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INTRODUCTION.

MONOGRAPHS, enabling the student to compass without loss of time the accumulated information regarding the species comprised in certain groups of organised beings, are imposing themselves every day more indispensably.

Descriptions of plants as well as of animals are at present scattered through such a vast heap of miscellaneous polyglot literature that no "common mortal" nor "beginner" in the science of natural history can possibly find his way in the perplexing chaos. The difficulties of "erudition" are so great that they explain the frequent recording of old species and facts as new by recent observers. It is much easier to give a new denomination to an organism than to hunt it up through many volumes, often difficult of access. My sole object now is to help the student of the Diatomaceæ who may wish to study the forms contained in the genus Campylodiscus. I hope I have been successful. The only use of discriminating forms or socalled "species" is to instruct the student as to the particular organism he may have under consideration at the time of his investigations on their biology.

The developmental history of these microscopic organisms, the Diatoms, is in a most backward state. None are more in need of an observer possessing good objectives and a bountiful
supply of patience ready to grapple with the difficulties of the subject. To such a one Nature will surely reveal some of its secrets, and fame will be forthcoming to the pioneer in these realms of the "Infiniment petits."

Others besides myself have understood the necessity for summary treatment of certain groups of the Diatomacer. In years gone by, Greville, Roper, Brightwell, H. L. Smith, and a few others led the way, and at the present time a new generation is following their lead. Among the latter I may mention Captain of Artillery, H. Peragallo, who, between the practical technology of breechloaders and melinite, has found time to prepare a valuable paper on the genus Pleurosigma, with outline figures of all the species and diagrams of the striation. Prof. P. T. Cleve, of the University of Upsal, is far advanced on a general work, to comprise the numerous and difficult Navicula. Dr. Leuduger-Fortmorel is giving his special attention to the curious but very perplexing Chatoceri; while Mons. J. Tempère promises a complete Monograph of the Aulacodisci, being a critical review and a supplement to John Rattrey's "revision" of this genus of beautiful microscopical gems.

I am grieved to have to state that English and Scotch botanists-who some years ago occupied the head of Diatomology under the brilliant phalanx of such men as Greville, Gregory,.W. Smith, Brightwell, Roper, Norman, Walker-Arnott, C. Johnston, Ralfs, Tuffen West, Donkin, and others-have at the present day arrived at a minimum of working naturalists. It is true that we still have the satisfaction of possessing among the living such men as Mr. Grove, Mr. Fred. Kitton, and Mr. John Rattrey; but these, as well as many others, have become reduced to ubiquitous collectors and mounters of Diatoms, or have ceased publishing their observations. Many of these gentlemen excel in their specialties, and none more so than the venerable Mr. Laurence Hardman, who at the advanced age of 82 is still able to prepare admirable type-plates of Diatoms containing hundreds of forms arranged in symmetrical patterns with a precision which brings astonishment and envy to the posses-
sors of much younger eyes and hands. Many of our "collectors" have a very considerable knowledge of the various species of diatoms. Among these latter I can only name a few, and will quote Mr. Rae of Stirling, Mr. Doeg of Evesham, Mr. Macrae of London, Mr. Morland of Hounslow, Mr. Griffin, etc. Diatomology, as a science possessing science-witers, has emigrated principally to France, Germany, and the United States, with solitary votaries elsewhere-one in Hungary, M. Pantocsek; one in Belgium, M. Van Heurck; two in Italy, M. Castracane and M. Mateo Lanzi ; one in Sweden, M. Cleve ; and one in Switzerland, M. Brun.

Since Messrs. Grove and Sturt published their memorable papers on the Oamaru (New Zealand) fossil deposits in the Journal of the Quekett Club in 1886-87, I hear that M. Sturt has entirely given up the study of Diatoms. Mr. J. Rattray, after his arduous labours among the Coscinodisci, the Aulacodisci, the Actinocycli, and their allies, has retired from the field of active workers. The same may be said of the sympathetic veteran, Fred. Kitton. The cause of these defections is attributable in a great measure to the stern fact that the study of the Diatoms is not conducive to the obtention of the much-needed "daily bread," but leads, alas ! rather to the opposite result and also to the difficulty of finding publishers among the learned societies, whose members are not generally diatomists, and who object to the expense of the printing of the plates explanatory of the text. Most persons, however, and whatever their occupations or pursuits, have occasionally a few leisure hours, and to these we must look forward hopefully for the developments of the future.

I am fully aware that the "professors" of Botany in this country-few of whom know more about diatoms beyond their use as test objects for the high powers of the microscope-have relegated our favourites (very erroneously, in my humble opinion) among the lowest forms of life, and classed them with the heterogenous mixture of so-called "Schizophytous Protophytes," hardly higher in organisation than the problematical

Bacteria, the next-of-kin to inorganic matter. I live in the expectation that some valiant champion may turn up who will carefully investigate the life-history of the Diatomacere in view of restoring them to their proper place in Nature-very high above the actual degraded position which has been assigned to them. This, I feel very confident, will eventually occur, as the sole fact of their conjugating is a sign of superior organisation, unknown among the other Schizophytes.

In the following tables I have made use of a few expressions not in general use, which need here a few words of explanation.

I call "Marginal Rays," or simply "Rays," the radiating lines (called by others costie or canaliculi), which, starting from the outer margin of the valves, converge towards the interior of the disc. These Rays may be simple, which is the commonest occurrence ; or they may be moniliform, constituted by beads either in single or double rows ; or, finally, they may be infundibuliform, having the outline of a funnel with its lengthened outlet, or of an old-fashioned champagne-glass with a long, slender foot, or, finally-as suggested by my friend, Mr. Thos. Comber-of a Lotus flower and stalk. The upper broad portion I call the "funnel," while the slender part I name the "stem."

The central portion of the valve inside of the internal termination of the Rays I call the "area." This area may be smooth and hyaline,* or it may be striate or distinctly punctate or dotted, the dots forming regular lines or else being irregularly scattered. In one division of the genus the area becomes reduced to a central median, linear, blank space or to a simple longitudinal line. This is called the "Raphe" or " pseudo-Raphe"; it shows neither the central nor terminal nodules of the Navicula proper.

All lines, bars, or smooth strix on the area I call the strice in contradistinction to the Rays, which have their origin at the margin of the valves, and which terminate before the areal striæ make their appearance.

[^0]In some cases the area is surrounded by a concentric row of approximating beads or dots or of abbreviated lines. These collectively constitute the "circlet."

All other terms employed explain themselves. Further on I have enumerated about 80 forms of the genus Campylodiscus, which I consider as really distinct. The total number of names in the authors amounts to no less than 220 (see the Synonymic Catalogue) ; but synonyms, varieties, nomina nuda, and undeterminable forms resting on absence of figures and insufficient descriptions have led me to reduce the number to the 80 above mentioned, which include 23 new ones. It is thus seen that I have done away with 197 names of previous authors and retained only 57 of them. Some diatomists will, I fear, accuse me of the wholesale "massacre of the innocents," while others will take me to task for creating too many new "species"! I have done my best not to run into extremes, and have acted with due consideration before eliminating anything, and then only after repeated examination of hundreds of types. I hope I may be forgiven by some of my friends for having taken the great liberty of "doing away " with some of their "offspring" ! My conviction as to the "non-existence" of species, in the generally accepted meaning of that term, must be my excuse for the reduction of the number of "so-called " species created by divers authors. It follows, according to my mode of reasoning, that if the species does not exist in nature, no genuine specific characters can be claimed for it. I admit, however, the existence of numerous transient forms of life at the present time, and also that these forms may often be distinguished, and should have names given to them when differentiated by clear and readily-made-out characters ; not by subtle, vague, obsolete distinctions, as is too often the case, which as a rule are proper to individuals only, the offspring of a single parent. Groups of forms may without inconvenience be called Genera for the purposes of memotechny, but not because of their existence in Nature, which does not admit them any more than that of the contained "so-called" species.

My definition of a species, which I commend to evolutionists and transformists of the Darwin school, is that "A species, as we know it, is the transient form of a living organism which differed from it in the past and will differ again from it in the future. All that lises, and, I may add, also all that has no life, on this earth is changing and being modified. Nothing is probably at a standstill, fixed or immutable, in the universe. The laws which regulate the gradual transformation of plants and animals are of the highest interest to the naturalist, and should be carefully observed and worked out by him whenever possible.

I stated before this digression that I had added twentythree new forms to the list of Campylodisci. These comprise six which will shortly be published by Dr. Leuduger-Fortmorel in a catalogue of the Diatoms of the Malay Archipelago which he has prepared; one species was named by Greville in Mr. Hardman's collection, but has not been made public to this d.ty, while several others have been figured by Ad. Schmidt in his Atlas without names having been given them. The remainder are of my own manufucture, based upon specimens kindly placed at my disposal by many friends of congenial tastes. Most of these have since been generously offered to me and are now in my cabinet.

## The Genus Campylodiscus

was established as long ago as 1841 by Ehrenberg, and has been accepted by all subsequent naturalists. He characterised it by the twisted shape of the valves: Modern diatomists have, however, restricted it to such Diatoms as have a frustule formed of two saddle-shaped valves, which are placed at right angles to each other.

In side or valvular aspect the valves appear circular, rotundooval, or very broadly elliptical. The front view, or connective zone aspect, is little developed and perplexing to the microscopist until the crossed position of the valves has been fully comprehended.

A frustule of Campylodiscus, as stated, is formed by two saddle-shaped valves, these saddles being thrown across one another with their concave sides facing but not touching each other, so as to leave space for the soft, living contents of the diatom. The connective zones, as in other diatoms, telescope one in the other, and unite the two valyes by their outer margins. Having to follow the curvatures of two valves bent in opposite directions, they thereby acquire a remarkable zig-zag or undulating appearance, which at first sight is often somewhat difficult of interpretation, especially when complicated with the re-duplication of the cells.

All the other characteristics of the genus are those of the family "Surirella," to which it clearly belongs, although in some forms of Campylodiscus the marginal wings seem to be obsolete or wanting, or turned upwards in such a manner as to ubliterate them apparently from a side-view aspect.

The endochrome or coloured contents, as seen in the living diatom, places the Campylodisci among the Placochromatica of Pfitzer's and Petit's classifications, which comprise such Diatoms as have in life and health their endochrome forming lamellar plates or chromatophores, in contradistinction to the Coccochromatica, which have a granular endochrome. In Campylodiscus two layers of endochrome exist in each frustule, one applied to the inner surface of each of the valves. The re-duplication of the frustules, or vegetative cells, takes place in the ordinary way. Nothing is known relating to the formation of Auxospores or germs in the Campylodisci.

All Campylodisci, with the exception of one or two species, are marine or from brackish water. The majority of forms are tropical, although a few reach both the Arctic and Antarctic Seas. From the far north, Prof. Cleve has registered the following seven forms:-

Campylodiscus angularis. Campylodiscus clypens.
", gricnlandicus. ", Thuretii and varie-
" echeneis. ", Hybernicus. [ties.
, biangulatus $=$ Lorensianus.

Those reported as British to the present time are the following eleven :-

```
Campylodiscus horologium.
Campylodiscus decorus.
" Hodgsonii. \(\quad\) Ralfsii.
" echeneis " centralis,
    \(=C\). cribrosus, W. Sm.
    hibernicus,
    \(=C\). costatus, \(\mathrm{W} . \mathrm{Sm}\).
            Thuretii,
        \(=\) C. parvulus, W. Sm.
```

It would be premature to attempt at present a geographical distribution of the genus, as many species, such as some of those which are local in the inland sea of Japan and elsewhere, will no doubt prove, after further explorations in little-known regions, to have a much wider range of distribution. At the "Antipodes" many new species will probably also yet be further discovered, as many coasts in the Southern hemisphere have never as yet been examined for Diatoms.

Contrary to what has taken place with the Coscinodisci, comparatively few species of this genus have been preserved in a fossil state in the tertiary formations. This I attribute to the single (not double) shell of the last and to their delicate structure.

Let me be allowed to repeat again that I attribute but very little importance to the "species" admitted in this paper. No one has less faith than I have as to their permanent existence, either on paper or in Nature. The actual forms known to us in a living state, or even in a fossil state, are, I sincerely believe, only transient or fleeting intermediaries between the "so-called" species of the past and the "so-called" species of the unknown future. A species, properly so speaking, should represent an organism from its beginning or first appearance on this earth until its final end, including all the forms, variations, and transformations it may have acquired or passed through during the whole of its existence in time and space. As such, the "species" is and must ever remain unknown to man, although its past history
may, within uncertain fragmentary limits, be occasionally "conjectured " and its future very hazardly "guessed" at. We know, unfortunately, nothing positive regarding the origin of any living being in Nature, and can have no hope of lifting the veil of the far future, which is probably one of the special attributes of the Creator, not of His creatures. This being the case, I consider that the history of a species is beyond the limits of human science. 'All we can do is to study transient and variable forms, not "species," in the general aeceptation of the term.

No attempt has been made by the author to establish the affinities of the Campylodisci mentioned in this paper. This, as well as full descriptions and a discussion of comparative characteristics, is reserved for a future complete monograph, to which these pages are only the prelude. Let me add that a verification of the names arrived at by the use of the analytical tables should be made by referring to published figures of the species as indicated in the Synonymic Catalogue which immediately follows this introduction.
-
II.

## SYYONYMIC CATALIOCUE.



## Synonymic Catalogue of all known Campylodisci.

## A

Campylodiscus adornatus. A. S. Atl., pl. $5^{\text {r }}$, f. 5, pl. $5^{2}$, f. 3, = C. Philippinarum, Castr.
C. Adriaticus. Grun O. Diat., pl. in, f. 8; A. S. Atl., pl. 16 , f. 13. Var. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl, 16, f. 18. , Var. Massiliensis, Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 16, f. $14-16$.
C. albifrons. Brun. Diat., esp. nouv., pl. 21, f. 2, = C. margosinuatus, Deby, in litt.
C. Altar. Brun., Diat., esp. nouv., pl. 21, f. i.
C. ambiguus. Grev. T. M. S. (1860), pl. i, f, 5, = C. latus, Shadb. ( 1854 ), $=$ C. Kittonianus, $=$ C. contiguus, $=$ C. centralis.
C. Americanus. Ehr. Weichs. Kennt. Calif., pl. 3, f. 2. Not determinable.
C. Amstelodamensis. Harting. Boden onder, Amsterdam, pl. 3, f. 9, = Campyl. echeneis, Ehr.
C. amphileia. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 2, = C. ornatus, Grev. var.
C. anceps. Castr. Chall., pl. 16, f. 2, = C. similis, Jan. Gazel.*
C. angularis. Greg. Clyde, f. 53 ; Jan. and R. Honduras, pl. I, f. ıо; A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. 7. = C. hypodromus, Brun.
C. Arabica. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 55, f. 7. $=$ C. bicostatus, Rop. var., ?
C. arachnoides. Harting. Banda See pl: 2, f. 38, =Auliscus compositus, A. S.
C. areolatus. Hart. Bod. o. Amsterdam, = Doubtful form ; not a Cainpylodiscus.
C. argus. Bail., Micr. Obs., f. 24, $25(\mathrm{r} 854)$, = C. echeneis, Ehr. (1840).
C. Australis. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 9, = C. Crebrestrostatus var.
C. Aralensis. Ehr. Abh., 1872, pl. 12, f. 16 . Not determinable.
C. Atlanticus. Peragal. in T. et. P Diat. Exicat., No. II, Nomina nuda. In this slide I have found C. ornatus, C. biangulatus, C. Damelianus, C. adornatus, C. contiguus, and C. Samoensis; but no form I can refer to as a new species representing C. Atlanticus.

## B.

Campylodiscus Baldjickianus. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 51, ${ }^{\bullet}$ f. $16-2 \mathrm{I}$, $=$ C. Thuretii.
C. Balearicus. Cleve, A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. ir ; pl. 18, f. it, $=$ ? C. undulatus, Grev.

* Belongs very doubtfully to Campylodiscus, as the valves seem not to be concavo-convex and have no apparent marginal wings.
C. Balticus. Cleve. In litt.
C. biangulatus. Grev., T. M. S., Vol. X., N.S., 1862, pl. 3, f. 2 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. $18-22$, $=$ C. Lorenzianus, Grun. ; = C. Sauerbeckii, Grundl., = C. exilis, Grun.
C. bicinctus. Castr. Chall., pl. in, f. 2. Bad figure.
C. bicostatus. Rop., M. J., Vol. II., 1854, pl. 6. f. 4.

Grun., O. Diat., pl. ıо, f. $3 a, b$; V. H. Synop., pl. 75 , f. 2 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 55 , f. $4-6$; = ? C. clypeus var.
" Var. Arabica, Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 55, f. 7.
" Var. parvulus, Grun., O. Diat., pl. 10, f. 2.
" Var. Peisoneis, Grun., O. Diat., pl. ıо, f. $1 a$.
" Var. quadratus, Grun., O. Diat., pl. 1o, f. 3a,b.
C. bicruciatus. Greg., T. M. S., 1857 , pl. у, f. 42, $=$ C. $\operatorname{simu}$ lans, Greg. Is a complete frustule seen through two valves in situ.
C. bifurcatus. A. S. Atl., pl. 52, f. 8.
C. bimarginatus. A. S. Atl., pl. 16, f. 7 ; pl. 18, f. 15.
C. birostratus. Deby, A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 23, = C. impressus, Grun., in part.
C. biseriatus. Deby, A. S. Atl., pl. 15, f. in.
C. Braziliensis. Deby, Coll., A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 31 ; pl. 18, f. $8,=$ C. Samoensis, var. Grun., or C. crebrecostatus.
C. Brightwellii. Grun., 1862, pl. 9, f. 5 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 15 , f. $6,7,=$ C. Grevillii, Leud., 1879.
C. Briocensis. A. S. Atl., pl. 52, f. 1, 2, = C. eximius, Grev. var.
C. Brownianus. Grev., T. M. S., Vol. X, N.S. (1862), pl. 9 , f. $\mathbf{2 ,}=$ C. rotula, Grün. (1885), A. S. Atl., pl. $\mathbf{1}_{4}$, f. 10 ; $=$ ? C. Phalangium, A. S. var.

## C.

Campylodiscus calcar. Leud., In litt., = C. Wallichianus, Grev., front view.
C. Californicus. (Grove) Deby (*).
C. canalisatus. T. et B. Jap., pl. 2, f. 5, = ? C. simplex, T. et B. ; = ? C. vitricavus, T. et B.
C. carinatus. Ehr. Abh. ( 1875 ), not figured. Not determinable.
C. Caspius. Ehr. Ber., 1840 , Abh., 1872 , pl. 12, f. $1 \mathrm{I},=$ C. echenëis.
C. Castili. Ehr. Abh., 1869 , pl. ıf, f. 9, $=$ C. clypeus.
C. Castracaneis. Jan. Gazel., pl. 20, f. i ; but C. Castracancis, Jan., pl. 19, f. $15,=$ C. incertus, A. S.
C. centralis, Greg. Clyde (1857), pl. 3, f. 51 , $=$ C. batus, Shadb. (1854), and others. See C. latus.
C. Cesatianus. Rabn. flor Europ. Alg., p. 50, = C. echenëis, Ehr. var.
C. Challengerensis. Deby, coll.
C. chrysanthemum. T. et B. Jap.
C. circumactus. A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. $34-35,=$ C. marginatus Johnst., Grev., = ? C. Imperialis, Grev. var.
C. circumdactus. Leud., $=$ C. rotula, (irun., 1885.
C. clivosus. B. et T. Jap., pl. 2, f. 11, = C. contiguus, A. S.
(*) This is probably small C. Coronilla, Brun., without a "circlet."
C. clypeus. Ehr. Mik., pl. ıо I., f. i ; Weiss, Guano, pl. ェ, f. 14 ; V. H. Synop., pl. 75, f. 1 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 54 , f. 78 , pl. 55, f. 5-3.
C. cocconeiformis. Cleve, Vega, pl. $3^{8}$ f. $7^{8}$ (*).
C. collectus. L. W. Bail., Para River, pl. 2, f. 38-40. Not determinable.
C. comptus. Jan. Gazel., pl. 19, f. 16, = C. Singularis, A. S.
C. concinnus. Grev., Pritch., p. 800, Grev., T. M. S., 1860, pl. 8, f. 2 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 9 ; ? A. S. Atl., pl. $16-18$, $=$ C. imperialis, Grev., $=$ C. lineatus, Grun., = C. marginalis, Johnst.
C. contiguus. A. S. Atl. (1885), pl. 18, f. 19-20, = C. clivosus (1889), Brun., = C. latus, Shadb. (1854).
C. coronilla. Brun., Diat. Esp. nouv., pl. 2 I, f. 3.
C. coruscus. A. S. Atl., pl. I4, f. 17.
C. costatus. W. Sm. (1851), Synop., pl. 7, f. 52, pl. 6, f. 5², $=$ C. hibernicus, Ehr. (1845).
C. crebrecostatus. Grev., T. M. S., Vol. XI., N. S., (I863), pl. i, f. 6, = (.. crebrestriatus, Grun., Atl., pl. 53, f. 18 , = C. singularis, A. S., $=$ C. intermedius, Grun., = ? C. Heufleuri, var., = ? C. humilis, Castr., $=$ ? C. Australis, var. Grun., = C. Braziliensis, Deby coll., = C. densecostatus, Cleve, var.

C crebrestriatus. Grun., Atl., pl. 53, f. $18,=$ C. crebrecostatus, Grev.
C. cribrosus. W. Sm. Synop., pl. 6, f. 55, = C. echenëis, Ehr.
(*) This is not a Campylodiscus. Its valves are quite flat. It is either a Surirella or an internal valve of a Cocconeis. I have examined several specimens.

## D.

Campylodiscus dæmelianus. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 54, f. 1-2. " Var. ? Sonderianus, Grun. in litt., Möller Slides. " Var. Comminuta, A. S., pl. 17, f. ir.
C. Debyi. Leud., in litt.
C. decorus. Breb., Cherbg. (1854), f. 2 ; Grun., O. Diat., pl. 9, f. 7 ; A. S., Atl., pl. 14, f, 4, = ? C. Rulfsii, W. Sm. (1853), var.
" Var. C. pinnata, Peragal. Villfr., pl. $\mathbf{1}$, f. $\mathbf{1},=\mathrm{C}$. exilis, Grun.
C. densecostatus. Cl . Vega $(1883)$, pl. 38 , f. $76,=$ crebrccostatus, Grev. var.
C. dentatus. Deby (*).
C. de Tonianus. Deby ( $\dagger$ ).
C. diplostictus. (Norm.) Grev., T. M. S., Vol. VIII., 1860, pl. i, f. 6, = C. Robertsianus, var.
C. divisus. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 5, f. 58, $=$ C. Kittonianus, $=$ C. latus.
C. Doegeanus. Deby, in litt. $(\ddagger)$, = Coronilla, Brun.
C. dubius. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 4, f. $47 a b$, $=$ ? C. latus, Shadb.
(*) From the Phillipine Islands.
( $\dagger$ ) From Mauritius and Madagascar, Tamatave.
$(+)$ Similar to Californucus, Grove in litt. (Deby), but smaller and without a "circlet."

## E.

Campylodiscus ecclesianus. Grev., M. J., 1857 , pl. 3, f. 5 ; Pantoc., Vol. J., pl. 3, f. 26.
" Var. A. S. Atl., pl. 17, f. 16, = ? C. Rabenhorstii, A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 12-14.
C. echenëis. Ehr. Ber., 1840, p. 206 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 54, f. 3-6; V. H. Synop., pl. 76, f. 1, 2, = C. cribrosus, W. Sm.
C. Ehrenbergii. Ralfs. Prit., 186 I , pl. 12, f. $12-14,22,23$. Not determinable.
C. Eiowanus. Ehr. M., 1856, not figured. Not determinable.
C. Eisenii. Grun., in litt. in Weissflog coll., = C. Thuretii, Breb.
C. elegans. Ehr. Kutz. Bac., tab. 28, f. 23, $=$ Surirella elegans.
C. emarginatus. Deby (*).
C. erosas. Castr. Chall., $\mathbf{1 8 8 6}$, pl. 1 i, f. $3,=$ C. Greenleafianus, Grun. ; = ? C. undulatus, Grev. var., etc.
" ? Var. Castr. Chall., pl. in, f. 5.
C. exiguus. Grun., O. Diat., pl. 11, f. 4. Doubtful minute species.
C. exilis. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. $3,=$ C. biangulatus, Grev., f. minor, or Ralfsii, W. Sm.
C. eximius. Greg, Clyde, pl. 3, f. 54 ; Grun., O. Diat., pl. I 1 , f. 5 .

Var. Briocensis, A. S. Atl., pl. 52, f. 1, 2.
(*) From the inland sea of Japan.

## F.

Campylodiscus fastuosus. Ehr. Ber., $1845,=$ P C. Simulans or C. Thuretii, Grun., O. Diat., pl. 9, f. 8.
C. fenestratus. Grev., M. J., 1857 , pl. 9, f. 3, 4, $=$ C. ecclesianus, Grev. Complete frustule seen through both valves.
C. flammiger. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 4, f. 53, = ? C. Pacificus, Grun. var.
C. flexuosa. Ehr. Kutz. bacc., t. 28, f. 25 ; Ralfs. Prit. Inf., 1861, pl. 12, f. 1 1, = Surivella spiralis.
C. fluminensis. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 6, = C. Quarenensis, Grun.
C. fortis. Leud., in litt.

## G.

Campylodiscus gibberosus. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 4, f. 52, =
? var. texiniatus, A.S., but doubtful.
C. Graffii. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 16, f. $1,=$ C. taniatus, A. S. var., $=$ ? C. ecclesianus, Grev. var.
C. granulatus. Grun., in litt., = C. latus, Shadb. var.
C. Greenleafianus. Grun., A. S. Atl. (1885), pl. 15, f. 3, = C. undulatus, Grev., etc.
C. Grevillii Leud., Ceylon, pl. 4, f. $54-56,=$ C. Brightwellii, Grun.
C. Grœnlandicus. Cl . Artic D., pl. 2, f. 9. Doubtful species.
C. Grundleri. Grun. A. S. Atl., pl. 15, f. 3-2 ; pl. 51, f. 13, $=$ C. undulatus, Grev. var.

## H.

Campylodiscus Hardmanianus. Grev. in litt. (1866), Hardman's Coll., Deby's Coll., Nos. 199, 200, = C. Sonderianus, Grun. in litt., Möller.
C. Heufleurii. Grun. A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 19, pl. 14, f. 25, 26 , $=$ C. crebrecostatus, var. Grev.
C. helianthus. A. S. Atl., pl. 17, f. 15.
C. heliophitus. Ehr. Kutz., not figured. Not determinable.
C. hibernicus. Ehr. Mik., pl. 15 a, f. 9; A. S. Atl., pl. 55, f. $9-16$, $=$ C. costatus, W. Sm., $=$ C. noricus var.
C. Hodgsoni. Wm. Sm., Synop., pl. 6, f. 63 ; R. and Jan. Hond., pl. 1, f. $8,=$ C. imperialis, Grev. not A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 5.
C. horologium (Williamson). W. Sm., Synop., pl. 6, f. i, A. S. Atl., pl. 5 I, f. 7.
"
Var. Mediterranea, Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 17, f. 7. var. ? Pfitzeri.
C. humilis. Castr. Chall., pl. in, f. $8,=$ ? C. crehrecostatus var.
C. Humboldtii. Ehr. Abh., 1869, Mexico, pl. i, f. 3, $=$ C. clypeus, Ehr.
C. hypodromus. T. and B. Jap., pl. 2, f. ıо, = C. Angularis, Grev.

## I, J.

(ampylodiscus imperialis. Grev., T. M. S., 1860, pl. 1, f. 3
(not A. S. Atl.), $=$ Hodgsonii, W. Sm., $=$ ? C.
Concinnus, Grev., = C. marginalis, Johnst.
C. imperialis. Var. Media, Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 17, f. 20. " Var. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 15, f. 9, 10.
C. impressus. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 10, = C. birostratus, pars, Deby.
C. incertus. A. S. Atl., pl. 15, f. 13-15; Jan. Gazel, pl. 11, f. 15, = C. Samoensis, Grun.
C. inconspicuus. Deby, A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 1t, 12.
C. Indicus. Ehr. Ber. (1845), p. 362, R. S. A., p. 33, not figured. Not determinable.
C. insignis. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 9, f. 104, = C. adriaticus, var. Massiliensis, Grun.
C. intermedius. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. 9 ; pl. 14, f. 30 , $=$ C. crebrecostatus, Grev., $=$ C. Schmidtii, var. A. S. Atl., pl. 53, fig. 8.
C. Japonicus. Castr. Chall., pl. if, f. i.

## K.

Campylodiscus Kerguelensis. Deby.
C. Kittonianus. Grev., T. M. S., 1860 , pl. 1, f. 7 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 16, f. 19-21, = C. latus, Shadb. (1854), $=$ Centrali, Greg., $=$ C. contiguus A. S. (1885), $=$ C. anbiguus, Grev.
C. Kutzingii. Bailey, Proc. Acad. Phil., 1853 ; Harv. and Bail., U.S. Exped. (1862-74), Pl. 9, f. 20, $=$ C. striolatus, Grun. (1885).

## L.

Campylodiscus latus. Shadb., T. M. S., Vol. II., pl i, f. 13 $(1854),=$ C. Kittonianus, Grev. (1860), $=$ C. ambiguus, Grev. (1860), = C. contiguus, A. S. $(1885),=$ C. speculum, Leud., $=$ C. radians, Leud., = C. signatus, Leud. var.
C. larius. Castr. = C. Noricus, var. From Lakes of Como and Varese.
C. lepidus. Castr. Chall. (1886), pl. 11, f. 7, = C. Pfitzeri, A. S., 1885, = C. horologium, W. S. var. (1853), etc.
C. limbatus. Breb., Cherbourg, f. 1 ; Grun., O. Diat., pl. 9, f. 4 ; Peragal. Villfr., f. 4. Var. Australis, Eul., A. S. Atl., pl. 17, f. i. Var. Chilensis, Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 17, f. 2, 3. Var. minuta, Cleve, Vega, pl. 38, f. 77.
C. lineatus. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. $18,=$ C. concinnus, var., = C. marginalis, Johnst., M. J., Vol. VIII., pl. I, f. II.
C. Lorenzianus. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. 4, pl. 14, f. 24 ; O. Diat., pl. 11, f. 1, 2, = C. biangulatus, Grev.

## M.

Campylodiscus Macassarensis (Grov. in litt.). Deby.
C. Margaritaceum. Cl. New Diat., pl. 9, f. 52. Doubtful form.
C. marginalis. Johnst., T. M. S., 1860, pl. 1, f. 2, = C. imperialis var.
C. marginatus. Ehr. Ber. (1845), p. $3^{13}$; K. S. A., p. 33. Not figured. Not determinable.
C. margo-sinuatus. Deby in litt. = C. Allifrons, Brun..
C. Massiliensis. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 16, f. 14-16, = C. Adriaticus var.
C. Mediterraneus. Grun., In Cleve and Möll., Diat., Nos. 154-155, = C. horologium, Will. var.
C. mesoleia. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 3, = C. ornatus, Grev. var.
C. Mexicanus. Ehr. Ber., 1861 ; Abh., 1872, pl. 5, f. 19, $=$ C. triumphans var., ? Doubtful form.
C. Micaultii. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 9, f. ıо3, = C. latus, Shadb. ?
C. minutus. Grun., O. Diat., pl. 7, f. 27, $=$ C. radiosus, Grun., forma winor.
C. mirabilis. Leud, in litt.
C. Mülleri. A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 13.
C. myodon. Ehr. Kutz bacc., t. 28, f. 24, $=$ Surirella splendida.

## N.

Campylodiscus nanus. Schum. Tatra, p. 56, pl. 1, f. 12. Not determinable.
C. nitens. Castr. Chall., pl. if, f. 6. Not determinable.
C. noricus. Ehr. Ber., 1840 , U. K. Synop., pl. 77, f. 4-6;
A.S. Atl., pl. 55, f. 8 ; Grun., O. Diat., pl. ıо, f. 4,5 , $=$ C. hibernicus, var. Ehr., = C. coslatus, W. Sm., Synop., pl. 6, f. 52.
C. Normanianus. Grev., T. M. S., Vol. Vili., pl. i, f. i, A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 14, = C. Wallichianus, var. without apiculi.
C. notatus. Grev., T. M. S., 1860, pl. 1, f. 4, = C Hodgsoni, W. Sm.

Var. C. vitiensis, Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 8.
" " forma minor, A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. $15,=$ C. radiosus, Ehr.

## 0.

Campylodiscus obsoletus. Cleve, Tegel., pl. ı2, f. 2.
C. oceanus. Castr. Chall., pl. ir, f. 4. Not determinable.
C. orbicularis. Castr. Chall., pl. 11, f. $4,=$ C. horologium, forma minuta.
C. orbicularis. Cleve Vega, pl, 38, f. 79, = C. Pacificus, Grun. var.
C. ornatus. Grev., T. M. S., Vol. XI., N. S., pl. ı, f. 3 ; A. S. Atl., pl. ェ7, f. ${ }^{7} 7$; O. Witt. Sudsee, pl. 15, f. 6. Var. Amphileia, Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 5 I, f. 2. " Var. A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 6.
C. ovatus. Ralfs. Pritch., Inf., 186 I, p. 802, not figured, $=$ C. clypeus.
P.

Campylodiscus Pacificus. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. i6, f. 12.
C. panduriger. A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 33.
C. parvulus. W. Sm., Synop., pl. 6, f. $56,=$ C. simulans Greg., or C. Thurctii, Breb.
C. Peragallii. Deby, $=$ Peragal. Villefr., pl. $\mathbf{1}$, f. $3,=\mathrm{C}$ decorus, var. pinnata, Perag., $=$ ? C. rivalis, A. S. $=$ ? C. Ralfsii, var.
C. peramplus. Cleve, New Diat., pl. 4, f. 53.
C. Pfitzeri. A. S. Atl., pl. 17, f. 5, 6, = C. lepidus, Castr., $=$ C. horologium var.
C. phalangium. A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 1 1, 12 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. $16,17,=$ ? C. rotula, Grun. var., $=$ C. Browneanus, Grev. var.
C. Philippinarum. Castr. Chall., pl. 11, f. 9, = C. ornatus, Grev., Castracane's figure bad.
C. pinnata. Per., pl. 1, f. 1 , $=$ C. decorus, var. Perag., $=\mathrm{C}$. Ralfsii, W. Sm. $\mathbf{v}_{\text {ar }}$.
C. polaris. Ehr., Nordpol., p. 21, pl. 3, f. 5. Not determinable.
C. pulchellus. Leud., in litt.
C. punctatus. Bleich. Hedw., 1860, pl. 5, f. $\mathbf{1}-4,=$ C. noricus, Ehr.
C. punctulatus. Grun., A. S. Atl.. pl. 17, f. 4.
C. productus. Johnst., J. M. S., 1860, p. 12, = Surivella lata, W. Sm.

## Q.

Campylodiscus Quarenensis. Grun., O. Diat., 1862, pl. If, f. $3,=$ C. fluminensis, Grun., Atl., pl. 14, f. 6, 1885.

## R.

Campylodiscus Rabenhorstianus. J. and Rab., Honduras, pl. 1, f. 6 ; O. Witt. Sudsee, pl. 15, f. 9 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 12.
Var. J. and Rab., pl. 1 , f. 7 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 13.
"
Var. A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 13,14 , $=$ ? C. ecclesianus, Grev.
C. radiatus. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 4, f. $48,=$ C. incertus, A. S., = C. Samoensis, Grun., A. S. Leuduger's figure not good.
C. radians. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 4, f. 57, doubtful form ; near pl. 51, f. 12, A. S. Atl., unnamed.
C. radiosus. Ehr. Nordpol, pl. 3, f. 7, $8=$ C. Vitiensis, Grun. ?
C. radiosus. Brun. in litt., $=$ C. stellatus, Grev. var. ?, without a circlet, if it is not a monstrosity of C. rivulosus, Br'un.
C. radiosus. Grun., O. Diat., pl. 9, f. 9, = C. minutus, Grun., forma major.
C. radiolatus. Ehr., M., p. 12. Not figured ; probably C. noricus.
C. Raenus. Deby, Coll., A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. $5,6,=$ C. erosus. Cast. var. ?
C. Ralfsii. W. Sm., Synop., pl. 30, f. 257 (supplement) ; A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 1 -3, = C: decorus, Grev., var. ; ? C. Scalaris, T. and B. var., = C. exilis, Grun. ?
C. remora. Ehr., Amer., pl. 3, 7, F. f. 14, = C. bicostatus, W. Sm.
C. rivalis. A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. $1,2$.
C. rivulosus. T. et Br . Jap., pl. 2, f. 9 .
C. Robertsianus. Grev., T. M. S., Vol. XI., N.S., pl. i, f. 5 , A. S. Atl., pl. ${ }_{17}$, f. $8-10,=$ C. diplostictus, var. (Norm.) Grev.
C. rotula. Grun. (1885), A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 10, pl. 53, f. 20 , $=$ C. Browneanus, Grev. $(1862)=$ ? C. phalangium, A. S. var.

## S.

Campylodiscus Sabini. Ehr., Abh., 1872 , Nordpol., pl. 3, f. 6. Doubtful species.
C. Samoensis. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 15, f. 19, 20 ; Jan. Gazel., pl. 19, f 12, = C. incertus, A. S., = ? Peragal., Villefr., pl. r, f. 2.
C. Sauerbeckii. Grundl., A. S. Atl., pl. 52, f. 6 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 3, 4 , = C. undulatus, Grev. var.
C. scalaris. T. et Br. Jap., pl. 2, f. $12,=$ C. Ralfsii, var.
C. Schleinitzii. Jan., A. S. Atl., pl. 52, f. 5 ; Jan. Gazel., pl. 19, f. 13 .
C. Schmidtii. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. Io: Jan. Gazel, pl. 15, f. $\mathbf{1 2}$, = ? C. Hodgsoni var.
C. signatus. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 4, f. $50,=$ C. radians, Leud.
C. similis. Jan. Gazel., pl. 19, f. $8,=$ C. anceps, Castr.
C. simulans. Greg., T. M. S., Vol. V., pl. i, f. 41 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 17, f. 12-14; A. S. Nord., see pl. 3, f. 10, $=$ C. parvulus, W. Sm., = ? C. Thuretii.
C. simplex. T. et Br. Japon, pl. 2, f. 6, = ? C. vitricavus, T. et Br. var.
C. singularis. A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 29, = C. crebrecostatus, Grev.
C. socialis. O. Witt., A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. $7-9$.
C. Sonderianus. Grun., in litt., Möller slides, $=$ C. Hardmanianus, Grev., in litt., 1866.
C. speculum. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 4, f. 49, = C. signatus, Leud., $=$ C. radians, Leud., $=$ C. latus, Shadb. vars.
C. spiralis. W. Sm., pl. 7, f. 54, $=$ Surirella spiralis.
C. stellatus. Grev., M. J., 1859, pl. 7, f. 3.
C. stellula. Schum. Preus., Diat., pl. 3, f. 6, = C. clypeus, Ehr.
C. striatus. Ehr., Amer., pl. 3, 7, f. 13; Bright, M. J., Vol. VII., pl. 9, f. 4, = ? C. undulatus, Grev.
C. striolatus. Grun., A. S. Atl. (1885), pl. 53, f. 1, 2, = C. Kutzingii., Harv. and Bail. (1862-1874), pl. 9, f. 20.
C. Studeri. Jan. Gazel., pl. 19, f. $9-10$, = C. Greenleafianus, Grun., $=$ C. erosus, Castr., $=$ C. undulatus, Grev. var.
C. subangularis. Cleve and Möll., Diat., Nos. 154, ${ }^{155}$, Nomina nuda. My slides only contain C. simulans and C. circumactus, from the Balearic Islands
C. Sumatrensis. Leud., in litt.
C. suberbus. Rabn., Flor. Europ. Alg., p. 45, pl. 8, f. 8 ; Susswasser, Diat., pl. 3, = Calodiscus superbus. Possibly not a Campylodiscus, as it is described as flat and immersed in mucus. This form, from "paludosus submarinis terra di Otranto Italie Australis," should be looked for by Italian diatomists, as it is a puzzle to algologists.
C. Surirella. Ehr. Ber. (1845), p. 305 ; K. S. A., p. 35. Not figured. Not determinable.

## T.

Campylodiscus tabulatus. A. S. Atl., pl. 52, f. 4.
C. taeniatus.* A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 1, including var. ( $\therefore$ Graffii, Grun.
C. taenioides, Deby. A. S. Atl., pl. 16, f. 6.
C. tener. Ehr. Abh. (1872), p. 209. Not figured. Undeterminable.
C. Thuretii. Breb. Cherbg., f. 3 ; V. H. Synop., pl. 77, f. 1 (under simulans) ; A. S. Atl., pl. 16, f. 2, pl. 51, f. 15.
C. trapezoidalis. Deby.
C. triumphans. A. S. Atl., pl. 15, f. 4,5 ; Jan. Gazel., pl. 19, f. II.

## U.

Campylodiscus undulatus. Grev. M. J., Vol. III., N. S., pl. 9, f. 4 ; A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. 11 , = C. Greenleafianus, Grun., $=$ C. erosus, Castr., $=\mathrm{C}$. oceanicus, Castr., $=$ C. Balearicus, Clev., $=$ C. Grundlerii, var. Grun., = C. Sauerbeckii, Grundl.
,, Var. Leud., Ceylon, pl. 9, f. 102.
, Var. Leud., do. pl. 7, f. 69.

* It is probable that C. taeniatus, A. S., and C. ecclesianus, Grev., including C. Grafiz, Grun., are extreme vars. of one species, while C. fenestratus, Grev., represents a view through the two crossed valves of a frustule.
C. undulatus. Var.? distinctus. Deby. With inter-radials, faintly punctate, and a sharply outlined raphe.*
C. upolensis. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 51, fig. 14 (doubtful).


## V.

Campylodiscus vermicularis. Temp. Diatomiste. Vol. I., pl. 2, f. 9.
C. vitricavus. T. and Br. Jap., pl. 2, f. 8, = canalisatus, T. and Br. var.
C. vitiensis. Grun., A. S. Atl., pl. 51, f. 8 , $=$ C. notatus, Grev. var.
C. vulcanius. Ehr. Ber., 1844 ; Kutz., Sp. Alg., p. 33. Not figured, not determinable.

## W.

Campylodiscus Wallichianus. Grev., T. M. S., Vol. XI., 1863, N. S., pl. 1, f. 4 , A. S. Atl., pl. 14, f. 15 , 16, pl. 18, f. 13, C. Normanianus, var. Grev.
" Var. A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. 14.
" Var. C. Haitiensis, Castr. Chall., pl. 16, f. 6.
C. Weissflogii. Deby, A. S. Atl., pl. 16, f. 4.

## $Z$.

Campylodiscus Zebuanus. Castr. Chall., pl. 11, f. io (bad figure), $=$ C. biangulatus, Grev.
C. zonalis. Phill. Ralfs, Prit., 1861 , p. 802 . Not figured. Not determinable from description.

* In Holothuria from China, in Mr. Rae's collection.


## ADDENDA.

The following forms have been identified since going to press.

Campylodiscus Bruneanus. Deby.
Campylodiscus Campecheanus. Deliy, A. S. Atl., pl. 53, f. 8.
C. Eulensteinii. Pantoc., Vol. II., p. $71=$ ? Limbatus. Breb. var., Australis, A. S., pl. 17, f. 1.
C. humilis. Grev., South Pacific, Part III., pl. 3, f. 1. Doubtful form.
C. Pantocsekianus. Deby.
C. Kidstonii. Pant., Vol. II., p. 7 t . This is not figured, and the description is too imperfect to permit recognition.
C. orbicularis. Castr., Chall., pl. 16, f. ェо, = C. horologium, forma minuta.
C. Rattrayanus. Deby, A. S. Atl., pl. 18, f. 10.

## Supplement to Part II.

List of figures of Unnamed Doubtful Species of Campylodisci in A. Schmidı's " Atlas der Diatomaceen."

Atlas, pl. 17, f. 18.-Reproduced from a small fragment.
, pl. 17, f. 19.- Ditto.
,, pl. 14, f. 27.-Probably Heufleurii, Grun., var.
", pl. 16, f. i1.-According to A. S., ecclesianus, Grev.
„, pl. ı8, f. 21 .- Intermediate between C. latus, Shadb., and its var. C. contiguus, A. S.
,, pl. 5I, f. 4.-Small fragment represented.
„, pl. 16, f. 3.-Is a var. of C. teniatus, A. S.
,, pl. 15, f. 17.-Is Samoensis, Grun. var., ?
,, pl. 15, f. 18.—Ditto.
,, pl. 16, f. 5-Is ecclesianus var., ?
-

## III.

## CATALOGUE OF ACCEPTED DISTINCT FORMS.

- 



> List of all the Forms of Campylodiscus which are considered as really distinct by the Author.

[The forms preceded by a ${ }^{*}$ are now in my cabinet from the localities indicated after their names. Those without the * are desiderata in my collection which I desire to obtain by purchase, or by exchange.-J. D.]
1.* Campylodiscus adornatus. A. S., from Sta. Monica and from Nossi bé, Madagascar.
2.* C. Adriaticus. Grun., from Villefranche, Mentone, Naples, the Adriatic Sea, and foss. from St. Peter, Hungary, and Macassar Straits (Grove).
3. C. albifrons. Brun.
4. C. altar. Brun.
5.* C. ? anceps. Castr., from the Philippine Islands, Manilla.
6.* C. angularis. Greg., from China, Singapore, various Challenger gatherings, and Australia.
7.* C. Balticus. Cleve, from Kilwilken, Baltic Sea.
8.* C. biangulatus. Grev. (C. Lorenzianus), from Manilla, Java, and Australia.
9.* C. bicinctus. Castr., from the inland sea of Japan, Challenger station, 233 .
10.* C. bicostatus. W. Sm., from North Wales, from Northfleet, from Christianstadt, from Caen, and North Sea, and from Belgium.
11. C. bifurcatus. A. S.
12. C. bimarginatus. A. S.
13.* C. birostratus. Deby.
14. C. biseriatus. Deby.
15.* C. Browneanus. Grev., from Manilla.
16.* C. Californicus. (Grove) Deby, fossil from San Redoubo and Sta. Monica.
17. C. Campecheanus. Deby.
18.* C Challengerensis. Deby, from Challenger station 233 .
19. C. chrysanthemum. Brun.
20.* C. circumactus. A. S., from Maghellan's Straits, Bay of Naples, and Balearic Islands.
21. C. clivosus. Brun
22.* C. clypeus. Ehr. and var. ? C. bicostatus, Grun., from brackish water, from Belgium coast, from Norfolk, from Franzensbad, from Christianstadt, from Penan harbour, from Sierra Leone, from North Sea, and the var. from S . Africa.
23.* C. cocconeiformis. Cleve.
24.* C. coronilla. Brun., from various Californian deposits.
25. C. coruscus, A. S.
26.* C. crebrecostatus. Grev. and var. singularis, A. S., from Manilla, from Porto Seguro, from Java, Singapore, and Samoa.
27.* C. daemelianus. Grun., from Australia, from Madagascar, from Java, and Japan.
28.* C. Debyi. Leud. From the Malay Archipelago.
29.* C. decorus. Breb, from Manilla, from Japan, from Java, from Singapore, from Samoa, from Alexandria, from Naples, from the Adriatic, and from the Society Islands.
30.* C. dentatus. Deby, from the Philippines.
31.* C. de Tonianus. Deby, from Mauritius (Doeg) and Tamatave (Grove).
32.* C. ecclesianus. Grev., from Yucatan, Vera Cruz, Campeche Bay, Colon, Rio Janiero, Java, and foss. from Elesd, Hungary.

33* C. echeneis. Ehr., from Britain, Australia, and Sierra Leone, Malay Archipel., Nórth Sea, Sweden, and Caspian Sea.
34.* C. emarginatus. Deby, from Japan.
35.* C. eximius. Greg., Red Sea, Naples, Mentone, Adriatic, and Malay Archip.
36. C. flammiger. Leud.
37.* C. fortis, Leud., Malay Archipelago.
38.* C. Grevillii. Leud., from the Sandwich Islands.
39.* C. Hardmanianus. Grev., from Hong Kong and from Carpentaria, var. from Caspian Sea.
40. C. helianthus. A. S.
41.* C. Hibernicus. Ehr., from Toome bridge, Leipzig, etc.
42.* C. Hodgsonii. W. Sm., with C. Imperialis, Grev., Java, etc.
43.* C, horologium. From the Red Sea, Muntok, and from Mentone, Naples, and Adriatic.
44.* C. imperialis. Grev., from Colon, Rio Janeiro, Porto Scguro, Isl. Nassau, Brazil, Campeche, and Vera Cruz.
45. C. inconspicuus. Deby.
46. C. Japonicus. Castr.
47.* C. Kerguelensis. Deby, from Kerguelan's Land.
48.* C. Kittonianus. Grev., from Manilla, Java, Japan, the Sandwich Islands, Tahiti harbour, and foss. from Sta. Monica.
49.* C. Kutzingii. Bailey. Foss. from Karand, Hungary.
50.* C. latus. Shadb., from Malacca, Japan, Manilla, and Rio Janeiro, Java, Australia, and Vera Crux.
51.* C. limbatus. Breb., from the Red Sea, Naples, Nice, Mentone, Alexandria, and Adriatic.
52. C. Macassarensis (Grove). Deby.
$53^{*}$ C. Margosinuatus. Deby. Challenger.
54.* C. mirabilis. Leud., Malay Archipelago.

55* C. Mülleri. A. S., from Colon and Macassar Straits.
56.* C. Noricus. Ehr., from various North European localities, the var. C. Larius, Castr., from Lake Varese.

57 * C. obsoletus. Cleve, from tegel of Mährer foss.
58.* C. ornatus. Grev., from Tamatave, Samoa, Java, and Manilla.
59. C. Pacificus. Grun., = ? C. Alammiger, Leud.
60. C. panduriger. A. S.
61.* C. Pantocsekii. Deby, from Karand, Hungary, foss.
62. C. peramplus. Cleve:

63* C. Pfitzeri. A. S., from Hong Kong, Java, Japan, Singapore, and Challenger gatherings.
64. C. phalangium. A. S.
65.* C. pulchellus. Leud., from Singapore.
66.* C. punctulatus. Grun., from Gulf of Mexico and Colon.
67.* C. Quarenensis. Grun., from Adriatic.
68. C. Rabenhorstianus. Jan. et Rab.
69. C. radiosus. Ehr.
70.* C. Raeanus. Deby, from Challenger.

7 1. ${ }^{*}$ C. Ralfsii. W. Sm., from Manilla, Naples, etc.
72. C. rivalis. A. S.
73. C. rivulosus. T. et B.
74.* C. Robertsianus. Grev., from Manilla, Java, Samoa, and from Challenger.
75.* C. Samoensis. Grun., et var. incertus, from Bahia.
76.* C. Schleinitzii. Janish, from Kerguelensland.
77. C. Schmidtii. Grun.
78.* C. Simulans. Greg. and forma C. parvula, W. Sm., from Naples, Adriatic, Manilla, Mentone, Nice, Loch Fyne, and North Sea.
79.* C. socialis. O. Witt., from Samoa.
80.* C. Stellatus. Grev., from Japan, China, Singapore, and Colon.

8I.* C. Sumatrensis. Leud., Malay Archipelago.
82. C. tabulatus. A. S.
83.* C. taeniatus. A. S., from Colon and Java.
84. C. taenioides. Deby.
85.* C. Thuretii. Breb., from Britain, Baltic Sea, Naples, Java, and Singapore.
86. C. trapezoidalis. Deby, fromi Sta. Monica.
87.* C. triumphans. A. S., from Japan, Java, Bermuda, Campeche, Porto Seguro, and Colon.
88.* C. undulatus. Grev. and vars. from Japan, Manilla, Nossi bé, Society Islands, Colon, Brazil, China, and Singapore.
89. C. vermicularis. Temp.
90.* C. vitricavus. T. et B., fossil from Sendai, Japan.
91.* C. Wallichianus. Grev., from Rio Janeiro, Bahia, Java, and St. Helena.
92. C. Weissflogii. Deby.

I consider the above, Nos. 7, 22, 37, 62, 63, 78 , to be doubtfully distinct forms ; and Nos. 5 and 24 as not belonging to the genus Campylodiscus.
IV.

ANALYTICAL TABLES.


## Analytical Tables for the

## Determination of the Campylodisci.

Table for the arrangement into Groups of the forms of Campylodiscus.
1.-Valves with a narrow, smooth, central space, or longitudinal line (Raphe). Group I. Raphidæ.
2.-Valves with a broad area instead of a linear blank space or line (3).
3.-Area clearly defined and limited by internal termination of the Rays (5).
4.-Area undefined (or null from absence of the Rays). Group II. Vagæ.
5.-Area smooth, unadorned.* Group III. Hyalinæ.
6.-Area either striated or punctate (including punctato-striate). (7).
7.-Area bearing distinct striæ. Group IV. Striatæ.
8.-Area simply punctate or punctato-striate. Group V. Punctatæ.

[^1]
## Group I.-THE RAPHID®.

1.-Area limited by a distinct line insid: the termination of the Rays (3).
2.-Area not limited by a distinct line (19).
3.-Area terminating in subulate beaks reaching margin.
C. birostratus, Deby.
4. - Area not ending in beak-like terminations (5).
5.-Striæ bearing minute apiculi. C. circumactus, A. S.
6.-Striæ without apiculi, smooth or punctato-striate (7).
7.-Striæ smooth, continuous (9).
8.-Striæ punctate ( 15 ).
9.-Striæ more numerous than Rays. C. Samoensis,* Grun.
ro. -Striæ in equal number to Rays ( It ).
11.-Area panduriform, punctate, C. panduriger, A. S.
12.-Area not panduriform (13).
13.-Inter-Striæ punctate, sub-moniliform.
C. Macassarensis (Grove), Deby.

14-Inter-Striæ smooth, or apparently so (49).
15.-Raphe, with blunt, thick, projecting angular apices. Striæ with scattered granules.
C. imperialis, A. S.
16.-Raphe not ending in blunt, prominent, thickened ends (17).
17.-Disc, with a trapezoidal inserted line, with acute angles and rounded sides.
C. trapezoidalis, Deby.
18.-Disc, without a trapezoidal inscribed line.
C. Hodgsoni, W. Sm.

[^2]19.-Rays interrupted by smooth or lunate spaces (21).
20.-Rays uninterrupted by smooth, transverse spaces (23).
21.-Areal striæ forming externally by their union an arched or convex line, limiting (internally) the blank spaces which interruptithe Rays (43).
22.-Areal striæ forming a straight or concave line, limiting internally the smooth, lunulate spaces. C. Grevillii, Leud.
23.-Rays very numerous, fine and close set, radiating and reaching to the pseudo-Raphe. Raph. very narrow, linear, parallel-sided. C. Kutzingii,* Harv. and Bail.
24.-Raphe more or less developed, or else replaced by a mere line (25).
25.-Areal interstriæ, transversely lined. C. Schmidtii, Grun.
26. -Area without transversely-lined interstriæ (27).
27. - Raphe with a line of granules on each side.
C. biseriatus, Deby.

28 - Raphe not bordered by granules (29).
29.-Rays showing distinct undulations. C. biangulatus, $\uparrow$ Grev.
30.-Rays without distinct undulations (3 1 ).

3 I . - Rays 80 or more in number, valves quadrate.
C. fluminensis, Grun.
32.-Rays much fewer in number (33).

33- Raphe trapezoidal, with a granule in each obtuse angle.
C. socialis, O. Witt.
34. -Raphe not trapezoidal (35).

[^3]+ Synonymous with C. Lorenzianus, Grun.

35- Rays apiculate.
C. rizalis,* A. S.
36.-Rays without apiculi (37).
37.-Valves emarginate top and bottom. Rays slightly infundibuliform, with very narrow funnel. C. emarginatus, Deby.
38.-Valves not emarginate at the ends (39).
39.-Striæ slightly wavy, inter-striæ moniliform or punctato-striate. + Large granules exist on each side of the Raphe, top and bottom. C. rivulosus, T. and Br .
40.-Striæ straight, not undulating, linear, smooth. No granules on sides of pseudo-Raphe (41).
4I. - Raphe distinct.
42.-Raphe reduced to a mere line.
43. -Inter-radials formed by a marginal row of oblong beads, which are interrupted and followed by a second concentric row of smaller beads. On each side of Raphe a row of parallel, short, linear granules. C. Raeanus, Deby.

44- Inter-radials not formed by a marginal row of beads ; Rays after an interruption reaching the Raphe, which is not limited on each side by a row of parallel lines (45).
45.-Area tumid in the middle, with blunt terminations.
C. triumphans, A. S.
46. -Area not tumid in the middle. Terminations of area acute. (47).
47.-Blank spaces continuous all round area, inter-radials finely punctate.
C. Schmidtii, Grun.

* Apiculate var. of C. Ralfsii most probably.
† The figure in T. and Br. Jap. does not show the true characters of the strix in this species, of which I have had original specimens under my microscope.
$\ddagger \mathrm{I}$ am inclined to unite with C. Ralfsii, C. decorus, Breb., and C. exilis, Grun.
48.-Blank spaces lunate all round area. Inter-radials smooth.
C. undulatus,* Grev.
49.-A distinct bead at point of intersection of each ray and stria.
C. Campeacheanus, Deby.

50. -No beads or knots at intersection of rays and striæ.
C. crebrecostatus, $\dagger$ Grev.

## Group II.-THE VAGÆ.

1.-No distinct marginal Rays.
C. echeneis, Ehr.
2.-Marginal Rays distinct (3).

3 -Inter-Radials; with 3 distinct rows of puncta. Small forms.
C. cocconeiformis, $\ddagger$ Cleve.
4.-No Inter-Radial dots. Large forms.
C. clypeus, Ehr.

[^4]
## Group III.-THE HYALINÆ.

1.-Rays moniliform (3).
2.-Rays not moniliform (11).
3.-Rays showing an interruption after the second or third double bead from the margin. Beads from eight to ten in number (5).
4.-Rays continuous, without interruption (7).
5.-Area quadrangular. About twenty rows of granules to each long side of area. Granules small or medium size.
C. Hardmanianus, Grev. in litt.
6.-Area oval, with about forty rows of large, coarse granules to each long side of oval, encircling a central, oval, blank space.
C. daemelianus,* Grun.
7.-Rays short, tapering. Area sery large, circular. Beads on rays small and delicate. Inter-Radials with three marginal beads. Length of rays $0^{\circ} 030 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
C. de Tonianus, + Deby.
8.- Rays long. Area ceintral oval. Beads in the rays very conspicuous (9).
9.-All Rays reach the area. C. Robertsianus, Grev.
10.-Alternate Rays only reach the area, intermediate Rays shortened. C. diplostitus, Norm.
11.-Rays infundibuliform (13).

* I am rather inclined to consider C. daemelianus, Grun., and C. Hard-
manianus, Grev., as mere varieties of one species. I own the last named sp. from Hong Kong, and have seen it from Derbend in Mr. Grove's collection.

[^5]12.-Rays not infundibuliform (19).
13.-A circlet ( 15 ).
14.-No circlet (17).
15.-Stems longer than funnels.
C. Horologium, Williamson.
16.-Stems half length of funnels.
C. Pfitzeri,* A. S.
17.-Inter-Radials distinctly punctate. C. Challengerensis, Deby.
18.-Inter-Radials not punctate.
C. latus, $\dagger$ Shadb.
19. - Rays reduced to short marginal apiculi or points, with ends pointed centrally towards the area (21).
20. - R. not reduced to small points (25).
21.-A circlet.
C. obsoletus, Cleve.
22.-No circlet (23).
23.-Rays very short, bifid at internal apex. Inter-Radials each with two marginal beads.
C. dentatus, Deby.
24.-Rays longer, not bifid, ending very acutely. Inter-Radials with three or four marginal beads. C. anceps, Castr.
25.-Margin of valve double formed by two concentric rows of large beads, separated by a narrow, concentric, smooth space. C. bicinctus,$\ddagger$ Castr.
26.-Margin not formed by a double row of beads, separated by a blank circle (27).
27.-Inter-Radials, with three longitudinal rows of fine puncta.
C. pulchellus,§ Leud.

* This seems to be a var. of C. horologium.
+ I unite under this name C. centralis, Grev., C. contiguus, A. S., and C. ambiguus, Grev.
$\ddagger$ Seems very local and proper to the inland sea of Japan.
§ Under sufficient high power the area of C. pulchella is finely punctate.

28. -Inter-Radial without three rows of puncta (29).
29.-Area large, occupying greater portion of disc (31).
30.-Area small, occupying only central portion of disc (45).
31.-A circlet (33).
32.-No circlet (35).

33- Inter-Radial with three marginal beads. Circlet formed by short lines. Rays very fine, about 40 in number.
C. tabulatus, A. S.
34.-Inter-R. without beads. Rays about 100 in number ; circlet formed by large beads in which the Rays terminate.
C. adornatus, A. S.
35.-Inter-Radials with one or two marginal beads (37).
36. -No inter-Radial beads (39).
37.-Marginal beads bifid internally at apex.
C. dentutus, Deby.
38.-Marginal beads not bifid.
C. Adriaticus, Grun.
39.-Area circular, or nearly so (41).
40.-Area elliptic, acute top and bottom (53).
41.-Inter-Kadials very finely striate longitudinally.
C. Balticus, Cleve.
42. - Inter-Radials not striate (43).
43.-Rays formed of one row of dots. C Japonicus, Castr.
44.-Rays not punctate (55).
45.-Rays from 40 to $5^{\circ}$ (47).
46. - Rays 24 or less in number (49).
47. - Rays bearing apiculi.
C. Wallichianus, Grev.
C. Broweneanus, Grev.
48.-Rays smooth.
49.-Area oval or reniform (51).
50.-Area elliptical, with acute apices.
C. phalangium, A.S.

5 r. -Area reniform. Large, marginal inter-radial beads.
C. Milleri, A. S.
52.-Area oval. No marginal beads.
C. rotula, Grun.
53.-Rays originating in large marginal beads.
C. helianthus, A. S.
54.-No marginal beads.
C. angularis, Greg.
55.-Rays long, very fine, close, and numerous.
C. radiosus, Ehr.
56. - Rays very short, tooth-like (57).
57.-Rays with rounded ends internally. Inter-radial spaces rounded at base near margin. Inter-radials with one row of dots. C. margosinuatus, Deby.
58.-Rays bifid at apex internally. Inter-radials with four rows of dots.
C. albifrons, Brun.

## Group IV.-THE STRIAT压.

1.-Area with a central stellate figure of fusiform, apiculate, moniliform strræ, which do not reach the margin.
C. coruscus, A. S.
2.-Area not bearing a central star formed of fusiform striæ (3).
3.-Striated portion of Area limited to a narrow, parallel-sided, central, longitudinal band or zone (5).
4. - Striated Area not limited to a narrow, linear, central, parallelsided, longitudinal zone (7).
5.-Striate area bounded on each side by a smooth, lunulate space.
C. Sumatrensis, Leud.
6. -No clear space on each side of striate area.
C. simulans, Greg.
7.-Area with radiating, somewhat undulate striæ.
C. vermiculatus,* Temp.
8.-Area without vermiculate design (9).
9.-Area elliptic, with short parallel striæ near its margins only. Rays 16 to 20.
C. Thuretii, + Breb.
10. - Area circular, or oval, or sub-quadrate. Rays more numerous ( Ifi .

1 I. - Central portion of Area, with a double T marking.
C. bifurcatus, A. S.
12.-No double T mark on Area (13).
13.-Striæ in part parallel horizontally ( 15 ).
14.--Striæ all radial (21).
15.-A circlet (17).
16.-No circlet (19).
17.-Striæ short, not reaching the circlet. C. Weissflogii, Deby.
18.-Striæ long, reaching the circlet. C. taeniatus, A. S.
19.-Area clepsydrate, with central dumb-bell marking.
C. taenioides, Deby.
20.-No cle $\mu$ sydrate Area nor dumb-bell marking.
C. ecclesianus, Grev.
21.-Valves, with small, terminal, sub-globular buttons.
C. vitricavus, Brun.

[^6]22.--Valves without terminal buttons (23).
23.-A circlet (25).
24.-No circlet (31).
25.-Circlet formed by short, distinct, paired lines.
C. Kerguelensis, Deby.
26. - Circlet formed by rounded dots (27).
27.-Beads of circlet large. C. adornatus, A. S
28. -Beads of circlet minute (29).
29.-Circlet interrupted at top and bottom. Rays few.
C. peramplus, Cleve.
30.- Circlet continuous. Rays numerous. (4I).
31.-Area nearly circular (33).
32.-Area oval or oblong (37).
33.-Valves with distinct double border. C. bimarginatus, A. S.
34.-No distinct double margin (35).
35.-Centrally a smooth, elliptical space. C. Rabenhorstii, Jan.
36.-No central, distinct, elliptical blank space.
C. Pacificus, Grun.
37.-Inter-radials and inter-striæ finely punctato-striate. Central Area smooth.
C. bicostatus, W. Sm.*
38.--Inter-radials and inter-striæ smooth ; central Area, if any, inconspicuous (39).

* The typical Campylodiscus bicostatus of W. Sm. (Roper, Micr. Trans., Vol. II., pl. 6, f. 4). I have from North-fleet, including original specimens from W. Sm. and Roper's collections, from Mostyn, N. Wales, and from the Baltic Sea, where it occurs along with Camp. Balticus, Cleve, in litt, and Camp. echeneis. Many specimens in my collection, labelled C. bicostatus, represent a different Diatom, namely, the Camp. bicostatus of Grunow, of Van Heurck, and of the Atlas, as figured in Grun., Oest. Diat., 1862, pl. 10 (vii.), f. I ; Van Heurck, Synopsis, pl. 75, f. 2, and types No. 436 ; and A. S.
[Continued p. 60.]
39.-One marginal bead between each pair of Rays.
C. Californicus (Grove), Deby

40. -No marginal beads.
C. inconspicuus, Deby.
41.-Inter-radials distinctly punctate.
C. Siellatus, Grev.
C. Altar, Brun.

## Group V.-PUNCTATÆ.

r.--Rays moniliform (3).
2.-Rays not moniliform (5).
3.-Area quadrate.
C. Hardmanianus, Grev.
4.-Area oval.
C. daemeliainus, A. S.
5.-Rays infundibuliform (7).
6.-Rays not infundibuliform (13).
7.-Margin beaded, two beads per inter-radial (33).
8. - Margin not beaded (9).
9.-Area with a broad circlet of longish, dark, sub-parallel lines.
C. Schleinitzii, Jan.
10.-No circlet of any kind (11).
in.-Area small, central oval.
C. chrysanthemum, T. and B.
12.-Area large, circular.
C. clivosus, J. Brun.

Atlas, pl. 55, f. 4, 5, 6. The true C. bicostatus, W. Sm., is a much more delicate form. Its inter-radials and inter-strix can generally only be seen to be striate under an immersion lens, while in Girunow's form both are relatively coarsely punctato-striate, the puncta being elongate. This last Diatom I think may doubtfully be considered as an extremely small variety, with interrupted rays of Camp. clypeus, Ehr. I have seen what seems to be a sporangial valve of W. Smith's form, measuring 0.865 in diameter, from Mr. Kitton's cabinet, labelled from Christianstadt, in which the inter-strials are more distinctly striate, while the inter-radials remain smooth.
r3. -Area with about 40 sub-parallel rows of puncta on each side of a more or less apparent blank Raphe.
C. imperialis, Grev.
14.-Area without sub-parallel punctate striæ, and without appearance of a Raphe (15).
15.-Area with three or four squares one within the other, formed by conspicuous dots surrounded by scattered finer puncta.
C. mirabilis, Leud.
16.-Area without quadrate rows of dots (17).
17.-Radials and inter-Radials rough with minute apiculi (19).
18. -No apiculi (2I).
19.-Rays from 40 to 60 in number. C. Hibernicus, Ehr.
20.-Rays from 90 to 100 in number.
C. noricus,* Ehr.

2 1. -Inter-Radials with 2 or 3 rows of puncta; margin of valve double ; circlet of large beads. C. ornatus, Grev.
22.-No inter-Radial rows of puncta, no double border (23).
23.-Area angular at top and bottom (25).
24.-Area rounded at extremities (27).
25.-Area coarsely granular. Rays smooth. Indication of a centrai smooth line. C. eximius, Greg.
26. - Area finely punctured, clepsydrate. Rays with a row of dots. No indication of a central line.
C. Pantocsekii, Deby.
27.-A circlet, formed by a row of short lines or of dots (35).
28. -No distinct circlet formed by one row of lines or beads (29).

[^7]
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29.-Rays infundibuliform.
C. Challengerensis, Deby.
30.-Rays not infundibuliform (31).

3r.-Area oval, rows of dots radial on area. . Rays contiguous. C. limbatus, Breb.
32. - Area circular. Dots not in radial rows. Rays distant.
C. albifrons, Brun.
33.-Area with clepsydrate marking.
C. Kittonianus, Grev.
34.-Area not clepsydrate.
C. latus, Shadb.

35--Lines in circlet twice as numerous as rays.
C. Kerguelensis, Deby.
36.-Dots in circlet minute ; one only to each inter-radial space.
C. punctulatus, Grun.

DESCRIPTION OF NEW SPECIES.


## Descriptions of some New Forms of the Campylodisci.

Campylodiscus emarginatus. Deby. (Pl. XIV., f. 73.)
Group of Raphidæ.
Diam. of valves, $0 \cdot 100 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Valve distinctly emarginate, or notched at strongly incurved apices. Pseudo-Raphe linear, very narrow. Rays infundibuliform, few in number ( $\mathbf{1 2}$ ), with very narrow funnels formed by a row of dots. Stems about one-third as long as the funnels. Four inter-radial, marginal beads to each pair of rays.

This species inhabits the inland Sea of Japan. I have it from Mr. Rae's collection of Challenger gatherings. It appears to be very rare.

Campylodiscus Macassarensis (Grove). Deby.

$$
\text { (Pl. XIV., f. } 7 \text { I.) }
$$

Group of the Raphidæ, with striate area.
Diameter of valve, o'ioi m.m.
Diam. of area. Long diam., 0.077 ; short diam., the same.
Length of rays, $0.023 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of striæ, $0.031 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Striæ, 6 in. o'or m.m.
Puncta, 7 in. o'or m.m.
The strix are in the same number as the rays, and radiate from a narrow pseudo-Raphe. Striæ long as compared with the
rays. Inter-striæ regularly punctate. This diatom differs from C. crebrecostatus by its more numerous strix and punctate interstriæ. Rays exceeding 100 in number.

This species is from the Straits of Macassar, in Mr. Grove's collection, in a slide No. 604, along with C. biangulatus, C. horologium, and var. Pfitzeri, C. intermedius, C. undulatus, etc.

Campylodiscus trapezoidalis. Deby. (PI. XIV., f. 72.)
Group of the Raphidæ.
Diam. of valves, $0 \cdot 110 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Area: length, 0.080 ; breadth, $0.083 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, 0.013 ; length of striæ, $0.040 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Striæ, 6 to oor m.m.
Puncta on striæ, 6 to o.or m.m.
Valves with a trapezoidal inscribed line, with rounded sides and sharp obtuse angles at the four corners. These angles terminate about half way up the rays. Raphe reduced to a simple line. Rays about 80 in number. Two striæ to each inter-radial, distinctly punctate.

From Sta. Monica, Cal., from Mr. Rae's collection.
Campylodiscus de Tonianus. Deby. (Pl. XV., f. 75.)
Group of the Hyalinæ.
Diam. of valves, $0.169 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Area: long axis, $0 \cdot 119 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$. ; short axis, the same.
Area quite circular. Rays short, tapering; 0.030 long; far apart (width of inter-radials, $0.006 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$. ) ; number of rays, 38 . Rays containing a row of from 16 to 20 minute double beads or dots. Three marginal beads to each pair of rays.

This species has been found in coral washings from Mauritius (Doeg), and from Tamatave (Grove coll slide No. j82).

Campylodiscus dentatus. Deby. (Pl. XIV., f. 74.)
Group of the Hyalinæ.
Diam. of valves, o. 183.
Diam, of area, o'099.

Valves perfectly circular. Rays short, rather indistinct, toothlike, o.033 long.

Two short marginal, bifid beads, with a granular surface to each inter-radial ; length of these beads, o.or 3. There exists, besides these large beads, a row of minute granules along the margin. Area smooth.

Philippine Islands. From Mr. Rae's collection.
Campylodiscus margosinuatus. Deby.
Group of the Hyalinæ, with rays reduced to teeth or spikes.
Diam. of valves, $0.146 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Area: length, O'II $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$., breadth the same.
Length of rays, o.or6 m.m.
The rays consist in short, straight teeth, rounded at their ends. They are separated by inter-radials of the same shape, but reversed, so that the internal margin of the valve appears regularly scalloped, or rather like a cog-wheel. The area is smooth. A circlet of minute dots at some distance from Rays. No inter-radial beads. No appearance of striæ on the area. Rays with a line of beads.

From Challenger trawl in lat. $140^{\circ}, 33^{\prime}$, long. $34^{\circ}, 37^{\prime}$, in 117 fathoms. From Mr. Rae's collection.

Campylodiscus Balticus. Cleve. In litt.
Group of the Hyalinæ.
Diam. of valve, which is circular, 0.077 m.m.
Diam. of area, $0.048 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, $0.008 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Breadth of inter-radials, $0.009 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Rays 30 in number.
No circlet. No inter-radial beads. Striæ very fine, delicately and longitudinally punctate.

Striæ, 12 in $0.01 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
This species was lately discovered in the Baltic Sea by M. Cleve, in 3 fathoms, off Killvilken.

Campylodiscus Californicus (Grove). Deby. Pl. XIV., f. 70).
Group of the Striatæ.

Diam. of valves, $0.182 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Area : long diam., $0.066 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.; short diam., $0.046 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of longest rays, $0.033 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Area oval. Rays about 60 , alternate ones reaching area, the others only half as long. A smooth circle exists between the area and termination of the rays, 0.003 wide. No circlet. No inter-radial beads. The central rays inclined to be horizontal and sub-parallel, those at the ends radiate.

This diatom seems to be abundant in the marine California deposits. I have seen it from Sta. Monica, San Pedro, San Redondo, and Sta. Barbara. I have a small variety, recent, with only 30 rays, from Apia, Samoa.

Campylodiscus coronilla, Brun., = C. Doegeanus, Deby. In litt. (Pl. XIV., f. 69, and pl. XIII., f. 66.)
Group of the Striatr.
Diam. of valves, $0^{\circ} 1 \mathbf{3}^{2} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$., but I have it as small as 0.062 .
Area oval ; long axis, $0.056 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$. ; short axis, $0.041 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of long rays, 0.043 .
Alternate rays reach the area, the others stop mid-way. Breadth of inter-radials at margin, $0.006 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$. Number of rays, including both long and short ones, 48. Radiating striæ same number as rays, and continuous with them. A circlet of small, rounded granules rather irregularly scattered. (I have seen a smaller variety, $O^{\circ} 155$ in diam., with only 40 rays.)

Margin with two beads per inter-radial. Length of marginal beads, $0.007 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$. The rays radiate from a central line. No central smooth space.

I have this fossil form from San Redondo and Sta. Monica, and seen it in Mr. Rae's collection, from the Philippine Islands (Challenger). It cannot be confounded with C. Rabenhorstii, but may be a form of C. Californicus (Grove), Deby, with a circlet.

Campylodiscus Hardmanianus. Grev. in litt. 1866. (Pl. XV., f. 78.)
Group of the Punctatæ.

Diam. of valves, $\circ^{\circ} 183 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Area: length, $0^{\circ} 136$; breadth, $0^{\circ} 113 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Total length of rays from margin, $0,0299 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of the punctate striæ on each side, $0.026 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Breadth of interruption of radial, $0^{\circ} 003 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Valves circular ; area quadrate. Number of double beads in R. about 8 to 10 . Str. with 10 puncta in each of the longer ones. Centre occupied by a wide, blank space, on each side of which are the punctate striæ, followed by an external smooth space. No circlet. A continuous line of small marginal beads. Rays at interrupted portion, which occurs between the second and third double bead, indicated by a fine line. Granules in the Rays forming a double series.

This diatom is from Hong-Kong. A smaller var., with central portion of area clepsydrate, is from Derbend, in the collection of Mr. Grove (slide 236). I call this C. Hardmanianus, var. Grovii. This diatom is probably a form of the much commoner C . damelianus, Grun. The original specimen named by Greville is in my collection.

Campylodiscus Kerguelensis. Deby. (Pl. XV., f. 79.)
Group of the Punctatæ.
Diam. of valves, $0.080 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Area circular, diam. $0.053 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, $0.008 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Breadth of inter-radials, $0.003 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
A circlet formed by short, paired lines, one for each ray, and one for each inter-radial. The circlet lines are faintly prolonged as fine striæ towards the centre of the area, needing good definition to be well seen. Rays from 40 to 64 in specimens seen by me. Area irregularly and indistinctly punctate.

This diatom is from Kergueland's land, from Mr. Weissflog's collection. Very rare.

Campylodiscus Challengerensis. Deby.
Group of Hyalinæ.

Diameter of valves (average 0.153 ) are from 0.139 to 0.176 m.m.

Diam. of area : long., 0.099 to $0.116 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$. ; lat., 0.099 to $0.116 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.

Length of rays, 0.026 .
Valves circular. Rays infundibuliform. Shafts of equal length to funnels. No circlet. Centre obsoletely punctate. Funnels showing granules distinctly. Inter-radials punctate.

This species has at first sight the appearance of C. horologium, but is without a circlet and the area is oval. It is stated to have been collected at station 233 of the Challenger expedition, and was discovered and offered to me by Mr. T. E. Doeg.

Campylodiscus vermiculatus. Temp., "Le Diatomiste," Vol. I., pl. 2, f. 9.
Through the kindness of M . Tempère I have had an opportunity of seeing the type of this species, which I find to differ so much from the figure published of it, that I think best to give a more elaborate description of it, which may help towards its recognition by others. It belongs to the group of "Striatæ."

Diam. of valves, o.0865 m.m.
Diam. of area : long., 0.053 ; lat., 0.039 .
Length of rays from margin to area, oor 9 .
Valves nearly circular, much curved. Rays originating in conical marginal beads traversed by a fine longitudinal line. After these beads comes an interruption of $0.006 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$., traversed by a fine line, followed by a moniliform portion of the rays, with a double row of small beads or puncta. Length of this doublebeaded portion, $0 \cdot 006$. No circlet. One minute inter-Radial marginal bead to each pair of Rays. Striæ radiating from centre of area, undulating, irregular, occasionally anastomosing.

The slide is labelled Sendai, Japan, but in "Le Diatomiste" New Caledonia is indicated as the habitat.

Campylodiscus Raeanus. Deby.
Group of the Raphidæ.

Diam. of valve, $O^{\circ} 133 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Diam. of area to termination of rays after their interruption, 0.0665 m m.

Diam. of central smooth space (Raphe), $0.0065 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of marginal beads (Rays), $0 \cdot 006 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Breadth of the interruptions of the rays, $0.010 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of the portion of the beads after the interruption, 0.005 m.m.

Breadth of the smooth space between apex of rays and striæ, $0.016 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.

Length of short lines bordering Raphæ, $0 \times 0065 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Valves circular. Rays short, linear, starting from a small, basal granule on the margin of the valves. Inter-radials forming a conspicuous row of regularly oval beads. This row of beads is followed by a blank space which runs all round the valve. After this blank space the continuation of the Rays form a second concentric row of smaller beads. After this row of smaller beads comes a crescentic, smooth space, limited internally by a series of parallel, linear granules, which limit the median clear space or Raphe. Marginal beads about 80 in number. Linear granules of centre about 30 on each side of Raphe. All the smooth regions of the valves appear to be without any trace of punctuation. At first sight this diatom resembles the C. erosus, Castr. var., but the shape of the inter-radials is quite different, being regularly oblong in Raeanus, while they are pouch-shaped and constricted towards the margin in Castracane's form. The typical erosus, Castr., has a quite different margin to the valves, as shown in the figures, so that I do not hesitate in dedicating this species to my friend, Mr. Rae, to whom I am greatly indebted for the specimens described above, besides many other forms of diatoms.

Campylodiscus Pantocsekii. Deby.
Group of Punctatæ.
Diam. of valves, $0.156 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Diam. of area, $0.113 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, $0.016 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.

Valves nearly circular. Area nearly circular, but with indications of a thickened angle top and bottom. Rays narrow, subacute at tips, approximating, with a fine line of dots down their length and a faint linear prolongation into the area. Between each pair of Rays an acute marginal bead of 0.0066 in length. Area finely punctate over the central clepsydrate portion. No appearance of a central line or Raphe. This diatom I have from the fossil deposit of Karand in Hungary, where it was first noticed and pointed out to me by my friend, Fred. Kitton. I have named it after Dr. J. Pantocsek, the great explorer of the diatomaceous deposits of Hungary.
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| Campylodisci accompanying |  |  |  |  |  |
| this Analysis. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NAMES. |  | PL. |  | FIG. |
| Campylodiscus | adornatus, A. S. | ... | III. | $\ldots$ | 21 |
| ", | Adriaticus, Grun. | ... | V . | ... | 34 |
| " | albifrons, Brun. | ... | XIII. | ... | 67 |
| " | altar, Brun. ... | ... | XIII. | ... | 68 |
| " | anceps, Castr. ... | ... | V . | ... | 1 |
| " | angularis, Greg. | ... | III. | ... | 22 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | chrysanthemum, Brun. | ... | XII. | ... | 55 |
| " cirio | clivosus, Brun. | ... | XII. | $\ldots$ | 56 |
| " cis | clypeus, Ehr. ... | ... | IX. | ... | 50 |
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| ", eiz | emarginatus, Deby | . | XIV. | ... | 73 |
| e | eximius, Greg. | ... | X. | ... | 61 |
| Campylodiscus fluminensis, Grun. ( = C. |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Grevillii, Leud. | ... | II. | ... | 9 |
| Campylodiscus | Hardmanianus, Grev. | ... | XV. | ... | 78 |
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| h | horologium, Will. | $\cdots$ | VI. | ... | $29 a$ |
| " | " var. Pfitzeri, | A. S. | VI. | ... | 296 |
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| Campylodiscus | Japonicus, Castr. | ... | IV. | . | 23 |

Campylodiscus Kerguelensis, Deby ..... XV. ..... 79
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Campylodiscus latus, Shadb. III. ..... $30 b$
limbatus, Breb. X. ..... 62
Campylodiscus Macassarensis, Deby XIV. ..... 71
Mülleri, A. S. ..... VI. ..... 27
Campylodiscus noricus, Ehr. ..... 59
Campylodiscus obsoletus, Clev. ..... 33
", ornatus, Grev. ..... 60
Campylodiscus Pacificus, Grun. ..... 45
" panduriger, A. S ..... 4
," peramplus, Clev. VIII. ..... 48
", Pfizeri, A. S. ..... VI. ..... $29 b$
," phalangium, A. S. ..... V. ..... $24 c$
," punctulatus, Grun XIII. ..... 65
Campylodiscus Quarenensis, Grun. ..... II. ..... 13
Campylodiscus Rabenhorstianus, Jan. ..... IX. ..... 46
, radiosus, Ehr. IV. ..... 26
" Ralfsii, W. Sm. ..... 18
", rivalis, A. S. II. ..... 17
" rivulosus, Brun. ..... II. ..... 16
, Robertianus, Grev. III. ..... 32
Campylodiscus Samoensis, Grun. ..... II. ..... 3
,, Schleinitzii, Jan. XII. ..... 54
,, Schmidtii, Grun. XIII. ..... 63
" socialis, O. Witt. I. ..... 14

| Campylodiscus | stellatus, Grev. | $\ldots$ | XII. | $\ldots$ | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | " var. ... | $\cdots$ | XV. | $\ldots$ | 70 |
| Campylodiscus | tabellatus, A. S. | ... | IV. | ... | 20 |
| " | taniatus, A. S. | $\ldots$ | VII. | ... | 41 |
| " | Thuretii, Breb. | ... | VII. | ... | 37 |
| " | trapezoidalis, Deby | $\ldots$ | XIV. | ... | 66 |
| " | triumphans, A. S. | ... | XIII. | ... | 64 |
| Campylodiscus | undulatus, Grev. | ... | II. | $\ldots$ | 8 |
| " | " " var. | ... | XV. | ... | 71 |
| Campylodiscus | vitricavus, Brun. | ... | VII. | ... | 39 |
| Campylodiscus | Wallichianus, Grev. | ... | III. | .. | 19 |

## NOTE.

It will be remarked that a few of my accepted forms of Campylodiscus have not been figured in this preliminary monograph. These comprise :-

Five species reserved by Dr. Leuduger Fortmorel for his forthcoming List of Diatoms from the Malay Archipelago, viz. :-
C. Debyi, Leud.
C. pulchellus, Leud.
C. fortis, Leud.
C. Sumatrensis, Leud.
C. mirabilis, Leud.

One species reserved by Prof. Cleve-the Campylodiscus Balticus, Cl .

Ten species, the figures of which were either too bad for reproduction or have been accidentally omitted while preparing the plates. These comprise :-
C. Groenlandicus. Cleve, Arctic Diat., pl. II., f. 9.
C. bicinctus. Castr., Challenger,-pl. XI., f. 2.
C. Castracaneis. Janish, Gazelle, pl. XX., f. i.
C. vermiculatus. Temp. Diatomiste, pl. XI., t. 9.
C. Weissfogii. Deby, A. S. Atlas, pl. XVI., f. 4.
C. Challengerensis. Deby, N. sp.
C. Margosinuatus. Deby, N. sp.
C. Raeanus. Deby, N. sp.
C. Bruneanus. Deby, N. sp.
C. Pantocsekii. Deby, N. sp.
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## Appendix.

A Review of the Forms of Campylodiscus, described by Prof. J. Brun, of Geneva.

SINCE the MS. of this "Analysis" was in the printer's hands, I have been kindly favoured by Prof. Brun with the loan of his authentic types. My examination of these beautiful selected slides has allowed me to carefully study them, and has led me to modify my views regarding the specific value of some of the forms. In order to complete this "prelude" to a final monograph, which will contain the figures and descriptions of all known Campylodisci and their varieties, I will now proceed to review Prof. Brun's species and describe them afresh, from the original specimens, in a more complete manner than was done by their able discoverer, who, as is well known, handles the artist's pencil better than he does the descriptive pen.

I now consider the following forms of Prof. J. Brun as being fairly distinct :-Campylodiscus albifions, C. altar, C. chrysanthemum, C. clivosus, C. coronilla, C. rizulosus (Temp. et Brun), C. vitricavus (Temp. et Brun); while I am of opinion that C. canalisatus is only a variety of C. vitricarus (Brun), C. simplex a variety of C. clizosus (Brun), C. scalaris (Brun), a var. of C. Ralfsii (W. Sm.), and C. hypodromus (Brun), identical with C. angularis (Greg.).
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## Description of J. Brun's Campylodisci.

1.-Campylodiscus albifrons, Brun.

Diat. Especes Nouv., p. 14, pl. XXI., f. 2.
Brun., Collect. Slide 2173.
Group of the Punctate.
Dimensions :--
Diam. of valves, O' $139 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Diam. of area, $0.096 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, $0.016 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Diam. of inter-radial spaces, $0.003 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Valves circular. Area circular. Rays short, narrow, teeth-like, straight, terminating inside in two minute apiculi, carrying 3 or 4 longitudinal rows of finely punctate striæ or lines. Extreme margin of valve coarsely striate. Area formed by, ist, a smooth ring, 0.006 wide; 2nd, a circle, 0.009 wide, carrying numerous irregularly scattered puncta ; and 3rd, a broad, smooth, central, circular space, o.o66 in diam. No appearance of a true circlet. No marginal, inter-radial beads. The affinity of this species with the C. lepidus of Castr. (C. Pfitzeri, A. S.), which Prof. Brun indicates, is erroneous, as this last species has distinctly infundibuliform rays, with a notable stem to the funnels and also a genuine circlet, formed by short, fine, subparallel lines.
C. albifrons is indicated from Hong Kong and from Yokohama. This diatom has some affinity with my C. margosinuatus, but is readily distinguished by the characters of the Area and Rays.

## 2.-Campylodiscus altar, J. Brun.

Diat. Esp. Nouvelles, p. 14, pl. XXI., f. i.
Group of the Striatæ.

## Dimensions:-

Diam. of valves, $0^{\prime} 116 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Diam. of area, $0.063 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, $0.026 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of marginal beads, o'006 m.m.
Length of striæ, o.O16 m.m.

Valves circular. Area quadrate. A circlet of small, distinct dots. Rays simple. Inter-radials moniliformly punctate. Marginal beads elliptical, coarsely punctate, one to each pair of rays. Alternate rays often shortened. Marginal beads and rays about 100. A narrow ( $0.003 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$. wide), smooth space between the circlet and the origin of the striæ. Striæ distinct, radiating from a central point, obsoletely punctate, half as numerous as rays.

This form differs very materially from C. ornatus, Grev., of which M. Brun considers it a variety, both in the markings of the area and in the punctuation of the inter-radials. It is also very distinct from C. Rabenhorstii, A. S.

Fossil from Sendaï, Japan.

## 3.-Campylodiscus canalisatus, Temp. et Brun.

Diat. Foss. du Japan., p. 28, pl. II., f. 5 ; Brun. Collect., Slide, No. 2864.

The characters which are supposed to distinguish this species are too slight to be of any specific value. It is barely a variety of C. vitricavus, from the same locality.
4.-Campylodiscus chrysanthemum, J. Brun.

Diat. Foss. du Japan., pl. II., f. 7. Brun. Coll., No. 2663. Group of the Punctatæ.

## Dimensions:--

Diam. of valve, $0.083 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Long diam. of area, $0.033 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Small diam. of area, $0.019 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, $0.022 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of funnel, $0.013 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of stem, $0.009 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Diam. of marginal beads, $0.006 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Valve circular. Area small, oval. Rays infundibuliform, with linear, non-punctate, non-striate funnels. Stems shorter than funnels. Area carrying a few sparse dots or apiculi. A very narrow, concentric, smooth zone follows the inner termination of
the rays. Inter-radials quite smooth. Rays 24 in number. Outer narrow rim of valves lincly striate. One large marginal hyaline bead to each pair of rays.
C. Miolleri, which has some resemblance to this species, belongs to the group of Hyalinæ, and has a reriform area.
C. chy ysanthimum is from the Yédo limestone, and according to M. Brun from Nossi-Bé.
5.--Campylodiscus clivosus, J. Brun.

Brun. et Temp., Diat. Foss. Japan., p. 28, pl. II., f. if $a, b$. .
Brun. Coll., No. 2532.
Group of the Punctatæ.
Dimensions of large specimen :-
Diam. of valves, $0^{\prime} 103 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Diam. of area, $0.070 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, $0.013 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Width of inter-radials varying, but average is $0.006 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$. I have seen small specimens only 0.050 in diameter of frustule. Valves circular. Area circular, with a slight angle or notch top and bottom. Rays simple, originating at the margin in a small triangular notch. No marginal, inter-radial beads. Inter-radials finely, longitudinally striate. From 5 to 10 striæ to each interradial. Area very finely punctate, with a clepsydrate figure. At a certain focus of the microscope the whole surface of the valve shows an exquisitely fine and close very characteristic radial striation.

This diatom has no relation to C. Grenlandicus, Cl ., nor to C. Hybernicus, Ehr. It is more nearly intermediate between C. Granlandicus, Cl., and C. simplex, Brun.

Fossil from Yedo, Japan, and, according to Brun, from the mouth of the Oregon, U.S.A.
6.-Campylodiscus coronilla, J. Brun.

Diat. Especes Nouv, p. 14, pl. XXI., f. 3.
Deby, Analysis, pl. XIV., f. 69 ; and pl. XIII., f. 66.

This was originally named C. Doegeanus, Deby, in my cabinet. It is described by me among the new species on a preceding page. This diatom is probably a variety of C. Californicus (Grove), Deby, which I have from San Redondo, Sta. Monica; etc. It differs principally from it by the presence of a distinct circlet. It is not a variety of Rabenhorstii, Jan., as supposed by M. Brun. On a slide, 3393, in Brun's collection, both C. Californicus and C. coronilla co-exist, while the label bears "C. ecclesianus, var. coronilla."
7.-Campylodiscus simplex, Brun. Coll., No: 286 I.

Diat. Foss. du Japan, pl. II., f. 8, Brun. Collect., slides 286 I and 2864. From Sendaï, Japan, Brun. Collect.

This I consider as a variety of C. clivosus, with small buttons at the ends of the valves and the same fine striation. It is allied to C. Granlandicus of Cleve, which I have examined from the Stockholm museum.
8.-Campylodiscus rivulosus, Temp. et Brun.

Diat. Foss. du Japan, p. 29, pl. II., f. $9 a, b$; Brun's Collect., slides 2863 and 2866 .

Group of the Raphidæ.

> Dimensions :-

Diameter of valve:-Large specimen, $0.093 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.; small species, $0.033 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.

Transverse diam. of raphe, $0.006 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Length of rays, $0.036 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Valve very slightly oval, nearly circular. Area linear, with blank space bordered at top and bottom by a row of from 8 to 12 distinct granules. The intermediate space without granules. The lines of granules occupy a length of about o.oI6 at each end of area. Rays simple, from 60 to 100 . Inter-radials distinctly and rather coarsely moniliform, which give the appearance of waviness figured by Temp. et Brun. The dots on the inter-radials from 6 to 7 in oor m.m. A series of marginal oblong beads exist,
0.006 in length, obscurely bifid at the free tips and in equal number to the rays.

From Sendaï and•Yedo, Japan.
9.-Campylodiscus vitricavus, Temp. et Brun.

Diat. Foss. Japan., p. 30, pl. II., f. 8. Brun. Coll., No. 2863. Group of the Striatæ.

## Dimensions:-

Diam. of valves, from 0.056 to $0.065 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.

- Long diam. of area, 0.020 to $0.030 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.

Short diam. of area, o.01 $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$
Length of rays, o.016 m.m.
Breadth of inter-radials, 0.005 m.m.
Valves very slightly oval, terminating in small hyaline, globular, buttons. Area small, elliptical. Rays, 20 to 24, simple, starting from a marginal bead. Inter-radials smooth. Striæ radiating from a median longitudinal line. Striæ equal to Rays in number. Striæ continuous with rays without any intervening blank space. Outer rim striate.

This diatom, which is not rare in the Sendaï deposits, has no affinity to C. Hodgsoni, with which Messrs. Tempère and Brun would ally it.
10.-Campylodiscus hypodromus. Brun. Coll., 2138 , = C. Angularis, Greg.

## FINAL ADDENDA AND CORRIGENDA.

I N looking through Prof. Brun's slides, I have discovered what I consider a distinctly new form, which I have much pleasure in dedicating to this obliging gentleman. I have called it

Campylodiscus Bruneanus, Deby, sp. n.
Brun. Collect, slide No. 2864.
Group of the Raphidæ.
Dimensions:-
Diat. of valves, $0.059 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.
Valves slightly oval. Raphe linear. Rays numerous (about 100) and close set ( 7 to 8 in o.or m.m.), distinctly crenato-punctate. Puncta at variable distances (from 5 to 6 in $0.01 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m}$.). No marginal beads. Outer rim finely striate.

This species differs principally from C. Kutzingii, Bail. ‘C. striolatus, Grun.), by its punctate rays. It is from Sendaii, Japan.

Page 33, line 23, for C. suberbus read superbus.
Page 44, No. 57, C. obsoletus, Grev., instead of Mäbrer read Mähren.

Replace last paragraph on page 46 by the following :-
"I consider Nos. 16, 39, 63, 64, 78, to be doubtfully distinct forms ; and Nos. 5 and 23 as probably not belonging to the genus Campylodiscus."

Page 53, No. 48 read :-"Blank spaces lunate, not continuous all round the Area," etc.

Page 62, No. 29, C. Challengerensis, Deby, should have been placed immediately after C. clivosus, Brun, on page 60.

## LAST WORD.

ICANNOT allow this paper to be circulated without grateful acknowledgments to all who have helped me by the loan of specimens or kind advice during its preparation. To Mr. Grove, of Brighton ; to Dr. Rae, of Stirling ; to Herr Weissflog, of Dresden ; to Mynheer Kinker, of Amsterdam ; to Prof. Brun, of Geneva ; to Prof. Cleve, of Upsal ; to Mr. Doeg, of Evesham ; to Fred. Kitton, of London ; to Mr. Macrae, of London ; to Mons. Tempère, of Paris ; and to the Royal Botanical Museum of Stockholm, I am more specially under great obligations. Nor can I omit my thanks to my friend, Alfred Allen, of Bath, for his careful revision of MS. and text of this essay on Campylodiscus.

## Final Corrigenda to Deby's Campylodiscus.

## Pag

,, C. punctatus, for Bleich read Bleisch; for f. $1-4$, read f. 1--9.
31. C. radians, for pl. 4, f. 57 read pl. 5, f. 57.
",
!C. Raenus should be Reanus.

Page 31. C. remora, strike nut from synonyms, Ehr., pl. 3 and 11, f. 14, which is C. radiosus.
" 32. C. Sauerbeckii, for Grundl read Gruendl.
" ," C. Simulans, for Nord, see, read Nordsee.
", 33. C. stellula, for pl. 3, f. 6, read second series, pl. 1, f. 6.
", ,, C. superbus, for pl. 8, f. 8, read pl. 8, f. 10.
,, 34. C. taeniatus, for Graffii read Graeffei.
". ", Two lines from bottom, for Graffii read Graeffei.
" ," C. Thuretii, Atl., pl. 16, f. 2. This is named C. taeniatus in Atlas.
", ,, C. undulatus, for Clev. read Cleve.
," 35. C. vermicularis should be C. vermiculatus.
" ," C. vulcanius, add Mikrog., t. 38 A, 14, f. 8.
" ,, C. Wallichianus, var. Haitiensis, should be var. Thaitiensis.
,, 36. C. Eulensteinii, var. Australis, should be var. Astralis.
" 55. Last line, Pulchella should be C. Pulchellus.

The following, whith zuere fisturet in the Allas, lut not named by A. Schmidt, have been named by me :-
C. birostratus, p. 19, and Atl., pl. 14. f. 23 ; C. biseriatus, Atl., pl., 15, f. 11.
C. Brazitiensis, Atl., pl. 14, f. 31, pl. 18, f. 8; C. inconspicuus, p. 26, Atl., pl.

51, f. 11, 12 ; C. intermedius, Atl., pl. 53, f. 8 ; C. Raeamus, p. 31, Atl., pl. 18, f. 5, 6 ?
C. taenioides, p. 34, Atl., pl. 16, f. 6 ; C. Weissflogii, p. 35, Atl. pl. 16, f. 4 ;
C. Campecheanus, p. 36, Atl., pl. 53, f. 8; C. Rattrayanus, p. 36, Atl., pl. 18, f. 10.

In the Index to fisures, p. 15 and following, and in the explanations opposite the plates, the following has to be noted:-
Plate I, f. II, top left hand corner, is C. biseriatus, Deby, copied from A. S. Atlas, where it is not named, pl. 15, f. 11.
Page 77. C. daemalranus should be C. daemelianus.
,, ", C. de Tonianus, read pl. XV. for XIV.
",

76. C. Grevallii, Leud., is identical with C. Brightivellii, Grun. (pl. II., f. 9). The last has priority.
,, 77. C. Kutzingii, add pl. I., f. 1 .
, " C. Rabenhorstianus and Robenhorstii are synonyms.
", " C. Schmidtii is not f. 63 , pl. XIII., but pl. 1, left hand bottom figure, which should have been numbered f. 12.
,, ,, C. Robertianus should be C. Robertsianus.
", " C. lafus, Shadb., add pl. IV., f. 30, $a$.
", " C. simulans, to be inserted (pl. VII., f. 36).
,, 78. C. stellatus, pl. XII. should be pl. VII.
" ", $\quad$ for f. 70 read 76.
", " for C. tabeliatus read tabulatus.
," ," C. trapezoidalis, for f. 66 read f. 72.
," ," C. undulalus, var., for f. 71 read f. 77.

## Table of Contents.



## Alphabetical Index to the New Species described, and to J. Brun's Campylodisci.*


*All others are classed alphabetically in this volume.

## Plate I.

Fig. 1.-Campylodiscus birostratus, Deby
„ 4.-C. panduriger, A. S.
„ 5.-C. crebrecostatus, Grev.
,, 6.-C. imperialis, Grev.
„, 7.-C. Hodgsonii, W. Sm.
„, 10.-C. Kutzingii, Bailey.
,, 11.-C. Schmidtii, Grun.
,, 14.-C. socialis, Witt.

Figs. 1, 4, 6, 11,14 are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$
, 5 from Greville, $\times 400$.
, 7 from William Smith, $\times 400$
,, 10 from A. S., $\times 660$.
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## Plate II.

Fig. 2.-Campylodiscus circumactus, A. S.
„ 3.-C. Samoensis, Grun.
„ 8.-C. undulatus, Grev.
,, 9.-C. Brightwellii, Grun.
, 12.-C. biangulatus, Grev.
, 13.-C. fluminensis, Grun.
," I5.-C. decorus, Breb.
,, 16.-C. rivulusus, Brun.
" 17.-C. rivalis, A. S.
, 18.-C. Ralfsii, W. Sm.

Figs. 2, 3, 9, 13, 15, 17,18 are from A. S., Atlas, $\begin{array}{r} \\ \times 66 \\ \hline\end{array}$
, 8, 12 from Greville, $\times 400$.
„ 16 from J. Brun, $\times 400$.


## Plate III.

Fig. 19.-Campylodiscus Wallichianus, Grev.
,, $21 .-C$ adornatus, A. S.
,, 22.-C. angularis, Greg.
, 25.-C. helianthus, A. S.
" 30b.-C. latus, Shadb.
" 32.-C. Robertsianus, Grev.

Figs. 21, 22, 25, $30 b$, are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.
,, 19, 32, from Greville, $\times 400$.

$? 5$<br>
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$\therefore$.

## Plate IV.

Fig. 20.-Campylodiscus tabulatus, A. S.
,, 23.-C. Japonicus, Castrac.
,, 26.-C. radiosus, Ehr.
" 30a.-C. latus, Shadb.
,, 33--C. obsoletus, Cleve.

Fig. 20 is from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.
, 23 is from Castracane, $\times 440$.
,, 26 is from Ehrenberg, $\times 300$.
,, $30 a$ is from Shadbold, $\times 400$.
, 33 is from Cleve, $\times 280$.


## Plate V.

Fig. 1.-Campylodiscus anceps, Castr.
, 24 a.-C. Browneanus, Grev.
" $24 b$. ", var. rotula, Grun.
" 24c.- " " C.phalangium, A. S.
, 34--C. Adriatius, Grun.

Fig. $I$ is from Castracane, $\times 440$.
, $24 a$ is from Greville, $\times 400$.
,, $24 b$ and $24 c$ and 34 from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.


## Plate VI.

Fig. 27.-Campylodiscus Mïlleri, A. S.
" 29a.-C. horologium, Williamson.
" 29b.-C. „ var. Pfitzeri, A. S.

All the figures are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.


## Plate VII.

Fig. 35--Campylodiscus coruscus, A. S.
, 36.-C. simulans, Greg.
,, 37--C. Thuretii, Breb.
" 38a.-C. bicostatus, W. Sm.
" 38b.-C. bicostatus, Grun.
,, 39-C. vitricavus, J. Brun.
," 40.-C. ecclesianus, Grev.
", 4r.-C. taeniatus, A. S.
," 42.-C. stellatus, Grev.
,, 43.-C. inconspicuus, Deby.

Figs. $35,36,37.40,43$, are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.
, $38 a$ is from Wm. Smith (Roper), $\times 400$.
, $38 b$ is from Van ${ }_{4}$ Heurck's Synopsis.
,, 39 is from J. Brun, $\times 466$.
,, $4^{2}$ is from Greville, $\times 400$.
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Plate VIII.

Fig. 44.-Campylodiscus bifurcatus, A. S.
, 47.-C. bimarginatus, A. S.
,, 48.-C. peramplus, Cleve.

Figs. 44, 47, are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$
, 48 is from Cleve, $\times 500$.

- .


## Plate IX.

Fig. 45-Campylodiscus pacificus, Grun.
,, 46.-C. Rubenhorstii, Janish.
," 49.-C. echeneis, Ehr.
" 50. C. clypeus, Ehr.
„ 51.-C. cocconciformis, Cleve.

Figs. $45,49,-50$, are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.
, 46 is from Janish, $\times 550$.
", $5 \mathbf{I}$ is from Cleve, $\times 1000$
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## Plate X.

Fig. 62.-Campylodiscus limbatus, Bréb.
,, 60.-C. ornatus, Grev.
," 6r.-C. eximius, Greg.
,, 52.-C. Kittonianus, Grev.

Fig. 62 is from Brébisson, $\times 350$.
,, 60 is from Greville, $\times 400$.
" 52, 6I are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.


## Plate XI

Fig. 57.-Campylodiscus imperialis, Grev.
58.-C. Hibernicus, Ehr.
59.-C. noricus, Ehr.

Fig. 57 is from Greville, $\times 400$.
" 58,59 are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.


## Plate XII.

Fig. 53--Campylodiscus daemelianus, A. S.
" 54--C. Schleinitzii, Janish.
,, 55.-C. chrysanthemum, Brun.
,, 56.-C. clivosus, Brun.

Figs. 53, 54 are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.
,, 55, 56 are from Brun, $\times 400$ and 388.


## Plate XIII.

Fig. $\epsilon_{3}$--Campýlodiscus undulatus, var. Leudugerii, Deby.
, 64.-C. triumphans, A. S.
,, 65.-C. punctulatus, Grün.
,, 66.-C. coromilla, Brun.
,, 67.-C. albifrons, Brun.
, 68.-C. altar, Brun.

Figs. $6_{3}, 64,65$, are from A. S., Atlas, $\times 660$.
, $66,67,68$, are from J. Brun, $\times 400$.

.

## Plate XIV.

Fig. 69.-Campylodiscus coronilla, Brun.
, 70.-C. Californicus (Grove), Deby.
, $71 .-$ C. Macassarensis (Grove), Deby.
, 72.-C. trapezoidalis, Deby.
, 73.-C. emarginatus, Deby.
," 74.-C. dentatus, Deby.

All the Figures on this Plate and in the next are from Photographs, most kindly taken for me from the original diatoms by Mr. Comber, Mr. Neilson, and Mr. Pringle. The very irregular shape of the frustules of the Campylodisci renders it quite impossible to focus the whole surface simultaneously, even under low powers of the microscope. This is the reason which explains the deficiencies of our figures, some portions of all of which were out of focus, while other portions were distinct. These latter alone should be examined by means of a pocket-lens. These figures are magnified about 200 diam.
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## Plate XV

Fig. 75.-Campylodiscus de Tonianus, Deby.
,, 76.-C. stellatus, Grev. var., Deby.
, 77.-C. undulatus, Grev., var. Deby.
,, 78.-C. Hardmanianus, Grev.
,, 79.-C. Kerguelensis, Deby.

Messrs. Berthaud Freres, of Paris, deserve credit for the manner in which they have executed all of the phototypic plates for the present paper ; and Mr. C. Seers, of Bath, for its careful typography.
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[^0]:    * In some cases, under very high powers and well-managed light, this smooth area shows minute or obsolete punctuation.

[^1]:    * Under very high powers, often very minutely dotted.

[^2]:    * Including C. incertus, A. S.

[^3]:    * This is the same Diatom as C. Striolatus, Grun., but Kutzingii must have priority.

[^4]:    * I include in this species C. Greenleafianus, Grun., C. erosus, Castr., and C. oceanus, Castr.
    $\dagger$ This comprises as vars. or synonyms C. singularis, A. S., C. intermedius, Grun., C. crebrestriatus, Grun., C. Heufleurii, Grun., and possibly C. humilis, Castr., the drawing of this last bejng too bad for safe identification. Several other forms seen I consider as varieties, one remarkable one among them from Campeche Bay and from the Comoro Islands, in the collection of Mr. Kinker, has the striæ distinctly punctate under a high power. I propose calling this form var. Kinkerianus.-D.
    $\ddagger$ Prof. Cleve gives Labuan, Borneo, as the locality for his species. I have seen it from Ning-po and from Elephant Point. The valves being nearly flat, and the long-oval shape seems to exclude it from the genus Campylodiscus, few of the characters of which it seems to possess.

[^5]:    $\dagger$ This species occurs in the Mauritius and at Tamatave. I have it from Mr. Doeg's and have seen it in Mr. Grove's collections.

[^6]:    * An examination of the original specimen of this Diatom, which I have been able to make through the kindness of M. Tempere, shows that the Rays are indistinctly, though clearly moniliform. I have added a new description of this form in the descriptions of "New Species," so as to render it easier of identification.

    ```
    + Probably C. simulans, Greg., is only a variety of C. Thuretii, Breb.
    ```

[^7]:    * Through the great kindness of the Count Barbo, of Milan, I have had an opportunity of examining the C. Larius of Castracane, which I now possess, both from the Lake of Como and the Lake of Varese, and which I consider a fine-lined variety only of C. noricus, Ehr.

