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## GENTRY of EXCGLAXD.

 Whan His Piece (being fent me out of France, as a double rarity, both in refpect of the fubject and the quality of the Author)I had no fooner read, then (taken with its ingenuity) I was moved to cloathe it in an Englifh habit, partly out of envy, that other Nations fhould glory to have out-knowne us in any Art, efpecially ingenious, as is this of $\mathcal{D e v i f e s , ~ w h i c h ~ b e - ~}$ ing the proper badges of Gentlemen, Commanders, and perfons of Honour, may jufly$$
\text { A } 2
$$

challenge their countenance and favour, whereunto cis faced.

My Author affirms himfelfe to be the frt hath written of this fubject in his Mothertongue; and I might fay the like here, were it not that I find a fall parcel of it in Camdens Remains, under the title of Imprefes, which are in effect the fame with Devises. Thence, you may gather, that the Kings of England, with the Nobility and Gentry, have for forme hundreds of yeeres (though Devifes are yet of far greater Antiquity) both efteemed and made ufe of them : onely in former times they arrived not (as now) to that height of perfection, for they fomerimes did (as the unskilfull fill doe) make ufe of Mottoes without figures, and figures without Mottoes. We read that Hen. the 3. (as liking well of Remuneration) commanded to be written (by way of Deify) in his Chamber at Woodfock,

Quin non dat quod anat, non accipet lille quod optat.
Eli. the 3 . bore for his Device the rays of the Sane framing from a cloud without any Motto. Edmond of Langley, Duke of York, bore

## Dedicatory.

a Faulcon in a Fetter-lock, implying, that he was locked up from all hope and polsibility of the Kingdome. Hen. the 5 . carryed a burning Creffet, fometimes a Beacon, and for Motto (but not appropriate thereunto) Uive $S_{\text {ans }}$ Plus, one and no more. Edp.the 4. bore the Sun, after the Battell of Mortimers-Croffe, where three Sunnes were feene immediately conjoyning in one. Hen. the 7 . in refpect of the union of the two Houfes of York and Lancafter, by his marriage, ufed the White Rofe united with the Red, fometimes placed in the Sunne. But in the raigne of Fien. the 8. Devifes grew more familiar, and fomewhat more perfect, by adding Mottoes unto them, in imitation of the Italians and French (amongft whom there is hardly a private Gentleman, but hath his par= ticular Devife) For Hen. the 8. at the interview betweene him and King Francis the firf, whereat Charles the fift was allo prefent, ufed for his Denife an Englifh Archer in a greenc Coar drawing his Arrow to the head, with this Motto, Cur Adhrreo, Praest; when as at that time thole m ghty Princes banding one againtt another, wrought him for their owne paricular.

A $3 \quad$ To

## The Epifle

To the honour of Queen In ne, (who dyed willingly to fave her child King Edward) a Phenix was reprefented in his Funerall fire with this Motto, Nascatur il t Alter. Queens Mary bore winged Time, drawing Truth out of a pit, with Veritas Temporise Fila. Queen Elizabetbupon Several occalion ufed many Heroicall Devifes, fometimes a Sive without a Motto, (as Camden relates) and at other times thee words without figure, VIdeb, Taceo, and Semper Eadem. King lames unfed a Thiftle and a Role united, and a Crown over them, with this Motto, Henricus Rosas, Regina Jacobus. Pr. Henry (befides that Devise which is appropriate to the Princes of Wales) made ufe of this Motto, without iigure, Gas Est Aliorum Quærere Regna. And His Majeftie that now is, that other of Christi Auspice Regno. Our Prince bares (as all the Princes of Wales have done fence the black Prince) for his Dervife (which $n$ sard $n$. We commonly, though corruptly call the Drin${ }_{b c}^{t \text { Eat } \text { it }}$ es Armes) a Coronet beautified with three c. your
in the Oftrich feathers, and for Motto, ${ }^{\mathcal{X}}$ IC DIES, istonguc. i. e. I Serve, in the Saxon tongue, alluding to
that of the Apoftle, The beire while be is a childe, differeth nothing from a servant.

The late Earle of Effex, when he was caft downe with forrow, and yet to be employed in Ames, bore a fable Shield without any frgore, but infcribed, Par Nulla Figura Dolor. Sir Philip Sidney (to trouble you with no more) denoting that he perfifted always one, depainted out the Caspian Sea, furrounded with its fhoares, which neither ebbeth nor floweth, and for Motto, Sine Refluxu.

Some may object, that in regard Tilings, Tournaments, and Mafques, (where Devifes were much in requeft) are for the prefent laid afide, therefore $\mathcal{D} e v i f e s$ are of leffe ufe.

Whereto I anfwer, that as thole Jufting or jetting Wars are difufed, fo have voe now an earneft, though much to be lamented Ware, which renders them more ufefull then ever, I mane for Cornets and Enfignes ; And of the fe, let me alpo give you forme examples out of the prefent times. On the Kings party, one bares for his Cornet-Devife Saint Michael killling the Dragon for the figure, and for Motto, Ques Ur Deus: Another is fo bold as to

## The Epifle

beare the picture of a King Crowned and Armed, vvith his Sword drawne, and this Motto, Melias est mori in bello, quidm vie deremala gentis nostre. Athird bears onelya Dye, vith lltcunque cuadratus. Natura- A fourth figures the beaft called an ${ }^{*}$ Ermyne,
 anole to DARI. A fift reprefents five hands fnatching at
on e. a Crown, defended by an armed hand and fword from a Cloud, vvith this Motto, Reddite Cersari. A fixt figures a Landskip of a pleafant Country, wvith houfes, corne, \&c. invaded by beggerly people, and for Motto, Barbarus Has Segetes? \&c.

On the Parliaments party vve find one bearing in his Cornet, the Sun breaking through a Cloud, with Exurgatet dissipabuntur. Another reprefents a Deaths-head, and a Law-rell-Crown, vith Mors vel victoria. A third figures an armed man, prefenting a fword to a Bifhops breaft, with Visne Episcopare? the Bifhop anfwering, Nolo, Nolo, Nolo. A fourth fayes onely (without any figure) Tandem bona calisa triumphat. A fire reprefents the Sunne, difsipating a clous
dy forme, with Post nubila phoebus. A fixt, figures an armed man, hewing of the corners of an Univerfity Cap with his froord, and this Mutto, Muto cuadrata rotunDIS, \&c.

Now though thefe Devifes for the moft part argue wit in the Compofers, yetmany of them are either imperfect or defective, which may be attributed to the want of the prefcribed rules of this Art, which this Treatife doth afford you, together with a Synop $\sqrt{\text { is }}$ or fhort view of Hierorlyphicks, Emblemes, Reverfes of Medalls, and all other inventions of vvit, vvhich any vvayes relate thereunto. I might alfo thew you here how many feveral waies Devifes are ufeful (efpecially for Seals, being drawn from fome effentiall part of the bearers Armes) but that I hold it not fit to foreftall the Reader in a Preface. I am onely to beg pardon for my leffe polifht ftyle, (which I thal the rather hope to obtain, fince things of this nature require a plain delivery, rather thé elegancy or affected phrafe) not doubting but that the difcovery of this Art will yeeld fo great contentment to you, whofe wits are elevate as farre above the vul-

The Epifle, cri.
gar, as are your rankes and qualities, that in fome Academicall Sesfion, you will decree the Author to be your Prefident, the Art your Exercife.

ExÆdib. Interioris
Templi 27. Mart.
$\mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{B}$. 1646.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { THE AUTHORS } \\
& \mathrm{P} \text { RE AC } \mathrm{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

 Ufcelli (an Italian Author) faith, that it belongetb only to the moltercellent wits and beg refined Indigments to undertake the making of Devifes, and that it is a quality which bath been fought and defired by many, but very few have been able to put it in execution. Paulus Jovius (one of the choiceft wits of his time, and the first that enriched us with this Art) confeffeth ingenuonly, that of Simple be could never make any one whereof be could be entirely fatisfyed. Johannes Andreas Palazzi infers from thence, that if it be a difficult matter to frame a Devife, compleated with all its properties, That al Fortiori it is a bard thing to prescribe precepts, and fore out the way to attaine to that perfection. As for my felfe I confeffe freely, that being moved unto and inftructed by my late Uncle Robert Eftienne in making Devifes, eight and twenty yeares agoe, I made a greater quantity then and found it a leffe labor, then now, that I know the excellency and

The Preface.
fubtility of the Art; wherein verily I have taken fo great delight, that the exercife of Arms, could never divert me from 0 noble an employment, which bath alidaies. been to me a well-pleafing recreation amidft the fatigues of war. And as I endeavoured (as neer as po $\int$ 应ble) to attaine to the perfection of this Art, I applied my lelfe (with equall care) to read the Greek, Latine, Italian, and French Autbors, who bave treated of Hieroglyphicks, Symboles, Emblemes, Ænigmaes, Armories, Cimiers, Blazons, Reverfes of Medalls, Devifes, and fucb like inventions of $W_{i t}$, which bave fome relation to each other, I difturgithed them the one from the other, for my oum particular ufe, and collected thence all that feemed moft notable minto me. At length being follicited by my friends (who had a oreat opinion of my ability for thefe Effaies, I Wave aivenwed to publifh this little Tractate, devoid of all graces and embelijpments, contenting my felfe onely to difcover to others the light which I could receive from famous Authors; To the end that thofe who bave leffe experience berem then my felfe, may reap fome profit thence. And that I may excite fome better Genius (wherewith this are is nuch more enriched then the precedent) to improle my defign and fupply my defects; From fuch I bope happity to saine fome fanour (though) otherwife my labowrs /ucceed not, according to my aime)

The Preface.
fince $I$ am the frrft that bath treated of this fubject in our mother tongue. In a word, there's no begiming, but is difficult, nor is there any Pefant (thought never fo fimple) that merits not fome kind of recompence; in baving been a guide and fhewed the way to a oreat number of Captaines, who following it, have atcheived their. noble de fignes.

I am then refolved to entreat of Hieroglyphicks, Symboles, and reverfes of Niedalls, of the Ancients (and of thofe but fummarily, becaufe many have already beaten the fame Tract) fince mofe ITriters drans the origin of them from our Devifes; Nor will I lofe the opportunity to fay fomething of 压nigma's, Emblemes, Gryphes, and Parables, is alfo of Armes, Cimiers, Blazons, Cyphers, and Rebus, which the um-knowing confound with Devifes, according to the nece/fity of the difcour (e, which thall oblize us to unfold their differences. We fhall obferve the definition and Etimologic of Devifes, their origin and antiquity, their utility and finall end. We frall (to render them perfect) recite the rule; of their bodies, which fome call figures, and of theirMottoes which ate termed Soules and words, with the relation they bave each to other, the places from whence they ought to be drawne, and gencrally all that is to be obferved in bringing a Devife to perfection; yet without undertaking to $a 3$ efoco

## The Preface.

eftablifh fuck inviolable Rules, either by my owne particular opinion, or in the name of the Italians, but that I will fubmit my Judgment to the more learned in this Art. Nor will it be held reafonable that we altogether Subject our Selves to the Italian Laws in this occurrence of fo fall concernment, fence in all things elfe they are accultomed to receive Law from our Armes.

## Henry Estienne $S^{c}$ does Foffez.

A Paris,
Achevé d'imprimer pour la premiere fris le 10. Mars, 1645.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tomy } \operatorname{Coble~Friend,~} \\
& \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~T}_{\text {н о м as } \mathrm{B} \text { lount, }} \\
& \text { upon bis Tranflation. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}$w could I ftyle, or thinke my felfe a Friend To thee or Learning, thould I not commend
This curious Piece of thine: So full of wit
As not to praife it, fhews a want of it.
Well may I terme it thine, fo many things
Added by thee, with rare Embelefhings.
The fubject lauds it felfe : the heavenly fpheare
The Elements, and works of Nature beare
The matter of this Art; from whence to draw The life-conferring forme thou giv't the Law:
What Enfigne, Armes, or Altion that afpires,
But, to compleat it, an Impréfe requires?
What generous Soule will in a noble way
His Miftreffe Court, and not his wit difplay
In fome Devife? Ler thofe who have but foule Enough to eate and drinke this work controule : Wits will applaudit, and the moft refin'd
Difclofe moft Entertainments for the Minde.

$$
\mathcal{f} \cdot W \cdot \mathrm{Ar} .
$$

## The Names of the Greek, Latine, Italian, and French Authors cired in this Treatife.

Aulus Gellius.
Alexander.
Alciat.
Athenews.
Ariforle.
Alex:andro Farra.
Antipater.
Arvigio.
Academico Renovato.
Bib!e.
Budeus.
Bargagli.
Bartholumy Tä̈gio.
Canfinus.
Cicero.
Clearchus.
Clemens Alexandrinus.
Charles Eficsnies hiftory. of Lorraine.
Diomedes.
Donatus.
Densetrins Phalerius.
Du Belly.
©fchylus.
Eusebies.
Epictotus.
Fabirs.
Fraffaglato Introxato.
Gabriel Simeoni.
Hannibal Caro.
Herodetus.

Hipparcbus.
Horace.
Lebannes Bodinus.
laques Torelly Fane.
Iobannes Andreas Palazzi.
Lucan.
Ludovico Dominici.
Mofcopulus.
Olans Magntw.
Origen.
Orus Apollo.
Ovid.
Paklus lovius.
Pbilo the Jew.
Pythagoras.
Pisrius.
Porphirize.
Pindarts.
Pausaxias.
Petrarch.
Plutareb.
P. Critus.

Rufinus Aquilicnfis.
Rufcelli.
Stacius.
Salmazius.
Scipione Ammirato.
Tipotius.
Virgil.
Valla.
Valerim Probw.


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { T E E A R T } \\
\text { Ofmaking } \mathrm{E} \mathrm{~V} \text { I S E S: } \\
\text { TREATINGoF } \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Hieroglyphicks, Symboles, Emblemes, } \\
\text { Enigma's, Sentences, Parables, Reverfes } \\
\text { oin Medalls, Armes, Blazons, Cimiers, } \\
\text { Cyphres and Rebus. }
\end{array} \\
\text { CHap.I. } \\
\text { Of Hieroglypbicks. }
\end{gathered}
$$

 Here is no doubt, but that after the Hebrewes, the Egyptians were the firt that did moft precifely addict themflyes to all manner of Sciences; nor did they profefle any ona, which they efteened more commendable, then that of Hieroglypbicks, which held the firt rank among their lecret Dife:plines, whereof Mofes hiad without doubt a perfect Idea, as the holy Scriptures teftifie: From whence we gather, that he was abfolutely perfect in all the learning of the Egyptians.

## of Hieroglyphicks.

Pbilo the Jew confirmes this more cleerly in the life of Mofes which he hath written; where it is obferved, that Mofes had learned from the Dotors of Egypt, Arithmetick, Geometry, and Mxfigue, as well pratick as Theorick, together with this hidden Phylofophic, expreffed by Charsters, which they term Hieroglyphicks, that is to fay, fome marks alid figurcs of living creatures, which they adored is Sods: Whence we prove the Antiqaity of this Science, whicin had Mofestor her moft renowned Diftiple.

And Pybbagoras (whoie Malter inthis Science was exnopberes of Heliopolis) tramster ed ii into Greece, where he enrich'd it with many Sy mboles that beare his name.

Nevertheleffe it is not prebable, that the Egypians were abfolutely the firft Authors of this Learming, finc: *Alexander (in the Hiftorie of the Jewes whict he sompiled) faith, that Abrabams lived fome certaine time in the Crity of Heliapolis with the Egyptian Priefts, to whom he taught Alpologir, which he gloried to have receeived by Tradition from Exech. A ind truly, the Principles of other Sciences could not be infured by Abrabam into the minds of Pofterity, without the fe kinds of Symboles and caxigma's, which ferve as a Rind or Bark to conferve all the myfteries of our Arceftors wirdome.

Befides, God framing this world with fuch varieties of living creaturis, let before the eyes of our fift Parents fome draughts and refemblafers, whence men might perceive, as through the trav rfe of a Clour, the indupportable rayes of his Divine Majefly. Tnerefore $E_{f i t i t e r m}$ to zond purpore hath noted, that men have
 his Divinity, wh cl.God imprints in us.by the Species of all thofe obj As which he fets before our eyes. 'T was for the fame rexion that fo many objeets which prefented themfelves to the view of Adam. Enoch, Mofes, and the other Patriarchs, were as fo thany Char iters :lluminated by the Divine fplendour, by means whereof the Eternall Wildome did configne his name into the heart of mail. And I am the rather of this opinion, becaufe I fee, that all tiont, who ( moved by the fame fpirit) have treated of the myfteries of our R-cheion, have hrowded them under the veiles of Fi gures and Symboles; we fee nothing more frequent in the one and the other Teftament. And rruly the H bbrewes did fo eftee me this way of fersking and writing by CharaGers, that oll their
difcourfts which were fubtile and ingenious, and had in them much grace and acutenefle, they called MAS CHAL, whicin word is preperly undirfood of Parables and Similirudes.

But that which bezot credulity that the Egyptians were the firt inventors of this Science, was the great efteene they had of it, and the multitude of Figures which are engraven by them in all Monuments of Antiquity.

Pbilothe Jow faith, That the Science of the Egyptions is two fold; The one valgar, plaine and expofed io all the world, to wit, Geometry. Aftrologie, Arithmeticke, and Mufique : The other obItrufe and facred, called Hieroglyphicks, which by the meanes of fone Symboles and Erigma's, did containe the grave and ferious myfterics as well of the taculty of Theologic as of Phifiologie and Policy : And this wasonely commonamongtt the moft learned Priefts. Therefore Origen calleth this Science of Symboles,


Moreover, the Egyptians were wont to fay, that there was a certaine divine power that prefided in the fcience of Hieroglyphicks, and illuminated the underftandings of thofe whofudyed it, by expelling thofe fhades of darkntfle occurring in the Meanders and ambiguities of fogreat diverfity of things, to conduct them to a perfect and true knowledge of their Characters.

The places whereon they incifed thefe Figures, to conferve their memory, were their laborious Obelifques, the well-wrought Frontifpieces of their Temples, and the hugebulk of their Pyra mides, whereof Lucan makes mention in thefe Verfes:

> Noxdum flumineos Memphis contexere libers Noverat, ix $\int a x$ is tantwm volucrefq; ferag; Sculptaq; Jervabant magicas animalia limguas.

Nor yet knew Memphis (now grand Cairo nam'd) With luid Inke to write what they'd have fam'd : Birds, Bealts of ftone, engraven Chapes they us'd, As fignes, and bookes, of what they deeply mus'd.
Ammianses Marcellinus makes ample mertion of the Figures engraven uponthofe Pyramides, and laith, that they did not make ufe of Letters, as we doe, but that one onely Letter did fometimes fignifie a word, and one fole word a fentence, and didalío expreffe their minds by certain Characters; As by the Bee making Honey,
they meant a King that ought to obferve moderation and clemencie amid the rigour of his Lawts: and fo of other Examples, which I omit, to avoid prolixity.

Nor did the Egyptians onely make ufe of thefe Hieroglyphicks, for that Sciencedidextend to other Nations, even to the Septentrionalls, as Olaus Magnus witnefies. We have the example of Idanthura, King of the Scythians, in Clomens Allexandrinus, who threatning Warre againft Darius, inftead of a Letter, fent him a Moufe, a Frog, a Bird, a Dart, and a Plough, giving him to underftand, that he would conftraine him to deliver up his Empire to him: By the Moufe meaning the Houfes; by the Frog, the Waters; by the Bird, the Ayre; by the Dart, the Armes; and by the Plough, the Soyle.

Others expound it thus: That if they did not fly like Birds, or hide themfelves like Mice in the ground, or like Frogs in the water, that they fhould not avoid the power of his Armes, denoted by the Dart.

The Symbole, Enigma, Embleme, Fable and Parable depend upon, and have affinity with this Science, yet they differ in fome refpects.
$\mathrm{C}_{\text {н A P. II. }}$
of Symboles.

THis word Symbole hath a large extent, according to tie number of its fignifications: for being derived कहै हो $\sigma \mu 3 a^{\prime} n \omega$, this word Symbolum mutt of necelfity have many Interpretations, which the Grammarians as well Greeks as Latines have noted: For fometimes it fignifieth that whicir any one brings for his part by way of contribution to a Feaft, otherwhiles it is taken for the Feaft it felfe: fometimes for a Seale for Letters, and fometimes it fignifiet the order, watch-word, or ffeldword, given to Captaines and Souldiers, and divers other things, which draw their origin from thence. Befides, it importeth a kind of prefage or token of fome future event; fuch as defire to know more of it may confult Mofcopulus. But that which is for our parpofe above all this, it alfo fignifies the Armes of a Towne, or the Mtdal of a Prince, And as the Greeks made ufe of Symboles for their Citics and Lawes, fo alfo for intricate fentences and my-

## of Symboles.

Aticall matters. Therefore Rufinus Aquilienfis \{aith, that for this reafon, the Apofles publifhed theit Symbole, by which they declared thir beleefe concerning Religioit. Even fo the Pythagoreans in a myfticall and abtrure fenie, did by Symboles brutly demonftrate that which they would bave to be oberved. In a word, the property of Symboles is to be conceald and enveloped in Labyrinths of obfcure fentences, which hath been fo muchobfervedty Pythagoras, that thereby we know thole which are his.

Moreover, Demetrius Phalerius doth note one thing in Symboles worthy obfervation, That a great fenfe ought to be comprifed underthe gravity and brevity of Symboles, whereof he gives an example, when we fay, that the * Cigales fing upon the ground, as much as to fay, the trees are felled. Therefore brevity mixt with a certaine gravity, comprehending many things urderone and the fame fignification, is the property of a Symbole.
There are fome Symboles which are of the nature of Proverbs, and Pythagor as timfelfe made ufe of them, as when he faith, Ex omni ligno non fit Mercurius, giving to underftand, That all wits are not capable of Learning.

It is alfo to be noted, that they are of three kinds, Morall, Naturall, and Theologicall. And that which is propofed to us in thefe Symboles, by meanes of the Corporeall fenfes, doth penetrate our underfanding.
The learned Caufinus (from whom I have borrowed the mof of that which I have fooken concerning Hieroglyphicks) (aith in a Book he compiled thereof, That Symboles (in the fignification we treat of) are no other, then the lignes of fome intricate thing: or (as Budaus would have it) they are but fimilitudes and refemblances of things naturail.

Hereunto I willadde, That the Ancients themfelves made ufe of Symboles intead of Epitaphs, upon the tombes of the dead, without any other Infrription, as it is to be feene in one of Antipaters Epigrammes of a woman, on whofe Urne were engraven a Bridle, a Head-ftall, and a Cock; The Cock fignified Vigilance; the Bridle, that the was the Moderatrix of the houfe; and the Headftall, that the was very retentive in words. There is another example hereof in the learned Salmazius his Exercitations upon Pliny.

Chap. III.
of the Ænizma.

压Nigme is a Greek word, which fignifieth an obfeure and in. tricate fpeech or fentence, fo that in holy Scripture it is offen taken for a myfticall and abifrufe matter, and Philofophers themfelves, with otherfamous Authors, have attributed the name of Symbole to ctnigma's. Gellives faith, that ctenigma's are alfocalled Gryphes, from the name of a certain Net, foralmuch as at Banquets (where 1 xrigana's are much in requeft) the und rttandings of the Feafters are caught (as it were in nets) by obfcure queftions. Amongt the Creeks they alfo took their denomination from Cups and Goblets, for that they are ufed amongft fuch inftruments. But let us leave this Difcourfe to Grammarians, as alfo the difference between Gryphe and Enigma, to come to the definition.

The exrigma (according to Diomedes and Donatur) is an obfcure fentence, expreffed by an occult fimilitude of things, or it is a fpeech hard to be underfood in refpeci of the ob'curity of the Allegory: And for this reafon Fabius hath written, that the canig-
 which fignikes to fpeak obfcurely and ambiguoully.

The Gryphe (according to Clearchus) is a fportive queftion, which exactsan information of the matter, contained in the fentence propoled, be it for honour or reprehenfion. Cicero doth not approve the ufe of it in Orations, becaufe obfcurity therein is a great defect: But it may very well be ufed at merryments and in Princes Courts, for as much as fuch queftions dee whet mens wits, and hold them in fufpence, to the great contenment of the hearers. Now of unigma's, fome are obfcure in words only, fone in their feafe and meaning, and others both in the one and other. Thefe are commonly derived from Similitude, Diffimilitude, Contrariety, Accidents, Hiftory, Equivocall termes, and other figures of Rhetorick, according to the variety of Languages. Clearchus and Atbeneus (whofe opinions Axlus Gelius followeth) doe allow ot them in ferious matters, and in other fubjects of Pailofophic. In times paft rewards were affigned to tnofe that could explicate enigma's, when contrarywife, thofe that were Non-plus'd by them, were condemned in a certaine Fine.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CNAR. IV. } \\
& \text { of Emblemes. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$Hough an Embleme hath fome affinity with the efnigure, it d fers not wuthtanding in this, that drawing (as it were) the Cuitaine from before the ffaigma, it declaras the patter more plainly : For the Embleme is properly a fweet and morall Symbole, which confifts of piture and words, by which fome woighty fentence is declared. See an Example.


Emblemes are reduced unte threc principall kinds, viz, of Manners, of Nature, of Hiftory or Fable. The chiste aime of the Trableme is, to inftruat us, by fubjecting the figure to our view,
and the fenfe to our undertending: therefore they mult be fomething coverts fubtile, pleafant and fignificative. So that, if the piEtures of it be too common, it ought to have a mylticall fenfe; it they be fomething obfcure, they mult more clearly informe us by the words, provided they be analogick and correipondent. Thus much for the canigma may fuffice, fince Alciat, and many other Authors have entreaied thereof more at large.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Снар. V. } \\
\text { of Parables and Apologues. }
\end{gathered}
$$

THe Parable is a fimilitude taken from the forme to the forme, according to Ariffotle: that is to fay, a Comparifon in one or many affections of things, otherwife much unlake. Thofs Grammarians are miftaken, that affirme, that a Parable cannot be taken but from things teigned, for it may be drawne from any Hiftory, as well Naturall as Morall, and fometimes from Fables, but in fuch cafe Parables are properly called Apolegnes, fuch are thofe of ctop.

There are two kinds of Parables, the one vulgar, which comprehends the common and triviall fimilitedes; the other facred, which is drewne from a more holy and mylticall doctrine.
I have fpoken of all thefe things in the firt place, to the end, that viewing the definition and natures of them, we be not henceforth troubled to difcerne them from Devifes, whereof we are about to commence our Difcourfe.

> Cнар. VI. of the Etimologie and Definition of Devifes.

IN this point, Scarcity reftraines me on the one fide, and Superflaity diftracts me on the other. I find not any man that thews me the Etimologie of this word, Devife: And in its definition the Italians have fo many different opinions, that it is a hard matter to difcover which of them is the beft.

Thofe that have written of Devifes in Latine, as Tipotius, (who

## of Divijes.

hath made an ample collection of them) calls it Hierographie ; becafe (faith he) it is a more faced fignification of the thing, or of the perron, which is not onely expreffed, but ali impreffed with Characters and Letters. He calls it fared, not onely becaufe the invention in it felle is facred, if you attribute it to the Egyptians; or for that almoft every thing was by the Pagans teteemed holy, and to be honoured with Divine Worfhip ; But alfo, becaufe that after the manner of mysteries, it conceals more then it difcovers.
He observes, that the Characters were painted, carved, or engraven, and the Letters written, either to forme fillables, words, or fentences; Therefore that Author divides Hierography into Hicroglyphick and Symbole: whereof the frt is taken for the picture, as the other for the infrription.
The Italians calla Devise an Imprefe, deriving it from the verbe Imprendere, which fignifies, to undertake; because the ancient Knights did bare upon their Sheilds a Devife, difcovering the defigne of their enterprize, which is called in Italian Imprefe, and that doth alpo figniffe a gallant and heroicke action. Palazziconfounds this word Devise (which he fo call in Italian) with that of Lisrée, but wee take it not according to that fignification: For Lizrées are Badges, Liveries, or Cognizances, and a Device is nothing ellie with us, but the Imprefe of the Italians, and in that fence $G$ Gabriel Simeonitakesit. Therefore I am of opinion, that the Devie, having the fame end and fcope, ought al fo to have the fame originall ; And it is very probable, that this French word is taken from Architecture: For when a Malter Mafon, or Architect, undertakes a building, he hayes the Plat-forme and Devife of it, to make the agreement ; infomuch, as from this word $D$ vise (which is the difcourfe made upon the Structure of the whole edifice) comes the term of devising a work, or devising a building; that is to fay, to lay the plot or deign of it, and from thence, without doubt, someth this word Device, which is, as an Image of our inclinations or affections. Befides, we have a more particular definition of it, in this French word Devifer; whercot Du Beliey makesufe, when he faith Deviser quelqu'vn, in Read of describing or difplaye. ing peoples manners : And truly, a man carnot better dep pine the humour or paffion of any perfon, then by making his Device. It is by it (as Palazzi faith) that we reprefens and diforer humane paffions, hopes, fexres, doubts, dildaine, anger, pleafur, joy, fad-
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neffe, care, hatred, friendhip, love, defires, and all other motions of the foule. This I take to be the true Etimologie of the word, which relates more to the purpofe, and hath a more proper fignificition then the Imprefe of the Italians, fince they doe not enly exprefle generous defignes by their lmprefes (as Bargagly faith) but alfo all kinds of fancies, and other affections, which nevertheleffe the verb Imprendere doth not include in the Italian fignification.

As for the definition of a Devife (according to the Tract which Ammirato hath compiled on this fubject, and entituled, Il Rosa) the true Devife is that which beareth the picture of fome living creature, Plant, Root, Sun, Moon, Starres, or of any other coip-real fubject, with fome words, fentence, or proverb, which ferveas it were for its foule. Moreover, this Author adds, that a Devife is no other thing, then an expreffion of our mind, or a d claration of our thonghts, veyled nevertheltfe under a knotty conceit of words and figures: fo that being too oblcure, and therefore unintelligible, it ratner merits the name of an exnigma, thenthat of a $D e v i f_{6}: ~ H e$ faith further, that as fome define Poetry to be a Philofophy of PhiLofophers: that is to fay, a delightful meditation of the learned : fo we nay call a Devife the Philofophie of Cavaliers.
But Bargagli (who is one of the laft Authors that hatin ferioufly handled this Art) checks this definition of Ammirato, and proves it infufficient, and not particular enough for a Devife; for that the Embleme and Reverfe of Medals may be comprized in it : Therefore he definesit thus particularly; faying, That a Devife is anamafsing or connexion of figures and words,fo frictly united oogether, that being confidered apart, they cainot explicate themfelves difinctly the one without the other.

Butto give you a definition, which may be effentiall, and more appofite for the Devife, it is needfull to know the fubftance, true forme and propriety of it: Let us therefore fearch out the fe three parts of the Devife in other Authors.

The fecret Academicks of Breffe hold that a Devife is a myfticall medli $y$ of piaure and words, repreferting in a narrow roume to all thofe, whofe fancies are not altogether blunted with want of knowledge, fome fecret meaning, in favour of one or more perfons.

Contile is of cpinion, that a Devife is a thing compounded of fgures and words, which difcover fome gallant and heroick defign:

And (to explain himfelf) faith, that the term of Compofition holds the place of a Genus \& Predicament in this definition; That that refemblance or relation which difcovers the Authors intention is to be found in the figure, That the words reprefent a fhort difcourfe in fome fort obfcure, the fence whereof relates to the particular quality of the figure, whereto it ferves in liew of a foule: And shat the heroick defign holds the rank of Difference, being here, as the form that fpecifies the true propriety of the Devife.

Bargagli doth not altogether approve of this definition, having obferved, that an effentiail part of che Devife is therein wanting, which is the Comparifon, and upon the word Similitude ( he faith) that Author doth not fufficienily explicate himfelf; befidesthat, Devifes are not alvayes iramed for noble and masnanimous $D$ Efignes, but indifferently to reprefent any paffion of the mind.

And according to Palazzi, a Devife is a means to expreffe fome one of cur more particular conceptions, by the Pourtraict of fome thing, which of it felfe hath fome relation to our fancy, and by the ufe of fome words, whichare proper to the fubject. This Author unfolds alfo the parts of this Definition, putting for the Gerrus, that a Devife is a meanes to expreffe fome Conceptions, and for the Difference, he addes, that the conceit of our fancie is exprefled by the Fi. gure, and that this Figure is nece $\int$ arily accompanied with a concife Motto. By the terme of our fancie, he fhe wes that 'tis in this, that a Devie differs from an Embleme, which isput for a general precept, and not for any one particular perfon. By theifigure of one thing and not of divers, for that one onely thing fufficeth to make a perfect Devife, though we may make ufe of two or three; fothat this number, ought never to be exceeded: nevertheleffe, we may fay that by thofet wo or three, one onely thing is reprelented. He addes thereto, this terme (of it $\int e l f_{e}$ ) to the end, that all helpe of colours (which we ufe in Blazons) may be excluded. He addes further, that it hath fome relation; that is to fay, it is not to be ufed without reafon;to the end, that Cyphers in particuler be rejected, whereof the figures ferve to no other end then to reprefent the names of the perfons for whom they are made. And lafly he faith, that the Figure muft be accompanied with a Motto, to hhew the difference bet ween a $D e v i \int e$, and other reprefentations, where words arenot requifite, as in Devifes, where the Motto is an tffentiall part, giving the forme, and as it were the foule to the body. The Consmentator
upon Pawlus lovius faith, That a Devife is a proper Badge of any oxe, taken to ferve as an ornament or declaration offonse thing, whick be hath done, is to do, or is ftili in doing. But this (according to Bargagli is proper to the Reverfe of $M$ Medals, where matters of Fact are reprefented. But amongit all the definitions, that of Bargagly is the mof exact, where helaith. That a Devije is noother thing, but a partictular and rare conceipt of wit, which is made by means of a fimilitude or comparifon, having for that purpofe the figure offomeshing either naturall ( $f$ o it be not bumane) or artificiall, accompanied of secefloty with acuse fubtile, and concife words.

The firf part of this definition is taken for the Genus: For as much as we may expreffe thofe conceptions by nther meanes, by geftures or actions, vords, characters, and letters. Therefore he immediatly faith, that 'tis by way of Comparifon, and therein is the principall and effentiall difference of the defwition; fince he doth not allow that to be called a Devife, which hath no fimilitude or comparifon, with the figure of fome natsrall or artificiall thing. Thefe termes give us better to underftand the difference of the definition, in explaining the way of the comparifon, which is drawn from the nature or propriety of the thing figured; from whence, ( as from their proper place) fimilitudes may be drawn, fit for our purpofe. Nevertheleffe, he doth not allow us to make ufe of the humane figure therein: But addes farther, that the figure muft beaccompanied with a coscife and fubtile Motto; to the end, we may diItinguilh a perfect Devife, from that which hath no words (and which for that reafon merits not that name; as alfo to diftinguif it from Emblemes, Reverfes of Medalls, ard fuch like inventions.

This lat definition feemeth to me the moft exact and rigorous of all: For to fay the truth, to fet forth or defend 2 perfect Devife, it ought to have all thefe conditions, according to the generall opinion of the molt learned Authors, And Paslus lovites (though hee hath not alwayes been fo exact an obferver of that $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ w, which in Devifes prohibits the ufe of any figure of humane body) is furely as well excufabletherein, as thofe Authors, who to good purpofe, and gracefully bave made ufe of the Images of fome falle Divinities: And as for the comparifon, $I 2$ m cleer of opinion, that in it confifts the greateft flight and fubtilty of this Art; Not but that very handfome Devi/es may be compofed by other means: but they cannot be pertect in all points, unleffe they be formed upon fome comps-
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As for the Connexion of the words with the body of the Devife, I am of his opinion, that there is no invention that merrits the title of Devife, if it be deprived of a Motto.

## Снар. VII. of the Excellencie and Vtility of Devijes.

ONe of the advantages which raifes us above all other living Creatures, is the principle and faculty which enablesus to communicate and underftand each others will. Hoc enim uno preftamus vel maximè feris quod colloguimur inter nos, © quod exprimere dicendo fensapofiumus, fith Cicero: But amongft all externall wayes of expreffing our conciptions, be it by word, fentence, or gefture; there is one which we call Devije, by meanes whereof the molt pregnant wits difcover to their like, all the motions of their foule ; their hopes, fearts, doubts, difdaines, affrights, anger, pleafare and joyes, anguifhes and forrowes, hatred and love, defires and other heart-poffeffing paffions. And by how much this way of expreffion islefle ufuall with the common people, by fo much is it the more excellent : For it is cleane another thing to expreffe our conceptions by a foule and a body, or (if you will) by figures and words, then to manifeft them by way of Difcourfe. Bargagli faith with good reafon, That a Devife is nothing elfe, but a rare and particular way of expreffing ones felf; the moft compendious, moft noble, rnott pleafing, and mofte efficacious of all other that humane wit can invent. It is indeed moft compendious, fince by two or :hree words it furpaffeth that which is contained in the greateft Volumes.And as a fmall beame of the Sunis able toilluminate and replenifh a $C_{\text {avern ( }}$ (be it never fo vaft) with the rayes of its fiplendor:So a Devife enlightens our whole undertanding, $\&$ by difpelling the darkneffe of Errour, fills it with a true Piety, and folid Vertue.It is in thefe Devifes as in a Mirrour, where without large Tomes of Philofophy and Hittory, we may in a fhort tract of time, and with mucheare, plainly behold and imprint in our minds,all the rules both of Morall and Civillife; tending alfo much to the benefit of Hiftory, by reviving the memory of fuch men, who have
rendred themfelves illultrious in all forts of conditions, and in the practice of all kinds of Vertue.

It is the molt noble way, fince the perfons, for whom $D e v i f e s$ ought lawfully to bee compofed, ought to be of a very eminent quality, or of an extraordinary vertue; fuch as all Soveraignes or Minifters of State, Emperours, Kings, Princes, Generals of Armies, Perfons of Honour, and the molt renowned Profeffours of Arts and Sciences. And to (ay truth, Princes and their chiefe Minifters are the perfons that doe molt earneflly defire them, as if thofe noble minded Soules (created by God to command and rule ) had received from him a paticular inclination to whatfoever is Divine, or Auguft, as well on earth, as in the minds of men. There are alfo fome Princes, that have addicted themfelves to the invention of $D$ evifes; of which there is no fmall number amongft the Italians. And with the French, we find the great King Francis; who (befides the glorious Title of Conquerour) deferved that of Learnings Reftaurator; and to whom the Family of the Eftiexmes hath fuch particular obligations: I meane Frascis the firft, who daigned himfelf to become the Author of his own Devife, where he caufed a Salamander to be put into a fire with this Italian Motto, NuTRISco Et Extingo, i.e. lamentißedby it, and perifb by it, As Panlus fovius doth affure us.

Moreover, the invention is pleafing and efficacious,' fince to the contentment of the fight, it addes a ravilhing of the mind, and that to the fatisfaction both of the one and the other; it brings alfo fome profit and utility, which is the perfection of a work: wherefore it furpaffeth not onely all other Arts, but alfo Painting, fince this onely reprefenteth the body and exquinite features of the face, when as a Devife expofeth the rare conceipts, and gallant refolutions of its Author, far more perfpicuoully, and with more certainty, then Phyfiognomy can, by the proportions and lineaments of the face. It alfo much excelleth Poetry, in that it joyneth profit with pleafure; for as much as the moft part of Poeticall inventions tend onely to adminifter delight, when as none merit the Title of true and perfect Devifes, unlefle they beget content with their gentilleffe, and yeeld profit by their Doctrine. For they not onely expreffe our beft fancies, but alfo render them in a more delightfull and vigorous manner, then that which is ufed either in fpeaking or writing. By the conceipts of the Devife, youdeclare the humour or
inclination of him that beares it, during the whole courfe of his life, and in refpect the invention of the Devire is grounded upon fome good defign, the besrer is obliged, ever to appear to all the world, fuch, as he hath declared himfelfe by it ; as ifit were an obligation figned with his hand, and fealed with his feale, which fhould conftraine him never to depart from the exercile of Vertue, or as if it were a continuall renewing of the Proteftation he hath made, as well by the Figure, as by the Motio of his Devife, not to commit any unworthineffe, nor any thing contrary to that conception of mind.

The efficacie of a Devife fpreads it felfe yet further externally, ferving as an example to others; infomuch, as by its quaint conceptions and fimilitudes, the Beholdersare excited and enflamed to the fearch of Vertue, and to propofe to themfelves fome fuci gallant defignts. It is not onely ufetull to thofe that are neere us, but alfo to thofe that arefurther off; yea tothofe that fhall come after us, by leaving them a perpetuall remembrance of the excellencie of wit, \& comendable qualities of him that did compofe or bear it : Befides, it is a means, much more proper then either profe or verfe, to make his friends or confidents underftand his fecret intention: a Lover may ufe it, as the Spokefman of his affection to his Miftrefle; a Mafter to his Servitor; 2 Prince to his Officers, or Subjects : for the length of Poems, and prolixity of great Difcourfes in bookes, often foyle the Readers, when as the whole meaning of aDevife is no fooner looked upon, but conceived by the intelligent Reader. Moreover, a Devife prefents it. felfe to the eyes of all the world, inteing placed upon Frontice- pieces of hou. fes, in Galleries, upon Armes, and a thoufand other places, whence it becomes a delightfull object to the fight, even whether we will or no, and by that meanes we are in a manner obliged to learne the Conception of him that bears the Devife.
'Tis true, this Art is one of the moft difficult, that any wit that is acute, and rich in invention can practife (according to the judgment of $P$ aulus fovirs) and doth onely appeare facile to thote that never did exercife it ; or though they have made fome Effayes of it, I do affure my felfe, their Devifos were not legitimate, nor their Rules obferved: For Devifes are not like thofe Vaffels of Earth, which are made as foon as thePotter hath calt them in the Mould. Reade Hannibal Caro upon this fubject, who writes
writes his opinion to the Dutcheffe of Vrbain in thefe very terms. Devifes are not things which are met with in books, or which are made according to thefudden fancic of an Author ; they often require long meditation, and it Jeldome bappens that they are created by a Caprichio, or an extravagant fally of wit; 'tis true, fuch are fometimes better thes thofe, that bave made us pumpe a longer time; but it belongs onely to the expert Profeffors of that Art, to be thus happy in the production of Devifes.

My defign in propofing all thefe difficulties hath not beene to withdraw good wits from thete neat Effayes; mbut onely to the w that this Art hath this common property with the beft and moft excellent things of this world, that it is difficult, and not acquired but by a long Itudy.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Снар. VIII. } \\
\text { of the Origin and Antiquity of Devifes. }
\end{gathered}
$$

THofe(whofe Icrutiny into the Origin of Devifes foarcs higheft) doe derive it from God himfelte, and affirme that he is the firt Author of them, fince he planted the Tree of Lite, or rather the Tree of Knowledg of Good andEvil in the terreftrial Paradife, explaining himfelf by thefe words, $\mathrm{Ne}_{\mathrm{e}}$ Comedas. Befides, in the old Teltament in building the $T$ abernacle \& theArk, he appointed the Figures which he would have to be engraven, with his owne mouth, as the Cherubiss of Gold, Bells, Candlefticks, the Table and Altar of Cedar (which is fubject to no corruption) the Brafle Grates about the Altar, the Pomegranets upon the Borders of the High Priefts Veftment, to fignifie Concord and Union, and feveral forts of Veffels, Inftruments, precious Stones, Figures, Colours, Veftments, and other things. In the New Teftamene, the Parchall Lamb with this Matto, Ecce Qui Tollit Peccata Mundi : The Lyon, Oxe, Eagle, and Man, to fignifie the four Evangelifts. It is there alfo where we fee the Holy Ghoft denoted by the Dove, and our Saviour Jefus Chrift by the Pellican, who hath hed his precious blood for his young ones: we may alfo fee him reprefented there by the Sun, the $R$ uck and the Lilly.

If the fourfe of Devifes have not fo noble and ancient an Origin
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it muit bee at leaft derived from the Hicrogiyphicks of the Egyp: tians, who by the formes and figures of divers Animals, feverall Inftruments, Flowets, Hearbes, Trees, and fuch like things accoupled and compofed together in flead of 1 teters did deliver their minds and conceptions. As when they w ald figifie aviziant man, they would figure the head of a Lyon; beceife (acodng to the Naturalifs) that Beaft flepes with his eyes open: When they would expreffe an acknowledg ment of fone gond turn, they painted a Stork, ald fo of others; whereof many exampl sara to be feen in Orus Apolis (curiounly tranilated and commented on by Caufinus) Pierius, Porpbirius, in the Fourth Book of Abtinence from Mear, and elfe-where. And that which Pocts faign of Protens to have transformed himfelf fometimes into a Lyon; fometimes into a Bull; fometimes into a Serpent, fire, water, and into a thoufand other fhapes, proceeded without doubt from this, thas he was learned in the Science of the Hieroglyphicks: This King (the mof ancient of the Egyptimns) did beare upon his head fometimes the head of a Lyon; fometimes of an Oxe, or of fome other living Creature, to liguife the conception of his mind, and the defignes which te had in hand.
Againft this opinion of Andreas Palazzi, Bargagliaffirmes, that Devifes have no more refemblance with the Hieroglyphicks of the Egyptians, then with thofe of the hody Scriptures, which exprefie unto us the myfteries of Religion, and of all things facted; nordoth heallow, their Orizin to be derivdd from Reverfes of Medals ; forafmuch as they did onely ferve to reprefent fome memorable thing, happening at that time, and had no defigne to manififtanyaffections or humane paffions; whereas the $D_{\varepsilon}-$ vife ferveth to difcover to our friends or equalls the conceipts of our mindes, which wee would not have knowne to others.

As for my felfe, I am ofopinion, that as all Arts and Sciences Were not perfeted in the ir Infancy,but were compleated by little and little : fo thefe Hieroglyphicks and Syinboles were a Species of that, which we call Devife: For it is certain, that under thefe veiles lye hid fome rate meaning, and that thofe who firft framed Devifis had no other Idea then onely that.

But (fetting afide the Hieroglyphicks) doe we not fee 2 great refemblance of Devifes, even from the time of the Theban Warre
(which was 1300. yeares before the Incarnation of our Saviour) as cafchylus noteth, in his Tragedy, entituled, Thefeyex before Thebes, where (fpeaking of Capaneus) he faith, that in his Shield he had a naked man painted with a flaming Torch in his hand, and thefe wordswritten in Letters of Gold, J E Brusleray La CIta, Inoill burn the City. The fame Author (fpeaking of Eteesles) firt, That he bore upon his Buckler or Shield the picture of an armed anan, placing a Ladder againtt a wall, with thefe words, Mars Mesme Ne Me Pourra Repousser De Ia Murailie, i.e. Marshimfelf ßall not repulfe mefrom the wall. We fee in Pindarus, that inthe fane Warre, Amphiaraus bere2 Dragon on his Shield. Stacius likewife writeth, that Capameus and Polynices bore, the one an Hydra, the other a Spynx. The Ancients for the moft part made ufe of thefe kind of Devifes in their Shields, and Cimiers, or habiliments for the head, which is plainly feen in Virgil, Aneid. 8. when he numbers the people that came in the behalf of Turnus, againtt the Trojans. Therefore in this I approve the opinion of Palazzi, and reject that of Bargagli, though it be true, that all the rules of $D e v i f e s$ are not there oblerved; for in fome, you may fee humane figures and bodies without foules or words: But thefe Cenfurcrs hould have lived before thofe Arcients to hav prefcribed hem the Law. I am eafily perfwaded, that if thofe inventions of wit merit not the name of $D e-$ vifes, that they bave at leaft a great affinity with them, and that they were the Pattern by which ours were contrived. But (I befeech you) hall we not approve of that which we read in Pay/awiss concerning Agamenenex, who going to the Trojan Warres, bore the head of a Lyon carved upon his Shield ( to intimidate the enemy) with the!e words.

Hic Pavor Est Hominum, Manibus Gerit Hunc Agamemnon.

This Agam mnon in his bands doth bear, Tofrike you mortalls with a pansick feare.

For we may perceive init fome effentiall parts of a Devife; the figure taken from nature, and without humane face, accompanied
with words, and a fit comparifon, propofing a gallant defigne, and a particular conceipt of wit. That alfo which Cafar fixed on the Gates of his Palace, cannot bealtogether rtjected by Bargagli, though he arprove it not: 'Twas an Oken Crown, which the Romanes called Civique, to fignifie, that his affection towards the people was fo tender, that he made onore account of the prefervation of one Citizens life, teen of the deftruction of many Enemies; That was at leaft intelligible in thofe times, when all the world linew that the Civique Crown was given for a Guerdon to him that had faved a Citizen : do we not fee that it declares a conception, and propofes that which he defiresto execute? Is not this defign commendable, and doth it nor favour of Gallantry?

As touching the Hieroglyphicks, and the Symboles of holy Scripture, which Bargagli affirms to have no refemblance with our Devifes; beczufe by them facred myfteries and points of Religion were oncly propofed: will he banilh Piety from the Devife? will he that fo noble and fo excellent an invention fhall ferve to no other end, but to expreffe our amorous conceptions, our hatred, joyes, forrowes, friendfhip, ambition, and other humane paffions? How many Devifes of Kiges, Princes, \& Perfons of quality, do we fee wholy replenilhed with devotion? We have a number of Examples in Tipotius his collection of Devifes, which he hath fo learnedly and pioufly expounded. How many myfticall and facred ones are there in his firft Tome, as well of the Holy Croffe, as of the bleffed Sacrament?
I confeffe Medals are fom what more different, in that their fcope was but to immortalize the memory of Emperours, Confuls, and Republicks, fetting torth, as in a Tablet, their moft heroick actions andhopes, as being found that the memory of them would continue longer in braffe, filver, and gold, then inHiftories written upon paper; yet thereare fome of them that refemble our Devifes, as that of $V_{5} / p a t i a n$, wherethere is a Daulphinabout an Anchor, as who fruld fay, Propera Tarde. It is true, this wants werds, wica are ancflerall part of a Devife (according to the opinion of Bargagli) but as I have already faid, nothing is perfeAted at its firt birth; beides, fome Italian Authors of no mean efteem, do admit in Devi/cs a figure without words, and werds without a figure. Then fearching neerer hand for the Origin of Devifes, let us obferve with $P$ anlusfovim, that the ancientKnights
and Paladins of France ( the memory of whom is not altogether fabulous) had each one a particular Badg, whereby to exprefle his humour or defign. Renaldus of Montauban bore a Lyon barred : Ogier the Dane a fcalins Ladder: Salomon of Betaigna Chequer board: Oliver, a Griffin: Aftolphus, a Leopard: and Cannes a Faulcon, and fo of others: As alfo the Knights of the Round Table of Arthur King of Brittaine, and many others, whereof examples are to be found in all ages, as we map read in Palazzi's Treatife of Devifes; Neverthelefle, I muft not omit the ancient Devife of a Price fprung from the race of the French Kings, which is of Charles, brother to Lotharius King of France, the firt Dake of Lorraine, that enjoyed that Dakedome indeperdent, ind in reipe at of that freedome aid immunity, tor $k$ for Devife an arme armed; iffaing out of a cloud, in the yeerc 983 . as Charles Eftienne reciteth in his Hiftory of Lorrain.

Furthermore, wee may firde in Hitories, that all Kings have had fuch like Devifes, evet fince the raign of Barbaroffa, under whom it was ordaintd, that all Soveraignes inould diltribute marks of noble Fanilies (which wie call Armse) to thofe gallant Spirits, which thould render themfelves famous, by their heroick actions in the time of warre: But fince in this latter age, that the ufe of Blazons hath been in vogue, the phanrafticall inventions of Cimiers, and thofe diverffifed pictures wherewith Efcotcheons are beautified, are alfo introduced, as we may fee in many places, tipecially in antient Churches. And Paxlus foviss faitn, that aboveall Nations, the French aremoft curious in thefe kinds of Devifes, and that at the time of Charles the S. and Lewes the 12 , palfinginto Italy, all the French Captaines made ule of them tu adorne their Efcoicheons, and to enrich their Enfignes, Bunats, Gudons, and Comets, whereby their Troopes and Companies never diftinguithed. And from hence the It alians learn'd the ufeo Devijes, in the compoíure of which at this day they appear to be the snult ingenious.
Chap. IX.

Rables for Devifes.

WE E are now entringinto a Sea, little known to thofe of our Nation, where the Sands are imperceptible, the fhelvs
levell with the water, the current troublefome, the tide incertain, and the Coaft infrequented : Therefere tis requifite, we ftrike a part of our Sailes, and fteer on with a gentle gale, till fuch time as we fhall confult our guides, and take advife of the muft expert Pilors, and Mafter of our Ship, who hath much more then we frequented this Ocean.

Our guide fhall be Paulusfovius, who firf enterprized this voyage; Ru/celli, Palazzi, Contile, Ammirato, and other Italians Chall be the Mariners I molt confult in this Navigation : But Bargagli (wholalt went this paffage, and who hath with moft diligence funght out the Coafts of this Sea, who made the Card, moft carefully obferved all the dangerous paffages, and hath made a great return by his imbarqment) fhall be scinowledged for the moft expert Pilot, and furc Conductor of our Navigation.

We will therefure propofe the tenents of the fift, and compare their opinions with the laft, to cor clude at length upon all matters, circumitances, and conditions of Devifes.

Paulus fovins propounds five Conditions requifite in a perfect Devife.
I. Firft, a juft proportion or relation of the Soule to the Body.
2. That it be not fo obfcure, as to need a Sybill to interprete it ; nor $y$ et fo plain, as the common people may comprehend it.
3. Thatabove all things, it have a fotet appearance, which fhall fucceed, by infertirg therein either Stars, Sun, Moon, Fire, Wa er, ereen Trees, inechanicall Infruments, diverfified, and fantalt call Bealts and Birds: Howbeit, I am of opmion, that colcured $\mathrm{f}_{\text {a }}$ ures are not recciveable in the bodies of $D$ evifes.
4. Tilat it mult not have any humane figure.
5. And enst the Motto (which is the foule of the Derife) be in 2 friange language, or other then that which is ufed in the Country, whetethr. Devife made, to the end, that the intention of it bee a little removed from common capacities.

A Devife requires five Conditions more; whereof the firft is,

1. That the Motto be concife or briefe, but not doubtfull ; infomuch, that the foule fhill be the nore perfect, when it exceeds not the umber of two or three words, unlefle it be of an Himilticke or whole verfe.
2. It muft be obferved, that the body and foule(being very compleat ) Io not produce too ambitious a conceipt, leatt he(for whom it is made) be accufed of vanity and prefumption.
3. A Devife ought to relin fomewhat of magnanimity, gene. rolity, and fabtilty.
4. It muff fatisfie the eyeby the body, and greeld content to the mind by the foule.
5. Thofe Devifes, which have but one onely word or one fillable, are held by this Author very abfurd.
Chap. X.

## The opinion of Hieronomy Rufcelli.

RUcelli (contrary to the opinion of Paulus foviws) faith, that the Motto of the Devife ought not to be called the Soule, though the figure reprefent the body, As in all other fubjects where there is a body, it doth not follow that there is al wayes a foule, as in Mufick we may fay, the Notes reprefent the body, and the words are correfpendent to the Soule : But if the Devife mult have a Soule, it would rather be the intention or fignification teen the words.

Hediftinguiheth Devifes intotwo kinds, the one with,and the other without words.

Figures were heretofore more commonly joyned to Devifes then Mottoes; becaufe the figures were known to every one, but the Mottoes were not fo generally undertood.

As for the opinion of thofe, who affirme, that the Motto ouzht not to be called Soule, Rwfelli confirms it ; for that, faith he, otherwife it were to admit of Bodies without Soules, there being Devifes which have no Motto's, and are neverthelefle approved of. Notwithtanding, he concludes, that it would be $a$ very difficult thing to abolifh the ufe of thefe two termes, or that ancient manner of Speaking of Body and Soule upon the fubject of Devifes; though in truth the Mutto be leffe then :he foule of a Devife, then is the intention or defigne of an Author.

According to the judgment of this Author, a Devife (to be true and perfect )ought to have all the conditions tollowing. It muft
be invented and compofed with conveniencie, quaintneffe, fecurity, and to the glory of its Author. Befides, ha addes, that the Figure and the Mutto are its neceflary parts, the one to allure the eye, the other to invade the mird, This is alfo Paulus fovius his opinion in his Fourth Confideration: But befides thefe Conditions, and effentiall parts, it mat have fome qualities, which are proper unto it, cleerneffe and brevity, and above sll, this laft is of neceffity reguifite as well in the Body as in the Soule: For the parts of the Body, or the fublantiall Figures of the Devife, mult not be more thentwo, nor mutt the word exceed the namberiof three, unlefe it be to make ufe of an half verfe, or at the moft to accomplifn the whole one: However fome Authors are not fo fcrupulous, as not to admit of a verfe and a hall for their Motto, but furely thofe are not commended, nor do they fucceed well; forafmuch, as the great number of words doth confound the Motto with the Figure in fuch fort that thofe Devifes which are expofed and born ordinarily at Tournaments or Mafqaes, would not be diftinctly known in this form by the Spectators. Wea may fay the like of thofe that are ufed upon Standards, Enfignes, Cornets, and Coynes, in refpect of the little roome wherein they are comprized. Therefore when the Motto is hort, the figure doth difcover it felfmore eafily, and the words are better retained in the memory; But if at firt fight, they be not underftood, the knowledge of them is found out by meditation: And by reflecting the eyes of the mind upon the Idea, which we there retaine, we come at laft to penetrate the meaning of the Author.
For the precife number of one,two, or three figures, it muft be undetfood of different kinds or Species, \& not of individuals: \&for a perfcet example, Ile propofe to you the Devife of Card: De Medicis, where he hath many little Stars and a Comet, which we nevertrelefferake but for two figures, becaufe thofe Stars without numberrep:efent but one onely Species; As allo in that of the Duke of Mantua, the two Swans which fight againft an Eagle, are taken but tor the nature of the Swan. It may happen not withltan. ding that in the felf-fame Devife, there be four Figures of feverall Spocies, fo well difpofed and with fuch relation each to other, that they may feeme effentiall to the fubject, and by confequence equally neccflary to the body of the Devife; And fo we may reprefent a Diamond upon an Anvile, with iwo hammers, beating
upon it in the mid'It of the fire, for fo much as then the numiver of all thofe inftruments is determined by the unity of the action, which is equally commonunto them, and whichonly intends the breaking of the Diamond.
We are fomctimes obliged (as well for ornament as tor better expreffion) to adde to the Figures a Landmip, Sky, Earth, other Element, or fuch like thing, yet without being faid ro exceed their precife number, As you may fee in the Devife, where there are two Columnes, the one of a Cloud, the other of F:re, with this Motto, Este Duces, Be ye the Conductors, having over alla Heaven whole Sun refted on the Column of the Cloud, and the Moon upon that of Fire, to demonfrate more plainly, that two Columnes ferved as a conduct to the people of 1 frael, the one in the day time, the other in the night. This licence is permitted to Authors, which abufe it not, but ufe it with difcretion; without which, there is neither rule, nor Maxime fo certain, that can fucceed happily.

Againft the particular opinion of Paulus fovius in his Fifth Confideration, excellent $D$ evifes may be met with, that refult from the conjunction of one Figure with one fole word; fo that they' fort well together, and doe not fignifie one and the famerhing; As in that known Devife of Feftinalente: For that were,totake two fervants, to the end, that one might ferve the other, and not that both fhould ferve the Mafter: For the Author of a Devife makes choice of two futjects for his defign : to wit, the figures and words, that they may ferve to convey to the eyes, eares, and thince to the mind, the fancy or conception of him that compofed or beares the Devife.

Thofe figures that require a diveriffying with colours, are not to be ufed, nor things that are hard to be diftinguifhed; wh wheh rank, we place certain hearbes whichretemble one another, as Parfley and Hemlock, and fome Birds, as the Linnet and the Sparrow.

Thofefigures of Devifes are excellent, which are taken from the Armes of iome Family; to which, fomething is either ad $\ddagger \mathrm{d}$, diminifhed or changed, according to the fubject that is in hand, and in purfuance of the deligne we have, in favour of the perfon that bears that kind of Blazon.

Rufcelli condemns Paulus Iovizs of ignorance in matter of Devifes (though he be otherwife a learned Hiftorian) and principally for that he altogether rejecteth from Devifes, the figure of hu nane

> for Devifes.
body, though elfewhere he practiceth the contrary, by approving fome of that fort inferted in his owne Treatife, and (amongtt others) that of Lewis Sforce, where there is a Blackamore, who with a Piftoll kills a Lady; That which he humfelfe made for a Lord, his particular friend, where there is an Emperour upon a Triumphant Chariot withthis Motto, Servus Curra Portatur Eodem, the Slave is carryed by the famo Chariot; and the Devife of the Dake of Florence, wish many others, by which we may well perceive, that that Law, which the Legiflator himfelfe makes no feruple to violate, is inconfiderable. Then is it in vaineto pretend to exclude humane figures by authority, fince the Hieroglyphicks of the Egyptians, the Medalls, as well of the Romans as Grecians, and finally all the Memorials of Antiguity, arefull of them; There is much leffereafon to debarre the ufe of them in Devifes: For why fhall it be lawfull to make ufe of the Figures of Plants, living creatures, and mechanicall inftruments, or other things wrought by the hand of man, and thall yet be prohibited to ule the figure of the man himfllfe, which is nevertheleffe the muft excellent of all? It is true, it would not be feemly to infert in a Devife, the figure of a manonely clad after the ord:nary fafhion, becaule that would be too common, but it would be more fit to reprefent him difguifed, as they doe in Mafques and Mommeries. This Author approves of the figure of women in Devies, whether they be reprefented naked or clothed, as allo that of $N$ ymphs, Satyres, Termes, or fuch like Divinities, which are not ufuall mour fight, and whereof the reprefentation may handfome!y make up the bodyes ef Devifes, as we fee in fome examples, as well Aucient as Moderne.

Devifes and Emblemes have this common refemblance with each other, that they may be indifferently ufed with or without words; And their difference is taken from this, that the words of the Embleme may demonftrate things univeifall, and hold the rank of morall prec pts, which may as wel ferve for all the word, as for the proper suthor of the Emblem. This generall application of the Motto, is a great error in a Devife, which ought to be patticular, and the words thereof proper and futable to the perfon onely, in whofe favour the Devife is made. Nivertheleffe, this Condition hinders not, but that the $D$ evife which hath been by me
already uled, may alfoferve another day to expreffe the fame inclination, defigne or paffion in fome other perfon; yet we mult not conclude by this, that the Devifes of Fathers ought to ferve his Children, unleffe they bsare the fame Armes, have the fame inclinations, or be continued in the fame offices. So States, and fome particular Families, retaine fill for their Devifes, the Codomnes of Hercules, the Golden Fleese, Saint Michacl, and other: badges of honour.

The fa:- Author pretends, that it is neither vice nor theft to appropriate to onts felf the Devife of one that is already dead, fo tha: there be fomething added or changed, atcording to the defi ie inhard. Was it not with this licence, that a certain Pedant tonke the Devife which the deceafed Robert Ejsienne made for the Duke of Swilly, as then Grand Mafter of the Aitillery? Having therein piaced an Eagle, holding a Thunderbolt, and thefe. words, Quo Juss a Jovis, As farre as the command of 7 upiter. This impudent Plagiary could nor be content to keep the Condition of ihat Licence, but without changing a tittle, he took the boldneffe:o apply it (as an invention of his owne) to the Marqueffe ul Rofny, fonne of the faid Duke, and in his Fathers life time.

He oblerves alfo another difference betweene Emblemes and Devifes, which is, that in thofe, we may have many figures, but in thefe, onely three.

## Chap. XI.

Of Mottars, according to the opinion of the faid Rufcelli.

M
 lay, Ciegeren feanci Brevity, winch mult be obferved, accuduto the circumitane s of time and ubject, whereupon the Dewife is $\alpha_{3}$ de, as it be onely to be feen an one time, at a Jufting or Maske, thea it nuft tw phine and intelligible, but if the Devife befors longir continanct, then we mult adde fome ornament, grace or majefty, to rebuer it lefit common.

The amorous and morall ones ought not to be foobfcure (as fovins would have it) fince they ought to be underltood by the.
generality, otherwife they would be fruitleffe, efpecially the amorous, unl fie the author defre, that the Devife be not apprehended by any perfon, but his Miftrefle, and fo of others.

I am of epinion with $P$. fovius, that the Mostoes of Devifes which are for continuance, ought to peak in a Arange language, and the amorous ones and fuch atsare for Tournamints, Masses and Comedies, in a vulgar, or at leaft a knowne torgue, fincethy are but for a hort time, and are expofed tothe view of the unlearned.

The plurality of words doth no leffe incumber the apprehenfion of the Devife, then the great number of figures. It is a hard thing to exprefle ones felt by one onely word, 2 or $\mathbf{3}$. fuffice to render a very $\in x q u i f i t e ~ D e v i f e$, and the more if exceeds that number, the leffe gentile is it, unleffe it be to ufe an Hemiftick or whole verle, be it Greek, Latine or any other ftrange language, which is in relpect that verfes or meafured fentences have a certain grace, harmony and cadence, which caufe them to be read with facility, and reteim ned with duight.
As for the conexion of the figure with the Motto, we muft take heed that ite words de not explicate the frgure, but rather that the fisure l ed the reader to the underftanding of the words, and that the Mottw, difunitd from the figure, may not have any fignification. As in the Devife of the Dake of Ferrara, "tos cizalyta, fo all things. Thefe words confidered apart from the figure, which reprefenteth Parbic, fignifie juit nothing.

We mult alfo $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ ic heed, not to make any mention of the figure in the words, $251 t i n$ the bodj of a Devife there be the reprefentation a Mountaine, in any cafe fpeak not of Mountaine in the Motto.

The beft Morto's are thofo which have no verbe expreffed, Provided the verbe be fucts as may eafily be undertood. without equivecation.

Devifes are unde to reprefent our felves or fome other Perfor, deare and confudeable unto us for 2 Lady we love, for our Prince, or for fome luch paricular perforgthofe which are made for others are more rare; but 1 dae not undr rtand it a making for another wher famen $D$ eviforar a perfon of quality that requefted of me, for Idoe then uat give him the invention, and lend himmylabour: For a Derifeught net to acknowledge ny other Mafter or
legitimate poffeffer, tut the perfon in whofe favour it is invented.
In Devifes which we make for our felves, the author is fignifyed, either by the figure alone, or by the Motto alone, and furthermore our of the figure and Morto both, that is to day, out of the whole Devife. He is reprefented by the figure, when he feigneth the figue to fpeake for him, by faying that which he would fay, if he were in it its place; if there betwo figures, the Author is reprefented by one alone, or by both, which is done more rarely.

An Author expreffern himfelf quaintly bythe Motto, when he fe:gnes is tu peak, not tothe figur, but to himfi If or to the people, as in thi, unere theress the garden of Heperides, the golden spples, adine dragon dead before the dinort, with thefe words, Yo Aiejor Las Guardare, ile gasardebem beter: For here he ipaikes not to the figure, but of the figure to himfelf, by the Motto; fontimes he declares himelte by fipeaking to the fare of che Divife, 2 in that of the 2 Columnes allesdged before, Este Duces.
When the Author himfelf is neither comprehended in the Morto nor in the figure, we inay then fappofe, that he is excluded the Devife, and tha: he heares another f peaking to him, or giving himadrif: As inche Devife, whre there is an arrow, which being direaly in the iniddle of the white, cleaves the pin with this Motto, BxM ' 8 IO, Broot thus.

Therebe others, by which we can neither conceive whence nor to whom the Author fpecketh, whether within or without the Desife; But it feemes that the whole Devife is indifferently addrcfed either to the people, or tn the Author, or to his Miftrefle, or fome other, as the Temple of 7 un, Lacisia, whofe Motto is Junoni lacinix.
But the molt perfect Devifes a e tho , whofe bodies and foules are taken as well for otherr, astur the Avehre. As the Chariot of Phacton with this Motes, Medio T.itissimus Ibis, Thou Soalt goe fectrely in the mivecile, that is to say, neither too high nor too kuw: For it feen's, the Author feals to himfelfe, with a minde enclining to mediocity, and by way of advife addreffeth himfelfeto others. Trus ws fee that this Devife is very excellent in all her properties, having a very recieative figure, a gentile Motto, an intention, whersof the morality is very profitable, and an admirable addreffe as well to the Author as to others.

When the Motto is taken out of fome approved or wel known Author, it requires the fewer words, provided the ref be eafie to divine at, as in the Devife, where thera is a Tree, wherent one branch being cat off, another buds forth, with this Motto, U N o Avuiso, one being pluckt off: which being taken out of Vir$g^{i l}$, fufficeth forthedeclaration the figure, becaule the reft of the Verfe, Non Deficit Alter, another is not wanting, is eafily underftond.
See here the molt part of Ruciceli's conceptions upon the fubjeat of Devifes, which I collecied out of tis Book, and have tranflated with all poffible fiddity.

## Chap. XII.

## The opinion of Scipione Ammirato upon Devifes.

wHofoever would compofe a work, that may have'the vertue and efficacie of a Devife, maft doe it in fuch fort, as the body may have a connexion with the foule, that is to fay, that the words may relate to the figure.

This Author accords with Rufcelti, that it importeth not of what language tile words are, fo they be pleafant and acute; neverthel fie with Paulus fovius, he preferres the Latine Tongue above all orhers, as being that, which is moit generally knowne, and coromon to all Nations of the world : And for my owne particular opinion, I think that thofe Mottoes are much more exquifite, and better accepted, which are taken out of fome famous Author, as Virgil, Horace, Catsiues, Ovid, Lucan, or others. And tis in that kind ot borrowing, wherein the Devifors dexterity and fubtility beft appeares, when he diverts the fenfe of an ancient Author, and applyes it properly to his owne intention.
Frr the connexion of the Motto with the Figure, he confents with Rufcelli, that we mult take heed that the foule of the Devife doe not ferve fimply to decipher the body, nor to explicate the Picture onely, as if upon the Reprefentation of the City of Versice, we Chould write this word Venetia. It is beft then to confider the Motto of a Devife, as the Major Propofition of a Syllogifme, and the Figure, as the Minor, from the conjunction of
which, will refult the Conclufon, which is nothing elfe, but the meaning of the Author: So that the Motto cughe not to be the Interpreter of the Body, nor thit, the Interpreter of the Soule : onely tis r quifite, that trom the conjunction of the foule with the body, the Reader may draw the myfticall fenfe, and difcover the intention of the Author, as by Hieroglyphicks involved in the two eflentiall parts of a Devi/e.

He doth not defire we fhouldbefo fuperfitious obfervers of the Rules, as to lofe the true and naturall fubitance of the thing.

He agrees in opinion with all the other Authors, that as the foul of the Devife ought to be conceived with choice, ftately and fignificant termes; fo the body ought to have fome fweet apparence, and to confilt of a figure, neither too comon or abject, nor yet too far fetcht or monftrous; Theref ne we are not tuadmit of any prodigious things ner unknowne beafts, left wee make an carigma inftead of a Devife : the efnigmabing for the univerfality of people, and not in particular for it felf.

For the admiration, which a Devire ought to beget in the mind of the Reader, doth not depend upon exira redinary figures; but rather upon the connexion of the foule with the body, which ought to be feperately intelligible, in fo much as the refult or compolition of the two things.may produce a third, mixt with the one and the other.

As for the cleernes, which Rujeclii requires in a Devije. This Author faith, that, as the Comedy oughi to pleaf, both the ens and eares of the comon people, as well as of the learned: So the Devife ought not to be fo much removed from the knowledge of the vulgar, but that it may give content to sli; Yet muft we take heed that we ufe not things too vile and abj.ct, as a Kettle,a Erying-pan, a dripping pan a Chafog-dih, pair ufbelluws, and fuch like inftruments.

The conjunction and copulation of the Body with the Soule is veryhandfome, when it is made by commaine, , ither of irslike, greater, leffer, or contrary. And this Comp:rifon is not onely made with this Particle (SIC) or Wonlike, but alo by leaving it out, and to be underfoodipurh far amore grace. Wherefore Bargagli hath reafon to banith all particles of fpeech, which Eerve to thereduction of a comparifon.

But aboveall, he commendsthe encounter of words, alike in termination or found, and unlike in Ggnification, as in this Motto, Defficiam Aut Efficiam. Efferar Aut Referam. Therease Devies confilting party infundiade, patly indiflimilitude, as for the Rone *Asbefos, Par ignis, *tubef Accinsio Dispar, there is lize fire, but different bur- kindof ning.

They are alfo made by centraries, and that is, when the Motto cannot fayes the contrary to what is teene in the Figure, as for a Temple tinguir of Dianaburnt, Nos Ailam Ex Aliss, Wefeekanather fanse elfewhers. I not onely call that contrary, which is directly oppofite to the nature of any fubject, as fweet to bitter, but alfor every thing that is different, though it be not contrary, as in the precedent example.

Some maybe invented, by alluding to the proper names of perfens, for whom they are made, but certainly fuch are hard to be met with, in fo much, that fre the moft part, a Rebus or fome idle fancie is made inftead of a good Devife, which the Author theught to have falne upon: you may fee many fuch examples in Paulus fovius.
When we put fome figure in the body of a Devife, which of it felfe is not iufficien:ly ${ }_{\text {ginificative, we may adde the name, as up- }}$ on the Frontifpiece of the Temple of 7 uno Lacinia, we may put this Motto, Junoni Lacinix, upon that of mount Sionthis other, Mons SIon: folikewife upon the Temple of Hoxzur, and others. Bur if thefe Mottoes (which are not of the body of the $D$ rvife) doe not pleafe, we may diftinguin the Temples (which are the hardeft figures to know) by the inage of that ged or goddefle to whom they are dedicated: And if we feare to overcbarss orperplex the body of the Devife with the portraicts of Deiiizs, we may decipher them, by the charaters which are attributed, or by fuch creatures as were anciently facrificedunto thein. Thus we know the Temple of fanus by the keyes, that of 7 upiter by an Eagle, and that of Saturne by a Sytbe.

And this is the onely meanes, that I approve for the diftinction of Temples; As for the infription of the proper name,this ufage was not allowable, but in thofetimes when painting was yet fo grofie, that the figures of Animals needed the name of the kind to
be knowneby, as is yet to be feene upon fome old Tapeftries and Pictures.

When we ufe a Motto without a Figure, we ought not to call it the foule of a Devife, but rather a facetious conceipt, a witty faying, a Proverb, 2 Sentence ; as thofe pretty conceipts, dictions or fentences, which Hipparchus, the leven Wife men of Greece, and many other Morall Philofophers bave delivered. In lite manner may we make a Pi民ture without a Motto, as a Finus with fhackles at her fect, a fupiter with three eyes, a fanus with two faces, and fuch like Caprichio's, however fignifying fomething; in which cafe we doe not fay we have made abody without a foul, but rather a picture, a phanfie, or fuch like thing.

Of nectffity the Devife mult have one part cleere, and the other obfcure, fothat it be without contrdiction: For as in Poetry, efpecially Comicall, which is intended for all forts of people, the greater part of the Auditors doe eafily judge it to be Verfe, and not Profe ; They know very well the found and cadence of Rythmes, and the fenfe of fome parts of the Poeme, which pleafeth them moft: But as for the conceited itnaginations of the Poet, the high-towring conceptions of his fancy, the defcription of palfions, the force of reatoning, the choice of termes, and the fubtility of elocution : thefe are not difcovered, but onely to the eyes and eares of the more learned O ators, and Poets acquainted with that kind of $D$ amatick Poeme. In like manner the Author of a Devife is not ebliged to frame it fo, that it be underfood by every one equally; it fhill fuffice that the more fimple doe know the body of the Devife, and that they cleerly difcerne it to be the figure of a Fifh, Bird, Horfe, Tree, Temple, Bridge, or fuch like thing, either naturall or artificisll, for that onely is capable of contenting their fight; whillt the learned feaft their uiderftandings with the confideration of the propriety of the creatures repretented, and of the ufage of the things artificiall, untill they hove fotind out the true fubject of the compacion, and difcovered the Authors defigne, whofe invention and fubtility they will doub:lefe commend.

The body of a $D$ evile isborrowed either from Nature or Art, or from Events: From Nature you may take tame or wild beafts, birds and Fines: from Ait you may borrow the inftruments of
all kinds of Aits, Vcffells, Obelifques, Triumphant Arcks, Sepulchers, Mechanicall tooles, and all that depends on the hand of man. The bodies whichare taken from Art are not (in this Authors opinion) fo beautifull, nor alwaies and in every part fo intelligible, as thofe which are borrowed from Nature, who (to fay truth) is Mother and Miftreffe of all things, befides her jurifdiction comprehends all forts of fubjects, and yeelds us a larger feild of inventions. As for events, they are divided into fabulous and hiftoricall : from the fable we derive all the fictions of Poers, the Pegafus, Argus, Tantalss, the Rivers of Hell, Bellerophon, the garden of Hefperides, and other imaginations of fabulcus antiquity: And from Hiltory we borrow the figures which depend upon the ordinarce or inftitution of man, as the Temple of Honowr, the Temple of Diana at Ephefus, the Temple of Faith, the head of a flave with his hat on, King Hierons dog, who threw himflle into the fire after the death of his Matter, and fuch like figures. Ludovico Dominichi confents in opinion with Pauius fovius in every thing.

See here the precepts which I have learnt from thefe worthy Seamen ; difdaine not to fear alfo the Arguments of our Pilot, and by the way examine the reafons of fome other Authors, as of Pa lazzi, Fraftaglato 1stronato, Alexandro Farra, Aruigio, and others, to the end that you may the more freely refolve to follow the Rules, which are moft neceffary to the perfection of the Art of Devifes.

## Chap. XIII. The opinion of Bargagli.

ADevife ought to be almof like Poffie, or rather as a thing nobly vulgar, in fuch fort that it may be underfood without difficulty and with delight, not only by the learned, but alfo by all thofe, who (befides a good comon underftanding) have moreover the knowledge as well of things naturall as artificiall, and of the languages which weufe in the Motto; It importeth not much if Idiots or grofe Ignoramufes doe not at all conceive them, fince fuch dainties are not intended for vulgar appetites.

Nevertheleffe Devifes ought not to be taken out of thofe Arts or
liberall Sciences, whereof the entire knowledge is referved to the Profeffors or Artizans themfelves, unleffe we be obliged for complacence, to frame a Devife in thebehalf of one that hath a particular knowledge of the Art or Science, from whence the Devife fhould be taken.

Moreover, the learned Bargagliis of opinion, that the Comparifon or fimilitude is fo neceflary to a $D$ evife, that the mind cannot joy or take delight therein, it the Similitudes be wanting.

Rufcelli in his 6. Article alfo admits the Comparifon as a pert, wherein confifts the fubtilty of a perfect Devife, for queItionlefle no feemly ones can be invented without comprehending any Comparifon; But Intend here to propound the rules and modell of a Devife compleated in all points.

And to that end we firft banifh the humane figure from this requifite comparifon, for as much as we cannot malie a proper comparifon of a man, with a man, but it mult be taken from things different either in the Genus or the flecies. Iknow it well, that many have made ufe of the figures of Pagan Gods, when they have taken the fabject of their Devifes out of the Fable, and truely thofe figures doe reafonable wel to adorne the body of a Devife; yet tis better not to ufe them at all, according to the reafons of Bargagli. He faith then that a man of Judgment, will never greand the conccipt of a true and folid thing upon that which is parely feigned and imaginary; feeing that we pretend with fo much ardour, to eftablifh the conceptions of our braine, and to make thern paffe for approved in all mens opinions.

Hadds turther, that it muft be known and exprefed as wel by figures as by words, and the figures ought to be takenfor a proof of the conception, which is formed upon the relation or fimilitude of a certaine and true quality, which they $h$ ve in themflves; Befide s that the ebjict ot the Derife is to treat onely of things unfeigned, to clear and prove them; And becme the moft noble conceptions of humane wit are of that nature, we onght to exclude all fictions, and never to make ufe of them in Devifes. Rufo celli, Contile, André Palazzi, and Alexantro Farra, adonit of no humane figure, unleffe it be fabulous, monftrous or hiftoricall, becaufe otherwife they beleeve, that a Devife would refemble the Medall.
Some other Doctors do not think fit that the Devife be deprived
of fo noble and excellent a thing, as the figure of a man, in favour whereof they urge many feeming reafons, which I mit the more willingly, bscaufe Idoe not intend to perfwade others to that which Iapprove not my felf.

Fraftaglato Intronato permits it in cafe of neceffity, whereto we may anfwer, that things done by neceffity, feldome or never fucceed well, befides it happens rarely, that a Devife receives any conftraint, having a field of fo great extent, as all thofe things which Art and Nature doe sfford.
Tis true, Arifotle proves, that we may take comparifons from the humanebody, but he doth not affirme them to be equally good with thofe which are borrowed elfewhere. The very Poets iake no Comparifons from the fame Species, folongas fancy affords them others of a different. So the Author that frameth a Devife, ought to ground it upon the mult noble and fure $B$ afis of Comparifon, that canbe taken from a different $S$ Pecies.
The Author which compiled a difcourfe at Rome upon the Devife of the Academicks, called Renovati, is yot more rigorous, in not admitting of any part of humane body, nor hands, nor armes, nor heart, but furely that is too great a fcruple: For what grace can a hammerffriking uponan Anvile have, unleffe a hand bebeftowed upon it? And how can we reprefent the winds ( which ferve for bodies of very excellent Devifes) if we be not permitted to adde a head to them? it were indeed to incur a great inconvenience, wherin a certainPedant vaunting a skil in thatMyItery, as being profeffor of the 2 beft languages in the world, and reputed to have fo prodigious a memory, that it confumed all his judgement, as the Epitaph doth witneffe, which is already prepared for him before his death. This univerfall Doctor then, caufing a Devife to be drawn by an excellent Limmer (who underftood as little the art of making them, as theend wherto they tended) difcovered unto him his intent to have the body of a Devife drawn, wherof the Moto was, Quo Fiante Coruscant, \& the figure was burming coais upon a Chafing-difh : And becuufe it wanted the blowing of wind, (for the expreffion of which he was much troubled) the Painter propofed the adding of a little face, as it is ufuall in fuch cafes. Apagè, Apagè, faid this great Devifor, I will haveno humaneface; the Artificer in a merry and joviall humour, anfwered him fmilingly; Sir, I know, no way more fit to reprefent your

## Bules

intention, unlefie you apply untoit, the other part of the body that hath no face, and yet makes wind; At laft he concluded to fet a paire of bellowes untoit. Is not that (I pray) a figure of a goodly apparence and proportionate to a gallant and magnaninous defigne? nor is it for that thefe figures have no relation one with another, nor are derived from the fame art of Kitchinry, (well knowne to the Vniverfities.) I give youthis example, to let you fee, that that man is oft-times deluded that ufeth too much fubtilty; And this paffage is the more credible, in regard I had it from the felf fame Artificer, who telling me of it, did then complaine, that the Doctor had not to that day paid him for his labour, according to his promife. I had not mentioned this conceit, if the fubject we handle had not engaged me to it. I could rehearfe a prank, no leffe unjuft, then the other ridiculous, but that I have already infinuated it under thetitle of $R u /$ celi''s s pinions, concerning the condition that ought to be obferved in appropriating to ones felf the $D$ evife of another Author: It is there where I have made mention of the Devife, which my late Uncle Robert Eftienne did invent in bonour of the Duke of Rofny, fince Duke of Suitly, grand Mafter of the Arrillery, by whom hee had the honour to be b. loved, it was then received with fo generall applaufe, that it was judged worthy to be eternized in Gold and braffe, and to fay truth, it was ftamped upon all the Ordnance that were caft at that time in the Arcenall, embroydred uponthe Officers Caffocks, and upon the ornaments of the fhops of Artillery: It is not poffible thercfore that this new Devifor fhould be ignorant as well of the name of the firf Mafter, as of the comonufe of this Devife, however by diflembling it, he did appropriate to himfilf the invention of it, and was fobold, as to give it as an eriginall, wholly and without alteration, to another Lord that had the fame command among the great Ofticers of that Crowne, and who in that Kingdome held the place of its rightfull poff. ffor.
Moito's are abfolutcly neceffary in a Devife, though fome Authors have held the contraty, for according to their opinion the Devife being a kind of Metaphor (which is in a maner nothing elle tut a Comprifon) it nceds but one fubject clanged into another ; But thefe Authors are deceived in this point, fince the figure of an Animal, plant, or fuchlike fubject, is of it felf indiffirent to the fignificstion of the particular qualities that the thing reprefented
may have ; In fo much that it ought to be dctermined by the Motto, to fome one of its qualities, that is to fay, to that, which the Author intends to attribute to the perfon, of whom hee makes the Devife. From thence it commeth that the greateft confufion or difficul:y in underftanding fome Devifes arifeth from the bodies being altogether naked and deftitute of words, which fhould difinguifh their different proprieties, whence the conception, fancy and invention of another may be juftly formed.

## Сhap. XIIII.

## The Principall Caufes compofing a Devife.

ADevife (as a fubject compofed of a body and a foule) ought to have his effentiall caufes : the materiall is no other, but the figure of the bodies, or the inftruments of thofe things, which are inferted in the Devife.

The formall caufe, which gives it life, is is the refemblance or comparifon, which (to expreffe the Authors meaning) occurres in the natuarll or artificiall properties of the figure.

The finall caufe, is the fignification or Comparifon underftood, by meanes whereof we expreffe more cleerly, with more efficacy and livelineffe, a rare and particular conception of wit. But here we mult oblerve, that thefe termes of Singuler and rare are due to the definition of Devife, for as much as a Devife ought not to be madeufe of for the expreflion of triviall or vulgar fancies, the invention being onely to declare vertuous thoughts or heroicall defignes with grace and fubtility ; And it is to the end that this kind of conceptions may be held worthy to fpring and grow in generous fouls by the power and efficacie which $D$ evifes have to ravilh and excite the moft noble fipirits, which way foever they comprehend them; and with fo mach the more eafe, by how much they foall difcover the rarity and gentilleffe of the Devife in the conception.
The efficient caufe, is the wit or underftanding, difpofed to know the relations, fimilitudes and conformities which meet in the things figured ; there being nothing in this world, but hath a con-
formity, refemblance or relation, with other, though the fubjects be more or lefle unlike.
It is not needfull to produce any other reafon for the formall caure of a Devife : becaure we doe not fay that the Motto is the forme, nor have we call'd it the Soule, as Paulus fovius and others have done; Seeing that as the proper and fubfantiall forme of a living Creature is the Soul, and not the breath, or tone of the voice, which he uttereth in token of his inward meaning, and to exprefle his affectionsor paffions: So is it very certaine that the refemblance or comparifon is the forme of a Devife, and by confequenceits life and foule. And the Motto is butas the breath, or tone of the voice, which declares the noture and propriety of the thing, whence the comparion is taken. Therefore we may fay that the motto (added to thefe foure caufes) is the Inftrumentall caufe, which is made ufe of, to difcover (by vertue of the words) the proper quality of the figure, and by dilcovering it to diftinguifh the other qualities, that have their being in it; In fo much as the Motto (confidered alone by it felfie) by no means makes a Devife, as the Commentatour upon forius would have it, who holds that a Devife may ba framed of a Motto without a body, and of a body without a Motto.

The end of a Devife (according to Ammirato, Contile, Aruigio, among the Academicks of Brefce, Toban, Andre' Palazzis, the Bolonian Doctor and Academico Rerovato) is nothing elfe but to exprefle covertly by meanes of figures and words a conception of humane wit. And fome of thefe Autho:s fay that it ought to be exprefied in fuch fort that it be intelligible to the learned, and hidden from the illiterate.

To this purpofe, I am refolved to make a fmall digreffion; for it feemes to me, that all Devifes (as to the facilty and undertanding of them) ought not to be handled in that manner. Panlus fovius and fome others doe diftinguifh them into Amorous and Heroick, and will, that under thete two kinds, divers Species be contained. And truly there is no doubt, but that there are as many forts of Devijes, as we have pafions and inclinations. Therefore it is needfull to diftinguifh th m , and to oblerve what we have already faid, Thas onely fome Devifes ought to be knowne and ine tili bibe to every one, and that others ought to be more obfeure or left common, according to the circumftances of time,
place, and perfons for whom they are made, as if they be for Tournaments, Mafques, or fuch like, I am of Rufcelli's opinion, that the Devife ought then to becleere and intelligible to all, and that the Motto may be in a vulgartongue, provided the words be well chofen, emphatick, or fignifrcative and briefe. The like may be faid of Amorous Devifes, unleffe the Author would have his intentions onely difcovered to his Miftreffe or particular friends, in which cafe the Devife may be made obfcure, and he that bears it may referve the expofition to himfelfe.

The morall Devifes, which are not made for any particular perfon, but onely for inftruction, ought to be fo contrived, that every one may receive fome profit by them : Not that I approve the Mottoes of thefe to bein a vulgar language, but I could with them to be taken cut of fome good well-knowne Author, and the leaft obfcure that can be met with; for if they be put in a vulgar tongue, for the forefaid reafon of Rufcelli, (viz.) to the end that every unlettered perfon may underftand them; that would deprive us of another benefit, which a moral Devife fhould bring with it, which is, to be underftood by the generality of men, and in that cafe, Itrangers (though learned) will not comprehend it. Therefore it is batter fome of the unlearned thould be deprived of the underftanding of your Devife, (by which alfo they would not be much edified) then that all the learned men of forraigne parts fhould be debarred from the knowledge of it. I know well that an Author may compofe Devifes of Love, Morality, or fuch like fubjects, wherewith to adorne the chimney-peeces, Clofets, or Galleries of his houfe, with intention to have them underfood by his Compatriots ; but this reafon hinders not, the Mottoes being in an univerfall language, becufe ftrangers (that fhall vifit you out of a curiofity to (ee rarities) will take as much pleafure in contemplating the acutenefle of your wit, as in beholding the magnificence of your buildings, and your countrymen will receive the fame contentment, and no leffe profit, when they hall be entertained by you with the expofition of the words.

We may fay as much of thofe $D$ evifes which ate made for Cornets, Enfignes, Standards and Guidons, in regard we doe not willingly beare armes at home, but rather make them known in forraigne parts, where we ought to be very free in making our corrrage appeare, and to dencunce fome kind of terrour by the gallant
dufigns of our Devifes. And for that which concerns the Devifes of Kings and all foveraigne Princes, it is of abfolute neceflity, that the Motto's (if intended for feemly \& profitable) be either in Latine or Grcek, to the end that the enterprizes or heroicall defignes of thofe Princes (whofe vertues are very exemplar to all pecple) maybe underfood by the generality, by making ufe of thofe ancient languages, which cannot receive any fuch alteration, as the vulgar ones doe, which (whilft the Academicks ftrive toreforme) the comon people doe dayly corrupt, by the confufion of ftrange Idiomes. And wee may with fo much the leffe difficulty, ufe thefe two Miftreffe tongucs, by how much it is moft certaine, that the body of a Devife, takeneither from nature or art, hath the fame proprieties and ufe in all Countries. I am alfo of opinion that we ought not to make ufe of any other language in fuchDevifes as are made in thefe dajes for the Coins or Stamps of Princes and Communities, fince they ferve inftead of reverfes of ancient Medalls, and are ftamped in lafting mettall, to lerveas tradition and hiftoricall memory to pofterity.

A pertect Devife (as we have already chewed) takes its effence from the Comparifon or Metaphor : thefe two figures of Rheterick are onely employed in difcounfe, to give fome light to thofe things, which of themfelves have none: and if they have any, to render it more perfpicuous and delightfull. Befides, they ferve to make themfelves intelligible, not onely to the learned, but to all indifferently, and even to thofe, whofe underftandings are not fo cleere-fighted as others, to conceive the nature and effence of things, and tis by this meanes, that thofe clouds are diffipated.

As for the efficient caufe of the Devife, I may fay, that the knowledge, the attaining of like fubjects, and the contormity or relation which is found amongीt divers things, may eafily be effected, by a Wit that bath great lights, as well of nature, as of ftudy or acquifition of Arts and Sciences, or that is but meanly exercifed in the propriety of many works and effects of nature.

Now the refemblances which meet in things, are either intrinfecall, occult, naturall and effentiall, or otherwife extrinfecall, manifeft,artificiall, knowne and accidentall.

Bargagli (confidering the comparifon, as an effentiall part of a Devife) doth not call thofe that are deprived of it by the name of Devijes, but conceits rather, or figurate fentences, in which rank
he placeth that of Charles the 5. of the two Pillars, with this Motto, Pius Uitra, and that of pens, with thefe words, His Ad 盾thera, asmuchastofay, that by the meanes of groat Loarning, we acquire as everlafting fame, becule he doth not perceive any comparifon in thefe: But this is to be fome what too igorous, and by fubjecting our felves wholly to that rule, we lofe many excellent conceptions of wit, which might by fomeother meanes be effected. For this reafon I grant that Devifes made by Comparifon or Metaphor sre the richeit and molt excellent. And accordingly we hall treat of them at large, yet wichout rejecting or condemning the others, when they are acute, gentile and magnanimous, and when they doe net trefpaffe againft the other generall Rules, approved by all Authors.

As for the Hieroglyphicks of the Egyptians, (which may be made ufe of in perfect Devifes) we mult have a care not to ufe them as fimply as the Egyptians did, forafmuch as from thofe fignifications of things, which are not proper or naturall, wee cannot draw any true fimilitude or comparifon; befides, they difcover not any intention or enterprife that they had, but onely fomething already done, as by the figure of a Hat, they would fhew, that they had enfrunchifed a flave, or fome other perfon, for a reward: Even fo by giving of an Oaken Crowne to a Soldier, they fignifitd that he had faved the life of a Citizen. Moreover, the greateft part of thefe Hicroglyphicks are grounded upon the ancient Cultomes and Ceremonies of their Religion, which is now (God be thanked) altogether abolinhed by the light of faith,or is at leaft known at this time, but to fome few perfons, whereas a Devife ought to be underfood by many. I admit that fubjects taken from Hieroglyphicks, and confidered according to their nature, and not accorm ding to the inftitution of men, are proper for Devi/es. As if you confider a hat, as it is an inftrument invented to keep off the furne and raine, you confiderit purely according to its nature; but if you take it for a figure of liberty, you fuppofe then that either God or: man have already impofed this fignification upon it. Hence it commeth, that toarrive (with our Author) to the perfection of Devifes, and to expreffe the conceptions of our mind, there is ncthing fo proper, fogentile, fo powerfull, nor fo firituall, as thofe fimiltudes and relations, which we difcover, walking in the fps. cigus fields of the wonderluil fecrets of nature, and qualities of things. 3 salfo of the ormer off A A of fur intentione in find therein
the correfpondencie of qualities naturall, and ufage of things artificiall, with your own thoughts; and herein confilteth as well all the grace of a Devife, as the skill of him that makes it.

> Снар. XV.

Of Reverfes of Medalls, and the difference between them $\mathcal{G} D$ Devifes.

IN the Reverfes of Medalls, we may make ufe of Hieroglyphicks, Fables, Hiftories, and Cuftomes of the Ancients, becaufe Medalls are only made to eternize, by the means of the metals of Gold, Silver, braffe and copper, the memory of the heroick Arts of Emperors, Kings, Commonwealths, Siates, and fuch illuftruous and praife-worthy perfons, as well by their own vertue, as by the eminencie of their quality; Therefore I am of opision, that fome of thofe things may be permitted in the Devifes of Coines or Itampes, which have agreat affinity with Medals, and wherein we ought not to be fo fcrupulous, as in other Devifes, norfo much fubject our felves to the rules of the Italians, who have not written of the Devifes of Stampes or Counters, the ufe whereof is elfewhere leffe knowne then in France: And tis perhaps for that reafon that my deceafed Uncle Robert Eftienne (who in histime was much efteemed for the invention of Devijes) was not alwaies fo ftrif an obferver of their rules. And yet for the Reverfe of Medalls, in rejecting the Fable and fome other inventions of the Ancients, we may make ufe of the things themfelves, and there is no doubt, but they would fucceed far beiter.

A Devife differs from a Medall or Reverfe, in this, that the Dewife is a declaration of the thoughts by way of Comparifon, taken trom the propriety of naturall or artificiall things; whereas a Reverfe is generally, but a memoriall of things which are Gone and paft, evidenced by figuacs, which fimply reprefent the fact, though there be fome, which difcover the quality of the wit : Befles, the Devide is to demonfrate a rare and particular intent, not yet effected; But ti:e Reverfe is to prefervethe memory of fome heroick act atcheived by him, whofe picture is on the other fide: So that the Devife regards onely the future, and the Reverfe the time paft. And againe, a perfect Devije ought not to admit any divine or humane figure, be it fictitious or fabulous, bur in Reverfes both the one and the other may be received according to ancient





Driamus minū in modum beatus fuit, quod patriam firmul cumn regnoper ritann vedit.

$\mathcal{M}$ Multomelius, iuf fiuss, oft, vnum pro inultis, quam pro ono multos interive
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Talis priuatisf fit Imperator, quales fibi priuatos optat habere

$\therefore$ Honcfrus of Cóarrivinum cincrn feruare. quam mille hof fresoccildere.
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Nor doe I think that the fe rules bealtogether neceffary in the Devices of Coins, in reflect of the resemblances they have with Metals, for we fee many wherein the illustrious ACts of Kings are graven, and many others wherin the figures of fallegoes are made wife of to good purpofe; as the Derife which my decealed Uncle made, after the late King Henry the Great of France, had reduced the Duke of Savoy to reason: The Duke (who though e he had laid held of a good opportunity to durrell with the raid King diring the troubles of France, thereby to poflefe himider of the Marquifate of Salufes) canfed Cones or money to befamped, where there was a Centare trampling a Crowne Royal under his feet, with this word, Opportune, but done after, that invincible Monarch made him repent himflfe of his enterprize, and derided his foolifh prefumption, when he poured his Forces into his Country, and in an infant (forcing all his Townes) made himfelfe Matter of the whole Province, and conftrained the Duke to have recourfe to his marcy. After that glorious victory, to coun-ter-ballance the Devife of the Duke, my Uncle invented this for the tamps of the King about the yeare 1601. whereon we might fee a Hercules fubduing a Centare, with this word, Opportunics: And truly this kind of encountring of Devifesis handfome, and I beleeve Bargaglihimfelfe would approve it, for the differences that follow, they may eafily be admitted in the Devife of Coynes, to wit, that Hieroglyphicks have no place in Devices, but in Medalls: that in there the figures ought not to be named, as they are in others. And that in Devifes the words are absolutely neceffary, but not in Medalls.

Bargaglidcth not admit of any figures of Temples, Triumphant Arcks, or Amphitheaters, though they are effects of Ait. Nevertheleffe I am of opinion with Scipione Ammirato, that they are very gracefull in Devifes, when they are rightly applyed, and fo that the Temples be eafily knowne of themfelves, without need of bearing their names inferibed.

Contrary to the opinion of Ammirato and Contile, Bargagit would neither have Devises drawn from Hiltory, Events, nor Fabile: And Frafteglato concurs with Contile, fo that the application be made by companion or fimilitude, and that the History, Event or Fable be generally knowne: See Bargagli's ReadCons.

As forme (faith he) I can neither approve of the Fable, Events, nor Hiftory; I cannot beleave that another mans fancie can be perfectly exprefled by the proofe of a particular action, which perhaps hath never happened above once; Therefore I hold, that he mult draw it from thingsuniverfall of their owne nature, and from Arts, which are daily renewed, and which continue, even till they become immortall. Rhetoricians hold, that that proofe which is made by examples, is a very weak argument, as proceeding from particular things; whereas the Induction (which is but a collection, or heap of many like particulars) becomes as an univerfall nature, whereof the power is greater, and the grace more confpicuous. In matteralfo of Devifes, Hiforicallevents hold the place of an Example, but naturall qualities, and the ufage of things artificiall, Thall hold the place of Induction.

Tis true, this kind of perfect Devifes is the moft difficult to practice on, and therefore not a work for every common wit, nor forthofe, who (to avoid trouble) make ufe indifferently of all that comes into their fancie. And for conclufion of this controverfie, I a m ofopinion (with our Author) that we may draw very excellent conceptions, as well from Fables as from Hiftorie; but thofe that fhall be taken from Nature and Art. Shall come neereft to perfection.
Chap. XVI.

## Obfervations for Devifes, taken from anture and Art.

HAving already concluded, that the moft proper and fruitfull veyne of the world, from whence Devifes may be drawne, is from Nature and Art ; we mult oblerve, that there are two dangerous rocks, which (if not avoided) may eafily fhip-wack our little veffell.

1. Firt then, in expreffing our thoughts by fignes taken from Nature or Art, we mult take heed not to intermixe in the fame budy of a Devife, Naturall works with Artificiall, fince they have no conformity at all each with other, nor that we put in the fame body, divers Naturall things accumulated one upon another, nor divers Artificiall, which have no relation to each other. As for

## for Devtjes.

example, a Dolphin embracing ail Anchor, with thefe words, Festina Lente: This is a Devife, which trefpancthaginft that firt Maxime, befides other vifible defects that it hath, the Nonto requiring no figure, it being intelligible enough of it lelte, and making a compleat fentence, it needed no figure to expreffe ertirely the fenfe of the Author. Befides, thofewordsare too corrmon, and have been fo familiar in the mouth of Auguftus Cefar, that at this day they deferve not to be made ufe of in Devifes. But the greatelt fault that $B \operatorname{argag} l i f i n d s$ therein, is the conjunftion of 2 Dolphin with an anchor, whicia have no rclation to each other, for as mach as the Anchor (having no other ufe then to ftay Stips) cannot have any other refemblance with the Dolptin, or any other fifh, except with the Remora, which (they fay) is able to ltop a Ship. And yet tis not long fince a recent Author made ufe of it in a Devife almolt of a like defigne; whether it fucceed well, Irefer my felfe to thofe, whohaving feenit, are able to judge of the Copy, by the Originall, and of the effects of an ill patternc.

For example, of the unhindfomeneffe of crowding many naturall things together, I will onely intance the Devife of a Tortoife which hath wings, with this Motto of that meft excellent Peet, Hamiball Caro, Amor Addidit, Love bath added them. Is not this to compore a Cbymera, and forge to ones felfe a fantafticall monfter, by jopning in one body the nature of a bird to that of a beaft? Whence you may gather what abfurdity followeth the conjunction of naturall with naturall things, nor need you doubibut that the repugnancy of many artificiall things are noleffe infufier able.
2. You mult have a care, that (in plicing the figures of natura!! fubjects) you doe not deftroy their effentiall propertics, or that (for exprefling your conceptions) you doe not mare their proper quality, by abufing the ufe of them, and that you drag thean not as it were by the haire, wrefting or conftraining them to come to your defigne, afier the manner of that Author of a $D$ evife, where there is a Batt that luoks ft adily upon the Sunne, contrary to her nature, with this Mutto, Ad Insueta Feror, i.e. 1 force my felfe to an snaccuiomedibing. Doe you not tee in this example, that the compatifon is taken from a falfe quality, which this Authorattributes to th. Batt, who can by no meanes endure the rayes of the funne? Tis true, this kind of ial: fuppofition is per-
mitted to Poets, (who have more elbowroome, and whofe profeffion is to feigne and metamorphize at pleafure) but not to the Authors of Devifes, whoareobliged to be ftrict obfervers of the truth.

Here we muft alfo obforve, that it is lawfull to ufe the propriety of a naturall fubject, be it animal, plant, fruit, or other thing, according to the generall approbation or received opinion of ancient Authors, though the Modernes havelately difcovered it to be falle, becaule the comparifon which is grounded upon a quality, reputed true by the generality, though indeed it be falfe, fhall be more univerfally received, and better underfood, then if it were grounded upon a true property, which nevertheleffe were held falfe, and which were altogether unknowne to the greater part of the learned. Thus the holy Fathers did ufe the comparifon of the Phenix to prove the Refurrection of Jefus Chrift. We may alfo appropriate to this fenfe, the quality of the Beare, who (according to the generall opinion) brings forth her young ones like a lump of fleh, without forme or diftinction of members, untill with long licking, Therenders them perfect and polilhed; though fobannes Bodinus hat th lately proved the contrary in his Hiftoricall Treatife. But for all that, it is not lawfoll to make ufe of it, according to the known ruth, without citing the Author.

All that we have now faid touching figures, borrowed from Naiure, ought alfo to be underfood of thofe which are taken from Art ; And we mult take heed never to alter the proper afe of inftruments, nor of fuch like things; As he that for a Devife caufed a yoke to be reprefented with this word SuAve. For although Jefus Chrilt faid, that his yoke was fweet, Jugum Meum SuAVE, it doth not follow that the yoke fignifies Empire or command, unleffe it be in a Parabolicall fenfe, as that which our Saviour then ufed, and whereof the ulage is much different from a Devief, for that this Comparifon is taken, contrary to the propristy of that inftrument, for no bealt that hath born the yokedid cver finde it fweet, but rather fowre, troublefome and ponderous.

As for the manner of drawing Comparifons from Arts, to the end to make animpreffion or tryall of fome cenception of our wit, we ought to ake the fimilitudefrom fubjects, by drawing it, notfrom the sccidentsor detcets which are in them, but rather

## for Devifes.

from the effentiall quality, which puts them alwaies in ufe, or by which they receive mof commendation: we muftalfo have a care, if there be fundry figures of Art, that they relate to each other, and tend to the fame end.
We muft not onely avoid the ufing of a figure againft its owne nature, as the Bat looking feadily upon the Sumne, but alfo the attributing unto the figure any quality, but what is proper unto it, though by chance it may fometimes fo happen, and feem probable. Nevertheleffe we may draw comparifons from qualities, which are accidentall to naturall fubjects, fo that they difcover themfelves by a like naturall, ordinary and known way, as the talking or prating of a Parrot, who Arives to feak in imitation of men, which property is but an accident, whereof nevertheleffe we may make ufe in Devifes, as of a knowne and true thing. Thelike is to be practiced in making ufe of inftruments, in fuch fort as alwaies to have regard to their proper ufe : And tis againft this Maxime that Contile hath erred, who inferted a Ship arrived in a haven between Rocks with this Moto, Labore Et Virrate, confidering the Ship, not having power to come to the haven of her felf, needed fome other meanes to conduct her thither.

For the cleernefle of the Comparifon, as the Mataphors ought net to be taken from things too much removed, or which are lefle preceptible, then the fubject which we would have to be made knowne by them : So the Comparifons ought to bedrawne from things that are cleere and intelligible, becaufe the Devife is onely invented todifcover \& explicate the intention of the Author, or of him for whem it is made, in the beft and moft efficacious manner that may be.
Moreover, it is to be noted, that thofe things are fomtimes ufed, which have no correfpondence with the conceptions of the mind, as if we had a defigne to expreffe the care and sffection that a Gallant Captain fhould havefor the fafety and confervation of his Prince a Turtle dove would be figured, becaufe naturall love obligeth that bird never to part from her company. A gentleman that would teftify that he could not live, without being conjoyned to a Lady whom he fued in the way of marriage, madeufe in his Devife of a Snake, with this Moto, Aut Jungi Aut Mori, Eeither to be joyned or die, becaufe the nature of that Serpent is to
an accident, it is nevertheleffe expedient to know, that for the perfection of a $D$ evife, more then three figures mult not be inferted, unleffe all of them relate to one and the fame $\beta$ pecies, and be of the fame nature and quality; fuch is that $D e v i f e$ where we fee an Elephant and a flock of cheep, with this Motto, Infestus Infestis, i. e.offenfive to the offending: For as much as all the individuals which make up the flock are onely inferted to fhew the proper nature of the whole Species, and to expreffe the naturall implicity of that creature. See here my particular opinion againt that of Bargagli, who doth not regard the number of figures, fo they have tome relation to each other, and ferve to the comparifon: He holds alfo, that that Devife (whereot the body is compofed of three figures, neceflary to the comparifon) hath a better apparence, then that where there is oneiy one ; befides that; it is more difficult to appropriate the quality of divers bodies to one onely foule, then to animate one fole body by one Motto.

Bargaglirejects the opinion of thofe, who would have the bo: dy of a Devife not to beotherwife reprefented then in black and white, and laith, that this practice is no where admittable, but in Deferts, where we can neither find colours, nor workman of ability to draw them otherwife; therefore this Author allowes of all forts of colours in Devifes.

As formy part, I Thould be of his opinion, as to thofe Devifes which are for Tilmes, Tournaments and Máques, for Enfignes and Cornets; but for thoferhat are tobe applyed to Coines, or other fubjects of metall or flone, which are calt, molten, coyned or carved, colours are utterly to be rejected, otherwife we mult make no Devifes, whereof the body can be comprehended or knowne withomt the itelp of colours. For to fay truth, an abrolute Prince (who hath been long Mafter of a Devife) will not only difpofe it upon embroidered Caflaques, upon Chmney-pieces or Cabinets, but alfo upon his Coynes, Marble-ftones, Braffe, and other metals. Moreover, when colours are not requifite, nothing can hinder the inferting of Devifes in all convenisnt places.

We have already fecken of thofe ormaments, which we utterly reject in Devijes, unleffe they be hands, that hold fome kind of thing according to cuftome, or humane faces, to reprefent the winds, which would otherwife be very hard to decipher.

## Chap. XVII.

## Of Mottoes.

THe Motto ferveth for no other thing, but for a kind of Minifter, interpreter, or neceffary inftrument, to bring in the Comparifon and to difcover the quality and propriety of the figure, in fo much as the ufe of it, is in refpect of this Art, what Speech is to the nature of man, to expreffe his thoughts.

Three things are to be confidered in the Motto; What it ought fimply to be towards the proper figares of the Devife: What it ought to be in extracting the quinreffence, and demonftrating the naturall or artificiall preprieties of the figure: And daftly, what it muft be in regard of it felfe.

According to the opinion of Rwfelli and of many others, whom I find to be guided by reafon in this point, no figure of the body ought to be named in the Motto; yet fomtimes tis lawfull to name fome part of the body, as in that Devife where there is a Ship with Sailes and oares without any gale of wind, with this Motto, Arripe Remos, whercof the laft term is neceffary, to give to underftand, that when the one fails, we may make ufe of the other, that is to fay, of the oares. We may alfo name that part of the bo$d y$, which is hidden in the figure, $\&$ which the Pencill cannot expreffe, as the Spring of a Gun, or Watch, the Shaft of a Millwheel, the Axis upon which the Spheare of the world moves, and fo of divers others, which we mult ufe with difcretion.

Alfo we mult not make ufe of thofe termes, which demonftrate or decipher the figures, that induce the readers infpection: It is therefore requifite that we avoid fome certaine ufeleffe words, which derogate much from the grace and neatneffe of a Devife, in which namber are, Hic, Hinc, Hoc Pacto, Qui, Qux, Hoc, Sic, \&c. efpecially when they are inferted for the illuftration of the Comparifon, fince the Reader (if not blinded withignorance) may behold the figares, call to mind their actions and difcover their application, without the help of thefe demonftrative termes.

Though a $D$ evife may be call ${ }^{2}$ a Metaphor in fome kind, and
that this figure of Rhetorick is requifite thereunto, yet is there a difference between fpeaking by Metaphor and fpeaking by meanes of a Devife, becaufe in fpeaking by a Metaphor you demonftrate the thing, which you intend to fignify, by the words onely, when as in difcourfing by Devifes, you explicate the matter partly by words and partly by figures. Whereupon have a care that the expreffion of the quality of the figure, which is done by the Motto, retaine nothing Metaphoricall, but that it be altogether proper and pure, to the end you doe not incurre the reproach of heaping Metaphor upon Mictaphor no more in matter of Devife, then in the compofition of veríe or Profe.

Now as Metaphorick and tranfported termes alwaies appear to our underftandings with two fignifications, whereof the one is the proper and the other the ftrange, externe and borrowed, by meants of the Similitude, which it hath in comon with the firft: Even fomay we fay, that Devifes prefent themfelves to our underftandings with two fignifications; the one is, the naturall quality, or the ufage of the thing reprefented by the figure; and the other is, the meaning of the Author. Now to come to the apprehenfion of the Devifc, we mult abandon the firft fignification, and difcover the fecond, by means of the refemblance, which the quality or ulage of the thing figured hath with the concepaion of the Authorsfancie. To prove that the Motto cught alwayes to fpeak properly, and tobe taken in its fiff fignification, we thall onely need to infance the example of him, who caufed a ball of Chriftall tobereprefented with this Motto, INTus ETIN CuTE; i. e. mithin and upon the skin, where this word IN CUTE is altogether Metaphorick, Critall having neither skin, nor any thing on the furface anfwerable to a skin, therefore it had been more properto havefaid, Intus Et Extra, withinand without. Neverthelefle, we may with diferetion ufe a Metaphoricall word, when by long ne it is rended fo familiar, that we receive it no longer, astranfoosted from one fenfe to another, tut as proper to the fubject we intend. This is that condition which caufeth the phrafe of Petrark io be approved, when he faith, that he hath feenetwolights weeping, Vidi Lagrimar Que Due BE LumI ; For certainly Lights fhed no teares;and it would be withent ground, whofoever fhould attribute to a light the capacity of wetping, if that word [Lights] were not commonly re-
ceived to fignifie the two eyes of a man, in fuch like difcourfe.
We ought to forbeare the ufe of Synonima's, Connotatives, Epithets, and other Adjuncts, for feare left the Motto offend againit that brevity, which is requifiee thereto; and for the fame reafon, two Verbs are never to be admited to difcover the fame conception of mind, unleffe one doth not fufficiently expreffe the ufe or nature of the thing. Nor muft we make ufe of thofe kind of terms which Logicians call Abfracts and Abfolutes, vertue, vice, envy, mercy, nature, knowledge, felicity, art, and fuch like fubttantives, which ought rather to be exprefled and demonftrated by the nature and ufage of thofe things which are figured in the body of the Devife, and afford matter for the comparifon.
For expreffion of the propriety of figures, and the meaning of the Devife, it much importeth, the Motto to befubtile, and that the Reader may comprehend it with pleafure and perfpicuity,endeavoring to out-reach the propriety of the figure, and to fcrue into the very meaning of the Author ; For he that thould onely expreffe the nature or ufage of the figure, would not be capable of touching the fancy of the reader fo vigorouny, as to leave a plefant relin behind it, nor of producing thofe other admirable effects, which ought to accompany the undeiftanding of a perfect Devife. Againtt this particular, that Devije would much trefpaffe, which for its whole body fhould onely have a Diamond, and for Motto thefe 2 words, Macula Carens, becaufe this Motto would onely ferve to declare fimply the prerogative of this precious fone, for the knowledge whereof the reader needed notany fabeility or acutenefle of wit.

As for the fenfe of the Motto, thcugh it bath been handled before, yet my intent is to give you here the opinion of our Author, who holdeth, that the Motto ought not to be too intelligible, nor yet too obfcure, for as much as the firit exceffe would diminith much of the force, grace and quaintneffe of the Devife, and the latter defect would in ne wife difcover the defigne or meanirg of the Author; As in the Devife of the Sunne and a Sun-dyall, if there were but thefe words, Ni Aspiciatir, the Reader could not conceive, that it is the quality of the Sun, which lead's to the intention of the Author, therefore the word which is added unto it, Non Aspicitir, is moft proper and necefiry thercunto, becaufe it renders it more intellig:ble, (viz.) sunlefe the Sun
reflect on the Diall, the Diall is not regarded.
The words are inferted in the Devife either by Profopopacia ( $w^{\text {ch }}$ is a certain manner of fpeech ufed by Rhetoritians, very efficacious to move and ftrike the mind, by fuppofing that the words come from the verymouth of the things figured) or by introducing a third perfon to utter the words in forme of a Sentence, difcovering with acuteneffe of wit, the quality of the figure, which compofeth the body of the Devife. And as for the ufe of the Profopepaia, you need not feare, to caufe all kind of beafts, all mechanicall infruments and other things as well naturall as artificiall to fpeak, though they have no Principle, faculiy or organs proper to forme words; And it is in relpect that Devifes ought in fome fort to imitate Poetry, which doth not onely introduce brute bealts, but alfo frequently caufeth inanimate things to fpeak, for greater delight, to exprefle the fancy better, and to perfwade more powerfully. But for the introduction of the perfon who beares the Devife, to fpeak in the Motto, Bargagli forbids it, yet fome other Writers doe approve thereof; And for my own part, I think that the choice of this introduction depends upon the conceipts and difcretion of the Author.

The manner of drawing the conception out of a Devi/e, hath never any vigour or grace, when the words declare nothing of the quality of the body; And this is onely to be underfood of thofe figures which are either borrowed from nature or Art: As for thofe Deviles which are drawne from Events, they appertaine not to this Rule.

The comparifon derived from the quality of the figure, ought not to be expreffed in the Motto, otherwife it is to prove one obfcure thing by another, no leffe obcure then that; for as much as the propriety of the figure ought to ferve as a meanes to make the proof of a good conceipt. You will comprehend the practice of this Rule more cafily, by the defects of that Devife, where the words arr, Sic Divina Lux Mini, and for body, the figure of the hearb called Lotos, which hath the property of rifing out of the water, and of elevating it felf meafurably, to the Sunnes afcent above our Horizon, and of finking down with the fame proportion as that Planet declines towards fetting. The firft defect that I difcover in the Motto of this Devife, is, that it doth not in any fafhion explicate the property of the hearb, which not-
withfanding was neceflary, fince it could not be demonftrated by the figure, that this Plant is fubject to follow the morions and exaltation of the Sun, rifing and fetting. The 2 errour is in this terme (SIC) employed to reduce the Comparifon, which is not comprehended, bus by the operation of the undertanding, and not by means of the words. Bargagli finds a third defect in it, in that the words are uttered by the Authors owne mouth : But for my part I dare not condemn it in this point, fince I have not as yet met withany other Aurhor that hath difapproved it, but on the contrary, many able ones that bave thought it fit to beimitated. After fo exact a cenfure, the Critick was obliged to reforme that example, and to propofe unto us the fame Devife without fault, fubltituting in place of the precedent Motto, thefe words following, Per Te Mergo Et Emergo, i. by thee linkand by thee $1 /$ wim, where you may fee the detects repaired, and the qualities better expreffed.
The Motto's of Devifes are morefacile in the r.\& 3.perfon, then in the 2. But thofe alfo that can be taken bothin the one and in the other, are farre better, becaufe it feemes the 3 perfon hath fomthing more follid, fententious and gave, as the firt carries withit fomthing of morelife \& luftre, which difcovers the defign of the $D e v i f e$, and ftrikes the readers underfanding more fprighrfully, in that he feernes to fee and hear the thing it felfe, which ppakes by Profopopoja. But (to judge of this more exactly) the choice of perfons ought to be made rather accoruing to the occur. rences, and the quality and ufage of the thirgs figured, then in purfuance of the tenour of oar Rules.
Palazziis of opinion that the verbe may be hanfomly underItood in the Motto's of Devifes, nether is it unfeemly whentis expreffed, nor likewife when there are two, which ferve for a more clecrdemonitration; the wholedepends upon the judgment and dexterity of the Author, and the occafion of it ought to bo taken from the quality of the figures, and the propriety of the language ufed therein.

Amongft all the moods of verbs, which we may ufe indifferently, as well as the tenfes. The Indicative or demonflrative mood is the moft proper for a Devife, the Imperative is fomtimes ufed to very good pur pofe : But the Optative, Subjunctive and Infinituve have neither certainty or conftancy enough to expreffe our

The Motto's that are formed byway of interrogation, have ordinarily more vigour, then thofe which containe an affirmative propofition.

As for Adverbs, they may have place therein, to the number of two, but the negatives are moft becomming; as in the Devife of the Flame, $N$ uncuam Deorsum, never downarards. Rufcelli doth not approve of the Motto that is fimply negative, as for the full Moone, Non Semper Eadem, foe is never the fame. A Motto may alfo be negative and affirmative both together, as Jactor, Non Mergor, 1 am tofd, but not drown운 fpeaking of a Gourd, or a bottle made of the emptied rind thereof, which fwimmes on the water.

But fetting apart thefe triviall fearches into, and conditions of Motto's, let us refume the manner of drawing with dexterity, by vertue of the Motto the propriety \& ufage of the body of a Devife: Ale.xandrè Farra and Bartbelemy Taëgio confent, that if the words doe but fimple expreffe the nature of the thing reprefented in the Devife, they refemble thofe perfons, whofe lives being deprived of the intellectuall faculty, remaine buried (as it were) in corporeall fences, yet there are many Authors that have not taken notice of that defect in Motto's,no, not $P$. Fovius himfelf,as Farra obfervech, though other wife, he deferves to be acknowledged for the Mafter of Devifes, fince he was the firf that treated of them : For amongt other Devifes which he hath made, that of Alviano hath this great error, where an Unicorne is feene, touching the water of a fountaine, and about him many venemous beafts, with this Motto, Venena Peilo, 1 dijpelluenome. And this is that Motto, which hath not the principall condition of a Devile, that is tofay, that it hould have fomething more mifterious.

In the third place, we confider the Motto of a Devije fimply in it felfe, and for that refpect the words ought to be very brief, fubtile and energeticall: We are therefore to reject thofe words which are long, languifhing, drayling and vulgar, to the end, that the Motto received by the ear, nay give a fmart and pleafing touch to the underftanding of him that heares or fees it.

But as to the brevity of the words, the number cannot eafily be prefcribed, becaufe that depends upon the Judgment of the Author, and upon the fubject which he treats of, and intends to un-
fold,yet we may fay that the Motto may receive 4 . or 5 . words, and likewife a whole verfe, according to the opinion of $B$ argagli; But according to Rufcelii, Devifes are fo much the more removed from perfection, by how muckite Trotto exceeds the number of 3 words, unleffe the Authority of a great Poet, or the excellency of a rare and happy conceipt give you leave to make ufe of an Himiftick or whole veric. The number of the words is then jut and precife (according to our Author) when there is notiung fer- \%bounding in the Moto, nor of too inuch reftraint, and when all concurrs to the undertanding of the Devife; yet fo, as that we are fometimes permitted to enlarge it to giveagrater grace and quaintneffe to the difcourfe; But in a word, the greateft feight and fubtility of this Art confifts in the beeviry of the words.
The order or feituation of the terms is alfo confiderable, becaufe there are fome that are morefutable in one place, then in another, as well for the fence, as for the cadence, and the fewer words you employ, the more carefull mult you be to choofe them pure, noble, acute and gracetuil: To bring them to that perfection, tis good to communicate them to your friends, and to make many reflections both of your eyes and mind thereon, and not to be fernpulous in altering that Motto, which you have found to be good at other times, when you meet with a better ; This is that reformation which is practiced by the greateft Poets, Oratours, and all the Mafters in this Art, who are not Idolaters of their owne conceptions.

## Chap. XVIII.

## Of what language the Motto's ought to be.

THe opinion of Authors upon the choice of the language whwe ought to make ufe of in the Motto's of Devifes are very different:for fome hold, that the langugge moft proper for that purpofe, is that whick is moft arcient, others prefer that which flouriheth in the greate fl number of Authors, and which hath moft authority, and fome others attribute that property to the language, which is moft gentrally undertood inall Countries, and is moft in ufe among rare witts. Contile commends the Spanif songue above
all others for love matters', the $T u$ cane for pleafant and conceited Motto's, the German for heroick and grave ones, the Greek for fictions, and laftly the Latine for all forts of Motto's, efpecially for the ferious and majefticall. But the opinion of Bargagli (who condemnes not the Judgments of others, though they be not grounded upon any reafon or folid authority) is very particular on this fubject, and admitts the ufe of all thofe Idiomes; For faith he, that concife Motto which we aime $a^{\circ}$ t, is to be taken out of that Language, where we meet with the beft words, the graveft fayings, the nobleft proverbes or moft proper termes to declare the quality of the ehing reprefented in the figure; fo that the Motto (in whefoever language it be expreffed) docimmediately Atrike the mird of him that reads or heares it, provided that the words which we borrow from one language bedefective in another, and that they have more energie and fignification in that language then in any other, which vertue in words may be found out by the traduction or verfion of them. Yet I would not have an unknowne language admitted, nor one that is much removed from ordinary ufe, as the Perfian, Turkib, Mu/covian, Polonian and the like, but rather the Latine which is received through all the world, without adding the verfion in any other language, becaufe (arcording to the opinion of Bargagli) to exprefle one fancy by a multitude of words in the fame Devife, is infupportable.
But for my part I cannot abfolutely reject any of thefe opinions, onely I find it molt proper to follow the moft common, which is that of the La:ine, fince that tiu ly is the languzge which is molt knowne, molt pleafant, moft encrgique, ard molt authentick, of any other in all Europe. This Elogy which we give the Latine, oughe not to lefen the efteem, which we are to hive of the Greek, which is much more ancient, rich, and fignificative; And indeed we may ufe at fredy, when orcafion prefents it felfe, and yet more rarely, becaufe it is not fo familiar, nor fo generally underitood as the Latineis. Beffides that as Bargagli defircs the bodies of Devifes to bedrawne from Nature and Art, becaufe their qualities and ufages are the fame every where, and no waies fubject to sharg: So I could with that the Motto's thould betakenfrom the Greek or Latine, in regard they are the Miftreffe-tongues, which are beft underftood by the learned, and generally of all men, and which can hereafter receive no more altetation, fince they

## of Devifes.

arrived to the comble of their perfection with the Roman Empire.

I commend much (with our Author) the ufe of Rhetoricall figures in $M$ Morto's, as thofe words which Counterpoint one ancther, which fall in a like caderce, which erd in a like termination, and which carry a like tone, though they have a difierent fignification, and fo of others : For all thefe ornaments of difcourfe and waies of fpeaking, do as much betutifie and illuftrate Devifes, as Orations, fo that on the other fide they retwine the brevity required, and no metaphoricallterme, according to the Rule which we have already prcfcribed.
Thofe Mottoes which are drawne from ancient Authors have more grace, more vertue and authority, then thofe which we our felves invent; And yet there are fome moderne ones, who have made us fee, that the vivacity of their wit hath not been incapable of inventing and producing fome themfelves.
For borrowing from the Ancients, we muft have a care that the Motto's be not fo maimed, as to leave an effertiall part of the fubject to be underftood or divined at, as if all the world werc obliged to know punctually the whole Author, from whence the Motto is taken: This defect is noted in that Devife where there is a Comet in the midft of many fars with this Motte, Inter Omnes. For the Author of the Devife who had a Miftreffe called $\ddagger$ ulia Gonzaga, pretended that the fubtility of the Devife conGifted in the fequele of thefe words of $O$ vid,
-Micat Inter Omnes Juifum Sydas, the fuliain far out-Gines the reff,
fo that if fome words of the authority muft be omitted, to cenferve brevity in the Motto, 'twould be better tocut them of in the head then in the taile, that is to fay, that the latter words fhould be rather inferted then the precedent, here's anexs:nplecf $i$. A gentie gale of wind blowing a fire, with this Motto, Grandior Necat. Which is the end of one of ouids verfes,

Lenis Aift flammas, Grandior Alira NeCAT, An eafre minde souribeth the fire, but a greater dofroyes it.
Now though I commend the dexterity of him that tales his Notio from fome famcus Author, yet I cannot approve the im-

Fudency of fome Modernes who make ufe of the fame Motto, whichanother Author of a Devife hath invented, becaufe that is but to propore alwaies one and the fame thing, though the body of the Devife be changed, whereas in borrowing the Mottofrom an encient Author, you quite change the nature of it, by appropriating it to the body of your Devife. We mult not likewifeaccufe him of theft, that maties ufe of the fame body, which ancther hath heretofore employed, fo that the conception be diferent; becaufan Animal, plant, inftrument or other thing whichisreprefrited in the body, may be dive ely confidered in their qualities and fundry ufes, whereof every one may freely make ufe, and apply them to his intention.
It is not neceffary that the fenfe be altogether compleated in the Motto, for it ought to give occafion of fome kind of Eudy to the Reader. From thence it commeth that in the Devife of the fifh, which the Italians call Muscarolo, the Latines Nawtilum, and the Greets :oumstive his Mote, Tutusfer Suprema PerIma, i.e. Sufe, both, at, top, and, tottome, woulibe more concife and fubtile, if the firlt word $T$ utus were cur off.

## Chap XIX.

From whence Devifes are to be árarone.

AsS for the places, from whencea perfect Devije may bedeawn, I amor Bargaglis opinion, who approves ir not to be taken from a like, a greater, a leff, a contrary, a like and unlike together, from a fable, hiftory, events, Hiurogly phicks, and other places recited by Ammirato, as fron the caufe to the effect, from the effect to the caufe, from the Geaiss to the $\beta$ pecies, \& from the $\beta$ ecies to the Genus, fince in a word Natne or At do afford fubj-cts enow from whence to derive the Comparifon, Similitude or Metaphor: Now thefe three figures of Rhetorick tave but the fame end in fubfance, whichis to demonftrate the correfponderce, conformicy and refemblanca, which is between two different fubjects, as the forme of a $D$ ceife confints princiosily in the finding out in the whole Univerfe naturall galiry, or the ufage of fome thing, which may correfpond with and relate unto the propriety of our thoughts, and

But if you askeme in particular a proper place, from whence you may frame a fubject of or matter for Devifes, I fhall refer you to good Authors, who have witten of the nature and propricty of Animals, Plants, Minerall, precious ftones, of the parts of heaven and earth, of the Lerrail Sciences, Mechanicall Arts and other fubjects as wall naturall as artificiall. Tis verily the reading of fuch Treatifes, which will difcover so y ou fome vertues or proprieties which will eafily relate to the intention of your Devifes. This field is fo ample, and the harveft fogreat, that of one onely fubject, be it naturall or artificiall, we may farme not onely one, 2, or 3 fancies, but alio aninfinite number of $D e v i f e s$, as may be proved by the quantity which are made upon the fubject of thofe great Luminaries, the Sun and Moon, and which are many times drawne fimply from their proper parts, fometimes from thefe which have a correfpondence one with another, and fometimes from the vertues and influences, which the fe Planets doe divernly diffufe upon all inferiour bodies. The like may be prackiced upon the fubjects of Arts and mechanicall inftruments.

For the chuice of the Mottoes diawne from arcient Aathors, we mult regard the words with gieat prodence, that they may be appropriated to our defigne, and that (being added to the body of our Devife) they may forme a firituall and delighifull compoSition.

But befidesthe rich maiters, which Art and Nature are able everlaftingly to furnihh us with, we may yet draw other from the Apologues and tables of cefope, from fentences, Proverbes and Maximes of the Sages and morail Philofophers. Yet oblerve that my mearing is not, that we hould borrow from fables, the fubject of the fable, as the unfeathered Crow of Horace; but that we make ufe of the proprieties of ghings which are met with in many places of fables, folikewife for matter of fentences, I think it were good we onely ufd thofe which are enriched with Comparifons, and tis for this advantage that Proverbes deferve tobe preferred.

I will nor fpeake here of the places where Devifes ought to be fixed, for though Rufcelli hath treated amply thereof, that choice depends upon the cuftome of every Country, and upon the will of thof for whom they are made. It fhall fuffice for me to oblerve, that they ferve gentilely for a Seale, and (as it feemes to me) they

## Rules

are much more gracefull then a mans proper Armes, efpecillly when the Devife is formed and grounded upon the fubject of Letters miffive or of a Seale, as that of one named $B$ lind in the Academic of the Lrtronati, where there is a Durt or Arrow, with this Motoo, Irrevocabile. Tistrua furtheleffe that Devifes of Seales are yet much more handfome, when they are framed from the Armes of thofe that ule them, for which purpore it is not neceflary to convert the whole Coat into Devifes, but it fufficeth to take an effentiall part of the Blazon, or that which may be reduced into a Devife.

Devifes may allobe put upon the Reverfe of Princes Coynes, and upon Stamps or Counters, as it is frequently ufed in France, in which cafe they are exempted from fome of the rigour of our Rules, and in refpect of their affinity with the Medali, there is no doubt but they may as well notifie an Heroick action of a Prince, as demonftrate a gallant intention to be put in execution.

They are alfo very feemly on Ladies Pictures, for as that Table reprefents the exteriour part of the body, or the features of the face : Even fo the Devife reprefents the inclinations of the perfon or vertues of the Lady. And as the inftruments proper to every profeffion, are the places befitting a $D e v i f e$, as the $S$ words, Pifols, and Head-peeces for men of Warre: So (me thinks) the moft proper place for a Ladies Devife, is her Looking-glaffe: For tis no leffe neceffary for a Lady to contemplate her interiours, and examine the motions of her foule, then to confider her vifage, and preferve it immaculate ; fince by the mirrour the onely fees the exteriour quality of her face, whereas by the Devife fhe difcovers the inclination of her mind, and excites her felfe more often to the exercife of vertue, or to the execution of fome noble defign, whereof the Devife renews the memory, as often as fhe beholds it. And it feemes this cuftome may be conformable to the intention of Pythagoras, who ordained that his Scholars hould often behold themfilves ina glaffe, to the end that confidering the beauty of their bodies, they might be equally carcfull to imbelifh their minds thereby to render them worthy of fo faire an abode. Ladies may alfo place their Derifes on their Coaches, Cabinets, Beds, Hangings, Cufhnets, Carcanets, and on other parts of their ornaments and apparell.

Though we have difapproved all kind of ornaments for the bo-
dies of Devifes, becaufe they may encomber the figure; and though we admit of an hand to hold fomething with greater grace, as we have already obferved; yet rotwithltanding I approve the inferting for ornament round about the Devife, bet ween the body and the edge, fome Garlands or Coronets, fome Chaplets and Bordures; For example, you may ufe a wreathe of Myrtle for Amorous, of Lawrell for Heroick, of Cypreffe for mourning Devifes, and fo for sthers; fo that within the branches we leave a certaine fpace for a commodious infertment of the words.

There are fome kind of Deviles, which can in no wife merit the title of Perfect; in which"number maybe the calumnious, which are forged againtt the principall end of a legitimate Devife; thofe that by a fimple Metaphordifcover the conceit of an accident already hapned, without demonftrating any vertuous propofition, or noble defigne to be put in execution;and thofe alfo whereof the conception is purcly of a thing prefent. We muft nevertheleffe except the Devifes of ftampes or Counters, which change every yeare, ether in declaring the heroick defigne, which the Prince intends that prefent ysare to put in execution, or rather prefenting to mens eyes, that which the fams Prince hath alrady accheived of more glory the yeare precedent.

As for Devifes of detration, though they fhould be formed according to the tenour of our Rules, they ought to be utterly rejected from the number of the parfect, fince the Author doth thereby neither propofe a vertuous fubject to imitate, nor any landable difigne to execute, befides they are oppuguant to the Eimologic of the word Devife, which in Italianfiznifies an enterprife, and in French, a defigne, without having refpect to the particular terme of Devife, whereof the lignification is of a greater extent in the French tongue, and by confequence affords a greater liberty or licence : For deriving it from this word (Devifer) which, (according to the example of Sicur du Bellcy) is taken to depaint the naturall difpoficion, or defcribe the conditions of any one, it might include the calumnious Devifes, as well as thote which regard the time prefent, palt, and future.

Devifes may with equall commodity as well relate to the name as to the Armes of the poffeffor, fo that thofe which allude to the name be not taken from fome fignification too much remote from common fenfe or ordinary ule; as the names whereof the Etimo-
logie is drawne from the Greeksor Hebrewes. And for a pregnans example take that of a noble Gentleman called Fort-Es$c u$, 1.e. Strong ficld, who caufed a Spartane Buckler or fhield to
 an ancient and famous faying of a Lacedemonizn mother to her fonne, when fhe delivered him a Shicld going to the warre, and is as much as to fay, Sonne, citber bring Gack this Bield, or be thou brought back thy folfe (deal) uponit. Againe, the great Confable Colonabeing rectived into the Academie of the Hu morifitin Rome, ufed tor his Devifean egge with drops of dew upon it, deawne up by the beames of the Sunne, with this Italian Motto, il Superfluo, expreffing thereby, that he was afuperflucus member of that great and famous Academie of the $H_{s-}$ moriffs, where you may obferve the body of this Devifc to allude well by the humour or moilture of the dew to the name of the Acadenie.

## Снар. XX.

Which are the beft Devifes, either thofewhich are taken from Nature, or thofe which are drawne from Art.

THough I approve thode Devifes whech are taken from Art, yet I fet agreater value upon thofe which are drawnefrom Na ture, becaufe this is as it were the Mifreffe of the other: Befides, Nature is fubject to no change, continuing fill the fame; whereas the infruments and effects of Art depend upon the fancy of men, and have divers ufages, according to times and new inventions, there being fome which are not knowne but in fome certaine Countries and in particular Townes onely. I conclude in a word that all the excellence and vertue whict we finde in things artificiall receive their origin from Nature, whereano the neerer Art approaches, fo much the mure prefect and exceltent are its operations. Whence it commeth that the bounty of naiure is knowne to be effentiall and folid: Contrary wife that of Art sppeares every day inconftant and accidentall to the fubject. Bargagli is pleafed to produce fome reafons to prove, that in matter of Devifes, things artificiall are more valuablethen fubjeces naturall. But
for my part, I judge the decifion of this Probleme no waisste. quifite to a Treatife of Devifes; fince all Authors agree, that we ferve our felves indifferently both from Art and nature, and likewife from both together extrame gentilely, though thofe which are feverally compoled of the one and the other are the choicelt. Bargagli will have it, that thofe Devifes which we draw from Artand Nature together are to be ranked in the number of artificiall;becaufe that part of nature, which is in the body of the Devife, were not able of it felfe to produce the effect, whereof the Comparifon is made, by meanes of which we endeavour to difcover our meaning ; for fo much as things take their denomination either from their end or from their forme.

Now for as much as Cypbers have fome affinity with Devifes, I have (fordifinctionfake, and to preferve the Reader fromfalling into the inconvenience of makeing a Cypher or a Rebus inftead of a Devife) here tranlated what Palazzi hath delivered upon this fubject.

## Chap. XXI.

## Of Cypbers according to Andreas Palazzi.

> Crphers are principally of 2 kinds, (to wit) of Actions and of words.

Cypbers of actions are fuch asthat of Tarquin Superbus, who made no cther Anfwere to the Embaffador fent on the behalfe of his foane, but onely in his prefence whipt of with a wand the heads of the highelt Poppies in his Garden, giving him to underftand, that the cheifeft Citizens fhould be fo dealt with.

Thofe of words: fome are fimply of words, as thofe which compofe a certaine Iargon, or gibberifh underftood by none but by themilves: Ot hers are made of words written: of thefe fome are called Cyphers, in refpect of the matter, with which we write, as with Sal-armoniack, juice of onions, jaice of Lemons, and many other fecrets, too long to recount, wherewith Leiters are written, fome of which are not legible but by help of the fire, others in water, others in a looking glaffe: others are called $C y$ -
phers in refpect of the matter upon which they are written, as $\mathrm{Hi}_{2}$ fticus did, who, having caufed a Slave to be haved, wrote uponthe skin of his head, then letting the haire grow till the witing could be nolonger difcerned, fent him to Arifragoras, duvertifing him to fhave the faid flive De-novo: And that alio which the Suartans made ufe of, which the Greeks call Scitala, as Plisterque writes in the life of Lifander. And likewife the invention of Damaratus, who wrot upon 2 Tablets and then covered them with wax, as Herodotus relates in the end of his 7 . bcoke : fometimes we call thofe things Cyphers, which are fhrowed under the oblcurity of words or mifterious fenfe, fuch are canigma's, as this of Samplon, Out of the eater came meat, and out of ibe frong iffred speetneffe. And that of Valla: We have not loft one of the animals that efcaped our bands, and we have loft thofe we did light upon. Here is another of facgues Torelly Fano. Vulcan begot me, Nature brought me into the world, the Airc and Time bave beenmy Nurfes, Minerva enfiructed me, my force is great, and proceeds from a mall Subftance, three things furnifo me with body and nouribmext. My Childaren are deftruttion, ire, ruine, and noie. By this fignifying the Artillery.

Finally, there are others alfo called Cypbers, in refpect of the matter whereof they are written, of which one kind are with figures and the other without. Cyphers without figures are thofe which in thefe daies Minifters of State, Princes and Kings doe make ufie of for writing :heir fecrets and negociations, according to their occafions, but principally in time of war; And there are Cypbers made by new and unknowne Characters, fuch as Cicero afed, every Character whereof fignified an entire word, as $P$. Critus and Valerius Probus doe teftify, like thofeufed by the Jurifconfults; when in ftead of digefts theymake ufe of a double ff.the detter L. for Law, this mark ff. for Paregraph, and fo of others.

## Chap. XXII.

 Of Sentences and Rebus.ASentenceis a plaine Conception or faying of fome partcular thing or perfon, to exprefe his paffion, the flate whercin he is, his defire, or fome certaine propofition, as the Spartan Buck:ler withthefe words, Aut Cum Hoc, Aut In Hoc, i.e. I will live with it, or die on it.
Thofe Devifes which are deprived of Comparifons are ro other but Sentences or figurate Conceptions, As this of Pennes, His Ad Ethera, i. e. I faall by tbem acquire an immortall renowne, or by themt I Ball raife my felfo cven to the beaveras.

Behold here the difference between figured Sentences and figured Ciphers. The Author makes no other ufe of the figures which he propofes, but to expreffe one or more names: And the figured fentences and conceptions ferve to demontrate the intention of the Auther, by the fignification of things inferted inthe figure, and by the words of the Motto, which explaine them, as in the before mentioned examples. Cypbers are but the works of in. feriour wits, unleffe fome able man doe fometimes make of them for his pleafure. Some have beendefirous to prefcribe Rules for them, fiying, that befides the figure, they fhould have fome words, which were to be a diftinct thing from the figure, and that from them, joyned to the quality of the thing, we fhould draw the cor:ception, as in thefe examples. Firft of a falfe Diamant with thefe words, Pour Quox M'as Tu Delaisse ? themeaning thereofbeing, Dy-Amant Faux, Pour Quoy M'as Tu Delaisse? Falfe lover, why baft thou forfaben me? 2. A Lady called Santa rejecting her fervant, he in a paffion expreffes himfelf with this figure 66. and thefe Italian words, Per Che Mr Fai Morire, which wordsadded to the figure 66. i.e. Se Santa Sei, conclude, Se Santa Ser, Per Che Mi Fai Morire? that is, if thoubeeft holy (as thy nameimports and the figure 66) why doft thoss kill me? Thirdly, Mary Queen of Scotland, Grandmother to HisMajefty that now is, wis ptefented by Francis the fecond of France, (then Suitor, but afterwards her
husband) with a rich Tablet of gold, in which was her piature exquifitely drawne, and which \}being befides enriched with many pretious ftones) had on the one fide a faire Amatift, and under it as fairean Adamant with this Motto, Amat-ista AdAmanrem, i.e. She loves her dearely-beloved, alluding alfo to the names of the ftones. For my part I hold thefe to be the famethings, or but little differing from the Rebus of Picardy.

## Chap. XXIII.

tto and if a Cont es bsing 1ut towithout to cacb 2ak:
ichowe the call a words. We may fee plemty of examples upon the Armes of the but if French Lords, Italians, Englifh and other Nations, but particutoiclate larly the Germans, whereof few are without them, wherein they reaft or make ufe of all forts of Animals, and plants, as alfo of humane arife a figures, as of wild men, Syrens and others. Molt draw them :fon, from fome part of their Armes, which they enrich with a Motto, propei- Devife-like, according to their Fancies. evife.
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