



Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

http://www.archive.org/details/commercialfeedin00haywrich



Issued April 2, 1908.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY BULLETIN No. 108. H. W. WILEY, Chief of Bureau,

# COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS OF THE UNITED STATES:

THEIR CHEMICAL AND MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION.

J. K. HAYWOOD, Chief, Miscellaneous Laboratory,

AND

H. J. WARNER, Assistant Chemist, Miscellaneous Laboratory, with the collaboration of

> B. J. HOWARD, Chief, Microchemical Laboratory.



## WASHINGTON: GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE. 1908.



Issued April 2, 1908.

## U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,

BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY-BULLETIN No. 108.

H. W. WILEY, Chief of Bureau.

# COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS OF THE UNITED STATES:

#### THEIR CHEMICAL AND MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION.

BY

J. K. HAYWOOD, Chief, Miscellaneous Laboratory,

AND

H. J. WARNER, Assistant Chemist, Miscellaneous Laboratory,

WITH THE COLLABORATION OF

B. J. HOWARD, Chief, Microchemical Laboratory.



## WASHINGTON: GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE. 1908.

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,

BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY,

Washington, D. C., August 27, 1907.

SIR: I have the honor to submit for your approval a report giving the results obtained in an investigation, of the composition of commercial feeding stuffs sold on the American market, which has been made in the miscellaneous laboratory of this Bureau, according to law. The investigation was undertaken in order that we might efficiently answer the many inquiries received at the Bureau for information regarding this class of goods. As the results are of general interest, I recommend that the report be published as Bulletin 108 of the Bureau of Chemistry.

Respectfully,

W. D. BIGELOW, Acting Chief of Bureau.

Hon. JAMES WILSON, Secretary of Agriculture.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## CONTENTS.

	Page.
Introduction	7
Chemical examination	7
Collection of samples	7
Methods of analysis	8
Determination of pentosans	8
Purification of phloroglucol	8
Determination	8
Determination of reducing sugars and sucrose	9
Reducing sugars as dextrose	9
Sucrose	9
Methods of manufacture of stock foods	9
Cottonseed meal	10
Linseed meal	10
Gluten, gluten meal, gluten feed	10
Hominy feéd	11
Distillers' dried grains	11
Brewers' dried grains and malt sprouts	- 11
Wheat feeds	11
Oat feeds	12
Corn and oat feeds	12
Dried beet pulp	13
Molasses grains	13
Proprietary feeds	13
Animal meals	13
Poultry foods	13
Food values of the principal constituents	13
Discussion of results of analysis	14
Cottonseed meals	20
Linseed meals	24
Corn products	28
Brewery and distillery products	32
Wheat feeds	35
Oat feeds	38
Corn and oat feeds	40
Mixed feed	45
Sugar and molasses feeds	48
Miscellaneous feeds.	48
Proprietary stock feeds	51
Animal meals.	54
Poultry foods.	56
Comparison of commercial and home-grown feeds.	60

#### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

Chemical examination—Continued.	Page.
Correspondence with manufacturers	61
J. W. Barwell	61
The J. W. Biles' Company	62
Bosworth & Wood	63
Brook's Elevator Company	63
Buffalo Cereal Company	63
W. F. Chamberlain	64
Chapin & Co	64
Cypher's Incubator Company.	65
Dayton Milling Company	65
D. A. de Lima & Co	66
Empire Mills.	66
Fall Creek Milling Company	66
George L. Harding	66
	67
Albert A. Keene	
Lawrenceburg Roller Mill.	67
The Mann Brothers Company.	67
Midland Linseed Company.	67
Miner-Hillard Milling Company	67
Henry Neff.	68
Oneonta Milling Company	68
R. C. Rathborne	68
Ross Brothers Company	69
.W. H. Small & Co	69
J. E. Soper & Co	69
Sparks Milling Company	69
David Stott	69-
Swift & Co	70
Thornton & Chester Milling Company	70
Union Linseed Company	70
The United States Frumentum Company	70
A. Walker & Co.	70
E. S. Woodworth & Co.	71
Microscopical examination.	72
General remarks.	72
Apparatus, reagents, and methods	72
List of materials that may be present in cattle foods	73
Cereal products	73
Secondary or by-products.	74
Weed seeds.	74
Medicinal or condimental materials.	76
Of vegetable origin.	76
Of mineral origin	76
Histological features of some cattle-food constituents	76
	76
Wheat and rye	77
Cottonseed	77
Linseed (flax).	
Carob bean	78
Animal meal	78
Bone meal	78
Oyster and clam shells	78
Dried blood	78
Charlock, wild mustard	79

CO	N	T	E	N	TS.

	scopical examination—Continued.	Pag
ł	listological features of some cattle-food constituents—Continued.	
	Common pigweed	
	Rough pigweed	. 7
	Bindweed, smartweed	. 8
	Wild bergamot	
	Cow herb	. 8
	Jimson weed	
	Plantain	
	Bracted plantain.	
	Rib grass.	
	Common plantain.	
	Rugel's plantain.	
	Corn Cockle.	
	Bouncing Bet	
	Night-flowering catchfly	
	Curled dock.	
	Sorrel.	
	Foxtail	
	to plates	
Alpha	betical index to samples	. 8

## ILLUSTRATIONS.

	Page,
PLATE I. Fig. 1.—Seed coat of charlock. Fig. 2.—Cross cells of seed coat of rye.	
Fig. 3Cross cells of seed coat of wheat. Fig. 4Aleuron layer	
of wheat. Fig. 5Epidermal layer of seed coat of common pig-	
weed., Fig. 6Epidermal layer of seed coat of rough pigweed.	86
II. Fig. 1Epidermal layer of seed coat of cottonseed. Fig. 2Pali-	
sade layer of seed coat of cottonseed. Fig. 3Perisperm layer	
of seed coat of cottonseed. Fig. 4Seed coat of wild bergamot.	
Fig. 5.—Sclerenchymatous cells from seed coat of flaxseed. Fig.	
6.—Pigment cells of flaxseed	86
III. Fig. 1Epidermal layer of sorrel seed. Fig. 2Epidermal layer of	
glume of yellow foxtail. Fig. 3Hemin crystals. Fig. 4	
Fragment of bone showing lacunæ	86
IV. Fig. 1.—Epidermal layer of seed coat of cow herb. Fig. 2.—Beaded	
cells near hilum of seed of cow herb. Fig. 3.—Epidermal cells	
of corn cockle. Fig. 4Epidermal cells of Jimson weed seed.	
Fig. 5.—Epidermal cells of seeds of Bouncing Bet. Fig. 6.—	
Epidermal cells of seed of night-blooming catchfly	86
V. Transverse sections of seeds of species of genus Plantagoa	86
VI. Fig. 1.—Slime cells from seed of <i>Plantago aristata</i> Michx. Fig. 2.—	•
Endosperm cells of seed of P. aristata Michx. Fig. 3Epider-	
mal layer of seed of P. major L. Fig. 4Epidermal layer of	
seed of <i>P. rugelii</i> Decaisne. <sup>a</sup> Fig. 5.—Epidermal layer of seed	
coat of smartweed. Fig. 6.—Seed coat of curled dock	86

## COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS OF THE UNITED STATES: THEIR CHEMICAL AND MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION.

#### INTRODUCTION.

Extensive investigations on commercial feeding stuffs have been conducted by a number of experiment stations, in connection with the enforcement of the feeding-stuff laws in the various States. These laws, however, usually require a guaranty as to fat and protein only, and consequently the examinations by the stations have been in most cases limited to these two constituents. In a few cases determinations have also been made by the stations of ash, crude fiber, and nitrogen-free extract by difference, but practically ro attempt has been made to determine any of the various carbohydrates that constitute the so-called nitrogen-free extract.

From a scientific as well as practical point of view a more complete analysis seemed desirable, as such data would give a better idea of the true composition of a stock food, and thus make possible a fairer comparison of the relative feeding values of the different varieties.

In addition to the chemical analyses, a microscopical examination of the stock foods was made by B. J. Howard, chief of the microchemical laboratory, and his report is appended.

#### CHEMICAL EXAMINATION.

#### COLLECTION OF SAMPLES.

During the spring of 1904, arrangements were made with the experiment stations of New York and Massachusetts whereby their representatives sent samples of the various stock foods collected by them to this Bureau. Several hundred samples were sent and upon receipt were carefully bottled and corked. If they contained an excessive amount of moisture, they were dried in bulk before being bottled. A small amount of the original sample was reserved for microscopical examination, the remainder being ground fine, passed through a sieve with circular holes 1 millimeter in diameter, and rebottled.

 $\overline{7}$ 

#### METHODS OF ANALYSIS.

In the analysis of these foods, the following determinations were made: Moisture, ash, ether extract, crude protein, crude fiber, starch, sucrose, reducing sugars, and pentosans. The methods used for moisture, ash, ether extract, crude -protein, and crude fiber are the official methods of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists.<sup>a</sup> The methods for pentosans and starch are those provisionally adopted by this association, and the methods for determining sucrose and reducing sugars those used by the miscellaneous laboratory for determining these constituents in most cattle foods and forage crops. These methods are as follows:

#### DETERMINATION OF PENTOSANS.

#### (1) PURIFICATION OF PHLOROGLUCOL.

Heat in a beaker about 300 cc of hydrochloric acid (specific gravity 1.06) and 11 grams of phloroglucol added in small quantities at a time, stirring constantly until it has almost entirely dissolved. Some impurities may resist solution, but it is unnecessary to dissolve them. Pour the hot solution into a sufficient quantity of the same hydrochloric acid (cold) to make the volume 1,500 cc. Allow it to stand at least over night—better several days—to allow the diresorcol to crystallize out, and filter immediately before using. The solution may turn yellow, but this does not interfere with its usefulness. In using it, add the volume containing the required amount to the distillate.

#### (2) determination.

Place a quantity of the material, chosen so that the weight of phloroglucid obtained shall not exceed 0.300 grams, in a flask, together with 100 cc of 12 per cent hydrochloric acid (specific gravity, 1.06) and several pieces of recently heated pumice stone; place the flask upon a wire gauze, connect it with a condenser and apply heat, rather gently at first, and so regulate as to distil over 30 cc in about ten minutes, the distillate passing through a small filter paper. Replace the 30 cc driven over by a like quantity of the dilute acid, added by means of a separatory funnel in such a manner as to wash down the particles adhering to the sides of the flask, and continue the process until the distillate amounts to 360 cc. To the complete distillate gradually add a quantity of phloroglucol (purified if necessary) dissolved in 12 per cent hydrochloric acid, and thoroughly stir the resulting mixture. The amount of phloroglucol used should be about double that of the furfural expected. The solution first turns yellow, then green, and very soon an amorphous greenish precipitate appears, which grows rapidly darker, until it finally becomes almost black. Make the solution up to 400 cc with 12 per cent hydrochloric acid and allow to stand over night.

Filter the amorphous black precipitate into a tared gooch crucible through an asbestos felt, wash carefully with 150 cc of water in such a way that the water is not entirely removed from the crucible until the very last. Then dry for four hours at the temperature of boiling water, cool and weigh in a weighing bottle, the increase in weight being reckoned as phloroglucid. To calculate the furfural, pentoses, or pentosans from the phloroglucid, use the following formulas:

For weight of phloroglucid (a) under 0.03 gram:

Furfural = $(a+0.0052)\times0.5170$ Pentoses = $(a+0.0052)\times1.0170$ Pentosans= $(a+0.0052)\times0.8949$ 

<sup>a</sup>U. S. Dept. Agr., Bureau of Chemistry, Bul. 107, p. 57.

For weight of phloroglucid (a) from 0.03 gram to 0.300 gram Kröber's table a is recommended. If this is not available use the following formulas:

 $\begin{array}{ll} Furfural &=\!\!(a\!+\!0.0052)\!\times\!0.5185 \\ Pentoses &=\!\!(a\!+\!0.0052)\!\times\!1.0075 \\ Pentosans\!=\!\!(a\!+\!0.0052)\!\times\!0.8866 \end{array}$ 

For weights of phloroglucid (a) over 0.300 gram.

Furfural = $(a+0.0052)\times 0.5180$ Pentoses = $(a+0.0052)\times 1.0026$ Pentosans= $(a+0.0052)\times 0.8824$ 

#### DETERMINATION OF REDUCING SUGARS AND SUCROSE.

Weigh 13.2 grams into a 200 cc flask, add about 150 cc water, and shake occasionally for one hour. Add 5 cc alumina cream and make up to 200 cc with water, shake, and filter off 100 cc into a 110 cc flask. Make up to the 110 cc mark with lead subacetate, shake, and filter through a folded filter into a small Erlenmeyer flask. If the solution is cloudy return to filter, until a clear filtrate is obtained. This is solution "A."

#### REDUCING SUGARS AS DEXTROSE.

To 25 cc of solution A add exactly 5 cc of concentrated sodium carbonate solution. Shake and filter through a 9 cm filter into a small flask. Return to filter until a clear filtrate is obtained. Use 20 cc for the determination of dextrose according to Allihn's table (20 cc equals 1 gram of original substance).

#### SUCROSE.

Transfer 50 cc of solution A to a 55 cc flask and make up to the 55 cc mark with concentrated hydrochloric acid. Mix well and heat in the water bath with bulb of thermometer as near to center of flask as possible until it registers 68° C., taking 15 minutes in the heating. Transfer the solution to a small beaker and neutralize with sodium carbonate. Transfer to a 100 cc flask and make to mark with water. Filter off 25 cc for the determination of total reducing sugars (25 cc equals 0.75 gram of the original substance). Before calculating the invert sugar obtained as sucrose from a table for invert sugar, deduct the reducing sugars, determined as invert sugar from the same table.

The results were first figured on the basis of the sample as received at the laboratory. The sum of the percentages of the different constituents determined does not equal 100 per cent, but the results are as close as can be expected, with the present methods. Factors used for calculation are often of an arbitrary nature, as in the cases of protein and pentosans, and results can not be considered as absolute. Also a number of substances, such as galactan, are not determined. All of the results were refigured to a moisture-free basis.

#### METHODS OF MANUFACTURE OF STOCK FOODS.

On account of the numerous and varied sources from which the so-called concentrated feed stuffs are derived, it is essential to know something of the raw materials entering into these products and the

<sup>a</sup> Journal für Landwirtschaft, 48, 1900, p. 379; U. S. Dept. Agr., Bureau of Chemistry, Bul. 107, page 226.

#### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

manufacturing processes, that the various mixtures may be classified and some idea gained of what their composition should be.

#### COTTONSEED MEAL.

Cottonseed meal is a by-product from the manufacture of cottonseed oil. The seeds as they come from the ginning mill are covered with a hard shell and a coating of lint. At the oil mills the fuzzy seeds are subjected to a rough milling for the removal of the black hull and lint. The decorticated seeds are crushed, heated, placed in jute sacks, and subjected to hydraulic pressure, by means of which a portion of the oil is expressed. When the resulting cake is cracked and ground the yellow cottonseed meal of commerce is obtained.

#### LINSEED MEAL.

At the oil mills the seeds are crushed and then the oil is removed by one of two processes.

In the "old process," the crushed seeds are heated, placed in jute sacks, and subjected to hydraulic pressure. The residue consists of hard slabs or cakes, which are cracked and ground, the product being known as "old process" oil meal.

In the "new process," the crushed seeds are heated and while warm are placed in large vertical percolators, and naphtha or some other volatile solvent is poured over them, which is allowed to drain out at the bottom. This process is repeated until nearly all the oil has been removed. The extracted mass is freed from solvent by letting steam into the percolator and driving out the naphtha as a vapor.

After steaming, the meal is dried, and the resultant by-product is known as "new process" oil meal.

#### GLUTEN, GLUTEN MEAL, GLUTEN FEED.

These materials are usually obtained as by-products in the manufacture of starch and glucose from corn. The method is practically as follows: The whole corn is soaked for several hours in warm acidified water until soft and swollen. It is then ground in running water and passed through sieves, the starch and part of the gluten passing through, while the husk and germ remain behind. The starch and gluten which are carried by the water are separated from each other by their difference in specific gravity. The by-products are first dried by pressure and then in a kiln. The gluten when sold alone is generally called "gluten meal." More frequently, however, the gluten, husk, and germ are mixed and sold under the name of "gluten feed."

#### HOMINY FEED.

Hominy, as manufactured for human use, consists of the hard or flinty part of the corn kernel. The hull, germ, and part of the starch portions of the kernel constitute the waste of hominy manufacture. These materials are sold together under the name of hominy chops or feed.

#### DISTILLERS' DRIED GRAINS.

Distillers' dried grains are a by-product obtained in the manufacture of alcohol or whisky from the cereals. Corn and rye are most often used, sometimes singly, more often in combination. Sometimes certain proportions of oats, wheat, and barley are also added.

The grains are coarsely ground, mixed with water, a malt solution added, and the whole kept at a uniform temperature until most of the starch has changed to sugar. Yeast is then added to convert the sugar to alcohol, which is distilled. The residue from the distillation, or distilling slop, is filtered, dried, and placed on the market as a concentrated food. The dried material, on account of the removal of a large part of the starch and sugar of the grain by the above process, contains an increased percentage of proteids, fat, and crude fiber.

#### BREWERS' DRIED GRAINS AND MALT SPROUTS.

These are the by-products resulting from malting and brewing processes. The barley is moistened and kept at a warm temperature until the grain germinates. In this process the starch is partially changed to maltose and dextrin. The germinated barley is dried and freed from its sprouts, which are known to commerce as malt sprouts. The malted grains are used in the preparation of the wort in the manufacture of malt liquors. In this process nearly all of the starch of the malt is changed to maltose and dextrin, which are removed in the liquid wort that is subsequently to be used in the preparation of the malt liquor. The residue from this mashing process is kiln-dried and placed upon the market as brewers' dried grains.

It will at once be seen that the sugar and starch content of this product will be very low, while its protein and fat content as well as the amount of other substances present, but not acted upon by the ferment of the malt, will be high.

#### WHEAT FEEDS.

This term is used to cover any combination of wheat offals or waste products obtained in the manufacture of flour from wheat. Among the products so obtained may be mentioned bran, shorts, middlings, red-dog flour, etc. It is not an easy matter to state just how these various by-products are obtained, nor in fact just what each by-product is, since different writers on the subject seldom exactly agree with one another in the nomenclature employed. The following may be considered as an approximate statement of the process by which these products are obtained during the manufacture of flour. The wheat as it comes to the mill is passed through a series of metallic sieves to remove such products as oats, straw, etc. It is then passed to scourers, where it is well brushed and thus deprived of dust and dirt. After this it is crushed and sifted on coarse bolting cloth. This crushing and sifting is repeated several times and the several siftings not passing through constitute wheat bran. The material that does go through is further pulverized and passed over silk bolting cloth sieves of various degrees of fineness on revolving reels. At the same time it is fanned by currents of air. By this process a fine white flour is finally obtained, the by-products from the process consisting of shorts. the various grades of middlings, red-dog flour, etc. The germ is sometimes removed from the other by-products and used as a breakfast food.

Bran, therefore, consists of the hard outer portions of the wheat kernel, with a little of the starchy portion. Middlings contain the finer bran and more flour particles. Red-dog flour is the lowest grade of flour and is generally of dark color. The term "mixed feed" is generally applied to mixtures of middlings, bran, and the other wheat products, in some instances red-dog flour being also used. Wheat feed is a term often used to cover any combination of wheat offals.

#### OAT FEEDS.

The main source of oat feeds is the breakfast food factories. In many cases they are composed almost entirely of the oat hulls and light oats left as a waste from oatmeal manufacture. Necessarily these feeds contain a much higher percentage of fiber than whole oats. These materials are often fortified by the use of a nitrogenous concentrate, such as cottonseed meal. Ground whole oats are often used in stock foods, either alone or in combination with other cereals.

#### CORN AND OAT FEEDS.

Corn and oats ground together in varying porportions are sold under a number of names in different localities. The name "provender" is used in New England to designate a mixture of equal parts of ground oats and corn. "Chop feed" and "corn and oat feed" are also used to designate mixtures of corn and oats. Strictly speaking, these products should be composed of a mixture of ground corn and oats, but often a large proportion of oat hulls are present.

#### FOOD VALUES.

#### DRIED BEET PULP.

The beets are thoroughly washed, shredded, and placed in a large cylinder; water is admitted and sugar extracted by the diffusion method. After the liquor is withdrawn, the beet pulp is run through a press to remove excess of water. Molasses residues from the sugar factory are thoroughly mixed with beet pulp and the whole kiln dried by direct heat. The resulting dry product is placed on the market as a feeding stuff.

#### MOLASSES GRAINS.

Molasses residues are added to some dry and bulky material, such as brewer's grains, malt sprouts, oat hulls, or light oats, in such amounts that they are all absorbed. This mixture after drying is known to the trade as "molasses grains."

#### PROPRIETARY FEEDS.

The proprietary feeds are derived from numerous and varied sources, but very often they serve as the outlet for industrial byproducts, such as are obtained in the manufacture of breakfast foods.

#### ANIMAL MEALS.

Animal meals are of four varieties, as follows: Meat meals, meat and bone meals, bone meals, and blood meals. The meat and bone meals are derived from waste meats, scraps, and bones from the packing houses. They are sold in a dry condition, finely ground. In some cases the bone has been subjected to steam under pressure or kettle rendered, in which case the resulting product is lower both in fat and protein. The blood meals are simply dried blood finely ground and are a by-product of the large packing houses.

#### POULTRY FOODS.

Poultry foods are composed principally of several cereals, either whole or coarsely ground. Some poultry foods contain also charcoal and ground öyster shells. Other foods of this class are fortified with dried blood, meat scraps, cottonseed or linseed meals. Wheat screenings, containing the small and shriveled wheat and weed seeds, are very often among the chief ingredients.

#### FOOD VALUES OF THE PRINCIPAL CONSTITUENTS.

A few words in regard to the food value of the principal substances determined may be of interest to those not familiar with the subject. From whatever source feeding stuffs are derived, they owe their nutritive value to three groups of food constituents, namely, proteids, fats, and carbohydrates. Since carbohydrates are contained in abundant quantities in the cheaper, coarse feeds, it is usual to value the more concentrated and higher priced feeds entirely on their protein and fat content. That this does not always give a correct valuation will be shown later.

Protein is of primary importance, as it serves to build up new tissue and replace the broken down cells destroyed in the katabolism of the body. Under certain circumstances, as in starvation, the protein may serve as a source of heat and energy.

Fats are of use principally as sources of heat and energy, being usually reckoned as two and one-fourth times as valuable in this respect as the carbohydrates. They also protect the protein compounds of the body against destruction and serve as a packing and protection for the other tissues.

The carbohydrates are also of importance as sources of heat and The members of this group differ, however, from one another energy. in their nutritive value, since their digestibility varies widely. The starches and sugars are easily and almost completely digested, while, the crude fiber, pentosans, and other less well-known substances are digested with much more difficulty and less completely! It is, therefore, evidently desirable that starches and sugars should constitute the principal part of the carbohydrates in a feeding stuff, especially in a concentrated feeding stuff. The less valuable carbohydrates, such as pentosans and crude fiber, the presence of which is necessary to give body to the feed, can be supplied by the cheaper coarse fodders. It becomes evident, therefore, that if two concentrated feeds contain the same amounts of protein, fat, and carbohydrates, but in one the carbohydrates consist principally of woody hulls, while in the other they consist principally of starches and sugars, the latter is to be preferred.

#### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS OF ANALYSES.

Table 1 gives the names of the various cattle foods examined, together with the name and address of the manufacturer. The results of the chemical and microscopical examinations are given in the various tables arranged in groups (cottonseed, linseed, etc.) in connection with the discussion of the data. Where more than one sample of a certain product was examined the individual as well as an average analysis is given. As manufacturers, and in fact the general public, interpret the words bran, middlings, and red-dog flour so differently, the microscopical results of wheat products are not reported under these names, but are recorded as wheat products Nos. 1, 2, and 3. The significance of these numbers and the discussion of the histological features of the various constituents are given under "Microscopical examination," page 74.

### DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLES.

TABLE 1. — Description of samples.

Serial No.	Name of product.	Name of manufacturer.	Address of manufacturer.
1473	Mixed feed	Thornton & Chester Milling Co.	Buffalo, N. Y.
1474	Dandy corn and oat feed	Commercial Milling Co	Detroit, Mich.
1475	Corn and oat chop	E. L. Potter	Fort Edward, N. Y.
1476	Great Western dairy feed Boss corn and oat feed	Great Western Cereal Co	Chicago, III.
$1477 \\ 1478$	Blue Grass mixed feed	A. Walker & Co	Do. Henderson Ky
1479	Flint gluten feed	Flint Mill Co	Henderson, Ky. Milwaukee, Wis.
1480	Oil meal, O. P. Dried beet pulp	The Mann Bros. Co	BUIIAIO, N. Y.
1481	Dried beet pulp	Alma Sugar Co	Alma, Mich. Glens Falls, N. Y.
1482 1483	Corn meal and oat feed Royal mixed feed	Mack & Kennedy Brooks Elevator Co	Glens Falls, N. Y.
1484	Common feed	Lapham & Parks	Minneapolis, Minn. Glens Falls, N. Y. Milwaukee, Wis.
1485	Molasses feed	E. P. Mueller	Milwaukee, Wis.
1487	De-Fi corn and oat feed	Elsworth & Co	Dunalo, N. Y.
1488 1489	Puritan ground feed	Paine Bros. Co Merchants' Distilling Co	Milwaukee, Wis.
1489	Merchants' dairy feed Ground corn and oats	Barber & Bennett	Terre Haute, Ind.
1493	Prime cottonseed meal	American Cotton Oil Co	Albany, N. Ý. New Yofk City.
1494	Vim oat feed	American Cereal Co	Chicago, Ill. 231 E. One hundred and
1495	Hominy chop	W. H. Payne & Sons	twenty-ninth street, New York City.
1496	Arrow corn and oat feed	Oneonta Milling Co	Oneonta, N. Y.
1497 1498	Mixed feed	Rex Mill Co American Linseed Co	Kansas City, Mo. New York City.
1499	X oat feed	American Cereal Co	Chicago, Ill.
1500	Oil meal, O. P. X oat feed Ground feed	American Cereal Co W. L. Travis	City.
$     1501 \\     1503   $	Tri-Me mixed feed Empire State dairy feed	Sparks Milling Co Clark Bros. & Co	Alton, Ill. Peoria, Ill.
1504	Excelsior corn and oat feed	Great Western Cereal Co	Chicago, Ill.
1505	Royal oat feed	do	Do.
1506	Blomo feed	Blomo Manufacturing Co	New York City.
1507 1508	Verinont mixed feed Ground corn and oats	Flint Mill Co Ogden & Clark	Milwaukee, Wis.
1509	Stott's honest mixed feed	David Stott	Utica, N. Y. Detroit, Mich.
1510	Corn and oat provender	Oneonta Milling Co	Albany, N. Y.
1511	Ground corn and oats Linseed oil meal, O. P Oil meal, O. P.	Browne Bros Hanenstein & Co	Flushing, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y.
1512	Linseed oil meal, O. P	Hanenstein & Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
$     1513 \\     1514 $	Ground feed	Hunter Bros H. D. McCord & Son	St. Louis, Mo. 92-94 Broad street, New
1515	Export brand linseed oil meal,	Chapin & Co	York City. Buffalo, N. Y.
1516	O. P.	Knickerbocker Mill & Grain Co.	Alberry N. V
1517	Corn and oat chop	Warner Sugar Refining Co	Albany, N. Y. Chicago, Ill.
1518	Cream oat feed	Great Western Cereal Co	Do.
1519	Green Diamond cottonseed	Chapin & Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1500	meal.	a	
$     1520 \\     1521 $	Corn meal and chop feed Puritan chick food	George Roberts Puritan Poultry Farms	Glens Falls, N. Y.
1522	Oil cottonseed meal	F. M. Brodie & Co	Stamford, Conn. Memphis, Tenn.
1523	Creamery feed	Buffalo Cereal Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1524	Creamery feed	Buffalo Cereal Co Oneonta Milling Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Albany, N. Y.
$1525 \\ 1526$	Mixed feed	The Gardner Mill.	Hastings, Minn.
1020	Ground corn and oats	Shaw and Truesdale Co	Second street and Gorwand.
1527	Dixie cottonseed meal	Humphreys, Godwin & Co	Canal, Brooklyn, N. Y. Memphis, Tenn.
1528	Star feed	The Toledo Elevator Co	Toledo, Ohio. Buffalo, N. Y.
1529	H-O Co.'s poultry food Brewer's dried grain	Н-О Со	Buffalo, N. Y.
$   \begin{array}{r}     1530 \\     1531   \end{array} $	Brewer's dried grain	E. P. Mueller (jobber) American Hominy Co	Milwaukee, Wis.
1532	Hominy feed. American poultry food	Amorican Coroal Co	Indianapolis, Ind. Chicago, Ill.
1533	Buffalo gluten feed	Glucose Sugar Refining Co	Do.
1534	Malt sprouts. Hominy feed. Ground feed.	E. P. Mueller	Milwaukee, Wis.
1535	Hominy feed	Toledo Elevator Co Fulton Grain and Mill Co	Toledo, Ohio.
1536	Ground feed	Fulton Grain and Mill Co	Pacific street, Brooklyn,
1537	Ground linseed cake, O. P	A. L. Clements & Co	N. Y. New York City.
1539	Pioneer barley feed	The Pioneer Cereal Co	Akron. Ohio.
1540	Molasses grains	E. P. Mueller	Milwaukee, Wis. Buffalo, N. Y.
1541	Creamery feed	Buffalo Cereal Co	Bullalo, N. Y.
$1543 \\ 1544$	Tri-Me mixed feed Ground corn and oats		Alton, Ill. Pleasantville, N. Y.
1545	Ground feed.	J. H. Brett	Mount Vernon, N. Y.
1547	Pekin gluten feed	Illinois Sugar Refining Co	Chicago, 1ll.
1548	Ground corn and oats	S. W. Boone	Chicago, Ill. Smith Street, Brooklyn,
1549	do	W. II. Paine & Son	N. 1. 231 East One hundred and twenty-ninth street, New
			York City.
1550	Lenox stock food	Strong Leffert's Co	New York City. Clops, Falls, N. Y.
1991	Common feed	Glens Falls Co	Glens Falls, N. Y.

#### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

TABLE 1.—Description of samples—Continued.

Serial No.	Name of product.	Name of manufacturer.	Address of manufacturer.
		,	
1552	Prime cottonseed meal	George B. Robinson, jr. (jobber) Milwaukee Linseed Oil Works	New York City.
1553	Ground linseed cake	Milwaukee Linseed Oil Works	Milwaukee, Wis.
1554	Howard's hominy meal	Buffalo Cereal Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1555	Ground feed	N. Lawrence & Co	Dobbs Ferry, N. Y.
1556	Egg builder ration	Geo. L. Harding	Binghamton, N. Y.
1557	Animal meal	Bowker Fertilizer Co	Binghamton, N. Y. New York City.
1558	Victor corn and oat feed	American Cereal Co	Chicago, Ill.
1559	Hominy chop	Suffern, Hunt & Co	Decatur, Ill.
1560	Oil meal, O. P.	Kellogg & Miller.	Amsterdam, N. Y.
1561	Anchor corn and oat feed	Illinois Cereal Co.	Lockport, Ill.
1565	Brewer's dried grain	E. P. Mueller Thos. Morgan	Milwaukee, Wis.
$1566 \\ 1568$	Ground corn and oats	N. Y. Glucose Co	Long Island City, N. Y. Edgewater, N. J.
1569	Globe gluten feed Ground feed	Brooklyn Elevator and Mill Co.	86 Kent avenue, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1570	do	J. & L. Adikes	Jamaica, N. Y.
1571	do	Close Bros	Schenectady, N. Y.
1572	Monarch horse feed	Oneonta Milling Co	Albany, N. Y.
1573	Dairy feed	Oneonta Milling Co. Buffalo Cereal Co.	Bunalo, N. Y.
1574	Schumacker's stock food	American Cereal Co	Chicago, Ill.
1575	Blatchford's sugar and flax-	J. W. Barwell	Waukegan, 1ll.
	seed.		0 11 - 11 -
1576	C. & W. mixed feed	Crow & Williams.	Ossining, N. Y.
1577	Monarch chop feed	Husted Mill and Elevator Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1580	Cottonseed meal	H. E. Bridges & Co	Memphis, Tenn.
1581	Cypher's laying food	Cypher's Incubator Co Buffalo Cereal Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Do.
$\frac{1582}{1583}$	Poultry feed Horse feed	dodo	Do.
1585	Mixed feed	G. A. Bagley.	Peekskill, N. Y.
1585	Oil meal, O. P.	Metzger Seed and Oil Co	Toledo, Ohio.
1586	Cow oil meal	Union Linseed Co	Troy, N. Y.
1587	Niagara corn and oat feed	Niagara Mill and Elevator Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1588	Green oval linseed oil meal	Flint Mill Co	Milwaukee, Wis.
1589	Fourex distillery dried grains	The J. W. Biles Co	Cincinnati, Ohio.
1590	Blatchford's calf meal	J. W. Barwell Henry Russell	Waukegan, Ill.
1591	Mixed feed (wheat bran, mid- dlings, and flour).		Albany, N. Y.
1596	Star cottonseed meal	Sledge & Wells Co Lawrenceburg Røller Mills	Memphis, Tenn.
1597	Snow Flake mixed feed	Lawrenceburg Roller Mills	Lawrenceburg, Ind.
1598	Corn and oat chop	Bunalo Celear Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1599	Excelsior corn and oat feed	Great Western Cereal Co	Chicago, Ill.
1601	Malt sprouts	Henry Rang & Sons	Do. St. Louis Mo
1602	Mixed feed	Kehlor Bros Albany City Mills	St. Louis, Mo.
1603 1604	Capitol corn and oat feed "O. O." yellow feed	Diamond Elevator and Mill Co.	Albany, N. Y. Minneapolis, Minn.
1605	Mixed feed	Ogdensburg Roller Mills	Ogdensburg, N. Y.
1606	Blue Ribbon distiller's corn grains.	Chas. A. Krause Grain Co	Ogdensburg, N. Y. Milwaukee, Wis.
1607	Monarch ground wheat feed	F. W. Stock & Son	Hillsdale, Mich.
1609	Ground corn, oats, and rve	Tierney & Dalton	Mechanicsville, N. Y.
1610	Molasses feed	M. G. Rankin & Co	Milwaukee, Wis.
1611	Boston feed	Imperial Mill Co	Duluth, Minn.
1612	Green oval linseed oil meal	Flint Mill Co	Milwaukee, Wis.
1613	Model feed	J. F. Meyer & Son ?	Springfield, Mo.
1614	Ajax Flakes	Chapin & Co Empire Mills Hugh Pettit & Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1615	Empire feed	Empire Mills	Olean, N. Y.
1616	Horse Shoe cottonseed meal	American Cereal Co	Memphis, Tenn. Chicago, Ill.
$1617 \\ 1618$	Buckeye wheat feed Indian prime cottonseed meal .	National Cottonseed Product	Memphis, Tenn.
1619	Stott's pure winter wheat	Co. David Stott.	Detroit, Mich.
1620	mlxed feed. Southern Beauty cottonseed	J. G. Falls & Co	Memphis, Tenn.
1621	mcal. Provender		Port Byron, N. Y.
1622	Queen gluten feed	Dixon & Warren National Starch Co	Chicago, Ill.
1623	Mixed feed Monarch chop feed	Webster Mill Co	Webster, S. Dak.
1624	Monarch chop feed	Husted Mill and Elevator Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1625	3X corn and oat feed	Buffalo Cereal Co	Do.
1626	Prime cottonseed meal	Hayley & Hoskins	Memphis, Tenn.
1627	Meal and shorts	Clark & Mercer	Baldwinsville, N. Y.
1628	Germaline	Pratt Cereal Oil Co	Decatur, Ill. Buffalo, N. Y.
1629	Eric mixed feed	Chapin & Co. (jobbers)	St Louis Mo
1630	Cottonseed meal	W C Cogo & Co	Fulton N V
$1631 \\ 1693$	Ground oats	Hunter Bros. W. G. Gage & Co. Cypher's Incubator Co	St. Louis, Mo. Fulton, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y.
1693	Scratching food Monogram mixed feed	H. G. Fertig & Co	Minneapolis, Minn.
1695	Fourex XXXX distiller's	The J. W. Biles Co	Cincinnati, Ohio.
	dried grains		
	dried grains. Blood meal	The Cudahy Packing Co	Kansas City, Kans.
1696	Blood meal	The Cudahy Packing Co	Kansas City, Kans. Niagara Falls, N. Y.
	dried grains. Blood meal Niagara chop. Barley meal. Ground corn and oats	C. E. Allen. Allen V. Smith	Kansas City, Kans. Niagara Falls, N. Y. Marcellus Falls, N. Y.

### DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLES.

TABLE 1.—Description of samples—Continued.

Serial	Name of product.	Name of manufacturer.	Address of manufacturer.
No.			
1700	Star feed Corn and oat chop No. 2	The Toledo Elevator Co	Toledo, Ohio.
1701 1702	Corn and oat chop No. 2	Dayton Milling Co	Toledo, Ohio. Tonawanda, N. Y. Corning, N. Y.
1702	Corn and oat chop Buckeye wheat feed	S. Ť. Hoyt. American Cercal Co.	Chicago, III.
1704	Choice corn and oat provender.	Oneonta Milling Co	Oneonta, N. Y. Chleago, Ill.
1705	Royal oat feed	Onconta Milling Co Great Western Cercal Co Humphreys, Godwin & Co	Chleago, Ill.
1706	Dixle cottonseed meal Export O. P. linseed oil meal	Chapin & Co	Memphis, Tenn. Buffalo, N. Y. Painted Post, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y.
$\begin{array}{c} 1708\\1709\end{array}$	Corn and oat chop	Hodgman Milling Co	Painted Post, N. Y.
1710	Niagara corn and oat chop	Niagara Mill and Elevator Co.	Buffalo, N. Y.
1711 1713	Unexcelled baby chick food Ground corn and oats	The Fall Creek Milling Co	Binghamton, N. Y. Ithaca, N. Y.
1714	Gluten feed	Peel Bros. Starch Co.	Indianapolis, Ind.
1716	Delaware feed	Morris Bros	Indianapolis, Ind. Oneonta, N. Y.
1717 1718	Delaware feed. Sunshine mixed feed. Prime cottonseed meal	Hunter Bros. Independent Cotton Oil Co	St. Louis, Mo. Memphis, Tenn.
1719	meal.	J. G. Falls & Co	Do.
1720 1721	Globe gluten feed Boston mixed feed	New York Glucose Co Imperial Milling Co	Edgewater, N. J. Duluth, Minn.
1722	Export linseed oil meal, O. P	Chapin & Co.	Buffalo, N. Y.
1723	Schumacker's stock food Gold Mine mixed feed	Chapin & Co. American Cereal Co. The Sheffield Milling Co.	Chicago, Ill.
1724 1725	Gold Mine mixed feed	The Sheffield Milling Co	Faribault, Minn.
1726	Hominy feed. Blatchford's sugar and flax- seed.	Suffern, Hunt & Co J. W. Barwell	Decatur, İll. Waukegan, 111.
1727	Malt sprouts Molasses grains	Kane Malting Co.	Buffalo, N. Y.
1728 1729	Molasses grains	E. P. Mueller.	Milwaukee, Wis. Buffalo, N. Y.
1729	Lackawanna special horse and cattle feed.	Lackawanna Mill and Elevator Co.	Bunalo, N. Y.
1731	Corn and oat feed	T. R. Peck & Son	Horsehead, N. Y.
$\begin{array}{c}1732\\1733\end{array}$	Armour's pure blood meal Rye mlxed feed	Armour & Co.	Chicago, 111.
1734	Buffalo gluten feed	Armour & Co Oneonta Milling Co. Glucose Sugar Refining Co	Oneonta, N. Y. Chicago, Ill.
1735		Armour & Co. J. W. Barwell. Cypher's Incubator Co.	omougo, m.
1737	Granulated poultry bone. Blatchford's poultry meats	Armour & Co.	Do.
1738 1739	Chick food.	Cypher's Incubator Co	Waukegan, 111. Buffalo, N. Y.
1740	Niagara corn and oat feed		Do.
1741	XXX corn and oat feed.	Buffalo Cereal Co	Do.
1743 1744	King feed. Bowker's animal meal	R. P. Moore Milling Co Bowker Fertilizer Co	New York City
1745	Cottonseed meal. Green oval O. P. linseed oil	R. W. Biggs & Co Flint Mill Co	Princeton, Ind. New York City. Memphis, Tenn.
1746			Milwaukee, wis.
1748	Coarse poultry bone Old Process oil meal Golden chop. Empire feed. Blatchford's calf meal	Armour & Co	Chicago, Ill. Buffalo, N. Y. Springville, N. Y. Olean, N. Y.
1750 1752	Golden chop	Victor Mills.	Springville, N. Y.
1153	Empire feed	Spencer Kellog. Victor Mills. Empire Mills. J. W. Barwell.	Olean, N. Y.
1754 1755	Blatchford's calf meal	J. W. Barwell. Bernett, Craft & Kauffman	Waukegan, Ill. St. Louis, Mo.
	Mixed feed.	Mill Co.	
1757 1758	Green Diamond hominy. Frumentum hominy feed	Chapin & Co U. S. Frumentum Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Detroit, Mich.
1759	Forcing food	U. S. Frumentum Co Cypher's Incubator Co Ellicottville Milling Co Hunter Bros.	Buffalo, N. Y.
1760 1761	Chop feed. Hominy feed.	Ellicottville Milling Co	Ellicottville, N. Y.
1762	Victor corn and oat feed	American Cereal Co	St. Louis, Mo. Chicago, Ill.
1765 1767	Common feed H-O horse feed Biles rye (R) grains	Nicholas Hotton	Portville, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y. Cincinnati, Ohio.
1767	H-O horse feed	The H-O Company	Buffalo, N. Y.
1768 1770	Germaline.	American Cereal Co Nicholas Hotton. The H-O Company. The J. W. Biles Co Pratt Cereal Oil Co	Decatur, Ill.
1771	Warner's gluten feed	Warner Sugar Refining Co	Waukegan, Ill.
, 1773 1774	Blood meal	Warner Sugar Refining Co Swift & Co Commercial Milling Co Metzger Seed & Oil Co	Chicago, Ill. Detroit, Mich. Toledo, Ohio.
1775	Winter wheat mixed feed O. P. oil meal	Metzger Seed & Oil Co	Toledo, Ohio.
1775 1776 1777	H-O poultry feed. Corn and oat chop No. 2	The H-O Company. Chase Hibbard Milling Co Thornton & Chester Milling Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1777	Corn and oat chop No. 2	Chase Hibbard Milling Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Elmira, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y.
1779 1780	Mixed feeddo	Blish Milling Co.	Seymour, Ind.
1781	Arrow corn and oat feed	Blish Milling Co Oneonta Milling Co Warrenstein & Co Merchant Distilling Co	Seymour, Ind. Oneonta, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y.
1782	O. P. linseed meal	Warrenstein & Co.	Buffalo, N. Y.
1784	Merchant's high grade dairy feed.	Merchant Distilling Co	Terre Haute, Ind.
1785	Hominy feed	Toledo Elevator Co	Toledo, Ohio. Olean, N. Y. Minneapolis, Minn.
1786	Chop feed. Superior mixed feed. Prime cottonseed meal.	George Olivir.	Olean, N. Y. Minneepolis Minn
1787 1788	Prime cottonseed meal.	The Hunter Bros. Mill Co	St. Louis, Mo.
1789	Ajax llakes	George Olivir. Washburne Crosby Co The Hunter Bros. Mill Co Chapin & Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
	12228—Bull. 108—08—2		

#### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

		•	•
Serial No.	Name of product.	Name of manufacturer.	Address of manufacturer
1790	Linseed oil meal	The Mann Bros	Buffalo, N. Y.
1791	Ground linseed cake, O. P	The Mann Bros Midland Linseed Co	Minneapolis, Minn.
1792 1793	Hominy feed	Buffalo Cereal Co	Millwaukée, Wis.
1793	Standard Peep O'Day chick food.	Flint Mill Co The Cornell Incubator Mfg. Co	Ithaca, N. Y.
1795	Cypher's laying food	Cypher's Incubator Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1796 1797	O. P. linseed meal Export linseed oil meal, O. P	American Linseed Co Chapin & Co	New York City. Buffalo, N. Y.
1814	Nursery chick food, No. 1 Growing chick food, No. 2	The Midland Poultry Food Co.	Kansas Ci ıy, Mo.
1815	Growing chick food, No. 2	The Great Western Cereal Co	Do.
$1817 \\ 1818 $	Boss corn and oat feed Provender	C. D. Holbrook	Chicago, Ill. Palmer, Mass.
1819	Union grains—Biles ready ra- tion.	C. D. Holbrook The J. W. Biles Co	Cincinnati, Ohio.
1820 1821	Chick meal	Spratt's Patent Ltd	Newark, N. J.
1821	Malt sprouts Marsh's pure bone meal	E. P. Mueller The Geo. E. Marsh Co	Milwaukee, Wis. Lynn, Mass.
1824	Richmond's horse feed	M. C. Richmond	Adams, Mass.
1825	Mascot mixed feed	Altman	Kempton street, New Bed ford, Mass.
1826 1827	Chick feed Crosby's fancy mixed feed	Ropes Bros E. Crosby & Co	Salem, Mass.
1828	Prime cottonseed meal	American Cotton Oil Co	Pine Bluff, Ark.
1829	Dried molasses beet pulp	Alma Sugar Co	Alma, Mich.
1830 1831	Cerealine Haskell's stock food	Oneonta Milling Co W. H. Haskell & Co	Oneonta, N. Y. Toledo, Ohio.
1832	Felker's blended grain	C. H. Felker & Co	Brockton, Mass.
1833	Felker's blended grain Red Dog. "G"	Bay State Milling Co	Winona, Minn.
1834 1835	Standard middlings Owl brand pure cottonseed	Washburne-Crosby Co F. W. Brode & Co	Minneapolis, Minn. Memphis, Tenn.
1836	meal. Shredded wheat	Thos. W. Emerson Co	Boston, Mass.
1838	Schumacker's oat feed	American Cereal Co	Akron, Ohio.
1839 1840	Prime cottonseed meal Old Gold brand pure cotton-	Oliver Refining Co T. H. Burch	Portsmouth, Va. Little Rock, Ark.
	seed meal.		
1841 1842	Fancy middlings Canary brand cottonseed meal.	George Fileston Milling Co R. W. Biggs & Co	St. Cloud, Minn. Memphis, Tenn.
1843	Ajax flakes	Chapin & Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1844	Bran (H)	O. B. Burnham	Beverly, Mass.
1846	O. K. poultry meal	C. H. Felker & Co	Brockton, Mass.
1847	Red Dog $\langle H \rangle$	Moses Dorr	Boston, Mass.
$1848 \\ 1850$	Buffalo gluten feed	Glucose Sugar Refining Co	Chicago, Ill.
1850	Gold Mine mixed feed Kidder's hominy feed	Sheffield King Milling Co F. L. Kidder & Co	Minneapolis, Minn. Paris, Ill.
1852	Blue Ribbon hominy chop	J. E. Soper & Co	Boston, Mass.
1853	Cottonseed meal	Chapin & Co	St. Louis, Mo.
1854 1867	Cypher's clover meal Occident mixed feed	Cypher's Incubator Co Russel-Miller Milling Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Minneapolis, Minn.
1868	Green Oval Brand O. P. lin-	Flint Mill Co	Milwaukee, Wis.
1887	seed oil meal. Mixed feed	Straton & Co	Concord N U
1888	Oat middlings	Straton & Co Wm. S. Hill & Co	Concord, N. H. 109 Chamber of Commerce
			Boston, Mass.
1889 1890	O. P. oil meal Niagara white meal	The Mann Bros. Co Chapin & Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Boston, Mass.
1891	Chicken feed	Albert Dickinson	Chicago, Ill.
1892	Hominy feed. Cypher's laying food	Unknown	
$\frac{1893}{1894}$	Cypher's laying food Middlings	Cypher's Incubator Co Mystic Milling Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1895	Flour middlings	Washburne-Crosby Co	Minneapolis, Minn.
1896 1898	Prime cottonseed meal Old Gold brand cottonseed	Norton Chapman T. H. Burch	Boston, Mass. Little Rock, Ark.
1899	meal. Old Process oil meal	American Linseed Co	New York City.
1900	Bone meal for cattle	Bowker Fertilizer Co	Boston, Mass.
1901	II & II prime cottonseed meal.	Hayley & Hoskins	Memphis, Tenn.
		Toledo Elevator Co Poultry Cereal Co	Toledo, Ohio. Buffalo, N. Y.
1902	Poultry feed		
1902 1903 1904	Hominy feed Poultry feed Prime cottonseed meal	American Cotton Oil Co	Brinkley, Ark.
$\frac{1902}{1903}$	No. 4 egg and feather produc-		Kansas City, Mo.
1902 1903 1904 1905	Frime cottonseed meal	American Cotton Oil Co The Midland Poultry Co Puritan Poultry Farms and	
1902 1903 1904 1905 1905 1906	Prime cottonseed meal. No. 4 egg and feather produc- ing food. Puritan laying stock food Prime cottonseed meal	American Cotton Oil Co The Midland Poultry Co Puritan Poultry Farms and Mfg. Co. Oliver Refining Co	Kansas City, <b>Mo</b> . Stamford, Conn. Portsmouth, <b>Va</b> .
1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	Prime cottonseed meal No. 4 egg and feather produc- ing food. Puritan laying stock food Prime cottonseed meal Cream gluten meal	American Cotton Oll Co The Midland Poultry Co Puritan Poultry Farms and Mfg. Co. Oliver Refining Co Illinois Sugar Refining Co	Kansas City, Mo. Stamford, Conn. Portsmouth, Va. Chicago, Ill.
1902 1903 1904 1905 1905 1906	Prime cottonseed meal. No. 4 egg and feather produc- ing food. Puritan laying stock food Prime cottonseed meal	American Cotton Oll Co The Midland Poultry Co Puritan Poultry Farms and Mfg. Co. Oliver Refining Co Illinois Sugar Refining Co American Cereal Co.	Kansas City, <b>Mo.</b> Stamford, Conn. Portsmouth, <b>Va.</b>

#### TABLE 1.—Description of samples—Continued.

#### DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLES.

TABLE 1.—Description of samples—Continued.

Serial No.	Name of product.	Name of manufacturer.	Address of manufacturer.
1911	Corn bran, coarse	Glen Mills Cereal Co	Rowley, Mass.
1912	Buffalo gluten feed	Glucose Sugar Refining Co	Rowley, Mass. Chicago, Ill.
1913	Steam-cooked hominy feed	Glucose Sugar Refining Co Miner Hillard Milling Co	Miners Mills, Pa.
1914	O. F. oat feed	Wholesaler unknown	
1915	Perfect chick food	W. F. Chamberlain	Kirkwood, Mo.
1917	Adrian Red Dog	Washburne-Crosby Co	Minneapolis, Minn.
1918	Cottonseed meal	J. E. Soper & Co	Boston, Mass.
1919	Golden Bull mixed feed	Lawrenceburg Roller Mills	Law renceburg, 1nd.
1920 1921	Wyandotte chicken food Phoenix brand prime cotton- seed meal.	Lawrenceburg Roller Mills Ross Bros D. S. Marshall & Co	Worcester, Mass. Boston, Mass.
1922	XXX corn and oat feed	Buffalo Cereal Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1923	Scratching feed	Bosworth & Wood	Leominster, Mass.
1924	Malt sprouts	American Malting Co	Syracuse, N.Y.
1925	Blue ribbon distiller's corn grains.	Chas. A. Krause Grain Co	Milwaukee, Wis.
1926	High grade mixed feed	Albans Grain Co American Linseed Oil Co	St. Albans, Vt.
1927	Linseed oil meal	American Linseed Oil Co	Chicago, Hl.
1928	Schumacker's stock food	American Cereal Co	Do. Waukaran III
1929 1930	Warner's gluten feed Harding's unexcelled baby chick food.	George L. Harding	Waukegan, Ill. Binghamton, N. Y.
1931	Cut green bone Ground linseed cake	Whitman Rendering Co	Dracut, Mass.
1932	Ground linseed cake	A. L. Clements & Co	New York City.
1933	"OO" yellow feed	Diamond Elevator Milling Co	Minneapolis, Minn.
1934	Oat feed	Albert A. Keene	Chamber of Commerce, Bos ton, Mass.
1935 1936	Poultry hash	Ropes Bros.	Salem, Mass.
1930	High grade scratching feed	H. K. Webster & Co.	Lawrence, Mass.
1938	Standard middlings Flimt gluten feed	New Prague Flouring Mill Co Flint Mill Co	New Prague, Minn. Milwaukee, Wis.
1940	Choice chicken feed	Moses H. Rolfe	Nowhurvoort Mass
1941	Oat feed (F)	W. Wheatley (agent)	Newburyport, Mass. Manchester, N. II.
1942	Blatchford's sugar and flax- seed.	J. W. Barwell.	Waukegan, Ill.
1944 1945	Webster's scratching feed Amsterdam linseed ground cake.	H. K. Webster & Co W. N. Potter & Sons	Lawrence, Mass. Greenfield, Mass.
1946	O. K. poultry food	Chicopee Rendering Co	Springfield, Mass.
1953	Bran	Blue Earth City Mill Co	Blue Earth City, Minn.
1954	Horse feed	Buffalo Cereal Čo	Buffalo, N.Y.
1955	Duchess mixed feed	F. F. Woodward & Co	Ayer, Mass.
1956 1957	Magnolia brand of prime cottonseed meal.	Chas. M. Cox Co	Boston, Mass.
1958	Prime cottonseed meal "OO" white feed	Hunter Bros. Milling Co Diamond Elevator and Milling Co.	St. Louis, Mo. Minneapolis, Minn.
1959	Boston mixed feed	Imperial Milling Co	Duluth, Minn.
1960	Chicken wheat	W. H. Small. G. B. Pope & Co	Evansville, Ind.
1961	Ogilvie's bran	G. B. Pope & Co	Evansville, Ind. Waltham, Mass.
1962	Vim oat feed	American Cereal Co	Chicago, Ill. Boston, Mass.
1964	Bran (Holliday)	Moses Dorr	Boston, Mass.
1965	Bran (Holliday) Armour's pure blood meal	Armour & Co	Chicago, Ill.
1968 1971	Cottonseed meal, ABC brand Gees ground oil cake com-	Augusta Brokerage Co G. E. Grain Co	Augusta, Ga. Minneapolis, Minn.
1972	pound.	Buffalo Cornel Co	Buffelo N V
1972	Creamery feed	Buffalo Cereal Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Milwaukee, Wis.
1975	Cream gluten meal.	Flint Mill Co.	Chicago, 111.
1975	Provender.	Illinois Sugar Refining Co F. Diehl & Son	Wolloslay Muss
1977	De-Fi corn and oat feed	Ellsworth & Co	Wellesley, Mass. Buffalo, N. Y.
1980	Equality mixed feed	New Prague Flouring Mill Co	New Prague, Minn.
1982	Bran (L) (K)	Wholesaler unknown	seen a rague, minin
1985	Blue Ribbon distiller's corn grains.	Chas. A. Krause Grain Co	Milwaukee, Wis.
1986	H-O pigeon feed	The H-O Co	Buffalo, N. Y.
1987	Prime cottonseed meal	American Cotton Oil Co	Memphis, Tenn.
1988	Horse feed	The II-O Co	Buffalo, N. Y. Detroit, Mich.
1300 1			
1989	Stott's honest mixed feed	David Stott	Detroit, Mich.

#### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

#### COTTONSEED MEALS.

The average, maximum, and minimum protein and fat content of various samples of cottonseed meal examined, respectively, in Pennsylvania, New England, and New York are given in the following table:<sup>a</sup>

TABLE 2.—Percentage of protein and fat in cottonseed meals.

[Compiled.]

	Number of analy- ses.	Protein.			Fat.		
Source of samples.		Maxi- mum.	Mini- mum.	Average.	Maxi- mum.	Mini- mum.	Average.
Pennsylvania, 1900–1901 New England, 1898–99 New York, 1898–99	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\205\\14\end{array}$	$46.09 \\ 52.60 \\ 50.69$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.50\\ 40.30\\ 41.68\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44.40\\ 45.40\\ 45.64\end{array}$	$12.25 \\17.00 \\13.16$	8.77 6.50 7.56	10.10 11.20 10.82

The average percentage composition of cottonseed meals reported by Jenkins and Winton <sup>b</sup> is as follows:

Number of analyses	35
Moisture	8.2
Ash	7.2
Protein	42.3
Crude fiber	5.6
Fat	13.1
Nitrogen-free extract	23.6

Taken as a whole, the samples of cottonseed meal examined (Table 3) have a satisfactory protein content. Although most of the samples do not come up to the average found in Pennsylvania, New York, and New England, they approximate the average reported by Jenkins and Winton. Only two samples show such a low protein content as to render them liable to suspicion—namely, Nos. 1745 and 1907. No. 1745 shows a protein content of only about half what it should be. From the large amount of crude fiber and pentosans in the sample, the low fat figure, and the results of the microscopical examination it is evident that an excessive amount of protein considerably below the average. The other figures for this sample, however, are not especially suspicious, and, since another sample of the same goods has an average protein content, it is probable that it is not adulterated in any way.

The figures for crude fiber are suspiciously large in only four cases namely, Nos. 1552, 1630, 1788, 1745. Since in sample 1552 the determinations other than crude fiber compare fairly well with the average, it is probable that this rather high crude fiber has no significance.

a Report of the Pennsylvania State College for 1900-1901, under report of chemist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> U. S. Dept. Agr., Office of Experiment Stations, Bul. No. 11, A Compilation of Analyses of American Feeding Stuffs.

In samples Nos. 1630 and 1788 not only do the crude fiber figures show a slight tendency to be above the average, but this is also true of the pentosan figures. At the same time the protein and fat figures for these samples, without being markedly low, show a tendency to be below normal. In No. 1788 an excess of cotton fiber was found microscopically. It would, therefore, appear that these two samples contained a somewhat larger amount than normal of hulls or cotton fiber. It is only fair to add that a third sample of the same goods as samples Nos. 1630 and 1788 had a good average composition. Sample No. 1745 was discussed in the preceding paragraph.

The figures for pentosans are suspiciously large in only three cases, which have already been discussed.

Most of the samples examined show the presence of a very small amount of starch. It is probable, however, that no starch was really present, the small amount reported being due to inherent errors in the method of analysis.

<sup>6</sup> For the sake of uniformity of expression the non-reducing sugars are reported as sucrose. They are probably present, however, almost entirely in the form of raffinose, as shown by Scheibler and Mittelmeiers,<sup>a</sup> Berthelot,<sup>b</sup> Withers and Fraps,<sup>c</sup> and others. None varies so much from the general average as to appear suspicious, except in the case of sample No. 1745, which has already been discussed. Most of the samples contained no reducing sugars, while a few contained a very small amount.

As a whole, the figures for crude fat are below the various averages shown by the compiled data. It would appear from this that the fat is now more completely removed from the seeds than in the past. Only two fats are so low as to appear suspicious—namely, Nos. 1788 and 1745. A discussion of both of these samples has already been given.

<sup>a</sup> Ber. d. chem. Ges., 1889, 22: 3118.
<sup>b</sup> Compt. rend., 1886, 103: 533.
<sup>c</sup> North Carolina Agr. Exper. Stat., Bul. No. 179.

	Raw materials identified by mi- croscopical ex- amination.	Cottonseed meal. Do. Do.		Do.	Do.	Do.		0000 0000 0000	Do.	Do.	Do.		Do.		Do. Cottonseed meal; considerable cot- ton fiber.
	Undeter-	10.57 18.33 12.53 12.98	13.60	13.10	10.76	$12.62 \\ 13.77$	13.21	11.00 10.30 12.12 11.33	11.99	11.98	12.36	12.17	11.63 11.41	11.52	$11.85 \\ 13.99$
s.	Ether ex- tract.	$\begin{array}{c} 11.16\\ 9.84\\ 10.80\\ 9.14\\ 9.14\end{array}$	10.24	10.03	9.63	9.45 8.22	8.84	$ \begin{array}{c} 10.76 \\ 8.80 \\ 10.95 \\ 10.95 \\ \end{array} $	10.95	11.18	12.02	11.60	10.99	10.81	9.16
e basi	Reducing sugars.	0.26	.00	.28	.28	.01	.16	.16 .16 .17	.23	.20	0	.10	00	0	00
ure-fre	Sucrose.	4.93 6.55 6.19 6.19	6.05	5.92	8.72	$6.90 \\ 6.13$	6.52	5.79     6.73     6.73     6.73     6.74 $     6.74     $	7.22	6.94	7.29	7.11	6.40 5.01	5.70	6.56
moist	Starch.	0.52 0 .67	.30	.20	. 69	212	.21		.26	0	.51	.25	1.63	.82	.24
d to a	.nssotn94	$\begin{array}{c} 8.68\\ 9.09\\ 9.03\\ 10.34\end{array}$	9.28	8.21	8.04	8.52 9.09	8.81	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.40 \\     8.94 \\     9.20 \\     9.20   \end{array} $	7.62	7.89	9.46	8.68	9.42 6.97	8.20	10.66 11.08
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Crudefiber.	9. 44 6. 08 8. 43 43	7.58	5.42	5.45	6.12 7.61	6.82	$10.74 \\ 7.56 \\ 7.16 \\ 7.72 $	4.99	5.91	7.07	6.49	6.91 8.51	7.71	11.13
Ca	Crude pro- tein.	46.09 42.89 44.34 44.95	45.07	49.07	49.08	47.89 46.79	47.34	43.56 46.61 45.80	49.47	47.24	43.74	45.49	45.40 49.08	47.24	42. 77 43. 46
	·цsv	8.35 7.22 7.30 7.30	7.81	7.77	7.35	7.99 8.18	8.09	6.61 7.43 6.85 7.12	7.27	8.67	7.55	8.11	7.62 8.38	8.00	6.99
	Undeter- mined.	9.78 16.97 11.72 11.89	12.59	12.22	10.10	$11.69 \\ 13.19$	12.44	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.22 \\     9.68 \\     11.34 \\     10.51   \end{array} $	11.25	11.07	11.56	11.32	10. 81 10. 45	10.63	11. 03 12. 88
iple.	Еther ex- tract.	$\begin{array}{c} 10.33 \\ 9.10 \\ 8.37 \\ 8.37 \end{array}$	9.47	9.35	9.05	8.75	8.31	$\substack{10 & 00 \\ 9.68 \\ 8.23 \\ 10.15 \\ 10.15 \\ \end{array}$	10.28	10.32	11.24	10.78	$10.21 \\ 9.73$	9.97	8.52 6.72
al sam	Reducing sugars.	0.24	.06	.26	.26	.29	.15		.22	. 19	0	60.	00	0	00
origina	Sucrose.	$\begin{array}{c} 4.56 \\ 6.06 \\ 5.68 \\ 5.68 \end{array}$	5.61	5.52	8.20	6.38 5.87	6.13	$5.38 \\ 6.33 \\ 6.39 \\ 6.25 \\ 100 \\ $	6.78	6.41	6.82	6.62	5.95 4.59	5.27	6.10
sis of	Starch.	$     \begin{array}{c}       0.48 \\       0 \\  $	.27	. 19	.62	.19	.20	1.88 8.99 9.65 9.65 9.65 9.65 9.65 9.65 9.65 9	.25	0	. 48	.24	$\frac{1.51}{0}$	. 75	22
to ba	Pentosan.	8. 42 8. 45 9. 45 9. 47	8.59	7.62	7.56	7.89 8.70	8.29	$\begin{array}{c} 9.67 \\ 8.40 \\ 8.14 \\ 8.53 \end{array}$	7.15	7.29	8.85	8.07	$8.57 \\ 6.38$	7.48	9.92 10.20
Calculated to basis of original sample.	Crude fiber.	8.73 5.63 5.98 7.73	7.02	5.06	5.13	5.67 7.29	6.48	9.98 7.11 6.70 7.16	4.68	5.46	6.61	6.03	6. 42 7. 79	7.10	10.36 9.58
Cale	Crude pro- tein.	42. 63 39. 70 43. 36 41. 19	41.72	45.75	46.13	44. 38 44. 81	44.59	40.50 43.81 45.38 42.44	46.44	43.63	40.88	42.26	42.19 44.91	43.55	39.81 40.00
	·usv	$   \begin{array}{c}     7.72 \\     6.68 \\     6.69 \\     6.69   \end{array} $	7.23	7.25	6.96	7.40	7.61	$\begin{array}{c} 6.14 \\ 6.98 \\ 6.41 \\ 6.60 \end{array}$	6.82	8.01	7.06	7.53	7.08	7.38	6. 67 6. 43
	Moisture.	6. 44 8. 36	7.44	6.74	5.99	7.36	5.80	$     \begin{array}{c}       7.05 \\       6.01 \\       6.49 \\       7.30 \\       7.30 \\     \end{array} $	6.13	7.62	6.50	7.06	7.26 8.48	7.87	6.94 7.96
	Name and brand.	Cottonseed meal, prime	Average	Cottonseed meal, Green	Cottonseed meal, oil	Cottonseed meal, Dixie.	Average	Cottonseed meal, prime Cottonseed meal, Cottonseed meal, Star Cottonseed meal, Star	Cottonseed meal, In- dian Prime.	Cottonseed meal,	dodo	Average	Cottonseed meal, prime	Average	Cottonseed meal, prime
	Serial No.	1493 1828 1904 1987		1519	1522	1527 1706	_	1552 1580 1596 1616	1618	1620	1719		1626 1901		1630

TABLE 3.—Cottonseed meals (percentage composition).

ſ

22

COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

Cottonseed meal.		Do. Cottonseed meal; large amount of	seed coats. Cottonseed meal.	Do.		D0.	Do.		D0.	Do. Do.	Do. Do.	Do.	Do.
15.33	13. 73	13.96 13.94	7.61	13.28 16.38	14.83	13.29	13.62	13.46	13.72	13.37 14.63 15.63	$14.19 \\ 111.66$	11.60	12.26
11.12	9.19	10.32 5.36	10.36	9.65 8.77	9.21	9.49	10.42	9.96	8.50	9. 70 9. 71 9. 18	10.12 10.43	10.81	9.40
0	0	00	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	000	00	0	0
6.23	6.44	6.74 3.33	6.55	7.23	8.39	6.43	6.43	6.43	6.42	6.39 6.53 6.53	6.00	6.52	6.40
0	.31	.53	0	.37	. 19	. 32	0	.16	0	$^{0}_{26}$	00	0	0
8.83	10.19	25.20	7.68	9.59 6.41	8.00	9.07	8.91	8.99	8.31	$     \begin{array}{c}       7.31 \\       10.04 \\       8.70 \\     \end{array} $	9.39 9.97	9.21	9.31
5.90	9.15	6.03 22.05	9.86	6.73 9.95	8.34	6.43	7.14	6.78	7.82	5.20 7.35 7.10	$\begin{array}{c} 7.12\\ 7.58\end{array}$	6.98	7.39
44.16	43.46	46.03 24.37	49. 53	46.48 41.61	44.04	47.45	45.98	46.71	47.14	49. 50 44. 73 47. 86	$46.01 \\ 45.55$	47.14	47.55
8.43	7.53	5.22	8.42	$\frac{7.04}{6.95}$	7.00	7.52	7.50	7.51	8.09	8.53 6.92 4.72	7.17 8.32	7.74	7.69
14.22	12.71	11.03 12.81	6.73	$12.02 \\ 14.92$	13.47	12.30	12.49	12.39	11.94	$12.12 \\ 13.34 \\ 14.48$	$12.87\\10.54$	10.64	11.27
10.32	8.51	9.63 4.93	9.18	8.73	8.36	8.79	9.55	9.17	7.40	8.79 8.50 8.50	9.17	9.92	8.65
0	0	00	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	000	00	0	0
5.78	5.96	6.29 3.06	5.80	6.54 8.71	7.63	5.95	5.90	5.92	5.59	$5.78 \\ 6.04 \\ 6.07$	5.44 5.87	5.98	5.88
0	.29	. 49	0	.34	.17	. 29	0	.15	0	$^{0}_{24}$	00	0	0
8.19	9.44	7.67 23.16	6.81	8.67 5.84	7.25	8.40	8.17	8.29	7.22	$\begin{array}{c} 6.62\\ 9.16\\ 8.06\end{array}$	8.51 9.02	8.45	8.56
5.47	8.47	5.63 20.26	8. 73	$6.09 \\ 9.06$	7.57	5.95	6.55	6.25	6.81	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 71 \\ 6.\ 71 \\ 6.\ 58 \end{array}$	6. 45 6. 85	6.40	6.80
40.94	40.25	42.94 22.38	43.86	42.05 37.90	39.98	43.91	42.16	43.03	41.04	44. 86 40. 78 44. 34	41.72 41.19	43.24	43. 73
7.82	6.97	7.37 4.80	7.46	6.36 6.34	6.35	6.96	6.88	6.92	7.04	$\begin{array}{c} 7.73 \\ 6.31 \\ 4.37 \end{array}$	6.50 7.53	7.10	7.07
7.26	7.38	6.69 8.11	11.43	9.54 8.90	9.22	7.45	8.30	7.88	12.96	9.30 8.80 7.36	9.34 9.57	8.27	8.04
1957  do	Average	8 Cottonseed meal, prime 5 Cottonseed meal	5 Pure cottonseed meal, • Owl Brand.	9 Cottonseed meal, prime 7do	Average	0 Pure cottonseed meal, Old Gold	:	Average	-	<ul> <li>3 Cottonseed meal.</li> <li>6 Cottonseed meal, prime</li> <li>9 Cotton seed meal, prime</li> </ul>	ರ್ಲ	2	Ŭ
195		1718 1745	1835	1839 1907		1840	1898		1842	$1853 \\ 1896 \\ 1909 $	$1918 \\ 1921$	1956	1968

COTTONSEED MEALS.

#### LINSEED MEALS.

The average, maximum, and minimum protein and fat content of various samples of linseed meal examined in Pennsylvania, New England, and New York are given in the following table:<sup>a</sup>

TABLE 4.—Percentage of protein and fat in linseed meals.

#### [Compiled.]

			Protein	n.		Fat.	
Source of sample.	Number of analy- ses.	Maxi- mum.	Mini- mum.	Average.	Maxi- mum.	Mini- mum.	Average.
"Old process" meal:							
Pennsylania, 1900–1901	24	37.81	29, 69	34.10	8.88	3.54	6.04
New England, 1898-99		38.90	31.80	35.70	9.60	2,70	7.20
New York, 1898-99		38.19	28.69	35.74	8.86	5.72	7.19
"New process" meal:							
Pennsylvania, 1900–1901	3	34.63	34.00	34.25	2.92	2.19	2.63
New England, 1898–99	31	42.20	39.60	38.20	3.50	1.80	2.40
New York, 1898–99	5	37.56	35.19	36.14	4.79	2.91	3. 57

The average composition of linseed meals reported by Jenkins and Winton  $^{b}$  is as follows:

TABLE 5.—Average	percentage	composition	of	linseed	meals.
------------------	------------	-------------	----	---------	--------

#### [Compiled.]

Kind of meal.	Number of analy- ses.	Moisture.	Ash.	Protein.	Crude fiber.	Nitro- gen-free extract.	Fat.
"Old process" meals		9.20	5.7	32. 9	8.9	35. 4	7.9
"New process" meals		10.10	5.8	33. 2	9.5	38. 4	3.0

Taken as a whole the crude protein content of the samples of linseed meal examined is satisfactory (Table 6). A considerable number of the samples do not come up to any of the above averages, but they are, in the majority of cases, not sufficiently below the general average to excite any suspicion of adulteration. The only samples sold under the name of linseed meal that excite any suspicion at all (because of their low protein content) are Nos. 1537, 1868, and 1791. Sample No. 1537 not only contains a slightly low amount of protein, but shows an amount of crude fiber several per cent higher than the average and a larger amount of reducing sugars than other samples. The microscopical examination explains this matter by showing the presence of a wheat product mixed with the linseed meal. The composition of another sample of this product is satisfactory, although a small amount of some leguminous plant is shown to be present. Sample No. 1868 contains a slightly low

a Report of Pennsylvania State College for 1900-1901, report of chemist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> U. S. Dept. Agr., Office of Experiment Stations, Bul. No. 11, A Compilation of Analyses of American Feeding Stuffs.

amount of protein, but this has no significance when it is noted that other samples of the same product have a satisfactory composition. Sample No. 1791 also has a somewhat low protein content; since, however, all other determinations on this sample are above suspicion, no significance is to be attached to the low protein content.

Because of the name and microscopical examination, sample No. 1971 was classed under linseed meals, although its low protein and high starch content show that it is not straight linseed meal. The microscopic examination shows the presence of a wheat product.

A considerable number of the samples examined contain a smaller percentage of fat than is given in any of the compiled averages, but they are not so much below the averages as to excite suspicion, except in two cases, namely, Nos. 1927 and 1797. Sample No. 1927 would appear from the fat figures to be made according to the new process. No. 1797 contains considerably less fat than the average linseed meal. Since, however, three other samples of the same goods contain a satisfactory amount of fat, it is probable that the low fat content in this single sample has no significance.

The microscopical examination of this group of samples shows that the majority of them contain weed seeds in greater or less abundance. Small amounts of the various weed seeds are to be expected, and it is only when they are of a poisonous variety or in excessive quantities that their presence is reprehensible.

and the second sec	Raw materials identi- fied by microscopic examination.	Linseed oil meal, smart-	Linseed oil meal. Linseed oil meal.	sumrheed.	Linseed meal, pigweed, rough pigweed,	smartweed, charlock. Linseed meal, pigweed	seeu. Linseed meal.		Linseed meal. Linseed meal, pigweed,	Linseed meal, pigweed,	rougn pigweeu. Linseed meal, smart- weed, pigweed, Bras-	sica (sp.). Linseed meal, smart- weed, pigweed, Bras-	sica (sp.).	Linseed meal, charlock	Linsed meal, some le- gume, trace of pig-	weed seed.	Linseed meal, charlock, p i g w e e d (rough), smartweed.
	Undeter- bonim.	17.72	$16.22 \\ 17.37$	17.10	23.63	17.53	16.30	19.15	$17.97 \\ 22.06$	25.13	24.30	19.71	22.80	19.13	23.92	21.52	19.34
ż	Ether ex- tract.	9.50	8.69 6.86	8.35	8.11	8.01	7.97	8.03	2.52	6. 53	8.27	5.19	6.85	6.57	5.82	6.20	6.94
e basi	Reducing sugars.	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0.61	0	0.30	0
ire-fre	Sucrose.	2.09	2.59 2.58	2.43	2.69	2.33	2.91	2.64	2.57 2.76	1.92	2.76	2.47	2.48	2.70	2.82	2.76	2.39
moistı	.dorst2	4.89	5.23 8.27	6.13	3.13	5.46	8.17	5.59	5.35 9.27	4.95	6.78	10.86	7.96	7.01	4.81	5.91	9.18
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Pentosan.	12.36	$13.39 \\ 12.68$	12.81	11.97	12.89	12.98	12.61	12.81	13.83	7.82	11.80	11.15	12.63	11.93	12.28	11.24
lculate	Crude fiber.	9.03	9.47 7.86	8.79	9.25	8.85	9.32	9.14	9.81	9.98	9.37	9.62	9.40	13. 78	10.23	12.01	9.40
Ca	Crude pro- tein.	39.23	39.07 39.08	39.13	35.82	39.68	36. 54	37.35	41.66 32.83	32.25	34.96	33.36	33. 35	32.35	34.15 1	33.25 1	36.06
	·ųsv	5.18	5.34 5.30	5.27	5.40	5.25	5.81	5.49	7.31	5.41	5.74	6.99	6.01	5.22	6.32	5.77	5.44
	Undeter- mined.	16.13	14. 77 15. 34	15.42	21.53	15.97	14.53	17.34	15.87     20.17	23.82	22.18	18.02	21.05	17.60	21.83	19.72	17.84
e.	Ether ex- tract.	8.66	$7.91 \\ 6.06$	7.54	7.39	7.30	7.10	7.26	2.34	6.19	7.55	4.75	6.32	6.05	5.31	5.68	6.40
sampl	Reducing sugars.	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0.59	0	0.29	0
iginal	.92019US	1.92	2.36 2.28	2.19	2.45	2.12	2.59	2.39	2. 16 2. 52	1.82	2.52	2.26	2.28	2.48	2.58	2.53	2.20
s of or	dorate	4.46	4.76	5.51	2.85	4.97	7.31	5.04	4. 72 8. 47	4.69	6.19	9.93	7.32	6.45	4.39	5.42	8.47
Calculated to basis of original sample.	.nssotn9'I	11.26	12.19	11. 55	10.91	11.74	11.56	11.40	11.30     10.18	13.10	7.14	10.79	10.30	11.62	10.89	11.25	10.37
ulated	Crude fiber.	8. 23	8.62 6.94	7.93	8.43	8.06	8.30	8.26	8.67 7.89	9.46	8.55	8.80	8.67	12.68	9.34	11.01	8.67
Calc	Crude pro- tein.	35.75	35. 56 34. 50	35.27	32.63	36.13	32.55	33. 77	36.78 30.00	30.56	31.88	30.50	30.73	29.75	31.16	30.46	33.25
		4.72	4. 86 4. 68	4.75	4.92	4.78	5.18	4.96	6.46 5.39	5.13	5.24	6. 39	5.54	4.80	5.77	5.28	5.02
	.91utžio M	8.87	$\begin{array}{c} 8.97\\ 11.69\end{array}$	9.84	8.89	8.93	10.88	9.57	11.70 S.60	5.23	8.75	8.56	7.78	7.98	8.73	8.36	7.78
	Name and brand.	Linseed oil meal,		Average	Linseed oil meal, 0. P.	do	do1	Average		do	do	do	Average	Ground linseed	do	Average	Linseed oil meal, 0. P.
	Serial No.	1480a	1790a 1889a		1498	1796	1899		1927	17086	17226	17976		1537	1932		1585

TABLE 6.—Linseed oil meal (percentage composition).

26

## COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

Linseed meal, pigweed.		Linseed meal, smart-	weed. Linseed meal, rough	plgweed seed. Linseed meal, charlock,	smartweed, pigweed. Linseed meal.		Linseed meal.	1 L	grain. Linseed meal.	Linseed meal, charlock, pigweed and other	weed seeds. Linseed meal.	Linseed meal, charlock,	<ul> <li>pigweed, smartweed.</li> <li>Linseed meal, pigweed</li> <li>(smooth and rough).</li> </ul>	smartweed. Linseed meal, pigweed.	Linseed meal, W. P. No. 3, pigweed, smooth and rough, charlock, smartweed.	
17.74	18.54	21.33	19.00	22.23	19.96	20.63	20.25	20.50 19.61	18.00	22.49	14.69	18.97	16.15	24.23	20.18	
7.05	7.00	5.47	5.74	6.28	6.50	6.00	7.36	6. 19 8. 95	9.15	5.74	14.07	7.36	9.04	6.47	5.81	-0.
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c See page 70.
2.68	2.53	3.09	2.08	2.75	2.86	2.69	2.81	2.59	2.62	3.37	2.86	2.46	2.71	2.64	3.21	c See
8.26	8.72	7.38	11.15	5.00	7.29	7.70	4.94	7.64	5.73	4.96	7.01	5.20	13.86	3.78	28.71	
12.39	11.82	12.21	11.58	11.98	12.70	12.12	11.84	11.95 11.11	12.59	11.64	11.71	12.78	11.50	12.31	9.49	
9.46	9.43	8.46	10.60	9.31	10.14	9.63	8.42	9. 71 9. 21	8.06	8.95	8.48	8.22	9.31	8.38	10.87	
36.21	36.14	36.24	33.35	35.38	33.46	34.61	39.15	35.95 35.82	38.51	37.66	36.11	39.67	32.13	37.16	16.72	
6.21	5.82	5.82	6.50	7.07	7.09	6.62	5.23	5.47 5.88	5.34	5.19	5.07	5.34	5.30	5.03	5.00	4.
16.30	17.07	19.79	17.17	20.39	17.69	18.76	18.47	18. 71 18. 14	16.48	20.64	13. 55	17.45	14.74	21.23	17. 55	See page 64.
6.48	6.44	5.08	5.19	5.76	5.76	5.45	6.71	5.65 8.28	8.38	5.27	12.98	6.76	8.25	5.67	5.06	b See
0	0	0	Ő.	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.46	2.33	2.87	1.88	2.52	2.56	2.46	2.56	2.36	2.40	3.09	2.64	2.26	2.47	2.32	2.79	
7.59	8.03	6.85	10.08	4.59	6.46	6.99	4.50	6.97 6.22	5.25	4. 55	6.47	4.78	12.65	3.32	24.98	
11.38	10.87	11.33	10.47	10.99	11.25	11.01	10.79	10.91	11.53	10.68	10.80	11.74	10.50	10.79	8.26	
8.69	8.68	7.85	9.58	8.54	8.99	8.74	7.68	8.86 8.52	7.38	8.21	7.82	7.55	8.50	7.34	9.45	
33.25	33.25	33.63	30.13	32.44	29.63	31.46	35.69	32. 81 33. 13	35.25	34.56	33.31	36.44	29.31	32.55	14. 54	a See page 67.
5.71	5.37	5.40	5.87	6.49	6.28	6.01	4.77	4.99	4.89	4.76	4.68	4.91	4.84	4.41	4.35	a See
8.14	7.96	7.20	9.63	8.28	11.38	9.12	8.83	8. 74 7. 49	8.44	8.24	7.75	8.11	8.74	12.37	13. 02	
do	Average	Linseed oil meal,	do	do	do	Average	Linseed oil meal,	linseed	Linseed oil meal,	F	Linseed oil meal,		do	Linseed ground 12.37 cake, Amster-	George Stroimd oil 13.02 cake compound.	
1775		1588	1612	1746	1868		1512	1513 1553	1560	15860	1750	1782	1791a	1945	1971	

## LINSEED MEALS.

#### CORN PRODUCTS.

The average composition of the two types of corn, dent and flint, and of Indian corn-meal and gluten-meal, as given by Jenkins and Winton,<sup>a</sup> is as follows:

TABLE 7.—Average percentage composition of corn, corn-meal, and gluten-meal.

[Compiled.]

of analyses.	Mois- ture.	Ash.	Protein.	Crude fiber.	Nitro- gen-free extract.	Fat.
86	10, 6	1.5	10.3	2.2	70.4	5. 0
68	11.3	1.4	10.5	1.7	70.1	5. 0
	15.0	1.4	-9.2	1.9	68.7	3, 8
32	9.6	. 7	29.4	1.6	52.4	6. 3
	86 68 77	analyses.         ture.           86         10.6           68         11.3           77         15.0	analyses.         ture.           86         10.6         1.5           68         11.3         1.4           77         15.0         1.4	analyses.         ture.           86         10.6         1.5         10.3           68         11.3         1.4         10.5           77         15.0         1.4         -9.2	analyses.         ture.         Ash.         Floteni.         fiber.           86         10.6         1.5         10.3         2.2           68         11.3         1.4         10.5         1.7           77         15.0         1.4         9.2         1.9	

The average, maximum, and minimum protein and fat content of gluten feeds examined in Pennsylvania, New York, and New England  $^{a}$  from 1898 to 1901 is as follows:

TABLE 8.—Percentage of protein and fat in gluten feeds.

[Compiled.]

	Number		Protein	l.	11	Fat.	
Description of sample.	of analyses.	Max- imum.	Min- imum.	Average.	Max- imum.	Min- imum.	Average.
Pennsylvania, 1900-1901:							
All analyses	11	27.00	24.00	25.71	3, 55	2, 19	2.6
Glucose Sugar Refining Co.'s							
brands	7	26.75	24.00	25, 58	3, 53	2, 19	2.8
New England, 1898-99: /					1		
Buffalo gluten-feed	34	29.60	25, 30	27.50	4.70	2.30	3,1
Diamond gluten-feed	30	30.10	20.30	23, 60	4.00	2,00	3, 0
New York:					-		
Buffalo gluten-feed, 1899	6	27.63	21.31	26.10	4.67	3. 38	3.7
Diamond gluten-feed, 1899	2	20.56	20.00	20, 28	5. 21	3.40	4.3
All analyses, 1900	21			23.75			4.5
Buffalo gluten-feed, 1900		27.00	24.10	25.90	5,00	2.90	3, 9
Diamond gluten-feed, 1900	3	25,90	24.40	25.30	3, 60	2.80	3.2

The protein content, and in fact the entire composition, of the various samples reported in Table 10 under the name gluten feed is satisfactory. While samples Nos. 1479 and 1938 are somewhat lower in their protein content than the other samples examined, there is no evidence of adulteration. A number of the samples have a fat content considerably lower than the averages given in Table 8, but this fact does not have any especial significance, since gluten feeds are sold for their nitrogen rather than their fat content. The two samples of gluten-meal examined show a very satisfactory protein content.

<sup>a</sup> Loc. cit.

Samples Nos. 1628 and 1770 resemble whole corn quite closely, except that the protein, ash, and crude fiber content are somewhat higher than in this product. Considering the microscopical examination in connection with the analysis, it would appear that these samples consist of either corn-meal or whole corn, with an excess of hulls and germs. Sample No. 1830 would appear, from the analysis, to be the same kind of a product as the two just mentioned.

The average composition of hominy chops, as given by Jenkins and Winton,<sup>*a*</sup> and also by the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station,<sup>*b*</sup> is as follows:

		Compiled.	]	,	0	•	
Source of analyses.	Number of analyses.	Mois- ture.	Ash.	Protein.	Crude fiber,	Nitro- gen-free extract.	Fat.
Jenkins and Winton Connecticut Agricultural Experi- ment Station	12 30	11. 1 . 9. 57	2, 5 2, 8	9. 8 10. 20	3. 8 5. 21	64. 5 64. 19	8, 3 8, 03

TABLE 9.—Average percentage composition of hominy chops.

Samples Nos. 1535, 1785, and 1902 are all somewhat below the average in fat and above the average in crude fiber, and the last two are slightly below the average in protein. This tendency is not sufficiently marked to indicate adulteration, but would seem to show the presence of more hulls than are found in the average sample. No. 1757 gives practically the same results as the samples just mentioned.

All of the samples examined, except two or three, show somewhat low figures for fat, although not low enough in any case to give rise to suspicion of intentional adulteration.

## a Loc. cit.

<sup>b</sup> Report of the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station for 1905, Part III.

	Raw materials iden- tified by micro- scopical examina- tion.	Corn hulls and germs, and cooked starch, probably glucose factory by- product.		Do. Do.		Do. Do. Do.	•	Do. Do.		Do. Do.	Do. Do.		Coarse corn hulls.
	Undeter- benim	11.73 9.92	10.82	$\begin{array}{c} 8.70 \\ 5.91 \\ 8.79 \end{array}$	7.80	$7.49 \\ 9.51 \\ 7.85 \\ 7.85 \\ 10.75$	8.90	11.37	11.24	9.48 8.10 7.71	$\frac{2.78}{3.93}$	3.35	12.52
	Ether ex- tract.	1.88 2.13	2.02	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.92 \\     3.18 \\     2.49   \end{array} $	2.53	2.33 2.33 2.05	2.78	2.00 3.19	2.59	$2.57 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.50$	.48	26.	3.16
basis.	R e d ueing. sugars.	0 0	0	0.54 .64	. 40	1.52 2.52 .77	1.27	0 38 .38	.19	.73	99.0	.33	.33
e-free	Sucrose.	0 0.28	.14	$\overset{0}{\overset{.16}{.0}}$	.05	00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.25	.08	.22	8.00	00	0	.15
noistur	. Атагећ.	34.06 35.26	34.66	$34.84 \\ 31.82 \\ 31.26 $	32.64	$   \begin{array}{c}     34.66 \\     30.34 \\     35.23 \\     31.39 \\   \end{array} $	32.91	$30.26\\26.09$	28.18	30.53 29.34 47.83	33.92 38.90	36.41	31.01
Calculated to moisture-free basis.	.nssotn9T	18.42	17.81	$     \begin{array}{c}       18.86 \\       20.37 \\       19.10     \end{array} $	19.45	$\begin{array}{c} 16.41\\ 16.17\\ 19.21\\ 18.02 \end{array}$	17.45	$18.04 \\ 18.00$	18.02	16.84 23.13 8.75	$     \begin{array}{c}       11.10 \\       6.84     \end{array} $	8.97	29.64
alculat	Crude fiber.	7.87	9.13	8.37 8.77 9.25	8.80	$7.84 \\ 7.87 \\ 8.16 \\ 7.81 \\ $	7.92	8.89	8.65	7.77 10.19 4.17	$2.25 \\ 2.08 \\ $	2.16	11.79
	Crude pro- tein.	24.95 23.66	24.30	$26.42 \\ 27.88 \\ 26.8$	27.06	$\begin{array}{c} 25.67 \\ 26.51 \\ 25.95 \\ 27.08 \end{array}$	26.30	$27.30 \\ 30.90$	29.10	$\begin{array}{c} 29.70\\ 26.49\\ 29.26\end{array}$	47.53	46.33	9.66
	'ųsv	1.09	1.12	$.89 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.59$	1.27	$2.71 \\ 3.07 \\ .98 \\ 2.13 $	2.22	$\begin{array}{c} 1.78\\ 1.84\\ 1.84 \end{array}$	1.81	2.29 1.20	$1.28 \\ 1.67 \\ $	1.48	1.74
	Undeter- banim	10.78	9.87		7.16	$6.95 \\ 8.79 \\ 7.32 \\ 9.70 \\ 9.70 \\ end{tabular}$	8.19	10.55 10.27	10.41	$     \begin{array}{r}             8.80 \\             7.36 \\             7.21 \\             7.21         \end{array} $	2.51 3.47	2.99	11.02
le.	Ether ex- tract.	1.73	1.83	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.77 \\     2.91 \\     2.26   \end{array} $	2.31	3.07 3.17 2.17 1.85	2.56	$1.86 \\ 2.95$	2.40	$2.39 \\ 1.41 \\ 1.39 \\ 1.39$	$.45 \\ 1.29$	.87	2.78
l samp	Reducing. sugars.	0 0	0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0.50 \\ .58 \end{array}$	.36	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.41 \\     2.33 \\     2.28 \\     .70 \\   \end{array} $	1.18	0 *35	.17	89. 00	99.0	.30	.29
rigina	Sucrose.	0 0.25	.12	0 15 0	.05	.37 .54 0	.23	.34	.21	0.00	00	0	. 13
sis of o	.fareh.	31.31	31.57	$\begin{array}{c} 32.15\\ 29.25\\ 28.38\end{array}$	29.93	$\begin{array}{c} 32.15\\ 28.03\\ 32.87\\ 28.32\\ 28.32\end{array}$	30.35	28.08 24.09	26.08	28.35 26.64 44.42	30.78 34.33	32.56	27.29
Calculated to basis of original sample.	.nssotn94	16.93 15.54	16.24	$17.40 \\ 18.74 \\ 17.36 $	17.83	15.22 14.94 17.93 16.27	16.09	$16.74 \\ 16.62$	16.68	$     \begin{array}{c}       15.63 \\       21.01 \\       8.13     \end{array}   $	$10.08 \\ 6.04$	8.06	26.07
culated	Crude fiber.	7.23	8.30	$7.72 \\ 8.06 \\ 8.41$	8.06	7.27 7.27 7.62 7.05	7.30	8.25 7.76	8.01	7.21 9.26 3.88	$2.04 \\ 1.84$	1.94	10.37
Cal	Crude pro- tein.	22.94 21.36	22.15	$\begin{array}{c} 24.38\\ 25.63\\ 24.42\end{array}$	24.81	23.81 24.50 24.22 24.44	24.24	$25.31 \\ 28.50$	26.91	27.56 24.06 27.19	$43.13 \\ 39.82$	41.47	8.50
	·ųsv	1.00	1.02	$.81 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.45$	1.16	$2.51 \\ 2.84 \\ .92 \\ 1.92 \\ 1.92 $	2.05	$\frac{1.65}{1.70}$	1.68	2.13 1.09 .73	$   \frac{1.16}{1.48} $	1.32	1.53
-	Moisture.	8.08	8.90	7.74 8.09 9.15	8.33	$7.24 \\ 7.59 \\ 6.67 \\ 9.75$	7.81	7.22 7.68	7.45	$7.17 \\ 9.17 \\ 7.05$	$\frac{9.25}{11.73}$	10.49	12.02
	Name and brand.	Gluten feed, Flint	Average	Gluten feed, Warner's	Average	Gluten feed, Buffalodododo	Average	Gluten feed, Globe	Average	Gluten feed, Pekin Gluten feed, Queen Gluten feed	Gluten meal, Cream	Average	1911 Corn bran
	Serial No.	1479		$1517 \\ 1771 \\ 1929$		$\begin{array}{c} 1533 \\ 1734 \\ 1848 \\ 1848 \\ 1912 \end{array}$		$1568 \\ 1720$		1547 1622 1714	1908		1911

TABLE 10.—Corn products (percentage composition).

A corn product, prob- ably corn meal with some excess	lls and		Corn product. Corn-meal.	Corn product, con- sisting of hulls, endosperm, and	germ. Do. Do.		Corn-meal, consist- ing of hulls, endo-	sperm and germ. Do.		Do.	Do. Do.	Do.	Do.	Do. Do.	Do. Do.	
.57	6.08	3.32	8.71 5.70	.55	7.63	5.23	.88	5.31	3.09	7.28	6.63 .55 2.97	4.76	4.81	$3.37 \\ 10.99 \\ 9.67$	7.01	e 67.
1.46	1.55	1.50	1.69	6.51	6.12 5.55	6.06	6.83	7.38	7.11	7.50	7.71 10.16 8.65	6.41	7.52	8.40 5.99 6.18	6.75 6.48	See page 67
1.81	1.82	1.82	.43	.26	1.05	. 68	. 47	.63	.55	. 63	-90 	.25	.23	$\frac{.83}{.86}$	.88	a. S
5.47	5.85	5.66	$3.74 \\ 1.88$	3.11	1.70	2.20	2.08	2.80	2.44	2.06	2.77 3.13	1.83	2.72	2.80 1.37 1.08	558 558	
56.66	49.38	53.02	$52.21 \\ 60.46$	54.20	53.18 52.81	53.40	57.75	53.57	55.66	54.53	54.89 55.81 57.34	53.22	59.32	51.78 54.49 54.17	43.87 59.56	
10.91	12.96	11.94	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.14 \\     8.03   \end{array} $	14.46	11.95 10.90	12.44	13.13	11.66	12.40	10.16	$\begin{array}{c} 9.99\\ 11.22\\ 9.68\end{array}$	13.60	8.23	$   \begin{array}{c}     13.57 \\     9.01 \\     9.80   \end{array} $	21.59 9.24	33.
4.45	4.83	4.64	4.71 2.85	7.33	6.33 7.27	6.98	5.23	4.81	5.02	3.98	3.94 4.16 4.09	7.40	3.26	5.96 3.71 4.46	3.92	See page 63.
14.00	13.35	13.68	13.68 10.65	10.40	9.79 9.78	9.99	10.99	11.01	11.00	11.23	11.17 11.72 10.90	9.76	11.07	$\frac{10.25}{11.28}$ 10.64	$\begin{array}{c} 10.10\\ 10.97 \end{array}$	c Set
4.67	4.18	4.42	4.69 5.83	3.18	2.56 3.32	3.02	2.64	2.83	2.73	2.63	2.68 3.36 3.01	2.77	2.84	2.30 2.30 2.70	3.23 2.57	
.52	5.53	3.03	$\frac{7.94}{4.98}$	.51	6.97 6.64	4.70	.80	4.85	2.83	6.46	$6.01 \\ .51 \\ 2.71$	4.36	4.37	$\begin{array}{c} 3.11 \\ 9.99 \\ 8.53 \end{array}$	6.31 3.86	
1.33	1.42	1.37	$1.54 \\ 3.64$	5.99	5.59	5.49	6.22	6.74	6.48	6.66	$6.99 \\ 9.38 \\ 7.89$	5.88	6.84	7.74 5.44 5.45	6.08 5.78	1
1.65	1.66	1.65	.39	.24	.93 93	.62	.43	.58	.50	.56	.23	.23	.21	$\begin{array}{c}77\\78\\ 1.15\\ \end{array}$	.79	1
4.98	5.34	5.16	3.41 1.64	2.86	$1.55 \\ 1.57$	1.99	1.89	2.56	2.22	1.83	$1.90 \\ 2.56 \\ 2.86 \\ 2.86 \\ 3.00 \\ $	1.68	2.47	$2.58 \\ 1.25 \\ .95$	2.38	
51.60	45.09	48.35	$47.61 \\ 52.76$	49.84	48.59 46.52	48.32	52.56	48.92	50.74	48.35	$\begin{array}{c} 49.78\\ 51.46\\ 52.31\end{array}$	48.75	53.90	47.69 49.50 47.75	39.51 53.14	See page 70
9.94	11.84	10.89	$9.24 \\ 7.01$	13.30	$10.92 \\ 9.60$	11.27	11.95	10.65	11.30	9.01	$\begin{array}{c} 9.06 \\ 10.35 \\ 8.83 \end{array}$	12.46	7.48	$12.50 \\ 8.19 \\ 8.64$	19.45 8.25	b See
4.05	4.41	4.23	4.30 2.49	6.74	$5.78 \\ 6.40$	6.31	4.76	4.39	4.58	3.54	3.57 3.84 3.73	6.78	2.96	5.49 3.37 3.94	3.53 3.41	
12.75	12.19	12.47	$12.48 \\ 9.30$	9.56	$8.94 \\ 8.61$	9.04	10.00	10.06	10.03	9.96	$ \begin{array}{c} 10.13 \\ 10.81 \\ 9.94 \end{array} $	8.94	10+06	$\begin{array}{c} 9.44 \\ 9.25 \\ 9.38 \end{array}$	9.09 9.79	
4.25	3.82	4.03	4.28 5.09	2.92	2.33	2.72	2.40	2.59	2.49	2.34	$\begin{array}{c} 2.43 \\ 3.10 \\ 2.75 \end{array}$	2.54	2.58	$2.79 \\ 2.09 \\ 2.38 \\ $	2.91 2.29	
8.93	8.68	8.82	8.81 12.72	8.04	$^{8.65}_{11.92}$	9.54	8.99	8.66	8.83	11.29	$\begin{array}{c} 9.31 \\ 7.76 \\ 8.77 \end{array}$	8.38	9.13	$7.89 \\ 9.14 \\ 9.11.83$	9.95 10.76	
1628 Germaline	do 0	Average	0 Cerealine	Hominy feed	dodo	Average	Hominy chop	5do	Average	Η	Hominy chop		Ξ	H	2 Hominy feed, Steam 10. Cooked, Steam 10.	a See page 69.
1628	1770		1830 1890	1535	1785		1559	1725		1852a	$1495 \\ 1531 \\ 1554 \\ $	1757	1758b	1761 1792 c 1851	$1892 \\ 1913d$	

CORN PRODUCTS.

## COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

### BREWERY AND DISTILLERY PRODUCTS.

The average composition of seven samples of Ajax flakes, which are no more than distillers' dried grains, examined by the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station during 1904 and 1905,<sup>a</sup> together with the average analysis of six samples of malt sprouts from the same source and forty-two samples of brewers' dried grains examined by the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station,<sup>b</sup> are given in the following table:

TABLE 11.—Average percentage analyses of brewery and distille
---

		Complied	·1 -				
Description of sample.	Number of analyses.	Mois- ture.	Ash.	Protein.	Crude fiber.	Nitro- gen-free extract.	Fat.
Ajax flakes Malt sprouts Brewers' dried grains	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 6\\ 42 \end{array}$	7.19 9.94 8.9	2. 10 5. 83 3. 70	$\begin{array}{r} 31.\ 49\\ 25.\ 15\\ 23.\ 90\end{array}$	12. 55 11. 40 13. 20	32.94 45.98 43.30	$13.74 \\ 1.71 \\ 7.00$

An inspection of the samples of the distillers' grains examined in the Bureau of Chemistry (Table 12) shows that their protein content, except in one case, agrees well with the average. Although the fat content is low, there is nothing to indicate adulteration. Sample No. 1768 has a very low protein content as compared with the others.

The samples of brewers' dried grains are well up to the standard and evidently unadulterated. It is evident from the microscopical examination that No. 1819, while corresponding very closely in protein and fat content to brewers' dried grains, consists of a mixture of several different feeding materials.

All samples of malt sprouts examined agree well with the standard and show no indications of adulteration. The sucrose and reducingsugar figures vary considerably, but this is to be expected from the nature of the goods.

<sup>a</sup> Report of the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station for 1904, Part V, 1905, Part III.

<sup>b</sup> Twenty-second Annual Report of the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station.

	Raw materials identi- fied by microscopic examination.	Distillers' grains (corn, rye, and bar-	ley). Do.		Distillers' grains (corn and barley),	Distillers' grains	(corn and barley). Do.		Distillers' grains (corn. barley, and	possibly some rye). Do. Distillers' grains (corn, barley, and trace of rye).		Distillers' grains(bar-	Brewers' grains (bar-	ley tissues). Do.		
	Undeter- mined.	9.37	9.00 8.47	8.95	1.09	5.06	4.98	3.72	8.65	11. 91 10. 80	10.45	9.16	9.60	9.16	9.38	
ŀ	Ether ex- tract.	9.73	12.08 5.93	9.25	12.77	8.35	8.36	9.83	12.40	9.44	10.51	6.25	5.91	6.77	6.34	
basis.	Reducing sugars.	0.	0.0.	8	0.	0,	0,	0.	0.	0.0.	0.	.16	.93	.19	.56	ge 64.
re-free	Sucrose.	0.	0.0.	00.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	b See page 64
noistu	.farch.	8.81	2.98	6.30	16.03	13.54	13.38	14.33	6.97	4.06 6.76	5.93	13.66	11.47	11.45	11.46	2
d to a 1	.nssojn94	19.06	19.79 20.60	19.82	19.99	18.39	19.67	19.35	22.21	21.94 19.33	21.16	34.27	24.28	24.41	24.35	
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Crude fiber.	13.86	$19.20 \\ 20.17$	17.74	15.40	14.13	15.28	14.94	15.59	15.17 13.24	14.67	13.71	15.24	16.27	15.76	
Ca	Crude pro- tein.	37.07	34.35 35.90	35.77	32.71	37.92	36.20	35.61	32.35	35.28 37.69	35 11	20.66	26.25	27.89	27.07	
	°ųsy	2.10	$2.60 \\ 1.82$	2.17	2.01	2.61	2.13	2.22	1.83	2.19	2.17	2.13	6.31	3.86	5.08	
	Undeter- mined.	8 82	8.44 7.73	8.33	1.03	4.57	4.46	3.35	8.26	11.02 9.98	9.75	8.48	8.96	8.70	8.83	
·•	Ether ex- tract.	9.16	11.35 5.42	8.64	12.11	7.55	7.49	9.05	11.84	8.73 8.96	9.84	5.79	5.52	6.43	5.97	
sampl	Reducing sugars.	0.	0.0	.00	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.0.	0.	.15	.87	.18	. 52	
iginal	Sucrose.	0.	0.0.	.00	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
is of or	.dorat2	8.29	$2.79 \\ 6.50$	5.86	15.20	12.24	11.99	13.14	6.65	$3.76 \\ 6.26$	5.56	12.65	10.71	10.88	10.80	
to basi	Pentosan.	17.94	$18.56 \\ 18.81$	18.44	18.95	16.64	17.62	17.74	21.20	20.30 17.83	19.78	31.71	22.67	23.19	22.93	
Calculated to basis of original sample.	.rude fiber.	13.04	18.01	16.49	14.61	12.75	13.68	13.68	14.88	14. 03 12. 25	13.72	12.69	14.23	15.46	14.85	ge 63.
Cal	Crude pro- tein.	34.88	32.19 32.75	33.27	31.00°	34.30	32.42	32.58	30.88	32. 63 34. 90	32.80	19.13	24.50	26.50	25.50	a See page 63.
	.dsA	1.98	2.44	2.03	1.91	2.36	1.91	2.06	1.75	2.03	2.03	1.98	5.89	3.67	4.78	
	.91uteioM	5.89	6.22 8.70	6.94	5.19	9.59	10.43	8.40	4.54	7.50 7.51	6.52	7.42	6, 65	4.99	5.82	
	Name and brand.	Distillers' dried grains, Fourex.	dodo	Average	Distillers' corn grains, Blue	Kibbon. do	do	Average	1614b Ajax flakes	do	Average	Biles rye grains	m.	grams.	Average	
	.oV [Bring2	108-	1695a 1990a		1606	1925	1985		1614b	17896		1768a	1530	1565		

# BREWERY PRODUCTS.

TABLE 12.—Brewery and distillery products (percentage composition).

33

12228-Bull. 108-07-----3

Calculated to basis of original sample.         Calculated to a moisture-free basis.           Calculated to basis of original sample.         Calculated to a moisture-free basis.           Vunion grains         9.60         7.37         Calculated to a moisture-free basis.           Union grains         9.60         7.37         Starch.           Main symple.           Calculated to a moisture-free basis.           Vunion grains         9.60         7.34         B. Colspan="5"           Main symple.           Calculated to a moisture-free basis.           Main symple.           Calculated to a moisture-free basis.           Main symple.           Ash.         Crude from.           Main symple.           A symple.           Main symple.           A symple.		ltaw materials iden- tified by micro- scopic examination.	Distillers' grains, bar- ley sprouts, hominy feed, trace of oats, cottonseed meal, W. P. No. 3, pig-	S		Malt sprouts. Malt sprouts, trace of oats and smart-	weed seed. Malt sprouts, char-	Malt sprouts, smart-	Do.
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.         Calculated to a molecule.         Calculated to a molecule.           Name and brand.         Moisture. $A^{a}h$ . $Crude fiber.$ $A^{a}h$ . $A^{a}h$ .           Union grains         9.00 $7.37$ 23.51         9.12         13.22         19.23         1.41         2.29 $6.81$ $7.43$ $8.15$ $26.02$ $10.61$ <t< td=""><td></td><td>U n d eter- mined.</td><td>8.21</td><td>10. 19 12. 21</td><td>11.20</td><td>8.12 10.94</td><td>12.63</td><td></td><td>11.84</td></t<>		U n d eter- mined.	8.21	10. 19 12. 21	11.20	8.12 10.94	12.63		11.84
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Vinion grains.         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         3.60           Malt sprouts.         5.56         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         3.60           Malt sprouts.         5.56         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.43         8.15         5.69         7.37         23.51         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.101         9.60			7.54	1.1085	.97	$1.31 \\ 1.01$	8.	.81	.96
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Vinion grains.         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         3.60           Malt sprouts.         5.56         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         3.60           Malt sprouts.         5.56         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.43         8.15         5.69         7.37         23.51         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.101         9.60	basis.			14.15	12.39	14.64			6.96
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Name and brand.         Moisture.           Union grains.         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         26.9           Malt sprouts.         5.59         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         Ether.           Malt sprouts.         5.59         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         Ether.           Average.         9.35         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         2.60         7.45         2.60         7.46         2.73         2.55         2.92         1.91         1.66         2.63         2.63         2.65         2.65         2.66         2.74         2.74         2.75         2.65         2.95         2.96         0.01         1.66         7.45         2.66         2.75         2.96         0.7         2.65         2.95         2.96         2.74         2.75	re-free	Sucrose.	1.56	88	44	22			3.00
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Name and brand.         Moisture.           Union grains.         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         26.9           Malt sprouts.         5.59         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         Ether.           Malt sprouts.         5.59         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         Ether.           Average.         9.35         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         2.60         7.45         2.60         7.46         2.73         2.55         2.92         1.91         1.66         2.63         2.63         2.65         2.65         2.66         2.74         2.74         2.75         2.65         2.95         2.96         0.01         1.66         7.45         2.66         2.75         2.96         0.7         2.65         2.95         2.96         2.74         2.75	loistur	.dorat2			6.77	9.18	7.70	18	4.10
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Name and brand.         Moisture.           Union grains.         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         26.9           Malt sprouts.         5.59         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         Ether.           Malt sprouts.         5.59         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         Ether.           Average.         9.35         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         2.60         7.45         2.60         7.46         2.73         2.55         2.92         1.91         1.66         2.63         2.63         2.65         2.65         2.66         2.74         2.74         2.75         2.65         2.95         2.96         0.01         1.66         7.45         2.66         2.75         2.96         0.7         2.65         2.95         2.96         2.74         2.75	to a n	.nssotn9T			17.51			17.25	18.26
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Vinion grains.         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         3.60           Malt sprouts.         5.56         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.45         3.60           Malt sprouts.         5.56         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.43         8.15         5.69         7.37         23.51         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.44         9.60         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.15         7.43         8.101         9.60	lculated	Crude fiber.		620	93	36	54	27	15.54
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Vinion grains         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.4         2.39         6.81         7.43         Ash.           Vinion grains         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.4         2.39         6.81         7.43         Ash.           Malt sprouts         5.56         5.73         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.4         2.39         6.81         7.43         Ash.           Average         9.35         5.56         5.57         2.56         13.23         1.9.23         1.64         1.66         6.           Matt sprouts         8.84         5.88         5.53         3.98         2.25         9.94         7.4         10.61         6.           Ashdo         7.22         5.56         15.85         5.23         9.24         7.41         10.61         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.         6.	Ca.	Crude pro- tein.	26.02			26. 12 29. 25	27.93		32. 22
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Name and brand.         Moisture.         Standard           Union grains         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.51         12.23         15.87         8.46         1.87         1.04           Average         9.33         5.56         12.23         15.87         8.46         1.87         1.04           Average         9.34         5.84         13.16         16.25         2.52         9.24         1.74           Average         9.34         5.84         1.863         2.68         1.04         7.04           Average         2.55         13.16         16.25         2.39         2.81         1.10           Average         2.79		.dsA	8.15	6. 07 6. 89		6. 37 6. 24	6.62		7.12
Name and brand.         Calculated to basis of original sample.           Name and brand.         Moisture.         Standard           Union grains         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.51         9.12         13.23         19.23         1.41         2.29         6.81           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.51         12.23         15.87         8.46         1.87         1.04           Average         9.33         5.56         12.23         15.87         8.46         1.87         1.04           Average         9.34         5.84         13.16         16.25         2.52         9.24         1.74           Average         9.34         5.84         1.863         2.68         1.04         7.04           Average         2.55         13.16         16.25         2.39         2.81         1.10           Average         2.79			7. 43	$9.62 \\ 0.61$	0.12	7.41		7.83	10.53
Name and brand.         Name and brand.           Union grains         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         5.59         5.73         25.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.33         5.83         25.            9.34         5.83         25.            9.57         5.79         27.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.47         6.06         25.            9.57         5.79         27.            8.84         5.81         23.	ė		6. 81	11	1	U.		. 73	.85
Name and brand.         Name and brand.           Union grains         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         5.59         5.73         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.34         5.88         25.            9.57         5.79         27.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.79         27.	sampl			13.36 9.24	11.30	13.35		11.59	6.19
Name and brand.         Name and brand.           Union grains         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         5.59         5.73         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.34         5.88         25.            9.57         5.79         27.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.79         27.	iginal	Suerose.	1. 41	52	19	78 44	2.93	4.43	2.67
Name and brand.         Name and brand.           Union grains         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         5.59         5.73         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.34         5.88         25.            9.57         5.79         27.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.79         27.	s of or	Starch.	19.23				7.05		3, 65
Name and brand.         Name and brand.           Union grains         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         5.59         5.73         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.34         5.88         25.            9.57         5.79         27.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.84         5.79         27.	to basi	Pentosan.	23	15.87 15.83		16.25 17.39	18.65	15.60	16.24
Name and brand.         Name and brand.           Union grains         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         5.59         5.73         25.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.60         7.37         23.           Malt sprouts         9.33         5.83         25.            9.34         5.83         25.            9.57         5.79         27.            8.84         5.81         23.            8.47         6.06         25.            9.57         5.79         27.            8.84         5.81         23.	ulated	Crude fiber.	9.12	13.02		13, 16 14, 25		12.00	13.82
Name and brand.           Union grains         Moisture.           0         0         7.           0         0         0.60         7.           0         0         0.34         5.           0         0         0.34         5.           13.09         5.         5.         5.           13.09         5.         5.         5.           13.09         5.         5.         5.           13.09         5.         7.         7.22           10.         7.22         5.         5.	Calc	Crude pro- tein.		25.44 25.78	25.61	23.81 27.13			28.64
Name and brand. Union grains9. Malt sprouts9. Malt sprouts		·usv	7.37	5.73			6.06		6.33
Name and brand. Union grains Malt sprouts Average dodo		Moisture.	9.60	5.59 13.09	9.34	8.84	8.47	9.57	11.08
1735 821 8819 Sectial Xo.		Name and brand.	Union grains		Average	Malt sprouts	do	do	do
		Serial No.	1819a	1534 1821		1524	1727	1735	1924

a See page 62.

TABLE 12.—Brewery and distillery products (percentage composition)—Continued.

#### WHEAT FEEDS.

### WHEAT FEEDS.

The average composition of wheat flour, middlings, shorts, and bran as given by Jenkins and Winton  $^{a}$  is as follows:

TABLE 13.—Average percentage composition of wheat flour, middlings, shorts, and bran.

[Compiled.]

Description of sample.	Number of analyses.	Mois- ture.	Ash.	Crude fiber.	Crude protein.	Crude fat.	Nitro- gen-free extract.
Flour.	20 32	12.4 12.1	$0.5 \\ 3.3$	0.2	10.8	1.1	75.00
Middlings	12	11.8	4.6	4.6 7.4	$15.6 \\ 14.9$	4.0 4.5	60, 40 56, 80
Bran	88	11.9	5.8	9.0	15.4	4.0	53, 90

The average composition of middlings and bran from winter and spring wheat sold in Connecticut  $^{b}$  in 1905 is as follows:

TABLE 14.—Average percentage composition of middlings and bran.

[Compiled.]

Description of sample.	Number of analyses.	Mois- ture.	Ash.	Crude fiber.	Crude protein.	Crude fat.	Nitro- gen-free extract.
Bran, winter wheat. Bran, spring wheat Middlings, winter wheat. Middlings, spring wheat	16 4	10. 45 10. 90 10. 97 11. 34	$\begin{array}{c} 6.\ 73 \\ 6.\ 31 \\ 4.\ 28 \\ 4.\ 67 \end{array}$	8.77 10.54 5.60 6.03	$\begin{array}{c} 15.19\\ 14.06\\ 16.44\\ 16.78\end{array}$	4.56 4.70 4.52 4.84	54. 28 53. 49 58. 19 56. 34

The samples of Red Dog examined (Table 15) are evidently true to name, and, as we would expect in a low grade of flour, contain a larger amount of protein than would appear in a high-grade flour.

All of the samples except No. 1847 contain about the quantity of crude fiber that would be expected. In No. 1847 the fiber is high, but the high starch content, together with the microscopic examination, shows that this is not due to an excess of hulls.

The samples of middlings examined all contain a quantity of protein agreeing well with the average. The tendency to high contents of ash, crude fiber, and pentosans, and to a low content of starch in samples Nos. 1834 and 1937 would indicate that they more closely resembled bran than middlings as regards a number of their constituents.

All samples of bran examined appear to be unadulterated and to correspond quité closely to the average composition for bran as given in Table 13:

a Loc. cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Report of the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, 1905, Part III.

It would appear from the analyses of bran and middlings, given in Table 14, that sufficient distinction is not made in the trade between these two products. What one manufacturer calls bran, another calls middlings, so that there is not the clear-cut difference there should be in the average composition of these two classes of goods sold on the American market.

Samples Nos. 1617 and 1703 are two samples of the same goods purchased at different places. The analyses, both chemical and microscopical, show that they are very different substances.

	Raw materials iden- tified by micro- scopical examina- tion.	W. P. No.3, rich in	Do.	W. P. No. 3.	W. P. No. 2, corn	W. P. No. 2. Do.	Do.	W. P. No. 1.	W. P. No. 1, smart-	Weed, corn cockie. W. P. No. 1, smart-	W. P. No. 1.	W. P. No. 1, smart-	W. P. No. 1 and	W. P. No. 1, corn cockle.	W. P. No. 1. W. P. No. 2, corn	TILCOIL SILICIA WEEGA	W. P. Nos. 1, 2, and 3. trace of corn	W. P. Nos. 1, 2, and	Crumbs of shredded whole wheat.	
	Undeter- mined.	9.19		5. 22	$\frac{7.62}{11.62}$	8.91 5.33	.28	9.55	8.45	10.96	9.64	10.56	8.00	.04	$9.02 \\ 6.19$	7.60	8.07	5.11	. 56	
	Ether ex- tract.	1.89		6.08	5.64 3.22	5.37 1.96 1.00		4.42	4.46	3.76	3.96	3.82	5.11	5.09	4.91 3.29	4.10	4.49	3.94	9.68	
basis.	Reducing sugars.	2.59		<b>2</b> .90	2.07 2.46	2.24	10.5	1.55	1.60	1.96	1.28	1.60	1.73	1.44	1.03 2.33	1.68	1.72	1.71	. 25	
re-free	.9201912	3.81	1.86	4.19	4.30	4.95 2.67 5.66	4.63	4.84	4.52	4.01	5.01	3.88	4.85	6.03	5.80 1.60	3.70	2.25	4.15	2.16	
a moisture-free basis.	.dorst2	49.17	54.11	39.18	40.69	31.15 47.96 34.18	24.00	24.60	17.23	25.04	24.39	20.65	29.67	35.81	25.60 51.42	38.51	29.36	33.06	60.75	
to	.пвгозп9Ч	6.12	4.01	12.82	9.58 24.11	16.56 10.45 17.78		21.77	25.10	18.57	22.41	24.39	18.82	20.30	21.46 13.57	17.52	21.15	21.27	7.49	
Calculated	Crude fiber.	2.68		3.42	$2.95 \\ 12.67$	5.86 6.41 8.58	15.19	8.27	11.73	11.80	9.03	11.03	7.28	8.98	8.57 5.40	6.98	8.74	8.27	4.63	
CE	Crude pro- tein.	20.93	15.85	21.92	22.85 18.99	20.21 19.53 21.60	18.71	18.49	18.88	16.80	17.41	17.46	19.11	15.72	17.28     13.70	15.49	18.09	17.31	12.38	-
	·ųsy	3.62		4.27	4.30	4. 75 3. 50 3. 50		6.51	8.03	7.10	6.87	6.61	5.43	6.59	6.33 2.50	4.42	6.13	5.18	2.10	
	Undeter- mined.	8.14	6.55	4.60	6.46 10.33	8.02 4.67		8.44	7.44	9.94	8.52	9.00	7.30	.04	8. 22 5. 79	7.01	7.36	4.67	.51	00
ň	Ether ex- tract.	1.68		5.36	4.78	4.84	3.52	3.91	3.93	3.39	3.51	3.25	4.67	4.69	4.47 3.08	3. 77	4.09	3.60	8.80	000
sample	Reducing sugars.	2.29		2.56	1.76 2.18	2.02		1.37	1.41	1.78	1.13	1.37	1.58	1.33	. 94	1.56	1.57	1.56	.22	
iginal	Sucrose.	3.38		3.69	3.65 3.56	4.46	4.09	4.28	3.99	3.64	4.43	3.31	4.43	5.56	$5.29 \\ 1.50$	3.39	2.05	3.79	1.97	
Calculated to basis of original sample.	.forst2	43. 53		34.55	33.47 15.00	28.01 41.97	21.21	21.72	15.18	22.73	21.54	17.59	27.08	33.00	23. 32 48. 09	35.71	26.77	30.19	55.22	
I to bas	Pentosan.	5.42	3. 52	11.30	8.12 21.42	14.90 9.14		19.25	22.09	16.84	19.81	20.77	17.18	18.71	$19.56 \\ 12.69$	16.13	19.29	19.43	6.81	
culated	Crude fiber.	2.37	10.63	3.02	$2.50 \\ 11.26$	5 28 5 60 5 28	3. 44 13. 44	7.31	10.33	10.70	7.99	9.40	6.65	8.28	$\frac{7.81}{5.05}$	6.43	7.97	7.56	4.21	
Cal	Crude pro- tein.	18.54		19.32	19.37 16.88	18. 19 17. 12		16.35	16.63	15.23	15.40	14.87	17.44	14.50	$15.75 \\ 12.81 \\ 12.81 \\$	14.28	16.50	15.81	11.26	
	·ųsv	3.21		3.77	3.65 5.38	3.09	5.25	5.76	7.07	6.44	6.08	5.64	4.96	6.08	5.77	4.05	5.59	4.74	1.91	
	Moisture.	1.44		1.83	5.24 1.12	0.00			1.93	9.31	11.59	14.80	8.71	7.81	8.87 6.46	7.67	8.81	8.65	9.09	
	Name and brand.	Red Dog G	Red Dog (I) 12.	Red Dog, Cornet 11.	Red Dog, Adrian 15. Standard middlings. 11.	Fancy middlings10. Middlings12.	Flour middlings 9. Standard middlings. 11.	Bran (H) 11.	Bran.	Ogilvie's bran	Holliday bran11.	Bran ( K 1	Wheat bran, mid-	dungs and nour. Monarch ground wheat feed.	Buckeye wheat feed.	Average	Stott's winter wheat 8 mixed feed.	Winter wheat mixed	Shredded wheat	
	.oV IsiteS	1833	1847	1910	1917 *1834	1841	1937	1844	1953	1961	1964	1982	1591	1607	$1617 \\ 1703$		$1619^{a}$	1774	1836	

TABLE 15.-Wheat feeds (percentage composition).

WHEAT FEEDS.

a See p. 69.

### OAT FEEDS.

The average composition of ground whole oats, oat hulls, and oat straw, as given by Winton and Jenkins,<sup>a</sup> is as follows:

TABLE 16.—Average percentage composition of ground whole oats, oat hulls, and oat straw.

Description of sample.	Number of analyses.	Mois- ture.	Ash.	Crude fiber.	Crude protein.	Fat.	Nitro- gen-free extract.
Whole oats	$\begin{array}{c} 30\\6\\12\end{array}$	11.0	3.0	9.5	11. 8	5.0	59. 7
Oat hulls		7.3	6.7	31.6	2. 9	1.1	50. 4
Oat straw		9.2	5.1	37.0	4. 0	2.3	42, 4

[Compiled.]

Considering the samples of oat feeds reported in Table 17 as a whole it is at once evident that most of them are composed largely of oat hulls, and consequently have a low feeding value. Samples Nos. 1494, 1962, 1505, 1705, 1499, 1518, 1914, 1934, and 1941, from their high ash content (with the exception of No. 1518), their low protein, starch, and fat content, the very high crude fiber and pentosan content, and the microscopical examination, show that they are composed very largely of hulls, while sample No. 1631, labeled as "ground oats," evidently contains hulls in considerable excess. Sample No. 1838 is labeled "oat feed," and in chemical composition corresponds quite closely to ground whole oats, but a microscopical examination shows it to be a mixture of oats and corn with a small amount of some other cereal, probably barley. Sample No. 1888, both from its chemical composition and the microscopical examination, would appear to be composed of ground oats.

a Loc. cit.

-	Raw materialsidenti- fied by microscop- ical examination.	Cracked and ground oats, hulls, possi- bly in excess of nor-	L		Oat product, with ex-	N		0	smartweed, foxtail, curled dock, lin-	Ground oats, with	G	Ground oats, corn, some other cereal,	3	NN	M
	Undeter- mined.	8.86	6.81	7.84	6. 52	9.17	7.85	× 88		9.58	7.97	5.08	9.50	82	8.79
	Ether ex- tract.	2.69	1.70	2.19	1.74	2.00	1.87	3.17		1.60	2.86	3. 52	60 * 2	$1.56 \\ 1.99$	2.07
basis	Reducing sugars.	0.54	.31	. 43	1.41	.15	. 77	.48		66.	1.44	1.52	1.19	$\overset{1.10}{.27}$	.14
re-free	Sucrose.	0.90	.41	.66	0.	. 68	.34	. 75		.14	. 31	1.88	2.86	8.33	.83
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	.dorat2	14.62	17.59	16.10	19.54	11.81	15.68	17.72		24.42	30.23	44.24	40.11	21.08 19.64	19.20
ed to a	Pentosan.	30.02	33.64	31.83	30.02	34.25	32.14	28.02		26.31	24.19	16.54	8.88	28.89 29.35	29.81
Calculat	Crude fiber.	26.98	30.05	28.51	26.33	29.23	27.78	26.35		27.92	19.82	11.39	6.36	25.63 27.73	27.42
	Crude pro- tein.	8. 53	4.21	6.37	6.35	6.26	6.30	8.28		5.56	8.10	11.41	19.48	7.12 6.42	6.29
	.4sA	6.86	5.29	6.07	8.09	6.45	7.27	6.35		3.48	5.08	4.42	4.53	5.77 5.92	5.45
	Undeter- mined.	8.32	6.29	7.30	6.10	8.52	7.31	8.31		8.94	7.44	4.50	8.64	7.84	7.93
e.	Ether ex-	2.52	1.58	2.05	1.63	1.86	1.74	2.97		1.49	2.67	3.12	6.45	1.43	1.87
sampl	Reducing sugars.	0.51	. 29	.40	1.32	.14	. 73	. 45		. 93	1.34	1.35	1.08	1.01.25	.13
riginal	Sucrose.	0.84	.38	. 61	0.	.63	. 31	.70		.13	. 29	1.66	2.60	. 78	.76
Calculated to basis of original sample.	Starch.	13. 72	16.26	14.99	18.28	10.97	14.63	16.59		22.79	28.20	39.21	36.51	19.40 17.88	17.34
d to ba	.nssotn9T	28.17	31.09	29.63	28.07	31.81	29.94	26.23		24.55	22.57	14.65	8.08	26.59 26.71	26.91
alculate	Crude fiber.	25. 32	27.77	26.55	24.63	27.15	25.89	24.67		26.05	18.49	10.07	5.79	23.59	24.76
Ö	Criide pro- tein.	8.00	3.89	5.94	5.94	5.81	5.88	7.75		5.19	7.56	10.10	7.74	6.55 5.85	5.68
	48А.	6. 44	4.89	6.67	7.57	5.99	6.78	5.94		3. 25	4.74	3.92	4.12	5.31 5.39	4.92
	Moisture.	6, 16	7.56	6.86	6.46	7.12	6.79	6.39		6, 68	6.70	11.42	8.99	7.98 8.95	9.70
	Name and brand.	Oat feed, Vim	do	Average	Oat feed, Royal 6.46	do	, Average	Oat feed, X		Oat feed, Cream	Ground oats	Oat feed, Schu- 11.42 mackers.	Oat middlings	Oat feed, O. F	Oat feed (F)
	.oN [Bir92	1494	1962		1505	1705		1499		1518	1631	1838	1888	1914 1934	1941

OAT FEEDS.

TABLE 17.—Oat feeds (percentage composition).

### CORN AND OAT FEEDS.

Under this heading have been classified not only the products labeled "corn and oat feed" but also chop feed, provender, feeds bearing names that would indicate that they were composed of corn and oats, and feeds which a microscopical examination has shown to be composed chiefly of corn and oats.

The average composition of corn and oats, and of ground corn and oat feeds, as they appear on the market, is given in the following table:<sup>a</sup>

	1	leompnea	.1				
Constituents.	Number of analyses.	Mois- ture.	Ash.	Crude fiber.	Crude protein.	Crude fat.	Nitro- gen-free extract.
Corn Oats Ground corn and oats	15 20 38	15.4 11.4 11.9	$1.3 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.2$	$1.5 \\ 9.9 \\ 4.0$	9.1 11.3 9.7	4.1 4.8 4.5	68.6 59.5 67.7

 TABLE 18.—Average percentage composition of corn and oat feeds.

 ICompiled 1

On the whole it may be said that a large number of the corn and oat feeds and the samples labeled ground corn and oats reported in Table 19 are unsatisfactory in their composition, adulteration with hulls being indicated in many cases by the high ash, crude fiber, and pentosan content and the small amount of starch present. Even when no adulteration with hulls is indicated a number of the samples show by their low protein and fat content that they are made up of inferior grades of corn and oats. In some cases a wheat product, such as bran, middlings, or red-dog flour, has been added, probably for the purpose of raising the protein content.

It is unnecessary to mention all the individual cases of apparent adulteration in Table 19. A comparison of the analyses with the average given in Table 18 and an inspection of the microscopical examination show at once what samples are to be rejected.

The "chop feeds" and "provenders" are open to the same criticism as the "corn and oat feeds," but perhaps to a somewhat less extent. Some evidently contain an excess of hulls, while others are made from cereals of poor quality. Only a very few of them are entirely above suspicion. From the amount of weed seed found in some of these samples it is evident that screenings were used in their preparation or that they were made from very inferior grains.

Samples Nos. 1604, 1933, and 1958 have just about the composition that would be expected in a mixture of corn and oats without an excess of hulls.

a New Jersey Agr. Exper. Stat., Twenty-second Annual Report, 1901.

										-								
			Calcu	lated t	o basis	Calculated to basis of original sample.	ginal s	ample		_	Cal	Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	l to a 1	noistu	re-free	basis.		
Name and brand.	Moisture.	·ųsy	Crude pro- tein.	Crude fiber.	Рептозал.	Starch.	Sucrose. Reducing	Ether ex-	tract. Undeter- mined.	·ųsv	-orde pro- tein.	Crude fiber.	Рептозап.	.потятећ.	Sucrose.	Reducing sugars. Ether ex-	tract. Undeter- mined.	Raw materials identified by micro- scopical examination.
Corn and oat feed,	7.88	6.11	7.81	14.39	18.30	34.40 1	1.46 0.	0.29 2.07	07 7.29	9 6.63	8.48	15.62	19.87	37.35	1.58	0.31 2.	25 7.91	0
	11.70	6.70	8.52	11.02	15.88	33. 22	. 71 1.	1.16 3.00	00 8.09	9 7.58	9.64	12.49	17.98	37.62	. 81	1.32 3.	40 9.16	Ground corn and oats.
Average	9.79	6.40	8.17	12.71	17.09	33.81 1	1.08	. 72 2. 5	54 7.69	9 7.10	9.06	14.06	18.93	37.49	1.19	.81 2.	82 8.54	
Corn and oat feed,	7.43	3.92	9.38	14.16	19.18	32.20 1	1.48	.94 2.75	75 8.50	6 4.23	10.13	15.29	20.72	34.80	1.60	1.01 2.	97 9.25	0
÷	10.81	. 4.90	9.60	13.85	18.71	29.44 2.	. 44	. 46 2.5	28 7.51	1 5.50	10.77	15.53	20.98	33.00	2.73	.51 2.	56 8.42	9
Average	9.12	4.41	9.49	14.01	18.95	30.82 1	1.96	.70 2.1	51 8.03	3 4.86	10.45	15.41	20.85	33.90	2.16	.76 2.	77 -8.8	large excess of oat nulls.
1496a Cornand oat feed,	10.28	1.70	8.44	3.68	7.51	62.59	.88	.27 2.9	98 . 67	7 1.89	9.41	4.08	8.37	69.76	.98	1.42 3.	32 . 77	0
:	21.27	1.26	8.02	2.43	5.56	53. 16	. 11	. 56 1.74	74 5.89	9 1.60	10.19	3.09	7.06	67.52	.14	. 71 2.	21 7.48	Wheat nulls. Ground corn and oats.
Average	15.78	1.48	8.23	3.05	6.54	57.88	. 49	.91 2.3	36 3.28	8 1.74	9.80	3.59	7.72	68.64	. 56	1.06 2.	76 4.15	
Corn and oat feed,	8.24	4.06	9.81	11.84	16.09	36.28	. 97	.91 3.2	52 8.28	8 4.42	10.69	12.90	17.53	39.54	1.07	.99 3.	84 9.02	9
;	6.91	3.91	9.63	10.10	15.36	35.40 1	1.59	.91 6.2	24 9.95	5 4.20	10.34	10.85	16.50	38.04	1.71	.98 6.	70 10.68	0
Average	7.58	3.98	9.72	10.97	15.73	35.84 1	1.28	.91 4.8	88 9.11	1 4.31	10.51	11.88	17.02	38.79	1.39	.98 5.	27 9.85	pigweed seed.
Corn and oat feed.	8.49	3.99	8.38	10.66	14.54	46.09	66.	. 43 3. 5	38 3.05	5 4.36	9.16	11.65	15.89	50.37	1.08	. 47 3.	69 3.33	9
÷	8.84	3.70	8.88	10.68	14.60	46.87	1.68	. 75 3.8	84 .16	6 4.06	9.74	11.72	16.02	51.42	1.84	. 82 4.	21 .17	Ground corn and outs, trace of
Average	8.67	3.84	8.63	10.67	14.57	46.48 1	1.33	. 59 3. (	61 1.61	1 4.21	9.45	11.68	15.96	50.90	1.46	.64 3.	95 1.75	TRATIM
Corn and oat feed,	8.70	2.18	8.50	6.21	9.91	51.47	69 .	. 83 3. 7	71 7.80	0 2.39	9.31	6.80	10.86	56.38	. 75	.91 4.	06 8.54	Ground corn and oats, curled dock.
	8.44	3.09	7.44	14.91	23.64	35. 53	.63	.94 2.8	89 2.49	9 3.37	8.12	16.28	25.82	38.81	69	1.03 3.	16 2.72	G
do	7.84	3.42	6.94	15.03	21.30	34.96	.68	. 55 2.2	24 7.04	4 3.71	7.53	16.31	23.11	37.94	. 73	.60 2.	43 7.64	G
Average	8.33	2.90	7.63	12.05	18.28	40.65	. 57	.77 2.9	95 5.77	7 3.16	8.32	13.13	19.93	44.38	. 72	.84 3.	22 6.30	COLU AUD OAL MULLS.
								Ĩ								Ī		

TABLE 19.—Corn and oat feeds (percentage composition).

41

a See p. 68.

CORN AND OAT FEEDS.

	Raw materials identified by micro- scopical examination.	Ground corn and oats, small amount	99		Ground corn and oats, W. P. No. 2,		wheat, sinartweed. Ground corn and oats.	G	Ground corn and oats, W. P. No. 1, smartweed rough nigwood R708-	sica (sp.). Ground corn and oats.	Ground corn and oats, smartweed.	Ground corn and oats. Coarsely ground corn, oats, and	Ground corn and oats, smartweed,	yenow dock. Ground corn and oats, weed seeds. Ground corn, oats, and W. P. No.	I and NO. 2. Ground corn and oats, smartweed.	99	rye, outs mostly as nums. Coarsely ground corn and oats (ex- cess of hulls), trace of wheat.
	Undeter- mined.	8.46	7.07 8.72	8.08	8.50	3.89	8.28	8.20	.16	8.30	59 10. 51	4.55	6.92	7.06	3.27	8.46 8.88	7.76
is.	Ether ex- tract.	4.55	4.10	4.17	2.34	4.50	2.88	2.65	3. 55	3.64	ŝ	2.97	2.58	5.42 2.38	3.83	4.04	3.59
e bas	Reducing sugars.	1.29	$1.61 \\ 2.28$	1.73	1.65	1.35	.37	. 93	1.05	1.29	.84	.82	66.	$1.23 \\ 1.61$	1.11	. 92	.8
re-fre	Sucrose.	1.74	$1.51 \\ 1.28$	1.51	1.70	1.61	.88	1.02	1.06	. 17	. 99	.91 .91	. 64	1.58.74	1.03	1.54	. 43
loistu	.dorat2	44.30	37.80	40.28	59.85	52.81	45.20	50.30	55. 58	60.56	57.75	64. 34 59. 79	58.31	46.18 40.92	63.22	42.29 30.39	54.77
to a n	Pentosan.	15.07	20. 18 19. 86	18.37	7.93	14.94	17.73	12.90	19, 22	9.16	9.21	$9.75 \\ 10.41$	11.45	15.54 18.82	10.44	17.23	11.02
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Crude fiber.	10.23	13.57	11.56	3.48	8.61	12.97	9.88	5.33	5.25	4.88	5.43	7.59	9.64 14.61	5.01	12.64	7.09
Calcu	-orde pro- tein.	10.76	10.45	10.61	12. 33	9.46	8.22	10.19	11.75	8.99	10.19	9.26 11.41	8.81	9.78	9.92	8.87	11.29
	·ųsy	3.60	3.71	3.69	2.22	2.83	3.47	3.93	2.30	2.58	2.04	1.98	2.71	3.57	2.17	3.98	3.20
-	-Totobr!] mined.	7.72	6.52 7.43	7.22	7.15	3.54	7.53	7.50	. 15	7.50	9.48	4.14	6.29	6.50	2.99	7.87	6.18
le.	tract.	4.15	3. 78	3.74	1.97	4.11	2.63	2.41	3.23	3.26	3.24	2.97	2.35	2.19	3.50	3.76	2.89
samp	Ether ex-	1.18	1. 49	1.54	1. 39	1.23	. 34	.85	96.	1.16	. 76	1. 32	. 06	1.15	1.01	86	8
inal :	Sucrose.	1.59	1.39	1.36	1.44	1.47	.80	.93	26 -	.15]	68.	. 79	.58	1.46	.94	1.43	.34
of orig	Starch.	40	84 01	8	34	27	25	5. 94	. 53	1.28	2.03	\$.21 1.00	2. 97	42.82 37.68	57.73	19	43.58
Calculated to basis of original sample.		.74 40.	. 61 34. 93 33.	.43 36.	. 67 50.	. 65 48.	. 18 41.	.78 45.	17.48 50.	.21 54.	.30 52.	82 58. 40 54.	.40 52.	34	53	.99 39. 36 28.	1
d to l	Рептовал.	33 13.	51 18. 27 16.	37 16.	93 6.	87 13.	84 16.	02 11.	85 17	71 8.	40 8.	91 8. 92 9.	90 10.	93 14. 45 17.	58 9.	73 15. 14 22.	65 8.
ulate	Crude fiber.	9.	12. 9.	10.	ાં	7.	0 11.84	9.	4.	4.	4.	4.0	6.	06 8. 75 13.	4	25 11. 63 19.	5.
Calc	Crude pro- tein.	9.81	9.63 9.04	9.49	10.37	8.66	7.50	9.31	10.69	8.06	9.19	8.38 10.31	8.00	ര്യ	9.06	ගේ යේ	8.98
	.AsA.	3.28	3,42	3.30	1.88	2.59	3.17	3.59	.2.09	2.32	1.84	1.79 2.44	2.46	3.31 4.98	1.98	3.69	2.55
	Moisture.	8.80	$7.81 \\ 14.80$	10.47	15.80	8.61	8.76	8.67	9.05	10.3:	9.87	9. 53 9. 69	9.15	7.35 7.93	8.68	7.23	20.38
	Name and brand.	1625a Corn and oat feed,	do do	Average	0	Corn and oat feed,	Corn meal and oat	Ground corn and	oats. do	Corn meal and	Ground corn and	oats. dodo	op	Corn and oat feed,	Ground corn and	Corn and oat chop.	Ground corn and oats.
	.oN IshoS	1625a	1741a 1922a		1713b	1474	1482	1492	1508	1520	1511	1526	1548	1549 1561	1566	1598 1603	1699c

TABLE 19.—Corn and oat feeds (percentage composition)—Continued.

42

# COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

Ground corn and oats, trace of	Ground corn and oats, buckwheat	Ground corn and oats with rye,	smartweed, loxtail grass. Ground corn, oats, and a little wheat product, smartweed, foxtail, pea	hulls, screenings. Ground corn and oats, some excess of oat hulls, trace of corn cockle,	buckwheat hulls, smartweed. Ground corn and oats, W. P. No. 1,	corn cockle, charlock. Ground corn and oats, trace of rye product, charlock, smartweed, buckwheat hulls, pigweed, yellow	Ground corn and oats, trace of buck-	wheat hulls. Ground corn and oats. Ground corn and oats, trace of	smartweed seed.	Ground corn and oats.	Ground corn and oats, trace of	Wheat.	Ground corn, oats, and rye, trace of buckwheat hulls, Brassica (sp.),	rough pigweed. Ground corn and oats, smartweed. Ground corn and oats, trace of	rough pigweed. Ground corn and oats, trace of	smartweed. Ground corn and oats, oat hulls in	great excess. Ground corn and crushed oats, pig-	weed, charlock, smartweed. Ground corn and oats. Cracked and ground corn, oats, smartweed.	See page 65.
	3.88	4.43	6.51	8. 11	7.69	7. 41	. 02	7.07	5.93	3.45	7.53	5.49	. 57	.87	8.06	6.09	4.50	$9.20 \\ 1.87$	g
2.92 10.04	88	3.98	2.74	2.51	3. 62	3. 12	. 39	2.53	2.91	2.34	4.00	3.17	2.65 11.57	$\begin{array}{c} 2.56 \\ 2.21 \\ 7.42 \end{array}$	1.77 8	17	3.16	2.73 93 1	
. 75 2	.95 2.	2.02 3	2.18 2	1.29 2	1.04 3	1.10 3	.94 2.	1.75 5	1.52 2	.94 2	4.52 4	1.23 3	1.43 2	$1.01 \\ 2.66 \\ 2$	.51 1	.34 3.	1.93 3	1.17 3	
.98	. 64	1.20 2	. 43 2	1.47	1. 44 1	.52 1	.18	.88	. 69	1.17	1.40 4	1.28 1	. 43 1	.66 1	.76	1.43	1.04 1	.31 1	
52.11	57.55	57.92	51.13	47.53	47.81 1	57.71	57.04	59.58 41.47	49.57	45.31 1	43.90	44. 61 1	43. 02	40.50 40.88	44.43	40.14 1	54.92 1	38.46 52.84	×°
11.93	13.66	10.40	13.19	15.82	13.20	9.11	20.39	7.42	16.85	20.19	16.92	18.56	16.64	16.24	17.59	18.97	11.47	20.38 10.12	c See page 68.
9.29 1	9 69 1	5.89 1	8 81 1	12.08 1	10.16	6.70	6.51 2	10.70	11.19 1	15.05 2	11.54 1	13.30 1	12.40	12.85 1 16.73 2	13.88 1	16.20 1	10.01	$\begin{array}{c c} 16.82 & 2 \\ 17.00 & 1 \end{array}$	c Sec ]
9.21	7.71	11.11	11.12	8.02 1	10.97 1	11.31	9.51	8.02 1 10.18 1	8.46 1	7.60	9.65 1	8.62 1	8.27	8.41 1: 7.39 1	8.96 1	9.48 1	8.58 1	$\begin{array}{c} 7.12 \\ 10.12 \end{array}$	
2.77	3.04	2.93 1	2.89 1	3.17	4.07 1	3.02	3.02	2.53 3.77 1	2.88	3.95	3.54	3.74	3.59	3.90 4.02	4.04	4.18	4.39	3.94 2.64 1	
9.21	3.49	4.07	5.44	7.39	2.10	6.00	.02	6.97	5. 39	3.18	6. 83	5.01		180	7.04	5.38	4.10	8.48	
2. 68	2.58	3. 61	2.23	2.29	3.34	2.52	1.91	2.31	2.64	2.16	3. 63	2.89	2. 42 10. 57	2.32 12. 2.02 6.	1.55 7	2.80	2.88	2.52 8	
69.	.86	1.83	1.82	1.18 2	96.	6.	. 75 ]	1.61	1.38 2	.87	1.38 3		1.31 2	.92	.45 1	.30 2	1.76 2	1.07 3	c 66.
68.	.58	1.09	.36	1.34	1.33	. 42	. 14	. 13	.63	1.08	1.27	1.17 1.12	. 39 1	58	.67	1.27	.95 1	.28 1	b See page 66.
47.76	51.79	52.50	42.63	43.31	44.12	46. 71	45.52	54.32 38.20	45.00	41.75	39.82	40.79	39.30	36.75 37.31	38.78	35.46	49.97	35.42 48.29	b Se
10.94	12.29	9.43	11.02	14.42	12.19	7.37	16.27	6. 77 16. 17	15.31	18.60	15.35	16.98	15.20	14.74 18.30	15.36	16.76	10.44	18.77 9.25	
8.52	8.72	5.34	7.35	11.01	9.38	5.43	5.20	9.76 10.14	10.17	13.87	10.47	12.17	11.33	11.66 15.27	12.12	14.31	9.11	15.49 15.54	
8.44	6.94	10.06	9.29	7.31	10.13	9.16	7.60	7.31	7.69	2.00	8.75	7.88	7.56	7.63	7.82	8.37	7.81	6.56	
2.54	2.74	2.71	3. 25	2.83	3.76	2.44	2.41	2.31	2.62	3.64	3.21	3.42	3, 28	3.54	3.52	3.70	4.00	3.63	63.
8. 33	10.01	9.36	16.43	8.86	7.63	19.05	20.18	8.81	9.17	7.85	9.29	8.57	8.64	9.25	12.69	11.65	8.98	7.80 8.61	a See page
1731   Corn and oat feed.	Corn and oat chop.	do	Chop feed, Niag- ara.	Corn and oat chop, No. 2.	Corn and oat chop.	do.	Golden chop	Chop feed.	Chop feed	Chop feed, Mon-	do	Average	Corn and oat prov- ender.	P O	Provender	do	Ground feed	do	a S
1731	1475	1516	1697	1701d	1702	1709	1752	1760	1786	1577	1624		1510c	$1621 \\ 1704c$	1818	1976	1514	$1555 \\ 1569$	

# CORN AND OAT FEEDS.

PS -
ň
in
nt
Ę.
Ŷ
ion
tic
ŝ
pc
m
00
16 C
ag
nt
uəə.
en o
$\mathcal{I}$
ds
60
t
and oat
q
and
2
r.
õ
19.
19
E
TABLE
L^
<u> </u>

	Raw materials identified by micro- scopical examination.	Ground corn and oats,	wheat product, and rose seed. Ground corn and oats.	Ground corn and oats, weed seeds. Ground corn and oats.		Ground corn and oats, trace of rye, smartweed, and charlock.	
	Undeter- mined.	8.87	8.99	6.56	7.07	9.49	
sis.	Ether ex- tract.	2.11	4.73	5.71 4.92	5.32	4.65	
e ba	Reducing sugars.	0.98	1.19	2.37 1.95	2.16	1.27	
re-fr	Sucrose.	0.45	1.38	1.14.58	.86	1.37	
noistu	. Потале.	48.75	43.59	46.82 52.68	49.75	51.32	
to a 1	Pentosan.	15.11	17.66	$14.06 \\ 11.14$	12.60	11.70	
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Crude fiber.	9.79	9.23	$7.19 \\ 6.21$	6.70	5.54	
Calc	Crude pro- tein.	10.15	9.56	$12.54 \\ 11.86$	12.20	11.49	71.
	.dsA	3.79	3.67	3.61 3.08	3.34	3.17	a See page 71.
•	Undeter- mined.	8.08	8.23	6.01 6.58	6.30	8.40	a See
ple.	Еther ex- tract.	1.92	4.33	$5.23 \\ 4.26$	4.74	4.12	
l sam	Reducing sugars.	0.89	1.09	$2.18 \\ 1.69$	1.93	1.12	
rigina	Sucrose.	0.41	1.26	1.04	. 77	1.21	
s of o	.dorst2	44.40	39.88	42.92 45.65	44.29	45.39	
o basi	Pentosan.	13.76	16.16	$12.89 \\ 9.65$	11.27	10.34	
Calculated to basis of original sample.	Crude fiber.	8.92	8.45	6. 59 5. 38	5.99	4.90	-
Calcu	Crude pro- tein.	9.25	8.75	11.50 10.28	10.89	10.17	-
	.48А	3. 45	3.36	3.31 2.67	2.99	2.81	
	Moisture.	8.92	8.49	8.33 13.34	10.83	11.54	-
	Name and brand.	1570 Ground feed	1765 Common feed	1604a '' 00'' yellow feed 1933ado	Average	1958a "00" white feed	
	Serial No.	1570	1765	1604a 1933a		19580	1

# COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

### MIXED FEED.

Since the mixed feeds may, on account of their name, contain any mixture of ingredients that could be used as a cattle food, they can not be compared with standards. They can be compared with one another, however, and the microscopic examination shows what materials are present. It is evident from the results reported in Table 20 that this class of goods is made up principally of wheat products, varying from bran to Red Dog flour.

Nos. 1478 and 1825 are shown by the microscopical examination to contain corncobs and a wheat product. That some such substance has been added is also shown by the fact that the protein and fat content of these samples are markedly lower and the crude fiber content much higher than in the other mixed feeds.

The analysis of sample No. 1576 indicates that it is different from the other mixed feeds. That such is the case is shown by the microscopical examination of ground corn and oats and barley, as well as a small amount of a wheat product being present. The analysis of No. 1584 does not indicate that it is different from the other mixed feeds examined, except perhaps the starch figure is considerably above the average. The microscopical examination, however, shows this sample to be composed of a mixture of corn, oats, a wheat product, and small amounts of cottonseed and linseed meals.

				Calcul	ated to	Calculated to basis of original sample.	of orig	rinal su	ample.			Cε	lculat	Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	, molst	ure-fr	e basi	ŝ		
.oN IsireS	Name and brand.	Moisture.	.лел.	Crude pro- tein.	Crude fiber.	.nssotn9T	Starch.	Sucrose. Bucing	Ether ex-	Undeter- mined.	·цяу	-ordepro- tein.	Crude fiber.	Pentosan.	. Потатећ.	Sucrose.	Reducing sugars. Ether ex-	Lener ex- tract. Undeter-	Raw matorials identified by microscopical examina- tion.	entified by examina-
1473a	1473a Mixed feed	8.36	5.48	17.00	8.96	21.93 1	18.79 4	4.84 1.	1.58 5.10	10 7.96	96 5.98	8 18.56	9.78	23.93	20.50	5.28	1.72	5.57	8.68 W. P. No. 1, s	smartweed
1779a	1779ado	8.61	5.53	17.06	8.63 2	21.61 2	21.94 4	4.56 1.	1.95 4.8	85 5.26	26 6.05	5 18.67	9.44	23.64	24.02	4.99	2.13	5.31	5.75 W. P. No. 1, corn cockle and	cockle and
	Average	8.49	5.50	17.03	8.80	21.77 2	20.36 4	4.70 1.	1.76 4.9	98 6.6	61 6.01	18.62	9.61	23.79	22.26	5.13	1.92	5.44	7.22 SIIIALLWEEU SCHU	
14780	1478ª Mixed feed, Blue Grass	8.16	3.40	10.00	17.50	25.12-1	. 18. 75 2.	8	1.42 2.2	26 11.17	17 3.70	0 10.89	19.05	27.35	20.42	2.42	1.55 2	2.46 1	12.16 W. P. No. 1, ground corn-	ound corn-
1497	Mixed feed	9.15	5.83	17.13	7.89 2	21.53 1	19.27 3.	66	1.05 4.7	71 9.4	45 6.42	2 18.86	8.68	23.70	21.22	4.39	1.15 5	5.18 10.	.40 W. P. No. 1.	
1507	Mixed feed, Vermont	8.51	5.45	17.88	7.29	17.07 2	27.84 5	5.06 2.	29 5.	01 3.60	30 5.96	3 19.54	7.97	18.66	30.44	5.53	2.50	5.47	3.93 W. P. Nos. 1 and	nd 2, corn
1793	do	9.59	5.46	17.63	7.20	19.01 2	22. 87 3.	. 89 2.	99 3.	93 7.43	13 6.04	19.50	7.96	21.03	25.29	4.30	3.31	4.35	8.22 W. P. Nos. 1 and 2, a	eeu.   2, smart-
1973	do	11.78	5.11	17.37	6.89	16.89 2	27.02 2.	. 72 3.	50 3.	24 5.4	48 5.79	19.69	7.81	19.15	30.64	3.08	3.96 3	3.67	6.21 W. P. Nos. 1 and	nd 2, corn
	Average	9.96	5.34	17.63	7.13	17.65 2	25.91 3.	. 89 2.	93 4.	06 5.5	50.5.93	19.58	7.91	19.61	28.79	4.30	3.26	4.50	6.12 smartweed.	Ĵ
1483b	1483b Mixed feed,Ro7al	9.13	5.11	16.75	7.88	19.06 2	24.94 3	3.90 1.	48	66 7.09	09 5.62	2 18.43	8.67	20.97	27.46	4.29	1.63	5.13	7.80 W. P. No. 1, co	corn cockle,
1509c	1509c Mixed feed, Stott's Hon-	8.97	4.99	14.98	7.58	20.01 2	25.10 3.	. 48 2.	08 4.	42 8.3	39 5.48	8 16.46	8.33	21.98	27.57	3.82	2.28	4.86	1,1,	chess, trace
1989c	est. do.	13.57	4.77	14.66	1.01	16.85 2	27.02 3.	51	1.61 3.4	53 7.47	17 5.52	2 16.96	8.11	19.50	31.26	4.06	1.86	4.09		id 2, trace
	Average	11.27	4.88	14.82	7.30	18.43 2	26,06 3.	. 49 1.	84 3.	98 7.93	33 5.50	16.71	8.22	20.74	29.42	3.94	2.07	4.47	8.93	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1525	Mixed feed	8.43	6.15	16.56	9.49	23.72 2	25.22 4	4.17 1.	1.62 4.5	54 .1	.10 6.72	2 18.08	10.36	25.90	27.50	4.56	1.81	4.96	.11 W. P. No. 1, trace of smart-	e of smart-
1597d 1602 1605	1597d Mixed feed, Snowflake 1602 Mixed feed.	8.62 8.12 9.21	5.67 6.41 4.77	15.38 17.50 14.94	7.49 8.25 6.11	20.61 2 20.42 2 16.75 3	24.56 2 20.17 4 38.90 1	2.99 1. 4.49 1. 1.04 1.	25 4 5 25 4 5	5258 521 521 521 521 521 521 521 521 521 521	70 6.20 31 6.98 81 5.25	0 16.83 8 19.05 5 16.45	8.19 8.98 6.73	22.55 22.22 18.45	26.91 21.95 42.87	3.27 4.89 1.14	1.91	4.62	9. 52 W. P. No. 2. 9.04 W. P. No. 1. 3.09 W. P. Nos. 1 and 2, trace of	2, trace of
1623	do	8.71	5.52	16.81	8.09	18.72 2	26.39 3.	47	1.46 4.5	52 6.	31 6.05	18.41	8.86	20.51	28.91	3.80	1.60	4.95	6.91 W. P. Nos. 1 and 2, mood obserios	2, smart-
1629	Mixed feed, Erie	9.12 8.74	6.03 5.45	15.88	8.03 2	20.05 1 19.04 2	19.82 4	4.68 1. 4.67 2.	2.14 4.8	09 10. 89 3.	56 6.64 90 5.97	17.47	8.84	22.04	21.83 29.38	5.15 5.12	2.34	4.50 1 5.36	11.62 W. P. Nos. 1 and 2, chess. 4.27 W. P. Nos. 1, 2, and 3, corn	2, chess. ind 3, corn
1867	1867 Mixed feed, Occident	11.86	4.53	16.46	7.94	26.93 1	14.36 4	4.44 2.	2.05 4.40	40 7.03	3 5.14	18.68	9.01	30.54	16.30	5.04	2.32	5.00	7.97 W. P. No. 1, smartweed	rtweed.

TABLE 20. - Mixed feed (percentage composition).

.46

### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS. 1

W. P. Nos. 1 and 2, trace of	W. P. Nos. 1 and 2, corn	W. P. No. 1.	8	W. P. No. 1 and some No. 3,	W. P. Nos. 1 and 2. W. P. No. 1, chess and corn	W. P. No. 1, corn cockle,	W. P. No. 2, ground corn-	W. P. Nos. 1, 2, and 3.	W. P. Nos. 1 and 2. Ground corn and oats, small	P. No. 2, weed seed. F. No. 2, weed seed. F. No. 2; small amount cottonseed meal, linseed	meal. W. P. No. 1.	W. P. Nos. 1, 2, and 3.	W. P. No. 1 and small	ed	W.P. No.1, smartweed seed.	12.
5.47	.04	7.43	3.73	5.49	11.84 8.38 3.72	2.78	12.77	10.18	5.89	1.83	9.29	9.54	8.18	6. 79 9. 64	11.44	d See page 67.
4.54	5.24	4.19	4.71	4.81	4.65	4.65	2.08	4.22	4.17 2.43	5.16	4.21	4.15	4.10	3.56 4.98	4.54	d See
1.18	2.69	2.77	2.73	3.22	$2.10 \\ 1.70 \\ $	2.19	1.69	2.58	1.43	1.78	2.09	2.61	2.85	2.34	1.77	
5.34	4.22	3.11	3.66	3.79	3.88 4.36 4.86	4.90	2.01	4.23	4.84	1.61	4.33	3.10	2.90	$2.78 \\ 1.04$	4.05	
29.66	33.88	26.69	30.29	31.47	24.40 26.89 30.99	14.29	19.72	25.08	30.90 50.72	48.36	22.75	24.68	29.51	30.55 28.20	22.58	
20.41	20.74	22.33	21.54	19.44	$   \begin{array}{c}     19.89 \\     21.17 \\     22.08   \end{array} $	36.80	28.28	20.41	19.80 15.32	13.13	22.81	20.64	21.01	20.76 22.81	21.82	
8.12	8.96	9.65	9.31	7.31	7.40 8.37 8.40	9.40	18.04	8.07	7.38 8.10	7.03	8.94	8.95	11.32	9.44 8.82	8.28	See page 69.
19.42	18.72	18.26	18.49	18.70	$19.73 \\ 18.14 \\ 17.21 $	18.85	11.23	19.70	16.18	17.21	18.69	19.55	17.41	$19.20 \\ 17.42$	19.21	c See p
5.86 1	5.51 1	5.57 1	5.54 1	5.77	6.11 6.71 6.38	6.14 ]	4.18	5.53 ]	6.03 1	3.89	6.88 ]	6.78 1	2.72	4.58 1 6.81 1	6.31	
5.00	.04	6.51	3.27	5.00	10.45 7.57 3.43	2.52	11.27	9.02	8.02 5.35	1.68	8.12	8.39	7.11	5.81 8.89	10.88	1
4.15	4.85	3.67	4.26	4.37	4.10 3.87 4.30	4.21	1.84	3.74	3.61	4.72	3.68	3.65	3.56	$3.04 \\ 4.59$	4.31	-
1.08	2.49	2.43	2.46	2.93	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.85 \\     1.54 \\     1.57   \end{array} $	1.98	1.49	2.29	$1.24 \\ 1.52$	1.63	1.83	2.29	2.47	2.00	1.67	
4.88	3.90	2.72	3.31	3.45	$3.42 \\ 3.94 \\ 4.48 \\ 4.48 \\ $	4.44	1.77	3.75	4.19	1.47	3.78	2.73	2.52	2.37	3.85	ge 63.
27.09	31.31	23.38	27.35	28.59	21.54 24.28 28.59	12.94	17.41	22.24	26. 73 46. 03	44.25	19.87	21.69	25.64	26.13 26.00	21.45	See page 63.
18.65	19.17	19.57	19.37	17.67	$17.55 \\ 19.12 \\ 20.35$	33.30	24.95	18.10	$17.14 \\ 13.90$	12.02	19.92	18.15	18.26	$17.75 \\ 21.03$	20.73	9
7.42	8.28	8.45	8.37	6.65	6.53 7.56 7.75	8.51	15.92	7.15	6.38 7.35	6.44	7.81	7.87	9.83	8.07 8.14	7.89	
17.75	17.31	16.00	16.66	17.00	$\frac{17.41}{16.38}$ 15.88	17.06	9.91	17.46	14.01 10.38	15.75	16.32	17.19	15.14	16.42 16.06	18.25	
5.36	5.09	4.88	4.98	5.25	5.39 5.89	5.56	3.69	4.91	5.22 3.19	3.56	6.01	5.96	2.36	$3.92 \\ 6.29$	5.99	
8.62	7.56	12.37	9.97	9.09	11.75 9.68 7.76	9.48	11.75	11.34	$13.46 \\ 9.23$	8.48	12.66	12.08	13.11	14.49	5.00	age 70.
1717   Mixed feed, Sunshine	Mixed feed, Boston		Average.	4 Mixed feed, Gold Mine	Mixed feed.	7 Mixed feed, Superior	5 Mixed feed, Mascot		Mixed feed, C. & W.	4 Mixed feed	1919d Mixed feed, Golden Bull .	5 Mixed feed, High Grade	5 Mixed feed, Duchess	1980 Mixed feed, Equality 1543c Tri-Me mixed feed	1c do	a See pa
1717	1721	1959		1724	1755 1755 1780	1787	1825	1827	$1887 \\ 1576$	1584	1919	1926	1955	1980 1543	15010	

MIXED FEED.

## COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

## SUGAR AND MOLASSES FEEDS.

Only two samples of dried pulp were examined, neither of which shows adulteration. (Table 22.) The two samples labeled molasses feed evidently consist of a mixture of molasses and brewers' grains. Sample No. 1540 consists principally of a mixture of brewers' grains and molasses, with a small amount of linseed meal. Sample No. 1728 consists of a mixture of brewers' grains and molasses only. There is no feature of any of these analyses that would suggest adulteration.

## MISCELLANEOUS FEEDS.

Under this heading are included a number of feeds which might, from their name, contain any mixture of ingredients or which have not been examined in large enough numbers to require a separate heading. The composition and ingredients of most of these are so plainly indicated by the chemical and microscopical examinations that separate mention of particular samples does not seem necessary, except in three cases.

Jenkins and Winton <sup>a</sup> give the following average composition for barley meal and screenings:

					•		
Constituents.	Number of anal- yses.	Moisture.	Ash.	Protein.	Crude fiber,	Nitrogen- free ex- tract.	Fat.
Barley meal Barley screenings	$\frac{3}{2}$	11.9 12.2	$\begin{array}{c} 2.6\\ 3.6\end{array}$	10.5 $12.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.5\\ 7.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66.3\\ 61.8\end{array}$	$2.2 \\ 2.8$

TABLE 21.—Average percentage composition of barley meal and screenings. [Compiled.]

It is evident that the two samples of barley meal examined, Nos. (20, 20) and 1608 (Table 22) compare favorably with the compiled

1539 and 1698 (Table 23), compare favorably with the compiled results. Sample 1854, while having a high ash and fiber content, also contains a considerable amount of protein.

a Loc. cit.

	Raw materials identified by mi- croscopical examination.	Dried beet pulp. Do.		B	Brewers' grains (barley). Brewers' grains, largely oat hulls; barley hulls, linsed meal,	smartweed, pigweed. Brewers' grains, trace of oats.
	Undeter- mined.	6.02 13.42	9.72	. 93	1. 61 10. 50	10.75
	Ether ex- tract.	0.47	. 49	4.76	<b>13.</b> 84 <b>3.</b> 31	2.95
e basis	Reducing sugars.	0.27	. 42	10.67	2.23	13.10
ure-fre	Sucrose.	25.33 23.81	24.57	6.96	$\frac{7.19}{14.94}$	9.91
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Starch.	5.84	6. 53	15.70	18, 65 8, 57	7.33
ed to a	.пяготп94	27. 19 21. 42	24.31	16.53	14.60 15.58	14.87
leulate	Crudefiber.	20.42 14.50	17.46	12.15	11.26 11.71	10.56
Ca	Crude pro- tein.	8.82 10.52	9.67	25.86	23.01 18.25	23, 25
	·ųsv	5.64 8.02	6.83	6.44	7.61	7.28
	Undeter- mined.	5, 40 12, 21	8.85	. 85	1. 42 9. 76	9. 75
ple.	Ether ex- tract.	0.43	. 45	4.40	1.97 3.08	2.68
l sam	Reducing sugars.	0.25	. 38	9.85	6.35 8.42	98 11.88
rigina	Sucrose.	23. 16 21. 64	22.40	6.43	16.47 13.89	œ
s of c	Starch.	5.34 6.57	5, 95	14.50	12.22	6. 65
to bas	.пязолп9Ч	24 86 19.49	22, 18	15.26	12, 89 14, 48	13.48
Calculated to basis of original sample.	Crude fiber.	18.67 13.21	15.94	11.22	$9.94 \\ 10.88$	9.57
Caleu	Crude pro- tein.	8.06 9.57	8.81	23.88	20.31 16.94	21.06
	.dsA	$\frac{5}{7}$ , 16	6. 22	5.95	6. 72 7. 53	6.60
	.91112810M	8. 58 9. 05	8.82	7.66	11.71	9.35
	Name and brand.	Dried beet pulp	Average	Molasses feed	Molasses grains	op
	Na	A		~	1	

SUGAR AND MOLASSES FEEDS.

**4**9

TABLE 22.—Sugar and molasses feeds.

	Raw materials identified by mi- croscopical examination.	9	~5°.	Weed. W. P. No. 1, cracked corn. whole	20	9	Ground corn, W. P. No. 1. Cracked corn, whole wheat, bar- ley, Kaffir corn, oats, smart- wood sood	G.	9	28 I	Weeds. Weed chaff, plantain (Plantago major), and other weeds.
	Undeter- mined.	10.10	8,26 4,60	4.62	9.33 9.40	. 23	11.92.16	4.67	3.78	10.39 9.97	10. 28
8.	Ether ex- tract.	3.08	3.23 3.23	4,40	2.31 3.19	3. 23	<b>4</b> 16 2. 25	4.53	3.25	4 14 3.39	3.46
ee basi	Reducing sugars.	1.08	2.38	1.62	1.69	1.54	$1.72 \\ 1.75$	1.95	2.63	L 52 L 33	1. 59
ure-fr	Sucrose.	1.32	1.59 2.19	2.46	2.03 1.54	1.14	2.96	3.79	1.60	5 % 80 %	0
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Starch.	47.28	46. 63 33. 70	53. 54	52. 33 49. 93	56.83	<b>43.</b> 36 <b>48.</b> 06	42.02	46.62	32.62 30.30	15.93
ed to a	Pentosan.	16.78	14.26 19.05	12.00	12.78	11.39	13, 20 15, 86	15.90	14.75	16.98 20.98	8.76
lculate	Crude fiber.	9.24	7.78 13.25	5.70	7.17 6.80	7.89	5.50 17.16	7.65	7.79	10.65 5.43	20.23
Ca	Crude pro- tein.	8 53	13.88 14.29	12.47	9. 11 11. 10	11.07	13. 34 12. 02	15. 55	15.38	15.41	18.49
	.dsA	2.59	2.52	3.19	2.75 4.25	6, 68	3.84 2.06	3.94	4.20	5.43	21.26
	Undeter- mined.	8,99	7.52 4.25	4.19	8.51 8.58	. 21	10.67	4.26	3.47	9. 44 9. 24	9.5621.
le.	Ether ex- tract.	2.74	2.98	4.00	2.57	2.91	$\frac{3.72}{1.95}$	4.13	2.99	3.763.14	3. 22
samp	Reducing sugars.	0.96	1.82 2.20	1. 47	1.54 2.17	1.39	1.54	1.78	2.42	$1.38 \\ 1.23$	1. 48
iginal	.980TOB	1.18	1.45	2.24	1.85	1.04	2.65	3.46	1.47	2.60 5.46	0
s of or	Starch.	42.05	42. 42 31. 12	48, 56	47.71	51.65	38, 82 41, 66	38. 32	42.84	29. 65 28. 09	4.81
o basi	Pentosan.	14.94	12.97	10.89	11. 64 47. 10. 42 45.	10. 35 51.	11. 82 13. 74	14.50	13.55	15.43	8.1414
Calculated to basis of original sample.	Crude fiber.	8.23	$\frac{7.08}{12.23}$	5.17	6.54	7.17	4.92 14.87	6.98	7.16	9. 68 5. 03	18.81
Calcul	Crude pro- tein.	7.63	$12.63 \\ 13.19$	11.31	8, 31 10, 13	10.06	11.94	14.19	14.13	14.00 16.25	17. 19
	.dsA.	2.31	2. 29 6. 75	2.89	2.51 3.88	6.07	3.44 1.78	3.59	3.86	4 93 4 81	19.74
_	Moisture.	10.97	9.02	9.28	8 82	9.15	10. 45 13. 23	8.79	8, 11	9. 13 7. 30	7.051
	Name and brand.	Common feed	Ground feed	do	Common feed	Ground corn,	oats, and rye. Meal and shorts Felker's blended grain.	Monarch horse	Pioneer barley	Barley meal Rye mixed feed	1854b Cypher's clover meal.
	Name	Com	Groundodo	)	Com	G ro	Mea Fell gr	1572a Mor	Pior	Bar Rye	Cyp

TABLE 23.—Miscellaneous feeds.

b See page 65.

a See page 68.

COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

## PROPRIETARY STOCK FEEDS.

Under this heading have been classified those feeds bearing trade names that are not descriptive in any way of the materials used. Like the miscellaneous feeds they may contain any mixture of stock food materials, and can not be compared with standards of average composition. The microscopic examination, however, shows plainly of what constituents these proprietary feeds are made. It will be noted that samples Nos. 1528 and 1700 contain corncob meal, and that several other samples contain considerable quantities of hulls. Samples Nos. 1575, 1726, and 1942, named sugar and flaxseed, are misbranded since the analyses and microscopical examination show other substances present than the ones mentioned in the name.

	Raw materials identified by mi- crosoopical examination.	Ground oats, W. P. No. 1, corn meal.	Ground oats, corn meal, W. P. No.	1, foxtail seed, smartweed seed. Ground oats, corn meal, W. P. No.	Z, CLBTIOCK.	Linseed meal, cottonseed meal, some leguminous seeds, W. P. No. 3,	carob bean and fenugree. Linseed meal, octronseed meal, W. P. No. 3, leguminous seeds, pigweed seed, carob bean and fenugree.		Ground corn and oats, W. P. No. 2. Do.		Distillers' dried grains (corn and	Do.		Corn and corncol meal. Corn meal, some corncob.	•	Ground corn and oats. Ground corn and oats, with possibly small excess of oat hulls, rough	pigweeu.
	Undeter- mined.	5.93 10.24	9.35	8.50	9.35	4.78 12.69	4. 79 11. 01	4.79 11.85	8.00 8.76	8.41	9.55	8.25	8.90	7.52	7.65	$\frac{1.16}{7.64}$	4.40
is.	Етћег ех- tract.		4.48	4.59	5.00				3.78	4.00	0 12.24	12.14	0 12. 19	6. 23	6.33	2.60	1.99
ę basi	Reducing sugars.	2.34	3.26	2.63	2.74	. 52	. 72	.62	$2.02 \\ 1.17$	1.59	0	0	0	.30	. 39	1.00. $.67$	.83
re-fre	Sucrose.	1.87	2.33	2.21	2.13	6.68	6.64	6.66	$1.52 \\ 2.12$	1.82	0	0	0	2.35	2.26	1.05. $52$	. 78
loistu	Starch.	30. 53	34.39	35.20	33.37	31.88	34.81	33.35	41.84 40.71	41.28	3.29	4.90	4.09	45.69 47.60	46.65	63.69 58.10	60.90
to a n	.nssoin94	19.04	16.93	17.21	17.76	7.74	7.54	7.64	$16.02 \\ 15.89$	15.95	26.04	25.88	25.96	$15.20 \\ 15.25$	15.23	12.06	11.48
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Crude fiber.	12.62	10.83	11.68	11.71	5.35	4.68	5.01	10.15 10.20	10.18	13.83	14.45	14.14	10.44	9.28	9.94	8.75
Calcu	Crude pro- tein.	12.27	13.12	12.66	12.68	25.19	24.91	25.05	12.76 1	13.14	33.29	32.26	32.78	9.11	9.44	8. 40 8. 40	8.47
	·usv	5.16	5.31 1	5.32	5.26 1	5.17 2	4.90 2	5.03 2	3.41 1	3.63 1	1.76 3	2.12 3	1.94 3	2.77	2.77	2.35	2.40
	Undeter- mined.	9.50	8.64	7.59	8.58	11.78		3	7.39	7.58	8.97	7.73 2	8.35 1	6.91 2 7.11 2	7.01 2	1.05 2 5.87 2	3.46 2
olc.	tract.	5.50	4.14 8	4.10	4.58	4.43 11	4.38 10.06	4.40 10.	3.47 3.75	3.61	0 11.49	0 11.37	0 11.43	5.92	5.81	2.35 1	1.71
saml	Reducing sugars. Ether ex-	2.17	3.01	2.35	2.51	. 48	. 66	. 57	1.85	1.44	011	0 11	011	-44	.35	52.28	12.
iginal	Sucrose.	1.74	2.15	1.97	1.95	6.20	6. 06	6.13	1.39	1.64	0	0	0	2.16	2.07	. 40	.67
of or	Starch.	28.31	31.78	31.40	30.49	29.60	31.78	30.69	38.34 36.14	37.24	3.09	4. 59	3.84	42.00	42.75	57. 47 44. 66	51.07
Calculated to basis of original sample.	.пвгозп9Ч	17.66 2	64	35	53	7.19 2	6.89 3	7.04 3	14.68 3 14.10 3	14.39 3	44	23	34	13.97 4	13.96 4	10.88 5 8.38 4	9.63 5
ted to		11.71 13	10.01 15.	42 15.	. 71 16.	26	27	62	9.30	9.18	98 24.	53 24.	25 24.	84	51	6.82 10	7.24
alcula	Crude fiber.			30 10.	60 10.	38 4.	75 4.	07 4.	188		25 12.	19 13.	72 13.	38 9. 94 7.	66 8.	7. 69 6 6. 46 7	7.07
ũ	Crude pro- tein.	9 11.38	1 12.12	5 11.30	82 11.60	80 23.	22.	64 23.	53 11. 03 11.	28 11.84	31.	99 30.19	2 30.	555 53. 00 00	54 8.	12 7. 87 6.	( ))
	·usv	4 4.79	0 4.91	7 4.75	4	4.	7 4.48	4	က်က်	3.	3 1.65	1.	5 1.82	ગંગં	c'i	1.2	5 1.99
_	.orutsioM	7.24	. 7.60	. 10.77	. 8. 54	7.17	- 8.67	. 7.92	. 8.36	. 9.80	6.13	. 6.37	6.25	. 8.07 . 8.61	. 8.34	9.77 23.12	. 16.45
	Name and brand.	Schumacker's stock		do	Average	Blatchford's calf meal.	do	Average	H-O horse feed	Average	Merchant's dairy		Average	Star feeddo	Average	Empire feed	Average
•	oN Isito2	1574	1723	1928		1590a	17540		1767 1988		1489	1784		1528		1615b 1753b	

TABLE 24.—Proprietary stock feeds (percentage composition).

COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

Ö	Corkie. Corn meal, ground oats, linseed	TOOT . T. M. T. TOOT . T	Corn meal, ground oats, W. P. Nos.	Corn meal, ground oats, cottonseed	õ	I and 2, cottonseed meal.	29	Ground oats and corn, oat hulls	4	0	0×	33.	lealed dock, corn cockle. Corn and oat meal.	1	weed. Corn and oat meal. Corn meal with some oat meal. Linseed meal, cottonseed meal, le- guminous sect, W. P. No. 3, carob	1	bean, fenugrec. Linseed meal, cottonseed meal, le- guminous seed, trace of pigweed	seed, carob bean, fenugrec. W. P. No. 3.	
8.34	7.79	8.07	4.18	8. 22	7.04	6.48	8.28	3.01	2.98	7.04	. 42	9.73 1.55	5.01	7.27	1.06 8.46 16.71	17.58	15.32	16.54	63.
5.39	3.70	4.54	5.89	5.51	4.22	5.21	3.76 2.07	2.64	. 59 11. 46	26°	3.50 5.01	4.46	2.49	4.48	3.90 5.39 11.10	11.62	86 11.23	. 75 11. 32	page
2.13	2.66	2, 39	2.02	.28	1.11	1.14	$1.75 \\ 1.11$	. 64	. 59	02 13.99	$1.18 \\ 1.88 \\ $	1.44	1.00	1.49	1. 18 3. 90 1. 0 . 50 5. 39 8. 4 0. 67 11. 10 16. 7	. 72 11.	.86		c See page 63.
1.97	1.47	1.72	2.00	1.90	2.49	2.13	$1.28 \\ .70$	. 63	0	ŝ	.95	5.09 6.33	. 66	5.39	226 7.70 7.76	7.28	7.85	7.63	
36.51	39.69	38.10	31.00	30.22	28.97	30.06	31.08 26.25	43.97	16.03	19.34	50.88 32.28	21.58 33.61	53.04	26.60	45.35 50.36 13.17	13.04	13. 13	13. 11	
17.26	17.03	17.15	17.98	17.71	19.01	18.23	21.91 25.37	20.34	21.68	14.55	$   \frac{18.80}{22.01} $	23.45 21.17	14.94	20.46	29.02 12.24 8.25	7.40	8.72	8.12	
11.28	11.76	11.52	12.59	10.61	13.06	12.09	$14.59 \\ 22.27$	16.32	13.52	14.71	$13.09 \\ 9.47$	$9.15 \\ 8.50$	11.68	8.86	5.21 7.63 7.25	6.31	6.24	6.60	•
13.40	12.40	12.90	19.98	21.27	20.03	20.43	13.66	8.20	31.66	16.72	7.99	$18.41 \\ 17.26$	8.28	18.62	$\begin{array}{c} 10.89\\ 9.93\\ 29.42\end{array}$	30.48	30.69	30.20	-
3.72	3.50	3.61	4.36	4.28	4.08	4.24	3.69 ]	4.25	2.08	9.66	3.19	6. 69 1 6. 05 1	2.90	6. 83 ]	5 52 1 5 67 2	5.57 3	5.96 3	5. 73 3	.99
7.63	6.95	7.29	3. 89	7.66	6.35	5.97	7.69	2.77	2.83	6.29	50.33	8.82	4.06	6.64 (		30	8	8	b See page 66.
4.93	3.30	4.12	5.47	5.14	3.81	4.81	3.49	2.43		.87	3.23	4.04	2.02	4.09	3. 38 4. 86 7. 63 0. 24 15. 41	0.78 16.	9.74 13.	0.25 15.	b See
1.95	2.37	2.16	1.88	.26	1.00	1.05	$1.63 \\ 1.03$	.59	. 56 10. 89	2.50	1. 72	1.31	. 81	1.36	$\begin{array}{c c} 1.02 & 3.\\ 45 & 4.\\ 0.62 & 10. \end{array}$	. 67 10.	. 75	. 68 10.	-
1.81	1, 31	1.56	1.86	1.77	2.25	1.96	$1.19 \\ .65$	.58	0	2.70 12.	. 88.	$\frac{4.62}{5.78}$	. 53	4.92	2.04 7.16	6.75	6.81	6.91	
33. 38	35.39	34.39	28.80	28.14	26.12	27.69	28.87 24.28	40.50	15.23	17.25	46.97 29.44	19.57 30.65	43.03	24.28	39.32 45.40 12.15	12.09	11.39	11.88	
15.78	15.19	15.49	16.70	16.50	17.14	16.78	20.36 23.47	18.74	20.60	13.00	$17.36 \\ 20.08$	$21.26 \\ 19.32$	12.13	18.68	25.16 11.03 7.61	6.86	7.57	7.35	
10.32	10.49	10.41	11.70	9.88	11.78	11.12	13.56 20.60	15.04	12.86	13.14	12.09 8.64	8.30	9.47	8.09	6.0 % 52 6.0 % 52 6.0 % 52	5. 85	5.41	5.98	-
12.25	11.06	11.65 1	18.56	19.81	18.06	18.81	8.06	7.56	30.06	14.94	$\begin{array}{c c} 7.38\\16.69\end{array}$	$16.69 \\ 15.75$	6.72	17.00	$9.45 \\ 8.95 \\ 27.13$	28. 25	26.64	27.34	See page 61.
3.40 1	3.12 1	3.26 1	4.05 1	3.99 1	3.68	3.91	3.43 ]	3.92	1.98 3	8.63 1	2.95	6.07 1 5.52 1	2.35	6.24 1	2.24 5.23	5.17 2	5.17 2	5. 19 2	See p
19	10.82	9.68	7.09	6.85	9.81	7.92	7.09	7.87	4.99	10.68 8	8.77 5	9.32 (8.75	30	8.70 (		7.28	13. 23	9.42	
		:		:	:	:	È				:::	: :	ial 18 tle	:	od. 19			:	•
1583e Buffalo horse feed  8.5	do	Average	1523c Buffalo creamery	:	do	Average	Buffalo dairy feed Great Western dairy	Puritan ground feed.	Empire State dairy feed.	Blomo feed	Lenox stock food. Boston feed	Model feed	Lackawanna special 18.8 horse and cattle	reed. King feed	Richmond horse feed 13.31 Haskell's stock food, 9.85 Blatchford's sugar 7.76 and flaxseed.	do	do	Average	
15830	1954 c		1523 c	1541 c	1972 c		1573 c 1476	1488	1503	1506	1550	1613 1716	1729	1743	1824     1831     1575a	1726	1942		

### PROPRIETARY FEEDS.

## ANIMAL MEALS.

Under this head are included meat, meat and bone, bone, and blood meals. All the samples examined were of satisfactory composition. Their high protein content makes them valuable in remedying the deficiency of this essential constituent in the so-called "carbohydrate feeds." Bowker's animal meal contains considerable cottonseed meal, as shown by both the microscopical and chemical examination.

		Raw materials identified by mi- croscopical examination.	Animal meal, bone, and cotton-	seed meal. Do.		Ground bone.			Do.	Do.		Do.	
		Undeter- mined.	3. 53	7.51	5.52	5.82	3. 77	12 22 80 12 23 20 12 br>10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1.56	1.10	1.33	2.76	
	asis.	Ether ex- tract.	10.50	8.78	9.64	1.57	. 73	$^{1.63}_{2.88}$	.13	.15	.14	.30	~
	ree ba	Reducing sugars.	0	0	0								
	ture-f	Sucrose.	1.77	1.89	1.83								-
•	Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Starch.	0	0	0								
	I to a	Pentosan.	1.91	3.24	2.57								
	ulated	Crude fiber.	4.54	4.56	4.55								
	Calci	Crude pro- tein.	36.95	43.18	40.07	27.51	25.09 16.99	30.55 93.25	95.59	94.50	95.05	92.73	
		.AsA.	40.80	30.84	35.82	65.10	75.	65.83 4 22	2.72	4.25	3.48	4.21	
		Undeter- mined.	3.30	7.09	5.20	5.45		808	1.43	. 99	1.21	2.55	7.9280
	mple.	Ether ex- tract.	9.87	8.32	9.09	1.47	. 69	1.15 1.15 .24	. 12	.13	. 12	. 28	a See Dage 70
	nal sa	Reducing sugars.	0	0	0								-
	Calculated to basis of original sample.	Sucrose.	1.67	1.82	1.74								-
	sis of	.dored.	0	0	0								
1	to ba	.пвеотпэЧ	1.81	3.07	2.44					:	• • • • •		
	lated	Crude fiber.	4.26	4.32	4.29					;			
	Calcu	-orde pro- tein.	34.69	40.88	37.79	25.75	23. 44 15. 46	32.3	87.31	84.54	85.93	85.56	
		.AsA	38. 32	29.21	33.77	60.94	65.76 68.76 60.14	59.47 3.88	2.49	3.81	3.15	3.89	
		Moisture.	6.08	5.29	5.68	6.39	6.59 8.97 8.97		8.65	10.53	9.59	7.72	
									11			:	
		Name and brand.	1557 Bowker's animal meal	1744 do	Average	1737 Granulated poultry	Coarse poultry bone Marsh's pure bone meal. Rone meal	Cut green bone. Blood meal	1732 Armour's pure blood	1965do	Average	1773a Swift's blood meal	

a See page 70.

TABLE 25.—Animal meals (percentage composition).

55

e.,

### POULTRY FOODS.

It would appear from the microscopical examination of this class of goods that most of them are composed largely of screenings from various grains, or of very inferior grains. Many varieties of weed seeds are present, but in only one case is there enough of a poisonous weed seed to make the use of the food objectionable. This is sample No. 1556, which contains a considerable quantity of darnel (Lolium temulentum), a weed seed with marked toxic properties. While the chemical composition of most of these foods shows them to have considerable food value, yet many of them are sold under names which are misleading. Such names as "Laying food," "Egg-builder ration," and "Forcing food," at least imply that the foods in question have certain egg forcing properties in addition to their ordinary food value. Such is not the case, however, the foods' only increasing the amount of laying by supplying to the hen those food constituents which keep her in first class physical condition and which are needed to build up the egg and shell. Since protein is one of the constituents usually lacking in the food ordinarily fed to chickens, and since also it forms a large portion of the egg, the foods which contain this constituent in large amounts are generally to be preferred.

	Raw materials identified by mi- croscopical examination.	Corn meal, W. P. No. 2, trace of oats and animal meal.	Corn meal, W. P. No. 1, rolled oats	Corn meal, W. P. No. 1, rolled	Daus; Irace of Corri Cockle.	Corn meal, W. P. Nos. 1 and 2.	1	W. P. No. 1, corn meal, animal	uteal, seeds of sular weed, tox- tail grass, and charlock. W. P. No. 1, corn meal and animal	TICOT	Kaffir corn, wheat, stone, cracked corn, ground bone, buckwheat, millet, forxtall grass, charcoal, flaxseed, sunflower, oats, rye, charlock, rose seed, <i>Lolium</i>	temulentum. Cracked corn, ground oats, W. P.	W. P. No. 2.	Cracked corn. millet, foxtail, cracked wheat, pigweed seed, corn cockle, flaxseed, Kaffir corn, Brassica (sp.) ground rock, charcoal.	c See page 63.
	Undeter- mined.	7. 42 11. 06	6.09 10.49	7.50	9.00	6.06	4.38	6.48	8.41	6.42	7.40	8.99 11.51	6.85	9.44	ŝ
iis.	Ether ex- tract.		6.09	5.92	6.00	16.79	4.05	2.25	3. 53	3.28	3.86	8.99	4.93	4.88	
e bas	Reducing sugars.	1.46	1.60	1.16	1.38	1.41	1.45	1.62	1.40	1.49	1.07	1.38	1.94	.95	
Ire-fre	Sucrose.	3.02	2.65	1.62	2.14	3.21	2.46	.96	1.16	1.53	. 32	2.20	5.02	1.28	
aoistu	.dorat2	38. 56	41.24	39, 83	40.54	42.22	47.11	53.45	47.78	49.44	45.54	39.51	40.34	52.24	
toan	Pentosan.	12.40	12.37	15.03	13.70	12.65	11.16	7.,04	5.46	7.89	5.72	11.01	9.05	<b>4</b> 8	
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	Crude fiber.	6.04 1	5.04 1	6.02 1	5.53 1	5.09 1	6.02 1	5.15	8.57	6.58	4.52	5. 22 1	8.16	4.15	
Calcu	tein.	13.36	17.61	19.70	18.66	14.99	17.69	17.16	17.66	17.50 6	13. 90	17.00	17.39 8	123	
	Ash. Crude pro-	6.68 1	2.91 1	3.23 1	3.07 1	22	5.68 1	68	5.03 1	5.87 1		3.18 1	32	9.73 12.	65.
-	'pəujur	1	9.61 2	90	8.26 3	5. 53 3.	3.94 5	. 85 5.	7.16 5	65	6. 79 17. 67		. 28 6.	22	b See page 65.
le.	tract. Undeter-	6. 80 10. 14	5.58 9	5.45 6.	5.51 8	9.85 5	65	. 03 5.	3.01	. 90 5.	54	8. 23 10. 54	. 51 6.	. 44 8.	b See
samp	Reducing sugars. Ether ex-	1.34 6	1.47 5	1.07	1.27	1.29 9	1.31 3.	1.46 2.	1.19 3	1.32 2.	.98	1.26 8	1.78 4.	.87 4.	
ginal	Sucrose.	2.77	2.43	1.49	1.96	2.93	2.22	.87	.98	1.36	, 29	2.01	4.60	1.16	
of or	Starch.	35. 34	37.78	36.65	37.22	38.56	42.47	48.28	40.69	43.82	41.76	36, 15	37.00	47.44	
Calculated to basis of original sample.	Pentosan.	11.37 3	11.33 3	13.83 3	12.58 3	55	10.06 4	36	4.65 4	7.02 4	25	10.08 3	8.30 3	4.37 4	
ed to		5. 54 11	4.62 11	54	5.08 12	65 11.	43	65 6.	7.30 4	79	4.15 5.	4.78 10	48	11	
lculat	tein. Crude fiber.	12.25 5.	16.13 4.	18.13 5.	17.13 5.	69 4.	94 5.	50 4.	15.04 7.	50 5.	12.75 4.	15.56 4.	15.94 7.	ස් දිදි දි	
Ca	Crude pro-	6. 13 12	1	97 18.		27 13.	12 15.	32 15.	1	19 15.			79 15.	8.84 11.38	
-	.Ash.		38 2.67	<sup>5</sup>	18 2.82	68 3.5	83 5.12	5.	84 5.14	45 5.19	2016.20	48 2.91	32 5.		.99
	Moisture.	. 8.32	. 8.38	7.97	. 8.18	×	6.	9.68	. 14.84	. 11. 45	00	œ	ø	9.15	page
	Name and brand.	1521a Puritan chick food	1529 H-O poultry food	do	Average	1532 American poultry food.	1581b Cypher's laying food	1795bdo	b dodo	Average	1556a Egg builder ration	1582c Poultry feed.	1693b Cypher's scratching food	1711a Unexcelled baby chick food.	a See page 66.
	.oN IsiteS	1521	1529	1776		1532	1581	1795	1893b		1556	1582	1693	1171	

TABLE 26.—Poultry foods (percentage composition).

. 57

POULTRY FOODS.

	Raw materials identified by mi- croscopical examination.	ü	coal, hayseed, pigeon grass.	Ground bone seed meal, some W P		chess, rough pigweed. Corn meal, W. P. No. 1, smart- weed. leguminous seed. some	animal meal, wheat scree Cracked corn, millet, Kaffir smartweed, wheat, fla black oats, Brassica (sp.	weed seed, charcoal, quartz rock. W. P. No. 1, oats without hulls	W. P. No. 1 and oats with but few	M	"≥"	3
	Undeter- mined.	6. 65	8.05	9.79	7.34	3.38	4.48	.34	9.13	6.56	8.14	7.82
sis.	Fther ex- tract.	3.79	4.34	7.80	2.66	4.04	4.24	13.74	2.68	3. 23	2.50	3.95
ee bas	Reducing sugars.	1.45	1.20	0	1.34	1.98	1.46	2.1013.	1.76	1.84	4.18	1.90
Ire-fr	Sucrose.	1.56	1.42	0	. 69	1.69	1.01	2.49	1.93	2.49	1.51	2.29
Calculated to a moisture-free basis.	.dorst2	49.73	50.99	14.09	50, 95	49.74	56. 05	26.93	26.09	49.10	43.95	44. 37
l to a	.пявозпэЧ	5. 54	5.17	2.00	5.82	10.06	4.86	13.23	12.60	2.55	6.73	9.67
ulated	Crude fiber.	5. 55	4.85	7.50	5.07	5.54	4.13	15. 55	6.83	1.33	4.39	5.65
Calc	Crude pro- tein.	12.66	12.59	33. 59	12.42	17.11	12.72	17.99 15.55	22.07	23. 35	11.46	6.58 17.77
	•usv	13.07	11.40	9.1825.23	6.7413.71	6.46	4. 07 11. 05	17.63	3416.91	9.55	7.3117.14	6.58
	Undeter- mined.	5.97	7.27			3.07		.31	8.34	5.95		6.85
nple.	Ether ex- tract.	3.41	3.92	7.32	2.44	2.67	3.85	3.45	2.45	2.93	2.24	3.46
al san	Reducing sugars.	1.31	1.09	0	1.23	1.80	1.33	1.93	1.61	1.67	3.76	2.01 1.66
rigins	Sucrose.	1.40	1.28	0	.63	1.54	.92	2.29	1.76	2.26	1.36	
Calculated to basis of original sample.	Starch.	44. 65	46.05	13.22	46. 65	45.19	50.90	24.81	23.80	44.54	39.48	38, 84
to bas	.nssotn94	4.97	4.67	1.88	5. 33	9.14	4.42	12. 19	11.51	2.31	6.05	8.47
ated .	Crude fiber.	4.98	4.37	7.04	4. 65	5.03	3.75	14.33	6.24	1.21	3.94	4.95
Calcul	Crude pro- tein.	11.37	11.38	31.50	11.38	15.56	11.56	16.58	20.16	21.18	10.30	5.76 15.57
	·usv	0.2011.74	10.29	23. 65	12.56	5.87	9.1610.04	16.25	15.45	8, 66	15.40	5.76
	Moisture.	10.20	9.68	6.21	8.37	9.13	9.16	7.82	8.68	9.20	10. 16 15.	12. 43
	Name and brand.	Une xcelled baby chick food.	Average	1738b Blatchford's poultry meats.	1739 c Chick food	1759 c Forcing food	Standard Peep 0'Day chick food.	Nursery chick food, No. 1	1815 Growing chick food, No. 2	1820d Chick meal	Chick feed	0. K. poultry meal
	Serial No.	1930a		17386	1739 c	1759 c	1794	1814	1815	1820d	1826	1846

TABLE 26.—Poultry foods (percentage composition)—Continued.

58

# COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

screenings, chess, b ground oyster shells,	per grass, smartweed, green fortail, pigweed. Millet, hulled oats, cracked wheat, cracked corn, pigeon grass, for- tail. Kafilr corn, trace of char-	coal, ground bone, linseed, rock. Corn meal, oats, W. P. No. 1,	W. P. No. 1, ground oats (very	Corn meal and oats, W. P. No. 2.	Cracked wheat, cracked Kaffir corn, ground rock, oyster shells, millet fortall nigrated logi-	minous seed, charlock, yelow dock, plantain. Cracked forn, oats, millet, ground oystershells, fine charcoal, trace	of charlock, and smartweed. Common millet, and broom corn variety. charcoal. rock. cracked	Wheat, cracked corn, smartweed. W. P. No. 2, corn meal, oats, lin-	Wheat, Kaffr corn, cracked corn, hulled oats, rye, traces of barley,	sunartweed, and maxweed. Cracked corn, millet, wheat screen- ings, Kaffir corn, trace of char- coal, smartweed, charlock. Con-	ringia orientalis, corn cockle, flaxseed, oats, foxtail. Cracked corn, wheat, millet, smartwood sood	Mixture of animal and hone meal. Wheat screenings, cracked corn, hulled oats, trace of rye, corn cockle, millet, peas, charlock.	ø See page 63.
0.19	9.12	4.93	4.99	. 84	9.35	- 7.80	5.00	0.65	9.18	9.37	9.62	6.34 5.50	e 64.
. 40 1	. 95	4.32	2.37	4.79	2.16	2.39		3. 74 10. 65	2.25	2.82	2.36	16.92 2.62	/ See page 64.
2.14 1.40 10.19	.73 1.95	2.27	2.26	2.21	1.03	26.	2.26 1.51	3.05	2.25		2.43	1.78	/ See
	1.18	2.99	1.88	2.32	.62	. 72	1.09	2.45	1.03	.98 1.76		1.31	
8.08 41.95 1.55	54.51 1.18	35. 81	37.44	36.90	41.42	51.75	53. 63	35.02	60.37	57.73	56.61 1.82	65.94 1.31 1.78	e 69.
8.08	2, 43	14.91	3. 55	25.61	4.78	4.28	5.81	13.68	6.44	5.38	6.73	6.49	e See page 69.
7.41	5, 16		6.59	6.64	3.40	3.73	6.12	8.94	2.60	4. 22	3.91	2.27	¢ Se
4.22	11, 15	18.82 11.99	23.68	13.89	11.62	9.92	10.92	16.43	13.93	14.98	13.64	39. 77 12. 09 2. 27	
3.06	.64 1.72 8.0713.77 11.15	3.96	4. 52 17. 24	6.80	4225.62		3.66	6. 04 ]	2.15	8.15 2.76 14.98	2.88	<b>5.</b> 21 36. 97 5 <b>4.</b> 75 2. 00 1	e 68.
9.101	3.071	4.40	4.521	. 75	8.42	7.00 18.44	4.391	9.41	8.02	8.15	8.42	4.75	See page 68.
1.25	1.72	3.85	2.15	4.27	1.95	2.14	.96 1.99 1.32 4.39 13.66	3.31	1.96	2.46	2.07	2.27	d See
1.92	. 64	2.02	2.04	1.97	. 93	.87	1.99	2.69	1.96	1.53	2.12 2.07	1.54	-
88		64											-
1.:	1.05	2.67 2		2.07	. 56	. 64	96.	2.18	.90	. 85	1.59	1.13	65.
37.46 1.5	48.19 1.05	95 2.67	1.71	2.07		46. 40 . 64	47, 12	31.02 2.18	11		49.51 1.59	56.90 1.13	page 65.
7.22 37.46 1.38 1.92 1.25 9.1013.06 14.22 7.41	2.15 48.19 1.05	31.95 2.67	22 33.93 1.71	32.87 2.07	4.31 37.26 ,56		5.10 47.12 .96			4.68 50.17 .85	5. 89 49. 51 1. 59	5.61         56.90         1.13         1.54         2.27	c See page 65.
	2.15	13. 29 31. 95 2. 67	33.93 1.71	2.07	31 37.26	46, 40	47, 12	31.02	52. 71	50.17		5.61	c See page 65.
		13. 29 31. 95 2. 67	46 5.97 3.22 33.93 1.71	5. 92 22. 81 32. 87 2. 07	46 3.06 4.31 37.26	34 3.84 46.40	5.10 47.12	53 7.90 12.10 31.02	2. 27 5. 62 52. 71	3. 67 4. 68 50. 17	3. 42 5. 89	32. 70 10. 44 1. 96 5. 61	-
	9.85 4.57 2.15	31.95 2.67	21.46 5.97 3.22 33.93 1.71	6.06 12.37 5.92 22.81 32.87 2.07	<b>10.</b> 46 <b>3.</b> 06 <b>4.</b> 31 <b>37.</b> 26	8.90 3.34 3.84 46.40	9.59 5.38 5.10 47.12	7.90 12.10 31.02	11. 99 2. 27 5. 62 52. 71	2.40 13.02 3.67 4.68 50.17	11.92 3.42 5.89	32. 70 10. 44 1. 96 5. 61	-
	9.85 4.57 2.15	3. 53 16. 79 10. 69 13. 29 31. 95 2. 67	21.46 5.97 3.22 33.93 1.71	6.06 12.37 5.92 22.81 32.87 2.07	<b>10.</b> 46 <b>3.</b> 06 <b>4.</b> 31 <b>37.</b> 26	3416.53 8.90 3.34 3.84 46.40	9.59 5.38 5.10 47.12	53 5.33 14.53 7.90 12.10 31.02	70 1.87 11.99 2.27 5.62 52.71	2.40 13.02 3.67 4.68 50.17	11.92 3.42 5.89	32. 70 10. 44 1. 96 5. 61	b See page 61. c See page 65.
1960e Chicken wheat	4.57 2.15	53 16. 79 10. 69 13. 29 31. 95 2. 67	9.3815.62 21.46 5.97 3.22 33.93 1.71	g 1000, NO. 4. 1 laying stock 10.91 6.06 12.37 5.92 22.81 32.87 2.07	46 3.06 4.31 37.26	8.90 3.34 3.84 46.40	5.38 5.10 47.12	5.33 14.53 7.90 12.10 31.02	11. 99 2. 27 5. 62 52. 71	13. 02 3. 67 4. 68 50. 17	3. 42 5. 89	ooultry food 17, 79,30, 39, 32, 70, gron feed 13, 67, 1, 73, 10, 44, 1, 96, 5, 61	-

# POULTRY FOODS.

## COMPARISON OF COMMERCIAL AND HOME-GROWN FEEDS.

A study of commercial feeding stuffs would not be complete without some mention of the relative feeding value and economy of such feeds as compared with those that can be grown on the farm. It is of course at times desirable or even necessary to use some of the more concentrated commercial feeds in the case of growing animals in milk production, etc., but in the great majority of cases the cost of mixing such standard concentrated feeds as dried blood, cotton-seed meal, linseed meal, and brewery and distillery wastes with the products from the farm is much less when this work is performed by the farmer himself, rather than when it is performed by the manufacturer.

In the bulletin of Jenkins and Winton, previously quoted, it is shown that some of the more common farm crops used for feeding purposes have the average composition given in Table 27.

0080		Fresh	h, or air-	-dry, ba	sis.		Calculated to dry basis.						
Fodders.	Mois- ture.	Ash.	Pro- tein.	Crude fiber.	Nitro- gen-free extract.		Ash.	Pro- tein.	Crude fiber.	Nitro- gen-free extract.			
Corn fodder-field-			-			1							
cured	42.2	2.7	4.5	14.3	34.7	1.6	4.7	7.8	24.7	60.1	2.		
Corn stover—field-	40.1	3.4	3.8	19.7	31.9	1.1	5.7	6.4	33.0	53.2	1.		
Red top hay	8.9	5.2	7.9	28.6	47.4	1.9	5.7	8.7	31.4	52.1	2.		
Timothy hay	13.2	4.4	5.9	29.0	45.0	2.5	5.1	6.8	33.5	51.7	2.		
Red clover hay	15.3	6.2	12.3	24.8	38.1	3.3	7.3	14.5	29.1	45.2	3.		
Alsike clover hay	9.7	8.3	12.8	25.6	40.7	2.9	9.3	14.2	28.4	44.9	3.		
White clover hay	9.7	8.3	15.7	24.1	39.3	2.9	9.2	17.4	26.7	43.5	3.		
Alfalfa hay	8.4	7.4	14.3	25.0	42.7	2.2	8.1	15.6	27.3	46.6	2.		
Corn-Dent	10.6	1.5	10.3	2.2	70.4	5.0	1.7	11.5	2.6	78.6	5		
Corn-Flint		1.4	10.5	1.7	70.1	5.0	1.7	11.8	1.9	79.0	5		
Oats		3.0	11.8	9.5	59.7	5.0	3.4	13.2	10.8	67.0	5		
Barley	10.9	2.4	12.4	2.7	69.8	1.8	2.7	13.9	3.0	78.4	2		
Rye		1.9	10.6	1.7	72.5	1.7	2.1	12.0	1.9	82.2	1		
Cowpeas	14.8	3.2	20.8	4.1	55.7	1.4	3.8	24.4	4.8	65.5	1		

TABLE 27.—Average percentage composition of home-grown feeds.

These home-grown feeds could be better compared with the commercial feeds if the digestible nutrients in all of the latter were known but since this is not the case, only a comparison of feeding values based on the total nutrients present, can be made. It is not necessary to make this comparison in each individual case, but the general conclusion resulting from such a study would be that in a large number of cases the home-grown feeds are superior to the commercial feeds, especially in the case of those commercial feeding stuffs bearing a fancy name, which entirely masks the ingredients used. Furthermore, in many cases the commercial mixtures that do really have a higher nutritive value than the ordinary home-grown feeds could be much more economically prepared on the farm by buying the standard concentrated feeds, such as blood meal, cotton-seed and linseed meal, etc., and mixing them with home-grown crops.

## CORRESPONDENCE WITH MANUFACTURERS.

In order that all manufacturers whose cattle foods were examined might have a chance to inspect the analyses before their publication and offer such explanations as they thought best of discrepancies between the guaranteed analysis and the analysis as found, also that they might explain any adulteration if such existed, the following circular letter was sent to every manufacturer whose goods were examined:

DEAR SIR: The miscellaneous laboratory of this Bureau, during the past two years, has been making chemical and microscopical analyses of the various commercial feeding stuffs sold on the American market, and will shortly publish the results of this work. The examination of —— has given the following results:

	r er cent.
Moisture	
Ash	
Ether extract (fat)	
Proteids	
Crude fiber	
Pentosans	
Starch	
Reducing sugars	
Sucrose	
Undetermined	
Total	 •

### MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION.

We are sending to each manufacturer a copy of the analysis of his feeding stuff before publishing the results, that he may, if he so desires, explain any differences existing between the composition as determined by us and as claimed by him. If the analyses do differ materially, any legitimate explanation which the manufacturer makes will be carefully considered and published with the analysis of the feeding stuff.

If the manufacturer makes no claims in regard to the composition of his goods, the above remarks do not apply to him, and the data are sent only for his personal information. You, of course, understand that these results are not to be used for advertising purposes. A prompt reply will be appreciated.

Respectfully,

(Signed) H. W. WILEY, Chief of Bureau.

Following are such excerpts from the replies received as throw any light on the subject, together with the comments thereon made by the chemists who performed the work.

### J. W. BARWELL.

### (Nos. 1590, 1754, 1738, 1575, 1726, 1942.)

I am duly in receipt of your tabulated results of the examination of Blatchford's calf meal, Blatchford's poultry meats, and Blatchford's sugar and flaxseed.

I am inclined to think that some of these samples were taken some time ago, and do not represent the goods as now turned out, or as they have been turned out for the last eighteen months. The cause of the change was the necessary introduction of a specially prepared soluble blood flour into Blatchford's calf meal (Nos. 1590, 1754) in order to bring up the percentage of protein, as the more exhaustive machinery now used in the manufacture of some of the ingredients has reduced the amount of fat and protein in those ingredients.

In the results of your microscopical examination of Blatchford's calf meal, it states that middlings or shorts and pigweed are found, and I would say that I am prepared to go into any court of law and to put up any bond \* \* \* that there are no wheat middlings or shorts or pigweed [used]. The pigweed, I take it, must have been some trifling weed seed that got into one of the ingredients \* \* \* .

In the results of the microscopical examination of Blatchford's sugar and flaxseed (Nos. 1575, 1726, 1942), the results might possibly lead people to suppose that there were only those articles or ingredients in our product that are mentioned by the microscopist, but this is not so. For instance, there are nine different ingredients in Blatchford's calf meal, but there are only three or four mentioned by the microscopist. There is considerable carob-bean meal used in both the calf meal and sugar and flaxseed, but this is not mentioned at all. There is considerable sunflower seed used in the manufacture of Blatchford's poultry meats, but this is not mentioned. \* \* \*

Comment by authors.—The microscopical examination on all of Mr. Barwell's goods was repeated, and the manufacturer was informed that the samples were taken more than eighteen months ago.

In Blatchford's calf meal the microscopical finding of middlings was changed to flour. Several ingredients mentioned by the manufacturer were found and are given in the analysis as repeated. It was impossible, however, to find one or two condimental materials claimed by the manufacturer.

In Blatchford's sugar and flaxseed, carob-bean meal was found, as well as very small quantities of several other ingredients mentioned by the manufacturer. One or two condimental materials mentioned by the manufacturer could not be found.

In Blatchford's poultry meats sunflower seeds were found and several other ingredients that were present in very small quantities. It was impossible to find one or two condimental materials claimed by the manufacturer.

## THE J. W. BILES' COMPANY.

### (No. 1819.)

\*. \* \* We beg to state, however, that your sample showed a rather unusually high per cent of water. \* \* \* Protein and fat are each about one point lower than average, and the per cent of fiber is a little too high. \* \* \* As to your microscopical analysis, we regret to say that it is essentially wrong and misleading, and this we must request you to change before your report is published. \* \* \*

Union grains are made of-

(1) Fourex, which is a distiller's dried grain produced from the slop of spirits and grain alcohol distilleries.

- (2) Choice cottonseed meal.
- (3) Old process linseed meal.
- (4) White wheat middlings.
- (5) Wheat bran.
- (6) Hominy meal.

#### CORRESPONDENCE WITH MANUFACTURERS.

(7) Barley malt sprouts, which contain some barley hulls and most always some weed seeds, though never in greater than entirely negligible quantities.

(8) Fine table salt, 1.5 per cent of the whole.

Comment by authors.—This sample was examined chemically a second time and the same results obtained as on the first examination. It was again examined by the microscopist and the results as given in the table were obtained.

### (Nos. 1768, 1589, 1695, 1990.)

We have received your reports of 1768, rye distillers' dried grains, and 1589, 1695, and 1990, on the samples of Biles fourex distillers' dried grains. \* \* \* There are a very large number of analyses of fourex and other distillers' dried grains on record in the six New England States and the State of New York, and if you will have these looked over you will find but very few analyses which show less than 11 per cent fat—the average is over 12 per cent. \* \* \* We do not recollect having ever seen a report which showed less than 10 per cent fat. \* \* \*

The microscopical analysis should show traces of oats, because all distillers use some oats, we think, but few use rye.

*Comment by authors.*—The results on fat were repeated and the same results obtained as previously. The microscopical analyses were repeated, and rve was again found, but no oats.

#### BOSWORTH & WOOD.

#### (No. 1923.)

We are not makers of these goods now.

## BROOK'S ELEVATOR COMPANY.

## (No. 1483.)

We have your report covering examination of our Royal mixed feed—No. 1483. Under head of microscopical examination we note you say it is composed of wheat product No. 1, corn cockle, and charlock. We are unable to account for this, owing to the fact we purchase the feed—composed of bran, middlings, and flour—direct from our large mills here in bulk, \* \* \* and we aim to use only absolutely pure offal. \* \* \*

Comment by authors.—A second examination was made and the same results obtained as at the first examination.

#### BUFFALO CEREAL COMPANY.

(Nos. 1583, 1954, 1573, 1523, 1541, 1972, 1582, 1625, 1741, 1922, 1598, 1792, 1554.)

We have the reports of your miscellaneous laboratory giving the analyses of feeds and by-products sold by our company, numbered 1583, 1954, 1573, 1523, 1541, 1972, 1582, 1625, 1741, 1922, 1598, 1792, 1554, and as we note that these results are to be published, we beg to take exception to the figures given, and in support of our exception we are inclosing a table. \* \* \* In regard to the horse feed, the average of nine analyses, as given by the different States, shows that our feed was fully up to the guarantee given, \* \* \* and in no case did the fat show as low as 3.30 or 4.11, as given by your Department. In the case of dairy feed, \* \* \* you will notice that the figures are considerably higher than yours.

In the case of creamery feed, 10 analyses show 19.95 per cent protein against 20 per cent guaranteed and 4.56 per cent fat against 5 per cent guaranteed, and in no case were there any samples that showed a figure as low as given in your 1972.

In regard to the poultry feed, the average of our three analyses is fairly close to the guarantee, but the fat as given under your 1582 is much too high. \* \* \*

In regard to stock feed, we are also unable to find any analysis giving as low fat as those reported by you under your numbers 1741 and 1922.

In regard to hominy feed, you will also notice that in 12 analyses taken from the different bulletins we have no record of any fat determination running as low as your 1792.

Comment by authors.—All fat and protein determinations were repeated on the above samples. The figures for protein on Buffalo horse feed remained the same as before, while one fat figure on prolonged extraction rose above the guaranty.

The figures for both protein and fat in Buffalo creamery feed were slightly raised on repeating these determinations in sample No. 1972. In the other two samples of these feeds the figures remained unchanged.

The figures for both protein and fat remained the same in poultry feed (No. 1582) when these determinations were repeated.

The figures for protein on Buffalo XXX stock food (Nos. 1741, 1922) remained unchanged on a second examination, while the figures for fat were increased on a prolonged extraction, but not sufficiently to raise their samples above the guarantee of 4.50 per cent fat.

The figures for protein and fat on Buffalo hominy feed (No. 1792) were repeated and the same results obtained.

## W. F. CHAMBERLAIN.

## (No. 1915.)

\* \* \* The analysis of our Perfect chick feed must have been made from an old lot, as we have not been using oyster shell for some time in our mixture. Our Perfect chick feed as we now put it up contains charcoal.

Comment by authors.—The sample collected in 1904 was again examined and oyster shells again found, but no charcoal.

### CHAPIN & CO.

### (Nos. 1614, 1789, 1843, 1515, 1708, 1722, 1797.)

Referring to your samples 1614, 1789, 1843, Ajax flakes, this brand of feed is now put out by the Ajax Milling and Feed Company, Buffalo, N. Y., and has been for the past year.

Referring to your samples 1515, 1708, 1722, 1797 (linseed-oil meal, O. P.) \* \* \* as to the microscopical examination, the presence of weed seeds is accounted for only by the fact that in most oil mills the flaxseed screenings, consisting of light flax and various seeds, are screened before pressing the seed and these screenings are subsequently run into their meal in the case of many mills.

### CYPHER'S INCUBATOR COMPANY.

#### (Nos. 1854, 1739, 1759, 1693, 1581, 1795, 1893.)

\* \* /\* In looking over these reports we can only come to the conclusion that there has been some radical mistake made in your reports or that you have gotten hold of products not of our manufacture, although possibly disguised under our name. We will take them up one at a time.

Cypher's clover meal (1854): We discontinued manufacturing clover meal early in the spring of 1904.

Cypher's chick food (1739): You state that you find it to contain mostly wheatscreenings, also that you find foxtail, charlock, smartweed, chess, and rough pigweed in it, also rock. We beg to state that we positively have never put out a pound of chick food that contained an ounce of wheat screenings or wild seed of any kind. \* \* \* It is possible that a year or more ago samples of our chick food may have been picked up that contained three pounds of chick grit in each 100 pounds of food. This was put in as a necessary element, but this year we omit it and caution the buyer that the grit must be supplied separately.

Cypher's forcing food (1759): \* \* \* There is absolutely no weed seed and no wheat screenings.

Cypher's cratching food (1693): You report that you find it contains wheat product No. 2. \*\*\* \* We beg to state that Cypher's scratching food is now and always has been made up of whole grains.

Cypher's laying food (1581, 1795, 1893): You have three reports of this product. In two of them you give wheat product No. 1, corn meal, and some animal meal as being the products which it is found to contain. You have overlooked altogether the product which is the base of our laying food and several others highly important for egg production that appear prominently in the other reports of laying food. \* \* \* It contains no wheat screenings or seeds of smartweed, foxtail, or charlock.

Comment by authors.—Cypher's chick food (1739): The microscopist made a second examination of this product and found no reason to change his original findings.

Cypher's forcing food (1759): The microscopist made a second examination of this product and found no reason to change his findings, except in so far as to say that wheat screenings were not present in large quantities.

Cypher's scratching food (1693): The microscopist made a second examination and found more of the outside coats of grains than should be present in whole grains.

Cypher's laying food (1581, 1795, 1893): The microscopist made a second examination and was unable to find any products except those given in the table. There was no reason to change the findings in regard to weed seed.

### DAYTON MILLING COMPANY.

### (No. 1701.)

Referring to the analysis you have just sent us of No. 1701 (chop feed), in regard to the microscopical examination we are unable to tell what quality of our feed you analyzed. We make several qualities. One quality contains no whole oats and the

12228-Bull. 108-07-5

other contains no oat hulls. \* \* \* Any cockle or smartweed \* \* \* came in with the oats and any buckwheat hulls are the product of the whole grain. This comes in either with the oats, which we buy locally, or is a slight mixture of the grain in going through the elevators.

### D. A. DE LIMA & CO.

#### (No. 1521.)

\* \* \* The microscopical examination, as you have it, is not correct, also the percentage of protein we guarantee is 12.5 per cent; fat 7.5 per cent \* \* \* The fault we have to find with the microscopical examination of our Puritan chick food (1521) is that to the very best of our knowledge our food contains no oats.

Comment by authors.—The protein and fat determinations were repeated and the same results obtained. Oats were found only in traces, probably as a slight unintentional contamination.

#### EMPIRE MILLS.

#### (Nos. 1615, 1753.)

We can not understand how the ether extract is so low in either case of Empire feed (Nos. 1615, 1753). Would say our feed does not contain any pigweed or foul stuff of any kind. It is made from corn, hominy, and light oats and a very little barley \* \* \* can not understand why there is so much difference in the moisture in these two cases. The analyses we have from different small stations have always given our feed as about 9 per cent protein and 3.5 to 4 per cent fat. Our guaranty is 7.63 per cent protein and 3.97 per cent fat.

Comment by authors.—The protein and fat determinations were repeated and the same results obtained. The microscopical examination was also repeated and the same results obtained. No barley could be found and pigweed was present in small quantities.

#### FALL CREEK MILLING COMPANY.

#### (No. 1713.)

Your analysis of No. 1713 ground corn and oats received. We grind corn and oat feed, sound grain, with no adulteration or filler. \* \* \*

Comment by authors.—The microscopist repeated his work and obtained the same results as at the first examination.

#### GEORGE L. HARDING.

#### (Nos. 1711, 1930, 1556.)

I have your analysis of Harding's unexcelled baby chick food (1711, 1930) and egg building ration (1556). It is only fair to me that the analysis you make for publication be made on the mixture that I am placing on the market at the present time. Last year my mixture contained a certain per cent of ground grit, but this year that has been limited. \* \* \* No. 1907, baby chick food mixture, contains nothing but dried milk cracked to chick size, wheat, corn, Kafir corn, and seeds, no screenings, no charcoal, no grit, or bone. The egg builder contains meat, bone, wheat, buckwheat, Kafir corn, millet, sunflower seed, beet seed, and corn.

66

### CORRESPONDENCE WITH MANUFACTURERS.

Comment by authors.—The microscopist made a second examination of our sample of these goods and the substances reported in the table were found.

### ALBERT A. KEENE.

### (No. 1934.)

Referring to your copy of analysis of oat feed (1934), would say I have not put out any of this oat feed for six months or more and do not expect to do so in the future.

#### LAWRENCEBURG ROLLER MILL.

### (Nos. 1597, 1919.)

We are in receipt of the analyses of our Snowflake and Golden Bull mixed feeds, Nos. 1597 and 1919. \* \* \* Referring to Snowflake mixed feed \* \* \* the words "middlings or shorts" [are used] and referring to Golden Bull the word "bran." Snowflake mixed feed is milled from soft winter wheat and Golden Bull mixed feed from hard spring wheat. Inasmuch as they both are the full run of offals, only the flour having been extracted, we do not understand these notations.

Comment by authors.—The microscopist made a second examination and obtained the same results as at his first examination, which would be expected, and with the manufacturer's explanation only goes to show how confused is the nomenclature of the by-products of flour manufacture.

## THE MANN BROTHERS COMPANY.

### (Nos. 1480, 1790, 1889.)

\* \* \* There is an error so far as relates to smartweed seed [in our linseed meal 1480, 1790, 1889]. Our seed before crushing is cleaned as close as the most approved machinery can do it.

Comment by authors.—Another examination was made by the microscopist and smartweed seeds were found in small quantities.

#### MIDLAND LINSEED COMPANY.

#### (No. 1791.)

\* \* \* The results of your analysis [of our ground oil cake 1791] are much poorer than reports of analyses of our oil cake by the various State experimental farms and we therefore believe that your report does not refer to samples of our oil cake or else you have not obtained fair samples.

Comment by authors.—The protein and fat determinations were repeated, and by prolonged extraction the fat was raised a slight amount.

### MINER-HILLARD MILLING COMPANY.

### <sup>°</sup> (No. 1913.)

Referring to your analysis of hominy feed No. 1913, supposed to have been manufactured by us, will say that our mill was destroyed by fire early in the spring of 1904, consequently the sample you analyzed was not the product of our mill. While our

### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

plant was being rebuilt we purchased hominy feed from various western mills to fill our contracts, but always with the guaranty that it was to be up to our regular grades. \* \* \*

Comment by authors.—The protein and fat determinations were repeated and the fat was raised by a prolonged extraction, but the protein remained the same.

### HENRY NEFF.

#### (No. 1699.)

In regard to examination of feed sample No. 1699 \* \* \* I intend to mix one bushel of oats to a bushel of corn. The only way I can account for the excess of hulls and the low per cent of fat and proteids, same must have been taken when the bin was nearly empty \* \* \*.

Comment by authors.—The fat and proteid determinations were repeated and the same results obtained.

### ONEONTA MILLING COMPANY.

#### (Nos. 1496, 1510, 1704, 1572.)

We have your report No. 1510 \* \* \* relating to analysis of our corn and oat provender. We note that microscopical examination shows rye, a trace of buckwheat hulls, etc. This we believe is not a fair sample of our corn and oat provender. We grind buckwheat at our mill, also rye, but neither of these products are intentionally included in our corn and oat provender. Our mill is equipped with spiral conveyors, and through the same conveyors we convey buckwheat, rye, corn, and oats, and also our provender.

It seems very probable to us that there has been unintentionally a mixture of the feed from which the sample was taken which you analyzed.

Comment by authors.—The microscopical examination was repeated and buckwheat and rye were found in small amounts.

\* \* \* One sample, 1496 (arrow corn and oat feed), you report shows a trace of buckwheat hulls \* \* \*. The trace of buckwheat in the feed is doubtless accounted for by buckwheat accumulating in our spiral conveyors \* \* \*.

We also note your report No. 1704, reporting analysis of provender, which we note shows a trace of rough pigweed. \* \* \* We cán not account for its presence in the oat feed, which is used in mixing up this product \* \* \*.

Sample 1572, \* \* \* Monarch horse feed, \* \* \* we discontinued making some time ago.

Comment by authors.—The microscopical examinations were repeated and the same results obtained.

#### R. C. RATHBORNE.

#### (No. 1820.)

\* \* Your analysis 1820 (of chick meal) agrees very closely with our own. \* \* You appear to have taken your sample for analysis from food sent out of this

68

#### CORRESPONDENCE WITH MANUFACTURERS.

factory over a year ago, or over two years ago, \* \* \* for we have not mixed shells with it for many months. \* \* \* The food is composed of meat and wheat flour \* \* \*

*Comment by authors.*—The microscopical examination was repeated and the results as given in the table obtained.

#### ROSS BROTHERS COMPANY.

### (No. 1920.)

We have your notice of our Wyandotte chick food, No. 1920 \* \* \*. In the list of articles you report as finding, we notice hulled oats, but no hulled oats are used in this feed; we use steel-cut oatmeal. The charlock and smartweed must be in the millet seed.

### W. H. SMALL & CO.

#### (No. 1960.)

\* \* What we fear is that you have obtained a sample of an old chicken-feed mixture (No. 1960) that we formerly put out, but which has been abandoned for at least a year.

### J. E. SOPER & CO.

#### (No. 1852.)

We have your report No. 1852 giving analysis of our brand of Blue Ribbon hominy feed. \* \* \* Upon receipt of your report we took the matter up with the manufacturers, calling their attention to the low fat analysis, \* \* \* and we quote from their letter \* \* \*:

"The Government report on hominy which you inclose certainly does seem low in the amount of fat. \* \* \* We do not remember any analysis that has given such a low per cent of fat \* \* \*." -

*Comment by authors.*—The results on fat and protein were repeated, and higher results were obtained on fat by a prolonged extraction.

#### SPARKS MILLING COMPANY.

# (Nos. 1501, 1543.)

We have received this morning the chemical and microscopical analyses of two of our feeds, Nos. 1501 and 1543, Tri-me mixed feed \* \* \*: The Tri-me mixed feed is composed of pure wheat bran and middlings. \* \* \* If there is any smartweed seed in the feed, it is evidently a very small proportion, as it could only be what was mixed in with the wheat when it reached us direct from the farms. The same applies to the bran, chess, and yellow dock seed in sample 1543. \* \* \*

Comment by authors.—Another microscopical examination was made and the same weed seeds found, but they were present in very small quantities.

### DAVID STOTT.

#### (Nos. 1619, 1509, 1989.)

Replying to your circular letter regarding samples of my feed, Nos. 1619, 1509, 1989, I think especially your remarks under the head of "Microscopical examination" are misleading. You probably recognize that the articles other than pure bran or middlings are impossible to separate from the wheat in the condition in which it is usually

### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

purchased. These are such a small portion of the feed that they should be specified as ground screenings or something to indicate that they are not deliberately added or in any quantity present in the feed.

Comment by authors.—The manufacturer was informed that the weed seeds were only found in small amounts.

#### SWIFT & CO.

### (No. 1773.)

\* \* Regarding Swift's blood meal; On the basis of 8 per cent moisture, blood meal will uniformly show 87 per cent protein, instead of 85.56 per cent shown as result of your analysis No. 1773.

### THORNTON & CHESTER MILLING COMPANY.

#### (No. 1473, 1779.)

\* \* \* Our mixed feed (1473, 1779), which your Department has sampled and analyzed, is the entire feed product from the wheat, and so includes what is known in the trade as bran, shorts, and middlings.

Comment by authors.—The microscopical examination was repeated, and it was found that the sample consisted so largely of the outer seed coats that it was necessary to classify it as wheat product No. 1.

## UNION LINSEED COMPANY.

#### (No. 1586.)

In reply to yours of recent date giving an analysis of linseed-oilmeal "cow" (1586), would state that our plant was destroyed by fire over a year ago, and that we have been out of business since that time, and have no intention of resuming. \* \* \*

### THE UNITED STATES FRUMENTUM COMPANY.

### (No. 1758.)

\* \* \* The analysis of our frumentum hominy feed (1758) as to fat and proteinshows a higher per cent than your statement. A recent analysis of our feed shows protein 11.37 per cent and fat 9.25 per cent, which is a fair average. \* \* \*

Comment by authors.—The determinations of fat and protein were repeated and the same results obtained.

### A. WALKER & CO.

#### (No. 1478.)

Yours received advising us of analysis of our Blue Grass mixed feed (1478). We note the result of this analysis shows somewhat below what we are claiming for it. We arrived at this analysis by sending standard samples to several different chemists, and thought we were perfectly safe in guaranteeing our feed to show 11 per cent protein and 3 per cent fat. \* \* \*

Comment by authors.—Protein and fat determinations were repeated and the same results obtained.

 $\mathbf{70}$ 

### E. S. WOODWORTH & CO.

### (Nos. 1604, 1933, and 1958.)

We are in receipt of your analyses of "00" yellow and "00" white feed (1604, 1933, and 1958), manufactured by the Diamond Elevator and Milling Company. \* \* \* We beg to call your attention to the fact that the Diamond Elevator and Milling Company as a corporation no longer exists. We own and operate the Diamond Mill and Elevator, and all feeds will now go out under our name and brands. It is not likely that we will manufacture any more of the "00" feeds. If we do, however, we will take pains to have them entirely up to our guaranteed analyses. \* \* \*

# MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION.

By B. J. HOWARD, Chief, Microchemical Laboratory.

### GENERAL REMARKS.

The microscopical examination of the stock foods offers more complicated features than that of human foods because of the contaminations which are commonly present. These are due to one or more of three causes: (1) The use of screenings and other by-products; (2) the use of low-grade grains containing more or less weed seed; and (3) the willful addition of foreign matter as a makeweight. The results obtained in the investigation indicate that the last named is the least common form of adulteration.

The wide diversity of materials which are used in stock foods and which are susceptible of microscopic detection, as well as the vast array of weed seeds which may be present to greater or less extent, offers for the microscopist a wide field if he is to treat the subject comprehensively.

The literature upon the microscopic examination of human foods and drugs covers part of the field; but there is no publication upon this subject alone, either original or compiled data, in convenient form for the average worker. To cover the field fully would be impracticable in a report of this nature, but it seems important to give some attention to the structure of the principal ingredients and the most important of the weed seeds which have been observed. Most of the weed seeds may in small quantities be considered harmless to stock, but a few are sufficiently poisonous when present in considerable amounts to produce serious results. To this last class belong seeds of the jimson weed (*Datura stramonium* L.), corn cockle (*Agrostemma githago* L.), and darnel (*Lolium temulentum* L.).

## APPARATUS, REAGENTS, AND METHODS.

The methods used in performing this work are for the most part simple in their technique. The apparatus consists first of a suitable microscope giving a magnification of from 75 or 90 for the low power up to a combination giving at least 200.

It was rarely found necessary to exceed this, though some workers prefer somewhat higher power. A supply of microscope slides of

72

regulation size, namely, 25 mm x 75 mm, and cover glasses are required. The round form covers of 18 mm diameter  $(\frac{3}{4}$ -inch) and 0.17 mm to 0.25 mm in thickness were preferred, because they are less easily broken in cleaning than the square ones. Scalpels, tearing needles, and a small alcohol lamp or a gas microburner are also needed.

The most important reagents used are as follows: Distilled water, alcohol, dilute glycerin (glycerin water 1 : 1), iodin in potassium iodid solution, dilute hydrochloric acid (strong acid and water 1 : 2), and chloral hydrate solution (chloral hydrate crystals 8 parts, water 5 parts).

As a clearing agent chloral hydrate was used almost exclusively, and for all except the very densest brown or black seed coats it is perfectly satisfactory, while even with the darkest colored seeds it can be used with fairly good results if the heating is sufficiently prolonged. To make use of the reagent a portion of the sample is placed on a slide with a few drops of the chloral hydrate solution and heated to boiling for a few moments. This dissolves the starch and has a general clearing action so that the structure can be more clearly seen. If the solution boils away before the clearing is completed more should be added, as the specimen should not be allowed to become dry during the process. With very refractory, specimens a small amount of nitric acid is sometimes added, but this reagent must be used with care since it attacks the tissues so vigorously. Some workers recommend caustic alkali, but this requires some little time to act, and Javelle water (chlorinated potash) is open to the same objection.

## LIST OF MATERIALS THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN CATTLE FOODS.

It is not intended at this time to go extensively into the histological features of the constituents of stock foods. In the following list are given the materials which have been reported in stock foods, more or less frequently, by various observers.<sup>a</sup>

## CEREAL PRODUCTS.

The most important constituents in the list are the cereal grains, such as wheat, barley, rye, corn, oats, rice, and their by-products from milling processes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Street, Report of New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, 1905; Bul. No. 117, Inland Revenue Department, Ottawa, Canada; Winton, Microscopy of Vegetable Foods; Maryland Agricultural College Quarterly, May, 1907; Tirsch and Oesterle, Anatomischer Atlas der Pharmakognosie und Nahrungsmittelkunde, 1893–1900; Conn. Exper. Stat. bulletins, especially No. 132.

Of the wheat products there are three, designated in Table 2 as W. P. No. 1, W. P. No. 2, and W. P. No. 3. These abbreviations have the following significance:

Wheat product No. 1: Composed mostly of the outer seed coats (pericarp) of the wheat kernel. In addition there are small amounts of the other seed coats, aleuron layer, endosperm (starchy portions), and germ.

Wheat product No. 2: Composed of relatively less of the pericarp and of a greater portion of the inner coats, aleuron layer, germ, and a considerably larger amount of the endosperm in more or less broken condition. The portions of epicarp present are usually of smaller size than those of wheat product No. 1.

Wheat product No. 3: Composed mostly of the inner seed coats, aleuron layer, and starchy portions with a small amount of the epicarp, and sometimes small amounts of germ. The most important difference between this and product No. 2 is that there is a larger amount of endospermous material.

### SECONDARY OR BY-PRODUCTS.

After the cereal products should be mentioned the following.

Linseed and linseed meal.

Cottonseed meal. Millet. Kaffir corn, Guinea corn, sorghum, broom corn, durra (Andropogon sorghum var). Corncobs, with or without the corn. Malt sprouts. Brewers' grains (barley, oats, corn, etc., residues). Distillers' grains (corn, rye, barley, oat, etc., residues). Glucose by-products (commonly composed of changed corn starch and germ). Sunflower seed. Clover-seed chaff. Dried sugar-beet pulp. Buckwheat hulls. Peanut hulls. Peas and pea hulls. Animal meal (muscle tissue, tankage, etc.). Armenian bole (red clay of Armenia). Bone meal. Cocoa hulls. Dried blood. Ground rock. Ground shells (oyster, clam, etc.). Sand. WEED SEEDS. The following are the most important of the weed seeds found in

The following are the most important of the weed seeds found in stock food:

Corn cockle (Agrostemma githago L.; Lychnis githago Scop.). Black bindweed, wild buckwheat (Polygonum convolvulus L.). Smartweed (Polygonum hydropiper L.).

### MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION.

Lady's thumb, smartweed (Polygonum persicaria L.).

Curled dock seed (Rumex crispus L.).

Sorrel (Rumex acctosella L.).

Cow cockle, cow-herb (Vaccaria vaccaria (L.) Britton; Vaccaria vulgaris Host; Saponaria vaccaria L.).

Soapwort, Bouncing Bet (Saponaria officinalis L.).

Charlock, wild mustard, Dakota mustard (Brassica arvensis (L.) B. S. P.; Brassica sinapistrum Boiss; Sinapis arvensis L.), and other species.

Darnel, tares (Lolium temulentum L.).

Jimson weed, Jamestown weed, thorn apple (Datura stramonium L.).

Pigweed (Chenopodium sp.).

Rough pigweed (Amaranthus sp.).

Green foxtail (Chaetochloa viridis (L.) Scribn.; Setaria viridis Beauv.).

Yellow foxtail (Chaetochloa glauca (L.) Scribn.; Setaria glauca Beauv.).

Bracted plantain (Plantago aristata Michx.), erroneously called buckhorn.

Rib grass (P. lanceolata L. ).

Rugel's plantain (P. rugelii Decaisne).

Chess (Bromus secalinus L.).

Rose seed (Rosa sp.).

Pepper grass (Lepidium virginioum L.).

Hare's-ear (Conringia orientalis (L.) Dumort).

Ragweed (Ambrosia artemisixfolia L.).

Night-flowering catchfly (Silene noctiflora L.).

Wild bergamot, horse mint (Monarda fistulosa L.).

The materials entering into stock foods are of such character that the presence of weed seeds to a greater or less extent is almost universal in certain kinds—for example, in oats. As every one is aware who is familiar with this grain there is often present quite a large amount of pigweed as well as other seeds. In preparing stock feed there is commonly little or no attempt made to remove such foreign material and so it will usually appear in the final product. In this report there are mentioned by name, as far as possible, all those cases where weed seeds have been found, but in most cases such presence should not cause the product to be condemned, since the microscopic method will often detect and identify them when the quantity present would not in the least affect the value of the product. In the case of poisonous seeds, however, the amount should of course be kept at a minimum, for if present to any extent the product becomes positively injurious.

As an illustration of this might be mentioned a case of the poisoning of poultry by corn cockle which recently came to the writer's attention. Although this seed is rarely considered poisonous, yet in sufficient amounts it is very harmful. Corn cockle <sup>a</sup> is a frequent weed contamination in wheat and is usually present in such small amounts that no notice is taken of it, but in the case referred to a large number of chickens had been killed. The owners attributed the trouble to the wheat middlings which they were feeding. An examination for metallic poisons was first made, but none was found. A microscopic examination of the food, however, showed that there

a Chesnut, U. S. Dept. Agr., Farmers' Bulletin No. 86.

was a large amount of corn cockle present—a much larger amount than was found in any samples here reported. Other seeds which are more or less poisonous are the jimson weed (*Datura stramonium* L.) and the darnel (*Lolium temulentum* L.). Fortunately the corn cockle and jimson weed have very characteristic structures, by which they can be readily identified, even though well ground.

Special acknowledgments are due to Mr. F. H. Hillman and Dr. C. F. Wheeler, of the Bureau of Plant Industry, who furnished astandard collection of weed seeds of known identity for use in making this study.

## MEDICINAL OR CONDIMENTAL MATERIALS.

Substances of medicinal or condimental character which are sometimes found are as follows:

#### OF VEGETABLE ORIGIN.

Anise. Asafetida. Bayberry bark. Black pepper. Blood root. Carob bean (St. John's bread). Capsicum. Coriander seed. Elecampane. Fennel. Fenugrec. Gentian root. Ginger. Hemp. Juniper berries. Licorice root. Lobelia. Mandrake. Oak bark. Poplar bark. Senna. Turmeric. Valerian. Walnut bark.

### OF MINERAL ORIGIN.

Alum. Antimony. Arsenic. Calcium carbonate. Calcium phosphate. Charcoal. Coperas. Iron oxid. Potassium nitrate (saltpeter) Rosin. Salt, common. Salts, Glauber's. Salts, Epsom. Sodium carbonate. Sulphur.

### HISTOLOGICAL FEATURES OF SOME CATTLE-FOOD CONSTITUENTS.

A description of the histological features of some of the most common ingredients of cattle foods, and also of some of the more important of our common weed seeds, is given in the following discussion. Many others, such as corn, barley, oats, etc., are not discussed, as they are amply treated in the literature of the subject.

### WHEAT AND RYE.

In products containing seed coats of these grains the histological features of the cross cells are sufficient to identify them. These cells

76

are found near the inner portion of the pericarp, and for the most part are rectangular in outline and usually from three to six times as long as they are wide.

In the wheat these cells have pronounced pits, giving the cells a strongly beaded appearance. (Plate I, fig. 3.) The pits occur prominently at intervals around the ends of the cells. Intercellular spaces are rarely seen between the ends of adjoining cells. The aleuron layer, showing the protein granules, is pictured in Plate I, fig. 4.

In the rye the cells do not have as strongly pitted walls and the ends of the cells usually appear entire, being without pits and somewhat thickened. (Plate I, fig. 2.) Intercellular spaces are common, due to the more rounded shape of the ends of adjacent cells.

### COTTONSEED.

Four features of the cottonseed deserve attention. The first three of these are found in the seed coverings. The outer layer of the seed coat consists of very irregular but usually somewhat elongated cells, which are very characteristic of cottonseed. (Plate II, fig. 1.) They are of light to rather deep brown color.

The second coat, occasionally found, is the layer of palisade cells. (Plate II, fig. 2). In the surface view they have an irregular honeycombed appearance, and commonly crossing each cell are to be found a few lines more or less parallel.

The third layer of note is the delicate membrane (perisperm) enwrapping the embryo, and this looks like a network, with somewhat indefinite outlines. (Plate II, fig. 3.)

If none of the foregoing structures was present it might be difficult to identify cottonseed meal, though in the embryo there occur numerous small rosette crystals of calcium oxalate, which are strongly indicative of cottonseed. They will be found embedded in fragments of the embryo, which, after clearing, have a bright light-yellow color and which, with the small crystals, are not likely to be confused with other structures that may be present in this class of cattle foods.

## LINSEED (FLAX).

There are two structures of the linseed that are characteristic. The first of these is a layer composed of long sclerenchymatous cells of straw color. The pits in the walls give them a faint beaded appearance. (Plate II, fig. 5.)

The second layer of importance, from a diagnostic point of view, are the quadratic pigment cells. (Plate II, fig. 6.) These cells are commonly nearly square or polygonal, with nearly clear walls, but containing brown-colored contents. In the walls are numerous fine pits.

### CAROB BEAN.

The pods of this fruit are sometimes used in cattle foods, and when so used in a ground condition can be most easily identified by the appearance and reactions of the tannin cells. While in a growing condition the contents of these cells are fluid and give a strong tannin reaction with iron chlorid solution. When ripe, however, the contents become solid and of a dark amber color, and though slow in giving the tannin reaction finally produce a pronounced black color. Winton recommends the use of dilute alkali, which, in the cold, colors the bodies green, changing to blue-gray, while heating produces a violet color.

## ANIMAL MEAL.

The presence of this substance can be easily established by the presence of striated muscle fibers, which are easily identified after clearing the material with chloral hydrate and stopping down the microscope substage diaphragm.

### BONE MEAL.

This substance is identified by the characteristic lacunæ and canaliculi, which occur abundantly in bone tissue and which are readily visible in material that has been cleared. (Plate III, fig. '4.)

# OYSTER AND CLAM SHELLS.

To the naked eye fragments of these have a bright, shiny appearance. Under the microscope they show no lacunæ or canaliculi, and with dilute hydrochloric acid give a more vigorous effervescence than bone meal.

### DRIED BLOOD.

This material has the appearance of a black powder or meal. The best way to establish the identity of this substance is by the hematin test. The method used has been to mount some of the material to be tested on a slide with a few drops of water to which has been added one or two tiny crystals of sodium chlorid. It is allowed to stand until nearly dry, and then, after covering, a few drops of glacial acetic acid are added and heated on a steam bath or hot radiator or over the micro-burner for two to five minutes, adding more acid from time to time if required. Finally the slide is removed and allowed to slowly cool. If the test has been properly conducted the presence of blood will be shown by the presence of small plate crystals. (Plate III, fig. 3.) In order to obtain crystals of good size, the final cooling must be conducted slowly.

### MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION.

## CHARLOCK, WILD MUSTARD.

### Brassica arvensis (L.) B. S. P.

This weed is a frequent contamination of grains, and to a casual observer the entire seed looks like black mustard seed, though a comparison will show the charlock to be slightly larger, plumper, and usually of darker color.

When ground, the seed coats show the characteristic palisade cells common to the Brassica family. (Plate I, fig. 1.) A distinguishing test, however, has been observed in its reaction toward chloral hydrate solution. When boiled with this reagent, as is done in clearing for microscopical examination, a deep crimson color is developed, which has not been noted for other species of this genus.

### COMMON PIGWEED.

#### Chenopodium sp.

The seeds of the two common species are much alike in size and shape, the *C. album* L. being possibly a little the smaller. To the akene, as commonly found, more or less of the dried floral envelope is attached. The seeds are nearly in the form of biconvex lenses and have a diameter of  $\frac{2}{3}$  to  $1\frac{1}{4}$  mm. Those of *C. album* L. have a somewhat glossy surface while those of *C. murale* L. have a dull surface.

In color and resistance to clearing, the seed coats of this species resemble strongly those of rough pigweed. A microscopic examination reveals a surface composed of cells more or less rectangular or rounded in outline and of about 30  $\mu$  to 75  $\mu$  in longest diameter, with somewhat rounded corners. (Plate I, fig. 5.) The surfaces of the cells are covered with papilla-like projections, giving a dotted or punctate appearance.

#### ROUGH PIGWEED.

### Amaranthus sp.

The seeds of A. blitoides S. Wats., A. hybridus L., A. retroflexus L., and A. albus L. are flattened seeds with a very glossy black surface (brown in seeds not perfectly ripe). In size the A. blitoides is the largest, being about 1 to  $1\frac{1}{4}$  mm in diameter; A. albus is the smallest, about  $\frac{1}{2}$  mm. The seeds of A. hybridus vary in size from  $\frac{2}{3}$  to 1 mm, and are slightly elongated into ovate form. The same may be said of A. retroflexus, though in size the seeds are intermediate between A. hybridus and A. albus.

The coats of this seed are dark brown in color and when cleared sufficiently are found to present in surface view a mosaic work of polygonal cells of approximately six sides. The cells are of about 17  $\mu$  to 35  $\mu$  in diameter. (Plate I, fig. 6.) The limits of the cells are defined by a sharp, fine line. The surface of the cells are covered thickly by fine dots, giving almost a punctate appearance.

#### BINDWEED, SMARTWEED.

### Polygonum sp.

The seeds of *P. convolvulus* L. have the form of Duckwheat grains, being about 3 to 3.5 mm long, and of a dull, coal-black color. *P. pennsylvanicum* L. has flat seeds 3 to 3.5 mm long, of shiny brown color. The seeds of *P. persicaria* L. are about 1 to 1.25 mm long, generally flat, though occasionally buckwheat-shaped specimens are found, and of a shiny deep brown or black color. *P. hydropiper* L. seeds are of buckwheat shape, like *P. convolvulus*, but of smaller size (2.5 to 3 mm) and of dull light to dark brown color.

The seed coats of the members of the genus *Polygonum persicaria* are commonly so deep brown in color that considerable boiling is frequently required to clear them sufficiently for examination. When satisfactory clearing has been accomplished the surface of *P. persicaria* is found to have a dotted appearance, the dots being arranged somewhat in rows. If the specimen is sufficiently cleared the pits can usually be seen as fine lines radiating through the clearer portion of the walls between the dots or holes, which in reality are holes in the epidermal cells. (Plate VI, fig. 5.)

### WILD BERGAMOT.

### Monarda fistulosa L.

These seeds are pale to dark drab in color, 1.5 to 1.75 mm in length by about 1 mm wide; one side is curved, while the other is compressed into a ridge near the base, which is more or less common in the mint family.

The seed coats are characteristic. The epidermal cells have thick walls of very strongly convoluted outline. (Plate II, fig. 4.) The inner part of the coat is lined with a layer of thin-walled rectangular cells, the walls of which have a beading which is more pronounced near the hilum of the seed. The perisperm is a layer of delicate cells covering the endosperm and having quite strongly beaded walls. Embedded near the middle of the seed coats, at fairly regular intervals, are small black dots of spherical form, which appear plainly in either the surface or inner view of well-cleared fragments of the seed coats and also in cross sections. By continued boiling with chloral hydrate they may be bleached so as to be almost invisible. Examination of other plants of the mint family indicates that these dots occur in other genera also, for example in *Hedeoma pulegioides* Pers.

### COW HERB.

#### Vaccaria vaccaria (L.) Britton.

The seeds of this weed are blue black in color, nearly spherical in shape, and about 2 mm in diameter. To the naked eye the surface has a slightly dull appearance. Microscopically the seed coats are very characteristic. The epidermal cells are polygonal in shape, and the walls have saw-tooth outlines, the teeth having a length equal to about one-third of the cell diameter. (Plate IV, fig. 1.) They resemble the cells of the *Saponaria officinalis* L., but are more regular in outline and not so large, though the thickness of the walls is somewhat greater. The middle lamella in well-cleaned material often appears as a dark line in the middle of the cell walls. The second layer of importance is one consisting of rectangular cells with nearly clear walls, but brown contents. (Plate IV, fig. 2.) They are found on the inner side of the seed coats near the hilum and extend for a short distance over the radicle. They recall in appearance quite strongly the pigment cells of flaxseed, but are not so regular and are smaller, though with thicker walls and coarser pits.

#### JIMSON WEED.

#### Datura stramonium L.

The seeds of jimson weed are like the lima bean in shape, 3 to 3.75 mm in length, and of a dark brown or black color. The surface is covered with small depressions, giving a rough appearance to the seed.

These examinations lead to the conclusion that fortunately this poisonous seed is not often found in cattle foods. Its identification is a simple matter, for the seed coats have very characteristic markings. The coats consist of cells of very sinuous outlines, the indentations often extending nearly to the center of the cells. (Plate IV, fig. 4.) The walls are highly refractive to light, and though quite easily cleared are difficult to represent very satisfactorily in a photograph.

### PLANTAIN.

The structure of the various species of plantains is much alike, though it is possible to identify them. The seeds in general resemble miniature canoes, although in the case of *Plantago major* L. both sides of the seed are somewhat convex.

One interesting difference between the species is in the position of the cotyledons in the seed. In some of them the cotyledons are placed ventrally and dorsally, while in others they occupy a rightand-left position.

The leading characteristics of the four species which are quite frequently found in stock foods are as follows:

## BRACTED PLANTAIN.

### Plantago aristata Michx.

The seeds of this species are from 2 to 3 mm in length and about half as wide, of a medium brown color, and have a dull surface. At the middle there crosses the seed transversely a slight depression readily seen with a hand lens.

12228-Bull. 108-07-6

The surface of the seeds is covered with mucilage cells which swell in water or aqueous solutions, and take on a characteristic hour-glass form. (Plate VI, fig. 1.) The endosperm cells are thick walled and have no pits in them. (Plate VI, fig. 2.) The position of the cotyledons is of the right-and-left type. (Plate V, fig. 4.)

### RIB GRASS.

### Plantago lanceolata L.

These seeds are of about the same size as those of *P. aristata* Michx., but have a bright brown to black color and no transverse depression.

The surface of the seeds of this species is smooth, and has very little of the mucilage layer found in P. major L. and P. rugelii Decaisne. After being mounted in water or chloral hydrate the endosperm cells swell and show prominent pits in their walls. The cotyledons have the right-and-left position in the seed. (Plate V, fig. 3.)

### COMMON .PLANTAIN.

#### Plantago major L.

Seeds vary from 1 to 1.5 mm in length, and are about two-thirds as wide; they are brown to black in color. These seeds are convex on both sides, not having the canoe shape which is characteristic of the other species studied. (Plate V, fig. 1.) The surface is covered with an outer very uneven layer of thin-walled cells. They are rather long, rectangular in form, and with quite uniformly wavy walls. (Plate VI, fig. 3.) They are arranged in rows with the long sides of the cells adjacent and at the ends where adjacent rows abut there is usually produced a ridge which, until well cleared, appears as a dark band. The endosperm cells show no beading in their walls. The cotyledons have a dorsal and ventral position in the seed.

## RUGEL'S PLANTAIN.

### Plantago rugelii Decaisne.

These seeds vary in size from 1.25 to 2 mm and are of a deepbrown or black color.

In some respects they resemble more clearly P. major than any of the others which we have studied. The cotyledons have the ventral and dorsal position in the seed (Plate V, fig. 2). The epidermal layer (Plate VI, fig. 4) consists of rectangular cells shorter than those of P. major, and, though they commonly are arranged in rows, they are rarely found to be continuous for more than eight or ten cells together, and the rows are not regularly parallel as in P. major.

#### CORN COCKLE.

### Agrostemma githago L.

These seeds are crudely tetragonal in form and about 2.5 mm in diameter, of a dull deep-brown or black color, and are covered with a prominent papilla-like surface.

The papille are seen under the microscope to be provided with a projection from each of the epidermal cells. (Plate IV, fig. 3.) The cells are very characteristic, have sinuous outlines, and interlock with adjacent cells. Beside the large papillæ there are on the surface of the cells fine warty processes, readily seen under a magnification of 100.

## BOUNCING BET.

### Saponaria officinalis L.

These seeds are disk shaped somewhat like the lima bean, a dull, deep black color, and are about 2 mm in diameter. With a hand lens they are seen to have a papilla-like surface.

The seed coats resemble, in some respects, *Silene noctiflora* L. in the shape of the epidermal cells, but without the papilla-like projections or fine warty points (Plate IV, fig. 5), and the outlines of the cells are not as prominent.

#### NIGHT-FLOWERING CATCHFLY.

### Silene noctiflora L.

These seeds are somewhat like tiny peas or shortened beans of about 1 mm diameter and of a dull gray color.

The seed coats of this species are highly characteristic (Plate IV, fig. 6), each cell having a saw-toothed outline interlocking with its neighbor. The middle lamella, constituting the bounding area, is highly refractive toward light. In the center of each cell is a dark portion produced by a protuberance forming a papilla-like roughness on the surface of the seed; between these large points are fine warty points, which, under the microscope, give it a slightly roughened appearance.

## CURLED DOCK.

#### Rumex crispus L.

These seeds are the shape of buckwheat seeds, having three faces and pointed at both ends, though more obtusely at the base. In length they vary from 1.5 to 2 mm and are of a shiny brown color.

In the coats of this seed the cells have strongly sinuous outlines. (Plate VI, fig. 6.) The indentations are frequently half as great as the small diameter of the cells. Difficulty is sometimes experienced in sufficiently clearing these seed coats to enable one to see the markings well.

#### SORREL.

#### Rumex acetosella L.

These seeds in general shape are like those of R. crispus L. (p. 83) but are 1.25 to 1.50 mm in length, of a dull brown color, and have a somewhat roughened surface.

The sorrel seed coats are readily split into two ovate-shaped halves. Cleared and examined under the microscope, the surface will be found to be covered with strongly convoluted ridges, which are more or less parallel from end to end. (Plate III, fig. 1.)

### FOXTAIL.

#### Chaetochloa sp.

The seeds of C. glauca (L.) Scribn. are about 3 mm by 2 mm, strongly flattened on the palet side, and of a dull greenish yellow color. The seeds of green foxtail C. viridis (L.) Scribn. are the shape of C. glauca but with a more convex palet and about one-half to two-thirds the dimensions. In color they vary from pale green to brown.

The principal structures for identification of the foxtails are the glumes and palets, and in a ground condition it seems rather difficult to differentiate *Chaetochloa glauca* from *Chaetochloa viridis*. The ridges on *C. glauca* usually can be seen in material which has been partially cleared in chloral hydrate, while in *C. viridis* (Plate III, fig. 2) most of the epidermal cells form projections which in the case of the palet are especially characteristic, and a careful examination of these two features will usually enable the worker to identify the two species.

## INDEX TO PLATES.

### PLATE I.

FIG. 1. Surface view of seed coat of charlock (*Brassica arvensis* (L.) B. S. P.).  $\times 150$ . 2. Surface view of cross cells of seed coat of rye.  $\times 150$ .

3. Surface view of cross cells of seed coat of wheat.  $\times 150$ .

4. Surface view of aleuron layer of wheat.  $\times 150$ .

- 5. Surface view of epidermal layer of seed coat of common pigweed (*Chenopodium* sp.). ×150.
- 6. Surface view of epidermal layer of seed coat of rough pigweed (Amaranthus sp.).  $\times 150$ .

#### PLATE II.

FIG. 1. Surface view of seed of cottonseed—epidermal layer. ×75.

- 2. Surface view of seed coat of cottonseed—layer of palisade cells. ×75.
- 3. Surface view of seed coat of cottonseed—perisperm layer.  $\times 150$ .
- 4. Surface view of seed coat of wild bergamot (Monarda fistulosa L.).  $\times 150$ .
- 5. Sclerenchymatous cells from seed coat of flaxseed.  $\times 150$ .
- 6. Pigment cells of flaxseed.  $\times 150$ .

### PLATE III.

- FIG. 1. Epidermal layer of sorrel seed (Rumex acetosella L.). ×150.
  - 2. Epidermal layer of glume of yellow foxtail (*Chaetochloa viridis* (L.) Scribn.). ×150.
  - 3. Hemin crystals.  $\times 600$ .
  - 4. Fragment of bone showing lacunæ.  $\times 125$ .

PLATE IV.

- FIG. 1. Epidermal layer of seed of cow herb (Vaccaria vaccaria L.). ×150.
  - 2. Beaded cells near hilum, seed of cow-herb. ×300.
  - 3. Surface view of epidermal cells of corn cockle (Agrostemma githago L.). ×75.
  - 4. Surface view of epidermal cells of jimson weed seed (Datura stramonium L.). ×75.
  - 5. Cells of epidermal layer of seeds of Bouncing Bet (Saponaria officinalis L.). ×150.
  - Cells of epidermal layer of seed of night-blooming catchfly (Silene noctiflora L.). ×150.

#### PLATE V.

Transverse sections of seeds of species of genus Plantago.  $\times 50$ .

- Fig. 1. Plantago major L.
  - 2. P. rugelii Decaisne.
  - 3. P. lanceolata L.
  - 4. P. aristata Michx.

#### PLATE VI.

- FIG. 1. Slime cells from seed of *Plantago aristata* Michx. ×75.
  - 2. Endosperm cells of seed of *P. aristata* Michx. (The slime cells are out of focus and appear as hazy areas.) ×150.
  - 3. Epidermal layer from P. major L.  $\times 150$ .
  - 4. Epidermal layer of P. rugelii Decaisne. ×150.
  - 5. Surface view of epidermal layer of seed coat of smartweed (*Polygonum persicaria* L.). ×150.
  - 6. Surface view of seed coat of curled dock (Rumex crispus L.). ×150.

FIG. 1.--SEED COAT OF CHARLOCK. (X 150.)








FIG. 3.-CROSS CELLS OF SEED COAT OF WHEAT. (X 150.)

FIG. 4.-ALEURON LAYER OF WHEAT. (X 150.)



FIG. 5. — EPIDERMAL LAYER OF SEED COAT OF COMMON PIGWEED. (X 150.)




FIG. 6.- EPIDERMAL LAYER OF SEED COAT OF ROUGH PIGWEED. (X 150.)



..... 000

PLATE II.



FIG. 1.- EPIDERMAL LAYER OF SEED COAT OF COTTON SEED. (X 75.)




FIG. 3.-PERISPERM LAYER OF SEED COAT OF COTTON SEED. (X 150.)



FIG. 4.-SEED COAT OF WILD BERGAMOT. (X 150.)



FIG. 5.-SCLERENCHYMATOUS CELLS FROM SEED FIG. 6.-PIGMENT CELLS OF FLAXSEED. COAT OF FLAXSEED. (X 150.)




(X 150.)



FIG. 2.-PALISADE LAYER OF SEED COAT OF COTTON SEED. (X 75.)



PLATE III.







PLATE IV.





HERB. (X 150.)

FIG. 1.-EPIDERMAL LAYER OF SEED COAT OF COW FIG. 2.-BEADED CELLS NEAR HILUM OF SEED OF Cow Herb. (X 300.)






FIG. 3.-EPIDERMAL CELLS OF CORN COCKLE. FIG. 4.-EPIDERMAL CELLS OF JIMSON WEED (X 75.)

SEED. (X 75.)




FIG. 5.- EPIDERMAL CELLS OF SEEDS OF FIG. 6.- EPIDERMAL CELLS OF SEED OF NIGHT-BLOOMING CATCHFLY. (X 150.)



BOUNCING BET. (X 150.)






FIG. 1.-PLANTAGO MAJOR L.




FIG. 2.- PLANTAGO RUGELII DUCAISNE.




FIG. 3.-PLANTAGO LANCEOLATA L.




FIG. 4.-PLANTAGO ARISTATA MICHX.




PLATE VI.



FIG. 1.—SLIME CELLS FROM SEED OF PLANTAGO ARISTATA MICHX. (X 75.)




Fig. 2.—Endosperm Cells of Seed of Plantago Aristata Michx. (X 150.)




FIG. 3.— EPIDERMAL LAYER OF SEED OF PLANTAGO MAJOR L. (X 150.)




Fig. 4.—Epidermal Layer of Seed of Plantago Rugelii Ducaisne. (X 150.)




Fig. 5.—Epidermal Layer of Seed Coat of Smartweed. (X 150.)




Fig. 6.—SEED COAT OF CURLED DOCK. (X 150.)



# ALPHABETICAL INDEX TO SAMPLES.

# А.

	I ago.
Adrian Red Dog (1917)	37
Ajax flakes (1614, 1789, 1843)	33
American poultry food (1532)	
Amsterdam linseed ground cake (1945)	27
Anchor corn and oat feed (1561)	
Animal meal (1557, 1744)	55
Armour's pure blood meal (1732, 1965)	55
Arrow corn and oat feed (1496, 1781).	

## в.

Barley feed, "Pioneer" (1539)	50
Barley meal (1698)	50
Biles rye (R) grains (1768)	33
Blatchford's calf meal (1590, 1754)	52
Blatchford's poultry meats (1738)	58
Blatchford's sugar and flaxseed (1575, 1726, 1942)	53
Blomo feed (1506)	53
Blood meal (1696)-The Cudahy Packing Co	55
(1773)—Swift & Co	55
Blue Ribbon distiller's corn grains (1606, 1925, 1985)	33
Blue grass mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Blue Ribbon hominy chop. See Hominy chop.	
Bone meal for cattle (1900)	55
Boss corn and oat feed. See Corn and oat feed.	
Boston feed (1611)	53
Boston mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Bran (1953)—Blue Earth City Mill Co	37
(1844)-O. B. Burnham	37
(Holliday) (1964)—Moses Dorr	37
(L) (K) (1982)—Unknown	37
Ogilvie's (1961)-G. B. Pope & Co	37
Brewer's dried grain (1530, 1565)	33
Buckeye wheat feed (1617, 1703)	37
Buffalo creamery feed (1523, 1541, 1972)	53
Buffalo dairy feed. See Dairy feed.	
Buffalo gluten feed. See Gluten feed.	
Puffele home food See Home food	

Buffalo horse feed. See Horse feed.

# с.

Canary brand C. S. meal. See Cottonseed meal.	
Capital corn and oat feed (1603). See Corn and oat feed.	
Cerealine (1830)	31

Dee

# COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

	Page.
Chick feed (1826)—Ropes Bros.	- 58
Perfect (1915)—W. F. Chamberlain.	59
Puritan (1521)—Puritan Poultry Farms.	57
(1739)—Cypher's Incubator Co.	58
Standard Peep o' Day (1794)—The Cornell Incubator Mfg. Co	58
Chicken feed (1891).	59
Choice (1940)	59
Wyandotte (1920)—Ross Bros	59
Chicken wheat (1960)	59
Chick meal (1820)	58
Choice chicken feed. See Chicken feed.	
Chop feed (1760)—Ellicottville Milling Co	43
(1786)—George Olivir	43
Monarch (1577, 1624)—Husted Mill and Elevator Co	43
Niagara (1697)—C. E. Allen	43
Choice corn and oat provender (1704)	43
Coarse poultry bone (1748)	55
Common feed (1484)—Lapham & Parks	50
(1551)—Glens Falls Co	50
(1765)—Nicholas Hotton	44
Corn bran, coarse (1911).	30
Corn and oat chop (1475)—E. L. Potter	43
(1516)—Knickerbocker Mill and Grain Co	43
(1598)—Buffalo Cereal Co	42
(1702)—S. T. Hoyt	43
(1709)—Hodgman Milling Co	43
Corn and oat chop, No. 2 (1701)—Dayton Milling Co	43
(1777)—Chase Hibbard Milling Co	43
Corn and oat feed (1731)—T. R. Peck & Son	43
Boss (1477, 1817)—Great Western Cereal Co	41
Capital (1603)—Albany City Mills.	42
Dandy (1474)—Commercial Milling Co	42
De-Fi (1487, 1977)—Elsworth & Co	41
Excelsior (1504, 1599)—Great Western Cereal Co	41
Niagara (1587, 1710, 1740)—Niagara Mill and Elevator Co	41
XXX (1625, 1741, 1922)—Ruffalo Cereal Co	42
Victor (1558, 1762)—American Cereal Co	41
Corn and oat provender (1510)	43
Corn meal and chop feed (1520)	42
Corn meal and oat feed (1482)	
Cottonseed meal (1580)—H. E. Bridges & Co	
(1745)—R. W. Biggs & Co	23
(1853)—Chapin & Co	23
(1918)—J. E. Soper & Co	23
ABC brand (1968)—Augusta Brokerage Co	23
Canary brand (1842)-R. W. Biggs & Co	23
Dixie (1527, 1706)-Humphreys, Godwin & Co	22
Green Diamond (1519)-Chapin & Co	22
Horse Shoe (1616)—Hugh Pettit & Co	22
Oil (1522)—F. M. Brodie & Co	22
Old Gold brand pure (1840, 1898)—T. C. Burch	23
Owl brand (1835)—F. W. Brode & Co	23
Southern Beauty (1620, 1719)-J. G. Falls & Co	22
Star (1596)—Sledge & Wells Co	22
See also Prime cottonseed meal.	

### INDEX.

Cow oil meal (1586)	
Cream gluten meal (1908, 1975)	- 30
Cream oat feed (1518)	39
Crosby's fancy mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Cut green bone (1931)	55
C. and W. mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	00
Cypher's clover meal (1854)	50
Cypher's laying food (1581, 1795, 1893)	

### D.

Dairy feed, Buffalo (1573)-Buffalo Cereal Co	53
Empire State (1503)—Clark Bros. & Co	
Great Western (1476)—Great Western Cereal Co	
Merchants' (1489, 1784)-Merchants' Distilling Co	52
Dandy corn and oat feed (1474). See Corn and oat feed.	
De-Fi corn and oat feed. See Corn and oat feed.	
Delaware feed (1716)	53
Dixie cottonseed meal. See Cottonseed meal.	
Dried beet pulp (1481, 1829)	49
Duchess mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	

## E.

Egg Builder ration (1556)	57
Egg and feather producing food, No. 4 (1905)	<b>59</b>
Empire feed (1615, 1753)	52
Empire State dairy feed. See Dairy feed.	
Equality mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Erie mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Excelsior corn and oat feed. See Corn and oat feed.	
Export brand linseed oil meal, O. P. See Linseed oil meal, O. P.	

#### F.

Fancy middlings (1841)	37
Felker's blended grain (1832)	
Flint gluten feed. See Gluten feed.	
Flour middlings (1895)	37
Forcing food (1759)	58
Fourex distiller's dried grains (1589, 1695, 1990)	33
Frumentum hominy feed. See Hominy feed.	

# G.

Gee's ground oil cake compound (1971)	27
Germaline (1628, 1770)	31
Globe gluten feed. See Gluten feed.	
Gluten feed (1714)—Peel Bros. Starch Co.	- 30
Buffalo (1533, 1734, 1848, 1912)—Glucose Sugar Refining Co	30
Flint (1479, 1938)—Flint Mill Co	30
Globe (1568, 1720)—N. Y. Glucose Co	30
Pekin (1547)—Illinois Sugar Refining Co	30
Queen (1622)—National Starch Co	30
Warner's (1517, 1771, 1929)-Warner Sugar Refining Co	30
Golden Bull mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Golden Chop (1752)	43
Gold Mine mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	

#### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.

.

`	Page.
Granulated poultry bone (1737)	55
Great Western dairy feed. See Dairy feed.	
Green Diamond cottonseed meal. See Cottonseed meal.	
Green Diamond hominy (1757)	31
Green Oval linseed oil meal. See Linseed oil meal.	
Ground corn and oats (1492)-Barber & Bennett	42
(1508)—Ogden & Clark	42
(1511)—Browne Bros	42
(1526)—Shaw & Truesdale Co	42
(1544)—Washburne Supply Co	42
(1548)—S. W. Boone	42
(1549)—W. H. Payne & Son	42
(1566)—Thos. Morgan	42
(1699)—Henry Neff	42
(1713)—The Fall Creek Milling Co	42
Ground corn, oats, and rye (1609)	50
Ground feed (1500)—W. L. Travis	· 50
• (1514)—H. D. McCord & Son	43
(1536)—Fulton Grain and Mill Co	50
(1545)—J. H. Brett	50
(1555)—N. Lawrence & Co	43
(1569)—Brooklyn Elevator and Mill Co	43
(1570)—J. & L. Adikes	44
(1571)—Close Bros	50
Puritan (1488)—Paine Bros. Co	53
Ground linseed cake (1553)—Milwaukee Linseed Oil Works	27
Ground linseed cake, O. P. (1537, 1932)-A. L. Clement & Co	26
(1791)—Midland Linseed Co	27
Ground oats (1631)	39
Growing chick food, No. 2 (1815)	58
H.	
Haskell's stock food (1831).	53
High Grade mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
High Grade scratching feed. See Scratching feed.	
Hominy chop (1495)-W. H. Payne & Son	31
(1559, 1725)—Suffern, Hunt & Co	31
Blue Ribbon (1852)-J. E. Soper & Co.	31
Hominy feed (1531)—American Hominy Co	31
(1535, 1785, 1902)—Toledo Elevator Co	31
(1792)—Buffalo Cereal Co	31
Frumentum (1758)—U. S. Frumentum Co	31
(1761)—Hunter Bros	31
(1892)—Unknown	31
Kidder's (1851)—F. L. Kidder & Co	31
Steam cooked (1913)—Miner Hillard Milling Co	31
Horse feed, Buffalo (1583, 1954)—Buffalo Cereal Co	53
H-O (1767, 1988)—The H-O Company	52
Monarch (1572)—Oneonta Milling Co	50
Richmond's (1824)—M. C. Richmond.	53
H-O horse feed. See Horse feed.	

#### INDEX.

H-O pigeon feed (1986)	Page. 59
H-O Co.'s poultry food. See Poultry feed.	
Horse Shoe cottonseed meal. See Cottonseed meal.	
Howard's hominy meal (1554)	31

## Ι.

Indian prime cottonseed meal. See Prime cottonseed meal.

## К.

Kidder's hominy feed.	See Hominy feed.	
King feed (1743)		53
	T	
	L.	

Lenox stock food (1550)	-53
Lackawanna special horse and cattle feed (1729)	53
Linseed oil meal (1927)—American Linseed Oil Co	26
Green Oval (1588, 1612, 1746, 1868)—Flint Mill Co	27
Linseed oil meal, O. P. (1512)—Hanenstein & Co	27
Export brand (1515, 1708, 1722, 1797)	26

### M.

Magnolia bra	and of Prime C. S. meal. See Prime cottonseed meal.
Malt sprouts	3 (1534, 1821)—E. P. Mueller
	(1524)—Oneonta Milling Co
	(1601)—Henry Rang & Sons
	(1727)—Kane Malting Co 34
	(1735)—Unknown
	(1924)—American Malting Co 34
Marsh's pure	bone meal (1822)
Mascot mixe	d feed. See Mixed feed.
Meal and she	orts (1627)
Merchants' d	lairy feed. See Dairy feed.
Middlings (1	894)
	(1497)—Rex Milling Co
(	(1473, 1779)—Thornton & Chester Milling Co
(	(1525)—The Gardner Mill
(	(1584)—G. A. Bagley
	(1602)—Kehlor Bros
(	(1605)—Ogdensburg Roller Mills
	(1623)—Webster Mill Co
(	(1755)—Bernett, Craft & Kauffman Mill Co
(	(1780)—Blish Milling Co
]	Blue Grass (1478)—A. Waller & Co
]	Boston (1721, 1959)—Imperial Milling Co
(	Crosby's Fancy (1827)—E. Crosby & Co
(	C. and W. (1576)—Crow & Williams
]	Duchess (1955)—F. W. Woodward & Co
	Equality (1980)—New Pragué Flouring Mill Co
	Erie (1629)—Chapin & Bros. (jobbers)
	Golden Bull (1919)—Lawrenceburg Roller Mills 47
	Gold Mine (1724)—The Sheffield Milling Co

		rage.
Mixed fee	d, Gold Mine (1850)—Sheffield King Milling Co*	
	High Grade (1926)—Albans Grain Co	
	Mascot (1825)—Altman	
	Monogram (1694)—H. G. Fertig & Co	. 46
	Occident (1867)—Russel-Miller Milling Co	. 46
	Royal (1483)—Brooks Elevator Co	. 46
÷ .	Rye (1733)—Oneonta Milling Co	. 50
	Snow Flake (1597)-Lawrenceburg Roller Mills	
	Stott's Honest (1509, 1989)-David Stott	. 46
	Stott's pure winter wheat (1619)-David Stott	. 37
	(1887)—Straton & Co	. 47
	Sunshine (1717)—Hunter Bros	
	Superior (1787)—Washburne-Crosby Co	. 47
	Tri-Me (1501, 1543)-Sparks Milling Co	. 47
	Wheat bran, middlings, and flour (1591)-Henry Russell	. 37
	Winter wheat (1774)—Commercial Milling Co	. 37
	Vermont (1507, 1793, 1973)—Flint Mill Co	
Model fee	d (1613)	
	eed (1485)—E. P. Mueller	
	(1610)—M. G. Rankin & Co	
Molasses a	rains (1540, 1728)	
	chop feed. See Chop feed.	
	ground wheat feed (1607)	. 37
	horse feed. See Horse feed.	
	n mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	

### N.

Niagara chop feed. See Chop feed.	•
Niagara corn and oat feed. See Corn and oat feed.	
Nursery chick food, No. 1 (1814)	58

## 0.

Oat feed (1934)—Albert A. Keene	39
(F) (1941)—W. Wheatley (agent)	39
O. F. (1914)—Unknown	39
Royal (1505, 1705)—Great Western Cereal Co	39
Vim (1494, 1962)—American Cereal Co	39
Schumacker's (1838)—American Cereal Co	39
X (1499)—American Cereal Co	39
Oat middlings (1888)	39
Occident mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Oil cottonseed meal. See Cottonseed meal.	
Oil meal O. P. (1480, 1790, 1889)—The Mann Bros. Co	20
(1498, 1796, 1899)—American Linseed Co	26
(1513) Hunter Bros	27
(1560)—Kellogg & Miller	27
(1585, 1775)—Metzger Seed and Oil Co	-27
(1750)—Spencer Kellog	27
O. K. poultry food. See Poultry feed.	
O. K. poultry meal (1846)	58
Old Gold brand pure C. S. meal. See Cottonseed meal.	
O. P. linseed meal (1782)	27
Owl brand pure cottonseed meal. See Cottonseed meal.	

1	N	D	E	X.

93 Page.

Р,


Pekin gluten feed. See Gluten feed.	
Perfect chick food. See Chick feed.	,
Phœnix brand prime C. S. meal. See Prime cottonseed meal.	
Poultry feed (1903)—Poultry Cereal Co	58
(1582)—Buffalo Cereal Co	57
H-O Co.'s (1529, 1776)	57
O. K. (1946)—Chicopee Rendering Co	59
Poultry hash (1935).	59
Prime cottonseed meal (1552)-George B. Robinson, jr. (jobber)	22
(1493, 1828, 1904, 1987)—American Cotton Oil Co	22
(1626, 1901)—Hayley & Hoskins	22
(1630, 1788, 1957)—Hunter Bros. Milling Co	
(1718)-Independent Cotton Oil Co	23
(1839, 1907)—Oliver Refining Co	23
(1896)—Norton Chapman	23
Indian (1618)—National Cottonseed Product Co	22
Magnolia brand (1956)—Chas. M. Cox Co	23
Phœnix brand (1921)—D. S. Marshall & Co	23
Sunflower brand (1909)—American Cereal Co	23
Provender (1621)—Dixon & Warren	43
(1818)—C. D. Holbrook	43
(1976)—F. Diehl & Son	43
Puritan chick feed. See Chick feed.	10
Puritan ground feed. See Ground feed.	
Puritan laying stock food (1906)	59
	00
· Q.	
Queen gluten feed. See Gluten feed.	
· R.	
Red Dog 🕢 (1833)—Bay State Milling Co	37
(1847)—Moses Dorr	37
Richmond horse feed. See Horse feed.	
Royal mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Royal oat feed. See Oat feed.	
Rye mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
S.	
Schumacker's oat feed. See Oat feed.	
Schumacker's stock food (1574, 1723, 1928)	52
Scratching feed (1923)	59
Shredded wheat (1836)	37
Snow Flake mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Southern Beauty cottonseed meal. See Cottonseed meal.	
Scratching food (1693)—Cypher's Incubator Co	57
Webster's (1944)—H. K. Webster & Co.	59
High grade (1936)—H. K. Webster & Co	59
Standard middlings (1834)—Washburne-Crosby Co	37
(1937)—New Prague Flouring Mill Co	37
Standard Peep O'Day chick food. See Chick food.	
Star cottonseed meal. See Cottonseed meal.	


#### COMMERCIAL FEEDING STUFFS.


Star feed (1528, 1700)	52
Steam-cooked hominy feed. See Hominy feed.	
Stott's honest mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Stott's pure winter wheat mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Sunflower brand prime C. S. meal. See Prime cottonseed meal.	
Sunshine mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Superior mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Т.	
II	E7 E0
Unexcelled baby chick feed (1711, 1930)	97-98
	37
XXX Cornet red dog (1910)	57
U.	
Union Grains—Biles ready ration (1819).	34
V.	
Vermont mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Victor corn and oat feed. See Oat feed.	
Vim oat feed. See Oat feed.	
777	
W	
Warner's gluten feed. See Gluten feed.	
White feed "OO" (1958)	44
Niagara white meal (1890).	31
Winter wheat mixed feed. See Mixed feed.	
Webster's scratching feed. See Scratching food.	
Wyandotte chicken food. See Chicken feed.	
X.	
X oat feed. See Oat feed.	
Υ.	
Yellow feed "O. O." (1604, 1933)	44

Ο

94







una alerana	1 - 7 - 1	1			
URN CIRCULATION DEPARTMENT 202 Main Library					
N PERIOD 1	2	3			
IOME USE	<u> </u>				
	5	6			
1-month loans nth loans may be	MAY BE RECALLED Af s may be renewed by ca recharged by bringing b arges may be made 4 da	lling 642-3405 ooks to Circulation Desk			
DUE	AS STAMPED BE	LOW			
878					
1 10 1978	FEB 06 1996				
	RECEIVED				
мау (17)	NCT 3 1 1996				
DEC 8 196	RCULATION DEPT.				
	UI V				
NOV 1 4 V 3 0 1981	979				
"D DEC 2 1981					
1 1 1982					
. cir. dec 07 ' <b>82</b>					
<b>A NO. DD 6,</b> 40m		CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY LEY, CA 94720			

