# **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.





## 418903

#### ABSTRACT

Records of 21 stations were analyzed for the occurrence, persistence, and related visibility resulting from summertime wildfire smoke and haze in interior Alaska. Maximum probability of smoke occurrence for any station and month was 8.7 percent in July for Bettles. Seasonal occurrence of smoke was greatest for Tanana--3.3 percent. Smoke persistence and visibility reduction were not found to the extent previously assumed.

KEYWORDS: Fire, wildfire, smoke, Alaska.

Richard J. Barney was Principal Fire Control Scientist, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fairbanks, Alaska. He is now Principal Fire Management Scientist, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Northern Forest Fire Laboratory, Missoula, Montana. Erwin R. Berglund was Assistant Professor, Department of Land Resources and Agricultural Sciences, University of Alaska, Fairbanks. He is presently Watershed Extension Specialist, School of Forestry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.

### CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION	1
OBJECTIVES	5
METHODS	5
DISCUSSION	7
Smoke Occurrence	<b>7</b>
Average Number of Smoke Days	8
Visibility Reduction Per Time-of-day	9
Visibility Impact	13
Smoke Persistence	<b>14</b>
Smoke Potential	14
SUMMARY	16
CONCLUSIONS	17
LITERATURE CITED	17



#### INTRODUCTION

Wildfires have been and are commonplace in Alaska. Aboriginal man, in addition to being careless with fire, started fires to control insects, herd animals, facilitate travel, and dry firewood (Lutz 1956, 1959). Near the latter part of the 1800's and into the 1900's white man made an impact on the Alaskan landscape by starting wildfires either to clear land for mining, grazing, and farming or to reduce undergrowth. After gold was discovered and railroad and road construction began, several million acres were burned seasonally (Hardy and Franks 1963). Nineteen major fires alone burned over 6.1 million acres between 1893 and 1937 (Lutz 1956).

Hundreds of wildfires occur each summer--some in excess of 100,000 acres each. In 1969, over 4,000,000 acres were burned (fig. 1). Some of the fires burn for long periods of time, often well into the winter months. Such fire activity under specific meteorological conditions results in a smoke pall covering hundreds of square miles and over 5.6 miles thick. From mid-June through mid-July, 1969, an estimated 145,000 cubic miles of smoke persisted in interior Alaska (Richardson 1971).



Figure 1.--Number of fires and acres burned by year, Interior Alaska, 1940-69 (Barney 1971).

Siberian forest fires are reported to produce drift smoke over Alaska. An analysis of upper air currents after Alaskan fires were extinguished in August 1970 revealed smoke originating from Siberia. $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Smoke is an aerosol introduced to the atmosphere. The individual smoke particles,  $0.001-0.3-\mu$  diameter and averaging  $0.25-\mu$ , affect visibility by scattering, refracting, and reflecting light (Byram and Jemison 1948). Byram and Jemison found while viewing a distant landscape through thin smoke that color and brightness contrasts are reduced, most colors undergo a change in hue, color saturation is greatly decreased, and shadow contrast is reduced. Smoke is thus disruptive and potentially hazardous to air travel, as well as annoying (fig. 2) (Lutz 1956).

Military, commercial, and recreational air travel profit from clear, smokefree skies (Hardy and Franks 1963). Smoke impact on military activities can have far-reaching effect when national defense is considered. The Bureau of Land Management has found that smoke drifting over high-value areas prevents aerial detection and attack (Richardson 1971). Smoke has inhibited fire control operations on numerous occasions, especially in close proximity to a fire. In 1969, a commercial air taxi operator in Fairbanks reported a flying business loss of \$30,000-\$50,000 due to the severe smoke conditions. In addition, recreational air travel was reduced when several "bush" airfields were closed due to smoke conditions (Barney 1971).

Tourism is a major economic concern in Alaska. Smoke could possibly affect tourism by reducing visibility. The actual impact is not known (Barney 1971), but insight into the potential impact is available. Miller (1971) reviewed tourism in Mount McKinley National Park. Of the Park visitors, 80 percent came because: (1) Mount McKinley is the highest mountain in North America (20, 320 feet), (2) scenery is unparalleled elsewhere, (3) wildlife is abundant, and (4) an intrinsic value exists for visiting a National Park. Three of these four factors are associated with visibility. Miller hypothesized that during a severe fire season the expected impacts on visitors may be: (1) decreased visitation, (2) decreased length of stay, (3) restricted individual activities, (4) wildlife restlessness, (5) poor photography conditions, (6) more visitor complaints, (7) less camping, (8) fewer visitors on bus tours, and (9) less mountain climbing. Using 1969 data, Miller found only the length of stay to be significantly affected. A study by Hakala et al. (1971) reported that the 86,000-acre Swanson River fire on the Kenai National Moose Range in 1969 caused considerable recreational economic loss to the area. Over the period of closure for the Russian River (June 14-July 3) and Swanson River (August 3-September 1) recreational areas, a \$1,092,000 visitor use loss was realized. The total recreational loss, including the visitor use loss and the recreational value loss (prorated over 20 years), was estimated to be \$33,385,960.

<sup>1/</sup> Personal communication with James H. Richardson, Chief, Division of Fire Control, Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C.



А

Figure 2.--A southerly view from the University of Alaska, Fairbanks, overlooking the Tanana River Valley. (A) Clear day; (B) 1969 smoke conditions.

Research on the health hazard of smoke from wildfires or slash fires has recently commenced. A laboratory analysis revealed that 12 pounds of hydrocarbons were released per ton of slash burned (Cramer and Westwood 1970). Data of Fritschen et al. (1970) indicate that smoke is a small component of slash combustion, and that carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and hydrocarbon gases constitute the major component (table 1).

Continuing laboratory analyses have not produced sulfur dioxide and photochemical smog from wood smoke. Consequently, the health hazard of wildfire or slash fire smoke occurs when the smoke particulates are in association with existing sulfur dioxide in the atmosphere. The most objectionable smoke would be that which adds to present pollution of urban and industrial areas (Cramer and Westwood 1970).

A paradox has developed over vegetation management in interior Alaska. Fire perpetuates the mosaic vegetative cover (Lutz 1956) but wood smoke is undesirable.

A paper on Alaskan forest fires by Hardy and Franks (1963) contained a table reporting the general visibility distances by hour of day and by number of days per month per distance class for several interior Alaska stations. Problems associated with reduced visibility were not indicated.

Table 1.--Summarized laboratory analyses of combustion products from Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco), western hemlock (Tsuga heterophylla (Raf.) Sarg.), and western redcedar (Thuja plicata Donn) slash

		Sample			Combustion products $\frac{1}{2}$								
Species	Number	umber Mass p			Smoke particulate per unit of slash per unit of slash			Residue per unit of slash					
		(kg)	(ZB)	g/kg	lb/ton	g/kg	lb/ton	g/kg	lb/ton				
Douglas-fir	1	8.2	18.04	2.3	4.6	1,176.6	2,358.1	1.5	3.0				
Western hemlock	1 2	11.4 12.1	25.08 26.62	2.0 2.0	4.0 4.0	1,061.2 1,260.2	2,126.8 2,525.7	1.9 1.6	3.8 3.2				
Western redcedar	1 2	11.0 11.0	24.2 24.2	1.7 2.2	3.4 4.4	1,612.2 1,456.2	3,231.1 2,918.5	1.0 2.9	2.0 5.8				

Source: Fritschen et al. (1970).

 $\frac{1}{2}$  CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and hydrocarbon gases.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

This study was developed to quantify the occurrence, persistence, and visibility reduction resulting from summertime smoke and haze in interior Alaska. The findings provide resource managers a better basis for assessing the environmental impact of smoke.

#### METHODS

Data were the hourly surface observations for individual stations available from the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Data Service Center, Asheville, North Carolina (table 2, fig. 3). Data were for a 183-day fire season from April 1 through September 30.

Station	Station identification number	Operating agency <u>l</u> /	General period of record	Total years spanned
Anchorage Bethel Bettles Big Delta Fairbanks Farewell 2/ Fort Yukon Galena Gulkana Homer Iliamna Indian Mountain Kenai Lake Minchumina McGrath Nenana 3/ Northway Summit Talkeetna Tanana Unalakleet	26451 26615 26533 26415 26411 26519 26413 26501 26425 25507 25506 26535 26523 26512 26510 26435 26510 26435 26414 26414 26528 26529 26627	WSO WSO FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA WSO FAA WSO/FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA FAA	$\begin{array}{c} 11/53 - 12/69 \\ 7/48 - 12/64 \\ 1/45 - 12/71 \\ 7/48 - 12/71 \\ 7/48 - 12/71 \\ 7/48 - 12/70 \\ 7/48 - 12/60 \\ 7/48 - 3/67 \\ 7/48 - 3/67 \\ 7/48 - 12/71 \\ 7/48 - 12/54, 1/57 - 12/62 \\ 7/51 - 12/70 \\ 7/48 - 7/67 \\ 7/48 - 7/67 \\ 7/48 - 3/67 \\ 8/48 - 12/71 \\ 7/48 - 12/68 \\ 7/48 - 12/68 \\ 7/48 - 12/65 \\ 7/48 - 12/61 \\ 7/48 - 12/61 \\ \end{array}$	17 17 27 24 13 16 18 20 24 20 20 20 22 20 24 21 24 18 15 14

Table 2.--List of stations and period of record used in analysis

NOTE: Several of the above stations operated on a limited schedule for at least part of the period shown.

 $\frac{1}{}$  WSO = Weather Service Office

- FAA = Federal Aviation Administration
- AFB = Air Force Base AFS = Air Force Station.
- $\frac{2}{}$  Very poor records.
- $\frac{3}{2}$  Very poor records through 1957.



Figure 3.--Location of stations used in analysis:

- 1 Anchorage 2 Bethel 3 Bettles 4 Big Delta 10 Homer 5 Fairbanks ll Iliamna
  - 8 Galena 9 Gulkana

7 Fort Yukon

- 6 Farewell

- 12 Indian Mountain 13 Kenai
- 14 Lake Minchumina
- 15 McGrath
- 16 Nenana
- 17 Northway
  - 18 Summit
- 19 Talkeetna
  - 20 Tanana
  - 21 Unalakleet

This seasonal period was selected because it normally represents over 95 percent of Alaska's wildfire activity (Barney 1967). From these data, the trihourly observations in which either smoke, haze, or smoke and haze was recorded were utilized. The eight trihourly observations began at 0200 Alaska Standard Time each day.

#### DISCUSSION

SMOKE OCCURRENCE

Table 3 shows the percent probability of smoke, haze, or smoke and haze occurring by month and season at the 21 stations. Each percent probability of occurrence was calculated as:

percent probability =  $\frac{\text{number of smoke days}}{\text{potential number of days}} \times 100$ 

A smoke-day was any day in which smoke, haze, or smoke and haze was reported at any one of the trihourly observations for the given station. The potential number

Station	April	May	June	July	August	September	Season
				Percei	ıt		
Anchorage Bethel Bettles Big Delta Fairbanks Farewell Fort Yukon Galena Gulkana Homer Iliamna Indian Mountain Kenai Lake Minchumina McGrath Nenana Northway Summit Talkeetna Tanana Unalakleet	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 .1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1.0 1.4 2.2 2.4 3.3 2.0 2.7 3.3 0 2.7 .7 1.1 2.0 3.3 1.1 1.0 .9 4.4 1.4	0 1.5 8.7 4.2 6.3 5.0 7.7 1.2 7.7 2.0 5.8 5.7 3.5 6.0 2.2 1.2 7 8.4 3.2	0.9 .7 3.1 3.0 4.6 .5 5.4 1.2 0 .3 .7 2.8 1.7 2.0 .8 3.2 1.1 .9 .7 5.6 .7	0 .2 0 1.3 0 2.2 1.7 0 0 .2 .2 0 0 1.1 .2 0 0 1.1	0.3 .7 2.5 1.7 2.8 1.4 2.9 2.2 .2 1.4 1.3 .5 1.2 1.1 2.4 .6 .4 3.3 1.2

Table	3Average	daily	probabili	ty	(percent)	) of	smoke,	haze,	or
		smoke	and haze	Ъy	month an	nd s	eason		

of days was the days per given month or season summed over the number of years of record. This analysis was based on the entire period of record available for each station.

The data indicate that smoke does not present a problem in interior Alaska during April and May. The majority of the smoke occurred in June and July. Smoke occurrence probability was greatest during the month of July for almost all stations. This can be attributed to the fact that most large wildfires usually begin in June but often burn into July. Due to the concentration of smoke from several wildfires, smoke eventually becomes dense enough to be reported with some frequency. Smoke occurrence decreases through August and September.

The data reveal that smoke is not generally a major problem in interior Alaska. The greatest seasonal occurrence was reported at Tanana with 3.3 percent chance of smoke. Bettles reported the greatest probability of smoke in any month with 8.7 percent in July, while Anchorage reported no smoke in July and Gulkana reported no smoke in June and August.

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF SMOKE DAYS

Table 4 depicts the average number of days per month on which smoke, haze, or smoke and haze was reported at each station. Data for this table include arithmetic

Ctation	Apr	il	Ma	У	Jun	ie	Jul	У	Augu	ist	Septe	ember	Number of	Total number of
Station	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	data	smoke- days
						-Days pe	er month							
Anchorage	0		0		0.29	0-5	0		0.29	0-5	0		17	10
Bethe1	0		0		.41	0-4	. 47	0-5	.23	0-2	.06	0-1	17	20
Bettles	.04	0-4	0		.67	0-6	2.70	0-18	.96	0-7	0		27	118
Big Delta	0		0		. 71	0-11	1.29	0-10	.92	0-10	.04	0-1	24	71
Fairbanks	0		.04	0-1	1.00	0-10	1.96	0-14	1.46	0-16	.38	0-7	24	116
Farewell	0		0		.62	0-5	1.54	0-15	.15	0-1	0		13	30
Fort Yukon	0		0		. 81	0-8	2.38	0-18	1.69	0-14	.06	0-1	16	79
Galena	0	~ -	.06	0-1	1.00	0-12	1.78	0-18	. 39	0-3	.50	0-9	18	67
Gulkana	0		0		0		. 35	0-3	0		0	-	20	· 7
Homer	0		0		.08	0-2	.21	0-3	.08	0-2	0	÷	24	9
Iliamna	0		0		.23	0-3	.62	0-8	.23	0-2	.08	0-1	13	15
Indian Mountain	0		0		. 80	0-8	1.75	0-17	.85	0-12	.05	0-1	20	69
Kenai	0		0		. 20	0-3	.15	0-3	.50	0-9	0		20	17
Lake Minchumina	0		0	~ -	. 32	0-4	1.14	0-15	.64	0-10	0		22	46
McGrath	0		0		.60	0-6	1.05	0-16	.25	0-3	0		20	38
Nenana	Ō		0		1.00	0-10	1.86	0-12	1.00	0-7	.33	0-6	24	101
Northway	0		0		. 33	0-4	.67	0-5	.33	0-2	.05	0-1	21	29
Summit	. 04	0-4	0		. 29	0-6	.38	0-5	.29	0-4	0	-	24	24
Talkeetna	0		0		.23	0~5	.22	0-4	.22	0-2	0		18	13
Tanana	0		0		1.33	0-11	2,60	0-12	1.73	0-14	0		15	85
Unalakleet	0		0		.43	0-3	1.00	0-9	. 21	0-3	43	0-6	14	29

Table 4.--Average, range, and total number of days per month that smoke, haze, or smoke and haze was reported during the April-September fire season  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $^{1/}$  If smoke, haze, or smoke and haze is reported for any time in a 24-hour day, the day is considered in the summary.

averages each based on the sum of smoke-day observations of a given month for the years of record divided by the number of years of record.

The range of smoke-days is also shown. No station reported smoke in every month for each year or in a given month for the entire period. Therefore, all ranges from zero to the highest number of smoke-days were reported. The ranges indicate considerable variability when smoke is reported in interior Alaska.

Isolines of the average smoke-days indicate a definite geographic distribution within interior Alaska (figs. 4, 5, and 6). July exhibits the greatest average number of days, with smoke with the maximum intensity in the area of Bettles, Stevens Village, and Tanana. Furthermore, the area of maximum occurrence shifts to the northeast from this area to the Yukon Flats in August. In August, the isolines begin to dissipate. These isoline maps correspond to the reported patterns of fire (Barney 1969).

#### VISIBILITY REDUCTION PER TIME-OF-DAY

The diurnal distribution of trihour periods when smoke, haze, or smoke and haze was reported is shown in table 5. The values computed are the percent of total trihourly reports that occurred in each trihourly time period.

Smoke intensity and timing are critical in evaluating the smoke situation. The majority of smoke occurrences (table 5) appeared to fall in late evening to early

			Time	of day				Tatal	
0200	0500	0800	1100	1400	1700	2000	2300	IUCAI	
				Per	rcent -				-
20 23 12 10 15 18 12 14 15 14 12 7 11 17 9 14 11 12 28	20 15 14 11 13 10 0 12 4 19 11 12 18 13 12 16 15 10 14 19	8 15 13 16 15 23 13 9 15 18 14 15 14 16 15 14 14 15	16 10 13 14 19 15 9 14 7 14 13 13 10 12 12 12	8 11 12 13 14 18 12 14 12 14 12 17 13 11 13 10 11 12 20	8 13 11 11 11 12 14 9 11 7 10 8 14 12 12 12 12 12	8 13 12 14 12 9 15 12 18 8 11 12 18 10 11 11 12 14 12 12	12 0 14 13 11 12 0 12 18 15 14 13 11 10 14 8 12 16 12 10	$     \begin{array}{r}       100\\       10\\       100\\       100\\       100\\       100\\       100\\ $	
				.0		1 6	0	100	
	0200 20 23 12 12 10 15 18 12 14 15 14 12 7 11 17 9 14 11 12 12 18	0200         0500           20         20           23         15           12         14           12         11           10         13           15         10           18         0           12         12           14         4           15         19           14         11           12         12           7         18           11         13           17         12           9         16           14         15           11         10           12         14           15         19           16         14           17         12           9         16           14         15           11         10           12         14           12         14           13         19	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Time           0200         0500         0800         1100           20         20         8         16           23         15         15         10           12         14         13         10           12         11         13         13           10         13         16         14           15         10         15         14           18         0         23         19           12         12         13         15           14         4         9         9           15         19         15         8           14         11         18         9           12         12         14         14           7         18         15         7           11         13         19         14           17         12         14         13           9         16         16         13           14         15         15         10           11         10         14         12           12         14         14         12           12 </td <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c </math></td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c </math></td> <td>Time of day0200050008001100140017002000<math></math></td> <td>Time of day02000500080011001400170020002300<math></math></td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td>	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Time of day0200050008001100140017002000 $$	Time of day02000500080011001400170020002300 $$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Table 5.--Percent of total trihourly reports that occurred in each trihourly period when visibility was reduced by smoke, haze, or smoke and haze



Figure 4.--Average smoke days isoline map - June.



Figure 5.--Average smoke days isoline map - July.



Figure 6.--Average smoke days isoline map - August.

morning. This corresponds to the time when, even in Alaska during the long daylight periods, general inversions exist. During inversion periods, smoke tends to become concentrated near the earth's surface. For a few stations, the majority of smoke observations occurred during midday. This may be due to fires close to the observing station.

#### VISIBILITY IMPACT

Quantitative visibility reduction when smoke, haze, or smoke and haze was reported is presented in table 6. Visibility classes are those defined by the Weather Service Reporting and Observing Procedures. The number of reports per visibility distance class was divided by the total number of reports for each station. Resulting values provide a percent of smoke observations that occurred in the various visibility classes.

Air transportation is important in Alaska. Most cities, towns, and villages have flight facilities. Due to different size airports and a variety of topographic conditions, landing requirements for ceiling and visibility are quite variable. Visual Flight Rules (VFR) weather minimums for airports with a control zone airspace are a 1,000-foot ceiling and 3-mile visibility. Outside of the control zone airspace, the VFR weather minimums for aircraft operations are "clear of clouds" and "1-mile visibility." Several airports are within controlled airspace for specified time periods and less restricted (standard VFR) for the remaining time.

		Dist	tance cla	ss (miles	5)		
Station	0- 1/8	3/16- 3/8	1/2- 3/4	1- 2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	3-6	7+	Total
			;	Percent -			
Anchorage Bethel Bettles Big Delta Fairbanks Farewell Fort Yukon Galena Gulkana Homer Iliamna Indian Mountain Kenai Lake Minchumina McGrath Nenana Northway Summit Talkeetna Tanana Unalakleet	0 2 3 1 0 2 1 0 2 0 0 9 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 5 < 1 2 9 11 0 4 C 3 4 1 3 2 0 3 0 <1 1	0 2 8 2 12 13 11 8 0 2 2 7 9 12 7 9 12 7 3 1 3 10 14	8 34 23 32 24 34 19 38 9 19 21 17 24 20 37 19 33 35 27 24 21	92 61 65 62 51 60 42 91 77 68 66 65 70 48 72 64 61 70 65 62		100 100

Table 6.--Percent of smoke observations per horizontal visibility distance from observation point when smoke, haze, or smoke and haze is reported Airports with authorized instrument approach procedures also have specific weather minimums. $\frac{2}{}$ 

Smoke is detrimental and potentially hazardous to recreational, commercial, and military air travel. The percent of time per distance class that visibility was reduced because of either smoke, haze, or smoke and haze is summarized in table 6. The majority of all reports occur in the 3- to 6-mile visibility class. No reports were made in the class, 7 miles or more. Visibility reductions of 0 to 3/8 mile were infrequent (generally 3 to 4 percent of the time except for Fort Yukon and Galena), while visibility reductions to up to 1 mile occurred approximately 10 percent of the time.

The data in table 6 do indicate that when smoke does occur it influences visibility and hinders safe air travel. Approximately 65 percent of the smoke reports for each station were in the visibility distance class of 3-6 miles. With the VFR visibility minimum for control zone airspace being 3 miles or less (depending upon location) it seems reasonable to assume that smoke infringed on this minimum approximately 35 percent of the time when it occurred. For authorized instrument approaches, visibility reduction occurred less than 10 percent of the time for most of the stations.

#### SMOKE PERSISTENCE

Smoke persistence was analyzed by tabulating the data into consecutive-hour classes. Only the days and periods of smoke occurrence were used in the calculations. Table 7 indicates smoke persistence as a percentage of smoke reports per various consecutive-hour classes.

The persistence of a smoke pall has a significant impact on summertime activities (Miller 1971). However, data indicate no smoke reports for consecutive trihourly periods in excess of 48 hours (table 7).

However, it is known that smoke may engulf sizable areas for time periods in excess of 48 hours. McVee3/ indicated a curtailment in fire operations due to smoke persistence for several continuous days. The smoke often passes sporadically like clouds, and prolonged periods of excessive smoke may not appear on a continuous basis.

Trihourly observations may be too infrequent. However, available data do indicate that when smoke is observable, it commonly persists for periods up to 24 hours at the reporting stations.

#### SMOKE POTENTIAL

The percent probability that a smoke-day will be followed by a smoke-day is presented in table 8. Data were initially tabulated as consecutive days when smoke

<sup>2/</sup> Personal communication with Thomas J. Creswell, Director, Alaska Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Anchorage.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{3}{}$  Personal communication with Curtis V. McVee, State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Anchorage.

Station			Cor	nsecutive	hours			Total
Station	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-24	24-48	48-72	TUCAT
				Per	rcent – -			
Anchorage Bethel Bettles Big Delta Fairbanks Farewell Fort Yukon Galena Gulkana Homer Iliamna Indian Mountain Kenai Lake Minchumina McGrath Nenana Northway Summit Talkeetna Tanana Unalakleet	73 55 54 57 46 78 49 56 38 37 47 63 54 51 49 46 53 55 55	18 29 24 16 20 28 11 28 11 31 32 31 27 14 22 23 24 20 5 22 15	0 13 9 10 8 11 7 10 22 23 16 14 0 12 11 12 14 9 17 9 2	0 6 7 5 6 8 5 2 7 7 3 7 5 11 6 13	0 3 4 10 9 7 3 6 0 10 3 0 10 3 0 10 7 11 3 5 11 13	9 3 3 1 2 0 <1 1 1 0 3 3 3 2 0 0 17 <1 2		100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

## Table 7.--Percent of reported smoke, haze, or smoke and haze observations by persistence class

Table 8.--Probability (percent) that tomorrow will have smoke following given consecutive days of reported smoke  $\frac{l}{2}$ 

Chatian	Consecutive days <sup>2/</sup>													
Station	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
							- Perc	ent –						
Anchorage Bethel Bettles Big Delta Fairbanks Farewell Fort Yukon Galena Gulkana Homer Iliamna Indian Mountain Kenai Lake Minchumina McGrath Nenana Northway Summit Talkeetna Tanana	21 45 63 71 56 25 72 57 67 100 50 57 40 59 69 847 46 100 57	$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ 60\\ 55\\ 59\\ 54\\ 75\\ 38\\ 46\\ 100\\ 25\\ 50\\ 56\\ 75\\ 70\\ 36\\ 54\\ 25\\ 33\\ 50\\ 50\\ 75\\ 36\\ 50\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 36\\ 50\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 36\\ 50\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 36\\ 50\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 50\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 75\\ 70\\ 75\\ 75\\ 75\\ 75\\ 75\\ 75\\ 75\\ 75\\ 75\\ 75$	100 33 60 67 100 63 67 0 50 78 0 71 50 78 0 71 50 71 50 100 100 100	100 82 50 40 67 80 75 0 0 57 0 80 100 50 50 50 71	0 44 50 50 100 100 0 75 0 50 100 67 0 0 60	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 75\\ 50\\ 100\\ 100\\ 75\\ 100\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 33\\ 0\\ 0\\ 100\\ 50\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 67\\ \end{array}$	0 100 50 0 33 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 33 100 0 100 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 100 100 100 100 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 0 \\ 100 \\ 50 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	0 0 100 100 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 100 0 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

 $\frac{1}{2}$  Smoke must first be reported at the specific station before the table can be used.

 $\frac{2}{1}$  If smoke, smoke and haze, or haze is reported during any of the trihourly observations within a calendar day, it is considered a smoke-day.

was reported. A cumulative frequency by consecutive days per station was developed. Finally, the probability of smoke occurring in day (N+1) given that smoke had occurred the previous day (N) was calculated.

$$P_{N+1} = \frac{(\text{Day } N + 1) \text{ cumulative frequency}}{(\text{Day } N) \text{ cumulative frequency}}$$

That is,  $P_{N+1}$  is the probability (percent) that tomorrow (Day N+1) will have smoke if today was the Nth consecutive day with smoke.

In an attempt to provide fire managers and resource planners with more useful information, a table was developed showing the percent probability that the succeeding day will have smoke following periods of consecutive smoke-days (not consecutive trihourly periods) (table 8). The use of this table requires the determination as to how many consecutive days smoke has been reported. For example, if smoke was reported at some time period today at Bettles (and none yesterday), there would be a 63-percent chance that smoke would be reported at some time tomorrow; if today were the 5th consecutive day of smoke there would be a 44-percent chance of smoke tomorrow at Bettles. This table can be important for planning fire control operations as well as investigating the potential smoke persistence for a region. This table also indicates the maximum number of consecutive smoke-days reported at each station.

Table 8 is based upon limited data. Although the table should be used with caution, it does provide a useful guide for planning not previously available.

#### SUMMARY

Wildfires are common in interior Alaska. Many individual fires burn 100,000 acres or more and produce sizable smoke palls. Studies indicate that smoke interferes with air travel and recreation and may be hazardous to health.

An analysis of smoke occurrence revealed that the greatest probability of smoke was in June and July. This corresponded with the months of major fire activity. The maximum monthly probability for any station and any month was 8.7 percent in July for Bettles. Bettles also had the longest period of data records--27 years. On a seasonal basis, the greatest probability of smoke occurring for any station was 3.3 percent for Tanana.

Despite a wide range of values for each station's average number of smokedays per month, isolines indicated a buildup of smoke intensity in June with a maximum in July and a dissipation in August. All 3 months exhibited definite regional locations.

Visibility reduction according to time of day indicated greater smoke accumulation during late evening and early morning. This corresponds to periods when weak inversions may develop. Impact on air travel was found to be greatest in the 3-6-mile visibility class. No records indicated smoke in the 7 miles or more class, and reports were infrequent in the less-than-3/8-mile class. When smoke did occur, the data indicated that smoke may impair air travel.

Data revealed no smoke persistence for periods over 48 consecutive hours. However, Miller (1971) and personal experiences contradict these data.

A table provides land managers and other interested persons a relative smoke potential for a succeeding day given a known number of preceding smokedays.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Apparently smoke does not occur to the extent previously assumed in interior Alaska. Sixteen to 21 years of record for each of 21 stations in Alaska indicate that the probability of occurrence of smoke, haze, or smoke and haze did not exceed 3.3 percent on a seasonal basis and 8.7 percent on a monthly basis. Visibility was reduced to 0-1/8 mile at nine stations, and no station ever recorded visibility reduction from smoke or haze in excess of 7 miles.

Data did not indicate smoke, haze, or smoke and haze persisting continuously at any one station for periods greater than 48 hours. All stations indicate that once smoke is reported, there is a chance of subsequent daily reports of smoke for up to at least 3 days and for as many as 15 consecutive days. At half the stations, smoke was reported for as many as 6 consecutive days. For 7 of the 21 stations, this condition may persist for up to 10 consecutive days.

The occurrence, extent, and duration of smoke, haze, or smoke and haze show the problem to be minimal. However, during extreme fire situations, smoke has a detrimental impact. Severe, infrequent smoke conditions of short duration may critically limit firefighting activity.

#### LITERATURE CITED

#### Barney, Richard J.

- 1967. Buildup indexes for Interior Alaska, 1956-1965. USDA For. Serv. Pac. Northwest For. & Range Exp. Stn., 49 p., illus. Portland, Oreg.
- 1969. Interior Alaska wildfires, 1956-1965. USDA For. Serv. Pac. Northwest For. & Range Exp. Stn., 47 p., illus. Portland, Oreg.

1971. Wildfires in Alaska--some historical and projected effects and aspects. In Fire in the northern environment, a symposium, p. 51-59, illus. USDA For. Serv. Pac. Northwest For. & Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.

Byram, George M., and George M. Jemison

1948. Some principles of visibility and their application to forest fire detection. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 954, 61 p., Washington, D.C.

Cramer, Owen P., and James N. Westwood

1970. Potential impact of air quality restrictions on logging residue burning. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. PSW-64, 12 p. Pac. Southwest For. & Range Exp. Stn., Berkeley, Calif.

Fritschen, Leo, Harley Bovee, Konrad Buettner, and others

1970. Slash fire atmospheric pollution. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. PNW-97,42 p. Pac. Northwest For. & Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.

Hakala, John B., Robert K. Seemel, Robert A. Richey, and John E. Kurtz

1971. Fire effects and rehabilitation methods--Swanson-Russian Rivers fires. In Fire in the northern environment, a symposium, p. 87-99, illus. USDA For. Serv. Pac. Northwest For. & Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.

Hardy, Charles E., and James W. Franks

1963. Forest fires in Alaska. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. INT-5, 163 p. Intermountain For. & Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

#### Lutz, H. J.

1956. Ecological effects of forest fires in the interior of Alaska. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 1133, 121 p. Washington, D.C.

#### Lutz, Harold J.

1959. Aboriginal man and white man as historical causes of fires in the boreal forest, with particular reference to Alaska. Yale Univ. Sch. For. Bull. 65, 49 p.

#### Miller, Ivan D.

1971. Effects of forest fire smoke on tourism in Mount McKinley National Park, Alaska. In Fire in the northern environment, a symposium, p. 83-85. USDA For. Serv. Pac. Northwest For. & Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.

#### Richardson, J. H.

1971. Values protected in interior Alaska. In Fire in the northern environment, a symposium, p. 173-178, illus. USDA For. Serv. Pac. Northwest For. & Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.

<ul> <li>Barney, Richard J., and Erwin R. Berglund</li> <li>1974. Wildfire smoke conditions: interior Alaska. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. PNW-178, 18 p., illus. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, Oregon.</li> </ul>	Records of 21 stations were analyzed for the occurrence, persistence, and related visibility resulting from summertime wildfire smoke and haze in interior Alaska. Smoke persistence and visibility reduction were not found to the extent previously assumed.	KEYWORDS: Fire, wildfire, smoke, Alaska.	<ul> <li>Barney, Richard J., and Erwin R. Berglund</li> <li>1974. Wildfire smoke conditions: interior Alaska. USDA</li> <li>For. Serv. Res. Pap. PNW-178, 18 p., illus.</li> <li>Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment</li> <li>Station, Portland, Oregon.</li> </ul>	Records of 21 stations were analyzed for the occurrence, persistence, and related visibility resulting from summertime wildfire smoke and haze in interior Alaska. Smoke persistence and visibility reduction were not found to the extent previously assumed.	KEYWORDS: Fire, wildfire, smoke, Alaska.
<ul> <li>Barney, Richard J., and Erwin R. Berglund</li> <li>1974. Wildfire smoke conditions: interior Alaska. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. PNW-178, 18 p., illus.</li> <li>Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, Oregon.</li> </ul>	Records of 21 stations were analyzed for the occurrence, persistence, and related visibility resulting from summertime wildfire smoke and haze in interior Alaska. Smoke persistence and visibility reduction were not found to the extent previously assumed.	KEYWORDS: Fire, wildfire, smoke, Alaska.	<ul> <li>Barney, Richard J., and Erwin R. Berglund</li> <li>1974. Wildfire smoke conditions: interior Alaska. USDA</li> <li>For. Serv. Res. Pap. PNW-178, 18 p., illus.</li> <li>Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment</li> <li>Station, Portland, Oregon.</li> </ul>	Records of 21 stations were analyzed for the occurrence, persistence, and related visibility resulting from summertime wildfire smoke and haze in interior Alaska. Smoke persistence and visibility reduction were not found to the extent previously assumed.	KEYWORDS: Fire, wildfire, smoke, Alaska.



The mission of the PACIFIC NORTHWEST FOREST AND RANGE EXPERIMENT STATION is to provide the knowledge, technology, and alternatives for present and future protection, management, and use of forest, range, and related environments.

Within this overall mission, the Station conducts and stimulates research to facilitate and to accelerate progress toward the following goals:

- 1. Providing safe and efficient technology for inventory, protection, and use of resources.
- 2. Development and evaluation of alternative methods and levels of resource management.
- 3. Achievement of optimum sustained resource productivity consistent with maintaining a high quality forest environment.

The area of research encompasses Oregon, Washington, Alaska, and, in some cases, California, Hawaii, the Western States, and the Nation. Results of the research will be made available promptly. Project headquarters are at:

Fairbanks, Alaska Juneau, Alaska Bend, Oregon Corvallis, Oregon La Grande, Oregon Portland, Oregon Olympia, Washington Seattle, Washington Wenatchee, Washington

Mailing address: Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station P.O. Box 3141 Portland, Oregon 97208

GPO 990-891

The FOREST SERVICE of the U.S. Department of Agriculture is dedicated to the principle of multiple use management of the Nation's forest resources for sustained yields of wood, water, forage, wildlife, and recreation. Through forestry research, cooperation with the States and private forest owners, and management of the National Forests and National Grasslands, it strives – as directed by Congress – to provide increasingly greater service to a growing Nation.