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## PREFACE.
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I gladly avail myself of this opportunity to express to Mr L. Whibley my thanks for kindly reading the proofs of the Notes, and for many valuable suggestions; also to Mr E. H. Minns for his assistance in revising the proofs of the Introduction.
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## INTRODUCTION.

## § I. Outline of the Iliad.

Book I. In the tenth year of the Trojan war the Greeks are visited by Apollo with pestilence, because the daughter of Chryses, his priest, has been carried off by Agamemnon. Warned by Calchas of the cause of the pestilence, Agamemnon restores the girl to Chryses, but makes good his own loss by robbing Achilles of his captive, Briseis. Thereupon Achilles retires from the fighting, and his mother Thetis exacts from Zeus an oath that the Greeks shall suffer disaster at the hands of their foe, until they make amends to her son.

Book II. Zeus by a dream beguiles Agamemnon to muster his army for battle, in spite of their wish to return home to Greece. Catalogue of the forces on either side.

Book III. Menelaus accepts a challenge of Paris to decide the war by single combat. A truce is called between the rest of the armies, but the single combat is indecisive, for Aphrodite intervenes and rescues Paris.

Book IV. The truce is broken by the Trojan Pandarus. Under Agamemnon's leadership, the Greeks join battle with the Trojans.

Book V. The Greek hero, Diomede, acquits himself valiantly, and, with Athene's help, succeeds in wounding even the immortals, Aphrodite and Ares.

Book VI. Diomede encounters Glaucus, a Lycian ally of the Trojans, but recognizes him as a guest-friend of his family, and
exchanges with him not blows but presents. Hector returns from the battle to Troy, converses with his wife Andromache, and sallies forth again.

Book VII. Hector challenges the Greek chieftains. Ajax is chosen by lot to accept the challenge. Their combat is stopped by the intervention of heralds on either side. The dead are buried. A wall is built by the Greeks to protect their camp.

Book ViII. Fighting is renewed. Zeus, having forbidden the other gods to take part, descends to Ida, and gives the Trojans the advantage. The Trojans birouac on the battlefield.

Book IX. Agamemnon, proposing to return home to Greece, is opposed by Diomede. At Nestor's instance, Agamemnon undertakes to restore Briseis to Achilles, and to make him large presents besides. An embassy is sent to acquaint Achilles with the offer and to try to conciliate him, but he rejects all their overtures.

Book X. Diomede and Odysseus make a nocturnal raid on the camp of the Trojans. On their way thither they capture Dolon, a Trojan spy, who gives them useful information and is then slain by them. They proceed to the encampment of the newly-come Thracians, where they slay Rhesus the chieftain and twelve comrades with him, and take his horses.

Book XI. Agamemnon fights bravely but ineffectually: Many of the Greek chieftains are wounded. Patroclus, sent by Achilles to ask after the wounded Machaon, is told by Nestor of the hopeless position of the Greeks, and is begsed by him to intercede with Achilles for the assistance of his Myrmidons.

Book XII. The Trojans led by Hector storm and carry the wall of the Greek camp.

Book xiri. Poseidon takes advantage of the inattention of Zeus to rouse up the Gireeks. The Cretan dameneus distinguishes himself.

Book XIV. By the wiles of Hera, Zeus is begnited to sleep. Meantime the Greeks, still further encouraged by Poseidon, gain some advantase, and Hector is wounded.

Book xv. Zeus wakes up, and bids Apollo help Hector: The Trojans recover lost ground, and asain press the (ireeks hard. Ajax distinguishes himself in defence of the ships.

Book XVI. Patroclus intercedes with Achilles for the Greeks, borrows his armour, and leads the Myrmidons to the rescue. He drives back the Trojans from the ships up to the very walls of Troy, but there is slain by Hector.

Book XVII. The Greeks and Trojans fight for the body of Patroclus. Menelaus distinguishes himself.

Book XVIII. Achilles learns of the death of Patroclus and bewails him. Thereupon Thetis, his mother, comes to him. She obtains new armour for him from Hephaestus. The shield is described in detail.

Book XIX. Achilles renounces his wrath, and is publicly reconciled with Agamemnon. As his chariot is being prepared for battle, one of his horses, Xanthus, speaks with human voice and foretells his fate.

Book XX. The gods join in the battle on either side. Hector encounters Achilles, but is saved by Apollo.

Book XXI. The river-god Scamander, whose stream is choked with bodies of the slain, assails Achilles, who is saved by Hephaestus.

Book XXII. Hector alone dares to face Achilles. A single combat takes place. Hector is chased by Achilles thrice round the walls of Troy. Zeus weighs in golden scales the destinies of the combatants. It is Hector's lot to die. Apollo forsakes him, and Athene encourages Achilles. Hector is slain.

Book XXIII. The dead Patroclus appears to Achilles in sleep and prays for burial. The funeral is celebrated with human and other victims. Funeral games follow.

Book XXIV. Achilles, in consummation of his vengeance, drags Hector's corpse daily round the tomb of Patroclus. On Apollo's intercession, Zeus encourages Priam to ransom his son's body. Escorted by Hermes, he visits Achilles, who by a great effort respects his guest and grants his request. Priam conveys Hector's body back to Troy for lamentation and burial.

## § II. Composition of the Iliad.

The question whether the Iomeric poems were the work of a single author was first rai-ed in ancient times by certain critics, probably Alexandrians of the third century E.C., who regarded the Ilitd alone as the work of Homer, and referred the Udyssiy' to some poet of name unknown. From thus 'separating the authorship of the Ilied from that of the (ldysiy, they were dubbed oi Xopisores, 'the Separaters.' Whatever may have been their arguments (which must, it would seem, have rested chiefly on the internal evidence of style etc., they produced no considerable effect on the thought of the age, and were for centuries afterwards senerally discredited.

It is now little more than an hundred years since the question of authorship and composition was again raised by Wolf in his Proligomina. He endeavoured to establish the four following propositions:
(1) That the Homeric poems were composed without the aid of writing, and were handed down by oral transmission.
(2) That they were first written down about 550 B.C. (the age of Pisistratus, tyrant of Athens), and underwent artificial revision and arrangement at the hand of a body of $\delta(a \sigma \kappa \in t a \sigma \tau a i$ ('revisers').
(3) That the existing unity of the lliad and of the Cldissey is the outcome of this deliberate revision. The criginal pooms were separate stories with a common theme, rather than consecutive chapters in a single story.
(4) That these original poems were not all the work of a single author.

For a discussion and estimate of the various historical and other arguments by which Wolf sought to maintain these propusitions, there is here no place; but it is worthy of notice that Wolf himself found his own purcly critical conclusions from extemal arguments so far at variance with his aesthetic appreciation of the unity and harmony of the poems as a whole, that he was fain to admit a personal Homer, a poct of surpassing genius, who 'began the weaving of the web' and 'carried it down to a certain point, nay, who wove the gratier fat of the
songs which were afterwards united in the Iliad and O,dysscy.' (Jebb, 'Homer,' pp. 109-10.)

The unity of the poems was less appreciated by Lachmann who, accepting Wolf's conclusions, went further and attempted, what Woif had pronounced an impossibility, the dissection of the Iliad into a number of mutually independent lays. Even if the theory be granted, precision in practice may safely be regarded as unattainable until some two competent critics working independently shall coincide in their dissections of the poem, showing the same point of departure and the same terminus for each lay. On the other hand Hermann, who likewise accepted Wolf's view, improved upon it by attributing to Homer, not 'the weaving of the web down to a certain point' to be continued by others ad lib., but the construction of a framework, the drawing of an outline, the delimitation of a design, which others expanded and completed, but did not transgress. But to account for this self-restraint on the part of later poets, he supposed Homer to have been the first poet of the 'heroic' order,-a supposition rendered improbable by the perfect adaptation of language to subject-matter, an adaptation which, as exhibited in Homer, can only have been attained by long and gradual development.

To the Wolfians, then, 'Homer' meant a collection of short unwritten lays, of which a certain number-or even the majority -were the work of the one poet in whose name all now stand.

The reaction against this view may be said to have begun with Nitzsch, who held (1) that the Homeric poems were not anterior to the use of writing, which may therefore have served as a means of transmission from one reciter to another, although publication was entirely oral, (2) that Homer was the first writer of 'epic' proper as opposed to short lays or ballads, but that in the composition of his two epics he made use of old ballads, largely in the Iliad, less in the Odysscy. Grote, following Nitzsch in his view of Homer as a writer of cpic propir, suggested an original 'Achilleid' containing Books i, 8, and $\mathrm{II}-22$, which was subsequently expanded by instalments into the existing Iliad. To this view Geddes added a surmise that the 'non-Achillean' books were the work of a later poct
who wrote the Odyssey. In the Iliad he claimed that the 'sutures between the new and the old work were visible, while the common origin of the 'non-Achillean' books with the Odysey was shown in such ways as the presentment of Odysseus. Helen, and Hector, the aspects of the gods, etc.

More recently l'rof. Jebb has put forward a modification of Grote's view ; to a 'Primary Iliad' he assigns books 1 , I1, 16-22, to which accrued successively (i) Books 2-7, (2) Books 12-15, (3) Books 8-9 and 23-24, (4) Book 10 and certain of the recognised interpolations in books already mentioned.

It will be observed therefore that recent theories concur in regarding the two books included in this edition as among the latest additions to the Iliad ; the grounds of this view must be briefly noticed.

Grote brought as an objection to lbook 9 that the rejection of Agamemnon's envors by Achilles when they had humbled themselves before him, was revolting to the sentiment of Nemesis.' But the objection can hardly stand. Whoever the poet may have been who composed lbook 9 (and few would esteem him an inferior poet to the genuine Homer), be was a better judge than any modern critic can be, whether the story of that book was offensive to a sentiment which he and his age must have held as strongly as ever did Homer; for the idea of Nemesis had lost none of its vigour down to the time of Aeschylus. A more solid objection is that in Mook 16.52-\$7, Achilles is made to speak as though no embassy had ever been sent to him. Now liook $1 G$, in which Patroclus intercedes with Achilles on behalf of the (ireeks (see 'Outline of Iliad' above), is absolutely essential to the plot of the Iliad. If then the theory is right that Homer composed an Aihilleid or a Primary Iliad, of which the Iliad, as we now have it, is an expansion by several later hands, Book 16 must be set down as an essential part of Homer's work, and Book 9 is the magnificent achievement of some unknown later poet, who either overlooked, or in reciting would have omitted, that passase of 3 book 16 which conflicted with his own addition. Another point in Book 9, which is argued to indicate a later origin than the main body of
the Iliad, is the use of the word 'EגAcis (1. +47) in a wider sense than is elsewhere found in the Iliad. Hellas, the name by which all Greece was one day to be known, was originally the name of a district in Thessaly: but in this one passage of Book 9 , its extension, according to the view of some critics, has already begun, and it there stands for a larger district of North Greece. In other words, the clan called Hellenes had seemingly increased in importance and extended their borders between the date of composition of the earlier Iliad and the date of this line in Book 9. To these points may be added certain "traits of language...which bring it nearer to parts of Books 23, 24, and even 10, while they separate it from the body of the Ilicd." Examples are $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with infinitive (1. 42), the impersonal $\delta \in i$ (l. 337), the infinitive with âv in oratio obliqua (l. 6So) etc. (See Jebb's 'Homer,' p. 124.)

Book 10 is in no way essential to the story of the Iliad. It has the air of a detached episode concerning some of the Greek warriors who fought at Troy, which has been inserted without other effect than slightly to delay the working out of the plot. The episode is not in itself so decisive a success as materially to improve the position of the Greeks, and the climax of their discomfiture has already been long enough delayed for further interruption of the story to be ineffective or even tiresome. The ancient tradition that Homer composed this book not as an integral part of his epic, the Iliad, but as an independent lay complete in itself, which was afterwards intruded into its present place in the story, if it be not accepted as a literal statement of facts, displays at any rate clear critical appreciation of the relation-or want of relation-between Book 10 and its present context. Here again certain details of language have been adduced as evidence of comparatively late origin,-.."some perfects in -ка from derivative verbs, as $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta$ inkє ${ }^{\prime}$ (l. 172) ; $\mu \not \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota(1.365)$, the only 2nd fut. pass. in Homer, except $\delta a \eta \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota$ (twice in the Odyssiy); clear instances of the article used in a post-Homeric way; ... and some words frequent in the Odyssey, but not elsewhere found in the Iliad (as סórts, ф $\bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{s}$, סóka, à $\sigma a ́ \mu \nu \theta_{0}$.)" (See Jebb's 'Homır,' p. 123, note 1.)

To this rapid survey of recent theories and of their bearing
upon Fooks 9 and to there must be added a caution. Arguments as to date from rare words or constructions appearing in certain books are only valid on the supposition that our present text closely approximates to Homer's original. This can hardly be the case unless writing was in use for literary purposes in Homer's time. In our present state of knowledge this proposition admits neither of proof nor of disproof. A system of writing was certainly known in Crete, and therefore, in all probability, in other parts of the (ireek world before the age of Homer. But it remains as yet uncertain whether the art of writing had then passed the stage of scratching memoranda of accounts and stores on clay tablets, from which stage it is a far cry to the committing of a whole epic to the equivalent of paper. Writing indeed is once mentioned by Homer himself in the phrase cifpura $\lambda$ vypá, 'uncanny symbols'; but that phrase itself suggests that the art of writing was as yet neither familiar nor highly developed. If then we suppose that the Ilitud was not written down by Homer but was memorially composed and was transmitted from one reciter to another by word of mouth, it is easy to account for the various difficulties which our text now presents as the outcome of oral transmission. Local varieties of dialect, preferences for certain books and passages and comparative neglect of others, deliberate amplitications or omissions, slips of memory, reminiscences and confusions of similar passages,-all these accidents and incidents would, on the hypothesis of oral transmission, combine to obscure and to confuse genuine tradition. Those lines, for example, of Book 16 , in which Achilles ignores the events of Book 9, may be an amplification of the passage by some reciter who did not include Book 9 in his ripertaire. Again, in Book $10,1.147$ is a clear case of confusion owing to a reminiscence of 1.327 . The latter is in place in the context, the former is not (sce note ad loc.). Again, where a non-Homeric use of the article presents itself, as at 10.536 , what slip could more easily be made by a reciter than the conversion of the

 rhythm equally good, and later idiom favoured the change?

Indeed it is difficult to see why a rhapsode should have felt himself bound to verbal accuracy: Thus the fact of one book comprising a larger number of post-Homeric words and forms and idioms is not necessarily evidence of a later origin for that book, but may equally well indicate that it suffered more modification in the mouths of the reciters before ever it was committed to writing. The latter explanation is particularly plausible in the case of Book 10 , which according both to ancient testimony and to modern criticism is really a lay independent of the llitd and wrongly incerted therein. We have only to suppose that in some district of ancient Greece the rhapsodes found Odysseus to be a more popular hero with their audiences than Achilles, and a reason can at once be seen for the assimilation of Book 10 of the Iliad with the Odyssey. Book io contains an account of certain exploits of Odysseus and no mention of Achilles; further, it is a complete story in itself and therefore suitable as a comparatively short recitation. If then it were constantly recited in conjunction with the Odysscy to audiences whose favourite hero was Odysseus, what would be more natural, nay inevitable, than the transfer from the one to the other of certain words and phrases?

These few examples of the way in which oral transmission may have been the sole source of the many difficulties and discrepancies of our text, are not intended as proofs of any view -the limits of this Introduction exclude the statement and estimate of arguments-but may serve to justify the caution expressed above against accepting too readily any modern theory of the composition of the Iliad by successive additions to a zuritten original, and against abandoning too lightly the old tradition recorded by Josephus that "Homer did not leave his poetry in writing, but that it was transmitted by memory, and afterwards put together from the separate songs." (Referred to and translated by Jebb, 'Homer,' p. 105.) The difficulty of oral transmission is apt to be overestimated. No organisation would have been necessary for the purpose among a people whose memories were not impaired by the arts of reading and writing. Even at the present day there are in Greece vagrant unlettered rhapsodes who have learnt at haphazard, and recite, without
effirt or slip, stories in prose or poetry no whit shorter than the Iliad. Unhappily the enforcement of education is rapidly killing both the memories and the powers of improvisation of the peasants, and they themselves recognize that the next generation will have exchanged the power to remember the wealth of story and song that a few of them still possess for a power to read books which none of them will be able to acquire. Surely if such gifts of memory exist still here and there among the degenerate population of Modern Greece, there is no great difficulty in imagining the oral transmission of the Ilitut by the rhapsodes of a more virile and wholly unlettered age.

If then Homer composed the liad without the aid of writing, and the rhapsodes for two or three centuries transmitted it by word of mouth, it is ubvious that when the time came that it was deemed expedient to resort to a less precarious method of transmission and to commit the poem to writing, a close approximation of the written and authorised version to Homer's original could only be secured by systematic compilation and comparison of various portions and versions of the poem as recited by various rhapsodes. Of such revision there is an ancient tradition : the task is credited either to Pisistratus or to Lycurgus : and those who believe in the memorial composition and oral transmission of the Ilith?, will have no good reason to doubt that the period in which Lycurgus and Pisistratus flourished was the period in which the Ilizd was first written down. That the revision which is said to have taken place was not conducted on principles of scientific criticism, is obvious from the existing discrepancies and is little cause for wonder. That the revisers in a less critical age than ours did not observe all those discrepancies which modern scholars have detected, may be readily believed: that, observing perhaps some few of them and not knowing how to reconcile them without flagrant violation of tradition, they handed down their compilation without torturing it into complete unity, is wholly to thene credit and our gain.

## § III. The Language of the Iliad.

The 'Epic' dialect is in the main of Ionic form, of which a distinguishing mark is the use of $\eta$ where in Attic we should

 But the dialect differs materially from that of Herodotus (in contrast with which the language of Homer is spoken of as 'Old Ionic') both in word-formation and in syntax. As an example of the former may be cited the large number of strong aorists in Homer, one class of which,-namely and aorists middle formed by adding the personal termination to the tensestem without a connecting or 'Thematic' vowel,--afterwards became obsolete, e.g. ${ }^{\mathbf{\beta} \rho-\tau о, ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \kappa-\tau о ~ e t c . ~ D i f f e r e n c e s ~ i n ~ s y n t a x ~}$ are still more striking. The article ( $\dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}$, tó) is far more emphatic than in later Greek, and is seldom to be translated 'the': it is most commonly a personal pronoun ('he,' 'she,' (it') or a demonstrative pronoun ('this,' 'that'). Again, in statements referring to future time, a wide range of constructions is open. The future indicative, the subjunctive and the optative may all three be used with or without $\kappa \epsilon \nu$ or $\neq \nu$ to express various grades of probability,-grades which do not seem to have been very accurately distinguished by Homer and which certainly defy precise translation. In later Greek the subjunctive lost its power of expressing a future statement, the optative required ${ }_{c} \nu$ for that purpose, and the future indicative rejected ${ }^{a} \nu,-$-the six grades of probability being thus reduced to the more easily distinguished two. Prepositions again have in Homer not fully emerged from the adverbial stage : thus in the phrase ' ${ }^{1} \lambda c o \theta_{l} \pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$, the form " ${ }^{1} \lambda \iota \dot{c} \theta_{l}$ is not governed by $\pi \rho o$, but has a locative force in itself ( $=$ ' at llium '), to which the adverb $\pi \rho o$ adds the notion 'in front.' So in cases of what is called 'tmesis,' i.e. the 'cutting off' or separation of the preposition (or rather the adverb) from the verb, e.g. Bk. 9. 212, кaт̀̀ $\pi \hat{\imath} p$ '̇ккá , 'the fire burnt down,' it would be more correct to say that the adverb кarí had not yet in the Homeric dialect coalesced with the verb каíw. All cases
of verbs compounded with 'prepositions,' as we usually say, are really cases where the same adverbs were so froquently required to qualify the same verbs, that the adverb and verb gradually became united in one word. 'Tmesis' therefore is an ill-chosen term; there is no such thing as 'severing' a compounded verb; in Homer the process of compounding is still incomplete. Finally, as we should expect of a language in its youth, the expression of thought in Homer is often 'paratactic' where in later Greek it would be 'syntactic,' i.e. clauses, of which one in later Greek would form a main sentence with the other or others subordinate to it, are in Homer arranged side by side without subordination. Thus 'come and see' is paratactic; 'come that you may see' is syntactic. In some cases there is no difference in the actual words in Greek between the paratactic and the syntactic forms of expression. Thus the two paratactic clauses, 'Would that Achilles might relent! Then would the Greeks be saved,' can be expressed in Homeric Greek in exactly the same words as the 'syntactic' sentence, 'If only Achilles would relent, then the Grecks would be saved.' The difference in reciting was merely one of tone and pause, and the difference in writing is therefore one of punctuation only. See notes on 10. 1or, and 204-13.

The Homeric dialect, though, as we have seen, fairly called Old Ionic, was never the spoken dialect of any given Ionic people. The variety of forms in which the simplest words occur is a decisive argument against any such supposition. No single spoken dialect would have contained five forms of the infinitive
 ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu a$, and the same wealth of alternative forms is characteristic of the 'Epic' dialect throughout. This richness in form was probably the product of a long period of balladmaking prior to the composition of any Epic proper,-a period in which language was gradually matured and developed to suit the requirements of the hexameter rhythm,-and came an already perfected instrument of musical speech to the great master of epic song.

The Homeric dialect, then, as we now have it, is an OldIonic poetic dialect, which contains a variety of forms never
co-existent in any single spoken idiom. But in it there are found certain elements usually associated with the Acolic rather than the Ionic dialect. Among these may be mentioned :
(1) Some cases of Kapuróv $\boldsymbol{I}_{\sigma}$ s (i.e. accenting as far back as possible) which the tradition of the MSS. has preserved to us, e.g. є́ $\gamma \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma o p \theta a \iota$ (where Ionic and Attic would have é $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \dot{\circ} \rho \theta a \iota$ ).
(2) Nominatives of masculine words of the first declension, with termination $\breve{a}$, e.g. $i \pi \pi$ óva.
(3) The forms $\ddot{a}^{\prime} \mu \mu \epsilon s, ~ v ̈ \mu \mu \epsilon s$ ( $\left.=\dot{\eta} \mu \in i \hat{s}, \dot{v} \mu \in i ̂ s\right)$.
(4) A few words such as aủtáp, ri$\sigma v \rho \subset s, a i ̄ \sigma a, \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota s$.

To these used to be added the letter 'digamma' (the English ' $w$ ') but this is now known to have existed in other than the Aeolic dialect.

The presence of these Acolic elements suggested a theory that Aeolic was the original dialect of the Homeric poems, of which a deliberate translation in Ionic was made in the latter half of the 6th century B.C. It is barely conceivable that such a translation could at once have superseded the Aeolic original. It would be more reasonable to suppose that an Aeolic original had become gradually and unconsciously Ionicised in the mouths of Ionic rhapsodes. But, until we know more precisely what were the characteristic contrasts 0 . Acolic and Ionic at a very carly epoch in their development, speculations on the point must remain unreliable.

## § IV. Grammatical Forms.

A few of the commoner forms in which the Homeric declensions and conjugations differ from those of Attic are here enumerated; the rarer and archaic forms will be commented upon in the Notes as they occur.

## First Declension.

The nom. sing. of feminine words is in $-\eta$ with few exceptions: $\pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \eta$, à $\lambda \eta \theta \in \dot{\prime} \eta$ etc., but $\theta \epsilon a ́$.

The nom. sing. of masculine words is generally in $-\eta s$.
Except (1) 'Eputias and some other proper names.
(2) Aeolic nominatives in ă, as im $i \pi$ óra.

The gen. sing. of masculine words is in $-\epsilon \omega$ or -an, or after a vowel, in - $\omega$, as ${ }^{\text {'E }} \rho \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} i \omega$.

The sen. plur. is in -iwn or - $\epsilon \omega$; but the contracted - $\omega v$, which is universal in Attic, may occur after a longr vowel. Thus


The dat. plur. is in (1) $-\eta \sigma_{t}(\nu)$,
(2) $-\eta s$,
(3) -ats, as in Attic, but cases of this are rare and possibly corrupt.
For - $\phi x^{\prime}(v)$, an old Instrumental case-ending, see nute on Bk. 9, 1. 58, $\gamma_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \phi$ เข.

## Second Declension.

The genitive singular is generally in -no; but a shortened form in ono existed of which the contraction -ov also occurs as


The dative plural is in -ot $\langle, v$, or, as in Attic, -ots.
The genitive and dative dual is in ooiv.
The Instrumental case in - $\phi\left({ }^{(\nu)}\right.$, belongs also to this declension, e.g. $\theta$ єó $\phi \stackrel{\text { ı. }}{ }$

## Third Declension.

The casc-ending usually follows a stem ending in a vowel without contraction:

| e.g. $\mu$ ¢́vos | gen. $\mu \in$ ע́vos, | dat. $\mu \in ́ \nu \in \check{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ס́є̇паs | gen. $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ traos, |  |
| aióes | gen. aioióos, | dat. ai óói. $^{\text {a }}$ |

-eos when contracted becomes not -ous, as in Attic, but - tes.
The dative plural ends in $-\sigma t$, but several forms of the case occur in some common words :


This doubling of $\sigma$ and of other consonants is a common metrical convenience, e.g. $\overline{i \pi} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ and $\dot{i} \pi \pi i \sigma \sigma \omega$.

A few common words may be noticed separately:
$\pi \dot{d} \lambda$ es is declined throughout with a stem in -1 ( $\pi i)=u$, $\pi$ ìtons,
 -̈ìjes, múdijas, anci more rarely $\pi \dot{u} \lambda \cos$ and $\pi$ oìeas.
avip makes gen. sing. àvépos as well as àopoús, and other analogous forms.
viós has forms of both second and third declension, e.g. gen. sing. vioû or viéos.
$\nu \eta u ̂ s$ (Attic vaîs) is declined throughout with stem $\nu \eta$ - (from which a digamma is lost), e.g. $\nu \eta(F)$-ós, $\nu \eta^{\prime}(F)-\epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$, but has alternative forms with stem $\nu \epsilon(F)$-, as $\nu \epsilon \in-a s, \nu \epsilon-\hat{\omega} \nu$.

The adjective $\pi 0 \lambda u$ 's (with neuter $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}$ ) is declined in the masculine throughout (with the exception of the dative singular which does not occur) according to the third declension (gen. sing. $\pi \dot{o} \lambda \operatorname{\epsilon os}$ etc.). The form $\pi o \lambda \lambda o{ }^{\prime}, \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}, \pi o \lambda \lambda o \dot{\nu}$ is declined throughout according to the rules above given for the first and second declensions, save that the gen. $\pi o \lambda \lambda o \hat{v}$ is wanting.

The personal pronouns are as follows:-

| First Person | Second Person | Tilird Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sing. N. Є̇ $\gamma \omega \dot{\omega}, \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\nu} \nu$ <br> A. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}, \mu \epsilon$ <br> G. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} 0, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} 0, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \hat{v}$, $\mu \in U$. A form $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \mu^{\prime} \theta \in \nu$ also serves as gen. <br> D. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 l, \mu 0 \iota$ | $\sigma ט ́, \tau \cup ̛ \nu \eta$ <br> $\sigma \epsilon$ <br> $\sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} 0, \sigma \hat{\epsilon} 0, \sigma \epsilon v, \tau \in 0 \hat{\imath} 0$. <br> A form $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$ also serves as gen. <br> $\sigma o l, \tau 0 \iota, \tau \in \overparen{L} \nu$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}, \dot{\epsilon} ; \mu \nu$ <br> $\epsilon \hat{i} 0$, '̈́o, $^{\prime} \hat{u}$. A form $\epsilon^{\prime} \theta \in \nu$ also serves as gen. <br> € $0 \hat{\imath}$, ot |
| Dual N. A. $\nu \omega \hat{\iota}$ G. D. $\nu \omega ิ \iota \nu$ | $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \iota$ <br> $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \iota \nu$ | $\sigma \phi \omega \epsilon$ <br> $\sigma \phi \omega i \nu$ |
| Plur. N. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, ă $\mu \mu \epsilon s$ <br> A. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ a s, \ddot{a} \mu \mu \epsilon$, once $\eta \mu$ as <br> G. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu, \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ <br> D. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu, \dot{\eta} \mu \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \iota(\nu)$ | $\dot{v} \mu \in i ̂ s, \forall \dot{\mu} \mu \in s$ <br> $\dot{v} \mu \dot{́} \alpha s, \quad \ddot{\nu} \mu \mu \epsilon$ <br> $\dot{u} \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu, \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ <br> $\dot{i} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \ddot{v} \mu \tau \nu, \ddot{v} \mu \mu \imath(\nu)$ | $\sigma \phi \hat{a} c s, \quad \sigma \phi \hat{a} s, \sigma \phi \epsilon$, and once $\sigma \phi \bar{a}$ s <br> $\sigma \phi \epsilon i \omega \nu, \sigma \phi \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu, \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \phi i \sigma l(\nu), \sigma \phi l(\nu)$ |

For a case in which oфiot as reflexive serves as dat. plur. of the seciond personal pronoun, see note on Io. 398.

The Article ( $\dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}, \tau \dot{o})$ also serves as third personal pronoun in all cases.

Peculiarities of verbs will be found discussed for the most part in notes on the passages where they occur. But the formation of the subjunctive active and middle, as a frequently occurring phenomenon, may be explained here.

In Attic the subjunctives active abil middle of presents and aorists all have the following terminations:

```
A. Active -\omega, -\etas, -\eta | -\eta\tauo\nu, -\eta\tauo\nu:-\omega\mu\epsilon\nu, -\eta\tau\epsilon, -\omega\sigmat
```



In the original dialect of 1 fomer this scheme of formation was probably confined in its entirety to 'Thematic' tenses, i.e. those tenses of the indicative which have a vowel (oor $\epsilon$ inserted between the tense-stem and the personal suffix, e.g. rimt-n- $\mu \in{ }^{2}$,
 which the personal suffix follows immediately upon the tensestem, e.g. " $\mu \in \nu$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda u-\sigma a, ~ \ddot{\epsilon},\langle\eta-\nu$, formed their subjunctive by the aid of that system of terminations which in Attic belongs to the Present Indicative, viz.:



But in our actual text these forms with short vowels are not all now extant. Some of them have been regularly preserved by the exigencies of metre; but where the change from the early scheme of formation to the later Iunic and Attic scheme did not affect the metre, that change has almost invariably taken place. Thus in the Active $-\epsilon t s,-\epsilon$ and -or $\sigma$ h have been supplanted by the later forms $-\eta s,-\eta$ and $-\omega \sigma \iota$ because the substitution did not damage the metre; for it was natural for the rhapsodes unconsciously to assimilate the old Homeric forms to the later idiom of their own times, wherever such assimilation made no difference to the beauty and rhythm of the poem. They and their auditors were not concerned with preserving ancient grammatical forms. Similarly in the Middle the terminations $-\epsilon$, $-\epsilon \sigma \theta \theta^{\nu},-\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ and -rivta were unconsciously changed into - $\%$, $-\eta \sigma \theta o \nu,-\eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ and $-\omega \nu \tau a \iota$.

The actual hybrid scheme by which Thematic tenses form their suljunctives in our existing texts results therefore as follows:



A few forms, however, surviving for other reasons than the necessities of metre, remain to attest the former completeness of the system of formation (B) for Non-Thematic tenses. Such are two subjunctives which have come to be used exclusively as futures. From 'єठoции, used as the future of $\epsilon \sigma \theta i \omega$ but really a
 (with which compare the word $\delta$ vocopingoveat in Io. 183 , which Homeric idiom compels us to take as aor. subj. See note ad loc.); and from $\delta j \omega$ used as a future ( $=$ 'shall find'), but really an aorist subjunctive, comes a form $\delta \eta \in \epsilon s$ as well as $\delta \dot{\eta} \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$ and $\delta \eta \dot{\epsilon \tau \epsilon .}$

The scheme of formation C should be carefully observed by the student, in order that he may not mistake the aorists subjunctive of Non-Thematic tenses for futures indicative, their forms being in many cases identical, and idiom being the only guide by which to decide between them. See note on 9. 46, $\delta_{\iota} \pi \bar{\epsilon} \rho \sigma о \mu е \nu$.

## § V. Metre and Quantity.

The measure in which the Homeric poems are composed is the Hexamcter. This measure, as its name indicates, is composed of six feet to each verse. Each foot falls into two equal parts. Of these parts the first is known as the arsis ('raising of the tone or voice') as bearing the rhythmical accent, and is always a long syllable. The second part is known as the thesis ('dropping of the tone or voice'), and consists indifferently either of one long syllable or two short syllables, except in the fifth foot where two short syllables are preferred, and in the sixth foot where two short syllables are inadmissible, but a single short syllable may do duty for a long syllable. The scheme of quantity of the Hexameter may be presented thus :--

Besides the observance of this scheme of quantity, the hexameter requires a break or pause in its rhythm. This pause
is effected by the non-cnincidence of words with metrical feet. Une foot at least in every vetse must be so divided as to contain the end of one word and the beginning of the next. This division is called the catsura ('cuting'). The purpose of chesura is to give a balance to the verse; this balance was found to be best attained by catssura in the third foot; if wanting there, it must nccur in the fourth foot. In a very large number of verses there is catsma in both these feet.

This division of the foot by the overlapping of two words lies cither between the arsis and the thisis :- $\overline{\bar{y}}$, or, in the case of a dactylic foot, between the two short syllables of the thisis:- - - - . The former is called 'strong' or 'masculine' calstra, the latter 'weak' or 'feminine, or because the first part of the foot thus divided constitutes a trochee, - - , trochaic aitsura. The following lines exemplify these varieties of cuesura.
(1) Strong caesura in the 3rd foot.

(2) Weak caesura in the 3rd foot.

(3) Strong caesura in the 4 th foot.

(4) Weak caesura in the 4th foot.


In both the examples of type (4) it will be noticed that there is catsura in the third foot as well as that marked in the fourth, in the former verse 'weak,' in the latter verse 'strong.' Weak caesura of the fourth foot scems never to be found alone, and to be avoided in gencral even in combination with cacstra of the third foot. The first three types of citesura must therefore be regarded as the normal forms. The student will find that in a large proportion of verses strong cuesuriz of the fourth foot, as in example (3), is combined with strong or weak citesima of the third foot, as in examples (1) and ( 2 ).

To the reverse of calcsura, viz. to the coincidence of the end
of a word with the end of a metrical foot, is applied the term dicuresis. Such a break is not essential to the rhythm, and in many verses there is nonc. It is seldom or never found at the end of the third foot, where its cffect would be to break the verse into two equal halves. It is most frequently found at the end of the fourth foot, and when it occurs there, the fourth foot is by preference a dactyl. Direresis in this position, from being a marked feature of Bucolic poetry, is called the Bucolic Diairesis. A line already cited as an instance of cacsura exhibits also two cases of diacrisis, (1) at the end of the first foot, ( 2 ) at the end of the dactylic fourth foot (i.e. bucolic diacresis).

The quantity of a given syllable for metrical purposes depends primarily on the nature of the vowel or vowels which it contains. Diphthongs and the vowels $\omega$ and $\eta$ are by nature long : $a, t$, and $v$ may be either long or short by nature, i.e. they were capable of pronunciation in two different ways, but the two sounds are represented by the same symbol in writing : $\epsilon$ and $o$ are short by nature.

But a vowel or diphthong does not always retain its natural quantity. Vowels naturally short, viz. $\check{a}, \epsilon, \check{\iota}, o, \check{v}$, become long by position before two consonants; e.g. àm óde $\epsilon \frac{\rho}{}$, in which $\alpha$, naturally short, is lengthened by position before $\pi \tau$ : $\pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \theta$ os, in which $\epsilon$ is lengthened by position before $\nu \theta$. The double consonants $\zeta(=\sigma+\delta), \psi(=\pi+\sigma)$ and $\xi(=\kappa+\sigma)$ have the same lengthening effect as a combination of two ordinary consonants. On the other hand, vowels which are long by nature, viz., $\bar{i}, \eta, \bar{\imath}, \bar{v}, \omega$, and diphthongs are liable to shortening in those positions in which a vowel naturally short would suffer elision. In other words, a long vowel or diphthong at the end of a word is shortened in liatues, i.e. when the following word begins with any vowel without intervening consonant, e.g.

Eỉ ठè $\sigma o l$ aủṭ̂ $\theta \nu \mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma v \tau a i ̆, ~ \omega ̃ s ~ \tau \in ~ \nu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a t, ~$


$$
\text { Il. 9. } 42-3 .
$$

In these two lines the diphthong of is thrice shortened
in hiatus, and the termination -rau of ineiroveat suffers the like before $\omega$ © $\tau \tau$.

To these two rules exceptions are made, some recularly, others irregularly.
(1) A short vowel at the end of a word may be lengthened by position before certain words beginning with one of the consonants $\rho, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \sigma, \delta$, e.g.

This phenomenon may be explained on the supposition that the initial consonant was doubled in pronunciation, the words

(2) The augment of verbs beginning with one of the same six consonants is likewise liable to lengthening, e.g. 领eufev, 10. 240; ${ }^{2} \lambda i \sigma \sigma \sigma v \tau 0,9.585$, etc. The explanation lies in the same doubling of sound as in the former case, and the words
 doubling of sound after the augment is regularly found in verbs beginning with $\dot{\rho}$, but not with other consonants.
(3) Diphthongs and long vowels sometimes retain their natural quantity in spite of hiutus. This is most common with $-\omega$ and $\eta$, and it has been suggested that, at the date of composition of the Homeric poems, the $\iota$ subscript could be sounded as a $y$, when required, and thus obviate hiatus: e.g. ôjp $\delta^{\circ}$ ävẹ $\dot{j} \sigma a v$ ( 0.30 , etc.) may have sounded as $\delta \dot{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ (uve $v y^{\prime} \dot{j} \sigma a v$. In the less frequent cases in which there is no saving a subscript, as $-o v,-\epsilon v,-\eta,-\omega$, etc., we must be content to assume lengthening in arsi, i.e. that the syllable in question is confirmed in its natural quantity by the incidence upon it of the rhythmical stress or ictus.
(t) Syllables naturally short are sometimes lengthened by the same ictus.
(5) A few words, which otherwise would be excluded from hexameter verse, reccive irregular and artificial lengthening of
 initial $\check{\mathbf{a}}$ is treated as $\bar{a}$. In this case the incidence of the ictus on the syllable may have aided in the lengthening.
lefore accounting for any apparent irregularity in metre by
the aid of the principles above enumerated, the student must be careful to discover whether that appearance is not due solely to the unwritten digramma with which many Homeric words begin, and to which was generally allowed the full force of a consonant. The digamma was a letter of the same sound as the English $w$, and derived its name from the resemblance of its written symbol $(F)$ to a double gramma ( $\Gamma$ ). The letter was written in inscriptions of certain ancient dialects, but not to our knowledge in the text of Homer. None the less it was without doubt sounded in recitation when the Homeric poems were first composed. Since the digamma was a consonant, (i) there could be no hiatus before it, and therefore no elision of short vowels nor shortening of long vowels, (2) it could make 'position,' i.e. a short termination of which the final letter was a consonant (e.g. -os, -єs, -ov) became long before a word beginning with the sound of digramma. Among the most frequent words with


 few words which originally began with $\sigma F$ : of these two consonants the $\sigma$ first disappeared, or rather was transformed into the rough breathing, the initial ' $F$ being related to $F$ as the English wh to $w$. Next the digamma itself was lost, leaving only the rough breathing to mark the place of the original $\sigma F$. Such words are the pronoun $\tilde{\epsilon}(\tilde{\epsilon} 0$, oî) and the corresponding possessive ös, also $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta a^{\prime} \nu \omega$, $\hat{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \dot{s}$, etč.

As a matter of fact, in the text of Homer, as it now exists, the digamma is found to be disregarded on an average once out of every six places where it occurs. This inconsistency did not probably belong to the original composition, but is the result of oral transmission by reciters who no longer pronounced the $F$ - sound. That sound, we know, was lost very early in the Ionic dialect. That loss "led to irregularities of metre, especially to frequent hiatus, and there would be a constant tendency to cure these defects by some slight change. The insertion of the $\nu$ 'ं $\phi \in \lambda \kappa v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa$ óv was almost a matter of course" (Monro, H. G. p. 288). Thus we have constantly $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in$ $a ̈ \nu a \xi$ instead of $\pi p \neq \sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ Fávag. Again "the numerous alterna-
tive forms used in the poctical language, and the abundance of short P'articles such as $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}, \tau \in$, pá etc. made it easy to disguise the loss of F in many places " (Itl. p. 2So). Thus, to take a few instances from Book 9 , the common text has at 1.73 wonécore
 true reading can be easily restored from these two versions, which have suffered different corrections consequent o: the loss of the $F$ from dreiorots : the original evidently was monéru Sé Faváovets. So again in 9 . is most MSS. give ócipmon in the

 read dipúpoyas and some of the older editions give ciôvius), but дvvaîkas á $\mu \dot{\mu} \mu$ оуа Fépya Fiovias.

It is not usual to print the disamma in the text of Homer, for the reason that the restoration of it in every place would necessitate numerous emendations of the traditional text: but the fact that, in the majority of places where the disamma is now neglected, such emendations would be of the most obvious and simple nature, is itself evidence that the disamma was not originally neglected at will, but was observed regularly as a consonant.

## § VI. Homeric Armour.

Book 10 is distinguished by its detailed description of dress and armour; it is well therefore that the student should approach it with such knowledge of an Homeric warrior's equipment as will enable him to form a clear picture of the scenes described. A theory has been recently adranced that the Achaeans, who formed the bulk of the Greek army before Troy, were not the aboriginal inhabitants of Greece, but had immigrated from the north. With them they had brought a civilisation different in many ways from that of the Pelasgian population among whom they came. In this civilisation an important point was the use of iron instead of bronze for offonsive weapons: the Jelasgians had used bronze both for spears and for arrowheads. The Achaean warrior on the contrary was equipped with an iron sword ( $\xi$ i(pos), more uften used for the cut than for the thrust
in virtue of its superior rigidity. For "with iron came the power of dealing a trenchant stroke." And further, since "such a blow could be delivered more effectively with a long than with a short sword," it is "natural to find Odysseus armed with a 'long hanger' (tavípkes a"op)." (Ridgeway, Early Age of Greece, I. p. 305.) Besides the sword, "the Homeric hero has often a spear ( $\epsilon \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \circ$ ), probably of iron." In the spear-head was a socket into which the wooden shaft was fitted, and the butt of the spear was finished off with a conical knob (oupíaXos) or with a spike ( $\sigma a u p \omega \tau i p$, on which see note at Io. 153). The bow and arrow were somewhat despised, although Odysseus was an adept with them; but we hear of iron being used also for the arrowhead.

Defensive armour on the other hand was still made of bronze. The Achacans constantly have the epithet $\chi$ алко$\chi^{i} \tau \omega \nu \epsilon s$, i.e. they wore shirts of mail made of bronze. Further, "the breastplate $(\theta \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \xi)$ is frequently mentioned as part of the warrior's gear. It is described as $\chi^{a} \lambda \kappa \epsilon o s, \pi o \lambda v \delta a i \delta a \lambda o s$, $\pi a \nu a i o \lambda o s . ~ T h e s e ~ e p i t h e t s ~ p r o v e ~ t h a t ~ i t ~ w a s ~ c o m m o n l y ~ c o m-~$ posed of bronze and often highly ornate.... It was sometimes composed of $\gamma \dot{\text { úa }}$ a or hollow plates, which were probably fastened on to a substructure of leather. It was in tact a hauberk rather than a cuirass" (1d. p. 309). "For protection of the belly the chieftains occasionally wore a $\mu i \tau \rho \eta$. It was worn by Ares and Menelaus. As it is described as $\pi o \lambda v \delta a i \delta a \lambda o s$, and as 'wrought by the smiths' ( $\chi a \lambda \kappa \bar{\eta} \epsilon s$ ), it was certainly made of metal. It is mentioned in close connection with the $\zeta \hat{\omega} \mu u$, and from the epithet aiodouitpls it was probably visible when worn. It lay next the skin under the lower part of the hauberk, which was girt to it by the girdle ( $\zeta \omega \sigma \pi \eta \rho$ ), which seems to have been of leather (sometimes red), fitted with bronze clasps."... "From the close connection of the $\mu i \tau \rho \eta$ and the $\zeta \omega \mu \pi$, it is not unlikely that the latter was a broad belt of leather worn to protect the belly. Just as the leathern shirt was later strengthened by metal attachments, so further security was obtained for the abdomen by wearing a broad bronze belt ( $\mu i \tau \rho \eta$ ) over the earlier $\zeta \hat{\omega} \mu a "$ (Id. pp. 3IO, 3II).

In addition to this body-armour the legs were protected with
greaves of bronze (or in the case of . Achilles, of tin), fistened at knee and ankle. This appears to have been a distinguishing feature of Achaean panoply, the term є่vu'ทumes being among the most frequent of the epithets applied to them.

The head was provided with an helmet of varying material and shape. It might be a simple cap of leather; the word kuvé itself, which is used for any kind of helmet, properly meant some headgear of 'dogskin' (from кíws', кuris). The epithets ravpeim, ai $\gamma \epsilon i \eta$ and ктió $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, show that the hides of bull and of goat and the skin of the marten or weasel were turned to the same purpose. To leathern helmets were sometimes added bronze attachments; plates or studs of bronze may be meant by the epithet $\chi a \lambda \kappa \eta p \eta s$, and cheek-pieces of bronze are specified by $\chi^{a \lambda \kappa o \pi a ́ p l o s . ~ T h e r e ~ w e r e ~ a l s o ~ h e l m e t s ~ e n t i r e l y ~ o f ~ b r o n z e ~(\kappa w e ́ n ~}$ $\left.\pi r \gamma \chi^{\omega} \lambda \kappa 0 s\right)$. Certain terms for parts of the helmet require explanation. A crest ( $\lambda$ cípos) was sometimes fitted into the top of the helmet. фádapa means a boss or ornamental plate ; the epithet $\tau \in \tau \rho a \phi$ ridplipos is therefore descriptive of a helmet with four such bosses. Finally фidos is a ridge running along the helmet fore and aft. Such ridges might number more than
 and four ridges respectively; while тpupaidem, which properly meant an helmet with three ridges, lost that special sense (just as кu', 'ध $\eta$ lost the special sense of 'dog-skin'), and is employed by Homer as a general term for 'helmet.'

Lastly, on the left arm was borne a shield (írmis). The epithets commonly applied to it clearly denote its shape,-
 tively of Euclid's definition of a circle, 'equal in every direction'
 which were sometimes concentric circles of ornamental work.

In some such guise equipped, the hero, accompanied by a comrade-in-arms as charioteer, mounted a two-wheeled car drawn by a pair of horses and drove into battle.

## IMIA $\triangle O \Sigma$ I.

## ПPEГBEIA TPOГ AXIMAEA. NITAI.

Agamemnon convokes an assembly of the Greeks, and advises them to return home.
 $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma i \eta$ є́ $\chi \epsilon$ фú $\zeta$ а, фóßov крvóєvтos є́таíp $\eta$,
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## Diemede áeluemently afpesis Asamemmon's susjestion.























On Nistor's proposal guards are set, and Agamcmmon invites the elder men to sup in his tent.




 oű тís тo九 тòv $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ Ov ỏvó $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$, ő $\sigma \sigma o \iota$ 'A $\chi a \iota o i$ ',

 ó $\pi \lambda$ óтatos $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\eta} \phi \iota \nu \cdot$ ảтàp $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ ßáá̧ııs











 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ a i ́ ~ \tau o \iota ~ o ̂ ้ \nu o v ~ к \lambda \iota \sigma i ́ a \iota, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ v \eta ̄ \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' А \chi a \iota \omega ̂ \nu ~$




 $\kappa а i ́ o v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \pi v \rho a ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ \cdot ~ \tau i \varsigma ̧ a ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \gamma \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \iota \epsilon \nu$;


 So





 $\kappa a ̀ \delta ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \tau a ́ \phi \rho o u ~ r a i ~ \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi є o s ~ i \zeta ̧ o v ~ i o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s . ~$
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Supper being ended, Nistor urges Agamemnon to conciliate Achilles.











 oủ yúp tis vóov ä入入os ả áivova тov̂סe voriбєє,










Agamcmnon confesses his folly in zeronging Achilles, and declares what amends he is willing to make: he will ristore the maiden Briscis, and make many sifts as peace-offerings.




 à $\lambda \lambda$ ' є̇ $\pi \epsilon \grave{~ a ̉ a \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ ф \rho \epsilon \sigma i ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon v \gamma a \lambda \epsilon ́ ~} \eta \sigma \iota ~ \pi \iota \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma$,

 є̈тт’ àтúpous трíтобая, סє́ка סє̀ Хрvбоîo тá̀avта,
 $\pi \eta \gamma o v ̀ s ~ a ̀ \theta \lambda o \phi o ́ p o v s, ~ o i ̀ ~ a ̀ ́ \theta \lambda \iota a ~ \pi о \sigma \sigma i \nu ~ a ̈ \rho o \nu \tau o . ~$












 $\epsilon і \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, öтє кє $\delta a \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \lambda \eta i \delta^{\prime}$ ' A Хаьоí,
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 таиิтá кє́ oi $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma a \iota \mu \iota ~ \mu є \tau а \lambda \lambda \eta$ ŋ́gavтє Хо́入оьо.





Nestor selects enzoys to so and make known to Achilles the offer of Agamemnon. The Enioys go on their way and are entertained by Achilles.



 165





 őфра $\Delta i 兀 \mathrm{~K} \rho о \nu i ́ \delta \eta$ à $\rho \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$, aĭ $\kappa ’$ єं $\lambda \epsilon \eta \prime \sigma \eta$."



 aủтàp Є̇ $\pi \epsilon i$ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a ́ l ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi i o v ~ \theta ', ~ o ̋ \sigma o \nu ~ \eta ้ \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ~ \theta u \mu o ́ s, ~$




$\tau \grave{\omega}$ ठє̀ $\beta a ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \pi а \rho a ̀ ~ \theta i ̂ \nu a ~ \pi о \lambda v \phi \lambda о i ́ \sigma \beta o \iota o ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~$



 $\kappa а \lambda!̣ ̂ ~ \delta a t \delta a \lambda \epsilon ́ \eta, ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ \rho \gamma u ́ \rho \epsilon o \nu ~ \zeta u \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ \eta \ni \epsilon \nu . ~$
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т̀̀ каі $\delta \in \iota к \nu \cup ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma є ́ \phi \eta ~ \pi и ́ \delta а я ~ \omega ’ к и ̆ s ~ ' A \chi ı \lambda \lambda \epsilon u ́ s . ~$














 aủтàp є́ $\pi \epsilon \grave{~ к а т a ̀ ~ \pi v ̂ \rho ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ส ́ \eta ~ к а i ~ ф \lambda o ̀ \xi ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu а р а ́ \nu \theta \eta, ~}$





 тоíXou тoû étépolo, $\theta \in o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \theta v ̂ \sigma a l ~ a ̀ \nu \omega ́ \gamma є \iota ~$



Odyssens, as spokesman of the envoys, tells Achilles of the ceil plight of the Greck forces, implores him to relent, and rehearses Agamemnon's offer.



















 aủтás т' є’ $\mu \pi \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu}^{\mu a \lambda \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{v} ~ \pi v \rho o ́ s, ~ a u ̉ \tau a ̀ \rho ~ ' A \chi a \iota o u ̀ s ~}$























$\pi \eta \gamma o u ̀ s ~ a ̀ \theta \lambda o \phi o ́ p o v s, ~ o ̂ ̀ ~ a ̀ ́ ~ \theta \lambda ı a ~ \pi o \sigma \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ p o ı r \tau o . ~$
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 $\nu \eta ̂ a ~ a ̈ \lambda \iota s ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v}$ каì $\chi$ длко̂̂ $\nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, ö $\tau \epsilon \kappa є \nu \quad \delta a \tau \epsilon \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \lambda \eta i \delta^{\prime}$ 'A $\chi a \iota \circ i$, 280














 є่ข $\delta^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \delta \rho \in \varsigma ~ \nu a i ́ o v \sigma \iota ~ \pi o \lambda u ́ \rho \rho \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi o \lambda v \beta o v ̂ t a \iota$,


 $\epsilon i$ ठé то九 'Атрєións $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi r i ́ \chi \theta є т о ~ к \eta \rho o ́ \theta \iota ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu, ~ з о о ~$ aủtòs кaì тô $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a, \sigma \grave{v} \delta$ ' ä $\lambda \lambda o u s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \Pi a \nu a \chi a \iota o u ̀ s ~$
 тí⿱ovo'. ท̉ үáp кé $\sigma \phi \iota ~ \mu a ́ \lambda a ~ \mu e ́ \gamma a ~ к v ̂ \delta o s ~ a ̈ \rho o \iota o . ~$
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- Ahthlles rijocts the uffir, inteighs agrainst the injuries done to him after all his seriaces, ant announces his intintion to sail azay next day, and to fisht no more.























 3.30













 $\mu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon v \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \frac{1}{\prime} \tau \omega$ є̇̀̀ єỉóóтos. oủסє́ $\mu \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \iota$.
ả $\lambda \lambda$ ', 'O $\delta v \sigma \epsilon \hat{v}, \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu$ боi $\tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$



 350

















































 ov̉ $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ่ \mu o i ̀ ~ \psi v \chi \eta ̂ s ~ a ̀ \nu \tau a ́ \xi \iota o v ~ o v ' \delta ' ~ o ̈ \sigma a ~ \phi a \sigma i ̀ \nu ~$

















 $\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a ~ є ́ \eta े \nu ~ i ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon, \tau \epsilon \theta a \rho \sigma \eta ́ \kappa a \sigma \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \lambda a o i ́ . ~$

 ő $\phi \rho$ ’ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ фрá̧ $\omega \nu \tau a \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \grave{~} \phi \rho \epsilon \sigma i \quad \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu$ ả $\mu \epsilon i \nu \omega$, ク̈ кє́ $\sigma \phi \iota \nu$ עท̂ás $\tau \epsilon \sigma o ́ \eta ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ \lambda a o ̀ \nu ~ ' A \chi a \iota \omega ิ \nu ~$








Phnenix，hazing becn biditin by Aitilles to alide＊ith him that might and to sail loome next day，realls to mind heat Peleus inad cummitted Achilles to his and．and rifuses to be parted from Achillis aibether he stay or go．But he urges him to relent．








 тоข้ขєки́ $\mu \epsilon \pi$ тоє́ $\eta к \epsilon, \delta i \delta a \sigma к є ́ \mu \epsilon \nu а \iota ~ т а ̇ o ̂ є ~ т и ́ \nu т а, ~$



 oiov ӧтє трю̂тои＇$\lambda$ iтои’＇E入入áठа ка入入ıүv́vaıка， фєúgөv vєíкєa татрòs＇ $\mathrm{A} \mu$ úvтороs＇Ориєvídio，













 татрòs $\chi \omega о \mu$ е́vo兀o катà $\mu \in ́ \gamma а \rho a ~ \sigma \tau \rho \omega \phi \hat{a ́ \sigma \theta a u . ~}$












 $\dot{\rho \in i ̂ a, ~ \lambda a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu ~ ф u ́ \lambda а к a ́ s ~} \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \delta \rho a s ~ \delta \mu \omega a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma v v a i ̂ \kappa a s . ~$





[^0]
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \in \rho$ каi $\mu \in i \zeta \omega \nu$ àpєтो̀ $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ 及in $\tau \epsilon$.




Phocnix continuing relates the allichry of the 'Prayers, daughters of 'ius,' and plads that now is the time for reconciliation.

каì 耳íp тє $\lambda \iota \tau a i ́ ~ є i ́ \sigma \iota ~ D i o s ~ к о и ̂ p a \imath ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o \iota o, ~$ $\chi \omega \lambda a i \quad \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \cup \sigma a i \quad \tau \epsilon \pi a \rho a \beta \lambda \omega \pi \pi \epsilon ́ s \tau^{\prime} \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \dot{\omega}$,








510 $\lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma o v \tau a \iota$ ס' aैpa taí ye $\Delta i ́ a$ Kpovínva кıov̂бaı







 ä $\nu \delta \rho a \varsigma$ Sè $\lambda i \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ є̀ $\pi u \pi \rho о$ '́ $\eta \kappa \in \nu$ ápíбтous

 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi o ́ \delta a \varsigma^{\cdot} \pi \rho i ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oй тє $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \tau o ̀ \nu \kappa є \chi \circ \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \theta a \iota$.

Phoenix procceding recounts the story of Meliager and of the siege of Calydon as a wiarning against excessive obduracy in zerath. Now is the moment for honourable reconciliation.
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 $\kappa \iota \kappa \lambda \dot{\jmath \kappa о \nu \sigma ' ~ ' А i ́ \delta \eta \nu ~ к а і ~ є ̇ \pi а \iota \nu \eta ̀ \nu ~ П є р \sigma є ф о ́ v є \iota а \nu, ~}$








 $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau o ́ \gamma v o \nu$, тò $\mu$ è $\nu \eta \mu \iota \sigma \nu$ oìvoтє́סoto,

 oúסồ є́ $\pi \epsilon \mu \beta \epsilon \beta a \omega ̀ s ~ v i \psi \eta \rho \epsilon \phi$ éos $\theta a \lambda$ á $\mu о \iota$ $\sigma \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ ко入入ךтàs $\sigma a v i ́ \delta a s, ~ \gamma o v v o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ v i o ́ \nu . ~ . ~$














 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ тє каі $\chi$ арієута, како̀ $\delta^{\prime} \eta \not \mu \nu \nu \epsilon к а і$ аиैтшऽ.







Achilles bids Phocnix not to plead with him furthier. As a hint to the other cheoys to depart, he signs to Patroclus to spread a couch for Phoenix. Ajax, preparing to go, chides Achillis for his obduracy.






































Achilles repeats his refusal to be reconciled with Asamemnon.

 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau i ́ \mu o \iota ~ к а т \grave{a ̀ ~} \theta \nu \mu o ̀ \nu$ є́єívao $\mu v \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$.











Phoenix remains to sleip in the tent of Aikilles; the wher enaoy's return to Agamemnon, and Odysseus riforms Achilles' refusal.




 $\kappa \omega ́ \epsilon a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \rho ́ \eta ̂ \gamma o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \lambda i ́ \nu o ו o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau о ̀ \nu ~ c ̈ \omega \tau о \nu . ~$
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 oi $\delta$ ' öтє $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma i \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ є่v 'Aтрєíठao ๆह́vovтo,









 aủтóv $\sigma \epsilon \phi \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \omega \gamma \epsilon \nu$,







 Aїas каì кท́рикє $\delta \dot{v} \omega, \pi \epsilon \pi \nu \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega$ ă $\mu \phi \omega$.







Diomede expresses regret that the embassy was ever sent. He bids Agamimnon marshal the host for battle next morning. The chieftains depart each to his tent.
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 705 бítou каi oïvoto тò yàp $\mu$ évos è єтi каi cìкй.








## I \IA AOEK.

## $\triangle O \wedge \Omega N E I A$.

Agamemnon, sleepless with care, resolies to go and consult with Nester: While arraying himself he is aisitcd by his brother Menelaus whio is awake and abroad on a like errand.







 ผ̂s $\pi v \kappa i \nu ’ ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \eta \prime \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a ́ \chi \iota \zeta ' ~ ' А \gamma а \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu$

































The brothers go their ways to summon certain chieftains to a conference.







 aưt $\omega \mathrm{s}$, oưтє $\theta \epsilon a ̂ s$ viòs фí̉os oütє $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ o$.





 кєívov үáp кє $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau а ~ \pi \iota \theta o i ́ a \tau o ~ т о i ̂ o ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ v i o ̀ s ~$















Aramemmen rouse's listor: and lids hime whe aith him to wisit the suards on duty without the jates, and thane to meet Menelaus and others.







































 «̀тїөєóv т' Аїаขта каі̆ 'I $\delta о \mu є \nu \eta ̄ a ~ a ̈ \nu а к т а . ~$



 $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ő $\phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu$ катà $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \grave{a} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta ิ a s ~ \pi о \nu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~$
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Sistor, aciompanyins Iganmemnon, weakies first Odysseus and then Diomede; the latter is sent to fetile Ajax and Meges.



















 150





 тòv тарбтàs ảעє́үєıрє Гєрท́vıos iтто́та Nє́ $\sigma \tau \omega \rho$,
 " ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \rho \in о$, Tv
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 ท̂ $\mu$ ú̀a $\lambda \cup \gamma \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̈ \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s ~ ' A \chi a \iota o i ̂ s ~ \eta ’ e ̀ ~ \beta \iota \omega ิ \nu a \iota . ~$






The guards being found under arms and vigilant are commended by Nestor. The chieftains cross the trench and sit down in the open plain.

 à $\lambda \lambda ’$ є่ $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma о \rho \tau i ̀ ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon u ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon і ̈ a \tau o ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~$


L. IL. IX. X.





 [каí $\sigma \phi \epsilon a s ~ \phi \omega ı \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ є̈тєа $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho о ́ \varepsilon \nu \tau a ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta u ́ \delta a] . ~$



 $19:$








Nestor asks if any weill volunteer to go and spy upon the
Trojans. Diomedic zoluntiors, and asks for a comrade.




 そ̈бба тє $\mu \eta \tau i o ́ \omega \sigma \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota v, \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \mu a ́ a \sigma \iota \nu$













 $\mu \hat{\lambda \lambda \lambda о \nu} \theta a \lambda \pi \omega \rho \grave{̀}$ каì $\theta a \rho \sigma a \lambda \epsilon \omega ่ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ єै $\sigma \tau a \iota$.




Sercral chieftains are eager to accompany Diomede. He is bidden ly Agamemnon to choose among them without respect of person, and selects Odysseus.

 $\eta ้ \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \mathrm{M} \eta \rho \stackrel{\circ}{\nu} \eta \varsigma, \mu a ́ \lambda a \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ Né $\sigma t o \rho o s ~ v i o ́ s, ~$

























Diemade and Odysscus are armad for the experition. As they set out, Athene sends them an omen of success. They pray to her in turn.



 таvрєínv, "̈фало́v $\tau \epsilon \kappa а і$ й $\lambda \lambda о ф о \nu$, "̈ $\tau \epsilon \kappa а \tau а і ̂ \tau v \xi$




















 $\kappa \iota \nu \cup ้ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma, \nu \hat{v} \nu$ aن̉тє $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \mu \epsilon$ фì $\lambda a \iota$, 'A $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$,








 бùv $\sigma o i ́$, ठîa $\theta \epsilon \alpha ́$, öтє oi $\pi \rho o ́ \phi \rho a \sigma \sigma a ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta s . ~$









The Trojan chieftains likeaise are atatake. Hictor alls ant assembly, and induces Doion to so and shy ufon the Greek camp.










 $\hat{\eta} \eta \not ้ \delta \eta \quad \chi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ن́ф’ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \eta \sigma \iota \delta a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$











 $\delta \omega \sigma \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$, oì форє́ovбıv ả $\mu v ́ \mu о \nu a$ П$\eta \lambda \epsilon i ̈ ́ \omega \nu a$.






 Трผ́ш







Dolon sets out and is espied by Odysseus, who craftily allows him to pass toreard the Greek camp. Odysseus and Diomede then pursue and catch him. Dolon pleads to have his life spared, and promises large ransom.








 aiєí $\mu \iota \nu$ є̇ $\pi i ̀ \nu \eta \hat{a}$ S àmò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \phi \iota ~ \pi \rho о \tau \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$



































Odyssus guilefully reassures his prisoncr, and questions him. Dolon confesses his purpose of spying.




 ทै тєva $\sigma \nu \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$ vєки́ $\omega \nu$ кататє $\theta \nu \eta(\omega) \tau \omega \nu ;$













Ody'sseus asks how the Trojans and their allies are postad, and Dolon in answer directs him to the quarters of the newely-arrited Thracian prince, Rhesus, whose horses and arms were a worthy spoil. He prays to be left a prisoner in the Greek camp, or to be bound and left where he is, till Odysseus and Diomede return from their raid.








 そ̈ $\sigma \sigma a$ тє $\mu \eta \tau \iota o ́ \omega \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$ $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu, \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \mu a ́ a \sigma \iota \nu$













 " $\pi \omega ̂ \varsigma ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \nu v ̂ \nu, ~ Т \rho \omega ́ \in \sigma \sigma \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota ~ і т \pi т о \delta a ́ \mu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~$






















Diomede slays Dolon, whose armour is offered by Ody'sseus to Athene. Having marked the spot so as not to miss the spoil on the way back, Odysseus and Diomede speedily reach the Thracian cncampment. Rhesus and his comrades are asleep.












 каі $\lambda \cup к \in ́ \eta \nu ~ к а і ~ т о ́ \xi а ~ т а \lambda i ́ \nu т о \nu а ~ к а і ~ \delta o ́ \rho v ~ \mu а к р о ́ \nu . ~$










 $+i$






Odysseus and Diomede arrange a division of labour. The lutter slays Rhesus and twelae others; the former clears away the diad bodies and drizes out the horses into the open. Athene zuarns Diomede to tarry no longer.
































 " עó $\sigma \tau o v ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \mu \nu \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota, \mu \in \gamma a \theta v ́ \mu o v ~ T u \delta$ éos vié,
 $\mu \eta$ тои́ тוs каі̀ Tpwas є́ $\gamma \epsilon i ́ p \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o s . " ~ " ~$




Apollo rouses the Thracian Hiffowon, who perceives the slaughter and raises the alarm.





 är





Odysseus and Diomede pith up the spoils of Dolor, and proceed. Nestor is the first to hear the sound of their horses galloping.
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Odysseus and Dinmede reach the camp. The horses are admired by Nestor, to zehom Odysseus briefly rclates the issue of the raid.










 ì $\lambda \lambda a ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu ’ ~ v ै ~ \mu \mu ’ ~ o ̉ i ́ \omega ~ \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \imath ~ \theta є o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \iota a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau a . ~ . ~$













The horses are taken to Diomede's stathes, the spoils of Dolen to Odysecus' ship. The two herois bathe themselies and sit down to meat.

















## NOTES.

> .1onro's 'Hemevic Grammar' is friquently' indiated in reforences on points of grammar by the letters H.G.

## BOOK IX.

I. ÉXov. The presence or absence of the augment in the formation of the imperfect, pluperfect and aorist in Homer is determined entirely
 where the metre is unaffected the augment is sometimes omitted, cf. 1. 79
 in compound verbs the augment regularly occurs (e.g. $\mu \in T \epsilon \phi \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon \epsilon$, not $\left.\mu \epsilon \tau a \not \omega^{\prime} v \epsilon \epsilon\right)$ except in cases of syncope due to metrical convenience, as $\kappa \alpha ́ \beta \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ for катє́ $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$.
2. фv́ga... фó $\beta$ os. Both these words originally meant 'flight,' the result rather than the sensation of fear. In Homer duja develops the further meaning of 'fear,' while $\phi$ ó $\beta$ os is more strictly confined to its primary sense, as witness the phrases $\phi \dot{\circ} \beta$ ovố $\tau \rho \omega \pi \hat{a} \sigma \theta a t, \dot{\alpha} / \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Il. 15. 666 ; 17.379). Translate "Panic, handmaid of chill repulse" (Leaf).
3. $\beta \in \beta$ о $\lambda_{\text {nato }}$. The anomalous perfect $\beta \epsilon \beta$ ó $\eta \mu \alpha u$, as if from a verb $\beta 0 \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$, is said to have been preferred to $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \mu a \iota$, the regular formation from $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, when applied to mental blows or wounds. Such certainly is its application in the other two passages also in which it occurs, 1. 9, and Od. 10. 247. But this may be merely a coincidence from which an inference as to general usage has been wrongly drawn.

For the termination - aто $(=-\nu \tau 0)$ see note on 10.189 .
5. The only sea which could be affected by the violence if the north and the west winds, both blowing from Thrace, is the Propontis (Sea of Marmora), and the shore which they strew with seaweed must be on the Asiatic side of that sea. The author of this simile must therefore have been familiar with, if not an inhabitant of, the extreme north of Asia Minor. It must not however be inferred that the author of the whole of this book or of the whole Iliad dwelt in that region.

Bopéņs-dissyllable, as it "ere Böpjทs: some edd. prefer Boppभ̂s.
8. The simile, as usual in Homer, is worked out in picturesque and superfluous detail. The only point of comparison is the conflict of two winds with the conflict of two emotions in Agamemnon's mind.

10. фoita. For omission of augment see note on 1. I.
17. к $\lambda \dot{\eta} \delta \eta \nu$. .'єкaбtov. 'Each man by name.' No noise was to be made, lest the enemy should take the alarm.

I3, rq. $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ (for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{a})$ with iotato. The separation of the advert, from the verb with which it is, in thought, united is the grammatical figure known as tmesis (i.e. 'cutting' or 'division'). The term is a misnomer, inasmuch as the so-called prepositions compounded with verbs were in reality adverl)s, at first separate from them and qualifying them merely in the same way as any other adverb (si, кaкws etc.), but afterwards, owing to the frequency with which the same qualification of the same verb was required (e.g. the qualification of $i \sigma \tau \eta \mu t$ by aj$\dot{a}$ ), coalescing into one word with the verb. In IInmer the coalescence is as yet incomplete, and later Greek always bore a trace of it in the position of the augment in compound verbs not before, but after, the so-called preposition. The compound verbs of German exhibit a similar, though more strictly regulated, condition of incomplete coalescence of verb and adverb.
14. $\mu \in \lambda \alpha \alpha^{v} v \delta \rho o s$. It might be expected that a waterfall would appear white against the rock rather than black. This however is frequently not the case in Greek scenery. The tall of the Stys, for example, in north Arcadia appears at a distance as a dark line down the grey face of the precipice, and from this appearance is known among the modern inhabitants of the district as $\mu$ aîpo $\nu \in \rho$, ' Black Water.'
${ }^{15}$. aiyintmos. The old and picturesque derivation of this word from aik (airós) and the root of $\lambda_{\varepsilon i \pi \omega}$ affords the menning 'deserted (even) by goats,' i.e. 'very steep.' This should not be too hastily rejected. It is true that aip $\delta \lambda$ s $\psi$ rather than aiziAs $\psi$ would be a more
 but on the other hand we tind aiyúpos es well as aiyovónos，aiyutóons and aizitrous as well as airomódŋs．For－亢 stems in words of archaic stamp see H．G．p．83．Another proposed derivation is from aiy＇s in the sense of＇storm，＇and a questionable root $\lambda \iota \pi$－，found in $\lambda \in \lambda \iota \mu \mu \in \mathcal{L} \nu 0$ ， meaning to＇love＇（Göbel followed by Leaf）．Hence the meaning ＇storm－haunted．＇

This meaning of airis as foxnd in Aesch．Choeph．592 and of the compound saralgls，is usually explained by deriving direct from dita $\sigma \omega$ ． It is however noteworthy that the marine pheiomenon known to us as ＇white horses＇was called by the Greeks aires（Artem．Oneirocr．2．12）． Is it not possible then that airis was originally applied to that tem－ pestuous state of the sea in which＇white horses，＇i．e．waves breaking out at sea，are observed，just as the kindred word aigcanós denoted the place where waves always are breaking，the shore？Such a meaning of airis would，by an easy extension of meaning，come to denote on the one hand any squall or storm of wind（cf．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \gamma l j \omega$ ，$\kappa a \tau a \iota \gamma i j \omega$ ）such as raises the waves and causes them to break，and on the other hand possibly（as in the passage before us）any broken or falling water，whether of sea or river．The combination of aizis in this latter sense with a root $\lambda \iota \pi$－， meaning to＇trickle，＇＇drip＇，＇or＇glide，＇found in the kindred words $\lambda i \pi \sigma o s, \lambda \in i \beta \omega$ ，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \in i \phi \omega$ ，would furnish an epithet for $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \eta$ eminently suited to the passage，＇a rock where broken water trickles down．＇

20．ámovéєの日al．First syllable lengthened by ictus：cf．final syllable of $\dot{v} \pi є \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in i, 1.23$ ．

28．E＇TL is frequently used in Greek in strong assertions concerning the future，whether affirmative or negative．In English we employ＇yet＇ in the same sense，but only in positive statements；for strong negative asseveration＇never＇is the corresponding idiom．Thus T $\rho \rho i \eta \nu$ aip $\eta^{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \nu$
 take Troy．＇

30．ävєఱ．The spelling with ؛ subscript has the preponderance of MS．authority．It is best therefore to regard the word as nom．plur． masc．of an adjective $\not \partial v \epsilon \omega s$ ，＇voiceless．＇In all passages save one （Od． 23.93 ）there is no difficulty in this supposition；there however it is used with a feminine singular subject．This must be explained either as due to an erroneous belief that ăvec or $\ddot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \varphi$ was an adverb，or the word in that passage must be corrected to ävews．

30－36．＇Axaเผิv．．．$\Delta$ avaoiซtv．．．Apytiwv．For the designations of the Greek forces see below on 10．I．
34. The allusion is 104.370 ff . where Agamemnun had addressed Diomedes in the words,

37. Star $\delta \cdot x$ a, 'by halves,' 'one of two things.'
40. Há a é $\lambda \pi \epsilon$ ar. Apparent hiatus due to the digamma in Fe.treat. See Introd. p. axix. The root $\mathcal{F \epsilon} \lambda \pi$ - is seen in Latin co'luflus. Translate ' expect,' not 'hope.'
44. "Rejected by Aristarchus as interpolated mercly to supply a verb, which is not required, in the last clause of 1.43 " (Leaf).
46. SLamépoopev. This form is to be regarded as Ist aor. suhbj. rather than as fut. indic., the construction being the same as that of eis ö $\kappa \in \ldots \epsilon i p \omega \mu \in \boldsymbol{v}, 1.49$. The formation of the IIomeric suljunctive varies according as the tense to which it belongs is Thematic or Non-thematic. A thematic form is one in which a vowel ( $\epsilon$ or 0 ) is interposed between the stem and the personal suffix, e.g. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma-0-\mu \epsilon \nu, \lambda \epsilon \gamma-\epsilon-\tau \epsilon$; a non-thematic form is one in which the personal suffix follows immediately upon the stem, e.g. $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \epsilon \\ \xi\end{gathered} \cdot a,-a s,-\epsilon$. In practice, the whole present tense of verbs in - $\omega$ came to be treated as thematic; the whole first aorist as nonthematic. Now the rule for the formation of suljunctives is briefly this, that thematic forms with $\epsilon$ or $o$ in the indicative show $\eta$ and $\omega$ respectively in the subjunctive, e.g. indic. $\lambda \in \in \gamma-0-\mu \epsilon \nu, \lambda \in \epsilon \gamma-\epsilon-\tau \epsilon$, sulj. $\lambda \epsilon \cdot \gamma-\omega-\mu \epsilon \nu, \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma-\eta-\tau \epsilon$ : while non-thematic forms, not having $\epsilon$ or o in the indicative, employ those letters in the formation of the subjunctive. The resulting forms are thus often indistinguishable from those of the future indicative. See Introd. p. xxiv.
 conditional clause, but is juined with the imperative as commonly in the
 now, hearken thou unto me.' Similarly in wishes introduced by eile $\varepsilon$, $i$ $\gamma \dot{a} p$, or simply $\epsilon i$, the optative fullowing expresses the wish in virtue of mood alone, and $c i$ is an adverb rather than a conjunction. Translate 'Aye, let them flee too.'
52. imтóta. The same suffix occurs in seteral other Homeric
 These forms used to be regarded as vocatives which from common association, as titles of respect, with the name of the person addressed, ousted the true nominative (ending in $-\eta s$ ) even when the person was no longer addressed directly but was mentioned in the third person. More probably they are remmants of the old Acolic or Achaean dialect in which the poems were composed, and were restrained by the metre
from subsequently assuming an Ionic form. A marked feature of the Achaean dialect was $\beta$ apurouqбis, i.e. avoidance of the oxytone accent, in which point it coincides with Latin. Now the effect of this tendency in Latin was to shorten final syllables (cf. Gk. $\pi$ oormris, Lat. pocta) : the same effect from the same cause is therefore reasonably inferred for the Achaean dialect.
54. $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ with accusative seems here to bear the unusual meaning 'among,' with no thought of motion. A closely similar passage is
 have in hand.' In the same way mapa is frequently used with the accusative instead of the dative to denote position in, not motion to, a place.
$\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon$. Ionic contraction of $\neq \pi \lambda \epsilon \sigma$, which is probably the true Homeric form, and with elision of the final o before apiotos is equally compatible with the metre. The tense is aorist (syncopated) of $\pi \hat{\ell}$ गouac.
57. 'Yet verily thou art but young, and mightest be my youngest son.' The tone is half apologetic for any disparagement implied in 1. 56.
58. $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \hat{\eta} \phi เ v$. The case-ending - $\phi_{l}(\nu)$ belonged originally to the Instrumental case. But in Homeric usage it comprises not only instrumental, but also locative and ablatival meanings, and, in rare instances, those of the true dative and true genitive. Instances of instrumental meaning are érép $\overline{\phi \iota}$, 'with the other hand ' (1l. 16. 734), $\beta i \eta \phi \iota$, 'by force' $(I l .16 .826)$; of this usage the present passage is a weaker example. Instances of the locative meaning are $\Phi \theta i \eta \phi \iota$, 'in Phthia' (Il. 19. 323), ki/vin $\phi$, 'in the tent' (Il. 13. 168). Instances of the ablatival meaning are $\nu \alpha \hat{u} \phi \iota \nu \dot{a} \phi \circ \rho \mu \eta \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$, 'start from the ships'
 helmet from his head' (Il. 10. 458). (H. G. pp. 110-I.)
¿8-9. Of the two accusatives governed by $\beta$ ás $\epsilon t s, \pi \epsilon \pi \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \in a$ is 'internal' or 'cognate,' while $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} a s$ is direct object. See below on 1. 115.
60. $\sigma \in \hat{1} 0$. This form is etymologically the earliest of the three forms of the gen. sing. of the and pers. pronoun which are commonly found in Homer, $\sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} 0, \sigma \hat{\epsilon} 0$, and $\sigma \epsilon \hat{v}$. It is formed by adding the genitive termination - $\sigma \iota 0$ (familiar with substantival stems in 0 , as $\delta \eta \mu o-\sigma \iota 0$, whence $\delta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu o t o, \delta \dot{\eta} \mu o 0, \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \circ u$ ) to the pronominal stem $\sigma \epsilon$-; from the resultant form $\sigma \epsilon-\sigma \iota$ there come in order, by the same process as in the substantival example, $\sigma \epsilon i o, \sigma \epsilon \in, \sigma \in \hat{v}$. For the alternative (but not true genitive) form $\sigma^{\ell} \theta \in \nu$ see below on 1.419 .
 here equivalent in sense to a pure future, and is consequently easily coordinated with the fut. indic. otisomat. The coincidence of many' forms of the future indicative and the aorist suljunctive (see above on 1. 46$)$ may have facilitated such coordination and interchange.
62. ditци' $\sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon$. Elision of the final $\epsilon$ in the termination - $\epsilon 6$ is rare; but both here and in 1 . $3^{86}$ ( $\pi$ eiनetc) the optative with elision appears preferable to the future indic. ( $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \ell$, or $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \ell$ ) with hiatus.
63. 'Cut off from clan and law and home.' As the '்̇ria, or family hearth, is the basis of patriarchal society, so when several families legan to unite, or a single family to expand, into a tribe, the фрárpa becomes the social unit. In later times, at Athens, the фрárpa stood midway letween the rivos ( $=$ 'family' in a large sense) and the ¢ía $\eta$ ('tribe'). As the people gradually obtained a larger voice in politics, the bond of family became a bond of party, and clan-feuds arose. It was the great achievement of Cleisthenes' constitution that it suppressed such feuds by dividing the people for political purpose not according to family but according to locality. For $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ see below on 1.99 .
 óspuóentos. The form oxplóets occurs only here and in 12 . 6. $3+4$
 correct form rpióets (cf. кpúos, кpiepós etc.). Since in both cases it is possille to restore the correct form by the simple expedient of resolving the final -ov of the preceding genitive into -oo, its older form (see note on 1.60 ), there need be no hesitation in rejecting an unwarrantable form which may be due entirely to confusion with the similar word oxptóts (from óppıs) 'rugged.' For other passages in which the genitive in ooo should be restored see II. G. p. 60, and note on $1.4+0$.

 other chieftains who are all called $\beta a \sigma \omega \hat{\eta}$ es is concisely expressed in the sentence with which the enumeration of his forces in the Catalogue concludes:-
$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ ò̀ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \in \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$

(II. 2. इs $\mathrm{S}_{+}$)

IIe is äporoos because his lineage is traced back to Zeus, and the very sceptre which has been handed down to him from Pelops is of divine handiwork. 'Hephaestus wrought it for Zens, and Zeus gave it to his messenger Ifermes to deliver to Pelops.' To this hereditary distinction is arded the fact that the dominion which he hulds in Greece is of
greater extent and importance than that of any other chieftain (see below on ll. $150-2$ ). In the conclave of 'kings' ( $\beta a \sigma{ }^{2} \lambda \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ ) Agamemnon is recognized as 'most kingly' ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in u ́ r a \tau \iota s$ ), and the part which was played by each king among his nobles at home in his own domain, is assumed by Agamemnon of right among the assembled kings. The kingship of the Homeric days was, in Thucydides' phrase, "patriarchal, with defined privileges." The ling was at once priest, judge, and leader in war, but in none of these capacities was he despotic. He deferred to the seers in matters of religion; he was assisted by his nobles in the administration of justice, and consulted them on questions of war. Such deliberations were conducted openly in the $\dot{a} \gamma o \rho a ́$, and freedom of speech was allowed to the nobles. The common folk might attend and express approval of this or that opinion by acclamation. The prerogatives of the king comprised a large share in the distribution of spoil, and the possession of a private domain ( $\tau \epsilon \mu \in \nu 0 s$ ).
73. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$ úmo $\delta_{\epsilon \xi(\eta \text {. ' 'Thou hast all means of hospitality.' The }}$ following clause may be either explanatory, 'for thou art lord over many,' or may add a further suggestion that not only the means but also the duty of hospitality is Agamemnon's.
75. Xpew, which is a substantive, is regularly construed with acc. of person and gen. of thing needed, cf. 10. 43.
80. $\sigma \dot{v} v \tau \in \mathfrak{v} X \in \sigma เ \downarrow$. This is one of the few uses of $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ which was retained in Attic; $\sigma \dot{\nu} v \delta \dot{\delta} \pi \lambda o c s=$ 'under arms.'
87. The trench is conceived as being at some distance outside the wall, cf. 10. 194 ff .
89. dod áćas. Derived from $\alpha$ - (properly $\dot{\alpha}=\sigma \mu$ ) 'together,' as in $\dot{a} \theta \rho \dot{o} o s, \dot{a} \lambda o \chi o s, d_{\text {Kotcts }}$ etc., and the root of $\epsilon i \lambda \omega$. Hence 'massed together.'

96 ff . The address is formal and reverent. The divine right of kings was generally acknowledged in the Homeric age. Agamemnon has sceptre and judgement entrusted to him by Zeus, cf. 1. I $55, \theta \epsilon \partial \nu$ wis тt $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma t$, which need not be regarded as mere oratorical hyperbole, and Aeschylus' description of Agamemnon and Menelaus as
(Agam. 43-4.)
 sovereignty and possession; of the royal house it was a permanent attribute (see above on 1.69 ); but also a speaker in the áropá held a sceptre in his band as a sign that he was, in our phrase, 'in possession of the house.' Өérugtes denote the judicial function of the king as
opposed to the political. 'They correspond to the Saxom 'looms,' being principles of justice founded on preceitents estallisthed by individual cases. A judgement pronomeed in one case held goord for subsequent cases of the same nature, written and codified law being as yet unknown. Justice being administered by the king, it was natural to regard his knowlerlge of $\theta$ épores, like the wistom of solomon, as a direct gift from God.
100. $\pi$ '́pь, adverbial, $=$ 'alowe all,' cf. above 1.55 . 'Therefore for thee above all is it meet to speak and to give ear.'
102. Els áyäóv, 'for good,' 'with a view to good.'
$\sigma$ б́o $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\xi \in$ єral... Whatever another begins (by sugse-ting it) will cling to you, i.e. you will be responsible for giving effect to the plan, and the credit of it will redound to you.
ro6. 衫 "'тt то仑̂, öтє, 'ever since that time when.'
Bpıбךi8a. See Introd. p. ix.

ur. É $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \dot{v}$...éxets. Not merely 'thou last taken,' but 'thou didst take and dost keep.'
112. $\pi \epsilon \pi i \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, reluplicated second aorist, of. $\pi \epsilon \phi t \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a t$, to spare ; $\lambda \in \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$, to seize. See $H . G$. p. 27.
115. Of the two accusatives governed hy ratedes̆as, $\psi \in \hat{i} 00$ os is cognate accusative and äras direct object. The construction is parallel to that of $11.58-9$ (q.v.) where $\beta$ ajsess in the sense of 'address' governs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} a s$ as direct object while admitting $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu v \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ as cognate accusative, junt as here катé $\lambda \in \xi$ gas in the sense of 'recount' governs aitas directly and admits $\psi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta o s$ as cognate accusative.
ditas. ät $\eta$ is properly the infatuation of mind which leads men to commit sins which inevitably must bring their own punishment. It combines the iflea of folly with that of sin. By an extension of use, it may mean the actual sin committed under such infatuation. For this second menning the plural is better adapted, in proportion as it is necessarily more concrete, than the singular; for a pure abstraction can have no plural. Translate therefore, 'No falsehoont is thy record of my sins of folly; foolishly I sinned, I deny it not.'
116. ávri... 'A good exchange for,' 'worth,' many hosts.
 also is found in Homer) = 'boundless.'
122. ámúpous rpímoסas. The significance of ärupos is disputed. According to une interpretation it mean. ' not intended for use on the fire' as opposed to $i \mu \pi u p t_{i} i_{i t \eta}$ (Il. 23. 702); tripods intended for
ormament only were certainly known in antiquity，as for instance the votive tripuds at Delphi，some of which were made even of gold．But if that be the meaning，how comes it that Homer applies the epithet $\dot{a} \pi$ tip $\omega \tau$ os，which is presumably the same as ämupos，to the word $\phi \dot{d} \lambda \eta$ （II． 23.270 ）？$\phi$ á $\lambda \eta$ means a drinking－cup such as would evidently not be put on the fire．A cup offered as a prize in an athletic contest does not nced to be labelled＇not to be put on the fire．＇The second interpretation is＇never yet put on the fire＇i．e．＇new＇；but the same objection here holds in the case of the $\phi \dot{a} \lambda \eta$ ，while even in relation to a tripod the epithet is strange．Are we really to understand Agamemnon to be assuring Achilles that the cooking utensils which he offers are not second－hand？

I suggest that ämupos denotes not the use of the vessel but the method of its manufacture，＇hand－lieaten from the cold metal＇as opposed to＇wrought by fire，＇i．e．＇cast＇（ $\pi \nu \rho \dot{\rho} \kappa \mu \eta \tau \quad$ ，applied to $\lambda \epsilon \in \beta \eta s$ in Call． Del．145）．The more highly skilled workmanship enhances the value whether of a трitrous or a фıád $\eta$ ．
xpuroio tádavta．The Homeric poems contain no mention of coinage．The unit of value for purposes of barter was the ox．Thus we hear of two suits of armour valued at nine oxen and at one hundred oxen respectively（ 11.6 .236 ），and of a slave－woman estimated to be worth four oxen（Il．23．705）．But a less cumbersome medium of exchange was already known．A certain weight of gold，called a＇talent，＇was adopted as the equivalent of an ox，and it is in this relation only that the word rá入avzov is used by Homer．See Ridgeway，Origin of Currency，cap．r．

124．$\pi \eta$ Yoús，＇compact，＇＇well－knit，＇＇strong．＇From the same root as $\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \mu$ ．

125．тó $\sigma \sigma \alpha$ ．Antecedent of ö $\sigma \sigma \alpha$（1．127）．
125－6．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}$ เos．．．ảкт ${ }^{2} \mu \omega$ ．In view of 11．406－7，

 in which Achilles，in rejecting Agamemnon＇s offer，seems to retort to these very words，it is necessary to take $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ios as derived from $\lambda \eta$ is （booty），not from $\lambda$ nं七七（crop of corn）．See Ridgeway，The Homeric Land－System，in J．H．S．vol．vi．

129－30． |  |
| :---: |
| $\lambda$ | $\boldsymbol{\nu}=$＇touk，＇＇captured＇；$\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \delta \mu \eta \nu=$＇chose out．＇

132．кои́p $\eta$ ．So MSS．Accusative due to attraction to case of the relative グv，cf．Arist．Plutus，933，

133. Hif is idiomatically used in naths in preference to $0 \%$ not only where the infinitive follows, but even with the present and future indicative. See below on 10. 329 .
137. Xpuoov̂... $\mathrm{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \lambda$ кov̂. The genitives are the to the notion of 'filling' contained in $\nu \eta \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\omega}$, which in virtue of meaning takes the same construction as $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$, $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\rho} \eta s, \mu \in \sigma \tau$ ús etc.

13母. Ei大ধ $\lambda 0 \dot{\omega} v$. Best taken as repeating the thought of $1.136=$ 'when once he has got into the city.'
141. "Apyos 'Axaukóv. Achaean Argos is used by Homer in three senses, ( 1 ) as the city to which the name was contined in later times, (2) as the plain in which the city of Argos was by position dominant, the later Argolis, (3) as the whole Peloponnese, so that Corinth could be spoken of as lying "in a corner of horse-rearing Argos" (Il. 6. 152). The epithet 'Achaean' is not otiose, but serves to distinguish the southern Argos, in whichever of these three senses it is used, from a ' Pelasgian' Argos in North Greece.
oítap ápoúp $\eta$ s, 'udder of the soil,' i.e. 'fertile soil,' cf. Vergil's zues asri and O.T. "a land flowing with milk and honey." The expression occurs only here and in the repetition of the offer to Achilles in 1.283.
143. $\tau \eta \lambda$ и́ $\gamma \in \tau 0 \mathrm{~s}$. The most satisfactory interpretation of this difficult and much discussed word makes it equivalent to $\bar{\epsilon} \phi \eta \beta o s$, a jouth past childhood and short of manhood, 'grown big' but not 'grown up' ( $\tau$ ' $\lambda$ ecos). This meaning is obtainel by connecting $\tau \eta \lambda u$ - with the root of $\theta$ ál $\lambda \omega$, and still more closely porhaps with the word râdes, a girl of marriageable age, a bride.
145. Laodice and Iphianassa correspond to Electra and Iphigenia of the tragedians. The story of the sacrifice of Iphigenia in Aulis is non-Homeric.
146. фì $\eta v$, with ad $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma 0 \omega$, 'let him take her for his owen.' This is a clear instance of the use of pilos in its original possessive sense. Etymolngically it stands for $\sigma$ f-i.ios, showing the same root as Latin sut-us. It is supposed that in this word as in the pronoun $\sigma \phi \epsilon$, the sigma roughened the $F$ into $\phi$, being itself subsequently lost from $(\sigma) \phi(\lambda o s$, but retained in $\sigma \phi \epsilon$.
 to the parents of the bride by the bridegroom, i.e. purchase-money paid for a wife. Nowhere is this primitive idea of marriage as a matter of buying and selling more clearly seen than in $11.11 .2+1-5$, where pity is expressed for Iphidamas because, having bought an expensive wife, he
died young and "saw no joy of her, though he gave much price." It is commonly said that in the Odyssey the meaning of eiva is changed, and that, instend of meaning purchase-money paid by the suitor to the father of the bride, it denotes sometimes gifts made by the suitor to the girl herself, sometimes a dowry given to the girl by her father on her marriage. On reviewing the passages cited in support of these two senses, I find none in which ēס̄עa cannot bear its earliest sense of purchase-money.

Women, in fact, were obtained by one of two recognized and equally honourable methods of acquisition, plunder and bargain (see above, note on $125-6$ ). It is interesting to observe a formal survival of the former method and a real survival of the latter in Modern Greece. In Euboea and in Thessaly a pretence is made by the bridegroom's mounted escort of forcibly abducting the girl from her mounted escort (father, brothers, etc.), and though there be no real hostility, the custom is often realistically enough observed to occasion a few broken heads. In Maina (the middle of the three sonthernmost peninsulas of Greece) a man still has to buy his bride from her father.
147. $\mu \mathrm{e}$ i 1 l . Not technical as 'dowry,' but general as 'soothing,' 'propitiatory,' gifts.

150-2. The seven cities enumerated were in Messenia, a district as remote as any in the Peloponnese from Argolis, the proper domain of Agamemnon, and they would more naturally fall under the sway of Nestor, lord of Pylos. The passage seems to indicate that the house of Atreus enjoyed more than a mere title of suzerainty over the Peloponnese.
${ }^{153}$. véataı Múخov. véatos is explained either as a superl. of $\nu$ '́os, = 'latest,' 'furthest,' or as from a root $n i$ ('down') = 'nethermost' (the latter preferred by Leaf, note on' $5 \cdot 539$ ). In either case we may translate 'on the borders,' or 'extremities,' of Pylos, which is here used seemingly (like Argos) not of the town only, but of the district of which that town was the capital.
 that the event foretold is conditional upon some contingency,--here, on Achilles' acceptance of the conciliation offered. It is impossible in the Homeric usage of $\kappa \in$ to draw a hard and fast line and to say 'Here $\kappa \epsilon$ is an adjunct of the pronoun or conjunction (ös, $\dot{\omega} s, o ̈ \phi p a$ etc.), there a qualification of the mood of the verb.' Although some premonition of later usage may be found in Homer (as in the fact that $\kappa \epsilon$ is always joined with eios and eis ö when a subjunctive is to follow), the wellregulated precision of Attic idiom had yet to be evolved. It is often
difficult to say whether the future indic. with ke in a relative clanse expreses mere natural sequence or purpuse. In the case before us there is little or no fimality: but in $10 .+4$ the same construction expresses purpose as clearly as does the fut. indic. (withont $\kappa \in$ or ăv) in a relative clause in Attic.
 ordinances.' For this use of $\lambda$ atapós cf. O.t. I1. I36, where it is applied to $\hat{\gamma}$ ipas ('old age'). It was also a favourite epithet with the Athenians for their 'prosperous' city (Ar. Acli. 639). The phrase has also been explained as meaning 'will pay rich dues,' but such a sense of oíurotes is incompatible with the regular usage of Homer (see note on l. 99).
157. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda \lambda \eta$ そ̆ $\xi \alpha \tau \tau \iota$, conditional use of the participle, $=\epsilon i \mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta}^{\xi} \epsilon \iota \varepsilon \nu$.
164. Si8ois, 'offer.'
165. óтрv́vopev, anrint subjunctive. See above on 1. 4 万.
167. Tovs àv émtó $\psi \circ \mu a t$. For the future indic. in a relative clanse with äv see note on 1. 15s; and for the general interchange of fut. indic. and aor. subj. see note on I. 6I. Toi's äv $=0$ ồ $a ̆ \nu$, and ôe marks the apodosis.
168. Phoenix, though named first, is not regarded as an ambassador. As one of Achilles' retinue, he is told off to conduct ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \omega$ ) the two ambassadors Ajax and Otysseus, who are attended also by two heralds. In the subsequent account of the embassy, all but the two ambassadors are ignored as being merely attendants, and the dual is repeatedly used (ll. 182, 197 etc.). Phoenix takes no part in the pleadings with Achilles, until Odysseus as spokesman of the embassy has delivered the message with which they were charged and has received Achilles' reply. Then it is proper enough that he should speak, for Achilles ends by bidding him sleep in his tent that night and sail home with him next day if he will. Only those who choose to make a critical mountain out of an Homeric molehill, will find sufficient cause for suspecting that the whole episode of Phoenix is an interpolation.
 appears in the Latin stipo, and denotes 'compression,' 'density' or 'fulness.' The sense of 'crowning' was a development from this. There is therefore no metaphor in this phrase, which means 'they filled the mixing-lowls (крŋт $\hat{\eta} p a s$ ) full of drink.' The genitive (тотоio) regularly follows a verb of this meaning; see above on $1.13 \%$.

 in later (ireek, but occurs only once in Homer) is used of the formal
opening of a religious ceremony. Possibly the attendants in ladling out the wine from the mixing-howl into the cups ( $\delta \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega)$ poured first a
 they observed the proper ritual in handing the cups to the guests in order from left to right.
180. $\delta \epsilon \nu \delta i \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. A rare epic word, occurring nowhere else in Homer. Transl. 'glancing quickly at each.'
182. For the use of the dual see above on 1. 168.
184. $\pi \in \pi เ \theta \in i v$. The sulject of the infinitive is the same as that of the main verb. 'Praying to Poseidon that they (not he) may persuade etc'
186. тóv, sc. Achilles.
187. ¿uyov. The cross-bar between the two horns of the lyre.
188. äpeто. Second aorist middle (unaugmented) from aï $\omega$, $=$ 'carried off,' 'won.'
${ }^{\prime} H \epsilon \tau(\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ was king of Thebe (in Cilicia) and father of Andromache, the wife of Hector.
189. к $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon^{\alpha}$. This and kindred forms of the plural of neuter nouns present some difficulty. $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \in a$, $\delta \epsilon \in \pi a$, and $\kappa \epsilon \in \rho a$ are only found before hiatus, and might well be explained as contractions of $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \bar{a}$ into $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \bar{a}$,
 only in hiatus, were it not that an analogous form $\gamma^{\epsilon} \rho a$ occurs in $1.33+$ (q. v.) and elsewhere with $-a$ before a consonant. It is possible that, $\kappa \lambda \in \dot{a}$ etc. being never used except in hiatus when the original -a became shortened by position, the true quantity was lost, and $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \check{a}$ was used before a consonant on false analogy.
191. $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma_{\mu} \in v o s$. For form and signification see below on 1.628 .
192. тротє́ $\rho \omega$, adverb (not dual of $\pi \rho o ́ t \epsilon \rho о s)=$ 'forward' (cf. 1. 199), or possibly, with more comparative sense, 'in front of the others.'
196. Sєเкví $\epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \circ \mathrm{s}$, properly 'pointing at,' i.e. stretching out the hand in token of welcome. The word is specially used of drinking a toast to anyone, cf. 1. 224.
${ }^{197}$ ท̂ tr $\mu$ áda Xpew. 'I had sore need of you.' The phrase is also translated 'you must have had sore need of me.' The latter appears to me too discourteous even for a moment of surprise.
203. 乌由ро́тєроv. 'Stronger.' Rendered in Latin by Martial (viti. 6. 11) vividius, evidently on the assumption that $\zeta \omega \rho$ ós is for $\zeta \omega-\epsilon \rho \sigma^{\prime}=$ 'lively.'
204. oi. Translate as the demonstrative pronoun, not as the article.
206. "o $\gamma \epsilon=$ Achilles.

208．Gvòs $\sigma$ tádow．Touh worls are sulatantives，$\sigma$ is heing generic and oialos specific．Similarly in 11．17． $3^{\text {Kig }}$ we have raúpoo $\beta$ oós， where the generic 乃uis is more strictly defined by raipos，and in O．t．is．
 See also below on ro．13．

209．Tผิ $\delta^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{X} \in \mathrm{V}, \text { ，＇held（the meat）for him．＇}}$
210．Híqтu入入є．$\mu \sigma \sigma \pi i \lambda \lambda \in \omega$ is not＇to mince，＇hut＇to cut into small pieces：such as being threaded on the spit will most quickly be roasted． The roasting was done over the hot embers．Meat is commonly cooked by the peasants of Modern Greece à la Homirique．But with this difference，that there are no supports（крateural 1．214）on either side of the fire for the horizontal spit，which is now both held and turned with the hand．
$2 r_{4}$ ．ados $\theta$ eioro．The reason for applying the epithet＇divine＇ to salt，is either that salt owing to its purifying quality was used in sacrifices to the grods（Leaf），or that it was a symbol of the chief of Greek virtues，hospitality．

The genitive is a genitive of material，which in Monro＇s view is a suldivision of the＇fuasi－partitive＇genitive．The genitive of material， he says，＂is found with verbs that imply the use of a material（especially one of indefinite quantity），a stock drawn upon，etc．＂（II．G．p．rö）． Translate＇sprinkled with salt．＇



224．Seííkto．The Homeric method of drinking a toast to anyone was similar to our own．The word ôeiócктo means properly，＇he pointed out＇（cf．1．igf and note），as the one whose health should be drunk． The Iater Greek fashion was to take a sip from the cup and then hand it to the person whose health was drunk to be finished by him （ $\pi \rho \circ \pi i v \in(\nu)$ ．

229．єi $\sigma \circ$ ó $\omega v \tau \epsilon$ ．IIumeric verbs in－aw are liable to assimilation of concurrent vowels；thus we find ojpów for ópáw，ópáas for ópáets． The vowel－change is un the same system as in contracted forms，viz． that $\circ$ or $\omega$ prevails uver $a$ ，and $a$ over $\in$ or $\eta$ ．In the participle opówves，the shortening of $\bar{a}$ into $\check{\circ}$ which accompanies the assimilation is compensated by the lengthening of the succeeding vowel（－wves for －ovecs）；where however（as in $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{a} \omega$ ）the $\bar{a}$ is assimilated without weakening of the quantity（i．e．becomes $\omega$ ，not o），the succeeding vowel retains its short quantity（ $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\omega}$－ovtes）．See H．G．p． 37 ．

232. aû̀เv '日évio, "'made their bivouac.' IHence the later av่入 $\ \check{j} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell$, a regular military term" (Leaf ad loc.).
235. This line (which recurs in 11. 12. 107 and 126, and 17.639 ) is ambiguous. As $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a c$ means ' to keep oneself back,' 'to refrain,' whether from flight or from onset; so ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ means either 'to throw oneself intn' a place of refuge (cf. 2. 175 ; 6. 8r; 1I. 3It), or 'to throw oneself upon,' 'fall upon,' an enemy's position.

Hence the words used in one sense of the victor will give the same general sense as the same words used in the other sense of the vanquished. Thus ( 1 ) 'The Trojans say that we Greeks shall no longer refrain (from flight) but shall throw ourselves (fc: refuge) into our ships,' is in general sense the same as (2) "The Trojans say that they themselves will no longer refrain (from onset) but will throw themselves upon our black ships.' In the present passage it appears necessary that the subject of $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ should be that of the main verb ( $\phi a \sigma i \nu$ ), no other being either expressed or easily supplied from the preceding lines, and the translation must be as (2) above. In Il. 12. 126 it is equally necessary to translate in the other way, as (1) above, a subject 'A $\chi$ aloús being expressed.
236. év $\delta \in \mathfrak{\xi}$ ta. Translate literally 'on the right.' Omens on the right were ipso facto favourable. The only exception to this rule was made by the crow, which owing to some inherent perversity of nature reversed all the canons of augury.
${ }^{2}+1$. $\sigma \tau \in \hat{T} \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$, properly ' he is setting himself'; hence 'he vows,' 'he threatens.'
äкра ко́рขцßa, the ornamental projection of the stern-post of an Homeric ship, called äфлaбrov in Il. 15.717, which would naturally be selected as the trophy from a captured ship.
242. $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda_{\epsilon}$ pov̂ $\pi$ upós. For the genitive see on 1.214 above.
 expressing a remoter contingency than the subjunctive. Such explanation appears illogical here, for $\phi \theta i \sigma \theta a t$ etc. is in no way a consequence of the fulfilment of ILector's threat, but is in itself the fulfilment-remoter neither in time nor in likelihood. Such straw-splitting of the moods in Homer cannot but appear unprofitable when it is remembered that Thucydides, writing in an age when idiom was far more precise than in the Homeric age, yet uses the subjunctive and the optative so combined in a single clause, that, if any difierence in point of remoteness is to be found between the two contingencies, the nearer contingency is expressed by the optative, the remoter by the subjunctive. Thuc. vi.



${ }^{251}$. фра́そєv. Sce above on 1. 54.
 imperative usage of the infinitive.
260. Tav́eo. Mss. here indicate the old uncontracted form in -eo. (See abure on 1. -t.) Many of the commations which appear in the ordinary text are probably of por-IIomeric growth, mere accidents of tradition. Fur gemitives in -oo contracted to -ov and collateral ermor, see above on 1. $\sigma_{4}$. So again the Mss. frequently give $\dot{\eta} \hat{\omega}$ (accus. of $\psi_{i} \omega \mathbf{s}$ ) where $\dot{\eta} \delta a$ should be restored.
262. दl $\delta$ è...äкovorov. See above on 11. 46 - 7 .

264-299. A repetition of 11 . 122-1:7, with mily stich smail alterations as a change from the third to the second pereon necessitates or facilitates.
300. к $\eta$ pó $\theta$ н $\mu \mathrm{\lambda} \lambda$ dov. The phrase recurs frequently in Homer with verbs expressing hate, love and anger. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda l o \nu=$ 'more and more.' It
 that it is part of a set and recurrent phrase in which the comparative sense is elsewhere weak.
301. $\delta$ é, in apodosi, cf. 1. 167.

Mavaxarovis. See below on 10. r.
303. $\mu a^{\prime} \lambda \bar{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \in \gamma a$. A short vowel with the ictus on it is frepuently lengthened in Homer lefore a liquid ( $\lambda, \mu, \nu, p)$. Cf. 1. 19: ómóté

 pounds is different. In the former it negatives the meaning of at $; \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$ ('care for '), =' without regard of comsequences,' or 'without respect of persons' (Leaf). In the latter ámó is intensive, and ärofuteiv='to speak out.' This is better than to arlopt the other possible meaning of dँmoєıreiv, 'refuse,' 'reject' and to make ròv $\mu \hat{i} \theta o \nu$ refer back to the proposals made by Odysacus. Such a translation would make tob nothing more than the article, whereas, if äroctetiv mean 'to speak out,' tov possenes its due Homeric emphasis and is, in effect, the antecedent of $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ö̀ фporic $\omega$. The whole phrase then $=$ 'to speak out boldly such ( ( oेv) an answer as (b) my heart bids me.
 subjects.
316. oủk äpa...ĵev. The imperfect with apa expresses the recorni-
tion of a fact previously misunderstood or misjudged. The colloquial equivalent in English is, 'There is not after all....'
320. This line has been gencrally stspected as an interpolation. It has no real connexion with those which precede. Achilles is not complaining of the law that warrior and coward are equally liable to death (a law not of Agamemnon's making), but of the fact that no distinction was made by Agamemnon between warrior and coward during lifetime.
321. $\pi \in \rho$ iketral, $\pi \in \rho i$ compounded here bears the same sense as above uncompounded in 11. 53 and 100, 'more than others.'

323-4. трофє́pnбь, $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta \eta \sigma \iota$. "The Suljunctive of the Thematic Aor. and Pres. frequently retains the original Person-Endings $-\mu \tau$ and

327. óap $\omega \nu$. Feminine, as shown by $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon o \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$, and therefore from ŏap (a wife), not öapos. The plural is used in invidious exaggeration, the reference being to Helen only.
329. $\pi \epsilon$ 'oेs means ' on land ' or 'on foot' according as it is required as an antithesis to oùv $\nu \eta v \sigma i$ etc. (as here) or to $i \pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ etc. (as $1 l .2 .810$ ).

33 r . ' $\xi \in \lambda \lambda_{0} \mu \eta \nu$. The word is generally used of choosing a prize for oneself out of the spoils, as above in 1. 130. Here it must mean simply ' I carried off,' ' I took away.' Cf. I. 377 є' $\xi \in \ell \lambda \epsilon \tau о$.
 used by Homer to form past tenses with iterative meaning. It may be joined with the stem of either present (as $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \epsilon-\sigma \kappa \epsilon$ ) or aorist (as $\delta \delta-\sigma \kappa о \nu$, $\delta \alpha \sigma \dot{\alpha}-\sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \sigma$ ), and without distinction of meaning. The iterative force of the suffix prevails over the force of the tense-stem to which it is added, so that e.g. ôboкov though formed from an aorist stem differs not at all in force from $\delta \delta \delta o u(1.334)$, an imperfect used in its iterative sense.
 aúroû. Monro (H. G. p. 171) rejects the use of the article in this passage, and prefers the reading here adopted. For the combination of aúrov̂ with the possessive pronoun cf. Il. 10. $204 \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\varphi} \hat{\varphi}$ aúvồ $\theta u \mu \hat{\varphi} \hat{\text {. }}$
349. The description of the making of the fortifications, including the words $\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ av่ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ (Aristarchus' reading in the present passage was


 confused with the Latin fagzus, a beech-tree. The particular tree here mentioned was a prominent teature of the landscape and stood just
outside the walls of Troy near to the Scaean gates, along with which it is mentioned several times. Cf. 11. 6. 237.
355. olov. 'In single combat.' A compressed expression which appears to stand for olos otov.
 two participles agree with the subject of $\pi \rho o \epsilon \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$, and are consequently to be regarded as contained within the relative clause and expressing actions anterior to that denoted by $\pi \rho \circ \neq \rho$ í $\sigma \sigma$. The subject of this clause is not continued as the subject of the main verb (ơ $\psi$ eal). If we were to regard the participles as necessarily outside the relative clause in construction, we should be forced to consider $\dot{\mu} \epsilon \xi ; \xi a s$ and $\nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ as instances of the nominatizus pendens, and the whole sentence, as Leaf says, "a complete anaculuthon." There is, however, no necessity to pronounce the construction faulty.
360. 'Eג入ウ́бтоvтov. The Hellespont in ordinary Greek usage denoted the straits at the entrance from the Aegean Sea to the Propontis (Sea of Marmora), -the straits now known as the Dardanelles. In sailing from Troy to any part of Greece there could be no question of passing through the straits, for the point of departure is outside them. The name Hellespont must therefore be here understood to include that part of the Aegean Sea from which the Dardanelles are entered.
363. The distance of the voyage would be two hundred Einglish miles, or somewhat less.
 meaning than that of merely 'coming' or 'going': it implics some hurt or loss involved in so doing. Translate, 'when to mine undoing I came hither.' Hence éppelv is frequent in maledictions, cf. $1.37 \%^{\circ}$
369. áyopvépev. Infinitive in imperative sense.

 the first accusative ( $\beta$ ounás) only, and éprov, though grammatically governed by it, requires in thought some verb expressing concert in action, e.g. $\sigma v \nu \in \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \sigma o \mu a \ell, \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \rho \xi \omega, \sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \eta \xi^{\xi} \omega$.

377. $\quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \epsilon \in \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau} 0$. See above on 1.33 .
378. év карòs al̃on. The word карós has been variously explained. Some of the ancients made it genitive of $\mathrm{K}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rho$ (a Carian), but the quantity of the $\bar{a}$ is fatal to this view, and the proverbial contempt for Carians was the outcome of a later age. Others held the word to be the Doric genitive of ки́p (death), and made the whule phrase mean ' I esteem him
(i.e. I hate him) as death,'-a meaning supported by such phrases as ioov
 equally fatal, and only some change of the text, such as $\tau i \omega \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$ кaposs $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ alan, could remedy the defect. More probably кăpós is connected with кelp $\omega$ and means a 'clipping' of hair: it will thus be related to the


38 r . Orchomenus, the city of the Minyae, in Boeotia, was in early ages among the most famous cities of Greece. It shares with Mjeenae the IIomeric epithet 'rich in gold.' The remains of its fortifications and the large 'beehive' tomb, miscalled the 'Treasury of Minyas,' attest to the present day its ancient grandeur.

The mention of Thebes, the only reference to Egypt in the Iliad, has been used as an argument as to the date of this book. "The passage seems to allude to the height of Theban glory under the two first kings of the 22 nd dynasty, about $930-900$ B.C. If so, we have a terminus a quo for this book" (Leaf). Such an inference is obviously hazardous. Thebes, if not at the height of her glory, may well have been famous enough to obtain mention before 930 B.C.; for Greek intercourse with Egypt is proved for two or three centuries before that time. (See Ridgeway, Early Age of Greece, vol. I. pp. 76-7.)
382. Aiyumtias. The two syllables -i-as coalesce by synizesis into one for metrical purposes.
383. áv ${ }^{3}$ écáoras, sc. $\pi$ úlas, supplied in thought from the adjective $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \pi v \lambda o u$. For the plural $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda a s$ referring to each single gateway see below on $\sigma a v i \delta a s, 1.583$.
386. $\pi \epsilon[\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$. For elision of final $\epsilon$ see above on 1.62 .
387. ámoסó $\mu \in \nu$ aı $\lambda \omega \dot{\beta} \eta \nu$. The phrase means that Achilles will require the humiliation of Agamemnon in satisfaction of his own humiliation; no gifts shall buy off his vengeance in kind.
390. $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha \boldsymbol{u} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \pi \mathbf{\delta} \mathbf{\delta}$. This constant epithet of Athena is a word of doubtful interpretation. It is not improbable that originally, when the human conception and portraiture of divine beings was yet rude, the goddess, who afterwards as Athena became the supreme type of wisdom, was represented with the head of an owl. In that case $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\lambda a v k} \hat{\omega} \pi t s$ meant first of all 'owl-faced.' But subsequently in the age better known to us the Greek divinities had assumed, almost without exception, anthropomorphic natures. Athena had a human head, and the owl, not to be altogether expelled, was made her associate and her symbol. The epithet $\gamma \lambda a v \kappa \omega ิ \pi \iota s$, therefore, which was hereditarily hers, now lost all meaning; for why call a goddess of human visage
'owl-faced'? A new sense gradually became assigned to it , and possilly to Homer himself, certainly to later ages, it bore the meaning 'grey-eyed.'
393. Fówor. The proper forms of the verh (or verls) meaning 'to keep' safe' have been much disputed. In $1 .+^{2}+$ there is a choice between $\sigma \delta \psi$ and $\sigma \delta \eta$, and again in 1. 68ı between $\sigma \dot{\sigma} \psi s, \sigma o \psi ิ s, ~ \sigma a \psi \hat{s}$, and $\sigma$ obys. No certainty in the matter can be found. When due allowance is made for assimilation of vowels and interchange of quantity (see above on 1.229 ), it becomes impossible wholly to reject the claims of any extant form. Even $\sigma \sigma \omega$ and $\sigma \sigma \omega s$ deserve respect, as posible optalive forms by interchange of quantity from $\sigma$ wot ( $\sigma a \sigma_{0}$ ) and $\sigma$ wious (oaoois) (see H.(i. p. 52). The readings given are those in which most mss. concur.
394. yapévetral, 'will find me a wife.' The middle woice of $\gamma^{a \mu}{ }^{\prime} \omega$ is ordinarily used of the woman marrying, $=$ Latin mutere. The meaning which it is necessary to give to the word in this passage is
 out ') is no better, involving as it does an equally unparalleled use of ма́оцаи.
395. 'Eג入áסa. Hellas meant to Homer a district of Thessaly: the name was not yet extended to Greece as a whole.

404-5. The temple of Apollo at Delphi enjoyed a great reputation for wealth, the result of offerings brought by enquirers of the oracle. The sacred enclosure surrounding the temple was, at any rate in later times, the site of the Treasuries of several of the Greek states.

406-7. $\lambda \eta$ เбтоी...ктךтоl. See above on 1. 126.
408. è $\lambda \theta$ eir. A loose use of the epexegetic infinitive expressing consequence.
409. á $\mu$ кi $\psi \in \tau a l$. Aorist subjunctive. See above on 1. 46 .
 of the issue when once Achilles' choice is made, of. Eur. Alc. $3^{86}$,

418. Sウ்єтє. This form is held by IIonro (H.G. p. 50) to be an aorist subjunctive (of non-thematic formation), uniformly used as a simple future. See Introd. p. xxv.
419. " $\theta \in v$. The ending $-\theta \epsilon \nu$ properly expresses the point from which motion takes place; e.g. $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$, ovipav $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu$. But the Pronominal forms $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu, \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu, \ddot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \nu$ transgress the limitations observed in other words ending in $-\theta c \nu$, and are used as alternatives for the true genitive forms, for which see above on 1. Go. Ci. H. G. pp. 6i and 112-113.
424. *ón. See above on 1. 393 .
431. ámeterev. Translate 'he spake out,' as in 1.309 , on which see note.
433. avamprioas. The original sense of $\pi \rho \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$ is 'to blow up,'
 word was naturally used of fanning embers into flame, and hence came the old interpretation of the phrase before us, 'letting hot, tears well up.' There is however no need to give to $\dot{\alpha} v a \pi \rho \eta \dot{\theta} \theta \omega$ here the special sense which it acquires in relation to fire. We may refer the word in this phrase to its original meaning, and translate simply 'with tears welling up.'
438. Gol $\delta \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \mu$ ' $є \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$. 'Made me thine escort.'
440. ofoitoo $\pi$ roג'́ $\mu$ oto. The reading of the MSS. and most editions is $\dot{o} \mu o t i o u ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 t o$, in which the short $\iota$ in $\dot{o} \mu o t-\check{\iota}-0 v$ has to do duty for a long syllable. This metrical defect is remedied by writing, instead of oov, the old genitive form in -oo (see above on $1.6_{4}$ ), and, instead of $\pi 0 \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \mu o t o$, the Acolic or Achaean form of that word $\pi \tau 0 \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o t o$. Sce Monro, H. G. p. 60.
448. Amyntor, son of Ormenos, is mentioned again in $1 l .10 .266$, and his seat is there Eleon. The only town named Eleon known to us was in Boeotia. There is therefore an apparent discrepancy between the two passages, which can best be reconciled by supposing another Eleon situate in that district of Thessaly which Homer calls Hellas.
 of cause, independent of the $\pi \epsilon \rho \cdot(=$ exceedingly $)$ in the compound verb.
 ative forms see above on 1. 33 .
453. obto $\theta_{\text {efs }}$. This passive form of the aorist of olo $\mu \alpha \iota$ is rare; more common is the middle form wiozá $\mu \eta \nu$ etc.
 knees a dear son sprung of my own body.' It is necessary to the sense of the passage to understand $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ as subject of $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. If Amyntor meant merely to refuse to recognize his son's prospective family, that refusal might perhaps be called a curse upon his son, but would certainly not require any invocation of the Erinyes. Moreover the phrase रoúvarıv oiot $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \in \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ was so intimately associated with the action of a father acknowledging a new-born child as his own (cf. Lat. suscipio), as to counteract the grammatical ambiguity by which either the grandfather or the father might be the subject of i申'є $\sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a l$. Phoenix
certainly understood his father's words, as is shown by 11. 402-5, not as a mere refusal to recognize his possible grandchildren, but as an imprecation of childlessness.

For oifov as possessive pronoun referring to the first person cf. Od. 9. $28,13.320$, and see note below on II. 10. $39^{8 .}$
$45^{8-4} \mathrm{fr}$. These four lines occur in no ms. now extant, but are preserved, by Plutarch (de Aud. Poot. 8), who tells us that Aristarchus cut them out, shocked at Phoenix confessing even to an intention of parricide. It is however characteristic of the Homeric warrior to speak both good and evil of himself without either false or genuine shame; and further a reminder to Achilles of the terrible results to which anger may lead is not inapposite.
465. aúroû, the adverb, to be taken with $\operatorname{katc\rho inituov,~}=$ 'sought to keep me there.'
468. є่о́pєvol tavúovто, 'were stretched and singed.' єi'ómevou being present participle denotes an action contemporaneous with that of the main verb tavúouto.

472-3. The aund of an Homeric house was the unroofed forecourt. Along the front side ran a portico (here called aitovoa aủ̉ps). On entering therefore at the front door, a man found himself at once beneath this portico. From thence, to gain access to the house proper, he would walk straight from under the front porlico across the open fore-court to a second portico opposite and parallel to the first; behind this portico lay the vestibule ( $\pi \rho \sigma \delta \delta \mu o s$ ), which was itself the antechamber of the $\mu$ '́ रapoy or Large Hall for the men. Sleeping apartments ( $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \mu o t)$ probably opened off either end of the inner or second purtico, and likewise to left and right (or at any rate to either left or right) of the antechamber.
476. The fixity of Homeric epithets is well seen on comparing lines 472 and 476 . In the former the court-yard is called eíєprys; in the latter Phoenix leaps casily over the selfeame épriov auih $\hat{s}$ which has just been commended.

## 482. тๆ入ข́ұєтоv. See above on 1. 143.

483. $\ddot{\pi} \pi a \sigma \epsilon \lambda a o ́ v$. The position of Phoenix in relation to Peleus is suggestive of an almost feudal condition of society. He holds sovereignty under Peleus and by his gift, and owes him a certain allegiance and service of the same nature as Peleus himself owes to Agamemnon. Cf. 11. 438 -9, and see above on 1. 69 .
 expression in this sentence. Since $\ddot{\mu} \mu^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ helongs by position to both
the clauses oüт"...iéval and oüre... $\pi \dot{d} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \ell$, it is natural to expect merely $\tilde{\eta}$ ' $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ ('with no other than me') to complete the sentence. The words $\ddot{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ are however treated as though they belonged to the first oüT clause only, and $\pi \rho i \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ öTє $\delta \dot{\eta}$ introduces a qualification of the second оঠัтє clause. The thought, thus faultily expressed, is in reality 'Thou wouldst not go to the banquet with other than me, nor wouldst thou taste food, save when (lit. until) I cut thee the first morsel etc.'

49r. olvov. Partitive genitive.
493. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$, antecedent of the clause introduced by ö ( $=0 ̈ \tau \iota$ ).

495. सotev́ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. 'I hoped (or tried) to make thee a son to me.' In Later Greek moteîə $\theta a$ is sometimes used absolutely, meaning 'to adopt.'
 (q. v.).
499. kal $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. . And yet,' $=$ кal $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ or кairot of Attic.
503. "The epithets are transferred from the attitude of the penitent to his prayers. $\chi \omega \lambda \lambda \ell$, because of his reluctance to go to ask pardon: $\dot{\rho} v \sigma a l$, from his face wrinkled with the mental struggle: $\pi \alpha \rho a \beta \lambda \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{s} \dot{\delta} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \dot{\omega}$, because he dares not look in the face him whom he has wronged." (Leaf.)
.O.4. ádéyourt may be construed either with the gen. ätns ('pay heed to Sin, following after her '), or, better, with the participle кьỗaı ('make it their business to go')-the latter being a construction familiar with such verbs as $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}, \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ (cf. II. $506-7$ ), and $\lambda a \nu \forall a ́ v \omega$.

508-12. The allegory is concerned not with prayers to the gods for pardon of $\sin$, but with those of one man to another for forgiveness for some injury committed (as by Agamemnon against Achilles). The lines may be paraphrased thus: 'Whoso respects the prayers for forgiveness addressed to him, he is blessed for it and his prayers are heard when he too in turn craves forgiveness: but whoso rejects such prayers and refuses forgiveness, upon him is Até (infatuation to sin) sent, he is misled ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \phi \theta \epsilon$ is) by her, commits some injury against a neighbour, and pays for ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \tau i \sigma \eta$ ) his previous refusal to forgive, by failing now to obtain the forgiveness which he seeks.'
518. ' $\epsilon \mu \pi \eta s$. This adverb, like ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \operatorname{s}$ in Attic, is by a curious idiom of Greek frequently displaced from the clause to which it in sense belongs, and joined closely with the concessive clause, thereby increasing the emphasis of the opposition between the two clauses. Translate,
'Be their need never so great.' The same idiom is to the observed with euvoús, and $\ddot{\mu} \mu$.
 with contempt,' 'dishonour.'

524. к $\lambda$ éa. For form of word see above on 1. 189.

525 . ठ̈тє кєv...ikot. This clause happens to the a solitary instance in IIomer of öte $\kappa \in \nu$ with the optative. Similar combinations, however, such as $\epsilon i$ кel and optative, are not rare, cf. ahove 1 . I +1 , e $i$
 analogy.

529-599. These lines are devoted to the story of Meleager which is told in a very confused way. A simple outline of the legend is as follows: Oeneus, king of the Aetolians, whose chief city was Calydon, had taken to wife Althaea daughter of Thestios king of the Curetes, and they had a son Meleager. Now it so happened that Oeneus offended Artemis by not doing sacrifice to her, and in revenge she sent a monstrous wild boar of peculiar ferocity to ravage his lands. A hunt was thereupon organised by Meleager, in which his mother's brothers (the sons of Thestios) took part. The boar was eventually slain by Meleager; but the division of the spoils gave rise to a quarrel between him and his uncles. Meleager chivalronsly assigned the lide of the monster to Atalanta, the only lady who had taken part in the hunt: but the sons of Thestios took the trophy from her, and Meleager in his anger slew them. The quarrel of the hunting-field then assumed international proportions, and the Curetes, to avenge the death of the sons of their king Thestios, belenguered Calydon, the city where reigned Oeneus father of Meleager. At the same time domestic troubles beset the house of Oeneus; for his wife Althaea laid a curse upon Meleager, her son, for baving slain her brothers: whereupon Meleager, indignant, refused to take any more part in defending the city. Thenceforth the fortunes of war were against the Actolians. Meleager was hesought by his father, his mother and sisters, and all his friends, and was tempted with offers of land by the counsellors of the town, to lay aside his wrath and fight: but to no purpose. It was not until his wife represented to him the horrors of her fate if the city were taken that he relented and fought and saved the city. But the offer of land had already been withdrawn.

Homer does nut mention Atalanta nor any cause for the slaying of the sons of Thestios: this defect is supplemented in the above outline
from the ordinary legend of later times. The somewhat involved narration of these events by Phoenix falls into the following divisions:
11. 529-532. Aetolians and Curetes discovered fighting.

533-549. A summary of the untoward events which had led up to the fighting, but containing no specitic statement of the casus belli.

550-556. Actolians successful so long as Meleager was fighting: soon however he became angry, and stayed at home. Reason for his action not yet assigned.
$557-564$. Digression relating to the family history of Meleager's wife.

565-572. Explanation of Meleager's reasons for staying at home.

573-599. Aetolians hard pressed. Attempts made to reconcile Meleager. His final relenting.
A discrepancy may have been observed in the outline of the legend given above. If the death of Thestios' sons at the hand of Meleager was the cause of the war, and the Aetolians at first, while Meleager was fighting (11. $550-2$ ), achieved such success as to confine the Curetes within the walls of Thestios' city, how can we account for the time necessary to these events elapsing before Althaea pronounces her curse? In the natural course of events, a curse would be spoken in less time than war even declared-and yet here the war has been actually going on some time. It may be conjectured that the Homeric version of the legend, if it had been narrated in greater completeness, would have represented war breaking out as a result of a quarrel over the spoils of the hunt (a quarrel in which no Atalanta appeared), and would have made a son or sons of Thestios fall by the hand of Meleager in the first stage of the war. Thereupon Althaea's curse, Meleager's anger and retirement, and the second stage of the war.
 danger is warded off may be put either in the dative (as above in 1. 495), or in the genitive (as 17.15 .731 , T T $\rho \hat{\omega} a s a \dot{a} \mu \nu \nu \epsilon \quad \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) after the active $\dot{\alpha} \mu u ́ v \omega$. The middle $\dot{\alpha} \mu u ́ v o \mu a \iota$ expresses, in virtue of Voice, self-defence, and therefore in the majority of cases requires no further designation of the object defended. But in a few cases, a genitive is found added, indicating the particular point of the defender's own person or property from which danger is to be warded off. Thus $I l$. 12. 155- $6, \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$ ' aủ $\bar{\omega} \nu \kappa a l$ к $\lambda \iota \sigma \iota a ́ \omega \nu \nu \eta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau^{\prime}$ ' $\omega \kappa v \pi \delta \dot{\rho} \omega \nu=$ 'repelling (the danger) from themselves and from their tents and from their swift voyaging ships.'

Similarly tran－late here，＇Repelling the foe from thio fair city，Calydon， or＇in defence of their fair Calydon．＇

## 534．Oa入vorta，firstfruits of the harvest．

youvós is probably from the ront you－（ferility）and thus denotes，in connection with $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \dot{\eta}$ ，a sown plot of ground，i．e．corn－fields etc．
 cf．Lat．operari，facere．

537．oúk غ̇vó $\eta \sigma \in \mathrm{v}$ ．＇Ile disregarded，＇cf．oix $\epsilon$＇$\phi=$ he denied．
adáato．The scansion of the first two syllables of this word in Homer is purely a matter of metrical convenience．Thus ääбato（here），$^{\text {a }}$ āăवá $\mu \eta \nu$（above，1．I16）．
¿38．Siov févos．It has been disputed whether this expression applies to the goddess or to the wild boar．It is best referred to the latter，as being a monstrous and supernatural specimen of its kind．A －imilar expression is used of the supernatural horse Arion（ $/ 1.23$ ．



539．$X^{\lambda}$ oúv $\eta \nu$ ．The derivation and the meaning of this word are alike indeterminate．According to Apollonius，it is contracted from x do－eivins＝＇having its lair in the grass，＇not a formidable trait in an animal＇s character．Aristotle（Hist．Anim．6．28）appears to interpret it as＝тонias（gelded）and，as a result，＇fierce．＇Scarlatos Byzantios （Mod．Gk Lexicon）identifies it with Mod．Gk $\chi^{\lambda} \omega \mu$ ós＝＇yellow，＇＇tawny．＇

540．$\quad \epsilon \omega v$ ，participle of $\epsilon \theta \omega$（I am wont），to be taken closely with єॅрбєєкєข。

547．$\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ ．Artemis．
556．kєiтo，＇stayed at home．＇
$\mathbf{5 5 7}$ ．The family history of Meleager＇s wife，Cleopatra，which here interrupts the narrative is as follows：Marpessa，the daughter of Euenos， was carried off from her father＇s house by Idas；Apollo however attempted to carry her off in turn from Idas；and in defence of his bride （ка入入єбфи́pou єiveка ví $\not \phi \eta$ ）Idas drew his bow against Apollo．But Zeus intervened and stopped the fight，by allowing Marpessa to chouse between her rival suitors．She chose Idas，and in commemoration of the halcyon－like cries of Marpessa when Ajollo tried to carry her off， she and Idas gave to their daughter Cleopatra the further name Alcyone．

560．vúpф $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ，sc．Marpessa．
561．т $\boldsymbol{1} v$ sc．Cleopatra．

тє́ध $\sigma \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Translate，＇nursing．＇
$567 . \quad$ ท่pâтo. Translate, 'prayed,' not 'cursed.' The substance of


кaбเүvijroro is sometimes taken as an adjective agreeing with
 reconciles the phrase with the later legend, according to which Althaea had more than one brother slain by Meleager. But the Homeric story may have differed in this as in other points.

568-9. Hades and Persephone were powers beneath the earth (imoxóptot): the action of beating (lit. threshing) the earth was therefore a logical way of calling their attention.
573. T $\hat{\omega} v$, sc. the Aetolians.
574. тóv, sc. Meleager.
578. $\tau \in \mu \in v o s$. Land in general was public property, and was distributed for cultivation into small holdings of which the cultivator had temporary occupation, but not permanent ownership. A separate portion ( $\tau \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \mathcal{L}$, from root of $\tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \omega$, I cut, cf. $\tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a l$ I. 580) was owned by the king alone, and was therefore offered to Meleager as a special and almost royal distinction. For system of land tenure see below on 10. 355 .
583. oavi $\delta$ as. The plural is regularly used by Hoer because folding-doors were in general use. Meleager is represented as having shut himself up in his bedroom. ко $\lambda \lambda \eta \tau$ ós is a general epithet of any article which required accurate fitting in the manufacture, a door, a chariot etc. It should not be translated 'fixed,' as with bars or bolts.
588. ' $\beta$ á $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau 0$, began to be struck by the enemy's missiles.
 'dust,' $=$ 'reduce to ashes.'
598. tigas $\hat{\psi} \theta u \mu \hat{\psi}$, ' having yielded to his own impulse,'-the good impulse which his wife's words stirred up in him. There would be little difficulty in the words, were it not for the reminiscence which they
 $\dot{\eta} \tau i \mu \eta \sigma a s$. But even there $\theta v \mu \delta s_{s}$ does not of itself denote any bad feeling, but derives it entirely trom the adjective $\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau о \rho!$, 'proud,' 'overbearing.'
599. aürcs, adverb from the pronoun aútós, with accent thrown back as commonly in the Acolian (Achaean) dialect. Translate simply 'even so'; there is no necessity in any case to suppose, with the Grammarians, a meaning 'in vain,' 'to no purpose.'
605. $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$, a contracted form of the adjective $\tau \iota \mu \eta$ j́cs, cf. Od. 18. 475, $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \partial \nu \tau \tau \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \tau a(=\tau \iota \mu \eta \in \nu \tau a)$. This is better than to read $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$
（gen．of $\tau(\mu \hat{\eta})$ as depenclent on $\dot{o} \mu \bar{\omega} s$ ，for the idiom of Greek would then


60－．ăтта，a child＇s wond for＇father，＇used as a title of respect or endearment to old men．

609 ．${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu^{\prime}$＇ $6 \xi \in$ ，the antecedent is ravi＇vŋs $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$ ．Translate，＇I need wot such honour（for honour，methinks，I have already won by the ordinance of Zeus），as shall keep me here beside the ships＇all my life long．

614．áméx $\begin{aligned} \text { そal．} \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \text { ávouaz here，as in most passages，means }\end{aligned}$ not merely to be hated，but to cause oneself to be hated，make oneself objectionable，incur hatred by some act：in other words it is a true middle，not a passive verb．

616．$\mu \in(p \in o$ ，the only extant form from the present tense of $\mu$ eipomat． But forms $\ddot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \rho \rho \in$（aurist）and eípaptat etc．（perf．pass．）are referable to the same verb．

619．$\nu \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a \ldots \mu \dot{v} \omega \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．The subjunctives are deliberative，the same as would be used in the corresponding direct question．

620．＇$\pi \boldsymbol{i}$ ，not governing $\dot{0} \phi$ púat，but with $\nu \in \hat{u} \sigma \epsilon$（tmesis）．
 termination＇－aто（ $=\nu \tau 0$ ）see note on 10 ． 189.
 usage of the word approaches that of the English＇end＇as equivalent to＇purpose．＇
 emended，against the authority of the MSS．，to $\pi ⿰ 丿 ㇄$ pres．）．It must therefore be regarded as a perfect form，lacking reduplication，irregularly accented，and used with present signification．

630．$\mu є \tau a \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau a l$ ．This verl，like the more frequent $\dot{\nu \nu \tau \rho \in ́ \pi о \mu a \imath ~}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\phi} \phi \rho \mu a l$ ，is construed with a genitive denoting the cause for which one turns onesclf．Hence the general sense＇to regard，＇＇to pray heed to，＇etc．

632．In the Homeric stage of society，the idea of accepting other satisfaction for homicide than the blood of the slayer had already begun to take root．The conception of any felony as a crime against society as a whole and calling for punishment at the hands of the community， was as yet unknown．It rested therefore with the injured family in each case to determine what satisfaction for homicide should be ac－ cepted．The inconvenience of exacting a life as retribution for a life， leading naturally to a blood－feud between two families，had already in the Homeric age suggested the idea of accepting an indemnity for the
injury done to the family of the slain man. Even this primitive plan has not yet been accepted throughout modern Greece: in the peninsula of Maina few men would be so pusillanimous as to accept an indemnity (much less to have recourse to the law of the land), in satisfaction for the violent death of a member of the family, and the blood-feud continues from generation to generation.

634 . $\dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{v} v$, sc. the homicide.
$6_{35}$. Tov $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, sc. the father or the brother of the murdered man.
 parallel for this ungrammatical variation of case may be found below, 10. 187.
$6_{40}$. all $\delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a t ~ \delta \neq \mu \in \hat{\lambda} \alpha \theta \rho o v$, i.e. do not refuse our request in your own house. Ajax appeals to Achilles' sense of hospitality, always the strongest moral constraint in Greece.
$6_{47}$. áviф $\eta^{\lambda}$ ov. The exact meaning of this word cannot be determined; but 'dishonouring' (which is taken to be the meaning 11 Quint. Smyrn. 9. 521) will suit both the Homeric passages (here and in $\left.I l .2_{2} \cdot F_{7} 6_{7}\right)$. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime} \phi \eta \lambda$ ov cannot be in agreement with $\mu \epsilon$ as part of the predicate ('made me dishonoured'); ${ }^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \xi_{\xi} \varepsilon$ is not so used, but rather some such word as eقnce. The plrase is undoubtedly of the

 dishonour.'
$\sigma_{4} 8$. $\dot{\alpha} \tau(\mu \eta \tau 0 v \mu \varepsilon \tau a v a \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \nu$. This phrase is quoted by Aristotle (Pol. 1II. 5. 9) in a passage where he is using touat in the technical sense of 'civic privileges.' "But in Homer the meaning of $\dot{a} \tau / \mu \eta \tau o \nu$ is probably 'without any $\tau \tau \mu \eta$ ' or blood-price attached to his life,' i.e. one who may be killed with impunity, rather than one without $\tau \mu \mu \mathrm{l}$ in the sense of civic privileges." (Jackson, quoted by Susemihl and Hicks, ad loc. cit.)

66I. äwTov. The derivation of this word is uncertain, but it has been supposed to be from the root of $\alpha \eta \mu \mathrm{L}$ (to blow), ='that which is blown ahout,' i.e. 'flock,' 'down' or 'knap.' Since the chief excellence in cloth and woollen goods is soft smooth surface, the word is thought to have been transferred thence by metaphor to anything choice and excellent of its kind. It is a favourite word with Pindar.
662. катé $\lambda \in \kappa т 0$. This form is generally called a syncopated second aorist, by which name it is implied that the full form should be кaтe $\lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \kappa-\epsilon$ то. It is however more accurate to regard it as one of a regular Homeric class of non-thematic second aorists middle. Their peculiarity is that they
are non-thematic in spite of the fact that the stem ends in a consonant,
 are thus identical in formation with the second aorist middle of verbs whose aorist-stem ends in a vowel, as $\vec{\epsilon}$ - $\phi 0_{l}-\tau 0$ (he perished), $\begin{gathered}\text { e- }\end{gathered}$ ra-то (he flew).

67 r . Set $\delta$ '́Xato. See above on 11 . igt and 224. Each man rose from the place where he had been sitting and stood to welcome the envoys.
675. à $\pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \pi \epsilon$, 'refused.'
680. aútóv. Note the emphatic position at the heginning of the sentence ; translate, 'by thyself' or 'for thyself,' i.e. unaided by Achilles.
681. Fóns. See note above on 1. 393 .
683. á $\mu \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sigma \sigma \sigma$ as, usually taken to mean 'propelled by oars on both sides'; but the sense of 'rolling from side to side' is perhaps as probable, and certainly as picturesque.
$68_{4}-687$. A repetition of $417-20$, mutatis mutandis.
688. єimépєv. "That the Greek infinitive was originally the dative of an alstract noun, is proved by comparison with Sanskrit." ( $H$. G. p. 163 .) The usage of it in the present pasmage is reminiscent of that origin; the line might be rendered, 'and they that went with me are here for telling this.'
690. avi日l, 'there,' 'yonder,' i.e. in Achilles' tent.
$69_{4}$. This line has little relevance after the mere delivery of a message, and has been generally regarded as an interpolation from $1.3^{1} 4$ (q.v.). By the omission of it, 11. 693, 695 and $696=11.29-31$.
 Homer by $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \lambda_{0 \nu}$ and an infinitive: in such phrases $\mu \dot{\eta}$ logically belongs to the infinitive, but is regularly displaced for greater emphasis.
699. kal äd $\lambda \omega$ s. 'He is haughty enough in any casc.'.
 not properly subordinate to ea $_{\sigma} \sigma \mu \in v$, but paratactic and coordinate. Translate, 'let us leave him alone, let him go or stay as he will.'éáбouev is probably aorist subjunctive, not future indicative.
 with the sense of 'satisfying or filling oneself.' Hence the genitive of material (see above on 11.137 and 214 ) which naturally follows verbs of filling.


## BOOK X.

r. Havaxatwิ. The names commonly applied to the Greek forces in the Iliad are 'A $\chi$ atoi, 'Apreiol and $\Delta a v a o l$. Of these names, the first only is properly applicable to every contingent of the army; thus Achilles from Phthia in Thessaly, and Odlysseus from Ithaca are Achaeans, but are not Argives nor Danai. 'Apreiot applies mainly to Agamemnon and his (the largest) contingent as coming from the land of Argos, but if we take 'Argos' in its largest sense as meaning the whole Peloponnese (see above on 9.14 I ), the term may include also Menelaus, Nestor and other chieftains. The name $\Delta a v a o l$ ought not, it would seem, to be used of any Achaean people, much less of all : for Danaus, the eponymous progenitor, came of Pelasgian stock and dwelt in Argos before the Achaean immigration. The use of the appellation would therefore seem to imply a complete fusion of the Achaean and Pelasgian populations in Argos long before the time of Homer. The comparatively rare name Пavaxacol merely emphasizes the appropriateness of the name ' $A$ रacoi to all the Greek forces, in contrast with the local name 'A $\rho \gamma \in \hat{\epsilon} 0$ a and the tribal name $\Delta a \nu a o i$.
7. vифєтóv к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. "It would seem that we must understand $\pi$ тo久úv and $\dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \sigma \phi a \tau 0 \nu$ to apply also to $\nu \ell \phi \epsilon \tau \delta \nu$, or else the picture of a snowstorm merely 'sprinkling' the fields appears a very insignificant phenomenon compared to those which precede and follow it" (Leaf). It may however be observed that snow which lies is an object of peculiar horror to the Greek of to-day. I have known the whole male population of a small town to keep their beds for a fortnight on end, resignedly awaiting a thaw. The mere 'sprinkling' of the fields, therefore, if we may judge by the feelings of the present inhabitants of the country, is no 'insignificant phenomenon.' Ct. Aesch. Prom. 1. $1027 \pi \rho \delta{ }^{2}$ tav̂ta,


 (piercing) was possibly suggested by the use of $\sigma \tau b \mu a$, war being compared to a ravenous monster with piercing fangs.
9. The point of comparison in the elaborate simile is indicated by $\pi$ ткะขá.
10. עєเóOcv. See above on 9. 153.
1.3. aủ入ิิv ovplyyev. If two kinds of instruments are here indicated, there is, as most editors have observed, a somewhat harsh asyndeton; fur the $\tau \in$ fullowing oupi $\gamma ; \omega \nu$ can only serve once as comnecting particle, viz. cither hetween aỉhêv and ouply$\gamma \omega v$, or between
 aùitiv and $\sigma u p i \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ need a connecting particle? l'es, if the two words denote two diverse kinds of instrument : no, if the two worls together
 of the Homeric use of two substantives in apposition, the one generic and the wher specific, to denote a single object. For instances see above on 9. 208. Here I regrard aidós as the generic term ( $=a$ wind-
 of wind-instrument to which the Trojans were addicted. On this view of the passage, there is no asyndeton.
16. $\Delta \mathfrak{l}$. The notion of despondent prayer suggested by the previous line is answerable for the dative following, which may lee regarded as a dative of the person indirectly affected.
18. ' $\epsilon \pi \mathrm{r}$, with the sense more familiar in $\mu \in \tau$ a, 'to fetch' or 'to find,' cf. the colloquial English use of 'after.'

21-24. Descriptions of dress, armour cte. in elaborate detail are characteristic of this book, of. 29, 75, 131 etc., and Introduction, p. xxx.
 the phrase ok'ōè $\partial \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots \in \phi i j a v e ~ b e i n g ~ p a r e n t h e t i c a l . ~ ' I n ~ l i k e ~ m a n n e r ~$ had fear hold on Menelaus,-for neither could he sleep,-even fear lest the Argives etc.' The phrase $\epsilon i \tau \pi \pi \dot{d} \theta o<\mu c$ is frequently used euphemistically in Greek to avoid explicit mention of death or disaster; so, in English, 'if anything were to happen to me,' cf. 1. 538, below.

27 . $\pi 0 u \lambda$ úv. Used in Homer for the feminine as well as for the masculine acc. sing., cf. 11.5 .776 , $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \rho a$ \#oùiov, for ditip is regularly feminine in Homer.
30. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha{ }^{2} \eta v$. It is impossible to say in what, if in anything, the orc申ávj differed from other kinds of helmet ( $\tau \rho \cup \not \subset i \lambda i c i a, ~ к \tau i \delta t \eta$ etc.). It used to be thought that the word meant properly the brim of a helmet, the part being useal in such expressions as this for the whole; but there is as yet no archacological warranty for that conjecture.
 11. G. p. 16) "probably due to the amalogy of the Non-Thematic
 $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ au (from $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ), 'to mourn.' It must be confessed, however, that by the aid of this amalugy, the difficulty is only carried back one
step; for it remains dubious how the Non-Thematic Contracted Verbs themselves gave rise to such forms.
46. äpa, the particle of inference. "Verily he must have set his heart on Hector's offerings more than on ours : for never yet saw I nor heard tell of one man etc.'
50. aütws, 'just as he is,' 'in his own might,' 'unaided.'
54. 日éwv. Observe the accent, which shows the word to be the participle of $\theta \epsilon \omega$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, , as above in 1. 18.
56. iepov ténos, 'the stalwart company' of sentinels. $\tau \in$ 亩os is employed now and again in all periods of Greek to denote a body of soldiers etc. iepós, apart from its ordinary meaning 'holy,' bears occasionally the sense of 'strong' or 'sound.' In $11.17 .4^{6} 4$ it is the
 iє $\rho \dot{\eta}$ is T $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \mu \alpha \alpha^{\chi} о \iota$, the same interpretation seems preferable. In Mod. Gk. this sense has become paramomt, e.g. ( $\gamma$ ) $\epsilon \subset \rho_{o} \pi \iota a ́ \tau 0=a$ 'sound plate' (i.e. not cracked nor chipped).
62. avi $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{l}} \ldots \mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ roî $\iota$. 'There...among the sentinels.'
65. $\alpha \beta \rho o \tau \alpha \xi \circ \mu \epsilon v$, aor. subj. from an otherwise unknown verb $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho o t \dot{\alpha} \dot{\zeta} \omega$, containing the same root as $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$. The $\beta$ has been generated by the juxtaposition of $\mu$ and $\rho$ (as in $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \rho о \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu=\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu)$, and subsequently the original $\mu$ has fallen out before the imported $\beta$.

68-9. Each man is to be summoned by his own name, his patronymic ( $\pi a \tau \rho \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ ), and some complimentary title ( $\kappa \nu \delta a i \nu \omega \nu$ ). An example of such address occurs below (1.87), $\tilde{\omega}$ Né $\sigma$ top (name),
 words $\pi a \tau p \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\eta} s$ are to be taken as a single phrase. The patronymic used was not necessarily formed from the name of the father, but sometimes from that of a remoter forefather. Thus Achilles is spoken of both as $\Pi \eta \lambda \eta$ idöns (after Peleus, his father), and as Alaklòs (after Aeacus, his grandfather).

7 I . $\dot{\epsilon \pi}$ l, with iet (tmesis). 'Such grievous hardship, I trow, did Zeus even at our birth determine to send upon us.' The imperfect denotes intention.
73. ó, 'he himself,' i.e. Agamemnon.

75-7. For Homeric armour see Introduction, p. xxx.
79. ov̉ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon$. An intransitive sense of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \in \pi \omega$, 'yield to,' is nowhere else found in Homer. In view of this fact, it is perhaps worth suggesting that the true reading should be oơ $\mu \tau \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \in \pi \epsilon$. The reflexive use of $\mu \nu \nu$ is at least supported by one other Homeric passage,
L. IL. IX. X.
 aitóv = ifsuns), and is countenanced by the general uage of I Ierofotus.
$8_{5}$. $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi^{\prime \prime}$ ' $\mu$ " "pxєo. The preposition $\dot{\epsilon \pi i}$ implies some sutpicion or fear of hosile intentions. 'Come not upon me (or against me) in silence.'

SS. tïcau. 'Thou wilt know of,' 'thou wilt have heard of.' If this reading be correct, the words are spoken with half-humorous irony. There is a var. lect. p$\dot{\text { úceat, 'thou wilt recognise.' }}$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\pi} \pi a ́ v \tau \omega v$, 'above all men.' 'more than all men.'
93. $\Delta a v a \hat{\omega} v \pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \epsilon i \delta t a$ afforls a better rhythm than Davâ̂v $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho t$ ócioca. For the compound $\pi$ eptōeiô ('fear exceedingly') see above 9 . 433, and for the causal genitive Javaîy see above un 9.449 ( $\pi$ allaxiôos $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha \tau 0)$.
 aidíc in the same relation as inarté $\omega$ to i. iáw." (Leaf.)
96. Spaivets, also ämaそ $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$., apparently desiderative in sense ( $=\delta \rho a \sigma \in(\omega)$. 'If thou art for doing aught.'
98. The coupling of both ráuatos and ïrvos with the verb aionkóres almost constitutes a zeugma. 'Sated with toil and satisfied with slecp.'
 for supposing a phrase emi $\pi \dot{a} \gamma \chi v$ in the same sense as $\pi \alpha_{1} \chi \cup$ alone: the
 $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \gamma{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, is an exact parallel to the passage befure us, and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ belongs to $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
101. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega s . . \mu \epsilon \nu o w \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma$. 'It may be they will set their mind to fighting even by night.' The various uses of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ are best reduced to order and consistency on the supposition that that particle was originally not a negative proper, but an indication of doubt. Vestiges of such a
 $\boldsymbol{\pi} \hat{j}$ eineiv ('perbaps it is rather a rude thing to say'), (z) in the later use of $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \frac{0}{}$ (from Aristotle onwards) as $=$ 'perhaps,' (3) in the modern use of $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ s to introduce questions, e.g. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ ws tòv ciôas; 'do you happen to have seen him?'

In the next stage of development, we may suppose, $\mu$ n and the subjunctive, instead of expressing mere doubt, came to indicate some degree of apprehension and fear. Thus $\mu \grave{\eta}$ тои̂тo révprat no longer meant merely 'perhaps this will happen,' but implied also some tear of, or some precaution or warning against, the possible occurrence. This second stage of development differs from the first in idiomatic usage only. An offshoot of it, the use of $\mu \eta$ and the aorist sulijunctive in
prohibition, has continued through all periods of Greek up to the present day. Thus $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta s$, meaning in the first stage 'perhaps you will go wrong,' gradually was used to imply so much warning against going wrong as to be tantamount to a prohibition, 'do not go wrong.'

In the course of development from the second to the third stage, the change is not this time a modification of idiom, but a growth of construction. In the early paratactic stage of language, the turn of thought expressed by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and the subjunctive naturally stood in a variety of relations to the preceding sentence with which it was coordinate; consequently, as language became more syntactic, the same form of expression stood in an equal variety of relations to the clause to which it was subordinate. A few examples will suffice to illustrate this change. The paratactic expression, $\delta \epsilon \ell \delta \omega^{*} \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ (I am afraid; perhaps he will come), passed into the syntactic expression, $\delta \epsilon i \delta \omega \mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ (I am afraid that he will come). Similarly $\phi \in \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \cdot \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \ddot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ (let us flee; perhaps he will seize us) became $\phi \in \cup ́ \gamma \omega \mu \in \nu, \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta}_{\mu a ̂ s ~ e ̈ ̀ ~}^{\text {® }} \eta$ (let us flee, that he may not seize us). And again ö $p a \cdot \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta s^{(l o o k ~ o u t ; ~}$ perhaps you will make a mistake) became öpo $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ (see that you make no mistake). In this way the numerous uses of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and the subjunctive in syntax can all be traced back to the single use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and the subjunctive, in the paratactic stage of language, to express doubt. Since the Homeric poems represent a transitional period from paratactic to syntactic expression, it is often difficult in a given case to decide whether the thought expressed by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and the subjunctive forms an independent sentence or a subordinate clause; and punctuation in each case depends on the decision.
108. $\pi 0 \tau i$, adverbial, 'in addition,' 'beside.'
 expresses a wish. By the agglutination of a second clause indicating (by the optative and áv) what would result from the fulfilment of that wish, were formed conditional clauses.
121. $\mu \in \theta \in \epsilon$ may be regarded either as absolute or as sharing with oús $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$ the government of $\pi 0 \nu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. For the two uses cf. 1l. 13. 229 and 234 .
127. iva yáp. Considerable difficulty has been made by editors over the collocation of rá $\rho$ with the relative iva ('where '), their basis of argument apparently being that in English we cannot combine 'for' with 'where,' but are content to say either 'for there' or simply 'where.' English idiom, however, is a strange standard by which to judge IIomeric Greek, which could combine $\gamma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho$ with the relative just
as well as with the demonstrative; cf. 11. 23.9 ö gáp jipas iori
 equally rational to oliect to the combinations dillà jap and ral fap, on the ground that Engli-h idiom does not permit 'bat for 'or 'and for.'
 principle of the safety-pin.

135-6. For a description of IIomeric armour see Introd. p. xxx.
142. ö $\tau$, a loose accusative of relation, amplifying, as it were, the meaning of ti $\phi \theta$ '. 'For what cause do ye thus wander..., in respect of which need has so urgently come?'

147 . This line is with good reason suspected as an interpolation from $32 \%$, below. The question of fighting or of going home has already been settled in the last book. The interpolation may well be due to a desire to supply an infinitive with éméowev (Leaf).
$152-3$. For a description of Homeric armour see Introd. p. גxx.
153. $\sigma a v p \omega \tau \hat{\eta} p o s$, a spike at the butt-end of the spear, of the same appearance and purpose as that which is now fitted to fishing-rods.
 as a by-form of $\dot{\dot{j}} \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{L}^{\prime}$ s, it seems necessary to regard intiotpwro as middle voice and governing $\dot{\rho} \omega \delta \delta \nu$. 'He had spread an oxhide beneath himself,' or 'He had had an oxhicle spread beneath him.' let тєtáverto in the next line is undoubtedly passive.
156. кра́тєбфt. An instance of false analogy in the formation of an archaic form. No stem крate $\sigma$. is known, whereas in the apparently similar forms $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \theta \in \sigma \phi t$, ó $\rho \in \sigma \phi l$, ó $\chi \in \sigma \phi t$ etc., it is from stems $\sigma \tau \eta \theta_{c} \sigma$,

159. dं $\omega$ teis, a rare word, probably connected with the rout of ä $\eta \mu \mathrm{c}$ ('blow'), and having reference to the deep regular breathing of the sleeper.
160. $\theta \rho \omega \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi} \pi \epsilon \delta$ ioto, 'an eminence of the plain,' a hill ' apringing' from it.
164. FXé $\lambda_{\text {tos, }}$ 'hardy,' 'unflagging,' used here in admiration of physical endurance: as applied to mental qualities, it generally bears a bad sense, 'stubborn,' 'hard-hearted.'
166. $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon เ \tau a$, on that account, i.e. in virtue of youth.
167. á $\mu$ rixavos, in passive sense, 'intractable,' said with some $^{\text {a }}$ humour, to which Nestor responds with $\epsilon i \mu^{\prime}$ ' $\begin{aligned} & \lambda \text { eaipers (1. 176). } \\ & 17\end{aligned}$

173 - +. The construction is loose, for $\hat{\eta}$ öte $\theta$ pos $\dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \beta$ 依rat does not strictly constitute a subject to iorazą, but rather an explanatory phrase in quasi-apposition to the whole of the previous line.
175. Фuर̇éos vióv, sc. Meges.
183. Svowpingoveat. This form, which all mss. concur in giving, must be regarded as aorist subjunctive, and not as future indicative: for the former is commonly used by Homer in similes, the latter never. So regarded, it constitutes an unique example of the short vowel retained in the subjunctive of a Non-Thematic tense otherwise than under the exigencies of metre. "The long $\eta$ or $\omega$," says Monro (H.G. p. 49), "comes in place of $\epsilon$ or o whenever it can do so without disturbing the metre." This almost certainly means that the forms in $\eta$ and $\omega$ are not original, but are the result of an adaptation-a very matural adaptation, if tradition of the poems was largely oral-to the idiom of later times. By what accident the word סvowpijovoat escaped that process of adaptation, unless it were read as future indic., it is impossible to conjecture.
188. фu入aббоमévotrt. For the dative, where a genitive in agreement with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ would be more strictly grammatical, cf. $9.635-6$.
189. тєтра́фато. Pluperfect passive of $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$. The formation is as follows: corresponding to the 3rd sing. tétpan-тo would be a ard plur. $\tau \in \tau \rho a \pi-\nu \tau 0$. For $\nu$ in this position (i.e. for $\nu$ gonant), $\breve{a}$ is substituted, and along with that substitution, aspiration of the final consonant of the stem ( $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi-$ to $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \phi$.) is apt to take place. Cf.
 extended by analogy to cases in which $\nu$ was not necessarily a sonant but could be pronounced as a consonant, e.g. $\beta \epsilon \beta 0 \lambda$ ñato (93) for $\beta \in \beta$ бो $\quad$ ขто, $\pi i \theta$ olato ( 10.57 ) for $\pi i \theta$ ouvto.

о́тто́т' d'olev. Not 'whenever they heard,' but 'in case they should hear at any moment the Trojans coming upon them ' (ėit with $l 6 \nu \tau \omega \nu)$.
195. $\beta$ oudin. "The acc. of the object to which motion is directed (terminus ad quem) is common with iкvéo $\mu a t$, "iкш, iкávo (which always imply reaching a point)," H.G. p. 96. The usage is extended more rarely to other simple verbs ( ${ }^{\mu} \rho \chi$ о $\mu \alpha \iota$, ä $\gamma \omega$ etc.), to кa入eiv (according to Leaf) only here.
197. $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \eta \tau$ ráa $\theta a t$. Instead of $\sigma v \mu \mu \eta \pi a ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$, by assimilation of vowels. See above on 9. 229. Cf. also èठिptowvto in next line.
200. $\pi \in \pi \tau \epsilon \omega \dot{T} \omega \mathrm{~V}$. The MSS. give $\pi \iota \pi \tau \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, but the present participle affiords no possible sense. The dead bodies ( $\nu \dot{\epsilon}$ vés) were not now falling, but were already fallen. Some emendation is inevitable, and $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \omega \dot{T} \omega \nu$ (scanned as trisyllable, ci. 1l. 21. 503) involves very slight literal change.
$20_{4}-\mathrm{I} 3$. The punctuation of these lines is a matter of much
uncertainty. That adopted in the text represents the coordination of three wishes variously expressed, followed by a statement of the result of the fulfiment of those wishes. The three wishes are expressed by
 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha \ldots \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \theta \eta$ 's. Of these the first is put in the form of a question (cf. the use of $\pi \hat{\omega} s \hat{a} \nu$ and optat.) conveying a suggestion ; the second by $\epsilon i$ and the optative expressing a wish (cf. on l. 11 above) ; the third by a pure optative likewise expressing a wish. The result of the fulfilment of these wishes is then expressed by the sentence $\mu \epsilon \in \gamma a \kappa \in \nu \ldots \dot{\sigma} \dot{\lambda} \dot{\eta}$. So disposed, the text presents the speech in a completely paratactic form. It is equally legitimate to present it in a syntactic form by reselving the mark of interrogation (here placed after $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu)$ until $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s$. The scheme of

 i $A \theta 0 \mathrm{a} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \theta \theta \dot{\eta} s$; In such a scheme the whole clause bracketed becomes subordinate to è $\lambda \theta \in i \nu \nu$ ( $=$ 'to go in the hope that he might capture... or
 and continue the question beginning with oủk äv ồ $\tau$ ts. Several variations between these two extremes of paratactic and syntactic arrangement may be made.
210. èmel $\delta$ aцá⿱㇒avé $\gamma \epsilon$. The particle $\gamma \epsilon$ is here added (like ápa more frequently) to show that the reason is not a statement of fact by the speaker, but the allegation of the Trojans in their counsels.
215. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ "ॄкaбтos $\delta \omega ́ \sigma o v \sigma t$. An awkward piece of construction. Translate, 'one and all, they will give....'
217. mapíctau. The sentence is usually translated, 'and always at feast and banquet he shall be present,' i.e. part of the reward is to be a standing invitation to dinner. It appears to me better to connect the clause more closely with the last, and to allow to the $\bar{\partial} \xi$ (aici $\bar{\partial} \hat{\epsilon}$ ) its proper correspondence with $\mu^{\prime} \nu\left(\tau \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \nu\right)$. Translate then, 'To that (i.e. to a black ewe which lambs well) is no possession equal ; but ever at feast and banquet there will be to hand,' i.e. there will always be a lamb ready to slaughter and serve up. The verb mapeivat is frequent in
 the word applies to food.

It may also be noted as an objection to the usual translation that
 idiom ; $\pi$ apeivat governs a dative ( $\pi a \rho \in i v a l ~ o ̂ a i r \eta \sigma t$ ), and no t̀v is required. On the other hand, in the translation suggested as preferable, $\epsilon \nu$ will be
required ；for the dative governed by rapéarat would，if expressed，be ol（＇to him＇）．

224．＇िpouéva，the dual subject is split by the phrase $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \tau o u ̂ ~$ （one before the other），and the main verb，$\epsilon \nu \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，is thereby attracted to the singular．

22ұ－ 6 ．The gnomic or generalising particle $\tau \epsilon$ occurs six times in these three lines．Cf．$/ 1.4 \cdot 4^{83}$ ，where it occurs thrice in three lines of a simile．Possibly the unwonted frequency of it in this passage is clue to the desire of the speaker to avoid any confession of personal mis－ givings by generalising his reasons for asking for a companion．

226．$\beta$ рá $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ，comparative of $\beta \rho a \chi$ ús，ouly here found：cf．è $\lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ from $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \chi u ́ s, ~ \theta a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ from taxús．It cannot be regarded as comparative of $\beta$ paóvs for the reason that $\beta p a \delta-\omega \omega \nu$ would become not $\beta \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ，but $\beta \rho a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$.

231．ó $\tau \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{y}$ ．Both here and in 1． 498 the mss．give o $\tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ ， and in both cases the article appears inconsistent with Homeric usage． It is a noteworthy coincidence that these are also the only two passages in Homer，in which $\tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ occurs as an epithet of Odysseus．$\tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ （from root of $\tau \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega$ ）means in this context＇enduring．＇In later times the sense of＇miserable＇predominated．

236．фaเvоцє́vшv тòv äpıoтоv，＇the best of them as they present （or offer）themselves．＇A curious expression，if correct．A conjecture


238．ка入入єiтtєเv．Syncopated infin．of каталєime，in imperative sense．
 $\nu 0 \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a t \mu \epsilon \nu$ stands to $\nu o \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a t \mu \epsilon \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu$ as＇we might return＇to＇we should return．＇Cf．11．556－7．

249．$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \operatorname{vefi} \epsilon \iota$ ，coordinate with aivee in construction，but subordinate in sense．＇Refrain from praise of me even as from chiding．＇

252－3．$\pi \alpha \rho \varphi \underline{\chi} \omega \kappa є y$ к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．This sentence has invariably，I believe， been translated as though $\pi \lambda$ 制 were the comparative of $\pi 0 \lambda$ ús and in agreement with $\nu v^{\prime} \check{\varsigma}$ ．On this view two translations have been evolved： （1）＇More of the night than two－thirds is gone，and a third is still left，＇ －an obvious error in simple arithmetic of which mo入úuךTıs＇Oסvб⿱㇒日匕́s should not have been guilty；（2）＇The greater part of night，consisting of two－thirds，is gone etc．＇－in which the supposed usage of the genitive is harsh and artificial．Both Scylla and Charybdis may be avoided by treating $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ not as the nomin．sing．of the comparative of $\pi 0 \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ s in
agreement with $\nu \mathbf{L}$ ， in agreement with $\mu$ otpawy．The translation of the sentence thus becomes：＇Night hath paweed through two full watches，and（only） the third is yet left．＇＇The genitive，on this view，is one of the class $s$ o frequent in 1 Iomer with verbs of motion（ef．10． 344,353 ，etc．）．Homer for the most part uses the form $\pi \lambda \in i=0$（as $9.71,10.5 .9)$ ，but $\pi \lambda$ tos also occurs（Od．20．355）．
 dog－skin head－gear，but must by Homer＇s time have loot that special sense，to assume the general meaning of＇helmet＇；for it arlmits of
 noting the material of which it is made．See Introduction，p．xxxii．

258．äфa入óv te kal ä入入oфov．The фálus was a rillge running along the top of the helmet fore and aft ；such ridges might be one or more in number．The $\lambda$ dopos was a creat of horsehair or such－like material．See Introduction，p．xxxii．

266．See above on 9．44\％．
268． K кáv $\delta$ єьav，accus．of destination；see above on 1 ．195， кєк入グaто $\beta$ ои入ท้

274 ．$\delta 6 \xi$ tov，＇on their right hand，＇and therefore of favourable omen．See above on 9． 236.

280．кเขú $\mu \in v \circ 5$ ，＇when I bestir myself．＇
281．Evvieias，not in agreement with ripas，but with the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \phi ı \epsilon \in \theta a \iota$（sc．$\eta_{\mu} \bar{s} s$ ）．

284．áтритஸ́vŋŋ．A title of Athene，formed from the adjective ätputos，＇unwearied．＇

285－90．The episode to which Diomede here refers is narrated more fully in II．IV．370－400．The $\mu$ f $\rho \mu \mathrm{f} p \mathrm{a}$ épya of Tydeus consisted in slaying fifty Cadmeans save one，who were waylaying him in ambush．

304．ápкos，＇sure．＇Some also translate＇sufficient，＇but that meaning，although it would be intelligible enough both here and in other passages of Homer and Hesiod，seems nowhere to be absolutely required in early Greek．

317．$\mu$ oûvos，＇an only son．＇
 article is here used in the deictic sense．In the next line tois immous．．． ot $\phi$ optovat $=$＇those horses which carry．．．．＇

324．oú $\delta$＇ámò $\delta$ ס́g $\eta \mathrm{l}$ ．This phrase is usually taken to mean＇nor
different from what you expect.' This is certainly the commonest use
 another sense best seen in the phrase $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{c} \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma \eta s$, by word of mouth, in which ámò denotes the source of information: and the phrase $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ $\gamma \nu \dot{u} \mu \eta$ s is also used by Aeschylus in this sense: cf. Eumn. 674


Similarly in the passage before us, if the word $\delta \delta \xi a$ be used with some emphasis to denote mere fancy or suspicion as opposed to certain knowledge, the phrase $\sigma \kappa \dot{\delta} \pi$ os oúk $\dot{a} \pi \delta \delta \delta \delta_{\xi} \eta$ s may mean 'a spy who does not rest his information on mere suspicions of his own, but clearly ascertains facts.'
330. $\mu \dot{\eta} . . . \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \times \times \eta \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \epsilon a l$. For the use of $\mu \eta$ with the indicative, "in oaths, to express solemn or impassioned denial," cf. Il. 15. 36, "बr $\omega$
 this use $\mu \grave{\eta}$ denies by disclaiming (as it were) or protesting against a fact supposed to be within the speaker's power (=far be it from me that etc.)," H. G. p. 260. The usage continued in Attic, as Arist.


344. $\pi \in \delta$ (oto. The genitive here may be regarded as expressing either the space within which motion takes place (as in the phrase $\delta \iota \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \circ \nu \pi \epsilon \delta i o o$ etc.), or as simply a partitive genitive dependent on тит $\theta \delta \nu$.
346. тapaфөainot. The majurity of the mss, concur in giving $\pi \alpha \rho a \phi \theta a i \eta \sigma \iota$, a form in which - $\alpha l$ - is an indication of optative mood, $-\sigma \iota$ of subjunctive. Monro ( $H, G: p .53$ ) condemns the form as "probably a pseudo-archaic form, made on the analogy of the subjunctives in -noヶ." It has seemed better to read, with the authority of one MS. (A), $\pi a p a \phi \theta a i \eta \sigma t$, which must be regarded as subjunctive from a supposed by-form of the present indic., $\phi \theta a i \omega(=\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega)$. So in 9. 203 we had a solitary instance of a by-form кєра $\ell \omega=\kappa є \rho a ́ \nu \nu v \mu \mathrm{l}$.
349. фமvทंбavtє, a slight inconsistency, more in expression than in thought, for only Odysseus has been made to speak.
 limitation of distance cf. $O d, 8,124$. The meaning of the passages has been explained by Prof. Ridgeway (J. H. S. vi.) as follows. The unit of area in land-measurement (which may have constituted one man's allotment in the common land) was the rúns, or amount of land ploughed in one day. This would naturally vary according
to the speed of the animals (oxen or mules) used for ploughing. But if the lenglh of a furrow were a fixed conventional standad of length (as in the English 'furlong' ='furrow-long '), then, on dividing the varialle area (rims) by the constant measure of length ia furrow), the quotient (i.e. the breadth of the piece of land plonshed 112 one day) will be the index of the variations in the pace of the ammals. The finter the team, the wider the piece of land ploneshed is the day. This width is what is meant by these phrases; and inasmuch as 'mules are more excellent than oxen' (1. 3521 in ploughing, there become two standards of distance:-the shorter being the wilth of a piece of land plonghed in one day by oxen; the longer lieing the width of a piece of land ploughed in one day by mulis. The ofipa are then the side-boundaries of the strip ploughed, and the with of the strip is measured between them.
363. ウ' $\delta^{\prime}$ o $\pi$ тodímoplos. Both here and in /1. 2. 2.5 the mes. give $\dot{0} \pi \tau 0 \mathrm{Mi} \mathrm{\pi o}$. Oos : such an use of the article is difficule (1) reconcile with Humeric idiom. As in both cases elision necurs befure $\dot{b}$, an obvious remedy is to remove the article and to read here joie $\pi$ to $\begin{aligned} & \text { inopolos, }\end{aligned}$ and in the other passage $\dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \tau 0 \lambda i \pi o \rho \theta o s$.
373. ímèp $\tilde{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ov}$, 'passing over his showhlder'; the sence of motiont is sufficiently implied, alhough the verb eimáz $\begin{aligned} & \text { expresses only the final }\end{aligned}$ position of the spear.
37. $\mathrm{X}^{\lambda \omega \rho o ́ s, ~ i n ~ a g r e e m e n t ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ s u l y j e c t ~ o f ~ e ̈ \sigma \tau \eta ~ \tau a ́ p \beta ß \eta \sigma i v ~} \tau \epsilon$. The phrase $\not \approx p a \beta o s . . . \delta \delta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ is parenthetical.

353 . kara日ípios, 'let not death be in thy mindl.' The adjective more commonly means 'to one's mind,' i.e. agreeable, accuptalise. But cf. 11. 17. 201.

387-9. $\hat{\eta} \ldots \hat{\eta} \ldots \eta$. The accentuation indicates that the alternative juestions are those which contain the words ownjown and ousooniáolau reapectively (cf. $11.34^{2}-3$ ). The second question itself contains two clannes, to both of which $\delta \iota a \sigma \kappa o \pi i \hat{a} \theta a t$ beloncs,- (lepending on both $\pi$ foé $\eta \kappa \epsilon$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$. The $\hat{\eta}$ which comnects these two clauses is not interrogative. $\tilde{\eta}_{\ldots} \ldots \ldots \tilde{\eta}^{n}$ here $=$ Lat. utrum...an...vel.
$3^{89}$. aủróv, ' of thine own accord,' ' unbidden.'
39+. Oonjv, 'keen' seems to be the best translation of this difficult epithet of 'night.' The same phrase occurs in lles. Thenes 48 r . 'Keen,' 'sharp,' 'pointed' is a legitumate meaning of oous.
398. opiotv, only here used as reflexive pronoun on the second person. But ös, éós, $\sigma \phi \in \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u t o \hat{u}$ can all be found so used in
different periods of Greek, and it has been shown etymologically that the pronominal stem sva is correctly used as possessive without other indication of person than that imparted to it by context. Hence $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ in this passage, though unique, need not fall under suspicion.
408. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \delta^{\prime}$ aṽ. The mss. vary between $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta a l$ and $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta^{\prime}$ al. The former is improbable as being an expression used colloquially to impart a tone of surprise to a question: the latter as involving a scarcely Homeric use of the article. The emendation $\delta^{\prime}$ ẫ (Nauck) gives precisely the tone wanted in passing from one question to another.
 tive pronoun; its two uses are 11) as relative, (2) in indirect interrogation. In this passage it cannot introluce an indirect question dependent on Natá入єรัov for the reason that a series of direct questions have intervened. It must therefore be taken as introducing a loose relative clause anticipatory of the direct question $\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \ldots \hat{\eta} \epsilon \ldots \dot{\alpha} \nu a \chi \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota \ldots$; For a similar loose construction cf. $11 .+16-7$. Translate 'As to that which they plan among themselves, have they determined to abide etc. or will they retreat etc. ?'
+16-7. фu入akás is attracted to the case of the relative ás, and loosely anticipates the sulject of the sentence. With oü tis supply from филака́s the nom. sing. филакฑ́.
fis. ©oбoat $\mu$ èv к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The clause begins as though the main
 homes etc., so many are they that keep vigil': but for the latter half is substituted the expression, 'those, who needs must, keep vigil.' The $\delta \epsilon$ in 1.419 marks the beginning of the apodosis.
$4^{22}$. $\sigma \phi เ v$, sc. $̇ \pi \iota \kappa o u ́ p o \iota s$, the foreign allies.
 our idiom, which differs from that of Greek and of Latin, 'towards the sea.'

428-31. The mention of the Pelasgians as one among a number of tribes does not prove that none of the other tribes enumerated were of that Pelasgian race, traces of whose civilisation have been found from one end of the Mediterranean to the other. The fact inay be equally well explained on the supposition that one tribe only in Asia Minor retained the old ethnic name, while the rest harl in the course of time taken to themselves distinctive appellations. "The fact that in the islands, on the mainland of Greece and in Asia Minor we find diffused a uniform culture in the earliest times, meets a ready explanation as
soon as we realire that the Carians, Mysians, Leleges, Minyans, Iones, and others whom we have been in the habit of regarding as separate races were simply tribes of the same great ethnic stock" (Ridgeway, Eiarly Agec of Greece, 1. p. 191). As regards the geographical distribution of the tribes here mentioned who had sent forces to Troy, the l'aeones lay to the N.W., in Europre ; the Mysians and Phtygians S.E.; the Macones, Carians and Lycians S.; while of the remaining three, the Leleges seem to have occupied the Carian sea-board; the lelangian tribe had for its chief town Larisa on the coast of Mysia ; and the Kaukones are said to heve inhabited Bithynia and Paphlagonia. Neither the Leleges nor the Kaukones appear in the catalogue of the Trijan allies (Il. 2. 816-77), but the other seven tribes obtain due notice.
437. $\lambda$ evкóтepor. The al,rupt change from the accusative in the preceding sentence to the nominative in this marks an exclamation. This 'Interjectional Nominative' is recognised by Monro (/I. G. p. 115) as a regular Homeric usage. A second instance of it in relation to these same horses occurs below, 1. 547 .
 an idea of purpose.
447. Dulon has not mentioned his name to Diomede.
457. $\phi \theta \in \gamma \gamma$ о $\mu$ 'vov, either 'even while he strove to speak,' or 'with a shriek.'
462. toio $\delta \in \sigma \sigma$ t, a strange form of the dat. plur. of ö $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$. The enclitic -ôe appears to be declined as well as the pronoun $\dot{\delta}$.
 line, chiefly owing to $\delta \in \epsilon$.iov being elsewhere unknown. Hesychiu, seems to have connected it with $\delta \epsilon \omega \omega$ ('bind') and to have regarded it as a substantive; in that case it would be object, along with $\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu a$, of the verb $\epsilon \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \in v$, and $\tau \epsilon$ would couple the two objects in the ordinary way. If, on the other hand, $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda$ os be an open form of the adjective $\delta \hat{\eta}$.os, the position of $\tau \epsilon$, which should then follow $\bar{\delta} \epsilon$ immediately, is unaccountable.
468. Bor̀v. See above on 1. 394 .
470. тénos. See above on 1.56 .
 indicated by this term. It is very probally, as Leaf suggests, the poat which stond upright in the front of the ancient chariot. The adjective $\pi \nu \mu a ́ t \eta$ then becomes more intelligible than if $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \phi p a \dot{s}$ be taken as $=a \operatorname{arv} \tau \xi($ the rail of the chariot) : for the chariot leing a light structure,
it would be more sensible to tether horses to the 'bottom of the post' than to the 'end of the rail.'
480. $\mu$ 'ं $\lambda_{\epsilon 0 \text {, }}$, 'useless,' 'idle,' as always in Homer. The sense of 'wretched' was of later development.
482. $\tau \hat{\mu}$, sc. Diomede.
 the signal.' Hence came first the meaning 'to be in command ' of troops, as above in 1. 58, and secondly 'to be in charge' of anything such as flocks and herds. Thus $\sigma \eta \mu a ́ v \tau \omega \rho$ in Il. 8. 127 and 15.325 means a 'shepherd,' and here the adjective dंब $\dot{\mu} \mu a \nu \tau o s$ means 'without a shepherd.'
490. mo8ós. This genitive is common "with verbs that imply fistening to, holding by, ctc." and is classed by Monro (H. G. p. Io6) among the quasi-partitive genitives, cf. 1. $505 \dot{\rho} v \mu 0 \hat{\sim} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho$ vor.
491. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$, antecedent of the clause introduced by öt $\pi \omega$.
493. án่ $\epsilon_{\epsilon \sigma \sigma o v}$ к.т. $\lambda$. . 'For they were as yet unaccustomed thereto,' as being newly arrived at the war.
497. There can be little question that this line is an interpolation by some reciter who understood како̀ öpap in a figurative and ironical sense. The literal sense is preferable. Rhesus has a dream foreboding evil which makes him breathe uneasily, and Diomede is only just in time to anticipate his waking and acting upon the warning of his sleep. There is some want of skill in the interpolation, for, as Leaf observes, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \cup ́ \kappa \tau \alpha$ should mean 'all night through.'
498. ó $\tau \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$. See above on 1. 23 I.
499. $\sigma v v \eta \dot{\xi} \epsilon \rho \in v$. It is doubtful whether $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$ in this compound is a by-form of $\epsilon i \rho \omega$ ('join,' 'tie '), or possesses a technical sense similar to the intransitive use in Attic phrases such as $\hat{a} p a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\psi}, \tau a i ̂ s ~ \nu a v \sigma i v$, $=$ ' to get under weigh,' 'start.'
502. $\pi เ$ ффúvкшv, 'by way of signalling' to Diomerle.
505. $\dot{\rho} u \mu \mathrm{ov}$, 'by the pole.' For the genitive see above on I. 490.
506. т $\omega \mathrm{v}$, the use of the article is to contrast the men whom he meditates slaying with the chariot which he meditates carrying off. A strong contrast is the more necessary because the first alternative has been subdivided by $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \rho v v^{\prime} \ddot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \epsilon \in \rho o t$, which together form only one of the two plans in his mind (though the plan itself is capable of diverse execution) : the second alternative needs marking all the more emphatically because of that subdivision.
510. $\pi \epsilon \phi \circ \beta \eta \mu$ '́vos, 'put to flight,' 'in full flight.' See above on 11. 9. 2.

510-1. For an explamation of the development of subombinate clause: from independent sentences in the case of $\mu$ i) followed by the suljunctive see above on 1 . 1or. The punctuation of the present pasage represents the view that $\mu \dot{\eta} \ldots e \mathrm{e} 0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}$ is a subordinate clause ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$ leeing practically equivalent to $\dot{\delta} \pi \omega s \mu \dot{\eta}$ or iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ), lut that $\& \dot{j}$.... $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ eipyour constitutes an inlepentient sentence ( $\mu$ ì introducing the expression of an apprehension).
 this expression here refers to mounting the chariut or mounting the horses. Riding on horseback is known to Homer, but nowhere else attributed to the Ilomeric warriors, and the phrase in questiom is regularly used of mounting the chariot (e.g. Il. ․ $q^{\text {fi) }}$. On the other hanil, no mention is here made of harnessing the two horses, but it is rather suggested that, immediately upon Athene's admonition, Diomede leapt hurriedly (карта\iuшs) on horseback without pause for executing either of his designs of $11.50_{4}-6$. Again, no mention is made afterwards of either the chariot or the mowila reixea which lay in it -anl this in spite of the detail with which are afterwards recorded the almiration bestowed on the horses, the disposition of them in Diomede's stable, and the storing of the spoils of Dolon (a lesser prize surely than would have been those of Khesus), in the stern of the ship. Further, as against the argument that IIomeric heroes are not elsewhere mentioned as riding on horseback, it may fairly he urged that Diomede and Odysseus are somewhat differently circumstanced from the ordinary warrior. The fact that chariot-driving was de riguear in pitched battles would not necessarily interfere with riding bare-back during a nocturnal foray. Lastly, one question may be put to those who maintain that Odyssens and Diomede drove off in the chariot. If, as we hear in 11. : 00 - r , Odysseus was reduced to using his bow to lash the hurses, 'because he had forgotten to take the whip out of the chariot,' why did he continue to use his bow (11. 51,3-4) aiter the horses liad been harnessed to the chariot in which he knew he had previonsly left the whip?

We must surely conclude that for this night only Homeric warriors exhibited the feat of riding bare-back.
 dead comrades, could they have given one, would have been a reversal of this judgment. But the phase is part of the Epic stock-in-trade (cf. Il. 13. 10, 14. 135, Od. 1. 2S\%), and its local appropriateness must not be more severely judged than that of a lixed epithet. Siee abowe on 9. 476 .
524. Onєvิvтo. Ontouat, the Ionic form of the verb which appears in Attic as $\theta$ éo $\alpha \alpha \iota$, means properly no more than to look upon, to gaze upon; but in Homer it almost invarichlly implies some degree of astonishment excited by the spectacle. In Attic this collateral notion was less marked, although perpetuated in the actual word 'theatre.'
526. oi $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, the story returns to Odysseus and Diomede.
531. Tñ yáp $\phi$ liov. Why the horses of Rhesus should wish to go to the Greek camp is certainly not clear, but this slight difficulty forms hardly sufficient grounds for supposing interpolation-unless it were the accidental interpolation liable to result from a reminiscence of similar passages.
534. $\Psi$ ev́coual, 'shall I be speaking false or true?'
535. This line is famous as having been quoted by the Emperor Nero, when he heard the horses of his pursuers drawing nearer and nerved himself to take his own life. Suet. Nero, cap. 49.
536. o кратєрòs $\Delta$ เoн. The use of the article in this passage appears to be post-Homeric. No emphatic contrast is wanted between Odysseus and Diomede, and consequently $\dot{o}$ is otiose. To eject кal ó and to write $\dot{\eta} \delta \hat{E}$ instead would be a simple remedy.
538. $\mu \eta \dot{n} \tau \pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \omega \sigma \iota$. For this euphemism see above on 1. 26.
546. $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \epsilon$. Distinguish $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \epsilon(=$ 'them two $)$ from $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \hat{i}$ in $1.55^{2}$ ( $=$ ' you two').
547. '́osó́тєs. For the 'Interjectional Nominative' see above on 1. 437.
 or the simple genitive of comparison ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ ) is rare. But instances
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda_{0} \nu \pi \rho o o \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ (gen. absol.).
557. $\delta \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha, \tau 0$. For the optat. without ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ or $\kappa \in \nu$ see above on 1. 247.
560. $\pi$ áp, adverbial, 'beside.'

56I. тòv тpıбкaıঠékatov. Twelve victims in addition to Rhesus have already been mentioned; 'the thirteenth' follows naturally on the mention of twelve, and the reckoning therefore does not include Rhesus.
571. "óфpa, 'until they should have made ready a sacrifice.' Either the dedication of the spoils was to be accompanied by sacrifice, or the value of the spoils was to be paid to Athene in the form on sacrifice before they could be used again for human purposes.
577. $\lambda$ ( $\pi \mathrm{a}$. In Homer this word always suffers elision of the final
vowel, but that that vowel is $a$ is shown ly Thuc. I. 6, 4 . 6, 8 , etc. That being so, it still remains doubtful whether the word is an indeclimable substantive (deneting 'oil' or 'grease' generically) which is qualified by a second sulstantive dator to specify the kind of oil (viz. olive-oil), or is "an advert) related to Astrapús, as кápтa to картepús, diza tu $\lambda_{6}$, upus, etc. meaning 'richly,' 'thickly'" (Monro quated ly Leaf ad loc.).

578 . $\delta$ einve. Careful commentators observe that this is the third oeinvor which Odjsseus has made in the course of one night ; for the other two see 9. 20 and 221. At any rate he had workel for them. кaגウ̀̀ üpesu!, as his descentants of to-day say, lon dâtitit!
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ג̇ßрота́द̆оеси х． 65
dं $\eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma$ о $\quad$ x． 493
airlitmos ix． 15
d入a入úктŋцає x． $9+$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ıos ix．${ }^{12} 5^{-6}$
व̈ $\lambda$ 入офо⿱ x .258
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \lambda l \sigma \sigma a s$ ix． 683

ג̀varpグゥas ix． 433
ăve e ix． 30
áo入入éas ix． 89
à ápelotos ix． 120
ă $\rho \alpha \times .{ }^{x} 6$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, in compounds，ix． 309
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime}$ ，with genitive， x .324
änupos ix． 122
＂Apros ix．${ }^{141}$
ápkios X． 304
வं $\sigma \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \tau$ os $\mathrm{x} .4^{8} 5$
a่ $\sigma \cup ์ \phi \eta \lambda о \nu$ ix． 647
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＇Aтрит ${ }^{\prime} \nu \eta$ x． 284
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ăwtov ix． 661
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$\beta \in \beta$ олท́aтo ix． 3
$\beta \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ x． 226
र入аuкట́тเঠఁ ix． 390
roveós ix． 534
ס＇́ $\gamma \mu \in \nu=s$ ix． 191
סéє $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ov x． 466
סєlסєкто ix． 244
$\delta \epsilon \nu \delta i \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ix． 180
סpalyeıs x． 96
ঠuбんрグゥovtal x． 183
éōva ix． $14{ }^{6}$
${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \omega \nu$ ix． 540
$\epsilon l$ ，with imperative，ix． $46-7,262$
＂E入入ás．ix． 395
＇E入入ウंбтоутоs ix． 360


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \psi \alpha \nu \tau o$ ix． 175

－$\theta \in \nu$ ix． 419
$\theta \epsilon \in \mu \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon s$ ix．99， 156
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iepós x． 56
$i \pi \pi \delta \mathbf{T} \alpha$ ix． 52

карós ix． 378
$\kappa \in$ ix． 155
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \alpha$ ix． $1 S_{9}$
корим $\beta$ ix． 241
кра́тєбф८ X． 156
кvขé $\eta$ x．257，Introd．p．xxxii．
$\lambda(\pi a \times .577$
$\lambda \iota \pi$ após ix． 156
$\mu \in i \rho \in о$ ix． 616
$\mu \varepsilon \tau a \dot{d}$（ $=$＇among＇）with accus．，ix． 53
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，idiomatically in oaths，ix． 133 ， x． 330
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，with subjunctive in indepen－ dent sentences，x．IOr，510－1
véatos ix． 153
ô̄เข（ $=\dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ ），ix． 455
öтє $\kappa є \nu$ ，with optative，ix． 525
oű $\alpha$ x． $35^{\text {I }}$

IIavaxacol x．I
$\pi а \rho a \phi \theta a i \eta \sigma \iota \times 346$
парє́бтає x． 217
$\pi \epsilon \rho$ ，adverb，ix． 100
$\pi є \rho о \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha т о$ х． 133
тєปкєठаข๐іิо x .8

бaupんт ท̂pos x． 153
$\sigma \in$ io ix． 60
бкฑ̂ттроу ix． 99
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ du x .30
बบуท์ยเрєข x． 499
$\sigma \phi l \sigma \omega v$, and person，x． $39^{8}$
$\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \in \mathrm{x} .546$
$\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} i{ }^{\text {x．}} 546$

тá入artov ix， 122
Tє x ． $224^{-6}$
тélos x .56
тє́revos ix．${ }^{57}$ S
тєтра́фато $\times, 189$
тๆ入úgєтоs ix． 143
тi日ウ́uєVOV X． 34
тоібסєのबt x． 462
трlтоסєs ix． 122
$\phi i$ os ix． $\mathrm{I}_{4} 6$
$-\phi l(\nu)$ ix． $5^{8}$
$\chi$ 入оúvๆข ix． 539
$\chi \rho \in \omega$ ix． 75

## INDEX OF GRAMMAR AND SYNTAX.

Accusative :
cognate, ix. 58-9, ix. 115
double, ix. 58-9, ix. 115
of destination, x. 195, x. 268
due to relative attraction, ix. 132, x. 416
Aorist :
"syncopated" second, ix. 662 reduplicated second, ix. I12, ix. 775

Apposition of specific and generic terms, ix. 208, x. 13
Article :
Homeric use of, x. 363 , x. 506, x. 536
$=$ demonstrative pronoun, ix. 204, ix. 309
$=$ relative pronoun, ix. 167
Assimilation of vowels in verbs in $-\alpha \omega$, ix. 229
Augment, Epic use of, ix. I
Contraction, post-Homeric cases of, ix. 260
Digamma, ix. 40, Introd. p. xxix
Epithets, Fixed, ix. 476, x. 515
Future Indicative with $\kappa \in$ or ${ }^{\alpha} \nu$
in relative clause, ix. 155 , ix. ${ }^{1} 67$
Genitive:
of and declension, in -oo, ix. 64 , ix. 440
causal, ix. 449 , ix. 630 , x. 93
local, ix. 219
of material, ix. 214 , ix. 242
partitive, ix. $49{ }^{1}$
of space within which, x. 252-
3, x. 344
with verbs denoting 'fulness,'
ix. 137 , ix. 175
with verbs denoting ' contact,' x. 490 , x. 505

Ictus, Iengthening of vowel due
to, ix. 20, Introd. p. xxviii.
Imperfect with ăpa, ix. 316
Infinitive :
origin of, ix. 688
in imperative sense, ix. 369 , ix. 708-9

Instrumental case in - $\phi \iota(\nu)$, ix. 58
Iterative tenses, ix. $33^{1-3}$, ix. 450
Nominative :
formation of masculine words of ist declension in $-{ }_{a}$, ix. 52 interjectional, x. 437, x. 547
Paratactic and Syntactic, x. 204, Introd. p. xx.
Relative attraction, ix. 132, $x$. 416-7
Sonant and Consonant, x. 189 Subjunctive :
formation of, ix. 46, x. 183, Introd. p. xxiv.
person-endings in, ix. 323
deliberative, ix. 6i9
used as=future indicative, ix. 61
in independent sentences introduced by $\mu \dot{\eta}$, x. 101
as opposed to Optative, ix. 245
Synizesis, ix. 382
Thematic and Non-Thematic, ix.
46, Introd. p. xxiv.
Tmesis, ix. 13, x. 70
Zeugma, ix. $37+$

## INDEX OF SUBJECTS.

Address, mode of, x. 68-9
Armour, x. 30, x. 153, x. 257, x. ${ }^{258}$, Introd. p. xxx.
Cookery, ix. 2 IO, ix. 214
Geography :
Argos, ix. 141
Calydon, ix. 529-99
Eleon, ix. 448
Hellas, ix. 395, Introd. p. xv.
Hellespont, ix. 360
Orchomenus, ix. 38 r
Pylos and Messenia, ix. 150-2, ix. 153

Thebes (in Egypt), ix. $3^{81}$
Homicide, ix. 632
Horse-riding, x. 513
House, plan of, ix. 473-4
Kingship, ix. 69
Land-measurement, x. 351
Marriage, ix. $1_{4}{ }^{6}$
Metal-work, ix. 122
Money, ix. 122 (ad fin.)

Peoples:
Achaeans, x. 1
Aetolians, ix. 529-99
Argives, x. I
Carians, $x .4{ }^{28-31}$
Caucones, x. +28-31
Curetes, ix. 529-99
Danai, x. 1
Leleges, $x .4^{28-31}$
Lycians, $x .428-31$
Macones, $x .+28-31$
Mysians, x. 428-31
Paeones, $x .428-31$
Panachaeans, x. 1
Pelasgians, x. $428-3 \mathrm{I}$, Introd.
p. xxx.

Phrygians, x. 428-31
Snow, x. 7
Society, ix. 63, ix. 69, ix. 632, ix. 648

Waterfalls, ix. 14 , ix. 15
Wine, ix. 175 , ix. 176 , ix. ${ }^{22+}$
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## COMPLETE LIST.

## GREEK.

| Author | Work | Editor | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Price } \\ 2 / 6 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aeschylus | Prometheus Vinctus | Rackham |  |
| Aristophanes | Aves-Plutus-Ranae | Green 3/6 | 3/6 each |
| ," | Vespae | Graves | 3/6 |
|  | Nubes |  | 3/6 |
| Demosthenes | Olynthiacs | Glover | 2/6 |
| Euripldes | Heracleidae | Beck \& Headlam 3/6 |  |
| ", | Hercules Furens | Gray \& Hutchinson $2 /$ - |  |
| ," | Hippolytus | Hadley 2/- |  |
| , | Iphigeneia in Aulis | Headlam 2/6 |  |
| " | Medea | Hadley $\quad 2 / 6$ |  |
| , | Hecuba |  |  |  |
| , | Alcestis |  | 2/6 |
|  | Orestes | Wedd $\quad 4 / 6$ |  |
| Herodotus | Book v | Shuckburgh 3/- |  |
| " | ", VI, vili, ix | 41. | 4/. each |
|  | ,, VIII 1 -90, [X 1-89 |  | 2/6 each |
| Homer | Odyssey ix, x | Edwards $\quad 2 / 6$ | 2/6 each |
| " | ", Xxi |  | 2/- |
| " | , ${ }^{\text {XI }}$ | Nairn | 2/- |
| " | Iliad vi, xxir, xxifi, xxiv | Edwards 2/- | 2/- each |
|  | Iliad IX, x | Lawson | $2 / 6$ |
| Lucian | Somnium, Charon, etc. | Heitland | $3 / 6$ |
|  | Menippus and Timon | Mackie | 3/6 |
| Plato | Apologia Socratis | Adam | 3/6 |
| " | Crito | , | 2/6 |
| " | Euthyphro |  | $2 / 6$ |
|  | Protagoras | J. \& A. M. Adam | - $4 / 6$ |
| Plutarch | Demosthenes | Holden | $4 / 6$ |
| ", | Gracchi | ," | $6 /$ |
| " | Nicias | " | 5/. |
| " | Sulla | " | 6/. |
|  | Timoleon |  | 6/- |
| Sophocles | Oedipus Tyrannus | Jebb | 4/- |
| Thucydides | Book III | Spratt | 5/- |
| " | Book VII | Holden | 5/- |

GREEK continued.

| Author | Work | Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Xezophon | Agesilaus | Hailstone | 2/6 |
| " | Anabasis Vol. I. Text | Pretor | 3/- |
| " | " Vol. II. Notes | ', | $4 / 6$ |
| " | ", 1, 11 | " | 4). |
| " | "1, 1, IH, IV, V | " | 2 - each |
| " | ", 11, Vi, ViI | " | $2 / 6$ each |
| ,' | Itellenics I, II | Edwards | $3 / 6$ |
| , | Cyropaedeia I, II (2 vols.) | Holden | 6/- |
| , | " IIJ, IV, V | " | 5\%- |
| ., | " VI, VII, VIII |  | 51. |
| " | Memorabilia II | Edwards | $2 / 6$ |

## LATIN.

| Bede | Eccl. History int, IV | Lumby | 7/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caesar | De Bello Gallico |  |  |
|  | Com. i, ili, vi, vili | Peskett | 1/6 each |
| " | , II-III, and VII | " | 2/- each |
| " | , 1-111 | " | 3/- |
| " | , IV-V |  | 1/6 |
| ", | De Bello Civili. Com. I | Peskett | $3 /$ |
|  | , , , Com. III |  | 2/6 |
| Cicero | Actio Prima in C. Verrem | Cowie | 1/6 |
| ,. | De Amicitia | Reid | $3 / 6$ |
| " | De Senectute |  | 3/6 |
| ", | De Officiis. Bk ${ }^{\text {III }}$ | Holden | $2 /$. |
| ," | Pro Lege Manilia | Nicol | 1/6 |
| " | Div. in Q. Caec. et Actio Prima in C. Verrem | Heitland \& Cowie 3/. |  |
| ," | Ep, ad Atticum. Lib II | Pretor | 3/- |
| ", | Philippica Secunda | Peskett | $3 / 6$ |
| ," | Pro Archia Poeta | Reid | $2 \%$ |
| " | , Balbo | ", | 1/6 |
| " | , Milone |  | $2 / 6$ |
| " | , Murena | Heitland | 3/- |
| " | , Plancio | IIolden | $4 / 6$ |
| " | , Sulla | Reid | $3 / 6$ |
| " | Somnium Scipionis | Pearman | $2 \%$ |
| Cornelius Nepos | Four parts | Shuckburgh | 1/6 each |
| Horace | Epistles. Bk I |  | 2/6 |
| " | Odes and Epodes | Gow | 5/- |
| ", | Odes. Books i, III | " | 2/- each |
| ," | , Books II, iv ; Epodes | ," | 1/6 eack |
| juvenal | Satires. Book I Satires | Duff | 2/- $5 \%$ |

LATIN continued.

| Author | Work | Edito | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livy | Book I Ed | Edwards | In the Press |
| " | " I1 Con | Conway | 2/6 |
| " | , IV, Vı, IX, XXVII St | Stephenso | 2/6 each |
| " |  | Whibley | 2/6 |
| , | " XXI, XX1I Di | Dimsdale | 2/6 each |
| Lucan | Pharsalia. 13k I H | Heitland \& | Haskins $1 / 6$ |
| ,' | De Bello Civili. Bk vil Poster | Postgate | 2/- |
| Lucretius | Book v D | Duff | 2/- |
| Orid | Fasti. Book Vi Si | Sidgwick | ז/6 |
| " | Metamorphoses, Bk I D | Dowdall | 1/6 |
| 碄 | , Bk ViII Su | Summers | $1 / 6$ |
| Phaedrus | Fables Fla | Flather | $1 / 6$ |
| Plautus | Epidicus Gray | Gray | 3/- |
| ", | Stichus Fe | Fennell | 2/6 |
| " | Trinummus Gr | Gray | 3/6 |
| Quintus Curtius | Alexander in India H | Heitland \& | Raven 3/6 |
| Sallust | Catiline Su | Summers | $2 /$. |
| Tacitus | Agricola and Germania St | Stephensor | 3/- |
| , | Hist. Bk I Da | Davies | 2/6 |
| Terence | Hautontimorumenos Gr | Gray | 3/- |
| Vergil | Aeneid I to XII Sid | Sidgwick | 1/6 each |
| " | Bucolics | ", | 1/6 |
| " | Georgics I, II, and III, IV | " | 2/-each |
| ', | Complete Works, Vol. I, Text | " | -3/6 |
| ,' | " ", Vol. II, Notes | es " | - $4 / 6$ |

## FRENCH.

The Volumes marked * contain Vocabulary.

| About | Le Roi des Montagnes | Ropes | 2/- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biart | Quand j'étais petit, Pts I, II | Boielle | 2/- each |
| Boileau | L'Art Poétique | Nichol Smith | 2/6 |
| Cornellle | La Suite du Menteur | Masson | 2/- |
| " | Polyeucte | Braunholtz | 2/- |
| De Bonnechose | Lazare Hoche | Colbeck | 2/- |
| * | Bertrand du Guesclin | Leathes | $2 \%$ |
|  | ,, Part II |  | 1/6 |
| Delavigno | Louis XI | Eve | 2/- |
|  | Les Enfants d'Edouard |  | 2/- |
| De Lamartine | Jeanne d'Arc | Clapin \& Ropes | 1/6 |
| De Vigny | La Canne de Jonc | Eve | 1/6 |
| *Dumas | La Fortune de D'Artagnan | Ropes | 2/- |
| * Enault | Le Chien du Capitaine | Verrall | 2/- |

FRENCH continued.


## GERMAN.

The Volumes marked * contain Vocabulary.

| Author | Work | Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Andersen | Eight Fairy Tales | Rippmann | 2/6 |
| Benedix | Dr Wespe | Breul | 3/- |
| Freytag | Der Staat Friedrichs des Grossen | Wagner | - |
|  | Die Journalisten | Eve | 2/6 |
| Goethe | Knabenjahre ( $1749-1761$ ) | Wagner \& Cartmell | $2 \%$ |
| " | Hermann und Dorothea |  | $3 / 6$ |
|  | Iphigenie | Breul | 3/6 |
| * Grimm | Selected Tales | Rippmann | 3/- |
| Gutzkow | Zopf und Schwert | Wolstenholme | 3/6 |
| Hackländer | Der geheime Agent | E. L. Milner Barry | 3/- |
| Hauff | Das Bild des Kaisers | Breul | /- |
| " | Das Wirthshaus im Spessart | Schlottmann \& Cartmell | 3) |
|  | Die Karavane | Schlottmann | $3 /-$ |
| " | Der Sheik von Alessandria | Rippmann | 2/6 |
| Immermann | Der Oberhof | Wagner | 31. |
| Klee | Die deutschen Heldensagen | Wolstenholme | 3/- |
| Kohlrausch | Das Jahr 1813 |  | $2 /-$ |
| Lessing | Minna von Barnhelm | Wolstenholme | 3/- |
| Lessing \& Gellert | t Selected Fables | Breul | $3 \%$ |
| Mendelssohn | Selected Letters | Sime | 3/- |
| Raumer | Der erste Kreuzzug | Wagner | $2 /$ |
| Riehl | Culturgeschichtliche Novellen | Wolstenholme | 3/- |
| ", | Die Ganerben \& Die Gexechtigkeit Gottes |  | 3/- |
| Schiller | Wilhelm Tell | Breul | 2/6 |
| " | , (Abridged Edition) | " | 1/6 |
| " | Geschichte des dreissigjährigen Kriegs Book HII. | " | 3/- |
| ", | Maria Stuart | " | 3/6 |
| " | Wallenstein I. (Lager and |  |  |
|  | Wiccolomini) | " | $3 / 6$ |
|  | Wallenstein II. (Tod) |  | 3/6 |
| Sybel | Prinz Eugen von Savoyen | Quiggin | 2/6 |
| Uhland | Ernst, Herzog von Schwaben | Wolstenholme | $3 / 6$ |

[^1]
## ENGLISH



## ENGLISH continued.

| Author | Work Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | Elements of English Grammar | 2/6 |
| , | English Grammar for Beginners | 1/- |
|  | Key to English Grammars | 3/6 net |
| Carlos | Short History of British India | $1 /$ |
| Mill | Elementary Commercial Geography | 1/6 |
| Bartholomew | Atlas of Commercial Geography | 31. |
| Robinson | Church Catechism Explained | 2/. |
| Jackson | The Prayer Buok Explained. Part I | 2/6 |

## MATHEMATICS.

| Ball | Elementary Algebra |  | $4 / 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Euclid | Books I-VI, XI, XII | Taylor | $5 /-$ |
| " | Books I-VI | " | $4 /-$ |
| " | Books I-IV | $"$ | $3 /-$ |

Also separately
Books I, \& II; III, \& IV ; V, \& VI; XI, \& XII I/6 each Solutions to Exercises in Taylor's Euclid W. W. Taylor

And separately

| " | Solutions to Bks I-IV | 6/- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solutions to Books Vi. XI | $6 /-$ |
| Hobson\& Jessop | Elementary Plane Trigonometry | 4/6 |
| Loney | Elements of Statics and Dynamics | 716 |
|  | Part I. Elements of Statics | $4 / 6$ |
|  | " II. Elements of Dynamics | $3 / 6$ |
| " | Elements of Hydrostatics | $4 / 6$ |
| , | Solutions of Examples, Statics and Dynamics | 7/6 |
|  | Mechanics and Hydrostatics | 4/6 |
| Smith, C. | Arithmetic for Schools, with or without answers | 3/6 |
| " | Part I. Chapters I-viif. Elementary, with or without answers | 2\% |
| " | Part II. Chapters $\mathrm{Ix}-\mathrm{xx}$, with or without |  |
| Hale, G . | answers ${ }_{\text {Key to Smith's Arithmetic }}$ | 2/6 |
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