

U.S. Army Coast, Eug. Res. Ctr. Tech., Rep. CERC -88-8 aug 1988

TECHNICAL REPORT CERC-88-8

ANNUAL DATA SUMMARY FOR 1986 CERC FIELD RESEARCH FACILITY

Volume I

MAIN TEXT AND APPENDIX A

by

Herman C. Miller, Adele Militello, Michael W. Leffler William E. Grogg, Jr., Brian L. Scarborough

Coastal Engineering Research Center

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-0631



August 1988 Final Report

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Unlimited



Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.



Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT D	OCUMENTATION	N PAGE			Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188 Exp. Date: Jun 30, 1986
1a, REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
Unclassified					
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY O	F REPORT	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	LE	Approved : unlimited	for public 1	release	; distribution
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NL	MBER(S)
Technical Report CERC-88-8					
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION USAEWES, Coastal Engineering	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MO	ONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
Research Center	CEWES-CV		61	Code)	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and ZIP	Code)	
PO Box 631					
Vicksburg, MS 39180-0631					
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICAT	ION NUMBER
US Army Corps of Engineers					
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	RS .	INORK UNIT
Washington, DC 20314-1000		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO
			1		31537
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Annual Data Summary for 1986, Appendix A: Volume II: Append	CERC Field Resea	arch Facilit	y; Volume I	: Mair	n Text and
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)					
13a TYPE OF REPORT THE	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month,	Day) 15	PAGE COUNT
report in 2 volumes FROM	TO	August 19	88		175
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION		-			
See reverse.					
	T 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revers	e if necessary and	d identify	by block number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Soo reverse				
	Jee reverse.				
	1				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block n	umber)			
This report provides bas	ic data and summ	maries for t	he measureme	ents ma	de during 1986
at the US Army Engineer Waterw	ays Experiment S	Station (WES) Coastal En	ngineer	ing Research
sons of the present year's dat	a to cumulative	statistics	from 1980 to	o the r	resent.
		deel en l		Jana P	
metric survey results, samples	t are meteoroiog	gical and oc	eanographic	data,	montniy patny-
data for 13 storms that occurr	ed during the ye	ear.	i april y y and a	accerap	
The year was highlighted	by the close p	second of Hu	rricane Char	rlev in	August Waves
with 4-m significant height we	re measured at a	a location 6	km from she	ore.	and aber mayes
					(Continued)
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT		21. ABSTRACT SE	CURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION	
LA UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS	RPT DTIC USERS	Unclassif	1ed	1 220 04	
228. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		220 TELEPHONE	moude Area Code	220.01	
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 A	R edition may be used un	til exhausted.	SECURITY	CLASSIFIC	ATION OF THIS PAGE
	All other editions are of	osolete		Inclas	sified
MBL/WHOI				cherde	



12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) (Continued).

Miller, Herman C.; Militello, Adele; Leffler, Michael W.; Grogg, William E., Jr.; Scarborough, Brian L.

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION (Continued).

A limited number of copies of Volume II (Appendix B: Wave Data) were published under separate cover. Copies of Volume I (this report and Appendix A) are available from National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continued).

Meteorologic research--statistics (LC) Oceanographic research--statistics (LC) Oceanographic research stations--North Carolina--Duck (LC) Water waves--statistics (LC)

19. ABSTRACT (Continued).

This report is eighth in a series of annual summaries of data collected at the FRF. The seven previous ones are as follows:

- a. CERC Miscellaneous Report 82-16, which summarizes data collected during 1977-79.
- b. Technical Report CERC-84-1, which summarizes data collected during 1980.
- c. Technical Report CERC-85-3, which summarizes data collected during 1981.
- d. Technical Report CERC-86-5, which summarizes data collected during 1982.
- e. Technical Report CERC-86-9, which summarizes data collected during 1983.
- f. Technical Report CERC-86-11, which summarizes data collected during 1984.
- g. Technical Report CERC-87-13, which summarizes data collected during 1985.

These reports are available from the WES Technical Report Distribution Section of the Information Technology Laboratory, Vicksburg, MS.

PREFACE

Data and data summaries presented herein were collected during 1986 and compiled at the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) Coastal Engineering Research Center's (CERC's) Field Research Facility (FRF) in Duck, NC. This report is the eighth in a series of annual FRF data summaries carried out under CERC's Coastal Flooding and Storm Protection Program, FRF Measurements and Analysis Work Unit 31537. CERC Program Manager is Dr. C. Linwood Vincent.

The report was prepared by Mr. Herman C. Miller, Oceanographer, FRF, under direct supervision of Mr. William A. Birkemeier, Acting Chief, FRF Group, Engineering Development Division (EDD), and Mr. Thomas W. Richardson, Chief, EDD; and under general supervision of Dr. James R. Houston and Mr. Charles C. Calhoun, Jr., chief and Assistant Chief, CERC, respectively. Ms. Adele Militello, Computer Specialist, assisted with data analysis and report preparation; and Messrs. Michael W. Leffler, Computer Programmer Analyst, assisted with data collection and analysis; William E. Grogg, Jr., Electronics Technician, assisted with instrumentation; and Brian L. Scarborough, Amphibious Vehicle Operator, assisted with data collection. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/National Ocean Service maintained the tidal gage and provided statistics for summarization.

This report was edited by Ms. Shirley A. J. Hanshaw, Information Products Division, Information Technology Laboratory, WES.

Commander and Director of WES during the publication of this report was COL Dwayne G. Lee, EN; Technical Director was Dr. Robert W. Whalin.

CONTENTS

Page

PREFACE	1
PART I: INTRODUCTION	3
Background Organization of Report Availability of Data	3 5 5
PART II: METEOROLOGY	8
Air Temperature. Atmospheric Pressure. Precipitation. Wind Speed and Direction.	8 8 13 13
PART III: WAVES	23
Measurement Instruments Digital Data Analysis and Summarization Results	23 24 26
PART IV: CURRENTS	55
Observations Results	55 55
PART V: TIDES AND WATER LEVELS	59
Measurement Instrument Results	59 60
PART VI: WATER CHARACTERISTICS	62
Temperature Visibility Density	62 62 64
PART VII: SURVEYS	67
PART VIII: PHOTOGRAPHY	69
Aerial Photographs Beach Photographs	69 69
PART IX: STORMS	73
REFERENCES	82
APPENDIX A: SURVEY DATA	A1
APPENDIX B*: WAVE DATA	B1

* A limited number of copies of Appendix B (Volume II) were published under separate cover. Copies are available from National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA. 22161.

ANNUAL DATA SUMMARY FOR 1986 CERC FIELD RESEARCH FACILITY

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Background

1. The US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) Coastal Engineering Research Center's (CERC's) Field Research Facility (FRF), located on 712,250 square metres at Duck, NC (Figure 1), consists of a 561-m-long research pier and accompanying office and field support buildings. The FRF is located near the middle of Currituck Spit along a 100-km unbroken stretch of shoreline extending south of Rudee Inlet, VA, to Oregon Inlet, NC. The FRF is bordered by the Atlantic Ocean to the east and Currituck Sound to the west. The Facility is designed to (a) provide a rigid platform from which waves, currents, water levels, and bottom elevations can be measured, especially during severe storms; (b) provide CERC with field experience and data to complement laboratory and analytical studies and numerical models; (c) provide a manned field facility for testing new instrumentation; and (d) serve as a permanent field base of operations for physical and biological studies of the site and adjacent region.

2. The research pier is a reinforced concrete structure supported on 0.9-m-diam steel piles spaced 12.2 m apart along the pier's length and 4.6 m apart across the width. The piles are embedded approximately 20 m below the ocean bottom. The pier deck is 6.1 m wide and extends from behind the dune-line to about the 6-m water depth contour at a height of 7.8 m above the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). The pilings are protected against sand abrasion by concrete erosion collars and against corrosion by a cathodic system.

3. An FRF Measurements and Analysis program has been established to collect basic oceanographic and meteorological data at the site, reduce and analyze these data, and publish the results.

4. This report, which summarizes data for 1986, continues a series of annual reports begun in 1980.



Figure 1. FRF location map

Organization of Report

5. This report is organized into nine parts and two appendixes. Part I is an introduction; Parts II through VIII discuss the various data collected during the year; and Part IX describes the storms that occurred. Appendix A presents the bathymetric surveys conducted during the year, and Appendix B (published under separate cover as Volume II) contains wave data statistics.

6. In each part of this report, the respective instruments used for monitoring the meteorological or oceanographic conditions are briefly described along with data collection and analysis procedures and data results. The instruments were interfaced with the primary data acquisition system, a Data General Corporation (Westboro, MA) NOVA-4 minicomputer located in the FRF laboratory building. More detailed explanations of the design and operation of the instruments may be found in Miller (1980). Readers' comments on the format and usefulness of the data presented are encouraged.

Availability of Data

7. Table 1 is intended as a quick reference guide to show the dates for which various types of data are available. In addition to the wave data summaries in the main text, more extensive summaries for each of the wave gages are provided in Volume II, as discussed above.

8. The annual data summary herein summarizes daily observations by month and year to provide basic data for analysis by users. Daily measurements and observations have already been reported in a series of monthly Preliminary Data Summaries (Field Research Facility 1986). If individual data for the present year are needed, the user can obtain detailed information (as well as the monthly and previous annual reports) from the following address:

> USAE Waterways Experiment Station Coastal Engineering Research Center Field Research Facility SR Box 271 Kitty Hawk, NC 27949-9440

Although the data collected at the FRF are designed primarily to support ongoing CERC research, use of the data by others is encouraged. The WES/CERC

	Т	а	b.	le	: 1	L
--	---	---	----	----	-----	---

1986	Data	Avail	abil	lity

	Gage		JA	N		F	EB			MA	R			APF	2		М	AY			JU	N	-	-	JUi			Ŕ	üG	-		SET	5		(XT		-	Ň	W		-	EC	
	Number	1	23	4	5	1 2	2 3	4	1	2	3 4	1 1	2	3	4	5 1	1 2	: 3	4	1	2	3 4	1 1	. 2	3	4	5 :	1 2	3	4	1	2 3	34	11	2	3	4 !	5 1	1 2	2 3	4	1 2	2 3	4
WEATHER		_			-				_	-							_			-	-	-				_	-	-		-	-	_					-		-		-	_		
Anemometer	632	*	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	*	* 1	k 1	* *	*	*	* :	* *	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	r *	*	*	* 1	k 1	* *	* *	*	* 1	* *	*
Atm. Pressure	616	*	* *	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* *	t *	*	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* :	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	* *	*	*	* 1	* 1	* *	* *	*	* 3	t *	*
Air Temperature	624	*	* *	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	*	* 1	* /	1	-	1	1	* *	1	-	1	* 1	* *	* *	*	*	* 1	* 1	6.3	* *	*	* 1	* *	*
Precipitation		*	* *	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	*	* 1	k 1	* *	*	*	* :	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	k *	*	*	* 1	* 1	* *	* *	*	* 1	t *	*
WAVES																																												
Offshore Waverider	630	1	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	* *	1	*	*	* 1	k 1	1	1	*	* :	* *	*	1	1	* 1	* /	/ *	*	*	* 1	* 1	1	* *	*	* 1	k	-
Nearshore Waverider	640	*	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	1	1	*	*	* 1	* *	1	1	*	*	* *	*	1	1	* 1	* /	/ *	*	*	*	11	* /	*	1	1	/ *	*
Pier End	625	*	* *	* *	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	1	-	-					-				_	-	- ,	11	/ *	*	*	*	11	8 9	* *	*	* 1	k *	*
Pier Nearshore	645	*	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* /	1	*	*	* ,	11	1	1	1	*	1	* *	1	1	1	*	* *	*	1	*	* :	* /	/ *	*	*	*	11	* 1	* *	*	* 1	* *	*
CURRENTS																																												
Pier End		*	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	*	* :	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	1	*	*	* :	* *	k *	*	*	* :	* 1	6 1	* *	1	* :	* *	*
Midsurf		*	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	*	* :	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* *	1	*	*	* :	* *	* *	*	*	*	* 1	. 1	* *	1	* :	* *	*
Beach		*	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	*	* :	* *	* *	*	*	*	* *	٢Ì	*	*	* :	* *	k *	*	*	* :	* 1	6 1	* *	1	* 1	* *	*
PIER END TIDE GAGE		*	* *	*	1	1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	k 1	* *	*	*	*	* :	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* *	k *	*	*	*	* 1	k 9	* *	*	* :	* *	*
WATER CHARACTERISTICS	5					•																																						
Temperature	-	*	* *	*	*	* :	* *	*	*	*	* 1	* *	* *	*	*	* 1	* *	* *	*	*	*	* :	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* 1	• /	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* :	* 1	* *	1	* :	* *	*
Visibility		*	* *	*	*	* :	* *	*	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	* :	* *	* *	*	*	*	*	* 1	t #	*	*	*	* 1	٢Ì	*	*	* :	* *	* *	*	*	*	* :	k 1	* *	1	* :	* *	*
Density		1	* *	* *	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* :	k	1	*	*	* 1	* *	* *	*	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* 1	¢ /	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* :	* 1	* *	1	* :	* *	*
BATHYMETRIC SURVEYS		'		*				*				'	1								*					*			'		*										1	*		
PHOTOGRAPHY																																												
Beach		*	* *	* *	*	* :	* *	*	*	*	* :	k 1		*	*	* 1	* *	* *	*	*	*	*	* 1	t sk	*	*	*	* 1	* *	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	* :		k #	*	*	* *	*
Aerial			*											*															*							*								

Notes: * Full week of data obtained

/ Less than 7 days of data obtained

- No data obtained

Coastal Engineering Information and Analysis Center (CEIAC) is responsible for storing and disseminating most of the data collected at the FRF. All data requests should be in writing and addressed to:

> Commander and Director US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ATTN: Coastal Engineering Information Analysis Center PO Box 631 Vicksburg, MS 39180-0631

Tidal data other than the summarizes in this report can be obtained directly from the following address:

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Ocean Service ATTN: Tide Analysis Branch Rockville, MD 20852

A complete explanation of the exact data desired for specific dates and times

will expedite filling any request; an explanation of how the data will be used will help CEIAC or the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)/National Ocean Service (NOS) determine if other relevant data are available. For information regarding the availability of data for all years contact CEIAC at (601) 634-2012. Costs for collecting, copying, and mailing will be borne by the requester. 9. This section summarizes the meteorological measurements made during the current year and in combination with all previous years. Meteorological measurements during storms are given in Part IX.

10. Mean air temperature, atmospheric pressure, and wind speed and direction were computed for each data file which consisted of data sampled four times per second for 20 min every 6 hr beginning at or about 0100, 0700, 1300, and 1900 eastern standard time (EST); these hours correspond to the time that the National Weather Service (NWS) creates daily synoptic weather maps. During storms, hourly data recordings were made.

Air Temperature

 The FRF enjoys a typical marine climate which moderates the temperature extremes of both summer and winter.

Measurement instruments

12. A Yellow Springs Instrument Company, Inc. (YSI) (Yellow Springs, OH), electronic temperature probe with analog output interfaced to the FRF's computer was operated beside the NWS's meteorological instrument shelter located 43 m behind the dune (Figure 2). To ensure proper temperature readings, the probe was installed 3 m above ground inside a "coolie hat" to shade it from direct sunlight yet provide proper ventilation. Results

13. Daily air temperature values are shown in Figure 3. Average air temperature statistics are tabulated in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 4.

Atmospheric Pressure

Measurement instruments

14. <u>Electronic atmospheric pressure sensor</u>. Atmospheric pressure was measured with a YSI electronic sensor with analog output located in the laboratory building at 9 m above NGVD. Data were recorded on the FRF computer. Data from this gage were compared with those from an NWS aneroid barometer to ensure proper operation.



Figure 2. FRF gage locations



Figure 3. Daily and monthly mean air temperature values

Table	2
-------	---

Mean Meteorological Statistics

						Mean	l	lean		W	ind	
	P	recip	itation	, mm	Air T	emperature	Atmospher	ric Pressure	19	86	19	30-1986
	1986		1978-19	86		°C		mb	Speed	Direction	Speed	Direction
Month	Total	Mean	Maxima	Minima	1986	1983-1986	1986	1983-1986	m/sec	deg	m/sec	deg
Jan	44	91	180	44	5.5	5.1	1019.6	1017.7	2.1	278	2.5	321
Feb	20	74	84	20	6.3	6.4	1015.7	1016.8	2.4	328	1.7	330
Mar	38	81	168	35	9.3	9.3	1020.8	1015.7	1.4	342	1.4	334
Apr	66	89	182	0	13.6	13.9	1014.2	1014.0	1.3	336	0.4	216
May	20	71	239	20	18.7	19.0	1016.7	1015.7	0.3	80	0.6	171
Jun	89	77	130	27	23.5	23.3	1015.5	1015.5	1.3	163	1.0	173
Ju 1	96	87	200	19	26.9	25.9	1014.3	1016.1	1.5	182	1.7	198
Aug	221	110	221	30	28.5	26.1	1017.5	1016.7	1.2	108	0.5	51
Sep	42	83	160	5	24.6	22.0	1021.1	1018.6	2.0	43	1.9	14
Oct	17	62	143	17	18.5	18.5	1020.5	1020.2	2.2	8	2.6	15
Nov	46	85	145	26	13.2	13.3	1021.7	1018.4	3.1	20	2.2	338
Dec	68	70	131	4	9.0	8.7	1021.0	1020.4	3.6	341	2.3	320
Average	64	81			16.5	16.0	1018.2	1017.2	1.0	354	0.9	340
Total	766	1061										



Figure 4. Mean monthly air temperatures

15. <u>Microbarograph.</u> A Weathertronics, Inc. (Sacramento, Calif.), recording aneroid sensor (microbarograph) located in the laboratory building also was used to continuously record atmospheric pressure variation.

16. The microbarograph was compared daily with the NWS aneroid barometer, and adjustments were made as necessary. Maintenance of the microbarograph consisted of inking the pen, changing the chart paper, and winding the clock every 7 days. During the summer, a meteorologist from the NWS checked and verified the operation of the barometer.

17. The microbarograph was read and inspected daily using the following procedure:

- a. The pen was zeroed (where applicable).
- b. The chart time was checked and corrected, if necessary.
- c. Daily reading was marked on the chart for reference.
- d. The starting and ending chart times were recorded, as necessary.
- e. New charts were installed when needed.

Results

18. Daily atmospheric pressure values are presented in Figure 5, and summary statistics are presented in Table 2 and Figure 6.



Precipitation

19. Precipitation is generally well distributed throughout the year. Precipitation from midlatitude cyclones (northeasters) predominates in the winter, while local convection (thunderstorms) accounts for most of the summer rainfall.

Measurement instruments

20. <u>Electronic rain gage.</u> A Belfort Instrument Company (Baltimore, MD) 30-cm weighing rain gage, located near the instrument shelter 47 m behind the dune, measured daily precipitation. According to the manufacturer, the instrument's accuracy was 0.5 percent for precipitation amounts less than 15 cm and 1.0 percent for amounts greater than 15 cm.

21. The rain gage was inspected daily, and the analog chart recorder was maintained by procedures similar to those for the microbarograph.

22. <u>Plastic rain gage.</u> An Edwards Manufacturing Company (Alberta Lea, MN) True Check 15-cm-capacity clear plastic rain gage with a 0.025-cm resolution was used to monitor the performance of the weighing rain gage. This gage, located near the weighing gage, was compared daily; and very few discrepancies were identified during the year.

Results

23. Daily precipitation values are shown in Figure 7. Total precipitation for each month during this year and average totals for all years combined are shown in Figure 8, while similar statistics are presented in Table 2.

Wind Speed and Direction

24. Winds at the FRF are dominated by tropical maritime air masses which create low to moderate, warm southern breezes; artic and polar air masses which produce cold winds from northerly directions; and smaller scale cyclonic, low pressure systems, which originate either in the tropics (and move north along the coast) or on land (and move eastward offshore). The dominant wind direction changes with season, being generally from northern directions in the fall and winter and from southern directions in the spring and summer. It is common for fall and winter storms (northeasters) to produce winds with average speeds in excess of 15 m/sec.



Measurement instrument

25. Winds were measured on top of the laboratory building at an elevation of 19.1 m (Figure 2) using a Weather Measure Corporation (Sacramento, CA) Skyvane Model W102P anemometer. Wind speed and direction data were collected on the FRF computer as well as on a strip-chart recorder. The anemometer manufacturer specifies an accuracy of ± 0.45 m/sec below 13 m/sec and 3 percent at speeds above 13 m/sec, with a threshold of 0.9 m/sec. Wind direction accuracy is ± 2 deg with a resolution of less than 1 deg. The anemometer was calibrated semiannually at the National Bureau of Standards in Gaithersburg, MD, and is within the manufacturer's specifications.

Results

26. Annual and monthly joint probability distributions of wind speed versus direction were computed. Winds speeds were resolved into 3-m/sec intervals, while the directions were at 22.5-deg intervals (i.e. 16-point compass direction specifications). These distributions are presented as wind "roses," such that the length of the petal represents the frequency of occurrence of wind blowing from the specified direction, and the width of the petal is indicative of the speed. Resultant directions and speeds were also determined by vector averaging the data (see Table 2). Wind statistics are presented in Figures 9 through 11.



Figure 9. Annual wind roses







Figure 10. Monthly wind roses for 1986 (Sheet 1 of 3)





















W _{270.0} 90.0 E 112.5 135.0 225.0 202.5 180.0 157.5 202.5 180.0 157.5 S S AUGUST 0.5 m/s SPEED 1.7 m/s SPEED **DIRECTION 198 deg** DIRECTION 51 deg

225.0

JULY

90.0 E

112.5

135.0









27. This section presents summaries of the wave data. A discussion of individual major storms is given in Part IX and contains additional wave data for times when wave heights exceeded 2 m at the seaward end of the FRF pier. Appendix B (published as Volume II) provides more extensive summaries of the data for each gage, including height and period distributions, wave direction distributions, persistence tables, and spectra during storms. Signals from the wave gages were routinely sampled similarly to the meteorological data described in Part II.

28. Wave directions (similar to wind directions) at the FRF are seasonally distributed. Waves approach most frequently from north of the pier in the fall and winter and south of the pier in the summer, with the exception of storm waves which approach twice as frequently from north of the pier. Annually, waves are approximately evenly distributed between north and south (resultant wave direction being almost shore-normal).

Measurement Instruments

29. The wave gages included two buoys and two wave staff gages located as follows:

Gage Number	Location	Average Depth, m
630	6 km from shore	-18.0
640	1 km from shore	-9.0
625	Pier end	-8.0
645	Landward end of pier	-3.5

Staff gages

30. Two Baylor Company (Houston, TX) parallel cable inductance wave gages (Gage 645 at sta 7+80 and Gage 625 at sta 19+00 (Figure 2)) were mounted on the FRF pier. Rugged and reliable, these gages require little maintenance except to keep tension on the cables and to remove any material which may cause an electrical short between them. They were calibrated prior to installation by creating an electrical short between the two cables at known distances along the cable and recording the voltage output. Electronic signal conditioning amplifiers are used to ensure that the output signals from the gages are within a 0- to 5-V range. Manufacturer-stated gage accuracy is

about 1.0 percent, with a 0.1 percent full-scale resolution; full scale is 14 m for Gage 625 and 8.5 m for Gage 645. These gages are susceptible to lightning damage, but protective measures have been taken to minimize such occurrences. A more complete description of the gages' operational characteristics is given by Grogg (1986).

Buoy gages

31. Two Datawell Laboratory for Instrumentation (Haarlem, The Netherlands) Waverider buoy gages (Gage 630 and 640) measure the vertical acceleration produced by the passage of a wave. The acceleration signal is double-integrated to produce a displacement signal which is transmitted by radio to an onshore receiver. The manufacturer stated that wave amplitudes are correct to within 3 percent of their actual value for wave frequencies between 0.065 and 0.500 Hz (corresponding 15- to 2-sec wave periods). The manufacturer also specified that the error gradually increased to 10 percent for wave periods in excess of 20 sec. The results in this report were not corrected for the manufacturer's specified amplitude errors. However, to ensure that the buoys were within the manufacturer's specifications, they were calibrated semiannually.

Digital Data Analysis and Summarization

32. Thompson (1977) and Harris (1974) describe the procedure used for analyzing and summarizing the digital wave data contained in this report. The procedure is based on a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) spectral analysis of 4,096 data values (1,024 sec sampled at 4 Hz) for each file processed.

33. The analysis program computes the first five moments of the distribution of sea surface elevations then edits the digital data by checking for "jumps" and "spikes" and for data points out of the 0- and 5-V range. A jump is defined as a data value greater than 2.5 standard deviations from the previous data value, while a spike is a data value 5 standard deviations or more from the mean. If less than 5 jumps or spikes in a row are found, the program linearly interpolates between acceptable data and replaces the erroneous data values. If more than 5 jumps or spikes in a row or a total of 100 bad data points for the file are found, the program stops interpolating and editing. At this point the program analyzes the data and prints a flag indicating that there is a problem with the file. If the variance is less than 0.001 m^2 , the

record is not analyzed. After program editing is completed, the first five moments of the distribution of sea surface elevations are again computed. A cosine bell data window was applied to increase the resolution for the energy spectrum of the file; use of the data window is discussed by Harris (1974). After application of the data window, the program computes the variance spectrum (proportional to the energy spectrum) using the FFT procedure. After the data files are analyzed, the results are eliminated for files that are flagged as bad or appear inconsistent with simultaneous observations from nearby gage sites. Frequently the spectrum and/or distribution function of sea surface elevations are examined to determine if the data were acceptable. After the analysis results are edited, monthly summaries of wave heights and periods are generated.

34. Unless otherwise specified, wave height in this report refers to the energy-based parameter H_{mo} (defined as four times the standard deviation of the sea surface elevations). Wave period T_p is defined as the period associated with the maximum energy in the spectrum which is resolved by partitioning the spectrum into frequency bands of equal width and determining the band with the maximum energy density. The period reported is the reciprocal of the center frequency (e.g. $T_p = 1/\text{frequency}$) of the spectral band. Since the spectral bands are of equal frequency width, namely 0.010742 Hz (i.e. 11/1,024 sec), the analysis provides uniform resolution in frequency. However, the resolution in period is not uniform since the period intervals become larger for lower frequencies. Because of the combination with the varying width of the period intervals, only a discrete set of period values is possible, as shown below:

Band Number	Upper Limit of Frequency Band Hz	Corresponding Period Lower Limit of Band, sec	T Associated P with Center Frequency of Band, sec	T Not Reported ^P sec
6	0.065	15.3	16.8	
7	0.076	13.1	14.2	15
8	0.087	11.5	12.3	13
9	0.098	10.2	10.9	11
10	0.108	9.2	9.8	

Complete information about the energy contained in all frequency bands can best be obtained by inspecting the full spectrum, examples of which are included in Appendix B (Volume II) for Gage 625 during storm wave conditions.

Results

35. The wave conditions for the year are summarized in Figure 12. For all four gages, the distributions of wave height for the current year and all years combined are presented in Figures 13 and 14, respectively. Accordingly, the distributions of wave period are presented in Figures 15 and 16, respectively.

36. Refraction, bottom friction, and wave breaking contribute to the observed differences in height and period. During the most severe storms when the wave heights exceed 3 m at the seaward end of the pier, the surf zone (wave breaking) has been observed to extend past the end of the pier and occasionally out to Gage 640. This occurrence is a major reason for the differences in the distributions between Gage 630 and the inshore gages. The wave height statistics for the staff gage (Gage 645), located at the landward end of the pier, were considerably lower than those for the other gages. In all but the calmest conditions, this gage is within the breaker zone. Consequently, these statistics represent a lower energy wave climate.

37. For the current year and all years combined, monthly mean, standard deviation, and extreme wave height and period statistics are presented for all four gages in Tables 3 through 10.

38. For the current year and combined with all years, monthly wave height distributions (Figures 17 and 18, respectively) and wave period distributions (Figures 19 and 20, respectively) for Gage 630 are presented to show the typical temporal variability of the wave conditions. Similar plots for the other gages are included in Appendix B.

39. Annual and monthly joint distributions of wave height versus wave period for Gage 630 are presented for 1986 in Tables 11 and 12, respectively, and for all years combined in Tables 13 and 14, respectively. Similar distributions for the other gages are included in Appendix B.

40. Annual distributions of wave directions, based on daily observations of direction at the seaward end of the pier, and height from Gage 625 (or Gage 640 when data for Gage 625 were unavailable) are shown in Figure 21. Monthly wave "roses" for 1986 and all years combined are presented in Figures 22 and 23, respectively.



Figure 12. Time-histories of wave height and period for Gage 630





m	1 1		^
'I'a	b.		
тa	U -	LС	

	Не	ight	Per	riod	Extr		
	Mean	Std.Dev.	Mean	Std.Dev.	Height		Number
Month	m	m	sec	sec	<u>m</u>	Date	Obs.
Jan	1.0	0.6	8.8	2.6	2.7	25	122
Feb	1.0	0.4	8.7	2.8	2.0	25	110
Mar	1.0	0.5	7.9	2.0	2.4	21	106
Apr	1.0	0.6	9.3	2.5	3.0	19	113
May	1.0	0.8	9.7	3.1	3.0	10	116
Jun	0.9	0.6	7.4	1.2	1.9	3	14
Sep	0.7	0.3	10.3	2.6	1.2	28	46
Oct	1.0	0.6	8.4	2.7	2.9	10	112
Nov	1.1	0.4	7.8	2.5	2.0	14	116
Dec	1.1	0.7	8.6	2.6	3.1	2	123
Annua 1	1.0	0.6	8.7	2.7	3.1	Dec	978

 $\frac{1986$ Mean, Standard Deviation, and Extreme H $_{\rm mo}$ and T $_{\rm p}$ for Gage 625

Table 4

1980-1986 Mean, Standard Deviation, and Extreme

Н	and	Т	for	Gage	625
mo		Р		0	

	He	ight	Per	iod	Ext	reme	
	Mean	Std.Dev.	Mean	Std.Dev.	Height		Number
Month	m	m	sec	sec		Date	Obs.
Jan	1.1	0.6	8.4	2.7	3.5	'83	706
Feb	1.1	0.6	9.2	2.6	3.8	'83	702
Mar	1.1	0.6	9.0	2.8	3.3	'83	758
Apr	0.9	0.5	9.4	2.6	3.0	'85	697
May	0.8	0.5	8.5	2.5	3.0	'86	801
Jun	0.7	0.4	8.1	2.4	2.0	'83	618
Jul	0.6	0.3	8.8	2.9	1.8	'85	533
Aug	0.7	0.5	8.5	2.7	3.1	'81	620
Sep	1.0	0.5	9.2	2.8	3.0	'83	647
Oct	1.2	0.7	9.2	3.0	3.5	'80	803
Nov	1.1	0.6	8.9	3.2	3.5	'81	767
Dec	1.0	0.6	9.0	3.1	3.1	'86	729
Annua 1	0.9	0.6	8.9	2.8	3.8	Feb 83	8381

	Hei	ght `	Per	riod	Ext	reme	
	Mean	Std.Dev.	Mean	Std.Dev.	Height		Number
Month	m	m	sec	sec	m	Date	Obs.
Jan	1.1	0.7	8.3	2.6	2.7	25	115
Feb	1.1	0.5	7.9	2.3	2.5	25	110
Mar	1.1	0.5	8.2	2.1	3.2	21	119
Apr	1.1	0.6	9.0	2.5	3.2	18	120
May	1.0	0.7	8.8	3.0	3.3	10	119
Jun	0.9	0.4	8.3	2.6	2.0	3	117
Jul	0.6	0.2	7.9	2.0	0.9	24	93
Aug	0.9	0.5	7.3	2.7	3.0	17	114
Sep	0.9	0.4	8.3	2.1	1.8	17	106
Oct	1.2	0.7	7.8	2.5	3.5	11	116
Nov	1.2	0.4	7.1	1.9	2.3	3	117
Dec	1.6	1.0	8.1	2.1	4.2	2	54
Annual	1.1	0.6	8.1	2.5	4.2	Dec	1300

Table 5

for Gage 630

1986 Mean, Standard Deviation, and Extreme

H mo

and Tp

Table 6

1980-1986 Mean, Standard Deviation, and

	E2	ktreme H m	o and	T for (p	Gage 6	30	
	Hei	ght	Per	iod	Ext	reme	
Manth	Mean	Std.Dev.	Mean	Std.Dev.	Height	D. L.	Number
MONTH		m	sec	sec	m	Date	Obs.
Jan	1.2	0.6	7.9	2.7	4.5	'83	754
Feb	1.2	0.6	8.6	2.6	4.3	'83	681
Mar	1.1	0.6	8.7	2.7	4.7	'83	760
Apr	0.9	0.5	8.6	2.7	3.8	'85	741
May	0.8	0.4	7.9	2.3	3.3	'86	769
Jun	0.7	0.3	7.7	2.2	2.1	'81	712
Jul	0.6	0.3	8.1	2.6	2.1	'85	706
Aug	0.7	0.4	8.0	2.4	3.6	'81	706
Sep	1.0	0.6	8.6	2.6	6.1	'85	730
Oct	1.2	0.7	8.7	2.8	4.3	'82	822
Nov	1.1	0.6	8.1	2.9	4.1	'81	648
Dec	1.2	0.7	8.3	2.8	5.6	'80	645
Annua 1	1.0	0.6	8.3	2.6	6.1	Sep 85	8674

		H and	T f	or Gage	640		
	Hei	ght	Per	iod	Extr	eme	Number
Month	m	M	sec	Sec		Date	Obs.
Jan	0.9	0.6	8.7	2.3	2.8	25 25	117 106
Mar	1.0	0.5	8.2	2.0	2.3	21 19	119 118
May Jun	1.0	0.8	9.9 9.0	3.1 2.7	3.3 1.8	10 3	116 115
Jul	0.5	0.2	8.1	2.3	0.8	21	91
Aug Sep	0.8 0.8	0.5 0.3	7.9 8.7	2.8 2.3	2.8 1.7	17 16	115 106
Oct Nov	1.1 1.1	0.7 0.4	8.3 7.5	2.7	3.1 2.0	11	113 112
Dec	1.2	0.6	8.5	2.5	3.6	1	100
Annual	0.9	0.6	8.6	2.6	3.6	Dec	1328

Т о	h 1	0	7
гa	U I	Le	

1986 Mean, Standard Deviation, and Extreme

Table 8

1985-1986 Mean, Standard Deviation, and

Extreme	Hmo	and	Тр	for	Gage	640	

	Height		Period		Extreme			
	Меал	Std.Dev.	Mean	Std.Dev.	Height		Number	
Month	m	m	sec	sec	m	Date	Obs.	
Jan	0.9	0.6	8.3	2.5	2.8	'86	231	
Feb	1.0	0.4	8.5	2.6	3.0	'85	209	
Mar	1.0	0.5	8.4	2.3	3.0	'85	240	
Apr	0.9	0.6	9.5	3.0	3.7	'85	235	
May	0.9	0.7	8.9	2.9	3.3	186	240	
Jun	0.7	0.4	8.2	2.6	1.8	'86	233	
Jul	0.6	0.3	8.9	2.5	1.9	'85	211	
Aug	0.9	0.5	8.2	2.9	2.8	'86	186	
Sep	0.9	0.4	9.3	2.3	2.0	'85	199	
Oct	1.1	0.7	8.2	2.7	3.5	'85	224	
Nov	1.2	0.7	8.8	3.0	4.1	'85	214	
Dec	1.0	0.6	9.2	3.2	3.6	'86	213	
Annua 1	0.9	0.6	8.7	2.8	4.1	Nov 85	2635	
		H and	Тр	for Gage	645			
---------	------	----------	------	----------	--------	------	--------	
	Hei	ght	Pe	riod	Extr	eme		
	Mean	Std.Dev.	Mean	Std.Dev.	Height		Number	
Month	m	<u>m</u>	sec	sec		Date	Obs.	
Jan	0.7	0.4	7.6	3.1	1.5	4	116	
Feb	0.7	0.4	7.1	2.9	1.7	12	111	
Mar	0.7	0.4	7.5	3.4	1.5	23	120	
Apr	0.6	0.4	8.5	3.4	1.8	15	116	
May	0.6	0.3	6.9	2.3	1.6	3	122	
Jun	0.5	0.2	6.3	1.9	1.1	30	115	
Jul	0.5	0.3	7.8	2.6	1.3	1	118	
Aug	0.6	0.3	8.4	3.4	1.3	2	115	
Sep	0.8	0.5	9.5	3.2	2.1	27	117	
Oct	0.9	0.4	7.4	1.9	1.8	22	103	
Nov	0.8	0.5	9.5	3.5	1.9	21	112	
Dec	0.6	0.5	9.2	4.1	2.1	7	112	
Annua 1	0.7	0.4	8.0	3.2	2.1	Dec	1377	

Table 9

for Gage 645

1986 Mean, Standard Deviation, and Extreme T

Table 10

1980-1986 Mean, Standard Deviation, and

	Ext	treme	H a mo	ind	Тр	for	Gage	645	
	Hei	ght	-	Peri	od		Ex	treme	
	Mean	Std.De	ev. N	lean	Std.	Dev.	Height		Number
Month	m	m		sec	se	ec	m	Date	Obs.
Jan	0.8	0.4	7	7.7	3.	.1	2.0	'80	673
Feb	0.8	0.4	8	3.4	3.	2	2.0	'83	678
Mar	0.8	0.4	ε	3.2	3.	.5	2.3	'80	757
Apr	0.7	0.3	Ē	3.5	3.	4	1.8	'85	676
May	0.6	0.3	7	7.8	3.	1	1.9	'86	751
Jun	0.5	0.3	7	7.6	3.	.0	1.3	'82	710
Jul	0.5	0.2	7	7.9	3.	.0	1.3	'85	717

7.7

8.6

8.8

8.4

8.3

8.2

2.9

3.3

3.3

3.6

3.6

3.3

1.7

2.1

2.2

2.0

2.1

2.3

182

'85

'82

'81

'85

Mar 80

742

705

766

754

741

8670

Aug

Sep

Oct

Nov

Dec

Annua 1

0.6

0.7

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.7

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.4

0.4

0.4









Figure 19. 1986 monthly wave period distributions for Gage 630



Figure 20. 1980-86 monthly wave period distributions for Gage 630

			198	36 Jo	oint	Dist	ribu	tion	of H	mo			
				vers	sus	т _р	for	Gage	630				
HEIGHT (METERS)			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	RENCE (ANNUA X100) OD(SEC	L OF HEIO	GHT ANI	D PERIO)		TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 1 13.9	4.0- 1	LONGER	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23 38	23 154 23 	46 162 254 15 15	77 500 646 200 23	177 569 531 177 100 8 8	100 385 292 69 23 38 8	485 1331 400 85 46 23 38 15	154 700 254 38 15 8 8 8	77 462 246 77 15 46	46 138 15 15 54 31 8	115 169 85 46 15 15 8 8	8	1323 4608 2754 722 306 169 78 31 8 0 0
TOTAL	61	200	492	1446	1570	915	2423	1185	931	307	461	8	

Table 11

						Table	e 12						
		1	1986	Mont	hly	Joint	t Dis	strib	utio	n of			
			Н	v 10	ersu	s T	p fo	or Ga	ge 6	30			
			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE (NTH JA X100)	N OF HEI	GHT AND	PERIO	D		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.50 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.50 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	87 87	87	261 174 435	87 348 435 87 957	87 435 435 174 435 87 1653	87 435 261 87 87 957	435 609 435 261 261 	261 1478 174 174	174 348 261 87 87 	87	261 261 522	87	1392 4175 2175 1131 870 261 0 0 0 0 0 0
			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE (NTH FE X100)	B OF HEI	ght and) PERIO	0		
HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
$\begin{array}{l} 0.00 & - & 0.49 \\ 0.50 & - & 0.99 \\ 1.00 & - & 1.49 \\ 1.50 & - & 1.99 \\ 2.00 & - & 2.49 \\ 2.50 & - & 2.99 \\ 3.00 & - & 3.49 \\ 3.50 & - & 3.99 \\ 4.00 & - & 4.49 \\ 4.50 & - & 4.99 \\ 5.00 & - & GREATER \\ \end{array}$		91	91 91	909 1273 91	545 727 273 182	91 545 364 273	1182 545 91 91	364 545	818 273	91 91 	91 273		182 4727 4091 728 273 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TOTAL	Ó	91	182	2273	1727	1273	1909	909	1091	18Ž	364	Ó	

			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE (NTH MA X100)	R OF HEI	GHT AN	D PERIO	0		
HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	84	252	252	336 504 252	84 420 840 168 84 84	336 252 84 84	252 1008 336 336 84	84 1008 672 168	84 1008 672 84	•	84	•	504 4452 3612 1092 84 168 84 0
4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	84	252	252	1092	1680	756	2016	1932	1848	ċ	84	ŏ	0 0 0

(Continued)

(Sheet 1 of 4)

			PE	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE (NTH AP	R OF HEI	GHT ANI	D PERIO	0		
HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL		83	167 83	250 417 83 83 833	417 750	583 250	167 1917 917	1083 167 83 1333	167 583 167	167 167 250 250	167 167 167 83	•	668 5417 2918 83 333 416 166 0 0 0 0

			PI	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE (NTH MA X100)	Y DF HEI	GHT AND	D PERIO	0		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD(SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	.6.0- LONGER	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	168	252	168 168 84	168 756 168 84	504 672 168	168 252	1261 588 168	420 588 168 84 84	84 168 84 84 84	84 84 168 168 84	252 168 336 420 168 84	:	3109 3864 1260 756 420 420
3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99	•	:	:	•	:	:	•	•	•	84	84	•	168 0 0
5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	168	252	420	1176	1344	420	2101	1344	504	756	1512	ò	0

			P	ERCENT	OCCURI	MO RENCE (X100)	OF HEI	GHT ANI	D PERIO	D		
HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	DD(SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL		85 256	85 85	256 513 684 171	342 427 85	171 598 342 171	256 2906 85 85	769 171	85 85 85 340	85 855	17i 17i		1023 6495 1879 597 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

(Continued)

(Sheet 2 of 4)

			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE (NTH JU X100)	L OF HEI	ght an	D PERIO	D		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD(SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99	:	215	645	108 753	538 645	430 430	1935 3011	430	215 215	108	323	:	3549 6452
1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	0
2.00 - 2.49	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ŏ
2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	:	:	0
3.50 - 3.99	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0
4.50 - 4.99	:	:	•	•	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	ő
5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	ò	215	645	861	1183	860	4946	430	430	108	323	ò	0

MONTH AUG PERCENT OCCURRENCE(X100) OF HEIGHT AND PERIOD

HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	6.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99	88 88	175 263	263 351	351 614	526 1228	351	614 702	88	88 88	88	702	:	2105 4563
1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49	:	88	526	175 175 88	175 351 88	439	526	263	88	88	88	•	2368 614 176
2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49	:	:	•			:	88 88	:	:	:	:		88 88
3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99	:	•		:	•	•	•	•			:	•	0
5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	176	526	1140	1403	2368	790	2018	351	264	176	790	ò	ŏ

HEIGHT(METERS)			PI	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE(PERI	NTH SE X100) OD(SEC	P OF HEIO ONDS)	GHT AND	D PERIO	D		TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0-	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- - 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	6.0~ LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49	•	94 94	189	377 566 94	849 943 189	283 189	189 2075 660	660 660 189	755 189	94	283 377	•	1132 5564 3019 283 0
2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
TOTAL	ô	188	189	1037	1981	472	2924	150 9	944	94	66Ô	ò	U

(Continued)

(Sheet 3 of 4)

			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE(X100)	OF HEI	GHT AN	D PERIO	D		
HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99	86	86	259	517	345 603	172 259	603 172	345 259	948	86	86	÷	1723 3103
1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99			431	1207 431	259 259	172	259	259	603 172	•	•		3190 862
2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99	:	:	:	:	172	259	86	86	172 86	86	:	:	602 345
3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99	:	:	:	:	:	86	86	:	•	:	:	:	172 0
4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	:	•	:	0
5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	86	86	690	2155	1638	948	1206	949	1981	172	86	ō	0

	MONTH NO	DV			
PERCENT	OCCURRENCE(X100)	0F	HEIGHT	and	PERIOD

HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD(SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 1 13.9	4.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49													0
0.50 - 0.99		85		427	427	342	855	684	256				3076
1.00 - 1.49		85	855	1624	940	855	256	256			85		4956
1.50 - 1.99			85	342	513	256	171	85	256				1708
2.00 - 2.49					85	85				85			255
2.50 - 2.99													0
3.00 - 3.49													0
3.50 - 3.99													0
4.00 - 4.49													0
4.50 - 4.99													0
5.00 - GREATER													0
TOTAL	Ō	170	940	2393	1965	1538	1282	1025	512	85	85	0	

			PI	ERCENT	OCCURI	MO RENCE (NTH DE X100)	C OF HEI	ght an	D PERIO	D		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL			185 185 370	556 741 185	741 185	185 370 185 	185 1296 741 185 370 370 370	1111i 185 	741 185 370 185		185		370 2963 3519 555 925 370 370 740 185 0 0

(Sheet 4 of 4)

	Table 13													
1980	-1986	Joint	Dist	ribut	ion	of								
Hmo	versu	ıs 1	p fo	r Gag	ge 63	30								

			_				ANNUA	L						
			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	RENCE (X100)	OF HEI	GHT ANI	D PERIO	0			
HEIGHT (METERS) PERIOD (SECONDS)														
1.0- 3.0- 4.0- 5.0- 6.0- 7.0- 8.0- 9.0- 10.0- 12.0- 14.0- 16.0- 2.9 3.9 4.9 5.9 6.9 7.9 8.9 9.9 11.9 13.9 15.9 LONGER														
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99	22 31	16 120	30 249	61 459	108 586	114 491	303 759	295 697	228 857	83 173	148 220	5 20	1413 4662	
1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99	:	9	125 8	390 130	478	292 115	237 69	206 59	389 128	45	146 89	6	2323 909	
2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49	:			25	6	35	18 16	17 14	36 16	14 6	27 10		420 153 66	
3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49	:	•	:	:	:	•	3 1	9	12	6 1	5 1	•	35 11	
4.50 - 4.99 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	53	145	41Å	1065	1524	1126	1451	1336	2 1745	2 408	i 691	39	2 3	

						Tabl	e 14						
			198	30-19	86 J	oint	Dist	ribu	tion	of			
			H_mc	, ve	rsus	T	p fc	or Ga	ige 6	30			
HEIGHT (METERS)			PI	ERCENT	OCCUR	MOI RENCE() PERIO	NTH JAN X100) C OD(SECC	NDS)	GHT AND) PERIO)		TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 1 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	133 66	13 239	265 146 27	119 385 531 265 27	66 424 610 491 199 13 1803	13 358 265 265 212 93 1206	199 265 119 80 106 66 27	106 517 119 93 27 27 	252 889 557 252 146 53 27 13 13 2202	27 106 13 53 27	80 279 80 53 27 53	13 13 	1008 3793 2440 1539 810 332 54 0 13 13 0

			PI	ERCENT	OCCURI	MO RENCE (NTH FE	B OF HEI	ght an	D PERIO	D		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD(SEC	ONDS)					TOTA
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	L6.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 2.50 - 1.99 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49 3.00 - 3.49 3.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	15 	73	103 103	367 602 162 88 	29 470 631 323 117	15 235 250 220 29 44	88 470 367 103 44	617 382 103 73 15 15 	44 1204 646 191 117 147 44 15 44 2452	29 29 117 88 73 29 15	147 191 250 147 132 88 29	15	352 3789 3363 1337 673 308 103 30 44 44 0 0

			PI	ERCENT	OCCURI	MOI RENCE()	X100)	OF HEI	GHT ANI	D PERIC	Ð		
HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	13 13	92	237 197	13 395 382 224 13	66 434 553 250 53 26 13 1395	13 421 368 79 39 13	92 487 289 92 53 13 13 13 13 13 1052	53 697 303 92 53 13 26 26 1263	158 855 737 237 79 13 53 13 13 13 2158	79 197 79 118 53 26 13 565	79 237 421 158 79 53 13 13 13 		566 4065 3329 1250 422 157 118 52 26 13 0
					((lonti	nued)					

(Sheet 1 of 4)

			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE (NTH AP	R OF HEI	ght an	D PERIC	D		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0-	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	6.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 5.50 - GREATER TOTAL	108	13 162 13	27 243 121	27 432 256 94 27	40 513 445 135 27	13 540 337 108 13 	378 837 270 40 27 27 13 13 1605	256 661 297 67 67 27 1375	175 1188 432 148 40 13 	94 324 81 13 40 40	121 324 162 108 13 	•	1144 5332 2414 713 228 106 40 13 0 0 0

MONTH MAY PERCENT OCCURRENCE(X100) OF HEIGHT AND PERIOD

HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	L6.0- LONGER	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	13 26 	13 195 	39 416 104 13 	104 702 208 52 26 	169 650 364 91 13 	182 715 182 26 65 	403 1170 299 117 13 2002	286 1144 208 26 13 	182 676 351 78 13 	26 26 13 39 26 13 	65 130 117 91 39 13 13 		1482 5850 1846 507 208 78 26 0 0 0 0

			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE(NTH JU X100) (N OF HEI	GHT ANI	PERIO	0		
HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0-	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 5.50 - GREATER TOTAL	28 28 	42 154 	70 393 56 14 	112 688 239 42 	253 702 225 84 14 	379 660 211 84 14 	576 1447 239 28 14 	590 983 140 14 14 	225 660 126 70	28 225 	14 42 70 		2317 5982 1306 336 56 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

(Continued)

(Sheet 2 of 4)

			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE(NTH JU X100)	L OF HEI	GHT AN	D PERIO	0		
HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
$\begin{array}{l} 0.00 - 0.49 \\ 0.50 - 0.99 \\ 1.00 - 1.49 \\ 1.50 - 1.99 \\ 2.00 - 2.49 \\ 2.50 - 2.99 \\ 3.00 - 3.49 \\ 3.50 - 3.99 \\ 4.00 - 4.49 \\ 5.50 - GREATER \\ TOTAL \end{array}$	14 28	28 127 28	57 283 42 	99 496 198 71 14 	312 822 212 14	297 637 113 28	935 1218 71 42 14 	892 1020 57	382 453 	170 297	326 71	28 85 	3540 5537 721 155 28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

			Pi	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE (NTH AU X100)	G OF HEI	GHT AN	D PERIC	D		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD(SEC	ONDS)					TOTA
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 15.9	L6.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99	14 28	42 85	85 255	127 567	227 765	269 793	538 1289	567 722	411 552	85 198	142 326	:	2507 5580
1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99	:	14	127	227 57	255 170	312 57	184 28	99	57 14	14	42	:	1289 368
2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99	:	:	:	28	28 14	14	28 28	:	14 14	:	14 14	:	126 70
3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99	:	:	:	:	:	14	14	14	14	:	:	:	42 14
4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	0
5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	42	141	467	1006	1459	1459	2109	1402	1076	297	538	ò	U

			P	ERCENT	OCCURI	MO RENCE (NTH SEI X100) (DF HEI	ght ani	D PERIO	OC		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 9 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99	:	14 55	14 137	41 274	14 562	14 397	68 712	342 644	342 1192	164 192	110 260	14	1137 4425
1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99	:	14	96 14	479 68	644 301	384 123	438	260 151	425 55	68 14	178 96 27	14	3000 877 369
2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49		•	:	41	82	41	27	14 14 14	90 14	14	14	÷	82 56
3.50 3.99 4.00 - 4.49	•.	÷	•	•	•	·.	•.		14	14	14	•.	42 0
4.50 - 4.99 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	0	83	261	903	1603	986	1314	1466	2138	14 521	699	28	14

(Continued)

(Sheet 3 of 4)

			P	ERCENT	OCCUR	MO RENCE(NTH OC X100)	T OF HEI	GHT AN	D PERIC	Ø		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 5.00 - GREATER TOTAL	24	49	158 146	316 633 170 12	61 450 401 450 122 12 	73 389 243 85 231 134 24	207 462 134 61 24 12 961	158 353 195 73 61 73 24	255 973 474 182 182 182 19 12 24 2151	49 170 109 109 61 12 24 534	146 365 182 268 109 49 36 	12 49 12	973 3697 2517 1447 851 353 84 48 24 0 0

MONTH NOV PERCENT OCCURRENCE(X100) OF HEIGHT AND PERIOD

HEIGHT(METERS)						PERI	OD (SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 1 9 15.9	LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99 1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99 3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99 4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.9	15 31	15 46 31	15 370 216 15	31 540 494 216 31	46 556 772 340 62	77 494 478 216 77 31	46 432 216 108 139 15 31	139 525 293 46 31 31 77	123 725 386 139 31 62 62	77 185 15 77 31 15 31 15	170 185 108 15 15 15 15	77 46 15	754 4166 3055 1187 417 154 138 108 108 15 0
TOTAL	46	92	616	1312	1776	1373	987	1142	1528	446	538	138	0

			PI	ERCENT	OCCURI	MOI RENCE()	NTH DE X100) (C OF HEI	GHT ANI	D PERIC	D		
HEIGHT (METERS)						PERI	DD(SEC	ONDS)					TOTAL
	1.0- 2.9	3.0- 3.9	4.0- 4.9	5.0- 5.9	6.0- 6.9	7.0- 7.9	8.0- 8.9	9.0- 9.9	10.0- 11.9	12.0- 13.9	14.0- 15.9	16.0- LONGER	
0.00 - 0.49 0.50 - 0.99	31	16 155	62 124	62 357	16 729	31 233	93 295	171 481	171 884	186 124	419 202	16 62	1243 3677
1.00 - 1.49 1.50 - 1.99	:	:	140 16	419 140	682 543	388 109	233 78	124 62	434 155	31	171 47	:	2622 1150
2.00 - 2.49 2.50 - 2.99	:	:	31	:	248	186 31	47	78 31	109 78	62	78 16	•	839 156
3.00 - 3.49 3.50 - 3.99	:	:	:	:	:	•	93 31	16 31	31 47	•	16 16	:	156 125
4.00 - 4.49 4.50 - 4.99	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	10	16	16	•	10
TOTAL	31	17i	373	978	2218	978	870	994	1925	419	981	78	52

(Sheet 4 of 4)





S 1986 HEIGHT 0.8 m DIRECTION 65 deg

Ν





135.0

157.5

S 1980–1986 HEIGHT 0.8 m DIRECTION 68 deg









S JANUARY HEIGHT 0.8 m DIRECTION 57 deg S FEBRUARY HEIGHT 0.8 m DIRECTION 58 deg

Ν





S APRIL HEIGHT 0.9 m DIRECTION 63 deg



S



Figure 22. Monthly wave roses for 1986 (Sheet 1 of 3)





E

112.5

135.0

67.5 90.0E 112.5

45.0



S MAY HEIGHT 0.7 m DIRECTION 64 deg



A d



22.5





45.0



S JULY HEIGHT 0.4 m DIRECTION 79 deg

S AUGUST HEIGHT 0.6 m DIRECTION 81 deg



Figure 22. (Sheet 2 of 3)





S SEPTEMBER HEIGHT 0.7 m DIRECTION 73 deg S OCTOBER HEIGHT 0.8 m DIRECTION 65 deg





S NOVEMBER HEIGHT 0.9 m DIRECTION 58 deg









S JANUARY HEIGHT 0.9 m DIRECTION 56 deg

S FEBRUARY HEIGHT 1.0 m DIRECTION 66 deg



135.0



135.0

S MARCH HEIGHT 0.9 m DIRECTION 68 deg

S APRIL HEIGHT 0.7 m **DIRECTION 72 deg**







S MAY HEIGHT 0.7 m DIRECTION 75 deg



S JUNE HEIGHT 0.5 m DIRECTION 81 deg





135.0

157.5

S JULY HEIGHT 0.4 m DIRECTION 80 deg



135.0

S AUGUST HEIGHT 0.6 m DIRECTION 74 deg







S SEPTEMBER HEIGHT 0.8 m DIRECTION 69 deg



135.0

S OCTOBER HEIGHT 1.0 m DIRECTION 67 deg





135.0

S NOVEMBER HEIGHT 0.9 m DIRECTION 61 deg S DECEMBER HEIGHT 0.8 m DIRECTION 60 deg



PART IV: CURRENTS

41. Surface current speed and direction at the FRF are influenced by winds, waves, and indirectly, by the bottom topography. The extent of the respective influence varies daily. However, winds tend to dominate the currents at the seaward end of the pier, while waves dominate within the surf zone.

Observations

42. Near 0700 EST, daily observations of surface current speed and direction were made at (a) the seaward end of the pier, (b) the midsurf position on the pier, and (c) 10 to 15 m from the beach 500 m updrift of the pier. Surface currents were determined by observing the movement of dye on the water surface.

Results

43. Figure 24 shows the daily measurements at the beach, pier midsurf, and pier end locations. Since the relative influences of the winds and waves vary with position from shore, the current speeds and, to some extent, direction vary at the beach, midsurf, and pier end locations. Magnitudes generally are largest at the midsurf location and lowest at the end of the pier. Annual mean currents are presented in Table 15 and in Figures 25 through 27.

55





	Pier En	d, cm/sec	Pier Mids	urf, cm/sec	Beach,	cm/sec
Month	1986	1980-	1986	1986	1986	1986
Jan Feb	12	21 19	16 30	22 9	11 28	16 13
Mar	17	14	17	13	4	13
Apr	19	10	13	1	11	6
May	12	9	12	-5	-5	-2
Jun	1	1	-25	-13	-14	-7
Jul	8	1	-19	-19	-2	-11
Aug	11	7	-24	-16	-9	-6
Sep	6	10	-20	-1	-10	2
Oct	16	11	9	6	8	7
Nov	14	12	10	7	15	11
Dec	22	14	16	16	17	9
Annual	14	11	3	1	5	4

Table 15 Mean Longshore Surface Currents*

* + = southward; - = northward.







Figure 27. Monthly mean currents at the beach

Measurement Instrument

44. Water level data were obtained from a NOAA/NOS control tide station (sta 865-1370) located at the seaward end of the research pier (Figure 2) by using a Leupold and Stevens, Inc. (Beaverton, OR), digital tide gage. This analog-to-digital recorder is a float-activated, negator-spring, counterpoised instrument that mechanically converts the vertical motion of a float into a coded, punched paper tape record. The below-deck installation at pier sta 19+60 consisted of a 30.5-cm-diam stilling well with a 2.5-cm orifice and a 21.6-cm-diam float.

45. Operation and tending of the tide gage conformed to NOS standards. The gage was checked daily for proper operation of the punch mechanism and for accuracy of the time and water level information. The accuracy was determined by comparing the gage level reading with a level read from a reference electric tape gage. Once a week, a heavy metal rod was lowered down the stilling well and through the orifice to ensure free flow of water into the well. During the summer months, when biological growth was most severe, divers inspected and cleaned the orifice opening as required.

46. The tide station was inspected quarterly by a NOAA/NOS tide field group. Tide gage elevation was checked using existing NOS control positions, and the equipment was checked and adjusted as needed. NOS and FRF personnel also reviewed procedures for tending the gage and handling the data. Any specific comments on the previous months of data were discussed to ensure data accuracy.

47. Digital paper tape records of tide heights taken every 6 min were analyzed by the Tides Analysis Branch of NOS. An interpreter created a digital magnetic computer tape from the punched paper tape which was then processed on a large computer. First, a listing of the instantaneous tidal height values was created for visual inspection. If errors were encountered, a computer program was used to fill in or recreate bad or missing data using correct values from the nearest NOS tide station and accounting for known time lags and elevation anomalies. The data were plotted, and a new listing was generated and rechecked. When the validity of the data had been confirmed, monthly tabulations of daily highs and lows, hourly heights (instantaneous

59

height selected on the hour), and various extreme and/or mean water level statistics were computed.

Results

48. Tides at the FRF are semidiurnal with both daily high and low tides approximately equal. Tide height statistics are presented in Table 16. Figure 28 plots the monthly tide statistics for all available data, and Figure 29 compares the distribution of daily high and low water levels and hourly tide heights. The monthly or annual mean sea level reported is the average of the hourly heights, while the mean tide level is midway between mean high water and mean low water which are the averages of the daily high and low water levels, respectively, relative to NGVD. Mean range is the difference between mean high and low water levels, and the lowest water level for the month is the extreme low water while the highest water level is the extreme high water level.

Table 16

True mergine bederberee	Tide	Height	Statistics*
-------------------------	------	--------	-------------

Month	Меап	Mean	Mean	Mean					
or	High	Tide	Sea	Low	Mean	Extreme		Extreme	
Year 1986	Water	Level	Level	Water	Range	High	Date	Low	Date
Jan	41	-7	-7	-55	96	99	11	-108	10
Feb	65	16	16	-32	96	107	25	-59	10
Mar	45	-4	-3	-52	97	81	1	-96	9
Apr	69	21	21	-28	97	117	24	-48	25
Mav	62	14	14	-34	96	114	9	-71	5
Jun	52	4	4	-44	94	99	22	-78	19
Ju 1	61	14	14	-32	93	106	20	-48	26
Aug	64	17	18	-29	93	117	18	-63	11
Sep	64	17	17	-30	94	92	4	-66	19
Oct	73	26	26	-22	95	112	10	-46	5
Nov	67	19	19	-29	96	108	6	-54	2, 29
Dec	63	15	16	-34	97	123	2	-70	5
1986	60	13	13	-35	95	123	Dec	-108	Jan
Prior	Years								
1985	59	10	11	-37	96	136	Dec	-93	Apr
1984	64	16	16	-32	97	147	Oct	-77	Jul
1983	68	19	19	-30	98	143	Jan	-73	Маг
1982	58	8	9	-42	99	127	Oct	-108	Feb
1981	59	8	9	-42	101	149	Nov	-110	Apr
1980	59	8	8	-43	102	118	Маг	-119	Mar
1979	60	9	9	-43	103	121	Feb	-95	Sep
1979 1986	61	11	12	-38	99	149	Ncv 1981	-119	Mar 1980

* Measurements are in centimetres.



PART VI: WATER CHARACTERISTICS

49. Monthly averages of daily measurements of surface water temperature, visibility, and density at the seaward end of the FRF pier are given in Table 17. The summaries represent single observations made near 0700 EST and, therefore, may not reflect daily average conditions, since such characteristics can change within a 24-hr period. Large temperature variations were common when there were large differences between the air and water temperature and variations in wind direction. From past experience, persistent onshore winds pile up warmer surface water along the shoreline, while offshore winds cause colder bottom water to circulate upward resulting in lower temperatures.

- 1		•	
1.01	 <u> </u>	1	
1 01	 r	ж.	
	 	_	

	Temperature, ^O C 1980-	Visibility, m 1980-	Density, g/cm ³ 1980-
Month	1986 1986	1986 1986	1986 1986
Jan	7.6 5.7	1.3 1.2	1.0247 1.0238
Feb	5.3 4.7	2.1 1.6	1.0228 1.0233
Mar	6.4 6.6	2.6 1.4	1.0230 1.0230
Apr	11.6 11.0	2.2 2.0	1.0218 1.0226
May	15.2 15.1	2.5 2.4	1.0222 1.0226
Jun	19.3 19.4	3.6 3.6	1.0227 1.0216
Jul	22.8 21.7	4.9 3.8	1.0218 1.0216
Aug	22.4 23.2	3.4 2.9	1.0215 1.0205
Sep	22.7 22.5	1.9 2.0	1.0215 1.0210
Oct	19.5 19.3	1.3 1.3	1.0223 1.0217
Nov	15.6 14.8	1.0 0.9	1.0226 1.0227
Dec	10.2 10.2	1.1 1.0	1.0224 1.0232
Annua 1	14.9 14.4	2.4 2.0	1.0224 1.0223

Mean Surface Water Characteristics

Temperature

50. Daily sea surface water temperatures (Figure 30) were measured with an NOS water sampler and thermometer. Monthly mean temperatures (Table 17 and Figure 31) varied with the air temperatures (see Table 2).

Visibility

51. Visibility in coastal nearshore waters depends on the amount of salts, soluble organic material, detritus, living organisms, and inorganic





Figure 31. Monthly mean surface water temperatures

particles in the water. These dissolved and suspended materials change the absorption and attenuation characteristics of the water which vary daily and yearly.

52. Visibility was measured with a 0.3-m-diam secci disk and, similar to water temperature, variation was related to onshore and offshore winds. Onshore winds moved warm clear surface water toward shore, while offshore winds brought up colder bottom water with large concentrations of suspended matter. Figure 32 presents the daily surface visibility values for the year. Large variations were common, and visibility less than 1 m was expected in any month.

53. Monthly means are given in Table 17 and shown in Figure 33.

Density

54. Daily surface density values, shown in Figure 34, were measured with a hydrometer. Monthly means are given in Table 17 and plotted in Figure 35.







Figure 33. Monthly mean surface water visibility



PART VII: SURVEYS

55. Waves and currents interacting with bottom sediments produce changes in the beach and nearshore bathymetry. These changes can occur very rapidly in response to storms or slowly as a result of persistent but less forceful seasonal variations in wave and current conditions.

56. Nearshore bathymetry at the FRF is characterized by regular shoreparallel contours, a moderate slope, and a barred surf zone (usually an outer storm bar in water depths of about 4.5 m and an inner bar in water depths between 1.0 and 2.0 m). This pattern is interrupted in the immediate vicinity of the pier where a permanent trough runs under much of the pier, ending in a scour hole where depths can be up to 3.0 m greater than the adjacent bottom (Figure 36). This trough, which apparently is the result of the interaction of waves and currents with the pilings, varies in shape and depth with changing wave and current conditions. The pier's effect on shore-parallel contours occurs as far as 300 m away, and the shoreline may be affected up to 350 m from the pier (Miller, Birkemeier, and DeWall 1983).





Figure 36. Permanent trough under the FRF pier, 3 September 1986

57. To document the temporal and spatial variability in bathymetry, surveys were conducted approximately monthly of an area extending 600 m north and south of the pier and approximately 950 m offshore. Contour maps resulting from these surveys along with plots of change in elevation between surveys are given in Appendix A.

58. All surveys utilized the Coastal Research Amphibious Buggy (CRAB), a 10.7-m-tall amphibious tripod, and a Zeiss Elta-2 electronic surveying system described by Birkemeier and Mason (1984). The profile locations are shown on each figure in Appendix A. Survey accuracy was about ±3 cm horizontally and vertically. Monthly soundings along both sides of the FRF pier were collected by lowering a weighted measuring tape to the bottom and recording the distance below the pier deck. Soundings were taken midway between the pier pilings to minimize errors caused by scour near the pilings.

59. A history of bottom elevations below Gages 645 and 625 is presented in Figure 37 for their respective pier stations of sta 7+80 (238 m) and sta 19+00 (579 m) along with intermediate locations, 323 and 433 m.



Figure 37. Time-history of bottom elevations at selected locations under the FRF pier
PART VIII: PHOTOGRAPHY

Aerial Photographs

60. Aerial photography was taken quarterly using a 23-cm aerial mapping camera at a scale of 1:12,000. All coverage was at least 60 percent overlap, with flights flown as closely as possible to low tide between 1000 and 1400 EST with less than 10 percent cloud cover. The flight lines covered are shown in Figure 38. Figure 39 is a sample of the imagery obtained on 1 October 1986, and the available aerial photographs for the year are:

Date	Flight Lines	Format
9 Jan	2 3	Color B/W
19 Apr	2 3	Color B/W
18 Aug 25 Aug	1 2 3	B/W Color B/W
1 Oct	2 3	Color B/W

Beach Photographs

61. Daily color slides of the beach were taken using a 35mm camera from the same location on the pier looking north and south (Figure 40). The location from which each picture was taken, as well as the date, time, and a brief description of the picture, was marked on the slides.

69



Figure 38. Aerial photography flight lines



Figure 39. Sample aerial photograph, 10 October 1986



a. North



b. South

Figure 40. Sample photographs of the FRF beach, 12 August 1986

62. This section discusses the details of storms affecting the FRF during the year. As used here, "storms" are defined as times when the wave height parameter H_{mo} equaled or exceeded 2.0 m at the seaward end of the FRF pier. Sample spectra from Baylor Gage 625, located at the seaward end of the pier, are given in Appendix B (Volume II). Pre- and/or poststorm bathymetry diagrams are given in Appendix A. Detailed information on the track of each storm was taken from the NOAA Daily Weather Maps (US Department of Commerce 1986).

> a. <u>11 January 1986 (Figure 41)</u>. Developing in the Gulf of Mexico on 9 January, this weak storm tracked across central Florida, moved northeast into the Atlantic, and passed the FRF well offshore. Winds exceeded 14 m/sec (NNE), and the maximum H

(Gage 625) of 2.1 m was recorded at 0600 EST on 11 January. The lowest barometric pressure reading was 1016.2 mb at 2300 EST on 10 January. There was no precipitation.

- b. 23-25 January 1986 (Figure 42). This storm was the result of an arctic high-pressure system that moved across Canada on the 23rd. The storm center was positioned north of the Great Lakes on the 24th and over Maine on the 25th. Winds subsided as the storm moved east and offshore. Cold, northerly winds exceeded 16 m/sec, and wave heights exceeded 2.7 m (Gage 630).
- <u>c.</u> <u>25 February (Figure 43)</u>. Following the passage of a cold front early on 25 February, strong northerly winds (maximum speed of 14.40 m/sec at 0700 EST on the 25th) generated by a strong Canadian high-pressure system in conjunction with a weak storm well out in the Atlantic briefly produced waves exceeding 2 m. A maximum H (Gage 625) of 2.1 m was recorded at 1400 EST on the 25th.
- d. 7-8 March 1986 (Figure 44). Late on 7 March, a cold front associated with a strong storm centered over Maine in conjunction with a large high-pressure system over North Dakota passed off the North Carolina coast. Strong north winds behind the front generated large waves at the FRF. Winds exceeded 13 m/sec (NW), and the maximum H (Gage 625) of 2.5 m was recorded at 0200 EST on 8 March.
- e. <u>21-22 March 1986 (Figure 45)</u>. Strong NNE winds generated by a large midwestern high-pressure system began to buffet the FRF late on 20 March. Winds exceeded 15 m/sec (NNE), and the maximum H_{mo} (Gage 625) of 2.5 m was recorded at 0800 EST on 21 March. A total of 20 mm of precipitation was also recorded.
- f. 18-21 April 1986 (Figure 46). Developing over Chesapeake Bay early on 16 April, this storm slowly moved to the east reaching maximum strength on 19 April while located well offshore. Peak







sustained winds at the FRF approached 17 m/sec, and the maximum (Gage 625) of 3.2 m was recorded at 1700 EST on the 19th. H The lowest barometric pressure reading was 1004 mb at 1300 EST on the 16th. Total precipitation was 19 mm.

- 9-13 May 1986 (Figure 47). Developing well out in the north g. Atlantic on 8 May, this storm, in combination with a highpressure system in central Canada, began to affect the FRF on the same day. As a result of its very slow movement, longperiod storm waves (up to 16 sec) were recorded through 13 May, well after local winds had subsided. Winds approached 14 m/sec (NE), and the maximum H mo (Gage 625) of 3.1 m was recorded at 1800 EST on 10 May. The lowest barometric reading was 1008.9 mb at 0100 EST on 8 May. There was no precipitation.
- 17 August 1986 (Figure 48). A tropical depression located in h. the Gulf of Mexico on 12 August slowly tracked across the southeastern US and became stationary off the South Carolina coast early on 15 August. Slowly gaining strength, the low became Tropical Storm Charley early on 16 August with the eve remaining stationary off South Carolina. Reaching minimal hurricane strength early on 17 August, Hurricane Charley slowly turned north, gaining speed but not intensity as the day progressed. Charley's eye passed over the FRF between 1530 and 1700 EST that afternoon. Wave heights near the end of the pier (Gage 640) remained above 2 m for only 8 hr with heights dropping dramatically following the passage of the eye and the switching of the wind direction. Sustained easterly winds exceeded 24 m/sec with the highest gust reaching 33 m/sec at about 1500 EST. The maximum gust following the eye's passage was 24 m/sec from the WSW. The maximum H (Gage 640, 1 km

offshore) of 3.4 m (9.8 period) was recorded at 1600 EST. At Gage 630 (6 km offshore), the maximum H was 4.0 m. The

lowest barometric pressure reading was 988.5 mb at 1530 EST. Total precipitation was 81 mm.

10-12 October 1986 (Figure 49). Strong NE winds generated by a i. Canadian high-pressure system first affected the FRF early on 10 October following the passage of a cold front. Winds reached 15 m/sec (NE) and remained over 10 m/sec for 41 consecutive hr producing a storm surge of about 0.5 m. The maximum (Gage 640) of 3.3 m (period = 8.7 sec) was recorded on H

11 October at 0800 EST. Total precipitation was 11 mm.

18-19 October 1986 (Figure 50). Developing off Cape Hatteras, j. NC, early on 16 October, this weak storm travelled slowly up the East Coast and was located off New England early on 18 October. The weak storm, in conjunction with a strong highpressure system center over the Great Lakes, generated strong NNE winds at the FRF on 18 October. Winds peaked near 14 m/sec (NNE) at 1500 EST on 18 October with the maximum H_{mo}

(Gage 625) of 2.4 m (period = 9.7 sec) recorded on 19 October at 0400 EST. There was no precipitation.



Figure 47. Storm data for 8-15 May 1986



Figure 48. Storm data for 16-18 August 1986



<u>1-3 December 1986 (Figure 51).</u> Following the classic pattern for the development of a major northeaster, this storm was spawned in the Gulf of Mexico early on 28 November. By 1 December, the storm center had moved into the Atlantic Ocean off northern Florida. The blocking effects of a strong Canadian high-pressure system served to both slow the storm's movement up the East Coast and contribute to the onshore gale force winds which buffeted the FRF for a substantial period of time. By 2 December, the storm was still located south of the FRF. However, later in the day it accelerated and was centered over New England on 3 December. Onshore winds exceeded 18 m/sec (NE) at 1900 EST on 1 December but remained above 15 m/sec for 22 hr. The maximum H_{mo} (Gage 625) of 3.1 m

(9.8-sec period) was recorded at 0700 EST on 2 December. The lowest barometric reading was 1005.9 mb at 0100 EST on 3 December. Total precipitation was 21 mm.

 <u>24 December 1986 (Figure 52)</u>. This storm developed in the Gulf of Mexico. However, its northeasterly track took it well inland (west of the Appalachians) which substantially reduced its effect on the East Coast. Onshore winds approached 14 m/sec (SE) but were above 10 m/sec for only 3 hr. The maximum H₀ (Gage 625) of 2.7 m (10.3-sec period) was recorded at 1800 EST on 24 December. The lowest barometric reading was 1004.2 mb at 1900 EST on 24 December. Total precipitation was 18 mm.



REFERENCES

Birkemeier, W. A., and Mason, C. 1984. "The CRAB: A Unique Nearshore Surveying Vehicle," Journal of Surveying Engineering, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol 110, No. 1.

Field Research Facility. 1986 (Jan-Dec). "Preliminary Data Summary," Monthly Series, Coastal Engineering Research Center, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Grogg, W. E., Jr. 1986. "Calibration and Stability Characteristics of the Baylor Staff Wave Gage," Miscellaneous Paper CERC-86-7, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Harris, D. L. 1974. "Finite Spectrum Analyses of Wave Records," Proceedings, International Symposium on Ocean Wave Measurement and Analysis, New Orleans, LA, pp 207-224.

Miller, H. C. 1980. "Instrumentation at CERC's Field Research Facility, Duck, North Carolina," CERC Miscellaneous Report 80-8, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Miller, H. C., Birkemeier, W. A., and DeWall, A. E. 1983. "Effect of the CERC Research Pier on Nearshore Processes," <u>Coastal Structures '83</u>, American Society of Civil Engineers, Arlington, VA, pp 769-785.

Thompson, E. F. 1977. "Wave Climate at Selected Locations Along US Coasts," CERC Technical Report 77-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

US Department of Commerce. 1986. "Daily Weather Maps," Weekly Series, Washington, DC.

APPENDIX A: SURVEY DATA

1. Contour diagrams constructed from the bathymetric survey data are presented in this appendix. The profile lines surveyed are identified on each diagram. Contours are in half metres referenced to National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). The distance offshore is referenced to the Field Research Facility (FRF) monumentation baseline behind the dune.

2. Change in FRF bathymetry diagrams constructed by contouring the difference between two contour diagrams are also presented with contour intervals of 0.25 m. Wide contour lines show general areas of erosion. Other areas correspond to areas of accretion. Although these change diagrams are based on considerable interpolation of the original survey data, they do facilitate comparison of the contour diagrams.













DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS P.O. BOX 631 VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 35180-0631

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300 CEWES-IM-TS-T



DOCUMENTS LIBRARY/SMITH 206 37907/12/01 WOODS HOLE OCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTION WOODS HOLE MA 02543-1098