EXHIBIT 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING. Case No. 1:13-CV-01215 (TSC/DAR)

AND MATERIALS, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs, Washington, D.C. December 1, 2014

PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.,

Defendant.

STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE DEBORAH A. ROBINSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

v.

for Testing and Materials:

For American Society Morgan, Lewis & Bacchus, LLP By: J. KEVIN FEE, ESQ. EDWIN O. CHILDS, ESQ. 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004

For National Fire Protection Association, Inc.: Manger, Toles & Olson, LLP By: NATHAN M. REHN, ESQ. 560 Mission Street, 27th Far. San Francisco, CA 94105-2907

For American Society King & Spalding, LLP of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc.:

By: MICHAEL ANDREW ZEE, ESQ. 101 Second Street, Ste. 2300 Room 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105

For Defendant Public. Fenwick & West, LLP Resource.Org., Inc.:

By: ANDREW P. BRIDGES, ESQ. 555 California Street Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94104

BOWLES REPORTING SERVICE 255 Route 12, Suite 1 GALES FERRY, CONNECTICUT 06335 - (860) 464-1083 brs-ct@sbcglobal.net

(Proceedings commenced at 3:30 p.m.)

THE CLERK: The matter now pending before this Court is <u>American Society for Testing and Materials</u>, et al. v. <u>Public.Resource.Org</u>, <u>Incorporated in Civil Action Number 13-1215</u>.

Edwin Childs and Kevin Fee is representing Plaintiff American Society for Testing and Materials.

Dane (phonetic) Rehn is representing Plaintiff National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated.

Andrew Zee is representing Plaintiff American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers, Incorporated.

And Andrew Bridges is representing Defendant Public.Resource.Org, Incorporated.

THE COURT: Now, good morning to all of you.

VOICES: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We are here for the completion of the Court's effort to resolve the issues presented by the pending motion.

I was pleased to read your status report and determine that many of the issues had been resolved.

The Court, of course, this Court, entered an order memorializing that resolution.

The District Judge assigned to the case

really own these works," but the law doesn't permit anybody to come in and challenge an assignment between us and a third party. That's just not how it works.

The copyright registrations provide a presumption of our ownership of copyright, and the provision of the Copyright Act, Section 204, that governs the requirement of written assignments, as far as I know, every court to have interpreted that, has said that the people who can challenge the validity of an assignment are the people who claim to be authors, who claim that they did not assign their rights to the person who owns the copyright registration.

So, this whole endeavor is a side show.

We've been willing to cooperate, to the extent that

it's reasonable, but we don't think there's any reason

to do anything further with respect to these requests.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you very much, Mr. Rehn.

Mr. Bridges, may I ask you to respond only as to NFPA, please, --

MR. BRIDGES: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- bearing in mind that at this time, unless I am persuaded otherwise during the course your reply, it appears that the appropriate action is to deny the request without prejudice as to NFPA, since

CERTIFICATE

Ι	cer	rtify	that	the	foregoi	ing is	a	corre	ect	transcript	
fı	com	the	electi	conic	sound	recor	din	g of	the	proceedin	gs
ir	n th	ne ab	ove-er	n+i+1	ed matt	er					

/s/_____ December 10, 2014
Stephen C. Bowles