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## Abstract

Information about the attitudes and objectives of the private forest-land owners is essential to understanding Ohio's forest resources. Ninety-four percent of Ohio's 6.9 million acres of commercial forest land is in 332,600 private ownerships. Ninety-two percent of these ownerships are individual and joint ownerships. A majority, 66 percent of these owners, live within a mile of the nearest tract and 80 percent own only one tract. Benefits other than timber production are most important to Ohio's landowners, but 43 percent of the ownerships have harvested timber from their land. Harvesting firewood is common among owners that own fewer than 50 acres of forest land. Only 29 percent of the ownership units permit some form of recreational use of their land by the public, these units have 36 percent of the private forest land.
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## H1ghlights

Ninety-four percent of Ohio's 6.9 million acres of commercial forest land is in 332,600 private ownerships.

Forty-five percent of the ownerships hold more than 10 acres each; they account for 91 percent of the private forest land.

Ninety-two percent of the ownership units are individual and joint ownerships.

Only 29 percent of the private ownership units, holding 36 percent of the forest land, permit some form of recreational use of their land by the public.

Forest industries own 186,300 acres of forest land.

Benefits other than timber production are most important to Ohio's landowners, but 43 percent of the ownership units have harvested timber from their land.

## Introduction

Our forest resources are vital to the social and economic well-being of our society. Good decisionmaking about these resources requires a thorough knowledge of the resource base and the factors affecting it. This paper gives estimates of the number and characteristics of the private forest-land ownerships in Ohio. The attitudes of typical forest-land owners, their reasons for owning forest land, and their views about timber harvesting, forest management, and recreational uses of their land are described.
Results of this study, used with the recent Ohio forest resource data (Dennis and Birch 1981, Dennis 1982), will provide information of use to a number of user groups.

Ownership information will be useful to public agencies in planning and evaluating forestry-related programs, to forest industry in procuring timber, and to others interested in learning more about Ohio's diverse forest-land owners. Ownership of a resource such as forest land is the essential connecting link between people and the land. Landowners have legal rights and responsibilities relating to forest land. Rights include those to purchase or sell land, to determine land use, and to decide the type and level of investment. These rights all function within limits allowed or imposed by society. Land ownership fixes responsibility for decisionmaking, establishes a claim on income accruing to land, and determines how wealth in land is distributed (Lewis 1980, Wunderlich 1978).

Who or what is an ownership unit? ${ }^{1}$ Owners may be persons, combinations of persons, or legal entities; such as corporations, partnerships, clubs, and trusts. An ownership unit has the control of a parcel or group of parcels of land. Our sampling frame is drawn from the land itself (parcel by parcel), and the owner of record (the apparent owner), is determined. A questionnaire is mailed, and finally an individual responds who has some control of an amount of land (not exclusively the parcel sampled). Therefore, we are measuring ownership units and not individual owners.

The results presented here have been statistically expanded from a sample to estimate the total population of private forest-1and ownership units and the acreage they own. Users of this report are advised to read the definitions of terms and the discussion of the study design and sampling errors included in the Appendix. Tables supporting conclusions in the text are also in the Appendix.

[^0]

Figure 1.--Distribution of private ownerships, by size class of ownership.

The Forest-Land Ownership Units
An estimated 332,600 private ownership units hold 6,504,900 acres of commercial forest land in Ohio. Fifty-five percent of these ownership units hold less than 10 acres each and collectively control only 9 percent of the private forest land. Another 300 ownership units, holding 500 or more acres each, own 11 percent of the privately owned commercial forest land (Table 2, Fig. 1).

The average private forest land ownership is 20 acres. If ownerships of less than ten acres are excluded, the average rises to 40 acres. The average size of holding varies among the geographic units, from 14 acres in the Northeastern Unit to 34 acres in the Southeastern Unit. The distribution of ownership units and forest land also varies between geographic units (Fig. 2).

Another way of dividing the ownership units into categories is: Forest industry, Farmer-owned, and Miscellaneous private (includes Individual, Corporate, and Other). An estimated 200 Forest industry ownerships have 186,300 acres of forest land. The Farmer-owned category has an estimated 145,900 ownership units with $2,888,900$ acres of forest land. The Miscellaneous private category has an estimated 186,500 ownership units with $3,429,700$ acres of forest land (Table 2).

Form of ownership is another classification that has been shown to predict intention to harvest timber when combined with size class of ownership. Ninety-two percent of the private ownership units are individuals and joint ownerships (excluding partnerships, undivided estates, corporations, etc.) collectively
holding 79 percent ( $5,159,400$ acres) of the privately owned commercial forest land. One percent of the ownership units are corporations and they hold 764,500 acres, 12 percent of the private forest land. The remaining 9 percent of the commercial forest land (581,000 acres) is held by partnerships, undivided estates, clubs, and associations (Table 3).


Figure 2.--Distribution of private ownerships, in Ohio by geographic unit.

The distribution by size class of ownership for the individual and joint ownerships differs from that of all owners, with a larger percentage of the area in the smaller size classes. The largest difference is in the $500+$ acreage class, where the individual owners hold only 3 percent of the forest land as compared to 11 percent for all owners (Table 4).

Corporate and Other Owners
An estimated 27,400 corporate and other ownership units own $1,345,500$ acres of forest land. The 4,500 corporate ownership units have 764,500 acres of forest land; 6,000 partnerships have 243,200 forest acres; and 16,900 undivided estates, clubs, associations, and trusts have 337,800 acres of forest land.

The average corporate owner has 170 acres of forest land. The largest concentrations of corporate lands are in the Southeastern and East-Central units. Over half the corporate forest lands in Ohio are located in these two units.

Corporations engaged in timber-based industries hold 151, 200 acres (Table 5). There is an additional 35,100 acres of unincorporated forest industry land. Forest industry lands represent only 3 percent of Ohio's privately owned commercial forest land. Almost all the forest industry ownership is in the three hill country units (South-Central, Southeastern, and East-Central).

An estimated 1,200 nonforest industries collectively hold 40 percent of the corporate forest land. Many of these companies are primarily interested in subsurface materials (coal, oil, natural gas). The remaining corporate acreage is held by real estate firms, nonindustrial businesses, corporate farms, sport and recreation clubs, public utilities, and other corporations.

Characteristics of the Individual Forest-Land Owners

Why study the characteristics of individual owners? Many studies have attempted to predict ownership response from owner characteristics.
Occupation, age, residence, date of acquisition, number of tracts, education, and income have all been explored as predictors of harvesting intention (Kingsley 1976, Kingsley and Birch 1977). These characteristics also suggest that individual forest-land owners have a great diversity of backgrounds and abilities. The above characteristics were included for the individual who completed the questionnaire for the individual and joint ownership units.

Twenty-two percent of Ohio's individually owned forest land ( $1,145,500$ acres) is held by professionals, executives (including business owners) and white collar workers (Table 6, Fig. 3). This group owned an average of 19 acres per ownership unit.


Figure 3.--Distribution of individual ownerships, by owner occupation.

The largest number of individual owners are retired; they hold 20 percent of the individually owned forest land. Over half the individual owners with incomes under $\$ 10,000$ per year are 65 years old or older.

We estimated that $2,888,900$ acres of forest land was "farmer owned". Some of this land was in corporate farms and 917,100 acres was owned by an estimated 41,800 individuals whose primary occupation is farming. Therefore, nearly 2 million acres of forest land are owned by part-time farmers, corporate farms and other farm ownerships such as partnership farms. As a group, farmers are important because they have the highest percentage of owners that intend to cut timber in the next 10 years of any occupation group (Table 7).

Skilled and unskilled laborers, housewives, and other occupations collectively represent 17 percent of the individual owners and hold 19 percent of the individually owned forest land. Owners that did not answer the occupation question make up the remaining 29 percent of the individual owners; they hold 21 percent of the individually owned forest land.

Other information provides us with a general description of Ohio's individual forest-land owners (Tables 8-11). Sixty percent of the owners are over 45 years old and nearly half of these are over 65. Twenty-five percent of the owners are educated beyond high school, while 20 percent have 8 years or less of formal education. Forty-two percent of the owners did not indicate an income class for our survey. Of those that indicated an income class, 56 percent had gross incomes of less than $\$ 15,000$ per year. Only 10 percent reported spending their first 12 years in a city with a population greater than 10,000 . Fifty-five percent spent their first 12 years in a rural area or on a farm.

## Indicators of Management Potential

Because of the long time it takes to grow trees, tenure of ownership is important. Most of the corporate (including forest industry) lands were acquired before 1950. This is not the case with other ownerships: forty-five percent of the farmer owned forest land was acquired before 1960, as was 38 percent of the miscellaneous individual forest land (Table 12). Twenty-two percent of the forest land owned by individuals was acquired in the $70^{\prime} \mathrm{s}, 22$ percent in the 60 's, 19 percent in the 50 's, 23 percent before 1950, and 14 percent at dates unknown (Table 13, Fig. 4).

Where an owner resides in relation to the forest property and how many scattered tracts an owner has are important to people who deliver various types of forestry assistance. An estimated 66 percent of the ownership units have owners who live on the tract or have business headquarters within 1 mile of their nearest tract. These units hold 59 percent of the forest land (Table 14). Eighty percent of the ownership units own single tracts; they make up 55 percent of the forest land. Many of the corporate ownerships are included in the 5 percent of the ownership units that have three or more tracts and 26 percent of the forest land (Table 15).

An indication of dispersion is obtained when you combine data on number of tracts with distance from nearest and farthest tract (Table 16). For example: of the 66,400 ownership units with more than one tract, 1,500 units have owners living more than 50 miles from their nearest tract and 5,100 units have owners living more than 50 miles from their farthest tract.


Figure 4.--Distribution of individual ownerships, by year owner first acquired woodland.

Forest land offers multiple goods and services, it is not surprising that landowners express diverse reasons for owning. The owners sampled in this study were asked their primary reasons for owning forest land, which benefits were most important in the last 5 years, and which were expected to be most important in the next 5 years.

About 2 percent of the private forest-land ownership units in Ohio (including forest industry) gave timber production as their primary reason for owning woodland (Tables 17-20, Fig. 5). An additional 3 percent gave timber as their second reason. Together these two groups own 701,400 acres of forest land (11 percent).

Among the benefits received in the last 5 years and expected in the next 5 years, income from the sale of timber was ranked most important by 2 percent of the ownership units. These owners own about 500,000 acres of commercial forest land in Ohio (Table 21-23). It is not suprising that there are fewer acres owned by people receiving income from the sale of timber than owned primarily for timber production because income from the sale of timber occurs only during the period when the stand of timber is mature.

Nearly half the ownership units gave "residence" or "part of the farm" as their primary reason for owning forest land. These people own $2,226,000$ acres or 34 percent of the private forest land in Ohio. They own an average of 14 acres of forest land, and one can see why many of these owners would want any harvesting done to be esthetically pleasing.

An estimated 25,000 ownership units ( 7 percent) gave esthetic enjoyment as their primary reason for owning forest land. An additional 5 percent of the ownership units own their forest land for recreational use. Together these two groups own 15 percent of the private forest land. Esthetic enjoyment was the most frequently mentioned benefit that owners derived over the past 5 years and expect in the next 5 years.
Statewide, 28 percent of the ownership units holding 23 percent of the private forest land listed this benefit as the most important they had recelved. In the next 5 years, 27 percent of the ownership units with 20 percent of the forest land expect esthetic enjoyment to be most important. Recreational use was important to 6 percent of the ownership units and 7 percent expect it to be their most important benefit in the next 5 years.

An estimated 38,700 ownership units ( 12 percent) gave farm or domestic use as their primary reason for owning forest land. A large portion of the 760,300 acres (also 12 percent) in this group are thought of as farm woodlots. These forest lands provide fence posts, firewood, and a substantial portion of the commercial roundwood harvest.

Farm or domestic use as a benefit received in the last 5 years ranked second among ownership units (19 percent) followed by increase in land value ( 16 percent). In area owned by benefit received, land value increase was second (21 percent) with farm and domestic use third (16 percent).


Figure 5.--Distribution of private ownerships, by primary reason for owning forest land.

An estimated 21,900 ownership units ( 6 percent) with 569,500 acres of forest land ( 9 percent) gave land investment as their primary reason for owning forest land. Land values have increased throughout the country. Land investment is often thought of as a hedge against inflation. This helps to explain why more than twice as many ownership units felt that land value increase was their most important benefit as gave it as their reason for owning forest land. It also explains why even more ownership units expect it to be their most important benefit in the next 5 years.

The remaining ownership units gave other reasons for owning forest land or did not answer. Many of the ownership units that gave other reasons were interested in mineral production -primarily coal, oil, and natural gas.

Who Harvests Timber and Why

An estimated 141,700 ownership units (43 percent) have harvested in the past; they own $3,614,000$ acres, 56 percent of the private forest land. The owners who have harvested own an average of 25 acres, as compared to the 15-acre average of the owners who have not harvested in the past. However, the size of ownership unit does influence what products are harvested.

What motivated these owners to cut? An estimated 34,300 ownership units ( 24 percent) who have harvested in the past did so because they felt that their timber was mature. These ownership units have 1,051,100 acres of forest land, 29 percent of the forest land owned by harvesters (Tables 24 and 25, Fig. 6).

Nineteen percent of the harvesters indicated that they cut trees for their own or company
use. Of the 600,100 acres owned by these ownership units, 167,900 acres is owned by forest industries. The remaining owners own an average of 16 acres. Many of these owners are cutting firewood for their own use.

Fourteen percent of the ownership units indicated that they harvested because they needed the money or were offered a good price. Individuals that harvested because they were offered a good price hold an average of 75 acres per owner. Those who harvested because they needed the money own an average of 31 acres of forest land.

The remaining harvesters did so for land clearing, timber salvage, cultural treatment, or for other reasons. Only l percent of the ownership units harvested for the purpose of cultural treatment.

Why Many Owners Have Not Harvested
Fifty-seven percent of the private forest-land ownership units in Ohio have never harvested timber. These ownership units hold 2,890,900 acres, 44 percent of the privately owned commercial forest land. Over half the owners who have not harvested in the past hold more than half of this acreage; they indicate that they may harvest some time in the future (Tables 28-34).

Twenty-nine percent of the forest land held by nonharvesters ( 836,900 acres) was not harvested because the owners believed the timber was immature (Tables 26-27, Fig. 7). Twenty-two percent of all private forest land in the state is held by owners who say they will never harvest timber. Current rates of tenure suggest that some of these will sell their land before the timber matures.


Figure 6.--Distribution of private ownerships who have harvested timber, by reason for harvesting.


Figure 7.--Distribution of private ownerships who have not harvested timber, by reason for not
harvesting.

The next most frequently cited reason for not harvesting was that timber harvesting would ruin the scenery. The ownership units that gave this reason hold 12 percent of the forest land held by nonharvesters, and average 11 acres of forest land per ownership.

Owners who have stands of poor quality timber or low volumes per acre are not likely to find commercial markets for their trees. Ownership units with 10 percent of the forest land owned by nonharvesters felt that their forest land was in this situation.

Lack of a market or low prices were reasons given by landowners holding 5 percent of the nonharvested acreage. Many of these ownerships intend to harvest in the future, perhaps when prices or market conditions improve.

Distrust of loggers, opposition to timber harvesting, or the belief that harvesting would destroy hunting values were reasons given by 11 percent of the nonharvesters. Overall, very few Ohio owners are philosophically opposed to cutting, and the ones that are control a very small percentage of the resource.

How Much Timber Is Available
The answer to the question: "How much timber is available for harvesting from private forest land?" is influenced by how one defines availability and the assumptions from which the estimate is developed. Changes in industrial technology, market conditions, and the general social atmosphere limit the credibility of a long-range estimate.

Two specific questions were asked of sample owners to aid in estimating timber availability:
when they plan to harvest timber and what percentage of their woodland they believed they would never harvest timber from. We assumed that as many ownership units will harvest in the next 10 years as said they would. We divided the ownership units into four groups: forest industry, nonindustrial private forest ownerships (NIPF's) over 500 acres, NIPF's with 50-499 acres, and NIPF's with fewer than 50 acres of forest land.

An estimated 200 forest industry landowners hold 186,300 acres of forest land in Ohio. These owners indicate that 98 percent or 182,600 acres are available for timber harvesting. Current average annual growth for Ohio's forest land is estimated to be $40.28 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ per acre per year (Dennis and Birch 1981). Assuming that this growth is available for harvesting, 7,355,100 $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ of wood will be available for timber products each year from forest industry lands.

Two-thirds of the NIPF's holding more than 500 acres of commercial forest land plan to harvest timber in the next 10 years. These ownership units hold 407,700 acres of forest land and indicate that 92 percent or 376,000 acres are available for timber harvesting. Again, assuming that these owners will on the average harvest annual growth from their forest land, $15,145,300 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ of wood would be available for timber products each year.

We estimate that 5,800 NLPF's holding between 50 and 499 acres plan to harvest during the next 10 years. These owners indicate that 565,300 acres or 89 percent of their forest land is available for timber harvesting. Using the same assumption of growth, $22,770,300 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ of wood would be available for timber products each year.

The remaining 37,200 ownership units that intend to harvest in the next 10 years own fewer than 50 acres of forest land. These ownerships indicate that 372,500 acres or 82 percent of their forest land is available for timber harvesting. That would give an estimate of $15,004,300 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ of wood avallable for timber products each year.

In total, $60,275,000 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ of timber should be made available each year by private owners who plan to harvest timber during the next 10 years. $\mathrm{In}_{3} 1978$, forest industries used 64,873,000 $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ of timber from growing stock in Ohio's forest (Nevel and Redett 1980). Adding the volume that will be made available from public forest land suggests that an adequate volume of timber will be available to Ohio's forest industry if growth remains the same or increases, and if harvesting activities do not increase. This view is reinforced by the 150,400 ownership units holding 3,091,100 acres of commercial forest land who indicate that they may harvest at some time in the future. These ownerships say 84 percent of their land is available, which means that these owners are producing $104,588,000 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ of available timber each year.

## Forest Management on Private Lands

## Harvesting Practices

Half of the landowners who harvested timber chose the area or trees to be harvested (Table 36). These owners control 1,319,800 acres, 37 percent of the privately owned forest land held by harvesters. An additional 9 percent of the owners who harvested chose the trees for harvest with the assistance of a forester or buyer. The average holding for owners who chose the timber themselves was 19 acres. Owners who chose the timber along with the buyer own an average of 33 acres. The average forest ownership for owners who selected the trees with the help of a forester was 112 acres.

An estimated 6,700 ownerships involved a forester in choosing the area or trees to be harvested. These owners hold 17 percent of the land held by harvesters. Where the forester alone selected the timber for harvest, the average holding was 86 acres of forest land.

Seventeen percent of the harvesters allowed the buyer to select the timber to be harvested from their land. These owners own an average of 29 acres of forest land. When the buyer selects the timber to be cut, there may be conflict between the buyer's objective -- obtaining maximum merchantable timber -- and the landowner's objectives. In some cases the buyer's and seller's goals are the same -maximum current value. However, many landowners goals have been compromised because they allowed
someone else to plan the harvest from their land. A professional forester may also compromise the landowner's objectives by emphasizing silvicultural integrity of the harvest, but foresters do strive to understand the landowner's goals and seek their realization.

Diameter-limit cutting, where only trees above a certain diameter are removed, was the method of selection used by 23,200 owners with 920,500 acres of forest land. This method was frequently used when the buyer was involved in the timber selection. This harvest method is easy to administer and allows the landowner to check what was cut without marking individual trees before the harvest.

Selection, where only preselected marked trees are removed, was used by 35,100 ownership units with 764,200 acres. This method is frequently used on small ownerships where the landowner chooses the trees to be harvested. It may be assumed that this method was favored by the many small owners who harvested firewood. When a forester chooses the timber by selection he or she is most likely to be operating on an ownership of fewer than 50 acres of forest land.

Clearcutting was used on 3,800 ownerships with 336,700 acres of forest land. Some large ownerships that employ foresters used this method. The average holding is 562 acres of forest land.

An estimated 53,300 landowners did not know what harvesting method was used or did not answer the question. The remaining owners used a combination of methods or other selection procedures.

## Products Harvested

Sawlogs account for more than half of the volume harvested as industrial roundwood in Ohio (Nevel and Redett 1980). As expected, the product that owners most frequently harvest was sawlogs
(Table 37). Size of ownership seems a direct influence on what products are harvested. The forest industry ownerships had all harvested sawlogs. Among the nonindustrial private land owners, those with more than 500 acres of forest land were most likely to have harvested sawlogs, followed by the 50 to 499-acre owners, and lastly the owners of fewer than 50 acres of forest land.

Pulpwood is the second most important industrial roundwood product in Ohio. Only 5 percent of the owners who harvested timber have harvested pulpwood. The larger nonindustrial owners and the forest industry owners were most likely to have harvested pulpwood. They were also most likely to have harvested veneer logs.

An estimated 55,800 ownership units harvested firewood from their 522,900 acres of forest land. Ninety-seven percent of these owners own fewer than 50 acres of forest land; the average firewood harvester has only 9 acres. Fuelwood is not considered an industrial product. Thes estimated fuelwood harvest was $13,576,000 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ in 1978, but most of this harvest came from non-growing-stock sources. Many of the fuelwood harvesters harvested firewood in conjunction with the harvest of a commercial product.

Nearly one-third of the owners who have harvested, holding 41 percent of the acreage held by harvesters, cut more than one product from their forest land. Other products that were harvested from private forest land in Ohio included posts, poles, piling, cooperage logs, mine timbers, and Christmas trees.

## Forestry Assistance

When asked whom they would contact for forestry assistance, 43 percent of the ownership units said they did not know and another 36 percent did not respond to the question. Together these owners hold 66 percent of the privately owned forest land (Table 38). The larger owners (more than 50 acres of forest land) have made the effort to find out where to obtain information about their forest land and forest management, because a larger percentage know whom to contact.

Eleven percent of the landowners have sought some form of forestry assistance. These owners hold 25 percent of the private forest land (Table 39). Owners who harvested timber were more likely to have sought assistance than those who have not.

An estimated 37,700 ownership units, 11 percent of units with $1,180,900$ acres, said they would contact the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry, for forestry assistance. Of these, 15,700 have sought assistance in the past, (Table 40), these owners have 739,600 acres of forest land. Most of the other owners who have sought assistance in the past would contact the Extension Service, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, or the Soil Conservation Service.

Of the owners who have sought assistance, 13,500 owners holding 618,100 acres received general forest management assistance (Table 41). Other owners received assistance in timber marking, timber stand improvement, tree planting, timber sales administration, timber evaluation, and other forestry services. It is important to note that these data include services received from all sources including forest industries that employ their own foresters.

## Recreation

Two thirds of the private forest-land owners, holding 74 percent of the forest land, permit or participate in some form of recreational use of their land (Table 42). Within this recreational base there are three distinct use categories (Figure 8): (1) The owner, the owner's family or immediate circle of friends use the land for recreation and exclude the general public; (2) The owner, the owner's family, or immediate circle of friends recreate and permit the public to recreate; and (3) The owner, the owner's family or immediate circle of friends do not use the land for recreation but do permit the public to use the land for recreation.


Figure 8.--Distribution of acreage in private ownerships, by availability for recreation.

Recreational use by the owner and the owner's family or immediate circle of friends occurs on the land of 206,200 ownership units with $4,493,700$ acres of forest land (Table 43). Hunting is the most frequent form of recreational use on 40 percent of the ownerships with 53 percent of the forest land. Hiking is important on an estimated 108,800 ownerships with $2,602,700$ acres of forest land. Picnicking, camping, fishing, and snowmobiling were other recreational uses. Fewer than 20 percent of the owners, their familles, or immediate circles of friends use the forest land for these purposes.

An estimated 95,900 ownership units ( 29 percent) with $2,294,600$ acres ( 35 percent) allow public use of their forest land for recreation (Table 44). Hunting is the most frequent recreational use, permitted by 24 percent of the owners' with 31 percent of the forest land. Hiking is permitted by 10 percent of the landowners holding 17 percent of the forest land. The remaining recreational uses were permitted by fewer than 10 percent of the landowners. Many landowners are apprehensive about permitting picnicking and camping because of possible site degradation..

The amount of forest land avallable for recreation varies considerably between the different regions in Ohio (Table 45). In general, the more heavily forested Hill Country region has a higher percentage of the forest land available than the more sparsely forested Glaciated region. The forest-land ownerships with more than 500 acres of forest land permit the public to use their land for recreation most frequently (Table 46). Most of the land in these larger ownerships is in the Hill Country region; this is partially responsible for the greater availability of recreation there.

Since hunting was the most frequent recreational use of forest land by the owner, and the use most frequently permitted the public, hunting was allowed by 47 percent of the owners with 59 percent of the forest land (Figure 9). An additional 20 percent of the owners with 17 percent of the land did not respond to the questions. Having forest land unavailable for hunting limits the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife, in its management of the wildife resource.

An estimated 48 percent of the ownership units do not permit public use on their $2,928,900$ acres. Only 46 percent of the ownership units that do not allow public use post their land (Table 48). Conversely, the owners of 584,200 acres of forest land post their land but allow some form of public use. The percentage of ownerships that post their land varies considerably from forest survey unit to survey unit but the percentage of forest land posted is fairly uniform between units (Table 49). Ohio law requires written permission for recreational use of private lands, therefore, posting is not required to limit access.

Why do landowners post their land? Many owners post their land to limit or control public access, but that does not necessarily mean that the land is unavailable, as evidenced by the 3,500 ownerships who post and allow some public use of their lands.

An estimated 17,400 ownership units post their land to control hunting. These owners control 405,700 acres of forest land. Other owners post their land to prevent abuse of their property, for safety reasons, or to protect themselves against liability. Landowners that post to protect themselves against liability own larger acreages than owners who post for other reasons.


Figure 9.--Distribution of acreage in private ownerships, by availability for hunting.

The group of owners who seem to post heavily are the owners of 50 to 500 acres of forest land (Table 50). Owners of fewer than 50 acres are probably able to control their land without posting. Many owners with more than 500 acres may find controlling access difficult even with posting.

Conclusion
The nonindustrial private forest-land owners usually hold forest lands for reasons other than timber production. Many are turning to their forest land as a source of income and fuelwood. The harvesting of fuelwood may possibly impinge on the supplies of timber available to the forest industries, but this concern is minimized because much more timber is being produced on land controlled by owners who have expressed an interest in timber harvesting than the industry is using.

Forest owners need information on how to achieve their primary objectives for owning forest land. Proper management will optimize production of the products needed by society. The existing delivery system is supplying many owners with the information they need to achieve the spectrum of benefits that forestry has to offer, but many forest-land owners are not seeking or are not being reached by professional foresters.
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## Appendix

Study Method

The sampling scheme used in this study was derived from the sampling design used in the forest survey by the Northeastern Station. Resources Evaluation field crews attempted to obtain the correct name and mailing address of the owner of each of the 1,551 privately owned forested field plots in the state. These plots are uniformly distributed within each survey unit. The field crews obtained usable addresses of owners for more than 90 percent of the field
plots. A total 1,374 questionnaires were sent to owners of commercial forest land in Ohio; 1,054 (77 percent) were returned with usable information.

The questionnaire was developed from several earlier ownership studies and has been revised as the study has progressed through the Northeastern States. The mailing consisted of the questionnaire and a cover letter that explained the purpose of the survey.
Approximately 2 weeks after the first mailing, a postcard was sent to each addressee to remind those who had not responded to return the questionnaire, and to thank those who had returned theirs.

One week later, nonrespondents were mailed a second copy of the questionnaire and the cover letter plus a second letter urging their cooperation. Approximately 1 month later, 706 owners had responded. Then a 100 -percent field canvass of nonrespondents was undertaken by personnel of the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry. This produced an additional 348 usable questionnaires. The 1,054 questionnaires represent 1,125 of the privately owned forested field plots or 73 percent.

The probability that a forest-land owner will be sampled depends on the rate of sampling and the acreage of commercial forest land he owns. Each unit in Ohio had a different rate of sampling. There were also different rates of success in persuading nonrespondents to reply. Both the survey rate of sampling and the success rate of the follow-up affect the probability that an owner will be included in the final tabulation.

The total acreage of commercial forest land in private ownerships was obtained from the forest survey. To calculate the area represented by each plot, the total area of privately owned commercial forest land in each unit was divided by the number of field plots represented by the valid questlonnaires.

Since the sampling scheme is essentially the one used for the forest survey, there is a low probability of inclusion for owners of small parcels of forest land. To estimate the total number of persons who own commercial forest land in Ohio, it was necessary to weight the number of owners obtained in the samples. This procedure can be stated as:

$$
N=\frac{C F L p}{N r} \sum \frac{1}{A_{1}}
$$

$N=$ estimated number of private owners in the sampling strata.
CFLp $=$ the acres of commercial forest land in the sampling strata.
$\mathrm{Nr}=$ number of respondents in the sampling strata.
$A_{1}=$ acres owned by the individual respondents.

The $\varepsilon N$ then equals the estimated number of private owners in the state. This is an unbiased estimate of the total number of persons who own commercial forest land in Ohio.

The data were edited, processed and compiled by computer using FINSYS-2, a generalized computer system (Barnard 1978).

This study encompasses six sampling units. The following tabulation shows the pertinent data for each unit.

| Unit | No. of | No. of | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | usable | survey | acreage |
|  | question- | plots | per plot |
|  | naires |  |  |
| South-Central | 226 | 244 | 6,830 |
| Southeastern | 199 | 225 | 5,008 |
| East-Central | 239 | 265 | 6,047 |
| Northeastern | 207 | 207 | 5,805 |
| Southwestern | 73 | 73 | 6,373 |
| Northwestern | 110 | 111 | 6,181 |
| All units | 1,054 | 1,125 | 5,782 |

## Data Accuracy and Reliability

It is important to know the variation associated with estimates contained in this report. Since not every acre and every owner in the state was sampled, the data are estimates. When judging the effectiveness of the estimating procedures we are concerned with two important criteria: first, how accurate is the estimate; and second, how precise or reliable is the estimate. Accuracy is the correspondence between the sample result and the result from a complete count or census using essentially the same definitions and procedure. Reliability is the precision of the statistical estimates. We are chiefly interested in the accuracy of the sample but in most instances we can only measure reliability.

To check the accuracy of the data we had this report reviewed by outside experts. The response level obtained in the study is an attempt to assure accuracy by minimizing nonresponse bias. Beyond the search for accuracy, the reliability of the estimate is given by its sampling error. Sampling errors were calculated for the estimated number of forest-land owners in each cell of the tables. Sampling errors appear in the tables for the most important categories. The sampling error for the number of acres of commercial forest land in private ownership was calculated as part of the forest survey.

Sampling errors provide a means of evaluating survey results; the smaller the sampling error the greater the reliability of the estimate. A
statistic with a sampling error of 10 percent is more reliable than one with a sampling error of 20 percent. If an item has a sampling error of 10 percent, chances are 2 out of 3 that an interval constructed to represent a range of 90 to 110 percent of the survey value would contain the true proportion value.

Since the ownership survey was conducted using a land area sample, the estimates of area have smaller sampling errors than the estimates of numbers of owners. Estimates for the state as a whole are more reliable (have the smallest sampling errors); followed by the survey unit estimates.

The inclusion of small for'est parcels (fewer than 10 acres) in the study population substantially increases the sampling error for the estimated number of owners.

The sampling errors (in percent) are:

|  | Private | Owners | Owners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | commercial | of private | holding |
| Unit | forest | commercial | 10 or more |
|  | land | forest land | acres |


| South-Central | 1.5 | 16.9 | 7.9 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Southeastern | 2.2 | 19.0 | 7.8 |
| East-Centra1 | 2.1 | 14.5 | 7.2 |
| Northeastern | 2.9 | 13.5 | 6.6 |
| Southwestern | 5.6 | 14.0 | 11.3 |
| Northwestern | 4.7 | 10.0 | 7.8 |
| $\quad$ Total | 1.1 | 6.5 | 3.2 |

## Definition of Terms

Average annual net growth of growing stock. The change (resulting from natural causes) in volume of sound wood in sawtimber and poletimber trees during the period between surveys, divided by the length of the period. Components of annual net growth of growing stock include the increment in net volume of trees present at the beginning of the period minus cull increment (the net volume of trees that became rough or rotten during the period).

Board foot. A unit of lumber measurement 1 foot long, 1 foot wide, and 1 inch thick or its equivalent. By Forest Inventory and Analysis convention, softwoods less than 9.0 inches in dbh and hardwoods less than 11.0 inches in dbh do not contain board-foot volume.

Clearcutting. The method of regenerating timber in which the area is cut clear in the iiteral sense of the word; virtually all the trees, large and small, are removed. The term is often erroneously applied to any type of cutting in which all the merchantable timber is removed.

Commercial forest land. Forest land that is producing or capable of producing crops of industrial wood (more than $20 \mathrm{ft}^{3} /$ acre/year) and that is not withdrawn from timber utilization. (Industrial wood is all roundwood products except fuelwood.)

Diameter limit. The method of regenerating timber in which all trees above a specified diameter are removed.

Forest industries. Companies or individuals operating wood-using plants.

Forest land. Land that is at least 16.7 percent stocked (contains at least $7.5 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ per acre of basal area) by forest trees of any size, or that formerly had such tree cover and is not currently developed for nonforest use. (Forest trees are woody plants that have a well-developed stem and usually are more than 12 feet in height at maturity.) The minimum area for classification of forest land is 1 acre.

Growing-stock trees. Live trees of commercial species that are classified as sawtimber, poletimber, saplings, and seedlings; that is, all live trees of commercial species except rough and rotten trees.
Growing-stock volume. Net volume, in $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$, of growing-stock trees that are 5.0 inches in dbh or larger, from a 1 -foot stump to a minimum 4.0-inch top diameter outside bark of the central stem.

Hardwoods. Dicotyledonous trees, usually broad-leaved and deciduous.

Private commercial forest land. All commercial forest land other than that owned by federal, state, or local governments or their agencies.

Pulpwood. Any $\log$ from which woodpulp is to be made; usually measured in bolts of 4,5 , or 8 feet, and somewhat smaller in diameter than sawlogs or veneer logs.

Sawtimber trees. Live trees of commercial species that are (a) at least 9.0 inches in dbh for softwoods or 11.0 inches for hardwoods, and (b) that contain at least one 12-foot or two noncontiguous 8 -foot merchantable sawlogs, and that meet regional specifications for freedom from defect.

Sawtimber volume. Net volume in board feet, International 1/4-inch rule, of merchantable sawlogs in live sawtimber trees. Net volume equals gross volume less deductions for rot, sweep, and other defects that affect use for lumber.

Selection system. The method of regenerating timber in which trees of all sizes are harvested. However, in practice, frequently only the oldest or largest trees in a stand are harvested. Trees are taken singly or in small groups, but the entire stand is never cleared completely in a single operation.

Softwoods. Coniferous trees, usually evergreen, with needles or scalelike leaves.

Stand. A growth of trees on forest land.
Timber removals. The volume of growing-stock or sawtimber trees harvested or killed in logging or in cultural operations such as timber stand improvement, land clearing, or changes in land use.

Timber salvage. Removals of down, damaged, or diseased trees.

Veneer log. Any log from which veneer is to be made, by peeling (rotary cutting) or slicing.

## NORTHEASTERN WOODLAND OWNERSHIP STUDY

State $\qquad$

County $\qquad$
Plot $\qquad$
Please complete the following question to the best of your knowledge. Where actual data are not available please use your best estimate. Please be assured your answers will be held strictly confidential. If you do not now own woodland, please answer questions 1 and 2 and return the questionnaire.

1. How much land do you now own? (Include woodlands, pasture, cropland, etc., but exclude individual house lots.)

Acres
2. Of all of the land you own how much is woodland? Acres $\qquad$ or percent $\qquad$ .
3. Is all of the woodland you own in one state?

4. How many individual tracts or parcels of woodland do you own? Number $\qquad$
5. In what year did you first acquire woodland?

Year
6. How did you acquire the major portion of the woodland you now own?

| Purchase | 1. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Inheritance | 2. |
| Other | 3. |

7. In which one of the following ownership categories does the major portion of your woodland holdings fall? (Please check only one.)

## Check one

Individual (include husband and wife)
.
Joint ownership
Undivided estate
Partnership
Corporation
Club or association
Other
8. If the ownership is a partnership, corporation, club, or association, what is the nature of the business or organization? Or, if woodland is part of an active farm, write in the word "farm" in the space below.

Please indicate the title of the person completing this questionnaire.
9. What is the approximate road mileage from your home to your nearest and furthest tract of woodland? (For businesses or organizations consider "home" to mean place of business, or location of headquarters of the organization.)

Miles to the nearest tract (enter zero if you live on the tract).

Miles to the furthest tract.
10. How many times have you or your representative visited your nearest and furthest tract of woodland in the last 12 months?

```
Number of visits to the nearest tract
Number of visits to the furthest tract
```

11. Have you ever harvested timber or cleared trees from your land?
Yes 1. No. 2.

NOTE: IF YOU HAVE NEVER HARVESTED TIMBER OR CLEARED TREES FROM YOUR WOODLAND SKIP TO QUESTION 19 PAGE 5
12. In what year did the most recent timber harvest take place?
13. What percent of your woodland was involved in the most recent timber harvest?
14. What products were harvested? (Check as many as apply.)

## Check


15. Please indicate amounts of products harvested.
Product
Amount
Unit of measure
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
16. Who selected the area or trees to be harvested?

Check one

1. Landowner
2. Forester
3. Friend or neighbor
4. Timber buyer or logger
5. Combination of $\qquad$ and $\qquad$
$\qquad$
6. How were your trees selected for harvesting?

Check one
Selection (only preselected marked trees were removed).

1. $\qquad$
Diameter limit (only trees over a minimum diameter were removed).

Please indicate minimum diameter
2. $\qquad$
Please indicate mininu diameter _____
Clearcutting (most or all of the trees on a given area were removed).
3. $\qquad$
Land clearing (trees were harvested incidental to clearing the land for a use other than woodland).
4. $\qquad$
Other (please specify)
5. $\qquad$
Combination of $\qquad$ and $\qquad$ 6. $\qquad$

Don't know method used.
7. $\qquad$
18. Why did you harvest timber at the time that you did? (Check only the one reason you consider most important.)

Check one
Felt timber was mature
Offered a good price
Land clearing
Needed money
Needed timber for own use
Timber harvest for company use
(industry only)
Timber salvage
Cultural treatment
Other
1.
2.
3.
4.
4.
5. $\qquad$
6.

Timber salvage
7.
8.
9. $\qquad$
19. If you have never harvested timber or trees from your land, why not? (Please check only the reason you consider most importantl.

## Check one

Woodland immature - timber too small
No market for timber
Price offered or prevailing market price too low
Value of land for hunting would be destroyed
Selling or plan to sell the land
Scenery would be destroyed
Land tied up in estate
Distrust of loggers
Opposed to timber harvesting
Poor quality timber
Not enough volume
Logging would create a fire hazard Insufficient area to harvest Other (please specify)
1.
2. $\qquad$
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14. $\qquad$
20. Do you plan to harvest timber from your woodland in:

Check one
Next 5 years
1.

5 to 10 years
Possibly at some future date Never plan to harvest
2.
3.
4.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
21. What percent, if any, of your woodland do you feel you would never harvest timber from?
22. Have you ever sought the assistance of a forester for advice or help in managing you woodland?

Yes $\qquad$ Please indicate the nature of assistance $\qquad$

No. $\qquad$
23. What office, agency, or individual would you contact for forestry assistance? (If you don't know, please write in "don't know.")
24. Why do you own woodland? (Please rank in order of importance those items that are applicable, with number 1 the most important.)

Rank
Land investment (hope to sell all or part of my woodland at a profit).
Recreation (hunting, camping, fishing, etc.).
Timber production (growing timber or other forest products for sale).
Farm or domestic use (source of forest products for own use, i.e., firewood, fence posts, etc.).
Esthetic enjoyment (the desire to have woodland and "green space" around my home).
Part of the farm (the woodland is part of the farm but serves no useful function in the farm operation).
Part of my residence.
Other (please specify) $\qquad$
25. Which of the following do you feel were the most important benefits you derived from your woodland in the last 5 years? (Please rank in order or importance those items that are applicable, with number 1 the most important.)

Rank
Increase in land value (investment).
Recreation (hunting, fishing, camping, etc.). Income from the sale of timber.
Esthetic enjoyment (just enjoy woodland, wildife, and the general satisfaction of owning "green space").
Farm and domestic use. Other (please specify) $\qquad$ -
26. Which of the following do you feel will be the most important benefits you expect to derive from your woodland in the next 5 years? (Please rank in order of importance those items that are applicable, with number 1 the most important.)

Rank
Increase in land value (investment). Recreation (hunting, camping, etc.).
Income from sale of timber.
Esthetic enjoyment (just enjoy woodland, wildife, and the general satisfaction of owning "green space").
Farm or domestic use.
27. Do you, your family, or immediate circle of friends use your woodland for any of the following?

Check

| Hiking | 1. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Picnicking | 2. |
| Camping | 3. |
| Fishing (check only if fishing is available) | 4. |
| Hunting | 5. |
| Snowmobiling | 6. |
| Other (pleas specify) | 7. |

28. Is the general public, other than your family and immediate circle of friends, permitted to use your woodland for any of the following?

Check
Public use not permitted
Public use permitted:
Hiking
Picnicking
Camping
Fishing (check only if fishing is available)
Hunting
Snowmobiling
Other (please specify)
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
29. Is your land posted?

No $\qquad$ , go to next question.

Yes $\qquad$ , why is it posted?
30. Have you been approached to sell all or part of your woodland in the last five years?

| Timber only | 1. Yes - No. | 2. No. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Land only | 3. Yes - No. |  |
| Land and timber | 5. Yes | 4. No. |

The following questions are asked to classify responses on the basis of information about the owner personally. Again, we would remind you that the answers to these questions, and to any other questions on this questionnaire are strictly confidential. All answers will be compiled in such a manner that it will be impossible to identify any individual reply.

These questions do not pertain to and should not be answered by corporations and organizations.
31. Are you an active member of any of the following organizations? (Please check those you are a member of.)

Check

1. | Arerican Forestry Association |
| :--- |
| Ohio Forestry Association |
| 3. | Pennsylvania Forestry Association
2. Do you or any member of your household subscribe to any of the following magazines? (Please check those that apply.l

Check

```
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
``` \(\qquad\)
``` - Pennsylvania Forests
8.
``` \(\qquad\)
``` Audubon Magazine
9.
``` \(\qquad\)
``` National Parks and Recreation
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
8.
``` \(\qquad\)
``` - Forests and People
18.
``` \(\qquad\)
``` Ohio Farmer
19.
``` \(\qquad\)
``` The Conservationist
20.
21.
``` \(\qquad\)
``` Progressive Farmer Any other magazine similar to those listed above (Please specify)
```

22. $\qquad$ No, I don't subscribe to any of the above magazines.
23. Please indicate, by circling the letter, whether you agree or disagree with each of the following statements made by other forest landowners. If you feel you don't know or have no opinion on the statement, please circle letter "E", the "don't know" column.

a. Conservation means that natural resources should be used wisely.
b. Like any crop the forest needs to be given attention. It needs to be cut when ready and to be given care when needed.
c. Just thinking about our woodland and having it there is nice.
d. Holding on to our forests will become more and more difficult with each succeeding generation.
e. I like the beauty of the forest land the most: we must preserve, not damage it.
f. I can't afford to buy forest land just for hunting and recreation purposes. I need to get more out of it than that.
g. I purchased my forest land primarily for investment to leave it alone and sell later.
h. I suppose I should harvest mature trees; I'd prefer to get the bad ones out, and perhaps cut some posts and firewood.
i. I'd only sell timber if it would definitely improve the forest for wildlife.
j. The country is being denuded; one must do something to preserve the forest land.
$k$. The biggest management problem is too much conservation.
24. I'm not interested in the least in management for wood production.
m. It is reassuring to know that there is money in my forest in the form of trees in case of emergency.
n. Wildife isn't really a major factor in the increase or decrease of land value.
o. This forest land is more of a liability; it's not. worth keeping in it's present state.
p. Taking out trees destroys the beauty of the forest land.

If the woodland is owned by more than one person, the following questions should be answered for the person to whom the questionnaire is addressed
34. During the first 12 years of the owner's life where did he live most of the time?

Check one

In a city with a population of 100,000 or more
In a city with a population of 10,000 to 99,999

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
In a town or city with a population of less that 10,000
3. 

In a rural area
on a farm
4.
5. $\qquad$
35. What is the sex of the owner?

Male $\qquad$ Female $\qquad$
36. What is the age of the owner?

Check one
Under 25
25-44.
45-64
65 and over

1. $\qquad$
2. 
3. 
4. $\qquad$
5. How many years of formal education has the owner completed?

Check one

| Grades $1-8$ | 1. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grades $9-12$ | 2. |
| Has some schooling beyond high school |  |
| $\quad$ (business technical school, or some college) | 3. |
| Has a bachelor's degree or equivalent | 4. |
| Has some graduate work | 5. |
| Holds a master's degree | 6. |
| Holds a doctoral degree | 7. |

38. What is the primary occupation of the owner? $\qquad$
39. In which category would the owner's personal gross income from all sources fall?

Check one

Less than $\$ 10,000$
$\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$
\$15,000 to \$19,999
\$20,000 to \$24,999
\$25,000 to \$29,999
$\$ 30,000$ or more
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
40. Comments?
Table No. ..... Yage

1. Estimated number of private ownershipunits and acres of commercial forest landowned by size class and geographic unit,Ohio, 197930
2. Estimated number of private ownershipunits and acres of commercial forest landowned, by expected time of future harvest,Ohio, 197931
3. Estimated number of private ownershipunits and acres of commercial forest landowned, by form of ownership, percent ofharvesters, percent of acres owned byharvesters, and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979.32
4. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of comercial forest land owned, by size class and form of ownership, Ohio, 197933
5. Estimated number of business ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by incorporated and unincorporated businesses, Ohio, 197934
6. Estimated number of individual ownersand acres of commercial forest land owned byoccupation and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979.. 35
7. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest, and occupation, Ohio 1979......................... 37
8. Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned, by age class and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979........ 38
9. Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned, by years of formal education, Ohio, 1979........ 39
10. Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned, by annual income class and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979
11. Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned, by early life environment, and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979
12. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by date of acquisition and form of ownership, Ohio, 197942
13. Estimated number of private ownership
units and acres of commercial forest land
owned, by date of acquisition and geographic
unit, Ohio, 1979 ..... 43

Table No. Page
14. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by distance from residence to nearest tract and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979........ 45
15. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by number of tracts and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979
16. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by distance from tracts, Ohio, 1979... 48
17. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary reason for owning, for harvesters and nonharvesters, Ohio, 1979..... 49
18. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary and secondary reason for owning, Ohio, 1979 50
19. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary reason for owning and expected time of future harvest, Ohio, 1979..51
20. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary reason for owning and geographic unit, Ohio, 197952
21. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary benefit derived in the last 5 years and primary benefit expected in the next 5 years, for harvesters and nonharvesters, Ohio, 1979 .54
22. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned by primary benefit expected in the next 5 years and expected time of future harvest, Ohio, 1979.55
23. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned by primary benefit expected in the next 5 years and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979...... 56
24. Estimated number of private ownership units who have harvested timber and acres of commercial forest land owned, by reason for harvesting and form of ownership, Ohio, 1979..................................................... 58
25. Estimated number of private ownership units who have harvested timber and acres of commercial forest land owned, by reason for harvesting and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979.
26. Estimated number of private ownership units who have not harvested timber and acres of commercial forest land owned, by reason for not harvesting and form of ownership, Ohio, 1979
27. Estimated number of private ownership units who have not harvested timber and acres of commercial forest land owned, by reason for not harvesting and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979
28. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Ohio, 197964
29. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, South-Central Unit, Ohio, 197965
30. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Southeastern Unit, Ohio, 197966
31. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial fcrest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters,
East-Central Unit, Ohio, 197967
32. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Northeastern Unit, Ohio, 197968
33. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Southwestern Unit, Ohio, 197969
34. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Northwestern Unit, Ohio, 197970
35. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by size class and expected time of future harvest, Ohio, 1979
36. Estimated number of private ownership units who have harvested timber and acres of commercial forest land owned, by method of selecting timber and individual selecting timber, Ohio, 197972
37. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by timber product harvested, forest industry, and private land owners (size class ownership), Ohio, 1979.................... 7
38. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by agency that owners would contact for forestry assistance, and size class of ownership, Ohio, 197974
39. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by harvesters and nonharvesters, and decision to seek assistance, Ohio, 1979....... 75
40. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by agency that owners would contact for forestry assistance, and decision to seek assistance, Ohio, 197976
41. Estimated number of private ownership units who have received forestry assistance and acres of commercial forest land owned, by type of assistance, Ohio, 197977
42. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by availability for recreation, Ohio, 197977
43. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by type of recreational use, by the owner, the owner's family, or immediate circle of friends, Ohio, 1979 .78
44. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by type of public use permitted, Ohio, 197978
45. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by availability for recreational use and geographic unit, Ohio, 197979
46. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by availability for recreational use and size class, Ohio, 197981
47. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by availability for hunting, Ohio, 197981
48. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by reason for posting and use permitted, Ohio, 197982
49. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by whether land is posted and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979.83
50. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by size class and whether land is posted, Ohio, 197984

Table 1.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned by size class and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979

| Size class | South-Central |  | Southeastern |  | East-Central |  | Northeastern |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-9 | 55,300 | 69 | 15,600 | 47 | 25,200 | 44 | 55,100 | 62 |
| 10-19 | 8,400 | 10 | 3,700 | 11 | 11,600 | 20 | 14,700 | 17 |
| 20-49 | 9,600 | 12 | 8,700 | 26 | 12,500 | 22 | 14,200 | 16 |
| 50-99 | 3,800 | 5 | 3,200 | 10 | 6,000 | 10 | 3,100 | 4 |
| 100-199 | 2,200 | 3 | 1,500 | 5 | 1,600 | 3 | 1,000 | 1 |
| 200-499 | 500 | 1 | 400 | 1 | 700 | 1 | 200 | W |
| $500+$ | 100 | W | 100 | W | 100 | W | W | W |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 33,200 | 100 | 57,700 | 100 | 88,300 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-9 | 129,000 | 9 | 51,700 | 5 | 89,600 | 6 | 188,400 | 16 |
| 10-19 | 111,400 | 8 | 46,600 | 4 | 140,800 | 9 | 188,400 | 16 |
| 20-49 | 287,200 | 20 | 274,100 | 24 | 390,300 | 24 | 405,700 | 34 |
| 50-99 | 252,000 | 18 | 212,000 | 19 | - 364,800 | 23 | 194,300 | 16 |
| 100-199 | 275,500 | 19 | 201,700 | 18 | 211,200 | 13 | 125,700 | 10 |
| 200-499 | 140,600 | 10 | 118,900 | 10 | 172,800 | 11 | 51,600 | 4 |
| $500+$ | 226,900 | 16 | 221,800 | 20 | 233,000 | 14 | 47,500 | 4 |
| Total | 1,422,600 | 100 | 1,126,800 | 100 | 1,602,500 | 100 | 1,201,600 | 100 |

Table 1.-continued

| Size class | Southwestern |  | Northwestern |  | Total |  | Sampling error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-9 | 16,200 | 52 | 16,500 | 39 | 183,900 | 55 | 12 |
| 10-19 | 8,300 | 26 | 13,300 | 32 | 60,000 | 18 | 8 |
| 20-49 | 5,100 | 16 | 10,500 | 25 | 60,600 | 18 | 5 |
| 50-99 | 1,400 | 5 | 1,500 | 4 | 19,000 | 6 | 6 |
| 100-199 | 400 | 1 | 100 | W | 6,800 | 2 | 8 |
| 200-499 | 100 | W | 100 | W | 2,000 | 1 | 10 |
| $500+$ | - | - | W | W | 300 | W | 14 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 42,000 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 | 6.5 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-9 | 70,100 | 15 | 81,100 | 12 | 609,900 | 9 |  |
| 10-19 | 95,600 | 21 | 156,000 | 23 | 738,800 | 11 |  |
| 20-49 | - 140,200 | 30 | 305,600 | 44 | 1,803,100 | 28 |  |
| 50-99 | 89,300 | 19 | 93,500 | 13 | 1,205,900 | 19 |  |
| 100-199 | 51,000 | 11 | 18,700 | 3 | 883,800 | 14 |  |
| 200-499 | 19,100 | 4 | 18,700 | 3 | 521,700 | 8 |  |
| $500+$ | - | - | 12,500 | 2 | 741,700 | 11 |  |
| Total | 465,300 | 100 | 686,100 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |  |

W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

Table 2.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest, Ohio, 1979

| Ownership class | 1 - 10 years | Indefinite | Never | No answer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forest industry | 100 | 100 | - | - | 200 |
| Farmer | 28,700 | 56,700 | 49,300 | 11,200 | 145,900 |
| Miscellaneous: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual | 12,600 | 88,700 | 67,800 | 3,900 | 173,000 |
| Corporate | 1,600 | 900 | 1,000 | 200 | 3,700 |
| Other | 400 | 4,000 | 5,000 | 400 | 9,800 |
| Total private | 43,400 | 150,400 | 123,100 | 15,700 | 332,600 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forest industry | 167,900 | 18,400 | - - | 132, | 186,300 |
| Farmer | 641,200 | 1,518,500 | 596,900 | 132,300 | 2,888,900 |
| Miscellaneous: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual | 451,800 | 1,354,600 | 706,400 | 97,600 | 2,610,400 |
| Corporate | 342,600 | 113,300 | 62,100 | 11,400 | 529,400 |
| Other | 62,600 | 104,700 | 90,200 | 32,400 | 289,900 |
| Total private | 1,666,100 | 3,109,500 | 1,455,600 | 273,700 | 6,504,900 |

Table 3.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by form of ownership, percent of harvesters, percent of acres owned by harvesters, and geographic unit, ohio, 1979

| Form of ownership | All private owners |  | Acres owned |  | Harvesters | Acres owned by harvesters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Percent | Percent |
|  |  |  | SOUTH CEN |  |  |  |
| Individual | 76,000 | 95 | 1,138,600 | 80 | 35 | 45 |
| Corporation | 500 | 1 | 168,500 | 12 | W | 11 |
| Other | 3,400 | 4 | 115,500 | 8 | 1 | 5 |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 1,422,600 | 100 | 36 | 61 |
|  |  |  | SOUTHEAST |  |  |  |
| Individual | 24,600 | 74 | 817,100 | 73 | 26 | 36 |
| Corporation | 500 | 2 | 183,700 | 16 | 1 | 14 |
| Other | 8,100 | 24 | 126,000 | 11 | 24 | 8 |
| Total | 33,200 | 100 | 1,126,800 | 100 | 51 | 58 |
|  |  |  | EAST-CENT |  |  |  |
| Individual | 54,600 | 95 | 1,235,100 | 77 | 39 | 40 |
| Corporation | 700 | 1 | 239,400 | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| Other | 2,400 | 4 | 128,000 | 8 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 57,700 | 100 | 1,602,500 | 100 | 41 | 56 |
|  |  |  | NORTHEAST |  |  |  |
|  |  | 92 | 942,800 | 78 | 42 | 37 |
| Corporation | 1,100 | 1 | 116,100 | 10 | W | 6 |
| Other | 6,200 | 7 | 142,700 | 12 | 1 | 5 |
| Total | 88,300 | 100 | 1,201,600 | 100 | 43 | 48 |
|  |  |  | SOUTHWEST |  |  |  |
| Individual | 29,400 | 93 | 420,700 | 90 | 38 | 47 |
| Corporation | 1,400 | 5 | 31,900 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Other | 700 | 2 | 12,700 | 3 | - | - |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 465,300 | 100 | 42 | 52 |
|  |  |  | NORTHWEST |  |  |  |
| Individual | 39,600 | 94 | 605,100 | 88 | 48 | 50 |
| Corporation | 300 | 1 | 24,900 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Other | 2,100 | 5 | 56,100 | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| Total | 42,000 | 100 | 686,100 | 100 | 52 | 55 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |
| Individual | 305,200 | 92 | 5,159,400 | 79 | 38 | 41 |
| Corporation | 4,500 | 1 | 764,500 | 12 | 1 | 10 |
| Other | 22,900 | 7 | 581,000 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 | 43 | 56 |

W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

| Size class (acres) | Individual |  | Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-9 | 169,800 | 56 | 14,100 | 51 | 183,900 | 55 |
| 10-19 | 57,100 | 19 | 2,900 | 11 | 60,000 | 18 |
| 20-49 | 54,800 | 18 | 5,800 | 21 | 60,600 | 18 |
| 50-99 | 16,400 | 5 | 2,600 | 9 | 19,000 | 6 |
| 100-199 | 5,500 | 2 | 1,300 | 5 | 6,800 | 2 |
| 200-499 | 1,500 | W | 500 | 2 | 2,000 | 1 |
| $500+$ | 100 | W | 200 | 1 | 300 | W |
| Total | 305,200 | 100 | 27,400 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-9 | 558,800 | 11 | 51,100 | 4 | 609,900 | 9 |
| 10-19 | 702,300 | 14 | 36,500 | 3 | 738,800 | 11 |
| 20-49 | 1,626,600 | 31 | 176,500 | 13 | 1,803,100 | 28 |
| 50-99 | 1,044,600 | 20 | 161,300 | 12 | 1,205,900 | 19 |
| 100-199 | 708,900 | 14 | 174,900 | 13 | 883,800 | 14 |
| 200-499 | 379,000 | 7 | 142,700 | 10 | 521,700 | 8 |
| $500+$ | 139,200 | 3 | 602,500 | 45 | 741,700 | 11 |
| Total | 5,159,400 | 100 | 1,345,500 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

$W$-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.
Table 5.-Estimated number of business ownership units and acres of commercial forest
land owned, by incorporated and unincorporated businesses, Ohio, 1979

| Nature of business | Incorporated |  |  |  | Unincorporated |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Acres | Percent | Number | Percent | Acres | Percent |
| Real estate | 1,400 | 31 | 69,400 | 9 | 400 | W | 29,600 | 1 |
| Forest industry | W | W | 151,200 | 20 | 200 | W | 35,100 | 1 |
| Other industry | 1,200 | 27 | 308,900 | 40 | 100 | W | 26,800 | W |
| Nonindustrial business | 400 | 9 | 54,800 | 7 | 100 | W | 5,700 | W |
| Farm | 800 | 18 | 83,900 | 11 | 145,100 | 44 | 2,805,000 | 49 |
| Sport/recreation club | 100 | 2 | 5,700 | 1 | W | W | 23,100 | W |
| Public utility | W | W | 85,000 | 11 | - |  | - |  |
| Other | 600 | 13 | 5,600 | 1 | 182,200 | 56 | 2,815,100 | 49 |
| Total | 4,500 | 100 | 764,500 | 100 | 328,100 | 100 | 5,740,400 | 100 |

[^1]Table 6.--Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned by occupation and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979

| Occupation | South-Central |  | Southeastern |  | East-Central |  | Northeastern |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional | 5,000 | 7 | 700 | 3 | 3,300 | 6 | 4,500 | 5 |
| Executive | 900 | 1 | 1,700 | 7 | 9,000 | 16 | 2,900 | 4 |
| Retired | 27,100 | 36 | 8,200 | 33 | 8,600 | 16 | 10,500 | 13 |
| White collar | 6,800 | 9 | 900 | 4 | 2,900 | 5 | 4,200 | 5 |
| Skilled laborer | 4,500 | 6 | 1,200 | 5 | 2,100 | 4 | 5,900 | 7 |
| Unskilled laborer | 1,800 | 2 | 3,800 | 15 | 6,900 | 13 | 4,800 | 6 |
| Housewife | 1,400 | 2 | 400 | 2 | 500 | 1 | 7,300 | 9 |
| Farmer | 6,500 | 8 | 2,000 | 8 | 3,900 | 7 | 15,100 | 19 |
| Other | 100 | W | 200 | 1 | - |  | - |  |
| No Answer | 21,900 | 29 | 5,500 | 22 | 17,400 | 32 | 25,800 | 32 |
| Total | 76,000 | 100 | 24,600 | 100 | 54,600 | 100 | 81,000 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional | 117,000 |  | 62,000 | 7 | 114,900 | 9 | 62,600 |  |
| Executive | 66,000 | 6 | 46,400 | 6 | 89,400 | 7 | 74,000 | 8 |
| Retired | 280,700 | 25 | 201,300 | 24 | 229,900 | 19 | 142,300 | 15 |
| White collar | 99,400 | 9 | 41,300 | 5 | 63,900 | 5 | 56,900 | 6 |
| Skilled laborer | 81,900 | 7 | 67,100 | 8 | 63,900 | 5 | 62,600 | 7 |
| Unskilled laborer | 70,200 | 6 | 103,200 | 13 | 140,500 | 11 | 62,600 | 7 |
| Housewife | 46,800 | 4 | 31,000 | 4 | 38,300 | 3 | 45,500 | 4 |
| Farmer | 233,900 | 20 | 82,600 | 10 | 166,000 | 14 | 170,800 | 18 |
| Other | 5,800 | 1 | 5,200 | 1 | - |  | - |  |
| No Answer | 136,900 | 12 | 177,000 | 22 | 328,300 | 27 | 265,500 | 28 |
| Total | 1,138,600 | 100 | 817,100 | 100 | 1,235,100 | 100 | 942,800 | 100 |

W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

Table 6.--continued

| Occupation | Southwestern |  | Northwestern |  | Total |  | Sampling error <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional | 2,900 | 10 | 2,200 | 6 | 18,600 | 6 | 20 |
| Executive | 3,800 | 13 | 4,800 | 12 | 23,100 | 7 | 31 |
| Retired | 1,700 | 6 | 7,200 | 18 | 63,300 | 21 | 19 |
| White collar | 900 | 3 | 1,600 | 4 | 17,300 | 6 | 20 |
| Skilled laborer | 1,500 | 5 | 2,500 | 6 | 17,700 | 6 | 25 |
| Unskilled laborer | 900 | 3 | 2,800 | 7 | 21,000 | 7 | 21 |
| Housewife | 800 | 3 | 2,400 | 6 | 12,800 | 4 | 48 |
| Farmer | 4,500 | 15 | 9,800 | 25 | 41,800 | 14 | 17 |
| Other | - |  | - |  | 300 | W | 74 |
| No Answer | 12,400 | 42 | 6,300 | 16 | 89,300 | 29 | 15 |
| Total | 29,400 | 100 | 39,600 | 100 | 305,200 | 100 | 7 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional | 44,500 | 11 | 37,400 | 6 | 438,400 | 8 |  |
| Executive | 57,300 | 14 | 68,500 | 11 | 401,600 | 8 |  |
| Retired | 63,600 | 15 | 130,800 | 22 | 1,048,600 | 20 |  |
| White collar | 19,100 | 4 | 24,900 | 4 | 305,500 | 6 |  |
| Skilled laborer | 12,700 | 3 | 43,600 | 7 | 331,800 | 7 |  |
| Unskilled laborer | 12,700 | 3 | 31,200 | 5 | 420,400 | 8 |  |
| Housewife | 19,100 | 4 | 18,700 | 3 | 199,400 | 4 |  |
| Farmer | 108,100 | 26 | 155,700 | 26 | 917,100 | 18 |  |
| Other | - |  | - |  | 11,000 | W |  |
| No Answer | 83,600 | 20 | 94,300 | 16 | 1,085,600 | 21 |  |
| Total | 420,700 | 100 | 605,100 | 100 | 5,159,400 | 100 |  |

W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

Table 7.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest, and occupation, Ohio 1979

| Occupation | 1 - 10 years | Indefinite | Never | No answer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional | 2,900 | 7,400 | 7,800 | 500 | 18,600 |
| Executive | 3,200 | 7,900 | 10,500 | 1,500 | 23,100 |
| Retired | 6,800 | 32,600 | 22,200 | 1,700 | 63,300 |
| White collar | 500 | 11,600 | 5,000 | 200 | 17,300 |
| Skilled laborer | 2,200 | 8,200 | 6,900 | 400 | 17,700 |
| Unskilled laborer | 2,500 | 7,600 | 10,100 | 800 | 21,000 |
| Housewife | 300 | 2,600 | 9,700 | 200 | 12,800 |
| Farmer | 13,400 | 20,400 | 6,700 | 1,300 | 41,800 |
| Other | - | 300 | - | - | 300 |
| No Answer | 8,000 | 43,700 | 34,600 | 3,000 | 89,300 |
| All individuals | 39,800 | 142,300 | 113,500 | 9,600 | 305,200 |
| All others | 3,600 | 8,100 | 9,600 | 6,100 | 27,400 |
| Total | 43,400 | 150,400 | 123,100 | 15,700 | 332,600 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional | 106,000 | 231,200 | 89,100 | 12,100 | 438,400 |
| Executive | 118,900 | 192,700 | 71,700 | 18,300 | 401,600 |
| Retired | 164,200 | 553,600 | 276,500 | 54,300 | 1,048,600 |
| White collar | 27,700 | 195,400 | 76,700 | 5,700 | 305,500 |
| Skilled laborer | 47,100 | 189,600 | 83,000 | 12,100 | 331,800 |
| Unskilled laborer | 82,400 | 215,600 | 110,300 | 12,100 | 420,400 |
| Housewife | 22,400 | 107,300 | 57,900 | 11,800 | 199,400 |
| Farmer | 286,700 | 478,500 | 114,100 | 37,800 | 917,100 |
| Other | - | 11,000 | - | - | 11,000 |
| No Answer | 152,700 | 514,700 | 364,700 | 53,500 | 1,085,600 |
| A11 individuals | 1,008,100 | 2,689,600 | 1,244,000 | 217,700 | 5,159,400 |
| All others | 658,000 | 419,900 | 211,600 | 56,000 | 1,345,500 |
| Total | 1,666,100 | 3,109,500 | 1,455,600 | 273,700 | 6,504,900 |

Table 8.-Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned, by age class and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979

| Age class (years) | Individual owners |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Percent | Number | Percent |
| SOUTH-CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 45 | 13,400 | 18 | 29 | 269,000 | 23 |
| 45-64 | 14,500 | 19 | 41 | 416,900 | 37 |
| $65+$ | 31,700 | 42 | 30 | 350,900 | 31 |
| No answer | 16,400 | 21 | 45 | 101,800 | 9 |
| Total | 76,000 | 100 | 18 | 1,138,600 | 100 |
| SOUTHEASTERN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 45 | 4,800 | 20 | 37 | 123,800 | 15 |
| 45-64 | 7,400 | 30 | 21 | 340,700 | 42 |
| $65+$ | 9,200 | 37 | 33 | 232,200 | 28 |
| No answer | 3,200 | 13 | 29 | 120,400 | 15 |
| Total | 24,600 | 100 | 15 | 817,100 | 100 |
| EAST-CENTRAL . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 45 | 7,600 | 14 | 30 | 166,000 | 14 |
| 45-64 | 23,400 | 43 | 30 | 523,600 | 42 |
| $65+$ | 13,400 | 24 | 31 | 306,500 | 25 |
| No answer | 10,200 | 19 | 30 | 239,000 | 19 |
| Total | 54,600 | 100 | 15 | 1,235,100 | 100 |
| NORTHEASTERN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 45 | 8,300 | 10 | 32 | 130,900 | 14 |
| 45-64 | 25,000 | 31 | 17 | 381,400 | 40 |
| $65+$ | 17,800 | 22 | 46 | 176,500 | 19 |
| No answer | 29,900 | 37 | 29 | 254,000 | 27 |
| Total | 81,000 | 100 | 15 | 942,800 | 100 |
| SOUTHWESTERN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 45 | 4,800 | 16 | 32 | 82,700 | 20 |
| 45-64 | 9,300 | 32 | 25 | 159,000 | 38 |
| $65+$ | 6,300 | 21 | 38 | 114,500 | 27 |
| No answer | 9,000 | 31 | 43 | 64,500 | 15 |
| Total | 29,400 | 100 | 15 | 420,700 | 100 |
| NORTHWESTERN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 45 | 11,300 | 29 | 29 | 118,300 | 20 |
| 45-64 | 15,500 | 39 | 20 | 249,100 | 41 |
| $65+$ | 10,000 | 25 | 26 | 174,400 | 29 |
| No answer | 2,800 | 7 | 38 | 63,300 | 10 |
| Total | 39,600 | 100 | 11 | 605,100 | 100 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 45 | 50,200 | 17 | 13 | 890,700 | 17 |
| 45-64 | 95,100 | 31 | 12 | 2,070,700 | 40 |
| $65+$ | 88,400 | 29 | 16 | 1,355,000 | 26 |
| No answer | 71,500 | 23 | 18 | 843,000 | 17 |
| Total | 305,200 | 100 | 7 | 5,159,400 | 100 |

Table 9.-Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned, by years of formal education, Ohio, 1979

| Education level | Individual owners |  |  | Sampling error | Acres owned |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent |  | Percent | Number |

Table 10.-Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned, by annual income class and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979
Income class $\quad$ Individual owners $\quad$ Percent $\quad$ Sampling error $\quad$ Percent owned $\quad$ Number $\quad$ Percent
Under $\$ 10,000$
$\$ 10,000-\$ 14,999$
$\$ 15,000-\$ 19,999$
$\$ 20,000-\$ 24,999$
$\$ 25,000-\$ 29,999$
$\$ 30,000+$
No answer

Total

| 23,600 | 31 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 5,000 | 7 |
| 3,100 | 4 |
| 5,700 | 7 |
| 2,000 | 3 |
| 2,200 | 3 |
| 34,400 | 45 |
| 76,000 | 100 |

31
34
50
54
33
38
33
18

| 315,800 | 28 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 111,100 | 10 |
| 99,400 | 9 |
| 117,000 | 10 |
| 76,000 | 6 |
| 124,500 | 11 |
| 294,800 | 26 |
| $1,138,600$ | 100 |

Under $\$ 10,000$
$\$ 10,000-\$ 14,999$
$\$ 15,000-\$ 19,999$
$\$ 20,000-\$ 24,999$
$\$ 25,000-\$ 29,999$
$\$ 30,000+$
No answer

| 10,900 | 44 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2,700 | 11 |
| 2,700 | 11 |
| 1,900 | 8 |
| 600 | 3 |
| 800 | 3 |
| 5,000 | 20 |
| 24,600 | 100 |


| 206,500 | 25 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 129,000 | 16 |
| 103,200 | 13 |
| 36,100 | 4 |
| 41,300 | 5 |
| 67,100 | 8 |
| 233,900 | 29 |
| 817,100 | 100 |


| Under $\$ 10,000$ | 8,900 | 16 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 10,000-\$ 14,999$ | 7,000 | 13 |
| $\$ 15,000-\$ 19,999$ | 3,400 | 6 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 24,999$ | 2,100 | 4 |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 29,999$ | 2,300 | 4 |
| $\$ 30,000+$ | 1,600 | 3 |
| No answer | 29,300 | 54 |
| Total | 54,600 | 100 |


| 25 | 255,400 | 21 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 146,900 | 12 |
| 31 | 115,000 | 9 |
| 28 | 102,100 | 9 |
| 44 | 63,900 | 5 |
| 31 | 115,000 | 9 |
| 28 | 436,800 | 35 |
| 15 | $1,235,100$ | 100 |

Table 10.-continued

| Income class | Individual owners |  | Sampling error | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Percent | Number | Percent |


| Under $\$ 10,000$ | 20,300 | 25 | 41 | 165,100 | 17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 10,000-\$ 14,999$ | 6,300 | 8 | 36 | 108,200 | 11 |
| $\$ 15,000-\$ 19,999$ | 6,400 | 8 | 32 | 119,500 | 13 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 24,999$ | 3,400 | 4 | 58 | 62,600 | 7 |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 29,999$ | 2,800 | 3 | 70 | 28,500 | 3 |
| $\$ 30,000+$ | 11,400 | 14 | 51 | 130,900 | 14 |
| No answer | 30,400 | 38 | 22 | 328,000 | 35 |
| Total | 81,000 | 100 | 15 | 942,800 | 100 |


| Under \$10,000 | 2,300 | 8 | 51 | 44,500 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10,000-\$14,999 | 2,500 | 9 | 38 | 63,600 | 15 |
| \$15,000-\$19,999 | 1,600 | 5 | 59 | 31,800 | 8 |
| \$20,000-\$24,999 | 4,200 | 14 | 38 | 57,300 | 14 |
| \$25,000-\$29,999 | 700 | 2 | 79 | 12,700 | 3 |
| \$30,000+ | 3,800 | 13 | 34 | 76,300 | 18 |
| No answer | 14,300 | 49 | 32 | 134,500 | 32 |
| Total | 29,400 | 100 | 15 | 420,700 | 100 |


| Under $\$ 10,000$ | 6,100 | 15 | 40 | 74,700 | 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 10,000-\$ 14,999$ | 3,500 | 9 | 34 | 74,700 | 12 |
| $\$ 15,000-\$ 19,999$ | 3,500 | 9 | 41 | 68,500 | 12 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 24,999$ | 7,500 | 19 | 30 | 87,200 | 15 |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 29,999$ | 2,100 | 5 | 39 | 56,000 | 9 |
| $\$ 30,000+$ | 3,000 | 8 | 41 | 68,500 | 11 |
| No answer | 13,900 | 35 | 26 | 175,500 | 29 |
| Total | 39,600 | 100 | 11 | 605,100 | 100 |


| Under $\$ 10,000$ | 72,100 | 24 | 17 | $1,062,000$ | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 10,000-\$ 14,999$ | 27,000 | 9 | 14 | 633,500 | 12 |
| $\$ 15,000-\$ 19,999$ | 20,700 | 7 | 17 | 537,400 | 11 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 24,999$ | 24,800 | 8 | 19 | 462,300 | 9 |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 29,999$ | 10,500 | 3 | 24 | 278,400 | 5 |
| $\$ 30,000+$ | 22,800 | 7 | 27 | 582,300 | 11 |
| No answer | 127,300 | 42 | 13 | $1,603,500$ | 31 |
| Total | 305,200 | 100 | 7 | $5,159,400$ | 100 |

Table 11.-Estimated number of individual owners and acres of commercial forest land owned, by early life environmenta, and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979

| Early life environment | Individual owners |  | $\frac{\text { Sampling error }}{\text { Percent }}$ | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| SOUTH-CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| City over 100,000 | 2,900 | 4 | 43 | 58,500 | 5 |
| City 10,000-99,999 | 1,300 | 2 | 37 | 76,100 | 7 |
| Town or city under 10,000 | 3,200 | 4 | 48 | 93,600 | 8 |
| Rural area | 24,500 | 32 | 38 | 200,500 | 18 |
| On a farm | 26,300 | 35 | 27 | 561,400 | 49 |
| No answer | 17,800 | 23 | 42 | 148,500 | 13 |
| Total | 76,000 | 100 | 18 | 1,138,600 | 100 |
| SOUTHEASTERN |  |  |  |  |  |
| City over 100,000 | 800 | 3 | 43 | 51,600 | 6 |
| City 10,000-99,999 | 1,100 | 4 | 34 | 67,100 | 8 |
| Town or city under 10,000 | 1,400 | 6 | 35 | 87,700 | 11 |
| Rural area | 5,300 | 22 | 37 | 129,000 | 16 |
| On a farm | 11,400 | 46 | 26 | 351,000 | 43 |
| No answer | 4,600 | 19 | 34 | 130,700 | 16 |
| Total | 24,600 | 100 | 15 | 817,100 | 100 |

City over 100,000
City $10,000-99,999$
Town or city under 10,000
Rural area
On a farm
No answer
$\quad$ Total

| 2,000 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2,500 | 5 |
| 11,200 | 20 |
| 7,600 | 14 |
| 19,800 | 36 |
| 11,500 | 21 |
| 54,600 | 100 |

NORTHEASTERN

| City over 100,000 | 4,800 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| City 10,000-99,999 | 10,600 | 13 |
| Town or city under 10,000 | 2,500 | 3 |
| Rural area | 8,500 | 11 |
| On a farm | 24,700 | 30 |
| No answer | 29,900 | 37 |
| Total |  | 81,000 |


| City over 100,000 | 1,600 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| City 10,000-99,999 | 600 | 2 |
| Town or city under 10,000 | 3,500 | 12 |
| Rura1 area | 3,500 | 12 |
| On a farm | 9,000 | 31 |
| No answer | 11,200 | 38 |
| Total | 29,400 | 100 |

Table 11.-continued

| Early life environment | Individual owners |  | Sampling error | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NORTHWESTERN |  |  |  |  |  |
| City over 100,000 | 200 | 1 | 99 | 6,200 | 1 |
| City 10,000-99,999 | 1,200 | 3 | 53 | 31,100 | 5 |
| Town or city under 10,000 | 3,300 | 8 | 45 | 62,300 | 10 |
| Rural area | 7,100 | 18 | 37 | 87,200 | 14 |
| On a farm | 21,700 | 55 | 16 | 317,600 | 53 |
| No answer | 6,100 | 15 | 39 | 100,700 | 17 |
| Total | 39,600 | 100 | 11 | 605,100 | 100 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| City over 100,000 | 12,300 | 4 | 19 | 300,300 | 6 |
| City 10,000-99,999 | 17,300 | 6 | 36 | 393,700 | 7 |
| Town or city under 10,000 | 25,100 | 8 | 31 | 455,000 | 9 |
| Rural area | 56,500 | 18 | 19 | 811,200 | 16 |
| On a farm | 112,900 | 37 | 10 | 2,207,200 | 43 |
| No answer | 81,100 | 27 | 16 | 992,000 | 19 |
| Total | 305,200 | 100 | 7 | 5,159,400 | 100 |

aFirst 12 years.

Table 12. Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest
land owned, by date of acquisition and form of ownership, Ohio 1979

| Date of acquisition | Corporatea | Farmer ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Misc. Individual | Misc. <br> Other | Total | Sampling error(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 1,600 | 29,300 | 35,800 | 1,700 | 68,400 | 11 |
| 1960-1969 | 700 | 21,900 | 34,500 | 3,200 | 60,300 | 17 |
| 1950-1959 | W | 32,100 | 29,100 | 700 | 61,900 | 17 |
| 1940-1949 | 200 | 17,800 | 28,700 | 200 | 46,900 | 20 |
| Prior to 1940 | 300 | 15,700 | 4,900 | 2,800 | 23,700 | 28 |
| No answer | 1,100 | 29,100 | 40,000 | 1,200 | 71,400 | 18 |
| Total | 3,900 | 145,900 | 173,000 | 9,800 | 332,600 | 6 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 66,400 | 534,600 | 632,200 | 56,000 | 1,289,200 |  |
| 1960-1969 | 88,000 | 615,500 | 602,600 | 61,500 | 1,367,600 |  |
| 1950-1959 | 46,200 | 582,500 | 436,700 | 55,100 | 1,120,500 |  |
| 1940-1949 | 145,000 | 438,300 | 371,100 | 17,900 | 972,300 |  |
| Prior to 1940 | 304,900 | 284,300 | 169,200 | 41,200 | 799,600 |  |
| No answer | 65,200 | 433,700 | 398,600 | 58,200 | 955,700 |  |
| Total | 715,700 | 2,888,900 | 2,610,400 | 289,900 | 6,504,900 |  |

[^2]Table 13.-Estinated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land

| Date of acquisition | Individual owners |  |  | Acres owned |  | All other owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| SOUTH-CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 14,600 | - 19 | 27 | 269,000 | 24 | 600 | 15 | 53 | 45,100 | 16 |
| 1960-1969 | 19,000 | 25 | 45 | 286,600 | 25 | 500 | 13 | 71 | 29,200 | 10 |
| 1950-1959 | 15,000 | 20 | 48 | 182,900 | 16 | 2,100 | 54 | 91 | 41,800 | 15 |
| 1940-1949 | 6,700 | 9 | 36 | 157,900 | 14 | 100 | 2 | 98 | 10,100 | 4 |
| Prior to 1940 | 3,900 | 5 | 45 | 122,800 | 11 | 300 | 8 | 61 | 133,700 | 47 |
| No answer | 16,800 | 22 | 44 | 119,400 | 10 | 300 | 8 | 92 | 24,100 | 8 |
| Total | 76,000 | 100 | 18 | 1,138,600 | 100 | 3,900 | 100 | 51 | 284,000 | 100 |
| SOUTHEASTERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 4,000 | 16 | 32 | 154,800 | 19 | 200 | 2 | 59 | 15,500 | 5 |
| 1960-1969 | 5,000 | 20 | 29 | 216,800 | 27 | 1,300 | 15 | 55 | 51,600 | 17 |
| 1950-1959 | 3,900 | 16 | 27 | 175,500 | 21 | 5,500 | 64 | 93 | 51,600 | 17 |
| 1940-1949 | 4,200 | 17 | 37 | 123,900 | 15 | 100 | 1 | 98 | 47,700 | 15 |
| Prior to 1940 | 1,000 | 4 | 42 | 56,800 | 7 | 1,200 | 14 | 87 | 105,800 | 34 |
| No answer | 6,500 | 27 | 46 | 89,300 | 11 | 300 | 4 | 62 | 37,500 | 12 |
| Total | 24,600 | 100 | 15 | 817,100 | 100 | 8,600 | 100 | 62 | 309,700 | 100 |
| EAST-CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 12,100 | 22 | 29 | 229,900 | 19 | 300 | 10 | 59 | 25,600 | 7 |
| 1960-1969 | 13,500 | 25 | 29 | 293,700 | 24 | 400 | 13 | 42 | 63,900 | 17 |
| 1950-1959 | 4,600 | 9 | 29 | 223,500 | 18 | 600 | 19 | 80 | 19,200 | 5 |
| 1940-1949 | 6,700 | 12 | 24 | 185,200 | 15 | 500 | 16 | 52 | 117,500 | 32 |
| Prior to 1940 | 1,700 | 3 | 37 | 89,400 | 7 | 500 | 16 | 64 | 76,600 | 21 |
| No answer | 16,000 | 29 | 43 | 213,400 | 17 | 800 | 26 | 81 | 64,600 | 18 |
| Total | 54,600 | 100 | 15 | 1,235,100 | 100 | 3,100 | 100 | 30 | 367,400 | 100 |

Table 13.-continued

| Date of acquisition | Individual owners |  |  | Acres owned |  | All other owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| NORTHEASTERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 12,100 | 15 | 27 | 170,800 | 18 | 1,800 | 23 | 58 | 45,500 | 18 |
| 1960-1969 | 8,300 | 10 | 24 | 199,200 | 21 | 2,000 | 28 | 71 | 45,500 | 18 |
| 1950-1959 | 14,500 | 18 | 28 | 170,800 | 18 | 800 | 11 | 58 | 22,800 | 9 |
| 1940-1949 | 18,200 | 22 | 45 | 136,600 | 15 | 100 | 1 | 72 | 11,400 | 4 |
| Prior to 1940 | 8,800 | 11 | 66 | 68,300 | 7 | 1,200 | 18 | 88 | 64,300 | 25 |
| No answer | 19,100 | 24 | 33 | 197,100 | 21 | 1,400 | 19 | 42 | 69,300 | 26 |
| Total | 81,000 | 100 | 15 | 942,800 | 100 | 7,300 | 100 | 30 | 258,800 | 100 |
| SOUTHWESTERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 8,400 | 29 | 26 | 127,200 | 30 | 1,100 | 52 | 94 | 12,700 | 28 |
| 1960-1969 | 3,000 | 10 | 48 | 57,300 | 14 | 700 | 33 | 94 | 12,700 | 28 |
| 1950-1959 | 5,600 | 19 | 41 | 95,400 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1940-1949 | 5,500 | 19 | 49 | 57,300 | 14 | 100 | 5 | 75 | 12,700 | 28 |
| Prior to 1940 | 1,300 | 4 | 50 | 31,800 | 7 | 200 | 10 | 99 | 6,500 | 16 |
| No answer | 5,600 | 19 | 54 | 51,700 | 12 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 29,400 | 100 | 15 | 420,700 | 100 | 2,100 | 100 | 60 | 44,600 | 100 |
| NORTHWESTERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 12,000 | 30 | 22 | 168,200 | 28 | 1,200 | 50 | 70 | 24,900 | 31 |
| 1960-1969 | 6,500 | 17 | 32 | 99,600 | 16 | 100 | 4 | 73 | 12,500 | 15 |
| 1950-1959 | 9,200 | 23 | 30 | 130,800 | 22 | 100 | 4 | 99 | 6,200 | 8 |
| 1940-1949 | 4,400 | 11 | 28 | 105,800 | 18 | 300 | 13 | 99 | 6,200 | 8 |
| Prior to 1940 | 3,600 | 9 | 62 | 43,600 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| No answer | 3,900 | 10 | 56 | 57,100 | 9 | 700 | 29 | 56 | 31,200 | 38 |
| Total | 39,600 | 100 | 11 | 605,100 | 100 | 2,400 | 100 | 40 | 81,000 | 100 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1979 | 63,200 | 21 | 11 | 1,119,900 | 22 | 5,200 | 19 | 33 | 169,300 | 13 |
| 1960-1969 | 55,300 | 18 | 18 | 1,153,200 | 22 | 5,000 | 18 | 36 | 215,400 | 16 |
| 1950-1959 | 52,800 | 17 | 17 | 978,900 | 19 | 9,100 | 33 | 61 | 141,600 | 10 |
| 1940-1949 | 45,700 | 15 | 20 | 766,700 | 15 | 1,200 | 4 | 35 | 205,600 | 15 |
| Prior to 1940 | 20,300 | 7 | 32 | 412,700 | 8 | 3,400 | 13 | 47 | 386,900 | 29 |
| No answer | 67,900 | 22 | 19 | 728,000 | 14 | 3,500 | 13 | 29 | 226,700 | 17 |
| Total | 305,200 | 100 | 7 | 5,159,400 | 100 | 27,400 | 100 | 231 | 345,500 | 100 |

W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.
Table 14.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned,

| Distance from residence (miles) | South-Central |  |  | Southeastern |  |  | East-Central |  |  | Northeastern |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error (\%) |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 56,800 | 71 | - 21 | 21,300 | 64 | 27 | 35,500 | 61 | 16 | 57,900 | 65 | 18 |
| 2-5 | 11,100 | 14 | 58 | 1,100 | 4 | 40 | 8,800 | 15 | 73 | 1,900 | 2 | 59 |
| 6-15 | 1,300 | 1 | 35 | 4,100 | 13 | 64 | 1,800 | 3 | 34 | 3,300 | 4 | 49 |
| 16-25 | 800 | 1 | 44 | 800 | 2 | 37 | 1,600 | 3 | 49 | 700 | 1 | 99 |
| 26-50 | 600 | 1 | 58 | 800 | 2 | 45 | 500 | 1 | 72 | 1,500 | 2 | 31 |
| Over 50 | 4,800 | 6 | 62 | 2,400 | 7 | 28 | 1,500 | 3 | 32 | 2,800 | 3 | 69 |
| No answer | 4,500 | 6 | 50 | 2,700 | 8 | 38 | 8,000 | 14 | 25 | 20,200 | 23 | 31 |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 17 | 33,200 | 100 | 19 | 57,700 | 100 | 15 | 88,300 | 100 | 14 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 947,500 | 67 |  | 599,500 | 53 |  | 868,500 | 54 |  | 718,900 | 60 |  |
| 2-5 | 74,400 | 5 |  | 113,800 | 10 |  | 199,000 | 12 |  | 51,200 | 4 |  |
| 6-15 | 93,500 | 6 |  | 78,100 | 7 |  | 104,800 | 7 |  | 56,900 | 5 |  |
| 16-25 | 46,800 | 3 |  | 59,600 | 5 |  | 56,400 | 4 |  | 5,700 | W |  |
| 26-50 | 23,400 | 2 |  | 36,100 | 3 |  | 19,200 | 1 |  | 85,300 | 7 |  |
| Over 50 | 128,700 | 9 |  | 144,500 | 13 |  | 102,200 | 6 |  | 45,500 | 4 |  |
| No answer | 108,300 | 8 |  | 95,200 | 9 |  | 252,400 | 16 |  | 238,100 | 20 |  |
| Total | 1,422,600 | 100 |  | 1,126,800 | 100 |  | 1,602,500 | 100 |  | 1,201,600 | 100 |  |

Table 14.-continued

| Distance from residence (miles) | Southwestern |  |  | Northwestern |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error(\%) | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 22,100 | 70 | 17 | 26,700 | 64 | 14 | 220,300 | 66 | 8 |
| 2-5 | 700 | 2 | 52 | 6,600 | 16 | 36 | 30,200 | 9 | 31 |
| 6-15 | 1,600 | 5 | 67 | 1,400 | 3 | 51 | 13,500 | 4 | 26 |
| 16-25 | - | - | - | 600 | 1 | 99 | 4,500 | 2 | 29 |
| 26-50 | - | - | - | 100 | W | 79 | 3,500 | 1 | 22 |
| Over 50 | 800 | 3 | 81 | 800 | 2 | 52 | 13,100 | 4 | 29 |
| No answer | 6,300 | 20 | 49 | 5,800 | 14 | 41 | 47,500 | 14 | 17 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 14 | 42,000 | 100 | 10 | 332,600 | 100 | 6 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 311,700 | 67 |  | 429,700 | 62 |  | 3,875,800 | 59 |  |
| 2-5 | 38,200 | 8 |  | 87,200 | 13 |  | 563,800 | 9 |  |
| 6-15 | 38,200 | 8 |  | 37,400 | 5 |  | 408,900 | 6 |  |
| 16-25 | - | - |  | 6,200 | 1 |  | 174,700 | 3 |  |
| 26-50 | - | - |  | 12,500 | 2 |  | 176,500 | 3 |  |
| Over 50 | 19,100 | 4 |  | 24,900 | 4 |  | 464,900 | 7 |  |
| No answer | 58,100 | 13 |  | 88,200 | 13 |  | 840,300 | 13 |  |
| Total | 465,300 | 100 |  | 686,100 | 100 |  | 6,504,900 | 100 |  |

[^3]Table 15.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by number of tracts and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979

| Number of tracts | Private owners |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Percent | Number | Percent |

1
2
3 or more

Total

| 69,900 | 87 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 6,000 | 8 |
| 4,000 | 5 |
| 79,900 | 100 |


| 20 | 749,500 | 53 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 22 | 273,200 | 19 |
| 26 | 399,900 | 28 |
| 17 | $1,422,600$ | 100 |

SOUTHEASTERN

| 1 | 24,500 | 74 | 25 | 531,600 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6,200 | 19 | 30 | 211,600 | 19 |
| 3 or more | 2,500 | 7 | 20 | 383,600 | 34 |
| Total | 33,200 | 100 | 19 | 1,126,800 | 100 |
|  | EAST-CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 42,100 | 73 | 18 | 753,500 | 47 |
| 2 | 11,700 | 20 | 34 | 281,000 | 18 |
| 3 or more | 3,900 | 7 | 16 | 568,000 | 35 |
| Total | 57,700 | 100 | 15 | 1,602,500 | 100 |
|  | NORTHEASTERN |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 69,300 | 78 | 15 | 796,900 | 66 |
| 2 | 17,400 | 20 | 37 | 244,800 | 21 |
| 3 or more | 1,600 | 2 | 29 | 159,900 | 13 |
| Total | 88,300 | 100 | 14 | 1,201,600 | 100 |
|  | SOUTHWESTERN |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 26,300 | 84 | 17 | 311,700 | 67 |
| 2 | 4,100 | 13 | 35 | 108,100 | 23 |
| 3 or more | 1,100 | 3 | 60 | 45,500 | 10 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 14 | 465,300 | 100 |


| 1 | 34,100 | 81 | 13 | 429,700 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 5,700 | 14 | 24 | 143,200 | 21 |
| 3 or more | 2,200 | 5 | 29 | 113,200 | 16 |
| Total | 42,000 | 100 | 10 | 686,100 | 100 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 266,200 | 80 | 8 | 3,572,900 | 55 |
| 2 | 51,100 | 15 | 16 | 1,261,900 | 19 |
| 3 or more | 15,300 | 5 | 11 | 1,670,100 | 26 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 16.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by distance from tracts, Ohio, 1979

| Distance (miles) | Owners of 1 tract | Owners of more than 1 tract |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distance to: |  |
|  |  | Nearest tract | Farthest tract |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 165,600 | 54,700 | 40,600 |
| 2-5 | 27,800 | 2,400 | 10,000 |
| 6-15 | 9,400 | 4,100 | 5,000 |
| 16-25 | 3,800 | 700 | 1,500 |
| 26-50 | 2,900 | 600 | 900 |
| Over 50 | 11,600 | 1,500 | 5,100 |
| No answer | 45,100 | 2,400 | 3,300 |
| Total | 266,200 | 66,400 | 66,400 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |


| $0-1$ | $2,042,500$ | $1,833,300$ | 867,300 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2-5$ | 232,800 | 331,000 | 533,000 |
| $6-15$ | 190,200 | 218,700 | 288,400 |
| $16-25$ | 109,200 | 65,500 | 144,600 |
| $26-50$ | 123,200 | 53,300 | 175,400 |
| Over 50 | 297,800 | 167,100 | 605,700 |
| No answer | 577,200 | 263,100 | 317,600 |
| Total | $3,572,900$ | $2,932,000$ | $2,932,000$ |

Table 17.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary reason for owning, for harvesters and nonharvesters, Ohio, 1979

| Primary reason for owning | Private owners |  | $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text { Sampling } \\ \text { error } \end{array}}{\text { Percent }}$ | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | - Mercent |  | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 11,300 | 3 | 58 | 274,700 | 4 |
| Recreational use | 4,700 | 1 | 22 | 224,400 | 3 |
| Timber production | 2,600 | 1 | 24 | 416,200 | 7 |
| Farm and domestic use | 21,500 | 7 | 17 | 506,300 | 8 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 6,500 | 2 | 23 | 215,700 | 3 |
| Part of farm | 38,800 | 12 | 24 | 763,300 | 12 |
| Part of residence | 30,000 | 9 | 24 | 372,600 | 6 |
| Other | 3,200 | 1 | 33 | 406,200 | 6 |
| No answer | 23,100 | 7 | 30 | 434,600 | 7 |
| Total | 141,700 | 43 | 11 | 3,614,000 | 56 |

## NONHARVESTERS

| Land investment | 10,600 | 3 | 24 | 294,800 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recreational use | 10,800 | 4 | 33 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber production | 3,200 | 1 | 65 | 46,300 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm and domestic use | 17,200 | 5 | 27 | 254,000 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 18,500 | 5 | 22 | 322,600 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Part of farm | 49,700 | 15 | 20 | 681,500 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Part of residence | 41,900 | 13 | 27 | 408,600 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 7,300 | 2 | 32 | 154,400 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No answer | 31,700 | 9 | 19 | 480,500 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 190,900 | 57 | 9 | $2,890,900$ | 44 |

ALL PRIVATE OWNERS

| Land investment | 21,900 | 6 | 32 | 569,500 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Recreational use | 15,500 | 5 | 24 | 472,700 | 7 |
| Timber production | 5,800 | 2 | 37 | 462,400 | 7 |
| Farm and domestic use | 38,700 | 12 | 15 | 760,300 | 12 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 25,000 | 7 | 17 | 538,300 | 8 |
| Part of farm | 88,500 | 27 | 15 | $1,444,800$ | 22 |
| Part of residence | 71,900 | 22 | 18 | 781,200 | 12 |
| Other | 10,500 | 3 | 25 | 560,600 | 9 |
| No answer | 54,800 | 16 | 17 | 915,100 | 14 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6 | $6,504,900$ | 100 |

W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

Table 18.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary and secondary reason for owning, Ohio, 1979

| Reason for owning | Primary reason |  | Secondary reason |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 21,900 | 6 | 6,100 | 2 |
| Recreational use | 15,500 | 5 | 13,900 | 4 |
| Timber production | 5,800 | 2 | 8,100 | 3 |
| Farm and domestic use | 38,700 | 12 | 30,600 | 9 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 25,000 | 7 | 35,000 | 11 |
| Part of farm | 88,500 | 27 | 16,800 | 5 |
| Part of residence | 71,900 | 22 | 13,900 | 4 |
| Other | 10,500 | 3 | 2,500 | 1 |
| No secondary reason given | , | - | 150,900 | 45 |
| No answer | 54,800 | 16 | 54,800 | 16 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 569,500 | 9 | 375,400 | 6 |
| Recreational use | 472,700 | 7 | 493,700 | 8 |
| Timber production | 462,400 | 7 | 239,000 | 4 |
| Farm and domestic use | 760,300 | 12 | 637,900 | 10 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 538,300 | 8 | 526,700 | 8 |
| Part of farm | 1,444,800 | 22 | 355,200 | 5 |
| Part of residence | 781,200 | 12 | 293,100 | 4 |
| Other | 560,600 | 9 | 44,100 | 1 |
| No secondary reason given | - | - | 2,624,700 | 40 |
| No answer | 915,100 | 14 | 915,100 | 14 |
| Total | 6,504,900 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 19.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary reason for owning and expected time of future harvest, Ohio, 1979

| Primary reason for owning | Expected time of future harvest |  |  |  |  |  | No answer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Next 10 years |  | Indefinite |  | Never |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 3,800 | 9 | 6,300 | 4 | 11,500 | 9 | 300 | 2 | 21,900 | 6 |
| Recreational use | 3,100 | 7 | 10,500 | 7 | 1,800 | 2 | 100 | 1 | 15,500 | 5 |
| Timber production | 1,800 | 4 | 1,300 | 1 | 2,400 | 2 | 300 | 2 | 5,800 | 2 |
| Farm and domestic use | 8,300 | 19 | 19,200 | 13 | 10,000 | 8 | 1,200 | 7 | 38,700 | 12 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 1,800 | 4 | 11,800 | 8 | 11,100 | 9 | 300 | 2 | 25,000 | 7 |
| Part of farm | 13,000 | 30 | 41,500 | 27 | 31,000 | 25 | 3,000 | 19 | 88,500 | 27 |
| Part of residence | 5,200 | 12 | 32,500 | 22 | 27,100 | 22 | 7,100 | 45 | 71,900 | 22 |
| Other | 1,700 | 4 | 5,700 | 4 | 3,100 | 3 | - | - | 10,500 | 3 |
| No answer | 4,700 | 11 | 21,600 | 14 | 25,100 | 20 | 3,400 | 22 | 54,800 | 16 |
| Total | 43,400 | 100 | 150,400 | 100 | 123,100 | 100 | 15,700 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
|  | ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 136,700 | 8 | 310,500 | 10 | 104,400 | 7 | 17,900 | 7 | 569,500 | 9 |
| Recreational use | 122,600 | 7 | 268,000 | 9 | 76,400 | 5 | 5,700 | 2 | 472,700 | 7 |
| Timber production | 349,300 | 21 | 75,600 | 3 | 18,500 | 1 | 19,000 | 7 | 462,400 | 7 |
| Farm and domestic use | 215,400 | 13 | 384,800 | 12 | 117,600 | 8 | 42,500 | 16 | 760,300 | 12 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 63,900 | 4 | 291,700 | 9 | 169,900 | 12 | 12,800 | 5 | 538,300 | 8 |
| Part of farm | 216,200 | 13 | 819,900 | 26 | 355,300 | 25 | 53,400 | 19 | 1,444,800 | 22 |
| Part of residence | 117,300 | 7 | 391,400 | 13 | 225,300 | 16 | 47,200 | 17 | 781,200 | 12 |
| Other | 330,800 | 20 | 166,000 | 5 | 63,800 | 4 | - | - | 560,600 | 9 |
| No answer | 113,900 | 7 | 401,600 | 13 | 324,400 | 22 | 75,200 | 27 | 915,100 | 14 |
| Total | 1,666,100 | 100 | 3,109,500 | 100 | 1,455,600 | 100 | 273,700 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 20.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned,

| Primary reason for owning | South-Central |  |  | Southeastern |  |  | East-Central |  |  | Northeastern |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error (\%) |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 4,600 | 6 | 40 | 1,900 | 6 | 26 | 9,000 | 15 | 71 | 2,900 | 3 | 39 |
| Recreational use | 2,200 | 3 | 32 | 4,900 | 15 | 55 | 3,400 | 6 | 50 | 3,000 | 3 | 50 |
| Timber production | 2,300 | 3 | 84 | 500 | 1 | 41 | 1,200 | 2 | 41 | 1,300 | 1 | 48 |
| Farm and domestic use | 13,300 | 17 | 34 | 3,000 | 9 | 26 | 6,300 | 11 | 23 | 6,900 | 8 | 29 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 2,800 | 3 | 54 | 2,900 | 9 | 50 | 5,000 | 9 | 39 | 8,600 | 10 | 33 |
| Part of farm | 30,300 | 38 | 35 | 2,500 | 8 | 27 | 8,700 | 15 | 21 | 23,000 | 26 | 29 |
| Part of residence | 14,200 | 18 | 46 | 11,400 | 34 | 48 | 11,500 | 20 | 35 | 21,200 | 24 | 40 |
| Other | 1,900 | 2 | 61 | 1,500 | 4 | 52 | 700 | 1 | 37 | 3,200 | 4 | 51 |
| No answer | 8,300 | 10 | 51 | 4,600 | 14 | 34 | 11,900 | 21 | 29 | 18,200 | 21 | 34 |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 17 | 33,200 | 100 | 19 | 57,700 | 100 | 15 | 88,300 | 100 | 14 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 136,200 | 10 |  | 123,900 | 11 |  | 166,000 | 10 |  | 74,000 | 6 |  |
| Recreational use | 81,800 | 6 |  | 134,200 | 12 |  | 121,300 | 8 |  | 85,400 | 7 |  |
| Timber production | 163,000 | 11 |  | 145,900 | 13 |  | 83,000 | 5 |  | 45,600 | 4 |  |
| Farm and domestic use | 157,900 | 11 |  | 123,900 | 11 |  | 204,300 | 13 |  | 142,300 | 12 |  |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 99,400 | 7 |  | 77,400 | 7 |  | 108,600 | 7 |  | 108,200 | 9 |  |
| Part of farm | 391,800 | 27 |  | 134,200 | 12 |  | 281,000 | 17 |  | 267,500 | 22 |  |
| Part of residence | 198,900 | 14 |  | 129,000 | 11 |  | 172,400 | 11 |  | 142,300 | 12 |  |
| Other | 79,500 | 6 |  | 106,300 | 9 |  | 219,700 | 14 |  | 98,500 | 8 |  |
| No answer | 114,100 | 8 |  | 152,000 | 14 |  | 246,200 | 15 |  | 237,800 | 20 |  |
| Total | 1,422,600 | 100 |  | 1,126,800 | 100 |  | 602,500 | 100 |  | 1,201,600 | 100 |  |

Table 20.-continued

| Primary reason for owning | Southwestern |  |  | Northwestern |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 2,400 | 8 | 61 | 1,100 | 3 | 65 | 21,900 | 6 |
| Recreational use | W | W | 99 | 2,000 | 5 | 44 | 15,500 | 5 |
| Timber production | - | - | - | 500 | - 1 | 53 | 5,800 | 2 |
| Farm and domestic use | 3,900 | 12 | 37 | 5,300 | 13 | 43 | 38,700 | 12 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 2,300 | 7 | 37 | 3,400 | - 8 | 36 | 25,000 | 7 |
| Part of farm | 7,100 | 23 | 34 | 16,900 | 40 | 21 | 88,500 | 27 |
| Part of residence | 7,200 | 23 | 38 | 6,400 | 15 | 42 | 71,900 | 22 |
| Other | 1,700 | 5 | 66 | 1,500 | 3 | 57 | 10,500 | 3 |
| No answer | 6,900 | 22 | 48 | 4,900 | 12 | 27 | 54,800 | 16 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 14 | 42,000 | - 100 | 10 | 332,600 | 100 |
|  | ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land investment | 44,500 | 10 |  | 24,900 | - 4 |  | 569,500 | 9 |
| Recreational use | 6,400 | 1 |  | 43,600 | - 6 |  | 472,700 | 7 |
| Timber production | - | - |  | 24,900 | - 4 |  | 462,400 | 7 |
| Farm and domestic use | 50,900 | 11 |  | 81,000 | 12 |  | 760,300 | 12 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 70,000 | 15 |  | 74,700 | 11 |  | 538,300 | 8 |
| Part of farm | 133,600 | 29 |  | 236,700 | 34 |  | 1,444,800 | 22 |
| Part of residence | 76,300 | 16 |  | 62,300 | - 9 |  | 781,200 | 12 |
| Other | 25,500 | 6 |  | 31,100 | 5 |  | 560,600 | 9 |
| No answer | 58,100 | 12 |  | 106,900 | 15 |  | 915,100 | 14 |
| Total | 465,300 | 100 |  | '686, 100 | 100 |  | 6,504,900 | 100 |

[^4]Table 21.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by primary benefit derived in the last 5 years and primary benefit expected in the next 5 years, for harvesters and nonharvesters, Ohio, 1979

| Primary benefit | Derived in last 5 years |  |  |  | Expected in next 5 years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private owners |  | Acres owned |  | Private owners |  | Acres owned |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 7,400 | 2 | 232,700 | 4 | 11,100 | 3 | 279,100 | 4 |
| Sale of timber | 8,100 | 2 | 437,000 | 7 | 3,200 | 1 | 450,900 | 7 |
| Land value increase | 19,500 | 6 | 791,700 | 12 | 28,000 | 9 | 754,100 | 11 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 34,900 | 11 | 726,500 | 11 | 27,400 | 8 | 560,000 | 9 |
| Farm and domestic use | 35,400 | 11 | 616,100 | 9 | 39,000 | 12 | 698,300 | 11 |
| Other | 3,500 | 1 | 309,200 | 5 | 3,400 | 1 | 297,600 | 5 |
| No answer | 32,900 | 10 | 500,800 | 8 | 29,600 | 9 | 574,000 | 9 |
| Total | 141,700 | 43 | 3,614,000 | 56 | 141,700 | 43 | 3,614,000 | 56 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 13,000 | 4 | 278,200 | 4 | 13,400 | 4 | 282,200 | 5 |
| Sale of timber | - | - | - | - | 4,700 | 1 | 100,000 | 1 |
| Land value increase | 32,100 | 10 | 589,600 | 9 | 36,800 | 11 | 692,200 | 11 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 59,500 | 17 | 800,900 | 12 | 61,400 | 19 | 726,300 | 11 |
| Farm and domestic use | 28,500 | 8 | 446,800 | 7 | 30,900 | 9 | 423,400 | 6 |
| Other | 1,800 | 1 | 52,800 | 1 | 2,500 | 1 | 81,000 | 1 |
| No answer | 56,000 | 17 | 722,600 | 11 | 41,200 | 12 | 585,800 | 9 |
| Total | 190,900 | 57 | 2,890,900 | 44 | 190,900 | 57 | 2,890,900 | 44 |
| ALL PRIVATE OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 20,400 | 6 | 510,900 | 8 | 24,500 | 7 | 561,300 | 9 |
| Sale of timber | 8,100 | 2 | 437,000 | 7 | 7,900 | 2 | 550,900 | 8 |
| Land value increase | 51,600 | 16 | 1,381,300 | 21 | 64,800 | 20 | 1,446,300 | 22 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 94,400 | 28 | 1,527,400 | 23 | 88,800 | 27 | 1,286,300 | 20 |
| Farm and domestic use | 63,900 | 19 | 1,062,900 | 16 | 69,900 | 21 | 1,121,700 | 17 |
| Other | 5,300 | 2 | 362,000 | 6 | 5,900 | 2 | 378,600 | 6 |
| No answer | 88,900 | 27 | 1,223,400 | 19 | 70,800 | 21 | 1,159,800 | 18 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 22.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned by primary benefit expected in the next 5 years and expected time of future harvest, Ohio, 1979

| Primary benefit | Expected time of future harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Next 10 years |  | Indefinite |  | Never |  | No answer |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
|  | OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 3,200 | 7 | 16,300 | 11 | 4,500 | 4 | 500 | 3 | 24,500 | 7 |
| Sale of timber | 3,400 | 8 | 4,300 | 3 | - | - | 200 | 1 | 7,900 | 2 |
| Land value increase | 7,800 | 18 | 33,400 | 22 | 21,500 | 18 | 2,100 | 13 | 64,800 | 20 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 8,400 | 19 | 36, 200 | 24 | 38,400 | 31 | 5,800 | 37 | 88,800 | 27 |
| Farm and domestic use | 14,100 | 33 | 30,200 | 20 | 22,700 | 18 | 2,900 | 18 | 69,900 | 21 |
| Other | 900 | 2 | 3,000 | 2 | 2,000 | 2 | - | - | 5,900 | 2 |
| No answer | 5,600 | 13 | 27,000 | 18 | 34,000 | 27 | 4,200 | 28 | 70,800 | 21 |
| Total | 43,400 | 100 | 150,400 | 100 | 123,100 | 100 | 15,700 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
|  | ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 133,600 | 8 | 291,800 | 9 | 111,700 | 8 | 24,200 | 9 | 561,300 | 9 |
| Sale of timber | 448,500 | 27 | 96,200 | 3 | - - | - | 6,200 | 2 | 550,900 | 8 |
| Land value increase | 387,700 | 23 | 743,300 | 24 | 260,800 | 18 | 54,500 | 20 | 1,446,300 | 22 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 151,000 | 9 | 704,100 | 23 | 390,900 | 27 | 40,300 | 15 | 1,286,300 | 20 |
| Farm and domestic use | 193,000 | 12 | 665,700 | 21 | 202,400 | 14 | 60,600 | 22 | 1,121,700 | 17 |
| Other | 209,100 | 13 | 123,600 | 4 | 45,900 | 3 | - | - | 378,600 | 6 |
| No answer | 143,200 | 8 | 484,800 | 16 | 443,900 | 30 | 87,900 | 32 | 1,159,800 | 18 |
| Total | 1,666,100 | 100 | 3,109,500 | 100 | 1,455,600 | 100 | 273,700 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 23.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned by primary benefit expected

| Primary benefit | South-Central |  |  | Southeastern |  |  | East-Central |  |  | Northeastern |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error (\%) |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 4,900 | 6 | 61 | 6,200 | 19 | 47 | 6,900 | 12 | 36 | 3,800 | 4 | 43 |
| Sale of timber | 2,900 | 4 | 66 | 1,100 | 3 | 29 | 2,100 | 4 | 42 | 800 | 1 | 50 |
| Land value increase | 19,600 | 24 | 43 | 3,700 | 11 | 24 | 17,400 | 30 | 41 | 14,500 | 16 | 42 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 23,300 | 29 | 33 | 11,800 | 36 | 47 | 7,400 | 13 | 30 | 23,900 | 27 | 29 |
| Farm and domestic use | 16,700 | 21 | 39 | 5,000 | 15 | 27 | 7,400 | 13 | 23 | 20,700 | 24 | 32 |
| Other | 500 | 1 | 58 | 600 | 2 | 70 | 100 | W | 65 | 2,300 | 3 | 34 |
| No answer | 12,000 | 15 | 40 | 4,800 | 14 | 33 | 16,400 | 28 | 23 | 22,300 | 25 | 29 |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 17 | 33,200 | 100 | 19 | 57,700 | 100 | 15 | 88,300 | 100 | 14 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 87,700 | 6 |  | 154,900 | 14 |  | 172,400 | 11 |  | 102,500 | 8 |  |
| Sale of timber | 208,100 | 15 |  | 144,800 | 13 |  | 114,900 | 7 |  | 45,500 | 4 |  |
| Land value increase | 365,200 | 26 |  | 245,000 | 21 |  | 466,200 | 29 |  | 193,500 | 16 |  |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 286,600 | 20 |  | 180,600 | 16 |  | 204,300 | 13 |  | 250,500 | 21 |  |
| Farm and domestic use | 263,200 | 18 |  | 154,900 | 14 |  | 223,500 | 14 |  | 216,300 | 18 |  |
| Other | 56,900 | 4 |  | 69,000 | 6 |  | 92,000 | 6 |  | 104,200 | 9 |  |
| No answer | 154,900 | 11 |  | 177,600 | 16 |  | 329,200 | 20 |  | 289,100 | 24 |  |
| Total | 1,422,600 | 100 |  | 1,126,800 | 100 |  | 1,602,500 | 100 |  | 1,201,600 | 100 |  |

Table 23.-continued

| Primary benefit | Southwestern |  |  | Northwestern |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | ) Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Samp1ing } \\ & \text { error }(\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 1,100 | 4 | 96 | 1,600 | 4 | 52 | 24,500 | 7 | 22 |
| Sale of timber | 100 | W | 71 | 900 | 2 | 71 | 7,900 | 2 | 29 |
| Land value increase | 5,800 | 18 | 33 | 3,800 | 9 | 40 | 64,800 | 20 | 20 |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 8,100 | 26 | 31 | 14,300 | 34 | 22 | 88,800 | 27 | 14 |
| Farm and domestic use | 8,200 | 26 | 33 | 11,900 | 28 | 28 | 69,900 | 21 | 15 |
| Other | 900 | 3 | 58 | 1,500 | 4 | 47 | 5,900 | 2 | 22 |
| No answer | 7,300 | 23 | 46 | 8,000 | 19 | 27 | 70,800 | 21 | 14 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 14 | 42,000 | 100 | 10 | 332,600 | 100 | 6 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational use | 12,700 | 3 |  | 31,100 | 4 |  | 561,300 | 9 |  |
| Sale of timber | 12,700 | 3 |  | 24,900 | 4 |  | 550,900 | 8 |  |
| Land value increase | 95,400 | 21 |  | 81,000 | 12 |  | 1,446,300 | 22 |  |
| Esthetic enjoyment | 146,300 | 31 |  | 218,000 | 32 |  | 1,286,300 | 20 |  |
| Farm and domestic use | 108,100 | 23 |  | 155,700 | 23 |  | 1,121,700 | 17 |  |
| Other | 25,400 | 5 |  | 31,100 | 4 |  | 378,600 | 6 |  |
| No answer | 64,700 | 14 |  | 144,300 | 21 |  | 1,159,800 | 18 |  |
| Total | 465,300 | 100 |  | 686,100 | 100 |  | 6,504,900 | 100 |  |

[^5]Table 24.-Estimated number of private ownership units who have harvested timber and acres of commercial forest owned, by reason for harvesting and form of ownership, Ohio, 1979

| Reason for harvesting | Forest <br> Industry | Miscellaneous ownership |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Individual | Corporate | Other |  |  |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber mature | 100 | 30,200 | 900 | 3,100 | 34,300 | 20 |
| Good price | - | 2,700 | 100 | 500 | 3,300 | 20 |
| Land clearing | - | 13,600 | 300 | 300 | 14,200 | 27 |
| Needed money | - | 15,500 | W | 600 | 16,100 | 22 |
| Own use or company use | 100 | 21,100 | 100 | 6,000 | 27,300 | 24 |
| Timber salvage | - | 12,400 | 100 | 200 | 12,700 | 48 |
| Cultural treatment | - | 700 | - | 100 | 800 | 43 |
| Other | - | 8,600 | W | 200 | 8,800 | 72 |
| No answer | W | 22,400 | 1,100 | 700 | 24,200 | 28 |
| Total | 200 | 127,200 | 2,600 | 11,700 | 141,700 | 11 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber mature | 12,600 | 779,300 | 171,300 | 87,900 | 1,051,100 |  |
| Good price | , | 163,600 | 54,600 | 29,300 | 247, 500 |  |
| Land clearing | - | 223,900 | 39,500 | 34,300 | 297,700 |  |
| Needed money | - | 436,700 | 26,300 | 34,100 | 497,100 |  |
| Own use or company use | 167,900 | 408,000 | 6,400 | 17,800 | 600,100 |  |
| Timber salvage | - | 171,400 | 91,400 | 5,700 | 268,500 |  |
| Cultural treatment | - | 53,800 | - | 5,200 | 59,000 |  |
| Other | - | 66,900 | 6,800 | 6,200 | 79,900 |  |
| No answer | 5,800 | 359,800 | 88,300 | 59,200 | 513,100 |  |
| Total | 186,300 | 2,663,400 | 484,600 | 279,700 | 3,614,000 |  |

Table 25.-Estimated number of private ownership units who have harvested timber and acres of commercial forest land owned, by reason for harvesting and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979

| Reason for harvesting | South-Central |  |  | Southeastern |  |  | East-Central |  |  | Northeastern |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber mature | 2,800 | 10 | - 29 | 4,000 | 24 | 33 | 5,500 | 23 | 22 | 11,400 | 30 | 52 |
| Good price | 1,200 | 4 | 29 | 500 | 3 | 44 | 400 | 2 | 51 | 100 | W | 99 |
| Land clearing | 5,700 | 20 | 58 | 200 | 1 | 51 | 1,200 | 5 | 41 | 4,000 | 11 | 37 |
| Needed money | 4,500 | 16 | 46 | 3,800 | 22 | 37 | 1,600 | 7 | 29 | 3,900 | 10 | 62 |
| Own use or company use | 3,600 | 12 | 32 | 6,400 | 38 | 81 | 4,900 | 21 | 41 | 2,700 | 7 | 30 |
| Timber salvage | 6,800 | 24 | 86 | W | W | 67 | 500 | 2 | 52 | 3,100 | 8 | 50 |
| Cultural treatment | 100 | W | 99 | 100 | 1 | 69 | 600 | 2 | 52 | W | W | 99 |
| Other | 100 | W | 99 | W | W | 99 | 7,200 | 31 | 89 | 900 | 3 | 70 |
| No answer | 4,100 | 14 | 73 | 1,900 | 11 | 43 | 1,600 | 7 | 36 | 11,500 | 31 | 51 |
| Total | 28,900 | 100 | 26 | 16,900 | 100 | 32 | 23,500 | 100 | 29 | 37,600 | 100 | 23 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber mature | 204,700 | 24 |  | 185,800 | 29 |  | 287,400 | 32 |  | 178,200 | 31 |  |
| Good price | 105,300 | 12 |  | 41,300 | 6 |  | 57,400 | 7 |  | 5,700 | 1 |  |
| Land clearing | 62,600 | 7 |  | 25,800 | 4 |  | 72,900 | 8 |  | 79,700 | 13 |  |
| Needed money | 159,500 | 18 |  | 150,200 | 23 |  | 102,200 | 11 |  | 28,500 | 5 |  |
| Own use or company use | 186,300 | 22 |  | 124,800 | 19 |  | 102,200 | 11 |  | 74,000 | 13 |  |
| Timber salvage | 62,700 | 7 |  | 47,700 | 7 |  | 63,800 | 7 |  | 56,900 | 10 |  |
| Cultural treatment | 5,900 | 1 |  | 15,500 | 2 |  | 31,900 | 4 |  | 5,700 | 1 |  |
| Other | 12,600 | 1 |  | 5,100 | 1 |  | 31,900 | 4 |  | 11,400 | 2 |  |
| No answer | 66,200 | 8 |  | 57,100 | 9 |  | 143,700 | 16 |  | 138,800 | 24 |  |
| Total | 865,800 | 100 |  | 653,300 | 100 |  | 893,400 | 100 |  | 578,900 | 100 |  |

Table 25.-continued

| Reason for harvesting | Southwestern |  |  | Northwestern |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber mature | 4,700 | 36 | 50 | 5,900 | 27 | 34 | 34,300 | 24 |
| Good price | 600 | 5 | 62 | 500 | 2 | 60 | 3,300 | 3 |
| Land clearing | 2,100 | 16 | 48 | 1,000 | 5 | 52 | 14,200 | 10 |
| Needed money | 900 | 7 | 58 | 1,400 | 6 | 55 | 16,100 | 11 |
| Own use or company use | 3,200 | 24 | 56 | 6,500 | 30 | 38 | 27,300 | 19 |
| Timber salvage | - | - | - | 2,300 | 11 | 46 | 12,700 | 9 |
| Cultural treatment | - | - | - | - | - | - | 800 | 1 |
| other | 100 | 1 | 99 | 500 | 2 | 70 | 8,800 | 6 |
| No answer | 1,500 | 11 | 71 | 3,600 | 17 | 40 | 24,200 | 17 |
| Total | 13,100 | 100 | 23 | 21,700 | 100 | 15 | 141,700 | 100 |
|  |  |  | ACRES | OWNED |  |  |  |  |
| Timber mature | 95,400 | 39 |  | 99,600 | 26 |  | 1,051,100 | 29 |
| Good price | 19,100 | 8 |  | 18,700 | 5 |  | 247,500 | 7 |
| Land clearing | 31,800 | 13 |  | 24,900 | 7 |  | 297,700 | 8 |
| Needed money | 31,800 | 13 |  | 24,900 | 7 |  | 497,100 | 14 |
| Own use or company use | 31,800 | 13 |  | 81,000 | 21 |  | 600,100 | 17 |
| Timber salvage | - | - |  | 37,400 | 10 |  | 268,500 | 7 |
| Cultural treatment | - | - |  | - | - |  | 59,000 | 2 |
| Other | 6,400 | 3 |  | 12,500 | 3 |  | 79,900 | 2 |
| No answer | 25,900 | 11 |  | 81,400 | 21 |  | 513,100 | 14 |
| Total | 242,200 | 100 |  | 380,400 | 100 |  | 3,614,000 | 100 |

Table 26.-Estimated number of private ownership units who have not harvested timber and acres of commercial land owned, by reason for not harvesting and form of ownership, Ohio, 1979

| Reason for not <br> harvesting | Individual | Corporate | Other | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Sampling |
| :--- |
| error $\%$ ) |


| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timber immature | 46,500 | 300 | 1,700 | 48,500 | 16 |
| No market | 1,400 | - | W | 1,400 | 57 |
| Price too low | 5,100 | - | 100 | 5,200 | 33 |
| Destroy hunting | 6,300 | - | W | 6,300 | 37 |
| Selling the land | 1,900 | W | 1,200 | 3,100 | 47 |
| Ruin scenery | 27,900 | W | 600 | 28,500 | 29 |
| Land in estate | 300 | - | 200 | 500 | 68 |
| Distrust loggers | 3,700 | - | 100 | 3,800 | 58 |
| Opposed to harvest | 12,100 | - | - | 12,100 | 54 |
| Poor quality | 2,300 | - | W | 2,300 | 37 |
| Low volume | 24,500 | W | 3,200 | 27,700 | 34 |
| Fire hazard | 300 | - | - | 300 | 74 |
| Insufficient area | 6,300 | - | - | 6,300 | 40 |
| Other | 6,600 | 500 | 400 | 7,500 | 23 |
| No answer | 32,600 | 1,200 | 3,600 | 37,400 | 18 |
| Total | 177,800 | 2,000 | 11,100 | 190,900 | 9 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber immature | 734,000 | 54,100 | 48,800 | 836,900 |  |
| No market | 24,800 | - | 5,200 | 30,000 |  |
| Price too low | 112,700 | - | 5,200 | 117,900 |  |
| Destroy hunting | 149,900 | - | 6,400 | 156,300 |  |
| Selling the land | 47,000 | 5,700 | 17,800 | 70,500 |  |
| Ruin scenery | 281,500 | 12,100 | 39,300 | 332,900 |  |
| Land in estate | 11,500 | - | 5,700 | 17,200 |  |
| Distrust loggers | 80,000 | - | 12,200 | 92,200 |  |
| Opposed to harvest | 65,700 | - | - | 65,700 |  |
| Poor quality | 71,800 | - | 5,900 | 77,700 |  |
| Low volume | 179,600 | 5,700 | 17,300 | 202,600 |  |
| Fire hazard | 11,400 | - | - | 11,400 |  |
| Insufficient area | 63,900 | - | - | 63,900 |  |
| Other | 194,100 | 48,300 | 6,400 | 248,800 |  |
| No answer | 431,200 | 33,800 | 101,900 | 566,900 |  |
| Total | 2,459,100 | 159,700 | 272,100 | 2,890,900 |  |

Table 27. Estimated number of private ownership units who have not harvested timber and acres of commercial

| Reason for not harvesting | South-Central |  |  | Southeastern |  |  | East-Central |  |  | Northeastern |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling error (\%) |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber immature | 7,000 | 14 | 30 | 2,600 | 16 | 52 | 9,400 | 27 | 25 | 15,700 | 31 | 40 |
| No market | - | - | - | W | W | 99 | 300 | 1 | 70 | 600 | 1 | 99 |
| Price too low | 600 | 1 | 54 | 500 | 3 | 66 | 700 | 2 | 66 | 2,500 | 5 | 58 |
| Destroy hunting | 2,500 | 5 | 80 | 1,200 | 7 | 50 | 1,100 | 3 | 64 | 100 | W | 99 |
| Selling the land | 1,300 | 3 | 76 | 100 | 1 | 99 | 200 | 1 | 62 | 1,500 | 3 | 68 |
| Ruin scenery | 10,000 | 20 | 65 | 1,900 | 12 | 67 | 5,800 | 17 | 62 | 6,500 | 13 | 40 |
| Land in estate | - | - | - | 300 | 2 | 99 | W | W | 99 | 200 | W | 99 |
| Distrust loggers | 400 | 1 | 53 | 300 | 2 | 57 | 200 | 1 | 74 | 200 | W | 74 |
| Opposed to harvest | 7,300 | 14 | 82 | 200 | 1 | 73 | 1,700 | 5 | 67 | 700 | 2 | 99 |
| Poor quality | 700 | 1 | 58 | 100 | 1 | 99 | 400 | 1 | 79 | 100 | W | 99 |
| Low volume | 8,200 | 16 | 75 | 3,600 | 22 | 72 | 4,200 | 12 | 59 | 8,600 | 17 | 68 |
| Fire hazard | - | - | - | 100 | 1 | 99 | , | - | - | , | - | - |
| Insufficient area | 3,500 | 7 | 60 | 700 | 4 | 96 | - | - | - | 1,000 | 2 | 86 |
| Other | 1,700 | 3 | 55 | 2,700 | 16 | 46 | 1,400 | 4 | 40 | 1,000 | 2 | 40 |
| No answer | 7,800 | 15 | 53 | 2,000 | 12 | 36 | 8,800 | 26 | 32 | 12,000 | 24 | 27 |
| Total | 51,000 | 100 | 23 | 16,300 | 100 | 22 | 34,200 | 100 | 16 | 50,700 | 100 | 18 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber immature | 175,400 | 32 |  | 85,500 | 18 |  | 228,800 | 32 |  | 170,800 | 27 |  |
| No market | - | - |  | 5,100 | 1 |  | 12,800 | 2 |  | 5,700 | 1 |  |
| Price too low | 23,400 | 4 |  | 15,500 | 3 |  | 31,900 | 4 |  | 28,400 | 4 |  |
| Destroy hunting | 40,900 | 8 |  | 46,500 | 10 |  | 31,900 | 4 |  | 5,700 | 1 |  |
| Selling the land | 23,400 | 4 |  | 5,100 | 1 |  | 19,200 | 3 |  | 22,800 | 4 |  |
| Ruin scenery | 58,500 | 11 |  | 56,800 | 12 |  | 44,700 | 6 |  | 91,100 | 15 |  |
| Land in estate | , | - |  | 5,100 | 1 |  | 6,400 | 1 |  | 5,700 | 1 |  |
| Distrust loggers | 23,400 | 4 |  | 25,800 | 6 |  | 19,200 | 3 |  | 11,300 | 2 |  |
| Opposed to harvest | 11,700 | 2 |  | 10,300 | 2 |  | 25,500 | 4 |  | 5,700 | 1 |  |
| Poor quality | 35,100 | 6 |  | 5,100 | 1 |  | 12,800 | 2 |  | 5,700 | 1 |  |
| Low volume | 29,200 | 5 |  | 41,400 | 9 |  | 25,500 | 4 |  | 62,700 | 10 |  |
| Fire hazard |  | - |  | 5,100 | 1 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Insufficient area | 23,400 | 4 |  | 10,300 | 2 |  | - | - |  | 11,300 | 2 |  |
| Other | 35,100 | 6 |  | 72,300 | 15 |  | 70,200 | 10 |  | 39,800 | 6 |  |
| No answer | 77,300 | 14 |  | 83,600 | 18 |  | 180,200 | 25 |  | 156,000 | 25 |  |
| Total | 556,800 | 100 |  | 473,500 | 100 |  | 709,100 | 100 |  | 622,700 | 100 |  |

Table 27.-continued

| Reason for not harvesting | Southwestern |  |  | Northwestern |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error(\%) | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error(\%) | Number | Percent |
|  | NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber immature | 7,800 | 42 | 36 | 6,000 | 30 | 36 | 48,500 | 25 |
| No market | 500 | 3 | 99 | - | - | - | 1,400 |  |
| Price too low | - | - | - | 900 | 4 | 77 | 5,200 | 3 |
| Destroy hunting | 300 | 1 | 99 | 1,100 | 5 | 63 | 6,300 | 3 |
| Selling the land | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,100 | 2 |
| Ruin scenery | 3,300 | 18 | 46 | 1,000 | 5 | 45 | 28,500 | 15 |
| Land in estate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 | W |
| Distrust loggers | - | - | - | 2,700 | 13 | 81 | 3,800 | 2 |
| Opposed to harvest | - | - | - | 2,200 | 11 | 92 | 12,100 | 6 |
| Poor quality | 500 | 3 | 88 | 500 | 3 | 99 | 2,300 | 1 |
| Low volume | 800 | 5 | 73 | 2,300 | 11 | 49 | 27,700 | 15 |
| Fire hazard | - | - | - | 200 | 1 | 99 | 300 | W |
| Insufficient area | 100 | W | 99 | 1,000 | 5 | 87 | 6,300 | 3 |
| Other | 500 | 3 | 93 | 200 | 1 | 66 | 7,500 | 4 |
| No answer | 4,600 | 25 | 65 | 2,200 | 11 | 39 | 37,400 | 20 |
| Total | 18,400 | 100 | 22 | 20,300 | 100 | 19 | 190,900 | 100 |
|  | ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber immature | 95,400 | 41 |  | 81,000 | 27 |  | 836,900 | 29 |
| No market | 6,400 | 3 |  | - | - |  | 30,000 | 1 |
| Price too low | - | - |  | 18,700 | 6 |  | 117,900 | 4 |
| Destroy hunting | 6,400 | 3 |  | 24,900 | 8 |  | 156,300 | 5 |
| Selling the land | - | - |  |  | - |  | 70,500 | 2 |
| Ruin scenery | 44,500 | 20 |  | 37,300 | 12 |  | 332,900 | 12 |
| Land in estate | - | - |  | , - | - |  | 17,200 | 1 |
| Distrust loggers | - | - |  | 12,500 | 4 |  | 92,200 | 3 |
| Opposed to harvest | - | - |  | 12,500 | 4 |  | 65,700 | 2 |
| Poor quality | 12,700 | 6 |  | 6,300 | 2 |  | 77,700 | 3 |
| Low volume | 12,700 | 6 |  | 31,100 | 10 |  | 202,600 | 7 |
| Fire hazard | - | - |  | 6,300 | 2 |  | 11,400 | W |
| Insufficient area | 6,400 | 3 |  | 12,500 | 4 |  | 63,900 | 2 |
| Other | 12,700 | 6 |  | 18,700 | 6 |  | 248,800 | 9 |
| No answer | 25,900 | 12 |  | 43,900 | 15 |  | 566,900 | 20 |
| Total | 223,100 | 100 |  | 305,700 | 100 |  | 2,890,900 | 100 |

Table 28.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Ohio, 1979

| Expected time of future harvest | Private owners |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 22,600 | 7 | 995,600 | 16 |
| 5-10 years | 6,400 | 2 | 294,700 | 5 |
| Indefinite | 67,000 | 20 | 1,693,400 | 26 |
| Never | 33,400 | 10 | 411,800 | 6 |
| No answer | 12,300 | 4 | 218,500 | 3 |
| Total | 141,700 | 43 | 3,614,000 | 56 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 6,400 | 2 | 233,200 | 3 |
| 5-10 years | 8,000 | 2 | 142,600 | 2 |
| Indefinite | 83,400 | 25 | 1,416,100 | 22 |
| Never | 89,700 | 27 | 1,043,800 | 16 |
| No answer | 3,400 | 1 | 55,200 | 1 |
| Total | 190,900 | 57 | 2,890,900 | 44 |

ALL PRIVATE OWNERS

| Next 5 years | 29,000 | 9 | $1,228,800$ | 19 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-10$ years | 14,400 | 4 | 437,300 | 7 |
| Indefinite | 150,400 | 45 | $3,109,500$ | 48 |
| Never | 123,100 | 37 | $1,455,600$ | 22 |
| No answer | 15,700 | 5 | 273,700 | 4 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | $6,504,900$ | 100 |

Table 29.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, South-Central Unit, Ohio, 1979

| Expected time of future harvest | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 4,100 | 5 | 31 | 296,800 | 21 |
| 5-10 years | 600 | 1 | 41 | 52,700 | 4 |
| Indefinite | 17,700 | 22 | 39 | 403,600 | 28 |
| Never | 5,800 | 7 | 57 | 81,900 | 6 |
| No answer | 700 | 1 | 48 | 30,800 | 2 |
| Total | 28,900 | 36 | 26 | 865,800 | 61 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 1,600 | 2 | 55 | 46,700 | 3 |
| 5-10 years | 3,200 | 4 | 90 | 23,300 | 1 |
| Indefinite | 23,600 | 30 | 38 | 263,100 | 19 |
| Never | 22,500 | 28 | 33 | 216,400 | 15 |
| No answer | 100 | W | 99 | 7,300 | 1 |
| Total | 51,000 | 64 | 23 | 556,800 | 39 |
| ALL PRIVATE OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 5,700 | 7 | 27 | 343,500 | 24 |
| 5-10 years | 3,800 | 5 | 77 | 76,000 | 5 |
| Indefinite | 41,300 | 52 | 27 | 666,700 | 47 |
| Never | 28,300 | 35 | 28 | 298,300 | 21 |
| No answer | 800 | 1 | 45 | 38,100 | 3 |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 17 | 1,422,600 | 100 |

Table 30.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Southeastern Unit, Ohio, 1979

| Expected time of future harvest | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 1,000 | 3 | 33 | 218,100 | 19 |
| 5-10 years | 700 | 2 | 34 | 92,800 | 8 |
| Indefinite | 8,400 | 25 | 23 | 268,000 | 24 |
| Never | 1,500 | 5 | 44 | 51,500 | 5 |
| No answer | 5,300 | 16 | 98 | 22,900 | 2 |
| Total | 16,900 | 51 | 32 | 653,300 | 58 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 1,400 | 5 | 44 | 57,100 | 5 |
| 5-10 years | 200 | 1 | 59 | 15,600 | 2 |
| Indefinite | 9,100 | 27 | 32 | 258,400 | 23 |
| Never | 5,600 | 16 | 37 | 142,400 | 12 |
| No answer | - | - |  | - | - |
| Total | 16,300 | 49 | 22 | 473,500 | 42 |


| ALL PRIVATE OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Next 5 years | 2,400 | 8 | 28 | 275,200 | 24 |
| 5-10 years | 900 | 3 | 29 | 108,400 | 10 |
| Indefinite | 17,500 | 52 | 20 | 526,400 | 47 |
| Never | 7,100 | 21 | 30 | 193,900 | 17 |
| No answer | 5,300 | 16 | 98 | 22,900 | 2 |
| Total | 33,200 | 100 | 19 | 1,126,800 | 100 |

Table 31.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, East-Central Unit, Ohio, 1979

| Expected time of future harvest | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| harvesters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 1,600 | 3 | 32 | 252,800 | 16 |
| 5-10 years | 1,300 | 2 | 48 | 70,300 | 5 |
| Indefinite | 9,500 | 17 | 16 | 434,300 | 26 |
| Never | 9,800 | 17 | 67 | 70,300 | 5 |
| No answer | 1,300 | 2 | 40 | 65,700 | 4 |
| Total | 23,500 | 41 | 29 | 893,400 | 56 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 1,300 | 2 | 61 | 83,000 | 5 |
| 5-10 years | 400 | 1 | 59 | 31,900 | 1 |
| Indefinite | 15,100 | 26 | 20 | 376,700 | 24 |
| Never | 15,000 | 26 | 30 | 196,700 | 13 |
| No answer | 2,400 | 4 | 91 | 20,800 | 1 |
| Total | 34,200 | 59 | 16 | 709,100 | 44 |
| all Private owners |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 2,900 | 5 | 33 | 335,800 | 21 |
| 5-10 years | 1,700 | 3 | 39 | 102,200 | 6 |
| Indefinite | 24,600 | 43 | 13 | 811,000 | 50 |
| Never | 24,800 | 43 | 32 | 267,000 | 18 |
| No answer | 3,700 | 6 | 60 | 86,500 | 5 |
| Total | 57,700 | 100 | 15 | 1,602,500 | 100 |

Table 32.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Northeastern Unit, Ohio, 1979

| Expected time of future harvest | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 8,000 | 9 | 72 | 108,300 | 9 |
| 5-10 years | 2,100 | 2 | 72 | 22,800 | 2 |
| Indefinite | 17,400 | 20 | 36 | 292,200 | 24 |
| Never | 8,500 | 10 | 27 | 114,000 | 9 |
| No answer | 1,600 | 2 | 43 | 41,600 | 4 |
| Total | 37,600 | 43 | 23 | 578,900 | 48 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 2,000 | 2 | 73 | 34,000 | 3 |
| 5-10 years | 1,300 | 2 | 63 | 34,000 | 3 |
| Indefinite | 21,600 | 24 | 30 | 273,000 | 23 |
| Never | 25,100 | 28 | 28 | 261,700 | 22 |
| No answer | 700 | 1 | 58 | 20,000 | 1 |
| Total | 50,700 | 57 | 18 | 622,700 | 52 |
| ALL PRIVATE OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 10,000 | 11 | 59 | 142,300 | 12 |
| 5-10 years | 3,400 | 4 | 50 | 56,800 | 5 |
| Indefinite | 39,000 | 44 | 23 | 565,200 | 47 |
| Never | 33,600 | 38 | 21 | 375,700 | 31 |
| No answer | 2,300 | 3 | 34 | 61,600 | 5 |
| Total | 88,300 | 100 | 14 | 1,201,600 | 100 |

Table 33.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected time of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Southwestern Unit, Ohio, 1979

| Expected time of future harvest | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 4,300 | 14 | 48 | 57,300 | 12 |
| 5-10 years | 100 | 1 | 99 | 6,400 | 1 |
| Indefinite | 3,800 | 12 | 26 | 121,100 | 26 |
| Never | 4,100 | 13 | 58 | 38,200 | 8 |
| No answer | 800 | 2 | 65 | 19,200 | 5 |
| Total | 13,100 | 42 | 23 | 242,200 | 52 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |


| Next 5 years | - | - | - | - |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-10$ years | 1,300 | 3 | 86 | 12,700 | 3 |
| Indefinite | 5,700 | 18 | 34 | 95,200 | 21 |
| Never | 11,200 | 36 | 34 | 108,100 | 23 |
| No answer | 200 | 1 | 99 | 7,100 | 1 |
| Total | 18,400 | 58 | 22 | 223,100 | 48 |


| Next 5 years | 4,300 | 14 | 48 | 57,300 | 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-10$ years | 1,400 | 4 | 79 | 19,100 | 4 |
| Indefinite | 9,500 | 30 | 21 | 216,300 | 47 |
| Never | 15,300 | 49 | 28 | 146,300 | 61 |
| No answer | 1,000 | 3 | 54 | 26,300 | 6 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 14 | 465,300 | 100 |

Table 34.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by expected rime of future harvest and by harvesters and nonharvesters, Northwestern Unit, Ohio, 1979

| Expected time of future harvest | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 3,600 | 9 | 39 | 62,300 | 9 |
| 5-10 years | 1,600 | 4 | 44 | 49,700 | 7 |
| Indefinite | 10,200 | 24 | 22 | 174,200 | 25 |
| Never | 3,700 | 9 | 58 | 55,900 | 8 |
| No answer | 2,600 | 6 | 53 | 38,300 | 6 |
| Total | 21,700 | 52 | 15 | 380,400 | 55 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next 5 years | 100 | W | 92 | 12,400 | 2 |
| 5-10 years | 1,600 | 4 | 57 | 25,100 | 4 |
| Indefinite | 8,300 | 20 | 26 | 149,700 | 22 |
| Never | 10,300 | 24 | 32 | 118,500 | 17 |
| No answer | - | - |  | - | - |
| Total | 20,300 | 48 | 19 | 305,700 | 45 |

ALL PRIVATE OWNERS

| Next 5 years | 3,700 | 9 | 38 | 74,700 | 11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-10$ years | 3,200 | 8 | 35 | 74,800 | 11 |
| Indefinite | 18,500 | 44 | 16 | 32,900 | 47 |
| Never | 14,000 | 33 | 28 | 174,400 | 25 |
| No answer | 2,600 | 6 | 53 | 38,300 | 6 |
|  | 42,000 | 100 | 10 | 686,100 | 100 |

W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.
Table 35.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned,

| Size class (acres) | Expected time of future harvest |  |  |  |  |  | No answer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Next 10 years |  | Indefinite |  | Never |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-9 | 19,800 | 46 | 73,400 | 49 | 82,200 | 67 | 8,500 | 54 | 183,900 | 55 |
| 10-19 | 9,000 | 21 | 28,100 | 19 | 20,700 | 17 | 2,200 | 14 | 60,000 | 18 |
| 20-49 | 8,600 | 20 | 32,200 | 21 | 16,100 | 13 | 3,700 | 23 | 60,600 | 18 |
| 50-99 | 3,100 | 7 | 12,100 | 8 | 2,900 | 2 | 900 | 6 | 19,000 | 6 |
| 100-199 | 2,100 | 5 | 3,400 | 2 | 1,000 | 1 | 300 | 2 | 6,800 | 2 |
| 200-499 | 600 | 1 | 1,100 | 1 | 200 | W | 100 | 1 | 2,000 | 1 |
| $500+$ | 200 | W | 100 | W | W | W | - | - | 300 | W |
| Total | 43,400 | 100 | 150,400 | 100 | 123,100 | 100 | 15,700 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
|  | ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-9 | 78,100 | 5 | 226,800 | 7 | 285,600 | 20 | 19,400 | 7 | 609,900 | 9 |
| 10-19 | 108,000 | 7 | 350,100 | 11 | 248,400 | 17 | 32,300 | 12 | 738,800 | 11 |
| 20-49 | 268,200 | 16 | 943,700 | 31 | 477,700 | 33 | 113,500 | 41 | 1,803,100 | 28 |
| 50-99 | 206,300 | 12 | 747,300 | 24 | 195,200 | 13 | 57,100 | 21 | 1,205,900 | 19 |
| 100-199 | 273,300 | 16 | 438,600 | 14 | 138,700 | 9 | 33,200 | 12 | 883,800 | 14 |
| 200-499 | 167,800 | 10 | 277,900 | 9 | 57,800 | 4 | 18,200 | 7 | 521,700 | 8 |
| 500+ | 564,400 | 34 | 125,100 | 4 | 52,200 | 4 | - | - | 741,700 | 11 |
| Total | 1,666,100 | 100 | 3,109,500 | 100 | 1,455,600 | 100 | 273,700 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 36.-Estimated number of private ownership units who have harvested timber and acres of commercial

| Method of selecting timber | Landowner | Forester | Buyer | Landowner and forester | Landowner and buyer | Other | No answer | Total | Sampling error (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selection | 21,900 | 4,200 | 3,800 | 400 | 4,000 | 400 | 400 | 35,100 | 22 |
| Diameter limit | 7,600 | 200 | 9,700 | 300 | 5,200 | 100 | 100 | 23,200 | 17 |
| Clearcutting | 2,300 | 300 | 900 | W | W | 300 | - | 3,800 | 26 |
| Land clearing | 4,800 | - | 1,400 | - | 800 | - | 900 | 7,900 | 24 |
| Other | 10,600 | - | 1,300 | W | 200 | 300 | - | 12,400 | 50 |
| Combination of methods | s 3,400 | 600 | 500 | 200 | 600 | 700 | W | 6,000 | 23 |
| Don't know | 10,800 | W | 5,800 | - | 500 | 6,600 | 200 | 23,900 | 36 |
| No answer | 9,200 | - | 400 | . - | 900 | 100 | 18,800 | 29,400 | 24 |
| Total | 70,600 | 5,300 | 23,800 | 900 | 12,200 | 8,500 | 20,400 | 141,700 | 11 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selection | 328,300 | 128,400 | 121,000 | 47,400 | 91,400 | 29,700 | 18,000 | 764,200 |  |
| Diameter limit | 333,100 | 42,700 | 283,200 | 17,300 | 196,300 | 42,200 | 5,700 | 920,500 |  |
| Clearcutting | 79,300 | 168,600 | 53,400 | 5,800 | 18,600 | 11,000 | - | 336,700 |  |
| Land clearing | 115,800 | - | 30,400 | - | 24,700 | - | 23,500 | 194,400 |  |
| Other | 111,900 | - | 35,100 | 6,400 | 5,700 | 11,600 | - | 170,700 |  |
| Combination of methods | s 138,300 | 109,500 | 18,400 | 24,300 | 30,500 | 33,900 | 5,200 | 360, 100 |  |
| Don't know | 76,000 | 6,700 | 121,800 | - | 18,300 | 12,200 | 31,000 | 266,000 |  |
| No answer | 137,100 | - | 23,300 | - | 19,000 | 5,800 | 416,200 | 601,400 |  |
| Total 1 | 1,319,800 | 455,900 | 686,600 | 101,200 | 404,500 | 146,400 | 499,600 | 3,614,000 |  |

Table 37.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by timber

| Timber product | Nonindustrial Private Landowners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Sampling error Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forest Industry |  | 1-49 acres |  | 50-499 acres |  | $500+$ acres |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Yercent | Number | Percent |  |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sawlogs | 200 | 100 | 57,600 | 46 | 11,000 | 71 | 200 | 100 | 69,100 | 49 | 12 |
| Veneer | W | W | 14,400 | 11 | 3,000 | 19 | W | W | 17,500 | 12 | 19 |
| Pulpwood | W | W | 4,600 | 4 | 2,500 | 16 | 100 | 50 | 7,200 | 5 | 16 |
| Firewood | W | W | 54,000 | 43 | 1,800 | 12 | W | W | 55,800 | 39 | 24 |
| Posts, poles, pilings | - |  | 13,600 | 11 | 1,700 | 11 | W | W | 15,300 | 11 | 21 |
| Mine timbers | - |  | 1,700 | 1 | 200 | 1 | W | W | 2,000 | 1 | 80 |
| Christmas trees | - |  | 700 | 1 | 500 | 3 | W | W | 1,200 | 1 | 40 |
| Other | - |  | 800 | 1 | 100 | 1 |  |  | 900 | 1 | 67 |
| Don't know | - |  | 3,100 | 2 | 100 | 1 | W | W | 3,200 | 2 | 53 |
| No answer | - |  | 18,200 | 14 | 2,000 | 13 | W | W | 20,200 | 14 | 31 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 200 | 100 | 125,800 | 100 | 15,500 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 141,700 | 100 | 11 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sawlogs | 186,300 | 100 | 855,300 | 58 | 1,058,400 | 72 | 345,700 | 71 | 2,445,600 | 68 |  |
| Veneer | 146,600 | 79 | 215,400 | 15 | 292,000 | 20 | 167,200 | 34 | 821,000 | 23 |  |
| Pulpwood | 161,500 | 87 | 140,000 | 9 | 244,200 | 17 | 225,500 | 46 | 771,200 | 21 |  |
| Firewood | 5,800 | 3 | 361,900 | $25^{\prime}$ | 148,800 | 10 | 12,200 | 3 | 522,900 | 14 |  |
| Posts, poles, pilings | , |  | 213,600 | 15 | 168,000 | 11 | 37,100 | 8 | 418,600 | 12 |  |
| Mine timbers | - |  | 12,700 | 1 | 21,700 | 1 | 23,600 | 5 | 58,100 | 2 |  |
| Christmas trees | - |  | 17,600 | 1 | 58,100 | 4 | 6,400 | 1 | 82,100 | 2 |  |
| Other | - |  | 18,500 | 1 | 17,300 | 1 | - | - | 35,800 | 1 |  |
| Don't know | - |  | 27,900 | 2 | 18,400 | 1 | 5,200 | 1 | 51,500 | 1 |  |
| No answer | - |  | 199,500 | 14 | 175,600 | 12 | 110,800 | 23 | 485,900 | 13 |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 186,300 | 100 | 1,471,500 | 100 | 1,471,100 | 100 | 485,100 | 100 | 3,614,000 | 100 |  |

[^6]W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.
Table 38.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by agency
that owners would contact for forestry assistance, and size class of ownership, Ohio, 1979

| Agency | 1-49 acres |  | 50-499 acres |  | $500+$ acres |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State forestry | 32,000 | 10 | 5,600 | 20 | 100 | 34 | 37,700 | 11 |
| Soil Conservation Service | 5,900 | 2 | 900 | 3 | W | W | 6,800 | 2 |
| Forest Service | 1,700 | 1 | 600 | 2 | W | W | 2,300 | 1 |
| Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service | 5,200 | 2 | 1,600 | 6 | - |  | 6,800 | 2 |
| Consultant or industry forester | - |  | 200 | 1 | W | W | 200 | W |
| Extension service | 10,600 | 3 | 1,000 | 3 | W | W | 11,600 | 3 |
| Other | 5,200 | 2 | 300 | 1 | - |  | 5,500 | 2 |
| Don't know | 131,000 | 43 | 10,700 | 39 | 100 | 33 | 141,800 | 43 |
| No answer | 112,900 | 37 | 6,900 | 25 | 100 | 33 | 119,900 | 36 |
| Total | 304,500 | 100 | 27,800 | 100 | 300 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State forestry | 423,300 | 13 | 613,900 | 23 | 143,700 | 19 | 1,180,900 | 18 |
| Soil Conservation Service | 83,600 | 3 | 89,100 | 3 | 5,800 | 1 | 178,500 | 3 |
| Forest Service | 35,900 | 1 | 66,300 | 3 | 168,700 | 23 | 270,900 | 4 |
| Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service | 90,200 | 3 | 136,100 | 5 | - |  | 226,300 | 3 |
| Consultant or industry forester | - |  | 22,000 | 1 | 108,400 | 15 | 130,400 | 2 |
| Extension service | 89,000 | 3 | 76,000 | 3 | 17,800 | 2 | 182,800 | 3 |
| Other | 51,400 | 2 | 28,200 | 1 | - |  | 79,600 | 1 |
| Don't know | 1,468,500 | 46 | 954,700 | 37 | 95,000 | 13 | 2,518,200 | 39 |
| No answer | 909,900 | 29 | 625,100 | 24 | 202,300 | 27 | 1,737,300 | 27 |
| Total | 3,151,800 | 100 | 2,611,400 | 100 | 741,700 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 39.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by harvesters and nonharvesters, and decision to seek assistance, Ohio, 1979

| Assistance | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent |
| HARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sought assistance | 25,600 | 8 | 25 | 1,177,700 | 18 |
| No assistance | 86,100 | 26 | 14 | 1,867,600 | 29 |
| No answer | 30,000 | 9 | 25 | 568,700 | 9 |
| Total | 141,700 | 43 | 11 | 3,614,000 | 56 |
| NONHARVESTERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sought assistance | 10,300 | 3 | 18 | 436,900 | 7 |
| No assistance | 146,400 | 44 | 11 | 1,917,900 | 29 |
| No answer | 34,200 | 10 | 18 | 536,100 | 8 |
| Total | 190,900 | 57 | 9 | 2,890,900 | 44 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sought assistance | 35,900 | 11 | 19 | 1,614,600 | 25 |
| No assistance | 232,500 | 70 | 9 | 3,785,500 | 58 |
| No answer | 64,200 | 19 | 15 | 1,104,800 | 17 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 40.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by agency

| Agency | Sought assistance |  | No assistance |  | No answer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State forestry | 15,700 | 44 | 21,400 | 9 | 600 | 1 | 37,700 | 11 |
| Soil Conservation Service | 1,100 | 3 | 5,500 | 2 | 200 | W | 6,800 | 2 |
| Forest Service | 700 | 2 | 1,600 | 1 | - |  | 2,300 | 1 |
| Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service | 3,500 | 10 | 3,300 | 2 | - |  | 6,800 | 2 |
| Consultant or industry forester | 100 | W | 100 | W | - |  | 200 | W |
| Extension service | 6,200 | 17 | 5,400 | 2 | - |  | 11,600 | 3 |
| Other | 500 | 2 | 3,100 | 1 | 1,900 | 3 | 5,500 | 2 |
| Don't know | 5,100 | 14 | 132,500 | 57 | 4,200 | 7 | 141,800 | 43 |
| No answer | 3,000 | 8 | 59,600 | 26 | 57,300 | 89 | 119,900 | 36 |
| Total | 35,900 | 100 | 232,500 | 100 | 64,200 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State forestry | 739,600 | 46 | 417,700 | 11 | 23,600 | 2 | 1,180,900 | 18 |
| Soil Conservation Service | 60,800 | 4 | 106,100 | 3 | 11,600 | 1 | 178,500 | 3 |
| Forest Service | 190,600 | 12 | 80,300 | 2 | - |  | 270,900 | 4 |
| Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service | 106,500 | 7 | 119,800 | 3 | - |  | 226,300 | 3 |
| Consultant or industry forester | 124,600 | 8 | 5,800 | W | - |  | 130,400 | 2 |
| Extension service | 57,400 | 3 | 125,400 | 3 | - |  | 182,800 | 3 |
| Other | 22,900 | 1 | 51,000 | 1 | 5,700 |  | 79,600 | 1 |
| Don't know | 144,800 | 9 | 2,292,800 | 61 | 80,600 | 7 | 2,518,200 | 39 |
| No answer | 167,400 | 10 | 586,600 | 16 | 983,300 | 89 | 1,737,300 | 27 |
| Total | 1,614,600 | 100 | 3,785,500 | 100 | 1,104,800 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

[^7]Table 41.-Estimated number of private ownership units who have received forestry assistance and acres of commercial forest land owned, by type of assistance, Ohio, 1979

| Type of assistance | Owners assisted |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Timber marking | 5,400 | 2 | 386,700 | 6 |
| Timber stand improvement | 3,200 | 1 | 317,600 | 5 |
| Tree planting | 2,300 | 1 | 129,700 | 2 |
| Timber sales administration | 1,000 | W | 118,700 | 2 |
| Insect and disease control | 100 | W | 19,200 | W |
| Timber evaluation | 2,700 | 1 | 230,800 | 4 |
| Surveying | W | W | 11,500 | W |
| General forest management | 13,500 | 4 | 618,100 | 10 |
| Other | 3,200 | 1 | 157,000 | 2 |
| Service not specified | 6,600 | 2 | 233,000 | 4 |
| Totala | 35,900 | 11 | 1,614,600 | 35 |

a Individual items do not add to total because some owners have received more than one type of assistance.
$W-$ Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.05 percent.

Table 42.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by availability for recreation, Ohio, 1979

| Recreation availability | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent |
| Owner permits recreation and does not recreate | 19,000 | 6 | 34 | 284,600 | * 5 |
| Owner recreates and permits recreation | 77,000 | 23 | 14 | 2,010,000 | 31 |
| Owner recreates and excludes others recreation | 129,200 | 39 | 11 | 2,483,700 | 38 |
| Subtotal recreation | 225,200 | 68 | 8 | 4,778,300 | 74 |
| Recreation not permitted | 44,200 | 13 | 22 | 664,300 | 10 |
| No answer | 63,200 | 19 | 16 | 1,062,300 | 16 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 43.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by type of recreational use, by the owner, the owner's family, or immediate circle of friends, Ohio, 1979

| Recreation by owner family and friends | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| Hiking | 108,800 | 33 | 11 | 2,602,700 | 40 |
| Picnicking | 57,800 | 17 | 16 | 1,464,900 | 23 |
| Camping | 32,000 | 10 | 13 | 1,238,400 | 19 |
| Fishing | 24,600 | 7 | 14 | 1,165,300 | 18 |
| Hunting | 133,000 | 40 | 10 | 3,435,200 | 53 |
| Snowmobiling | 12,200 | 4 | 24 | 256,000 | 4 |
| Other | 22,600 | 7 | 30 | 375,500 | 6 |
| Any recreationa | 206,200 | 62 | 8 | 4,493,700 | 69 |
| No answer | 126,400 | 38 | 12 | 2,011,200 | 31 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

a Columns do not add to total because some owners permit more than one type of use.

Table 44.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest
land owned, by type of public use permitted, Ohio, 1979

| Type of public use | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { error(\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent |
| Hiking | 32,000 | 10 | 16 | 1,117,200 | 17 |
| Picnicking | 14,200 | 4 | 21 | 728,000 | 11 |
| Camping | 11,900 | 4 | 21 | 663,000 | 10 |
| Fishing | 10,200 | 3 | 24 | 727,600 | 11 |
| Hunting | 78,900 | 24 | 15 | 1,986,000 | 31 |
| Snowmobiling | 9,500 | 3 | 26 | 359,500 | 6 |
| Other | 3,300 | 1 | 47 | 132,500 | 2 |
| All typesa | 95,900 | 29 | 13 | 2,294,600 | 35 |
| Public use not permitted | 159,600 | 48 | 10 | 2,928,900 | 45 |
| No answer | 77,100 | 23 | 14 | 1,281,400 | 20 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

a Columns do not add to total because some owners permit more than one type of use.
Table 45.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned,

| Recreational use | South-Central |  | Southeastern |  | East-Central |  | Northeastern |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiking | 10,200 | 13 | 4,500 | 14 | 5,100 | 9 | 3,500 | 4 |
| Hunting | 20,200 | 25 | 4,700 | 15 | 17,100 | 30 | 19,700 | 22 |
| All types ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 24,400 | 30 | 7,700 | 23 | 18,700 | 32 | 23,800 | 27 |
| Pub.use not permitted | 40,600 | 51 | 20,900 | 63 | 22,800 | 40 | 41,400 | 47 |
| No answer | 14,900 | 19 | 4,600 | 14 | 16,200 | 28 | 23,100 | 26 |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 33,200 | 100 | 57,700 | 100 | 88,300 | 100 |
| Owner recreation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiking | 22,000 | 28 | 12,600 | 39 | 16,500 | 29 | 30,500 | 35 |
| Hunting | 43,100 | 54 | 16,100 | 50 | 25,300 | 44 | 23,800 | 27 |
| Al1 types ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 54,000 | 68 | 26,800 | 81 | 36,200 | 63 | 43,900 | 50 |
| No answer | 25,900 | 32 | 6,400 | 19 | 21,500 | 37 | 44,400 | 50 |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 33,200 | 100 | 57,700 | 100 | 88,300 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | NNED |  |  |  |  |
| Public use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiking | 313,400 | 22 | 317,500 | 28 | 223,400 | 14 | 130,900 | 11 |
| Hunting | 500,500 | 35 | 423,100 | 38 | 445,900 | 28 | 290,300 | 24 |
| All types ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 529,800 | 37 | 501,000 | 44 | 522,500 | 33 | 358,600 | 30 |
| Pub.use not permitted | 685,100 | 48 | 469,700 | 42 | 660,300 | 41 | 553,900 | 46 |
| No answer | 207,700 | 15 | 156,100 | 14 | 419,700 | 26 | 289,100 | 24 |
| Total | 1,422,600 | 100 | 1,126,800 | 100 | 1,602,500 | 100 | 1,201,600 | 100 |
| Owner recreation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiking | 601,600 | 42 | 542,800 | 48 | 536,400 | 33 | 444,000 | 37 |
| Hunting | 921,600 | 65 | 743,600 | 66 | 797,000 | 50 | 483,900 | 40 |
| All typesa | 1,073,600 | 75 | 908,800 | 81 | 1,020,600 | 64 | 705,900 | 59 |
| No answer | 349,000 | 25 | 218,000 | 19 | 581,900 | 36 | 495,700 | 41 |
| Total | 1,422,600 | 100 | 1,126,800 | 100 | 1,602,500 | 100 | 1,201,600 | 100 |

${ }^{\text {a Columns }}$ do not add to total because some owners permit more than one type of use.
Table 45.- continued

| Recreational use | Southwestern |  | Northwestern |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiking | 3,300 | 10 | 5,400 | 13 | 32,000 | 10 |
| Hunting | 4,400 | 14 | 12,800 | 30 | 78,900 | 24 |
| A11 types ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5,600 | 18 | 15,700 | 37 | 95,900 | 29 |
| Pub.use not permitted | 17,900 | 57 | 16,000 | 38 | 159,600 | 48 |
| No answer | 8,000 | 25 | 10,300 | 25 | 77,100 | 23 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 42,000 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
| Owner recreation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiking | $12,700$ | 40 | 14,500 | 35 | 108,800 | 33 |
| Hunting | $6,100$ | 20 | 18,600 | 44 | 133,000 | 40 |
| All types ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16,300 | 52 | 29,000 | 69 | 206,200 | 62 |
| No answer | 15,200 | 48 | 13,000 | 31 | 126,400 | 38 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 42,000 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
|  | ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiking | 57,300 | 12 | 74,700 | 11 | 1,117,200 | 17 |
| Hunting | 114,500 | 25 | 211,700 | 31 | 1,986,000 | 31 |
| All types ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 133,600 | 29 | 249,100 | 36 | 2,294,600 | 35 |
| Pub.use not permitted | $267,200$ | 57 | 292,700 | 43 | 2,928,900 | 45 |
| No answer | 64,500 | 14 | 144,300 | 21 | 1,281,400 | 20 |
| Total | 465,300 | 100 | 686,100 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |
| Owner recreation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiking | 216,300 | 46 | 261,600 | 38 | 2,602,700 | 40 |
| Hunting | 159,000 | 34 | 330,100 | 48 | 3,435,200 | 53 |
| All types ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 305,300 | 66 | 479,500 | 70 | 4,493,700 | 69 |
| No answer | 160,000 | 34 | 206,600 | 30 | 2,011,200 | 31 |
| Total | 465,300 | 100 | 686,100 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

${ }^{\text {a Columns }}$ do not add to total because some owners permit more than one type of use.
Table 46.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest

| Size of ownership | Public permitted |  | Not permitted |  | No answer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-49 acres | 86,900 | 26 | 145,100 | 43 | 72,500 | 22 | 304,500 | 91 |
| 50-499 acres | 8,800 | 3 | 14,400 | 5 | 4,600 | 1 | 27,800 | 9 |
| 500+ acres | 200 | W | 100 | W | W | W | 300 | W |
| Total | 95,900 | 29 | 159,600 | 48 | 77,100 | 23 | 332,600 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-49 acres | 980,600 | 15 | 1,465,100 | 22 | 706,100 | 11 | 3,151,800 | 48 |
| 50-499 acres | 832,800 | 13 | 1,319,900 | 21 | 458,700 | 7 | 2,611,400 | 41 |
| 500+ acres | 481,200 | 7 | 143,900 | 2 | 116,600 | 2 | 741,700 | 11 |
| Total | 2,294,600 | 35 | 2,928,900 | 45 | 1,281,400 | 20 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 47.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by availability for hunting, Ohio, 1979

| Hunting availability | Private owners |  |  | Acres owned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Sampling <br> error(\%) | Number | Percent |
| Owner permits hunting and does not hunt | 22,000 | 7 | 29 | 415,900 | 6 |
| Owner hunts and permits hunting | 56,900 | 17 | 18 | 1,570,100 | 24 |
| Owner hunts and excludes others hunting | 76,100 | 23 | 12 | 1,865,100 | 29 |
| Subtotal hunting | 155,000 | 47 | 10 | 3,851,100 | 59 |
| Hunting not permitted | 109,700 | 33 | 14 | 1,548,500 | 24 |
| No answer | 67,900 | 20 | 15 | 1,105,300 | 17 |
| Total | 332,600 | 100 | 6 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 48.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land

| Reason for posting | Public permitted |  | Not permitted |  | No answer |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Sampling error(\%) |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abuse of property | 1,300 | 1 | 14,700 | 9 | 100 | W | 16,100 | 5 | 38 |
| Safety | 100 | W | 3,100 | 2 | - | - | 3,200 | 1 | 46 |
| Liability | 1,300 | 1 | 700 | W | - | - | 2,000 | 1 | 36 |
| Control access | 3,500 | 4 | 36,400 | 23 | 2,100 | 3 | 42,000 | 12 | 25 |
| Control hunting | 2,800 | 3 | 12,100 | 8 | 2,500 | 3 | 17,400 | 5 | 25 |
| Reason not specified | 3,600 | 4 | 6,100 | 4 | 2,500 | 3 | 12,200 | 4 | 28 |
| All reasons | 12,600 | 13 | 73,100 | 46 | 7,200 | 9 | 92,900 | 28 | 14 |
| Land not posted | 82,400 | 86 | 79,900 | 50 | 22,000 | 29 | 184,300 | 55 | 9 |
| No answer | 900 | 1 | 6,600 | 4 | 47,900 | 62 | 55,400 | 17 | 16 |
| Total | 95,900 | 100 | 159,600 | 100 | 77,100 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 | 6 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abuse of property | 68,900 | 3 | 358,600 | 12 | 12,100 | 1 | 439,600 | 7 |  |
| Safety | 22,200 | 1 | 64,400 | 2 | - | - | 86,600 | 1 |  |
| Liability | 58,300 | 2 | 101,500 | 4 | - | - | 159,800 | 3 |  |
| Control access | 243,700 | 11 | 501,800 | 17 | 18,100 | 1 | 763,600 | 12 |  |
| Control hunting | 108,700 | 5 | 278,600 | 10 | 18,400 | 2 | 405,700 | 6 |  |
| Reason not specified | 82,400 | 3 | 182,400 | 6 | 25,000 | 2 | 289,800 | 4 |  |
| A11 reasons | 584,200 | 25 | 1,487,300 | 51 | 73,600 | 6 | 2,145,100 | 33 |  |
| Land not posted | 1,673,700 | 73 | 1,342,700 | 46 | 373,300 | 29 | 3,389,700 | 52 |  |
| No answer | 36,700 | 2 | 98,900 | 3 | 834,500 | 65 | 970,100 | 15 |  |
| Total | 2,294,600 | 100 | 2,928,900 | 100 | 1,281,400 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |  |

[^8]Table 49.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land owned, by whether land is posted and geographic unit, Ohio, 1979

|  | South-Central |  | Southeastern |  | East-Central |  | Northeastern |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land posted | 13,600 | 17 | 14,500 | 44 | 13,300 | 23 | 33,300 | 38 |
| Land not posted | 58,200 | 73 | 13,700 | 41 | 32,400 | 56 | 33,900 | 38 |
| No answer | 8,100 | 10 | 5,000 | 15 | 12,000 | 21 | 21,100 | 24 |
| Total | 79,900 | 100 | 33,200 | 100 | 57,700 | 100 | 88,300 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land posted | 395,300 | 28 | 399,100 | 35 | 583,600 | 36 | 440,000 | 36 |
| Land not posted | 942,400 | 66 | 597,400 | 53 | 688,600 | 43 | 489,500 | 41 |
| No answer | 84,900 | 6 | 130,300 | 12 | 330,300 | 21 | 272,100 | 23 |
| Total | 1,422,600 | 100 | 1,126,800 | 100 | 1,602,500 | 100 | 1,201,600 | 100 |

Table 49.-continued

|  | Southwestern |  | Northwestern |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land posted | 9,800 | 31 | 8,400 | 20 | 92,900 | 28 |
| Land not posted | 16,600 | 53 | 29,500 | 70 | 184,300 | 55 |
| No answer | - 5,100 | 16 | 4,100 | 10 | 55,400 | 17 |
| Total | 31,500 | 100 | 42,000 | 100 | 332,600 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land posted | 152,700 | 33 | 174,400 | 25 | 2,145,100 | 33 |
| Land not posted | 260,800 | 56 | 411,000 | 60 | 3,389,700 | 52 |
| No answer | 51,800 | 11 | 100,700 | 15 | 970,100 | 15 |
| Total | 465,300 | 100 | 686,100 | 100 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

Table 50.-Estimated number of private ownership units and acres of commercial forest land

| Size of ownership | Land posted |  | Land not posted |  | No answer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - 9 acres | 51,400 | 16 | 99,200 | 29 | 33,300 | 10 | 183,900 | 55 |
| $10-49$ acres | 31,300 | 9 | 70,500 | 21 | 18,800 | 6 | 120,600 | 36 |
| 50-499 acres | 10,100 | 3 | 14,400 | 5 | 3,300 | 1 | 27,800 | 9 |
| $500+$ acres | 100 | W | 200 | W | W | W | 300 | W |
| Total | 92,900 | 28 | 184,300 | 55 | 55,400 | 17 | 332,600 | 100 |
| ACRES OWNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - 9 acres | 146,200 | 2 | 332,300 | 5 | 131,400 | 2 | 609,900 | 9 |
| 10-49 acres | 734,200 | 11 | 1,411,400 | 22 | 396,300 | 6 | 2,541,900 | 39 |
| 50-499 acres | 990,300 | 16 | 1,289,400 | 20 | 331,700 | 5 | 2,611,400 | 41 |
| $500+$ acres | 274,400 | 4 | 356,600 | 5 | 110,700 | 2 | 741,700 | 11 |
| Total | 2,145,100 | 33 | 3,389,700 | 52 | 970,100 | 15 | 6,504,900 | 100 |

[^9]```
Birch, Thomas W. The forest-land owners of Ohio -- 1979.
        Resour. Bull. NE-74. Broomall, PA: U.S. Department
        of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest
        Experiment Station; 1982. 84 p.
A statistical analytical report on a mail canvass of
private commercial forest-land owners in Ohio. The study
was conducted in conjunction with the third forest survey
of Ohio by the USDA Forest Service. It discusses
landowner characteristics, attitudes, and intentions of
owners regarding reasons for owning, recreational use,
timber management, and harvesting.
ODC 923.9(771)
Keywords: Available timber, harvesting, forestry
    assistance, recreation, forest land ownership.
```

Headquarters of the Northeastern Forest Experiment Station are in Broomall, Pa. Field laboratories are maintained at:

- Amherst, Massachusetts, in cooperation with the University of Massachusetts.
- Berea, Kentucky, in cooperation with Berea College.
- Burlington, Vermont, in cooperation with the University of Vermont.
- Delaware, Ohio.
- Durham, New Hampshire, in cooperation with the University of New Hampshire.
- Hamden, Connecticut, in cooperation with Yale University.
- Morgantown, West Virginia, in cooperation with West Virginia University, Morgantown.
- Orono, Maine, in cooperation with the University of Maine, Orono.
- Parsons, West Virginia.
- Princeton, West Virginia.
- Syracuse, New York, in cooperation with the State University of New York College of Environmental Sciences and Forestry at Syracuse University, Syracuse.
- University Park, Pennsylvania, in cooperation with the Pennsylvania State University.
- Warren, Pennsylvania.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This term and others are defined in the appendix.

[^1]:    W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Includes all forest industry ownerships.
    bIncludes corporate farms.

[^3]:    W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

[^4]:    W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

[^5]:    W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

[^6]:    a Items do not add to totals because some owners harvested more than one product. $W$-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

[^7]:    W-Fewer than 50 owners or 1 ess than 0.5 percent.

[^8]:    W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

[^9]:    W-Fewer than 50 owners or less than 0.5 percent.

