



queen's university at kingston

kingston ontario canada

•		
1. ·		

		÷

Doctor Sacheverell's DEFENCE,

LETTER

MEMBER of Parliament.

REMARKS

UPON TWO

Famous Pamphlets,

The one entituled,

A True Answer to Doctor Sacheverell's Sermon, Preach'd before the Lord Mayor, November 5. 1709.

The other (a Sham-Pamphler) entituled, Doctor Sacheverell's RECANTATION

By R. G.

Ecce par Deo dignum Vir fortis cum Mala fortuna Compositus. Sen.

LONDON: Printed for July Lands, at the

Doctor Sacheverell's Defence,

IN A

LETTER

T O A

Member of PARLIAMENT.

Honoured Sir,

Mongst the many Pamphlets that Iye so thick upon the Compters in Westminster-Hall, you may possibly have taken Notice of Two very Famous Ones, (especially for Untruths,) the One Entituded, A True Answer to Dr. Sacheverell's Sermon, &c. and the other Dollor Sacheverell's Recantation.

These Two make a great Noise, and the Party cry them

ap as unanswerable.

When I had read Two or Three Pages of them I was amaz'd to find the Authors, (who seem to be Parsons of Tolera) ble Learning and Sense, so far abandon'd to their Passions and Interests, as to have no manner of Regard to Truth, or common Henesty, in the Writings they so audaciously publish to the World.

I thought at the same Time it was a Pity such Cheats and Impostures should be tolerated without some Notice taken of them. And finding that Nobody had made any Reply to them, I could not but think it might do some Service to the Publick (to undeceive the Unwary,) by bestowing some sew Remarks upon them.

The other little Pamphlets against the Dostor are scarce worth the Hawkers Labour. But these being written by the Champions of the Party, deserve some Observations to be

made among them.

The

The Worthy Doftor (when he is enlarg'd) I hope will give all his Adversaries an unanswerable Auswer: For he can best

do that himself.

The first comes out under the Title of A True Answer, and in the Margin of the Title Page retorts upon the Doctor this Paragraph of his Sermon Why do they pelt her with more Blasphemous Libels, and Scurilous Lampoons, than ever were published in Oliver's Osurpation.

Dr. Sacheverell's Sermm, Nov. 5, 1709.

This Passage of the Dissenters present Behaviour towards the Church, this Malepert Answer applies to the present Echaviour of the Church towards the Diffenters. An eafie Way truly of answering Sermons. This is just like the Humour of a Bawd, who to be thought as Vertuous as her Neighbour) returns her Home in her own Language, and thou art a Whore. This is more like jumbling of Words, crackling of Thorns, Noise and Flame, than what the Answerer (in his strain'd Eloquence) pretends to find in the Doctor's Sermon; but what immediately follows in this Answerer is most intolerable, viz. his Malicious Uzchristian Suggestion of the Doctor's ill Life and Conversation. This is acting Saran, the Grand Liar and Accuser, with a Witness. Well, his Angels will do to Christ's Ministers what the Devil did to Christ himself. It is certainly one of the greatest Sins a Man can commit to invent Lies of an Innocent Person. It is sinning as it were with a Cattrope, as the Prophet expresseth it. The Man must be a Reprobate that can commit so foul a Sin; bid Defiance to his Conscience, and Religion, and Humaning. This is woulding a Man in his most Tender and Sensible Part. The Injury that is done to a Person's Reputation, by telling and publishing a Scandalous Lie of him, is irreparable: For suppose the Liar should have the Grace to Recaut, (which is seldom his good Luck,) fome will fill believe him, not knowing of his Recantation. And therefore such a Reprobate as our Answerer is, were he to swing by his Neck for the Injury he has done the Doctor, by this Barbarous Saggestion, cannot make him sufficient Reparation.

It is Happy the Doctor's Life is so well known to have been so Regular and Exemplary, that this Slanderer could not have done his Cause greater Differvice than by raising such a Report. When the Difference are at any Time prest hard by Argumenrs, then they fall to Railing, and run to the Old Pretence of Purity and Strictness, the common Cant of all Sects in all Times; not that they themselves are generally.

A 2

and in reality, any better than other Men, only they wou'd flow a fair Outside at least, and be thought so.

But this Man was in the Gall of Eitterness: God grant that he may repent him of this Unaccountable Stil, that the

Iniquity of his Heart may be forgiven.

After this Scandalous Kind of a Preface, he proceeds to traduce the Doctor guilty is his Sermon of Impropriety of Speech, Nonsence, Impertinence, Lies, and what not? He was aware of the Saying, ---Throw a great deal of Dirt, and fome will flick. Well! But to prove Impropriety of Words and Phrases upon him, he quotes Page the Fifth, where we read [Conclave of Jejuits,] which this Answerer thinks should have been more properly [Congregation of Jesuits.] Now let me tell him, there is just the same difference between the Term [Conclave] and [Congregation of Feluits] as there is between a Conventiele and a (Meeting of Schismaticks.) A Man that is finking, and has nothing to hold him up above Water will catch at Reeds and Bulruthes. The Answerer does not produce under this Head, fo much as One Sentence that is improper, nor indeed impertinent, although he is pleas'd to fay, That to preach against Schism on the 5th of November, is to mock God, and to deceive the People.

What? Was it mocking of God to fay, (as the Doctor did) That they were met together that Day to praise God for the Mercies of it? Was it to deceive the People to forewarn them of the Danzer of King-killing Principles, and to stand as much upon the Guard against Schism as against Popery.

What Difference, ! pray, is there between a Deluded Diffencer and a Deluded Papift? And if both be dangerous to our Church and State, why may not a Sermon on the 5th of November be as feafonable against the one as against the

ther?

The Diffeoter will not allow us the fame Liberty they take themselves. They call us at all Times Idelaters, Papiffs, Jacobites, and the worst of Names; and yet if we say but a Word in our own Defence, we must be presently called Persecutors and Disturbers of the publick Peace, and at their Furious Instigation must be treated accordingly.

O! But say their Friends, it is unteasonable to meddle with them now we are engaged in War with a Formidable Enemy Abroad, and therefore we should endeavour to keep

all quiet at Home.

Now, Sir, the Diffenters know too well (without being tola) that it is the Nation's Interest to be at Peace with them. And it is their own Interest (if they would consider it) to be at Peace with us. And we solemnly declare we should

be glad to be at Peace with them upon Reafonable Terms. But if they will make Advantage of this War, and take this Opportunity to carry on their Defigns, in order to undermine us; if these Unreasonable Men will abuse the Government's Charity and Moderation towards them: Will sly in our Faces, and endeavour to turn us out of our Inheritance, must we then sit still and let them alone? Must we stay till they become too strong for us? If we do, I doubt we shall then pay dear for our Moderation, and stud them as Ctuel Masters as ever the Roman Catholicks were.

They threaten us already with their Scrength and Number; but it is all they can at present do, to shew their Teeth, and

fnarl at us

This they do to frighten the Queen and Parliament to comply with their Extravagau. Demands,

But these are meer Pug-bears.

The Fly on the Coach whe I naucres he himself makes all the Rattle, and raifes all the Duft about him. But, Thanks be to God, we have more than Seven Thousand in Ifrael that have not bow'd to Baal. Their Number is n t fo formidable, altho' it wou'd be happy for them and us if it was less. It is in this Great City that the Postilence rageth most. There are many Rich and Populous Towns she Kingdom that have not a Meeting-House for any Sort of them; and some Counties too, that cannot make an Ordinary Congregation. And as for Strength, it is well known the Generality of them are only Poor Mechanicks. The Rich here and there amongst them are but of Yesterday, fprung up (like Mushrooms) in an Instant out of Nothing. And the Majority of these have neither Educacation, Learning, nor any Thing of Religion, besides Talk and Outfide. And though their Looks betray many of them to be of a Dogged, Obitinate and Revengeful, Temper, they are not as yet so Strong, nor so Desperate, as to dare openly to rebel.

On the other Hand, you may, Sir, assure your self there are of the Church of England many Men of Noble and Loyal Extraction, of great Fortunes, Power, and Interest, Men of Merit, Religion, and Renown, besides the great Majority of our Gentry and Commenality, that will make, with the Inferior Sort, at least Forty to One Dissenter, of any One Particular Denomination: So that we need not be afraid of their Number and Strength, (with which they fo often threaten us.) but trust to the Captain of our Salvation, who has more than Ten Legions at his Command, that will be too many for Rebels, (how numerous sover.) let them begin when they will.

A 3 For

For these Reasons, if they be wise they will sit kill, and be contented with what they at present enjoy with Peace, rather than provoke us to return upon them the many Injuries and Indignities they have offer'd us hitherto with Impunity.

But, Sir, to return: Would you know what it is that really galls them in this Sermon? Why truly, Sir, it is this: The Doctor endeavour'd to clear the Church of England of what the Papifts falfly charge Her with, viz. of being equally guilty with the Diffenters of relifting and depoing our Kings; fo that now, fay the Papifts, the Church and Diffenters both have laid afide the Doctrine of Passive Obedience and Non-refistance. No, says the Doctor, the Church of England did not depose King James Vi & Armis, nor fettle the Crown upon the Prince of Orange upon the Depoling Power, but upon the Vacancy of the Throne. I hope it will appear from the Parliament's Proceedings against the Doctor, that what he has told us, viz. That King William solemnly disclaim'd the least Imputation of Retifiance in his Declaration is neither strange nor absurd, as our True Answerer would have it.

Is this to be a Friend to the Papifts, as these Sons of Slander represent the Doctor? What to deprive the Dissenters of the Deposing Yower? Alas! This is it; to convince them they must no longer resist or rebel, (no, not for their Gain,) is a Thought that strikes them to their very Heart.

If the Doctor was the more Z. alous at this Time of

Day, had he not Cause?

St. St. phen (though he lost his Life for it) thought it not unteasonable to tell the Hews to their very Faces, they were the Betrayers and Murderers of the Propnets, and of our Lord himself; Te Stiff-necked, says he, and Uncircumcifed in Heart, ye do always resist the Holy Ghost; as your Fachers did, so do ye.

And now why may not such a Severity be justifiable against

Retellion and King killing Principles?

Is it not the Just Judgment of God upon the Diffenters, the Curfe of Cain, the First Murderer, for every One that finds them, whether upon the 5th of November or the 30th.

of Fandary, to have a Fling at them?

And now the Doctor has prick'd them to the Heart, why do they not fepent with the fews at the Preaching of Peter, and day, Men and Brethren what shall we do? But instead of this they harden their Hearts, are Proof against all Arguments and Entreaties, and think of nothing more when they are alarm'd, than of justifying their Rebellion and Schiem. Why may not then a Minister of the Gospel endeavourt

deavour their Conversion? Why may he not in his Zeal for God's Glory, the Good of Souls, the Safety of the Church, Queen, and Kingdom, use Boldness and Freedom of Speech?

Yea, Woe be to him, and every Minister else, if he

keeps Silence when it is his Duty to speak.

In the next Place, the Answerer accuses the Doctor of Nonsence, and to prove it he produces P. 8, where (by Mistake) we have the Word [mith] instead of [mithout;] now this might possibly be only the Printer's Fault. But granting he found the Word so in the Original Copy, was such a Mistake deserving of so Critical a Remark, when the Author's Meaning might be so easily conceiv'd without it? This is altogether trisling and rediculous, and exposes

at once, the Answerer's Folly as well as Malice.

He goes on after the same Rate, and would fain prove the Doctor guilty of Falshood, for saying the Gun-Powder-Treason is a Fact so evidently acknowledged, the Papifts themselves are so far from denying, that they extol it with the highest Panegyrick; Here, says he, the Dollor does not do the Papifis Julice, (by the Way, the Papifis are now oblig'd to the Answerer's Moderation towards them,) the Papists, says he, not only denied the Fast, but also condemn'd it as a Villainy, afted by a Desperate Party of Feluits; I thought the Jesuits had been Papists, till now my Answerer tells me the Papifts had no Hand in the Gun-Powder-Plot, and that it was only carried on by a Party of Jesuits. This is either Nonsense or Contradiction, or both. And whereas he would infinuate that the Gun-Powder-Treason was afted without the Privacy or Consent of the Papists, that is altogether Falle. For fure he cann't but know that the Papifts think it a Meritorious Work to Burn and Extirpate Hereticks; nay, and have the Pope's Dispenfation, Bleffing, and Pardon, for it Now if he and his Party don't think so themselves, why do they charge and accuse us of Persecuting them upon the same Principles that we are Persecuted by the Papists? Why do they say we Persecute them for the same Reason that the Papists Persecute us, viz. for Religion? We see the Dissenters can Sail with every Wind. One while they say the Papists Burn, Persecute and Extirpate Hereticks upon Principles, and now, when it affects them, they come and deny it.

Another Instance the Answerer gives us of the Dostor's Falshood is in P. 19, where the Dottor had said, King William disclaimed the least Imputation of Resistance in his Decla-

ration, when I dave be confident, says the Answerer, this is the first Time the World was ever told of such a Thing. Poor Man! as confident as he is, the World has been told over and over this; if he is defirous of Conviction let him search the Declaration itself, and Records, --- and it may be he will find that to be true which he is now so confident is otherwise. He is confident with a Witness, and in an angry Mood tells us, --- the Doctor doth not now tell us any Thing but a false Story. Well! then it seems (by his own-Confession) the Doctor had told some Truths in the foregoing Pages, though they were provoking and unleasona-And whereas he puts the Question, -- would the Nobility and Gentry bave promifed to affift the Prince in England, without presuming the must resist the King and all his Popish Army? I answer, the Majority of the English Nobility and Gentry, who invited the Prince over in those Perillous Times, invited him not upon the Power they conceiv'd they hadto relift, or depose King Fames; but that the Prince's coming over might be some Awe to him, to prevent the dreadful Persecution they were apprehensive was then ready to break in upon them. That this was their chief Aim, appears from the Case of those who are said to have invited the Prince into England, who nevertheless refused to take the Oaths for him when he was settled upon the Throne. This is said to have been the particular Case of the good Archbishop Sancroft.

Now, would they have laid down their Preferments rather than take the Oath for King William had they conceived it lawful to despete King James? Or would they have invited the Prince over upon the deposing Power, and after that resuse to take the Oath for him? No certainly. And why then will the Diffenters have it so? In short then one Reason is, they would bring in the Church of England guilty of Rebellion against King James, that they may have something to say to justifie the Murder of King

Charles the First. This is the Truth of it.

Next, he comes and falls foul upon the Doctor for having abus'd Archbishop Grindal. He was a Man of their Turn, and therefore he is very angry with the Doctor, and spends a great deal in the Archbishop's Justification. But what is most Foul in the Answerer is—his Saying, That the Doctor's Reserving upon the Archbishop was only to cover a Resection upon ther Present Majesty (and now his Hand was in, it is a Wonder he had not brought in the King of France too,) and some other Presate, I suppose he means the Archbishop of Canterbury; now with what Justice can he say that this was to cover



a Reflection upon Her Majesty? Was it his comparing Her to Queen Elizabethi Her Royal Majesty Herself will think that no Reflection at all. and if there is any Reflection upon the Archbishop of Canterbury it is the Answerer only has made it, by making a Comparison between His Grace and Archbishop Grindal. In the same Breath, he says, the Doctor is not to be trusted with Matters of Fact. And why so? Because the Doctor affirms the same of the Archbishop, that I suppose the Learned Bishop of S-m does in his History of the Reformation. I wonder any Man should have the Impudence to deny such a plain Matter of Fa&, so faithfully recorded in the History of those Times. This Answerer takes the same Liberty everywhere else, particularly in p. 16, 17, 18, where he reflects upon Archbishop Land for having diffurb'd the Ashes of Grindal, whom he highly commends for a Man of Moderation. Now Archbishop Laud was a Zealous and Judicious Writer against Popery, and yet the Diffenters could not, and cannot, endure him. The Resson of that is plain: For though he was an Enemy to Popery, yet he was no Friend to Schismaticks.

Our Answerer has now left us but Two or Three Paragraphs more that deserve Remarks: All the rest of it is

meer Raillery and Spleen.

In the first of these Paragraphs you find aim in his Triumph over the Doctor, for having misapplied a Text of Scripture. Here, says he, I cannot but say, that many a Good Christian would have his Eyes lift up, and his Hair an End, to have heard an Historical Part of Scripture turn'd into an Apparent Falshood. Behold! The Man is become a Saint all in a Moment; from a Liar and a Slanderer to an Angel of a Sudden. This was the only Midake he could pick out in the whole Sermon, which is the Reason he makes so much ado about it, as if it was of an Unpardonable Kind: Whereas, in Truth, it is a Mistake of no great Consequence: Nor can it do any Manner of Hurt. It was only a Defect of his Memory, which is many a Sincere Honest Writer's Case. But how often do his Party wrest the Scriptures to more Deftructive Falshoods? How wretchedly do they pervert the Word of God to serve the Vilest Purposes, even to juftifie Rebellion and King-killing.

But he taxes the Doctor, Page 13, with a more Heinous Crime, viz. Of Infincerity,—of dealing deceitfully with the Word of God: It is furprizing he had not tax'd

him with Blasphemy or Apostacy too.

An Inflance of the Doctor's Infincerity he gives us, Page 144 where he says, the Word occupation, (for 10 he writes

writes it,) which the Doctor explains, is a New-coin'd Word, and not to be found in the Old or New Testament. Either the Answerer ought to go to School again, and learn his Greek Alphabet, or he must own himses equally guilty of Coining: For I dare be consident with him, that such a Term of Art is to be found neither in the Bible, nor in

any Prophage Author.

However, I had not been so severe upon him for such a Mistake, had he not been so Rude upon the Doctor for an Addition of a Syllable; possibly it was only an Error of the Press. But for the Doctor's Word, Ψευδιαθελοβα.

——If this Answerer understands Greek, (which is hard for a Man to do that cannot rightly spell it,) he may often find the Terms Ψευδιος and ᾿Αθελφος, even in the Bible; and then he need only consider them jointly in the Abstract, and he shall find no Cause of complaining, that this is an

Addition to the Word of God.

Really, Sir, I know not whether I am not more asham'd, or (to use his own Terms) more sick, or weary, of such a trifling Answerer. He has nothing to do but to carp at single Words and Syllables. I shall have done with him after that I have remark'd to you——how edious and concemptible this Malicious Writer endeavours to render the Dostor to his Ecclesiastical, as well as Civil, Governours, on Purpose that he and his Blood thirsty Party may bring about their Villainous Designs against him. But 'tis hop'd our Good Ministers will not be wheedled by them: For, Sir, the Eyes of the whole Nation are now upon them, ex-

pecting the Issue of these Proceedings.

I cann't see where the Doctor has restected in his Sermon on the Good Bishops of Worcester, and of Litchfield and Coeventry, from whom, this Splenatick Scribbler fays, the Doctor receiv'd his Education, and his little cure, as he terms it in Contempt. He tells us News: The Rector or Vicar owes his Cure to the Bishop. Yes; and so does the Bishop his Bishoprick to the Queen. Does he not know It is the Bishop's Duty to fill all Vacant Cures? And to make Choice of the Firtest Persons to serve them? And how then does one Minister owe his Cure to the Bishop more than another? On the Contrary, in many Diocesses the Bishops are oblig'd to their Curates, and not their Curates to them. I suppose it will be allow'd, that it is the Bishop's Dury to see that all the Churches in his Diocess be duly serv'd: And is not he oblig'd to any Minister that ferves fo many of them for so small a Pittance? But I let this pafe, -and only take Notice of One Invidious Refle Clion

Redion more, which this Saucy Answerer casts upon the Doctor's Birth and Family. The Doctor is well known to be originally descended from Ancestors of great Meric and Fortunes; and it is many a Noble Family's Case to be reduced to a low Estate. He that abuses any Man of Meric upon this Account, is of the Devil's Extraction, of a Base Reviling Spirit; and reproaches not the MAN, but his MA

K. E.R., who hash made him to differ from another.

And whereas this Author makes an Apology for himself, and says he had not done it, had it not been to shew the Doctor's Ingratitude to his Benefactors; let him consider he could not shew his Gratitude to his Benefactors more, effectually than by telling them of their Duty, and Stitring them up to a Consciencious Discharge of it, and not to suffur Sin to lie upon them. He that does this out of a Consciencious Principle, without Fawning, Sneaking, or baving Mens Persons in Admiration, because of Advantage, is a

Man, for his Rarity, to be lov'd and respected.

As for the Doctor's Prayer for the Queen, (which this Author reflects upon for the Shortness of it,) I dare be confident, there is more Sincerity in it, because it proceeds from a Heart, whose Principles are truly Loyal,) than in all the Whining, Selfish, and Strain'd, Addresses of his Fanatical Crew. One would wonder that the Answerer should make so Malicious a Suggestion when he could not but know that the Doctor, in the very First Page of his Serman, gives ther Sacred Majesty this very Hearty, Loyal, and Just, Character. This Good and Pious Relick of the Royal Family, sits now bappily upon the Throne of Her Great Ancestors; happily in respect, I hope, of the Honest Doctor in particular, in that She will not deliver him up into the Hands of his Enemies. O most Sacred Queen, receive him into your Royal Protection!

Sir, I am afraid I have trespass'd upon your fatience already; but I crave leave only to make a Remark or Two upon the other Phamphlet, entituled, Dostor Sacheverell's

Recantation.

There is nothing new in that Tedious Pamphlet but the Preface, which, the Publisher says, is the Work of a very Learned and Judicious Writer. But his Prefacer (whoever he is) is of the same Stamp with the Author of the True Answer: For, in the very First Paragraph of his Presace he endeavours to impose a most Notorious Untruth upon the World: Which is this, —— He tells us, his Book had now past the Seventh Impressions, and had not yet received any Answer: Which is false in Fast; for there have been several Books publish'd fince the First Edition of that, that

may ferve for an Answer to THAT, and to all other Pamphlets of its Kind. This Pamphlet indeed feems to have been itself delign'd for an Answer to the Church of England for the Unreasonableness of their Seperation from it; but because it was not thought worth any Man's Pains to give it a Formal Reply, the Prefacer to this last Impression of ir, has the Vanity to think, and the Confidence to boast, that it is unanswerable:

If the Publisher had read the London Cases, or Doctor Hearn's Books, or some others on the same Subject, he might have found his Book answer'd long before; and since he now (upon the Account of Doctor Sacheverell's Troubles) trumps upon us on Antiquated Grub street Republican Author, I shall meet this their Goliath with a Second of an Old

Honest Loyalist in the Reign of King Charles II.

And, Sir, if you please, we will leave it to these Two

Champions to decide the Battle for us.

Whereas then the Author of the Preface fays, that the Dissenters, to the Number of 8000, were perfecuted in King Charles II's Time purely upon the Score of Religion, my Author proves the Contrary; namely, that the Diffenter in King Charles's Time were not perfecuted as Saints, but punished as Rebels and Male&ors. And this he does by stating the True Notion of Perfecution after the following Method.

To be perfecuted for Righteousness Sake, is after either of these Three Ways. (1.) For persevering patiently and stead. fastly in the Profession of the Christian Faith. Or, (2.) For the Performance of that Duty which we owe to God the Father, and our Lord Jefus Christ, and to our Christian Brethren, for their Sakes. Or, (3) When we are persecuted (as we are by the Church of Rome) because we don't own that as an Article of Faith, or any Part of Christian Worship, which God hath not declar'd to be so: For, seeing this cannot be done without making Profession of a Lie, or faying, we believe what we can fee no Reason to believe: To suffer for this Cause, is evidently to suffer because we will not play the Hypocrites, or give the Lie to our own Consciences; and therefore, in St. Peter's Language, is to fuller for Conscience towards God, and so to suffer wrongfully, and for Righteousness Sake. Now, from hence we may learn the True, Ciear, and Distinct, Definition of Perfecution.

Persecution then is an Inflicting of Outward Temporal Evils for the Exercise of True Religion. These Outward Temporal Evils are Threefold. (1.) Upon the Body, as Banishment, Imprisonment, Tortures, and Death. Or, (2.) Upon the Estate, as Pecuniary Musts, and Confiscation of Goods. Or, (3.) Upon One's Reputation and Good Name, as Slanderings, Revilings, and Reproachful Speeches; in which Sense the Church of England is daily

persecuted by the Dissenters.

The Exercise of True Religion is the Ratio Formalis, i.e. the Constitutive Difference whereby Persecution is distinguish'd from all other Violences weatsoever. For letthe greatest Reproaches and Indignities usher in the most Exquisite Tortures, and let them be concluded by a Death as Cruel as the utmost Malice on Earth, or Fury in Hell, can contrive, yet unless these nortures be institled for the Sake and Cause of Religion, we may call them indeed Merciless, Inhuman, Unnatural, Cruelties, (or any such like Name,) but not Persecution. There can be no Versecution but for Religion, I mean the True Religion: And therefore the Difference Clamour of being Persecuted in King Tharles II's Time, or since, by endeavouring to suppress them, is nothing to the Purpose, and is only a Cant, design'd to reasier the Church more odious to their Party, by representing us as Barbarous and Cruel. To explain this more fully.

By Religion, I mean the Worship of God; and by the True Religion, the Worshipping him according to his Will; the which will it hath pleased him to deliver to us in Writing, by the Ministry of the Prophets and Apostles, in those Books (which by Way of Eminency) we call Scriptures; so that whatever Worship is not according to those Holy Oracles is not true and acceptable, but fathe and vain; it being an Undoubted Truth, that God hach as much Right to appoint the Wall of his own Worship, as to be worshipped. There are moreover Two Parts of Religion, Credenda—S—ngenda, i.e. Truths to be believed and Duties to be performed, and in both these, the Scripture is to be

our Rule and Direction.

He thereof that is punished, either for believing those Truths, or doing those Duties, the Belief and reformance of which the Holy Scirpture requireth of him; ar he shat is punished for not believing those Things as Truths, which are but Fashities and Lies; or for not doing those Things as Duties, which are fintul and unlawful, he I say, who is punished upon these Accounts is properly and truly perfecuted.

The Case then about Persecution, as it doth respect the Disserters, is briefly this. If these Instances, for which they were punish's, be no Way required of them in holy Scripture, either for Belief or Practice: And it than appear, upon Enquiry, that the Church of Eigland requires

nothing of them that is in Faith erroneous, or in Practice impious: If the enjoins them neither to believe Lies, nor to commit any Sin: If not fo, nor fo, let the World then judge where the Fault lay, and who they are (that without Repentance, which 'the fear'd is now little thought of,) are accountable for all those Seperations and Divitions in the Church, and all those Distractions, Wars, Murders, Rapines (the Natural Consequents of the former,) in the State, which these Poor, Miserable, Divided, Kingdoms have so fadly experienc'd.

O! But tay the Clamorous Diffenters, Preaching and Praying, are necessary Ducies; but they were punished for them, and therefore punished for necessary Ducies, and consequently Perfectived. But we deav they were punished for Preaching and Praying, Quad Substantiam, as necessary Ducies; but they were punished for not observing those Circumstances about Preaching and Praying, which Authority requireth of them; or they were punished for not performing those Ducies in such a Decent Manner as their Governours require: Or more plainly yet, they were punished for not observing and submitting to those Constitutions which their Governours have established for the better ordering of these Duties.

Now fince it is necessary that there be some Circumstances made use of in the Exercise of these Duties, Preaching and Praying;) and since the Scripture hath determined nothing, either by requiring some as necessary, or forbidding others as unlawful; and since to leave them undetermined (for Private Persons to do what seems Good in their own Eyes) is apparently destructive both to Church and State; what can more naturally follow than this? viz. That these Circumstances must be determined by the Supream Magistrate, who by the Advice of his Ecclesiastical Counsel, (his Convocation) may appoint the Personanceos of these and other Religious Duties in such a minner as is most agreeable to that Apostolical Canon, Let all Things be done in Decency and Order.

The Examples of the Kings of Ifrael and Judah may sufficiently encourage all Religious Magistrates, to reform and settle Religion in one Uniform Way, and take Care that all under their Government should serve the Lord with one Voice; this being not a Tyranny over Men, but a Priviledge

of the Gospel.

And fince the Publick Allowance of different Opinions and Practices about Circumstantials in Religion, (particularly about Preaching and Praying,) doth naturally improve into Contentious Disputes, and these Disputes, (if not restrained) break out in Civil Wars, (for Men will as list take up Swork

Swords and Spears instead of Pens, and defend by Arms where they cannot do by Argument,) fince Things, I say, are thus. 'ts at least Prudence (if not Duty) in the Supream Power to remove the Occasion of this Ruin, by enjoining the Publick Practice of these Davies in one Uniform Way, that all his Subjects may speak the same Thing, and that there be no Divisions amongst them. If therefore upon Enquiry, it doth appear that the Magistrate hath Power to make Conflicutions for the better ordering these Circumstances. about which Scripture hath determined nothing, whether we must use, or not use, them; and if Offenders against these Constitutions are justly punishable, it undeniably fo!lows that in punishing such Violaters of his Laws, the Ma-gistrate doth not Persecute, but execute Justice; and this those, who are thus juffified by our fate Pamphleteer, were not persecuted as Saints, but punished as Rebels and Malefactors; which was the very Case of the Nonconformiffs in King Charles the Second's Time.

And now, Sir, I leave it to the whole World to judge whether my Author, (by Stating thus the True Notion of Persecution, and the Case between the Church and Dissenters,) has not sufficiently vindicated the former from

that Malicious Impuration of perfecuting the latter.

There remains only a Word or Two more to be faid, in Reference to Dr. Sacheverell's Case. The Generality of Gentle and Simple cann't see any Crime in his Sermon, for which he may be punished. And it is hop'd that those Great Men, who are (only suppos'd to be) therein reflected upon, will confider that the Doctor was call'd before the greatest Magistracy in England; that he Preach'd to those with whom in a great Measure our Religion and Civil Liberries are entrusted, and that upon all such Publick Occasions the Magistrates Duty is most proper to be

infifted upon.

Hout of a Conscientious Principle of discharging his Duty to God, his Queen and Country, he took upon him to admonith or correct some Ministers, this, 'tis hop'd will not be made use of by them, as an Advantage against him, seeing beis their Brother, and of the same Hope and Calling. If he had neglected doing this (when he thought it was his Duty) to please some Mutimous, Restless, and Self-interested Party of Men, he had sinned against his Conscience, and (at least in his own Judgment) at once become a Rebel to God and the Government, and accordingly had deserved to be deast with as a Traytor to Both.

'Tis true, the Doctor is highly blam'd for meddling with State Affairs in his Pulpit: But why then are his Adversaries, who answer him in the same Way, encourag'd and countenanced? The Doctor had greater Reason to meddle with Politicks than any Common Country Pastor can pretend to; for he preach'd before an Honourable Magistracy, a Learned Auditory, and Judicious Enquirers into these Things: But a Country Pastor has nothing of this to plead for himself; and yet we have fome fuch Chapmen, who, to blow up the Coal, or to please a Particular Person or Party of Men, in order to skrew them-felves into better Benefices, will rail vehemently to their Auditors against a Man of their own Profession; and be forar from being asham'd of it as to publish and justifie it to the World.

Now methinks such a Temporizer does more Harm upon a Thanksgiving-day then he can repair in the Labour of his whole Ministry; because he makes his People jealous of the Ministry, wavering, and unstable, in their Principles, and confirms the

Separatiffs in their Errors.

Now Dr. Atterbury, look to your felf; ----these Men think they have a Brave Time of it. Puffed up with Success and Selfconceit, H --- y and Ch---n will have at you Bishops, Deans, and all, Right or Wrong. But not too fast, I pray: Many a one has had a Fall by making too much hafte. Preferments don't always come by Merit. Scribble on; but you will be no more taken Notice of in this Reign.

If I were Worthy to advise you, take a little Respite: And turn and bend your Thoughts to discharge the Duty of your Calling, to exercise your selves in the great Duty of Prayer, Self denial, Humility, Meekness, and Submission to the Higher Powers. Be not Wife in your own Conceit, nor think of your felves above what you ought to think; but think to Sobilety, and this is the wifest and surest Step to Preferment.

As for the Doctor, it were more becoming you to pity and bewail his Misfortune, rather than incense the Dissenters against

Sir, We have now only to pray you, as being our Reprefentative, to act (with Respect to the Doctor,) with that Clemency and Moderation to much contended for in behalf of his Adverfaries. We are readywith the Dr. to Sacrifice our Lives and Estates in Defence of Her Sacred Majetty's Person, Crown, and Dignity, and of the Church of England, against Popery and Fanaticism: And whilst we heartily thank God, and the Best of Queen's, for the Bleffings we enjoy under Her Government, we cease not earnestly to implore the King of Kings, to grant the Queen a long Life, the Bleffings of an Honourable and Lafting Peace, that She may ever be, (as She is.) the Breath of our Nostrils, and the Glory, of the World.







\$ 6.5 mm

2