a gen．de－ pendent upon aỉtıâotal．Cp．i． 7．31．－td $\theta$ eíov altiâotar：to hold the deity responsiblesi．e．to ascribe the issụe to divine intervention．－ 8тєр ．．．кผ่入v which seemed to present an obstacle
to the enemy，i．e．a point behind which he would naturally have stayed and waited for the enemy＇s attack．The reference is mani－ festly to difficult ground of some
 words of an unprejudiced historian． See Introd．p．31．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\varphi}$ пavrt：as
 higher．Cp．on 4．2． 14.

13．of＂vסo0cv：the Spartans． For the adv．see on ö̈ко日ev 1． 4 ． 10．－тov̂ кaupồ ：see on 2．3． 24.
§§ 14－17．The Thebans return to Arcadia．A cavalry battle near Mantinea．

 ó $\mu$ ov̂ $\gamma є \nu о \mu e ́ v o ı s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \eta u ̉ \tau v \chi \eta \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota, ~$







 'I $\sigma \theta \mu \hat{\varphi}$, $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ Sè каì $\tau a ̀ s ~ K \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu a ̀ s ~ e ́ \tau u ́ \gamma \chi a \nu o \nu ~$







14. ol 'Apxd́ses: i.e. such of them as were allied with Sparta. See abstract above, p. 336. - ф $\mu$ оv үєгодivots: referring only to 几акєSalnovios, a part of whose army was away in Arcadia (§ 10).
 Saunoviors only. - тติv 86 : i.e. the Thebans. - пробкартерffoat: to endure this additional ( $\pi \rho o \sigma^{\sigma}$-) effort, i.e. besides all their previous exertions. - ধlkós: sc. écotí.
 whereas Epaminondas had been led to believe (§ 7) that the Athenians were coming by sea. K $\lambda_{\epsilon} \omega$ vás: a city about ten miles southwest of Corinth. - xporıov-
 i.e. some of them were already quartered in the city, while others were still coming up. - etpydas:
 low. - тaîठas . . . yepautipous: the





 $\sigma \theta a l, \mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ aí $\sigma \chi \nu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, \epsilon i \quad \pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \epsilon s \mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu$ тov̀s $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s, ~ \grave{\omega} \varsigma ~ \epsilon i ̂ \delta o \nu ~ \tau a ́ \chi \iota \tau \tau a ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s, ~$









men of military age having gone to the rescue of Sparta (§ 14).
16. тov́tuv aṽ: aṽ with reference to the above-described valor of the Spartans.- $\pi \lambda_{\text {clows }}$ : sc.
 cumstance alluded to is unknown. -rois: connect with סokov̂ซıv. - $^{\text {- }}$ aloxuvorevol el: see on cil 2. 3. 53. -etpâvtes: eagerly desiring.
17. altiot . . . $\sigma \omega 0$ भीvau: see on $\mu \varepsilon \tau a i ́ t \iota o s ~ 2.3 .32$. -ăvठpes áyäol: one of whom was Xenophon's .own son. See Introd. p. 12.థi oủk teskvoûvro: rel. clause of
result. S. 2556; HA. 910; B. 597 ; G. 1445 ; Gl. 615. - проткаvтo: the rare 1 aor. mid. of
 ovis 2. 4. 6.
§§ 18-25. The battle of Mantinea. The death of Epaminondas.
18. $\delta$ ' $\mathrm{Era} \mathrm{\mu}$ єเvفvסas: the nom. is left without a verb, the sentence being ultimately continued in the

 ròv Xpóvov: it would seem that a definite time had been fixed for the length of the campaign, either





 тєíà тоv̂ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \nu a \iota ~ \Lambda a к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ o v s ~ к а i ~ ' А \rho к а ́ \delta а s ~ к а i ~$

 $\epsilon i ̉ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu \iota \kappa \varphi ́ \eta, \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ a ̉ \nu a \lambda v ́ \sigma o \iota \tau o \cdot \epsilon i ̉ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̉ \pi o \theta a ́ v o \iota, ~$

 aủtòv tolav̂ta סıavoєîб日al ov̉ $\pi a ́ \nu v ~ \mu о \iota ~ \delta о к є i ̂ ~ \theta a v-~$







by the Theban government or by agreement with the allies．－тo－ $\lambda_{\text {copktfoturo：}}$ as in 6．4．6．－ $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \lambda_{\nu \mu а \sigma \mu \text { ivos ．．．íoוтo：fut．perf．}}$ mid．For the following dat．see on 2．3．26．－altios ．．．rovi ovve－ otaval：see on $\mu$ eтaítos 2．3． 32.
 ward route led past Mantinea．－
 departure from exact parallelism．
－avaגjúotro：he would make good．－el st amooavor：Xeno－ phon＇s anticipation of the actual fact rather than Epaminondas＇ thought．

19．aürơv：intensive，as con－ trasted with тò oт áreveva．－$^{\text {a }}$
 $\dot{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{s}}:=\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ．See Introd．IV．н．一 גтокбциеเv：to finch from．

20．ws ．．．loouivns：as in 4.



















2．18．－غौєukoûvto：see on 2． 4. 25．－\тєүра́фочто ．．．ро́та入а ： painted clubs upon their shields． The club，which was the weapon of the Theban national hero Hera－ cles，seems to have been the The－ ban device．Cp．3．4．17．－ஸs ．．．obves：as though they were Thebans，i．e．in order to deceive the enemy and profit by the great military reputation of the Thebans．
 av̉：contrasting the following notes on Epaminondas＇tactics with the
preceding description of the spirit he had infused into his army．－ kal：connecting $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ e ́ \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu ~ a n d ~$
 of Mantinea and Tegea were about ten miles distant from one another， the intervening country being a plain bounded on east and west by mountains．－ $\mathbf{\delta \delta \xi}$ av ．．．rode－ $\mu$ lots ：he gave the enemy an impres－ sion．－$\mu \mathrm{H}$ ：although with the inf． in ind．disc．See on $\mu \eta \delta$ ©́va 4．5． 12.

22．＊өeтo $\tau \mathrm{d}$ 8 $8 \pi \lambda$ ：as in 4.5 ．











would seem that the entire army, marching to the mountain in a long column of even width, with Epaminondas and the Thebans at the head, faced about to the right upon reaching the mountain, thus forming a battle line ( $\dot{\xi} \dot{\xi} \tau a^{\prime} \theta \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ $\left.\phi{ }^{\prime} \lambda a \gamma \xi\right)$ of even depth, with the Thebans on the left wing. Epaminondas, however, wished to increase - just as at Leuctra (see on 6.4. 12) - the depth of the left wing. He therefore caused successive companies ( $\lambda$ óXovs) to face about again, and marched them along (rapayarciv) in column ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ ќ́ $\rho \omega s$ ) behind the rest of the army to the left wing, there facing them about into line (eis $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ '́ $\tau \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Thus he gave the left wing the desired depth, or, as
 . . . ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \beta o \lambda o v$, made strong the beak-like formation around him.

This ${ }^{\prime} \mu$ Bodov, or deep left wing, seems to have consisted entirely of Thebans; next to the right of the Thebans (according to Diod. 15.85) were the Arcadians, then the contingents of the lesser allies, and on the right wing the Argives. On the right wing of the enemy, i.e. opposite the Thebans, were the Spartans and those Arcadians who were allied with them, on the left wing the Athenians. The commander-in-chief of the enemy's forces was probably a Mantinean, for the treaty between Mantinea and Sparta prescribed that each state should have the command within its own territory (§ 3). It would seem from § 9 that the Spartan contingent was commanded by Agesilaus.
 prow on, like a trireme, with reference to the deep, comparatively






 $\sigma a \tau o, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu i \pi \pi \pi o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \zeta$ oùs $\sigma v \nu e ́ \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \nu$ aủroîs, $\nu о \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$

## Sketch Plan of the Battle of Mantinea


a. Peloponnesian and Athenian cavalry. b. Spartans and Arcadians, $c$. Other Peloponnesian contingents. d. Athenians.
a. Theban cavalry. $\beta$. Theban infantry. $\gamma$. Arcadians. ס. Other Theban allies. $\epsilon$. Argives. $\zeta$. Cavalry and hoplites to threaten the Athenians (§ 24).




narrow attacking column of the left wing. - $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \rho \rho \omega \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \in v$ : i.e. far from the enemy. See on 6. 4 .
 ally with $\phi a ́ \lambda a \gamma \gamma a$, though logic-

light-armed foot soldiers intermingled with the ranks of the cavalry.
24. غavtผิv: poss. gen. depending upon $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. - $\delta \pi \omega s$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$. . . ol 'A0qvaior: this provision against













 каi $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oi ä äıлтоь каi oí $\pi \epsilon \lambda \tau a \sigma \tau a i ̀ ~ \sigma \nu \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon s$

 aủtติ̀ à áćӨavov.
the Athenians on the enemy's left wing was a necessary corollary of Epaminondas' plan of battle; for his weak right wing was to be held back (§23), and thus the Athenians would be left unem-
 posted next to them, i.e. further on toward the right wing, where Epaminondas' attack was to fall. - фóßov . . . $\pi$ aplxetv: followed by a clause in ind. disc. instead of by $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, the phrase being prac-
tically equivalent to סósav mapeîxe § 21. S. 2235 ; GMT. 371.-ที $\pi p o \sigma$ ( $\beta a \lambda$ cv: : i.e. the enemy's right wing, where the Spartans and Arcadians were stationed.
25. фuүov́न्गs: concessive. aürois: dat. of advantage. - oúSfva amekretvav: i.e. in pursuit. - oư' of imeeis: oúbé, no more than the hoplites. - $\delta 1$ ineaov: slipped through, i.e. back through the enemy's lines, which they had broken. - тоv ev่шrúpov: of the enemy.
















§§26-27. The results of the battle. Conclusion.
 this, as Diodorus ( 15.86 ) truly says, was the greatest battle ever fought by Greeks against Greeks. - diviteraypivav: constr. according to sense, as though with a a $\pi$ áv$\tau \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$.
 neither party was found to be any
better off ( $\pi \lambda$ 'éo '̀'Xovess) either by additional territory or city or sway. The dats. denote the degree of difference. - A general peace was concluded shortly after the battle on the basis of the status quo ante bellum; since this involved, however, the recognition of the independence of Messenia, the Spartans refused to be parties to the treaty.

## APPENDIX I

## THE LIFE OF XENOPHON

The principal ancient authority on this subject is Diogenes Laertius in his Lives of the Philosophers. ${ }^{1}$ Occasional bits of information (or misinformation) are scattered here and there in other authors. ${ }^{2}$ More important, however, and more trustworthy than this external testimony is that which is furnished by Xenophon himself in his own writings, particularly the Anabasis.

Scholars have held differing opinions with regard to (a) the date of Xenophon's birth, (b) the question whether he returned to Athens immediately after the expedition with Cyrus, (c) the date and cause of his banishment, and (d) the date of his death. On all these points the statements contained in the text of the Introduction are supported by a preponderance of authority, but it is deemed proper to indicate in each case the divergent view:
(a) Diogenes Laertius ${ }^{8}$ and Strabo ${ }^{4}$ agree in stating that Xenophon served as a cavalryman at the battle of Delium (424 B.C.), and that in the retreat his life was saved by Socrates. If this be true, Xenophon must have been born about 444 b.c. In all likelihood, however, the story is merely a careless or mistaken replica of the familiar story about Alcibiades and Socrates. ${ }^{6}$ The more generally accepted view, that Xenophon was born about 430 b.C., rests mainly on the internal evidence furnished by the Anabasis. ${ }^{6}$
${ }^{1}$ 2. 6. ${ }^{2}$ Strabo 9. 403, Pseudo-Lucian, Macrob. 21, Athenaeus 216 d , Philostratus, Vitae Soph. 1. 12, Dio Chrysostom 8. 130, M, Pausanias 5. 6. 5 f., Plutarch, Agesilaus 18 and 20, de Exilio 603 and 605, Diodorus Siculus 15. 76, 15. 89, 13. 42, Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Ep. ad Cn. Pomp. 4, Marcellinus, Vita Thucyd. 45. - The best modern treatise on the life of Xenophon is that of A. Roquette, De Xenophontis Vita ; cp. H. G. Dakyns, The Works of Xenophon (introduction to Vol. I.), E. Lange, Xenophon: Sein Leben, seine Geistesart und seine Werke, and P. Boldt, Xenophontis Vitae Specimen ${ }^{8} 2.5$. 22. $\quad{ }^{4} 9.403 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Plato, Symp. 220, 221. ${ }^{6}$ Cp. also Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 55 and Athen. 216 d.
(b) It is possible, although direct evidence is wanting, that Xenophon returned to Athens immediately after delivering over his troops to Thibron. ${ }^{1}$ Yet (1) only a few months later he was certainly serving in Asia under Thibron's successor, Dercylidas; (2) that he served under Thibron also is made probable both by his personal dislike for that commander ${ }^{2}$ and by his detailed account of his doings; (3) and most important, Xenophon's own words in Anab. 7.7.57 seem to imply that his intention of returning home at this time was frustrated.
(c) Many modern scholars (Grote and Roquette among others) have held that Xenophon was banished after Coronea and in consequence of the part he played there. It seems impossible, however, to draw such an inference either from the manifestly erroneous statement of Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 51 or from Xenophon's words in Anab. 5. 3.7. All the other evidence ${ }^{8}$ is distinctly adverse. Further, it is manifest that during all the experiences of Anab. 5-7 Xenophon recalled with apprehension the fear expressed by Socrates. ${ }^{4}$ Writing many years later ${ }^{5}$ he would hardly have mentioned that fear or indicated its abiding presence with him, if it had not been in effect realized. Lastly, our knowledge of Xenophon does not justify the off-hand assumption that he would have ranged himself against his own countrymen at Coronea while still an Athenian citizen.
(d) The statement of Stesicleides (in Diog. Laert. 2.6.56) that Xenophon died in $360-359$ b.c. is completely disproved by Hell. 6. 4. 35-37. ${ }^{6}$ Further, it is generally held, on the ground of internal evidence, that Xenophon wrote his treatise De Vectigalibus in 355 B.c.; and the allusion to his great age in Ps. Luc. Macrob. 21 seems to point to c. 354 B.c. as the date of his death. ${ }^{7}$
${ }^{1}$ Cp. Grote, History of Greece, 9. 174. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cp. Hell. 3. 1. 5-7 and 4.8. 18-22. ${ }^{8}$ Particularly the unqualified statements cited in Introd. p. 10, note 5. ${ }^{4}$ See Introd. p. 1 . ${ }^{6}$ See below, p. 359, note I. ${ }^{6}$ See below, p. 358. ${ }^{7}$ Cp. also Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 56 (the statement of Demetrius Magnes) and Diod. Sic. 15. 76.

## APPENDIX II

## the relation of the hellenica to thucydides

The Hellenica certainly begins at very nearly the precise point where Thucydides breaks off, and its opening sentences, unintelligible in themselves, are manifestly those of a historian who is carrying on the interrupted narrative of some predecessor. The natural inference, that the Hellenica was intended to be a continuation of Thucydides' history, was accepted by the ancients themselves without question. Thus Diodorus ${ }^{1}$ says that Xenophon and Theopompus began where Thucydides left off; Dionysius of Halicarnassus ${ }^{2}$ refers to Xenophon's Hellenic history, both that which Thucydides left unfinished, etc.; Marcellinus ${ }^{8}$ states that Thucydides died while writing the events of the twenty-first year (of the Peloponnesian War); . . . and the story of the other six years Theopompus and Xenophon completed. 4
 тето! $\eta$ vтal. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Ep}$. ad Cn. Pomp. 4. The Greek text is quoted in full below, p. 356, note 2. ${ }^{8}$ Vita Thucydidis 45. The latter part of the Greek text is quoted below, p. 356, note 2. *Diogenes Laertius (2.6.57) preserves a tradition that Thucydides' history was first published by Xenophon, into whose hands the manuscript of it had somehow fallen. This tradition apparently gave rise to the belief, which Marcellinus (op. cit. 43) says was entertained by some ancient critics, that the eighth book of Thucydides was really the work of Xenophon. In fact, while the eighth book is manifestly unfinished and therefore differs in some respects from the preceding books, it was unquestionably written by Thucydides. In modern times the same tradition has been made the basis of a theory that along with the manuscript of Thucydides there also came into Xenophon's hands the material which Thucydides had collected for his account of the remaining years of the war; accordingly it is held that the first two books of the Hellenica consist simply of this material, imperfectly edited by Xenophon (so Herbst, Die Schlacht bei den Arginusen 23 and Fricke, Über die Quellen des Plutarchos im Nikias und Alkibiades 15), or at least that Xenophon made some use of his predecessor's unfinished notes (so Krüger, Kritische Analekten 1. 78). All such theories have been effectually refuted (especially by Büchsenschütz, Philologus 14 (1859) 508 f. anđ Breitenbach, Rhein. Mus. 27 (1872) 497 f.); but the citations from Diogenes and Marcellinus are still of interest as showing that the ancients assumed the existence of a close connection between Thucydides and Xenophon.

In modern tumes several facts have been observed or demonstrated which tend to corroborate the view that it was Xenophon's conscious purpose to supplement Thucydides. Dittenberger ${ }^{1}$ and his followers have proved beyond reasonable doubt that that part of the Hellenica which covers the closing years of the Peloponnesian War was written much earlier than the succeeding part. ${ }^{2}$ Further, in this first part of the Hellenica Xenophon is found to follow Thucydides' method of grouping events by years and seasons and indicating the beginning of each new year, ${ }^{8}$ whereas in the later part he adopts the contrary method of grouping by topics and only seldom offers any chronological data. ${ }^{4}$ Finally, it has been remarked ${ }^{5}$ that in the first part of the Hellenica he likewise follows Thucydides in telling his story without personal comments or criticisms, which in the latter part appear with great frequency. ${ }^{6}$

In the light of such evidence, both internal and external, there can be no room for doubt or uncertainty regarding Xenophon's prime object in undertaking the Hellenica. Yet nothing is clearer than his entire failure in the opening sections of the Hellenica to carry on unbroken the various threads of Thucydides' narrative. Thus Thucydides ' leaves the Peloponnesian and Athenian fleets at Elaeus and Cyzicus respectively; the Hellenica ${ }^{8}$ finds them at Abydus and Madytus. Thucydides ${ }^{9}$ leaves Theramenes in Athens; the Hellenica ${ }^{10}$ finds him arriving in the Hellespont from Macedonia. Dorieus, whom Thucydides ${ }^{11}$ leaves at Miletus, appears in the Hellenica ${ }^{12}$ as coming from Rhodes. Alcibiades is last mentioned by Thucydides ${ }^{18}$ as returning to Samos; the Hellenica ${ }^{14}$ brings him to the Hellespont, but without noting whence he comes or what he has meanwhile been doing. More puzzling than these inconsistencies are the opening words of the Hellenica: And after this ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ סè rav̂ra), not many days later, Thymochares came from Athens with a

[^19] p. 23 f. ${ }^{4}$ The annalistic method is employed sometimes, yet infrequently, in the later part. Cp. Underhill's Commentary on the Hellenica, Introd. p. xvii. ${ }^{5}$ By Em. Müller, De Xenophontis historiae graecae parte priore. ${ }^{6}$ The further argument (advanced by Simon, Xenophon-Studien I. and Lange, op.cit.) that in the first part of the Hellenica Xenophon imitates Thucydidean peculiarities of style, seems to rest upon too slight evidence. ${ }^{7} 8.107$.
 14. 1. 5.
fow ships; and straightway the Lacedaemonians and Athenians fought another naval battle, and the Lacedaemonians were victorious, under the leadership of Agesandridas. It is to be noted, in the first place, that the scene of this battle is not stated and cannot readily be inferred from Thucydides; secondly, the rav̂ra of the opening phrase can hardly refer to the last event mentioned by Thucydides, viz. the journey of Tissaphernes; thirdly, the words "another naval battle " imply a reference, which is not perfectly clear, to some preceding battle; and lastly, one is left in the dark regarding Agesandridas. Thucydides, it is true, mentions ${ }^{1}$ the fact that after winning the battle off Euboea ${ }^{2}$ (against the same Thymochares whom he meets again in the Hellenica) he had been ordered to bring his fleet to the Hellespont to reënforce Mindarus, the Spartan admiral; but on the way, if the statement of Diodorus Siculus ${ }^{8}$ is to be trusted, he was wrecked off Mt. Athos and lost all his ships.

It is no doubt possible to explain this manifest looseness of connection between Thucydides and the Hellenica by assuming (1) that something has been lost from the beginning of the Hellenica ${ }^{4}$ or (2) from the end of Thucydides' history, ${ }^{5}$ or (3) that Xenophon intended to prefix an introduction to the Hellenica but failed to do so. ${ }^{6}$ Yet assumptions of this kind must always be regarded as a last resort, justifi-
18. 107. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See Introd. p. 18. $\quad{ }^{8} 13.41 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Cp. especially Nitsche, Über die Abfassung von Xenophons Hellenika and Riemann, Qua rei criticae tractandae ratione Hellenicon Xenophontis textus constituendus sit; among recent editors Büchsenschütz, Sorof, Manatt, and Blake accept this theory. ${ }^{6}$ Suggested as an alternative explanation by Riemann (op.cit.) and accepted as probable by Underhill and Edwards. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}$. Breitenbach (in his edition of the Hellenica, Einl. zum ersten Bande, §§ 64 and 112), who couples this theory with the contention that the Hellenica is an unfinished work. This may be true (as some other editors believe), though the defects which the Hellenica exhibits do not prove it (see Introd. p. 28 f.). It should be noted that the supposedly unfinished condition of the Hellenica cannot be adduced as an argument in support of either of the other two theories above mentioned. The view maintained by Peter (Commentatio critica de Xen. Hell. 14 f.) and Campe (Neue Jahrb. 105 (1872) 701 f.) that the Hellenica begins with a summary of the last chapters of Thucydides (so that the battle in Hell. I. I. I is identical with that in Thuc. 8. 95, etc.) is so lacking in all probability as barely to deserve notice. The same is true of the epitome theory, for which see Introd. p. 27 f. Cp. also Fabricius in Philologus 49 (1890) 574.
able only in case no other solution of the problem seems reasonable. In the present case, however, an examination of the Hellenica points the way to a wholly reasonable solution; for inconsistencies and omissions quite similar to those which break the continuity of the two histories are found in considerable numbers throughout the entire text of the Hellenica itself. This fact is so notorious that a few illustrations will suffice: (1) in I. 1. 26 the Syracusan fleet is at Antandrus, but a little later (1. 1. 31) it is found at Miletus, -an unexplained change of position precisely analogous to those of the Athenian and Peloponnesian fleets between the closing sections of Thucydides and the opening sections of the Hellenica; (2) in 1.6. 16 Erasinides is blockaded at Mytilene, but in 1. 6. 29 he appears at Arginusae, - precisely as Dorieus and Theramenes shift their stations between Thucydides and the Hellenica; (3) in 1. 4.2 "the Lacedaemonian ambassadors" are described as returning from Persia with their mission accomplished, although no previous reference has been made to them; (4) in 1. 3. 9 Calchedon is in the hands of the Peloponnesians, but when next mentioned (2.2.1) it is held by the Athenians; (5) the peace negotiations which followed the battle of Arginusae and (6) the loss of Nisaea by the Athenians are entirely passed over, though both are events of very considerable importance. Such cases as these, which are especially numerous in the first two books of the Hellenica, ${ }^{1}$ show an habitual carelessness ${ }^{2}$ on Xenophon's part which seems to be far the best explanation of the puzzles contained in the opening sections of his history. It is simply characteristic carelessness that he does not note exactly where Thucydides has left the fleets and the leaders, and that his opening phrase - $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha$ - refers only loosely and in a general way to what has preceded. In the same loose way he speaks of "another naval battle," having in mind the last important event described by Thucydides, viz. the battle of Cynossema. Finally, remembering Thucydides' statement that Agesandridas was ordered to the Hellespont, he leaves it to the reader to assume that Agesandridas did in fact come to the Hellespont - whatever may have been his experiences on the
${ }^{1}$ Cp. Breitenbach, Einl. §§ 8 and 10, who enumerates no less than thirty similar instances. $\quad{ }^{2}$ This is not too strong a term even on the supposition that the Hellenica is an unfinished work. It does not mean that Xenophon is an untrustworthy or incompetent historian, but he is careless of completeness and consistency in details.
way ${ }^{1}$ - and that the Hellespont, consequently, was the scene of the battle in which he figured.

It seems clear, therefore, that the omissions and inconsistencies between the final sections of Thucydides and the opening sections of the Hellemica are, at least, not materially greater than those which are found between many chapters, or even pages, of the Hellenica itself; in other words, that Xenophon has joined his work to Thucydides about as closely as he has joined the successive portions of his work to one another. While, therefore, the looseness of the connection between the two histories is a fact not to be gainsaid, it seems to be due to Xenophon's characteristically defective workmanship and not to the loss of any part, completed or contemplated, of either the Hellenica or Thucydides.

## APPENDIX III

## THE DIVISIONS OF THE HELLENICA

The fact that the Hellenica was not a continuous composition has been long established. ${ }^{2}$ There still remain differences of opinion regarding the precise location of the lines of division between its various
${ }^{1}$ It may be, despite the statement of Diodorus (see above, p. 353), that Agesandridas saved enough ships from the wreck. of his large fleet to overcome the "few ships" of the Athenians; otherwise, he must somehow have obtained a new fleet. See note on I. I. 23. ${ }^{2}$ The discussion of this question begins with Niebuhr ( $K$ l. histor. Schriften 1. 464 f.), who divided the Hellenica into two parts, pointing out (see below, p. 357 (a)) that Books 1-2 must have been written much earlier than 3-7. Niebuhr's conclusion was accepted by Peter (op. cit.) and Weil (Ztschr. f. Alt. W. 9 (1842) 143 f.). Em. Müller (op. cit.) followed Niebuhr in assuming a division into two parts, but showed that the line of division should be placed between 2. 3. 10 and 2. 3. 11 rather than at the end of Book 2. Müller's view on this point has been adopted by almost all scholars except Breitenbach, who still holds to Niebuhr's conclusion, and Nitsche (op. cit.), who regards 1. 1. 1-5. 1. 36 as constituting the first part. That the Hellenica consists of three parts, instead of two, was first urged by Grosser (Neue Jahrb. 95 (1867) 737 f.), who accepted Müller's line of division at 2. 3. 10, but found a second also between 5. 3. 27 and 5.4. i. Nitsche, however, showed that this line of division should be placed between 5. 1. 36 and 5.2. 1. In more recent times the conclusion thus finally reached (of a three part division) has been confirmed by other arguments based upon totally different grounds (see below, p. 357 and note 1).
parts and regarding the time of composition of the earlier parts; but the statements concerning these points which are contained in the Introduction are believed to rest upon ample evidence.

That Part I. ended with 2. 3. IO and was written much earlier than the succeeding parts seems to be proved by the following considerations : (a) With 2. 3. 10 the history of the Peloponnesian War, which it was Xenophon's primary purpose to complete, ${ }^{1}$ is finished. (b) This Supplement to Thucydides, as it may be called, appears to have been regarded by ancient authorities ${ }^{2}$ as a complete work in itself, to be distinguished in some measure from the rest of the Hellenica. (c) In 1. 1. 1-2. 3. 10 Xenophon follows ${ }^{3}$ Thucydides' plan of chronicling events by years and marking (except in one case) the beginning of each successive year, but after 2. 3. 10 this method of treatment is abruptly dropped. (d) In I. I. 1-2.3. 10 the author never speaks in the first person, ${ }^{4}$ by way of comment on the incidents which he is narrating, whereas almost immediately after 2. 3. Io such comments begin to appear. ${ }^{6}$ (e) One of Xenophon's most marked characteristics is his religious spirit, which is shown by repeated references to divine interposition, by his scrupulous recounting of all religious observances of every kind, etc. This characteristic is not once illustrated in I. I. I2. 3. 10, but very frequently thereafter. ${ }^{6}(f)$ In I. 1. 1-2. 3. 10 Xenophon usually states accurately the number of the forces engaged, of the slain, and of ships sunk or captured, while in the later parts he ordinarily reckons approximately, with an "about" or "few" or "many." (g) A minute study by various scholars of the stylistic peculiarities of
${ }^{1}$ See Introd. p. 19 f. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Marcellinus, Vita Thucydidis 45 (cited above, p. 351) : $\tau \dot{d} \delta \varepsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \nu \xi \xi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ (of the Peloponnesian War) $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a \tau a$
 latoplay. Dionysius, Ep. ad Cn. Pomp. 4 (also cited above, p. 351) : rìp

 ta. The text of the latter passage seems to be corrupt, but Dionysius is apparently distinguishing between a first and a second part of the Hellenica. Another bit of ancient evidence has been found in the citations of Harpocration, the grammarian, from the Hellenica, which prove that in his copy (apparently comprising nine books) the second book ended at 2.3.10, i.e. with the end of the Peloponnesian War. See Simon, Xenophon-Studien II. ${ }^{\mathbf{8}}$ As already noted above, p. 352. $\quad{ }^{4}$ See above, p. 352. ${ }^{6}$ First in 2.3.56. ${ }^{6}$ First in 2.4. 14.

Xenophon, especially in the use of particles, ${ }^{1}$ has revealed most marked differences between I. I. 1-2.3. 10 and the later parts of the Hellenica, differences which may be said to prove conclusively that Part I. was written considerably earlier than Parts II. and III. ${ }^{2}$

Arguments which are no less definite and convincing establish the limits of Part II. (2. 3. 11-5. 1. 36, i.e. from 404 to 387 b.c.) and the fact that it was written many years before Part III.: (a) In 2.4.43, ${ }^{8}$ where Xenophon is speaking of the final reconciliation between the opposing factions at Athens in 403 b.c., he says that "all parties still live together in harmony, and even to this day the commons abide by their oaths." Now, these words could not have been written very much later than the event described, i.e. than 403 B.c.; for in the changing political life of Athens and with the passing away of those who were concerned in the struggle of 404-403 b.c., the reconciliation which followed it would erelong have been forgotten, and it would be idle and meaningless for Xenophon to record the fact that the terms of the reconciliation were still observed. It is safe to say that "this day," i.e. the time when Xenophon wrote these words, was not more than twenty (or at most twenty-five) years after 403 b.c. But we shall find that much of Part III. was written considerably more than forty years ${ }^{4}$ after 403 B.C., and much later, therefore, than Part II. (b) In 4. 3. 16 Xenophon says of the battle of Coronea that " no other battle of our time is to be compared with it." It seems clear that these words must have been written before the still more important battles of Leuctra ( 37 I в.c.) and Mantinea ( 362 b.c.) were fought, - that is, a long time before Part III. was composed. (c) In 3. 5. 25 Pausanias, king of Sparta, being put upon trial for his life, is described as effecting his
${ }^{1}$ This method was first employed by Dittenberger (Hermes 16 (1881) 330 f.) ; after him by Roquette (op. cit.), Simon (Xenophon-Studien I. and IV.), Rosenstiel (De Xen. historiae Gr. parte bis edita), and Schanz (Hermes 2I (1886) 439 f.). The work of all these investigators is especially interesting and valuable because it furnishes independent confirmation of results previously obtained. Among other things, it seems to prove fully that the latter part of Book 2 (from 2. 3. 10 to the end) belongs with Part II. and not, as is sometimes maintained, with Part I. ${ }^{2}$ Dittenberger and his followers are agreed that Part I. is the earliest of all Xenophon's works, excepting the Cynegeticus. ${ }^{3}$ It was this passage which led Niebuhr to maintain that the Hellenica could not have been a continuous composition. See above, p. 355, note 2. ${ }^{4}$ That is, later than 358 b.c. See below.
escape to Tegea ( 395 b.c.), " and there" Xenophon adds, "he died a natural death." Yet in 5. 2. 3-6 (i.e. at the very beginning of Part III.) Pausanias again appears on the stage ( 385 b.c.). The natural inference is that in the earlier passage Xenophon mentioned the king's death because at that time he had no intention of referring to him again, that is, no intention of continuing his bistory beyond 387 b.c. (d) The year 387 b.c. was made memorable by the Peace of Antalcidas, which marked an epoch in Greek history and, for a time, appeared likely to be permanent. This date, therefore, might well have seemed to Xenophon a natural stopping place. (e) After describing the negotiation of the Peace of Antalcidas Xenophon devotes two sections (5. 1. 35 and 36, - the last of Part II.) to setting forth the results of that peace, with general observations on the war which preceded it. The whole passage seems like the summing-up of a writer who has finished his task. ( $f$ ) Careful studies of the style and language ${ }^{1}$ of Part II. have shown that it belongs to the middle period of Xenophon's literary activity, and far antedates Part III.

Part III. (5. 2. I-the end), covering the period from the Peace of Antalcidas to the battle of Mantinea ( 362 b.c.), is shown by its language and style to be one of the very latest of Xenophon's writings. ${ }^{2}$ This fact tends to justify the very reasonable opinion ${ }^{8}$ that it was not begun until the battle of Mantinea supplied the historian with a new impulse, as well as a new and manifestly proper stopping place. ${ }^{4}$ In any event, Part III. was surely not finished until some years after Mantinea; for in 6. 4. 35-37, ${ }^{6}$ in a digression upon Thessalian affairs, Xenophon describes the assassination of Alexander of Pherae, which took place in 358 or 357 b.c., and refers to the rule of his successor as continuing "up to the time when this narrative was written." Since Xenophon probably died about 354 B.C., the time of the composition of Part III. is thus fixed approximately.

The reasons for assigning Part I. to c. 393 b.c. are summarized in the Introduction. ${ }^{6}$ It remains to consider the more difficult question of the probable date of Part II. It must have been written, as has
${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 357 and note 1 thereon. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See above, p. 357, note I. ${ }^{8}$ First expressed by Nitsche (op. cit.). ${ }^{4}$ The importance of the battle of Mantinea was fully recognized by Xenophon. Cp. Hell. 7. 5. 26. ${ }^{5}$ This passage is important in its bearing upon the date of Xenophon's death. See above, p. 350. $\quad{ }^{6}$ p. 22.
already been pointed out, not only before the battle of Leuctra (371 b.c.). but within twenty (or at most twenty-five) years after the reconciliation or amnesty of 403 B.c. ${ }^{1}$ Two other considerations appear to fix the
${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 357. Roquette (op. cit.) undertakes the difficult task of denying the soundness of these generally accepted inferences. His statistics of Xenophon's use of particles lead him to believe that Part II. was written after the Anabasis, and he thinks that the Anabasis was published after the historian's expulsion from Scillus, which took place in 371 B.C.; be concludes, therefore, that Part II. of the Hellenica was written still later at Corinth, whither Xenophon removed from Scillus. This seems impossible. Part II. of the Hellenica is the history of Sparta's triumph, achieved in the Peace of Antalcidas, of the reëstablishment of her unquestioned hegemony; it is hardly conceivable that it should have been published at just the time when the tremendous fact of Sparta's overthrow was the one thing which filled men's minds in every Greek state. It eould hardly have been written in the hour of Sparta's calamity; its tone would have been different, and some reference would have betrayed the changed conditions of the later time. At that time also, when Leuctra had so manifestly begun a new chapter in Greek history, Xenophon could no longer have cherished the intention, which is evident in Part II., of concluding his story with the Peace of Antalcidas. Again, Roquette's premises do not support his conclusion; his statistics (which seem to the present editor wholly convincing) show that Part II. was written after the Anabasis was written, not after the Anabasis was published. The autobiographical matter in Anab. 5.3 does, indeed, seem to prove that the work was not finally published until 371 b.C. or later; on the other hand, its language and style, its "youthful freshness," and the strong probability that its composition would not have been deferred until long after the great event described, furnish excellent grounds for the widely accepted conclusion that it was written long before 371 r.c., - probably in the first decade after Xenophon's return to Greece in 394 b.C. The author would naturally defer the publication of a story in which he himself figures so prominently, until a time when it would appear as the work of a recognized man of letters, and not the self-praise of a successful general. During the long interval, then, between the composition and publication of the Anabasis, Part II. of the Hellenica was written and published, - a conclusion which settles at once the vexed question of Xenophon's reference in Hell. 3. 1. 2 to an Anabasis written by Themistogenes. The extremely improbable view that Xenophon is here referring to his own Anabasis, but is denying or concealing his authorship of it, has held the field simply because it was assumed that Book 3 of the Hellenica was published affer the Anabasis. It seems clear, however, that Xenophon
time of its composition somewhat more definitely: (a) Xenophon chose 387 b.c. as an appropriate halting place because the Peace of Antalcidas, concluded in that year, was deemed a permanent settlement of the troubles which had vexed the Greek states ; but when, in 379 в.c., war broke out again between Thebes and Sparta, it was seen that this treaty had by no means marked an enduring adjustment, but only a temporary cessation of hostilities. It seems likely, therefore, that Xenophon was not engaged upon Part II. as late as 379 B.C., for in that case he would hardly have concluded his story with the inconclusive Peace of Antalcidas. (b) In 4. 4. 15 Xenophon lauds the exemplary conduct of the Spartans toward Phlius, which had asked their protection and received a Spartan garrison. When the danger was past, the Spartans departed, gave back the town to its own inhabitants, and did not even ask, as a reward for their service, the restoration of a faction which had been exiled from Phlius for its pro-Spartan sympathies. All this took place in 391 b.c.; in 384 r.c. Sparta did ask and obtain the restoration of these exiles, ${ }^{1}$ and in 379 B.c., for their sake, besieged and captured Phlius and treated its inhabitants with great severity. ${ }^{2}$ It is held with much probability that 4.4 .15 was written after 384 B.C., because the writer apparently has in mind the ultimate restoration of the exiles in that year, and, on the other hand, before 379 b.c., because he could hardly have praised the Spartans so generously after their harsh treatment of Phlius had effaced the memory of their former moderation. With this conclusion all the other above-mentioned indications agree, and, while certainty is not attainable, it is probable that the composition of Part II. should be assigned to the period between 385 and 380 b.c.
is referring to a real Anabasis of Themistogenes, and for the reason that his own had not yet been given to the world. For all the questions here considered cp. especially Nitsche (op. cit.), Simon (Xenophon-Studien I.), Bergk (Griechische Litteraturgeschichte 4. 313), and Christ (in Müller's Handbuch 7. 299).
${ }^{1}$ Hell. 5. 2. 8-10. $\quad{ }^{2}$ 5.3.10-1 7 and 21-25.

## APPENDIX IV

## THE INTERPOLATIONS IN PART I. OF THE HELLENICA

In recent years most of the suspected passages in Part 1. of the Hellenica have been generally and unhesitatingly condemned as spurious. ${ }^{1}$ A few still remain questionable. It should be noted that a recently discovered papyrus fragment, ${ }^{2}$ which probably dates from the third century A.D., contains the various interpolated phrases of 1.3. I and 1. 2. 19; this fact, however, proves nothing more than that the interpolations in question were comparatively early.

Chronological Data. - It is unanimously agreed that the references in Part I. to the number of years elapsed since the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War, to the eponymous magistrates, and to Olympiads are spurious. ${ }^{3}$ Since this is so, some scholars ${ }^{4}$ have been led to go further and maintain that the notices of the beginnings of new years are also interpolated. It is urged that only six of these notices are found instead of the required seven (for the years from 410 to 404 b.c. inclusive), that all appear in connection with admitted interpolations, and that one of them -at 1.6. 1 -is misplaced. ${ }^{6}$ These arguments, while not without force, are hardly convincing, and it must be regarded as probable ${ }^{6}$ that the year notices are genuine, and that they have simply attracted to themselves the spurious data instead of being a part thereof. The one missing notice has been the subject of much discussion, ${ }^{7}$ for the chro-
${ }^{1}$ The whole question of interpolations in Part I. has been exhaustively discussed by Brückner, De notationibus annorum in histor. Gr. Xenophontis suspectis; Em. Müller, op. cit.; Richter, Kritische Untersuchungen iuber die Interpolationen in den Schriften Xenophons; Riemann, op. cit.; Unger, "Die historischen Glosseme in Xenophons Hellenika," Sitzungsberichte der k. bayrischen Akademie, 1882, 237 f.; Beloch, "Zur Chronolugie der letzten Jahre des peloponnesischen Krieges," Philologus 43 (1884) 261 f.; Kruse, Über Interpolationen in Xenophons Hellenika; and Blake, in his edition of Hellenica 1-2, Appendix. $\quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{II}$, in the Imperial Library at Vienna. $\quad{ }^{8}$ See Introd. p. 24 f. ${ }^{4}$ Brückner and Beloch (opp. citt.), who have been followed by Blake and (apparently) Underhill. All alike suspect the five notices in 1. 2. 1, 1. 3. 1,
 note on 1. 5. 16. ${ }^{6}$ This is the opinion of all critics and editors except those above mentioned (note 4). In 1. 3. 1, however, tồ éributos ếrous is probably an interpolation. See Introd. p. 25, note 3. ${ }^{7}$ Begun by
nology of the years from 411 to 407 B.C. depends upon properly locating the place which it should have occupied. It is clear that the Hellenica
 1. 6. I is 406 b.c. In the intervening space only three-instead of four - notices of the beginnings of new years are found. The fourth has been variously located, but the arguments of Beloch ${ }^{1}$ have proved beyond reasonable doubt that it should be placed at 1. I. II. Here, therefore, begins the year 410 в.c., and the notices of 1.2.1, 1.3.1, and 1. 4. 2 refer respectively to 409,408 , and 407 b.c. The fact that Xenophon begins almost at the very close of 4 II b.c. makes it comparatively easy to understand why he omits to mark the beginning of the following year.

Historical Interpolations. - The references to events in Sicily (I. I. 37, 1. 5. 21, 2. 2. 24, and 2. 3.5) and in the Persian Empire (1. 2. 19 and 2. 1. 8-9) are universally condemned, ${ }^{2}$ and the single item in regard to affairs in Thessaly (2.3.4), while it has found some defense, is almost certainly spurious. ${ }^{8}$ The allusions to eclipses (1.6. I and 2. 3.4) and to the destruction of two temples of Athena by fire (1.3. I and I. 6. 1) stand on a different footing from the Persian and Sicilian notes, because they may, so far as we know, be accurate. ${ }^{4}$ Furthermore, allusions of a similar sort are not infrequent in Thucydides. ${ }^{5}$ The latter, however, is carrying out a declared and reasonable purpose in recording such events, whereas the references to them in Part I. of the

Dodwell (Annales Thucyd. et Xenophont.) and Haacke (De postremis belli peloponnesiaci annis), whose diverging views have each found many supporters (see Underhill, Introd. xl). It is Dodwell's view which has been adopted by Beloch (see above).
${ }^{1}$ Op. cit. Cp. also Mülleneisen, Die Zeitrechnung bei Th. und bei Xen. and Brownson, "The succession of Spartan nauarchs in Hellenica I.," Trans. Am. Phil. Assn. 34 (1903) 33 f. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See Introd. p. 25 f., and cp. especially Brückner, Riemann, Unger, Beloch, and Kruse, whom Keller, Underhill, and Blake among recent editors have followed. ${ }^{\mathbf{8}}$ This item, suspected by Brückner and Riemann, has been defended by Unger, but Kruse (whom Keller inclines to follow) urges convincing arguments against its genuineness. Kruse and Richter (op.cit.) also regard I. 2. 14 and I 2.18 as interpolations, but apparently without good reason. ${ }^{4}$ The allusions to eclipses (calculated to have occurred on April 15th, 406 and Sept. 3d, 404 B.c.) are certainly accurate ; regarding the other events nothing whatever is known. ${ }^{5}$ Thucydides speaks in his introduction (1.23) of the frequent eclipses of the sun

Hellenica are wholly pointless. They are also closely connected in every case with spurious chronological or historical data. For these reasons they have been generally pronounced interpolations. ${ }^{1}$

The list of the Spartan ephors in 2 3. 9-10 is doubtless to be regarded as spurious. ${ }^{2}$ On the other hand, a list of the Thirty Tyrants at Athens (2.3.2), which precedes that of the ephors by only a few sections and, largely for that reason, has been suspected, ${ }^{8}$ is in all probability genuine.

## APPENDIX V <br> A. MANUSCRIPTS, EDITIONS, AND AUXILIARIES <br> I. MANUSCRIPTS

The extant Mss. of the Hellenica are numerous, but all are of comparatively late date. Six are generally recognized as much superior to the others ${ }^{4}$ :
B. Parisinus 1738, in the National Library at Paris, dating from the beginning of the fourteenth century.
M. Ambrosianus A 4, at Milan, dated 1344.
D. Parisinus 1642, in the National Library at Paris, of the fifteenth century.
V. Marcianus 368, in the Library of St. Mark at Venice, written in the fourteenth or fifteenth century.
C. Parisinus 2080, in the National Library at Paris, dating from the beginning of the fifteenth century.
and the violent earthquakes which took place during the period of the Peloponnesian War, and he confirms this statement by mentioning from time to time in his narrative the occurrence of such phenomena. He also describes with some detail the burning of the temple of Hera at Argos (4. 133). In Parts II. and III. of the Hellenica no such incidents are referred to except where they immediately affect the course of events. Cp. 4. 3. 10 (an eclipse) and 3. 2. 24, 3. 3. 2, and 4. 7.4 (earthquakes).
${ }^{1}$ By Brückner, Müller, Riemann, Unger, Beloch, and Kruse. ${ }^{2}$ See Introd. p. 26. This opinion is well nigh universal, although Müller and Unger defend the passage after changing the erroneous $\delta \kappa \tau \omega$ of the Mss. to è $\pi \tau d . \quad{ }^{8}$ By Richter, Beloch, and Kruse. ${ }^{4}$ For fuller information see Keller, Ed. maj., praef., Underhill's Commentary, Introd. § 3, and Riemann, Qua rei criticae tractandae ratione Hellenicon Xenophontis textus constituendus sit.
F. Perizonianus 6, in the library of the University of Leyden, dated 1456.

Of these six Mss. B, M, D, and V are held to belong to a superior family, while $C$ and $F$ represent an inferior family.
$B$ is universally regarded as far the best of all the Mss. In many instances it is quite alone in preserving the true reading.

M is a very carefully written Ms . and ranks next in excellence to B .
D and V , which are closely related to each other, were manifestly copied from a defective archetype, and in many cases the scribes have filled up the lacunae which they found with conjectures of their own. $D$ is also full of minor mistakes and omissions, which show that it was never revised.

C is carelessly written and abounds in errors, yet occasionally it is the only one of the Mss. to preserve the true reading.

F is closely connected with C, but shows many readings which belong to the superior family only. Keller therefore supposes that the original from which it was copied had been corrected from a Ms. of the superior family.

In recent years two papyrus fragments have been discovered which contain small portions of the text included in these Selections:
$\Pi$, in the Imperial Library at Vienna, assigned to the early part of the third century a.d. ${ }^{1}$
$\dot{\pi}^{\prime}$, among the Oxyrhynchus papyri, assigned to the second century A.D. ${ }^{2}$
$\Pi$ contains fragments from the first book, beginning with I. 2. 2-3 and ending with 1. 5. 7-8. It is carelessly written and full of obvious mistakes, yet it sometimes ${ }^{8}$ confirms the readings of $B$ against the other Mss. and sometimes preserves a correct spelling where all the Mss. are in error.
$\pi^{\prime}$ is a small and practically valueless fragment from the third book, 3. 1. 3-7.

## 2. PRINCIPAL EDITIONS

## a. Complete Works of Xenophon

Junta: Florence, 1516 . Editio Princeps. By E. Boninus. - : Florence, 1527. Second edition, per Haeredes P. Juntae.

[^20]Aldus: Venice, 1525. By F. Asulanus.
Editio Halensis: Halle, 1540. Opera omnia in tres partes distincta. With preface by Philipp Melanchthon.
Editio Brylingeriana: Basle, 1545, apud Nicolaum Brylingerum. With Latin translation.
Castalio, Seb. : Basle, 1548 (?), 2 vols.
Stephanus, H.: Geneva, 1561, 2 vols. With Latin translation, notes, and index.
-: Geneva, 1581. Second edition.
Leunclavius, J. : Frankfort, 1 594, 2 vols. With Latin translation and Ms. variants.
Wells, E. : Oxford, 1691-1703, 5 vols. With introduction, maps, and notes, and the Annales Xenophontei of H. Dodwell.
Thieme, C. A.: Leipzig, 1763-4 (new edition, 1801-4), 6 vols. Ex recensione E. Wells, with dissertations and notes compiled by C. A. Thieme, preface by I. A. Ernesti, and Latin translation.

Schneider, J. G. : Leipzig, 1790-1849, 6 vols. Edited by Schneider, F. A. Bornemann, and G. A. Sauppe.

Gail, J. B. : Paris, 1797-1814, 7 vols. With the Latin translation of Leunclavius, a French translation, critical notes, and Ms. variants.
Weiske, B. : Leipzig, 1798-1804, 6 vols. With commentary.
Dickinson, A. : Edinburgh, 1811, io vols. With Latin translation.
Schaefer, G. H.: Leipzig, 18ii-13 (new edition, 1869-73), 6 vols. Editio stereotypa Tauchnitiana.
Bornemann, Kühner, and Breitenbach: Leipzig (Teubner), 183863, 4 vols. With Latin notes.
Didot Frères : Paris, 1839. With Latin translation and indices nominum et rerum.
SAUPPE, G.: Leipzig (Tauchnitz), 1865-7 (new edition, 1867-70), 5 vols. The best critical edition of Xenophon's complete works.
Marchant, E. C. : Oxford, 1900 -, 5 vols., of which 3 have thus far appeared.

## b. Separate Editions of the Hellenica

Aldus: Venice, 1 502. Editio Princeps of the Hellenica.
Morus, S. F. N.: Leipzig, i778. With index, notes, and the Latin translation of Leunclavius.
Dindorf, L.: Leipzig, 1824 (and Berlin 1831 and 1847).

Dindorf, L.: Oxford, 1853. Editio secunda auctior et emendatior. With introduction, indices, and full notes.
-: Leipzig, 1866. Editio tertia (Teubner text), with introduction and critical apparatus.
Cobet, C. G.: Amsterdam, 1862 (second edition, Leyden, 1880). With very bold and extensive conjectural emendations.
Büchsenschütz, B.: Leipzig (Teubner), 1860-91, 2 vols. The best German school edition.
Kurz, E.: Munich, 1873-4, 2 vols. A school edition, with German notes.
Breitenbach, L.: Berlin (Weidmann), 1873-84, 3 vols. With full introduction and notes, especially historical.
Zurborg, H.: Gotha (Perthes), 1882, Books I. II. With brief and excellent notes. Continued by
Grosser, R. : Books I.-VII., 1885-93, 3 vols. Continued by
Ziegeler, E. : Books III. IV., 1899.
Keller, O.: Leipzig (Teubner), 1890. Editio major, with critical preface and apparatus, and index verborum. By far the best critical edition of the Hellerica.
-: Editio minor (Teubner text), 1890-1901.
Sorof, F. G. : Leipzig, 1899-1901. Selections. With excellent notes.
Hailstone, H. : London, 1878-1903. Books I. II.
Underhill, G. E. : Oxford, 1888. Books I. II.
Manatt, I. J. : Boston, 1888. Books I.-IV., on the basis of Büchsenschütz's edition.
Bennett, C. E.: Boston, 1892. Books V.-VII., on the basis of Büchsenschütz's edition.
Blake, R. W. : Boston, 1894-6. An excellent edition of Books I. II.
Edwards, G. M. : Cambridge, 1899. Books I. II.
Underhill, G. E. : Oxford, 1900. A Commentary on the Hellenica (to accompany the text of Marchant), with introduction and appendices. Complete and most valuable.

## 3. AUXILIARIES

(Abbreviations: JP. = Jahrbücher für Philologie; RM. $=$ Rheinisches Museum für Philologie; ZAW. = Zeitschrift für Alterthumswissenschaft; Ph. = Philologus; $\mathrm{Hm} .=$ Hermes.)
Beloch, J.: Griechische Geschichte, Vol. II., Strassburg, 1897.
-: Die attische Politik seit Perikles, Leipzig, 1884.

Beloch, J.: Zur Chronologie der letzten Jahre des peloponnesischen Krieges, Ph. 43 (1884) 26I f.
Boerner, A.: De rebus a Giraecis inde ab anno 410 usque ad annum 403 a. Chr. n. gestis quaestiones historicae, Göttingen, 1894.
Boldt, P. : Xenophontis vitae specimen, Posen, 1885.
Breitenbach, L. : Xenophous Hellenika Buch I., verglichen mit Diodor und Plutarch, RM. 27 (1872) 497 f.
——: Das Jahr der Rückkehr des Alkibiades, JP. 105 (1872) 73 f.
Brückner, C. A. F. : De notationibus annorum in Hist. Graec. Xenophontis suspectis, Schweidnitz, 1838.
-: De Xen. Hell. lib. I. at II. animadversiones, ZAW. 6 (1839) 394 f.
Büchsenschütz, B. : Xenophons Griechische Geschichte, Ph. 14 (1859) 508 f.
-: Xenophons Hellenika und Plutarchos, JP. 103 (1871) 217 f.
Buchwald, F.: Ueber den Sprachgebrauch Xenophons in den Hellenika, Görlitz, 1892.
Busolt, G. : Griechische Geschichte, Vol. III. 1-2, Gotha, 1897-1904.
Cobet, C. G. : Variae Lectiones, Leyden, 1854 (2d ed., 1873).
--: Novae Lectiones, Leyden, 1858.
Croiset, A. : Xenophon. Son caractere et son talent, Paris, 1873.
Dakyns, H. G.: The Works of Xenophon (translated), Vols. I.-II., London, 1890-92.
-: Xenophon, in E. Abbott's Hellenica, London, 1898.
Dittenberger, W.: Die Chronologie der Platonischen Dialoge, Hm. 16 (1881) 32 If .

Dobrée, P. P. : Notae ad Xenophontem, Cambridge, 1831.
Fabricius, E.: Die Befreiung Thebens, RM. 48 (1893) 448 f.
Fabricius, O.: Zur religiösen Anschauungsweise des Xenophons, Königsberg, 1870.
Fellner, T.: Zu Xenophons Hellenika, in Historische Untersuchungen zu A. Schaefers Jubiläum, Bonn, 1882.
Gemöll, W.: Schulwörterbuch zu Xenophons Anabasis, Hellenika, und Memorabilien, Leipzig, 1901 .
Grosser, R.: Ueber den Anfang und die ursprüngliche Gestalt der Hellenika, JP. 95 (1867) 737 f.
-: Zur Charakteristik der Epitome von Xenophons Hellenika, Barmen, 1873.

Hartman, J. J.: Analecta Xenophontea, Leyden, 1887.
-: Analecta Xenophontea Nova, Leyden, 1889.
Herbst, L. : Die Schlacht bei den Arginusen, Hamburg, 1855.
Hertlein, F. K.: Observationes criticae in Xen. Historiam Graecam, I.-HII., Wertheim, 1836-45.
--: Xenophontis Historia Graeca, JP. 75 (1857) 694 f.
-: Zur Litteratur des Xenophons, JP. 95 (1867) 461 f.
Herwerden, H. van : Symbolae exegeticae et criticae ad Xen. Historiam Graecam, Leyden, 1888.
Holwerda, I. H.: Observatio critica in Xen. Hellenica, Amsterdam, 1866.

Judeich, W.: Kleinasiatische Studien, Marburg, 1892.
Jungclaussen, W. T.: De Campio et Buichsenschützio Xenophontis Hellenicorum interpretibus, Meldorf, 1862.
Kruse, H.: Ueber Interpolationen in Xenophons Hellenika, Kiel, 1887.
Kurz, E.: Zu Xenophons Griech. Gesch. Kritisches und Exegetisches, I. II , Munich, 1873-75.

Lange, E. : Xenophon: Sein Leben, seine Geistesart und seine Werke, Gütersloh, 1900.
Laves, A. : Xenophons Hellenika, Buch 1. 11., Lyck, 1867.
-: Kritische Beiträge zu Xenophons Hellenika, Posen, 1882 and 1884.

Liebhold, K. J.: Zu Xenophons Hellenika, JP. 115 (1877) 158 f., 375 f., 725 f. and $i b .117$ (1878) 593 f.
Lohse, H.: Quaestiones chronologicae ad Xen. Hellenica pertinentes, Leipzig, 1905.
Madvig, J. N. : Adversaria critica ad scriptores Graecos, Copenhagen, 187 I .
Meyer, E. : Gesihichte des Altertums, Vols. IV.-V., Stuttgart, 1901 -02.
Mülleneisen, J.: Die Zeitrechnung bei Thukydides und bei Xenophon im ersten Theile der Hellenika, Viersen, 1895.
Muller, E. H. O.: De Xen. historiae Graecae parte priore, Leipzig, 1856.

Niebuhr, B. G.: Ueber Xenophons Hellenika, Kleine historische und philologische Schriften I. 464 f., Bonn, 1828.
Nitsche, W.: Ueber die Abfassung von Xenophons Hellenika, Berlin, 1871.

Отто, A. : Zu Xenophons Hellenika, JP. 135 (1887) 28 f.

Peter, C. : Commentatio critica de Xen. Hellenicis, Halle, 1837.
Richards, H. : The Hellenics of Xenophon, Classical Review 15 (1901) 197 f.
Richter, E. A.: Krilische Untersuchungen uber die Interpolationen in den Schriften Xenophons, Leipzig, 1873.
Riemann, O.: Qua rei criticae tractandae ratione Hellenicon Xenophontis textus constituendus sit, Paris, 1879.
Roquette, A. : De Xenophontis vita, Kònigsberg, 1884.
Saupre, G. : Lexilogus Xenophonteus, Leipzig, 1869.
Schneider, R.: Quaestiones Xenophonteae, Bonn, 1860.
Schulze, C. P.: Quaestiones grammaticae ad Xenophontem pertinentes, Berlin, 1888.
Schwartz, E.: Quellenuntersuchungen sur griechischen Geschichte, RM. 44 (1889) 104 f.
Simon, J. A. : Xenophon-Studien, I.-IV., Düren, 1887-89.
-: Zu Xenophons Hellenika, Ph. 43 (1884) 725 f.
-: Zu Xenophons Hellenika, JP. 137 (1888) 8i2 f.
Stern, E. von: Geschichte der spartanischen und thebanischen Hegemonie, Dorpat, 1884.
-: Xenophons Hellenika und die böotische Geschichtsibberlieferung, Dorpat, 1887.
Swoboda, H. : Zur Geschichte des Epameinondas, RM. 55 (1900) 460 f.
Thiemann, K.: Wörterbuch su Xenophons Hellenika (4th ed.), Leipzig, 1898.
Unger, G. F.: Die historischen Glosseme in Xenophons Hellenika, Sitzungsberichte der k. bayrischen Akademie, philos.-philolog. Classe, 1882, 237 f.
Vollbrecht, W.: De Xen. Hellenicis in epitomen non coactis, Hannover, 1874.
Weil, H.: Zur Beurtheilung des Xenophons, ZAW. 9 (1842) 143 f.
Wolp, F. A.: Epistola ad J. G. Schneiderum de Xenophontis Hellenicis, Kleine Schriften 1. 316 f., Halle, 1869.

## B. CRITICAL NOTES

## BOOK I

1. 2. тоútwv: del. Keller after Kondos. - म゙vocye: Mss. ïnute, Kel.
 changed by Kel., after Riemann, to $\dot{\eta} v v \sigma \epsilon v$ and $\tilde{\eta} r v \tau o v$. In all these brownson. hellenica - $\mathbf{2 4}$
 admittedly unusual，and no other instance is cited where the verb ávoíy has a similar meaning．Such a meaning，however，it has in modern Greek，and the English nautical phrase to＂open out＂is nearly or quite parallel．The basic idea of the verb seems to be to get clear and going， whatever the actual or threatened hindrance may have been．This suits all three passages somewhat better than the interpretations proposed by Blass（Neue Jahrb． 137 （1888） 465 f．）and Schenkl（Bursian＇s Jahresber． 17 （1879）10）．It is self－evident that the three passages support one another strongly．
 ${ }_{\xi} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {deotrov }}$ ：del．Kel．after Briickner，who pointed out that all the events described since the $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho q$ of $\S 2$ must have filled more than a fore－ noon．But it is entirely easy，as many scholars have seen，to assume that the battle of $\S 5$ took place on the day after the arrival of Dorieus．
 But $\mu$＇$\chi \rho$ c alone，and therefore temporal，serves the purpose，for in this passage the temporal meaning necessarily involves the local．

1．8．©páov入入os ：Kel．after Dindorf．©páбu入os or ©pacú入os，Mss． The form with $\lambda \lambda$ ，however，is found in $\Pi(3.6)$ and is supported by inscriptional evidence．

1．13．depóat ：Kel．$\dot{a} \theta$ คóal，Mss．Cp．Kühner－Blass，22．10．Simi－ larly $\boldsymbol{\eta} \theta$ рoíkel § 32 ，etc．

1．23．кầa ：Kel．after Bergk．ка入á，Mss．
1．27， 28 ：printed as in the Mss．Dindorf，followed by Kel．and

 тараүүe入入ó $\mu \epsilon v a$ after $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ．If the interpretation of the whole passage indicated in the notes be accepted，these proposed changes are both unnecessary and improper．

1．33．үv lationen in Xenophons Hellenika，p． 12.

1．35．Dekelelas：suspected by Kel．and other scholars from a mis－ taken impression that Piraeus cannot be seen from Decelea．$\lambda \in \eta \lambda a \sigma i a s$, Otto．－кal K $\lambda^{e ́ a p x o v: ~ M s s . ~ K \lambda \epsilon ́ a \rho \chi o v, ~ K e l . ~ a f t e r ~ D i n d o r f . ~}$

1．36．$\Sigma_{\eta \sigma \tau \delta v}$ ：Mss．${ }^{*} A \beta v \delta o v$, Blake after Grote．Kel．keeps $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$
oróv, noting, however, "corruptum videtur hoc nomen." The mistake is more probably Xenophon's than that of a copyist. Cp. App. II. p. 354.

1. 37 : del. Kel. Cp. Introd. p. 25 and note on 2. 10.
 $\mu$ evos: Kel. after Weiske. Ėбouévoos, Mss. Madvig emends the passage
 тe入табтаî́ èбo $\mu$ évos.
2. 5. ßonenodurшv: Kel. with Mss. $\beta$ oŋ $\eta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a s \mu c \tau a ́, ~ M a d v i g . ~$
1. 6. ch "Equoov ßopeciv: Mss. Bon $\theta$ civ, Kel. after Hartman.
 Simon. - кal इeitrofortac 8vo: Kel. with Mss., although he deems the passage corrupt. Del. Büchsenschütz. кaì ai $\sum e \lambda$., Riemann.

 áte入ê̂éEocav, Madvig.

 $\dot{a}^{\pi} \pi \dot{\ell} \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$, Feder. . $\Pi$, however, agrees with the Mss.
1. 14 and 18 : del. Richter and Kruse. Cp. App. IV. p. 362, note 3.
2. 19: del. Kel. Cp. Introd. p. 25.
3. I. тô . . . \& $\mu$ жtodovtos: del. Kel. Cp. Introd. p. 25, note 3 and
 Introd. p. 24 f .

 Schwyzer, Gramm. der att. Inschr. 67. 1.
4. 10. indtas: Kel. and $\Pi$. inteís (as frequently), Mss.
 del. Kel. after Kurz, largely on the assumption that Pasippidas was at this time an exile; but see note ad loc. and.cp. Beloch, Philologus 43 (1884) 268 f. and Brownson, Trans. Am. Phil. Assn. 34 (1903) 33 f.
 change is for the worse seems to be shown by the omission of the article with vav̂s. - кal $\mathrm{ev}^{\text {' }} \mathrm{Av}$ vávঠpq: as in the Mss. Kel. follows Trieber in inserting the words after $\boldsymbol{o} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{s}$.



 other Mss.


1. 2. бvoнa: del. Kel. after Holwerda. A manifest gloss on Boótoos to indicate that it is the name of an individual. - äyenot : del. Kel.
 Mss. © $\nu \nu$ ס́́ovtal $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu, ~ \Pi . ~$
 Dindorf.

1. 6. $\mu \dot{\mu} \psi \eta$ таи: CFMDV. $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, П.
1. II. "Xet: Mss. éxou, II.



 mann assume a lacuna in the archetype. It seems clear that $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda o \gamma^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}$ $\dot{\omega}$ cannot be right, whether the verb be taken in a middle or a passive sense (see Büchsenschütz's note); for manifestly this is not the defense that was offered either by or for Alcibiades in 411 b.c. The words may well have been a marginal note, indicating the subject of this and the following sections.
2. 16. тotoútots . . . olototep : Morus. towîtos . . . oioбтє $\rho$, Mss.
 olous סúvaб日al ámod入úval, Kurz. These changes appear to be for the worse.
 Blake and H. Richards (Class. Rev. 15 (1901) 200). кıvסvvev́qau, Kel. with Mss.
1. 18. єjetws: Mss. єủӨús, $\Pi$.
1. 19. aùrov̂ (or aủrov̂) : Mss. except C. éavtô̂, Kel., following C.
1. 20. $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \tau \varsigma \rho v:$ Mss. $\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau o v$, Kel. after Dindorf.
1. 21. тeтapte: Kel. after Cobet. трíqu, Mss. The change is shown to be necessary by the allusions in §§ 12 and 20 ; yet it may be that Xenophon himself thoughtlessly wrote $\tau \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$.
1. 22. X $\mathbf{x}$ pas: del. Kel. after Cobet.
 Mss．Phocaea，however，was in the hands of the Lacedaemonians（cp． 6． 33 and Thuc．8．31）；hence we need a verb meaning＇to invest＇ rather than＇to fortify．＇
 тàs ällas，Grosser．Kel．following Hartman assumes a lacuna after


5．15．＇Hıóva：Mss．Téwv，Kel．after Schneider，because Diod． （13．76）records the capture of Delphinium and that of Teos in imme－ diate succession．But assuming that Diod．is right，it is wholly impos－ sible to infer therefrom that Xen．（or the text of Xen．）is wrong．Eion at one time figured prominently in the story of the war（Thuc．4． 102 ff ．）， and，when last mentioned by Thuc．（5．10），it was in the hands of the Athenians．That its capture should be recorded here is by no means surprising，considering Xenophon＇s references to the operations of Thrasybulus in the Thraceward region（1．12，4．9）and his frequent allusions to events which are not connected with the main course of his narrative（1．32，2．14，2．18）．

5．16．Atovia：Mss．$\Lambda v a i a v, ~ K e l . ~ a f t e r ~ Z e u n e, ~ b e c a u s e ~(1) ~ D i o d . ~$ （13．74）names Lysias in place of Leon，and（2）Lysias，and not Leon， appears in the list of the generals who fought at Arginusae（6．30）． Yet Leon＇s name is mentioned again in 6． 16 and，furthermore，is attested by the Scholiast on Aristid．Panath．162．19．See note on 6． 30.
 1． 5 ．

5． 21 ：del．Kel．Cp．Introd．p． 25.
 kal．．．ไê̂v：del．Kel．Cp．Introd．p． 24.

6．2．ov ．．．vf̂es：del．Kel．after Cobet，but without sufficient reason．
 －то入入ákıs ．．．то仑̂то：the Ms．reading of this vexed passage is as


 this is impossible is universally recognized；for áreípovs ．．．éкєî simply repeats the preceding，and there is no conjunction to connect
mapanimтocev and кıvסvvevorev. The text as printed adopts from Jacobs
 the insertion of $\tau \epsilon$ after кıvסvvevootv. This is a minimum of change. For, whatever the reading adopted (unless it be to delete the whole clause from $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon i \rho o v s ~ t o ~ r o v ̂ \tau o), ~ a ~ c o n j u n c t i o n ~ m u s t ~ b e ~ i n s e r t e d ~ s o m e-~$ where; and it is more likely that a $\tau \epsilon$ should have fallen out before $\tau \iota$ than any other conjunction in any other place. Aside from this insertion only two letters are changed from the Ms. reading. The first of these changes (from $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \pi \tau \tau \eta \delta \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$ to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ) is inevitable unless one deletes $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \rho o u s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \dot{e x ~} \kappa \hat{i}$; and the second follows from the first. The sense of the text as printed seems better than that obtained by more violent emendations or by deletions. For the Lacedaemonians are said to make a great mistake "in changing their admirals," because often, as in the present case, the change is for the worse. We now expect some proof of this statement in the shape of a comparison between the man replaced and his successor, - all the more because the words are those, not of the enemies of Callicratidas, but of the friends of Lysander, who could hardly fail to have something to say in his praise. - Some of the other changes proposed are as follows : $\dot{\alpha} v \tau^{\prime}$




 каî кıvסvvev́otév тı ктé., Riemann; [ả̃eípovs . . . סì̀ tov̂тo], Em. Müller
 roútov $\delta$ : : Mss. тoútov $\delta \eta$ и́, Kel. after Liebhold.
6. II. $\delta_{e}(\xi \sim \mu e v:$ Mss. except V. $\delta \in i \xi \rho \mu \epsilon v$, Kel., following V.
 whom Kel. adopts the change from $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ to $\dot{a} \tau^{\prime}$. It is to be noted, however, that $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$ is not found in Part I. of the Hell.

 brackets, following Kruse. The phrase may be, as Kruse thinks, a gloss on éautov $\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon}$ äpXovtos. Yet кєívov (instead of éкeivov) hardly proves anything, for the forms of éкeîvos are often carelessly written in the Mss. Thus in 2. 1. 13 all the Mss. have кeivov (which Kel. emends to éкeivov) and in 1. 3. io all but one have кeivqv. For the
not infrequent use of the demonstrative instead of the reflexive see on I. 27.
6. 15. 8mws . . . ф'́yot : del. Kel. after Cobet. That the phrase is not absolutely necessary seems hardly a sufficient reason for condemning it.
6. 16. $\mathbf{\Delta}$ (wv : Mss. Kel. suspects that the reading is corrupt. See, however, on 5. 16.
6. 21. म̈vocyov: Mss. inntov, Kel. after Riemann. ívíy ${ }^{\text {avov, }}$ Zurborg. See on I. 2. - tis re: Kel. with Mss. tás te yáp, Breitenbach
 note ad lac. After ¿̀ $\gamma \in \iota \rho o ́ \mu e v o r ~ B u ̈ c h s e n s c h u ̈ t z ~ a s s u m e s ~ a ~ l a c u n a . ~-~$ tuxóvres кrd. : Kel. with Mss. oi \&è tuxóvres . . . cioßávres édíwoov, H. Blass.
6. 26. dvriov тीs Mvriגtupp: del. Kel. after Krüger. The words are geographically impossible and have evidently been borrowed, through a copyist's error, from the following section.
6. 27. dvriov tifs $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma$ ßov . . . akspq : del. Kel. after Krüger. divtiov
 Ma入éq ẳkpq has been repeated by a careless copyist from the preceding section.
 Blass, 343, s.v. oiкí̧w. oiкєîтal, Mss. oiкฑंтє!, Kel. ed. maj. (and
 after Liebhold and Nauck. oviઠ̇̀v $\mu \grave{\eta}$ кáкıov oikıєîtau, A and Aldina, followed by Cobet, Kurz, and Zurborg. oik $\hat{\eta}$, Riemann. סcaкé $\quad$ тa, H. Richards. oủঠ̀̀v кáкцov oiкєîtau, Büchsenschütz.
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \nu, \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \delta \dot{́}$, Blake.
6. 34. тஸ̂v జaสف̂v: Kel. after Cobet. naô̂v, Mss. Cp. Büchsenschütz ad loc.
 some editors follow.


7. 13. клffoเv: Kel. after Dobrée. ėкклдбíav, Mss.
 Goldhagen, followed by Kurz. None of the passages which have been adduced as showing $\pi \varepsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota v$ in the sense of $\mu \varepsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota v$ is in any way parallel to this one.
7. 19. ret $\theta_{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathrm{E}$ : Mss. $\pi i \theta_{\eta \sigma} \theta \epsilon$, Kel. after Bisschop. But cp. Plato, Rep. 480 A and Phaedo 89 B, cited by Büchsenschütz. - кגiv $\mu$ mav : Kel. from schol. on Dem. Olynth. 2. 14 in Cod. Patm. miav, Mss.



 hardly be questioned (see note ad loc.) that évòs . . . $\dot{a} \pi o \lambda o \gamma \eta \dot{\sigma} a \sigma \theta a t$ is a later addition. The preceding clause, however, seems to be genuine. For a division of the trial day into three parts - for the reason indicated by the interpolator - is not only natural, but was probably not unusual in Athenian practice. It is in line with the regular allowance
 and Harpocration thereon) to prosecutor and defendant, and also with the procedure in cases involving blood-guiltiness, where the trial covered three days, allotted in much the way which is described here. Further, if Xenophon wrote only тov́т由v . . . Éкarrov, it is almost impossible to find a reason for the addition of the following phrases.

 Blake. oủk àmoגoûvтą, Kurz. The Ms. error is most easily explained as due to the influence of $\dot{a} \delta \iota \kappa o \hat{v} v \tau \epsilon s$ (above), transforming $\dot{a} \delta i ́ x \omega s$.

 with BCMDV. і̀ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma, F$.


 It seems, on the contrary, that the inf. after ov $\phi_{\eta \mu}$ may be justly treated as an obj. inf., with fut. meaning whether it be pres. or aor., whereas this is impossible if the neg. is wanting. See Introd. IV. I and KG. 389 , Anm. 7.


7. 31. трıทрápXøv: del. Kel. after Breitenbach. There is no reason, however, for assuming that Thrasybulus and Theramenes were the only trierarchs left behind. - toúrovs : edd. тov́тoss, Mss. тov̂to, Kel.
 mpós，Kel．ed．min．after Nauck．

7．32．таребксviravro ：Mss．except F．mapexcheviбavto，Kel．with F．－ijwip ．．．mporrax ©ivre：：del．Kel．ed．maj．after Richter et al． In his ed．min．Kel．retains this phrase，but brackets，after Nauck，кai ．．．d́voupécews．It is difficult，however，to find a reason for the in－ sertion of kai ．．．div．by any commentator，whereas the $\tau \hat{\eta}$ avirv̂ $\psi \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \psi$ might well have seemed to demand an explanatory note such as is
 it must be held to refer to the failure of the generals to sail against the enemy；but the generals were on trial for their failure to rescue the shipwrecked，and каi ．．．dvaupérews shows that this fact was clearly in the speaker＇s mind at this point of his argument．

7．33．obx ．．．тpootaxNvra：del．Kel．after Brickner et al．The phrase is not only open to the objections urged against $\eta \pi \epsilon \rho . . . \pi \rho o \sigma-$ rax $\theta$ évra above，but is rendered still more suspicious by the personal acc．（instead of the regular gen．）after carayroives．To understand ikavoìs yevopívous，with Breitenbach，as the subj．of dyrwuoveiv seems impossible in the absence of the article．

## BOOK II

1．7．trov．．．таре入ү入थமठтwv：del．Kel．Cp．Introd．p． 24.
1． 8 and 9：del．Kel．Cp．Introd．p． 25.
1．10．Iml ．．．＇A Aloflov：del．Kel．Cp．Introd．p． 24 f．
 Marchant．The prep．is undoubtedly awkward，but is used with a somewhat similar meaning in § 17 and in 1．6．5．Breitenbach seems to be wholly wrong in assuming the loss at this point of an allusion to the reënforcement of the Athenian fleet by 30 ships．It is true that at Aegospotami the Athenians had a fleet of 180 ；but 130 of their ships survived the battle of Arginusae and to this number must be added Conon＇s 40 ships．The difference between 170 and 180 is too slight to be made the basis for any conjecture．

1．14．©es elxc：Mss．，Kel．ed．maj．ìs $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{X}} \boldsymbol{f}$ ，Kel．ed．min．after Nauck．

1．15．mpooßo入n̂：del．Kel．after Dindorf．But the phrases $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}$ vigre－
 to parallel and justify $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau \in \rho a i ́ q ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ．


1. 18. $\dagger$ ydp . . . $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{v}$ : del. Kruse, who argues that in the light of the first sentence of $\S 16$ the reason here given is no reason at all. тapfloav: Mss. mapŷбav, Kel. after Cobet.
1. 23. kal . . . $\bar{\eta}$ : del. Kel. after Richter, who argues that this
 that the Athenians kept up the proceeding here described for five whole days, and, therefore, that the battle took place late in the day, and not in the morning. It seems absolutely unnecessary, however, to interpret Xenophon's words so strictly.
1. 24. kal . . . dmavfyovto: del. Kel. after Richter.
1. 25. $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau$. . . $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda_{\text {tv }}$ : del. Kel. after Kruse.
 V corr., followed by Kel.
 But see note ad loc.
1. 3. кратffaveres то入ıopkiq: del. Kruse et al. Kel. comments "nescio an recte."-'Iotıaıâs: Kel. ed. min. after Cobet. 'I $\sigma$ тıaićas, Kel. ed. maj. after Schneider. 'I $\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau}$ t'́as, BCMDV.
 entirely. Xen. is not in the habit of describing or identifying the places which he mentions, and he could hardly have felt it necessary to do so
 Kel. after Cobet. 'Акаס $\eta \mu$ ía, Mss. Cp. Meisterhans-Schwyzer, 15. 30.
1. เo. «l $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : Mss. тov̂ $\mu \dot{\eta}$, one inferior Ms. [ $\epsilon i] \mu \eta$, Kel. after Dindorf. iò $\mu \dot{\eta}$, Hertlein. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ov̉, Hartman. The Ms. reading is undoubtedly loose and inaccurate, but it is not per se bad Greek nor is the author's meaning obscure.
2. 13. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ lov тfीs $\Delta$ ak@vikifs: del. Kel. after Cobet. See note ad loc. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ (only) del. Köppen, followed by many edd. Supposing,
 stand the insertion of $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$.


1. 16. $\pi \lambda_{\epsilon}(\omega)$ Mss. $\pi \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon_{0} \nu$, Kel. after Franke. $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v$, Dindorf. But see GS. 36.
1. 19: Kel., after Otto, assumes a lacuna before ép $\rho \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} о$.
2. 24 : del. Kel. Cp. Introd. p. 25.
3. I. 甲 . . . ${ }^{\mathbf{s i n}}$ : del. Kel. Cp. Introd. p. 24 f.
4. 2: del. Richter, Beloch, Kruse, Blake. Kel. retains the §, but brackets roùs $\pi$ arpiovs, after Nauck. Cp. App. IV. p. 363.
5. 4: del. Dindorf, Kruse. Kel. retains the §, but comments " nescio an recte interpolatum existiment." Cp. Introd. p. 25 and App. IV. p. 362.
6. 5 : del. Kel. Cp. Introd. p. 25. - aữఱ̂v: Kel. and most edd. aüt $\omega v$, the Ms. reading, is defended by Kühner-Gerth, 455, Anm. 3, Schulze, Quaest. gram. ad Xen. pertinentes, p. 8, et al.
7. 7. фpoupeiv: del. Kel. after Brïckner.
1. 8. $\pi \lambda \eta \eta v \delta \omega \delta a k a$ : del. Kel. after Kruse.
1. 9-10. els $\delta$. . . kandideverv: del. Kel. Cp. Introd. pp. 24, 26 and App. IV. p. 363.
2. 14. mapeooupívovs defixcooau: Schäfer and Cobet insert äv.
1. 16. oht $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi t \rho:$ Mss., followed by almost all edd. oícı $\langle\hat{\eta}\rangle \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, Kel. after G. Hermann.


 before кovvovou's. The Ms. reading is defended by Dindorf, Schulze, et al.
 though he comments on Kan's emendation "fortasse recte." Dindorf
 substitutes $\delta \in \hat{i} \pi v a$ for ö $\pi \lambda a$. The Ms. reading seems incapable of any rational explanation.

1. 29. ávopштоь: Kel. after Cobet. ävepwiol, Mss.
1. 31. xal . . . ג $\mu \phi о \boldsymbol{\text { ® }}$ povv: del. Kel. after Morus.
1. 34. keci: Dindorf and Cobet. ékeívy, Mss., followed by Kel.
 кє́vau, Kel. after Schmidt. таракךкоє́vаи, Wyttenbach. тарєүvшкévaи, Weidner.

1. 48. mplv кal . . . $\pi \rho l v$ єls: Kel. after Dindorf. $\pi \rho i ̀ v a ̀ v ~ к a i ́ . ~ . ~ . ~$ $\pi \rho i v$ ầ $\boldsymbol{v}$ cis, Mss. Co. GMT. 702. - aúrfis: Kel. after Schmidt. ס $\rho a \chi \mu \eta ̄ s$, Mss. á $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, Wyttenbach. Cp. Richards, Class. Rev. 15 (1901) 201. - סıardirtetv: Kel. after Dindorf. סıà roứcuv (or סià то̂̃то), Mss.

1. 54. ol \$voska : del. Kel. after Naber. The redundancy, however, seems to be that common to legal formulas.
1. 6. Iкаuros dad : Kel. after Laves assumes a lacuna between these words.
 Hermann, accepted by many edd. ìv roîs 'Eגevocvious, Classen. têv


 Wyttenbach. Other emendations of similar purport are offered by Kyprianos, Otto, and van Herwerden. The difficulty which all these critics seek to avoid - of giving $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu a i v o v \tau o ~ a ~ p e r s o n a l ~ o b j . ~-~$ appears to be imaginary.

1. 26. Alłuvfuv: Kel. and all edd. after Palmer. ${ }^{*} \xi \omega$ vé $\omega v$, Mss.
1. 30. т̀̀ cüひvขpov: Mss. тò ev́ఱ́vข Herwerden.
1. 34. ă $\lambda \lambda \omega v$ : Mss. "A $\lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$, Kel. after Madvig.
1. 38. \&s : om. $V$ and some edd.
 Kel. and most edd.
1. 40. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \kappa \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon v:$ Mss. $\dot{\eta} \delta i ́ \kappa \eta \kappa \in \nu, \mathrm{Kel}$. and edd. after Dindorf. But cp. § 20, where (in almost the same phrase) the aor. is used in a negative clause, immediately followed by a series of perfs. in affirmative clauses.
 $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda v \theta \epsilon v$, most of the better Mss. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon$, Kel. after Laves. $\pi a \rho a-$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda \nu \sigma \theta \epsilon$, Dindorf. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \eta \lambda a ́ \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$, Sauppe. $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda i{ }^{\prime} \eta \tau \epsilon$, Cobet, followed by many edd.

## BOOK III

1. 5. ouviyaye $\mu \hat{v}$ : Mss. $\mu e ́ v$ del. Kel. after Cobet. See note ad loc.




 by Kel.
 cet. Mss.
1. 2. ma is: Kel. after Jacobs. кai $\dot{\&}$ (or $\dot{\Psi}$ ), Mss. - $\delta v$ rit Kel.
 regards the Doric forms, which are inconsistently used and uncertain, as perhaps due to copyists.
 $\chi \omega \lambda$ òs $\beta a \sigma u$ cúvn, Tell.
1. 3. 8тov: Kel. after Morus. ötou, Dindorf. ö́ovs, Mss.
1. 4. cinav : Kel. with B. clrov, cet. Mss. - Tepaorobv: Kel. with BCFM. Гepauбtóv, DV.
1. 5 : Kel. following Cobet and Hartman inserts after adoó $\lambda \omega \mathrm{s}: \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$

 тар’ Ė $\mu \mathbf{v}$ v̂ before $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau t v)$. Madvig, Büchsenschütz, and Marchant also assume a lacuna. The essential thing, however, is to quiet the suspicions of Agesilaus, and it seems unnecessary to refer here to any pledge from him. If a truce is ultimately concluded, it goes without saying that it will necessarily be two-sided. And there is no serious difficulty in understanding in $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} s \sigma_{\hat{\eta} s}^{\alpha} \rho X \hat{\eta} s$ the region of which the Spartans are de facto in possession; Tissaphernes does not mean to keep the truce anyway, and he loses nothing by employing this conciliatory phrase, taking his cue from Agesilaus' $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \rho$ ' $\grave{\eta} \mu i ̂ v$ 'E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta$.
 Ages. I. I1. See Introd. p. 13, note 2.

2. 12. aúrô̂: Kel. after Hertlein. av̉rồ, Mss. See on 2. 3. 5.-
 edd. follow, from Ages. 1. 16.
1. 13. au่тоจิ: Kel. with Mss. aűrẹ, Köppen, followed by most edd.
1. 20. ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda \lambda$ ov : Kel. with Mss. 'Adaiov, Tell, from Plut. Ages. 12 ; but see Schwabe, Neue Jahrb. 107 (1873) 381 f.
1. 22. $\delta$ गे $\gamma \epsilon \mu \omega v$ : inserted by most edd. from Ages. 1. 36. einc, Mss., followed by Kel. The subject, however, cannot be Tissaphernes, for cp . § 25 .
 ${ }_{a}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \rho \chi^{\prime} \in \sigma \theta a t, \mathrm{Mss} .$, which Kel. retains, marking a lacuna. Other conjec-

 Simon．It seems clear，as Kurz contends，that the participial clause should state the reason for the eagerness of the Athenians；and the conjecture of Laves has been adopted in the text merely because it offers a readable and simple version of that reason．

5．3．re入\＆大at：Kel．with Mss．ì̇ávau，Schneider，on which Kel． comments＂fortasse recte．＂$\lambda \epsilon \eta \lambda a \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a$, Dindorf．

5．4．Hipfavro：Kel．with Mss．そjpछav rov̂，Cobet．
5．5．Av $\Delta_{\text {ekedelq．}}$ ：Kel．with Mss．tîs éк $\lambda$ deias，Breitenbach．
5．6．Mŋ̀ıâs：Kel．M ${ }^{2} \lambda_{t}$ éas，Mss．
入elte，Dindorf．
 Mss．
 $\chi$ д́́pıv ．．．$\mu$ eí $_{\text {íva }}$ ），Cobet．

5．19．intercov：Kel．and edd．after Stephanus．＊＊$\pi \in \sigma o v, ~ M s s$.
5．22．$\tau \delta$ ：Kel．with Mss．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，Tillmanns．
5．23．aírôv：Kel．and most edd．avitஸ̂v，Mss．See on 2．3．5．


## BOOK IV

 lowed by Kel．See，however，Introd．IV．E．

2．6．8rt ．．．eúxpıvaiv：Kel．with Mss．єiv кpivav，Otto．ört tov̀s

 the Ms．reading be retained，the meaning of єíkpıveiv still remains uncertain．An essential difficulty，as Büchsenschütz notes，is the fact that the prizes are stated to have been offered for equipment，not for the personnel or discipline of the troops．



 note is based largely upon the reference to the Tegeans and Mantine－ ans．Manifestly these were the only allies whom the Lacedaemonians had picked up at the time referred to in $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \hat{j} \sigma a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \mu \phi \dot{a} \lambda^{\prime} o v$ ；for

Xenophon＇s point is to show how completely the plan of taking the Lacedaemonians all alone had failed，and he would surely have men－ tioned more accessions to their ranks if there had been more at this time．It would seem，therefore，that $\tau \dot{\eta} v \dot{d} \mu \phi \dot{u} \lambda o v$ cannot refer to the isthmus or even to the neighborhood of Corinth．The Lacedaemo－ nians would never have advanced so far before uniting their entire force．Secondly，the allies from Epidaurus，Troezen，and Hermion （cp．§ 16）could hardly，unmolested by Argives or Corinthians，have joined the Lacedaemonians at Phlius or Sicyon．It is this fact which suggests the inference that the Lacedaemonians marched through Argo－ lis on their way northward，i．e．in order to pick up these contingents． Thirdly，$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$（ $\dot{\xi} \xi$ ，from Sparta）should refer to an early stage of the northward march，－a fact which condemns at once many of the con－ jectures above cited．Finally，the fact that d $\mu \phi i i^{\prime} \lambda o s$ is an unusual word is in its favor，and the term may perfectly well have been applied to a road which was not actually＂sea－girt．＂To quote the stock example， Rhode Island is not an island．

2．15．dme入Oovres：Kel．with Mss．è $\pi c \lambda \theta$ óvres，vulg．See，however， Grote，9． 129.

2．17．Inol ．．．тapfloav：del．Kel．after Naber．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} v:$ Dindorf and Kel．assume a lacuna after the word．
 with Mss．Suájłas，Madvig．סue入á́as，Otto．

3．7．＂̈quyov，ol 8 ：Kel．with Mss．oúdi，Schneider from Ages．2． 3.


 2．5）．

3．13．vuk̂̂v：Kel．after Hartman．vuxứท，Mss．
3．16．кal пө̂s：Kel．with B．каì $\pi \hat{\eta}$（ $\pi \hat{\eta}$ ），CFMDV．каì $\gamma$ áp， Ages 2．9，followed by some edd．кai $\pi \omega$ s，Breitenbach．

3．17．kal $\pi$ devres ．．．dyévovto：del．Breitenbach et al．
5．I．Koplvoov ：Kel．after Sauppe．тîs Kop．，C．тov̂ Kop．，BFMDV．
5．10．кdんv：Kel．after Lennep．к $\kappa \hat{\omega} v$ ，Mss．－dvpike：Kel．after Schneider．$\dot{a} v \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$, Mss．

5． 11 ．Zrafe：Kel．after Schneider．mapéraḱ，Mss．



 senschütz suggests $\pi \rho \rho o_{0} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ov. The difficulty is that Mantinea is seven or eight miles from Orchomenus. It seems possible, however, to understand ö $\rho \theta \rho o v$ as referring to so early an hour that this distance could be covered before daylight.
5. 19. lis $\Delta t$ xacov: inserted by Kel. after van Herwerden.
8. 4. tıs . . . фо
8. 5. Alyal clot: Kel. after Valckenaer. Aiyciês or Aíyateîs, Mss. \& : inserted by Kel. after Dindorf. - av : inserted by Kel. after Schäfer.




 kal rds vigoovs alvan: Kel. with C. eival tàs vírovs, the other Mss. is "Apyos: Leunclavius. む̈or" "Apyos ("Apyovs) or ès täpyos, Mss. Del. Kel. after Liebhold.

## BOOK V

1. 27. кal трós: Kel. after Cobet. кaí, Mss. סcá, Laves. кaì úró, Breitenbach. aürஸ̂v каì $\beta$ рaঠvтท̂тos, Liebhold.
1. 31. тầra: Mss. tav̌rá, Kel. after Dindorf.
1. 32. $\delta</ \xi a \sigma \theta a u:$ Kel. with BCFMV. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \theta a$, D, followed by some

1. 34. avirरीs: BMV. éauTîs, Kel. with CFD.—exóvres: Mss. dxoures, Kel. after Grosser, from Ages. 2. 21.
1. 35. ग̂̂ : Mss. except B. $\boldsymbol{\text { Iv }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}$, Kel. with B.
1. 12. тêv $\pi \delta \lambda_{\epsilon} \omega v$ : Mss. except D. т $\omega \hat{v} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ́ s, ~ D, ~ f o l l o w e d ~$ by some edd.
1. 13. тарєбб $\mu \theta a$ : Kel. with Mss. таребоí $\epsilon \theta a$, Dindorf.
 Büchsenschütz. Cobet inserts סís (Grosser тpís) before тoгovivo. Cp . Diod. 15. 21, Dem. 19. 263.


 Kel. with CFV. $\mu \dot{\eta} v$, BMD.
1. 22. orparid́v: Mss. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau e i ́ a v, ~ K e l . ~ a f t e r ~ L e u n c l a v i u s . ~$

2．33．iquas：Mss．i $\mu i \hat{v}$ ，Kel．after Cobet．

2．35．नwwera0lero：Kel．with BM．ovveca0ídero，D，followed by some edd．

4．I．тротироv：Wolf．$\pi \rho \hat{t r o v, ~ K e l . ~ w i t h ~ M s s . ~} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ r o v ̂, ~ H e r t l e i n . ~$
4．2．ФLג入cfas：Kel．with B．Фu入入ídas，MD and most edd．－rd



4．6．тротаик位：Kel．with Mss．танкiov，Stephanus and most edd．

4．8．ávakecov：Kel．after Dindorf．，dvayкaiov，Mss．一т＠v rodo－

 other editors and critics．All are agreed that the entire passage is corrupt．




4．19．ot：Kel．with Mss．$む$ ，most edd．

4．21．oúSìv ivravo＇：Kel．after Voigtländer．oúठè taûr＇，Mss．oủઠ̀̀ ivtcî $\theta \varepsilon v$ ，Dindorf．oủf aúrós，Liebhold．

4．35．dvтetสiv：Mss．Kel．prefixes＂Xoc，after Hartman，although he admits the similar cases cited in note on 2．2．2．Dindorf drops ö́t after єimév．

4．36．үévorro：Mss．$\pi \rho o \sigma$ évouro，Kel．after Rinkes．$^{2}$
4．39．Itt ：Kel．with Mss．äp $\tau$ ，Courrier． $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$ ，Hartman，who also writes катх $\beta$ aıvóvт $\omega v$ for áva $\beta a \iota v o ́ v \tau \omega v$, or recasts the passage as follows：

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ and reads ката $\beta a u v o ́ v r \omega v$ for d́vaßauvóvт $\omega v$ ．These changes seem to be for the worse．

4． 41 ．

## BOOK VI

3．2．mouiodau：Kel．with B．mori $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a$, the other Mss．

 brownson．hellenica－ 25
dropped, for manifest reasons, by almost all editors and critics. - wal Kad入lorparos: Kel. and edd. after Köppen. Kad入íorpatos, Mss.



3. 7. фart: Kel. with Mss. except C. фarè és, C.

3. II. äs . . . Todecs: Breitenbach, Hartman, Bennett. is . . . Tàs

 тólas, Sorof.


 enbach, oűk äpıota for ov́k d́pєcтá, dropping ei and the second ápєotá.


 Mss. is ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s{ }^{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma v \omega \tau \epsilon$, Kel. after Hartman.

3. 17. $\omega \sigma \tau^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : Kel. after Hirschig. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, Mss.


 ạ́íouv tàs Bowtías nódeıs aúrovópovs; del. Kel. and all edd. after
 тоvтo $\pi$ pòs aủroús, Mss. Del. Kel. after Dindorf.
4. 5. $\lambda$ ধүєтal: Mss. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon$, Kel. ed. min. after Nauck.
4. 8. Ivavila: Kel. after Madvig. távavía, Mss.
4. II. Soorin: Kel. after Dobrée. Soín, Mss.

 that some Doric technical term is hidden in $\mu$ ìv intoo.


4. 17. raiv : Mss. roîv, Cobet. - dad : Mss. roùs ảnó, Tillmanns. iorpárevvio: Kel. after Dindorf. è $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon$ viovto, Mss.

4. 21. yoov: Kel. with Mss. except C. oiv, C.


 above.


4. 25. кal ov̌ou: Kel. with Mss. kaì oũtws, Dobrée. ácì ovton, Hertlein. íкáтєpoc, Dindorf.

 yoúrevo, Voigtländer.
5. 30. ката入е(тогтеs: Kel. with BCMV. кata入ıтóvтes, D. - drph-

5. 34. кoเvఱิs: Kel. with B. кoเvŋ̂ ( $\kappa o \iota v \eta^{\prime}$ ), the other Mss. - $\sigma \mu \mu-$
 . . . $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda e v o \mu e ́ v \omega v$, Mss., except that in the first instance D has $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \mu \mu$ évuv.
5. 35. $\sigma \phi$ ifs : Kel. after Dobrée. $\sigma \phi i \sigma t \nu$ ( $\sigma \phi \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ ), Mss.
 oî бv $\mu \mu$ axoîev, Stephanus.
5. 42. $\dot{\omega}^{\circ}$ : Kel. with Mss. Del. Cobet. $\pi \omega$ s, Grosser.


5. 44. aüroîs (1. I) : Mss., Kel. ed. maj. Del. Kel. ed. min. after Nauck.

5. 47. тês ot kal: Mss. except F, Kel. $\pi \hat{s}$ oủk, F.
5. 48. ol ouvayopaíovtes: Mss. oi del. Kel. after Hertlein. The art., however, seems to be not only justified, but made necessary, by the
 Athenians, just as in $\S 44$ (see note), by representing them alone as able to lend real aid.

## BOOK VII

 aủrois, Breitenbach. The use of the compound form as an ind. reflex. is paralleled and supported in 3.2.6,5.2.8, and 5.3.12.



5. 10. duvvo ${ }^{2} v a v: ~ K e l . ~ w i t h ~ F M D V . ~ a ́ \mu v v o v \mu e ́ v \omega v, ~ C . ~$
5. 11 . $\pi \lambda \neq 2$ "'xovtes: Kel. after Voigtländer. $\pi \lambda$ éoves, MDV. $\pi \lambda$ cioves, CF.• $\pi \lambda$ éov, Leunclavius. - kal oủk ávßßaıvev: del. Kel. after E. Curtius.
5. 13. 'Erapeıver6ov: Kel. with C. 'Eтapcıvஸ́vסa, FMDV.

 каí, F.
5. 16. roúrov: Kel. with CFMD. тoút $\omega$, V. - vime入oylfavto: Kel.
 тevér, MDV.

5. 24. BonӨtranv: Kel. with Mss. Bon日rioocv, Dindorf.


## LIST OF PROPER NAMES

Abarnis, promontory near Lampsaous, 2. 1. 29.

Abydus, city on the Asiatic coast of the Hellespont, 1. 1. 5. 6. 11, 2. 16 ; 2. 1. 18; 4. 8. 3.5.6; 5. 1. 25 f. Abydenes, the, 2. 1. 18 ; 4. 8. 3. 6 .
Academy, the, gymnasium near Athens, 2. 2. 8 ; 6. 5.49.

Acanthus, city in Chalcidice, 5. 2. 11. Acanthians, the, 5. 2. 12. 23.
Acarnanians, the, inh. of Acarnania, district in central Greece, 4. 2. 17 ; 6.5. 23.

Achaea, district in northern Peloponnesus, 4.8. 10. Achaeans, the, 3.5 . 12 ; 4. 2. $18 ; 6.4 .18 ; 7.5 .18$. Achaeans of Phthia (Phthiotis), district in southern Thessaly, i. 2. 18. Achaean mountains of Phthia, 4. 3. 9.
Acrorians, the, inh. of Acroria, district in Elis, 4. 2. 16.
Adimantus, Athenian general, 1. 4. 21, 7. 1; 2. 1. 30.32.
Aegae, town in Acolis, 4. 8. 5.
Aegina, island in the Saronic Gulf, 2. 2. 9 ; 5. 1. 29. Aeginetans, the, 2. 2. 3. 9. Aeginetan obols, 5. 2. 21 .
Aegospotami, stream in the Thracian Chersonese, 2. 1. 21. 23.
Aegosthena, town in Megaris, 5.4. 18; 6. 4. 26.

Aenesias, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 9.
Aenianians, the, people in southern Thessaly, 3. 5.6; 4.3.15.

Aeolians, the, inh. of Aeolis, district in western Asia Minor, 3. 4. 11; 4. 3. 17.

Aeschines, one of the Thirty Tyrants at Athens, 2. 3. 2. 13.
Aexoneans, the, inh. of the Attic deme Aexone, 2. 4. 26.
Agamemnon, commander of the Greek3 in the Trojan War, 3. 4. 3.
Agathinus, Corinthian admiral, 4. 8. 10. II.

Agesandridas, Spartan naval commander, 1. 1. 1, 3. 17.

Agesilaus, Spartan king, 3. 3. 1-7. 5. 10, frequently.
Agesipolis, Spartan king, 4. 2. 9.
Agesistratus, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Agis, Spartan king, 1. 1. 33. 34. 35 ; 2.2. 7. 11. 13, 3. 3; 3. 3. 1.

Agrigentum, Greek city in Sicily, 1. 5 . 21; 2. 2. 24.
Agrotera, see Artemis.
Alcibiades, Athenian general, 1. 1. $5^{-}$ 20, 2. 13-16, 3. 3-20, 4. 8-23, 5. 9-17; 2. 1. 25, 2. 1, 3. 42.

Alcibiades, cousin of the preceding, 1. 2. 13.

Alea, see Athena.
Alexander, tyrant of Pherae and tagus ( $f$ Thessaly, 7.5.4.
Alexias, Athenian archon, 2. 1. 10.
Alexippidas, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Ampheum, the, sanctuary of Amphion at Thebes, 5.4.8.

Amphidolians, the, inh. of Amphidoli, town in Elis, 4. 2. 16.
Amphipolis, Greek city in Macedonia, 4.
3. 1.

Amyclae, city in Laconia, 6. 5. 30. Amyclaeans, the, 4. 5. 11.12.
Amyntas, king of Macedonia, 5. 2. 12. 13.
Anaetius, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3.
2.

Anaxicrates, Byzantine, 1. 3. 18.
Anaxilaus, Byzantine, 1. 3. 18.
Androclidas, Theban party leader, 3.5.1. 4; 5. 2. 31. 35.
Andros, island southeast of Euboea, 1. 4. 21. 22, 5. 18. Andrians, the, 1. 4. 22 ; 2. 1. 31. 32.

Angenidas, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Antalcidas, Spartan admiral and statesman, 4. 8. 12-15; 5. 1. 25-36; 6. 3. 12.

Antandrus, town in northwestern Asia Minor, 1. 1. 25. 26, 3. 17 ; 2. 1. 10. Antandrians, the, 1. 1. 26.
Antigenes, Athenian archon, 1. 3. I.
Antiochus, Athenian, Alcibiades' pilot, 1. 5. 11. 12.13.

Antiphon, Athenian, 2. 3. 40.
Anytus, Athenian, 2. 3. 42. 44.
Apaturia, Athenian festival, 1. 7. 8.
Aphrodisia, 5. 4. 4. See note.
Apollo, 3. 3. 3, 5. 5 ; 6.4. 2, 5. 27.
Apollonia, city in Chalcidice, 5. 2. 11. Apollonians, the, 5. 2. 13.
Aracus, Spartan admiral and statesman, 2. 1. 7, 3. 10 ; 6. 5. 33.

A rcadia, district in Peloponnesus, 6. 5. 22. 51; 7.5.10. Arcadians, the, 3. 5. 12 ; 5. 2. 19 ; 6. 5. 22-50; 7.5.7-20. Arcadian league, the, 6. 5. 22.
Archedemus, Athenian demagogue, I. 7. 2.

Archestratus, Athenian general, 1. 5. 16. Another, 2. 2. 15 .
Archias, Theban polemarch, 5. 4. 2. 6.
Archidamus, son of Agesilaus, 6. 4.18. 19. 26 ; 7. 5. 12. 13.

Archytas, Spartan ephor, 2. 1. 10, 3. 10.
Aresias, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Arginusae, islands between Lesbos and the Asiatic coast, 1. 6. 27. 28. 33. 38.
Argos, chief city of Argolis, in Peloponnesus, 3. 5. 1; 4. 5. 1, 8. 13. 15. Argives, the, 1. 3. 13; 2. 2. 7; 3. 5. 11; 4. 2. 17. 22, 3. 15. 16. 17, 5. 1. 2, 8. 15 ; 5. 1. 29.34. 36; 6. 5. 23. 46. 50; 7. 5. 5. Ariobarzanes, Persian satrap, 1. 4-7; 5. 1. 28.

Aristarchus, Athenian, one of the Four Hundred, 1. 7. 28; 2. 3. 46.
Aristocles, Athenian, 6. 3. 2.
Aristocrates, Athenian general, 1. 4. 21, 5. 16, 6. 29, 7. 2.

Aristodemus, Spartan, guardian of Agesipolis, 4. 2. 9.
Aristogenes, Athenian general, 1. 5. 16, 6. 30,7 1.

Aristogenes, Syracusan, 1. 2.8.
Aristolochus, Spartan, 5. 4. 22.
Ariston, Byzantine, 1. 3. 18.
Aristophon, Athenian, 6. 3. 2.
Aristoteles, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 2. 18, 3. 2. 13. 46.

Arnapes, Persian, 1. 3. 12.
Artaxerxes, Persian king, 5. 1. 31.
Artemis, Agrotera, 4. 2. 20; of Ephesus, 1. 2. 6; 3.4. 18; of Munichia, 2. 4. 11. Aseans, the, inh. of Asea, town in Arcadia, 7. 5. 5.

Asia (i.e. Asia Minor), 2. 1. 18; 3. 1. 3. 5, 4. 2. 5. 11. 25, 5. 1. 5. 13; 4. 2. 4 5. 6, 3. 1. 15, 8. 5. 14; 5. 1. 31.

Astyochus, Spartan admiral, 1. 1. 31. Athena, 1. 1. 4, 3. 1, 4. 12, 6. 1; 2.4.39; Alea, 6. 5. 27.
Athens, 1. 1. 1. 8. 23. 33, 2. 1. 13. 3. 21, 4. 10, 5. 19, 6. 1. 22, 7. 1. 2; 22 1. 10. 29, 2. 1. 2. 3. 21, 3. 1, 4. 29. 38; 3. 1. 1, 5. 7; 4. 8. 9; 5. 1. 28. 35, 2. 31, 4.2. 22. 34; 6. 3. 3. 4. 19. $20,5.33 .35$. Athenians, the, 1. 1. 1-7. 5. 25, frequently.
Attica, 1. 7. 22; 5. 4. 19. 20. Attic, 1. 1. 36, 5. 4; 5. 1. 26.
Aulis, town on eastern coast of Boeotis, 3.4. 3, 5. 5.

Autoboesaces, Persian prince, 2. 1. 8.
Autocles, Athenian, 6. 3. 2. 7.
Bagaeus, Persian commander, 3. 4 . 13.
Bendideum, temple of Bendis, 2. 4. II.
Bithynians, the, inh. of Bithynia, province in northern Asia Minor, 1. 3. 2. 3.
Boeotia, district in central Greece, 3. 5. 17. 24; 5. 1. 33, 2. 16. 34; 6. 3. 1, 4. 3. 21. 22. Boeotians, the, 1. 3. 15; 2. 4. 30; 4. 2. 17. 18, 3. 3. 9. 15, 5. 6. 9, 8. 10. 13. 15; 5. 1. 32. 36, 2. 14, 4. 34; 6. 3. 19, 4. 4. 9, 5. 23. 51; 7. 5. 4
Boeotius, Spartan, I. 4.2.
Brasidas, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Byzantium, city on the Bosporus, now Constantinople, 1. I. $35.36,3.2$. 10. II. 14. 15.17. 4. 1; 2. 2. 1. 2. Byzantines, the, 1. 1. 35, 3. 16. 18. 19.

Cadmea, the, citadel of Thebes, 5. 2. 29. 31; 6. 3. 9. 11, 5. 46.
Cadusians, the, people on the western coast of the Caspian Sea, 2. 1. 13.
Calchedon, city on the Asiatic coast of the Bosporus, 1. I. 26. 35, 3. 2. 4. 8. 1 I. 12; 2. 2. 1. 2. Calchedonians, the, 1.
3. 2. 3. 7.9; 5.1.25. Calchedonia, 1. 1. 22.

Callias, Athenian archon, 1. 6. 1.
Callias, Athenian general and statesman, 4. 5. 13. 14; 5.4 22; 6. 3. 2. 3.

Callibius, Spartan harmost at Athens, 2. 3. 14
Callicratidas, Spartan admiral, 1. 6. 1-36.
Callimedon, Athenian, 4. 8. 13.
Callisthenes, Athenian, 4. 8. 13 .
Callistratus, Athenian, 2. 4. 27.
Callistratus, Athenian statesman, 6. 3. 3. 10.
Callixinus, Athenian senator, 1. 7. 8-35.
Camarina, Greek city in Sicily, 2. 3. 5.
Cannonus, Athenian statesman, 1. 7. 20. 34.

Cardia, city on northern coast of the Thracian Chersonese, 1. I. II.
Caria, province in southwestern Asia Minor, 1. 1. 10, 4. 8; 2. 1. 15; 3. 1. 7. 8, 4.11. 12.21.
Carthaginians, the, 1. 1. $37,5.21$; 2. 2. 24, 3. 5.
Caryae, town in northern Laconia, 6. 5. 25. 27.

Castolus, town and plain in Lydia, 1. 4. 3.
Catana, Greek city in Sicily, 2. 3. 5.
Cedreae, city in Caria, 2. 1. 15.
Cenchreae, eastern port of Corinth, 4. 5. 1; 6. 5. 51.
Cephisodotus, Athenian general, 2. 1. 16.
Cephisodotus, Athenian orator, 6. 3. 2.
Cephisophon, Athenian, 2. 4. 36.
Cephisus, river of Attica, 2. 4. 19 ; river of Boeotia, 4. 3. 16.
Ceramic Gulf, in Caria, 1. 4.8 ; 2. 1. 15.
Ceramicus, quarter of Athens, 2. 4. 33.
Chabrias, Athenian general, 5.4.14.
Chaereleos, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Chaerilas, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.

Chaeron, Spartan polemarch, 2.4.33-
Chalcidians, the, inh. of Chalcis, city in Euboea, 4. 2. 17.
Charicles, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.
Charmides, Athenian, 2. 4. 19.
Charon, Theban, 5.4.3.
Chersonese, the Thracian, peninsula north of the Hellespont, 1. 3. 8, 5. 17 ; 2. 1. 20. 27 ; 4. 2. 6, 8. 5. Chersonesians, the, 1. 3. 10.
Chios, island off the Ionian coast, I. I. 32, 6. 3. 12. 18. 33. 37. 38; 2. 1. 1. 10. 17. Chians, the, 2. 1. 5. 6.

Chremon, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3 . 2.

Chrysopolis, town on the Bosporus, I. I. 22, 3. 12.
Cilicia, province in southern Asia Minor, 3. 1. 1.

Cithaeron, mountain range separating Boeotia from Attica and Megaris, 5. 4 . 36. 37.38 ; 6. 4. 5. 25.

Cius, town in Mysia, on the Propontis, 1. 4. 7.

Clazomenae, city on the Ionian coast, I . 1. 10. 11 ; 5. 1. $3^{11}$.

Clearchus, Spartan harmost at Byzantium, I. 1. 35, 3. 15. 17. 18. 19.

Cleas, Spartan, 5. 4. 39.
Cleocritus, Athenian, 2. 4. 20.
Cleombrotus, Spartan king, 5. 4. 14. 16. 18. 35 ; 6. 4. 2. 3. 4.5.6.8.13.

Cleomedes, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Cleonae, city in Argolis, 7. 5. 15.
Clèonymus, Spartan, 6. 4. 14
Cleophon, Athenian demagogue, 1. 7. 35-
Cleosthenes, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Cleostratus, Argive, 1. 3. 13.
Cletorians, the, inh. of Cletor, town in Arcadia, 5. 4. 36. 37.

Cligenes, Acanthian, 5. 2. 12.
Clinomachus, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Cliteles, Corinthian, 6. 5. 37.
Cnidus, city in Caria, 4. 3. 11. 12.
Coeratadas, Boeotian commander, 1. 3 . 15. 17. 21.22.

Collytian, the, see Thrasybulus.
Colophon, city in Ionia, 1. 2. 4. Colophonians, the, 1. 2. 4.
Conon, Athenian general, 1. 4. 10, 5. 16. 18. 20, 6. 15. 16. 17. 19. 22. 38, 7. 1 ; 2. 1. 28. 29 ; 4. 3. 11. 12, 8. 1. 2. 3. 6. 7.9.12.13.

Core (Persephone), daughter of Demeter, 6. 3. 6.

Coressus, mountain near Ephesus, 1. 2. 7. 9. 10.

Corinth, 3. 5. 1; 4. 3. 15, 5. 1. 12, 8. 13. 15; 5. 1. 29. 34. 36, 4. 19; 6.4. 26, 5. 49. 51 ; 7. 5. 16. Corinthians, the, 2. 1. 31. 32, 2. 19, 4. 30 ; 3. 5. 5. 12. 17. 23; 4. 2. 11.14 .1 17. 22. 23. 3. 15, 5. 2. 3. 11. 13. 19, 8. 8. 10. 11; 5. 1. 34 ; 6. 4. 18, 5. 29. 37. 52.

Coronea, town in Boeotia, 4. 3. 16.
Coryphasium (Pylos), promontory in Messenia, 1. 2. 18.
Cos, island off the coast of Caria, 1. 5. 1.
Crannonians, the, inh. of Crannon, city in Thessaly, 4. 3. 3.
Cratesippidas, Spartan admiral, 1. I. 32, 5. 1.

Cretans, the, inh. of the island of Crete, 4. 2. 16 ; 7. 5. 10.

Creusis, Boeotian port on the Corinthian Gulf, 4. 5. 10; 5. 4. 16. 17 ; 6. 4. 3. 25.

Critias, leader of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2-56, 4. 8. 9. 19.
Crocinas, Thessalian, Olympic victor, 2. 3. 1.

Crommyon, town on the Isthmus of Corinth, 4. 5. 19.
Cydon, Byzantine, 1. 3. 18.
Cylon, Argive, 3. 5. 1.
Cyme, town in Aeolis, 3. 427.
Cynoscephalae, village in Boeotia, 5. 4. 15; 6.4.5.
Cyprus, island south of Asia Minor, 2. I. 29 ; 5. 1. 31.
Cyrenaean, the, inh. of Cyrene, Greek city in northern Africa, 1. 2. 1.
Cyrus, the Younger, Persian prince, 1. 4 . 3. 4 5. 7. 5. 1. 2. 3. 6. 8, 6.6.10. 18; 2 . 1. 7. 8. 11. 13. 15, 3.8 ; 3. 1. 1. 2. 3. 6 , 4. 2. Cyreans, the, Cyrus' Greek troops, 3. 420.

Cythera, island (and city) south of Laconia, 4.8.8. Cytherians, the, 4.8.8. Cytheria, 4. 8. 7.
Cyzicus, city on the Propontis, I. I. II. 14. 16. 18, 3. 13 ; 3.4. 10. Cyzicenes, the, I. I. 19. 20.

Darius, Persian king, 1. 2. 19; 2. 1.8. The variant form Dariaeus, 2. 1. 8. 9.
Dascylium, city in Phrygia, near the Propontis, 3.4.13.
Decelea, town in Attica, 1. 1. 33. 35, 2. 14, 3. 22 ; 2. 2. 7, 3. 3; 3. 5. 5.
Delphi, town in Phocis, site of famous temple and oracle of Apollo, 3. 3. 1; 4. 3. 21.

Delphinium, fortress on the island of Chios, 1. 5. 15.
Demaenetus, Athenian general, 5. 1. 26.
Demaratus, Spartan king, 3. 1. 6.
Demarchus, Syracusan general, 1. 1. 29.
Demeter, 6. 3. 6.
Demostratus, Athenian, 6. 3. 2.
Dercylidas, Spartan general, 3. 1. 8. 9, 4 6 ; 4. 3. 1. 2. 3, 8. 3. 5.

Diagoras, Rhodian, I. 1. 2.
Dinon, Spartan polemarch, 6. 4. 14.
Diocles, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2.3.2.
Diomedon, Athenian general, 1. 5. 16,6. 22. 23. 29, 7. 2. 16. 29.

Dion, Athenian, 4 8. 13.
Dionysius, tyrant of Syracuse, 2 2.24, 3.5.
Dionysius, Athenian general, 5 I. 26.
Diopithes, Spartan, 3. 3. 3.
Dioscuri, 'sons of Zeus,' Castor and Polydeuces, 6. 3. 6. See also Tyndaridae.
Diotimus, Athenian, 1. 3. 12; 5. 1. 25 .
Dorieus, Rhodian, 1. 1. 2. 4, 5. 19.
Dorotheus, Athenian, 1. 3. 13 .
Dracontides, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Egyptian Larisa, see Larisa.
Eion, port of Amphipolis, 1. 5. 15 .
Elaeus, city at southern extremity of the Thracian Chersonese, 2. 1. 20.
Eleans, the, inh. of Elis, district in Peloponnesus, 1. 2. 1; 3. 5. 12; 4. 2. 16; 6. 5. 23. 30. 50 ; 7. 5. 18.

Eleusis, city in Attica, 2. 4. 8. 24. 28. 29. 38.43; 7.5.15. Eleusinians, the, 2.4.9. Eleutherae, town in Boeotia, near the Attic border, 5. 4. 14.
Endius, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 1. 10.
Enyalius, god of war, 2.4.17.
Epaminondas, Theban general, 7. 5. 4. 5. 6. 7. 11. 13. 14. 18. 24.

Eperatus, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Ephesus, city in Ionia, 1. 2. 6. 7. 12, 5. 1. 10. 14, 6. 2 ; 2. 1. 6. 10. 16; 3. 1. 8, 4 . 4. 7. 11. 16; 4.8.3. Ephesians, the, 1. 2. 8. $10,5.12 .15$.

Epicydes, Syracusan, I. 1. 29.
Epicydidas, Spartan, 4. 2. 2; 5. 4. 39.
Epidaurians, the, inh. of Epidaurus, city in Argolis, 4. 2. 16 ; 6.5. 29.

Epiicia, town between Sicyon and Corinth, 4. 2. 14.

Erasinides, Athenian general, 1. 5. 16, 6. 16. 29, 7. 2. 29.

Erasistratus, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Eratosthenes, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Eretrians, the, inh. of Eretria, city in Euboca, 3. I. 6.
Eteonicus, Spartan harmost and viceadmiral, 1. 1. 32, 6. 26. 35.36 .38 ; 2. 1. 1. 2.4. 5. 10, 2.5 .

Etymocles, Spartan, 5.4. 22; 6. 5. 33.
Euagoras, Elean, Olympic victor, I. 2. I.
Euagoras, Cyprian prince, 2. 1. 29.
Euarchippus, Spartan ephor, 1. 2. 1; 2. 3. 10.

Euboea, island east of central Greece, 2. 3. 9; 4. 2. 17. Euboeans, the, 4. 3. 15; 6. 5. 23; 7. 5. 4.
Eubotas, Cyrenaean, Olympic victor, I. 2. I.

Eucles, Syracusan general, 1. 2. 8.
Euclides, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.
Euctemon, Athenian archon, 1. 2. 1.
Eudamidas, Spartan general, 5. 2. 24. 25.
Eudicus, Lacedaemonian, 5.4. 39.
Eumachus, Athenian general, I. I. 22.
Eumathes, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Europe, 4. 2. 6, 3. 15, 8. 5.
Eurotas, river in Laconia, 6. 5. 27. 30.
Euryptolemus, Athenian, 1. 3. 12. 13. Another, 1. 4. 19, 7. 12. 16. 34.
Eurysthenes, descendant of King Demaratus, 3. 1. 6.
Eurystheus, legendary king of Mycenae, 6. 5. 47.

Euxenus, Spartan, 4. 2. 5.
Exarchus, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.

Gaeaochus, see Poseidon.
Galaxidorus, Theban, 3. 5. 1.
Gambrium, town in Ionia, 3. 1. 6.
Gaurium, port in Andros, 1. 4. 22.
Gela, Greek city in Sicily, 2. 3. 5.
Gerastus, promontory and city at the southern extremity of Euboea, 3.4.4. Glaucon, Athenian, 2. 4. 19.
Gnosis, Syracusan, I. I. 29.
Gongylus, Eretrian, 3. I. 6.
Gongylus, descendant of the preceding, 3. I. 6.

Gordium, city in greater Phrygia, 1. 4 .. Gorgion, descendant of Gongylus, 3. 1. 6.
Grynium, town in Aeolis, 3. 1. 6.
Gylis, Spartan polemarch, 4. 3. 21.
Gythium, chief port of Laconia, 1. 4 II; 6. 5. 32.

Hagnon, Athenian, 2. 3. 30.
Halae, Attic deme, 2. 4. 34 .
Halians, the, inh. of Haliae, town in Argolis, 4. 2. 16.
Haliartus, town in Boeotia, 3. 5. 6. 17. 25. Haliartians, the, 3. 5. 18. 19.
Halipedon, plain near Piraeus, 2. 4. 30.
Halisarna, town in Aeolis, 3. I. 6.
Hannibal, Carthaginian general, I. 1. 37.
Helicon, mountain in Boeotia, 4. 3. 16. 17. 18. 19.

Helixus, Megarian commander, 1. 3. 15. 17. 21.

Hellas, 2. 2. 6. 20. 23; 3. 1. 3. 6, 4. 1. 5. 24, 5. 1. 5. 25; 4. 2. 1. 8,8.4; 5. 2. 12. 35; 6. 3. 12. 17, 5. 43. 48; 7. 5. 26. 27. Hellenes, the, 1. 5. 9, 6. 7. 14; 2. 1. 2. 32, 2. 3. 19; 3. 1. 2. 3, 4. 2. 11. 12. 13. 14. 22. 24; 4. 2. 5, 8. 2; 6. 5 . 34. 38. 39. 41. Hellenic, 1. 1. 37; 2. 2. 20, 3. 24; 3. 1. 5; 4. 3. 11. 12. 15, 8. 4. 14; 5. 1. 31; 6.4. 1.

Hellespont, strait between Europe and Asia, now the Dardanelles, I. I. 2. 8. 9. 22. 36, 2. 11, 3. 8. 17, 5. 11, 6. 20. 22, 7. 2; 2. 1. 17. 21, 2. 5; 3. 4. 10; 4. 2. 8, 3. 3, 8. 6. Hellespontines, the, 3.4. 11; 4. 3. 17.

Helos, town in Laconia, 6. 5. 32.
Helots, the, serfs of the Spartans, 1. 2. 18; 3. 5. 12; 6.5.28.
Heraclea, the Trachinian, city in Malis, 1. 2. 18. Heracleans, the, 3. 5. 6; 6. 4 9, 5. 23.

Heracles, Greek national hero, 3. 3. 3; 6. $3.6,4.7,5.47$.

Heracleum, sanctuary of Heracles, near Calchedon, 1. 3. 7; in Thebes, 6. 4. 7.
Heraclides, Syracusan general, i. 2. 8.
Heraea, town in Arcadia, 3.3. I; 6. 5. 22. Heraeans, the, 6. 5. 22.
Heraeum, sanctuary of Hera, on the Isthmus of Corinth, 4. 5. 5. 6.8.
Herippidas, Spartan commander, 3. 4. 6. 20; 4. 2. 8, 3. 15. 17, 8. 11 .
Hermionians, the, inh. of Hermion, city in Argolis, 4. 2. 16.
Hermocrates, Syracusan general, I. I. 27. 30. 31, 3. 13.

Hermocrates, Syracusan, father of Dionysius, 2. 2. 24.
Hermogenes, Athenian, 4. 8. 13.
Hermon, Megarian, 1. 6. 32.
Herodas, Syracusan, 3. 4. I.
Hieramenes, Persian, 2. 1. 9.
Hieron, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.
Hieron, Spartan, 6. 4. 9.
Himera, Greek city in Sicily, 1. I. 37.
Hippeus, Samian commander, 1. 6. 29.
Hippocrates, Spartan vice-admiral and harmost, I. 1. 23, 3. 5. 6. 7.
Hippodamus, agora of, in Piraeus, 2. 4. 11.

Hippolochus, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Hippomachus, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2, 4. 19.

Hippon, Syracusan, I. 2. 8.
Hipponicus, Athenian, 4. 5. 13; 6. 3. 2.
Histiaeans, the, inh. of Histiaea, city in northern Euboea, 2. 2. 3.
Hyacinthia, Spartan festival, 4. 5. 11.
Ida, mountain in northwestern Asia Minor, I. I. 25.
Ilarchus, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Ilium, Troy, ancient city near the Hellespont, I. I. 4.
Imbros, island in northern Aegean, 4. 8. 15; 5. 1. 31 .
Ionia, district in western Asia Minor, 2. I. 17; 5. 1. 28. Ionians, the, 3.4. 11; 4. 3. 17. Ionic, 3. 1. 3.

Iphicrates, Athenian general, 4:5.3.13. 14. 15. 19; 5. 1. 25 ; 6. 3. 3. 4. 1, 5. 49. 51.

Isanor, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Ischolaus, Spartan commander, 6. 5. 24. 26.

Isias, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Ismenias, Theban party leader, 3. 5. 1; 5. 2. 25. 30. 31. 32. 35.

Isthmia, the Isthmian games, 4.5. 1. 2.
Isthmus, of Corinth, 4.5.1, 8.8; 7.5. 15.

Italy, 5. 1. 26.
Jason, tyrant of Pherae and tagus of Thessaly, 6. 4. 20. 22.

Labotas, Spartan harmost at Heraclea, I. 2. 18.

Lacedaemon, referring to either Laconia or Sparta, 1. 1. 23-7. 5. 18, frequently.

Lacedaemonians, the, 1. 1. 1-7. 5. 18, frequently.
Laconia, 2. 2. 13 ; 4. 8.8; 6. 5. 23. 24. Laconians, the, 1. 1. 32, 4. 22, 6. 34 ; 2. 2. 2, 3. 8, 4. 4. 10 ; 4. 5. 10, 8. 1.

Lacrates, Spartan, Olympic victor, 2. 4. 33.

Lampsacus, city on Asiatic coast of the Hellespont, 1. 2. 13. 15 ; 2. 1. 18. 20. 21. 29. 30, 2. 1. 2.

Larisa, the Egyptian, city in Aeolis, 3. 1. 7. Larisaeans, the, 3. I. 7.

Larisaeans, the, inh. of Larisa, city in Thessaly, 2. 3.4 ; 4. 3. 3.
Lasionians, the, inh. of Lasion, town in Elis, 4. 2. 16.
Lechaeum, western port of Corinth, 4.5 . 7. 8. 10. II. 12. 14. 17. 18. 19, 8. 10 ; 5. 1. 29.

Lemnos, island in northern Aegean, 4. 8. 15; 5.1.31.
Leon, Athenian general, 1. 5. 16, 6. 16 ; 2. 3. 39 .

Leon, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Leontiades, Theban party leader, 5. 2. 25. 28. 29. 31. 32. 33. 36, 4. 7. 19.

Leontichus, Athenian general, 5. 1. 26.
Leontines, the, inh. of Leontini, Greek city in Sicily, 2. 3. 5.
Leontis, Athenian tribe, 2. 4. 27.
Leotychides, putative son of King Agis, 3. 3. 1. 2. 3.

Lesbos, island off the Aeolian coast, i. 2. II. 12, 6. 12. 16. 26. 27 ; 2. 2. 5, 3. 32. 35.

Letrinians, the, inh. of Letrini, town in Elis, 4. 2. 16.
Ieucolophides, Athenian, 1. 4. 21.
Leuctra, town in Boeotia, 6. 4. 4, 5. 23.
Leuctrum, town in southern Arcadia, 6. 5. 24.

Libys, Spartan admiral, 2. 4. 28.
Locris, the Opuntian, district in central Greece on the Euboean strait, 3.5.3. 4. Locrians, the Opuntian, 3.5.3.4; 4. 2. 17 ; the Ozolian, inh. of Ozolian Locris, district on northern coast of the Corinthian Gulf, 4. 2. 17 ; both, 4. 3. 15 ; 6. 5. 23. 30.
Lycaethus, Athenian, 6. 3. 2.
Lycarius, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Lyceum, the, gymnasium near Athens, 1. 1. 33 ; 2.4. 27.

Lyciscus, Athenian, 1. 7. 13.
Lycophron, Pheraean, 2. 3.4-
Lycurgus, Byzantine, 1. 3. 18.
Lydia, province in western Asia Minor, 1 . 2. 4.

Lysander, Spartan admiral and general, 1. 5. 1-15, 6. 1-10; 2. 1. 6-32, 2. 223, 3. 3-1 3, 4. 28-36; 3. 3. 3, 4. 2-20. 5. 6-25.

Lysias, Athenian general, 1. 6. 30, 7. 2.
Lysimachus, Athenian hipparch, 2. 4.8. 26.

Macedonia, country north of Greece, I. I. 12 ; 4. 3. 3 ; 5. 2. 12. 13. Macedonians, the, 5. 2.12.
Madytus, city in the Thracian Chersonese, 1. 1.3.
Maeander, river in western Asia Minor, 3. 4. 12. 2 I .

Malea, southern promontory of Laconia, 1. 2. 18.

Malea, southern promontory of Lesbos, 1. 6. 26.27 .

Maleatis, district in southern Arcadia, 6. 5. 24.

Malians, the, inh. of Malis, district in northern Greece, on the Malian Gulf, 3. 5. 6; 4. 2. 17 ; 6. 5. 23 .

Mantinea, city in Arcadia, 4. 5. 18 ; 6. 5. 22; 7.5.7.9.14.15.18. Mantineans, the, 4. 2. 13, 5.18 ; 6. 4. 18, 5. 36 ; 7. 5. 14. 15.17.

Mantitheus, Athenian, 1. 1. 10, 3. 13.
Marganians, the, inh. of Margana, town in Elis, 4. 2. 16.
Media, country in Asia, 2. I. 13. Medes, the, I. 2. 19.
Megalopolitans, the, inh. of Megalopolis, city in Arcadia, 7. 5. 5.
Megara, city on the Isthmus of Corinth, 1. 1. 36 , 2.14 ; 2.4 . 1 ; 5.4.41. Megarians, the, I. 3. 15, 6. 32. Megaris, the district, $5.4 .18 ; 6.4 .26$.
Megillus, Spartan, 3.4.6.
Melanopus, Athenian, 6. 3. 2.
Melanthius, Athenian, one of the Four Hundred, 2. 3. 46.
Meletus, Athenian, 2. 4. 36.
Melobius, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Melon, Theban, 5.4.2.3.5.7.19.
Melos, island east of Laconia, 4. 8. 7. Melians, the, 2. 2. 3. 9.
Menander, Athenian general, 1. 2. 16; 2. 1. 16. 26.

Menascus, Spartan, 4. 2. 8.
Menecles, Athenian, I. 7. 34.
Menecrates, Syracusan, I. I. 29.
Messenians, the, inh. of Messenia, district in Peloponnesus, 6. 5. 33; 7. 5. 5.
Methymna, city in northern Lesbos, I. 2. 12, 6. 12. 38. Methymnaeans, the, 1 . 6. 13. 14.18.

Miletus, city in southern Ionia, 1. I. 31, 2. 2. 3, 5. 1, 6. 2. 7. Milesians, the, I. 6.8; 2. 1. 30 .

Mindarus, Spartan admiral, 1. 1. 4. II. 14. 16. 18. $23,3.17$.

Misgolaidas, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.

Mitraeus, Persian prince, 2. 1. 8.
Mitrobates, Persian, 1. 3. 12.
Mnesilochus, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Mnesithides, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Munichia, hill on the Piraeus peninsula, 2. 4. II. 37. Artemis of Munichia, 2. 4. 11.

Mygdon, Spartan, 3.4. 20.
Myrina, town in Aeolis, 3. I. 6.
Myscon, Syracusan general, 1. 1. 29.
Mysia, province in northwestern Asia Minor, I. 4. 7.
Mytilene, chief city of Lesbos, 1. 6. 16. 26. $27.35 .38,7.29$; 2. 2. 5. Mytilenaeans, the, I. 6. 22.

Narthacium, mountain and town in southern Thessaly, 4. 3. 8. 9.
Nauclidas, Spartan ephor, 2. 4. 36.
Nemea, city in Argolis, 4. 2. 14; 7. 5.6.7.
Niceratus, Athenian, 2. 3. 39.
Nicias, Athenian general and statesman, 2. 3. 39.

Nicolochus, Spartan vice-admiral, 5. 1. 25.
Nicophemus, Athenian, 4. 8. 8.
Nicostratus, Athenian, 2. 4. 6.
Notium, port of Colophon, 1. 2.4. 11, 5 . 12. 13. 14; 2. 1. 6.

Ocyllus, Spartan, 5. 4. 22 ; 6. 5. 33.
Odeum, music hall at Athens, 2. 4. 9. 10. 24.

Oenoe, Athenian fortress, on the Boeotian border, 1. 7. 28.
Oenoe, Corinthian fortress, 4. 5. 5. 19.
Oetaeans, the, people in northern Greece, about Mt. Oeta, I. 2. 18; 3. 5. 6.
Oeum, town in northern Laconia, 6. 5 . 24. 25. Oeans, the, 6. 5. 26.

Olontheus, Spartan, 6. 5. 33-
Olympiad, the Olympic year, I. 2. 1; 2. 3. I .

Olynthus, city in Chalcidice, 5. 2. 11. 12. 27. Olynthians, the, 5. 2. 13. 15. 17. 27. 34 .

Oneum, mountain range near Corinth, 6. 5.51. 52.

Onomacles, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Onomacles, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Onomantius, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. ro.
Opuntian Locris, see Locris.
Orchomenus, city in Boeotia, 3. 5. 17; 4.
3. 15 ; 5. 1. 29. Orchomenians, the, 3. 5. 6 ; 4. 2. 17, 3. 15. 16. 18; 6.4. 10.

Orchomenus, city in Arcadia, 4. 5. 18; 6.
5. 29. Orchomenians, the, 5. 4. 36. 37.

Orsippus, Spartan, 4. 2. 8.
Ozolian Locris, see Locris.
Pactolus, stream in Lydia, 3.4. 22.
Palaegambrium, town in Aeolis, 3. 1. 6.
Pallantians, the, inh. of Pallantium, town in Arcadia, 7. 5. 5.
Pallene, western peninsula of Chalcidice, 5. 2. 15.

Pangaeum, mountain in western Thrace, 5. 2. 17.

Pantacles, Spartan ephor, 1. 3. 1; 2. 3. 10.

Paralus, Athenian state trireme, 2. 1. 28. 29, 2. 3.
Parium, city on the Propontis, I. I. I3.
Paros, one of the Cyclades, 1. 4. II.
Pasippidas, Spartan admiral, i. 1. 32, 3. 13. 17.

Patesiadas, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Pausanias, Spartan king, 2. 2. 7, 4. 29-39; 3. 5. 6-25.

Pella, capital of Macedonia, 5. 2. 13.
Pellene, city in Laconia, 7. 5. 9.
Pelleneans, the, inh. of Pellene, city in Achaea, 4. 2. 20; 6. 5. 29.
Peloponnesus, southern peninsula of Greece, 3. 5. 17; 5. 2. 20; 6. 3.6; 7. 5. 5. 18. Peloponnesians, the, 1. 1. 6. 7. 11. 17. 19. 24, 6. 33. 34; 2. 2. 7, 4. 21. 29. 4 I; 3. 1. 4, 5. 6. 14.

Percote, town on Asiatic coast of the Hellespont, 5. 1. 25.
Pergamus, city in Aeolis, 3. 1. 6.
Pericles, Athenian general, 1. 5. 16, 6. 29, 7.2.16.21.

Perinthus, city on the Propontis, I. I. 20.
Perinthians, the, 1. I. 21.
Persians, the, I. 2. 5. 19; 3. 4. 10. 14. 22.
24. 25; 5. 2. 35.

Phaedrias, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Phanias, Athenian general, 5. 1. 26.
Phanosthenes, Athenian general, 1. 5. 18.
Pharax, Spartan, 4. 5. 6; 6. 5. 33 .
Pharnabazus, Persian satrap, 1. 1. 6-31, 2. 16, 3. 5-1 7, 4. 1. 5. 6; 3. 1. 9, 4. 10. 13. 26; 4. 3. 11, 8. 1-10; 5. 1. 28.

Pharsalians, the, inh. of Pharsalus, city in
Thessaly, 4. 3. 3. 8.
Pherae, town in southern Messenia, 4. 8. 7. Pheraean, of Pherae, city in Thessaly, 2. 3. 4.

Phidon, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2. Philippus, Theban polemarch, 5.4. 2.
Phillidas, Theban, 5. 4. 2. 4. 6. 7. 8.
Philocles, Athenian general, 1. 7. 1; 2. 1. 30. 31. 32.

Philocydes, Athenian, 1. 3. I3.
Phliasians, the, inh. of Phlius, city in
Argolis, 4. 2. 16; 6. 4. 9. 18, 5. 29. 38. Phocaea, city in Ionia, I. 3. 1, 5. 11, 6. 33. Phocis, district in central Greece, 3. 5. 4.

Phocians, the, 3. 5. 3. 4. 6. 17. 21; 4. 3. 15. 21; 5. 2. 33; 6. 3. 1, 4. 2. 3. 9. 17. 21, 5. 23. 30; 7. 5. 4-
Phoebidas, Spartan commander, 5. 2. 2432, 4. 41.
Phoenicia, country on eastern coast of the Mediterranean, 3. 4. I. Phoenician, 3. 4. I; 4. 3. II.

Phoenicus, town in Cythera, 4. 8.7.
Phrygia, the greater, province in central Asia Minor, 1.4. 1; the lesser, province in northwestern Asia Minor, 3. 4.12. 26. 29.

Phthia, district in southern Thessaly, 4 . 3. 9.

Phyle, Athenian fortress, near the Boeotian border, 2. 4. 2-12.
Piraeum, peninsula on northwestern side of the Isthmus of Corinth, 4. 5. 1. 3. 5. 19.

Piraelis, port of Athens, 1. 1. 35, 2. 14, 3. 22, 4. 12. 13, 7. 35; 2. 2. 2-23, 3. 8. 11, 4. 1-39; 3.5.5.8. 16. 25 ; 4.8. 9; 5. 2. 33, 4. 20. 22. 34.
Pisander, Spartan admiral, 3.4. 29; 4. 3. 10. 12. 13 .

Pisianax, Athenian, 1. 4. 19, 7. 12.
Pison, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.
Pityas, Spartan ephor, 1. 6. 1; 2. 3. 10.
Plataea, city in Boeotia, 5.4. 10. 14; 6.3.5.
Plataeans, the, 5.4 . 10; 6. 3. I.
Plistolas, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Plynteria, Athenian festival, 1. 4. 12.
Podanemus, Spartan admiral, 4. 8. 10.
Pollis, Spartan vice-admiral, 4. 8. 11 .
Polyanthes, Corinthian, 3. 5. 1.
Polychares, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Polycharmus, Pharsalian hipparch, 4. 3. 8.

Polyxenus, Syracusan admiral, 5. 1. 26.

Pontus, the Black Sea, 1. 1. 22; 2. 2. I; 5.1. 28.

Poseidon, 4. 5. 1. 2. 4; Gaeaochus, 6. 5. 30.

Potamis, Syracusan general, 1. 1. 29.
Potidaea, city in Chalcidice, 5. 2. 15. 24.
Pras, town in southern Thessaly, 4. 3. 9.
Praxitas, Spartan polemarch, 4. 5. 19.
Proaenus, Corinthian admiral, 4.8. II.
Procles, descendant of King Demaratus, 3. 1. 6.

Procles, Phliasian, 6. 5. 38.
Proconnesus, island in the Propontis, 1. 1.
13. 18. 20, 3. 1; 5. I. 26.

Prometheus, Thessalian, 2. 3. 36.
Prothous, Spartan, 6. 4. 2.
Protomachus, Athenian general, 1. 5. 16, 6. 30. 33, 7. 1.

Proxenus, Syracusan, 1. 3. 13.
Proxenus, Tegean, 6. 5. 36.
Pygela, town in Ionia, 1. 2. 2. Pygelans, the, 1. 2. 2.
Pyrrolochus, Argive, 1. 3. 13.
Pythodorus, Athenian archon, 2. 3. 1.
Rhamphias, Spartan, I. I. 35 .
Rhathines, Persian commander, 3. 4. 13.
Rhium, promontory in Aetolia, at the entrance to the Corinthian Gulf, 4. 8. 11.

Rhodes, island southwest of Asia Minor, 1. 1. 2, 5. 1. 19, 6. 3; 2. 1. 15. 17. Rhodian, 1. 5. 19; 3. 5. I.
Rhoeteum, town on Asiatic coast of the Hellespont, I. 1. 2.

Salamis, island off western coast of Attica, 2. 2. 9. Salaminian, 2. 3. 39.

Samius, Spartan admiral, 3. 1. 1.
Samos, island off the Ionian coast, 1. 2. 1, 4. 8. I1. 23, 5. 14. $15.18 .20,6$. 2. 15.
25. 26. 38; 2. 1. 12.16, 3. 3. Samians, the, 1.6.25.29, 7. 30; 2. 2. 6, 3. 6.
Sardis, capital of Lydia, 1. I. 9. 10, 5.1 ; 3.4.25. Sardian, 3. 4. 2I.

Satyrus, one of the Eleven at Athens, 2. 3. 54. 55. 56.

Scionaeans, the, inh. of Scione, city in Chalcidice, 2. 2. 3 .
Sciritis, district in northern Laconia, 6. 5 . 24. 25. Sciritans, the, 5. 2. 24.

Scotussaeans, the, inh. of Scotussa, city in Thessaly, 4. 3. 3.
Scyros, island northeast of Euboea, 4. 8. 15; 5. 1. 31.
Scythes, Spartan, 3.4. 20.
Selinus, Greek city in Sicily, 1. 1. 37. Selinuntines, the, I. 2. 8. ro.
Sellasia, city in Laconia, 2. 2. 13. 19; 6. 5. 27.

Selymbria, city on the Propontis, I. I. 20, 3. 10. Selymbrians, the, I. 1. 21.

Sestus, city in the Thracian Chersonese, 1. 1. 7. 11. 36, 2. 13; 2. 1. 20. 25 ; 4. 8. 3. 5. 6.

Sicily, 1. 1. 37, 5. 21. Sicilians, the, 2. 2. 24.

Sicyon, city in northern Peloponnesus, near Corinth, 4. 2. 14, 5. 12. 19. Sicyonians, the, 4. 2. 16; 6. 4. 18.
Sidus, town on the Isthmus of Corinth, 4. 5. 19.

Sisyphus, nickname of Dercylidas, 3. 1. 8. Socrates, Athenian philosopher, 1. 7. 15. Sophocles, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2. 3. 2.

Sophroniscus, Athenian, 1. 7. 15.
Sostratidas, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.
Sparta, 1. 1. 32, 2. 1, 6. 32; 2. 3. 1; 7. 5. 9. Spartiates, the, 3. 4. 2; 5. 4. 39; 6. 4. 15, 5. 25. 28; 7. 5. 10. 11. See Lacedaemon.

Sphodrias, Spartan harmost at Thespiae, 5.4.15. 20. 23. 24. 34; 6. 4. 14 -

Spithridates, Persian, 3. 4. 10.
Stages, Persian, 1. 2. 5 -
Stasippus, Tegean, 6. 4. 18, 5. 36.
Sthenelaus, Spartan harmost of Byzantium and Calchedon, 2. 2. 2.
Strombichides, Athenian, 6. 3. 2.
Syennesis, ruler of Cilicia, 3. 1. 1.
Syracuse, Greek city in Sicily, 1. 1. 29. 31, 3. 13 ; 5. 1. 26. 28. Syracusans, the, 1. 1. 18. 26. 27. 31, 2. 8. 10. 12. 14; 2. 2. 24, 3. 5 ; 3. 1. 2, 4. 1, 5. 14.

Tegea, city in Arcadia, 3. 5. 7. 25 ; 5. 1. 33. 4.37 ; 7. 5. 7. 14. 21. Tegeans, the, 4. 2. 13. 19. 21 ; 6. 4. 18, 5. 24. 36; 7. 5. 5. 8.
Teleutias, Spartan admiral, 4. 8. 11.
Temnus, town in Aeolis, 4. 8. 5.
Teuthrania, town in Aeolis, 3. 1. 6.
Thamneria, town in Media, 2. I. 13.
Thasos, island in northern Aegean, I. I. 12. 32, 4. 9.

Thebes, chief city of Boeotia, 2. 4. 1. 2 ; 3. 5. 1. 3; 5. 2. 25. 26. 27, 4. 1. 14. 19. 36 ; 6. 3. 2. 11; 7. 5. 4. Thebans, the, 1. 7. 28-7. 5. 20, frequently.
Themistogenes, Syracusan, 3. 1. 2.
Theogenes, Athenian, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 1. 3. 13; 2. 3. 2.
Theognis, one of the Thirty Tyrants, 2.3. 2.

Theopompus, Milesian, 2. I. 30.
Theramenes, Athenian general, later one of the Thirty Tyrants, 1. 1. 12. 22, 6. 35, 7.4. 5. 8. 17. 31 ; 2. 2. 16. 18. 19. 21. 22, 3. 2-55, 4. 1.

Thermopylae, famous pass, at the head of the Malian Gulf, 6. 5. 43.
Thespiae, city in Boeotia, 5. 4. 10. 15. 20.
38. 41 ; 6. 3. 5. Thespians, the, 4 . 2 20; 6. 3. 1, 4. 4. 10.
Thessaly, district in northern Greece, 2. 3. 4. 36; 4. 3. 3; 6.5.23. Thessalians, the, 2. 3. 1. 4; 4. 3. 3. 4. 5. 7. 8; 6. 5. 30; 7.5.4. ${ }^{16 .}$
Thibrachus, Spartan polemarch, 2. 4. 33.
Thibron, Spartan commander, 3. 1. 4. 5 . 7.8.

Thisbae, town in Boeotia, 6. 4. 3.
Thorax, Spartan commander, 2. 1. 18. 28.
Thoricus, town in southern Attica, 1. 2. 1.
Thrace, country north of the Aegean and Propontis, 1. 3. 10. 17, 4. 9; 2. 2. 5; 5. 1. 26, 2. 12. 24. Thracians, the, 5. 2. 17 ; Bithynian Thracians, 1. 3. 2.

Thracium, Thracian Square, in Byzantium, I. 3. 20.

Thrasybulus (of Stiria), Athenian general and statesman, 1. 1. 12, 4. 9. 10, 5. 11, 6. 35, 7. 5. 17. 31; 2. 3. 42.44, 4. 2. 5. 6. 10. 12. 34. 39; 3. 5. 16.

Thrasybulus, of Collytus, Athenian general, 5. 1. 26.
Thrasyllus, Athenian general, I. 1. 8. 33 34, 2. 1. 6. 7. 13. 15. 17, 3. 6, 4. 10, 5. 16, 6. 30, 7. 2. 29.
Thria, Attic deme, near Eleusis, 5. 4. 21. Thurian, of Thurii, Greek city in Italy, 1. 5.19.

Thymochares, Athenian general, I. I. I.
Timocrates, Athenian, I. 7. 3.
Timocrates, Rhodian, 3. 5. I.
Timolaus, Corinthian, 3. 5. 1; 4. 2. 11.
Tiribazus, Persian satrap, 4. 8. 12. 14. 15;
5. 1. 25. 28. 30.

Tissaphernes, Persian satrap, I. 1. 9. 31 . 32, 2. 6. 8, 5. 2. 8. 9; 3. 1. 3. 6. 9, 4. 1. 5. 6. 11. 12. 21. 25.

Tithraustes, Persian satrap, 3. 4. 25. 26, 5. I.

Toronaeans, the, inh. of Torone, city in Chalcidice, 2. 2. 3 .
Trachinian Heraclea, the, see Heraclea.
Triphylians, the, inh. of Triphylia, district in Elis, 4. 2. 16.
Triptolemus, Attic hero, 6. 3. 6.
Troezenians, the, inh. of Troezen, city in Argolis, 4. 2. 16.
Troy, ancient city near the Hellespont, 3. 4.3 .

Tydeus, Athenian general, 2. 1. 16. 26.
Tyndaridae, Castor and Polydeuces, putative sons of Tyndareus, 6. 5. 31. See also Dioscuri.

Xenocles, Spartan, 3. 4. 20.
Xerxes, Persian king, 2. 1. 8.
Zeuxippus, Spartan ephor, 2. 3. 10.

## GREEK INDEX

［The references are to the notes（by book，chapter，and section），unless otherwise designated．］
dyande 1．4．16，3．1． 5.
dүvespovie 1．7．33．
dүopa 3．4．II．
${ }^{3}$ A үportpes 4．2． 20.
88ukis 1．7．9．
del with part．1．2．10，2．1．4，2． 4.8 ；
del mote 3．5．II．
altios with inf．2．3．32，7．5． 18.

axoúw with inf．1．5． 11.
d $\lambda \lambda$ a ：at least 3．4． 26 ； $4 \lambda \lambda^{\circ}$ 并 1．7． 15 ，
 тot 2．4．22，6．3． 15.
8 1גos：next 1．1．13；besides 1．4．2； summing up 1．5．5；gidot te kel 2 ． 1． 30.
EXoßos 3．4． 15.
dea：with personal dat．1．1．26；strength． ening кal 1．2．I；with part．4．3．14．


4．ефlados 4．2． 13.
giv：with final subjv．1．6． 9 ；with opt． in obj．clause 2．3．13；denoting cus－ tomary action，with ind．6．4．II；with articular inf．1．4． 20 ；with part．2． 3 ． 48；omitted 2．3．27，41 ；repeated 6. 4．II；K葸v 1．7．19．
ded in comp．I．I． 2.
Gvalap $\beta$ áve 1．1．4，1．5．14．
àvapX［a 2．3．I．
dvaбтр́фоцен 6．3．17．
đvaфtи́yゃ 2．3． 50.
むviทщை 2．3．46，2．4．II．
dvlotapar 2．4．6，4．5．18．
dvolym 1．1．2，1．5．13，1．6． 21.
drel in comp．2．4． 27.
dvtiradov 2．3．30， 42.
dvtimocopal with dat．and gen．4．8．I4．
drot ：4．2．7，4．3．17，6．4． 25 ；in comp．
 رárov 1．7． 32.
фтоүрффоцаи 2．4．8．

dтока入ict 2．3．47．

dтотоцЯ 2．1． 32.
droфеúүल 1．3． 19.
dтoxdo 2．2． 4 ．
むтроб8бкптоs 3．4． 12.
dрүчро入оүla 1．1．8， 12.
dрнобтts 1．1．32，2．2．5，3．5．13，4．8．8．
 4．5．2，4．8． 5 ．

むैन्र 1．4．13，3．5．9．
ăre with part．2．3． 15.
dredis 1．2． 10.
at 2．1．2，2．3．28，3．1．7，3．5．14，4．3．1；
with mdity 2．4．29，3．5．21，4．8．II． c304s 4．5． 16.
aย́t6ev 2．2．13，2．4．12，3．4． 20.
«їтокрф́тตр 1．4． 20.

aürobs：adrois duspder 1．2． 12 ；with reflexive 1．1．28；as indir．reflexive 1．4．12；as personal pronoun in attrib． position 1．4．16；8ikatos aüros 2．2． 17；＝$\mu_{\text {ovos 5．2．} 32 .}$
ßapa0pov I．7． 20.
Baondés：without art．1．1．9；with art． 1．2． 19.


阝öдорае 1．6．5．
Tauóxos 6．5．30．
rap 5．4．I ；with opt．in ind．disc．6．5． 36.
Ye $\mu$ hv p． 36 ；yoov 6．4． 21.
repalpen 1． 7.33.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ үүгодаи 1．1．28，і．2．10，1．7．8，3．5．7． ү九үレшбкш 1．5．3，2．3．25，27，2．4．30， 40，3．4．15，27，3．5．6，4．5．13，5．4．2．
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathrm{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ I．7．9，2．3．25，2．4．41．

үрафทे тараюбншv 1．7． 12.

үициотаıбlac 6．4．16．
 22.

Sqおor̂x os 6．3．3．
Saporia 4．5．8．
－apetaios 2．1． 8.
$\delta \epsilon:=\gamma{ }^{\text {ap }}$ 1．6．37，2．1． 15 ；in apod． 6. 3．6；8＇oiv 3．5． 19.
Eekatev́o 6．3． 20.
Eekáty 1．1．22，3．3．1，3．5．5．

8f：ironical 1．7．8，2．1．27，2．3．13，18， 2．4．4I，5．4．6；naturally 2．4． 23 ， 37 ；of course 2．1．31；8throu of course 2．3．31， 35.
§ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ dos with pers．construction I．6． 20.

סı́́ in comp．1．1．15，1．7．34，2．1．5， 4. 2．5，4．3．14，4．5．18，6．4． 16.
סraßartipla 3．4．3．
SLa入入árтш 4．3．3．
8сатiттш 4．3．18，7．5．25．
Sıati0сдан 4．5． 8.
8．86okn 3．5． 4.
Sukxiove 1．6．31．
8ikalos with pers．construction 1． 7.4
Suкaothpiov 1．7． 12.
8ixpotes 2．I． 28.
8．0plya 6．5．37．
Simpenia 1．7． 2.
Soxtw with pers．construction 4．5． 18.
Sopuфbpot 4．5．8．
Spíфакто 2．3． 50.
סvvarov 1．4． 13.

cl：to see whether 1．4． 18 ；＝8 2 tl 2.3 ． 53 （cp．4．8．4）；el $\mu$ 斤 except 2．2．10； cl $8 \mathrm{it} \mu$ 斤 1．3．3．
clev 6．3． 13.
elkos with inf．instead of opt．in apod． 3 ． 4．18，3．5． 10.
atrov：with inf．in ind．disc．1．6．7；with inf．not in ind．disc．1．1．13；move 1．7． 9.
als：on the coming of 1．6．20，4．8．6；to the number of 2．4．12；of breadth of front 3．4．13；of depth in line 4． 2. 13；els 8opv 4．3．17；in comp．1．1． 5.

eita 1．7．18；without $\delta \ell$ ，after $\mu$ ev 2． 2. 17.
k k ：with gen．＝part．gen．2．3．32， 3.4 ．

 15.
iккатероs 2．2． 15.
lnaives：instead of reflexive 1． 1.27 ；re－ ferring to the following 2．3． $56,3.4$ ． 18；resumptive 2．4．41．
lvexerpla 4．2． 16.


le $\lambda_{6}$（TTN I．6． 1.

іктодгоркіл 2．4．3，2．4．28，
dxTbes 1．2．3．
Dartov 2．4．II；Dartov IX＇3． 4.8.
1入فе 2．4．32．

4\％Fodov 7．5．22．

＂ 4 тороя 1．6． 37.
ёрфроироs 1．6．13．
dv：of time 1．4．12，3．4．5；dv Xdpq 4. 2．20，4．5．10；dv kalpe 3.4 .9 ；dv kalఫ 4．3．5．
dvavtlos：távavtia 3．4．12；\＆x тovi dvav－ Tlov 4．2． 22.

dvفфаүov 4．5．8．
divepurla 6．4． 12.

couke：with part．in dat．4．5．7；in nom． 6．3． 8.
เสєเซфрiซdat 6．5．43．
$t \pi t$ ：in comp．1．1．5，1．6． 29.
Gen．，towards 1．2．11；tui kipos （фdлayүos）1．7．29；in the time of 2. 3． 45 ；of depth in line 1．6．29，2．4． 11；in control of 5．I． 34 ．

Dat．，behind 1．1．34；in command of 1．5．11 ；in the case of 1．7．22；of conditions of peace 2．2．11； $\boldsymbol{\phi} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\phi}$ with inf．2．2．20，2．3．11，3．5．1；in the power of 2．3．52；after $\mu$ eva фpovien



Acc．，of purpose 1．1． 12 ；to the com－ mand of 1．1． 32 ；upon 2．3．54；knt wosa 2．4．33；int rd itepa 1．2．7； tal Td Safld ăyetv 4．2． 18.
twißarys 1．3．17．
tarfodt 1．7． 2.
Атіүаціа 5．2．19．
truca入ie 2．3．31．
iтi入ар阝ave 2．1． 32.
ATriavohropar with acc．6． 4.24
dmiotitys 1．7．14．
dтwrodés I．I． 23.

ipquia 5．4．41．
＂pxerac with inf．3．4．27．
doria 2．3． 52.

loxatial 2．4．4．
ใбхатфтатоs 2．3． 49.
E＊W 5．4．41．
itatplat 2．3．46，5．2．25．
itpótoŋv 3．4．14．
edaryenıa 1．6．37．
ed́spyéta I．I． 26.
edeús：local 1． 4.8 ；with part．2．1． 21.
edikprite 4．2．6．
©Û́piros I．6． 22.
ธiploke 3．4． 24.
¢ $\phi_{\eta}$ ，redundant 2．3． 22.
 21 ；＂Xㅇ кaxis I． 49.
tess：with subjv．1．1． 24 ；with ind．1． 1. 29 ；with ind．of non－fulfillment 2． 3 ． 42.

కоүрáфоs 3．4． 17.
7．1．7． 26 ；after 8ıaф́pe 3．4．19； omitted after comparative 4．5． 4 ； d $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ グ 1．7．15，6．4． 4.

indopat with dat．4．2．9． グठ $\bar{\eta}$ 1．7．27，3．5． 14.
ทixov with meaning of plupf．1．1． 3 1．
†̀ $\lambda$ ıula 1．6． 24.

Oavpáfo 1．6．II；with gen．2．3．53．
Otw I．I． 35.
18iq 1．1．30，1．．2．10，1．3．12，1．4．12， 1．6． 12.
เ8иのтグ 2．4．36．
innefs：Athenian 2．4．2，2．4．24，3．1．4； Spartan 6．4． 14.
lootedets 2．4． 25 ．

Lotia \＆\＆
kaOavíe 5．4． 20.
ка0ора́e 1．1．4，1．4． 19.
kal：emphasizing following 1．5．13；re－ dundant in comparisons 1． 7.13 ；in a question 1．7．26；＝ка（тер 1．7．32； with $\mu$ àa 2．4．2；nal ．．．8f and also p． 36 ；and indeed，in fact 4．3．3， 4．5． 5 ；кal ．．．Muvrot but also 3．1． 7；and in fact，indeed 3．1．1，4．5．10； кal $\mu$ 斤 $\mathbf{v}$ 3．5．10；кal astors 4．5．16； каlтос 4．8．5；кal 8if 6．4． 13 ；каl 8it kal 5．4．4．
каuvd тра́үмата 1．416．
kalpós 2．3．24，3．4．9．
калоl кárabol 2．3．12，38， 53 ；dv ка入ф 4．3． 5.
кápavos 1．4．3．
кard́：in comp．1．1．2，1．1．4，1．1． 29 （followed by gen．1．7．9，2．4．9）．

Acc．，as a result of 2．1． 4 ；like 2. 3． 30 ；along 2．4．24；by way of 4.2. 14；opposite 4．5．17；кат＇keciva in that region 3．5．17；of standard after
comparatives 3．3．1；кari loxiv 1． 3.

 каrd $\pi 6$ Sas 2．I． 20.
катaSbew 1．6．35．
катакбォтш 1．5． 3.
катакр $\eta \mu \mathrm{L}$（द）2．2．1．31．
кardioyos 2．3．20，2．3．51，2． 4.28.
катdoraols 2．3． 26.
кaradporfow with inf．in ind．disc．4．5． 12.

$\kappa$ ќre I．4． 3.

кıwSunsion 1．4． 17.
кス介fors 1． 7.13.
ко $A_{\eta}$ vads 1．6． 19.
Kotwg 1．3．12；kotvi 1．2． 10 ；of dand Tồ кouroo 2．4．37．
коибтis 1．1． 30.
kopq 2．1． 8.
кбттаßоя 2．3． 56.
кратt由 3．5．5．
крatiotov，ті 2． 3.44
kplotv тоиíw 5．2．35．
кíx ${ }^{2}$ 2． 2.4 ． 11 ．
K6́ncov 2．3．56．

$\lambda$ fyo with inf，not in ind．disc．1．5． 9.
גeukoonal 2．4． 25.
 4．8．15，5．2． 21.

 4． 9.


$\lambda$ rualvopal with dat．and acc．2．3． 26.
$\mu a \lambda a{ }^{2 .} 4.2$.

mầ入ov：modifying a clause 5．1． 36 ； mâג入ov भैं oủ 6．3． 15.
$\mu$＇ya фpovien 2．4．27．
melev 1．5．4．
$\mu \lambda_{1}$ 2．2．21．$^{2}$
$\mu \boldsymbol{k}$ ：out of its logical place 1.4 .4 ；sub－ ordinating 1．7．28，2．3．27，3．3．1， 5．2．16；repeated 3．1．5，5．1．29， 6．4．9；omitted 4．5．4；without cor－ relative 8f 5．2．12，6．4．20；$=\mu \boldsymbol{\mu v}$ 3．4．9；$\mu$ iv $8 \nmid$ concluding a topic 5.1 ． 35；${ }^{\text {mèv }}$. ．． $\operatorname{kal}(\mathrm{kal} 86) 6.3 .6,14$.
$\mu$ iviot：кal ．．．$\mu$ र́vтоt 3．1．1，3．1．7， 4．5． 10.
merd in comp．I．6． 19.
$\mu k$ т由rov 2． 1.23.
MXpt p．36；with subjv．1．1．27；with opt．1．3．II；$\mu \hat{k}$ Xpe тpos 4．3．9．
$\mu$ ！：after $\sigma ф a \lambda \in \rho \delta v_{2}$ 2．1．2；with part． 1．7．31；with adj．4．8．1；with inf．in ind．disc．2．4．23，4．5．12；redundant


$\mu$～1．5．5．
mosxace 1．6．15．
нора 2．4．31，3．5．22，6．4．12，7．5． 10.
vá̃apX09 1．5．1，1．6． 29.
vo8apmber 1．3． 15.
vffor without art．4．8．7．
vovv $\delta t$ but as it is，in fact 2．3．28，6．5． 26.
§aváos 3．5．7．
tivia 1．1．9，6．4． 20.
$\delta:$ ol $\delta \ell$ without preceding of $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} v$ 1．2．14； $\delta \mu \hat{\nu}$ Tis 4．5． 14.
SOav 1．7． 19.
olkoeay for olzot 1． 4 10．

olos：attraction with 1．4．16；with inf．

2．3． 45 ；ota $8 f=$ ate 5．4．39；oโóv TE with inf．instead of opt．in apod． 2．4． 28.

б $\mu$ ббध 3．4． 23.
ס＇тлa ：camp 2．4．6；with тl0єран 2．3．20，
 2．4． $25,5.4 .17$.
d゙тot 3．4．1，3．5． 10.
бтбт 6．5．48．
бтбтерея 1．7． 23.
d＇mws ：with superl．6．3．9；indir．for
 with subjv．1．6．9；with opt．2．3．13；

6́pOpos 2．1．22，4．5． 18.
бриаоцан 1．4． 23.
 2．4． 31.
ס̈ть：introducing dir．disc．1．5．6；סัтt $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ 1．7．6；followed by inf．in ind．disc． 2．2．2，5．4．35；replaced by al 2.3 ． 53 ．
－6：accented 1．1．21，1．7．19；redun－ dant 2．3．16，6．3．6，6．3．15；0ůk

 d $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oist 2．4． 14.
－む\＆2．3． $4 \mathrm{I}, 3.5 .5,3.5 .14,4.8 .5$ ， 4．8．14，5．4．23，7．5．25；0038＇ $\mathrm{m}_{8}$

－ 0 Sels：continuative I．6．14；046t dis 2．2． 10.
OU゙T ．．．TE 3．4．8．
－ข์тos：emphatic 1．3．15；resumptive 1．7． $25,2.3 .43$ ；referring to the fol－ lowing 2． $3.45,53$ ；in attrib．position
 2．3． 53 ．
raibes kal puvaikes 1．3． 19.


тaph：in comp．5．1．26；with gen．
6．3．10；with acc．1．2．9；rap exd－

тарав入һрата 2．1． 22.
тарабеlкичй 2．1． 14
тapavole 2．3． 36.
тарат（ттш 1．6． 4.
тарарб́рата 1．6． 19.
тараткеváfo suborn 1．7．8；тараоккеva－
topat with is and fut．part．1．6． 3 ，
3．4． 20 ；with is and prep．phrase 2．1． 22.
$\pi$ TK̂ I．I．II．
$\pi \not \approx \mu \pi \omega_{\text {2．2．7，3．1．} 7 .}$
тevenkovitip 3．5．22．
тeveqkoottis 3．5．22．
ntтрауа 1．4．2．
mepl：with gen．，in view of 2．1．6；with acc．，about（one＇s person）3． 4.10 re to
deal with 1．7．30；む̈s тepl 5．4．14．

тepıopáe with part．6．3．1．
тєр（mגovs 1．6． 3 I．
тлalowv 4．3． 4
$\pi \lambda$ tov 2．4．12，3．4． 13.
$\pi \lambda$ eovesta 3．5． 15 ．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon_{0}$ I．6． 16.
$\pi \lambda_{0}$ Tov I．I．15，I．2．I．
тодıтеiopal 2．4．43．
тотt in a question 2．3． 3 I ；del потa 3．5． 11 ．
траһүна 4．2．11，5．2．12；траүната 1．4．16，1．6．13，2．1．2，3．4． 25 ， 3．5．1，4．5． 19.
$\pi \rho l v:$ with inf．1．I．31；after neg． 6．5． 23 ；with ind．2．1． 24 ；with opt．
2．3．48，2．4． 18.
$\pi \rho \delta$ in comp．1．5． 7.
трово入才 I． 7.35.
троßovidevpa 1．7． 7 ．
тропүорєь I．I． 27.

трофкаито 7．5． 17.

троvор 1．1． 33 ．
тposerves 1．I． 35 ．
тротive I．5． 6.
тpós：in comp．2．4．8， 22.
Dat．，local 1．1．17，I．2．10，1．3．2． Acc．，with a view to 1．1．31；with Bon日few 1．2．3，1．2．9；with numeral 1．2．18；in view of 1．6．5；about，upon 2．1．12；towards（of time）2．4．6；in regard to 2．3．19；$\pi \rho \delta \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}$ 6p01ov 2．4． 15.
$\pi p o 0_{0} e v$ with pres．4．8． 4.
тробка入tодая 1．7． 12.
тробтатір 1．7．2，5．1． 36.
тротаниіоу 5．4．6．

трот $10 \eta \mu$ I．7． 14.
тро́фагия with inf．3．5． 5.
mevodrouat with inf．1．4．11．
mês oi 2．3． 22.

बкutá入ך 5．2． 34.
otarth 5．2． 22.
otevoropla 1．3．7．
orparचyol，Athenian 1．4．10，1．4．21， 1．5．16，1．7．1．
orpatidy moleiv 5．2． 20.
बтратเดิтاs I．1． 36.
бтрато́те反ov 1．1． 3.
бukoфavtla 2．3． 10.
бüßoudeúa 2．2． 15.

бu $\mu \mu \mathrm{p}$ ра 1．1．7． 30.
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \sigma_{\omega}$ 1．5． 20.
оüфоре́ús 6．4．14．
бонфра́ттш I．I． 7.
$\sigma$ vv：for $\mu e \tau$ a p．35；with dat．of accom－ paniment I．I．11；omitted I． 2.12.
ouvalites 1．I． 30.
－б́vтаүиа 3．4．2，5．2． 20.

－paiepós 2．1． 2.
бра入入へ 4．5． 18.
бөिа 2．1． 19.
outypla 2．2． 10.

TaklapXer 1．6．29．
raxcia 1．1． 36.

1．1． 34 ；TE．．．nal 8i 2．4．6；TE．．．
8 каl 5．1． 28.
TelX 08 piov 2．1． 28.
T $\lambda_{\eta}$ 3．4． 26.
тtхvaгна 6．4．7．
тl0яцаи тd 8тia 2．3．20，2．4．12，4．5．8， 5．4． 8.
TLs：$=$ on or man 1.5 .15 ；$\delta \mu \hat{v}$ тLs 4. 5．14．
тptipapxos 1．6． 35.
тротаiov 4．5．10；Ібтаран（Іоттй） 2．4．7．
Tupavvls 2．3． 16.

ETrư 1．3． 19.
Unacriotis 4．5．14
V̊repoésta 4．2．14，7．5． 12.
บ็สర ：in comp．5．1． 30 ；of agent after verb in active 1．1．27；after verbal noun 1．5．19；to the accompariment of 2．2．23．
บ์тотโขต 5．4． 40.
ย́Tठ́rTws 2．3． 40.
บ́тофора $\mu$ ทviv 5．1．29
ป゙тюцобโа 1．7．34．
Ů－TEpalf 2．1． 15.

фа（vopal 3．4．16；with part．6．3． 9 ； фalverv фpoupar 3．5． 6.
фdлayt：fril фdлayros 1．7．29；$\lambda_{0}$ 卉 6．4． 12.
фадаvos 1．6．3．
феронеvos with adv．1．5．17，2．1． 6.

фо ${ }^{\text {epeposs with inf．1．4．} 17 .}$
$\phi \phi \beta$ os with clause in ind．disc．7． 5. 24
фpoupd 2．4．29，3．5． 6.
фи入ो 2． 4.4
Xара́бpa 4．2．15．
Xйıта 3．5．16．
XCuls 2．1． 8.
xphomat 2．4． 37.

©pa 2．1．I．
is ：as final particle p．36；in obj．clauses p．36，2．1．22，2．3．33；in result clauses p． 36 ；with inf．denoting intended re－ sult 1．6．20；followed by inf．in ind． disc．3．4．27，6．5．42；is नovedovert cinetv 7．5．6；with causal part．1．1．24， 1． 4.20 ；parallel with opt．I．2． 15 ； $=$ äre 5.4 .4 ；with fut．part．1．1．33， 1．6．3，3．4．20，3．5．19，4．2． 18 ；with prep．phrase of purpose 1．1．12；with part．in ind．disc．p．37；as proof that 2．3． 27 ；©s rdxovs eixev 4．5． 15 ； with mipl 5．4．14．
drel 1．2． 9.
Lowtp 5．2． 35 ；with acc．abs．2．3．19．
Gove 3．5． 23 ；with inf．of purpose 2. 4.8.

## ENGLISH INDEX

[The references are to the notes (by book, chapter, and section), unless otherwise designated.]

## Academy 2. 2. 8.

Accusative : adverbial 1. 1. 5, 1. 1. 30 ; cognate 1. 1. 22, 1. 2. 17, 1. 6. 37 ; double 3. 5. 12; time, with ordinal 2. 4. 13; after imidav@ivo 1 al 6. 4. 24 ; specification, phrase as 1. 1. 34 ; on account of motion implied 1.4.18, 1. 7. 29, 3. 5. 19; with omitted subj. of inf. 1.6.37; absolute 2.3.19, 2.3.21.
Active form, passive force 1. 1. 27.
Adjective: with adverbial force 2. 1. 17 ; with $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ 4. 8. I.
Aeginetan drachma 5. 2. 21.
Aegosputami, treason at 2. 1. 32.
Affirmative supplied from preceding neg. 1. 1. 29, 1. 7. 6, 2. 2. 3.

Agesandridas 1. 1. 1, 1. 1. 23, 1. 3. 17, pp. 18, 353 f.
Agesilaus 3. 3. 3, 3. 4. 3-5, 3. 4. 27, 4 . 2. 3, 5. 2. 32, 5.4 13, $5.4 .41,6.3$. 19, 7. 5.22 , pp. $10 \mathrm{f} ., 13,30 \mathrm{f}$.

Agis 3. 3. 1, pp. 9, 16.
Agreement : of pred. adj. 1. 2. 10 ; with substs. of different genders 1. 3. 19 ; with nearer noun 1. 4. 15 ; of verb with appositive 1. 7. 5 ; neut. pred. adj. with masc. or fem. subj. 2. 1. 8; plur. verb with neut. plur. subj. p. 35 .
Alcibiades 1. 1. 2, 1. 1. 5, 1. 1. 12, 1. 4.8, 1. 5. 16, 2. 3. 42, pp. 15-18.

Alcibiades, cousin of the preceding 1 . 2. 13.

Alliteration 2. 3. 49, 5. 4. 16, 6. 3. 3-4.
Amnesty of Patroclides 2. 2. 11; of 403 в.C. 2. 4. 38, 2. 4. 43.
Anabasis: of Xenophon 3. 1. 2, pp. 12 f., 359, note 1; of Themistrgenes 3. 1. 2, p. 359, note 1.

Anacolutha p. 37.
Antalcidas 5. 1. 25, 6. 3. 12; Peace of 4. $5.6,4.8$. $14,5.1 .25,28,31,35,36$, pp. 14, 23.
Antandrus 1. 1. 25.
Antecedent: omitted 1. 1. 30 ; incorporated in rel. clause $1.3 .17,1.5 .18$.
Anytus 2. 3. 42.
Aorist: for (Eng.) plupf. 1. 1. 15 ; inceptive 1. 5. 6; paralled with histor. pres. 2. 1. 15 ; gnomic, parallel with pres. 2. 3. 29 ; with impf. 5. 1. $2 \%$.
Apaturia 1. 7. 8.
Aphrodisia 5.4.4.
Apollo 4. 3. 21.
Apposition: explanatory 1. 1. 6; partitive 5.4.40; of inf. with subst. 2. 3. 52; with sentence 6. 3. 8.
Arcadian league pp. 27, 320.
Archias 5. 4. 5 .
Archons, Athenian, names employed in chronological determination, 1. 2. 1, p. 24 f.
Arginusae, Athenian tactics at 1.6.29.
Argives 1. 3. 13, 2. 2. 7, 2. 4. 1, 3. 5. 11, 4. 5. 1, 5. 1. 29.

Ariobarzanes 1. 4. 7, 5. 1. 28.
Aristarchus 1. 7. 28.
Aristotle p. 33 f.; cited 1. 1. 23, 2. 3. 2, etc.
Artemis 1. 2.6.
Article: with $\beta$ aculabs, omitted I. 1. 9, used I. 2. 19; designating part 1. 1. 18; omitted 1. 1. 2, 1. 1. 29, 1. 2. 12, 4. 8. 7; each 1. 5. 4; with $\delta \pi$ dтepos 1. 7. 23; rhetorical omission of 2.4. 13; with things well known 4. 2. 12, 4. 3. 10, 4. 5. 7; with inf. in ind. disc. 5. 2. 36.

Aspirated words, accumulation of 6.3.3.
Assembly, Athenian, procedure in 1. 7.7, 1. 7. 12, 1. 7. 14, 1. 7. 34, 6. 5. 36.

Astyochus 1. 1. 3 I.
Asyndeton 2.4. 33, 4. 3. 19.
Athena, temples of 1. 6. 1, 2.3.20; state deity of Athens 1. 7. 10.
Athens: Xenophon's attitude toward 2. 2. 3, p. 30 f.; population of 2.3.24; Second Athenian Confederacy 5.4.34, 6. 3. 19.

Attraction: of relative 1. 1. 30, 1. 5. 18; inverse 1. 4. 2; with otos 1. 4. 16; of pred. adj. 1. 2. 10, 1. 5. 2; to nom. with inf. 1. 5. 3, 2. 1. 26 (cp. 5.4.1); absence of 1. 7. 6 .
Autonomy provision in Peace of Antalcidas 4. 8. 14, 5. 1. 33.

Blending of constructions 2. 2. 2, 2. 3. 51, 2. 4. 36.

Boeotarchs 3. 4.4.
Boeotian league 4. 8. 15, 5. 1. 32, 5. 2. 16. Brachylogy 1. 1. 23, 2. 3. 19.

Cadmea, citadel of Thebes: seizure of 5. 2. 32, p. 14; recovery of p. 14.

Callias 6. 3. 3; Peace of 6. 3. 18, 19. Callicratidas i.6.1.

Cannonus, decree of 1. 7. 20, 1. 7. 34.
Captives, treatment of 1.6.15, 2. 1. 15, 2. 1. 19; by the Athenians 2. 2. 3.

Captured ships, use of 1. 5. 15, 1. 6. 3, 1. 6. 16, 1. 6. 26.

Ceramicus 2. 4. 33.
Chiasmus 1. 6. 7, 2.4. 18.
Clearchus 1. 1. 35.
Clearing for action 1. 1. 13.
Cleombrotus 5.4.14, 6.4.5, 6.4.13.
Cleophon 1. 7. 35.
Cnidus, battle of 4. 3. 10, 4. 3. 12, 4. 8. 2, p. 14.

Comparison, short-cut 2. 3. 22; with redundant kal 1.7. 13.
Conditions: vivid fut. 1. 1. 15; in ind. disc. 1. 1. 22; fut. ind. in protasis 1. 1. $35,2.3 .17$; mixed 1. 7.28 , 2. 3. 37; past general 1. 6. 20; pres. general 2. 3. 29; olov Te (elkos) with inf., instead of opt.in apod. 2. 4. 28, 3.4. 18.

Conon 1.5.16, 18, 3. 4. 1, 28, 4. 3. 1012, 4. 8. 10, 12.
Construction according to sense 1. I. IO, 1. 4. 12, 13, 2. 1. 24, 2. 3. 55.

Corinthian War 4. 2. 1, 4. 3. 15, p. 13 f.; causes of 3.5. I.
Corinthians 2.4.30, 3.4.3,4.5. 1.
Coronea, battle of 4.3.16, p. 14.
Coryphasium (Pylos) 1. 2. 18.
Cratesippidas 1. 1. 32, 1. 5. 1.
Critias 2. 3. 2, 15, 34.
Cynossema, battle of 1. 1. 1, p. 19.
Cyrus the Younger 1.4.3, I. 5. 3, 3. I. I, 3, p. 10 f.

Dative: degree of difference 1. 1. 1; accompaniment 1. 1. 11, 1. 2. 12; time 1. I. 13, I.4.12; manner 1. I. 16; agent 1. 3. 20; relation 2. 1. 27, 7. 5 .

6; likeness 2. 2. 20, 4. 8. 14; cause 3 . 4. 9; personal with dua 1. 1. 26; on account of rest implied in perf. 4. 3. 18; after ìjopas 4. 2. 9.
Decarchies, Lysander's 2. 2. 1, 5, 3.4.2, 3. 5.13.

Decelea 1. 1. 33, 35, p. 16.
Delphinium 1. 5. 15.
Demaratus 3. I. 6.
Dercylidas 4. 3. 1, 4. 8. 3, p. 10.
Diodorus Siculus p. 32; cited 1. 1. 2 and frequently.
Doric forms 1. 1. 23, 37, 3. 3. 2, 4. 5. 8, 6. 5. 30.

Dorieus 1. 1. 2, 1. 5. 19.
Drachma, Attic 1. 5. 4; Aeginetan 5. 2. 21 .

Dual and plural together 5.4.19.
Eclipses 1. 6. 1, 4. 3. 10, pp. 26, 362.
Elections at Athens 1. 5. 16.
Eleusinian mysteries 1. 4. 16, 20, 2. 4. 20, 6. 3. 3, 6, p. 16.

Eleven, the 1. 7. 10, 2. 3. 54, 2. 4. 38.
Epaminondas 6. 3. 19, 6. 4. 12, 6. 5. 51, 7. 5. 8, 22, p. 31.

Ephors, Spartan 2. 4. 29, 36; names employed in chronological determination 1. 2. 1, p. 24 f.
Epitome theory p. 27 f.
Erasinides 1. 6. 29.
Eteonicus 2. 1. 1.
Euagoras 2. 1. 29, 3. 4. 1, 5. 1. 31.
Final clauses, see Purpose.
Four Hundred, the I. I. 12, 1. 4. 16, 1. 7. 28, 2. 3. 18, 30, p. 18.

Future: in protasis 1. 1. 35, 2. 3. 17; in apod. replaced by pres. I. I. 35 ; with $\delta^{\circ} \pi \omega^{\omega} \mu \mathrm{f}$ 5.2. 15 ; in rel. clause of pur-

part. 1. 1. 8; with is 1. 1. 33; replaced by pres. 2. 1. 7.

Genitive: comparison I. 1. 2, 4. 2. 18; time 1. 1. 13; separation 1. 1. 22, 1. 1. 35; Ionic 1. 1. 29; Doric 1. 1. 37; price or value 1. 3. 19, 2. 1. 1; measure 4. 2. 7; cause 6.4. 19; absolute, substantive omitted I. I. 16, 1. I. 26; for part. in agreement 3. 5. 8, 6. 4. 8; partitive, in attributive position 1. 2. 18; with a phrase 1. 3. 4, 2. 2. $15,5.4$. 38; without attraction in gender 4. 3. 15; chorographic 1. 1. 22; with $\mathbf{d x}=$ partitive 2. 3. 32, 3.4. 23; with adverbs 1. 4 11, 1. 5. 20, 2. 1. 14, 3. 4. 16; dependent upon noun understood 5. 4. 6; poss., with clause 6. 4. 5, 6. 5. 46, 7. 5. 8; with
 1. 7. 9, 2. 4. 9; with various verbs 1. 4. 12, 2. 1. 32, 2. 3. 53, 2. 4. 15, 4. 8.14.

Gythium I. 4. II.
Haliartus, battle of 3.5 .19 , p. 13.
Hannibal 1. 1. 37.
Harbors of Athens 2. 2. 4, 2. 4. 31 .
Heliographing 2. I. 27.
Hellenica: subject and scope p. 13 f.; relation to Thucydides pp. 19-21, 351355; divisions pp. 21-23, 355-360; interpolations in Pp. 23-26, 361-363; defects and merits pp . 26-32; supposed unfinished condition of pp. 28 f ., 353 f .; omissions in 1. 2. 18, 1. 3. 8, 1. 3. 13, 1. 4. 2, 1. 5. II f., 1. 6. 4, 1. 6. 15, 2. 1. 1, 2. 2. 1, 4. 3. 3, 4. 3. 10, 5. 2. 32, 5. 4. 34, 6. 5. 23, 6. 5. 51, pp. 27, 31, 354; instances of lack of proportion in 1. 2. 18, 1. 4. 20, 1. 5. 3, 1. 6.

2，2．1．2，2．3．2，p．27；inconsisten－ cies in pp．27，354；summaries in 1．1．27，1．2． 18.
Hellespont，importance of p． 19.
Heraclea 1．2． 18.
Herippidas 3．4． 20.
Hermae，mutilation of 1.4 16，p． 15 f．
Hermocrates 1．1．27，28，31，1．3．13， p． 16.
Hippocrates 1．1． 23.
Hyacinthia 4．5．II．
Imbros 4．8．15，5．1． 31.
Imperfect：progressive 1．1．2，5．1． 27 ； ingressive 1．3．4，2．2．23；conative 1．6． 28 ；descriptive 2．1． 21 ；objec－ tive p． $3^{6}$ ；of habitual action 1． 5.9 ； of repetition 1．6． 21 ；of likelihood 1．7． 7 ；of resistance to pressure 2.2. II ；of truth just realized 3．4．9；par－ ticiple 1．1． $3^{\circ}$ ；for plupf．1．1． $3^{1,} 6$. 3．3；without av 2．3． 27,41 ；retained in ind．disc．3．5． 23 ；represented by pres．inf．1．3．19；by pres．opt． 1 ． 7． 5 ；with $\mathbf{a} v$ ，of customary action 6 ． 411.

Impersonal in passive 1．3． 20.
Indicative：potential 1．7．7；with 8cos 1．1． 29 ；with $\pi \rho$ ใv 2．1． 24 ；fut．in rel．clause of purpose 2． 3.2 ；with 8 rows $\mu$ 个 5．2． 15 ；of non－fulfillment with tas 2．3． 42 ；parallel with opt．， in ind．disc．3．5． 23.
Indirect discourse：with opt．1．1．28；
 tained I．1． 15 ；implied，with opt． 1. 1．22，1．4．19；with ind．and opt．in same sentence 3．5．23， 25 ；pres．inf． representing impf．1．3．19；pres．opt． representing impf．1．7． 5 ；objective tenses in p．36；inf．in，with $\mu$ 个 2.4 ．

23，4．5．12；after ס́tl 2．2．2，5．4． 35 ； after $3.4 .27,6.5 .42$ ；after ката－ фpovion 4．5．12；with art．5．2．36； suppl．part．in I．I．II；part．with is p． 37 ；suggested by word of com－ mand 2．1．14；changed to direct 1. 1． 28.
Infinitive：purpose 1．1．22；legal 1．7． 9；explanatory 2．3． 51 ；adverbial （absolute）2． $4.21,3.5 .9$ ；with $\pi \rho / v$ 1．1．31，6．5．23；with is，${ }^{2}$ orte denot－ ing purpose 1．6．20，2．4．8；of pos－ sible result 2．1． 14 ；with $1 \phi^{\prime} \Phi^{+} 2.2$ ． 20，2．3．11，3．5．1；parallel with subst． 1．2．10；in apposition with subst． 2. 3． 52 ；with $\phi 0 \beta \in \boldsymbol{p}^{2}$ ．1．4．17；with altios and compounds 2．3．32，7．5．18； with oios 2．3． 45 ；with otov re，eluós instead of opt．in apod．2．4．28，3． 4 ． 18，3．5． 10 ；in ind．disc．：pres．rep－ resenting impf．1．3．19；with ruv日6 vораи，etc．1．4．II；with itmov I． 6. 7 ；after ©̊ti 2．2．2，5．4．35；after ©s 3．4． $27,6.5 .42$ ；with $\mu$ f 2．4．23， 4．5．12；after кaтaфpovte 4．5．12； suggested by word of command 2． 1. 14；with art．5．2． 36 ；parallel with part．6．5． 24 ；not in ind．disc．：pres． or aor．in fut．sense p． 36 f ；with citrov 1．1．13；with $\sigma v Y X$ ©pte 1．3．8；with
 $\pi \rho$ офабเv 3． 5.5 ；with $\pi f \mu \pi \omega$ 3．1． 7 ； with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{PX}$ erat 3．4． 27 ；with verb of hindering 4．8． 5,6 ；perf．5．4． 7 ； articular，with av 1． $4.20 .^{2}$
Ionic genitive 1．1． 29.
Iphicrates 4．5．3，5．1．25，6．5． 5 1．
Isthmian games 4.5 .1.
Kings，Spartan 2．4．29，36，3．3．I． Knights，Athenian 1．6． 24.

Laconic brevity I. 1. 23, 3. 3. 2.
Legal procedure, Athenian 1. 7. 5, 9, 10, 12, 20, 34, 35.
Lemnos 4. 8. 15, 5. 1. 31.
Leon 1. 6. 30, 1. 7. 1, 2. 3. 39.
Leotychides 3. 3. 1.
Leuctra, battle of 6.4 .12, p. 14.
Long Walls, the 2. 2. 3; importance of 4. 8. 10.

Lyceum 1. 1. 33.
Lysander 1. 6. 4, 2. 1. 6, 2. 2. 5, 2. 3. 2, 2. 3. 3, 3. 3. 3, 3. 4. 2.

Lysias, the general 1. 6. 30, 1. 7. 32.
Lysias, the orator p. 34 ; cited 1. 6. 16 and frequently.

Mantinea, battle of 7. 5. 22, 26, pp. 14, 23.

Megalopolis 7. 5. 5, p. 27.
Messenia 6. 5. 51, 7. 5. 27, p. 27.
Metics 2. 3. 21.
Middle with passive meaning 6. 4. 6.
Mindarus I. I. I, I. 1. 4, p. 19.
Navy, rate of pay in 1. 5. 4.
Naxos, battle of pp. 14, 296.
Negative, redundant 1. 7. 32, 6. 3. 15 ; double 2. 3. 16, 6. 3. 6 ; with part. and verb 3. 5. 18.
Nemea, the, battle of 4. 2. 16, 18, 21, 4 . 3. I, p. 14.

Nicias 2. 3. 39 ; Peace of p. 15.
Notium, battle of 1. 5. 16.
Object clauses : Xenophon's use in p. 36; with subjv. 1. 5.9 ; with is and fut. opt. 2. 1. 22 ; with $\mathrm{E} v$ and opt. 2. 3 . 13; with es and subjv. 2. 3. 33 .
Odeum 2. 4. 9.
Olympic era 1. 2. 1; Olympiads, use in chronological determination p. 24 f.

Olynthian league 5. 2. 12.
Optative: wish 2. 3. 37 ; purpose 1. 1. 15 ; with ömos, parallel with fut. part. 1. 3. 17; parallel with subjv. 2. 1. 2 ; with iss in obj. clause 2. 1. 22 ; with ave in obj. clause 2. 3. 13; cause with is 1. 2. 15; with $\mu$ xxpt 1. 3. 11; with $\pi \rho$ iv 2. 3. $48,2.4$. 18 ; potential represented by part. 2. 3. 48 ; indicating improbability 4. 8. 5 ; in past general condition 1. 6. 20 ; in prot., parallel with acc. abs. 2. 3. 19 ; in apod., replaced by olóv re, eluós with inf. 2. 4 . $28,3.4 .18,3.5 .10$; in ind. disc. 1 . 1. 28 ; implied 1. 1. 22, 1. 4. 19; for interrogative subjv. 1.3.21; pres. representing impf. 1. 7. 5 ; parallel with indic. 3. 5. 23 ; with yap 6. 5. 36.
Orchomenus 3. 5. 6, 6. 4. 10.
Order of words 1. I. 23, 1. 2. 10, 1. 3. 19, 1. 4. 4, 2. 1. 1, 2. 3. 37, 2. 4. 10, 2. $4-$ 17. 3. 4. 1, 3. 4. 11, 3. 5. 3. 3. 5. 22, 4. 3. $2,4.5 .4,5.1 .35,6.5 .33,6.5$. 44 ; position of ovitos 2.4 .41 ; of pers. pronoun in gen. 1. 4. 16, 2.4.20; of part. gen. 1. 2. 18.

Paean 2. 4. 17, 4. 5. 11 .
Pangaeum, Mt., mines of 5.2.17.
Paralus 2. I. 28.
Participle: attributive 1. 1. 10 ; with del 1. 2. 10 ; with ${ }^{6}$ v for pot. opt. 2. 3.48 ; without art. as indef. subst. 2. 1. 8; impf. I. I. 30 ; pred. 1. 5. 14 ; circumstantial: causal with is 1. 1. 24, 1. 4. 20 ; parallel with opt. 1. 2. 15; purpose 1. 1. 8 ; pres. instead of fut. 2. I. 7; parallel with ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega$ clause 1. 3. 17; fut. with is 1. 1. 33, 1. 6. 3. 3. 4. 20 , 3. 5. 19, 4. 2. 18; parallel with temporal clause 1.4.4; with adv. 1.4.13;
with prep. phrase 2. 1. I; accumulation 1. 1. 31 ; redundant 1.2.1, 1.2.2; containing question 1.5 .6 ; containing main idea 1. 6. 10,2 2. 2. 16 ; in gen. abs., subst. omitted .1. 1. 16, 26 ; with \&ite 2. 3. 15 ; with su่0ís 2. 1. 21 ; with dqa. 4. 3. 14; supplementary: with ruyxúve 1. e. 8 ; with $\pi$ aíe 1.6. 15; with $\pi$ repophe 6. 3. 1; omitted 6. 3.10 ; in ind. disc. 1. 1. 11, 1.6. 20 ; with is p. 37 ; after фа(voцан 6.3.9; after \%ouca 6. 3.8; parallel with inf. 6. 5. 24.

Pasippidas 1. 1. 32, 1. 3. 13.
Pausanias 3. 5. 25, p. 357 f.
Pelopidas 5. 4. 3, p. 33.
Peloponnesian confederacy 5. 1. 33, 5. 2. 11, 6. 3. 19, p. 15.
Peloponnesian War pp. 15-19; terms of peace 2. 2. 20.
Peltasts, Iphicrates' 4. 5. 3.
Perfect: of a pres. state 2.4. 19, 3.4.7, 4. 3. 18, 5. 4. 39 ; inf. 5. 4. 7.

Pericles (the younger) 1. 5.16, 1. 7. 16.
Periphrasis 1. 5. 5.
Personal construction: with $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda$ os 1. 6. 20 ; with 8ixalos 1. 7. 4 ; with 8oxic 4. 5. 18.

Pharnabazus 1. 1. 6, 1.4.3, 3.4. 1, 4. 8. 2, 5. 1. 28, pp. 16, 19.

Phlius pp. 27, 360.
Phocis 6. 3. 1.
Phoebidas 5. 2. 32, 5. 4 41.
Phoenicia 3.4. ${ }^{1}$.
Phrase as subst. 1. 1. 34, 1. 2. 18, 1. 3.4, 1. 6. 5 .

Phyle 2.4. 2.
Piraeus 2. 2. 4, 2. 4. II.
Plataea 5. 4. 10, 6. 3. I.
Pluperfect: objective p. 36 ; of immediate occurrence 6. 4. 13.

Plural with neut. plur. subj. p. 35 ; and dual together 5. 4. 19.
Plutarch p. 32 f.; cited 1. 1. 5 and frequently.
Plynteria 1. 4. 12.
Poetical and rare words in Xenophon p. 37.

Polemarch, Spartan 2. 4. 33; Theban 5. 2. 25, 5. 4. 2.

Population of Athens 2. 3. 24.
Potential indicative 1.7.7.
Preposition: with numeral as subst. 1. 2. 18, 2. 4. 5 ; determined by verbal idea 1. 3. 9, 3. 5. 23 ; not repeated with rel. 1. 6. 11.
Present: conative 1. 2. 15; with perf. meaning 1. 1. 27,35, 1. 7. 20, 4. 8. 4 ; instead of fut. 1. 1. 35 ; parallel with gnomic aor. 2. 3. 29 ; histor., parallel with aor. 2. 1. 15 ; opt., inf., and part. representing impf. 1. 7. 5, 1. 3. 19, 1. 1. $30,1.7 .28$; part. instead of fut. 2. 1. 7.

Prolepsis 1. 4. 11, 18, 3.4. 2.
Prytanes 1. 7.14.
Purpose: with part. I. 1. 8; with is and part. 1. 1. 33, 1. 6. 3. 3. 4. 20 ; with prep. phrase 1. 1. 12; with opt. 1. I. 15 ; with ©ote, is and inf. 2.4.8, 1. 6. 20 ; with is and fin. verb p. 36 ; rel. clause of 2.3 . 2 ; inf. of 1. 1. 22.

Reflexive: third person for second p. 35; replaced by lecivos 1. 1. 27; with strengthening intensive 1. 1. 28 ; as reciprocal 1. 2. 17 ; indirect, for pers. pronoun 1. 7. 5.
Result: intended 1. 6. 20 ; possible 2. 1.
 rel. clause of 7.5.17.

Sacrifice 4. 3. 14
Samius 3. 1. 1.
Samos 1. 2. 1, p. 17.
Scillus p. if.
Scyros 4. 8. 15, 5. 1. 31.
Selinus 1. 2. 8, 10.
Senate, Athenian, composition of 1. $7 \cdot$ 14; functions of 1.7.3, 1.7.7; under the Thirty 2. 3. 23.
Sestus 1. 1. 36.
Sicilian expedition p. 15 f.
Slaves, employment of in war 1. 6, 24.
Socrates 1. 7. 15, 2. 3. 39, pp. 9-11, 349.
Sparta: Xenophon's attitude toward 3.5. 12,5.4. 1, p. 30 f .; rule of $3.5 .1,3$. 5. 13, 5. 1. 31, 33, $36,5.2$. 32, 5. 4. 2, 6. 3.19 ; depopulation of $6.4,16,6$. 5. 23, 28 ; Spartan honor 4. 5. 14, 6. 4. 16; prestige 4. 5. 17.

Spartiates 6. 4. 15.
Subjunctive: interrogative 1. 6. 5 ; changed to opt. 1. 3. 21 ; in fut. protasis 1. 1. 15 ; with Icos, $\mu$ ixpt 1. 1. 24 ,
 1.6. 32 ; with 8тws, ${ }^{2}$ s in obj. clause 1. 5. 9, 2. 3. 33; retained in ind. disc. 1. 1. 15 ; parallel with opt. 2. 1. 2.

Syracuse 1. 1. 18 ; Syracusan expedition p. 15 f.

Ten, the: in Athens 2. 4. 23, 38 ; in Piraeus 2. 4. 19, 38.
Ten Thousand, the 3. 1. 6, 3. 4. 20, 4. 3. 15, p. 10.
Thasos 1. 1. 32, 1. 4. 9.
Thebans 2.4. 1, 2. 4. 30, 3. 4. 3. 4. 2. 18, 4. 3. 3. 4. 8. 15,5 . 1. 32, 5. 4. 9, 6. 3. 1, 6. 3. 19, 6. 4. 12, 6. 5. 23 ; Xenophon's feeling toward $3.5 .21,4.2$ 18, 5. 4. 20, 6. 5. 24, 7. 5. 12, p. 31.

Themistogenes 3. 1. 2, p. 359, note 1.
Theoric fund 1. 7. 2.
Theramenes 1. 1. 12, 1. 6. 35, 1. 7 . 4, 2. 2. $16,2.3 .2,30,35,48,51$, p. 18.

Thesmophoria 5. 2. 29.
Thespiae 5.4 10, 6. 3. I.
Thessalians 4. 3.9.
Thibron 3. 1. 5, pp. 10, 350.
Thirty, the : establishment of 2.3.2,11; government of $2.4 .9,21$; use of title 2. 3. 18, 2. 4. 23 ; excluded from amnesty 2. 4. 38.
Thrasybulus I. I. 2, 12, 1. 5. 11, 1. 6. 35, 2. 3. 42, 5. 1. 25, 26, p. 18 f.

Thrasyllus I. 1. 2, 12, 1. 4. 10, p. 18 f.
Thucydides: history pp. 13 f., 22, 351 f ., 356, 362 ; relation of Hellenica to pp. 19-21, 351-355; chronological method p. 23 f.

Thurii 1. 5. 19.
Thymochares 1. I. I.
Tiribazus 4. 8. 12, 5. 1. 25.
Tissaphernes 1. 1. 9, 31, I. 4. 3, 3. 1. 3, pp. 16-19.
Triptolemus 6. 3.6.
Truce for burial of the slain 1. 2. II.

Xenophon: life pp. 9-12, 349 f.; writings p. 12 f ; defects and merits as a historian pp. 26-32, 354 f.; syntax and style pp. 35-37 ; relation to Thucydides p. 351 f.; religious spirit p. 356 ; use of first person 2. 3. 56, p. 356 ; association with Socrates p. 9 f.; friendship with Agesilaus 3. 4. 5, p. 10 f.; attitude toward Athens 2. 2. 3, p. 30 f.; toward Sparta 3. 5. 12, 5.4. 1, p. 30 f. ; toward Thebes 3.5.21, 4. 2. 18, 5. 4. 20, 6. 5. 24, 7. 5. 12, p. 31.
-
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DUFDUC 2の:3.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A list of the authorities on this subject and a fuller discussion of some disputed points will be found in Appendix I.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Appendix I. p. 349.
    ${ }^{8}$ Egg. before the battle of Arginusae, Hellenica 1. 6. 24.
    4 Hell. 1. 1. 34 and 1. 4. 21.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. especially Hell. 1. 4. 12 f. (the return of Alcibiades), 1. 7 (the trial of the generals after Arginusae), and 2. 2. 3 f. (the events which followed the battle of Aegospotami).
    ${ }^{2}$ Anab. 7. 8. 26, Hell. 3. 1. 6. See Appendix I. p. 350.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cp. Hell. 3. 2. 7 ; but not after 395 B.c. in any event (Hell. 3. 4. 20).
    ${ }^{4}$ Cp. Plutarch, Agesilaus 18.
    ${ }^{5}$ Dio Chrysostom 8. 130, M, Pausanias 5. 6. 5, Diogenes Laertius 2. 6. 58.
    ${ }^{6}$ Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 5 I.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Anab. 3. 1. 5.
    2 It is true that Athens itself contributed troops to this very army, but rather for the purpose of getting rid of them than of aiding the Lacedaemonians. Cp. Hell. 3. 1. 4. $\quad 8$ Anab. 7.7.57. 4 See Appendix I. p. 350.
    ${ }^{5}$ This is inferred from the vividness and minuteness of his descriptions of these campaigns in Hell. 4.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plut. Ages. 20, Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 54.
    7 Anab. 5. 3. 7, Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 52, Paus. 5. 6. 5. $\quad 8$ Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 52.
    9 See below, p. 14. ${ }^{10}$ Hell.6.5.2. ${ }^{11}$ Hell. 3. 2.30. ${ }^{12}$ Diog. Laert. 2.6. 53.
    ${ }^{18}$ Diog. Laert. 2.6.59. At this time the Athenians entered into alliance with Sparta, and Xenophon's " Laconism " could more easily be forgiven.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 53.
    ${ }^{2}$ Diog. Laert. 2. 6. 54 f., where the famous anecdote is told regarding Xeno-
     child was mortal; cp. also Hell. 7. 5. 15-17.

    8 See Appendix I. p. 350.
    ${ }^{4}$ The complete list is given by Diog. Laert. 2.6.57.
    ${ }^{5}$ These are taken, for the most part, from A. Roquette, De Xenophontis Vita.
    ${ }^{6}$ See below, p. 21 f., for the divisions of the Hellenica and the reasons therefor.
    7 Roquette (op. cit.) puts it after 371 b.C. For arguments in support of the earlier date see Appendix III. p. 358 f.

[^4]:    1 It seems likely that the composition of the greater part of it should be placed considerably earlier. See Appendix III. p. 359, note i.

    2 The biographical portions of the Agesilaus are very largely transcribed, almost verbatim, from the Hellenica.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ This explains the frequent expeditions which were undertaken for the purpose. of collecting money, - expeditions which seriously interfered with the proper work of the Athenian fleet (Hell. 1. 1. 8, 1. 1. 12, 1. 1. 20, et passim).
    ${ }^{2}$ Cp. Hell. 1. 1. 14. $\quad{ }^{8}$ So Tissaphernes himself puts it, Hell. 1. 5. 9.
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[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Theramenes' own account of these events, Hell. 2. 3. 45-46.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Hell. 1.1 .9.
    ${ }^{2}$ For a fuller treatment of this subject see Appendix II. ${ }^{8}$ See above, p. 14.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Diodorus Siculus, Dionysius of Halicarnassus, and Marcellinus. See Appendix II. p. 351.
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. 13 f. ${ }^{8}$ See below, p. 21 f. ${ }^{4}$ See Appendix II. p. 352.
    ${ }^{5}$ This statement, of course, does not imply and is not intended to imply that Xenophon proposed to publish the first part of the Hellenica with the work of Thucydides or as the work of Thucydides.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some typical examples are cited in Appendix II. p. 354. ${ }^{2}$ See below, p. 27.
    8 The division into seven books was apparently not the only one known in antiquity. See Appendix III. p. 356, note 2.
    ${ }^{4}$ For a fuller statement of the arguments upon which the conclusions indicated in this section are based, see Appendix III.

[^10]:    1 See above, p. 20.
    2 See Appendix III. p. 357, note 2.
    8 In line with this conclusion is the fact that Part I. shows a knowledge of Asia Minor and the adjoining regions which Xenophon gained only by personal observation during and after 401 B.c.
    ${ }^{4}$ See above, p. ro.
    ${ }^{5}$ This is the conclusion reached by Roquette (op. cit.). Others, with less reason, place it after 387 B.C.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. I4. ${ }^{2}$ E.g. 2. 4. 43, 3. 5. 25, 4. 3. 16. See Appendix III. p. 357 f.
    ${ }^{8}$ See above, p. 14 . ${ }^{1}$ See above, p . 12.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cp. Appendix II. p. 352. 6 For additional details see Appendix IV.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 20.
    
    
    

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & 8 \text { I. I. 2. } 4 \text { 2. I. I. } 6 \text { 2. I. I. } 6 \text { I. 3. I, I. 6. I, 2. I. 7, and 2. 3. 9. } \\
    & \text { 7 I. 2. I, I. 3. I, I. 6. I, 2. I. Io, and 2. 3. I. } 8 \text { I. 2. I and 2. 3. I. }
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    9 I. 2. I and I. 3. I.
    10 I. 4.2 (the beginning of 407 B.C.). In this case the notice of the new year does not stand at the beginning of a chapter, and it consists of the simple phrase
     these reasons it seems to have been overlooked by the interpolator. This first error led to a second and a third. For the interpolator was evidently working backward

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ I. 3. 1, 1. 6. 1, 2. 3. 4.
    ${ }^{8}$ Making it $28 \frac{1}{2}$ years instead of 27 .
    ${ }^{2}$ See Appendix IV. p. 362
    42.2.

[^14]:    1 See above, p. 21.
    2 The more important instances of omissions, inequalities of treatment, and inconsistencies are considered, as they occur, in the notes. On the whole subject cp. Breitenbach's Hellenika, Einleitung sum ersten Bande, §§ 3-10, Underhill's Commentary on the Hellenica, Introd. pp. xxi-xxxiii, and Dakyns' Works of Xenophon, Vol. I. p. lxv and Vol. II. pp. xli-liii.

    8 Nevertheless, we find several references (e.g. 7. 1. 27, 7.4. 9, 7. 4. 27) to the accomplished fact of Messenian independence, and, similarly, a casual allusion (7.5.5) to the Megalopolitans.
    ${ }^{4} 7.2$.
    ${ }^{5}$ This view was first put forward by Campe (Xenophons ausgewathlte Schrifen, Zweite Abtheilung, Einl. p. 8) after Lobeck (ad Soph. Ajac. p. 443) had suggested a doubt of the Hellenica being a genuine work of Xenophon. Campe was followed and stoutly supported by Kyprianos ( $\Pi \epsilon \rho \boldsymbol{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \boldsymbol{\Xi} \epsilon \nu 0$ $\phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$ os) and Grosser (in various monographs, particularly Neue Jahrb. 95 [1867] 737 f. and Zur Charakteristik der Epitome von Xenophons Hellenika). The epitome theory, however, has been abundantly refuted by Hänel (Besitzen wir Xenophons Hell. Gesch. nur im Aussuge 9) and Vollbrecht (De Xen. Hell. in epitomen non coactis). Cp. also Nitsche (Uber die Abfassung von Xen. Hell.).

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, pp. 22-23.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Appendix II. p. 354. $\quad 2$ Cp. Underhill, Introd. pp. xxviii f.
    8 E.g. 1. 7 (the trial of the generals after Arginusae), 2. 3. 15-56 (the contest between Critias and Theramenes), 3. 1. 10-3. 2. 20, 3.4,4. 1, 4. 5 (campaigns with Dercylidas and Agesilaus).
    ${ }^{4}$ E.g. 3. 1. 10-28, 4. 1. 3-15, 5. 4. 25-33, 1. 6. 19-21, 6. 5. 17-19.
    ${ }^{5}$ Especially by Niebuhr (Kl. histor. Schrifiten 1. 464 f.) and Sievers (Comment. hist. de Xen. Hell.).

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 27. Similarly, Xenophon does not tell us of the victory won by the Thebans over the Lacedaemonians at Tegyra ( 375 B.C.), of the great extension of Theban power in northern Greece before and after the battle of Leuctra (cp. Hell. 6. 5. 23), or of the successes achieved by the Thebans in Thessaly.
    ${ }^{2}$ E.g.4. 2. 18, 3. 5. 21, 5. 4. 20, 6. 5. 23-27. $\quad{ }^{8}$ E.g. 7. 1. 33-40, 4. 2. 18.
    ${ }^{4}$ E.g. 3. 5. 21, 7. 5. 12. $\quad$ E.g. 5. 4. 1, 3. 5. 12-13, 6. 3. 7-9.
    ${ }^{6}$ E.8.3.4. 29, 5. 4. 24-34.
    ${ }^{7}$ 7. 5. 8 and 19.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Dippel, Quae ratio intercedat inter Xen. hist. graec. et Plut. vitas quaeritur.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ See below, p. 357 and note $1 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Cp. Introd. p. 22. $\quad{ }^{8}$ See Introd.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Published by K. Wessely, Mittheilungen aus der Sammlung der Papyri Erzherzog Rainer 6. 17 f. $\quad 2$ Published by Grenfell and Hunt, Oxyrhynchus Papyri, Part I. ${ }^{8}$ See Critical Notes, passim.

