









THE APODIDÆ

INVERTEBRATE ZOOLOGY Crustacoa

S



A specimen of the Spitzbergen variety of Apus glacialis (\times ro), to show the general arrangement of the limbs and their gradual diminution from front to back. The white masses on each side near the brood pouches are partially extruded eggs.

THE APODIDÆ

SBYNATURE SERIES

A MORPHOLOGICAL STUDY

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

HENRY MEYNERS BERNARD M.A. CANTAB.

WITH SEVENTY-ONE ILLUSTRATIONS

London MACMILLAN AND CO. AND NEW YORK 1892

The Right of Translation and Reproduction is Reserved

RICHARD CLAY AND SONS, LIMITED, LONDON AND BUNGAY.

-

TO THE MEMORY OF

٠

DR. ALFRED WALTER

TOO SOON LOST TO SCIENCE

AND

TO THE MANY FRIENDS WHO LOVED

HIM AS A MAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

										PAGE
INTRODUCTION										xi

1572 10

PART I

	§ I.	Object and Line of Argument	I
	§ II.	The Outer Body Form	11
	§ III.	THE APPENDAGES	26
	§ IV.	THE MUSCULATURE	52
	§ V.	The Nervous System	67
	§ VI.	The Sensory Organs	84
	§ VΙΙ.	THE ALIMENTARY CANAL	112
S.	VIII.	The Circulatory System	117
	§ IX.	The Excretory and other Glands	124
	§Χ.	Reproduction	140
	§ XI.	Development-The Nauplius	148

a Germand & in

IT M. .

PART II

§ XII.	. Relation of Apus to the other Cr	RUS	TAC	EA		PAGE
Lu	MULUS		• •			173
§ XIII.	. The Trilobites				•	209
§ XIV.	THE EURYPTERIDÆ		• •			237
§ XV.	THE NEW CLASSIFICATION PROPOSED					252
§ XVI.	. Peripatus and the Tracheata					2 79
	APPENDICES					291
	LITERATURE					313

were a weather the sec

INTRODUCTION

AMONG the numerous interesting zoological specimens brought back by Professor Kükenthal from East Spitzbergen on his return from the Bremen Expedition (1889),¹ was a small species of Apus presumably Lepidurus glacialis (Kroyer). Professor Kükenthal very kindly handed these specimens to me for examination. By the kindness of the Rev. Canon Norman, I also received Greenland specimens of Lepidurus glacialis (Kroyer), and from Professor Leché, of Stockholm, specimens of the Lepidurus glacialis found by Professor Nathorst in West Spitzbergen ; Professor Möbius, the director of the Berlin Natural History Museum, generously sent me speci-

¹ Dr. Alfred Walter, to whom this book is dedicated, was Professor Kükenthal's companion during this expedition. He died shortly after his return.

mens of Apus cancriformis, and Professor Biedermann, of Jena, very kindly obtained for me specimens of Apus cancriformis, Lepidurus productus, and Branchipus stagnalis from Prague, especially preserved for histological purposes. An examination of the Spitzbergen specimen led to the conclusion that it was a small variety of Lepidurus glacialis, which I propose to call Lepidurus Spitzbergensis; the grounds for this determination are given fully in Appendix I.

In studying the anatomy of the Spitzbergen specimens, and in comparing it with that of the other members of the family kindly placed at my disposal by the gentlemen above named, I was gradually led to cast my notes into the form in which they are now published.

It has long been recognised that the Phyllopoda possess many markedly Annelidan characteristics, and that they are therefore, of all living Crustacea, nearest in affinity to the primitive Crustacean. In my study of the Apodidæ I was so much struck by the resemblance between the organisation of Apus and that of a carnivorous Annelid, that I finally decided to work entirely along this line. I resolved, by a closer study of each organ and system of organs, to find out as far as possible whether this resemblance was a case of homology or analogy, and, if the former, to endeavour to trace the causes which led to the transformation of the carnivorous Annelid into the Crustacean.

Shrewd conjectures have been made as to the possible derivation of the Crustacea from Annelids, but I am not aware that this point has ever before been worked out in detail, and I should hardly have ventured to undertake such a task had not my study of Apus forced it upon me.

My original intention ¹ of preparing a comparative anatomy of the Apodidæ thus gave way before the more ambitious attempt to use Apus as a key to solve the hitherto unsolved problems as to the origin of the Crustacea, and the true affinities between the various groups.

This resolution, however, was not formed at once. The book is written in the order in which the subject was worked out.

The first part, which deduces Apus from a carnivorous Annelid, was all I at first intended to publish. Having never made a special study of Limulus nor

¹ Announced in a letter to *Nature*, reprinted in Appendix V.

of the Trilobites, I hesitated to discuss their relation to Apus, and my knowledge of the Crustacea was not sufficient to justify my attempting to form a genealogical tree of the whole class. I intended to content myself with an endeavour to show that in the Apodidæ the process and method of the transformation of carnivorous Annelids into Crustacea was still visible in almost every organ and system of organs. The unavoidable conclusion from this would be, that Apus must be—for some groups at least the original form.

Here I thought to leave the matter to be followed up by zoologists whose knowledge and experience of the special groups were greater than my own. My curiosity, however, was too great, and after the first part of this manuscript was practically in its present form, I decided to see, by a study of Limulus and the Trilobites, whether Apus was to be looked upon as the original form of the modern Crustacea only, or whether it could pass for the original of the whole class, including these archaic forms. This investigation led to the writing of the second part, which was thus an afterthought—an afterthought which, however, gives to the book whatever value it possesses. The attempted proof in the first part that Apus is an original Crustacean easily derivable from an Annelid, however interesting in itself, must have remained little more than a curious morphological study. The appeal made in the second part to palæozoic Crustacea must, however, be decisive as to whether our claims for Apus as one of the original forms can be definitely established.

In commencing Part II., it was no small encouragement to find that most of the earlier zoologists, as if by instinct, classed Apus with the Xiphosuridæ and the Trilobites. This provisional classification had not, however, held its own, and it was necessary to examine the reasons why it had not done so, and to see if it was not after all justified by the facts. My investigations led me to the conclusion that if Apus is deducible from a carnivorous Annelid in the manner described in the first part, there is no possible escape from accepting a similar derivation for the Gigantostraca, as Haeckel has called these ancient forms. I found that, strange as it may at first seem, the very differences between Apus and these ancient Crustaceans yielded almost more striking proofs of their having had the same origin and of their close

relationship, than did the many resemblances which have been long recognised as existing between them. The second part thus proves what the first part only rendered probable.

In such an investigation as this a writer is always open to the charge of having interpreted the facts as he wished to interpret them. I cannot of course denythat the speculation was of such absorbing interest that I was not indifferent to the conclusion, and that I therefore naturally seized upon the facts most favourable for the establishment of my argument; but at the same time I am not conscious of having ignored difficulties. If, nevertheless, I have unconsciously distorted the facts in order to establish my conclusions, I comfort myself by the reflection that those conclusions are of such great zoological importance that they cannot long pass unchallenged.

I may perhaps mention the fact that whereas in the first part I have relied almost entirely upon my own researches into the anatomy of the Apodidæ and of the carnivorous Annelids, in the second part I have had to draw many of the facts used in the arguments from the works of others.

My sincere thanks are due to Professor Ernst

Haeckel, in whose laboratory the researches on which the following essay is based were carried out, for the friendly interest he took in them ; and also to Professor Kükenthal for his cordial sympathy and encouragement to proceed in a speculation which claims to solve so intricate a problem as the origin of the Crustacea.

H. M. B.

Streatham, 1892.

PART I

THE APODIDÆ

PART I

SECTION I

OBJECT AND LINE OF ARGUMENT

THE Apodidæ have been known and studied for the last one hundred and fifty years. They have always attracted considerable attention, not only on account of their great size in comparison with other fresh-water Entomostraca, but also on account of their strange and sudden appearance in pools and ditches which owe their water entirely to the rainfall. This also is not all: their morphology has been a perpetual puzzle to zoologists, and they have been classed by some with archaic forms such as the Trilobites and Limulus, while by others they have been considered as highly specialised recent forms.

This essay claims by a new explanation of the morphology of the Crustacea, to set this latter point

r j E

В

at rest, and to show that Apus must not only be ranked by the side of the Trilobites as one of the primitive Crustacean forms, but that it is itself a true link between the living Crustacea and the Annelida. By careful examination of the organisation of Apus, and a comparison of it with that of a carnivorous Annelid, it is possible to show, as will be done in the following pages, that Apus is perhaps the most perfect "missing link" which zoology so far possesses, perfect, not only because its morphology is easily deducible from that of a carnivorous Annelid, but also because the mechanical causes of the transformation are apparent. The Apodidæ will in fact be found to afford us the first complete illustration of the rise of one large animal class out of another by the simple and natural adaptation on the part of one single species of the latter to a new manner of life. Close investigation shows the Apodidæ to be both morphologically and biologically an almost ideal transition form.

More or less satisfactory transition forms between most of the great animal classes are now known, but none has till now been discovered between the Annelida and the Crustacea. The object of this book is to satisfy this want, not by the discovery of a new animal, but by a new explanation of one long known and often described.

The established transition forms between the other classes of the animal kingdom still leave much to be desired. Between the Protozoa and Metazoa the transition forms are either claimed by botanists, or else, however probable, are somewhat hypothetical. Between the Cœlenterata and the Platodes we have rival links. When reading the arguments in favour of the claims of those specialised Ctenophora, the Cœloplana and Ctenoplana, we feel convinced ; but, on the other hand, when we study for ourselves under the microscope such a simple Rhabdocœle as Microstomum lineare, especially during its changes of shape when moving about under a cover glass, our former conviction fades away, and we see in it a specialised larval form of a Cœlenterate. Between the Platodes and the Annelids the gap seems small, but we cannot bridge it over until we decide whether the segmentation of the Annelids is a kind of axial strobilation, or the natural mechanical selection of internal symmetry. Between the Annelids and the Molluscs we have the claims of Solenogaster to attend to; but this animal is unfortunately so rare, that it will be long before we can hope to have any very thorough knowledge of its morphology. Between the Annelids and the Tracheata we have Peripatus; this highly interesting animal, has a special claim on our attention, as the Tracheata form with the Crustacea the great class known as the Arthropoda. We shall find that our explanation of the rise of the Crustacea supplies us also with a very probable clue as to the origin of the Tracheata. The Echinodermata and the Tunicata hover almost entirely in the air. And, lastly, we have the giant trunk of the Vertebrata, the roots of which are being eagerly sought in different directions. The claims of Amphioxus and of the Ascidian larva are confidently put forward by the

B 2

majority of our leading zoologists, but there are difficulties not yet explained which make many restless, and lead them to search in other directions.

In this state of affairs it will be a clear gain and encouragement if we can connect the Annelida and the Crustacea in the way described in these pages, in which we show how a typical carnivorous Annelid (presumably a Nereid, though probably not so specialised as any modern member of that family) can, by a simple and natural adaptation to a new manner of life, be established as the ground type of Apus. We mean a great deal by this expression "ground type," much more than any mere general resemblance of organisation; we mean that every single organ of Apus, where it does not resemble that of its Annelid ancestor, is capable of being deduced from some organ in the latter, and, further, that the causes of the transformation are not far to seek. These are large claims; the following pages will show whether they are justifiable.

Before entering into the morphological and anatomical details upon which our deduction of the Apodidæ from a carnivorous Annelid is based, it will make the task of the reader lighter if we here set out the line of argument.

Many carnivorous Annelids have, as is well known, a protrusible pharynx, armed with teeth, which is shot out for the seizing of prey. We assume that the Annelid from which Apus is derived, adopted a habit of browsing, which rendered this protrusible pharynx unnecessary, so that it degenerated. The Annelids afford us such a wonderful variety of forms adapted to almost every possible manner of life, that this assumption presents no difficulty. Cambrian and Silurian formations have revealed to the palæontologist abundant evidence that early Chætopods crawled about along the bottom of the seas of those times. That one of these should become specialised for feeding in the manner supposed, is not too much to ask.

The use of the pharynx just described is, as far as we can see, a clumsy method of obtaining food. The loss of it, and the adoption of a browsing method of feeding, might well be a gain. The further development of this habit would lead to a bending round of the head sufficient to enable the animal to use its anterior parapodia for pushing prey into its mouth. In time the bend of the head would become fixed, and the parapodia modified as jaws and maxillæ. The parapodia of at least a certain number of anterior trunk segments would certainly also serve to rake food together into the middle line and forward it towards the mouth. From this very simple and natural modification of a Chætopodan Annelid, we believe that all the Crustacea, living or extinct, can be deduced. To establish this, is the object of this little book, which we have called "The Apodidæ," since it was during our study of these Phyllopods that we first caught sight of the Annelid, so effectually disguised under its Crustacean dress. Although this disguise is so complete as to have eluded all former research, yet when once understood, it is found to be very superficial. We shall be able to show, in the following pages, that the Apodidæ agree in almost every detail of their organisation with such an Annelid, and that any disagreement is chiefly due to further specialisation in adaptation to the new manner of life described.

Commencing with the head, we shall show how the morphology of the typical Crustacean head is easily explained by the bending round of the five anterior segments of such an Annelid for the purpose of browsing.

The trunk of Apus will be shown to be a true link between the many-segmented Annelids, and the Crustacea with their small and almost constant number of segments. The rise of the shield will be briefly mentioned, a fuller account of it being reserved till we compare Apus with the Trilobites.

The gradual transformation of the Annelidan cuticle into the exoskeleton of the Crustacea, to which many of the changes in the inner organisation of the latter are to be referred, will be found well illustrated by the Apodidæ.

The Annelidan parapodia (with their dorsal and ventral branches) will be shown to be capable of developing every form of Crustacean limb, the reasons for the suppression of one part and the development of another being generally fairly evident, Apus again supplying the clue.

Coming to the inner organisation, we shall take in turn, the musculature, the nervous system, the sensory organs, the alimentary canal, the circulatory system, the excretory and other glands, and, lastly, the reproductive organs. We shall either point out the resemblances in each case between these organs and those of our Annelid, or else show how they can be deduced from Annelidan organs. It will be found that while some of the modifications of Annelidan into Crustacean organs are easy to follow, the explanation of others has to be sought, and may thus appear to be, in some cases, far-fetched.

And here we must remind our readers that it is enough for our argument if we can show that such a deduction is *possible*. It is not essential to our theory that we should show exactly *how* the inner transformations actually took place. Our explanations may themselves be incorrect, but the validity of our argument can only be seriously weakened by showing that a set of organs in Apus could not possibly have been derived from any organs in the Annelida; or that the improbability of such a transformation is so great that no experienced morphologist would accept it.

We shall conclude the first part of this essay by an appeal to the Nauplius, to see whether it bears out our theory that Apus is the original form of the majority of the modern Crustacea; or, in other words, whether Apus can itself claim to be the proto-Nauplius of zoologists. We shall endeavour to describe the exact morphology of the Nauplius considered as the Apus larva or the Apus-stage in the development of the other Crustacea.

This will conclude Part I., which we hope will have shown that, so far as such claims can be based purely upon morphological, anatomical, and biological reasoning, the Apodidæ deserve to take the place we assign them as an almost ideal transition form between the Annelida and the Crustacea. Here, as stated in the Preface, we thought to leave the matter as an interesting suggestion. Fortunately, however, we have the means of testing the accuracy of our conclusions..

Admitting, on the one hand, that the confirmatory evidence as to the truth of our theory given by the Nauplius need by no means be conclusive, we maintain, on the other hand, that the answer which we receive to our appeal to palæontology and to such archaic living forms as Limulus must be decisive. Thus we enter upon the second part of our essay in order to obtain a final "yea" or "nay" as to whether our theory is, as a whole, but a morphological *tour de force*, or a fairly close guess at the truth.

We commence with Limulus, and show that if Apus is to be derived from an Annelid with the first five segments bent round ventrally, Limulus must have had a similar origin.

In the second section we venture into the dangerous realm of the Trilobites. The mystery which surrounds these primitive Crustacea is so great, that every announcement of a new discovery bearing upon their morphology meets with more or less scepticism. Nevertheless, we believe that we can prove that our derivation of Apus from a bent Annelid reveals the Trilobites also in their true light, as so many attempts of browsing Crustacean-Annelids to adapt themselves to their surroundings—attempts which, in the long run, proved unsuccessful, for reasons which we shall try to point out.

After briefly discussing the Eurypteridæ, we shall give an outline sketch of a new classification of the Crustacea based upon our theory, showing that while only one group of modern Crustacea admits of derivation from the Trilobites, all the rest, except Limulus, can be deduced from the Apodidæ. We shall see reasons for believing that it was the development of the shield, either as bivalve shell, or as a large fold of the tergum of the fifth segment, which led to success in the struggle for existence.

We should here say something as to the preservation of the Apodidae through so many geological ages. This is explained by the manner of life of the animals. They usually appear in ditches and pools dependent on the rainfall. In such waters they naturally come little into competition with other animals. The dry seasons are bridged over by the eggs being preserved in the mud. In this strange but perfectly natural way, Apus has, from the earliest times, been so completely isolated that its preservation presents no difficulty. Its presence in every part of the globe, with almost always the same manner of life, is a sign of its great antiquity.

The fact that no true fossil Apodidæ are found, among the rich yield of Crustacean remains of the Silurian strata, admits of simple explanation. We say no *true* Apodidæ, for we shall find that such forms as Hymenocaris and Ceratiocaris, though perhaps somewhat more specialised, were probably very closely related to the Apodidæ. Both these points will be discussed more in detail in Part II.

Finally, in a short concluding section we shall show that the method of differentiation which turned the Annelid into the Crustacean throws a flood of light on the origin of the Tracheata, and on some of the morphological differences which separate these two divisions of the Arthropoda.

Several new points in the anatomy of Apus will be described and illustrated. Where these do not bear directly upon the subject, they will be given in full in an appendix, so as not to interfere with the course of the argument.

SECTION II

THE OUTER BODY FORM

THE HEAD

THE comparative anatomy of the Crustacea has long ago established the fact that the Crustacean head must originally have been composed of five fused segments of an annulate body. Our derivation of Apus from a browsing Annelid explains the method of this fusion, that it did not take place along the longitudinal axis of the body, but by a doubling of this number of segments upon themselves. This term "doubling" or "doublature" has already been applied to the under sides of the forehead of such animals as Apus, Limulus, and the Trilobites, but apparently meaning nothing more than the doubling of the forehead, which has both a dorsal and a ventral surface. In reality, however, this "doubling" is the true description of the Crustacean head as shown in Figs. I and 2; these should be further compared with Fig. 46, p. 212, which represents a longitudinal section of a Trilobite, where the doubling is very clear.

Owing to the bending on itself of the cylindrical Annelidan body, the original head must have been anteriorly almost completely hemispherical (Figs. 1 and 46). This form of the original Crustacean-Annelid head



FIG. 1.—Diagram showing the first six segments of a carnivorous Annelid; the first five being bent round; p, the prostonium with two pairs of eyes and a median cirrus. \mathbf{r}_1 the st segment carrying a pair of antenne, its under edge projecting backwards as the lower lip $\langle J \rangle$. \mathbf{r}_2 the end segment with a pair of antennal parapodia, \mathbf{r}_3 , the system with rulimentary dorsal parapodia, the ventral parapodia developing into mandibles. 4, the 4th segment with a pair of maxillax, the dorsal parapodium slightly less degenerated. 5, the 5th and last head segment (star for esgment) with large dorsal parapodium carrying gill (g) and sensory cirrus (c).

was, however, very clearly modified. In most Trilobites traces of it are still visible in the glabella (Fig. 47, p. 213). In Apus, the disguise is very complete, the whole head
being broad and flat. This form is due to a ridge running round the anterior surface as prolongation of the lateral edges of the shell fold. This ridge is of considerable interest, as it appears in almost every Trilobite. We shall later find reason to believe that it was a



FIG. 2.—Diagram of head and first trunk segment of Apus, for comparison with Fig. 1—the lettering the same. In addition : s, shell gland (the acicular gland of Fig. 1 drawn into the shell fold). The distances between the limbs are much exaggerated in order to show their forms more clearly.

primary differentiation of the new "head," *i.e.* the new Crustacean head, composed of five Annelidan segments. Its origin and modifications will be discussed in another place. This form of head is no doubt useful for swimming and perhaps for burrowing in the mud.

Excepting in the number of limbs, all external traces of its having been composed of five segments are obliterated. Internally, however, there are abundant indications of its origin from the bending of the Annelidan segments.

The mouth lies ventrally and faces posteriorly, a fact which, taken in connection with the sharp bend in the œsophagus, to be described later, is enough of itself to suggest the original doubling of the segments. This ventral position of the mouth is an important characteristic of the whole class of the Crustacea, which has not received the attention it deserves.

Projecting ventrally and posteriorly over the mouth is a large upper lip, corresponding with the prostomium of the Annelid ancestor. This upper lip is thus a primitive feature among the Crustacea; it occurs in a more or less pronounced form in most Nauplii, and persisted as a very highly developed organ in the Trilobites, but in the modern Crustacea it is generally more or less rudimentary

The under edge of the Annelidan mouth would also naturally project backwards as a sharp fold (see Fig. 1, l). Such a fold in Apus, however, would form an obstacle to the pushing of food forward towards and into the mouth by means of the maxillæ and ventral parapodia of the anterior trunk segments; hence we find it modified into two lateral projections, the middle part of the fold being merely indicated by a low ridge, which is not sufficient to form a barrier across the ventral surface. These two projections have been handed on to the higher Crustacea as the paragnatha. SECT. II

In the larva of Euphausia they develop early as two limb-like projections posterior to the mandibles, and strongly resemble those of Apus.

In Apus, only the mandibles work between the labrum on the one hand and the under lip on the other. In Limulus, however, where the under lip also consists of two projections, the mouth is so stretched in the longitudinal direction that the masticatory ridges of *five* pairs of limbs work as jaws between them and the labrum. That these labial projections in Apus and Limulus are really homologous with one another, and with the under lip of our original Annelid, will be seen to follow as a necessary consequence of our explanation of their morphology.¹

The shield is of great size, and stretches back (as a fold of the fifth segment) over the greater part of the body. Laterally it covers and protects the limbs. Posteriorly, it is armed with thorns, and has a keel along the dorsal middle line due no doubt to the central thorn which it supports. The carrying of these thorns, which are now so slightly developed, may have originally been the chief function of the shield in its early stages ; Fig. 48, page 215, in connection with which the origin of the shield will be discussed more in detail, illustrates what we imagine to have been the first step in the formation of the dorsal shield. This function has, however, long given place to that of protective covering of the whole dorsal surface and (laterally) of the gills. The lateral edges of the shield are prolonged into the ridge which, run-

¹ Cf. pp. 39, 40, and 194, also Fig. 43, p. 188.

15

ning round the front of the head, makes the latter broad and flat, obliterates all external marks of segmentation, and effectually disguises its origin out of five Annelidan segments.

The coils of the shell glands form one of the most notable marks of the shield (see Frontispiece). Their origin, position, and structure will be discussed in the section on the excretory and other glands.

THE BODY PROPER.

On removing the shield we find a long vermiform annulate body, Fig. 3. In the anterior part of the trunk region the rings correspond in number with the limbs or parapodia; as we approach the posterior region, however, the limbs are much more numerous than the rings. We find two, three, four, or as many as six rudimentary limbs on one ring. The last five rings have no limbs at all. This whole phenomenon, which has hitherto puzzled morphologists, may be explained as follows.

The great length of the original Annelid being of no use to the Crustacean-Annelid, the hinder part of the body remains in the latter at an undeveloped or larval stage. The rule in the development of Annelid larvæ is that the successive segments form in front of the anal segment, and differentiate from before backward, those furthest from the anal segment being the most developed. In Apus, we find in front of the anal segment five segments with no limbs developed, with no ventral ganglia, and with no organs except the most necessary, viz., the intestinal tube and the musculature. Then follows a row of rudimentary segments, each with a minute pair of limbs and a pair of ganglia, which increase in size and development from behind forward. The rudimentary segments which have become fixed in the adult Apus do



FIG. 3.—Lepidurus Spitzbergensis, from nature. The left half of the shield removed to show the vermiform body. The first 14 trunk segments carry a pair of limbs, each, the following 10 "rings" carry between them ca. 28 rudimentary limbs, and therefore correspond to 28 segments. The last 5 trunk segments (excluding the anal segment) are limbles. $\delta \rho$, brood pouch formed by the 17th pair of trunk limbs.

not correspond with the rings of the body; only gradually as they recede from the limbless segments, and thus are more fully developed, do the segments correspond with the rings. The fusing of several rudimentary segments to form one body ring, *i.e.* a muscular segment, presents no difficulty. The Myriapoda afford us several examples of the fusing of true segments to form body rings.

The study of the development of Apus has shown

SECT. II

that it grows directly out of the Nauplius by the gradual differentiation of new segments in front of the anal segment, with no metamorphosis worth mentioning. This fact has led to its being compared with an overgrown Nauplius. According to our view, indeed, the Nauplius is only the young Apus, or Apus-stage in other Crustaceans. This steady development of Apus from its larva, as an Annelid develops from the Trochophora, falls in with our explanation of its morphology. Apus, however, differs from its Annelid ancestors in that it reaches its adult shape before its inherited number of segments are fully developed. This fixation of the hinder part of the body at a larval stage can be easily accounted for by the process of natural selection, compactness being a decided advantage to an active free-swimming animal.

The great number of segments, developed and rudimentary, in the Apodidæ is a matter of considerable importance in estimating their true position. In all the other specialised Crustacean groups the number of segments is constant, *i.e.* constant for each group. In deriving such animals with a small but constant number of segments from Annelids with a large and varying number of segments, the ideal transition form would be an animal with a medium number of segments, which is not quite constant and is visibly diminishing. Both these points are specially clear in the Apodidæ. We find that all descriptions of Apus cancriformis give a varying number of limbs, which can hardly be due merely to the difficulty of counting them, but more probably to the fact that the number of rudimentary limbs actually does vary. And even if it should be proved that the same species always possesses the same number of segments developed and rudimentary, the different species of Apus and Lepidurus are marked by decided differences in the number of segments. Whereas the more specialised Crustacea (the Malacostraca) have either the constant number of twenty or twenty-one segments, the number in the Apodidæ varies between thirty-five and sixty-five. In the Entomostraca the number varies, but never reaches even the lowest number in the Apodidæ. That the number in the Apodidæ is visibly decreasing follows from our explanation of their morphology. The fact that the posterior segments remain fixed, in a larval and undeveloped condition, shows that they are gradually being dispensed with. On this ground alone, then, the Apodidæ deserve to occupy the place, half way between the Annelids and the Crustacea, which we claim for them.

Many of the segments, as already seen, are so rudimentary as to be useless, *i.e.* as movable segments, so that three, four, or even six combine to form one body ring. In the Trilobites we shall find that the posterior rudimentary segments, which were for the same reason immovable upon one another, form together, in many genera at least, a solid tail plate, the pygidium (*cf.* Fig. 49, p. 220).

It is a characteristic of the Crustacea that no limbs develop on the anal segment. In the Apodidæ, this segment is already fully developed; they are the

C 2

segments anterior to it which are rudimentary, and which disappear in the development of the higher Crustacea.

The anal segment is provided with two long cercopoda or cirri, projecting posteriorly and slightly ventrally, and two rudiments, probably of similar appendages, on the posterior dorsal surface of the segment. These four together correspond with the four anal cirri found in some carnivorous Annelids (*cf.* pp. 85 and 274). The two cirri are stiffened for the greater part of their length by a thickened cuticle covered with setæ, and showing slight rings of thinner skin. The tips of the cirri are quite thin-skinned, and seem to function as tactile papillæ.

The posterior dorsal surface of the anal segment is sometimes prolonged into a variously shaped caudal plate or lamella,¹ which we shall find to be the homologue of the caudal spine of the Xiphosuridæ.

THE CUTICLE AND EXOSKELETON.

The generally thin and flexible Annelidan cuticle of the Apodidæ shows local thickenings which may well be recognised as the commencement of the Crustacean exoskeleton. A closer study of these reveals to us the principles of the original formation

¹ Apodidæ having this characteristic have been classed by Leach as a separate genus, *Lepidurus*. But Dr. Alfred Walter, to whose memory this essay is dedicated, discovered a form in a desert well in Transcaspian Russia, *Apus Hæckelii* (Walter), which makes it doubtful whether this division can be sustained. (*Bulletin de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou*, 1887.)

SECT. II

of such an exoskeleton. Perhaps the best way to make the subject clear is to discuss and illustrate these principles.

(1) First and chiefly, the cuticle is thickened for the protection of exposed parts. We find the cuticle of the dorsal surface of the head, which, on the bending round of the anterior segments, was left entirely unprotected, and of the upper surface of the shield, considerably thickened; also that of the exposed segments, *i.e.* of those segments which are not covered by the dorsal shield. Underneath the shield, on the contrary, the skin of the body is very thin and flexible, though towards the posterior edge of the shield it begins to thicken. We find the same principle in Limulus and the Trilobites, where, under the protection of the thick shield, the cuticle of the ventral and lateral parts remained soft and flexible.

We also find certain parts of the body thickened for protection against other parts. Thus the outer edges of the under lips are thickened for protection against the working of the powerful jaws and of the first maxillæ, between which two pairs of limbs they are placed.

(2) We find local thickenings to counteract the pulls of the muscles, and this in two ways. (a.) There are thickened areas such as the ventral and lateral parts of the mandibles, to resist the almost rectangular pulls of the mandibular muscles. In the higher Crustacea such thickenings of the cuticle go hand in hand with the concentration and physiological perfection of the muscle bands, which, instead of being attached to large irregular areas of a soft cuticle, as in the Annelids and in the trunk segments of Apus, are attached to definite firm points. (b.) There are thickened strips to resist the longitudinal pulls of muscles, as along the shafts of the limbs, where, but for such



FIG. 4.—Anterior (concave) aspect of a trunk limb of a large specimen of Apus cancriformis. The shading shows the commencement of the thickening of the cuticle, the white parts being thin and transparent. The musculature at the base of the limb is slightly indicated. Lettering the same as in Fig. 6, p. 32.

bands, the cuticle of the limb would be drawn into folds (Fig. 4).

(3) There are thickenings for the formation of claws and teeth at the tips or edges of limbs, and of thorns on exposed angles, edges, or surfaces, as, for instance, round the posterior segments (see frontispiece).

(4) There are thickenings for the formation of rudimentary articulations, especially of the limbs upon the body and of the joints of the limbs on each other. Figs. 4 and 5 give two views of one and the same leg of a large specimen of Apus cancriformis. In these



FIG. 5.—Posterior (convex) aspect of the same leg, the skin being nearly all thin and semi-transparent, but showing rudimentary hinges. A few muscles are indicated. Lettering the same as in Fig. 6. On the endites are seen the denticulate setze referred to on p. 46.

the thickenings forming rudimentary hinges are very instructive, the rest of the cuticle of the leg, with the exception of the thickened strips and areas for the counteraction and attachment of muscles, being thin and flexible. It would be an interesting mechanical problem to try to discover why the bent concave side of the limb should develop most strongly the exoskeleton, and the convex side the hinges.

We thus find in Apus the Annelidan cuticle changing into the exoskeleton of the Crustacea; the principles of the change being for the most part easily deciphered.

The importance of this gradual thickening of the cuticle for the whole organisation can hardly be overestimated. As one of the special characteristics of the Crustacea, useful at all stages, it is naturally very carly developed, the youngest larva having a cuticle too thick to allow of gradual regular growth. This leads to the habit of moulting, which was doubtless very gradually acquired. The earliest thickenings probably peeled off separately in flakes, as the areas which they covered increased in size. Such halfloosened flakes in all parts of the body would, however, materially hinder the animal in the struggle for existence, and natural selection would soon bring about a shortening of the process, those animals being most successful who were, during life, least encumbered by loosening flakes, *i.e.* who threw them off altogether.

But still more important consequences of the stiff cuticle are to be traced in the *inner* organisation. Some of the greatest differences between the anatomy of Apus and that of an Annelid can be traced directly to its development.

The hairs with which the cuticle is covered will be described in the section on the sensory organs.

We thus find in their outer organisation that the

24

SECT. II THE OUTER BODY FORM

Apodidæ are but slightly modified Annelids, the widening of the head being due simply to a fold of the skin; and the cuticle being for the most part thin like that of the Annelids, showing, however, localised thickenings in which we can recognise the commencement of the Crustacean exoskeleton.

The hinder part of the body—the trunk—has long been recognised as worm-like, but we here see that the front or head part is also essentially Annelidan, especially in its possession of a prostomium or upper lip. The head of Apus differs from that of our Annelid only in the development of the shield and of the ridge-like fold which gives the head its great breadth.

The Annelid character of the Apodidæ, thus visible in the form of the body (*i.e.* of both head and trunk), will be even more clearly seen when we come to consider the appendages.

SECTION III

THE APPENDAGES

THE appendages of the Apodidæ have been much discussed, and many attempts have been made to homologise them with the limbs of other Crustacea. From our point of view, we must look to the parapodia of our bent Annelid for the true understanding of these limbs. It is, indeed, generally acknowledged that the Crustacean limb arose from the Annelidan parapodium. But the way this took place has not been worked out. The limbs of Apus, however, supply us with a clue. From these Phyllopodan limbs we can work both backwards to the Annelidan parapodium and forwards to the typical Crustacean biramose limb.

Continuing the detailed comparison of Apus with a bent Annelid, already begun in the first section, we have now to show that it is possible to deduce the limbs of the former from the parapodia of the latter, and that the modifications which transformed the one

SECT. III

into the other are due to adaptation to the browsing manner of life.

Our deduction of Apus from an adult carnivorous Annelid, which gradually adopted the habit of bending round its head, and of using its parapodia for capturing its prey and pushing it into its mouth, gives us at once the general direction along which we should expect modification to take place. First of all we should expect the parapodia along the whole length of the body to be bent round towards the ventral middle line. Further, the dorsal and ventral branches of these parapodia would be somewhat differently developed in adaptation to the various needs of the new manner of life. The ventral parapodia on the three posterior head segments would be differentiated into jaws and maxillæ, while on the anterior trunk segments they would serve to rake food into the middle line and forward it towards the mouth. The uses to which the dorsal parapodia could be put are not so apparent. Since, however, the habit of browsing necessitates a certain amount of locomotion, we may safely conclude that they would be utilised for this purpose. The original Annelid in its Annelidan days no doubt moved in the typical manner of Annelids by the alternate extension and contraction of the body. As, however, the body of our Crustacean Annelid shortened and began to develop an exoskeleton, some other method of locomotion would become necessary. The dorsal parapodia would thus naturally be brought into requisition. The same efforts which brought the ventral parapodia round

towards the ventral middle line might be expected to bring the dorsal parapodia as well, at least far enough round to allow them to assist in locomotion. There is, further, no need to limit the functions of the dorsal parapodia simply to locomotion,—they may at the same time assist in capturing food. Starting from the assumption that it was the habit of browsing which first led to the transformation of the Annelid into the Crustacean, the above is, in outline, the way in which we should expect the Annelidan parapodia to be gradually developed into Crustacean limbs.

It is not possible in this place to bring forward at once all the arguments which, we think, show that this sketch of the rise of the Crustacean limbs out of Annelidan parapodia is a fairly correct account of what actually took place. One reason is, however, here in place while discussing the limbs as a whole. It is only in such primitive Crustacean forms as the Apodidæ and the Trilobites that we find the ventral parapodia retained and functioning as jaws along the greater part of the body, as we assumed for our original Crustacean-Annelid; the dorsal parapodia of the same segments functioning, in the Trilobites, purely as locomotory organs, in Apus both for locomotion and for capturing food. In the higher Crustacea we find a pronounced division of labour, viz., the perfection of the ventral parapodia round the mouth for mastication, and of the dorsal parapodia in the rest of the body, either anteriorly for seizing food, like the chelate limbs of the Decapoda, or posteriorly for locomotion, like the ambulatory legs

and swimmerets of the same animals, the ventral

parapodia on these limbs disappearing entirely. We shall further find that this division of labour in the modern Crustacea was not discovered by Nature all at once. Many different combinations of the ventral parapodia as jaws, with dorsal parapodia as auxiliary appendages, held their own for long periods. In the long run, however, the typical Crustacean formula for mandibles and maxillæ has, except in Limulus and in the Ostracods, which have different masticatory formulæ, alone survived. These efforts of Nature to select the best arrangement of ventral and dorsal parapodia for the transformation of a carnivorous Annelid into an armoured Crustacean will be found tabulated in Part II. p. 250.

Before describing the limbs of Apus in detail, we must call attention to several important points, which tend to support their claim to have originated from Annelidan parapodia in the way described.

(1) The limbs of Apus are little more than highly developed integumental folds with only rudiments of articulations, either between the different joints of the shaft and its appendages, or between the shaft and the body (Figs. 4 and 5). This absence of developed articulations has already been pointed out by Lankester and others, but its true significance does not seem to have been noticed. It is true that in many small thin-skinned typical Crustaceans the articulations seem to be slightly developed, but in these the whole exoskeleton has been reduced; this does not affect the significance of their absence in Apus, where we find many thickenings of the cuticle which we regard as an exoskeleton—not in the act of disappearing—but in that of appearing for the first time.

The fact that the limbs are little more than folds of the integument, like Annelidan parapodia, is fully borne out by the examination of their musculature, which will be described in detail later. (Sect. IV.)

(2) The course of a line traced through the bases of the limbs of Apus agrees well with that of a similar line drawn through the parapodia of our imaginary bent Crustacean-Annelid. Commencing at the anterior antennæ (see Figs. 1 and 2) at the side of the prostomium or upper lip, this line passes in both cases vertically upwards and (for reasons to be given later, see p. 212) slightly outwards; passing through the 2nd antennæ, it bends round to run backwards, trending, however, gradually towards the ventral surface. The close agreement between the courses of these two lines is, morphologically, a fact of great significance. The more ventral trend of the line in the posterior end of Apus was to be expected as a necessary adaptation to the Crustacean manner of life, i.e., to the use of the appendages as limbs whose functions were primitively all directed towards the middle line. The position of the antennæ is especially interesting. In the Annelid, these antennæ were originally metastomial, but have become prostomial by the bending of the segments on one another. The assumption of the rise of the Crustacean head from

five bent segments thus offers a clear solution of the difficult morphological problem involved in the prostomial position of these appendages.

(3) As to the great number of the limbs, reminding us of the row of parapodia on each side of the Polychætan Annelids, we need only refer to what was said in the previous section as to the great number of the segments (pp. 16-18).

(4) The marked difference between the head- and the trunk-limbs will be presently discussed in detail, and the differences shown to be exactly what our theory demands. In the meantime we find a gradual change in the trunk limbs as we go from front to back. Though the Phyllopodan type is preserved throughout, the anterior limbs (except the first, which is specialised) are highly developed seizing limbs, the posterior are simplified as rowing plates. There can be no doubt that the more rudimentary limbs, though necessarily repeating the type of those previously developed in front of them, partake more of the character of an integumental fold, like an Annelidan parapodium, than do the anterior limbs with their developed shafts, claws, &c. (cf. Figs. 4, 5, and 10).

(5) On placing a typical Phyllopodan limb by the side of a typical Annelidan parapodium, the homologies of some of the parts are very clear. As, however, the establishment of the homologies in detail is not so easy, we shall, in this place, have to content ourselves with merely stating our conclusions. The reasons which led to these conclusions, apart from

PART I

those already given in the foregoing pages, will be gradually gathered as we proceed, for we shall find it necessary to return to the subject again and again in the course of the following discussion.

A comparison of a limb of Apus with an Annelidan parapodium such as is shown in Fig. 6 A,¹ is sufficient to enable us to homologise the shaft and its



F1G. 6.—Diagram to compare an Annelid parapodium (A) 1 with a limb of Apus (B), g, gill; c₁, sensory cirrus of the dorsal parapodium; c₂, ditto of the ventral parapodium; v, ventral parapodium; c, sensory endites. In all the figures the same letters are used for the homologous parts.

appendages with the dorsal parapodium, the claw being the true tip.

The gnathobase is the ventral parapodium. The position of the gill is the same in both, and the flabellum of Apus is clearly homologous with the

¹ Figure A agrees fairly well with that given by Ehlers as the parapodium of a young Nereis; we have added the gill, and the sensory cirrus of the ventral parapodium. See Taf. xxi. 3 in "Die Borstenwürmer," Leipzig, 1864-68.

sensory cirrus of the dorsal parapodium of the Annelids.

The sensory cirrus of the ventral parapodium (c_2) entirely disappears in Apus, but is retained in Limulus (see Fig. 44, p. 192). Both the dorsal and ventral parapodia in Apus carry highly developed setæ, as in the Polychæta, and again, in both, the gill is entirely free from setæ, which would hinder the free exchange of the respiratory medium. It may be noted that many Polychæta have appendages on their parapodia quite as complicated as those on the limbs of Apus known as the endites (*B. e.*), whose origin will be discussed later.

Passing from the Phyllopodan to the typical Crustacean limb, we assume that the flabellum is the exopodite, the shaft of the limb is the endopodite, and the ventral parapodium is the masticatory ridge.

I. The first pair of Antennæ (Fig. 7A)—This limb has retained its original position at the side of the Annelidan prostomium or upper lip of the Apodidæ. It has already been pointed out that the bending of the head has changed its position from behind the mouth to in front of it.

The form of this limb needs no special comment ; the bend in it is not a true joint, nor is it provided with any muscles except a few which run into the bulb on which it stands. Its setæ are modified into sensory hairs, homologous with the olfactory hairs of the higher Crustacea.

Morphologically, the first antenna must be regarded

33

as the sensory cirrus of the parapodium of the first segment, the parapodium itself having disappeared, or possibly being represented by the bulb on which the antenna stands; this latter homology is, however, very doubtful. Though the parapodium itself has disappeared, the gland of its aciculum is probably still present, and functions as a salivary gland. Fig. 29 p. 114, shows the position and form of this gland. A comparison of this figure with Fig. 1 will make it



FIG. 7.—A. First antenna (L Spitzbergensis) with hooked sensory hairs, homologous with the olfactory hairs of the higher Crustacea. c, the sensory cirrus; d, the bulb on which it stands, perhaps the remains of the dorsal parapodium on which it stood. B. Second antenna (L. Spitzbergensis) showing the rudiments of the endopodite, *i.e.* of the distal end of the dorsal parapodium which had been highly developed in the Nauplius, but is degenerated in the adult; c, the sensory cirrus.

clear that this gland can be so homologised;—the point will, however, be discussed in detail in connection with the description of the gland itself. From Fig. I it will be seen that the acicular gland of the (vanished) parapodium of the first segment could easily open within the mouth, on the under lip.

34

II. The second pair of Antennæ (Fig. 7 B)-The second antenna is so much reduced in the Apodidæ that its absence has often been considered characteristic of the family. In no specimen examined by us has it been wanting. Its position has already been described (p. 30) as agreeing exactly with that of the corresponding antennal parapodium of the Annelids. It has, like the first antenna, been brought in front of the mouth by the bending of the head. Although it is very much degenerated, it shows three divisions, with the slight rudiment of a branch at the end of the second, which is the only trace of its former relatively greater development as a branched swimming limb in the Nauplius. We deduce the limb from the antennal parapodium of the second segment of the Annelida (cf. Fig. 7 B with Figs. 1, 2). Its great reduction in the Apodidæ is no doubt due to its being caught, as it were, in the angle of the bend, and further shut in under the shield. In Limulus, owing to the greater space under the shield, it is freer to develop into a chelate foot (see Fig. 43, p. 188). In Branchipus also, in which the shield has disappeared, it undergoes no such reduction.

The second antenna, like the first, stands on a small bulb which may perhaps be homologous with that of the first antenna, but certainly in this case cannot represent the remains of the parapodium. According to our homologies for this limb (see Fig. 7 b) the dorsal parapodium is still present, and forms its proximal half, ending in the minute rudimentary branch shown in the figure. In sections of the basal bulb we found a deep indentation, which led us to suspect the presence of a rudimentary antennal gland. No such gland, however, could be found, although the indentation may mark the spot where one formerly opened. Whether this indentation, which was very distinct in some specimens, really represents the remains of an opening of an antennal gland or not, we are still able to assume that such a gland, homologous with the acicular gland of the dorsal parapodium, once existed, and has reappeared in the higher Crustacea as the antennal gland. We have, in the Crustacean head three glands derived from three setiparous glands, viz. the gland of the first antenna (?) developed into a salivary gland (in Apus), the gland of the second antenna into the wellknown antennal gland, and the gland of the second maxilla into the shell gland. The homologous setiparous glands of the mandibles and first maxillæ have quite disappeared, like the parapodia to which they belonged. To these points, however, we shall again refer.

We have given in the Figure (7 B) our explanation of the parts of the second antenna. The correctness of this explanation naturally depends on a right understanding of the same limb in the Nauplius. This matter will therefore be further discussed in the section dealing with the development of Apus. We may here anticipate our conclusion by saying that, in accordance with the homologies given on p. 32, and indicated in the lettering of Fig. 7 B., the biramose limb of the Nauplius consists of the dorsal parapodium, the distal portion of which forms the endopodite, the sensory cirrus forming the exopodite. As the larva grows, the endopodite gradually degenerates, leaving the sensory cirrus to form the distal end of the limb which is thus a sensory organ (compare also Figs. 34 and 35).

III. *The Mandibles.*—These are the first limbs which admit of undoubted comparison with parapodia. We find, however, that while the dorsal branch has entirely



FIG. 8.—A, diagram of mandible; s, shell-fold. At d the dorsal parapodium has disappeared, but is indicated by the musculature. v, ventral parapodium forming the mandible itself, the musculature coming from the sternal plate (sp). E, diagram of 1st maxilla. At d, a larger rudiment of the dorsal parapodium is retained than in the mandible.

disappeared, the ventral has grown enormously in all directions to form the powerful masticatory limbs which are such a striking feature in the Apodidæ. That these mandibles are homologous with the gnathobases of the trunk limbs, and therefore, according to our view, with the ventral parapodia of the Annelida, may be seen at once by comparing their muscles with those of the similar parts of other limbs (see Figs. 8 and 14, p. 59). Muscles also are found which are the remains of the muscles which once ran into the now completely degenerated dorsal parapodia (see section on Musculature, p. 52). The redevelopment in varying degrees of the dorsal parapodium in the mandibles of some of the higher Crustacea, to form the mandibular feelers, or palps (or perhaps feeler- or palp-carriers) is a matter of considerable interest.

We see in these large fleshy mandibles of Apus an undoubtedly primitive characteristic. They form a perfect morphological transition between a limb like the parapodium of the Annelida, and the hard specialised jaw of the Crustacea. The "teeth" are only hard protuberances of the cuticle. The setæ are very small and grouped in tufts round the teeth. This limb has no hinge on which it works; it probably moves round its upper dorsal end as axis.

The homologous limb in Limulus, *i.e.*, the third, is not so specialised as it is in Apus; its masticatory process is not more developed than that of the second antenna, or of the two pairs of maxillæ, and of the first trunk limb. In Apus, only the mandibles work between the upper and lower lips, but in Limulus all the masticatory ridges of five pairs of limbs do so. In Pterygotus (see Fig. 55, p. 239), the third limb seems to have ceased to function as a jaw, and the powerful swimming limb, the sixth or (morphologically) the first trunk limb, has developed strong masticatory processes, which seem to function as the chief mandibles.¹ It is difficult to see on what principle this occurs; the advantages gained by using the ventral parapodia of the most powerful limbs as jaws, would seem to be more than counterbalanced by the disadvantage of combining locomotory with masticatory functions; perhaps we might assume that in this case the dorsal and ventral parapodia became separately articulated with the body, so as to secure all the advantages of the division of labour. From what we know both of Crustacean and of Annelidan morphology, there is no difficulty in the assumption of the separate articulation with the body of two parts of the same limb or parapodium.

The Under Lips.—These, though not limbs, are best described here, as they have hitherto always been mistaken for limbs or parts of limbs. They owe their origin, as has already been described, to the change of position of the mouth, the under edge of which must naturally project backwards (as shown in Fig. 1, p. 12). This under lip, which was originally a straight ridge, has been cut out in the middle, in order not to form a barrier which would hinder the pushing of food into the mouth by the maxillæ. We thus find, instead of the pronounced ridge right across the posterior edge of the mouth, two limb-like projections, the inner edges of which gradually slope down towards the middle line, the remains of the ridge being easy to follow from side to side in a series of sagittal sections.

¹ The different attempts of the primitive Crustacea to find the best combination of limbs to function as jaws will be found in a table, p. 250.

It is clear that this division of the under lip must have been a very primitive feature in the Crustacean-Annelid. It was absolutely necessary if the ventral parapodia (posterior to the under lip) were to be used for pushing food forwards into the mouth, which habit led the way, according to our theory, in the differentiations which transformed the Annelid into the Crustacean. This early division of the under lip accounts for its appearance as two ventral projections in Limulus, where such a form can have no special significance. The mouth in Limulus is a long median slit, and instead of only the mandibles and two maxillæ being used as jaws, the ventral parapodia of the four posterior cephalic and the first trunk limb perform the masticatory functions.

We find a divided *upper* lip in some Trilobites (see Fig. 49, p. 220), which offers a curious parallel to the divided under lip of Apus and Limulus, but must naturally be due to other causes (see however note p. 241).

IV. The First Maxilla.—Unlike the mandibles, the first maxilla has retained a small rudiment of the dorsal parapodium in the form of a fold. That this is, in fact, the reduced dorsal branch is clear from a comparison of the musculature with that of the other limbs (see Fig. 8 B). In the higher Crustacea, this limb also may redevelop its dorsal branch as maxillary feeler. The maxillæ work behind the lower lip. The limb itself requires no special description, its form can be seen from the figure.

In Limulus the dorsal parapodium is well developed

40

in addition to the masticatory ridge or ventral parapodium. In the Eurypteridæ, the ventral masticatory part seems to have almost disappeared in Pterygotus, (see Fig. 55, p. 239) but to be well developed in Eurypterus (Fig. 56, p. 245).

V. The Second Maxilla .- This much-discussed limb has retained rather a larger rudiment of the dorsal parapodium than the first maxilla; in it, in fact, the dorsal is the more important of the two branches, the ventral being rendered almost useless by the powerful first maxilla, between which and the ventral parapodium of the first trunk limb it is squeezed (see Fig. 2 and Frontispiece). The dorsal parapodium is reduced to a stump without appendages, but is interesting on account of the aperture of the shell gland at its tip; we are thus able, as already mentioned, to homologise the shell gland with the setiparous sac of the original Annelidan parapodium (see section on Excretion, also Fig. 1, p. 12). The opening of the shell gland on this limb shows it to be the second maxilla, and not a maxillipede, as some authors maintain. An examination of the musculature shows further that this limb belongs to the fifth segment, a long thin muscle band descending into it from in front of the opening of the shield, which is a fold of the dorsal integument of this segment. This muscle band is doubtless homologous with the bands which, in each segment, run from the dorsal integument into its limb (see Figs. 14, p. 59, and 15, p. 60).

The five limbs just described are the typical five

pairs of limbs of the Crustacean head, so marked off for all time by the bending round of the five anterior segments of the original Annelid, and the growth of the shield. The three posterior limbs develop the ventral branches of the original Annelidan parapodia as masticatory apparatus round the mouth ; the dorsal branches are more or less completely degenerated, reappearing, when the antennæ adopt a frontal position, as palps, or palp-carriers. This arrangement of masticatory ridges may have had something to do with the preservation of the modern Crustacea, while the older groups with other, and probably less advantageous, combinations, such as those developed by the Trilobites, have died out.

We find here also the origin of the rule that, among the Crustacea, the dorsal parapodia are less developed on the mandibles than on the first maxillæ, and less on the first than on the second maxillæ. The typical Crustacean mandibular palp consists of the dorsal parapodium carrying its sensory cirrus, both apparently being sensory organs.

The limbs liable to most modification are, naturally, the first two, *i.e.* the two pairs of antennæ. In Apus we find the first pair retaining perhaps the original size, the second pair, however, degenerating. In Limulus both pairs are, well developed as chelate seizing limbs, the second even redeveloping its ventral parapodium as a masticatory ridge. In the Eurypteridæ, other characteristic changes will be noticed, and where possible will be traced to changes in their manner of life. We may here perhaps suggest the rule, that the more the forehead is pressed against the ground, the antennæ pointing backwards, the more likely would the latter be to degenerate, as in Apus, and in the Trilobites (?), or by losing the sensory function to become modified as in Limulus. On the other hand, the more the animal adopted the swimming manner of life, the more the antennæ would tend to point forwards as sensory organs, and would then undergo further development as such. This we see in some Eurypteridæ, Branchipus, and Nebalia.

The Apodidæ thus furnish us with a complete explanation of the way in which the typical Crustacean head is composed of five fused Annelidan segments with their parapodia, and why the limbs of the head differ from those of the trunk throughout the whole class. The former (leaving out the antennæ) develop chiefly the ventral parapodia for mastication, the latter the dorsal for locomotion and for other functions which will be discussed in the following pages. In Apus we find a transition between the two, the last head segment having both dorsal and ventral parapodia about equally developed.

The important modifications, however, which the cephalic limbs may present, will be referred to again when discussing Limulus, the Trilobites and the Eurypteridæ, and are tabulated p. 250.

THE LIMBS OF THE TRUNK.

Passing from the second maxillæ to the first trunk limb, we are struck by a sudden contrast, the former being much reduced, while the latter is highly developed, indeed the most highly developed limb of the whole body. This point is of more than ordinary interest, as we shall find that it throws considerable light on the homologies of the limbs in the Xiphosuridæ, the Eurypteridæ, and the Trilobites, in which animals, from what we learn from Apus, we are able to assume that the first large locomotory limbs must be homologous with the sixth pair, i.e. with the first trunk limbs. The explanation of the great differentiation of the first pair of trunk limbs, in all these primitive Crustacea, is no doubt to be found in the fact that the sixth segment was really the first free segment, *i.e.* the first segment not used up in any way in the bend which forms the head. Its parapodia were thus free to develop as limbs for locomotion or for some other function unconnected with the mouth (see Fig. 1). The development of the anterior trunk limbs into maxillipedes in the higher Crustacea, has long been considered to be a secondary modification. In the chief point which constitutes them maxillipedes, *i.e.* in the retention of the ventral parapodia as masticatory ridges, they are however the more primitive form of limb. Those Crustaceans, on the contrary, in which the first trunk limbs have lost all traces of the ventral parapodia, and are purely locomotory, are the more highly specialised. The maxillipedes are secondary modifications only in the degeneration of their dorsal parapodia which, as the limbs of the first free segment, typically developed into large locomotory limbs.

In Apus, the gnathobases of the anterior trunk limbs are retained, and are doubtless functional. In Limulus those of the first pair even work in front of the under lips; the same is true of the Trilobites, and of the Eurypteridæ, in some of the latter they have taken on almost the whole function of mastication.

The extraordinary number of the limbs, and their gradual simplification from before backward, *i.e.*, from complicated Crustacean limbs to parapodium-like swimming plates, has been already discussed and described.

The following points are also of interest. Unlike the limbs of the head, in which, for the purpose of pushing food into the mouth, the ventral parapodia were developed at the expense of the dorsal, the trunk limbs develop the dorsal at the expense of the ventral parapodia.

Apus shows its primitive character in that the ventral parapodia do not disappear, but are retained as functional appendages to the limbs for pushing food towards the mouth. In the higher Crustacea, however, they have, as a rule, entirely disappeared, except in a few anterior trunk limbs.

The reason of the greater development of the dorsal than of the ventral parapodia in the trunk limbs of Apus may be conjectured ; the greater sweep was needed both for locomotion and for the purpose of grasping and bringing prey towards the middle line. This bending round of the dorsal parapodium towards the ventral middle line naturally leads to its greater development, at least in the anterior limbs, which function as described ; in the posterior limbs, which have only to assist in swimming, the dorsal branch is not so highly developed, forming with the ventral the swimming plate (Fig. 10).

The anterior limbs are the most highly differentiated from the original parapodia, they are the most Crustacean. On the principle of the division of labour, we find the first limb almost entirely specialised as a sensory limb. Its appendages, omitting the ventral parapodium, formerly considered as an appendage of the limb, are, on the inner side, feelers (called endites) with tufts of sensory hairs on slight protuberances, which are regularly arranged alternately on the two sides (see Fig. 9). The feelers vary in length in different species, and are of importance in classification. The corresponding appendages on the other limbs are leaf-shaped plates with highly developed denticulate setæ 1 on their flat surfaces, and tufts of sensory hairs along their edges. Besides helping in swimming, these sensory endites have been specially developed to assist in the capture of prey. The action of sweeping together prey into the middle line by means of the long dorsal parapodia, requires a fine sense of touch on the under edges of the limbs. The denticulate hairs at the sides of the

¹ See Fig. 5.

endites may have been developed in order to prevent prey from getting away between the limbs, the endites being provided with special muscles to move them. The prey, which the dorsal parapodia succeed in raking into the middle line, is probably held,



FIG. 9.—First trunk limb (L. Spitzbergensis). Lettering as in former figures. e, sensory endites.

and perhaps killed, by the ventral parapodia, which are provided with sharp thorn-like setæ, as well as with long sensory hairs (Figs. 9 and 10). We can further judge from their shape and from the direction of their muscles (see Fig. 12, p. 55) that what the gnathobases held between them would be rapidly forwarded towards the mouth. The disappearance of the gnathobases, excepting on the most anterior trunk limbs—the maxillipedes—in the majority of modern Crustacea, is explained by a change in the manner of life. As the trunk limbs became more and



FIG. 10.—The last rudimentary trunk limb (*L. productus*), 4 mm. in length. Lettering as before. It is seen to be a typical Phyllopodan limb.

more purely locomotory organs, such as ambulatory legs, and as other methods of capturing prey were acquired, the ventral parapodia would degenerate, not only from having lost their function, but as positive hindrances to the free movement of the limbs.

The most distal appendage, on the first limb of Apus, is a probably functionless scale (Fig. 9), and in the following limbs it is a toothed claw (Fig. 4), while
in the posterior rowing limbs it is a flat piece forming part of the swimming plate (Fig. 10). What we here call the most distal appendage is, however, according to the homologies described on p. 32, in reality the tip of the dorsal parapodium.

The exites, or appendages on the back of the limb, are always two in number, the distal being a swimming plate (flabellum, homologous with the sensory cirrus of the Annelidan parapodium), much reduced on the first limb but well developed on all the rest, and the proximal a gill which corresponds in position with the gill on the dorsal parapodium of the Annelid.

The limbs themselves have been so often described that it is not necessary here to do more than refer to the drawings (Fig. 9, 4, 5, 10).

Returning now to the homologies here set forth between the Crustacean limbs and the Annelidan parapodia, we find that they differ considerably from those generally put forward. Although as far as I know the point has not been worked out in detail, it is assumed that the exopodite is homologous with the dorsal, and the endopodite with the ventral parapodium. We have here, however, seen that in the typical biramose trunk limb the ventral parapodium has disappeared, perhaps being used up in the formation of the basal joint, and that the limb proper is formed of the dorsal parapodium, the distal end of which forms the endopodite, while its sensory cirrus forms the exopodite.

Such a result might be expected on theoretical

grounds; an integumental fold, developing outwards into a limb in order to fulfil various functions, would require to be provided with sensory organs. Its efficiency could in fact only be secured by its being provided with a fine sense of touch. It is true that in Apus the ventral edge of the dorsal parapodium has itself developed organs of touch, viz., the endites. The tip of the parapodium might also have developed its own sensory organs. Economy, however, would certainly lead to the use of whatever sensory organs happened to be already present.

This homology of the flabellum of Apus with the sensory cirrus of the Annelidan parapodium, finds some support from a study of its finer structure. Its fringe of sensory hairs, its numerous ganglion cells and conspicuous nerve fibres, show it at once to be a sensory organ. Its flattened shape presents no difficulty, since it is clearly thus modified to help the animal in swimming. Packard thinks that it takes a special share in respiration, but this we do not believe, for the gills, in their inner structure and in their freedom from hairs, show that they are specialised for that purpose.

Further, the consequent homology of the exopodite of the typical Crustacean limb with the sensory cirrus of the dorsal parapodium of the Annelida, receives considerable support from a study of any series of Crustacean limbs, such, for instance, as those given in Lang's *Text-book of Comparative Anatomy*. It is impossible to avoid the impression that as a rule (with no doubt many exceptions) the exopodite is a sensory organ attached to the limb. We shall see further reasons for this homology in the section on the Nauplius.

In later sections we shall see, further, that although the ambulatory limb of the Decapoda has been derived from the Phyllopodan limbs of their Leptostracan ancestors, yet ambulatory limbs may develop straight from Annelidan parapodia, as we assume to have been the case in the Trilobites. The method of differentiation is the same in both cases, but the fact that the Decapodan limb first passed through a Phyllopodan stage has made a slight difference in the result (see section on the Trilobites).

This brief discussion on the limbs of Apus and of the Crustacea is by no means exhaustive. We have purposely limited ourselves chiefly to our main point, viz., how the limbs of Apus have been derived from the parapodia of an Annelid. In so doing we have naturally had our attention called to several homologies which may not at this stage appear altogether satisfactory, but which will be found to hang together with our whole argument.

SECTION IV

THE MUSCULATURE

THE musculature of the Apodidæ is so essentially Annelidan in its arrangement, showing only such differentiations as we should expect would arise from the modification of the body already described, *i.e.*, the bending of the head, that were there no other resemblance between the Apodidæ and the Annelida, it alone would be almost sufficient to establish their relationship.

It may perhaps be interesting to mention that it was the Annelidan character of the musculature of Apus which first attracted our attention, and led to the discovery of the other homologies recorded in this volume.

Anteriorly, where the body has been apparently most modified, we should naturally expect that the Annelidan character of the musculature would be least recognisable. This, however, is hardly the case, for just as the head of the Apodidæ can be traced to the anterior segments of an Annelid fixed in the bent position, the only striking alteration being its development of the sharp ridge round the front as a continuation of the lateral edges of the dorsal fold, so the musculature can easily be traced back to that of a typical Annelid transformed, first by the bending of the body, and secondly by the development of the exoskeleton.

We shall first describe the musculature in a carnivorous Annelid, and see what transformations it would undergo owing to the bending of the five anterior segments. Fig. 11 is a transverse section of such an Annelid. A rather weakly developed circular muscle layer is found immediately under the hypodermis, and under this runs a strongly developed longitudinal muscle layer, the two forming together the dermo-muscular tube. The development of parapodia leads to important modifications, such as the grouping of the longitudinal muscles into four strong bands, two dorsal and two ventral, each being a chain of segmentally arranged muscular bundles marked off by the transverse dissepiments. The circular muscles are also modified, running out laterally, both dorsally and ventrally, into the parapodia.

At the posterior end of the body where the parapodia are less developed, we might expect that the muscle bands would gradually spread out to form a more and more complete dermo-muscular tube, the dorsal bands eventually uniting with the ventral in the last segments.

It is not difficult to describe the changes which would naturally take place in this musculature by the fixing

PART I

of the anterior segments in the bent position. The *dorsal* bands in the five anterior segments would be much stretched in order to bend round over the intestine to be attached near the prostomium. The *ventral* bands in the same region would, on the contrary, be much shortened, the bend behind the lip being very sharp. The muscle bands here would



FIG. 11.—Transverse section through the trunk of a carnivorous Annelid, diagrammatic (from Lang's *Text-book of Comparative Anatomy*). g, gill; d, dorsal; v, ventral parapodium; c₁, cirrus of the dorsal parapodium; c₂, ditto of the ventral parapodium; c_m, circular musculature; lm, longitudinal musculature; tm, transverse musculature; ac, aciculum; x, nephridium; e, developing eggs, some of which are floating free in the body cavity.

be rendered almost useless, in fact, would be a hindrance, and would therefore degenerate, not, however, without leaving some traces. While the muscular elements disappeared, the sinewy elements would persist as points of attachment for those

54

muscles which are still functional, *i.e.* those which diverge in the transverse plane.

Let us now compare this sketch with the musculature of the Apodidæ (Figs. 12 and 13). The dorsal longitudinal bands in the head region, after springing across the opening into the interior of the shell fold of the fifth segment, are attached to the forehead by numberless fine fibres of connective tissue, so that it



FIG. 12.—Section through a specimen of Apus cancriformis, partly diagrammatic, to show the longitudinal musculature. Anteriorly the dorsal bands are stretched round the bend of the head, the ventral bands of the five segments being clumped into a sinewy mass, the sternal plate. Posteriorly the two unite round the body to form a dermo-muscular tube. dm, dorsal; vm, ventral muscle bands; s, shell; e, eye; l, under lip; m1, m2, 1st and 2nd maxilla; v, ventral parapodia of trunk limbs; 6 ditto of 1st trunk limb. The five original anterior segments of the Annelid indicated by dotted lines.

is not at first apparent that the two strong bands which start a little in front of them from very scattered points of insertion on each side of the eyes, running down over the æsophagus to be attached in front of the prostomium, are really a continuation of the dorsal bands. That this is the case, however, is clear from Fig. 13. We see here not only the dorsal bands themselves lengthened to pass round the curve formed by the bending of the head, but the attachments of the muscles also spread out over a large surface. Further, partly from having no segments to move, and partly on account of the growth of the frontal ridge, they apparently pass through one or two segments without being attached at all.



FIG. 13.—Diagram to show the musculature of the head. Lettering as in Fig. 12. md, mandibles ; i, intestine.

The ventral muscle bands of the Apodidæ, on the other hand, on reaching the sharp bend of the head near the lip, are all clumped together into an apparently shapeless sinewy mass; the muscle bands

themselves have clearly disappeared; their sinewy connections, however, being more resistant, and still functional as attachments for the mandibular, maxillar, and œsophageal muscles, &c., have been retained, massed together as described in our imaginary Annelid. This sinewy mass is known as the sternal plate or entosternite. It is clear that, if the origin we have ascribed to it is correct, it becomes a morphological characteristic of great value, and, whenever met with among the Crustacea in the same position, i.e., within the angle of the bent intestine, must be referred back to the ventral muscle bands of a bent Annelid. We shall see in the second part how important this point is in establishing the relationship between Apus and Limulus. The Arachnida are, we believe, the only other animals with an entosternite. The origin and significance of it in this case will be discussed in another section.

Again, turning to the posterior end of the body, we find, as we expected, that as we pass from front to back the longitudinal bands gradually widen out, as the limbs are less and less developed, until, in the limbless segments, they unite to form a simple dermo-muscular tube.

Thus, in the arrangement of its longitudinal musculature, Apus is a typical carnivorous Annelid with its five anterior segments bent round in adaptation to the browsing manner of life.

On turning now to the circular musculature, we find a more complete differentiation. The circular muscle layer in the carnivorous Annelids is, as a rule, much more weakly developed than the longitudinal. This is also the case in the Apodidæ, where it is almost entirely confined to the muscular bands which run into the limbs, especially to those from the dorsal surface. In the limbless part of the body, where the longitudinal muscles form a complete dermo-muscular tube, the circular muscle layer has entirely disappeared. The commencement of the formation of an exoskeleton renders it useless. We shall return to this subject in discussing the musculature of the limbs.

The muscles attached on each side, just above the ventral cord, to the membrane which encloses the intestine and genital glands, and forms the intestinal sinus, may perhaps best here be mentioned as in part having arisen from the circular musculature. We shall return to these also when we discuss the circulation and the origin of the above-mentioned membrane.

Two especially interesting groups of muscles, of unmistakably Annelidan origin, deserve particular attention. These are the rows of dorso-ventral muscles (Fig. 14, dv.) which pass between the intestine and the genital glands in almost exact correspondence with the longitudinal muscle dissepiments so common among the Annelida (*cf.* Fig. 11, *tm*). In the Apodidæ, these rows are composed of a kind of lattice work of muscle bundles with definite points of attachment, ventrally to the sinewy partitions of the ventral muscle bands and thus indirectly to the body wall, and dorsally to the segmental constrictions in the integument. In each segment we find two bands crossing each other diagonally, in addition to those placed between the segments. These strikingly Annelidan dorso-ventral muscle bands of Apus are not, as far as we know, preserved in any of the higher Crustacea, being rendered useless by the development of the exoskeleton. Clear traces of



FIG. 14.—Transverse section through Apus cancriformis, to show the distribution of the musculature. *h*, heart; *dm*, dorsal; *vm*, ventral muscle bands; *cm*, circular musculature (as shown in Fig. 15, *A*); *dv*, dorso-ventral musculature (*cf*. Fig. 11, *tm*); *m*, membrane enclosing the intestinal and genital sinus; *i*, intestine; *g*, genital glands; *c*, eggs; *a* and *b* have reference to Fig. 15.

them are, however, found in Limulus, where their points of attachment have drawn in the outer integument to form the entapophyses. Their use in Apus will be discussed in the sections on circulation and reproduction. They are not developed in the posterior rudimentary segments.

The muscles of the limbs present complications

which might have been expected, when we take into account the transformations which have developed the latter out of parapodia. It will not be necessary to describe the muscles of the more distal parts of the limbs; we must confine ourselves to those which move the limbs on the body, and endeavour to show



FIG. 15.—Tangential sections through three segments passing between the longitudinal muscle bands and the lateral body wall, diagrammatic, the plane of the section passes through the points a and b in Fig. 14, A to show the circular musculature; B to show the arrangement of the longitudinal musculature; C to show the crossing of the longitudinal muscle bands.

how they have arisen as simple modifications of the original dermo-muscular tube.

In the first place, we find that the muscles of the limbs have for the most part the same character as those of the trunk, *i.e.*, they are bands with broad surfaces of attachment. They are, in fact, so far at least as they are composed of longitudinal muscles, nothing but the elements of the dermo-muscular tube drawn out of their position, as may be clearly seen from Fig. 12, which gives a general view of the whole musculature. The same fact might also be concluded from their great number, disorder, and want of concentration. When, however, we have to decide which muscles belong to the circular and which to the longitudinal layer, the following seems to be the



FIG. 16.—Diagram to explain the courses of the muscle bands in *B* and *C*, Fig. 15. I represents an Annelidan parapodium in its original horizontal position with three longitudinal muscle bands running into it. 2 represents the same drawn down to form a limb of Apus.

principle of arrangement. The muscles which enter the dorsal side of the limb with broad insertions on the soft integument of the dorsal surface (Fig. 15, A) are probably elements of the circular muscle layer; their position close under the lateral integument favours this derivation (Fig. 14, cm.; cf. also Fig. 11). On the other hand, we find on each side of the limb a number of muscle bands with more definite points of insertion; these are attached dorsally to the sides

PART I

of the septa (see Fig. 15, B), and are probably longitudinal muscles. The order in which these latter groups of muscles occur is significant of their origin, as shown in the diagram (Fig. 16). We find that those which arise most dorsally run the furthest into the limbs, this rule being regularly observed. This order is what we should expect if we assume that these are parts of the longitudinal musculature which ran outwards into the parapodium, the dorsal edge of which was then gradually lengthened, and the whole turned round the body in the transverse plane towards the ventral middle line, as shown in Fig. 16. The dorsal muscle bands will naturally be the most lengthened and reach the furthest down into the limb ventrally. In the case of longitudinal muscle bands running outwards into the parapodia, but traversing them from the anterior to the posterior wall, the same rule would hold and the bands would cross one another, as shown in Fig. 15, $C.^1$

The musculature running into the ventral part of the limb or the ventral parapodium is more easy to separate into its elements (see Fig. 14). The longitudinal muscles come direct from the ventral muscle bands, and run sloping backwards, as shown in Fig. 12, so that the ventral parapodia or gnathobases which slope away downwards and backwards may be used for pushing food forwards in the middle line. The

¹ We have, however, only once found such muscle bands, and have since repeatedly looked for them in vain. It is not unlikely that our observations relating to them were incorrect, being founded on a series of sections, through which the individual muscle bands had to be followed.

62

circular muscles of the ventral parapodium are as inferior in development to those of the dorsal parapodium, as the ventral parapodium itself is inferior in development to the dorsal. They consist of only two bands. One passes between the ventral cord and the ventral muscle band, to be attached proximally to the ventral membrane of the intestinal sinus, the other is attached direct to the hypodermis at each side of the ventral cord (Fig. 14). The former muscle will be mentioned again in describing the circulation, in which it perhaps plays a more important part than it does in connection with the limbs.

It is hardly necessary to describe the musculature of the trunk limbs more in detail. That of the head limbs, however, requires special attention, not only on account of the origin of these limbs almost exclusively from ventral parapodia, but also because the masticatory formula of the Apodidæ is, with slight differences, the same as that found in the majority of modern Crustacea, viz., one pair of mandibles and two pairs of maxillæ; although in Apus, the second maxillæ are rudimentary.

Commencing with the mandibles, we there find an arrangement exactly the opposite of that described in connection with the trunk limbs. In these latter the muscles running into the dorsal branch are the more highly developed; in the mandibles, however, the dorsal branch is rudimentary, and the muscles running into the ventral branch are the most developed. The closing muscles radiate from the sinewy mass above described, and are

enormously developed in accordance with the great development of the limb they have to move. They evidently correspond with the muscles already described as running into the ventral parapodia of the other limbs, that is with those which come from the longitudinal muscle band. They radiate from the sternal plate, *i.e.* from the remains of the ventral muscle bands of the head segments. At the dorsal extremity of the mandibles, we find the remains of the circular muscles which (see Fig. 15, A) were so powerfully developed in the trunk limbs, in two or three bands running between the dorsal middle line and the integument, where the last rudiment of the dorsal parapodium has disappeared (see Fig. 8, A, d, p. 37). The longitudinal muscles, attached to the integumental folds between the limbs (see Fig. 15, B), are strongly represented, and probably serve both for closing the mandibles and rotating them round their longitudinal axes.

The same description applies with but slight modification to the muscles of the first maxillæ, but in this case, those of the ventral parapodium, though strongly developed in comparison with those of the ventral parapodia of the trunk limbs, are weak as compared with those of the mandibles. Again, a more distinct rudiment of the dorsal parapodium is retained in the first maxillæ than in the mandibles, and into this rudiment a very long and tolerably strong band runs, probably homologous with the circular muscle bands shown in Fig. 15, A. The powerful muscles which enable the first maxillæ to function as jaws are also, like the closing muscles of the mandibles, derived from the sinewy mass, and run slantingly backwards, across the opening leading into the under lip.

The muscles of the second maxillæ are very slightly developed as thin slips running into the dorsal and ventral parapodia; the former, as already described, arising from a point close to the opening of the shell fold.

The rings of muscles round the eyes will be described in the section on the sensory organs, and we shall see that they are developed from the longitudinal musculature, and join the two bands which are attached to the proximal end of the upper lip. Certain bands which run from the sternal plate to the opening of the shell fold, to join the dorsal longitudinal bands, are probably to be referred to the dorso-ventral longitudinal muscle septa.

We find the expected histological difference between the musculature of Apus and that of the Annelids, that of the former being striped, that of the latter unstriped. Perhaps the primitive character of the striped muscles of Apus may be seen in that the muscle cells form a thick irregular layer of nucleated protoplasm round each bundle of fibres, without any investing membrane or sarcolemma.

This brief chapter by no means exhausts this interesting subject; further study will doubtless reveal other, and perhaps more conclusive, homologies between the muscles of Apus and those of a carnivorous Annelid. We have here selected only the most obvious; enough, however, to establish our point that Apus may have been derived—at least so far as its musculature is concerned—from such an Annelid as we have described. We thus find that the musculature confirms what we learnt from our study of the outer organisation and of the appendages.

SECTION V

THE NERVOUS SYSTEM

THE nervous system of Apus does not at first sight seem to support our theory as obviously as does the musculature. This, however, is the case only at first sight. A closer study of it, and a comparison of it with that of an Annelid modified by having its five anterior segments bent in the way assumed, leave but little doubt concerning its origin. The central nervous system of Apus can in fact be shown to be the central nervous system of a bent Annelid adapted to the necessities of a new manner of life; the principal modification being due to the migration of the eyes on to the dorso-frontal surface.

Figure 17 shows the general type of the nervous system of a carnivorous Annelid, such as the ancestor of Apus may be supposed to have possessed. The longitudinal commissures may perhaps have been somewhat wider apart.¹ We find the brain in the

¹ See however p. 80.

F 2

prostomium giving off two pairs of nerves to the two pairs of eyes, and connected by œsophageal commissures with the infra-œsophageal ganglion in the first segment. From this ganglion the nerves to the first antennæ diverge; they may perhaps have been united for some distance with the œsophageal commissures. It is even possible that their



FIG. 17.—Diagram of the first five segments of a carnivorous Annelid to show the arrangement of the nervous system, from above. b_1 brain; e_2 , anterior pair of eyes on the prostomium; e_1 , posterior ditto : a_1 , first antennæ; a_2 , antennal parapodium of the second segment.

ganglia may have moved forwards along the commissures towards the brain, as in many Annelids we find the antennæ moved forwards till they appear to be projecting from the posterior edge of the prostomium. The second antennæ, belonging to the second segment, would receive their nerves from the second ventral ganglion, then would follow the nerves to the parapodia of the third segment, &c., in order. Just as the sharp bending of the head led to a condensation of the ventral musculature into the sinewy mass above described, so it would naturally lead to a fusing of the anterior ventral ganglia, as shown in Fig. 18. We should thus expect to find at



FIG. 18.—Anterior end of the same, bent as in Fig. 1 to show the change in the central nervous system due to the bending of the body.

least the first three or four pairs of ganglia of the ventral chain fused to form one infra-œsophageal ganglion; the outgoing nerves, however, would remain distinct, except perhaps the first antennal nerve, which, as we have said, might have been fused for a short way with the œsophageal commissures, or might even, as above stated, come almost direct from the brain. The other changes, brought about by the bending of the segments, would be the disappearance of the longitudinal commissures between the four or five fused ganglia, and perhaps a fusion of at least some of their transverse commissures. We shall see in the second part of this book, when we come to compare Limulus and Apus, that the nervous system of the former, though showing certain special modifications of its own, corresponds, to a remarkable degree, with that of such a bent Annelid, and thus shows even a more primitive state than that of Apus.

Now let us consider the modification such a central nervous system would undergo owing to the gradual migration of the eyes on to the dorsal surface. Figs. 19 and 20 are two diagrams to illustrate the change; Fig. 19 supposing the ganglion for the first antenna to come from the infra-œsophageal ganglion, Fig. 20 supposing this ganglion to have already migrated along the commissures to near the brain. The brain, following the eyes, would divide the original œsophageal commissures (a_1) longitudinally, thus producing two œsophageal commissures, one (a_2) in its original position, innervating the œsophagus and the upper lip, and the other (a_3) carrying the brain and the eyes.

This origin of the two æsophageal commissures in Apus is especially interesting because it explains *the origin of the sympathetic nervous system* in the Crustacea. Reserving, however, this point for the present, we have to consider the more difficult problem relating to the position of the antennal nerves, and how they would be affected by this splitting of the œsophageal commissure owing to the travelling backwards 1 of the brain. Taking first the case illustrated in Fig. 19, *i.e.*, assuming that the nerves for the first antennæ branched, in the original Annelid, from the first ventral ganglia, we tried to answer this question theoretically. Our answer, however, was not quite correct. We assumed that the first antennal nerve was originally united with the œsophageal commissures for a short distance, and would remain where it was when the brain dragged away the portion it required for itself. We were doubtless also misled by the position of the first antennæ of Apus near the prostomium. These mistakes were very natural. For the second antennæ, however, our answer was correct. We rightly assumed that as the brain and œsophageal commissures moved forwards and upwards, passing through the position occupied by these antennal nerves, the two might unite, so that we described the nerves for the second antennæ as branching off from the brain commissures, this position agreeing best with the position of the second antennæ in Apus.

On comparing this theoretical scheme for the antennal nerves with Zaddach's drawings, we found, as stated, that the nerve for the first antenna, which has the more ventral position, branched off from the brainœsophageal commissure dorsally to the nerve of the second antennæ which has the more dorsal position, so that, if Zaddach's drawings were correct, a slight

¹ "Backwards" is morphologically correct ; actually the brain moved forwards and upwards.

crossing of the nerves must take place. Our own examination of the nervous system quite confirmed this, as shown in Figs. 19, 20, and 21. These figures



F₁₆, 19.—Diagram to show the derivation of the central nervous system of Apus from that of a bent Annelid as in Fig. 18; drawn on the assumption that the nerves of the first antennæ of the original Annelid branched from the first ventral ganglion. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ganglia of the 1st, and, 3rd, 4th, and 5th segments. b, brain of Annelid; β , of Apus; α_1 , acsophageal commissures of Annelid; α_2 , α_3 , the two cosophageal commissures of Apus derived from α_1 ; α_1 , α_2 , nerves to the 1st and and antennæ of the Annelid; α_1 , α_2 , ditto of Apus; c_1 , α_2 , α_3 , even to the eyes of the Annelid; η_1 , η_2 , ditto to those of Apus.

should be compared with Figs. 1 and 2, which show the positions of the antennæ.

This position of the first antennal nerve tells us, however, nothing definite as to the position of the ganglion. All we can positively affirm is that, if the ganglion was infra-œsophageal in the Annelid, the proximal portion of the nerve was carried up with the cerebral portion of these commissures



FIG. 20.—Diagram showing the same as Fig. 19, drawn, however, on the assumption that the nerves for the first antennæ in the original Annelid came from the posterior end of the brain. Lettering the same as in Fig. 19. × shows the position of the ganglia of the first antennæ according to Pelseneer.

which split off and travelled backwards. This would explain the apparently anomalous position of the points of departure of the antennal nerves from the brain-œsophageal commissure,—they have to cross each other to reach their destinations. As these commissures travelled upwards, describing part of a circle, carrying up with them the two pairs of antennal nerves, the pair of nerves which originally had the more ventral position would naturally come to occupy the more dorsal position, as shown in the diagram, Fig. 19.

If now we assume, as shown in the diagram, Fig. 20 that in the original Crustacean-Annelid the ganglia of the first antennæ had already travelled up the œsophageal commissures to near the brain, then we have to suppose that these ganglia split away with the brain-œsophageal commissures, although, by so moving off with the brain, they were dragged further from the limb their fibres had to innervate. This latter assumption, as shown in Fig. 20, agrees best with the description of the central nervous system given by Pelsencer. He assumes that a group of ganglion cells, in the position marked x in Fig. 20, form the ganglia for the first antennæ, and he supports this claim by the fact that the nerves branch backwards, as shown in Figs. 20 and 21.1 If this reasoning is correct, then we may assume either (1) that the migration of the ganglia had already taken place in

¹ Quarterly Journal of Micro. Sc., vol. xxv. Although inclined to believe Pelseneer's view to be correct, his arguments do not seem to us quite conclusive. The results of our own research unfortunately remained neutral. We should much like the point reexamined; perhaps the new method of staining the nervous system of living animals with methyline blue would reveal the actual courses of the fibres. In our own best hæmatoxylin preparations, the fibres became suddenly quite confused where the antennal nerve joined the commissure, and we could not say whether they ran on to the brain, or bent back towards the infra-œsophageal ganglion.

the original Annelid, and is inherited by Apus, or (2) that the formation of the compound sensory nervous centre (the syncerebrum of Lankester) has taken place in Apus by the wandering of the first pair of ganglia to join the brain. The former seems to us the more natural conclusion, considering the great difference in the distances between the brain and antennæ of an Annelid, and between the same parts in Apus. We mean that the great distance between the cerebral position of the ganglia of the first antennæ and the antennæ themselves in Apus, which seems unnatural, is best explained by assuming that this cerebral position of the ganglia was derived from the Annelid, where, owing to the proximity of the antennæ to the brain, it is most natural. On the other hand we think the second view the less probable, considering (a) the weak development of the first antennæ in Apus, and (b) the distance of the eyes from the antennæ, and the difficulty of correlating their respective sensations, the eyes pointing forwards and upwards, the antennæ backwards and downwards.

In the higher Malacostraca, with well-developed antennæ placed close to the eyes and functioning as auditory, olfactory, and tactile sensory organs, there would be no difficulty in imagining the migration of the ganglia to have taken place in the course of their development. But, as already stated, it is difficult to imagine this in the case of Apus, and it is easier to suppose that the fusion of the antennal ganglia with the brain had already taken place in the original Annelid, We may perhaps find some support for this view in the fact that the first antennæ never appear in the Crustacea as anything but uniramose which shows that, in the original Annelid, they had long lost all traces of the parapodia to which they primitively belonged, and were nothing but sensory organs projecting forwards on each side of the prostomium.

Some further light might perhaps be thrown on this point by a study of the central nervous system of Limulus, which shows in some respects a more primitive condition than that of Apus, at least as regards the position of the brain. According to Packard, the fibres of the first antennal nerve do not come from the brain, but from the œsophageal commissures near it. Owing, however, to the great modification of the œsophageal commissures of Limulus, in consequence of the lengthening out of the oral aperture, it is doubtful whether this fact supports the view illustrated in Fig. 20, that, in the original Annelid, the ganglia of the antennæ had moved to near the brain.

There are, however, other points which bear on this question. On examining the first section of the ventral cord of Apus, we find a long ganglion consisting of two groups of ganglion cells, and joined by two transverse commissures. From the long ganglion, the prostomial-œsophageal commissures run down to embrace the œsophagus. Before reading Pelseneer's paper, we were inclined to consider the front group of ganglion cells, which form part of the long ganglion, as belonging to the first antennæ. It did not occur to us that they belonged to the prostomial commissures, or stomato-gastric ring, as suggested by Pelseneer, because we considered this ring as no special nerve branching from the cord, but simply as the remains of the original œsophageal commissures.



FIG. 21.—Central nervous system of Apus. Lettering as in Figs. 17, 18, and 19. em, nerve to the eye muscles; e.g. the anterior pair of eyes transformed into the unpaired "eye" (cf. § on the sensory organs); * the position of the ganglia of the tst pair of antennæ according to Pelseneer.

As for the two transverse commissures, we considered them to represent the two commissures of the two pairs of antennal ganglia. We assumed that each was due to a fusion of two transverse commissures, at least if we might conclude from the fact that the other ventral ganglia in Apus have two transverse commissures, that the transverse commissures of the antennal ganglia were also originally double. On this supposition, passing from the original Crustacean-Annelid to the higher Crustacea, we should have two separate fusions. First, in Apus, there is a fusion of the double transverse commissures of the two pairs of antennal ganglia, leaving two transverse commissures, one for each pair of antennal ganglia; then, in the higher Crustacea, these two single transverse commissures of the two pair of ganglia again fuse, so that there is only one transverse commissure joining the œsophageal commissure in front of the infra-œsophageal ganglion. This transverse commissure, which is always assumed to be that of the ganglia of the second antennæ, would be, according to this view, the fused transverse commissures of the ganglia of both pairs of antennæ, though the ganglia themselves have wandered towards the brain.

We can see no inherent difficulty in thus deducing the central nervous system of Apus from that of a bent Annelid; none of the assumed transformations are in themselves improbable, if the migration of the eyes is once admitted. We shall return more than once to this subject of the migration of the eyes, especially in connection with the Nauplius and Limulus, in each case bringing forward fresh evidence in support of the assumption. In the meantime it seems to us that the central nervous system of Apus, taken as a whole, bears incontestable witness to the fact that the eyes have thus travelled on to the dorsal surface. Zaddach's diagram gives the brain and œsophageal commissures a distinct curve backwards, so that they come to lie along the œsophagus, reaching as far back as the mid-gut. The brain lies between the hepatic-diverticula.

In discussing the appendages, we saw how the antennæ, which were originally metastomial, became prostomial by the bending of the head. We now see that the same change of position has taken place in the case of the points of departure of their nerves (at least of those of the second pair). By the sweeping round of the cerebral portion of the œsophageal commissures upwards and backwards, and by their carrying the antennal nerves along with them, these nerves have also acquired a prostomial position.

This derivation of the central nervous system of Apus from that of a bent Annelid throws new light upon the fact, established by Claus and Dohrn, and referred to by Balfour with evident surprise, that, in the Nauplius, the nerves for the second antennæ arise from the infra-œsophageal ganglion. This is of course what we should have expected, indeed from our point of view it is necessary to account for the fact that the nerves of *both* the pairs of antennæ do not arise from the infra-œsophageal ganglion. We are obliged to assume that this primitive Annelidan condition was passed through in embryonic and not in larval life, *i.e.*, in an early Annelidan, not in a Crustacean stage.

Of the rest of the nervous system little need be

said; the Annelidan character of the ventral cord of Apus has long been acknowledged as an unmistakable sign of relationship between it and the Annelida. Lankester has also called attention to the fact that the ventral cord resembles more nearly that of a Chætopod than that of a Crustacean. He sees its archaic character in the fact that the longitudinal strands are separated by a considerable interval. This reasoning is however doubtful, because in the rudimentary segments of Apus the ganglia in each segment are close together. While it is true that a great interval between the longitudinal halves of the ventral cord of an Annelid is generally supposed to denote an archaic condition, this state in Apus has clearly been secondarily acquired. Further, the presence of well-developed parapodia, which were gradually transformed into Crustacean limbs, is conclusive evidence that the Annelid from which Apus was derived was not a primitive form. The drawing out of the longitudinal commissures of the anterior ganglia of the ventral cord which, in the bent Annelid, were massed together (see Fig. 18), is clearly a secondary modification, due to the travelling forwards of the brain. It will be referred to again in the next section in connection with the migration of the eyes.

Till now, it has never been quite understood why the ventral cord should suddenly cease with the limbs, so that no ventral ganglia are developed in the limbless segments. The explanation of this we have already seen, viz., that the posterior end of the

THE NERVOUS SYSTEM

SECT. V

body becomes fixed in a larval stage; the posterior limbs with their ganglia remain quite rudimentary, while the last few segments develop neither limbs nor ganglia.

Some light is also thrown on the morphology of the sympathetic nervous system, which is particularly well developed in the Malacostraca. The second œsophageal ring formed by the sympathetic nerve is, in fact, the remains of the original Annelidan œsophageal ring, after the splitting off of the portion which carried the brain. The present Crustacean œsophageal commissures, together with the ring made by the sympatheticus, formed the original Annelidan œsophageal commissures.

We also get an interesting insight into the morphology of the Crustacean brain. Originally, when still placed in the prostomium, it consisted of the ganglia of the two pairs of eyes, and of whatever other sensory organs may have been on the prostomium, and perhaps also of the ganglia of the first antennæ. These sensory centres (with the exception of the last, which were probably situated on the cesophageal commissures) were but collections of hypodermal ganglia, as is clear from the fact that the pair of longitudinal muscles which traverse the head dorso-ventrally (see Figs. 12 and 13) pass between the brain- α sophageal commissures (α_3); this shows that the ganglia must have had a hypodermal position, *i.e.*, must have lain between the hypodermis and the musculature. On the migration of the eyes, the optic ganglia would separate from the ganglia which

G

belonged especially to the prostomium, taking the antennal ganglia along with them on the commissures which continue to unite the brain with the ventral cord.

The transformation of the anterior pair of eyes into the unpaired "eye" with other sensory functions would bring about secondary complications.

The gradual wandering of the ganglia of the first antennæ along the œsophageal commissures, or, if these were already near the brain, their final union with the same, added further complications.

Lastly, when the antennæ, and especially the anterior pair, adopted a frontal position on the head, and became important sensory limbs carrying olfactory, auditory (? directive), as well as tactile sensory organs, so that their ganglia became large complex sensory centres at the posterior end of the brain, its complication was completed, and it reached the stage found in the higher Crustacea (e.g., Decapoda).

At first sight, this method of deducing the Crustacean nervous system from that of a bent Annelid may not appear to the reader altogether satisfactory. We may therefore perhaps anticipate what we shall describe in its right place, and mention that when we drew Fig. 18, to show where the brain was originally placed in the more Annelidan ancestors of Apus, we had quite forgotten that this was *still the place which it occupies in Limulus*. In Part II. we hope to be able to show that, if Apus is derivable from a bent Annelid, Limulus must also have had the same origin. This difference in the position of the brain in Apus and Limulus is one of those cases, referred to in the preface in which the differences between these two animals afford more striking proof of their relationship, through a common origin from a bent Annelid, than any similarity in the position of the brains could have done.

SECT. V

SECTION VI

THE SENSORY ORGANS

In our endeavour to deduce the sensory organs of Apus from those of a carnivorous Annelid, we must not forget that the development of an exoskeleton must necessarily lead to striking modifications. Such modifications, important in all the organs, are especially so in those which, like the sensory organs, lie at the surface in more or less immediate contact with the outer world. We will take the sensory organs in turn, and discuss the changes which took place in them during the transformation of the Annelid into the Crustacean.

The *antennæ*, as sensory organs, admit without difficulty of deduction from the antennæ and antennal parapodia of the first two segments of the Annelid, as we have already seen in the section on the appendages.

The round white spot behind the eyes of Apus has often been taken to be a sensory organ, and we
originally assumed it to be the remains of a frontal cirrus (as shown in Fig. 1) smoothed off to facilitate swimming. We have, however, discovered that its functions are entirely excretory (see Section IX., on the excretory organs, and Appendix III.).

Of the original four anal cirri of the Annelid, two are retained and two are rudimentary. Those retained have developed a ringed cuticle for the greater part of their length, and so far are covered with setæ also arranged more or less in rings, those on the inner side being longer than those on the outer. The tips of these cerci are thin-skinned, and function as tactile papillæ; this is indicated by shading in the drawing of L. Spitzbergensis given as frontispiece.

The two rudimentary cirri are reduced to papillæ on the dorsal surface of the anal segment; they are thin-skinned, and surrounded anteriorly by a rampart of thorns; from the centre of each rises a long branched tactile hair.

The whole body is covered with hairs. We have found at least four kinds.

(1) There are very fine hairs in groups of two and three; they are apparently longer $(ca. 4\mu)$ on the intersegmental membranes than on the harder parts of the cuticle $(ca. 2\mu)$. We have found them chiefly on the exposed abdominal segments. It is very doubtful whether they are sensory; their great numbers and minute size render it probable that they serve to prevent the attachment of other organisms which might hinder free locomotion. On the other hand,

this very roughness might favour the attachment of spores.

(2) There are, further, short straight hairs which seemed to be sensory, but all our attempts to trace their elements through the cuticle were baffled; in one place alone, where the cuticle was split from the hypodermis, we saw fine processes connecting the points where the hairs arose with the hypodermis, and these may have been nerve fibres. These short hairs are very numerous, especially in the frontal and dorsal regions of the head.

(3) There are undoubted sensory hairs whose nerves even with a low power are easy to follow into the hypodermis, where they probably join the subhypodermal nerve plexus.

(4) The sensory hairs and setæ on the limbs may perhaps be classified as follows.

(a) Tufts of minute hairs on small papillæ round the edges of the endites, and along the outer edges of the gnathobases.

 (β) Long feathered hairs on the gnathobases, occurring together with sharp tooth-like setæ, which latter help to give the gnathobases the character of jaws (see Fig. 9, p. 47). The nerves of these highly developed tactile hairs are easy to follow; the ganglia at their roots are compound (see Fig. 31, p. 131).

 (γ) A fringe of similarly feathered hairs round the flabella, which we have homologised with the sensory cirri of the dorsal parapodia. The flabella being transparent, the nerves can easily be followed.

(δ) Hooked or bent hairs on the first antennæ which we homologise with the olfactory hairs of the higher Crustacea (see Fig. 7, p. 34).

To these may be added :---

(ϵ) Fine sensory hairs thickly covering the inner surfaces of both upper and lower lips.

The gill, as already stated, has no hairs, since these would hinder the free flow of the respiratory medium.

Besides these different kinds of hairs, there are stiff denticulate bristles in rows near the bases, on the flat surfaces, of the rhomboidal feelers (the endites), which probably hinder the escape of prey between the limbs (see Fig. 5, p. 23, and p. 46).

While, perhaps, the finer sensory hairs may be homologised with similar tactile hairs in the Annelida, it is not easy to homologise the more highly developed setæ. If any of the original Annelidan setæ have been transformed into the hollow Crustacean hairs, the transformation would have to be described somewhat as follows. The thickening of the cuticle supplying a firm base for the seta, it would not be necessary for it to sink below the integumental surface. Again, the integument not being liable to be thrown into folds like the soft skin of the Annelida, the seta would not require to be movable; hence there is no need for it to project from a sac-like group of secreting cells under the cuticle, provided with muscular attachments. The Crustacean seta is a hollow process of the cuticle secreted by a ring of hypodermis cells, through which the nerve runs into the lumen of the seta. At the base of the hair, the

nerve swells into a ganglion. In the feathered hairs, a fibre runs into each barb, and the ganglion is a regular group of cells (see Fig. 31, p. 131). This nerve may well be the nerve which originally supplied the setiparous gland of the Annelid, and the ring of secreting cells all that remains of the sac itself.

On each side of the under lip is a straight longitudinal row of sensory papillæ. As these are sometimes found thickly clogged with particles of food, they probably border the channel leading into the œsophagus on each side, to hinder juices, &c., from escaping laterally under the mandibles.

THE EYES.

We are here brought face to face with a problem of no small difficulty. It is clear that if our theory is true, we have to attempt to explain the development of the eye of Apus, *i.e.*, the development of the typical Crustacean eye from that of the Annelids. It is hardly necessary to dwell upon the difficulty of such a task, since it is but too well known that the last word has not been said as to the actual structure of either the Crustacean or the Annelidan eye. Still we cannot turn from the attempt, especially as we hope to be able to show that if we do not go into too many details, and at the same time keep constantly in mind the effect which the development of a thick chitinous cuticle would naturally have upon the hypodermal eye-spots, it is possible to sketch a fairly probable origin of the Arthropodan eye.

We confine our attention at present entirely to the paired eyes, reserving the unpaired "eye" for special description later on. We may here say that, whether this attempt to explain the origin of the Crustacean eye as a visual organ from the Annelidan eye succeeds or not, our theory will not be affected, for there are points in the anatomy of the eye of Apus, such as the musculature and the space between the eye and the integument for water, which are easily enough explained on our theory, and which would, we think, be very difficult to explain on any other theory. If then we ourselves fail to trace the rise of the elements of the Crustacean eye, another, better fitted for the task, will no doubt be more successful.

As already stated in the introductory chapter, our original Annelid is supposed to have had (like the Nereidæ) two pairs of eyes on the prostomium, which we have called simple eye-spots. Von Graber has shown that these Chætopodan eye-spots are by no means simple structures, but are complicated visual organs. This, however, does not make our task any the more difficult, because we attribute the transformation of the Annelidan into the Crustacean eye chiefly to the thickening of the cuticle, which is one of the Crustacean characteristics of the Nauplius before the paired eyes are formed. The simple hypodermal elements of the Annelidan eye have thus had to develop, in each individual, not under Annelidan but under Crustacean conditions, i.e., under a thickening exoskeleton.

When we come to ask what are the most character-

istic elements in the Crustacean eye, we find them to be (1) the crystal cones, and (2) the retinulæ, *i.e.*, definite groupings of a certain number of retinal and pigment cells (see Fig. 23). In the first of these we have a new structure, whose development must be accounted for; the second may safely be assumed to be merely specialised hypodermal sensory (*i.e.*, visual) cells; we have simply to account for the "retinulation" of these cells, as Lankester calls the grouping of them into retinulæ.

We assume, then, that first of all the crystal cones were but slight irregularities in the thickness and refractiveness of the developing cuticle. Under these irregularities, *i.e.*, under those which either concentrated the light or otherwise favoured its passage, the visual cells would naturally tend to group. We say naturally, because it is clear that, under places through which the light but feebly penetrated, the visual cells would be rendered useless. In process of time, certain definite irregularities of the cuticle would be selected and further developed as lenses, &c., for collecting the light. We find in the eye of Limulus the particular form of cuticular development which may have given rise to the crystal cones of Apus (cf. Fig. 22 with Fig. 23). This fact is particularly interesting because we have already seen that Limulus has retained the original position of the brain in the bent Annelid. And here we find the same animal supplying a form of eye which shows clearly a possible origin of the Crustacean crystal cones. We have only to assume that such conical processes

of the cuticle as we find in Limulus projecting inwards became separated from the cuticle, and thereby, naturally, surrounded by their secreting hypodermis cells, and we have at once the Crustacean crystal cones and cone-cells.¹

In the eye of Limulus we further find visual sensory cells forming groups or retinulæ at the tips of the cones, these latter having been gradually pushed



FIG. 22.—Section through the eye of Limulus (after Lankester). c, cuticle which grows into conical papillæ directed inwards, and pushing down the hypodermis cells (hy); at the tips of the cones are found the retinulæ imbedded in connective tissue.

down below the hypodermis into the subhypodermal connective tissue. We find exactly the same in the eye of Apus (see Fig. 23), where the crystal cones and the hypodermis cells form the original hypodermis layer, the retinulæ having been pushed down even

¹ Grenacher in his *Schorgane der Arthropoden* states that the cones in Limulus have nothing to do with the Crustacean crystal cones. Our contention here is, however, that some such conicle projections of the cuticle, not necessarily exactly similar to those in Limulus, may easily be supposed to have produced the Crustacean crystal cones, by being separated from their cuticle. below the connective tissue layer. In this way we get the double layer of cells composing the typical Crustacean eye.



FIG. 23.—Diagram of two single eyes of the paired eyes of Apus. *hy*, original undifferentiated hypodermis cells secreting outer cuticular membrane. *cc*, crystal cone cells: = differentiated hypodermis cells secreting the cones (*cr*); *cl*, layer of connective tissue fibres = subhypodermal connective tissue layer; γ , retinulz, *i.e.*, groups of sensory (retinal) cells (γz), with their rhabdoms (γz), and pigment cells (βz); these belong to the hypodermis, but are thrust down below the subhypodermal connective tissue layer by the cones.

The great advantage of this separation of the cones is not far to seek. The rounding off of the distal ends

¹ See Appendix II. on the pigment in these cells.

of these cones seems one of the simplest methods of obtaining convex surfaces to act as lenses for the reception of the light-rays from all directions. The formation of corncal lenses over these cones is a secondary and, we think, a much more complicated specialisation.

Another possible advantage gained in the separation of the cones from the cuticle is the slight possibility of movement which the separate ommatidia or single eyes would thereby acquire. It appeared clear to us, during our study of the eye of Apus, that the separate elements were capable of slight movement, brought about, no doubt, by the layer of connective tissue, which is only indicated by faint lines in the diagram (Fig. 23), but which in reality is very highly developed. The slight attachment of the crystal cone cells to the cuticle would not altogether prevent such small movements as we suppose.

If the Crustaccan eye is in this way to be referred to the formation of the exoskeleton, it seems clear that no special value can be attached to similarity of eyes in establishing the relationships between animal groups. The development of an exoskeleton is common to the whole class of the Arthropoda, and there is no reason why very different forms of cuticular irregularity should not be utilised by the visual cells, which would group themselves accordingly in different ways. We thus see no reason whatever for trying to deduce the one form of Arthropodan eye from the other, it being more probable that they are with a few exceptions independent groupings of the sensory cells under different forms of cuticular irregularities.

Returning to the eye of Apus, it is of special interest to find that the eyes of all the Crustacea which we assume to have descended from Apus may be referred back to different groupings and modifications of the ommatidia, whose first development in Apus we have endeavoured to describe.

The formation of the corneal facets above the crystal cones may be due to a further utilisation of irregularities in the thickness of the cuticle which remains after the separation of the crystal cones. In this way, we think, the gradual development of the Crustacean eye may have gone hand in hand with the thickening of the cuticle to form the exoskeleton characteristic of the class. We have two highly plastic elements, the hypodermis, with its scattered sensory and pigment cells, and the thickening cuticle. We cannot help thinking that it was the latter which, coming between the sensory cells and the source of stimulation, took the lead in the formation of the different kinds of Arthropodan eye.

Before dismissing the subject of the development of the Crustacean eye we feel that some apology is necessary for treating it so shortly and so lightly. We have not attempted to work through the enormous literature on the structure and development of the Crustacean eye.¹ Our object here has been to

¹ While these pages have been passing through the press we have had occasion to read Watase's admirable paper on the "Morphology of the Compound Eyes of Arthropods." It was especially interesting describe a possible development of the Annelidan cyc-spots into simple Arthropodan cycs, that being all that we here need, in our endeavour to show that Apus is a very primitive Crustacean, and at the same time but a slightly modified Annelid. What we have here written is a preliminary suggestion as to the probable rise of the Crustacean eye. We hope in another place, and in another connection, to discuss it more fully, dealing especially with the sensory elements and their physiological significance.

Some further morphological details relating to the paired eyes of Apus fortunately admit of more satisfactory deduction from the Annelida than does the fine structure of the eyes themselves.

As to their position, we have two remarks to make: I. We find them on the dorsal frontal surface, whereas in the original Annelid they were on the prostomium. It has already been assumed that, on the fixation of the bent attitude of the five anterior Annelidan segments, they gradually wandered round on to the dorsal surface. There is no great difficulty in this assumption, especially as we have seen, in our investigation of the central nervous system, that the position of the brain and the divided œsophageal commissures indicate that such a wandering of the

to us to find that he had also selected the compound eye of Limulus as the nearest type of the primitive Crustacean eye. We do not see what is gained by his assumption of integumental pits. It is not easy to see how the various stages in the development of these pits could have functioned as visual organs. eyes has taken place. The length of the stalks of the optic nerve, and the secondary drawing out of the longitudinal commissures of the anterior ventral ganglia, point to the same conclusion.

2. We find the eyes close together, *i.e.*, about as near to one another as they probably were on the prostomium. This point is important, because it is often assumed that the eyes of Apus have moved together from the sides towards the middle line, whereas, on the contrary, we hold that the eyes of Apus have kept about the same distance apart as they were on the prostomium of the Annelid ancestor, and that it is the eyes of the higher Crustacea which wander apart and take up positions at the sides. The gradual reduction of the dorsal shield, in the majority of the descendants of Apus, facilitates the wandering to the sides. The case of Limulus is particularly interesting. Here, as will be described later, the eyes wandered from the first, not forwards and upwards, but sideways and upwards, so that the brain could not follow as in Apus, but, being drawn in two opposite directions, remained where it was, the extraordinary length of the optic nerves showing clearly that the eyes must have wandered considerably.

Almost more interesting, however, are the watersacs which spread out over the eyes of Apus, between them and the integument. These have never, we think, been described before, at least in detail, and here deserve particular attention as lending support to our theory of the migration of the eyes. Fig. 24 is a diagram of these water-sacs. A small pore, in the shape of a fine transverse slit (see Figs. 24 and 69, p. 303) in front of the eyes, is, in large specimens, visible to the naked eye. This leads



FIG. 24.—Diagram to show the water-sacs over the eyes of Apus. s, water-sacs; c, canal leading into the same; p, pore; c, paired eye; b, brain; a, esophagal commissure; ac_s , optic ganglion; m, eye-muscles. The eye is drawn in the section r, in reality a median section passing through the pore passes between the eyes; a_2 , unpaired eye receiving a branch from the water-canal.

into a canal, which runs along the dorsal surface of the unpaired "eye." Here it widens out considerably, its upper and lower chitinous membranes being in close contact, except in the median line above the unpaired "eye" (see Fig. 27, p. 105). At the posterior end of the unpaired "eye" it gives off a branch which runs into that organ, as will be described later. The sacs then widen out over the eyes, as shown in Fig. 24.

This water-layer probably facilitates the movement of the eyes by the ring of muscles attached round their rims. It is not improbable that the sacs further serve as lenses, but this cannot be stated with certainty. As for the mechanism by which the water is drawn in and out, a contraction of the whole ring of muscles at once would draw the water in, while a general pressure of the body fluid under the eyes, caused by muscular contractions in other parts of the body, would expel it through the canal.

This whole structure has probably been developed in the following way. The original head showed constrictions between the segments of which it was composed, *i.e.*, folds of the skin projecting inwards. The eyes, in travelling backwards, would necessarily have to pass by these folds. The first fold of all would be that between the prostomium and the first segment. It is clear that the eyes must either be stopped in such a fold, or else carry it back with them. This latter is what we suppose took place at the posterior edge of the prostomium. The eyes came against the fold of the first segment, which generally overlaps the prostomium when the body is at all contracted. Under this the eyes would disappear.¹

¹ It is clear that while the bending round of the anterior segments would so stretch the dorsal integument as to obliterate all such constric-

It would be impossible for them to get further than the posterior end of this fold unless they dragged it after them, and thus, as we suppose, the fold has travelled backwards with the eyes, the front part gradually closing, till only a fine transverse slit is left.

This derivation of the water-sacs receives some support from the ring of muscles round the eyes, which are clearly bands borrowed from the longitudinal musculature. Their sinewy attachment joins the large muscle which runs down from near the eyes to be attached near the prostomium. A comparison of Fig. 24 with Figs. 12 and 13, p. 56, makes the origin of the eye muscles very evident. As the eyes, which are hypodermal structures, travelled backwards, dragging an intersegmental fold back with them, they naturally took along with them some of the longitudinal muscle bands attached to that fold. This accounts for the way in which a hypodermal structure, such as the original Annelidan eye, became an independent organ, movable by a special and apparently highly developed system of muscles.

The development of the stalked eye from the eye of Apus appears to us by no means such a simple matter to understand. We are inclined to think that it may have taken place in two different ways: (I) By the gradual projection of the eye itself above the surface of the body (we find such projections of the eye stalks in many Trilobites). (2) By the tions between them, this would not be the case with the fold between the prostomium and the first segment. The labrum of Apus was probably at first a movable organ. gradual diminution of the shield and head, and the sinking in of the sides of the latter till the eyes, with their cones of long muscle-bands (cf. Fig. 24, m), became movable lateral ridges running dorso-ventrally. Their gradual complete articulation at their bases would then easily follow. This method of transforming the eyes of Apus into movable stalked eyes is well exemplified in Branchipus stagnalis, which is nearly related to Apus. The head region seems in it to be reduced to a small base for carrying the enormous second antennæ and the stalked eyes.

THE UNPAIRED "EYE."

This organ, which is just visible as a dark spot between the paired eyes of Apus, is often called the "rudimentary median eye of Apus." Closer study of it, however, reveals that it is a highly developed sensory organ with definite functions of its own. In describing the water-sacs over the eye, we have already had occasion to refer to it, and we there found that through the canal which leads into these watersacs its interior is also in open communication with the external world, that is, if the chitinous fold which runs into it is really open at the end. It is better to defer a discussion as to the use of this organ until after an examination of its general structure and probable origin.

Beyond the account of the middle eye of a Copepod by Grenacher, this organ has received very little

100

attention. It is generally referred to as the x-shaped eye-spot which occurs throughout the Entomostraca, but disappears during larval life in the Malacostraca. It is, as a rule, so small, that investigation of its finer structure is difficult. In Apus, however, the unpaired eye is so large that its finer details are made out with comparative ease. This fact is especially important from our point of view, for if Apus is really the (or a) primitive Crustacean, then all the unpaired eyes throughout the whole class are in all probability only modifications of that of Apus. Hence it is necessary, for a comparative study of these organs, to have some accurate knowledge of their original form. We feel justified in assuming that this organ in Apus is in its original form, not only because Apus has retained so many primitive (i.e. Annelidan) characteristics, but because, as will be described below, this form gives us a clue as to the origin of the organ out of an anterior pair of Annelidan eye-spots. In these pages we must of course confine our attention exclusively to the unpaired eye of Apus, describing in order (1) its general structure; (2) its probable origin; and (3) its present functions.

Structure.—Two groups of sensory cells, each forming what is in this connection generally called a retina, yield the two side walls of a cavity which is flat at the top and rounded below. The top consists of the chitinous fold, already described as forming the water-sac, while the lower part hangs free in the body cavity. Anteriorly, the cavity runs to a point along the watercanal (see Fig. 25); posteriorly, it ends in a blunt point very nearly abutting on the brain. The posterior wall of the cavity and the posterior half of its floor are also composed of somewhat similar retinæ. The



FIG. 25.—Longitudinal median section through the unpaired "eye," diagrammatic. 27, ventral; dr, dorsal retina; p, tangle of pigment cells; s, water-space over the eyes; c, canal of the same, giving off a branch (c_1) into the interior of the organ; b, brain.

sensory ends of all these retinal cells point inwards; the nerves from the outer ends of the cells unite together to run towards the brain, forming from the four retinæ four nerve strands on which the unpaired "eye" seems to stand on the brain, as on four stalks, between the stalks of the optic ganglia. The stalk of the ventral retina is distinguished by the presence of several enormous ganglion cells, apparently the largest in the whole nervous system of Apus.



FIG. 26.—Lateral view of the unpaired "eye," diagrammatic. τr , ventral ; dr, dorsal ; br, lateral retina; b, brain ; S, water-space; c, canal of the same; p, tangle of pigment cells. Only the outer ends of the lateral retinal cells can be seen.

A cross section of the posterior end of the organ looks to the naked eye like an X. The retinæ, bulging in somewhat towards the interior of the cavity, give its lumen this form. (See Fig. 27, 3.) The pigment cells which fill the cavity form a tangle of pseudopodia without any apparent arrangement. They contain the same minute olive green pigment granules as the other pigment cells, which spread out in great numbers over the subhypodermal connective tissue layer throughout the body. This, however, is not always the case ; some specimens had brown pigment in large granules like those of the paired eyes. Some of the pseudopodia penetrate a long way between the retinal cells, and, at the surface of the organ where there are no retinal cells, the pigment cells with their long processes form together the external surface, the whole structure having apparently no enclosing membrane. The pigment cells, in fact, are nothing more than a plexus of the ordinary pigment cells which spread out irregularly throughout the whole body, among the subhypodermal connective tissue.

In a well-preserved specimen, in which the pigment did not happen to be very dense, the cells were seen to send down processes towards the inner sensory ends of the retinal cells. As these processes were regularly arranged, and free from pigment, it was difficult at first to decide whether they belonged to the retinal or to the pigment cells. We mistook them at first for large cilia belonging to the retinal cells.

It will be seen from the drawings that the retinal cells are not all of the same size. The lateral retinæ are composed of two distinct groups, an anterior group of long narrow cells, and a posterior group of short thicker cells. The ventral retina is composed solely of large thick cells, and the dorsal of two groups of



similar large cells, the smaller group placed dorsally and slightly anteriorly to the other.

As already described, a fine branch of the water canal, on which this sensory body is suspended, runs in towards the angle made by the dorsal and ventral retinæ. This fine canal is shown in Fig. 25 and in sections 2 and 3, Fig. 27.

This structure of the median eye seems to be common to all the specimens of the different species of the Apodidæ examined by us. In series of sections the organ is very likely to be displaced by the tearing away of the chitinous tube. On this ground it would require a much more extended study to ascertain whether arrangements which sometimes appear to be characteristic of the organ in the different species are not really due to defects in the preservation of the animals or in the preparation of the sections.

Enough has here been said as to the general structure to bear out what we maintain—viz., that the unpaired eye is no rudimentary organ, but in reality a highly developed sensory body playing a most important part in the life of the animal.

Origin.—As to the probable origin of this organ, everything points to its having been originally composed of an anterior pair of eyes on the Annelidan prostomium. The two posterior eyes formed the paired eyes, the two anterior, which were nearer together than the posterior pair, united to form the unpaired eye. The evidence in favour of this origin seems to us to be overwhelming.

When the paired eyes travelled into the end of the

fold as already described, the anterior pair followed them, and also disappeared under the fold, but, naturally, nearer the opening. While the paired eyes, perhaps with the aid of the water lenses, remained functional as eyes, the anterior pair of Annelidan eyes seem to have been dragged out of their hypodermal



FIG. 28.—Diagram to show the origin of the unpaired eye out of two eye-spots, the retinæ being drawn down to form the lateral retinæ of that organ. ≯, pigment cells. The pigment in the eyes is not drawn.

position, and to have been arranged so as together to form the cavity already described. The hypodermis cells can still be seen secreting the chitinous membrane of the under side of the water-sac. The retinæ now forming the unpaired eye seem to be connected with the original hypodermis only through fine connective tissue and pigment strands, similar pigment cells also crowding between the retinæ. Fig. 28 is a diagram to show the way in which we suppose the unpaired eye to have been formed out of a pair of simple hypodermal eyes. Further investigation must decide whether the dorsal and ventral retinæ and the larger posterior cell groups of the lateral retinæ are later differentiations of the same two original eyes or new developments. In the inner ends of the retinal cells, *i.e.*, in that part of the cells which points inwards, irregular roundish or oval refractive bodies of different sizes are found; these are probably remains of rods or rhabdomeres originally secreted by these retinal cells.

The whole structure of the organ, its apparently loose connection with the hypodermis, the chitinous fold which runs down as a branch from the water-canal into its cavity, the occasional occurrence of brown eye pigment instead of the olive green connective tissue pigment, the nerves of its retinæ running separately into the brain, all tend to support the above view of its origin (*cf.* also p. 169).

Further corroboration of this theory of the origin of the unpaired eye from the anterior pair of Annelidan eyes will be found in the section on Limulus. In that animal the anterior Annelidan eyes remain as eyes, but are reduced to ocelli, or eyes with one single large cuticular lens. These ocelli first appear, according to Packard, on the ventral surface, and wander on to the dorsal surface in the course of the later development.¹ This astonishing fact receives its full explanation if we

 $^{^1}$ We shall also find clear traces of a migration of the eyes in the Crustacean Nauplius, § xi. Figs. 36 and 37, p. 158.

admit the relationship between Limulus and Apus, and deduce them both from the same bent Annelid, in which the eyes wander from the prostomium, where they are useless as eyes, to a position where they can function as such. In Part II. we hope to show that the derivation of Apus and that of Limulus from the same bent Annelid stand or fall together.

Function.—As to the function of the unpaired eye, we can perhaps with some certainty conclude that in Apus at least it regulates the position of the body in the water. Its structure out of four sensory retinæ at once suggests such a function, while further, the loose tangle of pigment cells would constitute a body free to be acted on by the earth's attraction. The organ is perhaps rendered more perfect by the rounded arrangement of the retinal cells, which thus present many different surfaces to appreciate the movement of the mass of pigment cells under the action of gravity.

It is difficult to ascertain for certain whether the chitinous canal *opens* in the cavity of this sensory organ or not. If it opens in the cavity to fill it with water we should rather expect to find a more definite membrane round the whole organ. If, on the other hand, it does not open, it may be a structure for the appreciation of changes of pressure, *i.e.*, of depth. The end of the tube as shown in Fig. 27 (2 and 3) is irregular, and in section seems as if it might be a loose empty sac. As the outer pressure increases, such a fine membranous sac would be the first part of the body to feel it, and would commence to swell. But such an appreciation of changes of pressure could also no doubt be equally well effected if the canal were open, the increase of pressure leading to a rush of water into the cavity. Further, whether the canal is open at the end or closed, it would serve well for enabling the animal to have a rapid perception of changes of temperature in the water, the inlet of cold water acting at once on the pigment cells.

We may perhaps find some confirmation of our supposition that this sensory body fulfils various functions, in the fact that there are at least two kinds of retinal cells. The diagrams in Fig. 27 show not only differences in the forms of the cells, but also different groupings of the cells. The end of the canal is shown close to the posterior groups of short thick cells.

We have thus a comparatively simple but extremely useful organ, probably adapted for the immediate appreciation of the changes of depth and temperature in the medium in which the animal lives, and further for regulating its position in the water. The Apodidæ are, from all accounts, invariably excellent swimmers. Keeping the ventral surface of the body uppermost, they dive occasionally with great rapidity, rising again to skim along just below the surface of the water. Some organ to regulate such definite movements is clearly necessary.

That this is at least one of the chief functions of the organ is rendered probable by its early appearance in the Nauplius larva. The powerful rowing limbs of this free-swimming larva render some directive body necessary; hence the appearance of this organ along with the rowing limbs, and long before the paired eyes are developed or needed. It is also worth noting that the unpaired "eye" is especially characteristic of most small free-living Crustacea such as the Ostracoda, Cladocera, and non-parasitic Copepoda.¹ In some of these animals the organ probably combines rudimentary visual with directive sensory functions, the visual function being secondarily acquired, as there can be little doubt that it has entirely ceased in Apus.

This view of the function of the unpaired eye, by explaining its early appearance in the larva, makes it unnecessary to suppose that it is, as is generally assumed, therefore phylogenetically older than the paired eyes. On the other hand, its appearance in the larva of all Crustacea rightly leads to the conclusion that it was present in the original racial form of the class. According to our theory, Apus being the ancestor of the majority of the modern Crustacea, the unpaired eye appeared for the first time as such in Apus.

A further and more exact study of this interesting organ in Apus, and a comparison of it with the homologous organs in other Crustaceans or Crustacean larvæ, is very desirable. It is impossible here to follow up the matter further, as it would lead us too far from the main subject of the book, which is an endeavour to show how every single organ of Apus admits of more or less easy derivation from similar or dissimilar organs of a carnivorous Annelid.

¹ Some of the differences between the unpaired eye of Calanella described by Grenacher, and that of Apus will be referred to in § XV.

SECTION VII

THE ALIMENTARY CANAL

ALTHOUGH it is not, as a rule, possible to draw any conclusions as to the relationship between animal groups from the similarity of their alimentary canals, yet the likeness between the digestive tract of the Apodidæ and that of the Annelida is so striking that it must be admitted to be of some weight in establishing the relationship which this book seeks to prove. Allowing for the bend in the æsophagus, the alimentary canal runs straight through the body from end to end, and the mid-gut is lined by the thread-like ciliated epithelium characteristic of that of the Annelida.

The bending of the first five segments of our Annelid, so that the mouth not only lies ventrally but faces posteriorly, necessarily led to a bend in the alimentary canal, so that, from the mouth, the œsophagus would slope upwards and forwards. We find that it has this position in the Apodidæ, and from the Apodidæ it has been handed on to the whole class of the Crustacea as one of their most constant characteristics. It is not easy to imagine a simpler or more likely explanation of this extraordinary bend in the intestinal tract than that here given; and if it is true, its importance for the purposes of classification is at once evident. We shall return to this subject in the section on the relations of Apus to Limulus and to the Trilobites.

The œsophagus itself corresponds with that of the original Annelid, which was probably provided with a protrusible pharynx. The loss of this proboscis would naturally follow on the adoption of a browsing manner of life, and the gradual adaptation of the parapodia as instruments for pushing food into the mouth. The œsophagus is very muscular, and is provided with muscle bands radiating forwards into the forehead, and backwards into the sinewy mass already described. These bands serve to dilate it, while its powerful circular muscles close it; when closed it is thrown into folds. The dilators may perhaps be the remains of the retractors and extensors of the pharynx. The œsophagus is lined by a chitinous intima and provided with setæ which project upwards so as to form a fish-trap apparatus. The paired glands which open on the under lip close to the mouth (see Fig. 29) will be described in the section (IX.) on the excretory and other glands.

The œsophagus is in Apus comparatively simple, but it is easy to see how a part of such a muscular apparatus, with its chitinous intima folded, and thrown into strong movement by every act of swallowing, might become differentiated into a masticatory stomach, such as we find in the higher Crustacea. No such differentiation is, however, visible in Apus. In Limulus we shall find the chitinous ridges used for masticatory purposes in what is called the proventriculus, which is homologous with the masticatory stomach of the higher Crustacea.

The œsophagus projects somewhat into the mid-



FIG. 29.—Diagram of the branched diverticula of the anterior end of the mid-gut (m), on the left without the glandular (hepatic) branched cœca, on the right a few of the latter are drawn. w, entrance to the œsophagus, on each side of which are seen two long glands opening together in the middle line, assumed to be the acicular glands of the vanished parapodia of the first antennal segment.

gut, which is a large sac with lateral diverticula—five or six on each side; these unite, in Apus, to enter the mid-gut together (Fig. 29). These diverticula fill up the large flat head widened by the ridge running round the frontal region, as already described. Diverticula of the mid-gut are common among Annelids, and serve to increase the digesting surface.

The diverticula of Apus are especially interesting

as showing a perfect transition stage between the simple digesting diverticula of the Annelids, and the hepato-pancreatic glands of the higher Crustacea. In Apus, particles of food are found in all the wider parts of the diverticula which, like the rest of the mid-gut, are lined with ciliated epithelium. Smaller branching invaginations from these diverticula (see Fig. 29) contain large glandular cells, which occur in great numbers towards their tips. The secretion of the glands is no doubt forwarded by the ciliated epithelium, which is everywhere present when not entirely displaced by the glandular cells. In the preserved specimens this secretion formed brown crystals.

In order to turn these digesting diverticula, provided with glandular cells at their branched distal ends, into the lobate hepato-pancreas of the higher Crustacea, we have only to imagine the glandular cells increasing so as entirely to displace the ciliated digesting epithelium, and the lumen of the diverticula themselves narrowed to form glandular ducts.

In Astacus, where the mid-gut has almost entirely disappeared, these diverticula are highly developed tassel-like hepato-pancreatic glands. Apus supplies us with a perfect transition stage, showing the origin of these livers out of the digesting diverticula of the Annelidan mid-gut.

The epithelium of the mid-gut, like that of the diverticula, is composed of minute thread-like cells with nuclei near their basal ends. They stand on a basal membrane, round which run at short intervals

I 2

fine circular bands of transversely striated muscles. We find here a striking resemblance with the mid-gut of many carnivorous Annelids.

The mid-gut passes gradually into the hind-gut; it is very difficult to fix upon the exact place where the chitinous intima of the latter commences. In passing from one to the other, the muscular layer is more and more developed; the epithelium gradually changes, becoming more and more a glandular epithelium, with large round glandular cells arranged in great numbers, and pouring their contents through pores¹ in the chitinous intima into the hind-gut. From the position of these glands we are fairly safe in concluding that they are excretory.

In the anal segment the rectum is attached to the body wall by radiating muscles, which act as dilators, while the strong circular muscles keep it closed. As in the œsophagus, the wall of the rectum is thrown into folds, which run longitudinally. The anus is situated at the extreme end of the body under the caudal plate, where such a plate is present, and between the caudal cirri or cercopoda.

 $^{1}\,$ The actual existence of these pores we have not, however, been able to demonstrate.

SECTION VIII

THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM

THE actual blood-vessels in Apus are limited to the long dorsal vessel or heart. Although, among the Annelida, circulatory systems are found of many different grades of development, it is not necessary to suppose that our original Crustacean-Annelid had a very simple blood vascular system, in order to account for the above fact. It seems to us probable that the development of an exoskeleton, which holds the organs in their places, and protects the inner parts generally from being squeezed together, renders special blood-vessels more or less unnecessary, the blood being able to bathe all the organs of the body without difficulty. On the other hand, this is not the case in a soft-skinned strongly contractile body, such for instance as that of the leech, where it is necessary to carry special blood streams between the organs which are liable to be crowded together. If then our original Crustacean-Annelid possessed a highly developed blood vascular system, it would naturally, with the development of the exoskeleton, give place to a simple lacunar system as sufficient for the needs of the body, the only vessel retained being the contractile dorsal heart, necessary for propelling the blood through this lacunar system. It may be objected that the trunk of Apus under the shield has a soft skin, and nevertheless the blood system in this part is entirely lacunar. The trunk is, however, not very contractile, and although the blood flows through lacunae, there are definite methods of propelling it through the intestinal sinus, which will be mentioned later on.

It is clear, then, that the absence of nearly all true blood-vessels from the circulatory system of Apus makes any comparison of it with that of an Annelid impossible. Apart, however, from the dorsal vessel or heart, which is generally recognised as an organ derived from Annelidan ancestors, there is, in Apus, a slight trace of a pair of typical Annelidan vessels; these are the short vessels which supply the shell glands. (See Fig. 30, p. 125.) They branch off from the dorsal vessel on each side, and descend towards the dorsal parapodia of the second pair of maxillæ, to dip under the shell gland. They are necessary for conducting the blood into the shield in which the coils of the gland lie. They may be homologous with a pair of lateral arteries from the dorsal vessel of the fifth segment, such as typically supply the parapodia and sides of the body in a carnivorous Annelid.

Attention must be drawn to the tapering away of the heart to a point towards the posterior end of the

118

body. This is in keeping with our general explanation of the morphology of the body of Apus. As we go from before backwards, the organs are less and less developed, the limbs are more and more rudimentary, and the musculature less and less specialised; the nervous system ceases where the rudimentary limbs cease, and the genital organs gradually diminish in size and development. The heart is no exception; it tapers away in about the tenth or eleventh segment into a point, not being developed in the more larval segments which come behind.

We may also perhaps mention that the blood in many, if not in all Apodidæ is coloured red, as is the case in many Annelids. The direction of the blood through the body is the same as in the Annelidsdorsally from behind forwards, ventrally from before backwards. On its way back through the intestinal sinus, which will be presently described, it streams out ventrally through fenestræ in the membrane which forms the sinus. (See Fig. 14, m, p. 59.) It is thus enabled to stream over the ventral cord, and then outwards on each side along the ventral side of each limb. At the end of the limb it turns round to run back along its dorsal edge and thus passes through the gills. It passes up thence through the lateral dermo-muscular sinus of each segment into the pericardial sinus, and thence through the ostia into the heart.1

We have now to try and trace the origin of the

¹ As this account differs from that of Zaddach, a fuller description with illustrations will be given in Appendix III. p. 296.

lacunar system of Apus more in detail. Its main features are very simple, and in this respect it shows a primitive character. In the main it may be said to consist of but one membrane, forming a tube which runs from the anterior end of the first trunk segment (where it is attached all round to the body wall) to the end of the body. This membranous tube surrounds the intestine and genital glands, while between it and the body wall lie the heart, the ventral cord, and all the musculature, except the dorso-ventral bands which run between the intestine and the genital glands. (See Fig. 14, p. 59; cf. also Fig. 66, p. 297.) The membrane is attached to the body wall, at least in the first eleven trunk segments, by segmental dissepiments, which correspond with the segmental constrictions of the body. These dissepiments extend dorsally to the points of attachment of the dorsoventral muscles, which raise up the membrane in conical folds. Between these dorso-ventral muscle rows the membrane hangs free of the dorsal body wall, thus forming the cardial sinus, in which the heart is expanded by an arrangement of connective tissue fibres.

In trying to trace the origin of this membrane and these dissepiments (see Fig. 67, s, p. 298) from the internal organs of the original Annelid, we naturally begin with the latter, as reminding us at once of the Annelidan septa. Are they the remains of such septa? The answer depends on the interpretation we give to the membrane forming the intestinal sinus.

Glancing at the membrane then as a whole, as a
tube running through the whole trunk outside of the dermal musculature, and containing the intestine and the genital glands, its origin is at once suggested to us. It appears to be the parietal layer of the cœlom epithelium of the original Annelid, loosened from the body wall except at certain definite points, viz., where it is in contact with the ventral muscle bands, and laterally along the segmental constrictions, where it remains attached by means of the septa above mentioned, each of which extends dorsally as far as the point of attachment of the dorso-ventral muscle bands. (See Figs. 66, p. 297, and 67, p. 298.)

In this way we should at once get just such an intestinal sinus as we find in Apus, viz., a membranous tube lying just inside of the dermal musculature, the transverse dorso-ventral muscles being almost the only muscles found within the tube. Indeed the relation of the membrane to these muscle bands seems to support this view, for where these are attached to the dorsal surface, the membrane itself is raised up into conical folds in the manner illustrated in the diagram (Figs. 14, p. 59, and 66, p). This certainly looks as if the membrane had, as it were, fallen away from the integument. If this view is correct, the intestinal sinus corresponds with the body cavity of the original Annelid, and the dermo-muscular sinus of each segment is a new formation caused by the loosening of the epithelium from the body wall.

The dissepiments themselves may be folds of this membrane grown together. If so, these partial dissepiments must have been secondarily acquired, after the original Annelidan dissepiments had disappeared. There is no great difficulty nor, indeed, improbability in such a supposition. We may indeed find in these dissepimental folds, attaching the membrane to the body wall, traces of the former presence of the old Annelidan septa, which may have originally run in between the folds just as the transverse dorsoventral muscles run up into the conical folds of the membrane, as already described and marked p in the figures.

If this latter view is correct, we then explain the origin of the lacunar system of Apus by the loosening of the cœlom epithelium from the heart and from the dorsal surface in the immediate neighbourhood of the heart so as to form the longitudinal cardial sinus. On each side of this sinus laterally it remains attached to the intersegmental folds, being loosened, however, from the segmental walls, so as to form the lateral segmental dermo-muscular sinuses, which conduct the blood from the gills to the cardial sinus.

The separate stages by which this lacunar system took the place of a blood vascular system, are not difficult to imagine. The first step in the transformation would be the gradual degeneration of the separate blood-vessels and the consequent mingling of the blood with the body fluid or lymph. The disappearance of the vessels supplying the dermal musculature, and the general diffusion of hæmolymph between the integument and the cœlom epithelium might very easily lead to the loosening of the latter from the integumental musculature, excepting along the intersegmental folds, across which the dermal blood-vessels of the original Annelid did not run (?). These two modifications, (1) the degeneration of the blood-vessels, and (2) the loosening of the peritoneum from the body walls, are all that is needed to produce the lacunar system of Apus from the blood vascular system of an Annelid.

The contrast between the circulatory systems of the Annelida and of the Apodidæ does not therefore stand in the way of the acceptance of our theory of their close relationship. Apart from the well-known fact that, among the Invertebrata at least, blood vascular systems have little morphological value, we have here shown how simply the lacunar system of Apus can be deduced from the blood vascular system of an Annelid.

We reserve any further discussion of the cœlom epithelium, a part of which has been here used up in the formation of the lacunar system, till we come to the genital glands, when we shall again see what an important part it has played in transforming the Annelid into the Crustacean. (See further p. 169 and Appendix III.)

SECTION IX

EXCRETORY AND OTHER GLANDS

The Shell gland.—THE most conspicuous glands of the Apodidæ, the long coils of which are seen in the shield, one on each side of the middle line, are known as the shell glands. These are generally homologised with the Annelidan nephridia.

From Figs. 1 and 2 it will be seen that we have assumed that the acicular gland of the dorsal parapodium of the fifth segment became excretory, and grew into the growing shell fold, thus forming the shell gland. The position of its external opening, at the tip of the dorsal branch of the second maxilla, agrees exactly with this supposition. The distal end of it is a chitin-lined sac running through the shaft of this limb.¹ The proximal end of the gland has grown into the shield, and is of great length, being folded six times upon itself. That the inner part of the gland has grown upwards, and the limb bent downwards, can be seen by the course of the duct of

¹ See Appendix IV. for the finer structure of the gland.

the gland which, on leaving the shell fold, makes a sudden sharp bend downwards. We thus consider this shell gland as a striking link between our Annelid and Apus. The mesoblastic origin of the urinary canal may mean that this section of the gland is a



FIG. 30.—Diagram of the shell gland. k_s the heart; ac, the aorta cephalica. The blood is distributed to the gland through a special vessel on each side. ts, terminal saccule; uc, urinary canal; δ_s , chitin-lined bladder in the shaft of the dorsal parapodium of the and maxilla (m), homologous with the original setiparous gland of the Annelid.

new formation,—the bladder alone representing the acicular gland.

This homology of the Crustacean shell gland with the acicular gland of the fifth parapodium of the Annelid, naturally leads us to ask whether the acicular gland of any other of the head limbs has been preserved. The mandibles and first maxillæ have lost their dorsal parapodia almost entirely, and with them all traces of setiparous glands. The antennæ, however, are in this respect especially interesting. We have homologised them with the sensory cirri of the vanished or rudimentary dorsal parapodia of the first two segments. The acicular glands of both, however, have apparently been preserved in the Crustacea.

The Acicular gland of the first segment.—There is a pair of glands (salivary?) opening near the entrance of the œsophagus (Fig. 29, p. 114). We think that these belong almost certainly to the first antennæ;¹ they open together in a small transverse

¹ We were at first inclined to think that these "salivary" glands were the acicular glands of the parapodia of the second antenna, there being no antennal glands in Apus. Their opening in the mouth could then be explained as follows :—When the Annelid first took to browsing, its ventral parapodia near the mouth would not as yet have developed teeth. The acicula of the antennal parapodia might then have been used as piercers and killers of prey. They would thus be turned inwards towards the opening of the mouth, where their glands might persist as salivary glands after the development of the ventral parapodia of the third and fourth segments into jaws and maxillæ had rendered the acicula useless as teeth. It seemed to us more likely that the acicula of the parapodia of the segment would be so used, than that the acicula of the vanished parapodia of the first segment should redevelop for that purpose. We have to choose then between the following :

(1) These salivary glands are the acicular glands of the parapodia of the second antennæ, the acicula themselves having once functioned as teeth; this homologises them with the typical antennal glands of the Crustacea.

(2) They are the acicular glands of the vanished parapodia of the first antennæ which had redeveloped their acicula as teeth.

(3) They are the acicular glands of the vanished parapodia of the first antennæ which, *as glands*, need never have disappeared. While we think the oral position of the opening of the glands is better explained fissure on the inner side of the under lip, almost within the mouth. Their whole structure indicates that they are acicular glands. The ducts are long chitinous tubes which lead to a chitin-lined sac with a very fine epithelium, their proximal ends being attached to the body wall by muscle bands just as are the setiparous sacs in the Annelids. The figure shows the course of these long glands. What the exact function of the two glands, opening together in the mouth, may be, it is impossible to say. In all preparations they are found to be strongly contracted, so that the chitin-lined lumen is to be seen only with difficulty.

These "salivary" glands, developed out of acicular glands, are especially interesting as compared with the salivary glands of Peripatus, which have been shown to be transformed nephridia. In both cases the openings of the glands have united in the middle line. In both cases we have to assume that, the acicula or the secretions of their glands on the one hand, the excretion from the nephridia on the other, assisted the jaws in their alimental functions as the first step towards their differentiation into salivary glands.

The Acicular gland of the antennal parapodia or Antennal gland.—In Apus we could find no certain trace of an antennal gland at the base of the second antennæ; a slight indentation on the basal swelling seemed, however, to indicate that there had been

by the early use of the acicula as teeth, which would make our choice fall between I and 2, we think the last view is the most probable, although we do not reject the second alternative. an opening. Its redevelopment in the higher Crustacea is paralleled by the redevelopment of the dorsal parapodia of the mandibles, although the latter had disappeared in Apus. It is a well-known principle that organs which have disappeared may reappear in the descendants of those who have learnt to dispense with them.

The absence of the antennal gland in Apus is perhaps to be explained by the enormous size of the shell gland. In one specimen of L. Spitzbergensis, II mm. long to the tip of the caudal plate, the coils of the shell gland on each side measured over 25 mm. Such an enormous gland would no doubt be able to undertake the greater part of the excretion of the body.

We have thus, in the Crustacea, three setiparous glands preserved in the head : the salivary (?) gland of the first antennæ (in Apus at least), the antennal gland, and the shell gland of the second maxillæ.

The antennal glands as well as the shell glands are generally homologised with the Annelidan nephridia. From the foregoing account of the origin of these glands we repeat the following points, which must render such a homology improbable.

(I) The position of the external opening is on the *dorsal* parapodium,—an unlikely place for the opening of a nephridium, but, on the other hand, quite a proper place for the opening of an acicular gland.

(2) The structure of the glands as we find them (in Apus at least) is exactly that of setiparous glands.

A long chitin-lined duct opens into a similarly lined vesicle.

(3) In the "salivary" gland the chitinous sac ends blindly, the end being fastened by muscle bands to the body wall, exactly as is a setiparous gland of the Annulata. In the shell gland, however, the sac or bladder is continued into a long coiled urinary canal.¹ The position of this urinary canal in the dorsal fold, and the finer structure of its walls, seem to indicate that at least this part of the gland is a new formation. It in no sense reminds one of an Annelidan nephridium.

(4) These arguments are especially strong if the rest of our argument holds good, viz., that Apus is but a slightly transformed Annelid, or, indeed, if we only claim what is often admitted, that the Phyllopods stand nearest the racial form of the Crustaceans. If even this latter alone is the case, the shell gland of Apus, if a true nephridium, should show more likeness to a nephridium than do the shell glands of the higher Crustacea, which have departed further from the Annelidan type. We should expect the shell gland in Apus to be a transition form between the Annelidan nephridium and the Crustacean shell gland, just as we found the "liver" of Apus to be a true transition form between an ordinary digesting diverticulum such as is common among the Annelida, and the purely glandular hepato-

129

¹ Grobben says that the whole canal in the antennal gland of Mysis is lined with a chitinous cuticle. In Apus, however, the intima ceases with the bladder.

pancreas of the higher Crustacea. But this is certainly not the case. Neither in position nor in structure do the glands remind one of Annulatan nephridia, but, on the other hand, they correspond in a most remarkable manner with the acicular glands of the Annelidan parapodia.

(5) We further assume that the habit of browsing of the bent Annelid was originally acquired by the *adult* animals, in which the nephridia in the anterior segments have generally disappeared in the course of development; so that Apus, which represents such a browsing Annelid, would probably have no nephridia in the anterior or head segments. In the trunk segments, on the other hand, we shall find abundant traces of nephridia.

These considerations, which, taken singly, do not possess much weight, taken all together make the nephridial origin of these glands very improbable compared with that which we here attribute to them.

Setiparous glands on the trunk segments are hardly to be expected; the dorsal parapodia are developed into complicated limbs covered with setæ, and the ventral parapodia are also thickly beset with setæ of different kinds. We have succeeded, however, in finding two such glands on the same limb in one specimen of Apus cancriformis (see Fig. 31). We could find no similar glands on the gnathobase or ventral parapodium of the corresponding limb of the same segment, and only on one other limb. Perhaps further search would reveal more, but it is certain that these glands occur quite irregularly. We are inclined to consider them as occasional abnormal returns to the Annelidan method of developing the setæ (see pp. 87, 88). When such an abnormal setiparous sac does occur, it would in all probability be utilised for excretory purposes. Chitin itself is probably an excretory product, utilised for protective purposes.



FIG. 31.—Part of a section through the gnathobase of Apus mentioned in the text (p. 130), showing an abnormal reappearance of a setiparous gland containing a brown secretion. n, the nerves to the hairs; those to the feathered hairs send a fibre into each barb and possess small groups of ganglion cells.

This irregular appearance in Apus of glands so obviously homologous with the setiparous glands of the Annelida, as an occasional abnormal return to a former method of developing setæ, establishes beyond all contradiction the usual homology of the leg or coxal glands of the Crustacea with the setiparous glands of the Annelida.

К 2

Having so far considered the typical Crustacean glands, the antennal, shell, and leg glands, and homologised them with setiparous glands of the original Annelid, it is necessary, in order to establish the close relationship which we maintain exists between Apus and the Annelida, to discuss the typical excretory organs of the latter (*i.e.*, the nephridia), and to endeavour to discover their fate during the transformation of the Annelid into the Crustacean.

Professor Haeckel, in the last edition of his Natural History of Creation,¹ characterises the Crustacea as segmented animals without nephridia, and the worms as segmented animals with segmental organs or nephridia, the presence or absence of these latter being the chief characteristic difference. The stress here laid by so distinguished a zoologist upon the nephridia as a class characteristic renders it doubly necessary either to find the nephridia in Apus-our primitive Crustacean-or to give some probable explanation of their absence. Although no explanation of the absence of nephridia was immediately evident, we were convinced that it would some day be found. We would not allow that the difficulty of finding a set of organs in Apus to homologise with another set in the Annelida-though no doubt serious-could destroy the value of the mass of evidence already obtained as to the relation of Apus to the Annelids. This reasoning is further especially applicable in the case of the Annelidan

¹ Ed. viii. 1891, p. 570.

nephridia, whose arrangement in the Annelids themselves is always very variable.

It at first appeared possible that the absence of nephridia in Apus could be explained by assuming that in the original Crustacean-Annelid they were developed more in the posterior segments (which is in fact often the case), and that these segments do not attain development in Apus, the enormous shell gland sufficing for the removal of waste products from the blood. The weakness of this argument is at once obvious. It is only when all the segments are fairly well developed that the permanent nephridia are limited to the posterior segments. Nephridia or their rudiments are, as a rule, to be found at one time or another in the course of development in all the segments. As the posterior segments attain development, the nephridia in the anterior segments often disappear. Nephridia ought therefore certainly to be found in the developed segments of the trunk of Apus, and rudiments of nephridia in the larval segments of which the posterior part of the trunk of Apus is composed. Fortunately, we are not driven to take refuge in such a doubtful explanation.

Knowing, on the one hand, that there are no true nephridia in Apus, and on the other that in the carnivorous Annelids the nephridia are often the ducts for the transmission of the sexual products, we naturally tried to overcome the difficulty by the aid of the genital glands. The study of these glands soon yielded the desired clue. We have then here somewhat to anticipate a description of the genital glands.

These glands are segmental tubes running dorsoventrally on each side of the intestine, inside the intestinal blood sinus. They are separated from the intestine only by the incomplete longitudinal dissepiments formed by the dorso-ventral muscle bands described above. The genital tubes commence in the first trunk segment, but become gradually shorter and shorter till they are guite rudimentary in the larval segments of the abdomen; they cease to be developed at all some distance before reaching the last limb-bearing segment. These segmental tubes are branched at each end. At the tips of the branches eggs develop which are found projecting, not into the tube, but into the body cavity, as will be more minutely described in the next section; it is, however, important for our argument to mention the fact here.

All the segmental genital tubes on each side are connected together by a longitudinal canal which runs through them all, and acts both as oviduct and shellor rather shell-secreting gland (see Fig. 32), so that eggs coming dorsally and ventrally from the ends of the branches meet in the middle, and then travel along the longitudinal canal to near the middle of its course, where a descending canal leads to the exterior.

The question is, Can these organs reveal anything about the vanished nephridia? It is obvious that they are not themselves the nephridia; they are simply tubes formed out of the germ-bearing epithelium. When we turn to the carnivorous Annelids, we find that the germ-bearing epithelium is simply the cœlom epithelium which covers all the internal organs including the nephridia ; the eggs project from this epithelium into the body cavity, and, falling off, ripen in the body fluid (see Fig. 11, p. 54) to find their way out through the nephridia. When we compare this process with what takes place in Apus, we find in the latter an epithelium from which the eggs project into the body cavity. (See Fig. 33, p. 144.) Is not this epithelium homologous with the Annelidan cœlom epithelium? Instead, however, of dropping off into the body cavity, the eggs are drawn back through the epithelium and find their way out through the canals formed by this coelom epithelium. Are not these canals, then, in some way, the homologues of the Annelidan nephridia? To the first of the above questions we give an affirmative, to the second a negative answer; but we arrive at the conclusion that the germ epithelium is the original calom cpithelium which covered the nephridia, and that the canals which it now forms once contained the nephridial canals through which the eggs found their way to the exterior. In course of time, the nephridial canals ceased to have any excretory function owing to the sufficiency of the shell gland, and disappeared, leaving only their coverings of coelom epithelium, which, in proportion as the canals degenerated, itself developed into the pronounced epithelium of the genital glands. The development of the longitudinal canal also out of the cœlom epithelium presents no difficulty, as this epithelium, on the degeneration of the Annelidan septa, would naturally form such a continuous membrane through all the segments of the body. The disappearance of all the nephridial apertures except the one between the tenth and eleventh segments is a further very natural specialisation.

. In summing up the arguments here used in favour of this account of the disappearance of the nephridia in Apus, we have to notice the following points :---

(1) The eggs which develop out of the epithelium of the genital glands project, as in the Annelida, into the body cavity and not into the cavity of the genital glands themselves, as one would naturally have expected. This epithelium, then, is a part of the original cœlom epithelium of the Annelid.

(2) The eggs pass again through the epithelium, and travel down the canal formed by it; this canal has therefore probably taken the place of the nephridial canal which it once covered as cœlom epithelium.

(3) We have to call attention not only to the segmental arrangement of the organs, but to the fact that there is a pair in each segment except in the most rudimentary; both of these facts agree with what we know of the typical development of nephridia in each segment of the Annelida.

(4) The position of these genital organs between the dorso-ventral muscle dissepiments and the body wall agrees exactly with that of the nephridia of the carnivorous Annelids, which lie in the lateral chambers of the body (see Fig. 11, p. 54).

136

(5) The position of the only aperture which remains agrees well with the position of the opening of the Annelidan nephridium, *i.e.*, it lies laterally on the ventral surface. The fact that, in Apus, it comes between two consecutive limbs is due to the bending round of the parapodial limbs towards the ventral middle line as already described (see § on Appendages).

(6) The genital aperture does not always remain in the same segment in the Crustacea ; it differs even in the males and females of the same species.

(7) Lastly, we have to add the fact that the genital ducts have been generally recognised as homologous with Annelidan nephridia.

We thus believe that though the nephridia are absent in Apus, we have found sufficient traces of their having been once present in the typical manner, one pair in each segment, functioning, as they do typically in Annelids, as ducts for the transmission of the sexual products. The great development of the shell gland rendering the excretory functions unnecessary, there remained only the secondary function of conducting the sexual products out of the body. As this could be done equally well by simple tubes formed out of the covering of cœlom epithelium, these latter alone have been retained, preserving, however, the segmental arrangement and the position of the nephridia which they had at one time clothed.

These considerations seem sufficient not only to remove the difficulty caused by the absence of nephridia in Apus, but even to strengthen the evidence

PART 1

in support of our main argument; they not only remove a difficulty, but bear positive testimony to the truth of our theory. Those who, however, may think this view of the disappearance of the Annelidan nephridia (with the exception of their peritoneal coverings) far-fetched, should remember that the weight of all the positive evidence brought forward as to the relationship of Apus and the Annelida is only really diminished if we cannot show that a difficulty is surmountable. It is by no means necessary for our argument either to remove all difficulties so long as they are not positive contradictions, or to state exactly how such and such a transformation came about, but only to show that such transformations are not inconceivable. We believe, however, that in this case we have not only shown this, but more, viz. that the process of the disappearance of the nephridia was what we have described.

We have now dealt with the principal glands of the Crustacea and of the Annelida. We have deduced the Crustacean glands from the Annelidan setiparous glands, and followed the Annelidan nephridia in their transformation into Crustacean genital glands.

Of the typical dermal glands of the Annelida we have found no trace in Apus (except in the dorsal organ, see below and Appendix IV). The hypodermis is very thin, and seems to be entirely taken up in secreting the cuticle in its gradual transformation into an exoskeleton.

There are very numerous glandular cells in the hind-gut, which have already been mentioned in

138

§ vii., where we stated that from their position they were almost certainly excretory.

The glands at the tips of the diverticula of the midgut were also mentioned in the same section, and were interesting as forming with the diverticula a striking transition between the digesting diverticula of the Annelids and the hepato-pancreas of the higher Crustacea.

The white oval spot, or dorsal organ, behind the eyes in Apus we at first thought to be the remains of an Annelidan frontal cirrus such as that shown in Fig. I. It appears to be an island of dermal glandular cells, the last remains of the Annelidan dermal glands, which the thickening exoskeleton probably rendered impracticable. This organ will be described in detail in Appendix IV. It offers indirect support to our theory of the Annelidan origin of Apus.

139

SECTION X

REPRODUCTION

THE carnivorous Annelids are mostly sexually separate. The same was originally the case with the Apodidæ, which are now, however, mostly hermaphrodite (see Appendix V.). Males, generally smaller than the females, have been found at intervals in the best known species, and these seem to suffice for occasional cross fertilisation.

The sexual elements of the Annelids frequently develop out of the cœlom epithelium (see Fig. 11, p. 54), and then, falling off, ripen in the body fluid. They are discharged through the nephridia, which may or may not be specially modified into sexual ducts.

In the section on the excretory glands, we have already briefly described the sexual glands in the Apodidæ. We have here, then, only to describe the process of formation of the sexual products somewhat more minutely. We repeat, however, for the sake of clearness what was said above. The genital glands are segmental tubes connected by a longitudinal canal which acts as a common duct for all the glands. These latter are branched dorsally and ventrally. The branching is very rich in A. cancriformis and L. productus, and in L. glacialis more so than in L. Spitzbergensis. We failed to find that the branches of the genital glands in one segment anastomosed with those in others, so as to form the network figured by Zaddach; but we see no reason why such anastomoses may not sometimes take place.



FIG. 32.—Diagram of a somewhat simplified genital tube of L. Spitzbergensis or glatialis, the dorso-ventral segmental tubes only slightly branched. a, aperture between the roth and 11th segments; t, the rudimentary part, i.e. the part which lies in the posterior rudimentary segments, in which in this species the epithelium breaks up into sperm cells filling up the whole lumen of the tube.

Although there is no apparent difference between the epithelium of the longitudinal canal and that of the sexual glands, it is useful to consider the two apart. They are both, as we have seen, formed out of the peritoneal epithelium, and besides having the same origin, they have the same function, viz., to secrete the slime which hardens in the brood pouch to form a covering for the eggs.

It is of considerable importance for us to note first of all that the sexual glands are segmentally arranged in Apus. This, as far as we know, is not the case in any other Crustacean, and is in itself evidence of the primitive or Annelidan character of Apus. The gradual simplification of the glands from before backwards towards the less developed segments is also significant. The many-segmented ancestors of Apus developed sexual products in every or nearly every segment.

In the section on excretion we have already traced back the epithelium forming the sexual glands to the cœlom epithelium of the original Annelid, and to that special part of the epithelium which covered the nephridia. The nephridial tubes themselves have entirely disappeared, having probably been rendered useless by the great size and physiological efficiency of the shell glands. Their peritoneal coverings, however, have remained as the sexual glands. The eggs develop out of this epithelium, not projecting into the lumen of the gland, but outwards, so that they bulge out into the body cavity. This agrees with what takes place in many Polychætan Annelids ; the eggs develop out of the peritoneum, and apparently out of any part of the same, drop off into the body cavity, and are emptied out through the nephridia. In Apus slight changes have taken place: the eggs develop towards the body cavity out of the peritoneal covering of the vanished nephridia : they do not, however, drop off, but pass through the epithelium again, to pass out through the tube formed by this epithelium, just as they at one time no doubt passed out through the nephridial tubes. When we consider the great size of the eggs owing to the accumulation of the yolk, it

is apparent that the vanishing of these nephridial tubes could be nothing but pure gain; the simpler and the less differentiated the duct which they have to stretch in passing out, the better.

The eggs appear to develop out of indifferent epithelial cells at the dorsal and ventral tips of the genital glands. Their very first stages we have, however, not been able to trace. They are first recognisable as small groups of four cells with large nuclei (see Fig. 33), embedded among the undifferentiated epithelial cells. The nuclei show characteristic differences from the first stage at which we have found them. One is clear and round, with one or at the most two germinal spots; the other three are slightly larger and quite full of irregular deeply stained granules. The former is the nucleus of the future egg, the latter are the nuclei of the nutritive cells.

The four cells grow together in one compact mass to a great size, the partitioning membranes being, however, traceable. They bulge out the membrane of the genital tube into the body cavity. In successful preparations, fine nuclei of a tesselated follicular epithelium can be found between the eggs and this membrane (Fig. 33, n). When the egg has been discharged down the branch into the genital tube, the locus of the egg is found as a small shrunken bag full of minute round bodies which are doubtless these folliele cells thrown off by the shrinking of the membrane (Fig. 33, 6). This epithelium then apparently plays no very important part, unless, in some way, it

PART I

brings about the contraction of the membrane for the discharge of the eggs.

The nuclei of the nutritive cells grow to an enormous size, and clearly play the chief part in absorbing material for the formation of the yolk. When the egg is ripe, these nuclei come to the surface and gradually disappear.



FIG. 33.—Eggs at different stages. In stage r the definitive egg nucleus is already differentiated from the nuclei of the three nutritive cells; the latter are seen to grow very large and coarse, and then in 5 to move to the side where they eventually disappear. In 5 the yolk discs fill the whole egg, making the nucleus difficult to see. At 6 an egg has been discharged; the follicular membrane has shrunk, its contents being probably dislodged epithelial cells. *t*, testes as occasionally found (*e.g.* in *A. cancriformis*); *u*, nuclei of follicular epithelium.

The egg, in its passage down the genital tube and along the longitudinal oviduct, gets covered with a slimy substance yielded by the deep club-shaped epithelial cells. This substance hardens into a shell for the protection of the egg, a shell which, as Von Siebold remarks, looks as if made of hardened foam. The eggs pass out between the 10th and 11th pairs of limbs into the brood pouch formed by a modification of these limbs.

The external aperture of the genital ducts corresponds well, as has already been pointed out, with one of the apertures of the nephridia of the original Crustacean-Annelid. This view, which is now generally accepted among zoologists, is supported by the fact that, among the higher Crustacea, the position of the apertures is not always constant, *i.e.* they do not always occur on the same segment or segments. Indeed, the male and female apertures may occur on different segments in the same species (e.g. in the Cravfish). While there is variation in this point, there is considerable constancy in the position of the openings relatively to the ventral middle line of the body. Both these points are important in homologising the genital apertures of the Crustacea with the nephridial apertures of the Annelida.

Many if not all of the Apodidæ are now, as was before stated, hermaphrodite. The small oval sperm cells form out of the epithelium of the genital tubes in the manner illustrated (Fig. 33, t). In some species ¹ the whole of the epithelium at the extreme posterior end of the genital tube breaks up into sperm cells.

The sperm cells of the Polychæta are always (?) thread-like, while those of Apus are round or oval: this is no doubt a secondary modification. But the round form of the sperm cells in Apus may perhaps be the starting point for the many peculiar shapes found among the higher Crustacea. Other

¹ L. glacialis and L. Spitzbergensis, see Fig. 32.

groups again (*e.g.* the Cirripedia) have returned to, or retained, the thread-shaped spermatozoa of the Annelida.

In the development of the eggs and of the sperm here described, we find but little positive evidence of the relationship which we seek to establish. But we must again repeat that it is enough for our argument if nothing actually contradicts it. It rests upon an accumulation of homologies which are hardly to be denied, some of which, indeed, have long been recognised though never before carried out in detail. It is enough if we show how the other parts of the organisation of Apus *can* be deduced from organs of an Annelid.

With regard to the origin of the sexual products, we have shown more than this. We have drawn attention to at least one point in which Apus agrees with the Annelid, and that is, in the development of the egg, not into the genital tube, which did not exist in the Annelid, but into the body cavity. The point may seem to be a small one, but every one who has worked out the anatomy of Apus will, we are sure, have been at once struck by the fact, that although the genital glands are large and extensible, yet the eggs bud outwards and not inwards. It was this striking method of development of the eggs which first led us to homologise the epithelium of the genital tubes with the cœlom epithelium of the Annelida.

The egg, as already described, consists of one eggcell and three nutritive cells. As the nutritive cells are probably modified egg-cells, the eggs of the original Crustacean-Annelid may have developed out of its cœlom epithelium in small groups. So also the small bulgings in the epithelium in which the sperm-cells develop may be considered to represent small pustules containing sperm in the peritoneal wall of an Annelid (see Fig. 33, t), but in this case the organs which this wall originally covered, *i.e.* the nephridia, have disappeared.

Lastly, we repeat the fact, that in no other Crustacean are the sexual glands segmentally arranged; one pair in each developed segment, and rudiments in the more developed of the rudimentary segments. The value of this fact for our argument can hardly be over-estimated.

SECTION XI

DEVELOPMENT

THE NAUPLIUS

OUT of the egg of Apus is hatched the well-known Crustacean larva the Nauplius, which, with certain characteristic differences for each group, occurs in all essential points the same throughout the whole class. The general likeness of the adult Apus to the Nauplius has, as already mentioned, been pointed out by earlier observers. This likeness, from our point of view, is very easily explained; Apus being the primitive Crustacean, or at least one of the primitive Crustaceans, the Nauplius is simply the young Apus, the adult developing gradually out of the larva without any metamorphosis worth mentioning (see Figs. 34, 39, and 41). Thus the Nauplius larva of other Crustaceans is simply the Apus-stage in their development. We repeat this, not as a conclusion only, but in order to use it as an argument in support of the theory set forth in this book.

The fact that a Nauplius stage is passed through by so many Crustaceans-by all, indeed, where the larva is not hatched out at a higher stage of development than the Nauplius, has received great attention. It led the older naturalists to assume that the primitive Crustacean must have been an animal like a Nauplius. This view has, however, generally been given up, on the ground that no such conclusion can be drawn from a free-swimming larva which is certainly much modified to suit its own special mode of life as larva. The whole argument of this book has, nevertheless, brought us back somewhat to the old view, i.e. that the primitive Crustacean was a Nauplius-like animal, viz., an Apus. At the same time, the modern objections were largely justified, for the Nauplius is only a larval form of the primitive Crustacean, in some respects comparable with, but much more advanced than, the Trochophoran larva of the Annelids, showing, on the one hand, traces of its adult organisation, and, on the other, modifications to suit its own special method of existence as a free-swimming larva. There were no means of deciding which features belonged to the adult and which to the larva as such. The general likeness to Apus was never therefore understood to point to the fact that the Nauplius was nothing more nor less than an Apus larva, and that consequently Apus was a primitive Crustacean. And yet there seems to be no difficulty in this view; indeed, had it been put forward alone, it would, we think, have met with some acceptance as a plausible specula-

tion. In this book, however, we have arrived at such a conclusion from quite another point of view. We started by endeavouring to show that Apus, from its many striking Annelidan characteristics, was a transition form between the Crustacea and the Annelida, and hence a primitive Crustacean. It comes, therefore, as no slight support to our argument to be able to show that the higher Crustaceans pass through an Apus-like stage. That all Crustacea do not pass through this stage is easily explained by the theory of abbreviated development, so that this stage is either passed through in the egg, or else considerably disguised by the early acquirement of adult characteristics. As to the case in which the stage is passed through in the egg (e.g. among the Malacostraca) it is important to note that this is not the case in all Malacostraca, a Nauplius stage occurring, for example, in the development of Penæus and of Euphausia.

The theory of the origin of Apus from an Annelid gives us at once the true relation of the Nauplius to the Trochophora. It is not necessary to assume that Apus passes through a Trochophora stage, because this latter is a stage in the development of the Annelid specially adapted to a free-swimming larval life. The equivalent stage in Apus, being no longer larval but embryonic, does not require to develop the special characteristics of the Trochophora.

When the young Crustacean is hatched as a Nauplius, it has already advanced considerably

İ50

beyond the Trochophora stage. A comparison of the Nauplius of Apus just hatched from the egg with the figure of a Polychætan Trochophora shows at a glance that the former stands at a far higher stage of development than the latter. That this is in reality the case is also clear from the fact that the Nauplius develops three limbs, i.e., the homologues of the parapodia of the first three Annelidan segments, traces of the dorsal fold which belongs to the fifth segment, and further slight indications of five trunk segments (see Fig. 34), in all ten Annelidan segments. A larva so far developed cannot be compared with the simple ciliated Trochophora, which when hatched probably represents only two, i.e. the first and last, segments of the adult Annelid.

With certain characteristic differences for each group, the Nauplius is essentially the same throughout the whole class of the Crustacea. Its exact morphology we shall endeavour to explain with the aid of the light we have now obtained as to its origin, as the larva of Apus, or the Apus-stage in the development of other Crustacea.

When hatched from the egg the Nauplius has three pairs of Crustacean limbs, the unpaired "eye," the dorsal shield, the large upper lip, and what is not usually mentioned—the bent intestine, or, what is the same thing, the rudiments for the development of such a bent intestine (see Fig. 37). We will take these points in turn.

I. The Nauplius Limbs .- We are not bound to

claim that these in any way resemble the original limbs of the primitive Crustacean. It is only necessary to assume that they are homologous with the first three pairs of Crustacean limbs, but modified for the special needs of a free-swimming larval life. As a matter of fact we do find that the form of the limbs can easily be traced to its origin. The first uniramose limb corresponds with the antenna of the



FIG. 34.—Nauplius of Apus cancriformis just hatched (after Claus). The large rowing limb homologised with the dorsal parapodium d, carrying the sensory cirrus c, which forms the most important branch, the smaller branch being the tip of the parapodium.

Annelids, *i.e.* with the sensory cirrus of the vanished parapodium of the first segment. It arises direct from the body as a uniramose appendage without any parapodial portion, *i.e.* unless the slight bulging, which is seen at its base in Apus (see Fig. 7 A, p. 34) represents the remains of such a dorsal parapodium, which we think improbable, as the sensory cirri of Annelidan parapodia frequently rise from such papilla-like swellings. Owing to the SECT. XI

smallness of the Nauplius it is not easy to ascertain exactly where the limb springs from; Claus states that it rises on each side of the prostomium or upper lip.

The second limb is biramose, *i.e.* besides the sensory cirrus, the parapodium on which it stands is also re-



FIG. 35.—Nauplius of *Lepidurus productus* (after Brauer); commencing segmentation of the trunk disguised. A comparison with the 2nd stage, Fig. 40, shows that the trunk in the Nauplius certainly corresponds to several segments. d, dorsal parapodium of the 2nd antenna; c, sensory cirrus.

tained. Repeating the homologies brought forward in the section on the appendages pp. 32 and 33, the main stem of this second limb of the Nauplius is composed of the dorsal parapodium together with its sensory cirrus; the true tip of the dorsal parapodium appears like a small branch. Here clearly the exopodite is the sensory cirrus, the endopodite the tip of the dorsal parapodium. That this is the true homology we have little doubt; the facts that the second antenna is a sensory limb, that its tip carries long sensory hairs, that, as a long rowing foot, it requires to be provided with a fine sense of touch, all tend to support it. It is difficult to say whether the thorn-like process at the base of the limb represents the ventral parapodium; it is possible that, in order to facilitate the motion of the rowing foot, the ventral branch has disappeared, just as in the higher Crustacea, when the legs become more specialised as such.

Figs. 35 and 36 are two views of the Nauplius of L. productus. In these the structure of the whole limb in the manner we have described is particularly clear, the sensory nature of the larger branch of the second antenna being marked by the length of its filaments.

The further development of the limb is interesting. As it ceases to be a rowing limb and to be specialised as a sensory organ, one of its branches, that representing the tip of the original dorsal parapodium, degenerates, leaving the other, the sensory cirrus, *i.e.* the exopodite, to form the distal portion of the limb. In Apus a small rudiment of the endopodite remains (see Fig. 7 B, p. 34, where the lettering explains the homologies).

If the thorn-like process at the base of the 2nd antenna is really the homologue of the ventral parapodium, we may perhaps see in it an attempt on the part of the very first Crustacean to use the ventral parapodia of all the segments round the mouth for mastication, an effort which succeeded well in Limulus, as we shall see in Part II. In the other Crustacea, however, the greater efficiency of the ventral parapodia of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th segments, owing to their easier concentration round the mouth, led to their specialisation as mandibles and 1st and 2nd maxillæ, so that the masticatory process of the second antenna was rendered useless and disappeared (see table p. 250).

The third limb has again essentially the same shape as the second. We have the dorsal (and ventral?) parapodia, with an appendage on the former homologous with the sensory cirrus or the antennal branch of the second limb. The dorsal parapodium gradually disappears in Apus, leaving only the ventral as masticatory ridge or mandibles. It is however retained as palp in the higher Crustacea.

We repeat then here what we have learnt from our study of the limbs of the adult Apus and of those of the Nauplius larva. The tip of the dorsal Annelidan parapodium forms the endopodite of the Crustacean limb, the sensory cirrus the exopodite, and the ventral parapodium the masticatory process. Applying this once more to the trunk legs of Apus, we conclude that the flabellum becomes the exopodite, and the limb proper (*i.e.* the dorsal parapodium) is the endopodite; the gnathobase or first endite is the ventral parapodium, which in the typical trunk limb of the Crustacea disappears, but may be retained as a primitive feature, as in Apus, Limulus, and the Trilobites, and, as on the maxillipedes of the higher Crustacea, as a masticatory process.

We repeat further what was stated on p. 50, that theoretical considerations would also lead one to expect a retention of the parts mentioned to form the Crustacean limb, the capacity of sensation being necessary to all co-ordinated movement. Hence, as the dorsal parapodium lengthened into a seizing foot or locomotory organ, it had everything to gain by retaining its sensory appendage. It is interesting to note that when the exoskeleton is so developed that the limbs are protected by an almost stony covering, and the limb used simply for walking, the exopodite disappears, while on the other hand it is nearly always present in soft-skinned limbs, and generally seems to have retained its sensory functions. As an instance of this we can compare the thoracic with the abdominal limbs of the macrurous Decapoda.

When we come, in Part II., to consider the relation of Apus to Limulus and the Trilobites, we shall find considerable confirmation of the homologies here put forward, the homologising of the limbs of these animals with those of Apus being by no means the impossible task it is too often assumed to be.

2. The Unpaired "Eye."—The presence of powerful rowing limbs in the larva necessitated some more perfect sensory organ than any possessed by the Annelidan larva; hence the early development of the unpaired "eye" which in the Nauplius probably still retains its visual functions, although these have apparently been lost in the median "eye" of Apus. The structure of this organ in Apus has been described, and its probable origin out of the two anterior eye-spots on
the original Annelidan prostomium has been discussed. We also saw how it, together with the paired eyes, wandered on to the dorsal surface. In support of this migration of the eyes, we call attention to Figs. 36 and 37, which show the eyes paired and unpaired far more anteriorly placed in the Nauplius than they are later in the adult, *i.e. midway between the ventral position in the bent Crustacean-Annelid and the dorsal Crustacean position.*

In Limulus, as already mentioned, the ocelli travel during embryonic life from the ventral to the dorsal surface. The homology of the unpaired eye of Apus with the two ocelli of Limulus assumed here and on p. 108 will be further discussed in Part II.

It is important to note that this sensory organ is present in all Nauplii, and persists throughout life in all Entomostraca, but degenerates in the Malacostraca. In the more highly developed larvæ of these latter, traces of it are also generally, if not universally, to be found, *e.g.* in the Phyllosoma larva of Palinurus, the Erichthus larva of Squilla, and in some, if not all, Zoæa larvæ. Owing to this almost universal presence of the unpaired eye among the Crustacea it has been assumed that it was present in the original Crustacean. This assumption falls in with our theory that it was first developed in the Crustacean-Annelid.

In addition to what was said on p. 109 as to the function of this organ, we may say that its form as a hollow vesicle full of pigment cells seems at first sight to suggest an auditory organ, but we share the growing conviction among zoologists that many

PART I

sensory organs which are now called auditory really serve for regulating the position of the body in the water. The position of the organ in Apus seems to support this view. The feathered hairs fringing the flabella are far more capable of appreciating and responding to sound waves than is a plexus of pig-



FIG. 36.—Nauplius of *L. productus* from the side (after Brauer), showing the position of the eyes at the frontal end, *i.e.* in their passage from the ventral to the dorsal position.

ment cells in a closed vessel suspended inside the body some distance beneath the outer integument. There is here, however, abundant room for further research. It is possible that in the course of the development of some Entomostraca, its original function as a directive body may entirely give place to secondary visual functions, or, as above suggested, it may function both as directive and as visual organ. Indeed, there is no reason why we should not assume this double function at least during larval life, so long



FIG. 37.—Nauplius of A. cancriformis just hatched (Claus) from the side, showing the unpaired "eye" in its passage from the ventral to the dorsal position. I, large upper lip; s, dorsal shield; d, dorsal parapodium of the second antennæ; c, sensory cirrus of the same.

as the paired eyes are not developed, and the animal is transparent. On the other hand, if it is a directive body its disappearance in the higher Crustacea is quite intelligible, especially in the Decapoda, which for the most part crawl, and develop "auditory" organs in the antennules.

We may in this connection mention the frontal sensory organs which appear in many (or all ?) Nauplii (see Fig. 39, f) on each side of the unpaired eye. They disappear throughout nearly the whole class in the course of development. They may perhaps



FIG. 38.—Nauplius of A. cancriformis just hatched, dorsal view (after Claus). S, posterior edge of the shield ; N, the large larval excretory organ, the dorsal or neck organ.

be supposed to represent a pair of feelers rising on the prostomium of the original Annelids, such as those found, for example, on the prostomium of the Eunicidæ. In a section of Apus cancriformis we thought we found traces of them on the frontal surface in a very short stiff horn-like process of the cuticle, at the base of which was a group of large ganglion cells.

3. The Dorsal Shield .- As a dorsal shield is present in most Nauplii, it has been generally concluded that the original Crustacean possessed such a protective covering. When we come to discuss the relation between Apus and the Trilobites we shall find that this was by no means the case. Only in so far as Apus is the original of all living Crustacea (excepting, perhaps, the Ostracoda¹) can it be said with truth that the racial form possessed a dorsal shield, at least as a fold of the fifth segment. The shield of the original Crustacean-Annelid was itself a different structure. From it not only the shell fold of Apus, but also the different forms of bivalve shell have been developed, as will be described in detail on pp. 213-216. Again, a further false conclusion has often been drawn from the great size of the shield in the Nauplius, viz., that it must have been of about the same size, relatively, in the original Crustacean as in the Nauplius. Hence it has been concluded that, for instance, the Estheridæ, which have a large dorsal shield, are more primitive than the Apodidæ with their relatively smaller shield. The great size of the shield in the Nauplius, however, admits of a much simpler explanation. The shield is, as we have seen, a dorsal fold of the fifth segment. Hence, in larvæ in which only the first five or six segments are developed, it must necessarily appear relatively of very great size.

¹ Our reason for excluding at least some of the Ostracoda from the other modern Crustacea which we deduce from Apus will be discussed in a special section of Part II. The development of the shield is well shown in Figs. 35 and 36 of the Nauplius of L. productus, which should be compared with the commencement of the development of such a shell in the Trilobite Acid-aspis, Fig. 48, p. 215, the neck lobe of which, developed as a thorn-carrier, suggests a very probable origin of the dorsal shield. We see it again in the Nauplius of A. cancriformis, Figs. 37 and 38, developing as a fold.

No great difficulty need be found in the fact that the fold of the fifth segment should appear in the Nauplius before any trace of the limbs of the fourth and fifth segments, *i.e.*, of the two pairs of maxillæ. It is doubtless of considerable advantage to the larva to develop the shield as early as possible as a protective covering.

4. The Upper Lip.-The labrum is another very characteristic feature of most Nauplii. In some, indeed, it reaches an enormous size (see Fig. 37). The homologies of this organ have been a great puzzle to zoologists. Packard suggests the median frontal tentacle of certain larval Annelids. Its presence in the Nauplius seems certainly to suggest that it was a prominent organ in the racial form of the Crustacea, especially as it is difficult to see what special advantage it can offer to the larva as such. Our derivation of Apus and of the whole class of Crustacea from a bent Annelid, homologises it, as already described, with the Annelidan prostomium, which is probably the most important of all the external organs of the Annelidan body. Its general

162

presence in the Nauplius larva of so many Crustaceans, whether it is afterwards retained by the adult or not, is thus easily explained. Its relatively great size in the larva admits of the same explanation as we gave of the great size of the dorsal shield; the Nauplius consisting mainly of the Crustacean head of five bent segments, the prostomium is naturally a more prominent organ in it than it is later in the adult.

5. *The Bent Intestine.*—As a characteristic of the Nauplius not often mentioned we have alluded to the bent intestine or, in other words, the relative position of the mid-gut and the mouth under the upper lip (see Fig. 37, which is a side view of the Nauplius of Apus showing the position of the parts). We lay stress on this as a characteristic of all Crustaceans, the origin of which is explained by the bent Annelid theory.

The development of the liver as diverticula of the mid-gut is very clear in Figs. 34, 38, 39, 40.

The important fact that the nerves for the second antennæ spring in the Nauplius from the infraœsophageal ganglion has already been mentioned in discussing the nervous system. This fact serves as a very striking link between Apus and the Nauplius, Apus showing in this respect a very primitive condition, for though the nerves of the second antennæ branch off from the œsophageal commissures, there can be no doubt that the ganglia are infra-œsophageal (cf. Section V.).

We may perhaps here briefly summarise what has been said about the morphology of the Nauplius

larva. So far from its being comparable with the Trochophoran larva of its original Annelidan ancestors; it is essentially a Crustacean larva, containing from six to ten of the original Annelidan segments, five of which are bent round to form the head. This method of development by the appearance at first of the head and the gradual addition of the new segments has been clearly inherited from the Annelids, and is, in this connection, very important. This free-swimming larval Crustacean head develops chiefly those organs which are necessary to it, those not especially needful remaining rudimentary. These useful organs are the anterior pair of sensory antennæ and the second pair of rowing antennæ, which are also, as we have seen, sensory organs. The use of the third pair of limbs is not clear, unless they serve for holding on to stationary objects; it seems necessary to attribute some function to them, since, if they had no such larval function, they would probably appear more in their definitive form. The two pairs of maxillæ have no function to perform in the larva and are only developed later. It is generally said that the second pair of limbs degenerates; this is not strictly true, only relatively so. They are precociously developed in the larva, and, according to Brauer's measurements for L. productus, continue to grow, not, however, in proportion to the growth of the larva. The slight change they undergo is due to a change of function. The shield which belongs to the fifth segment, being a useful organ, is visible from the first The unpaired "eye" is developed before the paired eye,

not because it is phylogenetically older, but because its functions are more useful to the free-swimming but not at first independently feeding larva. In the larva of L. productus rudiments of the paired eyes are distinctly visible. The excretory functions of this larva are entirely carried on by the large round or oval patch of glandular hypodermis called the neck- or dorsalorgan (see Fig. 38, and Appendix V.). Lastly, returning to the gradual development of the Nauplius into Apus without metamorphosis, we cannot help repeating that in itself it is a strong argument in our favour that the Nauplius is but the young Apus, and Apus but an adult Nauplius (cf. Figs. 34, 39, 41, and Frontispiece).

One of the chief features, however, in the gradual development of the Nauplius into an Apus is the regular formation of new segments in front of the anal segment as in the Annelid, and the cessation of growth in Apus before the full number of inherited and rudimentary segments are fully developed. Apus is thus, even when adult, little more than a large Nauplius with its posterior segments in front of the anal segment fixed throughout life in their larval condition. The significance of this fact is very great, it shows so conclusively that Apus is a primitive form, that we cannot refrain from repeating our explanation of its morphology. The very fact which has been supposed to be an index of the high specialisation of the Apodidæ, *i.e.*, the great number and peculiar arrangement of the limbs, is in reality one of the strongest proofs of the undifferentiated

primitive character of the genus. The number of limbs is far in excess of the rings in the body, and if we once recognise that the rings do not correspond with segments except in the fully developed anterior trunk region, but that each pair of limbs having its own pair of ventral ganglia corresponds with a true segment



FIG. 39.—Second larval stage of Apus cancriformis (Claus), showing the gradual development of Apus out of the Nauplius, the liver as diverticula of the mid-gut. f, frontal sensory organs.

either developed or rudimentary, we have an animal, say Apus cancriformis, with from 60–65 segments. There are other species with from 40–50 segments. In all other Crustacea the number of segments is for each group either absolutely or very nearly constant. The type is fixed. In the Apodidæ, as we have seen, this is not the case; the number of segments varies not only in the different species of the genus, but, as it appears, in different individuals of the same species. These two characteristics of the Apodidæ, the great number and the varying number of the segments, ought almost of itself to constitute them the natural transition form between the Annelids and the Crustacea. In the Annelids we have a large and variable number of segments, in the higher



FIG. 40.-Second larval stage of Lepidurus productus (after Brauer).

Crustacea a comparatively small, and for each group a fixed, number of segments. Between these two the Apodidæ form the true link, having a diminishing number of segments, diminishing, that is, by a considerable number remaining undeveloped, and so rudimentary as to be useless to the animal, and therefore liable to vanish.

In this section on the Nauplius we have appealed to the developmental history of Apus in support of the arguments founded upon anatomical and morphological comparisons brought forward in the previous sections. We may, we think, safely maintain that the



FIG. 41.—Fourth larval stage of Apus (Claus), the diverticula of the mid-gut commencing to form the glandular invaginations (/).

bulk of the evidence to be deduced from the Nauplius is decidedly in favour of our theory. To us it seems so strong, that on it alone the theory might almost be based. All that our argument requires is, that, while the positive evidence is strong, the difficulties should not be insuperable.

We have had to limit our remarks to the larval history of Apus, as observations on its embryological development are unfortunately wanting. We may, however, here mention one or two facts in the embryology of the Crustacea which bear upon our theory. It is stated,¹ for instance, that the median eye develops from paired rudiments-an observation which lends some support to our account of the origin of this organ out of an anterior pair of eyes. Still more important for our theory is the fact that, while in the development of a few Crustacea there is a tendency in the mesoblast to form paired, segmented mesodermstreaks, in the majority of cases the mesoderm cells form irregular lacunar spaces.² The significance of these two facts taken together cannot be over-estimated, that is, if we are right in assuming that the latter method of development of the mesoblast is gradually displacing the former, and is therefore conogenetic. It will be remembered how, from purely morphological reasoning, we came to the conclusion that the greater part of the cœlom epithelium (the parietal layer) of the original Crustacean-Annelid went to form the membrane of the lacunar blood system of the Crustacea. We find then this acquired rearrangement of the mesoderm shifted back to the earliest embryonic stages.

¹ Grobben, "Die Entwickelungsgeschichte der Moina rectirostris."

² Korschelt und Heider, "Lehrbuch der vergleichenden Entwickelungsgeschichte der Wirbellosen Thiere."

GENERAL CONCLUSION BASED UPON THE ARGU-MENTS CONTAINED IN THE FOREGOING SECTIONS.

In pre-Cambrian times, of which there are now no fossil remains, a browsing carnivorous Annelid acquired the habit of keeping its "head," i.e., its first five segments, bent round so that the mouth faced ventrally and posteriorly, and used its parapodia for pushing food into its mouth. The antennæ, antennal parapodia, and parapodia developed gradually into Crustacean antennæ, mandibles, maxillæ, and limbs. For the protection of the exposed anterior dorsal surface, a shield, to be more accurately described later on, was developed out of a fold of the tergum of the fifth segment, the posterior edge of which grew perhaps as a carrier of defensive thorns. At the posterior end of the body, the inherited number of Annelidan segments gradually ceased to be developed, and remained in a rudimentary or larval condition. The gradual development of a thickened cuticle led to transformations of outer and inner organisation sufficient to change the Annelidan into the Crustacean type. The modern representative of this Crustacean-Annelid is Apus.

We have now to see if it is possible to deduce the principal groups of both living and extinct Crustacea either from this racial form or from a similar Crustacean-Annelid. This is clearly the best test of the truth of the morphological and anatomical reasoning contained in this first part.

PART II

PART II

SECTION XII

RELATION OF APUS TO THE OTHER CRUSTACEA

IN Part I. we have endeavoured, on morphological and anatomical grounds, to deduce Apus from a carnivorous Annelid. We have shown that the transformation of the latter into the former was in adaptation to a new and very simple change in the manner of life of the Annelid. If the reasoning of Part I. is correct, we feel justified in concluding on the ground of probability that the transformation of Annelids into Crustaceans only took place once, and that therefore our bent carnivorous Annelid must form the root of the whole Crustacean system. Further, it is clear that the Apodidæ must stand very close to this root. This reasoning leads us at once to find an infallible test for our whole theory. We have two lines along which to work, both of which are capable of leading to a positive answer, negative or affirmative. We shall first take the archaic forms and see whether they, like Apus, are capable of being deduced from our bent Annelid. And, secondly, we shall see whether Apus forms a probable starting-point for the modern Crustacea. In both cases we shall find that our theory stands the test. We shall find that the transformation of the carnivorous Annelids into Crustacea did not result in only one form of primitive Crustacean, but in several. It was, however, the same Annelid, with the same number (five) of anterior trunk segments bent round towards the ventral surface, which gave rise to the whole class.

The most important and apparently the most successful modification in early times was the Trilobites, that is, if we may judge from the extraordinary numbers and varied development of these early Crustacea in palæozoic times. They, however, all died out, leaving, perhaps as their sole modern representatives, some families of the Ostracoda.

Other modifications of the original Crustacean-Annelid were the Eurypteridæ and Xiphosuridæ, to which latter the still living king-crab belongs.

All these groups, however well adapted to their palæozoic surroundings, have, with the exception of the last-named (and the Ostracoda, which we think may have come direct from the Trilobites), entirely disappeared, and it was the Apodidæ which became (with the above exceptions) the sole ancestors of the now living Crustacea, surviving mainly, we think, on account of the advantages afforded by the development of a dorsal shield.

We have, then, to try to show first, that these Crustacean forms are deducible, like Apus, from the bent carnivorous Annelid, and, secondly, that the living Crustacea, excepting Limulus and (?) the Ostracoda, may be easily deduced from the Apodidæ.

If these points can be established, they necessarily involve a rearrangement of the present system of classification. The discovery that an animal, which has hitherto been considered as a very specialised form of a special group, is in reality one of the simplest and most original forms of all the groups, supplies at once the starting-point for the classification of the Crustacea which has hitherto been wanting. It is at present impossible to find points of connection, sufficient for a natural system of classification, between many of the different groups. We shall now find that the acceptance of our Annelid ancestor of Apus as the original form enables us, for the first time, to sketch, at least in outline, a natural order, not only embracing the Entomostraca and Malacostraca, but also Limulus, the Eurypteridæ, and the Trilobites. This new classification we shall attempt, that is, if we are justified in calling that "new" which is in reality only a further development of views expressed many years back by the older zoologists, and notably by Burmeister.

Although we have set ourselves this double task, it is clearly impossible, in a small work like this, to go into many details, especially in our comparison of the Apodidæ with the many living Crustacean forms. It will, we think, be granted, that a successful grouping of the Apodidæ with the Xiphosuridæ, the Trilobites, and other early forms as common derivatives from a bent Annelid, will establish our main argument beyond contradiction. We shall therefore devote our chief attention to endeavouring to explain the morphology of these ancient forms from this point of view, making, as we believe, many points clear which have never been properly understood.

As to the second part of our task, the deduction of the modern Crustacea from the Apodidæ, and the formation of a new system of classification, we shall have to leave the working out of the details to others, and content ourselves with a short collection of notes, to suggest the possible ways in which the modern Crustacea may be deduced from our bent Annelid, either through Apus or through the Trilobites.

APUS AND LIMULUS.

We begin with Limulus because, being still extant, its anatomy is well known. It is to the works of Anton Dohrn, Kingsley, Lankester, Milne-Edwards, Packard, and others, that we are indebted for the details of its organisation here brought forward.

The likeness between Limulus and Apus is so great, not only in external form but in inner organisation, that almost all the older zoologists classed them together in one genus. The temptation to draw comparisons between them is traceable in the writings of all who have dealt with either of them. But, in spite of this unmistakable likeness, all idea that the two animals could possibly be related has in later times been steadily repudiated. Indeed no general agreement has been arrived at as to the true zoological position of Limulus. Many eminent zoologists, such as Van Beneden, maintain that Limulus is not a Crustacean at all;¹ and the able attempt of Lankester and others to demonstrate that Limulus is an Arachnid is familiar to all zoologists.

The difficulties in the way of connecting Limulus and Apus seem to be the following. (1) The limbs in the two animals are differently arranged on the body, besides differing in number and form. This point is rightly considered of great importance, because it was chiefly the close study of the limbs, and of their homologies in the different Crustacea, which enabled zoologists to arrange the class into the natural groups of our present classification. (2) Whereas the Xiphosura bear markedly the character of an archaic group, whose nearest allies are to be sought for in the earliest geological strata, and which in development pass through a so-called "Trilobite" stage, Apus has, comparatively speaking, no geological record, and is, so far as we can learn from palæontology, rather a highly specialised tertiary form. (3) The young of Limulus do not pass through any stages which appear to correspond with the stages of Apus. Packard's attempt to discover the Nauplius stage in the embryological development of Limulus has met with no favour.

We here have, as far as we can find, the chief

¹ "Les Limules ne sont pas des Crustacés—ils n'ont rien de commun avec les Phyllopodes." *Journal de Zoologie*, par P. Gervais, vol. i. p. 42. Paris, 1872.

reasons why zoologists have not allowed themselves to be influenced by the extraordinary morphological likeness between the two animals, and why they have maintained that this likeness is merely a remarkable case of analogy.

On the other hand, the morphology of Apus has been such a perpetual puzzle, that its likeness to Limulus, even combined with its acknowledged retention of Annelidan characteristics, gave no key to its systematic position, just as its likeness to the Nauplius failed to suggest that it is itself the proto-Nauplius. When once, however, we recognise the essentially Annelidan and therefore primitive character of Apus, and thus regard it as an archaic form, *i.e.*, as a survival from early geological periods, its likeness to Limulus takes on at once a new meaning. The difficulties above mentioned deserve to be re-examined ; fortunately they are not insuperable. Before going into a detailed comparison of Limulus, let us briefly indicate the way these three difficulties may be met.

I. In the first place, the difficulty as to the difference between the limbs of Limulus and Apus depends entirely upon an exaggeration (a very natural exaggeration) of the importance of limbs for the purpose of classification; we say, a very natural exaggeration because, as above stated, it has been by a close study of the homologies of the Crustacean limbs that so much has been done to arrange the Crustacea into natural groups. On the other hand we ought not to lose sight of the fact that of all organs of the body the appendages are the

178

most plastic; the slightest alteration in habit of life, and every change in size and form of the body, bring about some corresponding change in the limbs. So that while, on the whole, stability of type is wonderfully exemplified in the Crustacean limbs, too much weight must not be laid upon it, since the same class supplies us with equally wonderful examples of extreme plasticity. Specialisation for some particular habit of life leads often enough to modification which altogether obliterates the type. It is not safe, then, to conclude, because the limbs of a Crustacean do not now show the typical form, that there is no way of connecting them with typical limbs. We thus maintain that the assumed failure of Limulus to show the typical Crustacean or Phyllopodan limbs ought not for a moment to weigh against the positive likeness between it and Apus.

Further, while Apus has, as we have seen, retained the more primitive form of limb, not far removed from the Annelidan parapodium, the manner of life of Limulus has led to a specialisation of its limbs, but not, it is important to note, to such extreme specialisation that no points of resemblance with the limbs of Apus are retained. On the contrary, the likeness, in some respects, is so great that one might almost be tempted to leave the limbs out of account in the question of relationship; they speak equally strongly both ways. When we come to compare the animals in detail, Apus having supplied us with the clue, it will not be difficult to deduce the limbs of Limulus from the parapodia of our Annelid, and to explain the transformations which have taken place.

The first difficulty as to the form and order of the limbs is thus, we think, fairly satisfactorily met for the present by the following four considerations:—

(1) That the possibility of homologising the limbs with typical Crustacean limbs must not be too much insisted upon, in the face of the well-known plasticity of these organs.

(2) That the limbs of Limulus are in many points as strikingly like the limbs of Apus as, in other respects, they are unlike.

(3) That the modification of the Xiphosuran limb out of the Phyllopodan or Annelidan is fairly easily traceable to the manner of life of the animals.

(4) As to the number of the limbs—our whole theory makes the number of limbs or segments developed of no real importance. The method of the development of new segments is such that few or many may be developed according to the needs of the genus.

II. Turning to the geological difficulties, we think these of even less weight than those founded upon the dissimilarity in the form, number, and order of the appendages. The habit of life of Apus from earliest times must have been such that it could be very seldom preserved in a fossil state. It was probably first shut off from the ocean in brackish lagoons, and was gradually driven by the struggle for

180

existence into small fresh-water pools, where alone it was able to hold its own, shut off from competition with almost all the rest of the animal kingdom. It is in this way, as already stated, that we account for the preservation of its primitive characteristics. Now, in such a record as this, what are the probabilities of its leaving any fossil remains? The marine carnivorous Annelids of palæozoic times have left only their hard, chitinous teeth, so that the Apodidæ of those times, with a skeleton not much harder than that of the Annelids, would hardly be likely to be preserved. Their comparative softness is thus one element to be taken into account in discussing the probability of their being preserved as fossils. But, further, when once they had adopted their fresh-water life in shallow pools, the chances of their preservation would be smaller still. They would at this time belong to the land fauna. There would thus be very little chance of their remains being preserved. In the first place the dead bodies would have decayed before there was any chance of their being covered by a deposit; there is, as a rule, very little suspended matter to fall in the isolated fresh-water pools which we suppose the Apodidæ to inhabit. And in the second place, land surfaces are, as is well known, seldom if ever preserved. There is therefore very little chance of any Apus being preserved excepting under very exceptional circumstances.

Further, although there may be no true Apodidæ recorded from Palæozoic strata, yet there are abundant remains of Phyllopods, many of which show such a striking resemblance to the Apodidæ that we are justified in claiming them as nearly related forms. This fact lends distinct support to our argument that Apus is a very ancient form, in spite of the deficiency in its own geological record.

III. The embryological difficulty is even of less account than the two others. Packard, whose studies of the embryology of Limulus entitle him to speak with authority, states that it is evident that the metamorphoses are all undergone within the egg, in order that the young may enter at once on the manner of life of the adult. And we may repeat here what has been affirmed in other connections, that it is enough if the embryology of Limulus does not directly and plainly contradict our theory ; we say plainly, because we do not lay much weight on the passing hints which an animal in its development may give as to its ancestors, unless these hints are supported by other evidence.

If these are not completely satisfactory answers to the difficulties which stand in the way of any close relationship between Limulus and Apus, they at any rate weaken those difficulties to such an extent that they are of little value in comparison with the positive evidence based upon the anatomical and morphological likeness between the two animals, taken together with all the evidence brought forward in the first Part to show that Apus has retained most of the characteristics of a primitive Crustacean, and, in fact, is as truly an archaic form as Limulus itself. Having prepared the way, let us commence the detailed comparison of the organs of Limulus and Apus.

The first point on which we fix our attention in order to test the relationship between the two animals may not appear very important, but the longer it is considered the more convincing, it seems to us, is the argument founded upon it. It is as follows:

Our main argument is that Apus is a bent Annelid.



FIG. 42.—Section of *Limulus rotundicanda* to show the bend in the intestine with the sinewy stemal plate in the angle to be compared with that of Apus Fig. 13, p. 56. b, brain; h, heart; l, openings of the hepatic ducts in the mid-gut; sp, the stemal plate. From Bronn's Klassen und Ordnungen des Thierreiches.

In this way we explained the bend in the intestinal canal which is so characteristic of the Crustacea. This bent intestine is very marked in Limulus (see Fig. 42), and suggests the same origin. This, however, was not enough. We argued that if we find in the bend of the intestine of Limulus a sinewy mass such as we find in Apus, referable there to the clumping together of the abdominal musculature, the coincidence can hardly be a mere case of analogy. Such a sinewy mass *is* found in Limulus, in

essentially the same place as in Apus, and is known as the sternal plate. If we have given the right explanation of these two points, the bent intestine and the sinewy mass in the bend in Apus, there can hardly be any other explanation to be given of their occurrence in Limulus. Given, then, the derivation of Apus out of a bent Annelid, in the manner described in the first part, it is hardly within the range of probability that Limulus, in which these two essential marks of such a derivation occur, should have had a different origin. These two points of resemblance, occurring together, are, in our opinion, conclusive as to the essential relationship of Limulus to Apus, through their common origin from a bent Annelid. This alone without further positive evidence was sufficient to convince us that Apus and Limulus were at least branches of the same stem. But, as will be seen in the following pages, the whole organisation of Limulus admits of direct comparison with that of Apus, the very differences between them affording striking confirmation of our theory of their common origin from a bent Annelid.

With this decisive evidence in favour of our theory we naturally proceeded with considerable confidence in attempting to homologise the limbs which have hitherto presented the chief difficulty in connecting Apus and Limulus. Before commencing a detailed discussion of the limbs of Limulus, there are many points of resemblance in external organisation which should be mentioned. It will also be useful to ascertain here the general principles on which Limulus has been modified.

On comparing the external form of Limulus with that of Apus, we find that the head region, while retaining essentially the same form, is yet far larger in proportion to the size of the body in the former than in the latter. The eyes are further apart, and two ocelli take the place of the unpaired "eye" or directive sensory body. The dorsal shield does not stand out from the body as a fold like that of Apus. Its frontal and lateral edges are produced downwards and outwards, so that the anterior part of the animal proper is raised from the ground, and, under the shield, has room for the movement of its limbs.¹ The dorsal shield, in fact, forms a sort of roof under which the animal lives. It is as if the head and anterior trunk segments of an Apus had been pushed upwards and backwards under the shield, being somewhat squeezed up in the process. The whole life of Limulus is passed under cover, every possible entrance being shut, or carefully guarded, against enemies.

The fusing of the head with the dorsal terga of a certain number of trunk segments naturally deprives these segments of any power of movement one upon another. The abdomen is also quite rigid, although in its embryonic state it shows distinct external traces

¹ This is not shown in the section of Limulus rotundicauda, Fig. 42, which, being a median longitudinal section, passes through the forehead. The vaulted shape of the shell is best shown in transverse sections, f, the sections of a Trilobite Fig. 54, p.230.

of segmentation. The length and rigidity of the caudal spine, taken together with the rigidity of the anterior portion of the body, would render the flexibility of the middle part of little use. It is true that there are Trilobites with rigid shields and pygidia and yet with flexible segments in the middle region of the body; but the pygidium could, in these animals, be used as a covering in the rolling up of the body. In animals possessing a caudal spine there could be no question of its being put to any such use; hence, probably, the rigidity of the middle or abdominal region of the body.

There are, comparatively speaking, but a small number of segments in the Xiphosuridæ, at least as compared with Apus; but this is a matter of comparatively small importance if we take into consideration the method of development of the early Annelidan-Crustaceans. The hind part of the body might become fixed at almost any stage of development, more or fewer segments coming into existence according to the degree of specialisation of each group. Apus developed, comparatively speaking, a large number (50-60), Limulus a small number (ca. 16).

The metamerism of Limulus is probably to be reckoned as follows :

Cephalothorax.—This is composed of five segments of the bent Annelid forming the head, each segment retaining its appendages, and of two trunk segments bearing two pairs of limbs, the posterior pair forming the operculum ; in all seven segments.

SECT. XII RELATION OF APUS TO CRUSTACEA 187

Abdomen, and Caudal Spine.—These probably represent nine¹ segments, of which the first five carry leaf-like gills, four (the last of which develops into the caudal spine) remaining limbless; these latter are to be compared with the five or six limbless segments of Apus. The caudal spine is a development of the anal segment homologous with the tail plate of Lepidurus. *i.e.*, of those Apodidæ in which the anal segment is produced posteriorly into a flat plate.

According to its *external* organisation, therefore, Limulus is an Apus-like animal, especially adapted for living on mud under a shell. The shell is vaulted and the body correspondingly compressed against its roof, so as to allow the limbs, &c., to function. In this way we think that the chief differences between Apus and Limulus can be explained. It is important to bear in mind this general principle on which Limulus has been modified as a key to its special organisation.

It is worth pointing out that Packard, quoting from Dr. Gissler, describes the method of moulting in Limulus and Apus as being essentially alike. This, however, need be no more than a case of analogy.

In the following detailed comparison we shall find that in some points Apus is the more specialised, in others Limulus, but there can be little doubt that, of the two, Apus stands nearer to the original Crustacean-Annelid.

¹ Packard gives this number for the abdomen of Limulus.

THE LIMBS.

As already stated (p. 179) we find that the differences between the limbs of Apus and of Limulus are



FIG. 43.—Ventral surface of *Limulus moluccanus* Q (after Van der Hæven), showing the ventral parapodia of five pairs of limbs, viz., the second, third, fourth, fifth, and sixth (first trunk limb), working between the prostomium and the under lip; also the differentiation of the sixth, or first trunk limb, for locomotory purposes.

as significant as are the likenesses. First as to number, we need only repeat what was said about the difference in number of the segments; instead of a large number of segments with a large number of limbs at different stages of development, from the parapodium-like limb of the Phyllopoda to the Crustacean leg, we have in Limulus a small number of segments with a small number of limbs, showing essentially the same differentiation as we find in the legs of Apus, but more specialised in adaptation to its manner of life. We have anteriorly the more typical Crustacean limb, posteriorly the more parapodial limb, the transition between the two, however, being not gradual but sudden.

In trying to homologise the limbs of the two animals, we shall have to utilise the conclusions arrived at in Part I.

The first pair of limbs of Limulus occupies a place corresponding to that of the first pair in Apus, viz., at the sides of or close to the labrum, and is homologous with the first pair of antennæ. In general form these limbs do not differ much in the two animals. as may be seen by comparing Fig. 43 and Frontispiece. The chief difference is that in Limulus the bends have developed hinges, owing to the greater development of the exoskeleton, and there are chelæ at the tips. We need hardly say that neither of these points is of very great morphological worth. For instance, within the Arachnoidea we find one and the same limb, the pedipalp, in one group (the Araneidæ), as a simple feeler ; in another (the Scorpionidæ), it develops powerful chelæ; and within the group of the Spiders themselves the tips of the pedipalps in many males undergo even more wonderful modifications for the purposes of copulation.

With regard to the second antenna, we were at first

disposed to think that it had entirely disappeared. In Apus it has not yet quite disappeared, but it is so rudimentary that it appears to be in the act of disappearing. It seemed to us that the condition of this limb in Apus helped us in pointing out a missing limb in Limulus. But maturer thought led to the homologising of the second pair of limbs with the second antennæ of Apus. In the first place the position agrees very well (cf. Fig. 43 with Frontispiece). This was not, however, the real reason for our change of opinion, which was due to a comparison of the sixth limb in Apus with the sixth limb in Limulus, and, to anticipate somewhat, with the sixth limb in the Eurypteridæ and in the Trilobites. The sudden specialisation of this limb in all these animals must be admitted to have some common significance. That given on p. 44 seems the most probable, viz., that, taking five segments to form the bent head, the sixth was the first free segment, and its parapodium was thus free to develop into a limb for locomotion or for some other function. Thus, taking the sixth limb of Limulus to represent the first trunk limb, the full number (five) of head limbs were left to be homologised with the typical head limbs of Apus and the other Crustacea. The second antenna is therefore present, exactly corresponding in position with the homologous limb of Apus. It is, however, a well-developed and highly functional limb. In the female it is chelate, but in the male it develops a seizing hook. It is interesting to note that the same pair of limbs in the male of Branchipus, which is closely related to Apus,

also develop powerful seizing hooks. The homology of the second pair of limbs of Apus with the second antennæ of the Apodidæ is doubly interesting because we here find the ventral parapodium retained as masticatory ridge. The great number of masticatory ridges in Limulus will be referred to again, and compared with the number of homologous ridges in Apus and the fossil Crustacea.

The third pair of limbs of Limulus offers a most interesting comparison with the mandibles of Apus. In the latter, the ventral parapodium alone is retained, the dorsal having entirely disappeared. In Limulus, both have been retained, the ventral parapodium as a very pronounced masticatory ridge, the dorsal as a long jointed chelate leg.

The fourth and fifth head limbs have nearly the same form as the third, and are homologous with the two pairs of maxillæ of Apus. One interesting feature, however, deserves special attention in connection with our deduction of Apus and also of Limulus from an Annelid. In Limulus, the ventral parapodium, which stands out much more pronounced as a ventral parapodium than it does in Apus, has retained distinct traces of its sensory cirrus (see Fig. 44). In this respect Limulus is more primitive than Apus. On the other hand in Limulus, the sensory cirrus (or exopodite) disappears from the dorsal parapodium of the head limbs; this is the exact opposite of what we find in the typical Crustacean limb, where the dorsal parapodium as endopodite and its sensory cirrus as exopodite are alone preserved, the

ventral parapodium being only occasionally retained as a mere rudiment. This very striking difference between Apus and Limulus is thus at the same time a link, since it connects them both with our Crustacean Annelid.

The sixth pair of limbs has already been homologised with the first trunk limbs of Apus and the other Crus-



FIG. 44.—Basal part of one of the 2nd pairs of limbs of Limulus moluccanus (from Bronn's Klassen und Ordnungen des Thierreiches) to show the well-developed ventral parapodium, retaining the remains of its sensory cirrus (c); d, dorsal parapodium; v, ventral parapodium.

tacea. It differs characteristically from the head appendages. Its ventral parapodium is well developed as a masticatory ridge, and functions as a jaw, in front of the under lip, with the masticators of the last four head segments. Its dorsal parapodium, however, is developed in a peculiar way. It is a powerful limb for pushing the animal forward in its burrowing operations ; its tip is provided with a group of sensory feelers
comparable with the sensory endites on the ventral edge of the trunk limbs of Apus; in the middle of this group of sensory processes is a small pair of chelæ. This whole limb seems to combine locomotory with protective functions. Its tip lies close to the lateral gap between the cephalo-thoracic and abdominal shields, so that no enemy could enter without being immediately perceived and thrust out. This first trunk limb seems to have preserved its dorsal sensory cirrus, also no doubt as a guard against the entrance of enemies which could not easily be ejected if once lodged on the dorsal edges of the head limbs, *i.e.* between the limbs and the shield.

The last pair of limbs of the cephalo-thorax is highly modified as a flat cover or operculum for the abdominal feet. Its form is essentially Phyllopodan. In Limulus, the passage from the flat Phyllopodan limb to the Crustacean leg is abrupt; there are no transition forms as in Apus. The first trunk limb is leg-like, the second Phyllopodan. As the form of the latter is essentially the same as that of the abdominal limbs, we reserve our description of it till later.

Before leaving these cephalo-thoracic limbs we wish once more to call attention to their arrangement, which is well explained by the manner of life of the animal. The animal, *i.e.* its anterior end, seems as if fixed up in the vault of a roof, the mouth being in the centre and the limbs hanging down all round. The most anterior and most posterior limbs do not function as jaws, but the five limbs between these two, *i.e.* the last four head limbs and the first

Ο

trunk limb, have powerful jaw pieces, which are arranged in two rows, one on each side of the oral aperture, the dorsal parapodia being developed into an outer ring of chelate feet for seizing prey and bringing it between the jaws.

It is clear that the efficiency of these long limbs, already limited as to space for free movement, would be materially lessened if on their dorsal edges they had to carry gills, &c.; hence these appendages have entirely disappeared, respiration becoming localised on the abdominal limbs, which have been especially modified for the purpose. In the Trilobites the movement of the limbs is also limited by a large shield running the whole length of the body, but in their case both the gills and the cirri are retained, the reason being very simple, viz., that the ambulatory legs of the Trilobites do not require to carry out the complicated movements of the limbs arranged round the mouth of Limulus, but are simply ambulatory legs.

Two processes from the ventral surface of the body bring these limbs to a close. The mouth parts, *i.e.* the masticatory ridges, work between the labrum at the one end and these two processes at the other. Although authors have recognised that these processes function as an under lip, they hesitated to assert that morphologically they were the true under lip. We can, however, hardly doubt that they are to be homologised with the under lip of Apus. An examination of the special modifications of Limulus explains the position of the organ ; the mouth has been lengthened out longitudinally so that the labrum has

194

been forced outwards and forwards, and the under lip backwards, so as to admit of the working of the five pairs of jaws between them. If it at first sight seems unlikely that the paragnatha should move so far back as to come behind the first pair of trunk feet, it must be remembered that, when the mouth of the Crustacean-Annelid first stretched out so as to admit of the working of the five ventral parapodia as jaws, the parts were more flexible. It is also some confirmation of this homology to find that the sternal plate, the sinewy mass of the musculature originally in the angle of the bent intestine and thus close above the under lip, is also drawn back as far as this under lip, showing that the whole region has been drawn out of its original shape. The origin of the division of the under lip has been already explained (p. 40).

In Limulus, as already said, we do not find, as in Apus, a gradual change in the limbs from the more Crustacean form anteriorly to the more Annelidan, *i.e.* parapodial, posteriorly. The transition is sudden. The limb corresponding with the second trunk limb of Apus forms the flat operculum to cover the following five pairs of Phyllopodan (*i.e.* parapodia-like) limbs.

The typical parts of these abdominal limbs can still be more or less clearly recognised. The ventral parapodia of each pair of limbs have fused in the middle line, forming the basal plate; the dorsal parapodium is represented by a row of four joints approaching the middle line (see Fig. 45). On the outside of these come the large gill and somewhat smaller flabellum fused with one another and with the basal plate, but still distinct. On the well-known principle of the increase of the respiratory surface by the formation of integumental folds, the dorsal (*i.e.* morphologically posterior) surface of the gills has developed a row of leaf-like folds.

It is of importance to note that it is only that part



FIG. 45.—One of the abdominal limbs of *Limulus* for comparison with a Phyllopodan limb. v. ventral parapodia fused together; d. dorsal parapodia (endopodites); *A*, flabellum or sensory cirrus (exopodite); g. gill, the posterior surface of which carries leaf-like integumental folds.

of the limb of Limulus which morphologically corresponds with the gill of the parapodium (or of the Phyllopodan leg) which is thus modified. One would have been inclined to think that the increase of the respiratory surface could have been better obtained by using the whole surface of these leaf-like limbs. We have here a most interesting case of the strict localisation of function. The increase of respiratory surface required, in consequence of the suppression of the gills on the anterior limbs, is obtained by a complicated increase of the surface of the gills on the other limbs, and of the gills only.

We have, then, compared the appendages of Limulus with those of Apus, and shown how they throw light upon one another, how they are both deducible, some along one line of special differentiation, some along another, from the parapodia of our original Crustacean-Annelid. The type is clearly the same in both, as is also the type of the whole organisation of the two animals.

The Musculature.—The musculature of Limulus is very specialised, in accordance with the specialisation of the outer body and the high development of the exoskeleton. We will not here venture on the task of endeavouring to trace the separate muscles from their Annelidan origin. In all such attempts, the limitation of the movements of the body must be borne in mind. The fact that the body of Limulus is no longer capable of any bend, except in the sagittal plane, would alone bring about very profound changes in the musculature, which originally moved the Annelid freely in any plane.

It must here suffice to refer to what was said above (p. 184) about the sinewy mass found within the bend of the intestine for the attachment of the muscles. For the origin of this mass from the Annelidan ventral muscle bands we refer to the derivation given in Part I. of the similar mass found in the same place in Apus. And further we can point to the entapophyses in Limulus as homologous with the points of attachment of the dorso-ventral muscles in Apus, marked p in figures 66 and 67.

The Nervous System.—The nervous system of Limulus is especially important and interesting. In many respects it is more primitive than that of Apus, for example, in the position of the brain. On the other hand, again, owing to the greater specialisation of the whole body, it is in some respects more specialised.

In describing our bent Annelid we naturally found it necessary to assume that the brain was originally in the prostomium or labrum. In Apus, owing to the wandering of the eyes forwards and upwards, the brain followed the eyes, splitting the œsophageal commissures into a sympathetic ring and a cerebro-œsophageal ring. In Limulus the brain has retained its original Annelidan position. It need hardly be said that this is a very striking confirmation of our derivation of Apus from a bent Annelid. We had quite lost sight of this fact when we stated that in the original Crustacean-Annelid (shown in Fig. 18, p. 69) the brain was in the prostomium, and that in Apus it had wandered from its place through secondary adaptations. Thus the very difference in the position of the brains of Apus and of Limulus affords a conclusive proof of their real relationship as derived from the same bent Annelid.

It is almost equally important for our argument to note that, as Ray Lankester pointed out, the brains of Apus and Limulus are alike in constitution, both

198

being very nearly pure archicerebra. They consist almost wholly of the ganglia for the eyes and ocelli in Limulus, and of the eyes and unpaired sensory body in Apus. In Limulus, according to Packard, the brain is not complicated by the presence of the ganglia for the antennæ; in Apus, however, according to Pelseneer, the ganglia for the first antennæ have joined the brain. In the great simplicity of the brain, these two animals are, Lankester states, almost unique.

The eyes in Limulus, in wandering forwards and outwards, were unable to take the brain with them, but are simply connected with the brain by long, and not very important, nerve fibres. These nerve fibres have the same relative position on the brain as the stalks of the optic ganglia of Apus. Between the two optic nerves, a pair of nerves is found running to the pair of ocelli which lie anteriorly near the middle line. We have already referred to these median ocelli of Limulus, as some support for our argument that the median sensory body in Apus arose out of an anterior pair of eye-spots on the prostomium of the original Crustacean-Annelid. The position of the points of departure of the nerves to these ocelli agrees exactly with that of the nerves to the unpaired "eye" of Apus. In Limulus there are other nerves leaving the brain from between the optic nerves besides those to the ocelli. In Apus we found that the sensory body is composed of four retinæ, with four nerves running to the brain. If we homologise the lateral retina with the ocelli, the nerves from the postero-dorsal and ventral

retinæ might correspond with a pair of the other nerves just mentioned which leave the brain near those of the ocelli. The different shape and grouping of the sensory cells of the postero-dorsal and ventral retinæ from those of the lateral retinæ seem to indicate that they must have been derived from some other sensory organs.

Owing to the backward prolongation of the mouth and the œsophagus, and the arrangement of the limbs round the former, the anterior nervous system is very concentrated; the nerves for the anterior antennæ and the five pairs of limbs branch out radially from the thickened œsophageal commissures. It is as if the œsophagus had forced its way backwards between the two longitudinal commissures of the nerve cord, forcing apart the separate pairs of ganglia of the first five pairs of limbs, the four transverse commissures of which arch over the slanting œsophagus.

Between the nerves to the fifth pair of limbs and those to the operculum is a pair of nerves to the chilaria or under lip. If the homology of the chilaria with the under lip of Apus is correct, these nerves have been carried back with the under lip, in the drawing back of the mouth.

The ventral cord of Apus is more primitive than that of Limulus, which, at its posterior end, is much modified. This specialisation of the ventral cord of Limulus is in correspondence with the great concentration of its body as compared with that of Apus. In Apus the posterior end was found in a rudimentary and larval condition.

200

The arterial envelope surrounding the nervous system will be referred to later in the paragraph on the circulatory system.

The Sensory Organs .- We have already (Fig. 22, p. 91) described and figured the eyes of Limulus in order to explain the origin of the typical Arthropodan eye of Apus from the Annelidan eye-spots. It is of no small interest to remark that we had selected the eye of Limulus as a guide towards explaining the origin of the Crustacean eye at the very outset of our investigation, when we were entirely occupied in attempting to deduce Apus from an Annelid, and long before it occurred to us that Limulus was probably related to Apus. The establishment of the relationship between the two thus lends considerable support to the theory put forward in Part I. as to the possible development of the Arthropodan eve out of an Annelidan hypodermal eye-spot by the thickening of the cuticle. If this deduction is correct, then the eye of Limulus is more primitive than that of Apus. This indeed we might expect from the manner of life of the two, the freeswimming form naturally having the more perfect visual organs, while Limulus, which burrows in mud or sand and lives practically under a roof, has eyes comparatively weakly developed

The wandering of the eyes from the ventral surface on to the dorsal, which we found indicated by the bend of the cerebro-œsophageal commissures in Apus, is here shown in an equally interesting way by the upward, forward, and outward bend of the long optic nerves. Their very length, when compared with the usual distance between eyes and brain throughout the animal kingdom, is a clear indication of displacement.

The anterior pair of Annelidan eyes, which in Apus went to form the unpaired "eye," are represented in Limulus by a pair of ocelli. The wandering of these ocelli on to the dorsal surface can still be traced in the course of the animal's development. According to Packard, the ocelli at their first appearance in the embryo are on the ventral side, and travel on to the dorsal side before the young animal is hatched. The true significance of this fact has already been dwelt upon, and has been compared with a similar, though not so pronounced, wandering of the eyes in the Nauplius as shown in Figs. 36 and 37. The presence of the ocelli on the ventral surface of any ancestor of Limulus would be difficult to explain by any other theory than that of our bent Annelid. The nerves to these ocelli branch from the brain from the same place as do those to the unpaired "eye" in Apus, *i.e.* from between the optic nerves.

Judging from the lateral retinæ of the sensory body of Apus, and also from the fact that the posterior eyes are compound, we should have expected compound eyes and not ocelli as the anterior pair in Limulus. In certain Trilobites (*e.g.* Harpes), according to Barrande, these ocelli are not single but composed of groups.¹ It seemed to us that these might perhaps form an interesting connecting link between

¹ See Packard's paper on the structure of the eye of Trilobites. American Naturalist, July 1880. retinulated compound eyes and the single ocelli of Limulus. We find, however, that the ocelli of Limulus, according to Lankester's and Bourne's figures, are only ocelli in the sense that they have but one large cuticular lens; the retinal cells under them being grouped in retinulæ. The presence of retinulæ essentially of the same shape as those under the conical cuticular projections in the paired eyes (see Fig. 22) suggests that this large cuticular lens has arisen by the coalescence of a number of such crystal cones; otherwise, according to our view, it would be difficult to account for the retinulæ, which we think first arise by the grouping of the sensory visual cells round the tips of the conical refractive processes. If this is the case, the ocellus of Limulus is not due to an independent utilisation of a special form of cuticular thickening, as we think is the case in such an eye as that of the Dytiscus larva, but, as stated, to a coalescence of the separate crystal cones to form one large lens. The original compound eyes with their separate cones probably formed weak spots in the anterior shield, and therefore gradually developed large single lenses by the concrescence of the cones. One consequence of the change is, according to our theory, clear, and that is that the retinulæ, being no longer grouped round crystal cones, are, as retinulæ, comparatively useless. We turned, therefore, with great interest to Lankester and Bourne's account of these retinulæ, and found what we expected, that they are by no means so definite as those of the lateral eyes, their irregularity suggesting their slow disorganisation.

The very differences then which we find between these sensory organs in Limulus and Apus are in reality more confirmatory of our theory than any exact similarity could possibly be. Similarity could only help to establish the relationship between the two animals. As it is, we have a sufficiently striking likeness with just those differences which are only to be explained by deducing both animals from a common Annelidan ancestor, in the way described in this book.

The alimentary canal has, as already described, the important bend which we refer both in Limulus and in Apus to the bending round of the whole Annelidan body. The chitin-lined œsophageal portion is more highly differentiated than in Apus; its oral portion is lengthened out posteriorly (or morphologically anteriorly), showing the same longitudinal folds of its intima as we found in the œsophagus of Apus. Its anterior portion is widened out to form the so-called pro-ventriculus, the chitinous folds of which are so pronounced and differentiated that they probably help in the trituration of food. We here have the homologue of the masticatory stomach of the higher Crustacea. The posterior end of this projects like a conical crater into the mid-gut, as it does to a much slighter extent in Apus. The mid-gut runs almost to the end of the body, receiving in its course, on each side, two hepatic ducts from the much branched "livers," which fill up a large portion of the cephalo-

204

thorax. In Apus we have a more primitive stage, in that the livers are still clearly little more than digesting diverticula of the mid-gut, at whose branched ends only are found the hepatic glands. In Limulus, the glandular portion is far more pronounced, and the diverticula themselves are diminished to bile ducts, as is the case in the higher Crustacea.

The very difference between what we find here and in Apus is instructive; perhaps, from the fact of there being two ducts on each side, we can conclude that there were originally two or more intestinal diverticula in Apus. The general form of the liver of Apus certainly looks as if it consisted of two or more diverticula run together at the places where they open into the mid-gut. Embryologically (according to Packard), the livers of Limulus begin as simple biliary tubes, the branchings following later. The development of the liver as outgrowths of the mid-gut is well shown in Claus' figures of the Nauplius (Figs. 39, 41).

The rest of the alimentary canal offers nothing special for remark; like that of Apus it has a short rectum, the chitinous intima of which is thrown into longitudinal folds by the musculature. It is worth noting that, whereas the anterior half of the mid-gut is very thin-walled, it gradually gets thicker and more muscular as it approaches the rectum; there is no sharp division between the two. This is exactly what we found in Apus.

The circulatory system of Limulus is very highly specialised. We do not, as already stated in Part I., lay much value upon it from a morphological point of view. This specialisation of the blood vascular system in Limulus is a very good illustration of the principles stated on p. 117. The compression of the body of Limulus against the vault of its own shell would lead to the development of special vessels to supply those parts which, because of compression, would not otherwise receive their proper share of blood. Thus we may consider the circulatory vascular system of Limulus either as a modification of that of the original Crustacean-Annelid, or as secondarily acquired. The latter view is more probably the correct one. In the first place, the type of the system is hardly that of an Annelid, and in the second place, ' the arterial envelopes surrounding the nerves are clearly secondary specialisations in adaptation to the peculiar physiological needs of the animal.

The Annelidan character of the long dorsal vessel with eight pairs of ostia needs no special notice; it speaks for itself in showing that at least in this respect Limulus is not so far removed from the Annelids as its highly specialised form would have led us at first sight to imagine.

The genital organs in Limulus are considerably more specialised than in Apus. The comparative shortness and flatness of the body hinders the primitive metameric arrangement which we find in the latter animal. The eggs appear to develop towards the lumen of the gland instead of outwards towards the body cavity. This advance on Apus is what we should expect from the compression of the whole body, and the consequent diminution of the body cavity. The spermatozoa are filiform as in the carnivorous Annelids, but this fact is of no great morphological importance. The genital aperture is situated on the posterior face of the operculum, *i.e.* on the second trunk limb; in Apus it is between the tenth and eleventh trunk feet. There were originally nephridial openings between the limbs of all the more developed trunk segments; hence this difference between Limulus and Apus is of no importance.

Development.—We have already pointed out that the absence of the Nauplius stage in Limulus is no real difficulty. We should only expect a Nauplius stage in Limulus inasmuch as the Nauplius is the larva of the original Crustacean-Annelid. The great specialisation of Limulus, apparently so unlike its Annelidan ancestor, readily explains its direct development without passing through any such stage. Its metamorphoses are all passed through within the egg; we thus learn nothing of its early ancestors. Its so-called "Trilobite stage" receives, however, a new interest from our theory, which includes the Trilobite also among the descendants of the same bent Annelid.

We conclude, then, from the comparison between Apus and Limulus that both animals have developed from the same bent Crustacean-Annelid; hence the similarity in their organisation. Although their further development has travelled along slightly different lines, their striking differences are in most cases easily explained by the one having retained more primitive Annelidan characteristics than the other.

Returning to the subject of the shield, while in Apus the dorsal integument of the fifth segment developed a large shell fold, we see no need for believing that in Limulus there was ever a dorsal shield projecting backwards as a fold. When we come to consider the Trilobites we shall find reason to believe that the frontal ridge was in all these animals older than the dorsal shield, and had a different origin, the dorsal shield itself being a later development. In Limulus, as in many Trilobites, the ridge round the front of the head is produced backwards on each side to form two horn-like processes. But we reserve the further discussion of this most interesting subject for the next section, where it will be more in its place, as in the Trilobites almost every possible variation of the same essential type of cephalothoracic shield is found, for the defence of the anterior bent, and therefore exposed, segments. We shall then see some reasons for concluding that only those primitive Crustacea which developed shields, i.e. either dorsal folds like the Apodidæ, or bivalve shells like the Ostracoda, survived, Limulus being probably the only exception to this rule. In many modern Crustacea, however, these shields have again secondarily disappeared.

SECTION XIII

THE TRILOBITES

In this appeal to the ancient Crustacean forms to ascertain whether they lend any support to our theory of the origin of the class, we began with Limulus, not because it is more nearly related either to Apus or to our bent Annelid than are the Trilobites, but because its anatomy is so well known that it admitted of closer comparison, and further because its relation to the Trilobites is fairly well established. It thus formed a sort of link for the purposes of our comparison, to connect the Apodidæ with the Trilobites and the Eurypteridæ. That Limulus and the Trilobites are closely related is now generally acknowledged.

Having shown that Limulus is, like Apus, derivable from a bent Annelid, if we can only show that the organisation of the Trilobites is also best explained by attributing to it a similar origin, we shall be able to group the Xiphosuridæ, the Trilobites, and the Apodidæ for the first time in a natural system.

It is important to bear in mind that the Trilobites

Р

are the earliest known Crustacean forms. A special interest therefore attaches to our endeavour to prove that they were nearly related to the Apodidæ. Starting from a purely morphological and anatomical standpoint, we endeavoured to show that Apus was a modified Annelid, and, therefore, a primitive Crustacean. Our finding that the Nauplius, or the earliest known larval stage in Crustacea, is but a young Apus, went far to show that our reasoning was correct. If now we can further show that the earliest known Crustaceans are easily connected with the Apodidæ as related forms, it seems to us that our case is established. Such concurrent testimony from developmental history and from palæontology is almost without parallel.

The relationship of the Apodidæ and the Trilobites has already been assumed by the earlier zoologists. Burmeister,¹ indeed, tried to reconstruct the Trilobites on this assumption, and attributed to them the typical Phyllopodan limbs, and described them as swimming about in the palæozoic seas. Although Burmeister's reconstruction was not correct, yet his assumption of a relationship between the two was justified. The fact that the Apodidæ have rowing limbs does not in any way oblige us to assume that if the Trilobites were related to the Apodidæ they must have had similar limbs. As a matter of fact we

¹ Cf. "Die Organization der Trilobiten aus ihren lebenden Verwandten entwickelt," and further the historical review given by Walcott in his paper, "The Trilobite. Old and new evidence relating to its organisation." now know that the Trilobites had ambulatory limbs (see Fig. 51). The two sorts of limbs are, as we shall see, but different modifications of the Annelidan parapodium.

The Annelidan character of the outer form of the Trilobites is not so much disguised as at first sight it seems to be. But for its large head-shield it might well have passed for a flattened Annelid. Anteriorly we have the crescent-shaped head, followed by a variable number of movable segments, and posteriorly a number of more or less rudimentary segments, often fused together to form a tail-plate.

Taking the three parts separately, and deducing them from our primitive Crustacean-Annelid, we shall find that much light is thrown upon many hitherto obscure points in their organisation.

(I.) The Head.—The Trilobite head is composed of the five anterior segments of our Annelid, bent round so that the mouth opens ventrally and faces posteriorly, as described for Apus. The large labrum was originally the prostomium of the Annelid. Fig. 46 is a longitudinal section through a Trilobite, which we had not seen till the first part of this book was in MS., and which afforded a most unexpected confirmation of our argument. Anteriorly and dorsally the bending of the soft cylindrical body gives rise to the glabella, as the characteristic swelling in the median line of the Trilobite head is called. This is the convex surface of the bent Annelidan body, and is retained only in the Trilobites. In Apus it is completely disguised by the growing together of the

P 2

frontal ridge and the dorsal shield, but it is always more or less visible in the Trilobites, which formed no such dorsal fold. Round the glabella is developed the remarkable crescent-shaped ridge which runs round the front of the head, such as we found in Apus as a prolongation of the lateral edges of the shield. In the Trilobites, this ridge is often very pronounced, forming a wide margin round the head, with horns sometimes stretching back far beyond the posterior end of the body (see Figs. 47 and 57, p. 257). The origin of this ridge is probably to be sought in the folds



FIG. 46.—Longitudinal section through Ceraurus pleurexanthemus (after Walcott), showing the intestinal canal and ventral membrane, and the bend in the head. *Cf.* Figs. 1 and 2.

which would naturally arise ventrally and laterally in the bend of the soft body; the bend is so sudden that we may well imagine the folds forming projecting angles at each side like the angles formed by the bending of an india-rubber tube. This comparison would be almost exact if we imagine the convex curve of the tube so stretched as not seriously to diminish the size of its lumen, as must have been the case in the bent Annelid to prevent compression of the viscera. That the sides of the angle of the bend did thus project we conclude from the position of the second antennae both in Apus and in Limulus, where

SECT. XIII

they lie outside the longitudinal line which joins the other limbs. The development of hard cuticular points, and thence of thorns on such lateral projections, would be but a matter of time. From these points also the gradual development of the ridge round the front of the head can easily be imagined. In some Trilobites it remains quite inconspicuous, but



FIG. 47.—Dionide formosa (Barr), showing the glabella and the gradual rudimentary character of the posterior segments.

in others, as already stated, it projects as a great shovel-shaped margin. We here find, then, the origin of all forms of the Crustacean shell, which we have deferred discussing till now; we may summarise our conclusions as follows:

Round these lateral projections, due to the bending of the cylindrical body, all the shapes of the Trilobite head-shield play. We are inclined to think that the formation of the ridge round the front was the primitive variation, because of its great use as a belt-like shield round the unprotected head of the browsing animal, especially if it went hand in hand with the thickening of the cuticle of the frontal surface. The lateral processes and the frontal ridge thus formed the primitive head-shield of this whole group of Annelidan-Crustacea, and every form of shellcovering may have been developed out of this primitive shield. As a matter of fact we find almost every possible variation of this ground form. The cephalothoracic shield of Limulus is one form, due to its fusing with the two anterior trunk segments. But by far the most important of all these variations was the development of this head-shield backwards over the trunk to form a cover such as that of Apus. We have already described (p. 15) the probable origin of this shell as a fold of the tergum of the fifth segment developed to carry thorns for the protection of the exposed dorsal surface, the head being bent round ventrally. A Trilobite, Acidaspis Dufrenoyi (Fig. 48), shows us the neck-lobe developed into the kind of thorn-carrying fold we had imagined. Such a fold as that possessed by Acidaspis, if a little wider and carrying more thorns, could very easily develop backwards over the trunk into a shell fold, such as that possessed by the Apodidæ, the thorn-carrying function eventually giving way to that of forming a cover for the dorsal surface.

But this is not the only form of shell which can be

214

THE TRILOBITES

SECT. XIII

derived from the primitive head-shield above described. The bivalve shells of the Ostracoda can also be deduced from the same by the clapping together of the two wings of the crescent-shaped ridge against the sides of the body as illustrated in Fig. 57, p. 257. When this crescent is large, owing



FIG. 48.—Acidaspis Dufrenoyi (Barr), Upper Silurian (after Barrande, from Zittel's *Handbuch*). Showing the fold of the skin carrying two prongs projecting backwards just behind the glabella, to demonstrate the probable origin of the dorsal shield of Apus.

to the great development of the shovel-shaped ridge round the front of the head, the lateral folding of these wings round the rolled-up body would yield a bivalve shell. Another obvious method of producing the bivalve shell is by the folding down of the edges of a dorsal shell such as that of Apus. These two origins are, however, clearly quite different; we shall find later that they help us greatly in understanding the striking difference between the Ostracoda and the other Crustacea possessing bivalve shells.

In the meanwhile the development of shells directly from the primitive head-shield seems to have taken place in two directions.

(1) By the development of the posterior edge of a neck-lobe, or dorsal fold of the fifth segment, at first carrying the thorns, as shown in Fig. 48, and later forming a covering for the back.

(2) By the growth and folding down of the wings or horns of the crescent-shaped head-shield against the sides of the body.

To these two shell formations we shall however return in discussing the probable origins of the modern Crustacea. We shall also have again to refer to the importance of the formation of such shields protecting the whole body, and to the advantages which they offered over all the other variations of the head-shield.

We conclude then that the shield of Apus was not the primitive formation; the ground type was, we think, the head-shield, every variety of which we find in the Trilobites. Thus although, in Apus, we spoke of the ridge round the head being the prolongation of the lateral edges of the shield, strictly speaking the ridge and the shield were two independent developments of the primitive Trilobitan head-shield, the former starting forwards from the lateral projections necessitated by the bending of the cylindrical body,

SECT. XIII

the latter the posterior development of the neck-lobe as shown in Fig. 48.

(II.) The Trunk Segments.—The greatest difficulty in homologising these segments with Annelidan segments is that we find the crescent-shaped head followed by segments repeating, in their pleura, the form of the head, whereas at first sight we should expect the head to be followed by a row of Annelidan segments as in Apus, i.e. a continuation of the glabella alone, as was no doubt originally the case. The gradual acquisition on the part of the trunk segments of their highly developed pleura repeating the characteristics of the wings of the head is probably to be explained as follows:-As soon as the typical Trilobite head-shield became an important factor in the struggle of each species for existence, it would tend to appear earlier and earlier in the larva; the Trilobite Nauplius would then be little more than a generalised Trilobite head with an anal segment. Between these two parts the segments were gradually differentiated, so that the characteristics of the head might very well make themselves felt in the development of the segments, and in this way spread gradually backwards to the posterior end of the body. This, indeed, we find to be the rule in many Trilobites; the most specialised segments are immediately behind the head, while posteriorly they are more and more simple. In this way then the segments of the Annelidan trunk were gradually provided with the pleura characteristic of the Trilobites; their pleura being segmental repetitions of the lateral projections of the head-shield.

The variation in the number of trunk segments is also a point of no small interest. While some authors have tried to classify the Trilobites according to the number of the trunk segments, Barrande has shown that even within the same genus the number is quite inconstant, the different species varying greatly in this respect, in Olenus 9-15, Cyphaspis 10-17, &c. This is exactly what we find in the Apodidæ, where the number of segments varies greatly: from 60-65 in A. cancriformis, to 40 in L. glacialis. We have already discussed the importance of this inconstant number of the segments in our argument that the Apodidæ stand half way between the Crustacea, with their small constant number of segments, and the Annelida with their large inconstant number. But the argument has not the same weight here as it had in our endeavour to show that the Apodidæ were very primitive Crustacea, because in the case of the Trilobites the fact is already apparent from their geological position ; still it is an important characteristic which they have in common with the Apodidæ, and as such is so much positive evidence in favour of our argument that both are derived from the bent Annelid.

(III.) The Pygidium is a more or less constant characteristic of the Trilobites. It is the posterior region of the body, composed of a varying number of segments fused together, so that the whole region forms a stiff plate, a sort of tail-shield answering to the anterior head-shield. The morphology of this pygidium has been as little understood as that of the posterior end of the body of Apus; our explanation of the one also explains the other.

We find, in fact, almost the same as we find in Apus, that the posterior segments remain in an undeveloped or larval condition; although the gradual tapering away and diminution in length of the segments is not visible in all species, yet where it is no longer visible it must be assumed to have secondarily disappeared. In some cases these rudimentary segments develop sufficiently to hinge upon one another and to bend in the sagittal plane, or perhaps the bending may have been effected as in Apus by the development of rings which do not correspond with true segments. In very many cases, however, the segments are so rudimentary that they are unable to bend upon one another, and hence together form the stiff plate under discussion-the pygidium (see Fig. 50). We thus deduce the pygidium not strictly from fused segments but from segments too rudimentary to bend upon one another.

' It has been noticed as a somewhat remarkable fact that the trunk segments appear after the pygidium, the young larva consisting of the head and the pygidium, and between these two the thoracic segments are gradually interposed. This is a most interesting case of the shifting back on to the larva of important characteristics. The pygidium, being probably useful in the rolling up of the larva, is thus very early developed, and is then analogous to the anal segment in the Trochophora larva, although morphologically it is composed of a number of rudimentary segments. We have, as has already been pointed out by many authors, the parallel case of the Zoæa, in which the abdomen which is useful to the larva for swimming is developed before the posterior thoracic segments.



FIG. 49.—Asaphos megistos Hall (after Walcott), showing the well-developed ventral parapodia, and the gradual simplification of the limbs from before backwards, as in Apus. Cf. Frontispiece; p, pygidium.

The Limulus larva, in which the same thing occurs, is on this account called by Packard a Zoæa.

Having mentioned this habit of rolling up, we may as well here point out that it also forms a link of connection between the Trilobites and the Crustacean-Annelid, it being easily explained as the perfection of a very natural action which we may safely assume went hand in hand with the development of the primitive head-shield already described. At the approach of an enemy the forehead would be pressed against the ground, the thorns, if there were any on the posterior dorsal fold of the fifth segment, would be somewhat erected by the bending under of the head or humping of the back. In such simple movements we have the first step towards rolling up.

This method of defence by rolling up is one of considerable biological interest (see Fig.54). In one way it is a very perfect method of defence, but in another it is very fatal. Its perfection is clear from the periods of geological time through which the Trilobites lived ; its fatality in the fact that it admits of no further development. Hence the Trilobites, at least all which failed to develop shells, have died out, as unable to protect themselves from new and more powerful enemies, or from old enemies when these latter had once learned to overcome this method of defence. The development of shell folds, which, except in the case of bivalve shells, are clearly inconsistent with the habit of rolling up, render it unnecessary. They make it possible to develop new and more plastic methods of defence, to which we owe the preservation and the rich and varied development of the whole class of modern Crustacea.

The Trilobite Limbs.—In spite of the great progress which has been made in our knowledge of the limbs of

the Trilobites, chiefly through the patient researches of Walcott, they are still shrouded in a certain amount of mystery. We believe that it will be found that our derivation of the Trilobites from a bent Annelid will throw considerable light upon the beautiful series of sections made by Walcott, by giving a new clue to the interpretation to be put upon them.

One difficulty, for instance, which has been found in classifying the Trilobites with the Crustacea is the absence of any trace of limbs (*i.e.* of antennæ) in front of the mouth. This, however, from our point of view is no real difficulty. In reality the antennæ of Apus are hardly in front of the mouth but in a line with it, and both are more or less rudimentary, from being caught in the angle of the bend. This same bend was equally sharp in the Trilobites (see Fig. 46). Why may not the antennæ have been in this bend, and as rudimentary as they are in Apus? We shall try to answer this question in the following pages.

We have, in Walcott's restoration (see Fig. 50), posteriorly to the labrum, three small limbs with masticatory processes, followed by a large pair of locomotory limbs with especially large ventral parapodia for mastication. For reasons given above (pp. 44, 190) we homologise these large locomotory limbs with the sixth pair of typical Crustacean limbs, *i.c.* with the *first pair of trunk limbs*. The three pairs of limbs anterior to these are therefore homologous with the mandibles and the two pair of maxillæ of the typical Crustacean head. In front of these and behind the labrum, we have, in Walcott's restoration (Fig. 50), a space in which we think the antennæ should have been drawn. That they were present we have little doubt, probably somewhat reduced, as in Apus, and pointing backwards. Our reasons for thinking that there must have been two pairs of antennæ as here de-



FIG. 50.—Ventral surface of Calymene Senaria restored by Walcott (from Zittel). Assuming that the large pair of locomotory limbs are the sixth of first trunk limbs. The two pairs of antennæ are missing—they should probably be drawn in on each side of the prostomium projecting backwards, as in Apus.

scribed, are two, apart, that is, from the general reasons founded upon our theory of their relationship to Apus through common descent from a bent Annelid.

(1.) Figs. 51 and 52 are sections passing through the prostomium (labrum or hypostoma) of two Trilo-

bites. In the second of these they are cut through along the line shown in Fig. 53. These transverse sections through the head and labrum certainly seem to indicate the presence of such antennæ as we have described, at least they seem to show that there were appendages of some sort starting out sideways from each side of the labrum, just as in Apus. It is perhaps possible to interpret all these fragments of limbs shown in the sections, both those seen springing from the sides of the labrum, and those scattered about the section, as parts of the limbs of the hind-body,



FIG. 51.—Sections through Ceraurus pleurexanthemus (after Walcott) passing through the prostonium, showing traces of limbs springing out from each side of the same, which we assume to be homologous with the antennæ of Apus; the fragments of limbs at the sides may be those of trunk limbs brought near the mouth by the rolling up of the animal.

which when the animal is rolled up are naturally brought up to the mouth. This, however, does not seem to be so probable as our supposition, founded upon a comparison with Apus, that those actually starting from the sides of the prostomium are traces of true antennæ, because :

(2.) We think that, if the place assigned by Walcott to the three posterior head limbs is correct, *some form of antennæ must have been present*, if not as antennæ then as mouth parts of some kind. According to our theory, one of the chief advantages of the bending round of the anterior segments was the possibility of using the parapodia as instruments for pushing food into the mouth; and indeed, whether our theory is correct or not, we doubt if any case will be found of a Crustacean mouth without limbs as mouth parts closely bordering it. Walcott's restoration, given in Fig. 50, is therefore so far incomplete. The mouth, which is covered by the large labrum, *must* have had some kind of appendages bordering it on each side. When therefore we find clear traces of such



FIG. 52.—Sections of Calymene Senaria (after Walcott) passing through the prostomium, showing traces of limbs springing out from each side of the same, which we assume to be homologous with the antennæ of Apus; the fragments of limbs at the sides may be those of trunk limbs brought near the mouth by the rolling up of the animal. The section passes along the line shown in the next figure.

limbs in the sections (Figs. 51, 52), we think we are justified in claiming them as such.

It will no doubt be objected that these two reasons are only sufficient to show that there were limbs as mouth parts on each side of the mouth, near the labrum, but not that they were the homologues of the Crustacean antennæ. This homology depends, it is true, upon the truth of our main argument that the Trilobites, like Apus, were originally bent Annelids,

Q

and further, upon our homology of the large locomotory limbs with the first trunk limbs; to this latter point we shall return. In the meantime we assume that these two reasons, taken together with our whole argument, are sufficient to establish the fact that the Trilobites possessed two pairs of antennæ like the Apodidæ and the typical Crustacea.



FIG. 53.—Rolled-up specimen of Calymene Senaria (after Walcott); the line through the head is the line of the sections in Fig. 52.

When now we come to ask how these antennæ were developed, we can only conjecture that in some way or other they must have supplied the opening of the œsophagus with jaws, or perhaps with simpler instruments for pushing in food. It is improbable that the first antennæ should develop their ventral parapodia as jaws, firstly because it is almost certain that the original Annelid-Crustacean had already lost all traces of the parapodia of the first segment, the first antennæ being simply sensory cirri; and secondly because in no other group of early Crustaceans do the anterior antennæ show any traces of ventral parapodia as masticatory ridges. In Eurypterus, where the method of life we attribute to the animal would certainly have developed them into jaws had it been possible, they almost entirely disappear. On the other hand, we have examples of the second antennæ developing their ventral parapodia as masticatory ridges, not only in Limulus but also in Eurypterus.

We are thus disposed to complete Walcott's restoration by adding a small pair of anterior antennæ on each side of the labrum, and a pair of posterior antennæ, developing, probably as their most important part, a pair of jaws strong enough, if not to crush and destroy, at least to push food into the opening of the cesophagus; whether the sensory part was developed or not is not so easy to decide.

It may be noticed that it was not so necessary for the Trilobites to have large crushing jaws under the labrum, as the masticatory ridges of the first trunk limbs were, as in the Eurypteridæ, highly developed to function as chief mandibles. The crushed food would have to be forwarded towards the opening of the œsophagus, and then pushed in by special appendages at the sides of the opening. This point is almost as interesting from a biological as from a morphological point of view. We have already had two entirely different combinations of head appendages as jaws. In Apus, the third and fourth head limbs form the mandibles (or chief

Q 2

jaws) and maxillæ. In Limulus we have five pairs of nearly equally important jaws, on the four last head, and first trunk, limbs. In the Trilobites we find the mandibles, or chief jaws, between the first trunk limbs, and masticatory ridges for pushing the food into the mouth, as in Limulus, on the four posterior head limbs. In the Eurypteridæ we shall find further combinations. We may perhaps find in these different attempts to develop the best arrangements of mouth parts almost as important a factor in the development of the class of the Crustacea as we think we have found in the development of the shield. There can be no doubt that while it offered some advantage to use the ventral parapodia of the most powerful limbs as jaws, this must have been attended by certain disadvantages. To this important subject we shall return.

We repeat here what we said on p. 43, that we might with some safety establish a rule that the closer the forehead was pressed against the ground the less likely would the antennæ be to function as antennæ; they might either degenerate as they have done in Apus, and, according to Walcott's restoration, in the Trilobites, or they might function as seizing organs or mouth parts, as in Limulus. We shall have occasion later to see the converse of this rule, and shall find that the raising of the head leads not only to the further development and pointing forwards of the antennæ as sensory organs, but also to the travelling of the antennæ themselves towards the anterior end of the body, an advantage for the animals which has enabled
them to hold their own to-day, whereas Limulus and Apus are probably the only surviving Crustacea which retain the original position of the Annelidan antennæ.

As above pointed out, our interpretation of the head limbs of the Trilobites rests largely upon our homologising the large locomotory limbs with the sixth pair of Annelidan parapodia, or with the first trunk limbs of the Crustacea. Our adoption of this large locomotory limb throughout all the primitive Crustacea as the first trunk limb, for reasons given p. 44, receives some support from Walcott's restoration, where it lies behind the line which runs from side to side, through the widest part of the head, which is morphologically the line round which the body bent. We have already seen, further, that Limulus-and we shall see that the Eurypteridæ, with some exceptionsnot only possessed the two pairs of antennæ, but also the large locomotory limbs as the sixth pair, i.e. the first pair of trunk limbs.

It should be mentioned that so far as these conclusions are based on the few sections published in Mr. Walcott's paper, his conclusions are undoubtedly of much greater value than ours, inasmuch as they were based upon a much more extended study of sections, and of the whole Trilobite problem. We have, however, to set, as against this, our claim to have found in Apus a key to the true understanding of the morphology of all these primitive Crustacea.

The form of the trunk limbs in the Trilobites does not at first sight admit of any close comparison with those of either Apus or Limulus, but by closer study, and by referring them back to the original Annelidan parapodia, their common origin becomes evident. We find the limbs much specialised, the habits of life of the animal leading to certain modifications. In the first place, the creeping motion along the ground required the development of legs. In the second place, the habit of rolling up requires that the limbs should take up as little room as possible,



FIG. 54.—Restored transversed section through Calymene Senaria (after Walcott), showing the spiral gills, the exopodite (= the sensory cirrus of the dorsal parapodium), the endopodite, or ambulatory foot (= the dorsal parapodium), and the thigh piece or coxal joint, the ventral projection of which corresponds with the ventral parapodium, cf. Fig. 49.

first, to render the rolled-up attitude mechanically possible, and second, in order that as much of the respiratory medium as possible may be enclosed. The special form of the limbs can thus be understood. We find (Fig. 54) a large basal joint, the inner ventral part of which is almost certainly to be homologised with the ventral parapodium of the Annelid. This again originally functioned as a gnathobase or accessory jaw for the holding and forwarding of food to the mouth, *i.e.* on a certain number of limbs not too far from the mouth. It was, no doubt, as in Apus, much reduced in other parts of the body, in order not to occupy much space.

The ambulatory limb, if our homology is correct, was the prolonged tip of the dorsal parapodium, and thus homologous with the endopodite of other Crustacea. The exopodite was the sensory cirrus; and here, no doubt in correspondence with the needs of the animal, it retained its position close to the gills, and its filiform shape; it did not travel along the prolonged dorsal branch of the parapodium, or develop into a rowing flabellum as in Apus. The modification of the gills into spirals, &c., is also very easily explained on the grounds given above. The animal required respiratory organs which afforded as large a respiratory surface as possible while occupying the smallest possible space, such respiratory organs being essential to the habit of rolling up.

Before dismissing the subject of the form of the Trilobite limbs, we wish to return for a moment to Burmeister's assumption, that if the Trilobites were related to Apus they must have possessed Phyllopodan limbs. This, however, is by no means necessary. What is generally known as the typical Phyllopodan limb is but one of the ways in which the Annelidan parapodium developed, the Trilobite ambulatory leg being another and quite independent modification the parapodial type being visible in both. The modifications are due to adaptations to the different manners of life adopted by the different groups. The development of the ventral parapodium into mandibles and gnathobases is a common specialisation in all the groups, this being the most primitive modification according to our deduction of the Crustacea from a carnivorous Annelid, which caught prey between its ventral parapodia and forwarded it on into the mouth, bent round to receive it. The dorsal parapodia, being chiefly used for locomotion, have however been differently developed according to the different methods of locomotion adopted. In Apus they are specialised as rowing plates (except a few anteriorly for raking prey together), in the Trilobites as ambulatory legs. The former modification requires no description; it results simply in a further development of the flat leaf-shaped parapodia, the sensory cirrus alone, perhaps, requiring to change its form from a cirrus into the flat flabellum. The ambulatory leg of the Trilobite may be supposed to have arisen as follows. A strip running from the tip of the parapodium, where it rested on the ground, to the body, would tend to be strengthened, and would eventually bear the weight of its share of the body. On each side of this strip the leaf-like parapodium would be useless, and would gradually disappear, this disappearance being accelerated in the Trilobites by other and special causes which we have already described, such as the necessity of having limbs which, in the rolled-up body, would occupy as little space as possible. Thus we may safely assume that the parapodia, if used for walking or crawling, would, by a simple biological law, turn into ambulatory legs.

The question as to whether the leaf-like feet persisted at the hinder end of the body is an interesting one. We have no certain data on the subject, but, from our point of view, we do not think it at all probable. We have seen that in Apus even the most rudimentary limb repeats the Phyllopodan type. We are also inclined to believe that the more rudimentary Trilobite limbs would naturally repeat the Trilobite ambulatory type. The presence of flat leaf-shaped limbs in the Eurypteridæ and Limulus, accompanied by highly specialised anterior limbs, may perhaps be used as an argument in favour of their presence in the Trilobites also. On the other hand the highly developed gills on the trunk limbs of the Trilobites rendered it unnecessary to concentrate respiration on a few broad gills at the posterior end of the body as in Eurypterus and Limulus, which in this respect compare with some modern Isopoda.

The first trunk limb, according to Walcott's restoration, has both its locomotory dorsal branch and its masticatory ventral branch specially strongly developed (see Fig. 50). It is, in some respects, very natural that the masticatory ridge of a powerful locomotory limb, if it possessed any function at all, should gradually come to be the chief jaw, as we shall see to have been the case also in the Eurypteridæ ; the disadvantages of this arrangement will, however, be pointed out later.

We have already shown why the first trunk limb, being the parapodium of the first free segment, not taken up in the formation of the head, should be highly developed. The use of such a specialised limb in the Trilobites, however, is difficult at first sight to see. In Apus we find it developed as a sensory organ on the principle of the division of labour. In the Trilobites it is clearly locomotory, and as such seems rather out of place among the smaller and less powerful crawling legs of the other trunk segments. In discussing the manner of life of Eurypterus and Pterygotus, we shall find that they throw some light on the probable use of this limb in the Trilobites.

It is especially interesting to find the gradual simplification of the limbs from front to back, which is evident towards the posterior end of the body (Fig. 49, *cf.* with the Frontispicce). There can hardly be any doubt that the gradual dwindling of the limbs in the Trilobites admits of the same explanation as a similar dwindling of the limbs in Apus. Such a singular morphological occurrence, in two animals so like in other respects also, can hardly be a case of analogy.

The Eyes.—Packard has shown that the hard part of the eyes of Trilobites, which alone have been preserved in the fossils, are identical with those of Limulus. As we have already seen in discussing the eyes of Apus, we consider the eye of Limulus as a more primitive stage in the development of the Crustacean eye out of the Annelidan eye-spots. In this respect Apus is more highly developed than both Limulus and the Trilobites, as indeed we should expect from its free-swimming life.

SECT. XIII

The Alimentary Canal has already been referred to. It has the very pronounced bend on which we lay so much importance (see Fig. 46). Although we think our proof is not much weakened by our not finding any traces of the sternal plate, still it would be interesting if it were to be found, as it must without doubt have been there, *i.e.* if there is any truth of our deduction of these animals from bent Annelids. The habit of rolling up would lead to a strong development of the ventral muscle bands, and consequently of this sinewy mass for their attachment (*cf.* p. 261).

We think, then, that we have here made it highly probable that if our deduction of Apus from a bent carnivorous Annelid holds, the Trilobites must have had the same origin. This fact, that the most primitive Crustacean known to the palæontologist should show so many points in its organisation directly deducible from the Annelids, *i.e.* deducible after the Apodidæ have supplied us with the key to their correct interpretation, is one of those confirmations of a theory which we think amounts almost to a demonstration.

The Trilobites, then, are nothing but specialised carnivorous Annelids, browsing under cover of the dorsal integument, which, starting from the headshield, gradually spread out like a flattened jointed roof, covering all the segments. Every imaginable variation in the sculpture of the surface of this roof, and in the thorns for its protection, are to be met with in the Trilobites. This development of great multitudes of armoured browsing carnivorous Annelids in the palæozoic seas, supplies us with abundant matter for biological speculation. It was perhaps in defence against these powerful marauders that so many Cœlenterata perfected their nematocysts or stinging cells, that the Corals built their stony ramparts, and that many of the Mollusca developed their shells. It may indeed have been the perfection of these defences which led to the dying out (with the exception of Limulus) of these early Crustacea, especially of the giant forms. Whatever the cause, all except Limulus, the Ostracoda, and the Apodidæ (looked upon as the racial form of all other existing Crustacea) gradually died out.

The first and the last of these still fortunately retain • the clearest traces of their origin, and, more or less modified, the browsing habit of life.

SECTION XIV

THE EURYPTERIDÆ

THIS last group of the Gigantostraca need not detain us long. By the general consent of all the zoologists who have recently studied these animals, they are classed with the Xiphosuridæ and the Trilobites. The exact relationship, however, has not hitherto been very clear; we now find it in their common origin from our Crustacean-Annelid.

We have imagined our Crustacean-Annelid developing first of all a kind of crescent-shaped protection for its bent head, arising primarily from the lateral projections due to the bending of the cylindrical body. This shield develops in almost every possible way. In the Apodidæ it forms a dorsal fold to cover the rest of the cylindrical and unprotected Annelidan body; in the Ostracoda it forms the bivalve shell in a way to be described later, or it gives rise, as described on p. 217, to the flat jointed dorsal roof extending over the whole body in the Trilobites and the Xiphosuridæ. In the Eurypteridæ, however, even this primitive head-shield seems wholly or almost wholly to have disappeared, and the flattened Annelidan segments relied almost entirely upon the stronger development of the exoskeleton for protection. Like the Xiphosuridæ, they developed comparatively few segments, ending in a caudal spine or plate. In this limited number of segments they show considerable specialisation. The whole structure of the animal is clearly adapted for a free-swimming life, the first trunk limbs forming powerful oars.

The limbs develop as Crustacean limbs only on the head and first trunk segment; on the other trunk segments they remain leaf-shaped, *i.e.* more like the original Annelidan parapodia. The gill portions of these limbs may have had their surfaces increased by means of numerous integumental folds like the leaves of a book, as in the Xiphosuridæ.

We feel some confidence in the following homology of the head limbs, because we have learned, from all the groups hitherto discussed, that the large rowing limb is probably the first trunk limb; we need not here repeat the reasons already given for this conclusion. All that lies in front of these large rowing limbs therefore represents the head.

Before, however, attempting to examine the parts in detail, we are at once struck by the difference between the heads of these animals and those of the Apodidæ, Limulus and the Trilobites. The mouth parts are in fact so specialised that it is not easy to compare them with those of the above-named groups. The head limbs are different in the two groups, Pterygotus and Eurypterus (see Figs. 55 and 56). They are, however, only different modifications in



FIG. 55.—Pterygotus Osiliensis, upper Silurian, after F. Schmidt (from Zittell), showing five pairs of c-phalic limbs, the enormously developed first pair of antennae, and first trunk limbs in which the dorsal and ventral parapodia are greatly developed as locomotory and masticatory limbs respectively. The second pair of cephalic limbs sometimes disappear, as in Pterygotus Anglicus Agassiz.

adaptation to slight differences in the manner of life. Judging from the forms of these remarkable animals, we think the following method of explaining the modifications they show will not be far wrong.

The earliest Crustacean-Annelids possessed large labra or prostomia projecting backwards, still retained in the Apodidæ and Trilobites. This labrum almost necessitated a very deliberate manner of browsing. The animal would creep along, and would have to run some way over its food before it could get it into its mouth, the whole process, it seems to us, necessitating a number of small movements backwards and forwards. Small living prey would very often escape, owing to the fact that the animal's mouth and jaws were not ready in position for them when first perceived. The labrum necessitates the animal passing forwards over its prey, then darting backwards to follow it with its jaws. We here see how useful the gnathobases of Apus must be in catching and holding prey which has been thus passed over. Indeed the whole arrangement of the limbs of Apus with the sensory endites, forms an excellent trap to catch prey over which the labrum has passed. The legs and pleura of the Trilobites, and the large vaulted shield of the Xiphosuridæ may serve the same purpose, although in the latter case the labrum is much modified. In this respect, however, the Trilobites were not so well equipped as are the Apodidæ; hence perhaps the development of the large locomotory limb, which enabled the animal to dart backwards after prey thus run over, with great rapidity. We here see the use of the two kinds of limbs figured in Walcott's restoration, ambulatory crawling limbs for slow and deliberate forward move-

240

ments, and one pair of springing limbs for short sudden dartings backwards.

It is clear, then, that the possession of these large labra was attended with certain disadvantages in feeding. It is therefore not improbable that some of these primitive Crustaceans should show various modifications. Smaller upper lips being an advantage, the labra might almost disappear, so that the opening of the mouth would be ready for its prey as soon as it came in a line with it.¹ A natural concomitant change in the under lips would also take place; they would develop into the large metastomata found in the Eurypteridæ, which clearly helped to prevent prey slipping past the mouth as the animal darted forwards. The more rapid the forward dart after prey, the larger should the metastoma be; otherwise prey once shot over would be almost sure to escape before the animal could turn round ; the animals have no trap-like arrangement of trunk limbs in which prey could be caught. We do not, it is true, find from comparing Figs. 55 and 56 that the larger rowing limb is accompanied by the larger lower lip, still we think the above reasoning to be correct, and that other factors, such as the higher development of the sensory organs, compensate in this case for the

¹ On p. 40 we discussed the origin of the division in the under lip of Apus so that it should not form a barrier to the pushing of food forwards into the mouth. We now see that the divided upper lip of some Trilobites there referred to (and well illustrated Fig. 49, p. 220), was also probably intended to shorten the way into the mouth, only in this case round the labrum from in front. The three small pairs of posterior cephalic limbs may have assisted in this latter process.

comparative smallness of the metastoma. Thus then the entrance to the mouth may have come to have almost an anterior-ventral instead of a posteriorventral aspect. This explains the enormous mandibles developed by the ventral parapodia of the first trunk limb. In Pterygotus, Fig. 55, we have, in fact, an arrangement almost exactly the opposite of that found in the other primitive Crustaceans; the under lip forms the analogue of the upper lip, the masticatory ridges of the first trunk limbs are analogous to the mandibles, while those of the four posterior head limbs probably function as maxilla, their dorsal parapodia doubtless helping in the catching and holding of prey. And lastly, the first antennæ developed into large chelate feet. It is almost as if we had the typical mouth formula of a modern Crustacean turned quite round.

These changes clearly went hand in hand with the acquisition of more rapid motion in feeding. A springing or darting movement forward is most suitable for an arrangement of mouth and jaws facing anteriorly, for the sudden seizure of the prey which comes in the way. Further, it seemed to us that the more rapid the movement the more delicate should be the sensory organs for the rapid perception of what was food and what was not. A comparison of the rowing limbs of Eurypterus and Pterygotus quite confirmed this supposition, and lent unexpected support to this method of explaining the morphology of these animals. Eurypterus (Fig. 56), which has all its anterior head limbs developed as highly sensitive antennæ, has larger rowing limbs in proportion to the size of the body than Pterygotus (Fig. 55), which does not seem to be so well provided with such organs. The latter animal moved more slowly and caught its prey with its powerful pincers. The former darted forward with great rapidity and caught its prey at once between its numerous jaws.

We consider then the Xiphosuridæ as early Trilobites specialised for slow deliberate browsing; the Eurypteridæ on the contrary for a rapid darting method of capturing prey. That the Trilobites did employ the springing movement which we have here assumed purely on morphological and biological grounds, has been lately confirmed by the discovery of a Trilobite track, which, according to Ringueberg the discoverer, could only have been produced by a series of jumps.¹

This description of the manner of life of these animals (the Eurypteridæ) renders it not so necessary to describe the limbs of the two animals; still, as there are points of great interest in their morphology, a short account of them will not be out of place.

Taking Pterygotus first, we have the first antennæ developed into long chelate seizing feet, like the first antennæ of Limulus, but much more highly developed. The analogy of the Scorpionidæ will at once suggest itself, where for the same purpose the palps have developed in the same way. These chelæ of Pterygotus were probably richly provided with sensory hairs, since the limb on which they were developed

¹ Proc. American Association, 1886.

243

R 2

was, as a sensory limb, richly innervated. The eyes also seem to have been highly developed.

The following four limbs, which correspond with the second antennæ, mandibles, and first and second maxillæ of Apus and of the other Crustacea, resemble the ordinary Trilobite limbs. Their dorsal branches probably functioned as palps or tasters, as perhaps was the case in the Trilobites, or perhaps as limbs for holding prey brought by the chelæ in the right position for the mandibles to crush, just as the fore legs of a caterpillar hold the leaf in the best position for the jaws to work upon it, only in this latter case, of course, the jaws lie in front of the legs instead of behind them.

The masticatory ridges of these four limbs probably functioned as maxillæ, but, as already mentioned, lying anteriorly to the mandibles, not posteriorly as in all modern Crustacea.

The first trunk limbs have already been mentioned as large rowing limbs. It was in one sense natural that the powerful limb should also develop a powerful ventral parapodium functional as a jaw, but the union of the two functions is not easily comprehensible, and we are more than ever inclined to think that the two may have been separately articulated with the body.

The limbs of Eurypterus differ markedly from those of Pterygotus. In front of the large rowing limb, *i.e.* the first trunk limb, we have only four limbs visible in the figure, all of these appearing to be sensory, and thus affording a striking contrast to the head limbs of Pterygotus, none of which appear, at first sight, to be sensory. From our point of view, according to which the large rowing limbs belong to the first trunk segment, we should have had to conclude that one pair of limbs had disappeared. Such a supposition is however not necessary, as F. Schmidt has found and described



FIG. 56.—Eurypterus Fischeri Eichw.: Upper Silurian, natural size, after F. Schmidt (from Zittel's *Handbuch der Palæontologie*). Between the first pair of feet, Schmidt found a fine pair of feelers, corresponding with the Antennules of the other Crustacea.

a pair of rudimentary antennæ between the first pair, so that Eurypterus possesses the typical number of head appendages. It is a fact generally accepted that the pair of large rowing limbs corresponds with that of the sixth segment. There is, however, no general agreement as to whether these first six segments form a head or a cephalothorax. Our homology of the large limb with the first trunk limb, throughout all these primitive Crustacea, shows that the six segments of the Eurypteridæ form a cephalothorax, and not only a head.

This degeneration of the anterior antennæ in Eurypterus is hardly what we should have expected theoretically. The rapid forward movement for feeding would seem to require highly developed antennæ pointing forwards. We attribute it to the fact that the manner of life of the animal, as above described, required that the sense of touch in a limb should be immediately followed by an act of seizing, by means of its masticatory ridges. The anterior antennæ had, however, entirely lost the power of developing their parapodia even in the original Crustacean-Annelid, and thus became of very secondary importance in the life of Eurypterus.

As to the other limbs of the head, Eurypterus resembles Limulus in having the masticatory ridges on the last four head limbs and the first trunk limb well developed, and working as jaws round the mouth, which was apparently not the case in Pterygotus, where the importance of the masticatory ridges of the first trunk limb over those of the head limbs was very evident. The exact morphology of the limbs themselves it is impossible to describe with certainty; it is not improbable that those of the head, in Eurypterus, are the sensory cirri alone of the original parapodia. We see no reason why this should not be the case. Nature seems to delight in every possible variation, and indeed in the limbs of the modern Crustacea we have almost every possible combination of the parts of an Annelid parapodium. We have, for example, the sensory cirrus alone in the antennæ, the gills alone in many Crustacea (*e.g.* Caprella), the dorsal parapodia alone in the ambulatory limbs of the Decapoda, the ventral parapodia alone in the mandibles, and all these parts together in the typical Phyllopodan limb. Other combinations, such as the dorsal parapodium with the sensory cirrus, the dorsal parapodium with the gill, will no doubt suggest themselves to the reader.

What was said above as to the first trunk limb of Pterygotus applies equally well to the first trunk limb of Eurypterus. We may further add that their form as rowing limbs is just what is required to give the animals the forward darting movements which we have assumed to have led to the modifications of their mouth parts. Whether they kept up a continual rowing motion like the common free-living Copepoda, or lurked at the sea bottom to dart out in pursuit of prey which happened to come within reach, it is difficult to say ; we incline to the latter as the more probable habit of life.

Again, as already described, the use of the large limb in the Eurypteridæ throws some light on that made by the Trilobites of their large first trunk limb. It functioned as a kind of springing foot to supplement the more deliberate method of crawling. The animal kingdom supplies us with many examples of special arrangements for such a sudden and more energetic method of locomotion, developed in animals whose ordinary progression is slow and deliberate.

In our general account of the probable manner of life of these animals we have described the change which we think took place in the upper and lower lips, the former almost disappearing, while the latter develops into a large fold projecting anteriorly, and bearing exactly the same relation to the masticatory ridges of the first trunk limbs as the labrum of Apus does to the mandibles, only pointing exactly in the opposite direction. The position of this metastoma corresponds exactly with that of the under lips of Limulus. This fact seems to suggest that this was also the position of the under lips in the Trilobites.

The leaf-shaped abdominal limbs we have already mentioned as undoubted links between these animals, Limulus, and our bent Annelid.

We must now leave these highly interesting animals, which in point of size reached the highest development of all the Crustacean descendants of our carnivorous Annelids. The exact relationship of the group to the Trilobites and the Xiphosuridæ, and to one another, we cannot pretend to settle. It must be left to those who have made the special morphology of these fossil forms a life-long study. We must confine ourselves here to the suggestion made above, that the Xiphosuridæ and Eurypteridæ are early Trilobites modified for two different and opposite methods of feeding. We shall be more than satisfied if we have been able to contribute something to our knowledge of the groups, by tracing their origin to the Annelids. In bringing to a close these comparisons of the fossil Crustacea with Apus and with our Crustacean-Annelid, it may be interesting to see, set out in a table, the various ways in which the parapodia in the first six Annelidan segments have been developed—a representation of the attempts of Nature to find the best combination of head and mouth parts.

The limbs used as jaws are in larger type, so that the different masticatory arrangements may be seen at a glance.

A study of this table shows us that all the animals which retained the early primitive arrangement of crushing the food between the ventral parapodia of the first trunk limbs, which were the strongest in the body, have, with the exception of Limulus, died out. It is not difficult to see that it is a great advantage to have the mandibles as close to the opening of the cesophagus as possible, otherwise the greater part of the juices of the crushed animal would be lost before it could reach its destination within the œsophagus of its devourer. The enormous metastoma or under lip of the Eurypteridæ may have been partly an attempt to avoid this loss. It does not seem improbable, therefore, that the ultimate selection of the third pair of ventral parapodia as mandibles may have assisted in leading to the survival of the modern Crustacea. On the other hand, the enormous growth of some of these ancient forms (Pterygotus anglicus sometimes being more than a metre in length) shows that they did not apparently suffer from lack of nourishment on account of the arrangement of their jaws. When,

Mandibles = principal jaws; Maxillæ = accessory jaws.

THE COLUMNS TO BE READ DOWNWARDS.

Nauplius.	sensory cirrus as antenna	powerful rowing limb with sen- sory cirrus as larger branch	? rudimentary or wanting	gradually diminishing	gradually developing into MANDIBLES						
Typical Crustacean.	sensory cirrus as antenna	long tactile organ with sen- sory cirrus as scale ²		rudimentary with sensory cirrus as palp ³	MANDIBLES	rudimentary palp ³	MAXILLÆ	rudimentary palp ³	MANILL,E rudimentary palp 3	MANILLA:	
Apus.	sensory cirrus as antenna	forming proximal half of antenna with sensory cirrus as end	piece		MANDIBLES	rudimentary	MANILLÆ	rudimentary	rudimentary limb-like with long sensory endites	masticatory ridge	
Limulus.	sensory cirrus as chelate limb	chelate or clawed limb	MANDIBLES with sensory cirrus	chelate limb	MANDIBLES with sensory cirrus	chelate limb	MANDIBLES with sensory cirrus	chelate limb	MANDIBLES powerful locomo- tory limb with sensory cirrus	MANDIBLES	
Eurypterus.	rudimentary sensory cirrus	sensory limb, ? sensory cirrus alone 1	MANDIBLES	sensory limb, ? sensory cirrus alone 1	MANDIBLES	sensory limb, ? sensory cirrus alone 1	MANDIBLES	sensory limb, ? sensory cirrus alone 1	MANDIBLES powerful rowing limb	MANDIBLES	
Pterygotus.	sensory cirrus as long chelate limb	small grasping leg	MANILLÆ	small grasping leg	3FJJINAM	small grasping leg	MAXILLAS	small grasping leg	MANILLÆ powerful rowing limb	MANDIBLES	
Trilobites.	rudimentary sensory cirrus as antenna	rudimentary sensory cirrus (?)	probably a small masticatory ridge	small grasping leg	MANILLAS	small grasping leg	MANILLÆ	small grasping leg	MANILLÆ powerful locomotory limb	MANDIBLES	
Annelids.	sensory cirrus = antenna	rudimentary with sensory cirrus	rudimentary	complete	complete	complete	complete	complete	complete	complete	
Segments.	I. dorsal para- podium ventral para- podium	dorsal para.	ventral para.	dorsal para.	ventral para.	dorsal para.	· ventral para.	τ_{\star} dorsal para.	ventral para. (dorsal para.	ventral para.	
		Ξ		1	:				۲ <u>۸</u>	IN	

1 When a sensory limb alone appears, it may either be the sensory cirrus of the original parapodium developed by itself, or the dorsal parapodium as a tactile organ.

² Here, according to the accepted homologies, the actual sensory cirrus is the endopodite, i.e. the dorsal parapodium, and the scale at its base is the crophilie or original suscey cirrus of the parapolium; the dorsal parapodium is therefore here a long tactile organ. The feathered hairs on the scale support our view that it is homologous with the original parapodial sensory cirrus. These feathered hairs are specially well developed on the scale in Euphausia. therefore, we ask why these animals died out, in spite of their having acquired the habit of free swimming, we can only suggest that the very perfection of their specialisation may have been fatal to them; the line of their development ended in a cul-de-sac. They were not plastic enough to adapt themselves to some great change or other which took place in their surroundings, such as the perfection of the protective arrangements of their prey, and consequently died out. The theory which deduces the Arachnida from them through the scorpions seems to us to be very improbable in the face of this extraordinary specialisation. But to this important and interesting discussion we shall return in a final section dealing with the other division of the Arthropoda, viz. the Tracheata.

SECTION XV

ON THE NEW CLASSIFICATION OF THE CRUSTACEA NECESSITATED BY THE THEORY

OUR work is so far finished. We endeavoured first of all to show that Apus was easily derivable from a bent carnivorous Annelid. If this was really the case, we at first concluded that Apus must be the primitive Crustacean. In order to test this, we appealed to such an archaic form as Limulus, which is still extant, and to the palæozoic Trilobites and Eurypteridæ. These have offered unexpected confirmation of our theory, amounting, as we have said above, to a demonstration. But at the same time we have had to modify our conclusion that Apus was the primitive Crustacean, these forms not being derivable from Apus, but rather from the same bent Annelids. This accounts, at the same time, for their remarkable resemblances and for their many differences.

Besides the palæozoic Crustacea which we have so far mentioned, viz. the Trilobites, Xiphosuridæ, Eurypteridæ, and Phyllopoda, there occur numerous remains of Ostracoda and Cirripedia. If we can in any way connect these latter with the above named, we shall have solved the difficulty expressed by Barrande and felt by many, that the Crustacea first appear in the geological record in several widely different groups, almost simultaneously, and without any transition forms either leading up to them or linking them together. Our derivation of the former groups from bent Annelids with no hard chitinous skeleton which could have been preserved, explains the sudden appearance of these groups. We have still then to show that both the Ostracoda and the Cirripedia are deducible from these forms. As, however, these two groups have modern representatives, we shall treat them in order among the other living forms.

We have then to ask the question, From which of these primitive Crustacean forms did the modern Crustacea arise? For some groups, fortunately, the answer is clear; as to others, however, we can only guess.

The attempt which we here make to sketch out a new classification of the Crustacea must be understood to be quite provisional. In establishing the bent Annelid as the origin of the Crustacea, we have done nothing more than lay the foundation stone for the construction of a complete and final classification of the Crustacea, including, for the first time, the hitherto enigmatical palæozoic forms. It is, however, as completely out of the sphere of this book as it is beyond the abilities of the writer to attempt to carry this out in detail.

In arranging the Crustacean groups, we propose to ignore the usual division into Entomostraca and Malacostraca. The Entomostraca we take to mean all those groups which do not clearly belong to the natural group of the Malacostraca. We therefore prefer to divide the class into Phyllopoda, Malacostraca, Copepoda, Cirripedia, and Ostracoda. From what follows it will be seen that we divide these five into three groups, the first consisting of the Phyllopoda and Malacostraca; the second, of the Copepoda and Cirripedia ; and the third of the Ostracoda. We believe that the first group is derived from the Apodidæ, the second from a larval Apus, and the third, at least partly, from a Trilobite. This grouping, however, requires considerable investigation before it can be definitely accepted. We leave it to others who have made the different groups of the Crustacea their special field of research to carry it out in detail. We confine ourselves here to giving a diagram representing the way we propose to construct a natural order of the Crustacea based upon our theory. We can, unfortunately, offer but little in the shape of proof of this new classification, and must content ourselves with appending a few disconnected notes on the different groups, which tend to support our views.

Taking the groups in the order in which they occur in the diagram from left to right, we may at once dispose of the Eurypteridæ and the Xiphosuridæ.

254

From the Trilobites, however, we are inclined to deduce at least a part of one important group of modern Crustacea, the Ostracoda. We think it



Proposed genealogy of the Crustacea. It will be seen from the text that though we have here given only one root for the Ostracoda it is probable that they have had at least a twofold origin.

probable that the Crustaceans in question may be deduced both from Trilobites and Phyllopods. The strong likeness between these early forms, especially in their larval stages, now perpetuated in the Ostracoda, accounts for the general resemblance of the latter to one another.

THE OSTRACODA.

These animals occur in company with the Trilobites in the very oldest fossiliferous strata. Balfour suggested that they may have had an origin independent of that of the other Crustacea. As, however, we find them possessing the bent intestine, clear traces of the entosternite, the paired and the unpaired eye, we must, according to our theory, deduce them from our bent Annelid.

There are two ways in which the origin of the bivalve shell may be explained: either (I) that shown in Fig. 57, where it arises simply by the folding together of the horns of the crescent-shaped ridge round the front of the head, or (2) when it arises through the folding down of the two halves of a dorsal shell such as that in Apus. These two methods are quite distinct; the former bends the dorsal integument of the head-shield alone along the middle line, the latter bends only the dorsal shield as far as its junction with the body. There is, however, a method of combining these two modifications if, after a dorsal shield has been developed, both the head-shield and the dorsal shield are bent.

We were at first inclined to attribute only the first method of origin to the bivalve shells of the Ostracoda, and to deduce them from some such form as Harpes ungula. It would be an obvious advantage to an animal given to the habit of rolling up for defence, to be able to continue to feed and breathe, and yet remain rolled up and sufficiently protected against its enemies. It is clear that this end would hardly be attained in those cases in which a large solid pygidium closed against the head-shield. But on the other hand, it would be quite possible by the longitudinal folding of the lateral wings of the head-shield, as shown in Fig. 57 *B*. We may well suppose that some Trilobites adopted this method of protecting themselves, since, besides



FIG. 57.—Harpes ungula Sternb. A, dorsal view; B, rolled up in profile (from Bronn's Klassen und Ordnungen des Thierreichs); B, to show the probable origin of the Ostracoda, the head-shield with the enormously developed frontal fold, shown here in profile, only requires to bend in the dorsal middle line to form a bivalve shell.

the great advantages already mentioned of allowing the animal still to use its limbs and to move about and feed while remaining almost perfectly enclosed, it is also clear that the closing of such bivalve shells, which would never be very wide open, would be a much quicker and simpler process than the rolling up of the whole body in the sagittal plane.

In assuming this origin for the bivalve shell of the Ostracoda, and not that from the folding down of a

S

dorsal shell of an Apus-like animal, we have been mainly influenced by the following considerations, which must be admitted to be of some morphological importance.

(1) The position of the head in the shell seems to point decidedly to such an origin. If the shell had been formed by the bending down of the sides of a dorsal fold, the head would either project anteriorly as in the Cladocera, or, if it came between the shells at all, could only do so by itself bending round ven-



FIG. 58.—Cypris fasciata (from Bronn's *Klassen und Ordnungen des Thierreichs*) to show the position of the head in the shell for comparison with the following figures and with Fig. 57.

trally, as shown in different stages in Figs. 60, 61, and 62, or by the growing forward of the halves of the shell so as to cover the head ; this latter method is, for many reasons, not a very probable one. In the Ostracoda we find the "face" deep back in the shell, pointing forwards in a way difficult to explain on any other hypothesis than that which we put forward. These projecting parts of the shell are the lateral halves of the shovel-shaped ridge which projected so far forwards in the original Trilobite ancestor of the group. If we take the Trilobite figured in Fig. 57A, and fold the ridge round the head along the dorsal middle line, the face (which lies under the glabella) would come to have almost exactly the position which it has in the Ostracoda.

(2) The ridge of the head-shield is, like the ridge round the head of Apus, simply a fold of the integument, and contains a part of the general body cavity. Probably as in Apus and Limulus, it contained the hepatic



FIG. 59.—Diagrammatic transverse section of an Ostracod, showing the body cavity continued into the valves of the shell, into which also the hepatic diverticula penetrate. The closing muscles are seen to radiate from a central sinewy mass, the sternal plate. *i*, intestine; *i*, hepatic diverticula.

diverticula of the mid-gut. In a cross section through an Ostracod the observer is at once struck by the fact that the space between the laminæ of the shell is considerable, and that it is a continuation of the body cavity. Not only do the hepatic diverticula penetrate into it, but in some genera the genital glands also (Fig. 59). While this is exactly what we should expect from the bending of a head-shield with a pronounced frontal ridge, we should hardly expect to find it from a bending down of a dorsal fold. (3) We have further the fact, already mentioned, that the Ostracoda are found among the Trilobites in the Silurian strata, and may thus well have been a modified Trilobite form.

It is, however, clear that these arguments do equally well to establish a deduction of the Ostracoda from a primitive Phyllopod with a developing dorsal shield. We have only to assume that both the head- and dorsal shields were bent along the dorsal middle line. The extraordinary likeness between the shells of some of the early Ostracoda (e.g. Leperditia) to the shells of such Phyllopods as Ceratiocaris Salteriana make a Phyllopodan origin for at least some of the Ostracoda very probable. We have further satisfied ourselves by dissections, that at least in some Ostracoda the ligament uniting the two halves of the shell runs backwards posteriorly beyond the point of junction of the abdomen with the shell. We do not, however, give up our first impression that some of the Ostracoda are deducible from Trilobites. In addition to such a significant form as that given in Fig. 57B, we would call attention to the fact that the shells of many early Ostracoda are marked by lobes and grooves which Barrande compared to the glabella and intervening furrows, &c., of Trilobites. The presence also of the "ocular" tubercle on each shell in some Ostracoda may well signify what its name implies; the ocular tubercle of the original Trilobite showing just as well on the folded, as on the flat, head-shield. The part played by the habit of rolling up will again be referred to.

As to the general truth of our theory that the Ostracoda are little more than folded Crustacean heads with large head-shields, and with or without a rudimentary dorsal shield, there can, we think, be little doubt. We found strong confirmation of the theory in the form of the closing muscles. It seemed to us that if our view were correct, the closing muscles must be modified from those which radiated from the sternal plate in the transverse plane, and that they ought, therefore, to show this origin. This surmise was fully supported by the facts. The sinewy part of the muscle is found in the centre-the remains of the sternal plate, from which the muscle fibres radiate to the outer walls of the shells. If then it is established that any of the Ostracoda are descended from Trilobites, we have in this doubleheaded closing muscle very clear proof that the Trilobites possessed the sternal plate which we have elsewhere assumed for them.

As to the causes of the modification of some of these primitive Trilobitic or Phyllopodan Crustacea into Ostracoda, we may perhaps make the following conjecture, borne out by the rudimentary condition of the abdomen, and the small number of trunk limbs. We have only to assume that in some of the larvæ of these primitive Crustaceans with head-shields, the gradual thickening and stiffening of the chitinous head-shield did not keep pace with the developing muscles, whether the powerful mandibular muscles of an early Apus, or the muscles of the masticatory and springing first trunk limb of a Trilobite. This uneven development is not much to ask, and if it occurred as described, it could hardly fail to lead to a bending of the head-shield along the dorsal middle line, every time, for instance, a larva sought to put in practice its inherited tendency of contracting its muscles for the purpose of rolling up. The failure to develop a head-shield stiff enough to counteract the pulls of muscles lying in the transverse plane, may have thus led to the conversion of the head-shield into the bivalve shells, which have, in the long run, proved a better defence than rolling up.

We thus explain the rudimentary state of the abdomen and trunk. It was only in comparatively young animals in which but few trunk segments had been developed, that the bending was likely to take place, and, when once acquired, it would be clearly an advantage to keep the abdomen in a larval stage, in order that it might be quite enclosed within the halves of the head-shield.

We therefore suggest that the Ostracoda have had more than one root, and may in fact be derived from the larvæ of any of the primitive Crustacea with large head-shields, whether Trilobites or Phyllopods. There seems to be some evidence for both these origins.

COPEPODA.

The origin of this very rich group of Crustacea is very obscure. The general opinion is that they must be ranked as perhaps the lowest of all the class. We have now to try to suggest a possible origin for

the group in the light of what is known as to the origin of the whole class from a bent Annelid. We find, then, no group of early Crustacea from which we can actually deduce them. They are distinctly lower in the scale of development than any of the early groups which we have already described, and proved to be the most primitive. We are thus driven to the conclusion that they must have originated from some larval form. There is no difficulty in this supposition. Among the enormous number of freeswimming and independently feeding Nauplii, it would almost certainly be an advantage to some to remain but little advanced beyond the Nauplius, the more pronounced character of the adult bringing them at once into danger. If we assume that they are modified larvæ of early Apodidæ, the conditions, as far as we know them, would be fairly well satisfied. The Apodidæ were driven from the open sea by some foe or foes, and would have been exterminated had they not, in the manner described in the early part of this book, taken refuge in shallows and lagoons, and finally in freshwater puddles. We may well suppose, therefore, that while one division of the Apodidæ thus retreated inland and were able there to develop into adults, another probably found safety in remaining at the larval stage, their smallness, their transparency, and the rapidity of their motion rendering them comparatively safe. Whether the organisation of the Copepoda can be explained on this hypothesis we are not able to decide. The view that they are really equivalent to larvæ finds some support in the

263

fact that they fail, excepting in a few rare cases (*e.g.* Argulus), to develop the paired eyes. The unpaired eye is always present, at least in the free-swimming forms; the paired eyes appear as rudiments, only to disappear again later. The characteristic caudal fork of the Copepoda might well be a further development of the fork which appears at the early larval stages of Apus (see Fig. 41, p. 168). The characteristic ovisacs may be a modification of the habit of Apus of carrying its eggs about in a brood pouch, necessitated by the fact that the more larval Copepoda do not develop enough segments to reach the inherited place of exit of the genital products, *i.e.* between the tenth and eleventh segments.

This theory also is quite in accord with the fact that so many Copepoda are parasitic. The same danger which, loosely speaking, drove the adult Apodidæ into the land, and the larval to remain at the larval stage, would tend to differentiate the larvæ themselves, as the wind has differentiated the beetles in the island of Madeira.¹ These insects are either strong fliers or else have given up the habit of flying altogether, the strong winds having swept away all intermediate grades. The Copepoda are similarly very markedly divided into two groups, the free and powerful swimmers, and the parasites who have almost or entirely given up the habit of free locomotion, except in the earliest larval stages when seeking new hosts.

The chief difficulty in the way of this derivation of the Copepoda from an Apus larva is, perhaps, the form of

¹ Darwin, Origin of Species, p. 109.

264
the limbs. In consequence, however, of our method of deducing the limbs from the Annelidan parapodia we do not ourselves experience this difficulty. The typical Phyllopodan limb is, according to our view, composed of the dorsal parapodium carrying on the dorsal side the gill and the sensory cirrus, and on the ventral side a row of sensory endites, with the remains of the ventral parapodium as masticatory ridge. The parts of these limbs which would be useless to the Copepod would naturally degenerate, *i.e.* I, the gills, because the animal breathes through its integument ; 2, the sensory endites, because the animal would no longer require to use its limbs in the way Apus uses them to rake together prey into the ventral middle-line; and 3, consequently also the masticatory ridge which in Apus forwards food thus raked together towards the mouth. On the degeneration of these parts we have left only the dorsal parapodium with the sensory cirrus, *i.e.* the endo- and exopodite of the typical Copepod limb.

Grenacher's account of the unpaired "eye" of Calanella differs somewhat from that of Apus; although there can be little doubt that the two are homologous. In Calanella only three "retinæ" are developed, each consisting of comparatively few retinal cells. There is no trace of crystal cones or rhabdomeres, and the pigment is in the centre of the group. The nerves from the retinal cells come from their inner ends, their sensory ends pointing outwards. A comparative study of these unpaired "eyes" has long been a desideratum.

We thus suggest the deduction of the Copepoda

from a larval stage of Apus, dating back to the time when the Apodidæ could no longer develop fully in the open sea, and only those larvæ which were accidentally shut off and isolated in lagoons were able to grow into adult animals.

CIRRIPEDIA.

These animals are now generally supposed to be related to the Copepoda. What we have said of the latter applies in great part to them also. We can deduce them from no original adult Crustacean form derivable from our bent Annelid. We are therefore driven to deduce them, as we have done the Copepoda, from some larval form. We think it possible that the Cirripedia may have been one of the extraordinary lines of development adopted by the original Copepod, i.e. larval Apus, which sought safety in a stationary life. As larvæ of Apus, it was always possible for them to develop the shell-fold or mantle if necessary, the later calcification of which, perhaps as protection against the browsing Trilobites, led to the beautiful shell arrangements characteristic of the group.

We now come to groups the origin of which can be established with less appeal to the imagination than was necessary in the former groups. The manner in which the other Phyllopoda have been derived from the Apodidæ will afford some capable zoologist a field for research which cannot fail to be rich in

biological observations of extreme interest.¹ We limit our own contribution to the subject to a few points of some interest and importance.

THE CLADOCERA.

One specimen of Lepidurus glacialis in our collection was in the act of casting its skin. Shining through the shell was a white mass, which turned out to be a group of eggs, thrust in as far as possible under the neck. It was clear that this was not accidental; the eggs were there in order to develop under the shelter of the cast-off cuticle. The origin of this arrangement may well have been accidental. The Apodidæ swim on their backs, so that eggs from the brood pouch might very casily fall into the large dorsal shell, and this would be the more likely, the larger the shell in proportion to the length of the body; every diving movement of the animal would tend to lodge the eggs further up between the shell and the back. The young hatched out of such eggs may easily be supposed to have derived some advantage from their position. We have two cases to consider, first, that in which the eggs hatch out before the cuticle is cast, and develop under the shell of the parent, and second, that in which the eggs do not develop before the shell is cast, the Nauplius swimming about for a time under cover of the exuvia of the parent.

¹ A suggestion as to one of the changes which explain the origin of Branchipus out of Apus will be found on p. 100.

In the first case, if this arrangement proved of any real advantage to the young, it would certainly bring about such modification in the parent animal as would lead to the formation of new species, differing from those which did not so shelter their young. This may seem a small point around which to mould a new species, but not if we give to the reproductive function its true value in the economy of life. Every other function is in fact subordinate to it, and it is therefore capable of modifying every part of the body in order to ensure its own efficiency. Hence, given a certain number of Apodidæ which have inherited a tendency to drop their eggs under the dorsal shell, because in this way a greater number are able to develop and survive in the struggle for existence, these animals would, in course of time, be modified so as to perfect this arrangement. The shield would grow further down at the sides so as to press more closely against the body, and the hinder part of the body would come into closer contact with the hinder edge of the shield, both alterations serving to prevent the eggs or embryos from slipping out from under their cover. It is also probable that processes of the terga might grow up so as to close the posterior opening (see Fig. 60).

On the other hand, again, these very alterations, which make the falling out of the eggs more difficult, at the same time make the falling in of the eggs more difficult; hence the gradual movement of the genital aperture up the sides under the shell so as to ensure the egg finding its way into the cavity under the shell in which it is to develop. All the alterations which we have here described are exactly what we find in the related Cladocera, for instance in the well-known Daphnia pulex or water flea (see Fig. 60).



FIG. 60.—Daphnia (after Claus), showing the brood cavity ($\hbar c$) between the back of the animal and the dorsal fold; also the position of the head, projecting freely from the folded valves of the shell fold. *Cf.* Figs. 61 and 62.

Again, as to the great difference in size between the Cladocera and the Apodidæ, it is perhaps worth suggesting (1) that it would originally be only very young Apodidæ, whose shells were specially large in

proportion to the length of the body, into whose shells the eggs would be likely to fall as they swam on their backs; the older the Apodidæ are, the longer the body grows in proportion to the shell, and an egg dropping out of the adult brood pouch would be hardly likely to lodge under the shell, but would fall straight to the bottom of the water,-(2) that the arrangement is not calculated for the development of many eggs at a time, such as one finds in the brood pouches and ovaries of adults; it could only be advantageously used by the young animals at the first commencement of their reproductive activity, when comparatively few eggs issue from the genital apertures. In this way perhaps we may explain the small size of the Cladocera, and also the relatively enormous size of the shield.

The second case in which the skin is shed with the unhatched eggs in it does not appear to require any special modification. It may be a custom among the Apodidæ to collect eggs under the loosening cuticle; this certainly seems to be the case from the specimen of L. Spitzbergensis above mentioned. It did not bear any appearance of being accident. About six large eggs were packed in so tightly that they had to be picked out singly with a needle.

It is, however, to be expected that the habit of *hatching* eggs under the shield would naturally lead to some special arrangement for times of ecdysis. Hence the ephippium of the Cladocera, in which a differentiated part of the cuticle containing two eggs is occasionally cast off as a modified form of ecdysis.

THE ESTHERIDÆ.

This is the only other group of the Phyllopoda about which we have a few words to say. The formation of the bivalve shell of these animals has already been noticed. The question is, How can a perfect bivalve shell, enclosing the whole body, head and all, be deduced from the folding down of the lateral



FIG. 61.—Limnetis brachyurus, Q. O. F. Müller (from Bronn's *Kiassen und Ordnungen*), to show the position of the head as transition stage between the Cladocera and the Estheridæ (Fig. 62).

halves of a dorsal shield? It fortunately happens that we have a series of forms which make the point quite clear.

In the Cladocera, we have the shell folded down against the sides of the animal, leaving the head quite distinctly marked off (Fig. 60). In Limnetis we find the lateral folds of the shell extending more anteriorly so as partially to enclose the head, the change being chiefly due to the bending down of the head in order to bring it within the shells (Fig. 61).

Limnadia and Estheria show the process completed, *i.e.* the head bent down to such an extent as to be entirely enclosed between the bivalve shells (Fig. 62). The position of the head in these animals, bent ventrally downwards, is in striking contrast to that of the Ostracoda, which is situated far back in the shell and looks forwards.

We must here leave this interesting subject in the



FIG. 62.—Estheria Donacitormis Baird Q , to show the completion of the process of bending the head into the bivalve shell.

hope that some one may be induced to attempt to build up a natural order of the Phyllopoda, and endeavour when possible to show how, and under what biological laws, the different forms have arisen from Apus.

THE MALACOSTRACA.

We come lastly to the most highly developed group of the modern Crustacea—the Malacostraca. We need not say much about these. By deducing the Apodidæ from a bent Annelid, we have endeavoured to establish them as the racial form of the majority of modern Crustacea. We at first thought Apus might actually be the primitive Crustacean, but further investigation and comparison with such forms as the Trilobites have shown us that these also claim the same origin as Apus from a bent Annelid. These other groups have for the most part died out. Apus remains, having been isolated through many geological periods in freshwater pools. While, however, Apus itself was not able to hold its own in the struggle for existence in the open sea, modifications of Apus succeeded in surviving, and in producing the rich Crustacean fauna of modern seas. We have already deduced some of the natural groups from their Apus ancestors, and we have now the chief group of all to trace back to Apus.

The Malacostraca have, by general consent, been traced back to Packard's Phyllocaridæ, the only living representative of which is Nebalia, which, according to Packard, combines Phyllopodan and Decapodan characteristics. It has been placed by Claus in a special order—the Leptostraca—as a transition form between the Entomostraca and Malacostraca.

Going back to the earlier members of this group, we find in palæozoic times the remains of large Crustacea, which appear to be true Nebalidæ. The most important of these are the two forms Hymenocaris and Ceratiocaris (Figs. 63 and 64). At the first sight of these fossils we are at once reminded of Apus, and this is exactly what our theory demands.

Т

No one can study the beautiful plates in Jones and Woodward's monograph of Palæozoic Phyllopods without being convinced that the forms represented were nearly related to the Apodidæ. This first impression is fully borne out when we come to examine the forms more closely. We find several striking characteristics of the Apodidæ, which convince us that we really have here to do with animals at least closely related to and easily derivable from Apus.



FIG. 63.—Hymenocaris vermicauda Salter. Upper Cambrian. To be compared with Apus (from Zittel).

Hymenocaris has a simple flat shield and a terminal segment carrying a long caudal plate, and three visible anal cirri. From the arrangement of these cirri we may safely conclude that there was a fourth hidden behind the caudal plate. It will be remembered that we found it necessary to assume that the original Crustacean-Annelid had four anal cirri, two of which were preserved in Apus, while the two others became rudimentary. This assumption certainly receives some support from the fossil under discussion. Anteriorly, we find that the shell has been cut off, an arrangement which the next form, Ceratiocaris, fully explains.

Ceratiocaris differs somewhat from Hymenocaris, but shows even closer resemblance to the Apodidæ. We have the caudal plate and two anal cirri, which are clearly, as in Apus, the ventral pair. If the fossil were well enough preserved, we might perhaps find,



FIG. 64.—Ceratiocaris papilio Salter. Upper Silurian. Showing the rostrum, the first pair of antennæ, and the mandibles (from Zittel).

as in Apus, the rudiments of the dorsal pair. Impressions of the mandibles are clearly visible, and bear the closest possible resemblance to those of Apus. Traces of branchial limbs have been found on the abdominal segments of Ceratiocaris Stygia. Anteriorly, however, we find the same piece of the shield cut out as in Hymenocaris, with remains of a rostrum and anterior antennæ. The antennæ bear a close resemblance to those of Apus (see Fig. 7A, p. 34)

T 2

although apparently larger in proportion to the size of the body. The rostrum is, however, clearly a new structure. How can we explain its origin, at the anterior edge of an Apus-like head ?

According to our theory the anterior antennæ once pointed backwards, as do those of Apus. In Ceratiocaris, however, we find them almost at the anterior end of the head. It is not difficult to show that this migration would almost necessitate the formation of a rostrum.

One variation on the primitive Apus type would certainly be a species using their antennæ forwards as organs of sense. Just as, in Apus, the eyes travelled forwards, so, in process of time, the antennæ might tend to move forwards, but, by way of protection for these, at first, delicate organs, we may suppose them to have moved forwards in slight grooves on each side of the median line. As they moved forwards they may have become more and more developed, not only as sensory organs, but as appendages, until they projected freely from the front (as typical Crustacean antennæ). The rostrum is the remains of the middle wall between the two grooves. It is clear that such grooves could not exist on the under surface of the head of an Apus without forming a primitive rostrum. According to this view, the rostrum was originally a necessary accompaniment of the migration of the antennæ from the sides of the labrum to the front of the head. The articulation of this rostrum was a secondary acquirement not in. itself difficult to imagine.

This view explains the morphological significance of the rostrum, as the protective point for the more or less delicate antennæ, arising, not *per se*, but as the further development of the tip of the middle piece between the two depressions along which the antennæ travelled forwards.

From all that remains then of these primitive Nebalidæ we see a sufficient resemblance to the Apodidæ to form a very striking confirmation of our theory. We see in them true transition forms between Apus and the higher Crustacea; the fossils showing very clearly one of the first steps in this transformation, and one of the most needful for success in the struggle for existence, *i.e.* the gradual migration of the antennæ to a frontal position near the eyes.

The many points of likeness between Apus and the Macrura will already have struck every reader of the first part of this book. The detailed deduction of Astacus from Apus on the lines here laid down would be a most interesting and profitable study.

Starting, then, from our theory that Apus, owing to its likeness to an Annelid, must be one of the racial forms of the whole group, we have been able, with varying success, to show that all ancient Crustaceans are clearly related to Apus, and that all the chief groups of the modern Crustacea, with the probable exception of some of the Ostracoda, can be more or less clearly deduced from Apus. An attempt to derive the modern forms from the Apodidæ in detail would be the work of a life-time and would fill many volumes, but we believe we have established our theory beyond question, and have shown for the first time how a natural system of the Crustacea may be built up by taking Apus as the key to the original Crustacean form.

SECTION XVI

PERIPATUS AND THE TRACHEATA

BEFORE closing this essay, in which we have endeavoured to prove that Apus is an almost ideal transition form between the carnivorous Annelids and one large division of the Arthropoda, viz. the Crustacea, it is but fit that we should briefly refer to Peripatus, which is acknowledged to be a transition form between the Annelids and the other division of the Arthropoda, viz. the Tracheata, in which we include the Myriapoda, Hexapoda, and Arachnida. It cannot but add to the interest of this book if we dwell upon this point for a short time.

The accepted fact that both divisions of the Arthropoda are derived from Chætopods, the chief cause of the transformation being the same in both, viz. : the use of the parapodia as appendages for mastication and locomotion, accounts for the resemblances in the organisations of the Crustacea and Tracheata which have led to their being placed side by side as Arthropods. There are, however, striking differences in their morphology which stand obstinately in the way of attempts to establish a close relation between them.

Has not our derivation of Apus and the Crustacea from a *bent* Annelid supplied us with the clue as to the essential morphological difference between the Crustacea and the Tracheata, leaving out of sight for the moment the tracheæ and the Malpighian tubules which are confined to the latter?

The Annelid which gave rise to the Tracheata started, as did the Crustacean-Annelid, by using its anterior parapodia as mouth parts, but, unlike the latter, it did not bend round its anterior segments to browse in the manner described in the opening sentences of this essay, but remained straight. The fusion of segments to form the head was, in the Tracheatan-Annelid, axial, the mouth remaining at the anterior end of the body.

In such an axial fusing there is nothing to fix the number of segments to form a head common to all the Tracheata, whereas in the Crustacea the bending round of the five segments marked off this region of the body as the head for all time.

The difference between the number of the cephalic appendages of the Crustacea and the Tracheata is to be referred to the fact that with the mouth at the anterior end of the Annelidan body it was impossible to bring so many pairs of parapodia into the region of the mouth to function as mouth parts as in the Crustacea, where its ventral position allows of the arranging on each side of a large number of parapodia as jaws. The common derivation of the two divisions of the Arthropoda from Annelids modified to use the parapodia as jaws, &c., in the one case round a mouth at the anterior end of the body, and in the other round a mouth bent under so as to face posteriorly, makes it possible, we think, for the first time clearly to homologise the head regions of the two divisions.

The Annelidan prostomium became in both cases the labrum. In both groups the Annelidan antennæ were retained as sensory organs, having disappeared only in the Arachnida. The first pair of parapodia, the antennal parapodia of the Annelids, became differently modified on account of the different position of the mouth. In the Crustacea the mouth was carried round ventrally to between the parapodia of the third and fourth segments, which thus, in the typical Crustacean head, became the chief jaws, leaving the antennal parapodia as a rule free to continue to function as sensory organs. In the Tracheata, on the other hand, the anterior position of the mouth almost necessitated the formation of the chief jaws out of the first pair of parapodia. In Peripatus these alone function as jaws. In the Myriapoda and Hexapoda they are the chief jaws, but are assisted by the two following pairs as first and second maxillæ. In the Arachnida they form the powerful and variously modified cheliceræ which develop so largely as to displace and lead to the degeneration of the prostomium and antennæ. These formidable jaws are assisted by the second pair of parapodia as accessory jaws, supplied with long feelers, or as powerful chelate limbs.

The second pair of parapodia, which in the typical Crustacean head become the chief mandibles, form, in Peripatus, the oral papillæ; the slime glands opening at their tips being perhaps homologous with the acicular glands of the Annelidan parapodia. In the Myriapoda and Hexapoda they become the anterior maxillæ; and in the Arachnida they form the pedipalps or their homologues.

The third pair of parapodia, which in the Crustacea form typically the first pair of maxillæ, in Peripatus and the Arachnida function as the first pair of feet. In the Myriapoda and Hexapoda they form the posterior maxillæ.

Just as we saw that all the Crustacean groups, however aberrant, must have been derived from the same bent Annelid, so we would deduce all the groups of the Tracheata from the same Tracheatan-Annelid. We find the same variety in the arrangement and form of jaws, limbs, &c., and the same variety in the number of segments. In both cases some of the groups can be shown to have been differentiated direct from the original Annelid, while others are enly later modifications of such groups. In the Crustacea we think the Apodidæ, and the Trilobites, were original differentiations ; in the Tracheata, the Arachnida, the Protracheata, and the Myriapoda.

Turning now to the important morphological characteristics common to all the Tracheata, viz. the tracheæ and the Malpighian tubules, we shall

not, we think, be far wrong in assuming that these were developed as adaptations to a life on land, and appeared in the original Tracheatan-Annelid, in its gradual passage from a purely aquatic to a terrestrial life. It seems to be a strict biological law that, when aquatic animals migrate to the land, external respiratory surfaces such as gills, which are morphologically folds of the skin, give place to internal respiratory surfaces. This requires no special comment. It is probably, however, an equally strict biological law that free movement on land necessitates such a place of exit for the waste products as will not interfere with such movement. Insects clean themselves from no love of cleanliness. The disadvantages of discharging the waste products in the cephalic or thoracic region, as in the Crustacea, are avoided by means of the Malpighian tubules which open into the hind-gut. This is not the only advantage. Small land animals have often to exercise the most rigid economy in their supply of fluid. The discharge of the waste products into the hind-gut permits the reabsorption of their purely fluid constituents, which would thus be retained within the body. These two advantages are of such importance that the gradual concentration of excretion to the walls of the hind-gut (which we saw in Apus to be highly glandular) until special excretory cæca, the Malpighian tubules, were developed, presents no difficulty.

We have already referred to the able attempt of several distinguished zoologists, Kingsley in America, and Ray Lankester in England, to connect the Arachnida with the Xiphosuridæ and Eurypteridæ—taking the two latter out of the division of the Crustacea.

If there is any truth in our general argument as to the derivation of the primitive Crustacea from a bent Annelid, and of the Tracheata from an Annelid not so bent, there is no need for any such alteration in the formerly accepted classification. The resemblances in inner and outer organisation between the Xiphosuridæ and the Scorpionidæ, striking as they undoubtedly are, we believe to be simply due to the fact that they are both descended from Annelids. The agreement in the number of segments and cephalothoracic limbs is by far the most important argument in favour of the new classification.

But now it seems to us that it is by no means improbable that two groups of animals descended from many-segmented Annelids should possess the same number of segments, especially when we find that somewhere about the same number of segments seems to have best suited many other groups belonging to both divisions. The Malacostraca have twenty, the free-swimming Copepoda about fifteen, the Hexapoda sixteen, and many genera of the Myriapoda from fifteen to thirty.

The resemblance between the limbs of Limulus and Scorpio does not seem to us so great as it is often assumed to be. The five pairs of jaws ranged round the ventral mouth of Limulus, whether our theory of their origin from Annelidan parapodia is true or not, form a feature which has no counterpart in the limbs of Scorpio. This is, to our mind, a most important point, for in most other respects all Arthropodan legs strongly resemble one another, and the presence of chelæ on a certain number of anterior limbs is a common occurrence. Again, is there anything in Limulus, or in any Crustacean, which resembles the two chitinous hooked-claws at the ends of the legs of Scorpio, which the latter possess in common with all other Tracheata? Nor do we find in the Scorpionidæ any special development of the sixth pair of limbs such as we have shown to be characteristic not only of the Apodidæ but of the Trilobitæ, the Xiphosuridæ, and the Eurypteridæ, and which is especially marked in the last, although this is claimed as a transition form between the Xiphosuridæ and the Arachnida.

We do not, then, admit that very much weight can be laid upon this agreement in number of segments and in number and form of limbs. It certainly cannot outweigh, for purposes of classification, the tracheæ and the Malpighian vessels, the presence of which in the Scorpionidæ and other Arachnida classes them unmistakably with the Tracheata.

Even if we admit the possibility of the concurrent development of tracheæ and Malpighian tubules for a second time, the improbability of such an occurrence is so great that we should require much stronger evidence than any which has been adduced before we could accept it. It is, further, very improbable that such a highly specialised animal as a species of Eurypterus should develop exactly the same respiratory and excretory adaptations to a land life as the more generalised Annelidan ancestor of the other Tracheata. The origin of the book-leaf tracheæ from the gills of the Xiphosuridæ, fascinating as it is, breaks down when carried into detail. It is easier to believe that the lung-books are only a specially concentrated arrangement of the tracheal tubes, no more extraordinary than the other extreme, viz. the diffuse arrangement found in the Hexapoda. We find almost every form of tracheal arrangement between these two extremes within the division of the Tracheata, and further both tubular and book-leaf tracheæ within the Arachnida. We think that the evidence in favour of the new classification, to be drawn from the form of the tracheæ, is not convincing.

The most probable origin of the tracheæ appears to us to be that which refers them back to dermal glands. The original Tracheatan-Annelid on first migrating on to the land probably respired through the whole skin. The increase of surface afforded by the ducts of the dermal¹ glands would very naturally be taken advantage of. The walls of these ducts being internal, their surfaces would be selected and specialised until they undertook the whole respiration. That this was the origin of the tracheæ is rendered very probable by the fact that the openings of the tracheal tubes in Peripatus are, in some species at least, scattered irregularly over the whole body. This derivation of the tracheæ from dermal glands receives some support also

¹ If these include the coxal glands, it may throw light upon the developmental relations between the book-leaf tracheæof the Arachnida and their rudimentary abdominal limbs.

from the fact that it is accompanied by the development of the Malpighian tubules, except in Peripatus, where the nephridia are retained. The loss of the dermal excretion necessitates the further development of other excretory surfaces. The advantages of the Malpighian tubules, or glandular cæca of the hind-gut, over excretory organs in any other part of the body have been already dwelt upon. This physiological connection between tracheæ and Malpighian vesicles which lessens the improbability of their concurrent development *twice*, cannot however be taken advantage of in the special case under discussion, because the tracheæ are not supposed to have been dermal glands but imbedded external gills.

The early differentiation of the Arachnida from the original Tracheatan-Annelids accounts for the high specialisation of their tracheal gills. The same may be said of the Myriapoda, while Peripatus has remained in this respect, as in so many others, almost entirely undifferentiated.

In addition to these arguments we have to refer on the one hand to those brought forward in this essay to show that Limulus is a Crustacean, and on the other hand to the discovery of rudimentary antennæ in the embryo of a spider,¹ which removes the only difficulty in the way of homologising the limbs of the Arachnida with those of the Antennata.

It seems to us that we find evidence of the early specialisation of the Arachnida, not only in the loss of the antennæ, in the form of the limbs and tracheæ,

¹ Trochosa Singoriensis Laxm. See Zool. Anzeiger, May 11, 1891.

and in their general organisation, but in the possession of a sternal plate or entosternite. The same explanation given in this essay of this sternal plate in Apus and Limulus must be applied here. It is due to a massing together of the ventral longitudinal muscle bands of a certain number of anterior segments, so that their muscular elements disappear, leaving the sinewy elements for the attachment of transverse muscles. In the primitive Crustacea, the longitudinal muscles of these segments were rendered useless by the bending of the body. In the Arachnida, however, they were rendered useless by the axial fusing of the segments ; while the muscular elements degenerated, the sinewy elements were retained to form the entosternite. This seems to show that the Arachnida were differentiated from the Tracheatan-Annelid at a stage when the Annelidan segments were still of the typical form, i.e. before the ventral longitudinal muscle bands had become specialised in adaptation to new modes of life

In conclusion, it may be interesting to see how Peripatus compares with Apus as a transition form. The Annelidan characteristics of Peripatus are certainly more visible than are those of Apus, where they are all more or less disguised or transformed. On the other hand, Peripatus is a development by itself, and can hardly be shown to have given rise to any group of the Tracheata. It is *indirectly* a most remarkable transition form, having preserved so many characteristics of the common racial Tracheatan-

Annelid. We think that a little more may be claimed for Apus, and that in its organisation it takes a distinct place in the direct line of descent of many of the modern Crustacea from the original Crustacean-Annelid.

APPENDIX I

Ox comparing the East Spitzbergen species found by Professor Kükenthal with the West Spitzbergen species found by Professor Nathorst, we concluded that they are identical, but that L. Spitzbergensis differs considerably from L. glacialis in size and in the shape of the caudal plate. We were at first disposed to consider it a new species, especially on account of its possessing second antennæ which were said to be wanting in Lepidurus glacialis. Closer examination, however, showed it to be a small variety of L. glacialis, most probably derived from the latter by being obliged to ripen at an earlier stage of development, in adaptation to the shortness of the more northerly summer.

That this view is correct seems probable from the following considerations :

(1) The possession of second antennæ does not distinguish it from L. glacialis, for we have succeeded in finding these appendages on the latter.

(2) The position of the sperm-forming centre (see § on reproduction) is identical in the two.

(3) The genital tube is very much simpler, the diverticula showing hardly any traces of branching, therein exhibiting a more larval condition.

(4) The same may be said of the smaller size of the caudal plate, which develops gradually, as Brauer has shown in his paper on the development of L. productus.

(5) The small size of the whole animal also agrees with the supposition.

It is interesting to find that Packard's measurements for L. glacialis (from Cape Krustenstern?) make it even smaller than the Spitzbergen variety. From this, however, it is difficult to draw any certain conclusions, as his drawings give a fully-developed tail-plate (see Monograph of the North American Phyllopoda). It thus appears that L. glacialis may be much stunted by unfavourable surroundings.

That the specimens from Spitzbergen were not young specimens follows from the facts that they (several hundred) were nearly all the same size, and that they were caught in the end of August, a week or so before the close of the short summer, while the freshwater pools were still unfrozen. Professor Kükenthal informs me that this season in the latitude in which they were found lasts about ten weeks.

Packard's measurements for a fully developed L. glacialis make it doubtful whether we are to look upon this variety as permanent. It is possible that in favourable summers they may further develop (without any great increase of size) into stunted L. glacialis. This question, however, can only be certainly answered by cultivating specimens further south, in an aquarium, to see whether they develop into L. glacialis. In the meantime it will be useful to call the animal L. glacialis var. Spitzbergensis, or, for shortness, L. Spitzbergensis.

APPENDIX II

THE EYE-PIGMENT OF APUS

IT was very difficult to decide whether the cells marked p in the diagram (Fig. 43) of the eye of Apus were really cells, as there drawn, or only collections of very minute pigment cells. [Grenacher, in his drawings of the single eyes of Apus, leaves the matter rather indefinite. He indicates rather than draws the pigment cells with nuclei. His drawing leaves the impression that he took it for granted that they were large pigment cells, without actually ascertaining the facts.] We were at first inclined to take the latter view, having found that under a very high power, ¹ the granules themselves were not easy to distinguish from cells. Each one consists of a stainable nucleus surrounded by a pigment crust, the whole being enclosed in a layer of some hyaline substance. These "cells" were of all sizes (from 1-2 μ), and were found in all stages of fission (see Fig. 65). There are thus two ways of regarding these pigment masses in the eye of Apus. Either the whole is a kind of loose syncytium of minute pigment cells, as we at first thought, or these pigment granules are formed inside a large cell around stainable protoplasmic granules, as starch is formed round the leucoplasts. This we now think to be the case.

¹ Zeiss apochromatic 2 mm. homogeneous immersion, 1.40 n.a., eye-piece No. 12, giving 1500 diam.

Although we cannot be certain that we have seen the nuclei of the large pigment cells as shown in the diagram (Fig. 23, p. 139), we concluded that there must be such nuclei, and that the pigment masses were real cells and not syncytia. We were chiefly led to this conclusion by noticing the long regular lines of granules running down the nerves towards the optic ganglion, as shown in the diagram. It seemed to us that these rows of single granules would not be so straight and even, unless enclosed within a long pseudopodium-like process of the pigment cells. Were the granules semi-independent cells, their arrangement could hardly be so straight and regular. We



FIG. 65.—Pigment granules (? cells) from the eye of Apus, × ca. 3000, showing a stainable nucleus, surrounded by a thin crust of brown pigment, the whole enclosed within a hyaline substance.

were further induced to take this view from finding that, in some specimens, the pigment in the unpaired "eye" was composed of similar eye-pigment granules, also arranged in long pseudopodium-like strands. In most of the specimens examined, the pigment in the unpaired "eye" was similar to that in the pigment cells of the rest of the body, *i.e.* it was in the form of very minute olive green granules. The occasional finding of eye-pigment in the unpaired "eye" was especially interesting in reference to the origin we attributed to that organ out of an anterior pair of Annelidan eyes.

Around the paired eyes, the green pigment reaches up to their very rim, and indeed stretches over the outer edges of the eye itself, but there it changes into the black brown granules above described.

These "eye-pigment" granules certainly appear to be very primitive formations. The utilisation of excretory matter as pigment is at once suggested, the incrustation of brown stuff round the nucleus reminding one forcibly of the incrustation of excretory matter round the blood corpuscles under the dorsal organ (see Appendix IV.). In the pigment granules, however, it was quite regular, whereas it was irregularly massed around the blood corpuscles. These corpuscles, again, are very much larger than the pigment-forming granules, and moreover fairly uniform in size, whereas the latter are of all sizes.

APPENDIX III

CIRCULATION

As far as we know, since Zaddach's time no detailed account of the circulation of Apus has been given. Gerstaecker adopts and incorporates Zaddach's description in Bronn's *Klassen und Ordnungen*, vol. v. Zaddach's observations seem to have been made on living transparent animals. All who have tried this method know how difficult it is to make out the details, however visible some of the main streams may be. Thus Zaddach's plan of the circulation requires considerable amendment.

As already pointed out in the text, the system is a lacunar system through which the blood is propelled by a contractile dorsal vessel or heart. On the expansion of the heart the blood is drawn out of the cardial sinus to be propelled forwards through (I) the anterior aorta to supply the head and liver, and (z) the two lateral vessels which dip down under the shell gland to convey blood into the shield.

The heart is composed of striated circular muscle fibres crossing each other diagonally—the muscle-cells being turned inwards, and forming a kind of syncitial lining to the tube. The heart is suspended by an exquisite arrangement of connective-tissue fibres, which, seen together under a low power, take the form of triangular wings. These connective tissue alæ are not flat and membranous, but composed of a number of fibres attached to the walls of the heart over a considerable area around the ostia. They may either be contractile and serve to expand the heart, or, more probably, elastic and restore the heart to its expanded condition after each contraction.

The blood, after circulating through the head, runs ventrally backwards through the intestinal sinus, towards



FIG. 66.—Diagram to illustrate the plan of the circulation in the anterior part of the body. The blood propelled by the heart (i) through the head, is returned through the intestinal sinus (is) from which in each segment it escapes ventrally into the limbs. It scourse is indicated diagrammatically by the arrows (i). From the limbs it returns through the dermo-muscular sinus in each segment into the cardial sinus (cs). i, intestine; ac, aorta cephalica; β , points of attachment of the dorso-ventral muscle bands.

the posterior end of the body. Near each pair of limbs, the membrane forming this sinus appears to be fenestrated, the openings being regulated by special muscles (?). Through these windows the blood streams down over the ventral cord and into the limbs on each side; it runs along the ventral face of the limbs, returning along the dorsal. On its way back it is guided into the gills, and thence back into the body, where it flows up between the membrane of the intestinal sinus and the body wall, bathing the musculature in its course. It is interesting to note that a special separate stream flows from each limb into the cardial sinus, there being membranous dissepiments, corresponding with



FIG. 67.—Diagram of the circulation from above. h, heart, expanded by the fine connective tissue alæ (a); s, segmental septa forming the transverse walls of the dermo-muscular sinuses (ls); ac, cephalic aorta; g, lateral artery to shell and shell gland; p, points of attachment of dorso-ventral muscle bands to dorsal wall.

the segmental constrictions, stretched between the body wall and the membrane of the intestinal sinus. The dissepiments, which have already been described in the text, are only formed in the first ten to eleven segments, *i.e.* as far as the heart extends. Thus while part of the blood, flowing through the intestinal sinus, passes down through

the openings above the ventral cord into the limbs, to find its way back to the heart after passing through the gills, the rest continues posteriorly, bathing the intestinal canal (the hind-gut) to find its way, by some means which is not yet clear, into the dermal sinus which, in the posterior part of the body, is continued right round the body, there being no dissepiments and no separate cardial sinus. It then flows forwards till the first lateral dissepiment confines it to the dorsal channel which contains the heart and forms the cardial sinus. How the blood finds its way from the intestinal to the dermal sinus in this posterior part of the body we have not been able to ascertain. A longitudinal dissepiment runs right along each cercopod or anal cirrus, which shows that the blood flows along one side and back by the other. We may also perhaps assume that under the two rudimentary cirri openings occur corresponding with the communication which once existed at the tips of the cirri which they represent. These are however probably not the only openings between the two sinuses. We have not been able to make out the relation of the circulation to the rudimentary limbs; sections of the rudimentary gills seem to show that they are functional as such.

We have seen that special muscles probably regulate the flow of the blood out of the intestinal sinus into the neural sinus (if it can be so called), on its way to the limbs. There can be no doubt that the dorso-ventral muscle-bands play a part in propelling the blood through the sinus. The intestinal musculature, except in the hind-gut, is too weakly developed to assist much. But it is easy to see how, in such a tubular sinus, the movements of the intestinal canal running along its centre could materially help the circulation of the fluid between them.

We had no means of following the course of the blood

from the dorsal shield after bathing the shell glands. As far, however, as anatomical researches enable us to judge, we cannot confirm Zaddach's statement that it returns to the heart through special venæ branchiales. We think that when this point comes to be further investigated it will probably be found that the blood, which enters the shield laterally, circulates round through it, and returns to re-enter the body anteriorly and dorsally ; not, however, to enter the cardial sinus, but rather to descend on each side of the cephalic aorta, bathing the mandibular muscles on its way to join the main stream from the head into the intestinal sinus.
APPENDIX IV

EXCRETION

The Shell Gland.—The shell gland falls easily into three typical sections (Fig. 30, p. 125); the terminal saccule, the urinary canal, and the urinary duct with the bladder. The terminal saccule is branched and irregular, and lies in the blood stream between the central coils of the urinary canal. As far as we could judge from our material it seemed to be lined by large flat granulated cells, resting upon a fine basal membrane. It is difficult to say if the large vacuoles to be seen in many of them are natural.

The urinary canal shows the structure depicted in Fig. 68, which is characteristic of the antennal gland of the other Crustacea. Grobben described the striped appearance as being due to protoplasmic strands arranged vertically on the basal membrane owing to the active streaming from without into the lumen of the tube. Tangential sections of the membrane, however, show it to be an independent spongy structure, like hardened foam (see Fig. 68, to the right), forming a strong but very porous support to the large flat epithelial cells. Grobben figures the nuclei as imbedded in this striped membrane. In Apus, however, they lie with their surrounding protoplasm on the membrane, here and there heaped up, or even artificially torn away, in both cases leaving the membrane intact, which would hardly have been the case if the striation were really due to strands of protoplasm free in the cells. There is no trace in Apus of a chitinous cuticle lining the canal.

The nuclei of the epithelium are very large and oval, the longest diameter being $40-45\mu$ (in a specimen of A. cancriformis), *i.e.* slightly larger than the nuclei of the nutritive cells of the eggs, a sign of their great physiological activity in the economy of the animal.

The urinary canal shows a slight widening as it bends



FIG. 68.—Part of a section of the urinary canal (shell gland of Apus). bm, basal membrane on which rests the harder supporting framework, seen in tangential section (at ts) to have a spongy structure; β , inner layer of protoplasm; n, nuclei with numerous clear round nucleoli.

down towards the ventral side. We at first thought that this might be the bladder, but there is no change in the character of the epithelium. At the base of the second maxilla, this wider portion leads through a very narrow chitinous canal into the true bladder, which is a chitin-lined sac in the shaft of the limb. The chitinous lining of the bladder, which distinguishes it from the urinary canal, makes it a suitable reservoir for excretory fluids.

The opening of the duct at the tip of the second maxilla is shown in Zaddach's drawing as a point which he, however, did not understand. Claus recognised it as an opening of the shell gland. This, as already shown, we have been able to confirm by following the gland through series of sections.



FIG. 69.—Sketch of the region about the eyes of an adult specimen of L. productus, showing the relative position and sizes of the dorsal organ, the paired eyes and the pore (p) leading into the water-sacs. At the anterior end of the dorsal organ is seen the fine pore mentioned in the text.

The Dorsal or Neck-Gland.—One more gland in Apus, the neck- or dorsal gland, remains to be mentioned. It shows as an oval spot behind the eyes, and is visible in all the Apodidæ. We originally thought that this spot was the remains of a frontal cirrus which travelled back with the eyes, but which, being a hindrance to swimming and burrowing, had become quite rudimentary. Gerstaecker suggests the homology of it with the frontal cirrus (Stirnzapfen) of the Ostracoda. From lack of any detailed study of its finer structure it has, in fact, been very generally claimed as a sensory organ of some kind. A close microscopic study of it, however, shows very clearly that it is an excretory organ.

Fig. 70 shows the surface view, and Fig. 71 shows it in longitudinal section—both are taken from adult specimens of L. productus. Fig. 38, p. 160, shows it in the Nauplius of Apus cancriformis. From this last figure we may perhaps get a hint as to its real origin and significance, viz. that it was the larval excretory organ.

First, however, as to its structure. A longitudinal section shows us a number of fine connective-tissue strands stretched between the thin cuticle of the organ and the connective tissue belonging to the longitudinal muscle-bands, which bend round over the mid-gut to be attached close to the prostomium. These fibres lie in the full blood stream issuing from the aorta cephalica, and form a net-work to arrest the blood corpuscles.¹ This net-work does not, however, stretch right across, but, as the animal always swims on its back, it forms, as in the drawing, a ground-net to catch those particles which sink, and roll along the bottom. Hence, while the ordinary blood corpuscles shoot through the open part as indicated by the arrow, those laden with excretory matter are caught in the net spread across their path as they roll heavily along. The whole action is purely mechanical;

¹ This interesting use of the connective-tissue fibres is well illustrated in many parts of Apus, particularly around the large reserve or fat cells. It has already been noticed by Grobben in another connection.

304

the corpuscles laden with waste stuff are too large to pass the meshes; they seem to stick on to the connective tissue fibres and gradually find their way down to the hypodermis, where they either break up or else, after discharging their burdens, return into the blood stream. We are inclined to think the latter to be the correct account, for the connective-



FIG. 70.—Diagram of a section of the neck gland or dorsal organ of Apus, drawn upside down (*i.e.* as the animal swims) so as the better to illustrate the catching of the laden blood corpuscles in the connective tissue net. *m*, epithelium of the mid-gut; *c*, connective tissue belonging to the longitudinal musculature; *ca* blood stream from the aorta cephalica; *b*, blood corpuscles laden with excretory matter; *ca*, cuticle (the small arrow indicates the position of the pore shown in the last figure). The glandular epithelium is shaded dark.

tissue strands were covered with excretory matter, and the corpuscles near the hypodermis were not nearly so heavily laden as those newly arrived. The hypodermis cells them, selves were very different from those of the ordinary cuticle; they were much larger, with larger nuclei, each nucleus containing two or three nucleoli, whereas the ordinary hypodermis cells have but one nucleolus. They also stain badly-

Х

having a muddy look, doubtless due to the excretory matter absorbed. How this matter is discharged we cannot see. The cuticle is extremely thin and perhaps allows of passage through it. If so, what is the use of the single fine pore at one end, which by itself could apparently only relieve one or two of the hypodermis cells? We have thus not been able to ascertain the mechanism of discharge, but that the whole organ is essentially glandular, no one who has studied it can doubt.

We can say nothing certain as to the origin of this organ. From its relatively enormous size in the Nauplius,¹ it is clearly the principal larval excretory organ, and undertakes the discharge of waste products before the shell gland appears. It may perhaps be a sort of island of Annelidan hypodermal glandular cells left by the developing exoskeleton, taking the place of the head nephridia of the Annelidan larva. That these latter should not be developed, owing to the bending double of the front segments of the Crustacean-Annelid, was to be expected. We may perhaps therefore find in this neck organ a group of dermal glandular cells, derived from the Annelidan dermal glands, and serving for excretion until the typical Crustacean glands are developed. Its singular position in the larva may perhaps be considered as protective, since an excretory gland might well serve as a protective organ on the exposed dorso-frontal surface.

A comparative study of this organ, which also plays an important part as an excretory organ in most Crustacean embryos or larvæ, is much to be desired. According to Bullar, in some Isopodan embryos it forms as an invagina-

¹ In the Nauplius, figured p. 160, it measures about 0.25 mm., whereas that of the adult L. productus (Fig. 69) measures only 0.5 mm. Brauer, curiously enough, shows no traces of it in the Nauplius of L. productus (Fig. 35).

306

tion of the ectoderm. As a starting point for such a comparative study we should like here to emphasise the fact that, if our theory is correct, the primitive structure of the organ is most probably to be found in the larva of Apus, and that its form in the other Crustacea must have been derived from that. We do not therefore see any reason to modify our suggestion as to its origin because of the fact that in the higher Crustacea it first appears as a more complicated, and even sometimes as a paired, organ.

It is this organ which, in the Daphnidæ, functions as a sucker for fixing the little animals to stationary objects. The glandular nature of the organ might easily be supposed to assist this action by supplying a slimy secretion.

APPENDIX V

REPRODUCTION

A. HERMAPHRODITISM OF THE APODIDÆ.¹

(From Nature, vol. xliii. p. 343.)

THE reproduction of *Apus cancriformis* has been a much discussed subject. Although the animal has been well known since the middle of last century, it was not till 1833 that a male was reported to have been found, and not till 1856 that the occasional presence of males in small numbers was certainly established by Kozubowski. On the other hand, the fact that several generations of "females" could be produced without the presence of a male, was established as long ago as 1755 by Schaeffer, who concluded that the animals were hermaphrodite. Since that time authors have been divided in opinion between hermaphroditism and parthenogenesis (not to mention v. Siebold's theory of Thelytoky); the latter view has lately prevailed.²

¹ The letter here reprinted was written before the author had recognised the Annelidan character of Apus which led to the writing of this book; hence its point of view is not altogether the same as that of the foregoing pages.

² For the history of this subject see Bronn's *Klassen und Ordnungen des Thierreichs*, vol. v. On p. 810 the following words occur :— "Untersuchungen über die Gattungen Apus und Daphnia, welche offenbar in dem bis zu voller Evidenz gefuhrten Nachweis der parthenogenetischen Fortpflanzung beider gipfeln." See also Lang's *Lehrbuch der Vergleichende Anatomie*, p. 393. The animals, however, prove after all to be hermaphrodites. Since the last careful study of *Apus cancriformis*, as a whole, by Zaddach in 1841 (the works of Ray Lankester and others deal only with special points), new methods of research have been introduced into our laboratories which reveal details not easily discoverable by the older methods. Zaddach's figures of the ovaries and testes of Apus are thus naturally somewhat deficient—as deficient, indeed, as the best work we can do to-day will, we hope, be found to be fifty years hence.

* * * ** -----* In my preliminary notice (Jenaische Zeitschrift für Naturwissenschaft, Band xxv., N.F. xviii.) announcing the hermaphroditism of L. Spitzbergensis, knowing how much the reproduction of the Apodidæ had been discussed. I ventured to assert that in all probability the other species of the genus would also prove on closer examination to be hermaphrodite. As above stated, I found the sperm-forming centres in L. glacialis in identically the same position as in the Spitzbergen variety. By the kindness of Professor Möbius, the Director of the new Berlin Museum, and of the Rev. Canon Norman, I have also been able to examine Apus cancriformis and Lepidurus productus. In both these the sperm-forming centres were found scattered here and there among the rich branchings of the segmental diverticula of the genital tube. They occur either at the tips of such branches, where the eggs ordinarily develop, or as slight lateral bulgings of the same. In all cases the spermatogenesis is the same, the epithelium breaking up into sperm-cells; these escape into the lumen of the tube, and are found in considerable numbers near the genital aperture, where the epithelial lining of the tube is hardly demonstrable, the walls of the tube consisting of a fibrous membrane, in the folds of which the sperm-cells lurk. The eggs are then fertilised as they stretch this membrane in passing out into the egg pouch. The whole richly-branched reproductive organ, with the eggs developing at the tips of the branches, and with here and there a testis, strongly reminds one of a monœcious plant, self-contained, and able to dispense with pollen from without.

I reserve the drawings and the more detailed description of the reproductive organs of the different species for a short comparative study of the Apodidæ which I hope soon to have ready for the press.¹ By way of caution, however, **T** should here add that small yellowish sacs filled with minute cells occur here and there among the developing eggs. These must not be mistaken for the testes. They are the loci of discharged eggs, and the minute cells are the epithelium cells dislodged by the shrinking of the membrane of the genital tube, which is stretched some 100-fold by the ripening eggs.

The origin of this secondary hermaphroditism is not far to seek; it is clearly a protection against isolation, as in the case of the Cirripedia and certain parasitic Isopoda. The manner of life of all these animals is such that they are always in danger of being cut off from their kind; they would thus die out unless able to reproduce either parthenogenetically or by means of self-fertilisation.

Some species of Cirripedia, as is well known, have dwarf males, the last remains of the original separation of the sexes. As already mentioned, small males of Apus cancriformis are sometimes found. Twelve finds of A. cancriformis and L. productus recorded by Gerstaecker, give 4,458 "females" (*i.e.* hermaphrodites) to 378 males; while sixteen

¹ As stated in the Preface, this intended work gave way before the more ambitious task of trying to prove Apus to be but a modified carnivorous Annelid.

finds, numbering 10,000 individuals, did not contain a single male. I have found no record of a male L. glacialis, and none of the twenty odd specimens of the Spitzbergen variety I have as yet examined have been males. It is probable that throughout the whole genus self-fertilisation is taking the place of cross-fertilisation, but that some species have gone further than others in dispensing with males. Two species, for instance, L. couesii, Packard, and L. macrurus, Lilljeborg, are reported to have more males than "females" (?), but the finds in these cases seem hardly large enough to allow us to judge ; it may have been purely accidental that more males than "females" were caught.

The males of the Apodidæ, with the doubtful exception of L. productus, seem to be smaller than the hermaphrodites, otherwise there is no very pronounced sexual dimorphism, as there is among the Cirripedia. We are perhaps justified in concluding from this that the hermaphroditism of the Cirripedia is of much older date than that of the Apodidæ. No comparison is here, however, possible, since the two have nothing further in common beyond the fact that they are both hermaphrodite, and that this hermaphroditism is in both cases an adaptation against extermination through too wide dispersion of the individuals.

B. ON THE FORMATION OF THE EGGS.

The regular formation of the eggs out of four cells, of which three are nutritive and one the definitive egg-cell, gives opportunity for many interesting observations. The general method of growth is shown in Fig. 33, p. 144, where we see the egg in different stages.

The originally round group of cells as a rule soon becomes oval, in consequence of the more active growth of the three nutritive cells. Traces of this activity can be seen in the different staining of the protoplasm. That round the definitive egg nucleus remains a rose pink when stained with carmine, while that round the other nuclei has a coarse red colour, and a high magnifying power reveals very clearly the meshes of the spongioplasm of this part widened out, and dotted with small lumps of nuclein which are evidently the lecithoblasts.

On examining the small disk-like grains of yolk with a very high power, and repeatedly changing the focus, the small stained lecithoblast in its centre is found not to be a nucleus surrounded with yolk, but a thread passing through the disk, which is thus like a flat bead threaded on the filaments of the chromatin spongioplasm. It is not, however, a smooth thread which passes through the yolk disk, but it has irregularities consisting of sometimes one, sometimes two of the minute lumps of nuclein, these being apparently nothing but slight thickenings of the chromatin fibres. The yolk disks are, therefore, not nutritive masses floating freely in the protoplasm of the cells (like starch grains?), but they remain in organic connection with the nucleus.

There are many further points of great interest which we have not yet succeeded in following; for instance, the nature of the membrane dividing the cells, its relation to the spongioplasm, its gradual disappearance so that the spongioplasm of all the four cells becomes one continuous whole. We especially wished to find out whether the threads of spongioplasm of the different cells ran into one another through the membrane or not. The former seemed to us to be probable; if not, we should have to assume that, on the disappearance of the nuclei of the nutritive cells, and of the dividing membranes, the threads of their spongioplasm joined those of the definitive eggcell, in order that the ripe egg should constitute an organic whole with yolk disks threaded on its chromatin filaments. Sedgwick's observations on the development of the Cape species of Peripatus¹ make it probable that these dividing membranes are but differentiations of the spongework itself. The disappearance of the membranes would then be nothing more than the *re*-arrangement of their substance as a spongework, which must necessarily connect the spongeworks of the neighbouring cells.

LITERATURE

Besides the well-known text-books of Balfour (*Embryology*), Claus (*Zoology*), Gegenbaur, Huxley, and Lang (*Comparative Anatomy*), Gerstaecker (*Crustacea*, Vol V. of Bronn's Klassen und Ordnungen des Thierreichs), Haeckel Natürliche Schöpfungsgeschichte), Rollston (*Forms of Animal Life*), and Zittel, Nicholson, and Lyddeker (*Palæontology*), the following are the chief works which have been consulted :—

- BAIRD.—A Monograph of the Family Apodidæ. *Proceedings* of Zool. Soc., London, 1853.
- BARRANDE.—Système Silurien de la Bohême. Vol. I. and Suppt.
- BEDDARD.—On the possible Origin of the Malpighian Tubules in the Arthropoda. Ann. N.H. (6) IV.

BOURNE (see Lankester).

- BRADY.--A Monograph of recent British Ostracoda. Tr. Linn. Soc. Vol. XXVI.
- BRAUER.—Ueber die Entwickelung des Lepidurus productus, in *Sitz. Ber. d. K. Acad. d. Wissensch*, Wien, Vol. LXIX., Pt. I., 1874.

¹ Q.7. M.S. Vol. XXVI.

- BRAUER.—Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Phyllopoden. In the same, Vol. LXV., Pt. I., 1872, and further in 1878.
- BUCHANAN.—Respiratory Organs in Decapodous Crustacea. Q.J.M.S. Vol. XXIX.
- BURMEISTER.—Die Organisation der Trilobiten aus ihren lebenden Verwandten entwickelt. Berlin, 1843.
- CARRIÈRE.—Die Sehorgane der Thiere. München und Leipzig, 1885.
- CLAPARÈDE.—Les Annélides Chætopodes du golfe de Naples. Genève, 1868, supplément, 1870.
- CLAUS.—Zur Kenntniss des Baues und der Entwickelung von Branchipus stagnalis und Apus cancriformis. Göttingen, 1873.
 - ,, Untersuchungen zur Erforschungen des Crustaceen Systems. Wien, 1876.
 - ,, Organisation und Entwickelung von Branchipus und Artemia. Arb. Zool. Inst. Wien, 1886.
 - ,, Neue Beiträge zur Morphologie der Crustaceen. Arb. Zool. Inst. Wien, 1886.
 - " Neue Beobachtungen über Cyprididen. Zeitsch. für Wiss. Zool. Vol. XXIII.
 - ", Organisation of Nebalia, and Systematic Position of the Leptostraca. Ann. N.H. (6) III.
- DOHRN.—Geschichte des Krebs-Stammes nach embryologischen, anatomischen und palæontologischen Quellen. Jenaische Zeitsch. Vol. VI., 1871.

EHLERS.—Die Borstenwürmer. Leipzig, 1864-68.

- FERNALD.—The Relationships of Arthropoda. Studies from Biol. Lab. Johns Hopkins University. Vol. IV., 1890.
- V. GRABER.—Morphologischen Untersuchungen über die Augen der frei-lebenden marinen Borstenwürmer, Bonn, 1879.

- GRENACHER.—Untersuchungen über das Sehorgan der Arthropoden. Göttingen, 1879.
- GROBBEN.—Die Antennendrüse der Crustaceen. Arb. Zool. Inst. Wien, 1880.
 - " Entwickelungsgeschichte der Moina rectirostris. Arb. Zool. Inst. Wien, 1879.
- GRUBE.—Bemerkungen über die Phyllopoden. Archiv für Naturwiss. Vol. XIX., 1853.
- HATSCHEK.-Lehrbuch der Zoologie.
- HERRICK—Metamorphosis and Morphology of certain Phyllopod Crustacea. Bulletin, Denison University, 1885.
- HUXLEY.—The Crayfish. International Science Series.— 4th Ed., 1884.
- JONES & WOODWARD.—Monograph of the British Palæozoic Phyllopoda, 1888.
- KINGSLEY.—Notes on the Embryology of Limulus. *Q.J.M.S.* Vol. XXV.
- KORSCHELT UND HEIDER.—Vergleichende Entwickelungsgeschichte der wirbellosen Thiere. Jena, 1891.
- KROYER.—Apus glacialis. Naturhist. Tidskrift. 2 Räk., 1847.
- LANKESTER.—The Appendages and Nervous System of Apus Cancriformis. *Q.J.M.Sc.* Vol. XXI.
 - " Limulus an Arachnid. *Q.J.M.S.*, XXI. And further Vol. XXV.
- LANKESTER AND BOURNE.—The minute Structure of the lateral and central Eyes of Scorpio and Limulus. *Q.I.M.S.* Vol. XXIII.
- LILLJEBORG.—Synopsis Crustaceorum Svecicorum. Reg. Soc. Sc. Upsaliensi. Tradita die vii. April. 1877.
- LUBBOCK.—Notes on some New and Little Known Species of Freshwater Entomostraca. *Trans. Linnean Soc.*, 1863.

- LUBEOCK.—Origin and Metamorphosis of Insects. *Nature* Series, 1890.
- MILNE EDWARDS.—Recherches sur l'Anatomie des Limules. Anal. Sc. Nat. 5th Série. T. XVII. Paris, 1873.

MÜLLER, FRITZ.-Facts for Darwin. Translated by Dallas.

- PACKARD.—Monograph of the North American Phyllopoda, Twelfth Annual Report of the U.S. Geological Survey. Washington, 1883.
 - ,, Development of Limulus Polyphemus. Soc. Nat. Hist., Boston, Vol. XI.
 - ,, Anatomy, Histology, and Embryology of Limulus Polyphemus. Boston, 1880.

And other shorter papers by the same Author.

- PELSENEER.—Nervous System of Apus. Quart. Journ. Micro. Sc. Vol. XXV.
- WALCOTT.—The Trilobite. Old and New Evidence relating to its Organization. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Vol. VIII. Camb., Mass., U.S., 1880-81.
- WATASE.—On the Morphology of the Compound Eyes of Arthropods. Studies from Biol. Lab. Johns Hopkins University. Vol. IV., 1890.

WOODWARD.-British Fossil Crustacea. 1872.

ZADDACH.—De Apodis Cancriformis. Bonn, 1841.

ZENKER.-Monographie der Ostracoden. 1854.

&c., &c.

NATURE SERIES.

Crown 8vo.

- THE ORIGIN AND METAMORPHOSES OF INSECTS. By Sir JOHN LUBBOCK, M.P., F.R.S. With Illustrations. 38. 6d.
- THE TRANSIT OF VENUS. By Prof. G. FORBES. With Illustrations. 35.6d.
- POLARISATION OF LIGHT. By W. SPOTTISWOODE, LL.D. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.
- **ON BRITISH WILD FLOWERS CONSIDERED IN** RELATION TO INSECTS. By Sir JOHN LUBBOCK, M.P., F.R.S. Illustrated. 4s. 6d.
- FLOWERS, FRUITS, AND LEAVES. By Sir JOHN. LUBBOCK, M.P., F.R S. Illustrated. 4s. 6d.
- HOW TO DRAW A STRAIGHT LINE: A LECTURE ON LINKAGES. By A. B. KEMPE, B.A. Illustrated. 1s. 6d.
- LIGHT: A SERIES OF SIMPLE, ENTERTAINING, AND USEFUL EXPERIMENTS. By A. M. MAYER and C. BARNARD. Illustrated. 28. 6d.
- SOUND: A SERIES OF SIMPLE, ENTERTAINING, AND INEXPENSIVE EXPERIMENTS. By A. M. MAYER. 35. 6d.
- SEEING AND THINKING. By Prof. W. K. CLIFFORD, F.R.S. Diagrams. 3s. 6d.
- CHARLES DARWIN. Memorial Notices reprinted from Nature. By THOMAS H. HUXLEY, F.R.S., G. J. ROMANES, F.R.S., Sir ARCHIBALD GEIKIE, F.R.S., and W. T. DYER, F.R.S. 25. 6d.
- **ON THE COLOURS OF FLOWERS.** By GRANT ALLEN. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.
- THE CHEMISTRY OF THE SECONDARY BATTERIES OF PLANTÉ AND FAURE. By J. H. GLADSTONE and A. TRIBE. 25. 6d.
- A CENTURY OF ELECTRICITY. By T. C. MENDENHALL. 4s. 6d.
- **ON LIGHT.** The Burnett Lectures. By Sir GEORGE GABRIEL STOKES, M.P., F.R.S. Three Courses: I. On the Nature of Light. II. On Light as a Means of Investigation. III. On Beneficial Effects of Light. 7s. 6d.
- THE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCES OF ORGANIC EVOLU-TION. By GEORGE J. ROMANES, M.A., LL.D. 25. 6d.
- **POPULAR LECTURES AND ADDRESSES.** By Sir WILLIAM THOMSON. In 3 vols. Vol. I. Constitution of Matter. Illustrated. 7s. 6d. Vol. III. Papers on Navigation. 7s. 6d.
- THE CHEMISTRY OF PHOTOGRAPHY. By Prof. R. MELDOLA, F.R.S. Illustrated. 6s.
- MODERN VIEWS OF ELECTRICITY. By Prof. O. J. LODGE, LL.D. Illustrated. 6s. 6d.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LONDON.

NATURE SERIES—Continued.

TIMBER AND SOME OF ITS DISEASES. By Prof. H. M. WARD, M.A. Illustrated. 6s.

- ARE THE EFFECTS OF USE AND DISUSE IN-HERITED? An Examination of the View held by Spencer and Darwin. By W. PLATT BALL. 35. 6d.
- THE RIGHTHAND LEFTHANDEDNESS. By Sir D. WILSON. Illustrated. 4s. 6d.
- ON COLOUR BLINDNESS. By T. H. BICKERTON. Illustrated. [In the Press.

EVERY THURSDAY AFTERNOON, Price 6d. (A Specimen Number, post free, 6dd. stamps.)

NATURE

A Weekly Illustrated Journal of Science.

NATURE contains Original Articles on all subjects coming within the domain of Science, contributed by the most eminent Scientists, belonging to all parts of the world.

Reviews, setting forth the nature and value of recent Scientific works, are written for NATURE by men who are acknowledged masters in their particular departments.

The Correspondence columns of NATURE, while forming a medium of Scientific discussion and of intercommunication am ng the most distinguished men of Science, have become the recognized organ for announcing new discoveries and new illustrations of Scientific principles among observers of Nature all the world over-from Japan to San Francisco, from New Zealand to Iceland.

The Serial columns of NATURE contain the gist of the most important Papers that appear in the numerous Scientific Journals which are now published at home and abroad, in various languages; while longer Abstracts are given of the more valuable Papers which appear in foreign Journals.

The Principal Scientific Societies and Academies of the world, British and foreign, have their transactions regularly recorded in NATURE, the Editor being in correspondence, for this purpose, with representatives of Societies in all parts of the world.

Notes from the most trustworthy sources appear each week recording the latest , gossip of the Scientific world at home and abroad.

As questions of Science compass all limits of nationality, and are of universal interest, a periodical devoted to them may fitly appeal to the intelligent classes in all countries where its language is read. The proprietors of NATURE aim so to conduct it that it shall have a common claim upon all English-speaking peoples. Its articles are brief and condensed, and are thus suited to the circumstances of an active and busy people who have little time to read extended and elaborate treatises.

Subscriptions to "Nature":

Yearly 285. | Half-Yearly 145. 6d. Quarterly 75. 6d.

To the Colonics, United States, the Continent, and all places within the Postal Union.

P.O.O. to be made payable to MACMILLAN AND CO.,

OFFICE: 29 BEDFORD STREET, STRAND.

10 12.91.

2

A CLASSIFIED CATALOGUE OF BOOKS

IN GENERAL LITERATURE

PUBLISHED BY

MACMILLAN AND CO. BEDFORD STREET, COVENT GARDEN, LONDON, W.C.

For purely Educational Works see MACMILLAN AND CO.'S Educational Catalogue.

AGRICULTURE.

(See also BOTANY; GARDENING.)

- FRANKLAND (Prof. P. F.).-A HANDBOOK OF AGRICULTURAL CHEMICAL ANALYSIS. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- TANNER (Henry).-ELEMENTARY LESSONS IN THE SCIENCE OF AGRICULTURAL PRAC-TICE. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FIRST PRINCIPLES OF AGRICULTURE. 18mo. 15.
- THE PRINCIPLES OF AGRICULTURE. For Use in Elementary Schools. Ext. fcp. 8vo.-The Alphabet of the Principles of Agriculture. 6d. - Further Steps in The Principles of Agriculture. 1s.-ELEMENTARY SCHOOL READINGS ON THE PRINCIPLES OF AGRICULTURE FOR THE THIRD STAGE. IS.
 - THE ABBOT'S FARM; or, Practice with Science. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

ANATOMY, Human. (See Physiology.)

ANTHROPOLOGY.

- BROWN (J. Allen).—PALÆOLITHIC MAN IN North-West Middlesex. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- DAWKINS (Prof. W. Boyd) .- EARLY MAN IN BRITAIN AND HIS PLACE IN THE TER-TIARY PERIOD. Med. 8vo. 25s.
- DAWSON (James). AUSTRALIAN ABORI-GINES. Small 4to. 145.
- FINCK (Henry T.).—ROMANTIC LOVE AND PERSONAL BEAUTY. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 18s.
- FISON (L.) and HOWITT (A. W.) .- KAMI-LAROI AND KURNAI GROUP. Group-Mar-riage and Relationship, and Marriage by Elopement. 8vo. 15s.
- FRAZER (J. G.) .- THE GOLDEN BOUGH ; A Study in Comparative Religion. 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.
- GALTON (Francis) .- ENGLISH MEN OF SCI-ENCE: THEIR NATURE AND NURTURE. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- INQUIRIES INTO HUMAN FACULTY AND
- ITS DEVELOPMENT. 8vo. 16s. RECORD OF FAMILY FACULTIES. Con-sisting of Tabular Forms and Directions for Entering Data. 4to. 2s. 6d.
- LIFE-HISTORY ALBUN' Being a Personal
 Note-book, combining Diary, Photograph
 Album, a Register of Height, Weight, and other Anthropometrical Observations, and a Record of Illnesses. 4to. 3s.6d.--Or with Cards of Wool for Testing Colour Vision. 4s. 6d.
- NATURAL INHERITANCE. 8vo. 9s.

- M'LENNAN (J. F.) .- THE PATRIARCHAL THEORY. Edited and completed by DONALD
- M'LENNAN, M.A. 8vo. 14s. STUDIES IN ANCIENT HISTORY. Com-prising "Primitive Marriage." 8vo. 16s.
- MONTELIUS-WOODS. THE CIVILISA-TION OF SWEDEN IN HEATHEN TIMES. By Prof. Oscar Montelus. Translated by Rev. F. H. Woods. Illustr. 8vo. 145.
- TURNER (Rev. Geo.) .- SAMOA, A HUNDRED YEARS AGO AND LONG BEFORE. Cr. 8vo. os.
- TYLOR (E. B.). ANTHROPOLOGY. With Illustrations. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- WESTERMARCK (Dr. Edward).-THE HIS-TORY OF HUMAN MARRIAGE. With Preface by Dr. A. R. WALLACE. 8vo. 14s. net.
- WILSON (Sir Daniel) .- PREHISTORIC ANNALS OF SCOTLAND. Illustrated. 2 vols. 8vo. 36s. PREHISTORIC MAN: Researches into the Origin of Civilisation in the Old and New
- World. Illustrated. 2 vols. 8vo. 36s. - THE RIGHT HAND: LEFT HANDEDNESS. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

ANTIQUITIES.

(See also ANTHROPOLOGY.)

- ATKINSON (Rev. J. C.).—Forty YEARS IN A MOORLAND PARISH. Ext. cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d. net .- Illustrated Edition. 125. net.
- BURN (Robert) .- ROMAN LITERATURE IN RELATION TO ROMAN ART. With Illustrations. Ext. cr. 8vo. 14s.
- DILETTANTI SOCIETY'S PUBLICA-TIONS.
 - ANTIQUITIES OF IONIA. Vols. I.-III. 21.25. each, or 5%. 5s. the set, net .- Vol. IV. Folio, half morocco, 3l. 13s. 6d. net.
 - AN INVESTIGATION OF THE PRINCIPLES OF ATHENIAN ARCHITECTURE. By F. C. PENROSE. Illustrated. Folio. 7l. 7s. net.
- SPECIMENS OF ANCIENT SCULPTURE : EGYP-TIAN, ETRUSCAN, GREEK, AND ROMAN. Vol. II. Folio. 51. 55. net.
- DYER (Louis).—Studies of the Gods in Greece at certain Sanctuaries RE-CENTLY EXCAVATED. Ext. cr. 8vo. 8s.6d. net.
- GARDNER (Percy).—SAMOS AND SAMIAN COINS: An Essay. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- GOW (J., Litt.D.).-A COMPANION TO SCHOOL CLASSICS. Illustrated. 3rd Ed. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- HARRISON (Miss Jane) and VERRALL (Mrs.) .- MYTHOLOGY AND MONUMENTS OF ANCIENT ATHENS. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 16s.

ANTIQUITIES—continued.

- LANCIANI (Prof. R.) .- ANCIENT ROME IN THE LIGHT OF RECENT DISCOVERIES. 4to. 24s.
- MAHAFFY (Prof. J. P.) .- A PRIMER OF GREEK ANTIQUITIES. 18mo. 15.
- SOCIAL LIFE IN GREECE FROM HOMER TO MENANDER. 6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- RAMBLES AND STUDIES IN GREECE. Il-lustrated. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 105. 6d.

(See also HISTORY, p. 11.)

- NEWTON (Sir C. T.).-ESSAYS ON ART AND ARCHÆOLOGY. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- SHUCHHARDT (Carl) .- DR. SCHLIEMANN'S EXCAVATIONS AT TROY, TIRYNS, MYCENAE, ORCHOMENOS, ITHACA, IN THE LIGHT OF RECENT KNOWLEDGE. Trans. by EUGENIE SELLERS. Preface by WALTER LEAF, Litt.D. Illustrated. 8vo. 18s. net.
- STRANGFORD. (See VOYAGES AND TRAVELS.)
- WALDSTEIN (C.).-CATALOGUE OF CASTS IN THE MUSEUM OF CLASSICAL ARCHÆO-LOGY, CAMBRIDGE. Crown 8vo. 1s. 6d .-Large Paper Edition. Small 4to. 5s.

WHITE (Gilbert). (See NATURAL HISTORY.)

WILKINS (Prof. A. S.).-A PRIMER OF RO-MAN ANTIQUITIES. 18mo. 15.

ARCHÆOLOGY. (See ANTIQUITIES.)

ARCHITECTURE.

- FREEMAN (Prof. E. A.) .- HISTORY OF THE CATHEDRAL CHURCH OF WELLS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
 - HISTORICAL AND ARCHITECTURAL SKETCHES, CHIEFLY ITALIAN. Illustrated by the Author. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- HULL (E.).-A TREATISE ON ORNAMENTAL AND BUILDING STONES OF GREAT BRITAIN AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES. 8vo. 125.
- MOORE (Prof. C. H.).-THE DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTER OF GOTHIC ARCHITEC-TURE. Illustrated. Med. 8vo. 18s.

PENROSE (F. C.). (See ANTIQUITIES.)

STEVENSON (J. J.).—House Architec-TURE. With Illustrations. 2 vols. Roy. 8vo. 18s. each.—Vol. 1. Architecture; Vol. II. HOUSE PLANNING.

ART.

(See also Music.)

- ART AT HOME SERIES. W. J. LOFTIE, B.A. Cr. 8vo. THE BEDROOM AND BOUDOIR. Edited by
 - By Lady BARKER. 25. 6d.
 - NEEDLEWORK. By ELIZABETH GLAISTER. Illustrated. 25. 6d.
 - MUSIC IN THE HOUSE. By JOHN HULLAH. 4th edit. 2s. 6d.
 - THE LIBRARY. By ANDREW LANG, with a Chapter on English Illustrated Books, by AUSTIN DOBSON. 3s. 6d.
 - THE DINING-ROOM. By Mr. With Illustrations. 2nd Edit. By Mrs. LOFTIE. With Illustrations. 2nd Edit. 2s. 6d. AMATEUR THEATRICALS. By WALTER H.
 - POLLOCK and LADY POLLOCK. Illustrated by KATE GREENAWAY. 25. 6d.
- ATKINSON (J. B.).—AN ART TOUR TO Northern Capitals of Europe. 8vo. 12s.
 - BURN (Robert). (See ANTIQUITIES.)

- CARR (J. Comyns) .- PAPERS ON ART. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- COLLIER (Hon. John).- A PRIMER OF ART. 18mo. 15.
- COOK (E. T.) .- A POPULAR HANDBOOK TO THE NATIONAL GALLERY. · Including Notescollected from the Works of Mr. RUSKIN. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo, half morocco. 14s.-Large paper Edition, 250 copies. 2 vols. 8vo.
- CRANE (Lucy).-LECTURES ON ART AND THE FORMATION OF TASTE, Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- DELAMOTTE (Prof. P. H.).-A BEGINNER'S DRAWING-BOOK. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ELLIS (Tristram) .- SKETCHING FROM NA-TURE. Illustr. by H. STACY MARKS, R.A., and the Author. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- HAMERTON (P. G.) .- THOUGHTS ABOUT ART. New Edit. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- HOOPER(W. H.) and PHILLIPS(W. C) .-A MANUAL OF MARKS ON POTTERY AND PORCELAIN. 16mo. 4s. 6d.
- HUNT (W.) .- TALKS ABOUT ART. With a Letter from Sir J. E. MILLAIS, Bart., R.A. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- LECTURES ON ART. By REGD. STUART POOLE, Professor W. B. RICHMOND, E. J. POVNTER, R.A., J. T. MICKLETHWAITE, and WILLIAM MORRIS. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d
- NEWTON (Sir C. T.).-(See ANTIQUITIES.)
- PALGRAVE (Prof. F. T.) .- ESSAYS ON ART. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- PATER (W.).-THE RENAISSANCE : Studies. in Art and Poetry. 4th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- PENNELL (Joseph) .- PEN DRAWING AND PEN DRAUGHTSMEN. With'158 Illustrations. 4to. 31. 13s. 6d. net.
- PROPERT (J. Lumsden) .- A HISTORY OF MINIATURE ART. Illustrated. Super roy. 4to. 3l. 13s. 6d.-Bound in vellum. 4l. 14s. 6d.
- TURNER'S LIBER STUDIORUM: A Description and a Catalogue. By W. G. RAWLINSON. Med. 8vo. 125. 6d.
- TYRWHITT (Rev. R. St. John) .- OUR SKETCHING CLUB. 5th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- WYATT (Sir M. Digby).—FINE ART: A. Sketch of its History, Theory, Practice, and Application to Industry. 8vo. 5s.

ASTRONOMY.

- AIRY (Sir G. B.) .- POPULAR ASTRONOMY. Illustrated. 7th Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- GRAVITATION. An Elementary Explanation of the Principal Perturbations in the Solar System. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- BLAKE (J. F.) .- ASTRONOMICAL MYTHS. With Illustrations. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- CHEYNE (C. H. H.) .- AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON THE PLANETARY THEORY. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- CLARK (L.) and SADLER (H.).-THE STAR GUIDE. Koy. 8vo. 5s.
- CROSSLEY (E.), GLEDHILL (J.), and WILSON (J. M.).—A HANDBOOK OF DOU-BLE STARS. 8vo. 21s. CORRECTIONS TO THE HANDBOOK OF
- DOUBLE STARS. 8vo. 15.

- FORBES (Prof. George).-THE TRANSIT OF VENUS. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- GODFRAY (Hugh). AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON THE LUNAR THEORY. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 5s. 6d. — A TREATISE ON ASTRONOMY, FOR THE
- USE OF COLLEGES AND SCHOOLS. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- LOCKYER (J. Norman, F.R.S.).-A PRIMER OF ASTRONOMY. Illustrated. 18mo. 1s. — ELEMENTARY LESSONS IN ASTRONOMY.
- Illustr. New Edition. Fcp. 8vo. 5s. 6d. Questions on the same. By J. Forbes Robertson. Fcp. 8vo. 1s. 6d. The Chemistry of the Sun. Illus-
- trated. 8vo. 14s.
- THE METEORITIC HYPOTHESIS OF THE **ORIGIN OF COSMICAL SYSTEMS.** Illustrated. 8vo. 175. net.
- THE EVOLUTION OF THE HEAVENS AND THE EARTH. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo.
- STAR-GAZING PAST AND PRESENT. Expanded from Notes with the assistance of G. M. SEABROKE. Roy. 8vo. 215.
- MILLER (R. Kalley).—THE ROMANCE OF ASTRONOMY, 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- NEWCOMB (Prof. Simon).-POPULAR AS-TRONOMY. Engravings and Maps. 8vo. 18s.
- **PENROSE** (Francis).—ON A METHOD OF **PREDICTING**, BY GRAPHICAL CONSTRUCTION, Occultations of Stars by the Moon and SOLAR ECLIPSES FOR ANY GIVEN PLACE. 4to. 125.
- RADCLIFFE (Charles B.).-BEHIND THE TIDES. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- ROSCOE-SCHUSTER. (See CHEMISTRY.)

ATLASES.

(See also GEOGRAPHY).

- BARTHOLOMEW (J. G.).—ELEMENTARY School Atlas. 4to. 15. Physical and Political School Atlas.
- 80 maps. 4to. 8s. 6d.; half mor. 10s. 6d. LIBRARY REFERENCE ATLAS OF THE
- WORLD. With Index to 100,000 places. Folio. 523. 6d. net.—Also in 7 monthly parts, Part I. March, 1891. 5s. net; Geographical Index, 7s. 6d. net.
- LABBERTON (R. H.).—New HISTORICAL ATLAS AND GENERAL HISTORY. 4to. 155.

BIBLE. (See under THEOLOGY, p. 30.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- A BIBLIOGRAPHICAL CATALOGUE OF MACMILLAN AND CO.'S PUBLICA-TIONS, 1843-89. Med. 8vo. 10s. net.
- MAYOR (Prof. John E. B.) .- A BIBLIOGRA-PHICAL CLUE TO LATIN LITERATURE. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- RYLAND (F.).-CHRONOLOGICAL OUTLINES OF ENGLISH LITERATURE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

BIOGRAPHY.

(See also HISTORY.)

- For other subjects of BIOGRAPHY, see ENGLISH MEN OF LETTERS, ENGLISH MEN OF ACTION, TWELVE ENGLISH STATESMEN.
- AGASSIZ (Louis): HIS LIFE AND CORRES-PONDENCE. Edited by ELIZABETH CARY AGASSIZ 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 18s.

- ALBEMARLE (Earl of) .- FIFTY YEARS OF My LIFE. 3rd Edit., revised. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- ALFRED THE GREAT. By THOMAS HUGHES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- AMIEL (Henri Fréderic).—The JOURNAL INTIME, Translated by Mrs. HUMPHRY WARD, 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- ANDREWS (Dr. Thomas). (See Physics.)
- ARNAULD, ANGELIQUE. By FRANCES MARTIN. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- ARTEVELDE. RTEVELDE. JAMES AND PHILIP VAN Artevelde. By W. J. Ashley. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- BACON (Francis): AN ACCOUNT OF HIS LIFE AND WORKS. By E. A. ABBOTT. 8vo. 145.
- BARNES. LIFE OF WILLIAM BARNES, POET AND PHILOLOGIST. By his Daughter, LUCY BAXTER ("Leader Scott"). Cr. 8vo. 75.6d.
- BERLIOZ (Hector): AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF. Trns. by R. & E. HOLMES. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 215.
- BERNARD (St.). THE LIFE AND TIMES OF ST. BERNARD, ABBOT OF CLAIRVAUX. By J. C. MORISON, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- BLACKBURNE. LIFE OF THE RIGHT HON. FRANCIS BLACKBURNE, late Lord Chancellor of Ireland, by his Son, EDWARD BLACK-BURNE. With Portrait. 8vo. 128.
- BLAKE. LIFE OF WILLIAM BLAKE. With Selections from his Poems, etc. Illustr. from Blake's own Works. By ALEXANDER GIL-CHRIST. 2 vols. Med. 8vo. 42s.
- BOLEYN (Anne): A CHAPTER OF ENGLISH HISTORY, 1527-36. By PAUL FRIEDMANN. 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.
- BROOKE (Sir Jas.), THE RAJA OF SARA-WAK (Life of). By GERTRUDE L. JACOB. 2 vols. 8vo. 255.
- BURKE. By JOHN MORLEY. Globe 8vo. 5s.
- CALVIN. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- CARLYLE (Thomas). Edited by CHARLES E. NORTON. Cr. 8vo.
- REMINISCENCES. 2 vols. 12s.
 EARLY LETTERS, 1814–26. 2 vols. 18s.
 LETTERS, 1826–36. 2 vols. 18s.
- CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN GOETHE AND CARLYLE. 95.
- CARSTARES (Wm.): A CHARACTER AND CAREER OF THE REVOLUTIONARY EPOCH (1649-1715). By R. H. STORY, 8vo. 125.
- CAVOUR. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- CHATTERTON: A STORY OF THE YEAR 1770. By Prof. David Masson. Cr. 8vo. 5s. A BIOGRAPHICAL STUDY. By Sir DANIEL WILSON. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- CLARK. MEMORIALS FROM JOURNALS AND LETTERS OF SAMUEL CLARK, M.A. Edited by his Wife. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- CLOUGH (A. H.). (See LITERATURE, p. 19.)
- COMBE. LIFE OF GEORGE COMBE. By CHARLES GIBBON. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.
- CROMWELL. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- DAMIEN (Father): A JOURNEY FROM CASH-MERE TO HIS HOME IN HAWAII. By EDWARD CLIFFORD. Portrait. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- DANTE: AND OTHER ESSAYS. By Dean CHURCH. Globe 8vo. 5s.

BIOGRAPHY-continued.

- DARWIN (Charles): MEMORIAL NOTICES, By T. H. HUXLEY, G. J. ROMANES, Sir ARCH. GEIKIE, and W. THISELTON DYER. With Portrait. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- DEÁK (Francis): HUNGARIAN STATESMAN. A Memoir. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- DRUMMOND OF HAWTHORNDEN. By Prof. D. MASSON. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- EADIE. LIFE OF JOHN EADIE, D.D. By JAMES BROWN, D.D. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- ELLIOTT. LIFE OF H. V. ELLIOTT, OF BRIGHTON. By J. BATEMAN. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- EMERSON. LIFE OF RALPH WALDO EMER-SON. By J. L. CABOT. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 18s.
- ENGLISH MEN OF ACTION. Cr. 8vo. With Portraits. 2s. 6d. each. CLIVE. By Colonel Sir Charles Wilson, Cook (Captain). By Walter Besant. Dampier. By W. Clark Russell. Drake. By Julian Corbett.

 - GORDON (GENERAL). By Col. Sir W. BUTLER. HASTINGS (WARREN). By Sir A. LVALL. HAVELOCK (Sir HENRY). By A. FORBES. HENRY V. By the Rev. A. J. CHURCH.

 - Lawrence (Lord). By Sir Rich, Temple, Livingstone. By Thomas Hughes. Monk. By Julian Corbett. Montrose. By Mowbray Morris.

 - MOORE(SIRJOHN). ByCol. MAURICE. [Inprep.
 - NAPIER (SIR CHARLES). By Colonel Sir WM. BUTLER.
 - PETERBOROUGH. By W. STEBBING. RODNEY. By DAVID HANNAY.

 - SIMON DE MONTFORT. By G. W. PRO-THERO. [In prep. STRAFFORD. By H. D. TRAILL.
 - WARWICK, THE KING-MAKER. By C. W. OMAN.
 - WELLINGTON. By GEORGE HOOPER.
- ENGLISH MEN OF LETTERS. Edited by JOHN MORLEY. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. each. Addison. By W. J. Courthoff. Bacon. By W. J. Courthoff. BACON. By Prof. JEEB. BUNYAN. By J. A. FROUDE. BUNYAN. By J. A. FROUDE, BURKE. By JOHN MORLEY. BURNS. By Principal SHAIRP. BYRON. By Prof. NICHOL. By Prof. A. W. W CHAUCER. By Prof. A. W. WARD. COLERIDGE. By H. D. TRAILL. COUPERIDGE. BY II. D. FRAILL. COWPER. BY GOLDWIN SMITH, DEFOE, BY W. MINTO. DE QUINCEY. BY Prof. MASSON, DICKENS. BY A. W. WARD. DICKENS. BY G. SAINTSBURY. FIELDING. BY AUSTIN DOULD GIBBON. BY J. COTTER MORISON. GOLDSMITH. BY WILLIAM BLACK. GRAY. By EDMUND GOSSE. HAWTHORNE. By HENRY JAMES. HUME. By T. H. HUXLEY. JOHNSON. BY LESLIE STEPHEN. Johnson. By Leslie Colvin. Keats. By Sidney Colvin. Johnson, by Electrony Keats, By Sidney Colvin, Lame, By Rev, Alfred Ainger, Landor, By Sidney Colvin, Locke, By Prof. Fowler, MacAulay, By J. Cotter Morison, Milton, By Mark Pattison, By Leslie Stephen,

Pope. By Leslie Stephen. Scott. By R. H. Hutton.

- ENGLISH MEN OF LETTERS-contd.
- SHELLEY. By J. A. SYMONDS. SHERIDAN. By Mrs. OLIPHANT. SIDNEY. By J. A. SYMONDS. SOUTHEY. By Prof. DOWDEN. SPENSER. By Dean CHURCH. STERNE. By H. D. TRAILL. SWIET BU STEDURY. STERNE. By H. D. TRAILL. SWIFT. By LESLIE STEPHEN. THACKERAY. By ANTHONY TROLLOPE. Wordsworth. By F. W. H. Myers. ENGLISH STATESMEN, TWELVE
- Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. each. WILLIAM THE CONQUEROR. By EDWARD A. FREEMAN, D.C.L., LL.D. HENRY II. By Mrs. J. R. GREEN. EDWARD I. By F. Y. POWELL [In prep. HENRY VII. By JAMES GAIRDNER. CARDINAL WOLSEY. By Bp. CREIGHTON. CARDINAL WOLSEY. By Bp. CREIGHTON ELIZABETH. BY E. S. BEESLY. OLIVER CROMWELL. BY F. HARRISON. WILLIAM III. BY H. D. TRAILL. WALPOLE. BY JOHN MORLEY. CHATHAM. BY JOHN MORLEY. PITT. BY LORD ROSEBERY. PEEL. BY J. R. THURSFIELD.
- EPICTETUS. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- FAIRFAX. LIFE OF ROBERT FAIRFAX OF STEETON, Vice-Admiral, Alderman, and Member for York, A.D. 1666-1725. By CLE-MENTS R. MARKHAM, C.B. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- FITZGERALD (Edward). (See LITERATURE, p. 20.)
- FORBES (Edward): MEMOIR OF. By GEORGE WILSON, M.P., and Sir ARCHIBALD GEIKIE, F.R.S., etc. Demy 8vo. 14s.
- FRANCIS OF ASSISI. By Mrs. Oliphant. Cr. 8vo. 6.5
- FRASER. JAMES FRASER, SECOND BISHOP OF MANCHESTER: A Memoir. By T. HUGHES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- GARIBALDI. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- GOETHE: LIFE OF. By Prof. HEINRICH DÜNTZER. Translated by T. W. LYSTER. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 215.
- GOETHE AND CARLYLE. (See CARLYLE.)
- GORDON (General): A SKETCH. By REGI-NALD H. BARNES. Cr. 8vo. 15. LETTERS OF GENERAL C. G. GORDON TO HIS SISTER, M. A. GORDON. 4th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- HANDEL: LIFE OF. By W. S. ROCKSTRO. Cr. 8vo. 105. 6d.
- HOBART. (See Collected Works, p. 21.)
- HODGSON. MEMOIR OF REV. FRANCIS HODGSON, B.D. By his Son, Rev. JAMES T. HODGSON, M.A. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 18s.
- JEVONS (W. Stanley).-LETTERS AND JOUR-NAL. Edited by HIS WIFE. 8vo. 14s.
- KAVANAGH (Rt. Hon. A. McMurrough): A BIOGRAPHY. From papers chiefly unpub-lished, compiled by his Cousin, SARAH L. STEELE. With Portrait. 8vo. 14s. net.
- KINGSLEY: HIS LETTERS, AND MEMORIES OF HIS LIFE. Edited by HIS WIFE. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 12s.-Cheap Edition. 1 vol. 6s.
- LAMB. THE LIFE OF CHARLES LAMB. By Rev. ALFRED AINGER, M.A. Globe 8vo. 5s.
- LOUIS (St.). (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.

- MACMILLAN (D.). MEMOIR OF DANIEL MACMILLAN. By THOMAS HUGHES, Q.C. With Portrait. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.—Cheap Edition. Cr. 8vo, sewed. 15.
- MALTHUS AND HIS WORK. By JAMES BONAR. 8vo. 125.6d.
- MARCUS AURELIUS. (See Select Bio-GRAPHY, p. 5.)
- MATHEWS. THE LIFE OF CHARLES J. MATHEWS. Edited by CHARLES DICKENS. With Portraits. 2 vols. 8vo. 25s.
- MAURICE. LIFE OF FREDERICK DENISON MAURICE. By his Son, FREDERICK MAURICE, Two Portraits. 2 vols. 8vo. 36s.—Popular Edit. (4th Thousand). 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 16s.
- MAXWELL. PROFESSOR CLERK MAXWELL, A LIFE OF. By Prof. L. CAMPBELL, M.A., and W. GARNETT, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- MAZZINI. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- MELBOURNE. MEMOIRS OF VISCO MELBOURNE. By W. M. TORRENS. V Portrait. 2nd Edit. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s. MEMOIRS OF VISCOUNT With
- MIALL. LIFE OF EDWARD MIALL. By his Son, ARTHUR MIALL. 8vo. 105.6d.
- MILTON. THE LIFE OF JOHN MILTON. By Prof. David Masson. Vol. I., 215.; Vol. III., 18s. ; Vols. IV. and V., 32s. ; Vol. VI., with Portrait, 21s. (See also CLASSICAL WRITERS, p. 13.)
- NAPOLEON I., HISTORY OF. By P. LANFREY. 4 vols. Cr. 8vo. 305.
- NELSON. SOUTHEY'S LIFE OF NELSON. With Introduction and Notes by MICHAEL MACMILLAN, B.A. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- NORTH (M.) .- RECOLLECTIONS OF A HAPPY LIFE. Being the Autobiography of MARIANNE NORTH. Edited by Mrs. J. A. SYMONDS.
- OXFORD MOVEMENT, THE, 1833-45. By Dean CHURCH. 8vo. 12s. 6d. net.
- PATTESON. LIFE AND LETTERS OF JOHN COLERIDGE PATTESON, D.D., MISSIONARY BISHOP. By C. M. YONGE. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 125. (See also BOOKS FOR THE YOUNG.)
- PATTISON (M.).-MEMOIRS. Cr. 8vo. 8s.6d.
- PITT. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- POLLOCK (Sir Frdk., 2nd Bart.) .- PERSONAL REMEMBRANCES. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 16s.
- POOLE, THOS., AND HIS FRIENDS. By Mrs. SANDFORD. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 15s.
- PYM. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- ROBINSON (Matthew): AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF. Edited by J. E. B. MAYOR. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.
- ROSSETTI (Dante Gabriel): A RECORD AND A STUDY. By W. SHARP. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- RUMFORD. (See Collected Works, p. 22.)
- SCHILLER, LIFE OF. BY Prof. HEINRICH DÜNTZER. Translated by PERCY E. PIN-KERTON. Cr. 8vo. 105. 6d.
- SENECA. (See SELECT BIOGRAPHY, p. 5.)
- SHELBURNE. LIFE OF WILLIAM, EARL OF SHELBURNE. By Lord EDMOND FITZ-MAURICE. In 3 vols.—Vol. I. 8vo. 12s.-Vol. II. 8vo. 12s.—Vol. III. 8vo. 16s.
- SIBSON. (See MEDICINE.)
- SMETHAM (Jas.).: LETTERS OF. Ed. by SARAH SMETHAM and W. DAVIES. Portrait. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.

- SPINOZA: A STUDY OF. By JAMES MAR-TINEAU. LL.D. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- TAIT. THE LIFE OF ARCHIBALD CAMPBELL TAIT, ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY. By
- TAIT, ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY. By the BISHOP OF ROCHESTER and Rev. W. BENHAM, B.D. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 105. net. CATHARINE AND CRAWFURD TAIT, WIFE AND SON OF ARCHIBALD CAMPBELL, ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY: A Memoir. Ed. by Rev. W. BENHAM, B.D. Cr. 8vo. 6s. -Popular Edit., abridged. Cr.8vo. 2s. 6d.
- THRING (Edward): A MEMORY OF. By J. H. SKRINE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- VICTOR EMMANUEL II., FIRST KING OF ITALY. By G. S. GODKIN. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WARD. WILLIAM GEORGE WARD AND THE OXFORD MOVEMENT. By his Son, WILFRID WARD. With Portrait. 8vo. 14s.
- WATSON. A RECORD OF ELLEN WATSON. By Anna Buckland. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WHEWELL. DR. WILLIAM WHEWELL, late Master of Trinity College, Cambridge. An Account of his Writings, with Selections from his Literary and Scientific Correspondence By I. TODHUNTER, M.A. 2 vols. 8vo. 25s,
- WILLIAMS (Montagu) .- LEAVES OF A LIFE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.; sewed., 2s. 6d.
- LATER LEAVES. Being further Reminiscences. With Portrait. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- WILSON. MEMOIR OF PROF. GEORGE WIL-SON, M.D. By HIS SISTER. With Portrait. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WORDSWORTH. DOVE COTTAGE, WORDS-WORTH'S HOME, 1800-8. Gl. 8vo, swd. 1s. Select Biography.
- FARRAR (Archdeacon). SEEKERS AFTER GOD. THE LIVES OF SENECA, EPICTETUS, AND MARCUS AURELIUS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FAWCETT (Mrs. H.). SOME EMINENT WOMEN OF OUR TIMES. Cr. 8vo 25.6d.
- GUIZOT .--- GREAT CHRISTIANS OF FRANCE : ST. LOUIS AND CALVIN. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- HARRISON (Frederic).—The New Calen-DAR OF GREAT MEN. Ext. cr. 8vo.
- MARRIOTT (J. A. R.).—The Makers of Modern Italy: Mazzini, Cavour, Gari-BALDI. Cr. 8vo. 15.6d.
- MARTINEAU (Harriet). BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES, 1852-75. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SMITH (Goldwin) .- THREE ENGLISH STATES-MEN : CROMWELL, PYM, PITT. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- WINKWORTH (Catharine). CHRISTIAN SINGERS OF GERMANY. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- YONGE (Charlotte M.).-THE PUPILS OF ST.
- JOHN. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 6s. PIONEERS AND FOUNDERS; or, Recent Workers in the Mission Field. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- A Book of Worthies, gathered from THE OLD HISTORIES AND WRITTEN ANEW. 18mo. 4s. 6d.
- A BOOK OF GOLDEN DEEDS. 18mo. 4s.6d. Abridged Edition. 18mo. 1s. - Globe Readings Edition. Globe 8vo. 25.

BIOLOGY.

- (See also BOTANY; NATURAL HISTORY;
- BALFOUR (F. M.). ELASMOBRANCH FISHES. With Plates. 8vo. 215.
 - COMPARATIVE EMBRYOLOGY. Illustrate 2 vols. 8vo. Vol. I. 18s. Vol. II. 21s

BIOLOGY-continued.

- BALL (W. P.) .- ARE THE EFFECTS OF USE AND DISUSE INHERITED? Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- BASTIAN (H. Charlton).-THE BEGINNINGS OF LIFE. 2 vols. Crown 8vo. 28s.
- Evolution and the Origin of Life. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- BATESON (W.) .- MATERIALS FOR THE STUDY OF VARIATION IN ANIMALS. Part I. DISCONTINUOUS VARIATION. Illustr. 8vo.
- BIRKS (T. R.) .- MODERN PHYSICAL FA-TALISM, AND THE DOCTRINE OF EVOLUTION. Including an Examination of Mr. Herbert Spencer's "First Principles." Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- EIMER (G. H. T.).—ORGANIC EVOLUTION AS THE RESULT OF THE INHERITANCE OF Acquired Characters according to the LAWS OF ORGANIC GROWTH. Translated by J. T. CUNNINGHAM, M.A. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- FISKE (John) .- OUTLINES OF COSMIC PHILO-SOPHY, BASED ON THE DOCTRINE OF EVO-LUTION. 2 vols. 8vo. 25s.
- MAN'S DESTINY VIEWED IN THE LIGHT OF HIS ORIGIN. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FOSTER (Prof. Michael) and BALFOUR (F. M.).—THE ELEMENTS OF EMBRYOLOGY. Edited by ADAM SEDGWICK, M.A., and WALTER HEAPE. Illustrated. 3rd Edit., revised and enlarged. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- HUXLEY (T. H.) and MARTIN (H. N.) .--(See under Zoology, p. 40.)
- KLEIN (Dr. E.).-MICRO-ORGANISMS AND DISEASE. An Introduction into the Study of Specific Micro-Organisms. With 121 Engravings. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
 - LANKESTER (Prof. E. Ray).-Compara-tive Longevity in Man and the Lower ANIMALS. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
 - LUBBOCK (Sir John, Bart.). SCIENTIFIC LECTURES. Illustrated. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
 - PARKER (T. Jeffery).—LESSONS IN ELE-MENTARY BIOLOGY. Illustr. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
 - ROMANES (G. J.).-SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCES OF ORGANIC EVOLUTION. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.
 - WALLACE (Alfred R.).-DARWINISM: An Exposition of the Theory of Natural Selec-tion, with some of its Applications. Illustrated. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 9s. - CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE THEORY OF
 - NATURAL SELECTION, AND TROPICAL NA-TURE : and other Essays. New Ed. Cr.8vo. 6s. - THE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF
 - ANIMALS. Illustrated. 2 vols, 8vo. 42s. ISLAND LIFE. Illustr. Ext. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

BIRDS. (See ZOOLOGY; ORNITHOLOGY.)

BOOK-KEEPING.

THORNTON (J.) .- FIRST LESSONS IN BOOK-KEEPING. New Edition. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. KEY. Oblong 4to. 10s. 6d.

- ---- PRIMER OF BOOK-KEEPING. 18mo. 15.
- ---- KEV. Demy 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- ---- EXERCISES IN BOOK-KEEPING. 18mo. 15.

BOTANY.

See also AGRICULTURE; GARDENING.)

ALLEN (Grant). - ON THE COLOURS OF FLOWERS. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- BALFOUR (Prof. J. B.) and WARD (Prof. H. M.). — A GENERAL TEXT-BOOK OF BOTANY. 8vc. [In preparation.
- BETTANY (G. T.) .- FIRST LESSONS IN PRAC-TICAL BOTANY. 18mo. IS.
- BOWER (Prof. F. O.).-A COURSE OF PRAC-TICAL INSTRUCTION IN BOTANY. Cr., 8vo. 105.6d.-Abridged Edition. [In preparation.
- CHURCH (Prof. A. H.) and SCOTT (D. H.). —MANUAL OF VEGETABLE PHYSIOLOGY. Illustrated. Crown 8vo. [In preparation.
- GOODALE (Prof. G. L.) .- PHYSIOLOGICAL BOTANY .--- I. OUTLINES OF THE HISTOLOGY OF PHÆNOGAMOUS PLANTS; 2. VEGETABLE PHYSIOLOGY. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- GRAY (Prof. Asa) .- STRUCTURAL BOTANY; or, Organography on the Basis of Morphology. 8vo. 10s. 6d. — The Scientific Papers of Asa Gray.
- Selected by C. S. SARGENT. 2 vols. 8vo. 215.
- HANBURY (Daniel). SCIENCE PAPERS, CHIEFLY PHARMACOLOGICAL AND BOTANI-CAL. Med. 8vo. 14s.
- HARTIG (Dr. Robert).—TEXT-BOOK OF THE DISEASES OF TREES. Transl. by Prof. WM. SOMERVILLE, B.SC. With Introduction by Prof. H. MARSHALL WARD. 8vo.
- HOOKER (Sir Joseph D.).-THE STUDENT'S FLORA OF THE BRITISH ISLANDS. Edit. Globe 8vo. 105. 6d. — A PRIMER OF BOTANY. 18mo. 15. 3rd
- LASLETT (Thomas) .- TIMBER AND TIMBER TREES, NATIVE AND FOREIGN. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- LUBBOCK (Sir John, Bart.).-ON BRITISH WILD FLOWERS CONSIDERED IN RELATION TO INSECTS. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. - FLOWERS, FRUITS, AND LEAVES. With Illustrations. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MÜLLER-THOMPSON. THE FERTILI-SATION OF FLOWERS. By Prof. H. MÜLLER. Transl. by D'ARCV W. THOMPSON. Preface by CHARLES DARWIN, F.R.S. 8vo. 215.
- OLIVER (Prof. Daniel) .- LESSONS IN ELE-MENTARY BOTANY. Illustr. Fcp. 8vo. 4s.6d. — First Book of Indian Botany. Illustrated. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- ORCHIDS: BEING THE REPORT ON THE ORCHID CONFERENCE HELD AT SOUTH KEN-SINGTON, 1885. 8vo. 2s. net.
- PETTIGREW (J. Bell).—The Physiology of the Circulation in Plants, in the Lower Animals, and in Man. 8vo. 125.
- SMITH (J.).-ECONOMIC PLANTS, DICTION-ARY OF POPULAR NAMES OF; THEIR HIS-TORY, PRODUCTS, AND USES. 8vo. 14s.
- SMITH (W. G.) .- DISEASES OF FIELD AND GARDEN CROPS, CHIEFLY SUCH AS ARE CAUSED BY FUNGI. Illust. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- STEWART (S. A.) and CORRY (T. H.) .--A FLORA OF THE NORTH-EAST OF IRELAND. Cr. 8vo. 5s. 6d.
- WARD (Prot. H. M.) .- TIMBER AND SOME OF ITS DISEASES. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- YONGE (C. M.) .- THE HERB OF THE FIELD. New Edition, revised. Cr. 8vo. 5s.

BREWING AND WINE.

- PASTEUR-FAULKNER. STUDIES ON FERMENTATION: THE DISEASES OF BEER, THEIR CAUSES, AND THE MEANS OF PRE-VENTING THEM. By L. PASTEUR. Translated by FRANK FAULKNER. 8vo. 215.
- THUDICHUM (J. L. W.) and (DUPRÉ (A.). -TREATISE ON THE ORIGIN, NATURE, AND VARIETIES OF WINE. Med. 8vo. 255.

CHEMISTRY.

(See also METALLURGY.)

- BRODIE (Sir Benjamin) .- IDEAL CHEMISTRY. Cr. 8vo. 25.
- COHEN (J. B.). THE OWENS COLLEGE COURSE OF PRACTICAL ORGANIC CHEMIS-TRY. Fcp. 8vo. 25. 6d.
- COOKE (Prof. J. P., jun.).—PRINCIPLES OF CHEMICAL PHILOSOPHY. New Edition. 8vo. 16s.
- FLEISCHER (Emil).—A SYSTEM OF VOLU-METRIC ANALYSIS. Transl. with Additions, by M. M. P. MUIR, F.R.S.E. Cr.8vo. 7s.6d.
- FRANKLAND (Prof. P. F.). (See AGRI-CULTURE.)
- GLADSTONE (J. H.) and TRIBE (A.) .-THE CHEMISTRY OF THE SECONDARY BAT-TERIES OF PLANTÉ AND FAURE. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.
- HARTLEY (Prof. W. N.) .- A COURSE OF QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS FOR STUDENTS. Globe 8vo. 5s.
- HEMPEL (Dr. W.). METHODS OF GAS ANALYSIS. Translated by L. M. DENNIS. Cr. 8vo. [In the Press.
- HOFMANN (Prof. A. W.).-THE LIFE WORK OF LIEBIG IN EXPERIMENTAL AND PHILO-SOPHIC CHEMISTRY. 8vo. 55.
- JONES (Francis) .- THE OWENS COLLEGE JUNIOR COURSE OF PRACTICAL CHEMISTRY. Illustrated. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- QUESTIONS ON CHEMISTRY. Fcp.8vo. 3s.
- LANDAUER (J.). BLOWPIPE ANALYSIS. Translated by J. TAYLOR and W. E. KAY.
- LOCKYER (J. Norman, F.R.S.). THE CHEMISTRY OF THE SUN. Illustr. 8vo. 14s.
- LUPTON (S.). CHEMICAL ARITHMETIC. With 1200 Problems. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MANSFIELD (C. B.) .- A THEORY OF SALTS. Cr. 8vo. 145.
- MELDOLA (Prof. R.).—THE CHEMISTRY OF PHOTOGRAPHY. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- MEVER (E. von) .- HISTORY OF CHEMISTRY FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE PRE-SENT DAY. Translated by G. McGowan, Ph.D. 8vo. 14s. net.
- MIXTER (Prof. W. G.) .- AN ELEMENTARY TEXT-BOOK OF CHEMISTRY. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- MUIR (M. M. P.) .- PRACTICAL CHEMISTRY FOR MEDICAL STUDENTS (First M. B. Course). Fcp. 8vo. 15. 6d.
- MUIR (M. M. P.) and WILSON (D. M.) .-ELEMENTS OF THERMAL CHEMISTRY. 125.6d.
- OSTWALD (Prof.).—OUTLINES OF GENERAL CHEMISTRY, Trans. Dr. J. WALKER, 105. net.
- RAMSAY (Prof. William).-EXPERIMENTAL PROOFS OF CHEMICAL THEORY FOR BEGIN-NERS. 18mo. 25.6d.

- REMSEN (Prof. Ira).—The Elements of Chemistry, Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d. An Introduction to the Study of
- CHEMISTRY (INORGANIC CHEMISTRY). Cr 8vo. 6s. 6d. — A TEXT-BOOK OF INORGANIC CHEMIS-
- TRY. 8vo. 16s. COMPOUNDS OF CARBON; or, An Intro-duction to the Study of Organic Chemistry. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- ROSCOE (Sir Henry E., F.R.S.).-A PRIMER OF CHEMISTRY. Illustrated. 18mo. 18. LESSONS IN ELEMENTARY CHEMISTRY
- INORGANIC AND ORGANIC. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- ROSCOE (Sir H. E.) and SCHORLEMMER (Prof. C.) .- A COMPLETE TREATISE ON IN-ORGANIC AND ORGANIC CHEMISTRY. Illustr. 8vo.—Vols. I. and II. INORGANIC CHEMIS-TRV: Vol. I. THE NON-METALLIC ELE-MENTS, 2nd Edit., 215. Vol. II. Parts I. and II. METALS, 182, each.—Vol. III. OR-GANIC CHEMISTRY : THE CHEMISTRY OF THE Hydro-Carbons and their Derivatives. Parts I. II. and IV. 215.; Parts III. and V. 18s. each. Part VI.
- ROSCOE (Sir H. E.) and SCHUSTER (A.). -SPECTRUM ANALYSIS. By Sir HENRY E. ROSCOE. 4th Edit., revised by the Author and A. Schuster, F.R.S. With Coloured Plates. 8vo. 215.
- THORPE (Prof. T. E.) and TATE (W.).-A SERIES OF CHEMICAL PROBLEMS. With KEY. Fcp. 8vo. 25.
- THORPE (Prof. T. E.) and RÜCKER (Prof. A. W.).—A TREATISE ON CHEMICAL PHYsics. Illustrated. 8vo. [In preparation.
- WURTZ (Ad.).-A HISTORY OF CHEMICAL THEORY, Transl. by H. WATTS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

CHRISTIAN CHURCH, History of the. (See under THEOLOGY, p. 31.)

CHURCH OF ENGLAND, The.

(See under THEOLOGY, p. 32.)

COLLECTED WORKS.

(See under LITERATURE, p. 19.)

COMPARATIVE ANATOMY.

(See under ZOOLOGY, p. 39.)

COOKERY.

(See under DOMESTIC ECONOMY, p. 8.)

DEVOTIONAL BOOKS.

(See under THEOLOGY, p. 32.)

DICTIONARIES AND GLOSSARIES.

- AUTENRIETH (Dr. G.).-AN HOMERIC DICTIONARY. Translated from the German, by R. P. KEEP, Ph.D. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- BARTLETT (J.).-FAMILIAR QUOTATIONS.
- A SHAKESPEARE GLOSSARY. Cr. 8vo. 125. 6d.
- GROVE (Sir George) .- A DICTIONARY OF MUSIC AND MUSICIANS. (See MUSIC.)
- HOLE (Rev. C.).—A BRIEF BIOGRAPHICAL DICTIONARY. 2nd Edit. 18mo. 4s. 6d.
- MASSON (Gustave).—A Compendious Dic-TIONARY OF THE FRENCH LANGUAGE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- PALGRAVE (R. H. I.) .- A DICTIONARY OF ECONOMY. (See POLITICAL POLITICAL ECONOMY.)

DICTIONARIES—continued.

- WHITNEY (Prof. W. D.) .- A COMPENDIOUS GERMAN AND ENGLISH DICTIONARY. Cr. 8vo. 5s.—German-English Part separately. 3s. 6d.
- WRIGHT (W. Aldis) .- THE BIBLE WORD-BOOK. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- YONGE (Charlotte M.).—HISTORY OF CHRIS-TIAN NAMES. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

DOMESTIC ECONOMY.

Cookery-Nursing-Needlework.

Cookery.

- BARKER (Lady).—FIRST LESSONS IN THE PRINCIPLES OF COOKING. 3rd Ed. 18mo. 1s.
- FREDERICK (Mrs.).—HINTS TO HOUSE-WIVES ON SEVERAL POINTS, PARTICULARLY ON THE PREPARATION OF ECONOMICAL AND TASTEFUL DISHES. Cr. 8vo. IS.
- MIDDLE-CLASS COOKERY BOOK, THE. Compiled for the Manchester School of Cookery. Fcp. 8vo. 15.6d.
- TEGETMEIER (W. B.) .- HOUSEHOLD MAN-AGEMENT AND COOKERY, 18mo. 15.
- WRIGHT (Miss Guthrie). THE SCHOOL COOKERY-BOOK. 18mo. 15.

Nursing.

- CRAVEN (Mrs. Dacre) .- A GUIDE TO DIS-TRICT NURSES. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- FOTHERGILL (Dr. J. M.) .- FOOD FOR THE INVALID, THE CONVALESCENT, THE DYSPEP-TIC, AND THE GOUTY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- JEX-BLAKE (Dr. Sophia) .- THE CARE OF INFANTS: A Manual for Mothers and Nurses. 18mo. 15.
- RATHBONE (Wm.) .- THE HISTORY AND PROGRESS OF DISTRICT NURSING, FROM ITS COMMENCEMENT IN THE YEAR 1859 TO THE PRESENT DATE. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.
- RECOLLECTIONS OF A NURSE. Bv E. D. Cr. 8vo. 25.
- STEPHEN (Caroline E.) .- THE SERVICE OF THE POOR. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.

Needlework.

- GLAISTER (Elizabeth) .- NEEDLEWORK. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.
- GRAND'HOMME. CUTTING OUT AND DRESSMAKING. From the French of Mdlle. E. GRAND'HOMME, 18mo. 15.

DRAMA, The.

(See under LITERATURE, p. 14.)

ELECTRICITY.

(See under Physics, p. 26.)

EDUCATION.

- ARNOLD (Matthew) .- HIGHER SCHOOLS AND UNIVERSITIES IN GERMANY. Cr. 8vo. 6s. — REPORTS ON ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS,
- 1852-82. Ed. by Lord SANDFORD. 8vo. 3s.6d. BLAKISTON (J. R.).-THE TEACHER: HINTS
- ON SCHOOL MANAGEMENT. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- CALDERWOOD (Prof. H.) .- ON TEACH-ING. 4th Edit. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- COMBE (George) .- EDUCATION : ITS PRIN-CIPLES AND PRACTICE AS DEVELOPED BY GEORGE COMBE. Ed. by W. JOLLY. 8vo. 153.
- CRAIK (Henry) .- THE STATE IN ITS RELA-TION TO EDUCATION. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- FEARON (D. R.) .- SCHOOL INSPECTION 6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- FITCH (J. G.). NOTES ON AMERICAN SCHOOLS AND TRAINING COLLEGES. Reprinted by permission. Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- GLADSTONE (J. H.) .- SPELLING REFORM FROM AN EDUCATIONAL POINT OF VIEW. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 15.6d.
- HERTEL (Dr.) .- OVERPRESSURE IN HIGH SCHOOLS IN DENMARK. With Introduction by Sir J. CRICHTON-BROWNE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- KINGSLEY (Charles).—HEALTH AND EDU-CATION. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- LUBBOCK (Sir John, Bart.) .- POLITICAL AND EDUCATIONAL ADDRESSES. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- MAURICE (F. D.) .- LEARNING AND WORK-
- ING. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. RECORD OF TECHNICAL AND SE-CONDARY EDUCATION. No. I. Cr. 8vo. Sewed, 2s. net.
- THRING (Rev. Edward).—EDUCATION AND SCHOOL. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- TODHUNTER (Isaac) .- THE CONFLICT OF STUDIES. 8vo. 105.6d.

ENGINEERING.

- ALEXANDER (T.) and THOMSON (A.W.) -ELEMENTARY APPLIED MECHANICS. Part II. TRANSVERSE STRESS. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- CHALMERS (J. B.).—GRAPHICAL DETER-MINATION OF FORCES IN ENGINEERING MINATION OF FORCES IN ENGINE STRUCTURES. Illustrated. 8vo. 24s.
- COTTERILL (Prof. J. H.).—APPLIED ME-CHANICS: An Elementary General Introduc-tion to the Theory of Structures and Ma-chines, 2nd Edit. 8vo. 18s.
- COTTERILL (Prof. J. H.) and SLADE (J. H.).-LESSONS IN APPLIED MECHANICS.
- KENNEDY (Prof. A. B. W.).—THE ME-CHANICS OF MACHINERY. Cr. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- PEABODY (Prof. C. H.) .- THERMODYNAMICS OF THE STEAM ENGINE AND OTHER HEAT-ENGINES. 8vo. 215.
- SHANN (G.) .- AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON HEAT IN RELATION TO STEAM AND THE STEAM-ENGINE. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- WHITHAM (Prof. J. M.).—STEAM-ENGINE DESIGN. For the use of Mechanical En-gineers, Students, and Draughtsmen. Illus-trated. 8vo. 255.
- WOODWARD (C. M.) .- A HISTORY OF THE ST. LOUIS BRIDGE. 4to. 21. 2s. net.
- YOUNG (E. W.) .- SIMPLE PRACTICAL ME-THODS OF CALCULATING STRAINS ON GIR-DERS, ARCHES, AND TRUSSES. 8vo. 7s. 6d. ENGLISH CITIZEN SERIES.

(See POLITICS.)

ENGLISH MEN OF ACTION. (See BIOGRAPHY.)

ENGLISH MEN OF LETTERS. (See BIOGRAPHY.)

ENGLISH STATESMEN, Twelve. (See BIOGRAPHY.)

ENGRAVING. (See ART.)

- ESSAYS. (See under LITERATURE, p. 19.) ETCHING. (See ART.)
- ETHICS. (See under PHILOSOPHY, p. 25.)

FATHERS, The.

See under THEOLOGY, p. 32.)

FICTION, Prose. (See under Literature, p. 17.)

GARDENING.

(See also AGRICULTURE; BOTANY.)

- BLOMFIELD (R.) and THOMAS (F. I.).— THE FORMAL GARDEN IN ENGLAND. Illustrated. Ex cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.
- BRIGHT (H. A.).—THE ENGLISH FLOWER GARDEN. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- A YEAR IN A LANCASHIRE GARDEN. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- HOBDAY (E.). VILLA GARDENING. A Handbook for Amateur and Practical Gardeners. Ext. cr. 8vo. 6s.
- HOPE (Frances J.).—Notes and Thoughts on Gardens and Woodlands. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

GEOGRAPHY.

(See also ATLASES.)

- BLANFORD (H. F.).—ELEMENTARY GEO-GRAPHY OF INDIA, BURMA, AND CEVLON. Globe 8vo. 25.6d.
- CLARKE (C. B.).—A GEOGRAPHICAL READER AND COMPANION TO THE ATLAS. Cr. 8vo. 2s. A CLASS-BOOK OF GEOGRAPHV. With 18 Coloured Maps. Fcp. 8vo. 3s.; swd., 2s. 6d.
- DAWSON (G. M.) and SUTHERLAND (A.). -Geography of the British Colonies.
- ELDERTON (W. A.).—MAPS AND MAP DRAWING. Pott 8vo. 18.
- GEIKIE (Sir Archibald).—THE TEACHING OF GEOGRAPHY, A Practical Handbook for the use of Teachers. Globe 8vo. 2s. — GEOGRAPHY OF THE BRITISH ISLES.
- GEOGRAPHY OF THE BRITISH ISLES. 18mo. 1s.
- GREEN (J. R. and A. S.).—A SHORT GEOGRA-PHY OF THE BRITISH ISLANDS. Fcp.8vo. 3s.6d.
- GROVE (Sir George).—A PRIMER OF GEO-GRAPHY. Maps. 18mo. 1s.
- KIEPERT (H.). MANUAL OF ANCIENT Geography. Cr. 8vo. 55.
- MILL (H. R.).-ELEMENTARY CLASS-BOOK OF GENERAL GEOGRAPHY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- SIME (James).—GEOGRAPHY OF EUROPE. With Illustrations. Globe 8vo. 3s.
- STRACHEY (Lieut.-Gen. R.).—LECTURES ON GEOGRAPHY. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- TOZER (H. F.).—A PRIMER OF CLASSICAL GEOGRAPHY. 18mo. 15.

GEOLOGY AND MINERALOGY.

- BLANFORD (W. T.). GEOLOGY AND ZOOLOGY OF ABYSSINIA. 8vo. 215.
- COAL: ITS HISTORY AND ITS USES. By Profs. GREEN, MIALL, THORPE, RÜCKER, and MARSHALL. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- DAWSON (Sir J. W.).—THE GEOLOGY OF NOVA SCOTIA, NEW BRUNSWICK, AND PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND; or, Acadian Geology. 4th Edit. 8vo. 21s.
- GEIKIE (Sir Archibald).—A PRIMER OF GEO-LOGY. Illustrated. 18mo. 1s.
- CLASS-BOOK OF GEOLOGY. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- OUTLINES OF FIELD GEOLOGY. With numerous Illustrations. Gl. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- GEIKIE (Sir A.).—GEOLOGICAL SKETCHES AT HOME AND ABROAD. With Illustrations. 8vo. 105.6d.
- TEXT-BOOK OF GEOLOGY. Illustrated.
 2nd Edit. 7th Thousand. Med. 8vo. 28s.
 THE SCENERY OF SCOTLAND. Viewed in connection with its Physical Geology. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- HULL (E.).—A TREATISE ON ORNAMENTAL AND BUILDING STONES OF GREAT BRITAIN AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES. 8vo. 125.
- PENNINGTON (Rooke).—Notes on the Barrows and Bone Caves of Derbyshire. 8vo. 6s.
- RENDU-WILLS.-THE THEORY OF THE GLACIERS OF SAVOY. By M. LE CHANOINE RENDU. Trans. by A.WILLS, Q.C. 8vo. 7s.6d.
- ROSENBUSCH—IDDINGS.—Microscopical Physiography of the Rock-Making Minerals. By Prof. H. Rosenbusch. Transl. by J. P. Iddings. Illustr. 8vo. 24s.
- WILLIAMS (G. H.).—ELEMENTS OF CRY-STALLOGRAPHY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
 - **GLOSSARIES.** (See DICTIONARIES.)
 - GRAMMAR. (See PHILOLOGY.)
 - HEALTH. (See Hygiene.)

HEAT. (See under Physics, p. 27.)

HISTOLOGY. (See Physiology.)

HISTORY.

(See also BIOGRAPHY.)

- ANNALS OF OUR TIME. A Diurnal of Events, Social and Political, Home and Foreign. By Joserh Ikvince. 8vo.—Vol. I. June 20th, 1837, to Feb. 28th, 1871, 188. ; Vol. II. Feb. 24th, 1871, to June 24th, 1887, 188. Also Vol. II. in 3 parts: Part I. Feb. 24th, 1871, to March 19th, 1874, 4s. 6d. ; Part II. March 20th, 1874, to July 22nd, 1878, 4s. 6d. ; Part III. July 23rd, 1878, to June 24th, 1887, 9s. Vol. III. Part I. June 25th, 1887, to Dec. 30th, 1890. By H. H. FVFE. 3s. 6d.
- ARNOLD (T.).—THE SECOND PUNIC WAR. By THOMAS ARNOLD, D.D. Ed. by W. T. ARNOLD, M.A. With 8 Maps. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- ARNOLD (W. T.).-A HISTORY OF THE EARLY ROMAN EMPIRE. Cr. 8vo. [In prep.
- BEESLY (Mrs.) .- STORIES FROM THE HIS-TORY OF ROME. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- BLACKIE (Prof. John Stuart).—WHAT DOES HISTORY TEACH? Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- BRYCE (James, M.P.).—THE HOLY ROMAN EMPIRE. 8th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.— Library Edition. 8vo. 14s.
- BUCKLEY (Arabella).—HISTORY OF ENG-LAND FOR BEGINNERS. Globe 8vo. 3s.
- BURKE (Edmund). (See POLITICS.)
- BURY (J. B.).—A HISTORY OF THE LATER ROMAN EMPIRE FROM ARCADIUS TO IRENE, A.D. 390—800. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.
- CASSEL (Dr. D.).—MANUAL OF JEWISH HISTORY AND LITERATURE. Translated by Mrs. HENRY LUCAS. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- COX (G. V.).-RECOLLECTIONS OF OXFORD. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- ENGLISH STATESMEN, TWELVE. (See Biography.)

HISTORY—continued.

- FISKE (John) .- THE CRITICAL PERIOD IN AMERICAN HISTORY, 1783-89. Ext. cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
 - THE BEGINNINGS OF NEW ENGLAND; or, The Puritan Theocracy in its Relations to Civil and Religious Liberty. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 18s.
- FRAMJI (Dosabhai). HISTORY OF THE PARSIS, INCLUDING THEIR MANNERS, CUS-TOMS, Religion, AND PRESENT POSITION. With Illustrations. 2 vols. Med. 8vo. 36s.
- TREEMAN (Prof. E. A.) .- HISTORY OF THE CATHEDRAL CHURCH OF WELLS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- OLD ENGLISH HISTORY. With 3 Coloured
- Maps. 9th Edit., revised. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s. HISTORICAL ESSAYS. First Series. 4th Edit. 8vo. 105.6d.
- Second Series. Additional Essays. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- Third Series. 8vo. 125.
- THE GROWTH OF THE ENGLISH CONSTI-TUTION FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES. 5th
- Edit. Cr. 8vo. 5s. Comparative Politics. Lectures at the Royal Institution. To which is added "The Unity of History." 8vo. 14s.
- SUBJECT AND NEIGHBOUR LANDS OF VENICE. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d. ENGLISH TOWNS AND DISTRICTS.
- A Series of Addresses and Essays. 8vo. 14s.
- THE OFFICE OF THE HISTORICAL PRO-FESSOR. Cr. 8vo. 25.
- MENT; WHAT ARE THEY? Cr. 8vo. 25. - DISESTABLISHMENT
- --- GREATER GREECE AND GREATER BRI-TAIN: GEORGE WASHINGTON THE EX-PANDER OF ENGLAND. With an Appendix on Imperial Federation. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. — The Methods of Historical Study.
- Eight Lectures at Oxford. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- THE CHIEF PERIODS OF EUROPEAN HIS-TORY. With Essay on "Greek Cities under Roman Rule." 8vo. 105.6d.
- FOUR OXFORD LECTURES, 1887; FIFTY YEARS OF EUROPEAN HISTORY; TEUTONIC CONQUEST IN GAUL AND BRITAIN. 8vo. 5s. - ESSAYS. I. Historical, II. Miscellaneous.
- FRIEDMANN (Paul). (See BIOGRAPHY.)
- GIBBINS (H. de B.) .- HISTORY OF COM-MERCE IN EUROPE. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- GREEN (John Richard) .- A SHORT HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH PEOPLE. New Edit., revised. 159th Thousand. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d .-Also in Parts, with Analysis. 3s. each .- Part I. 607-1265; II. 1204-1553; III. 1540-1689; IV. 1660-1873. - Illustrated Edition, in Parts. Super roy. 8vo. 1s. each net .- Part I. Oct. 1891.
- ----- HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH PEOPLE. In 4 vols. 8vo. 16s. each.
- THE MAKING OF ENGLAND. 8vo. 16s.
- THE CONQUEST OF ENGLAND. With Maps and Portrait. 8vo. 18s.
- READINGS IN ENGLISH HISTORY. In 3 Parts. Fcp. 8vo. 1s. 6d. each.
- «GREEN (Alice S.) .- THE ENGLISH TOWN IN THE 15TH CENTURY. 2 vols. 8vo.

- GUEST (Dr. E.) .- ORIGINES CELTICE, Maps. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.
- GUEST (M. J.) -LECTURES ON THE HISTORY OF ENGLAND. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- HISTORY PRIMERS. Edited by JOHN RICHARD GREEN. 18mo. 1s. each. EUROPE. By E. A. FREEMAN, M.A. GREECE. By C. A. FYFFE, M.A. ROME. By Bishop CREIGHTON. FRANCE. By CHARLOTTE M. YONGE.
- HISTORICAL COURSE FOR SCHOOLS. Ed. by EDW. A. FREEMAN, D.C.L. 18mo. GENERAL SKETCH OF EUROPEAN HISTORY.
 - By E. A. FREEMAN. Maps. 3s. 6d.
 - HISTORY OF ENGLAND. By EDITH THOMP-SON. Coloured Maps. 2s. 6d.
 - HISTORY OF SCOTLAND. By MARGARET MACARTHUR. 25.
 - HISTORY OF ITALY. By the Rev. W. HUNT, M.A. With Coloured Maps. 3s. 6d.
 - HISTORY OF GERMANY. By JAMES SIME, M.A. 3s.
 - HISTORY OF AMERICA. By J. A. DOYLE. With Maps. 4s. 6d.
 - HISTORY OF EUROPEAN COLONIES. By E. J. PAYNE, M.A. Maps. 4s. 6d.
 - HISTORY OF FRANCE. BY CHARLOTTE M. YONGE. Maps. 3s. 6d.
- HOLE (Rev. C.) .- GENEALOGICAL STEMMA OF THE KINGS OF ENGLAND AND FRANCE. On a Sheet. 15.
- INGRAM (T. Dunbar) .- A HISTORY OF THE LEGISLATIVE UNION OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- Two CHAPTERS OF IRISH HISTORY: I. The Irish Parliament of James II.; 2. The Alleged Violation of the Treaty of Limerick. 8vo. 6s.
- JEBB (Prof. R. C.).-MODERN GREECE, Two Lectures. Crown 8vo. 5s.
- JENNINGS (A. C.) .- CHRONOLOGICAL TA-BLES OF ANCIENT HISTORY. 8vo. 55.
- KEARY (Annie) .- THE NATIONS AROUND. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- KINGSLEY (Charles) .- THE ROMAN AND THE TEUTON. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- HISTORICAL LECTURES AND ESSAYS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- LABBERTON (R. H.). (See ATLASES.)
- LEGGE (Alfred O.) .- THE GROWTH OF THE TEMPORAL POWER OF THE PAPACY. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- LETHBRIDGE (Sir Roper) .- A SHORT MAN-UAL OF THE HISTORY OF INDIA. Cr. 8vo. 55.
- THE WORLD'S HISTORY. Cr. 8vo, swd. 1s.
- EASY INTRODUCTION TO THE HISTORY OF INDIA. Cr. 8vo, sewed. 1s. 6d.
- HISTORY OF ENGLAND. Cr. 8vo, swd. 15.6d.
- EASY INTRODUCTION TO THE HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY OF BENGAL. Cr.8vo. 1s.6d.
- LYTE (H. C. Maxwell) .- A HISTORY OF ETON COLLEGE, 1440-1884. Illustrated. 8vo. 215.
- A HISTORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE YEAR 1530. 8vo. 16s.

- MAHAFFY (Prof. J. P.). Social Life IN GREECE, FROM HOMER TO MENANDER. .6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 9s. GREEK LIFE AND THOUGHT, FROM THE
 - AGE OF ALEXANDER TO THE ROMAN CON-QUEST. Cr. 8vo. 12s. 6d. THE GREEK WORLD
- UNDER ROMAN SWAY, FROM POLYBIUS TO PLUTARCH. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- MARRIOTT (J. A. R.). (See Select Bio-GRAPHY, p. 5.)
- MICHELET (M.).—A SUMMARY OF MODERN HISTORY. Translated by M. C. M. SIMP-SON. Globe 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MULLINGER (J. B.) .- CAMBRIDGE CHARAC-TERISTICS IN THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- NORGATE (Kate) .- ENGLAND UNDER THE ANGEVIN KINGS. In 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.
- OLIPHANT (Mrs. M. O. W.) .- THE MAKERS OF FLORENCE: DANTE, GIOTTO, SAVONA-ROLA, AND THEIR CITY. Illustr. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.—Edition de Luxe. 8vo. 215. net.
- THE MAKERS OF VENICE : DOGES, CON-QUERORS, PAINTERS, AND MEN OF LETTERS. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d. ROYAL EDINBURGH: HER SAINTS,
- KINGS, PROPHETS, AND POETS. Illustrated
 by G. REID, R.S.A. Cr. 8vo. 105. 6d.
 JERUSALEM. Illustrated. 8vo. 215.
- OTTÉ (E. C.).-SCANDINAVIAN HISTORY. With Maps. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- PALGRAVE (Sir Francis). HISTORY OF NORMANDY AND OF ENGLAND. 4 vols. 8vo. 41.4s.
- PARKMAN (Francis). MONTCALM AND WOLFE. Library Edition. Illustrated with Portraits and Maps. 2 vols. 8vo. 128.6d. each. - THE COLLECTED WORKS OF FRANCIS PARKMAN. Popular Edition. In 10 vols. PARKMAN. Popular Edition. In 10 vols. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. each. or complete, 37.13s.6d. —Pioneers of France in the New World, 1 vol.; The JESUITS IN NORTH AMERICA, 1 vol.; The JESUITS IN NORTH AMERICA, 1 vol.; The JASUITS IN NORTH AMERICA, 1 vol.; The JOLD Régime in CANADA UNDER LOUIS XIV., 1 vol.; COUNT FROM-TENAC AND NEW FRANCE UNDER LOUIS XIV., 1 vol.; MONTCALM AND WOLFE, 2 vols.; The CONSPIRACY OF PONTIAC, 2 vols.
- **POOLE** (Reginald Lane).—A HISTORY OF THE HUGUENOTS OF THE DISPERSION AT THE RECALL OF THE EDICT OF NANTES. 6s. Cr. 8vo.
- ROGERS (Prof. J. E. Thorold).—HISTORICAL GLEANINGS. Cr. 8vo.—1st Series. 4s. 6d.— 2nd Series. 6s.
- SAYCE (Prof. A. H.) .- THE ANCIENT EM-PIRES OF THE EAST. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SEELEY (Prof. J. R.). LECTURES AND ESSAYS. 8vo. 105.6d. THE EXPANSION OF ENGLAND. Two
- Courses of Lectures. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. OUR COLONIAL EXPANSION. Extracts
- from the above. Cr. 8vo. IS.
- SEWELL (E. M.) and YONGE (C. M.).-EUROPEAN HISTORY, NARRATED IN A SERIES OF HISTORICAL SELECTIONS FROM THE BEST AUTHORITIES. 2 vols. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s. each.

- SHUCKBURGH (E. S.) .- A SCHOOL HIS-TORY OF ROME. Cr. 8vo. [In preparation.
- STEPHEN (Sir J. Fitzjames, Bart.) .- THE STORY OF NUNCOMAR AND THE IMPEACH-MENT OF SIR ELIJAH IMPEY. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 155.
- TAIT (C. W. A.).—ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, BASED ON GREEN'S "SHORT HIS-TORY OF THE ENGLISH PEOPLE." Cr. 8vo. 45.6d.
- TOUT (T. F.) .- ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH HIS-TORY. 18mo. 15.
- TREVELYAN (Sir Geo. Otto).-CAWNPORE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WHEELER (J. Talboys) .- PRIMER OF IN-DIAN HISTORY, ASIATIC AND EUROPEAN. 18mo. 15.
- COLLEGE HISTORY OF INDIA, ASIATIC AND EUROPEAN. Cr. 8vo. 3s.; swd. 2s. 6d. — A Short History of India. With Maps.
- Cr. 8vo. 125.
- INDIA UNDER BRITISH RULE. 8vo. 125.6d.
- WOOD (Rev. E. G.).-THE REGAL POWER OF THE CHURCH. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- YONGE (Charlotte).—CAMEOS FROM ENGLISH HISTORY. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 5s. each .- Vol. I. FROM ROLLO TO EDWARD II.; Vol. 2. THE WARS IN FRANCE; Vol. 3. THE WARS OF THE ROSES; Vol. 4. REFORMATION TIMES; Vol. 5. ENGLAND AND SPAIN ; Vol. 6. FORTY YEARS OF STEWART RULE (1603-43); Vol. 7. THE REBELLION AND RESTORATION (1642-1678).
- THE VICTORIAN HALF-CENTURY. Cr. 8vo. 1s. 6d.; sewed, 1s.
- THE STORY OF THE CHRISTIANS AND MOORS IN SPAIN. 18mo. 4s. 6d.

HORTICULTURE. (See GARDENING.)

HYGIENE.

- BERNERS (I.)-FIRST LESSONS ON HEALTH. 18mo. 15.
- BLYTH (A. Wynter).-- A MANUAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH. 8vo. 17s. net.
- BROWNE (J. H. Balfour).-WATER SUPPLY. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.
- CORFIELD (Dr. W. H.).—THE TREATMENT AND UTILISATION OF SEWAGE. 3rd Edit. Revised by the Author, and by Louis C. PARKES, M.D. 8vo. 165.
- GOODFELLOW(J.).-THE DIETETIC VALUE OF BREAD. Cr. 8vo.
- KINGSLEY (Charles) .- SANITARY AND SO-CIAL LECTURES. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. — HEALTH AND EDUCATION. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

- REYNOLDS (Prof. Osborne) .- Sewer Gas, AND HOW TO KEEP IT OUT OF HOUSES. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 15.6d.
- RICHARDSON (Dr. B. W.).-HYGEIA: A CITY OF HEALTH. Cr. 8vo. 1s. — THE FUTURE OF SANITARY SCIENCE.

Cr. 8vo. 15.

- ON ALCOHOL. Cr. 8vo. 15.

HYMNOLOGY. (See under THEOLOGY, p. 33.)

ILLUSTRATED BOOKS.

BALCH (Elizabeth). - GLIMPSES OF OLD ENGLISH HOMES. Gl. 4to. 14s.

BLAKE. (See BIOGRAPHY.)

- BOUGHTON (G. H.) and ABBEY (E. A.). (See VOYAGES AND TRAVELS.)
- CHRISTMAS CAROL (A). Printed in Colours, with Illuminated Borders. 4to. 215.
- DAYS WITH SIR ROGER DE COVER-LEY. From the Spectator. Illustrated by HUGH THOMSON. Fcp. 4to. 6s.
- DELL (E. C.).—PICTURES FROM SHELLEY. Engraved by J. D. COOPER. Folio. 215. net.
- ENGLISH ILLUSTRATED MAGAZINE, THE. (See PERIODICALS.)
- Proof Impressions of Engravings originally published in The English Illustrated Magazine. 1884. In Portfolio 4to. 215.
- GASKELL (Mrs.).—CRANFORD. Illustrated by Hugh Thomson. Cr. 8vo. 6s.—Also with uncut edges paper label. 6s. Edition de Luxe. 305. net.
- GOLDSMITH (Oliver). THE VICAR OF WAREFIELD. New Edition, with 182 Illus-trations by Hugh Thomson. Preface by AUSTIN DOBSON. Cr. 8vo. 6s.—Also with Uncut Edges, paper label. 6s.
- GREEN (John Richard). ILLUSTRATED Edition of the Short History of the ENGLISH PEOPLE. In Parts. Super roy. 8vo. 1s. each net. Part I. Oct. 1891.
- GRIMM. (See Books for the Young.)
- HALLWARD (R. F.) .- FLOWERS OF PARA-DISE. Music, Verse, Design, Illustration. 6s
- IRVING (Washington).—OLD CHRISTMAS. From the Sketch Book. Illustr. by RANDOLPH CALDECOTT. Gilt edges. Cr. 8vo. 6s .- Also with uncut edges, paper label. 6s.
- BRACEBRIDGE HALL. Illustr. by RAN-DOLPH CALDECOTT. Gilt edges. Cr. 8vo. 6s.—Also with uncut edges, paper label. 6s. — Old Christmas and Bracebridge Hall. Edition de Luxe. Roy. 8vo. 21s.
- KINGSLEY (Charles).—THE WATER BABIES. (See Books for the Young.)
- THE HEROES. (See BOOKS for the YOUNG.) GLAUCUS. (See NATURAL HISTORY.)
- SONG OF THE RIVER. 315.6d.
- LANG (Andrew).—THE LIBRARY. With a Chapter on Modern Illustrated Books, by AUSTIN DOBSON. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. With a
- LYTE (H. C. Maxwell). (See HISTORY.)
- MAHAFFY (Rev. Prof. J. P.) and ROGERS (J. E.). (See VOYAGES AND TRAVELS.)
- MEREDITH (L. A.).—BUSH FRIENDS IN TASMANIA. Native Flowers, Fruits, and Insects, with Prose and Verse Descriptions. Folio. 525. 6d. net.
- OLD SONGS. With Drawings by E. A. ABBEY and A. PARSONS. 4to, mor. gilt. 31s.6d.
- PROPERT (J. L.). (See ART.)
- STUART, RELICS OF THE ROYAL HOUSE OF. Illustrated by 40 Plates in Colours drawn from Relics of the Stuarts by WILLIAM GIBB. With an Introduction by JOHN SKELTON, C.B., LL.D., and Descrip-tive Notes by W. ST. JOHN HOPE. Folio, half morocco, gilt edges. 7l. 7s. net.

- TENNYSON (Hon. Hallam).-JACK AND THE BEAN-STALK. English Hexameters. Illustrated by R. CALDECOTT. Fcp.410. 3s.6d.
- TRISTRAM (W. O.).—COACHING DAYS AND COACHING WAYS. Illustrated by HER-BERT RAILTON and HUGH THOMSON. Ext. cr. 4to. 315.6d.
- TURNER'S LIBER STUDIORUM: A DESCRIPTION AND A CATALOGUE. By W. G. RAWLINSON. Med. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- WALTON and COTTON-LOWELL.-THE. COMPLETE ANGLER. With Introduction by JAS. RUSSELL LOWELL. 2 vols. Ext. cr. 8vo. 52s.6d. net .- Large Paper Edition, Proofs. on Japanese paper. 73s. 6d. net.

LANGUAGE. (See PHILOLOGY.)

LAW.

- ANGLO-SAXON LAW: ESSAYS ON. Med. 8vo. 185.
- BERNARD (M.) .- Four Lectures on Sub-JECTS CONNECTED WITH DIPLOMACY. 8vo. 9s.
- BIGELOW (M. M.).—HISTORY OF PROCE-DURE IN ENGLAND FROM THE NORMAN CONQUEST, 1066-1204. 8vo. 16s.
- BOUTMY (E.). STUDIES IN CONSTITU-TIONAL LAW, Transl. by Mrs. DICEY. Pre-
- face by Prof. A. V. DICEY. Cr. 8vo. 6s. THE ENGLISH CONSTITUTION. Transl. by Mrs. EADEN. Introduction by Sir F. POLLOCK, Bart. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CHERRY (R. R.). LECTURES ON THE: GROWTH OF CRIMINAL LAW IN ANCIENT COMMUNITIES. 8vo. 5s. net.
- DICEY (Prof. A. V.) .- LECTURES INTRODUC-TORY TO THE STUDY OF THE LAW OF THE CONSTITUTION. 3rd Edit. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- ENGLISH CITIZEN SERIES, THE. (See POLITICS.)
- HOLLAND (Prof. T. E.) .- THE TREATY RE-LATIONS OF RUSSIA AND TURKEY, FROM 1774 TO 1853. Cr. 8vo. 2s.
- HOLMES (O. W., jun.). THE COMMON LAW. 8vo. 125.
- LIGHTWOOD (J. M.).—THE NATURE OF POSITIVE LAW. 8vo. 125.6d.
- MAITLAND (F. W.).-PLEAS OF THE CROWN FOR THE COUNTY OF GLOUCESTER, A.D. 1221. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

- JUSTICE AND POLICE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- MONAHAN (James H.) .- THE METHOD OF LAW. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- PATERSON (James) .- COMMENTARIES ON THE LIBERTY OF THE SUBJECT, AND THE LAWS OF ENGLAND RELATING TO THE SE-CURITY OF THE PERSON. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 215. THE LIBERTY OF THE PRESS, SPEECH,
- AND PUBLIC WORSHIP. Cr. 8vo. 125. PHILLIMORE (John G.) .- PRIVATE LAW
- AMONG THE ROMANS. 8vo. 6s.
- POLLOCK (Sir F., Bart.).—ESSAYS IN JURIS-PRUDENCE AND ETHICS. 8vo. 10s. 6d. —— THE LAND LAWS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- RICHEY (Alex, G.) .- THE IRISH LAND LAWS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- SELBORNE (Earl of) .- JUDICIAL PROCE-DURE IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL. 8vo. 1s. net.

- STEPHEN (Sir J. Fitzjames, Bart.) .- A DI-GEST OF THE LAW OF EVIDENCE. Cr. 8vo. 6s. — A DIGEST OF THE CRIMINAL LAW:
- CRIMES AND PUNISHMENTS. 4th Ed. 8vo. 16s. - A DIGEST OF THE LAW OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE IN INDICTABLE OFFENCES. By FROCEDURE IN INDICTABLE OFFERCES. By
 Sir J. F., Bart, and HERBERT STEPHEN,
 LL.M. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
 A HISTORY OF THE CRIMINAL LAW OF
 ENCLAND. 3 vols. 8vo. 48s.
 A GENERAL VIEW OF THE CRIMINAL
- LAW OF ENGLAND. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 14s.
- STEPHEN (J. K.) .- INTERNATIONAL LAW AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WILLIAMS (S. E.) .- FORENSIC FACTS AND FALLACIES. Globe 8vo. 4s. 6d.

LETTERS. (See under LITERATURE, p. 19.)

LIFE-BOAT.

- GILMORE (Rev. John) .- STORM WARRIORS; or, Life-Boat Work on the Goodwin Sands. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- LEWIS (Richard) .- HISTORY OF THE LIFE-BOAT AND ITS WORK. Cr. 8vo. 55.

LIGHT. (See under Physics, p. 27.)

LITERATURE.

History and Criticism of-Commentaries, etc .- Poetry and the Drama-Poetical Collections and Selections-Prose Fiction-Collected Works, Essays, Lectures, Letters, Miscellaneous Works.

History and Criticism of.

(See also Essays, p. 19.)

- ARNOLD (M.). (See ESSAYS. p. 19.)
- BROOKE (Stopford A.) .- A PRIMER OF ENG-LISH LITERATURE. 18mo. Paper Edition. 8vo. 7s. 6d. 1s. — Large
- A HISTORY OF EARLY ENGLISH LITERA-TURE. 2 vols. 8vo. CLASSICAL WRITERS. Edited by JOHN
- RICHARD GREEN. Fcp. 8vo. 15. 6d. each. DEMOSTHENES. By Prof. BUTCHER, M.A. EURIPIDES. By Prof. MAHAFFY.

LIVY. By the Rev. W. W. CAPES, M.A. MILTON. By STOPFORD A. BROOKE. SOPHOCLES. BY PROF. L. CAMPEELL, M.A. TACLTUS. BY MESSIS, CHURCH and BRODRIBB. VERGIL. By Prof. NETTLESHIP, M.A.

- ENGLISH MEN OF LETTERS. (See BIOGRAPHY.)
- HISTORY OF ENGLISH LITERATURE. In 4 vols. Cr. 8vo.
 - EARLY ENGLISH LITERATURE. By STOP-FORD BROOKE, M.A. [In preparation. ELIZABETHAN LITERATURE (1560-1665). By GEORGE SAINTSBURY. 75.6d.
 - EIGHTEENTH CENTURY LITERATURE (1660 -1780). By Edmund Gosse, M.A. 7s. 6d. THE MODERN PERIOD. By Prof. Dowden. [In preparation.
- JEBB (Prof. R. C.) .- A PRIMER OF GREEK

LITERATURE. 18mo. 15. — THE ATTIC ORATORS, FROM ANTIPHON TO ISAEOS. 2 VOIS 8VO. 255.

JOHNSON'S LIVES OF THE POETS. MILTON, DRYDEN, POPE, ADDISON, SWIFT, AND GRAY. With Macaulay's "Life of Johnson." Ed. by M. ARNOLD. Cr.8vo. 4s.6d.

- KINGSLEY (Charles). LITERARY GENERAL LECTURES. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. AND
- MAHAFFY (Prof. J. P.).—A HISTORY OF CLASSICAL GREEK LITERATURE. 2 vols, Cr. 8vo.—Vol. 1. THE POETS. With an Appendix on Homer by Prof. SAYCE. In 2 Parts.-Vol. 2. THE PROSE WRITERS. Parts. 4s. 6d. each. In 2
- MORLEY (John). (See Collected Works, p. 22.)
- NICHOL (Prof. J.) and McCORMICK (Prof (W. S.) .- A SHORT HISTORY OF ENGLISH LITERATURE. Globe 8vo. [In preparation.
- OLIPHANT (Mrs. M. O. W.) .- THE LITE. RARY HISTORY OF ENGLAND IN THE END OF THE 18TH AND BEGINNING OF THE 19TH CENTURY. 3 vols. 8vo. 215.
- RYLAND (F.) .- CHRONOLOGICAL OUTLINES OF ENGLISH LITERATURE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WARD (Prof. A. W.).-- A HISTORY OF ENG-LISH DRAMATIC LITERATURE, TO THE DEATH OF QUEEN ANNE. 2 vols. 8vo. 325.
- WILKINS (Prof. A. S.) .- A PRIMER OF RO-MAN LITERATURE. 18mo. 15.

Commentaries, etc.

BROWNING.

A PRIMER OF BROWNING. By MARY WILSON. DANTE.

READINGS ON THE PURGATORIO OF DANTE Chiefly based on the Commentary of Ben-venuto da Imola. By the Hon. W. W. VERNON, M.A. With an Introduction by Dean CHURCH. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 24s.

HOMER.

- HOMERIC DICTIONARY. (See DICTIONARIES.)
- The Problem of the Homeric Poems, By Prof. W. D. Geddes, 8vo. 14s. Homeric Swichronism. An Inquiry into the Time and Place of Homer. By the Rt. Hon. W. E. GLADSTONE. Cr. 8vo. 6s. PRIMER OF HOMER. By the same. 18mo. 1s.
- LANDMARKSOF HOMERIC STUDY, TOGETHER WITH AN ESSAY ON THE POINTS OF CON-TACT BETWEEN THE ASSYRIAN TABLETS AND THE HOMERIC TEXT. By the same. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.

COMPANION TO THE ILIAD FOR ENGLISH Readers. By W. LEAF, Litt. D. [In prep.

HORACE.

STUDIES, LITERARY AND HISTORICAL, IN THE ODES OF HORACE. By A. W. VER-RALL, Litt.D. 8vo. 8s.6d.

SHAKESPEARE.

- SHAKESPEARE GLOSSARY. See DICTIONARIES. A PRIMER OF SHAKSPERE. By Prof. Dow-DEN. 1Smo. 15.
- SHAKESPEARIAN GRAMMAR. By Rev. Α
- E. A. ABBOTT. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s. A SHAKESPEARE MANUAL. By Rev. F. G. FLEAV. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- SHAKESPEAREANA GENEALOGICA. By G. R. FRENCH. 8vo. 15s.
- A SELECTION FROM THE LIVES IN NORTH'S PLUTARCH WHICH ILLUSTRATE SHAKES-PEARE'S PLAYS. Edited by Rev. W. W. SKEAT, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SHORT STUDIES OF SHAKESPEARE'S PLOTS. By Prof. CVRIL RANSOME. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. CALIBAN: A Critique on "The Tempest"
- and "A Midsummer Night's Dream. Bv Sir DANIEL WILSON. 8vo. 105.6d.

LITERATURE.

Commentaries, etc.-continued.

- TENNYSON.
 - A COMPANION TO "IN MEMORIAM." By ELIZABETH R. CHAPMAN. Globe 8vo. 2s.
- WORDSWORTH.
 - WORDSWORTHIANA: A Selection of Papers read to the Wordsworth Society. Edited by W. KNIGHT. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Poetry and the Drama.

- ALDRICH (T. Bailey).—THE SISTERS' TRA-GEDY: with other Poems, Lyrical and Dramatic. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.
- AN ANCIENT CITY: AND OTHER POEMS. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- ANDERSON (A.).—BALLADS AND SONNETS. Cr. 8vo. 55.
- ARNOLD (Matthew). - THE COMPLETE POETICAL WORKS. New Edition. 3 vols.

 - Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. each. Vol. 1. EARLY POEMS, NARRATIVE POEMS AND SONNETS.
 - Vol. 2. LVRIC AND ELEGIAC POEMS.
 - Vol. 3. DRAMATIC AND LATER POEMS.
- COMPLETE POETICAL WORKS. I vol. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- SELECTED POEMS. 18mo. 4s. 6d.
- AUSTIN (Alfred) .- POETICAL WORKS. New Collected Edition. 6 vols. Cr. 8vo. 5s. each.
 - Vol. 1. THE TOWER OF BABEL. Vol. 2. SAVONAROLA, etc.

 - Vol. 3. PRINCE LUCIFER. Vol. 4. THE HUMAN TRAGEDY. Vol. 5. LYRICAL POEMS. Vol. 6. NARRATIVE POEMS.
- ---- SOLILOQUIES IN SONG. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- AT THE GATE OF THE CONVENT: and other Poems. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- MADONNA'S CHILD. Cr. 4to. 3s. 6d.
- ROME OR DEATH. Cr. 4to. 95.
- ---- THE GOLDEN AGE. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- THE SEASON. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- Love's Widowhood: and other Poems. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- ENGLISH LYRICS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- BETSY LEE: A Fo'c's'LE YARN. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 35.6d.
- BLACKIE (John Stuart) .- MESSIS VITAE: Gleanings of Song from a Happy Life. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THE WISE MEN OF GREECE. In a Series of Dramatic Dialogues. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- GOETHE'S FAUST. Translated into Eng-lish Verse. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- BLAKE. (See BIOGRAPHY.)
- BROOKE (Stopford A.).—RIQUET OF THE TUFT: A Love Drama. Ext. cr. 8vo. 6s. POEMS. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- BROWN (T. E.) .- THE MANX WITCH : and other Poems. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- BURGON (Dean) .- POEMS. Ex. fcp. 8vo. 4s.6d.
- BURNS. THE POETICAL WORKS. With a Biographical Memoir by ALEXANDER SMITH. In 2 vols. Fcp. 8vo. 10s. (See also GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20.)

- BUTLER (Samuel) .- HUDIBRAS. Edit. by ALFRED MILNES. Fcp. 8vo.—Part I. 3s. 6d.; Parts II. and III. 4s. 6d.
- BYRON. (See Golden TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.)
- CALDERON.—Select Plays. Edited by Norman Maccoll. Cr. 8vo. 14s.
- CAUTLEY (G. S.) .- A CENTURY OF EM-BLEMS. With Illustrations by Lady MARION ALFORD. Small 4to. 105.6d.
- CLOUGH (A. H.) .- POEMS. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- COLERIDGE: POETICAL AND DRAMATIC WORKS. 4 vols. Fcp. 8vo. 315.6d.—Also-an Edition on Large Paper, 22.125.6d.
- COLQUHOUN.—RHYMES AND CHIMES. By F. S. COLQUHOUN (née F. S. FULLER MAIT-LAND). Ext. fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- COWPER. (See Globe Library, p. 20; Golden Treasury Series, p. 20.)
- CRAIK (Mrs.) .- POEMS. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- DOYLE (Sir F. H.) .- THE RETURN OF THE GUARDS: and other Poems. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- DRYDEN. (See GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20.)
- EMERSON. (See Collected Works, p. 20.)
- EVANS (Sebastian). BROTHER FABIAN'S MANUSCRIPT: and other Poems. Fcp. 8vo. 6s. — IN THE STUDIO: A Decade of Poems.
- Ext. fcp. 8vo. 5s. FITZ GERALD (Caroline) .-- VENETIA VIC-
- TRIX : and other Poems. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FITZGERALD (Edward) .- THE RUBÂIYAT OF OMAR KHÁYYÄM. Ext. cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- FO'C'SLE YARNS, including "Betsy Lee," and other Poems. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- FRASER-TYTLER. Songs in Minor Kevs. By C. C. Fraser-Tytler (Mrs. Edward Liddell). 2nd Edit. 18mo. 6s.
- FURNIVALL (F. J.).—LE MORTE ARTHUR Edited from the Harleian MSS. 2252, in the British Museum. Fcp. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- GARNETT (R.).-IDYLLS AND EPIGRAMS. Chiefly from the Greek Anthology. Fcp. 8vo. 25.6d.
- GOETHE.—FAUST. (See BLACKIE.) REYNARD THE FOX. Transl. into English Verse by A. D. AINSLIE. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- GOLDSMITH.—THE TRAVELLER AND THE DESERTED VILLAGE. With Introduction and Notes, by ARTHUR BARRETT, B.A. 15.9d.; sewed, 1s. 6d. — THE TRAVELLER (separately), sewed, 1s. 6d. — THE TRAVELLER (separately), sewed, 1s. — By J. W. HALES. Cr. 8vo. 6d. (See also GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20.)
- GRAHAM (David).—KING JAMES I. An Historical Tragedy. Globe 8vo. 7s.
- GRAY,-POEMS, With Introduction and Notes, by J. BRADSHAW, LL.D. Gl. 8vo. 15. 9d., sewed, 15. 6d. (See also Collected Works, p. 21.)
- HALLWARD. (See Illustrated Books.)
- HAYES (A.).—THE MARCH OF MAN: and other Poems. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.
- (See GOLDEN TREASURY SE-HERRICK. RIES, p. 20.)
- HOPKINS (Ellice).-AUTUMN SWALLOWS A Book of Lyrics. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.

- JONES (H. A.) .- SAINTS AND SINNERS. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- KEATS. (See GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.)
- KINGSLEY (Charles).—POEMS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.—Pocket Edition. 18mo. 1s. 6d.— Eversley Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 10s.
- LAMB. (See COLLECTED WORKS, p. 21.)
- LANDOR. (See Golden TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.)
- LONGFELLOW. (See Golden TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.)
- LOWELL (Jas. Russell) .- COMPLETE POETI-CAL WORKS. 18mo. 4s. 6d. — With Introduction by Thomas Hughes.
- Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- HEARTSEASE AND RUE. Cr. 8vo. 5s. (See also COLLECTED WORKS, p. 21.)
- LUCAS (F.) .- SKETCHES OF RURAL LIFE. Poems. Globe 8vo. 5s.
- MEREDITH (George). A READING OF
- EARTH. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 5s. Poems and Lyrics of the Joy of EARTH. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s. BALLADS AND POEMS OF TRAGIC LIFE.
- Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- MILTON .- POETICAL WORKS. Edited, with Introductions and Notes, by Prof. DAVID MASSON, M.A. 3 vols. 8vo. 2l. 2s.-[Uni-form with the Cambridge Shakespeare.]
- Edited by Prof. MASSON. 3 vols.
- Fcp. 8vo. 15s. Globe Edition. Edited by Prof. MASSON. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- PARADISE LOST, BOOKS 1 and 2. Edited by MICHAEL MACMILLAN, B.A. 18.9d.; sewed, 1s. 6d .- BOOKS 1 and 2 (separately),
- Sewed, IS: ad. = Dools T and 2 (Separately), I: 3d. each; sewed, IS. each. — L'ALLEGRO, IL PENSEROSO, LYCIDAS, ARCADES, SONNETS, ETC. Edited by WM. BELL, M.A. IS. 9d.; sewed, IS. 6d. — COMUS. By the same. IS. 3d.; swd. IS. — SAMSON AGONISTES. Edited by H. M.
- PERCIVAL, M.A. 2s.; sewed, 1s. 9d.
- MOULTON (Louise Chandler). IN THE GARDEN OF DREAMS: Lyrics and Sonnets. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- MUDIE (C. E.).—STRAY LEAVES: Poems. 4th Edit. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MYERS (E.).—THE PURITANS: A Poem. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d. Poems. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d. THE DEFENCE OF ROME: and other Poems. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 5s. THE JUCGMENT OF PROMETHEUS: and other Poems. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- MYERS (F. W. H.).-THE RENEWAL OF YOUTH: and other Poems. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. - ST. PAUL : A Poem. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 2s.6d.
- NORTON (Hon. Mrs.).—THE LADY OF LA GARAYE. 9th Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- PALGRAVE (Prof. F.T.) .- ORIGINAL HYMNS. 3rd Edit. 18mo. 1s. 6d. Lyrical Poems. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s. Visions of England. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- · PALGRAVE (W. G.).-A VISION OF LIFE: SEMBLANCE AND REALITY. Cr. 8vo. 7s. net.
- PEEL (Edmund) .- ECHOES FROM HOREB: and other Poems. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

POPE. (See GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20.)

- RAWNSLEY (H. D.) .- POEMS, BALLADS, AND BUCOLICS. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.
- ROSCOE (W. C.). POEMS. Edit. by E. M ... ROSCOR. Cr. 8vo. 7s. net.
- ROSSETTI (Christina) .--- POEMS. New Col-lected Edition. Globe 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- A PAGEANT: and other Poems. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- SCOTT .- THE LAY OF THE LAST MINSTREL, and THE LADY OF THE LAKE. Edited by Prof. F. T. PALGRAVE. 15.
- THE LAY OF THE LAST MINSTREL, BY G. H. STUART, M.A., and E. H. ELLIOT, B.A. Globe 8vo. 2s.; sewed, 1s. 9d.-Canto. I. 9d.-Cantos I.-III. and IV.-VI. 1s. 3d.
- each; sewed, 1s. each. MARMION. Edited by MICHAEL MAC--
- MILLAN, B.A. 3s.; sewed, as. 6d. MARMION, and THE LORD OF THE ISLES. By Prof. F. T. PALGRAVE. 1s.
- THE LADY OF THE LAKE. By G. H. STUART, M.A. Gl. 8vo. 2s. 6d.; swd. 2s. ROKEBY. By MICHAEL MACMILLAN,
- B.A. 3s.; sewed, 2s. 6d.
 - (See also GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20.)
- SHAIRP (John Campbell).-GLEN DESSERAY:: and other Poems, Lyrical and Elegiac. Ed. by F. T. PALGRAVE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SHAKESPEARE .- THE WORKS OF WILLIAM SHARESFEARE. Cambridge Edition. New and Revised Edition, by W. ALDIS WRIGHT, M.A. 9 vols. 8vo. 105.66 . each.—Quar-terly Vols. Vol. I. Jan. 1891.
- *Victoria Edition*. In 3 vols.—Come-DIES; HISTORIES; TRAGEDIES. Cr. 8vo. 6s. each. — THE TEMPEST. With Introduction and
- Notes, by K. DEIGHTON .. Gl. 8vo. 15. 9d. sewed, 15.6d.
- MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING. 25.; sewed, 15.9d.
- A MIDSUMMER NIGHT'S DREAM. 15. 9d. ; sewed, 15. 6d.
- THE MERCHANT OF VENICE. 15.9d.; sewed, 15. 6d. — As You Like IT. 15. 9d.; sewed, 15. 6d. — Twelfth Night. 15. 9d.; sewed, 15. 6d.
- TWELFTH NIGHT. 15.9*a*.; sewed, 1s.0*a*.
 THE WINTER'S TALE. 2s.; sewed, 1s. 9*d*.
 KING JOHN. 1s.9*d*.; sewed, 1s. 6*d*.
 RICHARD IL. 1s.9*d*.; sewed, 1s. 6*d*.
 HENRV V. 1s.0*d*.; sewed, 1s. 6*d*.
 RICHARD III. By C. H. TAWNEY, M.A.

- 2s. 6d.; sewed, 2s.
- CORIOLANUS. By K. DEIGHTON. 25.6d. ;. sewed, 2s.
- JULIUS CÆSAR. 15.9d.; sewed, 15.6d.
- --- MACBETH. 15.9d.; sewed, 15.6d. -- HAMLET. 25.; sewed, 15.9d.
- KING LEAR. 15. 9d.; sewed, 15. 6d.
- ---- OTHELLO. 25.; sewed, 15.9d. ---- ANTONYAND CLEOPATRA. 25.6d.; swd. 25. - CYMBELINE. 2s. 6d.; sewed, 2s.
 - (See also GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20; GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.)
- SHELLEY.-COMPLETE POETICAL WORKS Edited by Prof. DOWDEN. Portrait. Cr. 8vo.-75.6d. (See GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.)
- SKRINE (J. H.) .- UNDER TWO QUEENS .. Cr. 8vo. 35.

LITERATURE.

- Poetry and the Drama-continued.
- SMITH (C. Barnard).—POEMS. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.
- SMITH (Horace) .- POEMS. Globe 8vo. 5s.
- SPENSER. (See GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20.)
- STEPHENS (J. B.).—CONVICT ONCE: and other Poems. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- STRETTELL (Alma) .- SPANISH AND ITAL-IAN FOLK SONGS. Illustr. Roy.16mo. 125.6d.
- SYMONS (Arthur). DAYS AND NIGHTS. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- TENNYSON (Lord).—COMPLETE WORKS. New and Enlarged Edition, with Portrait. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.—School Edition. In Four Parts. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. each.
 - POETICAL WORKS. Pocket Edition. 18mo, morocco, gilt edges. 7s. 6d. net.
 - WORKS. *Library Edition*. In 8 vols. Globe 8vo. 5s. each. [Each volume may be had separately.]—Poems, 2 vols.—IDYLLS OF THE KING.—THE PRINCESS, and MAUD.— ENOCH ARDEN, and IN MEMORIAM.-BAL-LADS, and other Poems .- OUEEN MARY, and HAROLD.-BECKET, and other Plays.
- WORKS. Ext. fcp. 8vo. Edition, on Handmade Paper. In 7 vols. (supplied in sets only). 31. 138. 6d.—EARLY POEMS.—LUCRE-TIUS, and other Poems .- IDYLLS OF THE KING .- THE PRINCESS, and MAUD .- ENOCH ARDEN, and IN MEMORIAM.—QUEEN MARY, and HAROLD.—BALLADS, and other Poems.
- Works. Miniature Edition, in 14 vols., viz. The Poerical Works. 10 vols. in a box. 25s.—The Dramatic Works. 4 vols. in a box. 105.6d.
- The Original Editions. Fcp. 8vo.
- POEMS. 6s.
- MAUD: and other Poems. 3s. 6d.
- THE PRINCESS. 3s. 6d.
- THE HOLY GRAIL: and other Poems. 4s.6d.
- BALLADS: and other Poems. 55. HAROLD: A Drama. 6s.
- QUEEN MARY: A Drama. 6s. THE CUP, and THE FALCON. 5s.
- BECKET. 6s.
- TIRESIAS : and other Poems. 6s.
- LOCKSLEY HALL SIXTY YEARS AFTER, etc. 6s. DEMETER: and other Poems. 6s.
- ---- The Royal Edition. 1 vol. 8vo. 16s.
- THE TENNYSON BIRTHDAY BOOK. Edit. by EMILY SHAKESPEAR. 18mo. 2s. 6d.
- THE BROOK. With 20 Illustrations by A. WOODRUFF. 32mo. 2s. 6d.
- Songs from Tennyson's Writings. Square 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- SELECTIONS FROM TENNYSON. With Introduction and Notes, by F. J. ROWE, M.A., and W. T. WEBB, M.A. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ENOCH ARDEN. By W. T. WEBB, M.A. Globe 8vo. 2s.
- AYLMER'S FIELD. By W. T. WEBB, M.A. Globe 8vo. 2s.
- ----- THE COMING OF ARTHUR, and THE PASS-INGOF ARTHUR. By F. J. ROWE. Gl. 8vo. 25.
- ----- THE PRINCESS. By P. M. WALLACE, B.A. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- TENNYSON FOR THE YOUNG. By Canon AINGER. 18mo. 1s. net.-Large Paper, uncut, 3s. 6d.; gilt edges, 4s. 6d.

- TENNYSON (Frederick) .- THE ISLES OF GREECE: SAPPHO AND ALCAEUS. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- DAPHNE: and other Poems. Cr.8vo. 7s.6d.
- TENNYSON (Hon, Hallam). (See ILLUS-TRATED BOOKS.)
- TRUMAN (Jos.) .- AFTER-THOUGHTS: Poems. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- TURNER (Charles Tennyson).-COLLECTED SONNETS, OLD AND NEW. Ext.fcp.8vo. 7s.6d.
- TYRWHITT (R. St. John).—FREE FIELD. Lyrics, chiefly Descriptive. Gl. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- BATTLE AND AFTER, CONCERNING SER-GEANT THOMAS ATKINS, GRENADIER GUARDS: and other Verses. Gl. 8vo. 3s.6d.
- WARD (Samuel) .- LYRICAL RECREATIONS. Fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- WHITTIER.—Complete Poetical Works of John Greenleaf Whittier. With Portrait. 18mo. 4s. 6d. (See also Col-LECTED WORKS.)
- WILLS (W. G.).-MELCHIOR. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- WOOD (Andrew Goldie).-THE ISLES OF THE BLEST: and other Poems. Globe 8vo. 5s.
- WOOLNER (Thomas). My BEAUTIFUL LADY. 3rd Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.
- PYGMALION. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- SILENUS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WORDSWORTH. COMPLETE POETICAL WORKS. Copyright Edition. With an Intro-duction by JOHN MORLEY, and Portrait. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- THE RECLUSE. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.-Large Paper Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- (See also GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.)

Poetical Collections and Selections.

- (See also GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES, p. 20; BOOKS FOR THE YOUNG, p. 38.)
- HALES (Prof. J. W.).-LONGER ENGLISH POEMS. With Notes, Philological and Explanatory, and an Introduction on the Teach-ing of English. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MACDONALD (George) .- ENGLAND'S AN-TIPHON. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MARTIN (F.). (See Books FOR THE YOUNG, p. 38.)
- MASSON (R. O. and D.).—THREE CENTURIES OF ENGLISH POETRY. Being Selections from Chaucer to Herrick. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- PALGRAVE (Prof. F. T.) .- THE GOLDEN TREASURY OF THE BEST SONGS AND LYRICAL POEMS IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. Large Type. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d. (See also GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES, p. 20; BOOKS FOR THE YOUNG, p. 38.)
- WARD (T. H.) .- ENGLISH POETS. Selections, with Critical Introductions by various Writers, and a General Introduction by MATTHEW ARNOLD. Edited by T. H. WARD, M.A. 4 vols. 2 nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. each.— Vol. I. CHAUCER TO DONNE; II. BEN JON-SON TO DRYDEN; III. ADDISON TO BLAKE; IV. WORDSWORTH TO ROSSETTI.

-	-
т	-
л	

 WOODS (M. A.).—A FIRST POETRY BOOK. FCP, 8vo. 28.6d. A SECOND POETRY BOOK. 2 Parts. FCP. 8vo. 28.6d. each.—Complete, 45.6d. — A THIRD POETRY BOOK. FCP.8vo. 48.6d. WORDS FROM THE POETS. With a Vignette and Frontispiece. 12th Edit. 18mo. 15. 	CUNNINGHAM (Sir H. S.).—The Cœru- LEANS: A Vacation Idyll. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. — The Heriors. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. — WHEAT AND TARES. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. DAGONET THE JESTER. Cr. 8vo. 4s.6d. DAHN (Felix).—FELICITAS. Translated by
Prose Fiction. BIKELAS (D.)LOUKIS LARAS; or, The	M. A. C. E. Cr. 8vo. 4s. oz. DAY (Rev. Lal Behari).—BENGAL PEASANT LIFE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
the Greek War of Independence. Translated by J. GENNADIUS. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.	DEFOE (D.). (See Globe Library, p. 20: Golden Treasury Series, p. 20.)
BJORNSON (B.). — SYNNÖVË SOLBAKKEN. Translated by Julie Sutter. Cr. 8vo. 6s.	DEMOCRACY: AN AMERICAN NOVEL. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
BOLDREWOOD (Rolf).—Uniform Edition. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. each. ROBERRY UNDER ARMS: A Story of Life and Adventure in the Bush and in the Goldfields of Australia.	DICKENS (Charles). — THE POSTHUMOUS PAPERS OF THE PICKWICK CLUE. With Notes and Illustrations. Edit. by CHARLES DICKENS the younger. 2vols. Ext.cr.8vo. 215.
THE MINER'S RIGHT. THE SOUATTER'S DREAM.	JILL WYN (E. A.).—JILL. Cr. 8vo. os. — JILL AND JACK. 2 vols. Globe 8vo. 12s.
A Sydney-Side Saxon. A Colonial Reformer.	DUNSMUIR (Amy).—VIDA: Study of a Girl. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
— NEVERMORE. 3 vols. Cr. 8vo. 31s. 6d. BURNETT (Frances Hodgson)HAWORTH'S.	EBERS (Dr. George).—The Burgomaster's Wife. Transl. by C. Bell. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
Globe 8vo. 2s. — LOUISIANA, and THAT LASS O' LOWRIE'S.	ONLY A WORD. Translated by CLARA BELL. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
CARMARTHEN (Marchioness of). — A	"ESTELLE RUSSELL" (The Author of).— HARMONIA. 3 vols. Cr. 8vo. 31s. 6d.
CONWAY (Hugh). — A FAMILY AFFAIR.	FALCONER (Lanoe).—CECILIA DE NOEL. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
	FLEMING (George).—A Nile Novel. Gl. 8vo. 2s.
CORBETT (Julian).—THE FALL OF ASGARD: A Tale of St. Olaf's Day. 2 vols. Gl. 8vo. 12s.	 MIRAGE: A Novel. Globe 8vo. 2s. THE HEAD OF MEDUSA. Globe 8vo. 2s.
 FOR GOD AND GOLD. Cf. 8VO. 63. KOPHETUA THE THIRTEENTH. 2 vols. Globe 8vo. 125. 	
CRAIK (Mrs.)Uniform Edition. Cr. 8vo.	8vo. 21s. "FRIENDS IN COUNCIL" (The Author
OLIVE. THE OGILVIES. Also Globe 8vo, 2s.	GRAHAM (John W.)NEÆRA: A Tale of
Agatha's Husband. Also Globe 8vo, 2s. The Head of the Family.	HARBOUR BAR, THE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
Two Marriages. Also Globe 8vo, 2s. The Laurel Bush. My Mother and I.	HARDY (Arthur Sherburne).—BUT YET A WOMAN: A Novel. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
MISS TOMMY : A Mediæval Romance. KING ARTHUR : Not a Love Story.	
CRAWFORD (F. Marion) Uniform Edition.	Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
MR. ISAACS : A Tale of Modern India.	Commonplace. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
DR. CLAUDIUS. A Roman Singer. Zoroaster.	ARTE (Bret).—CRESSV. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. — THE HERITAGE OF DEDLOW MARSH and other Tales. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
MARZIO'S CRUCIFIX. PAUL PATOFF.	"HOGAN, M.P." (The Author of).—HOGAN, M.P. Globe 8vo. 25.
WITH THE IMMORTALS. GREIFENSTEIN.	
SANT' ILARIO. A CIGARETTE MAKER'S ROMANCE.	
THE WITCH OF PRAGUE. 3 vols. Cr.	CHRISTY CAREW. Globe 8vo. 25.
DV0. 315. Dd.	Shini 5 Childradh, Cicoc Cici 23,

THE WITCH OF PRAGUE. 3 vols. Cr. 8vo. 31s. 6d.

2

LITERATURE.

Prose Fiction-continued.

- HOPPUS (Mary).—A GREAT TREASON: A Story of the War of Independence. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- HUGHES (Thomas).—Tom BROWN'S SCHOOL DAYS. By AN OLD BOY.—Golden Treasury Edition. 4s. 6d.—Uniform Edition. 3s. 6d. —People's Edition. 2s.—People's Sixpenny Edition. Illustr. Med. 4to. 6d.—Uniform with Sixpenny Kingsley. Med. 8vo. 6d.
- ---- Tom Brown at Oxford. Cr. 8vo. 3s.6d.
- IRVING (Washington). (See Illustrated Books, p. 12.)

JACKSON (Helen).-RAMONA. Gl. 8vo. 2s.

- JAMES (Henry).—THE EUROPEANS: A Novel. Cr. 8vo. 6s.; 18mo, 2s.
- --- DAISY MILLER: and other Stories. Cr. 8vo. 6s.; Globe 8vo, 2s.

- Washington Square, the Pension Beaurepas. Globe 8vo. 2s.
- THE PORTRAIT OF A LADY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.; 18mo, 3 vols. 6s.
- ----- STORIES REVIVED. In Two Series. Cr. 8vo. 6s. each.
- ---- THE BOSTONIANS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- ----- Novels and Tales. Pocket Edition. 18mo. 2s. each volume.
 - CONFIDENCE. 1 vol.
 - THE SIEGE OF LONDON; MADAME DE MAUVES. 1 vol.
 - AN INTERNATIONAL EPISODE; THE PEN-SION BEAUREPAS; THE POINT OF VIEW. 1 vol.
 - DAISY MILLER, a Study; Four MEET-INGS; LONGSTAFF'S MARRIAGE; BEN-VOLIO. 1 VOl.
 - THE MADONNA OF THE FUTURE; A BUNDLE OF LETTERS; THE DIARY OF A MAN OF FIFTY; EUGENE PICKERING. I vol.
- TALES OF THREE CITIES. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THE PRINCESS CASAMASSIMA. Cr. 8vo. 6s.; Globe 8vo, 2s.
- ---- THE REVERBERATOR. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE ASPERN PAPERS; LOUISA PALLANT; THE MODERN WARNING. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- A LONDON LIFE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ---- THE TRAGIC MUSE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- KEARY (Annie).—JANET'S HOME. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ----- A YORK AND A LANCASTER ROSE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ---- CASTLE DALY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- A DOUBTING HEART. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- KINGSLEY (Charles).—*Eversley Edition.* 13 vols. Globe Svo. 55, each.—WESTWARD Ho! 2 vols.—Two YEARS AGO. 2 vols.— HVPATIA. 2 vols.—YEAST. 1 vol.—ALTON LOCKE. 2 vols.—HEREWARD THE WAKE. 2 vols.
- Complete Edition. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. each. — WESTWARD HO! With a Portrait.— Нуратіа.—YEAST.—ALTON LOCKE.—Two YEARS AGO.—HEREWARD THE WAKE.
- Sixpenny Edition. Med. 8vo. 6d. each. — WESTWARD HO!— HVPATIA. — YEAST.— ALTON LOCKE.— TWO YEARS AGO. — HEREWARD THE WAKE.
- KIPLING (Rudyard).—PLAIN TALES FROM THE HILLS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE LIGHT THAT FAILED. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- LIFE'S HANDICAP: Being Stories of mine own People. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- LAFARGUE (Philip).—THE NEW JUDGMENT OF PARIS. 2 vols. Globe 8vo. 125.
- LEE (Margaret).—FAITHFUL AND UNFAITH-FUL. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- LEVY (A.) .- REUBEN SACHS. Cr. 8vo. 3s.6d.
- LITTLE PILGRIM IN THE UNSEEN, A. 24th Thousand. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- "LITTLE PILGRIM IN THE UNSEEN, A" (Author of).—The Land of Darkness. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- LYTTON (Earl of).—THE RING OF AMASIS: A Romance. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- McLENNAN (Malcolm).—MUCKLE JOCK; and other Tales of Peasant Life in the North. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MACQUOID (K. S.) .- PATTY. Gl. 8vo. 2s.
- MADOC (Fayr).—THE STORY OF MELICENT. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MALET (Lucas).—MRS. LORIMER: A Sketch in Black and White. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MALORY (Sir Thos.). (See GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20.)
- MINTO (W.).—THE MEDIATION OF RALPH HARDELOT. 3 vols. Cr. 8vo. 315.6d.
- MITFORD (A. B.).—TALES OF OLD JAPAN. With Illustrations. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MIZ MAZE (THE); or, THE WINKWORTH PUZZLE. A Story in Letters by Nine Authors. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MURRAY (D. Christie). AUNT RACHEL. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ---- SCHWARTZ. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ---- THE WEAKER VESSEL. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- JOHN VALE'S GUARDIAN. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MURRAY (D. Christie) and HERMAN (H.). -HE FELL AMONG THIEVES. Cr.8vo. 3s.6d.
- NEW ANTIGONE, THE: A ROMANCE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- NOEL (Lady Augusta).—HITHERSEA MERE. 3 vols. Cr. 8vo. 31s. 6d.
- NORRIS (W. E.).—My FRIEND JIM. Globe 8vo. 2s.
- ---- CHRIS. Globe 8vo. 2s.
- NORTON (Hon. Mrs.).—OLD SIR DOUG-LAS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
| OLIPHANT (Mrs. M. O. W.) A SON OF | YONGE (Charlotte M.) Uniform Edition. |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| THE SOIL. Globe 8vo. 25. | Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. each. |
| - YOUNG MUSGRAVE. Globe 8vo. 25. | THE DOVE IN THE EAGLE'S NEST.
THE CHAPLET OF PEARLS. |
| HE THAT WILL NOT WHEN HE MAY. | LADY HESTER, and THE DANVERS PAPERS. |
| - SIR TOM, Globe 8vo. 25. | MAGNUM BONUM. |
| HESTER. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. | UNKNOWN TO HISTORY. |
| - THE WIZARD'S SON. Globe 8vo. 25. | STRAY PEARLS. |
| FAMILY, Globe 8vo. 28 | THE ARMOURER'S PRENTICES. |
| - THE SECONE SON. Globe 8vo. 25. | NUTTIE'S FATHER. |
| NEIGHBOURS ON THE GREEN. Cr. 8vo. | SCENES AND CHARACTERS. |
| 33. 04.
IOVCE, Cr 8vo 25.6d | A MODERN TRIEMACHUS |
| - A BELEAGUERED CITY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. | Bye Words. |
| - KIRSTEEN. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. | BEECHCROFT AT ROCKSTONE. |
| 3 vols. Cr. 8vo. 215.6d. | MORE BYWORDS.
A REPUTED CHANCELING: or Three Seventh |
| PALMER (Lady Sonhia) - Mps. PENICOTT'S | Years Two Centuries Ago. |
| LODGER: and other Stories. Cr. 8vo. 25. 6d. | THE LITTLE DUKE, RICHARD THE FEARLESS. |
| PATER (Walter) MARIUS THE EPICUREAN . | THE PRINCE AND THE PAGE |
| HIS SENSATIONS AND IDEAS. 3rd Edit. 2 | P'S AND Q'S: LITTLE LUCY'S WONDERFUI |
| vols. 8vo. 125. | GLOBE. |
| ROSS (Percy).—A MISGUIDIT LASSIE. Cr. | of the Time of James L of Scotland |
| RUSSELL (W Clark) MARCOURD Cr | THAT STICK. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 125. |
| 8vo. 3s. 6d. | Collected Works : Essays : Lectures . |
| ST. JOHNSTON (A.) A South SEA | Letters: Miscellaneous Works. |
| LOVER: A Romance. Cr. 8vo. 6s. | AN AUTHOR'S LOVE. Being the Unpub- |
| SHORTHOUSE (J. Henry)Uniform Edi- | lished Letters of PROSPER MÉRIMÉE'S |
| LOHN INCLESANT: A Romance | APNOLD (Metthew) Freedom of Commence |
| SIR PERCIVAL: A Story of the Past and of | 6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. os. |
| the Present. | ESSAYS IN CRITICISM. Second Series. |
| THE LITTLE SCHOOLMASTER MARK: A | Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. |
| THE COUNTESS EVE, | BACON With Introduction and Notes by |
| A TEACHER OF THE VIOLIN : and other Tales. | F. G. SELBY, M.A. Gl. 8vo. 35.; swd. 25.6d. |
| BLANCHE, LADY FALAISE. Cr. 8vo. 6s. | (See also Golden TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.) |
| SLIP IN THE FENS, A. Globe 8vo. 25. | BLACKIE (John Stuart)LAY SERMONS. |
| TIM. Cr. 8vo. 6s. | BRIDGES (John A) IDVILG OF A LOOP |
| TOURGENIEFVIRGIN SOIL. Translated | VILLAGE. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. |
| by ASHTON W. DILKE, Cr. 8vo. 6s. | BRIMLEY (George) ESSAYS. Globe 8vo. 55 |
| VELEY (Margaret).—A GARDEN OF MEMO- | BUNYAN (John) THE PILGRIM'S PROGRESS |
| Three Stories. 2 vols. Globe 8vo. 125. | FROM THIS WORLD TO THAT WHICH IS TO |
| VOICES CRYING IN THE WILDER. | BUTCHER (Prof S H) Some Aspectra on |
| NESS: A NOVEL. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. | THE GREEK GENIUS. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net. |
| WARD (Mrs. T. Humphry) MISS BRETHER- | CARLYLE (Thomas). (See BIOGRAPHY.) |
| TON. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. | CHURCH (Dean) MISCELLANEOUS WRI- |
| WORTHEY (Mrs.)THE NEW CONTINENT: | TINGS. Collected Edition. 5 vols. Globe |
| A INOVEL 2 VOIS. Globe 8vo. 125. | Essays.—II. DANTE: AND OTHER ESSAYS. |
| YONGE (Charlotte M.).—Uniform Edition. | -III. ST. ANSELMIV. SPENSERV. |
| THE HEIR OF REDCLYFFE. | BACON. |
| HEARTSEASE. | ESSAYS, Edited by LESLIE STEPHEN and |
| HOPES AND FEARS. | Sir F. POLLOCK. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d. |
| THE DAISY CHAIN. | CLOUGH (A. H.) PROSE REMAINS. With |
| THE TRIAL: MORE LINKS OF THE DAISY | a Selection from his Letters, and a Memoir |
| PULARS OF THE HOUSE Vol I | COLLINS (I. Churton) -THE STUDY OF |
| PILLARS OF THE HOUSE. Vol. II. | ENGLISH LITERATURE. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d |
| THE YOUNG STEPMOTHER. | CRAIK (Mrs.) CONCERNING MEN; |
| CLEVER WOMAN OF THE FAMILY.
THE THREE BRIDES. | other Papers. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. |
| My Young ALCIDES. | ABOUT MONEY: and other IP |
| | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, |

LITERATURE.

Collected Works; Essays: Lectures;

Letters: Miscellaneous Works-contd.

- DE VERE (Aubrey).-Essays Chiefly on POETRY. 2 vols. Globe 8vo. 125.
- ESSAYS, CHIEFLY LITERARY AND ETHI-CAL. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- DRYDEN, ESSAYS OF. Edited by Prof. C. D. YONGE. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d. (See also GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20.)
- DUFF (Rt. Hon. Sir M. E. Grant) .- MISCEL-LANIES, POLITICAL AND LITERARY. 8vo. 105.6d.
- EMERSON(RalphWaldo).-THE COLLECTED WORKS 6 vols. Globe 8vo. 5s. each.— I. MISCELLANIES. With an Introductory Essay by John MORLEY.—II. Essays.— III. POEMS.—IV. ENGLISH TRAITS; RE-PRESENTATIVE MEN.-V. CONDUCT OF LIFE ; SOCIETY AND SOLITUDE .- VI. LETTERS; SOCIAL AIMS, ETC.
- FITZGERALD (Edward): LETTERS AND LITERARY REMAINS OF. Ed. by W. ALDIS WRIGHT, M.A. 3 vols. Cr. 8vo. 31s. 6d.
- GLOBE LIBRARY. Gl. 8vo. 3s. 6d. each: BURNS.—COMPLETE POETICAL WORKS AND LETTERS. Edited, with Life and Glossarial Index, by ALEXANDER SMITH.
 - COWPER.-POETICAL WORKS. Edited by
 - the Rev. W. BENHAM, B.D. DEFOE.—THE ADVENTURES OF ROBINSON CRUSOE. With Introduction by HENRY KINGSLEY.
 - DRVDEN.—POETICAL WORKS. A Revised Text and Notes. By W. D. CHRISTIE, M.A.
 - GOLDSMITH. MISCELLANEOUS Edited by Prof. MASSON. WORKS.
 - HORACE.—WORKS. Rendered into English Prose by JAMES LONSDALE and S. LEE. MALORY.—LE MORTE D'ARTHUR. Sir Thos.
 - Malory's Book of King Arthur and of his Noble Knights of the Round Table. The Edition of Caxton, revised for modern use. By Sir E. STRACHEV, Bart. MILTON.—POETICAL WORKS. Edited, with
 - Introductions, by Prof. Masson. POPE.—POETICAL WORKS. Edited, with
 - Memoir and Notes, by Prof. WARD. SCOTT.-POETICAL WORKS. With
 - With Essay by Prof. PALGRAVE.
 - SHAKESPEARE.-COMPLETE WORKS. Edit. by W. G. CLARK and W. ALDIS WRIGHT. India Paper Edition. Cr. 8vo, cloth extra, gilt edges. 10s. 6d. net.
 - SPENSER .-- COMPLETE WORKS Edited by R. MORRIS. Memoir by J. W. HALES, M.A. VIRGIL.-WORKS. Rendered into English Prose by JAMES LONSDALE and S. LEE.
- GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES .- Uniformly printed in 18mo, with Vignette Titles by Sir J. E. MILLAIS, Sir NOEL PATON, T. WOOLNER, W. HOLMAN HUNT, ARTHUR HUGHES, etc. 4s. 6d, each.—Also a re-issue in fortnightly vols. 2s.6d. net, from June, 1891.
 - THE GOLDEN TREASURY OF THE BEST SONGS AND LYRICAL POEMS IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. Selected and arranged, with Notes, by Prof. F. T. PALGRAVE.—Large Paper Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d: net.

GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES-contd.

THE CHILDREN'S GARLAND FROM THE BEST POETS. Selected by COVENTRY PATMORE. BUNYAN.—THE PILGRIM'S PROGRESS FROM

- THIS WORLD TO THAT WHICH IS TO COME. BACON.—ESSAYS, and COLOURS OF GOOD AND EVIL. With Notes and Glossaria Index by W. Aldis Wright, M.A.
- THE BOOK OF PRAISE. From the Best Eng-lish Hymn Writers. Selected by ROUN-DELL, ÉARL OF SELBORNE. SHELLEY.-POEMS. Edited by STOPFORD
- A. BROOKE.—Large Paper Edit. 128.6d. THE FAIRY BOOK: THE BEST POPULAR FAIRY STORIES. Selected by Mrs. CRAIK, Author of "John Halifax, Gentleman." WORDSWORTH.—POEMS. Chosen and Edited
- by M. ARNOLD .- Large Paper Edition. 9s.
- PLATO. THE TRIAL AND DEATH OF SOCRA TES. Being the Euthyphron, Apology, Crito and Phaedo of Plato. Trans. F. J. CHURCH. LAMB.—TALES FROM SHAKSPEARE. Edited
- by Rev. ALFRED AINGER, M.A.
- THE JEST BOOK. The Choicest Anecdotes
- and Sayings. Arranged by MARK LEMON. THE BALLAD BOOK. A Selection of the Choicest British Ballads. Edited by William Allingham. The Sunday Book of Poetry for the
- Young. Selected by C. F. ALEXANDER. THE SONG BOOK. Words and Tunes se-
- lected and arranged by JOHN HULLAH.
- SCOTTISH SONG. Compiled by MARY CAR-LYLE AITKEN.
- LA LYRE FRANÇAISE. Selected and arranged, with Notes, by G. MASSON. DEUTSCHE LYRIK. The Golden Treasury
- of the best German Lyrical Poems. Selected by Dr. BUCHHEIM.
- BALLADEN UND ROMANZEN. Being a Se-lection of the best German Ballads and Romances. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by Dr. BUCHHEIM.
- A BOOK OF GOLDEN THOUGHTS. BY HENRY ATTWELL
- ADDISON .- ESSAYS. Chosen and Edited by JOHN RICHARD GREEN.
- MATTHEW ARNOLD .- SELECTED POEMS.
- SIR THOMAS BROWNE.-RELIGIO MEDICI, LETTER TO A FRIEND, &C., AND CHRIST-IAN MORALS. Edited by W. A. GREEN-HILL, M.D.
- BYRON.—POETRY. Chosen and arranged by MATTHEW ARNOLD.—Large Paper
- Edition. 9s. Cowper.-Selections from Poems. With an Introduction by Mrs. OLIPHANT.
- LETTERS. Edited, with Introduction, by Rev. W. BENHAM. DEFOE.—THE ADVENTURES OF ROBINSON
- CRUSOE. Edited by J. W. CLARK, M.A. HARE.-GUESSES AT TRUTH. By Two
- Brothers.
- HERRICK .- CHRYSOMELA. Edited by Prof. F. T. PALGRAVE.
- HUGHES.—Tom BROWN'S SCHOOL DAVS. KEATS.—THE POETICAL WORKS. Edited by Prof. F. T. PALGRAVE.
- LANDOR.—SELECTIONS. Ed. by S. COLVIN. LONGFELLOW.—POEMS OF PLACES: ENG-LAND AND WALES. Edited by H. W. LONGFELLOW. 2 vols.
- Ballads, Lyrics, and Sonnets. Mohammad.-Speeches and Table-Talk. Translated by STANLEY LANE-POOLE.

GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES-contd.

- NEWCASTLE.—THE CAVALIER AND HIS LADV. Selections from the Works of the First Duke and Duchess of Newcastle. With an Introductory Essay by E. JENKINS. LATO.—THE REPUBLIC. Translated by
- PLATO .- THE REPUBLIC. J. LL. DAVIES, M.A., and D. J. VAUGHAN. THE PHAEDRUS, LYSIS, AND PROTAGO-RAS. Translated by J. WRIGHT.

- SHARESPEARE.—SONGS AND SONNETS. Ed. with Notes, by Prof. F. T. PALGRAVE. TENNSON.—LVRICAL POEMS. Selected and Annotated by Prof. F. T. PALGRAVE.— Large Paper Edition. 9s.
- In MEMORIAM. Large Paper Edit. 9s.
 THEOCRITUS.—BION, AND MOSCHUS. Rendered into English Prose by ANDREW LANG .- Large Paper Edition. 95.
- CHARLOTTE M. YONGE .- A BOOK OF WOR-THIES, GATHERED FROM OLD HISTORIES
- AND WRITTEN ANEW. A BOOK OF GOLDEN DEEDS OF ALL TIMES AND ALL COUNTRIES. - THE STORY OF THE CHRISTIANS AND
- MOORS IN SPAIN.
- GOLDSMITH, ESSAYS OF. Edited by C. D. YONGE, M.A. Fcp. 8vo. 25.6d. (See also GLOBE LIBRARY, p. 20; ILLUSTRATED BOOKS, p. 12.)
- GRAY (Thomas).—WORKS. Edited by ED-MUND GOSSE. In 4 vols. Globe 8vo. 205.— ON ARISTOPHANES AND PLATO.
- HAMERTON (P. G.).-THE INTELLECTUAL LIFE. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- HUMAN INTERCOURSE. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d. - FRENCH AND ENGLISH: A Comparison. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- HARRISON (Frederic) .- THE CHOICE OF BOOKS. Gl. 8vo. 6s.-Large Paper Ed. 15s.
- HARWOOD (George) .- FROM WITHIN. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- HELPS (Sir Arthur).-ESSAYS WRITTEN IN THE INTERVALS OF BUSINESS. With Intro-duction and Notes, by F. J. Rowe, M.A., and W. T. WEBB, M.A. 15. 9d.; swd. 15. 6d.
- HOBART (Lord).—ESSAYS AND MISCELLA-NEOUS WRITINGS. With Biographical Edited by Lady HOBART. 2 vols. Sketch. 8vo. 25s.
- HUTTON (R. H.),-ESSAYS ON SOME OF THE MODERN GUIDES OF ENGLISH THOUGHT IN MATTERS OF FAITH. Globe 8vo. 6s. — ESSAVS, 2 vols. Gl. 8vo. 6s. each. Vol. I. Literary; II. Theological.
- HUXLEY (Prof. T. H.) .- LAY SERMONS, AD-DRESSES, AND REVIEWS. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- CRITIQUES AND ADDRESSES. 8vo. 105.6d. - American Addresses, with a Lecture ON THE STUDY OF BIOLOGY. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- SCIENCE AND CULTURE, AND OTHER Essays, 8vo. 105.6d.
- INTRODUCTORY SCIENCE PRIMER. 18mo. 1s. - ESSAYS ON SOME CONTROVERTED QUES-TIONS. 8vo.
- JAMES (Henry) .- FRENCH POETS AND NO-VELISTS. New Edition. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- PORTRAITS OF PLACES. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. - PARTIAL PORTRAITS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- KEATS.-LETTERS. Edited by SIDNEY COLVIN. Globe 8vo. 6s.

KINGSLEY (Charles) .- COMPLETE EDITION OF THE WORKS OF CHARLES KINGSLEY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. each.

WESTWARD Ho! With a Portrait.

- HYPATIA.
- YEAST.
- ALTON LOCKE.
- TWO YEARS AGO.
- HEREWARD THE WAKE.
- POEMS.
- THE HEROES; or, Greek Fairy Tales for my Children. THE WATER BABIES: A Fairy Tale for a
- Land Baby.
- MADAM HOW AND LADY WHY; or, First Lesson in Earth-Lore for Children.
- AT LAST: A Christmas in the West Indies. PROSE IDVLLS.
- PLAYS AND PURITANS. THE ROMAN AND THE TEUTON. With Preface by Professor MAX MÜLLER.
- SANITARY AND SOCIAL LECTURES.
- HISTORICAL LECTURES AND ESSAYS.
- SCIENTIFIC LECTURES AND ESSAYS.
- LITERARY AND GENERAL LECTURES.
- THE HERMITS.
- GLAUCUS; or, The Wonders of the Sea-Shore. With Coloured Illustrations.
- VILLAGE AND TOWN AND COUNTRY SERMONS. THE WATER OF LIFE, AND OTHER SERMONS.
- Sermons on National Subjects : and the KING OF THE EARTH.
- SERMONS FOR THE TIMES.
- GOOD NEWS OF GOD.
- THE GOSPEL OF THE PENTATEUCH: AND DAVID.
- DISCIPLINE, AND OTHER SERMONS.
- Westminster Sermons.
- ALL SAINTS' DAY, AND OTHER SERMONS.
- LAMB (Charles) .- COLLECTED WORKS. Ed., with Introduction and Notes, by the Rev. ALFRED AINGER, M.A. Globe 8vo. 5s. each volume.—I. Essays of ELIA.—II. PLAYS, POEMS, AND MISCELLANEOUS ESSAYS.- III. MRS. LEICESTER'S SCHOOL; THE ADVEN-TURES OF ULYSSES; AND OTHER ESSAYS.-IV. TALES FROM SHAKESPEARE.-V. and VI. LETTERS. Newly arranged, with additions.
- TALES FROM SHAKESPEARE. 18mo. 4s.6d. Globe Readings Edition. Globe 8vo. 2s.
- LANKESTER(Prof. E. Ray) .-- THE ADVANCE-MENT OF SCIENCE. Occasional Essays and Addresses. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- LIGHTFOOT (Bishop),-ESSAYS. 2 vols. I. THEOLOGICAL. II. MISCELLANEOUS. 8vo.
- LODGE (Prof. Oliver) .- THE PIONEERS OF SCIENCE. Illustrated. Ext. cr. 8vo.
- LOWELL (Jas. Russell) .- COMPLETE WORKS. 10 vols. Cr. 8vo. 6s. each.—Vols. I.—IV. LITERARY ESSAYS.—V. POLITICAL ESSAYS. -VI. LITERARY AND POLITICAL ADDRESSES. VII .--- X. POETICAL WORKS.
- POLITICAL ESSAYS. Ext. cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- LUBBOCK (Rt. Hon. Sir John, Bart.) .- Sci-ENTIFIC LECTURES. Illustrated. 2nd Edit. revised. 8vo. 8s.6d.
- POLITICAL AND EDUCATIONAL AD-DRESSES. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- FIFTY YEARS OF SCIENCE: Address to the British Association, 1881. 5th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.

LITERATURE.

Collected Works; Essays; Lectures; Letters: Miscellaneous Works-contd.

- LUBBOCK (Rt. Hon Sir John, Bart.).—THE PLEASURES OF LIFE. New Edition. 6oth Thousand, Gl.3vo. Part I. 1s.6d.; swd. 1s.— Library Edition. 3s.6d.—Part II. 1s.6d.; The start and Edition. Science Edition. sewed, 1s .- Library Edition. 3s. 6d .- Complete in 1 vol. 25, 6d.
- MACMILLAN (Rev. Hugh) .- ROMAN MO-SAICS, or, Studies in Rome and its Neighbourhood. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- MAHAFFY (Prof. J. P.).-THE PRINCIPLES OF THE ART OF CONVERSATION. Cr.8vo. 4s.6d.
- MASSON (David) .- WORDSWORTH, SHELLEY, KEATS : and other Essays. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- MAURICE (F. D.).-THE FRIENDSHIP OF BOOKS : and other Lectures. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MORLEY (John) .- WORKS. Collected Edit. In 11 vols. Globe 8vo. 5s. each .- VOLTAIRE. I VOL .- ROUSSEAU. 2 VOLS .- DIDEROT AND THE ENCYLOPÆDISTS. 2 vols .- ON COM-PROMISE. I VOL.-MISCELLANIES. 3 VOLS.-BURKE. I VOL.-STUDIES IN LITERATURE. r vol.
- MYERS (F. W. H.). ESSAYS. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. each.-I. CLASSICAL; II. MODERN.
- NADAL (E. S.). ESSAYS AT HOME AND ELSEWHERE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- OLIPHANT(T.L.Kington) .- THE DUKE AND THE SCHOLAR : and other Essays. 8vo. 7s.6d.
- OWENS COLLEGE ESSAYS AND AD-DRESSES. By Professors and Lecturers of the College. 8vo. 14s.
- PATER (W.) .- THE RENAISSANCE ; Studies in Art and Poetry. 4th Ed. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- IMAGINARY PORTRAITS. Cr. 8vo. 6s. APPRECIATIONS. With an Essay of on "Style." 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- MARIUS THE EPICUREAN. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 125.
- PICTON (J.A.) .-- THE MYSTERY OF MATTER: and other Essays. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- POLLOCK (Sir F., Bart.) .- OXFORD LEC-TURES : and other Discourses, 8vo. os.
- POOLE (M. E.) .- PICTURES OF COTTAGE LIFE IN THE WEST OF ENGLAND. 2nd Ed. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- POTTER (Louisa) .- LANCASHIRE MEMORIES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- PRICKARD (A. O.).-ARISTOTLE ON THE ART OF POETRY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- RUMFORD.—COMPLETE WORKS OF COUNT RUMFORD. Memoir by G. ELLIS. Portrait. 5 vols. 8vo. 41. 14s. 6d.
- SCIENCE LECTURES AT SOUTH KEN-SINGTON. Illustr. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 6s. each.
- SMALLEY (George W.) .- LONDON LETTERS AND SOME OTHERS. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.
- STEPHEN (Sir James Fitzjames, Bart.) .-HORAE SABBATICAE. Globe 8vo.
- THRING (Edward) .- THOUGHTS ON LIFE SCIENCE. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- WESTCOTT (Bishop). (See THEOLOGY, p. 36.)
- WILSON (Dr. George) .- RELIGIO CHEMICI. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- THE FIVE GATEWAYS OF KNOWLEDGE 9th Edit. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

WHITTIER (John Greenleaf). THE COM-PLETE WORKS. 7 vols. Cr. 8vo. 6s. each.-Vol. I. NARRATIVE AND LEGENDARY POEMS. -II. POEMS OF NATURE; POEMS SUBJEC--II. FORMS OF NATURE; FORMS SUBJECTIVE AND REMINISCENT; RELIGIOUS PORMS, -III. ANTI-SLAVERY PORMS; SONGS OF LABOUR AND REFORM.-IV. PERSONAL PORMS; OCCASIONAL PORMS; THE TENT ON THE BEACH; with the Poems of ELIZABETH H. WHITTIER, and an Appendix containing Early and Uncollected Verses.-V. MAR-GARET SMITH'S JOURNAL; TALES AND SKETCHES.—VI. OLD PORTRAITS AND MO-DERN SKETCHES; PERSONAL SKETCHES AND TRIBUTES; HISTORICAL PAPERS .- VII. THE CONFLICT WITH SLAVERY, POLITICS, AND REFORM; THE INNER LIFE, CRITICISM.

LOGIC. (See under PHILOSOPHY, p. 26.)

MAGAZINES. (See PERIODICALS).

MAGNETISM. (See under Physics, p. 26.)

MATHEMATICS, History of.

BALL (W. W. R.) .- A SHORT ACCOUNT OF THE HISTORY OF MATHEMATICS. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.

MEDICINE.

- (See also DOMESTIC ECONOMY; NURSING; HYGIENE; PHYSIOLOGY.)
- ACLAND (Sir H. W.) .- THE ARMY MEDICAL SCHOOL : Address at Netley Hospital. 15.
- ALLBUTT (Dr. T. Clifford) .- ON THE USE OF THE OPHTHALMOSCOPE. 8vo. 15S.
- ANDERSON (Dr. McCall).-LECTURES ON CLINICAL MEDICINE. Illustr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- BALLANCE(C.A.) and EDMUNDS(Dr.W.). LIGATION IN CONTINUITY. Illustr. Roy.8vo. 30s. net.
- BARWELL (Richard, F.R.C.S.). THE CAUSES AND TREATMENT OF LATERAL CURVATURE OF THE SPINE. Cr. 8vo. 5s. — ON ANEURISM, ESPECIALLY OF THE THORAX AND ROOT OF THE NECK. 3s. 6d.
- BASTIAN (H. Charlton).—ON PARALYSIS FROM BRAIN DISEASE IN ITS COMMON FORMS. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- BICKERTON (T. H.).-ON COLOUR BLIND-NESS. Cr. 8vo.
- BRAIN : A JOURNAL OF NEUROLOGY. Edited for the Neurological Society of London, by A. DE WATTEVILLE, Quarterly. 8vo. 33.6d. (Part I, in Jan. 1878.) Vols. I. to XII. 8vo. 15s. each. [Cloth covers for binding, 1s. each.]
- BRUNTON (Dr. T. Lauder). A TEXT-BOOK OF PHARMACOLOGY, THERAPEUTICS, AND MATERIA MEDICA. 3rd Edit. Med. 8vo. 215.—Or in 2 vols. 225. 6d. — Disorders of Digestion: Their Con-sequences and Treatment. 8vo. 105. 6d.
- PHARMACOLOGY AND THERAPEUTICS: Or,
- Medicine Past and Present. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- TABLES OF MATERIA MEDICA: A Com-panion to the Materia Medica Museum. 8vo. 55.
- CROONIAN LECTURES ON THE CONNEC-TION BETWEEN CHEMICAL CONSTITUTION AND PHYSIOLOGICAL ACTION. Being an Introduction to Modern Therapeutics. 8vo.
- BUCKNILL (Dr.) .- THE CARE OF THE IN-SANE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- CARTER (R. Brudenell, F.C.S.).—A PRAC-TICAL TREATISE ON DISEASES OF THE EVE. 8vo. 16s.
- Evesight, Good and Bad. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
 Modern Operations for Cataract. 8vo. 6s.
- CHRISTIE (J.).—CHOLERA EPIDEMICS IN EAST AFRICA. 8vo. 155.
- COWELL (George).—LECTURES ON CATA-RACT: ITS CAUSES, VARIETIES, AND TREAT-MENT. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- FLÜCKIGER (F. A.) and HANBURY (D.). — PHARMACOGRAPHIA. A History of the Principal Drugs of Vegetable Origin met with in Great Britain and India. 8vo. 218.
- FOTHERGILL (Dr. J. Milner).—THE PRAC-TITIONER'S HANDBOOK OF TREATMENT; or, The Principles of Therapeutics. 8vo. 16s.
- THE ANTAGONISM OF THERAPEUTIC AGENTS, AND WHAT IT TEACHES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
 Food for the Invalid, the Convalescent, the Dyspertic, and the Gouty. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FOX (Dr. Wilson). ON THE ARTIFICIAL PRODUCTION OF TUBERCLE IN THE LOWER ANIMALS. With Plates. 4to. 5s. 6d.
- ON THE TREATMENT OF HYPERPYREXIA, AS ILLUSTRATED IN ACUTE ARTICULAR RHEUMATISM BY MEANS OF THE EXTERNAL APPLICATION OF COLD. 8vo. 25.6d.
- GRIFFITHS (W. H:).—Lessons on Prescriptions and the Art of Prescribing. New Edition. 18mo. 3s. 6d.
- HAMILTON (Prof. D. J.).—ON THE PATHO-LOGY OF BRONCHITIS, CATARHAL PNEU-MONIA, TUBERCLE, AND ALLIED LESIONS OF THE HUMAN LUNG. 8VO. 8.5 6.4.
- A TEXT-BOOK OF PATHOLOGY, SYSTE-MATIC AND PRACTICAL. Illustrated. Vol. I. 8vo. 25s.
- HANBURY (Daniel). SCIENCE PAPERS, CHIEFLY PHARMACOLOGICAL AND BOTANI-CAL. Med. 8vo. 14s.
- KLEIN (Dr. E.).—MICRO-ORGANISMS AND DISEASE. An Introduction into the Study of Specific Micro-Organisms. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- LEPROSY INVESTIGATION COMMIT-TEE, JOURNAL OF THE. Edited by P. S. ABRAHAM, M.A. Nos. 2 and 3. 2s. 6d. each net.
- LINDSAY (Dr. J. A.). THE CLIMATIC TREATMENT OF CONSUMPTION. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- MACKENZIE (Sir Morell).—THE HYGIENE OF THE VOCAL ORGANS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- MACLAGAN (Dr. T.).—THE GERM THEORY. 8vo. 105.6d.
- MACLEAN (Surgeon-General W. C.).-DIS-EASES OF TROPICAL CLIMATES. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- MACNAMARA (C.).—A HISTORY OF ASIATIC CHOLERA. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- MERCIER (Dr. C.).—THE NERVOUS SYSTEM AND THE MIND. 8vo. 125.6d.

- PIFFARD (H. G.).—AN ELEMENTARY TREA-TISE ON DISEASES OF THE SKIN. 8VO. 165. PRACTITIONER, THE: A MONTHLY
- PRACTITIONER, THE: A MONTHLY JOURNAL OF THERAPEUTICS AND PUBLIC HEALTH. Edited by T. LAUDER BRUNTON, F.R.S., etc.; DONALD MACALISTER, M.A., M.D., and J. MITCHELL BRUCE, M.D. 15, 6d. monthly. Vols. I.-XLVI. Halfyearly vols. ros. 6d. each. [Cloth covers for binding, 15. each.]
- REYNOLDS (J. R.).—A SYSTEM OF MEDI-CINE. Edited by J. RUSSELL REYNOLDS, M.D., In 5 vols. Vols. I.—III. and V. 8vo. 255. each.—Vol. IV. 215.
- RICHARDSON (Dr. B. W.).—DISEASES OF MODERN LIFE. Cr. 8vo.
- SEATON (Dr Edward C.).—A HANDBOOK OF VACCINATION. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- SEILER (Dr. Carl). -- MICRO-PHOTOGRAPHS IN HISTOLOGY, NORMAL AND PATHOLOGI-CAL. 4to. 315.6d.
- SIBSON (Dr. Francis).—COLLECTED WORKS Edited by W. M. ORD, M.D. Illustrated. 4 vols. 8vo. 3*l*. 3s.
- SPENDER (J. Kent).—THERAPEUTIC MEANS FOR THE RELIEF OF PAIN. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- SURGERY (THE INTERNATIONAL ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF). A Systematic Treatise on the Theory and Practice of Surgery by Authors of various Nations. Edited by JOHN ASHHURST, jun., M.D. 6 vols. Roy. 8vo. 31s. 6d. each.
- THORNE (Dr. Thorne).—DIPHTHERIA. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- WHITE (Dr. W. Hale).—A TEXT-BOOK OF GENERAL THERAPEUTICS. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- ZIEGLER (Ernst).—A TEXT-BOOK OF PA-THOLOGICAL ANATOMY AND PATHOGENESIS. Translated and Edited by DONALD MAC-ALISTER, M.A., M.D. Illustrated. 8vo.— Part I, GENERAL PATHOLOGICAL ANATOMY. 12s. 6d.—Part II. SPECIAL PATHOLOGICAL ANATOMY. Sections I.—VIII, and IX.— XII. 8vo. 12s. 6d. each.

METALLURGY.

(See also CHEMISTRY.)

- HIORNS (Arthur H.).—A TEXT-BOOK OF ELEMENTARY METALLURGY. Gl. 8vo. 4s.
 - PRACTICAL METALLURGY AND ASSAVING. Illustrated. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- ---- IRON AND STEEL MANUFACTURE. Illustrated. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MIXED METALS OR METALLIC ALLOVS. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- PHILLIPS (J. A.).—A TREATISE ON ORE DEPOSITS. Illustrated. Med. 8vo. 255.

METAPHYSICS.

(See under PHILOSOPHY, p. 25.)

MILITARY ART AND HISTORY.

ACLAND (Sir H. W.). (See MEDICINE.)

AITKEN (Sir W.).—THE GROWTH OF THE RECRUITAND YOUNG SOLDIER. Cr. 8vo. 8s.6d.

MILITARY HISTORY-continued.

- CUNYNGHAME (Gen. Sir A. T.). My COMMAND IN SOUTH AFRICA, 1874—78. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- HOZIER (Lieut.-Col. H. M.).-THE SEVEN WEEKS' WAR. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE INVASIONS OF ENGLAND. 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.
- MARTEL (Chas.).---MILITARY ITALY. With Map. 8vo. 125.6d.
- MAURICE (Lt.-Col.).-WAR. 8vo. 5s. net. ---- THE NATIONAL DEFENCES. Cr. 8vo.
- MERCUR (Prof. J.).-ELEMENTS OF THE ART OF WAR. 8vo. 175.
- SCRATCHLEY KINLOCH COOKE. AUSTRALIAN DEFENCES AND NEW GUINEA. Compiled from the Papers of the late Major-General Sir PETER SCRATCHLEY, R.E., by C. KINLOCH COOKE. 8vo. 145.
- THROUGH THE RANKS TO A COM-MISSION. New Edition. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.
- WILKINSON (S.). THE BRAIN OF AN ARMY. A Popular Account of the German General Staff. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- WINGATE (Major F. R.).—MAHDHSM AND THE EGYPTIAN SUDAN. An Account of the Rise and Progress of Mahdiism, and of Subsequent Events in the Sudan to the Present Time. With 17 Maps. 8vo. 30s. net.
- WOLSELEY (General Viscount).—The Sol-DIER'S POCKET-BOOK FOR FIELD SERVICE. 5th Edit. 16mo, roan. 5s.

- FIELD POCKET-BOOK FOR THE AUXILIARY Forces. 16mo. 1s. 6d.

MINERALOGY. (See GEOLOGY.)

MISCELLANEOUS WORKS.

(See under LITERATURE, p. 19.)

MUSIC.

- FAY (Amy).—MUSIC-STUDY IN GERMANY. Preface by Sir Geo. GROVE.. Cr. 8vo. 4s.6d.
- GROVE (Sir George).—A DICTIONARY OF MUSIC AND MUSICIANS, A.D. 1450–1880, Edited by Sir GEORGE GROVE, D.C.L. In 4 vols. 8vo. 21s. each. With Illustrations in Music Type and Woodcut.—Also published in Parts. Parts I.—XIV., XIX.—XXII. 3s. 6d. each; XV. XVI. 7s.; XVII. XVIII. 7s.; XXIII.—XXV., Appendix. Edited by J. A. FULLER MAITLAND, MA. 9s. [Cloth cases for binding the volumes, 1s. each.]
- A COMPLETE INDEX TO THE ABOVE. By Mrs. E. WODEHOUSE. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- HULLAH (John).-MUSIC IN THE HOUSE. 4th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- TAYLOR (Franklin).—A PRIMER OF PIANO-FORTE PLAYING. 18mo. 15.
- TAYLOR (Sedley).—Sound and Music. 2nd Edit. Ext. cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.

A SYSTEM OF SIGHT-SINGING FROM THE ESTABLISHED MUSICAL NOTATION. 8vo. 5s. net.

NATURAL HISTORY.

ATKINSON (J. C.). (See ANTIQUITIES.)

- BAKER (Sir Samuel W.). (See SPORT.)
- BLANFORD (W. T.). GEOLOGY AND ZOOLOGY OF ABYSSINIA. 8vo. 215.
- FOWLER (W. W.).—TALES OF THE BIRDS. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- A YEAR WITH THE BIRDS. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- KINGSLEY (Charles).—MADAM HOW AND LADY WHY; or, First Lessons in Earth-Lore for Children. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- GLAUCUS; or, The Wonders of the Sea-Shore. With Coloured Illustrations. Cr. 8vo, 3s. 6d.—Presentation Edition. Cr. 8vo, extra cloth. 7s. 6d.
- WALLACE (Alfred Russel).—THE MALAY ARCHIPELAGO: The Land of the Orang Utang and the Bird of Paradise. Maps and Illustrations. Ext. cr. 8vo. 6s. (See also BIOLOGY.)
- WATERTON (Charles).— WANDERINGS IN SOUTH AMERICA, THE NORTH-WEST OF THE UNITED STATES, AND THE ANTILLES. Edited by Rev. J. G. WOOD. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 6s.—People's Edition. 4to. 6d.
- WHITE (Gilbert).—NATURAL HISTORY AND ANTIQUITIES OF SELBORNE. Ed. by FRANK BUCKLAND. With a Chapter on Antiquities by the EARL OF SELBORNE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

NATURAL PHILOSOPHY. (See PHYSICS.)

NAVAL SCIENCE.

- ROBINSON (Rev. J. L.).—MARINE SURVEY-ING, AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON. For Younger Naval Officers. Illust. Cr.8vo. 7s.6d.
- SHORTLAND (Admiral).-NAUTICAL SUR-VEYING. 8vo. 215.
- THOMSON (Sir Wm.). POPULAR LEC-TURES AND ADDRESSES.—Vol. III. NAVI-GATION. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

NOVELS. (See PROSE FICTION, p. 17.)

NURSING.

(See under DOMESTIC ECONOMY, p. 8.)

OPTICS (or LIGHT). (See Physics, p. 27.)

PAINTING. (See ART.)

PERIODICALS.

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY, THE. (See Philology.)

- BRAIN. (See MEDICINE.)
- ECONOMIC JOURNAL, THE. (See Po-LITICAL ECONOMY.)
- ECONOMICS, THE QUARTERLY JOUR-NAL OF. (See POLITICAL ECONOMY.)
- ENGLISH ILLUSTRATED MAGAZINE, THE. — Profusely Illustrated. Published Monthly. No. I. October, 1883. 6d.— Vol. I. 1884. 7s. 6d.—Vols. II.-VIII. Super royal 8vo, extra cloth, coloured edges. 8s. each. [Cloth Covers for binding Volumes, 1s. each.]

- NATURE: A WEEKLY ILLUSTRATED JOUR-NAL OF SCIENCE. Published every Thursday. Price 6d. Monthly Parts, 2s. and 2s. 6d.; Current Half-yearly vols., 15s. each. Vols. I.--XLIII. [Cases for binding vols. 1s. 6d. each.]
- HELLENIC STUDIES, THE JOURNAL OF. Pub. Half-Yearly from 1880. 8v0. 30s.; or each Part, 155. Vol. XII. Part I. 155. net. The Journal will be sold at a reduced price to Libraries wishing to subscribe, but official application must in each case be made to the Council. Information on this point, and upon the conditions of Membership, may be obtained on application to the Hon. Sec., Mr. George Macmillan,29, Bedford Street, Covent Garden.
- MACMILLAN'S MAGAZINE. Published Monthly. 1s.—Vols. I.-LXIV. 7s. 6d. each. [Cloth covers for binding, 1s. each.]
- PHILOLOGY, THE JOURNAL OF. (See Philology.)
- **PRACTITIONER**, THE. (See MEDICINE.)
- RECORD OF TECHNICAL AND SE-CONDARY EDUCATION. (See Education.

PHILOLOGY.

- AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY, THE. Edited by Prof. BASIL L. GILDER-SLEEVE. 4s. 6d. each No. (quarterly).
- AMERICAN PHILOLOGICAL ASSOCIA-TION, TRANSACTIONS OF. Vols. I.— XX. 8:, 6d. per vol. net, except Vols. XV. and XX., which are 10s. 6d. net.
- CORNELL UNIVERSITY STUDIES IN CLASSICAL PHILOLOGY. Edited by I, FLAGG, W. G. HALE, and B. I. WHEELER. I. THE C U M-CONSTRUCTIONS: their History and Functions. Part I. Critical. 1s. 8d. net. Part II. Constructive. By W. G. HALE. 3s. 4d. net.—II. ANALOGY AND THE SCOPE OF ITS APPLICATION IN LANGUAGE. By B. I. WHEELER. 1s.3d. net.
- GILES (P.).—A SHORT MANUAL OF PHILO-LOGY FOR CLASSICAL STUDENTS. Cr. 8vo.
- JOURNAL OF SACRED AND CLASSI-CALPHILOLOGY. 4 vols. 8vo. 128.6d.each.
- JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY. New Series. Edited by W. A. WRIGHT, M.A., I. BywATER, M.A., and H. JACKSON, M.A. 45. 6d. each No. (half-yearly).
- KELLNER (Dr. L.). HISTORICAL OUT-LINES OF ENGLISH SYNTAX. Ex. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- MORRIS (Rev. Richard, LL.D.).—PRIMER OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR. 18mo. 15.
- ELEMENTARY LESSONS IN HISTORICAL ENGLISH GRAMMAR. 18mo. 25.6d.
- HISTORICAL OUTLINES OF ENGLISH AC-CIDENCE. Extra fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- MORRIS (R.) and BOWEN (H. C.).—ENG-LISH GRAMMAR EXERCISES. 18mo. 15.
- OLIPHANT (T. L. Kington). THE OLD AND MIDDLE ENGLISH. Globe 8vo. 9s.
- THE NEW ENGLISH. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 215.
- PEILE (John). A PRIMER OF PHILOLOGY. 18mo. 15.
- PELLISSIER (E.).—FRENCH ROOTS AND THEIR FAMILIES. Globe 8vo. 6s.

- TAYLOR (Isaac).—WORDS AND PLACES. 9th Edit. Maps. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- ETRUSCAN RESEARCHES. 8vo. 14s.
 GREEKS AND GOTHS: A Study of the Runes. 8vo. 9s.
- WETHERELL (J.).—Exercises on Morris's Primer of English Grammar. 18mo. 15.
- YONGE (C. M.).—HISTORY OF CHRISTIAN NAMES. New Edit., revised. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

PHILOSOPHY.

Ethics and Metaphysics—Logic—Psychology. Ethics and Metaphysics.

- BALFOUR (Right Hon. A. J.).—A DEFENCE OF PHILOSOPHIC DOUBT. 8vo. 125.
- BIRKS (Thomas Rawson). FIRST PRINCIPLES OF MORAL SCIENCE. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- MODERN UTILITARIANISM; or, The Systems of Paley, Bentham, and Mill Examined and Compared. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
 MODERN PHYSICAL FATALISM, AND THE
- MODERN PHYSICAL FATALISM, AND THE DOCTRINE OF EVOLUTION. Including an Examination of Mr. Herbert Spencer's "First Principles." Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CALDERWOOD (Prof. H.).—A HANDBOOK OF MORAL PHILOSOPHY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- FISKE (John).—Outlines of Cosmic Philosophy, based on the Doctrine of Evolution. 2 vols. 8vo. 25s.
- FOWLER (Rev. Thomas). PROGRESSIVE MORALITY: An Essay in Ethics. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- HARPER (Father Thomas).—THE META-PHYSICS OF THE SCHOOL. In 5 vols.—Vols. I. and II. 8vo. 18s. each.—Vol. III. Part I. 12s.
- KANT.—KANT'S CRITICAL PHILOSOPHY FOR ENGLISH READERS. By J. P. MAHAFFY, D.D., and J. H. BERNARD, B.D. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo.—Vol. I. THE KRITIK OF PURE REASON EXPLAINED AND DEFENDED. 7s.6d. —Vol. II. THE PROLEGOMENA. Translated, with Notes and Appendices. 6s.
- KANT-MAX MÜLLER. -- CRITIQUE OF PURE REASON BY IMMANUEL KANT. Translated by F. MAX MÜLLER. With Introduction by LUDWIG NOIRE. 2 vols. 8vo. rós. each (sold separately).--Vol. I. HIS-TORICAL INTRODUCTION, by LUDWIG NOIRE, etc.--Vol. II. CRITIQUE OF PURE REASON.
- MAURICE (F. D.).-MORAL AND META-PHYSICAL PHILOSOPHY. 2 vols. 8vo. 16s.
- McCOSH (Rev. Dr. James).—The Method of the Divine Government, Physical and Moral. 8vo. 105.6d.
- The Supernatural in Relation to The Natural. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 The Intuitions of the Mind. 8vo.
- ---- THE INTUITIONS OF THE MIND. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- AN EXAMINATION OF MR. J. S. MILL'S PHILOSOPHY. 8vo. 105. 6d.
- CHRISTIANITY AND POSITIVISM. Lectures on Natural Theology and Apologetics. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. — THE SCOTTISH PHILOSOPHY FROM HUT-
- ----- THE SCOTTISH PHILOSOPHY FROM HUT-CHESON TO HAMILTON, BIOGRAPHICAL, EX-POSITORY, CRITICAL. Roy. 8vo. 16s.
- Realistic Philosophy Defended in A. Philosophic Series. 2 vols.—Vol. I. Expository. Vol. II. Historical and Critical. Cr. 8vo. 145.

PHILOSOPHY.

Ethics and Metaphysics-continued.

McCOSH (Rev. Dr. J.) .- FIRST AND FUND-AMENTAL TRUTHS. Being a Treatise on Metaphysics. 8vo. 9s.

- THE PREVAILING TYPES OF PHILOSOPHY : CAN THEY LOGICALLY REACH REALITY? 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- MASSON (Prof. David).-RECENT BRITISH PHILOSOPHY. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SIDGWICK (Prof. Henry) .- THE METHODS OF ETHICS. 4th Edit., revised. 8vo. 14s.

 A SUPPLEMENT TO THE SECOND EDITION. Containing all the important Additions and Alterations in the Fourth Edition. 8vo. 6s. - OUTLINES OF THE HISTORY OF ETHICS FOR ENGLISH READERS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THORNTON (W. T.). - OLD-FASHIONED ETHICS AND COMMON-SENSE METAPHYSICS. 8vo. 105.6d.

Logic.

- BOOLE (George). THE MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS OF LOGIC. 8vo. sewed. 5s.
- CARROLL (Lewis) .- THE GAME OF LOGIC. Cr. 8vo. 3s. net.
- JEVONS (W. Stanley) .- A PRIMER OF LOGIC. 18mo. 15.
- ELEMENTARY LESSONS IN LOGIC, DE-DUCTIVE AND INDUCTIVE. 18mo. 3s. 6d.
- Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 2nd
- THE PRINCIPLES OF SCIENCE : A Treatise on Logic and Scientific Method. Cr. 8vo. 125. 6d.

- PURE LOGIC: and other Minor Works. Edited by R. ADAMSON, M.A., and HAR-RIET A. JEVONS. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

- KEYNES (J. N.).-Studies and Exercises IN FORMAL LOGIC. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- McCOSH (Rev. Dr.) .- THE LAWS OF DIS-CURSIVE THOUGHT. A Text-Book of Formal Logic. Cr. 8vo. 55.
- RAY (Prof. P. K.) .- A TEXT-BOOK OF DE-DUCTIVE LOGIC. 4th Edit. Globe 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- VENN (Rev. John).—THE LOGIC OF CHANCE. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 105. 6d.
 SYMBOLIC LOGIC. Cr. 8vo. 105. 6d.
 THE PRINCIPLES OF EMPIRICAL OR IN-DUCTIVE LOGIC. 8vo. 18s.

Psychology.

- BALDWIN (Prof. J. M.).-HANDBOOK OF PSYCHOLOGY: Senses and Intellect. 8vo. 12s.6d. - FEELING AND WILL. 8vo. 125.6d.
- CALDERWOOD (Prof. H.). THE RELA-TIONS OF MIND AND BRAIN. 8vo. 125.
- CLIFFORD (W. K.) .- SEEING AND THINK-ING. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- HÖFFDING (Prof. H.) .- OUTLINES OF PSY-CHOLOGY. Translated by M. E. LOWNDES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- JAMES (Prof. William).—THE PRINCIPLES OF PSYCHOLOGY. 2 vols. Demy 8vo. 25s. net.
- JARDINE (Rev. Robert) .- THE ELEMENTS OF THE PSYCHOLOGY OF COGNITION. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.

McCOSH (Rev. Dr.).-PSychology. Cr. 8vo. I. THE COGNITIVE POWERS. 6s. 6d.-II. THE MOTIVE POWERS. 6s. 6d.

- THE EMOTIONS. 8vo. 9s.

MAUDSLEY (Dr. Henry). --- THE PHYSIOLOGY OF MIND. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.

- THE PATHOLOGY OF MIND. 8vo. 18s. - BODY AND MIND. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.

MURPHY (J. J.) .-- HABIT AND INTELLI-GENCE. 2nd Edit. Illustrated. 8vo. 16s.

PHOTOGRAPHY.

MELDOLA (Prof. R.) .- THE CHEMISTRY OF PHOTOGRAPHY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

PHYSICS OR NATURAL PHILOSOPHY.

General-Electricity and Magnetism-Heat, Light, and Sound.

General.

- ANDREWS (Dr. Thomas): THE SCIENTIFIC PAPERS OF THE LATE. With a Memoir by Profs. TAIT and CRUM BROWN. 8vo. 18s.
- EVERETT (Prof. J. D.) .- THE C. G. S. Sys-TEM OF UNITS, WITH TABLES OF PHYSICAL CONSTANTS. New Edit. Globe 8vo. 5s.
- FESSENDEN (C.).-PHYSICS FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS. Globe 8vo.
- FISHER (Rev. Osmond) .- PHYSICS OF THE EARTH'S CRUST. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 12s.
- GUILLEMIN (Amédée).—THE FORCES OF NATURE. A Popular Introduction to the Study of Physical Phenomena. 455 Wood-cuts. Roy. 8vo. 215.
- THE APPLICATIONS OF PHYSICAL FORCES. With Plates and Illustrations. Roy. 8vo. 215.
- KEMPE (A. B.).-How to draw a Straight LINE. Cr. 8vo. 15.6d.
- LOEWY (B.) .- QUESTIONS AND EXAMPLES IN EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS, SOUND, LIGHT, HEAT, ELECTRICITY, AND MAGNETISM. Fcp. 8vo. 2s.
- A GRADUATED COURSE OF NATURAL SCIENCE. Part I. Gl. 8vo. 2s.-Part II. 2s.6d.
- MOLLOY (Rev. G.) .- GLEANINGS IN SCI-ENCE: A Series of Popular Lectures on Scientific Subjects. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- STEWART (Prof. Balfour). A PRIMER OF PHYSICS. Illustrated. 18mo. 15.
- LESSONS IN ELEMENTARY PHYSICS. Illustrated: Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d. — QUESTIONS. By T. H. CORE. 18mo. 2s.

- STEWART (Prof. Balfour) and GEE (W. W. Haldane).-LESSONS IN ELEMENTARY PRAC-TICAL PHYSICS. Illustrated.-GENERAL PHY-SICAL PROCESSES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- TAIT (Prof. P. G.) .- LECTURES ON SOME RECENT ADVANCES IN PHYSICAL SCIENCE. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- THOMSON (Sir Wm.).—POPULAR LECTURES AND ADDRESSES.—Vol. I. CONSTITUTION OF MATTER. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Electricity and Magnetism.

- CUMMING (Linnæus).-AN INTRODUCTION TO ELECTRICITY. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- DAY (R. E.) .- ELECTRIC LIGHT ARITHMETIC. 18mo. 25.
- GRAY (Prof. Andrew) .- THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF ABSOLUTE MEASUREMENTS IN ELECTRICITY AND MAGNETISM. 2 vols. Vol. I. 125. 6d. Cr. 8vo.
- ABSOLUTE MEASUREMENTS IN ELECTRI-CITY AND MAGNETISM. Fcp. 8vo. 5s. 6d.

- GUILLEMIN (A.).—ELECTRICITY AND MAG-NETISM. A Popular Treatise. Translated and Edited by Prof. SILVANUS P. THOMP-SON. Super Roy. 8vo. 313.6d.
- LODGE (Prof. Oliver).—MODERN VIEWS OF ELECTRICITY. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- MENDENHALL (T. C.).—A CENTURY OF ELECTRICITY. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- STEWART (Prof. Balfour) and GEE (W. W. Haldane).—Lessons in Blementary Practical Physics. Cr. 8vo. Illustrated.—Electricity and Magnetism. 7s. 6d. — Practical Physics for Schools. Gl.
- PRACTICAL PHYSICS FOR SCHOOLS. GI. 8vo.—ELECTRICITY AND MAGNETISM. 25.6d.
- THOMPSON (Prof. Silvanus P.). ELE-MENTARY LESSONS IN ELECTRICITY AND MAGNETISM. Illustrated. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THOMSON (Sir Wm.).—PAPERS ON ELEC-TROSTATICS AND MAGNETISM. 8vo. 18s.
- TURNER (H. H.).—EXAMPLES ON HEAT AND ELECTRICITY. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Heat, Light, and Sound.

- AIRY (Sir G. B.).—ON SOUND AND ATMO-SPHERIC VIBRATIONS. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- CARNOT--THURSTON.--REFLECTIONS ON THE MOTIVE POWER OF HEAT, AND ON MACHINES FITTED TO DEVELOP THAT POWER. From the French of N. L. S. CAR-NOT. Edited by R. H. THURSTON, LL.D. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- CLAUSIUS(R.).—THE MECHANICAL THEORY OF HEAT. Translated by W. R. BROWNE. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- FERRERS (Rev. N. M.).—Spherical Har-Monics and Subjects connected with Them. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- JOHNSON (Amy).—SUNSHINE. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo.
- JONES (Prof. D. E.).—HEAT, LIGHT, AND SOUND. Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- MAYER (Prof. A. M.).-Sound. A Series of Simple Experiments, Illustr. Cr. 8vo. 3s.6d.
- MAYER (Prof. A. M.) and BARNARD (C.)-LIGHT. A Series of Simple Experiments. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- PARKINSON (S.).—A TREATISE ON OPTICS. 4th Edit., revised. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- PEABODY (Prof. C. H.).—THERMODYNAMICS OF THE STEAM ENGINE AND OTHER HEAT-ENGINES. 8vo. 215.
- PERRY (Prof. J.).—STEAM: An Elementary Treatise. 18mo. 4s. 6d.
- PRESTON (T.).-THE THEORY OF LIGHT. Illustrated. 8vo. 15s. net.
- THE THEORY OF HEAT. 8vo.
- RAYLEIGH (Lord).—THEORY OF SOUND. 8vo. Vol. I. 12s. 6d.—Vol. II. 12s. 6d.
- SHANN (G.).—AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON HEAT IN RELATION TO STEAM AND THE STEAM-ENGINE, Illustr. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- SPOTTISWOODE (W.).—POLARISATION OF LIGHT. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- STEWART (Prof. Balfour) and GEE (W. W. Haldane).—Lessons in Elementary Practical Physics. Cr. 8vo. Illustrated.— Optics, Heart, and Sound. — Practical Physics for Schools. Gl.
- --- PRACTICAL PHYSICS FOR SCHOOLS. GI. 8vo.-HEAT, LIGHT, AND SOUND.

- STOKES (Sir George G.).—ON LIGHT. The Burnett Lectures. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- STONE (W. H.).—ELEMENTARY LESSONS ON SOUND. Illustrated. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- TAIT (Prof. P. G.).—HEAT. With Illustrations. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- TAYLOR (Sedley).—Sound and Music. 2nd Edit. Ext. cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.

TURNER (H. H.). (See ELECTRICITY.)

WRIGHT (Lewis).—LIGHT. A Course of Experimental Optics. Illust. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

PHYSIOGRAPHY and METEOROLOGY.

- ARATUS.—THE SKIES AND WEATHER FORE-CASTS OF ARATUS. Translated by E. POSTE, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- BLANFORD (H. F.).—THE RUDIMENTS OF PHYSICAL GEOGRAPHY FOR THE USE OF INDIAN SCHOOLS. Illustr. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- ---- A Practical Guide to the Climates and Weather of India, Cevlon and Burmah, and the Storms of Indian Seas. 8vo. 128 6d.
- FERREL (Prof. W.).-A Popular Treatise on the Winds. 8vo. 18s.
- FISHER (Rev. Osmond).—Physics of THE EARTH'S CRUST. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 12s.
- GALTON (Francis).—METEOROGRAPHICA; or, Methods of Mapping the Weather. 4to. 9s.
- GEIKIE (Sir Archibald).—A PRIMER OF PHY-SICAL GEOGRAPHY. Illustrated. 18mo. 15.
- ELEMENTARY LESSONS IN PHYSICAL GEOGRAPHY. Illustrated. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d
- ---- QUESTIONS ON THE SAME. 15.6d.
- HUXLEY (Prof. T. H.):-PHYSIOGRAPHY. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- LOCKYER (J. Norman). OUTLINES OF PHY-SIOGRAPHY: THE MOVEMENTS OF THE EARTH. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo, swd. 1s. 6d.
- MELDOLA (Prof. R.) and WHITE (Wm.).-REPORT ON THE EAST ANGLIAN EARTH-QUAKE OF APRIL 22ND, 1884. 8vo. 3s 6d.

PHYSIOLOGY.

- FEARNLEY (W.).—A MANUAL OF ELEMEN-TARY PRACTICAL HISTOLOGY. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- FOSTER (Prof. Michael).—A TEXT-BOOK OF PHYSICLOCY. Illustrated. 5th Edit. 8vo.— Part I. Book I. BLOOD: THE TISSUES OF MOVEMENT, THE VASCULAR MECHANISM. ros. 6d.—Part II. Book II. THE TISSUES OF CHEMICAL ACTION. WITH THEIR RESPECTIVE MECHANISMS: NUTRITION. 105. 6d.—Part III. BOOK III. THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM. 7s. 6d.—Part IV. BOOK III. THE SENSES, AND SOME SPECIAL MUSCULAR MECHANISMS.—BOOK IV. THE TISSUES AND MECHANISMS OF REPRODUCTION. 105. 6d.
- A PRIMER OF PHYSIOLOGY. 18mo. 15.
- FOSTER (Prof. M.) and LANGLEY (J. N.). —A Course of Elementary Practical Physiology and Histology. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- GAMGEE (Arthur).—A TEXT-BOOK OF THE PHYSIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY OF THE ANIMAL BODY. Vol. I. 8vo. 18s. Vol. II.

PHYSIOLOGY—continued.

- HUMPHRY (Prof. Sir G. M.).-THE HUMAN FOOT AND THE HUMAN HAND. Illustrated. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- HUXLEY (Prof. Thos. H.). LESSONS IN ELEMENTARY PHYSIOLOGY. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d. - QUESTIONS. By T. ALCOCK. 18mo. 1s.6d.
- MIVART (St. George).—LESSONS IN ELE-MENTARY ANATOMY. Fcp. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- PETTIGREW (J. Bell) .- THE PHYSIOLOGY OF THE CIRCULATION IN PLANTS IN THE LOWER ANIMALS AND IN MAN. 8vo. 125.
- SEILER (Dr. Carl).-MICRO-PHOTOGRAPHS IN HISTORY, NORMAL AND PATHOLOGICAL. 4to. 315.6d.

POETRY. (See under LITERATURE, p. 14.) POLITICAL ECONOMY.

BASTABLE (Prof. C. F.) .- PUBLIC FINANCE.

- BÖHM-BAWERK (Prof.) .- CAPITAL AND IN-TEREST. Trans. by W. SMART. 8vo. 125, net. — The Positive Theory of Capital. By
- the same Translator. 125. net.
- BOISSEVAIN (G. M.).—THE MONETARY QUESTION. 8vo, sewed. 3s. net.
- BONAR (James) .- MALTHUS AND HIS WORK. 8vo. 125.6d.
- CAIRNES (J. E.) .- SOME LEADING PRINCI-PLES OF POLITICAL ECONOMY NEWLY EX-POUNDED. 8vo. 145.
- THE CHARACTER AND LOGICAL METHOD OF POLITICAL ECONOMY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CLARKE (C. B.). SPECULATIONS FROM POLITICAL ECONOMY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- CO-OPERATION IN THE UNITED STATES : HISTORY OF. Edited by H. B. ADAMS. 8vo. 155.
- DICTIONARY OF POLITICAL ECON-OMY, A. By various Writers. Edited by R. H. INGLIS PALGRAVE. 3s.6d. net. (Part I. July, 1891.)
- ECONOMIC JOURNAL, THE. -- THE JOURNAL OF THE BRITISH ECONOMIC ASSO-CLATION. Edit. by Prof. F. Y. EDGEWORTH. Published Quarterly. 8vo. 5s. (Part I. April, 1891.)
- ECONOMICS: THE QUARTERLY JOURNAL OF. Vol. II. Parts II. III. IV: 25.6d. each. -Vol. III. 4 parts. 2s. 6d. each.-Vol. IV. 4 parts. 2s. 6d. each.
- FAWCETT (Henry).-MANUAL OF POLITI-CAL ECONOMY. 7th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 125.
- AN EXPLANATORY DIGEST OF THE ABOVE. By C. A. WATERS. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- FREE TRADE AND PROTECTION. 6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FAWCETT (Mrs. H.).—POLITICAL ECON-OMY FOR BEGINNERS, WITH QUESTIONS. 7th Edit. 18mo. 2s. 6d.
- FIRST LESSONS IN BUSINESS MAT-TERS. By A BANKER'S DAUGHTER. 2nd 18mo. 15. Edit.
- GILMAN (N. P.). PROFIT-SHARING BE-TWEEN EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- GOSCHEN (Rt. Hon. George J.) .- REPORTS AND SPEECHES ON LOCAL TAXATION. 8vo. 5s.
- GUIDE TO THE UNPROTECTED: IN EVERY-DAY MATTERS RELATING TO PRO-PERTY AND INCOME. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- GUNTON (George) .- WEALTH AND PRO-GRESS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- HORTON (Hon. S. Dana) .- THE SILVER POUND AND ENGLAND'S MONETARY POLICY SINCE THE RESTORATION. 8vo. 145.
- HOWELL (George). THE CONFLICTS OF CAPITAL AND LABOUR. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- JEVONS (W. Stanley).- A PRIMER OF POLITI-CAL ECONOMY. 18mo. 15.
- THE THEORY OF POLITICAL ECONOMY. 3rd Ed. 8vo. 105.6d.
- INVESTIGATIONS IN CURRENCY AND FI-NANCE. Edit. by H. S. FOXWELL. 8vo. 215.
- KEYNES (J. N.).—THE SCOPE AND METHOD OF POLITICAL ECONOMY. Cr. 8vo. 7s. net.
- MACDONELL (John) .- THE LAND QUES-TION. 8vo. 105.6d.
- MARSHALL (Prof. Alfred) .- PRINCIPLES OF ECONOMICS. 2 vols. 8vo. Vol. I. 125.6d. net.
- MARSHALL (Prof. A. and Mary P.) .- THE ECONOMICS OF INDUSTRY. Ext.fcp.8vo. 25.6d.
- MARTIN (Frederick).—The History of Lloyd's, and of Marine Insurance in Great Britain. 8vo. 145.
- PRICE (L. L. F. R.) .- INDUSTRIAL PEACE: ITS ADVANTAGES, METHODS, AND DIFFI-CULTIES. Med. 8vo. 6s.
- SIDGWICK (Prof. Henry) .- THE PRINCIPLES OF POLITICAL ECONOMY. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 16s.
- SMART (W.) .- AN INTRODUCTION TO THE THEORY OF VALUE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. net.
- WALKER (Francis A.) .- FIRST LESSONS IN POLITICAL ECONOMY. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- A BRIEF TEXT-BOOK OF POLITICAL ECONOMY. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d. — POLITICAL ECONOMY. 8v
- 125. 6d. 8vo.
- THE WAGES QUESTION. Ext. cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d. net.
- MONEY. New Edit. Ext.cr.8vo. 8s.6d.net.
- Money in its Relation to Trade and INDUSTRY. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- LAND AND ITS RENT. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- WALLACE (A. R.).-BAD TIMES : An Essay. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.
- WICKSTEED (Ph. H.).-THE ALPHABET OF ECONOMIC SCIENCE,-I. ELEMENTS OF THE THEORY OF VALUE OR WORTH. Gl. 8vo. 25.6d.

POLITICS.

(See also HISTORY.)

- ADAMS (Sir F. O.) and CUNNINGHAM (C.)-THE SWISS CONFEDERATION. 8vo. 14s.
- BAKER (Sir Samuel W.).—THE EGYPTIAN QUESTION. 8vo, sewed. 25.
- BATH (Marquis of). OBSERVATIONS ON BULGARIAN AFFAIRS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- BRIGHT (John) .- SPEECHES ON QUESTIONS OF PUBLIC POLICY. Edit. by J. E. THOROLD ROGERS. With Portrait. 2 vols. 8vo. 255--Popular Edition. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d. - PUBLIC ADDRESSES. Edited by J. E. T.

 - ROGERS. 8vo. 14s.
- BRYCE (Jas., M.P.).-THE AMERICAN COM-MONWEALTH. 2 vols. Ext. cr. 8vo. 255.
- BURKE (Edmund) .- LETTERS, TRACTS, AND SPEECHES ON IRISH AFFAIRS. Edited by MATTHEW ARNOLD, with Preface. Cr. 8vo. 6s. REFLECTIONS ON THE FRENCH REVOLU-
 - TION. Ed. by F. G. SELBY. Globe 8vo. 55-

- CAIRNES (J. E.) .- POLITICAL ESSAYS. 8vo. 105. 6d. — THE SLAVE POWER. 8vo. 105. 6d.
- COBDEN (Richard).—Speeches on Ques-tions of Public Policy. Ed. by J. Bright and J. E. THOROLD ROGERS. Gl. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- DICEY (Prof. A. V.).—LETTERS ON UNIONIST DELUSIONS. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- DILKE (Rt. Hon. Sir Charles W.).—GREATER BRITAIN. A Record of Travel in English-Speaking Countries during 1866-67. (America, Australia, India.) yth Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s. PROBLEMS OF GREATER BRITAIN. Maps.
- 3rd Edit. Ext. cr. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- DONISTHORPE (Wordsworth). INDIVI-DUALISM: A System of Politics. 8vo. 14s.
- DUFF (Rt. Hon. Sir M. E. Grant) .- MISCELLA-NIES, POLITICAL AND LITERARY. 8vo. 105.6d.
- ENGLISH CITIZEN, THE.—His Rights and Responsibilities. Ed. by HENRY CRAIK, C.B. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. each.
 - THE PUNISHMENT AND PREVENTION OF CRIME. By Col. Sir Edmund du Cane. Local Government. By M. D. Chalmers.
 - COLONIES AND DEPENDENCIES: Part I. IN-DIA. By J. S. COTTON, M.A.—II. THE COLONIES. By E. J. PAYNE. THE STATE IN ITS RELATION TO EDUCA-
 - TION. By HENRY CRAIK, C.B.
 - THE STATE AND THE CHURCH. By Hon. ARTHUR ELLIOTT, M.P. THE STATE IN ITS RELATION TO TRADE.
 - By Sir T. H. FARRER, Bart. THE POOR LAW. By the Rev. T. W. FOWLE.

 - THE STATE IN RELATION TO LABOUR. By W. STANLEY JEVONS.
 - JUSTICE AND POLICE. By F. W. MAITLAND. JUSTICE AND FOLICE. By Colonel THE NATIONAL DEFENCES. By Colonel P.A. [In the Press.
 - THE LAND LAWS. By Sir F. Pollock,
 - Bart. 2nd Edit. CENTRAL GOVERNMENT. By H. D. TRAILL.
 - THE ELECTORATE AND THE LEGISLATURE. By Spencer Walpole.
 - FOREIGN RELATIONS. By S. WALPOLE. THE NATIONAL BUDGET; THE NATIONAL
 - DEBT; TAXES AND RATES. By A. J. WILSON.
- FAWCETT (Henry). SPEECHES ON SOME CURRENT POLITICAL QUESTIONS. 8vo. 105.6d. FREE TRADE AND PROTECTION. 6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FAWCETT (Henry and Mrs. H.) .- ESSAYS AND LECTURES ON POLITICAL AND SOCIAL SUBJECTS. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- FISKE (John).-AMERICAN POLITICAL IDEAS VIEWED FROM THE STAND-POINT OF UNI-versal History. Cr. 8vo. 4s. — Civil Government in the United
- STATES CONSIDERED WITH SOME REFERENCE TO ITS ORIGIN. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- FREEMAN (Prof. E. A.).-DISESTABLISH-MENT AND DISENDOWMENT, WHAT ARE THEY? 4th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 18.
- COMPARATIVE POLITICS and THE UNITY
- OF HISTORY. 8vo. 14s. THE GROWTH OF THE ENGLISH CONSTI-TUTION. 5th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- HARWOOD (George).-DISESTABLISHMENT; or, a Defence of the Principle of a National Church. 8vo. 125.
 - THE COMING DEMOCRACY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

- HILL (Florence D.) .- CHILDREN OF THE STATE. Ed. by FANNY FOWKE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- HILL (Octavia).—Our Common Land, and Other Essays. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- HOLLAND (Prof. T. E.) .- THE TREATY RE-LATIONS OF RUSSIA AND TURKEY, FROM 1774 TO 1853. Cr. 8vo. 25.
- IENKS (Prof. Edward) .- THE GOVERNMENT OF VICTORIA (AUSTRALIA). 8vo. 14s.
- LOWELL (J. R.). (See Collected Works.)
- LUBBOCK (Sir J.). (See Collected Works.)
- MACDONELL (John) .- THE LAND QUES-TION. 8vo. 105.6d.
- PALGRAVE (Reginald F. D.).-THE HOUSE OF COMMONS: Illustrations of its History and Practice. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- PALGRAVE (W. Gifford). Essays on EASTERN QUESTIONS. 8vo. 105.6d.
- POLLOCK (Sir F., Bart.).-INTRODUCTION TO THE HISTORY OF THE SCIENCE OF POLITICS. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- PRACTICAL POLITICS. Published under the auspices of the National Liberal Federation. 8vo. 6s.
- ROGERS (Prof. J. E. T.).-COBDEN AND POLITICAL OPINION. 8vo. 105.6d.
- ROUTLEDGE (Jas.) .- POPULAR PROGRESS 8vo. in England 165.
- RUSSELL (Sir Charles).-New VIEWS ON IRELAND. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- THE PARNELL COMMISSION : THE OPEN-ING SPEECH FOR THE DEFENCE. 8vo. 105.6d. -Popular Edition. Sewed. 2s.
- SELBORNE (Earl of). (See under THEO-LOGY, p. 32.)
- SIDGWICK (Prof. Henry).-THE ELEMENTS OF POLITICS. 8vo. 14s. net.
- SMITH (Goldwin).-CANADA AND THE CANA-DIAN QUESTION. 8vo. 8s. net.
- STATESMAN'S YEAR-BOOK, THE. (See STATISTICS.)
- STATHAM (R.). BLACKS, BOERS, AND BRITISH. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

THORNTON (W. T.).--A PLEA FOR PEASANT PROPRIETORS. New Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. — INDIAN PUBLIC WORKS, AND COGNATE INDIAN TOPICS. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.

- TRENCH (Capt. F.).—THE RUSSO-INDIAN QUESTION. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- WALLACE (Sir Donald M.).-EGYPT AND THE EGYPTIAN QUESTION. 8vo. 14s.

PSYCHOLOGY.

(See under PHILOSOPHY, p. 26.)

SCULPTURE. (See Art.)

SOCIAL ECONOMY.

- FAWCETT (H. and Mrs. H.). (See POLITICS.)
- HILL (Octavia) .- HOMES OF THE LONDON POOR. Cr. 8vo, sewed. 1s.
- HUXLEY (Prof. T. H.) .- SOCIAL DISEASES AND WORSE REMEDIES: Letters to the "Times" on Mr. Booth's Schemes. Cr. 8vo. sewed. 1s. net.
- JEVONS (W. Stanley).-METHODS OF SOCIAL REFORM. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- STANLEY (Hon. Maude). CLUBS FOR WORKING GIRLS. Cr. 8vo. 6s

SOUND. (See under Physics, p. 27.) SPORT.

- BAKER (Sir Samuel W.).-WILD BEASTS AND THEIR WAYS: REMINISCENCES OF EUROPE, ASIA, AFRICA, AMERICA, FROM 1845—88. Illustrated. Ext. cr. 8vo. 125.6d.
- CHASSERESSE(D.).-Sporting Sketches. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- EDWARDS-MOSS (Sir J. E., Bart). A SEASON IN SUTHERLAND. Cr. 8vo. 15. 6d.

STATISTICS.

STATESMAN'S YEAR-BOOK, THE. Statistical and Historical Annual of the States of the World for the Year 1891. Revised after Official Returns. Ed. by J. SCOTT KELTIE. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.

SURGERY. (See MEDICINE.)

SWIMMING.

LEAHY (Sergeant) .- THE ART OF SWIMMING IN THE ETON STYLE. Cr. 8vo. 25.

THEOLOGY.

The Bible—History of the Christian Church— The Church of England—Devotional Books —The Fathers—Hymnology—Sermons, Lectures, Addresses, and Theological Essays.

The Bible.

History of the Bible-

- THE ENGLISH BIBLE; An External and Critical History of the various English Translations of Scripture. By Prof. JOHN EADIE. 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.
- THE BIBLE IN THE CHURCH. By Right Rev. Bp. WESTCOTT. 10th edit. 18mo. 4s. 6d.

Biblical History-

- BIBLE LESSONS. By Rev. E. A. ABBOIT. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- STORIES FROM THE BIBLE. By Rev. A. J. CHURCH. Illust. Cr.8vo. 2 parts. 3s.6d.each.
- BIBLE READINGS SELECTED FROM THE PEN-TATEUCH AND THE BOOK OF JOSHUA. By Rev. J. A. CROSS. Gl. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- THE CHILDREN'S TREASURY OF BIBLE STORIES. By Mrs. H. GASKOIN. 18mo. 18. each.—Part I. Old Testament; II. New Testament; III. The Apostles.
- A CLASS-BOOK OF OLD TESTAMENT HIS-TORY. By Rev. Dr. MACLEAR. 18mo. 4s.6d. A CLASS-BOOK OF NEW TESTAMENT HIS-

- CLASS-DOOR NEW LESTAMENT HIS-TORY. By the same, iBmo. 5.5, 6d. SHILLING BOOK OF OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY. By the same, 18mo. 15. SHILLING BOOK OF NEW TESTAMENT HISTORY. By the same, 18mo. 15.
- The Old Testament-
 - SCRIPTURE READINGS FOR SCHOOLS AND FAMILIES. By C. M. YONGE. Globe 8vo. 1s. 6d. each : also with comments, 3s. 6d. each. - GENESIS TO DEUTERONOMY, -JOSHUA TO SOLOMON.—KINGS AND THE PROPHETS.—THE GOSPELTIMES.—APOS-TOLIC TIMES.
 - THE PATRIARCHS AND LAWGIVERS OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. By F. D. MAURICE.

 - The Bits Isament. By F. D. Informer, The Bits Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. The Prophers AND KINGS OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. By the same. Cr. 8vo. 6s. INTRODUCTION TO THE HISTORY OF THE CANON OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. By Prof. H. E. Ryle. Cr. 8vo.

The Pentateuch-

AN HISTORICO-CRITICAL INQUIRY INTO THE ORIGIN AND COMPOSITION OF THE HEXA-TEUCH (PENTATEUCH AND BOOK OF JOSHUA). By Prof. A. KUENEN. Trans. by P. H. WICKSTEED, M.A. 8vo. 14s.

The Psalms-

- THE PSALMS CHRONOLOGICALLY ARRANGED. By FOUR FRIENDS. Cr. 8vo. 5s. net. GOLDEN TREASURY PSALTER. Student's
- Edition of the above. 18mo. 3s. 6d.
- THE PSALMS. With Introduction and Notes, By A. C. JENNINGS, M.A., and W. H. LOWE, M.A. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d, each.
- INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY AND USE OF THE PSALMS. By Rev. J. F. THRUPP. 2nd Edit. 2 vols. 8vo. 21s.
- Isaiah-
 - ISAIAH XL.-LXVI. With the Shorter Pro-
 - ISAIAH XL,—LXVI. With the Shorter Fro-phecies allied to it. Edited by MATTHEW ARNOLD. Cr. 8vo. 5s. ISAIAH OF JERUSALEM. In the Authorised English Version, with Introduction and Notes. By the same. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. A BIBLE-READING FOR SCHOOLS. The Great Development of Legalish Restoration (Jesich)
 - Prophecy of Israel's Restoration (Isaiah xl.-lxvi.). Arranged and Edited for Young
 - Learners. By the same. 13mo. 1.s. COMMENTARY ON THE BOOK OF ISAIAH: Critical, Historical, and Prophetical; in-cluding a Revised English Translation. By T. R. BIRKS. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 12s.6d.
 - THE BOOK OF ISAIAH CHRONOLOGICALLY ARRANGED. By T. K. CHEVNE. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Zechariah-

- THE HEBREW STUDENT'S COMMENTARY ON ZECHARIAH, Hebrew and LXX. By W. H. LOWE, M.A. 8vo. 105.6d.
- The New Testament-
 - THE NEW TESTAMENT. Essay on the Right Estimation of MS. Evidence in the Text of the New Testament. By T. R. BIRKS. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
 - THE MESSAGES OF THE BOOKS. Discourses and Notes on the Books of the New Testa-ment. By Archd. FARRAR. 8vo. 148. THE CLASSICAL ELEMENT IN THE NEW TESTAMENT. Considered as a Proof of its Canvingerse, with an Appendix on the
 - Genuineness, with an Appendix on the Oldest Authorities used in the Formation of the Canon. By C.H. HOOLE. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
 - ON A FRESH REVISION OF THE ENGLISH NEW TESTAMENT. With an Appendix on the last Petition of the Lord's Prayer. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 - THE UNITY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By
 - F. D. MAURICE. 2 VOIS. Cr. 8VO. 125. A COMPANION TO THE GREEK TESTAMENT AND THE ENGLISH VERSION. BY PHILIP SCHAFF, D.D. Cr. 8vo. 125. A GENERAL SURVEY OF THE HISTORY OF
 - THE CANON OF THE NEW TESTAMENT DURING THE FIRST FOUR CENTURIES. By
 - Bishop WESTCOTT. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d. THE NEW TESTAMENT IN THE ORIGINAL GREEK. The Text revised by Bishop WESTCOTT, D.D., and Prof. F. J. A. HORT, D.D. 2 vols, Cr. 8vo. 105.6d. each.-Vol. I. Text.-Vol. II. Introduction and Appendix.
 - SCHOOL EDITION OF THE ABOVE. 18mo, 4s. 6d.; 18mo, roan, 5s. 6d.; morocco, gilt edges, 6s. 6d.

The Gospels-

- THE COMMON TRADITION OF THE SYNOPTIC GOSPELS. In the Text of the Revised Version. By Rev. E. A. ABBOTT and W. G. RUSHBROOKE, Cr. Rvo. 35, 6d. SYNOPTICON: An Exposition of the Common
- Matter of the Synoptic Gospels. By W. G. RUSHBROOKE. Printed in Colours. In Six Parts, and Appendix. 4to.—Part I. 3s. 6d. —Parts II. and III. 7s.—Parts IV. V. and VI., with Indices, 10s. 6d.—Appendices, 10s. 6d.—Complete in 1 vol. 35s. INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF THE FOUR
- GOSPELS. ByBp. WESTCOTT. Cr.8vo. 105.6d. THE COMPOSITION OF THE FOUR GOSPELS. By Rev. ARTHUR WRIGHT. Cr. 8vo. 5s.

Gospel of St. Matthew-

THE GREEK TEXT, with Introduction and Notes by Rev. A. SLOMAN. Fcp. 8vo. 2s.6d. CHOICE NOTES ON ST. MATTHEW. Drawn from Old and New Sources. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. (St. Matthew and St. Mark in 1 vol. 9s.)

Gospel of St. Mark-

- SCHOOL READINGS IN THE GREEK TESTA-MENT. Being the Outlines of the Life of our Lord as given by St. Mark, with additions from the Text of the other Evangelists. Edited, with Notes and Vocabulary,
- by Rev. A. CALVERT, M.A. FCP. 8vo. 2s.6d. CHOICE NOTES ON ST. MARK. Drawn from Old and New Sources. Cr. 8vo. 4s.6d. (St. Matthew and St. Mark in 1 vol. 9s.)

Gospel of St. Luke-

- GREEK TEXT, with Introduction and Notes by Rev. J. BOND, M.A. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d. CHOICE NOTES ON ST. LUKE. Drawn from Old and New Sources. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THE GOSPEL OF THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN. A Course of Lectures on the Gospel of St. Luke. By F. D. MAURICE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

Gospel of St. John-

- THE GOSPEL OF ST. JOHN. By F. D. MAURICE. 8th Ed. Cr. 8vo. 6s. CHOICE NOTES ON ST. JOHN. Drawn from
- Old and New Sources. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

The Acts of the Apostles-

- GREEK TEXT, with Notes by T. E. PAGE, M.A. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d. THE CHURCH OF THE FIRST DAYS: THE
- CHURCH OF THE FIRST DAYS: THE CHURCH OF JERUSALEM, THE CHURCH OF THE GENTILES, THE CHURCH OF THE WORLD. Lectures on the Acts of the Apostles. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.

The Epistles of St. Paul-

- THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS. The Greek Text, with English Notes. By the Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. 7th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- THE EPISTLES TO THE CORINTHIANS. Greek Text, with Commentary. By Rev. W.
- KAY. 8vo. 9s. The EPISTLE TO THE GALATIANS. A Revised Text, with Introduction, Notes, and Dissertations. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. 10th Edit. 8vo. 125.
- THE EPISTLE TO THE PHILIPPIANS. A Revised Text, with Introduction, Notes, and Dissertations. By the same. 8vo. 125.

The Epistles of St. Paul—

- THE EPISTLE TO THE PHILIPPIANS. With Translation, Paraphrase, and Notes for English Readers. By the Very Rev. C. J.
- VAUGHAN. Cr. 8vo. 5s. THE EPISTLES TO THE COLOSSIANS AND TO PHILEMON. A Revised Text, with In-troductions, etc. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. oth Edit. 8vo. 12s.
- oth Edit. 8vo. 123. THE EPISTLES TO THE EPHESIANS, THE COLOSSIANS, AND PHILEMON. With In-troduction and Notes. By Rev. J. Ll. DAVIES. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 7s. 6d. THE FIRST EPISTLE TO THE THESSALO-NIANS. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. 8vo, sewed. 15. 6d. THE EPISTLES TO THE THESSALONIANS. Commentation of Grash Tax. Base
- Commentary on the Greek Text. By Prof. JOHN EADIE. 8vo. 125.
- The Epistle of St. James-

THE GREEK TEXT, with Introduction and Notes. By Rev. JOSEPH MAYOR. 8vo.

- The Epistles of St. John-
 - THE EPISTLES OF ST. JOHN. BY F. D. MAURICE. 4th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s. The Greek Text, with Notes, by Bishop-WESTCOTT. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 12s. 6d.

The Epistle to the Hebrews-

- REEPISAGE to the Interneus-GREEK AND ENGLISH. Edited by Rev., FREDERIC RENDALL. Cr. 8vo. 6s. ENGLISH TEXT, with Commentary. By the-same. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. THE GREEK TEXT, with Notes, by Very-Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. THE GREEK TEXT, with Notes and Essays, by Bishon WESTCOTT. 8vo. 145.

- by Bishop WESTCOTT. 8vo. 14s.

Revelation-

- LECTURES ON THE APOCALYPSE. By F. D. MAURICE. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN. By Rev. Prof. W. MILLIGAN. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- LECTURES ON THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. 5th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 105, 6d.
- THE BIBLE WORD-BOOK. By W. ALDIS. WRIGHT. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

History of the Christian Church.

- CHURCH (Dean). THE OXFORD MOVE-MENT, 1833-45. 8vo. 12s. 6d. net.
- CUNNINGHAM (Rev. John).-THE GROWTH OF THE CHURCH IN ITS ORGANISATION AND INSTITUTIONS. 8vo. 9s.
- CUNNINGHAM (Rev. William). THE. CHURCHES OF ASIA: A Methodical Sketch of the Second Century. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- DALE (A. W. W.) .- THE SYNOD OF ELVIRA, AND CHRISTIAN LIFE IN THE FOURTH CEN-TURY. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- HARDWICK (Archdeacon).-A HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH: MIDDLE AGE. Edited by Bp. STUBBS. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- A HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH DURING THE REFORMATION. 9th Edit., re-vised by Bishop STUBBS. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

THEOLOGY.

History of the Christian Church-contd.

- HORT (Dr. F. J. A.).-Two DISSERTATIONS. I. ON MONOFENHE GEOE IN SCRIPTURE and Tradition. II. On the "Constan-tinopolitan" Creed and other Eastern CREEDS OF THE FOURTH CENTURY, 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- KILLEN (W. D.).—ECCLESIASTICAL HIS-TORY OF IRELAND, FROM THE EARLIEST DATE TO THE PRESENT TIME. 2 vols. 8vo. 255.
- SIMPSON (Rev. W.).—AN EPITOME OF THE HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH. 7th Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- VAUGHAN (Very Rev. C. J.).—THE CHURCH OF THE FIRST DAYS: THE CHURCH OF JERUSALEM, THE CHURCH OF THE GEN-TILES, THE CHURCH OF THE WORLD. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- WARD (W.).-WILLIAM GEORGE WARD AND THE OXFORD MOVEMENT. 8vo. 14s.

The Church of England.

Catechism of-

- A CLASS-BOOK OF THE CATECHISM OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. By Rev. Canon MACLEAR. 18mo. 15.6d.
- A FIRST CLASS-BOOK OF THE CATECHISM OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. By the same. 18mo. 6d.
- THE ORDER OF CONFIRMATION. With Prayers and Devotions. By the same, 32mo. 6d.

·Collects-

- COLLECTS OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. With a Coloured Floral Design to each Collect. Cr. 8vo. 125.
- Disestablishment-
 - DISESTABLISHMENT AND DISENDOWMENT. WHAT ARE THEY? By Prof. E. A. FREE-
 - MAN, 4th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 15. DISESTABLISHMENT; or, A Defence of the Principle of a National Church. By GEO. HARWOOD. 8vo. 125.
 - A DEFENCE OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND AGAINST DISESTABLISHMENT. By ROUN-DELL, EARL OF SELBORNE. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
 - ANCIENT FACTS AND FICTIONS CONCERNING CHURCHES AND TITHES. By the same. Cr. 8vo. 75.6d.

Dissent in its Relation to-

- DISSENT IN ITS RELATION TO THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. By Rev. G. H. CURTEIS. Bampton Lectures for 1871. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Holy Communion-THE COMMUNION SERVICE FROM THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER. With Select Readings from the Writings of the Rev. F. D.
 - MAURICE. Edited by Bishop COLENSO. 6th Edit. 16mo. 2s. 6d. BEFORE THE TABLE : An Inquiry, Historical and Theological, into the Meaning of the Consecration Rubric in the Communion Service of the Church of England. By Very Rev. J. S. Howson. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 - FIRST COMMUNION. With Prayers and Devotions for the newly Confirmed. By Rev. Canon MACLEAR. 32mo. 6d. A MANUAL OF INSTRUCTION FOR CONFIR-
 - MATION AND FIRST COMMUNION. With Prayers and Devotions. By the same. 32mo. 25.

Liturgy

- AN INTRODUCTION TO THE CREEDS. By Rev. Canon MACLEAR. 18mo. 3s. 6d.
- AN INTRODUCTION TO THE THIRTY-NINE
- ARTICLES. By same. 18mo. [In the Press. HISTORY OF THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER. By Rev F. PROCTER. 18th A Edit. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d. An Elementay Introduction to the
- BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER. By Rev. F. PROCTER and Rev. Canon MACLEAR, 18mo. 25, 6d. Twelve' Discourses on Subjects con-NECTED WITH THE LITURGY AND WOR-
- SHIP OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. Fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- A COMPANION TO THE LECTIONARY. By Rev. W. BENHAM, B.D. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- JUDGMENT IN THE CASE OF READ AND OTHERS V. THE LORD BISHOP OF LINCOLN. Nov. 21, 1890. By his Grace the ARCH-BISHOP OF CANTERBURY. 8vo. 1s. 6d. net.

Devotional Books.

- EASTLAKE (Lady). FELLOWSHIP: LET-TERS ADDRESSED TO MY SISTER-MOURNERS. Cr. 8vo. 25.6d.
- IMITATIO CHRISTI. Libri IV. Printed in Borders after Holbein, Dürer, and other old Masters, containing Dances of Death, Acts of Mercy, Emblems, etc. Cr.8vo. 7s.6d.
- KINGSLEY (Charles) .- OUT OF THE DEEP: WORDS FOR THE SORROWFUL. From the Writings of CHARLES KINGSLEY. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- DAILY THOUGHTS. Selected from the Writings of CHARLES KINGSLEV. By HIS WIFE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- FROM DEATH TO LIFE. Fragments of Teaching to a Village Congregation. Edit. by HIS WIFE. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- MACLEAR (Rev. Canon) .-- A MANUAL OF INSTRUCTION FOR CONFIRMATION AND FIRST COMMUNION, WITH PRAYERS AND 25. DEVOTIONS. 32mo.
- THE HOUR OF SORROW; or, The Office for the Burial of the Dead. 32mo. 2s.
- MAURICE (F. D.).-LESSONS OF HOPE. Readings from the Works of F. D. MAURICE. Selected by Rev. J. LL. DAVIES, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- RAYS OF SUNLIGHT FOR DARK DAYS. With a Preface by Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. D.D. New Edition. 18mo. 35.6d.
- SERVICE (Rev. J.) .- PRAYERS FOR PUBLIC WORSHIP. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THE WORSHIP OF GOD, AND FEL-LOWSHIP AMONG MEN. By Prof. MAURICE and others. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- WELBY-GREGORY (Hon. Lady) .-- LINKS AND CLUES. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WESTCOTT (Rt. Rev. Bishop) .- THOUGHTS ON REVELATION AND LIFE. Selections from the Writings of Bishop WESTCOTT. Edited by Rev. S. PHILLIFS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WILBRAHAM (Francis M.).-IN THE SERE AND YELLOW LEAF: THOUGHTS AND RE-COLLECTIONS FOR OLD AND YOUNG. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

The Fathers.

- DONALDSON (Prof. James).—THE APOS-TOLIC FATHERS. A Critical Account of their Genuine Writings, and of their Doctrines. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Works of the Greek and Latin Fathers:
 - THE APOSTOLIC FATHERS. Revised Texts, with Introductions, Notes, Dissertations, and Translations. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. —Part I. ST. CLEMENT OF ROME. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s .- Part II. ST. IGNATIUS TO ST. POLYCARP. 3 vols. 2nd Edit. 8vo. 48s.
 - THE APOSTOLIC FATHERS. Abridged Edit. With Short Introductions, Greek Text, and
 - English Translation. By same. 8vo. 16s. THE EPISTLE OF ST. BARNABAS. Its Date and Authorship. With Greek Text, Latin Version, Translation and Commentary. By Rev. W. CUNNINGHAM. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Hymnology.

- BROOKE (S. A.).—CHRISTIAN HYMNS. Gl. 8vo. 2s. net.—CHRISTIAN HYMNS AND SER-VICE BOOK OF BEDFORD CHAPEL, BLOOMS-BURY. Gl. 8vo. 3s. net .- SERVICE BOOK. Gl. 8vo. 15. net.
- PALGRAVE (Prof. F. T.). ORIGINAL HYMNS. 3rd Edit. 18mo. 15. 6d.
- SELBORNE (Roundell, Earl of) .- THE BOOK OF PRAISE. 18mo. 2s. 6d. net.
- A HYMNAL. Chiefly from "The Book of Praise."—A. Royal 32mo, limp. 6d.—B. 18mo, larger type. 1s.—C. Fine paper. 1s.6d. —With Music, Selected, Harmonised, and Composed by JOHN HULLAH. 18mo. 3s.6d.
- WOODS (Miss M. A.).-HYMNS FOR SCHOOL WORSHIP. 18mo. 1s. 6d.

Sermons, Lectures, Addresses, and Theological Essays.

- ABBOT (F. E.) .- SCIENTIFIC THEISM. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 - THE WAY OUT OF AGNOSTICISM ; or, The Philosophy of Free Religion. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- ABBOTT (Rev. E. A.) .- CAMBRIDGE SER-MONS. 8vo. 6s.
- OXFORD SERMONS. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
 PHILOMYTHUS. A discussion of Cardinal Newman's Essay on Ecclesiastical Miracles. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- NEWMANIANISM. Cr. 8vo. 15. net.
- AINGER (Canon) .- SERMONS PREACHED IN THE TEMPLE CHURCH. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- ALEXANDER (W., Bishop of Derry and Raphoe).—THE LEADING IDEAS OF THE GOSPEL. New Edit. Cr. 8vo.
- BAINES (Rev. Edward) .- SERMONS. With a Preface and Memoir by Bishop BARRY, Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- BARRY (Bishop).—FIRST WORDS IN AUSTRA-LIA: Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- BATHER (Archdeacon) .- ON SOME MINIS-TERIAL DUTIES, CATECHISING, PREACHING, Edited, with a Preface, by Very Rev. Etc. Edited, with a Preface, by Very R. C. J. VAUGHAN, D.D. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- BETHUNE-BAKER (J. F.).-THE INFLU-ENCE OF CHRISTIANITY ON WAR. 8vo. 5s. · THE STERNNESS OF CHRIST'S TEACHING, AND ITS RELATION TO THE LAW OF FOR-GIVENESS. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

BINNIE (Rev. W.) .- SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

- BIRKS (Thomas Rawson) .- THE DIFFICUL. TIES OF BELIEF IN CONNECTION WITH THE CREATION AND THE FALL, REDEMPTION, AND JUDGMENT. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
 - JUSTIFICATION AND IMPUTED RIGHT. EOUSNESS. A Review. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SUPERNATURAL REVELATION; or, First Principles of Moral Theology. 8vo. 8s.
- BROOKE (S. A.) .- SHORT SERMONS. Cr. 8vo.
- BROOKS (Bishop Phillips).-THE CANDLE OF THE LORD : and other Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 6s. PREACHED IN ENGLISH SERMONS CHURCHES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- TWENTY SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s. TOLERANCE. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- THE LIGHT OF THE WORLD. Cr.8vo. 3s.6d.
- BRUNTON (T. Lauder) .- THE BIBLE AND SCIENCE. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- BUTLER (Archer).-SERMONS, DOCTRINAL AND PRACTICAL. 11th Edit. 8vo. 8s.
- SECOND SERIES OF SERMONS. 8vo. 7s. - LETTERS ON ROMANISM. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- BUTLER (Rev. Geo.) .- SERMONS PREACHED IN CHELTENHAM COLL. CHAPEL. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- CALDERWOOD (Rev. Prof.).-THE RELA-TIONS OF SCIENCE AND RELIGION. Cr.8vo. 55 - THE PARABLES OF OUR LORD. Cr. 8vo. 6s
- CAMPBELL (Dr. John M'Leod).-THE NA-
- TURE OF THE ATONEMENT. Cr. 8vo. . 6s. REMINISCENCES AND REFLECTIONS. — REMINISCENCES AND REFLECTIONS. Edited by his Son, DONALD CAMPBELL, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. — Thoughts on Revelation. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE GIFT OF ETERNAL LIFE. Compiled from Sermons preached 1829-31. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- CANTERBURY (Edward White, Archbishop of).—Boy-LIFE: ITS TRIAL, ITS STRENGTH, ITS FULNESS. Sundays in Wellington Col-lege, 1859—73. Cr. 8vo. 6s. — THE SEVEN GIFTS. Primary Visitation
- Address. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CHRIST AND HIS TIMES. Second Visitation Address. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- A PASTORAL LETTER TO THE DIOCESE OF CANTERBURY, 1890. 8vo, sewed. 1d.
- CARPENTER (W. Boyd, Bishop of Ripon) .--TRUTH IN TALE. Addresses, chiefly to Children. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. — THE PERMANENT ELEMENTS OF RE-
- LIGION. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CAZENOVE (J. Gibson) .- CONCERNING THE BEING AND ATTRIBUTES OF GOD. 8vo. 5s.
- CHURCH (Dean) .- HUMAN LIFE AND ITS CONDITIONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE GIFTS OF CIVILISATION : and other Sermons and Letters. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. — DISCIPLINE OF THE CHRISTIAN CHAR-
- ACTER; and other Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. ADVENT SERMONS, 1885. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- VILLAGE SERMONS, Cr. 8vo.
- CLERGYMAN'S SELF-EXAMINATION CONCERNING THE APOSTLES' CREED. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 1s. 6d.
- CONGREVE (Rev. John). HIGH HOPES AND PLEADINGS FOR A REASONABLE FAITH, NOBLER THOUGHTS, AND LARGER CHARITY. Cr. 8vo. 55.

THEOLOGY.

Sermons, Lectures, Addresses, and Theological Essays-continued.

- COOKE (Josiah P., jun.) .- RELIGION AND CHEMISTRY. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- COTTON (Bishop) .- SERMONS PREACHED TO ENGLISH CONGREGATIONS IN INDIA. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- CUNNINGHAM (Rev. W.). CHRISTIAN CIVILISATION, WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO INDIA. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- CURTEIS (Rev. G. H.).—THE SCIENTIFIC Obstacles to Christian Belief. The Boyle Lectures, 1884. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- DAVIES (Rev. J. Llewelyn).—THE GOSPEL AND MODERN LIFE. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- --- SOCIAL QUESTIONS FROM THE POINT OF VIEW OF CHRISTIAN THEOLOGY. Cr.8vo. 6s.
- WARNINGS AGAINST SUPERSTITION. Ext. fcp. Svo. 25. 6d.
- THE CHRISTIAN CALLING. Ext.fp.8vo. 6s. - Order and Growth as Involved in THE SPIRITUAL CONSTITUTION OF HUMAN SOCIETY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. BAPTISM, CONFIRMATION, AND THE
- LORD'S SUPPER. Addresses. 18mo. 15.
- DIGGLE (Rev. J. W.).-Godliness and MANLINESS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- DRUMMOND (Prof. Jas.).-INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF THEOLOGY. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- ECCE HOMO: A SURVEY OF THE LIFE AND WORK OF JESUS CHRIST. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- ELLERTON (Rev. John) .- THE HOLIEST MANHOOD, AND ITS LESSONS FOR BUSY LIVES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- FAITH AND CONDUCT: AN ESSAY ON VERIFIABLE RELIGION. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- FARRAR (Ven. Archdeacon) .- WORKS. Uniform Edition. Cr. 8vo. Monthly from December, 1891. 3s. 6d. each.

- SEEKERS AFTER GOD. ETERNAL HOPE. Westminster Abbev
- THE FALL OF MAN: and other Sermons. THE WITNESS OF HISTORY TO CHRIST.
- Hulsean Lectures, 1870. THE SILENCE AND VOICES OF GOD: Ser-
- IN THE DAYS OF THY YOUTH. Marlborough College Sermons. SAINTLY WORKERS. Five Lenten Lectures. EPHPHATHA; or, The Amelioration of the
- World.
- MERCY AND JUDGMENT.
- SERMONS AND ADDRESSES DELIVERED IN
- AMERICA. THE HISTORY OF INTERPRETATION. Bampton Lectures, 1885. 8vo. 16s.
- FISKE (John).-MAN'S DESTINY VIEWED IN THE LIGHT OF HIS ORIGIN. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FORBES (Rev. Granville) .- THE VOICE OF GOD IN THE PSALMS. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- FOWLE (Rev. T. W.) .- A NEW ANALOGY BETWEEN REVEALED RELIGION AND THE COURSE AND CONSTITUTION OF NATURE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- FRASER (Bishop) .- SERMONS. Edited by JOHN W. DIGGLE. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 6s. each.

- HAMILTON (John) .-- ON TRUTH AND ERROR. Cr. 8vo. 55.
- ARTHUR'S SEAT; or, The Church of the Banned. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- ABOVE AND AROUND : Thoughts on God and Man. 12mo. 25. 6d.
- HARDWICK (Archdeacon). CHRIST AND OTHER MASTERS. 6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- HARE (Julius Charles) .- THE MISSION OF THE COMFORTER. New Edition. Edited by Dean PLUMPTRE. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. — THE VICTORY OF FAITH. Edited by Dean PLUMPTRE. With Notices by Prof. Edited by
- MAURICE and Dean STANLEY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.6d.
- HARPER (Father Thomas).—THE META-PHYSICS OF THE SCHOOL. Vols. I. and II. 8vo. 18s. each.—Vol. III. Part 1. 12s.
- HARRIS (Rev. G. C.).—SERMONS. With a Memoir by C. M. YONGE. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- HUTTON (R. H.). (See Collected Works, p. 21.)
- ILLINGWORTH (Rev. J. R.).—Sermons PREACHED IN A COLLEGE CHAPEL. Cr.8vo. 55.
- JACOB (Rev. J. A.).-Building in Silence: and other Sermons. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- JAMES (Rev. Herbert). THE COUNTRY CLERGYMAN AND HIS WORK. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- JEANS (Rev. G. E.).-HAILEYBURY CHAPEL : and other Sermons. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- JELLETT (Rev. Dr.) .- THE ELDER SON: and other Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE EFFICACY OF PRAYER, Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- KELLOGG (Rev. S. H.) .- THE LIGHT OF ASIA AND THE LIGHT OF THE WORLD. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- KINGSLEY (Charles). (See COLLECTED WORKS, p. 21.)
- KIRKPATRICK (Prof.) .- THE DIVINE LI-BRARY OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. Cr. 8vo. 3s. net.
- KYNASTON (Rev. Herbert, D.D.) .- CHEL-TENHAM COLLEGE SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- LEGGE (A. O.).- THE GROWTH OF THE TEM-PORAL POWER OF THE PAPACY. Cr.8vo. 8s.6d.
- LIGHTFOOT (Bishop).—LEADERS IN THE. NORTHERN CHURCH: Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 6s. - Ordination Addresses and Counsels TO CLERGY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CAMBRIDGE SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s. SERMONS PREACHED IN ST. PAUL'S CATHEDRAL. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SERMONSON SPECIAL OCCASIONS. 8vo. 6s.
- A CHARGE DELIVERED TO THE CLERGY OF THE DIOCESE OF DURHAM, 1886. 810. 25. — ESSAYS ON THE WORK ENTITLED "SU-
- PERNATURAL RELIGION." 8vo. 105.6d.
- ON A FRESH REVISION OF THE ENGLISH NEW TESTAMENT. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- MACLAREN (Rev. A.).-SERMONS PREACHED AT MANCHESTER. 11th Ed. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- SECOND SERIES. 7th Ed. Fcp. 8vo 4s. 6d. THIRD SERIES. 6th Ed. Fcp. 8vo. 4s.6d.
- WEEK-DAY EVENING ADDRESSES. 4th
- Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d. THE SECRET OF POWER: and other Ser-
- mons. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

- MACMILLAN (Rev. Hugh).-BIBLE TEACH-INGS IN NATURE. 15th Edit. Globe 8vo. 6s. — THE TRUE VINE; or, The Analogies of our Lord's Allegory. 5th Edit. Gl. 8vo. 6s.
- THE MINISTRY OF NATURE. 8th Edit.
- Globe 8vo. 6s. THE SABBATH OF THE FIELDS. 6th Edit. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- THE MARRIAGE IN CANA. Globe 8vo. 6s. Two Worlds are Ours. Gl. 8vo. 6s.
- THE OLIVE LEAF. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- THE GATE BEAUTIFUL : and other Bible Teachings for the Young. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MAHAFFY (Prof. J. P.).—The Decay of Modern Preaching. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MATURIN (Rev. W.).-THE BLESSEDNESS OF THE DEAD IN CHRIST. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- MAURICE (Frederick Denison).-THE KING-DOM OF CHRIST. 3rd Ed. 2vols. Cr. 8vo. 12s. — Expository Sermons on the Prayer-
- BOOK, AND THE LORD'S PRAYER. Cr.8vo. 6s. SERMONS PREACHED IN COUNTRY
- CHURCHES. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s. THE CONSCIENCE : Lectures on Casuistry.
- 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. DIALOGUES ON FAMILY WORSHIP. Cr.
- 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THE DOCTRINE OF SACRIFICE DEDUCED FROM THE SCRIPTURES. 2nd Edit. Cr.8vo. 6s. THE RELIGIONS OF THE WORLD. 6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- ON THE SABBATH DAY; THE CHARACTER OF THE WARRIOR; AND ON THE INTERPRE-TATION OF HISTORY. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- LEARNING AND WORKING. Cr. 8vo. 4s.6d. - THE LORD'S PRAVER, THE CREED, AND
- THE COMMANDMENTS. 18mo, 18. THEOLOGICAL ESSAYS. Cr. 8vo. 6s. SERMONS PREACHED IN LINCOLN'S INN CHAPEL. 6 vols. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. each. Monthly, from Oct. 1891.
- MILLIGAN (Rev. Prof. W.) .- THE RESUR-RECTION OF OUR LORD. 2nd Edit. Cr.8vo. 5s.
- MOORHOUSE (J., Bishop of Manchester) .-
- JACOB: Three Sermons. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s.6d. THE TEACHING OF CHRIST: its Conditions, Secret, and Results. Cr. 8vo. 3s. net.
- MYLNE (L. G., Bishop of Bombay). SERMONS PREACHED IN ST. THOMAS'S CATHEDRAL, BOMBAY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- NATURAL RELIGION. By the Author of "Ecce Homo." 3rd Edit. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- PATTISON (Mark) .- SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- PAUL OF TARSUS. 8vo. 105.6d.
- PHILOCHRISTUS: MEMOIRS OF A DIS-CIPLE OF THE LORD. 3rd. Edit. 8vo. 125.
- PLUMPTRE (Dean) .- MOVEMENTS IN RE-LIGIOUS THOUGHT. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- POTTER (R.).-THE RELATION OF ETHICS TO RELIGION. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- REASONABLE FAITH: A SHORT ESSAY By "Three Friends." Cr. 8vo. 15.
- REICHEL (C. P., Bishop of Meath).—THE LORD'S PRAYER. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- CATHEDRAL AND UNIVERSITY SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- RENDALL (Rev. F.) .- THE THEOLOGY OF THE HEBREW CHRISTIANS. Cr. 8vo. 55.

- REYNOLDS (H. R.) -NOTES OF THE CHRIS-TIAN LIFE. Cr. Svo. 7s. 6d.
- ROBINSON (Prebendary H. G.) .- MAN IN THE IMAGE OF GOD: and other Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- RUSSELL (Dean) .- THE LIGHT THAT LIGHT. ETH EVERY MAN : Sermons. With an Intro duction by Dean PLUMPTRE, D.D. Cr. 8vo. bs
- SALMON (Rev. George, D.D.).—Non-Mik-ACULOUS CHRISTIANITY: and other Sermous. and Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- GNOSTICISM AND AGNOSTICISM: and other Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- SCOTCH SERMONS, 1880. By Principal CAIRD and others. 3rd Edit. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- SERVICE (Rev. J.) .- SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SHIRLEY (W. N.) .- ELIJAH: Four University Sermons. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- SMITH (Rev. Travers).-MAN'S KNOWLEDGE OF MAN AND OF GOD. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- SMITH (W. Saumarez). THE BLOOD OF THE NEW COVENANT: An Essay. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- STANLEY (Dean) .-- THE NATIONAL THANKS-GIVING. Sermons Preached in Westminster Abbey. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- ADDRESSES AND SERMONS delivered in America, 1878. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE ATHANASIAN CREED. Cr. 8vo. 25.
- STEWART (Prof. Balfour) and TAIT (Prof. P. G.) .- THE UNSEEN UNIVERSE, OR PHY-SICAL SPECULATIONS ON A FUTURE STATE. 15th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- PARADOXICAL PHILOSOPHY: A Sequel to the above. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- STUBBS (Rev. C. W.) .- FOR CHRIST AND CITY. Sermons and Addresses. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- TAIT (Archbp.).-THE PRESENT CONDITION OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. Primary Visitation Charge. 3rd Edit. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- DUTIES OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. Second Visitation Addresses. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THE CHURCH OF THE FUTURE. Quadrennial Visitation Charges. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- TAYLOR (Isaac).—THE RESTORATION OF BELIEF. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- TEMPLE (Frederick, Bishop of London) .-SERMONS PREACHED IN THE CHAPEL OF RUGBY SCHOOL. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- SECOND SERIES. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- THIRD SERIES. 4th Edit. Ext.fcp.8vo. 6s.
- THE RELATIONS BETWEEN RELIGION AND SCIENCE. Bampton Lectures, 1884. 7th and Cheaper Edition. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- TRENCH (Archbishop). THE HULSEAN LECTURES FOR 1845-6. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- TULLOCH (Principal).—THE CHRIST OF THE GOSPELS AND THE CHRIST OF MODERN CRITICISM. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- VAUGHAN (C. J., Dean of Landaff) .- ME-MORIALS OF HARROW SUNDAYS. 8vo. 105.6d.
- EPIPHANY, LENT, AND EASTER. 8vo. 105.6d.
- HEROES OF FAITH. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WORDS FROM THE GOSPELS. 3rd Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

THEOLOGY.

Sermons, Lectures, Addresses, and Theological Essays-continued.

- VAUGHAN (Dr. C. J.).—LIFE'S WORK AND GOD'S DISCIPLINE. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d. THE WHOLESOME WORDS OF JESUS
- CHRIST. 2nd Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d. - FOESOF FAITH. 2nd Edit. Fcp.8vo. 3s.6d.
- CHRIST SATISFYING THE INSTINCTS OF HUMANITY. 2nd Edit. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 35.6d.
- COUNSELS FOR YOUNG STUDENTS. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6d. — The Two Great Temptations. 2nd
- Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- ADDRESSES FOR YOUNG CLERGYMEN.
- Ext. fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d. "My Son, Give Me Thine Heart." Ext. fcp. 8vo. 5s.
- · REST AWHILE. Addresses to Toilers in the Ministry. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 5s. — TEMPLE SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d. — AUTHORISED OR REVISED? Sermons on
- some of the Texts in which the Revised Version differs from the Authorised. Cr. 8vo. 75. 6d.
- Lessons of the Cross and Passion ; WORDS FROM THE CROSS; THE REIGN OF SIN; THE LORD'S PRAVER. Four Courses of Lent Lectures. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d. UNIVERSITY SERMONS, NEW AND OLD.
- Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- NOTES FOR LECTURES ON CONFIRMATION. 14th Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 1s. 6d.
- VAUGHAN (Rev. D. J.).—THE PRESENT TRIAL OF FAITH. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- VAUGHAN (Rev. E. T.)-Some REASONS OF OUR CHRISTIAN HOPE. Hulsean Lectures for 1875. Cr. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- VAUGHAN (Rev. Robert) .- STONES FROM THE QUARRY. Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- VENN (Rev. John).—ON SOME CHARACTER-ISTICS OF BELIEF, SCIENTIFIC, AND RE-LIGIOUS. Hulsean Lectures, 1869. 8vo. 6s.6d.
- WARINGTON (G.).-THE WEEK OF CREA-TION. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- WELLDON (Rev. J. E. C.).—THE SPIRITUAL LIFE: and other Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WESTCOTT (Rt. Rev. B. F., Bishop of Durham).-ON THE RELIGIOUS OFFICE OF THE
- MINIPARTIES, SEPRODE OF THE VEHICIDS OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITIES. SEPRODE. X800, 43, 6d.
 GIFTS FOR MINISTRY. Addresses to Candidates for Ordination. Cr. 8vo. 1s. 6d.
 THE VICTORY OF THE CROSS. SEPRODE Preached in 1888. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- FROM STRENGTH TO STRENGTH. Three Sermons(In Memoriam J. B. D.). Cr. 8vo. 2s. THE REVELATION OF THE RISEN LORD. 4th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s. — THE HISTORIC FAITH. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE GOSPEL OF THE RESURRECTION. 6th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE REVELATION OF THE FATHER. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CHRISTUS CONSUMMATOR. Cr. 8vo. 6s. - Some Thoughts from the Ordinal. Cr. 8vo. 15. 6d.
- SOCIAL ASPECTS OF CHRISTIANITY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- · ESSAYS IN THE HISTORY OF RELIGIOUS THOUGHT IN THE WEST. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- WICKHAM (Rev. E. C.).—WELLINGTON College Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

- WILKINS (Prof. A. S.) .- THE LIGHT OF THE WORLD: An Essay. 2nd Ed. Cr. 8vo. 3s.6d
- WILSON (J. M., Archdeacon of Manchester). -SERMONS PREACHED IN CLIFTON COLLEGE CHAPEL. Second Series. 1888-90. 8vo. 6s.
- ESSAYS AND ADDRESSES. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. - Some Contributions to the Religious
- THOUGHT OF OUR TIME. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WOOD (Rev. E. G.) .- THE REGAL POWER OF THE CHURCH. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

TRANSLATIONS.

From the Greek-From the Italian-From the Latin-Into Latin and Greek Verse.

From the Greek.

- AESCHYLUS.—THE SUPPLICES. With Trans-lation, by T. G. TUCKER, Litt. D. 8vo. 105.62, THE SEVEN AGAINST THEBES. With Translation, by A. W. VERRALL, Litt. D. 8vo. 75.6d.
- EUMENIDES. With Verse Translation, by BERNARD DRAKE, M.A. 8vo. 5s.

ARATUS. (See Physiography.)

- ARISTOPHANES .- THE BIRDS. Trans. into English Verse, by B. H. KENNEDY. 8vo. 6s.
- ARISTOTLE ON FALLACIES; OR, THE SOPHISTICI ELENCHI. With Translation, by E. POSTE, M.A. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- ARISTOTLE .-- THE FIRST BOOK OF THE METAPHYSICS OF ARISTOTLE. By a Cambridge Graduate. 8vo. 5s. — THE POLITICS. By J. E. C. WELLDON,
 - M.A. 105.6d.
- THE RHETORIC. By same. Cr.8vo. 7s.6d.
- ON THE CONSTITUTION OF ATHENS. By E. POSTE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- BION. (See THEOCRITUS.)
- HERODOTUS .- THE HISTORY. By G. C. MACAULAY, M.A. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 18s.
- HOMER .- THE ODYSSEY DONE INTO ENG-LISH PROSE, by S. H. BUTCHER, M.A., and A. LANG, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 6s. — THE ODYSSEV. Books I.—XII. Transl.
- into English Verse by EARL OF CARNARYON.
- Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. The ILIAD DONE INTO ENGLISH PROSE, by ANDREW LANG, WALTER LEAP, and ERNEST MYERS. Cr. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- MELEAGER.-FIFTY POEMS. Translated into English Verse by WALTER HEADLAM. Fcp. 4to. 7s. 6d.
- MOSCHUS. (See THEOCRITUS).
- PINDAR.-THE EXTANT ODES. By ERNEST MyERS. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- PLATO.-TIMÆUS. With Translation R. D. Archer-Hind, M.A. 8vo. With Translation, by 165. (See also GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES, p. 20.)
- POLYBIUS .- THE HISTORIES. By E. S. SHUCKBURGH. Cr. 8vo. 24s.
- SOPHOCLES .- ŒDIPUS THE KING. Translated into English Verse by E. D. A. Mons-HEAD, M.A. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- THEOCRITUS, BION, AND MOSCHUS. By A. LANG, M.A. 18mo. 4s.6d.-Large Paper Edition. 8vo. 9s.

XENOPHON. - THE COMPLETE WORKS. By H. G. DAKYNS, M.A. Cr. 8vo.-Vol. I. THE ANABASIS AND BOOKS I. AND II. OF THE HELLENICA. 105.6d.

[Vol. II. in the Press.

From the Italian.

- DANTE.—THE PURGATORY. With Transl. and Notes, by A. J. BUTLER. Cr. 8vo. 125.6d. - THE PARADISE. By the same. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 125.6d.
- THE INFERNO. By the same. Cr. 8vo.
- DE MONARCHIA. By F. J. CHURCH. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THE DIVINE COMEDY. By C. E. NOR-TON. I. HELL. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

From the Latin.

CICERO.-THE LIFE AND LETTERS OF MAR-CUS TULLIUS CICERO. By the Rev. G. E. JEANS, M.A. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

----- THE ACADEMICS. By J.S. REID. 8vo. 5s.6d.

- HORACE: THE WORKS OF. By J. LONSDALE, M.A., and S. LEE, M.A. Gl. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- THE ODES IN A METRICAL PARAPHRASE. ByR. M. HOVENDEN, B.A. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 4s.6d.
- LIFE AND CHARACTER : AN EPITOME OF HIS SATIRES AND EPISTLES. By R. M. HOVENDEN, B.A. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- WORD FOR WORD FROM HORACE: The Odes Literally Versified. By W. T. THORN-TON, C.B. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- JUVENAL.-THIRTEEN SATIRES. By ALEX. LEEPER, LL.D. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- LIVY.—Books XXI.—XXV. THE SECOND PUNIC WAR. By A. J. CHURCH, M.A., and W. J. BRODRIBB, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- MARCUS AURELIUS ANTONINUS.-BOOK IV. OF THE MEDITATIONS. Wit With Translation and Commentary, by H. CRoss-LEY, M.A. 8vo. 6s.
- SALLUST .- THE CONSPIRACY OF CATILINE AND THE JUGURTHINE WAR. By A. W. POLLARD. Cr. 8vo. 6s.—CATILINE. 3s.
- TACITUS, THE WORKS OF. By A. J. CHURCH, M.A., and W. J. BRODRIBB, M.A. THE HISTORY. 4th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
 - THE AGRICOLA AND GERMANIA. With the Dialogue on Oratory. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

THE ANNALS. 5th Edit. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

VIRGIL: THE WORKS OF. By J. LONSDALE, M.A., and S. LEE, M.A. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d. - THE ÆNEID. By J. W. MACKAIL, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Into Latin and Greek Verse.

- CHURCH (Rev. A. J.).—LATIN VERSION OF SELECTIONS FROM TENNYSON. By Prof. CONINGTON, Prof. SEELEY, Dr. HESSEY, T. E. KEBBEL, & Edited by A. J. CHURCH, M.A. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 6s.
- GEDDES (Prof. W. D.) .- FLOSCULI GRÆCI BOREALES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- KYNASTON (Herbert D.D.).-EXEMPLARIA CHELTONIENSIA. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 5s.

VOYAGES AND TRAVELS.

(See also HISTORY; SPORT.)

- APPLETON (T. G.).—A NILE JOURNAL. Illustrated by Eugene Benson, Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- "BACCHANTE." THE CRUISE OF H.M.S.
 "BACCHANTE," 1879—1882. Compiled from the Private Journals, Letters and Note-books of Datumer Average View of PRINCE ALBERT VICTOR and PRINCE GEORGE OF WALES. By the Rev. Canon DALTON. 2 vols. Med. 8vo. 525.6d.
- BAKER (Sir Samuel W.).—ISMAILIA. A Narrative of the Expedition to Central Africa for the Suppression of the Slave Trade, organised by ISMAIL, Khedive of Egypt. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- THE NILE TRIBUTARIES OF ABYSSINIA, AND THE SWORD HUNTERS OF THE HAMRAN Arabs. Cr. 8vo. 6s. — The Albert N'yanza Great Basin of
- THE NILE AND EXPLORATION OF THE NILE SOURCES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CYPRUS AS I SAW IT IN 1879. 8vo. 125.6d.
- BARKER (Lady).—A YEAR'S HOUSEKEEPING IN SOUTH AFRICA. Illustr. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. - STATION LIFE IN NEW ZEALAND. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- LETTERS TO GUY. Cr. 8vo. 55.
- BOUGHTON (G. H.) and ABBEY (E. A.).-SKETCHING RAMBLES IN HOLLAND. With Illustrations. Fcp. 4to. 215.
- BRYCE (James, M.P.). TRANSCAUCASIA AND ARARAT. 3rd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- CAMERON (V. L.).-Our FUTURE HIGHWAY TO INDIA. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 215.
- CAMPBELL (J. F.) .- My CIRCULAR NOTES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

CARLES(W.R.).-LIFEIN COREA. 8vo.12s.6d.

- CAUCASUS: NOTES ON THE. By "WAN-DERER." 8vo. 9s.
- CRAIK (Mrs.).—AN UNKNOWN COUNTRY. Illustr. by F. NOEL PATON. Roy. 8vo. 7s.6d. - AN UNSENTIMENTAL JOURNEY THROUGH CORNWALL. Illustrated. 4to. 125.6d.
- DILKE (Sir Charles). (See POLITICS.)
- DUFF (Right Hon. Sir M. E. Grant) .- NOTES OF AN INDIAN JOURNEY. 8vo. 105.6d.
- FORBES (Archibald).-SOUVENIRS OF SOME CONTINENTS. Cr. 8vo. 6s. — Battles, Bivouacs, and Barracks.
- Cr. 8vo. 75.6d.
- FULLERTON (W. M.) .- IN CAIRO. Fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- GONE TO TEXAS: LETTERS FROM OUR Boys. Ed. by Thos. HUGHES. Cr. 8vo. 4s.6d.
- GORDON (Lady Duff). LAST LETTERS FROM EGYPT, TO WHICH ARE ADDED LETTERS FROM THE CAPE. 2nd Edit. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
- GREEN (W. S.).—AMONG THE SELKIRK GLACIERS. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- HOOKER (Sir Joseph D.) and BALL (J.).— JOURNAL OF A TOUR IN MAROCCO AND THE GREAT ATLAS. 8vo. 215.
- HUBNER (Baron von) .- A RAMBLE ROUND THE WORLD. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- HUGHES (Thos.).-RUGBY, TENNESSEE. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- KINGSLEY (Charles). AT LAST : A Christmas in the West Indies. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

VOYAGES AND TRAVELS—continued.

KINGSLEY (Henry). - TALES OF OLD TRAVEL. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- KIPLING (J. L.).-BEAST AND MAN IN Illustrated. 8vo. 215. INDIA.
- MACMILLAN (Rev. Hugh) .- HOLIDAYS ON HIGH LANDS; or, Rambles and Incidents in Search of Alpine Plants. Globe 8vo. 6s.
- MAHAFFY (Prof. J. P.).-RAMBLES AND STUDIES IN GREECE. Illust. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- MAHAFFY (Prof. J. P.) and ROGERS (J. E.).-SKETCHES FROM A TOUR THROUGH HOLLAND AND GERMANY. Illustrated by J. E. ROGERS. Ext. cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- MURRAY (E. C. Grenville).-ROUND ABOUT FRANCE. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- NORDENSKIÖLD. VOYAGE OF THE "VEGA" ROUND ASIA AND EUROPE. By Baron A. E. VON NORDENSKIÖLD. Trans. by ALEX. LESLIE. 400 Illustrations, Maps, etc. 2 vols. 8vo. 45s.—*Popular Edit.* Cr. 8vo. 6s. THE ARCTIC VOYAGES OF ADOLPH ERIC NORDENSKIÖLD, 1858-79. By ALEXANDER LESLIE. 8vo. 16s.

OLIPHANT (Mrs.). (See HISTORY.)

- OLIVER (Capt. S. P.).-MADAGASCAR: AN HISTORICAL AND DESCRIPTIVE ACCOUNT OF THE ISLAND AND ITS FORMER DEPENDEN-CIES. 2 vols. Med. 8vo. 52s. 6d.
- PALGRAVE (W. Gifford).- A NARRATIVE OF A YEAR'S JOURNEY THROUGH CENTRAL AND EASTERN ARABIA, 1862-63. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- DUTCH GUIANA. 8vo. 9s. ULVSSES; or, Scenes and Studies in many Lands. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- PERSIA, EASTERN. AN ACCOUNT OF THE JOURNEYS OF THE PERSIAN BOUNDARY COMMISSION, 1870-71-72. 2 vols. 8vo. 42s.
- ST. JOHNSTON (A.) .- CAMPING AMONG CANNIBALS. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- SANDYS (J. E.).—AN EASTER VACATION IN GREECE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- STRANGFORD (Viscountess). EGYPTIAN SEPULCHRES AND SYRIAN SHRINES. New Edition. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- TAVERNIER (Baron): TRAVELS IN INDIA OF JEAN BAPTISTE TAVERNIER. Transl. by V. BALL, LL.D. 2 vols. 8vo. 425.
- TRISTRAM. (See Illustrated Books.)

TURNER (Rev. G.). (See ANTHROPOLOGY.)

- WALLACE (A. R.). (See NATURAL HISTORY.)
- WATERTON (Charles).-WANDERINGS IN SOUTH AMERICA, THE NORTH-WEST OF THE UNITED STATES, AND THE ANTILLES. Edited by Rev. J. G. WOOD. Illustr. Cr. 8vo. 6s.—*People's Edition.* 4to. 6d.

WATSON (R. Spence) .- A VISIT TO WAZAN, THE SACRED CITY OF MOROCCO. 8vo. 105.6d.

YOUNG, Books for the.

(See also BIBLICAL HISTORY, p. 30.)

- ÆSOP-CALDECOTT .- Some of Æsop's FABLES, with Modern Instances, shown in Designs by RANDOLPH CALDECOTT. 4to. 5s.
- ARIOSTO.—PALADIN AND SARACEN. Stories from Ariosto. By H. C. HOLLWAY-CAL-THROP. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- ATKINSON (Rev. J. C.).—THE LAST OF THE GIANT KILLERS. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

- AWDRY (Frances).—THE STORY OF A FEL-LOW SOLDIER. (A Life of Bishop Patteson for the Young.) Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- BAKER (Sir Samuel White) .- TRUE TALES FOR MY GRANDSONS. Illustrated by W. J. HENNESSY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- CAST UP BY THE SEA : OR, THE ADVEN-TURES OF NED GRAY. Illustrated by HUARD. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- BUMBLEBEE BOGO'S BUDGET. By a RETIRED JUDGE. Illustrated by ALICE HAVERS. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- CARROLL (Lewis).—ALICE'S ADVENTURES IN WONDERLAND. With 42 Illustrations by TENNIEL. Cr. 8vo. 6s. net. Peoble's Edition. With all the original

Illustrations. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

- A GERMAN TRANSLATION OF THE SAME. Cr. 8vo. 6s. net.
- A FRENCH TRANSLATION OF THE SAME Cr. 8vo. 6s. net.
- AN ITALIAN TRANSLATION OF THE SAME. Cr. 8vo. 6s. net.
- ALICE'S ADVENTURES UNDER-GROUND. Being a Fascimile of the Original MS. Book, afterwards developed into "Alice's Adven-tures in Wonderland." With 27 Illustrations by the Author. Cr. 8vo. 4s. net.
- THROUGH THE LOOKING-GLASS AND WHAT ALICE FOUND THERE. With 50 Illustrations by TENNIEL. Cr. 8vo. 6s. net. People's Edition. With all the original
- Propie's Edition, with an die organisations, Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. net. People's Edition of "Alice's Adventures in Wonderland," and "Through the Looking-Glass." x vol. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. net. RHYME? AND REASON? With 65 Illus-

trations by ARTHUR B. FROST, and 9 by HENRY HOLIDAY. Cr. 8vo. 6s. net.

- A TANGLED TALE. With 6 Illustrations by ARTHUR B. FROST. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. net.
- SYLVIE AND BRUNO. With 46 Illustra-tions by HARRY FURNISS. Cr. 8vo. 7s.6d. net.
- · THE NURSERY "ALICE." TwentyColoured Enlargements from TENNIEL'S Illustrations to "Alice's Adventures in Wonderland," with Text adapted to Nursery Readers. 4to. 4s. net.

People's Edition. 4to. 2s. net.

- THE HUNTING OF THE SNARK, AN AGONY IN EIGHT FITS. With 9 Illustrations by HENRY HOLIDAY. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. net.
- CLIFFORD (Mrs. W.K.).-ANYHOW STORIES. With Illustrations by DOROTHY TENNANT. Cr. 8vo. 1s. 6d.; paper covers, 1s.
- CORBETT (Julian) .- FOR GOD AND GOLD. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- CRAIK (Mrs.).-ALICE LEARMONT : A FAIRY TALE. Illustrated. Globe 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- THE ADVENTURES OF A BROWNIE. Illustrated by Mrs. Allingham. Gl. 8vo. 4s. 6d. THE LITTLE LAME PRINCE AND HIS
- TRAVELLING CLOAK. Illustrated by J. McL. RALSTON. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. OUR YEAR: A CHILD'S BOOK IN PROSE AND VERSE. Illustrated. Gl. 8vo. 2s. 6d. LITTLE SUNSHINE'S HOLIDAY. Globe
- 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- THE FAIRY BOOK: THE BEST POPULAR FAIRY STORIES. 18mo. 4s. 6d. — CHILDREN'S POETRY. Ex. fcp. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- SONGS OF OUR YOUTH. Small 4to. 6s.

DE MORGAN (Mary) .- THE NECKLACE OF PRINCESS FIORIMONDE, AND OTHER STORIES. Illustrated by WALTER CRANE. Ext. fcp. 8vo. 3s. 6d.-Large Paper Ed., with Illustrations on India Paper. 100 copies printed.

FOWLER (W. W.). (See NATURAL HISTORY.)

- GREENWOOD (Jessy E.). THE MOON MAIDEN: AND OTHER STORIES. Cr. 8vo. 35.6d.
- GRIMM'S FAIRY TALES. Translated by LUCY CRANE, and Illustrated by WALTER CRANE. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- KEARY (A. and E.). THE HEROES OF ASGARD. Tales from Scandinavian My-thology. Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- KEARY (E.).—THE MAGIC VALLEY. Illustr. by "E.V.B." Globe 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- KINGSLEY (Charles).—THE HEROES; or, Greek Fairy Tales for my Children. Cr. 8vo. Greek Faity Lates for my children. Chrono 3s. 6d.—Presentation Ed., gilt edges. 7s.6d. MADAM HOW AND LADY WHY; or, First Lessons in Earth-Lore. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. THE WATER-BABES: A Faity Tale for a Land Baby. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.—New Daties. Hust but you for Samoupone
- Edition. Illustr. by LINLEY SAMBOURNE. Fcp. 4to. 12s. 6d.
- MACLAREN (Arch.).-THE FAIRY FAMILY. A Series of Ballads and Metrical Tales. Cr. 8vo. 55.
- MACMILLAN (Hugh). (See p. 35.)
- MADAME TABBY'S ESTABLISHMENT. By KARI. Illust. by L. WAIN. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MAGUIRE (J. F.).—YOUNG PRINCE MARI-GOLD. Illustrated. Globe 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MARTIN (Frances).—THE POET'S HOUR. Poetry selected for Children. 18mo. 2s. 6d. - SPRING-TIMEWITHTHEPOETS.18mo.3s.6d.
- MAZINI (Linda).—IN THE GOLDEN SHELL. With Illustrations. Globe 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- MOLESWORTH (Mrs.).-WORKS. Illust. by WALTER CRANE, Globe 8vo. 2. 6d. each. "CARROTS," JUST A LITTLE BOY. A CHRISTMAS CHILD.
 - CHRISTMAS-TREE LAND.
 - THE CUCKOO CLOCK.
 - FOUR WINDS FARM.
 - GRANDMOTHER DEAR.
 - HERR BABY.
 - LITTLE MISS PEGGY.
 - THE RECTORY CHILDREN.
 - Rosy.
 - THE TAPESTRY ROOM.
 - TELL ME A STORY.

 - Two LITTLE WAIFS. "Us": An Old-Fashioned Story.
- CHILDREN OF THE CASTLE. A CHRISTMAS POSY. Illustrated WALTER CRANE. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. SUMMER STORIES. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. Illustrated by
- FOUR GHOST STORIES. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- NURSE HEATHERDALE'S STORY. by LESLIE BROOKE. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. Illust.
- "MRS. JERNINGHAM'S JOURNAL" (Author of).—The Runaway. Gl.8vo. 25.6d.
- OLIPHANT (Mrs.). AGNES HOPETOUN'S SCHOOLS AND HOLIDAYS. Illust. Gl. 8vo. 2s.6d.
- PALGRAVE (Francis Turner) .--- THE FIVE DAVS' ENTERTAINMENTS AT WENTWORTH GRANGE. Small 4to. 6s.
 - THE CHILDREN'S TREASURY OF LYRICAL POETRY. 18mo. 2s.6d.—Orin 2 parts, 1s. each.

- PATMORE (C.).—THE CHILDREN'S GAR-LAND FROM THE BEST POETS. Globe 8vo. 2s.; 18mo, 2s. 6d. net.
- ROSSETTI (Christina). SPEAKING LIKE. NESSES. Illust. by A. HUGHES. Cr.8vo. 4s.6d.
- RUTH AND HER FRIENDS: A STORY FOR GIRLS. Illustrated. Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- ST. JOHNSTON (A.). CAMPING AMONG CANNIBALS. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- CHARLIE ASGARDE: THE STORY OF A FRIENDSHIP. Illustrated by HUGH THOM-SON. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- "ST. OLAVE'S" (Author of). Illustrated. Globe 8vo. WHEN I WAS A LITTLE GIRL. 25. 6d. NINE YEARS OLD. 25.6d. WHEN PAPA COMES HOME. 4s. 6d. PANSIE'S FLOUR BIN. 4s. 6d.
- STEWART (Aubrey).—THE TALE OF TROY. Done into English. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- TENNYSON (Hon. Hallam). JACK AND THE BEAN-STALK. English Hexameters. Illust. by R. CALDECOTT. Fcp. 4to. 3s. 6d.
- "WANDERING WILLIE" (Author of) .--CONRAD THE SQUIRREL. Globe 8vo. 25. 6d.
- WARD (Mrs. T. Humphry).-MILLY AND OLLY. With Illustrations by Mrs. Alma TADEMA. Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- WEBSTER (Augusta) .- DAFFODIL AND THE CROÄXAXICANS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
- WILLOUGHBY (F.) .- FAIRY GUARDIANS Illustr. by TOWNLEY GREEN. Cr. 8vo. 5s.
- WOODS (M. A.). (See Collections, p. 16.)
- YONGE (Charlotte M.) .- THE PRINCE AND THE PAGE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- A BOOK OF GOLDEN DEEDS. 18mo. 4s.6d.; Globe 8vo, 2s .- Abridged Edition. 18mo. 1s.
- LANCES OF LYNWOOD. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- P's AND Q's; and LITTLE LUCY'S WON-DERFUL GLOBE. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- A STOREHOUSE OF STORIES. 2 vols. Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d. each.
- THE POPULATION OF AN OLD PEAR-TREE; or, Stories of Insect Life. From E. VAN BRUYSSEL. Illustr. Gl. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

ZOOLOGY.

Comparative Anatomy-Practical Zoology-Entomology-Ornithology.

(See also BIOLOGY; NATURAL HISTORY ; PHYSIOLOGY.)

Comparative Anatomy.

- FLOWER (Prof. W. H.) .- AN INTRODUCTION TO THE OSTEOLOGY OF THE MAMMALIA. Illustrated. 3rd Edit., revised with the assist-ance of HANS GADOW, Ph.D. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- HUMPHRY (Prof. Sir G. M.) .- OBSERVA-TIONS IN MYOLOGY. 8vo. 6s.
- LANG (Prof. Arnold).—TEXT-BOOK OF COM-PARATIVE ANATOMY. Transl. by H. M. and M. BERNARD. Preface by Prof. E. HAEC-KEL. Illustr. 2 vols. 8vo. Part I. 175. net.
- PARKER (T. Jeffery) .- A COURSE OF IN-STRUCTION IN ZOOTOMY (VERTEBRATA). Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 8s 6d.

ZOOLOGY.

Comparative Anatomy-continued.

- PETTIGREW (J. Bell).—THE PHYSIOLOGY OF THE CIRCULATION IN PLANTS, IN THE LOWER ANIMALS, AND IN MAN. 8vo. 125.
- SHUFELDT (R. W.).—THE MYOLOGY OF THE RAVEN (Corvus corax Sinualus). A Guide to the Study of the Muscular System in Birds, Illustrated, 8vo. 13s. net.
- WIEDERSHEIM (Prof. R.).—ELEMENTS OF THE COMPARATIVE ANATOMY OF VERTE-BRATES. Adapted by W. NEWTON PARKER. With Additions. Illustrated. 8vo. 125.6d.

Practical Zoology.

- HOWES (Prof. G. B.).—AN ATLAS OF PRAC-TICAL ELEMENTARY BIOLOGY. With a Preface by Prof. HUXLEY. 4to. 145.
- HUXLEY (T. H.) and MARTIN (H. N.).-A COURSE OF PRACTICAL INSTRUCTION IN ELEMENTARY BIOLOGY. Revised and extended by Prof. G. B. HOWES and D. H. SCOTT, Ph.D. Cr. 8vo. 105.6d.
- THOMSON (Sir C. Wyvillė).—The Voyage of the "Challenger": The Atlantic. With Illustrations, Coloured Maps, Charts, etc 2 vols. 8vo. 45s.

THOMSON (Sir C. Wyville).—The DEPTHS OF THE SEA. An Account of the Results of the Dredging Cruises of H.M.SS. "Lightning" and "Porcupine," 1868-69-70. With Illustrations, Maps, and Plans. &vo. 378.64.

Entomology.

- BUCKTON (G. B.).—MONOGRAPH OF THE BRITISH CICADÆ, OR TETTIGIDÆ. IN 8 Parts Quarterly. 8s. each net.—Part I. Jan. 1890. Vol. I. 33s. 6d. net.
- LUBBOCK (Sir John).—THE ORIGIN AND METAMORPHOSES OF INSECTS. Illustrated. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- SCUDDER (S. H.).—Fossil INSECTS OF NORTH AMERICA. Map and Plates. 2 vols. 4to. 90s. net.

Ornithology.

- COUES (Elliott).—KEY TO NORTH AMERICAN BIRDS. Illustrated. 8vo. 2l. 2s.
- ----- HANDBOOK OF FIELD AND GENERAL OR-NITHOLOGY. Illustrated. 8vo. 105. net.

FOWLER(W.W.). (See NATURAL HISTORY.) WHITE (Gilbert). (See NATURAL HISTORY.)

INDEX.

Р.	AGE	PAGE	PA	GE
ABBEY (E. A.)	37	ATTWELL (H.) 20 BERNARD (J. H.) .		25
ABBOT (F. E.)	33	AUSTIN (Alfred) . 14 BERNARD (M.)		12
ABBOTT (Rev. E.) 3.13.30.3	1.33	AUTENRIETH (Georg) , 7 BERNERS (L) .		II
ACLAND (Sir H. W.).	22	AWDRY (F.)		4
ADAMS (Sir F. O.)	28	BACON (Francis) . 10. 20 BETHUNE-BAKER (I.F.).	22
ADAMS (Herbert B.).	28	BAINES (Rev. E.) BETTANY (G. T.)		6
ADDISON	. 20	BAKER (Sir S. W.) 28, 20, 27, 28 BICKERTON (T. H.).		22
ACASSIZ (I.)	2	BALCH (Elizabeth) . 12 BIGELOW (M. M.)		12
AINGER (Rev A) 4. Th. 20	. 22	BALDWIN (Prof. I. M.) . 26 BIKELAS (D.) .		17
AINSLIE (A D)	3 33	BALFOUR (Rt Hon A I) 25 BINNIE (Rev W.)		22
AIDV (Sir G B)	-4	BALFOUR (F. M) F 6 BIRKS (T. R.) 6.25	20.	22
ATTEN (Mary C)	, */	BALFOUR (I. R.) 6 BIORNSON (B)	30,	33
ALTERN (Sir W)	20	BALL (V) 28 BLACK (W)		- 1
ALDEMADIE (Earl of)	-3	BALL (W Platt) 6 BLACKBURNE (E.)	•	*
ALDRICH (T. B.)	3	BALL (W W R) 22 BLACKIE (I S)	т.	70
ALEXANDER (C F)	14	BALLANCE (C A) 22 BLAKE (I E)	-49	19
ALEXANDER (C. F.)	20	BARVER (Lady) 28 27 BLAVE (W)	•	2
ALEXANDER (I.)	22	BARNARD (C)	•	2
ALL PUTT (T C)	33	BARNER (W) 2 BLANFORD (H F)	· .	27
ALLEVII (1.C.)	6	BARRY (Bishon)	9,	24
ALLEN (U.)	~	BARTHOLOMEW (I G)	9,	~4
ANTEL (H F)	20	BAPTIETT (I) 7 BIVTH (A W)	•	- 77
ANDERSON(A)	3	BARWELL (R)	•	28
ANDERSON (Dr. McCall)	14	BACTARLE (Prof C F) 28 BOISSEVAIN (G M)	•	28
ANDERSON (Dr. Thomas)	22	BASTAN(H C) 6 22 BOLDEEWOOD(Rolf)	•	77
Approx (T C)	20	BASTIAN(II.C.), $0, 22$ BOLDREWOOD (ROIL).	•	
Apprent Hund (P. D.)	37	BATESON (W.) O BONNAR (J.)	•	20
ARCHER-MIND (R. D.)	30	BATHER (Archdencon) and BOOLE (C)	•	31
ARNOLD, M. 0, 14, 19, 20, 2.	1,30	BANTER (Alchucacon) . 33 BOULE (0.) .	•	20
ARNOLD (Dr. 1.)	9	PREDICT (Mrg.)	•	37
Acres (W I)	9	BENHAM (Ber W) & as as BOWEN (H C)	•	12
ASHLEY (W. J.).	3	BENHAM (Nev. W.) . 5, 20, 32 DOWEN (R. C.).	•	25
ATKINSON (J. D.)	2	Publica (H	•	70
ATKINSON (Rev. J. C.) 1	, 38 1	DERLIOZ (II 3 BRIDGES (J. A.).	•	19

DACE	PACE	PAGE
Proven (U A)	CLADER (C. R.) 0.08	$D_{IIII} (F A)$. 17
DRIGHT (H.A.) 9	CLARKE (C. D.) 9, 20	Denson (A)
BRIGHT (John) 28, 29	CLAUSIUS (R.) 27	DOBSON (A.) 4
BRIMLEY (G.) 19	CLIFFORD (Ed.) 3	DONALDSON (J.) · · 33
BRODIE (Sir B. C.) 7	CLIFFORD (W. K.) . 19, 20	DONISTHORPE (W.) 29
BRODRIBB (W. J.) . 13, 37	CLIFFORD (Mrs. W. K.) . 38	DOWDEN (E.) 4, 13, 15
BROOKE (Sir I.) 3	CLOUGH (A. H.) . 14, 19	DOVLE (Sir F. H.) 14
BROOKE (S. A.) 12, 14, 21, 23	COBDEN (R.) 20	DOYLE (I.A.) 10
BROOKS (Bishop) 22	COHEN (L.B.)	DRAKE (B.)
BROUKS (DISHOP)	COLENSO (IW) 22	DRUMMOND (Prof I.) . 34
PROWN (A. C.) 20	COLENSO (J. W.)	DRUDEN 20
DROWN (J.A.) I	COLERIDGE (J. I.) 14	Du Camp (F F)
BROWN (Dr. James) 4	COLLIER (Hon. John) . 2	DUCANE (E. F.) 29
BROWN (T. E.) 14	COLLINS (J. Churton) . 19	DUFF (SIT M.E. Grant) 20,29,37
BROWNE (J. H. B.)	COLQUHOUN (F. S.) 14	DUNSMUIR (A.) 17
BROWNE (Sir T.) 20	COLVIN (Sidney) . 4, 20	DÜNTZER (H.) 4, 5
BROWNE (W. R.) 27	COMBE (G.) 8	DUPRÉ (A.) 7
BRUNTON (Dr. T. Lauder) 22, 33	CONGREVE (Rev. J.) 33	DVER (L.) I
BRYCE (James) 0 28. 27	CONWAY (Hugh)	EADIE (I.) 4, 30, 31
BUCHUEIM (C A)	Cook (F T)	EASTLAKE (Lady) 32
Pucuri AND (A)	Coover (C Kiploch)	FPEPS (G)
DUCKLAND (A.) 5	COOKE (C. KIIIIOCII) 24	EDERS (U.)
BUCKLEY (A. B) 9	COOKE (J. F.) 7, 34	EDGEWORTH (1101. F. 1.). 20
BUCKNILL (Dr. J. C.) . 22	CORBETT (J.) 4, 17, 30	EDMUNDS (Dr. W.)
BUCKTON (G. B.) 40	CORFIELD (W.H.) II	EDWARDS-MOSS(SIr J. E.) 30
BUNYAN 4, 19, 20	CORRY (T. H.) 6	EIMER (G. H. T.) 0
BURGON (I. W.) 14	COTTERILL (J. H.) 8	ELDERTON (W.A.) 9
BURKE (E.) 28	COTTON (Bishop) 34	ELLERTON (Rev. J.) 34
BURN (R)	COTTON (C.)	ELLIOT (Hon, A.) 29
Bunnerry (F Hodgeon)	COTTON (LS) 20	ELLIS(T)
DURNETT (F. HOUGSON) . 17	Course (F.)	EMERSON (R W) 4.20
DURNS 14, 20	COULS (12.)	Emerson (R. H.) · 4) -
BURY (J. B.) 9	COURT 10FE (W. J.) 4	EVANS (S.)
BUTCHER (Prof. S. H.) 13, 19, 30	COWELL (G.) 23	EVERETT (J.D.) 20
BUTLER (A. J.)	COWPER 20	FALCONER (Lance) 17
BUTLER (Rev. G.) 33	Cox (G. V.) 9	FARRAR (Archdeacon) 5, 30, 34
BUTLER (Samuel) 14	CRAIK (Mrs.)14, 17, 19, 20, 37, 38	FARRER (Sir T. H.) 29
BUTLER (W. Archer) . 22	CRAIK (H.) 8, 29	FAULKNER (F.) 7
BUTLER (Sir W F)	CRANE (LUCV) . 2. 20	FAWCETT (Prof. H.). 28, 29
BUDON CONTRACTOR	CRANE (Walter) 30	FAWCETT (M. G.) . 5. 28
CHENRON	CRANE (March) . 39	Fay (Amy) 24
CAIRNES (J. L.) 29	CRAVEN (MIS. D.) O	Frank (W) 27
CALDECOTT (K.) .12, 38, 39	CRAWFORD (F.M.) 17	TEARNLEY (W.) · · · · ·
CALDERWOOD (Prot. H.)	CREIGHTON (BISNOP MI.) 4, 10	FEARON (D. K.)
8, 25, 26, 33	CRICHTON-BROWNE(SIL) 8	FERREL (W.) 27
CALVERT (Rev. A.) 31	CROSS (J. A.)	FERRERS (N. M.) 27
CAMERON (V. L.)	CROSSLEY (E.) 2	FESSENDEN (C.) 20
CAMPBELL (L.F.)	CROSSLEY (H.)	FINCK (H.T.) I
CAMPBELL (Dr. I. M.) 22	CUMMING (L.)	FISHER (Rev. O.) . 26, 27
CAMPBELL (Prof Lewis) r 12	CUNNINCHAM (C) 28	FISKE (1.). 6. 10. 25. 20. 34
CAMPBELL (1101. LOWIS) 5, 13	CUNNINGHAM (Sir H S) 17	FISON(L)
CAPES (W. W.)	CUNNINGHAM (SII 11.5.). 1/	FIRCH (I G)
CARLES (W. K.) 37	CUNNINGHAM (Kev. J.) . 31	Filch (J. O.)
CARLYLE (1.) 3	CUNNINGHAM(Rev. W)31,33,34	FITZ GERALD (Catonne) . 14
CARMARTHEN (Lady) . 17	CUNYNGHAME (SIR A. T.). 24	FITZGERALD (Edward) 14, 20
CARNARVON (Earl of) . 36	CURTEIS (Rev. G. H.) 32, 34	FITZMAURICE (Lord E.) . 5
CARNOT (N. L. G.) 27	DAHN (F.) 17	FLEAY (F.G.)
CARPENTER (Bishop) . 33	DAKYNS (H. G.) 37	FLEISCHER (E.) 7
CARR (I.C.)	DALE (A. W. W.) 31	FLEMING (G.) 17
CARROLL (Lewis) 26 28	DALTON (Rev. I. N.) 37	FLOWER (Prof. W. H.) . 39
GAPTER (R Brudenell) 22	DANTE 2 12 27	FLÜCKIGER (F.A.) 23
CASSET (Dr. D.)	DAVIES (Rev J 11) 20 27 24	FORBES (A.)
CASSEL (DI. D.)	DAVIES (ICCV. J. LI.). 20, 31, 34	FORDES (Prof G)
CAUTLEY (G.S.) 14	DAVIES (W.) 5	Former (Day C H)
CARENOVE (J. G.) 33	DAWKINS (W.B.)	FORBES (Rev. G. H.) . 34
CHALMERS (J. B.) 8	DAWSON (G. M.) 9	FOSTER (Prof. M.) . 0, 27
CHALMERS (M. D.) 29	DAWSON (Sir J. W.) 9	FOTHERGILL (Dr. J. M.) 8, 23
CHAPMAN (Elizabeth R.). 14	DAWSON (J.) I	FOWLE (Rev. T. W.). 29, 34
CHASSERESSE (Diana) . 30	DAY (L. B.) 17	FOWLER (Rev. T.) . 4, 25
CHERRY (R. R.)	DAY (R. E.)	FOWLER (W. W.) 24
CHEVNE (C. H. H.)	DEFOE (D.) 4.20	Fox (Dr. Wilson) 23
CHEVNE (TK)	DEIGHTON (K.)	FOXWELL (Prof. H. S) . 28
Cupierus (I.)	DELANOTTE (PH)	FRAMIL (D.)
CHRISTIE ().) 23	DELAMOTTE (F. II.). 2	EDANIZIAND (P.F.)
CHRISTIE (W. D.) 20	DELL (E. C.) 12	FRANKLAND (1.F.).
CHURCH (Prot. A. H.) . 6	DE MORGAN (M.) 39	FRASER (DISHOP) 34
CHURCH (Rev. A. J.) 4, 30, 37	DE VERE (A.) 20	FRASER-IVTLER (C. C.) . 14
CHURCH (F. J.) 20, 37	DICEY (A. V.) 12, 29	FRAZER (J. G.)
CHURCH (Dean) 3,4,13,10,31,33	DICKENS (C.) 5, 17	FREDERICK (Mrs.) 8
CLARK (I. W.)	DIGGLE (Rev. J. W.) 34	FREEMAN (Prof. E. A.)
CLARK (L.)	DILKE (Ashton W.) 10	2, 4, 10, 29, 32
CLARK (S.)	DILKE (Sir Charles W.) 20	FRENCH (G. R.) 13

41

PAGE	PAGE	PAGE
FRIEDMANN (P.)	HARRISON (Miss L).	IONES (F.).
FROST (A B)	HADTE (Bret)	KANT
Fround (I A)	Upper (Dr. D)	V
TROUDE (J. A.) 4	HARTIG (Dr. K.)	NARI
FULLERTON (W. M.) . 37	HARTLEY (Prot. W. N.) . 7	KAVANAGH(Rt. Hn.A.M.) 4
FURNISS (Harry)	HARWOOD (G.)21, 29, 32	KAY (Rev. W.) 31
FURNIVALL (F. L.)	HAVES (A.)	KEARY (Annie), 10, 18, 30
EVEFE (CA)	HEADLAM (W) 26	KEAPY (Eliza) 20
Funn (U U)	HEADDAIN (VII)	VELTER VELTER
PYFE (II. II.)	HELPS (SIT A.) 21	KEATS 4, 20, 21
GAIRDNER $(J.)$ 4	HEMPEL (Dr. W.) 7	KELLNER (Dr. L.) 25
GALTON (F.) 1, 27	HERODOTUS 36	KELLOGG (Rev. S. H.) . 34
GAMGEE (Arthur) 27	HERRICK 20	KEMPE (A. B.)
GARDNER (Percy)	HERTEL (Dr) 8	KENNEDY (Prof A B W) 8
GADWERT (P)	HAVE (E Devenaget)	V THE DI (I I OILLIN DI III) O
GARNETT (R.) 14	HILL (F. Davenport) 29	KENNEDY (D. H.) 30
GARNETT (W.) 5	HILL (U.)	KEYNES (J. N.) 20, 28
GASKELL (Mrs.) 12	HIORNS (A. H.) 23	KIEPERT (H.) 9
GASKOIN (Mrs. H.) 30	HOBART (Lord) 21	KILLEN (W. D.)
GEDDES (W.D.) 12. 27	HOBDAV(E)	KINGSLEY (Charles) 4 8 TO
GEE (W H)	Honcson (Por IT)	
GED (W. 11.) 23, 27	110DGSON (ICev. J. 1.) . 4	11,12,13,15,10,21,24,32,37,39
GEIKIE (SIT A.) 4, 9, 27	HOFFDING (Prot. H.) . 20	KINGSLEY (Henry) . 20, 38
GENNADIUS (J.) 17	HOFMANN (A. W.) 7	KIPLING (J. L.)
GIBBINS (H. de B.) 10	HOLE (Rev. C.). , 7, 10	KIPLING (Rudvard) 18
GIBBON (Charles)	HOLIDAY (Henry) 28	KIREPATRICE (Prof) 24
GUCUPIET (A)	HOLLAND (T.F.)	KIEW (Dr F)
Curra (D)	HOLLAND (1. E.) . 12, 20	KEEIN (DI. E.) 0, 23
GILES (P.) 25	HOLLWAY-CALTHROP(H.) 38	KNIGHT (W.)
GILMAN (N. P.) 28	HOLMES (O. W., junr.) . 12	KUENEN (Prof. A.) 30
GILMORE (Rev. J.) 13	HOMER 13, 36	KYNASTON (Rev. H.) 34. 37
GLADSTONE (Dr. I.H.) 7 8	HOOVER (Sir I D) 6 27	LABRERTON (R H) 2
GLADSTONE (W F)	HOOKER (ON J. D.) . O, S/	LABADERTON (RC III): · · · · ·
GLADSTONE (W.E.). 13	HOULE (C. H.)	LAFARGUE (F.) 10
GLAISTER (E.) 2, 8	HOOPER(G.) 4	LAMB 4, 20, 21
GODFRAY (H.) 3	HOOPER (W. H.) 2	LANCIANI (Prof. R.) 2
GODKIN (G. S.)	HOPE (F, I_{\cdot})	LANDAUER (L) 7
GOETHE A TA	HOPKINS (E.)	LANDOR 4.20
COLDCHUTTU I TO TI DO ON	Hopping (MAM)	LAND DOOLD (S)
Goldsmith 4, 12, 14, 20, 21	HOPPUS (MI. A. MI.) 10	LANE FOULE (S.) 20
GOODALE (Prol. G. L.) . 0	HORACE 13, 20	LANFREY (P.) 5
GOODFELLOW (J.) II	HORT (Prof. F. J. A.). 30, 32	LANG (Andrew). 2, 12, 21, 36
GORDON (General C. G.) . 4	HORTON (Hon. S. D.) . 28	LANG (Prof. Arnold) 39
GORDON (Lady Duff) , 27	HOVENDEN (R. M.)	LANGLEY (L.N.)
GOSCHEN (Rt Hon G L) 08	Howers (George)	LANGESTER (Prof Par) 6 at
Coser (Edmund)	Howell (George) 20	LANKESTER (1101. Ray) 0, 21
Gosse (Edinund) . 4, 13	HOWES (G. D.) 40	LASLETT (1.) 0
Gow (J.)	HOWITT $(A. W.)$ I	LEAF (W.) 13, 30
GRAHAM (D.) 14	Howson (Very Rev. J. S.) 32	LEAHY (Sergeant) 30
GRAHAM (I. W.)	HOZIER (Col. H. M.). , 24	LEA (M.)
GRAND'HOMME (E) 8	HitPMER (Baron) 27	IFF (S) 20.27
GRAN (Prof Androw)	HUGUER (T) + TT TO OD OT	LEE (0.)
Charley . 20	HUGHES (1.) 4, 15, 10, 20, 37	LEEPER (A.)
GRAY (Asa) 0	HULL (E.) 2,9	LEGGE (A. U.) 10, 34
GRAY 4, 14, 21	HULLAH (J.) 2, 20, 24	LEMON (Mark) 20
GREEN (J. R.) . 9, 10, 12, 20	HUME (D.) 4	LESLIE (A.)
GREEN (Mrs. I. R.) . 4.0.10	HUMPHRY(Prof. SirG. M.) 28 20	LETHBRIDGE (Sir Roper) 10
GREEN (W.S.)	HUNT (W)	I EVV (Amy)
CDEEDWINE (WA) · 37	Harry (W. M.)	LETT (Amy) · · · 10
CREENHILL (W. A.) 20	HUNT (W.M.) 2	LEWIS (K.)
GREENWOOD (J.E.)	HUTTON (R. H.) . 4, 21	LIGHTFOOT(Bp.)21,30,31,33,34
GRIFFITHS (W.H.) 23	HUXLEY (T.) 4, 21, 27, 28, 29, 40	LIGHTWOOD (J. M.) 12
GRIMM	IDDINGS (J. P.).	LINDSAY (Dr. J. A.) 23
GROVE (Sir G.).	ILLINGWORTH (Rev. I. R.) 24	LOCKVER (L.N.) 2.7.27
GUEET(F)	INCRAM (T. D.)	Longr (Prof () I)
Guren (M I)	InorAm (1. D.) 10	Lonux (P)
GUEST (M. J.) 10	IRVING (J.)	LOEWY (B.) 20
GUILLEMIN (A.) . 20, 27	IRVING (Washington) . 12	LOFTIE (Mrs. W. J.) 2
GUIZOT (F. P. G.) 5	JACKSON (Helen) 18	LONGFELLOW (H. W.) . 20
GUNTON (G.)	IACOB (Rev. I. A.) 34	LONSDALE (I.) 20, 37
HALES (I.W) 16 20	LAMES (Henry) 4 18 21	LOWE (WH) 20
HALLWARD (P. F.)	JAMES (Henry). 4, 19, 21	Lowe (I P)
HALLWARD (R. F.) 12	JAMES (Nev. 11. A.) 34	LOWELL (J. K.) 15, 21
11AMERTON (P. G.) . 2, 21	JAMES (Prot. W.) 20	LUBBOCK (Sir J.) 0, 8, 21, 22, 40
TAMILTON (Prot. D. J.) . 23	JARDINE (Rev. R.) 26	LUCAS (F.) 15
HAMILTON (J.)	JEANS (Rev. G. E.) . 34, 37	LUPTON (S.) 7
HANBURY (D.) 6. 23	LEBB (Prof. R. C.) , 4. 10. 13	LYALL (Sir Alfred) 4
HANNAY (David)	IFUETT (Rev I H.)	LYTE(H.C.M.)
HARDWICK (Archd C)	Invite (Prof Ed.)	Lymmony (Earl of)
HADDIA (A C) 31, 34	JENKS (FIOLEU.) 29	LYTTON (Lattor) 10
IIARDY (A. S.) 17	JENNINGS (A. C.) . 10, 30	MACALISTER (D.) 23
HARDY (1.) 17	JEVONS (W.S.). 4, 26, 28, 29	MACARTHUR (M.) 10
HARE (A. W.) 20	JEX-BLAKE (Sophia) 8	MACAULAY (G. C.)
HARE (J.C.) 20. 34	JOHNSON (Amy) 27	MACCOLL (Norman) 14
HARPER (Father Thos.) 25 24	TOHNSON (Samuel)	M'COSH (Dr. L) 25 26
HAPPIS (Rev G C)	LONES (H Arthur)	MACDONALD (G)
HADDIGON (F) . 34	Jones (Drif D E)	MACDONALD (O.) 10
ILAAKISON (T.) 4, 5, 21	IONES (FIOL D. L.) 27	MACDONELL (1.) · · 20

PAGE	PAGE	PAGE
MACKALL (I W) 27	MOULTON (I C)	POOLE (R L)
MACRAIL (J. W.)	MOULIUN (L.C.) 15	100LE (R. L.)
MACKENZIE (Sir Morell) . 23	MODIE (C. E.) 15	FUPE 4, 20
MACLAGAN (Dr. T.) 23	MUIR (M. M. P.) 7	POSTE (E.) 27, 36
MACLAREN (Rev. Alex.) . 34	MÜLLER (H.) 6	POTTER (L.)
MACLAREN (Archihald) 20	MULLINGER (I.B.) IT	POTTER (R)
MIRCEAREN (Internound) . 39	Menung (I I)	Demonstration (TC)
MACLEAN (W. C.) . 23	MURPHY (J. J.) 20	PRESTON (1.)
MACLEAR (Rev. Dr. G. F.) 30,32	MURRAY (D. Christie) . 18	PRICE (L. L. F. R.) 28
M'LENNAN (I.F.)	MURRAY (E. C. G.) \cdot 38	PRICKARD (A. O.)
M'LENNAN (Malcolm) 18	MVEPS (F) TE 26	PRINCE ALPERT VICTOR 27
M. DEMMAN (Mancolm) . 10	MITERS (E.)	Dente Contraction . 3/
MACMILLAN (Rev. 11.) 22,35,30	MIYERS (F, W. II.) . 4, 15, 22	PRINCE GEORGE 37
MACMILLAN (Michael) 5; 15	MYLNE (Bishop) 35	PROCTER (F.)
MACNAMARA (C.) 23	NADAL (E. S.)	PROPERT (J. L.) 2
MACOUOID (KS) T8	NETTLESHIP(H) 12	RADCLIEFE (C.B.) 2
Manage (E)	Neuropean (Dula and	Discourse (W)
MADOC (F.) 10	NEWCASTLE (Duke and	KAMSAY (W.) 7
MAGUIRE (J.F.)	Duchess) 20	RANSOME (C.) 13
MAHAFFY (Prof. J. P.)	NEWCOMB (S.)	RATHBONE (W.) 8
2 11 12 22 25 25 28	NEWTON (Sir C T)	RAWLINSON (W.G.)
Marmy and (F W)	New (I)	PAULON (H D)
MATTLAND (F. W.) . 12, 29	INICHOL (J.) 4, 13	KAWNSLEY (II. D.) 15
MALET (L.)	NOEL (Lady A.) 18	RAY (P.K.) 20
MALORY (Sir T.) 20	NORDENSKIÖLD (A. E.) . 38	RAVLEIGH (Lord) 27
MANSFIELD (C. B.) . 7	NORGATE (Kate)	REICHEL (Bishop)
MARKHAM (C.R.)	Noppus (W F)	REID (IS)
MARAAM (C. K.) 4	NURRIS (W. L.) . IO	D
MARRIOTT (J. A. R.) 5	NORTON (Charles Ellot) 3, 37	KEMSEN (1.) 7
MARSHALL (Prof. A.) . 28	NORTON (Hon. Mrs.) 15, 18	RENDALL (Rev. F.) . 31. 35
MARSHALL (M. P)	QLIPHANT (Mrs. M. O. W')	RENDU (M. le C.)
MARTEL (C)		REVNOLDS (H R)
MARTEL (C.) 24	4, 11, 13, 19, 20, 39	D
MARTIN (Frances) . 3, 39	OLIPHANT (1. L. K.) 22, 25	REYNOLDS (J. K.) 23
MARTIN (Frederick) 28	OLIVER (Prof. D.) 6	Reynolds (O.) II
MARTIN (H. N.)	OLIVER (Capt. S. P.) 38	RECHARDSON (B. W.) II. 23
MADELLEAU (H)	Owww. (C.W.)	PICUEV (A G)
MARTINEAU (11.) 5	OMAN (C. W.) 4	\mathcal{D}
MARTINEAU (J.) 5	OSTWALD (Prof.) 7	KOBINSON (Pred. H.G.). 35
MASSON(D.) 4,5,15,16,20,22,26	Otté (E.C.)	ROBINSON (J. L.) 24
MASSON (G.) . 7. 20	PAGE (T.E.)	ROBINSON (Matthew)
MASSON (R ()) TO	PALCHANE (Sir E)	ROCHESTER (Bishon of) =
MASSON (R. O.) 10	Discourse (E.T.)	Rochester (Dishop Or) . 5
MIATURIN (Rev. W.) 35	FALGRAVE (F. I.)	RUCKSTRU (W.S.) · · 4
MAUDSLEY (Dr. H.). 20	2, 15, 10, 20, 21, 33, 39	KOGERS (J. L. 1.) .11, 28, 29
MAURICE (Fredk. Denison)	PALGRAVE (R. F. D.) . 29	ROMANES (G. J.) 6
8, 22, 25, 30, 31, 32, 35	PALGRAVE (R. H. Inglis). 28	Roscoe (Sir H. E.) 7
MAURICE (Col F) . F 24 20	PALCRAVE (W G) TE 20 28	ROSCOF (W. C.)
MAX MÜLLER (F)	PALATED (Lodar S)	ROSERERY (Farl of)
MAX MULLER (F.) 25	PALMER (Lady S.) 19	Deepupuseu(H)
MAYER (A. M.) 27	PARKER (1. J.) 0, 39	ROSENBUSCH(II.) 9
MAYOR (J. B.)	PARKER (W. N.) 40	Koss (P.)
MAYOR (Prof. J. E. B.) . 3, 5	PARKINSON (S.) 27	ROSSETTI (C.G.) . 15, 39
MAZINI (L.) 30	PARKMAN (F.).	ROUTLEDGE (I.) 20
M'COPMICK (WS) IS	PARSONS (Alfred) T2	ROWE (F.L.)
Marpers (Dref D) = +6 ==	Diamona (I)	Discurpt (Prof A W)
MELDOLA (Prol. K.). 7, 20, 27	PASTEUR (L.) 7	RUCKER (Prol. A. W.) 7
MENDENHALL (T.C.) . 27	PATER (W. H.) . 2, 19, 22	RUMFORD (Count) 22
MERCIER (Dr. C.) 23	PATERSON (].)	RUSHBROOKE (W.G.) . 31
MERCUR (Prof. I.) . 24	PATMORE (Coventry) 20 20	RUSSELL (Dean)
MEDEDUTU (C)	Prompson (I C)	Pusser (Sir Charles)
MEREDITH (G.) 15	TATTESON (J.C.) · · 5	Devenue (W Claule) . 29
MEREDITH (L. A.) 12	PATTISON (Mark) . 4, 5, 35	RUSSELL (W. Clark). 4, 19
MEYER (E. von) 7	PAYNE (E. J.) 10, 29	KYLAND (F.) 13
MIALL (A.)	PEABODY (C. H.) . 8. 27	Ryle (Prof. H. E.)
MICHELET (M.)	PEEL (E.)	ST. JOHNSTON (A.) . 10 28 20
Muer (U D)	Drup (I)	SADIED (H)
MILL (H. K.) 9	FEILE (J.)	SADLER (II.) · · 2
MILLER (R. K.) 3	PELLISSIER (E.) 25	SAINTSBURY (G.) . 4, 13
MILLIGAN (Rev. W.). 31, 35	PENNELL(J.) 2	SALMON (Rev. G.) 35
MILTON . IZ IE 20	PENNINGTON (R.)	SANDFORD (M. E.)
MINTO (Prof W)	PENDOCE (F C)	SANDYS (I E)
Min 10 (1101. W.) . 4, 10	DENROSE (F.C.) 1, 3	Sauce (A H)
MITFORD (A. D.) 18	PERRY (Prot. J.) 27	SAYCE (A. II.) II
MIVART (St. George) 28	PETTIGREW (J. B.) . 6, 28, 40	SCHAFF (P.)
MIXTER (W.G.) 7	PHILLIMORE (J. G.) . 12	SCHLIEMANN (Dr.) 2
MOHAMMAD	PHILLIPS (I.A.) , 22	SCHORLEMMER (C.) . 7
MOLESWORTH (Mrs.)	PHILLIPS (WC)	SCOTT (D H)
Morrow (C)	Derror (I A)	Coomm (Cin W)
MOLLOY (G.)	PICTON (J. A.) 22	SCOTT (SIT W.). 15, 20
MONAHAN (J. H.) 12	PIFFARD (H. G.) 23	SCRATCHLEY (Sir Peter) . 24
MONTELIUS (O.)	PLATO	SCUDDER (S. H.) 40
MOORE (C. H.).	PLUMPTRE (Dean) . 25	SEATON (Dr. E. C.) . 22
MOOPHOUSE (Bishop)	POLLARD (A W)	SEFLEY (I R)
Mappage (L C)	December (Starth and D	Course (Dr. Corl)
MICKISON (J. C.) 3, 4	FOLLOCK(SITER., 2nd Bart.) 5	SEILER (DI. Carl) . 23, 28
MORLEY (John). 3, 4, 16, 22	POLLOCK (Sir F., Bart.) 12,22,29	SELBORNE (Earlot) 12,20,32,33
MORRIS (Mowbray) 4	POLLOCK (Lady) 2	SELLERS (E.) 2
MORRIS (R.) . 20.25	POLLOCK (W. H.)	SERVICE (J.)
MORSHEAD (E. D. A.) 26	POOLE (M. E.)	SEWELL (E. M.)

43

DAGD	. DACE	PACE
PAGE	The second secon	W (A W)
SHAIRP (J.C.) 4, 15	IANNER (H.) I	WARD (A. W.) 4, 13, 20
SHAKESPEARE . 13, 15, 20, 21	TAVERNIER (J. B.)	WARD (H. M.) 0
SHANN (G.) 8, 27	TAYLOR (Franklin) 24	WARD (S.) 16
SHARP (W.)	TAYLOR (Isaac) 25, 35	WARD (T. H.)
SHELLEV IE. 21	TAVLOR (Sedley) , 24, 27	WARD (Mrs. T. H.) . 19, 39
SHIDLEY (W N)	TECETMETER (W B) 8	WARD (W) 5. 22
SHIRLEY (W. H.) · · · · · · · · ·	Trupper (Pichen)	WARDAGTON (C)
SHORTHOUSE (J. FI.) . 19	TEMPLE (Dishop) 35	WARINGION (G.)
SHORTLAND (Admiral) . 24	IEMPLE (SIT K.) 4	WATERS (C.A.) 20
SHUCHHARDT (Carl) 2	TENNANT (Dorothy) 38	WATERTON (Charles) 24, 38
SHUCKBURGH (E. S.) 11, 36	TENNIEL	WATSON (E.) 5
SHUFELDT (R. W.) 40	TENNYSON . 14, 16, 21	WATSON (R. S.)
SIBSON (Dr. F.)	TENNYSON (Frederick) , 16	WEBB (W. T.)
Supcurver (Prof H) of all and	TENNYSON (Hallam) 12 20	WEBSTER (Mrs A) . 20
SIDGWICK (1101.11.) 20, 20, 29	THOMPSON (D'A W)	WELDY-GRECOPY (Lady) 22
SIME (J.) 9, 10	Treaspoor (F)	WELDFOREGORI (Dady). 52
SIMPSON (Rev. W.)	THOMPSON (E.) 10	WELLDON (Rev. J. E. C.). 30
SKEAT (W. W.) 13	I HOMPSON (S. P.) 27	WESTCOTT (Bp.) 30, 31, 32, 30
SKRINE (J. H.) 5, 15	THOMSON (A. W.) 8	WESTERMARCK (E.) I
SLADE (I. H.) 8	THOMSON (Sir C. W.) . 40	WETHERELL (J.) 25
SLOMAN (Rev. A.)	THOMSON (Hugh) 12	WHEELER (J. T.) II
SMAPT (W) 28	THOMSON (Sir Wm.) 24, 26, 27	WHEWELL (W.). (5
SHART (GW)	THORNE (Dr. Thorne)	WHITE (Gilbert) 24
SMALLEY (G. W.) . 22	THORNE (DI. HOILE) , 23	Willing (Dr. W. Hole)
SMETHAM (J. and S.) . 5	THORNTON (J.).	WHITE (DI. W. Hale) · 23
SMITH (A.) 20	1 HORNTON (W. 1.) 20, 29, 37	WHITE (W.)
SMITH (C. B.) 16	THORPE (T. E.) 7	WHITHAM (J. M.) 8
SMITH (Goldwin) . 4, 5, 29	THRING (E.) 8, 22	WHITNEY (W. D.) 8
SMITH (H.) 16	THRUPP (J. F.)	WHITTIER (J. G.) . 16, 22
SMITH(I) 6	THUDICHUM (L. L. W.) 7	WICKHAM (Rev. E. C.) , 36
SMITH (Rev T) 25	THURSFIELD (L.R.).	WICKSTEED (P. H.), 28, 30
SMITH (ICCV. 1.) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TODUUNTER (I) ~ 8	WIEDEPSHEIM (R)
SMITH (W.G.).	Toppone (W M)	WHEDERSHEIM (R.) 40
SMITH (W. S.)	TORRENS (W. MI.) 5	WILBRAHAM (T. MI.). 32
SOMERVILLE (Prof. W.) . 6	TOURGENIEF (1. 5.) 19	WILKINS (Prof. A. S.) 2, 13, 30
SOUTHEY 5	TOUT (T.F.) II	WILKINSON (S.) 24
SPENDER (J. K.) 23	Tozer (H. F.) 9	WILLIAMS (G. H.) 9
SPENSER 20	TRAILL (H. D.) 4, 20	WILLIAMS (Montagu) . 5
SPOTTISWOODE (W.). 27	TRENCH (Capt. F.) 20	WILLIAMS (S. E.)
STANLEY (Dean)	TRENCH (Archhishon) 25	WILLOUGHEV (F) 20
STANLEY (Dean)	TREACH (Inclusion) : 35	WILLE (W G)
STANLEY (Hon. Maude) . 29	TREVELYAN (SIL O. O.) , II	Willes (W. O.)
STATHAM (R.) 29	TRIBE (A.) 7	WILSON (1. J.)
STEBBING (W.) 4	IRISTRAM (W. U.)	WILSON (SIF C.) 4
STEPHEN (C. E.) 8	TROLLOPE (A.) 4	WILSON (SIT D.) . 1, 3, 13
STEPHEN (H.) 13	TRUMAN (J.)	WILSON (Dr. G.) . 4, 5, 22
STEPHEN (Sir J. F.) 11, 13, 22	TUCKER (T. G.)	WILSON (Archdeacon) . 36
STEPHEN (I.K.) 13	TULLOCH (Principal) 35	WILSON (Mary) 13
STEPHEN (L.)	TURNER (C. Tennyson) , 16	WINGATE (Major F. R.) . 24
STERNENC (I B) 16	TURNER (G)	WINKWORTH (C.)
STEPHENS (J. D.) · · · IO	TUDNED (H H)	WOISELEY (Con Viscount) 24
STEVENSON (J. J.) · · 2	TUDWED (I M W)	Woop (A G)
STEWART (A.) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Turon (F. P.)	Woop (Por F C)
STEWART (Ballour) 20, 27, 35	TYLOR (E. D.) I	WOOD (Rev. E. G.)
STEWART (S. A.) 6	1 YRWHITT (K. St. J.) 2, 16	WOODS (Kev. F. H.) I
STOKES (Sir G. G.) 27	VAUGHAN (C. J.) 31, 32, 35, 36	WOODS (MISS M. A.). 17, 33
STORY (R. H.)	VAUGHAN (Rev. D. J.) 20, 36	WOODWARD (C. M.) 8
STONE (W. H.)	VAUGHAN (Rev. E. T.) . 36	WOOLNER (T.)
STRACHEY (Sir E.) 20	VAUGHAN (Rev. R.) 36	WORDSWORTH . 5, 14, 16, 21
STRACHEV (Gen. R.).	VELEV (M.)	WORTHEY (Mrs.)
STRACHER (OCHI ICH) 9	VENN (Rev I) 26 26	WRICHT (Rev A) 21
Composite (A)	VERNON (Hop W W)	WRIGHT (C F C)
STRETTELL (A.) 10	VERNON (1101. W. W.) . 13	Wargum (I)
STUBBS (Rev. C. W.) 35	VERKALL (A. W.) . 13, 30	WRIGHT (J.) 21
STUBBS (Bishop) 31	VERRALL (Mrs.) I	WRIGHT (L.)
SUTHERLAND (A.) 9	WAIN (Louis) 39	WRIGHT (W. Aldis) 8, 15, 20, 31
SYMONDS (J.A.) 4	WALDSTEIN (C.) 2	WURTZ (Ad.) 7
SYMONDS (Mrs. J. A.) . 5	WALKER (Prof. F. A.) . 28	WYATT (Sir M. D.) 2
SYMONS (A.)	WALLACE (A. R.) , 6, 24, 28	YONGE (C. M.) 5, 6, 8, 10, 11.
TAIT (Archbishon)	WALLACE (Sir D. M.) 20	10, 21, 25, 20, 20
TATT (C W A)	WALPOLE (S)	YOUNG (E. W.)
TATT (Prof P C) of or or	WALTON (I)	ZIECLER (Dr. E.)
IAII (1101. F. G.) 20, 27, 35	······································	DILGLER (D1, D,) 23

MACMILLAN AND CO.

BEDFORD STREET, COVENT GARDEN, LONDON.

J. PALMER, PRINTER, ALEXANDRA STREET, CAMBRIDGE.

3/60/12/91

lucasanivs Apus Packard





