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## PREFACE.

THE following translation is from Vahlen's Text of 1874, and embodies generally the views expressed in his Beitrage and Adnotatio Grammatica. In I. 6 however I have followed St. Hilaire, and in a few other passages $I$ have been unable to agree with Vahlen. The translation is as far as possible literal ; but certain words must necessarily be differently rendered in different places, e.g. :-
dpmovia music, harmony.
סıá入ectos conversation, language, prose.
è $\pi \epsilon \tau \sigma o ́ 8 i o n ~ e p i s o d e, ~ a c t . ~$
$\lambda e ́ \xi i s ~ s t y l e, ~ s p e e c h, ~ l a n g u a g e, ~ p r o s e . ~ . ~$
入óyos word, story, speech, conversation, prose.
$\mu$ érpò metre, verse, measure, extent.
$\mu i \mu \eta \sigma \iota s$ imitation, representation.
övopa noun, name, term, word.
$\pi \dot{\pi}$ Oos feeling, suffering, disaster.
$\sigma \chi$ ímara figures, furms, acting, posturing.
A few notes are added to explain the translation or supplement Mr. Moore's commentary. The suggestions for filling up the lacuna in the text are from Vahlen.
E. R. WHARTON.

Oxford, Oct. 1883.
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## ARISTOTLE'S POETICS.

1 Or Poetry and its kinds - what capacity each has; how plots should be arranged if the treatment is to be correct; further, of the number and nature of the parts whereof each kind consists; and so of other points belonging to the same department-let us now treat, beginning, in the natural order, with first principles.
2 Epic poetry, Tragedy and Comedy, the Dithyramb, and most part of flute and guitar playing, are all (to 3 speak generally) imitations: they differ one from another in three points, according as they are imitations (1) by different means (2) of different objects (3) in dif4 ferent manners. For as men-some by art, some by practice-can imitate and reproduce things by colours and figures, or by the voice, so all the fore-mentioned arts effect the imitation by measure and words and music, either singly or combined. Thus-
(a) By the use of moasure and music alone: Flute and guitar playing, and whatever other arts are of
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like capacity, e.g. pipe-playing: while most ${ }^{2}$ dancers 5 imitate by the use of measure itself, withont music, as they by figured measures imitate character and feeling and action.
( $\beta$ ) By the use of words without musio, or metre: 6 Epic, whether it combine different metres, or (as it has hitherto done) employ a single kind ${ }^{1}$.-We could 7 not include under the term 'epic' the Mimes of Sophron and Xenarchus and the Dialogues of Plato, nor any imitation by means of iambics or elegiacs or the like. But people in general, associating poetry with metre, call poets 'elegiac' or 'epic,' naming them $L \mathrm{k}$. not because they are imitators, but indiscriminately according to their metre. For if they set forth the prin- 8 ciples of medicine or music in metre, people will call them poets, though, except the metre, there is nothing in common between Homer and Empedocles: the one should be called a poet, the other rather a physicist. ${ }^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~m}$ a So likewise if any one effect the imitation by mingling 9 all metres (as Chæremon did in his 'Centaur,' a rhapsody made up of all metres), we shall have to call him a poet ': On these points we may thus distin- 10 guish. There are however
( $\gamma$ ) Some kinds of imitation which use all the forementioned means, that is, measure and music and metre ${ }^{2}$, as do the Dithyramb and Nome, Tragedy and Comedy: they differ in that some use them all together, and some ${ }^{\circ}$ separately.

These, then, I call the distinguishing marks of these arts, the means whereby they effect the imitation.
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2 Since those who imitate imitate persons acting, who must be either superior or inferior (for characters perhaps alwass fall into these two classes, as all men differ in character by defect or excellence), i.e. either better than we are, or worse, or like us,-as among painters Polygnotus depicted men better than they are, Pauson 2 worse, Dionysius as they are,-it is clear that each of the fore-mentioned kinds of imitation will have these distinguishing marks, and will thus differ by imitating.
8 different obiects. For in dancing also, and in flute and guitar playing, these inequalities may arise; and so with prose, and with verse unaccompanied by music (e.g. Homer depicted men better than they are, Hegemon of Thasos the inventor of parody, and Nicochares author 4 of the Deliad, worse, Cleophon as they are); and so likewise with Dithyrambs and Nomes, one might represent gods as Argas ${ }^{4}$ did, or Cyclopes as Timotheus and Philozenus. And in this very point Tragedy differs from Comedy: the one would represent men better than theg-are, the other worse.
8 - There is yet a third difference, according to the maverer in which we may imitate each of these objects. For imitation by the same means and of the same objects may be sometimes by narration_(whether one identify oneself with the character, as Homer does, or retain one's own individuality), sometimes with
2 all the imitators acting and exerting themselves. To these three differences, of means, object, and manner,

[^1]
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imitation (as we said at the beginning) is open. So that in one point Sophooles will be the same kind of imitator with Homer, for both imitate superior persons; in another with Aristophanes, for both imitate persons acting and doing. Whence also, some say, 8 plays are called Dramas, because the writers imitate persons acting. Wherefore also Tragedy and Comedy are claimed by the Dorians,-Tragedy by some of the Peloponnesians, Comedy by the Megarians in Greece (who say it arose at the time they had a democracy) and Sicily (whence was the poet Epicharmus, who lived long before Chionides or Magnes), -who appeal to the names, as they say that they call villages komai (while the Athenians call them demoi), comedians being named not from revelling in the kiomos but from wandering about the lomai when they were slighted in the city; and that they call acting dran, the Athenians prattein.

Thus much for the number and nature of the dif-4 ferences incident to imitation.

Poetry in general seems to have originated from two 4 canses, both natural ones; it is innate in men from 2 childhood (1) to imitatom and herein we differ from other animals, in that we are the most imitative, and acquire our first knowledge through imitation-and (2) to delight_in_imitations. Witness what happens 8 in actual fact: we delight in viewing the most exact delineations of objects which in themselves we see with disgust, e.g. figures of the lowest animals or of corpses. Another reason is that learning is a great 4
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pleasure not only to philosophers but also to others /net who partake of it in a similar way but only to a slight $\dot{\text { い. }}$
5 extent. For the reason why we delight in seeing delineations is that by viewing them we can learn, and conclude what each is, e.g. that 'this is so and so;' nec. since unless one has seen the object itself, an imitation of it will fail to produce pleasure except through the execution or colouring or some such cause.
6 Imitation and music and measure being natural to us, and verse being plainly a subdivision of measure, persons originally disposed to versification, and improving it probably by degrees, created poetry by 7 their experiments. And poetry-divided itself according to indiridual-sharacter: the better sort imitated good actions and those of good men, the rulgar those. of inferiar-pervenct the former began by composing 8 hymns and panegyrics, the latter invectives. We can ascribe no such production to any who lived before - Homer, though probably there were many such writers; but beginning with Homer we may enumerate e.g. his Margites and the like. In these the Iambic metre appropriately appears, a satire being now called an Iambic poem because it was in this metre that they sa9 tirised each other; and some of the old poets became writers of heroics, some of iambics. And as Homonwas above all the poet of the superior style-for he alone imitates not merely correctly but dramatically-so he too first smggested the form_of Comedy, employing dramatically not invective but ridicule: his Margites has
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the same relation to Comedy as the Iliad and Odyssey to Tragedy.

Tragedy and Comedy having arisen, those impelled 10 by their individual nature to one style or the other became either writers of Tragedy instead of epic, or of Comedy instead of satire; the one form in each case being higher and of more repute than the other. To ${ }^{11}$ enquire however whether Tragedy, considered e either in itself or with reference to the spectators, is now perfect in its kinds, is another matter. Tragedy and ${ }^{12}$ Comedy having thus begun in experimentation-the one originating with the leaders of the Dithyramb, the other with those of the phallic song still in vogue in many of our cities-grew by degrees, improvements being made as each branch developed itself; and after many changes Tragedy reposed in the attainment of its natural form. Wschylus first increased the num- 13 ber of actors from one to two, shortened the part of the chorus, and made the dialogue prominent ${ }^{3}$; Sophocles added a third actor and scene-painting. Fur- 14 ther, the plot, originally short, was lengthened, and the style, originally ludicrous (through its being a development of the Satyric drama), was finally elevated ${ }^{4}$. The metre was changed from Trochaic Tetrameter to Iambic Trimeter: at the first the Trochaic was used through its being proper to Satyric dramas, and better suited for dancing, but when style arose Nature herself discovered the proper metre; the Iambic being of all metres the most like prose, as is proved by the fact

- Beading kpivar for uptucrea it ral. . 34 See Notes at end.
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that in conversation one with another we employ Iambics most of all metres, Hexameters seldom and only when we depart from the harmony of prose. Then 15 further came the multiplication of the acts: how other points are said to have been perfected we may forbear to discuss, as it would probably be a great task to explain them in detail.
5 Comedy is, as we have said (iv. 7), an imitation of persons inferior, not in every defect, but so far as the ludicrous is a subdivision of the deformed, being an error or deformity neither painful nor harmful, as e.g. a ludicrous mask is deformed and distorted but does not connote pain.
2 The stages in the history of Tragedy, and their authors, are known; those in the history of Comedy, through its not originally being thought much of, are not; nor was it till late that the Arehon allowed a chorus for comic actors, they being volunteers. And it had attained a certain form before the comic poets 8 actually so called are recorded. We know not who introduced masks, or prologues, or more actors than one, \&c. Plots were introduced by Epicharmus and Phormis; Comedy came originally from Sicily, and it was at Athens that Crates first gave up the satiric type, and began to generalise the story or plot. . . . .
Epic agrees with Tragedy only to a considerable extant! that-of heing andmation on superior-abasac-ters- they differ in that Epic has a simple metre, and is narrative. Further, as to compass of action, Tragedy endeavours to be contained if possible within one revolution of the sun, or to exceed but little, while Epic is not-fied ta time, and herein differs from Tra-
${ }^{\prime} \mu$ drpev in its etymological sense, of. xxii. 6, xuvi. 6.
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gedy; though at first the same was the case with both Tragedy and Epic. Of the constituent parts some are 5 common, some peculiar to Tragedy; so that whoever understands good and bad Tragedy understands also good and bad Epic; since everything that Epic has belongs also to Tragedy, though not all to Epic that belongs to Tragedy.

Of imitation by means of hexameters, and of Comedr, 6 we will speak hereafter; let us now treat of Tragedy, and take the definition of its essence resulting from what has been said. Tragedy is "a representation of $p$ ) superior and completomation of e_cartain_compaes in embellished language of either kind_sccarding_to-the several parts of the play, in the way of action not. of nsmative effeoting hy menns of pity-and-foas- the purging of anch feelings."

By 'embellished langnage' I mean that whiek- hae-8 measure and harmony (or music); and hy 'in tho dife ferent kinds sererally' that some effects are produced by verse alone someagain by music. And since we effect 4 the representation by action, first of all the arcanger ment of the decaration musthe an elementin Tragedy; and next music and style, as it is by these means that we effect the representation. By 'music' I mean that of which the whole capacity is apparent, by 'style' the construction of the verses itself. And since-Tragedy 6 is_a representation of action, and action_ia carried on by actors who must be of one kind or another in character and eentiment (from which qualities we say that action is of one kind or another), there will be two causes of action, charactor and sentiment and it pungation (pity ffenfadrod a a sin. rim
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6 is by the action that we amcceed or foil. The onlot is the representation of the action: and by 'plot' I here mean the construction of incident hy 'character' that whereby mesay the actors are-of one kind or another. by 'sentiment' that whereby they in speaking prove anything or set forth an opinion.
7 All Tragedy thon mnst, have six parts, to make it of one kind or another: plot, character, sentiments stole decoration, music. The means whereby we represent things form two parts s, the manner one ${ }^{\text {b }}$, the 8 objects three; and these are all. These classes, so to say, most of our poets employ, every play embracing plot, character, sentiment, style, decoration, and music alike. Of_those-the-moct_important_is the acrangement of incidenty for Tragedy is a representation not of persons but of action and life, happiness and unhappiness; and happiness and unhappiness ${ }^{i}$ consist in 10 action, the and being action, not a quality.' Men are of one kind or another according to their character, happy or unhappy according to their actions: we do not therefore act in order to represent character, but include character on account of the action, so, that the incidents and the plotare the end of Trageds, and the 11 end is always the mast impartant thing. Further, Tragedy mas subsist without character, without action it cannot: the tragedies of most joung poets are without character, and so in general with many poets; as among painters Zenxis compared with Polsgnotus-- Zeuxis' style lacks character, Polygnotus excels in

[^2]
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painting it. Further, if one set in order speeches show- 12 ing character and well constructed as to style and sentiment, he will not effect the real business of Tragedy so much as would a play which employed these means in a lower form but had a plot and arrangement of incident. Moreover the greatest of the means whereby 18 Tragedy attracts - revolutions and recognitions-are subdivisions of the plot. Further, witness the fact that 14 beginners in composition can succeed sooner in style and character than in arrangement of incident; e.g. the earliest poets almost without exception. The plot then is the basis and as it wera_nal_ Trasedy, $\downarrow$ charactor-aoming_naxt. It is the same thing as in 15 painting: if one were to lay on the most beautiful colours promiscuously he would not please so much as if he first drew a sketch. The representation is a representation of action, and, on this account above all, of actors.-Third comes sentiment, that is, the 16 v. power of expressing what is contained in the story or consonant with_it, which, in the dialogue, is the businees of Politic-and-Rhetoric: the old poets make their characters speak like citizens, those of our. day like rhetoricians. Character is whatever shews' 17 choice, what, if the case is not clear, one chooses or rejects (so that speeches in which there is nothing at all for the speaker to choose or reject contain no character): sentiment is that whereby we prove the existence or non-existence of anything, or set forth a general proposition.

Fourth comes the style of the speeches; and by 18 style I mean, as I said before, expression by means
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19 of names; which has the same effect in poetry and hor in prose.

Of the rest, the fifth element is mnsic, the greatest of all embellishments; the sixth, decoration, which is attractive, but least artistic and least proper to poetry, as Tragedy may have its effect even without competition or actors, and farther with the execution of decoration the mechanic's art is more concerned than the poet's.
7 . These things defined, let us next say of what kind the arrangement of incident should be; for this 2 is the first and greatest element in Tragedy. We have laid down that Tragedy is the representation 3 of wholo-and-complate action of enome compars: for there may be a whole of no compass. Awtrole in that which lhas beginning and middle and end. The beginning is that which does not itself of necessity follow anything, but after which something must be or occur, as the end is that which must itself (of necessity or in general) follow something, though nothing need follow it; the middle is that which follows something else as something else follows it. Thus a well-arranged plat must neither begin nor end at 4 random,but fall nnder-the formontioned-forms. Further, since the beautiful, whether in a figure or in anything else which consists of parts, must not only have these in order but also be of a definite compassfor beauty lies in compass and order, so that a figure would not be beautiful if it were very small (as the sight of it, being instantaneous, would then be a con-
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fused one) or very large (as then the sight would not be comprehensive, unity and completeness in it would be wanting to the spectator, e.g. if the figure were a thousand miles long)-it is necessary, as in the case 5 of bodies or figures (which must have size, but a size comprehensible at once), so in the case of plots, that they should have compass, but a compass adapted to the memory. It is not for art to fix the limit of com- 6 pass required by competition and taste; for if a hun- was dred tragedies were competing they would compete by the hour-glass (as is said to have once been done); but as for the limit fixt by the nature of the case, the 7 greatest consistent with simultaneous comprehension is always the best. Or, to express it by simple definition, a sufficient limit of compass is 'such as, when events succeed each other according to probability or necessity, allows a change from adversity to prosperity or vice versa.'

A plot is ono, not, as some think, if it be concerned $8 \backslash \mathrm{~W}$ with one person; for many, nay, numberless things may happen to one person, in some of which there is no unity; and so likewise there may be many actions of one person which do not make up one action. Thus it seems all poets err who write a Heracleid or 2 Theseid or the like, thinking that as Heracles was one person so his story must be one. Homer, among other 8 excellencies, seems to have seen this clearly, whether from art or from genius: in writing the Odyssey he did not introduce everything that happened to Odys-
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sens, e.g. his being wounded on Parnassus or feigning madness when the army was assembling (no one of which events followed necessarily or probably on another), but composed the Odyssey on one action; 4 as we may say, and so the Iliad. As then in other mimetic arts one representation is the representation of one object, so the plot (being a representation of action) must be the representation of one complete action, and the parts of the action be so arranged that if any be transposed or removed the whole will be broken up and disturbed; for what proves nothing by its insertion or omission is no part of the whole.
9 It is plain also from what we have said that it is not a poet's business to relate what occurred, but what might occur, what is according to probability 2 or necessity possible. The historian and the poet differ not by writing in prose or verse-for we might pat Herodotus into verse, and it would be a history as much in verse as in prose,-but in that one relates 8 what occurred, the other what_might_occur. Thus poetry is superior to and more philosophic than history; poetry treats more of the general, history 4 of the particular. The general tells us to what kind of man it would occur, according to probability or necessity, to say or do things of a certain kind (and at this poetry aims in giving names to the characters); the particular, what Alcibiades did or what happened 6 to him. In Comedy this has now become clear: we arrange the plot by means of probable incidents, and
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then apply names at random, instead of writing, like the iambic poets, about individuals. In Tragedy we 6 keep to recorded names, the reason being that the possible is credible: what has not occurred we no way believe to be possible, but what has occurred was plainly possible, or it would not have occurred. In some tragedies however one or two of the names 7 are known ones and the rest fictitions, as in others all; e.g. in Agathon's "Flower" incidents and names are alike fictitious, and yet it pleases. So that 8 we must not always seek to keep to the received stories with which tragedies are concerned; it would even be absurd to do so, since even the known events are known to few and yet please all. (It is clear from 9 this that the poet should be a 'maker' of plots rather than of verses, as he is a 'maker' by reason of his being an imitator, and what he imitates is action. Even if therefore it happens that he writes of what has occurred, he is none the less the 'maker' of it; for some things that have occurred may well have been such as would probably have occurred and might have occurred, and so he is the 'maker' of them.

Of simple plots or actions the episodic are the worst. 10 By an episodic plot I mean one in which there is neither probability nor necessity in the sequence of the episodes. Such action is produced by inferior poets through their own inferiority, by good poets on ac-
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count of the actors ${ }^{1}$ : engaging in eompetition, and lengthening out the plot beyond its capabilities, they 11 are often forced to pervert the brder. And since the imitation is imitation not only of a complete action but also of things pitiful and terrible, and these are especially such if they happen unexpectedly (for this is : what strikes us most $)$, and still more so if they 12 happen nnexpectedly one , through another-for they will then produce more gotonishment than if they occurred mechanically ar accidentally, since even acci dents soem most astonishing when they appear to have happened as it rere designedly, as e.g. the statue of Mitys at Argos killed his murderer by falling on him as he looked at it: for such things seem not to happen at random,-such plots must be the better.
10 Plots are either simple or complex, as the actions of which they are representations are in themselves either
2 simple or complex. By simple action I mean that in which (it being, as we have defined it, continuous and one) the change occurs without revolution or recognition; by complex, that in which the change is ac-
8 companied by revolution or recognition or both. All this must arise from the very arrangement of the plot, so that all follows (necessarily or probably) from what has happened before: it makes much difference whether things happen in consequence of others or merely after others.
11 A revolution is a change of the action to the reverse. as we have said (vii. 7), and that, as we also say ( $\mathrm{x}_{0} 3$ ), according to necessity or probability. Thus in the CEdipus Rex the Corinthian who comes to cheer Odipus and relieve him of his fear about his mother does
${ }^{1}$ Or perhaps the requirements of the atage.'
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the reverse by shewing him who he is; in the Lynceus ${ }^{k}$ the hero is being led to death and Danaus following to slay him, when the result of the action is that he is saved and Danaus killed.
A. recognition, as the name signifies, is a change 2 from ignorance to knowledge (whether to friendship or to enmity) in the characters depicted to display prosperity or adversity. The best kind of recognition is one accompanied by revolution, as in the Cedipus Rex. There are also other kinds, as the recognition may 3 refer to inanimate objects, or to anything that happens as has been said; or we may recognise whether any one has done a thing or not. But the kind most pertinent to the plot and to the action is the first mentioned; for such recognition and revolution will in- 4 rolve either pity or fear, and Tragedy is laid down to be the representation of such actions; and, further, prosperity or adversity will in such cases ensue.

Since, then, recognition is a recognition of persons, 5 some kinds are of one person by the other simply, when it is known who the other is; in others each person must recognise the other, as Iphigenia ${ }^{1}$ becomes known to Orestes by the sending of the letter, while he in order to be recognised by her requires another method.

With these subjects then are concerned two parts of 6 the plot, revolution and recognition: the third is suffering. Of these, revolution and recognition have been

[^3]
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discussed: suffering is hurtful or painfal action, e.g. death on the stage, excess of pain, wounding, \&c.
12 The parts of Tragedy which we must treat as kinds we have mentioned above (vi. 7); the parts relating to length and to the several divisions are these, prologue opisode axodus chorus; and of the chorus the parodos and the stasimon. These are common to all plays: peculiar to some are the songs from the stage and the kiommoi.
2 The prologus is the whole of the tragedy before the entrance of the chorus; the opisode, all between entire choric songs; the exodus, all after which there is no song by the chorus. Of the choral part, the parodos is the first speech of the whole chorus, the stasimon a song by the chorus without anapæsts or trochees, the kommos a lament between chorus and actor.
8 The parts of Tragedy which we must so treat we have mentioned before (vi. 7); the parts relating to length and to the several divisions are these.
13 Next to the fore-mentioned points we may discuss what to aim at and what to avoid in arranging plots,
2 and how to effect the object of Tragedy. Since the construction of the best tragedy should be representative of things pitiful and terrible (for this is the property of such representation), and not simple but complex, in the first place it is clear that we must not have (1) good men changing from prosperity to adversity (for this would be neither pitiful nor terrible, bat re:
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pulsive), nor (2) the bad from adversity to prosperity (for this is the least tragic method of all, as involving none of our requisites, being neither provocative of sympathy nor pitiful nor terrible); nor again (3) the very wicked falling from prosperity into adversity (for such arrangement would provoke sympathy, but neither pity nor fear; as the one is for the innocent suf- ${ }^{-}$ fering, the other for one's own like-pity for the innocent, fear for one's like; so that the result would be neither pitiful nor terrible)./ The intermediate cha-' racter then is left; and such is one neither distin- 3 guished by virtue or justice, nor falling into adversity through vice or wickedness, but failing through some error, being a person of great repute and prosperity, e.g. Cdipus, Thyestes, and the famous men of such houses.

The well-constructed plot then must be simple ra- 4 ther than, as some say, double; and the change must be not from adverity to prosperity but reversely from prosperity to adversity, and not through wickedness but through great error on the part either of such a man as we have described or of one better (not worse) than such. Witness this fact: at first poets 5 admitted any plot, now the best tragedies are constructed on the fortunes of a few houses, e.g. those of Alcmæon Edipus Orestes Meleager Thyestes Telephus and whatever other persons have chanced to do or suffer terrible things.

Such then is the arrangement of the artistically
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6 best tragedy. : Whence also they make the same mistake = who blame Euripides for thus doing in his tragedies, many of his ending unhappily: for this is according to our statement correct, and a strong proof is that on the stage and in competition such if well acted appear most tragic, and Euripides, whatever else he may manage ill, yet appears the most tragic of poets.
7 The second kind of arrangement-by some called the first-is that which has a double arrangement, like the Odyssey, and ends differently for good and bad. It is put first on account of the spectators' weakness, to which poets pander who write to gratify the spec-
8 tators. But such pleasure is not derived from Tragedy, but rather proper to Comedy, in which if the bitterest enemies, like Orestes and Egisthus, appear in the story, they go out friends at the finish, and no one is killed by any.
14 The pitiful and terrible may arise either out of the spectacle or out of the very arrangement of incident, the latter being the higher kind and shewing a better poet. For the plot should be so arranged that, even apart from the spectacle, the hearer shudders at the incidents before him and feels pity at the results, as 2 une would do on hearing the story of Gedipus. Tu produce this effect by means of the spectacle is less artistic and requires apparatus. Those who by means of the spectacle produce not the terrible but only the marvellous have no part in Tragedy; for we should not seek from Tragedy any pleasure, but that which is

[^4]
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proper to it. And since the poet should by the repre- $\beta$ sentation produce the pleasure arising from pity and fear, it is plain that this must be brought about by the incidents; let us therefore gather what kinds of occurrences appear pitiful or terrible.

Such actions must be either those of friends one to 4 another, or of enemies, or of neutrals. If, then, an enemy thus treat an enemy, he does nothing to provoke pity whether he act or intend to act (except so far as the suffering goes), nor do neutrals; but when sufferings are inflicted by friends on each other-e.g. when a brother kills or intends to kill or in any such way treats a brother, a son a father, a mother a son or a son a mother,-it is what we should seek. We must 5 not however destroy received stories, I mean e.g. that of Clytromnestra slain by Orestes or Eriphyle .by Alcmaon, but invent for ourselves and use tradition aright. What this means we may explain more clearly.

The action may be carried on (1) knowingly and 6 consciously, as the old poets had it, and as Euripides also makes Medea kill her children; or (2) the characters may act, and unknowingly do something terrible, and then afterwards recognise each other as' friends, as does the Cdipus of Sophocles (the action however being outside the play), or the Alcmæon of Astydamas, or Telegonus in the Wounded Odysseus (the action being within the tragedy). Further, a third head in 7 addition, (3) one may be about to do in ignorance something irrevocable, and then recognise the truth before doing it. Beside these there is no other way: the characters must either act or not, and that either conscionsly or unconeciously.
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Of these ways the worst is (1) knowingly to intend and not act; for this involves the repulsive element, and is not tragical, for it excludes saffering; whence no one uses it naturally, unless rarely, as in the An8 tigone Haemon intends to kill Creon. Next comes (2) the execution of the purpose; and it is best to act in ignorance and then recognise the truth, as then the repulsive element is absent and the recognition is 9 striking. But best of all is (3) the last, I mean as in the Cresphontes ${ }^{n}$. Merope is about to slay her son and instead of slaying recognises him; or as in the Iphigenia in Tauri the sister recognises the brother, in the Helle the son the mother whom he is about to expose. It is on this account that tragedies, as we have already said (xiii. 5), are concerned with the 10 fortunes of a small number of families: poets experimented and found out, not by art but by chance, how to produce such effect in their plots, and so are compelled to recur to the houses in which such disasters have occurred.
. 11 Of the arrangement of incident, and of the right kind of plots, we have now said enough.
15 With regard to the character ${ }^{\circ}$ there are four points to aim at: first and foremost, that it be a good one. The play will have character if, as we have said (vi. 17), speech or action reveal choice of any kind, and good character if good choice. This may be in any class, for even a woman or a slave may be good, though perhaps the former is inferior and the latter 2 wholly base.-The second point is fitness of character:

[^5]
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a character may be manly, and yet it may not be fitting for a woman to be thus manly or clever. -The 8 third is conformity $p$ : this is differeṇt from making the character good and fitting in the way we have described.-The fourth is consistoncy: even if he who 4 gives occasion for the representation and suggests such character be inconsistent, he should yet be consistently inconsistent.

A gratuitous example of badness of character is 5 Menelaus in the Orestes q : of the unseemly and unfitting the lament of Odysseus in the Scylla q, or the speech of Melanippe 9 : of inconsistency the Iphigenia in Aulis 9 , for Iphigenia when she supplicates is quite unlike her later character.

We must in the character, as in the arrangement of 6 incident, seek always either necessity or probability, so that it be either necessary or probable that such a man should say or do such things, as it is that one thing should happen after another. It is plain then that 7 the solution of the plot should arise out of the plot itself, and not be mechanical as in the Medea a , or the paseage about the sailing away from Troy in the Iliad: Mechanical means should be used for things outside the play, whether what has happened before which it is impossible for a man to know, or what happens after which needs prophecy or reporting: to the gods we attribute omniscience. Nor should there be in the action ansthing irrational, unless it be outside the tragedy as in the CEdipus Rex of Sophocles.

Since Tragedy is a representation of superior persons, 8 we must imitate the skilful statuary who, assigning - With tradition. - Of Euripides.
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the proper form, depicts men like what they are but handsomer. So should the poet, in representing passionate or indolent men or those who have any such faults of character, make them tolerable and yet the same: e.g..with stubbornness, as Agathon and Homer 9 rupresent Achilles. These points he should observe, and in addition to thene the impressions which, besides those that are inherent, accompany poetre; for in respect to these also there are many possible kinds of error. But of this we have said enough in our already published works.
16 What recognition is we have already explained (xi. 2); as for its kinds, the first and least artistic, which through poverty poets use most, is that by 2 tokens. Of these, some are congenital, as 'the spearmarks that the Earthborn bear,' or star-marks ì uetas Carcinus introduces in his Thyestes; some adrentitious, whether corporal, e.g. scars, or separable, necklets, and as in the Tyro ${ }^{\text { }}$, where the recognition is by 8 means of the cradle. These too may be used with or without skill, e.g. by means of the scar Odysseus was recogrised in one way by his nurse, in another by the swineherds: for recognitions intended to produce conviction, and all of this kind, are the less artistic. Those brought about by a revolution, as in the Wash4 ing of Odysseus r , are better. - Next come those invented by the poet and therefore inartistic. Thus Orestes in the Iphigenia in Tauri reveals himself as Orestes: she reveals herself by means of the letter, he says what is required by the poet but not by the story; wherefore this borders on the fore-mentioned error, as he might have carried tokens with him. So

F Of Sophocles.
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in the Tereus of Sophocles ' the shuttle's voice ${ }^{6}$ '. -The 5 third kind is by recollection, through understanding something when one sees it; as in the Cyprians of Dicæogenes, where Menclaus weeps on seeing the statue of Helen, and in the story told-to Alcinous, od where Odysseus hearing the harpist remembers and weeps, whence the recognition in each case.-The 6 fourth kind is by inference, e.g. in the Choëphoro, "some one like Electra has come, no one is like her but Orestes, therefore Orestes has come." So in the Iphigenia of Polyidus the Sophist, it is natural for Orestes to infer that as his sister was sacrificed so he himself is to be sacrificed. So in the Tydeus of Theodectes, the hero coming to find his son "infers that he is to lose his own life. So in the Phineidæ, the Harpies seeing the place infer their fate: here they are fated to die, because here they were exposed.

A recognition may also be constructed by false in- 7 ference of the spectators, as in Odysseus the False Messenger the pretender says he would know the bow which he has not seen, and a false inference is raised as though he were thereby about to reveal himself. But the best of all kinds of recognition is when the 8 surprise arises by probable means out of the incidents themselves, as in the Gedipus Rex of Sophocles, and the Iphigenia in Tauri (for it is probable that she would wish to entrust Orestes with a letter): such alone need no artificial tokens, such as necklets. Next bent are those by inference.

In arranging the plot and working it out by lan- 17 guage the poet should as far as possible set it all before one's eyes ; for thus the spectator, as though

[^6]
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present at the action itself, will most sensibly find out what is appropriate, and contradictions be least likely to escape notice. Witness the fault found with Car cinus: Amphiaraus had left the temple, and this if he had not seen it ${ }^{t}$ would have escaped the notice of the spectator; but when it was put on the stage the spectators were disgusted and the piece failed.
2 As far as possible also the poet should work out the plot by aoting it: for, starting with the same nature, those who foel anything are most effective; the sufferer suffers, and the angry man storms, in the most genuine manner. Wherefore poetry requires either cleverness or enthusiasm : clever people are inquisitive, enthusiasts are easily moved.
3 Such recognised plots, as well as those he himself invents, the poet should set forth as to the general idea, then introduce episodes and complications. I mean that the general idea e.g. of the Iphigenia in Tauri may thus be seen: $\mathbf{\Delta}$ certain maiden having been brought to the altar, and having vanished out of the sight of the sacrificers and settled in a country where it was the custom to sacrifice strangers to the goddess, holds this priesthood: after a while it happens that the priestess' brother comes, and that because (for some reason outeide the general idea) the god bade him come thither (for what purpose is again outside the story); and on his arrival being seized and about to be sacrificed he reveals himself, whether as Euripides relates or as Polyidus does (who says, not improbably, that not only the sister but also the brother Was to have been sacrificed), and hence the rescue.
4 Next after this, assuming the names of his characters, he should introduce episodes, and see that they be appropriate, as in the case of Orestes " the madness through which he was taken prisoner and the rescue through the purification. - In dramas the episodes

[^7]
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are short, while in Epic they serve to lengthen. The story of the Odyssey is of a small compass : $\Lambda$ man being abroad for many years, persecuted by Poseidon and alone, while his home affairs are in this position, that suitors waste his estate and plot against his son, he himself arrives after a storm, and revealing himself to certain persons falls on his enemies, saves himself and destroys them. This then is the essential part, the rest episodic.

In every tragedy half is the complication, half the 18 solution : the circumstances outside the plot, and often some that are not outside, form the complication, the rest the solution. I mean that the complication is from the beginning to the last part, where the change comes from adversity to prosperity or vice versar: the solution, from the beginning of the change to the end. Thus in the Lynceus of Theodectes the complication consists of the antecedent action; the seizure of the boy, and again their bringing before the court; the solution ${ }^{x}$ is from the accusation of murder to the end.

Of Tragedy there are four kinds, just as we said 2 (xii. 1) there were four parts ${ }^{6}$ : (1) the simple $\mathrm{y}, \ldots$. (2) the complex, of which the basis is revolution and recognition: (3) the pathetic, e.g. those on Ajax or Ixion: (4) the ethical, e.g. the Phthiotian Wumen : and the Peleus ${ }^{\circ}$. The marvellous is found in e.g. the Phorcides ${ }^{b}$, the Prometheus ${ }^{b}$, and the State of Hades.

We should try to include if possible all elements, 3 or at least the most important, and of them the greatest number, especially seeing how people now carp at poets: there have been good poets in each branch,

[^8]
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and so they expect one man to excel each in his peculiar skill. It is perhaps not right at all to call tragedies different or the same on account of the plot, though we may identify those in which the same complication and solution appear ${ }^{7}$. Many poets who excel in complication fail in solution: both branches should 4 always be mastered.-We must remember what has several times ( V .4 , xvii. 5) been said, and not make Tragedy an epic arrangement. By epic I mean full of plots, as it would be if one took the whole plot of the Iliad. For in Epic, on account of its length, the parts receive fitting compass; in dramas the result is quite 5 contrary to expectation. For proof, all who take the whole story of the fall of Troy and not parts of it as Euripides does, or the tale of Niobe and not parts of it as Eschylus does, either fail, or compete at a disadvantage : hereby alone even Agathon failed.-In revolutions with simple incidents ${ }^{8}$ poets seek their object by surprises ${ }^{\text {' }}$; for this is tragic, and provocative 6 of sympathy. This occurs when the wise but uicked man (e.g. Sisjphus) is deceived, or the brave but unjust is worsted : and this is a probable occurrence, for, as Agathon sajs, it is probable that many improbable things will happen.
7 The chorus should be assumed to be one of the actors and part of the whole, engaging in the competition as in Sophocles not as in Euripides. In other poets the songs have no more to do with the plot than with a different tragedy; wherefore they sing interludes, a practice first started by Agathon. But what difference does it make whether they sing interludes or transfer a speech (or a whole act) from one play into another?
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Other points having been discussed, it remains to 10 speak of sontimont and style. The topic of sentiment is treated in our work on Rhetoric, as it belongs rather to that branch. To it appertains all the effect that should be produced by the language: the subdivisions of which are-proving and refuting, and producing emotion (e.g. pity, fear, anger, \&c.) and exaggerated or reduced ideas. It is plain that in the 3 arrangement of incident we must take the subdivisions of sentiment ${ }^{10}$ from the same heads ${ }^{\text {a }}$ when we have to produce the pitiful or terrible, the great or small, the probable or improbable; but there is this difference, that in a drama such effects must be clear without explanation, in a speech they must be produced by the speaker and follow from the language. For what would be the use of a speaker if even without language all were sufficiently clear?

As to style, one department of enquiry consists of 4 the figures of speech; the knowledge of which belongs to the art of speaking and to him who has such science; e.g., what is commund, prayer, narration, threatening, questioning, answering, \&c. Now no objection deserving of attention can be brought against poetry by reason of knowledge or ignorance of these things: what error can we suppose there is in what Protagoras 5 finds fault with, that the poet, pretending to pras, really commands when he says ", "Sing, 0 goadess, the urath," as he declares that bidding one do or not do a thing is commanding? Let us therefore pass this by as a question for another art, not for poetry.

All speech has the following parts: the letter, 20 syllable, connective particle, article, noun, verb, inflexion, sentence.

A letter is an indivisible sound, not of any kind, but 2

[^9]
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that out of which an intelligible sound may arise; for beasts also have indivisible sounds, none of which I 8 call a letter. Its subdivisions are: vowel, semivowel, mute. A vowel is that which without contact ${ }^{\text {e }}$ has an audible sound : a semivowel is that which with such contact has an audible sound, e.g. s, $r$ : a mite is that which, with contact, has in itself no sound, but in conjunction with a vowel becomes audible, e.g. $g$, $d$.
4 These differ according to the shape of the mouth, the position, having rough or smooth breathing, being long or short, of acute or grave or circumflex accent: the consideration of which details belongs to works on metre.
5 A syllable is a non-significant sound composed of a mute and a semivowel or vowel : $g r$ without $a$ is a syllable, and so with a, gra. But the differences of these too it is for the science of metre to consider.
6 A connective particle is a non-significant sound which neither deprives of nor invests with signification a sound that may be made up of several sounds: it may be placed ${ }^{f}$ either at one extremity or in the middle, but ought not to stand by itself at the beginning of a sentence: e.g., indeed, either, but. In other words, a non-significant sound which out of several sounds denoting one sound may form one significant sound.
7 An article is a non-significant sound shewing the beginning or end or division of a sentence E , . . . . c.g., about, around, \&c. In other words, a non-significant sound which neither deprives of nor invests with

- Of the organs of speech.

Examples, followed by a second definition, should here follow.
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signification a sound made up of several sounds, and which may be placed either at one extremity or in the middle.

A noun is a composite significant sound without 8 connotation of time, no part of which is in itself significant : for in compounds we do not use either part as though it were in itself significant, e.g., in Theodorus the döron has no signification.

A verb is a composite significant sound connoting 9 time, no part of which is in itself significant (any more than in the case of the noun): 'man' or 'white' does not signify when, but 'walks' or 'has walked' connotes time present or past.

An inflexion of noun or verb is that which signifies 10 either (1) the relation 'of him,' 'to him,' and the like ${ }^{\text {b }}$; or (2) the relation 'to one,' 'to many ${ }^{1}$ ', e.g., 'man,' 'men;' or (3) the relation of the manner of speaking, e.g. according as we ask or command. 'Did he walk' or 'walk thou' are inflexions of the verb under these heads.

A sentence is a composite significant sound, some 11 parts of which are in themselves significant. Not every sentence is composed of noun + verb, but a sentence may be without a verb (e.g. the definition of Man ) , though it will always have some significant part, e.g. 'Cleon' in 'Cleon walks.' $\mathbf{A}$ sentence may be 12 one in either of two ways: it may signify one thing, or it may consist of several elements united by connective particles. Thus the word Man is one sentence because it signifies one thing, the Iliad because of the connective particles.

Nouns are of two kinds: (1) simple, by which I 21 mean composed of non-significant elements, e.g. earth, and (2) double, whether composed of a signiticant +

$$
\pm=\text { Gen. Dat. Aoc. 'i.e. the rolation of Namber. }
$$
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a non-significant element (though not in the word itself significant or non-significant) or of significant elements. A noun may also be triple or quadruple or multiplex, like most bombastic words, such as 'Hermo-Caico-Xanthus.'
2 Every noun is either ordinary or strange, metaphorical or ornamental or invented, lengthened or 8 shortened or altered. By ordinary I mean what all use, by strange what some use: thus it is plain that the same word may be both ordinary and strange, though not to the same persons, as oiyvoov (spear) is to the Cyprians an ordinary term, to us a strange one.
4 Metaphor is extension of an improper term, whether (1) from genus to species, or (2) from species to genus,

5 or (3) from species to species, or (4) by analogy. By (1) 'from genus to species' I mean e.g. 'Here stands my ship ${ }^{k}$, as being moored is a kind of standing: by (2) 'from species to genus' e.g. 'Ten thousand good deeds has Odysseus done ${ }^{1}$,' as ten thousand is a great number, and here used for a great number: by (3) 'from species to species' e.g. 'draining the life with the steel,' or 'cutting with tireless steel,' as here the poet calls cutting draining and draining cutting, both
6 being to take something away: by (4) analogy I mean when the second is to the first as the fourth to the third, as then one may use the fourth for the second or the second for the fourth, sometimes even adding that to which the word refers instead of which the poet uses a metaphorical one ${ }^{21}$. I mean e.g. a cup is to Dionysos as a shield to Ares, one may therefore call a cup 'the shield of Dionysos' or a shield 'the cap * Odyssey i. $185 . \quad{ }^{1}$ Iliad ii. $272 .{ }^{11}$ See Note at end.
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of Ares:' or, as old age is to life, so is evening to day, one may therefore call evening 'day's old age' or old age 'life's evening' (as Empedooles does), or 'life's setting.' In some cases there is no analogous term in 7 existence, but we may still speak in the same way: thus the scattering of corn is sowing, but the sun's scattering rass has no name, this, however, stands to the sun as sowing to corn, whence we say 'sowing the god-created rays.' This kind of metaphor we may 8 also use in another way, and while employing an improper term exclude a proper one, as if one should call a shield the cup not ' of Ares' but ' wanting wine.'

An invented word is one never used by any at all, 9 but made by the poet himself: for some seem to be of this kind, e.g. 'sprouters' for horns and 'supplicator' for priest.

A word is lengthened if it have a vowel longer than 10 it should be, or a syllable inserted; shortened, if part of it be removed. Thus (lengthened) mon pos for molcos,
 $8 \hat{\omega}, \mathrm{xpi}, \mathrm{s}^{2} \psi^{\mathrm{n}}$ in ' the faces of both become one.'

A word is altered when we keep part of it and invent 11 part, e.g. defurepoby for defcory in ' on the right breast ${ }^{\circ}$.'

Nouns themselves are either Masculine or Feminine 12 or Neuter. Masculine are such as end in $\nu, \rho, s$, or the letters compounded with $s$, which are two in number, $\xi$ and $\psi$ : Feminine such as end in the vowels

[^10]
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always long, $\eta$ and $\omega$, and, among those capable of lengthening, a (so that the numbers of the letters in which Masculine and Feminine nouns end are equal, $\xi$ and $\psi$ being the same with s). No noun ends in a mute, nor in a short vowel: three only end in c,
 vowels, and in $p$ and $s$.
22 The perfection of style is to be clear without being mean. The style composed of ordinary terms is the clearest, but mean : e.g. the poetry of Cleophon and of Sthenelus. That which uses foreign words is stately, and unlike the common (by foreign I mean strange, metaphorical, with lengthenings, and every2 thing un-ordinary); but if one make all like this, the result will be either a riddle or a jargon-if it consist of metaphors a riddle, if of strange words a jargon. For it is the essence of a riddle to combine inconsistent ideas in describing facts (which we cannot do by the putting together of words, but may by metaphor, as ' I saw one glueing brass with fire upon another,' and the like); while from the use of strange words arises
8 a jargon. With these then a poem should be diversified: this-the use of strange words, metaphors, ornamentation, and the other fore-mentioned lindswill prevent its being common or mean, while the use of ordinary language will give clearness.
4 Towards making the style clear without being common much may be done by extension and contraction and alteration of words; for through being unusual and differing from the ordinary forms such will pre-

[^11]
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vent the style from being common, while through the intermirture of the usual forms clearness is secured. Thus they are not right in their criticism who find 5 fault with such a form of language and ridicule the poet, as did Eucleides of old, on the ground that it is easy to write poetry if one be allowed to lengthen as much as one pleases: he makes iambics out of pure prose,

Epichartn eidón Mard-thondide badisobnta, and

Ouk den g'ordmenos tón akof-nou élleborón pinoimi ${ }^{12}$.
To be conspicuous for such use of lengthening would 6 be ludicrous, but moderation is equally necessary in all branches: if one uised strange words, or metaphors, or terms of any kind, improperly and with a ludicrous purpose, he would produce the same effect. How im- 7 portant fitness is we may observe in Epic by introducing ordinary terms into the verse; and in the case of strange words also, or metaphors, or terms of any kind, one may see, by substituting ordinary terms, that we speak truly. Thus, Eschylus and Euripides wrote the same line, which by Euripides' changing but one word, and using a strange term instead of an ordinary and nsual one, appears beantiful instead of poor: Fschylus says in his Philoctetes 'the ulcer which eats the fleah of my foot,' Euripides for 'eats' substitutes 'feasts on ${ }^{23}$.' So if for 'now being small and worthless and uncomely ' ' one substitute the ordinary terms 'now being tiny and crank and uncomely:' for 'setting a sorry stool and small board $r$ ' 'setting a shabby stool and tiny board:' for 'the shores bellow '
as see Notes at end.

- Ibid. ㅍ. 269.
( Odyaeg ix. 515.
- Iliad xvii. 265.
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8 'the shores shriek.' Further, Ariphrades used to ridicule the tragedians for using forms that no one would

 It is through their not being ordinary forms that all such prevent the style from being common; but this he knew not.
9 It is a great thing to use appropriately each of the fore-mentioned, whether compounds or strange terms; but greatest of all to be apt at Metaphor. This alone cannot be got from another, and is a proof of cleverness: to use metaphors well is to see resemblances. 10 Of names, the compound are most fitting in dithyrambs, the strange in heroic verse, the metaphorical in iambic. In heroic verse all the fore-mentioned may be used, but in iambic, through its imitating prose as closely as possible, those names are fitting which one would use in conversation: such are ordinary, metaphorical, and ornamental names.

On Tragedy, and imitation by means of action, let the above suffice us.
23 As to narrative metrically-imitative poetry, it is clear that we must make the plot (as in Trageds) dramatic, and on one whole and complete action hav-l ing beginning and middle and end (in order that like one whole figure it may produce the proper pleasure); and that the usual histories should not resemble it, in
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which we have to depict not one action but one period, with whatever happened in it to one or more persons, each event having but a casual relation to the others. As the sea-fight at Salamis, and the battle with the 2 Carthaginians in Sicily, occurred about the same time but with no common relation to the same aim, so in successive periods one thing sometimes happens after another with no one aim appearing. And so perhaps most poets write. Wherefore, as we said before (viii. 3), 3 in this also Homer beside others will appear divine, in his not even attempting to treat the whole war, though it had beginning and end; it would either have been too long and not simultaneously comprehensible, or else, had he kept down the compass, he would have been hampered by the variety of the subject. As it is, he takes one part, and introduces many episodes in the story, e.g. the Catalogue of the Ships and other episodes whereby he interrupts the treatment: while others treat of one person and one period and one complex action, e.g. the author of the Cypria ${ }^{2}$ and of the Little Iliad y . Thus out of the Iliad or Odyssey may 4 be made but one tragedy or two, but out of the Cypria several, out of the Little Iliud over eight, e.g. the Adjudging of Achilles' Arms, the Philoctetes, the Neoptolemus, the Eurspylus, the Beggar's $\Delta$ dventare ${ }^{n}$, the Laconian Women, the Fall of Troy (i.e., the Sailing away to Tenedos, the Sinon, the Trojan Women).

Further, Epic must embrace the same kinds as 24 Tragedy (xviii. 2), being either simple or complex, pathetic or ethical; and the same parts (excluding $=$ Stacinus. $\quad$ Lesches. 3 See Note at end.
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music and decoration), as revolution and recognition 2 and suffering are required ${ }^{25}$. Further the sentiment and style must be correct. Of all these elements Homer made the first and fullest use: of his two poems, the Iliad is simple and pathetic, the Odyssey complex, being a recognition throughout and that an ethical one. And besides this, in sentiment and style he surpasses every one.
3 Epic differs from Tragedy in (1) compass of arrangement and (2) metre. (1) Of the compass the limit given above (vii. 5) may suffice: beginning and end should be simultaneously comprehensible, as will be the case if the arrangement be shorter than those of the old poets, and contined to the joint length of 4 the tragedies intended for one hearing. Epic however has many properties which allow increase of length, as in Tragedy we cannot represent several scenes going on simultaneously, but only that which is on the stage and performed by the actors, while in Epic, through its being narrative, we may treat several scenes simultaneously developing; by which property the bulk of the poem is increased. So that Epic has this advantage in point of magnificence and power of transporting the hearer and introducing varied episodes; while monotony, soon cloying, makes tragedies fail.
5 (2) The heroic metre has established itself through experiment. If one composed a narrative imitation in any other metre, or in several, it would seem improper : the heroic is the most dignified and weighty of metres, - wherefore it above all admits strange
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terms and metaphors,-and narrative imitation stands above all others. The iambic and trochaic are lively metres, the one suited for action the other for dancing. Still more absurd would it be to mix metres, as Chæ- 6 remon (i.9) did. Wherefore no one has ever composed a long arrangement in any metre but the heroic: as we have said, Nature herself teaches us to choose the fit metre for it.

Homer is worthy of praise (among many other rea- 7 sons) in that he, alone among poets, is not ignorant of the part he himself should take. The poet himself should say very little, or he will not be an imitator. Others compete in person throughout, the imitations are few and far between : Homer, after a short prelude, at once introduces a man or woman or any other character, none lacking character but all possessing it.

In Tragedy we should introduce the wonderful: the $8 /$ irrational, from which especially the wonderfal results, is more in place in Epic, because one does not see the actor. The circumstances of the pursuit of Hector ${ }^{\text { }}$, if on the stage, would seem ludicrous, the Greeks standing still and not pursuing, Achilles beckoning them back; but in Epic this escapes notice. And the wonderful is agreeable: witness the fact that all add something in telling a story, with the idea that they are giving pleasure.

Homer above all has taught others the right 9 way to use deception. Deception is false inference: men think, when one thing is or occurs if another is or occurs, that if the latter is or occurs the former is or occurs: and this is a deception. Wherefore, if the first thing is a deception, and another is ${ }^{3}$ Iliad cril. 138 aq.
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or occurs which must be or occur if the first is or occurs, we pre-suppose the first ${ }^{18}$; for through knowing the second to be true our minds falsely infer the 10 first to be real. There is an example of this in the Washing of Odysseus (xvi. 3).

We should choose probable impossibilities rather than improbable possibilities; and the plot should not be made up of irrational parts, but should, if possible, contain nothing irrational, or, if it must be, it should be outside the story, as in the Cdipus Rex the hero's not knowing how Laïus was slain; not in the drama, as in the Electra ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the account of the Pythian games, or in the Mysians ${ }^{\text {b }}$ the man who comes without speaking from Tegea to Mysia. So that to say that otherwise the plot would have been spoilt is ladicrous; for plots should not be so arranged at all, or, if one so make them and they seem fairly reasonable, one should allow even an absurdity. How intolerable the irrational circumstances in the Odyssey, about the putting out of Odysseus e, might have been, we should see if an inferior poet treated them : as it is, by other excellences the poet embellishes and conceals the absurdity.
11 Pains should be taken with the style in the parts where the action is suspended, which shew neither. character nor sentiment; as again an over-brilliant style obscures character and sentiment.
25 Problems and their solutions, with the number and nature of their kinds, we shall understand if we consider as follows. Since the poet is as much an imitator as the painter or any other artist, he must imitate always one of three things-either things as they were or are, or things as they are said and thought to be, 2 or things as they ought to be. All this is expressed

[^14]by language, whether in ordinary terms ${ }^{d}$ or in strange terms or in metaphors; and there ane many affections of language allowed to poets. Besides this, there is not 3 the same kind of correctness required in politios and in poetry, any more than in any other art and in poetry: and in poetry itself there are two kinds of error possible, the essential and the accidental. If one propose to 4 represent things correctly, and err in the representation through - want of ability, the error is in the poetry; but if the proposal be incorrect, and one propose to represent e.g. a horse advancing both his right feet at once, or commit an error in any art, e.g. medicine or any other of any kind, the error is not essential. With these considerations in view we may 5 answer the objections contained in our problems.
(1) First, objections against the art itself: if impossibilities have been introduced it is an error, but an excusable one if the poetry attain its end, i.e. if thus one make this or another part more striking. The pursuit of Hector (xxiv. 8) is an instance. If however the end might more or less have been attained with regard had to the art in question, the error is inexcusable; for if possible we should never err at all.
(2) Further, which is the error, the artist's, or an accidental one of some kind? It is a less error not to know that a hind has no horns than to paint one inartistically.
(3) Besides this, if it be objected that the poet has 6 represented things not indeed truly, yet perhaps as they ought to be, we should answer just as Sophocles did when he said that he depicted men as they ought to be, Euripides as they are. But if he has 7 represented things neither truly nor as they ought to be, we may answer that thus men say they are; e.g., as regards the gods, perhaps it were better not to depict things so, nor are they so, but the poet chances

[^15]
## 84 APIETOTEAOYZ IEPPI HOIHTIKHE.




























to represent them as Xenophanes does: at any rate men say they are so. Other things it were perhaps better not so to represent, but so they actually were, as in the passage about the arms, "Their spears stood upright on the butt end ${ }^{\prime} ;$ " for thus they were then wout to place them, as the Illyrians still do.
8 (4) $\Delta s$ to whether any one has spoken or acted rightly or wrongly, we must consider the question by looking not only to the word or act itself as good or bad, but to the speaker or doer, observing to whom it is said or done, when, for whom, or why; e.g. whether to gain a greater good or escape a greater evil.
9 (5) Other problems we must solve by looking at the language. Thus, in the case of a strange term, oípjas
 watchmen: in speaking of Dolon, who was evil of look h', he means not that he was deformed in body but foul of visuge, as the Cretans call a fair face 'goodlooking:' by 'make it stronger ${ }^{i}$ ' he means not ' unmixt' wine, as for hard drinkers, but 'mixt quicker.'
10 (6) Another expression may be metayhorical, e.g. 'so all gods and men slept through the night ${ }^{17}$ ', while at the same time he says ' when indeed they looked on the plain of Tros $J$, with the noise of flutes and pipes ${ }^{k}$,' all being used metuphorically for many, as all 18 a species of many : and so 'unly the Bear has no part in Ocean's bath ${ }^{1}$ ', as the best known may be culled the only une.
11 (7) Or we may solve objections by changing the accent, as Hippias of Thasos did with didoper of ol ${ }^{18}$,

12 (8) or the punctuation, as in Empedocles, 'Things which before knew how to be immortal a quickly grew mortal, and things, pure before, ware mixt,' or 'thiugs pure, before were mixt:'
13 (9) or by suggesting ambiguity: the words 'More than two-thirds of the night are passed, and a third part jet remanns"' might also mean 'More than half
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the night is passed, two of its parts, and a third part yet remains,' the word more being ambiguous:
(10) or by reference to the custom of speech. Any- 14 thing drunk mixt we call wine, whence Ganymede is said to ' pour wine to Zeus '', though the gods do not drink wine ${ }^{P}$ : and workers in iron we call coppersmiths, whence the poet says 'The greave of freshwrought tin ${ }^{20}$.' This too will be metaphorical.
(11) When a word seems to signify a contradiction, 15 we must consider in how many senses it might in this place be significant, e.g. 'There stopt the brazen spear 9 :' in how many different senses it might 'there be stayed ${ }^{11}$ ' we may explain in this way or that, or as one may best think it was, contrariwise to what Glaucon says, that 'people irrationally assume things 16 and conclude for themselves after passing judgment, and raise objections as though the poet had said what they think bo ought to have said, if it contradict their own idea. This has been the case with the history of Icarius ${ }^{52}$ : people suppose he was a Laconian, and if so, it is absurd that Telemachus when he came to Lacedæmon should not have met him: the truth perhaps is as the Cephallenians say, who declare that Odysseus married one of their people, and that the name was Icadius not Icarius. The problem then is probabls due to an error.
(12) The poetically impossible should in general be 17 referred either (a) to expediency or ( $\beta$ ) to opinion. For (a) if it is impossible that people should be like this, jet it is better to represent them as Zeuxis painted them ${ }^{23}$, because the ideal should excel: and ( $\beta$ ) an impossible probability is poetically preferable to a possible improbability (xxiv. 10) ${ }^{24}$. The irrational should be referred to what people say: we may explain either in this way, or that occasionally the thing may not be irrational, for probably things will happen even against probability (xviii. 6). Contradictions, as 18 we have explained them, we should view as in testing
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questions of words: Do we mean the same thing and in reference to the same and in the same manner? So that the poet himself should consider what it is in reference to which he speaks, or whatever an intelligent 19 man assumes. The objection to irrationality and rice is justified when one without any necessity existing employs the irrational (as Euripides in the case of平gens ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) or the vicious (as in the case of Menelaus in the Orestes).

Objections then are drawn from five classes: they may be that the statement is either impossible, or irrational, or dangerous, or contradictory, or contrary to artistic correctness. The solutions may be deduced from the fore-mentioned divisions, twelve in number.
26 One might question which is the superior, epic imitation or tragic. For "if the less valgar is the superior, and that addressed to a superior class of spectators is always the superior, it is clear that the style which imitates everything is very vulgar; for as though people would not understand without exaggeration, the performers employ much movement, e.g. inferior flute-players whirl round if they have to imitate quoit throwing, and pull the leader of the chorus 2 if they be playing the Siylla (xy. 5). Such then is Tragedy, and so the older actors thought of their successors : Mynniscus called Callippides an ape on account of his extravagances, and such was the character of Pindarus; and as these stand to their predecessors, so the whole art stands to Epic. Epic then" they say, "is addressed to spectators of the better class, who have no need of posturing, Tragedy to the base: if then Tragedy is vulgar, it clearly nust be the inferior."
(1) Nuw in the first place the charge attaches not to the poet's art but to the actor's, since it is possible
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to gesticulate too much in reciting epic poetry also, as Sosistratus did, or lyric, as Mnasitheus of Opus.
(2) Next, not all movement is to be condemned, any more than all dancing, but only that of baser artists: witness the fault found with Callippides and now with others, as not imitating free-women.
(3) Further, Tragedy even without movement has its effect, as Epic has, for we can appreciate it by reading: if then in other respects it is superior to Epic, movement cannot be essential to it.
(4) Next, it surpasses Epic in that it possesses all 4 that Epic possesses.-for it may even employ the epic metres, 一and further (no small element) music and decoration; and it is by music that pleasure is most sensibly produced.
(5) Next, whether read or acted it possesses clearness.
(6) Further, it surpasses Epic by attaining the end 5 of imitation within a less compass; for the condensed is pleasanter than that which is spread over a length of time, I mean e.g. if one were to make the Edipus Rex of Sophocles into a poem as long as the Iliad.
(7) Further, epic imitation is less truly one : wit- 6 ness the fact that out of any epic imitation several tragedies may be made. So that, should we make but one plot, it will either, if briefly expressed, appear truncate, or, if we keep the compass of the proper measure ${ }^{\text {t }}$, spun out. Otherwise the imitation will lack unity "; I mean e.g. if it be composed of several actions, as the Iliad and Odyssey have many such parts, in themselves of some length; and poems like these are as perfectly arranged as possible, and so far as possible are imitations of one action.

If then Tragedy excels Epic in all these points, and 7 further in attaining the object of art-for Tragedy

[^18]
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should produce not any pleasure, but that laid down (xiv. 3)-it plainly must be the superior, as attaining | its end better than Epic does.
8 On Tragedy then and Epic, with their kinds and parts,-the points in which each excels; the causes of success or failure; objections and their solutionslet.this much suffice.

## ADDITIONAL NOTES.

 together. Or, inserting deúvunos before ryyduoura, translate, "By the use of woorde, prose or verse: 'Epio' (in ite etymological sense), whether it (if in verse) combine different metres, or employ a single kind. 'Epio' has hitherto been without a name; for (unless we extended the meaning of the term) we could not give any common name to (1) the Mimes of Sophron and Xenarchus and the Dialogues of Plato, nor use it if (2) any one effected the imitation by means of iambics or elegiace or the like . . . . or again (3) by mingling all metres . . . . though we should still have to call him a poet." The connexion of thought is equally obsoure with either translation.
 in seot. 4.
'Or "introduced one chief speaker."
 $\lambda / f i s i x$ reinolas $\sigma \in \mu \nu t$.
s ie. the embroidery sent by Philomela to Procne tells her story.


 perhaps not at all alike in plot may be called the mame, i.e. those in which the same complication and solution appear."
 for good and bad.

- Vahlen translates 'attain their object wonderfully well.'

10 Supplying roîs $\mu$ ipert тîs stavoias.



instead of which the poet uses arepal is added muol as being ＇that to which the simple word refers．＇

B＇I saw Epichares going to Marathon，and＇Not with desire should I drink his hellebore＇（supplying mivous）．The lines are Iambic Tetrametars．



14 i．e．Odysseus in Troy，Odyssey iv． 247 sq．
${ }^{5}$ The common parts are plot（of which revolution，recog－ nition，and saffering are subdivisions，xi．6），character，senti－ ment，style（vi．7）．
${ }^{36}$ тpooerivat sc．8ei，it is natural to further suppose＜the first thing to be true）．

17 Reading mdures for \％ $4 \lambda 01$ ，lliad ii． 1.
${ }^{28}$ Iliad ii． 15 （in apparently the original text）： $8.86 \mu e y$ would be Infinitive（used as Imperative）

19 Iliad zriii． 828 ：＇part whereof is rotted by rain ：＇the com－ mon reading being oi．
${ }^{30}$ Iliad mi．592．The clanses must be transposed，the right order being seep eipprat ．．．nal xa入xias ．．．80ev тeтоitras ．．．But the last example seems irrelevant．
${ }^{21}$ i．e．was the golden fold，in which the spear atopt，outside or in the middle？
${ }_{2} 2$ Father of Penelope．
28 Who made his Helen by selecting the best parts of several models．

24 In translating，the clauses beginning apds rivy molnouv alperdirepoy and 〈ei doúvaroy〉 rowúrevs sivat should be trans－ posed．
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[^0]:    

    - Though we could not call him an 'elegies' or 'epic' poet.
    - Tragedy and Comedy. 12 See Notee at end.

[^1]:    

[^2]:    E Etyle and music. Decoration.
     cal ì какобаниеvia.

[^3]:    $\pm$ Of Theodecter. ${ }^{1}$ In the Iph. Taur. of Euripider.

[^4]:    - As the persons mentioned in sect. 4 init.

[^5]:    - Of Euripides. - Of the hero.

[^6]:    6 See Note at end.

    - Diomede.

[^7]:    i.e. in reading.

    - In the Iph. Taur.

[^8]:    - Heading metaßalven eis citvxlav 〈in Juctuxlas ovußaivei $\%$ des eùruxias cis duaruxiar>.
    
    - See Note at end.
    
    - Of Sophocles.
    - Of Eschylus.

[^9]:    10 See Note at end. - i.e. those of Rhetoric. Iliad I. 1.

[^10]:    

    - For sípa mpiot thss.
    - Iliad v. 893.

[^11]:    

[^12]:    - For roû.
    - For ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\omega} \mathrm{dt}$ abrdy.

[^13]:    ${ }^{\mathbf{u}}$ See Note at end.

[^14]:    ${ }^{36}$ See Note at end.

    - Of Sophocles.
    - Of Eschylus or Sophocles.
    - In Ithaca by the Phsacians, Odyssey xiii. 119 sq.

[^15]:    
     isurapiay.

[^16]:    - Hiad xx. 234. PBut nectar. • Iliad $\mathbf{x x}$ 270.
    

[^17]:    r In the Medea.

[^18]:    - eg. Soph. Trach. 1009 sq. i.e. of Epic. Reading
    

