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## ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS.

Page

4, para. 1, line 7,-for Mahêndrapaladêva read MôhênarapEladêva.
10,-for Haḍḍala read Haḍââł̣̂.
7 , footnote 17 , line $1,-$ for nistrimesa read nistrimisía.

16, line 3 from bottom,- for Sântaras read Sântarą̣.
17, " 9,-for Alpua read Âluva.
48, line 2 from bottom,-for Chệdi read Chếdi.
56, line 1,-for Thursday read Friday.
58, para. 2, line 2,-for Godavari'read Kistna.
60, line 13 from the top, 一for Jhâlrâpâtan read Jhâlrâpâtañ.
65, footnote 6, line 1,-for actua read actual.
71, line 4 from the top,-[I think the word támbâlíya should mean "betel chewed with areca-nat and chunam" which the women spat ont of grief. This is red and may be compared to rubies ( padmaraga) --H. K. S.]
72, last line,--for Jâvalipura read Jâvâlipura.
75, verse 12.- [There is no allusion to any legend here. The poet wants to say that Brahman out of arrogance (dambhatah) weighed the king's prowess (i.e. the sun) on one side and his fame ( $i, e$, the moon) on the other and found the two balanced so well on the scale of which the rod was the (heavienly) Gangâ, that the pin (kantatea) in the middle was dhruva (i.6. stable). The other meaning intended is the Pole star (Dhruva) which ocoupies the middle of the heavenly orbit and is almost fixed in space.-H. K. S.]
99, last bat one para., line 3,-for Polikesin read Potikêáin.
100, text-line 2,-for बन read वनं.
" " 17,-for लद्नो read लच्कीं.
119 , footnote 3, line 2,-for Sûdra- read Súdra-.
120, text-line 3,-for Mamidôdarîvashpa- read Mamdôdarívą̧hpas:-
125, line 10,-for Kanḍika-bandha read Kaudika-bandha.
143, translation of B.,-for Râaljar read Râjula.
156, footnote 5, last line, -for Kushmâņ̣in! read Kûsḥmandiní.
160, para، 3, line 5,-for Tirathgarh read Tîrath garh.
165, footnote, line 6, -for Dattakamímânsâ read̉ Dattaǩ⿺amịmâmosê.
" " line 8,-for Rudrâmba read Rudrậmbé.
166, para. 3, line 2,-for 1783 read 1779.
168, line 9 from the top,-for Padî read Padi.
169, line 2,-for Lâkshmîdhara read Lakshmîdhara.
170, text-line 6,-for -prasûta sama[sta*]- read -prasûta-sama [sta*].
170, text-line 8,-for ravidînê read ravidinế.
171, para. 5, last line, - for ${ }^{\circ}$ ohohhrêshta read ${ }^{\circ}$ ohchbrêshṭha.
172, line 8,-insert a hyphen at the end of the line.
footnote 7, line 2,-for Śambilaka read Sámbilaka.
175, line 4,--insert (Nâgarí) after Sanskrit.
176, line 16,-for Mêdipôta read Medipota.
footnoto $2,-$ jor $i$ üjũavallsya read Yajuñavalkya.
178, line 18 from bottom,-for Malavâ read Malava.

Page 179，footnote 1，line 3，－for Sakkara－kôttam read Śakkara－kôttam．
180，footaote 5，－－for Siyadoni read Sîyaḍ̣̂̂i．
181，para．5，line 4，－［Mudhasêli perhaps stands for the Telugu mudusali which means ＇old，anoient；＇i．e．a headman respected on account of old age；compnre nâttu－ mudumai；above，p．21，footnote 3．－H．K．S．］
185，text－line 4，～for तष：पयोष्ष read तप：पयोषि．
＂footnote 1，line 2，－for Devakûṭa read Dêrakûta．
205，para．3，line 4，for Kârtikềă read Kârtikềya．
footnote 7，－for Conjeeverum read Conjeeveram．
223，No．63，translation，line 2，－for Tttara＝Phalgunî read Uttara－Phalganî．
235，para．3，line 2，－for Ayurûr read Ayarur．
236，lines 15 and 17，－for Ayirûr read Ajurur．
＂text－line $9,-$ for $=$ kkîl－read kôl．
237，test－line 17 and translation，line 7，－－for Ayurûr read Ayurur．
238，lines 3 and 11 and footnote $10,-\quad$ Ditto．
257，footnote 4, －［From the Palnâdu inscriptions we learn that the Kâkatîja king Gaṇapati was ruling from his capital Orumgallu，already in Śaka－Sampat 1173 and that his daughter and successor Rudramahâdêvi was also raling from the same city in Saka 1191．－H．K．S．］
261，footnote 6，line 7 from bottom，－for Kulôttaṅga－Chôla I．read Kulottuíga－ Chôda I I．
266，line 4，－－for Kâkatì read Kâkati．
282，para．5，line 4，－for Khariar read Khariâr．
283，line 7，－for Ṭ̂nḍrà read Ṭûnḍrá．
284，translation，line 1，－－for Sarabhapura read Śarablapura．
footnote 6，line 3，－for Jêjabhusti read Jêjèbhbukti．
300，line 9，－［Comparing the symbol for $i$ in ${ }^{\circ}$ pilupati with the $i$－symbol in ${ }^{\circ}$ pariuinnain （line 19）and in ${ }^{\circ}$ ndîrgha（line 24）it appears as if the form Mahapplupati was also meant here as in the Sâinkhêdâa plate of Śântilla（Ep．Ind．Vol．II． p．23）．一H．K．S．］
307，table，lat column，－－for Gazaî read Ghaznî．
3rd＂for Âdil Shah Aynâ read Âdil Shâh Aynâ．
313，line 2，－for Halavur reed Halauûr and for Banvâsi read Banavâsi，
316，para．3，line 3，－for bhandâari read bhaṇ̣̣âîi．
line 8 from bottom，一－for Brahmânjhâka read Brahmaujâ̂ka．
321，last para．，line 6，－for 493 read 793.
330，footnote 8，－for Amuktamâlyada read Âmulktamâlyada and for Viehnụuchittiyam ヶead Vishnuchithiyam．
331，para．4，line 7，－for Kuttukkdl read Kuttukkal．
＂＂＂＂8，－for Pâttaikulam read Pâtaikkulam．
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## VOLUME IX.

## No, 1:-TWO COPPER-PLATE INSCRIPTIONS OF THE TIME OF MAHENDRAPALA OF GANAUJ.

By Professor F. Kiblhorn, C.I.E.; Götmingrn.

IN February 1904 Mr . Gatrishankar Hirachand Ojha of Udaipur.in RÂjputâna most kindly sent me photographs of two Sanskrit inscriptions on copper-plates, which had been found some years before at Ûnâ, a town in the southernmost part of the peninsula of Kâthiâvâd, in the Junâgadh State. ${ }^{1}$ Both inscriptions are of the reign of the Maharadjadhiraja Mahendrapâla or, as he is called in one of them, Mahêndrayudha, of Kanauj, and record grants to a temple of the Sun by two feudatories of his, Balavarman and his son Avanivarman II. Yoga, who belonged to a Châlukya family. One is dated in the [Gupta-] Valabhî year 574, corresponding roughly to A.D. 893; the other in the [Vikrama] year 956, corresponding to about A.D. 899. In March 1904 I gave a short account of the contents of these inscriptions, in Nachrichten d. K. Ges. d. Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, and I have since tried to secure impressions of the originals. Not having succeeded in doing so, I now venture to publish the texts from Mr. Ojha's photographs.

## A.- Plates of Balavarman; Valabhi-sam்vat 574.

These are two plates, each of which is inscribed on one side only. They contain 36 lines of on the whole well-preserved writing in Nâgari characters. ${ }^{2}$ The language is Sanskrit; it is generally easy to understand, but line 17 contains a revenue term which I have not met with elsewhere and am unable to explain. Lines 7-9 give two verses on the vanity of fortune, etc., and the necessity of works of piety, and lines $22-29$ six of the ordinary imprecatory verses; the rest is in prose.

The inscription records a graut of land by the Mahadadmanta Balavarman, the son of Avanivarman [I.], of the Chalukya lineage, a feudatory, who had obtained the five mahasabdas, of the Paramabhattâraka Mahârájâdhirâja Paramếsara Mahêndrayudhadêva who meditated on the feet of the P.M.P. Bhôjadêva. From Nakshisapura, Balavarman informs Ghe various officials and others that, after fasting on the sixth tithi of the bright half of Mâgha, he gave the village of Jayapura, belonging to the Nakshisapura group of eighty-four which

[^0]ho had acquired by his own arm (svablujjîparjita-Nakshisapura-chaturaśtiticti), to (a temple of) the Sun ander the name Taruṇadityadêva, which stood on the banks of the river Kanavirikâ. The boundaries of the village were- on the east the village of Sihavâhalaka, on the south the village of Ra[jyastha]la, on the west the village of Pêdinillaka, and on the north the village of Añallaka (Ambullaka). After the usual admonition to preserve this gift, and six imprecatory verses, lines 29-34 give the names of twelve witnesses-four Brâhmaṇ, four merchants, and four Mahattaras. They are followed by the name of the writer, which cannot be read with
 numerals of which are ordinary decimal figares. The inscription, in line 36 , ends with the signatares sva-hastô=[tra] srî- $\nabla a(b a)$ lavarmmanah $\|$ sva-hasta! ${ }^{2}$ sri-Dhîika, where (the second) sra-hastah is preceded by a mark which seems to represent the actual sign-manual of Dhika. Who this Dhiika was, does not appear from the present inscription; but the grant B. makes it probable that he was a high official of the king Mahêndrîyudha (Mahêndrapâla), whose permission was necessaxy for the making of the grant.

## B.- Plates of Avanivarman II. Yôga; [Vikrama-]samivat 956.

These are three plates, the second of which is inscribed on both sides, while the first and third are inscribed on one side only. They contain 68 lines of well-preserved writing in Negarì characters. The engraving is done carelessly, so that the text contains nomerous mistakes, the correction of some of which, especially in two passages where proper names are concerned, is very difficalt. The language is Sanskrit. Lines 1-45 contain twenty-four verses which, after glorifying the Sun, treat of the genealogy of the donor and of his and his ancestors' exploits; and two imprecatory verses are cited in lines 65-67; the rest of the text is in prose.

The inscription records a grant of land by the Mahutsânanta Avanivarman [II.], surnamed Yôga, of the Ohalukya race (verse 2). In this race there were two 'great kings' (mahâ-mahîpatî), the brothers Kalla and Mahalla (v. 3), the former of whom is eulogized in conventional terins (v. 5 and 6). Kalla had a son, whose name cannot be made out with confidence (v. 7); and this chief had a son whose name ended with dhavala, and whose fall name perhaps was Vâhukadhavala (v. 9). This last named chief destroyed (or defeated) in battle a certain Dharma, conquered kings who were well-known râguadhirâja-paramêévaras, and defeated a Karnata army (vv. 10 and 11). His son was Avanivarman [I.] (v. 12), and his son, again, was Balavarman (the donor of the grant A., above). Balavarman defeated a certain Vishaḍha (v. 16) from whom he took away a pair of big drums (uru-dhakkî̂) ; and, by slaying Jajjapa and other kings, 'freed the earth from the Hûṇa race' (v. 17). His son was Avanivarman [II.], also called Yôga ( $\mathbf{\nabla} .18$ ), who routed the armies of a certain Yakshadasal and other kings whose countries were invaded by him (vv. 19 and 20), and put to flight Dharaṇivarâha (v. 21).

In lines 45 ff. this Mahâsâmanta Yôga (i.e. Avanivarman II.), a feudatory of the Paramabhattîrcka Maharâjâdhirâja Paramếvara Mahêndrapaladêva who meditated on the feet of the P.M.P. Bhôjadêva, informs his officials and others, as well as future kings; that, with the approval of the illustrious Dhlika, ${ }^{8}$ he gave the village of Amvulaka (Ambulaka), ${ }^{3}$ which

[^1]belonged to the Nakshisapura eighty-four (Nakshisapura-chaturasiti) in the Saurashtra mandala, to (a temple of) Taruṇadityadêva, located near the river Kapavirika in the vicinity of the village of Jayapura. ${ }^{1}$ The boundaries of the village were- on the east the village of Viyaraka, on the south the village of Jayapura, on the west the villages of Sênduraka and Kôrintbaka, and on the north the village of Vavulika. After the usual admonition to preserve this gifttand two imprecatory verses, the inscription, in liue 68 , ends with the date samvat $95 \dot{6}$ Mághu-siudi 6 , the numerals of which here also are ordinary decimal figures.

The Châlukya chiefs mentioned in the preceding are not known from other inscriptions. The large Bilhari inscription, in $E p$. Ind. Vol. I. p. 266, mentions a Chaulukya Avanivarman whose daughter Nòhalâ was married by the Kalachuri Chêdi king Yuvarâja I. Kêyûravarsha. That Avanivarman may have been a contemporary ${ }^{2}$ of Avanivarman II. Yôga of our grant B.; but-even assuming that the terms Chälukya and Chaulukya might be used synonymouslys he cannot be identical with him, because his father and graudfather were Sadhanva and Simhar varman (not Balavarman and Avanivarman).

For Balavarman, a feudatory of Mahêndrâyudha (Mahêndrapâla), the grant A. furnishes a date in about A.D. 893. We therefore may assume that his grandfather Vâhukadhavala (P) lived about the middle of the 9 th century A.D., and was almost certainly a feudatory of Mahêndrapâla's predecessor Bhôjadêva (Mihira) of Kanauj, for whom we possess dates from A.D. 843 to A.D. 881. Now in the inscription No. 77 of my Southern List this Mihira (Bhôjadêva) is stated to have been defeated by the Gujarât Râshtrakatuta Dhruvarâja II. This at any rate would show that he was at war with the Râshtrakâṭas ; and I believe that such a war is actually referred to in the account of Vâhnkadhavala's (?) exploits related in the grant B. In my opinion, the Karnatea army which is said to have been defeated by him can only have been an army of the Râshtrakûtas. As regards (the king) Dharma who is stated to have been destroyed (or defeated) by the same chief, I know of no king Dharma who could have been a contemporary of his, excepting the well-known Pâla king Dharmapâla, and I see no reason why Dharma should not be identified with that Pâla king, who at one time or another undoubtedly was at war with the rulers of Kanauj.

Balavarman himself defeated a certain Vishaḍha, and by slaying Jajjapa and other kings 'freed the earth from the Hûṇa race.' Who Vishaḍa was, it is impossible to say. Wars with Hûpa kings are frequently mentioned in Indian inscriptions of the Middle Ages,4 and we know of a Eûṇa princess, Âvalladêvî, who was married by the Kalachuri Karna in the 11th century; bat in recording the "name of Jajjapa our grant B. for the first time discloses the name of an individual Hûna king, who must be placed in about the last quarter of the 9th century.

The kings or chiefs mentioned as opponents of Ayanivarman II. Yôga, for whom we have a date in about A.D. 899, are Yakshadâsa and Dharanivarâha. The former is unknown to us. The latter I do not hesitate to identify with the Châpa Mahâsâmantâdhipati Dharaṇ̂varâha, known to us from his Haḍâalâ plates ${ }^{5}$ which were issued from Vardhamâna (or Wadhwân in

[^2]Kâṭhiâvâḍ) in A.D. 914, and in which he is represented as a feudatory of a R(îjudhirâja Mahîpâladêra whose proper identification, as will be seen below, can no longer offer any difficulty.

The place Nakshisapura, the nine villages mentioned in connection with the two grants, and the river Kanavirike I have not, I regret to say, been able to identify. The main point of general interest connected with these localities is, that the Nakshisapura group of ightyfour, which was held by the two donors, according to the inscription B. belonged to the Saurâshṭra maņ̣ala. The name Surâshṭra we know to denote the sonthern part of Kâṭhiâvâd ; and since the two chiefs Balavarman and Avanivarman II. describe themselves as feudatories of the Mahârâjâdhirâja Mahêndrâyudhadêva or Mahéndrapaladêva (of Kanauj), it follows from these inscriptions that towards the end of the oth century A.D. the kingdom of Kanauj extended as far south as, and included, the province of Katthiavad. Moreover, it becomes quite certain now that the Rajâdhirâja Mahipáladêva, who in the Haḍạala plates of A.D. 914 is mentioned as the overlord of the Châpa Makîsumantâdhipati Dharaṇivaraha, must be identified with the Mahârâjâdhirâja Mahîpáladêva of Kanauj (whose Asnî inscription is dated in about A.D. 917), the successor of Mahêndrapâladêva, and cannot have been one of the Chûdâsamâs of Girnêr-Junâgaḍh.

In the grant A. the king, known to us from the grant B. and other inscriptions as Mahêndrapâla, is called Mahêndrâyudha. This name of course at once recalls the names Indráyudha and Chakrēyudha, the former denoting a king who according to the Harivamsa-Purâna was ruling the north in Śaka-samivat $705=$ A.D. $783-84$, and the latter another king, to whom the sovereignty of Mahôdaya (Kanauj) was given, after the defeat of Indraràja (i.e., in my opinion, Indrâyudha), by the Pâla Dharmapàla, and who was defeated by Nâgabhata, ${ }^{1}$ the grandfather of Bhôjadêva of Kanauj. It may also be mentioned that in Râjaŝêkhara's Karpûra. muñjarî a certain merchant is represented as having gone to 'Kanauj, the capital of Vajrāyudha, the king of Pañchâla.' That story would seem to show that in the opinion of Râjasêkhara, who was Mahêndrapala's guru, a name with the somewhat unusual ending ayrdha, such as Vajrâyudha, was a suitable or characteristic name of a Kanauj king. Most probably Mahêndrapàla himself was known to Râjasềkbara also by the name Mahêndrâyudha.

The date of the grant A., sri- Valabhi-sainvat 574 Mâgha-siuddha 6 , is by a long way the earliest date in which we find the technical expression Valabhir-sameat. In the inscriptions of the Valabhî kings themselves the year of a date is ordinarily preceded by only sam, rarely by samuat; and hitherto the earliest inscription containing the term Valabhí-samuat was the Vêrâval inscription of the temple-priest Bhâva-Brihaspati of Valabhtr-sainvat 850 ( $N o .503$ of my Northern List). In the Môrbî plate of Jàinka (ibid. No. i02) of the year 585 of the same era the number 585 in line 19 is preceded by simply samvat, while in line 17 the year is described as a Gauptu (i.e. Gupta) year.- It is curious that in Râthiâvâd we should find the GuptaValabhî, Vikrama and Saka eras employed in dates of the same period and in almost the same localities.

## A.-PLATES OF BALAVARMAN; VALABEI-SAMVAT 574.

TEXT. ${ }^{3}$
First Plate.


[^3]2 râja-paramêśvara-śrî-Bhôjadêva-pâd[â*]nudhyâta-paramabhatṭâraka-mahâràjâdhiriâja-para-
3 mêśvara-ŝî̀-Mahêndrâyudhadêva-pâda-prasâdâkshata- ${ }^{-1}$ samadhigata-pañchamah â śavda. (bda)-mahâsâmantaś=[Ch]â-
4 lukyânvaya-prasûta-śrî- ${ }^{2}$ Avanivarmma-sata-śrî•Va(ba)lavarmmâ sarvaân=êva râja-râjanya-râjasthânîy-ôparik-âmâ-
5 tya-[châ]tabhaṭa-bhatṭa-[da]ṇ̣avà(pâ)sika-danḍ[ $\mathbf{0}]$ ddharaṇika- ${ }^{3}$ dramgika-mahattara-châru-4 châra-bhaṭa-hastyaśvârôbha(ha)ka-prabhritị̣̂ $1^{5}$
6 yuktaka-niyuktakâm $\quad$ svân=â $(a)$ nyâm [ $\mathfrak{s}=c h a] \quad$ yathâsamiva (ba) dhyamânakân= samanuvô(bô)dhayaty=astu vạ̣ samividitam் yathâ padma-pattê ${ }^{G}$
7 sthita-jala[va] taralâ: śrî drishṭa-nashṭañ=cha jîvitaṃh ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{\text {B Padmapatra-jalavimidu- }}$ cham்chalam jîritavyam=akhilâśs=cha sampadah $\mid$
8 ity=avêtya jagataḥ sthiti[血*] nripâh kim dhanaị̣ kuruta dharmmam=aním dyah ${ }^{9}$ II Pallavâgra-jalaviñdu-chamichalê klêsá-
9 jâla-parivêshtitế bhavê l yô na chińtayati karmma-satpatham tasya janma bhavat=îha niḅphalamin ${ }^{10}$ || êvan் sarvvam=adhruva-
$10 \mathrm{~m}=$ âlô[kya] dharmmê matih sthâpyatâin || Tan=mayâ ${ }^{11}$ svabhujôpârjita-Nakshisapurachaturaŝîtikâ-prativa(ba)ddhô Jayapur-â-
11 bhidhânô grâmaḥ ${ }^{12}$ Mâgha-siuklapaksha-shashṭhyấn ${ }^{13}$ upôpya(shya) gandha-dhûpa-pushya(shpa)-dîpa-snâna-vilêpan-ôpalêpana-[sanmâ ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ] $]^{14}$
12 rjana-khaṇ̣̣asphuṭita-sudhâ-kûrchchak-âdi-śśsru(ŝr̂û)shâ-kritê sva-mâtâpitrôr=âtmanaś= cha ${ }^{16}$ aihik-âmushm[i*]ka-puṇya-yaśó-
13 bhivpiddhayê bhagavatah sarit-Kanavirikâ-tat-âvasthita-Sahasradîdhitêh érîmatas= Taru[ṇ̂]dityadêvâya ${ }^{16}$ bhakti-bhâ-
14 vita-manasầ ${ }^{17}$ udak[â*]tisarggộna dharmmadâyô nisrishṭaḥ pratipâditaśs=cha || Yasya pûrvaatặ Síhavâhalaka-grâma-
15 sîmâ maryâd $\left[\hat{a}^{*}\right]\left[\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ dakskiṇataḥ Râ[jyastha $] l a-g r a ̂ m a-s i ̂ m a ̂ ~ m a r y a ̂ d a ̂ ~ । ~$ aparatah Pẹḍhillaka-grâma-sîmâ [ma*]ryâdâ । ntta-
 sa-bhôgabbâgạ̣ sa-hiraṇy[ ${ }^{*}$ *]dânaḥ
17 sa-daụdadaśâparâdhah sa-sîmâparyantah s-ôdra[安*]gah sa-vrikshamâlâkulạ̣ sa-parikaraḥ chôllakavaiṇivai-
15 gikakôshyadi-sahitaḥ ${ }^{19}$ âchâtabhaṭapravếba-varjjitồ ${ }^{20}$ bhûmiohchhidra-nyâŷ́na dharmmadâyô nigri(sri) shṭah II
19 Asya bhumjatô bhôjâpayatạ̣ krishataḥ karshâpayatô vâ asmad-vaḿ்áajair=anyair= yvâ bhòktribhị

[^4]20 pratishê[dhô] na karaṇ̂yah pâlayitavyaś=cha \| yatặ sâm[â*]nyam bhûmidâna-phalam=avêty=âyam=asnaad-dâ-

## Second Plate.

21 yô=numantavyô ssmat-prity=âbhyarthanayâ cha pâlanîya iti \| Tathâ ch=ôktán [bha]gava-
 ârtha-yasa-
23 s-karâni | nirmmâlya-vânta-pratimâni tîni kô nâma sâdhụ̣ punar=âdadita ||
$243^{3}$ Shashṭir=vvarsha-sahasrậui svarggê tishṭhati bhûmidah | [â]chchb[ê]ttâ ch= ânumantâ cha [tâny=ê]-
25 va narakê vasêt || $\mathrm{\nabla a}$ (ba)hubhir=vvasudhâ bhuktî râjanaiḥ̂ ${ }^{4}$ Sâgar-âdibhiḥ । yasya yasya yadâ [bhâ].
26 mis=tarya tasya tadâ phalán || i'Shashṭir=vvarsha-sahasrâṇi ${ }^{6}$ shashtị $=$ vvarshàśatâni [cha] | [gavâm kôti-pradânê]-
27 na bhûmi-harttâ na śudhyati || Vimindhy-âṭavîshv=atôyâsu(su) śushka-kôtaravâsinaḥ । [krishp̣âhayô hi jâ].
28 yantê bhûmi-dâyaḿ haratti(nti) yê || Sva-dattâm para-datt[âmं $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ vâ . ya[tn]âd= raksha narâdhipa | mahîm mahîbh[ritâm śn śêshṭha]
29 dânâch=chhrêyó=nupâlanam || (©) || Ava(tra) sâkshî vra(brâ)hmaṇa-Dêhada-sutaBhâvaḅ I tathà vrâ(brâ)hmaụa-[Kau . ?]
30 nara-suta-Nâgêśvaraḥ || tathâ vrà(brâ)hmaṇa-Jajjaka-suta-Hariḥ || tathâ vrà(brâ)hmaṇa-Bhâ[skara]-sutsa-
31 Vâsudêvah II tathâ vaṇik ${ }^{6}$ Nôgha-snta-Isurah ! tathâ vaṇik ${ }^{6}$ Nâga-sntarPâ[ha]llah || ta-
32 thâ ${ }^{7}$ vaṇi-Jênlla-suta-Nannakaḥ 1 tathâ vaṇak ${ }^{8}$ Samigama-suta-Dếuthaḥ || tathâ sa(ma)hattara-Drami [g]iya-
33 suta-Sîhah $\mid$ tathâ $m a ̂(m a) h a t t a r a-G o ̂ v a ̂ s a-s u t a-A ~ j a i n a ̣ ̣ ~ I ~ t a t h a ̂ ~ m a h a t t a r a-G o ̂ v a ̂ s a-~$ suta-Mêha[ri]pa[ka]-
34 h I tathê mahattara-Dhûra-suta-Kaṇhakah || Likhitam ch=aitan=mi(ma)yâ knla-putraka- ${ }^{\circ}$ Datta-suta-[Dhâ ?]-
35 [r]âdi[tyê]n=êti || (e) || Sri-Valabhi-samvat 574 Mâgha-śuddha 6 || (0) ||


## B.-PLATES OF AVANIVARMAN II. YOGA; [VIKRAMA-]SAMVAT 956. <br> TEXT. ${ }^{12}$

First Plate.
 sahaja-bhûahanam் nabhasaḥ | drutaka-
 amtard cha chchhidraih parí-

[^5]3 tô na vipatrasâtaḥ ${ }^{1}$ bhêdyah parair=n=aiva mahîpatînâm Vâ(châ)lukya-nâm=âpara-tứga-[vami]sobu |(II) [2** ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Va}$ (ba)bhûva-
4 tuti $(\beta=t a)[t r a]$ mahâ-mahîpatî mah[â*]-matî Kalla-Ma[ha]lla-samjjñitau | yayôh
sitâ kirttir=upâgamad=gu-
5 naihe parâm prasiddhih(min) satata[皿*] sunirmalaị̆ \| [3*] ${ }^{3}$ Saubhrâtrañ=cha yayôr=â $\hat{\text { îl }}$ (sí) d=anyônyam=avibhita(nna)-
 nripànâṁ suvibhûyi(ghi)tânâm
 va(chă) kalpadrumâṇâm=i-
8 va pârijâta[h] II [5*] Râjya[mi $]^{\prime}$ ma[chra? mahîpatau guṇagaṇ-âlamkârabhûtê sati kshinnà [râ]ti-pa-

10 [dh]âna[ín] sadâ tushțir=[vra(bba)ndhu]janasya gauṇya-janitâ dânañ=cha

11 tatôkavîrttiḥl lakshmî-nidhânam śaraṇam guṇânâṃ $\|(1)$ sauryasya bhûmir= vrasatir=mmatînâm
12 râjairra abhâma(? ${ }^{12}$ tanaŷ̂(yô) va(ba)bhûva || [7*] ${ }^{39}$ Valgattyaraggamâtuga-mattamêtamga-duggamân | yach-cha-
 hukadhavalô ${ }^{15}$
14 mahâru(nu)bhâvô yah | dha[r** mmam=avann= api nityam $\quad$ raṇ̂̀tyamo(?) । nînasadramam ${ }^{16}$ (III) [9*] ${ }^{17}$ Râjâádhirâ-
15 ja-paramếsvara-bhûminâ[th]ân=yas=tân=api
16 nistraḿáanibhrayavilû[jî] 1 ripûttimâgair=avjair=ivê svabhuja-vîrya-vấâd=vijig[y]ô । kshiti-talam

## Second Plate; First Side.

$$
17 \text { samarê puxinya \| }\left[10^{*}\right] \quad{ }^{18} K u n t a[t h a ̂ a ̂ ~ ?] \text { suảsi[ba]si-pra[ch]ura-nara-kari-prâàâ(jya)- }
$$

[^6]18 Karṇ̣̂atạam sai(sai)nyam=âjô(jau) bhayarahita-manâ bhûriŝô=bhu(nu)pravisya 1 êkâkî khadgar
19 yashtyâ drutam=atisitayầ yô=rimùddhi-pravâha[mi] Fâh-àrâḍhô=vagâḍham kamala-
20 vanam=iva srattya-khêda² lulâva \| [11*] ${ }^{3}$ Taśmâd=amjjâyata sutô svanivarmmanâmâ fanrya-pratâ-
21 pa-vinay-âdi-gunantapêta $\underline{̣}^{4} \mid \quad$ sannâhavad=bh[rii*]śam=imâm=avatâ dharitrîm= anvarthatân=nijan=a.
22 nîyata jêna nâma ( (i1) [12*] ${ }^{5}$ Avaniva[r*]mmaṇi ya[tra] sukarmmaṇi vyathita-śâtravamarmmaṇi varmmani(?) [1]
23 guvati-nirmita-narmmaṇi bhûpatô(tau) na janatâbhir=aśa[r*]mmaṇi fá[m*]kitam II [13*] Tasya ${ }^{6}$ sûnur=ajan=iha
 yô janair=abhi-
25 dhayâ $\quad \mathrm{Va}(\mathrm{ba}) l a v a r m m a ̂ ~ \|[14 *] \quad$ 8Satatam=avitath-ôktiḥ smâna-jâpy-âdi-sâ(sî)laḥ praṇayisatasahasrâ-
20 dhîna-vistirṇ̣aeampat \| bbrijasura- ${ }^{0}$ vihit-âśó faḥ sad=ârabhya vâ(bâ)lyâtvi(t=Tri)-nayana-charan-â-
 Vishaḍham=avaş-
 sruti-sukha-
29 dam=akhinnô $\quad$ fô=grahîn=ma[甶*]dra-nâdam் || [16*] Chatura-turaga-durggân=saminyati dhvasta-satru[h*] sphuta-
$30 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{iha}$ jagatîsâan ${ }^{13}$ Jajjap-âđîn=nihatya $\mid$ prasabham=abhimanaskô yô vyadhatta $[\mathrm{krb}]$ itîôó
31 bhuvanam=idam=ahînô Hâṇa-vamísêna hînaṃ || [17*] Kuvalayadala-nêtrah sanuat-âmésasthalâ--12
32 kah I prakatam=Ava[n]ivarmma $\quad[\mathrm{n}] \hat{\mathrm{a} m a} \quad$ tasy $=[\hat{a}] \operatorname{tmaj}[\hat{0}]=$ bhût $\mid$ prithulakatir=udârah kshâma-ma-
33 dhyas=tathâ yô vidita ihz jagatyâm Môga-nâmn=âparệ̣a $\|\left[18^{*}\right] \quad$ Sañgrâmê ${ }^{14}$ Yâkshadása[mं*] va(ba)la-

Second Plate; Second Side.
$34 \mathrm{~m}=a[\mathrm{kh}\rceil$ ilam=apil${ }^{15}$ dhvaḿsayitvâ pravirô yaḥ samjjagrâha tûryaṃ patutaraninadaḿ Sâgaraksbôbha-
 Éànkha-[chchha]tra-dhvajadî-17
$36 \mathrm{n}=$ ahamahamikaŷ̂ $\operatorname{ma}(\mathrm{yu})$ ddha-hhámim praviśya || [19*] Kôdaṇ̣̣a-dhvasta-dhârâ-6ara-kara-nakharê vi[kra]m-d̂va(ba)ddha-
 $\hat{a}[k r a] m y a \quad$ bhûmim

[^7]38 [sthi]tavati hi nijầ sighavad=Yakshadâsa-[kshô]ṇ̂p-âdyaiskumaragair=iva ${ }^{2}$ ripunivahaị̣ dûratab sam-
 muñchati sa kim Dharaṇivarâhah [1*] maty=ê-
 II [21*] ${ }^{7}$ Khadga-khamdita-dhanḍîra[ $\left.\dot{\mathrm{m}}\right]^{8}$
 [22*] ${ }^{11}$ Narapatim=amuı́n muktvâ
42 kâlê kalau sati sa[mं* ${ }^{*}$ prati trijagati paritrâtum śaktô na kaśchid=ap=îha mâm | su[ja]na-niva[hó] ma-
43 tv=êtiva nnatikamavi[kra]ma ${ }^{12}$ śaraṇa-manasaḿn śrimamitańn yam samấńrayad= $u[$ chchhr $]$ ita $\left[\dot{m}^{*}\right]$ II [2S** Têna ${ }^{13}$ prava(cha) ndamaruda[bhra]-
 vidy[ $\left.u^{*}\right]$ dvilâsa-va(cha)palâñ=cha vichi[ $\left.\dot{m}^{*}\right] t y a ~ l a-~$
45 kshmím prâvartyat sphuṭam=ayam kshitidâna-dherr]mmạ̣ \| [24** ${ }^{*}$. Sa cha mahâsâmanta-śrî-Yôga êvam=u[kta ?] vân patha ${ }^{15}$
 samanuvô(bô)dhayaty=astu
47 vaḥ saríviditam் yath=âsmâbhih pratâpapraṇata-samastasâmanta-ŝô(mar)limâl-ârchita-charanayu-
48 galasya śaśadharakaranikar-âmalayaśa[ $b^{*}$ ] pravâha-dhavalita-dharâvalayasya samihitâbhya-
49 dhikapradân-ânandita-vamdivrind-ôpagîyamâna-samastagunaganasja paramabhatttâra-
50
50 ka-mah[â*]râjâdhirâja-parami(mê)śvara-śrî-Bhôjadi(dê)va-pâdânu dhyêt a-p ar a ma-

Third Plate.
 53 d•anumatyâ cha
prati-

[^8]$\mathrm{va}(\mathrm{ba})$ ddhar ${ }^{1}$－Amvưlak－âbhidhâns－grâmah sa－vrikshamâlâkulaḥ sa－sîmâparyan［t＊］ah sa－bhôgablıâgah sa－hiranyâdùnah sa－daṇ̣̣adaśáparâdhah sâbhajamânavêshṭikab：
 saxit－par［rv］ata－sama－
kâlînah ${ }^{3}$ ih＝aiva Jayapura－grâm－âbhyâsê Kaṇavîrikâ－sarid－upakaṇṭhê nivish［t＊＊］an Ta［ru］nâ－
dityadêvâya khanḍasphutita－suka（dhâ）－dugdha－kA［r］chchaka－snapana－vilêpana－pushpa－ dhûpa－dipan－t［ai］le－n ê（nai）vê－
dya－dârik－âdy－upakritayê $\quad m\left[\hat{a}^{*}\right]$ tâpitrôr＝âtmanaśs $c h=[a i] b i k-a ̂ m u s h m i k a-p a n y a-y a s ́[\hat{c}]$－ bhivriddhaye bhamichchhidra－
nyây［E＊ं］na pravi（ti）pâditas＝tad＝ajam் svadha［r＊］mmadâya－nirthi（rvvi）sêpra（sha）h pâlaya（yi）taryó snuna（ma）ntaryaś＝cha \｜l yaśya（sya）ch＝â－
61 ghâṭanâni pûr［vv］atô Viyaraka－grâma－sîmầ｜dakshinatô Jayapura－grâma－ $\sin \hat{(m a ̂)}$｜｜aparatah Sô－
62 nụ̣uvaka－Kôrinṭhaka－grâma－sîmê｜uttarataḥ Vavulika－grâma－sîmâ｜tad＝ê［na］mi chaturâghâțanô（na）－pari－
kshipta－grâmam bhumijatô bhôjayatah ksha（kri）shatah karsha［ya］tah pratidiśa－ tê（tô）râ（vâ）na kaiścha［n＝â］lp＝âpi paripame
64 thanâ vyâŝế vâ kâryah I jatah sạmanyasanapalam＝avity＝6àsmat－prîtyâ pâlanîya ita（ti）\｜I Tath $\hat{R} \quad \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{ch})=\hat{0}$ ．
 âdibhiḥ $\left[1^{*}\right]$ yasira yanyâ yadâ bhûmiśnêa tasya ta－ râ palam｜｜${ }^{8}[Y] a ̂ n=\hat{h} ぇ$ dahâ $[n a]^{9}$ purâ narêndrairzddânâni ${ }^{10}$ । mmârśragrašaskarâṇi｜nirmmalyavâna－prati－ mấni tâni kô nậma sấ（sâ）dhụ̣ punar＝âdadîta I｜ Samivat 056 Mâgha－śudi 6 ［ll＂］

No．2．－VASANTGADH INSCRIPTION OF PURNAPALA； THE VIKRAMA YEAR 1099.<br>By Professor F．Kielhorn，C．I．E．；Götringen．

About the year 1840 the stone，which bears this inscription，was taken by Captain T．S．Burt from a tank at Vasantgadhh in the Sirohi State of Rajputâna，and the inscription was published in Journ．As．Soo．Beng．Vol．X．p． 664 ff．，from a very unsatisfactory transcript prepared by Paṇdit Kamalâkênta．For a long time the stone seems to have been lost sight of ；but it has lately been rediscovered by my indefatigable friend，Mr．Gaurishankar Hirachand Ojha of Udai－ par，and is now deposited at the town of Sirohi．I re－edit the text from impressions which have been kindly sent to me by Mr．Ojhs．

[^9]The inscription contains 23 lines of writing which covers a space of about $2^{\prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 44^{3 \prime}$ high. At the upper proper right corner part of the stone is broken away, so that between about 15 and 2 aksharas are missing at the commencement of lines 1-9. Otherwise the writing is well preserved and may be read with certainty nearly throughout. The size of the letters ; between $\frac{5}{1 \overline{6}}$ and $\frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{6}$. The characters generally differ little from the ordinary Nâgarî, but they include a few signs which are peculiar to the earlier northern inscriptions. The letter $b$ everywhere is denoted by a sign of its own, and the secondary $\hat{a}$ is often written by a superscript line; once (in Trashtuth=prasâdât=, l. 13) we have the sign of the upadlumân̂̀ya; and once (in bharyâm, l. 12) the conjunct $r y$ is made up of the full sign for $r$ and the secondary form of $y$. Between verses 32 and 33 and at the end of the text a conch-shell has been engraved, and between verses 33 and 34 a circular ornament. The language of the inscription is Sanskrit, and, exceptivg the words asy=ânvay $\hat{o}=p i$ and nagar- $\hat{a n v a y} \hat{o}=p i$ in lines 8 and 13 , the whole is in verse. Both the language and the verses often are incorrect, and as a piece of literary composition the inscription is worthless. Here, to show how very limited was the author's knowledge of grammar, I need only point out forms such as nâmanı, 1. 5, vếsmann, 1. 9, vếsnaih, 1. 14, and vyômê, 1.21 (for nûma, vêśma, vếsmabhih, and vyônni), from passages regarding the reading of which there cannot be any donbt. And what liberties were taken by him, simply for the sake of the metre, in the spelling of words, may be seen from instances like Pûrnuipiula-, 1. 7, samidhî-, 1. 19, svapâna-, l. 15 (for Pûrnnapâla-, samidhi-, sôpin $n a-$ ), etc. ${ }^{1}$

The object of the inscription is, to record that a queen named Lâhinị, a jounger sister of the Paramâra Pûrṇapâla and widow of a ling Vigraharaja, at Vaṭa ${ }^{2}$ (Vaṭa-nagara, Vaṭapura) restored an aucient temple of the Sun, and restored or founded a tank (vapi), apparently the very tank where this record has been fonnd. And the inscription is divided into tbree parts, the first and second of which give the genealogies of Lâhiṇ̂̀ and Vigraharâja, while the third glorifies the town Vata and the pious work execated there by the widowed queen. The whole is introduced by two verses, in one of which (so far as it is preserved) the author pays homage to Mahêśvara (Śiva), Prâchêtasa (the poet Vâlmiki), and Vânîi (the goddess of eloquence), while in the other he invokes the protection of the god Hari (Vishṇu).

Verse 3 relates that through the anger of (the sage) Vasishṭha there was produced a youth or prince (kumâra) from whom the Pramâra (or Paramára) family took its origin. ${ }^{3}$ In his lineage there was Utpalarâja; from him sprang Âranyarâja, and from him Adbhutakrishṇaraja. His son (or, if a name shonld have been lost at the commencement of line 4 , his son's son) was Mahipala, and from him sprang Dhandhuka. To Dhandhuka there was born from his wife Amritadêvì Pûrṇapâla, who ruled the Arbuda territory (bhû-mad̈dalam=Arbbudasya). ${ }^{4}$ In his reign, his younger sister Lâhiṇi was married by king Vigraha (Vigraharaja).

Vigraharaja's genealogy, in verse 12, commences with a trice-born named Yôta, who by his bravery acquired the title of king (bhîpa). In his lineage there was the king (nripa) Bhavagupta, who, after restoring the temple of 'the Sun dwelling at Vata' (Vata-vâsi-bhânu), reigned at Vaṭa. In his lineage, again, there was Samgamarâja, who ruled Badarí in Vaḿśáraṭha. From him sprang Durlabharaja; from him, Chacha; and from him, Vigraharâja, who, as stated

[^10]clready, maried Láhini. After his death, his vidow went to her brother's home, and was settled at the town of Vata which in the compe of time had fallen into decary.

The thwn of Vaṭa (Vaṭa-nagara, Vaṭa-pura) is gloritied in verses 20-25. ${ }^{1}$ Here it will be sufficient to say that it is stated to hare been founded by the sage Vasishṭha, that it was sitaated on a river named Sarasvati, and that its inhabitants are describel as devoted to the worship of the San. That the queen Lahini restored there an aucient temple of the Sun and restored or founded a tank, has been already mentioned.

The inscriptiun (according to verses 33 and 54) was composed by the Brilhman Mâtrisarman, the son of Hari, ade engravell by Sivapala, the son of the sutrudhira Douka, who was the son of Durga (Durgirka, i.e. Durgiditga). the son of the sthapati' ('architect, carpenter,' etc.) Nitga. It cnuis (r. 35) with the date: the ninth tiflui fif the dark hel? of the month Nabha, i.c. Gratrana, the moon being in (the nuksicutra) Mrigasiras, of the gear (given in words) 1099 in the time of Vikramâditya, 'in the place' (sthuizted) of Chitrabhunu. $B_{j}$ this last expression I uuderstand the author to asy that the date fell in the Jovian year Chitrabhann. This year can be combined with the Vikrama year 1099 on! 5 , when the latter is taken to be the expired Chaitridi Vikrama jear 1099, and Chitrabhànn to be the Jovian year so named according to the southern system.9 For that jear the date would correspond to the 12th August A.D. 1042, when the 9th tithi of the dark half of the aminta Śrîvana ended 10 h .46 m. , while the nokshatru was Mrigasirsha by the equal space system and according to Garga for 15 b .46 m. , and by the Brahma-siddhinta for 14 h .27 m ., after mean sunrise. The date is the earliest date of the Vikrama era that quotes a Juvian year, aud, with the exception of quite modern dates, the only Vikrama date in which a Jovian year is qnoted according to the southern system. It also is the earliest known date in which we find the expression Fikramûlity ya-fulete.

The Pramara or Paramâra chiefs mentioned in this inscription ruled the Arbuda-maṇdala, i.e. a tract of country called after Arbuda, the modern Mount Âbî. They are probably closely connected with the Paramàras of Chandrivatî, mentioned, e.g., above, Vol. VIII. p. 201. Regarding Vigraharaja and his ancestors I cannot offer any remark.

The places Vaṭa and Badarí in Vaḿsâraṭaa (v. l4) I am unable to ideutify. Vaṭa must be an old place, being mentioned already in the Vasantgadh inscription of Varmalata of the [Vikrama] year 682, and in a somewhat earlier inscription which was fonnd at the village of Sâmolì in the Bhômata district of Mêvàd, and of which Mr. Ojha has sent me impressions. If not identical with Vasantgadh itself, it must be looked for close to it.

## TEXT. ${ }^{3}$

 prašastis=sukyitî̀ mayỳ || [1*] ${ }^{5}$ Jyütir-jyôtividûun savah aava-dhiyàm dhishṇyam parańn varchchasâun II bhaktânâñ dhanadah smritạ̣ kalushahâ ea-



[^11] babhûvuḅ \| $\|$ [3*] Asy=ânvayê hy=Utpalarâja-nâmầ Ârạ̣yarâjôopi tatô babhûva || tasmâd=babhûv=Âdbhutakṛishṇarâjô vikhyâta-kîrtiḅ̣ kila vâsu-²
 varâ[ha] ḅ $^{3}$ \|l puttrô=pi tasm̂ân=Mahipalla-nâmâ tasmâd=abhûd=Dhamdhuka ${ }^{4}$ êva bhûpah || [5*] Asy=âpi kîrttịh surarâja-lôkê pragîyatê vai sura-kimnarîbhị̣ || vị̂ânivishṭa $-{ }^{5}$ karajâàngulî-
 lakshmî[r=*] vikhyâpya nâmain ${ }^{6}$ parasainya-madhyê || asy=âpi bhâry=Âmrita-dêvi-ttâ(nâ) mnî rûpêna kî(ŝí)lêna kulêna yuktâ || [7*] Utpamnamasyâsuvi ${ }^{8}$ Pûrṇ̣napâlaḥ pûrṇ̣̂âm=imâmin pûlaya--
 Arbbudasya II [8*] ${ }^{10}$ Kanakakarṇ̣ika-bhûshitagamdạayâ ${ }^{n}$ kaknchadêsa-nivèsitavị̣̂ayâ || vibudha-râjakalê=mara-kanyayâ sadasi yasja yaśạ̣ parigîyatê || [9*] Hatvầ ${ }^{12}$ yêna raṇ̂̀ ${ }^{13}$ ripûn=subahaśah
 mam̉dirê || Pûrṇ̣̣âpâla- ${ }^{11}$ kulapradîpa-nripatau sau(śau)rja-vratê dhârmmikểb ||
 Lâhinịi-nâma-
8 - - [ya]thâ tâmarasair=vvihînâ \| ûḍh=âpi yâ Vigraha-bhûbhujêna ${ }^{17}$ Satyâ yathâ pûrovam=Adhôkshajêna || [11*] Asy=ân vayô=pi || || '̂̂sî[d=*] dvijâtir= vviditô dharaṇyâm khyâta-pratâpô ripuchakra-marddî || Yôtaḥ ${ }^{18}$ sva$\operatorname{san}($ śau)ryârjjita-hhûpaśsbdah kshôṇ̂̂svarah
9 - - [ņ̣i]pa-pradhânaḅ \| [12*] Tad-anvayê khyâta-matir=nrupipô=bhût=kula-pradîpô Bhavagupta-nâmâ || udhritya vêŝmam $\quad$ Vața-vâsi-bhânôr=Vvaṭêshu râjyam kritavân=sa vîraḥ || [13*] Asy=ânvaŷe Samgamaxâja-nâmâ Vaḿśáraṭhê ${ }^{20}$ yó Badarîm śn saŝâsa || tasmâd=abhûd=Durllabharâja-bhûpaś=Chachôzpiz ${ }^{21}$ tasmâ-
10 [d=va]ra-râjaputrạ̣ \| [14*] Babhûva tasmâd=guninâanm pradhânô nrip-ôttamô Vigraharâja-nâmâ || pradânasan(šau)ryâdi-guṇair=udârair=yasô(áô) yayau yasya

[^12]vijitya lôkân || [15*] 1Dvijihvaripurahano lalanakântaramànvitah || kulôchchaya-kṛitunnatir=wvidhritachàrulakshmî-
11 vapah || svapaurashadhṛitivanir=balauivishtachakrô v._hî̀n || babhůva nṛivarôttamaḥ sa nararûpadhịin=Mâdharah || [10*] ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Prôtphnllasitakamala-mukhâm karatala-snkamâraparikaja-nibhầñ̀g]im || Śriyam=iva kulajùrì râjuîi-Láhiṇim=ûḍha-
12 vâmi ${ }^{3}$ sah $\|\left[17^{*}\right]$ Bhîryâma ${ }^{4}$ sa ch=âvàpya gaṇaị̆ samétỉn̉ chitt-êpsitâm=vai ${ }^{5}$ bubhujé cha blôgam || s=êpi prigañ prúpya patin=tu rêmê yadvach=Chbach= Iudrêna вaman varêmè́ $|\mid$ [18*]. As.ain=mritê bhartari daiva-yôgàd=bhrâtur=

13 hị̣̂e bahaśah kramệa || [19*] || Nagar-învayò=piz || || Taptain tapami ${ }^{8}$ Vâruṇin=âpi yattra nyagrô[dha-sakt-ì]šrama-mâpavasya ${ }^{9}$ || sthinnê=rlka-Bharggau svanatî̀ 10 Vasishṭhô makti-pradau sthàpitavân=varishṭhah || [20*] Tadvad=Vaṭâkhyań nagarań vanê=smin ${ }^{11}$ Tvashtuh=prasidât=kyitavainin
 satumgaị || [21*] "4Śsutimantr-ôdam=akshöbhyam sbaḍacigârartta-samikulaṃ || vêd-împuarain drijâh samyag=yattra tîrtv=îpy=agarvvitaith] || [22*] ${ }^{15}$ Lôkair= ddharmma-paraih svakarmma-nirataih sadbhih sad=êvû́sitam II
15 vrityarthain ${ }^{16}$ [cha sa P]mâgataiḥ pratidisann nityam raurigbuir=vịitam || [paa]-
 ir=âparaii Vatâ-puram kshôp̂i-talê samisthitam || [23*] 19svar-udgatâ pattra sarit=Sarasvati srapunna-pamikt=îva ${ }^{19}$ mriṇ̣̂m
16 nimajjatâm || supunyapushpôdalkaphêna-vîhinî dvij-ûsramônân ianarevadhishṭhitîin || [24*] Yêer sarvvam=pâlayanitê nagarahita-ratâh ${ }^{22}$ urtimanitéch praśánitâh || dêvân=viprân=yajamitê kanakadhanamahivastraratnâdi-dânaib || khyâtir=yêshâm cha nityan trie tri) bhurana-
17 valayê sad-gunair=êva nîtâ || tê=smin=paurâh samastâh sakalajanin-hititâ Bhânavê
 nipûlitâmgí il asmin=purề vipra-janaih samêtya triptà [tu] tischìm [ra]chanât= prabuddhâ || [26** Bhâ-
18 nôr=griharin daiva-raŝâd=vibhagnamin Vâsishṭha-pauraih nukṛilarin jad=âsit il


[^13]${ }^{1}$ Suślishṭa-samdhim ruchira[min] supâdam karnụam ${ }^{2} \|$ yadvad=Dhimâdriśs=śikbaraiśscha tadvat=kritvâ
19 nikêtam Vatan-vâsi-bhânôh Il [28*] Lôka-prap=aishấ sukçitâ cha vâpî suŝlishṭasamंdhî-ghatitôtpalầ ${ }^{3}$ cha || sôpâna-pamiktyâ suśubhê(blâ) subaddhâ
 jushṭâ pâpâpahâ vyâpya jagat=sthitâ yâ || jîrụû-
20 dhṛitầ Lâhiṇi-puṇyahêtôh Sârasvatí ŝêsha-janasya vâpî |l [30*] Nishpâdya ${ }^{5}$
 jñâtvâ lôkasya charppitan ${ }^{8}$ || [31* ${ }^{*}$ ] ${ }^{9}$ Yâvad=garr=llôka-dh [â**trî pravahati $\left[\mathrm{pa}\right.$ ? $\left.{ }^{\text {P }}\right]$ rutồ ${ }^{10}$ yâvad=arkô=ntarikshê || yâvad=vichyas=sa-
21 mudrê pavana-vidhunitâh${ }^{11}$ samitatâh prôchchhalanti l| yâvad=vyômêt ${ }^{12}$ suśighraḿm pracha[la ?]ti mihira-syamḍa(da)nasy=aika-chakrami || vâpy-ôkau tâvad=âstâm= ${ }^{18}$ nḍukara-sadrisiau śrêyasê kârakasya || [32*] || ${ }^{14}$ Ķ̣̂t=êyam Hari-puttrêṇa Mâtrisarmma-drijanmanâ || śastir $=1 l o ̂ k a-h i t a ̂-~$
rthâya Lâhinyầśscha ${ }^{15}$ hit-aishiṇâ || [33*] ${ }^{16}$ Âsîch=chs Nâgât=sthapatês=tu Durggạ̣ || Durggârkkatô Dênka-sâttradhârah || asy=âpi sûnuh Sivapâla-nâmâ || yên=ôtkrit=êyam ${ }^{17}$ suśabhâ prašastiḥ || [34*] ${ }^{18}$ Navanavatir=ih=âsîda Vikramâditya-kâlê II jagati
23 dasasasatênâm=agratô yattra pûrṇ̣̣â [|*] prabhavati Nabha-mâsê sthânakê Chittrabhânôḥ II Mṛigaśirasi suas̃ámikê krishṇa_pakshê navamyâm || [35 II"]

## No. 3.-ALUPA INSCRIPTIONS AT UDIYAVARA.

By Professor E. Hultzsoh, Ph.D.; Halle (Saale).

The ancient royal family of the Âlupas is one of those whose early history is wrapped in obscurity-'carent quia vato sacro' as Horace (Carm. IV.9) expresses it. All that was until recently known regarding them will be found on page 309 of Dr. Fleet's Dynasties of the Kanarese Districts. A few additional records of them were published by Mr. Rice in his Epigraphia Carnatica. The Âlupas or Âluvas are referred to in inscriptions of the two Western Chalukya kings Pulakêsin II. ${ }^{19}$ and Vinayâditya, of the Râshṭrakûṭa king Gôvinds III. ${ }^{20}$ and of the Kâdamba Jayakêsin I., and the poet Bilhaụa mentions them in connection with his patron Vikramâditya VI. They are thas proved to have existed as a ruling family in 'he period from the seventh to the eleventh centuries of the Christian era.

As regards the name Allupa, Dr. Bhandarkar suggested that it 'seems to be preserved in She name of the modern town of Alupai on the Malabar coast. ${ }^{21}$ This is very improbable, recause Âluvây (Alwye) is situated in Travancore, while the inscriptions of the Âlupas are

[^14]found in South Canara, Kadûr and Shimoga. The uriginal meaning of the word Âlupa or Âluva is probably 'a ruler,' from the Dravidian root $d l$, 'to rule.'

A fow individual names of kings are preserved to us. The Sorab plates of Vinayâditys were issued at the request of Gunasâgar-Âlupèndru's son Chitravâha-Mahârậa, who was in possession of the district (vishaya) of Edevolal in the N.E. of Banavasi in North Canara, Accorcing to the Harihar plates of Vinayiditya. which are dated two years after the Sorab plates, another village in the district (bhügu) of Edevolala, forming part of the Vanavâsi-maṇala, was granted at the request of Âḷuvarajana? i.e. perhaps Chitravâha-Mahârâja. The same two princes (Gunasiagara and Clitravâha) may be meant in an inscription at Kigga in the Koppa tâluk冗 of the Kadur district, ${ }^{3}$ which statos that, when Âluarasa, whose second name was Gunasâgara, was ruling the Kadamba-maṇ̣ala,- Aluarasa, (his) great queen and (his son) Chitravâhana made a grant to a local temple. Another inscription (Kp. 37), which is on the other face of the same stone, is dated while some Chitravêhana was raling Ponbuchchu, ${ }^{5}$ the modern Hamcha. ${ }^{6}$ Finally, an inscription at Maivali in the Sorab talnka of the Shimoga district states that in the time of Prabhûtavarsha Gôindarasa, i.e. the Râshtrakûṭa king Gôvinda IIr., a certain Chitravâbana ruled the Âluvakhêḍa six-thonsand, while Râjâdityarasa ruled the Banavàsi-maṇdala. ${ }^{7}$

If the Cbitravâhana of the first Eigga inseription was really the same person as the Chitravâha of the Sorab plates, it would fullow that the Âlupa or Âlua prince Gunasâgara was governor of the Kadamba-manḍala, i.e. the Banavâsi province, in or immediately before the time of the Western Chalukya king Vinayâditya, and that Gunasîgara's son Âlu varâja Chitravâha or Chitravahana (I). granted two villages in the district of Edevolal, which formed part of the Banavâsi province, during Vinayâditya's reign. Consequently Chitravâhana I. seems to have succeeded his father Gupuasâgara in the government of Banavâsi. In the time of Gôvinda III., however, the Banavâsi-maṇ̣ala had been taken from the Âlupas and was entrusted to Râjâditya, while the Âluvakheḍa six-thousand was administered by a second Chitrsvâhana, who on the strength of bis name may be assumed to bave belonged to the Àlupa family. To judge from the Mâvali inscription, he proved troublesome and had to be coerced by the force of arms. That Chitravâhana, whom the second Kigga inscription mentions as residing at Humcha, may or not be identical with this Chitravâhana II., but must be distinct from Chitravâhana I., whose capital was most probably Banavâsi. If this identification is correet, Ponbuchchu, the modern Humcha, would have been the head-quarters of the Âluvakhôda six-thousand, which in a later record is mentioned as Âlvaikhêḍa among the boundaries of the Poysala kingdom.'

Mr. Rice's volumes contain many records of certain later familics which skem to be connected with the ancient Âlupas. These are the Changâlvas, ${ }^{9}$ Kongâlvas, ${ }^{10}$ Nâdâluvas, ${ }^{11}$ Sântaras, ${ }^{12}$ and the rulers of Kalasa and Kârkala. ${ }^{13}$

In the course of a tour in 1901, Mr. H. Krishna Sastri, B.A., discovered a number of arcbaic Kanarese pillar inscriptions of the Âlnpas at Udiyâvara near Uḍipi in the South Canara

[^15]Udiyavara inscriptions.
Plate I.
I. - Scale one-sixth.
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district. Of these records I now edit the eight most complete and important ones. Regarding some difficult points in them I have consulted my old friends Venkayya and Krishna Sastri, whose remarks proved of much assistance in anravelling the meauing of these enigmatical ancient documents.

The first five Udiyâvara inscriptions are on Virakals, i.e. stones set up as memorials of deceased heroes; the remaining three refer to grants of tolls. The three first inscriptions must all belong to the same period; for Nos. I. and II. mention a certain Raṇasâgara, and Nos. II. and III. one Śvêtavâhana. These two names and, in addition to them, Chitravabana in No. I. look like those of Aluva princes, among whom we have already found a Gupasagara and two Chitravâhanas. As the alphabet resembles that of the Mâvali inscription, ${ }^{1}$ we may identify the Chitravâhana of No. I. with Chitravâhana II., who ruled the Âluvakhêda six-thousand in the time of Gôvinda III. Consequently Nos. I.-III. must be assigned to about A.D. 800 . From the first few lines of No. I. we learn that Chitravâhana II. seized Udiyâvara in the course of a war with Ranasâgara, who seems to have been a rival claimant to the throne. Nos. II. and III. refer to the storming of Udiyâvara by Śvêtavâhana, and No. II. records the death, on this occasion, of a follower of Ranasâgara. From this it may perhaps be conoluded that, after the time of the inscription No. I., Ranasâgara succeeded in ousting Chitravâhana II., but that, later on, he was in his turn defeated by Śvêtavâhana, who may have been a near relative of Ohitravâhana II.

The remaining Udiyâvara inscriptions date from the reigns of the two Âlupa, Âluva or Âlva kings Prithivisâgara (Nos. IV.-VI.) and Vijayâditya (Nos. VII. and VIII.) alias Mâramma (No. VII.). It is impossible to say at present how these two princes were connected with Chitravâhana II., Raṇasâgara and Śvêtavâhana. But, as the alphabet of their insoriptions agrees with that of Nos. I.-III., they must be assigned to about the same period.

In each of the eight subjoined inscriptions Udiyâvara is referred to by one of its older names Udayâpura (Nos. V. and VIII), Udayapura (Nos. II., III., VI., VII.) or Udeyapura (Nos. I. and IV.). In the three last it is mentioned together with Paṭi (No. VI.), Pombulecha (No. VII.) or Ponvuluoha (No. VIII.). Pattit and Pombuchcha are known to have been ancient names of the modern Humcha in the Nagar tâluka of the Shimoga district. ${ }^{3}$ This Humcha seems to have been the capital of the Allapa kings. For an insoription at Kigge is dated while Chitravâbana (II.) was ruling Ponbuchchu. ${ }^{3}$

## I.-Inscription of Chitravâhana (II.) and Ranasâgara.

This inscription (No. 94 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1901) is engraved on an octagonal pillar in front of the Śambhakallu temple at Udiŷ̂vara. It records that a follower of Chitravâhana (II.) met with his death when the 'lord of the earth' (viz. Chitravâhana) occupied and entered Udeyapura (Udiyâvara) 'during the trouble of Raṇasâgara,' i.e. in the course of a war with the latter.

TEXT.

```
Svasti śrî [|**] Ranna=
sâgaraná §aṁ(sam@)ka-
tadul=Udeyapuram
dhareg[i]]áan=paḍe-po-
g guvalli Vijana-
6 \mp@code { n a ̂ y g a r a ̂ ~ m a g a n = K a ̂ - }
```

[^16]```
ltide kadan-aggha-
Ii kâlega-kêsa-
ri kariripa-vikraman
aniyu[1*] data-
ท̣am=odḍuron=bî-
hasad=ari-chakra-
[vy û*] haman=odedon
[ \(\hat{a}^{*}\) ]havad \(=o d e[d a] n=p a-\)
ra-[ba]lad=ani Chi-
travâhanarggâgi ka-
li-kânti eni-
da svarggâlayakk=ê-
ridon [||**
```

TRANSLATION.
Hail! Prosperity! When during the trouble of Ranasâgaral the lord of the earth ${ }^{2}$ occupied and entered Udeyapura,- Vijananâyga's ${ }^{3}$ son Kâltide, (who was) eminent in war, a lion in battle, brave as a lion, applying a cattle-rope ${ }^{4}$ to the array (of his enemies), breaking the body of bold hostile armies, breaking in battle the array of the enemy's forces, ascended to the abode of heaven, having fought (with) the splendour of a bero on account of Chitravâhana.

## II.-Inscription of Raṇasâgara and Śvêtavâhana.

This inserription (No. 108 of 1901) is engraved on an octagonal pillar lying near a well in the back-yard of Râghavêndrabhatṭa's house at Udiyâvara and commemorates the death in battle of a follower of Raṇasâgara. He fell while Udayapura (Udiyâvara) was entered by Śvêtarâhana, whom I take to have been the victorious opponent of Ranasågara. The dead warrior is described as a zealous devotee of the 'lord of Pattit', and he and his two immediate ancestors as adherents of the ' Pấs upata lord.' Paṭti (or Pombrohcha) is the modern Humcha. ${ }^{6}$ It may be concluded from the present inscription that this town was the seat of the head of a Śaira matha.

THXT.
1 Svasti $\mathrm{Sr}^{\mathrm{I}}\left[\mathrm{II}{ }^{*}\right]$ Rap̣a[sâ]-
2 garanā âlu Viñjan
3 Pra[hâ]rabhûsha-
4 nanâ magan Kâ-
5 makôḍan tammuttu-
6 mûvarầ Pâŝupâ(pa)taḿ
7 namyrân g=7ôva[do]râ

[^17].
III. - Scale one-third.

IV. - Scale one-fourth.

```
8 nâlageyan ki(kî)-
lpon Patti-
10 od̛eyong= = \delta\hat{a}(va).
11 doran=pattt-ali-pâ-
12 yvon Śvêtavâha-
13 nan Udayapu-
14 ramân poguva-
15 lli enidu śva(sva)-
16 rgga(rggî)layakk=êri-
17 [don][|*
```


## TRANSLATION

Hail! Prosperity! When Svêtavâhana was entering Udayapura,- Raṇasagara's servant, Viñja Prahârabhûshaṇa's son Kâmakôda, who pulled out the tongue of those who were not attached to the Pasupata lord of those three persons themselves, ${ }^{2}$ (and) who seized, destroyed and assaulted those who were not attached to the lord of Pattici, ascended to the abode of heaven, having struck down (his enemies).

## III.- Inscription of Śvêtavahhana.

This inscription (No. 105 of 1901) is engraved on an octagonal pillar in front of the same house as the preceding inscription and commemorates the death of another horo on the same occasion.

TEXT.
1 Svasti srî [ $\|^{*}$ ] Pâṇḍyavi-
2 llarasarâ maga-
$3 n=$ Dêvu sâdu(dhu)-priyan=a.
4 sâdu(dhu)jana-varjitan=S̃vê-
5 tavâhanar=Udaya-
6 puramen-poguvalli
7 eridu svarggâlaya-
$8 \mathrm{kk}=$ êridon [1/*]

## TRANSLATION.

Hail! Prosperity! When Ŝvêtavâhana was entering Udayapura,- Pâņḍyavillarasa's son Dêva, (who was) beloved by the good (and) shunned by wicked people, ascended to the abode of heaven, having struck down (his enemies).

## IV.-Inscription of Prithivisâgara.

This inscription (No. 103 of 1901) is engraved on an octagonal pillar in the south-west corner of the court-yard of the Sambhukallu temple at Udiyâvara. It forms the memorial of a warrior who was killed when Prithivisâgara stormed Udeyapura (Udiyâvara) after his coronation.

TEXT.

```
1 Svasti tri [ [|*] Pri-
2 thivisâgars-
```

[^18]3 nmpattam gatti-
4 si Ud[e]ya-
5 puram\&n=po:
6 gutappalli Na -
7 ndavilmudiya-
8 râ magan=Pali-
9 pare eridu
10 svarggâlaya-
11 kk=êridon [ll ${ }^{*}$ ]

## TRANSLATION.

Hail! Prosperity! When Prithivisagara, having had (himself) crowned, was entering Udeyapura, - Nandavilmudi's son Palipare, having struck down (his enemies), ascended to the abode of heaven.

## V.-Inscription of Prithivisigara.

This inscription (No. 101 of 1901) is engraved on an ootagonal pillar in the court-sard of the same temple and records that another follower of the Âlupa king Prithivisâgara fell at the storming of Ūdayâpura (Udiyârara).

TEXT.
1 Svasti srif [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Prithu(thi)visãgara
2 śrimad-Âlupêndra dushta-bhaya-
3 ikarargge ishṭa-bbrityan=appa Po.
4 lokku Priyachelva [J]da[yâ]-
5 puraman pugutappa-
6 lli âha[pa](va)-ra[nga]du]=uru-
7 bhatara [e]ridu Priyache-
8 lva chelva-śanpanna ${ }^{1} \mathrm{ka}(\mathrm{kha}) \mathrm{la}-$
9 [ja*]na-varjjitan dharegi(gt)-
10 [sa*]ige ôvadora pata-
11 [t]iys alidu suralô-
12 kakke êridan [ ${ }^{*}$ ] Keleya
13 Vâ[[e]reyan ninisida [|l*]

## TRANBLATION.

Hail! Prosperity! When Polokka Prijachelva, who was the beloved servant of Prithivisâgara, the glorious Alupêndra, the terror of the wioked, was entering Udayâpura,(this) Prijachelva, (who was) endowed with beanty (and) shunned by wioked people, ascended to the rorld of the gods, having struck down great warriors on the stage of battle (and) having destroyed the foot-soldiers ${ }^{2}$ of those who were not attached to the lord of the earth. ${ }^{3}$ (His) friend Vâlereya set up (this memoriul stone). ${ }^{4}$

## VI.- Inscription of Prithivisagara.

This inscription (No. 102 of 1901) is engraped on another octagonal pillar in the courtyard of the same temple. It opens with the name and birudas of the Alupa or $\hat{A}$ quva king

[^19]

Scale one-fourth.
iltzsch.


Prithivisâgara, who claimed descent from the lnnar race and bore the surnames Udayâditya and Uttama-Pândya, and records that he confirmed a previous grant of tolls to the two cities (nagara) of Udayapura (Udiyâvara) and Paṭi. The last is evidently the same as Paṭiti, the modern Humcha. ${ }^{1}$ The imprecatory passage at the end of this inscription and of the two next ones (VII. and VIII.) mentions two sacred places: Vâranâśi and Şivavalli. The former is of course the modern Benares. Regarding the second, Mr. Krishna Sastri contributes the following information:-
"The Shivalli (Sivallii) sect of Brâhmanas in South Canara take their name from this place. In the Madras Manual of Administration, Vol. III, p. 610, we are told that the town Oodipy (Udipi), 'considered the most sacred spot in the Canarese country,' is 'formed of parts of Badagabett, Moodanidambore, Poollore and Shivally villages.' In the Madras Postal Directory Shivalli figures as a village served by the Uḍipi post office."

## TEXT.

1 Svasti śrî [ $1 \|^{*}$ ] Pridhu(thi)visâgara
2 grîmad-Âlupêndra Sômavamisô-
3 dbhava kulatilakan Udayâditya
4 Uttama-Pâṇạya śrìmad-Âluvara[sa]-
5 r-B[ô]ygavarmara nâtu-mudimeyu[l]
6 Udayapurada nâ(na)gara-sahitam Pa-
7 țiya nagarakke jaladulam sthala[du].
8 laḿn sumikam=ardha-dâna kâdar [!*] Udaya[pu].
9 ranâygara magan=[S]iñgadattanu[mi] Ku[mâ].
10 ra Ereganum Ranavikrama[nâtha]-
11 nu Sandavaradara Kaṇachiyu[mim [1*] [I].
12 du $a(\hat{a})$ chandrathâ(tâ) rakam nilpu[d $=a]$ ke (kke) [ $1 *]$
13 Idan=vakram-illâde kâdu sali[po]-
$14 n={ }^{2}$ aśvamêdhada pa(pha)la-prâpti aku(kkuni) [ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$
15 Idan=alivon= Vâraṇa (ṇâ) $̂ i y u m i n ~ S i(s i)$ va-
16 vaḷiyumanaalida pańcha[ma]-
$17 \mathrm{ha}(\mathrm{hâ})$ pâtaka-sam( sam ) yuktar=appar [ [ $\|^{*}$ ]

## TRANSLAATION.

Hail! Prosperity 1 During Bôygavarma's headmanship of the district, ${ }^{3}$ Prithivisaigara, the glorious Âlupendra, who sprang from the race of the Moon, the ornamont of (his) family, Jdayâditya Uttama-Pâṇyya, the glorious Âluvarasa, confirmed the gift of one half (of) ;he tolls both on water and on land to the city of Pați, together with the city of Udayapura. 'The recipients of this gift were) ${ }^{4}$ Ddayaparanâyga's ${ }^{6}$ son Siñgadatta; Kamâra Erega, Zanavikrama[nâtha], and Sandavarada's (son) Kaṇ̣achi." Let this stand as long as the moon and the stars! (To) him who withont fraud confirms and grants this, shall be the acquisition of ihe fruit of a horse-sacrifice. He who destroys this, shall be covered with the five great sins (of ne) who destroys Vâraṇâs̃i and Sivava!̣l.

[^20]
## VII.-Inscription of Vijayaditya Màramme,

This inscription (No. 98 of 1901) is engraved on two contiguous faces of another octagonal pillar in the court-yard of the same temple. Like the preceding inscription, it confirms a previous grant of tolls to the two cities of Udayapars (Udiyâvara) and Pombuloha (Humcha). The Âlupa or Âlva king who confirmed this grant was called Vijayâditya Mâramma. Like the Prithivisâgara of the preceding inscription, he bore the surname U ttama-Pânc̣ya and traced his descent from the lunar race. Besides, he claimed the sovereign titles Paramếvara and Adhirajarâảa.

TEXT,
1 Ôm $\dot{m}^{1}$ svasti sirî [II*] Vija[y]âditya Âlu-
pêndra paramêsva(ŝva)ra âdbîr[̂̂̀ $]^{2}$ jarâjan Uttama-Pândyyan=Śô(sô)mavamóódbhava ári-Mâramm-Âlvarasar [U] ${ }^{3}$
Udayapurada naka(ga)ra-sahitáa Pombulıchada naka(ga)rakke suǹka kâdudu sañkurakke [pu]ttige ondare malavege pa-
lati padinârur palam adakeya pê-
ringa(inge) mûn[â]ra velasina pêrínge
padina(nâ)ru pala[min] ["*] Idâ paḍedor Su-
sênavadiyara Svarṇ̣agôsasi Mutta-
varara Adiyapasettịizu Mañdukara
Paraaêbyan Sênavadiyara Nagaktuâran [1*]

attaguṇa asva(śva)mêda(dha)da pa(pha)lam=akke [1*]
Idan=alido B[ā]ranâsiyu Śivavalliyu-
ma alidぇ pañchamaha(hâ)pâtakan=ak[r](kkumi) [!*]
Raṇadhâri-likhita $\|^{4}$

## TRANSLATION.

Ö̈n. Hail! Prosperity! Vijayâditya Âlupêndra Paramếsara Adhirájarája UttamaPâṇ̣ya, who sprang from the race of the Moon, the glorious Mâramm-Âlvarasa, confirmed the tolls (due) to the city of Pombuleha together with the city of Udayapura, (viz.) per double bag (of grain), ${ }^{5}$ one and a half basket ${ }^{3}$ (of grain) ; per malava ${ }^{7}$ (of cotton), sixteen pala (of) cotton; per load of areca-nuts, three handred (nuts) ; (and) per load of pepper, ${ }^{8}$ sixteen pala (of pepper). They who obtained this (were) Susênavadi's (son) Svarnagôsasi,9 Muttavara's (son) Aḍiyapasettic, Manḍuka's (son) Parasêbya, (and ) Sênaradi's (son) Nagakumâra. Let this stand as long as the moon and the stars ! ( $T_{0}$ ) him who confirms this, let there be the eightfold fruit of a horse-sacrifice! ( $T_{0}$ ) him who destroys this, shall be the five great sins (of one) who destroys Bâraṇâsi and Śsivavaḷ̣i. Written by Rap̣adhâri.

[^21] note 2.

Udiyavara inscriptions.
VII.


8

VIII.

Scale one-fourth.
E. Hultzsch.

## VIII.-Inscription of Vijayâditya.

This inscription (No. 97 of 1901) is found on the same pillar as the preceding one (VII.). It is engraved on the same two contigaous faces as VII., but begins at the opposite end of the pillar, which mast have been placed upside down when the new inscription (VIII.) was incised on it. A third face of the pillar bears six lines of writing ( $15-20$ ) which appear to be connected with VIII. This circumstance enables us to conclude that VIII. was engraved at a later date than VII. When the writer of VIII. had reached line 14, he was confronted with the end of the inscription VII., and was therefore obliged to fnish his copy on another face of the pillar.

The contents of VIII. resemble those of VII. The king is the same; only one of his names, Mâramma, is omitted here. He is stated to have confirmed the same grant of tolls to the two cities of Udayâpura (Udiyâvara) and Ponvulgcha (Humcha). But the names of the local representatives receiving the grant differ from those in VII. This shows that some time must have elapsed between the incision of both records, although both belong to the same reign.

TEXT.
First and Second Faces.

```
Svasti srì [||*] Vijayâdhi(di)tyan
Âlupêndra paramêsva(ŝva)ra \hat{a}(a)-
dhî(dhi)râjarâjan Uttama-
```



```
Âluvarasar Arakellarâ
nâṭu-mudq(di)meyul Udayâ-
purada naka(ga)ra-sahitaḿ Ponvulcha-
da naka(ga)rakke suṅkadâ ardda(rddha)-[dâ]na
kâ[daha] avargge attaguṇa
asva(śva)mêda(dha)da pa(pha)lam=akkuḿm [l*] Idâ
[pa*]dedor Muttavarera* Saruvigôsâsiga
Kodalsetب̣iyarâ Madâmman Vija[s]e-
ṭiggarâ Dharmmanâygan=Maṇugasâ-
ttavar Sarvvavandu Paleyarmman [1*]
```

Third Face.
15 I okkal=paded[a]-
16 vu [1"] Iđâ alivo
17 Sivavalliyu ${ }^{3}$ Va-
18 rậâsiyuman
19 alida pañchama-
20 hâpâtakan=akkum ${ }^{4}$ [ $\|^{*}$ ]

## TRANSLATION.

Hail! Prosperity! The eightfold fruit of a horse-sacrifice shall be to him-Vijayâditya Alupêndra Paramésvara Adhiràjarâja Uttama-Pâṇ̣ya, who sprang from the race of the Moon, Aluvarasa- who, during Arakella's headmanship'of the district, ${ }^{5}$ confirmed the gift of

[^22]one half of the tolls to the city of Ponvulcha together with the city of Udayâpura. They who obtained this (were) Mnttsvara's (son) Saruvigôsâsiga, Kodalsettil's (son) Madâmma, Vijabeṭti's (son) Dharmanâyga, ${ }^{1}$ Maṇugasâttava, Sarvavandn ${ }^{2}$ (and) Puleyarma. These ryots obtained (it). (To) him who destroys this, shall be the five great sins (of one) who destroys Sivavalli and Vârâuâsi.

# No. 4.-TWO GRANTS OF INDRARAJA III; <br> SAKA-SAMVAT 836. 

By D. R. Bhandarear, M.A.
These two epigraphic documents were first brought to the notice of the students of Indian antiquities by the late H. H. Dhruva, who published a transcript of them with his remarks in the Zeitschr. D. Morg. Ges. Vol. XL. p. 322 ff. They were afterwards edited with lithographs by Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar, with a translation by Mr. (now Prof.) S. R. Bhandarkar, in the Journ. Bo. Br. R. As. Soc. Vol. XVIII. p. 253 ff. About three years ago, when Prof. Haltzsch first thought of having the inscriptions re-edited in this Journal, no trace whatever of them conld be found, and it was on a chance visit to the State Maseum at Baroda in 1903 that I saw the plates exhibited there. On my informing Prof. Hultzsch of their whereabouts, he asked Rai Bahadur Venkayya to obtain them on loan through the Resident at Baroda from the Curator of the Baroda State Museum, and I re-edit the inscriptions at the suggestion of Prof. Hultzsch, and from the excellent ink-impressions supplied by Rai Bahadur Venkayja.
[Along with the two sets of plates, two seals were received from the Resident at Baroda in Decomber 1903. As the seeals had been detached from the plates, it was not possible to ascertain which seal belonged to which set. Bat before returning the plates and seals on the 7 th December 1904, I put down some notes about them, of which the following is a copy.
[The plates measure on an average $13^{\prime \prime}$ by $99^{3 \prime \prime}$. The rings bearing both the seals had been cut before they were received in my office. The larger of the two seals measures about $3^{\prime \prime}$ by $2 \frac{7}{8}^{\prime \prime}$. The ring whose ends are secured at the bottom of the seal is $3 \overline{8}^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter and $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ thick. The seal bears, in relief on a countersunk surface, as the principal figure, an image of Garuḍa - whose wings are distinctly seen - squatting on a seat which is probably meant to consist of two serpents. These appear to entwine his waist and to terminate in his hands, each of which is holding a hood. What looks like his sacred thread is perhaps a third serpent. The Garuda faces to the full front and has on his proper right a representation of Ganapati in the upper corner, lower down a chauri, and below it a lamp. On the proper left in the upper corner is a goddess riding on a lion, and below the lion a suastika surmounted by a chanri. On each side of the head of Garuda is a circle which may be meant for the sun and moon. Below the squatting Garuda is an inscription which is not quite distinct, but which seems to be रोमभिल्यवर्षंदवस्य. Along the margin of the seal is a border of varions indistinct emblems, among which a linga and an elephant-goad are recognisable. The emblems on the smaller seal, which measures about $1 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ by $1 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, are also cut in relief on a countersunk surface, but are not quite distinct. The central fignre is Garudas, squatting, as in the bigger seal, apparently on a couch consisting of two serpents, which seem to entwine his waist and to terminate in his nands. Each of the hands of Garuda appears to hold a hood. What looks like his sacred thread may be a third serpent. To his proper right at the upper corner is a projection which may stand for Gauapati, and below it is a lamp stand. To the proper left at the upper corner is another

[^23]projection, which is perhaps intended to represent a goddess. Below the goddess is a lamp stand above a svastika. The ring whose ends are secared at the bottom of the smaller seal measures $3^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter and $\frac{1_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in thickness. Two sets of impressions of each of the two inscriptions were sent to Mr. D. R. Bhandarkar in August 1903.-V.V.]

As regards the find-spot of the plates, H. H. Dhruva, who first edited them, says:-"On the 6th of July 1881, as a Dubla servant of Mulji Khushal, Patel of Bagumrê, was furrowing the earth with the plongh in his field, the plonghshare drew ont of it these plates." This clearly shows that the plates were found at Bagumrí, and consequently we must, as was first puinted out by Prof. Kielhorn, ${ }^{1}$ speak of them as Bagumrâ, and not as Nansârî, charters of A.D. 915 .

Each of the two sets consists of three copper-plates, which are, to judge from the impressions, about $13^{\prime \prime}$ long and $9^{\prime \prime}$ broad. The inscription is engraved on the inner sides of the first and third plates, and on both sides of the second plate. The engraving is clear and well executed. The characters belong to the northern class of alphabets. For some of the forms of individual letters attention may be drawn to $g$ in gatasya and svarggain, 1.13 ; to $\tilde{n}$ in lẫ̃chhana, 1. 8 , and rajjnah. 1. 13 ; to $m$ in ${ }^{\circ} m=a n y a t=p u{ }^{\circ}, 1.17,{ }^{\circ} m=a n a n d i, 1.37$, and grânakûta, 1. 46 ; to $b h$ in $b h \hat{p} a h h, 1.28$; and to $s$ in samtarppaná, 1.57 , so far as the first record is concerned ;
 and to $b h$ in kaustubha, 1. 2, so far as the second record is concerned. The language is Sanskrit thronghout. In respect of orthography, the following points may be noticed. The letter $b$ is throughout denoted by the sign for $v$; consonants are doubled after $r$; visarga has been (permissibly) omitted once before the following sth in vaksha-sthala, 1.2 of both grants; $n$ has been substituted for $\tilde{n}$ in kianchî, 1.11 of No. II. ; visarga followed by $s$ has been at least thrice changed to that letter in Ranavigrahas=samabhava ${ }^{\circ}$, 1, 33, ${ }^{\circ}$ palakshitas =sôdramgal, 1. 51, and ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ akshais $s=s a ̂ r d d h a i h, 1.55$ of the second record; anusvára followed by a nasal has been twice changed to that letter in sammânita, l. 18 of No. I. and 1.20 of No. II., and in gôp-angananalnanayana-, 1.6 of No. I.; the dental nasal has been nsed instead of an anusvâra before $s$ in sansati, l. 25 of No. I. and l. 27 of No. II. Lastly, the same word is spelt lânchhana in No. I. 1. 8, but lâmchhana in No. II. 1.9.

Each of these two records registers the grant of a village to a Brâhmaña, made by the Râshtrakûṭa king Indrs III. or, as he is described in lines 43-45 of No. II., ' the P.M.P., the prosperous Nityavarshanarendradêva, who meditated on the feet of the P.M.P., the prosperous Akâlavarshadêva,' i.e. his grandfather Krishna II. Indra III. had, when the grants were made, gone to Kurundaka from his capital Mânyakhéta for the pattabandha ${ }^{2}$ festival. On that occasion he had himself weighed against gold, and, without coming out from the pan, gave away, together with twenty lakhs and a half of drammas, Kurundaka and other villages, granted afresh four handred villages resumed by previous rulers, and finally bestowed the village of Tenna, according to No. II., on a Brâhmaṇa of the Lakshmaṇa gôtra, a stadent of the Vâji-Mâdhyandina sadkha, and named Siddhapabhatṭa, the son of Śxî-Vennapabhattea, originally of Pâtaliputra; and the village of Umvara (or Umbarâ), according to No. I., on a Brahmaṇa of the same gôtra and student of the same sâkha as the above grantee, but named Prabhâkarabhatta, the son of Rânapabhatṭa. The charters are dated, in words, on the 7th tithi of the bright fortnight of Phalguna of the Yuva-samvatsare, the Saka year 836 (expired), which corresponds to the 24th February A.D. 915.

After the introductory $\hat{o} \dot{m}$ svasti and the opening verse invoking the protection of Vishṇu and Śiva with which almost all the Râshṭrak̂ṭa grants begin, we have verse 2 in praise of

[^24]the god Krishna. In the verse following we find Indrarajodeta (III.), the royal grantor of tne charters, compared to the god Upendra (Vishṇu). In verse 4 we are informed that the god Brahman sprang from the water-lily in the navel of Vishṇ, from Brahman his son Atri, from Atri the Fioon, and from the Moon the dynasty of tho Yadus, where Krisbna was born. In the next verbe we are told that there arose king Dantidurga in the Sâtyaki branch of the Yadu dyaasty, to whom of herself repaired the goddess of sovereignty of the Châlukya family. This means that Dantidurga was the first Râshṭrakâṭa king who defeated the Châlukyas and made himself master of their dominions. From verse 6 , if we notice the double entondre clearly intended, we learn that Dantidurga first reduced the lowermost, i.c. southern, country, then turned his arms agninst the Madhyadésa, and finally conquered the city of Kâñchi. According to an inscription in the Daśâvatâra cave at Elurâ, ${ }^{1}$ Dantidurga subdued the rulers of Kâũchî̀, Kalinga, Kốala, Śrişaila, Mâlava, Lâta, Ṭaíka, and so forth. If we are xight in understanding verse 6 as we have done, Dantidurga first gained victories in the South and couquered the kings of Srisaila, Kalinga, and so forth, then tarned to the central part of Iudia and subjugated tho princes of Kôsala, Mâlava, Lata, and so forth, and finally came back again to the South and vanquished the lord of Kâñohî.

Verse 8 tells us that after Dantidurga his paternal uncle Krishṇaraja (I.) came to the throne. The next velse makes mention of his son Nirupama(-Dhruva), but omits the name of his elder brother Gorvinda II., probably because the author of the inscription wanted to give a direct genealogy of the royal grantor, with reference to whom Gôvinda II. was a collateral prince, while he mentioned the name of Dantidurga as the latter was the founder of the dynasty. But by no means can this omission be taken as farouring the view that Gôvinda II. did not reign. Thave elsewhere adduced reasons for dissenting from this view ; ${ }^{2}$ and in support of my position may now be stated the incontrovertible fact that the Dhulià copper-plate grant ${ }^{8}$ of Gôvinda II.'s nephen and feudatory Suvarnavarsha-Karka distinctly refers itself to his reign, and gives the date Śaka 701, when we must consequently suppose Gôvinda II. to have been alive and wielding sapremacy. Verse 10 informs us that Nirupama(-Dhruva) won two white parasols in battle, one from the lord of Kôsela and the other from the king of the North, Who these princes were we have no means to determine. But it looks tempting to identify the king of the North either with the Indrîyudha montioned in the Jaina Harivainsa, or with Chakrâyudha, the raler of Kanauj and contemporary of Dharmapâla of the Pâla, and of Gôvinda III. of the Râbltrrakutta, dynasty. ${ }^{4}$

Verse 11 says that from Nirupama(-Dhruva) sprang Jagattunga(-Gôvinda III.), who, in his turn, begat Śrivallabha(-Amôghavarsha I.). The next verse tells us that Amoghavarsha

[^25]I. raised the glory of the Ratta sovereignty immersed in the ocean of the Chalukyas and thereafter assumed the epithet Viranârayana. If we read between the linoe, we cannot fail to notice that the Râshṭrakûṭa sovereignty had been shaken by the Chalukyas of Vêngí to its very fonndations in the early part of Amôghavarsha's reign. The Chalukya contemporary of Amôghavarsha I. was Narềdramrigarâja-Vijayâditya II., ${ }^{1}$ who, in an Eastern Chalukya record, is represented to have fought, during twelve years, by day and night, a hundred and eight battles with the armies of the Gangas and the Rattas. The latter can be no other than the Râshṭrakûṭas of Mâlkhêd, and it thus appears that NarêndramruigarầjaVijayâditya II. was a powerful king. We can, therefore, very well understand that he might have for a time eclipsed the glory of the Râshtrakûṭas. Amôghavarsha. I., however, was by no means slow to retrieve his lost repatation, and seems to have wreaked a terrible vengeance upon the Chalukyas, whom, as verse 13 informs us, he destroyed, just as a man burns chick-pea plants, the stalks of which have been pulled out by the root. That he inflicted a severe defeat on the Eastern Chalukyas can also be seen from the Cambay and Sângli charters, ${ }^{2}$ in which he is said to have gratifed the god Yama with unprecedented morsels of cakes which were the Chalukyas. Verse 13 incidentally gives us the information, if my interpretation is correct, that the Chalukyas whom Amôghavarsha I. vanquished had devastated Stambapura, which is the same as Tâmralipta, identified with the modern Tamlak, the head-quarters of the subdivision of the same name of the Midnâpur district, Bengal.

From Śrivallabha(-Amôghavarsha I.), who was a comet of destruction to the Chalukya family (v. 14), sprang Krishṇarâja (II.), whose fights with the Gûrjaras used to be still remembered by old men, as we are informed in verse 15 . I have elsewhere pointed out that the Gûrjaras, with whom the Rêshṭrakûtas were often at war, ruled over Northern India and had their capital at Mahôdaya or Kanauj, and consequently the Gûrjara prince defeated by Krishṇarâja II. (A.D. 888-911) must have been Mahêndrapala (A.D. 899-907), the patron of the poet Râjaśêkhara.

Krishṇarâja II. had a son of the name of Jagattunga ( v .16 ), who married Lakshmi, the daughter of Ranavigraha, the son of Kokkalla of the Haihaya, i.e. Kalachuri, dynasty (vv. 17-19). It is worthy of note that Raṇavigraha is here called Chëd-iśvara, i.e. lord of Chêdi. The same fact is hinted by a verse in Jahlaṇa's Sûktimulitávalí, quoted by Dr. Bhandarkar in his paper on the Karhad plates of Krishṇa III., ${ }^{3}$ which purports to say that of rivers the Narmadâ, of kings Ranavigraha, and of poets Surânanda were the ornaments of Chêdi. The name Ranavigraha does not occur in the list of the names of the Kalachuris of Chêdi. From a Ratanpur inscription, ${ }^{4}$ however, we learn that Kokkalla had eighteen sons, of whom the first-born was a ruler of Tripuri, and the others lords of mandalas, i.e. minor chiefs. If this statement deserves any credence, Ranavigraha, being a ruler of Tripuri, i.e. of Chêdi, and not of a mandala, was the eldest son, and the successor of Kokkalla. But from the Benares copper-plate inscription ${ }^{5}$ it appears that Kokkalla was followed by his son Mugdha-tunga-Prasiddhadhavala. We may, therefore, suppose that Ranavigraha and MugdhatuúgaPrasiddhadhavala were one and the same prince.

The issue of the marriage of Jagattanga with Lakshmi was Indraraja (III.), whose epithets Ratṭa-Kandarpadêva and Srî-Kirti-Nârâyaṇ are mentioned in verses 20 and 21. The next verse contains a double entendre, and so far as its mythological sense goes, it does not present any difficulty. But the historical sense of this verse is by no means clear. This much is certain that it records the defeat of a king of the name of Upêndra by the Râshṭrakûṭa prince Indrarâja III. Bat who this Upêndra was, and how the epithets kerita-

[^26]Gơvardhan-ôdlhâra and hêl-ônmûlita-Mêru, used in connection with the kings Upêndra and Indrarâja respectively, are to be interpreted, is far from clear. At one time I thought that Upôndra referred to Mahîpâla of the Pratihâra dynasty of Mahôdaya, for whom I then contended that the Bhâgalpur grant of the Pâla dynasty gave the other name Chakrâyudha. ${ }^{1}$ But I have stated above that, beyond all donbt, Dharmapâla and Chakrâyndha, whom he re-instated on the throne, were contemporaries of the Râshtrakûtạa prince Gôvinda III. ${ }^{2}$ Chakrâyudha cannot, therefore, be identified with Mabipâla, who was a contemporary of Indra III, the great-greatgrandson of Gôvinda III. According to Pandit Bhagwanlal Indraji, ${ }^{3}$ the word Mêru in thi expression hêl-ônmûlita-Mêru signifies Mèra or Mêhra, and the whole expression speaks of the defeat of some contemporary Mêhra king of North Kâṭhiâwâd by Indrarâja III. Prof. Kielhorn, on the other hand, holds that Mêru probably is Mahôdaya, ${ }^{4}$ i.e. Kananj, implying thereby that it records the capture of Kanauj by Indrarâja III. specified in the Stinglì charter. With regard to the other expression, viz. lerita-Gôvardhan-ôddhâra, no interpretation has been proposed, and we must wait for the publication of other inscriptions to enable us to understand perfectly the historical sense of this verse.

In the preamble of the prose passage preceding the formal part of the inscription, the P. M. P. Sriman-Nityavarshanarêndradêva, i.e. Indrarâja III., is spoken of as "meditating on the feet" of the P. M. P. Srimad-Akalavarshadêva, i.e. his grandfather Krishṇa II. This indicates that Jagattanga, the father of Indrarâja III., did not come to the throne. The same may be concluded from the statement of our inscriptions that the battles of Krishnaraja II. were remembered and described by old men in Indra III.'s time. This shows that hardly a generation had passed since the occurrence of that event, and that consequently there was no Râshtrak $\hat{u} t \mathbf{a}$ sovereign intervening between Krishṇa II. and Indra III. The same conclusion is pointed to by the fact that the Khârêpâtan grant of Raṭarâja, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ in setting forth the Râshtrakata genealogy, takes the succession direct from Krishna II. to Indra III, and refers to Jagattuagga only farther on as the father of Amoghavarsha-Vaddiga. But our conclusion is placed beyond all doubt by the Dêolî and Karhậ plates of Krishụa III., ${ }^{6}$ which distinctly speak of Jagattunga as having died without obtaining the sovereignty.

The composer of our inscriptions was Trivikramabhattea, the son of Nêmâditya. There can hardly be a doubt that he is identical with Trivikramabhatta, the author of the Nalachampa, of the ŚÂṇ̂ilya gôtra, and the son of Nêmêditya (var. lect. Dê̂âditya). ${ }^{7}$ Another Sạndilya-kavi-chakravartin Trivikrama was the sixth ancestor, of the astronomer Bhâskarabhatta, a contemporary of king Bhôja of Dhârâ. The oldest mention of Trivikrama is in Bhôja,'s Sarasvatîkanthâbharana, while he himself quotes Bâṇa. The anthorship of a Madâlasâ-champa is also attributed to this Trivikrama.

As regards the localities mentioned in the grants, Paṭaliputra from where the grantee of No. II. emigrated is obviously Patṇa, the principal town of the district of the same name in Bengal, and Mânyakhêta, the capital of the royal grantor, is Mâlkhệ in the Nizam's Dominions. Kurundaka, where Indrarâja III. had repaired for his pattabandha, was first identified by Mr. A. M. T. Jackson with Kurundwậ at the junction of the Krishṇ̂ and Pañchawgaingâ in the Sonthern Marâtha country. ${ }^{s}$ In No. I. the village granted is Umvara (or Umbarâ) near Kammanijja in the country of Lata, and the boundaries specified are Tôlêjalca to E., Môgalikâ to S., Samkì to W., and Javalakúpaka to N. Umvarâ. as was first pointed

[^27]out by Dr．Bhandarkar，is the modern Bagumrâ，with the prefix bag．${ }^{1}$ Tôlêjaka and Môgalikâ cannot be identified，but Samikî and Javalakûpaka are Sanki，one mile S．W．of Bagumrâ， and Jolwa，one and a half mile N．of Bagumrâ．In No．II．the village granted is Tenna near Kammanijja，and the boundaries specified are Vârac̣apallikâ（or Bâraḍa ${ }^{\circ}$ ）to E．，Nâmbhitațaka to S．，Valî́sâ（or Baliş̂â）to W．，and Vavviyaṇa（or Babbiyaṇa）to N．They have been identified with Ten，Bârḍoll，Nadida，Wanesa and Baben respectively．Kammanijja，in the vicinity of which lay both the villages granted，is Kâmrêj．All these villages are to be found in the Nausârî division of the Baroda State．The Bagumrâ grant of the Gujarât Râshtrakûta Dhruva II．mentions Trennâ，both as a village and a territorial division，and speaks of the village of Trennâ as having been bestowed upon a certain Brâhmana by his grandfather Dhrova I．（A．D．834－35）．＂The explanation of its being given away again by the present record，＂as Dr．Fleet has rightly said，＂is，no doubt，to be found in the statement，made in the present record，that Indra III．gave away four hundred villages which had been confiscated by previous kings ；this was evidently one of them．＂${ }^{2}$

TEXT ${ }^{3}$ OF No．I．
First Plate．
1 सस्सि ${ }^{4}$［11］स ${ }^{5}$ वोव्यादेधसा धाम यन्नाभिकमलं क्षतं । हरश यस्स कान्तेन्नुकलया कमलंक्ततम् ॥［ $?^{*}$ ］जयति ${ }^{6}$
2 ＂वितुध्वन्षुर्बिध्यविस्तारिवन्तस्यविमलविलोलल्कोस्तुभ：कंसकेतुः ।－मुखसरसिज－ र⿳亠丷厂彡 यस्स नृ－
3 ल्यन्ति लच्चन्वा：स्मरभरपरितम्यत्तारकास्ते कटात्ता：॥［२＊］स $\mathbb{Z}^{s}$ नयति भुजदखसमंग्ययम्शी：समर－
4 समुदृतदुर्वरारिचक：। अपह्हतवलिमख्डलो वृसिंह：सततसुपेन्द्र घबेन्द्रशाज－ देव：॥［₹＊］
5 अस्तं ${ }^{10}$ गीनाथनाभिस्मुर्यु［ฤ］सरसाभोजजन्मा सयंभू（1）स्तष्मादच्चिः सु－ तोभुदम्टतकरपरिस्य－
6 द्द $^{11}$ दन्दुस्ततोपि । तम्माइं［श्शो］यदूनां जगति स वधधि यस्य तैस्सेबि． लासी：शाक्गों गोपः्ञनानाश्र－
7 यनकुवलयैर्चर्मानखचार ॥［8＊］［त］बान्वयर्य ${ }^{19}$ विततसात्यकिवंशजन्भा गीद－ न्विटुर्ग्गत्टप－
8 तिः पुरुषोत्तमीमूत् । चाल़क्यवशजलक्ष：${ }^{13}$ खयमेव लन्म्मीय घंखचक्र［कर］－ लान्क्न－

[^28]3 माजगाम ॥ [2** ब्ल[वा]स्सदं छृदयन्हारिजघन्धभागी खेर पुनमंदु च मध्ये
10 यं [ ${ }^{*}$ ] यस्यासमस्य [सम]₹ वसुवाद्रनाया: कांचीपदे प[द]मकारि भूष: : [ $\left.{ }^{*}{ }^{*}\right]$ ग्रा' सेतो: सानुव-
 ब्रादितान्तात् ।
 तलबुउज्जा-
13 नवो मानयन्ति ॥ [**] जिखार जर्गत्रिजभुजे[न पु]नर्जिगीषो: सर्गर्ग $\mathbf{f}$ मिव तस्स गतस्य राज्तः । तना-
 ब्नुन्दरीवदन्वान्दनपच-
15. अंग्लीलाय[म]ानवनविस्तृतकान्तकीत्त: । ग्रीराप्रक्रूटक्रुलेलमलंकरिषोगे क दभू-
10 निकपमो निरददर्योर्यः 11 [थ*] कीर्त्त:" कुन्टरव: ${ }^{5}$ समस्तभुवनम्रस्सान सितो लच्च्मा:

Second Plate; First Side.
17 लचन्या:" पारितल विलासकमलं पूर्णान्दुविक्बयुति’ । एकं कंपितकत? व्वरकरादाच्छिब्रमन्चत्वु.
 न्षुंगी जब्म चम्मानि-
19 त[fिज]: । सोपि ग्रीवल्कमं सूनुं राजराजमजीजनत् ॥ [?ใ*] निए [य] ग्रतुक्याब्ब ${ }^{10}$ रहृराज्यस्रि-
20 यं पुन: [1*] पृथ्यीमिवोहरन्वीरी ${ }^{11}$ वोरनाराययोभबत् ॥ [२₹*] समूलोग्मूई स्तम्बान्दख्ंनानी ${ }^{12}$
 कम्द्लकालके-

| ${ }^{1}$ Metre: Sragdharal $\quad 2$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पबस $^{\circ}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{8}$ Metre: Vasantatilakâ; and of the next verse. | * Metre : Śárdulavikríditere. |
| ${ }^{6}$ One of the two circles of the visarga has been omitted. |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ The repetition of this word is auperfluous. | 7 Reed ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{f}$ विष्य ${ }^{\circ}$. |
| ${ }^{8}$ Corrected by the engraver from ग्येसातापष्\%'. |  |
| - Metre : Sldsa (Anushṭabl) ; and of the next two verees, |  |
|  |  |
| ${ }^{14}$ Metro 1 Vasantatilakas. | मean दreqव. |

Bagumra plates of Indraraja III.-First set.
$i$.

iib


# F(A) <br>  <br> \& <br>  <br>  <br>    <br>  

22 तोस्तम्मादक्धष्याचरितीजनि क्षष्याराज: 1 पीतापि कएयांपुट कूस [स] क्नच्जने[न] कीर्ति: परिभ्न-
23 मति यस्य शभाॠकान्ति: ॥ [2४*] 'उद्यद्दीधितिरनज़ालजटिलं व्याद्धष्टमीदृग्धन्नः (1) क्रुष्छेनोप-
24 रि वैरिवीरशिरसामेवं विमुक्ता: भरा:। धारासारिशि से[न्द्र]चापवलये यस्ये-
 घजनि ${ }^{4}$ जनि-
26 तभङ्गो वैरिप्व[न्द]स्य तस्मादर्धरितमदनग्री: ग्रीजगत्तुंग[द] $]$ [: । छ्व $]$ जसरसि-
27 जशंखम्रोक्नसक्चकाषिर्व्विभवर्वितितविष्युर्बंद्नभो वीर[ल]क्ष्या: ॥ [१द*] [मा]-सीलीप्य-
 काल्ड.
 रसेन सि-
 ल्मजो ${ }^{8}$ राजा श्रीर.
31 यविश्रह्ह: समभवचेदीग्यर: कीत्तिमान् । यस्यारातिपुरंधिमख्जन मुष: सर्व्वीपि पृथ्वीप-
 गयार्बेर्बिष्फुराक्षा ${ }^{-10}$
33 मधाम्न: कलितकमलपारिस्सस्य बच्की: सुताभूत् 1 यदुकुलकुमुदेन्द्र: सुन्द्रीचित्तः्रारी
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34 हरिरिव परिणिन्ये तो अगत्तुंगदेव: ॥ [द८*] चतुकदषितटा[न्त]स्यातर्भोर्योंथ ताभ्यामभवदरि-
35 घरही रहकम्दर्पंशेव: 1 मनसि बर्तनिवास: कान्तसीमन्तिनीनां सकलजनมरए: पु-
36 स्सलावस्यराभि: ॥ [२०*] ${ }^{11}$ मदनममृतविम्दुस्यम्दमिन्दोस विम्ब ${ }^{18}$ नवनलिनसेयासं चम्दनं चन्ट्रिकां
${ }^{1}$ Metre : Śárdulavikrtdita..
${ }^{3}$ Read शं सिति.

- Metre : Śsírdulavikrịita; and of the next verse.
- The first sa is not well-formed and looks almost like ta.

BThe letter tma is not well-formed.
${ }^{10} \mathrm{Read}{ }^{\circ}$ गयार्यक्षिस्फुए ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सम्दागमे.

- Metre : Malini.
- Metre: Máli, Read कgुक्मित्तय: ${ }^{14}$ Beed ${ }^{\circ}$ विच्हु? ${ }^{12}$ Read fिलि.

37 च । श्रपरमपि यदीयैन्जन्मनिम्माशखिशैरण्युरिव चकार स्पष्ट[म]नन्दि वेषा: ॥ [२२*] देवो ${ }^{1}$
$38{ }^{2}$ यस्यतुरम्बुराशिरशनारोचिष्युविम्वम्भरामाअ्रामविजविक्रमेय समभूत्र् स्रीकीषिं-नारा-
39 या: [1*] म्युत्वा जन्म यदीयमाक्रुलधियां जग्तु: स[मं] विद्विषां देन्यं वद्करुची मनर्सिसि च भ-
40 यं सेवांजलिं मौलय: ॥ [२२*] "क्धतगोवह्रनोह्षारं [हैं]लोन्मूलितमे र्या । उपेन्द्र-
41 मिन्द्रराजेन जिल्वा येन न विस्मितम् ॥ [२₹*] ${ }^{5}$ सकलजननमस्य: सीथ व्र[व]र नमस्या-
42 अुवन पतिरनेकाग्देवभोगाग्रह्दारान् । उपरि पर[गु]रामस्यैककुग्रामदान-
43 स्फुरितगुणगरिम्बास्यागकीत्त्या वभूवं ॥ [२४*] स च परमभहारकमष्राराजा-धिराजपरमेग्र-
44 रग्रीमदकालवर्षदे व पादानुध्यातपरमभधारकमछाराजाधिराज पर मे च्वर-
45 ग्रीपृथ्वीवद्नभग्रीवक्नभम्रीमक्रित्यवषंनरेन्द्रदेव: कुशली सर्ष्वानेव यथासंवध्य- ${ }^{-}$
$46{ }^{8}$ मानान्वाष्र्रपतिविषयपतिग्रामकूटयुक्तकनियुत्तकाधिकारिकमहत्तरादी-
47 म्समादिशत्यस्तु व: संविदितं यथा ग्रीमान्यखिटराजधानीनिवेशिना श्रीप48 दवन्वायं कुरन्दकमागतेन मया मातापिनोरात्मनखी्धिकामुष्मिक पुख्यThird Plate.
49 यश्रोभिबहये (1) लन्म्मएगोनाय वाजिमाध्यन्दिनस्रक्षाचारिण ${ }^{10}$ रापभद्युताय 50 प्रभाकरभहाय लाटदेशान्तर्गीतकम्मशिज्जसमीपे छम्वरानामय्राम: यस्य पू-
51 ब्वंत: तोलेजकं दच्चियतो मोगलिका परिमत: संकीमाम उत्तर [ती] जवलकूपकमे-
52 वमाघाटचतुष्टयोपलनित: सोद्रोंग: सपरिकर: सदख्डदशा[प]रTष: सोत्पघ्यमान-
 काला-


[^29]55 ग्रीपद्ववन्धोत्मवे ${ }^{1}$ तुलापुरषम रुष्या तस्मादनुत्तरता च कुरु्दकादीन्यामान् 56 म्मन्यान्यपि $^{2}$ पूर्ब्वपृथ्वीपालषि[ल्ब]सर्तनि चत्वारि ग्रामशतानि विंशतिद्रम्म-लच्चैस्सा-

58 द्योदकातिसर्गोए दत्तोस्बोचितया 'ब्रद्मदायस्सित्या भुंजतो [भो]नयतः ब्रषतः
59 कषंयत: प्रतिदिशतो वान्यस्से न केनचिद्ब्पापि परिपंथना कार्या [1*] तथा-गामिभिरस्म-
 नुमन्त- ${ }^{6}$
61 व्य: [1*] यस्याज्मानाल्नोपयति स पंचभिम्मंहापातके: संयुक्तः स्याटुत्ता च भगवता व्यासेन ॥ ष-
62 शिंें घर्षसमहस्नाएा सर्गो तिष्ठति भूमिद: । घाच्छेत्ता चानुमन्ता च तान्येव नरके वसेत् 11 [२乡*] सा-
63 मान्धोयं ${ }^{8}$ धर्म्मसेतुनृंपायां काले काले पालनीयो भवश्ञि: । सर्ब्वनितान्माविन: पार्थिवेन्ट्रान्
64 भूयो भूयो [य]गचते रामभद्र: ॥ [२६*] ${ }^{9}$ स्रीचिविक्रमभह्टेन नेमादिंत्यस्य सूतुना क्रूता प्रश्तेयं श्री [॥*]

## TEXT OF No. II.

First Plate.
1 औं स्ति । स वीव्याहेधसा धाम यन्राभिकमसं क्रतं। हरस्य यस्य [का]न्तेन्दुकलया कम-
2 लंक्रतम् ॥ [?*] जयति ${ }^{10}$ विवुधवन्भुव्विन्य्यविस्तारिवच्चस्थलविमलविलोलब्कोस्तुभ: कंस-
3 तु:11 । मुखसरसिजरझ़ यस्य नृत्यंति लद्याः स्म[र]भरपरिताम्यत्तारकासे कटा-
4. च्ञ: ॥ ग[२*] स जयति भुजदख्एसंग्रयम्री: समरसमुष्टृत[दु]षंरारिचक्रः । घ्रपह्वतवर्विम ${ }^{12}$


5 एडलो नृसिंः: सततमुपेन्द्र द्ववेन्द्रराजदेव: ॥ [₹*] अस्ति गीनायनाभिस्फुरदुरुसरसांभोज (r)-
6 जन्मा [स]यंभूस्तस्मादचि: स्रतोभूदम्टतकरपरिस्पन्द् ${ }^{1}$ द्युस्तुतोपि । त[म्मा]. हंशी यदूनां
7 जगति स वद्वधे यन्न तैस्तैब्विलासे: शाङ्गों गोपाछ्र[नानंं] नयनकु-वलये-
8 रर्चमानश्रचार " [8*] तन्रान्वय विततसात्यकिवंश्मजन्मा श्रीदस्तिटुर्गेनृपति:
9 पुरुषोत्तमीभूत् 1 चालुक्यवंशजलंध्षे: खयमेव लच्म्मीर्य शं[ख]चक्रकरलांक्
 च मध्यदेशं ।
11 यस्वासम[स्य] समरे वस्तुधाझ़न्नाया: काशचीपदे पदमकारि करेणा भूय: ॥ [दैं] का सेतो: सा-
$12{ }^{3}$ नुवप्रप्रवलकपिकु[लो] ब्बूनफुल्नलवड्ञादा कै।
13 न्नादितान्तात् । यस्याभां भूभिस्मस्तः करसुकुर्लमिलक्भो[ल़ि]मालायमा-नामान्न्नफ-
14 त्तमाद्वैखवनितललुठन्जानवो मानयन्ति ॥ [७*] जित्वा जगब्रिजभुजेन पुनर्निगीषो: खर्गी
15 विजितुमिव तस्य गत्स राश्घ: 1(1) तन्नाभवत्वरमधाकि पद्दे पितृब्य: स्रीह्रष्याराजनृप-
16 ति: प्रधितप्रताप: ॥ [ [".] दिक्नुन्दरीवदनचान्दनपत्वभंगलीलायमाभघनविस्तत[का] $]$ की-

Second Plate; First Side.
17 र्ते: [1*] सीराश्र्रक्रूटकुल शैलम बंकरिष्योस्त स्मादभूव्विरपमो विस्वधबयोर्य: (1) [ट*] कीत्तैं: कु-

18 न्दक्च: समस्तभुवनप्रस्थानकुम्भ: सितो खध्यक्य: पारितले विदासभमस पूर्णो-
 धिपाद्य-
 तदिज: 1 सोपि ग्रीवक्ष-


bia.


36 हरिखि परिणन्ये तां जगत्तुछ्नेव: ॥ [थ८*] चतुरदधितटान्तस्यातभीय्योथ ताभ्याम[भ]व-
37 दरिघरहो रहकक्र्द्पंदेव: । मनसि क्षतनिवास; कान्तसीमन्तिनीनां 'खवालजनशरए: पु-
38 एललावएयराशि: ॥ [२०*] देवो ${ }^{2}$ यस्यतुर्मुराशिरशनारोचिष्युाविग्वभ्सरममाद्रामन्निजविक्रमेगा स-
39 मभूत् ग्रीकीर्तिनारायए:। श्रुत्वा जन्म यदीयमाकुलधियां जग्मु: समं विद्धिषіं
40 देन्यं वक्नरचो मनांसि च भयं सेवांजलिं मौलय: ॥ [२१*] द्धतगोवर्छनोडारं हेलो-
41 न्मूलितमिकणा [1*] उपेन्द्र्मिन्द्रराजेन जित्वा येन न विष्मितम् ॥ [२२*] सकलजनममस्य: ${ }^{4}$
42 सोथ क्षत्वा नमस्यान्भुवनर्पतिरनेकान्देवभोगाग्यह्हाराना ${ }^{5}$ उपरि परशुरामस्घेक-
43 कुणामदानस्रुरितगुएगरिक्ससत्यागकीर्त्या वभूव ${ }^{0} \|^{11}\left[२ ₹^{*}\right]$ स च परमभद्धारक. महाराजाधिराज-
44 परमेग्ररम्रीमदकालवर्षंदेवपादानुध्यातपरमभद्धारकमहाराजाषिराजपरमेग्वर-
45 स्रीमव्वित्यवर्षनरेन्द्रदेव: कुशली सर्ब्बनेव "यथासंवध्यमानकान्वाष्र्रपतिविषयपति-ग्राम-
46 भूट्युक्तकनियुक्तकाधिकारिकमह्तत्तरादीन्ममादिशत्यस्तु व: संविदितं यथा स्रीमान्य-
47 खेटराजधानीनिवेश्रिना स्रीपहवन्धोत्मवाय कुरुम्टकमागतेन मया माता• पिन्नोरात्म-

Third Plate.
49 रिएी पाटब्यियुचविनिग्गंत[भीवीवेन्य]पभह्युताय सिक्षपभद्धाय लाटदेशान्त्गंत-कम्मएिज्न-
50 समीपे तेन्ननामश्राम: [1*] यस्य पूर्व्वतो कारड़पसिका [1*] दच्तिएतो नाभ्भीतटकं [1*] पच्यिमतो वली-

| ${ }^{1}$ kead सकण ${ }^{\circ}$. | 3 Read "र柯 ${ }^{\circ}$. | ${ }^{2}$ Read सममूल्रों |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{6}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ (रान्।. | ${ }^{6}$ Read बभू व. |
| $7 \mathrm{Raad}{ }^{\circ}$ संबप्य". |  | 2 Read "सबहों ${ }^{\circ}$. |

51 शा [1*] उत्तरतो वव्विययग्राम: [1*] एवमा[घा]टचतृष्टयोपलच्लितस्सोद्रिंग: सपरिकर[:] सदख्र-
52 दशापराध: सोत्पय्यमानविश्किक: सषान्यहि[र]]खा[दे*]योभ्यन्तर्रसिद्धा शकनृप-कालातीतसंकत्सर-

54 वे तुलापुरुषमार्ष तब्मादंतुत्तरता च क्रुन्दकादीन् ग्रामानन्यान्यपि पूर्वं-पृथ्नीपालवि-
55 बुसानि चत्वारि भामशतानि विंश्रतिर्रद्मलन्चेस्साहैं: सह विमुच 'वलि-चतवैस्वदेवाग्नि-
56 होनातिधिसंतप्पं एाथेम ( $T$ )द्योद्रकातिससोंया दत्तोस्सीचितया ${ }^{3}$ वह्लदायस्थित्या
57 भुंजतो भोजयत: क्वषतः कर्षेयतः प्रतिदिशत़ो वान्यस्मे न केनचिदल्पापि परि-
 भूमिदानफल-
 च पंचभिर्म्महा-
 तिष्ठति भूमिदः [ $[$ *]
61 त्ता चानुमन्ता च ताल्थेव नरके वसित् ॥ [२8*] च[म्नि]रपप्यं पथमं सवसीं भूर्बैयवी सूयंसुताए [गा]-
62 व: [1*] लोकजयं तेन भवेषि दत्षं य: कांचनं गां च महीं च दघात्" [२थ*] सामान्तो[यं] धर्म्मसतनृृृपाएां
63 काले काले पालनीयो भवज्ञि:। सर्बानितान्भाविन: पार्थिवेन्द्रान् भूयो भूयो याचते रामभद्र: ॥ [ $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{e}^{*}$ ]
64 ग्रीचिविक्रमभहेन [नि]मादिश्यस्स स्वनुना । कता शस्ता पशस्तोयमिन्द्रराजांघिसिविना ॥ [२०*] ग्री: [॥*]

TRANSTATION OF NO. II.
Of. Hail!
(Verse 1.) May he (Vishụu), the water-lily (springing from) whose navel was made (his) abode by Vêdhas (Brahman), protect you, and Hara (Śiva) whose head is adorned by the beautiful crescent of the moon !

[^30](V. 2.) Victorions is (Kprishñ), (who is) the comet (of destrution) to Kamsa (and is) the friend of the gods, on whose cllest, extensive as the Yindhya (mountain), dangles the pure Kanstubha, ( $a \mathrm{wl}$ ) on the stage of whose lotus-like face dance the side-glances of Lakshmî, with the pupils (aj leve eyes) languid through excess of love!
(V. 3.) E. r victorions is the king Indraraja (III.), whose long arms (wero mado her) refuge by the goddess of Wealth, who has ronted out in battles the circle of ( $h i_{i}$ ) enemies difficult to withstand, who has seizen the territories of the mighty, (and $w h o$ is) the lion (i.c. the best) among nen, just as Upôncha (Vishupu) is cuer victorions, whose long arms (were made her) support by (the goldess') Lakshmi, who held up in battles (his) discus bearing spokes and diffcult to resist, who carried off Diki and ( $k i_{s}$ ) legiuns (to Puitalu), (anel who wus) a man-lion (in his fourth incarnution).
(V. 4.) The self-esistunt (Drahman) ras born of the wide and blooming water-lily springing from the navel of (Vishun) the lord of S'ri ; of him was born (his) son Atri; (and) of him again (i.e. of Atri) the Moon that overflews with rass of nectar. From him there grew on earth the linenge of the Yadus, amongst whom (at oide (ines) flourished (Vishụu) the wielder of the Sivijle (bow) (in his vighetho incarnation as Irishata), who was worshipped by the lotus-ejes of cowherdeeses with equy kind of flirtation.
(V. 5.) In that family there arose the illustrious king Dantidurga, born in the great Satyaki branch: the iust of men, whose hand (bure) the (auspicious) marks of the conch and discus, (until) to whom of herself came the goddess of mealth of the Châlukya family, just as (i, that fiwily, there :arne Parushottama (Kyishpa), who prolonged the line of Sâtjaki, ${ }^{1}$ who (hilly the conch and dischs in (his) hands as (his peculiair) characteristics, (and) to whom wif heself eane ( $/$ he guthess) Lakshmi from the ocean.
(V. (i.) The hand (i.e. the prowess) of this (prince), matchless in battle, having (first) established itself on the buantinn lowermost region of the earth, and having again overcome in a gentle manner at its own will tice central region (Madlyadèsa), again established itself in the province of Kañohi, just as the hand (oj a locer), after ( first) establishing itself on the hips of a woman, atractive to the heart, and pressing again gently at its will (her) waist, again establishes itself on the region (below the waist) where the girdle (is worn).
(V. 7.) His oxders, forming a wreath on (their) crests with which came in contact (their) hands (joined) like bads, (all) kings respect with (their) heads slightly bent (and) with (thoir) knees rolling about on the surface of the earth, from Sêtu (Râmếsvaram), where the blossoming lavaing (trees) are destroyed on the declivities of mountain-tops by hosts of powerful monkeys, as far as the Kailasa (moziltain), the outskirts of which resound with the jingling anklets on the moving feet of Bhavâni.
(V. 8.) When that king, after conquering the world by his orn arm, had gone to heaven as if to conquer (il), being desirous of a fresh victory,- (his) paternal uncle, the illustrious. king Krishnaraja (I.), of well-known prowess, occupied his position of supreme majesty.
(V. 9.) Nirupama, of spotless valour, sprang from that (king), whose fame, solid, extensive and bright, diverted itself in the form of the lines of sandal-painting on the faces of the beauties, (vis.) the quarters, (and) who adorned the mountain (consisting of) the family of the glorions Râshṭrakûṭas,
(V. 10.) From the hand of the trembling lord of the Kôselas was snatched away by him in battle one white (regal) parasol, which was the white (auspicious) water-pitcher for the setting out of (his) fame, bright as the hunda (flower), on a journey over the whole world, (and) which

[^31]was the toy-lotus, resplendent as the dise of the fall moon, on the palm of the hand of Lakshmi ; another again (was snatched away by him) from the king of the northern (country) as if it were. (his) glory.
(V. 11.) From him obtained birth Jagattunga, who honoured the twice-born; he in his turn begat as son the king of kings Srivallabha.
(V. 12.) This (prince), possessed of fortitude, on raising again the glory of the Ratta kingdom, drowned in the ocean of the Chalukyas, became (i.e. assamed the epithet of) Virranârâyaṇa, just as (Vishṇu), again uplifting the earth, drowned in the ocean, became Vîranârầyaṇa (i.e. the heroic Nârâyaṇa).
(V. 13.) Having, by means of punishment, put down obnoxions persons, he destroyed the fiery Chalukyas, (his) enemies, who had completely devastated (the city of) Stamba, just as (a gardener), after removing the thorns by means of a stick, barns chick-peas, the stalks of which have been placked out with the roots.
(V. 14.) From him, who was the comet of destruction to the plantain tree, (viz.) the high family of the Chalukyas, was born Krishnaraja (II.), of spotless life, whose fame, bright ass the moon, wanders about, though constantly druak by the people by means of the cavities of (their) ears.
(V. 15.) On the advent of clonds, when there is a heavy downpour of rain (and) when the circular rainbow (appears in the sky), the old men thus describe the event of his fight with the roaring Gûrjara:-"Thus did ( $h \theta$ ) in anger draw ( $h i s$ ) bow, studded with a series of gems darting forth rays; thus did (he) discharge arrows at the heads of the warriors of (his) enemy."
(V. 16.) From him was born the illustrious Jagattungadêva, who caused the destraction of the multitudo of ( $h i_{s}$ ) enemies, who surpassed the beanty of Madana, who was the beloved of the goddess of Heroism, (the palm of each of) whose hands (bore the auspicious sign of) a discas shining by means of (the marks of) a banner, a lotus and a conch, (and) who (thus) by (his) greatness excelled Vishṇu.
( $\overline{\text { V }}$ 17.) There was a king (named) Sahasrârjuna, sprung from the Haihaya lineage, who relieved the itching sensation ${ }^{2}$ of the powerful and shining long arms of the roaring and invincible Râvana, (and) the letters (setting forth) whose fame and name, finding a resting-place in the ears of the gods, (and) written by the Siddhas with the dense fluid of nectar, covered the walls of the quarters.
( $\nabla .18$.$) In the dynasty of him who was an axe to the families of (his) enemies, there arose$ the renowned illustrious king Ranavigraha, the son of king Kokkalla (and) the lord of Chêdi, into whose circle (of feudatory princes), pilferer as he was of the ornaments of the wives of (his) enemies, entered every enfeebled lord of the earth on the destruction of (his) partisans, just as the moon, destitute of (all) the digits, enters the diso of the san at the end of the (dark) fortnight.
(V. 19.) From him who was the receptacle of a collection of all virtues (and) the abode of resplendent majesty, there was born a daughter (named) Lakshmî, possessed of lotus-Jike hands, [just as from the ocean, which is the abode (of the sun) of intensely gleaming rays, there sprang Lakhsmi, possessed of a lotus in (her) hand]; Jagattungadêva, the moon to the nightlotus of the Fadu race (and) the ravisher of the hearts of beautiful women, married her (viz. Lakshmi, the daughter of Ranavigraha), just as Hari, the moon to the night-lotus of the Yadu race (and) the ravisher of the hearts of beartiful women, married her (viz. the goddess Lakshmi).

[^32](V. 20.) From these two sprang Ratta-Kandarpadêva, whose bravery was known as far as the shores of the four oceans, who was a grinding-stone to (his) enemies, who dwelt in the hearts of beautiful women, who was a refuge to all men, (and) who was a store of merit and beauty, [jnst as from (Hari and Lakshmí) sprang the god Kandarpa (i.e. Cupid), whose prowess is known as far as the shores of the four oceans, who is a grinding-stone to (his) enemies, who abides in the minds of beautiful women, who is a refuge to all persons, (and) who is a store of heavenly beauty].
(V. 21.) This king, overrunning, by means of his own valour, the earth shining with the girdle of the four oceans, became (i.e. was known as) Sri-Kirti-Nârâyaṇa, just as the god (Vishṇa), covering, with his stride, the earth shining with the girdle of the four oceans, was known as Śri-Kirti-Nârâyana; on hearing of whose birth, the lustre of the faces, the minds, and the heads of (his) enemies, whose understanding was bewildered, simultaneously experienced dejection, fear, and the cavity of the hands folded (as a mark of) servitude.
(V. 22.) This Indrarâja (III.), having uprooted Mêru (Mahôdaya?) with ease, was not puffed up with pride at (his) defeating (king) Upêndra who had saved Gôvardhana, just as the god Indra, who uprooted (Mount) Mêrụ with ease, was not puffed up with pride at (his) vanquishing (the god) Upêndra (Krishṇa) who had upliftf? the Gôvardhana (nountain). ${ }^{1}$
(V. 23.) This lord of the earth, entitled to obeisance from all men, on founding many endowments to temples and agrahâras (to Brâhmanas), to be respected (by all), became, in point of fame for charity, superior to Parasurama, the greatness of whose merits shone by the gift of a single insignificant village. ${ }^{2}$
(Ll. 43-56.) And he, the Paramabhatṭ̂araka Mahâräjâdhirâja Paramếsara, the prosperous Nityavarshanarêndradêva, who meditates on the feet of the Paramabhattâraka Mahârdjadhivâja Paramếsvara, the prosperous Akêlavarshadêva, being well, commands all the lords of provinces (râshtra), lords of districts (vishaya), chiefs of villages, leading persons, officials, employés, functionaries, etc., according as they are concerned:-
"Be it known to you that by Me, who resides at the capital of Mânyakhêta (and) who has come to Kurundaka for the glorions festival of the binding of the fillet,--for the enhancement ofthe religious merit and fame, in this world and the next, of ( $M y$ ) parents and Myself-with heartfelt devotion-eight centuries of years increased by thirty-six having elapsed since the time of the Saka king, on the seventh (tithi) of the bright (fortnight) of Phalguna in the Yuva-samvatsara-having, on the completion of the glorions festival of the binding of the fillet, ascended the Tuldapurusha, ${ }^{3}$ and having, without coming down from the pan, given away, together with twenty lakhs and a half of drammas, Kurundaka and other villages, and four hundred villages besides, that had been confiscated by previous kings,-was bestowed to-day, by pouring water from the hand, for the sake of the Bali, Charu, Vaiśvadêva, Agnihôtra and Atithisantarpana, - npon Siddhapabhaṭta, of the Lakshmaṇa gôtra, a stadent of the VAjjMadhyandina (śâkhâ), (and) the son of Ŝrí-Vennapabhaț̣̣a who had come from Pâtaliputra,the village of the name of Tenna in the vicinity of Kammanijja situated in the country of Lâta, defined by the four boundaries, viz. to the east Vârac̣apalikikâ, to the south Nâmbhitaṭaka, to the west Valisá, (and) to the north the village of Vavviyana, ${ }^{4}$ together with the royal share,

[^33]with the apportenances, with (the proceeds of the punishments for) faults and the ten offences, with (the right to) forced labour as it arises, with the assessment in grain and gold.
(Ll. 56-59.) "No hindrance should in the slightest degree be caused by any one to him while enjoying (this village), allowing (others) to enjoy (it), cultivating (it), causing (it) to be cultivated, or assigning (it) to another, in accordance with the manuer of a gift to a Brâhmana. Likewise, this My gift to a Brahmana should be assented to, just as if it were their own gift, by the good kings of the futare, whether My descendants or others, bearing in mind that the frait of a gift of land is common (both to the grantor and to the preserver)."
[L. 59 f . and Vv. $24-26$ contain the usual admonitions to fature ralers.]
(V. 27.) This praiseworthy panegyric was composed by the illustrious Trivikramabhatta, the son of Nêmâditya (and) serving the feet of Inärarâja.

## No, 5.-RAGHOLI PLATES OF JAYAVARDHANA II.

By Hira Lal, B.A., M.R.A.S.; Nagpur.

These plates were kindly sent to me by Mr. O. E. Low, I.C.S., Deputy Commissioner of the Balâghat district, Central Provinces. They were found in the village Ragholi belonging to the Sâletekri Zamindârî, nuw under tho Court of Wards and included in the Baihar talsîl of that district, by a cultivator while ploughing the field. There are three copper-plates, of which the second and third bear writing on both sides; the third plate has only two lines on the reverse side. The plates are broader in the middle than at the top or at the bottom. At the broadest part they measure nearly $6 \frac{7}{8}$ inches, and the average height is $5 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$. They are held together by a circular ring, $2_{\mathrm{T}^{6}{ }^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter, which is somewhat thicker and rugged at the place where the two ends are soldered together. A circular seal with tasselled borders is attached to the ring and was put or it before the ends of the ring were soldered together. The seal has in two lines the legend Sri-Jayavardhanadêvasya, which is enclosed by ornamental circles running round the bottom of the tassels. The ring was cut and resoldered by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya, who kindly took for me the impressions which are reproduced on the accompanying Plate. The copper-plates were found when Mr. Low was writing the Aazetteer of the Bâlaghât District, in which an extract from my translation has already appeared.

The language of the inscription is Sanskrit, written in characters belonging to the northern class of alphabets. The average size of the letters is about $\frac{1_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{4}$. They are badly formed and somewhat difficult to read. The first 20 lines of the inscription (excepting the opening words Óm svasti Srivardhanapurat) and again lines 35 to 45 are in verse. The rest is Sanskrit prose. Final forms of $t$ occur in lines 1 and 38 , and one of $m$ in line 45 . The letter $b$ is not distinguished from v. A notable orthographical peculiarity occurs in lines 32 f . and 40 f., where we find $m v$ written for $m$ in támvra and kamvala. On the other hand $b$ is omitted in kutumina (1. 24) for kufumbinah, but regularly expressed by $v$ in dalâmvu (1. 41). The letters with a rêpha at the top sometimes assume a very peculiar shape such as in sarva (1. 25) and varsha (1.37). At other places they have the usual form, as in survea (1. 29). There is also a tendency to change the final forms of nasals into anusvara in contravention of the accepted grammatical rules, as in pramulhâd $\dot{m}$ (1.24) and purushäm (1.25). The ka of kamvala in line 40 f . has a peouliar form and differs from other kas occurring in the inseription.

The objeat of the inscription is to record the grant of the village Khadaikê in the Katêraka district (1. 23) to a temple of the Sunigod at Chattulliha (1. 29 f.) by king Jayavardhana II. It was issued from Śrivardhanapura (1. 1) and is dated in the 3 ra year of his reign on the 30th day of the month Kârttika (1l. 46 and 31 ). Judging from the writing it may be assigned to the eighth century A.D.; the characters very much resemble those of the Paithan plates of Gôvinda III. dated in the year 794 A.D. ${ }^{1}$ Jayavardhana II. is described in lines $20-22$ as a devotee of Mahêsvara, the lord of the whole Vindhya, and Mahârâjâdhirâja Puramếvara. He belonged to the Sailavamisa (verse 1). His grandfather, who bore the same name as himself, killed the former king of the Vindhya and made the Vindhys his residence (v. 3). The son of Jayavardhana I. and father of the donor was Śrivardhana II., who styled himself Vindhyêsvara (v. 4), and who may have founded Śrivardhanapura from which the present charter was issued. Five more ancestors of this line are mentioned, the first of whom was Srivardhana I. His son was Prithuvardhana, who is stated to have attacked Gujarât (v. 1). In his family was born Sauvardhane (v. 2), one of whose three sons killed the king of Paunḍra (Bengal and Bihar), while another conquered the king of Káśi (Benares). Of this latter, whose name is not mentioned, Jayavardhana I. was the son ( v .3 ).

The first verse of this charter opens with an obscure epithet to Śrivardhana I. who is called下ailâs-âchala-tuniga-śringa-vipula-drônîja-vamissa-prabhuh, whioh apparently means 'the lord of the family of her who was born in the great valley of the lofty peaks of the Kailassa mountain.' It is very difficult to hit at the true import of this expression, and the only conjecture I can hazard is that it may mean the Gângavamsa, of which the Sailavamsa waa probably a branch or a more well known name at that time. Otherwise it is difficult to see why in the same verse the same person should be called the lord or ornament of two families. If my conjecture is correct, the force of prakhyâtô blivvi (famous or known on the earth) preoeding Sailavamśn-tilakah' would be apparent. The Śailavamsáa is very probably identical with the Sailôdbhavas or Silôdbhavas of Orissa, to which Prof. Hultzsch has kindly drawn my attention. In the plates of the time of Śaśâíkarâja, ${ }^{3}$ a feudatory chief Mâdhavarâja II., who issued the charter, is spoken of as belonging to the Silôdbhava family, which is identical with the Śailôdbhava of the Bnguḍ̂a plates of Mâdhavavarman ${ }^{4}$ ar pointed out there. The former is dated in the year 619-20 A.D. and is the older of the two. Both were found in the Ganjam district, and both the charters were issued from Köñgêda or Kaingôda, which is identified by Prof. Kielhorn with the Kong-u-t'o ${ }^{5}$ of the Chinese traveller Hiven Tsiang, who visited the place in the year 639 A.D. ${ }^{6}$ This principality was included in the Kalinga country or, roughly speaking, Orissa. In fact the village granted by Mâdhavarâja II. was situated in the district of Krishnagiri, a synonym of Nîlagiri which is a name of Jagannâtha (Purî) in Orissa. ${ }^{7}$ And it is well known that Orissa is the country where the Gángavamśa originated. King Indravarman of Kalinganagara is spoken of as the 'establisher of the spotless family of the Gângas, ${ }^{\text {ª }}$ an epithet which does not occur in other grants of the Gângas of Kalinga. So he was a perpetuator of a dynabty with a new name, whioh probably he introdaced in preference to an old one which was not very complimentary. The new name is a metronymic; so we may sappose that the one suppressed was a patronymic. The Baguda inscription tells us how one Palindasêna worshipped Brahmê in order to create a fit ruler for the land, and how the god granted his wish by oreating out of a rock the lord Sailddbhara, who became the founder of the family of that name. However complimentary the story may have been in the beginning, it could not have failed later on to appear somewhat analogous to the alleged origin of low

[^34][^35]oastes, which trace their origin to some such inanimate objects as scareorows, dirt from Mahâdêra's body, or the sweat of his brow. In fact the aboriginal Gonds aver that their leader Lingo liberated the first men of the tribe from a cave in the Iron valley in the Red hill by remoring a stone 16 cubits high with which Mahâdêva had closed the mouth of the cave, and ont came 16 scores of Gonds at once. ${ }^{1}$ The Sailôdbheva origin wonld thus appear something like an improvement on this story. Recognising the tendency, whioh has always existed and still exists, to adopt eponymous names under the inflaence of what Sir Alfred Lyall calls the gradual Brâhmanising of castes, it would not be surprising to find a family with a dubious patronymic insinnating a non-Brahmanical origin, preferring a metronymio connected with so holy a deity as the Ganges, in spite of the Kshatriya mode of calling themselves after the male parent. It will then be asked why in the present grant the Sailavamsa was at all mentioned, to which an answer may be found in the fact that there are always three classes of people : the conservatives or those who would atick to the old things only, the moderates who would tolerate both the new snd the old, and the extremists who would wholly discard the old, and probably the donor of the present grant belonged to the second class. All this is, however, extremely hypothetical, and I only hazard it in the hope that a better explanation may be forthcoming. By the way I may mention that it was the Gángavamsa kings of Orissa who revived Sun-worship and built many temples dedicated to that deity $;^{2}$ and again most of the officials, such as samabhartri and sannidhatri (1.24), are those chiefly found in the grants of Orissa kings. These are other items in support of the donor's family connection with Orissa,

With regard to the places mentioned in the grant, I identify Khaddikâ with Khadi, a village three miles north-east of Ragholi where the plates were found. It is only a Sanskritised name like Lañjikầ ${ }^{8}$ for Lanji, which is also not very far away from this place. Kaṭeraka is probably the present Katera near Katangi, 60 miles west of Ragholi. I cannot identify Chatṭulliha, unless it is a mistake for Raghulliha or Ragholi, where the plates have been found. With the elision of a little stroke in the first letter, and giving a slightly slanting position to the second, the word wonld read as Ragholliha. This may find support from the faot that the engraving of the grant is very defective, and that several other mistakes have been committed in lines $33,40,44$, etc. I cannot find in the Central Provinces a place answering to Srivardhanapura. It could not be Śrivardhana in the Bombay Presidency, the famous seaport referred to by European travellers as Ziffardan and celebrated as the birth-place of the first Peshwa. ${ }^{4}$ From what has been stated above, the family would seem to have come from a seaport in the east rather than from the west. But the place must be searched for nearer home, and it may be that it is now non-existent. The probability is that it was situated somewhere near Râmtek in the Nâgpur district. Five miles from this place there is a village called Nagardhan which was known as Nandivardhana ${ }^{5}$ in olden times, and looal traditions assert that the surrounding country was ruled from that place by Kshatriya Râjes. ${ }^{8}$ The village contains ancient remains and is mentioned as the name of a district together with Nâgpur in the Dêolî plates of the Râshtrakuṭa king Krishṇa III. dated in the year 940 A.D. 7 It is plain therefore that

[^36]Nandivardhana must have been a place of great importance before it gave its name to the district. I hold that this was founded by a successor of Jayavardhana II. who removed the capital from Śrivardhanapara, also named after a king of the same line, to the place to which he gave his own name. ${ }^{1}$ The Râshṭrak $u$ țas rose in power on this side in the eighth century, and it is probably they who displaced the Śailavamisa dynasty of the Vindhya mountains. Nandivardhana or Nagardhana is about 100 miles from Ragholi, and both were inoluded in the same district about a century ago. It may also be stated that, so far as I have been able to find, there are no other villages ending in vardhanza in Bâlâghât or any of the surrounding districts, and the name is so peculiarly different from those of other villages in the locality that it may almost be called unique; for these reasons the location of Śnîvardhanapura round about Nandivardhana or Râmtek carries at least a certain probability with it.

TEXT. ${ }^{2}$
Seal.
1 म्रीजयव-
2 ईंनद्रवस्य [ $11^{*}$ ]
First Plate.

2 विपुलद्रोगोजयेशम्रभु: म्रख्यातो भुवि शैलवं-
3 श्रतिलक: श्री - - वर्धनो यो नृप: 1 तत्पुच: पथवन
4 बंनो निजभुजव्याद्रष्टखड़[:] मुया देशं गौज्जंरमास-
5 साद सह्हस विक्रान्तिभियंस्तत: ॥ [१*] तहंशम्रभवो
6 व्यजीजनदलं सौवह्घनी भूपतिर्भूचक्रक्र-
7 मलक्झविक्रमयश: पुन्न्नयं त्वौरसं। ते-
$8{ }^{8}$ पामुज्जितनै रिदारएापटुं पौग्ड्राधिपं च्माप-
9 तिं हत्वैको विषयं तमेव सकलं जम्राच्ह भौ-
10 यार्वित: ॥ [२*] ताभ्यामन्धतमो विहत्य सच्हसा द-
11 पर्पिडतं दारुएां काशिं काशिनराधिपं सित-

Second Plate; First Side.
12 गुणी जयाए्ह जेता द्विषां। तत्पुन्चो जयवर्चेन-
13 ति वचसा ख्यातो वरो भूभृतां विज्ये विस्यनरेशमेव

[^37]
$i$

ii a.

E. HULTZSCH.


2iza.

izi b.


FROM INK-IMFRESBIONS SUPPLIED EY RAI BAHADUR V. VENKAYYA.

14 सुचिरं हत्वा चकार स्थितिं ॥ [₹*] मातद्नद्रानचतदीनचे-
15 घ: ${ }^{2}$ सवर्वितानेकविशालवंश: । विम्येश्वरो विम्य
16 द्वाचलग्री: श्रीवह्छनस्तस्य सुतो वभूव ${ }^{3} 11$ [8*] "तस्या-
17 व्मज: सकलवैरिविनाशदन्चो जातो महा-
18 गुएनिविज्जियवर्दनाख्यः । लन्ष्मीप्रगाढ-
19 परिरंभएपीडिताइं दृष्ट्रा दिवं यमगमव्रकु-
20 षेष कीर्ति: ॥ [4*] परममाहेख्बरो मातापित्टपादा-
21 नुध्यात:, सकलविंध्याधिपति: मह्छाराजाधिरा-
22 जपरमेश्वरश्रीजयवर्छंनदैव: कुशलो
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23 कटेरकविषयीयर्बह्दिकायां व्राह्मगाए[न्*] संपूज्य प्रति-
24 वर्षिनोन्यांश्य कुटुमिन ${ }^{6}$ (1) समाहर्टंसन्निधाटप्रमुखां ${ }^{7}$
25 यथाकालाध्यासिन: सर्वर्राजपुरुषां ${ }^{8}$ विषयपतीं-
26 स समान्ञापयति [॥*] विदितमस्तु भवता यथास्माभिर-
27 यं ग्राम: सनिधि: सोपनिधि: सर्वेकरादान-
28 समेत: प्रतिषिड्यचाटभटप्रवेश: सदशा-
29 पराघ: सर्ब्वपीडाविवर्ज्ज़ित: चटुक्निहप्रतिष्ठि-
30 तम्रोमदादित्यभध्रारकाय अधिष्ठानविन्नपिकया
$31{ }^{10}$ कात्तिक्यामुदकपूप्ब्वम माचन्ट्राबंग्रह्हस कालोप-
32 भोगार्थ मातापिन्रोरात्मनख्य पु एयभिव्वद्धये ता-
33 "म्न्रशासनेन प्रतिपादित स्त्यवगत ${ }^{12}$ समुचितभो-
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34 गभागादिकं भक्ता ${ }^{13}$ सुखं वस्त्वं ॥ तथा चोतां धर्म्म-
35 शासे ॥ ${ }^{14}$ वहुमिब्वंसुधा दत्ता राजभिः सगरादि-
36 भि: 1 यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य तदा फल़ ॥ [*ं]
37 षष्टिं वर्षस़हलायि खर्गो मोदति भूमिद: । का-

| ${ }^{1}$ Metre : Indravajra. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Read संवर्घिंता. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Read बभूव. <br> 4 Metre: Vassntatilaka. The simile here is विरुद्धमतिकारिका ; compare ग्यौनियीगाद्नदितुकिव गतेत्यम्ब $\hat{\text { qu }}$ यस्य कौरिं: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| in the Śdhityaprakdsa, 7th ulldsa, sldka 241. For this parallel quotation I am indebted to Pandit Hirananda Sastri, M.A. |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Read जाश्मयान्. | - Read कुटुम्बिन:. | ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Read}{ }^{\circ}$ पसुखा |
| ${ }^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पुराषान्. | ${ }^{-1}$ Read भवतो. | ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Read}$ काषिंक्या ${ }^{\circ}$. |
| ${ }^{14} \mathrm{Read}$ ताti. |  | ${ }^{15}$ Read (6) |
|  |  |  |

38 छोेत्ता चानुतन्ता चं तान्येव नरके वसेत् ॥ [७*] खद-
39 त्तां परदता ${ }^{3}$ का यो हरत वसुक्षरों। स विष्ठा-
40 यां क्षर्मिभूता ${ }^{8}$ पितुभि: सह्ह पच्घते ॥ [ $\left.\square^{*}\right]$ दूति क-
41 "स्लदतास्वुषिन्दुल लां स्रियमनुचिम्य मनुष-
42 जीवितं च ₹.कलमिदमुदाछ्दत्रत च बध्वा ${ }^{5}$
43 षै: परकीक्तंयो विलोप्या: ॥ [c*] स्रीम्रीवर्चनदेष-
44 स्य पाद[पझ्मोप]जीविनां । स्रीमछाच्छापालेन लि-

## TRANSLATION.

## (Line 1.) Oim. Hail! From Srivardhanapura.

(Verse 1.) (There was) king Srivardhana (I.), the lord of the family of her ${ }^{9}$ who was born in the great valley of the lofty peaks of the Kailâsa monntain, (and) famous on (thit) earth (as) the ornament of the Sailavanisa. His son Prithuvardhana, formerly having drawn the sword with his own arm, at once overcame the Gaurjara country by (his various) attaoks.
(V. 2.) King Sauvardhana, who was born in his family, begat three sons of his own, Who in due course acquired the glory of heroism on the circle of the earth. One of them, possessing valonr, having killed the Paundra king who was skilled in rending up (his) powerful enemies, took the whole of that country.
(V. 3.) The third of them, ${ }^{10}$ of white (i.e. pure) character, the vanquisher of foes, having forcibly killed the self-conceited (and) cruel king of the Kâsis, took Kásii (from him). His son, known by the name of Jayavardhana (I.), the best of kings, having killed the lord of the Vindhya, took up his residence in the Vindhya for a long time.
(V. 4.) His son was Srivardhana (II.), the lord of the Vindhya, who possessed immovable (permanent) wealth like the immovable Vindhya mountain itself, who banished poverty by gifta of elephants, (and) who angmented the prosperity of varions (other) big families.
(V. 5.) His son, skilled in destroying all (his) enemies (and) a treasury of great virtues, was called Jayavardhana (II.). The goddess of Wealth so closely embraced him that the goddess of Fame, as if (jealous of her cowife), out of anger ascended to the heavens. ${ }^{11}$

[^38](L. 20.) The devont worshipper of Mahêsivara (Siva), who meditated on the feet of his parents, the lord of the whole Vindhya, the Mrahurâjâdhirâja Paramếvara, the illustrious Jayavardhanadêva, being in good health, haring worshipped the Brâhmaṇas in (the village) Khaddikâ in the district (vishaya) of Kaṭerraka, issues a command to the inhabitants and other householders (as well as) revenue collectors, receivers of public property and so forth, as they are appointed from time to time, (and) to all state officers and provincial governors.
(L. 26.) "Let it be known to you that this village is given by Us, with hidden treasures (and) deposits, together with (the right of) taking all the dues, with the prohibition for the regular or irregular forces to enter (the village), with (the fines leviable on) the ten offences, ${ }^{1}$ free from all troubles, to (the temple of) the holy Âditya-bhattearaka (i.e. the Sun-god) set up at Chattulliha, at the request of the residence (viz. Srivardhanapura, l. 1), on the Kâttiki (tithi), with libations of water, to be enjoyed as long as the moon, the sun and the planets endure, for the purpose of increasing the religious merit of (Our) parents and of Ourself, by (this) copper-plate charter. Knowing this, let (all) live happily, enjoying (their) due portion of rights, etc. And it is thas enjoined in the Dharmasastra: "-
[Here follow four of the customary imprecatory verses.]
(V. 10.) (This) auspicious charter was written by the illustrions Mahâchaṇdapâla, who subsisted on the lotus-feet of the illustrious Srivardhanadêva.
(L. 45.) The year 3 of the augmenting and victorious reign, the 30th day of Kârttika.

## No. 6.-MALIYAPUNDI GRANT OF AMMARAJA II.

## By Professor E. Hultzsch, Ph.D. ; Halle (Saale).

This interesting record of the Eastorn Châlokya dynasty was first published in 1905 by Messrs. A. Butterworth and V. Vonugopal Chetti in their Nellore District Inscriptions, p. 164 ff., with seven photographic Plates. The original copper-plates had been "discovered by Mr. Venugopal Ohetti in the Râmalingê̂́varasvâmi tẹmple at Madanûr, a village about 10 miles from Ongole, Nellore district." I re-edit the inscription from ink-impressions supplied by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya, to whom the original plates were kindly forwarded at my request by Mr . Butterworth, and who contribates the following remarks on them :-
"These are five copper-plates, measuring $9 \frac{11^{\prime \prime}}{}$ by abont $4 \mathrm{~T}^{\frac{5}{4}}$ ". The first and last plates bear writing only on their inner side and have raised rims about $\frac{3}{16}$ " high only on their engraved side. The three remaining plates, whioh bear writing on both sides, have rims of the same height projecting on both sides. ${ }^{2}$.Through holes ( $\frac{7_{8}^{\prime \prime}}{}$ in diameter), bored on the left margin of each plate, is passed a circular ring, which appears to have been cut and re-soldered before the plates came into my hands. The ring measures $5 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter and is a little more than $\frac{1}{2}$ " thick. Its ende, which are slightly thinner, are secured in the upper part of an expanded lotus flower of eight petals. To the lower part of the same lotus, which is fashioned into a rim-like projeotion, is soldered a circular seal, which measures $2 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ in diameter and is nearly $\frac{8}{8}^{\prime \prime}$ thick. It bears, in relief on a conntersunk surface, the legend Sri-Tribhuvanâmku[sa] in the centre, in characters similar to those of the inscription. Below

[^39]the legend is an expanded lotus fower, and above it a running (?) boar facing the pro, left. In front of the boar is an elephant goad, and behind its tail a crescent."

The alphabet is of the same Telugu type as in other grants of the same dynasty an period. No distinction is made between secondary $\hat{o}$ and $a u$, and secondary $\hat{\imath}$ and $\hat{u}$ is oft written as $i$ and $u$. Final $k$ occurs in line $32, t$ in $11.8,30$ and $51, n$ in $11,10,18,24,37,39,5^{\prime}$ and $m$ in $11.5,7,9,11,12,51,54,55$ and 60 . The jihvaimulitiya is used in $11,42,51$, and th upadhmâniya in $11.10,4 \mathrm{l}, 43,46$ and 51.

The following orthographical irregularities deserve to be noted. Against one of Pânini's rules (VIII. 4, 4.9) the sh of varsha is doubled in 11.8 and 11, but not in 11. 13, 18, 25 and 40. Some spellings are due to the Telugu pronunciation. Thus we find yêtad (1.57; for êtad, yuttara ( 11.54 f . and 57, bat not in 1.59 ) for uttara, rakshannîyayiva (1. 47 f .) fe: rakshanayy=aiva, aruha (1.50) for arha, kerishta (1.39) for krishna. Dental $n$ is emploged instead of lingual $n$ in Kiranapuram and krishna (1.43), punya (II. 49, 50), âbharana (11. 49, 53 ), ganêsa (1.50), yuttarâyana (1.54 f.). The vowel $r i$ is replaced by ri in krishna (1.43), sadriśo (1.52) and kritva (1.56). The palatal sibilant is improperly used in samha (1.50) for sam̀gha and śad̈risóo (l. 52) for sad!isiso.

The Ianguage is Sanskrit prose, interspersed with 20 Sanskrit verses. In ll. 56-60 somf names of villages, tanks and felds appear in their Telugu forms. At the ond of the reoud the usual imprecatory verses and the names of the Ajinapti, composer and writer are missing,

As the inscription records a grant to a Jaina temple, it opens with an invocation of tha Jaina religion (v. I). Li. 3-41 contain the genealog'y of the Eastern Châlukya dynasty dont to Samastabhuvandíraya Vijayâditya (VI.) or Ammarâja (II.), the date of whose coronation is given in the same two verses ( 18 f .) as in his Padankalûru grant. ${ }^{1}$. The genealogical portion contains two passages of historical importance, the first (ll. 13-16) describing the reign $d$ Vijayâditya III., and the second (11. 22-32) the accession of Chàlukya-Bhima II.

The Pithâpuram inscription of Mallapadêva reports that Vijayâditya III. slew Mañgiràjh burnt Chakrakûṭa, terrified Saùkila, residing in Kiranapura and juined by Kṛishṇa, restored hik dignity to Vallabhendra, and received elephants as tribute from the king of Kalinga. ${ }^{3}$ The slar ing of Mangi is referred to also in three other inscriptions. ${ }^{3}$ The second of them adds the burning of Kiranapura, and the third states that the king, having terrified Krishṇa and Sankils, completely buınt their city. Hitherto we did not know who Mangi and Sañkila were. Vered 3 of the Maliyapundi grant calls the former 'the king of the great Nodamba-râshtra' and the second "the lord of the excellent Da[ha]la." Thus Mangi seems to have been one of the Palls. vas of Nolambavâdíí and Sankila an early chief of Dàhala (or Chêdi). While two of the abore mentioned inscriptions couple the name of Sainkila with that of Krishna, the Maliyapûndi grant (v. 3) states that Sañkila was ' joined by the fierce Vallabha.' The Nellore Distruit Inscriptions ( $p$. 169, nute 5) correctly conclude from this that Sañkila's ally Kṛishṇa was a Vallabha, i.e. a R :shtrakúṭa. Hence my former identification of this Krishṇa with the Paramân king Krishnaraja ${ }^{5}$ must be wrong, and he may be identified, as was done by Dr. Fleet ${ }_{2}{ }^{6}$ with the Râshṭrakuṭa king Krishṇa II. The latter is known to have been connected with the Chedi family, being the son-in-law of Kokkalla (I.) and the brother-in-law of Śankaka. ${ }^{7}$ I feel no heaitation in ideutifying Sañkila of Dàhala with Śankuka (or Śañkaragaṇa) of Chêdi, the san of Kokkalla I., but am unable to identify Kiraṇapura, where Saúkila resided nocording to the

[^40]Piṭhâpuram inscription and Krishṇarâja according to the Maliyapûṇ̣i grant (v. 15). In two grants the burning of this Kiranapura, ${ }^{1}$ the residence of Kب̣ishṇa and Sankila, ${ }^{8}$ is attributed to Vijayâditya III. himself. Verse 15 of the Maliyapûṇ̣i grant informs us that this feat was in reality performed by a military officer named Pậdaranga. It is perhaps worth noting that another Râshtrakûṭa prince named Krishṇaraja is mentioned in a grant of A.D. 888. ${ }^{3}$ Between the slaying of Mangi and the victory over Saíkila the Maliyapûṇ̣i grant mentions that Vijayâditya III. 'defeated the Gangas who took refuge on the peak of Gangakuta.'. As snggested in the Nellore District Inscriptions ( p . 169, note 3), this statement may or may not be a mere variant of one in the Pithâpuram inscription, according to which the king burnt Chakrakûta.* He is elsewhere said to have 'defeated the unequalled Gangas.'5 Finally the new grant reports that Vijayâditya III. bore the surname Parachakrarâma (1. 14).

The Maliyapûṇic grant gives a vivid desoription of the straggles that took place after the death of Vikramâditya II. Five years passed in continual wars between the rival olaimants, among whom Yuddhamalla, Râjamârtaṇ̣̣a and Kaṇthikâ-Vijayâditya are mentioned by name. Then Râjabhima (or Châlukya-Bhîma II.) succeeded in restoring order by slaying Râjamârtaṇ̣̣a, defeating and.banishing Kaṇthikầ-Vijayâditya and Ynddhamalla, and killing many other rebels. Yuddhamalla (II.) is the son of Tâla, to whom one grant of ChalukyaBhîms II. attribntes a reign of seven years, ${ }^{6}$ while two other grants, ${ }^{7}$ like the Maliyapûṇ̆í grant, take no official notice of his reign. Râjamârtaṇạa is perhaps the same as Râjamayya in the Kaluchumbarrn grant, ${ }^{8}$ and he is mentioned also in the Kolavennn plates. ${ }^{9}$ In editing these plates I committed a mistake, which has been endorsed by Dr. Fleet ${ }^{10}$ and Prof. Kielhorn, ${ }^{11}$ in taking Râjamârtaṇ̣̣a as a surname of Châlukya-Bhîma II. As stated in the Nellore District Inscriptions (p. 170, note 4), the Maliyapûṇ̣̣i grant now shows that Râjamêrtanḍa was a distinct person. Dr. Fleet has already noticed that ll. 17-19 of the Kolavennu plates contain a verse, ${ }^{18}$ the first half of which I would now, with the help of his remarks, correct as follows:-

## 

Thus the verse mentions four enemies of Châlukya-Bhîma II.:-Tâtabikyana, Dhalsdi (or Dhslaga), Munniriva and Râjamârtaṇ̣a. Kaņ̣̣hikâ-Vijayâditya, whom the king banished along with Yuddhamalla II., is undoubtedly the same as Kaṇ̣̣hikâ-Bêta or Vijayâditya V., the son of Amms I. and the ancestor of the Eastern Châlnkyas of Pithâpuram. ${ }^{13}$

The subjoined grant was made at a winter solstice (uttaráyana, l. 54 f.). The donee was a temple of Jina (Jinadaya) in the south of Dharmapuri (v. 17), which was in oharge of a priest of the Yâpaniya-samghali (v. 18). It had been founded by the Katakaräja (1. 54) Durgarâja (v. 16) and was named Kaṭakâbharaṇa-Jinâlaya ( v .17 and 1.53 ), ovidently after a surname of the founder. At his request (1. 54) the grant was made, and the grant portion opens with a pedigree of his family. His ancestor Pậ̣̣aranga is stated to have burnt Kiraṇapura, the residence of Krishṇarâja (v. 15), and accordingly must have been a military officer of Vijayâditya III. ${ }^{15}$ His son Niravadyadhavala was appointed Katakarája (1. 44 f.). His son was the Kaṭakâdhipati Vijayâditya (1. 45 f.), and his son was Durgarâja (₹. 16).

[^41]16 See notes 1 and 2 above.

Pâṇdarâñga (the Pâņ̣arañga of $\nabla .15$ ) is mentioned as Ajjãapti in a grant of $\nabla$ ijayâditya III. ${ }^{1}$ The title Katakarâja or Katakeddhipati, i.e. 'superintendent of the royal camp,' which was borne by his three lineal descendants, seems to be peculiar to the court of the Eastern Châlukya kinge. Dr. Fleet remarked that the grants of Amma I. and Amma II. mention Katakardja, Katakésa and Katakadhî́a as Ajanapti, and came to the conclusion that these two words were not proper names, but mere titles. ${ }^{2}$ The Maliyapundi grant now shows that in each case they refer to one of the three direct descendants of Pânḍarangea, who bore that title, vis. Niravadyadhavala, Vijayâditya and Durgarâja. It seems preferable to take also Kadeyarája in the grant of Châlukya-Bhîma I. ${ }^{3}$ as a volgar form of Katakarâja, the title of Vijayâditya, and not as a proper name.

The object of the grant was the small village (grâmatikat) of Maliyapanḍi (1. 55) in the district (vishaya) of Kamma-nâṇc̣u (1.42). Its boundaries are given in 1.56 f. The northern boundary, Dharmavuramu, is the Telugu form of Dharmapari, to the sonth of which the Jinâlaya was situated (v. 17). According to the Nellore Distriot Inscriptions (p. 174, note) both Dharmapuram and the western boundary, Kalvakuru, are now in the Addanki division of the Ongole tâluka. Of two inscriptions at Dharmavaram (p. 966 ff. of the same work) the first mentions Gunakenalla (Vijayâditya III.), Pâṇdarañga, the burning of Kiraṇapura, and Dharmavuram. An inscription at Addanki (p. 896 f . of the same work) also refers to Pândaranga and Dharmavuram. Maliyapanḍi itself, the village granted, does not exist any more at present (ibid. p. 167), but its former position is fixed by the identification of two of its boundaries. The district of Kamms-nâṇ̣̣u, to which it belonged, is identical with the Kamma-râshṭra or Kammêka-râshṭa of other inscriptions. To my former remarks on this geographical name ${ }^{4}$ may be added that it occurs as Kam̈mâka-raṭha in the Jaggayyap̂̂ta inscriptions of Purisadata. ${ }^{5}$ This Prâkryit form renders my suggestion that Kammalka may be meant for Kammánika ${ }^{6}$ untenable ; for the latter would have become in Prâkrit Kammanika, and not Kammdka.


[^42]7 नामश्वमेधावभृथ स्नानपविन्नीक्रतवपुषाम् ${ }^{1}$ चालुक्यानां कुलमलंकरिष्योोस्मत्या[य्वर]-
8 यवन्नभेन्ट्रस्य अ्नाता कुधविष्युवर्चनोष्ट[ $T^{*}$ ]द्य वर्ष्षाडिए ${ }^{2}$ वेंगिमएडलमपालयत् । तदात्म-
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9 जो ${ }^{3}$ जयसिहस्त्यस्त्रिंशतम् 1 तदनुजेन्द्रराजनन्दनो विष्युषध्धनो नव 1 तब्मू-नुर्मंगियुवराज-
10 पपंचविंशतिन्तत्पुच्चो जयसिंहस्त्लयोदश 1 तदवरज[:*] कोक्किलिष्षयमासान् । तस्य ज्येष्ठो भ्जाता
11 विष्युवर्धन[स्त]मुचाक्य [स]सनिंशतम् (1) वर्षीरिए [1*] तत्पुन्चो विजयादित्यभद्ट[ $\left.\mathrm{T}^{*}\right]$ रकोष्टाद्य 1 तत्तुतो
12 विष्युवर्ष्य नष्ष ट्रिंगतम् 1 नरेन्द्रमृगराजाख्यो मृगराजपराक्रम: [1*] विजयादित्य-
13 भूपाल:* चत्वारिंशत्समाष्टभि: [॥ २*] तत्पुन: कलिविष्युवर्छनोध्यर्द्ववर्ष । त-
 संग-
$15 \mathrm{~T}^{7}$ गंगानाम्रीवगंगकुटशिखरव्निज्जित्य ${ }^{8}$ सडड[T] लाधीर्श संकिलमुग्रवान्नभयुतं यो भ[T]-
16 ययित्वा चतुस्रत्वारिंश्रमब्दकांय्य विजयादित्यो ररच च्तिति । [₹*] तदनुजस्य सब्ध-

Second Plate; Second Sidè.
17 योवरणज्य स्य विक्रमादित्य स्य सुतश्वात्रक्यभिमस्तिंशतं ${ }^{9}$ [1*] तस्याग्रजो विजयादित्य:
18 षर्मासान् [1*] तदग्र सूनुरम्मराजस्मप्त वर्षाएएा । तत्सूनुमाक्रम्य बालं चालुक्यभिमपि- ${ }^{9}$
19 तुव्ययुष्डमझ्नस्य नम्सनस्ताबनृपो मासमेकं । नानास्तामन्तवग्गैरधिकबसयुतैम्म ${ }^{10}$
20 त्तमातंगसेनो ${ }^{11}$ घात्वा तं तालराजं विषमरणमुखे साएंध्युय्य ते-
21 जा: [1*] एकाष्दं सम्य गंभोनिधिवलयवृतामन्वरन्चष्घ रिन्भि ${ }^{12}$ ग्रीमान्वालुक्य-
${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वपुषा.
${ }^{2}$ Read वर्षाणि.
${ }^{8}$ Read ज्यसिद्६ ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पासग्य खा ${ }^{\circ}$.

- Read ${ }^{\circ}$ नीषंब००.
${ }^{4}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ चिंश्रसं वर्षाषि.
7 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ संगरे गंगानास्रिस गंगकूट".
${ }^{3}$ Read सहुाद्धा ${ }^{\circ}$; in contravention of the rales, the first half of the verse ends in the midale of a compound word.

22 भिर्मच्चतिपरितनयो विक्रमादिल्य भूप: । [8*] पश्यादहमहमिकया विक्र-मादिव्यास्त-
23 म[य*]ने राच्तसा द्व प्रजाबाधनपरा दायादराजप्रा राज्याभिलाषियो युउमस्तर-
24 जमात्तंड्डलकरिकाविजयादिल्यम्रभ्तयो विग्रहिमूतां आ्यास् [1*] विय्य-
Third Plate; First Side.
 येन रणी क्रती [1*] क-
 बहवो दु-
27 घृमृत्तां्बता(:) देशोपप्रवकारिए: प्रकटिता: कालालयं प्रापिता: [1*] 'दोर्हिखेखि-
28 तमखलाग्रतया यस्बोग्रसंग्रामकावाज्ञा ${ }^{10}$ तत्परभृत्ृपैय्य ${ }^{11}$
29 चिरसो मालिव सन्वर्यंते । [द्ध*] नादग्वा ${ }^{19}$ विनिवर्त्तंते रिपकुलं कोपान्मिरा मूल-
30 त: युभ्ं य[स] यशो न लोकमखिलं सन्तिष्षते न भ्भम् [ ${ }^{*}$ "] द्रब्यांभोषरराशिरम्यनुदिन ${ }^{13}$
31 सन्तप्यमाने भृशं दार्द्यियोग्रतरातपेन जनसंसस्ये ${ }^{14}$ न नो वर्षति । [७*] ष चानुक्यभिमनसा ${ }^{16}$ वि-
32 जयादित्यनन्दन[: 1*] द्वादशाय्यात्ममास्म्म्व्व् ${ }^{10}$ रारभिमो धरातलं। [ $\left.\boldsymbol{c}^{*}\right]$ तस्स महेग्वरमू-

Third Plate; Second Side.
$35{ }^{1 /}$ त्तेरमासमानाक्रते: कुमाराभ: [1*] लोकमाह्हादेव्या: ${ }^{18}$ खलु यस्तममववदम्म[सा]34 जाख्य: " [e*] जलजातपन्चामरकलगांकुगलच्चाi[ [क*] करचरणतल: [1*] लसदाजा-

[^43]Maliyapundi grant of Ammaraja II.
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35 नववंबितभुजयुगपरिवो गिरिन्द्रसानुरस्स: ${ }^{1}$ || [९०*] विदितधराधिपविद्यो विविधायु-
36 धकीविदो ${ }^{2}$ विलिनारिकुल: [i*] करतवररगागमक्केशलो हरचरांभोजयुग-

 [ $२^{*}$ *] रिभिरसवसु-
39 संख्याव्द्ट श्रकसमये मार्शंशेषेमारेक्मिन् [1*] "घट्नयोदर्शदिने भुगारे मैचनच्रते [॥ १₹*]
40 धर्जाष खवो घटलग्ने हादश्र्षां तु जन्मनः पहं ["*] योषाटुदयगिरीन्द्री रविमिव लोका-

Fourth Plate ; First Side.
41 नुरागाय ॥ [98*] स ${ }^{\text {हैसमस्तभुवनाग्ययम्यीविजायदित्यम्हाराजाधिराजपरमे- }}$ ग्वर $\backslash$ परम[धा].
 पयति [ ${ }^{*}$ ]
43 कार्य्य[:*] । ${ }^{8}$ किरनपुरमधान्तीत्रिषूरार्जस्सतं यस्तिपर्रमिव ${ }^{10}$ सहेग $X$ पाएउ-

 तर्य[ $\left.T^{*}\right]$ ल.
45 जो निरवद्यधवल[:] कटकराजपदगोभितललाट:18 [1*] तत्तनयो विजया-दिल्यकट-
 ल्यवादि ${ }^{19}$ व्यागि भी[गी]
47 महात्मा समितिष्ड विजयिं विरलध्मिनिवास: ${ }^{21}$ [:*] चालुक्यानां च ल़्यम्या यदसिरपि सदा रच्नखा[य]-22


48 यिव वंश[:*] ख्यातो यस्यापि वेंगीर्गद्तवरमहामएडलालंबनाय । [१६*] तेन क्रतो धर्म्मपु[रीद]-
49 चिएदिशि सज्ञिनालयस्वारुतर: [1*] कटकाभरनख्युभांकितनाम' च 'युन्यालयो वसति [॥ थ०*]

Fourth Plate ; Second Side.
50 "[ग्री]यापुनियघंहप्रपुज्यकोटिमडुवगनेशमुख्यो" य: [1*] "पुन्यारप्हनन्दिगघ्छो जिननन्दिमुनिश्वरो[घ] ग-
 कर [I*]ख्यो सुनिपुंगवोभुत्त् ${ }^{*}$ [1*] यक्षेवलज्ञ[7*]ननिधि-
52 म्म्हात्मा संयं जिनानां यद्विश्यो गुरोषि ॥ [१८*] सीमाम्दिरदिवस्तुनिस्मुतपोनिधिरमवदस्य शिष्य ${ }^{10}$ धीम[7]न् [1*] य-
53 म्परतिहार्य्यम्हिमा ${ }^{11}$ संप्पन्नमिवाभिमन्यते लेक:18 [\| २०*] ${ }^{18}$ तदधिष्टितकरक [ $\left.{ }^{* *}\right]$ भरनजिनालय $[\mathrm{T}]-{ }^{14}$
54 य कटकराज विज्ञस्ती ${ }^{15}$
खएड स्फुटनवक्षत्योपिलिपपुजादिसन्नसिख्घय्यंम् ${ }^{18}$ (।)
55 त्तरायननिमित्ते मलियपू खिनामग्रामटिका सब्बंकरपरिष्छार(म्)सुदक-
56 पुर्व्व ${ }^{17}$ क्रित्वा दत्ता । कस्य ग्राम[स्य"]त्धयः पूर्वंत: मुंज्चुन्य ${ }^{18} \|$ दचियत: यिनिमिलि \# पस्थि[म]-
57 त: कल्बकुु ॥ युत्तरत[:] धर्म्मवुरमु ॥ ${ }^{20}$ वेतद्नामस च्चेनावघय: पूर्व्वत: गोर्लनि-
58 गुएठ ॥ भाग्नेयत[:] रावियरेरियचे $勹$ । दु । दच्चियत: सापितमिला ॥ नैरित्य ${ }^{21}$ स्स $\left[T^{*}\right]$ पितथिलिव [1*]

Fifth Plate.
59 पथ्यिमत: मर्कपधु की उत्तरत: दुब[च]]ल्बणनु [1*]
60 दूशान्याम् ${ }^{22}$ (1) कल्वकुरि एब्वोकचेनि सिमैवः सीमा ।

[^44]- ABRIDGED TRANSLATION.

Verse 1 invokes the religion (sâsana) of the lord Jinêndra.
(Line 7.) Kubja-Vishṇuvardhana (I.), the brother of Satyâsrays-Vallabhêndra who adorned the family of the Châlukyas, ruled the Vêngi country (mandala) for eighteen years; his son Jayasimha (I.) for thirty-three; Vishnuvardhana (II.), the son of his younger brother: Indrarâja, for nine ; his son Mangi-yuvaraja for twenty-five ; his son Jayasimba (II.) for thirteen; his younger brother Kokkili for six months; his eldest brother Vishṇuvardhana (III.), having expelled him, for thirty-seven years; his son Vijayâditya (I.)-bhaṭtâraka for eighteen ; his son Vishnuvardhana (IV.) for thirty-six.
(V. 2.) King Vijayâditya (II.), surnamed Narêndramṛigarâja, who had the courage of a lion, for forty years with eight. ${ }^{1}$
(L. 13.) His son Kali-Vishṇuvardhana (V.) for one year and a half. His son, whose other name was Parachakrarama,
(V. 3.) (was) Vijayâditya (III.), who, having slain in a great battle Mangi, the king of the great Nodamba-râshtra, having defeated the Gangas who took refuge on the peak of Gaigakâta, and having terrified Sankila, the lord of the excellent Dê[hajla, who was joined by the fierce Vallabha, ruled the earth for forty-four years.
(L. 16.) Châlukya-Bhima (I.), the son of his younger brother Vikramâditya (I.) who had received the dignity of $Y$ uvarâja, for thirty. His eldest son ${ }^{3}$ Vijayâditya (IV.) for six months. His eldest son Ammarâja (I.) for seven years. Having overcome his infant son, Tâla-nripa, the son of Yuddhamalla (I.), the paternal uncle of Châlukya-Bhima (I.), for one month.
(V. 4.) Having slain at the head of a rough battle this Tâla-râja together with crowds of different vassals, who were joined by a superior army (and) had troops of furions elephants, the glorious king Vikramâditya (II.), the son of king Chalukya-Bhima (I.), of very fierce power, righteously ruled for one year the earth surrounded by the girdle of the oceans.
(L. 22.) Afterwards at the setting (i.e. the doath) of Vikramâditya (II.), the kinsmenprinces who were desirous of the kingdom, (viz.) Yuddhamalla, Râjamârtaṇ̣a, KaṇthikáVijayâditya, etc., were fighting for supremacy, oppressing the subjects like Râkshasas (at the setting of the sun). In mere war five years passed away. Then (succeeded) 一
(V. 5 f.) The fierce warrior who slew among those Râjamârtaṇạa; who in a battle made Kaṇthikd́-Vijayáditya and Yuddhamalla go to a foreign country; the curved sword wielded by whose strong arm dispatched to the abode of Death many others who, though respectable kings, had shown themselves puffed up by evil conduct (and) causing distress to the country; (and whose) command is carried on the head like a garland by the eager kings of the earth.
(V. 8.) This Râjabhima (II.), the son of Vijayâditya (IV.) (and) grandson of ChalukyaBhima (I.), righteously ruled the surface of the earth for twelve years.
(V. 9.) Ammarâja (II.), who was born to him by Lôkamehâdêvi, as Kumâra to Mahếvara by Umâ ;
(V. 13 f.) Who-as the eastern lord of mountains, to redden the world, ( $p u t s$ on himself) the sun-put on, to please the world, the fillet in the twelfth year of (his) birth, in the year reckoned by the mountains (7), the flavours (6) and the Vasus (8)- (i.e. 887)- of the Saka era,

[^45]in this month of Mârgasirrsha, on the thirteenth day of the dark (fortnight), on Thorsday, in the Maitra (Anarâdhâ) nakshatra, while the sun (was) in Dhanus, in the Ghata lagna;
(L. 41.) This Samastabhuvanâśraya, the glorious Vijayâditya (VI.), the Maráraäjâdhirâja Paramếsuara, the very pions Ammarâja (II.) thus commands all the ryots, headed by the Râshtrpakûtas, inhabiting the distriot (vishaya) of Kamma-nâṇ̣u :-Lords !
(V. 15.) Even one possessed of thousands of mouths (woonld be) unable to count the great achievements of that valiant Pânḍaranga, of spotless fame, who burnt Kiranapura, the residence of Krishṇarâja, as Mahếsa (Śiva) (burrnt) Tripura.
(L. 44.) His son (was) Niravadyadhavala, whose forehead was decorated with the fillet of Fatakarîja. His son (vas) the Kaṭakûdhipati Vijayâditya.

Verse (16.) His son (vas) Durgarâja, whose sword always (served) only for the protection of the fortune of the Châlukyas, and whose renowned family (served) for the support of the excellent great country (mandala) called Vêngi.

* (V. 17.) There is on the southern side of Dharmapurì a very charming excellent temple of Jina (Jinälaya) founded by him, an abode of merit, and marked with the auspicious name of Kaṭakâbharaṇa.
(V. 18.) (There was) the lord of ascetics Jinanandin, who resembled the Ganadharas, belonged to the pure and worthy ${ }^{2}$ Nandi-gachchha (and) was the chief lord of the Kotimaḍuva(P)-gana, which is to be worshipped (as belonging to) the holy Yâpaniva-samgha.
(V. 19.) His first disciple was a chief of ascetics called Div[â]kara, renowned on earth, a store of highest knowledge (and) high-souled, who resembled the Jinas themselves by great virtues.
(V. 20.) Fis disciple was the wise ascetic Strimândiradêva, a store of great austerities, whom people desire as if he were possessed of the power of pratihatrya. ${ }^{3}$
(L. 53.) To the Katakâbharana-Jinâlaya superintended by him there was given, at the request of the Katakardija, for the cost of repairs of breaks and cracks, offerings, worship, etc., and of an alms-house (sattra), on the occasion of the winter solstice (uttarâyana), the small village named Maliyapandi, with exemption from all taxes, with libations of water.
(L. 56.) The boundaries of this village (are): in the east Muñjuny[u]ru; in the south Yinimili; in the west Kalvakuru; in the north Dhermavuramu.
(L. 57.) The boundaries of the fields of this village (are): in the east the Gollani-gunṭha (pond) ; in the south-east the Râviga-periya-cheruvu (tank) ; in the south a demarcation stone; in the south-west also a demarcation stone ; in the west Malkaparru and the Korabôyu-tatâka ( $\operatorname{tank}$ ) ; in the north-west also a demarcation stone; in the north the Duba-cheruvu (tank) ; in the north-east the boundary (is) also the boundary of the Ervôka-chênu (field) in Kalvakuru.


## No. 7.-PLATES OF VIJAYA-DEVAVARMAN.

## By Professor E. Hultzsch, Pe.D.; Halle (Salee).

Two sets of excellent ink-impressions of this grant were sent to me by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya, who had received the original plates from the Collector of the Kistna district.

[^46]"The plates belong to the Head Assistant Collector of Narsapur, in whose office they have been lying for a long time. The person from whom they were origially obtained is not known."
"The copper-plates are four in number. Their length is $5 \frac{3}{4}$ inches, and their height $2 \frac{1}{4}$ inches at the ends and 21 inches in the middle. Their margins are not raised into rims. The ring was cut by me (viz. Mr. Venkayya) for the first time. Its diameter is $2 \frac{5}{8}$ inches, and its thickness slightly over $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ inch. The ends of the ring are secured at the base of an oval seal, measuring $2 \frac{1}{8}$ by $1 \frac{1}{8}$ inches. The seal is all but obliterated ; but a faint trace of some quadruped - perbaps a tiger - can be seen."

The insoription on the plates is carefally engraved and on the whole in a state of very good preservation. The alphabet resembles that of the three grants of Simhavarman ${ }^{1}$ and of the plates of Vijaya-Nandivarman.2. But neither $t$ nor $n$ have a loop at the left. As in the Hirahaḍagalli plates, ${ }^{3}$ the former is distinguished by a slight curve at the right; compare e.g. the ta of etassa (1.8) with the ra of vayanera (1.7). As first members of a consonant group both look the same: see the tt̂̂ of $\hat{a} c h c h h e \hat{t} t \hat{a}$ and the nttâ of cheâmumant $\hat{a}$ (1.17). Final forms of $t$ and $m$, followed by a mark of punctuation which looks like a right angle, occur at the end of lines 17 and 19. The numerical symbol 20 occurs in line 11 , the symbols 3 and 10 are used in the date (1. 15), and the plates ii. $a$, ii.b, iii.a, iii.b and iv. are numbered consecutively, like the pages of a modern book, ${ }^{4}$ with the symbols $2,3,4,5$ and 6 on the left margin; on the first plate the sacred syllable $\hat{o} m$ ocoupies the place of the figure 1.

The language is Prâkrit prose, with the exception of the last plate which bears two of the customary Sanskrit verses. While in the cave inscriptions every double consonant is expressed by a single letter, the orthography of the prose part of the subjoined plates agrees in this respect with that of the literary Prâkrit and of the British Museum plates of Chârudêvî. ${ }^{5}$ In samuvachohhara (1. 14) $v$ is donbled after anusvâra. The language of the new plates is more archaic than that of the literary Prâkrit in one important point: single consonants between vowels generally remain unchanged. ${ }^{6}$ Thus $k$ is preserved in bhattiaraka (1.3); $k h$ in pamukha (1. 7); $g$ in bhagavato (1. 1); $j$ in vijaya (11. 1, 6, 14), yâjin (1.5) and mahârâja (1. 6) ; $t$ in blagavato (1.1), anujjhâta (1. 2f.), bhậitavva and eta (1.8), pariharitavva (1. 13 f.$)$; th in ratha (1. 2) ; $d$ in $p \hat{a} d a$ (11. 2 and 3) and padesa (1. 10); $d h$ in medha (1. 5). But elision and ya-śruti have taken place in addhiya (1.11) for ârdhilka, niyattana (1. 10) for nivartana, vayana (1. 7) for vachana, and at the beginning of the enclitic cha in duvaggana ya (1. 12) and pariharitavvo ya (1. 13 f.). 7 The word Pausha (1.15) appears in its Sanskrit form. ${ }^{8}$ Dental $n$ occurs in ainujjhâta (1. 2 f.), Sâlailiầyana (1. 4), yâjino (1.5), gharat thaña (11. 11 and 12) $=$ Sanskrit grihasthana, and lingual $n$ in bhanitavva (1. 8), Ganasamma (1. 9), duvaggâna and rakhhana (1.12), samạnatta (1.13) = Sanskrit samajjñapta, ${ }^{9}$ and sam̉veachchharáni (1. 14). Both $n$ and $n$ appear in vayanena (1.7), niyattanûn $[i]$ (1.10) and manussânam (1.11). ${ }^{10}$ Among the remaining Prâkrit words may be noted the two numerals terasa (1.15) and vîsam (1. 11), ${ }^{11}$ and of other inflected words the ablative Venigipura (1. 1), the genitives Devavammassa (1. 6) and Ganasammassa (1. 9), ${ }^{12}$ the two differently formed locatives Elutre (1.7) and padesamhi (1.10), and the instrumental parihârehi (1.13).

The inscription is dated on the tenth tithi of the dark fortnight of Pausha in the thirteenth year (in words and figures, 1.14 f.) of the Mahârâja Vijeya-Dêvavarman (1.6), who issned

[^47]this grant from Vêngipura (1. 1) and addressed it to the villagers of Êlûra (1. 7). The donee was named Ganasarman (1.9) and received twenty (in words and figures, l. 11) nivartanas of land, evidently near Elûra, together with a site for his house and a site for the honses of his servants,

The king is described as 'the performer of horse-sacrifices, the Sallankầyana, the fervent Mâhêsvara, who is devoted to the feet of the lord (his) father, (and) who meditates at the feet of the holy Chitrarathasvâmin.' Nearly the same epithets are applied in another set of plates to the Mahârâja Vijaya-Nandivarman, ${ }^{1}$ who was the son of the Mahârâja Chanḍavarman, issued his grant likewise from Vêngipura, and addressed it to the inhabitants of a village in the district of Kudrâhâra. ${ }^{\circ}$ This family may be designated the Ŝâlañkâyana Mahârâjas of Vêngipura. As Dêvavarman's grant is in Prâkrit, he was presumably an ancestor of Chandavarman's son Nandivarman, whose grant is in Sanskrit.

Vêngîpura, the capital of the Śâlañkâyanas, has been identified with Pedda-Vôgi, a village near Ellose in the Godavari district. ${ }^{3}$ The correctness of this identification is confirmed by the existence of a small monnd which, on a visit to Pedda-Vêgi in 1902, was shown to me by the villagers as the site of the ancient temple of Chitrarathassâmin, ${ }^{4}$ the family deity of the Sâlainkâyana Mahârâjas. Other indications point to the same part of the country. The plates of Vijaya-Nandivarman were found in the neighbouring Kolleru lake, ${ }^{5}$ and Clutra, to whose inhabitants the snbjoined edict was addressed, is no doubt the modern town of Elûrn (Ellore), 7 miles from Pedda-Vêgi.

TEXT. 6
First Plate,
O家 ${ }^{7}\left[{ }^{*}\right]$
1 Sirî-vijasa-Veñgìpurâ [1*] Bhagavato
2 Chittarathasâmi-pâdânu-
3 jjhâtassa bappabhatṭ̂arraka-pâdabhattassa
Second Plate; First Sids.
4 paramamâhessarassa Sâlanikâyanassa
5 assamedhayâjino
6 mabârâja-sirî-Vijaya-Devavammassa
Second Plate; Second Side.
7 vayaṇena Elûre muḷaḍa-pamukho
8 gâmo bhânịitavvo [ ${ }^{*}$ ] Etassa
9 Ba[bhura]-sagottassa Gzṇasammassa
Third Plate; First Side.
10 sundara-padesamhi bhûmi-niyattaṇân[i]
11 vissam 20 gharaṭṭtânam addhiya-manussâṇam
12 duvaggâṇa ya gharaṭ̣hânam parihâra-rakkhaṇam்

[^48]$i$.

$22 a$.

iib.

iiia.
 $\sim$
iiib.


2v.


## Third Plate ; Second Side.

samânạattaḿ [1*] Evaṃ savva-parihârehi pariharitavo ya [1*] Vijaya-samivtachchharâṇi terasa 103 Pausha-kâlapakkha-dasamî [10?][[*]

Fourth Plate.
16 Shashṭim varsha-sahasrâṇi svarggê krîḍati blûmi-daḥ [ ${ }^{*}$ ]
17 âchchhêttâ ch=ânumantâ cha tâny=êva narakề vasêt ||
18 Bahubhir=vasudhâ dattâ bahłubhiś=ch=ânupâlitâ [1*]
19 yasya yasya yadâ bhûmiḥ̂ tasya tasya tadâ phala[m] II

## TRANSLATION.

Oin. (Line 1.) From the prosperous and victorious Vêngipura. The villagers ${ }^{3}$ of Elutra, headed by the Muluda, ${ }^{4}$ must be addressed ( $a$ e follows) by the word of the glorious Mahariaja Vijaya-Dêvavarman, the performer of horse-sacrifices, the Śâlañkâyana, the fervent Mâhêśvara, who is devoted to the feet of the lord (his) father, (and) who meditates at the feet of the holy ${ }^{5}$ Chitrarathasvâmin :-
(L. 8.) "It has been ordered that to this ${ }^{6}$ Ganasarman of the $\mathrm{Ba}[\mathrm{bhura}]$ ] gôtra (there have to be made over) twenty-20-nivartanas of land in a handsome locality, a house-site (for himself, and) a house-site for the men who receive half the crop ${ }^{8}$ and for (his) door-keepers, ${ }^{9}$ (and) that the immunities (granted to him) have to be protected. And thus he must be exempted with all immunities. (In) the victorious year thirteen-13- (of the reign), (on) the tenth - [10]- tithi of the dark fortnight of Pausha."
[LI. 16-19 contain two of the usual verses.]

## No. 8.-BENARES INSCRIPTION OF PANTHA.

## By P. Data Ram Sahnr.

I edit this inscription from two rabbings kindly supplied to me, one by Dr. J. Ph. Vogel, Superintendent, Archæological Survey, Northern Circle, and the other by Mr. G. D. Ganguli, Curator, Provincial Museum, Lucknow. A transoript of it has been published before in 1886 by Prof. Hultzsch in the Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. XL. p. 55.

The slab on which the inscription is engraved is said to have been discovered in the vicinity of the modern city of Benares, and is one of the twenty-four objects which were presented. at the instance of Mr. J. H. Marshall, to the Lucknow Museum by the Principal of Queen's

[^49]College, Benares, in December 1903. ${ }^{1}$ In discussing these sculptures, Dr. Vogel also noticed this stone, but failed to ascertain its precise find-spot. ${ }^{2}$

Judging from the rubbings, the slab which bears the extant portion of the insoription measures $26^{\prime \prime}$ by $15^{\prime \prime}$ ( 66 cm . by 38 cm .). The stone-mason has done his work with great care and neatness, and the letters are deeply cat.

The inscription consists of eight lines, but it is far from complete. About one-fifth of the entire slab is broken away along the proper left cage, and consequently lines 1-7 have each lost a number of syllables, which varies from nine to fourteen. The first three syllables of the first line and the first alshara of the second line have also disappeared owing to a small piece of stone having chipped off from the upper right corner. The record is further damaged by the surface having more or less peeled off in the marginal portious.

The characters of the inscription very closely resemble those of an inscription from Jhâlrâpâtan ${ }^{3}$ and are of the ornamental type current in Northern India about the beginning of the eighth century A.D. Attention must, however, be drawn to the letters bh and $y .{ }^{4}$ The former of these always, and the latter in several cases, exhibit forms which come very close to those of the Maukhari alphabet of the sixth centary A.D. The language is correct Sanskrit and metrical throughoat. As regards orthography, there are three different points which deserve notice: (1) the doubling of the letters $m, t, p$ and $v$ in conjunction with a preceding or following $r$, in -maranayôr=mmôksha-, l. 1; yattra, 1. 2; attra and -mûttih, 1.4; sarppat-sarppa- and -ruchir=vvilôla-, 1. 6; (2) the substitution of a single consonant for a double one in tatvar, l. 3; -vrity $\hat{a}$, 1. 4; ujvalain, 1. 7; and (3) the use of $v$ for $b$ in vrahmahû, l. 2, and sandhivandha-, l. 7.

The inscription is not dated, and its object is to record the erection of a shrine of Bhavani at Benares. There are altogether five verses, the first three in the Sragdhari and the last two in
 The purport of the second verse is not quite certain; it seems to speak of a particular quarter of the holy city, which was often visited even by the moon when practising her penance. The third verse contains an eulogy of the builder of the shrine, named Pantha, and the last two speak of the consecration of the Bhavânî image (?) and the construction of the shrine, respectively.

## TEXT.

1 [Ońn svasti $\|^{*}$ ] [Khyâ]tâ Vârâṇas=îyam tribhuvana-bhavan-âbhôga-chaur=îti dûrât= sèvantê yâm viraktâ janana-maranayōor=mmôksha-sakt-aika-[chi]ttâh [I] sô —— - - - $\checkmark$
$2 \cup[t a]$ sagaṇ̂ yattra dêvô vimaktah yâṃ dṛishṭ̂â vra(bra)hmah=âpi chyuta-kalikalurhô jâyatê suddha-bhûvah \| [1*] Asyâm=uttañga-śṛinga-sphuṭa-ša[sí]-kiraṇa-[švêta-bhâbâ .sanâtham ramy-âyâma*]-
3 pratôlî-vividha-ja tapadavstrî-vilâe-âbhirâmamं | vidyâ-vêdârtha-tatva(ttra)-vrata-japa-niyama-vyagra-chandr-âbhijushṭam srimat=sthânam [pri]tthivyâ $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup-$ — - — - - - II [2*]
4 Attr=âbhût=Pantha-nâmê śisisur=api vinaya-ryâpaṭó. bhadra-mûrttih tyâgî dhîrah kritajñah parilaghu-vibhavô=py=âtma- ${ }^{6}$ vṛity $(t t y)=a ̂ b h i t u\left[s h t ̣ a h ̣^{6} \quad \mid\right.$ Gañgâ-srôtaś-suchi- grin $^{*}$ ]-

[^50]Benares inscription of Pantha.


5 Himagiri-sikhar-ârôha-khêdâd=ṛitê=mbhah bhaktô bhaktyâ Śivô mê parishad=api guṇais=tôshitâ yêna nityam || [3*] Tên=ânêka-vidhâna-dîkshạ̣a-[şataiḥ saṃ-sthâpit=lârtha-vyayaị̣*]
6 chaṇ̣̣̃̂ chaṇạa-narôttamânga-rachita-vyâlambi-mâl-ôtkatâ 1 sarppat-sarppa-vivêshṭit-âğga-paraśu-vyâviddha-śushk-âmishâ lîlâ-nritta-ruchir=vvilô[la-²nayanâ mûrtir= ${ }^{3}$ Bhavânyâh ṣ̂ubhâ || 4*]
7 [Samisthâ]py=âpi na tasya [tushṭi]r=a[bha]vad=yâvad=Bhavânî-gṛihaṃ suślishṭ. âmala-sandhiva(ba)ndha-gbatititam ghaṇṭ̣̂-ninâd-ôjva(jjva)lam 1 ramyam் dṛishṭiharaṃ sillâ $\smile \cup \cup-\cdots-\cup-$ - -
8 [prârûḍLa]-dhvaja-châmaram் su[kṛi]tinâ srêyô-rthinâ kâ:itaṃ \| [5*]

## TRANSLATION.

[Oim. Hail!] (Verse l.) Famous is this Vârâṇasî which, having usurped the extent ${ }^{4}$ of the abode of the three worlds, is worshipped from afar by passionless people, with their mind solely fixed on liberation from birth and death; at which place was emancipated the god ${ }^{5}$. . -. : . . . . . with his attendants ; and at the sight of which even the murderer of a Brâhmana, freed of the stain of sin, becomes pure of heart.
(V. 2.) In this (city there was) a place, renowned on earth; [bathed in the white light] of the bright rays of the moon (as they fell on its) lofty turrets; charming with the gracefulness of the wives of the various inhabitants of the [beantiful and extensive] ${ }^{6}$ streets; a favourite resort of the moon ${ }^{7}$ engaged in study, interpretation of the Vêdas, (search after) truth, (observance of) vows, mattering of prayers and austerities
(V. 3.) Here lived (a man) named Pantha, who even as a child was well-behaved, ${ }^{3}$ handsome, generous, wise, grateful, (and) contented with his earnings in spite of his limited means; (who ussd to think thus to himsslf): 'The god (ambhah) ${ }^{9}$ Siva is worshipped by my devotion without the toil of ascending the peaks of the Himâlaya, [purified by the waves of the Ganges] ;' and who constantly gladdened the assembly (of the wise) by (his) virtues.
(V. 4.) By him [was erected at a considerable cost (and) [with hundreds of] different consecrations [a beantiful image of Bhavânî], fierce-looking, awe-inspiring owing to a garland formed of gruesome haman heads hanging (from her neck); with limbs encircled by crawling snales, and with dry flesh pierced on an axe; delighting in a sportive dance, (and) with rolling [eyes].

[^51](V. 5.) Not satisfed with the erection (of this image only), the pious man, desirons of bliss, cansed to be built a shrine of Bhavenin, which was joined with a very adbesive and bright cement, resplendent with the sound of bells, lovely, attractive, . . . . . . . . (and decorated) with lofty flags and yak-tails.

## No. 9.-THE CHAHAMANAS OF NADDULA.

## By Professor F. Kiblhorn, C.T.E.; Götuingen.

Of the Châhamânas of Ŝâkambharl we possess two long inscriptions. One of them is the Harsha inscription of Vigraharaja, edited by me in Ep. Ind. Vol. II. p. 116 ff. It is dated in the [Vikrama] year 1030, corresponding to about A.D. 973, and gives the genealogy of the Châhamânas from Gûvaka I., 'who attained to pre-eminence as a hero in the assembly of the glorions Nâgivalôka, ${ }^{1}$ the foremost of kings,' to Vigraharîja. The other is the difficult Bijoli (Bijooli, Bijolia, Bijholi) rock inscription of the reign of Sômêfrara, which has been unoritieally edited in Journ. As. Soc. Beng. Vol. LV. Part I. p. 40 ff. This inscription is dated in the Vikrams year 1226, corrosponding to A.D. 1170, and gives a long genealogy, commencing with Sâmanta, the reputed founder of the family, and ending with Sômêsvara. ${ }^{9}$ Between these two longer records, and subsequently to the second, we have a few shorter inscriptions of the same family, notably the Delhi Siwâlik pillar inscriptions of Visaladêva-Vigraharaja of A.D. 1164, and two short inscriptions on the defeat of the Chandella Paramardidêva by the Chahamanna Prithyirâja II., of the [Vikrama] year 1239=A.D. 1182 . The latest available date for this family is the [Vikrama] year $1244=$ A.D. $1187 .{ }^{\circ}$

From this Sâkambharif family there branched off, some time in the first half of the 10th century A.D., another line of Ohâhamânas (or Châhomânas), which was founded by the Śâkambharí prince Lakshmaỵa, and which for a long time had ite seat of government at Naddala, the modern Nsdol in the Jodhpar State of Rajapntâna. ${ }^{6}$ To this branoh of the family there is assigned in my Northern Irist only a single insaription, No. 141, the Nadol copper-plate inscription of the Mahâraja Âlhanadêraa of A.D. 1161. But there belong to it alse other inscriptions of the List, inscriptions of chiefs whose connection with the family was not known

[^52]when I compiled the List. My object in wriling this paper is, to give the genealogy of these Châhamânas of Naddûla, so far as the documents which lately have come to my knowledge enable me to do so. For this purpose I shall give the texts of three inscriptions which the kindness of Dr. Fleet and Mr. Ganrishankar Hirachand Ojha allows me to edit, and an account of the contents of some Mount Âbû inscriptions, based on excellent impressions for which we have to thank Mr. Cousens.

## A. $\operatorname{NaDOL}$ PLATES OF ALHAṆADÊVA; [VIKRAMA-]SAM்VAT 1218.

These plates were obtained by Colonel Tod, ${ }^{1}$ in October 1819, at Nadol, a town in the Jôdhpur State of Rajpputanna, and presented to the Royal Asiatic Society. An account of their contents was given by him in his Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan, Vol. I. p. 804; and the inscription which they contain was edited, in a rather slovenly manner, by Rao Bahadur H. H. Dhruva, in Journ. Bombay As. Soc. Vol. XIX. p. 26 ff. I re-edit it from an excellent photolithograph, ${ }^{8}$ prepared under the superintendence of, and kindly placed at my disposal by, Dr. Fleet.

These are two plates, each of which measures abont $8 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ broad by $6 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}$ high. The first plate is inscribed on one side only, and the second on both sides. The edges of the inner sides of them are fashioned slightly thicker, so as to protect the writing, and the insoription is in a state of perfect preservation. Both plates contain a hole for a ring, but the ring and any seal that may have been attached to it have not been preserved. The characters are Nâgari. The language is Sanskrit, and the greater part of the text is in verse. In respect of orthography it will suffice to state that the letters $b$ and $v$ are both denoted by the sign for $v$, and that the dental sibilant is often used for the palatal. The text contains-a considerable namber of clerical mistakes, most of which can be easily corrected. Other mistakes are shown by the metre to be due to the author himself, who possessed no accurate knowledge of Sanskrit. Of these I would point out here merely the wrong sambhi in sprikayan=amaratám, (for sprihayann=amarata $\dot{m}$ ) in line 17, the meaningless -pragunîbhâtâpasavyakah pânih (for-pragunîbhûtâpasavyapânih) in line 21 , and the omission of some word like viditam before the words vô=stu in line 18. In lines 13,14 and 16 the potential syât is used for asti or bhavati. ${ }^{3}$

The inscription records a donation by the Mahârâja Âlhaṇadêva of Nadaûla. ${ }^{4}$ According to lines 18-23, this chief, on Sunday, the 14th tithi (described as mahâchaturdasî̀-parvan ${ }^{5}$ ) of the bright half of Srâvaṇa in the year 1218, after worshipping the San and î́ŝña (Śiva) and making gifts to Brahmaṇs and gurus, granted to (the Jaina temple of) Mahâviradêva in the Saṇ̣êraka gachchha, ${ }^{6}$ at the holy place ${ }^{7}$ (mahâsthâna) of Naddûla, a monthly sum of five drammas, (to be paid) from the castom-honse (sulka-mandapika ${ }^{8}$ ) in the grounds ${ }^{9}$ of Naddula.

[^53]The inscription, after the words 'a $\dot{a}$, sdoration to the Omniscient,' opens with a verse in which the holy Mahâviradêva, 'the youngest of the Jinas,' is desired to bestow welfare. It then (in verses 2-7) gives the following genealogy of the grantor:-

In the Châhumana race there was first at Naddûla the king Lakshmana. His son was Sôhiya, and his son Balirâja. After him came his paternal uncle Vigrahapâa. His son was Mahêndra, his son Aṇahilla, and his son Bâlaprasâda. His brother was Jêndrarâja, and his son Prithivipala. His brother was Jôjalla, and his younger brother Ấsâraja, whose son was Àlhanadêva. Nothing of historical importance is said abont any of these chiefs.

According to lines 33-38, the dûta of this grant was the minister, appointed to the secretaryship (śrikarana), ${ }^{1}$ Lakshmîdhara, the son of Dharaṇigga, of the Prâgvâta race; and the grant was composed and written by Śrîdhara, the son of Vâsala (Vissla ?), who was the son of Manôratha, of the family of the Naigamas. The inscription ends with the words 'this is the own hand (i.e. sign-manual) of the Muhârája, the illustrious Âlhanadêva.'

Naddûls of course is the modern Nadol where the plates were obtained, and where the temple of Mahêvira to which the grant was made apparently atill exists. ${ }^{\circ}$ The date of the grant, for the expired Ohaitradi Vikrama year 1218, regularly corresponds to Sunday, the Eth August A.D. 1161, when the 14th tithi of the bright half of Srâvana ended 15 h .35 m . after maean suarise. ${ }^{3}$

TEXT.
First Plate.
 kshayishṭhạ̣ parihṛita-madamârakrôdha-
2 lôbhâdivârah 1 duritaśikhari-sam̉vaḅ̂ svó(śvô)vasîyam cha sam vas= tribhuvanakrita-sêvaḅ $1^{9}$ srî-Mahâví-
3 radêvaḥ || [1*] Astilo parama â-jalanidhi jagati-talêt Châhumâna-vamésô hi $\operatorname{tav}($ tr $)=$ âbîn $=$ Nedû(ddû)lé bhûpah
 Sôhiyas ${ }^{13}$ mad=anu sûnuḥ| strî-Va(ba)lirâjô râ-
5 jà Vigrahapalô=nu cha pitrivyâ(vyah) \| [3*] Tasy=ât=14tanûjô bhâpâlậ $\left.\right|^{15}$白俭-Mahêndradêv-akhyah 1 taj-jah srị ${ }^{16}$
6 Aṇahilô(llô) ${ }^{17}$ nṛipati-varô=bhât=prithala-têjâh II [4*] Tat-sûnụ̣ SrîVa(ba)laprasâda ity=ajani pârthiva-


[^54]8 ryarritisôbh-âḍhyah 1 tasmâd=abhavad=bhrâtâ srî-Jôjallô ranaras-âtmê $\quad$ [ll 6*] Tad-avarajô=bhûch=chhrîmâ-
 nâm=âbhût || [7*]
 sva(sû)ditâhitagaṇa.lalanâ
11 nayanasalil-aughaih || [8*] Sô=yam mahâ-kshitîsah sạram=idaḿ vu(bu)ddhimân= achimtayata $\left[\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ iha saḿ-
 strîkukshi-madhyê pala-rudhira-vasâ-
 вyân=nu janmâ ${ }^{5}$ dharmm-â-
14 dinâm=avêttâ bhavati hi niyatam vá (bâ)lą-bhâvas=tatah syâ (syâ)t=târuṇam svalpamâtram் svajana-pari-
15 bhavasthâ(?) natâa ${ }^{6}$ vriddha-bhâvaḥ $\operatorname{lil}$ ) [10*] Khadyôtôdyô(ddyô)ta-tulyâh $1^{7}$ kshanam=iha sukhadâh sampa-

## Second Plate; First Side.

16 dô drishța-nashṭâh prâņitvam chamichalaṃ syâd=dalam=upari yathâ tôyaviḿdur=nnalinyâh | jũâtv=aivám ${ }^{8}$ sva-pi-
17 trô sprihayan= ${ }^{9}$ amaratấm $\quad$ ch=aihikâm ${ }^{10}$ dharmma-kîrtti dêŝấñtól ${ }^{11}$ râjaputrâñ ${ }^{12}$ janapada-gaṇ̂n vô(bô)dhayaty=êva
18 vô=stur ${ }^{13}$ || [11"] Sam 1218 varshê | Sravaṇa-śudi 14 Ravau | asminn=êva mahâchaturduaśsi-parvvaṇi II Snâtrâlí dhauta-
19 patê̂ nivêsya(śya) $)^{16}$ dahanê datv=Ahutîn ${ }^{18} \quad$ punya(nya) kyin=Mâmintradasyá ${ }^{17}$ tamahprapâtạna-paṭ̂ọh saṃpûrya ch=âghamjijilimi ${ }^{18}$ [|*]
20 trailôka(kya)sya prabhum oharâchara-gurum் sam்கュapya pam்ch-âmpitair=Íśânam் kanak-înna-vastra-dadanaih ${ }^{19}$ samppûjya viprâ-
21 n gurûn \| [12*] Anu ${ }^{20}$ tilakukshâtôdaka ${ }^{21}$ praguṇîbhûtâpasaryakaḥan pâṇih $\|(1)$ ŝâsanam=ênam= ${ }^{23}$ ayachchhata jầ-

[^55]22 vach-chaḿdrârkkapûpâlami ${ }^{2} \mid(\mid 1)$ [13*] Śrí-Naddûla-mahâsthânê frí-Samị̣̂raka-gachchhê ǵrî-Mahâvîradêvâya şrî-Naddûla-
23 talapada-sulkamamidạapikâyâmin mâsânumâsam dhûpavềârtham ${ }^{2}$ šâsanêna dra ${ }^{3} \quad 5$ pamicha prâdât [1*] Asya
24 dêvarasyanami ${ }^{4}$ bhumjjânasya asmadvaḿśếjair=tbhâvi-bhôttribhir=aparaí̄=cha paripamithanâ na kâryâ। yatah [1*]
25 'Sâmânŷó=yam dharma-sêtur $=n$ ripâṇ̣̂̂m kâlê kâlô pâlanîyô bhavadbhih sarvvân=êvam bhâvinab pâ-
26 rthivềmdrân bhûyô bhûyô yâchatê Râmachamidrah II [14*] Tasmât I ${ }^{7}$ Asmadanva[ya*]jâ bhûpâ bhâvi-bhûpatayaśsoha yê [1*]
27 têshâmąhar் karề lagnah pâlanîyam=idam sadâ I(II) [15*] Asmad-vaṁ́sê parikshîṇê yaḥ kaśchim ${ }^{8}$ nụipatir=bhavêt [ [*]
28
29 dibha bas)hubhir=vasudhâ bhuktâ râjanyaih Sagar-â-
dibhih [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ yasya jasya yadâ bhûmí(mi)s=tasya tasya tadâ phalaḿ [|| 17*] Vvashthi- ${ }^{9}$ varsha-sahasrâṇi svarggê tishṭhati dâna-
30 dah [ [ ${ }^{* *}$ ] âchchhêtâ(ttâ) ch=ânumańtâ cha tâny=êva narakam ${ }^{10}$ vasê[t] [\|18*] -Sva-dattam para-dattam vâ dêva-dâyam harêta yah [ $\left[1^{*}\right]$ sa
 âtavîvy (shv) =atôyâsu śushkakôtara-vâsi-

Second Plate; Second Side.

 va(ba)bhûva dû-
34 tah prâ(pra)tibhâ-nivâsô Lakshmídharah đ́rikaraṇ̣̂ niyôgí || [21*] ${ }^{13}$ Â sît=sva-
35 chchha-malầ (nâ) Manôratha iti prâk ${ }^{14}$ Naigamânâm kulê sâ( $\mathrm{fâ}$ ) atra. jûânasudhârasa-
36 plavita- ${ }^{-15 d h i ̂ s=t a j-j o ̂=b h a v a t t^{16} \quad \text { Vâsalah } 1 \quad \text { putras=tasya } \quad \text { va(ba)bhâva } \quad \text { lôka- }}$ vasani(ti) b 6́rî-
37 Śrîdharah Śrîdharê sâpâstî rachayâmochakâra lilikhê oh=êdaṃ mahâ-sá38 [sa]nami || [22*] Sva-hastó=yamín mahârâja-ธ́rî-Âlhanadêvasya ||

## B.-NADOL PLATES OF THE RÂJAPUTRA KIRTIPALIA; [VIKRAMA-]SAM゙VAT 1218.

Mr. Gaurishankar Hirachand Ojha of Udaipur in Râjputâna has informed me that these piates also were obtained, in the course of last year, at Nadol. My account of them is mainly based on two good rubbings, kindly supplied to me by Mr. Ojhs.

[^56]These also are two plates, each of which measures about $9 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $6 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ high. The first plate is inscribed on one side only, and the second on both sides. ${ }^{1}$. The writing on them is in a state of perfect preservation. Each plate contains a hole for a ring; I do not know whether the ring and any seal that may have been attached to it have been preserved. The characters are Nâgarî, and the language is Sanskṛit. The text oontains eight verses ${ }^{2}$ of chiefly genealogical matter, three of the ordinary imprecatory verses, and one verse giving the writer's name; the rest is in prose, which in one or two places is grammatically incorrect. As regards orthography, the letter $v$ is used for both $b$ and $v$, except in -labdhajanmâ, 1. 3; the dental sibilant is used for the palatal in Mahêsvaram, 1. 22 ; and the sign of avagraha is once employed, in smgajah, 1. 16. In line 29 the gerund lagitva is used in the sense of 'commencing from, beginning with.'

The inscription records a grant by the Rajaputra (or king's son) Kirtipala, a son of Âlhaṇadêva of Naddâla. After the words óm svasti, it invokes the blessing of the gods Brahman, Śrídhara (Vishṇu), and Śamkara (Śiva), 'who, always free from passion, are famous in the world as Jinas' (or Jaina Arhats). It then (in verses 2-8) gives the following genealogy :-

In the town of Sakambhari there was formerly, in the Châhamana ${ }^{3}$ lineage, the king Vakpatiraja. His son was Lakshmana, who was king at Naddûla; and his son was Sôbhita. From him sprang Balirâja, and after him there ruled his paternal uncle Vigrahapâla. Vigrahapâla's son was Mahêndra, his son Anahilla, and his son Jêndrarâja, from whom sprang Â ŝarâja. ${ }^{4}$ His son was Âlhaṇa, the lord of Naddûla, who defeated the Saurâshtrikas. This king married Annalladêvi, ${ }^{5}$ a daughter of Anahula of the Rashṭrauc̣a ${ }^{6}$ race, who bore to him three sons - Kêlhaṇa, Gajasimha, and Kirtipala. Of these, Kêlhana, the eldest son, was made kumadra (or heir-apparent) and given a share in the government.

According to 1.17 ff . the Râjakerla ${ }^{7}$ Âlhaṇadêva and the Kumâra Kêlhaṇadêva were pleased to give to the Rajaputra Kirtipala twelve villages appertaining to Naddûlêi. And then, on Monday, the 5th of the dark half of Sravana of the year 1218, the Rajaputra Kirtipala, after bathing etc. at Naddûla and worshipping the Sun and Mabếvara (Siva), granted a yearly sum of two drammas from each of the twelve villages of Naddualai to (the temple of) the Jina Mahavira at the village of Naddûlai, and ordered this money to be paid in the month of Bhâdrapada of every year, commencing with the year then current. The twelve villages to which this order referred were Naddûlâigrâma, Sûjêra, Dariji, Kaviláda, Sônânam, Môrakara, Haravandam, Máḑạ́a, Kânasuvam, Dêvasûri, Nâdâḍa, and Maüvaḍi.

So far as I can judge, the village of Naddelat mentioned in the above is different from (the mahâsthâna) Naddûla, and the words Naddûlât-pratibaddha in line 18 appear clearly to show that Naddûlaî not merely was the name of a village, but almo denoted the district to which the twelve villages given to Kirtipala belonged.- On the map of the Râjputâna Agency I find,

[^57]south-east of Nadol, Desuri, which most probably is the Dêvasûrî of this inscription; of the other villages I can find no traces on the map.

After the imprecatory verses the inscription (in lines 33 and 34) has the words' 'this is the own haud ( $i .6$. sign-manaal) of the Mahâájaputra (or Mahârâja's son), the illustrious Kirtipala,' and the statement that this grant was written by Subhamikara, the son of Dâmôdara and grandson of the Kayastha Soḍha of the Naigama lineage.

The possible equivalents of the date, for the pûrnimântic and amânta month Śsâvana, would be -
for the Chaitrâdi Vikrama year 1218 current: Saturday, the 25 th June A.D. 1160, and Monday, the 25th July A.D. 1180 (when the 5th tithi of the dark half ended 9 h .8 m . after mean sunrise);
for the Ohaitrâdi Vikrama year 1218 expired: Friday, the l4th July A.D. 1161, and Sunday, the 13th Augast A.D. 1161 (when the 5th tithi of the dark half ended 3 h .16 m . after mean sunrise);
for the Karattikâdi Vikrama year 1218 expired: Tuesday, the 3rd July A.D. 1162 (whon the 5th tithi of the dark half onded 11 h .58 m . after mean sunrise), and Thursday, the 2 nd Augast A.D. 1162.

From this it will be seen that the given date is correct only for the amánta month Śrâvana of the current Chaitrâdi Vikrama year 1218, and therefore apparently corresponds to Monday, the 25 th July A.D. 1160. As current Vikrama years are quoted very rarely, this result is not perhaps quite free from suspicion; but I can see no valid reason to question the authenticity of the wording of the original date. ${ }^{1}$

TEXT. ${ }^{2}$
First Plate.
 sadâ virâgavamí
 Chaha-
3 mân-ânvaya-labdhajanmâ। râjâ mahârâjanat-âmhriyugmaḅ khyâtô=vanaru Vâkpa-
4) tiraja-nâmâ || 2 Naddûlê ${ }^{7}$ samabhût=tadîya-tanayaḥ śrî-Lakshmaṇ̂o bhûpatibs $={ }^{8}$ ta-

5 smât=sarvvaguṇ-ânvitô nripa-varah śrî-Sôbhit-akhyạ̣̣ ${ }^{9}$ sutaḥ $\mid$ tarsmâchachh[r$\left.{ }^{*}\right] \hat{i}-$ $\mathbf{V a}(\mathrm{ba})$ liraja $a-\mathrm{n} \hat{\mathrm{a}}-$
6 ma-nṛipatị̣ paśchât=tadîyô mahî-khyâtô Vigrahapâla ity=abhidhayâ râjyê pitrivyô=bhavat || 3
7 Tasmêt=tîvramahâpratâpa-taraṇih putrồ Mahêmdrō=bhavat=taj.jâch=ári-Anahilladêva-

8 drarâjah sutặ 1 tasmêd=durddharavairikúmjaravadharprôtuâlasimh-ôpamặ̣ satkîrttyâ dhaval[î]krit-â-

[^58]9 khilajagach=chrî.Âśsarâjô nripah || 4 Tat-putrô nijavikramârjitam-1 mahâràjyłqpratâpôdayô
10 yô jagrâha jaya-śriyam raṇa-bharô vyâpâdya Saurâshṭrikân I śauchâchâra-vichàra-dâna-vasatir=Naddû-
11 la-nâthô mahân=samkhyôtpâdita-vîrarṛittir=amalạ̣ srii-Alhaṇồ ${ }^{2}$ bhûpatiḥ || 5 Anêna ${ }^{3}$ râjuñâ jana-viśrutê-
12 na $\left.\right|^{4}$ Râshṭrauc̣a-vamísaja-var-Ânahulasya putrì | Annalladêviraiti ŝîla-vivêkayuktâ $\left.\right|^{4}$ Râmêṇa vai Janakaj=êva vi-
13 vâhit=âsau || 6 âbhyâm̉ ${ }^{5}$ jâtâḥ, su-putrâ jagati vara-dhiyô rûpa-saumdargayuktâh $\left.\right|^{4}$ śastraị̣ sêâstraị praga-
14 lbhâh pravara-gunagaṇâas=tyâgavantaḥ su-ŝlâh | jyêshṭhạ̣ frrî-Kêlhaṇ-âkhyasstad= anu cha Gajasimhas=tathâ Ki-
15 rttipâlô $1^{4}$ yadvąn=nêtrâni Şambhôs=tripurushavad=ath=âmî jan̂̂ vam̉danîyâh । (II) $7{ }^{6}$ Madlyyâd=amîshâḿ pari-

## Second Plate; First Side.

16 vâra-nâthô shṭhêêjyê)shṭhô smigająh kshôṇi-talê prasiddahaḥ| krita[h*] kumârô nijarầjya-dhârî
17 śrí-Kêlhaṇa[h*] sarvva-guṇair=upêtah | (II) [8*] Âbhyâm̉ ràjakula-śrî-Âlhaṇadêpa- ${ }^{7}$ kumâra-śrí-Kêlha-
18 ṇadêvâbhyâm râjaputra-śsìl-Kirttipâlasya prasâdê datta-Naddûlâi-prativa(ba)ddha-dvâdaśa-grâmâṇị !!
 ê[ha*] ह̂rî̀-Naddûlề snâtvâ dhô(dhau)-
20 ta-vâsaŝ̂ paridhâya tilâkshatakuśa-praṇayinaḿ dăkshiṇa-karam kritvâ dêvân= udakêna samंtarpya | va(ba)-
21 halatamatimirrapatalapâtạana-patị̂yasô niḥş̂̂shapâtakapaṁma-prakshâlanasya divâkarasya
22 pûjâmin vidhâya | charâchara.gurum Mahêsva(8va)raḿ namaskritya I hutabhuji hômadravy-âhutîr=ddatvầ ${ }^{10}$ nalinî-
23 dalagatajalalava-taralaḿ jîvitavyam=âkalayya । aihikam pârachi(tri)kam tha(cha) phalam=amgîkritya svapunyza-
24 yaŝô-bhivriddhayê ŝâsanaṃ prayarchchhati yathâ l| Śrî-Naddâlâigrâmê I srî-Mahâvirra-jinâya Naddûlâi- ${ }^{11}$
25 dvâdá́a-grâmêshu grâmaú prati dra 2 dvau dramman snapana-vilêpana-dîpa-dhûp-ôpabhôgârthamin | sâsanê
26 varsham prati Bhâdrapada-mâbề cham̉drârkkakshiti-kâla[̣̆m] yâvat pradattar it Naddûlâigrâma I Sûjêra I Darijı [ ["]]
27 Kavilạ̣a I Sônânam I Môrakarâ I Haravaminamin [|*] Mâḍậa I Kâṇasuvam I Dêvasûri I Naḍậa [i*] Maüvac̣i l
28 êvam̀ grầ ${ }^{12} 12$ êtêshu dvâdaśe-grâmêshu sarvvad=âpi asmâbhih cáâsanê dattau | êbhir=grâmair=adhunầ samivatsa-

[^59]29 râlagitvâal ${ }^{1}$ sarvvad=âpi varsham prati Bhâdrapadê dâtaryau | atah ûrddh[v*]am kên=âpi paripaminthanâ na karttavyâ ।
$30{ }^{2}$ Asmad-vańśŝê vyatikrâmitê yô=nyah kô=pi bhavishyati [ [|*] tasy=âham karé laguô ${ }^{3}$ na lôpya[甶*] mama ŝâsanam |l [9*] Shashṭhi[ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]^{4}$ va-
31 rsha-sahasrâni svarggê tishṭhati dâyakaḥ | âchchhêttâ ch=ânuma[mim]tâ cha tîny=êva narakam ${ }^{6}$ vasêt \| [10*] $\nabla a(b a) h u b h i r$ rvasudhâ

## Second Plate; Secord Side. ${ }^{6}$

32 bhultâ râjabhih Sagar-âdibhiḥ 1 yasya yasya yadâ bhûmis=tasja tasya tadâ phalam் || [11"]
33 Sva-hastô=yam mahârâjaputra-śrî-Kîrttipâlasya il Naigamânvaya-kâyastha-Sôḍhanaptâ Ŝ́ubhamikarah 1
 srị̂h ||

## C.-SUNDEÂ HILL INSCRIPTION OF CHÂCHIGADÊVA; [VIKRAMA-]SAMVVAT 1319.

This inscription is on two stones which were found on the Sundha Hill, about 10 miles north of Jaswantapura in the Jaswantapura district of the Jôdhpur State of Râjputâna. ${ }^{8}$ I edit it from rubbings, kindly placed at my disposal by Mr. Gaurishankar Hirachand Ojha.

The first stone contains 26 lines of writing which covers a space of $3^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $\mathbf{1}^{\prime} 7 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ high, the second 24 lines which cover a space of $2^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ high. The size of the letters is about $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}$ on the first stone, and between $\frac{3}{8}$ and $\frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{16}$ on the second. The inscription was carefally written by Nâmpasimha, a son of the physician Vijayapâla, and well engraved by Jisaravi, a son of the sûtradhâra Jisapâla, and is in a state of perfect preservation. The characters are Nâgarí, and the language is Sanskrit. Excepting two prose passages at the bottom of aither stone which record the names of the author, the writer and the engraver, the date samvat 1319 in line 48, and another prose passage, numbered as a verse, in lines 35 and 36 , the text is in verse, the total number of verses (including، the prose passage counted as a verse) being 59. As regards orthography, the sign for $v$ denotes both $v$ and $b$; the palatal sibilant is used for the dental in
 sitämśsu- (for sitâinśs-), 1. 37; kh and sh are confounded in mayûsha- (for mayûkha-), 1.10, and piyikla- (for pîyâsha-), 1.41 ; the $\hat{\imath}$ of $\langle r \hat{\imath}$ is left unchanged before the initial vowel of a proper name in srî-Âsârája-, 1. 21, srî- Udayasimha-, 1. 35, and srî-Aparájitếs $\alpha-, 1.43$; chchh is written for $c h h$ in chchhalêna, l. 7, and chchhâyayâ, 1. 8; and the sign of avagraha is employed in Samdêrế srka, 1. 16, and in four other places. The language is generally correct and plain, bat there are one or two passages about the exact meaning of which I am still doubtful. I especially do not understand verse 12 , whioh seems to allude to some legend unknown to me, in which the creator weighs the sun and the moon, apparently using the Ganges as a balance. Moreover, I am not sure about the meanings of the word sritlart, which occurs in verses 19 and 59, and gupyadguru in verses 15, 26, and 27. To judge from the context in verse 59 (srikari-saptaka* $v \hat{a} d i-$ ), the former ${ }^{9}$ may denote some kind of musical instrument ; and as a gupyadgurt mast be

[^60]something on which a golden kumbha and a golden kalasa can be placed, ${ }^{1}$ the word perhaps denotes a temple generally or a particular temple. Of rare words or words employed in an unusual way we may note kêli, 'the earth,' in verse 6 , bhllsphôfta, 'a mushroom,' in verse 16 , the feminine yugal̂̀, 'a pair,' in verse 18, and tâmbûlîya, 'an areca-nut,' in verse 21 ; bandhu and bândhava denote 'a brother' in verses 24,26 , and 20 ; and a cousin is described as pitrivyajatay $\hat{a}$ bârdhava, i.e. ' a brother in consequence of being born from a paternal uncle,' in verse 9.

The inscription in the prose passage at the bottom of the first stone and in verse 59 is styled a praśasti. It was composed by the (Jaina) sûri Jayamañgala (Jayamañgalâchârya), who belonged to the Brihad-gachohha and was a disciple of Râmachandra, himself a disciple of Dêvâchârya. ${ }^{2}$ And its primary object is to glorify the Châhamâna chief Châchigadêva, during whose reign it was composed, and for whom it furnishes a date ${ }^{3}$ in the month of Vaisâkha of the [Vikrama] year 1310, falling in about A.D. 1262. Fortunately, the author has used the occasion to give a eulogistic account of the Châhamânas of Naddûla generally, which is of considerable interest.

Verses 1-3 pray the moon on Śambhu's (i.e. Śiva's) forehead and (Śiva's consort) Pârvatî or Chanḍikâ to grant continuous good fortune and happiness. Verse 4.then records that formerly there was the hero Châhamâna, a source of joy to the great Rishi Vatsa. ${ }^{4}$ In his lineage there were:-
(1.) The lord of Naddûla, king Lakshmaṇa, who was a Ŝâkambhari prince ${ }^{6}$ (vv. 5 and 6).
(2.) His son Sôbhita (v. 7; the Sôhiya and Sôbhita of A. and B.). He took away the glory of the lord (or lords) of the mountain Arbuda. 6
(3.) His son Baliraja (vv. 7 and 8). He defeated an army of Mañjaraja, i.e. the Paramâra Vâkpatiraja II. Amôghavarsha of Mâlava, for whom we possess dates from A.D. 974 to 993.
(4.) His paternal uncle's son Mahindu (v. 9). - He is the Mahêndra of A. and B., the son of Vigrahapâla whose name is here omitted. He most probably is identical with the Mahêndra or Mahîndra (?) mentioned under No. 53 of my Northern List as a contemporary of the Râshṭrakûṭa Dhavala of Hastikuṇif, whose inscription is dated in A.D. 997.
(5.) His son Aśvapâla (rv. 10 and 11 ; omitted in A. and B.).
(6.) His son Ahila (vv. 12 and 13 ; likewise omitted in A. and B.). He defeated an army of the Gûrjara king Bhima, i.e. the Chaulukya Bhimadêva I. of Aṇahilapâtaka.
(7.) His paternal uncle Anehilla (vv. 14-17; in A. and B. described as the son of Mahêndra). He also defeated the king Bhima (Bhîmadêva I.) ; took śâkambhari; and slew

[^61](or defented) Saḍha, a general of the Mâlava king Bhôja (i.e. the Paramâra Bhôjadêva), and the Turushka.
(8.) His son Bâlaprasada (vv. 18 and 19 ; omitted in B.). He forced the king Bhima (Bhîmadêva I.) to release from prison a king named Krishṇadêva. - This Krishụadêva most probably is the Paramâra Krishṇarâja (the son of Dhandhuka and grandson of (?) Dêvarâja), of whom we have two inscriptions at Bhiumil (Sirimâla), dated in A.D. 1060 and 1067 (Nos. 689 and 690 of my Northern List).
(9.) His brother Jindurâja (vv. 20 and 21 ; the Jêndrarâja of A. and B.). He fought viotoriously at Saṇc̣êra (the modern Sanderao in the Jodhpur State, south-west of Nadol).
(10.) His son Prithvipâla ( $\nabla \nabla .22$ and 23 ; omitted in B.). He defeated an army of the Gûrjara king Karna, i.e. Bhîmadêva's son and successor Karṇa Trailôkyamalla.
(11.) His brother Yôjaka (vv. 24 and 25 ; the Jôjalla of A., omitted in B.). He by force ocoupied Anahillapura (Aṇahilapâtaka).
(12.) His brother Âŝârâja (rv. 26-30; in B. described as the son of Jêndrarâja). He pleased Siddhâdhirâja, i.a. Karṇa's son and suocessor Jayasimha Siddharâja, by the assistance which he rendered to him in the country of Malava, but afterwards apparently was on hostile terms with him.

With the account of Âsêraja ends that part of the inscription which is on the first stone. The part on the second stoue (after a symbol for $\hat{o} \dot{m}$ ) begins, as if it were an independent insoription, with a verse ( $\mathrm{\nabla} .31$ ) praying for the blessing of Sambhu (Siva), 'the crest of the Sugandhâdri,'2 i.e. the mountain Sugandha, which clearly is the Sundhâ Hill where the inscription was found. The author then continues the genealogy by stating that Ấârâja's son was -
(13.) Ahlâdana (v.v. 32 and 33 ; the Âlhanadêva of A. and B.). His assistance was sought by the Gûrjara king, snd his army put down disturbances in the mountainous part of Surashtra (girau Saurâshtrê). He built a Siva temple at Naddûla.- We have seen: above that the two inscriptions A. and B., which are of this chief's reign, are dated in A.D. 1161 and 1160. Before that time, he is mentioned (together with his son Kêlhana), apparently as a feudatory of the Chaulukya Kumarapala, in the Kerâdu fragmentary inscription of Knmârapâla's reign which is dated in A.D. 1153 (No. 133 of my Northarn List).
(14.) His son Kêlhaṇs (v. 34). He defeated the southern king Bhilima, and after destrofing the Turushka erected a golden tôrana, 'like a diadem for the abode of the holy Sômbsa.' - For the Mahárajadhiraja Kêlhana I have given above, p. 68, note 1 , a date in A.D. 1165. The southern king Bhilima, whom he is said to have defeated, must have been the Dêvagiri-Yádava Bhillama, whose Gadag inscription is dated in A.D. 1191 (No. 334 of my Southern List).
(15.) His brother Kirtipâla (vv. 35 and 36). He defeated a Kiratakeṭa okief named Asala, and at Kasahrada routed an army of the Turushka. As ruler of the kingdom of Naddûla he took ap his residence at Jâvalipura.- Of the places here mentioned Kirâtakata is. Kerâḍn, according to Bhâvnagar Inscr. p. 172,', 'a small village near Hâthamo onder Baḍamera' (Bâmer) in the Jodhpur State. Jâvalipura, to which Kirtipâla transferred his residence, is the

[^62]town of Jâlor in the same State. A place named Kâsahrada has been identified by the late Prof. Bühler ${ }^{1}$ with Kâsandra or Kâsandhra, a village with abont 400 inhabitants on the road from Dholkâ to Palitânâ, in Long. $72^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$, Lat. $22^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$; but the Kâsahrada of this inscription may be a different place nearer Nadol.-According to verse 41 Kirtipâla's daughter Rûdaladêvi built two temples of Śiva at Jâvâlipura.
(16.) His son Samarasimha (vv. 37-40). He built extensive ramparts on the Kanakâchala (or 'gold hill') and founded the town of Samarapura.-This town I am unable to identify. Kanakêchala according to Mr. Ojha is the name of the fort ${ }^{2}$ of Jâlor which, he informs me, is locally known as 'Sonalgarh,' and the Sauvarra-giri of Jâvâlipora I find actually mentioned in an inscription on Mount Âbû. ${ }^{3}$ In an inscription of the [Vikrama] year 1221, from which Mr. Ojha has sent me a quotation, it is called Käñchana-gadha.- Samarasimha clearly is the Châhu[mâna*]-râna[ka*]-Samarasîha, whose daughter Lillâdêvi was the (or a) queen of the Chanlukya Bhîmadêva II. ${ }^{4}$
(17.) His son Udayasimima (vv. 42.46). According to the prose passage in lines 35 and 36 he ruled 'the glorious Naddûla, the glorious Jâvâlipura, Máṇ̣̣avyapura, Vâgbhaṭaméru, Sûrâchaṇ̣̣a, Râtahrada, Khêḍa, Râmasainya, Šrimâla, Ratnapura, Satyapura, and other places.'- With the exception of Mâṇ̣avyapura and Râtahrada the places here enamerated are easily found on the map of the Râjputàna Agency (in Marwar) under the names Nádol, Jálor, Barmer, Suráchand, Kher (between Tilwára and Bálotra), Rámsen, Bhínmál, Ratanpura and Sánchor. Mâṇạaryapura is Mandor, according to the Räjputana Gazetteer three miles from Jôdhpur ; Râtahrada I cannot identify.- Udayasiniha's queen was Prahlâdanadêvi, who bore to him two sons, Châchigadêva and Châmuṇ̣arâaja. Regarding his exploits, the inscription states in a general way that he curbed the pride of the Turushka, was not to be conquered by the Gûrjara kings, and put an end to the Sindhu king. He was a scholar conversant with the great works of Bharata ${ }^{5}$ and others, and built two Śiva temples at Jâvâlipura.- Udayasimha clearly is identical with the Mahärâjíddhirája Udayasimhadêva of whose reign we have three inscriptions at Bhinmâl (Nos. 697-699 of my Northern List) dated in the [Vikrama] years 1262, 1274 and 1305 , corresponding to about A.D. 1205, A.D. 1218 , and abont A.D. 1248 ; and also with the Mahârâjakula Udayasimhadêva, for whom I have given a date, falling in A.D. 1249, in Ind. Ant. Vol. XIX. p. 175, No. 115. He was succeeded by-
(18.) his son ${ }^{6}$ Châchigadêva (vv. 47-57). He in verse 50 is described as 'destroying the roaring Gûrjara lord Virama, hating the enemy Salya, taking exquisite delight in felling the shaking (or leaping) Pâtuka, depriving of his colour Sangga, and a thunderbolt to the mountainthe furions Nahara.' As will be seen from this translation, the words salya, ${ }^{7}$ pâtuka and sanga of the original must in my opinion, like virama and nahara, be taken as proper names; bat of the five persons enumerated I can identify only the first. Being described as 'Gûrjara lord,' Vîrama appears clearly to be the Vâghêlà Vîramadêva, the son of Vîradhavala and elder brother of Vissaladèva, who is reported to have been the son-in-law of Udayasimha of Jâvâlipura, ${ }^{8}$ and

[^63]would therefore have been the own brother-in-law of Châchigadêva. - The following verses treat of the same chief's works of piety. At Šrimala he remitted certain taxes, and at Ramasainya he granted funds for the worship of (the god) Vigrahâditya, and placed a golden capola (kumbha) and a flag-staff (dhvaja) on the temple of (the god) Aparajitêsa to whom at the same time he gave a silver girdle (mêkhalâ). For the same temple he provided a hall (śâlâ) with a car (ratiba) richly decked with precious stones. Châchigadêva visited the Sugandhâdri, worshipped there the goddess Ohâmoṇ̣̣̂̂, known by the name Aghatê̂́svarî, and at her temple established a mandapa which was consecrated by the Brâhmans on the akshaya-tritîy $\hat{a}$ of the month Vaisâkha of the [Vikrama] year 1319.

I have already stated that the inscription thus for the reign of Châchigadêva yields a date in about A.D. 1262. Two other dates, of the [Vikrama] years 1333 and 1334, falling in about A.D. 1276 and 1277, are furnished for him by the two Bhinmâl inscriptions Nos. 702 and 703 of my Northern List, where he is described as the Mahârâjakula Châchiga or Châchigadêva.

I may add that Châshigadêva is mentioned, under the name Châcha, in line 8 ef the Joidhpur inscription of Rûpâdêvi, pablished by me above, Vol. IV. p. 312 ff . In my text of that inscription I have given the name as Châva, and a renewed examination of the impressions enables me to affirm that either this is the actual reading, or that at any rate the name would be so read in the impressions by any one not acquainted with what we have learnt now from the Sundhâ Hill inscription. Knowing what I do now, I have no donbt that the intended reading is Chácha. This Châcha is called a Châhnmâna in Rûpâdêvi's inscription and described as the son of Udayasimhal and grandson of Samarasimha, which exactly fits our Châchigadêva. The insoription supplies the additional information that Châcha's wife was Lakshmidêve, and that this lady bore to him Rupadevi, who became the wife of a ling Têjasimha (to whom she bore a son named Kshétrasimina) and founded a well or tank in A.D. 1284, in the reign of the Maharajakula samyantasimhadêva. ${ }^{2}$

TEXT. ${ }^{3}$

## First Stone.

1 || Óm $\dot{m}^{4}$ || ${ }^{5}$ ŚSvêtâmbhôj-âtapatram kim= $\dot{u}$ Giri-duhitoh Svastatinyâ gavâkshặ kim vâ saukhy-âsanamं vâ mahimamukhamahâsiddhidêvî-ganasya I trailôkyânamidahêtôh kim=uditam=anaghamं slâghya-nakshatram=uchchaih Ṣ Śmbhôr=bhalasthalêmanh sukritikrita-
 chamंchadvâsômichaladalamayî bhûshaṇa-prauḍhapushŷ̂(shpá) | sallâvaṇyôdayasuphalinî Pârvvatîprêma-vallî lakshmirn pushṇâtv=ann-dinam=ativyakta-bhaỉktys̃ natânâm் || 2 7Vikațąnakuṭa-mâdyaittêja-
3 sâ vyômri daityân=iva bhuvi maṇimayyê mêkhalâyâh kvaṇêna $\mid$ anaṇuraưita-

 maulimukhyaśikharâlaṁkâra-tigmadyutih | prithvîm trâtu-
4 m=apâsta-daityatimirah Grî-Châhamânah purâ virah kahírasamudrasôdara-


[^64]dharmmasthânaprakarakaraṇa-prâptapụ̣yôtsavâyâmं | árî-Naddûl-âdhipatir=abhaval= Lakshmaṇ̂ nâma
5 râjâ Lakshmîlîlâsadanasadrisâkâra- Sâakamimhar-ímirah |l 5 Â pâtâlât=samarajaladhiṃ Maḿdarô yasya khadgô mushṭi-vyâjàd=bhujagapatinâ śrimimhalên= âvava(baz)ddhah I nirmmathy=ôchchaị̣ sapadi Kamalâàm hillay=ôddhṛittya(tya) mattaś=chakrê nụittamin raṇita-kaṭakạ kôlikame -
6 pa-chohhalêna || $6{ }^{1}$ Tasmâd=Dhimâdribhavanâtha-yaŝpahârî
srí-Śôbhitô=jani nripô=sya tanûdbhavô=tha I gâmbhirryadhairya-sadanam Muñjarâja-va(ba)la-bhaṅgam=achîkarat=tarí || 7

Va (ba) lirâjadêvô yô ripunripatigaja-stômam=âkramya jahrê yat-khaḍgô game
7 dhahastî samararasa-bharê Viñdhyaśailâyamânê । muktâśnktịmdukâmintôjjvalaruchishu lasatkîrti-Rêvâtatệ̂shu prauḍhânañdôpachâr-ôlvaṇapulakatatih pushkarâṇàm ohchhalềna ${ }^{3} \| 8$. ${ }^{4}$ Tatpitrivyajatay=âtha vâm (bâmín)dhavạ̣ ŝrî-Mahîmidureajanishtã bhûpatị̆ 1 yat-kripâna-
8 latikâm=upâyushâm chchhâyayâ $\hat{o}^{5}$ virahitamin mukham dvishâm || 9 Jajñis ${ }^{6}$ kâmítas=tad=anu cha bhuvas=tat-tanâjô-śvapâlah kâlaḥ krûrê dvishi sucharitê pûrṇ̣̣achamdrầyamânạ | yạ̣ saṃlagnồ na khalu tamasâ n=aiva dôshâkar-âtmà têjô-muktah kvachid=api na yah kimicha mitr-ô-
9 dayêshu $1110 \quad{ }^{7}$ Kếyûrâgranivishṭaratnanikara-prôdyatprabhâdaminva(ba)ra-vyaktam sam̀gararam்ga-maṃạapatalè yam vairi-lakshmîh ŝritâ। vî̀êshu prasritêshu têshu rajasâ nit̂̂̂shu durllakshyatâm lavdhô(bdhô)pâyava(ba)l=âpi nirmmala-gunair=vasyâ prafasy-âľuitiḅ || $11 \mathrm{Pu}-{ }^{s}$
10 tras=tasy=Âhila iti nripas=tanmayûsha(kha)-chchhalêna srashṭ̂ yasya ryadhita Jasaasâm tôjasâm tôlanaric nu 1 Gańgâtôlê śssi-tapanayôr=dam்bhatas̄= châruohêlê madhyasthêyidhruvamisha-lasatkamịtakề kautukêna il $12{ }^{9}$ Gûrjarâdhipati-Bhima-bhûbhujah sainya-pûram=a-
11 jayad=rạ̣êshu yah [[*] Śaḿmbhuvat=Tripura-sam̉bhaván va(ba)lamं vâḍavô= nala. iv=âṃvu(bu)dhêr=jalam || $13 \quad{ }^{10}$ Sainyâkràmét-âkhilavasumatîmamạalas=tatpitrivyaḥ ǵrîmân râj=âbhavad=atha jit-ârâtimallố=ṇahillah 1 Bhima-kshôṇ̣̂patigajaghatâ yêna bhagnâ raṇâgrê hrịayârth-âmmbhôni-
12 dhi-Raghu-kritê ch=êha pam்ktị̣ khalânâm || 14 Aḿmbôjânnill mokhâny=ahô mrigadrisâm cham் $d r$-ôdayânầm mudô Lakshmirr=yatra narôttamânusaraṇavyâpârapâraḿgamâ । yânâni prasabhaṃ śubhâni áikhari-ŝrôñ=îva gapyadgaru-stômồ ${ }^{13}$ yasya narế̂varasya tulanâm่ sên=\{̂m.
13 vu(bu)râsêr=dadhau || 15 Urvrîrut $t^{13}$ vitapâvalamiva(ba)-sugrihîharmyêshu datvầ ${ }^{14}$ driśaḿ dhyât-âtyamitamanôharâkritinijaprấsâdavàtâyanặ 1 bhûsphôṭâni vanâmètarêshu vitatâny=âlôkya hâhêti-vâk sasmâr=âtapavâraṇ̣̂ni şataśô yad-vairirâjavraja-
14 h $\| 16$ Drishtah kair=na Chaturbhujaḥ sa samarế Şakambhariṃ yô va(ba)lâjjjaggrâh=ânu jaghâna Mâlava-patêraBhốjasya Sâḍh-âhvayami । damíạ-âdhîsam=apâra-sainyavibhavaṃ tîvram் Turushkam cha jaḥ sâkshâdu= Vishnuur=asâ[dha]nîya-yaśasâ śrimigâritâ yêna bhậ̣ \| 17 Jajũ̃̂̂1 ${ }^{15}$ bhûbhṛit=tad=a-

[^65]15 nu tanayas=tasja $\quad$ ấ(bâ)laprasâdô Bhìma-kshmâbhrich-charanayugalîmarddar.avyâjatô yaḥ l kurvan píầm=ativa(ba)latayâ móchayàmầsa kârâgârầ: bhûmîpatim=api tathâ Krishṇadév-âbhidhânamin || 18 Ś́îkaryồ ${ }^{1}$ jalada-bhramax dadhur=ahô sainyê=sya sê-
 vaséna kêtu-nivahâ sá(sa)sy-ânukâraṃ cha tể sam்gìtâni cha kôkilâraratulâmin chittê tu tâpam dvishaḥ $|\mid 19$ 'Śrîmâménstasy=âjani narapatit: Vâȧn(bâḿm)dhavô Jimídurâjô yaḥ Saṃđ̣êrê
$17 \mathrm{srka}^{5}$ iva timiram vairi-vrimindam vi(bi)bhêda | yasya jyôtih-prakaram=abhiti vidvishaḥ kauśik-âbhâ drashṭam śaktâ na hi giriguhâ-madhyam=adhyâsritas s: tat \| 20 Gachchhamंtînâm rịn ripu-mrigadṛizâm bhûshaṇânâmim prapâtê vâshఛ̣-âsârair=ghanatati-tulâm $\quad$ vi(bi)bhratîiâm=araṇyê | dûrvoâ-
 chakritê padmarâgâh $\| 121$. Prithvìm ${ }^{6}$ pâlayitum pavitramatimân ̧ať
 Ananaḥ | Prithvipala iti dhruvańm kshiti-
19 patis=tasy=âmggajanm=âbhavat=pratyakshôrunidhiḥ̂ sa Gûrjara-patêh Karṇnessys sainy-âpahah || 22 Yat-sênâ kila kâmadhêna-sadŗisî̀ kîrtín gra(gra)varútî payah. svachchham்dam sacharâcharê=pi bhuvanê t́atrûmisstrị̣îkarvatî : dharmam் vatsam=iva svakîyam=anagham vriddhińn nayamití
20 mudâ kasy=ânaṁda-karî va(ba)bhûva na bhuvô=bhîbhṭaṃ samâtanvatî || $23{ }^{9}{ }^{9}$ Śr: Yôjakô bhûpatir=asya vaḿ(bami) dhor=vivêkacaudha-prava(ba)lapratâpah 1 हैvêtâtapatrêpa virâjamânah şakty=Ânahillâkhyapurê=pi rêmê \|| 24 'Tyaktvâic sandham=udâra-kêlivipinaḿn krị̂c̣â-
21 chalê dîrghikâṃ palyaṃk-âsrayaṇam karênushu mudâm sthânam் samamintâd=api | yasy=ârikshitipâla-vâ(bâ)lalalanâh śailê vanê nirjharê sthûlagrâva-śirassu samsmritim= aguḥ pûrvôpabhukta-śriyâm || 25 "1Śrî-®̂sârâja-nâmâ samajani vasudhâ-nâyakas=ta-
22 sya vȧ்(bam்)dhaḥ sâhâyyam Málavânâm bhuvi yadasi-kritaḿn vîkshys Siddhâdhirâjạ̣ 1 tushṭ̂ dhattê sma kumibham kanakamayam=ahô yasya gupyadgura-stham tam hartumin n=aiva saktaḥ kalushita-hṛidayạ̣ fêshabhûpâla-

23 vitata-vis̃adakîrttêr=mûrảdhni kim nu pratâpaḥ 1 upari subhagatâŷ̀ udgatà mam்jarı̂ kím kanaka-kalása âbhâd=yasya gupyadguru-sthah || 27 KanakaruchiSarîrah sailasâr-âbhirâmah phanipati-mahanîyasy=âvatârạ̣ sa Visḥ̣̣̂h । sa(sa) lilanidhi-sn-
24 tâyâ mamdirê akam̉dha-dêésê dadhad=avanim=udârâm=agrimah puṇya-mûrttih || 28 ${ }^{14}$ Sattrâgâra-taḍ̂̂ga-kânana-Haraprâsâda-vâpî-prapâa-kûp-ûdîni vinirmmamê dvijajañânamidì kshamâ-maṇ̃alalê | dharmmasthâna-s̄atâni yaḥ kila vu(bu)dha-śrệ̣̂̂shu

[^66]25 kalpadrumah kas=tasy=êṁdutushâraśaila-dhavalamin stôtum̉ yaśah kôvidah || 29 Śvêtâny=ềva, jâậmsi tuṃgaturaga-stômah đí(si)tah subhruvâm chaḿchanmauktikabhûshaṇ̂ni dhavalâny=uchchaị̣ samagrậy y=api | prêmâlâpa-bhavaṇ smitam cha viśádam ŝnbhrâ-
26 ṇi vastraukasâm vṛimdân=iti nripasya yasya pritanâ Kailâsa-lakshmîm śmitâ || 30 Praśastir=igaḿn Vṛi (brí)hadgachchhiya-stî-Jayamaḿgalâchârya-kritiḥ || Bhishag-Vijayapâla-putra-Nâmvasimihhêna likhitâ | Sûtra-1Jisapâla-putra-Jisaraviṇâà utkîrṇ̣â ||

## Second Stone.

27 || Ốm ${ }^{3}$ || ${ }^{4}$ Jaṭâ-mûlê Gamigâprava(ba)lalaharîpûrakuhanâ-samunmîlachchhatraprakara ${ }^{5}$
 tayâ vâ dêyâd=vah subham=iha Sugamđhâdri-mukuṭạ̣ || $31{ }^{6}$ Âs̃ârâja-kshitipatanayah stî-
28 mad-Âhlẩdan-âhvô jajñê bhûbhṛid=bhuvana-viditaś=Châhamânasya vamş̂̂ $\mid$ úrîNaddûlê Śsivabhavana-kṛid=dharmmasarvasva-vêttâ yat-sâhâyyamin pratipadam=ahô Gûrjjarêśaśechakâm̉ksha || 32 ${ }^{7}$ Chamichatkêtakaohamipaka-pravilasattâlitamâlâguru-sphôrjjachcham̉da-
29 nanâlikêrakadalîdrâkshâmra-kamrê girau I Saurâshṭrê knṭilôgrakaṃtakabhidâtyuddâmakîrttês=tadâ yasy=âbhûd=abhimâna-bhâsuratayâ sênâcharâp̣̣̂ń ravah || 33 ${ }^{8}$ Ŝrimmâmés=tasy=âmgaja iha mripaḅ Kêlhaṇ̂ dakshiṇ̂âââdhîş-ôdamichad-Bhili-
30 ma-nripatêr=mânahṛit-sainyasindhuh 1 nirbhidy=ôchchaị prava(ba)la-kalitam yas= Turushkaṃ vyadhatta ErîSômềsâspadamukuṭavat=tôraṇam kàrichanasyæ || 34 ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Bh} r a ̂ t=a ̂$ eya $\quad$ prava(ba) lapratâpa-nilayaḥ śrí-Kîrttipâlô=bhavad=bhû-nâthạ̣ pratipakshapârthivachamûdâv-âminvu(bu)vâh-ô-
31 pamah I yat-khaḍgâmoun(bu)nidhau hat-ârikariṇ̣̂m kumbhhasthalîbhyah ksharan= muktânâṃ nikarô marâla-lalitaṃ dhattê sma dhâr-âśrayah || 35 Yô durddâmima-Kirâtakūṭa-nripatim bhittvâ sarair=Âsalam tasmin=Kâsahradề ${ }^{10}$ Turushka-nikaram jitvâ raṇa-prâṁgaṇ̣̂̂ $\mid$ śrî-Jâvâli-
32 purê sthitim $\quad$ Pyarachayan=Naddûlarâjy-êŝvaraŚ=chimitâratna-nibhah samagravidushâm niḥsîmasainy-âdhipaḥ \| 36 uśrî-Samarasimhadêvas=tat-tanayaḥ
 Harivat || 37 Prâkâraḥ̣ ${ }^{18}$ Kanakâ-
33 chalê virachitô yên=êha puṇy-âtmanâ nânâyamimtramanồjna-kôshtá(shṭha)katatir= vidyâdharî̂̂rrshavân [1*] kimin Śéshah phaṇavṛim damêdura-tanur=vakshaḥsthalê vâ bhuvô hâraḥ kim bhramap̣a-şramâd=uḍu-gaụạ̣ kim veaisha bhêjê sthitim || $38{ }^{13} \mathrm{Kamala}$-vanam=iv=êdamin vapraşirshâli-damं-
34 bhâñ=nikhilavipuladếsaśri-samâkarsheṇ̂âya | likhitavisadavim̉duśrênivan=mattavairi-kshitipativiphalâjistôma-samikhyânimittam || 39 Tôlayâmâpa ${ }^{14}$ yaḥ svarṇpair=âtmânam sôma-parvaṇi $\mid$ ârâma-ramyam Samarapuram jạ̣ kritavân=atha || 40
35 ${ }^{15}$ Šrî-Eîrttipâlabhûpati-putrî Jâvâlipuravarê chakrê 1 śrî̀-Rûdaladêví Śvamandirayugalam pavitra-matị̣ || 41 Śrî-Samarasimimadêvasya namidanah prava(ba)-

[^67]Then there came Lakshmana, who by his irresistible valour acquired part of the earth.' In the matilated verse 12, which together with verse 11 treats of this chief, the word Naddala is distinctly legible, as well as Sakambhart ; and it is certain that Lakshmana is described in the verse as king of Naddûla, and highly probable that he is spoken of as Ŝakambharimâṇikya, 'the jewel of Śâkambharî.' After him, verse 13 mentions' his son' Baliraja, ${ }^{2}$ and Balirâja's 'son,' whose name I read as Sôhî, ${ }^{3}$ regarding this to be another form of the name Sôhiya (Sôbhita, Ś̂obbita) of the preceding inscriptions. According to the latter, Sôhiya was Lakshmana's son, and his sou again was Balinaja; the author of the present inseription has erroneously transposed the two names. Verses 14-18 then enumerate Mahindu, ${ }^{4}$ Jinduraja, ${ }^{5}$ Âśarâja, Âlhaṇa, Kirtipâla and Samarasimíha. From what we know already from the other inscriptions, this is the line, from father to son, of the Châhamânas of Naddûla from Mahînda to Samarasimba, except that, between Mahîudu and Jindurâja, Aṇahilla, the son of the former and father of the latter, has been omitted. - So far our inscription tells us nothing of importance that is new.

Samarasimha, scoording to verse 19, had two sons, of whom the one called Udayasimha, who also is already known to us from the inscription C., succeeded him in the government. Udayasimiha's elder brother ${ }^{6}$ was Mánavasimina (v. 20) ; his son was Pratâpa (v. 21), and his son Vijaḍa, also named Dasasyandana (r. 22). This chief married Nâmalladêví (v. 23), who bore to him four sons - Lâvaṇyakarna, Luṇ̣̣ha, ${ }^{8}$ Lakshmaṇa, and Lûṇavarman (v. 24), of whom the eldest became the ruling chief. When Lâvanyakarna died, he was succeeded by the next brother, whose name in verse 26 is clearly Luṇtigadêva, in verse 28 Luṇṭiga, in verse 30 Luṇ̣̂hâgara, and in the prose passage in line 29 Lûnṭâgara. Lunṭiga conquered and ruled 'all countries,' particalarly Chandrâati and the divine territory of Arbuda (Arbuda-divyadâśam, v. 27). On the mountain Arbuda he set up images of himself and his queen, and carried out repairs at the temple of Achalêsvara. As a grant to the temple, he moreover gave the village of Hetthuñj ${ }^{9}$ (v. 33) for the perpetaal worship of the god.

From the prose passage which follows, and which is more or less illegible, we learn that in the year 1377, on Monday, the 8th of the bright half of Vaiseakha, in the Kshaya-sambatsara, Luụtiga, described as the Mahârûjakula, the glorious Lûṇṭâgara, resided at [Vû P]huṇ̣ha which belonged to Chandrâvati. ${ }^{10}$ This date regularly corresponds, for the expired Kârttikâdi

[^68]Vikrama year 1377, to Monday, the 6th April A.D. 1321, when the 8th tithi of the bright palf of Vaiŝâkha ended $17 \mathrm{~h}, 13 \mathrm{~m}$. after mean sunrise; the day did fall in the Jovian year Kshaya, which according to the northern mean-sign system lasted from the 30th May A.D. 1320 to the 26th May A.D. 1321.

Of the localities mentioned, in addition to the well-known Arbuda or Mount Âbû, Chandrâvati according to the Râjputâna Gazetteer, Vol. III. p. 126, is a large place (now in ruins) on the Banaf river near the south-east border of the Sirohi State. There can be no doubt that it was taken by Luṇtiga from the Paramâras. Hêṭuñij is the small village of 'Hetamji' on Mount Âbû. ${ }^{2}$ Vûhunḍha- if this is really the name-I am unable to identify.

On Mount Âbû there are at least two other inscriptions, 8 of no great extent and partly jllegible, of the reign of Luntigadêva, described as the Mahäräjakula, the glorious Lûṇ̣hâka or Lûnḍas(P). One is dated on Wednesday, the 8th of the dark half of Chaitra in the [Vikrama] year 1372, corresponding, so far as I can see, to Wednesday, the 17th March A.D. 1316; the other on some speoified day, which I cannot make out with eertainty, in the [Vikrama] year 1373. And there is a third, partly effaced inscription, ${ }^{4}$ which also mentions the MahârajaFula, the glorious Lụ̧̣̣̂âka or Luṇ̣thâka, and speaks of the glorious Namaladêvi, who clearly is the Nâmalladêvî of the present insoription, the mother of Luṇtigadễa. I am not at present prepared to give a fuller account of these three inscriptions.

But I may add here that another acoount of some of the later Châbamanas is found in an inscription ${ }^{5}$ which is on a stone at a temple-the Vimala-vasahikâ, ordinarily but wrongly called Vimala Sâh's or Shâh's ${ }^{6}$ temple - whioh was founded on Mount Âbû, according to the inscription itself in the Vikrama year 1088,7 by a certain Vimala, 'an ornament of the Prâgvâta race,' after he had beon appointed dandapati at Arbada by the (Chanlukya) king Bhîmadêva (I.). This inscription in lines 9-15 (verses 14-22) gives the following 'râjâvalê':

There was a hero, Âsarâja ${ }^{8}$ by name, a moon to the lotus-flower-the Ohahuváma? family, who was king of the town of Nadûla ${ }^{10}$ (v. 14). Then there came Samarasimha; and his son was Mahanasimhabhaṭa (v. 15). Then came Pratâpamalla; and of him was born. Vijaḍa, who ruled the Marusthali-manḍala (v. 16). He had three sons, the first of whom was the king Lûniga (v. 17). After him the text mentions Lunḍha (v. 18) and Lumbha (v. 19), without distinctly saying that they were his younger brothers. It then records the conquest of Arbuda ( v .20 ) ; says further that Lûniga's son was Têjasimha (v. 21) ; and after him oulogizes Tihunâka, to whom it wishes long life (v. 22).

The mutilated verse 23 appears to say that the glorious Lumbhaka, together with ${ }^{\text {* }}$ Têjasimha and Tihuna, in right manner carried on the government of the mountain Arbada;

[^69]and the rest of the inscription treats of a private family, two members of whioh made repairs of the temple. The date given in verse 41 is Monday, the 9th of the dark half of Jyêshṭhe of the [Vikrama] year 1378. It corresponds to Monday, the 10th May A.D. 1982.

As regards the genealogy given in this insoription, it is curions, bat of no historical impor-
 family nor the father of Samarasimha who is here mentioned immediately after him. Instead of the name Mânavasimiha of Lunṭigadêva's inscription this account has Mahanasiminh which must be regarded as another name of the same person; and while acoording to the former Vijaḍa had four sons, acoording to this genealogy he had only three-Ithilge, Lundiha and Lumbha. Of these, Lduiga undoubtedly is identical with the Lâvanyakarna of the other insoription, ${ }^{8}$ and Lundhe with Luntiga (Lunḍa), while Lumbhe (Lrambhaka) most probably is another name of Lutpavarman. What is new to us and of some importanee, is, that by the account here given Tejjasimha, who is known to us from other insoriptions, was a son of Lûpiga. According to No. 261 of my Northern List Teejasimhas ${ }^{8}$ was ruling in the [Vikrama] year 1387, corresponding to A.D. 1331 ; and there is an anpublished Mount Âbd insoription of his of the [Vikrama] year 1393, corresponding to A.D. 1336. What was the exact relation of Tihunaka (Tihuna) to Tesjasimha, does not appear from the Vimala temple inscription; but the matter very probably is cleared up by a statement in an inscription (No. 265 of my Northern List ${ }^{5}$ ) of Tềasimha's son Kanhadededeva, who was reigning at Chandravatí in the Vikrama year 1394, corresponding to A.D. 1338, That inscription in lines 11-14 records four separate grints of villages
 Kanhaḍadềre, and the Châhumânajá(!)tîya-raja a-sri-samatasimha. Hore Tihnụaka is plaoed between TAjasimiha and his son Kânhaḍadêva, who both are distinguishod from him by their titite of rdjan. This and the order in which Tinunpakse is mentioned in the Vimala temple inscription render it probable that he was Tajasimha's younger brother. And thir may possibly be the medming of the word devad $\hat{A}$ prefixed to his names, a word which seem to be tymologically connectod with the Sanskrit devri, defara, used in the specific sense of 'a hasband's younger brother.'"

In the Trable on page 88 I have placed all the chiefs mentioned in above in their geisealogical ordar, and have givern the dates known from inscriptionic either fer finanselves or for the kings and ohiefs with whom they are said to have come in contact. The Th Thate will show that Tiktumapay the founder of the family, must be phepod in aboat $A_{i} D$. 985-8we, mant that therefore the very probobly was a won of that VAkpatirtaja of giakembhaid, who way the grandfather of the Vigraharaja ${ }^{7}$ of the Harsha insoription who lized in A.D. 973 . My genemegioal Table of the family is not quite complete. I do not know yet how to plane anvolyy he liahdrdjakula samvatasimiha or samyantasimiha, who is mentioned in Nos. :704-70\% of zay Horthern List with dates from sbout A.D.-1282 to A.D. 1289, and- if this should be a blympat chief-the


[^70]

# No. 10.- AMBASAMUDRAM INSCRIPTION <br> OF VARAGUNA-PANDYA. 

## By V. Venkayya, M.A., Rat Bahaddr.

Ambasamudram is situated on the northern bank of the Tâmaparṇ̂ river and is the hise quarters of the taluka of the same name in the Tinnevelly district. The town luss a lo- $n$ reputation for the mannfacture of cloths largely in demand on the western coasat. On :s. sonthern bank of the river is the big and flourishing village of Kallidaikkurichehi, which finher its name to the oloths manufactured at the sister town on the other bank, because the tris? them is carried on mostly by the Brahmanas of the former place, who temporax-ily resis. Malabar and are frequently met with on the west coast.

The site of Ambâsamudram seems to have been altered in comparatively recent tinncc. i:greater portion of the town is now situated at some distance from the river, while the nothe important temple, now called Erichcha-Udaiyar, is quite close to it, and is separater fron the town by a pretty large extent of rice fields. The heavy floods in the Tamraparini at curta: : seasons of the year might be one of the causes which led to the removal of the towne to a mist distant locality. Besides, in ancient times, Ambâsamudram seems to have been si. hig plicw
 ingcription of [Jaţâvarman Sundara-]Chôla-Pândyas the temple of Erichcha-Udaiy âx is naiil :* be situated in the southern hamlet of Rajaraja-chaturvêdimangalam. During tine privel : Panḍya supremacy the town was called Illangôkkuḍi or Ilangôykkuḍi, ${ }^{4}$ which was niltered is :s Râjarijas-chaturvêdimangalam after the Chôla conquest. ${ }^{5}$ The latter name has procolnally to ${ }^{\text {tu }}$ traced to the Chôla king Râjaraja I., after whom the Pânḍya country was itself callect Rajarajumandalam. ${ }^{6}$

Daring the field season of $1904-05 \mathrm{I}$ copied eight inscriptions in the temple of FriehriaUḍaiyàr, of which two belong to the early Pânḍyas, ${ }^{7}$ two to the Chôlas, ${ }^{8}$ one to thes Chén. Pandyas ${ }^{9}$ and two to the later Pândyas, ${ }^{10}$ while the eighth does not mention any king. ${ }^{11}$ One of th: early Pândya records ${ }^{18}$ seems to belong to the reign of Vira-Pándya, ' who took the head of the Chôla.' The Chôla king with whom he fought must be Âditya (II.) Karikâla, ${ }^{13}$ who, aceording to the large Leiden plates, fought in his youth against Vira. Pandyya. ${ }^{14}$ The newly discovered ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Tiruvaliangậ̣̀n plates of Râjêndra-Chôla I. do not mention the name of the Pâṇ̣ya king againa: whom Âditya II. fought, but report that the latter "killed the Pânḍya king in battle and wi up his lofty head as a pillar of victory in his city." ${ }^{\text {" }}$ "ccordingly, Vira-Pâṇdya reigened in the

[^71]period prior to the conquest and occupation of the Pânḍya country by the Chollas at the end of the 10 th century A.D.

The subjoined inscription is engraved on a slab brilt into the floor of the first circait in the Erichcha-Uḍaiyâr temple, which is comparatively dark. The record was found and copied with the help of a lamp. At my request the Collector of Tinnevelly has removed the slab to the Government Central Museum at Madras. The plate issued with this paper is based on fresh impressions prepared by my Assistant Mr. K. $\nabla$. Subrahmanya Aiyar, B.A., after the removal of the stone to Madras. ${ }^{1}$ - The alphaket of the document is Vatteeluttu and the language Tamil prose intermixed with a few Sanskrit words in the Grantha alphabet. The preservation is good, though a few syllables are mutilated at the end of lines 3 to 13 and 81 . The slab was ruled before the writing, which is crowded into three sides of it, was engraved. The number of Sanskrit words written in Grantha is comparatively few, viz. svasti (l. 1), śr (ll. 1 and 3), amugraha (1. 1), bhatâra (11. 1, 3 and 10), maharaja (1. 5), maharaja (11. 12 and 81) and räjyavasha (1. 12).

The following peculiarities of the alphabet deserve to be noted. The horizontal stroke of ka is very often small and indistinct. But the letter has not yet assumed the slanting form found in the Tirunelli ${ }^{2}$ and Cochin plates ${ }^{3}$ of Bhâskara-Ravivarman. The variant of the letter ya noticed by Prof. Hultzsch in the Kôttayam plates of Sthânu-Ravi and in certain Chôla inscriptions ${ }^{4}$ does not occur here. Two slightly different forms of $t$ are used, of which one (the first $t$ of seluttu in 11.5 and 14) resembles, to a certain extent, the form in an ancient Vattelattu record from Tirunddarkunry in the South Arcot district. ${ }^{5}$ The vowel ai occurs thrice in the inscription (11. 9, 22 and 75). As in other Tamil inscriptions, hardly any distinction is made between the short $i$ and the long $i$ when they occur in combination with consonants. Donble kk is ocossionally written as a group, e.g. in maharâjarkku and nângâvadukku in line 12 ; poludaikku in line
 some cases over the top of the consonant to which it belongs, instead of by the side as in later Vatteluttu epigraphs; see e.g. vî of vîrrirundu (1.6), li of poli (l. 9), di of kudi (1. 11),
 Museum plates of Jatilavarman ${ }^{6}$ withont the addition of the $\hat{a}$-symbol (on the right) found in the Chôla Vattelattu inscriptions ${ }^{7}$ published by Prof. Hultzsch. The Tiranelli plates ${ }^{8}$ distinguish by a similar addition the long $p$ of from the short $p$, and only $\mu$ single instance of the former occurs in the Cochin Jews' grant. ${ }^{9}$ Thas the distinction between po and pô appears to be a later innovation, and evidently belongs to a period subsequent to the Jatilavarman plates and to the subjoined inscription. If this conclusion be correct, the Cochin and the Tirunelli plates of Bhâskara-Ravivarman must be later than Varaguna -Pândya. And as Prof. Hultzsch has remarked that the alphabet employed in both of them agrees with that of the Chbla inscriptions from South Travancore published by him, ${ }^{10}$ Bhâskara-Ravivarman may have to be assigned to the 10 th or 11 th century A.D.

The language of the subjoined record calls for a few remarks. The valgar form maharaja occurs twice instead of the Sanskrit mahâraja (11. 12 and 81), while maharaja in line 5 is

[^72]evidently a mistake. Amidu (1.14) and amirdu (1. 19 f.), both of which correspond to the modern Tamil amudu, are tadbhavas of the Sanskrit amrita. The word pols (1.9) is ased both bere and in the Trichinopoly cave inscription of Varagnṇa-Pândyå instead of the more common polisai, which occurs in the Tanjore inscriptions ${ }^{\circ}$ and survives in the Malayalam palisa, ${ }^{4}$ The word ndyu (11. 27 and 69) is still current in Malay@lam, while its modern Tamil equivalent is $n \hat{r} r u$. The root from which they are both dexived is $n \hat{u}_{r} u$, which has aequired a figurative sense in Tamil, ${ }^{5}$ while the original meaning seems to be preserved in Teluga. ${ }^{6}$ The measure known as sevidu occurs four times in the form sevitfu (11. 25, 27, 54 and 70). The nord nigadi is used in line 27 as well as in line 13 apparently in the same sense as niśadi, of which niyadi (1. 77) is a variant. Vêna in line 75 is a valgar form of the word venqiya. The form kuni, which occurs twice (11. 58 and 73), is perhaps a simple mistake for kuruni.

The subjoined inscription belongs to the time of Varaguna-Maharaja, whose name occurs thrice (11. $5,11 \mathrm{f}$. and 81 ), and is dated in the 12 th opposite to the fourth year of his reign. From his camp at Araiâur on the bank of the Peṇnai river in Toṇasi-nadu; the king granted 290 kấsu to the temple of Tiruppôttudaiyar? (the ancient name of Erichcha- Udaiyâr) at Ilangokkuḍi in Mulli-naḍu. ${ }^{8}$ The assembly of the village received the money. The committee of the assembly ${ }^{9}$ and the temple servants had jointly to provide for offerings to the god, according to a fixed scale, out of the interest from those 290 k asu.

The insoription of Varagana-Pdndya in the Upper Cave at Trichinopoly is dated on the 2501 st day (and) in the 4 th year or the 11th year of his reign. ${ }^{10}$ No reasoniable doubt can at present be entertained as regards the identity of this king with the donos of the subjoined record. On the occasion of making the former donation Varaguna was at Nityamam in the Tanjore district, after having destroyed the fortifications of a town named Vembif. At Râmanâthapnram near Dindigul in the Madnra district is a Tamil inscription whioh refens to the expedition (yattirai) of Marañjadaiyan against Iḍavai in the Chôła comentry. ${ }^{14}$ The Triohinopoly epigraph implies that Marañjaḍaiyann ${ }^{38}$ was a surname of Varaguna, ${ }^{18}$ and this. inference is borne out by a

[^73]record at Tillasthânam in the Tanjore district, which is dated during the reign of Mârañjaḑaiyan and records a gift for the merit of Varagnṇa-Mahârâja. ${ }^{1}$ Accordingly, it may be conclnded that Varaguṇa alias Mâraunjaḍaiyañ led the expedition against Iḍavai in the Chôlạ country. Iḍavai is evidently identical with the village of the same name ${ }^{2}$ in Manṇi-nâdu, a subdivision of Râjêndrasimha-vaḷanậu situated on the northern bank of the river Kâvêri. ${ }^{3}$ The village of Vêmbarrûr situated in the same subdivision ${ }^{4}$ might be identical with Vêmbil, whose fortifications Varaguna destroyed. The destruction of Vêmbil probably followed soon after the expedition against Idavai. In the same locality is Tiruppirambiyam, ${ }^{5}$ where a battle was fought between the Pândya king Varaguna anid the Western Ganga Prithivîpati I. ${ }^{6}$ The expedition against Iḍavai in the Chôla country and the attack of Vembil were apparently acts of aggression 7 on the part of the Pậ̣dya king, which eventually led to the battle of Śripurambiya (in Tamil Tiruppirambiyam). The part which Prithivipati I. played in this battle was hitherto inexplicable. In editing the Trichinopoly cave inscription of Varaguna-Pâṇ̣ya, I remarked: :-"How it was that the Gaingas of Gangavadi in the Mysore State managed to get so far south as Kumbhakônam in the Tanjore district, and why the Pâṇ̣ya king Varagaṇa had to fight against them, are points on which no information is at present forthcoming." The verse in the Udayêndiram plates which describes the battle runs as follows, with Prof. Hultzsch's corrections: ${ }^{9}$ -

##  ऊत्वाय्यंयुत्तमपराजितश सु हृद्स्त्बिदिवघ्तगाम [॥*]

With the approval of Prof. Hultzsch, whose translation of the verse is slightly different, I render it as follows:-" Having defeated by force the Pândya king Varaguna at the head of the great battle of Srîpurambiya, and having made (his) friend's title Aparâjita (i.e. the unconquered) siguificant, this hero entered heaven by sacrificing his own life." Thus we get a king whose name or surname was Aparâjita, and who with his ally Prithivîpati I. fought against the Pânḍya king Varaguna. The existenoe of a king named Aparâjiita might be concluded already from one of the Ukkal inscriptions, where the other name of that village is Aparajitachaturvêdimangalam. ${ }^{10}$ From the Âmbûr inscriptions of the Ganga-Pallava king Nripatunga it may be supposed that Prithivìpati I. was his feudatory. ${ }^{11}$ Accordingly, Aparâjita, the friend of the latter, was probably also a Ganga-Pallava. Indisputable evidence of the existence of a Gañga-Pallava king of that name is furnished by an inscription of Vijaya, Aparajitavikramavarman, discovered by my Assistant Mr. K. V. Subrahmanya Aiyar at Tiruttaṇi in the North Arcot district. ${ }^{18}$ Aparâjitavikramavarman was apparently the successor of Nripatunga, and we may at present suppose that during the reign of the latter (or after his

[^74]death) there was a Pàndya invasion headed by Varagana. The invader probably did not meet with any effeotive resistance at first, and therefore advanced as far north as Araisurur on the Southern Pennar river, where he was encamped at the time of making the grant registered in the subjoined Ambâsamudram inscription. Subsequently, Aparajita, who was perhaps the heirapparent at the time, ${ }^{1}$ appears to have been sent with the Western Gainga Prithivipati I. to repel the invasion. The decisive battle was fought at Sripurambiya, and the invader was driven back. But the Ganga king lost his life in it. ${ }^{2}$

The Tiravâlaggaḍu plates of the Chôla king Râjênara-Chôla I. help us to trace the fortunes of the Gaiga-Pallavas to the very end. Verse 49 of the Sanskfit portion of this grant, which desoribes the achievements of the Cholla king Áditya I., runs as follows :-

## भपरानितमप्यसी रशी जितवान् पक्षवस्तुक्तव्षल्लम्ं। [1*] दयितामपि तस्य मेदिनीं खवशीकत्य [त"]याप्यभूत् बती ॥

"Having conquered in battle the Psllava who bad brilliant troops, though (he was) Aparâjita (i.e. the unconquered), he (viz. the Chôla king Âditya) also took possession of his queen, (riz.) the earth, (and) thus accomplished his objeot.'s In other words, the Chela king Âditya I. conquered the Pallava Aparajita ${ }^{5}$ and annered his dominions. The Pallava Aparajita ${ }^{6}$ may now be identified with the Ganga-Pallava king Aparàjitavikramavarman of the Tirnttaṇi inscription, and with that Apardjita who defeated Varagana-Pandya. And, evidently, Ukkal in the North Arcot district was called Aparâjita-chaturvédimangalam after this Ganga-Pallava king. He was apparently the last member of his family. Though successfol against the Pandyas, he could not offer any effective resistance to the rising Chôlas, who, under $\hat{\text { Âditya }}$ I, not only conquered him in battle, but also annexed his dominions.

In a Vattelattu inscription at Aivarmalai in the Madura district, ${ }^{7}$ copied during the last field season by my assistant Mr. G. Venkoba Rao, I found a Saka date for Varaguna. His 8th year is conpled with Saka-sanvat 792. Thus his acoession took place in A.D. 862-63. If the facts and inferences set forth in the preceding paragraphs be confirmed by future researches, and if there was only one Pânḍya king named Varaguna, the invasion of Iḍavai in the Chôla conntry and the destruction of the fortifications of Vêmbil must have taken place in or before A.D. 872-73.8 Varaguṇs was at Araisiur on the southern Pennar in A.D. 877-8. The battle of Sripurambiya might have taken place towards the close of the same year or in the next year. If the surmise made by me, that Aparajita was probably heir-apparent when that battle took place, be true, his acoession may be placed about A.D: 880. His deteat by the Chbla king Åditya evidently occurred after the Tiruttaṇi insoription of the 18th year of his reign.

[^75]Accordingly, the event may be supposed to have taken place at the end of the 9 th century A.D. ${ }^{1}$

The great Śaiva saint Manikkeââsagar mentions the Pânc̣ya king Varaguṇa twice ${ }^{2}$ in his Tiruchchirrambalakkôvaiyâr. The time of Sundaramûrti-Nâyanâr, who probably flourished in the 8th century A.D., is the upper limit of the date of Mânikkavâsagar, who is conspicuous by his omission from the Tiruttondattogai, i.e. the list of Śaiva saints composed by the former. The lower limit may be taken as the reign of the Chôla king Parakêsarivarman Râjêndradêva (A.D. 1052 to 1053 ), ${ }^{3}$ becanse in an inscription of the 5 th year of his reign (=A.D. 1056-57) reference is made to the poem [Yiru]vembâvai composed by Mânikkavâśagar. ${ }^{4}$ It may, therefore, be concluded that this Śaiva saint was a contemporary of the Pâṇ̣ya king Varaguṇa. And if there was only one king of that name, the Śaiva saint must bave flourished in the secoud half of the 9 th century A.D.

It is worthy of note that the calculation of the annual requirements of the temple provided for in the subjoined inscription implies that the measure of paddy known as kalam consisted of 90 nâli, instead of 12 kuruni or 96 nalli, which we find, for instance, in the Tanjore inscriptions, and which is the prevailing equivalent in the Tamil country. A kalam was equal to 15 kuruni, and a kuruni equal to 6 nalli. The rate of interest, according to the subjoined inscription, is 2 kalam of paddy for each kâsu; while in the Tanjore inscriptions it is 3 kurumi for one leâsúu. Thus the rate in the Pâṇ̣ya country at the time of Varaguna would be nearly eight times higher ${ }^{5}$ than that prevailing in Tanjore during the reign of the Chôla king Râjarâja I. (A.D. 985 to at least 1013), in case there was no difference in the value of the kásu. The variations at the same two places and periods in the price of some of the important articles of daily consumption are recorded in the foot-notes. It is interesting to note that common salt and firewood, which are included among the daily requirements in the Tanjore insoriptions, are conspicuous by their omission in the subjoined document. This is a significant fact, though we may not be warranted in conoluding from it that these two articles had not to be paid for in the Pâṇdya country during the reign of Varaguna.

## TEXT.

First Side of the Stone.
1 Svasti [Il*] Ŝrî-Bhaṭârar=anugra[ha]tti[nầ]-
$2{\underset{x}{6}}^{6}$ Muḷị-nâtụ=Ilanggôykkuḍi T[i]-

[^76]3 ruppôttuḍaiyt̂r Grikôyil-Bhaṭ̣̂ra[r*]kku mu[dal*]
4 [ke]ḍ̂̀mai poli koṇ̣ur ${ }^{1}$ nâ[n]gu kâlamun=di[ruv-amu*]-

6 peṇ̣aí=kkarai Araiśsur vîrxirundu Ilañ[gôkkn*]-
7 ḍi=chchavaiyâr kaiyyirn=kinḍutta kâsu iru-nâx[ru-to*]-
8 ṇ̣ûru [l*] ivarrârarkấiñvây=iru-galamâga ân[du*]-
9 varai Gavaiŷ̂r=alakkum poli-nel ai-nnûrr-e[nbs][di*]-

11 gôkknḍi=chchavai-vâriyarum uḍa=ninru Varagu[na*]-
12 Mahar[å]jarkku rajya-va[r*]sham nângâvadukku ed[ir*]
13 panniraṇ̣̣â[m*] yâṇ̣̣u Tulâ-îayiru mudalâga niga[di*]-
14 Yâga nângu kâlam[a]n=dirav-amidu śluttnm [pa]-
15 di [ [|*] oru-poludaikku vênduvana arisi senn[e]r=
1.6 ritţal nâ=nâli kummâyattakku pa[ya]rru=

17 pparupp=uri nivêdikka paśavin=narn-ney[y]=u-
18 lakku=ppaśuvin=rôy-tayir=ari=kkaruválai-
19 ppalan=nângu śarkkarai orn-palam kari-am[i]-
20 rdu kâyk[ka]si ongru pulingari iraṇ̣u pulu-
21 [k]kukkari onru porikkari ongu órgi=kkari
22 aiñjinnukkuń=gari padin-pala[m]
23 [ka]xi tumikkavum porikkavum paśvi=nara-ne-
24 y ádakku=k[kût]ṭakku paśuvin [t]ô[y]-tayi-

26 velḷilai ir-aḍakka adaikkây pattu
27 nâru oru-sevitṭa [1*] ága nigadi, nângu
28 poludaikku vênḍuvaña arisi ée-
29 nner=rîttal padin-ara-nali
Second Side of the Stone.
30 âga ôr=âttai[k]ku arisi sen[ne].

32 [ka]lam [1*] ivai [ka]lav-arisikku mux[kkala]-
33 nellâga nûrrcu-ttoṇ[ña]rr-iru-gala-
$34 \mathrm{~m}\left[\right.$ l' $\left.^{*}\right] \quad$ payarru=pparupp=iru-
35 nâli ivai nâli=pparuppu-
$36 \mathrm{kku} \mathrm{mn}=\mathrm{nnầli}$ nellâga ôr r [a]t.
37 taiksu nel irabattu-nát=kalam [1*]
38 paśuviñ narru-ney nâliy-uri i-
39 vai nadici] ne[y*]kku muppadi=n@li ne-
$40{ }^{2} 1 l a ̀ g a \quad$ ôr $=\hat{a}\left[t^{*}\right]$ taikku nel nûrr-eṇba-
4.1 din kalam [1*] páuvin=rồ-[tayi]-

42 r ntinalii ivai nadli=t[ta]yirkku
$43 \mathrm{mu}=\mathrm{nnầli}$ nell[a]ga ôr=âțtaikku ne-
441 nâ[r]patt-eṇ-galam [1*] karuvâlaipps-
45 lam padin-Ânn ivai iranḍ_[kku]
46 nâli nellâga ôr=âtṭaikku ne[1].

[^77]47 muppatt-iru-galam [1*] śarkkarai ${ }^{1}$ nârra $[p]$ r ${ }^{*}$
48 [la]m i[du] oru-palattakku nâłi-
49 y -uri nellâga ôr=âṭtaikku nel
50 irubatta-nâk=kkalam [1*] kaŗi nâxpa-
51 din palam ivai pan-pa[la]-
52 ttukku nâli nellâga ôr=â[t]-
53 taikku nel pa[di*]n-aru-kalam [ ["] kâyam
54 âlakkê mu=chcheviṭtu idu
55 ulakku kâyattukk=arun-nâ-

Third Side of the Stone.
56 [li] nell[â]ga ôr=ât[tai]-
57 kku nel patt[o]n[ba]-*
58 [di]n kalane mu=kka[ra*]ni $\left[\left.\right|^{*}\right]$
59 ilai-amirdu vel.-
60 [li]lai irandu parru
61 [i]vai ora-parruk[ $k=i]$ -
62 [ru]-nâli nellàga ôr=[â]-
63 țtaikku nel pa[di]-
64 [n-a]ra-galam aḍai[kkâ]-
65 y nârpadu ivai [i]-
66 rubad=adaikkây[kku] mu=n-
67 [nâ]liy-uri nellâga
68 ôr=âttaikku nell=[i]-
$69 \mathrm{r}[\mathrm{a}]$ ba $[\mathrm{tt}]$-en-galam [ $\left[{ }^{*}\right]$ nûr[ $[\mathrm{a}]$
$70 n[\hat{a}] s=c h e v i t ̣ t u \quad i d u$
71 nâlikk=iru-nâliy[âga]
72 ôr=âṭtaikku nel
73 pann-ira-ku[ru*]ni [ [*] el-
74 [ a a$] \mathrm{m}$ êrri ôr ra [t t$]$ -
75 țaikku rêp̣a nel [ai=n]-
76 nârr-[e]nbadin kala[m] [1*]
77 i=ppariśu niyadi-
78 [p]padi mutṭ[â]mai
79 n[e]ḍuñ=gâlamañ=je-
$80 \operatorname{lu}[\mathrm{t}] \operatorname{tuv}[\mathrm{ada} \mathrm{a}] \mathrm{ga}$ vai[t*][t]â-
81 r srî-Varaguna-Maharaja[[r*] [ll*]

## TRANSLATION.

(Lines 1 to 8.) Hail ! By the grace of the blessed lord (bhaturar)! Varaguna-Maharája, being encamped ${ }^{2}$ at Araisur on the bank of the (river) Penṇai in Tonḍai-nâdu, gave into the hands ${ }^{3}$ of the members of the assembly of पlangôkkudi two hundred and ninety kásíu, from the interest of which-the capital remaining unspent ${ }^{4}$ - offerings had to be provided for four times

[^78](a day) to the lord of the glorious temple ${ }^{1}$ of Tiruppôttudaiyêr at Ilangôkkuḍi in Mullinêdu.
(Li. 8 to 10.) For this (amount) the members of the assembly have to measure out five hundred and eighty kalam of paddy per year (as) interest, at the rate of two kalam for each kấsu.
(II. 10 to 14.) Ont of this (income) the servants of the lord (bhafarar) and the committee of the assembly of Ilaingôkkuđ̣i shall jointly pay for offerings four times a day, ${ }^{2}$ commencing from the month of Tula in the twelfth year opposite to the fourth year of the reign of Varaguṇa-Mahâraja, (according to the following) scale:-
(Ll. 15 to 27.) (The following) are the requirements for a single offering : ${ }^{3}$ - four nali of clean saperior rice; (one) uri of split green gram for the kummâyam; ${ }^{5}$ (one) ulakku of cows' ghee of the best quality to be offered ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (by itself) ; (one) uri of cows' ourds; four black plantain froits; one palam of sugar ; ten palam of vegetables (for) the vegetable offering (kari-amirdu), (viz.) one kâykkari, ${ }^{7}$ two pulingari ${ }^{8}{ }^{8}$ one pulukkukkari ${ }^{9}$ (and) one porikkari-in all, five (kinuds of) curry; (one) alakku of cows' ghee of the best quality for seasoning ${ }^{10}$ and frying vegetables ; (one) uri of cows' curds for the compound curry (kûtfu); ${ }^{11}$ two sevitfu of asafortida; twil bundles of betel-leaves, ${ }^{12}$ ten areca-nuts (and) one sevittu of lime (nûru) for the leaves offering.
(Ll. 27 to 73.) The aggregate requirements for (the offerings) four times a day ${ }^{13}$ (are): At the rate of sixtean naliz of olean superior rice ( $a$ day) , sixty-four kalam of olean saperior ricet

[^79]for a year. At three kalam of paddy for one kalam of rice, ${ }^{1}$ this (comes to) one hundred and ninety-two kalam (of paddy). The split green gram (required for a day) is two nalli. At the rate of three $n \hat{a} l i$ of paddy for (one) nâli of split (green gram), ${ }^{2}$ twenty-four lalam of paddy per year (have to be allotted) for this (item). Cows' ghee of the best quality (required for a day) is (one) nâli and (one) uri. At the rate of thirty nalli of paddy for (one) nalli of ghee, ${ }^{3}$ this (comes to) one handred and eighty kalam of paddy for a year. (The quantity of) cows' curds (required for a day) is four náli. At the rate of three nâl $l i$ of paddy for (one) nâl $\mathfrak{i}$ of curds, ${ }^{4}$ this (amounts to) forty-eight kalam of paddy annaally. (The number of) black plantain fruits (required for a day) is sixteen. At the rate of (one) nali of paddy for two (fruits), ${ }^{6}$ these (cost) thirty-two kalam of paddy annually. The sugar (required for a day is) four palam. At the rate of (one) nâlli and (one) uri of paddy for every palam, ${ }^{6}$ this (item costs) twenty-four kalam of paddy per year. (The daily consumption of) vegetables being forty palam, sixteen kalam of paddy (have to be allotted) for this (item) every year一 at the rate of (one) nalli of paddy for ten palam. $7^{(O n e)}$ alaklku and three Sevittu of asafortida (being required daily), nineteen kalam and three leurunni ${ }^{8}$ of paddy (have to be allotted) for this (item) annually- at the rate of six nâl $l i$ of paddy for (one) ulalkku of asafoctida. ${ }^{9}$ (For) the leaves offering (are required) two bundles ${ }^{10}$ of betel-leaves (daily), which, at the rate of two nalli of paddy for one bundle, (come to) sixteen kalam of paddy for a year; forty areca-nuts (daily), which, at the rate of three nâli and (one) uri of paddy for 20 areca-nuts, (cost) twenty-eight kalam of paddy annually; ;11 (and) four sevittu of lime (daily), which, at the rate of two nali (of paddy) for (one) ndlli (of lime), (cost) twelve kuruni $i^{12}$ of paddy per year.
(Ll. 73 to 81.) Altogether, the (quantity of) paddy required annaally is five handred and eighty kalam. ${ }^{13}$ The glorious Varaguna-Mahârâja thas deposited (this money), in order that

[^80](the members of the village assembly) might provide (the requirements) day by day ${ }^{1}$ for a long time ${ }^{2}$ without (any) obstraction.

Nu. 11. MadLus museum plates of VajRaHasta III.;<br>SAEA-SAMVAT 984.

Dr Sten Konow, Pe.D.; Ciristiania.

The in:eniption is fornd on a set of copper-plates which have been deposited in the Madras ilusemm. I disint ktwiw whero ther have been originally fonnd. I pabligh the insuription from escelleni iuk-impressions" suppliel by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya, M.A., who deseribes the plates is follors: -
"The plates arc five in number. The first bears writing on the inner side only. The last is completely hlank; it was uriucntly pat in to protect the single line of writing on the second side of the fourth plato. The plates have slightly raised rims (though in certain places these are either beaten down or worn away) and are strnng on a ring, the onds of which are soldered into the lowor part of a round seal. About the middle of the seal is a seated Nandin, whose tail estends to the boltom of the scal. From either side of the hind part of the Nandin proceed what may be tukee for lotns bods. On the proper left of the Nandin are two flag-staffs placed one by the side of the other, with a bowl above them; and on the proper right of it are a conch, a lampstand and a dagyer. The ring was cut by me. It is not quite circular. The diameter varies between 5 " and $H_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. Tho thicknoss of the ring is $\frac{5_{8}^{\prime \prime}}{}$. The seal is roughly $2 \frac{2^{\prime \prime}}{}$ in diameter. The following measurements of the plates show that, as regards breadth, they are slightly bigger in the midule than rit the ends, while, as rogards height, the reverse is the case :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average height of flutes . . . . . . . . } 42^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime \prime} 41^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The foarth plate is comparatively amall in height, measuring $44^{\prime \prime}$ at the ends and almost $4^{\prime \prime}$ in the niddle."

The inscription consists of 54 lines. The greater part is in a good state of preservation. Tho fourth plate, however, is rather corroded, and some passages of it can only be read with difficulty.

The alphabet is Nagarí of the same kind as in the Nadagâm plates of Vajrahasta of Saka-Samvat 979.* The class nasal, and not the Anusuira, is used before class mutes. Exceptions from this rule are ${ }^{\circ}$ सी except in ${ }^{\circ}$ निर्जिता ${ }^{\circ}$, 1. 26. व is written for ब throughout. स has been substituted for $\bar{x}$ in
 and ${ }^{\circ}$ "वष्यं, 1.54. A nasal with a following gattural or palatal is written in the same way as in the Nadagâm plates. Note further such writings as समुज्वल, 11.7 and 24 , पन्य्याबित instead of प्रन्मालित, l. 3 , and प्रश्योदन्मद ${ }^{\circ}$ instead of पपश्चोतन्मद्र , 1. 19.

[^81]The language of the inscription is Sanskrit. It contains the same twelve verses as the Nadagâm plates of Saka-Samvat 979. The remainder of the inscription is in prose. The first 41 lines are almost identical with the corresponding portion of the Nadagâm plates. They are, bowever, more carefnlly engraved and give several passages in a more correct form.

The inscription is one of king Vajrahasta (III.), the son of Kâmârnạa (II.) of the Gângga lineage, and of Vinayamahâdêvi of the Vaidumba family. His genealogy is given in the same words as in the Naḍagâm plates, from Gunamahârṇava downwards, including the date of Vajrahasta's coronation. ${ }^{1}$ The insaription then goes on to state ( 1.40 ff .) that ' the devout worshipper of Mahêśvara (Śiva), the Paramabhatṭâraka Mahâräjâdhirâja, the lord of the three Kalingas, the glorions Anantavarman Vajrahastadêva, being in good health, issues the following order from Kalinganagara, having called together all the subjects, headed by the ministers:'-" Be it known to you (that), for the increase of the religions merit and fame of (Our) mother, father, and Ourself, the village named Tâmaracheru in Varâhavartani, combined with the Chikhalì hamlet (vataka), circumscribed by the four boundaries, including water and land, free from all molestation, to last as long as the moon, the sun, and the eartn, has been granted by $\mathrm{Us}_{\mathrm{s}}$, with libations of water, as an Agrahâra, to five hundred learned Brâhmanas who delight in the six acts of sacrificing, conducting sacrifices, stadying, teaching, [giving] and accepting, (and) who are well versed in sacred lore, in the Saka year of the dice (4), the Vasus (8) and the treasures (8), on the occasion of an eclipse of the sun. Therefore (Our gift) should be preserved by future kings in compliance with the law proclaimed by Manu. Moreover, land with the produce of two handred Murakas of grain has been given, to last as long as the moon and the sun, free from hindrances, to the god Kôtistrara ${ }^{8}$ for (the maintenance of the rites of) Bali, Oharu, Naivêdya, Dipapâjâ, and so on. And the repairs of what is broken and torn in this (temple) should withont fail be effected by the Brâhmapas living there."

The insoription does not add anything to oar knowledge of the history of king Vajrahasta III. We only learn that he also had the name Anantavarman, like his grandson Chôdagangadêva.

Of the localities mentioned in the inscription, Kalinganagara has been identified by Mr. Ramamurti ${ }^{3}$ with the villages Makhalingam and Nagarakatakam in the Ganjam district. Varâhavartani occurs in. several Gâñga grants. ${ }^{4}$ The village of Tâmaracheru is also known from Gâñga inscriptions. Its boundaries are given in the Chicacole plates of the Maharâja Dêvêndravarman, ${ }^{5}$ in which the village Tâmerachhera is granted to three hundred Brâhmaṇas on the occasion of an eclipse of the san. The Chicacole plates of the Gânga Mahdraja Indravarman, issued from Kalinganagara in Gầ̀gêya-Sañvat 128, ${ }^{8}$ further state that the village of Tâmaracheruva was granted to certain. Brahmanas on the occasion of an eclipse of the moon on the full-moon day of Mârgasira. The latter inscription mentions Tamaracheruva-grâmam $b \hat{a}(v \hat{a}) t \operatorname{tak} \hat{d}(k a)$-sahita $[\dot{m}](1.8$ f.). This vatalea is perhaps identical with the Chikhall-vâtaka of our inscription. The village of Tâmarachera has not as yet been identified. It should be looked for in the neighbourhood of Ohicacole.

The date of the grant is found in a part of the inscription which has not been so well preserved as the rest. The first word is scarcely legible in the ink-impression. After a careful examination of the original, however, Mr. Venkayya and myself find that the reading lerita is certain. The date of the inscription is accordingly the Saka year 984. If we take this to be

[^82]the carrent Siska year, the date would correspond to A.D. 1061-62. Professor Kielhorn has been good enough to inform Professor Hultzsch that the only eclipse of the sun which was (slightly) visible in Ganjam between the Saka years 980-989 took place on the 20th June A.D. 1081. This would therefore be the date of our inscription.

## TEXT. ${ }^{1}$

First Plate.
1 ओ $^{2}$ स्वस्त $^{3}$ य्रीमतामखिलभुवन विनुतनय[विनयद]यादान[दा] च्चिएयसत्य[शiँ]-
2 चशौर्य स्यर्यादिगुएर वपविचका गामानेयगोचाएां विमलविचारा-
3 चारपुस्यसलिलप्रच्यालितकलिका लिक्मषमष्षीएi ${ }^{4}$ [महाम]हेन्द्राचल-
4 शिखस्पतिष्ठितस्य सचराचर्गुरो: सकलभुवननि-

6 क एलंस्बामिन: पसादात्समासादितैकशा्धरीरीप-


9 समालिङ्रितो[तुं] ग[भु]जद एडम[निएड ततानां चिकलिङ्नम[हो]भुजां [गा-ं

## Second Plate ; First Side.

10 [ङ্रT]नामन्वयम लঙ्षरि[थ्योष्ब्विष्योरि] $व^{8}$ विक्र( $T$ )माक्रान्तधराम एडल-

12 वसुधा या पष्धभि[:*] ${ }^{10}$ पष्यधा भुता भूरिपराक्रमो ${ }^{11}$ भुजवलात्त[T*]मे-
13 क एव सयं $\left[1^{*}\right]$ एकीक्रत ${ }^{18}$ विजित्य मत्तनिवह्हान् ${ }^{13}$ श्रीव-
14. ${ }^{14}$ अहस्तसतुचत्वरिंशतमत्युदार $(\mathrm{r})$ [स्म]रित्व[:*] सर्ब्वा-

15 सरच्चीव्समा: ॥ [१*] तस्य तनयो गुएडमराज ${ }^{15}$ [व]षन्नयं-
16 मप[ $T^{*}$ ]लयद्मछीं ॥ तदनुज: कामाएयावद्दे[व]: पस्यनिं[घ]तम-
17 [द्द]कान ${ }^{16} \|$ तस्यानुजो विनयादित्य $\left[:^{*}\right]$ समासस: ${ }^{17} \|$ तत: ${ }^{18}$ कामाएर्णा-
18 जातो जगतीक[ल्पभू] उह: [1*] योगाजद्राजित: चयो वक्पष्ठ(T)-

[^83]
ii a.
iib.


iv a.


Second Plate ; Second Side.
19 स्तोवनीपति: 11 [२*] ${ }^{1}$ प्रश्रोदन्मदगन्धलुब्धमघुपव्यालीढगख्डा[न्ग]जान्नार्थि- ${ }^{*}$
20 भ्यस्समदात्महस्तमतुलो य[स्त्य]गगिनामग्रखी [: $\left.1^{*}\right]$ स(:) [श्रोम] तननिय-
21 ङभीमनृपातिगाङ्नान्वयोतंशक: ${ }^{9}$
(I) पन्चनिंशतमव्दकान्मम-4

22 भुनक्पिध्वं ${ }^{5}$ [सु]त: पात्थिवे: 11 [₹*] तदग्रसूतु: ${ }^{6}$ सुरराजसूतुना
23 समस्समस्तां [ग*] मितारिमयड्डल: [1*] स्म पाति कामाएलंवभूपतिभ्भुवं ${ }^{7}$
24 समृद्विमान बं समा $^{8}$ समुज्वल: 11 [8*] तदनु ${ }^{0}$ तदनुजन्मो ${ }^{10}$ चित्तजन्मो(प)-
25 पमानो गुएनिधिरनवद्यो गुखडमाख्यो महीस: ${ }^{11}$ [1*] [स*]कलमिदमरच्नीणा ${ }^{12}$
26 वर्षाएि धानीवलयमलघुतेजोनिर्जितारानिचक्र: ॥ [4.*] ततो ${ }^{19}$ द्वेमातुर्स्स म्य
27 धुकामाएलावो नृप: । अ्रवति स्मावनीमेताम[व्द]गमेकएलंबिंशति ${ }^{14} \|\left[\xi^{*}\right] \circ ॥$
Third Plate; First Side.
28 अ्रथ $^{15}$ वज्नहस्तनृपतेरग्रसुतादखिलगुfिाजनाग्रयए: [1*] कामा[एएँवा]-
$29{ }^{10}$ क्कवन्द्रप्रगीयमानावदातश्डुभकीत्तै: ॥ [ज*] म्रीय ${ }^{17}$ द्वव ${ }^{18 \text { वैदुम्वान्वय[प]- }}$
30 य:पयोनिधिसमुद्रवायाश्र [1*] य( $T$ ): समजनि ${ }^{18}$ विनयम्ब[हT*]देव्या: श्रीवज्चह्न
31 स्त द्रति त[न*]य: $11\left[\zeta^{*}\right]{ }^{20}$ वियदुतुनिषिसंख्या ${ }^{21}$ याति ${ }^{22}$ มाकाव्दस
32 [नित] वृदृषभस्ते ${ }^{23}$ रोह्हिएीभे सुलग्ने [।*] घनुषि च सितपय्य ${ }^{24}$ सूर्य्य [वारे] त.
33 तीयांयुजि ${ }^{25}$ सकलवरिनी रfचततुं योभिषित्त: ॥ [ $\left.\varepsilon^{*}\right]$ न्याय्येन ${ }^{26}$ यन
34 सममाचवितुं निवर्ग्गा मार्ग्गेएा रच्चति महीम ${ }^{28}$ मचितप्रतापे [1*] नि-[ळ्या]]घय-
35 य निरसायुँ ${ }^{20}$ निरापदश्य शय्यत्पज ${ }^{30}$ भुवि भवन्ति विभूतिमत्य: ॥ [?०*] क्या- ${ }^{31}$
36 से ${ }^{32}$ गद्धुकुलोत्तमस्य स्यश्सा ${ }^{33}$ दि[क्र]क्रवाबे शाभिप्रद्योतामलिनेन य-
Third Plate ; Second Side.
37 स भुवन(:)प्र[ह्न]]दसम्पादिना [।*] सिन्दूरेरतिसान्द्रपझ्श[प*]टल्ल [:*] [कुम्भ]स्थली.

${ }^{1}$ Metre : Śârdûlavikrídita; read प्र श्योत ${ }^{\circ}$, ${ }^{\circ}$ लुख्य ${ }^{\circ}$.

${ }^{6}$ Metre: Vamśastha.
${ }^{9}$ Metre : Malint.
${ }^{12}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ रच्चीयि.
${ }^{15}$ Metre: Giti.
${ }^{18}$ liead बैदुन्बा ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{21}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ संख्यां.
${ }^{22}$ Read वषषभस्थ. The second $\begin{gathered}\text { g } \\ \text { Booms to }\end{gathered}$ have been cancelled by the engraver.
${ }^{24}$ Read भितपन्चे.
${ }^{21}$ Read निर्रगणึ.
${ }^{20}$ Read $\begin{aligned} & \text { थ } \\ & \text { युर्रजां. }\end{aligned}$
${ }^{53}$ Read सश्यद
${ }^{25}$ Read 0 यायुजि सकूलधरिन्ंों.
${ }^{28}$ Read मछोम्.
${ }^{21}$ Metre: Śârdûlavikrị̣ita.
${ }^{4}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गणान्.

7 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ स्भुषं. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Read}{ }^{\circ}$ समां सभुज्च्वल:
${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ नन्मा. ${ }^{11}$ Read महीश:.
${ }^{13}$ Metre: Śloka; read 寻\#T ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{16}$ Read रकववौन्द्र ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{17}$ Metre: Giti; read f श्रय.
${ }^{19}$ Read विनयमप्र $T^{\circ}$. ${ }^{20}$ Metre: Mâlinî.
Read विनयमहा.
22 Read शाकाब्द्र ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गानानस्स्थि".
${ }^{5}$ Read भुनक्पृथवों.
${ }^{14}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सन्दानेकान्भविशतिं.
${ }^{25}$ Metre: Vasantatilaks.
${ }^{29}$ Read निरघाश्य.
32 Read गाङ्यं.
${ }^{35}$ Meire: Śloka.

39 या गुणीनी ${ }^{1}$ यस्य वच्चोमुखावयो: ${ }^{2} \quad$ [1*] आशीन ${ }^{3}$ ग्रीसरसत्यावनुकूले 40 विराजत: \# [१२*] ॰॥ "कलिद्रनगरातारममाहेखरपरमभहा-
41 रक[म*]हाराजाधिराजनिकलिए्गाधिपतिग्रीमदनन्तवर्म्म व-
42 जन्स्तदेव[:*] कुभली ${ }^{5}$ समस्तामात्यप्रमुखजन पदान्ममा[है]य [स]-
43 माज्ञापयति विदितमसु भवतां ॥ वराहष[ तैं]न्यां । तामरचे-
44 ऊुग्रामो नाम (1) चिखलीवाटकेन समसेकीद्धत्य ॥ चत्तुर्सीमा-
45 "वच्छिन्नस्मजलस्थलस्मर्वंपीडाविद्धन्जितमाचन्ट्राब्कंच्चितिस-
Fourth Plate; First Side.
46 मकालं याषन्मातापिन्नोरात्मन: पुख्ययोभि[बहघये क्वत]व-
47
48 (


50 प्रदत्तस्सक्माइ्राविभि[भ्भूं]मि[पा] लैन्म्मुनुनोधर्मागो ${ }^{11}$
51 रवात्परिपालनीयमिति ${ }^{12} \|$ ॠपरं च । कोटीख्वर-
52 देवाय घाचन्द्राक्कीपर्य्यन्तं निर्विरोधा । ${ }^{19}$ वलिचुनेवैब्यदीपपू-
 Fourth Plate; Second Side.
54 टितभग्नघटनं ${ }^{18}$ तन्चस्थवाष्द्वयोरवस्यं कत्तैव्यमिति ॥

No, 12.-TALAMANCEI PLATES OF VIKRAMADITYA I.; A.D. 660.
By Professor E. Hultzgoh, Ph.D. ; Halle (Shale).
This inscription was first published by Messrs. A. Butterworth and V. Venugopal Che their Nellore Distriot Inscriptions, p. 189 ff . According to p .192 of the same work, the I were "discovered about 12 years ago by a servant of Adapalli Venkata Reḍḍi of Párle.

[^84]- hile ploughing some land known as Talamanchipádu in Talamanchi of Nellore taluk ${ }^{1}$ where a fourishing village is said to have once stood." They "have been sent to the Madras Museum at he request of the owner." I re-edit the inscription from three sets of ink-impressions, zindly prepared at my request by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya, M.A., who describes the original sfollows:-
"Three copper-plates, of which the first and last are engraved on their inner side only. "hey measure $7 \frac{1}{2}$ " in breadth. The height of the plates is not uniform. Generally they are igher in the margins than in the middle.


Height in the margins.

| $\begin{gathered} 3 \frac{11^{\prime \prime}}{} \\ 33^{\prime \prime} \\ 3 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime} \& 33^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Height in the middle. $3 \frac{1}{8}^{\prime \prime}$
$3 \frac{1}{4}$
$3 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$

Through circular holes bored on the left margin of each plate passes an oval ring measuring 23" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ y $3 \frac{1}{8}^{\prime \prime}$. It is nearly $\frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ thick. The ends of the ring are secured in the base of a seal, which is 1so oval and measures $1^{\prime \prime}$ by $\frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{8}$. The emblems on the seal, which appear to have been engraved n a countersunk surface, are much worn, but show a lump in the middle-perhaps meant to spresent a boar. The total weight of the plates with ring and seal is 80 tolas."

The writing on the plates is well preserved, excepting a few letters near the margins of ate i. and plate ii. a. The alphabet resembles that of two other grants of Vikramâditya I. ${ }^{2}$ The upadhmânı̂ya is nsed once (1.24), a final form of $m$ twice (11. 24, 27), and one of $n$ once . 17). The three Dravidian letters $l, l$ and $r$, the second of which has the same shape as the padhmaniya, occur in the two village names Elasatti (1.23) and Kolchumko[nra] (1. 22). he language is Sanskrit. There are four well-known Anushṭubl verses : one at the beginning ad three near the end; the remainder of the inscription is in prose.

The document was issued in the sixth year of the reign (1.20) of the (Western) halikya (1. 5) Vikramâditya (I.), the son of Satyấriaya (i.e. Pulakêsin II.), grandson of -irtivarman (I.) and great-grandson of Polikeśin (I.). Each of these four kings receives the me laudatory epithets as in other published grants of the same period. The new inscription ;cords the grant of the village of Elasatti, ${ }^{3}$ north of Kolchumko[nra], to Śrimêghâchârya 1. 22 and 31 f.), the preceptor (gural) of Vikramâditya I., and was written by Vajravarman of .e Vaidya family.

The historical importance of the plates lies chiefly in the date of the grant (1. 20 f.), regard${ }^{2}$ which Prof. Kielhorn kindly contributes the Postscript on p. 102 below. On the strength of .e latter I have added the date " A.D. 680 " to the title of this article and to the heading of the companying Plate.

TEXT. ${ }^{4}$
First Plate.

## 1 जयत्या[विष्कृतं विष्यी]र्व्वराहं च्रोमिताएएी[वं] [।*] दच्चि[यी]न्त्वदंश्राग्र-विश्रान्तभु-

[^85]2 बन वप़: [" १*] स्रीमतां सफलभुवनसंस्तूयमानमानख्यमगोकायां हारितिपका-

4 स्यागपरंपराणां भगवक्षारायक्रसादसमासादितवराश्नाउ्कनेष-
5 एच्रयवसीक्तताशेषमहीक्षतां चलिक्यानां कुलमलंकरिथोरमुमे-




Second Plate, First Side.
10 [पर] नामधैयंस्य सल्यागययन्नीपृधिवीवसभमहाराषाधिराणपरमेन्ब[र]-
11 [ख] प्रियतनय: निनकष्डास्यप्रवरतुरंगमेकैबैनैव प्रतीतानेकतसे-
12 मरमुखेषु रिपुनपतिभधिरजलाखादनरनायब्बलदमर्लनिमितनिबिंम--


15 "्बरितांमाक्रसात्कृत्य "कतिकाधिषितागे[षरा] ज्यभरस्तभिम्बाण्य-
16 चये विनश्धानि देवस्बक्षदेयानि धर्मययोगिवृष्ये खनुखिन खा-
17 पितवान् रयूिरसि रिपुनरेन्द्रान्दिशि दिशि जिला खवंयणा लन्भी माप्य च
18 परमेम्बरतार्मनिवारितविक्रमादिल्य: विक्रमादिल्यस्वागययन्रीष-

Second Plate; Second Side.

21 एमाअस्सर्य्यक्रणी" उदकपूर्ब साइ्रोपाए्वेदविद्युषे वासिष-

23 सीत्तरपार्न्वै" एकर्सत्तिर्बाम घाम:" भातापिकोरालन-


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | ${ }^{-}$Cancel the anustara of at and reed ${ }^{\circ}$ erratal. |
|  | ${ }^{8}$ Reed ${ }^{\circ}$ दिलो. $\quad 1$ Read frition. |
| ${ }^{30} \mathrm{~B}$ Read $\mathrm{at}^{\circ}$. |  |
| ${ }^{18}$ Read 9 पार्यं. |  |

Talamanchi plates of Vikramaditya I. - A. D. 660.




# 25 धराएंपस्थितिसमकाबं यर्शय्विधीषुभिस्बदप्तिनिर्ब्विशिषं परि26 पालनीयं $\left[1^{*}\right]$ खन्दातुं सुमहै्छक्रकं टु:खमन्यस पालनं [1*] दानं 27 वा पालनं वेति दानाष्रेयोनुपाखर्नमिम् [॥ २*] <br> Third Plate. <br>  <br> 29 राजभिस्मगरादिभि: [ $\left.1^{*}\right]$ यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस तस्य <br> 30 तदा फलं [॥ ₹*] खदत्तां परदत्तां वा यो हरेत वसुन्धरा[म् $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ पष्टिं व- <br> 31 षंसह्दस्तर्ताय विष्ठायां जायते क्रिमिरिति । [8*] ग्रीमेघाचा- <br> 32 यंस्यान्वयस्य यासनं वैद्यान्वयग्रीवन्यवर्म्मया लिखितं [॥*] <br> 33 सस्यद्तु गोब्राक्ष्मयेम्य: [1*] कौं ॥- 

TRANBLATION.

[Verse 1 contains an invocation of the boar-incarnation of Vishinn.]
(Line 2.) The great-grandson of the glorious Polikêsi-Vallabha-Mahàrâja, whose body was purified by bathing at the ond of a horse-sacrifice, (and) who adorned the family of the glorious Chalikyas; ${ }^{4}$
(L. 7.) The grandson of the glorious Kirtivarma-Prithivívallabha-Mahârâja, : whose pure fame was established in the territories of hostile kings, suoh as Vanavesi, which had been subdued by (his) valour ;
(I. 8.) The dear son of Gatyấraya-Śrîprithivívallabha-Mahârâjâdhirâja-Paramêávara, ${ }^{5}$ who acquired the surname of 'supreme lord' (paramésvara) by defeating the glorious Harshavardhana, the lord of the whole northern conntry, who had encountered ( him ) in battle;
(L. 11.) He who, at the head of many famous battles, (assisted) by none but (his) noble steed named Chitrakantha and by the edge of (his) glittering, spotless and sharp sword which behaved like a tongue in licking the blood of hostile kings, oonquered would-be conquerors by his own arms which resembled the coils of the serpent who carries the burden of the earth; into whose own armour many blows had plunged; who, having gained for himself the royalty of his father, which had been concealed by the trisd of kings, ${ }^{8}$ cansed the burden of the whole kingdom to be governed by (himself) alone; who, for the increase of (his) merit and fame, by his own mouth confirmed the property of temples and the grants to Brahmanas which had lapsed in that triad of kingdoms; the sin of whose valour (became) irresistible after he had recovered at the head of battles the royalty belonging to his family from the hostile kings of every quarter, and after he had acquired the title of 'supreme lord'. (paramés ${ }^{2}$ ara); Vikrams-ditỳa-Satyíaraya-Eniprithivivallabha-Mahârâjadhiràja-Paramêsvara commands all poople (as follows ):-
(L. 19.) " Be it known to yon (that), in the sixth year of (Our) reign of growing victory, at an eclipse of the sun in the month of Eravaps, the village named Elasatition

[^86]the northern side of the village of Kolgchumiko[nra] has been given by Us, with libations of water, with exemption from all molestation, to Our own preceptor (uzru) Śrimêgháchârya of the Vâsishṭha gôtra, who knows the Vedas with (their) Angaas and Upáaigas, in order that ( Our) mother and father and Ourself might obtain merit and fame. As long as the moon, the sun, the earth and the oceans shall exist, (this grant) should be protected without distinction from their own gifts by those who desire to accumulate fame."
[Verses 2-1 contain the usual admonitions to future rulers.]
(L. 31.) (This) edict (in favour) of the family of Śrimeêghâchârya was written by the illustrious Vajravarman of the Vaidya family. Let there be welfare to cows and Bráhmanas ! 0 m .

## POSTSCRIPT.

By Professor F. Kiblhorn, C.I.E.; Göttinaen.
The inscriptinn edited above by Prof. Hultzsch is dated in the bth year of the reign of the W. Chalukya Vikramâditya I., at the time of a solar eclipse'in the month Śrâvaṇa. From page 2 of Appendix 1I. to Vol. VIII. of this Journal it will be seen that this date must necessarily fall between A.D. 6335 and 685 ; and from what we know to be the general rule regarding eclipses that are quoted in dates, we may conclude that the eclipse here referred to most probably was one that was visible at Bâdami, the capital of the W. Chalukyas, situated in about Long. $76^{\circ}$ and Lat. $16^{\circ}$.

Now it so happens that during the fifty years from A.D. 635 to 685 there was, in both the pirnimanta and the aninta month Srivana, ouly a single solar eclipse that could have been at all visible at Bâdâmi, viz. the eclipse whicb took place 3 h .5 m . after mean sunrise of Monday, the 13th July A.D. 660. That eclipse, an annular one, was fully visible at Bâdâmi, and the 13th July A.D. 660 was the l5th of the dark half of the pîrnimünta Srâvaṇa. We may compare especially the solar eclipse in the date of the Pattadakal duplicate pillar inscription of the reign of the W: Chalukya Kirtivarman II. (No. 48 of my Southern List), of the 25th June A.D. 754, which likewise took place in the pûrnimânta Srâvana ; and to show that in early times the purnimunta scheme of the months was peevalent in Southern India, we may also cite the solar eclipse in the date of No. 9 of the List, which took place in the pûrnimántu Bhâdrapada, and the solur eclipse in the date of No. S5l of the List, which took place in the pûr ṇimeintar Phâlguna.

Monday, the 13 th July A.D. 680 (in Śaka-sampat 582) would thas be in every way a suitable equivalent of our date; that it is its true equivalent is rendered more than probable by the date of the Nerûr plates of the queen Vijayabhattâarikâ (No. 23 of my Southern List). That date corresponds to the $\mathbf{2 3 r d}$ September A.D. 659, and is of the 5th year of a reign of whichhitherto it appeared doubtful whether it was the reign of Vikramâditya's eldest brother Chandrâditya or of Vikramâditya himself. Since we now have a date in A.D. 660 which would be a most proper equivalent for a date of the 6th year of the reign of Vikramâditya I., the date in A.D. 659 must surely be definitely assigned to the 5 th year of the same reign, and the two dates in my opinion must be taken to prove that Vikramaditya I. commenced to reign between some time in September A.D. 854 and July A.D. 655. ${ }^{1}$

[^87]
# No. 13.-MANDHATA PLATES OF DEVAPALA AND JAYAVARMAN II. OF MALAVA. 

By Professor F. Killeorn, C.I.E.; Götitingen.

Of the Paramara kings, who ruled over Mâlava for more than three hondred years, there been published hitherto the texts of twelve copper-plate and three stone inscriptions,
$\mathcal{Y}^{\text {ave }}$ besides short notices of about half a dozen other stone inscriptions, generally of small op $0^{\text {t }}$ or importance. Of the copper-plate inscriptions, three were first edited by Colebrooke in An, two by L. Wilkinson in 1836 and 1838, one by Rajendralal Mitra in 1850, and throe by Haward Hall in 1860 and 1861. Of the stone inscriptions, the largest was first edited by Gangadhar Shastri in 1843, and another by FitzEdward Hall in 1859. And from these

1. pp. 14 and 15. Moreover, of so important a king as Udayâditya we even to-day hardly
gess more than that 'wretched scrawl,' made known in 1840, of a person ' who knew nothing
J dayêditya's family.' I mention this to show how little that is really new we have learnt at Mâlara, from its own records, for half a century, and to indicate what chances are open to $\boldsymbol{o}^{\text {fficials }}$ of the Archæological Survey of India to emrioh our knowledge of the histhry of that atry. For, in my opinion, a systematic search for inscriptions in the ancient Malava country be sure to bring to light numerous documents of importance. Fren now such a search has well inaugurated.
In 1903 there were discovered at Dhâr the inscriptions pablished abôve, Vol. VIII. p. 96 ff.
p. 241 fi., highly intereating from a literary point of view. And in 1904 and 1905 wère ad, at or near Mândhâtâ, the two copper-plate inscriptions now here adited. These plates of some value inasmuch as they show how Dêvapâla, one of the later kings of Malava who already known to us, was related to preceding rulers, and as they give us the names of two of his, Jaitugidêva and Jayavarmain [III.], who, one after the other, succeeded him.

## A.-MÂNDHÂTÂ PLATES OF DÊVAPALA; [VIKRAMA-]SAMTVAT H2gis.

These plates were found, in May 1905, near the temple of Siddhếvara at Mandhata, an $1 \mathbf{d}$ in the Narmadâ (Narbadâ, Nerbudda) river attached to the Nimâr distriot of the Conitral rinces. ${ }^{1}$ They were discovered enclosed in a chest made of two stones, $1^{\prime} 8 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ long by " broad. They are now in the Provincial Museum at Nagpur, to which they have been erated by Rao Jaswant Singh of Mândhâtâ. The first account of them was given by an old ' 1 of mine, Mr. Lele, Director of Education in the Dhâr State; ${ }^{\boldsymbol{x}}$ and a transcript of the siption erigraved on them, with a translation and notes, was afterwards fnrnished to the .orities by Mr. Pyari Lal Ganguli, pleader of Nimấr. The teext and a translation, with an sduction, have also been sent to the Goverioment Epigraphist by Pandit Hiranand Shastri, stor of the Nâgpar Museum. At Prof. Hultzsoh's request, I edit the insoription which is on 3 plates from impressioñs kindly supplied by Mr. Cousens.
The inscription is on three plates, whioh are stated to measure about $1^{\prime} 5$ kin $^{\prime \prime}$ broad by Eh, and of which the second is insaribed on both sides, while the first and third plates are



[^88]inscribed on one side only. The writing on the several sides covers a space between $1^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathbf{l}^{\prime} 4 \frac{5}{s}^{\prime \prime}$ broad by between $10 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $11 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ high. In the lower proper right corner of the first side of the third plate a space abont $2^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $3^{\prime \prime}$ high is marked off by donble lines, which enclose an engraving of the mythical bird Garuda, the exact shape of which may be seen from the accompsaning photo-lithograph. ${ }^{1}$ The plates are held together by two rings for which there are two holes in each plate ; these rings, $I$ am informed, are open and were apparently never soldered together.

The three plates contain 80 lines of well-engraved writing, which is in so perfect a state of preservation that, with the exception of not more than three aksharas, every letter may be read with absolnte certainty. In line 40 a vacant space is left for four aksharas which may have been illegible in the original from which the inscription was copied; and there is a similar vacant space for two aksharas in line 54. The size of the letters is between $\frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{16}$ and $\frac{3}{3}^{\prime \prime}$. The characters are Nâgarî of the period to which the inscription belonge, and the language is Sanskrit. The forms of individual letters hardly call for any remarks. Attention may be drawn to the initial $i$ and $\hat{\imath}$ (e.g. in iva, 1. 4, and $U d a \hat{i}^{\circ}, 1.50$ ), and to the initial $\hat{e}$ (in ésha, 1. 17) and au (e.g. in Audalya-, 1. 27, and Aurvva-, 1. 40) ; perhaps also to the forms of th (e.g. in purushutrtha-, 1. 1,) and ksh (e.g. in sûkshât $t=1$ 1. 1). It may also be stated that the signs for $t$ and $b h$, and those for $d d h$ and $d v$, often are so mach alike that it is very difficult to distinguish between them. As the 22 verses in lines 1-17 are all numbered, the inscription offers specimens of all numeral figures; and in lines 28, 34, 49 and 50 , it gives the fraction $\frac{1}{3}$, denoted by two vertical lines, placed, as the case may be, after a numeral or the sign for nought. ${ }^{2}$ In line 79, before tho word rachitam=, the text contains a peculiar symbol (perhaps a monogram), the meauing of which I am nnable to explain.- As regards orthography, the sign for $v$ denotes both $b$ and $v$; the dental sibilant is used instead of the palatal about 30 times, and the palatal instead of the dental about 25 times (even in such ordinary words as sutah for sutah, 1.9, Símavêda- for Sämavêda-, 1. 29, etc.) ; ri is used for rii in Rishi-, 1.60, and ri for ri in tri ${ }^{j}$ for
 instead of $y$ in jasô- for yasô-, 1. 73, and in the names Jasôdhara-, 1. 29, and Jasadễa- (for
 $d v$ and $d h v$ for $d d y, d d v$ and $d d h v$ need perhaps hardly be mentioned; bat I may add that the word thamratần is written tâmvratâ in in line 2, and that the sign of avagraha is employed three
 errors not referred to in the above, that can all be easily corrected. -The inscription, in lines 1-17, has 23 verses whioh chielly contain genealogical matter, and of which verses 1 1-19 were already known to us from the three grants of king Arjunavarman.s In addition to them, wre have in lives 20-22 the well-known verse commencing with Vâtâbhra-vibhramam=ỉam vasubh-ấaliupatyam which, with a single exception, ${ }^{4}$ occurs in all Paramâra plates; and in lines $75-79$ fonr benedictive and imprecutory verses, the last of which, commencing with Iti kamaladalitmbuwindurlôlâm, is common to all Paramâra plates. The rest of the text is in pross. In the poetical poition we find in live 6 the (as far as I can see, perfectly correct) adjective udddman, insteend aft uldaima which alone is given by the dictionaries. And in the prose part there obonas, in lines 72 and

[^89]73, the strauge revenue term shamhalatama $[k a]$-samanvita, which I have not met with Isewhere and ain uuable to explain.

The inscription records a gant of land by the Paramâra (or Pramâra) king - Mahârája, as he is styled in lino 80-Dèvapâla of Malava. A full translation of the introductory verses will be given below. As has been already stated, up to the end of verse 19 (in line 15) the text is identienl with the introluctory part of the three published grants of the Paramara Arjunavarman, and so far contains little more than a list of kings who were ruling over Mâlava: Bhôjadéva, Udayâditya, his son Naravarman, his son Yaśôvarman, his son Ajayavarman, his sou Vindhyavarman, his son Subhatavarman, and his son Arjuna (Arjunavarman). Vindhyavaman and Subhatavarman were at war with the Gurjaras, and the first of them recover $d$ Dhárâ, whieh must have been taken possession of by the enemy. ${ }^{1}$ Arjuna in his youth put to flight Jayasimha, of whom it is elsewhere said that he was a king of Gûrjara and belonged to the Chaulukya family (of Ap̣ahilapâtaka). ${ }^{2}$ To the nineteen verses of Arjunavarman's grants only three new verses (vv. 20-22) are added here, which tell us that on Arjuna's death he was succeeded in the government of Mâlava by Dêvapâla and record the name of this king's father. When I published the Harsaudâ inscription of Dêvapâla, I had to point out ${ }^{3}$ that by certain cpithets in that inscription Dêvapila was clearly connected with the Mahâkumâras Lakshmivarmadêva, his son Hariśchandradêra, and his son Udayavarmadêva of Dhârâ. Verse 21 of our inscription now informs us that Dêvapâla actually was a son of Hariśchandra (and therefore a brother of the Mahiliumatra Udayavarman, whose plates are dated in A.D. 1200). In the Harsauda inscription, which is dated in A.D. 1218, only three years after the date of the latest known giant of Arjunavarman, Dêvapala, anlike his brother and his father and grandfather, is styled Hahirajidhiraija. This, together with the fact that he succeeded Arjunavarman, would indicate that in him the two branches of the Paramâra family which till then had separately held sway over Mâlava beoume reunited, or that one of them ceased to exist. ${ }^{4}$ Besides the Elarsaudit stone and the present plates, the date of whioh will be considered below, we know of two inscriptions of Dêvapâla's reign, dated in about A.D. 1229 and probably $1232 .{ }^{5}$

In lines 17 ff . Dêvapâla informs all king's officers, Brâhmaṇs and others, and the Pattakila and other people dwelling at the village of Satâjuṇ̂ in the Mahuada pratijagaranaka, that, while staying at Mâhishmati, on the occasion of an eclipse of the moon ${ }^{6}$ on the full-moon tithi in the month Bhâdrapada in the year 1282 (given in words), after bathing in the Rêva (i.e. Narmadâ) and worshipping Siva (at the temple) in the neighbourhood of (the temple of Vishṇa) Daityasudana, he granted the village of Satâjunâ, (as stated in lines 72 and 73) well defined as to its four boundaries ( $k a \dot{\sin k a t a) \text {, etc., to certain Brâhmans enumerated in lines }}$ 22-71; and (in linc 74) he orders the resident Pattakila and others to give to these Brâhmans the customary share of the produce and money-rent, etc., excepting what was already enjoyed

[^90]by gods (i.e. temples) and Brâhmans. After the usual appeal to future kings to preserve this grant and the imprecatory verses, the date (in line 79) is repeated in figures, with the additional remark that the day was a Thursday.

I may state here at once that, so far as the week-day is concerned, the date is incorrect. The full-moon tithi of Bhâdrapads ended-
in the current Ohaitrad $d$ Vikrama year $1282: 10 \mathrm{~h} .50 \mathrm{~m}$, after mean sunrise of Friday, the 30th Augast A.D. 1224;
in the expired Ohaitradi Vikrama year 1282: on Truesday, the 19th Angust A.D. 1225; and
in the expired Karttikddi Vikrama year 1282: on Monday, the 7th September A.D. 1226.

None of the possible equivalents of the date therefore was a Thursday. On the other hand, there was an eclipse of the moon-a partial one-from 17 h .45 m. to 20 h .13 m . after mean sunrise, and therefore visible in India, on the second of the three possible days, and I have no .doubt that that day, Tuesday, the 19th August A.D. 1225, is really the day on which the grant was made, and that in line 79 'Thursday' has been erroneously put down instead of 'Tuesday.'

Of the localities mentioned above, Mâhishmati, from where the grant was made, is Maheswar, a town in the Indore State, Central India Agency, situated in Long. ${ }^{75} 5^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ and Lat. $22^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$, on the northern bank of the Narmadâ (Constable's Hand Atlas of India, Plate $\cdot 27$, B d). The village of Satajuṇa exists still under the same name-the Indian Atlas has ' Satajana' - about 13 miles south-west of Mândhâta in Long. $76^{\circ} 3^{\prime}$ and Lat. $22^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$ (Indian Atlas, sheet 54). Mahuade, after which the pratijagaranaka or district was called, probably is the village of 'Mohod,' about 25 miles soath of 'Satajana,' in Long. $76^{\circ}$ and Lat. $21^{\circ} 48^{\circ}$ '. Below, in line 23 of the inscription B., we have Mahuaqa-pathakee, clearly equivalent to Mahrada-pratijägaranakê. Among other Paramâra grants, the word for 'distriet' is pathaka .also in No. 57 of my Northern List, and pratijagaranaka in Nos. 172, 189, 195 and 198. The latter term long ago has been identified with the modern parganá, 'a district or tract of country inoluding a number of villages.'

An abstract list of the donees will be given below, p. 115 f . From that list it will be reeen that their number was 32, and that the proceeds of the village granted to them were divided into $32 \frac{1}{2}$ ahares (vantaka), ${ }^{1}$ in such a manner that 26 donees received one share each, 3 half a share each, 2 one share and a half each, and 1 (the mahdrdja-pandita or ' king's Pandit' Góa\&8) two shares. The original in each case gives the gôtra and pravaras of the donee, the names of his father and grandfather, and his place (or country) of origin; also, with two exceptions, the Vêdic sâkhâ or Vêda stadied by him. The gôtras, sálkhâs and localitios so mentioned may be seen from the list; the names of the donees' fathers and grandfathers are given in a separate, alphabetical list, below, p. 116 f . The two lists will show that, with a single exception, the people referred to in the preceding are distingnished by certain epithets which are prefixed to their names, and which generally refer to their religions occapation and are mostly given in abbrevisted forms. In alphabetical order, these epithets are: agnis, i.e. agnihotrin; dua or dvasathika; up $d^{\circ}$, i.e. upddhy ${ }^{\circ} y a ; ~ c h a^{\circ}$ or chaturvêda; tha ${ }^{\circ}$, i.e. thakkura; trio (for trio , i.e.
 pâłhaka; yajnis, i.e, yajüika; su or sukla; srôtrio, i.e. trôtriya. In addition to these, we have pafioha in line 71, rajan in line 37, and maharajapandita in line 39. About the meaning of panicha ${ }^{\circ}$ I am somewhat doubtful. The meaning that first suggented iteelf to me was pafighakula; but as most of the other epithets refer to religious ocoapations, I weald ruther

[^91]take pañcha to be equivalent to pañchakalpin (pañchôlî) which oocurs as an epithet of two persons (father and son) in Prof. Weber's Catalogne of the Berlin MSS., Vol. II. p. 96. In the case of 20 donees the same epithet is borne by grandfather, father and son; in 7 cases the grandfather and father have the same epithet, and the son has a different one; and in one case the epithet of father and son is the same, while that of the grandfather differs. In the four remaining cases we have the sequences: dîkshita, dvasathika, śrlkla; upâdlhyaya, agnihôtrin, d̂̂lkshita; agnihôtrin, â̂kshita, âvasathika; and agnihôtrin, upâdhyâya, âvasathika. Speaking from personal experience, I remember that many of my Indian pupils had one or the other of the above mentioned terms as surnames - Dikshit, Padhye, Paihak, Pandit, Shakle, etc.- which they probably have handed down nnchanged to their children and children's children.

Of the places with which the donees are connected, I take Mahêvana-sthâna to be Mahâban, a town in the Mattra district of the United Provinces (Constable's Hand Atlas, Plate 27, C b) ; Tripuri-sthâna is Tewar, a village in the Jabalpur district of the Central Provinces, about six miles west of Jabalpur ; Akôla-sthâna probably is Akola in Berar (ibid. Plate 31, D a), and Mathurê-sthâna is Muttra in the United Provinces (ibid. Plate 27, Cb). Diṇ̣̣vânaka-sthâna apparently is the Dêṇ̣̣vânaka, mentioned above, Vol. V. p. 210, now the town of Didwâna ${ }^{1}$ in Jôdhpur, Raljputâna (ibid. Plate 27, B h). Regarding the remaining places I cannot offer any definite suggestions. Mutâvathû-sthena is the same place whioh is mentioned as Muktâvastĥ̂-sthâna in the three grants of Arjunavarman ; ${ }^{2}$ and Hastinâpura may be the village of Hathiṇ̂vara (on the northern bank of the Narmadâ in the Pagârâ pratijdgaranaka), which was granted by Arjunavarman's grant published in Journ. Am. Or. Boc. Vol. VII. p. 27. With Trakari-sthâna compare 'TTakârî', above, Vol. III. p. 350, and note 13. The names Âśrama-sthâna and Sarasvati-sthâna I have not found elsewhere. On the other hand, Madhyedêśa is too well known to call for any remark here.

After the date in line 79, the text of our inscription has the three aksharas dit $\hat{\iota}^{\circ}$ sr t mu, followed by the numeral 3 and a peculiar mark the exact shape of which may be seen from the photolithograph. The first akshara of course stands for dûtakah or dûtah, and should be followed by a name to which the word s $s i$ i would have been prefixed; but I do not know whether any or what name may be intended to be denoted by $m u 3$ and the following symbol. We find the same $m u 3$ (probably followed by the same mark which we have here) also in the two grants of Arjunavarman in Journ. Am. Or. Soc. Vol. VII. pp. 29 and 33.

The inscription then has the statement that 'this was composed by the king's preceptor (raja.guru) Madana, with the approbation of the mahấdadhivigrahika (or great minister of peace and war), the learned Bilhana '- a statement which occurs also in Arjunavarman's grant in Journ. Am. Or. Soc. Vol. VII. p. 33, and (with mahâpandita instead of mahd̂sândhivigrahikapandita) in the same king's grant in Journ. As. Soc. Beng. Vol. V. p. 379. On Madana, who may reasonably be taken to have composed merely the introductory verses of the inscription, see now above, Vol. VIII. p. 99. As regards Bilhana, in verse 7 of the prasasti at the end of Âsâdhara's Dharmâmrita ' 'the learned Bilhapa, the lord of poets,' is described as 'the makâsândhivigrahika of the glorious king Vindhya (Vindhya-bhûpatî).' Since this king Vindhya can be no other than Arjunavarman's grandfather Vindhyavarman,4 it might seem as if his mahdsändhivigrahika Bilhaṇa could not be the Bilhaṇa of Arjunavarman's grant and of the present inscription. But in my opinion there are not for the present any valid reasons why the

[^92]two should not be considered to be identical. Of the lengit of the reign of Arjunavarman's father we know nothing; and all we know in this respect about Arjunavarman is, that he reigned daring the five years from A.D. 1211 to A.D. 1215. Besides, it should be borne in mind that Âsâdhara himself, from whose work the above statencent is takon, was a contemporary of no less than five successive kings of Mâlava, from Vindhyavarman to Dêvapâln's son Jaitugidêva. ${ }^{1}$

The inscription ends with the words: 'this is the own hand (i.e. the sign-manual) of the


## TEXT. ${ }^{3}$

## First Ilate.

 bhâmêh kritvâ sâkshât=pratigrahari I jagad=âhlâdayan=diśyà [d $\left.{ }^{*}\right]=$ dvijêm.
2 drô mañgalâni vaḥ ('II) 1 (III) Jîyât=Paraśnûmıò=siau kshatraih kshuụuam

 sa Râmaḥ srêyasê=stu vaḥ I(II) 3 (II) Bhîmên=âpi dhritấ mû[r*]ddluni yat-pâdâh
4 sa Yudhishṭhiraḅ | vams(s̃)-âdyên=êmdunâ jîyât=su-tulya ${ }^{8}$ iva nirmitah |(II) 4 ( $(|\mid)$ Paramârakul-ôttamsaḥ Kamıajin-mahimâ nripah | śrî̀-Bhôjadêva ity=â-
5 sîn=nâsîrakrainta-bhûtalạ̣ ( (II) 5 (II) Yad-yasaśchamidrik-ôdyôtîo digutsaniga-
 bhû-
 7 (II) Mahâhłalaha-kalpâṃntô yasy=ôddâmabhir=âsu(śn)-
7 gaib | kati n=ônmûlitấs=tumgâ bhûbhritah kaṭak-ठlvaṇạh I(II) is |(II) Tasmâch=chhinna-dvishanmarmâ Naravarmâ narâdhipạ̣ | dharmò(rm-â)bhyuddharaṇê dhîmân=abhût=sîmâ
8 mahîbbujàm l(II) 9 (II) Prati-prabhâtam viprêbhyô dattai[ $x$ ]=grâma-padaiḥ srayarin ! anêkapadatâm ninyê dharmmô yên=aika-pâd=api ( (II) 10 l(l|) Taty (sj) =itjani Yaŝôvarmmá
9 putrah kshatriẙ-ŝlkharah | tasmâd=Ajayavarm=âbhâj=jayasiri-viśratah su(su)tah I(II) 11 (II) Tat-sûnur=vvira-mârddhanjô dhany-ôtpati(tti) r=ajâyata । Gûrjarô-chchhêda-
10 nirvvamdhî̀ 10 Vindhyavarmâ mahâ-bhujah |(II) 12 ( $(11)$ Dhâray=8ddhỵitayî sârddham dadhâti sma tridhâratấn | sêmyyuginasya yasy=âsis=trâtum lôkatrayim=iva I(II) 13 |(II)
11 Tasy=âmushyâyaṇah putrah Sutrâmam-ririr=ath=âSishat | bhâpaḥ subhaṭavarmm=êti dharmmê tisbṭhan=mahî-talam I(II) 14 (II) Yagya jvalati dig-jetah pratipas= tapana-dyu-

 dhatri-valayam valayam

[^93]13 yathâ $|(11) 16|(\|)$ Vâ(bâ)lalịl-âhavề yasya Jayasimhề palâyitê I dikpâlahấsavjâjềna jaŝo dikshu vij̣̣imbhitam் (II) 17 l(II) Kâvyagâmảdharvva-sarvvasvanidhinâ
14 yêna ${ }^{1}$ sâmppratam | bhâr-âvataraṇamin ${ }^{2}$ dễyyấs=chakrê pustaka-vîṇayôh (II) 18 (II) Yêna trividhs-vîrêṇa tridhâ pallavitam் yaśsḥ̆ | dhavalatvam dadhusu trị̂ị ja-
15 gamiti katham=amya(nya)thâ (III) 19 ( (II) Ath=ârthinâm=apunyêns puṇyêns svargga-sabhruvâm | sô sdbhutatyâgaŝîlasácha śríngârî cha divaḿ gataḥ l(II) 20 (II) Ta-
16 taḥ Pramâra-chamdrasya Hariśchamindrasya naḿdanah | raraksha Mâlsvakshôṇ̂ṃ Dêvapâlah pratâpavân (II) 21 ( (II) Pavitra-karapadmasya(sya) dânavâri-vijuịim-
17 bhitaị| na vidmô Dêvapâlasya dêvapâlasya ch=âmimaram (III) 22 (II) Sa êsha nara-nâyakaḥ sarvv-âbhyudayî $\|^{3}$ Mahuaḍa-pratijàgaraṇakê Satâ-
18 juṇ̂a-grâmê, samasta-râjapuxushân=vrầ(brâ)hmaṇ-ôttarân=pratinivâsi(si)-patṭakila-jana-pad-âdîmśs=cha vô(bô)dhayaty=astru vạ̣ sam̉vidi-
19 tam̉ yathâ $\left.\right|^{3}$ śrí-Mâhishmati-sthitair=asmâbhihr $={ }^{4}$ dvyaśityadhika-dvâdaśaśata. sam்vatsarê Bhâdrapadê mâsê paurṇ̣amâsyâm sồ-

Second Plate; First Side.
30 ma-parvraṇi Rêvâyâm soâtvâ srî-Daityasûdana-sannidhau bhagavantam Bhavânî-patimं samabhyarchchya saṁsârasy=âsâratâmi dṛishṭvâ | tathâ hi ll Vâ- ${ }^{5}$
1 tâbhra-vibhramam=idam் vaśu(su)dh-âdhipatyam= $=$ âpâtamâtra-madhurồ vishay* ôpabhôgaḥ | prânâs=trị̣âgrajalaviñdu-samâ nurậâṃn dha-
2 rmmah sakhê param=ahô paralolka-yâuê \| iti sarvvam vimriśy=âdruishṭaphalam=añgîkritya $\left\|\|^{7}\right.$ Âśramasthána-vinirggatâya Vâjimîdhyaḿdinaŝás-
 Dâmôdara-pautrâya ŝrôtri ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Vra}(\mathrm{bra}) \mathrm{hma}$-putrâya strôtri ${ }^{\circ}$ Gamgâdha-
4. rasa(ŝa)rmmaṇê vrấ(brâ)hmaṇáya vamitakam=êkam 1 Mahâvanasthânavinirggatâya Pavitra-gôtrâya Gârggya-Gaurivît-Âmingiras-ôti tri-pravarâya Ââva-lâyanaśákh-âdhyâyinê diolo Gamgadhara-pautrâya âvaśa(sa)thika-Mahâditya-putrâya śnkla-Bhadrêsva(êva) rasa(sfa)rmmaṇê vrấ(brâ)hmaṇ̣̂ya vamiṭakam=ê-
kam் 1 Mahâvanasthâna-vinirggatâya Pavitra-gôtrâya Gârggya-Gaurivitt-Âmigiras-êti tri-pravarâya Âśvalâyanaśâkh-̂̂dhyâyinê dîㅇ S̃im(simi)ha-
 vrà̀(brâ)hmanâya variṭakam=êkaṃ 1 Mahâvanasthâ[na"]-vinirggatâya Audalya-

- gôtrâyà Mâ-
dhyam̉dinasâkh-âdhyâyinê dî Padmasvâmi-pautrâya dị Trilôchana-putrâya dí ${ }^{\circ}$


[^94]29 hâvanasthâna-vinirggatâya Kâtyâyana-gâtrâfa ${ }^{1}$ Ŝâââ)mavêd-âdhyâyinê trị ${ }^{\text {as }}$ Râmêsva(êva)ra-pautrâpa trị ${ }^{\circ}$ Jasôdhara- ${ }^{3}$ putrâya tṛi ${ }^{\circ}$ Sûras̃armmaṇá ${ }^{\text {a }}$ $\nabla$ râ (brâ)hmaṇâya $\quad$ vańṭakam=ê-
30 kam் 1 Ṭakâristhâna-vinirggatâya Bhâradvâja-5gôtrâya Bhâradvâj-Âmंgirasa-Vâ(bâ)rhaspaty-êti tri-pravarâya Kauthumaŝâkh-âdhyâyi-
31 nê tri ${ }^{\circ}$ Dâlaṇa-pautrâya trri ${ }^{\circ}$ Âsiâdhara-putrâya tri ${ }^{\circ}$ Visvêsvarasarmmaṇass vra(brâ)hmaṇâya vañṭakam=êkam் 1 TPakâristhâna-vinirggatâya Bhâradvâ-
32 ja-gôtrâya Bhâradvâj-Âṅgirasa-Vâ(bâ)rhasya(spa)ty-êti tri-pravarâya Mâdhyamdina-ââkh-âdhyâyinô dî Kêlhaṇa-pautrâya dî ${ }^{\circ}$ Madha-patrâya dî ${ }^{\circ}$ Râ-
 vinirggatâya Bhâradvâja-gôtrâya Bhâradvâj-Âmgirasa-Vâ(bâ)rhaspaty-êti tri-prava-
34 râya parin $1^{7}$ Haridhara-pautrâya pań| Mahîdhara-putrâya pam் ${ }^{\circ}$ BhriguÉarmmạâê ${ }^{8}$ vrît(brâ)hmaṇ̣̂ya aârddham vamitakam=êkamin $1 \frac{1}{2}$. Mutavathûsthâna. vinirggatâya
35 Kâŝyapa-gôtrâya Kấjyapa(p-Â) vatsâra-Naidhruv-êti tri-pravarâya Âśvalâyanaŝâkhâdhyâyinê cha ${ }^{\text {Olo }}$ Prithvîdhara-pantrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Âsâ(êâ)dhara-pu-
 Akôlâsthâna-vinirggatâya Parâvaśu(su)-gôtrâya Parâvasu(su)-Kâṃkâya-
37 na-Kaikasêya ${ }^{12}$ tri-pravarâya ṭha $\left.\right|^{13}$ Bharatapâla-pautrâya ṭha | Dâllaṇa-putrâà râja-Gôśa(sa)laśarmmaṇ̂̀l ${ }^{14}$ vrấ(brầ)hmaṇâya vamiṭakam=êkam 1 Mathurâsthâ-
38 na-vinirggatâya Âśrvalàyanaŝâkh-âdhyâyinê Vaśi(si)shṭha-gôtrâya Kấsyap-Âvatsaira* $\nabla$ ấsi(si)shṭh-êti tri-pravarâya chaturvvêda-Janârddana-pautrá

Second Plate; Second Side.
39 ya chaturvvêda-Dharaụîdhara-putrâya mahârâjapaṃdita-srî-Gôsêsarmmaṇd vxâ(brâ)hmanâya vamiṭaka-dvayamin 2 Mathurâsthâna-vinirggatâya Â-
40 śvalâyanaŝâkh-âdhâyinâ Bhârggava-gôtrâya Bhârggava-Chyavana-Âpnuvân ${ }^{16}$ Aurvra-Jâmadagny-êti pamicha-pravarâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$. . . . ${ }^{16}$-partrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$
41 Vishṇu-putrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Râmêsva(śva)rasa(sa)rmmaṇ̂́ vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya vaṃṭakam= êkam 1 Mathurâsthêna-vinirggatâya Âávalâ[ya*]nasákh-âdhyâyinê Kâśyapa* gôtrâ-
42 ya Kâf́yap-Âvatsâra-Naidhrav-êti tri-pravarâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Samuddhara-pantrâya oha ${ }^{\circ}$ Dêvadhara-putrîya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Gadâdharasa(śa)rmmaụê vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya vamiṭakam= Âkam 1 Ma-
43 thurê̂sthâna-vinirggatâya Ấ́valâyanaŝâkh-âdhyâyinê Bhârggava-gôtrâya Bhârggava-Vaitahavya-Sâvêtas-êti tri-pravarâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Pavitra-pantrâ-
 vańtakam=êkami 1 Mathurâsthâna-vinirggatâya Â̂̂valâyanaŝâkh-âdhyâyji-
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$i \approx a$.
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## $22 b$.


Int exprle ghe


45 nê Kâśyapa-gôtrâya Kâśyap-Âvatsâra-Naidhruv-êti tri-pravarâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Samuddharapautrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Dêvadhara-putrâya cha $a^{\circ}$ Lôlaṭaśarmmaṇ̣̂̂ vrî(brâ)hmaṇâ-
46 ya vamitakam=êkam் 1 Dimạvânakasthâna-vinirggatâya 1 Sâảmkshâyanaśâkhâdhyâyinê Gautama-gôtrâya Gautam-Âmgirasa-Autatthy-êti ${ }^{2}$ tri-prava-
47 râya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Dharậ̣̣dhara-pautrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Vra(bra)hma-patrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Purushôttamaśarmmaṇê vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya vaniṭakam=êkâm 1 Mutâvathûsthêna-vinirggatâya Mâdhyani-
48 dinaŝâkh-âdhyâyivê Kâşyapa-gôtrâya Kâśyap-Âvatsâra-Naidhruv-êti tri-pravarâya dvii ${ }^{03}$ Gôvímda-pautrîya dvio Vâsadhara-putrâya dvi ${ }^{\circ}$ Gadâ-
49 dharaśarmmaụê vrî̀(brî)hmaụ̂ya vaṃtaka (k-î)rddham $\frac{1}{2}$ Mutâvathûsthânavinirggatâyı Mâdhyaṁdinaśâkh-âdhyâyinể Kâŝyapa-gôtrûya Kâáyap-Â vatsâra-Naidhru-
50 v-êti tri-pravarâya dî̀ ${ }^{0}$ 'Gaminâdhara-pautrâya dì ${ }^{\circ}$ Kêśava-putrâyri Udâ̂şarmmaṇê vrâ(brâ)hmanâya vaintaka(k-î)rddbam $\frac{1}{2}$ Mahâvanasthâ[na*]vinirggatâya Kau-
51 thumaśâkk-âdhyâyinê Gautama-gôtrî̀ya Gautam-Âangirasa-Autatthy-ôti tripravarâya pain $^{\circ}$ Madana-pautràya pami ${ }^{\circ}$ Kâhna(nha)da-putrâya pami ${ }^{n}$ Kuladharasa(śa) rmmaṇ̂
52 vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya vamitalkam=êkam 1 Tִakârîsthâna-vinirggatâya ${ }^{5}$ Kauthumaŝâkhâdhyâyinê Vatsa-gôtrâya Bhârggava-Chyavana-Âpnuvân ${ }^{8}$ Aurvva-Jâmadagny-ê.
3 ti pamicha-pravarâya tṛi ${ }^{\circ}$ Janârddana-pautrâya tri ${ }^{\circ}$ Naraśimin(sinin)ha-putrâya âva ${ }^{\circ}$ Abhinaṁdaśarmmaṇ̂ê vrâ(brâ)hmaụ̂ya vamiṭakam=êkam l Madhyadêśa-vinirggatâ-
54 ya Mâdhyañodi[na*]ŝâkh-âdhyấyivê Mudgala-gôtrâya Ấngiraśa(sa)-Bhara . . sa-8 Mudgal-êti tri-pravarâya agni ${ }^{\circ}$ Chchhîtû-pautrâya agni ${ }^{\circ}$ Dharaṇ̂́dhara-putrầ-
55 ya agni Anantaśarmmaṇê vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya vaṃtakam=êkam் 1 Madhyadêsáavinirggatâya Mâdhyam்dinaŝâkh-âdhyâyinê Ŝâmḍilya-gôtrâya Śâáṃ̣ilya-Aši(si)ta-
56 Dêval-ôti tri-pravarâya yâjūii ${ }^{\circ 9}$ Nâgadêva-pautrâya yâjăi ${ }^{\prime}$ Krishṇa-putrâya agni ${ }^{\circ}$ Sthânêsva(šva)raśarmmaṇ̂̂ vrầ(brâ)hmaṇâya vamintakam=êkami l Mathurâsthâna-vini-
57 rggatâya Â śvalâyanaŝâkh-âdhŷ̂yinê Dhaumya-gôtrâya Kâśyap-Âvatsâra-[Nai*]dhruvêtil ${ }^{10}$ tri-pravarâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Vishṇu-pautrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Sâdhâraṇa-putrâ-
 vinirggatâya ${ }^{12}$ Ruâụâyinîŝẩkh-âdhyâyinế Bhâradvâja-gôtrâya Âñgi-
59 rasa-Vâ(bâ)rhaspatya-Bhâradvâj-êti tri-pravarâya trị Mâdhava-pautràya ${ }^{13}$ tri ${ }^{\circ}$ Sômêsva(§์va)ra-putrâya trio Kuladharáarmmaṇ̂̀ vrầ(brâ)hmaṇ̂̂ya vamtạakam= ôkam 1

Third Plate.
60 Mathurâsthâna-vinirggatâya Râṇâyinî̂̂âkh-âdhyâyinê Bhâradvâja-gôtrâya Âmigirasa-Vâ(bâ)rhaspatya-Bhâradvâj-êti tri-pravarâya tri ${ }^{\circ}$ Ri(ri) shi-pantrâya tri $i^{\circ}$

[^96]61 Mârrkaṇ̣̣a-putrâya tri ${ }^{\circ}$ Madhusûdanaśarmmaṇê vrấ(brâ)hmaṇâya vaṃtakam=êkamin 1 Sarasvatisthâna-vinirggatâya Kaṭhaŝâkh-âdhyâyinê [Har]ita-Kutsa-
62 gôtrâya Ầngiraśa (sa)-l Añva(ba)rîsha-Yauvanấsv(ŝv)-êti tri-pravarâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Vijaĝ̀ pautrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Ajayî-putrâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Alliśarmmaṇ̂̀ vrâ(brầ)hmaṇâya vaṃtakam= êkaṃ 1
63 Madhyadêśs-vinirggatâya Mâdhyaḿdinaŝâlkh-âdhyâyinê Kâśyapa-gôtrâya Kâsyap-Âvatsâra-Naidhruv-êti tri-pravarâya upâ ${ }^{03}$ Nârâyap̣a-pautrâya agni ${ }^{\circ 3}$
 Madhyadêśa-vinirggatâfa Mâdhyaḿdinaŝâkh-âdhyâginê Śânindilya-gôtrâya A-
65 śi(si)ta-Dêvala-Sâm(éŝamin)ḍily-êti tri-pravarâja agni ${ }^{\circ}$ Kaṭuka-pautrâya dî ${ }^{\circ}$ Puru-shôttama-putrâya âva ${ }^{\circ}$ Narasimhaśarmmaṇê vrââ(brâ)hmanâya vanṭakam=êkam 1 Ma-
65 dhyadêśa-vinirggatâya Mâdhyamininaśâkh-âdhyâyinê Mârkam்ḍ̂ya-gôtrâya Bhârggava-Chyavana-Âpnuvân ${ }^{6}$ Aurvva-Jâmadagny-êti pamicha-pravarâya agni ${ }^{\circ}$ Chchbîtû-pau-
67 trâja upâ ${ }^{\circ}$ - Dâmôdara-putrâya âva ${ }^{\circ}$ Mârkaṃ̣̂êyasarmmaụ̂ê vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya vaṃtakam=êkam் 1 Madhyadêśa-vinirggatâya Mâdhyarndinaŝâkh-âdhyâyinê Bhâradvâja-gô-
68 trâsa Ấmgirasa(sa)-7Vâ(bâ)rhaspatya-Bhâradvâj-êti tri-pravarâya dvi ${ }^{\circ}$ Nârâyanạapautrâya dvio Padmauâbha-putrâya pâṭha ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ Vâyudêraśarmmaب̣ê vrâ(brâ)hmaỵáya vamitalka-
$69 \mathrm{~m}=\hat{e ̂ k a m} 1$ Mathurâsthâna-vinirggatâya Âśvalâyanaŝâkb-âdhyâyinê Kautsa-gôtrâya Âṁgiraśsa(sa)-Ańva(ba)rîsha-Yauvanâsv(śv)-êti tri-pravarâya cha ${ }^{\circ}$ Hari-pau-
70 trâya chå Janârddana-putrâya cha Râjês̃armmaṇ̂̂ vrâ(brâ)hmaụâya vaṅṭakam= arddhañ $\frac{1}{2}$ Hastinâpura-vinirggatâya Kauthumaśâkh-âdhyâyinê Pârâsa(s̃a)ra-gôtrâ-
71 ya Pârâsa(sa)ra-Śaktri-9 Vaşi (si)shṭh-êti tri-pravarâya pamichaolo Kâhna(nha)dapantrâya pamichao Kumara-putrầya paṃ̣ịill Kusumapálaśarmmaṇ̂́ vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya vanitakam=êkam 1
72 samastô=pi grâmaśs=chatuḥkamkata- ${ }^{12}$ visu(śu)ddash sa-vrikshamâlâkulaḅ sahiranyabhâgabhôgaḅ s-ôparikaraḥ sarvvâdâya-samêtaḥ sa-nidhinikshêpab sha-
73 mhalâtama[ka]- ${ }^{13}$ samanvitô mâtâpitrôrizâtmanaś=chs puṇajasô- ${ }^{14}$ bhivridd hayê chamidrârkârụ̣̣avakshiti-samakâlańn yârat=paraya(yâ) bhaktyâ áââ̂(sa)nên=ôdakapûrviam pradattah [!*] tan=ma-
74 tøÂ tannivâsii(si)-pa[tta]kilajanapadair=yathâdîyamâna-bhâgabhôgakarahiranyâdikańm dêvavrâ(brâ)hmanabhukti-varjjam=âjñ̂ê-vidhêyair abhutvâ sarvvam=êbhyô vrâ(brâ)hmaṇêbhyô dâtaryamín [|*]
75 sâmâqyan ch=aitat=puṇya-phalam vudhvầ ${ }^{16}$ ssmadvaḿs sajair=anyair=api bhâvi-bhôktribhir=asmatpradatta-dharmmâdâyồ ${ }^{16} \quad$ syam=anumantaryah pâlanîyas $=$ cha $^{16}{ }^{17}$ || Uktami cba $\|{ }^{18} \mathrm{Va}$ (ba)hubhir=vaśu(su)dhâ

[^97]76 bhnktâ râjabhih Sagar-âdibhị̣ | yasya yaşa yadâ bhûmis=tasys tasya tadâ phalaṃ II Sंva-dattâm para-dattầm vâ yô harêta vasứndharần I sa vishṭhâyâm krimir=bhûtvâ pitribhị̣ saha
77 majjati Il ${ }^{1}$ 'Sarvvân=êvam bhâvinô bhûmipâlânn=bhûyô bhûyô yâchatê Râmabladrah [1*] sâmânyô=yami dharmma-sêtur=nripâṇ̣̂n kâlê kâlê pâlanî-
 manushya-jîvitam oha $\mid$ sakalamcidam=udâhritańn cha vudhvâ ${ }^{3}$ na hi pu-
79 rushaiḥ para-kîrttayâ vilôpyâ iti || Samvat 1282 varshê Bhâdra-sudi 15 Gurau || $D \hat{u}^{0+}$ ह́rîmu $3 \|^{5}$ Rachitam=ida[家*] mahâsândhi-
80 vigrahika-paṃdita-síî-Vi(bi)lhaṇa-sammatêna $\left.\right|^{\text {® }}$ rầja-guruṇ̣̂ Madanêna | Sva-hastó= yam mahârâja-şrî-Dêvapâladêvasya || Maúgalam mahâ-ŝrị̣ [||*]

## TRANSLATION OF VERSES 1-22.

Ońn! Oń! Obeisance to dharma, ${ }^{7}$ the crest-jewel of the aims of man!
(Verse 1.) May (the Moon), the Lord of the twice-born, gladdener of the world, after having openly accepted the earth in the guise of its reflection, ${ }^{8}$ bestow blessings ou you!
(V. 2.) May that Parasurâma be victorious, for whom, when he granted the earth (to the Bralhmans,) the very orb of the twilight-sun, pierced by the Kshatriyas slain (by him) in fight, became the copper-plate! ${ }^{0}$
(V. 3.) May Rama minister to your welfare, he who in battle quenched the fire of separation from his life's mistress by the water of Mandôdari's ${ }^{10}$ tears !
(V. 4.) May Yudhishṭhira be victorious, whose feet even Bhima placed on his head, (aik:l) whom the Moon, the progenitor of his race, framed as it were equal unto himself!
(V. 5.) There was a king, great like Kamisa'e conqueror, ${ }^{11}$ an ornament of the Paramâra family, the glorious Bhôjadêva, who occupied the surface of the earth by the van of his army. ${ }^{12}$

[^98](V. 6.) When the lustre of the moonlight of his glory overfowed the lap of the regions, there closed the day-lotuses of the glory aocumulated by hostile kings.
(V. 7.) Then came Udayâditya, whose one desire was constant enterprise; who, of unequalled glory as a hero, made inglorions his adversaries.
(V. 8.) As lofty mountains, abounding in ridges, at the cnd of a mundane period are uprooted by impetuous winds, so in fierce contest how many rulers of the earth, abounding in armics, were not uprooted by his irresistible arrows!
(V. 9.) From him sprang king Naravarman, who cleft the vital parts of adversaries ; the acme of kiugs, wise in upholding religion.
( $V .10$. ) At cvery dawn frcely granting shares of villages to Brahmanys, he made religion, one-footed though it is (in this Kulli uge), possessed of several feet. ${ }^{1}$
(V. 11.) His son was Yaśôvarman, the chaplet of Kshatriyas; (and) from him sprang his
Ajayavarman, renowned for the glory of victory. son Ajayavarman, renowned for the glory of victory.
(V. 12.) His son, of anspicious birth, the forcmost of heroes, was Vindhyavarman, long of arm, eager to extirpate tho Guarjaras,
(V. 13.) The sword of this (king) skilled in war, with Dhârâ rescued by it, assumed three edges, ${ }^{9}$ to protect as it were the three worlds.
(V. 14.) Then the son of that illustrious onc, king Subhatavarman, abiding by religion, ruled the surface of the earth, glorious like Iudra.
(V. 15.) The fire of prowess of that conqueror of the quarters, whose splendour was like the smn's, in the guise of a forest-fire even to-day blazes in the town ${ }^{3}$ of the blustering Gûriara."
(V. 16.) Now ${ }^{5}$ that he has attained unto godhoad, his son king Arjuna supports with his arm the circle of the earth like a bracelet.
(V. 17.) When in the battle which was his childhood's frolic Jayasimha took to flight, his inme spread in the quarters in the gaise of the laughter of the quarters' guardians.
( $\nabla .18$.$) A treasure-house of the stores of poetry and song, he now has relieved the goddess$ (Sarasvaiti) of the burden of her books and lyre.
(V. 19.) This triple hero ${ }^{6}$ made his fame triply sprout. How otherwise did the three worlds acquire their whiteness?

[^99](V. 20.) Then, through the want of merit of suppliants and through the merit of the lovely-browed damsels of paradise, he who was both marvellously bountiful and amorous went to heaven.
(V. 21.) Then Dêvapala, full of prowess- the son of Harisichandra, the moon of the Pramêras- came to rale the Malava country.
(V. 22.) Because of the pouring out of the water of donations by him who holds kuśa grass in his lotus-hand, we know no difference between Dêvapâla and the guardian of the gods [whom the exploits of the enemy of the Dânavas caused to take the argha in his lotus-hand (to make a respectful offering)]. ${ }^{1}$

LIST OF THE DONEES IN LINES 22-71.


${ }^{1}$ The king Dêvapala and the guardian of the gods (dêvapala, i.e. the god Indra) are alike because either of them is danavdri-vijrimbhitaih pavitrakarapadmah. Abont the exact meanings of the first of these two words there can be no doubt; it means both 'the pouring forth of the water of donations' (dana-vari), and 'the exploits of the enemy of the Dînavas' (Danava-ari, i.e. the god Vishṇu-Krishṇa). I am not so sure about the two mean. ings of the second word. Referred to the ling, it means 'holding pavitra (i.e. kusa or darbha grass, which is taken up by the person who is about to make a donation) in his lotus-hand.' Applied to Indra, I take it to mean that Indra, pleased with the exploits of the conqueror of the DAnavas, took up the pavitra (i.e. the argha vessel) to make a reapectful offering to Vishṇu. In support of this explanation I would quote Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 124, v. 2, where Brahman offers to Vishnu the argha-salila, when he sees how the latter has cheated Bali. But I am myself not quite satisfied with this explanation, because I suspect the word padma to have been used in two different senses in the compound. - From inscriptions we may compare with our verse especially the verse in Ind. Ant. Vol. XVIII. p. 218, 11.19 and 20 : Śriyam babhâra vidhivadudánavârdtitóshitah। Mahdmdravadsdvijalrdshtha-varggasxtat-saingam-agatah $\|$, where danavaratitoshitah means both 'excessively plensed by the water of donstions ${ }^{1}$ and 'pleased by the enemy of the Dansvas.' Danavari also has a third meaning, 'the rutting.juice of elephants' (mada-jala), and in that sense as well as in the sense of 'the enemy of the Danavas' the word is used in the Naishadhtyacharita, XIII. vv. 3 and 23, the frst of which, ju so far as it is applioable to Indra, seems to have suggested the ${ }^{\text {friyam }} \mathbf{b a b h d r a}$. . . danavaratitoshitah Mahendra $[h]$ of the verse quopted above from an inscription. (Incidentally I may mention that Pañchanallya is not 'the title of a work,' but a name of the 18ch sarga of the Naishadhtyacharita). In Akdvalt, p. 200, the hand of a king ir compared with the ocesn, because both hold the danaedri.
2. All these names have, the word farmase attached to them.

- With the exception of Madhyadfíand. Lastindpwra, these names have the word sthana abtaohed to them.
[Vol. IX.
ILIST OF THE DONEES IN LINES 22-71—contd.



## NAMES OF THE FATHERS AND GRANDFATHERS OF THE DONHES.

Ajayi, chaturvêda, 1. 62.
Âŝ́dhara, trivêda, 1.31 ; chaturvêda, 1.35. Bharatapâla, thakkura, l. 37.
Brahman, srôtriya, 1. 23 ; chaturvêda, 1. 47. Chhittu, agnihôtrin, 11. 54, 66.
Dâlapa, trivéda, 1.31.

DÂllap̣a, thakkura, l. 37:
Dåmôdara, Erbtriya, L 28; पpâdhyêya, 1.67.

Dêvarhara, chaturvêds, 11. 42, 45.
Dharantidhara, chaturveds, 11.59, 4.4, 47; agnihothin, 1. 54.

Gaṅgâdhara, dikshita, 11. 25, 50.
Gôvinda, dvivêda, 1. 48,
Hari, chaturvêda, l. 69.
Haridhara, paṇ̣ita, l. 34.
Janârdana, chaturvêda, 11. 38, 70 ; trivêda, 1. 53.

Jasadêva, agnihôtrin, 1. 64.
Jasôdhara, trivêda, l. 29.
Kânhada, paụ̣ita, 1. 51 ; pañchakalpin(?), 1. 71.

Kaṭuka, agnihôtrin, 1. 65.
Kêlhaṇa, dîkshita, 1. 32 .
Kếsava, dikshita, 1. 50.
Krishṇa, yâjũikza, l. 56 .
Kumara, pañchakalpin(P), 1. 71.
Madana, paṇịita, l. 51.
Mâdhava, trivêda, l. 59.
Madhu, dikshita, l. 32.
Madhukaṇ̣̣ha, íukla, 1. 27.
Mohâditya, âvasathika, 1.25.

Mahîdhara, panḍita, l. 34
Mârkaṇ̣a, trivêda, 1. 61.
Nâgadêva, yâjūizka, 1. 56 .
Narasiḿnha, trivêda, 1. 53.
Nârâyaṇa, upâdhyâya, I. 63 ; dvivêda, 1. 68.
Padmanîbha, dvivêda, 1. 68.
Padmasvâmin, dikshita, 1. 28.
Pavitra, chaturvêda, 1. 43.
Prithvîdhara, chaturveda, 1.35.
Purushottama, dîkshita, 1. 65.
Ràmếsara; trivêda, 1.29.
Rishi, trivêda, l. 60.
Sâdhârạ̣a, chaturvêda, 1. 57,
Samuddhara, chaturvêda, 11. 42, 45.
Simhakaṇtha, dîkshita, l. 26.
Sômếsrara, trivêda, l. 59.
Trilôchana, dikshita, 1. 28.
Vâsadhara, dvivêda, 1. 48.
Vijayî, chaturvêda, l. 62.
Vishṇu, chaturvêda, 11. 41, 57.

## B.-MÂNDHÀTÂ PLATES OF JAYAVARMAN II.; [VIKRAMA-]SAM்VAT 1317.

These are two plates which were found by Mr. Lele, ${ }^{1}$ some time in 1904, at the village of Godarpura opposite the island of Mândhâtâ, on the southern bank of the Narmadà in the Nimâr district of the Central Provinces. They were sent by the Deputy Commissioner of Nimâr to the Provincial Maseum at Nâgpur, where they are now deposited. They measure about $1^{\prime} 5 \frac{1}{8}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $103^{\prime \prime}$ high, and are held together by two rings, now cut, for whioh there are two holes in either plate. I edit the inscription which they contain from impressions sent to me by the Goverument Epigraphist.

The first plate is insoribed on one side, and the second on both sides. The writing both on the first plate and on the first side of the second plate covers a space about $1^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ broad by between $9 \frac{1}{2}$ " and $10^{\prime \prime}$ high, that on the second side of the second plate $1^{\prime} 4 \frac{11^{\prime \prime}}{}$ broad by $8^{\prime \prime}$ high. The three sides together contnin 53 lines of well-engraved writing which throughout is in a perfect state of preservation. On the proper right of the second side of the second plate, between lines 42 and 50 , a vertical line marks off a space $3^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $4^{\prime \prime}$ high, which contains an engraving of Garuḍa, with, below it, the words sva-hastô-ya $\dot{m}$ maharajasya II, in smaller characters than those in the body of the inscription. The bird is represented in human form, kneeling towards the left, bat with the head turned towards the right, and with four hands, the two inner ones of whioh are joined over the breast, while the two others are lifted up on either side, the one on the left holding a snake, the head of which looks like a bird's head. The size of the letters is about $\frac{3}{8}$. The characters are Nâgarî, olosely resembling those of the inscription A., and the language is Sanskrit. The inscription is so carefully written that in respect of orthography only few remarks are necessary. The sign for $v$ denotes both $b$ and $v$; the dental sibilant is nsed for the palatal only in sata-, $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$; as is often the case elsewhere, $d y, d v$ and $d h v$ are employed instead of $d d y, d d v$ and 'ddhv ; Janarrddanais written Janârjjana- in line 34, and shaḑbhir= as shatbhir= in line 37. The sign of avagraha is employed seven times ; and, excepting in simprata $\dot{m}, 1.16, m$ at the end of a verse or balf-verse

[^100]is denoted by the sign for $m$, not by that of anusvara. - In lines 1-23 the inscription has 23 verses, chietly containing genealogical matter, 21 of which are already known to us from the inscription A.; and in lines 25-27 we again find the verse commencing with Vâtâbhravibhramam=idain vasudh-âdhspatyam, and in lines $42-48$ five benediotive and imprecatory verses, the last of which here too is the verse oommencing with Iti kamaladalambuvindu-lôlâm. There are besides two verses in lines 50-52, which record the names of persons who had to do with the writing of the grant. In the prose part of the text, attention may be drawn to the peculiar construction in lines 25-28, asmâbhǐh . . . Gängadêva-parsvât . . . grâmố=yain tribhyô brûhmanâbbhyô dâpitah, 'we have cansed Gầngadêva to give this village to three Brâhmaṇs.' ${ }^{1}$

The inscription records an order by the Paramara Mahârajâdhiraja Jayavarman [II.] of Mâlava, dated, as will be shown below, in A.D. 1260 and 1261 . Of the 23 verses with which it opens, verses $1-21$ are identical (except for tivo varions readings²) with the same verses of the inscription A., and contain therefore the same list of kings from Bhôjadêva to Dêvapâla. The two new verses, 22 and 23 , may be rendered thus :-
"When ${ }^{3}$ that king, the glorious Dêvapâla, had joyfully resorted to Indra's abode, his son, a death-dealing god to enemies (while) ever pleasing the people by his good qualities, the wise king Jaitugidêva, the glorious Mâlava chief, ruled this land, by his noble exploits a young Nârâyana." ${ }^{4}$
"Now that he, after enjoying the delights of royalty, has gone to the habitation of the gods, his younger brother, king Jayavarman, rules the land."

Dêvapala, therefore, was succe eded by his son Jaitugidêva, and he by his younger brother Jayavarman [II]. - Jaitugidêva was known already from the praśasti in Âŝâdhara's Dharmamrita, referred to above, ${ }^{5}$ according to which the commentary on that work was completed during the reign of the Pramâra Dêvapâla's son Jaitagidêva, on a date which correo sponds to Monday, the 19th October A.D. 1243. In my Northern List, No. 223, I have assumed that Jaitugidêva is identical with a king who is mentioned in a Râhatgaḍ inscription of the 28th Angast A.D. 1256, notioed by me in Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. p. 84, where I have given the king's name as Jaya[simha]déva. But with what we now know from the present inscription, I am not sure whether Sir A. Cunninghann was not right in reading the same name as Jaya[varmma]déva, and would therefore suspend my judgment on the matter till we possess proper impressions of an inseription at Udaypur in Gwalior, ${ }^{7}$ which seems to be of the reign of a king Jayasinghadêva and to be dated in the [Vikrama] year 1311 (in Jan.

[^101]uary 1255). For the present, what is certain is, that Jaitugidêra was reigning in A.D. 1243 and his younger brother Jayavarman in A.D. 1260-61.

In lines 23 ff . of our inscription Jayavarman informs all king's officers, Brâbmaṇs and others, and the Pattakila and other people dwelling at the village of Vaḍaüda in the Mahuaḍa pathaka, that, while staying at Manḍapadurga, in the year 1317 (given in words), he caused the Pratîhüra $a^{2}$ Gángadêva to give the village of Vaḍaüda to three Brâhmaṇs.

And, according to lines 28 ff ., the Pratihdra Garigadêva, on Sunday, the third tithi of the bright half of Âgrahâyaṇa (Mârgaŝîrsha) of the year 1317, while the mukshatra was Pưrvâshâc̣há and the yốga Ś̂ula, at Amarêśvara-kshêtra on the sonthern bank of the Rêvá (Narmada), after bathing at the confluence of the Rêvà and Kapilâ and worshipping the holy Amarếvaradêva (Śiva) with the five offerings, ${ }^{3}$ gave the village of $\nabla$ aḍaüda, divided into six shares (vantaka) so that-
four shares (pada) were assigned to the agnihôtrin ${ }^{*}$ Minhiavaśarman- a son of the pâthalca Hariśarman and grandson of the drivêda Vêda- a Brâhman of the Bhârgava gôtra ${ }^{5}$ and student of the Mâdhyaridina śálkhth, who had come from Navagâmva; ${ }^{6}$
one share (pada) to the chaturvêda Janârdana-a son of the duivéda Lâmarlêva and grandson of the dvivêda Lâshû- a Brâhmaṇ of the Gautama gôtra and student of the Âśvalâyana sûkhâ, who had come from Trakêri ; and
one share ( $p a d a$ ) to the dvivêda Dhâmadêvafarman- a son of the dîlksluita Divâkara and grandson of the dîkshita Kêkû- a Brâhman of the Bhâradvâja gôtra and student of the Mâdhyaṁdina śćlikhá, who had come from Ghațâushari.

From line 37 to line 48 the text contains so well-known phrases that it may be passed over: 1ere. The remaining part of the insoription yields the following information:-

On Thursday, the llth of the bright half of Jyêshtha of the year 1317, this king's כrder (rîja-síssana) was written 'here, at Maṇ̣apadurga,' by Harshadêva, a son of the learned Zavisa, with the approbation of the sadndhivigrahika (or minister of peace and war), the pandita Mâladhara, an official of the Mahîrîjallhirûja, the glorions Jayavarmadêva; and the bing's document was corrected by the grammarian (śábdika) Âmadêva, a disciple of the learned T̂ôsêka (Gösé)' ' who knew the boundless essence of legal science.' The giant was engraved by the rûpakîra Kânhaḍa. The dûta was the great minister (nahûpradlhâna), Rûjâ ajayadêva.

The words. 'this is the own hand (i.e. the sign-manual) of the Mahâraja' are engraved, as uas been already stated, under the engraving of Garuda on the second side of the second plate.

The dates contained in this inscription are both correct. The first date, in lines 28 und 29, for the expired (Chaitrâdi or Kârttikûdi) Vikrama year 1317 conrosponds to Sunday, ;he 7th November A.D. 1280. On this day the third tithi of the bright half ended 16 h . 18 m . ; the nakshatra was Pârvâshâḍhé, by the Brahma-siddhânta for 17 h .4 m. , according

[^102]to Garga for 22 h .20 m ., and by the equal space system from 10 h .30 m ; and the yôga was Sûla for 20 b .46 m ., after mean sunrise. And the second date, in lines 48 and 49 , for the expired Karttilectdi Vikrama year 1317 corresponds to Thursday, the 12th May A.D. 1261, when the llth tithi of the bright half euded 10 h .46 m , after mean sumise. The dates show that the year 1317 of the inscription was a Kurrttikiuld year.

Of the localities mentioned in the inscription, Mandapadurga, from where the king's order was issued, is Mandogarh (Mânḍâ), a deserted town in the Dhâr State, in Long. $75^{\circ} 2 b^{\prime}$ and Lat. $22^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ (Constable's Ifand Atlas, Plate 27, B d). From the same place was issued the order in the Pipliânagar plates of king Arjunavarman. ${ }^{1}$ On Mahuada, see above, p. 106. Vadaüda perhaps is the village of 'Burad' which according to the Indian Atlas is about three miles north-east of 'Satajana,' the village granted by the inscription A. I have already pointed out that Mahuada-pathakê of this inscription is equivalent to Mahuada-pratijagaramake of the inseription A.; and I may state that a (or the ?) village of Vadaüda is mentioned also in the plate of the Paramâras Yaśôvarman and Lakshmîvarman, in Ind. Ant. Vol. XIX. p. 352, ll. 5 and 13. Amarêśvara-kshêtra is the Amarêsvara-tirtha from which the grant of Arjunavarman in Journ. Am. Or. Soc. Vol. VII. p. 27 was issued, and the Amarésvara mentioned in the plates of Jayasimha, above, Vol. III. p. 47 ; it is near the island of Mândhâtâ, on the southern bank of the river Narmadâ. The grant in Journ. Am. Or. Soc. quoted just now also mentions the confluence of the Rêva and Kapila, which is now called 'Kapila Sangam, where a small stream joins the Narbadâ. ${ }^{12}$ Of the places of origin of the donees, Ṭakâri-sthâna is one of the places mentioned in A; Navagâmva-sthâna may be the town of Nawegàon in the Chândà district, mentioned in the Gazetteer of the Central Provinces, p. 370, but there is at least one other place of the same name in the Central Provinces ; Ghațâushari-sthâna I am unable to identify.

## TEXT. ${ }^{3}$

First Plate.
I || Onin namaḥ purushârtha-chûḍâmaụayê dharmmâya || ${ }^{4}$ Prativimva-bibhâd=bhâmêh kritvâ sâkshât:-pratigraham | jagad=â-
 kahatraị̆ kshnụ̣am raṇ-âhataị $1^{7}$ saḿdhyârkka-vimvam=80 ${ }^{8}$ -
3 v=ôrvrî-dâtur=yasy=aiti tâmratâm || 2[||"] Yôna Mam̉dôdarîvashpa-vâribhih śamitô mruidhê [1*] prâṇêêvarî-riyôgâgnih sa
4 Râmaḥ śrêyasê sstu vaḥ || 3 [ $\left\|\|^{*}\right] \quad$ Bhîmên=âpi dhritâ mûrddhni yat-pâdậ̣ sa Yudhishṭhirah | vaḿ̧n-âdyên=ếndunâ jîyât=sva-tulya i-
 ŝrî̂-Bhôjadêva ity=âainn=nêsírakrânta-bhûtalạ̣ ${ }^{9}$
6 || 5 [ $\left.11^{*}\right] \quad$ Yad-yaśas̃chaḿdrik-ôdyôtồ 10 digatsamga-taramigitê | dvishannripa-: yas̃ahpuminapumḍarîkair=nnimilitam || 6 [||"] Tatô sthûd=U-
 7 [II*] Mahâkalaha-kalpâ-

[^103]дtÂ yasy=ôddâmabhir=âángaị | kati n=ônmûlitâs=tam̉gâ bhûbhṛitah katak-olvaṇạh
I(II) 8 [II*] Tasmâch=chhinna-dvishanmarmmâ Narava-
工mmâ narâdipah | dharmm-âbhyuddbaraṇ̂̂ dhîmân=abhût=aîma mahîbhujâm || 9 [|l*] Prati-prabhâtam viprêbhyô dattair=grâma-padaih svaya-
m| anêkapadatâm ninŷ̂ dharmmô yên=aika-pâd=api || 10 [l|*] Tagy=ajani Yasôvarmmê putraḥ kshatriya-ŝêkharah | tasmâd=Ajayava-
 dhany-ôtpattir=ajâyata | Gûrjjarôchchhêda-nirvvam̉dhî̀l Vimálhyavarmmâ $\operatorname{mah}[\hat{\mathrm{a}}]$.
Dhajah || 12 [||*] Dhâray=ôddhṛitayâ sârdham dadhâti sma tridhâratâm | sâminyugînasya yasy=âsis=trâtún lôka-trayîm=iva || 13 [|"*] Tasy=â-
mushyâyaṇạ̣ putraḥ Sutrâma-śrìr=ath=âkishat | bhûpạ̣ Subhaṭavarmm=êti dharmmê tishṭhan=mahî-talam || 14 [\| $\|^{*}$ ] Yasya jvalati $\mathrm{da}(\mathrm{di}) \mathrm{g}$-jế-
tuḥ pratâpas=tapana-dyutệ $\mid$ dâvâgni-chchhadmâ(dma)n=âdy=âpi । garjjad-Gûrjjara-pattanê i| 15 [ll*] Dêva-bhâyam gatê tasmin=namidanô=rjunabhûpati[h*]
5 I dôshṇ̂̂ dhattê sdhnnâ dhâtrî ${ }^{-2}$ valayam valayam $\quad$ vathâ || 16 [ $\left\|\|^{*}\right]$ Vâ(bâ)lalîl-âhavê yasya Jaitrasimh $\hat{e}^{3}$ palâyitê | dikpâlahâ-sa-vyâjêna yaŝô dikshu vij̣imbbitam || 17 [ $\left\|\|^{*}\right]$ Kâvyagâm̉dharvva-sarrvasvanidhinâ yêna sâmpratamं | bhâr-âvataraṇam dêvyâf=chakrê
pustaka-vinayyôh ${ }^{4} 18$ [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Yêna trividha-virêṇa tridhà pallavitam jaśah 1 dhavalatvam dadhus=triṇi jagamiti katham=anyathâ ||
. 819 [II*] Ath=ârthinâm=apuṇyêna punyêna svargga-subhruvâm 1 sô sdbhutatyàgaśîlaś=cha śríṅgârî cha divań gatah
 prasââti ${ }^{6}$ Mâlava-kshôṇịńm Dêvapâlạ pratâpavâ-

Second Plate; First Side.
 tat-sûnur=dvishad-amimtakô nija-guṇair=lôkâ-
21 n=sadâ ramjayan | dhîmân(ñ)=Jaitugidêva êsha nripatih şrị-Mâlav-akhamiḍalah đ̄âsti kshồuim=imâm=udâra-cha-
 prâptê tridaća-maúdiram | đ̂âsti tasy=Ânujah kshônịinc Jaya-
33 varmmâ janâdhipaḥ || 23 [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Sa êạha nara-nâyakạ sarvv-abhyudayî $\|^{9}$ Mahuaḍa-pathakê Vaḍaüda-gràmê samasta-rajapurushân=vrâ(brâ)-
 saḿviditaḿ yathâ $\|^{9}$ grîman-Mamḍapadurgga-sthitair=a-
35 smâbhị̣ saptadaśádhika-trayôdaśasa(śa)ta-samvatsarê I9 samsâraby=Âsâratåm drishṭô || tathà hi || ${ }^{10}$ Vâtâbhra-vibhramam=idam vasu-
26 dh-Âdhipatyam=âpâtamâtra-madhurô vishay-ôpabhộgạ̣ | prậ̂âs=triṇ̂âgrajalavimindu-samâ narânâám dharmmặ sakhâ param=ahô

[^104]27 paralôZa-yânê [II*] iti sarvvań vimriśya dâpan-âdṛishṭaphalam=am̉gîkritya | ${ }^{1}$ pratî̌3 Grî-Gâmgadêva-pârśvât ${ }^{3}$ Vac̣aüda-grâmô=yam
28 tribhyô vrâ(brâ)hmaṇêbhyô dâpitah 1 Têna cha pratî ${ }^{\circ}$ 自rí-Gámgadêvêna sam்mat 1317 Âgrahana ${ }^{5}$ śvkla-tṛitiyâyâm tithau
29 Ravi-vâsarê Pûrvvâshậhâ-nakshatrê Śûla-nâmni yôgê frîmad-Amarêśvarakshêtrê Rêvâyâ dakshiṇè kûlẹ Rêvâ-Kapilâ-
30 samingamê suâtvâ bhagavamintarin charâchara-gurum cin srîmed-Amarếsarso 6 dôvam paméch-ópachâraị samabhyarchya $\|^{1}$ jîvitam vidyuch-chamichalaṃ juinâtvê
31 Navagâmvasthâna-vinirgatâya Bhârgava-sagôtrâya Bhârgava-Chyâvana-7Âpnavâna-Aurva-Jâmadagny-êti paricha-pravarâya Mâdhyami-
32 dinaŝâkh-âdhyâyinê $\mathrm{dvi}{ }^{\circ 8}$ Veda-pautrâya ${ }^{9} \hat{a}^{\circ} 10$ Harisarmma-putrâya agni ${ }^{\circ} 11$ Mâdhavaśarmmaṇê vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya padâni chatvâri
33 4 Takârìsthâna-vinirgatîya Gautama-sagôtrâya Gantam-Âmgirasa-Auchatthy-êti tri-pravarâya Âsvalâyanasâkh-âdhyâ-
 Frâ(brâ)hmanâya padam=êkam 1 Ghaṭâusharisthâ-
35 na-vinirgatâya Bhâradvâja-sagôtrâya Âṃgirasa-Va(bâ)rhaspatya-Bhâradvâj•tti tri-pravaraya Midhyańdinaŝâkh-âdhyayinê
36 d ${ }^{\circ} 14$ Kêkû-pautzâye dì ${ }^{\circ}$ Divâkara-putrâya dvi ${ }^{\circ}$ Dhâmadêvaśarmmañét vrâ(brâ)hmaṇâya padam=êkam 1 êvam=êbhyahs $={ }^{15}$ tribhyô
 chatuḥkañkatan- ${ }^{17}$ viśuddhaḥ sa-vṛikshamâlâkulah sa-hiraṇyabhâ-
38 gઘbhôgạ̣ s-ôparikarạ̣ sarvvâdâya-samêtah sa-nidhê(dhi)nikshêpô mâtâpitrôr= âtmanaś=cha punyayaṡôsbhivriddhayê
 bhukti-varjjań

## Second Plate; Second Side.

40 rvva[皿] pradattah II tan=matvâ tannivâsi-pattakilajanapadair=yathâdlyamâns-bhâgabhôgakarahiraṇyâdikam=âjũâ-vidhê-
41 yair=bhûtvâ sarvvam=êtêbhyah samapanêtavyam ${ }^{18}$ | sâmânyam ch=aitad=dharuň:

42 smatpradâpita-dharmmîdâyô ${ }^{19}$ syam=anuurntavyah pâlaniyaś=cha || Uktam , a ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Va}$ (ba)hubhir=rvasudhâ bhuktâ râjabhiḥ Sugar-âdi-
43 bhih 1 yasyn yasya yadâ bhûmis=tasya tasya tadâ phalam [II $]$ Sva-datitśm para-dattâm் vâ Jô harêta vasuminharâm

[^105],

44 | vishṭ̂hâyâm sa kṛmir=bhûtvâ pitribhị̣ saha majaati|(II) Shashṭim varshasahasrâṇi svarges tishṭhati bhûmidaḥ।
45 âchchhôttâ ch=ânumattâ(ntâ) cha tâny=êva narakê vasêt| (II) ${ }^{1}$ Sarpvân=êvam bhâvinô bhûmipâlân= bhûyô bhâ-
46 yô yâchatê Râmabhadraḥ $\mid$ sâmânyô Syam dharmma-sêtur=nripâṇàm kâlê kâlê pâlanîyô
47 bhavadbhị̆ II Iti ${ }^{2}$ kamaladalâmiva(bu)vimindu-lôlâṃ f́niyam=anuchimitya mannshyajîvitam cha 1 saka-
48 lam=idam=udâhritamin cha vudhvâs na hi purushaị̣ para-kîrtayô vilôpyâ iti

491817 Jyêshṭ̂ha-śudi 11 Gurâv=ady=êha frî-Manc̣apadurgê mâhârâjâdhirâja-frimaj-Jayavarmme-
 el"u[min]ditêmodra-Gavísiagya sûnunâ vidushâ spu(sphu)ṭam|
51 Har:shadêv-âbhidhên=êdam $\quad$ lêkhitami ${ }^{7} \quad$ râja-ââsanam ${ }^{8}$ । (II) $\quad$ Yô ${ }^{9} \quad$ vêtty=apâraṃ smristí́âastra-sâram̉ Gôê̂ka-nâmnnô vu(bu)dha-pumigava-
52 syal ṡishyaḥ sudhîh sâvdi(bdi)ka Âmadêvô bhûpasya lêkhyan mamasôdhi têna | (II) Utkîrnṇam=idam ra(rû)pakâra-Kânhaḍ̂̂na |
53 Dâtô mahâpradhâna-râja-şrí-Ajayadêvah I (II) ${ }^{10}$ Sva-hastô=yamin mahârâjasya ||

## No. 14.-KANKER INSCRIPTION OF THE TTME OF BHANUDEVA; THE [SAKA] YEAR 1242.

By Hira Lai, B.A.; Nagpur.

This insoription or prasasti, as it is called in line 19, is lying in the verands of the school house at Kanker, the capital of the Feudatory State of that name in the Central Provinces, and was brought away from the ruins between the Dîwân and Ŝitalâ tanks in that town. It was first brought to my notice by Mr . Baijnath, B.A., Superintendent of the Bastar State, who kindly furnished me with an inked estampage, and further estampages were supplied to me by the Dîwân of the Kanker State and the Agency Inspector of Schools, Chhattîsgarh Feudatories.

The stone is a cubit square and half a cubit thick, and the writing covers a space of $18^{\prime \prime}$ by $17^{\prime \prime}$ in 19 lines. The letters are bold and well formed, the average size being $\frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{4}$. The characters are Dêvanâgarî, and the language is Sanskrit. The whole of the inscription is written in verse, except the commencement ( $\hat{o} \dot{m}$ siddhih) in line 1, and the concluding portion (11. 18 and 19) containing the date and the names of the erector and the writer of the inscription. There are altogether eight verses, of which six are in the Sârdûlavikrị́ita metre, and the first and the last in Sragdharâ and Anushtubh respectively. The principal orthographical peculiarities are the indifferent use of $s$ for $s$ and vice versd (11. 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 15, 17, 19) and the use of $b$ for $v$ (1l. 2, 12, 14). Some of the letters with a superscribed refpha have been donbled (especially $m$ and $t$ ), others not. The sign of avagraha has not been used at all. Final forms of $t$ occar in 11.12 and 18.

[^106]The insoription is an enlogy of the Nayaka Vâsudêva, the minister of king Bhânudêva of Kâkaira. ${ }^{1}$ It states that he construoted two temples of Mahâdêva, and another in honour of the local deity of the fields, besides a building with a gateway, and excavated two tanks. It is dated in the [Śake] year 1242 bearing the name Raudra, on the 5th tithi of the dark fortnight of Jyaishṭha, corresponding to (Tuesday), the 27th May A.D. 1320. Unfortunately the week-day is not mentioned, and hence the date does not admit of verification. It is also possible that it may have been Wednesday, the 28th May, as there was a repetition of the Hindù date pañcham $\hat{\imath}$ in that fortnight and month. The record gives the genealogy of the minister for four generations, and that of his sovereign for seven generations. It is stated that Vâsudêva's ancestors wore elephant-catchers (?). Though in the Kanker State wild elephants are not now found, they are still to be met with in the division in whioh it is included. ${ }^{2}$ The most important part of the inscription is that which gives the genealogy of the Kakaira obiefs, mentioning in order the following:-Simharaj, Vyâghra, Vôpadêva, Krishṇa, Jaitaraja, Sômaohandra and Bhânuceeva. The dynasty is stated to be lunar, and the want of any epithet indicating paramount sovereignty postulates a subordination to another power. The epithets applied to them:-avanî́sa (1. 1), nripa (11. 2 and 5), dharanidhara (1. 6), bhûpâla (1. 7) and nripati (ll. 10 and 13), are all synonyms of the word 'king.' In two copper-plate grants of Pamparajadêva fonnd in the Kanker State ${ }^{3}$ the king is styled Sómavamsdnvaya-prasûta-mahamandalika (fendstory chief of the lonar race), which supports the above statement. The present Râj family of Kanker is doubtless conneoted with these kings. It belongs to the lonar race and has always claimed to be a very ancient family. 4 According to its own traditions it claims to have come from Orissa. Its original ancestor was ruling at Jagannâthapurî, bat, on auddenly becoming a leper, in which state he could no longer occupy the throne, left the place and went ont in search of a cure. At Sihâwa, close to Kanker and now in the Dhamtarî tahsil of the Raipur district, a spring was found, by bathing in whioh he got rid of the loathsome disease. The local people ${ }^{5}$ persuaded him to stay on at Sihâwâ and installed him king, and he and his descendants continued to rule there. There can be little donbt that Sihâwà was once the capital of this dynasty, as its ruins and temples testify. There is still an inscription there, which is dated in the Śaka year 1114. The capital was finally removed to Kanker. This must have been done prior to Bhênudêva's times. For in line 12 we road: Käkairs nripa-Bhànudêva-nagaré (in Kakaira, the town or capital of king Bhânudêva), and in lines 3 to 5 : Kâkair ${ }^{\text {an }}$. . . . . srî-Jaitarâjôobhavat (there was the illustrious Jaitaraja in Kâkaira). This Jaitarâja was the grandfather of Bhânudêva. Thus Kâkaira has been the capital of the State for over 600 years. Kanker once included the rich Dhamtari tahsil of the Raipur

[^107]district, but is now limited to an area of 1,429 square miles lying between $20^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ and $20^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and $80^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$ and $81^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.

As regards the buildings and tanks mentioned in the inscription, there can be no doubt that the two tanks are identical with the present Dîwân and Śîtalâ talâos, between which the ruins of the temples may still be seen. It is very probable that the Śitalâ talâo-a name which gained currency since a hat dedicated to the goddess Śitalâ was oonstructed on its bank-is the Kauḍika-bandha of the inscription, the unnamed tank being called Dîwân talâo or 'minister's tank,' thus keeping up the memory of its original constructor, who by calling it a tadâga apparently distinguished it from the bandha, i.e. the tank formed by merely damming up a stream. The very name Kandika-bandha indicates its secondary importance. In the first place it was merely a bandha (dam), and secondly it was probably constracted by the payment of kaudikas, ${ }^{1}$ a Sanskritised form of kaudis (cowries) or shells, a currenoy of the lowest value.

The temples and buildings have all fallen or been pulled down, and from the materials a fresh temple was constructed by the predecessor of the present chief. The old temples were seen by Mr. Fisher, who was Deputy Commissioner of the Raipar district (to which Kanker was formerly attached) about 1873 A.D., and he alludes to them in his private Journal ${ }^{2}$ thus :"Saw some very curious old temples to the west of the village; one has a bijak giving, as I was told, some particulars of its history. They are very old and utterly neglected now." This bîjak was seen on the gate of the Mahâdêra temple about the year 1825 as recorded in the Asiatic Researches, Vol. XV. p. 505, where its date is also stated, which is the same as that of our inscription in all details. A noteworthy point in our inscription is the mention of the construction of a puratôbhadra with a pratôlı̂, aboat which latter Dr. Vogel has written an article in the Royal Asiatic Society's Journal, July 1906, p. 539. He has proved that pratôla really meant a gate-way, evidently strongly built and of considerable height. It was sometimes provided with a flight of steps. ${ }^{3}$ I have not been able to find puratôbhadra in the Kôśas to which I have accoss, but sarvatôbhadra is described as a kind of house with 4 doors facing the 4 quarters. ${ }^{4}$ From this I infer that a puratôbhadra was a building with only one door in front. And the Kâkaira puratôbhadra was actually furnished with a gate-way (pratôlî).

[^108]
## TEXT.

 नोयोभष-
2 दतुलयमा तेजसापास्तशूर्य:" || जक्षे सीपि खर्षीर्योचितनृपतिलक ${ }^{8}$ बोपयें। स चैवं ד्धश्या-4
3 ख्यं वेरिराजव्रजदलनपर विक्रमाक्रांतिस्व ॥१॥ कारेरेवनिपालमौसिमुबु० पोता-
 विक्र-
5 मगुण: ग्रीजततराजोभवत्तष्मादझ्दुतसब्रतापमषस: ग्रीसोमचन्द्री चृप: R8 तस्मात्यी ${ }^{8}$
 दय [: ।*]
7 जागेर्ति ${ }^{11}$ पतिपष्षपच्षदलनो भूपालचूडामषिर्यंभ्मन्यार्मत्रत ${ }^{32}$ लोक एष अबती जार्गित घ $^{13}$
8 हासन: ॥₹॥ देश: "मुप्यनिरीतिशाखतधनख्वर्वर्म्मनिष्ठा दिजा: खेष्टापूर्षापरा: प्रजाष्कि ${ }^{-18}$
9 मपरं पौरा: परं धार्मिका: [1*] सभ्या: ${ }^{16}$ खार्सविचारधोतमनसो धर्माजि' तारे कलो राज्यं शास-
10 ति भानुदेवन्टपतो कि कि न लोकोत्तरं $18 \|$ घंशे नागदलीपणीवरं जनस्फीतेमवबा-
11 यक: ग्रीदामोदरस्बूनुण्व लयशा पो[लू] प्रजानायक: ॥ स्थातस्तक्तनय सभावसर-
 संभोयं [न]-
13 गरस्म धातिजनतानष्षप्रभानायकी ${ }^{10}$ विस्यातष्किस ${ }^{20}$ भानुदेवत्टपते: ${ }^{21}$ पादांकुणन राषक: [1*]

|  | P Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सूये. | 3 Read $\square^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{4}$ Read \%णाप्ये. | ${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ क्रान्तविशखं. | - Read वै्योतिबौतिति. |
| ${ }^{7}$ Kend ${ }^{\circ}$ उ्यीति'मकाश्थी- | ${ }^{8}$ Read तध्माए4\%. | - Read वीक्वप:. |
| ${ }^{10}$ Read $\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{x}^{\circ}$. | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Read}$ नागरिi. | ${ }^{18}$ Read ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| ${ }^{13}$ Read सहासक: | ${ }^{14} \mathrm{Read}$ व्याबत\%. | ${ }^{15}$ Read प्रणा: f\% ${ }^{\circ}$. |
| ${ }^{14}$ The original has siftra*. |  |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ Rend ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Fux}{ }^{\text {a }}$. |  | ${ }^{11}$ Read पादाडSTi. |



TRANSLATION.
Oin. Suocess! (Verse 1.) In the race of the Moon there was (bern) Simbaráj, a (very) lion to enemies, (and) praised as possessing numerous virtues. From him was born king Vyaghra, of unequalled fame, who outshone the sun by his splendour. He also begot an ornament of kings befitting his own manliness: Vôpadêva; and the latter, likewise, (had a son) mamed Kri[shṇa], who was given to destroying crowds of hostile kings, (and) who dominated the universe by (his) valour.
(V. 2.) (Then) there was in Kakaira the illustrious Jaitaraja, the lustre of the nails of whose lotus-feet was rendered (still more) brilliant by the light emanating from the shining Ciamonds in the diadems on the heads of kings (prostrating themsslves before him) to the ground, (and) who had the merit of conquering heroes on the battle-field. From him who owned the glory of wonderful great valour (was born) the illustrious king Sômachandra.
(V. 3.) From him, the glorious ocean of virtaes, (was born) a young king: the illustrious Bhânu, who was brilliant by (his) whole body and apparel, who acquired honour and prosperity, the destroyer of the party of opponents, a crest-jewel of kings. (He) is (now) protecting the earth. While he is ruling, the people are (all) virtuons (and) well-intentioned.
( $\nabla .4$.$) The country is replete with permanent wealth and virtue and is devoid of (all)$ calamities; the twice-born are devoted to good actions; the subjects are intent on performing eacrifices and doing charitable acts. What more? The citizens are very virtnons (and) refined, (and) their minds are purified by the study of the Siastras. What wonder does not (happen) in ( $t h i s$ ) iron age, while king Bhânudêva, the incarnation of virtue, is raling the kingdom?
(V. 5.) In a family which abounded in men subsisting on (catching P) groups of elephants there was the Nâyaka Pô[lû], of brilliant fame, a leader of men, the son of the illustrious Damôdara. His famous, straightforward son was called Bhima. His son was the illustrious $\nabla$ âaudêva, (who resided) in Kâkaira, the town of hing Bhânudêve.

| ${ }^{1}$ Read मचाप्षाm. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 Read met ${ }^{\circ}$. |  |  |
| - Read \%ixy. | ${ }^{7}$ Read मर्श ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | - Resd x(tiol. |

( $\nabla$. 6.) This illustrions Vâsudêva, the pillar of the city, the chief gem (shining) with priceless splendour amongst (his) caste fellows, famous, devoted to the lotus-feet of king Bhanudêva, known to be very valorous before the array of kuights on the battle-field, great, of wonderful power ( $a n d$ ) patience, is (now ) administering the country,
(V. 7.) (This) clever (minister) caused to be built two temples of the god whose ormameat is the moon (viz. Mahádêva), together with halls, a puratôbhadra with a gate-way, und an excellent temple (dedicated) to the guardian deity of the fields. Moreover (he) caused to bodug $n$ large pond (tadîga) and a long tank (bandha) called Kaudika, which contained a grat quastity of water.
(V. 8.) The moon-light of the true fame of Vâsudêva, devoted to sacrifices and chanitale works, has expanded (and) become established so long as the moon, the sun and the stan endure.
(Line 18.) Sambat 1242, in the Raudra jear, on the 5th (tithi) of the dark (fortnight) of Jyaishṭha, (this) praśasti was erected by the Neiyaka Vâsudêva. Written by Śalit kumâra, Hail!

## POSTSCRIPT.

By Professor F. Kielhorn, C.I.E.; Götmingen.

Prof. Hultzsch has asked me to express an opinion on the date of the stone inscription published above, of which he bas sent me an impression. He also, at the instance of Mr. Hirs Lal, has requested me to give my readings of, and, if possible, to verify, the dates of tro copper-plate inscriptions of the Kakaira (Kanker) chief Pamparajadêva, which are aboul to be pablished by Mr. Hira Lal, and of whioh impressions have been forwaxded by him.

The date of the stone inscription cleariy is, as given by Mr. Hira Lal: Samvat 1242 Raudra. camuatsarê | Jyêshța-vadi painchamyần |. It does not admit of exact verification, snd the only question concerning it is, to which era the year 1242 should be referred so that the date may fall in a Raudra-sanvatsara. A priori the expression sainvat 1242 would be taken here to denote the Vikrama year 1242; but there is no Vikrama year 1242 that could be called a Randra year. Nearest to $\nabla$. 1242, Raudra, by the southern system, would be the expired Ohaitrâd Vikxame year 1257; and by the northern mean-sign system it would indicate the time from the 13th November A.D. 1195 to the 8th November A.D. 1196, in the expired Chaitrûdi or Kârttikâdi Fikrama years 1252 and 1253 . If the year of the date were 1254 instead of 1242 , it might be taken to be the expired Karttikadi Vikrama year 1252, in which case the 5th of the dark half of Jyaishtha would undonbtedly fall in a Raudra year ; but there is nothing to prove that the writer erroneously put 1242 instead of 1252.

Assuming, then, the figures for the year and the Jupiter's year to have been correctly given, it would only remain to take the expression samvat 12:i2, as has been done by Mr. Hira Lal, to denote the expired Salka year 1242, which by the southern system quas a Raudra year. But here we are at once met by a difficulty. In my paper on the dates of the Saka era in inscriptions J have shown ${ }^{1}$ that among 400 Śaka dates of inscriptions only five do not contain the word Saka or Salka, and that of even these five dates three are spurious or suspicious, while the two others are in verse. There is therefore every reason to assume that the writor of the inscription would have denoted the Śaka year 1242 by some such expression as Suke 1242 , not by saincat 1242. And there might be the further objection that in the Central Prorinces, where the date comes from, the system of Jupiter's years ordinarily followed seems to hare been the northern system, not the southern system by which alone the Śaka year 1242 can be described as a Pandra year.

As the date cannot be verified, it wonld be useless to indulge in further speculations. ${ }^{1}$ To the wording of it seems certainly to be suspicious. And I can only say that, assuming tho figares for the jear to be correct and the date to be really a Saka date, it would correspond $t$, tho pûrnimainta Jyaishṭha, to Monday, the 28th April A.D. 1320, and for the anairta Jyais .ha.
stated by Mr. Hira Lal, to Tresday, the 27th, or Wednesday, the 28th May, A.D. 1320 .
Of the two copper-plate inscriptions of Pamparaja, one is clearly dated as follows :-
[L. 7] . . . . . . . . . . . . . Isvara
[L. 8] samivatsarê Kârtika-mâsề Chitrâ-xikshê Ravi-đinê suryôparâgê
[L. 10] . . . . . samivat 966 .
i.e. "in the Î́svara year, at an eclipse of the sun on a Sunday, in the nakshatra Chitrâ the month Kârtika, . . . . in the year 966."

I have no doubt that the year 966 of this date must be referred to the Kalachuri era.
In Festgruss an Roth, p. 53 ff ., I have tried to prove from the 12 dates between the years 958, which hitherto have been available, that the Kalachuri (Chêdi) era commenced zo înta months, and th.D. 248, that the years were Asvinadi years and the months putrniibe Kaliyuga, we must add 3349 when the date falls in the bright half of Asvina or in of no ${ }^{\text {nth }}$ from Kârttika to Phâlguna, and 3350 in all other cases. Applying this here, we fin any ux date, for the pûrọiminta Kûrtika of the expired Kalachuri year 966 = Kaliyuga $66+3349=4315$, regularly corresponds to Sunday, the 5 th October A.D. 1214, when the 15 , it $72 i$ of the dark half ended 3 h .33 m ., and when the rakshatra was Chitrâ, by the eqnal ystem and according to Garga for 2 h .38 m ., after mean sunrise. On the same day there was a วtal eclipse of the sun, the greatest phase of which at Kanker (in about Long. $82^{\circ}$ and Lat. $\mathbf{O}^{\circ}$ ) was four digits. ${ }^{3}$

It will, I think, be generally admitted that Sunday, the 5th October A.D. 1214, unourbtedly is the proper equivalent of our date; but, in connection with this date, the writer ?parently has wrongly quoted the year Îsvara instead of the immediately following year abudhânya. By the northern mean-sign system Isvara lasted from the 2nd September A.D. 212 to the 29 th August A.D. 1213. By the northern luni-solar system therefore î́vara was e proper name of Kaliyuga 4314 expired, and not of the year of our date, viz. Faliyuga 4315 =Pired, which according to the northern luni-solar system, as already intimated, would have ceived the name Bahudhânya. For the present, I can ascribe the error only to the writer's selessness, but the error is of such a nature that it confirms rather than invalidates our general sult. ${ }^{4}$

The date of the other copper-plate of Pamparâja I read thus:-
$[L .9]$. . $\quad$ sam்vata $/ 905$ Bhâdrapadê vadi $1[0]$
[L. 10] Mriga-rikshê Sô[ma]-dinê |
Here everything is perfectly clear and certain in the impression excepting the number of the 7hi and the second akshara of the name of the weokilay. As regards the latter, it appears to

[^109]me evident that the engraver after the akshara sô in the first instance by mistake ongraved the Ietter $d$ (of diné), and that be then altered this $d$ to ma, Under any circumstances there conld be no doubt that the intended weekday is Soma-dina or 'Monday.' Nor can there be any real doubt about the number of the tithi. The date clearly gives us the dark half of the month Bhâdrapada and during it the nakshatra Mriga (Mrigaŝirsha). Now in the dark half tho nalishatra will ordinarily be Mriga about the 8th tithi of the amanta and the 10th titlai of the pûrnimânta Bhâdrapada; and a careful examination of the impression shows that the word vadi is actually followed by 10 , the $O$ of which is engraved quite on the margin of the plate. I therefore take it that the date gives us for calculation "the year 965, the 10th tithi of the dark half of (the pûrnimanta) Bhâdrapada, with the nakshatra Mṛiga and a Monday." And treating the year again as a Kalachuri year, I find the following equivalents:-

For the expired Kalachuri year $965=$ Kalipuga expired $965+3350=4315$ : Saturday, the 20d Angust A.D. 1214, when the 10th tithi of the dark half of the pûrnimânta Bhâdrapada ended 18 h .25 m ., and thie nakshatra was Mriga, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 21 h .40 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 20 h .21 m ., after mean sunrise.

For the carrent Kalachari year $965=$ Kaliynga 4315 current : Monday, the 12th August A.D. 1213, when the 10th tithi of the dark half of the pûrnimânta Bhâdrapada commenced 7 h ., and when the nakshatra was Mriga, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 15 h .46 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhanta for 14 h .27 m ., after mean sunrise.

Of the two, I do not hesitate to accept Monday, the 12th August A.D. 1213, as the trne equivalent of our date. That the tithi of the date was a current tithic can canse no dificulty. And the fact that Kalachuri years, occasionally and exceptionally, are quoted as current years is proved by another date, in an inscription of which Mr. D. R. Bhandarkar some time ago has been good enough to send mee a photograph. The inscription referred to is one at Seorinârâyan which the late Sir A. Cunningham had stated to be dated-

Kalachurri-samvatsar̂̂ || 898 || Asvinu-sudi 2 Sôma-dinê.
According to the photograph the date really is-
Kalachuri-samvatsarê || $898 \|$ Asvina-sudi ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Sóma-dinê, and with this reading the date regularly corresponds, for the current Kalachari year 898, to Monday, the 24th September A.D. 1145 , when the 7 th $t i t h i$ of the bright half of $\hat{A}$ ब́vina ended 20 h .57 m . after mean sunrise.

## NO. 15.-NOTE ON THE TWO COPPER-PLATE INSCRIPTIONS OF THE TIME OF maHENDRAPALA OF KANAUJ.

By Professor F. Kielioorn, C.I.E.; Gö́tringen.

While I was reading the final proof of my paper on the two copper-plate inscriptions of the time of Mahêndrapala of Kanauj, published above. p. 1 ff ., I received from Prof. Hultzsch excellent ink-impressions of the two inscriptions which had been supplied to him by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya. The impressions enabled me to make one or two slight corrections in my texts, bat it was impossible to insert in my article Mr. Veukayya's description of the original plates, and to get ready in time the collotype facsimile of the second of the two inscriptions, the plates of Avanivarman II. Yôga, which Prof. Hultzsch had at once ordered to be prepared and which is now published herewith.

On the plates A., those of Balavarman, Mr. Venkayya writes as follows:-
"The two plates are about $13^{\prime}$ broad and about $9 \frac{1 \text { " }}{2}$ high, somewhat less in the middle. They ara strung on an almost circular ring, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ " in diameter. The ends of the ring are secured below

[^110]Una plates of Avanivarman II. Yoga, - [Vikrama-]Samvat 956.


iii.

a circular seal, which is slightly damaged and measures nearly $1 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. It is about $\frac{l^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ thick, and bears in relief a squatting Ggure, perhaps representing a god, the right haud of which seems to be raised, as if to indicate the abhayahasta. . . . . . . Either plate near the top has a ring-hole which is about $\frac{7^{7}}{8}$ in diameter."

On the plates B., those of Avanivarman II. Yôga, Mr. Venkayya writes :-
"The three plates are between $12 \frac{1}{8}$ " and $12 \frac{1}{4}$ " broad and between $8 \frac{3}{8}$ " and $9^{\prime \prime}$ high. They are strung on an oval ring which measures $2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ by $2 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4}$. The ends of the ring are secured below a circular seal, which is slightly mutilated and measures nearly $2^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. The seal bears in relief a squatting figure, similar to the one on the seal of the other plates. Euch plate contains a ring-hole which is about $\frac{\sigma^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ iu diameter."

On the excellent facsimile published herewith I have to say only a few words. It will show that the inscription in some places is not very easy to read. As regards individual signs, I would merely draw altention to the forms of the initial $i$ and $\dot{e}$, e.g. in $i \hbar h, 1.33$, and $\tilde{e} v a m=$, 1. 45 ; to the sign for $r y$, e. $g$. in fa wryasya, 1.11 ; to the fiual $t$, e.g. in $=b l u \hat{u}, 1.32$; and to the numeral figures, especially that for ' 9 ', in line 68 .

In my text of the inscription the word sri- has by an oversight been omitted before $T a[r u] n \hat{a}-$-, above, p. 10, 1. 57.

## No. 16.-VANDRAM PLATES OF AMMARAJA II.

## By Professor E. Hultzsch, Ph.D.; Halle (Saale).

These plates were found in the backyard of Buddharaju Venkataraju of Vandram, a village in the Bhîmavaram tâlaka of the Kistna district, and were forwarded by the Head Assistant Collector of Narsapur through the Collector of Kistna to Rai Bahadur Venkayya, who sent me two sets of ink-impressions of them, with the following remarks :-
"These are five copper-plates, measuring $7 \frac{3}{4}$ " in length and $3 \frac{5}{8}$ " in height. They have high rims more than $\frac{1}{8}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ thick. The ring on which the plates are strung was out by me for the first time and soldered subsequently. It is oval, measures $3 \frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ by $3 \frac{1}{8}$ ", and is nearly $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ thick. The ends of the ring are secured in an expanded eight-petalled lotus at the bottom of a circular seal, which measures $23^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. The seal is much damaged, but bears, in relief on a countersunk surface, the legend $\tilde{S} r \hat{-}$-Tribhu[vancimkuśa], with a boar facing the proper left over it and a floral device below. The other symbols cut in the seal are not quite distinct. Traces of the sun over the boar, of the crescent of the moon beinnd his tail, and of an elephant goad in front of him are visible."

The first and last plates bear writing only on one side, and the three remaining plates on both sides. The plates are in a bad state of preservation. Of plate i. a small portion is missing. Plate ii. $b$ is a palimpsest, and part of its writing is effaced. The two first lines of plate iii. $b$ and some letters of the two last lines of plate iv.a are damaged by corrosion. Of plate iv. b the two first lines and part of the third are in a still worse condition. On the last plate (v.) some letters are more or less doubtful.

After the MS. of this article had gone to the press, I received from Mr. Venkayya the original copper-plates, with the help of which I was able to improve my readings of several indistinct words.

The alphabet is ancient Telugu of the asual type. I would only draw attention to the peculiar form of the secondary $\hat{u}$ in -mûrteter $=, 1.18$, and samâhûya, 1.36 f . The language is Sanskrit ; but the four first lines of the last plate contain some Telugu words. The Sanskryit portion consists of both prose and verses.

The inscription opens with the gencalogy of Ammaràja (II.) of the (Eastern) Chalukya (1.5) dynasty. Ll. 1-17 are practically identical with ll. 1-23 of the Elavarra grant of the same king. ${ }^{1}$ But Vikramâditya (I.) receives the title Yuvarâja (1. 13), and the name Kollabiganda is twice (11. 14 and 17) spelt with b, not with bh.

Ammaríja II. is then praised in seven verses, all of whioh occar in his Paḍañalûru grant, ${ }^{3}$ where they are however differently arranged. ${ }^{3}$ The two verses (5 and 6) recording the date of his coronation aro also found in the Maliyapûp̣ic grant. ${ }^{4}$ It is a curions fact that the major portion of plate ii.b (consisting of verses $3-5$ and nearly the whole of verse 6) of the Vandram plates is engraved over another grant of Ammarâja II., which seems to have been partially effaced by the writer, but of which distinct traces are still visible. ${ }^{5}$ I subjoin a transcript of those lines of the original inseription on plate ii. $b$ which can still be made out.

20 . . . . . . . . . . स समस्तभुवनाग्रयग्रीविजयादित्यम-
21 हाराजाधिराजपरमेश्वर: परमभद्धारक: परमन्रह्नयो मातापितृपादानुध्या22 यी वेंगीसहसराप्र्रकूटम्नुखान् कुटुंबिनस्ममाइ्२येस्यमान्चा-

## 23 पयति [1*] विदितम . . . . . स वंशे वर्शिष्ठगोनो विद्वान् अा-

The poetical description of Amma's reign is followed by a prose passage (1l. 30-37) which is worded in nearly the same manner as 11. . $30-36$ of the Elavarru grant. ${ }^{6}$ Ammarajas II., who is here styled 'Samastabhuvanấsraya, the glorious Vijayâditya (VI.) Mahârajidadhirâja-Paramésuaru Paramablutfaraka, the very pious one, who meditates at the feet of (his) mother and father, thus commands, having called (them) together, the ryots, headed by the Räshtrakûtas, inhabiting the twelve villages (the chief one of which is) Prândoru in the Pârunavarra district (rishaya).'

So far the text can be easily transcribed and understood. But the remainder of tho iuscription cannot be deciphered in full, as a number of words in the damaged portions are doubtful or quite illegible. Besides, the author of the long metrical passage describing the donee (11. 37-61) knew so little Sanskrit, or got his draft copied in such an imperfect way, that I am unable to propose satisfactory corrections even of some of the well-preserved portions of it. The whole of this passage seems to be in verse. Making allowance for the damaged and lost lines I number the verses as 8-23. Verse 8 praises the family (gôtra) named Mitrayu. A descendant of it was Târkkaya-Peddiya ${ }^{7}$ (v. 9), who lived in the large village Rêviparru (v. 10), his son Vijayâditya (v. 11) and his grandson Tûrkkiya-Yajvan (v. 12). The last had by Kandamâmbâ a, son named Kuppanayys (v. 14), who seems to have enjoyed the title of minister (amatya, v. 15) and vassal (sámanta, v. 16). He had the surname Vipranârâ[yaṇa] ( $\mathrm{\nabla} .21$ ) and founded a shrine of Śiva at Drâkshârâma. ${ }^{8}$
"To this Kuppan[a]matys, who is devoted to Me , the village named Tândeeru has been given by Us, having made (it) an Agrahâra; to whom (the village) named [Beti]pûṇid (in the neighbourhood) of Prândorg ${ }^{9}$ in your district ${ }^{10}$ (vishaya), together with the share of gold,

[^111]fas given with exemption from all tases, having made (it) an Agrahara." I subjoin my reading $f$ this passage, which is so peculiarly worded, that it remains doubtful whether the presont grant $e^{\text {fers }}$ to Thadera or to Butiphudi or to both, and whether only the second or both of them elonged to the Pitrunatyinti-vishaya.
61
लससे कुप्पन[T*]म[T]त्या[य]


64 पर $^{3}$ दत्त इति विर्ध़त़त्तु व[:] \| कम्य (स) ग्रामस्यावध्य[:*] ॥ . . . .
Of the subsequent description of the boundaries of the village granted (ll. 64-67) I am pable to furnish a satisfactory transeript. The remainder of the insoription (11. 67-72) is zarly ideatical with ll. 57-till of the Elavarru grant. ${ }^{4}$ The last line (72) seems to read:-


``` जोन्नाचार्य्येग्ड लिखित।
```

 حntâchârya. ${ }^{8}$

Of the localities montioned in this inscription, Drâkshârâma is well-known, ${ }^{9}$ and $t^{1}$ e Ivunavâra-vishaya is probahly the same as Pâgunavara-vishaya in a grant of Bhima II. ${ }^{10}$


## FIRST HALF OF THE TEXT. ${ }^{11}$

## First Plate.

## 1 of ख्वस्ति मीमतां सकलभुवनसंस्तूयमानमानख्यसगोचागां हृत.

2 रीतिपुनायां कौशिकीवरपसादलब्वराज्यानां मातृगयार्परालीतानां ${ }^{12}$ खा-
3 मिमच्हासेन पादानुघ्यायीन $\dot{i}^{13}$ भगवन्नाराय यग्रसादसमासादित*
4 वरवराह्तलन्छकने च्ताच्तावर्शीदातारातिसएडलानाम-
$5{ }^{1.14}$ वमेधावभृतब्नानपविचीक्र्रतवप़षषां चालुक्यानiं कुलम-

7 ग वर्ष[T]六 वेंगिदेशमपालयत् $\|$ तत्पुन्नो जयसिंहस्लयस्लिंश्तं। त-
8 दनुजेन्द्राए[जनन्दनो] विष्युवर्घनो नव 1 तन्सून्म्मैगियुवराज: पंचविं-15
9 शतिं 1 त[त्पुनो जय] सिंह्तयोदश् । तदवरज: कोक्किलि: षरामासान् ॥

[^112]Second Plate; First Side.
10 तस्य च्येष्ठो भ्नाता विष्युणर्द्वनस्तमुच्चाब्य सप्तनिंशतं । तत्पुर्नो विजया* दित्यभद्टार-
11 कोष्टादश । तत्मुतो विष्युवर्द्धन: षट्रिंशतं । तत्पुतो विजयादिल्यनरेन्द्रं-मृगरान-
 काविखया-
13 दिस्यस्तुसत्वारिंशतं 1 तदनुजयुवराजविक्रमादित्यभूपते: सूनुस्षातु-
14. ${ }^{1}$ क्यभिममूपालब्त्रिंघतं । तत्पुच्र: कोकविगण्डविसयादित्य: षख्मासान् । तत्मूनुर-
15 माराज: सस वषार्षा ${ }^{2}$ । तत्तुतविजयादित्यं बालमुचाक्य तालपो मासमेकं [।*]
16 तं जित्वा चालुक्यभीमतनयो विक्रमादित्य एकाद्श यासान् । तत्तालपराजस सुतो युद्ध-
17. मल्नः सत्त वर्षाएि 1 तं नित्वा कोस्मिगख्डविजयाद्यिस्युती भीमरणी हादश्य वर्षारि । तस्य म-
18 हि[ग्व]रमूर्तैरुमासमानाष्कते: कुमाराभ: [।*] बोकमछद्वेव्या: यस्सम-भवद्मराजा-

Second Plate; Setand Side.
19 एय: \| $\left[Q^{*}\right]$ यो खूयेय मनोजं विभवेन अशिम्ट्रमीयकर्छुखमझा [1*] हरमविद्युरद-
 दिजमुनि-4
21 दीनान्बबसुणनम्तु (न) रभि: । याचकजंधिग्तामणिखतिखम-*

23 विधायुध्कोविद्धी [विस्जीनारिक्रु]: 1 कर्तिएगागमकुस-
24 जो इरकर . . . . . मधुप: त्रीमान प्यक्तिरि
 बयो:
26 दखदिने भृगुवारे मिश्रनच्च[चे] 11 [ $\left.x^{*}\right]$ धन्तुषि सी, सीकी खाद-
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Third Plate ; First Side.

# नरागाय " [दे*] यस्मिन् सासति तृपतो परिपकानेकसस्यसं ${ }^{2}$ 

$3^{0}$
 मध्रकरानिकर परिन्युंबितचर एसरस्रसिहतुग-
लोयु[ग*]लोचनपदकमलविलसहिर्टेफायमानी* मा-
33 नोव्नतोबत:5 समस्तलोक: समस्तभुबनाग्ययश्रीविज-
$3^{4}$ यादिलनहाराजाधिराजप[ [ ${ }^{*}$ ]मेम्यर: परमभहारक: परमब-
35 द्वाख्य: मातापिटपादानुध्यातः पावुनवारविषथे म्रान्दोधण-
36 [दाद]खग्रामनिवासिनो राद्र्वृट्रमुखान्मुटुंविनस्ममाश्र-
Third Plate; Second Side.
37 येख्यमाज्ञापयति ॥

No. 17.-THE INSCRIPTIONS ON THE MATHURA LION-CAPITAL.
By F. W. Thomas, M.A. ; London.
The Mathurâ lion-capital was discovered by the late $D_{1}$. Bhagranlal Indraji under scumstances detailed in his article on The Northern Kshatrapas edited and published by - ofessor E. J. Rapson in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, N. S., Viol. XXVI. 1894,
$54 \mathrm{I}-554$; see in particular pp. 54.2-4. It was found embedded in the steps of an altar voted to Śitalà on a site belonging to some low-caste Hindûs at Mathurâ, but not more finitely located. Having been with difficulty secured by Dr. Bhagvanlal, the capital was zveyed to Bombay; subsequently, on his death, it was despatched to England, and it. can now seen in the Buddhist Room. at the British Museum.

The insoriptions incised on the capital were transeribed and translated by the discoverer mself; but owing to his death the task of publishing the MS. was entrusted by the Council the Royal Asiatic Society to the late Professor Bühler, who was able to compare the readas with the stone itself and with paper impressions presented to him in 1889 by Dr. James xgess. At the commencement of the published account, which appeared in the Journal of Royal Asiatic Society, N. S., Vol. XXVI. 1894, pp. 525-540, Prof. Bühler observes that he collation has made necessary some alterations in the transcript and in the translation, Ong which the more important ones have been pointed out in the notes. But I may confi2tly assert that all really essential points have been fully settled and explained by Dr. age vanlal, whose great acumen and scholarship are as conspicuous in his interpretation of "sie inscriptions as in his other epigraphio publications. For convenience's saks I have pretixed inatroduction, summarising the chief resulte deducible from the inscriptions."

[^113]While endorsing in the main these statements of so grest in authority, from all points of riew, as the late Prof. Bühler, I have endeavoured, in reeediting the inscriptions with Plates, which before were wanting, to give an unbiased rending based upon new impressions supplied by the kindness of the Department in the Museum (at the instance of Dr. J. F. Flect, who origiuated the suggestion of this article), and upon frequent inspections of the stone itself. The present versions will therefore be found to differ in some particulars from those of Dr. Bhagranal and Prof. Bühler.

The shape and dimensions of the capital will be best realized from the accompanying Plates; but somo particulars demand a verbal description. In the first place, the circular hole in the upper square surface, corresponding to a similar hole in the under surface, pruves that the capital was surmounted by a shaft or some other continuation. Prof. Bühler remarks that various representations on slabs from the Amarâvatî Stupa prove this shaft to have supported a Dharmachakra, referring to the Archreological Survey Plates published by Dr. Burgess (Plaic xxxviii. figs. l and 6, and Plate xl. figs. 3 and 4). But it has already been pointed out by me in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1906, pp. 216 and 464, that the ase of lion-capitals of actual pillars was a common feature in Indian architecture, derived from Persian models. Examples may be seen in the Archreological Sarvey publications relating to Bharhnt (Cunningham, Plates vi., viii., x.), Sâñchî (Maisey, Plates xix., xxxiii.), Mathurn (V. A. Swith, Plates xliii.-xlvi. and xlix.-1.), Amarîvatî (Burgess, p. 93, Plates xlix.. liii., liv., lri, and Burgess, 1882, Plate xiv.), and elsewhere. The presumption, therefore, is that the lion-capital formed the crown of a real pillar.

Secondly, the state of the stone has been somewhat impaired by time and accident. In some cases, e.g. in the loss of the horn-like projections of the two heads, this has involved no cartailment of the text. The chippings at the two bottom corners have been, no doubt, equally harmless. But the front, which would be the most exposed portion of the stone, has in part so peeled away as to render some characters illegible. In the second line of the large inscription carved on the body of the lion to the spectator's left (J. 1. 1) the large rea is followed by traces of two or three aksharas of equal size leading to a partly visible sa (?), after which interrene three doubtful characters before we come to firm ground again in pali. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

In size the akshuras vary considerably. We may distinguish five gronps: (1) the inscriptions B. E. F.I. J. M. on the front and back of the stone (among which I. perhaps exceels the others slightly in size), haring characters about $2-2 \frac{1}{8}$ in. in height ; (2) G. N. P. E" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, on the back and under surface of the stone, circa $2-1 \frac{1}{2}$ in.; (3) A. (on the unpolished top and back of the stone), K. L. (on the breast of the left lion), O. Q. R. (underneath), J'. (front, on the leg of the left lion), circa $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{in}$. ; (4) C. D. $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$. (which may be estimated from $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$., slightly the largest, risible among the characters of E.), circa 1 in. ; (5) H. H'., slightly incised in small characters, of about $\frac{3}{4}$ in., at the places indicated on the front. In the same inscription the aksharas generally maintain a fair average size, but sometimes they become a little cramped by limitations of space. It is cloar that the inscriptions in the larger characters (1), (2) and (3) were carved first, and those of a smallor size were afterwards crammed in wherever space offered.

In type, on the other hand, the characters present an uniformity which, like the subjectmatter of the recorts, forbids any supposition of additions during the subsequent history of the stone. They bave been compared by Prof. Bühler to those of the Shâhbâzgarhî and Manselrra versions of tho Edicts of Aśoka. But the degree of similarity and dissimilarity may now be more exactly estimated from Bühler's Inlian Palcography, where the columns yiii. and ix.

[^114]
c No. 1 are based chiefly upon these inscriptions. The type may be described as inter$t 0$ between the Asôka forms and the cursive derivatives of the Dhammapada MS. and $\mathrm{a}^{i}{ }^{\text {jcoovered }} \mathrm{by} \mathrm{Dr}$. Stein, to which approximate the vase scratchings from Mânikiâla and $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text {laces and the inscription of Suc-Vihar (see the Plate given by Dr. Hoernle in the }}$ Antiquary, Vol. X. facing p. 325). It does not, however, appear to be quite identical $\boldsymbol{t}^{2}$ at exhibited in any of these or in the Taxila plate (see the facsimile above, Vol. IV. p. 56), where on account of the historical contiguity we should expect to find, and do find, S congener. The inscriptions edited by M. Senart in the Journal Asiatique, Sér. IX. Vol. (1899), p. 526 f. and Vol. IV. (1894), p. 504 ff. (No. 35), are also in similar ${ }^{-} c^{\text {fers (seo the Plates). }}$
 sition of medial $e$ and the looped form of $u$ have been described by Bühler. Attention may $11 e^{d}$ to the $r d a$ in Q. 1, the spa in A. 7, the rna (?) in I. 1, the curions form in J. 1 inter1 by Bühler as $s h t e$, the archaic $m a$ with the original angle beneath in A. 2. A few points 21. further consideration. The form of $t a$, which occurs many times, has in other records the of tra (see the Plate). Although we have certainly one case (J. 2, in kûrita), and a. ${ }^{s}$ two others ( $\mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}$. in krakarita? , and R. 1 in Tachhilasa or Rachhilasa ?), where the bottom $c$ is wanting, the character occurs so often that there can be no doubt as to what it denotes. $\operatorname{upp}^{p o s i n g ~ i t ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ d a ~ B u ̈ h l e r, ~ w h o ~ i n ~ h i s ~ a r t i c l e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ Z . ~ D . ~ M . ~ G . ~ V o l . ~ X L I I I . ~ p p . ~} 133$ and -6 has read a similar character as $t t$ (so also Johansson, Der Dialekt der Shâhbâzgarhîa 7 , tion, I. p. 126), was influenced by finding it in pratêsó (M. 2) and similar cases. But the llar form of $d a$ is several times instanced, and the $t$ for $d$ in pratês $\hat{c}$ and elsewhere is a $3 c^{\text {tical, not an orthographical, feature, found in other Kharôshthi records. }}$
The only question remaining, as concerns $t a$, is part of a larger matter. How do we represented the conjunct $r$ ? In a number of cases the addition is made by a stroke inclined $\mathbf{h e}$ left attached to the lower curve. This occurs in A. 1 (chhattra ${ }^{\circ}$ ), A. 6 (mâtrâ), A. 7 ir $\hat{i}^{0}$ ). In these examples there was some slight danger of confusion with tô, which shows
the Plate) a simiiar stroke attached to the upper curve. Perhaps for this reason another ice is more frequently adopted, namely a dot in place of the stroke; see B. 1 and 3 (chhatra ${ }^{\circ}$ ), 2 (putra), M. I (chhatra ${ }^{\circ}$ ), Q. 2 (chhatra ${ }^{\circ}$ ). There remain A. 3 (where te is written), A. 5 sere I can detect no clear indication of the $r$-stroke or dot), G. 1 (where there is nothing mehod to the ta, though the reading may be chhratava, if not chchhatava), G. 2 (ohhatava), $\ni$ (where we seem to have atraürêna for $a t e e^{\circ}$ ). In A. I we have two strokes, both of -ch seem to be intentional; one of them, which resembles the downward strole of the Aramaic Pahlavit $t$, I have conjectured to denote a doubled $t$ before the $r$. Of a cerebral ( $t a$ ) I can I motrace, unless indeed it is contained in the mysterious third sign of A. 13.

With other consonants than $t$ the 7 is regularly inserted where required. We may quote -a in A. 7 (where it takes the form of a detached stroke), gra in A. 2 and N. 2, pra in A. 10 -aḍhravîpra ${ }^{\circ}$ ), A. 11 (pratio ), M. 3 (pratôsô), N. 3, ḍhra in A. 10 and M. 2, chhra (chchha ?) G. 2 and I. 1 (chhratava ${ }^{\circ}$ and chhratrava). In the last example, as also in pradhravi - 10 , padhruvî in I. 2), it would seem to be even repeated; but this may be a feature of munciation rather than of writing. A really otiose $r$-strose is found in mahêshri, A. 2, and دbably in Pispasri, A. 7.

The case of $k r a$ is peculiar. If we disregard the doubtful inscription $\mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}$, it is found four ves, in bhakravatô (A. 12), nâkraraasa (F. 1 and N. 1), and sakrastânasa (P. 1), i.e. in places sexe we expect a simple ga. This can hardly be accidental; it would seem probable that in ase cases the combination kra represents a spirantic pronunciation of ga, analogous to the ${ }^{\sim} \operatorname{raian}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \dot{\text {; more especially as in the name of Seistân (Pahlavì Sayhastâtr) this sound no doubt }}$ 3 Vailed at the time.

A few further points remain to be noticed. (1) In atsürêna and hôrakû́parivôrrêna (A. 9 and 10) we find a perhaps iuteutional stroke diverging from the tail of the na, though not in both cases on the same side. Have we here na? The rather noticeable variations in the form of the nasal may be observed in Plate iv.
(2) $S a$ varies between the forms with and without une small adjunct near the centre (see Plate iv). In N. 3 the addition of the $r$-stroke ma'ies sum. In E. 4 a slight curve at the foot may also indicate saim, anticipating. as in the Stein documents, the following nasal. A writing sya is cortainly to be seen in G. 1 and probably also in B. 2.
(3) The two occurrences of kharaôsta (A. 4 and E. 1) seem both to show a small diverging mark in the place where $h$ is usually appended, a ciroumstance which, together with the probable derivation of the word, suggests a reading rha=hra.
(4) In A. $2 a$ has a small stroke added as a sort of head.
(5) In A. 13 we have the distinguishing mark of $\vec{B}$ vertioal and at the top; elsewhere it is horizontal and at the centre.
(6) The $\dot{s} \hat{e}$ of $A$. 11 has two small (head and foot) strokes differentiating it from the s se of the previous line,- apparently without reason.
(7) The complicated jo of C: 2 recalls the varieties on the coins (see Gardner, The Ooins of Bactria, pp. 55, 83).
(8) Lastly we may mention that the stone presents a number of dots and other small marks which must apparently be ascribed to acoident or wear. In some cases we might be tempted to trace the anusvâra, e.g. in J., where we should thas arrive at a reading Sarvâstivâtaìnain.

The Prâkrit in which the inscriptions are composed has been desoribed by Bühler and perhaps need not be disoussed at length. It is distinguished by closeness to Sanskrit. Elision of medial consonants occurs, if we negleot the suffixal $k$ (nágaraa, kusûlaa, máhásárighia), only in ateiirena (for ${ }^{\circ}$ vuréna ?), saśpa[t]e. In ayaria and puya (=ûchdrya and puja) we have a weakoning of ch to $y$. I have suggested (Journal of the Royal Asiatio Society, 1906, p. 205) that the sound denoted by this $y$ was that of the French $j$, and the matter will arise again in connection with the proper names. The changes apparent in the word ohhatrava are not necessanily Indian. The hardening of $g$ and $d$ to $k r$ and $t$ has been already dealt with; on the other hand $p$ appears in thûva and pratithduitô as v, never $b$ as $p$. Conjunct $s$ is, except in foreign and technical names (kharaôsta, sakastâna, sarvâstivâda), sasimilated ([t]thûva, leadhavâra, prati[t]thârita, $\left.b h i[k] k h_{r}\right)$; $r$, on the other hand, remains both as prior (sarva, khardaa), and as posterior, member of a conjonction. The forms of the $a$ and $\hat{a}$ declensions are very well preserved. The nominative sing. masc. appears both as $a$ (in thiva, etc.) and as o (kharâtsto, ete.); the neuter is in $a[\dot{m}]$ (sarira $\alpha \dot{m}]$ ), the genitive singular in $[s] s a$, twioe written sya. In -munisa the $i$ declension shows the Prâkyit form ; of the inflexion we have several forms (instrumental -id, etc.). The $r$-stems seem to be regalar (dhite in A 3 being a miswriting for dhitro), while in ywaraña[ $h]$ in A. 4 we have an ultra-Sanskrit use of the consonant paradigm. We may note the employment of sarvâstivâda in place of ${ }^{\circ} v a d i d n$. The only pronouns oocurring are imd, nominative mascaline, and isé, a locative, and the only finite verb bhusavi (oortainly not bhdeath as Buthler read) presents a problem ; see the note to A. 13. The false concord fariva pratithdent is found elso where (see the note ad loc.).

We now come to the historical matter, which has been discuseed both by Buhitar, pp. 529-33, and by Professor Rapson (p. 541 ff , of the same volume). The object of the ofisisf inscription (A.) is to record a religious donation on the part of the Chief queen of the satrap Rajuia, with whom are associated varions members of her family and her whote eourt. In the other insoriptions we find honorrable mention of (1) cartain other members of the shitrap family at Matharí, (2) other Satraps, and (3) a Buddhist teacher, or two Buddhint trathing bearing the
names Buddhila (or Budhila) and Bu[d]dhadêva. The last named, who is termed an âchárya and represented as a champion of the Sarvâstivâdin school against the rival Mâhâsânghikas, bears a name which cannot have been rare ; one teacher of this name is mentioned by Târanâtha as a leader of the Vribhâshikas (see Schiefner's translation, pp. 4 and 67 , and the references in the St. Petersburg Dictionary), and we have therefore no sufficient means of ideutification. It is different with some of the other names.

In the first place, the Great Satrap Rajûla himself and his son, the Satrap Sudaisa, have both been identified with rulers named on coins and in other inscriptions from Matharâ (see Bühler, pp. 531-2, Ep. Ind. Vol. I. pp. 195-6, 199; Cunningham, Archoological Survey Reports, Vol. III. p. 30, and Vol. XX. pp. 48-9; V. A. Smith, Hathurû, p. 21 ; Rapson, Indian Coins, p. 9, § 33). These identifications were made by Cauningham, who also proposed to identify the Yuvarâja Kharaôsta with the Kharamôsta, son of Artas, known from coins, a suggestion which is scarcely tenable (Bühler, op. cit. p. 532). The further identification of the Great Satrap Kusûlaa Pâdika with Pâtika, son of the Satrap Liaka Kasûlaka, named in the Taxila plate, is important not only as sapplying a date, though in an unknown era, - for the Taxila Plate is dated in the year 78, (in the time) of the Great King Môga,- bat also as implying that the other Satraps mentioned may also have ruled in distant places. It appears therefore that the inscriptions make a point of naming with respect the chief representatives of the Kshatrapa dominion in Nortbern India ; and this is a strong argument for retaining the evident interpretation of inscription P. as 'in honour of the whole Saka realm' (Sakastana). It is therefore important to ascertain what other indications of nationality the inscriptions supply.

In an article published in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1906 (pp. 181-216; see also $\mathrm{pp} .460-4$ ) I have endeavoured to prove (1) that Sakas inhabited the region now known as Seistan as early as the time of Darius the Great and Alexander; (2) that the inscriptions of the lion-capital exhibit a mixture of Persian and Saka nomenclature; and I have inferred that the Kshatrapas of Northern India were the representatives of a mixed Parthian and Saka domination. I think that all these propositions must be adbered to. Upon the first of them we need not dwell here. The second is strongly supported a priori by the fact that Patika of Taxila, who bears himself a distinctively Persian name, mentions as his overlord the Great King Môga, whose name is with equal distinctiveness Saka.

I may here refer to a small point in the Taxila inscription which is not without interest. The form of the phrase chhatrapasa Liakô Kusûlakô nâma tasa (for chhatrapasa Liakasa Kusûlakasa), which recurs in a second phrase, has been by Bühler compared with passages in two of the Jaina inscriptions from Mathara. We may perhaps find something of the kind in later Sanskrit inscriptions and in the style of the Pañchatantra and Hitôpadéśa. But the turn of the phrase is so conspicuous a feature of the edicts of the Achæmenids that we are strongly tempted to regard it as, like the earliest Indian architecture, derived through the Satraps from a Persian model.

This is not the place for resuming at length the discussion of the etymology of all the names occurring in the inscriptions. A few points may be mentioned:-
 is practically certain. The initial kha, whioh reappears in khaharâta with variant kshaharâta, presents no difficulty; it reours in the kharapallana (no doubt $=k$ khshathrapihlâna, ' defence of sovereignty,') of the new Sârnâth inṣcription (above, Vol. VIII. p. 173 ff.). The variation, in the initial consonant group, of which we find a third form in chhatrapa, is of the same nature as that in cavalier and chivalry, that is to say, it is due to historical and dialectical differences. ${ }^{1}$

[^115]The hra, from thra, arose (with other forms) on Iranian soil, Mihra (Skt. Mihira) being a variant of Mithra, ${ }^{1}$ of which a still more simplified form is found in Miraboyana of the Takht-i-Bahi inscription (see M. Boyer's article in the Journal Asiatique, Sér. X. Vol. III. (1904) pp. 463-4); the old Persian dialect had also $s$, concerning which it may be sufficient to refer to the grammars.
2. Mếvaki is no doubt a variant of the Scythian name Mauakes Mevanns, which we find attached to the king Manes and Môga ( $=$ Mauaka).
3. The two names Kâl $\hat{u} \hat{\imath}$, Kâmûâô show a Pahlavî suffix $\hat{u} \hat{\imath}$, in the second case with addition of an Indian termination.
4. Naiuludo is no doubt for Naïr $\hat{u} d \hat{d}$, 'New Growth,' with a Pahlavi or Afghan $l$ for $r$.
5. The element Khala- appears in Khalama and Khalasamuśo.
6. The termination - $\hat{\varepsilon} s$ in $K o ̂ m u ̂ s \hat{u}$ and ${ }^{\text {ossamû́so seems to be Soythio. }}$
7. The element -si in Âyasi Kômûsî, Nandasikasa, Pispasi is certainly not the Sanskyit sfri, which would have been so written in these inscriptions. It is a derivative element, perlap's identical with the $-c \hat{\imath},-z \hat{\imath}$ (Pahlavî -cîk) which appears in many Iranian names.
8. The $y$ in Ayasi, Hayuarana, Ayimisa, found also on the coins as an alternative for $z$ in Âyilisa, etc. (see Gardner, op. cit. pp. 93, 173), most probably represents a $j$ sound resembling the French $j$, for which reasons it must also remain undetermined whether the ayarit ( $=\hat{a} c h \hat{a} r y a)$ and $p u y a(=p \hat{u} j \hat{a})$ of our insoriptions were intended or not to represent a pronunciation with a $y$.
9. The name $A b \hat{u} h \hat{o} l a \hat{a}$ doubtless consists of two members, $A b \hat{u}$ (of uncertain meaning) to be traced in ABounırns (see Justi's Iranisches Namenbuch, s. v.) + hôla, a variant of the hôra in Spallahôra and the hôrakd of our inscriptions. That spâla is a Pahlavi form of O. Pers. spada $=$ Zend sp $d d a=$ Neo-Pers. sipâh is plain from its ocourrence in Spalapati, which corrcsponds to the Persian Ispahbad, Pahlavi Spâhpat (see Justi, op, oit. and Horn, Neupersisch: Etymologie, No. 699). As the Zend-Persian ahwra is used in the sense of 'prinoe' and as tho form hôra is to be traced in the common (Sassanian) name Hormisdas, there can be no reason to donbt that this is the meaning of the second part of the name of Abuhold and that her hôrakáparivâra was a ' retinne of princesses (or ladies).'
10. As regards the term Kusûlada (=Kusullaka of the Taxila Plate), it seems to me extremely unlikely that the word is uncelated to the Kuyûla, Kujula, Koそov入a applied to Kadphises and Kanishlka, more especially now that the Sânâth inscriptions have brought Kanishka into relation with the northern Satraps. The word seems to me to have been probally a title of the order of $\$ \underset{S}{ } d h i b$, Bahddur, and the like.

It will now be suffioient to enumerate the persons ocourring in the insoriptions. These are:-
(1) The family of Râjûla :-
(a) Râjula himself with his sons Kharaôsta (Yuvaraja, ${ }^{9}$ son of the Chief Queen Abûhôlâ), Sudâas (entitled Satrap), Khalama (entitled Kumâra²), and Maja (entitled Kaxishtha),-tht two last also being possibly children of the Chief Queen Abâhblal- and his daughter Hana.
(2) Five other, probably princely, persons: Kâlût, Naülađâ, Kámâto, Âyinciṣ Khalaśamuśo. These were perhaps relatives of Râjula.
(3) Friendly satraps, namely Kusâlaa Pâdika, Mevaki Miyika, ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~K}(r)$ ônina, Khadua. Trachhila (Rachhila).
(4) A Buddhist âchárya, named Buddhila (Budhila), and a mobond (perbaps identi:": with the formor), named Buddhadéva.

[^116]The inscriptions supply in themselves no means of dating. But the style of the monument of which they celebrate the foundation seems to have been strongly Persian. This is in favour of an early date; but for a definite determination of it we must continue to rely upon the more or less contemporary Taxila plate belonging to the year 78 (in the reign) of the Great King Môga, who is usually placed (see Rapson, Indian Coins, § 29) as early as 120 B. C.

TEXTS, TRANSLATIONS AND NOTES.

## A. I.

Mahachhatra(ttra)vasa rajulasa [Mahâchhatravassa Râjûlassa]
agra(ggra)maheshriayasia(o ?) [agramahêshî-Â yasiâ]
komusaa dhite [Kômûsââ dhite]
khara(rha ?)ostasa yuvaraña [Kharaôstassa yavarâñah]
mata nadadi(si ?)akasa .. [mâtâ Namdadi(si ?)akasa ..]
A. II.
sadha mata(tra) abuholaa(e ?) [sadha mâtrâ Abûhôlaâ] pitramahi pispasria bhra [pitâmahî-Pispasiâ bhrâ-]
tra hayuarana sadha hanadhitra(?) [-trâ Hayuarânâ sadha Hana-dhitrâ] atra(te)ürena horakapa [añtêürêpa hôrakâpa-] rivarena iŝe praḍlaviprate [-rivârệa iŝ̂̀ praḍhravîpratê-] se(śre ? ?) nisime śarira pratithavito [-sê nissîmê śarîra[min] pratiṭthâvitô] bhakravato sakamunisa budhasa [bhakravatô Śakamunissa Buddhassa] ma (mra ?) kiṭe(hi ?)ra(?) ya saśpae bhusaveti(?) [. . . râya saśpâ̂ bhûsâ-v-iti] thuva cha sagharama cha chatu [thûva cha samighârâma cha chatu-] dis̄esa saghasa sarva [-ddiśassa sañghassa Sarvâ-] stivatana parigrahe [-stivâtânami parigrahê].

## TRANSLATION.

By the Chief Queen of the Great Satrap Râjûla, danghter of Âyasi Kômûsâ, mother of the Heir Apparent Kharaôsta, Nandasi-Akasâ (by name), together with her mother Abûhôlâ, her paternal grandmother Pispasi, her brother Hayuarâ (?), her daughter Hana, her housékold and court of hôrakâs (ladies), a relic was deposited in this piece of land in a st $\hat{u} p a$ with the thought: 'May it be for the eternal . . . . . . . of the Holy Sâkya sage Buddha.' And the stîpa and the monastery are for the acceptance of the universal Sangha of the Sarvâstivadins.

NOTES.
Genealogy.-The scheme appears to be as follows :-


Readings.-L. 1. The additional stroke in the tra, if not accidental, may denote a doubling. At least I learn from Prof. Rapson that a (cross) atroke is used in the Stein docnments for that purpose.
L. 2, aggra with a cross stroke; ma in mahêshi has the original form with a subsoribed angle; shri has the otiose $r$-stroke found elsewhere.
L. 3 , te in dhite is quite clear; it must be due to an error, as the regular form of tra occurs several times in the inscription.
L. 4. Is the side stroke in the $r$ of kharaôstasa accidental, or does it possibly represent $h$ ? See p. 138 above. It ocours in the place, though not with the shape, of aspiration in other consonants.
L. 5. No doubt namdasi should be read, on the analogy of the other names. But the lower stroke of the aleshara is ourtailed (being at the ledge of the stone) in such a way as to produce the appearance of the cursive $d a$ in Bühler's Table, col. viii. After sa nothing can be clearly seen on the stone. The analogy of the other names would lead us to expect saf. On the ta in mâta see p. 137 above.
I. 8. From what nominative form the instrumental Hayuarânâ is to be derived is not obrious.
I. $9, a[\dot{m}]$ teiirêna presente a case, rare in these inscriptions, of disappearance of a medial consonant. The only other cases are: (1) saspae for sásuatê, 1.13 (if that is the correct reading) ; (2) $k$ in nâkraraassa and mâhásainghiâna; and (3) khardaassa. As in general the medial consonants are sharp. wed rather than weakened, this seems to show a mixtare of dialects. On the word hôraked see p. 140 above.
L. 11, nisime. Bühler takes this as denoting a st t̂pa, and he quotes Professor Pischel's view that it is a Prâkrit form of nihsama, nsed in the sense of ' high', hence =stupa. The word is certainly a substantive and the name of a manufactured object, as appears from the phrase nisimó kârita niyâtitô in inscription J.; bat in point of etymology it may perhaps be related to the nissîmamâlaka of the Mahîvamisa, o. xxxii : ranṇ̂ô sarîram jhipêsum yasmin nissimamâlake.
L. 13. The last akshara is donbtful, and it is indeed possible to question whether any sign is intended after vi. Bühler's reading $b h \hat{u} s a t i$ is out of the question. We must apparently take bhusd as a strange optative form.
T. 12. The sign for tô is, owing to misplacement of the vowel stroke, that usually in these inscriptions denoting tra. I do not detect a sign for $r$.
L. 6. Whether nit $\hat{t} t \hat{d}$ or mdtr $\hat{u}$ is inscribed I cannot determune.
L. 7, tra is clear in pitra, and sri in Pispasri.
L. 9. The second akshara resembles tra more than anything else; if it is really ted (which in any case must be meant), the sign for $e$ is added to the earlier form of $t$.
L. 13. Bühler's reading muliihitaya. The first akshara is oertainly not mu, but might be $m r a$, unless it is simply ma, and the third is far from being an ordinary $l i \boldsymbol{i}$; the expression Buddhasya mulktihitáya does not present an orthodox appearance.
L. 15 , śध seems clear ; compare iśê in 1.10.

Grammar and interpretation.- L. 1, $\hat{u}$ in Rajûla is supported by the Ranjubala, etc., of the coins.
L. 2. It is doubtful whether malètshi $\bar{A} y a s i^{\circ}$ or mahês $h i a ́: ~ Y a s i^{\circ}$ is to be read. In the former case we have an omission (or postponement) of the sign of declension, as in puttamahi, l. 7. It would however not be entirely out of the question to take pratithavitd as an aotire participle with the subject mata in the nominative and a false concord: Bühler observes (p. 535) that ' pratithavitó is the neater, as frequently in tho Shâhbazgarhî version of the Rock Edicts.' We may qr ste imam katavô in Ediot XI. Generally however in cases parallel to the present (e.g. in
in the British Museum.

Back of the capital.



${ }_{20}$ Mânikiâla, Taxila, and Wardak inscriptions) the active construction (pratithâvêti) is pre:erred.

## B. ${ }^{1}$

1 Mahaohha(chohha? ?)travasa [mahâchhatravassa]
2 vajulasya putra [Râjûlasya putra]
3 śuḍase chatrave [Śuḍ̂âsê chhạtravê].

## TRANSLATION.

Sudâsa, son of the Great Satrap Râûlja, being Satrap.

## NOTES.

I. 1. A cross stroke, apparently intentional, on the ohha may indicate duplication, as in the cabes alriady soler.
L. $2, v a(s \imath c)$.
L. 3. As these inscriptions seem to present no other nominatives in $-e$, Sudûsê may best be regarded as a locative ; in M. it is plainly so.

1 Kalui a [Kâlûî a-]
2 varajo(jho ?) [-varajô].

## TRANSLATION.

Kalûl, younger brother.

$$
\text { D. }{ }^{3}
$$

Naüludo [Naülûdô].

$$
\text { E. }{ }^{4}
$$

1 Khara(rha ?)osto yuvaraya [Kharą̊stô yuvarâyâ]
2 khalamasa kumara [Khalamasa kumara]
3 maja kaniṭha [Maja kanitṭha]
4. sa (8ami ?)manamota.

## TRANSLATION.

Kharaôsta, Heir Apparent ; Khalamasa, kumâra ; Maja ; youngest ; . . . .

## NOTES.

Bühler read Jalama ${ }^{\circ}$ in 1. 2, but the akshara seems to be a kha. He also regarded kumârâ(t)maja as a single word. The last line is uncertain; but I may perhaps suggest that the cross stroke in mô is accidentail, in which case we may understand the words samand mât $\hat{a}$ as indicating that the three sons named were uterine brothers. Bühler's reading chha is by no means borne out by inspection.

$$
\mathbf{E}^{\prime} .{ }^{6}
$$

Kamuio [Kâmâiô P].
note.
Apparently a proper name, like Kâlûî.

$$
\mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime \prime} .
$$

kraka (rva P)rita (yu?) [-kra kârita ?].

[^117]
## NOTE.

The $t a$, if it is so to be read, has the earlier form.

$$
F \cdot{ }^{1}
$$

1 Budhilasa nakraraasa [Buddhilassa Nâkraraassa]
2 bhikhusa sarvastivatasa [bhikkhussa Sarvâstivâtassa].

## G. ${ }^{2}$

1 Mahachhatarasya kusalaasa padikasa meva(na)kisa [mahâchhatravasya Kusâlassa Pâdikassa Mevakissa]
2 miyikasa chhatarasa puyae [Miyikassa chhatavassa pâyâê].

$$
\text { J. (3). }{ }^{3}
$$

Sarvastivatana padi[ri P]grahe [Sarvâstivâtâna padi(ri P)grahê].
TRANSLATION.
F.-Of the Nâgara bhikhthu Buddhila, a Sarvêstivadin.
G.-For the honour of the Great Satrap, the Kusûlaka Padika, and the Satrap Mevali Miyika.
J.- For the acceptance of the Sarvastivadins.

## NOTES.

These three inscriptions, written in aksharas of about the same size, adjoin each othor, and may form a single whole.

Readings.-G. 1.-The va or na of méva(na)kisa is a single straight stroke. Mívaki is however more probable than mênaki (mainaki); see above.
J. (3).-The di in padigrahê ( = pratigrahê) is identical with that in Padika; nevertheless parigrahê is on the whole more probable in view of A.1.16. The bhikkhu Buddhila may be, bat need not be, identical with the Buddhadêva of the inscription $K$. He belongs to Nagaca, no doubt the famous city of Nagarahâra (bat see Watter's Yuan Ohwang, Vol. I. p. 184 ff., 201 and reff.). Concerning the remaining persons see the introductory remarks. Thene seams to bo no ground for joining the insoription J. (3), as Bühler does, to the lines J. (L and 8), an the opposite face (the front) of the stone.

## M. ${ }^{4}$

1 Chba(chohha)trave 免udise [chhatravê śadisê]
2 imo paḍhravi [imô paḍhraví]
3 prateŝo [pratê̂ô]

## 1. ${ }^{5}$

1 veya am (u P) dirna (dinam P) kadhavaro busapa
2 ro kadha
3 vario
4 viyaa

| 1 See Plate II. | Ilid. |
| :--- | :--- |
| - See Plate I. | Ibid. |

## Inscriptions on the Mathura lion-capital

in the British Museum.
Top and bottom of the capital.



These three inscriptions present the most difficult problems of all those insoribed on the capital. They are written in aksharas of approximately the same size. As M. has no verb (unless we here make use of the -krakaritu ( $P$ ) of $\mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}$.), we should naturally expect it to be in connection with one of the others. It certainly seems to join the veya of I. 1. But here we enter upon a very obscure passage. Bühler with great ingenaity has elicited the reading vềyaudîno kadhavaro busaparo kadhavaro=vâgôdîrnôo skandhâvârô busaparô skandhâvârô, which he renders 'the army has started in haste, the army is intent on wealth.' But to this there are, apart from the inappropriateness of the sense, the following objections:- (1) skandhavara does not mean 'an army,' but 'an encampment;' (2) the meaning 'riohes' attached to busa is attested only by Wilson's Dictionary ; (3) it is doubtful whether the third akshara is really $u$; it is unlike the other $u$ 's of these inscriptions and rather resembles $a \dot{m}$, while the bottom curve may even be a part of the accidental indentation in the stone (see the Plate) ; (4) a weakening of $g$ to $y$ in vêya is contrary to the tendencies of the languages employed in these inscriptions. On the other hand, the ro of I. 2 plainly follows the pa of 1.1 , and a reading veyaamdinam kadhavaro $=$ vaijayantindám skandhâvârô appears but little promising. The viya whioh precedes the large rvä in J. 1 is in small characters and no doubt an independent addition. Can it possibly be an insertion to show that the large rva, which apparently joins on to nothing, is an error for vya= viya? The sign which Bühler renders by shṭ̂ (reproduced in his Indian Palcoography, Plate I. col. xiii.), is quite clearly inscribed ; but its meaning is anything but clear. It is more like rdi, which seems very unlikely. With the preceding pali (Bühler puli) it composes no donbt a proper name. What came before pali, ocoupying a space sufficient for 7 or 8 aksharas, is quite obscure owing to the stone having peeled away. We seem to have the remains of a sa; but what is to be done with the large rea commencing the line? Even adopting the suggestion that it is an error for vya we have no ground for proceeding further. The words niss îmô kârita riyyâtitô, 'a stûpa was caused to be made and presented,' are quite satisfactory. We have already noted the older form of ta in learrita. With these remarks I must be content so far as these insoriptions are concerned.

$$
\mathbf{J}^{\prime} .{ }^{2}
$$

1 Khalatamn-
2 sio.
The aksharas are perfectly clear, though Bühler read khalaśana śo. We seem to have here 2 proper name.

## K. \& L. ${ }^{3}$

1 Ayariasa [âyâriassa]
2 budhatevasa [Buddhatêvassa]
3 utaena ayimisa [utaêna Ầ yimisa].

## TRANSLATION.

Through the elevation of the Achârya Budahadêva, Âyimisa.
The exact meaning is not olear. We might take Ayimisa as a genitive with dinnam understood. Bat what then was the dâna? The last akshara of Ayimisa, which lacks the tail Of the $s a$, was read by Bühler as $t a$.

[^118]
## H. ${ }^{1}$

Guhavihare [Guhâvihârê].

## TRANSLATION.

In the cave-vihâra.
Bühler would translate: 'In the Guha-monastery,' and the matter cannot be considered certain, as we have a Ham்sasañghârâma near Gaya (Archeoological Survey Reports, Vol. I. p. 18) and a (Jaina) Mihiravihära mentioned in an inscription pablished by him in Ep. Ind. Vol. II. p. 212.

$$
\mathbf{H}^{\prime} .{ }^{2}
$$

Dhamada(?)na(?) [dhammadânami].
TRANSLATION.
Religious donation.

$$
N \cdot{ }^{3}
$$

1 Ayariasa budhilasa nekraraasa bhikhu [âyâriassa Buddhilassa Nâkraraassa bhikkhu-]
2 sa sarvastivatasa pagra [-ssa Sarvâstivâtasss pâgrâ-]
3 na mahasaghiana pra [-nami Mâhâbâminghiânam prâ-]
$4 \mathrm{ma}(?)$ ñavitave khalulasa [-mããavittavê khaḷ̂lassa].

## TRANSLATION.

To the Sarvâbtivâdin achârya Buddhila, a bhikkhu of Nagara, an exercise-ground in the knowledge of the nature of proof to the vanguard of the Mâhâsang inikas.
L. 1. Buddhila is, of course, the bhiklhu already mentioned in the inscription F. The rendering 'of Nagara' (Bühler) seems to be the most satisfactory among the meanings of Nigaraka, for which see the St. Petersburg Dictionary. Concerning the place probably denoted see Watter's Yuan Ohwang, Vol. I. pp. 184 ff., 201 and reff.
Li. 2-3. Of the sa which Bühler inserts after gra, in order to get the word prakâsamá I can see no trace.
L. 4. The reading seems certain; the only difficalty is the word khalula. Halêyudha gives khaḍ̂rikâ in the sense of 'a military exeroise-ground,' and the Atharva-Vêda bas lohadura with indeterminate sense. If the rendering given above does not seem quite acoeptable, we may at least find in khalula some form from the root khand, so common atill in titles of controversial works. That the Mahâeânghikas were opposed to the Sarvâstivâdins Bühler has already observed. I conjectare that pramuñavitave=prâmânyavittvê.

$$
0 .{ }^{3}
$$

1 Sarvabudhana puya dhamasa [sarvabuddhânami pûyâ dhammassa]
2 puya saghasa puya [pâyà samghassa pûyâ].

## TRANSLAATION.

Honour to all the Buddhas, Honour to the Dharma, Honour to the Saigha.

## P. ${ }^{8}$

1 Sarvasa sakrasta [sarvasse Sakrastâ-]
2 nasa puyae [-nassa pûyfe].

[^119]
## TRANSLATION.

## For the honour of all Sakastâna.

The objections raised by Dr. Fleet (Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1904, pp. 703-9; $1905, \mathrm{pp} .154-6$ ) to this rendering of Bühler seem to me ineffective. As regards the presence of Sakas at Mathurâ, see the introductory remarks, where it is also shown that the form of the word exactly represents the name of Sakastâna. Nor is there any difficulty in the expression of honour to the 'whole realm of the Sakas,' since we find in the Wardak inscription (and elsewhere, e.g. in the inscriptions of Sue Vihâr, Anyor, and various Matharâ inscriptions, which have regard to the 'good and happiness of all living creatures,' sarvasattvânâm) even more comprehensive expressions; nor again is there any difficulty in the use of sarva (uncompounded) with the meaning 'whole' (see the St. Petersburg Dictionary). As regards svaka, 'one's own,' (a common word), and the Pâli sakatthâna, ' one's own place (home, etc.),' it may be remarked that, while it is natural to say, as in the passages oited by Dr. Fleet, ' he went to his own home,' etc., it seems less natural to inscribe on the stone 'honour to (somebody's) own home,' etc. [This inscription has been recently discussed by M. Barth, Comptes Rendues de l'Académie, 1907, pp. $3 £ 4$ ff., with his accustomed perspicacity. I am, however, unable to admit that the name of a donor is required
 unnsual, but inscription G. contains a similar $p \bar{u} j \bar{a}$ addressed to the chief representatives of the Saka dominion.]

1 Khardassa [Khardaassa]
2 chhatravasa [chhatavassa].

## TRANSLATION.

Of the Satrap Khardaa.

## R. ${ }^{3}$

1 Ra(ta?)chhilasa 「Ra'Ta ? ? ith as j
2 kronilam, Fina:
TRANSLATTION.
Of Ravilhit ('Tu ihila ?) Kaundinya (?).
Kuuuditrya is a ouggestion of Bühler, who also regards Tachhilasa as $=$ Takshaśilasa.

## Additional Notes.

1. A number of questions relating to, or connected with, the insoriptions on the Lion Capital-for instance the forms of the names Moga, Räjula, and Kharaosta, and the date of Moga-have been discusstd by Dr. J. F. Fleet in an article entitled ' Moga, Maues, and Vonones,' published in the J. R. A. S. for October 1907, pp. 1013-40.
2. P. 137, 1. 9 : Insert after 'pp. 526 ff.' the words ' (where sapana is perhaps=sappana $=$ sattvänām, see Pischel's Grammatik der Prakrt-sprachen §300).'
3. P. 137, 1. 38: Insert after 'detached stroke' the words 'to the left together with the same curve to the right that in se denotes e, see below.'
4. P. 139, 1. 44: Insert after the words 'defence of sovereignty' the words 'ses the Iranian' Grundriss II. p. 97.'
[^120]
# No. 18. - MOUNT ABU VIMALA TEMPLE INSCRIPTION OF [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1378. 

By Proressor F. Kirlhorn, C.I.E.; Gö́ttingen.

In 1828 H. H. Wilson, in As. Res. Vol. XVI. p. 284 ff., pablished an account of the inscriptions on the mountain Arbuda, the modern Mount Âbû in the Sirohi State of Râjputâna, from copies presented to the Asiatic Society of Bengal by Captain Speirs; Political Agent at Sirohi. In that account Prof. Wilson gave full translations of one of the two large inscriptions at the temple of Nêminâtha, the texts of whioh were first published in 1883 by Mr. A. V. Kâthavatẹ, and which have now been edited, with facsimiles, by Prof. Lüders, above, Vol. VIII. p. 200 ff.; of the Guhila inscription in the neighbourhood of the temple of Aohalêsrara, edited by myself in Ind. Ant. Vol. XVI. p. $347 \mathrm{ff}$. ; ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ and of the Achalêsvara temple insoription of whioh I have treated above, p. 79 ff. ${ }^{2}$ Of other inscriptions only short abstracts of the contents were given, apparently based on an account in Hindi that had been drawn np by a native scholar.

For many years afterwards litile ${ }^{3}$ was done for the study of the Mount Âbu inscriptions. But in the cold season of $1900-01 \mathrm{Mr}$. Cousens, Superintendent of the Archmological Survey of India, Western Circle, while staying at Âbû, had impressions (or, in a few coses, eyecopies) prepared of all insoriptions which are now found on the mountain; and by sending them to the Government Epigraphist he for the first time has placed at our disposal trustworthy materials for a critical examination of these records. Most of these inscriptions are very short; none of them go baok beyond the middle of the llth century A.D.; and considering their great number, the data furnished by them for the politioal history of the country are disappointingly few. In other respects, some are of considerable interest and well deserve to be made more generally accessible, while a good many contain some name, or expression, or date, or perhaps only some mark or letter, which, unimportant as it may seem at first sight, may prove of use on a fature occasion.

The namber of the insoriptions in Mr. Consens' collection, which has been sent to me 'by Prof. Holtzsoh, is 298 , of which 270 are ink-impressions and 28 eye-copies only. Of the total number, 148 are from the temple of Rishabha (Âdinâtha) which was founded by Vimala; ${ }^{4}$ 97 from the temple of Nêminêtha, founded by Têjahpâla; ; 30 from the temple of Achalếsvara, and 13 from other localities. Of the Vimala temple inscriptions 126 are dated, the earliest date being of the [Vikrama] year 1119 (about A.D. 1062), in a short insoription (No. 1780 of Mr. Cousens' List) of a minister of the Chaulukya Bhimadêva I., and the latest (in No. 1874) of the [Vikrama] year 1785 (abont A.D. 1728); between the two, the years which most

[^121]frequently occur are the Vikrama years 1245 ( 22 times) and 1378 ( 25 times). Of the inscriptions at Têjahpâla's temple 77 are dated, and here the earliest dates are of the Vikrama year 1287 (about A.D. 1230), the very year in which the temple was founded, while the latest date (in No. 1748) is one of the [Vikrama] year 1911 (abont A.D. 1854); no less than 47 inscriptions are dated between the Vikrama years 1287 and 1297, and 9 between 1346 and 1389. Of the 30 inscriptions at the temple of Achalêsvara 22 are dated. Here the earliest inscription appears to be one (No. 1950), unfortunately almost entirely effaced, of the [Vikrama] year 1186 (about A.D. 1129), and another (No. 1941) seems to contain a date in the [Vikrama] year 1191. What I consider to be certain is, that No. 1951 of Mr. Cousens' List is dated in the [Vikrama] year 1207 (abont A.D. 1150), in the reign of the [Paramâra] Mahâmandalếsuara Yasódhavaladêva (a feudatory of the Chanlakya Kumârapâla, an inscription of whom is dated in the same year). ${ }^{1}$ Two other inscriptions (Nos. 1945 and 1946) are dated in the [Vikrama] years $122[5]$ and $122[8]$, the rest in 1377 and later years. Regarding the 13 remaining inscriptions, it will suffice to say that the Guhila inscription mentioned above (No. 1953 of the List) is dated in the [Vikrama] year 1342, and that the dates which occor in others are of later years.

Of the inscriptions at the temple of Nêminâtha, the two largest and most important, together with 30 shorter ones, have been edited from Mr. Cousens' materials by Prof. Lüders, above, Vol. VIII. p. 200 ff . I now give the text of an inscription of the [Vikrama] year 1378, which is at the temple of Rishabha, and the chief point of interest in which is the statement that that temple was founded in the Vikrama year 1088 (about A.D. 1031) by a certain Vimala, who had been appointed dandapati at Arbuda by [the Chaulukya] Bhîmadêva [I.].

Before I proceed to describe the inscription, I may state that the date here given for the fonndation of the temple is known to us also from other sources. In Ind. Ant. Vol. XI. p. 249, the late Dr. Klatt gave an extract from a Pattavalit of the Kharatara-gachchha, according to which 'the minister Vimala, who belonged to the Pôravâḍa (Prâgvâta) family, and who broke the parasols of thirteen Sultâns and established the town of Chandrâvatî, caused a temple of Rishabhadêva to be boilt on the mountain Arbada - a temple which even now is known by the name Vimala-vasaĥ̂,' and which, it is added, was consecrated by Vardhamânasurit in the year 1088. The same story, with the same date, is more fully given in the extracts in Prof. Weber's Catalogue of the Berlin MSS., Vol. II. pp. 1036 and 1037, where we are moreover told that, to obtain from the Brâhmaṇs the ground on which he intended to build the temple, Vimala had to cover it with gold coins, and that he expended 18 crores and 53 lacs ( $185,300,000$ ) in the bnilding of the temple. And the date also occurs in an interesting extract from Jinaprabhasûri's Tîrthakalpa, in Prof. Peterson's Fourth Report, p. 92 f. There, again, the Vikrama year 1088 is given ${ }^{2}$ for the foundation of the Timala-vasati, and 1288 for that of the $L$ inniga-vasati, ${ }^{3}$ and it is also stated that, when the two temples had been demolished or damaged (bhagna) by the Mlêchchhas, they were repaired in the Saka year 1243 (i.e. the Vikrama year 1378), the first by Lalla, the son of Mahanasimha, and the other by Pithaḍa, the son of the merchant Chandasimha. We shall see below that our inscription actually records the restoration, in 1378, of Vimala's temple by Lalla (Láliga), the son of Mahayasimina, and

[^122]Vîjada, the son of Dhanasimina; and the name of the person who repaired the terople of Téjaḅpâla (the Lûṇiya-vasati) is given as Pêthaḍa in an inscription at that temple, the frll text of which is:-

$2 n$ Pêthaḍah samigha-yuktah 1 jịrụ̣̂ôddhâramin Vastupâla-
3 sya chaityê $\left.\right|^{4}$ tênê Jôn=êhâsrbudâdrau. sva-sâraiḥ II
The inscription with which we are more immediately conoerned here ${ }^{5}$ is on a black alab, built into the side wall of a shrine in the corridor of Vimala's temple. It contains 30 Indes of writing which cover a space between $1^{\prime} 7 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}$ and $1^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ high, but of a hich only the first 22 lines extend over the full breadth of this space; lines 23-29 are ouly $1^{\prime} 5 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}$ long and line 30 (which contains merely a date) only $3 \frac{1}{8}^{\prime \prime}$. The greater part of the writing is well preserved; but in line 16 about 10 aksharas and in line 17 gboat 4 aksharas are effaced, and in some places the writing is difficult to read, mainly, as it seems to me, because the letters have been formed carelessly and are so close to one another that they have not come out clearly in the ink-impression at my disposal. ${ }^{6}$ The size of the lettera

 words atha rájâvalî \|l in line 9, and the date in line 30, the text is in verse, the number of verses being 42. In respect of orthography only few remarks are necessary. There are soparate sigas for the letters $b$ and $v$, and the sign for the former has been wrongly employed also for $v$ in sarbajna, l. 16, and sa $\dot{n} b h a b a b, 1$. 21. The palatal sibilant is used instead of the dental in manaśvî, l. 4, śámha-(for simha-), 1. 6, and sahaśrê, 1. 8; and the dental instead of the palatal in nivêsitam, 1. 8, pesala-, 1. 18, and sasi-, 1. 29. Instead of the vowel ri we have the syllable $r i$ in Rishabha, 11.26 and 29 , and in line 24 the anthor himself has written shadaarttavah instead of shad=ritavah, which would not have suited the metre. ${ }^{8}$ What is more interesting is, that in line 4 we find nripaśa for mripasua, and in line 21 vadajnû instead of vadanyd. The latter reminds us of the name $N y$ anasakti (instead of Jnanasakti) in Ind. Ant. Vol. XIII. p. 93 , 1. 26, sud shows that in Rajjputâne as well as in the Kanarese country there could have been hardly any differeace in pronunciation between $j \tilde{n} a$ and nya; and nripasa recalls tasa and similar genitive cases of far more ancient inscriptions, ${ }^{9}$ without, of course, proving more than that the pronunciation of $s$ must have been similar to that of the conjunct sy. In respect of grammar I need draw attention only to the word vidadhana, in line 3 , the reading of which is certain, and which the anthor undoubtedly has used as a 3 rd person singular of the Porifect of $v i-d h a t$ (instead of vidadhê), probably misled by the participle vidadhâna which was more familiar to him than the proper verbal form. ${ }^{10}$ Though the writer or engraver in some places has done his work carelessly, I believe that, with the exception of what has been entirely effaced

[^123]and of perhaps the last words of verse 21, the original text may everymhere be restored with confidence.

The object of the inscription is, to record that in the [Vikrama] year 1378 two persons, Lalla (Laliga) and Vijaḍa, for the spiritual welfare of their parents repaired the temple of Rishabha (Âdinâtha) on the mountain Arbnda. And the inscription is divided into three parts. The first part (verses 1-13) is a praśasti or eulogy of the sacred Arbuda; but besides glorifying that locality and some mythical or divine beings (Ambikâ and Şrimâtâ) residing there, it also gives a few historical details connected with it, and especially records the foundation, in the Vikrama year 1088, of the temple of Âdinâtha by Vimala. The second part (ov. 14-23) contains a rujâvaliz of the chiefs who at the time of the restoration of the temple were in possession of the mountain. And the third part ( $\mathrm{VV} .24-38$ ) gives an account of the family of the men by whom the temple was repaired. The concluding verses ( $39-42$ ) record the name and spiritual lineage of the priest or teacher who consecrated the restored building, and the exact date when he did so.

To omit what is of no historical interest, the first part begins with the well-known story how on the mountain Arbada there sprang from the fire-pit (anala-kunda, agni-kunda) of the sage Vasishṭha the hero Paramâra. In his lineage appeared the hero Kânhadadôva; and in his family there was a chief named Dhandhu (Dhandhuraja), who was lord of the town of Chandrâvati and who, averse from rendering homage to the [Chanlukya] king Bhimadêva [I.] and to escape that king's anger, took refuge with king Bhôja, the lord of Dhâra. The author then, rather abruptly, tells us that in the Prâgvâta family there was a distinguished personage named Vimala in whom religion, immerged in darkness through the wickedness of the times, suddenly shone forth again in its splendour. He was appointed by king Bhims dandapati (commander of the forces or governor) at Arbuda, and there one night was enjoined by the divine Ambikâ to build on the mountain a beautiful dwelling for the Yugâdibhartṛi (Yugâdijina, Âdinâtha). That Vimala obeyed the request the author intimates in the verse: "I adore the holy Âdinâtha who was placed on the top of Arbuda by the glorious Vimala, when one thousand and eighty-eight years had passed since (the time of) the glorious king Vikramâditya."

The chief Dhandhu or Dhandhurâja, spoken of in the preceding paragraph, apparently is the Pramâra (or Paramâra) Dhandbuka mentioned above, p. 11, whose son Pûrnapâla ruled the Arbuda territory in the Vikrama years 1099 and 1102. ${ }^{1}$ He would of course have been a contemporary of both the Chaulukya Bhimadêva I. and the Paramâra Bhôjadêva of Mâlava.Vimala's name occurs in another inscription at his own temple, dated in the Vikrama year 1201. That inscription, ${ }^{2}$ No. 1767 of Mr. Cousens' List, contains 10 lines of vriting which covers a space about $2^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ long by $5 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ high, and contains 17 verses. In the ink-impression the first two lines of it cannot be read with any confidence, but so far as $I$ can see, a man is spoken of in them who belonged to the Śrimâla kulcu and was an ornament of the Prâgrâta vam்śa. His son was Lahadha, who was somehow connected with the king Mûla (i.e. the Chaulukya Mûlarâja I.) and was also known by the name Virra-mahattama. Lahadha had two sons. The first of them was the minister Nêdha, and the second Vimala, who in verse 7 is described thus:-

> Dvitîyakó=dvaitamatâvalañvî(bî) damiḍ̣̂dhipah ̣̂̂rî-Vimalô va(ba) bĥ̂va l
yên=êdam=uchchair=bhavasimidhnsêtukalpamin vinirmmâpitam=atra vêśma ||

[^124]Nêḍhe's son was Lâliga ; his son was the minister Mahiduka; ${ }^{1}$ and he again had two song Hêma and Dasaratha. And the object of the inscription is, to record that Dasaratha at the temple of Rishabha set up an image of Nêmijinếsa (Nêmitirthakara, i.e. Nêminâtha), whioh mezz installed on Friday, the first tithi of Jyêshthha of the Vikrama year 1201, corresponding to Friday, the 5th May A.D. 1144. I may add that the genealogy here given is for the greater part corroborated by another insoription at Vimala's temple, No. 1768 of Mr. Cousens' Lists the full text of which is:-



4 nâtha-[b]imivam $\left.{ }^{6}\right|^{4}$ môkshârthamin kâritam ramyam ||
For us the main point of interest is the date which the first of the two inscriptions farnibbes for Dasaratha; for that date, being of the Vikrams year 1201, shows that Vimala, the younger brother of Dasaratha's great-grandfather Nêḍha, may well have lived in the Vikrama year 1088, the traditional date for the foundation of his temple.

The contents of the second part of our insoription (verses 14-23) I have already giren above, p. 81 f. This râjdualî in verse 14 commences with Âsaraja, who belonged to the Chahuvama (Chầhuvápa, Châhamâna) family and was king of the town of Nadutla (Naddûla). After him came Samarasimha; and his son was Mahanasimhabhaṭa (v. 15). Then came Pratapamalla; and to him was born Vijaḍa, the lord of the Marusthali mandala (v. 16). He had three sons, the first of whom was the king Lûniga (v. 17). Verse 18 then eulogizga Luṇ̣ha 'who like a god of death devoured the host of adversaries ; 'and verse 19 Lumbha, of whom verse 20 records that he conquered the mountain Arbuda, and that, after having ruied the earth, he became the lord of heaven (i.e. died). Verse 21 then eulogizes Tojasisimha, the son of Lûniga ; verse 22 wishes long life to Tihuṇ̂ka; and the matilated verse 23 appears to say that Lumbhaka together with Têjasimha and Tihuṇa (śrîmal-Iumbhakanama saman. vitas=Têjasimha-Tihunábhyd $\mathfrak{i m}$ ) in right manner carried on the government of the monntain Arbuda.

Regarding the first part of this räjâval̂̂, as far as Vijaḍa, there can be no doubt, and I have nothing to add to what I have previously said about it. A difficalty arises concerning the sons of Vijada. According to the inscription of Lunṭtigadêva, above, p. 80, Vijada, who is also called Daśasyandana (Daśaratha), had four sons - Lâvanyakarna, Luṇạha (Luṇ̂jga), Lakshmaṇa, and Lụ̣̂avarman, of whom Lâvanyakarṇa is distinctly called the eldest (jyêshtha), According to' the present inscription Vijada had three sons the first (ddya) of whom was Luaniga. After Lụ̣̂iga the insoription mentions Luṇ̣̣̆a and Lumbha, withont saying that they were his younger brothers or in any way specifying their relationship. In my acconnt of Luṇṭigadêva's inscription I have identified Lûṇiga with Lâvaṇyakarṇa, and have tuken Lanḍha and Lumbha to be the names of his brothers, identifying Luṇ̣̣ha with Lunḍha (Lunṭiga) and Lumbha with Lûnavarman. Other inscriptions are sare to be diseovered which will show whether I am right or wrong. In the meantime I feel bound to state that my friend Mr. Ojha, than whom nobody is better acquainted with the history of his conntry, takes the three names Lûniga, Luṇ̣̣ha and Lumbha (Lumbhaka) to denote one and the same person, and to be all Sanskritized forms of Lumbhâ, denoting a chief ' oommonly called राव लंभा,

[^125]the famous conqueror of $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{b} \hat{\mathrm{h}}$.' If my leamed friend should be right, the last lines of the genealogical Table pablished above, p. 83, would of course have to be slightly altered. Mr. Ojha agrees with me in considering Tihuṇ̂́ka (Tihuṇa) to be a younger brother of Têjasimha, but from his point of view places both, together with Têjasimha's son Kânhadadêva, under Luṇtiga (Luṇ̣ha, Lûniga, Lumbha). At the time when our inscription was composed, in the Vikrama year 1378, Lumbha was dead, and the government of Âbû must have been actually carried on by Têjasimha.

The account, which forms the third part of our inscription (verses 24-38), of the family of the two men (Lalls and Vijaḍa) who restored the temple, contains little more than a list of names whioh may be seen from the following Table:-

Genealogical Table.


Fijac̣a. Shimadhara. Samarasimha. Vijapâla. Narapâla. Vîradhavala. Laliga Síha (?). Lopa (?). (Lalla).

The individuals mentioned in this Table were devotedly attaohed to the Jains faith. 'Jelha, the founder of the family, was a merchant, and his guru was Dharmasôri. ${ }^{1}$ His place of residence was given in the insoription, but the name has been effaced. Of Dêsals it is said that he made fourteen processions to the seven sacred places, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Satrumjaya and the reat. The other members of the family are eulogized in general terms.

[^126]There are at Vimala's temple several short inscriptions of members of this family, likewise dated in the [Vikrama] year 1378. And there is a longer inscription ${ }^{1}$ of the same family, No. 1791 of $M$ r. Cousens' List, which is dated, in words and figures, in the Vikrama year 1309. This inscription contains 25 lines of writing with 15 verses, and records the installation, by Ânandasûri, of an image of Nêmijina (Nêminâtha) at Vimala's vasahika. We learn from it that the family belonged to the Ûkê[ša] vamंsa, ${ }^{2}$ and that its founder, Jêlhêka, as he is there called, was an inhabitant of Mâṇ̣avyapura (Mandor). After Kuladhara it mentions five sons of his, but as the text is partly effaced, I am not at present prepared to give their names from the ink-impression at my disposal.

The remaining verses (39-42) of our inscription record that Rishabha was installed (or rather re-installed) on the mountain Arbuda by the guru or sûri Jñânachandra, on a date in the [Vikrama] year 1378. Regarding the spiritual lineage of Jñannachandra, we learn that he was preceded by Amaraprabhasûri, and that the founder of the lineage was Dharmasûri, also called Dharmaghôsha-gaṇ̂ryaman, i.e. Dharmaghôsha, 'the sun of the gana,' 'who defeated Vadichandra and Gunaohandra, and caused the awakening of thres kings.' Other inscriptions of the [Vikrama] year 1378 speak of Jñânachandra either as being in the patța of Dharmasûri ${ }^{3}$ or as being in the patta of Dharmaghôshasûri; ${ }^{4}$ and an undated insoription, No. 1796 of Mr. Consens' List, commences with the words: Srimad-
 The Ânandasûri of the last must be the Ânandasûri mentioned above with a date in the Vikrama year 1309; and the Ânandasûri and Amaraprabhasûri of the inscription apparently are the Ânandasûri and his disciple Amaraprabhasûri who, according to Prof. Peterson's Fifth Report, p. 110, 1. 1, are mentioned in a manusoript written by Amaraprabhasûri's advice in the [Vikrams] year 1344. Before Ânandasûri there is mentioned, ibid. p. 109, Dharmasûri (a disciple of Ślabhadrasûri of the Râja-gachchha), who to the pride of conceited disputants was what the lion's roar is to an elephant, and who pat an end to the intoxication of the king Vigraha. And the same person is mentioned, under the name Dharmaghôshasuri, in Prof. Peterson's Third Report, App. pp. 15 and 307, where he is represented as enlightening the king of Sêkambhari ; and ibid. p. 262, where he is said to have defeated dispatants in the presenoe of the king of the Sapâdalaksha country. From all this there can be no doubt that, of the three kings who in our inscription are spoken of as having been awakened by Dharmasûri, one was a king Vigraharâju of Śakambhari (the capital of the Sapâdalaksha country). In fact, I believe that that king is identical with Vissaladêva-Vigraharaja, whose Delhi Siwalik pillar insoriptions (No. 144 of my Northern List) are dated in the Vikrama year 1220 (in A.D. 1164), and that Dharmaghôshasuti himself is a person of that name who in a short Vimala temple inscription (No. 1906 of Mr. Cousens' List) is mentioned with a date in the [Vikrama] year 1206 (in A.D. 1170). Who the two other kings were I do not know; nor have I identified yet Fadichandra ${ }^{5}$ and Guṇachandra who were defeated by Dharmasûri.

The date given in verse 42 is Monday, the ninth tithi of the dark half (siti) of Jyêshtha in the year made up of the vasus (8), the munis (7), the gunas (3) and the moon (1), i.e. the [Vikrama] year 1378. Here there is the difficulty that the word siti, which I have translated by 'the dark half,' might equally well denote 'the bright half' ; and at first sight the latter interpretation might really seem to be preferable, becanse in line 30 of our text the date is repeated

[^127]in the words 1379 Jyêshṭha-sudi 9 Sômê. But against this it has to be said that in four independent inscriptions (Nos. 1771, 1821, 1829 and 1904 of Mr. Cousens' List) we have samvat (or sam) 1378 varshê Jyêshtha-vadi 9 Sôma-dinê (or Sômê), which evidently is the same date as the one given in our insoription. And besides, for the bright half of Jyaishṭha the date would be quite incorrect for 1378 (as a Ohaitrâdi current or expired, or Kârttikâdi expired year), whereas for the dark half of the pûrnimânta Jyaishtha of the expired Kârttikâdi Vikrama year 1378 it regularly corresponds to Monday, the 10th May A.D. 1322. For these reasons I regard my translation of the date to be correct and take Monday, the loth May A.D. 1322 to be its proper equivalent ; and I consider the way in which the date has been repeated in line 30 (where ' 1379 ' under any circumstances would be suspicions) to be due to a mistake.

## TEXT. ${ }^{1}$

 si ${ }^{5}$ bhâtir=ganâdhipatisêvita-pâdapadmaḥ | sambhur=yugâdipurushô jagadêkanâthah pụ̣yâya pallavayatu ${ }^{6}$ prati-vâsaram $\mathrm{sa}[\mathrm{h}]^{7}$ || 1
$2{ }^{8}$ Nibaddha-mûlaiḥ phalibhịh. sapatrai ${ }^{9}$ drumair=narềmidrair=iva sêvyamânah | pâdâgrajâgrad-bahuvâhinîkah ş̣̂î-Arbuđô nam̉datu faila-râjah || 2 Yasmin

3 rthisârthônmathanâ-kṛitâthî ${ }^{12}$ kshitâv=iha śrí-Paramâra-nâmâ || $3 \quad{ }^{13} \mathrm{Tad}$-anvayê Kânhaḍadêva-vîraḥ pur=âvir-âsîva ${ }^{14}$ prabala-pratâpạ̣| chiram nivâsam vidadhâna ${ }^{16}$ yasya karâmbujê sarvajagaj-jayaśrîh || 4, ${ }^{16}$ Tatknlakamala-

 amanya[m]ânah kila Dhamidhurâjaḥ I narếá-rôshâch=cha taţ maná̂vì(svi) Dhârâdhipam̉
${ }^{1}$ From an impression supplied by Mr. Cousens, No. 1790 of his List.
3 Denoted by a symbol.

- Here, as often elsewhere, the vowel of $s r i$ is left unchanged before the initial vowel of a proper name.
* Metre : Vasantatilaka.
${ }^{5}$ This sign of punctuation, which is superfluous, may have been strack out in the original.
- Originally ${ }^{\circ} y a m t u$ was engraved.

7 Originally sd was engraved, but it seems to have been changed to sah.- So far as I can see, the verse does not admit of a proper construction. In the three first Padas the anthor addresses the Jaina Tirthamkara Rishabha, in terms which would be equally applicable to the god Śiva; and, as the text stands, he then in the fourth Peda speaks of him in the third person, in words which would literally mean 'may he day by day put forth aprouts for (our) religious merit.' Instead of pallavayatu I should have expected pallavaya, followed by an accusative case and generally words to some such effect as 'cause our devotion to thee to sprout for our religious merit!' (Compare Śatrumijaya-mdhatmya XIV. 33 : tadrísdnám hi sadbhakti-vally=êvam pallavaty api). In the first Pâda I take vrishabhasitóssi to be equivalent to both Vrishabha, $A s i t \delta=s i$ and vrishabhdsitónsi, so that the Pada would mean both 'O $\begin{aligned} \text { frishabha (Rishabha)! having chosen this monntain for your residence, you are seated (here),' and 'you }\end{aligned}$ (OSiva !), who have taken the name Achala, are seated on a bull.' I am aware that Rishabha has a bull for his cognizance, and that in representations of him this animal is figured beneath him; but I am not sure that he could be described as 'seated on a bull.' - In the second Pada gayddhipati denotes both Puydarika, the leader of Rishsbha's gana, and Caṇêsa.

8 Metre of verses 2 and 3: Upajâti.

- Read sapattrair $=$ - The word phala means both 'frnit' and 'the blade (of a sword),' etc. ; pattra 'leaves ' and 'vehicles,' etc.; and vathiní 'rivers' and 'armies'.
${ }_{10}$ Read Vasishthâ ${ }^{\circ}$; the same wrong reading we have below, in line 9.- With anala-kunda compare agni-Kewnda in Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 284, v. 5.

II The akshara shi is a mistake for rthi, and should be struck out because rthi has been repeated at the commencement of line 3 .
${ }^{32}$ Read -kritarthah. 13 Metre : Upêndravajra. $\quad$ I Read -âsitt=.
${ }^{15}$ Wrong for vidadhe, which woald not have suited the metre.
${ }^{16}$ Metre: Âryâ. ${ }^{17}$ Metre: Upajati.
 pradhânarm dbarmmah sahas=\&̂vir-âsîta ${ }^{3}| | \quad{ }^{4} \mathrm{Tava}(\mathrm{ta}) \underset{=c h a \quad \text { Bhimêna narâdhipêna }}{ }$ pratâps-bhûrmi $(\mathrm{mi}) r=$ Vima-
 nam்datu Jaina-sâsanê || 8 A ŝôkapat[t*]râruṇa-pânipallavâ samullasatkếact §âmi (simin)harvâhanâ [l"] síáudvayâlamkrita-vigrahâ satîs satâm kri-

 Yugâdibharttur=nirapâya-saḿnrayah || 10 6今̂́ri-Vikramâdityanripâd=चya-
 praṇat-âmigishu 1 Ŝrîpumjarâjan-tanaŷ̂ Srîmâtâ bhavatâm ${ }^{9}$ śri-
 srii[mi]nêt jayamivi(ti) vividkâni tirthâni || 13 Atha râjâvalî || ${ }^{18}$ Vairivargg ${ }^{18}$ dalanê gata-tamimdraś=Châhuvâmakulakairava-chamidraḅ ${ }^{15}$ । yô Nadûla-na-
10 garasya narêsa Âsarâja iti viraviarổ sbhût || $14{ }^{16}$ Prabalavaixidavânala vâridaḥ Samarasi[边*]ha iti prathitas=tatah | Mahanasimhabhaṭah subhatr âgraṇịh prithu-yasâ ajanishta tad-amgajab || 15 ${ }^{16}$ Pratâpamallas-tad-anu pratí
11 pî babhûva bhûpâla-sadassu mênyah [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ vir-
 mûrttâh pum-arthâ iva bhâgabhô(bhâ)jạ̣ | âdyô dharitripati-rakshapâlah khyâtaḥ kshitô(tau) Lạniga-
12 nâmadhéyaḥ || 17 ${ }^{19}$ Nyâyamârgga-Sikharì madhumâsah ${ }^{90}$ kâlavaksha $(t=k a)$ valayan $n=$



[^128]13 latâm sapat[t*]rầm | babhûva bhûmîpati-labdhamânô Luminbh-âbhidhânô jagad. êkarîrah || 19 'Samihritya śatrûn prabalanu ${ }^{2}$ balêna snî-A[r]budam prâpya nagâdhirâjam | ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{bh}] \mathrm{nktvâ}$ вa [bh]ûma[mं $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ danana-râ[jyam=uchchaị̣] $\left.\right|^{4}$ svarlô-
14 ka-lôkâdhipatir=babhûva || 20 ${ }^{5}$ Lâṇigasya tanujô jaga[j]ja]yî Tôjasimina iti têjasâṃ nidhiḥ 1 yat-pratâpadavapâvakaśschiraṃ vairivargga-vipadam nıahatisma ${ }^{6}| | 21{ }^{7}{ }^{7}$ Karâgra[jầ]gra[t-*]karavâ-
15 ladañda-khamiḍ̂ikrit-âŝéshavirôdhivarggab | prithyâm̉ ${ }^{8}$ prasiddhas=Tihuṇ̂̂ka-nâmâ
 Têjasimha-Tigu(hu)ṇâbhyâm | A[r*]buds[g]iríśá-
16 râjyaṇ nyâyani $[\mathrm{dhi} ?]^{10}$. . . . . $\left[\left|\mid 23^{*}\right]\right.$. . . ${ }^{n}$ nrapura-จââ̂ suguru-šrî-Dharmmasûri-padabhaktaḥ [1*] Sarbajñanầsêna-rataḥ̣ ${ }^{19}$ sa jayati Jêlh-ŝbhidhah srêshṭ̣̂(shṭhî) || 24 Tat-tanayah su-nayô=bhût ${ }^{13}$ Vê-
17 [lhâ]kah sakala-bhûta ${ }^{14}$. . . . [1] tat-putrah su-charitra[b] punya-nidhị̣ Pârasaḥ sâdhuh || 25 Sôhî-Dêgâ-Dêsala-Kuladhara-nâmnâ tad-amigajâ jâtâḥ | chatvâraḥ kolamamidira-sudṛiḍhastaḿbh-âbhirâmá [yê II]
1826 ${ }^{15} 5$ Śrî-Dêsalah sukri[tapess] la -vittakô [tî̀s $=16$ chamicha] chchaturdasajagaj-janitâ-
 mahâmahêns || 27 19Dê[ma]tit-Mâî-
19 nâmnî sâdhu-ŝrî-Dêsalasya bhâryê dvê Jaina-dharmasya || 28 Dêmatikukshi-prabhavâ Gôsa[la]-Gayapâla-Bhi[ma]nâmânạ̣ | Mâî-kukshêr=jâtau Môha-
20 ṇa-Môh-âbhidhau putrau \| 29 Jinas̃âkanakamala-raviḥ sâdhạ̣ Érî-Gôsalô= vi[dat] $]^{20}$ kî̀ttimin | gaṇaratnarôhaṇadharîa ${ }^{91}$ Guṇadêvî priyatamâ tasya || 30 ${ }^{29}$ Saddharmmakarmm-aikaniba-
21 ddhabuddhis $=^{23}$ tad-an்gajaḥ ŝrî-Dhanasimhba-sâdhuh | bhâry tadiyâ sadayâ
 sutô Hâṃ́saladêkukshi-saḿmhaba(va) ̣̣ śrimân | ma- 26
22 himâ-nidhir=mahaujầ mahâmatir=Mahanaasińh-âkhya[h] || $32 \quad{ }^{26}$ Mayanalladêvî-varakukshiśukti-muktâs=trayass=tat-tanayâ jayaḿti | jêshṭồ27 jagadvyâpiyaśahprakấsah sâdhv-agraû̂[r*]=Lâliga-

[^129]23 sâdhurâjặ || 33 ̂Âśvinêyâ[r]=iva śrêshṭh[au] kanishṭhau gana-sâlinan [|*] Siha-Lôp-âbhidhan ${ }^{2}$ dharmmadhyâna-pravaṇamânasan || 34 Shat suţ̂ Dhanasimhasya mûrttâ
24 iva shaḑ=arttavậ̣ ${ }^{8}$ | viśvaviśv-ôpakârây=â vatirrụ̣â[h] pritha(thi)rî-talê || 35 ${ }^{4}$ Tôshâm=âdyaḅ sâdhu[ $\left.r^{*}\right]=$ Vijaḍa $\quad$ iti $\quad$ vimalama(ta)ra-yasaḥprasarặ 1 gapasâgaraḥ Shimadharạ sajña(jja)na-
 Vijapalah [1*] nipupa-matir-Narapâlah sukrita-rato Vîradhaval-âkhyah I (II) 37 ${ }^{6}$ Svapitri'- grêyasê
 sattamô(mau) (II) $38 \quad{ }^{8}$ Vâdicham̉dra-Guṇachamindra-vijêtà bhûpatitraya-vibôdhavidhâtâ | Dharmmasûri-
27 r=iti nâma pur=âsita ${ }^{9}$ viśvaviśva-viditô mani-râja[h*] (II) $39{ }^{10}$ Mûlapaṭṭa-
 Amaraprabhasûrayaḅ || 40
$28{ }^{13} \mathrm{Ta}$ atpaṭta-bhûshaṇam=adûshaṇadharmaŝlla- ${ }^{14} \mathrm{sidya}$ (ddhâm) tasimidhupariŝ́lana-Vishṇulillạ̣ | srî-Jñànachamidra iti naridatu sûri-râjah puṇợpadêśavidbi-bôdhitasa-
29 tsamâjab | (II) $41{ }^{15}$ Vasu-muni-tu(gu)ṇa-śasi(ŝi)-varsha | Jêshṭ̂̀ ${ }^{16}$ sitinara(va)mi-Sôma-yuta-divasê | srî-Jnânachaḿdra-garaṇ̂̀ pra[ti]shṭi(shṭhi)tô=[rbu]da-gi[rau Ri]sha[bhah $]^{17}$ || 42
301379 Jêsta-sudi ${ }^{18} 9$ Sômê Il

## POSTSCRIPT.

## On the Châhamânas of Naddûla.

As I have referred in the preceding to the Genealogical Table of the Châhamânas of Naddûla published above, p. 83, I take the opportunity to state that Mr. Gaurishankar Hirachand Ojha has recently sent me rabbings of four inscriptions, two of which furnish a date in the [Vikrama] year 1147 for the chief Jôjalla (Yôjaka), here called Jôjala, while the two others are of the reign of Râyapala of Naddêla, whose name does not occur in the Table, and for whom they furnish dates in the [Vikrama] years 1198 and 1200. The rubbings do not enable me to edit the full texts of these inscriptions, but the initial lines, which contain the dates and the names of the chiefs, may be given with confidence.
1.-This inscription is at Sádri (about 13 miles south of Nadol) in the Gôdwâr district of the Jodhpur State. It contains 11 lines of writing and commences:-


[^130]
2.-This inseription is at Nedol. It contains 13 lines of writing and commences :-

2 rê mahârâjâdhirâjarâị̂-Jôjaladêvah sama-
3 sta-dêvânâm śmi-Lakshmanasvâmi-prabhrititinấm yâtrầ-ryar
4 vahâramin lêlhayati yathâ $\|$
The date of these two inseriptions regularly corresponds, for the expired Kârttikêdi Vikrama year 1147, to Wednesday, the 23rd April A.D. 1091, when the 2nd tithi of the bright half ended 16 h .26 m . after mean sunrise.
3.-This also is at Nadol. It contains 39 lines of writing and commences:-
L. 1 Óm ${ }^{1}$ samivat 1198 Śrêvaṇa-vadi 8 Ravâveady

2 hârâjâa[dhirâją]-Arí-Râyapaladêvaḥ̣ kalyâna-vija[ya]-
3 râjyể êvam kââê pravarttamầnê
The date corresponds either, for the pûrnimı̂anta Śrâvaṇa of the expired Chaitrâdi Vikrama year 1198, to Sunday, the 29th June A.D. 1141, when the 8th tithi of the dark half ended 3 h . 11 m . after mean sunrise; or, for the amânta Śrâvana of the expired Kârttikaddi Vikrama year 1198, to Sunday, the 16 th August A.D. 1142, when the 8th tithi of the dark half ended 11 h . 20 m . after mean sunrise. As the date of the following inscription is correct only for the amanta month, I here, too, take Sunday, the 18th August A.D. 1142, to be the trie equivalent of the date.
4.-This also is at Nadol. It contains 8 lines of writing and commences:-
L. $10 \dot{m}^{1}$ || Sàmínat 1200 Bhâdrapada-vadi 8 Budha-vârê ady=êha árî-

2 Na [ḍ̂̂ pliề samastarâjầvalìsamalạ่̣ krita-pû̀jya-mahhârâjâdhi-
3 râjà-paramếs̃ara-Êrî-Râyapáladêva-vijayarấjyê ê-
4 vam̉ kâlê pravaritamânê.
The date, for the amânta Bhâdrapada of the expired Karttikadi Vikrama year 1200, corresponds to Wednesday, the 23rd August A.D. 1144, when the 8th tithi of the dark half onded 16 h .52 m . after mean sunrise.

In the Table Râyapâa (A.D. 1142 and 1144) would have to be placed between Jôjalla (A.D. 1091) and Âlhaụa (A.D. 1153-1161), and it is probable that he was the immediate predecessor of the latter; but how he was related to either of them it is impossible to say at present.

I may add that Mr. Ojha has also been good enough to send me some notes on the identification of Kâsahrada and Naddûlầ, spoken of by me above, pp. 73 and 67. On the former, which I suspected to be somewhere near Nadol, he writes : $\rightarrow$
"I would identify Kâsahrada with Kayadrâm (also called Kâsadrâm) at the foot of Mount Âbû, about 3 miles from the Bhimand station of the R. M. Railway. It is a place of considerable antiquity with several old temples. An insoription of the time of the Paramâra prince Dhárâvarsha was discovered there by me some years ago." .

And respecting Naddûlâ, which I had taken to be a different place from Naddula, he says:-
"As yon think, it is quite different from Naddûla. It is now called Nâralat, and is sitnated in the Gobdwar district of the Jodhpur State. An inscription from the place is prblished in Bhavnagar Inscr. p. 140 (where the date given is incorrect ${ }^{3}$ ).".

[^131]
# No. 19.- INSCRTPTIONS FROM THE BASTAR STATE. 

By Hira Lal, B.A., M.R.A.S., Nagpur,

Mr. Cousens in the Annual Report of the Archoeological Survey of India, 1903-04 (p. 5s: opens his report on the conservation of antiquarian remains in the Central Provinces with the remarks that ' the Central Provinces and Berâr cannot be said to be rich in antiquarian remains,' but ' possibly there exists many an old relic of considerable interest lying hidden awsy in some of the extensive jungles and little known tracts in the province, that has not come nude:the notice of any one capable of estimating its value.' Exaotly from such a place do I draw the materials for the subject I propose to notice on.

Bastar is a feudatory state in the extreme south of the province situated between $17^{\circ} 46^{\prime}$ ax: 2 $20^{\circ} 14^{\prime}$ north latitude and $80^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ and $82^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ east longitude, touching the Gôdâvarî and compriking an ares of 13,000 square miles, all covered with dense forests and popalated by the wildi: tribes, some of whom did not till very recently know the use of clothes. This extensive jung: has been made to yield no less than 22 insoriptions ${ }^{1}$ through the strenuous efforts of my fric:+! Mr. Baijnsth, B.A., Superintendent of the Bastar State. Three of these inscriptions wrar noticed by Colonel Glasfurd, Deputy Commissioner of the then Upper Gôdâvarî District, abkizz the year 1862 A.D. ${ }^{2}$ So far as is known, only one of them has been published, vis., the so-calle 1 Nàgur Musenm insoription of Sômêśvara. ${ }^{3}$ On the authority of the information supplied ly the Curator of the Nâgpur Maseum it is there stated that the stone was brought from a villager. Kowtah, near Sironcha, which is incorrecơas will presently be shown. It belonged to Bârsûr, cf the Bastar State, and is an important record of the predecessors of the present line of Râjas.

In order that the references to places in the Bastar inscriptions may be easily understoxs, I append a map of the State showing their positions as also some other places possessing aroher logical remains. As most of the latter have never been noticed before, a brief mention of at least some of the important ones may not be out of place here. These places are Barrír. Dantâwârâ, Gađ̧ia, Bhairamgarh, Narâyanpâl, Sunârpâl, Kuruspâl, Tirathgarh, Potinar, Chaŋ̣'k and Dôñgar.

Barsur is a place of very great interest. It is 55 miles west of Jagdalpur, the prebusa capital of Bastar. It contains ruins of many temples, the most important of which is a Eivm temple with two sanctuaries having a common" mandapa supported on 32 pillars in fowr rowe. Int each of the sanctuaries there is a linga and a Nandi, and old people remember that an inscriplic: was removed from this place about half a century ago. Another Siva temple has a mandugu with 12 pillars in three rows, and the third is called $M \hat{a} m \hat{a}$ bhânj$\hat{\alpha}-k \hat{\alpha}$ mandir and is dirtio*guished by carvings on the inside walls in the shape of bells suspended from chains. Outside the Ganếsa temple there is a hage figure of, Ganêsa, $17^{\prime}$ in circumferenoe and about $7^{\prime}$ or high. Numérons images are lying abont or collected together under hats, of whioh the moes noticeable is one of Vishṇa, $4^{\prime}$ high, and showing good workmanship. There are also somes images of Mahishâsuramardinî, one of which is inscribed. All the temples are of medisoyei Brâhmaṇio style, most of them built of stone without lime. Dantêwâra is about 20 miles south of Bârsutr, and in the intervening villages there are sculptured stones lying about, some of the was being five-hooded cobras or intercoiled snakes. Dantêwârâ contains the shrine of Dantêfvart, ther tutelary goddess of the present ruling family. The temple is built at the junction of two riverw

[^132]
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from tin origipal supplied by him.
called Sańkhinî and Daäkiní, and is notorious as a place where human sacrifices were formerly annually offered. At leasta a place was pointed out to me in the innermost sanctam, close to the goddess, where they said the victims used to be decapitated. The goddess has eight arms and is represented in the act of killing the buffalo demon. She is in reality Mahishâsuramardinî, locally known as Dantêsvarî. There are various other images such as those of Vishṇa, Kârttikêya, Gaṇ̂́sa, etc., some of which were brought away from the rains of Bârsûr. There are five inscriptions here, three inside the Dantếsrarî temple, one just outside it, and another near a mud hut called Bhairamgudi. There are remains of several other temples buried in ruins. For the support of the Dantêsvarî temple, an estats consisting of several villages is attached.-Bhairamgarh is about 70 miles west of Jagdalpur and has three or four temples, together with remains of a fort and a ditch and several tanks. There is an inscription on a pillar, and at Potinâr, a village near Bhairamgarh, there is a slab ${ }^{1}$ inscribed on forr sides.- Gac̣ia is 20 miles west of Jagdalpur and has a stone temple with no idol, but built in the same style as those of Bârsûr. About 400 yards away there is a big inseription, and a liniga was found buried in a brick mound.- Narayanpâl and Kuruspâl are quite close to each other, the former being situated on the river Indrâvat̂̀. Near these villages are the forts of Râjapur and Bôdrâa, and not far away the beautiful falls of the Indrâvatî at Chitrakût present a magnificent appearance.Narâyanpâl is only 6 miles from Gadia and has an old temple, an image of Vishṇu, and an inscription. - Sunârpâl and Chapkâ are within 12 miles from Narâyanpâl. Chapkâ has a number of satî pillars, several of which are inscribed. - Tirathgarh also contains some temples and relics.- Dôngar is a place where according to custom the present Râjas go to be crowned. Here one of the queens, whose finger was chopped off by royal order and who ventured to inform her father, writing the letter with the blood so wantonly spilt, was baried alive. The pit, which is still pointed out, was once disturbed by a greedy Râja of the same family, who also brought down the temple of Narâyanpâl and some others in search of supposed buried treasure.

I now proceed to give a short notice of each inseription of which I possess impressions, with very brief remarks where necessary, reserving a fuller account for other papers. The Bastar insoriptions may be roughly divided into three classes, viz,, those of the (1) Nâgavaḿsî̀ kings, (2) the Kakatiyas, and (3) miscellaneous. Of 22 yet discovered, ten belong to the lst class, five to the $2 n d$, and the rest to the 3 rd.

THE NÂGAVAṀŚŜ INSCRIPTIONS.

## I.-Narâyanpal Stone inscription of Queen Guṇ̣a-mahêdêfi, the mother of Sômêśvaradêva.

Narâyanpâl is a village 23 miles west of Jagdalpur. The inscription is on a stone slab and is in Nâgarî characters, and the language is Sanskrit. It records the grant of the village Nârayaṇapura to the god Nârâyaṇa and some land near the Khajjuri tank to the god Lôkêşara, and it is dated in the Saka year 1033 on Wednesday, the full moon-day of the Karttika month in the Khara samivatsara (Salea-nripa-kaldet̂te daśa-sata-traya[s*]-trimbs-âdhikê Kharasamuatsaré Kârtika-paurnimasyầm Budhavarê) corresponding to 18 th Ootober 1111 A.D., and issued by Guṇ̣̣a-mahâdêvi, the chief queen of Mahäräja Dhârâvarsha, the mother of Sômês̃: varadêva and the grandmother of Kanharadêva, who was then ruling on the death of his father (Mahârâja-Sómềŝvara-dêvasya śvar(svar)gatê tấshần putrasya âsam naptuh . . Srîmad-vîraKanharadévasya kalyana-vijaya-râjyê). The dynasty claims to belong to the Nágavamésa and the Kâśsapa gôtra, to have a tiger with a calf as their crest and to be the lords of Bhôgâvatî the
 Kaśs $s) y$ apa-gôtra). At the ond of the insoription the sun and moon, a cow and a calf, and a

[^133]dagger and shield with a liniga in its sooket, exactly of the shape in which the Lingâyats wear them, are engraved. There is a postscript to this inscription in which it is stated that the land was given by Dhârana-mahêdêvi, who was probably the widow of Sômêśvara, as will appear farther on. There can be no donbt that Narâganpall is the Nârâyanapura of the inscription. A temple of Nârâyana is still standing there. The image of Vishṇa, abont $2^{\prime}$ high, canopied by a hooded snake, is exquisitely executed.

## II.-Bârsûr inscription of Gañga-mahâdêvî, wife of Sômêśvaradêva.

This inscription is now in the Nâgpur Museum, and, as stated above, it has already been published. ${ }^{1}$ It is a slab $9^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ long, $14^{\prime \prime}$ broad and $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ thick, broken into two pieces, the bigger one measuring $6 \frac{1^{\prime}}{4}$ and the smaller one $2^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$. It is inscribed on three sides. The inscribed portion of each flat side is about $4 \frac{2^{2}}{}{ }^{\prime}$, thas leaving half of the pillar buried underground. As the whole of the inscription could not be completed within the allotted space, the remaining portion has been inscribed on the third side, on which the writing runs to the length of $31^{\prime \prime}$. The stone is stated to have been brought from Kowtah near Sironcha, but the Tahsildar of Sironcha informs me that it was never sent from that place. ${ }^{8}$.

The stone is indisputably from Barsûr. Happily Col. Glasfurd has given a facsimile in his report on the Dependency of Bastar. Speaking of the Bârsûr temples he says ${ }^{8}$ :-" "In front of this temple I found a slab with an ancient Sanskrit and Telugu inscription on both sides; part of it had been broken off and was nowbere to be found. After offering a reward and causing search to be made I had the satisfaction of obtaining it. As the Telugu is of an antiquated character, I regret to say I have not succeeded in obtaining an accurate translation of the inscription. A facsimile is appended. From what I oan ascertain it would appear that the temple of Mahâdêva where the slab was found was built by a Râjâ Sômếvaradêva, a Nágavaḿń̂ Kshatriya, in the year 1130."

The inscription is in the Telugu character, and the language is also Telugu prose, the birudatali or titles of the king being in Sanskrit and oorresponding with those in the Narâyanpal Sanskrit inscription. It records that Gañga-mahâdêvi, the chief queen of Sômêśvaradêva gave a village named Kêramaruka or Kêramarka to two temples of Śiva (both of which she had built) on Sunday, the 12th tithi of the bright fortnight of Phâlguna in the salka year 1130. The two temples referred to here still exist, having one common mandapa, and from local enquiry it appears that it was from this place that Col. Glasfurd removed the slab. Although the names of the temples Virasômêşvara and Gañgâdharêsvara given after the royal couple as recorded in the grant, are forgotten, a tank still remains which is called Gañgâsugar and retains the memory of the charitable queen Ganga-mabâdêvi. If the Sòmêsvara of this inscription is identical with that of Naráyanpâl, there has apparently been a mistake in engraving the date which should be 1030 and not 1130, and that is perhaps the reason why the week day does not correspond with the tithi given there, viz., the 12th of the bright fortnight of Phâlgana, on a Sunday. According to Mr. Dîkahit's caloulations, Phâlguna Śukla 12 of Śaka-Samivat 1130 ended on Wednesday. So it was concladed that the year meant was Saka 1131 expired, in which year the tithi given in the insoription fell on a Sanday. But on calculating the week day for the same tithi in Śaka 1030 expired I find that

[^134]it also fell on Sunday. ${ }^{1}$ In the Narâyanpâl inscription it is stated that the grant of Nâràyaṇapura was made in Śaka 1033, in the reign of Kanharadêva, who had succeeded his father Sômêśvaradêva on his death. And as there is nothing to show at present that there were two Sômêsvaras, ${ }^{9}$ the date 1030 fits in very well. It, however, seems somewhat extraordinary that such a palpable mistake should have been allowed to remain when it could be corrected by joining together with a curved line the two ends of the Teluga 1 which is like an egg half-cut (at least it is so in the inscription) thus transforming easily the second 1 of 1130 into a zero. I am very reluctant to suppose that the ongraver committed a mistake, but that he did is patent enough in this case whether we read 1030 or 1130 . The village Kêramaruka may be identified with Kôdmalnâr, which is situated quite olose to Bârsûr and is said to have been mu'afî or exempt from the payment of taxes for a long time.

## III.-Kuruspal inscription of Dhâraṇa-mahdedêf, second queen(?) of Sōmêsivara.

Kuruspâl is a village about a mile off from Narâyanpâl. The inscription was found built upside down into the steps of a small tank, which shows that it did not belong to the tank itself, but was brought away from some rains, possibly the temple built in the centre of the tank, and was ntilised without regard to what was engraved on it. It is in the Nâgari character, the langrage being Sanskait with very bad spellings. The object of the inscription is to record a grant of land situated near Kalamba by Dhârana-mahadêvi, who seems to have been the second queen of Sômésvaradêva. The inscription belongs to the victorious reign of Mahârâjâdhirâja Sômếvaradêva (Mahârajâdhirâja-Ŝ̉î-Sômếsvaradêva-pâdânu kalyâna-vijaya-râjyê . . .śrîmat-sâ(mach-chhâ)sana-mahddêvi dvitîya Dhârana-mahddêvi tay=âchamya Kalammvasamâpastha bhûmî dattam (a)). The same long birudas as in the Nariyanpâl and Bârsur inscriptions are attached to Sômêsvaradêva's name. The inscription also mentions the name of Nârâyanapura. It is dated in the Saumye samvatsara.

## IV.-Sunârpâl stone inscription of Mahâdêvi, queen of Jayasimhadêva.

Sunârpal is about 10 miles from Narayaupall. The stone is partially broken, and a part of the inscription is gone. It is undated. It records the grant of land, or, more properly, an imprecation against the resumption of granted land, and gives the names of witnesses before whom the gift was made, but it is not stated whore. The gift was apparently made by Mahsdêvi, the chief queen of Jayasimhadêva of the Nâga race, the supreme lord of Bhôgâvatî, having the tiger with a calf as his crest, He is called Râjâdhirâjà Mahârâja Śrî Jayasimhadêva.

## V.-The Dantêśvarl gự̂ insoription ${ }^{3}$ of Narasimhadêva.

This is another stone inscription in Telngu character found in the temple of the godess Dantếrvarí at Dantêwârâ. It is dated in the dark fortnight of the month Jyêshṭha in the saka year 1140 (expired). In this year there was an eclipse of the sun, and the month of Jyêshṭia was intercalary. At that time Kuhadrâja Narasimhadêve, the ornament of the race of the best of serpents, was raling (Sri-bhujaga-vara-bhîshana-Mahârajul=aina Sriman-Nara-sicihadêva-Maharâjula râjyamu). The inscription is only a tragment.

[^135]Of the remaining five Nâgavamessî inscriptions it has not yet been possible to obtain good impressions. They are all in Telugu. The Potinár slab seems to refer to Narasimhadêva and the Dantêwâxâ stone lying outside the Dantêśvarî temple to Jayasimhadêva. The Bhairamgarh inscription contains birudas similar to those found in the Bârsurr one, and the king is stated in both to be the worshipper of Mâṇikyadêvî (Ŝri-Mâanikyadêvì-divya-śrîpûda-padm-ârâdhaka), which is an older name of Dantếrvarî, so named by the successors of the Nâgavamsisis, the Kâkatîyas, although the latter claim that Dantếsarî came with them from Warangal, where she was called Mânikyếsari. ${ }^{1}$ This inscription is incomplete and it appears that it was never completed. The Bhairamguḑ̣ inscription at Dantêwârâ appears to be the oldest of all, as its date appears to be Śaka 984.2 The Gadia inscription, apparently of Somêśvaradêva's time, contains the usual figures of the cow and calf, Sun and Moon, Siva, etc., the peculiar signs of the Nâgavaḿsî̀ kings, although they do not seem to refer to their family crests. They are all picture imprecations. The sun and moon represent that the grant is to last as long as these lnminaries endure. Siva is the protector against violation of the grant on the spiritual side, and the dagger and shield of the lking on the temporal. The cow and calf depict the grave sin which the transgressor would commit, exactly equal to taking away the cow from the calf. This interpretation is supported by the fact that the Kuruspâl inscription has a representation of an ass associating with a pig, the imprecation being explained in the text thus, Jô (yô) anyath $\hat{\hat{a}}$ karôti tasya pit̂̂ gardabhah śûkarî mâtô (he who acts otherwise has for his father an ass and for his mother a pig.)

From these inscriptions it would appear that Bastar, which has been held to have always been the home of wild animals, with almost wilder tribes, was once ruled by a people whose civilization is sufficiently evidenced by the remains of temples, some of which are of great architectoral beanty. These inscriptions carry the history of Bastar back to the eleventh century A.D., when at least the central portion of the State was ruled by the Nâgavamísí kings. They apparently belonged to the Sinda family of Yelburga, whose titles are strikingly identical with those of the Bastar Nầgavamísí kings. Dr. Fleet states ${ }^{3}$ that there appear to have been more branches than one of this family. One of these was that of Bastar, which has been hitherto nuknown. These inscriptions disclose the names of five or six different kings, viz., Dhârâvarsha, his son Sômês̄varadêva, and his grandson Kanharadêva, Jayasimhadêva, Narasimimadêva, and a possible sômêsvara II. In view of the fact that half the inscriptions relating to these kings have not yet been deaiphered owing to their incompleteness or want of proper impressions, I reserve a fuller discussion of the history of these kings for another occasion.

## II.-THE KÂKATIYA INSCRIPTIONS.

All these are modern ones, the oldest being those of the Dantêsvarî temple at Dantêwâra written by the rajaguru of the present family, who was a Maithila Pandit. One of these is in Sanskrit and the other is a Maithilî rendering of the same with some additions. Col. Glasfurd has given a very defective transeript of both in his report. They are dated in the Vikrama Samvat 1780, or 1703 A.D. on the 3rd day of Baisâkh, dark fortnight. They record the pilgrimage of Râja Dikpâladêva to the Dantếsvarî shrine when 'so many thousands of buffaloes and goats were sacrificed that the waters of the Sanikhinî river became red like kusuma flowers and remained so for five days.' The Kâkatîyas are stated to be Sômavamísis, ${ }^{4}$ born of the

[^136]Pâņ̣̣ava Arjuna. The genealogy begins with Kakati Pratâparudra, who was king of Warangal. His brother Annamrâja was the first to come to Bastar, and the genealogy is continued to Dikpaladêva, nine successors being mentioned. The present Bastar family is the representative of the old Warangal family, who, having been defeated by Musalmâns, fled to Bastar. Combining the information hitherto available, the following list of Kâkatîya kings may be made up.
1.-Predecessors of Annamdêva, from Professor Kielhorn's Southern List, above, Vol. VIII, Appendix, p. 18.

## 1 Durjaya.

2 Bôta (Betmarâjà) Tribhuvanamalla, son of 1.
3 Prôla (Prôlêrâja, Prôdarầja) Jagatikêsarin, son of 2 ; made the Western Cbâlukya Tailapadêva prisoner; defeated Gôvindarâja and Gunḍa of Mantrakûṭa; conquered but reinstated Chôḍ̂daya; put to flight Jagaddêva.
4 The Mahâmandalếsvara Rudradêva, son of 3; subdued Domma; conquered Mailigidêva; burnt the city of Chôdôdaya. A.D. 1163 -[and 1186].
5 Mahâdêva (Mâdhava), brother of 4.
6 Ganapati (Ganapa) Chhalamattiganḍa, son of 5 ; defeated the Dêvagiri Yâdava Singhaṇa, the kings of Chôla, etc. A. D. [1199-1200 to 1260-61].
7 the Mahưmandalachakravartin Pratâparudra of Ekaślânagarî, i.e. Warangal. His genẹral Mappiḍi entered Kâñchî and installed Mânąvìra as governor. A.D. 1316.
2.-Successors of Annamdêva down to Dikpâladêva according to the Dantêwâra inscriptions.

1 Annamrâja, brother of Pratâparudra.
2 Hâmiradêva.
3 Bhairava (Bhai Râj) dêva.
4 Purushôttamadêva.
5 Jayasimimadêva.
6 Narasimimadêva; his queen Lachhamî-dêi dug many tanks and planted gardens.
7 Jagadísarâyadêva.
8 Viranârâyaṇadêva.
9 Vîrasimíhadêva, marriẹ Vadanakamârî, a Chandêlla princess.
10 Dikpâladêva, married Ajabakumârî, of the Chandêllas, visited the Dantế̂varí temple in Samivat 1760, A.D. 1703.
son Pratâparudra. It is possible that Prataparudra's father may have belonged to the lunar race, and, while Pratâparudra became by adoption a Kâkatiya of the solar race, his brother Apnamdêva, the founder of the Bastar family, must have remsined whath his father was, that is, of the lunar race. Strictly speaking Prataparadra himself does not seem to have a very stri g claim to be a solar Kakatiya. He was adopted by his grandmother, whereby he became $n$ member of her in in husband's) race, bat it can be urged in his favour that he succeeded to the Kakatiys throne, and that adoption of females was valid in ancient times (see Dattakamtmansa VII § $30-38$ aa quoted by Mayne, Hindu Law and Usage, sixth edition, p. 130), whereby Gapapati's daughter, whom har father had called his 'son' and had given a male name of 'Rudra' (on which sccount she was called Rudramba; see Ird. Ant. XXI. p. 199) became incorporated with her parental race of solar Kikatiyas. The commentator of the Pratdparudriya, who was no less a personage than the great Mallinatha's son, explains the word thus :-Kakatir ndma Durgd Saktir Ekasildnagar-ếvarândin kuladêoata sa Saktir bhajant̂y-asy-eti Kakatiyaht. It is in this sense alone that the Bastar family could be classed as Kâkatiya. This would not affeet their true lineage, vix., the Innar race. All this however would apply if Annamdêva was a brother of the Pratâparadra of our lint I. But list II with 10 kings for a period of about 400 years postulates the existence of another Prataparadra, who probably raled. a hundred years later and 'lost his kingdom and his life in the battle with Ahmad shâh Bahmani' in 1434 A.D. This Pratâparudra was also probably ongrafted from anothor family like his predecesgor, in all likelihood from the jumar race to phich his brother Annamdêva as a mafter of natural course cuatiuncel to belong,
3.-Successors of Dikpaladêva down to the present ruling chief, according to records kept in the Rajja's family.
1 Rajpâldêva.
2 Dalpatdêva.
3 Daryâodêva; his brother Ajmêr Singgh rebelled against him in Samivat 1836, A.D. 1779.
4 Mahîpâladèva.
5 Bhûpâladêva.
6 Bhairamadêva.
7 Rudrapratîpadêva, the present chinf.
The family records place another Pratâparâjadêva between Narasimimadếva and Jagadîsarâyadêva, Nos. 6 and 7 of List 2. Pratâparudradêva, the brother of Annamrâja, is stated to have had three eyes; his army was composed of nine lao archers, ${ }^{1}$ and during his time golden rain fell. Pratâparndra I. was a great patron of learning, and Vidyânâthe wrote a work on Alaìkâra, which he called after him Pratâparudrayasôbhûshana or Pratâparudrîya. ${ }^{2}$

The other three inscriptions are at Dôngar; they are written in Hinds. Two of them are dated in Samvat 1836, or A.D. 1783, and refer to a visit of Râja Daryâodêva in order to quell a local rebellion. The third is dated in Samvat 1928, or A.D. 1871, and records the pattitbhishêka ceremony of Bhairamadêva, the father of the present ruler.

## III.-MISCELLANEOUS INSCRIPTIONS.

All these are unimportant and give no historical data. Six belong to Chapke and are engraved on satî memoribl stones and, with one exception, in Nâgarî oharacters. Most of thess have the usual marks of the sun, the moon and the outstretohed hand with figures of hasband and wife. Some have got temples engraved, with the couple in the act of worshipping the liniya represented there. One is found at Bârsûr on the pedestal of a goddess and is fragmentary.

## No. 20.- KANKER COPPER PLATES OF PAMPARAJADEVA

[Kalachuri] Samvat 965 and 966.

By Hira Lal, B.A., Nagpor.

These are two copper plates which were found in an old well in the Village Tahenkâpar, 18 miles from Kanker, the oapital of the state of the same name in the Chhattisgarh Division of the Central Provinces. They are now in the possession of the ohief of that state and were sent to me by his Dîvạ̀ Papdit Durgâprasàd. Ink impressions were kindly taken for me at Nâgpar by Mr. T. G. Green, Superintendent of the Government Press, and they are reproduced in the accompanying plate.

There are two different records issued at an interval of a year. Both the plates are $7 \frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ long, but they differ in height and weight, one measuring $3 \frac{33^{\prime \prime}}{}$ and the other $3 \frac{1}{4}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, the bigger one

[^137]weighing 6 oz . and the smaller 6 oz .10 drs . The former has an oblong hole at the"top, measuring $\frac{11^{\prime \prime}}{8} \times \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{18}$, apparently for stringing it with other plates. It is uniformly and sufficiently thick, and is in a good state of preservation. The smaller one is thicis in the middle but very thin at the ends, so thin indeed that the commencement $\hat{o m}$ svasti has out through the plate leaving holes in the engraved portion, and, similarly, at the diagonally opposite end, a portion is exceedingly worn-out leaving irregular holes there. The corners of this plate were rounded off. It has at the end an ornamental figure representing the moon. This was probably the family crest.

The average size of the letters in the bigger plate is $\frac{s^{7}}{16}$ and in the smaller $\frac{1^{7}}{8}$. The former appears to be a palimpsest. Both the sides contain minute scratches of letters of almost double the size, which are altogether illegible.

The characters in both the plates, which were written at an interval of a year only, are Nagarî, and the language in both is corrupt Sanskrit prose. Both the plates were engraved by Sêṭhi or Sâo Késava, who apparently lived at Pâdi (town).

There is very little to note abont orthographical peculiarities. The letters $d h a, r a, n a$, $k s h a, b h a, j n a$, and the figures 9 and 5 appear in a somewhat antiquated form, and the usual indifference to the nse of $s$ for $\delta$ is canspicuons. Spelling mistakes there are many; they have been noticed in the footnotes under the text.

The bigger plate, which is the older of the two and was issued from the Kakaira residence, is a state document conferring a village with a fixed revenne on the village priest Lakshmidharasarman. This refers to Jaipara village, but Chikhali is also incidentally mentioned. The smaller plate records the gift of two villages, Kôgarâ and Âṇ̣ali, to the same person on the occasion of an eclipse of the sun. These transactions were made by the Mahámandalîka Pamparâjadêva of the Sômavamía (lnnar race) in the presence of his queen Lakshmídêvì, prince Vôpadêva and eight Government officials including the minister. In the village document these officials appear as witnesses. The recipient of the villages was himsolf one of them.

The village document is business-like and contains abbreviations which were no doubt very well understood at that time, bat are now difficult to make out. It does not indulge in genealogies. In the gift, however, we are told that Pamparajadêva meditated on the feet of Sômarajadêva, who meditated on the feet of Vôpadêva. I take this Vôpadêva to be identical with that of the Kanker stone inscription of the Saka year 1242 (see above, page 124). I shall discuss this question in another paper on the Sihawa inscription, which also gives a genealogy of this family.

The bigger plate is dated in Samvat 965, in the Bhadrapada month, in the Mriga lunar mansion; on Monday, the 10th of the dark fortnight, and the smaller one in the Ívarasamvatsara, in the month of Kârttika, in the Chitra lunar mansion, on Sunday, at the solar eclipse, the year being given in figures at the end as 966 . It is not stated to what era these dates belong, but Professor Kielhorn, who has kindly calcalated them for me, has conclusively proved that they refer to the Kalachuri era. The reader is referred to the postseript added by him at the end of my article on the Kanker stone inscription (see above, pp. 128 and ff.), where he has fully discussed the question. The Einglish equivalents of these dates, as calculated by him, are Monday, the 12th August A.D. 1218, and Sunday, the 5th October A.D. 1214, respectively.

The towns and villages mentioned in the plates are Kâkaira, Paḍi, Kogara, Anḍali, Jaipará, Chikhali and Vaniikêțtes. Kâkaira is the modern Kanker, where the present chief of the state resides. It is 88 miles from Raipar, the headquarters of the Chhattisgarh Division, in which the Kanker state is included. Kôgarê has now been corrupted into Kôngêra. There are two villages of this name in the state, and for distinction one is called Déo Kôngêrí ( 8 miles
south-east of Kanker), and the other Hat Kôngêrâ ( 6 miles north of Kanker). The formf: is associated with gods, and the latter with a market, which is held there. In the inscrips: : Kögarâ is said to be close to the shrine of Prénkêêvara, which has now disappeared, bat tis apparently left its reminiscence in the süggestive adjunct Dêo which Kôngêrầ now bears. I therefore identify our Kôgarề with Dêo Kôngêrâ. Jaiparâ is the modern Jêprâ (Indian Axisas, quarter sheet 92, N. W., Long. $81^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$, Lat. $20^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ ), a village 15 miles north of Kanker. and Chikhall is abont 21 miles in the same direction just on the borders of the state. If is now included in the Dhamtarî tahail, which formerly formed part of the Kanker state. Andall is probably represented by the present Ândni (Ânjni), 10 miles east of Kanker. Padi cance:i in identified. The same is the case with Vanikôtṭa about which it is doubtful whether it if tes name of a village at all.

## Plate I.

TEXT.
1 Svasti Kâkaira-samâvâsề râjâdhirâją-paramế̂vara-paramamâhêsva(áva)ra-Sô-
 ôpârjita-

4 dêvi kumara ${ }^{3}$ Vôpadêva pradhâna Bhôga rârâ । vaipâṭa I as $\hat{u}^{4}$ êtô nisk vyß̂pâram kurvvạ่ ti-
 Lakshmidharayami(ya) pradattami
6 prathams sarâha gaja bhâma âchhu 130 vijayàrâja ${ }^{5}$ țtaminka 140 lumar. arddha-pațtamin-
7 tarê l tathà Chikhali-grâma-patrề vijaya-râj[y*]a-ṭtamika 150 pralavâ-pau-
 Lakshmidhara | gai[tâ*] Ma-
 sam்vat (1) 965 Bhâdrapada vadi $1[0]$
 ntkirnụam Pạdi-
11 pattanê [II*] subham ${ }^{9}$ bhavatu ||

## TRANSLATION.

Hail! At the Kakaira residence, in the victorious reign of the illustrious Mahdmandazian Pamparajadêva, the king of kings, the supreme lord, the great worshipper of Siva, (who ing born in the lineage of the family of the Moon, who is hailed as having obtained the 5 sounde wa a boon from Katyâyani, who has acquired (his country) by (the force of) his own arms, with ism the queen Lakshmidêvi and Prince Vôpadêva (sitting) by his side, and while the eight ${ }^{10}$ officisia
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[Kalachuri] Samvat 966.











(headed by) the minister Bhôga (?) are present on duty, this document of the village, making Jaiparê Vanikottca the limit, is given to Gaitûa Lâkshmîdhara. First ${ }^{3}$ (the revenue was payable) at 130 (in former coins, now) 140 coins of (our) victorious reign (mint) for half the Halbâ ${ }^{4}$ patṭị (share). Similarly in the document of Chikhalî village, 150 coins of (our) victorions reign (mint) for the $\frac{3}{4}$ Pralavà (Halbâ) patṭî (share). The witnesses for this purpose (are) Bhattarị̂naka Gôvinda, Gaitî Lakshmîdhara, Guitit Mahēsvara, Nayakic Chhaṇ̣̂û, Nâyalka Dâmôdara, Bûo Pâlaṭ̂́. Samivat 935, Bhâdrapada (month), in the Mriga lunar mansion (riksha), on Monday, the 1[0]th of the dark fortnight. Written by Paụdit Vishṇuśarman and engraved by Sêthi Kêsava in the Pâḍi town. Let good fortune attend.

## Plate II. <br> TEXT.

| 1 | $\mathrm{Om}^{5}$ | Sva | Pâdi-samâvâsê | samasta-râj-âvalî-maņâlaṁkrita ${ }^{\text {b }}$-sômavarim [sînvaya-7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prasû]ta-mahâ- |  |  |  |  |
| maṇ[d*]alîka-sfrîmad-Vôpadêva-pâd-ânudhyâta-parama-bhațṭ̂raka-mahamạ̣ [śrî]mat-Sômarఓ- |  |  |  |  |

[^139]place occurs as Karial on the Indian Atlas sheet 92 N . E., Lat $82^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ and $20^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$. The plates have now been deposited in the Nagpar Maseum.

There are three copper plates, each measuring $5 \frac{5_{8}^{\prime \prime}}{3} \times 3 \frac{1}{8}$. The first is inscribed on one side only. Traces on the other side show that the plate has formerly been used for another inscription. The plates are quite smooth, and their edges have neither been fashioned thicker, nor raised into rims. They are in an excellent state of preservation. Abont $l^{\prime \prime}$ from the middle of the proper right margin, each plate has a hole, about $\frac{7}{16}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter, for the ring on which the plates are strung. This ring is oval, $3_{16}{ }^{\frac{3}{6}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ long and $2 \frac{10}{1} 0^{\prime \prime}$ broad. It had not been cut when the plates were sent to me.

The ends of the ring are secured in a circular seal, about $3 \frac{5}{8}^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. It is identical with that described by Dr. Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, p. 196. Its uppor part shows a representation of a standing Lakshmi facing full front; on each side of her an elephant is standing on a waterlily, with its trunk raised above her head. In the proper right corner there is an expanded waterlily, in the propar left corner a saunkha.

The seal has been subjected to fire, and the legend conld not be made out when I received the plates. It has, however, proved possible to clean it, so that it can now be read with certainty. It therefore also makes it possible to decide what the legend must have been on the corresponding seal published by Dr. Fleet, which is quite illegible.

The weight of the three plates is $1 \mathrm{lb} .2 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{oz}$; and of the ring and seal 1 lb .2 oz., total $2 \mathrm{lb} .4 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{oz}$.

The size of the letters is about $\frac{17}{4}$. The character is the same box-headed variety of the Central Indian alphabet as in the copper plates of the same king published by $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$. Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, pp. 196 and ff. The long variety of $\hat{z}$ is denoted by a dot in the middle of the base of the circle denoting $i$, and usually not in the centre of it as in the Arang plates of MahâJayarâja, ${ }^{1}$ nor in the right side of it as in the Raipur plates of Mahâ-Sudêva. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The separate sign of the cerebral $d$ occurs in chûd $\hat{m} m a n i, 1$. 1, and the final form of $t$ in dadyât, 1. 18, and vasêt, 1. 19. Note also the use of one and the same sign to denote a stop in 1. 5 and the visarga in 1. 4, etc. The compound nigh occurs in Drônasinghhêna, 1. 23, and ñch in kâñchanaị, 1.17; mahîmatâñchchhrêshṭa, 1. 21, and, falsely, in duanáñch=chhrêyô, I. 22.

The numerical symbols for 2,20 , and 9 occur in 1.22.
The language is Sanskrit. The legend on the seal and the nsual imprecatory stanzas, here attribated to $\nabla$ yâsa, are in verse, the remsinder of the inscription is in prose.

As regards orthography we have to wote the doubling of $k$ before $r$ in vikkrama, 1. 1; the similar loubling of $d h$ before $y$ in anudddhyatat, l. 3; the doubling of a consonant after $r$ in svargĝ̂, 1. 18; visargịitô, 1.8; suvarnnam, 1.16; dharmmếhu and dharmma, 1. 13; sûryya, 1. 16; sarvva, 1. 8; hêtur=vvasu, 1. 3; bhutruvvaishnav̂̃, 1. 16; bahubhirvvvasudhâ, 1. 19; the form savvatsara, i.e. saṽvatsara, 1. 22; the use of the jihvâmâliya in yafi=kañchanam, 1. 17 (but -rajah kshitio, l. 4); of the upadhmânîya in .pradah parama-, 1. 3; Sambilakayôh prativasi-, 1. 5, etc. The visarga is usually replaced by $s$ before hard dentals. Before the stop, however, we find bhûmih tasya; 1. 20; -srishtah té, 1. 10. A superfluous anusvâra has been added in bhûmipâm$n, 1.12$. Tri and tri have been intorchanged in pitri-, 1. 3, and tridasa-, 1. 6. Note finally the forms tambra-, 11.10 and 23 ; Drônasinghêna, 1.23 , and the use of the genitive in Vishṇusvâminas, 1. 10.

The inscription is one of the Râja Mahd-Sudêva, and it is dated in the second year of his reign on the 29th day of Śrâvaṇa. The engraver was Drônasingha, who also ocours in

[^140]Mahì-Sudêvâ's Raipur plates. ${ }^{1}$ Like this latter inscription and the Ârang copper plates of Mahâ-Jayarajja, ${ }^{9}$ our inscription was issued from Śarabhapura, and it states that the illustriuns Mahâ-Sudévarâja, whose two feet are washed by the water which is the flowing forth of the lngtre of the crest jewels in the tiaras of the stimantas who have been subjugated bs his prowess; who is the canse for the removal of the parting of the hair of the women of his enemies; who is the giver of riches, of land, and of cows; who is a devout worshipper of Bhagavat; who meditates on the feet of his mother and father, issues the following order to the honseholders living in Navannaka and the neighbouring Śambilaka, in the Kshitimanda âhâra: Bc it known to you that these two villages, which assure the happiness of the abode of the king of gods, have been bestowed by a copper charter on the Vàjisanèyin Vishnusvâmin, of the Kausika gôtra, for as long a time as the world endures, having the terrible darkness dispelled by the rays of sun, moon, and the stars; together with their treasures and deposits, not to be entered by district officers ${ }^{3}$ and soldiers, free from all taxes ; for the purpose of increasing the spiritual merit of our parents and ourselves.

The date of our inscription cannot as jet be settled. Mahà-Sudêva is tho same who has issued the grant published by Rájendralála Mitrai and Dr. Fleet. ${ }^{j}$ According to the legend of the seal he was the son of a certain Mânamâtra, who in his turn had risen from the Prasann-ârpara, i.e, who was descended from Prasanna, probably his son.

Neither Mânamâtra nor Prasanna are elsewheve known. Mầnamâtra can perhaps lu identifed with Mânânka, "the ornament of the Rashṭrâkutteas," who is mentioned in the copper plates of Abhimanyu of Mânapura edited by Professor Hultzsch. ${ }^{6}$ This Mannânika had a son, Dêvarâja, who might be identical with Sudêvaraja. In support of tbis bypothesis it may be mentioned that mutrâ and ailka are both stated by lexicographers to mean ornament. On the other hand, the alphabets of the tro inscriptions differ, and this, in addition to the difference in the names, makes the identification very doubtful.

Of the geographical names occurring in the inscription Sarabhapura also recurs in the two other grants by Mahâ-Sudêva and in the Arañg plates of Mahâ-Jayarâja. Navannaka may be the present Nahnâ, the Nainâ ${ }^{7}$ of the maps, three miles south of Khariâr. We do not know anything about the location of the remaining geographical names of our inscription, Kshitimaṇạa and sàmbilaka. They should be looked for in the neighbourhood of Khariâr.

## TEXT. ${ }^{8}$

## First Plate.

1 Svasti [ $1^{*}$ ] Śarabhapurâd=vikkram-ôpanata-sâmanta-maknṭa-ohûḍâmaṇi-prabhâ-prasêk-âmbu-dhôte ${ }^{9}$-pâd l -yugalô ripu-vilâsinî-sîmant-ôddharaṇa-hêtur=vvasu-vasudhâ-gô-pradah=paramabhâgavatô mâtâ-pitri ${ }^{10}$-pâd-ânuddhyâ-taśs=srî-Mahâ-Sudêva-râjah Kshitimaṇd-âhârîya-Navannaka-êtat-prâvêśya5 Sámbilakayôh=prativâsi-kuṭumbinas-samâjñâpayati || Viditam=astu
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Second Plate; First Side.
6 tô jath=âsmâblir=êtad¹=grâms-dvayan triánáaº-pati-sadana-sukha-pratishthâkarô yiva-
7 d=ravi-sasii-târâ-kiraṇı-pratihata-ghôr-ândhakâram jagad=avatishthatê tivad=upu-
8 blògyas= sauidhis=sîpanidhirzachàṭa-bhata-prîvêşya-sarvva-kara-visurjji-
9 tò mâtâpiťơr=âtmanaś=cha pany-âbhiṿ̣iddhayê Vâjisanéya-Kôsika ${ }^{s}$-sagôtra-


## Second Plate ; Secomd Side.

11 jñâ-śravaṇa-vidhêŷ̂ bhûtvâ yathôchitań bhôga-bhâgam=upanasantas=sukham prati-

1. vatsyatha [1*] Bhavishyataśsecha bhûmipàrinu=auular'sayati [ $\|^{*}$ ] dânì $1^{5}=$ visishṭam= anupâ-
13 lana-jain ${ }^{6}$ purậûa dharmmêshu nischita-dhiyah=pravadanti dharmma[in** II (1) tasma[d*] dviji-
14 ja suvísuddha-kula-srutâya dattâm bhurain blavatu rô matir=c̀ra gôptu[n*] II
15 Taù=blavadbhir=apy=ềlhâ dattir=aunpâlayitavyâ [|*] Vyâsa-gitànís=ch=âtra ślobzin= n -

Thisil Plate ; First Side.

16 dâharanti \| Agnêr ${ }^{7}=a p a t y a\left[\right.$ ma $\left.^{*}\right]$.prathamain suvarṇ̣án bûur=vvaishụarí sûryya-
17 . sutâŝ=cha gâvaḥ [1*] dattâs=trayas=têna bhavanti lôkâ yah̄zkàñchanaúu grim cha mahîrn cha da-
18 dyât [ [ $\|^{*}$ ] Shashṭị ${ }^{8}$-varsha-sahassầṇi svarggê môdati bhûmidaḥ [ [ ${ }^{*}$ ] âchchhêttá
19 cla=înumantà cha tâny yồa narakề vasêt [ $[\|$ *] Bahubhir=vvasuduà dattá ràjabhi-
$20 \mathrm{~s}=$ Sagar-âdibhị̆ [1*] yasya yasya yadâ bhûmih tasya tasya tada phalam \|
Third Plate; Second Side.
21 Svadattâm ${ }^{9}$ paradattám vậ yatnâd=raksha Yudhishṭhira $\left[\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ mahim=mahimatìñ= chchhrêshtha
 di 209
$23^{\text {* ntkirrụ̣̣am }}$ tâmbras̃âsananin Drọṇasing

## Seal.

Prasann-ârṇṇava-samblâta-Mầnsmâtr-ếndu-janmana[ḅ*] Śrîma[t-Sudê]varâjasya sthirum jagati [şâkanamic.

[^142]
## No. 22.- NOTE ON BHUJABALA MAHARAYA.

By R. Sewell, I.C.S. (Retired).

In Vol. VIII. 122 ff. Mr. H. Krishṇa Sâstri, B.A., publishes an inscription of the Kalaśa chief Bhairava II. at Kirikals in the South Canara district of the Madras Presidency, and in the course of his discussion ${ }^{1}$ on the history of the family qnotes Mr. Rice's Mûdgere Taluq inseription of A.D. 1516 (Mg. 41). His comment on this is as follows-" This inscription supplies the interesting information that, during the reign of the great Krishnarâya of Vijayanagara, Bhujabala-Mahârâya led a campaign against the Tulu-râjya, and was encamped at the blurvana-şâle in Mañgalûru . . . . . Biujabala-Mahârâya, who led the campaign, must be identical with Krishṇarâya's elder brother 'Basbalrao' mentioned on p. 110 of Mr. Sewall's Forgotten Empive, etc.

There seems to be a mistake here. Firstly, I note tbat Mr. Rice does not consider the word Bhujabala in the passage in question to be a proper name. The passage ${ }^{2}$ runs- Fijayaragarada bhujabala mahârûyaru Tuḷu râjyada mêle danḍu bandu, and is translated by Mr. Rice-"The mighty (bhujabala) Mahâ'âya of Vijayanagara having come against the Tulu kingdom with an mrmy." Here there is no ruler or leader named "Bhajabala Mahârâya." Secondly, the leader of the army could only have been Nuniz's "Busbalrao," elder brother of Krishṇadêva Ràsa, if the date of this expedition to the Tulu country were referred to a period at least seven years earlier than the date of the inscription, kecause "Busbalrao" had died when Krishuadêva was placed on the throne in A.D. 1509. It is possible that the expedition did take place before Krishnadêva's accession, and it is equally possible that it may allude to Krishnnadêva Râya's own exploit shortly after he came to the throne, when he, or his generals, reduced the Ganga Râja of Ummatúr in Mysore. The mention in "Mg. 41 " of Krishṇadêva Râya's sapreme sovereignty over the Kalaśa country during the chieftainship of Immadi-Bhairarsa-Odeyar gives us no clne to the date of the Vijayanagara army's march to Mangalore, for this may have taken place at any period before the date of that chief's grant, viz., Sunday, July 13, A.D. 1516. Bnt what is quite certain is that the leader of the army referred to could not have been Krishṇdêva Râya's elder brother, whom Naniz called "Busbalrao," during, as stated by Mr. Krishụa Ŝâstri, the reign of Krishṇaràya; for it was "Busbalrao's" death, according to Nuniz, that placed Krishṇadêva on the throne.

At the date of the grant Krishnadêva was conducting his decisive campaign in the east, and had captured Kondavîḍa three weeks earlier, viz., on June 23rd, A.D. 1516.

# No. 23.-RAJAPURA COPPER PLATES OF MADHURANTAKADEVA. [ŚAKA] SAMVAT 987. 

By Hira Lal, b.a., Nagpor.
The discovery of this first copper plate inecription in the wild Bastar State of the Central Provinces is the result of the zeal with which Rai Bahadur Baijnath, B.A., the Superintendent

[^143]of the State, set himself to make a search for the antiquarian remains in that little known and remote quarter, at my request. Mr. Baijnath has been richly remarded for bis efforts, for, in addition to the present copper plates, he has discovered more than twenty new inscriptions in Sanskrit and Telugn characters, of most of which he has sent me ink estampages and tracinge. I have deciphered several of them and they will in due course appear in this Journal. I begin with the copper plates, as this is perhaps the most ancient Sanskrit inscription yet found in Bastar. Mr. Baijnatl found the plates in the possession of a Brîhman named Gangâdhar Parrhi of Kiwadgaon close to Râjapura. Gangâdhar received them from his sister-in-law, who found them buried in a field at Nâharnî, sixteen miles from Râjapura.

There are three copper plates, held together by a ring, soldercd into what was apparently the lnwer portion of a seal, which had been broken off. Each plate is about $10 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{2} \times 5 \frac{1}{4}$ " and they weigh $29 \frac{1}{2}$. 30 and 35 totlas, respectively, the weight of the ring being 20 toilas. The plates are smooth, sufficiently thick, and in an excellent state of preservation. They are inscribed on both sides, except the first one, which is inscribed on one side only. Mr. T. G. Green, Superintendent of the Secretariat Press, Nâgpar, kindly took for me impressions, which are reproduced in the accompanying plate. The plates are numbered $1,2,3$, on the margin, which was apparently lefi to prevent the ring holos from coming in between the written lines. The word sfi has been engraved in the upper margin of the first plate, over the figure 1.

The second side of the third plate is inscribed with benedictive and imprecatory figures. niz., 12 hands in a row at the top, beneath which there is to the proper left a cow with a bell attached to her neek, and a dagger and a shield beside her feet, a florated linga in the form of a srastika in the middle, and a woman pursued by a donkey to the proper right, with the figure of the sun and the moon over it. My interprotation of these figures is as follows:-The hands are uplifted, apparently as an expression of benediction on the donor, and they are treelve, probably because there were 12 patras or donees referred to in the inscription. The cow is apparently drawn to remind us that whosoever appropriates the gifted land, will have to reap the same consequences which a cow's curse can proluce, or will fall wito the same calamity as \% cow is in when deprived of her calf. Siva is shown as the protector against aggression on the spiritual side, aud the ruling king's dagger and shield on the temporal. The sun aud the moon indicate that the grant is to last as long as these luminaries endure. Lastly the obscene figure of an ass associating with a woman is a vulgar imprecation implying that the transgressor of a gift should be so low-borm. ${ }^{1}$

The inscription is in the Nâgari character. The average size of the letters is $\frac{f_{1 / 4}^{\prime \prime} .}{}$ They are well formed and clearly written.

Tho language is corrapt Sanskrit, and except the benedictive and imprecatory verses, which are inserted in a somewhat disconnected manner, the remainder of the inscription is prose. In fact, the whole composition is disjointed, and there are several grammatical slips and spolling mistakes.

The most noteworthy orthographical peculiarities are the representation of the initial $i$ with two dots and a stroke underneath, resembling the Nâgarî figure 2 (11. 13, 16, 23 and 30). The anusvirra is put at the side of the letter and is represented by a dot with a loala underneath (11. 2. $3,5,6,8,10,12,13,15,16,17,18,20,21,22,25,26$ and 27 ), but in sevcral instances it is also represented in the ordinary way by a dot on the top of the letter (ll. $1,3,4,7,10,12,14$, $16,19,20,21,22,23,24,26,28,30,31$ and 32 ). One top stroke representative of the matirits

[^144]of $\hat{e}, a i, \hat{o}$ and $a u$ is exhibited by a vertical stroke placed before the letter to which it is attached -a practice which is still followed in the Bengàli and Oriyâ writing, but exceptions may be fonnd side by side, where it is placed on the top of the letter according to the practice now followed in writing. Thas, in line 12, the dê of Madhurântaliadêva has a top, while the very next dê of Fanlbaradêva has a vertical stroke preceding the letter da. The latter form, however, predominates, the exceptions being found as regards ê in $11.2,4,10,11,12,21,26,29$ and 31 and of $\hat{o}$ in II. 1, i9, 31, and 32. Ai has been used only once, in line 8, and au twice, in lines 11 and 26 , and in each case one of the top mâtrûs has been represented by a vertical stroke preceding the letter to which it is attached. The letters bha, dha, ra and kshu, invariably appear in their antiquated forms. The letter $v$ is used throughout for $l$, and $s$ for $\dot{s}$, except in the solitary instances of daśa in 1.3 and of $\dot{s} r \hat{\imath}$ in 11. 12 and 29. Ja is used for $y a$ (11. 20, 24, and 27), $r i$ is nsed for the vowel $r i$ in 1. 8, and na for $n$ a in 1.6. In line 8 kamivala for kamala is a spelling which occurs in other inscriptions. It represents the actaal pronunciation of the vernacular word-a pronunciation still kept up in the Chhattisgarh division, of which Bastar forms part.

The object of the inscription is to record the grant of Rajapura village, situated in the Bhramarakôtya maṇ̃ala, to one Mêdipôta or a Chhurikâra Mêdipôta and his descendants, together with 70 gadyânaka ${ }^{2}$ gold. The grant was made by the king Madhurântakadêva, who belonged to the Chhindaka family of the Nâga (Cobra) race. The inscription is dated in the [Ŝaka] year 987, in the Parabhava samvatsara, on Wednesday of the bright fortnight of Kârtika month. Although the tithi has not been given, there is a most minute description of the moment of the grant, the nakshatra being stated to be Anuradhâ, the yôga to be Saubhâgya and the karena to be Gara. From these data the exact date las been kindly calculated for me by Professor Kielhorn who says:-
"The date for Śaka 987 expired corresponds to Wednesday, the 5th October A.D. 1065. On this day the third tithi of the bright half of Kârttika ended 9 hours 17 minutes after mean suurise, and the bakshatra was Anuràdhâ and the yôga Saubhâgya. The second half of the tithi was the karanaa Gara. But the Jupiter's year is not quite correct. By the sonthern system it should have been Viśvâvasu and by the northern luni-solar system Plavañga and by the northern mean sign system Kilaka. The mistake is the same as in the first Kankers ${ }^{3}$ plates."

The purpose for which the grant was made is somewhat obscure. I talse it to be a compensation for supplying a victim for human sacrifice. Before proceeding to show how I arrive at this conclusion, it may be stated that in Bastar and the adjoining tracts human sacrifices were rampant about seventy jears ago. It is notorious that human victims were offered to the goddess Dantếsarî, enshrined at Dantêwârâ in the Bastar State. Colonel Macpherson of the Madras Army, who was appointed agent for the suppression of Meriah sacrifices and female infanticide in the hill tracts of Orissa, which Bastar adjoins, says ${ }^{4}$ :-" In the worship of Taxi Pennu or Earth Goddess the chief rite is haman sacrifice. It is celebrated as a public oblation by tribes both at social festivals held periodically and when occasions demand extraordinary propitiation, sach as the occurrence of an extruordinary number of deaths by disease or by tigers, or should very many die in child-birth, or should the flocks or herds suffer largely from disease or from wild beasts, or should the greater crops threaten to fail, or the occurrence of any marked calamity to the families of the tribal chiefs. Victims are called Meriah and are acceptable only when they have been acquived by puxchnse or were born as such, that is, of a victim father. Victims are generally supplied to the Khonds by men of the two races called Panws and Gahingê, who are attached in small numbers to almost every Khond village for the discharge of this and other pecaliar offices. The Panwâs purchase the victims withont difficulty or kidnap

[^145]them from the poorer classes of Hindûs, procuring them either to the order of the Khonds or on specalation, and they moreover constantly sell as victims their own children and children of whom as relatives they are the gaardians. Khonds when in distress, as in times of famine, also freqnently sell their children for victims, considering the beatification of their souls certain and their death for the benefit of mankind, the most honourable possible. The Meriah grove, a clump of deep and shadowy forest trees, usually stands at a short distance from the village by a rivulet which is called the Meriah stream. It is kept saored from the axe and is avoided by the Khonds as haunted ground." Bearing these remarks in mind, I now proceed to examine in how far they can throw light on our inscription. The italics in the above extract are mine, and they should be borne especially in mind, while considering what follows. In 11.26 to 28 it is stated that "no body enters the chhuriprabandha. There is no place for the preceptor of yôginis. For this purpose this village is taken with a view to do good to all living beings." From this it is plain that the grant was not made for any spiritnal purpose such as the increasing of the religious merit of the king and his ancestors, bat with a practical earthly aim, viz., in order to secure the welfare of the general public including oattle and other animals. The grant was not made to a Brâhmaṇa butto a Mêdipôta (ll. 12 to 14) who is styled "Pâtra 12," and to whom 70 gaḍậnaka gold were given in addition to the village, with the ma ual consentl of the king, the queen, the prince and officers of State as stated in the grant, evidently in order to make the transaction an out-and-out purchase. Had the donee been a Brâhmana, we should have expected a mention of his parentage, gôtra and caste, bnt no such information is forthcoming in this grant. In l. 25 Mêdipôta is called chhurikâra, which is probably used in a technical sense having reference to the chhuriprabandha referred to above, and not in the ordinary sense of a knife-maker (blacksmith). I am unable to say what chhuriprabandha ${ }^{2}$ really means, bat from the context it appears to stand for something like narabaliprabandha, upparently on account of the great importance of the chhuri or knife in the sacrifice.

With regard to the epithet " 12 pâtra" I am inclined to believe that Mêḍipôta, whether this word is a proper name or the name of an office, was the head of the 12 persons employed in the work of procuring victims. So late as 1884 A.D., when an investigation was made in Bastar in connection with kidnapping persons for sacrifice, it was believed that there were 12 villages given rent-free to kidnappers of 12 families, with whom the stipulation was that in case they could not procure victims from elsewhere, they must supply them from their own family in consideration of the free grant they enjoyed. Of course the existence of a grant for such a purpose could not be proved, as the sacrifices had been stopped long before the institution of the investigation. But the tradition of 12 families of melliahs or kidnappers of victims is significant and points to a practice which evidently existed in the days of these sacrifices.s I am further inclined to think that Mẹdipôta was an office, Mệ̀i being the same as Méli or Melli vulgo Melliah or Malia, ${ }^{4}$ the word pôta, which in Telngn means a sacrificial victim, being dropped for

[^146]the sake of brevity. It will now appear that the grant gives sufficient indications pointing to the procuring of a haman vietim. The village is secared as the residence of the preceptor of the joginits, who of course dwell in a haunted place, which is naturally avoided by others. The victim is obtained by purchase, with the mutual consent of the king and his subjects, the grant is made to non-Brâhmanas, the likely persons to take part in such a ceremony, and all this is done for the parpose of day $\hat{a}$ and dharma to all living creatures. Having referred to these main points, I pass over the minor ones which lead to the same conclusion, that this grant was made in favour of procurers of victims for human sacrifices. If therefore the purpose of the grant is really what it appears to be, then I fancy this is a nnique record and no similar inscription has hitherto been discovered.

Some remarks about the dynasty of the king mentioned in this grant will be found above on pp. 161 and fi., where I have dealt with the insoriptions of the Nágavaméi kings found in Bastar, most of. which are not yet published and which I propose to edit in due course as intimated before. The dynasty is clearly related to the Sinda family of Yelburga. Though styled "Lord of Bhôgâvatî, the best of cities," Madhurântakadêva appears to have been a Mandalikco (feudatory chief), as the verse in $11.24-25$ shows that his raj was limited to Bhramarakôtya, which is described as a mandala in 1. 15. He belonged to the Chhindaka family, one of the 36 Agnikulas ${ }^{1}$ mentioned by Chand Bardai, the court poet of Prọithvirâja.

With regard to the localities mentioned in the record, Râjapura is identical with the present village of the same name, 22 miles north-west of Jagdalpar (the capital of Bastar), on the bank of the Indrâvatî river. There are ruins of a fort there, and it is believed that it was once a royal capital. The present Râja family also dwelt there for some time. Chakrakôtya is, I feel little doabt, the town mentioned by the Kashmirian poet Bilhana in his Vikramânikadêvacharita, in which he records that Vikrama as yuvarâja set out on a series of warlike expeditions, with the permission of his father. He repeatedly defeated the Chôlas and plandered Kâñchî̀. He assisted the king of Malavà in regaining his kingdom and carried his arms as far north as Gauc̣a and Kâmarûpa. He attacked also the king of Simhala or Ceylon, destroyed the sandal wood forests of Malaya Hills and slew the lord of Kêrala. He finally conqnered Gângaknp̣da (IV.21) Vồngî (IV. 29) and Chakrakôṭa (IV. 30). After having accomplished these brilliant exploits Vikrama turned homewards, and, on coming as far as the Krishụ̂̂, he was suddenly disquieted by the news of his father's death. Dr. Bühler" remarks that "Bilhaṇa's rhapsodic treatment of this portion of Vikrama's career makes it impossible to determine the chronological order of these wars. Only so mach may be considered certain that his last exploits were performed in the soath as he came on his homeward march to the Krishṇ̂̂." There can be no ḋoubt about these exploits of Vikrama. They were, as related above, the conquest of Gâñgakuṇḍa, Vêñgí and Chakrakôta, and at least these seem to have been conquered in the order in which they have been mentioned. Gâñgakunda was the Chôla capital; situated in the north-east corner of the Trichinopoly district, ${ }^{3}$ whence Vikrama proceeded north to Vêngî, the conntry between the Krishṇ̂̀

[^147]Râjapura plates of Madhurântakadêva.-Śaka 987 .

$i z a$.
$i \ddot{i} b$,



iiib.

and the Gôdâvarî. He apparently crossed the latter and raided the country of Chakrakôta and then wended his way homewards. This occurred just a few years after the present grant was made (1065 A.D.), in as much as Vikrama became king in 1076 A.D. Many a southern king likewise raided this somewhat weak power, which must accordingly have been situated near to their kingdoms. Therefore Chakrakôta was not near Dhârâ, as some scholars have supposed, bat was contiguous to Vêngí, being situated in the present Bastar state. I think the confusion with Dhârâ is due to the fact that Chakrakôtya had a king named Dhârâvarsha (which has been apparently wrongly interpreted to mean 'king of Dhârầ'2). In an unpublished inscription found at Kuruspâl, a place close to Râjapura, there occurs Chalrakût tidhîsoarânîm kulam=alain karishṇuh . . . . samabhavad Dharâvarshanûmô narêŝvaraḥ. The Narâyanpâla inscription also mentions Dhârâvarsha, whose widow Guṇ̣a-mahâdêvî gave away.the Nârâyaṇapura village in her grandson's reign in the year 1111 A.D. ${ }^{3}$ The name Chakrakôtya probably survives in the present Critralkutta or Chitrakôtạ, 8 miles from Râjapura. Bhramarakôtya was possibly an alternative uame of Chakrakôtya, which seems to survive in Ghumara, a name given to the fall of the Indrâvatî at Chitrakôṭa,

## TEXT.

First Plate.

```
Óm \({ }^{4}\) svasti [1*] Sahasra-phaṇâmaṇi-Firaṇe-nikar-âvabhâsura-5Nagavamins-ô-
dbhava-Bhôgâvatî-pura-var-êsvara \({ }^{6}\) visada-jaya-paṭu-paṭaha-gâm.
```



```
chhana-(I)Chhimंdaka-kula-tilaka-kamala-bhâskara (II) mahâ-mahê-
svara(śvara)-charaṇa-kamala-sêvi-kimjalka-punja-pi[m*]jarita-bhra-
marâfamâna(ṇa) (II) surapati-vinirjita-dumadubhi-tûrya-rav-ô[t*]trâ-
sit-âri-chakra chirâ-lavdharjôyêta \({ }^{9}\) (I) êrâvat-ôpari-lavdha-lamiva \({ }^{10}\).
```



```
pa-kâl-âtita-sa[m*]vat 987 nava-sata-satâsî-sapta-13
```

Second Plate; First Side.
10 varshasa ${ }^{14}$ parâbhava-sam்vatum-abhyaḿtarah-kârtika-mésa-sukla-pakshêt vudha11 dinê nakvatra anuravê ${ }^{16}$ saubhágya-jôgē̉ 1 karaṇa-gajề ${ }^{18}$ | sarvê ${ }^{19}$ mahû-

[^148]12 trami siri-Madhuríñtakadêva || kamaral Kanharadêvah râjñî Nagala-maha.
13 dêvi l kumara ${ }^{2}$ Nâikah nâyaka Sûdrakah ${ }^{3}$ kamâra Tumgarâjah srê-4
$1 \pm$ shṭhi Puliama II êkânmatís -bhûtvâ pâtra 12 mêdipôta hastê dravyam
15 grihitaṃ suparṇna-gaḍŷ̂naka 70 Bhramarakôtya-maṇ̣̣ala-madhyê
15 Râjapura-grâmam pâda prakshâla[y*]itvâ hastê dhârâm pradatâ(ttaṃ) || â-chamidra-
17 târaka-prabhriti siva-nirmâlyam ${ }^{6}$ vaditaṃ kâla-kâl-ântarê grihnâa-7
15 mam̌ vadati || Vâṇârasyâḿ sahasra-linga bhagnê | sahasra-tatậga ${ }^{8}$ bhagnê
Second Plate; Second Side.
19 sahasra vrâhmaṇa ${ }^{9}$ | gô-sahasra-ghâtam krittâ | tasyasyôpi ${ }^{10}$ phalam் bhayati ! sva-
 varishaha-sahasrâṇi
 kâlâ kâ-
22 lê (yô) pâlanîyamin $\left(-y^{\hat{0}}\right)$ bhavadbhiḥ [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ sarvân=yôpôtami ${ }^{18}$ bhâginah pârthavêmídró bhû-
23 yồ yâchatê Râmachamimrạ̣ [IN*] Âkâs-ôtpatilis nidhi gaja da[t*]tami iti grâmya
24 vâhyam râja-dravyam || ${ }^{1+}{ }^{4} \mathrm{jâ}$ va chadras=cha sûrjass=cha jẫ̃a tishṭhati mêdinî || (I) jâva-

25 tu Bhramakôṭya râjavamía tâvata || chhuri-kâra mêḍipôtasya patra-
26 pautrê sâsanam ${ }^{15}$ pâlanîyam \| grâma-mêdhyồ ${ }^{16}$ chharí-pravadhami ${ }^{17}$ kô=pi na pravi-
27 sati ${ }^{18}$ || kulâyanî ${ }^{19}$-jôginî-âchâryasya(ḥ) sthalamं $n=a ̂ s t i ~ \| i l ~ e ̂ t a d=a r t h e ̂ ~$

## Third Plate; First Side.

28 grâman் griihîtamin dayâ-dharma-sarva-jamitu-(1)upakâr-ârtha-hêtumi || Chakrakô.
29 ṭya-manḍala-madhyê sâkshi nâyaka f́rî-Dhârêsvaraḥ(śvarah) Mudhasêli Naga-
30 hasti I karaṇa Dâriâ I Likhitam kâyastha-Dhânâkêna(h) iti
31 lekha[ṇ̂] dhritam kumara ${ }^{20}$ Tumgarája Dhâmadêva Gôvardhanah Danârdanaḅ̣ pâtra
32 Gâgirâ sâdhu Sâhârañga(-su ?) | Manavridhit-92 svahastô=yam matam=ârôpayan 33 ti |l

[^149]
## TRANSLATION.

Om! Hail! (In the reign of the king) born of the race of the Nâga (Cobra), which is resplendent with the mass of rays (proceeding from) the jewels in (its) thousand hoods; who is lord of Bhôgâvatî, the best of cities; while the space between the ten quarters is resounding with the deep sound from the shrill drums (proclaiming his) brilliant victories; whose crest is a bow and a tiger; who is (as it were) the sun to the lotus (-like) crest jewel of the Chhindaka family; who resembles a bee which is rendered yellow by the mass of the pollen served to the lotas feet of the great Mahếvara; whose circle of enemies is terrified by the sound of the dundubhi (drum) and tûrya (musical horn) won from Indra; who is ondued with victories gained since a long time; whose banner is the lotus and plantain (leaf) supported on (the back) of Airâvata (white elephant); and who is hailed by the sound of conches only; in the year of the (Saka) king 987 expired, in the month of Karttika, during the carrency of the Parabhava-samvatsara, in the bright fortnight, on Wednesday, in the Anuradha lunar mansion, in the Saubhâgya $y o ̂ g a$ and Gara karana, in all these anspicious moments, the illustrious Madhurântakadêva, Prince Kanharadêva, Queen Nâgala Mahâdêvi, Prince Nâyaka, Nâyaka Ŝûdraka, Prince Tungarâja and Śrêshṭhin Puliama, having unanimonsly agreed, the village Râjapura (situated) in the Bhramarakôtya manḍala is granted, after washing the feet and (accompanied) with pouring streams (of water), (and) 70 gadyánaka gold are received in the hand of (by) Mêḍipôta, (who is the head) of the twelve pâtras (persons worthy of receiving gifts). The gift is declared as Sivanirmâlya (as sacred as a gift offered to Siva and therefore inviolable), as long as the moon, the stars, etc., endure. If any one from time to time says: "I take it," the result for him also (will be the same as in the case of) breaking a thousand lingas in Bậ̣âras, breaking a thousand tanks, and killing a thousand Brâhmaṇs and a thousand cows.
"He who resumes land given by himself or given by another becomes a worm in ordure for sisty thousand years."
"Common is this religious bridge to princes, and it should be gaarded by you from age to age. Thus does Râmachandra again and again conjure all fature lords of the earth."

The produce from the heavens, deposits (in the earth) and (wild) elephantal are given, bat other things outside the village are the State property. So long as the sun and the moon and the earth and the royal race of Bhramarakôtya endure, so long (must this charter be observed). This oharter is to be respected in the case of Chhurikâra Medipôta's sons and grandsons.

Nobody enters the chhuriprabandha at the village sacrifice. There is no place for the preceptor of the resident (local) jôginîs. For this purpose this village is taken, for the benefit of all creatures, for the purpose of (showing) kindness and (performing) virtue. In the Chakrakôțya manḍala the witnesses are :-Nâyaka Śrî Dhârốvara, Muđhasêli, Nâgahasti, (and) Karaṇa Dâria. Written by Dhânûka Kâyastha.

The pen (engraving stylus) (was) tonched by Kumâra Tungaraja, Dhâmadêva, Gôvardhana, Danârdana, Pâtra Gâgirâ (and) Sâdhu Sâhârañga (Sâhârasu ?). This is in Maṇarṛidhi's hand(writing) (which) sets up (expresses) the (general) opinion.
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# No. 24.-STHAWA STONE INSCRIPTION OF KARNARAJA. 

THE ŚAKA YEAR 1114.
By Hira Lal, B.A., Nagpur.
This insoription is on a slab built into the wall of the Karnêśvar, vulgo K anêsar, temple at Sihâwâ, the principal village of the tract of that name in the Dhamtarî taḅṣ̂l of the Raipar District in the Central Provinces. It was first noticed in the Asiatic Researches, Vol. XV. p. 50j, and it is referred to by General Cunningham in his Reports, Vol. VII. p. 145. The place does not seem to have been visited by any archæologist. The inscription was brought to notice by the District officials, who thought it sufficient to ascertain the date, and the full contents of the record have hitherto remained unknown. I therefore edit it from an ink impression supplied by Mr. Gokul Prasâd Iśvardâs, Taḅ̣̂̂̀ldâr of Dhamtarî, from which a reduced facsimile is reproducad in the accompanying plate.

The inscription contains 16 lines covering a space $22^{\prime \prime} \times 13 \frac{2^{\prime \prime}}{3}$. The letters are bold and well formed. They are all intact excepting one which is broken off in line 2. Their average size is about $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. The script is Nâgarî, and the language is Sanskrit. The whole of the inscription is written in verse, except the invocation in the beginning, $\sigma_{m}$ namah Sivaya, and the name of the sûtradhâra at the end. There are altogether 13 verses, 4 in the Vasantatilaka metre, 8 d nushtubhs and one Upajäti.

The following are the principal orthographical peculiarities :- $s$ is almost invariably used for $\delta$. The sign of the avagraha is not nsed at all (see lines 3,4 and 15 ). Letters following an anusvâra changed from a nassl are doubled (lines 2, 10, and 13). Letters with a rêpha are sometimes donbled and sometimes not. Instances of doubling may be seen in lines 1 and 15 , and of non-doubling in lines $2,3,4,5$ and 11 , while lines $8,9,10$ and 14 afford instances of both. In conjunct letters $n$ has been used instead of the proper nasal as in panchakain and punyatah in lines 12 and 15. Simha is spelt throughout as simgha, following the usual vulgar pronanciation, and, finally, in line 1 the vowel $r^{i}$ is used instead of the ri, tritaya being written as tritaya.

The nbject of the inscription is to record the constraction of five temples, two in his parents' name, two in his own, and one in his issueless brother's, by king Karnarâja of Kâkaira, and of one by his wife, queen Bhôpalla-dêvi. These were all bailt at the sacred place Dêvahrada. The date of the inscription is given in the last verse as Saka 1114, without any other details as to the day or month, etc. The inscription was accordingly written in the year A.D. 1191-92, apparently after the completion of the whole group of temples, and it was put up in one of the king's own temples, in which Siva was enshrined. The other one of those which he had built for himself was dedicated to Kês̃ava, who apparently occupied a secondary place in his estimation. The temple in which the slab is found, is still called Kanêsar or Karṇéśvara, after the king's own name. The writer was the sûtradhâra sûpâ, and the composer of the prasasti Nrisimiha.

The inscription opens with an invocation of the three-eyed Śiva, and in the second verse the moon, as the progenitor of the dynasty, is praised. Then begins the genealogy of the king, commencing with Simharâja, whose son was Vâgharâja, from whom was born Vôpadễa, the father of Karnarâja, who married Bhôpalla-dêvî, and who, having conquered all the neighbouring
princes, assumed the title of a paramount sovereign. Combining the information from the othet



It would appear that Vôpadêva had four sons, but the probability is that he had only three, the doabtfal Krishṇa of the Kanker prasasti being probably identical with Karna of our inscription. Evidently the three brothers were all ruling obiefs, who appear to have divided the state between them and selected their residences in different places, though always keeping in touch with the ancestral capital a,t Kakaira and recognising one amongst themselves as over-lord. Karna seems to have had a priedilection for a site near the older capital, viz., Sihâwâ, and was probably living in Dhanôrâ, now in the Bastar State, at a distance of abont 28 miles from Sihâwá. In this village my friend Rai Bahâdur Pandâ Baijnâth, B.A., Administrator of the Bastar State; has recently discovered ancient remains, there being about 20 tanks and 25 mounds, one of which he excavated and found in it a huge Śiva linga with beautiful carvings. Dhanôra is enclosed by hills on three sides, and is a likely place selected by a Raja for his residence. There is a local tradition that a Râja Karna raled there, although the poople of that place do not even now know of the existence of any inscription mentioning his name. Sômarâja and his son Pamparâja favoured Pâdi-pattana, which I cannot identify. It was possibly somewhere towardi Dhamtarí side. Ranakêsarin was issueless, as our inscription informs us, and he was probably wholly dependent on his brother Karnaraja, as we find the latter building a temple in his name to perpetuate his memory-a thing whioh he would perhaps have done himself had he been his own master. If he was ruling as a chief subordinate to his brother, we have no information as to
where he had selected his residence. Thare can be little doubt that all these branches of $t$ : Eâkaira family owed allegiance to some other power, which was very probably represented if the Haihaya kings of Scuth Kôsala, as the use of the Kalachuri era by Pamparâjadêva wocl indicate. Karnarâja was apparently more ambitious than the rest. He sabdued the neighbonv" ing princes, as our inscription relates, and probably set himself up as an independent ruler in the out-of-the-way jungles; that is perhaps the reason why he used the Saka era in his inscrip. tion instead of the Kalachuriera, thas intimating that he did not acknowledge the Haikay domination, unless it was customary to use the Kalachuri reckoning in official documents involving disposal of property, etc., while the older Saka era was used for other religious and general parposes.

The earliest datel of this line of kings is that whioh we get from the present inscription, viz., 1192 A.D., and the latest is that of Bhânudêta, 1320 A.D., there being thus a difference of 128 years between Karṇarâja and Bhânudêva. Karparâjau stood in the same relationslip t. Bhânudêva as did the first ancestor Simharâja to Karnarâja. Simima was great-grandfather uf Karnarâja, the latter being great-grandfather (or great-granduncle) of Bhânudêva, and if : similar interval is allowed between Sinha and Karnaraija, Simha's time would be about loiss A.D. According to this calculation the generations would be very long-lived, about 42 year each on an average, which is hardly probable, though in sporadic cases there would be nothin: extraordinary about it. The present Raja family, according to its traditions, believes its first ancestor to have come from Orissa about Vikrama Samvat 1150 or 1093 A.D., and this curiously fits in well with the evidence afforded by the inscriptions under consideration. Apparently, then, Simharâja was the first emigrant, and he came about the end of the eleventh century or the beginning of the twelfth. Tho Râja family story ${ }^{2}$ as related before (above, page $12 \pm$ ) is to the effect that a Purî king, having become a leper, quitted that placo and oame to Sihâwâ, which was merely a dense jungle, where he fonnd a spring of water in which ho took a bath which removed his leprosy. He was then installed king of that place, and it is possible that the locality was named after him, being called Simha + avah $=$ Simhluiwah (the comfort of Simha) which finally was corrupted into Sihâwâ. From onr inscription it appears that it was also known as Dêvahrada tirtha or the holy lake of the gode, and there was certainly ample reason ${ }^{3}$ for calling it so. In fact a large portion of the Sihâwâ tract seems to have been regarded as a piece of holy land. Local tradition avers that it was the hermitage of the rishi Śringin, who is still worshipped there. Five miles from this place is the village Ratâ wâ where Angâra (Anggiras) rishi used to live, and Machukunda had his ásrama in the village Mechakâ 22 miles from Sihâwâ. About 10 miles west of Sihâwâ there is Dêvakûṭa (the hillock of the gods), which also

[^151]contains rains of old temples. ${ }^{1}$ At Sibâwâ there are two old temples close together in a pretty fair state of preservation. One of them, in which our inscription was found, is dedicated to Mahâdêva, and it is certainly identical with one of the two mentioned in line 12. The other is dedicated to Râmachandra, but the people say that it was also formerly a Siva temple and that the present statues of Râma and otbers in it were brought from the rained temple (about 300 yards away) near the Amrita Kund, a bath in which is reputed to have cured the leprosy of the first Kanker king. One of these must be the temple dedicated to Kêsava. There are three other temples olose by, which may possibly be those constructed by Karnaraja in his parents' and brother's name. In the village Bhîtarrâs, which means 'the interior' and which probably formed the interior of the Sihâwâ town in its days of glory, there is another old temple containing idols not easily distinguishable but stated by the people to represent Râmachandra, etc., and this may possibly be the temple which Bhôpalla-dêvî built. It cannot, however, be confidently identified, the probability for such a conjecture being based on another supposition, viz,, that Bhôpalla-dêvî was a Vaishṇavî, while ber lord was a Śaiva. It appears that it was in deference to her that Karnarâja dedicated one of his temples to Kêfava. And as one temple erected in her name was thus built on the holy spring, it seems reasonable to suppose that she should have selected a site for another which she built independently, in the interior of the town.

TEXT.

3 एगएसाएसिलामनोच्मदिकामिनीषदनदर्पश्यमएडलसी:ं । देष: ससी ${ }^{7}$ विजय तेत्रि-
4. तप: पयोधिमु[ताफलं] परसिरोमुकुटेकरबं ॥२॥ ${ }^{9}$ पुरूव:प्रभृतिभि: नुर्ष्चोवद्वधन्वय: । त-

6 चरए: किल वाधराज: ${ }^{13}$ राजन्यती समभवब्कगती समन्ताब्येन प्रजाधरि बतां भजता नृपेब ॥
7 188\| ${ }^{14}$ वोपदेवोभवत्तस्मात्मिंघ: ${ }^{15}$ सिंघादिवापर: । येन विशासिता अग्मुद्विस: ${ }^{18}$ सनुन्टर्पद्विपा: ॥था

[^152] नद्रपाएभ-
9 याव्मवेष्टुं ${ }^{3}$ काकररदेसममलं न कलिः समर्थः \|दी ${ }^{4}$ तस्य भोपझ्ศदेघीति महिषी वरवर्षिनी । ${ }^{5}$ वभूव:
10 मेने यांकोको सच्क्मीं चितिगतामिव $\| \vartheta{ }^{6}$ बलवाहुवीर्यैाय विजित्य सर्ख्वानु पान्तदेशाधिपतीन्मम-
11 न्तास् । सेवाकरोपायनदानसीलांसकार ${ }^{2}$ साम्याज्यपदं दधान: $\|F\|$ तोर्थ देवॠ्छद तेन क्रतं प्रासा-
12 दपन्वकं । खीयं तन्र हयं जातं यन्र शंकरकेसवो ${ }^{10}\|ع\| \|^{11}$ पिवभ्यां प्रददौ चान्यत्क्षरयित्वा ${ }^{12}$ हयं तृप: ।
 सरालयं। ${ }^{15}$ तदंसचौए-
14 तां ज्ञाता भ्रातुसेहेन कंसंराट् ॥११॥ ${ }^{18} भ ो$ पद्धदेव्या तनेष प्रासाद; कारितः सु: ${ }^{17}$ | भर्तु: संस्नेष. ${ }^{18}$
15 मिक्स्या देष्षत: ${ }^{19}$ पुन्यतस्तथा ॥२२॥ चतुर्देसीत्तत्तर ${ }^{20}$ सेयमेकादसे सते सके । वर्छतंता सर्व्वतो निल्यं
16 नृसिंब्वे ${ }^{21}$ क्रतिना ध्रता १शः॥ सूनधा[ $[$ :*] स्या

Ón! Salutation to Siva.
(Verse 1.) Let that triad of eyes of Siva, consisting of fire, sun and moon, protect you on all sides-(that triad) which out of kindness burns the miseries of this world (and) illaminates and pleases it daily.
(V. 2.) May the god moon be victorions-(the moon) who is a good whetstone for sharpening the arrows of Cupid, who is (the embodiment of) the splendoar on the orb of the mirror (-like) faces of the maidens of the (varions) quarters of the sky, who is a pearl from the ocean (emanating in the form of) Atri's penance, and (who is) the only jewel on the crest of Siva's head.
(V. 3.) In that (moon's) family which prospered through Purûravas and other kings there was a king Simharajas who was the leader of (other) kings.

(V.4.) From him was born Vâgharâja, whose feet were indeed scratched by the friction of diadems (adorning the heads) of (other) kings (prostrating themselves before him). By (this) king, who was devoted to his subjects like a father, the world came to be well-ruled on all sides.
(V. 5.) From this lion as it were was born Vôpadêva, another lion, frightened by whom the elephant like hostile kings went (i.e. retired) to the (various) cardinal points (of the compass).
(V. 6.) From him was born the illustrious Karnadêva (who was) the splendour (adorning) the assembly of kings, whose glory was (well) known, and through fear of whose sword in the Sorm of dispensation of justice the Kali (age) was unable to enter the spotless Kâkaira country.
(V. 7.) His queen was the beantiful Bhôpalladêvi, whom the people considered as if she were Lakshmî (goddess of wealth) come to this earth.
(V.8.) Having completely conquered the lords of all the neighbouring countries by the force of his arm and having secured paramount sovereignty (over them), (he) cansed them to become devoted to his service, to pay tribute and presents and to become charitable.
(V. 9.) In the holy place Dêvahrada five edifices were built by him. Two of them were Lis own, where Śaǹkara and Kếsava (are enshrined).
(V. 10.) The king, having cansed two other temples of the god of gods, the holder of the trident, ${ }^{1}$ to be built bestowed them on (i.e. dedicated them in the name of) his parents.
(V. 11.) One temple Karnarâja gave to (i.e. dedicated in the name of) king Raṇakêsarin, through fraternal affection, knowing that his lineage was to become extinct.
(V. 12.) There, an auspicious temple was also caused to be built by Bhôpalla-dêvi, who wished for the union with her hasband (both) in body as well as in meritorious acts.
(V. 13.) This (was done) in the Śaka year eleven handred increased by fourteen. Let prosperity daily attend. Composed by the clever Nrisimha.

The Sûtradhâra (architeot) was Sûpâ (P).

# No. 25.-VASANTGADH INSCRIPTION OF VARMALATA; [ VIKRAMA] SAMVAT 682. 

By D. R. Bhandariar, M.A. ; Poona.

Last year a summary of this inscription was published by Prof. Kielhorn, ${ }^{2}$ with a promise to publish the full text on some future occasion. The impressions sent by Pandit Gaurishankar Hirachand Ojha of Udaipur were not suffioiently clear for that purpose. During the touring season ending March 1906, my work chielly lay in the Sirohi State. I was thus able to inspect the original stone in person and take the best possible impressions. When the summary was afterwards published on my return to head-quarters, I sent my impressions to Prof. Kielhorn. But, as oircumstances arose which prevented him from publishing them, the impressions were

[^153]inatita to whom the temple was dedicated. The second name is Pratîhara Bôtaka, the fir ${ }^{\text {gt }}$ which words I think signifies the race. Boṭaka was thus a Pratîhâra, i.e. Paḍiar, and this ${ }^{\text {is }}$ the earliest instance of the denomination Pratîhâra occurring in an inscription. The third $\boldsymbol{I}^{\boldsymbol{p m}^{\text {me }}}$
 meaning the foreign secretary. ${ }^{1}$

Prof. Kielhorn thinks that the name of Varmalâta spoken of in our inscription as $\mathrm{p}^{\text {red }}$ mount sovereign settles the date of the poet Mâgha. It would be impossible not to tagree ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ith
 vadha, of the name of the king at whose court Mâgha's grandfather Suprabhadêva is stated to have beld the office of prime-minister, the variant Varmalata is to be seleoted as the most likely one. But to the identification of this prince with the Varmalata of our inscription, suppoging the date V.E. 682 to be correct, it is possible to raise an objection. As every student who hes read the Sísiupitlavadha knows, Mâgha in his work distinctly alludes to the two grammatical treatises, the Kitsikicurritti and its commentary called Nyûsa. The former is the joinit production of Jayiditya and Vamana, and, with regard to the former author, the Chinese traveller I-tsiug informs us in unmistakeable terms that he died alout A.D. 661-662. It should, moreover, be borne in mind that the author of the Nyâsa was Jinêndrabuddhi, who like Jayâditya was a follower of the Buddha. ${ }^{2}$ And it is inconceivable that I-tsing, one of whose principal objeots in coming to India was to collect informstion aboat Buddhist authors, could have passed him over in silence, if the latter had flourished before A.D. 695 when the Chinese traveller's departure from India took place. The conclasion is, therefore, irresistible that the anthor of the Nyisia could not have lived before the first half of the 8th century. Mâgha, tharefore, has to be assigned to the latter part of the 8th century. This line of argument adduced by Prof. Pathak ${ }^{3}$ appears to me to be worth considering. Dr. Konow, however, informs me that he does not think it convincing. He says, "the argumentum ex silentio is always ungafe, and, even if we admit that Jinêndrabuddhi cannot have written before A.D. 695, that cloes not disprove Professor Kielhorn's identification of our Varmalâta with the king whose minisiter Mâgha's grandiather was. Oar inscription may very well date from a time previons to his appointment as minister, and it does not, at any rate, make it impossible to bring Mâgha down to the first twenty years of the 8th century. There is nothing to hinder us from supposing that Jinêndrabudahi flourished about A.D. 700. The alleged reference to his work in the Sisurallavadha would, $\mathbf{I}$ think, be more intelligible if we suppose it to have been a new work at the time when Magha wrote his poem."

I quite accept Dr. Konow's main conclusions. But I agree with Profeesor Patbak that the argumsntum ex silentio carries some weight in this particular instance. One of the chief objects I-tsing had in view was to gather all available information about Buddhist anthors in India. And, when we consider that he has even mentioned his own contemporaries, it is very unlikely that he should have failed to notioe Jinêndrabuddhi, if the latter had actually achieved fame in his time. As pointed out by Dr. Konow, there is, however, nothing to prevent us from considering Mâgha and Jinêndrabuddhi as contemporaries. The mere fact that one author quotes another one, only shows that he knows him, and not necessarily that he belongs to a later time. Mâgla and Jinêndrabuddhi can, therefore, very well both have lived at the beginning of the eighth centary, and Professor Kielhorn's identification of the two Varmalâtas remains unaffected by Professor Pathak's argument.

[^154]The place Vața, where the feudatory prince Râjjila was reigning, is doubtless Vasantgadh itself. This will be seen from the fact that the temple io Kshêmâryà, said in the inscription to have been built by the gôshtht of Data, is no other than the temple of Khimel meth in $V_{\text {asantgadh of }}$ which the inscription stone originally formed part. This conclusion is confirmed by the fact that the topples dedicated to the Sun and Brahmầ mentioned as existing in Vatapura m the inscription of Pârnapâla dated V.E. 1099, are still existing at Vasantraulh. A slightly different name for the place, viz. Vaṭakara, occurs in li. We have a similar instance of a certain old place called both Ârâsaṇa and Ârâsapâkara. The remains :t Vasantgadh have been fully described and the question regarding the name Vitta and Vatâkara is fully discussed in the Progress Report of the Archeological Duce of India, Wester m Circle, for the months July $19 \%$ to March 1906, inclusive: pp. $49 \mathrm{ff}{ }^{1}$

TEXT. ${ }^{2}$
1 ध्रों नम: \| घातुर्याई योगनिद्रा [नलन] - $\cup$ [नस्या]कृतिण्विश्व

2 ाच्तिस्मव्वल्लोके स्टतिरपि च सतां या ग्रुतिर्बह्वगीता सा देवी दुग्गरेषु प्रदिशतु जगते मङ्गलानीह दुग्गी:.: ॥ [?*] नियतमतिप्रयीतप-
3 रस्याजो यागे ${ }^{6}$ क्रयाफलेष्वसक्रत् [1*] क्षेमार्य्य चेमकरी विदघःतु शिवारनि
 4. वर्मलातनृपति $\backslash$ पतिर वनेरधिकबस वीय्यं: ॥ [₹*] कोचित्मन्देशमाब्जैरतिविशूदपः मुद्रया पारगंर्चा ${ }^{10}$ केचिचान्ये प्रकामं प्रतिवचनयुतैर्क $[T]$
 तेनेख्धं सव्नरन्द्रव्रतमनुचरता भासिता भूमिपाला: ${ }^{11} \|\left[8^{*}\right]$ तस्याशेषविशे6 [घ]दोषरच्हितान्युष्णाति भत्तया गुणान् (1) नाम्ना वज्गमटेति भृत्यपदवीमाश्रित्य सत्याश्रय: [1*] ख्यात: कीत्तिमतामलघ्यच रित: ${ }^{12}{ }^{13}$ ग्रीमातुरप्यर्जन ${ }^{14}$ किय
 प्रिये $\backslash$ प्रभ्रयादिसकलेन्म्महागुणु ${ }^{18} \quad$ [1*] रज्जिलोभवदश्येषराजकव्याप्तकी-
${ }^{1}$ In 1.9 of the Vasantgaḍh inscription of Pûrnapâla, Vats is also spoken of as the name of a country. In verge 17 of the mattâ-kt-sal inscription found at Ghațiyâlà (Jour. R. As. Soc. 1895, p. 518), the expression Vadant tuaya-mandala occurs. This is doubtless identical with Vaṭa-Nanaka-mnndada. Here the country or district is not called simply after Data (Vasantgaḍ) but also after Nanak which evidently is the present N" rat, about thirteen miles northeast of it.
${ }^{2}$ From the original stone.
${ }^{4}$ Read के लासीचाइ
${ }^{6}$ Read क्रिया ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a symbol.
${ }^{5}$ Read दुर्ग्गा ; metro - Sracrllesrâ.
${ }^{7}$ Metre: Âryâ; and also of the verse fluxing.
${ }^{8}$ Wrong for ${ }^{\circ}$ उयाषार' which would not have suited the metre.

${ }^{18}$ The letter प्य is not in the same line with र anil $\begin{gathered}\text { ज. } \\ \text {. but is engraved exactly below betwen these two letters. }\end{gathered}$ and two vertical strokes are added one above and one below between them to draw attention to the mission

${ }^{16}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गुरा:.
[VoL. IX.

 देव्याश्शासति रान्यं कारापकस्तु स्वनः पितामहास्यस सल्यदेवाए्य: [1*] गोधघा प्रसादपरया

 यावस्त्रार्कभास-





13 [गो]fिकाश्न ${ }^{13}$ [1] राजिल
राजस्थानीयादिलमट । जा (?)ब(?)खी च चन्द्रक । प्रतीहारबोटक धनदत्त[ब]सु [1]
14 घुषक $r$ धो नकपुचसल्यदेव । कविलक । घनदत्त । गोमिक । षरिगुक्त 1 [ब]पक 1 पपोह 1 सल्यदेव । रेभिलाक । रतिदास तरब 1————咞

16 तता । मिलमाबकु । सत्तमदेव । बए्रास ——। शीमतागणिका 17 बूटानां्नो " * ॥ एवमेषां गोष्ठिकाराषां मैना-

[^155]
# No. 26. - DAULATABAD PLATES OF THE RASHTRAKUTA SANKARAGANA; SAKA-SAMVAT 715. 

By D. R. Beandarear, M.a., Poona.

These plates were in the possession of a Tongawalla, named Bhau Devram Bhat, a Brahmakshatrî̀ by caste, and residing at Daulatâbâd, in the Nizam's territory. They seem to have been preserved as heirloom in his family, and, according to the account given by him, it appears that they were given to his great-grandfather as a sanad. The plates were obtained on loan by me from the owner through Maulvi Syed Mohammad Bilgrami, First Tâlnkdâr, Aarangâbâd district, who was kind enough to accede to my request promptly.

There are three plates, each of which measures about $77_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ long by about $5^{\prime \prime}$ broad. The first plate is inscribed on one side only, and the remaining ones on both sides. The edges of the inner sides of them are fashioned slightly thicker'sp as to protect the writing, and the inscription is, on the whole, in a state of almost perfect preservation. The plates are strung on a circular ring, the ends of which are joined together by means of a knob bearing a ronghly round seal, containing, in relief on a countersunk surface, a representation of Garuḍa, squatting and facing full-front; his hands are joined, palm to palm, on the chest, and are turned upwards; his legs rest one npon the other, and two projections at the sides denote his wings.

The characters of the original insoription belong to the northern class of alphabets prevailing in the 8th century. With regard to the forms of individual letters, attention may be drawn to $\hat{a}$, e.g. in $\hat{A} s \imath^{\circ}, 1.2$; to $n$, which looks almost exactly like $m$, e.g. in ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} p u l i n a{ }^{\circ}, 1.12$; to $\dot{r} g a$ in bhañga, 1. 14; to $\dot{n} k a$ in Srî-Sanikaraganarâja, 1. 27; to rya in vîryô, 1. 20 ; and to $\tilde{n} c h a$ in ${ }^{\circ}$ pañchabhic ${ }^{\circ}$ 1. 35. The alphabet also includes signs for the numerals 7,1 , and 5 in line 41. The last sign is almost exactly like that for 5 given in the Sâmângadh grant of Dantidarga, where the date is also expressed in words. No reasonable doubt can, therefore, be entertained as to the correct reading of the date of our inscription. The language is Sanskrit. Up to almost the close of line 24 the insoription is in verse, and two benedictive and imprecatory verses occur in lines $38-40$; the rest is in prose. The namber of verses at the beginning is 12. Of these, verses 1-9 also occur in other early Râshṭrakutut records. The remaining three verses are peculiar to this inscription, and are historically important. The whole of line 31 after the word vra (bra) hmachari and a part of the line following have unquestionably been tampered with. They contained names of the donee and the village granted, whioh have been cancelled and replaced by new ones by heating the plates and beating in the letters originally engraved. The boundaries of this new village are specified after abont the middle of line 42 , where the original inscription really ends, down to line 55. The letters of these lines are as carelessly written and are of exactly the same type as those incised in lines 31-32 jast referred to. Lines 42-55 are thas a continuation of the forgery commenced in lines $31-32$. In respect of orthography, the letter $b$ is throaghout denoted by the sign for $v$; there is an indifference about the dóubling of consonants after $r$; the visarga is often wrongly omitted; the vowel $r i$ is employed instead of $r i$
 letter $g h$ is employed instead of $h$ onoe in rajja-simghah, 1. 4; and an amesvára in conjunction with a following $n$ is changed to that letter once in dhvastin=nay $a^{\circ}, 1.2$.

The inscription records a grant by Samarâvalôka-śri-Sankaragana-raja of the Râhturakata family. In the introdnctory metrical portion, his genealogy is given, beginining with Gôvindaraja I. The genealogy set forth as far as Krishṇaraja I. is in verses $1-9$ whioh, as already said, are to be met with in many other grants of this dyassty, and which consequently teach us nothing new. It then desoribes Gôvinds II. in the following words in verse 10, ocoupying 11. 19-21 and bearing a double entendre: "His son was king Gôvindarâja who was like Hari (Krishna) inasmuch as both were fond of battles, inasmuch as the former was celebrated foy
having snatched away the glory of srî-Pârijâta just as the latter was for having carried off ti: greatness of the auspicious pirijüta (tree), and inasmuch as the prowess of his arms was show: by the former by supporting Gôvardhana just as it was shown by the latter by uplifting tbs Gôvardhana (mountain)." The mythological sense is clear enough, and, besides, the verse seems to show that Gôvinda II. defeated a king of the name of Pârijâta, and made alliance with, ant espoused the cause of, another prince called Gôvardhana. ${ }^{1}$ The names Pârijâta and Gôvardhans are new, and have not so far been met with in epigraphic records. Then follows a verse (11) which is as important as it is anfortanately corrupt. But if the emendations proposed by me are accepted, the following appears to be its sense: "His younger brother was Nirupama, who, an perceiving him solf-conceited, abandoned by (feudatory) princes, and even deprived of polics, assumed the royal authority placed (in the hands of a person) other than one possessed of devotion for the elders, in order that the sovereignty might not deviate from the family." What thf verse means is that Gôvinds II. was a self-conccited ruler and resorted to bad policy, which resulted in the regal authority being held by a person who had no respect for the Râshțrakûta sovereigns that preceded him, and consequently created disaffection amongst his feudatory chieftains, who deserted him, and that Dhruva-Nirapama fearing that the sovereignty would pass away to another dynasty, was oompelled to take the reins of the government into his hands and thas rule over his brother's dominions. The Dêolì and Karhâd grants of Kṛishụa III., however, give a slightly different account. They record that "sensual pleasures made Gôvindarâja careless of the kingdom, and that, entrusting fully the universal sovereignty to his younger brother, Nirupama, he allowed his position as sovereign to become loose." The discrepancy in the tro accounts is with regard to whether Dhrava-Nirupama was actually entrusted by Gôvind̉a II. with the management of the kingdom, the Dêoli and Karhâd grants saying that it was so, but our insoription implying that it was not so, as it was left to the care of one who was anything but attached to the Rêshtrakûta family. ${ }^{2}$ But the facts, the actual occurrences, mentioned in our charter, which is the earlier of the two and consequently more reliable, could not have been distorted, though the motives might have been coloured and differently expressed; and bence What most probably happened was that Gôvinds II. gave himself up to sensual pleasures and allowed the government of his kingdom to slip into the hands of a person other than Dhrave, and not of Dhrupa himself, às the Dêôlì and Karhâd grants claim, and whether it was to remose the danger thereby carsed to the stability of the Râshṭrakûța supremacy, as the motive is stated in our inscription, or, what is more likely, his mind lusted after sovereignty, for securing whioh a splendid opportunity had presented itself when Gôvinda II. gave himself up to vicious courses, Dhruva-Nirupama was successful in making himself ruler of his brother's dominions.

Verse 12 informs as that the paternal unole of (Dhrava-)Nirupama was Srí-Nanna, brother of S̈ri-Krishnarâja and son of Śri-Kakkaraja. Then follows the preamble of the prose passage which usually precedes the formal part of a copper-plate inscription, and therein the

[^156]name of the grantor Samarâvaloka-SHrî-Sankaragaṇareaja is specifed, and he is mentioned as son of Sri-Nanna just referred to. It is worthy of note that no titles have been coupled with his name. He was thus not even a feudatory chieftain. It is, therefore, no wonder that he is spoken of as issuing the charter with the express consent of Srî-Kalivallabha Narêndradêva. The latter was doubtless an epithet of (Dhruva-)Nirupama, who was then the paramount sovereigu and whose cousin Śankaragana was. The proper object of the inscription is stated in 11. 28-33, but, as said above, the names of the original donee and the village granted to him which were engraved in $11.31-32$, have been erased, and new ones incised in characters which, though old, are not quite legible. The only particular which has survived of the original grantee is that he had emigrated from Tênvi, and the expression tat-pâla-pujourthain yurtducshinut, which occurs in l. 33 , shows that he was the preceptor of Sankaragana. Lines $34-36$ contain a request to future ralers to respect the donation, and threaten with spiritual punishment those who might rescind it. Lines 37-40 quote two of the customary benedictive and imprecatory verses. And the original inscription then concludes thus: "And this charter was written by Chandayika by order of the supreme ruler, when 715 years had elapsed in accordance with the era of the Saka Kings." The supreme ruler here alluded to is (Druva-) Nirupama, and the Śaka year 715 must consequently refer to his reign. The earliest record- the Paithan grant - of his son and successor Gôrinda III, is dated in Śaka 716. It is thus plain that (Dhruva-) Nirupama could not have lived long after Saka 715 when our grant was made.

After this commences the second part of the forged record. It has been mentioned abore that the names of the grantee and the village granted engraved in the original inscription in lines 31-32 have been crased, and new ones substituted for them by beating in the previons letters. The name of the new grantee cannot be made out, but his gôtra specified is Bhârad. vâja. Secondly, the name of the new village incised appears to be something like Sâmira. And it is the boundaries of this village that are now specifed in lines $42-53$ after the completion of the old genuine inscription. The vames are written so carelessly and the composition is so full of grammatical inaccuracies that it is not possible to bo here definite about anything. In line 52 is given the expression vale(lla) lha-narundra which is an epithet generally borne by the Râshtrakutta ralers. The conneation of this expression, however, with what precedes and follows is not clear. The forged document ends with Sri-Bhatah(tî)rka-matah $\| 800$. The name Blutitrha reminds one of the inscriptions of the Valabhi princes, and if the numerals taken for 800 are correctly read and represont a date, as is highly probable, it must be referred to the Valabhi era, and it thus becomes equivalent to A.D. 1119 which may be taken to be the date of the fabrication of the forged record. Our copper-plate charter, as has been said at the outset, was in the possession of a person from Danlatâbâd, a Brahmakshatrí by caste, and has been preserved in his family as heirloom. As Brahmakshatris in the Dekkan are known originally to have come from Kâthiâwâr, it is not anlikely that somobody in his family, after securing these plates. tampered with them in order to use them as documentary evidence to strengthen his otherwise disputable claim to the village therein mentioned, and it is but natural that he should engrave the name Bhaṭarka after the manner of the Valabhi plates which he must have either seen himself in Kâṭhiâwâr or known about from his forefathers.

## TEXT. ${ }^{1}$

First Plate.


2 कलया कमलंख्धतं ॥ [?*] ${ }^{1}$ फासोद्रण्तिमिरमुब्यतम हलाग्रो घस्तिययं- ${ }^{2}$
 4 राज द्रति राजसु राजसिंघ:" (॥) [२*] तस्यात्मनो जगति विস्रुतदीर्धंकीर्ती 5 रार्त्तिंतिष्छारिर्हरिविक्रमधामधारी । ${ }^{5}$ पूपस्तृ विष्टपन्टपानुक्षति:
6 क्वतक्ष: ग्रोककराज प्रति गोन्नमयिर्ष्वंभूव । (II) [₹*] तस्य पभिस्व-
7 करटघ्युतद़ानद स्सिदंसिप्रहारविषमोभिखितांस्सपीठ:7
8 चाप: चितौ चपितमनुरभूत्तनूज: सद्रास्र्भूटकनकाट्रुविन्द्र - ${ }^{-}$
9 राज: (II) [8*] तस्योपार्जिततपसस्स स्यतुकदधिवलयमालिन्या ।
10 भोत्रा भुवि ${ }^{10}$ มतक्रतुसद्श: श्रीदन्निदुर्गराजोभूत् ( (11) [x*] ग्रासेतोब्विपुबी- ${ }^{11}$
11 पसावलिललमोलोर्मियेलाजलाटाप्रालेयकसांकितोमलन ${ }^{12}$
12 शिलाबाला तुषाराचल्तात् 1 आपूर्व्वपरषारिए। शिपुलिनमान्त-

Second Plate; First Side.


15 समं सपदि दएकवसीन ${ }^{15}$ जित्वा राजाधिराजपरमेख्वरतामवाप. (II) [ $\left.0^{*}\right]$ कास्शी-

$17{ }^{17}$ वलमचिन्बमनोयमन्थे: भृत्थ ${ }^{18}$ कियनिर्रिप य: सहसा विजिग्ये (॥) [ $\left.\tau^{*}\right]$ तस्मिं ${ }^{18}$ दि-
18 वं प्रयाते वद्नभराजे क्षतम्रजापास: [1*] स्रीककराजस्तन्उर्महो-

20 रिजातविभवाष्हर[ए]प्रतीत" [1*] गोवर्ष्चनोष्ठरणलच्चितवा ${ }^{23}$ वीर्यो ${ }^{23}$
21 गोविम्दराजन्टपति ${ }^{24}$ हरिया समान: (1) $\left[?^{*}\right]$ तस्यानुजो निरपमस्तसुदी एर्थमी-
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22 च्र ${ }^{2}$ त्यकां नृपेरपि नयेन विलुप्यमानं। र[ $T$ *] ज्यं चभार ${ }^{2}$ गुसत्तिवतोन्यसंख्यं ${ }^{3}$
23 मा भूलिलान्वयपरियुतिर्न लन्द्वा:" [11*] [? **] पितृव्यस्तस "गुणवां म्रीनब्र द्धति

Second Plate; Second Side.
25 प्रकटपराक्रमाक्रान्तदिक्चक्वो "पात्तानुरागः परहि्टिर्रापवादर्श-8
26 स्येष्वभ्बमूकवधिती विरुउकामसेवी विमलागाधसलिल ${ }^{10}$ कान्तारमू-
27 मो जलागय हूव मएयिनां "तृट्कोता समरावसोकग्रीम़्ररगयराज:
28 ग्रीकलिवकभनरंन्द्रदेवानुमंल्या ${ }^{12}$ सर्व्वनिवागामिनृपरतिग्रामकूट-
29 13महत्तराधिकारिदीन्ममनुवोधयल्यस्तु वः संविदितं यधास्माभि-
30 र्मातापिन्रोरात्मनथानल्पपुन्ययमीकिषष्यय" (1) तेन्वीविनि-
31 15गंतन्तछ्मचारी भारदाजगोत्राय वाष़दे — — न नेयप-
32 जि - - भुक्या सामिराभिषानवाम: साभ्यन्तर्रासबिस्वे-
33 देयमही गयतुराषाटविम्षस्तल्पादपूषार्थं' गुरदच्चिया दत्ता ।
34 यतोम्मंखंखेन्चैर्बा पालनीयो रच्चयीयख । यो वाश्रानतिमि-

$36{ }^{20}$ पातके चोपपातकी: संयुत्ता स्यादिल्युत्तं च भगवता वेद्यासेन व्या-
37 सेन ।
Third Plate ; First Side.
38 षस्टिं वर्षसहहताति स्वर्ग मोदति" भूमिद: [1*] भाच्छेत्ता चानुमन्ता च


[^158] instead of 9 .

```
                                    &Resd सक्या:. }5\mathrm{ Read गुष्याव्छी०.
```

${ }^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भfिमती ${ }^{\circ}$.
6 Read प्रियाट्मन: ; तम is omitted in the text. Thend दिकचका; and पाप्वाबुराग:. it, is superfluous.
${ }^{3}$ F was first incised, and then it was corrected into $\bar{F}$ by erasure
9 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ बधिरी
10 Read सधिस:
1 Read सृट्शाता.
12 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ देवानुसत्या. 12 Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ कार्यादौन्समतुबीष?.
${ }^{14}$ Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ पुए ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ 耳क्षचारि ${ }^{\circ}$; all letters of 1.81 after ${ }^{\circ}$ व्रम्नारो ${ }^{\circ}$ and the first eight letters of the line following are forged ones, put in after effacing the original letters of the charter, which mont have contained the name of the grantee and of the village granted.

18 Heed ${ }^{\circ}$ पूनार्थ.
${ }^{17}$ Here and in the following the rules of samdhi have not been followed.
10 Read मोदेस.
20 Read "पातथैच्चोप".
${ }^{22}$ This ought to be मीदते according to the rulea of grammar; but this will not auit
${ }^{25}$ Read तोयासे.

40 क्षणाहुयो चि जायन्ते भृभिदायं हरन्ति ये $1(11)$ [88*] लिखितं चैतत्कासन ${ }^{1}$

42 मेय्वराच्याँ चन्दयिक्रा॥ ${ }^{3}$ पुनरणि ${ }^{1}$ सिम [1*] पुर्वत[:*] चिच-
4.3 ग्राम [दुगच्तिगत: निकग्रास: पश्चिभतः दधि[वाच]-

44 ल ॥ उतरत: ${ }^{0}$ पडबावदपटन एवं चतु $[₹]$ - ${ }^{7}$
45 सिम ${ }^{8}$ पुर्वंत ${ }^{0}$ टंकं । खिर्तसमां पर्वर्दरिदरिगही (?) [पुन:]
46 टोएस्य टोएस्य मस्तके शिलतलं । तोयनरज । नि-
47 बुतटाफं [1*] दर्चिएत: जंबुग्र (?) हैक: [1] दच्चिएत: ${ }^{11}$ नीवग्राम
48 सती (?)ताविछ-रलाद्रिसंबक: हस्तिनिकगोग्रहदरोद-
49 धिवाहलतटा[कं] सिलाद्वयं सिबकपलारसचिचाब-
50 दरितटाकं || उतरत:' स्सटके हृटो क ———
Third Plate; Second Side.
51 यु[न]म(?)नख:। पुष्षेदीर (?)[ल]-वससिमापर्यंत ${ }^{18}$ व-
52 ली-[fनव]द । वलमनरेट्रेए हिरमाल-गांकुड-
53 क उत्तरत: ${ }^{14}$ देसिलस्यद्र ॥ ग्रामे भूमी " ूूयक्षोना-
54 त्ररमधिकाच्चरं वा सर्वं प्रमार्शमिति •॥
55 ${ }^{18}$ स्रीभट:क्केमत: || 500

No. 27.-BUCHKALA INSCRIPTION OF NAGABHATTA;
SAMVAT 872.
By D. R. Bhandariar, M.A. ; Poona.
This insoription was first discovered by a Brahmab̄alta of Jôdhpur named Nannurâma whose zeal for antiquarian matters is as unflagging as it is disinterested. It was found at Buchkalê in the Bilâdâ district, Jôdhpur State. It is incised on a pilaster on the proper right forming part of the shrine wall jutting out into the sabhamandapa of what is popularly known there as the temple of Pârvatî. The inscription contains twenty lines of, on the whole, well-

[^159]Daulatabad plates of Śañkaragana.-Śaka-Samvat 715 .

preserved writing which covers.a space of abont $2^{\prime} 4 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}$ high by $11 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ broad. The characters belong to the northern class of alphabets. They include the somewhat rare forms of $g h$ and in and the numeral figures 8,7 , and 2 . Attention may also be drawn to the way in which the letters $s$ and $n$ are engraved. With regard to the latter there is no difference between it and $n$, cxcept that the upper vertical strokes in the case of the former a.e merth nas er t. each ouner than in the case of the latter. The language is Sanskrit, bat is anyting but grammatical. ard the whole is in prose. In respect of orthography, is doubled in conjunction with a following $\gamma ; d h i$ is written ddhi twice in the word mahurijidudhiraja, and there is a tendency to use the dental sibilant instead of the palatal, though in one case the latter is substituted for the former viz. in śuttradheirah, l. 20.

The inscription is dated Samvat $872^{1}$ the fifth of the bright fortnight of Chaitra, and refers itself to the reign of the $P, M . P$. Nâgabhaṭ̣a-dêva meditating on the feet of the M. $P$. Vatsarâja-dêva. It is thus clear that Nâgabhatta is no other porson than Nâgabhata, son of Vatsarâja, of the imperial Pratîhâra dynasty wielding sway over the larger portion of North India. Of the princes of this royal family we have had but few dates earlier than the time of Bhôjadềva I. In fact, we had only one date, viz. Śaka $705=A . D$. $783-84$, for Vatsarâja furnished by the Jaina work Harivainśa-Purâna. And our inscription now supplies the second date, V . S. 872 = A.D. 815 for his son Nâgabhaṭe. ${ }^{2}$

The parport of the inscription, however, is not quite clear. Something is said therein to have been set up (nivésitici), but what that 'something' was is far from evident. This something, we are told, was set up, after building the temple (divagriha) and worshipping the feet of Paramêsuara, in the village of Rájyaghangakam, by the queen Jayâvali, the daughter of Jajjalka, who himself was a son of the Pratihâra Bapuka, and wife of Bhumbhuvaka, the son of Haragupta of the family called Avâñgânaka. As Jâyâvalî has been spoken of as queen (rájujû), her husband must have been some kind of ruler, most probably a chieftrin, feudatory to Nâgabhattea, and reigning at, or, at any rate, holding, Râjyaghangalkam, which must be supposed to be the old name of Buchkalâ. The name of the sûtradhuira or mason is Pañchaheri, the son of Dêiá.

The temple is, as we have seen, said to have been dedicated to Paramésuara, which is usually taken to be a name of Siva. This, however, does not agree with the sculptural details of the temple. Although it is now-a-days called a templo of Pirvatî, there is, truly speaking, no image in the sanctum. But on the dedicatory block on the shrine door and in the principal niche at the back, the images in which enable one to determine to what god the temple is dedicated, is a figrare with four hands, doubtlessly representing some form of Vishṇa, as the mace, discus, and conch-shell can be distinctly seen in its hands. Other images, also carved on the inner and outer walls of the temple, show that it was a Vaishụava structure. The word paramếsara mutht, therefore, be taken in its ordinary sense and as referring to Vishṇu.

TEXT. ${ }^{3}$

## 1 भों [1] संवत्मरशते ढ७२

2. चैव्नस्स सितपचस्य पंचम्यां

3 निदेसित्ता ${ }^{4} \|$ महाराजाहिएाज- ${ }^{5}$

[^160]4 परमेख्वरम्रीवत्मराजदेवपा-
5 दानुष्यातपरमभदारकमहारा-
6 'नाहिताजपरमेश्बरश्रीनाग- $^{1}$
7 भदृदेवस्बविषये प्रवर्ष्रमान-

9 रत्ञो जायावली प्रतीह्हार-
10 स्वगोत्रग्रीबपुकपुस्त স्रीज-
11 ज्नकटुच्छिता ताकु हुवोत्पनावा- ${ }^{3}$
12 द्रानकखणोस्त प्रीप्दर्ड़्र-
13 पुच्तभुंभुवकपबी ₹ंख्न च पर-
14 मेखरो नित्रीं ${ }^{3}$ व्रता अ्यनेकजन्मा-
15 "तरस्बसिवर्तसंसारदुखाव-8
16 हरस्य परमेसरस्य ${ }^{9}$ पादा ${ }^{10}$
17 पुर्यिय्वा ${ }^{11}$ देवग्टहं करा-
18 प्य $^{18}$ [1*] पुन ${ }^{18}$ तस्य उपलेपन ${ }^{14}$
19 देक्ष्रत्रासुतप[घ्य]हरिए ${ }^{10}$
20 घुच्चधार: ${ }^{16}$
No. 28.-KENDUR PLATES OF KIRTIVARMAN II,
SAKA SAMVAT 672.

By K. B. Pathak, Professor of Sanskrit, Deccan College, Poona.

This inscription was first brought to my notice in May 1902 by Bhringârkar bâvâ, a wellknown reoiter of kirtans at Poona. He was then engaged in a literary controversy abont the identity of Jñânêsqara, the well-known saint of Alandi, with Jñânê̄̄vara, the author of the Jũânêŝvarî, a famous Marâthị̂ commentary on the Bhagavadgîtâ, and aaked me whether the inscription threw any light on the point he was discussing. When I told him that the grant was issued in Saka 672, and had nothing to do with the author of the Jñ̂nếfvarî, who wasroontemporary with Râmadêva, the last of the Yâdava kings of Dêvagiri, Bhringârkerr bâvâ was kind enough to lend me the plates for the parpose of editing the insoription.


```
3 Read "बोल्पद्रा. 4Read "सगीमा".
\({ }^{-}\)Here and in the following the rales of samaini have not been observed.
- The words परमे परी and निस्षों as they stand, make no aense, and I can angeas no correction.
T Some such reading as \({ }^{\circ}\) जन्या त्ररेष्वनिवर्तio might be axpected.
\({ }^{5}\) Read \({ }^{\circ}\) दु:खा\({ }^{\circ}\). \({ }^{9}\) Read परमेखवरस. \({ }^{10}\) Read पादौ.
\({ }^{11}\) Read पूर्गायिख्वा. \({ }^{12}\) Read बारयित्व. \(\quad 4 \mathrm{Read}\) पुभसस्य.
\({ }^{14}\) Read उपषิपने. This word neems to have been here used in the sense of "engraving."
```




The plates were found at Kêndûr, a village in the Khêd taluka of the Poona district. They belong to Mr. Purushôttama Râjapâṭhak, now residing at Kêndûr. There are five plates, the first and the last of which are inscribed on one side only. The plates are equal in size. measuring $9 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ long by $4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ broad. Their edges are raised into rims to protect the writing. The ring on which the plates are strung is about half an inch thick and $5 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}$ in diameter. The seal on the ring is oval, measuring $2^{\prime \prime}$ by $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$. It has, in relief, on a conntersunk surface, a standing boar facing to the proper left. The weight of the plates, together with the ring and seal, is 225 tolas. The inscription is in a state of excellent preservation. The characters belong to the sonthern class of alphabets. The language is Sanskrit throughout, and with the exception of the invocatory verse at the beginning and the benediotive and imprecatory verses at the ond, the whole is in prose.

The grant is one of the Western Chalukya king Kirtivarman II., and is issued from the city of Raktapura, which is probably to be identified with Lakshmês̃ara, in the Dharwar district. It records that on the full moon day of the month Vaiśakha, during a lunar eclipse, in Saka 672 expired, in the sixth year of his reign, when his victorions camp was at Raktapura, Kirtivarman 11., at the request of his great queen, granted to a Brâhmana named Ramasarman, the village of Beppatṭi in the centre of the villages of Peinbdsadru, Kisumargalam, Sullam and Perbballi, in the distriet of Velvola. The five villages can be easily identified with Behatuli, Hebsar, Kusagalla, Sall!a and Hebballi, in the Dharwar district. Velvola is a Sanskritized form of Belvola or Belvala, a name whioh is applied even at the present day to a portion of the sonthern Marsṭhé country.

Before the discovery of the present grant, only three records of Kirtivarman II.'s time were known to scholars. Of these the most important is the Vakkalêri grant, which has been pablished by Mr. Rice ${ }^{1}$ and re-edited by Dr. Kielhorn. ${ }^{2}$ As interpreted by these scholars, the date of that grant is Saka 679 expired, which is spoken of as the eleventh year of Kirtivarman's reign, while, according to the present grant, Saka 672 expired was the sixth year of his reign. These two statements cannot be reconciled. It is, however, important to note that the present grant was issued five years earlier, and is perfectly legible throughout. It also mentions the occurrence of a lunar eelipse. Therefore the date in this grant, which admits of verification, is correct. But in the Vakkalêri grant, the first word describing the Śaka year is not legible. Both Mr. Rice and Dr. Kielhorn have proposed to read it as nava, nine, and this view has been endorsed by Dr. Fleet. But the proposed emendation does not agree with the present inscription, according to which Saka 677 expired was really the eleventh year of the king's reign.

The historical information in the present grant may be brielly summarised thns. The first king of the Chalnkya line was Polekêésin who performed horse sacrifices. Then came his son Kirtivarman I., who defeated the kings of Vanavâsi and other countries. His brother Mangalîisa being passed over, we are next introduced to Satyâsraya, better known as Polekêsin II., who defeated the famons Buddhist king Harshavardhana, the patron of the illustrious Chinese traveller Hiuen Tsiang and the hero of Bîpa's immortal work, the Harshacharita. Polekêsin II.'s son, Vikramâditya I., was the next king, who recovered, by means of his horse Chitrakantha, part of the Chalukya dominions, which had been overrun by the Pallavas, and had obeisance done to him by the lord of Kâñchî, who had bowed down to none other. Vikramâditya I.'s son and successor was Vinayâditya, who broke the confederacy of the Chôlas, Kêralas, Pândyas and Pallavas, and defeated the paramount sovereign of Northern India. Vinayâditya's son Vijayâditya, the next king, had greatly assisted lis father and grandfather in their wars. Though suddenly taken prisoner by the enemy, whora he had put

[^161]${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol. V. p. 200 and ff.
to flight, he skilfully effected his escape and restored the splendour of the Chalukya empire. He was succeeded by his son Vikramâditya II., who led an excursion into the Tunḍ̂ka country, defeated his natural foe the Pallava king Nandipotavarman, and entered the Pallava capital Kâñchî, but did not destroy it. He restored to the Râjasimhêsvara and other temples, which had been caused to be bnilt there by Narasimhapôtavarman, heaps of gold and rubies, which had been taken awray from them. Vikramâditya II. was succeeded by his son Kirtivarman II., who issued the present grant.

## TEXT. ${ }^{1}$

## First Plate.

1 Svasti [|*] Jayaty=âvishkrita[mं] Vishṇ̂or=vvârâhami kshôbhit-ârṇavamin []*] dakshiṇ-ônnata-daṃshṭr-âgra-viśrânta-bhuvanaḿ
2 vapuḥ [II*] Ŝ́rîmatâm sakala-bhuvaua-sam்stûyamána-Mânavya-sagôtrânâan Hâr[i]tic putrâṇâm் sa-
3 pta-lôkamâtrubhis=saptamâtribhir=abhivardhitânâm̉ Kârttikêya-parirakshaṇa-prâsta(pta)-kalyâṇa-
4 parampparâṇâm bhagavan-Nârâyaṇa-prasâda-samâsâdita-varâha-lâñchhan-êkshaṇa-kshana-
5 va䚙-krit-âsêsha-mahîbhṛitâñ=Chalukyânâm kulam=alam்karishṇôr=aśvamêdh-âva-
6 bhrithasnâna-pavitrî-krita-gâtrasya śrî-Polekês̃i-vallabha-mahârâjasya sû-
7 nuḥ parâkram-âkrânta-Vanavâsy-âdi-paranripati-maṇ̣̣ala-praṇibaddha-viśuddha-kîrti-ถri-
8 Kirttivarmma-prithu(thi)vîvallabha-mahârâjas=tasy=âtmajas=samara-samimakta-sakalôttarà-
9 pathêsvara-śrî-Harshavardhana-parâjay-ôpâtta-paramêśvara-śabdas=tasya Sa-

## Second Plate; First Side.

10 tyâŝraya-ต́rî-prithu(thi) vîvallabha-mahârâjâdhirâja-paramế̄varasya priyatanayasya
11 prajūâta-nayasya khatga(dga)-mâtra-sahâyasya Chitrakaṇ̣̣hâkhya-pravara-turamgamệ̣̆= aikê-
12 n=aiv=8̂tsâdit-âŝêsha-vijigîshôr=avanipati-tritạy-ântaritâmin svagurô Eriyan= âtmasâ-
13 t-kritya prabhâva-kuliśa.dalita-Pâṁḍya-Chôla-Kêraḷa-Kaḷabhra-prabhṛiti-bhûbhṛid-a-
14 dabhra-vibhramasy=Ânanyâvanata-Kâm̉chípati-makuṭa-chuṃ̉ita-pâdâm்bujasya
15 Vikramâditya-Satyâşraya-śrì-prithu(thi) vîvallabha-mahârrâjâdhirâjaa-paramếva-
16 ra-bhatṭârakasya priyasûnôb pitar=âjñayâ Bâlêndugê(ŝê) kharasya Târakârâti-
17 r=iva daityabalam=atisamuddhatam trairâjya-Kámechîpati-balam=avashṭahhya kara-
-Second Plate; Second Side.
18 dîkrita-Kavêra-Pârasika-Simh hal-âdi-dvîp-âdhipasya sakal-ôttarâpatha²-nâtha-mathan-ôpârjit-ô-
19 rjita-pâlidhvaj-âdi-samasta-pâramaiśvaryya-chinha(hna)sya Vinayâditya-Satyâśrayaśriprithu(thi) vîvalla-
20 bha-mahârâjâdhirâja-paramêśvara-bhațṭ̣̂rakasya priy-âtmajấ=白ai[s̃a*]va êv=âdhigat-ââêsh-âstra-
21 Ĝâstrô dakshiṇâŝâ-vijayini pitâmahê samunmûlita-nikhila-kaṇ̣taka-samihatir= nttarâpatha-
22 vijigîshôr=gurôr=agrata êv=âhava-vyâpâram=âcharann=arâti-gaja-ghaṭâ-pâṭa-

[^162]Kêndúr Plates of Kîrtivarman II.-Saka Samvat 672.
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23 na-viśsiryyamâṇa-kṛipâṇa-dhâras=samagra-vigrah-âgrêbara[ḥ*] san=sâhasa-rasikah
24 parâm்(í)mukhîkrita-satrumaṇ̣alô Gamgâ-Yamunâ-pâlidhvaja-padạ̣hakkâ-mahấabda-mâ-
25 nikya-matan்gajj-âdinn=pitṛisât=kurvvan=paraị̣ palâyamânair=âsâdya katham=api vidhivaśâ-
26 d=apanîtô=pi pratâpâd=êva vishaya-prakôpam=arâjakam=ntsârayan=Vatsarâja i-

> Third Plate; First Side.

27 v=ânapêkshit-âpara-sâhâyakas=tad-avagrahân=nirggatya svabhuj-âvashṭambha-prasâdhit-âŝêsha-viśva-
28 mbharah prabhur=akhaṃḍita-śaktitrayatrât(ch)=chhatru-mada-bhamjanatvêde udâratvân=niravadyatvâd=yas=sa-
29 masta-bhuvan-âárayas=sakala-pâramaiśvaryya-vyakti-hêtu-pâlidhvaj-âdy-u[ji*]jvala-prâjya-
30 râjyô Vijayâditya-Satyâśraya-síiprithu(thi') vîvallabha-mahârajâdhirâja-paramếva-
31 ra-bhatṭârakasya priyaputras-sakala-bhuvana-sâmrâjya-lakshmî-svayaminar-â-
32 bhishêka-samay-ânantara-srmupajâta-mahôtsâhạ̣ âtmavaú Éajar-pû- $^{2}$
rvva-nripati-chchhây-âpahâriṇaḥ prakrity-amitrasya Pallavasya samûlônmîlanâya
34 krita-matir=atitvarayâ Nandipôtavarmm-â-
35
bhidhânán Palla katumulkha-vâditra-sa-

Tumíạâka-vishayạ̣̀
rạ̣a-mukhế samprahritya prapalâyga

Third Plate; Second Side.
36 mudraghôsh-âbhidhâna-vâdya-viŝêshâni(shau) =khaṭâmiga-dhvaja-prawavia-prabhûta-prakhyâta-hasti-varâ-
37 n_sva-kiraṇa-nikara-vikâsa-nirâkrita-timiram=mâṇikya-râsiñ̃=cha hastêkritya Kalaśabhava-nila-
38 ya-harid-amingan-âmichita-kấnchîyamânâm Kâmehim=avinấ̂ya pravígya satata-pravritta-dâ-
39 n-ânâ(na)ndita-dvija-din-ûnâtha-janaḅ Narasimhapôtavarmma-nirmmâpìta-silàmaya-
40 Râjasiminhếsvar-âdi-dêvakula-suvarṇarâśi-pratyarppặ-ôpârjit-ôrjita-pu-
41 ñah anivârita-pratâpa-prasara-pratâpita-Pémudya-Chôla-Kêraḷa-Kalabhra-
42 prabhriti-râjanyakah kshubhita-kari-makara-kara-hata-dalita-éukti-mukta-muktâphala-
43 prakara-marichi-jâla-vilasita-vêl-âkulê ghûrụamân-ârụ̂onidhânê dakshiṇ̂̂-
44 rụavê śarad-amala-saşadhara-viśada-yaŝ-râsi-mayam jayastambham=atishṭ[h*]ipat

Fourth Plate; First Side.

45 Vikramâditya-Satyâsiraya-śrîprithn(thi) vîvallabha-mahârâjâdhirâja-paramếvara-bha-
46 țṭ̂rakasya sûnụ̣(r) bâlyê suśikshita-sê̂stra-sastra[h*] sva-guṇa-kalâp-ânanditahrị
47 dayênna pitrâ samârôpita-yatuarâjya[h*] svakula-vairiṇah Kâmchîpatêr* nnigrahâ-
48 ya mâmi prêshaya ity=âdêsam prârthya labdhvâ tad-anantaram=êva krita-prayânass=sann=a-
49 bhimukham=âgatya prakása-yuddhaḿ kartnmeasamarttham pravishta-durggam Palla-
50 van் samantatô=bhibhûya bhagnasaktim kritvâ prabhûta-matta-matam்gaja-si-
51 varna-mânikya-kọṭ̂r=âdâya pitrê samarppitavân=êvaṃ kramêna prâpta-sâ-

52 rvvabhauma-padaḥ pratâp-ânurâg-âtanata-samasta-sâmanta-makuṭa-mâ-
53 lâ-rajạ̣-pumja-pirijarita-chartṇa-surasirhaḅ(ruhạ̣) Kîrtivarn.na-Satyâ-

## Fourth Plate; Second Side.

54 śraya-śrîprithivîvallabha-mahârâj̀̉̀dhirâja-paramêśrara-bhatṭârakas=sarvân=êva-
55 m=âjūâpajati [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right] \quad$ Viditam=astu $\quad$ vò=smâbhir=dvisaptaty-uttara-shaṭchhatêshu Šakavarshêshv=atitêshu
56 pravardhamâna-vijaya-râjya-sam்vatsarê shashṭhê varttamâné Raktapuram. adhivasa-
57 ti vijara-skandhâvârê Vaiŝâkha-paurnamąsyâm sômagrahaṇ̂ Kâsyapa-gò-
58 trâya Vàjappasarmmaṇahnpautrâya Mâkaya-Vâjnpê[ya*]-yâjinah
59 putrâya vêda-vêdininga-pâragâya Râmaśarmmaṇê Velvola-visha-
60 習 Pẹ̣basaaru-Kisumamgalami-Sullam-Perballi-nâma-grâmâụâm=ma-
61 dhyê Beppatṭi-nàma-grâmô súî-malhâhêvî-vijñâpanayâ dattah

Fifth Plate.
62 Taď=âgâmibhir=asmad-vaḿśyair=anyais=cha râjabhir=âyur-aiśvaryy-âdinâm vilasitam= achi-
63 r-âmśu-chañchalam=avagachchhadbhir=âchandr-ârka-dhar-ârnara-sthiti-samakâlam yaśaś= chikî-
$6 \pm$ rshublis=sva-datti-nirrviŝ̂sham paripâlanîyam=Uktañ=cha bhagavatâ rêdav 5 âsêna
65 Vyâsêna [1*] Bahubhir=vvasudhâ bluktâ râjabhis=Sagar-âdibhih [1*] yasja jasja
C̉' jadâ bhûmis=tasya tasya tudà phalamin [ $\left.1^{*}\right]$ Svan=đâtum sumahacha chhakyax́
07 duhkham=anyasya pâlanaḿ [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ ảânain vâ pâlanaú $\nabla=$ êtti (vaêti) dânâch=chhrêjô= nupâlanam [||*]
68 Svaclattâmi paradattâm் vâ Jô harêta vasundharâm [1*] shashṭh(t) im varsha-sahs-
69 srìni vishṭhâyâm jâyatê kri(kri)mir=iti [ll"] Dhanamjaya-Puṇavallabhêna likhita-
$70 \mathrm{~m}=$ idam.

## TRANSLATION.

(Verse 1.) Hail! victorious is the body of Vishṇu, manifested in the form of a boar on whose uplifted riyht tusk rests the world, and who has agitated the ocean.
(Lines 2 to 6.) The great king, the prosperous Polekési-vallabha, whose body was purified by the arableritha bath terminating a horse-sacrifice, and who adorned the family of the prosperous Chalukyas, who belonged to the family of Mânarys praised by the whole world, who were the sons of Haritî, who were reared by seven mothers, the mothers of the seven worlds, who had acquired a series of benefits through the protection of Kârttikêya, and who had subdued in an instant all kings at the sight of the sign of the boar acquired through the favour of the revered Nârâyaṇa.
(L1. 6 to 8.) His son was the prosperous Kirtivarman, the great king, and the lord of the earth, who had achieved spotless fame by the conquest of Vanavasis and other countries of hostile kings.

[^163]Kêndûr Plates of Kîrtivarman 11.-S'aka Samvat 672.
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(Ll. 8 to 9.) His son was he who had acquired the title of Paramếvara by defeating the prosperous Harshavardhana, the lord of all the north, and addicted to war.
(Ll. 10 to 16.) The dear son of that ${ }^{1}$ asylum of trath, the prosperous lord of the earth, the great king of kings, the great lord, was Vikramâditya, well versed in politics, whose companion was his sword alone, who had destroyed all rivals only with his excellent horse called Chitrakautha, who retrieving the fortane of his father, which had been interrupted by the confederacy of the three ${ }^{2}$ kings, had destroyed the great splendour of the mountain-like kings of the Pandyyas, Chollas, Kêralas, Kaḷabhras and others with his prowess resembling a thunderbolt, ${ }^{3}$ whose lotus-like feet were kissed by the crest of the lord of Kâñchí who had not bowed down to others, and who was the asylum of truth, the prosperous lord of the earth, the great king of kings, the venerable emperor.
(Ll. 16 to 20.) His dear son, who reduced to the condition of tributaries the kings of Kavêra, Pârasika, Simhala and other countries after vanquishing the proud army of the coufederacy of the three ${ }^{4}$ kings and the lond of Kâñchì at the command of his father, just as Kârtikèsa, at the command of Śva defeated the very insolent host of demons, who had acquired all the sjmbols of sovereignty such as ai lofty patilidivaja ${ }^{5}$ and others by subjugating the king of all the north, was Vinayâditya, the asylum of trath, the prosperons lord of the earth, the great ling of kings, and the venerable lord.
(Ll. 20 to 30.) His dear son, who while still a child, had mastered all the sciences and the use of arms, who when his grandfather conquered the sonthern region had uprooted the multitude of all his foes resembling thorns, conducting warlike operations in the very presence of his father who was desirous of conquering the north, who had the edge of his sword blunted by destroying the gronp of hostile elephants, who took the lead in all fighting, fond of meeting danger, who caused the multitude of his enemies to turn their backs, delivering to his father the variegated palli-banner, ${ }^{5}$ the dhalkka, the great musical instruments, ${ }^{6}$ rubies and intoxicated clephants, who, though taken captive through the force of circumstances by the flying foe approaching with some difficulty, put an end to anarchy and popular commotion by his prowess alone, and like Vatsaraja, expecting no help from others, escaped from the peril and conquered the whole earth by dint of his arm, who was: an emperor, the asylum of truth, through his three powers being uninterrapted, through his breaking the pride of his enemies, through his liberality and blamelessness, whose vast empire was resplendent with a palidhvaja which was a symbol of universal sovereignty, was Vijayaditya, the asylum of trath, the prosperous lord of the earth, the great king of kings and the venerable lord.
(Lil. 31 to 45. .) His dear son, who had enjoyed great pleasure immediately after the time of his anointment at the self-choice by the goddess of universal sovereignty, who resolved to uproot the Pallava king, his nataral foe, who had robbed of splendour the former kings of his line, who on coming to the Tuṇ̣aka district in great haste, beat and put to flight, at the oponing of the campaign, the opposing Pallava king named Nandipotavarman,

[^164]prasadhya dakthinam=ataím vibhus trairdjyapalakdn।. samam pranamayaim=dsa vijitya jayasidhanais $\|$

- For the explanstion of palidhvaja, see my psper in the Ind. dnt., Vol. XIV. p. 104.
- The expression mahdsabda is frequently used in this sense in Pampa Bharata, p. 211.

7 Tuṇ̣akavishaya or Tondai is a name of the Drarida country. Kancht mas was the capital of it.
took ${ }^{1}$ possession of particular musical instraments, called katumukhaváditra and same. draghôsha, the khatvánga-dhvaja, many excellent and well-known intoxicated elephanto ar! a heap of rubies, which dispelled darkness by the brilliancy of the multitnde of their rape who entered, withoat destroying it, the city of Kañchi, which was, as it were, a girdle adornity yonder lady, the region of the south, who had rejoiced Brâhmanas, and poor and helpless peat by his uninterrupted liberality, who acquired high merit by restoring heaps of gold to $t$ stone temples of Râjasimhêsvara and other gods, which had been caused to be bailt ț Narasimhapôtavarman, who distressed Pâṇ̣ya, Chôla, Kêrala, Kalabhra and other kings $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}$ the extent of his valour which conld not be withstood, and who erected a pillar of victory in its form of his great farae, as bright as the cloudless autumnal moon in the sonthern ocean, full if rolling waves, the shores of which were shining with the multitude of rays of numerous pearls dropped from shells struck and broken by the trunks of excited elephants resembling wheles, was Vikramâditya, the asylum of trath, the prosperous lord of the earth, the great king of king, and the venerable lord.
(Ll. 46 to 54.) His dear son, who was trained in science and the use of arms in his ohildhood, was appointed heir-apparent by his father whose heart was delighted with s multitude of his virtnes; who having asked for and obtained an order to put down the lond of Kañ̃chí, the enemy of his family, led an expedition, defeated the Pallava king in every quarter, who, anable to meet him in an open feld had taken refuge in a fort, made him powerless, tosk possession of many ruttish elephants, gold and crores of rubies, and delivered them to his father; who thus gradually attained to the position of an emperor, and whose lotas-like fect were rendered yellowish by the mass of pollen on the numerous crests of all feudatory kings, who bowed to him through love of his heroism, Kirtivarman, the asylum of truth, the prosperous lord of the earth, the great king of kings, and the venerable lord, thas commands all;
(Ll. 55 to 61.) Be it known to you, when six hundred and seventy-two years of the Saka era had passed away, and the sixth year of [our] increasing prosperous reign was current, when our victorions camp was located at Raktapura, on the full moon of Vaisakha during a lunar eclipse, the village named Beppattic surrounded by the villages named Penbasaaxu, Kisumangalam, Sul!am and Perbballi, in the district of Velvola, was granted by us at the request of the prosperous great queen to Ramasarman, well versed in the Vâdas and Vêdângas, who was the son of Mâkaya, a performer of the Vajapeêya sacrifice, and the grandson of Vajappaśarman of the Kấsyapa gôtra.
(Ll. 62 to 64.) This should be preserved, as though it were their own gift, by future kings of our own family or others, knowing the flash of life and other things to be as changefal as lightning, and desirous of achieving a fame lasting as long as the moon, the sun, earth, and oceas will endure.
(Ll. 65 to 70.) And it is buid by the venerable Vyâsa, the arranger of the Vêdas. The earth has been enjoyed by many kings, including Sagara. Whoever is the owner of the earth, reaps its fruit. It is very easy to give what is one's own; it is difficult to preserve what is given by others. Of the two things-a gift and preservation-preservation is the better. Ho who takes away land, whether given by himself or others, is born as a worm in ordure for sixty thousand years. This is written by Dhanañjaya ${ }^{5}$ Punyavallabha.

[^165]
# No. 29.- DATES OF OHOLA KINGS. 

By the late Professol F. Kibliorn, O.I.E.; Göftingen.
(Continued from Vol. VIII. page 274.)
From the materials supplied to me by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayga I pablish here, with the results of my caloulations, twenty-two more dates of Chôla kings (Nos. 137-158), and two dates (Nos. 159 and 160) of the king Peruñjingadêva, "who claims to be a Pallava and who subverted the Chola sovereignty about A.D. 1231-32." Five of these dates (Nos. 145-149) belong to the king Râjadhirâja [II.] Râjakêsarivarmann; of whom no dates have yet been published, and whose reign these dates with great probability show to have commenced between (approximately) the 28th February and the 30th March A.D. 1163 . The other dates in general merely confirm the correctness of the resalts previously found for the commencement of the reigns of the kings to whom they belong; but No. 142 reduces the period, during which Râjaraja II. must have commenced to reign, to the time from (approximately) the eth April to the 11th July A.D. 1148. ${ }^{2}$

I am still keeping back a number of dates of Kulottuńga-Chôla II. Râjaḳêsarivarman in the hope that more dates of this king may be discovered before long.

At the end of this article I give a list of all published dates of Chola, kings that have been examined by me, with approximate statements of the time when each king commenced to reign.

## A.- RAJARAJA I.

137.- In the Sivayôganâthasvamin temple at Tiruviśalûr. ${ }^{3}$

1 Svasti ŝrí [\| - Kô-Râjarâjakêsarivarmmakku yâṇ̣̣̣u 5 âvadu ivv-âtut[ai] [Dha]nu-[n]âyarru Nâyarru-kk[i]lamaiyum Malamum pakka-
2 m 4 prathipadamum kûḍina vara.jôgatt[i]n pôdu.
"In the 5th year (of the reign) of king Râjarajalkêsarivarman, 一 on the day of the auspicious yôga which was combined with the first tithi of a fortnight, ${ }^{6}$ (the nakshatra) Mûla and a Sunday in the month of Dhanus of this year."

I have previously found ${ }^{6}$ that Râjarâja I. commenced to reign between (approximately) the 25 th June and the 25 th July A.D. 985 . This date of the 5 th year of his reign corresponds to Sunday, the lst Deecember A.D. 989, which was the 8th day of the month of Dhanus, and on which the first tithi (of the bright half of Pausha) commenced 5 h .6 m ., while the nakshatra was Mala, by the equal space system for 16 h .25 m , and according to Garga for 3 h .56 m ., after mean sunrise.

For dates with the auspicious yôga-also called amrita-yôga 7- of a Sunday with the nakshatra. Mâla, see above, Vol. VI. p. 21, No. 33, and note. Compare also Hêmachandra's Sabdânusấsana-vrititi, end of Adhyâya II. Pâda 2: Mûlârkaḥ ${ }^{8}$ srîuyatâ zâstrê sarvakalyânakâraṇam | adhuw Mâlarâjas=tu ohitram̉ lôkêshu gîyatê II.

[^166]
## 138 and 139.-In the Amŗitaghaṭêśvara temple at Tirukkaḍaiyûr. ${ }^{1}$

1 Soasti sri [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ [Tiru-ma]gal
2 . . . . . . . . . śrî-kôv=Irâjarâjakêsaripanmarka jânḍ[u]
16 vadu âgum yâṇ̣̣u Pi-
3 [ra]tṭ̂adi=tti[ṅga]! pir=pakkattu=[p]pakkam [8 etṭu]=kki[la]mai ${ }^{2}$ Tingal nâl,
Pn[ṇa]rpûśam
 dvâ[da]śiyum Nâya[r]u-kila[m]ai[yum] porxa Iraivadi . . . ${ }^{3}$
"In the year which was the lath year (of the reign) of the glorious king Rajarâjakêsarivarman, - on the day of Punarvasu, the week-day (being) Monday, (and) the day of the fortnight being [8- eight-] of the second half of the month Puraṭtâdi . . . . . . on [the day of] Eêvati which corresponded to a Sunday and to the tweifth tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Tulâ in this year.".

The first of these two dates regularly corresponds to Monday, the 23rd September A.D. 1000, which was the 29 th day of the month Puraṭtadi (i.e. the month of Kanyâ), and on which the 8th tithi of the dark half (of Ãsvina) commenced 0 h .55 m , while the nakshatra was Punarvasu, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 22 h .20 m , and by the Bralıma. siddhânta for 21 h .40 m , after mean sunrise.

The second date apparently corresponds to Sunday, the 18 th October A.D. 1000. This was the 18 th day of the month of Tulâ, and on it the 12 th tith i of the bright half (of Kârtika) ${ }^{4}$ ended 1 h .17 m . after mean sunrise; but as the nakshatra was Revati only from 11 h .10 m . after mean sunrise, I should have expected the day to be described as the day of (the preceding nokshatra) Uttara.Bhadrapadâ.

## 140.- In the Siva temple at Pêrangiyûr. ${ }^{5}$

1 Svasti brrî $\left[I^{*}\right]$. . . . . . . . . . órî-Râja-Râjarâjadêvaṛku yâtuḍa 2[4]âvadu . . . . . . . . . . i-yâṇ̣̣u ${ }^{6}$ Mrriñchika-nâyarru pû[r]vra. pakshattu= $\mathrm{P}[$ pudan]-ki]amaiyum pañjamiyum perra Ti[ru]vó[ua]ttin nâ[l].
"In the 2[4]th year (of the reign) of the glorious Râja-Râjarâjadêva,- on the day of Aravana, which corresponded to the fifth tithi and to a [Wednesday] of the first fortnight of the month of Vrischiks in this year."

For the given week-day (Wednesday) and the nakshatra Sravaṇa the date would be wrong for all the ten years from the 20 th to the 29 th year of Rajaraja's reign. Irrespectively of the week-day, the date for the 24th year would correspond to Saturday, the 6th November A.D. 1008, which was the 12 th day of the month of Vrischika, and on which the 5 th tithi of the bright half (of Mârgasirsha) ended 3 h .33 m . after mean sunrise, while the nakshatra by all systems was Śravana the whole day. I have little doubt that this Saturday is the proper equivalent of the date and that the week-day, if not misread, has been wrongly given in the original.

[^167]
# B.-VIKRAMA-CHOLA. <br> 141.-In the Amritaghaṭéśvara temple at Tirukkaḍaigûr. ${ }^{1}$ 



17 gal scri-Vikrama-Śoladêvarku yâṇ̣u 6 ar[â]vadu $\quad \nabla[\mathrm{ri}]$ scchi
. . . ${ }^{2}[\mathrm{y}]$ aiyum [Ba]dañ-kilamaiyum perra Mrigasî̂rshatti=nâl,
"In the Bth— sixth - year (of the reign) of king Parakêsarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vikrama-Chôladêva, - on the day of Mrigasirsha, which corresponded to a Wednesday and to the [second ?] tithi of the [of the month of] Vrischi[ka]."

The reign of Vikrama-Chôla has been found ${ }^{3}$ to commence on the 29th June A.D. 1118, and this date of the 6th year of his reign undoabtedly corresponds to Wednesday, the 7th November A.D. 1123. This was the llth day of the month of Vrisehika, and on it the second tithi (of the dark half of Kârttika) ended 4 h .45 m ., while the nakshatra was Mrigasirrsha, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 7 h .13 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 5 h .55 m ., after mean sunnise.

> C.-RAJARAJA II.
> 142.- In the Grâmârdhanâthêśvara temple at Elvânaŝûr. ${ }^{4}$

1 Svasti ŝrî [ $\left\|\|^{*}\right]$ Pû maraviya Tirıu-mâdum . . . . . . . . . . 13 . . kô=Pparakêsari[pa]tmar=âna Tribhuvanachchakravar14 tiga! srî-Râjarâjadêvarkku jâṇ̣a ${ }^{\text {b }} \quad[1] 5$ [vadu $]^{6}$ Mê15 sha-nâyarru pûrvra-pakshattu ashtami[ya]m Badan-ki16 lamaiyum perra Pûsatti-nâl.
"In the [1]5th year (of the reign) of king Parakesarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Râjarajadēva, - on the day of Pushya, which corresponded to a Weanesday and to the eighth tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Mêsha."

With the result previonsly obtained 7 for the commencement of the reign of Rajaraja [II.] Parakêsarivarman, this date regularly corresponds to Wednesday, the 5th April A.D. 1161, which was the 13 th day of the month of Mêsha and on which the 8th tithi of the bright half (of Vaisâkha) ended 12 h .54 m ., while the nakshatra was Pushya, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 11 h .10 m. , and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 9 h .12 m . after mean aunrise.

$$
\text { 143.- In the Gramârdhanâthêśvara temple at Elvânâŝûr. }{ }^{8}
$$

4
kô=Pparakêŝaripanmar=âna
[Tri]ba-
5 vanachchakravattigal ślî-Râjarâjadêvarku yânḍu 1[7]vadu Dha[nu-nâya]ru
[a]para-pa-
6 ksha[t*]to navamiyum Nâyarru-ki[da]maiyum perra A

[^168]"In the 1[7]th year (of the reign) of king Parakeesarivarman alias the emperor of th three worlds, the glorious Rajarâjadêva, - on the day of $\mathbf{A}$ corresponded to a Sunday and to the ninth tithi of the second fortnight of the month of Dhan $\mathrm{H}_{\text {t }}$ t

The date corresponds to Sunday, the 2nd December A.D. 1162, which was the 7 th day the month of Dhanus, and on which the 9th tithi of the dark half (of Mârgasirshal ended lit 56 m ., while the nakshatra was Hasta, by the equal space system and according to Garga if: 13 h .47 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 10 h .30 m ., after mean sumrise.

The result shows that the nakshatra, of the name of which only the initial vowel a remari in line 6 of the original, was Attam (Hasta). The date is the latest one hitherto exumined d the reign of Râjarâja II.
144.-In the Grāmârdhanâthês̃vara temple at Elvênâŝúr. ${ }^{2}$

1 Svasti Grî [ $\|^{*}$ ] Pû maruviga Tiru-mâdum

|  | P[ ] [k] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\cdot{ }^{-}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $8 \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{p}]$ ara[k] ${ }^{\text {elsaripatmar=âna }}$ | Tribhuvana[ch]chakrava[r]tigal | stri-Râjarajadederal |

$8 \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{p}]$ ara $[k]$ êsaripatmar=âna Tribhuvana[ch]chakrava[r]tigal srị-Râjarâjadêtrakr

"In the 15th year (of the reign) of king Parakeesarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Râjarâjadêva, - on the day of Mrigasirsha, which corresponded to a Monday and to the Gfth tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Mina."

In accordance with the previously obtained results, this date should fall in A.D. 1161, ampe time before the 24 th March (the first day of the month of Mêsha); but with the actual reading of the original it woald be incorrect. In my opinion, the fifth tithi (pañ[jani]yum) has here been quoted erroneously instead of the seventh (saptamiyum), and the date corresponde to Monday, the eth March A.D. 1181, which was the 12th day of the month of Mina, and on which the 7th tithi of the bright half (of Chaitra) ended 3 h .41 m ., while the nakshatra ws Mrigaśirsha, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 4 h .36 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 3 h .17 m ., after mean sunrise.

Of the three dates, the date No. 142 would show that the reign of Rajaraja II. could not have commenced before (approximately) the 6th April A.D. 1148. ${ }^{4}$

## D.-RAJADHIRAJA II.

## 145.- In the Tyâgarajasvâmin temple at Tiruvârûr. ${ }^{5}$


2 . . . kô Râjakêsaripa[nma]r=âna Tribhuvanachcha[k*]karavattigal tî Râjâdha(dhi)râjadêvarku yânḍu $2\left[\hat{\text { âvadu }}{ }^{\text {a }}\right.$ Mêsha-nûyarru pûrvra pakhbitu shashṭhiyun=Dingat-kilamaiyum perra Paṇarpûsatti=nâl.
"In the 2nd year (of the reign) of king Rajakeesarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rajjêdhirajadēva,- on the day of Punarvasu, which corresponded to a Monday and to the sixth tithi of tho first fortnight of the month of Mêsha."

[^169]The five dates Nos. 145-149 are of the reign of a king Râjâdhirâja Râjakèsarivarmen, and Are taken from inscriptions every one of which begins with the words kadal stúnder. The fret four dates work out regularly on the assumption that this king commenced to reign betwren (approximately) the 28th February and the 30th March A.D. 1l83. With such ${ }^{3}$ comamencement of his reign:-

This date, No. 145, corresponds to Monday, the 30th March A.D. 1164, which was the 7th day of the month of Mêsha, and on which the 6th tithi of the bright half (of the first $V_{\text {aisalkha) ended }} 19 \mathrm{~h} .3 \mathrm{~m}$., while the nakshatra was Punarvasu, by the Brahma-siddhânta from 7 h .13 m ., according to Garga from 9 h .5 sl m ., and by the equal space system from 21 h .40 m ., after mean sunrise. This equivalent of the original date might perhaps be objected to on the ground that the nalshatra was Punarvasu only from 7 h .13 m . (or later) after mean sumpise ; and in the case of the date No. 106, above Vol. VIII. p. 263, where also the nakshatra Was found to be Punarvasu from 8 h .32 m . (or later) after mean sunrise, I have myself stated that that rakshatra in the original date might have been quoted erroneously instead of the immaediately preceding nakshatra Ârdrâ. But the two dates together now seem to me to show that in either case there was some special reason for qnoting the nakshatra Punarvasu, instead of the nakshatra Ârdrâ in which the moon was at the commencement of the day. ${ }^{1}$

## 146.-In the Kapardiévara temple at Tiruvalañjuli. ${ }^{2}$

1 Svasti [stri] [ $\|^{*}$ ] Kaḍal ŝâlnda pâr-mâdarum
5 - . . . . . . . [kb̂] Ràjakêsari[tanma]r= â[na] Tribhuvanachchakrava[tti]-
 pakshattu [dv]âda[siyu]m [Ti]-
7 [ńga]t-kilamai[yu]m perra [Puṇa]r[pû]śaiat[tu] nâ[1].
" In the [8]th year (of the reign) of king Rajakêsarivarman alias the emparor of the three worlds, the glorious Râjêdhirâjadêra, - on the day of Punarvasu, which corresponded to a. Monday and to the twelfth tithi of the second fortnight of the month of Sinha."

The date corresponds to Monday, the 10th August A.D. 1170, which was the 14th day of the month of Simha, and on whioh the l2th tithi of the dark half (of Śrivaṇa) commenced 2 h .3 m ., while the nakshatra was Punarvasu, ${ }^{8}$ by the equal space system and according to Garga for 17 h .44 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 17 h .4 m ., after mean sunrise.

## 147.- In the Tyâgarâjaevâmin temple at Tiruvaruar. ${ }^{4}$


7 . .kẫ $=$ Irâjakềsariparmar=êna Tribhuvanachchakravattigal srî-Râjâdhirâjadềvarku yânạuu 10 [âvadu] ${ }^{5}$ Mîna-nâ[yarr]u pûrvva-pakshattu trayôdasiyiyuñ=Jevvày-kkilamaiyum perrna Magattun nâ!.
"Tn the loth year (of the reign) of king Rajakêsarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlde, the glorious Rajadhirajadeva, - on the day of Magha, which corresponded to a Tuesday and to the thirteenth tithi of the first fortaight of the month of Mina."

[^170]The date corresponds to Tuesday, the 27th February A.D. 1173, which was the ith inf of the month of Mins, and on which the 13th tithi of tho bright half (of Philguaa) is! 10 h .50 m ., whilo the nakshatra was Maghâ, by the equal space system for $14 \mathrm{~h} .27 \mathrm{~m} . . \mathrm{rat}$ according to Garga for $2 \mathrm{ll}, 38 \mathrm{~m}$., after mean sumise.

## 148. - In the Darbháraṇyêśvara temple at Tirunaḷâr. ${ }^{1}$

1 Svasti srîth] [ $\|^{*}$ ] Kadal sûlrn]da [pâ]r-mâdarum.


 Budaṇ-kilamai[y]um p[c]rra Síd[i]-uâl.
"In the eleveath year (of the reign) of king Rajakesarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Râjâdhirâjadêva,-on the daj of Svâti, which errit. sponded to a Wednosday and to the fifth tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Simha."

The date corresponds to Wednesday, the 15th August A.D. 1173, which was the lith dy of the month of Simha, and on which the 5 th tithi of the bright half (of Bhadrapadivend 13 h .53 m ., while the nalishatra was Svâti, by the equal space system for 17 h .44 m ., acooring to Garga for 5 h .16 m ., and by the Bralima-siddhânta for 1 h .19 m. , after mean sunrise.

## 149.-In the Vrishabhapuriśvara temple at Mêl-Śêvur. ${ }^{2}$

1 Svasti śrî [ $\left\|\|^{*}\right]$ Kadal sûunda pâr-m[â]darum . .
2 . . . . . . . . . . Tribhuvanachchakkaravattiga! srî-Râjâ[dhirâ*]jadêvaxku yâṇ̣̆ lưarạ̉a Karkadaga-ṇ̂yarru=ppadinmu(mû)nrân=dî(di)yadiy=[â]-

"In the 13th year (of the reign) of king Rajakêsarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Râjâ[dhirâ]jadêva, - on the day of Rôhiṇ̂i, which correspront! to the eleventh tithi of the second fortnight and to a Wednesday, the thirteenth solar day of th: month of Karkațaka."

In the three hundred years from A.D. 1000 to A.D. 1300 there are only two days whith would satisfy the requirements of this date, viz. Wednesday, the 8th July A.D. 1097, as. 1 Wednesday, the 8th July A.D. 1181.

In A.D. 1007 the Karkataka-samkrânti took place 17 h .26 m , after mean sunrise of Thursday, the 25th June; the first day of the month of Karkataka therefore was Fridar, the 26 th June, and the 13 th day of the same month was Wednesday, the 8th July. On this day the 11 th tithi of the dark half (of Âshâdha) ended 5 h .36 m ., and the nakishatra was Rohinit, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 4 h .36 m , and by the Brahma-siddhants for $3 \mathrm{~h}, 56 \mathrm{~m}$. after mean sunrise.

In A.D. 1181 the Karkataka-samkrânti took place 10 h .56 m . after mean sunrise of Friday, the 26 th June which was the first day of the month of Karkataka; and the 13 th day of the same month therefore was Wednesday, the 8th July. On this day the 11 th tithi of the dark half (of Âshâdha) commenced $4 \mathrm{~h}, 24 \mathrm{~m}$. after mean sunrise, and the nakshatra was Rôhipl, by the Brahıa-siddhânta and according to Garga the whole day, and by the equal space system from 1 h .58 m . after mean sumrise.

[^171]It is clear that if, as was assumed ahove, the reign of Râjîdhirâja Râjakèsarivarman commenced lwewen the 28th Folrnary ank the 30th March A.D. 1163, neither of the two Wednesdays given above could have fallen in the l3th year of his reign. On the other hand, I may state that if either of the two days really fell in his listh year, the other dates would be incorrect. In these circamstances, and assimming that, with the exception of the regnal year, the det.ils of the original date have heen given correctly, 1 can only saggest that the year 13 (which is given in figures ${ }^{1}$ (mly) has heen quoted erroneonsly insteal of the 19 th. year, and that the proper equivalent of the date therefore is really Wednesday, the 8th July A.D. 1181. It might of course be chjectel that this day would fill in the reign of Kulittanga III. Parakesarivarman, which commencel hutween the Cth and the Sth July A.D. 1178. But I have already shown that we have a similar oreslapping of two reigns also in wther cascs. A date (No. 94) of the 39th year of Kulôttanga IIl. correspouds to the 25 th January A.D. 1217, while the reign of his successor Râjarâja III. corumenced in Junc-Jnly A.D. 1216 ; and of this king again we have two dates (Nos. 96 and 97) of the 7th F(b)rnary and the 22nd April A.D. 1248, whereas the reign of his successor Râjendra-Châla III. commenced in March-April A.D. 1246.

From the materials available I thercfore infer that Râjâdhirâja Râjakêsaritarman, i.e. Râjâdhirâja IL., commenced to reign between (approximately) the 28th February and the 30th March A.D. 1163.

## E.-KULOTTUNGA-CHOLA III.

## 150. - In the Grâmârdhanâthêśvara temple at Elvânâśûr. ${ }^{2}$


 A J vițtatti=nâl.
"In the 6th year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Virarajêndra-Chôladêva, - on the day of Śravishṭhâ, which corresponded to a Monday and to the second titlui of the first fortnight of the month of Makara."

The date corresponds to Monday, the 18th January A.D. l184, which was the 22nd day of the month of Makara, and on which the 2 nd tithi of the bright half (of Mâgha) commenced 5 h .36 m ., while the nalishatra was Sravishthat, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 10 h .30 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 11 h .10 m ., after mean sunuise.
151.-In the Amŗitaghaṭêśvara temple at Tirukkaḍaiyûr. ${ }^{4}$

1 Srasti trì [ $\left.1 \|^{*}\right]$ Puyal vâyppa . . . . . . . . . 5 . . . . . . . . . . . kô=[Ppa]rakêsariparmar=âna ${ }^{5}$ Tibuvanachchakkaravattigal Madu[r]aiyum Pâṇ̣i[ [ya]naiyum muḍittalai-koụḍ-aruḷiya śrî-Kulôttunga-Ŝồadêvaṛku yâṇ̣u 16 vadu Mêsha-nâyarrinu pârvapakshattis [a]!țamiyu[m]
6 Viŷ̂la-kkilamaiyum perra Púsatti=nâl.
"In the 18th year (of the reign) of king Parakêsarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulôttunga-Chôladêva, who was pleased to take Madurai and the

[^172]crowned bead of the Pitudya,- on the day of Pushya which corresponded to a Thursday and t: the eighth tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Mêsha."

The date corresponds to Thursday, the 3lat March A.D. 1194, which was the 7th day of ths month of Mêsha, and on which the 8th tithi of the bright balf (of the secoud Chaitra) endet 10 h .50 m ., while the nakshatra was Pushya, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 18 h .24 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 16 h .25 m ., after mean sunrise.

## 152.-In the Śivayôganâthasvâmin temple at Tiruviśalûr. ${ }^{2}$


"In the eighteenth year (of the reign) of king Parakesarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulôttunga-Chôladêva, who was pleased to take Madurai and the crowned head of the Pânḍya, - on the day of Pûrva-Phalguni, which corresponded to a Saturday and to the third tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Kumbha."

The wording of this date is intrinsically wrong because on the third tithi of the bright half in the month of Kumbha the nakshatra could not possibly be Pûrva-Phalguní; and the probability would seem to be that either has the first fortnight been erroneously quoted instead of the second: or Pûrva-Phalgunî (Pûrattu) instead of Pûrva-Bhadrapadâ ( $P$ ûrattuali). ${ }^{3}$ In my opinion, the date corresponds to Saturday, the 3rd February A.D. H188, which was the 10th day of the month of Kumbha, and on which the 3rd tithi of the bright half (of Phâlgana) commenced 5 h .40 m ., while the nakshatra was Pûrva-Bhadrapadâ, by the equal space system for 4 h .36 m ., after mean sumrise.

## 153.-In the Vaṭâraṇyêsvara temple at Tiruvâlañgâdu. ${ }^{4}$

1 [Sva]sti [śrî] [॥*] Tribhavanachchakkaravattigal Maduraigu[m in]lamum $P[a ̂ n] d i[y a] n \quad$ muḍittalai[yu]í=go-
2 [ g$] \mathrm{d}$-aru[!i]na [śrî]-Kulôttunga-Śó[la $] d[\hat{e ̂}]$ va[r]kku Fân[̣̣u 2$] 3$ vadu
4

> Śevvây-kka (kki) [la]mai[ya(yn)]m pû[ra]va-[pa]ksha[t]-

Kanni-nâyar[ryu]=ppadinâ[rân=di]yadi[y]=A[na]
5 tu [tri]tíyaiyum per[ra] Sittirai-nâ[1].
"In the [2]3rd year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulôttunga-Chôladêva, who was pleased to take Madurai, illam and the crowned head of the Pândya, -on the day of Chitrâ, which corresponded to the third tithi of the first fortnight and to a Tuesday which was the sisteenth solar day of the month of Kanya."

The date corresponds to Tuesday, the 12th September A.D. 1200. The preceding Kanyâ-samkrânti took place 20 h .58 m . after mean sunrise of Sunday, the 27 th August ; the first day of the month of Kanya therefore was Monday, the 28th Angust, and the 16th day of

[^173]the same month was Tuesday, the 12th September A.D. 1200 . On this day the 3rd tithi of the bright half (of Âsvina) commenced 7 h .12 m ., and the nakshatra was Chitrá, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 2 h .38 m ., after mean sunrise.-There seems no reason why the second tithi (dvitinaiyum) should not have been quoted in the original date instead of the third ( tritityaiyum).

## F.-RAJARAJA III.

154.-In the Jambukêśvara temple near Trichinopoly. ${ }^{1}$

## 1 Svas[ti] śr[î] [||**] [Tri]bhavanぇohchakravattigal óri--Râjarâjadêvarkku yâṇạu $24\left[\right.$ ̂vadu $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ Mid[a]na-nâyarru pûrvra-pakshattu êkâdaśiyum Śani-kkilamaiy[u]m perra [Ś]ôdi-nâ!.

"In the 24th year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rajarajadêva,-on the day of Svâti, which corresponded to a Saturday and to the eleventh tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Mithuna."

The reign of Râjarâja III. has been found to commence ${ }^{4}$ between (approximately) the 27 th June and the 10 th July A.D. 12l6. This date of the 24 th year corresponds to Saturdsy, the 2nd June A.D. 1240, which was the 9th day of the month of Mithuna, and on which the 11 th tithi of the bright half (of Jyaishṭha) ended 3 h .37 m ., while the nakshatra was Svati, by the equal space system for 5 h .55 m ., after mean sunrise.
155.-In the Jambukêśvara temple near Trichınopoly. ${ }^{5}$
 2 [97 âvadu8] Tulâ-nâyarru=ppûrvva-[pa]kshattu prathamaiyum [Ś]evvâykilarnaiyum per-

## 2 గ్a Ŝôdi-nâl.

"In the 2[9]th7 year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rajarajadêva,-on the day of Sveti, which corresponded to a Tuesday and to the first tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Tula."

The date, for the 29th year, regularly corresponds to Tuesday, the 4th October A.D. 1244, which was the 7th day of the month of Tula, and on which the first tithi of the bright half (of Kârtika) ended 12 h .58 m ., while the nakshatra was Svati, by the equal space system for 15 h .46 m ., and according to Garga for 3 h .17 m ., after mean sunrise. -For the 26 th year of the reign of Rájaràja III. the date would be incorrect.

## 156.-In the Jambukêśvara temple near Trichinopoly. ${ }^{9}$

 $2\left[9^{10} \hat{a ̂ v a d u}^{10}\right]$ Tulà-nâyarrú pûrrva-pakahattu prathamaiyum Śerrâykka(kki)[la]maiyum porra S $[\hat{d} \mathrm{~d}] \mathrm{i}$-nâl.
"In the 2 [ 9$]$ th ${ }^{10}$ year (of the reign) of the emperor of the thriee worlds, the glorious Rajarajadêva, -on the day of Svâti, whioh corresponded to a Tuesday and to the first tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Tula."

[^174]The date is identioal with the preceding date, and corrasponds therofore to Tuesday, the 4th October A.D. 1244.
157.-In the Jambukêśvara temple near Trichinopoly. ${ }^{1}$
 [y]âṇḍu $2[9 \text { âvadu }]^{3} \quad$ Knmbha-nâyarṛn:ppû[r]vva-paksinattu uavamiyum Tiongat-kila[m]aiyum perrra Urôsan[i]-nâl.
"In the 2[日]th year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rajarajadêva, - on the day of Rôhiṇi, which corresponded to a Monday and to the ninth tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Kumbha."

The date corresponds to Monday, the 6th February A.D. 1245, which was the l4th day of the month of Kumbha, and on which the 9 th tithi of the bright half (of Phellgans) commenced 1 h .12 m ., while the nakshatra was Rôhiṇi, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 7 h .53 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhánta fur 7 h .13 mi , after mean sumrise.

## 158. - In the Jambukêsvara temple near Trichinopoly. ${ }^{4}$

1 Svast[i] $\operatorname{sr}[\hat{1}] \quad\left[\mid{ }^{*}\right] \quad \operatorname{Tr}[\mathrm{i}]$ bhuvanachchakravatt[i]gal sr[1]-2Râjarâjadê[va]rkn [y]âṇ̣u 2 [9âvadu] ${ }^{3}$ Kumbha-nâyarrú =ppûrvva-pakshattu navam[i]yum Tingatk[i]la[m]aiyum perrra Urôśrṇ[i]-nâl.
"In the 2[9]th year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Râjarajadêva, - on the day of Rôhini, which corresponded to a Monday and to the ninth tithi of the first fortaight of the month of Kumbha."

The date is identical with the preceding date, and corresponds therefore to Monday, the 6th February A.D. 1245.

## G.- PERUNJINGADEVA.

159.-In the Jambunâtha temple at Jambai. ${ }^{5}$

1 Svasti śr[i] [1॥*] Śsa[galabu]vanachchakkaravattigal śrî-kô=Pperu[ñ]jingadêva[r]ku yâṇ̣u 16 vadu Dañu-nâyarru pû[rvva-pakshattu] trayôdas[i]yum Tingaṭ$k[i] l a m a i y n\left[m^{*}\right]$ perrra Urôśaụ[i]-6nâ].
"In the leth year (of the reign) of the emperor of all worlds, the glorious king Peruñjingadêva, - on the day of Rôhini, which corresponded to a Monday and to the thirteenth tithi of the first [fortnight] of the month of Dhanus."

Above, Vol. VII. p. 165, I have found that the reign of Perrunjingadêva commenced between (approximately) the 11th February and the 30th July A.D. 1243. This date, of his 16 th year, corresponds to Monday, the 9th December A.D. 1258, which was the 14 th day of the month of Dhanus, and on which the 13th tithi of the bright half (of Pausha) commenced 7 h .48 m ., while the nakshatra was Rôhiṇi, by the Brahma-siddhânta the whole day, according to Garga from 1 h .19 m ., and by the equal space system from 13 h .8 m , after mean sunrise. -Instead of the 13th, I should have expected the 12 th tithi to have been quoted, especially as, joined with


[^175]180.- In the Grâmârdhanâthêśvara temple at Flvânâsûr. ${ }^{1}$

1 Svasti $\mathrm{srî}$ [॥*] . . . . . . . . . . Śagalabuvanachchakkaravattiga! ถrî-kô=2Pparuñ-
2 jinggadêvarkku yâṇ̣̣u muppadâvadu Tulâ-nâyarṛu apara-pakshattu
3 tri(tri)tîyaiyum Tinga[!]-ki]amaiyum perra Kattizai-nà!.
"In the thirtieth year (of the reign) of the emperor of all worlds, the glorious king Perunjingadêva, - on the day of Kṛittika, which corresponded to a Monday and to the third tit/ti of the second fortnight of the month of Tula."

The date corresponds to Monday, the 10th October A.D. 1272, which was the 13 th day of the month of Tula, and on which the 3 rd tithi of the dark half (of Âsvina) commenced 6 h . 40 m. , while the nalishatra was Krittikâ, by the equal space system for $13 \mathrm{~h} .47 \mathrm{~m} .$, by the Brahma-siddhânta for 0 h .39 m ., and according to Garga for 1 h .58 m ., after mean sumrise.

## A LIST OF THE DATES OF CHOLA KINGS HITHERTO EXAMINED.

## A.-Parantaka I. Parakêsarivarman. <br> (Between the 15th January and the 25th July A.D. 907.)

No. 101 (Vol. VIII. p. 261).-Year 36, Kaliyuga 4044 (carrent) : Saturday, the 14th January A.D. 943.
No. 55 (Vol. VII. p. 1).-Year 40 : Saturday, the 25 th Jaly A.D. 916.

## B.-Râjarâja I. Râjakêsarivarman.

(Between the 25th June and the 25th July A.D. 985.)
No. 137 (Vol. IX. p. 207).-Year 5 : Sunday, the lst December A.D. 989.
No. 1 (Vol. IV. p. 66).-Year 7: the 26th September A.D. 991.
No. 61 (Vol. VII. p. 169).-Year 11: Sunday, the 14th June A.D. 996.
No. 25 (Vol. V. p. 48). - Year 15 : Tuesday, the 29th August A.D. 999.
No. 27 (Vol. Y. p. 197).-Year 15 : Wednesday, the 15th May A.D. 1000. ${ }^{3}$
No. 188 (Vol. IX. p. 208).-Year $16:$ Monday, the 23rd September A.D. 1000.
No. 139 (Vol. IX. p. 208).-Year 16: Sunday, the 13th October A:D. 1000.
No. 2 (Vol. IV. p. 67).--Saka 929 (eurrent). The date is incorrect.
No. 140 (Vol. IX. p. 208).-Year 24; Saturday, the 6th November A.D. 1008.4
No. 3 (Vol.IV. p. 68).-Year 28, Saka 934. The date would correspond to the 23 rd December A.D. 1012, but contains no details for exact verification.

## C.-Rajêndra-Chôla I. Parakêsarivarman.

(Between the 27th March and the 7th July A.D. 1012.)
No. 102 (Vol. VIII. p. 261).-Year 5 : Tiesday, the 26th March A.D. 1017.
No. 32 (Vol. VI. p. 20). - Year 9, Saka 943 (current) : Thursday, the 7th July A.D. 1020.
No. 4 (Vol. IV. p. 68).-S'aka 943 (ourrent) : Wednesday, the lst March A.D. 1021.
No. 5 (Vol. IV. p. 69).—Year 31 (for 21), Saka 954: Monday, the 23rd October A.D. 1032.

[^176]No. 33 (Vol. VI. p. 21).-Year 22, Śaka 955 : Sanday, the 25th November A.D. 1033.
No. 34 (Vol. VI. p. 22).-Year 26, Śaka 959. The date is incorrect.
No. 62 (Vol. VII. p. 169).-Year 31 : Friday, the 23rd July A.D. $1042{ }^{1}$
D.-Rájâdhiraja I. Rajakêsarivarman.
(Between the 16th March and the 3rd December A.D. 1018.)
No. 15 (Vol. IV. p. 218).-Year [3]2 (for 22) : Tharsday, the 22nd November A.D. 1039,
No. 12 (Vol. IV. p. 216).-Year 26 ; Wednesday, the 14th Maroh A.D. 1044.
No. 13 (Vol. IV. p. 217).-Year 27 : Wednesday, the 13th February A.D. 1045.
No. 14 (Vol.IV. p 217).-Year 29: Wednesday, the 3rd December A.D. 1046. ${ }^{2}$
No. 11 (Vol. IV. p. 216).-Year 30 : Śaka 970 (current). The date does not admit of exal verification.
No. 35 (Vol. VI, p. 22).-Year 35 : Śaka 975 :-probably Sanday, the 23rd May A.D. $1053 .{ }^{3}$

> E.-Râjêndradêva Parakêsarivarman. ${ }^{4}$
> (The 28th May A.D. 1052.)

No. 38 ( $\nabla$ ol. VI. p. 24). -The 82nd day of year 4 : Thursday, the 17th Angust A.D. 1055.
No. 36 (Vol. VI. p. 23).-Year 6, Śaka 979 : Monday, the 27th October A.D. 1057.
No. 37 (Vol. VI. p. 23).-Year 12 (for 11 ?), Śaka 981 . The date does not admit of exach . verification.

## F.-Virarâjêndra Râjakêsarivarman. ${ }^{5}$

(Between the Ilth September A.D. 1082 and the 10th September A.D. 1083.)
Vol. VII. p. 9. - Year 5 : Monday, the 10th September A.D. 1067.

## G.-Kulôttunga-Chôla I. Râjakêsarivarman (Râjêndra-Chôḷa II.) <br> (Between the 14th March and the 8th October A.D. 1070.)

No. 56 (Vol. VII. p. 1).-Year 4: Tharsday, the 7th November A.D. 1073.
No. 39 (Vol. VI. p. 278).-Year 7, Śaka 998 : Friday, the 10th February A.D. 1077.6
No. 63 (Vol. VII. p. 170)--Year 16: Thursday, the 12th Mareh A.D. 1086.
No. 6 (Vol. IV. p. 70).-Year 37, Śaka 1030 (for 1028 P). The date does not admit of exact verification.
No. 9 (Vol. IV. p. 72).-Śaka 1035 : Snnday, the 22nd February A.D. 1114.
No. 7 (Vol. IV. p. 70).-Year 44 : Friday, the 13th Maroh A.D. 1114.
No. 8 (Vol. IV. p. 71).-Year 45: Thursday, the 8th Octobor A.D. 1114.
No. 40 (Vol. VI.p. 279).-Year 45, Śaka 1036 : Wednesday, the 9th December A.D. 1114 ,
No. 36 (Vol. V. p. 48) --Year 48: Monday, the 7th Janaary A.D. 1118.
Nos. 20 and 28 (Vol. IV. p. 262, and Vol. V. p. 198).-Year 48: Friday, the 25th January A.D. 1118.7

[^177]
## H.-Vikrama-Chôla Parakêsarivarman. <br> (The 29th June A.D. 1118.)

No. 21 (Vol. IV. p. 263, and Vol. VII. p. 3).-Year 4: Monday, the 1st May A.D. 1122.
Nos. 103 and 104 (Vol. VIII. p. 262).-Year 4: Wednesday, the 10th May A.D. 1122.
No. 22 (Vol. IV. p. 264, and Vol. VII. p. 3).-Fear 5 : Monday, the 31st July A.D. 1122.
No. 57 (Vol. VII. p. 3).-Year 5 : Thursday, the 31st May A.D. 1123.
No. 10 (Vol. IV. p. 73, and Vol. VII. p. 4).-The 340th day of jear 5 : Sunday, the 3 r d June A.D. 1123. ${ }^{1}$
No. 141 (Vol. IX. p. 209).-Year 6 : Wednesday, the 7th November A.D. 1123.
No. 84 (Vol. VIII. p. 1).-Year 7 : Thursday, the 7th August A.D. 1124i
No. 105 (Vol. VIII. p. 263).-Year 8 : Tuesday, the 18th August A.D. 1125.
No. 42 (Vol. VI. p. 280).-Year 9, Saka 1049 : the 27th May A.D. 1127.?
No. 59 (Vol. VII. p. 5).-Year 10 : Sunday, the 15th April, or Satarday, the 14th April A.D. $1128 .{ }^{3}$

No. 64 (Vol. VII. p. 170).-Year 11 : Wednesday, the 19th December A.D. 1128.
No. 58 (Vol. VII. p. 4).-Year 11 : Saturday, the 5th January A.D. 1129.
No. 65 (Vol. VII. p. 171).-Year 15. The date does not admit of verification.
No. 41 (Vol. VI. p. 279, and Vol. VII. p. 3).-Year 16: Monday, the 16th April A.D. 1134.
No. 43 (Vol. VI. p. 281, and Vol. VII. p. 5).-Year 17, Saka 1054 (for 1057) : Tharsday, the 18th April A.D. 1135.

## T.-Kulôttunga-Chôḍa II. 4

Vol. VII. p. 9.-Saka 1056 (for 1065) : the 24th March A.D. 1143.

## J.-Râjarâja II. Parạkêsariṿarman.

(Between the 6th April and the 11th Juiy A.D. 11.46.)
No. 85 (Vol. VIII. p. 2).-Year 4 : Wednesday, the 23 rd November A.D. 1149.
No. 86 (Vol. VIII. p. 2).-Year 6 : Tharsday, the 24th Jamaary A.D. 1152.
No. 89 (Vol. VIII. p. 3).-Wear 6 : Thursday, the 14th February A.D. $1152 .{ }^{5}$
No. 87 (Vol. VIII. p. 2).-Year 12 : Wednesday, the 26th March A.D. 1158.
No. 88 (Vol. VIII. p. 3).-Year 15 : Thursday, the 12th Janaary A.D. 1161.
No. 144 (Vol.IX. p. 210).-Year 15 : Monday, the 6th March A.D. 1161: ${ }^{6}$
No. 142 (Vol. 1X. p. 209).-Year 15 : Wednesday, the 5th April/A.D. 1161.
No. 106 (Vol. VIII. p. 263).- Year opposite to 16 : Wednesday, the 11 th July A.D. 1162 .
No. 143 (Vol. IX. p. 209).-Year 17 : Suaday, the 2nd December A.D. 1162.

## K.-Rájâdhirája II. Rajakêṣarivarman.

(Between the 28th February and the 30th March A.D. 1168.)
No. 145 (Vol. IX. p. 210).-Year 2 : Monday, the 30th Maroh A.D. 1164.
No. 146 (Vol. IX, p. 211).-Year 8: Mondary, the 10th August A.D. 1170.
No. 147 (Vol. IX. p. 211).-Year 10 : Tuesday, the 27th February A.D. 1173.
No. 148 (Vol. IX. p. 212).-Year 11 : Wednesday, the 15th Angust A.D. 1173.
No. 149 (Vol. IX. p. 212).-Year 13 (for 19 ?) : Wednesday, the 8th July A.D. 1181 (P).
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## L.-Kulôttunga-Chộa III. Parakêsarivarman (Vîrarâjêndra-Chôla, ${ }^{1}$ Tribhuvanavira),

## (Between the 6th and the 8th July A.D. 1178.)

No. 66 (Vol. VII. p. 171).-Year 3 : Monday, the 11th Aagast A.D. $1180 .{ }^{3}$
No. 67 (Vol. VII. p. 171).-Year 3 : the date is incorrect.
No. 107 (Vol. VIII. p. 264).-Year 4: Thursday, the 11th March A.D. 1182.
No. 150 (Vol. IX. p. 213).-Year 6 : Monday, the 16th January A.D. 1184. Nos. 108 and 109 (Vol. VIII. p. 264).-Year 6 : Tharsday, the 5th July A.D. 1194. ${ }^{4}$ No. 68 (Vol. VII. p. 172).-Year 7 : Wednesday, the 22nd Augast A.D. 1184. No. 23 (Vol. IV. p. 264).-Year 8 : Monday, the 8th July A.D. 1185. No. 90 (Vol. VIII. p. 4).-Year 10: Taesday, the 5th January A.D. $1188 .{ }^{5}$ No. 19 (Vol. IV. p. 220). - Year 12 : Monday, the 4th December A.D. 1189. No. 60 (Vol. VII. p. 6).-Year 14: Thursday, the 2nd January A.D. I192. ${ }^{6}$
No. 110 (Vol. VIII. p. 265).-Year 16 : Monday, the 17th Janaary A.D. 1194.
No. 151 (Vol. IX. p. 213),-Year 16: Thursday, the 31st March A.D. 1194.
No. 24 (Vol. IV. p. 265).-Year 16 : Saturday, the 4th June A.D. 1194. ${ }^{7}$
No. 69 (Vol. VII. p. 172).-Year 17 : Monday, the 13th February A.D. 1195.
No. 70 (Vol. VII. p. 172).-Year 17 : Thursday, the 8th June A.D. 1195.
No. 152 (Vol. IX. p. 214).- Year 18 : Saturday, the 3rd February A.D. 1196.8
No. 71 (Vol. VII. p. 173).-Year 19 : Monday, the 2nd September A.D. $1196 .{ }^{9}$
No. 17 (Vol. IV. p. 219).-Year 19 : Tuesday, the 12th November A.D. 1196.
No. 72 (Vol. VII. p. 173).-Year 19 : Wednesday, the 30th April A.D. 1197.
No. 16 (Vol IV. p. 219).-Year 19 (for 20), Śaka 1119 : Friday, the 21st November A.D. $1197 .{ }^{10}$
No. 111 (Vol. VIII. p. 265).-Year 20 : Sunday, the 3rd May A.D. 1198.11
No. 31 (Vol. V. p. 199).-Year 20. The date is quite incorrect.
No. 73 (Vol. VII. p. 174).-Year 21 : Wednesday, the 7th April A.D. 1199.
No. 74 (Vol. VII. p. 174). -Year 21 : Saturday, the 10th April 1199.18
No. 153 (Vol. IX. p. 214).-Year 23 : Taesday, the 12th September A.D. 1200.
No. 112 (Vol. VIII. p. 265),-Year 23 : Monday, the 6th November A.D. 1200.
No. 113 (Vol. VIII. p. 266),-Year 25 : Wednesday, the 24th July A.D. 1202. ${ }^{13}$
No. 44 (Vol. VI. p. 281).-Year 27 : Thursday, the 5th May A.D. 1205.
No. 29 (Vol. V. p. 198).-Year 23: Wednesday, the 7th March A.D. 1207.
No. 114 (Vol. VIII, p. 266).-Year 32: Monday, the 21st December A.D. 1209. ${ }^{14}$
No. 18 (Vol. IV. p. 220).~Year 34: Monday, the 19th September A.D. 1211.
No. 91 (Vol. VIII. p. 4).-Year 35 : Sunday, the 2nd June A.D. 1213.
No. 92 (Vol. VIII. p. 4).-Year 36 : Monday, the 14th April A.D. 1214.

[^179]No. 93 (Vol. VIII, p. 5).-Year 37 : Monday, the 17th November A.D. 1214.
No. 30 (Vol. V. p. 199).-Year 37 : Sunday, the 7th June A.D. 1215.
No. 94 (Vol. VIII. p. 5).-Year 39 : Wednesday, the 25th January A.D. 1217.

## M.-Râjarâja III. Râjakêsarivarmen. ${ }^{1}$

(Between the 27th June and the 10th July A.D. 1216.)
No. 115 (Vol. VIII. p. 267).-Year 2 : Monday, the 29th January A.D. 1218.
No. 75 (Vol. VII. p. 174).-Year 4 : Monday, the 22nd June A.D. 1220.
No. 76 (Vol. VII. p. 175).-Year 5 : Wednesday, the 19th August A.D. 1220. ${ }^{2}$
No. 77 (Vol. VII. p. 175).-Year opposite to 6 : Thursday, the 13th October A.D. 1222.
No. 95 (Vol. VIII. p. 6).-Year opposite to 8 : Monday, the 7th October A.D. 1224. ${ }^{3}$
No. 116 (Vol. VIII. p. 267).-Year opposite to 8: Sunday, the 23rd February A.D. 1225. ${ }^{*}$
No. 117 (Vol. VIII. p. 267).-Year 10 : Friday, the 17tin April A.D. 1226.
No. 78 (Vol. VII. p. 175).-Year 10 : Tuesday, the 21st April A.D. 1226.
No. 118 (Vol. VIII. p. 268).-Year 12 : Monday, the 2nd Angust A.D. 1227.
No. 119 (Vol. VIII. p. 268), - Year 16: Thursday, the 10th July A\&D. 1231.
No. 120 (Vol. VIII. p. 268), - Year 16 : Saturday, the 22ad May A.D. 1232.
No. 45 . (Vol. VI. p. 281).-Year opposite to 16 : Saturday, the 25 th September A.D. 1232.
No. 46 (Vol. VI. p. 282).-Year 17: Taesday, the 18th January A.D. 1233.
No. 47 (Vol. Vl. p. 282).-Year 18 : Tuesday, the 23rd Angust A.D. 1233.
No. 121 (Vol. VIII. p. 269).-Year 18 : Sunday, the 13th November A.D. 1233.
No. 48 (Vol. VI. p. 282).--Year 18 : Wedneeday, the 7th December A.D. 1233.
No. 122 (Vol. VIII. p. 269).-Year 18 : Sunday, the 25th December A.D. 1233.
No. 49 (Vol. VI. p. 283).-Year 18 : Monday, the 2nd Jaunary A.D. 1234.
No. 123 (Vol. VIII. p. 269).-Year 19 (for 18) : Sunday, the -1th June A.D. 1234.
No. 50 (Vol. VI. p. 283).-Year 19 : probably Sanday, the 13th August A.D. 1234. ${ }^{5}$
No. 124 (Vol. VIII. p. 270).-Year 19 : Sunday, the 5 th November A.D. 1234.
No. 125 (Vol. VIII. p. 270).-Year 19 : Thursday, the 25th January A.D. 1235.
No. 128 (Vol. VIII. p. 271 )- Year 27 (?, for 21 ) : Monday, the 12th January A.D. 1237. ${ }^{6}$
No. 51 (Vol. VI. p. 284).-Year 22 : Tuesday, the 16th March A.D. 1238.7
No. 52 (Vol. VI. p. 284). - Year opposite to 22 : Monday, the 28th February A.D. 1239.
No. 53 (Vol. VI. p. 284).-Year opposite to 22 : Wednesday, the 2nd March A.D. 1239.
No. 54 (Vol. VI. p. 285).-Year opposite to 22 : Friday, the 4th March A.D. 1239.
No. 154 (Vol. IX. p. 215).-Year 24 : Satnaday, the 2nd June A.D. 1240.
No. 126 (Vol. VIII. p. 270).- Year opposite to 24 : Saturday, the 12th January A.D. 1241.
No. 127 (Vol. VIII. p. 271).-Year 27 : Wednesday, the 30th July A.D. 1242.
Nos. 155 and 156 (Vol. IX. p. 215).-Year 29 : Tuesday, the 4th October A.D. 1244.
Nos. 157 and 158 (Vol. IX. p. 216).-Year 29 : Monday, the 6th February A.D. 1245.
No. 129 (Vol. VIII. p. 271).-Year 29 : Monday, the 26th Jnne A.D. 1245.
No. 130 (Vol. VIII. p. 272).-Year 30 : Sunday, the 17th December A.D. 1245. ${ }^{8}$

[^180]

No. 96 (Vol. VIII. p. 6).-Year 32 : Friday, the 7th February A.D. 1248.
No. 97 (Vol. VIII. p. 6).-Year 32 : Wednesday, the 22nd April A.D. 1248.

> N.-Râjêndra-Chôla IIr.
(Between the 21st March and the 20th April A.D. 1246.)
No. 79 (Vol. VII. p. 175).-Year 3 : Saturday, the 20th March A.D. 1249.
No. 98 (Vol. VIII. p. 6).-Year 4: Sanday, the 12th September A.D. 1249.
No. 131 (Vol. VIII. p. 272):-Year 4: Wednesday, the 5th January A.D. 1250.
No. 80 (Vol. VII. p. 176).-Year 7: Wednesday, the 25th December A.D. 1252.
No. 83 (Vol. VII. p. 177). - Year opposite to 7. The date is intrinsically wrong.
No. 132 (Vol. VIII. p. 272), - Year 9: Tuesday, the 12th January A.D. 1255.
No. 133 (Vol. VIII. p. 273).-Year opposite to 11 : Monday, the 9th July A.D. 1257.
No. 134 (Vol. VIII. p. 273).- Year 16 (for 17) : Monday, the 1st May A.D. 1262.
No. 135 (Vol. VIII. p. 273).-Year 18 : Wednesday, the 2nd January A.D.1264. ${ }^{1}$
No. 136 (Vol. VIII. p. 274).-Year 20 : Wednesday, the 20th January A.D. 1266.
No. 81 (Vol. VII. p. 176).-Year 21: Wednesday, the 30th June A.D. 1266.
No. 99 (Vol. VIII. p. 7).-Year 22 : Wednesday, the 20th April A.D. 1267.
No. 82 (Vol. VII. p. 177).- Year 22 : Sunday, the 8th May A.D. 1267.

> O.-Peruñjiñgadêva.

## (Between the 11th February and the 30th July A.D. 1243.)

Vol. VII. p. 164, B.-Year 7: Friday, the 30th July A.D. 1249.
No. I59 (Vol. IX. p. 216).-Year 16: Monday, the 9th December A.D. 1258.
Vol. VII. p. 164, A.-Year 18, Śaka 1182 ; Sunday, the 31st Octaber A.D. 1260.
No. 160 (Vol. IX. p. 217).-Year 30 : Monday, the 10th October A.D. 1272.
Vol. VII. p. 165, D. -Year 31 : Saturday, the 10th Febraary A.D. 1274.

(Between the 24th August A.D. 1331 and the 23rd August A.D. 1332.)
No. 100 (Vol. VIII. p. 7).-Year 11 : Friday, the 23rd Augast A.D. 1342.

No. 30.-DATES OF PANDYA KINGS.
By the late Profbssor F. Kirliforn, O.I.E.; Göttingen. (Continted from Vol. VIII. page 283.)
From the numerous dates of Pâṇ̣ys kings sent to me by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya, I here give five (Nos. 63-67), the European equivalents of which may be given with certainty. The remaining dates must wait till more dates of the kings to whom they belong have been discovered. Of those here published, Nos. 64 and 66 are valuable inasmuch as, taken together with previonsly published dates, they show that Mâravarman Kulaîêkhara I. commenced to reign between (approximately) the and and the 97th June A.D. 1808, and Maravarman Kulaśêkhara II. between (approximately) the eth and the 29th March A.D. 1314.

[^181]In a postscript I give a date of a king Rajakêsarivarman Vira-Pâņ̣ya, according to Mr. Venkayya a ruler of Kongu, which quotes both the Śaka jear 1202 and the regnal reas 15 , both given in words. This date is of considerable interest, becanse my calculations prove its meaning to be this, that the day of the date fell in the 15 th year of the king's reign which (reign) commenced in the Saka year 1202 (and not, that the day of the date itself fell ir the Saka year 1202). The date thus suggests another point of doubt and uncertainty regarding the interpretation of dates that do not contain sufficient data for exact verification, even where at first sight such doubt seems to be out of the question.

At the end of this article also I give a list of all pablished dates of Pânḍya kings that have been examined by me, with approximate statements of the time when each king commenced to reign.

> A.-MARAVARMAN SUNDARA-PANDYA II.
> 63.-In the rock-cut Śiva temple at Tirumaiyam. ${ }^{1}$

1 Svarti srî [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Kô Mârapaṇmar=âna Tribhuvañachchakravattigal sfî̀-Sundara-Pândiya-
 ${ }^{2}$ mikshattu das̃amiyum Nâyirrun-kkila- 3
2 mai[yu]m per[ra*] U[tti]rattu nál.
"In the 7th year (of the reign) of king Maravarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Sundara-Pândyadêva, - on the day of Uttara-Pbalguni, which corresponded to a sunday, to the tenth tithi of the first fortuight and to the thirteenth solar day of the month of Rishabha."

I have previously found ${ }^{4}$ that the reign of Mâravarman Sundara-Pitudya II. commenced between (approximately) the 15th June A.D. 1238 and tho 18th Jannary A.D. 1239. This date of his 7th year regularly corresponds to Sunday, the 7th May A.D. 1245. The preceding Vrishabhasamkrânti took place 0 h .4 m . after mean sunnise of Tuesday, the 2eth April A.D. $124 \dot{\pi}$, which was the first day of the month of Vrishabha; and the 13 th day of the same month therefore was Sunday, the 7th May A.D. 1245 . On this day the 10th tithi of the bright half (of Jyaishtha) ${ }^{3}$ rommenced 0 h .43 m , and the makshatra was Uttara-Phalguni, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 19 h .42 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhànta for 16 h . 25 m ., after mean sunrise.

For the reign of Mâpavarman Sundara-Pâp̣̣ja l. the date would be quite incorrect.

## B.-MARAVARMAN KULASEKHARA I. <br> 64.-In the Arjunêsvara temple at Kiladi. ${ }^{6}$

1 Svasti śrî̀ [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Kò Mârava[rmma]r=âña Tr[i]bhuvanachcha $[$ ka $]$ vattigal ${ }^{7}$
 $\operatorname{Mith}[\mathrm{u}]$ na-nâyarru $6 \mathrm{ti}^{8}$ pûrvva-pakshattu [tri]t[î]yai[fum] Vel! $[\mathrm{i}]-\mathrm{kb}[\mathrm{i}]$ lamaisum perra Pûsattun nâl.
"In the 23rd year (of the reign) of king Mâravarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulasêkharadêva, who was pleased to take every country,- on the day of Pushya, which corresponded to a Friday and to the third tithi of the first fortnight (and) to the 6th solar day of the month of Mithuna."
${ }^{1}$ No. 887 of the Government Epigraphiat's collection for 1906.
2 Read -pakshattu.
2 The whole of this line is engraved over an erasure. $\quad$ See above. Vol. YI. p. 305.

- I.e. the tithi of the Dasahard.
- No. 447 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1906.

7 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ chakra. ${ }^{\circ} \quad{ }^{\circ}$ The syllable $i f$ heve standa for tipadi.

I have previously found ${ }^{1}$ that the reign of Mâravarman Kulaśêkhara I. commenced between (approximately) the 19th March and the 27th June A.D. 1268. This date of his 23 rd year regularly corresponds to Friday, the lst June A.D. 1291. The preceding Mithuna-samikranti took place 7 h .16 m . after mean sunrise of Sanday, the 27 th May A.D. 1291 , which was the first day of the month of Withuna; and the 6th day of the same month therefore was Friday, the lat June A.D. 1291. On this day the 3rd tithi of the bright half (of the first Âshâdha) ended 3 h .3 m ., and the nıkshatra was Pushya, by the equal space system and according to Garga for 14 h .27 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 12 h .29 m ., after mean sunrise.

The date reduces the period, during which Mâravarman Kulaśekhara I. must have commenced to reign, to the time from (approximately) the 2nd to the 27th June A.D. 1268.

## 65.-In the Arjunêśvara temple at Riladi. ${ }^{2}$

1 Svasti ŝrì $\left[\left\|\|^{*}\right]\right.$. . . . . . . . . . Mârapanmar=âna Tribhnvanachchakavattiga[! ${ }^{3}$ e]mmandalamuñ=gon[d-a]ruliya


"In the year opposite the 30 th year (of the reign) of the glorious king Maravarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulasékharadêva, who was pleased to take every country, - on the day of Rôhiṇ, which corresponded to the eleventh tithi of the second fortnight and to the 8th solar day of the month of Karkataka."

For the year opposite the 30th, i.e. for the 31st jear, of Mâravarman Kvlasêkhara I. this date regularly corresponds to [Saturday], the 5th July A.D. 1298. The preceding Karkatakasamkranti took place 17 h .19 m . after mean sunrise of Friday, the 27th June A.D. 1298. The first day of the month of Karkataka therefore was Saturday, the 28th June, and the 8th day of the same month was Saturday, the 5th July A.D. 1298. On this day the 11 th tithi of the dark half (of Âshâdha) ended 18 h .55 m ., and the nakshatra was Rôhiṇ̂, by the equal space system and according to, Garga for 23 h .38 m ., and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 22 h .59 m , after mean sunrise.

## C. - MARAVARMAN KULASEKHARA II.

86.-In the Bhûmisivara temple at Guḍimallûr. ${ }^{5}$

1 Suvasi ${ }^{6}$ [srî] [ll*] Kô Mârapanmar Ti[ra]buvanachchakkarava[t]ti srî-Kula-
 pupu[ru]va. ${ }^{\text {spakshattu }}$ chatattesiyum Velli-kkilamaiyum perra Aviṭat[tu n]âl.
" In the l2th-twelfth—year (of the reign) of king Mâravarman (alias) the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulasiêkharadèva,-on the day of Dhanishṭhe, which corresponded to a Friday and to the fourteenth tithi of the first fortnight of the month of Mêsha."

This date is intrinsically wrong because the nakshatra cannot possibly be Dhanishṭhe on the l4th tithi of a first fortnight in the month of Mêsha. Irrespectively of the nakishatra

[^182]the date would bo wrong for the 12 th year of the reign of Mâravarman Kulasêkhara I. But for the 12 th year of the reign of Mâravarman Kulasêkhara II. (which has been found ${ }^{1}$ to commence between approximately the 6th March and the 23rd July A.D. 1314) the date would regularly correspond to Friday, the 29th March A.D. 1325, which was the 4th day of the month of Mêsha, and on which the 14 th tithi of the bright half (of Chaitra) ended 7 h .9 m ., while the nalishatra was Hasta, by the cqual space system and according to Garga for 10 h .30 m. . and by the Brahma-siddhânta for 7 h .13 m ., after mean sanrise.-I have no doult that this is the true equivalcent of the date, and that the concluding words of the original date ought to be Attattu mill, " the day of Easta," instead of Arit!attu muill.

The date would prove that Maravarman Kulaśékhara II. could not have commenced to reign later than (approximately) the 29th March A.D. 1314.

## D.-JATAVARMAN PARAERAMA-PANDYA.

67.-In the Satyagirinâtha-Perumâ! temple at Tirumaiyam. ${ }^{2}$

Parâkk[i] rama-Pâ[nḍiyadè]varkku [â]ụ̣u 5 vad[in]
2 edir 7 vadu . . . . -n[â]sarru apara-pakskattu dvâdisiyuın Nâyarriru-kk[i]-
lamsiyum perrra Uttarâdatutu nâ!.
"In the 7th (year) opposite the 5th year (of the reighl) of the glorious king [Jatín]varman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Parakrama-Paṇ̣yadeva, on the day of Uttarâsháḍìà, which corresponded to a Sunday and to the twolfth tithi of the second fortnight of the month of
."
I have previously found ${ }^{3}$ that Jaṭâvarman Parâkrama-Pinḍya commenced to reign between (approximately) the 1Uth January A.D. 1357 and the !1th Janamy A.D. 1358. This date of the 7 th opposite the 5 th year, i.e. of the 12th year of his reign, undoubtedly corresponds to Sunday, the 4th February A.D. 1389, which was the llth day of the month of [Kumbha], and on which the l2th titloi of the dark half (of Mágha) onded 21 h .7 m . after mean sunrise, while the nakshatrul was Uttaräshạàhâ, by the Brahma-siddhînta and according to Garga the whole day, and by the equal space system from 6 h .34 m . after mean sunise.

The date shows that Jaṭavarman Parâkrama-Pâṇ̣ya could not have commenced to reign before (approximately) the 5th February A.D. 1357.

## POSTSCRIPT.

## RAJAKESARIVARMAN VIRA-PANDYA. ${ }^{1}$

## In the Kariyamânikka-Perumậ temple at Vijayamangalam. ${ }^{5}$



 pakshattu $=^{7} T$ tiògat-kilamaiy $[\mathrm{u}] \mathrm{m}$ daśamiyum pe[rrac Ut[t]irattu $\mathrm{n}[\hat{\mathrm{a}}]$ ].

[^183]"In the Saka year one thousand two hundred and two, the fifteenth year ( $n f$ the reign) of king [Rà]jakêsarivarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorio ${ }^{18}$ Virs-Pandayadêva, - on the day of Uttara-Phalgunî, which corresponded to the tenth tithi sy ${ }^{\text {d }}$ to a Monday of the second fortnight of the month of Tula."

The meaning of this date would naturally be taken to be that the day of the date fell both in the 15 th year of the king's reigu and in the Saka jear 1202, either current or expired; $b^{\text {a }}$ t for either of these Saka years the date would be incorrect.

For the current Śaka year 1202 the date might be taken to correspond to Monday, the $2 n^{\text {d }}$ October A.D. 1279, which was the 4th day of the month of Talâ, and on which the loth tithi of the dark half (of Âsvina) ended 2 h .37 m . after mean sanrise. But the nakshatras on this day were Maghâ and Pûrva-Phalgunî.

For the expired Saka year 1202 it would correspond to Saturday, the 19th October A.D. 1280 , which was the 22 nd day of the month of Tula, and on which the 10th tithi of the dark half (of Âśvina) ended 18 h .25 m ., while the nakshutra was Pûrva-Phalgunî, by the Brahma* siddhânta for 11 h .10 m ., according to Garga for 15 h .46 m ., and by the equal space system from 3 h .17 m ., after mean sumise.

The date would be incorrect also for the Śaka year 1200 (current or expired) and for all years down to Śaka 1214 expired. It would be correct for Ŝaka 1215 expired ( $=1216$ current), For this year it would correspond to Monday, the 26th October A.D. 1293, which was the 29th day of the month of Tulâ, and on which the 10th tithi of the dark half (of Kârtika) ended 6 h .52 m . after mean sumrise, while the rakshatra by all systems was Uttara-Phalgun! during the whole of the day.

I have no doubt that Monday, the 26th October A.D. 1293, is the proper equivalent of the date ; and, in accordance with this result, I take the true meaning of the original date to be this, that the day of the date fell in the l5th year of the king's reign which commenced some time during the (current) Saka year 1202 ( $=$ A.D. 1279-80) that is quoted at the beginning of the date. For dates that have to be similarly interpreted, I may refer to Nos. 261, 262 and 269 of my Southern List.

## A LIST OF THE DATES OF PANDYA KINGS HITHERTO EXAMINED.

## A.-Jațâvarman Kulaśêkhara.

(Between the 30th March and the 29th November A.D. 1190.) ${ }^{1}$
No. 2 (Vol. VI. p. 302).-Year opp. to 13: Thursday, the 26th February A.D. 1204.
No. 1 (Vol. VI. p. 301).-Year 12 opp. to 13 : Satarday, the 29th November A.D. 1214.
No. 45 (Vol. VIII. p. 275).-Year 13 (for 13 opp , to 13 ?): Tharsday, the 6th October A.D. 1216(?).

No. 44 (Vol. VHI. p. 275).-Year 14 opp. to 13 : Wednesday, the 29th March A.D. 1217.

## B.-Mâravarman Sundara-Pêṇ̣̣ya I.

(Between the 20th March and the 4th September A.D. 1216.)
No. 6 (Vol. VI. p. 304).-Year 7: Monday, the 13th March A.D. 1223.
No. 5 (Fol. VI. p. 303).-Year 9 : Friday, the 28th March A.D. 1225.
No. 46 (Vol. VIII. p. 276),-Year 15: Tuesday, the 3rd December A.D. 1230.

[^184]No. 3 (Vol. VI. p. 302).-Year opp. to year opp. to 17 : Monday, the. 4th September A.D. 1234.

No. 4 (Vol. VI. p. 303).-Year opp. to year opp. to 17: Monday, the 19th Febraary A.D. 1235.

> C.-Maravarman Sundara-Pâṇ̣̣ya II.
(Between the 15th June A.D. 1238 and the 18th January A.D. 1239.)
No. 63 (Vol. IX. p. 223).-Year 7: Sunday, the 7th May A.D. 1245.
No. 10 (Vol. VI. p. 305 ).-Year 11 : Sunday, the 25th April A.D. 1249.
Nos. 7 and 8 (Vol. VI. p. 304).-Year opp. to year opp. to 11: Wednesday, the 18th January A.D. 1251.
No. 9 (Vol. VI. p. 305).-Year opp. to year opp. to 11; Wednesday, the 14th June A.D. 1251. ${ }^{1}$

## D.-Jaṭâvarman Sundara-Pâṇḍya I.

(Between the 20th and the 28th April A.D. 1251.)
No. 11 (Vol. VI. p. 306).-Year 2: Tharsday, the 27th March A.D. 1253.
No. 12 (Vol. VI. p. 306).-Year 2 : Saturday, the 19th April A.D. 1253.
No. 13 (Vol. VI. p. 306).-Year 3: Wednesday, the 29th October A.D. 1253.
No. 17 (Vol. VI. p. 307).-Year 7: Sanday, the 7th October A.D. 1257. ${ }^{2}$
No. 14 (Vol. VI. p. 307).—Year 9: Taesday, the 29th April A.D. 1259.
No. 15 (Vol. VI. p. 307).- Year 9 : Sunday, the 15th June A.D. 1259.
No. 16 (Vol. VI. p. 307).-Year 10: Wednesday, the 28th April A.D. 1260.
No. 18 (Vol. VI. p. 308).-Year Il : Tuesday, the 19th July A.D. 1261.s

## E.-Vîra-Pâṇḍya.

(Between the llth November A.D. 1252 and the 13th July A.D. 1253.)
No. 32 (Vol. VII. p. 11).-Year 7: Sundey, the 13th July A.D. 1259.
No. 31 (Vol. VII. p. 10).-Year 15: Thursday, the 10th November A.D. 1267.

## F.-Mąavarman Kulasêkhara I.

(Between the 2nd and the 27th June A.D. 1288.)
No. 20 (Vol. VI. 'p. 309).-Year 10: Wednesday, the 5th Janaary A.D. 1278.
No. 48 (Vol. VIII. p. 277).-Year 22: Monday, the 27th June A.D. 1289.
No. 64 (Vol. IX. p. 223).-Year 23 : Friday, the lat June A.D. 1291.
No. 21 (Vol. VI. p. 309).-Year 26: Wednesday, the 18th November A.D. 1293. ${ }^{4}$
No. 19 (Vol. VI. p. 308).-Year 27 : Friday, the 10th December A.D. 1294.
No. 49 (Vol, VIII. p. 277).-Year 30 : Wednesdag, the 31st July A.D. $129^{\circ} 7$.
No. 65 (Vol. IX. p. 224).-Year opp. to 30 : Saturday, the 5th July A.D. 1298.
No. 50 (Vol. VIII. p. 277).-Year 34: Saturday, the 8th July A.D. 1301.5
No. 51 (Vol. VIII. p. 278). -Year 29 (for 39) : Saturday, the 9th July A.D. 1306.
No. 22 (Vol, VI. p. 310).-Year 40 : Satarday, the 24th February A.D. 1308.
No. 47 (Vol. VIII. p. 276).-Year 40, Saka 1229: Monday, the 18th Maroh A.D. 1308.

[^185]
# G.-Jaṭâvarman Sundara-Pâṇḍya II. <br> (Between the 13th September A.D. 1275 and the l5th May A.D. 1278.) 

No. 25 (Vol. ${ }^{77}$ I. p. 311).-Year 6: Monday, the 21st July A.D. 1281.
No. 52 (Vol. VIII. p. 278).-Year 10 : Monday, the 23rd July A.D. 1285.
No. 54 (Vol. VIII. p. 279).-Year 12 : Wednesday, the 27th August A.D. 1287. ${ }^{1}$
No. 26 (Vol. VI. p. 311).-Year 12 : Friday, the 12th September A.D. $1287 .{ }^{2}$
No. 53 (Vol. VIII. p. 279).-Year 11 (for 12) : Wednesday, the 29th October A.D. 1287.
No. 23 (Vol. VI. p. 310).-Year 13 (for 14) : Monday, the lst August A.D. 1289.
No. 24 (Vol. VI. p. 310).-Year 13 (for 14): Friday, the 5th August A.D. 1289.
No. 27 (Vol. VI. p. 312).-Year opp. to 14: Monday, the 15th May A.D. 1290.
No. 55 (Vol. VIII. p. 280).-Year 2 opp. to 13 : Monday, the 28 th August A.D. $1290 .{ }^{3}$
No. 56 (Vol. VIII, p. 280). - Year 9 for 10(?): Friday, the 29th March A.D. 1286(?).

## H. - Maravarman Kulaśêkhara II.

(Between the 6th and the 29th March A.D. 1314.)
No. 29 (Vol. VI. p. 313).-Year 4: Saturday, the 23rd July A.D. 1317.
No. 30 (Vol. VI. p. 313).-Year 5: Monday, the 5th March A.D. 1319.5
No. 28 (Vol. VI. p. 312).-Year 8: Saturday, the 14th November A.D. 1321.
No. 66 (Vol. IX. p. 224).-Year 12 : Friday, the 29th March A.D. $1325 .{ }^{6}$

## I.-Maravarman Parâkrama-Pâņ̣̣a.

(Between the lat December A.D. 1334 and the lst November A.D. 1335.)
No. 33 (Vol. VII. p. 11).-Year 6, Śaka 1262: Wednesday, the 1st November A.D. 1340.
No. 34 (Vol. VII. p. 11). - Year 8 (for 18) : Friday, the 30th November A.D. 1352.

## J.-Jaṭavarman Parakrama-Pâṇ̣ya.

(Between the 5th February A.D. 1857 and the 9th January A.D. 1358.)
No. 67 (Vol. IX. p. 225).-Year 7 opp. to 5 : Sunday, the 4th February A.D. 1369. No. 35 (Vol. VII. p. 12). - Year 10 opp. to 5, Śaka 1293: Friday, the 9th January A.D. 1372.
K.-Kôņêraṇmaikoṇḍân Vikrama-Paṇ̣ya.
(Between the 13th January and the 27th July A.D. 1401.)
No. 59 (Vol, VIII. p. 282).-Year 4: Sanday, the 15th February A.D. 1405 (?). ${ }^{7}$
No. 58 (Vol. VIII. p. 281).-Year 8: Friday, the 27th July A.D. 1408,
No. 57 (Vol. VIII. p. 281).-Year 15, opp. to 2, Śaka 1339 : Wednesdaý, the 12th January A.D. 1418.

[^186]
## L.-Jaṭilavarman Parâkrama-Pâṇḍya Arikêsaridêva.

(Between the 18th June and the l9th July A.D. 1422.)
No. 37 (Vol. VII. p. 13).-Year opp. to 31 : Thursday, the 19 th July A.D. $1453 .{ }^{1}$
No. 36 (Vol. VII. p. 12).-Year 2 opp. to 31, Śaka 1377: Monday, the 21th March A.D. 1455.

No. 38 (Tol. VII. p. 13).-Year 4 opp. to 31 : Wednesday, the 1Bt' March A.D. 1457.
No. 39 (Vol. VII. p. 13).—Yeai 8 opp. to 31, Śaka 1381: Wednesday, the 17th June A.D. $1461 .{ }^{2}$
M.-Mânavarman Vira-Pâṇḍya.
(Between the 13th March and the 28th July A.D. 1443).
No. 60 (Vol. VIII. p. 282).-Year 11 opp. to 2 : Monday, the 28 th July A.D. 1455.
No. 61 (Vol. VIII. p. 283).-Year 14: Sanday, the 16th January A.D. 1457.
No. 62 (Vol. VIII. p. 283).-Year'14: Saturday, the 12th March.A.D. 1457.

## N.-Jațilavarman Parâkrama-Pâṇ̣ya Kulasêkhara.

(Between the 15th November A.D. 1479 and the 14th November A.D. 1480.)
No. 40 (Vol. VII. p. 14).-Year 20, Saka 1421: Thursday, the 14th November A.D. 1499.
O.-Mâravarman Sundara-Pâņ̣̣ya III.
(Between the 2nd June A.D. 1531 and the 1st June A.D. 1532.)
No. 42 (Vol. VII. p. 15).-Year 22 opp. to 2, Śaka 1477: Saturday, the lst Jane A.D. 1555.

> P.-Jaṭilavarman Śrîvallabha.
(Between the 29th November A.D. 1534 and the 28th Novemker A.D. 1535.).
No. 41 (Vol. VII. p. 155).-Year 3, Śaka 1459: Wednesday, the 28th November A.D. 1537.

> Q.-Jaṭilavarman Śrìvallabha Ativîrarâma.
(Between the 23rd August A.D. 1562 and the 22nd August A.D. 1563.)
No. 43 (V.ol. VII. p. 16).-Year 5, Śaka 1489 : Friday, the 22nd August 1567.

No. 31. - TIRUMALAI ROCK INSORIPTION OF RAJENDRA-CHOLA I.
By Profegsor E. Hultzsci, Ph.D.; Halle (Salle).
When, more than twenty years ago, I started epigraphical work in the Madras Presidency, I prepared with my own hands an inked estampage of the inscription which is here re-edited. After Mr. Venkayya had joined my office in Bangalore, we spent a considerable time in reading and translating this record - one of the first early Chôla documents we tried to make out in a reliable mamer. The Tamil text of it as printed in Sontlo-Indian Irscriptions, Vol. I. p. 98, does not contain any misreadings. ${ }^{3}$ But the translation on p. 99 needs revision in the light of the other Chôla inscriptions which were published later on, and a facsimile of this beautifully

[^187]engraved and well preserved rock inscription was hitherto missing. I therefore republish it now in Roman charaoters with a fresh translation, and with a collotype of a careful inked estampage which was prepared recently nnder Rai Bahadur Venkayya's personal supervision.

The inscription is engraved on a smooth piece of rock near a rock-cut Jaina figure on the top of the hill of Tirumalai near Pôlûr in the North Arcot district. The language is Tamild and the alphabet is likewise Tamil, interspersed with a few Grantha words and letters (svasti $\dot{s r i}, 1.1$; shai of vishaiya, 1.9; Mahîo, 1.10; Şrî-Râjêndra-Ohoḷadêva and ja of Jayañgonda, 1.12 ; şrî, Jina and dêva, 1. 13 ; vyâpûri, 1. 13 f.).

The inscription is dated in the 13th year of the reign of the Chôla king Parakêsarivarman alias Rájêndra-Chôladêva I. (1. 12), who ascended the throne in A.D. 1012. ${ }^{1}$ Itz first eleren lines consist of a passage in Tamil verse which describes the conquests of the king, and the first words of which (Tiru manni, etc.) are quoted - as pointed out by Mr. Venkayya - in Perandêvanâar's commentary on the Virraśôliyam. ${ }^{9}$

The list of conquests opens with Iḍaidurai-nâdu ( 1.1 f.), i.e. the country of Yeḍatore in the Mysore district, and Vangâ̂sísi, i.e. Banavâsi in the North Canara district. The next item, the city of Kollippâkkai, must have been iucluded in the Western Châlukya kingdom. For it was set on fire by Râjâdhirâja $I$, in the course of a war against Sômếsvara I. and Vikramâditya VI., ${ }^{5}$ and it is mentioned as Kollipake in an inscription of Jayasimha II. ${ }^{4}$ Manpai-kataka is identified by Mr. Rice with the city of Maṇ̣e in the Nelamangala tâluka of the Bangalore district. ${ }^{5}$

Ilam (1.2) or Tla-mandala (1.3) is the Tamil designation of the island of Ceylon. Rafjen-dra-Chôla I. boasts of having deprived its king of his own orown, the crowns of his queens, and two other trinkets which the Pâṇ̣ya king had previously deposited with the king of Ceylon : a crown and the 'neoklace of Indra.' Mr. Venkayga has pointed out that the MFahâvamáa (chapter LIII.) also refers to the crown of the Pânḍya, which had been left with the king of Ceglon and wras taken from him by the Chòlas, ${ }^{6}$ and that the ' necklace of Indra' is alluded to in several Pâñdya inṣcriptions. ${ }^{7}$

The Kêrala (1. 3) is the king of Malabar. Ŝândimattivn (1. 5), i.e. the island of Sântimat (?), is unknown. Muśangi is perhaps identical with the fort of Uchchangi in the Bellary district. ${ }^{8}$ Jayasimha of Raṭta-paḍi (1. 6), who was put to flight at Muśangi, is the Western Châlukya king Jayasimiha II. ${ }^{9}$

Śakkaragôțtam, i.e. Chakrakôṭta, is shown by the inscriptions of Kulôttunga I. to have belonged to the dominions of the king of Dhârâ. ${ }^{10}$ Madura-manḍala (1. 7) need not be connected with Madhurâ, the capital of the Pâṇ̣ya king, who has been already accounted for (1. 3), but may be meant for the district of the northern Mathard on the Yamuná. The three next geographical names cannot be identified.

At Âdinagar (?) Râjendra-Chôlạ I. captured Indraratha of the race of the Moon (1. 8). As suggested by Prof. Kielhorn, ${ }^{11}$ this prince may be identical with that Indraratha who is mentioned in the Udaypar inscription as an enemy of Bhòjadêva of Dhârá.

[^188]Oḍḍa-vishaya (1.9) is the province of Orissa, and Kôŝalai-nâḍu is probably Southern Kôsala. ${ }^{1}$ Taṇḍanutti, i.e. Daụlabhukti, and its ruler Dharmapála are uuknown from other sources. The same is the case with Ravaśâra, who rulecl over Takkaṇalâdam (l. 10), i.e. Dakshiṇa-Viráta ${ }^{2}$ or Southern Berar, and with Gôvindachaudra, ${ }^{3}$ the ruler of Vangála-déséa, i.e. the Bengal country. Mahípála, whom the Chöla lsing deprived of his elephants and women, is identified by Prof. Kiclhorn with the Pâla king Mabîpîla I. ${ }^{\text {t }}$

The list of conquests clo ses; with Uttiraladam (1. 11), i.o. Uttara-Virâṭa or Northemn Berar, and the Gangâ, i.e. the river Ganges.

The shurt passage in Tamil prose with which the inscription ends (11. 12-14) records it; actual purpose - a gift of moncy for a lamp and for offerings to the Jaina temple on the hill by the wife of a merchant of Malliyûr in Karaivali, a subdivision of Perumbanappaḍi. The temple was called Śri-Kundavai-Jinâlaya (1. 13), i.e. the Jiua temple of Kundavai. This name suggests that the shrine owed its foundation to Kundavai, the daughter "f Parântaka II., elder sister of Râjarâja I. (and consequently the paterual annt of Rajêndra-Chọla I.) and wife of Vallavaraiyar Vandyadêvar. ${ }^{5}$ The sacred hill (Tirumalai) is stated to liave furned purt of Vaigavûr, a pallichchandam, i.e. 'a village belonging to a Jaina temple,' in Mugai-nâdụ, a subdivision of Pangaḷa-nâḍu, a district of Jayangonḍa-Chôla-maṇ̣ala. Malliyôr is the modern Guḍimallûr near Arcot. ${ }^{7}$ The remaining geographical names mentioned in this paragraph have been discussed in South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. III. p. 89, and above, Vol. VII. p. 192.

In conclusion I would like to add a few words on the later conquests of Râjêndra-Chôla I. which are registered in the Tanjore inseription No. 20. Mr. Venkayya has shown that my former identification of Kaḍâram with a place in the Madura district ${ }^{8}$ must be wrong, beoanse the Chbla king despatched an expedition to it on ships by sea, and because two of the localities mentioned in connection with this expedition, Nakkavaram and Pappâlam, are, respectively, the Nicobar Islands and a port in Burma. 9 Among the remaining items we read in line 9 of the Tanjore inscription niraisistr-visaiyamum, and in line 11 kalai-ttakkôr pugal talai-ttakkolamun. The second of them, Takkôlam, may be identical with Ptolemy's Ták $\omega \lambda a$ é $\mu \pi$ óponv, which Colonel Gerini places at Takôpa on the western coast of the Malay Peninsula. " Instead of the first, which I had translated by 'Vijayam of great fame,' an inscription at Kaṇdiyûr near Tanjore reads nirai-stîtishaiyamum, 'the prosperous Ŝrivishnya.' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ This may be the correct reading ; for according to the larger Leiden grant (1. SO) Śrivishaya was the name of the country ruled over by the king of Kaṭâha or Kaḍâram.

[^189]
## TEXT.

1 Srasti ŝrî [॥* Tiru manni valarav=ira-nila-maḍandaiyum pôr-chchaya-ppâvaiyuñ= jil-ttani-chchelviyu_=dan perun-dêviyar=âgi i!!.b=uṛu neḍ-udiyal ûliyu! Iḍaidu-
 namnark-n'u-muraụ Maب̣uaikkaḍakkamum poru-gaḍal îlattearasirda=muḍiyum ầnga-
3 rav dêriynu=iṇ̀r-cling-mudiyu=munn=avar pakkal=Ttonnavar vaitta sundaramuḑ̣um Indirau=âramun=dẹ̆-dirai $\quad$ f̂la-maṇ̣̣ala=muluvadum eni-baḍai= Kkèralar
4 munaimaiyir=chìduñ =gula-danam=̂̂giya palar pugal muḍiynñ=Jengadir-mâlaiynño

 karnuli irnttiga sem-bor--ḥira-ttagu-muḍiyum bayañ=goda pali miga Muśañgiyil mu-
 flakkamn=unra-nedi-kkula-ppern-malaigalum vikkirama-virar Sakkaragôtṭamu=
7 mudira-bac̣a-vallui mạaura-maṇ̣alamum kâ-miḍai-valaiya=Nâmaṇaikkôṇamum veñ-jilui-vîrar Pañjappaḷiyum pâś-uḍai-ppala=nañ-Mâśuṇi-dêśamum ayarvi-
$\therefore 1$-van-gi(gì)rttiy=Âdinagar-avaiyir=Chandiran=rol-gulatt=Iradaranai ${ }^{4} \quad$ vilaiy= amarkkalat $\ddagger n=k k i l a i y o d u m ~ p i d ̣[i t] t u=p p a l a-d a n a t i ́ u u ̣ u ~ n i r ̣ a i ~ k u l a-d a n a-k k u v a i-~$
 Daṇmabálañai vem-munaiy=alittu vaṇ̣-urai-sôlai=Ttaṇḍayu(bu)ttiyum= Iraṇa-
2." śûrạpai muraṇ=uṛa=ttâkki=ttikk-anai-gi(gî)rtti=Ttakkanalâḍamuñ=Gôvindaśandaṇ
 Mahibàlanai
11 veñ-jama[r* j-valâgatt=añjavitt=aru]i oṇ-ḍinal yânaiyum peṇ̣ir-baṇḍâramu= nittila-neḍuñ-gaḍal=Utti[ra]lạ̣agmum verni-maṇar-rầrtta-tteri-bunar=Kangaiyu= mî-p-
12 poru-duṇậ̂r=koṇ̣a kô=Pparagêśaribaṇmar=âña uḍaiyâr Ŝri-RâjêndraChộladêvarku yâṇ̣u lấvadu [Ja]yañgoṇḍa-śôla-maṇ̣̣alattu Pañgaḷanâttu naduuvil
13 ra[g]ai Mugai-nâṭtu=ppalllichchandam Vaigavâr=Ttirumalai Sri-KundavaiJinâlayattu dêrarku=Pperumbâṇappâdi=Kkaraivali Malliyûr irukkum vyâ-
14 pâri Nannappayaṇ maụavâṭị Śâmuṇ̣appai vaitta tì unandâviḷakku onrinukiku= kkâśsu irubadum [tiru]vamudakku vaitta kâsu pattum [I/*]
(Line 1.) Hail! Prosperity!
(L. l.2.) In the 13th year (of the reign) of king Paiakêsarivarman alius the lord Six-Râjondra-Chôladêva, who,-

[^190] H2Y826 CH
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(L. 1.) in (his) life of high prosperity, while Tiru (Lakshmî), having become constant, was increasing, (and) while the goddess of the great earth, the goddess of victory in battle, and the matchless goddess of fame rejoiced to have become $b$ is great queens, -
(L. 11.) seized by (his) great, warlike army (the following) :-
(L. 1.) Iḍaiduṛai-nâḍu; Vanavási, (round which) a fence of continnous forests was spreading; ${ }^{1}$ Kolḷippâkkai, whose walls were surrounded with brashwood; Maṇnaikkadakkam, whose strength was unapproachable; 3 the crown of the king of îlam (on) the tempestuons ocean; the exceedingly fine crowns of the queens of that (king); the beautiful crown ${ }^{4}$ and the necklace of Indra, which the king of the South (i.e. the Pandyga) had previouslgedeposited with that (king of Illam); the whole inla-maṇ̣ala (on) the transparent sea ; the crown praised by many and the garland of the Sun, family-treasures which the arrow-shooting (king of) Kêrala rigntfully wore; many ancient islands, whose old, great gaard was the ocean which makes the conches resound; the crown of pare gold, worthy of Tiru (Lakshmî), which Paraśurâma, having considered the fortifications of Sândimattivu impregnable, had deposited (there), when, in anger, (he) bound the kings twenty-one times in battle; the seven and a half lakshas of Iraṭtabâdi, (which was) strong by nature, (and which he took), together with immeasurable fame, (from) Jayasimha, who, out of fear and full of vengeance, turned his baok at Musangi and hid himself; the principal great mountains (which contained) the nine treasures (of Kuvêra) ; ${ }^{5}$ Sakkaragôṭtam, whose warriors were brave; Madura-maṇc̣ala, whose forts (bore) bamners (which touched) the clouds; Nâmaṇaikkộam, which was surrounded by dense groves; Pañchappa!li, whose warriors (bore) cruel bows; the good Mâsunidêsa, whose fruits were fresh; a large heap of family-treasures, together with many (other) treasures, (which he carried auay) after having captured Indraratha of the old race of the Moon, together with (his) family, in a fight which took place in the hall (at) Âdinagar, (a city) which was famous for unceasing abundance ; Oḍda-vishaya, which was difficult to approach, (and which he subdued inl close fights; the good Kôsalai-nâḍu, where Brâhmanasas assembled; Taṇḍabutti, in whose gardens bees abounded, (and which he acquired) after having destroyed Dharmapâla (in) a hot battle; Takkaṇalâạam, whose fame reached (all) directions, (and which he occupied) after having forcibly attacked Ranasúra; Vangala-dêsa, where the rain-wind never stopped, (and from which) Gôvindachandra fled, having descended (from his) male elephant; ${ }^{6}$ elephants of rare strength and treasures of women, (which he seized) after having been pleased to put to flight on a hot battle-field Mahipâla, decked (as he was) with ear-rings, slippers and bracelets; Uttiraladagu, as rich in pearls as the ocean; and the Gange, whose waters daihed against bathiug-places (tirtha) covered with sand,-
(L. 12.) Châmuṇdappai, the wife of the merchant Nannappaya, who resided (at) Malliyûr (in) Karaivali, (a subdivision) of Perumbanappâḍi, deposited twenty kâśus for one perpetual lamp and ten kấsus for offerings to the god of the Sri-Kundavai-Jinalaya (on) the holy mountain (Tirumalai) of Vaigavâr, a pallichchandam in Mugai-nadu, a subdivision (vagai) in the middle of Pangala-nâdu, (a district) of Jayangoṇ̣a-Chôlà-maṇ̣ala.

[^191]
# No. 32.-MAMBALLI PLATE OF SRIVALLAVANGODAI; KOLLAM 1.!!. 

By T. A. Gopinatha Rao, M.A., Madras.

The copper plate on which the subjoined inscription is engraved, belongs to the Merm $\mathbf{1 0}^{\boldsymbol{a} l!!}$ bhnạdârattil of the Mâmballi matha and was secured for me for publication by Mr. S. (fervinds Pillai, High Court Vakil, Trevandrum. Besides this plate, there are five other odd plates melung. ing to the same matha, which bear fragments of inscriptions of the Kilappérür ${ }^{1}$ dynasty ${ }^{\text {ty }}$ the Vênậçu kinge. In one of them occurs the name Srî-Vîra-Dêvadarañ-Kêralavarris ${ }^{n 11}$ of Kilappêrûur. ${ }^{2}$
 have possessed a ring or seal. At the left end of the plate, the owner has engraved, in mindern Malayâlam charactors, the word Mâmbal!i. Excepting this single word and a few (ir santh letters interspersed in the document, the inscription is written in the Vatteluttu al y habet, The words svasti and $s r i$ in line 1 ; the letter sri occurring in the name Srivallavaunginai in
 1. 12 are in Grantha characters. The oonsonant $k$ retains the earlier form, without a loop' at tho bottom ; this form differs from the later ones which are scarcely distinguishable from the ay miml for $c h$. The letter $p \hat{u}$ (of pûngấ in 1.3), whilst it has the common form in all other instanter, looks like the Grantha letter hra. The language of the inscription is Tamil, tinged hea rowd there with the colloquialisms of the Malabar Coast: e.g. irumdaruliy=êdnttu vaichchu, $(=$ nt the
 ( $=$ belonging to the bhattâraka) in lines 6 and 12 ; ari for arisis $(=$ rice $)$ in 1.9 ; Murus nid ity $\hat{\mu}$ for Murungaiyûr in L .21 ; Saininaran for Sañgaran in 1.22 ; rakshichchus for rakshittu in 1.12 The phrase nânâlichcheydu is contracted in the modern Malayâlam language into nânalichethe.

This is the earliest known record dated in the Kollam era, and belongs to the reign of the Vénâdu king Śrivallavangôdai. ${ }^{3}$. It is dated in the 149th year of the Kollam ora,

[^192]on a Sunday corresponding to the Asvati-nakshatra in the month $\nabla$ riśchika when the planet Jupiter stood in the constellation Tulá. Professor Kielhorn kindly contributes the following remarks on the date :-"If the date were correctly recorded, it would correspond, for the year 149 of the Kollam era, to Sunday, the 9th November A.D. 973 , which was the l5th day of the month of Vrischika, and on which [the llth tithi of the bright half of Mârgaśira ended 11 h .12 m ., while] the nakshatra was Rêvatî for $16 \mathrm{~h} .25 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}$, after mean sunrise, and Aśvini (Aśvati) for the rest of the day. On the same day Jupiter's mean longitude was $191^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$, and his true longitude $195^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$, i.e. in either case Jupiter was in the sign Tulâ."
"The difficulty here is, that the day should have been described as the day of the nakshatra Aśvirî, when this rakshatra only commenced 16 h .25 m . after mean sunrise ; and I have no doubt whatever that either Aśvinì (Astati) has been quoted erroneously instead of Rêvatî, or Sunday instead of Monday. If the week-day were Monday, ${ }^{1}$ the date would regularly correspond to Monday, the 10th November A.D. 973, the 16th day of Vrischika, when the nakshatra was Aśvini (Aśvati) for 16 h .25 m . after mean sunrise, and when Jupiter of course still was in the sign Tulâ."

The inscription informs as that Umaiyammai of Tirukkalayapuram, daughter of Âdichchan, set up a bhattîraka (image) in the temple at Ayurûr. The king Śrivallavańgôdai made a gift of land to Umaiyammai for the parpose of keeping up the services of the bhattitraka set up in the Ayurur temple; and she, in her turn, made over the subject matter of the gift to the Tiruchchengunrûr temple, in order that it might be placed under the management of the Poduvales of that temple. From the produce of the land so given, the Poduvills of the temple of Tiruchchengungûr had to supply to the temple daily 4 ndalis of rice for daily offerings and annually 200 parais (of 9 nullis each) of paddy. ${ }^{2}$ If the word udai nsed in 1.18 was really meant to be used in the sense of 'of or belonging to,' the inscription would warrant us to draw the conclusion that Umaiyammai was a near relation of Śrivallavañgôdai - either mother or wife. It wonld then be more probable to consider her as his wife than as his mother, as the passage Şrivallavañôdaiy=udai Adichchan=Umaiyammai means Âdichchan Umaiyammai belonging to Śrîvallavañgôdai. She might perhaps be the daughter of the Chồla king Âditya II., ${ }^{3}$ to whose time this record belongs. ' If, on the other hand, udai be a mistake for idai then no sort of relationship need exist between the two. ${ }^{4}$

The inscription employs several peculiar terms which require some explanation each. The word attipperv (11. 8 and 19) implies' 'acquisition by the pouring of water.' This mode of acquisition differs from others, such as purchase, etc. ${ }^{5}$ The meaning of the expressions kitildu and iduaiy=idu is not definitely known. Kill-idu literally means 'that which is placed under,'6 and id aiy=idu, 'that which is placed in the middle.' A piece of land placed under the management of a person was perhaps called a kîlidu with referenoe to that person. If this person sublet to a third party, the person subletting seems to be the idaiy=idan, i.e. he is the middle man between the owner of the property and the sub-tenant. It is in this sense that the passages of the inscription, in which these terms occur have been translated. The name poduvall was given to a class of people who were eligible for service in temples. It has now

[^193]become the name of a sub-caste among the Ambalavâsi section of the Malayâlis. Then again, the word $\hat{u} r$ rilan is explained variously as a trustee of an endowed temple, magistrat de la rille, or a patron or founder of a temple. I believe it is used here in the first two meanings. The term adhikâri occars in the inscriptions of the Tamil and Malayâlam countries, and is used in the sense of 'an officer in charge of a division,' ${ }^{1}$ and it is in this sense the term is nsed in line 23 of the present record. The phrase mukkâlvattam implies, according to Dr. Gundert, a Bhagavatî temple. If this be the sense in which it is employed in our inscription, then the bhattưraka set up by Umaiyamınai might be taken to be Bhagavati. But its literal meaning, 'the three-fourths of a vattam (circuit or group of villages),' and the nse of the bhattitraka instead of blatttitalki preclude the possibility of its being a Bhagavatí temple in the present instance. How muklicilcattum came to mean a Bhagavatî temple is not known. The meaning of the expressions Sirrûrnadai and Sirrûrnadai-ttandam is not clear. Hence they have been tentatively translated as " the custom obtaining in small towns" and "the fine levied in accordance with the custom obtaining in small towns."

The places mentioned in this inscription are:-Kollam, Tirukkalayapuram, Ayirûr, Tiruchcheñguñrûr, Iḍaiyâmaṇam, Muruñṅaiyûr, Maṇalmûkku, Puñalûr and Kuḍagôtṭ̂̂r. Of these Kollam, Ayirûr and Tiruchohengangûr are the modern Quilon, Ayirûr and Chengunnôur ${ }^{2}$ (the head-quarters of the tâluka of the same name) in North Travancore. Idaiyâmanam might be identified with Edaman, a station on the Maniyachi-Quilon branch of the South Indian Railway; and Panalûr is another station on the same line. I am not able to identify the rest.

TEXT. ${ }^{3}$
First Side.
1 Spasti snrî [||*] Kollan=dôṇri nûrrun-nârpattonbadâm=ânạ̣u Talc.-
2 ttul Viyàla=ninra Mirichchiga ñâjirrn [Nâyị̆=âṇạa]
3 Achchuradi i-nnâ[']âl Kollattu=p[pû]ñgîvin kôyilul=uya-
4 riga koṭilu! Tiruchcheṅgunrûr=pparaḍai=pperu-makkal kûṭta-
5 ǹ=gûḍi irundarulig=eḍatto ${ }^{5} \quad$ vaichchu Tirukkalaiyapuratt=Âdichchan=

## Umaiyammai

6 Ayurarir=piraditṭai-seyda patṭâragaraiyum pattệragarkkollaa ${ }^{6}$ pûmigum Âdi-
7 chchaṇ=Umaiyammaikku [nî]rôḍ=aṭti-kkoḍuttân Vênâạ́=uḍaiya Srivallavañgôdai [ $\left|\left.\right|^{*}\right.$ ] Âdich-
8 chañ=Umaiyammai tâñ=aṭṭirpêru koṇ̣adu Tiruchchengungrûr=ppatṭâraga-
9 rkku=kkîl-ı̂ḍây=chchirrûr naḍaiy=oḍu kûḍa nânâalizchchey[da]ri tiruva-mudi-
 ma-
12 kkâl-vaṭtamum paṭṭ̂rakkol!a7 iḍaiy-rị̂um rakshiohchu koḍuttu rakshâpôgañ=

[^194]First Side.



13 goḷlakkaḍariyar poduvâlmâr [||*] i-ppariśu seyda kîl-îtțil ûrâlarâga i-


Second Side.


## TRANSLATION.

(Lines l to 7). Hail! Prosperity! In the year one hundred and forty-nine after Kollam appeared, on a Sunday corresponding ${ }^{6}$ to the Achchuvadi (asvati-nakshatra) in the month of Mirichchigam (Vriśchika), when Jupiter stood in Tulâ-while ${ }^{7}$ the great mon of the parudai (assembly) of Tiruchchengunrur were pleased to be assembled ${ }^{9}$ on this day in the high hall of the palace (situated) in the flower garden of Kollam, Srivallavangôdai, (the king) of Vênâḍu gave, by the pouring of water, to Âdichohann=Umaiyammai of Tirukkalayapuram, the battârakar ${ }^{10}$ set up by Âdichchan-Umaiyammai at Ayurûr, and the lands belonging to the battârakar.
(Ll. 8 to 11). Àdichchan=Umaiyammai gave, as kîl-zidu to the batțârakar of Tiruchohengungûr, by pouring water in the hands of the poduval, ${ }^{11}$ what ${ }^{\text {whe ac. }}$ quired by gift, ${ }^{12}$ so that (he)

[^195]might supply, according to the rate current in small torns, ${ }^{1}$ four nilli of rice ${ }^{2}$ for (daily) offerings, and two hundred parai of paddy at nine nisli per parai, annually. ${ }^{3}$
(Ll. 11 to 13). The podruill.s shall protect the mukkâl-vatṭam ${ }^{4}$ of Ayurur and the idaiyidqu of the bhatfataka, and take (a fraction of the produce) as remuneration for the protection (afforded). ${ }^{6}$
(Ll. 13 to 14). The ûrcilars shall not enter as ${ }^{6}$ idaiyidars in the kilid $d u$ (which is the subject of) this transaction, ${ }^{7}$ and shall neither dismiss (the kilididars) nor collect the rant.
(Ll. 14 to 16 ). He that reduces this to a fourth ${ }^{8}$ shall, consistently with the custom obtaining in small towns, be subject to the general fine of two hundred kalanju of gold. ${ }^{\ominus}$
(IJ. 16 to 17). He that abets the former shall also be subject to a fine in the same way.
(Ll. 17 to 19). Both the mulkitlvattam of Ayurûr and the idaiyî ${ }^{2} u$ belonging to the bhattivakar, mentioned above, are thus the acquisitions in gift of Âdichchan=Umaiyammai (of, or) related to Śrivallavañgôdai. ${ }^{10}$
(Ll. 19 to 22). (The following are the signatures of) the sidhus who were present at the time when Âdichchañ=Umaiyammai, while she was with Srị̂vallavangôdai, made this gift of

[^196]British Museum inscription of Kanishka.

land as idaiyîdu to the bhattârahar of Tiruchchengunnûr:- ${ }^{1}$ I, Dêvam- ${ }^{2}$ Pavittiran of Muruñnaiyûr, also know; ${ }^{3}$
( $\lrcorner$ 」. 22). I, Śaṅnaran Kaṇḍan of Iḍaiyamanam, also know;
(Ll. 22 to 23). I, Kaṇc̣an Dâmôdaran of Maṇalmûkku, also know ;
(Lil. 23 to 24). I, Iravi-Parandavaṇ ${ }^{4}$ of Puṇalûr, the adhikârin of Vénâḍu, also know;
(Ll. 24 to 25). I, Parandavan-Kaṇḍan of Kuḍagôtṭar, also know.
(Ll. 25 to 26). This is the writing of ŝâttañ-Šaçaiyan, the poduvâl of Tiruchchengungrûr.

## No. 33.-THREE EARLY BRAHMI INSCRIPTIONS.

By Professor H. Lüders, Pe.D., Rostoak.

## I.-BRITISH MUSEUM STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE TIME OF KANISHKA.

On the occasion of a visit to the British Museum in the antamn of 1906, I discovered in one of the cases of the Northern Gallery the stone bearing the subjoined inscription, which, as far as I know, has never been published before. At my request impressions were taken, from. which I have prepared the transcript. Subsequently Dr. Fleet kindly sent me the photograph of the atone reproduced in the accompanying plate.

Nothing seems to be known about the origin of the stone, bat the oharacters, the language and the date of the inscription prove that it comes from Northern India.

The sculpture at the top of the stone represents a man and a woman sitting on a bench. The woman to the left, wearing a loin-cloth and a girdle and the usual ornaments round the neok, the wriste, the ankles and in the lobes of the ear, rests her left elbow on the knee of her left leg which she has placed on the top of the benoh, and turns her laughing face to the spectator. The male person also is wearing a necklace, bracelets, ear-drops, and a dhoti covering the knees. He is sitting astride, and with the right hand he tonches, or points to, a sort of stand placed between the two persons on the bench and bearing what would seem to be a oushion adorned by three smaill square marks and supporting some bell-shaped object. Right over the head of the man there appears something which at first sight looks almost like a olub, but which in my opinion probably is the matilated head of a cobra. As the stone is broken off immediately above the head of the female person, it is quite possible that her head also was overshadowed by a: similar representation of a serpent's head, and it seems to me very probable therefore that the soulpture represents a Nâga and his wife.

The writing is Brâhmi of the earlier Kushaṇa type. The subscript $y a$ is expressed by the full sign, and the sha shows the old form with the small cross-bar. The language is the usual mized dialect. The inscription, which is dated in the tenth year of maharaja dêvaputre Kanishka, records the gift of a temple. Details will be discussed below.

[^197]TEXT.
1 Siddha $[\dot{m}]^{1}$ maharâjasya dêva[prtrasya $]^{2}$
2 Kânishkasya savatsarê [10]3
3 gri 2 di 9 êtayê purvay[ $\hat{e}]^{4}$
4 [u]tarâyain ${ }^{5}$ na[va]rikâ̧am ${ }^{6}$ [h]â-
$5[$ r'mya $]=[d] a t a\left[\dot{u}_{3}\right]^{7}$ priyatâḿn dêvi ${ }^{8}$ grâ[masya]. ${ }^{9}$

## REMARKS.

1 The anusvira is indistinct becanse it is crossed by the line forming the base of the sculpture. - 2 The reading of the bracketed characters is certain, though the surface of the stone has peeled off at the corner.-3 This figure also has suffered from the peeling off of the surface, but the reading is beyond doubt - 4 The $\hat{\theta}$ is very indistinct, and the correct reading may possibly be purvaya or purvayain.-5 At first sight one might feel inclined to read natarâyani, but the base-line of the first letter is quite straight, whereas na has a distinctly curved base. I feel therefore sure that what appears to be the continuation of the base-line to the left, is merely due to a flaw in the stone. -6 The two convergent side-lines of the $v a$ are not very distinct, just as in the same letter in line 3, and there appears a vertical in the middle which makes the letter look almost lize na. But this line is far too thin to really form part of the letter and must be accidental. 7 The bracketed letters of thase two words are more or less damaged, but the reading stems to be sure.-8 The $\hat{e}$-stroke is added to the top of the letter, whereas in $d \hat{e}$ in the first line it is added in the middle.-9 The last two letters are damaged, but only the $y a$ can be said to be conjectaral.

## TRANSLATION.

Success! In the year 10 of the mahârâja dêvaputra Kânishka, in the second (month of) summer, on the ninth day, 一on that (date specified as) above a temple was given in the northern nuvamikit (?). May the goddess of the village be pleased!

## NOTES.

The orthography of the inscription is very irregular, double consonants, long vowels and the anusuára being frequently not expressed in writing. A long $\hat{a}$ appears in the word hârmya. According to the St. Petersburg Dictionary the same form is found also in the Taitt. Arr. VI, 6, 2 instead of the ordinary harmya occurring in the corresponding verse in Atharvav. XVIII, 4, 55. In harmyan=datain the final $m$ is converted into the nasal before the following mate, which is rare in inscriptions in this dialect. Another instance is found in the concluding words of the Mathara inscription, above Vol. I. p. 386, No. 8: prîyatîm=bhagavân=Rishabhaśrîh, which at the same time help us to understand the phrase found at the end of the present record : priyatith dêví grấmasya.

Of greater interest is the spelling of the king's name, Kanishka, with a long vowel in the first syllable and a lingual $n$. With regard to the latter point, the seven Brâhmi inscriptions that have preserved the name are in perfect agreement. ${ }^{1}$ In the Kharôshṭhî inscriptions of Suê Vihâr ${ }^{\text {s }}$ and Zeda ${ }^{3}$ the name is read as Kanishka, in that of Manikyâla as Kaneshka, ${ }^{4}$ but I am by no means sure whether in the two last mentioned inscriptions the readings Kanishka and

[^198]

From a photograph supplied by Dr. Fleet.

Kaneshlia would not be preferable. On the whole, contemporary records certainly are in favour of the spelling with the lingual $n$, and I would therefore propose to use Kanishka as the common form of the name. The apelling with the long vowel in the first syllable as in the presentinscription is unusual, bat it does not stand quite alone. In the Sàrnâth inscription, No. $3^{\text {a }}$, the editor, it is true, reads Kanishliasya, but the photo-lithograph ${ }^{1}$ distinctly shows Kainishkasya.

Turning to the special object of the inscription, we may infer from the concluding words that the temple was dedicatud to a goddess, and the representation of the two Nâgas above the inscription makes it not unlikely, I think, that the goddess intended was a Nâgî. That during the Kushana period there existed temples for the worship of serpents in Northern India, is well attested by the two Mathurà inscriptions ${ }^{2}$ which montion the temple (st $[h] \hat{c} n a$ ) of the nigêndra Dadhikarna and a servant at the temple of the same Dadhikarpa (Dadivilarnnadivikulika).

The most difficult words of the inscription are utariyaniz navamikityain. I have thought for some time that they might be part of the date and mean 'on the following (i.e. intercalated) minth (lunar day)', but for two rearons this idea must be given up. Firstly, such a statement would be in the wrong place after êtayê purvayê, and secondly, as Profcisor Kielhorn informs me, uttara is never used in the sense of adnilia or duitiya. ${ }^{3}$ The words must thercfore be connected with hdrmyan=datain, and as a form ending in - atyain can hardly be anything clse but the locative singular of a stem in $\hat{\vec{b}}$; utarit navanuitit woald seem to denote either the locality where the temple was erected or, possibly, the goddess to whom it was dedicated. However, these explanations are far from satisfactory. Neither has navamikit the appearance of being the name of a locality, nor does utarat navamilit in the least sound like the name of a godless or a Nigì. I am at present unable to solve this difficulty.

## II.-MATHURÂ STONE INSCRIPTION, DATED SAMIVVAT 74.

This inscription is cngraved on a stone-slab discovered by Sir Alexander Cunningham in the Jail Mound at Mathurâ. It was first edited in 1870, together with facsiniles, by Rajendralala Mitra in the Journ. Beng. As. Soc. Vol. XXXIX. Part I. p. 129, No. 15, and by Dowson in the Journ. Roy. As. Soc. New Ser. Vol, V. p. 183, No. 4. In 1873 Cannixgham published it again with a facsimile in the Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. III. p. 32, No. 8, and in 1904 I. hnve treated it myself in the Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p. 106, No. 20. I edit it here again for a special reason. When I was in Oxford in 1905, Professor Hoernle kindly made over to me the collection of impressiona, rubbings and drawings of inscriptions formed by hime when preparing the second volume of the Corpus Inseriptiomum Indicarum, which was to contain the 'Indo-Scythic' inscriptions. In this collection there is also the impression which I have used for the present edition. It carmot be said to be first-rate and, as unfortunately most of the impressions of this collection, it has been tampered with in some places by pencilling out parts of letters that in the impression itself are more or less effaced. Nevertheless the impression is of the greatest value as shown by the following note written on the margin, probably by General Cunningham himself: 'The only impression now avail:ble.-The stone has been lost at Agra.' Under these circumstances it seemed to me desirable to publish the accompanying reproduction of the impression, which in spite of its shortcamings naturally is far saperior to the drawings published hitherto. Professor Hoernle's collection contains besides two facsimiles. The one is an eye-copy in red and blae pencil on a slightly reduced scale, made according to a marginal note by Captain Watts, Royal Engineers, the other is a pencil-tracing on transparent paper, perhaps made from the stone itself, but afterwards gone over with China-ink, blue and red pencil, and practically of no value.

[^199]The inscription is divided by a blank space into tro parts. The upper portion, containing eight lines, is complete with the exception of some letters at the end of the first tro lines, which have disappearel by the breaking off of the right corner of the stone. Of the lower portion which in Dowson's and Cunningham's facsimiles has been omitted altogether, nothing is left bot faint traces of some characters in the first line. The characters are Brâhmî of the Kushapa type. The sha appears in the older form with the small cross-bar, but the subsoript ya shows the cursive form. The language is the mixed dialect. The inscription is dated in the year 74 of a mahârája rã[jâtirâja] dêvaputra whose name began with Vâsu, but owing to it: fragmentary state its real parport cannot be made out.

TEXT.


## REMARKS.

1 The it-stroke is distinctly visible in the impression, although it does not appear in Capt. Watts' eye-copy.-2 The $\hat{\imath}$-stroke is uncertain. Restore ritjitiritja-.-3 The $\hat{\imath}$-stroke is quite (tistinct. thongh here again it is omitted in Capt. Watts' eye-copy. As regards the restoring of the line, I refer to the remarks below. 4 The $\hat{\hat{c}}$-stroke, omitted in Capt. Watts' eye-copy, is quite distinct. - Owing to a flaw in the stone, a small portion of the lower left cross-bar of the symlol has disarpearel. In the impression somebody has tried to restore the missing portion by adding in pencil a hook turning upwards, bat there is nothing to warrant this restoration. There can be no diult that the symbol had the shape of a plain St. Andrew's cross, just as in other inscriptions. The lower right cross-bar also has been pencilled over in the impression, but this is of no conscineuce as it is perfectly distinct. The meaning of the symbol will be discussed below, -6 The npper portion of the $n$ and the $\hat{a}$ are not quite distinct.- 7 The $\hat{e}$-stroke is indistinct, and the $\delta a$ has suffered from a hole in the paper. -8 The apparent curving of the tail of the $a$ has been carsed by pencilling. In Capt. Watts' eye-copy the tail is quite straight.-9 Above the $p u$ there is a distinct stroke which must be acciclental.- 10 There are some strokes behind and below the ta, but thoy are not notioed in Capt. Watts' eye-copy and may be accidental. The e-stroke is not very distinct, and the reading Taluliyain would be possible.-11 Capt. Watts expressly states that there are no traces of letters bufore the nit of line 7 and the lio tine 8 . The $m i t h a s$ becn pencilled over so as to look almost like sut, bot there can be no doubt that it is nin, and as such it appears also in Capt. Watts' eje-copy.-12. The ya is damaged, but certain.13 The hash of the first and the $r$ of the second syllable are damaged, but certain. The ef of kshe is very faint and not given in Capt. Watts' eyo-copy. The last syllable may also be trô as in Capt Watts' eye-oopy.-14 Of this word only faint traces are visible in the impression, and the reading rests almost entirely on Capt. Watts' eye-copy. Instead of da Capt. Watts gives dé.

## TRANSLATION.

In the year 74 of the makurûjja rûjîtituruja dêtaputra Vâsu......, in the first month of the rainy season, on the thirtieth day, 30 ,-on that (dute specified as) above, in the field ( $P$ ) of the great general Vâlina at Talakiya (or Talaki?) Mihi......

E. Hultzsch.

## NOTES.

The orthography shows the usual featares. The lengthening of the vowel in dânda appears again in the same word in the Set-Mahet inscription, above Vol. VIII, p. 181, and we may further compare such forms as $\hat{a} \dot{m} t e ̂ v a ̂ s i s a ~ a n d ~ a ̂ m i t e ́ v a ̂ s i n i y e ̂ ~ i n ~ t h e ~ M a t h u r a ̂ ~ i n s c r i p t i o n s, ~$ $E p$. Ind. Vol. II. p. 198 f., Nos. 1 and 4.

As regards the date, the first symbol of the date of the year requires a fnller consideration, as it has been differently interpreted. As already stated above, it has the shape of a St. Andrew's cross. Cunningham ${ }^{1}$ originally read it as 40 , and he was followed by Dowson, who in editing the Mathurâ inscriptions everywhere adopted Canningham's readings of the dates. ${ }^{3}$ In 1891 Biibler expressed his belief that the sign really represented $70,8^{3}$ and this opinion was endorsed in the following year by Cunningham in his paper on the coins of the Kushanas in the Numismatic Chronicle, Ser. III. VoI. XII. p. 50, note 6.

I accordingly read the symbol as 70 when I pablished the inscription in the Indian Antiquary, and I am still convinced that Bühler was right, but in order to settle this question definitely, it will be necessary to examine the other Northern Brâhmî insoriptions where the same sign occurs. They are the following seven, all of which come from Matharâ or its neigh-bourhood:-
(1) Mathurâ inscription of the time of svâmin mahâkshatrapa Soḍâsa, Ep. Ind. Vol. II. p. 199, No. 2, and Plate. In the Vienna, Or. Journ. Vol. V. p. 177, Bühler read the symbol as 40, adding 70 in brackets. In the $E p$. Ind., loc. cit., Bühler again gave 40 in the text, bnt added in a note that the symbol might possibly be 70. And lastly in $E p$. Ind. Vol. IV. p. 55, note 2, he stated that he would now remove the alternative reading 42 , which he had thought admissible at first.
(2) Kâman insoription, Ep. Ind. Vol. II. p. 212, No. 42, and Plate. Here Bühler rendered the sign by 70 in the text, bat added in a footnote that it might also be read as 40.
(3) Mathurâ insoription, Journ. Beng. As. Soc. Vol. XXXIX. Part I. p. 130, No. 17, and Plate; Journ. Roy. As. Soc. New Ser. Vol. V. p. 183, No. 5, and Plate; Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. III. p. 33, No. 11, and Plate. Cunningham and Dowson read the sign as 40, and I have followed them in Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p. 102.
(4) Mathurà inscription, Journ. Beng. As. Soc. Vol. XXXIX. Part I. p. 127, No. 1, and Plate; Jouria. Roy. As. Soc. New Ser. Vol. V. p. 182, No. 1, and Plate; Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. III. p. 33, No. 12, and Plate. Canninghan and Dowson read the sign as 40, and I have adopted this reading in Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p. 101, No. 11.
(5) Mathurâ inscription, Journ. Beng. As. Soc. Vol. XXXIX. Part I. p. 127, No. 2, and Plate; Journ. Roy. As. Soc. New Ser. Vol. V. p. 183, No. 2, and Plate; Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. III. p. 34, No. 13, and Plate. Canningham and Dowroon read the sign as 40, and I have followed them in Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII, p. 102, No. 13.
(6) Mathurà insoription, Journ. Beng. As. Soc. Vol. XXXIX. Part I. p. 130, No. 18, and Plate. The faosimile is very poor. In the Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p. 101, No. 12, I have read the sign as 40 , but $I$ have pointed out also that the inscription is possibly identical with that referred to under No, 3.
(7) Mathurâ inscription, Journ. Roy. As. Soc. New Ser. Vol. V. p. 184, No. 7, and Plate ; Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. III. p. 34, No. 14, and Plate. Ounningharn and Dowson read the sign as 40.

[^200]It thus appears that the symbol has hitherto been treated sometimes as 40 and sometimes as 70, but it will be readily conceded, I think, that it is impossible to assign two different velues to the same sign in inscriptions of the same locality and the same period. But before we can decide which of the two interpretations is the correct one, we shall have to examine also the other symbols supposed to represent either 40 or 70 in the early Brâhmî inscriptions of Northern India. The following inscriptions, which for convenience sake I number in contination of the list given above, must be taken into consideration :-
(8) Matharà inscription of the time of mahäräja Haviksha, Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 387, No. 9, and Plate. The symbol resembles the ligature $p t a$ and was read by Bühler as 40 .
(9) Mathurâ inscription, Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 387, No. 10, and Plate. The general appearanee of the symbol is the same as in No. 8, bat its lower part is not quite distinct in the photo-lithograph. Bühler read the sign as 40 .
(10) Mathurâ inscription, Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. III. p. 33, No. 10, and Plate; Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 396, No. 30, and Plate. The symbol generally has the same form as that in No. 8, but its lower part is a little more cursive. Canningham and Bühler read it as 40.
(II) Matharâ inscription of the time of mahârâja Huvishka, Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. III. p. 34, No. 15, and Plate. The symbol is the same as in No. 8. Cunningham read it as 40, and I bave followed him in my treatment of the record in the Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p. 103, No. 14.
(12) Mathurâ inscription, Ep. Ind. Voi. II. p. 204, No. 2U, and Plate ; p. 321, and Plate. The upper part of the symbol is the same as in Nos. 8-11, bnt its lower part is a distinct loop. Bühler read the sign as 70.
(13) Mathurâ inscription, $\operatorname{Ep}$. Ind. Vol. I. p. 387, No. 11, and Plate. As Bühler expressly states in a footnote that the symbol is a plain pta, it may have been so in the impression before him. In the photo-lithograph, however, it does not bear the slightest resemblance to that aign, but looks exactly like the letter bra. Bühler read the symbol as 40.
(14) Sâũohi inscription of the time of mahârâja râjûtivỉja dêvuputra Shâhi Vâsashisa, Ep. Ind. Vol. II. p. 369 f., and Plate. The symbol found here has quite a peculiar shape. Prosided that the vertical standing behind it does not belong to it, but is part of the following sign for 8 , it resembles the usual sign for 20. As such it was read also at first by Bühler, butat Canningham's saggestion he afterwards took it to be 70. The reading of the sign was then discussed at length by Dr. Fleet in a paper in the Journ. Boy. As. Soc. 1903, p. 326 ff., and he came to the conclusion that it was 20. Bat later on, when Mr. Vincent Smith in his Early History of India, p. 238, had suggested that the symbol might be read as 60, Dr. Fleet udmitted the possibility of this interpretation; see Journ. Roy. As. Soc. 1905, p. 357.1

Leaving aside for the present the symbols found in the last three inscriptions, it appears that there are two symbols, the St. Andrew's cross and the pta, one of which must represent 70 and the other 40. Now in the insoription which forms the subject of this paper the St. Andrew's cross cannot represent 40, as in that case the inscription would be dated in the year 44 in the reign of a king whose name begins with Vâsu, whereas we know that from 33-60 Huvishisa was the reigning monaroh in this part of the country. Here, therefore, the St. Andrew's cross must represent 70, and we must accordingly assign the same value to the symbol also in the inscriptions enumerated above under Nos. 1-7. We thus get the dates S. 72 for No. 1, S. 74 for

[^201]No. 2, and S. 77 for Nos. 3-7. The inscriptions themselves contain nothing to contradict this resalt. No. 4, it is true, mentions tho mahêrîja rijâtivâja dérputra Hûvishka, but not in connection with the date, the inscription simply recording a gift to the cilutra of that king. As
 Journ. Roy. As. Soc. J907, p. 1024 ff .

If the St. Andrew's cross represents 70, the sign resembling pta must be 40 . The inscription No. 8, therefore, would be dated in S. 44, No. 9 in S. 45 , No. 10 in S. 47, and No. 11 in S. 48 , which is in accordance with the statements of Nos. 8 and 11 that their dates fall into the reign of Huviksha or Huvishka.

The symbol occurring in No. 12 undoubtedly differs from cither of the two symbols found in Nos. 1-11, but whereas it berrs no resemblance whatever to the cross-shaped sigu, it is easily intelligible as a cursive development of the pta sign. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ In my opinion therefore this sign also mast be taken as 40 , and the inscription as being dated in S. 4f, mot S. 7\%. There is another point in favour of this interpetation. The inscription records a gift made at the request of the venerable Vridhahasti (Vrullhahustin), a preacher in the Kortiya(Kottiinu)ynna, the Vairâ (Vajri) salidut. There is another Mathurat inscription dated in S. 60, ${ }^{2}$ recording a gift made at the request of the ganin, the venerable Kharụna, a pupil of this same Vridillhahastin. If Vriddhahastin in S. 60 had a pupil who had acquired the dignity of ganin, he must bave been a man advinced in years at that time, ani although, of c anse, it is not impossible that he was still alive in S. 79, it would certrinly seem more natural to find him as a spiritual adviser in S . 49 and his pupil in tho same capacity eleven years later on, in S. 60.

Little can be said abont the symbol ocourring in No. 13. The form appearing in the photolithograph is quite peculiar and unlike any other symbol in the inscriptions from Mathurâ or elsewhere, but in accordance with Buihler's statement, it may be provisionally takeu as 40 .

As regards the symbol in No. 14, I agree with Dr. Fleet that there is no reason whatever why it should be 70, as even the siga in No. 12, which Bühler cited in support of this interpretation, is to be read not 70 , but 40 . On the other hand, I feel sure that it is not 20 . I have lately received through Dr. Konow impressions of an inscription ranning round the base of a pillar preserved in the Mathurâ Museum. The inscription, which is in Brâhrâ characters of the Kushnua type, is partly worn, but the date is quite distinct. Now the sign for the tens in the date of the year is the same as that in the Suñohi inscription, showing again the vertical, which is thus proved to be an integrant part of it and not to belong to the following sign. And although unfortunately the text of the insoription contains nothing that would enable us to form a positive opinion on the value of the symbol, we may safely assert that it cannot be 20 , as we find this number expressed by the usual sign in the date of the day. Lastly also the proposal to treat the symbol as 60 cannot be said to be convincing, the sign that bas hitherto been read as 60 in the inscriptions of the Kushana period ${ }^{3}$ certainly being entirely different. I do not want to offer a new hypothesis. In my opinion we shall have to wait for fresh materials before we can hope to arrive at a satisfactory result in this question. In how far the restoring of the king's name in our inscription of S . 74 is influenced by this uncertainty, will be shown below.

I know that the resalts arrived at above are partly not in harmony with those deduced from the coins of the Western Kshatrapas. The numeral signs occurring in the legends of those coins are given in table IX, col. $\nabla$, of Bühler's Indische Paläographie from Professor Rapson's table in

[^202]the Jomm. Roy. As. Soc. 1890, Plate to p. 6:9. Here the St. Audrew's cross has been entered as 40, and a sign much resembling the pta and another looped sign almost exactly like that of No. 12 as 70. I don wish to throw any doalts on the correctness of these readings, but I contend that for such questions inscriptions must bo treated seprately according to time and localits.
[ajajendralala Mitra, Dowson and Cunningham agreed in restoring the name of the king as Thist [lvasya]. When I edited the inscription from the facsimiles published by my predeces. sirs. I drew attention to the circumstance that the available space is hardly sufficient for the three $a^{h}$ :sharas devasya, and I proposel to restore the name as Vasushikasya, as this name of the king secmed to be at'ested by two other inscriptions of S. 76 and S. $78,{ }^{1}$ whereas the first undunbted record of Vâsudêva's reign was dated in S. 80. What I said about the difficulty of supplying three syllables is confir:ued by the impression now before me, although owing to the frequeut irregalarity of the writing in these inscriptions it is impossible to speak on this point with absolute certainty. Bat the evidence for the existence of a king Vâsushka in S. 76 and S . 78 is not so strong as it seemed to be formerly. As I have tried to show above, the date of the Shãchi inscription mentioning a king Vâsashka is quite uncertain, and the Matharâ inscription mentioned by Führer as being dated in S'. 76 and recording repairs in the reign of Vâsushka has not yet been published. As Dr. Konow informs me, it cannot even be found now, Führer's trenches having been filled up again a long time ago and the exact spot where the inscription was found being no more known. Under these circumstances a decision is of conrse. inpossible for the present. If Führer's statement after all shonld prove correct, I should unhesitatingly restore $V \hat{i}$ isu to Vasushikasya, otherwise the reading Visudêvasya will have to be accepted.

The rest of the inscription calls for few remarks. Talakiya or Talaki seems to be the name of a loeality, but I am unable to identify it. The title mahêdand anityalin is frequent in the inscriptions of the Gapta period and later times. In the Kushana inscriptions it has not yet been fornd before, but the subordinate title of dundanäyaka occurs in the Manikyâla inscription, ${ }^{3}$ where the correct reading in 1.2 is, not Laladoda-nayago, but Lala-dadanayago.

## III. MATHURÂ STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE TIME OF ŚONQ̣̂̀SA.

This inscription was first published, together with a facsimile, in 1870 by Professor Dowson in the Jonm'r. Roy. As. Soe. New Sor. Vol. V. p. 188, No. 29. In 1873 it was published again with a facsimile by Cunningham in the Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. III. p. 30, No. 1. And in 19041 I have tried to edit the text from those two facsimiles in the Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p. 149, No. 2f. For the present edition of the record I have made use of an impression found in Prufessor Hoernle's collection described above.

Cunningham states that the stone bearing the inscription was found in the Jail Mound at Mathurâ. According to Dowson, it has been cut through and the first part of it has been carried off. On the other hand, the facsimiles distinctly showed that sometbing was missing at the right end, and thus I was led to suppose that the stone was damaged on both sides. This, however; is not the case. Nothing is missing at the beginning of the writing on the left, and on the right also only one letter has been cut off at the end of the first two lines. With this ezcep. tion the insoription is in an excellent state of preservation.

[^203]The characters are of the so-called archaic type of the Matharâ inseriptions; see especially the letters da, fa and sha, and the subscript $y a$. The language is the mixed dialect. The inscription is not dated. It records varioas gifis of a Brahman of the Śâgrava (Śaigrava) gôtra, the treasurer of suâmin mahûlkshatrapa Śoṇ̣̂asa. For details I refer to the remarks below.

TEEXT.
l Svàmisya mahâkshatrapasya Śomiḍâsssya ${ }^{1}$ gamjjavarêna brâhmap̣̂na sagôtrệna [p]...8.

2 raṇi imâshâm yamaḍa-pushkaraṇinañ pas̃ohimâ pushkaraṇi udapânô ârâmô ${ }^{3}$ stambhô i...

3 [śilậ]patṭô ${ }^{4}$ cha-.

## REMARES.

1 As to tho reading of this name see the remarks below.-2 Little is left of the $p a$, but the reading is certain. Restore puslika-. -3 The outlines of the letters ni udapanô ârâmô are more or less touched up.with pencil, but the reading is perfectly certsin. - 4 The reading silua is certain, although the letters are entirely spoiled by boing gone over with pencil.

## TRANSLATION.

By the treasurer of the lord, the mahâkshutrapa Śomụ̂asa, a Brâhman of the Ségrava (Saigraru) gôtra, a tank, the western tank of these twin tanks, a reservoir, a grove, a pillar and this stone-slab (was cansed to be made).

## notes.

As regards the language, the most interesting form is imadshám. Apparently in the dialeot of Mathurà the genitives plur. of the pronoun were, as in Pâli, imêsam and imásam, and the anthor of the inscription translated the latter form into imilshûin as he was wont to render imésain by imêshdin. The nominative sing. maso. of the same prononn is found at the ond of line 2, but unfortunately nothing is left of it bat the initial i. The word pushkarani shows in the third syllable the vooalisation of the Pali pokkharanî. Yamadn corresponds to Skt. yamala or yomula, as the word would be written in Southern manuscripts. The constraction of the inscription is rather peculiar, the verb or participle on which the instrumentals bradhmangna, eto., depend, being omitted.

The first point to command attention is the name of the mahakshatrapa, which is generally supposed to be Śodâsa. In the present inscription there is a distinot sign above the so. It must have been found also in the impressions used by Dowson and Cunaingham, as the former reads Sicndâsasya (for Sidind dsasya) and the latter Saudidsasya (for Sauḑasasya), although the facsimiles show no trace whatever of anusvâra or au. The sign cannot be the stroke denoting au, as it does not tonoh the apper line of the so, batis separated from it by a distinot blank space. It can only be an anusvâra of the same bolky shape as that in gamjavarêpa and in pushkaraninam.

The form Soridasa has not yet been recognised anywhere else. In the second Brahml inscription at Matharâ mentioning this mahdikshatrapal Buhler read Soddasa, but the photolithograph by no means exoludes the reading Somdásasa. Right above the so there is a white spot scaroely less distinot than that above the ma of hamamamáse which Buhler read as arusvîra. In the Mathara lion-ospital inscription ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Mr}$. Thomas reads the name as Sudasa and Sudisa, and with regard to coins, Professor Rapson has atated in the Journ. Roy. As. Soc. 1903, p. 289, note 3, that whenever the name is legible, the first akshara meems to be fo, and that the alternative forms $\mathrm{S} u^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{Sau}^{\circ}$, given by Bhagvanial and Canningbam respeotively, cannot be

[^204][^205]ceitainly read on any of the specimens of the British Museam. Buteven if a re-examainatiou should prove the readings $\mathcal{S o d u s s a}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{u d \hat{u} s a ~ t o ~ b e ~ c o r r e c t, ~ t h i s ~ w o u l d ~ n o t ~ i n v a l i d a t e ~ t h e ~ r e a d i n g ~}^{\text {often }}$ of the present inscription. Everybody familiar with the records of this period knows how often an anusvita is omitted in writing, and that on that account the reading Soriduisa, even if furud once only, carries more weight than the reading Sodusa occurring ten times. In my opinion therefore $\bar{S}$ ond $\hat{d} s a$ must be accepted as the general form of the name.

Scarcely less interesting is the designation of the donor. Dowson and Cauningham rewh gajavarêna, and Bühler, Vienna Or. Journ. Vol. V.p.177, proposed to alter the nintelligible sgllables gaja into raje, 'daring the reiga.' The new reading gamimavarena shows that gañ jit 'ut'a, 'treasurer,' which hitherto was known only from the Ritjatarañinini $\nabla, 177$ and Kshêm? Lôtaprakeiśa, was an official title in India alrendy in mach earlier times. As rocognisel by Benfer, ${ }^{1}$ ganjarara is the Persian ganjiwar, and the use of this title is a new proof of tho strong Parthian influence that made itself felt in Northern India from the timo of Asobka to the beginning of the Gupta empirc.?

The donor calls himself by his gôtra name Sêgrava, which in correct Sanskrit wonll the Śaigrava. According to the Gunntpettha the Śaigrava yotra is referred to by Pâpini in J[, f. i7 and IV, 1, 104. I have also no doubt that Professor Kern is right in identifying Suiyruca witit Pali Siggava, ${ }^{2}$ the name of the patiarch who conferred the upasampadit ordination on the fort Tissa Moggaliputta. ${ }^{*}$

## No. 34.-PATHARI PILLAR INSCRIPTION OF PARABALA; [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 917.

## By tee lata Profesioor F. Kieleorn, C.I.E.; Göttingen. ${ }^{5}$

Pathârl' in Long. $78^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ and Lat. $23^{\prime} 55^{\prime}$, is the chief town of the Native State of the sexmu name in the Bhopal Agency of Central India. 6 Its antiquities were first desoribed, in 18.18 , by Captain J. D. Cunningham, in the Jourral As. Soc. Bergall, Vol. XVII, Part I, p. 305 ff. After stating that the locality of which he is treatiog includes two good-sized reservoirs or lakts, and that the present town of Pathârî und the smaller lake are distinguished by a single pillar anul a solitary temple, Captain Cunningham on page 310 proceeds thus:- 'Near to the western evige: of the smaller lake stands the wand or pillar, now called of Bheem Sen. It is composer , of a single blook about 36 feet in height and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ thick. The shaft is square in section for a height of 8 feet, and it then becomes circular . . . . On one side of the square portion of the shaft there is a long inseription, much obliterated, and of which I failed to make even a tolerable impression,'

The pillar and its inscription were aguin noticed in 1880, by General Sir A. Cunningham, in his Arcluasl. Survey of Indiu, Vol. X, p. 70, thas:- ' Inside the town, on the top of the slopre, there is a tall monolith with a bell-shaped capital. The shaft is circular, rising from a base 8 for-t

[^206]3 inches high and 2 feet 9 inches square. On the northern face there is a long inscription of 38 lines of small letters. It opens with an invocation to Lakshmî-Nârâyana, ${ }^{1}$ bat the greater part of the record is so mach worn as to be quite illegible. Many of the letters here and there are in good order, and from their shapes I wonld assign the monament to somewhere abont A.D. 600. Close by this pillar there is a small teraple, with Vishọu sitting on Garad over the door-way.'

In October 1894 I received from Professor Hultzsch two impressions of the inscription, prepared by Mr. H. Cousens, Superintendent of the Archæological Survey of India, Western Circle; and an excellent photograph ${ }^{2}$ of it, taken by the same gentleman, was given to me two or three years afterwards by Dr. Fleet. From these materials I have already published a short account of the contents of the inscription and the tentative text of nine verses of it, in the Nachrichten der K. Ges. der Wissenschaften ziu Göttingen for 1901, Part I, p. 519 ff. A repeated examination of the same materials now enables me to place before the reader, with some confidence, by far the greater part of this record, which, though troublesome to read, is not so illegible as it may have seemed to be on the original stone.

The inscription contains 38 lines of writing which covers a space of about 2 feet broad by 2 feet 7 inches high. It has certainly suffered greatly from exposure to the weather, especially in the middle and at the end of the lines all the way down, and for nearly the whole length of the last seven lines. But fortunately ${ }^{3}$ all proper namos of importance may be read with absolute certainty, at any rate all those that occur in lives 1-31; and the same remark applies to the date of the inscription at the end of line 31. The size of the letters is about $\frac{3}{4}$ inch in the topmost lines, but less in the lower part down to line 31, while :t is somewhat larger again in lines 32-33. The inscription was written and engraved with great care and skill. The characters belong to the northern alphabet such as, speaking generally, we find it e.g, in the Gwalior inscription of the reign of Bhôjadêva of the [Vikrama] year 933, published with a facsimile in Ep. Thd. Vol. I, p. $1: 99$ f. $\mathbf{W r}^{\text {ith }}$ our present knowledge of Indian epigraphy, we should assign them at once to about the 9th century A.D. They include the rare sign for $j h$, which has not come out well either in the impressions or in the photograph, in the word ihatiti towards the end of line 15. The language of the inscription is Sans'rrit. The text is remarkably correct, and in respect of orthography the only points worth noticing here are that the sign for $v$ is used for both $v$ and $b$, and that the words $a$ insa and dhvainsa are written anss and dhvansa, in lines 2 and 8.

The inscription consists of two parts. The first (and chief) part comprises lines 1-31, the second lines $32-38$. This second part appears to be really a separate inscription, added by way of a postscript, which may record the installation of an image of Vishnu, but the exact purpose of which, owing to the damaged condition of lines $32-38$, I have not been able to ascertain. What I may state with confidence is that, after the words ôm namah at the commencement of line 32, there are five verses, two in the Sragdharà metre, one in the Vasantatilakâ metre, one in the Upajâti metre, and the fifth perhaps in the same metre. So far as I can judge, the frst and probably the second of these five verses contain some historical information, and it would therefore seem desirable to have a cast taken of this part of the inscription, which would enable one to decipher more of the text than I have succeedel in making out from the impressions and the photograph. 4

[^207]The first part (lines 1-31) also commences with ôm namah and ends with a date which will be given below. Between the two there are 32 verses, the text of more than two-thirds of which may be given with perfect certainty, while there is no doubt about tho general meaning of any of the rest. The verses form a praśasti, the main object of which is to record (in verses 25 and 26) that the king Parabala of some Râshtrakûṭa family founded a temple of śauri (Hari, Vishṇu), before which he erected the Garuda-crested pillar on which the inscription is engraved. The prasasti opens with four verses which invoke the protection of, and glonify, the god Vishọn, under the names of Marâri, Krishṇa and Hari. It then (in verses 5-7) relates that formerly there was a king Jèjja, under whom 'this Râshṭrakûṭa vainsa' was flourishing, and whose (unnamed) elder brother, after defeating thousands of Karnata soldiers with their arrays of elephants, obtained the Lâta kingdom. Jêjja's son was Karkaraja (v. 1才), who put to flight ${ }^{1}$ the king Nâgâvalôka and invaded his home (vv. 14 and 15). And Karkarâja's son was Parabala, represented as ruling the land when the inscription was eomposed (V. 18). The rest records that the pillar was actually set up by the king's chief minister, whose name is not clear in the impressions, and that the prasasti was composed by Harsba ( $\mathrm{\nabla} .29$ ) and engraved by the sûtradhâra Sâhila (v. 31), while the lást verse 32 contains the usual prayer that the king's pious work and his fame may endure for ever.- The date at the end of line 31 is samvat 917 Chaittra-Sudi 6 Sukrê, i.e. "Friday, the 6th of the bright half of Chaitra of the year 917." In this date the numeral figures for the year are particularly clear, and cannot be read in any otherway. The figure for 9 is the same as e.g. in line 6 of the Dêôgadh pillar inscription of the time of Bhôjadêva of the [Vikrama] year 919 (Archcool. Surv. of Irdia, Vol. X, Plate xxxiii. 2), and in line 22 of the Gurmha plate of Jayâdityadêva II. of the [Vikrama] year 927 (Journ. As. Soc, Beng. Vol. LXX. Part I. Plate i). The date must of coarse be referred to the Vikrama era. It is one of the earliest dates of that era which admit of exact verification and corresponds regularly, for the expired Karttikadi Vikrama year 917, to Friday, the 21st March A.D. 881, when the 6th tithi of the bright half of Chaitra ended 16 h .44 m . after mean sanrise.

The praśasti will, I think, be admitted to contain some rather prettíy verses. ${ }^{2}$ Its author, 80 far as I can judge, was well acquainted, amongst other poetical works, with Mâgha's Sisurpâla. vadha, and in the composition of at least one perse he undoabtedly drew his inspiration from that poem. ${ }^{3}$ I refer to verse 16, which may be compared with Sis. XIX. 52. The former is :-

> Sakalikrita-sarvẩigâ nânâbharanabhûshitûh drišyantê ripavô yasya nânû̉haranabhûshitâh ॥
"With their limbs all out to pieces (and thus) ${ }^{4}$ decorated with manifold ornaments (âblharana), his enemies are seen to abide on various battle-fields (rana-bhû)."

And Mâgha's verse is:-

> Sastravranamaya-śrimad-ala $k$ karanabhûshitah dadrisê snyô Râvanavad=alamkarañabhûshitah.
"Decorated with glorious ornaments (alamlearana) which consisted in the wounds inficted by weapons, another looked like Râvaṇa, though abiding on a battle-field (rana-bhit) that was not connected with Lainkâ." ${ }^{5}$

[^208]Here we not merely have, at the end of each half verse, the synonymons words abharanabhûshita and alamkaranabbhûshita, either of them similarly used in a double meaning, bat we $\mathrm{al}_{\mathrm{so}}$ find a form of the same verb (driśyanté and dadrisế) in exactly the same position in both Verses. At the same time the manner in whioh the verse of the Siśupâlavadha commences, Olearly shows how we ought to interpret the first half of Harsha's verse: the ornaments with Which the enemies were decorated consisted in the wounds inflicted on them when their limbs Were cut to pieces. I am aware that what I have pointed out here is not of any great value now, because we have lately learnt from another inscription that Mâgha lived long before the raiddle of the 9th centary A.D.

Among the contents of the prasasti there are three points of particular interest. The first Obviously is, that the inscription is one - the only one hitherto discovered- of a Rashtrakuta king Parabala, for whom it furnishes a date in A.D. 861. The second point is, that Parabsla's father Karkarâja defeated, and invaded the territory cf, a king Nâgâvalôka. And to these may be added the statement that an nanamed elder brother of Karkarâja's father Jêjja, after defeating certair Karnatas, took possession of the Lata kingdom.

As regards tine first point, we knew indeed from the very earliest Sanskrit inscription brought to the notice of European scholars - the Mangir plate of Dêvapâla ${ }^{1}$ translated by Sir Charles Wilkins in 1731 - that the Pìla king Dharmapâla married ' Raṇ̣âdêvî, a daughter of the glorious Parabala, the ornament of the Rashṭrakuta family;' but as the name Parabala could not be traced in any subsequent insoription, scholars conjectured that it was a biruda of one of the Râshṭrakûṭas of Mâlkhêḍ, perhaps of Gôvindaràja III. or Amôghavarsha I., according to the notions which they had formed ragarding the time of Dharmapâla. Now there can not remain any reasonable doubt that the Râshtrakûța Parabala of our Pathârî inscription is identical with the Parabala of the Mungir plate, a daughter of whom was married by Dharmapâla. But it does not follow that Dharmapâla's reign must therefore be taken to have commenced so late as the middle of the 9th centary. Maay Indian kings have had anusually long reigns, and at present we know nothing about the length of Parabala's reign, while all that we know for certain in this respect regarding Dharmapâla is that he reigned for at least 32 yeurs. The zeal and activity displayed by the officials of the Archæological Survey in the search for epigraphical documents encourage ns to hope that before long we shall be in possession of materials that will definitely fix both the exact time of Dharmapâla's reign and the chronology of events generally which took place in Northern India during the 8th and 9 th centuries.

The king Nâgâvalôka² who was defeated by Parabala's father Karkarâja seems to have been a ruler of some importance. I have no doubt that he is identical with that Nâgàvalôka who is mentioned in verse 13 of the Harsha inscription of Vigraharâja, ${ }^{3}$ in terms which would imply that he was the overlord, and who certainly was a contemporary, of the Châhamâna Gûvaka I. of Śakambharî, whom in my Synchronistic Table for Northern India I have roughly placed at the commencement of the 9th century. There has lately been discovered a copper-plate inscription of a Châhamâna Mahdsámantadhhipati, which records a grant that was made at Bhrigukachchha in the increasing reign of victory of the glorious Nâgavalôka, and which apparently is dated in the [Vikrama] year 813 (corresponding to about A.D. 756).4 I owe a photograph of it to the kindness of Mr. Gaurishankar Hirachand Ojha, but would wait for impressions-before expressing an opinion regarding its genuineness and value.

[^209]Nor can I say anything definite at present regarding the conquest of the Lata kingdom by the elder brother of Parabala's grandfather Jêjja. The known names of chiefs connected with Lâta from abont the middle of the 8th century and the available dates will be foand above, Vol. VIII. App. II. p. 4. It will be seen there that we have no date, and know little aboat Latta, between A.D. 757 and A.D. 812 , which is just the period in which, judging from the date of the present inscription, we should have to place Jêjija and his elder brother.

In the text which follows I have indicated by marks of interrogation all passages abont which I am myself doubtful, and have left blanks where I could have put in only a parely conjectural reading. Others may be able to supply what is wanting or to find the correct readings where I have failed to do so. I know that I have shunned no trouble and may perhaps be permitted to say -
Harshêna nânaṃ̣ rachitâ praśastir=
vidhê̂r=vaŝâd=aśma-talê viliñâ ।
prakâśit=êyam pramadâch=chhramệ̣a
satâm madañ saḿtatam=âtanôtu \||

TEXT. ${ }^{1}$
1 Ón ${ }^{\mathbf{q}}$ namạ̣ || ${ }^{3}$ Lakshmî-nîraḿdhrapîna[sta]nakalaśa-la[sa]t-pallavâ $\cdot$ Vṛitra-śatrôgz ttrailôkyasvâmya-dîkshâvidhi-varagaravô= $=[r] \mathrm{i}-$
2 shṭanấéa-prachaṇạâh 1 dôrddaṇ̣̣̣̣̂̂ Kấikếagraha-ruchiraru[t-â]ghashta. $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}] \dot{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{arânsadếâát}{ }^{4}$ Daityêbha-sthûlakambha[stha]-

 jaladhara-
4 chchhêdâvadâta-ohohhavih | satkârttasvarakûta-nirggata iva snigdhêndranîlôpalà. stambhsḥ ${ }^{8}$ skandhaga-padmarâgayugalah Krishnô=stu
5 vah f́rrệasê $\|\left[2^{*}\right] \quad{ }^{9}$ Tribhuvanabhavana-stambhô nabhastalâminbhôdhi-sôtur=agha

6 ri-charaṇah $\|\left[3^{*}\right]{ }^{\text {nen }}$ Ahimakarachakra-kuvalayavilasatkamal-âlayah sa-dâna[vakŝ]yaḥ। jalanidhir=iva Earir=avatât=purânạ-purushô=pi yah sadâ nava-kâ-
7 yaḥ ${ }^{18} \|\left[4^{*}\right] \quad{ }^{18} \mathrm{Râj}=$ âsîd=varachakkralâmehhita-karô lakshmî-sanâthsḥ purâ driptâti-prava(ba)ladvishat-pramathanaś=ch=̂̂[dhâ $]$ ra-bhûtô bhnvah I vâ(bâ)hûdastamahîdharô [nara]-
8 ka-hâ tumigadvipadhvansakrit= ${ }^{14} \mathrm{Kam}$ mâtâti-samô=py=akrishṇatanubhrich=chbrî-Jojja âryyaib stu[ta $]$ h $\quad \|\left[5^{*}\right] \quad{ }^{\text {T }}[$ [Ra $]$ mya-prasutir=achchhidras=tumgah prithur=akaṁtakah | Grî-Râshṭra[kû]-

 lavdham்(bdham்) yasy=âgrajên=âjau $\|\left[7^{*}\right] \quad{ }^{17}\left[\right.$ Vana $\left.^{\text {and }}\right]$ -

[^210]10 mahisham=asahyamं vâjinô lôla-nêttrâ madıgalita-kapôlâ dantinah simham= ngram் | [jvalitapavana ?]-kîrṇ̣̣â vairi-virầḥ̆ Kṛitâñtaṃ raụa-sirasi yam=êkaṃ mênir̂̂ naika-[rûpam் II] [8*]
11 1Ekô= $\mathrm{p}=$ itas=tatah kurvvann=arâti-[ku]lam=âknlamin châruchâmîkar-ôpê[tô n]îla-


12 pagatâ vikalâ diŝâsu yasya dhvajâ iva sadâ ripı[vô] bhramanti \|[10*] Tasy=âtmajô ripuva(ba)l-[à]nta $v-\cup-$ - $\mathbf{h}^{3}$ êrî-Karkkarâja iti saminyati lavdha(bdha)-kîrttiḥ| P [ârth-âgraṇ ?]î̀
13 r=iva va(ba)bhûva ${ }^{4}$ nirasta-śaly [11*] ${ }^{\text {TV }}$ i[kshipta ?]-[vai ?]ri- . . . . . . . し - - ṇâ। ya[t-kajrêṇa raṇâṃbhồdhi-mathanế ${ }^{6}$. Mandarâyitaḿ $\|\left[12^{*}\right]$ 7Vidhvasta [vai]-
14 riva[nitâ]-nayanapraṇâlì-saḿsakta-kajjalayutâsrujala-pra[vâhai]h | sêshichyamênam=api chandramarîchi-[hâri ephîtamí] $\mathrm{va}(\mathrm{ba})$ bhûva [nann] yasya yaŝolichittram $\mathrm{H}\left[13^{*}\right]$ ${ }^{8}$ Durvvârârâti-mattadvi[rada]-
 chhannn-dikchakkrav[âlê l] [valga ?]t-pâlidhvaj[âlau] kshatarudhi[rass]ritprâjyasâmantachakrê chakrề Nâgâvalôkam [jha]titi $\checkmark^{9}$
 kum̉bha[mukta]-multâ̂phalapra[kara]-nirmmaladantamâlâ । Nâgâvalôkanripa[vêsma]ni viprakîrộ̣̀ yasy=ôddhatam prahasat=îva kripâṇan-p[ầî] \|[15*]
$17{ }^{12}$ Śakalîkrita-sarvvâñgâ nânâbharanabhûshitâḥ । driśgantê ripavô yasya nânâbharap̣abhûshitậ $\quad\left[16^{*}\right] \quad{ }^{13}$ Yasy=ôtpalachchhavi[ma]shâ $\quad \mathbf{B}[\mathrm{v}]$ ajal-ônnatêna ${ }^{14}$ khaḍgêna taṁgatara-bhû[mibhri]-
 mahâpralaya[kâla ?]ghana-[pratititị plic] I[ [17** ${ }^{17}$ Ahimakara iv=Adya tyaktadôshânushañgô himakara iva jâ[ta]h
19 śnddha-mûrtith kalâvân | parava(ba)ladalan-Ŏgrô=nagra-chakshab pratâp̂̂ Parava(ba)la iti puttras=tasya [fâst]=îha bhâ[mimi $\|\left[18^{*}\right]$


[^211](V. 18.) Now his son Parabala rules bere the land, he who has discarded addiction to sin and is therefose like the sun which has severed its connection with the night; who is born of pure body and is versed in all arts, and is therefore like the moon when she has risen with a bright form and with all her digits; who, terrible in cutting up the forces of adversaries, is gentle-looking, and full of prowess.
(V. 24.) At the head of batile the fortune of royalty-her garland of pearls covcred with the blood streaming from the temples of elephauts that were split by the round-pointed shafts of her strong bow drawn with a twang of the string, and with rows of arrows resting on her creeper-lite arms - couquered for him patlidivaju banners.
(V.25.) He cansed to be built this temple of Sauri, ${ }^{1}$ resembling the peak of the mountain of snow, the white flag on the bright top of which bears the lustre of the river of the gods.
(V.20.) And like the pillar which was formerly (erected?) on the mountain of Garudadhvaja(?), ${ }^{2}$ exactly so was this large Garada-bannered pillar cansed to be erected by that king before (the temple of) Hari. ${ }^{1}$
( $\nabla, 27$. ) Repeatedly deliberating whether this is Vishṇu's foot making three strides, or the body of Sthânn ${ }^{3}$ sbaped like a post, or (the serpent) Sêsha pulled out of a hole in the ground by the enemy of the serpent-king, the gods on viewing it find out that it is a pillar of pare stone proclaiming the fame of king Parabala.
(V. 28.) His prime minister was [Ksh?]i . . ., administrator of all laws, bright like gold and trae of speech, to be saluted with (bowing of) the head by king Parabala. He set up before (the temple of) the destroyer ${ }^{5}$ of Madhu this Garada-marked pillar, which with its stone arm raised aloft defies all ages-a pillar of him who has paralyzed his foes. ${ }^{6}$
(Line 31.) The year 917, Friday, the 6th of the bright half of Chaitra.

## No. 35,- ANMAKONDA INSCRIPTION OF PROLA;

The Chalukya-Vikrama year Forty-Two.
By H. Krishata Sastri, B.A.
On a hillock to the south of Hanumkoṇạà (Anmakonḍa) near Warangal in the Nizam's State, stands the small temple of Padmâkshi which, unlike other buildings attribatable to the Kakatiya periad, ${ }^{8}$ is devoid of any architectural pretensions. The rock close to which the temple stands, bears on a portion of its dressed surface, sonlptures of

[^212]some Jaina images seated in a row. In front of the temple is an inscribed quadrangular pillar of black granite bearing in relief within a countersunk square, at the top of each of its four faces, the figure of a squatting Jaina ascetic with his arms folded over his lap crosswise. On the east face of the pillar this figure is flanked by a cow and calf on one side and a dagger and shield (?) on the other. The north face of the pillar bears representations of the sun and moon on the right and left sides, respectively, of the squatting Jaina figure. From these sculptures and from the inscription on the pillar, which is published below, it appears that the Padmâkshí temple was originally dedicated to the Jaina ${ }^{1}$ goddess Kadalalâya and that the pillar was set up there by the lady who built the basadi (Jaina temple). At present the Brâhmanas of Anmakoṇda, who have somehow got possession of the temple, worship the goddess in it under the name Padmîbshî, which they believe to be synonymous with Durgâ. ${ }^{2}$ It is not clear how or when the ownership of the temple passed from the Jainas to the Brâhmanas,

Anmakoṇ̣a, or, as it is called in the subjoined insoription, Anmakundâ (1. 16) or Ammakunde (1.75), was originally the capital of the Kâkatiya kings and was situated in the Andhra country. ${ }^{3}$ The neighbouring town of Warangal (Ôrumgallu in Telvgu or Êkaśilânagara in Sanskrit) became the seat of government about the end of Ganapati's reign and continued as such until the collapse of the Kâkatîya family. Anmakonḍa and Warangal are now stations

[^213]on the Hyderabad-Bezvada section of the Nizam's State Railway and seem to have been includel about the beginning of the twelfth century A.D. in the district of Sabbi-sâyira' 'the Sabbi one thousand,' which formed part of the Western Châlukya empire, and to have been conferred by Tribhuvanamalla-Vikramâditya VI. on his fendatory the Kâkatîya chief TribhuvanamallaBêta. ${ }^{1}$ Sabbi-sâyira is not mentioned in other published records and, consequently, its extent cannot be defined until more lithic records from that part of the Nizam's Dominions are published. ${ }^{2}$

The subjoined inscription ${ }^{3}$ engraved on the four faces of the pillar above refcrred to, is written in bold and clear Kanarese characters of the twelfth century A.D. The written surface measures roughly in breadth $1^{\prime} 3 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ on the east and west faces and $1^{\prime} 2 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ on the north and south faces, while in height it is $3^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime} 5 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}, 3^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$, and $3^{\prime} 3 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ respectivels, on the east, north, west and soutif faces. The sculptures which the four faces of the pillar bear have been noted already. Verse 1, which contains the invocation, and the imprecatory verses (11 and 12) are in the Sanskrit language. Lines 89 to 91 contain an incomplete Sanskrit verse which is evidently meant to be a quotation. The rest of the inscription is in Kanarese prose (1l. 6 to 24, 70 to 88, 91 to 99 , and 111 and 112) and poetry (verses 2 to 10). TLe writing calls for very few remarks. The anusvara is sometimes, as in ancient records, written at the top of the right side of the letter (11. 1, 3, and 4), and sometimes, as at present, written on the right side of the letter (11. 86, 98, 100 and 102). The virâma occurs once in I. 62 where it is marked by a wavy vertical line added to the top of the letter. The ê-sign, which is almost similar in form to the virâma, is also attached to the top of the letter, but is horizontal. In II. 40 and 55 , however, the $e$ of $d e$ in the word perggade and $\hat{e}$ of $y \hat{e}$ in the word hridayestvari, are marked differently by a curved line attached to the left bottom corner of the letter and drawn horizontally to its right. In other cases this sign along with the $\hat{e}$-sign first described, marks the ai-sign. An attempt is made to distinguish the long $\hat{\imath}$ from the short $i$ but it is not throughout kept up. Among consonants it may be noted, that the length of the horizontal stroke in the middle, which in the case of pa ought to be shorter than in that of sha, is in some instances lengthened so far as to make pa look almost like sha. The
 of Kanarese pronunciation.

The record begins with an invocation addressed to the feet of Jinendra (v. I) and refers in 11. 6 to 24 to the reign of the mahââajadhirâja, paramếsara and paramabhattûaka, the [Western] Châlakya king Tribhuvanamalladêva [Vikramâditya VI.] and his feudatory the mahâmandalêśvara Kâkati Bêta (1. 19), who had acquired the five great sounds (pañchamahâsabda) and who was 'the lord of Anmakundà (1. 16), the best of towns.' The hereditary minister of Kâkatî Bêtarasa (1.30) was the danḍ̂adhinâtha Vaija (v. 2). Verse 3 states that Vaija brought his master the mandalika Kâkati Bêta (ll. 32 and 37) to the feet of the Chalukya (1. 33) emperor4 (chakrin) (viz. Vikramâditya VI.) and made him rule the district of

[^214]Sabbi－sâyira（ 1.34 f．）as a feudatory of that monarch．According to verses thand 5 Vaija＇s son by Yâkamabbe（1． 38 f．）was the pargade Bêta，who beoame the minister of Kâkatí Prôla （l．43）．The only act of this Bêta which the inscription records，is that he constructed ten honses for gods（i．e．temples）in his native village（v．5）．The wife of this minister Bêta－ the son of Yâkamâmbikầ（l． 53 f．）－was Mailama（vv．6， 7 and 8）．Lines 70 to 87 state that ahe built the Kadalalāya－basadi on the top of the bill（ $\overline{\mathrm{V}} .9$ ）and that－in the Challukya－ Vikrama（l．76）year forty－two，which corresponded to the oyolic year Hemalambi，on the occasion of the Uttarâyaṇa－samkranti，which fell on Monday，the 15th day of the dark half of Paushya，while the king Kâkatiya Polalarasa．（1．73），son of the mandalalika Tribhuvanamalla（1．72）was ruling at Ammakunde（1．75），－she gave for the bevefit of that temple six mattar（1．86）of land below the tank bailt and named after herself，by her husband Bêtana－pergade（l．81）．${ }^{1}$ The pillar that bears the insoription was also set up by Mailama on the same occasion（1．87）．Lines 88 to 99 register a gift of ten mattar．（1．98 f．） คf lañd to the same temple by the mahâmandalếsvara Mềlarasa of Ugravaçi（11． 92 to 94）， a member of the family of Mâdha［va］varman（1．91）＇（who possessed a fabulous army）of eight thousand elephants，ton crores of horses and numberless foot－soldiars．＇The land which Mêlarasa granted was situated below the Kûchikere tank，which bolonged to Oramgallu（1．95）．This town was under the control of Mêlarasa at the time of the grant．Lines 111 to 112 record the assignment of a $p \hat{a} g a$（ $h \hat{a} g a$ ）coin to the temple sweeper Bôya－Padda，to be paid，apparently from the proceeds of either of the two grants mentioned above．

The late Professor Kielhorn kindly contribated the following remarks on the date of the inscription：－＂Ch．₹． $42=$ Ś． 1039 exp．＝Hêmalamba．The date regularly corresponds to Monday，the 24th December A．D．1117．On this day the Uttaráyapa－samkrânti took place 14 h ． 55 m ．after mean sunrise，during the 15 th tithi of the dark half of Pausha，which commenced 0 h .38 m ．after mean sunrise，and ended 0 h .13 m ．before moan sunrise of the following day．＂

Seven inscriptions of the Kâkatiya dynasty have beon published so ：far．${ }^{2}$ The earliest of these belongs to the time of Rudra，${ }^{3}$ five to that of ：Gapapati，${ }^{4}$ and one to－that of Prataparudra． The first of these and the Kâñchí inscription of Ganapati ${ }^{5}$ sapplement one another in supplying the full name of the first historical person of the Kalkatifa dynasty；viz．Tribhnvamamalla Betma． The Chëbrôlu record of Ganapati ${ }^{6}$ and the sabjoined insoription give，instead of Betma，the form Bêta．The former of these two records and the unpublished Pâkhal inscription of abont the time of Ganapatir mention a certain Durjaya in the Kâkatîya ancestry－the one，as the father of Bêta and the other as the father of Prôla．The Pakhâl insoription further states that
chakrin is also used in the sense of＇provincial chief；＇above，Vol．IV．，p． 96.
${ }_{2}$ This tank ie，perhaps，to be identified with the one at the foot of the billook on which the Padmâkghi temple is sitrated．
${ }^{2}$ Nos． 584 to 589 and No． 1066 of Appendix to Vol．VII．above．
8 This is his Anmakonḍa inscription of Śska－Sarivat 1084，pablished in Indian Antiquary，Vol．XI．pp． 9 if． An unpublished record at Tripurântakam in the Karnool distriot（No． 273 of the Epigraphical collection for 190马）gives for him the date Śaka－Samvat i107．The initial date of the next kjing Ganapati belng now fxed at Śaka－Samvat 1121 （Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1905－06，Psrt II．，pargeraph 43）it follows that Radra muat have ruled from at least Śska 1084 to 1121．However，the events recorded in the Anmakouds inscription of Rudra presume a much earlier date for his accession than Śaks 1084.
－These zange in date between Śakr 1135 and Saka 1172．The earlisat inscription of Ganapati found at Tripurântakam is dated in Śaka－Sampat 1131 which was probably his 10 th year．He must have been ruting the－ country round Bezvâds already in Śaka 1123 ；see below，p．262，note 2.
${ }^{5}$ Ind．Ant．Vol．XXI．p． 197 ff．
${ }^{5}$ Above，Vol．V．p． 142 热．
7 No． 82 of the Epigraphical collection for 1902－03．This is not dated，but records the construction of the （Pâkhâl）tank by Jagadàla－Mummadi，son of Bayyana－Nayaka，a ministor of the Kakatiya king Gapapati．One is tempted to connect Jagdalpar，the capital of the Bastar State in the Central Provinces，with the chief Jagadala－ Muminadi．The tradition thast the kinge of Bastar trace their deacent from the K 身的ifys Eing Prataparadra （siopes，p． 164 f．）lends further support to the chief＇s cqnuection with Jagdalpur．

Karikala-Chôla of the solar race was one of the predecessors of Durjaya. The Kâñchî epigraph quoted above, which also traces the Kakatîyas, as the Chêbrôlu and the Pakhâl inscription do, to the Sun, Mana, Ikshvâku, and other mythical kings of the Raghu family, does not give the names of either Karikâla-Chôla or of Drrjaya. The appearance of these two names in the Kâkatiya genealogy is at present dificult to explain satisfactorily. But two facts which may be of some ase in this connection have to be registered before passing on to the historical portion of the genealogy. As regards the mention of the mythical king Karikâla-Chộa as an ancestor of the Kâkatîyas, attention may be drawn to the fact that the Telugu-Chôḍas who invariably claim connection with this mythical Cholla king, became feudatories of the Kâkatîaas in the time of Ganapati. With regard to Durjaya, the name occurs among the ancestors of two of the contemporary local families of the Teluga country. ${ }^{2}$ The first historical arcestor of the Kâkatiya family was Tribhavanamalla-Bêta. He appears to have been a powerful chief who held sway over some portions of the Andhra country before he became a feadatory of the Western Châlnkyas and the governor of the Sabbi one thousand district. The surname Tribhnvanamalla which occors here as well as in the Kãnchî and Anmaisoṇ̣̆a inscriptions, was probably borrowed from his overlord Tribhuvanamalla Vikramâditya VI.

Bêta's son and successor was Prôla, Prôlerâja, Prộạâaja or Polalarasa, whose surname Jagatikêsarin is known to us from the Kañochì insoription of his grandson Ganapati. The importance of the subjoined epigraph oonsists in its being the earliest Kakatiya record and the only one of Prôla found so far. Like his father Bêta, Prôla appears to hava continued as a fendatory of Vikramâditya VI. ${ }^{3}$ The Anmakoṇda inscription of his son ${ }^{4}$ Rudra and the Ganapêsvaram record of his grandson Gapapati, mention in detail the military exploits of Prôla. These have been fully discussed by Dr. Fleet and Professor Hultzsch. ${ }^{5}$ One of the opponents of Prola was Tailapadêva called "the crest-jewol of the Challukyas" in the Anmakonda inscription. Dr. Fleet has identified him with the Western Châlukya king Taila III. (A.D. $1150-51$ to 1162-63). This implies a pretty long reign for Prôla from at least the Châlukya-Vikrama year 42 ( $=$ A.D. Ill7), the date of the present record, to at least A.D. $1150-51$, the first year of Taila III., unless we suppose that Prôla fought with Taila while the latter was yet a prince. ${ }^{6}$ That Rudra, son of Prôla, successfully averted a usurpation of the Châlakya throne after the death of Taila III., by a certain Bhima

[^215]Whose capital was at Vardhamânanagari, ${ }^{1}$ shows the extent of the power wielded by this fenda. tory family in the last days of the Châlukyas. Prôla's other enemies referred to in the Anma. koñąa inscription were Jagaddêva, Gôvinda (or Gôvindadaṇ̣̂êsa, as he is called in the Gaṇapésvaram inscription), Guṇ̛̣a and Udaya or Chôḍ̂odaya. Jagaddêva has been identified vith Tribhuvanamalla Jagaddêva, the Śantara chief of Paṭti-Pombuchchapura, who was a feudatory, first of Tribhuvanamalla Vikramâditya VI. and then of his grandson Jagadêkamalla II. He must have "stool encompassing the city of Anumakoṇ̣a" on behalf of the Châlukya emperor in order to avenge himself upon Prôla, who, it may be supposed, was trying to throw off his allegiance to the Châlukyas. It was, probably, as the first step in this direction, that Prôla inflicted the defeat on prince Taila III. as stated above. Indeed, Prôla appears to have extended his military operations into the modern Kistna district as well. For, the next opponent of his was Gnuda, the lord of the city of Manthena or Mantrakutata which is probably identical with the village of Mantena ${ }^{2}$ in the Nuzvid Zamindârî of the Godavari district. Gôvinda or Gôvindadanḍêse, I would identify with the Gôvindarâja of the Ablûr inscriptions, ${ }^{3}$ who was the nephew of Anantap\&ila, the general of Vikramâditya VI.-and with the dandanâyaka Gôvindarasa, who in the Châlukya-Vikrama year 51, corresponding to A.D. 1126-27 was ruling the Koṇapalli three huadred district according to an unpublished inscription at Tripurântakam. ${ }^{4}$ Here we are told that this dandanâyaka Gôvindarasa "burnt Beñgipura (Vềgî P)" and conquered Gonika. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ This Gonka is apparently identical with the Velanâṇ̣u chief Gonka II., father of RâjêndraChốça. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Udaya or Chôdọdaya, whom Prôla first defeated and then reinstated in his dominions, is according to Professor Hultzsoh perhaps " to be connected with Kulôttunga-Chôda-Gońka

[^216] of Anmakoṇda.

B Above, Vol. V. p. 213 ff .

- No. 258 of the Epigraphical collection for 1905.

5 Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1905-06, paragraph 40.
c Above, Vol. IV. p. 35. On p. 38 of the ssme volume, Professor Hultzsch refers to the biruda Chalukya-rajjya-bhavana-milastamblha of Gonka II. and states that he was a tributary to one of the two branches of the Chalukya dynasty. I think that, in spite of the fact that the Velanandu chiefs became the feadatories of the Western Chalukyas subsequent to the death of Kulôttnigga- (bôda I. (ibid. p. 37), the title borne by. Goika II. was a formal hereditary title and did refer ouly to the Eastern Chalukya dynasty. No. 227 of the Epigraphical collection for 1905 at Tripurântakan in the Kurnool distriet records a gift by Velanậṭi-Goáka in Śakn-Sumvat 1028 ( $=$ A.D. 1100-07). This Gonka is identical with Geñka I. in the GenealogicuI Table of the Velanâpụu chicf s, The inscription states that he bore the ticle Chalukya-rajya-bhavana-mullastambha, but does not meution the overlord to whon he was subordinate. No. 151 of 1897, however, which is dated in Śaka-Samvat 998, the cyclic year Nala, corresponding to the [7]th year of Vishnuvardhana-Mubârâja [Kulôttungá-Chôla I.] registers a grant by Velanậti-Gunka,̣n (i.e. Gonka I.), the commander of all foroes (samasta-sfnadhipati) of the king. This shows that the title Challukya-rajya-bhavana-malastambha assumed by Gonka I. when he had become more or less independent in the Telugn country, meant that he was n supporter of the Eastern Cbâlnkya kingdom. We also learn from No. 151 of 1897 that Goika I. was the son of Gunḍàmbikâ. He was a trisatottara-sahasrdvantnath a 'the lord of the one thuusand nnd three hundred country' (No. 277 of 1905), while his grandson Goika II. was a. trísatóttara-shoṭahasrávant-natha' he lord of the six thousnnd and three liandred country " (No. 274 of 1893).
of Velanânḍa." Thus Prôla who, in his early career, was a Châlukya feudatory, appears to have gradually grown powerful and to have thrown off the imperial yoke in the latter part of the reign of the Western Châlukya king Jagadêkamalla II., preparing, thereby, the way for Rudra's extensive conquests, which according to the Anmakonda inscription, reached in the east to the shore of the salt sea and in the south as far as Srisiaila. ${ }^{2}$

The other facts mentioned in the sabjoined insoription do not call for any remarks. Mêlarasa of Ugravâdi who belonged to the family of Mâdhavavarman, is not known from other inscriptions. A faudatory family of the time of the Vijayanagara king Krishṇaràya, whose members claim to have been lords of Bezvâda and were ruling a porti,n of the Udaya-giri-rajya, traces its origin to a certain Mêdhavavarman of the Solar race and the Vabishthagôtra. ${ }^{3}$ It is doubtful if this Mâdhavavarman could be connected with the mythical Mâdhavavarman referred to in the subjoined inscription as an ancestor of Mêlarasa of Ugravâḍi,

## TEXT. ${ }^{4}$

East Face.
1 Śrimaj-Jinêṁdra-pada-padmam=a-
ŝêsha-bharyân=aryât=trilôka-nri-
patîmdra-munîmdra-vándyaḿ| nị̣-ह̂êsha-dôsha-parikhamiḍana-chamida-kấ-
5 vadam் ratna-traya-prabhavam=udgha-

[^217]
## Anmakonda inscription of Prola. - Chalukya Vikrama 42.



Sten Konow.

North face.

25

30
:3
"1

36

34

40

42


West face.
ili

$$
\mathfrak{j}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (is) } \\
& \text { rish }
\end{aligned}
$$

il

$$
\hat{i}
$$

(oxdy
(4)
it horge,

## South face.






```
guṇ-aikatânam் || [1*] Svasti samssta-
bhuvanâśraya srî-pri(pri)thvîvallabha
mahârâjâdhirâja paramêśvara
paramabhatṭâraka Satyâśraya-ku-
la-tilakam் Châḷuky-âbharaṇam śnîma-
\(t\)-[T]ribhuvanamalladêvara vijaya-râ-
jyam=uttar-ôttar-âbhivriddhi-pravard̉ha-
mânam=â-cham்dr-ârkka-târam் salutta-
\(m=i r e ~\left[\left.I\right|^{*}\right] ~ T a t-p a ̂ d a p a d m-o ̂ p a j i ̂ v i ~ s a m a d h i-~\)
gatapam்chamahâśabda mabâmarí[ḍa]-
lêśvaran=Anmakundâ-puravar-êsvaram
parama-Mâhêśvaram pati-hita-cha-
ritam vina[ya]-vibhû́shaṇ̊min śrîma-
n-mahâmaṇḍalêśvara[min] Kâkati-Bêta-[bhû]-
pâla-kula-kri(krı)mâgatam் tadîya-râ-
jya-bhara-nirûpita-mahâmâtya-pa-
d\&vî-virâjamâna mân-ônnata pra-
bhu-mańntr-ô[t]gâhe-śakti-traya-saḿ-
paḿnan-â[gi] || Ghana-śauryy-âtôpa[di]m
mântenada mahimoyim châru-châri-
tradim \([\mathrm{d}=0]\) lpina telpim sat-kalâ-kausa-
ladi[n=o]david=âscharyya-[sau]m.
                    North Face.
daryyadiḿd=a[rtthi]nikâya-prârtthit-ârttha-
[pra]da-vitaraṇa-[vi] khyâtan=âdaḿ dharitrí-
[vi]nutam sní-Kâkatî-Bêtarasana sachi-
vam் Vaija-daṃ̣̂âdhinâtha II [2*] Agaṇita-sauryya-
dimin negaḍda(lda) Kâkati-Bêta-narêmidranaṃ jagam்
porngaḷe \({ }^{l}\) Chalukya-chakri-charaṇán sale kâ-
ṇisi tat-prasâdadimi bagegole Sabbi-sâ-
yiraman=âlisi \([\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{u}]\) đgha-yaśô-
dhinâthanam் pogaladar=âro maṃḍ[li]-
ka-Kâkati-Bêtana maṁtri Vaijana i| [3*]. À-
tańgam் vikasita-kamjjât-ânane Ya-
kamabbegam janiyisidam khyâtam
dhareyolu perggade Bêtan் mam்-
tri-jana-makuta-chûḍâratna || [4*]
Âtaṇ Mâm [dh]âta-Râm-ôpama-
\(\mathrm{n}=\) enisida grî-Kâkatî-Prôla-bhû-
pa-khyât-âmâtyam vivêk-âgrani \({ }^{2}\)
sakaḷa-kaḷâ-kôvidaḿ sach-charitra-
prîtaṇ sâhitya-vidyâ-zi[dhi] bu-
da (dha)-vibudh-ôrvvîruhan் satya-dharmm-ô-
pêtam sva-grâmadôl=mâdídan=ati-ma-
dadim hattu dêvâlayamgal \(u^{3}\) || [5*]
Atifaya-Jaina-dharmma-samay-ôchita-
    Śâsanadêvi Bhâratî-sati sasi(si)-bimba-va[ktrai]-
```

[^218]52 daśana clachhade fuddha-suvarnna(ṇ̣a)-kumblan-samnuta-t:-
53 nuvarṇna(rṇ̣a)-pîvara-[pa]yôdhari Maila[ma Yâ]-
54. [ka]mâmbilkà-su[ta]-tad-amâtya-[Bê]ta-[hṛi]-


## West Face.

56 Padadimid=â-lulit-âlakaṃ barega[m=am்]g-ô-
57 pâm்gamaḿn pam்cha-ratnadin=amg-óchitam=âge
58 nirmmisi sura-strî-bh[ầgya-saubhàgya-
53 samma[da]-swuŕ [da]ryyaman=âydu tîvi
60 padedam் Kamjâata-samjâtan=î su[datî]-
61 ratnaman=é்du Mailamanan=âr=âr=bba[ṇi](n%E1%BB%A5i)sa-
62 r=llôkadol || [7*] Nuta-rûpavati kalâa[va]-
t3 ti rati-Rati Srî-sati Ghaṭântakî-sati Vâ-
64 ṇ̂-satiy=eṁd=amâtya-Bêtana satiyam
GJ kshitiy=ellam=eyde nutiyisut=irkku[min] [8*]
66 Mudadind=ene negalda [Ra]m-âspade Nai-
67 lama bhaktiyimide mâdisi tanag=abhyuda-
68 jakaram=âgiralu bettada [mê]gaṇa
69 Kadalalâya-basadiyan=eseyalu[11][9*]
70 Adarkke nitya-pûjegam dhûpa-dîpa-[ni]vêdya-
71 kkam pâjârig=âh \& [ra] ${ }^{1}$-vastrâdigalgam
72 ârimat-[T]ribhuvanamalla-mańdalalika-bhû[pâ]-
73 la-putran-appa Kâkatiya-Polalarasana râ-
74 jyamontta[r]-ôttar-â[bhi]vri(vri)ddhi-pravarddhamânam-á-
$75 \mathrm{~g}=$ Ammakuḿdeyal=â-chaḿndr-ârk[ka]-târam sa-
76 luttum=ire śrîmach- Châłukya-Vikrama-va[r]sha-
77 da nâlvatt-eraḍe(ḍa)neya Hêmaḷambi-[samin]-
78 vatsara Paushya-bahula 15 Sômavâ-
79 rad-aḿdin=Uttarâyaṇa-samikrâminti-nimi-
80 ttam dhàrâ-pûrvrakam-âgi $\tan [\mathrm{na}]$ vallabhan-appa
81 Bètana-perggaḍe tanna pesarimidaun mádi-
82 sida kerey-êriya kelagan=eraḍum
83 hâs-arre-ga[1*]lnga!a nadu(da)vaṇa gardhde(rdde)[ya]
84 mattar=eraḍum mattam=â-kereya pa-
$85 \mathrm{du}(d \mathrm{u})$ vaṇa nela doneya teminkal=ereya
86 mattar=nnâlukumin karamibán ma[tta]r=âlu(ru)-


## South Face.

88 Mattam=î dharmmakke tellatay-îge[\|*]
89 A [shṭau] danti-sahastậ̣i das̃a-kô-
90 ṭ̣̂ cha vâjinâm=[|*] anantamin pâda-sam.
91 ghâtam=ity=êtê Mâdha[va*]varmma-
92 vamं $\mathfrak{6}$-̂dbhavar-appa sriman-mahâ-
93 maṇḍalêşvaran= Ugravâ[ḍi]-
94 ys Mélarasam tann=ê $[$ li $]$ ke.

[^219]| 95 | $\mathrm{y}=$ Orumgalla Kûchikerse- |
| :---: | :---: |
| 96 | y=êriya kelag[e] kâluveya |
| 97 | modala garddeya mattar=ond=2 sa- |
| 98 | mipadale karambań matta- |
|  | ra hattuman=itta \|| Nirutam=i- |
| 100 | dan=alidavam sâsira-kavi[le]- |
| 101 | yan=ali[da] pâpamam [po]rdda- |
| 102 | gam=âdaradim rakshi[si* ${ }^{\text {a }}$ dagm sâ- |
| 103 | sira-ye(ya)jǔada palaman=eydi |
| 104 | subha[ma]m padega[m*] \\| [10*] Sva-da. |
|  | ttâm para-dattâm vâ yô harêtr |
| 106 | vasuḿdharâm [ ${ }^{*}$ ] $]$ shashtir $=$ vvarsha-sahasr[â] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - |
| 107 | ni vishṭhâyâmi jîyatê krimiḅ II [11*] |
| 108 | Bahubhir=vvasudhâ dattâ râjabhis=Sa- |
| 109 | gar-âdibhiḅ [ ${ }^{*}$ ] $]$ yasya yasya ya- |
|  | dà bhûmis=tasya tasya tadâ phalomi \|| [12*] |
| 111 | Alli basadiya kasam ge(ga)leva Bô- |
| 12 | ya-[Pa]ddamige pâga vomidu II |

## TRANSLATION.

(Verse l.) May the lotus-foot of the blessed Jinêndra, whioh (like the lotas) is worthy of being fraieed by the lords of kings and the lords of ascetics (residing) in the three wcrlds, whose powerful doctrine completely destroys (all) sins (just as the powerful stem of the lotus completely cures the patient of his dosh $L^{1}$ ), which is the origin of the ratna-traya (as the lotus is the birthplace of gems ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ) (anl) which his its a!teation fixed on excellent virtues (guna) only (as the stock of the lotus is mide up entirely of delicate fibre), protect all Bharyas !
(Line 6 f.) Hail! While the victorious reign of the glorious Tribhuvanamalledêva, the asylum of the whole world, the glorions Prithvivallabha, Mahârájâdhirája, Paramếśvara, Paramabhattdraka, the front ornament of the Satyasraya family, the jewel of the Châlukyas,was flourishing with perpetaal increase (to last) as long as the moon, sun, and stars.
(L. $14 . f$.$) A dependent ou his lotus-feet (was) the prosperous mahámanḍalếsuara, the chief$ Kakati Bêtarasa who had acquired the five great sounds, (who was) a mahámandalếvara, the lord of Anmakundâ- the best of towns, a great devotee of Mahêêvara (Siva), (one) whose actions were (direoted) for the good of (his) master (and) whose ornament was modesty. ${ }^{5}$
(L. 20 f .) Resplendent in (his) position as the hereditary prime minister (mahaimâtya) entrusted w.th the administration of the kingdom of that king (i.e. Bêta), great of self-respect (and) possessing the triple qualifications of pre-eminence, counsel and energy,
(V. 2.) the danḍadhinâtha Vaija, minister of the glorious king Kâkatí Bêta, worshipped by (all the people of) the world for (his) liberality which bestowed desired objects on crowds

[^220]of supplicants, for (his) surpassing beauty which amazed (those who saw him), for his high proficiency in the sciences, for (his) refined goodness, for (his) charming behaviour, for the greatness of (his) pride ${ }^{1}$ (and) for the display of (his) dauntless heroism, -became famous.
(V. 3.) Who does not extol the minister of the manḍalika Kâkatî Bêta, Vaija, that master of prodigions fame, who by (his) immeasurable prowess made, amidst the applanses of the world, the renowned king Kâkati Bêta to successfully visit the feet of the Chalukya emperor (chakrin), (and) to rule by the favour of that (emperor) the Sabbi (one) thousand (district) (in snch a way) as to attract the attention (of the world).
(V. 4.) To him and to (his wife) the blooming lotus-faced Yekamabbe was born pergade Bêta who, famous (as he was) in the world, was a head-jewel in the diadems of the ministerolass.
(V. 5.) He (Bêta), the exalted minister of the prosperons king Kâkati-Prola, who was counted as equal to Mândhâta and Râma, the foremost among the wise, proficiont in all sciences, an admirer of good behaviour, a mine of literary lore, a celestial tree to learned men possessing truth and virtue, built, with great delight, ten honses for gods (i.e. temples) in his own village.
(V. 6.) The wife of the minister Bêta, the son of [Yâ]kamâmbikâ, (was) Mailama, whose face was (as pleasant as) the moon (and) whose lips were (red like) the bimba (fruit), the colonr of whose body was praised as being fair (and her) full breasts as being golden pots, (who was) the (veritable) lady Bhâratî̀, a Ŝ́̂sanadêvî (par excellence) acceptable to the doctrines of the Jaina religion (and) decidedly, (the goddess) Lakshmî (but) without (the latter's) fickleness.
(V. 7.) Who, who in this worlid does not extol Mailama saying: "The lotas-horn (Brahman) having produced, out of the five gems (such) as best suited the portion of the body (under oreation), the (several) limbs with (their) adjuncts from the feet right up to those tremulons curls, (and) having filled (them) with happiness, grace, joy (and) beanty (which he) culled from among the celestial nymphs,-(he) loved (to see) this gem of womankind (-his own creation)."
(V. 8.) The whole world would praise deservedly the wife of the minister Bêta saying: "She possesses praiseworthy beanty; she is full of lustre ; (she) is a Rati in dalliance; (she) is the lady Srrî (i.e. Lakshmî), (she) is the lady Ghatântakí; (she) is the lady Vânị (i.e. Sarasvatí)." .
(V. 9.) The thus-praised abode of Ramê (i.e. Lakshmi)-Mailama-having caused to bo brilt with delight and derotion the resplendent Kadalalaya-basadi (temple) on the top of the hill in order that it may bring prosperity to her-
(L. 70.) for the daily worship, incense, lights (and) oblations (in the temple) (and) for food, clothing, etc., of the temple priest,-
(L. 72.) while the reign of Kâkatiya Polalarasa, son of the glorious king, the Mandalika Tribhursuamalla, was continuously prosperous and successful, at Ammakunde, (to last) as long as the moon, sun and stars-in the forty-second year of the prosperons Chalukya-Vikrama years, corresponding to the Hêmalambi-saminatsara ; on accoant of the Uttarayana-samkrânti

[^221](which happened) on Monday, the 16th day of the dark fortnight of Paushya (of that year)-
(L. 80.) gave to that (temple), with libations of water, two mattar of wet land (lying) between two flat slabs below the band of the tank built in her name by her husband Betanapargade, and four mattar of black-soil land (lying) sonth of the pond (done) on the west side of the same tank and six mattar of uncultivated land, ${ }^{1}$ (and) set up this inscribed pillar.
(L. 88 f .) And as a (subsidiary) gift to this charity the prosperons maluimandalésvara Mêlarasa of Ugravaḍi, who was born in the family of Madha[vav]arma, (whose army consisted) of " oight thousand elephants, eight crores of horses and ondless crowds of foot (soldiers) etu.," gave one mattar of wet-land at the head of the canal below the band of Kûchikere (tank) which belonged to Orumgallu (included) within his rule, (and) ten mattar of miscellaneous land close to the same (land).
(V. 10.) He that destroys this (charity) shall always incar the sin of having killed thousand tawny cows; (and) he that carefully protects it, shall ever enjoy (that) happiness (which is) acquired as the fruit of a thousand sacrifices (yajna).
[Ll. 104 to 110 contain two of the asual imprecatory verses.]
(L. lllf.) One pâgas (is assigned) to Bôya-Padda who removes the sweepings in that temple.

## No. 36.- BALAGHAT PLATES OF PRITHIVISHENA II.

By tel late Profmssor F. Kielhorn, C.I.E.; Götringen.
These plates were sent in May 1893 by the Deputy Commissioner of Bâlâghât, a district in the Nâgpur Division of the Central Provinces, to the Asiatic Society of Bengal, to which they now belong. In the letter which accompanied them, it was stated that they had been found in the district, 'some time ago, hanging to a tree in the jungle.' They were entrusted to me by Dr. Hoernle in 1905, with the request that I should edit the insoription which is engraved on them. Other work has prevented my doing so before now.

The plates are five in number, each between $6 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ and $6 \frac{71}{8}$ long by between $3 \frac{7{ }^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ and $4^{\prime \prime}$ high ; two of them contain no writing whatever, while of the three others (here described as plates $i$, ii and iii) the second is engraved on both sides and the first and the third on one side only. Though the plates have no raised rims and are not fashioned thicker near the edges, the engraving on them is throughout in a perfect state of preservation. The five plates are strung on a ring, whioh passes through a hole about $1 \frac{l^{\prime \prime}}{}$ distant from the middle of the proper right margin of each plate. This ring is ciroular, about $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4 \prime}$ thick and between $3^{\prime \prime}$ and $3 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ in diameter. The ends of it are flattened off and joined by a bolt, whioh had not been cut whon the plates came into my hands. On the ring desoribed there slides a smaller ring, made of a band of copper, the ends of which are fastened by a rivet which also passes through, and firmly holds, a flat dise of oopper about $23^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. Undoubtedly this diso was meant to serve as a seal and to bear some writing, but nothing has been engraved on it. ${ }^{8}$

The plates clearly were intended to record a grant of the Vâkâteaka king Prithivishêna II., but they actually give only the genealogy of the king and break off at the point where his order

[^222]commences. The four inscribed sides contain 35 lines $^{1}$ of well-engraved writing. The size of $^{\text {iz }}$ the letters is between $\frac{1}{4}$ " and $\frac{3_{8}^{\prime \prime}}{8}$. The characters belong to the 'box-headed, variety of the southern class of alphabets and are similar to, but less angular than, those of the Dudia platea of the Vakâtaka Pravarasêna II., published with a facsimile above, Vol. III. p. 260. They
 Kuntalit ${ }^{\circ}, 1.30$, and forms of the final $t$, in samurat, 1. 4, and of the final $t$ (which does not sho in the facsimile), in rachanut, 1. 35.3 The conjunct $n n$ is evergwhere written by a sign wich is really the sign for nn (in sannivêsita-, 1. 6, utpannasya, 1.23, and elsewhere); visarga is it intel by two hook-shaped lines (e. g. in sûnò $h, 11.11$ and 20) ; the signs for $d$ and $d$ are well distir. gaished, e.g. in -vîsakicid $=1.1$, and shòdaśy-, 1. 2; and there are two forms of the letter $i$, e\%
 and the text is all in prose. In line 10 a passage of no less than 27 aksharas has throuph erre lessness been omitted by the writer. As regards orthography, the rules of samblii are conetintly neglected (as in the three cognate grants); the (long) $d$ is several times written whero the wowd should be short, and (the short) $i$ is throughout employed for both $i$ and $\hat{\imath}$; the vowel $r^{i}$ is und
 Besides, the dental and lingaal nasals are confoonded in kîrunya-, I. 12, manô-, 1, 1\%, and
 27 and 33 ; $s h$ is doubled after $r$ in varshsha-, 1. 14, and dh before $y$ in -addhyalesha, 1.35. With ${ }^{\circ}$ patir-abhya ${ }^{\circ}$ for ${ }^{\circ}$ paty-abhy $a^{\circ}$ in line 28 , where the $r$ of ${ }^{\circ}$ patir- looks like a samdhi-cons. rant , we may compare -bbalam-aisvaryya-for bbalaisvaryya-in line 15 of the Dudia plates, arowe, Vol. III. p. 26l, where $m$ is used in a similar way. ${ }^{8}$

With two exceptions, the text down to the word Pravarasênasya in line 26 is practically identical with that of the three published grants ${ }^{4}$ of the Vâkattaka king Pravarasêna II.; liko those grants, it gives the genealogy of this king, commencing with Pravarasêna I ., and exumerating after him his son's son Rudrasêna I., his son Prithivishêṇa I., his son Rudrasêns II., and his son (from Prabbâvatiguptà, the danghter of the Makûrâjâdhirîja Dêvagupta) Pravara. sêna II. Of the exceptions referred to, one is that our inscription commences with Vimithriv. $v a ̂ a c k i \hat{l} d=$, 'from (his) residence Vêmbèra,' in the place of which the Chammak and Dudia plate 3 of Pravarasêna II. have Pravarapurutl, 'from Pravarapura.' Our grant therefore was to have been issued from Vêmberra, a place which I have not been able to identify. The other point in difference is that, whils the grants of Pravarasêna II. commence with drishtam, or drishriat svasti, or drishtam siddham, this inscription contains no such expression, but at the beginning of line 1, before the word Vênbîra-, leaves an empty space just where one would have expectel something like drishtam. The omission and the vacant space, in my opinion, are rather significant. Contrary to what I have said in my remarks on the Dudia plates, above, Vol. III. p. 959, I am convinced now that drishtam (and the Prâkrit ditham of the Mayidayôlu and Hirse: hadagalli plates ${ }^{5}$ ) must really be taken in its ordinary sense of 'seen,' and that it is similar to the modern 'true copy' or 'examined' of official letters or Government orders. ${ }^{6}$ Such a remark

[^223]could of course have been affixed or prefixed to a document only when it was finished, and it is missing here because our grant was not completed. The engraver apparently acted just as a writer or copyist of the grant would have done.

After Pravarasêna II. our inscription in lines 26-35 mentions his son, the Malutrîja Narêndrasêna, and after him his son - born from the queen (mahîl:vî) Ajjhita-bhaṭtârikâ, ${ }^{1}$ a daughter of the lord of Kuntala - the Mahuiruija Prithivishêna [II.], a devout worshipper of Bhagavat ( $V$ ishụu)." Narêndrasêna, 'from confidence ${ }^{2}$ in the excellent qualities precinusly acquired by him, took away (or appropriated) the family's fortune; his commands were honoured by the lords of Kôsala, Mêkala and Mâlava, and he held in check enemies bowed down by his prowess.' Pṛithivishêna II. is described as 'being a recoptacle of splendonr and furbearance, who raised (his) sunken family.' The name Prifhivish 'nncsya, in line 35 . is followed by cuchanit, 'at his command '; but of the king's order only the words 'all superintendents' aro given by these plates.

In the Vâkâtaka stone inscription pablished in Archreol. Survey of IT. Indiut, Vol. IV. p. 124 ff ., the verses 10 and 11, which would have given the names of the successors of Pravarasēna II., are unfortunatcly mach mutilated. The name of Pravariasêna's son and successor, 'who, having obtained the kingdom when eight years of age, ruled well,' has quite disappenred, and the son of that unknown king according to the publiyhed text was Dèvasena. As bas been stated above, nccording to our grant Pravarasêna's son Narêndrasêna took away the kingdom (probably from an elder brother), married a danghter of the king of Kuntala, and was succeeded by his son Prithivishêna II. The stone inscription in verse 8 records the defeat of a lord of Kuntala by ap, arently Prithivishena I., and in verse 18 speaks of Kuntala, Avanti, Kalinga, Koôsala, Trikûṭa, Lâṭa, Andhra . . . . [as having lucen subjected by one of the later Vâkitakas]. According to the present inscription Nircèndrasèna had his commands honoured (or obeyed) by the lords of Kôsala, Mêkala and Mâlava. The first and last of those three countries are well known. The sitnation of Mêkala (according to the Topographical List of the Bribatsamं hitâ in Ind. Ant. Vol. XXII. p. 185, a moantain or a people) is indicated by the fact that the river Narmadâ is called MCkala-kanyit, 'the daughter of Mêkala, and that that river springs from the hill Amarakantak (in Long. $81^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ and Lat. $22^{\circ}$ $40^{\prime}$ ) in the ancient Chêdi country. ${ }^{3}$

Here and in the cognate plates the Vâkâtaka kings have the title mahârája followed by the word $s r \hat{\imath}$ prefixed to their names, and before the title there stands in each case the genitive Valkâtakûncinn; e.g. Vâkcittalcînû̀m makîrûja=śri-Pravarasênasya. Such passages have been hitherto translated as if the genitive Vâkît takûnâm were governed by the title makürụja: 'the illustrious Pravarasêna, the great king of the Vâkâtakas,' or 'the Mahâräja of the Vâkâtakas, the illustrious Pravarasenna.' 'The matter is not of great importance, but it may be as well to state that from the grammarian's point of view such a construction would be objectionable. In my opinion, the genitive mast be taken to qualify, not the title mahuriâja, but the whole phrase mahârâja-śrî-Pravarasêna, and more especially the word Pravarasêra, the chief component of the phrase, so that the meaning would be 'the Mahiräja, the illustrions Pravarasêna of the Vâkâtakas,' i.e. 'of the family of the Vâkâtakas.'4 I have already had occasion to point ont that we similarly have the genitive Maitrakínnim in the Valabhî plates, where there is no title by which this genitive coald be governed ; Vishnukundinám above, Vol. IV. p. 195, 1. 2; and

[^224]Radambânîm in Ind. Ant. Vol. VI. p. 26, l. 5 and Vol. VII. p. 35, 1. 4, and above, Vol. VI. p. 14, 1. 4, and p. 18, 1. 5.

The inscription, not having been finished, contains no date of any kind, bat it may to assigned with probability to about the second half of the 8th century A.D.

|  | TEXT. ${ }^{\text {First Plate. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 . . . ${ }^{2}$ Vêmbâra-vâsakâd=agnishṭôm-âptôryyâm-ôkthya- |  |
| 2 | shôḍaśy-atirâtra-vâjapềja-brihaspatisava-sâdya- |
| 3 | skra-chaturasvamêdha-yâjinah ${ }^{3}$ Vishṇuvriddha-sagôtra. |
| 4 |  |
| 5 | nasya ${ }^{\text {bsutnôs=sûnôh }}$ atyanta-Svâmi-Mahâ- |
| 6 |  |
| 7 | ling-ôdvahans-Śtiva-suparitushṭa-samutpâdita- |
| 8 | râjavaúsiânâm ${ }^{10}=$ parâkram-âdhigatan-Bhâgiratthy-âmală-11 |
| 9 | jala-12mûrddhnâbhishiktån ân=dasấsvamêdh-âva- |
|  | Second Plate ; First Side. |
| 10 | bhritha-snâtânâm=Bhârasivânâm $=13_{13}{ }^{\text {mahârâja-śri-14 }}$ Rudrasê- |
| 11 | nasye sûnôh atyanta-mâhêśvarasya saty-ârjjava- |
| 12 | kârunysa(ņya)-śauryya-vikrama-naya-vinaya-máhâ- |
| 13 | tmya-dhimatra-pâtragatabhaktitva-dharmmâvijayitva- ${ }^{15} \mathrm{man}$ [ô]-16 |
| 14 | nairmmâly-âdir- ${ }^{17}$ guṇa-samuditasya $\quad$ varshsha-śata. |
| 15 | $m=a b h i v a r d$ dhamêna-kôśs-daṇ̣̣a-sâdhana-santâna-putra- |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | 'ś=Chakrapânê[h** prasâd-ôpârjjita-ši-10 |
|  | Second Plate ; Second Side, |
| 19 | samudayasya Vâkatakânâm=mahârâja-śri- ${ }^{20}$ Rudra- |
| 20 | sênasya sûnôḥ ${ }^{\text {s1 }}$ pûrvvarâj-ânuvritta-mâ $\left.\mathrm{r}^{*}\right]$ ]gg-âṇu(nu)sâ- |

## ${ }^{1}$ From the original plates.

2 At the commencement of this line there is an empty space sufficient for about three akesharas. One would have expected here the word drishtam, with which the three grants of Pravarasêna II. begin.
${ }^{8}$ This sign of visarga is clearly visible in the original. Here and in other places below the rules of samdhi have not been observed.
${ }^{4}$ The plates of Pravarasêna II. have sumrâde (?), sashraţ, and samraṭah. Read samrdjah (or samrtjjó).

${ }^{7}$ Here is a mark in the original which looka like the upper half of a visarga.
${ }^{8}$ Read ainga-.

- In this word and everywhere below the conjunct $n n$ is denoted by a sign which is really the sign for $\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{n}$.
${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\text {vambatanam }}=$. ${ }^{11}$ Rend-Bhagtrathy-amala-,
${ }^{12}$ Bead -wirddhabhio; compare Eupta Inscr. p. 237, 1. 6.
18 Here the words malididja-sri-Bhavaidga-dauhitrasya Gautamiputrasya putrasya एakidtakatidm of the cognate plate have erroneously been omitted.
${ }^{14}$ Read -stl-.
${ }^{15}$ Read -dhitmattva-pdtrdgatabhaktatva-dharmmavijayitvar. $\quad{ }^{10}$ Resd -mant-.
${ }^{17}$ Read nairmmaly-ddi-.
${ }^{10}$ One wauld have expected prasdddd=updion Read -sth.
${ }^{20}$ Read -stri.
 Gupta Inecr, p. 246, lings 14 and 15.


## Balaghat plates of Prithivishena II.

ii a .

Collotype by Gebr. Plettner, Halle-Saale.

# ringh sunayar-bala-parâkram-ômobhinna- ${ }^{1}$ sarvvadvishah mahârâjâdhirâja-sri- ${ }^{2}$ Dêvagupta-sutâyâ. $\mathrm{m}=$ Prabhâvatiguptâyâm=ntpannasya Vakata${ }^{8}$ keavaísi-âlañkàrabhûtasya Sambhôh prasâdâd=dhra(dhri)${ }^{4}$ ta-kârttayugasys ${ }^{5}$ Vâkatatakânâ[m"]=mabârâjja(ja) -sfi(érî)Pravarasênasya sûnôh pûrvvâdhigata-guna-  

## Third Plate.



```
t{̂pb-pralâtârisanasyag}\mp@subsup{}{}{11}\mathrm{ Vąkâtakkênâm=mahâ-
râja-sri- }\mp@subsup{}{}{18}\mathrm{ Narêndrasênasya sûnôhi=Kuntalêdhipati-
sutây\{[m*]=mahâdêvyâm=Ajjhita-bhaṭtârikzâ\âm=n-
tpannasya tôjaḥ-kshamâ-sannidhânabhuta-18
```



```
m=pgramabhàgavata-mahâràja-&ri'10.Prithivishê.
```



## No. 37.-ORISSA PLATES OF VIDYADHARABHANJADEVA. <br> By fee latr Professor F. Kiblierie, C.I. $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{t}}$; Göttingein.

There is no information as to where or when these plates were first obtained. In 1887 they belonged to Mr. C. T. Metcalfe, Commissioner of the Orissa Division, and the iascription which is engraved on them was pablished in that year, with a facsimile, by the late Dr. Râjêndralal Mitro, in the Journ. As. Soc. Beng., Vol. LVI. Part L p. 154 ff. In November 1895 the plates were presented by the Commissioner of Orissa, through Mr. C. L. Griesbanh, to the Asiatio Society of Bengal, and they have now been entrusted to me by Dr. Hoernle, with the request to re-edit the insciription.

There are three substantial copper-plates, theedges of which are alightly raised, and
 on a copper ring, which passes through a hole, which is about $\frac{5^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ distant from the middle of

[^225]the proper right margin of each plate. The ring, which had already been cnt when the plates came into my hands, is between $\frac{5^{\prime \prime}}{16}$ and $\frac{5^{\prime \prime}}{8^{\prime \prime}}$ thick and about $3^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter, and on to it is soldered s roughly ciroular metal seal, between $1 \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{6}$ and $1 \frac{1}{1} \frac{\xi^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. The seal contains in religf on a countersunk surface, in the upper half, a conching lion facing to the proper right, and in the lower half the legend

> srî-Tidyâdhara-
> bhañjadévasya
in northern oharacters which are between $\frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{10^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4^{\prime \prime}}$ high.
Before the plates were ased for the grant now engraved on them, they had already served for another grant, the four last lines of which, though faint, are almost completely logible on the first site of the first plate, and of the writing of which more or less distinct traces remain also on the other sides of the plates. The characters of this earlier grant belong to what I have elsowhere ${ }^{1}$ called the Gañjàm variety of the northern alphabet; and the linos with whioh it ended, compared with the end of the Gumsûr grantº of Nêtriblañja, published in Journ. As. Soc. Beng. Vol. VI. p. 669 f. and Plate xaxiii., would seem to show that the grant was issued by a ohief of the same family. The four lines (on the first side of the first plate), so far as I am able to read them, are :-
 sândhivi-
2. grahika-Arkka[dêvêna]" || Lân̄chhitamin [ra]jñîi-stî-Mânịikya-mahîdê-

4. di ${ }^{6}$. . . II

The grant now recorded on these plates is engraved on the second side of the first plate and on both sides of the two others. The engraving is deep and well done, and in a perfect state of preservation. In three places (in lines 10,22 and 35 ) there is some donbt about the aotnal wording of the tert, either becanse the engraver altered what he had originally engraved, or beasase portions of the letters of the earlier grant spoken of above are mixed up with the new writing ; the rest may be read withoat any diffioulty. The size of the lettera is abont ${ }^{\frac{8_{8}}{}{ }^{18} \text {. The }}$ characters belong to that variety of the northern alphabet which we find, e.g. on the Bagnda plates of Mdhavavarman, treated of above, Vol. VII. p. 101 f . Of the consonant signs the most oharactaristio are those for $h^{7}$ (e.g. in Hurasya Sêshâhêr $=, 1.4$ ), $t$ and $t t$ (e.g. in prakafa-, 1. 9 , and vighaftitd, l. 6), and $n$ (e.g. in vôna-prâna-, 1. 1), of whioh the last, in combinstion with palatals, also serves for the palstal nasal (e.g. in Bhañjämala ${ }^{\circ}$, 1. 14, $=u k t a \tilde{n}=c h a, 1.27$, and lánohhitam, 1. 35). Among other conjancts attention may be drawn to the signs for $l \mathrm{lsh}, \mathrm{gg}, \mathrm{gbh}$,

[^226]$d b h$ and $m b h$ (e.g. in -vikshôbha-daksham, 1. 1, ${ }^{\circ}$ vargga, 1. 10, -Digbhañjadévasya, 1. 12, =udbhâat the end of line 4, and -Stambhadêva-, l. 36). There is a special sign for final $t$, in -ânyat, l. 18, nyat, at the commencement of line 19, 'yâvat, 1. 21, and ${ }^{\circ} r a \hat{d} h a \hat{a} t$ for ${ }^{\circ}$ rôdhatt, 1. 22. Of initial vowels the text contains orly $a$ (for $\hat{a}$ ), $, 3, u$ and $\hat{e}$, in achandr $\hat{a}^{\circ}$, 1. 21, iva, ll. 5 and 6, iti, 1. 33, Upamany[u]-, 1. 23, urtkirṇna, 1. 38, and êtadㅇ, 1. 19. As regards medial vowels, $\vec{a}$ is sometimes denoted by a short saperseript stroke or by a small hook on the right of the consonantsign, as in labbhanja at the commencement of line 13, and in Bhanj$\hat{u}{ }^{\circ} m a l a^{\circ}, 1.14$; and there are two signs ${ }^{1}$ of the subscript $u$, one of which may be seen e.g. in jayatu, l. 1, and bhuvanaㅇ, 1. 2, and the other in $-s u r a^{\circ}, 1.7$, -rîpu ${ }^{\circ}$, 1. 10, Vañjulvakat-, 1. 8, and =vvahubhir=, 1.27, etc.; the former of the two signs is used also to denote medial $\hat{u}$, for which there is no separate sign in these plates. Two forms of medial ê may be seen e.g. in Sếshâhêr $=a(i) v a y \hat{\theta}, 1,4$, and pra(prâ)lêyấchala-, l. 5; and similarly two forms of medial ô, e.g. in kîrttayô vilồ, 1. 35. The sign of anusvâra is sometimes placed after the consonant-sign, as in ${ }^{\circ}$ padain yathârhain, 1. 17. The signs of virâma and avagraha do not occur, and a sign of punctuation is fonnd only in lines 20 and 25 (where in either case it is out of place) and at the end of the grant. The language of the inscription is Sanskrit. There are two verses in lines $1-8$, and forr imprecatory verses in lines $27-35$; the rest of the text is in prose. As regards orthography, the sign for $v$ denotes both $v$ and $b$; visarga at the end of a word is ten times omitted; and single consonants are used instead of doable ones in chatusimâd for chatussimâ-, 1. 19, =anurôdhâ oha for $=a n \kappa r o ̂ d h d c h=c h a, 1.26$, and five or six times in the words data and dati for datta and datti. (lines 25, 28, 29, 31, 32 and perhaps 23). There is besides some confusion of the short and long vowels, especially in the case of $i$ and $\hat{\imath}$, where $\hat{\imath}$ is used instead of $i$ no less than nine times (e.g. in nîkasha, 1. 3, ripu, 1. 10, salila, l. 21, etc.) ; and $\hat{u}$, as has been already stated, is everywhere written by the sign for $u$. A few times the writer or engraver has omitted an akshara, as in Vidyadhabhañja for Vidy $\hat{a} d h a r a b h a n ̃ j a^{\circ}, 1.15$, the chief name of the inscription which is correctly given on the seal; and altogether the grant has been written rather carelessly.

The insoription is one of the devoat worshipper of Mahêsvara(Siva), the ornament of the spotless family of the Bhañjas, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the Mahârâja Vidyâdharabhañjadêva, also called kking Dharmstcalaśa(P), who was a son of Śilâbhañjadêva,s grandson of Digbhañjadêva, ${ }^{*}$ and great-grandson of Raṇabhañjadêva; and its object is to record a grant which was issued by the king from Vañjulvaka. ${ }^{5}$ Like the Gumsûr grant of Nêtribhañjadêva Kalyàñakalaša, it opens with two verses which glorify the (third) eye of Hara (Siva) and invoke the protection. of the waves of the divine Gangî. In lines 15-27 the king informs the Sâmantas, Bhogins ${ }^{{ }^{9}}$ and others, the [lords of] vishayas, and the people generally who dwell in the Ramalavra, vishaya, that with pouring out of water he gave the village of Tundurava in that distriot,

[^227]rent-free, ${ }^{1}$ to the bhatta Dârakhandịi-a son of Ŝ̂ridêva(?) and grandson of Gauriehandrom
 ( $r$ ajaka) to protect this gift, and (in lines 27-35) quotes four imprecatory verses from the dharmasdstras.

The insoription (in lines $35-38$ ) ends with four statements, introduced severally by the participles láfichhitam, pravêsitam, likhitam, and utkîrnam. About the meaning of the two last there can be no donbt: the grant was written by the sadndhivigrahika (or minister of peace and war) Khambha, and engraved by the akshasálíin${ }^{2}$ (or goldamith) Kumârachard ${ }^{\text {ra. }}$ The term pravesitam I have not found in similar surroundings in any other grant; bat since this word takes the place here of the phrase svayam=âdishtô rajñ $\hat{\alpha}$ dûtakô=tra of the Gumsur grant of Notribhañja and of the earlier rgrant on these very plates ${ }^{3}$ (where that phrase in both cases appears in company with lañchhitam, likhitam and utkirnam), I think that it mast likewise be taken to refer to the business of the dûtaka and that the words pravêsitam Ketaustra must be translated 'brought (to the donee's) home by (the messenger) Kếsava.' The passage commencing with lañchhitam I am unable to explain properly. According to Dr. Fleet, above, Vol. VII. p. 227, latchihana denotes the device used on the seals of copper-plate charters, etc., and lantichhita therefore probably means 'furnished with euch a device' or 'marked with a seal' (mudraya mudritam). " We find the word in the Buguda plates of Mâdhavavarman, above, Vol. III. p. 46, 1. 50, lañohhitam Jayasinghêna; in the Gañjâm plates of Prithivivaro
 which was originally engraved on these plates, above, p. 272, lâñchhitam [râ]j $\tilde{n} \hat{\imath}-\mathrm{s} r \hat{\imath}$-Manikyamahádêvyâ, ' marked with a seal by the queen, the glorious Mânikya-mahâdêví;' and it ocurara also in the Gumstr grant of Nêtribhañja, where the words by which it is followed cannot be made out with confidence. ${ }^{5}$ In the present case our text appears to give us lañchhitam iti-Trikalinga-mahddavyd, 'marked with a seal by the glorious Trikalinga-mahâdêvi,'s which would be similar to what we find in the earlier grant on these plates; but these words are followed by tajadikena, whioh may be corrupt and the meaning of which is quite obscure, and after that again we have the instrumental sribhatta-Stambhadeva-mantrina, 'by the minister, the bhafta Stambhadêra, ${ }^{7}$ which, for want of the meaning of the obscure word, I see no way of conneoting with what precedes. It is curious that in at least two of the passages where the word lanchhitain occurs the marking with the seal is stated to have been performed by a queen.

Our incoription contains no date of any kind, and for the present it seemes impossible to fir its age even approximstely, because we know nothing that is certain about the chiefa of the Bhanja family during the Middle Ages, ${ }^{8}$ and possess no dated inscriptions with the same slphabet. With all due reserve I would say that the inscription may perhaps be assigned to the 12 th or 13th centary A.D.

[^228]As regards the localities, the inscription mentions Vañjulvaka, from where both this grant and the Gumsûr grant of Nêtribhañja were issued, the Ramalerva vishaya and in it the village of Tundurava. I have not found the names of these places on the sheets of the Indian Atlas where I have looked for them.

TEXT. ${ }^{1}$
First Plate; Second Side.

 ntar=dyôtabhâsvat-pradîpamin ${ }^{6}$ kanakanî(ni) kasha-gauraṃ vibhru7 nêtram
 svarêndu-tvisha[h*] pra(prâ)lêyâchala-śringakôttá(ta) ya iva tva-
 janti yê 太̂â [்்]bhavâs=tê sarvvâgha-vighâtina[h*] surasa-
$8 \operatorname{rit}\left[t^{*}\right] o ̂ y-$ ôrmmayah pânt[u] vaḥ [I/*] Svasti [ [**]Vijaya-Vañjulvakar-10

Second Plate ; First Side.
9 d=astill ${ }^{11}$ ri-vijaya-nilayah prakataguṇagaṇa-gra-
10 sta-samastarí(ri)puvargga[ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]^{13}{ }^{13}[$ śrî̀-Dharmma P]kalaǴa-nâmâ râ-
11 jâ nîrddhuta ${ }^{14}$-kalikalushakalmasha[h*] srî-Raṇabhañjadêva. ${ }^{15}$
12 sya prapantra[ $\mathrm{h}^{*}$ ] êrí-Digbhañjadêvasya ${ }^{16}$ naptâ érí-Si-
13 lâbhañjadêvasya sutah paramamâhêşvarô mâtâpi-
14. trị-pâdânudhyâtô Bhañjamalakula ${ }^{177}$-tilakô mahârầ

15 ja-siri.Vidyâdha[ra*]bhañjadêvasya kaŝalî Ramalavva- ${ }^{19}$

| ${ }^{1}$ From the original plates. | 2 Denoted by a symbol. ${ }^{8}$ Metre : Malinio. |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Originally ranapiri was engrsved, but the $i$ of $p i$ has been atruck out. |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Reart ${ }^{\text {s }}$ haurjjitya.-Com | uvamfa v.74: suakiranapariveshodbheda-shnydh pradipdh. |
| ${ }^{*}$ Read -pradipah. |  |
| 7 Reed babhrw; this word | ous with pingala, and Siva is pingaldksha. Com | Vol. VI. p. 200, 1.1 of the text.-The Gumsưr grant has chdru. [In a letter which never reached the anthor I suggested that vibhru is correct and shonld be translated "brow-less."-S. K.]

${ }^{8}$ Metre : Śârdulavikridita.
${ }^{9}$ Read. $=$ iva,
10 These four aksharas are quite clear in the nriginal. Dr. Ràjêndralal's text has Faljalvaka. The Gumsur grant mppears to have Vañjulvakedt, which by Kamslâkints was misread Vañohalikdetu.
${ }^{11}$ Read $t \mid$ Asti sre-
${ }^{12}$ The visarga which I have added here and below before ort is not absolately necessary.
12 The words in these brackets are conjectural. As will be seen from the facsimile, four absharas were originally engraved here, but they were partly atruok oat or altered; and the difficulty is enhanced by the fact that remnants of letters, which were originally engraved on these plates are mixed up with the new letters. I consider it certain that the first akshara is intended to be fri (for fri), and that the laat, contained the conjunot'mm. The corresponding passage of the Gumsûr grant is : dati jayafri-nilayan. prakafaguya-grasta-sarmaripugarevah frt-Kalyañakalafa-ndmad rdja.
${ }^{4}$ Read nirddhdta.
is In the facsimile the first akshara (ra) of this name might be read ora (and was read so by Dr. Rajêndralal), but in the original it is distinctly ra, and what looks like $v$ is a remanant of what was originally engraved on the plate.
is This name is clear in the original ; and so is the nextu Dr. Rejêndralal read the two names Divabhanifa and Siltbhañja.
${ }^{17}$ Dr. Rajjêndralil read Bhanijanala-kular. , ${ }^{18}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ devafesas
10 The first three akesharar of this name are clear in the original ; the last might be read adka. Dr. Bajandrelal read Vamalabhaikja, but regarded the letters as doubtful.

Second Plate ; Second Side.
vishas-ê yathânivâsi-sâmanta-bhôgi-bhôgyâdil-vi-shaya-janapadam yathârham mânayati $\mathrm{pu}(\mathrm{pû})$ jayati $v \hat{8}(\mathrm{bo})$ dhayaty=âdiśati ${ }^{2} \mathrm{ch}=$ ânyat sarvvataḥ ${ }^{3}$ Givam=asmâkam-a nyat êtad[v]ishaya-samvandha-5Tuṇ̣[u]ravagrâma ${ }^{6}$ chatnaîmâparyantaḥ ${ }^{7}$ grâmôo $=$ yam || mâtâpitrôr=âtmanánsocha puṇy-âbhirruiddhayê ${ }^{8}$ achandrârkka-samam் kâlaṇ yâvat salî̀(li)ladbâ-râ-puraḅsarậ̀a vidhinâ gaṇ-ânurâadhât ${ }^{9}$ karatvêna ${ }^{10}[$ bhôgya P] Upamanya--11gotrâya datê-pravarâya ${ }^{12} \quad \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{ba})$ hrrụicha-śyâkha-18

## Third Plate; First Side.

$24 \mathrm{ya} \cdot$ Gôrichandraḥ ${ }^{14}$ naptâ Śaridêvasya suta bhatṭan-Dâru-
25 khaṇ̣̣ì || nầmnŝ pratipâditô=smâbhis=tad=ê̂hâ dati=ddhan ${ }^{15}$
26 rmma-garravâd =asmâkam aanurôdhà ${ }^{18}$ cha bhavishyad-râjakai[ $\left.h^{*}\right]$
27
28
[IIy Jaga jadâ
3 bhu(bhû)mis=tasya tasya tadâ phalaṃ [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Sva-dattâm para-datâa ${ }^{19}$ vâ
30 yô harêta vasundharấm [i*] sa vishṭhâyâ $\left[\dot{m}^{*}\right]$ krimî̊0 bhutvâ pi-

## Third Plate; Second Side.

31 tribhi[h*] saha pachyatê [!|*] Mâ bhu(bhâ)d=aphala-śañkâ vah para-dat=ề. 91
32 ti pâr'thivâh [I*] sva-dânât=phalam=ântyam ${ }^{22}$ paradat-ânapâla-

[^229]Orissa plates of Vidyadharabhanjadeva.
ia.

ib.

ii a.

ii b.

iiia.

## iii b.






```
ṅga-mâ(ma)hâdêry[â] têjaḍikêna \({ }^{5}\) śri-bhaṭta-Stambhadêva-mant[r]í(tri) ṇ̂̀ \({ }^{6}\)
pravêsita[迫*] Kêsavêna \({ }^{7}\) likhitam \({ }^{8}\) 8ândahivigrihi-sri-Khambhê-
na artkirṇ̣̣a \({ }^{9}\) ch=âkshasầî(li)-Kumâracharndênna \({ }^{10} \mathrm{H}\) tha \({ }^{11}\) [II]
```


## No. 38.-GHATIYALA INSCRIPTIONS OF KAKKUKA; SAMVAT 918.

By D. R. Beandariar, M.A.; Poona.

The subjoined inscriptions are all engraved on a column standing in situ in Ghatiyâ!ê, twenty-two miles west-north-west of Jôdhpur. The colnmn is not far distant from an old ruinud Jaina structure, now called Mâtâ-kit-sâl, which contains an insoription edited by Prof. Kielhorn in the Journ. R. As. Soc. 1895, p. 516. Further particulars in connection with these ruins will be foand in the Progress Report of the Archocological Survey of India, Western Dirole, for the year ending 3 lst March 1907. Insoription No. I is engraved on the esstern, and the remaining ones on the western, face of the pillar. The insoriptions are so weather-worn that it is not possible to take good impressions. With patience and perseverance, however, almost the whole of the inscriptions can be deciphered with certainty on the original stone itself.

Inseription No. I contains 20 lines of writing, which oovers a apace of $2^{\prime} 3 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ high by $1^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ broad. The characters agree with those of the inseription found in the Md $\hat{d} d \hat{d}$-kî-sdl. The language is Sanskrit. The first seven lines are in prose. Lines 8-16 contain five verses. Then the date is given in prose in 1. 17. Then a verse occurs whioh is followed by a line in prose. As regards orthography, the letter $b$ is only once denoted by the sign for $v$, in kiutumvakaim, 1. 8; consonants are doubled after $r$; visarga followed by $s$ has been once changed to that letter in dêvyd̆s=sutô, 1. 7; the dental nasal has been used instead of an anusvára before $s^{\prime}$ in ${ }^{\circ} v a n s a^{0}, 1.1 ; t$ is doubled in conjunction with a following $r$; and visarga has been omitted once before the following sta in ayam=ustambhita stambhô, 1. 16.

The inscription opens with obeisance to Vintyaka (Ganapati). Then is set forth in prose a genealogical list of the feudatory Pratihara family which is brought down to Kakkuka, to whose reign the inscription belongs. It agrees with the lists furnished by the inscription in the $M a x t \hat{a}-k \hat{\imath}-s \hat{a} l$ and the epigraph of Bânka found in the Jôdhpur city wall. It is followed up by five verses, the first two of which merely contain conventional praise of Kakknka without giving any historical information. The third verse says that Kakknka obtained great renown in the countries of Travaṇi, Valla and Mâda, amongst (the people known as) Ârya, in Gurjjarattra, and in Parvata in the Lata country. Most of these names are repeated in verse 16 in the other

[^230]inscription of Kakkuka in the Mâtá-kî-sall. Thus, Travaṇi is the same as Tamsṇ there, and also coours in this unaltared form in verse 18 of the Jôdhpar insoription of Bâuk. Similarly, Valla is mentioned in both these inscriptions. Madeds is given in the Mâtu-kî-sdul inscription in conjunction with Maru (Maru-Md́da). Jêsalmêr is still called Mâḍa, and Maru proper can only be the Sheo, Mallânîi and Pâchpadrế districts of the Jôdhpur State. Ârya and Gurjaratrâ are doubtless the Ajja and Gujjarattâ of the Mâtâ-kî-sâl inscription. Ârya is unidentifiable, but is perhaps the same as that mentioned in Varâhamihira's Brihat-samhita, Cap. V, v. 42 . Gorjaratrâ, as has been shown by me elsewhere, ${ }^{1}$ comprised the distriots of Diduwânâ and Par. batsar of the Jêdhpur State. Lâta, as was also pointed out by me, embraced about this time the larger portion of the present Gujarat of the Bombay Presidency. ${ }^{9}$ Parvata, whioh is apparently said to be in Lâta, is unknown to me. Does Parvata, however, here simply mean a mountain and refer to some such inroad of Kakknka as that mentioned in the expression gahiuna gôhanâim girimmi in verse 17 of the Mâtâ-kî-sâl record ? Or perbaps Parvata may be taken to be a distinct oountry, and conneoted with the Pärvatĩyas of the Brihat-sainhitd, Cap. XVII. v. 16.

Verse 4 of our insoription tells us thas Kakkuka erected two columns, one at Rôhimsaka and the other at Maḍ̣ôdara. Exaotly the same information is conveyed by verse 21 of the Matdo-kî-sâl record, excepting that for Rohimsaka we have there Rôhimsakûpa. Rôhimsaka is undoubtedly the same as this Rôhimsakûpa or the Rôhimésakûpaka of our inscription $\mathrm{N}_{0} .2$, and is to be identified with Ghaṭiyà Manḍ̂orr, five miles north of Jôdhpur, which is locslly believed to have been a seat of Pratihhra power and is full of very ancient roins, and where a fragment of a Pratîhâra inscription was discovered by me last season. ${ }^{3}$ The next verae informs us that the column on which the insoription has been incised was ereoted by Kakkuka. Precisely the same information is given by verse 21 of the $M d t \hat{c}-k \hat{i}-8 a l$ inscription. Then follows the date Samvat 918 , Chaitra-fudi 2 budhe Hasta-nakshatré, the same as that mentioned in the latter insoription. And further we are told that here a market was established, and the village peopled with mahajana, i.e. big folk. The very same thing is alladed to in verse 20 of the Mâtâ-kî-sâl record. The inscription really ends here so far as the purport of it is concerned, but a verse follows which has something of the character of a subhâshita. Its chief interest, however, lies in the fact that it was oomposed by fri-Kakkuka himself, as the line in prose at the end informs us.

Inscription No. II contains 11 lines of writing covering a space of $1^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ high by $1^{\prime} 2_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ broad. Exoepting the opening words $\sigma_{\dot{m}}$ siddhih, it is in verse ap to 1.9 , and the rest in prose. The paleography and orthography do not oall for any remarks other than those made in connection with inscription No. I. The first verse invokes the blessings of Vináyaka (Gapapati) who, we are told, was placed on the column to ensure prosperity, and, as a matter of fact, the

[^231]pillar is surmonnted at the top by a quadruple image of Gapapati, facing the cardinal directions. The next verse informs us that the village of Rôhinsakapaka (Ghatiyâla) had formerly become unsafe on acoount of the Âbhiras (Ahirs), ${ }^{1}$ and had oonsequently not been a plaee of residence for good people. Verses 3 and 4 tell us that Kakkuka, the favourite son of Kakka, of the Pratlhara race, construoted a market place decorated with variegated streets, went to the houses of Brâhmanas, Kshatriyas (prakriti)s and Vaisyas, and, promising them means of livelihood, established the mahajana, the big folk there. We thas fully understand what the Mâtã-kî-sâl epigraph and our insoription No. I mean by saying that Kakkuka established a hafta and mahajana at Rohimsaka or Rohimsakapa. Owing to its being infested by the Âbhiras, whose predatory instincts even to the present day are not quite extinct, the place must have become deserted, but it was re-peopled by Kakkuka by inducing men of the three principal castes to come and reside there, after he had defeated and ousted the Ahirs. The verse following expresses a wish for the permanence of the prosperity of the mahiijuia, and of thic fame of Kakkuka. Then follows the date Samvat ol8 Chaitra Sudi 2 which, thongh the farther details of it are not given, is, it will be seen, identical with that speoified in our inscription No. I and the Luitit-ki-sill opicrraph. Next, wo are informed that the inscription was writfen by a Maga, called Mâtriravi, and was ongraved by the goldsmith Krishuésévara, doubtlews in same who incisod the Jedhpur inseription of the Prathâra Bainka. It is followed up by tipe name of the sûtradhâra or muson who probably dressed the stone and erected the column, hut the neme is lost.

The fact that Mâtriravi is called a Maya is very interesting. On the original stone the etters ma and $g{ }^{8}$ are quite distinct, and, though $m a$ is not so distinct, it is clear enough. No seasonable doubt need, therefore, be entertained as to Mitriravi being spoken of as a Maga. Maga is another name for the Silkaluipiya Brahmanas, about whom the late Professor Weber rrote a very learred and exhaustive paper. Round about Jodhpar there is a class of Brâhmanas nown as ŚÂvaks, most of whom are religious dependants of the Osvâl Śrâvaks. They call hemselves Ŝakadvipa Brahmanas, and know that their story is told in the Nâmagrantha of the Sưrya-purâna and also in the Bhavishya-purậa. That the fiakadvipiyas were originally oreigners has been clearly shown by Professor Weber. Bat it is only our inscription that furushes a specific date, vis. V. E. 918, when we can positively assert that Magas lived and were known by this very name in Rajpatand at least.

Inscription No. III is of two lines oontaining nothing but verse 5 of Inscription No. I. Insoription No. IV oonsists of four lines containing two verses. They possess the flavour of oubhdshitas, and have each one and the same last padda, asying that six things are dear to Kakkuka. What those six thinge are has been specified in the verses themselves.

> No. I.

## TEXT: ${ }^{3}$

## 1 जो विनायकाय नम: ॥ षासीव्रती छारवन्गयुष-* <br>  <br> 

[^232]4 त: ग्रीनागभट: [1*] घत: श्रीतात: [1*] अतोपि ग्रीयशोवर्षन: [1*]

6 ग्रीभिक्नादित्यः [1*] षतः श्रीगुणान्वितः ग्रीकक: [1*] घनेन
7 राश्मीग्रीटुर्मे मदेव्याष्मुतो जातः ग्रीक्षुक: ॥
8 सन्त: कुटुम्वकं यस्य स्थिर: काम: सितं य्य: ।
9 विपुला चपलं बुछिराग्रष्ही गुणसंग्रष्ठे ॥ [?*]
10 न्यायभंगे भबेल्कोपो रागोपि जनपाल[ने] ।
11 गुरुभ्यस्ष भयं यस्य भूषयां दीनरक्वयां ॥ [२*] रोन
12 पात्षा मत्रास्यातिस्त्नवस्यां सक्नमाडयों:। पर्यों-
13 षु गुर्जरत्षायां लाटदेशे च पर्ब्वते ॥ [₹*] तेन मत्रोदरे सू-
14 भ्मस्तथा रोष्हिन्मके कृतः । उभावप्युद्रतिं मीती खपच्चा-
15 विव जन्मदो " [8*] ग्रीमस्क्मक्षुकवोरेा कुसदीपेन
16 धीमता । भयमुस्भित्भ ${ }^{3}$ स्तभ्भो यश:स्भ इवीव्रत: $\|\left[y^{*}\right]$ संघ-

18 स्यापित: ॥ श्रों [1*] यौवनं विविधिर्मीगर्म्मध्यमं च वय:
19 स्रिया । वृषभावय्य धर्म्मण यस्य याति स पुस्यवान् ॥ [६ं]
20 अयं स्कोक: ग्रीकष्बुकेन स्वयकृंत: ।|

No. II.
TEXT.
1 अं रिंडि: [1*] दिवा रांी च संख्याया - - -
2 - संकुल 1 मिखिं करोतु सर्व्वच्र स्तम्भधामा वि[ना]-
3 यक: ॥ [? **] रोFिम्मकूपकग्रंम: पृर्ष्वमासी दना-
4 म्रय: 1 अ्रसेव्य: "साधुलीकानां कारोरजनदाऊग: ॥ [२*]
5 विचिच्तवीधिसंपूर्णं हहं क्वा गृष्याि च 1 विप्रव-
6 fाग्रक्ठतोनां गुषं गत्वा प्रियेग च ॥ [.२१] गीमरक्षस पुक्षेया
7 सत्रतीछ्हारजातिना 1 कब्मुकेन स्थिसिं दत्वा स्यापितोक्र मछा-
8 जन: " [8*] मषाजनस्य सद्धिति: साभ:च पूजा सुखं भुति: । ग्रो-


[^233]10 चसुदि ₹ [ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ लिखित मरे[न] मातृरविणा [1*] उखीयणें श्माकारण [क]धाये-
11 पूरेग "I सूक्वधारोच नि.......... विष्यु ॥
No. III.
TEXT.
1 ग्रो[मख्व] क्षुकवीरण क्रलदोपे[ $\pi$ ] धीमता। स-
2 यमुक्षकित स्तभो यग[:"]स्त[क्ष] खोबत: ॥
No. IV.
TEXT. ${ }^{1}$
1 बी[1*] वसकी काकलीगीतं मरबन्र्रय मासती ॥
2 विनीता खी सतां गोही काकुकस्य 'पृयाणि षट् [ [ $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ "]



No. 39.-SARANGARH COPPER PLATES OF MAHA-SUDEVA.

By Hira Lal, B.A., Nagutr.

Sârangarh is the capital of a feudatory State of the same name in the Chhattisgarh division of the Central Provinces, 32 miles sonth of Raigarh, the capital of another State and a station on the Bengal-Nagpar Railway. The ohiefs of these two States are Raj-Gópds. The plates in question are in the possession of the Raj family and first came to my notice in the beginning of the year 1903 at my last visit to Safrangarh. As they were looked up and the keys were not available at the time, the then Saporintendent of the State, Rai Sahib Alsm Chand, promised to $s$ nd them to :ne when I anked for them, buit my reversion to the execative duties before I conld return to head-quarters, followed by Pandit Alam Chand's retirement from service, left them where they were, until the present Saperintendent, Manshî Akbar Khân, took sactive steps in the matter at the instance of Rai Bahadur PandA Baijnath, B.A., Diwân of the Bastar State, and aent them on to me on the 7th January 1908. Thus the recovery of the plates first discovered over forty years ago is as mach due to the interest of the above gentlemen as to the readiness of Raja Jawahar Singh to lend them for examination.

The exaot date and the details of the first discovery are not now forthooming, bat the plates are maid to have reached the Bengal Asintic Society on the 7th December 1864. ${ }^{6}$ Dr. Rajendra Lál Mitra published them in that Society's Journal in 1866, where he stated that they were presented to the Society by Lientensint G. Bowie of the Sambalpar Police Corps, but when Dr. Fleet wrote his Gapta insoriptions about 1888, and searohed for the plates, he could not

[^234]find thern. He then recorded that as the published version was not sufficiently reliable to be reproduced he was unable to inolude this insoription in his volume. ${ }^{1}$

The fact appears to be that the plates were never presented to the Society. They were simply lent by and finally returned to the owner, the Sarrangarh family, whose State was in those days inoluded in the Sambalpur distriot, now transferred to Bengal.

These facts combined with the absence of a faosimile oopy of the record in Dr. Rajêndra Lal's notioe, together with oertain misreadings of the text, afford, I venture to think, sufficient reasons for re-editing this inscription.

There are two copper plates, each measuring $6 \frac{1}{2} \times 3 \frac{1}{2}$, and the weight of the two together is 12 ozs, $5 \frac{1}{2}$ drs. Abont $l^{\prime \prime}$ from the proper right margin each plate has a hole, roundish on one side and squarish on the other, the diameter being aboat $\boldsymbol{T}^{7}{ }^{7}{ }^{4}$. These were intended for stringing the plates on the ring, the loss of whioh has deprived our inscription of its last portion, whioh must have been engraved on a third plate. The lost plate must have contained about 5 or 6 lines ${ }^{8}$ which can almost be restored from other insoriptions of the same king, and of Mahâ-Jayaraja, all of whioh are composed in exactly the same wordings, the names of villages granted and the donees being of course different. In our inscription only some of the imprecatory verses are lost as also the date at the end, which of course cannot be restored. Judging from other insoriptions of this king the date mast have been in regnal yeare, so that it could not have been of much help beyond fixing the priority or otherwise of our insoription as compared with others.

The plates recovered are in an excellent state of preservation. One is inscribed on one side and the other on both in charscters of the box-headed variety of the Central Indian alphabet. The letters are very neatly and well formed, their average size being about $x^{\prime \prime}$. The aocompanying plate gives a facsimile oopy, from impressions kindly taken for me by Mr. T. G. Green, Saperintendent of the Government Press, Nagpar.

The language is Sanskrit prose except the naual impreoatory verses, here attribnted to Vyâsa. As regards orthography, there is very little to be notioed beyond what has been already done by Dr. Konow with regard to another inscription of the same king recently found at Khariar. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ As the composition is almost identioal, the peouliarities are common to both. The upadhmáníya occurs in line 3 in-pradahrparama-. The same sign, vis, 2 dote, has been used for visarga and a pause. Ordinarily mdtrds for $H, \tau i$ and $\eta i$ alone are attached at the foot of letters, but in this inscription there is a ourious example in line 12 where the sign for $\delta$ in anumôditah is partly exhibited by a top and partly by a foot stroke, all other $\delta$ 's being


The inscription was isaned from the town of Sarabhapura and reoords the grant of a village named Chullandaraks situated in the bhukti or subdivision of Tundaraka, by the Queen and the royal family of Raja Mahá-Suderva and assented to by him, to a number of learned priests, vir. Bhâskarasvami, Prabhakarasvâmi, Barbbarispâmi, Bóţavîmi, Dattasvîmi, Vishṇusvami, Phalgasvimi, SvamikirtisvAmi and Earkearasvami, all of the Kausika gôtra. One of these, Vishpusvâmi, is apparently identioal with the donee of the Khariar plates. He also belonged to the Kautika gotra and received a village in the Khariar zamindâri from this king. Neither these two nor the third oharter of this king, whioh was obtained from Raipur, throw any light on the dynasty to which he belonged or on

[^235]
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his date. Dr. Konow has conjectured that the Śarabhapura kings might have been Râshṭrakûṭas. They were ascendant in the Central Provinces about the 8th century to which period the characters of our insoription belong. But Dr. Konow, for reasons pointed ont by him, regards the identification as yet very doubtfal.

Of the geographical names occurring in the inseription Sarabhapura, which recurs in the other two grants of Mahâ-Sudêra, and in the Ârang plates of Mahâ-Jayaraja, has not yet been identified. I identify Tunḍaraka with the present TTAnḍrâ, about 6 miles south of Soorf Nâráan on the Mabdnadi and about 35 miles west of Sârangarh. It is now included in the Baldda Bazar tahasil of the Raipar diatrict. The village Chullandaraka must have been somewhere olose to Tandra, but I am at present unable to trace it. If it oxista we wonld now find the name in a form like Chnlândur, a oharacteristic Chattisgarhî name, some similar ones which I remember being Machândur, Palândur, Kachândur, eto. It appears to me that another village granted by Mahâ-Sudêva in his Raipar charter was not very far away from Tandra. It is called Srisâhika, ${ }^{1}$ which I take to be the prosent SirsAhí, slso inoluded in the Bal8dâ Bazêr taḥ̣il, and situated about 25 miles south-west of Fundra. MahA-Jayaraja of the Ârang plates, who belonged to the same dynasty, also seems to have granted a village in the same part of wis kingdom, viz. Pamvé, ${ }^{9}$ which I identify with PAmgarh, ${ }^{8}$ abont 21 miles north of Ṭ̂nḍrâ and included in the Jânjgir tabgll of the Bilaspar district. Pamps and Srisabikâ are stated to be inoluded in the Partardshira or Eastern country, and we know from the Khariar plates that to the sonth the kingdom extended at least up to Khariar. This leads to the inference that the territories of Mahd-Sudêva included a large portion of Mahâ-K:osala, or roughly speaking Chattisgayh division.

## THEXT.4

First Plate.

2 selpâmbu-dhôta ${ }^{6}$-padayugald ripu-vilasini-simant-dddharana-bêtur=vvasu-

4 va-rßjah Tunçaraka-bhaktifya-Chullandaraké prativâsi-knṭumbinasasa-
 ankha-
 Becond Plate; First Side.



10 PrabhakararvAmi-BarbbarisvAmi-BAțarvimi-DattasvAmi-Vishqụsvâmi-



[^236]Second Plate; Second Side.

|  | ṇa-vidhêyâ prativa | bhatys <br> atha [II*] | thoshitand |  | bhógs-bhagammupanayantasesukha[m |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bhavishyat | =cha bhami | mipdn=anudarsayati \| |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { râpâmíh } \\ & \text { dvijây } \end{aligned}$ | dharmmêsh suvi- |  | hiyab | adanti |  | mami \|| (1) |  |
|  |  | atâya dbhi- | dattain | bhavam | bhavatu | vo | matir=êva |  |




## TRANSLATION.

Om! Hail! From Sarabhapara. The illastrious Maha-Sudeva Raja, whose two feet are washed by the water which is the flowing forth of the lastre from the orest jewels in the tiaras of the chiefs who have been subjugated by (his) proweas; who is the carase for the doing anay with the parting of the hair of the women of his enemien ; who is the giver of riches, of land and of cows; who is a devout worshipper of the Bhagavat; who meditaten on the feet of his mother and father-issues a command to the houmoholders living in Chullandaraks in the territorial sub-division (bhukti) ${ }^{6}$ of Trupdarakica:-

Be it known to you that this village, the source (by this grant of it) of (our) ensoring the happiness of the sbode of (Indra), the lord of the gods - Which has been convered by a copper charter acoompanied with (pouring) of water, by the Royal Consort ${ }^{7}$ and the Rogal Family to Bháskarasvani, who knows the three thoumand ${ }^{8}$ (verses P) Prabbakarasvimi,
 (and) Sankarasvami (all) of the Kantika gotra, to be onjoyed an long as the world enduret, having the terrible darkness dispelled by the rays of the Sun, the Moon and the Stars, together with its treasures and deposits, not to be entored by the distriot offcer ${ }^{10}$ and soldiers ; (and), freo

[^237]from all taxes, -has been sanctioned by ns, for the increase of the religions merit of (our) parents snd of ourself. Being aware of this you should be obedient to their commands, and should dwell in happiness rendering in proper manner (their) share of the enjoyment.

And he enjoins upon fatare kings.-The ancients whose minds are fixed apon religion say that the virtue that arises from the preservation (of a grant) is greater than (that which arises from making a grant) ; therefore your mind should verily incline to preserve land that has been given to a Brahman of very pure family and holy learning. Therefore this gift should be preserved by you also.

And they oite on this point the verses that were sung by Vyasa:-Gold is the first ollspring of fire; the earith belongs to the God Vishopa and (cows are) the danghters of the sun : (therefore the three worlds are given by him who gives gold, and a oow and land). ${ }^{1}$

## No. 40.-PATIAKELLA GRANT OF. MAHARAJA SIVARAJA [GUPTA] SAMVAT 283.

By R. D. Banerjy.

This insoription, whioh is edited here for the first time, was disoovered several years ago by a peasant in a cornfield in the zamindArí of Patiakkellâ in the district of Cuttack in Orissa. It is the property of an Ofiyâ Brâhmaṇ, who, I hear, regularly worships it. The Râja of Paṭiâkellâ made it over to Babu Nagêndra Natha Vasu, the Honorary Archmological Surveyor to the Mayđrbhañja Eistate. Nagêndra Baba made it over to me some six or seven months ago for decipherment.

The insoription is engraved on a single plate of copper, measuring $7 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ by $2 \underline{4}^{\prime \prime}$. To the left there is an oval projection, $1 \frac{l^{4}}{4}$ long, to which a lump of brass or bell metal is attached. On the top of this lump there is an oval oavity, showing traces of the seal. But no letters or symbols are discernible at present. Both sides of the plate are insoribed. Altogether there are eighteen lines of writing in this grant. The writing was fairly well-executed, but its preservation is not very good. One corner of the plate is missing and has carried away portions of the dates with it. Fortunately the date can be made out correctly from the rortions still remaining. In this grant the date was given twice. First of all we read in the second line tryadhikdsíttyuttara . . . and secondly at the end of the eighteenth line Samvat 200 . . Thus tens and handreds are all fairly certain. I am indebted to Dr. Konow for the reading of the symbol for two hundred.

The characters belong to the northern class of alphabets and are in every respect similar to
 inscription is dated in the [Harsha] year 30 ( 635 A.D.). ${ }^{9}$. The peculiarities of the charsoters of our grant are as follows :-
(a) Among the ligatures the only noticeable feature is to be found in the $\hat{a}$ mark, which is expressed in two different ways while attached to the same letter na. Cf.

[^238](b) It is interesting to noto that this Cattack grant shows a greater affinity to the Muṇ̂ésvarí inscription than does tho Gañjam grant of Sasâinka, ${ }^{1}$ while the latter shows a marked affinity to the Bodh GayA inscription of Mahânaman of the Gupta year 269.8 Thas tho $y a$ in the Bodh Gaya ingcription and the Gaijám plate is bipartite, while in the Mapdêévari inscription and the prosent grant it is of the neual early Gapta type, i.e. tripartite. Similarly the lingual sha in oar grant and the Mandésvarí inscription shows a carsivo base line anlike the acate angle type of the Bôdh Gaya and Ganjâm inscriptions. This form of gha is also to bo found in the Nepal inscription of the year 316. ${ }^{8}$
(c) The presence of the acute angle is noticosble only in the dental sa and ma, as is also the case in the Muṇdesvarî inscription. But some letters show a well-defned acute angle at their lower extremities in alternative cases; cf. the dha in -harddhigama- (1.5) with that in -didhiti- in 1.3 , and vrihadbhógikddhikarandnya in 1.7.
(d) The characters of our grant differ from those of the Mundévarí insoription in so far as the lingual $n a$ in the latter is oxactly similar to the na of the early Gupta type, while the $n a$ in our grant has larger apace betreen the right and left curves.
(e) The characters of this grant show a greater affinity to the G8lmadhitol inscription of the Gupta year 316 than to the contemporary Nepal incription. The paleography of the epoch beginning with the last half of the 6 th and ending with the first half of the 7th contary A.D. can nowhere be atudied with greater advantage than in Nepal. The inscriptions of the Harsha year 84, the Gupta year 316, the Harsha years 39 and 45 show very olcarly the ohange which came over later Gupte characters in the last half of the 6th century and the 50 years following that. Thus the Golmadhitoll inscription of the year 316 shown in its oharaoters very little departure from those of the Mandaeor inscription of Yadidharman. ${ }^{4}$ The Patan inscription of the year $34^{5}$ exhibite a further stop onwards, sa it is more allied to the Gañjam grant of Eafâtika than our grant or the Golmasqhitol insoription. The next insoription, that of the Harchas year $899^{\circ}$ and the short record of the year 45 of the, Bame era, are inacribed in characterw which are very manch alkin to the Bodh Gayâ inger:iption of Mabanâman and the Madhaban and Banalchêra granta of Harshavardhans.
( $f$ ) The letters $d a$ and $t a$ resemble each othor very olosely. Thut, vrihadbhogikddhikarandny=, 1. 7, looks like vrihafbhogikddhikarandnym.
(g) There is little difference between wa and oha. Thus, "ehala-tarangan, 1. 1, looks like -vala-tarangan, whilo Sivardjak, 1. 5, looks like Siohardjak.
As regards orthography two or three departurem are notioeable, such as amaingwra-, 1. 1, -vaìsa- and -disitty-, 1. 2, gêhattó, 1. 8.

The object of the insoription is to recond a grant mado by fendatory ohief named Sivaraje to a number of Brahmspe during the reign of his maverain Saceguymy yna of nouthern T6sall. Saggayayyana is styled Paramamdh\&ivara $\rightarrow$ Paramabhatfdraka-Paramaddvatddhidaivata, which clearly shows his imperial porition. The title of the maresmin and the name of the

[^239]I'itickella Plate of Sivaraja-[Gupta] Samvat [283].



Patiakella Plate of Sivaraja.-[Gupta] Samvat [283].

donor points to Śaivism, but the names of several donees such as Vishṇusvâmi, Rôvatisvâmi, G̛opâlasvâmi, etc., show a Vaishp̣ava taint. The document was issued from Vôrttanôka; which was the Imperial oapital (1. 4) as well as the residence of the fendatory chief (1.8). The grant consisted in the village Taṇdralvalu. It was given to several Brâhmaṇs belonging to separate gôtras and "charanas. The dato has already been discussed. There can be little doubt about the fact that it is a Grapta year. Thus our grant was incised after the BJdh Gaya inscription and before tho Gaũjâm grant, the Nepal inscription of the year 316, and the Muṇ̂êfrarí inscription. The second line mentions the kings of the Mâna race, Mânavañ́sardjyakille. This dynasty has been mentioned. in two 12th century insoriptions only, viz. the Nawâda insoription of the Saka year 1059, ${ }^{1}$ which has been recently purchased by the Arohæologioal Survey and brought to the Indian Museum, and in the Dudhpani rock inscription. ${ }^{8}$

Iam afraid it is beyond my power to identify the geographical position of the village mentioned in the Patiakella grant. Neither the vishaya nor the bhultiti or the mandala has been mentioned in the grant, but in lines 5-6, we find asminn=êva vishayê, which probably indicates that the name of the vishaya was also Vôrttanôka. ${ }^{3}$ I now edit the insoription from the original plate :-m

## TEXT.

## First Side.

1 Ón ${ }^{5}$ svasti [ ["*] salila-nidhi-vêla-vala[yita-cha]la-tarang-âbharaqa-ruohira-mangara
 utta[ra] . . .
3 Maudgal-Amala-kulê gaganantala-Gitadîdhiti-nivâtê sita-charitê Paramamâhếs̃vra-6ri-Sagguyayyans ${ }^{5}$
 raka-charapamkamal-amalar-kshau-
5 nị ${ }^{7}$-hâr-Adhigama-pratihata(h)-kaliyug-âgata-durita-nichayah (yô) mahârâjar Eivarajah kus̊li asminn=Ava
6 vishayê samupagat-âbhavishyat-sâmanta-râja-râjasthânîy-ôparika-kamâràmâtya-tadiyuktaka-mahAmahattara-
 viditama $[$ stu $]$ bhavatarn $y a[t h=\hat{1}]-$
 gé(?)]hattómamabhih mâtâpittrôrmâmanafocha puny-Â-
9 bhivriddhayy Balila-dhârâ-pûrvvakên=âchandr-ârka-sama-kâliy-âkshayanivi(nivî)dharmmêna ${ }^{10}$ nanding oftra-

\footnotetext{
${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. IL., p, 888.
${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol. II., p. 346.
${ }^{2}$ [The locality cannot be far from Tosalt, which we know from A $68 \mathrm{ka}{ }^{2}$. Dhanli edicts, and which must be located in the noighbourhood of Dhauli. It eeems more likely to explain asminnavoa vishayd as Thall-vishay than as Vorttanokawtshayd.-S. K.]

- [It has proved imposesble to get good impressions of the plate. The subjoined reproductions are the best that could be obtained.--S. K.]
${ }^{5}$ Expressed by a aymbol.
${ }^{6}$ [I am unable to see Saggmayyand, but I cannot suggest a satisfactory reading; I think I see Śambinya*

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 [I read-dmala-frtni-,-8. K.] |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Second Side.

10 charaṇ̂̂bhya Anuruddhasvâmi-Gômidêvarvâmi-Sarasvâmi-Vôppasvâmi-Pitrisvâmi-
11 Haruñgasvâmịi-Chandrasvâmi-Bhadrasvâmi-Chhêdisvâmi-Pushyasvâmai-. .karas vâmi-Rôhiṇ̣. svami-
$\forall \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Bu})$ didharvími-Mahâbênasvami-Vishṇurvâmi-Yadusvâmi-Mâtraḍasvâmi-Nâgasvâmi-Bhôgasvâmi-
Ana[nta P]svâmi-Prabhâkarasvâmi-Nâva . .rasvAmi-Dipiavami-Jam[va]svâmi-Gômisvâmi-Valasvâmi-
Jyêßhṭhasvâmi-Adarsanadêva-Dhanadêva-Kumararvâmi-Jyêhṭhasvâmi-R ôv a tia vâmi. Prâya(?)svâmi-
15 Pushyasvâmi-Chhôḍisvâmi-VappasvAmi-SravasvAmi-GópAlasvAmi-Gomisvàmi-ôb h yas. timpa-
16 -patțí-kritya sampradattah [1*] Pârvvarajakritô dharmm[8]]nnapalanîyaiti(ya iti) matvA bhavadbhih $\left[I^{*}\right]$ Dharmmasástrêshv=api sruyate [ $\left.\mathrm{I}^{*}\right]$
$17 \mathrm{Va}(\mathrm{ba})$ hubhir=rvasudhê dattà ràjabhih -fagaradibhị [1*] yasya yasya yadà bhûmis=tagya tasya [tadâ phalam] [1]*] [Shashtimim varsha-
18 saharrâni svarggê tishṭhati bhumidah [1"] Alrshêptâ chumaumantá oha tany= Êra narakê vabêt [II"] Samvat 200

## TRANSLATION.

In the [two hundred] and eighty-third year of the rule of the mana family on the earth, full of cities, which has the shores of the ocean as its bracelet, the moving waves as its trinkets and the radiant mangura fishes as its . . . In the spotless family of Mudgala, when the graat worshipper of Mahêsvara (Giva), the illastrions Saggayayyana, whose oharaoter was white and who was undisturbed like the moon in the sky, was raling in southern Tôsall, Mahdraja Sivaraja, whom the aocumulation of sins coald not approsoh on account of his obtaining from the lotus-like feet of the Paramabhattearaka, the God of Gods, the spotless position of a ruler of the earth, being in good health, from Vorttanska honors all present and fature feudatory ohiefs, Rajasthdnîyas, Uparikas, officers of the heir-spparent, Thaddyuktakas, great nobles, tax-oollectors and other dependants of the king in this vishaya in due form and proolaims:-"Be it known to you that the village Tançpalvalu, belonging to this vishaya, from (the residential house at?) Vorttan 8 ka , for the inorease of the merit of my father and mother and myself, after having poured out water, to last as long as the Sun and the Moon subsists, everlastingly, as a permanent endowment, is given by writing on copper plates to Anuraddhasvami, Gômidêvasvâmi, Ǵârasvâmi, V 亿ppasvami, Pitrisvâmi, HarutgasvÅmi, Chandrasvâmi, Bhadrasvâmi, Chhêdisvàmi, Pushyasvami, .karasv̊́mi, Róhipisvâmi, Vu(Bu)ddhasvami,
 svâmi, Prabhâkarasvâmi, Nâvarasvâmi, Dîpisvami, Jam(ra)svâmi, Gômisvâmi, Valasvâmi, Jyêßhṭhasvàmi, Adarłanadêva, Dhaņdêva, Knmarasvami, Jyếshthasvami, Rêvatisvami, Prâyasvami, Pashyasvâmi, Ohhêdisvâmi, Vappasvâmi, Śravasvìmi, Gôpalasvami, Gômisvâmi, belonging to various getras and oharapas. A law laid down by former kings shonld be observed, thinking so (your should observe my gift). It is heard in the laws (two of the ordinary benedictory verses follow). Samvat 200.

[^240]Mundesvari inscription of the time of Udayasena. - [Harsha] Samvat 30.


# No. 4l.- MUNDESVARI INSCRIPTION OF UDAYASENA. THE [HARSHA] YEAR 30. 

Bt R. D. Banerit.

This inscription was discovered among the debris which had aocumnlated argund the tomple of Munḍ̂̀svarî in the Bhâbuŝ subdivision of the Shâhabâd district. ${ }^{1}$ It seems that sometime after the incision of the inscription some ignorant person sawed it lengthwise in halves, The two halves of the insoription were discovered and brought to the Indian Museam at different dates. The second half seems to have been discovered by the late Babn Parna Chandra Mukhârji so far back as 1891-92. The first half, which is the more important part of the inacription, as it contains the date, was discovered in $1902 .{ }^{2}$ Impressions on tin foils were then sent to Dr. Bloch. The insoription was finally brought to the Indian Museum in the beginning of 1904. The two halves have now been joined together and placed on a masonry pedestal ia the Inscription gallery of the Musoum.

The stone measures $2^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ by $l^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$ and oontains eighteen lines of well-executed writing. 1 is the first half of the insoription the first fifteen lines are olear, but the sixteenth line has been much damaged and the seventeenth and eighteenth lines have been lost altogether. With the exception of the last two lines, which contain one of the usmal imprecatory verses, the whole of the inscription is in prose.

In a previous paper I have fully discassed the palmography of this inscription. ${ }^{3}$ The only orthographical peonliaritios are the substitution of $b a$ for $v a$ in sambatsara, and the use of $\bar{n}$ instead of $\dot{m}$ buforos. Letters with a supersoribed $r$ epha have been donbled. Final forms of $m$ are to be found in 11. 2, 4 and 18, and of $t$ in 1. 15. The sign of avagraha has not boen used at all, though it would have been in its place in 11.14 and 18. Note also the form kôritakuii inl. 6 .

The insoription records a grant of two prasthas of rice and a pala of oil to the god Mandaltifoara by a kulapatit named Bhagudalana. It is dated in the year 30 in the reign of the Manásámanta, Mahipratihuira, Mahíajja Udayasêna, who is not known from other soarces. Judging from the affinity of the characters of this insoription with those of the years 34 and 39 from Nepal, the era is most probably that established by Harshaverdhana. The matilation of the central portion of the ingcription by sawing the stone into two halves has cansed a series of gaps. Some of these can be filled $n \mathrm{p}$, bat lines 11 and 15 are quite unintelligible. I now odit the insoription from the original stone.

## TEXT.



3 Mahâpratîhâra-Mahârajj-[Ôds]yasêns-râjyê kulapati-Bhâgudalana- 7
4 sasa dêvanik̂̂yam dap̣̣a[nâ]yaka-G3mibhaţêna prârtthayitvâ
5 mêtâpittrôr=âtmanas̆meha pu[nyâ]bhivriddhayê Vinîtê̂vara-maṭhasamâ-


[^241]7 srî-Maṇ̣alâêsvara-svâmi-[pâdâ]ya kôshṭhikatah â-chandr-Ârkke-samakâlŷyam=akshayaḿn prati[dinami] nsivêdyârttham taņ̣ula-prastha-dvayam dîpa-taila-palasya ch=ô[pani]bandhah karitah sríManḍalếvara-svâmi-pâdânâḿ viohchhi[tti-vi]srântartantra-sâdhârapaṃ́m pañchấsatàm dînârâpâḿm gôba . . . ja-bhaktâdy-apakaranânini ${ }^{1}$ dêvanikâyasys datta $\left[\mathrm{m}^{9}=\hat{=}\right.$ tadeê]vań viditva yathAks̊l-åhyy [sibhi]r=âpôvanikair ${ }^{3}=\nabla v a ̂$ ya[thâni]baddhasya vighâts na $k A[r y a]$
êvam=abhierrâvitô yó[=nyathâ] kuryât=sa mahâpâtakaisusa[ha]
[nara]kê vasêt êvam . . . vadhârapayt madhya

- . . . bhaka . . . tam=iti \| Ukta[ña cha]
. . . . . . . yatn\&daraksha Yudhishṭhira
18 . . . . . . . danåoh=ohhrêyo̊mapalanam.


## TRANSLATION.

Hail. In the year 30, on the twenty-second day of Kartika, on the above-mentioned year, month and day, in the reign of the great fendatory, the Mahipratihadra, the Maharaja [Uda]yasêna, the kulapati Bhagudalana, having appliod to the Connoil of gods (PBrahmapas) through the dandandyaka Gômibhaṭa bailt this matha of the dévakula of Narayana, to increase the merit of his father and mother and of his own self, near the temple of Vinitésfara, For the lord Mandalếfvara provision has beon mado overy day, as long as the Sun and the Moon last, everlastingly, to provide two prasthas of rice for the votive offering and a pala of oil for the lamp from the treasury. Fifty dimúras current ap to the frontier
of the Lord Mandalésvara- . . . . . with rice and other ingredients. Knowing it to be a gift of the Conncil of gods, the merchants who trade on the waters(?) and who arrive at the proper time ${ }^{4}$ shonld not hinder this arrangement. Having heard this, whoever aots to the contrary shall live in hell with great sins . . . . $0!$ Yudhishṭhira, preserve with care - . . . . . . preservation is preferablo to gifte.

## No. 42.-INSORIPTION ON THE UMBRELLA STAFE OF THE BUDDHIST IMAGE FROM SAFET MAHET.

Bx T. Broon, Ph.D.
The stone bearing this insoription was discovered by Rakhal Das Benerji in April, 1908, in the Luoknow Maseum. As Dr. Vogel tells me, it is a red sandstone slab, $3^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$ high, $11 \frac{1 / s}{}$ wide, and $4 \frac{18}{4}$ " thiok. "It is broken off at the top, just where the cotagonal portion begins. Here the four corners are provided with an ornament in the Mathurd style. The lower portion of the slab is carved with a seated female figure, apparently unfiniahed. The back of the column is cut off straight." The photograph of the stone, supplied to me by Dr. Vogel, shows that this carving has been done at a later time, perhaps when the stone was intended to be used for some building. It has destroyed a good many letters in the second half of the insoription, while the first half has become almost entirely obliterated by sharpening knives on it.

However, enough remains to malke it absolutely olear that the inscription was identioal with the dedicatory epigraph on the podestal of the large standing Bodhisattva from Sahett

[^242]Buddhist inseription from Sel Mahet.


Scale

Mahett, which has been edited by me, above, Vol. VIII. pp. 180-181. This fact is of considerable interest. First it shows that I was right in explaining the word dîndasecha in line 2 of the Sahet Mahêt image inscription as "a staff for supporting the umbrella over the head of the Bodhisattva" (l. c. p. 180). Secondly, - and this is by far the most important point connected with this insoription,- wo now know for certain that the Sahêt Mahêt statue was found by Cunningham in situ, or, in other words, that no possible doubt cen be raised against the correctness of Cunningham's identification of Sahêt Mahêt with Śrêvesti. ${ }^{1}$ For sithough the records of the Lucknow Museum axe not as clear as one would have expected them to be in regard to the provonance of the stone, Dr. Vogel has pointed out to me some very conolusive evidence, which in my opinion makes it ccrtain that the stone was found by Dr. Hoey during his excavations at Sahêt Mahêt in 1885. The only possible doubt that could be, and has been, raised against tho bearing of the Sahêt Mahêt, now Calcutta Museum, statue upon the question of the identity of Sahct Malest with Srávastî, turned around this point: did Cunningham find the B8dhisattva image at Sahet Mahêt in its original position, or had it beon shifted to this place from somewhere else ? Strange though such a transportation would appear to ns primit facie, it still has been, as far as I know, an assumption that seemed to recommend itself to cortain scholers. I am afraid their position has now become definitely weakened by the discoveries above referred to.

Unfortanatoly no further holp is givon to us by the new insoription for restoring the missing words in the beginning of the inscription on the podestal of the Bôdhisattra statue in the Caloutta Museum. Ouly the two lutters vapu in the beginning of line 2 show that I was right in restoring the second word in the Calcutta inseription as devaputrasya, and further in ascribing the Caloutta statue to tho time of either Kanishka or Huvishka. However, this is a very small matter, for which hardly any addilional proof was required owing to the cocurrence of the name of the Tr'épitaka Bala, the donor of the Calcutta image, in a Mathurâ inscription of the year 33 of Huvishka. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

I now odit the insoription from impressions and a photograph, kindly sapplied to me by Dr. Vogel.

TEXT.
1.
2. vapu[trasya]
3. ............................................ [v]ihâr[i]-

5. sya [Balagya trêpita]kasya

7. GAvastiye [Bhagavats oham] ka[m]e Kôsamba-

9. [pa]r[i]gra[hi].
-NO. 43.- TWO BUDDHIST INSORIPTIONS FROM SARNATH.

By Sten Konow.

Daring the excavations in Sarmâth in February 1907, I found a fragment of a stone umbrella lying between the beses of two small brick stupas to the west of the main shrine exca-

[^243]vated by Mr. Oertel in 1905. On the inner cavity of the fragment a Brâhmí ingoription was eugraved in four lines, the fourth running along the rim. The inscription, which is complete, corers the whole inner surface of the fragment, and the umbrelia had therofore probably been 1 roken when it was engraved.

The fragment is $173^{3 \prime}$ long and $5 \frac{1}{3}^{\prime \prime}$ broad, and the nmbrells to which it belongs must have bnd a diameter of nearly six feat. The letters are deeply cut, and their height varies from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ inches.

The characters are Brâhmí of the second or third century A.D. The forms of $m a$ and $s a$ are anciont, and the same is the case with $y a$. The shape of individual letters is not, however, constant. Thas the na of imûni, 1. 1, differe from the na in gimini, 1. 4 ; the du in dulkcha in lines 2,3 and 4 has different shapes; the dha of niruthé, 1. 3, is angular, while it has been rounded in 1.4 etc . The form of the oompound $k k h a$ is of some interost, t'se $k / h a$ leing open at the kottom, In bhikkhave, 1. 1, however, the bottom line has apparently bura added hy mistako nuder the following vis. The two kkhas in 1.2 differ from each othor and on $t_{4} \sim$ phole, the form of this ligature is not the same in any two places.

The language is the Pali of Buddhist literatare, bat with several misspellings, and other mistakes. Thus in 1. 2, we find dikkhavd instead of bhikkhaved, and arityas ichchanin, 1.3 uriyayach. chain, and in 1.4 arisachcham, all instead of uriyasaohohain. Those slip, takn together with the uncertainty in the formation of the individual letters, onn only be accountod for by the sapposition that the insoription was out by an engravur who did not understand the orginal. The ocoasional introduction of the Sanskrit samdhi in-sumulaya arzyaya(sa)chcham, 1. 3, points in the same direction.

The inscription contains a short enumeration of the foar ariyannohohas, the fundamental doctrines of the Buddhas. These four truths form the assence of the fanous Benares sermon, and our inscription is accordingly very appropriste in the spot whore the Buddha first "turned the wheel of the law." In this connection it is of interest to note that the great majority of the stutues unearthed in Sârnath represent the Buddhe in the Dharmachakramudru, delivering his first sermon. The enumeration of the four noble traths or axioms is of the same conventional kind which is so common in Pali literature, and though I have not found the exact quotation, I do ues loubt that the passage out on the stone is meant as a quotation from the Canon. Our inscriptios, therefore, farnishes a valuable epigraphical proof for the existence of a Pali Canon in the encond or third century A.D. It is also of intereat as the first old Pali inscription fonnd $\therefore$ : North India. I here take the word Pali to maan the langaage of tho Southern Canon, the ouly use of the word which I consuder as jastatied.

## TEXT. ${ }^{1}$

1 Chatt[a]r=imâni bhikkhave ar[i*]yasachchani
2 kutamâni chhattari dukkha[mi] di(bhi)kkhavê arà (xi)yasachcha [m]
3 dukkhasamudaya ${ }^{3}$ ariyaya(sa) ohoharh dukkhanirodh $\hat{c}^{7}$ ariyasachchamin
4 dukkhanirùha-gâmini cha* patipads ari[ya*]sachoham

## TRATSLAATION.

Hour, ye monks, are the noble axioms. And which are these four $P$ The axiom (about) $\because$. ffering, ye monks; the axiom (about) the cause $o^{n}$ snffe $i \cdot$. the axiom (about) the soppres$\therefore$ m or anff ing, and the axiom (ab at) the ath leading to supprembion f fuffer'ng

```
1 Hom he rei,inal stone.
`'Tl: %tnue Ferliaps lag wirddha.
* Re. .9nM
```



Sarnath stone unbrella inseription.

Scale - $\bar{i}$


Another inscription written in Pali was discovered during the excarations carried on by Mr . Marshall and myself in the winter of 1908, on the back of a slab containing conventional represontations of scenes of tho [3addha's life. I publish it here from impressions prepared in my office. The writing covers a space of $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$, and the height of the individual letters is $\frac{2^{\prime \prime}}{2^{\prime}}-1^{\prime \prime}$.

The characters belong to the 4th, or, perhaps, the 3 rd century A.D. The tripartite $y a$ is almost identical with the $y a$ of the Allahabâd prasasti, while the $s a$ has the older form with a hook, and not with a loop. The date of the inseription is of interest for the history of Baddhist iconography.

The langange is mixcd Pali, the forms -prabhavad in line 1, and -śramanô, 1. 6, not belonging to the dialect. The insoription contains the common formala y $\hat{\hat{b}}$ dhammâ, etc.

TEXT.
1 Yê dhammâ hêtu-prabhavâ
2 tîsanin hêtum tathâga-
3 to avóchas tessam cha
4 yò nirơdtô ê-
5 van̉ vâdí mahâ-


## No. 44.-SPURIOUS LAPHA PLATE OF THE GAIHAYA KING PRITHVIDEVA. SAMVAT 806.

Br Hira Lal, B.A., Nagpur.

At the request of MIr. C. U. Wills, I.C.S., Zamindârî Settlement Officer, Bilâspur Dis. triet, I have examired a coppor plate in the possebsion of a Zamindar at Lâphâ, named Dahirâj Siagh, who is over sixty ycars of age and whom I had the pleasare to meet. He was good enough to lend it to me for taking an impression to accompany this note. He informed me that the plate was given to une of his ancestors, who first came from Delhi and took service at Ratanpur as one of the gato-keopers of the Ratanpur Fort and also as a gaard of the Râni's palace. He used to live in the Bhedimadâpârí, one of the quarters of Ratanpor town. This portion of the town was eventually given to him as a mícifi, and afterwards the present copper plate grant is said to have been given bestowing on him 120 villages belonging to the Lâphà Fort. The present Zamindari contains only 75 villages and the Zamindâr informed me that before Mr. Chisholm's settlement in the year 1868, there were only 60 villages in it.

The plate is rectangalar, measuring $9_{4}^{14} \times 4 \frac{5}{8}^{\prime \prime}$, having a smooth surface, inscribed on one side only. Thare are two amall holes on the top. The writing covers $7 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{3} \times 3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$, leaving ont the $S$ rit at the top.

The record consiste of 8 lines sarmounted by a prefatory one, the middle portion of which is spaced down, apparently for ornamental purposes. This line and the word sri at the top together with fri 5 at the commencement and subham=astu and the date in figares at the end are in prose. The rest is in verse, consisting of 5 anushtubh sldkas which are nambered, excopt the ast. There are altogether 206 letters including 9 figares.

The style of writing is Oriyf, and there are not less than 25 letters which are distinctly borrowed from the alphabet of that language. All the matrais or vowel signs have been marked according to what is in vogue in the presont Oriya writing. The letters $j a, d a$ and $v a$ have been invariably writton in tho Criy $\begin{gathered}\text { form. The language is Sanskrit with spelling mistakes. Thus }\end{gathered}$ in verse 2 we find starasimanta- instead of sûrasámanta-.

The inscription purports to reonrd the gift of 120 villages appertaining to the Lamphâ (Lâphâ) fort to a noble named Lungâ, who had come from Delhi, by the Haihaya king Prithvi. dêva, on the lst day of the dark fortnight of Magha in Samvat 80e. For what servioes the gift was mado and on what conditions, is not stated, but it was to be hereditary and it was given because the king's " mind was plessed with the Kauraviya" ${ }^{1}$ which apparently means that he was pleased with the Kawar tribe, to which the donce belonged, presumably for theirmili. tary services. What strikes one mostat the first glanoe is tho freehnoss of the metal, the clean cat and the modern charaoters, and this rousos suspicion. The intermixtare of Oriys letters is in itself suspicious. They might, however, bo old and indicaie that the plate is an old one. On looking for the date such an idea gots partially confirmed, bat the suspicion again revives as soon as wo learn from the Zaminnlâr that, since the grant was made, only 27 generations have supervened. The Zamindar thinks the date to be of the Vikrama era, so that the plate would be about 1,159 years old. This would give, on tho avorage, 43 years to a gene ration, which is absurd. A critical examination of the rocord affords as ensy an evidence of its being spurious. The charactors are in reality all modern, having boen taken from the Hindi and Oriyà alphabets. The inscriptional alphabet of the Cbhattingarh Haihayas has a peculiarity of its own, not casily describable, but whioh distinguishes it from the modorn alphabet. The most distinctive letters aro cha, ja, dhu, bha and sa, but in all instanoes whore those letters oocur in the present plate, they have no such distinctive featuros. The style of the recordis also modern. I have not come across any Haihaya inscription with a sri at the top, whioh modern writers usually put in. Again tho word sri Krishnachundra, whiob is apparently meant as an invocation, is a moderuism, similar phrases being tri-Rdma, iri-(fandia, eto. In all Haihaya insoriptions, the invocation is $O$ in namah Sivady, i.e. I bow down to Siva. The forger, who, I believe, had seen many of the Haihaya inseriptions, forgot the diatinotive Haihaya invooation owing to the story of Srî-Kyishpa being uppermost in his mind, and he thought that as Krishna was so well pleased with Mayûradhvaja, the supposed anceator of tho Haihayas, an invocation to that doity would be most appropriate. The noxt phrase, calling the record vijaya-lékha or the victorious writ, meaning royal record, is another novelty of the OfiyA type, in whioh, as in Dravidian langunges, the addition of vijaya or victory to every aot dono by a high personage is a matter of etiquette. A Rilja doos not go, he conquere vijaya karwehhanti, he does not eat, he conquers the kitchen, he does not answer the call of nature, he conquers the latrine, and so on. I bave not come aeross any other grant being distinguished as vijaya-lfkha. The next phrase rofers to a seal, whioh is nowhero to be fonnd. The prefatory phrases done, the record proper again begins with a modernism, vix. sri 5 . This reminds one of a Hindi letter-writer which was usod in sohools, some gears ago, in which there was a couplet to the effect that 6 sris shonld be recorded for a preceptor, 5 for a master, 4 for an enemy, 3 for a friend, 2 for a servant, and 1 for a wife or son. This mast have ooonured to the writer's mind, more particularly because he was, as I anppose, a sohool-master himsalf and was probably teaching the Pattrahitaishinit to his papils.

Now with regard to the date, the Vikrama year 800 or 749 A.D. is imposable. It goes back to a period when probably the Haihayas had not at all come to Ohhattifgarh. From inscriptions we have a date 1114 of Jajalladera ${ }^{8}$., who was fifth in dasoent from Kalingarija, the first Hailnya, who is said to have conquered Dakshipakbeala. Taking then the date of Kalingaràja to be 1000 A.D., the present grant would have been made by the Haihayas 250 years before they hegan to rule in Chasttisgarh. Even if we nuppose that it refers to the Kalachuri ora, it would be equivalent to 1054 A.D., i.e. almost oontomporaneons with the commencement of Haihaya rule. Prithvirsja was fourth in deeoent from Kalihgardja and was the father of Jajulladêva I. The date of this plate wonld place a difference of 60 years between

[^244][^245]Lapha spurious plate of Prithvideva.-Samvat 806.

father and son, if we sappose that both records were written in the first year of their reigns This is again improbable. These kings moreover had not then gone to Ratanpor.

On the whole, I come to the conclasion for reasons stated above that this grant to Lungà is a lungî affair and was perpetrated somewhere between 1860 and 1870 by a Sanskritist of Ratanpur, whom I do not dosire to name out of respect for his Sanskrit learning. I sappose 'chill pounry' inducod him to undertake a work which he would not have otherwise done. The record does not show any adequate carase for the grant being made, and it is noteworthy that exactly double the number of villages of those which the Zamîndârí contained before Mr. Chisholm's settlomont were recordod as granted by the Haihaya Raja. It may be noted that when this record was written the change of the tribal name of the donee or his descendants from Kawar to Tawar had not been mooted or at least had not been seriously taken up, otherwise we should not have had the phrase Kauraviya-prasannadhith in verse 3. There is a family genoalogy of the Lâphâ Zamindar, written in the year 1927 or 1870 A.D., which sbowx that the tribal name had thon beern changed to Tawar.

TEXT.

## Sri.

Érî Krishụachandra vijayalêkhâ


## TRANSLATION.

Sri.
The illustrious Kriehpachandra.
The Victorious writ.

## The illastrious writer's regular seal.

## Srí 5. Hail.

(Verse 1.) The illnstrious Maharajadhiraja Prithvidêva, the king of many countrien (is) very intelligent. (His) lotus feet are shining with the diadems (of kinga prostrating before him).
(V. 2.) (He is as it were) the san amongst the descendants of the Haihayas and is eerved by his brave feadatories. His army is full of very extraordinary soldiers. He is the destroyer of his enemios.

[^246](V. 3-4.) His mind being pleased with the Kanariyas ${ }^{1}$ (Kawara) ho gave to the very brave noble named Lunga, who had come from Delh1, 120 villages with the Lamphê fort for maintenance from generation to generation, on the frst day of the dark fortnight of the Magha month in the expired year (symbolically exprussol by) navour (8) sky (0) and eight (8).

F'ature kings shonld always respect my gift written on the copper $y^{\text {late }}$ hy Mâdhava Sûri. Let good fortune attend. The first day of the dark fortnight of Magha in the year 808.

## No. 45.- ABHONA PLATES OF SANKARAGANA. KAYACHITRI SAMVAT 347.

By K. B. Pateak, Profrbsor or Sanberit, Dfecan Cohirge, Poona.
These two plates belong to a Rajput family residing at Âbhậ̣a, a village in the Kolavana tâluka of the Nâsik district. I obtained them on loan through my friond Mr. N. C. Kelkar They are inscribed on one side only, and measure $9{ }^{3 /} \times 7^{\prime \prime}$. The writing is carefully engraved und is in a good state of preservation.

The oharacters belong to a variety of the southern alphaict which is well-known from the Sarsavui plates of Buddhardja edited by Dr. Kiolhora in thin Journal, Vol. VI, pp. $29 t$ and ff. They inclnde numerical symbuls for $300,40,7,10$ and 5 in line 34 . The language of the insoription is Sanskrit, and with the exception of five improsetory and benedictive versio in lines $28-33$, the text is in prose.

The insoription is one of Sankaragana, the son of Kifisharaja, of the family of the Katachoharis. It records an order of Gankaragana, isnuux from hin camp at Ujjayint, to the effeot that he granted a hundred nivarttancas of land in tha villag, of Vallisika situated in the district of Bhogavarddhans to a Brahmapa namod Ahmaunsvitnin, of the Gantams yifrn, belonging to the Taittiriya dûkht, who was a resident of Kallavana, at the request of Gôgga. The insoription is dated, in words and numerical mymbly, oa the 18 th day of the bright half of Sravana of the year 847,' which mast bs refurcel to the Kalachnui era, so that the date corresponds to the 27th July A.D. 598.

The Kataohchûri Saikaragapa is identical with the fatber of Buddhartija, who issoed the Sarsavni grant. The wording of the two insoripuions is practianlly identical, with the exception of the portion refercing to the grante themselves. Thore is, of owarw, nothing in our inscription to correspond to lines 14-17 of the Baraspol platos, which refer to Buddharsja.

- Of the localities occarring in our insoription, Kallavana is the madern Kalavana in the Nâsik district. Vallisika and Bhögavardhang I cannot identify.

In line 20 of the present inseription we And the toohnical expression a-chata-bhata prâvésyam, which so frequently ccours in other insoriptiong, and which has usually been rendered "not to be entered by irregular and regular soldiers." I invite the attention of Sanskrit scholars to the following passage, in whioh faikarkchirga uses it, -
tasmatt tâkika-chdika-bhafa-raj-dpravóyam abhayam durgam idam alpabuddhy-agamyaim sâstra-guru-prasdda-rahitait oha. Anandujánam gives the following explanation,-
 iti 1 pramdna-tirddh-äbhivoas tach-ahhabd-arthuh 1 aryanuaryddâh bhindch

[^247]Ảbhôṇ̂ Plates of Sankaragana.-[Kalachuri] Samvat 247.



 yitut.

TEXT.
First Plate; Sermul Sille.
1 ज्र $^{1}$ स्वस्ति[॥*] विजयस्क न्वावारादुज्जयनीवासकाच्छरदुपग्रम्रसन्नगगनतलविमलविपुल
 सित्य-
3 नुपासन परे महोदधाविव कटशूरीएामन्वये सकलजनमनोह्दरया चन्द्रिकयेव कीर्ल्या

亏. वनलच्कीविभोधनखन्ट्रमा ग्रीक्षष्याराजो यद्संग्रयविश्शेषलोभादिव सकले-राभिगामिके-
6 गितरैख्य गुणेखपंतः रूचिरवं-

8 मरं विचग्ता वर्ताभय खावनमिता दिशो यस्य च शस्तमापव्नन्रायाय विग्रछ X परा-
9 भिमानभञ्रण्य शिचितं विनयाय विमत्र्ज्लं एदानटग्र प्रदानं ษर्म्माय धर्म्म: श्रेयोवा-
10 मये तस्य पुच: पथिव्यामपतिरधचतुरुदिम ललाखुादितयशा धनदवरकणन्द्रा. त्तक-



14 ना मृर्पतिंगानां प्रतिषापर्यतात्युक्द्रितानामुन्मूलयिता दीणाय-

## छ्वपएसमभिस-

15 वितमनोरथाधिकनिका फमस्रद्रद: पूर्ब्वापरषसुद्राक्तादिदेशखामी मातापितृ-
16 पादानुध्यात: परममाषेखर: यो मह्धरगय: सर्ब्वानेव राणसामस्तभोगिथविषय17 पतिराद्र्र्याममछसराधिकारि कादी ग्समाश्शापयत्यक्तु वो विदितमछ्माभि:

18 भोगवर्ष्डनविषयान्तग्गंतवभिसिकाग्रामे उमयचत्वारिंशक्षनिवर्त्तनिना(नेन)
 20 च्छिद्रन्यायेनाचाटमटप्रावेश्यमाचन्द्राब्को स्गींवर्तितिस्यतिसमकासीनं पुच्नपौन्नान्वयभोग्यं
21 कहावनवास्तव्यगोतमसगोच्रतेत्तरीयसब्रक्नचारी भाछमग़्बनिमेने बलिचरुवैम्व. देवा-
 विज्ञापनया


25 गु गुणानाकलय्य ${ }^{1}$ भोगसासान्यभूमदानफल़ेर्सुभ: धश्रिकर्रचिवं चिराय यर्शय्यिचीष्षुमि-
20 र्यमस्मद्कायोनुमन्तव्य $\backslash$ पालयिसव्यक्ष रच्छिन्द्यादाच्छिद्य-
27 मानं वानुमोदेत स पघ्यभिर्म ₹ापातर्क संयुक्तस्तयाद्वत्युक्तघ्य भगवता बेद्यासेन व्यासेन [ $u^{*}$ ]
 तान्येव नरको वस्सत् ॥ विन्याट-
29 वीष्वतोयासु श्युष्ककोटरवासिन: [1*] धष्याष्षयो कि भायन्से भूमिदायं ६रम्ति ये 11 बहुकिर्वं-
30 सुधा भुक्ता राजभिस्मगरदिभि: [।*] यस्य यष्य यदा भूमिस्स तस्य तदा फलम् ॥ पूर्व्वंदत्तां
31 द्विजातिभ्यी यबाद्रच्व युषिष्टिर [1*] मर्षी मशेमतो स्रेष्ठ दामाँ्रेपेयोनुपासनमपिच ॥ यानीष
 मानि तानि को चाम सात्द्व:

 नेति 11 सं 80080 ग्रावया शु $8 \cdot 2$

## TRANSLATION. ${ }^{1}$

Line $1 . O \mathrm{~m}!$ Hail ! From the victorions camp pitched at छjjeyini. In the lineage of the Katachchoris, which, like the great ocean, is extensive and spotless as the firmament, clear on the approach of antumn, illumined by the mass of the rays of the excellences of many jowels of men (as the ocean is adorned by the rays of its gems); which is difficult to be crossed, becanse it is the home of men of great vigour (as the ocean is of large animals), fall of gravity and desirous of keeping within the bounds of what is proper (junt as the ocean is fall of depth and desirons of keeping within its shores), there was the glorions Krishnaraja, who illumined the world with his fame, as the moon with light, agreeable to all men; who was from his birth soleiy devoted to Paśpati (Siva), just as the moon solely rests on Sive; who (though) free from dofocts, yet like the moon (who has a spot) revives the beanty (prosperity) of his family resembling a forest of night lotases; who was resorted to by all virtnes which attract ${ }^{2}$ men to a king and by other qualities, as if throngh a desire to obtain a very saitable abode; who was endowed with all the constituent parts of royalty; who had properly enjoyed the fruits of his regal powers; who resplendent with his illustrious family, the flow of his liberality being ceaseless and the majesty of his power well-known, roaming fearlessly, sabdued the regions, as the chief in a herd of wild elephants, with the oeaseless flow of its rutting juice, displaying the greatness of its strongth, roaming about fearlessly breaks down rows of forests; whose sword was used to protect the helpless; whose wars were made to hamble the pride of his enemies; whose loarning aimed at modesty; who aoquired wealth to make gifts; who made gifts in order to acquire religious merit, and acquired religions merit in order to obtain final bliss.
(L. 10.) His son, tho glorioas Sankaragana, a great devotee of Siva, the lord of countries bounded by the eastern and western ocean, and other lands, who meditated on the feet of his parents; who had no rival in the world; whose fame was tasted by the waters of the four oceans; whose might was equal to that of Kabêra, Varuna, Indra and Yama; who acquired the fortune of great kings by the strength of his arm; to whom, by reason of the excess of his prowess, the circle of all feudatory kings bowed; who enjoyed religious merit, wealth and pleasure without allowing them to enoroach upon one another; whose profound and lofty mind was satisfied with mere sabmission; who performed religious acts by giving away, in charity, the immense wealth acquired by properly protecting his subjects; who re-instated families of kings who had long been dethroned; who uprooted those that were too prond; who granted to the afflicted, the blind and the poor, the object of their desires which exceeded their sanguine expectations,- issues this order to all kings, fendatories, bhôgikas, heads of provinces, districts, and villages, offcers and others:-

Be it known to you. For the inerease of the religious merit and fame of our parents and ourselves we have granted, at the request of Gôgga,s with pouring out of water, a handred nivarttanas of land,--by a nivarttana measuring forty on both sides,-in the village of Vallisika situated in the province of Bhôgavarddhana, together with all receipta, free from all ditya, forced labour, and prdtibhedikid, according to the maxim of bhamiohhidra, not to be encrosched apon by rogues and servants who toll lies, to be enjoyed by a succession of sons and sons' sons for as long as the moon, the sun, the ocean and the earth endure,-to Âhmanasvàmin, a resident of Kallavana belonging to the Gantama gôtra, and a stadent of the Taittirîya sAlhhd, for the maintenance of bali, charu, vaiśvadêva, agnihôtra and other rites,-wherefore future kings and governors, whether of our own family or others, reflecting that this world of living beings is as unstable as a wave of the waters of the ocean, moved by a strong gale, that

[^248]riches are perishable and unsubstantial，and that virtues endure for a long time，－wishing to participate in the fruit of a gift of land，which is an object of onjoyment by all，and desiring to accumulate fame as bright as the rays of the moon for a long time，should consent to this our gift of land and preserve it．Whoever，with his mind oovered by the veil of the darkness of ignorance should take it away，or allow it to be taken away，shall be guilty of the five great sins．And it is said by the venerable Vyasa，the arranger of the Vodas：－［Here follow five well－known imprecatory verses．］
（L．33）In three hundred years increased by forty－seven，on the fifteenth day of the bright half of Sravana，this odiot，the dutaka of which is the Mahîipilupati Pasupata， was written by the Mahüsandhivigrahadhikaraniadhikrita Vstohalin．Sam 300407 Sravaṇa sut 105.

No．46．－ARIGOM SARADA INSCRIPTION．

## LADKIKA SAMVAT 78.

## By Sten Konow．

Ârigôm is a village in the Nâgam pargana， $74^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ long．， $38^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ lat．，about 15 miles south． west of Śrinagar，as the crow flies．Dr．Stein，in his note on the Rrajaturuniyinit 1.340 ，identifes Ârigôm with Hâdigrâma，where Gôplditya is said to havo cestablished un agrahära，and states that some remains of temples were traced thure by Papdit KAss RAm in 1891．Hadigrama is further mentioned by Kalhana（VIII，672）as one of the strongholds of the D⿴囗十mara Prithvihara． In the troabled times following on the accession of Jayasimha in A．I）．1128，＂Hadigrima， where King Sussala and those of his side had lost their renown，was burned by Sajji，whose valour was mighty＂（VIII，1586），and the place is further mentioned in connexion with the incursion of king Lotthana during the same periud（VIII，2195）．

In June 1908，Pandit Makund Ram，who had with great courtesy beenn placod at my dis． posal by the Kashmir Darbar，was informed by a friend of the existence of an inscribod stone in the hoase of a Brâhmap in Ârigom，and at my request he went up to inspect it．According to information gathered by him on the spot，the stone in question was foand aboat twelve years ago in a piece of uncultivated land near the Masjid Malik Sahib by a cultivator，who was digging there，and sold to a Brahman for some corn．The Brshmap kept the stone fur some time and did puija to it．Bat people who saw it，told him that the writing probably contained information about hidden treasure，and that the stone therefore properly belonged to the Maharaja．He got frightened，and first hid the stone under the wall of his house，but lator on he threw it into a pit at the entrance of his gốâld and covered it up with oow－dang．Papdit Makund Ram further informs me that images，pedestals，stones and brioks are foand all ovor the placo，and it is pro－ bable that excavations would yield interesting results．

The stone mentioned above is square，measaring $20^{4}$ each way，and being $4 z^{\prime \prime}$ high．On the top is a raised circle，apparentily the base of an image．Ono of tho four faces of the stono is insoribed with five lines in sfrad今 letters．

The writing covers a space of $17 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ ，and tho height of the letters averagos $\frac{3}{4}^{4 \prime}$ ．They are distributed over five lines，the fifth of which contains the date．The beginning of the frst two lines and the last letter in lines 1－3 and the throe last letters in line 4 havo disappuared．

The charaeters are Síarada，and they are very woll cat．Ja has the older form as in tho Baijnsth prasasti．The final form of $m$ occurs in line 3．The diphthong $\theta$ has been marked in two different ways，by means of a horizontal line abovo，as in bhagavate， 1 ．1，or by a vertical bofore the consonant as in $t \hat{\epsilon}$, 1．2．Similarly $\hat{o}$ is sometimes marked by adding a horizontal above，some－ times by prefixing a vertical to the consonant accompaniod by the sign for $a$ ．Compare


Áryâvalôkitéśvarâya, 1. 1, and lôkanáthâya, 1. 2. A third method occurs in lôkâ ...... at the end of line 1, where a wavy line has been added above the sign for la. Of initial vowels only 4 occurs in âryâ-, 1. 1. Among ligatures I may note kya, 1. 1, kkra, 1. 3, kva, 1.4; nga, 1. 2; dya, 1. 3 ; rya, 1. 1 ; and perhaps lhna, 1. 3. The numeral symbols for 7,3 and 5 occur in line 5 .

The language of this inscription is Sanskrit, and, with the exception of the invocation of Avalobitessara in 1.1 , and the date in 1.5 , the whole is in verse. There are altogether two verses. The first is apparently a slôka. The second pada $a$, however, contains three syllables too much, but these aksharas are probably simply a repetition of part of páda I. The second verse is a sürdûlavikrîdita. The object of the inscription is to record the constraction of a vihâra built of pakka bricks, by Ramadêva, the son of Kulladêva, in order to replace a wooden structure which had beer built by a vaidya, whose name I read Ulhna, and which had been burnt down by king Simha. This Sinha must be identical with Jayasimha (from A.D. 1128), in whose reign Haddigrâma was burnt down by Sujji. ${ }^{1}$ It then becomes highly probable that the vaidya Ulhṇa is identical with Ulhana, the son of Sahadêva, who was a supporter of Sujji. ${ }^{9}$ Sahadêva is desoribed as a Rajaputra, ${ }^{3}$ which fact can of course be well reconciled with his son Ulhaṇa's being a vaidya. The constractor of the brick viharra was Râmadêra, whom I cannot identify. His father Kulladêva was perhaps in charge of the old wooden vihara, if; $I$ anu right in interpreting tad-rata (1. 4) as "devoted to, attached to him, viz., Avalôkitếvara."

The word vihitra is used with more than one meaning. In our inscription, however, there caunot be manch doubt that it signifies a shrine, as it has been characterized as "the abode of Lôkandtha." The stone upon which this epigraph has been incised is most probably the actual base of the statue of Lôkanâtba here slluded to. Lôkanâtha is, of course, identical with Avalôkitêsvara, who is invoked in lines 1-2. Our inscription thus furnishes valuable proof of the fact that Budabism. was still lingering in Kashmir in the 12th and 13th centuries.

The mention of the materials used in building the old and the new shrines, is also of interest. Wu leary that the formur was constructed from wood, while the latter was built from pakka bricks.

The old wihtrr is stated to have boen built in the vicinity of Gangêsvara. This is now called Gapêsvara, and is the place where the present insoription was dug out. The modern corraption of the name is not of very old date. The old name was at least remembered about 40 years ago. This is provod by a janmapattra, whioh Pandit Mukund Râm found in Ârigôm, and which was written by Pandit Gaṇ̂sa Kbusrao in Laukika Sambat 4939, i.e. A.D. 186263. It spoaks of a person as Gangêśvara-padamûlê Arigrâmé vâstavya residing in Ârigôm at the feet of Gangếvara. Gañgêsvara was originally probably a Śiva temple, and it is not unlikely that it could be identified by means of excavations.

The insoription is dated Samvat 78, Marga suti 5. This date must be subsequent to the borning of Ârigôm during the reign of Jayasinha. Now Jayasimina's father was murdered in the Lankika year 4203, and the burning of Ârgôm took place in the first part of his reign. It is therefore as good as certain that we have to understand the date of our inseription as 4273 on the fifth tithi of the bright fortnight of Margasirsha, corresponding to Sunday, the leth November 1197.

## TEXT.



[^249]

TRANSTATMON.
Line 1. Salatation to the exalted noble Avalakitisfara,
(Verse 1). Salutation to thee, the Lord of the Worlh, who hast become a light to the these worlds, ...... who destroys transmigration, the moon of delight to the world.
(V. 2). Formerly the vaidya Ulhnsdevas by name made a spotless vihira of wood, an abode for the Lord of the World, in the vicinity of the Gadigedvara (wumple). After this, by the will of fate, had heen burned by king Simha, Ramadeves, the mon of Kulladdea, who mas devoted to him (Avalokitesfrara), made yon ler (vihiru) excollont with burnt bricke.

Line 5. Sampat 73, the 5th day of the bright (half) of Marga(6)rsha).

## No. 47.- OHANDRAVATI PLATE OF CHANDRADEVA. SAMVAT 1148.

## Br Stex Konow.

The plate containing this insoription was found on the innor slope of the left bank of tha Ganges, near the water's edge, under the fort at Chandrdvati, in the Benares District. Mr. Chbote Lal, the Distriot Engineer of Benares, informs me that, owing to the erosive aotion of the river, portions of the fort were undermined and fell down from time to time. The plate fell into the river along with the walls of the fort, and was meen and pioked up by the Pablic Works Department's boatman, who deposited it in the District Engineor's offoe at Benares. In March 1908 it was then finally handed over to the Direotor General of Arohwology in India.

The plate, which is inscribed on one side only, measures $15 \mathrm{~g}^{4} \times 114^{4}$. The edges are fashioned thicker and raised into rims. In the upper part of the plate is a hole, through whioh passes a ring, about $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ thiok and $3^{\prime \prime}$ in diamoter. On the ring alides a bell-ebaped seal, $8_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ from top to bottom. The surface of the seal is cironlar and $2 j^{\prime \prime}$ in dismeter. It represents in relief, on a slightly coantersunk surface, a Garada, with the body of a man and the head of a bird, kneeling and facing the proper right. Lurose the cantre is the legend irivadach-(irtmaoh)Ohamdradśvah, and at the bottom a conoh shell.

The plate contains 23 lines of writing. Parts of it are mach worn, and the portion containing the date cannot be made ont with oertainty. Tho oharsoters are Nagari, and the language is Sanskrit. With regard to orthography I shall only note that va is used both for $n a$ and for $b a$, and that the dental sibilant is often need instead of the palatal one ; thus, =astia-

[^250][^251]for asita-, 1. 1, -vamsa- for -vamba-, l. 2. There are several other misspellings and slips, especially towards the end.

After the invocation to $S r z$ common in other plates of the same family; our inscription proceeds to give the geneslogy of the donor in four verses, which are well known from other inscriptions. We are told that Yasôvigrahe had the son Mahichandra, whose son again was Chandradéva, the issuer of the present grant. The inscription then goes on in prose, stating thes the viotorious king Chandradêva issues the following order to all the people assembled, residing in the Vaḍagava-village in the Vavana-pattalâ, and also to the Räjas, Rajaizz, Yuvarâjas, conncillors, ohaplains, warders of the gate, generals, treasurers, keepers of records, physicians, astrologers, superintendents of gynæoenms, messengers, the officers in charge of elephants, horses, towns, mines, sthdnas and gokulas:
"Be it known to you that the aforessid village, with its water and dry land, with its mines of iron and salt, with its fishing places, with its ravines and saline soil, with and inoluding ita groves of madhûka and mango, grass and pasture land, with what is above and below, defined as to its four abouttals, up to its proper boundaries, has today, on the . . . day of the bright fortnight of Karttika, Barivat 1148, been given by us for as long a time as moon and sun endure, with the pouring out of water from the palm of our hand, purified with gôkarna ${ }^{1}$ and kesfágrass, to the Bráhman Varaṇ̂́avayasarman (-छ́vacasarman), the son of Varahasvàmi, the grandson of Aparadha, of the Vasishṭha gatra, and whose only pravara is Vasishtha, for the increase of the merit and fame of our parents and ourselves, after having today hatbed here in the neighbourhood of Sauri (Sauri)-Naralasna, after having duly satistied the anored texts, divinities, seints, men, beings, and groaps of ancestors, ${ }^{8}$ after having worshipped the aun whose splendour is skilled in rending the veil of darkness, after having worshipped Vâzudêva, the maviour of the three worlds, and after having sacrificed to the Fire an oblation with abundant milk, rico and sugar."

The first point here that is worthy of notice is the date, which is the earliest known for Chandradêra, the other copper plate of his time being dated in Samvat 1154.8. It will be seen that the portion of it containing the tithi and the week day is illegible. Mr. Chhote Lal, who has examined the original, writes abont this point,-
"Ordinarily, it might be thought that the excossive corrosion and incrustation of rust at this particular part of the plate was purely aocidental, but from a minute examination of the imperfect and damaged letters which are still discornible, I am led to conolude that the excessive incrustation at this point was due to the fact that the surface of the plate was already damaged by somebody in his ondeavour to make a correction in the date. It is remsrksble that the name of the place or that of the stream in which the donor took his bath, is not mentioned in the insoription. Nor is there any mention of the ocosaion (eclipse, sainliántí, eto.) at which the gift was made. It would appear that Şauri-Nâráyanes was in those days a very well-known place of pilgrimage requiring no further details to looadise it, that the occasion presumably was the ordinary Karttika-sndna, and that the date originally entered on the plate was paimchadasy $a^{\prime} \dot{m}$ guraik, but was afterwards attempted to be corrected into elkadady din ravou. The 8 of the latter just overlape the paine of the former; the kd of the latter being rather large has been so formed as to include the cha and to cover the apace cocupied by $d a$ of the former; while the space ocoupied by syath of the former has been atilised for the rather clumsily large da of the latter. It will thus be meen that the space originally ocoupied by the word painchadasydi, which was of normal size, was anbsequently cocoupied by the maoh larger letters, $8, \mathrm{kd}$ and da, and there being no more space available for the final syllable syam, it wha omitted. Similarly, the ra of

[^252]ratau overlaps the gu of gurau and rau of the latter has boen deformed into something like valu of the former. Now the question arises, "Why was the clate corrooted?" The reason may be that the grant was originally intended to be made on the pumeludecsi, on the occasion of a litnar eclipse which was expected to take place on that date. A little calcnlation will show that the eclipse did actually oocur on the speoifled dato (corresponding to Thursday, the 7th of November, 1090 A.D.), bat as the time of its oocnrreace was uarly in the following morning (according to calculation), it must have been very dunbtful whethor it would be visible at the place visited by Chandradéva. This circumstanco may have been anticipated, and it may have been decided to make the donation on the preceding Prabolhint Ehudurit (Sunday, the 8rd of November, 1090 A.D.) which was a nost suitable day for tho purpose, being the day on which Vishnu- the deity worshipped by Chandradeva -rises from his four months' sloep. I say all this may have been the cause of the slteration in the date, for there is no a priori reason against this supposition. But I consider it very unlikely that \& MaharAja, who changed his mind about the actual day of performing a religious coremony, could have allowed a correotionand a clumsy correction at the best-to be made in the copper plate inscription recording the gift of a whole village, when the entire insoription conld bave been ont on a new plate in a day's time. The fact seems to be that it is no honour to a Brahmap to recuive a gift on the occasion of an eclipse, and there are certain seats of BrAhmaps, ery. Barjuparis and Kanaujiyas, who would promptly excommunioats any momber of their community who was known to have received a gift on acoount of an eclipee. The Brabmay who rcooived the manificent gift from Chandradefa probably belonged to one of these seota, and, after the king had marde the gift and departed, he seems to have thought of concesling his diagracefal conduot and to have caused the original and genuine date to be altered as explained above."

Mr. Chhote Lal further identifes Vadagava with the present Baragaon, a village 14 miles north-west of Benares. He thinks it, however, also poseible that it was the old name of Chandrávatf, which was afterwards ohsaged in howour of king Chandraduva.

After the montion of the grant, we find nome of the unaal impreostory verses, and, at the end, the name of the writer, the thakkura Mahapsods.

TEXT:





 7viddhast-ôddhata-
 ABEha-prajoppdravach frimad-GAdhipur-Adhirtjymmenamaxh dorvikra-




7 nyakuvj(bj)-Adhipatya-Azi-Chamdradtvo vijayi l| VavantipattaltyAm Vadagava.


[^253]Chandravati plate of Chandradeva.-Samvat 1148 .


8 ja－mam̉tri－purôhita－pratîhâra－sênâpati－bh̀̂ánḍ̂âgârik－âkshapatalika－bhishaka（shag）－naimi－ ［ttik－âmítaḥpu］rika－dâta－karituragapattanả karasthânagô $\hat{[k u}$ ］－
 jathôpa［rilikhi］ta－grama［ $\left.\mathrm{h}^{*}\right]$ sa－jala－sthalah sa－lôha－lavap̣－Âkarah sa－ma－
10 ［t＊］By－âkarah Ba－gartt－ôaharah sa－madhâk－âmra－vana－vâtikâo－triṇa－yûti－gôchara－
 ［semvat］
111148 Karttika su di ．．．．．．ady＝êha Sau（Ŝau）ri－narâyana－вạmípê snâtv太̂ vidhivan＝mamitra－dêva－pu（mn）ni－manaja－bhâta－pitriganâmg＝tarppayitvâ ti－
 vana ${ }^{-1}$ tratur $=$ VÅsudêvasya pâjâm vin vidhâya prachara－pâyasêna havishâ havirbhujam［ha］tros
 Vasishth－sika－pravarầya $1^{8} \quad$ Aṇarudha－panirâya $\quad \operatorname{Var}\left[\mathrm{a}^{*}\right]$ hasvâmi－putrâya $\left.\right|^{3}$ Varдpếvaya（fíara）－

 bhâgabhô－
 niyst－\＆dayân－Ajüz（m）－vidhêyi－bhâya dâayatha
 yadroha bhâminh prayachohhati I abhau tan punyakarmmâyau ni－

 shashṭt（h）im varsha－mahasrâ－

 sa vishṭhaydin kyimirubhûtvâ
19 pittribhih saha majanti｜｜vârihînÅhvraranyêshu sushka－kôtara－vâminah｜krishp̣s－
 ity $=$ \＆
$20 \mathrm{hr}\left[\mathrm{r}^{\prime \prime}\right] \quad$ vra（bra）hmo－avam $\quad$ visham－uchyata（t\＆） $\mid \quad$ visham＝êkakinańn hamiti（rm）
 Alcain bhûmêr＝apy＝êkammanggalam｜harami（haran）narakam＝âpnoti yâvadeŝ－ 21 bhûta－sa［的＂］plavamin ta il
 vauudhà bhukts rajabhih Oha（Sa）ga－
22 radibhi［h］\｜（1）yasya yasya yada bhamisotasya tasya tadi phalam il byiñ
 ［rmallyavicita－prati］．
 tarimrapataka［m＊］thakkura－Eri－Mahapainda II

[^254]－Bend ohnattram．
8 Metre ：Indravajor
${ }^{10}$ Head Vikattome．

# No. 48.--BUREANPUR SANSERIT INSCRIPTION OF ADIL SHAH. SAMVAT 1646. 

By Hira Lal, B.A., M.R.A.S., Nagrub.

Burhânpar in the Nimatr district of the Central Provinces is an ancient historioal site, ${ }^{1}$ It was the chief seat of the Fârûq! kings. They ruled over Khândish, which name the Muhammadans derived from their title of Khan. Their rule lasted from 1370 to 1600 A.D., when it was displaced by the Maghals. One of these Faraqit kings built the Jum'a Mabjid, in which besides Arabio inscriptions he had one oarved in Sanskrit, which gives his genealogy and the date of the construction of the mosque. This inscription is in the northern corner and is written within an arch, so that the lines, which are 6 in numbor, are of noequal length. They contain five verses, besides the invocation Sri grizhtikartre namah in the commencement and the date in the end, both of whioh are in prose.

The letters are Nágarí and are raised, not incieed, in the same fashion as Persian letters are usually found carved. Orthography hardly calls for any remarks. The sign of avagraha has been omitted throughout. Over the na of -khuna- (1. 3) we find the Arabio sign of tashdid or double consonant.

The date is reoorded in great detail, giving both the Vikrame and Saka years, which are stated to be 1848 and 1511 rospectivoly, the oyclic year being Virôdhi. The mosque is stated to have been completed on Monday, the 11th of the bright fortnight of the month Pauaha, the exset moment in ghafts and the nakehatra, lagna, yiga, karana, eto., being added most precisely. The date in tho Hilfra exa is given ae 907 in Arabic above the insoription. Its English equivalent was kindly caloulated for me by the late Professor Kielhorn, O.I.E., who found it to be Monday, the 5 th January 1690. The astrological details are anique in a Mahammadan monque and show the religious tendency of the later Firùqi kingg. In Burhânpûr much of the beliefs of the two religions (Hinduism and Inlam) got mixed np, traces of which are still conspicuously present there. As an instanoe may be citod the promohings of the Pirsidas, who are Musalmâns and who say that God will now beoome inournate ae Nishkalakkr.s The Fârûqîs undonbtedly believed in astrology, as this insoription shuws, and slthough the builder of the mosque took every care to ereot it in the mont anpioions moment completing it exactly in one year (as an Arabic inscription carved in the middle of the Manjid dieclones) and wished by his pious act to ensure the long continasnce of hin dynasty, yet unoompromising destiny snatobed away the crown from them, only ton yeara aftor the construotion of the edifioe. In faot Bahâdur Khân, the bnilder's son, was so much infatuated with anperstition, that, in spite of his possessing the impregnable Asirgayh fort with ten yearg' provicions, onormons treasures and numerous horses and cattle, he felt convinoed that he could not hold his own againat Akbar, of whom he believed that he was a neoromancer and that magioinns socompaniod him to reduce the fort. A pestilence which broke out among the animals, wan attribated to the black axt, and he saw no way bat to surrender to the mighty wisard. Thir monque was thas destined to receike another inscription, which Akbar triumphantly asneed to be carred recording his victory of Khândêsh in A.E. 1009 (A.D. 1600), annihileting the glory of the mosque bailder's dynasty for ever. This inscription is in Persian and is conspiononaly inecribed on the outside wall of the left hand minâr.

[^255]The chief interest of our inscription lies in the genealogy of the Faruquis given in it. According to it the first king was Râja Malik, whose son was Ghazn1 (Khân). His son was Kaisar Khan, whose son was Hasan, from whom was born Âdil Shah, whose son was Mubârakh. Âdil Shah, the constractor of the mosque, was the son of this Mubârakh. This genealogy differs from those given by Firishta and Abal Fazl. According to the former Adil Shâh was the 6th descendant from Malik Râja, and according to the latter the 8th, while according to our inscription he was the 7th. The tables given below will show at a glance how matters stand :-


The Ain-i-Albbarî states that "Bahàdur Khŝn (who was the son of Râja Alí Khân) was 9th in descent from Malik Rajji." This has led Colonel Jarrett,' as it would indeed lead others, to suppose that the list given there is genealogioal and that Ahsan Khân, the fifth king, was identical with Hasan Khin, who, as a matter of fact, was never a king. Hasan Khên belonged to a collateral branch of the family, whose son became king on the failure of male issue in the main line.

The Ainmi-Akbari has mixed up the succession list with the genealogioal table, Miran Shah, the third desoendant, was really a grandson of Malik Raja, but the point missed is that he was not the direct ascendant of the last Âdil Shah or Raja All Khân. The orown first went in Mîran Shâh's line up to Adil Shah Aynâ, who was succeeded by his brother Dâwad Khân, and the latter by his son Ghazni Khân, who was poisoned two days after ascending the throne. Abul Fazl does not mention Ghazni Khan at all, probably because his succession to the throne was only nominal. Ghasni Khan left no son, ${ }^{2}$ and hence the crown went to Ràja All Khan's grandfather Âdil Shâh or Âdil Khấn. The three kings Mirran Shâh, Mrbârak Shâh and Âdil Shâh AynA were not thas direct ascondants of Raja All Khân. The Aîn-i-Akbart list should therefore be left ont of consideration, and the question must be decided between our insoription and Firishta's list. Briggs ${ }^{8}$ takes Hasan to be the son of Nasir KhAn, relying on Firishta's assertion that "Adil was the son of Hasan and grandson of Nasir Khŝn." If Hasan were the son of Nasîr KhAn, he would be the brother of Miran Shah, who was crowned king after his father's death. We would, therefore, have to suppose that Miran's nephew came to the throne after the expiry of three

[^256]2 Briggs' Uwrishta, Vol, IV., p. 308.
LHoc. eits.
242
generations, which is an unlikely aupposition. There is nothing to show that Adil Khin (Hasan's son) was extraordinarily long-lived. ${ }^{1}$ It, therefore, soems very probable that there was an intervening generation between Nasir Khân and Hasan Kh\&n, and the misaing link io supplied by the Sanskrit inscription in the person of Kaisar Khan, son of Nasir and father of Hasan Khân. In the inscription Kaisar Khån is merely oalled a vira or hero and not a king, and although Hasan was also never a king, the epithet of kahitisa was apparently added to his name by way of courtesy, to tickle the ruling prinoe. Regarding the sources of his information Firishta records ${ }^{8}$ that when he visited Burhanpar in A.H. 1018 (A.I). 1604), he asked Miras Alî Isfahâní if any history existed of the FÂraqi family. The Miras roplied that he knew of none, but said that he once saw a geneslogy's of the family down to Malik Raja, whioh he copied and took along with him. It would thas appear that no history of the kings later than Malik Rafja existed at all. So the information he onllected was apparently from traditions or other casual records, while the writer of the Sanskrit inweription must, curtninly have been supplied with information from the royal family, ase it was intonded to to a permanent record. in one of the greatest works the king bailt. It in, thervfure, ruore reliable than Firishta and leaves no room for doubt as to ita anthenticity. A revised genoalogy of the Fíruqi kings will be found below on p. 310 .

THXT. ${ }^{\text {™ }}$


2 दि न्तिति: स्यादंबरागबी(ख) [1*] तावक्तारकिवंशोसो चिंट तंदनु
 धान: [i*] तस्साभवष्बूूु
 सुबारबंद्र: ॥8॥

[^257]Burhanpur inscription of Adil Shah.-Samvat 1646.


## 4 तत्वुनु: चितिपालमोलिमुकुट्य्यपृष्पादांबुज: <br> सत्कीर्तिविलिलस्वतापवयगा-

 ग्रीमानेदलभूपति-
 १०२? विरोधिसंषत्बरे पौषमासे ग्रकपष्चे 10 घटो २₹ सहेका-



## TRANSLATION.

(Line 1). Salutation to the glorions Oreator of the world.
(Verse 1). I bow down to the Imperceptible, the (All-)pervading, eternal (one who is) past (all) qualities, whose essence is mind, who is the cause of what is manifest-to that God who is both manifest and non-manifest.
(V. 2). As long as the moon, the sun and the stars, etc., exist in the firmament, so long may this Faruki family live happily on the face of the earth.
(V, 3). In that family there was first the lord of Fârukis by name Râjar. Malik, whose son was king Ghazni ${ }^{6}$ (Khan) who was of an exalted mind, the diadem of the family.
(V. 4). From him was (born) the hero Kaisar Khân whose son was Hasan (Khân), the lord of the earth. From him was born king Adil Shah, whose son was lord Mubarakh.
(V. 5). Viotorious is his son, the illustrions king Ådil, the orest jewel of (other) kings, whose lotus feet are rubbed by the diadems in the crowns of kings (prostrating themselves before him), (who is) of good reputation, and whose enemies have submitted to his prowess, (who is) the lord of kings (and) who bows day and night to the Sapreme Being who is past all qualities.
(Ll. 5-6). Hail! Prosperity! This mosque was built by the king Srî $7^{0}$ Âdil Shîh, son of the illustrious Mubaralch Shih, for fostering his own religion, in the Sampat year 1048, Saka 1511, in the Virodhi samvatsara, in the month of Pausha, in the bright fortnight, on the 10th tithi (lasting for) 23 ghatis, followed by the eleventh tithi, on Monday, in the Krittika (nakshatra lasting for) 33 ghaṭîs with (i.e. followed by) Rôhinị in the Subhe yöga lasting up to ghati 42, in the Vanija karana, at the time when 11 ghafis of the night on this day had passed and in the Kanya lagna.

[^258]Gonealogy of the Fâruqq Kings of Khandesh.


[^259]
# No. 49.-NARAYANPAL STONE INSCRIPTION OF GUNDA MAHADEVI. THE SAKA YEAR 1033. 

Bx Hira Lal, B.A., M.R.A.S., Nagpor.

Nârâyanpâl is a village 23 miles north-wेest of Jagdalpur, the capital of the Bastar fendatony state attached to the Chhattisgarh Division of the Central Provinces. It is situated on the right bank of the " splendidly picturesque" Indratvatî, one of those minor rivers of India than which none is more interesting. ${ }^{1}$ It "traverses the most untrodden regions of the peninsula. Here in the deepest recesses of the wild forests which cover the Mardian hills, is the home of the Gônd races-one of the aboriginal Dravidian peoples, whose origin is indistinct; a people who still ereot rude stone monuments and use stone implements, unwitting of the procession of the centuries and the advance of civilization to their borders." And yet the very place which has today all tho signs of a primeval forest, may a thousand years back have compared favourably with any of the oivilized provinces of those times. At least such seems to be the irresistible conolasion from the discovery of the antiquarian remains left by the forgotten Nâgavamisil kings of that little known statp. Nârâyenpâl is one of those places which enjoyed celebrity in their times, a place to which "peoplo of various countries resorted," and which instead of having a long row of wooden peg gods, which now adoun the village turf, possessed the temple of Nârâyana, " the basket of the gems of knowledge" which no doubt the residents duly picked up. The Indr\&̂vatî was to Bastar what the Narmadà has been to India, the separating boundary between the Aryan and the Dravidian peoples. It is therefore no surprise to find all the inscriptions to the north of the Indrâvatî written in Nâgarî oharacters, while all to the south are written in Telagu. It appears that the Nâgavamésî kings, though raling on both sides of the Indravatî, had fixed that river as the ethnic or at least the lingaistio boundary for the convenience of the Aryan and the Dravidian peoples under their sway. Our inscription being found in Nârâyanpal on the north bank of the river is therefore in Sanskrit characters. Its discovery is due to the efforts of Rai Bahâdur Pandâ Baijnâth, B.A., who kindly sent me five impressions. Another impression has since been prepared by Mr. Venkoba Rao of the Madras Archwological Survey. I have made use of all these materials for my edition. The inscription is engraved on a stone slab, standing near the temple of Nârâyana, to whioh it belongs. In this temple there is still an exquisite image of Nârâyana, $2^{\prime}$ high. Above the ground the slab measures $7^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$, and the writing covers a space $5^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$, including the imprecatory figures and the additions to be referred to presently, but leaving out the top $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ rz mahi. The original inscription apparently contained only 35 lines, beginning with Svasti sahasra-phanâmani and ending with maingala mahdt-stri, underneath which the usual imprecatory figures of a cow and a calf, the dagger and shield, the sun and moon and the Siva linga, the meanings of whioh I have elsewhere ${ }^{2}$ explained, were carved. The additional 11 lines, marked (a), (b), (c) and (d) by me, appear to be later additions, and are either interpolations or were inserted when the ownership of the land changed hands either by succession or otherwise. They generally give the names of persons to whom the land was apparently transferred. The lines marked (a), (b), (c) have boen inserted in the blank spaoes between or on the sides of the imprecatory figures. Under all these a straight line has been drawn, and the four lines marked (d) have been inserted. These give the name of a queen different from the donor of the inscription proper. This may have been done when the land ohanged hands after the death of the original donor, when, in the ordinary course, the successor of the donor would be shown as the transferor or grantor.

[^260]${ }^{2}$ Above, 12p. 164 and 175.

The language of the insoription is Sankkrit prose, exoept the two imprecatory verses in lines 33 to 35 , and the characters as stated befure arc Nagnari. They are bollly written but the size is not uniform throughout. While in the tip lime sri-muhi, they are as big as $22_{3}^{4}$, the average size in the first four lines is $l_{8}^{\prime \prime}$. The engraver apparently finding them too big reduced them to $l^{\prime \prime}$ in line 5 , bat in subsequent lines he again luyghn to iucrube the size maintaining an average of $1 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ throughout the rest, which furme the major portion of the insoription. In the later additions also, marked (a), (b), (c) and (d), the "ize varies. In (a) it is lebs than an ineh and in (d) it is $1 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ and in (b) and (c) midway betweon these two

As regards orthography, $b$ is not distinguished from $r$ and thare is a confusion in the use of $s$ and $s$; for instance, we have on the one hamd Kisynput (11. 3, 13), saramitgata (11, 6, 10, 16), sâzana (11.7,37 (b) and 46 (d), Saka- (1. 19), -8atumu (1. 3.1), usrameihhu- (1. 34), sudhyati (1.35); on the other hand we have suargguté (1.17) and suhturra (11. | and 34). The anusvàra is usally preferred to the class nassls, though the latter are aufficiently represented throughout, Letters with a supersoribed rêpha have keen invariably duubled. The lettors keha, dha, ra, and, in most places, bha appear in their antiquatod form, and the top matria for $\hat{e}, \hat{i}$ and au is sometimes placed vertically before the letter to which it is attnohect, as in -lhuata- (1.8), pavitra.
 Sômésvaradêva (1.17) mê has the top stroku, while te has the vortical stroke preceding du. In line 23 in -sthityudadhi- tho mitrit of $u$ is peculiarly attuched in the middle of the letter as we at present attach it to the letter ra alone.

The insoription records the grant of the village Narayapapura to the god Nerayang and some land near the Kharjuri tank to the god Laikituvara, anal it in dated in the Saka year 1083 on Wednesday, the full moon day of the Kirttike month in thet Khara sarivatsara, corresponding to the 18th October 1111 A.D. The donor was Gunda Mahadevi, the chiof queen of the Mabardia Dhâravarsha, the mothor of Sômêsvaradeva and thy gramimother of Kanharadêva, who was then ruling after the death of his father, as stated in line 17. The dynarty elaims to be Nagavambi of the Kasyapa gôtra and to have a tiger with a call an their orest and to be the lords of Bhôgâvati, the best of oities. Thero oan be little doubt that it was connocted with the Sioda family of Yelburga. The birudas of the two are utrikingly nitailar. The Sindas also claim to be Nágavamisódbhava, born of the race of the Naga (outra), and the lurdn of Bhogatrati, which oity is a mythical place in the nether world. The discoveries hitherto made show the existence of the rale of the family at the diagonally opposite corners of this ntate, vis. soath-west and north. east. I feel confident that if we could "explore the merpent eity wesll," we should find at less a replios of it somewhere in the Nizam's dominion in a ponition inturmediate between these two points. The Ramayapa seems to confirm this, for Rama'm ronte to Latak lay between the two and passed through Bhôgâvatî.

As Dr. Fleetl says, there appear to have beon more branches than one of the Sinds family, and it appears to me that thoy were distinguiahed from wach other by some variations. in their orests (ld̈̃̃ohhanas) and bannors (dh vajas, patikus or kêtanas). The vyäghra-lanohha$n a$ (tiger-crest) seems to have been common to all, probably boomuse the original ancestor of the dynasty whioh received its name after him, wan believed to have been brought up by the king of serpents on tiger's milk. ${ }^{.}$The Btagalkôt branch had simply the tiger orest and the phaph kettana, or banner of hooded sexpents. The Bustar branch, or more properly the branch represented by our inscription, had a savatsa-vylghra-litaehbana, or a tiger with a calf or child thus depioting probably the story of their origin in a clearer way. The banner is not mentioned. In Bastar there were apparently two branohes, the other one being that of Bhramarakotyamand

[^261]dala, ${ }^{1}$ whose lâñchhana was dhanur-vyághra (bow and tiger) and whose dhvaja was kamalabadali, or lotus flower and plantain leaf. The Halavar ${ }^{2}$ branch of the Banvâsi tract had the vydghra-mriga-lâñchhana, or crest of a tiger and a deer, and the nâladhvaja, or blue banner. To judge from their titles Paramésvara Paramabhattâakak Mahârâja, the Bastar branch appears to have been more independent than the other branches of this dynasty. But more light is likely to be thrown on the history of the family when all the inscriptions found in Bastar have been deciphered. It would therefore be premature to disonss the subjeot here.

There is one phrase in this inscription, whioh to my mind appears so interesting that it should not be allowod to pass unnoticed. It is inoidentally mentioned in line 32 where the land is said to be given grâma-nilaya-nâda-sarva-vadhâ-parihârêna, which apparently means "free from the interforence of the dwelling-group and territorial assemblies, and all other molestations." This throws a sidelight on the village communities of those daye. With regard to madda, Mr. Baden-Powell ${ }^{3}$ says, "All over the sonth of India we have traces of the ndadu, which mas often a sort of county, and in some places there is a clearly surviving tradition of the parpose of this division. Thus in part of Madras known anciently as the Tondaimandalam, we find frst a number of kutfam-tho name probably indicating the fort which was the seat of the tarritorial ohief; each of these primitive territories was afterwards reorganised into ndd $u$ and esoh $n d d u$ contained a number of villages (called nattam, i.e. the village site). The chief of the nddu was called ndthan. ${ }^{4}$ In Malabâr we have evidence of how these nâdu divisions were governed by the nulda-kuffam or assembly of representative elders ont of the family gronps of tara, of the ruling olass, in eaoh nädul." It appears from our insoription that this näda system was provalent in Bastar and the word nilaya apparently stands for tara, the original looal term for "the family aggregate ${ }^{5}$ of dwellings, consisting of the honses occupied by the members with a few humbler abodes for servants and artizans." Tara is said to mean street or hamlet. The grâma or village was also recognised, but apparently had no fiscal significancé, begond being a physioal aggregate of clan aroas with an exotio popalation. It will be notioed that Nârâyanapura is desoribed as fall of outsiders, who had oome from different conntries. These apparently contribated nothing to the income of the village, and in the basiness portion of the oharter (ll. 25 to 33) we therefore find no mention of the village, bat only of the land given. The names of the owners of plots occapied by the family groups have been duly enamerated, and it is they who have been enjoined to pay the rents to the temple. The transaction has been ratified by eight persons, which was probably considered a requisite number ${ }^{6}$ for such basiness. These probsbly formed the executive committee of the nada-kuttam or territorial assembly. As wonld appear from the insoription, it consisted of the pregadd or minister, the karana-kuttiam or Secretary of the assembly, the bhanddart or treasarer, the sidhu or priest, and four nayakas, who, as has been already shown, were chiefs of nddas. One of these ndyakas is styled bhatfanayaka, perhaps a superior title to that of ordinary nadyakas. The mention of his name immediately sfter that of the minister indicates his superior position.

Oar insoription mentions only one territorial name, viz. the Nârâyanapura village, which is certainly the present NArAyanpal, where the insoription has been found, and where the temple of Nêrâyapa, to which the village was dedioated, still exists. The tank Kharjuribandha, whioh was apparently named after the kharjari or wild date trees on its banks, is diffionlt to locate at this distance of time, as these trees live for about fifty years 7 only.

[^262]
## TEXT.

Śrî mahat.
1 Om ${ }^{1}$ Svasti sahagrs(ara)-phnỵamani-kirama-nikar-îvabhB [su]-
2 ra-Nagavamśs-8dbhava Bhôgavati-purnvar-ísvara-kh-
3 vatas-vyâghra-lânohhann-Kâsya(sya)pa-gitra-prakuti-kprith-
4 vijaya-ghôshañ-lavdha(bdha)-visva-vis anhihnra-paramisivnan-para-
 satya-Hariścham.
 pad[ $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ ].
 Gam-
8 gâ-jala-[dhau]ta-parama-pavitra-krit-ittamámgi-Dháavarsha-mahêsvar-Arddhángi-pâ-
9 [rthi]vômdra-kul-îugana Pirvvati-bhiswait chiru-1hhígira]thî purama-pativatâ
 mayi $\nabla a(b a)$ mid $d u$-chintâmani
11 sauviuêy-âbhina[v]a-Sâvitri kwham-athm-4haritri sirimmd-G[u]ñda-mahâdêvi â-

 gôtun-pruku-
 bhatṭá-

tyâ-Huxischamd
 âsâm nuly[tuḥ]

jyO Saka-nfipa-kal-atite dasa-sata-traynt[fi]med-adhike Khara-samvatsarè Kârtti-


 mahâd[ê]vyá
 ârthé Narayaṇapuró

 pruduttû
25 Srimat-pregadà Ādityataryema' hhat tanAyaka Rrontiyô kampa ${ }^{6}[K u]$ driyama -
26 Virama nayaka Sômana nayaka Kamanibikana nayaka Mitymya bhamdifi
27 Aïtana sîdha Vukômaraya || Citishám haímih] ukurịa pralattâ || [S8];


- Expressed by a symbol.
${ }^{2}$ Perhaps - danda-,


- Perhaps Kuttiyamavirama.
${ }^{7}$ Perhaps Rdgaramel!i.


Sten Konow.

28 Chhâ[dra] vâmana ${ }^{1}$ t́rôshṭhi Ghughi Śrìdhara sâdhu Nânû kôsa[jâa Pâlâ[su] Pâdmâsi-
29 nivirâ mâlî Gói $[\dot{m}]$ dapratra $^{2}$ tail[i]ka [Ja]sadhavala $\quad$ $\hat{a} \vee \hat{\forall} \hat{u}(b \hat{a} b \hat{b})$ Milâpi nâvềjâṇamं [du]
30 pâlâ[ghi]kâ sa[mri]dâraṇa Dhavala m[â]niyâ[sthi] stiri-V[â]sadêva êtair=[griha-ve]-
 dâtavyam
32 śri(î)mat-pańdita-Purushôttamâya grâma-nilaya-nâda-sarvva-vâ(bâ)dhâ-parihârệ̣a ch $\quad$ mdr- $\hat{\text { in }}$
 [ $\left.1^{*}\right]$ sa vishṭhâyam kri-
$34 \mathrm{mi}(\operatorname{mir})$ [bhû]trâ kulajai[h] saha sîdatil Taḍâgânâmं salaśrê(srê)na as(aś)-vamêdha-suta(Éatê)-
35 na cha [ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ gê(ga)vâm kôti-pradânệna bhûmi-harttâ na gu(śa)dhyati l| Mangala mahâ frí
36 (a) Satradhûura Raņ̣vîrâya bhûmi pradattâ
37 (a) akarêna.
36 (b) Adhikâri Chhâdrâkasya bhûmi akarệna
37 (b) dattî II Brahmau[jhâ]kasya bhûmi dattâ sâsa(şâsa)-
38 (b) nam akarị̣̂a Ma[ha]nḍgka-
39 (b) sya tath A [Kanharasâi]
40 (b) Nâgak[u]la[mdhva]ra ê-
41 (b) tashâm bhámi aka-
42 (b) rôpa datt̂̂ pri(pri)thunâ
39 (c) Bhaṭṭâra-
40 (c) ka Bhâva.
41 (c) [dô]vâya
42 (c) bhûmi akarẹ̣a datâ
43 (d) Svasti śrli-Dhảraṇa-mahîdêvi-[varyyầ] sarvva vâ(bâ) [dhầ]-parihârèṇa aka[rè]-
44 (d) ưa bhûmi dattû̀ dêva-śrî-Nârûyanasy=êrthê Mahaṇaka Dêvadâsa
45 (d) [Jaggatî] II Sàdhu Himasutta dê[dunanitâ ?] Lakhmạ̣a Chaüdharî
46 (d) [̂̂rî]- Dharrạ̣a-mahâdê bhâmi [dataru ara srî dê sâsana dattầ̉? bhûmi dattâ । akarênạa

## TRANSLATION.

(Lines 1-6). Hail. The pattu-mahiddevi of the Mahcirâja Dhârâvarshadêva, who was born of the Nafgavamba, resplendent with the mass of rays of thousand hood-jewels; who was the lord of Bhôgâvati, the best of towns ; whose crest was a tiger with a calf; who was of the Kâsyapa gottra; who had made his shont of victory (universally) known ; who had acquired the whole enrth ; the Paramếsara, Puramabhattâraka; who was like a bee rendered yellow by the collection of pollen of the lotus feet of Mahếvara; who was a Hariśchandra in trathfulness; who was an adarnantine cage (of safe refage) to those who sought his protection, a glorious ornament among kings;
(Ll. 7-11) (she who was) the only mother of the world, called the tarbaned queen consort (chief consort) ; whose head was wholly sanctitied by being washed in the water of the Ganges; who was (as it were) half the body of Dhêrâvarsha (just as Pârvatî is half the body

[^263]of) Maluessura; a noblo laly of royal birth ; renphemlent (like) Parvatî ; bcautiful (like) the Ganges; who was axceedingly devoted to her hushand; a lovely Arundhatî, a Sarasati of goodness; an amulet for those who nought her protection ; a magiral gom to her relatives ; 4 now Sâvitrs of groed brecding ; capable of liboral support like the earth (was) Guṇ̣a-mabaderi.
(Ll. 12-17). After tho Muhtririit Sómèsaradëva, whu was known as her son; who was bor'u of the Nagavamsa, resplendent with the masn of rays of thousunl hood jewels; who was the lord of Bhogâvati, the frest of towns ; whuse cerst wan a tigur with a calf; who was of the Kâsyapa gôtra; who had made his shout of virtury miverwally knerwn; who had acquired the whole carth; the P'arantismen, I'urumblhaf!iruka: who wan like a hee rendered yellow by the collection of pollen of Lle lotan fert of Mahesvara; who was a Harisehandra in trathfulness; who was an udunumine cage (of sufte refage) to thowe who suught his protection; who was terrible to the rofructory (or, rivals), a glorinas wrnament amonget king
(L1. 17-24) had gone to hesven; in the mappicions and vieterious reign of his son, and her grandson, the illustrinus hero Kanharadeva, renph uldent in the row of all kiags, when (the year) ten hundred inereased by thirty-three of tho ora of the Saka king had expired, in the Khara shimuatsiurt, on the day of full moon of Karttika, on a Wednosday, the village of Narayanapura, full of proplo come from various rountrita, was given by the illustrions Gunda-manadevi for so lomg an the mon, the sum, the "rarth, and the vecan endure, to the ${ }^{\prime}$ glorious god Nartyrum, who is a furry for crosking the or an of trarnmigration, who is a basket (full) of the geme of knowledge, who opens the ixilt, of heaven's (dowr), weoing that everything is transiunt, unsteady and inematant, in order to 'inen the lrilt of heaven's (door). Likewise the land near the Kharjuribandha-tank was given to the glorions god Lôkếvara.
 kuruna Kudriyama Viramal, tho miguka Samama, thu wathku Ramanakasana, the nayaka Marayâ, the bhuydiuri Aitans, the sidhur Vakomarya. The land of these was given revenue free. The frishthini, Sumara, thu hrähnana Chbdira, the friahthin Ghughi, the sddhu Srldhara, the kijsujit (cocoon producor) Nanâ, the malt Palana I'admaninivira, the oilman Gorinda. patra, tho bulbu Jabadhavala, Milapi . . . . . . . the glorious VAandêva: theso ehould always remain at the feet of the glorionk Narkyana an grihavetakus, and the tribute should bo paid to the illustrions pandit Parushottarna. The land han beon given free from all obstractions incumbent on the village, the nilayn, and the nitda, for so long a time as moon and sun endure. (Hore follow two imprecatory versen.)
(L. 35). (Leet) great happineas (attend). Hail.
(Li. $36^{a}-37^{a}$ ). Land is given to the suifradhara Rannavira, free from taxes.
(Ll. $36^{\mathrm{b}}-42^{\mathrm{b}}$ ). The land of the adhikdrin Chbdiruks is given, free from taxes. (Also) that of Brahmanjhaka as a grant, free from taxes. The land of Mabapdaka, Kanharassi, and Nâgakulamidhvara is givon, free from taxes.
(Lil. $39^{\circ}-42^{c}$ ). To bhaffatraka Bhâradava land is given free from taxes.
(Ll. $43^{\mathrm{d}}-46^{\mathrm{d}}$ ). Hail. The illustrions Dharana-Mahadevi gave land for the sake of the god, the illustrious Nartyana free from all obatruotions, and free from taxes. (Witnesses are) Mahaņaka, Devadasa, Jaggatt, the addhu Fimasatta . . . , Lakhmana, Chandhani The land was given by the illustrious Dharapa-kiahsdevi free from taxes. The land was given by the queen consort free from tares.
; We should perhapes read harava-kwftiyana Pirama, Yiramen, the writer of the maftam. Compare Budena Powell, Indian Village Commwnty; p. 167.

# No. 50.-TIMMAPURAM PLATES OF VISHNOVARDHANA I. VISHAMASIDDHI. 

13y Profesbor E. Hultzsch, Pb.D.; Halle (Same).

This short inscription is on three copper-pletes which were "discovered in the ground in Ootober 1907 by one Snkura Ramasvami while digging a hole in his vacant house-site in the village of Timmapuram in the Sarvaniddhi tâluka" and were sent by the Collector of the Vizagapatam district to Rai Bahadar Venkayya, who describes them as follows:-
"The plates measure $2 \frac{1}{4}$ by $7 \frac{7}{8}$ inches and are strung on an oval ring, the diameters of which are $2 \frac{7}{8}$ and 3 inches. The ends of the ring are secured in the base of a nearly ciroular seal which measures between 1 音 and $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter. In the centre of this seal is the legend [ग्रोविष] सffere in the same alphabet in which the plates themselves are engraved. The third plate of the inscription is blank, and the grant itself ends in line 2 of the second side of the second plate."

The alphabet and orthography do not call for any special remarks. The final $t$ ocours in line $1,{ }^{1}$ the upadhmaniya in 1. 5, and the Dravidian letter la in 1.11 . The language is Sanskrit prose. The panegyrical portion (11. 1-11) is comparatively correct-probably because it was copied from a form preserved at the royal secretariat. The grant portion, however, mast have been dirafted by a person who knew very little Sanskrit. It contains a Prâkpit word: chattâlîsu (l. 12) $=$ Sanskrit chatvâriḿśat, and several grave grammatical blanders which I have corrected in the footnotes.

The inscription records a grant by \#ishṇuvardhana-Mahâraja surnamed Vishamasiddhi, ${ }^{9}$ who was the younger brother of Satyáraya-Vallabha-Maharaja, the son of Kirtivarman, the grandson of Ranavikrama, and the great-grandson of Raparaga of the Cha[lu]kya family. This short pedigree establishes the identity of the donor of this grant with Vishnuvardhans I. Vishamasidahi, the founder of the eastern branch of the Chalnkya dynasty. That he was the younger brothor of Satydsraya, i.e. the Western Chalukya king Pulakesin II., and the son of Kirtivarman (I.), is stated in many Eastern Chalukya inscriptions; and his grandfather Raṇarikrama, i.e. Pulakêsin I., is mentioned also in his Sâtârâ plates. ${ }^{3}$ The reference to his great-grandfather Ranaraga is of some interest, because this name is known only from a few records of the Western Chalukyas.

Both Vishnuvardhana $X$, and his elder brother receive the title Mahârája; bat the second is stated to have 'subdued the circle of the whole earth' (1.5 f.), while the former claims only to have 'humbled the circle of all the vassals' ( 1.6 f .). This distinction implies that, at the time of this grant, Vishpnvardhana I. was still a dependant of his elder brother, the Western Chalukya king Palakessin II. He professed to be a worshipper of Bhagavat (1. 10), i.e, Vishp̣u, and resided at Pishṭapura (l. 1.), the modern Piṭhapuram in the Gôdâvarî district. In the Aihole inscription ${ }^{4}$ the capture of this fortress is ascribed to his elder brother and so vereign Pulakesin II.

The grant portion differs from that of other records in two respects. It lacks at the end the usual imprecations and other particulars, and the donees are not mentioned by name, but simply stated to have been forty Brabmanas of the Ohhandôga sohool. The object of the grant was some land at Kumulura-s village which I am nnable to identify-in the Palaki-vishaya.

[^264]The same district seems to the referverl to in the Chipurupalle, plates of Vishnuvardhana $I_{\text {, }}$, where the name has been read doubtfully as ' l'uki-vishays;' but, if tho fresimilo ${ }^{2}$ can be trusted, tho cograver has writteu in reality I'laki-vishuye, which may to meaut for Palaki-vishayê.

TEXT."
First Iluti.
सर्वस्त्वं घ

2 सनननाभिवर्षितानान्तिभुवनमातृभिर्मातुरिरभिरभितानों ${ }^{\prime}$ मानव्यस[गो]-

4. लरणपागस्य रणागस्य ${ }^{10}$ नासास्थविक्रामस्य रपावक्रमस्य पौ[चो]

5 विपुसकीर्ते: कीत्तिवर्मंग $\backslash$ प्रयसुत: यक्तिचयवश्षिक्तसकरक्षोम- ${ }^{11}$
6 एडलस्स सत्य[ $\left.T^{*}\right]$ ग्र्यवक्नभम्ठाराजस्य मियानुण; सासिधार:T] नामिस[स]-
Stownl Ithte; Firxt Nith.

8 मकरह्वज: स्थस्नजादिदुगर्गविषमेष्वपि सम्रसिधित्वाष्षिमसिषिर [सिथ्यु]-
9 जन(:)[नि]त्यप्रस्रुसकामधेत्र: कोकातिशयविफमतया मरसोक[वि]-
 वर्ष्ठ[न]
11 म( T$)$ हाराज:
(ii) पе्बकिषिषये (14) कुमूलुरमास प्रामे पूर्वद्विश्य ${ }^{16}$ च्चेषे च-
 चत्त[ [T]लोस
${ }^{1}$ Ind. Ant. Vol, XX. p. 16, text line 18.
${ }^{2}$ Dr. Barnell's South-Ind. Pal., zad exh., Plate xxvii.
${ }^{2}$ From two seta of ink-impremions reacivel from hai Bahadur Vankayy.
4 This word is ontercal on the left margin of plato i. opposite the berinaily of line 4 The aign of punctuation after it runs into the following akehara तो it resembles in shapo the aymbol called in Tamil Pilfaiydr-fuli.
*The $I$ of xh $^{0}$ is obliterated,
 of the र of the preseding word ${ }^{\circ}$ पुर $^{\circ}$; perhape the writer wanted un to read "पुलग्.

7 The three aksharas मर्मातूभि are engraved over (Thr, which was ovidantly dope becaume thay had been originally omitted through an overaight of the engrever.
${ }^{9}$ Read vसुक्या ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{20}$ The न is engraved over 7 IT ; compare nots 7 above.

"㱄विक्रम: to be intended by the soribe.
${ }^{14}$ This mark of pur



Timmapuram plates of Vishnuvardhana I. Vishamasiddhi.

-
From ink-impressions supplied by Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya.

## Second Plate; Second Side.

## 13 वाक्षय: नानागत्र कन्दोगसब्रक्मचर्चितो यमनियकपारगाय षट्थ[र्म्म]14 निरताय( r$)$ षेद्पारमाय दप्तः [॥**]

## TRANSLATION.

Hail! (Line 1.) From (his) residence in the prosperous Pishtapura,-the great-grandson ${ }^{2}$ of Ranaraga, who repeatedly indulged in the passion of fighting in order to elevate the family of the Cha[lu]kyas, who were Hâritipatras, who belonged to the gôtra of the Mânavyas, who were protected by the Mâtris, the mothers of the three worlds, (and) who were rendered prosperons by Mahâbêna, ${ }^{8}$ who by his own arm had. defeated the great army of the sons of Danu; ${ }^{3}$
(L. 4.)-the grandson of Raṇavikrams, whose valour was insuperable; the dear son of Eirtivarman, whose renown was extensive ; (and) the dear youngor brother of Saty[a]fraya-Vallabha-MBharija, who had subdued the circle of the whole earth by the triad of (regal) powers;
(L. 6.) -the devout worshipper of Bhagavat, the very pions one, who meditated at the feet of (his) mother and father,-Ar1-Viehnuvardhama-Maharaja, who by the edge of his own sword humbled the circle of all the vassals, who by the splendorr of his own beauty, virtues and youthfulness far surpassed Cupid, ${ }^{4}$ who (was surnamed) Vishamasiddhi becsuse he had obtained success (siddhi) in impassable straits (vishamia) on land, on sea, etc., who was a cow of plenty (kdmadhênu) constantly yielding milk to suppliants, (and) who was the [Tri]vikrams (Vishṇu) of the world of men becarise his valour surpassed (that of all) mankind;
(L. 11.)-has granted four thonsand nivartanas ${ }^{5}$ in the fields on the eastern side of the village named Kumalura in the Palaki district (vishaya), having portioned (them) off, to forty Bràtmanas of various gôtras, residing in [Potunûika], belonging to the sohool of the Chhandogas observing the grester and smaller rules, engaged in the six duties, (and) familiar with the veda.

## No. 51.- SARNATH INSORIPTION OF KUMARADEVI.

The slab on which the inscription published below is incised was found during the excarations carried on by Mr. Marshall and myself in Sârnâth, in March 1908. It was dug out to the north of the Dhamekh stapa, to the south of the raised mound running east and west over the remnants of the old monasteries of the Gapta period. The writing covers almost the whole of the surface of the stone, viz. $21^{\prime \prime} \times 15 \frac{1}{2}$ ", and it is in a perfect state of preservation. The average size of the letters is $\frac{k_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$.

The charaotere are Nagari, of a very ornamental type, and the engraving has been done with considerable skill. Of individual letters, the form of the cerebral ta in -bhafah and kandapatikah in line 8 is worthy of notioe. There are oomparatively few orthographical peocliarities. $V$ is used for $b$ throughout, and ria is used instead of the amusvara in sudhanisóss, line 11. There are some few miswritings such as harmmdu for dharmmad-, 1.6; prakshato for prakhydtô,
 -nêtr-, 1.15 ; mri- for tri-, 1.22 ; mahibhajah for mahibhujah, 1. 19, etc. The forms Kumaradêvi,
${ }^{1}$ For other instances in which naptri has this meaning, wee above, VOI. IV. p. 329 and note 2.
a I.e. the god of war.
*Literally, 'the bearer of the makara-bsaner.'

- This would give 100 nivartanas for each of the 40 donees.

11. 11 and 22, and vihirat in 11. 23 and $2 t$, on the other haml, wow vomed for by the metre Eumara instend of kunhara is cummon in Mhainishtri lrwikit, and a form Kumaravala for the
 instead of viluata is justified by Phuini V1, 3. 1:2.
 the whole of the inseription is in verse. There art altuguther twenty-six verses. Of these thirteen (Nos. $3,5,6,7,11,12,13,17,18,19,21,23$ und 24 ) are in the Saruelavikridita, five
 three (Nos. 8, 9 and 20) in the Auashubh, and one (Nir. 2) in the Sruptharit metre.

The object of the inscription is $t$, recom the conatructinu if a rihirca by Kumaradêi, one of the queens of Gofvindachandra of kunauj. The woming of verses 21-23, in whioh her
 structed, which apparently comtainol an inabe of the ghidest Vandharâ. The following


 be repaired or sot up again. This cmper-phee must huse conthined information about the original setting up of the Dharmuehakredima nul furthe detuils ahnut its maintenance and repairs. Kumaradêvi, who was apparently a strangur to the pountry romad Bonares, accepted her reprosentation and raised her to the rank if "the fureturst of phthalikis.' ${ }^{\prime 2}$ Moreover, she restored the Jina or set up a new ono and phesel it in the rithish huilt for Vasudhets, or in anothor one constructed for the purpose, and the wish is expreseel that, after having been placed there, he may remain there for evor. It metas meternatry to infer that the siridharmachakraJina, which is said to have exintaxl in Dhurmasoku's time, wis nu imuge of the Buddha, and that the vihara built by the orders of Queen Kumaradivi for him, was a shrinc, a gandhakutio It is diflicalt to explain the wish that he, i.e, the imuge, may rwide thery for ever, under any othor supposition.

The insoription can be divided into four purtw. Aftur an invocminn of Vamadharâ (v. 1) and the moon (v. 2) vo. 3-ti give somo information akout wome rultiti or generuls of Pithi or Pithika. We learn that, in the lunar race, there arome a chief celles Dallabharaja, the lord of broad Pithikì (v. 3). The following vorse intrelunes the $1, r, 4$ of lithi Devarakshita, without saying anything about his relationship to Yallabharaja. He is deneritest as the full moon of the lotus of the Chhikkora-vainsa, and we are told that he evon surparsed Gajapati in splendoar. Dêvarakshita is again referred to as the lord of 1'ithi in the weteml prart of the insoription, and it therefore seems necessary to interprot vv. $5-1$, which sppareatly refor to a son of his (tasmâd- $\dot{\Delta} \delta a$, etc.) as an explanation of his relationship to Vallabharija, who would then be his father.

The second part of the insoription, $\vee \vee .7-13$, contains the information that Dêrarabshita was defeated by Mahana, the maternel uncle of the Gauda king, who thus tirmly eatablished the itrone of Ramspala, and sabsequently beatowed his daughter Sankaradêvi on the Psṭhit lord. Their daughter was Kumaradévl, in whoso praise the present inscription was written,

The third part, vr. 14-20, then contains the gunealogy of the Gahaḍavala family, to whioh Kumaradêvi's hasband Gövindaohandra belonged. It ayrees with the list given in most insoriptious of this lattor king, but does not carry us farther havis than to his grandfather. We are first introduced to Chandra, the Chandradèss of Coviudachandra's inscriptions. His son

[^265]was Madanachandra, elsewhere known as Madanapâla, who again was the father of Gôvindachandra. He is said to have saved Bouares from the wicked Turushka-soldier.

The fourth part of the inscription (verses 21-23) specifies the gifts of Kumaradêri, and her praise is sung in vorse 24. Vorses $25-26$ then inform us that the inscription, which is here called a prasuasti, was composed by tho poot $\{r r \hat{r}$.Kunda, and engraved by Vamana.

Govindachandra is tho well-known king of Kanauj, whose inscriptions are dated between A.D. 1114 and 1154. Oar insoription teaches us that he guarded Benares against the Turashkas, i.e. the Muhammadans, Wo do not know of any Muhammadan expedition against Benares in G8vindachandra's timo. In A.D. 1033 a Muhammadan' army under Ahmad Nîaltigîn arrived at the town, but ouly stayod there for a day, ${ }^{1}$ and there is no indication of a permanent settlement. We know, on the other hand, that Massillman settlers remnined in the country about the Jamna from the days of Mahmud and down to the end of the 12th centary. ${ }^{2}$ It seems probable that Gobvindachandra took nome action against such settlers, and the term turushkadanda, which ocours in many of his and his prodeosssors' inscriptions, gives us a hint as to the natare of this action. The word turushkudanda has been variously translated as "tax on aromatic reeds" and "Mahammalan amerbements." 3 The information farnished by our inscription seems to show that it was in reality a tax on Mahammadans, the exset nature of which cannot, however, be determined.

Oar inscriptiun introduces us to a new queen of Gôvindachandra, Kumaradêvî, the granddanghter of Mahana. Three other queens are already known from inscriptions, viz. Nayanakêlidêrî," Gôsaladêvíb and Dâlbaṇadêví. While Gôvindachandra was himself an orthodox Hindû, his fourth wife Kumuradêvî was a Baddhist. According to information kindly supplied by Mahâmahôpldhyâya Hara Praầd śâstrî, the king had still another Buddhist wife Vâsantadêr̂̂, who is mentioned in the oolophon of a manascript of the Ashtasaihasriket in the Nepal Darbar Library (No. 381 of the third colleotion). The colophon rans:--śrî-sizi-Kanyakubj-âdhipaty-aśvapati gajapati-narapati-râjya-trayâdhipati-srîmad-Gâvindachandradêvasya pratâpavaśatah râjnî-srî-
 It is possible that Visantadêvi and Kumaradèvi are one and the same person, one of the meanings of vâzantu being "youth" =kumara. It is, however, more probable that they sre two different persong.

Some information about Mshana, the father of Kumaradsri's mother, and abont the lord of Pithî, her father, oan bs gloaned from Sandhyâkara Nandi's Rilmacharita, which work has been brought to light by Mahamahôpâdhyâya Hara Praŝ̂d Ŝâstrî. 7 We are there told that Mahaña was the maternal unole of the Gaaḍa king Râmapâla. Vigrahapâla, the father of Râmapâla, made a successful prar against the Ohêdi king Karna of Dâhâla, of whom we possess an insoription dated Kalachuri Sarmvat $498=$ A.D. $1042.8^{8}$ Karna's reign probably extended over a long period. 9 We ounnot, therefore, determine when the war against Vigrahapala took plaoe. We have an inscription of the time of Vigrahapâla's grandfather Mahîpilla, dated A.D. $1026,{ }^{10}$ and Mahîpala's son Nayapalla reigned at least 15 years. ${ }^{12}$ Vigrahapâla's accession cannot, therefore, be placed earlier than A.D. 1041. His son Ramapala, who was preceded on the throne by two brothers Mahipâla II. and Ś́rapâla, was a contemporary of

[^266]Śankarad今̂vî, the mother of Gôvindaohandra's queen Kumaradêví, It therefore seeme probable that Vigrahapâla's acceasion should be placed ahkont A.I. 1050, and Râmapâla's reign in the last part of the eleventh centary. Mahana, sumaradeni's father, would then be a contemporary of both of them. Tho Ramacharita, which calls limu Muthana or Mahana, states that he was a Rashtrakûta, and the maternal unole of Ramapala. It therefore becomes probable that Vigrahapala married a Râshtrakata princess in andition to the daughter of the Chêdi king Karna who was, according to the Rumacharita, given to him after the war alluded to above. Mabana was Ramâpala's right hand, and was of great assistance in the war against Bhima. Among the feudatories of the PAla king in that war, the Ritmarhurita mentions Viraguna, the râja bf Pithi who is desoribed as the lord of the sonth. Defvarakshits of Pithi is also mentioned, but not ssa fendatory. He must be identical with the Ihevarakehita of our inseription, and it becomes probable that the Ptthi ruler Viragung had originally stood on Ratraapala's side, whilo Dêvarakehita later on rose against him. He hailed from Pitthî or Pithikt, which according to the IRamacharita was sitated in the south. Now pithi or pithiki is synonymous with pitha, and it is therefore possible that Pithhî is identical with Piṭhapuram. ${ }^{1}$ Wo know that a branch of the Eastern Chalnkyas reigned in Pithapuram in the secund half of the twelfth century, and that the town had already boen conquered by Pulikôbin II. No historionl informantion is forthcoming about the earlier Chalukya prinoes of the Pith\&puram branch. The real history of the family only seems to begin with Vijayâditya III., whose coronation tuck placu A.D. $1158 .{ }^{\text {. }}$ It should also be noted that the genealogy given in the Pithapuraminecriptions hardly can the correot. Mr. Sewell bas drawn my attention to tho fact that only four generations are cnumeratod between Beta, who reigned in A.D. 925, and Vijay\&ditya III., who was crowned in A.I). 1158.

Before this branch became established in Pithatpuram, the place was one of the strongholds of the Vêagi province of the Elastern Chalukyan. In the lant part of the llith centary, the reigning king was Kul8ttuaga Chódadîva, who first wan ruler in Vingi bat who in A.D. 1070 was anointed to the Choda kingdom. Vengi was then rulod hy viccroys, first by his uncle Vijaydditya VII. then by his sons RAjaraja (1077-78) and Vira Choda (from 1078). Mr. Venkayya suggests that this latter vicoroy may be identical with thu Viragupa of the Ramapalacharita. Dêvarakshita was then probably a general under the viceroy of Vếgî. He is asid to have surpassed even the glory of Gajapati. An this epithet is uned by some of the Eastern Gangas, it is possible that it here refers to Anantavarman Chợagatga. The Kalingattu Parani $i^{3}$ describes an expedition andertaken by Kulattunga 1. againat this king, and Dsfarakshita may havo played a role in it. Wo do not know anything abont the Chhikkbra family, to whioh Dêvarakshita belonged.

The marriage of Dêvarakshita's daughter to king Gsvindachandra 'perhaps accounts for the relationship between the Chôlas and the Gahadavalas commented on by Mr. Venkaypa in his Annaal Report for 1907-08, para. 58 and ff. An incomplete GAhadavila inscription has recently been found immediately after a record of Kuldttatgadiva of A.D. 1110-11, in Gaígaikondachôlapuram, which it is tempting to bring into connexion with G8vindachandra's marriage. Mr. Venkayya carries the soquaintance of the ClahadnvAlas with the Chole kinga farther baok to the expedition of Rajendra Cholsa towards the kinga on the banks of the Ganges, mentioned in the Tiruvalangiada plates, and it seems vary probable that this expedition led to the establishment of friendly relations with the north, Among the prinots conquered by Rajêndra Ohbla was Dharmapala of Dapdabhukti, and the lord of Dapdabhakti Ggares amongst the feudatory kings who, according to the Râmapálacharita, assisted Rámapala in his war against Bhima.

[^267]The relationship between the various persons mentioned in our insoription will be seen from the table which follows :-


According to verse 25, the inscription was composed by Kunda, who desoribes himself as a lion to the tirthikco-elephants, a ROhana mountain, full of the splendid gems of poetical composition, a poet in eight bhashafs, and an intimate friend of the king of Vanga. He is not elsewhere known. His name does not occur in the Saduktikarnampita, nor, so far as I know, in any other anthology. The engraver was the silpin Vamana.

TEXT.
1 ॠों नमो भगवल्थे भायंवसुधारायै $1{ }^{3}$ समवतु वसुषारा धर्मंपीयूषधारामशमितवष्डुविग्वोद्धामदु:बोकधारा । घनकनकसमूधिं भूर्भुव: ग्र:4 किरन्ती तद-
2 खिसझमदेन्यान्याजयन्सी अर्ति ॥ $\left[Q^{*}\right]{ }^{5}$ नेतैरुल्करिठतानiं च्वरएसुपनयंस्चाछचम्द्रोपलानाम्मानय्रन्यिमिभिन्दन् सह्ट कुमुदवनीमुद्रया मानिनीनाम् । दग्धन्द्र ग्धेम्वरेणा[म्व]
3 तनिकरकर्जोवयन् कामदेषं कान्तोयं कौसुदीनां स जयति जगदालोकदीपप्रदीप: ॥ $\left[Z^{*}\right]{ }^{6}$ वंशे तस्य नमस्यपौरषज्तुषि प्रस्फारकीर्तितिषि द्राक् ओौचेन सु[राप]-
4 गामदसुषि प्रत्यथिसष्ध्मीकषि। वीरो वक्षभराजनामविदितो मान्य: स भूमीभुजां सेसासीत्पृथुपीठिकापतिरतिप्रौढप्रतापोदय: ॥ [₹*] ${ }^{7}$ द्रिकोरवंशकु-सुदोदयपूर्ष्म-
5 घम्द्र: स्नीदेवर््वित पति पथित: पथिव्याम् 1 पीठोपतिगंजपतेरपि राज्यलष्ष्मीं सचम्या जिगाय जगदेकमनोहरश्शो: $1 /\left[8{ }^{*}\right]{ }^{8}$ तस्मादास पयोनिधेरिव विद्यु.


7 निधि: शस्क्रेकविद्यानिषि: ॥ $\left[x^{*}\right]{ }^{10}$ दीनानामभिवा्क्शितेक फल प: पत्यन्च० कल्पदुमो दृम्येतेरिगिरीन्द्रभेदनविधौ दुर्वारष््यय्य य: 1 कान्तान[7*]म्मद-

[^268]8 नज्वरोपशमने सिक्षोषधीपात्न वो वाहुर्यंस्य वभूव भूतलभुजामन्तसमकारिए: $\|$ [६*] गोडे
9 मष्षणाद्धप: चितिभुजाम्मान्योभवन्भातुस: 1 त(सं) जित्वा युधि देवरचितमधास् श्नीरामपासस्य यो सष्क्मीं निर्जितवेखिखोधतया देदीप्यमानोदयाम् ॥ [v*] ${ }^{9}$ कम्या मह्या-
10 देवस्य तस्य कन्येव भूभृत: । सा पीठीपतिना तेन सेनेवोढा सयभू(भु)वा
 लता दानोघमेन या 11 [c*] ${ }^{\circ}$ थ-
11 जनि कुमरदेवो हन्त देवोव ताभ्यां मरदमससुधाहो खाखोेखे रक्या । दुरितजलधिमध्याबोक सुष्चत्तुकामा वयमिध कर्यार्ता तारिशैवावर्तीर्सा। [20*]
12 "याम्धेधा: प्रविधाय श्रिस्परचनाचातुर्य्यद्पर्प व्याधाव्यक्षेख्य जिसस्तुषारकिर्यो ह्रीय: स खस्थोभवत् 1 राबावुक्रममातनोति मधिनो जातः कलष्बो तसस्त-

 स्रिया $1^{10}$
 गर्वेया सा $11\left[२ २^{*}\right]{ }^{\mu}$ धर्मर्षेतम तिर्गुधारितराति: प्रार्धपुसाषिति-
15 दरनोदारष्षृतिर्मतफ्ञजगतिर्ने घा(वा) भिरामाधति: । यासू च्चस्त नतिणनोदितनुति: ${ }^{12}$ कारुएकेसिस्थितिनिख्बं्रीवसति: धतार्पवित्रति: एकायदुषाक्षंक-

 सुन(मं) तू(नू)नमष्भ: $\left.1 / १ 8^{*}\right]$ "गे
 तसमनल्पम्रीढतोडो(णो) मखग्री: त्रियमपि च मष्षोन: सस्रियाषो द्धान:

18 सीं भुवनर्थणदच्च एको ${ }^{16}$ दुप्टान्तुर्कसुमटादवितुं र्शे। उक्तो इरिस्स
 कामदुर्दों करा-
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19 नपि पय:पूरस्य ${ }^{1}$ पातु न ते चित्रं प्रागल्लन्त याचकमन:सन्तोषनित्यव्ययात्। त्यागेंयंस्य ${ }^{2}$ महीभूज: प्रसुदिते तद्याचकानाश्वये सच्छन्दांक्तितनित्य निभेरपय:-
20 पानीव्सवैरासते ॥ $\left[\ell \vartheta^{*}\right]^{3}$ यदिद्वेषिमहीभुजां पुरवर्र प्रम्नष्टहारावल्वर्व्याधास्तम्मृगपागवन्धमनसा गद्धन्ति नेव भमात् 1 व्याधा: सस्तसुवर्सकुराडलमहिअन्नान्या
21 तदत्यायतेर्द एड़द्रीगपसारयन्ति च भयप्रोल्कम्पिह्हस्तन: ॥ [२₹*] यस्योत्मन्नविशोधिभूपतिपुरपासादप्टष्ठोपरि, प्रत्यग्र स्फु रटुग्रश्पक्पवलव्यालोलवाजि-
22 व्रज: 1 आदित्यस्त्वभवत्म सन्परसथश्द्रोपि मन्दोभवत् घासग्रासविहूदलोभह्हरिए ${ }_{5}$
 (त्रि) जगति
23 परिगीता ग्रोरिवेछाच्युतन 1 प्रविलसद्वरोधि तस्य शज्ञोड्न्नानां नियतममृतरश्मेर्लैखिका सारकास्य $\|\left[२^{*}\right]^{7}$ वीछारी नवखगडमएडलमहीछ्हर: कतोयन्तया
24. तारिख्या वसुधारया ननु वपुविभ्जाएयालंक्रत: । यं दृष्वं प्रविचिन्नशिल्परच-
 [२२*] ${ }^{*}$ स्रीषमंचक्रजि-
25 नश्यासनस विवंवं सा ज््बुकी ${ }^{\circ}$ सकलपत्तलिवाग्रमूता। तत्तामभासनवर(एं) पविधाय तस्ये दत्वा तया श्रािवी भुवि यावदास्ताम् ॥ [२२*] ${ }^{10}$ धर्माशीकमराधिपस्य समये स्रीष-
26 म(मं)चक्रो जिनो यादृक् तय्नयरचितः पुनरयन्वक्रे ततोप्यद्युतम् 1 वीह्दार: स्थविरस्य तस्य च तया यबादयझ्धारितस्तष्मिन्नेव समर्पिंतच वसतादाचन्द्रचग्डद्युति ॥ [२₹*] ${ }^{11}$ तत्कीच्तिस्म-
27 रिपालयिष्थति जनो य: कयिद्रुर्वोतले सा तस्या़्युगप्रयामपरमा यूयं निना: सा्चिए: 1 तस्या: कश्चिदनिस्यितो यदि यभोव्यालोपकारी खब: तं पापीयसमा-
28 यु यासति पुनसे बोकपाला: क्रुधा 11 [28*] ${ }^{18}$ एकस्तीथिकवादिवारएाघटा-
 वझ्मधीभज: ${ }^{18}$

[^270]
# 29 प्रएयमू: ग्रीकुन्दनामा कती तस्या: सुम्दरवर्षंगुम्फरचनारम्यां प्रश्यस्ति व्यक्षात् 11 [२乡*] ${ }^{1}$ एषा प्रर्थस्तिकीर्मा वामनेन सु चिल्पिना। राजावर्तर्त सापत्नम्दधाने प्रस्तरोत्तमे॥ [२६*] 

TRANSLATION.
Hail. Obeisance to the exalted noble Vasudhard.
(V. 1.) May Vasudhârâ protect the worlds, who abates the broad atream of anlimited misery in the manifold universe by the nectar stream of ldharma; who poars out riohes of wealth and gold over earth, skios and heaven, and who concuuers all the misery of man in them. ${ }^{2}$
(V. 2.) Victorions be that lover of the lotoses, the fleshing torch for the illumination of the world, who causes oozing of tho lovely moon-gymas and (l)rings tears into) the eyes of longing people; who opens the knot of pride in haughty dambels and also the closed lotuses; who with his nectar-filled beams revives the god of love, who was burnt to ashes by favara, (who had been) smitten (by him).
(V. 3.) In his (the moon's) lineage, which enjoyn a valour worthy of homage; which is resplendent with shiuing fame; whioh apeedily annihilates the pride of the river of the gods by its purity; which destroys the splendour of its advernaries, whs a hero, known by the name of Vallabharaja, honoured among princes, the victurioan lord of broad Plṭhika, of increasing mighty prowess.
(Vr. 4-5.) The full moon expanding tho lotues of the Chhiklcora family, known on earth as sri-Dêvarakshita, the lord of Ptth! (who) sarpanaed uven the splendour of Gajapati by his splendour; whose glory alone rapishod the hearts of the world, was descended from him (Vallabharaja), as the moon from the ccosn, a (veritahle) Vishur (Vidhu), to tho Lakshmi of beanty; a (veritable) moon in caraing the riss, of the nowna, riz. ckular pleasure (as the moon raises the ocean) ; a second moon, the lustre of whone light was his fame (or, a second Vishina with Eri in the shape of tho luatre of hia famo); an incomparable treasuro of goodness; a treasure of resplendent virtues; an ocean of profundity; a peorleas ature of religion; a store of energy, the only depository of the lore of arms ;-
(V, 6.) Who was the veritable colcetial true in bentowing desired objects on supplicants; who was an irresistible thunderbolt in acoomplishing the splitting of the mighty monntaing, viz. his haughty foes; a marvellons man, whone arm was like a sprout of a marvellous herb in healing the fever of Capid in onsmoured women, and death to kings.
(V. 7.) In the Gauḍ country there was a peerleme warrior, with hin quiver, ${ }^{8}$ this incomparable diadem of kshatriyas, the Atga king Mahapa, the venerable maternal nnole of kings. He conquered Dêvarskshita in war and msintained the glory of RAmspsia, whioh rose in splendour because the obstruction carsed by his fors was removed.
(V. 8.) The daughter of this Mahapadêva was like the daughter of the mountain (i.e. Pârvati) ; she was married to the lord of Pifbi? at (Parvati) to Svayamblu;
(V. 9.) She was known as Sablcaradervi, fall of meroy liko Tart, and ahe excelled the oreepers of the wishing tree in her efforte to make gifts.
(V. 10.) To them, forsooth, was born Kumaradévi, like a devt, lovely like the oharming streak of the spotless antumnsl moon, as if Thripi herself, prompted by compassion, had descended to earth with a wish to free the world from the ooean of misery.

[^271](V. 11.) After having created her, Brahmâ was flled with pride at his own cleverness in applying his art ; excelled by her face the moon, being ashamed, remains in the air, rises at night, becomes impure and sabsequently fall of spots; how can this her marvellous beanty bo described by people like us?
(V.12.) She, who in a wonderful way possesses a beautiful body, which is a glittering net for entrapping femalo antelopes, viz, the moving eyes, and which robs the wealth of beanty of the dense waves of the playful milky ocean by her brilliant charm of lovely splendour; who does sway with the infatuation of the daughter of the monntain (i.e. Pârvatí) by her proud grace.
(V.13.) Her mind was set on religion alone ; her desire was bent on virtues; ahe had undertaken to lay in a store of merit ; she found a noble satisfaction in bestowing gifts; her gait was like that of an elephant; her appearance charming to the eye; she bowed down to the Buddha, an 4 the people sang her praise ; she took her stand in the play of commiseration, was the permanent abode of luck, annililated sin, and took her pride in abundant virtae.
(V. 14.) In the royal Gabadavala lineage, famous in the worla, was born a king, Chandra by name, a moon (nhandra) among rulers. By the streams of tears of the wives of the kings Who could not resist him, the water of the Yamuna forsooth became darker.
(V. 15.) The king Madanachandra, a crest jewel amongst impetuons kings, was born from him, the lord who brought the circle of the earth under one sceptre, the splendour of the fire of his valor being great and mighty, and who even lowered the glory of Maghavan by his glory.
(V. 16.) Hari, who had been commissioned by Hara in order to protect Vârâpasầ from the wicked Turashka warrior, as the only one who was able to protect the earth, was again born from him, his name being renowned as Gôvindachandra.
( $V, 17$.$) Wonderfal, the calfs of the celestial cows conld not formerly get even drops of the$ milk stream to drink, on account of its continuous use for satisfying the hearts of supplicants ; butafter the multitude of these supplicants had been gladdened through the liberality of that king, they sat down to the feast of drinking the milk which is always plentifal and applied according to their wishes.
(V. 18.) In the excellent citics of his adversaries, hunters by mistake do not pick up fallen necklaces, thinking them to be nooses for the deer in it, and hanters quickly remove the fallen gold ear-ring with sticks, the garlands in their hands shaking with fear, mistaking it for a smake on account of ite large size.
(V. 19.) The chariot of the sun was delayed because its span of horses were greedy after the monthful of fresh, shining, thick grass on the roofs of the palaces in the towns of his uprooted foes ; and also the moon became slow, because he had to protect the gazelle (in his orb), which was falling down, having become covetous after the grass.
(V. 20.) Kumaradêvi, forsooth, was famous with that king, like śrî with Vighun, and her praises were sung in the three worlds, and in the splendid harem of that king, she was indeed like the atreak of the moon amongst the stars.
$\therefore$ (V. 21.) This vinara, an ormament to the earth, the round of which consists of nine segments, was made by her, and decorated as it were by Vasudhârâ herself in the shape of Tarinf; and even the Craator himbelf was taken with wonder when he eaw it accomplished with the highest alcill in the applying of. wonderfal arts and looking handsome with 'the images of) gods.
(V. 22.) Having prepared that oopper-plate grant, which recorded the gift to ori-Dharma. chakra Jina, for so long a time as moon and sun endure on earth, and having given it to her, that Jambuki was made (?) the foremost of all pattalikấs by her (Kumaradêvi).
(V. 23.) This Lord of the Turning of the Whel was restured ly her in accordance with the way in which he existed in thu days of Whamisiblat, the ruhry of men, and even more wonderfully, and this riburat for that sthurbit wat chamethly wectul hy her, and might ho, placed there, stay there as long as mown and sun (owature).
(V. 24.) If anyono on the andface of the werle preserves hur fames, whe will be intent on bowing down at his pair of feot. Yuu Jinks shall the witurnists. But if any fool robs her fame, then those lökapilas will quickly puish that wieknl man in their wrulh.
(V. 25.) The poot in eight haishats known an the trustal friond of the Banga-king,
 like heretics, who was a Rihaya momatain of the thanhing jowels of furtieal composition, he made this eulogy of her, charming with stringe of leters In mutifully arrangel.
(V. 26.) This prasusti han hen unravel by the sill in Vumanu on this oxcellent stone which rivals the rajifivarta (i.e. Layis Lazuli).

No. 52.- KRISHNAIURAM PlaATES (IF SADASIVARAYA. SAKA SAMVAT 1.fs:
 Orfoeb. Maman Comonathes.

 some fine sculptures and a fow inseliptions of herer time. Thu rapper-phates were kindly
 now edit them from impressions preparel under our suporvinion,

The platos aro five in number, bound thgethir hy ating, which haw aloo a soal loosely strung to it. The uppor balf of the surfare of the neml bearh the figure of a standing boar facing the proper right; near its face in a dagger $1^{\text {law }}$, with ith phint diwnwards, and over it are cut out the figares of sun and moon. The lower half has a design not uncommon to the Vijayanagara seals. On the top of the firsi plate and immediately alove the inseription is engcaved the Strivaishnava nitmam (of tho Tongani mert) flanherd weither side with the conch and the discus (of Vishụ). The plater (oxiept the first) are numbered in KannadaTelagu numerals engraved on the right-hand e.rnur of the tirst nile of ewdi plate. The rims of the plates are raised ; and the first and last plater aro magraved on one kide only.

Tha characters are Naudinagarî, and the languago, excepting the olpisance to Ganapati at the beginning, is Sanskrit verse. Tho aigonture at the und is, ay usual, the name of the tatelary deity of the Vijuyanaga:a kings, Siri Virupikwha, aud in written iu the Kannada alphabet. There are a few orthographical pecuharitien worth nuticiug. The long vowel $f$ is represented by the usual sign for $\dot{e}$ with a mocuadury $\dot{\text { - }}$-atroke ou the top. This new long $d$, as employed in our record, is the same as the vowel ai as written at the prosont day; but in the present insoription, this latter sousd is reprowenterd by an ordinury whort $e$ with two secondary $e$ symbols on it: e.g. Ailâvalipura- occurring in lize 114, Aenikula- in liwe 145, Ebirudardyara-, in line 191, and Ekaiva in live 210. The Diavidian rough $I$ is represented, as in other Vijayanagara plates written in the Nandinàgarì alphaket, with a mecondmry $r$ on the top of a common $r$; e.g. Maru- ooourring in line 94, - Savalakkiraik- in line 141, pdra- in line 146, Siriyd- in line 150, and - Aravisi- in line 159. There arv evveral minor arrors in the inseription
sach as omissions of letters, and of the anusvâra and the visarga, wrong repetitions of the same mords and phrases, etc.; theso aro anticed in footnotes under the text. The birudas of the king and of the chiefs are known from other sources.

The insoription bolongs to thu roigu of Sadasivarâya of Vidyânagari. It recorda that st the request of Tirumala, who was in his tarn requested by Krishṇappa Nâyaka or Efishṇabhûpati as he is callod in tho inscription, Sadâsivarâya granted a number of villages to the god Tiruvêikatanâtha set up at Krishọâpuram by Krishuappa Nâyaka. The first part of the insoription gives in detail the genealogy of king Sadasfiva which is identical with that given in the British Museum plates of the same king published by Prof. Kielhorn ${ }^{1}$ and No, 58 of the Nâgamangala tâlnk of the Mysore District, pablished by Mr. Rice. ${ }^{2}$ Herein also Sadấivarâya is described as being installed on tho throne by the chief ministers at the instance of Râmarâja, who is hero, as in the other plates, ${ }^{3}$ called his sister's hasband. Later on (rv. 84-9y) tho inscription details the pedigres of the family to which Tirnmala belonged.

The genealogy begins with Nanda, who is said to have been born in the race of the monn. In his race was bjrn Chalikka and in the latter's Bijjalêndra. Sômidêva, who captured seven forts, was born in his line. His grandson was Pinnama "lord of Âravị̣̂̂̂pura." His son was Âravitti-Bukka, whose wife was Ballambika. Their son was Ramaraja who married Lakkâmbikâ. To them was born Érirangarâja (I.) and his wife was Tirumalâmbikâ. Their sons were Ramaraja (II.), Tirumala (I.) and Venkaṭadri. Tirumala is styled in the plates a Bhôja in poetry. Appended below is a genealogical tree of Tirumala's family :-


We learn from the British Museum plates that Râmarája II., Tirumala and Vêtikatâdri belonged to the same family, from which Kopdaraja of that grant was descended (see table ahวve, Vol. IV., p. 4). Tiramala bears ( (vv. 98-101) the family birudas of àmtembavaragamda,

[^272] tribhuvanimalla. ${ }^{1}$ At the time at which the present grant was made, Ramaraja, the porental general and ministor of Sadâsiva, and his brother Vanka!idri had buth been dead two years, they having fallen in the famous battle of Talikots on the e3rd Janaary A.D. 1566 , Tirumala, the second brother of Ramarija, now became tho minister and commander and was the de facto king. In the courso of the apmo or the beginning of the next year be wasto become eventually the de jure king and founded the third dyansty of Vijayanagara.

Krishṇappa Nâyaka, at whose request the presunt grant was made, is described as the grandson of Nâgama Nâyaka and tho non by Nägami of Visivanatha Nâyaka. ${ }^{a}$ He has the
 hala, Ailâvalîpuravarâdhîsuara, Pıind yukulusthipunhichiry!t and Inkishinusamudrâdhipati (v, 49-52).

In oonnection with the conquest of the Pundeg country hy the kings of Vijayanagara, me might notice that Narasa or Naran Nabaka, as he is more commonly called, is said to haro subjugated Manabhûaha, the king of Mulura. ${ }^{3}$ Wo hnow that Namat Nâyaka lived about the last quarter of the 15 th contury and that Manabhutha or Arikithari l'arâkrama Pandya began his reign in 1422 A.D. ${ }^{6}$ and raled for at leant 42 years, i.r, till almut 1440 A.D. ${ }^{6}$ it is quite likely that this Pandya king was the one reforred to an defented ly Naraba Noyaka.

Our iusoriptinn is dated (vr. 44-45) in the Saka year 1480, comproted by the nidhis (9), väranas (8), védus (4), and indu (1), in the year Prabhave, on the Makara samkranti corro sponding to the new moon tithi of the month Pushys, on a Monday. Sadagivarága wasthen encamped on the island of Sifmaigam and madh the grart. in the presence of the god Ranganâtha. The last known date for SndAuivarisga is $1567 \mathrm{~d} .11 .{ }^{7}$ some ten months earlier thon that of our record, and honce it is likely that the king, after the disnetor that befell him at Talikota two years previourly, was apendiag hin lust yearn, srivaishmavis as he was, at the saored shrine of Śrirniggam: Of all Erivaishuava placen of pilgrimage, Sritaigam is the most sacoed, and the Tuluva kings of Vijayanagara, ut lebst thoue lexginnitug with $\mathrm{K}_{\text {f }}$ fishụalêvarâfa, were very stannch Śrivaiohuavas. ${ }^{8}$ Achyutadivarhya, during hin expedition againht the Tiruvadij king dom, is desoribed, in the Achyutariyyilhyudayam of Hajanathaknvi, as haping hated af Śrirangam and sent the son of one Sagalurajag to cunquer the "Tiruvadi rajya. ${ }^{10}$ Hence it migh not be wrong to suppose that Sadlasivardys ended hiw day in Sriraigam, bat we have not any positive proofs to sapport our surmise.

[^273]Krishnappa Nâyaka constructed a temple in the village of Krishụâpuram and brilt a pratiacta round it and a tower as high as the Mandara mountain over its entrance. In front of the sbtine he erected a rarigamandapa, standing on pillars containing exquisite scalptures and decorated with rows of beautiful creepars. In this newly constracted temple he set ap the image of the god Tiruvenkatanatha. He bailt a big car for the deity and surrounded the tample with broad stroets with a view to provide an easy circuit for the car. It was for the condact of the daily $p \hat{u} j \dot{u} s$, for offerings, lighting, for incease, flower-garlands, etc., and for the monal celebration of tho core and the floating festivals, that he requested and got from Sadiasivarâya the villages mentioned in the grant.

Thorgh the Natyalsas are balieved to have usurped the throne of the Pâpdyas, they still anll themselves 'the establisher's of the Pandya dynasty.' In'corroboration of the claim of the Cayakas of Malura to the title of Pindyakulasthưpanâchâryas, we see several handreds of nscriptions belonging to the latar Paudyas, scattered over the country believed to have been ruled over by the Nâyakas, showing that they were also raling simultaneously with the Nâyakas. One of the later Paudyas, named. Srivallabha; is described, in the Pudnkkôttai plates of Ativirarama Pândya, ${ }^{2}$ as having established the Pậndya kingdom. This Srîvallabha must have been a contemporary of Narask Nàyaka, for he is the brother of Mânabhûsha defeated by Narasa, ${ }^{2}$ How he established the Pândya kingdom and under what circumstances, is not apparent. But we see as a mattor of fact tho Pândyas ruling in a semi-independent condition over their anoestral kingdom till at least 1585 A.D.; what political relationship existed between them and the Nâyakas, we do not know.

At the ond of the inscription, it is mentioned that Sabhapsati Svayambhu was the composer of the sdasana, and Viraṇacharya, son of Virana, was the engraver.

The places mentioned in the record are :-
Kilavermbu nâdu in the Panḍya manda7anu; Srivallamangalachâvadi in the above nâdu; Ardhatintrini (Arappuli P), Villamarâyan Neạungulam, Savalakkaran kulam, Pulizañgula-ddei, Pirayañkulam, Bhûsuratataka (Parpagalam, a corraption perhaps of Pâppâinkulam), Kaḷikulam, Velamkulam Eandarapâṇ̣yan puđukkâl, Ai[ya*]nâkulam, Srlkulam, Êryăru Peryalang ulam, Kokkentâmparai (modern Kongandâmpârai), Panayañgulam, Muttưr hill ; Puttaṇêri, Ârił̧akulam, Kậ̂ikułam, Kuttukkâ, Muttûr, Ramangulam, Îtampattu, Śiriyalangulam, Battaikulame Mrurappunedu, Aḷikudi and Sri-Krishņpuram.

Of these Vêmba-ndaca comprises the northẹrn portions of the Native State of Travancore and of the Distriot of Tinnevelly, and in the former state there is a large backwater lake known by the name of Vermba-naddu-kdyal indicating the ancient name of the country where it is situated. We find the following namos in the list of villages belonging to the Tinnevelly taluks of the same district and situated near K rishṇâparam:-Pirayânkulam, Pâppânkulam, ${ }^{3}$ Vêlaǹkulam, Sundarapâṇ̣iyan kâl, Koagandâmpârai, Panayầnkulam, Muttur, Âriyakulam, Muxappand\{a, and Krishpâpuram. The other places we are unable to identify.
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## 1 श्रीगयाधिपतये नम: । नमस्तुंगघिर्युंबिचंद्रचामर- <br> 2 चारवे । लैलोकयनगरारंभमूलस्तंभाय घंभवे ।(II) [?*] चर्री़ीलाव-

[^274]3 राह्हस्य दंक्र्रादंडस्स पातु व: । ₹ेमाद्रिकलया यत्र धानी छक्नन(च) सि.

5 गजोद्यूतं छरिगापि च पूभ्यते 1 (II)[.₹*] भस्ति च्चेख्मयाद्देवैर्मथ्यमाना-
6 नहांबुषे: 1 नवनीतfमवोछूतमपनीततमो मष्ष: $1(11)\left[8^{*}\right]$ तस्यासीत्त.
$7{ }^{1}$ नुयस्त्रपोभिरतुलैरन्वर्थनामा बुध: पुएयेर्य पुरखवा भुजब-
8 「लेंगरयfिष्वां "निद्नतः 1 तस्यायुन्नुषोक्य तम्य परुषो युष्षे यया-

10 देवकीजानिनिंदीये तिंमभूर्पतिः 1 यभ्सं तुछवेंद्रणु यदों क्षृष्या
11 इवान्वये $1(11)\left[\xi^{*}\right]$ ततोभुद्युक्रमाजानिनीखर्चितिपालक: । घनासमगु-


14 तोद्दामे रमेश्वरप्रमुल उमुप्दमूद्रत्तद्य स्याने स्थाने व्यधत्त य-
15 थाविधि [1*] बुधपरिब्रतो नानादानान यो भुषि षोड्य म्रिभुवनज-
16 नोद्रीतं स्फीसं यम: प्रनरुत्तय [\|ट"। न्मात्रेरीमाश्रु बध्वा(पूT) बचकजल.
17 रयां तां विसंघ्येव यूुं जीवयाष ग़्रेत्वा सम्मति भुष्नला-
18 तंचराज्यं सदीयं [1*] कत्वा योरंगयूर्य तदपि निणवये पट्टां
19 यो बभासे (1) कीfस्तस्संभं निख्वाय निभुय नभव न स्तु यमानापदा-
20 न: $1(11)\left[90^{*}\right]$ चेरं चोकं चोंयं तमीप मध्रुरापत्मभं मानभूषं वीर्यों-
21. दग्रं तुरुष्कं गजपतिनुपतिं चारिप नित्वा तदान्याम् 1 आगं-

22 गातीरलंकाप्रथमचरमभूमृत्तांतं नितोतं ख्यात: चोगी-
23 पतीनां स्नणमिव किरसो याममं यो व्यतानीस् $1(11)$ [ ११*] तिप्पाजीना०


26 जाती वीरनुसिं द्रह्धष्यारायमश्रोपती (II) [१₹*] संगचिसींद्राध्युतदे-

Second Plate; First Side,



[^275]Krishnapuram Plates of Sadasivaraya.-Saka Samvat 1489.



30 नळनहुषानप्यवन्यामयान्यान् 1 घ्या सेतोरा सुमेरोरवनि.
31 मुर्नुतः स्वैरमा चोदयाद्रे (I) रा ${ }^{1}$ [पा] सात्याचलांताद्विलहाद-

33 यः स्रीविरुपाच्चर्देवस्थाने श्रीकाकहस्तीशितुरपि नगईे वें.
34 कटाट्रो च कांच्यां। श्योशेले झोलगेले ${ }^{2}$ महति हरिहरेहोबके मं-
35 गमे च (1) ग्रीरंगो कुंभकोगो हततमसि महानंदितीथिं निवृत्तौ [॥१ €*]
36 गोकर्णा रामसेतो जरति तदितरेष्वम्यर्शेषेष पुखस्थानेष्वा-
37 रब्धनानाविंध बहकमहादानवारिप्रवाहै: 1 यस्योदंचत्तुरंग-

39 लिशधरोल्क्काटिता कुंठितामूत् ।(॥)[२०*] व्रह्मांडं विश्वचक्रं घटमु.
40 दितमहाभूतकं रबनध्वेनुं (1) सहांबोधींग्य कल्पचितिकहल-
41 तिके कांचनों कामचेन्ं । सर्वाच्द्मां यो हिरख़ाख्वरथमपि
42 तुलापृरुषं गोसह्हसं (1) हेमाखं हेमगर्भी कनककरिरथं पं-
43 चलांगल्यतानीव् ( (1) [२२*] प्राज्यं प्रशास्य निविविघ्न राज्यं चामिव शा-
44 सितुं [1*] सध्मिन्गुणेन विस्याते चितिरिंद्रे दिवं गते ।(n)[ $\left.\rho \varepsilon^{*}\right]$ ततोम्य-
45 वार्यवोर्य [:*] कीक्षष्परायमचीपति: 1 विभर्ति मरिकेयूर्नन-
40 विंशेषं मह्छीं भुजे $($ (II $)\left[२ ०^{*}\right]$ कीवर्यां यस्य समंततः प्रगुतया विखं ${ }^{*}$ रुन्चे-
47 क्यं व्रजे(।)दिल्यायंक्य पुरा पुरारिरमवझ्ञाळच्च[ग*]: प्रायश: [i*] पद्मा-
48 चोपि चतुर्भुजोजनि चतुर्वंक्नीझ्रवत्पद्ममू: (1) काकी खड़्रम-

50 त रति रुषा किं ${ }^{8}$ न् ${ }^{0}$ सत्षांबुरासोम्नानासेनात्तुंगतृटित- ${ }^{10}$
51 वस्यमतीधूक्किकापाकिकाभि: 1 संशोस्य ${ }^{11}$ सेरमेतत्पतिनिधि- ${ }^{-12}$

53 मछादानतोयेरमेये: ${ }^{13}$ ।(II) [२२*] सुत्यौदार्य्यस्सुधीभिस्स विजयन-
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54 गरे रत्नमिंद्धासनस्य: छ्मापालाल्कृष्यारयचितिपतिरध-
55 रीक्षत्य नोल्या नृगादोन् 1 का पूर्वाद्रेरथास्तनितिधरकटकादा
56 च हेमाचलांतादा सेतोरर्धिसार्थ म्रियमिन बहकोक्षत्य कोल्ल्या

पा looks like परा.
Read पस ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{7}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ Iद भा.
Read ${ }^{\circ}$ तुरंगदुदिस".
${ }^{\circ}$ दा $^{0}$ looka like ${ }^{\circ}$.a.

2 गु is corrected from ल. ${ }^{2}$ Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ भीर्षों.
5 The व of घव looks like च. "Read भ instead of $\begin{gathered}\text { ह. }\end{gathered}$
Read तु instead of न्. $\quad$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ थी ${ }^{\circ}$ instead of ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {Ef }}{ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{11}$ Read संभीष्य. $12 \mathrm{head}{ }^{\circ}$ वर्पात".


59 वि[ल]सति हरिचेता विद्वदिष्टप्रदाता (ii) [२४*] Wमेदेम निपीयमाक-

61 तप्यमान: सदा । घंतसीवंडबा(वा) मुखानल मिख्याजासीवें ग्रष्को

63 नि नरपालम्सत्यध्मम्रतिषो विगयनगरगाअद्रव्लसंच्ठा-
61 सनस्थ: [1*] नुगनकम हुषादीचीचयन्राअरीत्या ${ }^{3}$ निकपमभुज-

66 वैप्यावमचुतेंद्रे 1 घध्यास्य भद्रामनमद्य सन्तुर्तोरो बभो वेकट-

68 यमूपे [1*] अमागधेयाद चियात्र जानामाखंत्ड लावासमथाधिफ-


71 सभगिनोभर्ष्चा नग[चा] यिना" (1) रामच्यापतिमाप्यमास्सतिस्कक:


74 तोरपि चाहिमाद्रि रचयन्मत्रो निभाश्राकराभर्वां पासय-

76 यस्य यस्य पद्वाभिषेक नियतं प्रबाकों। बावंदश्राप्पेसमिश्यि- ${ }^{-1}$
77 घमाना देवीपदं दर्शयते धरिकी ( (11) [₹०"] गोलोधरविश्शारदं कुक-
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81 भोग म्रृंगविभवैरछामदानोचुरं धर्मयय खूतिमालतो-
82 पि भुवने दर्षं प्रवारचण्य । प्राता यस्स भुणं भुक्षंगमहिप्-
83 द्वि्दंसिक्मर्मोपमं (1) पातिव्रख्वपताकिकोति घरबी जानंतु स-


[^276]85 रोमाको कीतित्तेंध्वा इव भुउनमिझं संमंतवंचंत्या： 1 ［वे］－
86 एी नाशीयसीव प्रकटितविधतेर्वोंरलद्क्रया रखाग्रे（1）घां－
87 त्ये जीमूतपर्डू：＇किष्त ² मकलखलस्तोमदावानलाजां［॥₹४＊］तुंगा－
88 सेव दयां पदांबुजयुगं श्रोगां च क्ष प्पां तनुं रता（कां）नीलशितों
89 निवेगिममनवां वोचां निएर नर्मदां［1＊］तोस्यानीति ${ }^{4}$［स］मावह्यत्य－
90 वयテ्〒：शेषाद्रिवासी विभु：प्रायो यस्य विशेषभतनितुदित：

92 जितासमभांड：［1＊］7भाषगेतप्पुवरायरगंड：पोष्गनिभर्रभू－
93 नवखंड；（（11）［₹६＊］राजबिखजबिकृदो राजराजसमांहति：। खा－

95 मेरुलंघियश्षोभर： 1 शरणगतमंदाइ：${ }^{8}$ पर［さ］जभयंकर：।（॥）［₹飞＊］
96 करदाखिलभूपाल：परदारसहोटर： 1 निंदुरायमुरच्रा एसिम्में
97 धुराजगभीरfध：${ }^{0}$（II）［₹ع＊］विष्टपःयविख्यातो दुष्टशाहूलमह्देन：
98 अरीभगंड मेंकंडो छरिभ्तिसुधानिषि： $1(1)$［80＊］वर्षमानापदानख्री－．
99 इस्रनारीनटेख्वर： 1 झ्यादिजरुदे वैदितत्या ${ }^{20}$ नित्यमभिष्टुत：（（II）$\left[8\right.$ Q $\left.^{*}\right]$
$100{ }^{11}$ कांमोजमोजकाकिंगकरद्धाटादिपाश्थिवे：${ }^{12}$ सोविदक्मपदं प्रा－

102 विम्राग्पनस्मर्वोर्वोंशनतस्सदाशिवमहारायचमानायक：।
103 बा छावंगद्रिविं शेषम्मख्वत्वां सवंसहामुदहन्विद्ताएपप－
104 राय्यो विजयते वीरप्रतापोन्नत：＂［8₹＊フ निधिवारसावेदेंदुग－
105 पिते यकवस्मरे 1 प्रभके वत्ष［रैं］मासि पोषे मकरसंक्रमे 1 （11）［88＊］
क्रष्यपचने च पु－
106 सयायाममायां सोमवासरे। कावेर्यो：पावन्न ${ }^{14}$ तीरे रंगनाथस्य
107 संनिधी $1(n)\left[8 y^{*}\right]$ काप्यपान्वयरनेन याश्वतातुलकीर्तिना। घख्वदाराष－
108 ना度षविख खाधापिँतश्रिया $1(11)\left[8 \xi^{*}\right]$ ध्ममममंविदा चएयकर्मंठेन मनीषि－
109 या 1 दुमंदारिमचा（हो）पालगमंदारिभुजोजसा $1(11)\left[80^{*}\right]$ सत्याद्तांतरं－
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110 गेएय सल्क्तित्य ग्रयभ्शोभिना 1 सचितेनाधिकं भूल्या सवं－
111 झग（गु）पभालिना（II）$\left[8 \%^{*}\right]$ शीमत्कांचीपुरवराधीश्बरांकीपश्यो－

[^277]112 भिना । मोकलिपद्वर्ष है नविख्यातनिरुद म्यिता $1(1)\left[8 \varepsilon^{*}\right]$ समयद्रोह्ह－
113 रगंडख्यातिना मनुनीतिना 1 मख्यातसमयकोलाछलचिप्रेन



11.7 नागमष्ष्म पपौनेया नळनाभागकीत्तिना 1 भीविख्वनायभूपा－

 वाकारप्र． कटविटंकाकीविराअद्रंगमंटपं 1 विधाय विपुलोत्तुंगगोप्－ मयीजिच्वपीयता । पभिनीतवते घखखदपनोतनतातंये $1(1)\left[48^{*}\right]$ निरलों． कवच्चो－


 कदीपपदोपने：।（n）［ $0^{*}$ ］हत्तगीतमषावाब्च त्तिका－

[^278]
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133 य्यमंडले 1 तो(ता) म्नर्थौनदीतीर पावने वासमाभ्रितं।(i1)[00*] ग्रोव-
139 आमंगलख्यातचावटावरि विभ्युतं। ख्यातार्घनिंचितीवृच्ता-
140 दिमार्गेभान्यतां Fश्रतं ।(1) [ $\because Q^{*}$ ] धर्माfित्नमरायस्य ख्यातादपि नेड़ं141 कुलात् 1 प्राधंय य्रीशवकक्रार्सकुकसीमांचलादपि ।(II) [७२*] पुकि142 यंकुछोडेक्षुलप्पिरायन्कुलतोपि च 1 भूसुरतटागसीमांच-
143 लानाग्नेयतi' श्रितं ।(ii) [ง₹*] श्रोमत्कक्षिकुकग्रामसीमांतादपि दच्चि[यां] [1*] 144 स्रीवेलंकुळकुष्याया नेतृतौ दिश्यमाग्रितं (III)[จ8*] सुंदरग्रीपіंड्य[पूतु]145 कुस्थायास्यापि पसिमं। 1 'ऐनाकुळसीमांतादायवीमाश्रितं दिशं $1(11)$ [ง米] 146 श्रीकुछय यी रुपेर्यासं कुकसीमांचलोत्तरं 1 को क्षंतापाईप पयंकु-
147 कयोर्भयोर्रप (11)[ง६्६*] भपि "मुत्तुर्मलाभिख्यानिरेरीशान्यतां स्रितं । पु148 सनेरिग्राम के या ख्यातारियकुळन च $1(1)\left[0^{*}\right]$ कोटिष्कुकग्रामकेया कुत्तु.
 150 यामकेया शिरियासंकुळेन च 1 युकां पटैक्षुलेनापि संयुतंत सस्य151 צोभिसं (II) [जع*] धरप्पुनाङाकिकुटिभून्नयोदश्मान्वितं। श्रोक्षष्यापुर152 नामानं प्रख्यातं फ्राममुप्तमं (॥) [ $\left.\varsigma_{0}^{*}\right]$ सर्वमा(नांचतु:स्वीमा)न्यं चतु:स्सो(सी)103 मासंयुतं च समंतत: । निधिनिच्चेपपाषाएसिद्ध सान्वरजलान्वि-
 155 कौस्य कर्चहारामेस सयुतं $1(11)$ [ $\left.5 २^{*}\right]$ घनेन वेंकटेशेन भोग्यमाचंद्रता-

157 वैशरबं समधनि च्टपतिनैदनामाथ, जक्षे तत्संतत्यां चलिक्ष-
158 चितिपतिरभवस ख्कुसे ${ }^{3}$ चिष्मलँंद्र: 1 तदंभ्यं सोमिदेवोलम-
159 क्षत विमताससदुर्गायि त्वा पोंनस्तस्यार्रवीटीपुर पतिकृदमू-
160 स्पिंनमच्चोचिपास: 101 (II) [ $\left.58^{*}\right]$ भूकस्पभाखी पति(धि) ताउ.वोटिबुक्नमापो-
161 अवदस्य सूतु: 1 बक्षांविका तस्य बभूव पबी पुरंदरस्यव पुलोमकं- ${ }^{11}$

[^279]
163 त रामराज: 1 लक्ष्मीसमानचरिता ललनामतरी सद्धांबिका रति-
164 रिवार्जनि तस्य देवी। (11) [ $\left.\kappa_{\xi^{*}}{ }^{*}\right]{ }^{1}$ तस्याधिकोसमभवत्तनयस्तपोभि[:*] य्रोरंगराब.
$165{ }^{2}$ न्टपतिश्यिषंश्रीप: 1 आसंज्वलत्सु भुजधाममु यस्य चिन्नं नेनाएि वे-

167 धतीप्रथामपि तितिच्चया वसुमतीयश्यो ऊंधतीं। हिमांशुरिव

169 वीराग्रयी: $1(11)\left[5 \Sigma^{*}\right]$ सैं सद्वृर्मरणजातरुचिस्त्त सालोकदूरिततमा-
170 स्तनयस्तदीय: 1 अन्नासवृत्तिरवदातगुगा़ुवर्तों ग्रा(ग्रो) खामराज-
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172 कवीरे भंगो नदीषु पतरोषु च पह्चपात: । वसीषु पफक्
173 रचिर्विनितारतेषु नीवीविमोचनमर्भूर्ययतं पजानां। (n) [ट०*] स-
174 ल्यानुरकंरिद्रावर्हेस्मम् संवकें मंभृतसर्षलोक । (ग्रीता)

176 दनु भुवमशेषो पासयन्त्राजनील्या तपनतनयजंता दा-
177 नरील्या मझल्या । भरतर्मषखभूमा भाति तम्यानुजभ्भा व-


180 सारिस्ममापूर्य च । संवध्षानघ(कीर्षि) कोर्सिसर्स्यनिव
181 तत्यालिकां विक्रमम्रीकातां भुजकायमार्नसिखर्यर्' ४र्ष

183 वलबाहुषामा । बीरी विर्नें ${ }^{3}$ भुवि वैकर्ठाद्रिगज: कि-

185 ल्वर्गाखिन; । सीमवशावसंसस्स साधीननयसंपद: $1(4)[c 4) *]$ गी.
186 विख्वनाथभूपालचिरुप्यफलात्वना । ब्यभूर्पतातनामे-


[^280]188 भास्तत: । प्राज्यकर्णाठराज्यम्शोस्यापनाचार्यंग्युते: ।(1) [CO*] ज्ञा-
189 जेयगीन्रपाथोजमार्तोंडस मनस्सिन: । संतेंबवरगंड-
190 स् 'सिघ्बंतच्चितिरन्चिए: ।(n)[cc*] 'वशितेयशेपो नानावर्थंग्रीमंड-
191 लीकगंड्स । ऐ(प)बिरदटायराहुतनेस्सै"कभुजंगविषदम-
192 रितस्ब ((1) [Ce*] "विख्य[[न]विरुदमंनियविभाइलीलस्स विजय-

194 रदस्य ।(1)[ $\left.200^{*}\right]$ कब्पाखपरनायस्य भब्यारिसमतेजस: । वैंगचि-


Fifth Plate.
197 परीत: प्रयते[:**] न्रिग्जे: पुरीच्हितपरोगमे: । विविधे-
199 यो माननीयो मरनखिनां । सहिएखयपयोषारापूवंकं दत्तवा-
200 न्मुदा ( (N) [2०8*]
201 सरससदाशिवरायच्चितिपतिवर्यंस्स कीज्तिधर्यर्य [1*] शास202 नमिदं "सरामनदामरथेर्रमितछेमदानरते:" (IU) [१० **] मृदुपद्मिति
203 तांम्स(ताम्ब) घासनर्थ र्मितसदाशिवरायगासनेन । अभखदनु-

205 रायझामनाहीरपात्मज: । ल्टा श्रीवीरााचार्यो व्यलिखतां-8

207 मवाश्रोति पालगद्युतं पदं $1(1)\left[2 \circ \sigma^{*}\right]$ सदत्ताडिगयां ${ }^{10}$ पष्यं परदत्तानुपा208 लनं। परदक्तापषारेषा खदत्तं "निस्मलं भवेत्, ((1)[२०८*] खदत्ता परदत्तां
 210 मिः(1) [ $\left.990^{*}\right]$ ऐ(ए) कैव भगिनी लोके सर्वैषामेव भूभुजां। न भोज्या न करत्रा-
 212 पालनीयो भवस्षः । सर्वानेतान्माविन: पार्थिवृद्वान्भूयो भूयो याच-
213 ते रामघंद्र:"! [?२२*]
214 ग्रीविद्रपाँ्व ${ }^{10}$
${ }^{1}$ Read frout ${ }^{\circ}$.



- Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ विस्तर
- Read ${ }^{\circ}$ दानार्टवर्ग". $\quad{ }^{\circ 0}$ Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ दस्ताधि".
${ }^{8}$ Rend ${ }^{\circ}$ लिखातार्वं,
12 Read हरेत.
12 Read षfि $9^{\circ}$.
${ }^{4}$ Read fिष्वसं.
u In Kannada charactera.


## ABRIDGED TRANSLATION.

Vorse 1 invokes Śamblu, v. 2 the boar incarnation of Vishṇu, and v. 3 Gaṇésa.
Vr. 4.5 trace the gonenlogy of the family from the Moon.
(Vv. 6-7.) In his (i.e. Turvasu's) lino was born the husland of Dîvaki. king Timma, ns famous among the Tuḷuas as Krishṇa was among the Yadus. To him was born king Îsvaan, a jowelled crown of virtues to kings, (and) tho husband of Bukkama.
(V. 8.) To him, the son of Dêvaki, was born king Narasa, as Kama (was born) from the son of Dêvalîi (Krishụa).

Vv. 9-10 describe his generosity and his conduest of the kinglom of Tamehs (i.e, the Chôḷa country) and Śrirangapaṭaṇa.
(V. 11.) Conquering the Chêra and the Chîla, Mènabhusha, tho Pançya king of Madura, also the fierce Turushla, king Gajapati and others from the Ganges to Ianka, and from the Eastern to the Western mountains, he made all kings bear lis commands on their heads as flowers on their crowns.
(Vr. 12-14.) Of Tippâjî̀ and Nâgalâdêvî, liko Rama and lakshmaṇa of Kausalyâ and Samitrâ to Dasaratha, wero burn to him two nom Vira-N fisimhe ancl Kfishnaraya who wera brave and modest. To him were alkn born of Olaimhika two (more) noms able in protecting (the subjects), Rangakshitindra and Achyutadévaraya.
(V. 15.) Vira-Narasimha, seated on the jowelled thrume at Vijayanaghra, eclipsing by fame and policy Nriga, Naln, Nahusha and others of the worli, praimul by the Brahmanas from Sêta to Mêra, from the Eastern to the Western mountains, ruled the kingdorn pleasing the hearts of all people.
( $V$ v. 16-18.) His praises.
(V. 19.) Having ruled his large kingdom withont obstacles, the king of the earth, famons for his virtues, went to heaven as if to ralu the kingdom of heaven.
(V. 20.) Aftor him, king Krishnaraya, of umalated vuluur, lwore the whole of the earth on his shoulders with as much ense as a jowolled bracelet.
(Vv. 21-23.) Praises of KrishparAya.
(V. 24.) Whon Krishparaya had taken for his part the world of the chivas (i.e had died) his younger brother Achyutêndra, doer of gook dends, conupuror of foses, worshippor of Vishnu, who made gifts satisfying the desires of the learned, got for his sharo the whole of this earth,
(Vv. 25-26.) Praises of Achyutandra.
(V. 27.) When, having set up on earth a boxiy in the form of his famo, Achyutendra reached Vishnu's abode, his brave son Vorikatareya asoended the throne.
(Vv. 28-30.) He who was like the flower-arrowed Kâma, and was raling his kingdom (well), owing to the bad luok of his subjects, soon wont to tho city of Indra. The son of Rangakshitindra and the precions pearl of the sacred womb of Timmarula, king Sadasivaraja, who was like the santudna tree on the hill of divas, was duly installud on the throus that was the jowel of the prosperous town, Eni-Vidytinagarl, by king Rame, his sinter's husland, the protector of the goddess $\operatorname{sri}$ of the great kingdom of Karphta, who was an ormmunt to all Kshatriyas, who was endowed with valour, nobility and kindnces, and by the chicf ministers.
( $\overline{\mathrm{V}} .31$ 34.) Praises of Sedásivardya.
(Vr. 44-45.) On Monday, the new moon tithi of the dark half of the month Pausha, during the Makara-sainkramana, in the yur Prabhava, (corresponding to) the Baka year counted by the midhis (9), the ritranas (8), the ridas (4), and inilu (1, (i,.e. Saka 1489) on the banks of the sacrod river Kivêri. in the presence of (tho gool) Rahganatha, (this grant is made).
(Vr. 46-57.) By Krishṇabhûpati, of sacred fame, the ocean of meroy; who was the jewel of the family of Kaśyapa; on whom much wealth was conferred by (the god) Viŝ́ranâtha, who was leased with his devotion; who knew the truth about duty; who was always stadying the art of protecting others; who was a wise man; whose mind was purified by trathfulness; who shone by his desire to do good actions; who possessed great wealth; who was endowed with the virtaes of a wiso man; who bore the title 'the lord of Kâñchîpura;' who was also the recipient of the famous title 'Mokalipattavardhana;' who was also known as the 'Samayadrobarauganḍa;' whose policy was like that of Manu; who also shone by the celebrated distinction 'Samsyakôlahala; who was also entitled 'the lord of silâvaḷ̂pura;' who by his valour deprived the insolent king of the Tiruvadi-rajya of the seven (oomponent) parts (of his kingdom); who was famous as the 'Pâṇ̣yakulasthâpanâchârya;' who was the revered lord of the great Southern ocean; who was the grandson of king Nâgama; who was equal to Nala and Nabhâga in fame ; who was the cool moon of the ocean named king Visvanstha; who was the pearl of the oyster, vis, the womb of the virtuous Nagama,-was built a temple at Krishṇ̂pura, which was encircled by a wall of the shape of the pranava and a broad and lofty tower. It has a larke ravija-mandapa raised on a colleotion of beautiful stone pillars and adorned with rows of spouts (?). He built a car like the Mandara monntain and also broad roads round the temple, for the propitiation of the god Vishṇa set up there (i.e. in the tomple),
[ (Vv. 58-66.) Praises of tho god set up in the temple of Krishntipuram.]
(Vr. 67-69.) (For the worship of the god Vishṇu) who shone in this place under the name of Tiruvenkaţanatha, by means of barning incense, by lights, and by the offering of good food, cakes, etc., by means of offerings of several kinds of flowers, by illumination with many lights, by means of dancing, singing and music, with umbrellas, chauris, on days both ordinary and special, for the car festival at the beginning of each year, for the floating featival daring the hot season, and for the ydtrotsara,
(Vv. 70.82.) The excellent village known by the name of Árí Krishụapura, resplendent with coreals,-including the villages known as Pattanêri, Âriyakulam, Katikkulam, Kattukkal; Mattûr Ramatgajam, Ittampatton, Siriyalangalam, Patanikkulam together with thirteen bhi in Murappunaḍn (and) Â on the banks of the pure river TAmraparpi, in the ohdvadi known as Srivallamangalam, and which is situated to the north-east of the road commencing with the Arddhatintriṇ tree, etc.; east of Villamaraya's oharity and the famous Neḍungulam and of the extreme limits of Śrí- Éavalakkârańkulam; south-east of Puliyangaja-8̊dai, Kulappirayankulam and of the extreme limits of Bhâsura-tataka; south of the extreme limits of Kallikkalam; soath-west of the Velangula channel; west of Sri-Sundara-Pánqya's new ohannel; north-west of the extreme limits of Ainakujam; north of the extreme limits of Srikn!am, Eryâru-Perydlangalam and of Kokkantámpsira and Panayankulam ; and north-east of the hill known as Mutturmala-is to be enjoyed by (this god) VÁbkatêsa as a sarvamadnyon
(Vv. 96 and 102 to 104.) Having been requested by Krishṇabbupati, the frait of the long penance of VisvanAtha, whose eyes are the ocean of meroy, Tirumala Raja respectfally requested Sladisivaraya, the respected of the learned men, who, surrounded by his stannoh friends, priests, followers, all kinds of learned men, and ambassadors and by their advice, made this gift joy* oubly with gold and pouring of water,

Vr. 105-106 mention that Sabhâpati Svayambhu wrote the sfizana.
V. 107 mentions that Virap̣̂chêrya, son of Viraṇa, engraved the dooument.
$\nabla_{V} .108$-112 : the nsual imprecstory verses.
Line 214. 'The signatqre 'Srit Virúpaksha' in the Kannaḍa alphabet

# No. 53.-ARANG COPPER-PLATH GF BHMMASNNA II. GUPTA-SAMVAT 282. 

By Mima Lial, B.A.; Nabpore.

This copper-plate was found by me with Sit Kishnua Mûlguan of Ârang on my visit to that place on the 31st May 1908. Ârang is 2 L mihes cast uf haipur, the hoadquarters of the Chhationgarh Division in the Central Provinces. It cintains beveral ancient remains and it was there
 also another mutilatyd inscription at the phece on a stone in tharacters like those in which the inscriptions of Sirpur, ${ }^{3}$ which is 15 milos from Arang, aro engraved. The details as to the exact find-spot of our inscription are not availuhe, as siri krishas tohl me that it was found long ago by one of his ancestors, and as no liandits cond read it, it was ntuwend nway, so that being out of sight, it got out of mind. He, howover, aswared me lue had heard from his ancestors that it was dug up in Arang itself and that it was nut hrompht from any other plase. There is only one plate with an uncot ring passing through a romen hols, its emis ls:ing soldered to a ronnd seal. The plate measures $10 \frac{1}{2}^{4} \times 1^{\prime \prime}$ and hat irregular edges and an untwen surface, partly conroded. In spito of this, the letters are all visithe exerpt twat ther (Il. timad il) which have been much wory out and are difficult to decipher. The neal is a littl- huittlinh and has in bas. relievo a lion in a sitting ponture an the family cust, lewath whith ure inscribed in raised letters Sri-maluûrıîja-13himuase'masya.

The inscription contains 13 lines, 9 on the ohworn and 4 in the revirge side. The average size of lettere is is $^{3 \prime \prime}$. The characters lealeng th, the: Northern chas of alphabets of the type, which, according to Dr. Flect, "may les called the athinmen alphahet, with northern characteristios, of Central India from towards the end uf the ith to the midde of the ith century A. D.". There are also numerical symbols for $2(11$, , 11,210 and 8 , that latht being duabtful. The language of the record is Sanglerit probe except the imprecatory vernt in Il. 11 and 12. In respect of orthography, we have to notice tha une of the doutal namil instud of the anuseira before $\dot{s}$ in vansyaik (1. 10) and instead of the class nawsl before a guttural in pidainokuryât (in the same line). Letters with a tipha have leen montly doulhed. The vowel wign for $i$ occurs in sti (1.2) and $\hat{a}$ is sometimes denoted by a shurt vertical atroke afur the ernsoriant to which it is joined, at other timos by a top curve, enpecially when combined with an or pa (ll. 2, 3, 5, 10 and 12). Final formes of $n$ occur in 11. 6,7 and 11 .

The objeot of the inscription is to record the grant of a villnge named Vatapallike in the
 min, both of the Bharadafaja-gutra and ntudents of tho lligyoda. The rharter was insued from the Suvarnnanadi (river), where appuremtly the donor had gone tu, hather sin wome festival. The genealogy of Bhîmasena is given for nix gencrutious, thus:-Bhimshena (II.), sun of Dayitavarmman (II.), son of Bhimasêna (1.), sin of Vibhishana, nou of Dayita (I.), son of Sûra; but it is not clear to which partionlar dynasty they helonged. Theg are stated to have been horn of a family celebrated for its dignity like that of royn asceticu (rijurshitulyukulu). From this it

[^281]uybe infored that ting wore eoravthing like the Parivrajaka Mahăràjas of Dabhalâ (Jubbulproveriky) and weme like thom vasals of the Early (or Imperial) Guptas in whose era our iwarition in deted. ${ }^{1}$ The Parivaliakica inscriptions range from 475 to 528 A.D., sad ours belongs 6the thptay year 288 or A.D. 691. Oxurineoription introduces us to anothor similar family when the orecherdehip of the Groptma, whioh apparearty yontinood to be acknowidedged, at least

 of detetating the then roling king Mahandra, of whom we keow nothing beyond his naine and so wren matarialn to eetablich any corcoection between him and the dynasty of our inscription. Wr how that Mahendra was not courted. He was liberated, ${ }^{9}$ and his dynasty must have contimad to ralo for about 100 years, if Bhimasena's family was a different one. The first king 太̂un of the latter line muet have flourished in the middle of the 5th century, to judge Arom the number of generations whiob intervened between the two. In Chhattisgarh several "dynasties have miled and many immaption have been found, but ours is the only one among them which is dated in the Grpte ers. In other parts of India, too, insoriptions referring to the Guptas have been disoorered, and their ora remanized in nee even after their imperial power had come to an end. That is, in the words of Dr. Pleet, though the direot line of the Early Gupta dynasty itself may hase become extinct, Gupta dorainion atill oontinued, and the name of the Gupta kings was still reoguised as a power down to A.D. 601. Prior to the discoveryof our inscription, the latest dste expresuly given in the Gupta era was only 528 A.D. ${ }^{8}$ It is true that the inscriptione of the Falabhis kings shew that the Gapta era continned in use in Kâthiêwâd and some neighbouring parte of Gujardt, at least wa late as A.D. 766,4 but the era has not been specifically named after the Guptas in thema.

The exsct date of oux inscription sppears to be the 18th of the Bhadra month of the Gupta year ses, It does not admit of verification, as the week day is not mentioned. Its Inglish equivalent, an oalonlated by Mr. Gokal Prasid, Tahsildâr of Dhamtarí, is Tuesday, the 28nd Auguat 601, on the sesumption that the Gupta ers began on 26th February 320 A.D. whioh is taken as the coronation day of Chandra Gapta I. ${ }^{5}$.The accuracy of the above caloulafion oannot, however, be relied on, owing to the uncertainty as to whether the date is really the 18th, the figare for 8 being doabtful. Again, there is the question of intercalation ${ }^{8}$ and the altoration of the commencement of the year by the Valabhi kings who put it back 7 months, which mas also interfere with the reokoning. So much, however, is certain that our inscription was reoorded in the year 601 A.D. With regard to geographical names, Suvarnnanadi is apparently the S80, whioh rives from the Amarkantak hills and after a course of about 40 miles in Chhattisgarch finally joins the Ganges. It has been identified with the Sonos of Arrian as also with his Hrannoboas, the other Sanskrit name of the Son being Hiranyavaha or Hiranyavahin. The Sôn is frequently mentioned in Hinda literature, in the Ramâyanas of Valmîki and Tulsîdâs,

[^282]the Bhagavata and other works. There are numerous legends atont it, ono of the most piotaresque assigning the origin of the Sôn and Narinada to two tares dropped by Brahmâ, one on either side of the Amarkantak range. Its wators pesw ss great annctity, the performanoe of sandhy if on its banks ensuring absolution and the attainment of heaven even to the alayer of a Brahman. ${ }^{1}$ This mast therefore be the river, the third in India, as recorded by Arrian, whence Bhimasena issued his charter, and n.t another Sisu flowing in the south of the Bilaspur district, falling into the Borai which joins the Mahanadi. As for Dôndè and Vațapallika, the latter of which must be looked for in a form such as Badrpali or Baraphil, there are so many places in Chhattisgarh bearing theso names that it in difficult to say which partioular ones were meant. The nearest Dônḍà or Dupḍà, as we now find it, is one rituaten 25 miles west of Ârang, where the plate was found, and the nearest Barapalî is 313 niles uast of Âratg and about 50 miles east of Dup̣ada. It is included in the present Kaudiâ Zamindiry on the other side of the Mahânadi. It would then appear that Ârang also was in those days included in the Dônḍ̂̀ distriot, and the donees, if they were residents (f Ärang, lived half-way hetween the beadquarters of the district and the village granted to them.

## TEXT:

## Seal.

Śri-mahâraia-Bhîunaínanya.
Firsi Sille.
 fri-maharâja-Scurasya praprutrah praja-
dayitasya sri-[ma]hârâja-Dayitasya pantrah prapatn-nAmantary*Arâti-vibhishapasga gri-mahârajas-Vibhisha--
 gô-bhQmi-surarppa-hirany-Adi-pradab ori-
4
mahêràja-Bhimasênah tagya putrah ta[oh-chari]t-Anakaiti sadhhirwmmahadbhifoch= âddhyuahitasadah ${ }^{5}$ fri-maharaja-Dayitavarmoá
5 tasya pu[tra]h tat-pAd-Anuddhyatóntya[uta]ndèva-garu-bratımapa-bhaktah sri-mahâdian Bhimasênah kuseli Dônds-


 sagotrabhyán Bahrrioha-Harinvtmi-BappesvAmibhydrn


 ubhaya-10ka-nirapêkshah sanmdasa.,

[^283]Arans: plate of Bhimasena II.-Gupta Samvat 282.


Second Side.


 bhagavatâ Vyâsên=êbhihitan shashṭín varsha-sahasrâni
12 svarggê modati bhûmidah [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ âchchhêttâ ch=ânumantâ îha tâny=êva narakê

13 ra-satê $200 \quad 80 \quad 2$ Bhadra di $10 \quad 8^{3}$ dûtakaśscha râjaputra-Subhadraḥ utkíṛ̣ani cha Lakshmaṇênaêti || ||

## TRANSLATION.

(Ll. 1 to 4.) Om! Hail! From Suvarṇ̣anadi; the illustrious Mahârâja Bhimasêna, possessed of power and sucoess, triamphant with virtue (and) giver of many lawfully acquired jewels, cows, land, gold ${ }_{6}$ procious metals, etc. (was) the great grandson of the illustrious Mahdraja sûra, (who was) equal to all virtuous royal sages in lineage, power and fame, the grandson of the illustrious Malutraja Dayita, beloved of his subjects, the son of the illustrious Mahárája Vibhlshaņs to whom fendatory kings bowed (and who was) terrific to his enemies.
(Ll. An to 6.) His son (was) the illustrious Mahuraja Dayitavarman, the follower of his (father's) conduot, whore court was attended by great and good (personagss). His son (is) the illastrions Mahuirâja Bhimasêna, who meditates on his (father's) feet and is extremely devoted to the gods, preceptors and Brahmans. (IIc) being in good health (and) wishing good Fuck to Brâhmaṇs and othor (inhabitante) of Vatapallikê in tho district of Dôṇ̣̂, orders (as follows):-
(Ll. 6 to 8.) This village is given by me, while ruling prosperonsly, for the purpose of increasing the religious merit of ( $m y$ ) mother, father and myself, to Harisvimin and Bappaavâmin (both) of the Bharadyâja gôtra, (studonts) of the Rigoêda, as an agrahära, ${ }^{4}$ with all taxes, and lasting up to the time of the great dissolation of the universe.
(Ll. 8 to 13.) Therefore, being obedient to their commands, you should render (to them) in proper manner the taxes such as gold and bullion, etc. If anybody, being regardless of the two worlds ${ }^{5}$ and wishing to go to hell with tea past and (a similar mumber of) future (gensrations) of his family, does, canses to be done, or assents to even a small harm to this (charity), he would become joined with five greats sins and also minor sins. Moreover, in this matter it has been said by the venerable Vyass: "the giver of land enjoys in heaven for sixty thousand years, (but) the confiscator (of a grant) and he who assents (to an act of confiscation) shall dwell fur the same number of years in hell," and so forth (in other) verses. In the year of the Guptas in hundreds 200, 802 (in the month of) Bhadra on the day $108(f)$. Prinoe Subhadra was the dutaka (officer carrying the king's orders). Engraved by Lakshmana.

[^284]
## INDEX. ${ }^{1}$

By H. Kbishna Sastri, B.A.







|  | ariyasachohas, the four, . . $\begin{array}{r}\text { Pa@s } \\ 292\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| gnatavarman, sur. of E. Gungut kings, 95,322 | A rjumand Bânu Bêgram, queen of Shâh Jehân, 300n |
| Apsradha, m., - . . . - . 303 | Arjuna, mythical le., . . . . . 165 |
| Andul, Śrivaishrava saint, - . . 330 n | Arjana, Arjunadêra or Arjunavarman, |
|  | Paramarala., . 104, 105, 107, 108, 109n, |
| Andbre, 00.4 . . . . 237, 260, 269 | 114, 118n, 120, 12tn |
|  | Arjunếsqara, te., . . . . 223, 224 |
| 3igs, - . . . . . . 102 | Arkbadêva, ch., . . , . . 272 |
| Adgh con. | Armian 8hah, ch., . . . . . 308n |
| Aiggra (Agiriras), rishi, . . . . 181 | Arnôraja, Chdhamdna k. of śákambharí, . 67n |
| Anivarita-Punyavallabha, m., . . . 206n | Arrian, . . . . . . 348, 344 |
| Ammakonḍa, vi., . $2665,257,269 \mathrm{n}, 200,261,262$ | A aringal, vi., . . . . . . 234 n |
| Anmakund, s. C. Anmakonda, e . 257,285 | Artas, ch., . . . . . . 139 |
| Annalladêra, Chahamdna $k$. If ${ }^{\text {f }}$ stikamlhari, $67 n$ | artha, . . . . . . . 113n |
| Annalladevt, queen of Älhupaddvn, . 67,83 | Arundhati, $f_{4}$. . .. . . . 316 |
| Aunamdêva or Annamrtja, İutitur ch., . 105,168 | Ârya, tribe, . . . . . 277,278 |
| attepla, a frontier-guard, . . . . 2 n | Âryadinḍa, m., . . . . . . 192 |
| Annlam, s. $a$, Anuridhè, . . . . 210 n | Âryan, . . . . . . . 311 |
| Anumakonda, s. a. Anmakopiln, . . 257n, 261 | Ärêdhara, Jaina author, . . 107, 108, 118 |
|  | Ấdedhara, m., . . . . . . 116 |
| anasalra, $25,41,57,175,182,188,247,268$, | Âsala, Kedrddu ch., |
| 278, 277, 812 | Âbarâja or Âsart̂ja, s. $a$. Âtârâàja, 67, 80, |
| Anyor, vi, . . . . . . 147 | 81, 83, 83, 152 |
| Aparajita, Quhila k., . . . . 188 | Âgârijia, Chahamdna k. of Naddûla, 64, 67n, |
| Aparajita or Aparajitavileramavarman, s.a. | 72, 82, 83 |
| Vijaya-Aparajitavikraranvarman, . 87,88 | Ashab \$hâh, ch., . . . . . 308a |
| Apardjita-ohaturvAdimetgalam, a. a. Ukkal, | Ashghar, ch., . . . . . . 308n |
| ( 87,88 | Ashtasthasrikâ, work,. . . . . 321 |
| Apardjitera, te., . . . . . 74 | Asifgarb, vi, |
| appakkaykkazi, . . . . . . 28 n | Abnd, vi., . |
| Araitar, vi., . . . . . 86,88, 01 | Ab6lsa, Maurya emperor, . 136, 187, 248, 287n |
| Arakelin, m., . . . . . . 28 | Ȧrama-tbâna, vi, . . . . 107, 115 |
| Araig, vi., . 171, 172, 282n, 288, 842, 844 | affamêdha, sacrifice, |
| Aranyarâja, Paramâra k., . . . 11 | Afvapala, Chahamana k. of Naddula, 71,83 |
| Artagas or Âramapakara, vi., . . . 191 | strapati, |
| Aravtit-Bukka, Karndfa ch., . . . 828 | Agvati, a. a. Abvini, - . . 210n, 235, 237 |
| apartipara, vi., . . . . . 329 | Atharva-Teda, . . . . 146,2 |
| Arbada, s. a. ãbtu, 12, 71, 80, 81, 148, 149, | atithlisantarpana, |
| 151, 152, 154, 189n |  |
| Arbuda-mabatmya, . . . . . 189 n in | Atri, rishi, . . . 26, 38, 185n, 186 |
| Arbudarmappala, $0_{0}$, . . . . 11, 12, 181 | Attam, 8. a. Hasta, |
| aroot, oi., . . . . . . 281 | atyippora, . |
| rdhatintripi (Arappuli p), . . . 381,841 | avabhritha, |
| rdhilka or ardhautrin, . . . . 59n | avagraha, . . . . . $67,70,104,117$ |
| Arhat, . . . . . . . 67 | Âvalladêv̧, Hûna princess, - . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| rigim, s. a. Hadigrama, . . . 300,301 | Avalolitêsvara, Buddhist god, - 301, 302 |
| rikeari Pardkrama-Pandya, Pdediya bo, 380, 881 n | Avà̇ğănaka, family, . . - . 199 |
| risimhes, author, . . . . . 78 a | Aranivarman, Ohaulukya ch., . - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| rigukipam, vi., . . . . 331, 341 | Avarivarman $\mathrm{L}_{\text {\% }}$ Chalulcyat ch., $\quad . \quad 1,2,3$ |




| Paga | Paga |
| :---: | :---: |
| bhupana-gale, . . . . . . 174 | Burlinpor, vi., . . . . . 308, 308 |
| Bihar, co., . . . . . . 42 | Barms, co., . . . . . . 281 |
| bijak, . . . . . . . 125 | Burud, vi., . . . . . . 120 |
| Bijaoli, Bijolis or Bljholi, z. a. Bijoli, . . 68 | Bubbalrao, Vijayanagara prince, . . 174 |
| Bijjalêndra, k., . . . . . 829 | Baph, $f_{6 \prime}$. . . . . . . 189 |
| Bijoli, vi., . . . . . 68,7ln | Butkd Sadh, tribe, . . . . . 184n |
| Bilhaņa, ch., . . . . . . 107 | C |
| Bilhann, poet, . . . . . 16, 178 | C |
| Bilhari, vi., . . . . . 3 | Calcutta Museum, * . . 281 |
| biradamamnigavibhala, . . . . 880 | calf, crest, . . . 161, 168, 812, 315,316 |
| boar, orest, $\quad . \quad 48,98,131,188,801,274 n, 828$ | Cambuy, vi.i . . . . . . 27 |
| Bodh Gayâ, vi., . . . . . 288,287 | Ceylon, inlard, - . . 87n, 178, 290, 294n |
| Bodbianttva, . . . . 190n, 290, 291 | Chabbl, Chbabbi or Chbebbi, a. a. Sebbi, . 258n |
| Bodrâ, fort, . . . . . . 161 | Chaoha, ch., . . . . . . 11 |
| Borsi, ri., . . . . . . 344 | Chacha, z, a. Chlohiga, . . . 74,88 |
| borisaloo, the Maliah Patra, . . . 177n | Cbuchige or Chachigadira, Chdhamdrak. of |
| B8taka, m., . . . . . . 190 | Naddila, . . 70, 71, 78, 74, 88, 278п |
| Boţasvâml, m., . . . . . 282, 284 | Ohagi, fumily, . . . . . . 280n |
| bow, crest, . . . . . 181, 813 | Chahamina, do., $18 \mathrm{n}, 68,68,67,71,79$, |
| Boya-Padda, m.,. . . . . 259, 287 | 80, 81, 152, 158, 251 |
| Bojgavarma, m., . . . . . 81 | Cbihamina, $k$., . . . . . . 71 |
| Brahmakshatri, casto, . . . . 105 | Chahomina, 4. a. Chinamana, 62, 64, 71n, 78, |
| Brahman, god, 26, 37, 38, 42, 67, 75 add., | 74, 78, 82 |
| 115n, 128n, 191, 260, 327, 348 | Chilurima, Chaturina or Chahurdga, do., 81, 168 |
| Brahman, m., .. . . . 116 | chaitya, . . . . . . . 160 |
| Brahma-siddbànta, 12, 119, 130, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 218, 214, 216, 217, 228, 224, 225, 226 | ChakrakOfa er Chakrakotta, e. a. Chakrak Otys, 178, 178, 280 |
|  | Chakraboffes, vi., . . . . 178, 178 |
| Brihadârapyakôpaniohadbhâshyaffikd, quotod, . 208n | Chakrakotra-mapdalu, di., . . . . 181 |
| brihadbhógin, . . . . . .278n | Chakraktta, vi, . . . . 48,49 |
| Bribad-gaohohhe, school of Jaina toachors, . 71 | Chakraplpi, s, a. Vlahpo, . . . . 270 |
|  | Chakriyudhe, k.., . . . 4,26,28 |
| British Museam, 57, 188, 289, 248, 829, 880n, | ohakrin (chakravartin), . . . 258,266 |
| 882n, 885n | chakrin, a prooincial ckiof! . . . 850n |
| Broach, vi., . . . . . .84in | Ohalikke, k., . . . . . . 829 |
| Buchkalà, vi., . . . . . 198, 199 | Ohallkya, 8. a. Chaluky (Weatern), . 99, 101 |
| Buddha, god, . 141, 146, 190, 282, 298, 820,827 | Chaluly fameily, . . . 1, 8, 8,7n |
| Buddha, Natavadi ch., . . . . 288n |  |
| Buddhadêva, Buddhist teachor, 139, 140, 144, 148 | 48, 49, 50, 85, 56, 188, 188, $179 \mathrm{n}, 261 \mathrm{n}$, |
| Buddhardja, Kalachuri k., . . . . 296 | 817, 388 |
| Euddharâja, Kondapadmatioh., . . . 260n | Chainkyt, Chminky, Chaluky or Cbiluky, |
| Buddhila (Budbiln), Buddhiot teacker, 189, 140, 144, 148 | Wontern, dy. 16, 16, 26, 88, 102, 165, $168 \mathrm{n}, 501, \pm 08,904,290,288,260,281$, |
| Buddhiam, . . . . . 801 | 288, 885, 266, 817, 819 |
| Buddhist, $56 \mathrm{n}, 185,188,140,162 \mathrm{n}, 190,201$, | Chalokyn-Bbima I., ES. Chalukya $k$., $50,65,184$ |
| 2800n, 290, 891, 292, 268, 321 | Chaluky -Bhtwe Il., don . . . 48,49 |
| Bukkama, queon of 16vara, . . . . 840 | Obalakytobakrarartiu, rw\%. of Taila III, . 858a |
| Buguda, vi., . . . 48, 278, 274, 820m | Onilakyuchodimani, title, . . . . 860n |
| Bundelt, di., . . . . . .160n | Ohamba, Stato, . . . . . .884n |
| Bundelkhand, di., . . . . .884n | Ohammak, vio., . . . . 268, 271 ln |




full-momn, $95,105,106,161,201,806,312,816$
dark fortmight: -

dayn, nolxy:-


## dayn of the weok:-

Bunday, $68,64,119,129,158,102,168$, 167, 170, 174, 207, 208, 210, 217, 218, 219, 220, 881, 288. 228, 224, 225, 227,

528, 829, 285, 237, 801, 804
Monday, 67, 68, 80, 81, 82, 102, 118, 126, 180, 154, 185, 167, 169, 2008, 210, 211, 218, 214, 816, 217, 218, 219, 280, 221, 222, 228, 287, 228, 289, 285, 259,

287, 806, 809, 330, 340
Tueday, 106, 184, 189, 211, 212, 214, $216,216,217,218,290,221,222,298$,

226, 22\% 84.3

| ata | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wednesday, $81,124,129,158,159,161$, | Dêvèndravarman, E. Gaxiga k., . . . 95 |
| 20, 176, 181, 208, 209, 818, 813, 217, | Devrî, sub-tribe of Chohans, |
| 8, 219, 220, 221, 222, 226. 227, 228, | Dêru, m., |
| 229, $278,312,316$ | Desralapalli, vi., . . . . . $33 \cap_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| Thursday, 56 and add., 106, 119, 120, 212, | dhakk ${ }_{\text {â, }}$. . . . . . . 205 |
| 214, 217, 218, 819, 220, 221, 226, 227, | Dhaladi, s. a. Dhalaga, - . . 49 |
| 229,304 | Dhalaga, ch., |
| Friday, $\quad 56$ add., 184, 158, 218, 218, 220, | Dhâmadâra, m., . . . . . 181 |
| , 283, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, | Dhamadầvasurman, $m$., |
| 230, 256 | Dhamêkǐh stapa, . . . . . 319 |
| Saturday, $808,914,215,217,819,220$, | Dhammapada, . . . . . . 137 |
| 221, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228, | Dhamitari, vi, |
| r, cre | Dhanadatta, m., |
| DAgA, m., | Dhanadattabasa, m., |
| Dehera, m., | Dhapadera, m., |
| il, m., . . . . . . 199 | Dhanagars, m., - |
| Delh, vi., . . 62, $87 \mathrm{n}, 154,293,294,296$ | Dhanañjaya, poet, . . . . . 200n |
| Demati, $f$., . . . . . . 153 | Dhanañjara-Pungavallabha, m., . . 206 |
| Dendyamakn, s. a. DidwAnn, | Dhanasimihh, m., • - . 150 , |
| Dedradh, vi., . . . . . 120n, 200 | Dhândhaladêrí, $f$ |
| D8o Köngerti, vi., . . . . 167, 168 | Dhandhu, Dhandhaka or |
| Desils, vi, . . . . .28,43, 194 | ara |
| -ori, vi., - . . . . . 169n | Dhanơrâ, vi., |
| Deasla, m., | Dhanake, m., |
| Definainsmall, quotod, - . . . 320 | Dhatr, vi., |
| Desari, vi.. . . . . . . 68 | Dhârâ, s.a. Dbàr, 28, 72n, 105, 114, 121, 151, |
| 12 |  |
| Deatha, m., | Dba |
| Dêthoharya, Jaina toather, . . . 71 | Dhârana-Mabâdêvi, $q^{\text {ue }}$ |
| detmid (duvara, dêrii), a youngor brothor. . 82 | (I.), . . . . 162, 163,316 |
| Devidana, m., | ci |
| Desradidernida, faumily, | h., - - . - - ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |
| Devadhara, m., | dharaptvartha, biruda, |
| Devilitya, s. a. Natmeditya, | Dharaplvaraha, Chapa c |
| Cotragiri, vi., . . 72, 88n, 119n, 165, 200 | Dbarivarshas or Dhârávarsha |
| Derugupta. k., . . . . . . 268 |  |
| Devahrade or Devalradatirtha, s.a. Sihima, | Dhartivariha, Paramara prince, |
| 182, 184, 187 | Dharediara, m., |
| Dtraki, queon of Timma, | dharms, |
| devakula, . | Dharma, s. a. Dharmapala, |
| Dôrakatu, bill, . - . 184, 185n and | a, Budaha, - 320, |
| Ddvam-Pavittiran, m., | Dharmsohakra-ulina, s. a |
| Dedrapala, Pala k., - - ${ }^{\text {nn, }}$ |  |
| Detrapila, Paramdra ka, 108, 105, 108, 115, 118 | D |
| dêvaputra, . . 239, 240, 242, 244, 240 |  |
| Detraràja, Paramâra ki., . . . 72 |  |
|  |  |
| novarakrhita, oh., - . 320, 322, $228,32 \mathrm{~s}$ |  |
| Vakdfaka k., . . . ${ }^{269}$ | Dharmaptla, Pala |
| Dêmaini, * a. Desari, . . . 67,68 | Dharmapa |


|  | Dudia, ei, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {A日g }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dharmapuri, s. $a$. Dharmapuram, . 49, 50, 58 | Dublimana, Epic watrior, . . - 268 |
| dharmaedstra, . . . . . 47, 274 | Dapdi, mi., |
| Dharmáñoka, $k$., . . . . . 380, 388 | Dargi, goddoss, . . . . 165u, 189, 207 |
| Dharmasôri, Jaina teacher, . . 158, 154 | Darga, s. a. Durgarka, . . . . 12 |
| Dharmavaram, or ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{varamu}$, 8. a. Dharmapu- | Dargagana, m., |
| ram, . . . . . . 50,56 | Durgarija, oh., . . . . $49,50,58$ |
| Dhauli, vin, - . . . . 287 n | Dargdiran (Dargiditya), m., . . . 12 |
| Dhavala, Maurya k., . . . . 188 | Darjaym, Kakatiya k., - . 165, 259,280 |
| Dhavala, RAshtrakuifa ok. of Hastikurdf, 71, 83n | Darjayn-kulm, s, ac. Chagi, . . . 260n, 282n |
| Dhlika, ch., . . . . . . 2, on | Darisbladeri, queom of Kakkn, . . . 280 |
| Dholka, vi., . . . . . . 78 | Darlabharija, ok., . . . . . 11 |
| Dhondhaka, m., . . . . . 198 | dita or datake, 64, 107, 119p, 110, 274, 274, |
| Dhruva, RAshtrakita k., . . 26, 194, 195 | 300, 845 |
| Dhrurs, the Pole star, . . . 75 ada. | duraggn (dvirgn), . . . . . $\mathrm{bon}^{\text {a }}$ |
| Dhrava I., Gujardt Rashtrakifa ok., . . 29 | Drimandhankeirys, quotsd, . . . . 208n |
| Dhruva or Dhruvardja II., do., . . 8, 29 | dvivdm, , . . $106,116,117,119$ |
| Dhalia, vi., . . . . . . 26 |  |
| Dhâra, mo, - . . . . 6 |  |
| Dhartarati, m., . . . . . . 189 |  |
| Didwank, vi., | E |
| Digbhañjedêra, Bhä̈ja ek., . . . 278 | eolipes, lumar, . . 95, 105, 106, 901, 206, 804 |
| Dikpeladesra, Bastar oh., . . 164, 166, 166 | collpue, wlar, $95,96,101,108,120,163,167,170$ |
| diksbits (diksbit), .106, 107, 116, 116, 117, 119 | Edamasp, vi., . . . . . . 236 |
| Dimdavanganagara, s. a. Didwank, . . 10711 | edurabl(-vishaya), di, . . . . 16 |
| dinara, ooin, . . . . . . 290 | tikiminathm, ta., . . . . 1640 |
| Dindigul, vi., . . . . . . 86 | Elonilimagara or tiknclilungarl, s.a. Waran- |
|  | sal, . . . . . . 185, 257 |
| $\mathrm{dipapaja}_{0}$. . . . . . . ${ }^{55}$ | Ekivalt, quoted, - $118 \mathrm{n}, 114 \mathrm{~m}, 115 \mathrm{n}, 268 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Diparnimsa, quoted, - . . . . 248n | Eiklitgit, vi., . . . . . . 180n |
| DipisvAmi, m., . | Elamenti, pi., . . . . . 90, 101 |
| dithhamh (dplishţam), . - . 848, 270n | Blamita, di., . . . 188, 188, 185n |
| ditya, . . . . . . 299 | Ellore, pi., . . . . . . 58 |
| Divakara, Jaina toacher, . . . . 68 | Mlant, wi., . . . . . . $\mathrm{m}^{6}$ |
| Disakara, m., . . . . 119,189 |  |
| Diwan tank, . . . . . 188,125 | Elvinular, vi., . . w00, 810, 818, 817 |
|  | Eirmumoboes, z, c. Hirnayavaba, . . . 348 |
| Dopudi, c. a. Dupqu, . . . 848, 844, 845 |  |
| D\agar, vi., • - . - 160,161, 166 | Chaljaky-Tiknmen, $386,260,200,881,266$ |
| Dourgn Poojah, . . . . . donha, d7n | Gatighym- manyt, . . . . . \% |
|  | Gupta (or Gmapta), . 4, 286, 286, 287, 288, |
| Draksharama, vi., . . . . 188, 188 | (14,349,845 |
| dramgika, <br> Dramgiys, | Gapka-Valmbli, . . . . 1, 2, 4 |
|  | Hexrban, - . $288,286,289,290$ |
|  | Hijrme . . . . . . 806, 008 |
| Dravida, oo., - . . . . . ${ }_{\text {draidu }}$ | Kalmoheri (Chsta), 189, 180, 160, 167, 169, |
| Dronpasitgha, m., | 20, 21 |
| Dabn-oherguv, tamk, , . . . 66 | Kollam, . . . 28t, 285, 289 |
| Dudhpani, vi., - . . . . . \$87 | Laukikeminit . . . 200,801,200 |



## F

Firdgt or Firakt, dy., $\quad \mathbf{8 0 6}, 807,808,809,810$
Agunes, numeral, $67,104,107,181,167,198$,
199, 250, 801
Firishta, author, . . 807, 308, 309n, 810n



Gaiga or Gâàga, Eastorn, dy., 27, 48, 43, 49,

$$
65,95,273 n, 322
$$

Gańga, Western, $d y .$, . . $87,88,258 \mathrm{n}$
Gaingadầra, w., . . . . 118, 119
Gaagadhara, m., . . . . 115, 117
Gasigâdharê̂rara, te., . . . . . 162
Gangaikondaebôlaparam, vi., . . . 322
GAhgakunda, s. a. Gatgaikopdacoholapuram, 178


Gatigisaggar, tank, . . - - . 162
Gabgaradi, co., . . . - . 87
Ganigesvara, s. a. Ganeffrara, - . 301, 302
ganin, - . . . . 245
Gainjầm, di., . 272, 273̣n, 974, 286, 287, 849n
gañjavara (ganjwar), a treasurer, - . 248
Garbhèśvara, $m$., . . . . 116
Gargn, astronomor, $12,180,189,130,207$,

$$
208,209,210,211,212,213,214,
$$

$215,218,217,228,224,225,226$
Garba, di.,
Garudm, orest, $24,104,117,119,123 \mathrm{n}, 193$, 274n, 302
Garaḍ, god, . . . . . . 256 n
Garndadhvajddri (Krishnagiri), mo., . 249, 258n
Garoḍa pillar, . . . . 250,256
Gande, co., . . . 178, 320, 881, 328
Gauriohandra, m., . . . . . 274
Gaurjarra, s. a. Gârjart, . . . . ${ }^{46}$
Guutamipatra, Vakafaka prince, : . . 270n
Gavtha, m., • . . • . . 119
Gayk, vin, • • ! • ! . . 146


Ghatiy期, vi., . . 191n, 977, 278, 878
Ghasent, vi,
809n













|  | Pata |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mâhishmati, s. a. Maheswar, . . 105, 106 |  |
| Mahmad, of Ghazni, | 1 |
| Mahmûd Shâh, ch., | 3 n 8 n |
| Mah6days, 8. a. Kanauj, | 4, 27, 28, 40) |
| Mahuaḑ, 8. a. Mohod, | 105, 106, 119, 120 |
| Mầ, $f$., | 153 |
| Mailama, $f$., | $257 \mathrm{n}, 250,280$ |
| Mailigideva, ch., | $18 \%$ |
| Maitapaṭa, vi., | 85n |
| Maithila, | 164 |
| Maitra, s. a. AnardduA, | 56, 134 |
| Maitraka, family, | 289 |
| Maja, prince, | 140, 143 |
| makara, banner. | - 311n |
| Makkaya, m., | - 206 |
| Malabar, co., | 230, 234, 313 |
| Maladhara, m., | 119 |
| MĂlava, co., 26, 71, 72, 103, 104n, 105, 107n. 108, 115, 118, 151, 178 and add., 269 |  |
| malave, | 82 |
| Malaya, hill, | 178 |
| Malay, peninsula, | 281 |
| Malayâlam (Malabar), co.,. | 236 |
| mall, | 810 |
| Malik Khán, a a. Raja Ma | k, . . 808n |
| Maliyapunại, vi, | , 47, 48, 49, 50, 58, 182 |
| Malkapanra, vi., | 86 |
| Malkhệ, vi., | . 27, 28, 251,256u |
| Mallâņi, di., | 878 |
| Mallapadeva, E. Chatukya | -k., * . 48 |
| Mallinathe, commentator, | . $104 \mathrm{n}, 188 \mathrm{n}, 280 \mathrm{n}$ |
| Mallishêna, Jaira teacher, | . 268 n |
| Malliytr, a a. Gudimalltur, | 881,283 |
| MAlwê ( M Alava), co., | - 189 |
| Mâmê bhânjanmê mandir, te., | , . . . 160 |
| Mámballi, vi., | - 288 |
| mâmdavi, as custom houso, | 68n |
| Mana, family, | - 887, 888 |
| Manâbharaya or Manakavaoha, nur. of |  |
| Arikesari PardkrammePand | d7a, . . 881n |
| Manabhtuba, Pandya k., | 880, 881, 840 |
| ManalmAkku, vi., | - 886,889 |
| Mannmâtra, z. ar. Mânatika, | - 172 |
| Mêns̊ina, Ratshtrakuta \%., | 178 |
| Mânapura, vi., | 178 |
| MAnatâra, woork, | - 185n |
| MAnavasimha, Ohdhamdra k. of Naddilla, 74a, |  |
|  | $80,82,88$ |
| Manavira, ok., | 168 |
| Maparpidhi, m., |  |


| Maṇ!̣aldavars, te., . . . . 289,290 <br> mandalika or maṇlałike, . . 178, 258, 259, 288 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| mandulikk or mandalike, . . 178, 258, 259, 268 <br> Mandnıpdurga, s. u. Mand $\delta$ garh, . 119, 120 |  |
| Mandnpapura, 8. a. Mandapudurga, . . 120 n |  |
| mandespikia, |  |
| Mandars, ma., . . |  |
| Matilasir, vi., |  |
| Manḍavynqura, s. a. Mandor, 73, 154, 157n, 278n Mindhinth, iflund, - 103, 105n, 106, 117, 120 |  |
|  |  |
| Mandodarl, vife of Rdoana, • . . . 113 |  |
|  |  |
| Mandigarh (Mupla), vi.,Mandur, doi.,. . .$78,154,278$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Mahgalùru (Mankalors), vi, |  |
| Mangi or Matigiraja, Nulumbak., |  |
| Mahgiynvarija, E. Chalukiya k., matigita, fith, |  |
|  |  |
| Mapikiala, a. a. Manikala, |  |
| Manikkayáagar, Saiba a aint |  |
| Manikyadivi, s. a. Ihantedrat, |  |
|  |  |
| Mauikya-mahiddivi, qucen, |  |
| Manikj divart, s. a. Imantodrarl, . . . 104 Maqnai-khaink knm or-kataka, b. a. Manpe, 280, 288 |  |
|  |  |
| Mapararkoyil, ขi., |  |
| Manpe, vi., ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Manni-uimla, dr., |  |
| Mnniratha, mon |  |
| Manmehra, oi., |  |
| Mantani, vi, |  |
| Mantenm, vi, |  |
| Manthena, A. a. Manteris, |  |
| Maptrakata, do., |  |
| Munu, mage, |  |
| Mupaguctiarh, wr, |  |
| Manyakhatan t. a. Malkhad. . |  |
| Mirmmaralyar, a. a. Kıranimha, |  |
| Marmmma, sur. of Vijaydityan |  |
| Maran, the Pandya king, - |  |
| Miguijudalyag, atr. of Varagupa, |  |
| Araximba, W. Ganga |  |
| Karethis, © |  |
| Maravarman, umr. of Pandya kingh, . |  |
|  228, 224, 285, 227 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

285, 988




331, 3£)


| Narasimhadêra, Bastar ch., $\quad . \quad . \quad 165,166$ |
| :--- |
| Narasimbadêra, Nagavañ̊i $k$., $\quad . \quad 163,164$ |

Naracimimanâth, te., . . . . . 184n
Narasimhapôtavarman, Pallava k., 203, 206
Naravarman, ParamAra k., . . 105, 114, 121

312, 316
181, 162, 290, 311, 813
Nâââyana, te., • $\quad$. $161,162,20, .262 \mathrm{n}$
Nâràyaṇapara, s. $a$. Narấsanpâl, 181, 162, 183,
179, 312, 313. 316
Narâyanpầ or Nârâyanpàla, vi., 160, 161, 162,
$168,179,311,318$
NarÅndrampigarâja, sur. of Vijaŷ̀ditya II., 27, 55, 134

Narêndrasênna, Vakdtaka k., . . . 269
Narmadâ (Narbadê), ri., 27, 103. 105, 100,
107, 117, 119, 120, 269, 311,343
Narsapar, vi.,
181n
Narwar, vi., • . . . . 139a, 194n
Nassik, vi., . . of Ghaznt Khên I., 307, 808,
Nasir Khân, sur. of Ghazult Kbên 1., 307, 309n, 310

| , |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

nattam, $a$ village site, . . . $\quad 21 \mathrm{n}, 181$ add.
nâtta-madumai, . . . . . 140, 148
Nualûdó, m.,
85
Nausarí, vi., • • $\quad 3$ в 2


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| , . . . 2:3, 293 | pranationdothidnienta, . . . . $2 \times n$ |
| Pallilide Di, |  |
|  |  Nito, 108, 14. $103,1 \times \mathrm{N}, 112 \mathrm{n}, 11 \mathrm{~s}, 11 \mathrm{~m}$, |
| Pamgarb, oin, | \% |
| Pampa-Bharata, quoted, . . . . $2 \times 0 \times 1 \mathrm{l}$ | Paramina, |
| Pamportja or Paompurijadarn, Kataipa eh. $124,125 \mathrm{n}, 188,128,168,167,168,169 \mathrm{n}$, 170, 149, 148 |  <br>  <br>  |
| Padida, it a. Pamgarh, . . . . 283 | 315.315.319 |
| Papgyangulam, oi, . . . . 331, 341 | Patambavara, m. Viotios. |
| Priniohagatgi, ri, . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Paramoray Karshay. m. |
| 193 |  |
| panobskalpin (psinebolit, . . . 117, 117 | Taratakx 1t. do, |
| Paurchails, 00.1 | Primana m. |
|  |  |
| puiohamahuabde, . . . . 3, i:s | fraramiba, em. . . . . . 87 |
| Paichamalya, the 13ik arga of the Surithe. | Ftataik |
| dhifacharita, . . . . .115n | Pamabrame miot. . . . 4t.15, ju |
| Paftohappalli, di., . . . . . 233 | pargund a disfort. |
| Patiobatantra, . . . . . iss | Fatijata, me, |
|  | parijutan trom. |
| pupdite, 108, 107, 116, 116, 117, 110, 164, |  |
| 188n, 160, 318 | Pariorijakn famity. . . . .243. 839 |
| Plqdigay rock, . . . . . . Msa | Patiapalin, ac., |
| Papda, mythical k., . . . . . 28. | Pepporulan, a Plaplakylam. |
| Pandya, 00., 84, 88, 86n, 87, 88, 89, 83n, 3014, | fatra, \% Suadte. |
| 201, 208, 806, 214, 888, 288, 208n, \%x8, | Pirtutatite Jaima ment. . , . 367\% |
| 280, 288, 34nn, 880, 241, 240 | plardyike, |
| Ptodyakulusthapandoblirys, biruda, 800, 831, 241 | Parlainh, . . . . . imu. gi* |
| Papdym-mindelis, 00., . . . . 831,341 | Parmic, di, . . . . 377. 日it |
| Ptodjarillaram, m., . . . . . 10 | Pirral. godileas, - . 71. 815, 310, 325. 327 |
| Patigataridgra di, . . . . ant, the | Pirvath yooem of Kita 11.t . . . 20\%on |
|  | Phrvatif to. . . . . . 198. 109 |
| Pantha, m., . . . . . $60,60,61$ | Pirvaty tribe, . . . . . 374 |
| Ponwd, tribe, - . . . . . 178 | Phaspeta, . . . . . . 14 , |
|  | Phaptia, on, . . . . . me |
| Papplam, *i., . . . . . . ent | Pminpati, s.a. Alva, . . . . . we |
|  |  |
| Parbale, Rdeditrobafa in, 2inc, 2is, \%0, 2xs, | Patan, vi., . . . . . . - ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |
|  | paturi (paditi). . . . . . . Hon |


$\ldots=1$
Pramâra, s. a. Paramâra, 11, 12, 105, 115,
$118,121,151$
prangara,
132,183
Prindoru, vi., . . . . . 132, 133
Prlàkếsvara, te., . . . . 168, 170
Prapaunamprita, poem, . . . . 330n
Prasanna, ch., - . . . . . 172
pragasti, $4 \ln , 71,79,107,118,128,128$, 151, 182, 183, 189, 250, 251, 293, 300, 321, 328
Prasiddhadharala, sur. of Mugdhatunga, . 27 prastha, measure, $\quad$ 288, 290 Pratß̂pa, Chahamana ko of Naddûla, - 80, 83 Pratapachakravartin, sur. of Jagadekamalla

$$
\text { III., } \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad \cdot \quad .258 \mathrm{n}
$$

Pratêpamalla, s. a. Pratâpa, . . 81, 152
Pratâparàjadàra, Bastar ch., . . . 166
Pratâparudra, $k$., . . . . . 1650 n
Pratâparudra or Prataprarudradera, Kdkatiya
k., . . . 165, 168, 257n, 259, 261n

Pratâparudrayábobhû̉haņa, s. a. Pratâpara-
driya,
186.

Prataparudrifya, . . . . 165n, 186
prâtibhêdikA, - . . . . . 299
pratihatra, . . . . 119, 180, 199
Pratihara or Pratshars, $d y$., 28, 62n, 180, 277, 278, 279

pratolis, . . . . . . . 225
pravanikara, tax, . . . . . 805
Pravarapura, vi., . . . . . 268
Pravaras:-
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## Q

Quilon, vi., . . . . . 236, 2988

## R

| Rachbils, s. a. Tachbila, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ragholi, vi., |  |  |
| Raghn, mythical k., |  |  |
| Raghuvamas, quoted, |  |  |
| Rahatgadh, vi., |  |  |
| Raichur, vi, |  |  |
| Raigarh, vi, |  |  |
| miny season, |  |  |
| Raipar, vi., |  |  |
| Rairdkhol, di., |  |  |
| raji or raja, |  |  |
| Raja All Khan, Faragit., |  |  |
| Rajabhima, sur. of Chal |  |  |

rAjadhiraja or rajâtiraja, $2,4,163,242,344,{ }^{2} 40 B$

Rajadhiraja [II.], do., 207, 210, 211, 212,
rajàlhirAjarahi, . . . 213, 219
Rajaditya or RBjadityarasa, $k$., . . . 348a
Rhija-gachebs, achool of Jaina teachers, - In
rıja-guru,
Rajaka, m., . . . . . 19
Rajaktearivarman, Chifa k., • . . 182
Rajakennrivarman, eur. of Chotla kings, 88n,
$88 \mathrm{n}, 178 \mathrm{a}, 207,210,211,212,213,217$,
218, 219, 221
Rajakebarivarman Vira-Pandya, Konigu $k$, 223, 225, 228
rijakuls, .
Laja Malik or Malik Raja, Farqqi k., $307^{\circ}$ 308, 309, 310
Rajumbrtanda, Fi. Chatukya k., . . 40, 55

rajnn, - . . . . 82, 106, 116
Rajunthakari, poet, . . . . . 880
Rajapur, fort,
Rajapura, vi., . 174, 175, 176, 178, 179, 181
rbjaputra,
majaitjan, R. Chatukya rh., . . . 32
Thjardjir I., C'haia k., 84, 89, 02n, 93n, 207, 217, 231, 288n
Rdjartja II., Ho.. . . 207, 209, 210, 219
Rajaridja III, CKökak., . 218, 215, 216, 221
Rajardjur-chaturvodimatgalam, sur. of Ambas-
mmudram, .
RAjartijakesarivartian, s. a. Rajaraja I., , 207, 20 il Rajartije-tanmulninta, the PAndya country, . 8
 Rajastak brat, poot, . . . . . 4, , 4 Rajasimhdirara, to. . . . . 802, 808 Tajenthintya, . . . . . 190, 288 Ritjataraigiqt, quoted, . . 248, 800, 801h rejarall . . . . . 81, 161, 162 Lajarall-kathe, . . . . . . 286 CH rajarallabha, . . . . . . 188 Rajb, m. . . . . . . . 1 lb Rujödra-Choda, Valandnф́u ch., . . 261, 288~ Rajandracthola I., Chtbla k., 84, 88n, 88, 179n, 217, 229, 280, 281, 232, 8229 Rajoridra-Chota II, sur. of Kulditudga $I$, , 218 Rajendra-Chole III., Chala th., . . 218, 82


$P_{A G E}$

Rudra, Rudradèra or Rudrudera-Mahâràja, Fikatiya k., . 185, 256n, 257n, 25\%, $260,261 \mathrm{n}, 282$
Rudra, Natavadich., . . . . . 2Etin
Rudra, Rudramadêvi or Rudradêra-Malâríja,
8. a. Rudràmbâ, . . 20̄̈n, 2ō7n and adh.

Rudraka, m., . . . . . . 192
Rudrumahêdêvi, s. a. Rudràmba, . . 257 ulh. Rudrâmbâ, Kakatîya quecn, $\quad 164 \mathrm{n}, 165 \mathrm{n}$ und udd.
Rudrapratâpadâva, Bastar ch., . . . $16 \mathrm{~B} ;$
Rudracêna I., Vatktitakak., . . . 268
Rudrasona IL., do., . . . . 2ti8
Rudrêbvara, te., . . . . . 257n
Râpâdếly, queen of Têjasimha, . . 74,83
rapakàra, . . . . . . . 110
s
Sabbamà, Kondapadmati princess, . . $20 ; 2 \mathrm{n}$
Sabbama, Velanandu princess, . . . $262_{n}$
Sabbi-aÂyira (Sabbi, one thonkand), di., 258, 250, 280, 288
Sabdânu Gâsanavritti, quoted,
207
Gábdika, a grammarian, . . . . 119
Sabhâpati Svayambhu, m., . . . 891,311
Sadasiva or Sadâfivardya, Tijayanagarak., $328,329,430,881,340,341$
Sâdba, ch., . . . . . . 72
Sadhanva, Ckaulukya ch., . . . . 8
Sâdhâraṇa, m., . . . . . . 117
sâdhu, . . . 181, 238, 239n, 818, 316
Sadri, vi., . . . . . . . 168
Saduktikarnampita, poem, . . . . $32: 3$
Sagalaràja or Salagaraja, ch., . . . 380
Sagara, mythical k., . . . . 10 inn $_{2} 208$
sâgarakshôbha, musical instrument, . . 2 n
Saggayayyana, $k$., • . . . 280,288
Saghantân, 8. a. Seititan, . . . . 187
Sahadếva, ch., . . . . . . 801
Saharatga (Bâhârasa), m., . . . . 181
Salaasatuñga, sur. of Dantidurga, . . 255 n
Sababrarjuna, mythical k., . . . . 88
Sabêt Mahêt, vi,
290, 291
Sabila, m.,

- 250

Sâhityuprakîâa, quoted, : $\quad . \quad .{ }_{45}^{25}$

Sailodbhava, do., . . . . 42,48
Sailddbhava, oh.,
$\xi_{\text {aiva, }}$.
. . . . 42
Gaiviom, • $\quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad 18,89$

Saka, trilic, . . . $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{AOB}}$
Gibkudvipa- or Sakadvipisa-Bràhmapa, . 139, 147
Gikiambhari, vi., - - 62, $87,71,80$,
Sâkamhhari-mininik yn, sur. uf Lakshman, 82, 154, 201
Sinkullina, the Sukut rountry, . . 139, 149
Śakkaragottanin or Sukkarak0ttam, s. $a$.
Chakrukitya, $17 y_{\mathrm{n}}$ and add., 280, 283
Saktikauitra, m.,
128
Gikk yamuni, s. a. Buddin, • • • . 128
Éilaiakàyıua, fumily, - . - - 58,59
Bignva du., . . . . . 380 n
S.lya, mythictl k., • - . . . 255

Enlyat or Entruablyn, $k$., - . . . 73
semihartri,

*lumatn, - - : 71n, 132, 172, 273
Simanta, c'hahumanu $k$. of Silkambhart, . 62
Smmara, m.,
Samarapura, vi.,
. 818
Sumaraniha, n. a. Samaranimha, . . . Th
Samaratiniha, Chahamatna k. of Noaddûla, 122n, 73, 74, 80, 81, 82, 83, 152
Samaranithha, m.,
158
Snmarivaluka, xur. of Sarikaragnạa, . 193, $195^{\circ}$
Samantabhurandrayn, sur, of E. Chaluteya kithla,

48, 66,132
Simatamimhu, Chahumana ch.,
anmajmdobhuragaṇla, liruda, - . 330, 84

Sumblaritiaja, a Chahmmana chief, . . Tha
EamLhu, a. a. Giva, . . . $71,72,271,34$
Snmhhnkalls, te., - . . . 17,19
Sàmlilaka, vi, . . . . . . 17 f
Sangama, m., . . . . . .
Sampamaraja, ch., . . . . . 11
Sxamgamaxinuhs, k., . . . . . 844
8àmirat, vi., . . . . . . $19 \%$

Eamkara, z. a. Eive, . . . . 67, 18 f
Ťamikaranvìmi, m., . . . . 282, 28

Bamki, t. a. 8unki, . . . . $28,29,40 \mathrm{~g}$
anmkrintis :--


Samolf, $\boldsymbol{v i}$.,
Bumuddhara, sp., . . . . . 11
sacuudraghoshu, murical instrument, . . 209
Enmudragupta, Cuptak., . . . 184n, \&
Samvaturiniha, 4. a. Bamyantaviciohn, . 74n;8




Biva, goll, 25, 611, 72,73,86n, 05n, 105, 123, 125 132, $165 \mathrm{n}, 160,163,144,148,175,181$, $182,183,18 \overline{0}, 1 \wedge 0,199,205,208,228$, $238 \mathrm{n}, 270,275 \mathrm{n}, 291,299,301$
Sivalinga
Sivalli (Shivalli), vi,, . . . . . 270
Siva价a, $m$., . . . . . . 21
জivaràin, ch., . . . . . . 12
Sivavalli, s. at. Sivalli, . - 285, 288, 288
Givav ogneithasvimit, te., - $21,22,24$
Siwalik, mon. . . - 207, 212
Siwani, vi. - 62, 87n, 164
slyadioni, ri., . . . $27011,27 \mathrm{ln}$
Enhbummidve, m., $\quad 63 \mathrm{n}, 180 \mathrm{n}$ and add
SObhita or Solhita, 8. a. Solhiya, . 67, 88 , 149n
Eoxlabr, x. a. Eumpies 80, 88
Foxlabs, x. a. Guxulias, - , 243, 245, 247,248
Solba, m., . . . . . . 68
Eohada, m., . . . . . . 118 gn
Solnilh, a. a. Subbstararmana, . . . 105 a
Esht, n., . . . . . . . 158
Sont, a.a. Nolliys, . . . . 80, 83
EShiym, Chahamana k. uf Aiculualla, 64, 68n,
$71,80,88$
8riar race, . . . . . 164n, 260,262

Stmant, m. . . . . . . 816
ESimxadjxdArn, Eakairuteh., . 167, 170, 188
$88 \mathrm{mula}, t \mathrm{te}$,
Bomdivnta, CkAhawanak. of Ŝakambhari, 62, 71n
Sormelvan, w., . . . . . . 117
Eomdifari I.. WF. Chalukya $k$., . . . 280
SBmAdrua III., do.. . . , 288n
Somesvati or Esmofvirativa (1.), Nagavamsi
k., . . . 161, 162, 168, 164, 812, 816

Eomdirara or Sotidetrara ieva (II.), do., 180 ,
162,164
$80 \mathrm{mid} d \mathrm{za}$, Zarndita ch.,
. 828
80n, ri.. . . . . . . 848, 844
Eonalga,h, fort, . . . . . . 78
Eondpam, vi., . . . . . . 67
Gundbea, Kikatrapa k., . . 246, 247, 248
Bunow, x, a. 88s, . . . . . . 848.
vonpar, bi., . . . . . . 18 in
Sorab, vi., . . . . . . . 16
Siaviga-Brlgolen, vi., . . . . . 288 n
Grivasti, r. a. Babbt Mubtet, . . . . 201
Gravarami, mn . . . . . 888



## $T$




Tanjure, vi., . 86, 89, 02n, 93n, 94n, 231, 282n, 288n
Tntiku, co., . . . . . . 26
tatika, coin, - . . . . 125n, 169n
tarra, . . . . . . 313

Tінари, m., • . . . . . 192
Tàrànstina, m., . . . . . . 199

Tari Peanu, Nista-goddrus, . . . 176
Tarundidityalèra, tc., . . . . . 2,8
tanhidd, • . . . . . 808
Thita, Pratikirach., . . . . . 280
Thtabikyana, ch., . . . . . 48
Thatarkarya, srivaiahnada prceeptor, . . 830n
tararemani, . . . . . . 885n
Tawar, a. a. Kawar, . . . . . 286
Texik, vi., . . . 187, 159, 140, 141, 148
Tojaltphlis, m., . . . . 148, 140,150
Tojadn-vacsht, a. a. 'TAjapalavambikA, . . 148n
TDjapala, m., . . . . . . 81п
TAjaphlan-reahika, to.. . . . 81n, 148n
Tejumitahm, Cianamana k. of Naddala, 81, $82,88,152,158$

Telllaberry, vin, . . . . . 888n
Tologu-Chada, family, . . . . 280
Ten, ti., . . . . . . . 29
Teggalui, a acel of Strivaishnavau, . . 828
Tonnts, a. a. Tob, . . . . $25,89,40$
Tênvt, ol., . . . . . . 185
Towar, oin. . . . . . . 107
Tezpar, *i., . . . . . . 848n
引bukkare, . . . . 106, 116, 1880, 804
tigec, creat. - $67,181,163,181,818,818$,
818, 316
Tihape or Tihuphika, Cudiametma k. of Naddilla, - . . 81,88, 88, 162, 158

INDEX.



| Gr | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| İri, vi., . . . . . 201, 208n | VardhamAnasûri, Jaina teaoher, . . . 149 |
| arya, m., . . . . . . 816 | Vhrdhanapet, vi., - . . . . 261n |
| patirâja, Chahamdna k. of Śdkambharí, 67, | Farmslata, $k$., . $10 \mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{n}, 12,71 \mathrm{n}, 187,189,190$ |
| 82, 83 | Varuna, god, . . . . . . 299 |
| Fakpatirlja II., Paramára k., . . 71, 104n | Varuṇ̂f ${ }^{\text {crafarmsn, m., . . . . } 308}$ |
| Valabhi, vi., . . . 4, 63n, 195, 269, 843 | Vâbadhara, m., - . . . 117 |
| Valasvami, m., . . . . . . 288 | Vâsals (Vibala P), m., - . . 64 |
| TAlereys, m., • . . . . . 211 | VâsantadAvi, queen of Gôvindachandra, , 321 |
| Talina, m., . . . . . 242 | Vasantgac̣h (Basantgadh), vi., . 10, 1 |
| Valica or Balica, s. a. Wanesa, . . 29,40 | $71 \mathrm{n}, 187,188,189 n, 191$ |
| Valla, co., • . . 277, 278 | Vasavadattû, quoted, . . . . 255 n |
| Vallabha, sur. of W. Chalukya kings, . . 196 | Vasishțha, sage, . . .11, 12, 151, 189n |
| Vallabha, Chobla K., . . . . . 284n | Vastupala, m., . . . . . 150 |
| Vallable or Vallabendra, sur. of Pulakêin IT., | Vărudêva, Kushana k., • . . 246 |
| 317, 819 | Vándêva, m., . . . 6, 124, 127, 128, 316 |
| Vallsha or Vallebhondre, zur. of Rathtra- | Vâsudêra, s. a. Vishnn, - - 303 |
| kalta kings, . . . . . 48,55 | Vesudhara, Buddhist goddoss, . 320, 326, 327 |
| Vallabhanarendra, do., . . . . 195 | Vassachka or Väsashlka, Kwshaña lon, . 246 |
| Vallabharâja, ch., . . . 320, 323, 826 | Vata, Vatanagara or Vaţapu |
| Vallabharaja, sur. of Dantidnrga, - . 188 | geḍh, - . . .11, 12, 189, 191, 192n |
| Vallavaraiyar-Vandyadevar, ch., . . . 281 | Vaţâkura or Vatâkarasthâna, do., - 11n, 189, 191 |
| Valljiikâ, vi., . . . . . 296, 289 | Vatapallikâ, vi., . . . 342, 344, 345 |
| VAlmiki, sage, . . . . . 11,348 | Vaţêranyếrvara, te., |
| Yâmana, grammarian, . . . . 180 | Fatchalin, m., |
| Fômana, m. . . . : i21, 828, 828 | Vatea, rizhi, * . . . . 205 |
| VAmantoharya, m., . . . . .114n | Vatearaja, mythical k., • . . . 200 |
| Vamefaratha, di., . . . . 11,12 | Vatsaraja, Pratihâra ch., • . . 199 |
| Vansvici, Vanavdai or Vanavaiomap- | Vâvans-pattalâ, di, |
| dalm, di., . . 16, 101, 201, 204,230, 288 | Varulika, vi., - . . . 29,40 |
| Vandram, vi., . . . . 181, 182 |  |
| Vaiga (Batga), 00., . . . 823, 328 | Fayudeva, m., |
| Vaigalamdeba (Bengal), co., . . . 231,238 | Véda, m., |
| VẠ̊i, s. a. Saraumut, . . . . 11, 286 |  |
| Vanikoffa, vi. (P) . . . 167, 168, 169 |  |
| Vaifjulvaka, vi, . . . . 273,275 | $115,116,119,276 \mathrm{n}$ |
| rantaka, a sharo, . . . . 108,119 | Advalayana, - 224 |
| Tapparvimil, m., . . . . . 288 | Bahvrioha, - . 116 |
| FaradámbA, queen of Achyutadêvarkys, . . 330n | Sanshaysam, . . . 115 |
| Vâradspallika or Bâradsa ${ }^{\circ}$, s. a. Bardolt, 29,40 | Sâman or Bâmaveds, . . . . 317,318 |
| Varagapa or Varagapar-Pândya, Pandyak., | Chhandoga,. - . . . 170 |
| 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 93n, 94n | Yajus, • - . . . . 118 |
| Varagupa-Mahâraja, s. a. Varıguppa, 86, 87, | Katha, Kanthama, . . . . . 116,116 |
| Varahamihira, astronomor, . . . . 27 | Medhyamdina, . . 115, 116,110 |
|  | Teittiriya, . - . ${ }^{296,299}$ |
| Varshoiskimi, m., • . . . . 808 | Vâji-Madhyandina, . . 25, 40, 115 |
|  | $\text { Vàjisandyin, : } \quad \text {. } 178$ |
| Värapafi, Vârapâi or Vardpani, s. a. Benares, $21,24,60,61,327$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vajisaneying, } \\ \text { Völamk tlam, vi., } \end{gathered} \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad 931,841$ |
|  |  |
| Vardhamananagari, vi, ${ }^{\text {a }}$, . . . 261 | velana, - . . . 3 - |


| Vêlhâka, m., . . . . . . 153 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| vellilai (verrilai), betel-leaves, . . . 92 n | Vjiayaitya, m., • . . . . 132 |
| Velvola, s. a. Belvola, . . . . 201,208 | Vijnyiditga, WF. Chalukyat ko, . . 201, 205 |
| Vêmba-nâdu, di., . . . . . 831 | Vijaymilya III., E. Chulukiyue ch., . . 323 |
| Vêmbâra, vi., . . . . . . 248 | Vijayilitya I., ER. U'htlukyak., . . 55,134 |
| Vômbarratr, vi., . . . . . . 87 | Vijayiditya II., the, . . 27, 50.134 |
| Vêmbil, s. a. Vômbarrîtr, . . . 86, 87, 88 | Vijayial tya III., dri.s 48, 49, 50, 55, 134, 179n |
| Vêṇ (vêņḷiya), . . . . . 86 | Vijayislitya IV., dr., . . . 65,134 |
| Vênâḍu or Vênâd, | Vijarialitys V., t/t, . . . 49, 134 |
| vêngatribhuvanîmalla, biruda, . . . 330 | Vijayiolitya Vf., кur. $1 f^{\prime}$ Ammarija II., . 48, 56, 132 |
| Vêngí, one thousand, di., . . . . 132 | Vijayislitym VIL., İ, C'hutukyake, . . 322 |
| Vêngî, vi., . . . . . . 261 | Vijayailaya, C'hilut kr, . . . . 89n |
| Vồ̉gî or Vếngi, co., . . 27, 55, 56, 183, | vijayallihs, . . . . . . 294 |
| 178, 179, 822 | Vijayanamgalam, ri., . . . . . 225 |
| Vengípura, s. a. Pedda-Vêgi, . . E8,69 | Vijaynnagara, vi., : 174, 262, 328, 330, 340 |
| Veñkaṭ̂chalapati, te', . . . . . 328 | Vijnya-Nandivarman, Sillunkiyuta ke., 57, 58, 50n |
| Veñkatûdri, Karnụta ch., . . . 329,330 | Vijayapüls, m., . . . . . . 70 |
| Vênkaţarâya, Trijuyanagar'l prince, . . 340 | Vijas'l, m., . . . . . 117 |
| Vènkaṭêéa, s. $u$. Vonikatâachalapati, . . 341 | Vikrama, a. a. Vikramilitg V1, ${ }_{\text {, }}$ ( 178, 179 |
| Vêrâval (Verâwal), vi., . . . 4, 343n | Vikrama-Chona, Chilat $k$., . . . 209, 219 |
| vêtrika, - . . . . . 168n | Vikrmmilita I., S.. Chalukya k., . 55, 132 |
| Vibhîshaṇa, k., . . . . 342,345 | Yikramiditya Il., tlu., . . 49, 55, 134 |
| Yidhu, s. a. Vishṇu, - . . . 820 | Vikramadit ga 1., Wr. Chalukiga k., 98, 90, 101, |
| Vidy@dharabhanjadeva, Blañja c\%., * 271,273 | 102, 201, 205 |
| Vidyânagari, s. a. Vijayanagara, . . 324,340 | Vhrramiditya II., do., . . . 202, 200 |
| Vidyânâtha, author, . . . . . 160 | Viknmilitya VI, IV. Ghaluhyak., 15, 290, |
| Vigraha or Vigraharâja, ch., . . 11,12 | 258, 260, 261 |
| Vigraha or Vigraharûja, s, a. VísaladôraVigraharaja, $\qquad$ | Vikramaditya-kala, a, u. Vihromn-biamvat, , 12 Vikrumatiknulevacharita, |
| Vigrahîditya, te., . . . . . 74 | Vikruminyaloka, akr. of liorinda II., . . 251 n |
| Vigrahapâla, Chahumana \%. of Naddûla, 64, | Villamatiyng Nelutaxulan, vi., . . 331, 341 |
| 67, 71, 83 | Viluйнm, ขi., . . . . . . 80n |
| Vigrabapâla III., Pala k., . . . 321,322 | Vimala, Pragolictah., 82, $72 \mathrm{n}, 81,82,148$, |
| Vigraharaja, Châamdna k. of Sidkambhari, | 149, 150, 151, 152, 154 |
| 62, 82, 251 | Vimalachandragapi, Jaisa teacker, . . 118n |
| vihâra or vihhtra, - 245, 301, 302, 320, | Vimus-8ab, Vimala-Silua or Vimal -Shah, s, a. |
| 327, 828 | Vimala-vakaht, . . . . 81, 1488 |
| Vihêkarâtamírra, m., . . . . . 276 m | Vimala-vamahi or Vimala-Vatahiki, Yimala's |
| Vijada, 'Ohahamana k. of Nauddûla, 80,81, $82,88,152$ | tonaple, . . . 81.148n, 149, 154 <br> Vimala-vasati or Vimala-Vanatiketfirtha, s. $a$. |
| Vijada, m., . . . . 150, 151, 153 | Vimala-Vamhl, . . . . 148n, 149 |
| Vijunanâyga, m., . . . . . 18 | Vinaysintya, W. Ckalukya k., 16, 16, 101n, 201, 205 |
| Vijapala, m., . . . . . . 158 | Vinajaka, s. a. Gepapati, . . . 277, 278 |
| Vijasetti, m., • . . . . . 24 | Vinayukapla, a. a. MahtpAls, . . . 188n |
| Vijaya-Aparâjitavikramavarman, Ganiga- | Vinayamatidàvi, queen of Kiamergava II., . 95 |
| Pallava k., . . . . . 87 | Viadhym, mo., . . . $38,48,44,46,47$ |
| Vijayabhattârikâ, queen of Chandrâditya, . 102 | Vindbye or Vindhyararman, ParamAra k., |
| Vijaya-Dôvavarman or Dôvavarman, Ślain- | 105, 107, 108, 114, 121 |
| kayana $k_{1}$, . . . 56, 57, 88, 69 | Vindhyat, e. a. Malava, . . . . 107 n |
| Vijayâditya, Al.lupa k., . . . 17, 22, 28 | Vindhyeivara, *ur. of Erivardhana If., . 42,46 |


| I | Pagi |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vinitefrara, te., . . . . . 280 | Vishḥu-purầpa, . |
| Viñja, m., . . . . . . 19 | Vikhṇuarman, $m$., . . . . IC9, 170 |
| Viñjamâ, queen of Kêta II., . . . 262 n | Vishṇusvàmi, $m$., $\quad . \quad 172,282,284,237,288$ |
| Vipranârâyana, sur. of Kuppañyya, . . 182 | Vishṇuvardhana, Hoysala k., . . .179n |
| Vira-Choda, E. Chulukya ch., . . . 322 | Vishṇuvardhana I., E. Chalukya k., 317, 318, 3.9 |
| Viradharala, $m$., | Vishopuvardhana II., do.; . 55,133 |
| Vîradhavala, Vagheld li., . . . . 73 | Vishṇuvardhana III., do., . 55,134 |
| Viragaṇa, s. $\alpha$. Virta-Clụ̂la, - - . 322 | Viahpurardhana IV., do., . 55, 134 |
| virakal, . . . . . . . 17 |  |
| virı̂ma, - . . . - 258, 263a | Vishnuvardhana-Mahâraja, sur. of Kulottungar |
| Vîrami or Vîramadêva, Vîghêld k., . . 73 | Chôda I., . . . . . . 261 n |
| Vira-Mahuttama or Vira-Mahâmantri, sur. of | Viêvvầtha, god, . . . . . 341 |
| Lahadha, - . . . 151, 152 | Viśvanâtha-Nâyaka, Madura Nayaka, . 330, 341 |
| Viraṇa, m., . . . . . 331, 341 | Viêvȩ̂vala, m., |
| Vîranâchârya, m., - . . . 331, 341 | ka, vi., |
| Viraumam, vi., . . . . . . 234 n | Vizagapatam, di., . . . . . 317 |
| Viranirâyana, sur. of Amôrhavarsha I., . 27,39 | 隻er, $k$., . . . . . . 147 |
| Vìran̂xhyaṇadêva, Bastar ch., . . . 165 | Vôpadêva, Kakaira ch., 124, 127, 167, 170, |
| Vira-N chamba-Pallava-Perminadi-Jayasinghadiva, W. Chalukzya prince, . . . 261n |  |
| Vira-Nrinimba or Vira-Nârasimha, Tijayanagara $k_{\text {., }}$. . . . . 340 | Voppsevîmi, $m$., . . . . . 288 |
| Vira-Panḍya, Pandya ko, . . . 228 | Buddhist |
| Vire-Pandya, 'who took the head of the Chiofn,' do., . . . . 84, 234n | preacher, . . . . . ${ }^{245}$ |
| Virarâjêıdra I., Chola kı., . . . $179 \mathrm{n}, 218$ | Vrishabha, s. a. Ŗishabha, - . . . 155n |
| rûjèndra-Chôladèva, sur. of Kulottunga- | mily, |
| Chola III., . . . . . 213,220 |  |
| Virasimhudêva, Bastar ch., | Vûhuṇ̣ha, vi., . . . . . 80,81 |
| Vira 601 l yam, Tamil grammar, . . . 230 |  |
| Vîrasômêsvara, te., | 27, 183 |
| VirAta, s. a. Berâr, - . . . 231 u |  |
| Viratuânêfvara, te, . . . . . 89n | vyâpaṭa (vyâprita), |
| virodhâbhêsa, alamikdira, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vyâsa, rishi, . . } 6,10,133 \mathrm{n}, 171,206 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
| Visnladêva, Vaghela ke, |  |
| Viraladavve-Vigraharâja, Chahamana k. of Śdkambharî, . . . 62, 67n, 154 | Vyâsa-bhatṭâraka, s. a. Vyâsa, . . . 133 |
| viararga, . . . . $25,171,188,268,282$ |  |
| Vishaḍha, ch., . . . . . 2,3 | W |
| Vishamasiddhi, sur. of Vishnavarddhans I., 317,310 | Waddsmarri, ti., . . . . . 261 l |
| vishaya, a district, . . 40, 47, 132, 287, 288 | Wadhwîn, vi., - . - . ${ }_{29}$ |
| vishayapati, . . . . . . 273 | Wadesa, vi., |
| Vishnu, god, 25, 26, 37, 38, 39, 40, 84n, 101, | Warangal, vi, 164, 165, 256, 267, 268n, 200n, 143, 147 |
| 115n, 125n, 160, 161, 16\%, 199, 204, 208n, | Wardak, vi., |
| $249,250,256 \mathrm{n}, 260 \mathrm{n}, 269,286,304,317$, <br> $326,327,328,340,841$ |  |
| Vishpu, m., . . . . . . 117 |  |
| ishpuohitityam, Telugu poem, . 330n and add. |  |
| Vishṇulu | Yada, dy, |



## 104264


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Indian Atlas, quarter sheet 13, S. E., Long. $71^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$, Lat. $21^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Since no facsimiles can be published, it would be useless to give full particulars regarding the forms of individual letters; but I may mentiou here that the conjuncts $r y$ and rth are denoted by (well-known) special signs which contain no superscript $\eta$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Verse 19 records that, after destroying the army of Yakshadasa, Avanivarman took away from him the musical instrument (tarya) called Sagaraksh6bha.- In my previous account of this inseription I saggested that Yaksbadisa, may have_ruled the Madra conntry; but this is wrong. As the engraver of the grant in several other places has engraved $m$ instead of $y$, the actual reading muddha-bhamim in line $\mathbf{3 6}$ must undoubtedly be altered to yuddha-bhumim, not to Madra-bhumim.

    * The inscription, the text of which is not quite certain here, seems to indicate that Dhisiks was an antapila or 'frontié"-guard' of Mahêndrapala's, and that Avanivarman II, was's subordinate of his (as his father Balivarman hid been before hini). See the end of tne grant $A$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Thir village (Ambalaka or Amballaka) is mentioned also in the grant A,

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ This village was granted to the same temple of the Sun by the grant A.
    ${ }^{2}$ A daughter of the Kalachuri Yuvarája I., Kundakadêvì, was married by the Râshṭrakûta Amoghavarsha III. Baddiga, for whom we now possers dates in A,D. 937 and 939
    ${ }^{8}$ In Nos. 354 and $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ of my Northern List certain chiefs are described as both Chalakyas and Chaulukyas.

    * See my note on the grammarians' ajayajmJarts Hindn in Nachrichten d. K. Ges. d. Wissenschaftes zu Göttingen, 1903, p. 305 fr. The Pala Dêvapala humbled the pride of the Hûnas (ETp. Ind. Vot. II. p. 163, v. 13); according to the Kharḍa plates of the Râshtrakûṭa Kakkarâja II. Amêghavarsha of A.D. 972 this king fought battles with the lord (or lords) of the Hûnas (Ind. Ant. Vol. XII. p. 265, 1. 32); the Paramêra Utpaia (Muñjaràjs) took away the life of the Hùnas (ibid. Vol. XVI. p. 28, 1, 41); his younger brother Sindhurâja conquered the king of the Hanas (Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 235, v. 16); etc.
    ${ }^{5}$ See my Northern List, No. 353.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Uf Nagabhaṭa Mr. Gaurishankar Hirachand Ojha has discovered in the JAdhpur State a stone inscription dsted in about A.D. 815 (sameatsara-sa[tt] 872).
    ${ }^{2}$ See Dr. Sten Konow's edition, pp. 74 and 266.
    ${ }^{5}$ From a photograph anpplied by Mr. Gaurishankar Hirachand Ojha. ${ }^{*}$ Denoted by a symbol.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read, probably, -prasddikrita-. ${ }^{2}$ Read -sry-.
    ${ }^{8}$ Instead of this word which I do not remember to have met with elsewhere, I should have expected here, next, to dandapasika, as in other grants, chauroddharanika.
    ${ }^{4}$ It is just possible that the two aksharas charu were struck out in the original, and that the intended reading therefore is châra-bhata.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read -prabhritin=áyuktaka-niyuktakân=.
    ${ }^{6}$ Read -pattra-sthita-jalavat=tarala srira. $\quad 7$ Read jivitam.
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre of this verse and of the next : Rathôddhata.- Read Padmapattra.
    ${ }^{2}$ This of course cannot be right, but the actual reading does not suggest to me a suitable conjecture.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read nishphalan. $\quad{ }^{11}$ Originally svabhu ${ }^{\circ}$ was engraved, but the sign for $d$ has been struck out.
    ${ }^{12}$ Read gram $\delta$.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read -shashthyâm=. $\quad{ }^{14}$ Read-sam̀mârjana-.
    ${ }^{16}$ Read $=c h=. \quad{ }^{10}$ Read, in accordance with the preceling, dedtasya.

    - ${ }^{17}$ Here and in other places below the rules of samdhi have not been observed.
    ${ }^{18}$ Tead Ambrillaka-; in line 54 of the grant B. the name is spelt Amvulaka-.
    ${ }^{19}$ This term, the reading of which is quite clesr in the photograph, I am unable to explain. The word cholliked occurs above, Vol. III. p. 267, 1. 23 ; and Prof. Hultzsch draws my attention to Vol. VI. p. 88, note 9.
    ${ }^{20}$ One would have expected here 'achatadhatapravésah, and some other term ending with varjitah (like, e.g., pûroadatta-dêrabrahmadáaya-varjitah).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read, probsbly, vddavyássna. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Metre : Indravajral.
    ${ }^{2}$ Metre of this verse and the rest: Siloks (Anashțubh).- Read shashticim varsha-.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read rajabhib Sagar- $\quad{ }^{5}$ Read shashtim varsha.. ${ }^{\circ}$ Read vanig- $\mathrm{N}^{0}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Read vasig-J $\delta^{\circ}$. $\quad{ }^{8}$ Resd vanik-Sami.

    - The ssme word occurs e.g. in Ind. Ant. Vol. XII. p. 161, line 69.

    4t This mark, which does not look like a letter, apparently represents the signature of Dhika.
    ${ }^{11}$ One would have expected. Dhtikasya. See line 52 of the grant B.
    ${ }^{23}$ Prom a phatograph sapplied by Mr. Gauriahankar Hirachand Ojha.
    ${ }^{3}$ Denoted by a symbal.
    ${ }^{3}$ Meire : Aryà.
    ${ }^{26}$ Rend -mall-dekitah
    u Metre: Inuravajra.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read vipattraśdkhah, i.e. both vi-pattra-sakhah, 'withont leaves and branches,' and vipattra-śakhah, 'whose branches protect from misfortune.' A bamboo (vamsa) has leaves and branches. But the Chalukya race (vamsa) is a different kind ( $a$ para) of vamsa, one that has no leaves and branches, i.e., in the other sense of the adjective, it is a race the branches of which protect from misfortane. Similarly the other epithets in the verse suggest other differences between the two kinds of vamsa. The word apara of the last Pâda is practically equivalent to apuirva, which is employed in a similar verse above, Fol. III. p. 298, line 6 of the text. Apara is used in the same way (not in the sense 'before whioh no other excels') in the verse in Ind. Ant. Vol. XII. p. 193, line 10.
    ${ }^{2}$ Meire: Vamésastha.

    - Read kuladharmma-samâyâtam.
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre : Śloka (Anuehṭubh).
    ${ }^{6}$ Metre : Śârdûlavikrìdita.
    ${ }^{5}$ Metre : Upajati.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read, probably, nirbikitikam.
    - Read yatra.
    ${ }^{9}$ Read, perhaps, sampattijam.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre: Indrava jra.
    ${ }^{11}$ Read vitat-ôrukirttir.
    ${ }^{12}$ I am unable to give the name of the chief, concealed in this corrupt passage. The verse wenld be right it we were to read Rajendra-ndmd, but I am not sure about this conjecture.
     ohandadandatas $\times$ trastah satravóasistiyan=vanam \| For ohanḍadand a see above, Vol. VI. p. 10, v. $2 \dot{0}$.
    ${ }_{14}$ Metre: Âryâ.
    ${ }^{15}$ Here again I.cannot give confidently the name intnnded in the original. Tha right reading may possibly be srlmâne Fâhukadhavaló.
    ${ }^{16}$ Read ran-odyat $6=n$ inasada Dharmain. The name at the end of the verse nndoubtedly is Dharmam.
    ${ }^{17}$ Metre: Vasantatilakâ.- Resd, the, second half of the verse: nistrimbz-nirdayaribuna-riptitamdngair=
    abjair=iva kshiti-tala $\dot{m}$ samaré pranidhya il
    12 Metre: Sragdharâ.- Read Kuntaprḑâsihasta.,

[^7]:    
    ? Read srasta-khedam.

    * Metre: Vasantatilakâ.— Resd T'asmddeajáyata.
    - Read -gunair=upttah.
    ${ }^{6}$ Metre: Drutavilambita. ${ }^{3}$ Metre: Evagatâ.
    ${ }^{7}$ Read -bandhujanat-dihita.
    - Metre of verses 15-18: Maliní. Resd dvija-gurru-. ${ }^{10}$ Read -pdnirx.
    ${ }^{11}$ Read dradhhyant=,
    12 Read jagattstän.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read sannat-dmisasthalikah prai. ${ }^{\circ}$ Metre of verses 19 and 20 : Sragdharâ.
    ${ }^{15}$ The syllable $p i$ was originally omitted and is engreved in the margin.
    ${ }^{16}$ Read -bhûtâño.
    ${ }^{11}$ Read -chchhattra-dheaj-âdl-
    ${ }^{15}$ Read -tumga-kumbhasthalike | yasminnw.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read nijồm simhavad=. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Rend -adyaih Zura $\dot{m} g a i r=$ iva ripu-nivahair=,
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre : Vasantatilakâ. - The general meaning of the verse clearly is that a certain Dharaṇivaraha, for whose identification see above, p. 3 f., was put to flight by, or fled before, Avanivarman. But I am not sure about every detail of the verse. - The first words of the verse perhaps are either vyomnadpi yảmtams or vy ofm $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}$ prayâmtam=.

    - Read sattvain.
    ${ }^{5}$ I cannot correct this.
    - Read ananâsa matiman=.
    ${ }^{7}$ Metre : Ślôka (Anushṭulh).
    ${ }^{8}$ Read, probably, -dandatara $\dot{m}$ (in the sense of ' elephant').
    - Read -mund-augha-.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read fastra-pánau.
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre: Harinî.
    ${ }^{12}$ Read Trivikrama-vikeramam.
    ${ }^{18}$ Metre : Vasantatilakà.
    ${ }^{14}$ Read -taralàins=tath=âsuin.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read yath $\hat{d}(?)$; but the words évam=uktai'ân yathd would be quite out of place here.
    
    ${ }^{17}$ These two alksharas (the first two syllables of the word prasada) are quite out of place here. In agreement With the preceding adjectives one would have expected-Mahe $\dot{m} d r a p a l a d e v a s y a$, but the writer proceeds as if the whole preceding passage, commencing with pratdpapranata, were a single compound, qualifying Mahámdrapála.
    Cteva.
    ${ }^{18}$ Here, in my opinion, some words have been omitted. As the text stands, we do not learn from it what the Chief Yôga had received from Mahêndrapalla.
    ${ }^{10}$ The two aksharas in brackets are quite doubtful. I can only suggest that the intended reading may possibly
    adamitapala-. be tadamtapdla.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ddh－Ambulak－．In line 16 of the grant A the name is spelt dinvullaka．
    ${ }^{3}$ Read sotpadyamannavishtikah（or ${ }^{\circ} v$ Ashtikah，which ocours often elsewhere）．
    ${ }^{5}$ Read kâlina．
    －Read vydsbdh6．
    －Read sâmányain dâna－phalam＝avotyョ．＂Metre：Śloka（Anushṭnbh）．
    7．Read yasya yasya yadâ bhumis＝tasya tasya tada phalam．
    －Metre：Indravajrâ．＇Resd dattani．
    10 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ni dharmm－Ätha－yafas－korani $\mid$ nirmnallya－vánta－．
    ${ }^{11}$ Vasantgadh（Basantgadh）apparently is situated to the east of Mount $\mathbf{A} b \hat{b}$ ，but I do not find it on the map of the Ehjputina Agency．At or near the same place an important inscription of the time of ling Varmalita，of the［Vikrama］year 682，has lately been discovered．

[^10]:    1 The author's mistakes have been more fully pointed out in the notes on the text. The inscription emphatically teaches us that the mistakes which may be found in an inscription need not necessarily be ascribed to the writer or engraver.

    2 In line 9, verse 13, the word is used in the plural (Vatessiu), and in the inscription of Varmalatar mentioned above, the place appears to be called Fatadkarasthana.
    ${ }^{8}$ See e.g. Ep. Ind. Vol. II. p. 190, verse 13. In the present inscription we have the name Pramdra in verse 8, and Paramara in verse 10. The name is written Pramara also in the mpablished Bhârunda inseription of Pûrnapalla of the [Vikrama] year 1102, of which I possess impressions.

    * In the Bhâruṇda inscription mentioned in the preceding note Parnapala is said to rule the Arbuda-mandala. The inscription actually has srimarvudamamdalaim prasásati).

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The pxact meaning of verse 20 is not clear to me, and there is a doubtful passage in verse 23.
    ${ }^{2}$ By the northern system Chitrubhanu lasted from the 11 th September A.D. 1039 to the 6 th September A.D. 1040.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ From impressions supplied by Mr. Gaurishaukar Hirachand Ojha. Below" Ed." denotes the text in Journ. As. Soc. Beny. Vol. X. p. 671; I quate from it only a few passages, to shaw what that text is like,
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Observe the hiatus.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ed. has $\mathcal{F}$ asudevah; but I suspect that the original had vadsudhayam (wrongly for vasudhdyam).
    ${ }^{s}$ Ed. has Tasy=atmajó bhlvalaya-pratishthah sri-Nathaghôshe vritavân varânyah.
    4 Here this name might be read Vamadhukca; but in the Bhâruṇ̣̣a inscription of Pûrṇapâla the name is quite clearly Dhamdhuka. The same name occurs in Nos. 210 and 689 of my Northern List. In a paper on the Châhamânas of Naddûla I shall show that Dhandhu also occurs, as anoṭher form of the name.
    $\checkmark$ The reading is clear, but offends against the metre. Perhaps we should read vivanivishta-, where (as in the case of the first $\hat{d}$ of Purnnapâla-in 1.7) the final $a$ would have been wrongly lengthened.
    ${ }^{6}$ This wrong form (for nama) is clear in the original, and required by the metre. Ed. has bhdram.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Ed}$, has bhâryya Ghritadêvi-nâment.
    8 This is quite clear in the original ; Ed., instead of it, has tasmdd=amushyam bhuvi. I consider it quite possible that the author really wrote utpa nnam=asy-âsuvi in the sense of 'as his son there was born,' incredible as this may seem to be.
    ${ }^{9}$ Read sattruiin. Ed. has vijitya ráshtram námá 'pi bheltam valadarpadeti.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre : Drutavilambita.
    ${ }^{11}$ Read, perhaps, svakuch $a^{\circ}$ or sulcucha. Ed. has karapadt mañibhûshitaviñayá.
    12 Metre: Śárdûlavikrịdita.
    ${ }^{18}$ The akesharas na rand are engraved below the line.
    ${ }^{14}$ For the sake of the metre for Parnpapâla-. Ed. has pârnah Pâlakula-pradipa ivayáa.
    ${ }^{15}$ Observe the hiatns.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre of verses 11-15 : Indravajra, Upêndravajrâ and Upajâti.
    ${ }_{17}$ For the sake of the metre for -bhibhuja.
    $1 s$ Ed. has yó duhsvasauryyarjjitabhdyasasyah Kasssvarah.
    ${ }^{19}$ Read uddhritya. The following vessmam (for vêsma) is clear in the original, and required by the metre.
    20 Ed. has vandy 6 narairay 6 .
    ${ }^{21} \mathrm{Ed}$. has Vallabhardja-bhippasoCharb=pi.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre: Prithvi.
    ${ }^{2}$ This apparently is intended for an Âryâ verse, but it is quite incorrect. Ed. omits the whole of it.
    ${ }^{3}$ Real vantasab.
    ${ }^{4}$ Metre of verses 18-21: Indrarajrâ.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read -Epsitán vai, or, perhaps, etpsitam̀ vai. $\quad{ }^{6}$ Read varḍna.
    7 Instead of these words and of the first helf of the following verse Ed, has Fasishtcharaj $\delta=p i$ atra $a s t d=a t \delta=$ yamं Vasishtharâjanvayb'pi (jatamatrapâ Fâruninâpi) atra nyagródhasy=ấramah.

    8 Wrong for tapo.

    - The intended reading may be-Madhavasya, but I am not sure about the meaning of the verse. Some legend, which is unknown to me, seems to be referred to.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read sva-natau, or, perbaps, sva-matau.
    ${ }^{11}$ Read $=s m i m i s=T v a^{\circ}$. $\quad{ }^{15}$ Read stritaväna $V a^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{13}$ Wrong for veifnabhit, which would not have suited the metre.
    ${ }^{24}$ Metre : Ślôka (Anushṭobb̆). ${ }^{15}$ Metre : Ś́ardùlavikriḍita.
    ${ }^{16}$ Read vrittyartham.- The sa in the following brackets seems to be engraved below the line.
    ${ }^{17}$ There is hardly any doubt here about the actual reading, but the taxt must be incorrect. I cannot anggest a satisfactory emendation; Ed, has pauranair=ganikdjanaira.
    ${ }^{16}$ Metre: Vamsastha.
    15 Wrong for sofana-pamktiraiva, which would not have suited the metre.
    ${ }^{30}$ Read janan=tva nisbithita. ${ }^{21}$ Metre: Sragdbard.
    ${ }^{22}$ kead-ratd. ${ }^{23}$ Metre of versea 26-30: Indravajra.
    ${ }^{24}$ Wrong for vindsi (or vaindfikain), which wonld not suit the metre.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ed. omits this verse.
    2 Read -simhakarnnam. - This word simhakarve apparently is a technical term, relating to architecture.
    ${ }^{3}$ Read ghatitópald́; the preceding samdhif for the salse of the metre stands for samdhi,
    ${ }^{4}$ Read ddhritu. ${ }^{5}$ Metre: Śloka (Anushṭubh). 1 Observe the hiatus.
    7 Read dattvá. ${ }^{8}$ Read chedrppitath. ${ }^{9}$ Metre : Sragdhara.
    10 Read purat $(P)$. ${ }^{11}$ For the sake of the metre for vidhunitah.
    12 Wrong for vy $\delta m n i$, which would not have suited the metre.
    ${ }^{13}$ This astam is wrongly used here for asatam (from \&s) or syatam (from as).
    14 Metre : Ślôka (Anushṭabh). ${ }^{15}$ The name of the queen is written here with (the dental) $n$.
    
    ${ }^{18}$ Metre : Malinî.- Ed. omits the last Pâda of the verse and has instead of it sa 1699.
    4 See now above, Vol. VI. p. $10 .{ }^{20}$ See below, p. 16 and note 7 .
    ${ }^{21}$ History of the Dekican, p. 51, note 8.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XIX. p. 147. A facsimile of the Sorab plates has since appeared in Epp. Carn. Vol. VIII. p. 92 of the Translations.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. VII. p. 302, and Ep. Carn. Vol. XI. Dg. $66 . \quad$ Ep. Carn. Vol. VI. Kp. 38.

    - A further remark on the same inscription will be found below, p. 21, note 3 .
    - The Kanarese text has pindurvále for Ponbuchch=âle in the Roman text.
    - See p. 17 below.

    TEp. Carn. Vol. VIII. Sb. 10, with Plate facing p. 3 of the Roman texts.
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Kp}$. Carn. Voj. VI. Cm. 160, line 5.

    - Id. Vol. IV. Introduction, p. 16; Vol. V. p. viii ; Vol. IX. p. 19.
    ${ }^{10}$ Id. Vol, V. p. vii ; Vol. IX. p. $18 . \quad{ }^{11}$ Id. Vol. V. p. vii.
    ${ }^{33}$ Id. Vol. VI. p. 10; Vol. VII. p. 17; Vol. VIIT. p. 6. Compare Dyn. Kan. Distr. p. 458 and note 2.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ep. Carn. Vol. VI, p. 19, Compare above, Vol. VII. p. 109 ff. and Vol, VIII. p. 124 ff.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sée above, p. 16 and note 7. $\quad 2$ See above, Vol. VIIL. p. 126, $\quad$ See p. 16 above.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. 'the trouble caused by Ranasaggara.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Viz. probably the Chitravâhana mentioned in 1.15 f.
    : Nayga is a tadbhava of náyaka.

    * With davanam=oddu compare dávani kattu, "to tie cattle in a row to a long rope fastened by tro pegs,' in Kittel's Kannada Dictionary. Compare above, Vol. VIII. p. 134, and Ep. Carn. Vol. VI. Introduction, p. 19, note 1. Dávani is a tadbhava of damani, 'a long rope to which calves are tied by means of ahortor ropes' (Monier-Williams).
    "Here and in the four next inscriptions erri is the same as iri, ' to strike, to stab.'
    - See p. 17 above.
    'As suggested to me by Rai Bahadur Yenkay ya, namurdn is meant for the Tawil namkarde, 'lord' (literally; ©ur lord'); read nambirdígu.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read odeyongm.
    ${ }^{2}$ L.e. the Pasupata priest whose adherents Kâmakôds, his father Prahârabhushana (and his grandfistber) Viñja were. I owe this explanation to Dr. Fleet. With tammuttu-mivar compare tammutiayvar and tammut-aruvar in Kittel's Kannada Grammar; p. 2d1. Dr. Fleet has noted the similar expression tammutt-irbbar or tammutt-irbbor, above, Yol. VI. p. 161, note 7.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read rampanna.
    ${ }^{2}$ As Mr. Krishna Sastri suggesta, patati is perhaps a tadbhava, used collectively, of padati.

    - İiz, the Âlupa king Prithivisâgara.
    " lai Bahadur Yenkayya would prefer to take ere as synonymous with the Tamil igai and to translate: "Keleya set up (this atone representing) a chief (with) a sword (in his hand)."

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 17 above. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See Kittel's Kannada Grammar, § 188, 8 .
    ${ }^{3}$ As suggested to me by Rai Bahadur Venkayya, natu-mudime is the equivalent of the Tamil nattu mudumai, ;he headmanship of a district.' Compare Ep. Carn. Vol. VI. Kp. 38, where Kundavarmmarasam mudime geye his is the reading of the Text in Kannads oharacters, p. 323) hes to be translated by 'while Kundavarmarasa was sadman.'
    *The words idd padedor may be supplied from VII. 1. 10, and VIII, 1. 10 f.
    5 See above, p. 18, note 3.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Expressed by a symbol. ${ }^{2}$ Read adhird-.
    ${ }^{8}$ Corrected from $a$. The engraver has for the sake of clearness repeated the $u$ at the beginning of nae next sine.

    * In the original the final stop is represented by a four-petalled flower.
     and grain to be carried on the back of a bullock.'
    - Putitige is the same as putti, ' a basket.'
    : Mr. Krishna Sastri suggests that this may be another form of mana or manavk, 'a maund.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Originally I had taken relis su for belasu. 'corn.' But as the toll was not levied by measure, but by weight, I adopt Mr, Krishna Sastri's suggestion, who compares melasu, 'black pepper.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Wilh gdsasi compare $g \delta$ scisiga in the inscription 'VIII. 1. 11, and Dr. Fleet's remarks, above, Vol. VI. p. 255,

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read =Somavaṁsodbhavan.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read Muttavarara, as in YII. 1. 11 f . ${ }^{2}$ Read Sivaval!iy mm .

    * The * of klewin is expressed by two different symbols behind and bulow the $k k$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Sut above, p. 21, note 3,

[^23]:    1 See above, p. 18, note 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ I.e. Sarrabandiu.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ See cbove, Appendix to Vol. VII. p. 15, note 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the meaning of this word see above, Tol. VII. p. 27, note 2.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Arch. Surv. West. Ind. Vol. V. p. 88.
    ${ }^{2}$ Jourr. Bo. Br. R. A. S. Vol. XX. p. $133 \mathrm{f} . \quad{ }^{3}$ Above, Vol. VIII. p. 183.
    ${ }^{4}$ In an unpublished grant of Amoghavarsha I. in the possession of my brother Prof. S. R. Bhandarkar, two princes of the names of Clukrâyurtha and Dharma are mentioned as having gone to the Himâlayas to do homage to Gôvinde III. who had gone thither on an expedition of conquest. It can hardly be seriously doubted that Dharma is Dharmapala, the sceond prince of the Pala dynasty, and that Chakrâyudha is the same as the Chakrayudha of the Bhâgalpur grant, whom Dhurmapala restored to his lost throne. Prof. Kielhorn (Nachrichten von der $K$. Ges. d. Wiss. an Göttingen, 1905, 1. 303) has alreudy identified this Chakrayudha with the Chakrayudha of the Gwalior inseription, who was confuered by Nagablata. Nagabhaṭa agnin was a contemporary of Gôvinda III. (Journ. Bo. Br. R. A.S. Vol. XXI. p. 422, note 2). We havo thus four princes, viz. Gôvinda III., Nâgabhata, Chaikrayudha and Dharmapala, who were contemporaries. We know from Räshtralat? records that Gôvinda III. reigned from A.D. 704 to 818 . Fre must, therefore, suppose Dharmayala to have flourished ubout this time, As this synchronism was not kuown before, it was but right to assign Dharmapala to A.D. 861, the date of the Prthâri inscription referring itself to the reign of the Rashtraknta prince Parubhla (Naohriahten von dor ǐ. Ges. d, Wiss. zu Göttingan, 1901, 1. 525 f.). But now we dee that this date would be rather too late for Dhamapila. Besides, there is nothing to show that this Parabala is, as a matter of fuet, identical with Farubin, the fathor-in-law of Dharmapala.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. p. 100.
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol. VII. p. 43 ; Ind. Ant. Vol. XII. p. 252 f.
    ${ }^{3}$ Above, Vol. IV. p. 280.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. $38 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Id. Yol. II. p. 301.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. VII. p. 32.
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. 26, note 4.

    * History of Gujardt, in the Gazetteer of the Bombay Presidency, Vol. I. Part I. p. 130.

    4 See sbove, Appendir to Vol. VIII. p. 16, note 2.
    : Above, Vol. III. p. 298.
    ' Weber, Berlin Catalogue, Vol. II. p. 1205. ${ }^{2}$ History of Gujardt, p. 130, note 3.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Journ．Bo．Br．R．A．S．Vol．XVIII．p． 256.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind．Ant．Vol．XXXI．p． 396.
    ${ }^{2}$ From inked estampages supplied to me by Rai Bahadur Venkayya．
    ${ }^{4}$ The $i$ is not well－formed．${ }^{5}$ Metre：Ślôka（Anushṭubh）．
    7 Bead विबुधब ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ．${ }^{8}$ Metre：Pushpitâgrá．
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre：SragdharÂ．${ }^{11}$ Read ${ }^{0}$ परिष्यन्द．
    

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre : Śârdôlavikrị́ita.

    * Metre : Éloka (Anushṭubh).

    7 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ संबध्य ${ }^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ रमु ${ }^{\circ}$.

    - Metre: Maliní.

    This $m d$ appears to have been first inadvertently omitted and then engraved quite olose to the rim.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ बन्वाय.
    ${ }^{14}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ 젶․
    ${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सद्रध्ष ${ }^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{\text {is }}$ The inner stroke of $8 h$ in $s h v a$ is wanting.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read समभूद्री० ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Read बलूव,

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ बन्वी",
    ${ }^{2}$ Read बनिल
    ${ }^{3}$ Read जक्ष ${ }^{\circ}$.

    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ क्मदन ${ }^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read प्रयमं.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Patr. of Yuyudhtna (a warrior in the Pàndu army who acted as the charioteer of Krishna and belonged to the Vrishṇi family,."-Monier-Williams' Dictivnary.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ The same as Tamralipta, i.e. Tamlak ; вee p. 27 above,
    ' [Compare Śírupalavadha, I. 48.]

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ There can hardly be a doubt that this verse is intended to yield two meanings, one mythological and the other historioal. The first is clear, but the historical sense is by no means evident ; see above, p. 27 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thero is here a play on the word ken, which means both 'the earth' and ' insignificant.'
    " "Gift of gold, ete., equal to a man's weight."-Monier-Williams' Diotionary.

    - According to No. I. 1. 49 ff .-"upon Prabhakarabhatta, of the Lakshmana gotra, a student of the Vaji. Madhyandina (sdicha), and the son of Râpapabhatta, - the village of the name of Umvara in the vicinity of Kammanijjas situated in the country of Lêta, defined by the four boundaries, viz. to the east Toléjaka, to the south MôgalikA, to the west the village of Samk!, (and) to the north Jevalakupaka,"

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. III. p. 108 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol. VI. p. 144.
    ' Above, Vol. VI. p. 136.
    7 Above, Vol. VI. p. 144.

[^35]:    2 Wilson's Vishnu-Purana, Vol. II. p, 170, note 5.

    * Above, Vol. III. p. 42.
    - Cunningham's Ancient Geography, p. 515.

    8 Above, Vol. III. p. 127.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cunningham's Reports, Vol. IX. p. 158.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Hunter's Orissa, Vol. I. p. 279 f. Dr. Hunter says:-" $\Delta t$ a remote pariod, Sun-worship, driven out of Vêdic India by materializing superstitions, found shelter on the secluded Eastern coast. Its existence in Oriama in ancient times is proved not only by the fact of a specifio division of the country being devoted to it, but also by the rock writings . . . . The most exquisite memorial of Sun-worship in India, or I believe in any country, is the temple of Konarak upon the Orissa shore."
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Ratanpur inscription of JAjalladêra, Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 33.

    - Bombay Gazetteer, VoI. XI. p. 467.

    B Mr. Craddock's Settlement Report, 1895, p. 15.

    - It may be borne in mind that this part of the country wan for a long time under Gonds and sfterwarda the Marathas, and as a rule the memory of these only survives.
    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. V. p. 196 f.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is noticeable that all names of this line end in vardhana.
    ${ }^{2}$ From the original plates. I am indebted to $\operatorname{Pr}$ f. Hultzsch for a few currections in my readiugs.
    ${ }^{5}$ Metre: Sêrdalavikriḍita; also of the two next verses.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ जवंश ${ }^{0}$.
    5 There are two letters here which appear to have been struck off by the engraver. The metre also shows thas they were redundant.
    ${ }^{6}$ Read पुरा.
    1Read ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{-\Psi^{\circ}}$.
    "Read "मूज्ञित".

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ Read चातुमन्ता:
    ${ }^{4}$ Retal कास सदसाम्दुविम्दु०. ${ }^{2}$ Read परदण्तt.
    *The words in bracketriare very' commen in inscriptions; 㫙 e. a

    - Read संबत्.
     Oaigg-vamsa.
    ${ }^{16}$ Literally, 'another than the two.'
    ${ }^{11}$ The idee is that foe was very wealthy, and that his fame reached up to the heaven,

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ These were probably killing (murder), theft, wrong action (adultery), slander, harsh language, untruthfulness, incoherent conversation, uncivility, atheism and perverse behaviour, enumerated as dafadha pdpakarma in the
    Śskrantti, adhyaya 3, slóka 6 . Śukrantti, adhyáya 3, slotka 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ The high rims are responsible for the fact that some symbols at the beginning and the end of lines have not come out well in the ink-impressions.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. VII. p. 16. Ll. 23-34, of this grant are identical with ll. 32-41 of the Maliyapung̣i grant.
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol. IV. p. 238 f.

    * Above, Vol. V. p. 126, verse 5; Ind. Ant. Vol. XIII. p. 213, text line 16 f.; South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. I. p. 49 verwe 10 (compare above, Vol. IV. p. 226 and notes 7 and 8).
    * Dym. Kan. Distr. p. 382 f.
    ${ }^{5}$ Above, Vol. IV. p. 227.
    - Ind. dnt. Fol, XX. p. 102.

    7 Ind. Ant. Vol. XII. p. 263.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XIII. p. 213, text line 17.
    ${ }^{2}$ South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. I. p. 42, verse 10 (compare above, Vol. IV. p. 226 and note 7).
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XIII, p. $66 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Compare above, Vol. IV. p. 227.
    ${ }^{6}$ South-Ind. Insor. Vol. I. p. 42, verse $10 . \quad{ }^{\circ}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XIII, p. 214, text line 31.
    ₹ South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. F. p. 44; above, Vol. V. p. 188, note 3.

    - Above, Vol, VII. p. 181. ${ }^{9}$ South-Ind. Inser. Vol. I. p. 46 and note 1.
    ${ }^{10}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. p. 269, and above, Vol VII. p. 182.
    ${ }^{3}$ Lists of Southern Insar. No. $562 . \quad{ }^{12}$ Above, Vol. VII. p. 181 f.
    ${ }^{14}$ Above, Vol. IV. p. 227. $\quad$ C'ompare ibid. p. 888 *

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. V. p. 125, verse 9.
    ${ }^{3}$ Above, Vol. V. p. 130.
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol. VII. p. 184 f.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Bhagwanlal Indraij's trengeript in Abore, Vol. VIII. p. 238.
    1nd. dut. Vol. XI. p. 258 f. and in Amaravati and Jaggayyapeta, p. 110 (compara, Plate lxiif f) transoripts in the
    ${ }^{6}$ Above, Vol. VIII. p. 234.
    TFrom two sets of ink-impressions. ${ }^{8}$ Read मिख्योक्य वा.
    ${ }^{2}$ The anusudra stands at the begimning of the next line.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वशीकृता ${ }^{0}$.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ As remarked in the Nellore District Inscriptions, $p$. 178, note 1, the ल after मार्षंब is superifuous ; it was perhaps engraved becanse the writer had in his mind the frequent word सर्इस.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read विग्रहोभू ता. ${ }^{5}$ Read योवधीद्रा $I^{\circ}$. Read ${ }^{\circ}$ मरों.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ महौनट".
    7 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ब्सीजता. . ${ }^{s}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ लया. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Read दोधंसे.
    ${ }^{20}$ Read perhaps ${ }^{\circ}$ साय्यामिक्साइा.
    
    ${ }_{12}$ Read नाद्वार्व.
    4 Read मनतास्से.
    ${ }^{20}$ Read छादश्राषत्समास्मभ्यग्यांक्रोनो.
    ${ }_{18}$ The anusvara stands at the beginning of the next line.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भम ${ }^{\circ}$.
    

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भरण ${ }^{\circ}$. The whole compound seems to be meant for बटकाभरषयमनामारिक:, which would have offended against the metre.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read पु ख्या $T^{\circ}$. ${ }^{2}$ Read ग्रौयापरीयसंघप्रपूक्य ${ }^{\circ}$ :
    
    
    7 Read धरायiे. 8 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भूत्.
    19 Read शिष्यो. ${ }^{11}$ Read यस्म्रति ${ }^{11 र ् य म स ह ि त ा . ~}$
    ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ४िषित". $\quad{ }^{14}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भरण ${ }^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{21}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ अवधस्याबषिप्रपूनादिसम्रसिज्धार्यंमुक्तरायए".
    ${ }^{4}$ Or possibly मुंसुन्युर. ${ }^{19}$ Read उप्चरत:-
    ${ }^{21}$ Read ने महंख्या. ${ }^{22}$ Read ऐ शान्या.

    - Bead सद्वाते पौत्षे:
    ${ }^{3}$ Read
    ${ }^{15}$ Read "सित्रे.
    
    ${ }^{29}$ Boend ${ }^{5} 9^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{28}$ Rend घlü.

[^45]:    ${ }^{2}$ The reading of the text seems to be meant for द्वारिंशर्तमा घष्टभि: , which would however be against the metre.
    ${ }^{2}$ The other Eastern Chalukya inscriptions ahow that agraja has to be taken here to mean 'the first-born son,' afd not, as usually; 'the elder brother.' A similar use of the word agrajamman is noted by Dr. Fleet, above, Vol, VII. p. 181.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word vam $f a$ means also 's cane ;' see Nellore District Inscriptions, p. 173, note 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is not quite impossible that punydruha- is a mistake for Punndga-; compare the Punnâgavrikshamulagana of the Nandisangha, above, Vol. IV. p. 338.
    ${ }^{8}$ According to Buddhist worke, pratiharya or pratihárya means 'jugglery, working miracles;' see the St. Potersburg Dictionary.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, Vol. VIII. p. $160 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. V. p. 175 If. $\quad{ }^{8}$ Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 2 ff.
    ${ }^{4}$ Another instance, in which the single pages of a grant are numbered; are the British Museum plates of Châradêví; see above, Vol. VIII. p. 144.

    Above, Vol. VIII. p. 144 and nots 5.

    - Compare Prof. Pischel's Prâkrit grammar, § 189.
    ${ }^{7}$ Compare ibid. § 184, ${ }^{8}$ Compare ibid. § $61 a$.
    ${ }^{12}$ Ibid. $\$ \S 443$ and 445.
    - Compare ibid. § 88.
    ${ }^{10}$ Compare ibid. § 224.
    ${ }^{12}$ Compare ibid. § 402.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. V. p. 175 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the actaal reading of the plates; see above, Vol. VI. p. 816 and note 4.
    ' South-Ind. Pal. p. 16, note 1; Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. p. 93.
    ${ }^{4}$ Compare the quotation from the Mradras Journal, Vol. XIX. (which is at present inaccessible to me), above, Vol. IV. p. 143, note 7 .

    S South-Ind, Pal. p. 135, note 1. ${ }^{\circ}$ From two sets of ink-impressions,

    - Erpressed by a symbol, which stands on the left margin of line 2 .

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ This word looks almost like narak6.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read bhimisw. ${ }^{\circ}$ Literally, 'the village.'

    - This looks like a Dravidian word, which however cannot be traced in the dictionaries. The plates of Fijaya-Nandivarman (1. 4) zeem to read, instead of it, Munuda; but the apparent $n u$ in the middle of this word may be in reality an obliterated $/ u$.
    ${ }^{5}$ The genitive bhagavato refers to Chittarathasami, the first member of the following compound. This is of course a grammatical blunder of the officer who drafted the inscription.
    - The pronoun 'this' evidently had been uttered by the king in the presence of the donee, just as ditahdm in the plates of Vijaya-Nandivarman, 1. 5.

    T Prof. Kielhorn suggests to me that this doubtful word may be meant for Babhru.
    ${ }^{8}$ On Ardhika or ardhastrin see the Mitakshard on Yajunsvalkya, 1. 166. The Prakrit form addhika oceurs in Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 6, text line 39.

    - The Sanakrit original of duvagga seems to be dvarga, which may be taken in the sense of divihetha,

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Annual Repart of the Luoknow Provinoial Musewm for 1903-4, p. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Archaological Survey Report for 1903-4, p. 212.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. V. p. 180 and Plate.
    ${ }^{4}$ E.g. in yattra, jayate, 1. 2; vinaya, 1. 4; and ydna, 1. 5.
    ${ }^{5}$ [In my own transcript this word was misread as =ârya.--E. H.]

    - This restoration is based on the preceding abhitu and atma-vrittya.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the expression samsthâpya in 1.7.
    ${ }^{2}$ This syllable is required to complete the word vilola.
    ${ }^{3}$ The restoration of mirtira, etc., is purely conjectural ; but that it is probably correct, may be concluded from the fact that the epithets chandí, etc., clearly refer to an image of Bhavînî. Moreover, sinee the very next verse records the foundation of a Bhavant shrine, it seems almost necessary to assume that an image of the same goddess should have been placed in this shrine.
    ${ }^{4}$ Literally, 'the thief of the extent,' etc.
    ${ }^{5}$ This passage presumably alludes to Śiva's residence at Benares in the Trottayuga. See Rajendralel Mitra's Antiquities of Orissa, Vol. II. p. 68.
    ' Literally, ' whose extent was charming.'
    7 -bhalet-abhijushtam would be a better reading.-[Or chandra may be mesnt for nara-ohandra, 'su excellent man.'-E. H.]
    ${ }^{8}$ I do not find the word vyapata in any Sanskrit Dictionary. Vyaprito would be a more appropriate reading.

    - [But ambhah does not mean ' a god.' I would rather conjecture at the end of line 4 Gangadya gähamand and translate: 'devoutly ( $b h a k t a h$ ) [entering] the water ( $a m b h a h$ ) [of the Gangâ].' In the following I prefer to join sivóme and to translate: 'who daily pleased Siva and Umâ by (his) devotion (and their) attendants by (his; virtues.'-E.E. H.]

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ I bave already stated elsewhere that the true reading in verse 13 of the Haraba insoription in irfman-Nagávaldka-pravaranripa-sabhd-lavdha(bdha)-virapratioththak. In my Synchronistic Table for Northern Indias I have suggested that NâgîvalOks may be identical with the Pratihara Nagabhstạ ; but this appeerrs to be a mistake, A definite dste for a king NâgAvalôkn- apparently the Vikrama year 818 - A.D. 756 - whll, so tar as I can see nufr, be furniuhed by a copper-plate insaription which has been quite recently disoovtred, anind of which I have received a photograph from my friend Mr. Ojha.
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, VoL. VIII. Appendir I, p. 18 f. $\quad{ }^{5}$ See my Northerm List, Noa. 144, 1788 mat 188.
    ${ }^{4}$ So this nsme is spelt below, in the inscriptions A., B. and C., and in the inscription of Irnpitandinas treated of under D . We find the name spelt in tho same way (with $d d$ ) in verse 81 of the Bijoli rook tusarytion, which is
    
     ryina 1 . In the inscription at Timala's temple on Mount tiba, which will be meationed below, 1; 81, the
     either Naddala or Nadddla (not Naddla); and in Prof. Weber's Catalogue of the MSS, of the Eexth monys,
    
     cortainly is s mistake.
     and at the commencement of the 1ath century a branch of the family took Chardetrith wian Yonnt that trion the Paramara.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ See his Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan, Vol. I. p. 698; my Northern List, No. 141.
    2 Indian Insoriptions, No. 10, not yet published.
    ${ }^{3}$ For instances where the potential is used for the imperfect see e.g. Ind. Ant. Vol. XVII. p. 135.

    - So the name is spelt twice in line 22, and the same spelling is required hy the metre in line 3. See above, p. 62, note 4.
    ${ }^{5}$ For the similar use of parvan in other dates see Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. p. 413, and Vol. XXV. p. 289 f.
    ${ }^{6}$ In Mount Âbú inscriptions this gachohha is also called Sandedra-gachehha and Shandedraka-gachchha. The town of Sand dra (the Sanderso of the map of the Râjputâna Agency) is mentioned below in C., line 16.
    - According to Colonel Tod Naddula was one of the ancient seats of the Jainss.
    ${ }^{8}$ For passages in which the term mandapika occars, compare e.g. Epp. Ind. Vol. I. p. 114, 1. 27; p. 173, 1.6 ( Slyadóni-satka-mandapikd); p. 175, 1. 19; p. 177, 1. 29 and 1. $30 ;$ p. 179, 1. 45; p. 262, 1. 3 (pattanamañdapikd) ; Ind. Ant. Vol. XIV. p. 10, col. 2 (Śrtpathdistha-mandapiká); Journ. As. Soc. Beng. Vol. LV. Part I. p. 47, iv., and p. 48, v. ; Bhávagar Inscr. p. 205, 1. 7. Sulł̇a-mandapiká́occurs e.g. in Bhadonagar Insor. p. $158 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{ll} .10,15$ and 18.- The meaning of mandapika is suggested by the Maratthit mand davt, 'a custom-house.'

    9 The word talapada (in sri-Naddılla-talapadंa-sulkamamidapikáyám) is not found in the dictionarien. I take it to be synonymous with, or sinilar in meaning to, svatala, which occure in some of the Valabhe inscriptions, and for which see Dr. Fleet's note above, Vol. VI. p. 166. Compare slso Ind. Ant. Yol. XI. p. 339, note 30.

[^54]:    ${ }^{2}$ Compare above, Vol. VIII. p. 209, line 8 of the text. Above, Vol. III. p. 317, 1. 45, frisarana by itaelf is used to denote the offioial ('s secretary').
    ${ }^{2}$ See the Imperial Gazetteer of India, Fol. X. p. 142.
    ${ }^{3}$ Compare Ind. Ant. Vol. XIX. p. 30, No. 35.
    *From a photo-lithograph prepared ander the superintendence of, and supplied to me by, Dr. Fleet.
    \$ Denoted by a symbol.
    ${ }^{6}$ Read joñya.
    7 Metre: Mâlinî.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read -famvak, 's thunderbolt.'

    - This sign of punctuation is superfuous.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre of verses 2.9 : Âryá.
    ${ }^{11}$ For the sake of the metre for jagats-tale.
    ${ }^{12}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ nas $=c h=d d a u$.
    ${ }^{18}$ Mr. Dhruva'a text has Lohiyasu ; but Sofiyas= is quite clear in the original. The same name, Sohiya, I find above, Vol. VIII. p. 221, 1. 19, and in another Mount Âbû inscription, No. 1699 of Mr. Cousens' Ligt.

    14 Read =abhavata.
    ${ }^{25}$ This sign of punotuation is suparfinous.
    ${ }^{16}$ Here and elsewhere the $t$ of sot has purposely not been ohanged to $y$ before a rowel; compare below, lines 9 and 38, fri-Alhanca, and other psesages in B. and C. and elsewhere.

    17 The name is written Anakilla in B, line 7, and C., line 11, and the same spelling ingrequired here by the maetre.
    ${ }^{18}$ Read fauryavrittistbhr-adhyati.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read $-j$ Ala $\dot{m}$. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Observe the wrong sa $\dot{m} d h i$ (for samsartasaira $\dot{m}$ ).
    ${ }^{8}$ This sign of punctuation is superfluous. Metre of verses 10 and 11: Sragdbarà.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} k a r i$ and janmal.
    ${ }^{6}$ The th of the akshara stha is not quite clear, but there seems to be no doubt that the above is the sactus and intended resding. One would have expected - paribhavasthdnam, but this would not have suited the metre.

    7 This sign of punctustion is superfluous.
    ${ }^{8}$ Herea aglisble, perhapa vai, has been omitted.

    - Here, again, observe the wrong samdhi for which the metre shows the author to be reaponsible; sprihayann= would hsve offended against the metre.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read $=a i h i k e \dot{m}$ dharmma-k\&rttim; one misses a second cha.
    
    ${ }^{12}$ After this word a short syllsble is missing; perhaps the reading shnuld be ${ }^{\circ}$ trannasva-janapada-.
    ${ }^{18}$ The words $v \delta=8 t u$ cannot be construed with the preceding. The author had in his mind the phrase viditain v $\delta=s t u$.
    ${ }^{14}$ Motre : ŚârdAlavikrị̣ita.
    ${ }^{15} \mathrm{Mr}$. Dhrava read this Maitapaté nivessa, which he translated by 'while enoamped at Maitapata.' Compare dhauta-vasasi paridhaya in line 19 of B., and, e.g., in Ind. Ant. Vol. XVIII. p. 847, 1.7 of the text.
    ${ }^{16}$ Read dattv=dhutth. $\quad{ }^{17}$ Read =Marttamdasya.
    ${ }^{18}$ Read $\begin{gathered}\text { arghâmjaliòn. }\end{gathered}$
    ${ }^{19}$ Dadana in the sense of dána. ${ }^{20}$ Metre: Âryâ.
    ${ }^{21}$ Read tilakusakshatodaka-. The $k a$ of ${ }^{\circ} \delta d a k a$ is treated as a short syllable before $p+$; see Ind. Studien, Vol. VIII. p. 224.
    ${ }^{22}$ The metre, in my opinion, shows that the author undoubtedly wrote this; what he intended was ${ }^{\circ}$ pasavya. pdyih ( $=$ dakeshina-pdnih).
    

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} b h d k d l a m$; compare ${ }^{\circ} \%$ shitikalam in line 26 of $\mathbf{B}$.
    2 Read dhipa-tail-dirtham, without the sign of punctuation.
    : I.e. drammdn.
    (Read dtuarymainam (for mainad=, zaitad=).

    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ sy=dsmadvantfajairra.
    - Metre : ŚlalińL

    1 Metre of verses 15-20 : ŚlAka (Anushṭubh)
    ${ }^{8}$ Read kafohima.

    - Read shashti- or shashtim.

    12 The name Dharaniga ocours thove, Vor ${ }^{20}$ Read narakd. ${ }^{11}$ Metre: Upajati.
    been doubled simply for the aske of the metre Vol. VIII. p, 220, line 8 of the test; here the letter $g$ seems to bave
    1s Metre : Śârdûlavikrídita.
    is Plavita wrong for plavita, which would not have suited the metre,
    is Read abhavade, and, perhaps, V salah.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ The second side of the second plate contains three lines of writing. Of these no rabbing, but only Mr. Ojha's transoript has been sent to me.
    ${ }^{2}$ The metre of verse 6 is a mixture of Upêndravajrâ and Vasantatilakâ.
    ${ }^{8}$ So the name is spelt here and below in C .

    - In C. the name is A\&draja, while in A. the actual spelling is Asdraja. Here we have Afaraja, and in D. the actual spelling is Asaraja.

    5 The occurrence of this name here induces me to state that the name in the Delhi Siwalik pillar inscription of Visalsdêva.Vigraharâja, A., line 2 (Ind. Ant. Vol. XIX. p. 218) in Annalladeva, not dediladêva, and that therefore Annalladeva should be substitated for Avelladeva also in my Northern List, No. 144, and above, Vol. VIII. App. I. p. 14, col. 1 (after Arp̣̂râja).
    ${ }^{6}$ For a Râ C hṭ̂ọda vamifa see my Northern List, No. 273.
    TOn this and similar tities see my remarks above, Vol. IV, p. 312, note 7. Mahdrajakula occurs below in D., and in other Mount Âbû inscriptions.

[^58]:    ${ }_{1}$ With reference to the above I may atate that Mr. Ojha has ment me a rabbing of a gryat (engraved on one side of onn plate only) of the Mahdrajjdhiraja Kêlhangdêva, which is dated in line $1:$ mamuat 1228 varshe $J y\left[\begin{array}{c}*\end{array}\right]$ shtha vadi 12 Some. This date also works out satisfactorily only for the amdata J yuishtya of the current Chaitradi Vikrsms yesr 1228, for which it corresponds to Monday, the 7th Jane A.D. 1165.
    a From two rabbings supplied to me by Mr. Garrishankar Hirachand Oiha.
    ${ }^{2}$ Denoted by a symbol. $\quad$ Metre : Śleka (Anuahtabibh).

    - These aigas of panctastion are superfluous. - Metre: Indravajrí.
    - Metre of veraes 3-5 : Śardulavikriḍita. ${ }^{8}$ Read bhapatiss.
    - The inscription C. has Śabhita; but ab A. has Sohiya, I dolnot alter the Sbbzuka of the promant jpeription to Śd́bhitq.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ marjita.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read-Alhanó
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre : a mixture of Upêndravajrâ and Vabantatilakâ.

    - These signs of punctuation are superfluous.
    - Metre: Sragdharâ. The last Pâde does not contain the proper cmsuras.
    ${ }^{6}$ Metre: Urajati. ${ }^{7}$ This eign of punctuation is superfluons.
    ${ }^{8}$ Wrong for -gramah. The whole sentence which ends here is ungrammatioal.
    - All the signs of punctuation in lines $19-23$ are superfinons. In some places below the rules of amdhi have not been obverved. Read $\operatorname{rddattyc}$. ${ }^{11}$ Read ${ }^{c} / a!$. ${ }^{12}$ L. e. grdmsh.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ralalagitva, 'commencing from the current year.'
    ${ }_{2}$ Metre of this verse and the rest : Sloks (Anushtubh).
    ${ }^{8}$ Read lagn $\delta=s m i$. Reed shashtim. $\quad$ Read narake.
    6 Of the three lines on this side only a transcript has been sent to me by Mr. Ojha.
    7 The passive Aorist is used wrongly here for the active alekkit.
    ${ }^{8}$ I owe this information to Mr. Ojha.

    - From the St. Petersburg Diotionary I see that ritieart occurs in the Ottamaokaritrakathdnakem, 1. 284 (Sitzungsbariohte der K. Prouss. Akademie, 1884, Pbrt I. p. 282), where Prof. Weber hal saggeated for it the meauing ' $\&$ female singer.'

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ See versess 26 and 27.
    ${ }^{2}$ He is described as irtkart-saptaka-vadin which, as intimated above, appears to mean 'playing the seven stikarts.'
    ${ }^{3}$ The exact date (in verse 57 ) is the akshaya.trittya or third tithi of the bright half of the month Mdadbava (Vaisakha) of the [Vikruma] year 1319, given both in words and in figures. The date does not admit of verification ; its posible equivalents would be the 4th April A.D. 1261, the 23 rd April A.D. 1262, and the 12th April L.D. 1263.

    4 According to the insoription of Laṇtigudersa treated of ander D. the holy Vachchha (Vataa) brought sbont the creation of the Cbahomann family. And according to verse 12 of the Bijoli rock inscription of somêivara (No. 154 of my Northern Listst) Sâmanta, the first Chahamâna chief, was born in the Vataa g6tra at Ahichohhattrapura.
    'The original has Eakambharindra. It will be neen below under D. that Lakshmana most probnbly had the epithet SAkambharl-manikya, 'the jewel of Sakambhari!' Mr, Ojhas tellia me that a Chahamana even now will be sddrested an Sambharlraja, 'SAGkambharí prince?'

    - 1.e. Mount Abd. With the expresion Himddri-bhava of the original as a name of the monntain Arboda compare Himavatah sinnuh in the unpublished Vasantgadh iusoription of Varmalata; Himagiri-tanayab in Fp . Ind. Vol. I. p. 234, v. 5 ; and Gaurivarasvafura-bkddhara-sambhava above, Vol. VIII. p. 210, 1. 17. The lord (or lords) of Arbuda spoken of above probably belonged to the Paramara family treated of in the Vaantgadh insocription of Parpapala, above, p. 10 .

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ He apparently is the Paramara Dhandhu, who according to an inscription at Fimalo'a temple on Momit AbA which will be mentioned below, p. 81, transferred his allegiance from Bhimadêva I. to king Bhoja, the lord' of Dharal (i.e. Bhójadêva of MAlava).
    ${ }^{2}$ I understand this epithet to mean that therp was a temple of Siva ou the mountain Sugandha.

    - In line 5 of the inscription given there the name appears as Kirdtakspo.-According to the Rajpufdiak: Cazettear, Vol. II. F. 265, ' Kheraru' is about 20 miles west of BArmer:.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ See his paper on the Sukritasamkitrtana of Arisimha, p. 25. For another identifcation of Kâsuhrada see above, Vol. VIII. p. 206, note 2.
    \& For a description of this fort which is about 800 yards in length by 400 in width, and orowns a rocky hill of an altitude of 1,200 feet above the sarronnding plain, see the Rajputana Gaxetteer, Vol. II. p. 260.
    ${ }^{2}$ Line 38 of No. 1722 of Mr . Consens' List.
    ${ }^{4}$ See the plates of Bhimadêva II. (of A.D. 1206) in Ind. Ant. Vol. VI, p. 195, Plate ii. line 1.
    s I.e. spparently the Bharatiya-ndtyasastra.

    - Udayasimha's other son Châmandarâja is not further mentioned in the inscription. He may be the Châmundardja whose name occurs under No. 703 of my Northern List.

    7 Śalya probably is a proper name, denoting an enemy of Lavaṇıprasâda, also in Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 27, verse 19.-In our iuscription the name may be Śatrufalya; compare Prof. Eggeling's Catalggue, p. 1510.
    ${ }^{8}$ Seo Ind. Ant. Vol. VI. p. 190. Compare aldo the Bombay Gazettser, Vol. I. Part I. p. 203, where Jabalipura bas been taken to be Jabalpur.

[^64]:    1 The proper relation to Udayasimhs of the Manavasimins who is mentioned in my account of Rapedert's inscription, abqve, Vol. IV. p. 318, will be given below, under D.
    ${ }^{2}$ So the name is spelt in the inscription. The published texts of the inscriptions Nos, 704, 706 and 707 (of A.D. 1282, 1286 and 1289) of my Northern List have Samvatasimhadtua.
    ${ }^{2}$ From a rubbing supplied to me by Mr. Gaurishankar Hiruchand Ojha.

    - Denated by a symbol. ${ }^{5}$ Metre: Sragdhard. Metre : Mandakranta.
    ${ }^{5}$ Metre : Malini. ${ }^{s}$ Metre : Édrdulavikridita.
    - Originally ${ }^{\circ} p$ rabha was engraved, but the sign for $a$ han been struck out.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre of vernes 5 and 8 : Manádikranta.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre : Vasantatilaka.
    ${ }^{2}$ Metre : Sragdhara.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read ehhalêna.
    4 Metre : Rathôddhata.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read chhdyayd.
    ${ }^{6}$ Metre: Mandâkrântâ.
    7 Metre : ŚÁrdûlavikrîdita.
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre : Mandekrinta.-I am uasble to explain this verse. See above, p. 70.

    - Metre : Rathöddhata.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre: Mandékrantê.
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre of verses 15-17 : ŚÁrdûlavikríḍita.
    ${ }^{12}$ The exact meaning of the word gupyadguru is unknown to me; it occurs again m verses 26 and 27 . See .bove, p. 71.
    ${ }^{18}$ Read urvifrudui. $\quad{ }^{14}$ Read dattva. $\quad{ }^{25}$ Metre : Mandâkrântâ.

[^66]:    
    The word occurs again in verse 59. See sbove, p. 70.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read samujjoala-.
    ${ }^{3}$ Here a sign of punctuation was engraved, but has been struck out.

    - Metre of verves 20 and 21 : Mand $A k r a n t a$.
    - The sign of avagraha is engraved at the end of the preceding line.
    - Metre of verses 22 and 23 : Śardulavikriḍits.

    7 Read karshukdnd $\dot{m}$ (for the ordinsry karrhakânám).
    ${ }^{8}$ This word is quite clear in the rubbing, but I sm not sare that it is correct. Perhaps the intended reading may be pratyaksh $6=m b u n i d h i h$.

    - Metre : Upsjáti.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre : Śdradulavikridita. ${ }^{4}$ Metre : Sraghara.
    ${ }^{12}$ Metre of verses 27 and 28 : Malint.
    ${ }^{11}$ Read sahastainik-bimban.

    14 Metre of versee 29 and 30 : Śstdùlavikrị̣ita.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. sutradhara-; compare the same abbreviation e.g. in Ind. Ant. Vol. XI. p. 248, 1. 27, and above, Vol. III. p. 304, 1. 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} n=6 t^{\circ}$.
    8 Denoted by a symbol.
    ${ }^{4}$ Metre : Śikhariṇ̂.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ chchhattraprakara. ${ }^{\circ}$ Metre: Mandâkrênta. 7 Metre : ŜArdûlavikriḍita.
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre: Mandâkrântâ. $\quad{ }^{\circ}$ Metre of verses 35 and 36 : Śârdâlavikrịaita.
    ${ }^{10}$ Here the $a$ of the wecond syllable is (before $h r$ ) treated as a short vowel; see Ind. Studien, Vol. VIIL. p. 226.
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre: Âryâ. $\quad{ }^{12}$ Metre: Śardûlavikrídita.
    ${ }^{22}$ Metre : Malini. $\quad 24$ Netre : Ślôka (Anualitubh).
    4s Metre of verses 41 and 42 : Âry太 (pathyd and ddi-vipulá).

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Translation, after Lakshmana, mentions "the hero, named Mânikya, whose distinguished capital was Śakambhari ;" but this is erroneous.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Translation has Adhiraja instead.
    ${ }^{8}$ The second half of the verse, part of which is very indistinct, in my opinion is : Sohf-samjiza[sata]to vamse sobht bhumau hi tat-suta[h].-The uame Sôhi occurs above, Vol. VIII. p. 220, 1. 13.
    ${ }^{4}$ The original actually has Mahidu.

    - The Translation has Sindhnrâjâ, Kulaviverddhana, Prabharâba Râjà (derived from the actual reading prabhureÂsarajia), Dandana (for Âlbaṇa), Kirtipala and Samarasinha.
    - according to the Translation Mânavssimha was Udayasimha's son; but this is a mistake. Tho original text, after mentioning Udayasimha, clearly kas : yó vai paró däna-guṇair=garishthasatasy=agrajo Mánavasimhanadma.
    
     ( (1). I shall show below that the chief here spoken of undoabtedly was named Dijada. The name Dafasyandans (Dasarathas) may have been given to him because, like Rêma's father Dasaratha, ha, sccording to the account here given, had four sons.-Compare above, Vol. VIII. p. 215, v. 18.
    a The reading of the first syllable of this name is not absolutely certain here.
    - Above, Vol. VIII. p. 222, line 28, and in an unpablished Mount âbû inscription (No. 1794 of Mr. Consens? List.) the name is apelt Hethailimje.
    
     tath d

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ See my Northern List, Nos. 193, 209 and 210 (now above, Vol. VIII. p. 201).
    ${ }^{2}$. See above, Vol. VIII. p. 207, and the Rajputana Gasetteer, Vol. III. pp, 187 and 144.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nos, 1907 and 1909 of Mr. Cousens' List.
    ${ }^{4}$ No. 1908 of Mr. Cousens' List,
    "No. 1780 of Mr . Cousens' List: I hope soon to give the text of this inseription, which is ready for publication.

    - Vimala sdha aeoms to occur first in As. Res. Vol, XVI. p. 311, note. It owes its origin to a mirander. btanding of the term Fimala-vasahikd, 'Vimsla's temple,' whioh we find e.g. in line 8 of No. $17744^{\prime}$ of Mr. Cousens' List.- I may add that in line 10 of the same inscription we have the similar term Ttjapala-oasahikd, 'TAjaphla's temple.?
     fell-Adinatham fikhardarbudasya nivesi(fi)tam frt. Vimaldna vamdell.

    8 I give all names here exactly as they are written in the originsl.
    ${ }^{2}$ This may be a mi.take for Chahurdna or Ohdhurdina.
    ${ }^{10}$ This is the actual spelling of the name, and is required by the metre. The same may be asid uf. the name : 2ljasimha, which occurs below.

[^70]:    
    
    

    - No. 1921 of Mr. Covionis' Liat.
    
    
    
     prooded V'grahartje's ruiga,

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ The temple at Tiruvalisvaram ie said to have been situated in Rajaraja-ohsturvêdimangalam, which wan when name of Ambalsamadram in Chôla times ; Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1904-5, p. 48, Nos, 115 andi 119 of 16em
    ${ }^{3}$ The Vishṇu temple at this village, called Rajjêndra-Chbla-viṇagar in ancient times, was also situatal ut Rajarija-chaturvedimangalam; No. 112 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1905.
    ' No. 102 of the same collection.
    ${ }^{4}$ No. 104 of the came, and lines $2,6 \mathrm{f}$. and 10 f . of the snbjoined inscription.
    "The same occurs already in an inseription of the 11th year of RAjaraja I.; No. 119 of the Goveratean Epigraphist's colleation for 1905.
    ' South-Ind. Inser. Vol. II. p. 149.
    ; Nos. 101 and 105 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1905.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nos. 98 and 99 of the same collection.
    ' Ne. 102 of the ame.
    ${ }^{11}$ No. 104 of the same.
    ${ }^{10}$ Nos. 100 and 108 of the same.
    u He was the elder brother of Rajartja I. (A D. ${ }^{11}$ No. 101 of the same.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sowth-Ind. Insor. Vol, I, 112 . (A.D. 985 to at least 1018).
    4 dnecul Raport on Epigraphy for 1905-6, Fart I, paragraph 8.
    4 18id, Part II. paragraph 16.

[^72]:    1 The stone has evidently been slightly damaged in tranait. Accordingly, partions of the last letters in 11.4 to 9 , which are missing on the Plate, are found on my original impression. prepared at Ambâsamudram in 1905 before the stone was removed to Madras.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. Plate facing p. 290.
    : Above, Vol. III. Plate facing p. $72 . \quad 4$ Above, Vol. V. p. 42.
    ${ }^{5}$ No. 289 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1904 and Annwal Report for 1903-4, paragreph 30.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XXII, Plates facing p. 70, 11. 32 and 43.
    ${ }^{7}$ Above, Vol. V. Plate facing p. 46, E., line 1 ; and H., $11.5,8$ and 9.
    ${ }^{*}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. Plate facing p. 290 ; compare pon in $11.13,19$ and 34 with $p 6 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is 1.21.
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol. III. Plate facing p. 72 ; compare ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{polan}$ in line 27 with potm of the preceding notẹ.
    ${ }^{20}$ Above, Vol. V. p. 42.

[^73]:    ${ }^{2}$ Amirds occura salso in the Tanjore inucriptions; mee eg. Sowth-Imd. Ineor. Fol, II p. 69, text line 3. Awurdw is another form of the same word; see above, Vol. VII. p. 194, taxt line 8 ,
    

    - See ogy. Sowth:Ind. Insor. Vol, II. p, 69, test line 8. The form palifat afeo octury in epigraphical records; see ibid. p. 122, text line 27.
    - According to Dr. Gundert palifa means ' intereat on money."
    s According to Winslow ndriw means " bo deatroy, to kill."
    
    7 This name means 'the blemed lord of the bull,' i.c. Sivin.
    - According to an inecription of the Ch 0 , king Rajandra-Chofe L. (above, Vol, V, p, 47) Shermâdêvi in the
     17th ceintary A.D. (ibid. Fol. III. p. 240).
    - The expreasion favai-vdriyar meems to imply that the ayntem of villuge adminifanation prevalent in the
    
    
    ${ }^{10}$ See the Director-General's Annmal tor 1908-4, p. 276.
    u Anuwal Report on Eptigraphy for 1206-6; Purt II. parigraph 26; Thfor fit tha finopption mentioned by Mr.
     charecters.
    
    
    
    
    
    
     of the Ohtra King, and Vilutinm.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 51 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1895.
    ${ }^{2}$ South. Ind. Inscr. Vol. II. pp. 325 and $386 . \quad{ }^{8}$ Ibid, p. 53.
    4 - Ibid, p. 325, paragraph 88, and p. 336, paragraph 77.
    6 Tiruppirambiyam near Kumbhakonam is the modern Tamil name of Srípurambiya mentioned in the Udayândiram plates of Prithivipati II.; see also the following note.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ibid. p, 387. Vaimbalguli, where another battle was fought by Prithivipati I. according to the Udayêndiram plates, may have to be looked for in the same locality. It is not impossible that the place is identical with Vêmbil, whose fortifications Varaguṇa destroyed. Bat the name of Prithivipati's enemy in the battle of Vaim. balguli is not mentioned. Consequently this identification remains doubtful.

    7 That the Pânḍyas were strong and powerful about this time, is proved by their invasion of Ceylon recorded in Chapter $\dot{\mathrm{L}}$. of the Singhalese Chronicle Mahdvainsa; see Mr. L. C. Wijesinha's Translation. The invs. sion seems to have been unprovoked and falls into the period A.D. 846-866.

    - Director-General's Annual for 1903-04, p. 278.
    - South-Ind. Insor. Vol. II. p. 384, verse 18.
    ${ }^{10}$ Ibid. Vol. III. p. 2. ${ }^{11}$ Above, Vol. IV. p. 182.
    ${ }^{13}$ Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1905-06, Part II. paragraph 8.

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aparijita is called the friend (suhrid) of Prithivipati I., who was a Gaiga-Pallama feudstory. If the former had been the reigning king, it is perhaps not likely that he would be raferred to an the "friend" of Prithivipati I.
    ${ }^{2}$ Soon after the conquest and occupation of the Pallava nominions by the Cbolea, the Gaingas, who had been feudatories of the Gaiga-Psllavas, seem to have aoknowledged the Oholas as thair operloria; in an inscription of Rajafersarivarman which has to be attribnted to Aditya I., Piridipati, won at Mammamignor (i,e. perbaps Prithivipati II., mon of Maranimha), ia mentioned, apparently, as a Chola feudetorys , , whraf Repott on Epigraphy for 1896-97, paragraph 7.
    ${ }^{1}$ Road ${ }^{\circ}$ मुक्स बल्.

    - Annual Roport on Epigrapiy for 1005-06, Part II. paragraph 10.
    - The placest. Which this battle was fought ia not mentioned here. According to wembith battle which crumed the Yallava power was fought noar Sholinghar in the North Arcot dintriat is tive themeth of the North Areot Distriet, Vol. I. p. 89.
     Vol. IV. p. 181), the Ganga-Pallava Nripatungavikramavarman and hite mineatory palded Puthea, and their legendary ancestors are the same as thone of the Rallavas of Conjeeveram.

    Annwal Report on Espigraphy for 1096-6; Part II. paragraph 95,
    

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ The conquest of the Pallavas is attributed by tradition to Adondai, an illegitimate son of Kulâttanga of Tanjore. The first battie, said to have been foright at Puralur, ended in favour of the Pallavas. Adondai was then forced to rotreat to Sholinghur. "Encouraged there by a dream, he renewed the contest and defeated the enemy with great slaughter. The Pallava king was taken prisoner, and the brazen gates of the Puralur fort were carried to the temple (!) of Tanjore;" see the Manual of the North Arcot District, Vol. I. p. 39. If there be any truth in this tradition, Kulottunga must have been a surname of Vijayâlaya, and Âdondai of his son Âditya I. But there is not even the slightest hint in epigraphical records that Âditya was the illegitimate son of Vijayâlaya., Another tradition has it that the mythical Chôla king Kokkilli had an illegitimate son named Âdondai by a Nâga woman, and that the province conferred on the latter by his father was called Tonḍai-nâdu or Toṇdai-manḍalam. It looks as if this $\hat{A}$ dondai is mixed up with the conqueror of the Pallavas in the former story.

    2 Verse 306 in illustration of Maruvudaluraittal, and verse 327 in illastration of $\operatorname{Pinaimurrininaittal.~}$
    ${ }^{3}$ Above, Vol. VII. p. 7.
    ${ }^{4}$ No. 12 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1905 from the Viraṭtannêsuara temple at Kilar near Tirakoilûr.
    ${ }^{8}$ In ancient times the rate of interest seems to have been more or less arbitrary. It looks as if the ordinary laws of Political Economy were not allowed fall play, and it is therefore unsafe to draw any conclusions from the rate of interest. At Conjeeveram the rate of interest during the reign of a Chêla king named Parakêsarivarman wss 15 per cent. About a centary earlier the rate was 5 per cent. in the same place. Again the interest ou 250 kealaüju of gold was 500 kaddi of paddy, and 150 kddi on 50 kalauiju; G. O. No. 452, Public, dated 10th June 1831, p. 5.

    - Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{nal}$; the ha of anugraha is slightly different from $h a$ in $11.5,12$ and 81.

[^77]:    ${ }^{2}$ The phrase mudal keddmai poli kondu corresponds to mendangirka poli koydse of the Trichinopoly inecrip* tion; Director-General's Annual for 1908-04, p. 275, text line 15 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ At the beginning of this line is a symbol which looks like ra, but which in probably $\approx$ mere crack on the stone.

[^78]:    ${ }^{2}$ Read ndrupalam.
    ${ }^{2}$ Vtrrirundu means literally 'being seated majestically.'
    ${ }^{s}$ This is the literal translation. The money must have been sent by a messenger to be made over to the village assembly.
    'Literally ' taking interest (in such a way) that the capital is not deatroyed's' aee note 1 on page 90 above.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word srtkovil means 'the sanctuary of a temple' according to Dr. Gandert. It is used here in the sar::" sense as the Tamil tirukkbyil, ' a temple, a place of worship, a sacred shrine.' In the Tanjore insoriptions frike $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a} k$ is used to designate the orthodor Hinda temple as opposed to the temples of the Drâvidian village deities and to the, Jaina templea (South-Ind. Inser. Vol. II. p. 48, note 8, and p. 59).

    2 The word nigadi occurs again in line 27. 'Literally ' (the offering) at one time.'
    *With sennel compare tiruchchennadai-nel in a Chôla inscription from Conjeeveram; South-Ind. Inacr' Vol. I. p. 117.
    ${ }^{5}$ This word occurs in a similar context in an inscription of Rajakêsarivarman found at Tiruvellarai near Trichinopoly (No. 518 of 1905). In an unpnblished record of the Chôla king Rajakêsarivarman from Gnḍimallann in the North Arcot district, split green gram (siru-payarru-paruppu) is provided for kummaya-amudu (No. 220 if 1903). The modern meaning of the word loummayam, viz. 'mortar,' will not do here. In Malayd!am and in Kansrese the root kummu means 'to best with a pestle,' and kummayam might therefore denote something poundial, if the word is derived from that root.

    8 The verb nivedikka in line 17 may also have to be taken with the words tayir ( $\mathrm{l}_{0} 18$ ), loaruval aippalnaf (1. 18 f.) and farkkarai (1. 19), if not with all tho items mentioned in 11.19 to 27.

    I According to one of the Tanjore inscriptions of Rajarajà I. (South-Ind. Ineor. Vol. II. No. 26) pepper, mu** tard, and perhape also salt were required for this preparation. Some vegetable mast also have been added, thourel the fact is not specifically mentioned. Old rice, pulse, pepper, mustard, cumin, augar and ghee were required for n similar preparation called appakkeaykkari.
    ${ }^{8}$ Pepper, mustard, camin, sugar, tamarind, curds, horse-gram and plantain fruits were required for it according to the inscription quoted in the preceding note. Puliyiftaduigari (consisting of pepper, camina. tamarind, and perhsps somo vegetable) was a similar preparation.

    - Pulukkukkafi, 'boiled carry,' is perhsps so called in order to distingaish it from porikkari, 'fried carry. The former is not mentioned (at any rate under this name) in the Tanjore insoription quoted above, while ghee in provided for the latter. Evidently porik kari consisted of a vegetable fried in ghee.
    ${ }_{10}$ The verb tumi means 'to sprinkle.' Condiment powder is even now sprinkled over boiled curry and the whusk seasoned with boiling ghee, in which a small quantity of mustard is frying. The preparation is called poditipaliz 'powder sprinkling,' and the same is apparently indicated by the use of the werb twwi here. A atory is told in corn. nection with the Tamil poet Kamban, which shows that the noun tumi was not in common nte during his time.
    ${ }^{n 1}$ Kafty is a liquid preparation still in uge and consists of (1) a vegetsble coaked either with Bengal gram um beans, (2) two or more vegetables boiled together, or (3) specially made powder diseolved in curdh. (1) and (2) do not, generally, require any curds.

    13 The word for betel-leaves both here as well as in the Tanjore inscriptions in velfilai, "white leaf,' while tite modern Tamil form is verrilai, 'empty leaf.'
    ${ }^{4}$ Worahip at six periods of the day is the rule at present in big temples. In the of a minor bhrine in the Tanjore temple, provision was made for offerings only three times (moming, middery and night, Sowth. Ind. Issor. Vol. IJ. p. 146) and twice in the case of two other shrines (ibid. pp, 70 and na):

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Tanjore 5 kalam of paddy were required for 2 kalam of rice during the time of Râjarâja $\mathbf{I}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pulse was exchanged at this rate during the time of Râjarâja I. at Tanjore.
    2 In Tanjore ghee and paddy were exchanged in the proportion of 1 to 32 at the time of Rajaraja I.

    - The same rate obtained in Tanjore during the reign of Rafjarâja I.
    ${ }^{5}$ There seem to have been three varieties of plantain fruits available in Tanjore and its vicinity about the beginning of the 11th century A.D. Two fruits of the first kind could be had for one nalil of paddy (South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. II. pp. 75 and 77); five nalli of paddy had to be given in exchange for 18 fruits of the second variety (ibid. p. 127); the third was sold at the rate of 1200 for each leâsu (ibid. p. 151).
    ${ }^{6}$ Two kinds of sugar were apparently available about the begiuning of the 1!th century A.D. in the Chôla capital and the country surrounding it. Of the cheaper kind $3 \frac{3}{8}$ palam could be purchased for 2 ndlli, 1 uri, 1 alâkku and 4 sevidu of paddy (South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. II. p. 127), while the more costly variety exchanged at the rate of 1 niti and 1 uri of paddy for palam (ibid. pp. 70 and 71).

    7 In one of the Tanjore inscriptions quoted in the preceding foot-notes, the daily allotment for purchasing vegetables is 6 ndlli of paddy. The quantity of vegetables is not mentioned.
    ${ }^{8}$ If this calculation is correct, a calam must be equal to 15 instead of 12 kuruni, and a kuruni equal to 6 nâli.
    ${ }^{2}$ Asafoctida and lime are not mentioned in any of the Tanjore inscriptions, where common salt and firewood are specially provided for (South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. II. pp. 75, 77 and 130). The two latter are conspicuous by their omission in the Ambâsamudram record. Perhaps they had no exchange value in the Pandya country during the time of Varagana. It may also be that temples could obtain both common salt and firewood without any payment.
    ${ }^{10}$ From lines 26 and 60 of the text it may be concluded that one bundle (parru) was equal to 4 adukkku of betel-leaves.

    11 Provision is madefor the supply of areca-nuts and botel-leaves in three of the Tanjore inscriptions, where only the aggregate cost of both is given (Nos, 6, 26 and 35 of South-Ind. Insor. Vol. II.) : 1 nâli of paddy for 8 areoanuts and 32 betel-leaves (No. 6); 4 nalli and 1 uri of paddy for 30 areca-nuts and 60 betel-leaves (No. 26); and 1 nali and 1 uri of paddy for 12 areca-nuts and 24 betel-leaves (No. 35).

    12 The actual calculation yields 36 nalli of lime annually, costing 72 nali of paddy, i.e. 18 nali less than a kalam ( $=90$ nali according to this inscription) or 12 kuruni. But it has been pointed out that a kalam was made up of $\mathbf{1 5}$ kuruṇi (note 8 above). Thus the kuruni was equal to 6 ndli instead of the more common $8 n a l i$. With this equivalent of the Kuruni the calculation in the text would be correct.
    ${ }^{28}$ This calculation would be correct only on the assumption that a kalam was equal to 1 v kuruni and a kuruni equal to 6 ndli ; see the preceding note.

[^81]:    1 The word niyadi is apparently synonymous with nifadam which occurs frequently in the Tanjore insoriptions, and with nisadi in the Trichinopoly cave epigraph of Varaguṇa (Director-General's Anumal fur 1903-4, p. 275 , text line 19).

    - ${ }^{2}$ In later inscriptions the phrase nedun-galamum is replaoed by the Sanskpit ahandradityavat, 'ss long as the moon auu the sun (endure).'
    a Suce the above was written, I have had an opportunity of inspeoting the original plates together with $M r$. Veuksyya.
    * Above, Fal. IV. p. 183 區.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. IV. p. 185 ; Vol. V. Appendix, p. 50, No. 855.
    2 This was evidently the name of the temple of Śva at Tâmaracheru.
    ${ }^{8}$ Above, Vol. IV. p. 187 f.
    4 Above, Vol. IIl. p. 127, note 5; .Kol. V. p. 185, note 5, etc.
    -Incl. Ant. Vol. XIII. p. 273 fi. $16 i d$. p. 119 ff.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the original plates. 2 Expressed by a symbol.
    ${ }^{1}$ Read खस्षि. ${ }^{4}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ प्रत्लित कलिकाष ${ }^{\circ}$.
    "Read "चूहांसेबर्म". The 4 -stroke is not visible in the ink-impression.
    
    
    
    

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read गुfिनो.
    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{Read}{ }^{\circ}$ नगरात्परम ${ }^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{0}$ खा.बयद: ${ }^{5}$ Reada ${ }^{\circ}$ मास्य ${ }^{\circ}$ Read थासीजे.

    - The engraver had originally written ${ }^{\circ}$ चाटारेन.
     has been cencelled.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ साकाब्द्दि.
    - The reading of this word is very uncestain; read perhepps ब्रच्ण वर्च"

    10 Read घ्रतब्राध्र ${ }^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{13}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पालनोय घूति.
    ${ }^{11}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ स्षेमिपासैस्म तुमीकार्म्मंगौ-,
     ${ }^{\uparrow}$ नाश्रापे रख्यं,

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the map prefixed to the Nellore District Inscriptions, Talamanchi is marked by the flgure ' 32 ' on the $r$ th of Nellore town.
    ${ }^{1}$ Journ. Bombay Br. R. A. S. Vol. XVI. pp. 285 and 238.
    ${ }^{2}$ Messrs Ruttarworth and Venugopal Chetti are unable to identify this village; see Nellore Distriot Inscrip${ }^{-2} s$, Preface, p. vi.

    - From three sets of ink-impressions.

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वमिसि. $\quad 3$ The 4 of ख्या is expressed twice.
    ${ }^{3}$ Expresed by a aymbol. The usual epithets of this family (1l. 2-5) are omitted in:the tranelation.

    - I.e. Pularté́in II.
    - Viz. the Chofa, Pindyn ind Kerrala. Compare one of the epithete applied to Viksamditys I. in the gennte of his son Vinaylditya, sbove, Vol, V. p. 202, note 16.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Fleet, in his Dynasties, p. 368, arrived at the conclusion that "we shall probably be very close to the mark, if we place the formal commoncement of his reign somewhere in the autumn of . . . . A.D. 655. ."

[^88]:    Mr. Lele at the time most kindly offered to send me imprestions of the plates, bat was momehow or other 1ted from doing so. I take the apportunity of thanking him here aloo publicly for the generooity with which
    

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Similar representations of Garada are fonnd on all complete plateen of the Pammalraw of Matava, of which faccimiles have been published ; compsre e. g. above, Vol. III. Plate opposite p. 50.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare above, Vol. VII. p. 85.
    : Non. 195, 197 and 198 of my Northern List.

    - Viz. then Uijain plates of Vakpatirija, published in Ind. Awt. Vol XIV. p. 180.
    - I knew of no grammatical rule by which a compound formed of ed and diman fir enne of damna udgntah) would become wdddma, and most of the pasaagen, which in the dictionastier are quoted matior wddama,
     explaius udddmaadiggajd by wddamdnd damna udgatd diggaja yaumin.

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ The context would indicate that Dhẩrà had been taken by the Gûrjaras.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ser uow above, Vol. VIII. p. 99; compare also below, p. 118, note 2. - In Mêrutunga's Prabandhachintdmani, p. 249 f., we are told that the Mâlava king Sohaḍa (i.e. Subhatavarman), when about to invade the Gưrjara country, turned back from its frontier on hearing the verse: Praldpo rajamartanda parvasydm=dva rdjatd 1 sa tva vilayam ydili paschimafavalambinah 11 ; but that afterwards the Garjara country was 'broken' by his son Arjunadêva.
    ${ }^{*}$ See Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. p. 311.
    4 See ibid. Vol. XIX. p. 348.
    ${ }^{5}$ Nos. 207 and 214 of my Northern List.

    - The text has soma-parvvani instead of the fuller and ordinary a ofagrahana-parvani; similarly saryaparvani is used instead of sihyagrahana-parvani, e.g. in the dates of No. 356 of my Northern. List and of Nos. 389 and 380 of my Southern List.- I may add that, excepting the Mándhâta plates of Jayasimbn, published above, Vol. III. p. 48, the word parrani occurs in the dates of all fully preserved Paramara plates that have been hithertopublished. We have pavitraka-parvvanti in No. 46 of my Northern List, a magrahana-parvvayi in Nos. 49 and 121, wdagayana-parvoani in No. 57, samंjata-shryaparvavii in No. 172, Mahd-Vaisdkhyd́m parvvani in No. 189, abhisheka-pasvavi in No. 195, stryagrahana-parvani in No. 197, and ohandroparâyi-parvani in No. 198.

[^91]:    1 The inecription B. (in lines 82, 84, 36 and 87) shows that the word vaptake in aynonymoun with uade, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}$ share.
    ${ }^{3}$ He probably in the G6maka, mentioned in line 51 of the inscription $B$.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Prof. Peterson's Third Report, App. I. p. 385, the town is called Dimdavananagara.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Journ. Am. Or. Soc. Vol. VII, pp. 27 and 32, and Journ. As. Soo. Beng. Vol. V. p. 379 (where the published text has Muktavasu-sthana).
    : See Dr. Bhandarkar's Report on the search for Snnskrit MSS. during 1883-84, p. 391.
    4 Vindhya-bhapati has been taken to mean ' king of the Vindhyas or Malavs'; but as dyyuad-bhdpati in the same prasasti denotes Arjunavarman, the former can only denote Vindhyavarman.

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the inecription B., below.
    2 From an impremion sapplied by rat, Cxamans.

    - Denoted by a symibol.
    
    - Read pratibinba-.
    ${ }^{6}$ Read -bimbam.
    1 Rend tamratam.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read suatwlya.
    - Read - $\delta d d y \delta t d$.
    ${ }^{10}$ Bend -nirbibuthdi4.
    ${ }^{12}$ The editions of the platea of Arjunavarman have garjase ; but garjijad-ie the readiag allo of R., below, p. 121, line 14.

[^94]:    Originally ydma was engraved.
    The edition of the grant of Arjunavarman in Journ. Am. Or. Soc. Vol. VIL. p. 26, has bharavataranam.
    These signs of punctuation are superfluous.
    Read =asmabhirr. ${ }^{5}$ Metre: Vasantatilaka.
    Instemd of the akshara dhe originally dhau seems to have been engraved.
    bere and helow, iukla. 12 Here the pravaras are omitted.

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ Katydyana-gdtraya was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line. Here, again, the pravaras ane omitted.
    

    - In the place of the akshara ra originally dva was engraved. ${ }^{6}$ Read Piśvaivara.
    *This, or pain${ }^{\circ}$, here and belaw, $=$ paindita-. ${ }^{8}$ The sakhd of this man is omitted.
    - Below, in lines 47 and 49, the name is Mutdvathai.
    ${ }^{10}$ I.e., here and below, chaturveda-. In I.e., here snd below, agnihbtri-,
    12 Read Kaikas-dti. ${ }^{18}$ I.e., here and below, thakkura-.
    ${ }^{34}$ The fdkhe of this man is omitted. Is Read -Apnavana-; see below, lines 52 and 66.
    * Fere an open space is left for a name of four syllables which has been omitted.

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read Śamkhyáyana or, more correctly, Śdímicháyana.
    ${ }^{2}$ dutatthy- - Auchatthy-; see below, B., line 33. $\quad$ I.e., here and below, deivda..

    - Originally Gâmg $d^{\circ}$ was engraved, but the first $\mathbb{d}$ has been struck out.
    ${ }^{6}$ Originally ${ }^{\circ}$ sthanain $v i^{\circ}$ was engraved. $\quad$ Read -Apnavdna-.
    - I.e., here and below, âvasathika-.
    ${ }^{8}$ In this name an open space is left for two syllables. The name which one would have expected is


    ## Bharmyasva.

    - I.e., here and below, ydjinika-. $\quad{ }^{10}$ The akshara of is engraved above the line.
    ${ }^{11}$ Originally Ódhapa${ }^{\circ}$ was engraved. ${ }^{12}$ Read, here nud below, Rdnáyantyaidkh-,
    ${ }^{12}$ The akshara dha is engraved above the line.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Originally Ámgirifar was engraved. ${ }^{2}$ I.e., here and below, upadhydya-.
    : This sign of abbreviation is engreved at the commencement of the nert line.
    4 Yor Yastdeva- $\quad{ }^{5}$ Originally $L \delta h a d a^{\circ}$ was engraved.
    ${ }^{6}$ Read -Apnavâna-. $\quad$ Originally Amgirisa-was engraved.
    ${ }^{8}$ I.e. pathaka-. $\quad{ }^{\circ}$ Read Śktri-, and see above, p. 109, note 8.
    ${ }^{10}$ I.e., here and below, panichakalpi-(P). $\quad 11$ I.e. pamdita-. $\quad 12$ Read chatushkamkata.,
    13 The akshara in breckets might possibly be read chha. As will be seen from the facsimile, the spacebetween $l d$ and $t a$ at the commencement of line 73 is larger than it generally is between two aksharas.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read punyayaió.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read buddhva.
    ${ }^{11}$ One rould have expected dharmmaddy ${ }^{\prime}$; but dharmmád $\hat{y}_{y} \delta$ is the reading of most of the Paramars. copper-plates.
    ${ }^{17}$ Originally ${ }^{\prime}$ 'fohath ras engraved. ${ }^{18}$ Metre of this verse and the next: Ślika (Anushifubh).

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre : Éálinî. ${ }^{2}$ Metre: Pushpitagrat. ${ }^{5}$ Read buddhod.
    4. I.e, dutakah or dulah (as in the inscription B.).
    ${ }^{5}$ For the exact shape of this mark, which is not a sign of punctuation, see the accompanying photo-lithograpl.
    ${ }^{6}$ This sign of punctuation is superfluous.
    7 I find no English word by which I could fully express all the meanings of the Sanskrit dharma; in the present case 'religious merit' would perhaps best convey some idea of what is intended. The four aims of man are dharma, artha, kama snd móksha.
    ${ }^{8}$ The spot (kalanika) in the moon is by poets taken to be the reflected image of the earth. Prof. Jacobi, who first drew my attention to this notion, quotes Raghuvamsa XIV. 40, and especislly Haravijaya XLI. 64; and [ find that the idea is clearly expressed in verse 1982 of the Subhashitavali, according to which 'others have said, that the spot in the moon is 'the reflected image of the earth' (bhimessoha bimbam pard); compare also ibid. v. 2584, sasalakshmanah parinata prithvi kalañodyatd. With pratibimba-nibhat compare pratibimba-mishdt in the Pdrijatamaijari, above, Vol. VIII. p. 110, line 50; and with jagadadhladayan, as applied to the moon, ahládayan=visvam in Ind. Ant. Vol. XVI. p. 208, line 1. - The Moon being a dvija (or Brâhmaṇ), one of his privi$l_{\text {eges }}$ is to receive gifts (pratigraha), just as it is his duty to make them (ddna).

    - The verse has been called awkward, becanse it has not been anderstood. Since warriors slain in battle enter heaven throughy the sun, this luminary, covered with their blood, a ssumes the reddish hue of copper. In this state it appears in he twilight sky, and is thus represented to be the very copper-plate oharter (tamra) by which Parasuramag ated the earth to the Brahmsng. For the ides of warriors who are slain in battle splitting the sun Compare, e. p. Ind. Vol. II. p. 192, v. 83; Subhashitavali, v. 2274 ; and the pretty verse, given to illustrate the
    
    

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ 1.1 the golden age dharma had foar fect, of which it lost one in cach subsequent age (Parafarasmriti, ed. by V'unan Sistri Islanpurkar, Vol. I. P. I. p. 82). The worl for' 'share' in the original being pada, i.e. 'foot,' the kiag by grunting shares of villages incrensed the number of dharma's feet and so bronght back as it were the
    
    
    ? By itzelf the sworl had two celges (dhârat) ; the town of Dhâd, retaken by it, become its third edge (dhára). The author suggesta that the sword became like the tridhâqâ, i.e, the 'three-streamed' Gangâ, which flows through, and purifies, heaven, earth, and the lower regions.
    ${ }^{s}$ The worl pattana may also be taken as a proper name of the well-known Pattana (see e,g. above, Vol. VIII. p. 224, No. XII., and p. 220, No. XXXII.).
    ${ }^{4}$ Gajjjad-Girjjara-occurs also above, p. 85. 1. 27; compare also Ind. Ant. Vol. XVIII. p. 217, 1. 11: ma aivam G[i] rija ara garjja.
    ${ }^{5}$ This 'now' is really inappropriate in inscriptions of Arjanavarman's succossors, but the verses 16-19 have been taken over unchanged from that king's own grants.

    6 I.e. a hero in fight, in compassion (like Jimatarahana), and in bounty (like Bali)- yuddha-vira, dagdvtra, and dána-vfra; compare Vamandcharya in his erition of the Karyaprakitan p. 113; Śringarrtilaka, III. 14 (trividht ndyakah) ; and similar works. In the Parijatananijari, above, Vol. VIII. p. 102, 1. 7, and p. 103, 1. 18, Arjunavarman is atyled trividhavtra-chddamani. The same epithet I find applied to a person named Udaya, in three Mount Âbu inscriptions of the Vikrama years 1245 and 1291 (Mr. Cousens' List, Nos. 1725,1726 and 1840). With the whole verse one may compare Skduali, p. 258 : Narasimha mahepala ksrtisstripathagd taval wa kasya bhavitu sldghyd pundid bhuvanatrayam I.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ The plates were first mentioned by Mr. Lele in a Report of his on the progress of Archmological work in the Dhâr State, dated the 24th August 1904.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exactly the same construction ocours in my Report on the searoh for Sanskrit MSS., Bombay, 1881, p. 11 : ttna . . . ldkhakca-sdhada-parsval-likhdpita, 'he caused the writer Soluads to write (a certain MS.),' With the use of pdrefdt we may compare that of hastat in Prof. Peterson's Fifth Report, p. $29:$ Udayachamdraganinat Jinabhadraldkhaka-hastád=Vimalaohamdragani-hastaohacha Oghanirywktisdtram lekhitam.
    ${ }^{2}$ In verse 17 this inscription has Jaitrasimhe instead of Jayasimhe, and in verse 21 prafdsti instead of raraksha.- For the readiug Juitrasimhe see sbove, Vol. VIII. p. 203, where a certain person is called both Jayantasimha and Jailrasimha. If nny importance could be attached to the new reading, it would in my opinion show that the Jayasimha, who was defeated by Arjunavarman, undoubtedly was the Chaulukya Jayantinimha (Jayasimha) Abhinarasiddharaja.
    ${ }^{3}$ The text actually has: "Now that DAvapala has resorted to Indra'a abode, him mon Jaitugidêva rales this land." The verse undoubtedly was taken over unohsnged from an inscription of Jaitugidêra himself.

    - 'Bala-Nârayapa' most probably was an. epithet of the king. Compare. Kwidra-IVardyoya, as an epithet of the Paramâra Sindhurajjs, in the Navasdhasdikachorita, I. 59.
    ${ }^{5}$ See above, p. 107.
    - See Archaol. Survey of India, Vol. X. p. 31. Judging from Sir A. Ounningham'a rubbing of the inscription which I have again exumined, the two aksharas between Jaya and diva are almont oompletely baphen away.

    II am writing this with Sir A. Cunningham's rubbing of tine inseription befope me.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the first half of the 13th century A.D. We find the name Jaitugi twice in the farily of we Yadaras e.f )êvagiri; and there was a [Éslâra] Konkanachakeravartin Jaitugidéva, an unpublished inscrif tion (belos. is io the Bombay As. Soc.) of whom spparently is dated in A.D. 1207.
    ${ }^{2}$ Given in the abbreviated form pratfo. The word pratehdra means 'a door-keeper', but denotes a ri $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}+$ ,ffioial.
    ${ }^{8}$ Comparo panioh ${ }^{\text {ppachdra-pdja, e.g. in Ind. Ant. Vol. XVIII. p. 347, 1. 10, and above, Vol. III. p. 215, 1. 5, }}$ nd p. 300, 1. 42. The five articles presented are gandha, puzhpa, dhdpa, dipa and naivedya, compare Sudra. samalákara, p. 140 f., where pañch dpachdra, dasfpachara and similar terms are explained.
    ${ }^{4}$ These epithets here too are given in the sbbreviated forms $a g n i^{\circ}, p t^{\circ} ; d v i^{\circ}, o h a^{\circ}$, and $d t^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{5}$ In the original the prataras aleo are given.
    -The names of the places of origin here also have the word sthana attached to them.
    T See above, p. 108.

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Journ. As. Soc. Beng. Vol. V. p. B79.- The town is called Mandapapura in line 16 of the Detgagh inscription published ibid. Vol. LII. P. I. p. 70.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Gazetteer of the Central Provinces, p. 258 . ${ }^{3}$ From an impression supplied by Dr, Sten Konors.

    - Metre of verses 1-21: S188ks (Anushṭubh). ${ }^{5}$ Read pratitimba.,
    - After dr $\delta$ another vertical stroke was engraved, but has been struck out.

    7 This sign of punctuation was originally omitted. $\quad{ }^{8}$ Read -bimbans.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the place of ta originally $l a$ was engraved.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read - $\delta d d y \delta t 6$, - Between the aksharas $6 a$ and foham a vertical stroke was originally engrared, but las bean struck out.

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read $-n i r b b a \dot{m} d h i$. ${ }^{2}$ Originally dhatri- was engraved.
    ${ }^{2}$ In A. and in the three grants of Arjunavarman the name is Jayasimhe.

    - In the place of of originally ef was engraved. ${ }^{5}$ Instead of this word A. has rarakeha.
    - Metre : Śárdûlavikrídita.

    7 The akshara pd of this word seems to be corrected out of $l d$ whioh was originally engraved.
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre : Śl0ka (Anushṭubk). These signs of punctuation are superfluons.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre: Vasantatilakâ.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ These signs of punctustion are superfluous.
    2 I.e., here and below, pratihdra.
    ${ }^{1}$ Read -pârfvadd.

    * Originally sammats seems to have been engraved. Read samuat.
    - Resd Agraháyaña.
    ${ }^{6}$ The akshara rê of this word in the engraving is really rara.
    7 Read Chyavana-; this correction may have been made already in the original. - Here and in some placea l.eluw the rules of samdhi have not been observed.
    \& I.e., here and below, dvivêda.
    ${ }^{10}$ I.e. pátikaka-.
    13 I.e. chaturveda-.
    I e., here and below, dikshita-
    16 Read shadbhirr.
    ${ }^{18}$ Originally ${ }^{\circ}$ netavyam was engraved.
    ${ }^{20}$ Metro of this and the next two verses : Śnka (Anushṭubh).
    - Originally -shawtraya was ongraved.
    ${ }^{21}$ L.e. agniabtri-.
    ${ }^{4}$ Resd Jamdrddewion.
    ${ }^{3}$ Read abkyaco.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read okatuokkahkata-,
    ${ }^{10}$ Compare above, p. 112, note 16.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre : Śalint.
    ${ }^{2}$ Metre : Pushpitâgrá.
    4 I.e. -pamdita-

    - 7 Read likhitam.
    - This sign of punctuation is superfluous,
    ${ }^{1}$ Read buddhva.
    ${ }^{8}$ Originally -id̨anami was engraved. ${ }^{\circ}$ Metre: Indravajras.
    10 These words are engraved in small letters under the flgure of Garuda which is on the proper right of the plate between lines 42 and 50.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is really the correot name of the town and State, but it is now officially written as Kanker. In General Cnnningham*s Report for 1873.74 it is spelt as Kâkêr, showing that the nasal had not been till then inserted, The local people at present atill call it Kaikar.

    2 The Central Provinces, called Gondwinâ by the Musalmâne, were 00 infested by these animale that even revenue was levied in elephants. Even places like Jabalpur, whioh wore and are far leas jungly than Kanker, had their quota of wild elephants. See Jarrett's din-i-Akbari, Vol. II. p. 196, where the following occurs:"Garhs ( 2 miles from Jabalpur) is a separate State abounding with forests in whioh are mumerous vild elephants. The caltivetors pay the revenue in mohars sud elephante.'s About a dozen years ago 40 elephants were captared in Rairâkhol, a State now transferred to Bengal, and I had once an occasion of riding one of them.
    : These have not yet been published and sre at present in my possession.

    - Central Provinces Gaketteer, 1870, p. 236.
    - It is asid that the installation of the Kanker chief is up to this day confrmed by the Halbas by anointing the Râjà before the shrine of Maulidêvi. The Halbâs are an aboriginal tribe mostly living in that State and tne adjoining tracts. These Halbla claim to have come from Orisan along with the leper king snd are still the chief domestics of the Kanker ohief. They apesk a dialect which is a ourious mixture of Origa, Chhattiggarhi (a form of Rastern Hindi) and Marathì; see Dr. Grierson's Lingwietic Suroey of India, Vol.
    VII. p. 881.

[^108]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this part of the country a good deal of transactions were done in cowries (shella, Sanskrit kapardikd), which are still used as currency by the poor people. It mast not however be supposed that there were no coins here. In the copper-plates of Pamparâjadêva alluded to before, the coin tanka of local mint finds a mention. It is still a practice in some places to get work done, chiefly digging and throwing of earth, by what is called kaudihai, a mode of piecework payment, 2 or 4 cowries or more according to the labour involved being paid for each basket of earth thrown out. A man with cowries stands at a place where the earth is to be thrown, and as esch labourer turns up and throws down the earth, he receives immediately the fixed number of cowriea each time. This mode of labour automatically puishes the idlers and ases a good deal of auperviaion and account-keeping where a large number of labourers are engaged simultaneously on such a work.
    ${ }^{2}$ Quoted in Cunningham's Arohaological Reports, Vol. VII. p. 147.
    ${ }^{8}$ Dr. Fleet's Gupta Insoriptions, p. 43.
    4 The idea seems to have been taken from a sarvatóbhadra village, which is desoribed in the Manasara, a book of the highest authority on Hinda architecture, as "a town or village of quadrangular form, containing in the middle a temple dedicated to one of the triad, Brabmâ, Vishnu or Mahésvara. It has 4 streets of equal length on the 4 sides at right angles, and 2 more crossing each other in the middle. Between these may be formed 3, 4, 5, or as many nore streets as the extent of the village will admit, ou eavh side, parallel to the middlemost atreet. Without the walls should be placed the shrines of the deities who preside over and defend the several qusrters of the village; at the angular points should be erected halls, porticoes, colleges and other publio edifices, and towards the quarter of Agni (southeeat) a watershed for the accommodation of travellers and passengers. The whole village shonld be secured by a quadrangular wall and a diteh around it, with 4 large and as mauy small gates in the middle of the sides and at the angular poiata Without the northern gnte should be erected a temple for the worship of Mahâkâlif, and the buts of Chandâlas or outcastes ahould be a kroffa distnnt from the village. A tank or reservoir should be constructed either on the south or north aide or near either of these 2 points for ablutionsry and culinary purposes,"-See Ram Baz's drehitecture of the Hindus, 1834, p. 43.

[^109]:    1 If the ysar were 1244 (instead of 1242), it might be taken to be a Kalachuri year, in which cose the date 1at have fallen in a Raudra year. I do not mean to suggest hereby that the inseription could ise assighed to so a. period (A.D. 1492-93).

    2 The 5th tithi commencel 1 h .4 m . before mean surise of the Tuesday and ended 0 h .30 m . aftor mor: zur. of the Wedneslay.
    3 Betreen A.D. 1201 avd 1250 this is the only solar eclipse in the month Kartilss that could buve becti vaninl: Eninker.

    * Mr. Hira Lal quite Iately has sent me for calculation a dinte from the Ceutral Proyincea, whinh winci.,

    Eaponds to Weduceday, the 5th October A.D. 1005. According to the original date, this day shonld fall in 3e
    Fanabhara; hut by the northern luni-solar system it would full in the year Plavagga which fullows imme
    ${ }^{17}$ y upon Parabhara, and by the southern system in the year Visvâvasu which immediately precedes Parabliara.

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ So the tithi was already given, correctly, in As. Res. Vol. XV. p. 505.

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XII. p. 91 ft. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Ibid. Vol. VII. p. 15 ff.
    ${ }^{8}$ The verses of the Paḑàkalûru grant (11. 28-87) correspond to the following verses of the Vandram plates: $1,4,3,5,6,7,2$; and an additional verse is inserted between 1 and 4.

    Above, p. 47 fil.

    * Both sides of plate v. also exhibit traces of obliterated writing. A grant of Bhima I. is a similar palimpsest; see Prof. Kielhorn's remarks, above, Vol. V. p. 127.

    6 In the latter the epithet matapitripddanudhyatah (1.35) is however missing.
    7 With Tûrkkaya compare the names Tưrkassrman (above, Vol. V. p. 120, note 14) and Tûrkkama (Ind. Ant. ViI. XIII. p. 214, test 1. 49).
    
    

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read मร्रफाय. $2^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ हारोक्तात्या क्माभिर्देत. ${ }^{3}$ Read परिहार्या.
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Read कटक ${ }^{\circ}$. $\quad{ }_{5}$ See above, p. 49 f.
    7 I.e. the anthor of the verves describing the doneo. In other grants of Ammarija II. Pôtanabhația and dhavabhatta are mentioned as ' poets.'
    a The same person was the writer of the Elavarru and Paḍaikalûru grants
    ${ }^{2}$ See e.g. above, Vol. IV. 1. 37, nute 3.
    ${ }^{10}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XIII. p. 213.
    ${ }^{11}$ From two sets of ink-impressions and the original copper-plates. ${ }^{12}$ Read ${ }^{\text {प पालितानां. }}$
    ${ }^{\circ}$ ध्यायिनो.
    ${ }^{14}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सृय०.
    ${ }^{15}$ The anusvara stands at the beginning of the next line.

[^113]:    2. Read यचिम्बारति.
    ${ }^{2}$ The anusudra stands at the beginning of the next line.
    3 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ रनीक्रिरीति०.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ माथी.
    5 The Elavannu grant (1. 82 f.) supplies the correct reading मानीम्नती चती स्तसमसलीक:-
[^114]:    ${ }^{2}$ In quoting Fhareshthit records, length of vowels is noted except where the intention is to insist upon the exact reading supplied by the original in question.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ On a coin given by Prof. Rapson, Indian Coins, Pl. iii. No. 1, we have Kshahardta in Brahmi together with Chhaharata in Eharoshṭhi; some of the Nasik inscriptions have Khakharata, ete.

[^116]:    
    
    

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Plate II.
    2 See Plate III.

    - In small letters at the place indicated in Plate III.
    * See Plate II.
    - In smaller letters within E. ; see Plate II.
    - On the right cheek of the right lion; not shown in the photographs.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Plate I.
    ${ }^{2}$ On the leg of the left Jion, under his tail.
    ${ }^{3}$ Not shown in the Plates: incised in very clear characters on the breast of the left lion between J. and F.-G. (Bee Plate II): plainly a single independent record.

[^119]:    ${ }^{2}$ In small oharacters below the letter $\mathrm{H}_{i}$ in Plate I .
    ${ }^{2}$ In small characters, as H., below the letter H'. in Plate I.

    - See Plate III.

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Plate III. and Plate I. where R. has been printed in error. The word chhatavasa is inverted on the stone.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Plate IIL.

[^121]:    ${ }^{1}$ A kind of facsimile of the inscription may be seen in Bhdvacgar Insor. Plabe xxxpi.
    ${ }^{2}$ Prof. Wilson also gave a tranalation of the inscription of the Virrama year 1265, published by Dr. Cartallieri in Ind. Ant. Vol. XI. p. 221 ft., the original of which is now at the town of Sirohi; see Progrose Report of the Archaobl. Survey of India, W. Cirole, for 1905-1906, p. 47.
    ${ }^{2}$ For inceriptions that have been edited (in addition to those translated by Prof. Wiriou) see my Northern List, Nom. 261 and 265.
    ${ }^{4}$ In insoriptions the temple is called Vimala-vasakikd, Vimalasya vasakike, Vimala-panallt, and Vimala. vasatikd-tertha, in literary works aleo Timalavacati. Above, p. 81, I have already rated that the names 'Vimala SAh' or 'Vimala Shâ,' recently written 'Vimals SE,' in my opinion owe their origin to eminaydentanding of the term Vimala-vasahiled, 'Vimala's temple.' Similarly, LAmiga-vasahikd has given rive to the name (for Tejah, pala's brother) 'Luniga Sahicé,' in As. Ret. Vol, XVI. p. 809.
    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. VIII. p. 200, Prof. Ltiders has shown that the ordinary name of the templo is Linasimha (or
     TAjala-vasahl, and in literary worka LAmigavasati,

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 129 of my Northern List.
    ${ }^{2}$ So far as I can see, there is something wrong in the verses 39 and 40 , as given by Prof. Peterson, but regarding the date of the construction of the Vimala-vasati there can be no doubt.
    ${ }^{8}$ According to the extracts, the sutradhdra, who built the LAniga-vasati, was ŚBbhanadÂva, who is mentioned in the same way (as pradsdakaraka-sitradhara) in Mêrutuing's Prabandhachintamani, p. 259. 'This builder's name actually occurs in No. 1674 of Mr. Consens' List, an inscription of the Vikrama year 1288.- Jinaprabhasûri's account of the mountain Arbuda, from which the extracts are taken, seems to be based on insoriptions and to be quite trustworthy.

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 1743 of Mr. Consens' List, " on pilaster near shrine doorway of the principal temple in Vastupila's temple."
    ${ }^{2}$ Denoted by a symbol. ${ }^{3}$ Metre : Śaliní.

    - These signs of punctuation are superfluous.
    ${ }^{5}$ No. 1790 of Mr .. Cousens' List.
    - When my text was finished, I was able to compare impressions kindly sent to me by Mr. Gauribhankar Hirachand Ojha and by the Government Epigraphist, which in one or two places where there had been some doubt confirmed the correctness of my readings.
    ' For cariosity's sake it may be stated that the Sanskrit fasa, German 'hase,' English 'bare,' seems to go back to an original sasa; compare Prof. Wackernagel's Altisd. Gramm. Vol. I. p. 225.
    ${ }^{8}$ Compare $k$ hadricha and shadarcha in the St. Petersburg Diotionary.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Prof. Rapson in Journ. Roy. As. Soc. 1900 , p. 104 f., and Prof. Franke's Pali and Sanzkrit, p. 97 f., and compare the genitive cases terasa, sagata, etc., in Epp. Zeylanica, Vol. I. p. 18 ff.
    ${ }^{10}$ Proper Perfect forms which occur in the text are babhhina, babhavuh, chaksira, diddfa, prapdd and karayám-dıatub.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ The name Dhandhaka also occurs in the genealogy of the Paramaras of Chandrâvati, above, Vol. VIII, p. 201.
    ${ }^{2}$ According to Mr. Consens the inseription is over the doorway of cell No. 10 in the corridor of Fimala's temple. It is referred to in As. Res. Vol. XVI. p. 311, in the words: "One inscription bears date S. 1201, but as nothing else is decipherable, it is of no further valne."

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ The metre ahows that the name is correct here.
    ${ }^{2}$ The inscription is on the seat of an image in cell No. 10 in the corridor.
    ${ }^{2}$ Metre of the two versee : Ârya.
    ${ }^{4}$ These signs of punctuation are superfluons.

    - The metre requires us to read Makiduka-
    ${ }^{6}$ Read -bimbam.

[^126]:    ${ }^{2} 800$ below, p. 154.
    ${ }^{1}$ 'The meven tirihas or kelidtras are frequentily mentioned, but I have not yot found the names of the seven placen,

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the inscription referred to in As. Res. Vol. XVI. p. 311, in the words: "Another (viz. inseription), in like msoner, shows a date $\$ .1309$, but nothing else."
    ${ }^{2}$ I.e, the Ôbavile tribe; compare Ep. Ind. Vol. II. p. 40.
    ${ }^{2}$ Nos. 1759, 1822 and 1852 of Mr. Cousens' List.

    - Nos. 1756, 1758A, 1764 and 1793 of Mr. Cousena' List.
    'There is a Vadichandra who oomposed the Jnánasitryodaya; 1 do not know whother he is the man mentioned in our inscription.

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre : Indravajrâ.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read dussamay(or duhshamay)-ámdhakara-.
    ${ }^{1}$ Read -dsst.

    - Metre of veraes 8-10: Vamísstha.
    - Here a sign of punctuation was originally engraved.- Ambika is similarly described in the inscription of the Vikrama year 1201, mentioned above, p. 151 f., in a verse the correct reading of which I take to be: Vikata-
    
     Kushmándiní in Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXII. p. 463, Plate iv.
    - Metre: Upajãti.

    7 It is diffeult to eay whether we should read Adindtham or Adidenam. The akshara which follows upon ddi originally was de, but seems to have been altered; and the next akshara looks more like tha than va, but it might be said that th has been wrongly engraved instead of 0 also in Thimala for Vimala, in line 5 . On the whole I think that the reading Adindtham is preferable.
    ${ }^{3}$ Metre : Ślôka (Anushṭubh).

    - The sign for $a$ in this word is clearly struck out in the original, and it seems probsble that the engrayer intended to alter bhavataim to bhavatu,- In the above I have purposely given Srimatâ, not sri-Mata, because in other inscriptions irf appears clearly to be part of the name, not an honorific prefix. I may mention that in No. 1774 of Mr. Cousens' List we have Śrtmatade of as one word, not Srtmatridevt, and that in the account given of this mythical being and her father in Mèrntanga's Prabandhachintamani, p. 282.ff., the base of the word is both Srimatia and Srtmatri. In the same account the father's name is Srtpuija.


    ## ${ }_{10}$ Metre: ÂryA. <br> ${ }^{11}$ Read Vasishthaf; compare above, line 2.

    ${ }^{12}$ Read frimge.-Since at the commencement of the verse the mountain is addressed in the vocative case, sue would have expected tava efringe, but this would not have suited the metre.
    ${ }^{15}$ Metre: Svâgatâ.
    ${ }^{44}$ Chathváma- probably is a mistake for either Chdhuvanar- or Chahuvana-.
    ${ }^{15}$ Metre : Drutavilambita.
    ${ }^{15}$ Metre: Upajâti.
    19 After the 6 of ${ }^{\circ}$ tamis $\delta$ another vertical stroke was originally engraved, but has been atruck out.
    ${ }^{13}$ Metre: Indravajrâ.- Read Ásamis=trayasa. 19 Metre: Rathoddhatí.
    ${ }^{20}$ Read, probably, madhusravah.
    ${ }^{21}$ Metre: Upajati.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre: Indravajrâ. ${ }^{2}$ Read prabalán.
    ${ }^{8}$ Up to the end of the line the aksharas in brackets are more or less conjectural ; they are carelessly written in the original. For bhumamdana-read bhuma $\dot{m} d a l a-$.

    4'This sign of punctuation is superfinous. s Metre: Rathôddhatâ.

    - The four aksharas nahatisma are quite clear in the original, but offend against the metre and. yield no proper meaning. The only alteration which I could suggest would be dahatv=iha.
    ${ }^{7}$ Metre: Upajati.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Read prithvyám.
    - Metre of verses 23 -26: Âryá.

    10 Here about 10 aksharas are effaced.
    ${ }^{11}$ In No. 1791 of Mr. Cousens' List (see above, p. 154) Jethâka is described as an inhabitant of Mạdavyapura.
    ${ }^{12}$ Read Sarvajnázásana-ratah. $\quad{ }^{18}$ Read $=b h d d=$. $\quad{ }^{14}$ Here about 4 aksharas are effaced.
    ${ }^{25}$ Metre: Vasantatilakâ. $\quad{ }^{16}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ pesala-vittakeftif=. ir Read, perhapa, ${ }^{\circ}$ dánaḥ.
    ${ }^{18}$ Originally ${ }^{\circ}$ tirth $\delta$, with a sign of panctuation after it, was engraved.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre of verses 28-30: Ârya.
    ${ }^{20}$ The reading is conjectural. The original has vi [t $\}$ ] dt ketrttim.
    ${ }^{n}$ Compare gunaratnarohanagiri in my Report on the search for Sanskrit MSS., Bombay, 1881, p. 43, line 1.
    ${ }^{22}$ Metre : Opajâti.
    23 After the akshara ddhi a sign of panctuation was originally engraved, but has been struck out.
    ${ }^{24}$ Metre: Âryă.
    ${ }^{25}$ Here originally $m$ e was engraved, but the sign for $t$ seems to have been struck out; the two aksharas hinnt at the commencement of the next line are quite clear. The author has used the feminine mahima instead of the masculine mahiman.
    ${ }^{30}$ Metre : Indravajrî.- The two aksharas maya at the commencement of the verse were apparently read an one ayllable (mai).
    ${ }^{27}$ Read jydst $t h 6$.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre of verses 34 and 35 : siloka (Anushtuabh).
    ${ }^{2}$ I am doubtful about the correctness of the two names; the four aksharas at the beginning of the Pâda might be read also sthaläpa.
    ${ }^{3}$ Wrong for shalayitavah, which would not have suited the metre.
    
    
    
    ${ }^{13}$ Metre : Vasantatilakai.- With the commencement of the verse compare e.g. Prof. Peterson's Fifth Report, p. 207, v. 5 : tatpatta-bhulshanamanir=gata-dîshan $\boldsymbol{o}^{2}$ bhidt.
    
    ${ }^{27}$ Read -girava Rishabihah.
    ${ }^{\text {1n }}$ Read $J_{y}$ tshtha (or Jyaishtha)- sudi; and see above, p. 155.
    ${ }^{24}$ Denoted by a symbol.

[^131]:    1 Denoted by a symbol.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ deva-kalydina-.
    a Seemy Norther'n List, No. 306.-On the map of the Rajputana Agency 'Nariai' is seven miles sorth-esst of 'Nádol.'

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since I wrote this Mr. Baijnath has made further discoveries which will be described in enother paper.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ses Solections from the Records of the Government of $Z_{n d i a}$ in the foreign Department, No. XXXIX p. 62 et squ.
    : Above, Vol. III. p. 314.

[^133]:    ${ }^{1}$ This has now been removed to a roadside place called. Jâuglâ, six milen north of Potinâr, for easy accesse.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. III. p. 814.
    ${ }^{2}$ A similar error seems to have been committed in relegating the Buddhist stone inscription of Bhavadêva (republished in J. R. A. S. 1905, p. 617, by Dr. Kielhorn) to Ratanpur, whereas from my euquiry in situ I found that the inscription was really brought from Bhândaka, and this is confirmed by General Cunningham, Reports, Vol. IX, p. 127.
    ${ }^{8}$ Report on the Dependency of Bastar, 1862, p. 62.

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since I wrote the above, Prof. Kielhorn has kindly calculated the date for me and finds that Eaka 1030 Phalguna Sudi 12 Sunday regularly corresponds to Sunday, 14th Feb. A.D. 1109.

    I I do not think that much importance can be attached to the different birudaé used in the Bariadr anci
     occur in the latter. But then the birudas ased in the Bastar inscriptions are not always the sume.
    ${ }^{3}$ For reading this $I$ sm indebted to Mr . Sitaramayya, one of the Superintendents in the Comptroller's office, Central Provinces.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ Elliot's Report on the Bastar and Kharonde Dependencies, 1861, p. 13.
    2 As read by Rai Bahedur V. Venkayya.
    *Gazetteer of the Bombay Presidency, Vol. I, Part II, p. 572.

    - In the Ekâmranâtha inscription of Ganapati (Ind. Ant. Vol. XXI, p. 200) they are stated to belong to the solar race to which 'Sagara, Bhagłratha, Raghu and Râms' belonged. This apparent contradiction is, however, capable of being explained. The Kâkatîya king Ganapati had no male issue. He had a daughter named Budrambâ, who aucoseded him on the throne. Apparently she also had no male issue and had therefore to adopt her daughter's

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ This may be true in the sense that he raled over bo big a population, who, as subjects, could at any time be called out for military service. In Bastar and adjoining tracts almostevery man knows the ase of the bow and arrow, with which they even kill tigers. The probability, however, is that 'nine lac' was a conventional term for tho higheat number. . In the Hottar inscription (Gazotteer of the Bombay Presidency, Vol. I, Part II, p. 433) the Châlukya king Satyasraya is statod to have put to flight a Chofja king who had collected a force numbering nine lacs, indirectly insinnating that he defeated the higgest army that could be brought in the field. Similarly it has become idiomatic to speak of Bdwangarh ( 52 forts), 700 chelas (disciples), 108 órts, ete.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind. dnt. xxi. p. 198, and Duff's Chronology of India, p. 218.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ labdha-pañoha-sabd-,
    ${ }^{2}$ Read srimat-.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read kumara.

    - Probably azhta.

    1 Resd mrigarkshe.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read rájya.

    - Read Mahtsivara.

    R Read tomadint. $\quad$ Resd fubham. to the to the tranaetion, the RAj Pandit, who wrote out this document, sud five others, whose office is probably exprem.ind by five letters $R d, R a, V a i, P a$ and Tha, which perhaps stand for Ranaka (chiof counsellor), Radjavallabha (ewnict favourite), Vtetrika (ohamberlain), Par'śvika (aide-de-camp), and Thakkura (lord-in-waiting). See Jowr. if. Soo. Bengal, 1905, Vol. I. p. 10 ; Vol. XVII, 1878, pp, 405 and 408 ; Ind. $A_{n t}$. Vol. XI, pp. 244 and 387 . In that lant, which is a Chanlukya grant of A.D. 1207, the following ocours : Atair = ashtabhir gbshthikaih ... karantyd, this place of worahip has to be taken care of by the following eight trustees. Our inscription written only six years after the one referred to sbove, and it would seem that at that time eight was convient ma adequate number of perans as witnesses or truatees, etc. in connection with trangactions of at least limains property.

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is anothor ambiguous phrass capable of various interpretations. It is possible that the executors mesnt to convey that the boundaries of Jaipara Vaṇikêtṭa were duly marked out on the spot before the document was given to Lakshmidhara. Vaṇikôtta is another troublesome word. It may have been the name of a village in the vicinity of Jaipar\& and may have been ased as an adjunct to distinguish it from other villages of the same name. Similarly people talk of Dêori Nâharman, meaning that Dênri which is near the village Nahnrman, to distinguish it from another Dêorí. There is a village in Kanker called Pharaskôt, which is probably a corruption of Paránkôtta, and on this analogy, it may fairly be asserted that there is nothing extraordinary in the name Vapikôtcica as denoting a village. It is, however, equally probable that it was no village, and that Jaipard had this adjunct because there existed in that village a vanik-kôtta, or "trailers" fortress," that is, a fortifel place probably made by Banjârâs for st-ring grain purchased for transport. In olden times the Banjarâe are known to have carried even gans for protecting themselves from plander. Jaiparâ may have been one of their central depôts, which they fortified, and the village therefore came to be called Jaipar\& Vaṇi[k*]-kôttas. It is not necessary that the Banjàrás alone should have done this. There may have been other traders who might have built a fortified place, bat as this part of the country has been and is a favourite resort of these wandering traders, it is more likely that they should have built such a depott. Numerous examples of such adjuncts may be cited. Thus, there is a village Bard in the Saugor district. It has got Hindû tombs called Surai and hense people call it Bard Suraigan. In fact the adjnuct has gained such prominence that the real name Bara is almost elbowed out, and only Suraigaon is regularly nsed. Sinnilarly, Hirdénagar-Garhâôţí, well known as Garhakôtâ, derives its name from the construction of a fort and rampart, the original principal village being Hirdênagar, which a Bundèla chief Hirdê-Shâh founded in his own name.
    ${ }^{2}$ The village priest is still called Gaitd in Kanker and Bastar States.
    ${ }^{3}$ Lines 4 and 5 are so obsciare as to make their translation extremely doubtful. There can be little doubt, however, that they relate to the fixation of revenue of the villages mentioned, in the coins of the reigning prince. Whether the old revenue in respect to the village to which the transaction refers, was 130 tankeas, and on revision on the present occasion, was enhanced to 140 tankas, or the old 130 tankas were equal to 140 new coins is not clear. While execating the present document opportunity was taken to revise the revenne of another village, Chikhali, for which another grámapatra or docnment existed, and the revenue was fixed at 150 new tarikas. It appears that the Chikhalî document was not near at hand, and that seems to be the reason why blank apace enough to fill up 3 figures was left out between the words Chikhald-gramapatre and $V i j a y a-r d j y a t a i k a$ to be filled up afterwarde. But once omitted nobody cared to fill it in, the matter not being of great importance, as the old rental was superseded by the revised amount which was thenceforth payable to the State. The fact that this record is a palimpsest seems to show that the fresh transaction was a matter on revision under the new régime of Panparâjaders, and apparently the old record, which had become nseless, was beaten out and the new one was inscribed instead. The words saraha goja bhama dohhu appear to be some local technical term, which was used in fiscal matters and was well understood at the time, but so far as my enquiry from local sources goes, it has not survived and it is not now used in State transactions. The matter, however, is not of great importance and does not affect the historical information inferable from the record.

    4 Pattco are plots of land in a village and the Halvâ or Halbê pattị was apparently one caltivated by the Halbas, an aboriginal tribe chiefly found in Kanker (bee above note 5, page 124).
    

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gupta Insoriptions, pp. 191 and 4.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gupta Inscriptions, pp. 186 and fi.

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gupta Inscriptions, pp. 196 and ff. ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. pp. 191 and ff.
    ${ }^{8}$ For the meaning of chaṭa compare Dr. Vogel in Archaological Survey of India, Annual Report, 1902. 03, p. 247.
    *J. Beng. A. S., Vol. xxxv, Part i, 1867, pp. 195 and ff.
    ${ }^{5}$ Gupta Inscriptions, pp. 196 and fi.
    6 Above, Vnl. viii, p. 163; compare Fleet, Ind. Ant., Vol, xxx, p. 509.
    1 The Commissioner of Raipur now informs me that the plates were actunlly found in Nahna, and that the local anthorities are inclined to identify Śsmbilska with the present San Doil or Sondohal, s village adjoining Nahnę.
    ${ }^{3}$ Fram the original plates.

    - Read -dhauta.
    ${ }^{20}$ Read -püri-.

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ The engraver originally wrote $=$ damabhiraaya, but corrected it to $=$ damabhiradta. The gender in the following is wrung.
    ${ }^{3}$ Read tri-.
    ${ }^{3}$ Read-kausika-. $\quad$ Read bhumipan $=$
    ${ }^{5}$ Metre: Vasantatilaks.

    - The $\boldsymbol{n}$ in anupdlara- has been added below the line.
    $\uparrow$ Metre: Indravajrâ.
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre : Siôka,
    - Metre : Ślôka.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read sav̂vatsara.

[^143]:    ${ }^{1}$ Page 127, note 2. Will some one tell as whether the name shonla be spelt Kalasa or Kalasa. It sppears to be speit either way in the original inscriptions. Thus in Mg. 40 (the inscription preceding the one in question), the Kanarese text has Kalasa in line 11, and Kalafa in line 12. On p. 68 of his translation Mr. Rice gives us theee times Kalafa and five times Kalafa; on p. 69 we bave four times Kalasa (not Kalafa) and three times Kalasa. This last form is certainly wrong, for the second akshars is alwnys la in the original. The variation is oply in the third syllable. Mr. Krishp̣e Sâstri, in the Epigraphia apells the word consistentiy Kalasa.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Ep. Carn. VI. 155-262, lines 1-2.

[^144]:    ' Compare my rewarks, alove, $p, 164$.

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Dr Grierson in J. R. A. S. 1907, p. 1057.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gadyânaka is a weight $=32$ gañja. See YâjũavAlkya iii. 258.
    ${ }^{2}$ Above p. 129.
    'J. R. A. S. Vol. XIII. (1852), p. 248 et zeq,

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Kaliká Purdna says :-
    If a human sacrifice is performed without the consent of the prince, the performer incars sin (see Rudhiradhydya in the Asiatic Researches, Vol: V. p. 383).
    ${ }^{2}$ [The text has chhuripravadham, which might perhaps be transleted "killing with a chburi."-S. K.]
    ${ }^{2}$ [The passage in question (1. 14) can also be translatad,-" Receivers 12 Médipotas, in their hand property wan received," The Chhurikeara of 1.25 would then be a special Mêdipôta.--S. K.]
    ${ }^{4}$ Capt. MacVicar says :-
    "The Meriah offering, whethor so called Toki Poojah or Noroboli (Narabsli), is essentially the same in object as the boli (bali) of the Doorga Poojah, and to this day the ritual of the Khond is annually celebrated by the Borisoloo or Maliah Pater (PAtra) at Pooramari, the capital of Chinna Kimedi, an the conclusion of the Dasserah festival-a goat now being substituted for the more precious victim." Mark the italics, which are mine. (See Report by Capt. MacVicar, 1851, in the History of operatians for the suppression of human sacrifice in the hill tracts of Orissa, 1854). It would appear that Melliah (the procurer) and Meriah (the victim) were identical terms, the procurers being regarded in

[^147]:    the same light as the victims, as they had themselves to become rictims in the absence of a procured one. The sacrificers paid the Melliahs, who thus became purchased victims, and they did not care whence the viction was procured so long as one was supplied to them when watted. Thus to the sacrificers, the procurer and the vietim would mean the same thing, but the terms came to be differentiated when a class of procurers grew up and the real victim happened to be a eubstitute for themselves. A parallel instance of such differentiation in the same word may be found in Kôtwal and Kôtwâr in the Saugor district, where in spite of the officials regarding them as identical, a social distinction is made out. The Katwal is generally of a higher caste than the Kôtwar and considers himself the proper village watchman, other menial duties being taken as the proper function of the Kôtwâr.
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. V. p. 319 footnote.
    ${ }^{2}{ }^{2 n} d_{1}$, $x n t$. Vol, XIX. p. 839.

[^148]:    ${ }_{1}$ The first raid so far as is known appears to have been made by Vijayâditya III. of the Eastern Châlukya line, who ruled between 844 and 888 A.D. He burnt Cbakrakôta (above, Vol. IV. p. 226). Then the Chôla Râjêndra-Chôla I. (A.D. 1011-33) took Sakkara-kôttam (South. Ind. Inscr. Vol. II. p. 108), while one of his succeseors, king Virarâjêndra I., claims to have crossed the Gôdâvarî, passed through Kalinga, and advanced against Chakrakoṭa (ibid. Vol. III. p. 70). Next the Chôla king Kulôttunga, while yat a youth, won his first lanrels in battle by storming Chakrakôta. This hsppened prior to 1070 A.D. and is mentioned in the Tamil posm Kalingattu Parani (X 24), and also in inscriptions (see e.g. Ind. Ant. Vol. XXI. p. 286). Vikrama was probabiy the fifth raider, the sixth being Vishṇuvardhana Hoysala in the 12th century (Kielhorn's Southern List, No. 396).
    ${ }^{2}$ I would therefore, instead of 'Râjakêsarivarman (i.e. Kulôttunga Chôla I.) conquered the king of Dhârâ at Chakrakôtå' read 'Râjakêsarivarman conquered king Dhârầ(varaha) at Chakrakôtṭa' (see Kielhorn's Southern List, No. 756).
    ${ }^{3}$ See above, page 161. 4 Expressed by a symbol. ${ }^{5}$ Read -rams-6dbhava.
    
    ${ }^{-}$Resd -labdha-jay-opeta. ${ }^{10}$ Read airâvat-ópari-labdha-lamba-kamala-,
    ${ }^{11}$ Read samkh-aika-sabd-. ${ }^{12}$ Read sakka-nri-. $\quad{ }^{13}$ Read-sata-sapt-asisti-.
    ${ }^{14}$ Read varshasya.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read samivatsar-abhyamtara-kartitika-mása-sukla-paksỉd budha-.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read anuradha-nakshatré. ${ }^{17}$ Read -ybgé.
    ${ }^{14}$ Read gara-karaṇ̂. $\quad{ }^{10}$ Read sarva-muhirtteshu.

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read kumalra. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Bead kusiura Nayakah. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Read Sildrakah.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read śrteshthi.
    : Read êkânumati-; cf. Ékamatibhdtvá in 11. 29, 30, and 38 of the Siyadoni inscription (above, Vol. I. p. 177 ).
    ${ }^{6}$ Read siva-nirmalyamauditam. $\quad 7$ Read grianam=imam.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read -tadága. $\quad$ Read brahmana. ${ }^{10}$ Read tasyrasy=api.
    ${ }^{11}$ Read shashtiḿ varsha-sahasráni.
    ${ }^{12}$ Read sarcân=tvam bhavinah parthiténdrăn bhayo bhar. $\quad{ }^{19}$ Read akâf-otpatti.
     távata.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read sásanam.
    ${ }^{15}$ Bead -médhe.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ sati. $\quad{ }^{19}$ Read kuldyint-yógint.
    ${ }^{17}$ Read -prabandham.
    ${ }^{20}$ Read kumára.
    ${ }^{21}$ Read Jandrdana. In Bastar sud the adjoining ƠTiyâ country this neme is commonly pronounced Danârdana, and I have found a Tahsildar of Kâlâhandî who actually writes his nawe so. All these names should properly be in the instrumental.
    ${ }^{32}$ Read Manioriddhi.

[^150]:    1 In Blochman's Ain-i-Alebari, Vol. I. page 122, the following occurs:-
    "Elephants are chiefly found in the Sûbah of Agrâ, in the forests of Bayâwan and Narwar as far as Berar, in the Subah of Allahâbâd, in the confines of Pattah and Ghoraghat and Ratanpur, Nandanpur, Sargachh and Bastar.'"

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is perhap; more than a coincidence that a king Vyâghrarâja of Mahâkântâra, who must have held away in the same ueighbourhood, is mentioned as early as the fourth century in tho Allahâbâd pillar iuscription of Samudragupta, see Gupta Inseriptions, p. 6 fi.
    ${ }^{2}$ A variant of the same story is that a Puri king having appointed an illegitimate son of his as his successnr, the others took offence and quitted the place. They cane over to the wilds of Chhatisgarh and became the leaders of the local tribes, who installed them ss their kings. That there is some truth in this story is evident from the fact that the installation of most of thesa chiefs is considered complete when it ia confirmed by a particular tribe, by way of exproasion of gratitude on the part of the Râja family. Thas the Kanker family seems indebted to the Halbâs, the Kâlâluandî family to the Kandus, the Rairâkhol to the Butkà Sndhe, the Bâmrâ to the Bhuiyâs, and so on.
    ${ }^{3}$ Besides the healing power of the spring, which in itself is sufficient to cause the place to become a tirtha, Sihâmà is the source of the Mahânadî or Chitrôtpalâ, of which it is said:-

    Suvarrâkhyâ puri punyâ punyó Mârjârakểart, Kósalâyần trayah punyâh punyâ Chitrötpald nads. Suvarnapuri is the present Sônpar, capital of the state of the same name, and Mârjarrakêsari is another name of Narasininhnnâth in Borâsâmbhar Zamindârî of the Sambalpur District. A visit to that place is held to wipe out all sins. En passant it may be noted that the apparent mistake of a sculptor in carving out a cat-lion instead of a man-lion has metumorphosed the atatue of the latter kopt in the Narasimhanâth cave, into a new incarnation of the God, to account for which there has been no lack of imagination on the part of the local Brâhmans,

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Gokal Prasad visited these temples lately (November 1807) and has sent me a copy of a small - nscription foand in one of them, in which I read the name of Śrî Vâgharâju. Thus the Devakûṭa temples appear to be older than those of Sihâwâ, having been built in the times of Karnaraja's grandfather.
    ${ }^{3}$ Metre: Vasantatilaka.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read वस्ति तयमीय्व $x^{\circ}$.

    - Bead यक्षोक:
    
    ${ }^{7}$ Read qूभt. I am indebted to Pandit Hirananda Śastri, M. A., for pointing out that the moon is Atri's son who comes out of the Ocean in the form of his penance.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{9}$ Metre: Anushtubh. Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भिनृंपै पैंीं ववी न्व न:.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read सिं ${ }^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre: Vassntatilaks. 12 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ संघ संत्र $^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{18}$ Read राजन्वतो.
    Metre : Anuubțabh.
    ${ }^{15}$ Bead सिष्छ: सिंहाँ.
    ${ }^{16}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ 合出; श्नु."

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the original the word is trisinah which I originally read as trioddanah. Dr. Konow has suggeated the correction adopted in the text.
    ${ }^{2}$ Göttinger Nachrichten, 1906, H. 2.

[^154]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of Gujarat in the Bombay Gazetteer, Vol. I. Pt. I. p. 82.
    ${ }^{2}$ It might perhaps be doubted whether Jinêndrabuddhi was a Buddhist. But there are no reasonable grounds for this doubt. A Deccan College manuscript (No. 33 of 1881-82, leaf 90 b ) has the following: Iti bbdhivattoaddity-
     that padah. I am indebted to ProI. Pathak for this reference. See also Ind. Ant. Vol. VII. p. 57.
    ${ }^{3}$ Jowr. Bo. ds. Soc. Vol. XX, pp. 305-6.

[^155]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre: Rathoddhata.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read इग्षच्टे 2 Read विशेषत:.

    - Metre : Âryâ ; and also of the verse following : Śloka (Anushṭabh).
    ${ }^{4}$ The word pawraja is carious, but it probably means " ${ }^{\text {T The }}$ The letter य is engraved below the line.
    - Metre : Sragdhara.
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre : Ślokk (Anwhţ̣abh).
    ${ }^{13}$ Read गोषिका קत्र.
    Thanats of the citizens (of Vats)."
    EThe aksharas ${ }^{\circ}$ तिं ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ sare very indistincte
    ${ }^{15}$ About five lettera have been incista in carsive form, ${ }^{14}$ Read नामानि.

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have taken the word uddharana in the sense of "uplifting, upholding," but it also signifies "eradication, extermination." In that case it would mean that Govinda II. slew a prince of the name of Gôvardhana. Or if Govardhana is here supposed to denote a province, it would mean that he devastated the province of Gôvardhans. A province and a place of that name have been mentioned in the Nâsik cave inscriptions, aud have also been spoben of in the Puranas. Bat whether the name was extant so late as the 8 th century is doubtful. A similar play upan the word Góvardhana occurs in the Bagumra grants of Indrarâja III. (above, Vol. IX. pp. 32 and 3i).
    ${ }^{2}$ This discropancy may perhaps be removed by putting a different interpretation on the words : guru-bhaktimat $\dot{0}=n y a s a \dot{m} s t h a m$. It might be said that the person who had no respect for the elders, i.e. the Bâshtrskata : Wereigns who were dead, and in whose hands the sovereignty lay, was no other than Gôvinda II. himself. It michit be argued that the management of the kingdom had actually been entrusted to Dhrava by him on acconat of lits sonsual courses, as the Dêblî and Karhâḍ plates claim, and that the motive put forth by Dhrava and his party fiv: ulsting Gȯvinda II. and completely severing his connection with the Ráshțrakuta kingdom was that he had ohivan limsulf viworthy of his elders, i,e. the sovereigns who preceded him, by abandoning himself to sensual ptanim: mist not himself carrying on the administration of his kingdom. In my opinion, this interpretation would be f:rr-fetchen and fantastio.

[^157]:    ${ }^{x}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ थासीतिषिति.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सिंह:.
    
    ${ }^{10}$ Bead
    ${ }^{12}$ Beed ${ }^{\circ}$ पसिक्षावषे,
     below between ₹and 7, and the omsecion indicated by a horizontal stroke above. ${ }^{17}$ Hend ${ }^{\circ}$ a' ${ }^{\circ}$ and $O_{\text {भरोगेय? }}$
    ${ }^{30}$ Read प्पति:-
    ${ }^{25}$ Bead ${ }^{1}$ IFs.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ घ्नय ${ }^{\circ}$. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ कौर्तिगाँ.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भूपरियविष्टप". ${ }^{\circ}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ बमझू.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read कणनाद्रिं. ${ }^{3}$ Read माबिन्या:-
    ${ }^{11}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सेतोर्षि̃ि". ${ }^{12}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ बसंवितामषए.
    ${ }^{14}$ Reidd ${ }^{\circ}$ वसे ${ }^{\circ}$, ${ }^{15}$ Rend ${ }^{9}$ वसेक.
    ${ }^{3}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सन्यर्सी यू:,
    ${ }^{19}$ Bead तबिन्ट.
    $r$ Read बमूष. ${ }^{2}$
    ${ }_{22}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ प्रवत:
    ${ }^{24}$ Read वृपतिश्चिएा.

[^158]:    1 से द्य seems to have been intended, but is, of course, an ungrammatical form.
    2 Read बभार ; व and not च must have been iu the original draft, and, being similar in formation, $q$ was eugraved

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read चैलघ्छासनं. ${ }^{2}$ This word is repeated unnecessarily.
    ${ }^{2}$ The original inscription ends at चन्दयिकिन; and after that begins again the forged, part which goes on till 1. 55 at the close. It is engraved so carelessly that I am by no means certain of my reading of it. It is, moreover, so full of grammatical inaccuracies, which, in many cases, are due to local pronunciation, that it is not desirable to correct them all.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read सोमा:.
    1 Probally चतुराघाट०.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read "संमा.
    12 Read छत्नरत:-
    ${ }^{14}$ Resd चक्तरत:-
    ${ }^{5}$ Read पूर्षत:, ${ }^{6}$ Read छक्षर्त:
    ${ }^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सोमा. ${ }^{9}$ Read पूर्वस:.
    ${ }^{13}$ This दfिपत: is probably a mistake for पषिमस:,
    ${ }^{25}$ The readung चुली is aloo possible.
    ${ }^{25}$ Read ग्रीभटार्क:-

[^160]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is worthy of note that, in the copper-plate charters issucd by Bhójadêva I., Mahêndrapals and Mahipala alias VinŝyakapAla, letter-numerals are used to express figures, whereas, in the present as well as other atoneinscriptions belonging to the time of these princes, decimal notation is employed. It will thus be seen that both systems were current in North India about this time. [In the facsimile 892 is a misprint for $872 .-\mathrm{S}$. K.]
    ${ }^{2}$ The date has already been given by Prof. Kielhorn in his Sy sohrawistia Table for Northern India, col. 9, from infurmation furnished by Mr. Ojha.
    ${ }^{3}$ From the original stone.

    - Bead निवेश्शिता. $\quad$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ राजाधिराज ${ }^{\circ}$.

[^161]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind. $\mathbf{A n t}$. Vol. VIII. p. 43 ta .

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the original plates.
    2 The engraver has originally written ${ }^{\circ}$ pathe, but corrected it.

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Looks like Vajaresarmmanah.-S. K.]
    2 Also called Banavasi or Banayase.

[^164]:    1 Tasya means " of one who is well-known or referred to above."
    a Compare the expression avanipatitritaya with trairdjya in line 17. Atmasdt-kritya should be ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{kritud}$.

    * Vikramâditya is compared to Indra.
    - Trairajya is used by Jinasêna in the following verse (ddipurdna, XXX. 35), and is explained by the commentator to mean Chôla, Kêrala and Pânḍya:-

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ The expression hasté-kritya is not wrong; compare Dhanainjaya, $D$; sandhanakeyo XIII. 36, and Bhatobii
     p. 284 (Benares edition).
    ${ }^{2}$ Vikramâditys II. did not set up a pillar of victory; only his fame, which spread to the shores of the southern ocean, is compared to such a pillar.
    ${ }^{3}$ This must be the same person who composed the Vakkaleri grant five years later. A relative of his, perhapa his father, was Anivâritapụyavaliabha, who wrote the Kànchî inscription of Vikramaditya II. (above, Vol. III. p. 359 f.).

[^166]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Mr. Venkayya's Annual Report for 1906-07, p. 89.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare above, Vol. VIII. p. 264.
    ${ }^{8}$ No. 19 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1907.
    4 Read pratipada.

    - It is not stated whether it was the bright or the dark fortnight.
    - See above, Vol. VII. p. 6.

    7 See Ep. Carn. Vol. IV. p. 114, No. 10.
    ${ }^{8}$ I.e. Milla-nakshatrena yukt6'rkah saryah.

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 27 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1906.
    ${ }^{2}$ The letter la is engraved below the line.
    ${ }^{8}$ Read Revati-ndf.

    - I.e. the utthdna-dvadast-tithi (the tithi of the amalening of Vishnu).
    ${ }^{5}$ No. 208 of the Goverument Epigraphist's collection for 1906.
    - Read Vrisohika-.

[^168]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 30 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1906.
    ${ }^{2}$ Perhaps dvittyaiyum is meant. $\quad{ }^{8}$ See above, Vol. VII. p. 8.

    * No. 140 of the Governmeat Epigraphist's collection for 1906.
    ${ }^{5}$ The letter $\eta$ is engraved below the line.
    - The letters vadu are written in a group.

    7 See above, Vol. VIII. pp. 2 and 264.
    ${ }^{6}$ No. 129 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1908.

[^169]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tho name of the nakeshatra, which is lost in the origiual, may be Asvati (Asvini), Attan (Hasta), Avitum (Dhaniblṭhà) or Annlaam (Anurâdhâ).
    ${ }^{2}$ No. 197 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1906.
    ${ }^{2}$ The letters vadu are written in a group. $\quad{ }^{*}$ Compare the date No. 106, above, Yol. VIII. p. 284 .
    "No. 538 of the Government Epirraphist's collection for 1904.

    - The word aivadu seems to be denoted by a flouish added to the fgure 2.

[^170]:    1 For other dstes with naksbatras which also, if I may say so, commenced some time efter sunrise, compare e.g. Nos. 23, 47, 66, 105, and 121 of this series.

    2 No. 627 of the-Government Epiffarist's collection for 1902.
     the reason why the 12 th tithi has: ${ }^{2}$, ed in the original date (as a current tithi).

    * No. 540 of the Governmot 1904.
    she word divadu seems to in , d by a flourish added to the symbol for ten.

[^171]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 394 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1802.
    ${ }^{2}$ No. 222 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1904.

[^172]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Mr}$. Venkayya has informed me, about three years ago, that the figures undoubtedly are ' $13 .{ }^{\text {. }} 1$ would suggest that 'the thirteenth' solar day, which is mentioned closely to the regnal year, may have misled the writer to put down ' 13 ' also for the latter.
    ${ }^{2}$ No. 158 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for $1906 . \quad{ }^{s}$ Read $\cdot$ pakshattu.
    ${ }^{4}$ No. 43 of the Gdetmment Epigraphist's collection for 1906.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read Tribhu. ${ }^{\circ}$

[^173]:    ${ }^{1}$ Another inscription of the [I]6th year of the same king with apparently the same astronomical detaila is found in the same temple (No. 42 of 1906). But the portion, where the fortnight, the nakshatra and the tithe msy be expected, is damaged. What is actually found is [ $p i$ ]
    miyum Viyalla-kkalamaiyun perra $p_{\text {il }}$ ['attu] nal.
    ${ }^{2}$ No. 14 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for $190 \%$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Compare the date No. 50, above, Vol. VI. p. 288.
    ${ }^{4}$ No. 456 of the Government Epigraphiat's collection for 1905.

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 508 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1905.
    ${ }^{2}$ This name consists of two abbreviations for the word raja placed side by side.
    ${ }^{8}$ This word seems to be donoted by a flourish added to the second figure of the regnal year.
    *See above, Vol. YiII. p. 260.
    " No. 501 of the Goverument Epigraphist's collection for 1905.

    - This usme consists of two abbreviations for the word raja placed side by side.
    ${ }^{5}$ The second figure of the date might also be 8 .
    ${ }^{8}$ This word seems to be denoted by a flourish added to the second figure of the regnal year.
    ${ }^{9}$ No. 502 of the Government Epigraphistle collection for 1905.
    ${ }^{10}$ See the notes on the preceding date.

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 500 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1905.
    ${ }^{2}$ This name consists of two abbrevintions for the word rofja placed side by side.

    * This word seems to be denoted by a flourish added to the second figure of the regnal year.

    4 No. 62 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1908.
    ' No. 96 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1906.

    - The syllables fañ[i] are repeated by mistake in the original.

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 159 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1906.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read $=$ Pperuñjinga. ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{3}$ In the uriginal the week-day is wrongly given as Thursday.

    - The week-dey is wrongiy given as Werinesday.

[^177]:    1 The makshatra quoted is intrinsically wrong.
    ${ }^{2}$ The 2ad tiths st wrongly quoted instead of the 3rd.
    ${ }^{*}$ The 13th tithi has probably been wrongly quoted instead of the 3rd.

    * In No. 37 surnamed Råjakesarivarman.
    ${ }^{5}$ No. 273 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1904 contains a date of the 7th year of this king and of Saka 991 expired ( $=$ A.D. 1069-70).
    - The month Maghs is wrongly quoted instead of Pbalguna.

    Y In No. 28 the 12th tith $i$ is wrongly quoted instead of the 2 ad which is correctly given in No. 20.

[^178]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 7th tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 8th.
    9 The year Plava is wrongly quoted instead of Plavanga.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the original date either the makshatra or the week-day is quoted incorrectly.
    4 Perhaps identical with Kulottunga-Cbôja II. Rajakêearivarman, of whom I ponsous unpubisshed dates of the regaal seari 4, 10, 14 and 15.

    5 The month of Mina is wrongly quoted inatom of Kumbha.

    - The 5th tithi in wrongly quoted inatadd of the 7th.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ This name necurs in the dates of the 6 th and 7 th years.
    ${ }^{2}$ This name occurs in the dates from the 32 nd to the $39 t$ year.
    ${ }^{8}$ I now take this to be the proper equivalent of the date.

    - The 12th tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 11th.

    5 The second fortnight is wrongly quoted instead of the firat.

    - The first fortuight is wrongly quoted instead of the second.

    7 The 4th tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 14th.
    ${ }^{8}$ The nakehatra Parva-Phalguni is wrongly quoted instead of Parva-Bhadrapadá.
    9 The nakshatra quoted is intrinsically wrong.
    ${ }^{10}$ The 15th solar day is wrongly quoted instead of the 25 th.
    ${ }^{11}$ The makshatra Uttarâshâḍhầ is wrongly quoted instead of Uttara-Bhadrapadâ.
    12 The nonth of Rishebha is wrongly quoted instead of Mêsha.
    ${ }^{13}$ The 5th tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 4th.
    14. The 9th tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 8 th.

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ This surname occurs only in the date No. 45.
    ${ }^{2}$ The 5th tithi may have been quoted erroneously instead of the 4th.
    ${ }^{3}$ The 9th tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 8th.

    - [The last day of] the month of Kumbha has been quoted erroneonaiy instead of [the firat day of] the immetiately following month of Mina.
    - In the original date eicher the nakshatra Uttirattâdi (Uttara-Bhadrspadâ) has been wrongly quoted instead of Uttiram (Uttara-Phalguni), or the firat fortnight inatead of the second.
    - If the published reading of the original date is correct, the second fortnight has been wrongly quoted instead of the first.

    TThe 4th tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 14th.
    ${ }^{6}$ The 13th tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 12th.

[^181]:    ${ }^{1}$ The second fortnight is wrongly quoted instead of the $\mathrm{innt}_{\text {. }}$

[^182]:    ${ }^{1}$ Slee above, Vol. VIII. p. 278.
    2 No. 449 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1906.
    Read ${ }^{\circ}$ chackra." .The syllable ti here atand for tiyadiyw.

    - No. 419 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1905.
    ${ }^{6}$ Read svasti.
    ${ }^{7}$ The word $\dot{a} v a d u$ seems to be leauted by a flourish added to 2 .
    Read purva.

[^183]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, Vol. VI. p, 315.
    ${ }^{2}$ No. 395 of the Government Epigraphist's collection for-1906.
    ${ }^{8}$ See above, Vol. VII. p. 17.
    ${ }^{4}$ This kiug is neither a Pâṇḍy nor a Chola, but a ruler of Kongn; see Rai Bahadur V. Venkayya's Anmual Report for 1905-06, page 79.
    ${ }^{5}$ No. $54 \pm$ of the Government Epigraphist's collection for 1905.
    ${ }^{5}$ The akshara tu is engraved above the line.
    7 The guttural $n$ is engraved above the line.

[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or perhaps : Between the 7th October and the 29th November A.D. 1190.

[^185]:    The month of Mina is wrongly quoted instead of Mithuna.
    2 In the date, which is intrinsically wrong, the month of Kany î is quoted inatead of Tula.
    2 Thuraday sppeara to bave been wrongly quoted instead of Taeaday.

    - The 2nd tithi is wrongly quoted, or misread, instead of the 3rd.
    s. The 3rd tithi is wrongly quoted instead of the 2nd.

[^186]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 31st solar day is wrongly quoted instead of the 30th.
    1 The 13th tith is wrongly quoted instead of the 3rd.
    : The [firat day of the] month of Kanyâ is wrongly quoted inatead of [the last day of ] Sirma,

    - This date may possibly be one of the 8th year of J. Sundara.Pándya I., corresponding to Friday, the 28th March A.D. 1259.
    - The date is intrinsically wrong. The month of Simha is wrongly quoted instead of Mina, and the makehatra Pushya ( $P$ ifat ${ }^{\prime} u$ ndf) instead of Parva. Yhalguní (Pdrattus nal).
    ${ }^{6}$ The nakshatra Dhsnishṭhâ (Avitṭattu nal) is wrongly quoted instead of Hasta (Attattu nal).
    T In the original date, which is intrinsically wrong, the first fortnight is wrongly quoted instead of the second, and the 3rd tithi instead of the 2nd,

[^187]:    ${ }^{1}$ Monday is wrongly quoted instead of Tharsday.
    2 Śaka 1381 is wrongly quoted instead of 1383 , and the 23 rd solar day wrongly instead of the 21 st.
    : Only the date in line 12 should be ' 13 ' (instead of ' 12 '); the same correction has to be made in the heading of the Plate facing p. 232 below.

[^188]:    ${ }^{1}$ South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. III. p. 196 ; above, Vol. VIII. p. 262.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. III. p. 197.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 52.
    4 Above, Vol. III. p. 881, Compare also Vol. VI. pp 224, 225 and 227 (Kollipaka).

    - Eip. Carn. Vol. III. p, 10 of the Introduction.
    - dnusal Roport on Epigraphy for 1906-1907, p. 73.

    7 Ibid. p. 63 f.; Ind. Ant. Vol. XXII. p. 78 and note 78.
    ${ }^{8}$ South-Ind. Insor. Vol. II. P. 94, note 4.

    - Ibid. Vol. I. p. 96.
    ${ }^{10}$ Ibid. Vol. III. p. 182.
    ${ }^{11}$ List of Southarn Insor. p.120, note 3.

[^189]:    ${ }^{1}$ South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. I. p. 97.
    2 In bis Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1906-1907, p. 87 f., Mr. Venkayya has shown that the Tamil. term Ilada does rot correspond to the Sanskrit Lata (Gujarat), but to Firata (Berar).
    s Page 34 of Dr. Burnell's South-Indian Palaography (2nd ed.) contains the following note:-"The great inscription at 'Tanjore (Ith ccntury) mentions a Śâramâñ, but also a Fing of Karuvai (or Karâ') and a Gôviuda. chandrn (king of Kannâḍa)."-Kannâda ( - Kannsḍa or Karnạṭa ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ) is nothing but a misreading of the word Takkanaladam, which happens to precede the name Góvindasandan (l. 10), and Karuvai, here represented as referring to Karuvur, is probably derived from Adinagar-avai (1.8). I am not drawing attention to these mis. takes in order to gloat over them, bnt to prevent their being quoted as reliable facts.

    - List of Southern Inser. p. 120, note 4.
    ${ }^{5}$ South-Ind.aInsor. Voi. II. p. 68. For three (t'ier princesses named Kundavai see Ind. Ant. Vol. XXILI. p. 298, note 13, and South-Ind. Inser. Vol. III. p. 100.
    ${ }^{6}$ Above, Vol. VII. p. 116, note 1.
    7 See Mr. Vonkayya's Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1905-1906, p. 36 f., Nos. 418, 416 and 419.
    a South-Ind. Inscr. Vol. II. p. 106.
    - Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1898-99, p. 17. Compare South.Ind. Insor, Vol. III. p. 194 f.
    ${ }^{10}$ Journ. R. As. Soo. 1904, p. 247.
    11 See my Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1894.95, p. 4.

[^190]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rend =chinavi. $\quad 2$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ titv-aran.
    ${ }^{2}$ Other ivssriptions read alapp-arum; see South-Ind. Insor. Vol. II. p. 93, note 5.
    ${ }^{4}$ Reacl $=1$ nairaradiznai. That this is the original reading, becomes more than probable in the following mauner:- (1) The doubtful word may be expectei to rhyme on Chandira, the third word before it. (2) The Tanjore ingcription No, 20 reads $=$ Indiradanai. (3) Indraratha is the only correct Sanskrit name I can think of whicd would account for both corrupt readings.
    ${ }^{5}$ The Tanjere inscrivtion No. 20 reads kitt-aruñ=jeri-minai.
    ${ }^{-}$Read, as in the Tanjore inscription No. 20, todulgalar-changuv.ottal.

[^191]:    ${ }^{1}$ It seems most nutural to take padar as a verb. It may slao mean 'a road' or muy be the nom. plur. of the Sanskrit bhata, 'a warrior.'

    2 This was perhaps done by the besieging Chôla army when setting fire to the city. Among the meanings of sulli the Distionnaire T'amoul-Français notes the following:-‘ broutilles, menu bois sec pour braler.'
    'Other inscriptions read nannark-arum-aran, 'whose fortifications were unapproachable.'

    - It seems more simple to take the first member of fundara-mudi as an aljective, than to translate the compound by 'the crown of Sundara.'
    ${ }^{5}$ See South-Ind. Inser. Vol. II. p. 05, notel 1.
    - Compare pagad=ilind=oḍa, ibid. Vol. TIL. p. 34, text iñe 7 f.

[^192]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Kîlnppêrar is annexed as the house-name of the Veṇ̂ḍ (Travancore) princes in lator iuscriptions (Imcl. Ant. Vol. XXV. p. 180). It is a village abont 8 fifles to the north-east of Ârringal, which is the hereditary ancinais of H, H. the Senior Rani of Travancore (Mr. Nagamaiya's Travancore Manual, Vol. III. p. 579). Tho ernuatry round Ârriggal seems to have been known as Kûpadếsa in ancient times. The late Mr. Sundaram linimi was of opinion that Vênadu and Kûpadếs were two distinct principalities and that the latter was at some ntasue of its history annexed by the ralers of the formor. The Vênâdu kings are said to have assumed the funily wame Killappêrûr after this anneration.-V. Venkayya.]
    ${ }^{2}$ [This name occurs without the title vira in a Vatteleluttu inscription from Viranam in the Travancorfe State. The lafe Professor Sundaram Pillai has called the king Kêralavarman II. and assigned A.D. 1193 for his date (İnd. Ant. Vol. XXIV. p. 283).-V. V.].
    ${ }^{\prime}$ [In the name Śrivallavangôlai kódai was perhaps an epithet of the rulers of Vênậ̣u. Tho firxt part of the name, i, s. Srivalluvan (Śrivallabha) may be that of the king to whom Venadu was femintury, Such a combination of names is, frequently met with in Tamil inscriptions. If the name Srivallavango faniz be a
     reigned about this time, was Vira-Pâpḍys, with whom the Chồm Âditys If. is said to have fought in hir yrouth. Vira-Pandya himself claims to have taken "the head of the Chôla (king)", and a number of his insicrititions have been found at Suchindram in South Travancore. But we have at present no reason to suppose that ber bre the name Śrivaliabba, althongh the designation was common enough among the Pândyas. On the other ha'and, the Singhalese chronicle Mahdipoinsa refers to an invasian of Ceylon in the period A.D. 975-991 by Vallahilus, the Clals king (Mr. Wijesimha's Trasslation, Chapter LIV, p. 85). It is, however, donbtfal if the Chidem were powerfnl anough to andertake an expedition againat Ceylon at tne time of which we are now apeaking. A and an the chronology of tha singhalese chronicle is not beyond question, we cannot suppose that the ruler of Travenerse mentioned in the Matahal plate was a Cho!̣ feudatory. The history of the Cheras is very little knowu. risa. se juently it is uncertan to whioh dy nscity the Śrivallabha, whose feudatory the Vônâdu ruler might have liceia in A.V. 773 , belonged.-V. V.]

[^193]:    ${ }^{1}$ [According to the Editor's footnote $\leq$ on p. 286, the name of the week-day is engraved over an era-sure.-F. K.]
    ${ }^{2}$ [See below, p. 238, note 3.-V. V.]
    8 [The record may belong either to the reign of Âdityu II. or of his guccessor Madhurântaka. If Umaiyammai was the daughter of the Chiola king Aditya II., it is difficult to underatand why he is described as a native of Tirukkelsyapuram. In all prohaliility she was a prirate individual.-V. V.]

    * [See below, p. 238, note 10.-V. V.]
    - [See below, p. 237, note 12.-V. V.]
    - [Compare Hultasch, Ind. $\mathrm{Ant}^{2 n t}$ Vol. XX. p. 292,-V. V.]

[^194]:    ${ }^{1}$ [The word adluikdrin is also used in the sense of 'miniater;' South-Ind. Inscrs. Vol. II. p. 92, and above Vcl. VII. p. 196.~V. V.]

    2 [According to Mr. Nagamaiya the village is called Chengannur (Travancore Manual, Vol. III. p. 581) and there is a large and famous pagods dedicated to the goddess Bhagavati, in which there is celebrated annually a festival lasting for 28 days.-V. V.]
    ${ }^{2}$ From the original copper plaje. *This portion is engraved uver an crasuro.
    5 Read irundaruliyay-tdattu. ${ }^{5}$ Resd pattctragarkkulla.
    ' Read pattdragarkku!la.

[^195]:    ${ }^{1}$ [The reading seems to be $i d[a i]$ naiyil-onru. If nai has to be taken as $n d$ it wonld be quite different from the other ņts which occur in the inscription and resemble the modern Tamil na.-V.V.]
    ${ }^{2}$ [The reading seems to be vevvêrrv.-V. V.]
    ${ }^{3}$ Read avanuklcu.
    ${ }^{4}$ Read patṭàragarkkulla.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read aftiyay-idattu.
    ${ }^{6}$ [The original has Nayir=anda Achohuvadi, which would mean in Tamil the (nakshatra) Asvati (Asvini) which was governed by Nâyiru (the Sun).' If then the week-day be Sunday, Nayir=dnda would correspond to the modern Nayiraticha and the word alcha which Dr. Gundert derives from the root dilu 'to sink' may, in that case, be derived from the root $d l$ 'to rule.' -V . V.]

    7 [The expression idattu vaichohe of the original seems to be nsed in the sense of the modern Malsyalam idattil vechahu which appears to be almost synonymous with the Tamil idattil.-V. V.]
    ${ }^{8}$ [The word parudai occurs also in the form paradai and is a tadbhava of the Sanskrit parishat.- V. V.]

    - [The original has "were assembled and were plessed to be seated."-V. V.]

    10 [It was evidently the image of the god that was made over to Adichchan Umaiyammai; see below, p. 238, note 10.- V. V.]
    ${ }^{11}$ [According to Dr. Gundert, poduval means "a class of half-Brahmans, temple servants" and agappoduval| with pilnundl officiate as priests and administrators of temple property.- V. V.]
    ${ }^{12}$ [Atfirpdru is evidently the same as attipperru which, according to Dr. Gundert; means "complate purchaie of a fres $h$ ld." Mr. Nagamaiya defines the term as "ise out and out anrrender of the jenmi's rights by sale (Trarancors Manual. Vol. III, p. viil.)- V. V.]

[^196]:     for 'temple' in South Malabar according to Dr. Gundert, and there is a temple at Quilon called Ganapatiuadai meaning ' shrine or temple of Gapapati. '- V. V.]
    ${ }^{2}$ [1 would read in the original chévad=ari (1.9) and chêvadu nel (1. 10) and take chêvadu nel as equiralent to femnel which occurs in the Ambâsamudran inscription of Varaguạa-Mahîrâja (above, p.90) and which according to Winslow means "superior kind of rice, of a yellowish hue - as feñjali." - V. V.]
    ${ }^{8}$ [As the dative tiruvanudinukloum is used and as the purpose for which the 200 parai of paddy had to be supplied is not stated, it looks as if the paddy was to be converted iuto rice and used for offeringe. In this case, there is somethiag wrong in the calculation bere made. At the rate of four nali of rice per day the quantity required for a year or 360 days comes to 1,440 náli of rice or 160 para according to the equivalent of the para given in line 10. How 160 para of rice can be obtained from 200 para of paddy it is difficult to understand. According to the Tanjore inscriptions of Râjarâja $I$, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times the quantity of paddy was required to obtain a girea measure of rice, and 3 times the quantity according to the Ambâsamudram insoription of Varagaņa-Mahârajar V. V.]

    4 [The word mukkalvattam occurs in the Tiruuelli plates of Bhaskara Ravivarman, where Professor Hnltzseik has translated it by 'tempie' (Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. p. 292). According to Dr. Gundert the term denotes in Travascore 'a temple of Konganimar.' An inscription at Tirnvâlisvaram near Ambâsanudram in the Tinnevelly district mentions Tiripuradána ( ${ }^{\circ}$ dahanam) panniña śevaganâr tirumukkdlvat!tam, where tirumukkallvattam appears to mean ' the holy shrine ' of the god Siva (No. 120 of the A. A. Superintendent's collection for 1905).- V. V.]
    ${ }^{5}$ [According to Dr . Gundert rakshd-bhogam is synonymous with raja-bhogam, which denotes the ruler's share. From certain Tellicherry records (1796-1799) it appoars that this share amounted to one-fifth (of the revenue). - V. V.]

    - [The word dga here traaslated ' as ' occurs in a similar context in the Tirunelli plates of Bhaskara Ravivarman (Ind. Ant. Vol. XX. p. 290, text line 17). It is apparently synonymous with the Tamil avadu or agilvn and the Malaŗalam agattet.— V. V.]
    " [I-pparifu means 'in this manner, thue." I would translate the passage thus: "Neither the irallar nor the idajytdar shall be entitled to interfere and disturb the kelddu thas settled or to seize (any) property."- V. V.]
    $\$$ I.s. he who contributes to the dwindling of thie charity.
    - [I would translate the passage as follows: "He who does any injury to this (contract) shall individually pay a fine of two hundred kalaniju (to) the shrine at Sirrûr." See notes 1 and 2 on p. 237 and note 1 above.-V. V.]
    ${ }^{10}$ [Inatead of Ayuririr=piradittai seyda pattaragar of line 6, we have here Ayurir mukkal-vattam which to
     have here pafldragarkk=olla idaiyidu, which denotes the interest which the Ayurutr shrine possessed in the land acquired by Âdichchap-Umaiyammai from Śrivallavangodai. Consequently, the sentence repeats the statemunt made in lines 5 to 7 that Âdichclan-Umaiysmmai bad outained the concession from Śrîrallavangôdai. Accordingly, it seems to me that Srtvallavaig bdaiyaudai at the end of 1.18 is a simple clerical mistake for Srlvallavarigbdaiya idai. If any suoh relationship as would be impliod by the use of the word $u d a i$ were intended, it would be mors natnral to expect it noted prhen Adichehan-Umaiyammai is mentioned for the first time. Besides, it would be unusual for the mother or wife of Srivallapangotai to enter into a transaction of this nature with him, - ₹. Y,]

[^197]:    1 [In the original the name Âdichchan-Umaiyammai is not repeated as it is represented in the translation. It looks as if Srlvallavaigodai wan seated with the members of the assembly of Tiruchchengunrir in the palace at Kollam (11. 4-5) while making the gift to Adichchag Umaiyammai. Accordingly I would translate this sentence as follows :-" (The following are) the sdidhus who know (the transaction entered into) at the place at which (Adiohchan Umaiyammai)-while Śrîvallavaigodai was sitting with (the assembly P) - granted the kitlldu (of the land f) to the lord (bhattetraka) of Truchchengunrdr." The same fact is referred to in lines 8 and 9.- V. V. I
    ${ }^{2}$ [The name of the man was apparently Dêvan Pavittiran.- V. V.]
    3. X.e. the terms of this transaction and bear witness to the same.

    * [Parandavan is apparently a tadbhava of the Sanskpit Paramtapa.-V. V.]

[^198]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mathurâ inser. of S. 5, Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p. 34 ff., No. 4; Mathurâ inser. of 8. 7, above Vol. I. p. 391, No 19 ; Mathurâ inscr. of S. 9, Vienan Or, Journ. Vol. I. p. 173, No. 2, and Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p. 37, No. 6; Mathurâ inecr., Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII. p 149, No. 25; Sârnâth inscr. of S. 8, abovo Vol. VIII. p. 176. Nu. $9^{3}$; Sârnâth inscr. of S. 3, above Vol. III. p. 170, No. $3^{\text {d. }}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. X. p. 326.
    ${ }^{3}$ Journ. As. Ser. VIII. Vol. XV. Y. 187.

    - Jou'n. As. Ser. IX. Vol. VII. p. 8.

[^199]:    ${ }^{1}$ [I have some weeks ago examined the original and the $A$ is quite certain.-B. K.]
    3 Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 390, No. 18 ; Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXIII, p. 102, No. 13.

    - Professor Fultzsch writes to me that he is never:heless inclined to connect utariugania naramilutyym wath the dste, but he would take utturu in the sense of uchyanâna, uparr-likhitu, 'nbuve-mentioned.'

[^200]:    1 The absurd opinions of Rajendralala Mitra masy be passed over in silence.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare Cunningham's remarks, Journ. Roy. As. Soc. New Ser. Yol. V. p. 194.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 373, note 7.

[^201]:    ${ }^{1}$ Another sign that originally was read 40 by Bühler, is found in the Mathura inscription of the time of mahdraja rajdtiraja devaputra Huvashka, Ep. Ind. Vol. I. p. 386, No. 8, and Plate. Làter on Bühler deciared that on further consideration he read the sy mbol as 60 , and as such it has been treated since then everywhere; see ERp. Ind. Yol. II. p. 204, note 61.

[^202]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhape the symbol found in No. 9 forms the intermediate stage between the pta and the looped sign. As I have stated above, its lower part is not quits distinct in the photolithograph, but it does not seem to me impossible that here also it consists not of the usual fork, but of a loop, though a much smaller one than in No. 12. ${ }^{2}$ Ep. 1 nid. Vol. I. p. 386, No. 8, and Plate.
    ${ }^{3}$ Jip. Ind. Vol. I. p. 386, No. 8, and Plate; Vol. If. p. 204, No. 19, and Plate; Arch. Surv. Rep. Vol. XX, p. 37 , and Plate V, fig. 6.

[^203]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mathurâ inscription of 8.76, mentioned by Führer, Progress Report for 1895-96; Sấchi inscription of S. 78, edited by Bühler, Ep. Ind. Vol. II. p. 369 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the reading suggested by Dr. Fleet, Journ. Roy. As. Soc. 1903, p. 326, but he is himself inclined to look upon this form as a mere variant of Vâsushka, if the existence of such a name should be proved; see Jourl. Roy. As. Soc. 1805 , p. 357 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ Journ. As. Ser. IX. Vol. VII. p. 8 f.

[^204]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eip. Ind. Vol. II. p. 199, No. 2.

[^205]:    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol. IX. pp. 143, 144.

[^206]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the St. Petersburg Dictionary \&. v.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Journ. Roy. As. Soc. 1903, p. 289 fi., Prof.ssor Rapson has described a coin that shows a general similarity to those of Sonḍass. With the exception of tho tirst three akisharas the inseription is not quite certrain. Professor Rapson reads : brahmand[na go(P)dara(?)-tha(P). na]. Would it be too bold to conjecture that the brâhmana mentioned here may have some connection with the לrâhmana Śaigrava, the gailjavara of Śoṇ̣̂as, and that the reading miast be altered accordingly ?
    : Geschiedenis van het Buddhisme is Indië, Vol. II. p. 266.

    + See Dtpav. V, 57 ; 69, etc.
    [The proofs of this and all sabsequent papers by the late Professor Kieihorn have been read by me.-S. K.]
    - Constable's Fand Atlas of India Plate 27 D u.

[^207]:    The firat verse of the inscription commencos with the words Lakshme-ntramahraptna-, which were epparently misread as Lakshmi-Nardyana.
    ${ }^{2}$ The nocompan! ing facsimile has been prepared under the saperintendence of Prof. Hultzsch from Mr, Cousens' photograph. A facsimile of the impressions would have been quite useless.
    ${ }^{2}$ Exposer as the pillar has been to all the effects of the Indian climate for more than a thonsand years it seems wonderful that of these small letters, which were not deeply engraved, many should have been preserved so well as we find them to be.

    I am sangini.a enough to hope that it will indeet some day he possible to make ont the names which are hidden now in the second part of our inscription. It will then perhaps also be found that this part likewise euds with a date in the 9th century of the Vikrams era, of which in my opinion there are traces in the second half of line 38 .

[^208]:    ${ }^{1}$ The original apparently mentions the place where Nâgâvalôka was put to flight, but the neme of it cannot be read with cunfidence. See verse 14 and the tranalation of it below.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare e.g. verses 13, 15, 20 and 30.
    3 The prasasti somewhat pointedly ends with the word srimati, which, in the case of an author who had studied the sryanka mahalkavya does not seem to me to be without significance.

    - Compare the following note.
     vyatirdka ity未arthah । upamd-vyatirdkaryamakanàm samkarah।

[^209]:    ${ }^{1}$ See ds. Res. Vol. I. p. 128, and Ind. Ant. Vol. XXI. p. 253.
    2 I neod hardly point out that this name looks like the well-known birudas ending in avaldka of cartain Râshṭrakûṭs kinge (Khadgdvaloke, Vikramávalốka, oto.).
    ${ }^{3}$ See above Vol. II. p. 121, line 12 of the text, where the sctual resding of the original is friman-Nagavald karpravaranripa ${ }^{\circ}$.

    * If the grant is gennine, the donation recorded in it was probsbly made on the 28th October A.D. 756.

[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ From impressions and a photograph sunplied by Mr. Cousens.
    2 Denoted by a symbol.

    - Metre: Sragdharâ. ${ }^{\text {B Read }}{ }^{\text {a arcinzadéfa. }}$
    - Compare Śárngivésha-phanikañohuka, above Vol. II. p. 4, v. 22.
    ${ }^{7}$ Originally -kundal6 seems to have been engraved.
    ${ }^{8}$ Compare Sífupälavadha III. $11 . \quad$ Metre: Âryâ.
    ${ }^{10}$ Originally -ruchdr= was engraved.-Compare above Fol. I. p. 40, verse 2 : vra(bra)hmaindananda* lamah8tpalandla-ldlám vi(bi)bhrat.
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre: Âryâgiti.
    12 Compare Sifupalavadha xiv. 70: navam budha yath purana-purwsham prackakshatd.
    

[^211]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre: Ślaka (Anushṭnbh).
    ${ }^{2}$ Metre of verses 10 and 11 : Vasantatilakà.
    $z$ The original possibly has ${ }^{\circ}$ lantaka doa mirttak.

    * Originally vtbhiva or vobhiva was engraved.
    - Metre: Ślekn (Annahțabh).-I am nnable to restore the first half of this verse with any conflideace, bat the meaning probably is that the king's hand in battle took awsy fortune from his adverasry. Compare Gupta Insor. p. 203, 1. 7.
    - Originally ranambhbvdhi- was engraved.
    - Metre: Vasantatilakâ.-Compare Sisupallavadha XV. 80.
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre: Sragdharâ.
    - The akshara which is missing here I am nnable to restore with confidence.
    ${ }^{10}$ Of thene three aksharas the first and the last seem to be certain, and the second is either va or ow.
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre: Vasantarilakâ.
    12 Metre: Slôka (Auushţabh). See above, p. 250.
    ${ }^{12}$ Metre: Vasantatilakâ.
    1 The water of the sword-blade (asidhara-jala, khadgadhard-payas, khadgadhdrdmbhas) is often apoken of by poets; compare e.g. Alkavalt, pp. 64 and 168, and above Vol. II. p. 307, v. 21.
    is The plurnl of asrij is similarly nsed in Śisupdlavadha xvii, 55 (astiñji).
    Is I have little doabt that this is the correct reading; in the original a medial $i$ was originally engraved before the akshara which I read as pra, but it has clearly been struck out.
    ${ }^{17}$ Metre: Mâlini. ${ }^{18}$ Metre: Ślíka (Anubhtubh).
    ${ }^{19}$ This word, being repested at the commencement of the next line, is superfuous here, Srfphala is used in the double sense of 'the fruit of his prosperity' and 'Bilva fruit.'

[^212]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. Vishṇu.
    ${ }^{2}$ I mun not sure about the meaning of these words, the text of which is doubtful in the origiual. Gartda. dhvajadri might be equivalent to Krishnagiri.
    ${ }^{-}$I Te. Śiva.

    - These words clearly indicate that there was a figure of Garuda on the top of the pillar.
    © I.e. Vishṇu.
    - In my opinion, this might refer either to the king or to the god Vishnu.
    ${ }^{7}$ This is the form given in Mr. Cousens' Lists of Antiquarian Remains in H. H. the Nizam's Territories, p. 46.
    ${ }^{5}$ The thousand-pillared temple in the middle of the village of Anmasonḍa was bailt by Prôla's son Radra in or about A.D. 1162.63 (Ind. Ant. Vol. XI. p. 9 f.) and contains some excellently scuiptured door-posta. The four gateways which now stand in the open air at Warangal might have belonged to the palace of the Kakatiya lings at that place (Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1901-2, p. 4). Mr. Cousens, however, thinks that the gatequys must have belonged to a great temple in the centre of Warangal (Lists of Antiquarian Remains in H. AI. the

[^213]:    Nizan's Tervitories, p. 47). The temples near the Râmappâ Lake in the Warangal distriot are (ibid. p. 49) of the same type as the great temple at Hanumkondâ, but more profusely sculptured. The principal temple of this group appears to have been built in A.D. 1213-14 by Rudra of Rêcharla, who was a depeniant of the Kâkatiya king Ganapati. The village Uparpalle in the Yelgandal district, is also reported to contain some ruined temples attributable to the Kâkatîya period (ibid. p. 74). The vimanna of the Tripurântakềsvara temple at Tripurântskam in the Kurnool district was constructed in A.D. 1254 -55 under the orders of the Kâkatiya king Gapapati (Epigraphical collection for 1905, No. 169.) The Padmâkshi temple at Anmakonda is the earliest known structural monument of the Kâkatiya period and this may account for its being plain and devoid of the display of art which is quite characteristic of the later structures of the dynasty.
    ${ }^{1}$ Jaina remains are mostly to be seen in the western portion of the Nizam's Dominions, which borders on the Bombay Presidency. Anmakonda is almost on the eastern border ; see the map accompanying Mr. Cousens' Lists of Antiquarian Remains in H. H. the Nizan's Territories.
    ${ }^{2}$ Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1901-2, paragraph 7. The goddess Padmâkshit to whom the temple is dedicated must be one of the twenty-four Śasanadects of the Jaina mythology. AmbA or Ambikâ, the Śasanad:ai of the 22nu Tirthankara Nèmin\tha, is supposed to he a form of Durgâ. Padmavatí was the Śasanaddvt of Pârśranâtha (Dr. Burgess' Archceol. Surv. of Western India, Vol. V. p. 45 f. aud p. 46, note 2). It might, therefure, be supposed that the god dess consecrated by Mailama in the basadi at Anmakoudr was either Ambika or Padmâvatí-called, in Kanarese, Kadulalaya, the meaning of which I huve not been able to trace.
    ${ }^{2}$ dbove, Vol. III., p. 82, and footnote 4.

    - The Gapapesvaran inecription of the time of Ganapati states (r.9) that Rudra, son of Prola, destroyed many towns and founded quarters nawed after these towns in the city of Ôrumgallu and peopled them with their respective iuhabirants; while in the dovastated towns he built celebrated temples of Rudrésvara and settled fresh iuhabitants. If there be any truth in this statement, it may be inferred that Waran gal, which was already in existeuce as an important city (see 1. 95 of the subjoinel inecription also), ras greatly improved by Rudra. Récharla Rudra, a general of Ganapati (aes above, p. 256, note 8) is said to have put to fight king Nagati an.l founded the temple of Rudrếsara at the city of Orurallu (Warangal)-perhaps after his own name. Orumgallu or Orugullu, which was thus improved by the Kîkatiya king Rudra, appears to have occupied only a subordinate positio:i in the tine of Prola, Rudra and Ganupati, whils Anmakonda was the capital. No. 278 of the Epigraphical collootion for 1905 -06 which beloags to the time of Rudra and is dated in Śaka-Samvat 1107 , Visvivasu ( $=$ A.D. $1185-86$ ) states that the mahamandal ésvara Kâkatìya Rudradêva-Mahârâja was "the lord of Anumakonda, the beat of towns," Nos. 195 and 223 of the same colleotion belong to Gapapati and are both dated in Śaka-Samivat 1174, Paridhâvin ( $=$ A.D. 1252-53). The former refers to Gnnapati as "the lord of Anume Konda, the best of to.mns." In Śaka. Samvat 1175 Ganapati still called himself the lozd of Auumakonda (Mr. Butterworth's Nellors Inscriptions, 0. 28). Bat No. 231 of the Epigraphical collection for 1905, dated in Śaka-Samvat 1176, Ânaxia, distinetly saates that Gapupati was ruling with Orugallu as his capital. Whether his successor Rudramadêvi (or, as she is called in insoriptions, Rudradêva-Mahâraja) reignaed from the nerrly establighed capitul Warangal or not, it is dififcult to say. Her ssce ssor Prataparadra ruled over almost the whole of the Teluyu country and portions even of the Ta nil country from h's throne at Orumgalla. He is gentrally known as Ôraìgậ̣! Prataparuirảêva, i.e. Pratâparudradêva of Ôrumgalla.

[^214]:    ${ }^{1}$ See verse 3 below.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sebbi or Chhebbi thirty, a small district over which the Western Ganga king Pañchaladêva was ruling in A.D. 971, and which took its name from the village of Chabbi or Chhabbi in the Hubli tâluka of the Dharwar district (Dr. Fleat's Kanaress Dynasties, p. 307) seems to be different from the Sabbi-siyira district which was ruled by the Kâkatîya chief Bêta.
    ${ }^{s}$ No. 106 of the Epigraphical collection for 1902. Mr. Cousens refers to this as No. 4 of the inscriptions at Hanumkondà and Warangal (Lists of Antiquarian Remains in H. H. the Nizam's Territories, 1. 48).

    4 In footnote 7 on p. 91 of Vol. VI. above, Professur Luiders questions the propriety of the title ohakrin (chabravartin) as applied to Vikramâditya VI, in an inscription at Sravaṇa-Belgola, dated in Śakn-Samyat 10s1. He presumes that the title chakerin is based on the analogy of the epithets sarvajüachakravartin, pratapachakravartin and Chalukyachakravartin assumed respectively by three of Vikramâditys's succestors, viz. Sômêfvara III., Jagadêkamalla II. and Taila III. The title Chalukya-ohakrin applied to Vikramêditya V1. in the subjoined inscription leaves no doubt that this imperial biruda originated with him;

[^215]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1899-1900, p. 18, Genealogical Table of the Chôdas of the Telugu country, remarks under Ópilisiddhi II and paragraph 53.

    2 The Kouḍapaḍati chief Buddharâja and the mahamanḍalésuara Nambaya-two petty chieftains of tlie Telngu country on the sonthern side of the Krishạà river-bore the surname' the lion of the mountain-the Darjaya family' (above, Vol. VI. p. 268 and footnote 6, anil p. 269). We know from Telugu records that a family of chiefs known by the name Châgi was contemporaneous with the Kunḍapaḍațis. Nos. 253 and 271 of the Epigraphical collection for 1897 give two or three names in the ancestry of this family and call it the Durjaya-kula born from the feet of Vishṇn. No. 255 of the same collection, which is dated in Śnka-Samrat 1148 and which belongs to the time of Châgi Ganapaya-Mahârâja who was probably a member of this Châgi Durjuya-kula, quotes a verse at the beginning in praise of the king which is almost identical with verse 7 of the I'enamadala inacription describing the Kâkatîya king Ganapati (above, Vol. III. p. 97 f., text 11.31 to 36 ). Unfortunately tise inscription is seriously damnged; otherwise, it might, perlaps, have been possible to prove 1 hat the local chiefs of the Châgi Darjays-kulh wer'b.rruwing from the royal Kâkatiya family of Warangal not only names, but bumetimes even the description of the members of its family.
    ${ }^{3}$ This may be inferred from the use of the Chalpukya-Vikrama era in the date portion of the subjoined record.
    ${ }^{4}$ The Pàkhâl inscription referred to above, states that Radra was born in the family of Prola, which is quite against the testimony of other Kâkatîys inscriptions.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ind. Ant. Yol. XI. p. 10 and above, Vol. III. p. 88.

    - The second alternative seems to be the more probable one, for Prôla is actaally stated to have cartured Taila in hattle and to have released him out of 'loynity and love' (bhakty=anuragat). This may imply the existence of a sovereign on the Chalukya throne different from Taila. Chatukya-chiddimani seans to have boen a tille of Western Chalukya princes who, before succeeding to the throne, gnerally served as governors of proviacos.

[^216]:    Vira Nolamba Palhava Permannaḍi Jayasinghadêva, younger brother of Tribhuvanamalla-Vikramáditya VI, had such a birnda. Perhaps Taila's defeat by Prôla touk place whild the former was yet a prince, somewhere in the latter part of the reign of Jagadêkamalla II.

    1 Ind. Ant. Vol. XI. p. 11. Vardhamânansgarî is said to have been burnt by Rudra after marching 's few steps " from his capital Anmakonḍs. Dr. Fleat suggests, accordingly, that it should be looked for somewhere in the Nizam's dominions not far from Aumakonḍa. There are two places with either of which Vardhamânanagnrí may be identified. One is V.rrdhannpet, about 20 miles south-west of Anmakunds and the other is Waddamarri, about 50 miles south-west of Anmakonḍa in the direction of Kalyaṇa. A lator chief of Vardhannananagarí is mentioned in an inseription engraved on ti:a fort wall at Raichur, as a fendatory of Pratâparudra. The racord is dated in A.D. 1294 which is the earliest date for Prataparulra derived from inycriptions (Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1905-06, Part II., paragraph 44). I quate this from a brief note on the inscription made by the Offeer in charge of Archæologival Researches in Mysore in his Annual Report for the year ending 30th June 1907, paragraph 56.

    2 There is a place called Mantani in the Yelgandal district of the Nizam's State which is abont 50 miles north

[^217]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ahove, Vol. III. p. 83. If this surmise of Professor Hultzsch is granted, Chôḍ̂laya may be identified with the Velanânḍn chief Kalâttanga-Chôda-Gonka III (No. 17 - of the Genealogical Table of the Velanânḍu chjefs on p. 35 of above, Vol. IV.) whose inscriptions range between A.D. 1188 and A.D. 1157. Aud the word Ch6d6daya, which literally means '(one who is) born of Chôda' may very aptly be applied to Kalôtunga-Chdda-Goíka IIL., whose father Velanaṇti-Râjêndra-Chôḍa is called Chôḍa of Velanâṇ̣̣u in one of his inscriptions at Palakôl, dated in A.D. 1136 (No. 524 of the Epigraphical collection for 1893) and is reforred to as king Chôdi in an inseription at Niḍubrôlu, dated in A.D. 1182 (No. 163 of the Epigraphical collection for 1897, text line 27 f ), and probably also in the Ganapếsaram inscription as king Choḍi, the overlord of the Ayya chief Nârâyaṇa I. who was the grandfather of Jâyana, the general of Ganapati. This identification of Chôdêdaga with Gorika III. whose lateat date as stated above, is A.D. 1157 , if correct, would render probable the statement made in the Anmakonda inscription that Chôdôdaya died out of fear of Prôla's successor Rudra, who burnt his city.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is no boast so far as the southern boundary of Rudra's dominions is concerned. The existence of an insoription of his time at Tripurântakam in the Kurnool district (No. 273 of the Epigraphical collection for 1905) clearly shows that the actual southern boundary of his kingdom extended even beyond Srisaila. Rudra eppears to have strengthened his position in the Telugu country by a political intermarringe in the race of Kandûrodaga. Chợă (above, Vol. LII. p. 83). Ganapati did likewise by taking to wives Nârambâ and P今̂râmbâ, the two sisters of his general Jayana (ibid. p. 84). A Tripurântakam inscription (No. 204 of the Epigraphical collection for 1905) states thut Ganapati's sister Mêlàmbikâ married Vakkadimalla Rudra, the second son of Buddha 'lord of Nâtavâti-vishaya.' The same relationship is established by an inscription at Beavâda which is datedin ŚakaSamivat 1123 (above, Fol. VI. p. 159, teart line 8) where Nâtavâdi Rudra (not bis father Buddha as Professor Hultzsch takes it) is called the marandi 'brother-in-law' of Ganapati. Gapapati's daughter Ganapambal wae given in marriage to the Kôta chief Bêta of Amarâvati (above, Vol. III.p. 94). The Kôta chief Kêta II., whose mother Sabbamà was the sistor of the Velanânḍu chief Gonka III. (above, Vol. YI. p. 148), had five queens who were selected from the several petty families which at that time appear to have divided among themselves the country soath of the Kriaḥ̂a river. Two unpublished inscriptions from Amarâvati in the Guntur district (Nos. 261 and 262 of the Epigraphical colleotion for 1897) give the names of these five queens as Viñjamâ of the family of the chiefs of Oñginimârga (perhaps identical with Ongetrumârga over which Nambaỷa of the Durjaya family was raling; see above, Vol. VI. p, 224); Sabbam\& of the family ruling the country "to the west of the hill," i.e. the Kondapad. matis; Pârvatî of the family of the chiefs of Kôna-Kanḍravâdi; Nàgamâ of the family of the chiefs of Kàkatı and Komaramâ, another princess of the Kondapadmați family. It is doubtful if the Kâkati family here mentioned has to be conneoted with the Kakatiyas of Warangal or if it has to be distinguished as a purely local family which adopted the name Kakati in consequence of its relntionship with the Kâkatiyas either direotly or indirectly.
    ${ }^{2}$ Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1906-07, Part II., paragraph 78.

    - From tivo ink-impressions prepared by me in 1902.

[^218]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read pogale.
    3 In the translation I have taken this word to be synonymous with viedky-agrani.
    ${ }^{3}$ About the $u$ here and $11.55,68$, and 69 , which Dr. Fleet thinks to be of form of the virdana, mee sbove, rol. V. p. 237.

[^219]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the origional the syllajles gahara look like gokamta.

[^220]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dósha is a medical term and means, according to Dr. Kittel, 'black or red spots on the tongue foreboding death.' It may be that according to Indian medical science, the lotus-stem is a powerful agent in remoring this absha.
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, Vol. VIII. p. 134, note 3.
    8 These are the lotus seeds which as sacrel beads are worn round the neck and are called tavaromani (lotus-gems) in Kangrese.
    *I.e. the Jaina community ; see Mr. Ricd's Hpigraphia Ċarnatica, Vol. II., p. 59, paragraph 6.
    5 The original appeard to have vinay $i$ - in which case the phrase may be translated "the jewel anong the wellbehaved;" bat as vinzyavilhishana is the form which generally occars iu iosoriptions, I prefer to read $y a$ inatead of $y i$.

[^221]:    1 The word mantana is not found in Dr. Kittel's Kannada-English Dictionary. Perhaps it is a poetical form for minatana.

    2 The Jaina saint A kalank is said in the Mallishêna epitaph (above, Vol. III. p. 200) to have overcome, along with the Bauddhas, the Buddhist goddesps Târâ who had secretly descended into a pot as dwelling place. According to the Rajavalf-kathe (Mr. Rice's Epigraphia Carnatica, Vol. II. p. 45) Akalanka was helped in his disputation against the Braddhas by the Jaina goddess Kûshmâ ṇdiní and eventually kicked over the pot with bis left fort and smashed it. In the present insoription Mailama is apparently compared to the goddess Kûshmâṇ̣inî who helped Akalanka to amssh the pot in which the goddess Tars had taken her abode.

[^222]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have taken karambam to be synonymous with Tamil karambu, which, according to Dr . Winslow, means
    ' hard and sterile ground.'
    2 This small coin, more popularly pronounced haga, is equal to 'one-fourth of a hana.'
    ${ }^{8}$ Compare the descriptions of the three sets of plates of Pravarabêua II. in Gupta Inscr. pp. 285 and 243 and above, Vol. III., p. 258.

[^223]:    ${ }^{1}$ In preparing the accompanying facsimile, the last line on the first side of the second plate unfortanately wan wit first overlooked; it is really line 18, and the lines marked in the facaimile as lines 18-34 are really lines 18-85, ${ }^{2}$ There is a final $m$ in line 27 (line 26 of the facsimile), but it seems to be out of place where it atands.
    ${ }^{8}$ Other passages where $m$ lioks distiuctly like a samdhin çonsonant are tenn manelocu- for tanindza- in Ind. Ant. Vol. XIX, p. 309, line 10, and pravefayitavyd manyatha for pratesayitavya anaythd in Qupta Inder. p. 257, line 18.

    4 See above, p. 267, note $2 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Nos. 617 and 618 of my Southern List.
    ${ }^{-}$Compare the remarks of the late Prof. Bühler, above Vol. I, pp. 9 and 10, and of Prof. Hultzach abore, VoI. VI, p. 88. Sir W. H. Sleemsn, speaking of certain king of Oude, in his Journey through the Kingdom of Oude, Vol. I, p. 179, ssys that to their orders a seal was affixed in their presence bearing the inscription mokaliva shud, "it has been seen.' Like drishtam, jaztam is used in the body of an iuscription in Journ. As. Soo. Bengala Vol. LXIX, Part I, p. 92, 1. 21 (jüdtam=mahdmantri-srt-Mahakena).

[^224]:    ${ }^{1}$ The name Ajjhitadevf we also find in the Eâritalâi plates of the Mahârâja Jayanâtha; Gupta Inscr. p. 118.
    ${ }^{3}$ The reading of the original text is not absolutely certain here.
    ${ }^{8}$ Compare Archaol. Survey of India, Vol. XVII., Plate I., and the verse cited by Dr. Bhandarikar above, Fol. IV., p. 280.
    *The same remark applies to the genitive Bhatrasioandm in line 10 of the inscription.

[^225]:    ${ }^{2}$ Read -dchohhinnar. ${ }^{2}$ Read -frt-. $\quad$ Read -kavadifi-.
    Tnstead of this tan the Chammak and Slwaní grants of Pravarasenn II. have tio, which meens to ma proferable:

    * Here mome akeshara (perkips the initial i) was engraved, but has been erased.
    .s The reading is doubtfol. The first abshara of the line is oi, the luperteript is of whoh, thoaghi faint, is distinctly visible in the original; and the second appears to be sivd. The third whishaia looks more like yd than. ad, and ia followed by a aign which looks like a form of final m, and has probably been wherack ont. The. the end what follown is clear.
    
    * Perhapa Moikold- han actually biean engraved; read trikala-
    
    - 12 Beed-jrt-1 is keid oimdedi.

    14 Here again there is is mark which looke like part of the viegrga:
    
     1.17 , the toithinevalaind $\left[t^{*}\right]$.
    ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ santakter, and compare sbove, Vol. III. p. 261, 1, 185. .

[^226]:    ${ }^{1}$ gee above. Vol. VII, p. 101.
    2 The puibihed teat, which wss furnished to Mr. Prinsep by Kamslâkânta Vidyalamkâra, is quite untrattworthy. Accorting to Mr. Prinsep's lithograph of Lieatenant Kittoe's copy, the passage with which we are
    
    

    - i . acmoat ( ${ }^{(7)}$ MRgha-fudi

    2 Read lithitasixatha. $\quad 4$ Read grahik-Arkka ${ }^{\circ}$.

    - Read jamedit ; the three akesharas by which this word is followed are illegible.
    -This di is followed by a nign which pousibly is a letter-aumeral for 10 ; and before the sign of panctuation
    there is soother ilign which looks like the symbol for $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{m} \\ \mathrm{m}\end{gathered}$. The two signs ahow fairly well in the accompanying tacoimile. I may add that there are letter-numerals also in the last line of the Bamanghâti grant of Rangbhatja, publinhed with a facsimile in Jowrs. As. Soc. Beng. Vol. XL. Part I. p. 165 f., and in lines 35 and 86 of one of the Gaifilun granta of Daņ̣̣tmahàdêvi, above, Vol. VI. p. 139 and Plate.
    ${ }^{T}$ The same sign for $h$ (which is not givęa by our palæographic Talles) we find in the Bnguda platen of Mhdhavavarmsn, in the Gumgùr plates of Nêtribhañja, and on the necond side of the plate of Danḍlwabìderth, above, Vol. VI. p. 188. It was aloo used in the grant originally engraved on these plates. A similar form of $\pi$ we find in meveral varieties of the conthern alphabet.

[^227]:    1 Which of the two signs is used, depends on the consonant to which the sign is attached; thus, $k$ and $n$ always take the curve-shaped aign and $p$ and salways the straight or hook-shaped sign. In line 17, where the curve-shaped so is attached to $p$, the akshara intended to be denoted is $p d$ (of paljayati), not $p u$; the same remark epplies to the of ntrddhuta (for nirddhdita ${ }^{\circ}$ ) in line 11. But in the case of $b h u$ snd $b h i t$, $w$ and 4 are both denoted by the same (curve-shaped) sigu.

    2 Compare o.g. Gañgdmalakulatilaka, sbove, Vol. III. p. 18, 1, 12, and Kadamva(mbá)malakwlatilaka, ibid. p. 228, 1.22.
    ${ }_{2}$ In line 5 of the Gräjàm plates mentioned in Mr. Sewell's Lista of Antiquities, Vol. II. p. 33, No. 218 (and of which Dr. Fleet has given me an imnression), the name is spelt Silâhanjadéa. Above, Vol. III. p. 853, 1. 88, a place Silabhanjapatt, which was in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{rc}-\mathrm{d} f \dot{\mathrm{f}}$ (Orissa), is mentioned.
    *The name Digbhañja also occurs in the Bemsnghâti plates of Banabhainja, where there can be no doubt about the reading of it.
    *The original has vijaya- Takjulvakdt.

    - Compare og. above, Vol. VI. p. 208, 1. 18: -samanta-bhogika-vishayapati-; ibid. p. 148, 1. 25 (in one of Uapdimahhdêri's grants) we have the term brihadbhogin.

[^228]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the yording of the grant the expremions: which are characteristic of the locality to which the grant belongs are sambandha (in line.19, umed in the weinse of sambaddha), saliladhdrd-purahoardna vidhind, nid akaratotna (for which by mithake karatotna hat been engraved). Compare e,g. above, Vol. III. p.: 45, I. 88, and Vol. VII. p. 101.
    ${ }^{2}$ On this word, whioh in Senskrit is generally spelt akskafalin (e.g. in I*d. Ant. Fol. XIII. p. 276, 1.84, and Vol. XVIII. p. 145, 1. 26), soe now Prof. Hultrech, above, Vol. VFI. p. 107, note 4.
    ${ }^{2}$ See inbove, p. 272 and note' 2.
    4 According to Yijanvalky I. 319, the sdoane of a king should be soamedropariethihnitan (i.e; sea-mwdrayd
     according to which a pharter beoomes faultiem when it is mudrch-fwddha, 'fanitless as regards the seal,' stc.

    - Bee sbove, p. 272, note 2.
    - Similar namee are Ch6la-makddeot and Ganga-mokddeof.

    TIn the Gumstr grant of Nêtribhạijas the bhaffa, the illustrious stambhadêra, in mentioned as dataka.
    ${ }^{3} 4$ traditional date in the f́alos year 754 (A.D. 838) is given for, ono of the Bhaije (Bhanyu) chide in Mr. Bewell', Litete of Aefigwitiaf, Vol. In, p. 8.

[^229]:    ${ }^{1}$ The akshara $b h 6$ of bhogyadi has not come out well in the facsimile, but is quits clear in the original. In my opinion, the word bhogi before bhógyddi is superfuous and has been eugraved by mistake; and for the following vishaya-janapadam I should have expected something like vishayapatt $\bar{n}=j a n a p a d a ́ w i s=o \hbar a$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Read ahal Anyat.-The Gumsur grant npparently has:-adisati cha sarvoatah fivamasmakam=anyat viditam=astu bhavatam=edtadvishaya-.
    ${ }^{s}$ Originally fivim $=$ was engraved, but the $i$ of $v i$ has been strack out.
    *This second =anyat is superfluous.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read -sambandha-(for -sambaddha-). $\quad{ }^{6}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} g r d m a s=c h a t u h s i m a$ -
    ${ }^{7}$ Resd paryanto. The following gram $\delta=y a m$ and the sign of punctuation are superfluous.
    ${ }^{3}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ddhaya $d$-chandradrkea-sama-kalam; the following yavat is superfluous.

    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ rbdhat.
    ${ }^{10}$ Instead of Karatvena, we require akaratvena, which (like akarlkritya) occurs often in other inscriptions and is quite distinct in the lithograph of the Gumsûr grant (though Kamalâkênta's text gives akararatnema). The following two aksharas are conjectural. In the Gumeûr grant akaratvêna is posiibly followed by bhurjarthih (for whioh the text has bhamjddri).
    ${ }^{11}$ Read Upamanyu-
    12 As the word datta below is several times written data, this might stand for datté (or perhaps datta-) pravardya; but I am unable to explain the expression. In Ind. Ant., Vol. XXI. p: 256, 1. 43, we have dupamanyaba-sagotraya I Afliyana-savrahmachdrine bhattapravara-Tihekaratamisrdya, where bhaffupravara is equally obscure. The Upamanyavas had three pravaras - Vâsishṭhs, Âbharadvasu and Indrapramada; compaze M. Miller, History of Ancient SansKrit Lit., p. 385.
    ${ }^{11}$ Bead - $46 k h d$-,
    1: Gawrichandrasya naptrt SuriiP)d\&rasya sutiya bhatfa-Darukhandl-ndmnt.


    ## ${ }^{15}$ Read dattir=ddha. $\quad{ }^{15} \mathrm{Read}{ }^{0} d h d c h=$.

     of line 33 : Śláks (Anushṭnbh):
    $\begin{array}{ll}{ }^{18} \text { Read dattd. } & { }^{19} \text { Read -dattidm: } \\ { }^{90} \text { Read } k \text { rimirxbhitva. } & { }^{21} \text { Read -datt=é. }\end{array}$
    22 Read =ánantyant puradati-, as e.g. above, Yol. III., p. 45, 1. 48; p. 343, 1. 26; otc. Eintead of dnantyain other grants heve anandyam (above, Vol. III., p. $848,1.19$, p. 358, 1. 45), or anantam (Vol. III., p. 357, 1. 60), or atyantain (Vol. VIII., p. 142, 1. 25).

[^230]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre : Pushpitâgrâ. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Read -jtvitamin oha. . ${ }^{5}$ Read buddhvc.

    - Read fri-Trikali-. A sign of the medial $i$, which was prefixed to the akehara tri, has been struck out. In the place of ka (?) another letter was originally engraved.

    5 With the exception of the $d$ in brackets, the nine alesharas at the beginning of the line are clear in the original.
    ${ }^{6}$ The ved at the end of the line and the vod at the commencement of the next line, whioh show in the facsimile, seem to me to be remnants of the inscription which was previously engraved on these plates.

    7 The aksharas favid of this word contain certain marks which were not engraved by the engraver of the present inscription anl which were struck out by him
    ${ }^{8}$ Bead sandhivigrahi-fri- or sdndhivigrahika-frl-.
    ${ }^{9}$ Read $u t k i r n m a \dot{m}$. $\quad{ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ chandrena:
    ${ }^{11}$ For this mark, which is distinctly tha, compare e.g. Ind. Ant. Vol. XVII, p. 140, note 4t.

[^231]:    ${ }^{1}$ Journ. Bo, As. Soo. Vol. XXI., pp. 414-415. ${ }^{2}$ Ibid., pp. 413-414.
    ${ }^{3}$ Another ancient name of Manḍor is MAnḍavyapura mentioned in verse 10 of the Jodhpor inscription of the Pratthara Banks. In the Progress Report of the Arohasological Survey of India, Wötern Circle, for the year ending 31st March 1907, p. 30, I have said that thorigh this inscription stone was found in the city wall of Jodhpur, it must origiually bave been at Mandidr, ae all atones for the fortification of the fort had been brought from the latter place. This conclueion is confirmed by the first pada of the verse just referred to, which is Mándavyapuradurgbasmin. The word asmin ahowa that the atone originally was at Mandavyapura, i.e. Mandor. Mandavyapura, again, is spoken of both as a city and fort, and Mapdofr remained so till the prince Jodha removed bis capital from thare to Jodhpur. Even to this day some of the portions of the ramparts of Mapdor havive been preserved. As the verse in question states that certuin Pratlbara brother princes arected ramparts round Mândavyapura fort, it is plain tbat it was in the possession of the feudatory Pratihira princes. This is also cortoborated by the fact mentioned in the text that last seacon I found a part of a atone inscription bolonging to the Pratibiras. In it the name of Kakka could be distinctly read, and some reference to bis son made therein could aleo be traoed. But Who that son was - whether Kakkakie or Blaka - is not certain. The name Mandavyapura ocours even molato as V. F. 1819 in the Sundha hill inscription of Chlochigudeva (above, Vol. IX, p. 78, 1. 86).

[^232]:    ${ }^{1}$ For nome remarks on Ahirs, nee Journ, Bo. As. Soc, Vol. XXI. pp. 430-433; for faller information still, tee my monograph contribated to the Ethnographical Survey of Bombay.

    Prakriti, which in the amrae as payai in verse 20 of the Matd-ks-adl inscription, here doubtless signifles the Kohatriya clase, as it is distinguished both from the vipra (Brâhmana) and vanik (Vaisya) classes. This is rather an unusual ange of the word, and no far I have not seen it need in this senso anywhere else.

    From the original atone.

    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वंมั"
    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सद्धि: ${ }^{\circ}$

[^233]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read कुटुर्यक
    ${ }^{2}$ I do not uiderstand the position of चपष्ध hers.

    - kead muं छात: ; the unwsodra of ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{yam}^{\circ}$ must have boen inadvertently placed over "kri".
    "Frous the origiupl atone.
    

[^234]:    
    
    

[^235]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gupta Inacriptions, p. 198, footnote 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eighteen lines of our inmoription remain; the Khariar plates have 28 linea, the Arang plates of Jayazija 24 , and the Raipar plates of Sudava 28, but theme lant onen are much amaller in size than the otheri. Our plates are slightily bigger than all the three seta.

    - See above, pp. 170 and 4.

[^236]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gupta Incoriptions, p. 197.
    
    4 From the original plates.
     two matrds are aeparated by an Interval, which hinot the cace where 8 is intonded.

    - Bend orajabulaif.

    1 Reed -pitri-.

    - Hend mdtmamaín.

[^237]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre Vesantatilaka.
    1 Rond pwrdiad. Motre Indruvajri.
     gAth oha makth aha dadydt 1 .

    Th have freely adopted the language of other translators of ilmaller famariptions, appecially of Dra Konow and Fleet.

    - Bhukti whe an old territoxial diviaion, the exact manaing of whloh ban not yet been ancortained, it
     to nawes auch an Jajabhukti, the ancient name of Buadolkhand, whioh wan sorrapted into Jajhatit in Al Beruni's times (see above Vol. 1., p. 218, and Seohma'l 41 Bormwi's Indic, Yol. L. p. 908).
    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Mr}$. Venkayya anggeste to take Bajyamahideort an the nome of the quoon.
    ${ }^{8}$ The original is trisahasra-vidya, whioh Dr. Rijendisslal has taken to be sart of the proper namo Bhàsksrasvàmi, bat I think it is an adjectival phrata oalogialing hle learning whoh extouded to the knowleige of three thousand of -what is not atated. Probably he knew three thoustad /bokas of rome very important and difficult work, convidered as a great achievement in thome times.
    - [The proper form of the name ir certainly Kirtuiredwin, bat the eeoord part of the preceding name hut been repeated by mirtake.-S. K.]
    ${ }^{10}$ The word is ohdfa, unally transiated 'irregular troopu,' which tranaiation I adopted in my Betal and lagholi platen, Dr. Vogel, mome time ago, kivily draw my attantion to thie poial giving a mose planalile erplan. tion of the word. He wrote to, me:-
    "On may fret vialt to the ancient Bill sinte of Chambe (Pusjab) I tearnt that the bead of a pargane thene hus the title of ohdr, which is ertdently derivel from Sanskrit andfa. The ahdr oollects the villagen who have to do work (forced Iabour) on behalf of the Btate; he arrangon for load oarriers and auppllay in cue the Raja or some traveller visita his district. I havo litule doubt that the oxdfa of the copper platen in the mame in the char of the Chamba Stato. In the Chambe eqpper platea pabliahed in the AnLanal Eeport of the Areheological survey (1802-08) I have therefor rendersd the word by "diatriet oftcer.' It was olearly is

[^238]:    privilege of importance that the head of the diatrict wan not allowed to interfere with the granted land; in othar words, he was not allowed to collect labourern or to demand sapplies, etc., on behalf of the State."
    ${ }^{1}$ These worda which mast have been engraved in the third plate bave been supplied to make aense.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Mundefvart inecription has beed found in two pleces. The second portion was presented to the Indian Musenma so far back an 1891. The first portion containing the date was found among the dobris around the temple and sent to the Indian Maweum in 1904. For the Mupdefrari temple, seée List of Anoient Monuments in Bengal (1895), p. 8i0. Dr, Bloch hat referzel to this inkeription twice. See Annual Report of the Arakaological Suroey, Bongal Ciroln, 1902-08, p. 20, and Answal Report of the Arehaologioal Survoy of Imdia, 1903-03, pp. 42-48. The incoription will be published belew, pp, 289 and fir.

[^239]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. VI., p. 148,
    ${ }^{2}$ Bendall's Jowrmey to Nepal, p. 72, pl. VIII.
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Fleet's Gupta Ireariptions, p .160 , yl . XXII.
    
    

[^240]:    1 Read Samrat.

[^241]:    ${ }^{1}$ List of dmoient Monuments in Bengal (publighed by the Pablio Worka Department, 1895) pp. 870-371 Annucl Report of the drohaologival 8 wroey of India (1902-03), pp. 42-43.
    ${ }^{2}$ Annual Roport of the Arahaologial Survey, Bengal Circlo, 1902, p. 20.
    ${ }^{8}$ See above, p. 285 f.
    A. teacher who maintsing ten thousand pupilest his own cost is termed a kulapati. See Váchaspatyai. bhidhanam.

    Bendall's Journey to Nepal, pp. 72-73. © Expreased by a symbol.
    The final na of this word has buen added above the line.

[^242]:    ${ }^{1}$ The sign at the end of this line has been addod in order to flll up the vaoant apace.
    ${ }^{2}$ [I would read dattany=biad,-8. K.]
    ${ }^{3}$ [I read tdpóvanikairm.-8. K.]

    - [I would translate : those who come and worship from time to time or the ascetich of the taporama,-S. K.]

[^243]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Vogel informas me that Pangit Dayi Ram Snhni has discovered adjitional proof, that even in the days of Govindachandra of Kanauj, the traditional identity of the two places was atill alive. See Journ. R. As. Soc. 1908, pp. 971 and 12 .
    ${ }^{1}$ See above, Vol. VIII., p. 182.
    IIt is donbtfal, if the word was written onketram, as in the inscription on the pedestal. However, the next word clearly is dapdafocha, and not ddydarnoka, as it is spelt on the pedestal of the statue.

[^244]:    ${ }^{3}$ [Perhaps Eatratiya is intended.-S. K.]

[^245]:    ${ }^{3}$ Bp. Ind. Yol. I, pr 84

[^246]:    1 The word in Hindt means " mishievous."
    ${ }^{2}$ From the original plate.
    ? Read kskify-andkardf.

    - Read Haihaydmbhbjar.
    - Read fira-sdmanta-,

    TRead vrittith. - Read acatw.

    - Beed rufirdya.
    - Bead Sanvateard.

[^247]:    Or, if we read Kamraedya, "he gave to the rery brave and noble Kaurave (Kiverar) unmed Lungti."
    ${ }^{2}$ [In the photo-lithograph 'id7 ham been wrongly prioted iastesd of $847-\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{K}$. ]
    

[^248]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Compare the translation of the Sarsavil plates and the notes accompanying it, above, Vol. VI. pp. 299 and fi.-8. K.]

    Kdmandakiya nttisaira, chap, IV. verses 6.8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gofegh was probably the name of the queen of Sámaragana.

    * See the introductory remarks.

[^249]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rajatarahgini, VIII. 1586.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibidem. vv. 2066, 2092, 2097.

    - Ibidem. v. 198.

    4 I cannot restore the beginniug. The akshara preoeding नमी looks like 育. Pandit Mukund Ram suggests to read कौ.
    ${ }^{-}$Modre : Sibka. There is somothing wrong in the second pada.

[^250]:    

[^251]:    

[^252]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Kielhorn, Iud. Amt. XV. p. 10, Note 57.
    ${ }^{2}$. \$00 ibidam, note 65.

    - Ind Atat XyIII.pp 9 and 苗.

[^253]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prow the original plate.
    ${ }^{1}$ Rxproeed by a aymbol,
    2Metrs: Anushtabb.

    - Metre : Indravajri.
    - Metre: Annahtrabl.
    - Motre: Vaeantatilaka This aign of intorpunction is suputions.

[^254]:    ${ }^{2}$ The engraver originally wrote tribhwoama，but corrected ti．
    2 Theme signs of interpunotantion are muperfinoun．
    3 Metre of this and the follpwing vesues：Anushtabh．
    －The d－atroke has been pat over the pu of Pwraidara．
    ＊Eepd gdmedkdich suarnammetkah aha．
    7 Bend afvambdx ${ }^{7}$ atdmi．
    －Bend diarmerthayafas－．

[^255]:    ${ }^{1}$ It was here that the famona Mumtax Maball (Arjamand Bind Begaw) brouthed bore bath
    ${ }^{3}$ For details see trauslation at the and.
    ${ }^{2}$ I have seen mome Sankerit manumeripta in Parnian charnoter in the poepeneion of wome Maulvis of Burhainpar, preserved as heirloows from their anceatox, who sppareatly stadied them under 8tate eneouragement, Uafortunately most of theve valuable records havo boen deutroyed by the firen of 1887 mad 1806 whith cansed danage to the extent of about 57 lakhs beaiden lows of life.

[^256]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bee his $A t^{2}-i$-Akbart, Vol. II., ps 286, footzote.

[^257]:    ${ }^{1}$ The average for a generation in the line of whioh Ghasn! Khin whe the lath, is 88 yvars, while in the line of Raja Ali Khan it is 29 yearn. Acoording to the ganskrte insoription the ararage for the latter is reduoed to less than 26, taking the reckoning up to the death of Miran Muhmmand In 1576, but for the minority of whone won Huanin Khîn, Blja Ali Khin would hare hai no opportunity to alt on bis bruthor'u throne.
    ${ }^{2}$ It may be noted that the vilt wat paid 14 years after ourimacription whe carvod.

    * Firishba gives this as follows:-

    Malik Raje, the mon uf Khin Jahin, the mon of Alt Kbin, the won of Uthmin Khan, the son of Simion Shth, the son of Aehab Bhab, the son of Armien Bhth, the son uf Ibrthim shith of Balkh, the won of Adam Shlh, the son of Ahmad Shah, the son of Mahmad Shih, the won of Mahammed Shit, then son of Axim Shith, the non of Ashghar, the mon of Muhemmad Ahmad, the nou of the Imim Xentr Ablulla, the son of Omar-al-Faruq eititiol -Khalfi or representative of the last of the prophete.

    * If anybody would awert that the Sankrit composer might hare made a miatake in understanding the genealogy, all doabtu would vanish by reading the Arabio insoription on tha top of the 8uskrit one reproduced ily: the acoompanying plate. Line 8 as deciphored by Mapaht Inimanliah Kisa, Manager of the Monque, rade at follow:- 'Adil Shah bin Mubdrak shah bin 'Uldil Shath bin Hasan Khan bin Qaitar Khdn bin Ghant Khán bin Malik Khdm al-Fidrdqt al 'ldll.
     krishns Bhite, Headmaster, Middle Behool, Burbiapar, and a photograph.
    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वर्चे नम: This and the next verne are in the dpuabtabls metre.
    *Th:a and the next are in the Upajati metre.

[^258]:    ${ }^{4}$ Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ विच्वयती.
    
    ${ }^{5}$ This king in well-kuown by the name of Nastr Khan, the title which he adopted on aacending the throne. Geueral Canningham erroneously took Ghazns nartifh no mean King of Ghazut (eee his Reports, Vol. IX., p. 117), not being aware thut Ghaznt was a permonal name. Firishta omitted this name, but Ahal Farl has mentioned it (see Jarretbin Ain-i-Akbart, Vol. II., p, 226).

    - The namber of irts written for Rtjes is unually 108 , bat bere a single figure stands for the bighest number, as 6 are written for a preceptor, 5 for a master, 4 for an enemy, 8 for a triend, 2 for 4 servant, and 1 for a wate or a son.

[^259]:    
    

[^260]:    ${ }^{1}$ Imperial Gazeltor of Iulia, Yol. L. (New Edition, 1907), page 4 .

[^261]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bombay Gaxetticor, Val. I., Purt IL., p. ©79.
    2 Mysors Inscriptions, p. 60, and abave, Vol. IIL., p. 231.

[^262]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, pp. 174 and 代.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bombay Gazettear, Vol. I., Part II., p. 577.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Indian Fillags Commumity, p. 281. ${ }^{4}$ Also malyaka.; aee ibid., p. 167.

    - Baden-Powell, Land Systems of British India, Vol. III., p. 148.
    - See above, p. $168{ }^{30}$.
    ${ }^{\prime}$ Dr. Watt in his Economio Diationary, Vol, VI., Part I., p. 211, says "The age of a tree (wild date) can of course bo at once nomited hy eummerating the notches and adding six or seven, the namber of years parsed before the first year's notch. I have counted more than 40 notches on a tree, but one rarely sees them so old as ihat."

[^263]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhapa Chhddd brahmana.
    2 Probably Gbvindapatra [or Gbvindaputra.-S. K.]
    This perbaps stands for dattá akarধ̣̣a fri-sdsana-dôvyá dattá.

[^264]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note 6 on p. 318.
    ${ }^{2}$ This surasme occurs both in line 8 and on the seal of the present grant. It forms also the legend of certain. copper coins discovered in the Vizagapatam district ; soe Ind. Ant. Vol, XXV. pi 322 f.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ind. Ant. Vol. XIX. p. 309.
    ${ }^{4}$ above, Vol. VI. p. 11, verae 27.

[^265]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Pischel, Grammatik der Prakrib-Aprachox \$ 81.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pattaliked is the feminine form of pattalaka, which ocenre in tha Buguda plates of Wadhavavarman, above, Vol. III, p. 44, 1.88, in connexion with vaifoderka.

[^266]:    ${ }^{2}$ See H. M. Elliot, The History of India as told by its oun Historians, Vol. II. 1869, pp. 112 and ti .
    2 Tbid., pp. 260 and ff.
    ' See Fubrer, Journal Bengal Sooiaty, Vol. LVI. Pt. I. p. 113.
    Above, Vol. IV. p. $108 . \quad$ Kielhorn, Northerni List, Nos, 127 and 131.
    ' See Fuhrer, loc. oit. p. 115, 1. 19.
    T Proceedings of the Asiatio Sooiaty of Bengal, 1900, pp. 70 and ff.
    ${ }^{8}$ Kielhorn, Nurtherı List, No. 407 . See Kielhora, abjve, Vol. IL. pp. 302 and if.
    ${ }^{10}$ Kielhorn, Northern List, No, 59.
    ${ }^{12}$ Ibid, No. 642.

[^267]:    ${ }^{1}$. Compare the forms Pttbapars and Ptthalpart, ubove, Vol. IV. p. 87, 857. Note 4.
    : Soe Hultzach, abovo, Vol. IY. p. 2as.
    ' Ind. dnt. Fol. $^{\prime}$ IX. p. 889 fit,

[^268]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rajendra Lala Mitrs's Notios of Sanskrit Manuscripts, Vol. III. pp. 134 and ff. ${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a symbol
    1 Metre : Malint.

    - Read स:
    - Metre : Sragdhará.
    - Metre: Śstrdalavikrtdita.

    7 Metre: Vagantatilakà.
    ${ }^{8}$ Metre: Śârdulavikrtdita.
    
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre: Śárddavilkrịita.

[^269]:    ${ }^{2}$ Metre: Ǵardalavikrilita.
    4 Metre : Anushṭabb.
    ${ }^{7}$ Beed विक्नय".
    *Rend प्रझ्वावी.
    3 Metre: Anaihtabh.

    - Metre : Malint.
    - Metre: ÉArdalevikrtdita.
    ${ }^{10}$ The sign of interpunctustion has been eagraved in the beginning of next line
    

[^270]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read पातुं.

    - Metre: Śârdâlavikriḍita.

    7 Metre : Éardalavikridita.
    ${ }^{10}$ Metre: Śárdulavikridita.
    3. Metre: Ś́ard̂alavikrıḍita.

    3 Read "धुष्斤.
    ${ }^{6}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ अवास ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ あढलीभहरिबं. Metre : Malint.

    - Metre: Vassntatilska. - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पर्तारिका ${ }^{\circ}$.
    
    ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} \nLeftarrow \nexists{ }^{\prime} ;$ the final visarga haw been engraved in the beginning of line 29.

[^271]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metre: Anuahţubh.
    ? Mr. Yerkay ys waggente to reed dainydf-kydjayandl.
    1 The meaning of kdedfapafika in nnoortain. Tho word is noully tranaluted 'garean.' But thir mesmipg does not suit the context.

[^272]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. IV., p.II.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. Carn., Vol. IV., p. 219 of the Romanised text portion.
    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the British Museum Plate Insoription, above, Yol. IV., p. 3 f., No. 7 of the Hassan taluk, Kp. Carn., Vol, V., Part I; and No. 186 of Chennapaṭan, Epp, Carn., Vol. IX. Compare Prof. Kielhorn's remarks on the meaning of "rister" in this connection.

[^273]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare verses 144.148 of the Britinh Munoam plates, where Xondaraja of this family wears simily birudas ; sbove, Vol. IV., p. 21.

    2 Verses 58-51.
    ${ }^{3}$ Verse 11. The word has been treated $m$ an ordinery noun by Profic. Yultzach and Kielhorn.
    *The Dêvalapalli plates givé. 1427 at a diate falling in the rrigu of Immadi-N finimha; hence Naras must have lived prior to this. Sea alao frotnote 7, above, Yal. VII, p. 79. [lt should be notod that Immad
    

    - Śandamil, Vul. IV., p. 117, and above, p. 229.
    - Śondamil, Vol. IV., p. $11 \%$.
    ${ }_{7}$ S South-Indian Insoriptions, Vol. I., Now, 48-48, p. 70.
    ${ }^{8}$ The Saluva kings were the disciples of Tutichàryn. Bee Prapamadmritam. Kpiahpadéve wrote dmukta
     daughter ÂpdAl.
    - This Sagalartja was the father-inumw of Aobyutedave and father of hir wifo Varadimbi. Se dehyutardyabhyudayam. Canto IIL, verwe 48. The priated oopy of this work remis the neme an 8alagarljas sef p. 77 ; but Mr. T. 8. Kappusimi Éstri reade it as 8agafurifta, and wo follow him in alling the father-in-law of Aohyota, Sagalaraja.
    ${ }^{10}$ See Lohyutardydbkywdayam, Canto Y., verve 64.

[^274]:    ${ }^{1}$ Annual Report on Eipigraphy for the year 1906, p. 72.
    ${ }^{2}$ [The Pudukkôțai grant mentions Manthbarapa as the brother of Śrivallabha, and, beaides, Manakayscha, whom Mr. Venkayya identifies with Arikêsarin Parakrame Papdya. The identification of Manabhûaha with any of these two is far from being certain.-S. K.]
    ${ }^{3}$ It now goes popularly by the name Pappákulam. This name means "the Brahmanes tank," and it has been tranalated into Sauskrit in the record.

    From inked impreacions prapared by Mr. T. A. Gopinatha Reo.

[^275]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गय. ${ }^{\circ}$

    - नि is corrected from ति.
    - Mead ${ }^{\circ}+$ rin $^{\circ}$.

    2 Rand " कासीfúx xi.
    2 \% looks like तु.

    * Eiond FIn the oonjunet conoonant Fod, with the previous य.
    - Read with the British Museom plates रामझत्री.
     [I would suggest वामुखगेष्द्र,- S, K.]

[^276]:    

    - Al is corrected frove a.

    4Read प्रस",
    ${ }^{7}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भिषिच ${ }^{\circ}$.
    

    - Bend a; ${ }^{c}$. 18 and wifl.

[^277]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पंशि；$:$ Read सभरू＂． $8^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ fितां
    ＂Read तीui＂．$\quad$ © स is corrected from perhaps $\boldsymbol{F}$ ．
    ${ }^{7}$ Rend भाषेगें．$\${ }^{\circ}$ रा＂is corrected from another letter．
    －पंदु वं श्विखार्मखि：in the Britigh Museum plates．
    ${ }^{11}$ Read कोबीन ${ }^{\circ}$ ． 12 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पाथथ̃o．
    ＂Originally पाष्नो was written．
    

[^278]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Read प才 $\boldsymbol{z}^{\circ}$ ．
    －Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 8 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ mar
    ${ }^{-1}$ Read veza ${ }^{\circ}$ ．
    ${ }^{7}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ मेदित ${ }^{\circ}$ ．
    ＇Read ${ }^{\circ}$ 甲चन
    ${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ 『में．
    ＂Rewl कंसाई＂，
    ＂Rend \＃＂u＂．

    2 Hoed ${ }^{\circ}$ बदोंबों
    －Rend ${ }^{\circ}$ भाष्षया．

    12 Head ${ }^{\circ}$ fin．
    ＂The mecond MT looke like न्बत

[^279]:    ${ }^{2}$ Read "दागोय".
    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ समृष्ण ये. ${ }^{2}$ Read दागयू प[य*] H कुल. [I would prefer to scan Ayina․-8. K.] Read ${ }^{\circ}$ समझः ${ }^{\circ}$.
    7 Reed ${ }^{\circ}$ का च्छारार्भे संयुतं.
    19 Real ${ }^{\circ}$ \#ी im ${ }^{\circ}$.
    1 Read "यामकेषापि ? 4 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ संयुका.
    5 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ अवप्तरकुली.

    - Read तर्षंश่.
    is Read ${ }^{\circ}$ कान्या.

[^280]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{0}$ सममभव ${ }^{\circ}$.
    4 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सुवक्त:-
    ${ }^{-}$Read ॠगद्रवं".

    - Read "भमाहितो".

    12 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ मिखर्र.
    ${ }^{15}$ पा is corrected from wi.
    
    -The lettern fिंर are engraved over an erkeure.
    
    ${ }^{20}$ Read सं末त", ${ }^{11}$ Rend ${ }^{\circ}$ सषिक्षा०.
    

[^281]:    ${ }^{2}$ Cunningham's Roports, Vol. XVII. p. 20 et ary. ${ }^{2}$ Gapts Inerriptunt, pi. 191.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cunningham's Repurts, Vol. XVII. 1. 2s at ar\%. - (9nph' Iasiriptiuna, p. 117.
    ${ }^{5}$ This probably refers to the Gupta funily, In the Lidayngiri rave inmeription (vilo Gupta Inseriptione,
     qualifications, on ideal to which the feudatiory, ti, $r$. whith be prone to liken their awn fanilies. In referance to
    
     Pariordjaka, which matan' \& rylyter,

[^282]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Cunninghem dewcriben (Reports, Fol. IX. p. 28) swo silver coins of a certain Bhtmasena, who muat have belonged to a dynanty whioh ancooeded the Guptas. The peacook device of the Gaptas is continned on theme coins, but the facen of the obverne are tarned to the left an it to denote a change of dynasty.-Ed.]
    ${ }^{2}$ Guptas Ineoription: P. 12.
    ? Ibld. Intreduction, p. 8 . TThe Tempur inicription dated Gupta 510 is of a differeit kind." See Kielhorn, G6tilingor ZFachriohtem, 1905, pp. 465 and le,-S. K.] [The Gañjam District plates of Saskikaraja are dated in the Garapten year 800 corremponding to A.D. 619-80 (above, Fol, VL, p. 143)-V. Venkayyen]

    4 Ibid. p. 18. The Vertrral meaription is dated in Valabbt-Sminvat 945 or 1264 A.D., thus extending its use to a period 800 yearn witill later (soe Indian Antíquary, 1891, p. 385).
    ${ }^{6}$ Smith's Elarly Eistory of India, 2nd Fidttion, p. 866.
     VIII p. 288).

    Y See Indian Antiquary, 1902, \% 257:

[^283]:    ${ }^{1}$ See article on 86 n in the new Imperial Gazetteor.
    ${ }^{2}$ From the original plato.

    - Rxpresed by a aymbol.
    
    - Read -mbva [or -mdya- as in 1.16 of the Broech copper-plate of Bumgumainhan (J. Bo. B. B. A. B. Vol, Xx p. 214, text-line 8.)-S. K.]

[^284]:    ${ }^{1}$ Head ${ }^{\circ}$ vaninyaił. ${ }^{2}$ Read pldàm kuryydt.
    ${ }^{1}$ I am indebted to Dr. Konow in helping me to elucidate these somewhat curiously formed figures.
    4 A grant made in favour of a god or a Brahmana.
    1.s. regardiges of the consoquences which may follow in this and the next world.

    - For thene Yajuavalkya-amriti, varse 227 of the Prâyaschittadhyâya. They are: killing a Brahman, drinking, theft, visliting a pre:eptor's wife, and association with any of these offences. The minor offences are onumprated further on from vernes 284 to 242.

