Library of
ROBERT GILL

CALIFORNIA BIRDS



Vol. 2, No. 4, 1971

CALIFORNIA BIRDS

Journal of California Field Ornithologists

Editors: Alan Baldridge, Virginia P. Johnson, Alan M. Craig, Jean T. Craig, Pierre Devillers, Joseph Greenberg, Clifford R. Lyons, Guy McCaskie, Thomas L. Rodgers

Membership Secretary: Thomas L. Taylor.

Volume 2, Number 4, 1971

CALIFORNIA
BIRDS

Volume 2, Number 4, 1971

THE CALIFORNIA RARITIES COMMITTEE

Because of their migratory behavior and high mobility, birds frequently appear in areas far outside their normal ranges. Essential to understanding the significance of these extra limital individuals is the accumulation of accurate data concerning their distribution and status (wild or non-wild).

In the last three decades there has been a substantial increase in the number of field observers. With the improvements in modern field identification techniques (Griscom, Modern Bird Study, 1945) much information concerning range extensions, population dynamics, incursions, and extra limital occurrences of birds has accumulated. Much of this data is based on sight records, but some on photographic evidence. The scientific acceptability of sight records, particularly of extra limital birds, has long perplexed ornithologists because such records usually lack substantiating documentation. Consequently, most serious ornithologists ignore such records. Some sight records are undoubtedly correct and, if properly documented, could constitute a valuable source of distributional data. Erroneous records appearing in print seriously confuse the problem and perpetuate the accumulation of inaccurate data. The problem most distributional workers face is differentiating the good from the bad.

The committee system of reviewing records has been in existence in Europe for more than a decade. Wallace (British Birds, 63:113-128, 1970) recently reviewed the activities of the British Birds Rarities Committee and concluded the system was working successfully. The Rarities Committee of the California Field Ornithologists (Calif. Birds, 1:2-3, 1970), has been established to help researchers evaluate records of unusual birds occurring in California. It is hoped that all records of rarities found in California will be submitted to the committee, which will review each record individually. All records, along with editorial comments when deemed pertinent, will appear in the Rarities Committee's annual report to be published

in CALIFORNIA BIRDS. Researchers utilizing these records can be assured that they have been thoroughly reviewed, and that the documenting evidence is on file.

To properly document an observation a detailed description along with other pertinent data should be submitted to the Rarities Committee at the address listed on the inside cover of CALIFORNIA BIRDS. The following information, preferably typewritten on 8½" x 11" white bond paper, should be included:

1. SPECIES (the name of the species and number of individuals involved).
2. LOCALITY (the exact locality of the observation - "2 miles S.W. of Olema, Marin Co. Calif." not "near Olema, Calif.").
3. DATE (include the time of day and the duration of the stay as well as the date).
4. OBSERVERS (include the names of others seeing the bird).
5. OPTICAL EQUIPMENT (include the type of optical equipment as well as power).
6. HABITAT (describe the habitat in which the bird was observed).
7. LENGTH OF TIME BIRD OBSERVED.
8. DESCRIPTION (include a detailed description of the bird's appearance with emphasis on the color and pattern of the plumage, size and shape, behavior, voice, and other pertinent diagnostic data).
9. CONCLUSIONS (reasons for identification).
10. EXPERIENCE (familiarity with the reported species and those similar).
11. ADDITIONAL MATERIAL (attach drawing, photographs, tape recordings etc. if available).

Enclosed in this issue of CALIFORNIA BIRDS is a field list of the birds of California. Records of those species marked with an asterisk will be accepted by the Rarities Committee for review and publication in CALIFORNIA BIRDS as will records of birds new to the state list. The committee currently consists of nine members - Eugene A. Cardiff, Theodore Chandik, Laurence C. Binford, Alan M. Craig, David DeSante, Clifford R. Lyons, Guy McCaskie, G. Shumway Suffel and Jon Winter (Secretary). We urge anyone interested in field ornithology to submit their records of extra limital birds found in California to the Rarities Committee. Hopefully the committee will be able to prepare a report for 1972, but the success of this will depend on the participation of the observers in California.

Jon Winter

WOOD WARBLERS AND VIREOS IN CALIFORNIA: THE NATURE OF THE ACCIDENTAL.

Paul DeBenedictis

Joseph Grinnell (1922) wrote "it is only a matter of time theoretically until the list of California birds will be identical with that for North America as a whole." This prediction is being rapidly fulfilled. However, the many records of "accidentals" obtained in California since 1922 suggest that we should reexamine the application of that term, particularly as applied to such species in California. Specifically we here ask whether the records of accidentals are without pattern, or whether they are in general predictable on the basis of zoogeographic and/or ecological characteristics of the species involved.

The vireos (Vireonidae) and wood warblers (Parulidae) are especially satisfactory groups to consider, because the majority of North American species have been recorded in California and because numerous records of them are available. Records through 1970 have been obtained from Grinnell and Miller (1944); from more recent issues of the Auk, Audubon Field Notes, the Condor and the Wilson Bulletin; from examination of specimens in the California Academy of Sciences, the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology University of California at Berkeley, and the San Diego Natural History Museum; and from personal records of R. Guy McCaskie (San Diego), Richard L. Stallcup (Oakland) and the author. Ranges of species have been derived from the A.O.U. Checklist (1957), Mexican checklist (Miller *et al.*, 1957), and from certain more recent regional publications. Records for the fall season are considered in greater detail, as recent work by Point Reyes Bird Observatory personnel on South Farallon Island suggests that our present understanding of spring abundance of eastern migrants is subject to considerable revision.

I use the term *commonness* to apply to the total population of a species and the term *abundance* to apply to the frequency at which a species occurs in California. I offer no explanation of the commonness of Western (as defined below) species, and only species that breed north of Mexico are considered. Subspecies are neglected except for those of the Solitary Vireo. Nomenclature follows the A.O.U. Checklist, 5th Ed. (1957); Blue-headed, Plumbeous, and Cassin's Vireo are used for the subspecies *solitarius, plumbeus,* and *cassini* respectively, of the Solitary Vireo.

Enough records exist to allow rank-order statistical testing of hypothesis concerning abundance in California. Rank categories are given in Table 1. Parametric statistics cannot be used since degrees of freedom are a matter of speculation. Two non-parametric tests, the Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficient (r_S) and the Olmstead-Tukey Corner Test of Association (Steel and Torrie, 1960), were used exclusively. Probabilities stated are those of observing so strong a correlation under the null hypothesis that no relationship exists between the variables being tested; the square of a correlation coefficient is indicative of the amount of variation in one variable which is due to the other.

Records were treated as two samples, those obtained prior to 1962 and those obtained between 1962 and 1970 inclusively. The abundance of species in the early sample is highly correlated with their abundance in the later sample ($r_s = 0.751$, $P < 0.001$), and, as the latter sample appears more consistent from year to year and also more reliable, all further statistical analyses are restricted to the more recent observations.

Only one source of observer bias is considered. Parnell (1969) has shown that migrants tend to select habitats similar to their breeding habitats while on migration. Migrants also tend to select characteristic strata in vegetation for foraging (personal observations) and foraging habits of some species, e.g. the American Redstart, tend to make them particularly conspicuous. Species were ranked as having foraging habits that would make them inconspicuous (slow moving and ground

Table 1. Rank Categories Used in Statistical Analyses

Rank	Spring Records	Fall Records	Commonness	Habitat Stability	Foraging Habits
0	0	0	—	—	—
1	1-2	1-2	Rare	Early sere	Ground
2	3-6	3-6			Low, skulking
3	7-13	6-14			Low, normal
4	14-19	15-30	Fairly common		Medium, normal
5	20-40	31-62			Med., conspicuous
6	41^+	63-126		Climax	High, normal
7	–	127-254	Abundant	—	High, conspicuous
8	–	255^+		—	—

— indicates rank not assigned

foraging) or conspicuous (fast moving and tree-top foraging). There is a tendency for species ranked as inconspicuous to be infrequently detected in California ($r_S = 0.421$, $0.01 > P > 0.001$), but this correlation "explains" only about a fifth of the variation in abundance of these species in California. The magnitude of this effect is probably the result of observers favoring localities where migrants have little choice as to the types of habitat they may select.

I first describe the zoogeographic relationships of these species, then propose and test several hypotheses concerning their abundance in California, and finally discuss the general implications of the results.

ZOOGEOGRAPHY OF NORTH AMERICAN VIREOS AND WOOD WARBLERS

I divide North America into four major zoogeographic regions based on breeding avifaunas. These are a combination of traditional biogeographic regions (Udvardy, 1963) and life zones. These regions are the West, the North, the East and the Southwest (Fig. 1). The Northern region is further divided along the east slope of the Rocky Mountains into the Northwest and Northeast subregions. Species that breed in more than one of these regions are referred to the region closest to California in which they are regularly present.

The zoogeography of migratory species is incomplete unless their winter ranges and migratory routes are also considered. The winter ranges of many vireos and wood warblers are poorly known, but the West Coast (including western Mexico), Middle America, the Caribbean (including the southeastern United States), and South America appear to be distinct regions (Fig. 1). Species wintering in more than one of these regions are referred to the region closest to California in which they are regularly present.

Migration routes are not directly considered. As a first approximation it is assumed that species migrate along direct great circle routes (i.e., paths of shortest possible distance) between their breeding and wintering ranges. Indeed, most species wintering in the Caribbean and in South America have more easterly migration routes than species wintering in Middle America. Assuming direct migration, transient individuals would be expected to occur only in the region directly between their breeding and wintering grounds. Thus, the species expected only as transients in California are Northwestern species

FIGURE 1. Faunal regions of North America. Regions based on breeding avifaunas are separated by dashed lines and are written in capital letters. Regions based on wintering avifaunas are separated by dotted lines and are written in lower case letters; the South American region, beginning at Panama, is not shown. Stippled area is above 5000 feet elevation.

wintering on the West Coast. Since many migratory species not meeting this requirement have been recorded in California (Table 2), the preliminary assumption of direct migration must be modified.

The zoogeographic relationships of Northern, Western and Eastern species are indicated in Table 3, the species arranged according to their breeding and wintering range. Values used in statistical analysis of the abundance of Northern and Eastern species in California are presented in Table 2. To avoid biasing the analyses that follow, I do not include Myrtle and Townsend's warbler, both of which winter in California.

Records of the Northern and Eastern species are now numerous enough to suggest some patterns of abundance. Northwestern species wintering in the West Coast region include two species, Myrtle and Townsend's warbler, regular in California and the commonest of the accidentals, the American Redstart (McCaskie, 1970). Species not yet recorded in the state all fall in the lower right corner of Table 3, save the Blue-headed Vireo, which is possibly overlooked among the similar Cassin's Vireo, a common California bird. Although some Palm Warblers may winter in the West Coast region, I have assumed the nearest regular wintering populations are in eastern Texas.

The fourteen Southwestern species of vireos and wood warblers may be described briefly as follows: Hutton's and Bell's vireo have extensive breeding ranges in California; Grey and Plumbeous vireo and Virginia's and Lucy's warbler breed in the southeastern part of the state. Olive, Grace's and Red-faced warbler, and Painted Redstart breed north into Arizona and New Mexico; Black-capped Vireo, Colima and Golden-cheeked warbler breed primarily in Texas; and Yellow-green Vireo and Olive-backed Warbler breed in extreme southern Texas as well as Sonora, Mexico. Hutton's Vireo is essentially non-migratory; the Yellow-green Vireo winters in South America; the Golden-cheeked Warbler in southern Mexico, and the other species in western Mexico. Of the forms not breeding in California, only the Yellow-green Vireo, Red-faced and Grace's warblers and Painted Redstart have been recorded in the state. The latter two species occur sufficiently far northwestward (Johnson, 1965) that their occurrence in California is not surprising, and the group is otherwise too poorly represented to permit analysis.

Table 2. Characteristics of Northern and Eastern Warblers and Vireos.

Species	Abundance rank[1] Spring	Abundance rank[1] Fall	Foraging habits	Angle of deviation[2]	Commonness	Length of migration[3]	Habitat stability
Warbler, Black-and-white	5	6	6	13	5	1875	4
Prothonotary	2	2	3	88	3	2125	5
Worm-eating	0	2	2	73	2	1925	4
Swainson's	0	0	1	112	1	1550	5
Golden-winged	1	1	3	67	3	2550	2
Blue-winged	0	2	3	73	3	1925	2
Bachman's	0	0	5	133	1	1420	5
Tennessee	4	6	5	38	7	2775	2
Parula	5	5	5	62	4	2300	4
Magnolia	5	5	3	29	5	2600	4
Cape May	0	3	6	54	4	3100	5
Black-throated Blue	0	5	3	88	5	2150	5
Black-throated Green	2	4	7	25	7	2025	6
Cerulean	0	1	5	102	4	2650	5
Blackburnian	1	4	6	58	6	2725	5
Yellow-throated	1	1	5	61	3	1975	5
Chestnut-sided	2	5	5	58	6	2825	2
Bay-breasted	1	3	5	59	6	3975	4
Blackpoll	4	8	5	23	7	5450	6
Pine	0	2	5	61	4	1200	5
Kirtland's	0	0	4	100	1	1400	1
Prairie	0	4	4	102	4	1750	2
Palm	3	7	4	38	5	2225	4
Ovenbird	5	4	1	25	6	2825	6
Waterthrush, Northern	5	5	1	17	5	1650	4
Louisiana	0	0	1	26	2	1975	4
Warbler, Connecticut	2	2	2	59	3	1725	3
Mourning	1	1	2	50	3	2900	3
Kentucky	1	0	2	73	2	1925	5
Hooded	1	2	3	73	4	1925	5
Canada	2	3	3	65	5	3650	4
Redstart, American	6	8	7	13	7	1300	4
Vireo, Black-whiskered	0	0	3	120	6	2025	6
White-eyed	1	0	2	61	3	1200	3
Blue-headed	0	0	3	37	4	2200	5
Yellow-throated	2	1	4	67	3	2450	5
Red-eyed	4	4	4	44	7	3700	6
Philadelphia	0	2	4	25	3	2825	3

[1] Records, 1962-1970.

[2] Degrees from normal migration route needed to reach California in Fall.

[3] Miles from breeding range to wintering range.

Table 3. Zoogeographic relationships of Northern, Western, and Eastern vireos and warblers. Underlined species not recorded from California. Abbrevations: bk - black; bl - blue; br - breasted; cr - crowned; sd - sided; thr - throated; V - vireo; W - warbler; Wth - waterthrush.

WEST EXTENT OF BREEDING RANGE	NORTH EXTENT OF WINTER RANGE: WEST COAST		MIDDLE AMERICA		CARIBBEAN	SOUTH AMERICA
	California	Western Mexico	Southern Mexico	Cent. America		
WEST	Hutton's V Orange-cr W Audubon's W Yellowthroat	Cassin's V Warbling V Nashville W Bk-thr Gray W Hermit W Yellow-br Chat Wilson's W	Yellow W			
NORTH Northwest Oregon	Townsend's W	Am. Redstart				Red-eyed V
Br. Columbia		Northern Wth	Magnolia W	Tennessee W		
Alaska	Myrtle W					Blackpoll W
Northeast Br. Columbia		Bk-&-white W Ovenbird	Bl-headed V Bk-thr Green W	Philadelphia V	Palm W Cape May W	Bay-br W Connecticut W
Alberta				Mourning W		Canada W
Saskatchewan				Blackburnian W Chestnut-sd W		
Manitoba		Parula W	Yellow-thr V Golden-wing W	Bk-thr Bl W Pine W		
EAST North of Ohio River		Louisiana Wth	White-eyed V Blue-wing W Worm-eating W Yellow-thr W Kentucky W	Prothonotary W	Prairie W Kirtland's W	Cerulean W
South of Ohio River			Hooded W		Swainson's W Bachman's W	Bk-whiskered V

FACTORS INFLUENCING ABUNDANCE OF "ACCIDENTALS" IN CALIFORNIA.

It is difficult to determine the factors which influence the occurrence of "accidentals" in California, because of interactions between environmental factors and behavioral characteristics. It is not especially satisfying to invoke specific hypotheses for each species, and I consider only hypotheses that can be expected to apply to all species. I first examine possible influence of the migratory path.

Experiments with caged birds are interpreted to indicate that migratory birds can use certain (celestial) cues to maintain a "preferred direction" of flight, but variation of 30 or more degrees in the preferred direction of different individuals of a species is also evident; some birds do not orient at all (e.g., Emlen, 1967). Such variation suggests the hypothesis that misoriented individuals constitute the majority of vagrants; specifically, if birds do follow a preferred direction, ignoring all other cues, and if some individuals have a preferred direction that would lead them away from their "normal" migratory routes, it would not be surprising to find some individuals of almost any migratory species in California. Although such deviant individuals might be expected to be predominantly immatures, the predominance of immature birds in the fall samples of "accidentals" is probably overstressed and is not likely to be indicative of the numbers of adults of "accidentals" that appear in California. A similar autumnal predominance of immatures has been found in Northern and Eastern species on the Atlantic coast (Murray, 1966) and in Western species on the Pacific coast (Ralph, 1971), and is possibly a general phenomenon resulting from other behavioral differences between these age classes.

The greater the deviation from the "normal" migratory route a misoriented individual shows, the less likely it is to arrive at a locality favorable for survival. The result of selection should be that most individuals are able to orient correctly. Thus, all other factors being equal, we anticipate that the greater the deviation from the orientation between the breeding and wintering range required to lead a bird to California, the less likely that bird is to occur there. I calculated an angle of deviation necessary to reach California in the fall as the spherical angle between 1) a great circle arc from the western edge of the breeding range to the northwestern edge of the wintering range, and 2) a great circle arc from the western edge of the breeding range to Bakersfield, California (35.5°N, 119°W), a locality approximately

central to localities from which most records have been obtained. These angles were then ranked. The abundance of Northern and Eastern species in California increases as the angle of deviation decreases (Fig. 2), the relationship being highly significant (r_S = 0.573, $P < 0.001$), but see below.

Corresponding calculations for the spring records give the same trend but the correlation is not significant. Over half the species are unreported or known from only one record, so this result is not surprising. Because of the geometry of the Americas, most species need deviate less (less than half as much for 16 of the 38 species) from the original migration route to reach California in the spring than they need deviate in the fall, so less variation in abundance due to misorientation should be evident. I have not further analyzed spring records other than to note that species common in the fall tend also to be common in the spring (r_S = 0.678, $P < 0.001$).

The above hypothesis assumes all other factors are equal. Not all warblers and vireos are equally common, and one would anticipate commoner species to occur more frequently in California. There is essentially no quantitative information available, especially for the



FIGURE 2. Abundance (Log_2 [Number of records + 1]) of warbler and vireo species in California in the fall versus Angle of deviation (degrees) from normal migration route needed to reach California by fall migrants. Larger dots represent two species.

western parts of the ranges, but overall commonness can be estimated. The relative commonness of species was taken from Robbins, Bruun and Zim (1966), species ranked 1 to 7 according to the abundance category specified therein, except that species characteristic of the Eastern Region were placed one rank rarer since these tend to be uncommon at the northern periphery of their breeding range. As species become more common they occur more frequently in California (Fig. 3, $r_S = 0.761$, $P < 0.001$). However, the overall abundance ranks proved to be correlated with the angle of deviation from normal migration routes needed to reach California ($r_S = 0.532$, $P < 0.001$). To investigate the influence of angle of deviation when corrected for commonness and of commonness when corrected for angle of deviation I calculated partial rank correlation coefficients using the standard formula (Steel and Torrie, 1960). Commonness remained significantly correlated with abundance of these species in California, but angle of deviation had almost no effect, the partial correlation coefficient being about 0.25 ($0.1 > P > 0.05$). The simplest explanation for this result would be to assume misoriented birds occur rarely, but given that a bird will be disoriented all degrees of misorientation are equally likely.

Species also differ in their migratory tendencies. There is no direct way to measure this, but an indirect measure might be the length of the migratory route, under the assumption that species having short routes are likely to be less migratory (and migrate over a shorter period) than species with a long route. (A more realistic explanation is that species with short routes live in or near areas where winter



FIGURE 3. Abundance (rank) of warbler and vireo species in California in the Fall versus commonness (rank) of species, in general. Empty circles = 1 species; solid circles = 2 species; empty squares = 3 species; solid squares = 4 species.



FIGURE 4. Abundance (Log_2 [Number of records + 1]) of warbler and vireo species in California in fall versus length of migration route (miles) from breeding range to wintering range. Larger dot represents two species. Black-whiskered Vireo is not shown.

survival is possible.) A weak positive correlation (Fig. 4, Corner Test = 9, P = 0.1) exists between length of the migration route and abundance of these species in California. However, it is likely that this correlation is spurious and results from a tendency for species with short migration routes to be less common everywhere.

An ecological parameter that might influence the frequency of "accidentals" in California is the seral stage in which the species breeds. Species breeding in early seral stages are likely to find the areas in which they now breed unsuitable in coming years, whereas species which inhabit mature stages can expect to find presently suitable habitat unchanged for much longer periods of time. Correspondingly, one might expect species inhabiting the earlier stages to be subject to less selection for the more accurate navigational abilities needed to return them to the same spot every year and, hence, more prone to vagrancy. Breeding habitats of the species were ranked from 1 to 6 according to their approach to climax. There was no significant correlation between habitat stability and abundance in California.

Another ecological factor that might influence frequency of "accidentals" is the rate of population turnover. The more rapid the rate of population turnover, the more likely a bird breeding for the first time will find an empty territory in the areas where it hatched. The greater assurance of finding a breeding territory there should lead to greater selection for navigational abilities. There are no data with which to test this hypothesis.

The Cordilleras of western North America form a potential barrier to east-west migrations of birds. In the fall the mean rank for abundance in California is 4.25 for species which breed or winter in and west of these ranges and 2.04 for species which occur entirely east of them. In the spring the contrast is more striking; no species of rank 4, 5 or 6 occurs entirely east of these mountains. Even in California the influence of the mountains is suggested by the concentration of "accidentals" east of the White and Panamint mountains, in the southeastern deserts, and along the coast (Fig. 5). The concentration of records along the coast is probably the result of observer concentration and the influence of the ocean on individuals which do cross the mountains. It is difficult to separate any effect of the mountains from that produced by the more westward ranges for species that breed in the Cordilleras, but a possible reason for such an effect can be suggested. Measurements of the altitude of migrating birds, admittedly in low-lying areas, indicates that over 90 per cent of



FIGURE 5. Major localities for "accidentals" in California. Stippled area is above 5000 feet elevation. 1) Oasis, Mono County; 2) Deep Springs, Inyo County; 3) Death Valley National Monument, Inyo County; 4) Morongo Valley, San Bernardino County; 5) Cottonwood Springs, Riverside County; 6) Parker Dam, San Bernardino County; 7) Salton Sea, Imperial County; 8) Imperial Dam and Potholes, Imperial County; 9) Point Reyes, Marin County; 10) Point Bonita, Marin County; 11) South Farallon Island; 12) Pacific Grove, Monterey County; 13) Carmel, Monterey County; 14) Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara County; 15) Los Angeles, Los Angeles County; 16) Dana Point, Orange County; 17) Solana Beach, San Diego County; 18) Point Loma, San Diego County; 19) Imperial Beach, San Diego County. Localities where "accidentals" are rarely found: A) Yolo County; B) Lake Tahoe; C) Tilden Park, near Berkeley, Contra Costa County.

all migrants fly below 5000 feet altitude (Eastwood and Rider, 1965), whereas much of western North America is above 5000 feet elevation (Fig. 1). Records in eastern California appear strikingly limited by the 5000 foot contour (Fig. 5). Although most of the western species migrate, especially in the fall, at higher elevations in the mountains (e.g., Austin, 1970), there are also observations of some migrants avoiding these higher elevations (L. Miller, 1957). It is not inconceivable that Eastern and Northeastern species as a group are less prone to cross the higher mountains than Western and Northwestern species but more field work on this point is clearly required.

Present data suggest that the pattern of abundance of these species is largely the result of passive phenomena – the failure of organisms to be perfect navigators and the size of the source populations. As additional records become available it would be desirable to determine if the patterns of abundance are different in the San Francisco Bay area and the San Diego areas, between coastal and interior localities, and also between spring and fall.

DISCUSSION

A large part of the variation in abundance of these "accidentals" in California can be attributed to differences in population size. The influence of the other factors is minimal. Of other possible factors, the amount of deviation from normal migration routes seems most likely to be influential as the abundance of only a few species is predicted poorly by this factor. In the spring exceptional species are Louisiana Waterthrush and Yellow-throated Warbler, which are unaccountably scarce; the former is exceptionally scarce in the fall as well. In the fall two species, Black-throated Blue and Prairie Warbler, are exceptionally numerous. [Subsequent to completing this manuscript I have found reference to Black-throated Blue Warblers breeding in central Sasketchewan. If correct, this would reduce the angle of deviation from 88° to 58°, and the species would no longer be exceptionally abundant by this criterion.] Palm Warbler might also be considered overly abundant by this criterion, but there are now enough records of wintering birds to suggest that it regularly winters in California and is not "accidental" there.

Movement of air masses southwestward from central Canada or northwestward from western Mexico may influence the incidence of "accidentals" in California, but the great distance involved and the

complications introduced by topography and by continuously available resting areas in intervening areas make "wind drift" a factor very difficult to analyze. It will prove particularly difficult to separate the role of weather in bringing birds to an area where they do not normally occur from that of grounding migrants that happen to be present.

All of the hypotheses I have proposed should apply to other groups of birds as well. Migratory Northern and Eastern species of other passerine families do not form as clear-cut a pattern as do vireos and wood warblers, although the same trends are evident. The records of these species are difficult to evaluate because of bias in their identification and discovery. Some of these species are not easily identified in the field (e.g. *Hylocichla* thrushes and tyrannid flycatchers); others are both distinctive and are attracted to feeders (e.g. orioles, sparrows). The best potential source of data is probably the records being accumulated on South Farallon Island, but approximately ten years of field work will be necessary to elucidate whatever patterns exist, if past experience is indicative.

Grinnell (1922) pointed out that the "accidental" was "the regular thing, to be expected." He believed the role of the "accidental" was that of the explorer, individuals by which "the species keeps aware of the possibilities of areal expansion." No ornithologist can reasonably deny the first statement, but the second is not as straightforward.

Range expansion of highly vagile animals such as birds is possible under two circumstances. One is through amelioration of environmental conditions around the range occupied by the species of evolved adaptations to these conditions and subsequent range expansion of the species into these areas. The other method is through movement of individuals across unsuitable areas to isolated regions of suitable but unoccupied habitat. I assume vagrancy leading to range expansion occurs primarily by the last method.

There is little indication of range expansion in the records of "accidentals" in California. The only "accidental" proven to have bred in California, and that but once, is the Parula Warbler, whose continued rarity probably results from the scarcity of nesting habitat rather than the scarcity of individuals in western North America. Palm Warbler and American Redstart may be establishing new Pacific coast wintering grounds and the other Northwestern species which appear in small numbers in California may be establishing direct western migration routes.

The rarity of range expansion from long range vagrancy probably

owes to the difficulty in meeting several basic requirements. Not only must several individuals find an area ecologically similar to their "normal" range, but the new area should not be occupied by a potentially competing species, as the latter's presence may prevent establishment of the invading species even if the invader is competitively superior (Slobodkin, 1962, ch. 11). The rare instance in which a new population is established may have important evolutionary consequences. It is unlikely that two isolated areas will be identical and, particularly for populations which remain isolated in summer and winter, environmental differences may lead to genetic differentiation. The founder effect (Mayr, 1963) could certainly be important. Also, as the number of populations of a species increases, the likelihood of all simultaneously becoming extinct is reduced. This is, however, a long term view of the role of the "accidental."

The majority of "accidentals" clearly do not accomplish any meaningful "pioneering." As these individuals are leaving the region to which they are adapted, they are in a sense reducing the probability of their own survival. Their genetic survival may not be any greater even if they do not disperse, however, as most species probably consist of fairly completely saturated populations in which more individuals are produced each breeding season than can breed in the following season. Indeed, the low probability of finding new, suitable habitat may mean a wandering individual can have a better chance of reproducing than a sedentary individual. The function of dispersal is uncertain, but its results are clear. Movements away from centers of abundance may lead to the founding of new populations, but this is a result of dispersal, not its function. It may be more appropriate to regard the role of the "accidental" as a reminder of the difficulty of defining the range of highly vagile organisms.

The usefulness of the term "accidental" remains to be considered. The implication of accident seems misleading when one can detect such a strong pattern of abundance as exhibited by wood warblers and vireos in California. Only if idealized animals existed in fixed geographic ranges would the idea of accident have much meaning. The great variation exhibited in any trait of every species attests to the non-reality of ideal animals, just as the many examples of range expansion and contraction negate the idea of fixed geographic distribution. The term "accidental" appears not to indicate so much accident as either ignorance of the true status or extreme rarity in a continuum of abundances within a well understood distributional pattern. In the latter case, the appropriate description of abundance is frequency of

occurrence with respect to the distributional pattern, such as "Very rare migrant, not detected annually." In cases when the pattern of occurrence is unknown, a noncommittal way of describing abundance should be employed, such as "One record: . . . . . ". Either form of description passes more accurate information than does "accidental."

SUMMARY

Records of "accidental" vireos and wood warblers in California form a pattern of species abundance which can be largely explained by the size of source populations. Local distribution of records in California seems to be related to topographic features of the state. Most of the consequences of vagrancy are results but not functions of dispersal processes, and the most important possible consequence is the rare chance of establishment of new population centers with potential for differentiation.

LITERATURE CITED

American Ornithologists Union. 1957. Checklist of North American Birds. Fifth ed., Baltimore, Md.

Austin, G. T. 1970. Migration of warblers in southern Nevada. Southwestern Nat. 15: 231-238.

Eastwood, E., and G. C. Rider, 1965. Some radar measurements of the altitude of bird flight. Brit. Birds 58: 393-425.

Emlen, S. J. 1967. Migratory orientation in the Indigo Bunting, *Passerina cyanea*. Part I: Evidence for use of celestial cues. Auk 84: 309-342.

Grinnell, J. 1922. The role of the "accidental." Auk 39: 373-380.

Grinnell, J., and A. H. Miller, 1944. The distribution of the Birds of California. Pacific Coast Avifauna no. 27: 1-608.

Johnson, N. K., 1965. The breeding avifaunas of the Sheep and Spring Ranges in southern Nevada. Condor 67: 93-104.

McCaskie, G. 1970. The American Redstart in California. Calif. Birds 1: 41-46.

Mayr, E. 1963. Animal Species and Evolution. Cambridge, Mass. Belknap Press of Harvard Univ.

Miller, A. H., H. Friedman, L. Griscom, and R. T. Moore, 1957. Distributional check-list of the birds of Mexico. Part II. Pacific Coast Avifauna 33: 1-436.

Miller, L. 1957. Some avian flyways of western North America. Wilson Bull. 69: 164-169.

Murray, B. G., Jr. 1966. Migration of age and sex classes of passerines on the Atlantic Coast in autumn. Auk 83: 352-360.

Parnell, J. F. 1969. Habitat relations of the Parulidae during spring migration. Auk 86: 505-521.
Ralph, C. J. 1971. An age differential of migrants in coastal California. Condor 73: 243-246.
Robbins, C. H., B. Bruun, and H. S. Zimm. 1966. Birds of North America. A guide to field identification. New York. Golden Press.
Slobodkin, L. B. 1962. Growth and Regulation of Animal Populations. New York. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
Steel, R. G. D., and J. H. Torrie. 1960. Principles and procedures of statistics. New York. McGraw-Hill.
Udvardy, M. D. F. 1963. Bird faunas of North America. Proc. XIII Intern. Ornithol. Congr. : 1147-1167.

Department of Biology, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, 13210.

POSTSCRIPT

Austin (Condor 73: 455-461, 1971) has recently published an independent analysis of eastern wood warbler abundance in California. He recognizes the possible influence of zoogeographic phenomena in determining abundance of these species in the state, and has additionally made the important contribution of comparing dates of occurrence in California with dates of occurrence in eastern North America. I believe his conclusions on the timing of records in California are subject to some modification, particularly for species with more southeasterly breeding ranges. For such species, dates of appearance in California in the fall would seem to be more closely comparable with dates of arrival on the wintering range, since the distances traveled are comparable. He has not attempted such a comparison, presumably for lack of suitable data. In the spring, dates of occurrence should be related primarily to the phenology of the populations supplying individuals to California and are not necessarily related to those obtained in eastern North America. Moreover, it is my distinct impression that the magnitude of movements in late May and early June is considerably underestimated by eastern observers, who frequently concentrate their efforts in the early spring when the foliage is not fully developed. In both spring and fall, based on my

own experience in southern Michigan and central New York, the numbers of "migrating" birds which may be found is not very different from the numbers observed in California at the same time of the year. What seems to be changed is that individuals observed earlier in the season in the East are missing in California (and records from South Farallon Island suggest that even this impression is somewhat erroneous). Lastly, I believe Austin does not realize how localized the records of many of these species are, particularly when he suggests that some of the abundance patterns are recent developments (with implication of during the twentieth century.) Based on my personal field experience it is not at all surprising that, for example, the first records of the Blackpoll Warbler were not obtained in the San Francisco Bay area until 1962, in spite of a hundred year's prior field work there. Records of these species are almost non-existent from localities more than a quarter mile inland of the Pacific ocean, even for observers who are successful at locating these species coastally.

INTERBREEDING OF THE GLAUCOUS-WINGED GULL AND WESTERN GULL IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST

J. Michael Scott

The fifth edition of the A.O.U. Check-list (1957) states that the Glaucous-winged Gull *(Larus glaucescens)* breeds from western Alaska south to Copalis Rocks, Washington. In the southern part of its breeding range (Vancouver Island to Copalis Rocks) it is sympatric with the northernmost populations of the Western Gull *(L. occidentalis)* (Pearse, 1946; Jewett et al., 1953). In the summers of 1969-1971 several pairs of Glaucous-winged Gulls nested on Yaquina Head, Oregon (44°40'N, 124°05'W) 300 km south of the previously reported southernmost breeding colony for this species. Approximately 60 ± 10 pairs of Western Gulls also nested on Yaquina Head. In addition three *L. glaucescens* were paired with *L. occidentalis* in the colony (Fig. 1). In 1971, six known hybrids were banded from a total of three nests.

In the summers of 1970 and 1971, breeding sites from Cape Perpetua, Oregon, north to Destruction Island, Washington, were surveyed for Glaucous-winged Gulls; the species was observed in both pure and mixed pairs on Yaquina Head and Jocky Cap in Oregon, and on Destruction Island, Washington (Fig. 2). Mixed pairs have also been observed in southern British Columbia (R. H. Drent, pers. comm.; Table 2). Adults which were morphologically intermediate between Glaucous-winged and Western gulls were observed infrequently on Yaquina Head, but were common on Destruction Island.

Previous workers have suggested that interbreeding might occur between *L. galucescens* and *L. occidentalis,* but these suggestions were based on educated guesses (Swarth, 1934, p38) or on observations of gulls which were intermediate in morphological characteristics between the two species (Zella Schultz, pers. comm.) However, Pearse (1946) reported an apparent mixed pair on Pachena Rock, off Vancouver Island, B.C., in 1943, and saw several apparent adult hybrids in 1944; and Dawson (1923; p1383) saw a mixed pair with young in Washington in 1910. Because the Glaucous-winged Gull is steadily increasing in British Columbia (Veermer, 1963), it is likely that the area of sympatry may expand even farther sound and additional interbreeding may result.

The interbreeding between Glaucous-winged and Western gulls presents an excellent field situation for approaching some of the

Pair Composition	Year	Eggs Laid	Young Hatched	Young Fledged
Pure	1969	X	0	0
Pure	1970	X	X	1
Pure	1971	0	0	0
Pure	1971	X	X	3
Mixed	1969	X	0	0
Mixed	1969	X	X	0
Mixed	1969	X	X	3
Mixed	1970	X	X	0
Mixed	1970	X	X	0
Mixed	1970	X	X	2
Mixed	1971	X	X	1
Mixed	1971	X	X	3
Mixed	1971	X	X	3

Table 1. Summary of nesting data for Glaucous-winged Gulls nesting on Yaquina Head, Oregon, 1969-71. Pure pairs were entirely Glaucous-winged; in mixed pairs a Glaucous-winged paired with a Western Gull.

FIGURE 1. A Glaucous-winged-Western Gull pair nesting on Yaquina Head, Oregon. The Glaucous-winged Gull mounted during the three observed instances of copulation. This was one of three mixed pairs nesting on Yaquina Head in 1970. *Photo by Fred L. Ramsey*

FIGURE 2. A mixed species pair incubating a nest with two eggs at Destruction Island, Washington, June 1, 1971. The dark primaried gull may possibly be of mixed parentage *Larus glaucescens*, X *Larus occidentalis*.

Photos by J. M. Scott

questions posed by Short (1969) and others regarding the biological significance of interbreeding between two closely related species. 1) What is the situation with respect to the occurrence or lack of occurrence of hybrids? 2) What are the distribution and habits of parental forms and hybrids? 3) What are the relative frequencies of hybrid and parental phenotypes in the area of hybridization? 4) What type of backcrossing is occurring? 5) What are the population dynamics of the forms involved? Studies which may provide some information on these and other questions are in progress.

Pair Composition	Location	Date	Comments	Observer
Mixed	Jocky Cap, Clatsop Co., Ore.	July 3, 1971	Glaucous-winged feeding one young.	J. M. Scott
Glaucous-winged	Jocky Cap, Clatsop Co., Ore.	July 3, 1971	Single bird on territory.	J. M. Scott
Western	Jocky Cap, Clatsop Co., Ore.	July 3, 1971	Estimated 70 pairs nesting on island.	F. M. Zeillemaker
Mixed	Destruction Is., Washington	June 1-2 1971	Four mixed pairs on nests. At least one had two eggs.	J. M. Scott
Glaucous-winged	Destruction Is., Washington	June 9-10 1971	30 nesting pairs on island.	Rex VanWarmer
Western	Destruction Is., Washington	June 9-10 1971	195 nesting pairs on island.	Rex VanWarmer
Mixed	Sea Lion Rocks, Wickanninnish Bay, Long Beach, B.C.	July 26, 27, 1969	Western and Glaucous-winged with three young. Approximately 1500 pairs of Glaucous-winged Gulls nest on this island.	R. H. Drent

Table 2. Summary of nesting data at sites in Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia at which interbreeding between Glaucous-winged and Western gulls has been observed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank the personnel of the U. S. Sport Fisheries & Wildlife Service and the U. S. Coast Guard for their cooperation in making my trip to Destruction Island possible.

My wife Sharon, Thomas W. Haislip, Jr., John A. Wiens and Joseph R. Jehl, Jr. read the manuscript and provided many helpful suggestions. Support for this study was received from the Natural History Museum at Oregon State University and from a grant from the Frank M. Chapman Memorial Fund of the American Museum of Natural History. This is contribution No. 18 of the behavioral ecology laboratory, Oregon State University.

LITERATURE CITED

American Ornithologists' Union. 1957. Check-list of North American birds. Fifth ed. Amer. Ornithol. Union, Baltimore.

Dawson, William Leon. 1923. The birds of California. South Moulton Co., San Diego, Calif.

Jewett, S. G., Taylor, Walter P., Shaw, William T., and John W. Aldrich. 1953. Birds of Washington State. Univ. Wash. Press, Seattle.

Pearse, T. 1946. Nesting of Western Gull off the coast of Vancouver Island, British Columbia and possible hybridization with the Glaucous-winged Gull. Murrelet, 27: 39-40.

Short, Lester. 1969. Taxonomic aspects of avian hybridization. Auk, 86: 84-105.

Swarth, A. S. 1934. Birds of Nunivak Island, Alaska. Pacific Coast Avifauna, No. 22: 1-64.

Veermer, Kees. 1963. The breeding ecology of the Glaucous-winged Gull (*Larus glaucescens)* on Mandarte Island, B.C. Occ. Pap. British Columbia Prov. Mus., Vol. 13.

Department of Zoology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331

NOTES

OLIVACEOUS CORMORANT RECORD FOR CALIFORNIA

On the morning of 13 April 1971 while looking at birds in the vicinity of Imperial Dam with Bill Clow and Richard MacIntosh, I found an Olivaceous Cormorant *Phalacrocorax olivaceus* in breeding plumage. The bird was found among Double-crested Cormorants *P. auritus* on West Pond, situated about one quarter mile west of Imperial Dam on the California side of the Colorado River. During the two hours we had the bird under observation it was perched on a short snag in the pond with an adult and three immature Double-crested Cormorants. The close proximity of the bird to the Double-crested Cormorants enabled us to make a direct size comparison of the two species.

The following description was taken while observing the bird through a 25X Bushnell spotting scope at 75 to 100 yards with the sun directly behind us:

> Plumage: body color black; wing feathers were slightly paler with a faint greenish irridescence. Soft parts: gular pouch fleshy pink (this was especially noticeable when the bird "yawned," and was probably due to the sunlight shining through the thin, vascularized pouch tissue); pouch bordered posteriorly by a conspicuous white line; iris green; bill, legs and feet dull black.

The Olivaceous Cormorant was about three-fourths the length, and one half the bulk, of the Double-crested Cormorants with which it was associated. It had a long tail for a cormorant and a disproportionately small neck, head, and bill.

This is the first observation of the Olivaceous Cormorant in California. It breeds north to southern Sonora on the Pacific coast (van Rossem, 1945) and to Louisiana on the Gulf of Mexico (Lowery, 1960). There are at least eight records for Arizona (Phillips, et al., 1964; Audubon Field Notes, 15:348, 16:354, 18:476 & 527, 24:76 & 526, 25:87), seven records for Oklahoma (Sutton, 1967; AFN, 24:694, 25:75) and five records for New Mexico (Hubbard, 1970). Strays have been reported from Kansas, Illinois, and Colorado (A.O.U., 1957); however, the Illinois record, originally reported by Ridgway (1880), may be in error. I know of no records for Baja California.

LITERATURE CITED

American Ornithologists' Union. 1957. Check-list of North American birds. 5th ed. American Ornithologists' Union, Baltimore.

Hubbard, J. P. 1970. Check-list of the birds of New Mexico. New Mexico Ornithological Soc. Publ. no. 3:1-103.

Lowery, G. H., Jr. 1960. Louisiana birds. Louisiana State Univ. Press, Baton Rouge.

Phillips, A., J. Marshall and G. Monson. 1964. The birds of Arizona. Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson.

Ridgway, R. 1880. On birds new to the fauna of Illinois. Bull. Nuttall Ornith. Club, 5:31.

Sutton, G. M. 1967. Oklahoma birds. Univ. of Oklahoma Press. Norman.

van Rossem, A. J. 1945. A distributional survey of the birds of Sonora, Mexico. Occasional Paper no. 21. Mus. of Zoology, Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge..

H. Lee Jones, Department of Zoology, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

THE WOOD THRUSH IN CALIFORNIA

On 18 November 1967 a party of us, including Pierre Devillers, Alan Craig, and Cliff Lyons, were spending the afternoon checking for birds in the Tijuana River Valley to the south of Imperial Beach, San Diego County, California. At Windover Ranch, a small but well-watered avocado orchard situated just north of the Mexican border, I discovered a Wood Thrush *Hylocichla mustelina.* When first noted, the bird was feeding on the ground under a tree with Robins *Turdus migratorius* and Hermit Thrushes *Hylocichla guttata.* It was clearly larger than the Hermit Thrushes, being nearly the size of the Robins, and was acting very much like a Robin. The following description was taken:

> Upperparts: forehead and crown, dark chestnut; nape, bright buffy-rufous; upper back, bright rufous, only slightly darker than the nape; rump and tail, olive-gray contrasting sharply with the back. Wings: upper wing coverts, brown, the lesser wing coverts edged with rufous; primaries and secondaries, dark brown. Face: ear coverts, dark brownish-gray contrasting

FIGURE 1. A Wood Thrush captured near Imperial Beach, California, on 18 November 1967. *Photo by Alan M. Craig*

sharply with the nape; eye-ring, pale buffy-white. Underparts: entire underparts, white with slight buffy wash across breast; throat streaked, breast and flanks boldly spotted with black.

After about half an hour we erected a mist-net and promptly captured the bird. It was studied in the hand and thought to be in an emaciated condition, but was photographed (fig. 1) and released. One free, it was clear the bird was too weak to fly, so it was recaptured and retained as a specimen (San Diego Natural History Museum #36355). It proved to be a male with skull fully ossified and having testes measuring 1.5 mm; the bird weighed 51.3 grams.

Another Wood Thrush appeared in a Verdugo Hills yard located in the northern part of Glendale, Los Angeles County, California, on about 1 August 1968, and was killed there by a cat ten days later (G. S. Suffel, pers. comm.). The specimen was retreived, and is now deposited in the Los Angeles County Museum (#77806). It was found to be an adult male, skull fully ossified, having very small testes, no fat, and exhibiting distinct body molt.

The Wood Thrush breeds in the eastern half of the United States (A.O.U., 1957), reaching Canada only in extreme southern Ontario and Quebec (Godfrey, 1966). It winters to the south of the United States in Mexico and Central America. West of its normal range it is casual in both spring and fall in eastern Colorado (Bailey and Niedrach, 1965); there are two fall records for New Mexico (Hubbard, 1970), and the same number of fall records for Arizona (Monson, 1968 and Snider, 1968). The two records presented above are the only occurrences for California.

LITERATURE CITED

American Ornithologists' Union. 1957. Check-list of North American birds. Fifth ed. Amer. Ornithol. Union, Baltimore.

Bailey, A. M. and R. J. Niedrach. 1965. Birds of Colorado. Vol. II. Denver Museum of Natural History, Denver.

Godfrey, W. E. 1966. The birds of Canada. Nat. Mus. of Canada Bull. 203. Biol. Series 73.

Hubbard, J. P. 1970. Check-list of the birds of New Mexico. New Mexico Ornithological Soc. Publ. no. 3:1-103.

Monson, G. 1968. The Arizona state bird-list, 1964-67. Journal of the Arizona Academy of Sciences 5:34-35.

Snider, P. R. 1968. Fall migration. Southwest region. Audubon Field Notes 22:75-77.

Guy McCaskie, San Diego Natural History Museum, Balboa Park, San Diego, California 92112.

TENNESSEE WARBLER OBSERVATIONS IN OREGON

On 12 June 1963 Eugene Kridler (1965) collected an adult female (USNM #479637) Tennessee Warbler *(Vermivora peregrina)* at Malheur National Wildlife Refuge Headquarters, Harney County, Oregon. This represented the first record of the species in the state. Kridler also banded and photographed (color transparencies on file at refuge H.Q.) an immature on 15 October 1963 at the same locality.

Since 1963, nine additional Tennessee Warblers have occurred in the state, seven at Malheur National Wildlife Refuge H.Q. One was observed with Orange-crowned Warblers *(Vermivora celata)*, Wilson's Warblers *(Wilsonia pusilla)*, and Warbling Vireos *(Vireo gilvus)* by Joseph Hicks on 11 September 1969 fifteen miles north of Medford, Jackson County (Harry Nehls, pers. comm.). Another, associating with Audubon's Warblers *(Dendroica auduboni)* in a stand of Whitebark Pine and Engelmann Spruce near Mirror Lake in the Willowa Mountains, was observed on 9 August 1971 (John Butler, pers. comm.).

The Malheur records include two observed on 29 May 1964 by John Crowell and Jim Olson (Scott, 1964), and banded by Fred Zeillemaker on 31 August 1965, and single birds banded by Walter L. Anderson on 24 August and 3 September 1966. On 31 May 1971, Carroll D. Littlefield captured an adult female, and on 12 June 1971 Anderson captured an adult male; both were banded and photographed.

Larrison and Sonnenberg (1968) list the Tennessee Warbler as a rare straggler into eastern Washington and mention no spring records. The species is considered a casual fall vagrant in western Nevada based on an observation east of Lake Tahoe on 29 August 1969 by Robert P. Russell, Jr. (Scott, 1970). In California the species is now recognized as a rare but regular fall migrant or vagrant (Baldridge et. al., 1970 and McCaskie, 1971).

The species can now be considered an occasional spring and fall vagrant in southeastern Oregon. Possible explanations for the occurrence of the Tennessee Warbler and other typically "eastern" species in California have been advanced by (1) Aaron M. Bagg (1970), who reasoned that such species might reach the California Coast as drifted migrants by following easterly airflows from the Gulf of Mexico to the Far West, and by (2) David DeSante whose orientation studies led him to believe that the birds should be classified as "misguided migrants," flying directly from breeding territory to wintering areas along routes atypical of the species as a whole (Chandik et. al. 1971). The latter hypothesis would be strengthened by the observations of the species in the fall in Oregon. A chronological comparison of observation dates in Oregon and California suggests a logical migratory sequence between the nesting grounds in Western Canada and the wintering areas in Mexico and Central America. Whether the fairly regular sightings in recent years indicate a minor change in the migratory patterns of a population or simply reflect an increased observational effort remains to be established. The use of mist nets at Malheur has accounted for seven of the eleven known records in Oregon, and perhaps the true extent of the migration of this species through Oregon still awaits discovery.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express thanks to Dr. Roger C. Hungerford, Bruce E. Deuel and John P. Gray of the University of Arizona for editorial comments. The senior author would also like to express his appreciation to the Bureau of

Sports Fisheries and Wildlife for the opportunity to conduct research on Malheur NWR.

LITERATURE CITED

Bagg, A. M. 1970. A summary of the 1969 fall migration season, with special attention to eruptions of various boreal and montane species and analysis of correlation between wind flows and migration. Aud. Field Notes 24:4-13.

Baldridge, A., T. Chandik and D. DeSante. 1970. Middle Pacific Coast Region. Aud. Field Notes 24:88-95.

Chandik, T., D. DeSante and Eleanor A. Pugh. 1971. Middle Pacific Coast Region. Amer. Birds 25:100-106.

Kridler, E. 1965. Records, obtained while banding, of birds unusual in southeastern Oregon. Auk 82:496-497.

Larrison, E. J. Jr. and K. G. Sonnerberg. 1968. Washington birds, their location and identification. Seattle Aud. Soc., Seattle.

McCaskie, G. 1971. Southern Pacific Coast Region. Amer. Birds 25:106-112.

Scott, O. K. 1964. Great Basin, Central Rocky Mountain Region. Aud. Field Notes 18:474-476.

Scott, O. K. 1970. Great Basin, Central Rocky Mountain Region. Aud. Field Notes 24:74-75.

Carroll D. Littlefield, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721 and Walter L. Anderson, Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, Burns, Oregon 97721.

ROADRUNNER CAPTURES ORCHARD ORIOLE IN CALIFORNIA

Although the Roadrunner *(Geococcyx californianus)* has long been known for its bird-catching propensity, there are few well-documented accounts of such behavior under natural conditions. Bent (U.S. Natl. Mus., Bull. 176:44-45, 1940) summarizes a number of observations, mostly concerning pet Roadrunners or juvenile prey, and Zimmerman (Condor, 72:475-476, 1970) details the capture of several adult passerines near a feeding station in New Mexico.

Mesquite Spring is a desert oasis located at an elevation of about 1,800 feet in the north end of Death Valley National Monument, Inyo Co., California. Surrounding the spring, which has been impounded to form a tiny pool that provides fresh water throughout the year, are several small cottonwoods and a group of mature mesquites. A narrow paved road separates the mesquites into two strips and allows access to campsites among the trees. The adjacent desert is extremely arid and rocky, with widely scattered small shrubs and herbs.

On 24 October 1971, J. Greenberg, P. Phillips, and I discovered a female or first-year male Orchard Oriole *(Icterus spurius)*, a rare vagrant in California (McCaskie, Stallcup and DeBenedictis, Condor, 68:595-597, 1966), feeding in the mesquite at the edge of the pool. After several minutes the bird flew to the ground at the edge of the desert and began feeding among the low herbaceous growth. At this time a Roadrunner appeared over the crest of a small hill, walked slowly toward the vegetation in which the oriole was concealed, and then suddenly crouched on its tarsi and froze with neck outstretched. After several seconds, it lunged forward, dashed some 10 feet, and disappeared into the vegetation. Immediately we heard a loud, passerine distress screech, and a second or two later the Roadrunner emerged with the oriole in its bill.

Wishing to examine the oriole in the hand, I gave chase. After about 30 yards and some 15 seconds of elapsed time since the capture, the Roadrunner dropped the oriole and disappeared into the desert. The Roadrunner seemed to have no difficulty in carrying its prey. When I reached the oriole, it was lying on its back and panting heavily; it made no attempt to escape. Measurements of the wing chord (72.5 mm), tail (66), and exposed culmen (15.5) confirmed our original identification (Ridgway, U. S. Natl. Mus., Bull. 50, Pt. 2:275-276, 1902). When released, the oriole flew to the nearest mesquite and rested for several minutes. Later that afternoon I relocated the oriole; although still feeding on the ground, it was considerably warier than before. It was seen the next morning by Greenberg and Phillips and thus apparently survived its harrowing experience.

Eight other bird species that represent potential prey for Roadrunners were noted at Mesquite Spring. Feeding on the ground at the edge of the desert were a Mourning Dove *(Zenaidura macroura)*, two Starlings *(Sturnus vulgaris)*, a Savannah Sparrow *(Passerculus sandwichensis)*, and a Lincoln's Sparrow *(Melospiza lincolnii)*. On the goround under the trees were a Varied Thrush *(Ixoreus naevius)*, two Hermit Thrushes *(Hylocichla guttata)*, an American Redstart *(Setophaga ruticilla)*, and a Fox Sparrow *(Passerella iliaca)*. None of these species breeds at Mesquite Spring but are probably attracted there by the presence of fresh water, and thus constitute a source of possible prey throughout the year. The presence of several lizards and a tarantula on the same day at nearby Scotty's Castle suggests that non-avian prey was also available to the Roadrunner at Mesquite Spring. *Laurence C. Binford, California Academy of Sciences, Golden Gate Park, San Francisco, California 94118.*

THE ALLEGED OCCURRENCE OF NUTTING'S FLYCATCHER IN BAJA CALIFORNIA

In a recent editorial comment (Calif. Birds 1:80, 1970), I uncritically repeated the Baja California record of a Nutting's Flycatcher *Myiarchus nuttingi inquientus* from Catavina on 6 October 1930, quoted both by the North American check-list (A.O.U. Check-list of North American birds, 1957) and the Mexican check-list (Miller in Miller et al., A distributional check-list of the birds of Mexico, Part II, 1957). The bird was originally reported by Huey (Auk 48:429-430, 1931).

I have now examined the specimen, San Diego Natural History Museum no. 13652, "male"; it is unquestionably an Ash-throated Flycatcher *M. cinerascens.* The tail pattern corresponds to the "typical *M. cinerascens* pattern," category I.A, of Lanyon's key (Condor 63:424-426, 1961). In all other plumage characters, pale underparts, whitish edges of secondaries, nuchal band, and gray color of auriculars, it is typical of *cinerascens.* The measurements (wing 88, tail 86.7, exposed culmen 13 mm) fall outside the range of male Ash-throated Flycatchers but inside the range of female Ash-throated Flycatchers, as well as of Nutting's Flycatchers. The wing formula is inconclusive.

Dr. Wesley E. Lanyon kindly examined the specimen and confirmed *(in litt.)* its identification as *cinerascens,* presumably mis-sexed. This record of Nutting's Flycatcher was the only one for Baja California, and to my knowledge, the only truly extralimital record of *M. nuttingi inquietus.* Indeed, in my opinion southern Arizona is within a normal area of wandering and/or expansion for Sonoran species, and I do not consider the record from there as extralimital. *Pierre Devillers, 11 av. de l'Oiseau bleu, 1150 Bruxelles, Belgium.*

Membership dues and changes of address should be sent to Clifford R. Lyons, Treasurer, Post Office Box 369, Del Mar, California 92014. Classes of membership (all include subscription to California Birds): Patron, $1000; Life, $150; Supporting, $20 annually; Contributing, $10 annually; Regular, $5 annually. Make checks payable to California Birds.

Manuscripts should be sent to Guy McCaskie, San Diego Natural History Museum, Box 1390, San Diego, California 92112. Use of the Style Manual for Biological Journals is suggested as a guide in preparing manuscripts. Fifty reprints of each article are provided free of charge. Arrangements for additional reprints may be made at the time manuscripts are submitted; the additional cost will be borne by the contributor.

Rare bird reports should be sent to Jon Winter, Secretary, Rare Bird Committee, Point Reyes Bird Observatory, Mesa Rd., Bolinas, California 94924.

Layout and cover design by Virginia P. Johnson

Library of
ROBERT GILL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX

CALIFORNIA BIRDS

VOLUME 2, 1971

TABLE OF CONTENTS
CALIFORNIA BIRDS VOLUME 2

Volume 2, Number 1, 1971

Volume 2, Number 2, 1971

INDEX TO CALIFORNIA BIRDS, VOLUME 2

Compiled by Virginia P. Johnson