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## PREFACE

This introduction to the study of Old High German aims at determining for the English student the position and importance of Old High German amid the sister languages of Germanic. After many years' experience of teaching Philology at Oxford I have arrived at the conclusion that the English student of Germanic is at the outset greatly hampered by the lack of scientific English books on the subject. In German such books exist in abundance, but it is a fact which we in England have too long overlooked, that German methods of arrangement, however excellent, are not adapted to the previous training of the English student. My intention has been to compile a grammar of Old High German which shall provide the serious student of the language with all the material that he will require to master it, not to treat Old High German, however, as an entity in itself, but to link it at once with the parent Germanic, and to link Germanic in outline to IndoGermanic. The student will thus be enabled from the beginning to utilize for this new subject his knowledge of Greek and Latin. In this respect my grammar differs from such others as Sievers' Angelsächsische Grammatik, Braune's Althochdeutsche Grammatik, Noreen's Altnordische Grammatik, which presuppose a wide knowledge of Germanic philology in general, and give no help to the learner not thus equipped.

I have sought to avoid this danger, and to make my grammar from the outset clear and comprehensible in itself, and on the other hand I have strenuously tried to avoid the faults of many elementary primers, which attain apparent clearness at the expense of accuracy.

Germanic philology offers many still unsolved problems, and many knotty questions on which authoritative scholars hold different views. In the main I have tried to present clearly the most generally accepted theory, to avoid useless controversy and the tempting opportunity of advancing untried hypotheses of my own, but I have not slurred over the diffi-
culties, and in all such contexts have given full references to the literature on the subject.

As regards terminology, while I have avoided neologisms of my own, I have discarded such misleading terms as 'strong and weak nouns,' 'strong and weak verbs,' 'breaking' (when applied to Primitive Germanic mutation), \&c., \&c., and have, as far as lay in my power, made my nomenclature consistent, ${ }^{1}$ convinced that much of the difficulty a beginner finds in Philology is due to want of precision in such matters.

Though there is nothing in my book that is not already known to the expert, yet I claim that in the arrangement and handling of the materials at my disposal it has something new to offer, and will provide a useful textbook for students of Germanic philology, and, if I have at all succeeded in my aim, a reliable book of reference for my colleagues.

In a work of this kind my debt to such authorities as Paul, Braune, Streitberg, Dieter, Sievers, Wilmanns, has obviously been great, but I am more especially indebted to Professor A. S. Napier, who has shown the most generous kindness in encouraging me by criticism and advice, as also to Professor R. Priebsch, who has always been ready to help me with suggestions and emendations of the greatest value, and to Professor Macdonell, who has kindly taken the trouble to normalize my Sanskrit transcriptions.

I wish further particularly to express my thanks to my former pupil, now my colleague in Oxford, Miss Overend of Somerville College, for the very great help she has given me by putting my draft chapters to a practical test, and for sparing me the tedious task of index-making.
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> Fr. Pn. = Freising Paternoster.
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> Hel. = Hēliand.
> Hl. = Hildebrandslied.
> Is. = Isidor. Translations.
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> Mers. Zs. = Merseburger Zauberspriiche.
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> Mons. $=$ Monsee Glosses.
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> N. $\quad=$ Notker's Translations.
> Np. = Notker's Psalms.
> O. $\quad=$ Otfrid.
> Otloh. = Otloh's Gebet.
> Pa. = Paris Glosses.
> Pn. = St. Gallen Paternoster.
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> R. = Hraban Glosses.
> $\mathrm{R}^{\text {abcd }}$. $=$ Reichenau Glosses.
> Sam. = Christus und Samariterin.
> T. $=$ Tatian. Translations.
> Tr. Cap. = Trierer Capitulare.
> Voc. $=$ Vocabularius St. Galli.
> Wess. = Wessobrunner Gebet.
> Will. = Williram. Hohes Lied.
> Wk. = Weissenburger Katechismus.


## PART I

## CLASSIFICATION OF GERMANIC LANGUAGES

The German language is a member of the great Indo-Germanic $\mathbf{1}$ group, and in particular of the Germanic branch, of which one of the main characteristics was that it possessed a fixed accent.

Germanic is further distinguished by having undergone certain transformations of the consonant system, which are grouped together under the name of the First Sound-Shift. Like the parent IndoGermanic, Primitive Germanic tended to become disintegrated by dialectal developments, and already in prehistoric times three subdivisions are to be noted:-
a. East Germanic (Gothic).
b. North Germanic (Scandinavian).
c. West Germanic.

West Germanic comprised-
Old English.
Old Frisian.
Old Low German (Old Saxon, Low Franconian).
Old High German.
The distinction between Old High and Low German was caused 2 by the language of the South undergoing certain modifications of the consonant system, termed the Second or High German SoundShift, by which, in the main, the consonant of Northern Germany was unaffected, and thus remained on the same level as that of Old English.
[A line-the so-called Benrather Linie-- drawn through Aachen and Köln northwards to the Elbe, a little south of Magdeburg, may roughly represent the boundary between Old Low and Old High German ; Grundriss², i, p. 662.]

In the earliest monuments dialectal peculiarities are already present $\mathbf{3}$ which have caused a subdivision of High German into-
a. Upper German. Alemannic ; Bavarian.
b. Middle German. Franconian ; Thuringian.

All these dialects are grouped together under the name of Old High German, which is the term applied to the language affected by the Second (HG.) Sound-Shift (139), during the period when it was still possessed of full inflectional endings, viz. till about 1050.

4 The special features of these several dialects will be given below, but it will be convenient to give here a rough sketch of their geographical limits, and the sources whence they are known.
A. Upper German comprises Alemannic and Bavarian.
(a) Alemannic was spoken in the district now comprising Switzerland, South Baden, Western Bavaria as far as the river Lech, and Elsass. The main centres were the monastery of St. Gallen, near the Lake of Constance, that of Reichenau on an island in the lake, and Murbach in Elsass.
(b) Bavarian was spoken in the Danube country and Alpine districts, and later on through the greater part of Austria.
5 B. Middle German comprises Franconian and Thuringian, of which the latter has left no distinct literary monuments of this period.

Franconian. Only the southern part of Franconia was affected by the HG. or Second Sound-Shift. The northern part, i.e. the portion lying roughly north and west of a line drawn from Aachen to Düsseldorf, remained untouched by this movement, and thus belonged to the Low German group. The portion affected by the HG. SoundShift is subdivided into (1) East Franconia, (2) Rhine Franconia, (3) Middle Franconia. Cf. PBB. i. x.

1. East Franconia is the district lying eastwards of the Rhine as far as the Fichtelgebirge.
2. Rhine Franconia is the district bordering on the Rhine, and extending as far as Weissenburg southwards. The dialect of the southern portion varies slightly from that of the northern, and is sometimes distinguished under the name of South Rhine Franconia.
3. Middle Franconia lay north of Rhine Franconia from the Mosel country northwards ; bordering on Low German territory, its dialect shares some of the latter's peculiarities.

6 Note.-In the following list of monuments those marked * are to be found wholly or in part in Braune's Lesebuch; those marked + in Müllenhoff and Scherer, Denkmäler. Where these only give selections the most complete edition has also been mentioned. The works referred to will be found to contain extensive bibliographies. The capitals give the abbreviations under which the work is usually referred to.

It must be borne in mind that in many cases, especially those of poetical fragments, a great deal of doubt exists as to the exact date and dialect, and the arrangement adopted is therefore only provisional. Discussions of all such problems will be found at length in the various editions of the texts.

The glosses are collected in the four-volume edition of Steinmeyer and Sievers, Althochdeulsche Glossen, Berlin, 1879-98.

Paul. Grundriss der germanischen Philologic. (2nd ed., vol. ii. $G$ Gschithte der althechdeutschen Litteratur. Kögel and Brückner.)
Kögel. Gischichlie d. deutschen Litteratur lis sur Mitle d. n ten Johhrhundirls.
Kielle. Geschichtie der deutschen Litteratur bis 1050.
Vogt and Koch. Geschichte der deutschen Litteratur.

## Selected Texts.

Müllenhoff. Sprachproben.
Müllenhoff and Scherer. Denkmiter diutscher Poosie und Prosa aus dem Sten bis $12 t e n$, Jahrhundert, ed. Steinmeyer. [ $\dagger\rceil$
Braune. Althochdeulsches Lesebuch. *
Piper. Die älteste diulsche Litteratur. DNVL. vol. . .
Tachträge sur älleren deutschen Litteratur. DNL. vol, 162.
Heyne. Kleinere altnieder deulsche Denkmäler.
Gallee. Altsüchsische Sprachdenkmäler (facsimiles).
Wadstein. Kleinere altsüchsische Sprachdenkmäler.

## SURVEY OF OLD GERMAN LITERARY MONUMENTS

Alemannic. Centres: St. Gallen, Reichenau, Murbach.
8 Prose.
Glosses and Names.
8th cent. St. Galler Paternoster und Credo. *-十
Interlinear Version of Benedictinerrigel. DNL. 162 (22162). *—† [BR.]

9th. Interlinear Psalms. Müllenhoff, Sprachtroben. DNL. 162 (309-10).
Murbach Hymns, ed. Sievers. DNL. 162.
10-11 ih. Translations by Notker, ed. Piper. *-† [N.]
12th. Physiologus. DNL. I (461-7). *一† [Phys.]
Verse.
9-10th? Christus und Samariterin. DNL. 1 (262). *-† [Sam.] ? Georgslicd.

## Bavarian. Centres: Wessobrun, Freising, Tegernsee, <br> Prose.

Glosses and Names.
8th. Translations of Isidor of Seville, ed. Hench. * [Is.]
9th. Monsee-Wiener Fragmente, ed. Hench. *-t [M.]
9th. Exhorlatio ad plebem Christianam. DNL. I (102). *-† [Exh.]

9th．Freisinger Paternoster．DNL．I（91）．＊一个
St．Emmeraner Gebet．＊－†
Carmen ad Deum．＊－†
9－1oth．Priestereid．†
10th．Altere bairische Beichte．＊－†
Jüngere bairische Beichte．＊－$\dagger$
11 th．Otlohs Gebet．＊－†
Verse．
8th？Wessobrunner Gebet．DNL．I（139）．＊—†［Wess．］
9th．Freisinger MS．of Otfrid（see below，Otfrid）．
Petruslied．＊－+
Muspilli．＊－†［Musp．］
Contra vermes．$\dagger$
10th．Wiener Hundesegen．＊－†
East Franconian．Centres：Würzburg，Bamberg． Prose．

Glosses and Names．
9th．Translations of Tatian，ed．Sievers．＊－+ ［T．］
9th．Lex Salica．＊—†
Wïrzburger Beichte．†
Wïrzburger Markbeschreibung．＊—†
1oth．Fuldaer Beichte．DNL．162．＊—†
ifth．Translations by Williram．DNL．i，ed．Seemüller．＊
11 Rhine Franconian．Centres：Metz，Weissenburg，Lorsch， Worms，Mainz．

Prose．
Glosses and Names．
8th．Translations of Isidor of Seville，edd．Weinhold，Hench．
$D N L$. ．${ }^{*} \quad\left[I_{s}.\right]$
Weissenburger Katechismus．
Fränkisches Gebet．＊－一
Fränkisches Taufgelöbnis．＊— $\dagger$
Strassburger Eide．DNL．162．＊—†
Lorscher Beichte．DNL．162．＊－$\dagger$
9－10th．Pfälzer Beichte．DNL．162．†
1oth．Interlinear Version of the Cantica．
1oth．Altdeulsche Gespräche．DNL． 162 ．
Mainzer Beichte．＊－$\dagger$

Verse.
9th. Otfirid Ei'angelienluch. DNT.. i, ed, Kelle, Erdmann, Piper. *— +0 .
Ludzuigslid. DI.N. . . ${ }^{*}-\dagger \quad[$ Iru. $]$
Lorscher Bienensegen. *- $\dagger$
9-1oth. Augsburger Gebet. *-†
? Georgslied.
? Christus und Samariticrin.
Middle Franconian. Centre: Trier. 12
Prose.
Glosses and Names.
9-roth. Interlinear version of Psalms, ed. van Helten.
Trier Capitulare. *- $\dagger$
Verse.
Merseburger Zaubersprüche. DNL. 162, *— $\dagger$ (probably Thuringian).
10-1 1 th? de Heinrico. DNL. 162. *- +
Low German (including Old Low Franconian and Old Saxon). 13
Centres: Werden, Essen, Freckenhorst.

## Prose.

Glosses and Names.
8th. Sächsisches Taufgelöbnis. *- $\dagger$
9-10th. Interlinear Psalms, ed. van Helten. DNL. r62. *
10th. Sächsische Beichte. *-†
Translation of Homily of Beda. DNL. 162. †
Abecedarium Normannicum. +
Essener Heberegister. +
Werdener Heberegister. $\dagger$
in-12th. Freckenhorster Heberegister. †

## Verse.

8th ? Hildebrandslied. DNL. 162. *-t [HI.]
? Wessobrunner Gebet. DNL. ェ. *—†
9th. Hëliand, edd. Sievers, Heyne, Behaghel. $\lfloor$ Hel.]
Genesis. Fragment in OE. Genesis, ed. Sievers.
Fragment in Vatican MS., edd. Zangemeister, Braune.
Hēliand and Genesis. Piper.
Hëliand and Genesis. Behaghel.

Indicative.
Present.
Sg. I. nimu
2. nimis $(t)$
3. nimit

Pl. 1. nemem( $\overline{e s}$ )
2. nemet
3. nemant

Preterite.
Sg. r. nam
2. nāmi
3. nam

Pl. I. nāmum(es)
2. nāmut
3. nāmun

Conjunctive.
neme
nemès
neme
nemèm
nemēt
nemèn
nāmi
nāmīs
nāmi
nāmīm(es)
nāmìt
nāmīn

Imperative.
-
nim.
-
nemem( $\overline{e s}$ )
nemet

Infinitive. neman
Pres. Part. nemanti
Past Part. ginoman

15
GRADATION SERIES

| I. i | ei, e | i | i |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| grïfan |  |  |  |
| zihhan | greif | griffum | gigriffan |
| zēh | zigum | gizigan |  |
| II. io | ou, o | u | o |
| liogan (liugu) | loug | lugum | gilogan |
| biotan (biutu) | hōt | butum | gibotan |
| III. e, i | a | u | o, u |
| helfan (hilfu) | half | hulfum | giholfan |
| bintan | bant | buntum | gibuntan |
| IV. e | a | $\bar{a}$ | o |
| neman (nimu) | nam | nāmum | ginoman |
| V. e | a | $\bar{a}$ | e |
| geban (gibu) | gab | gābum | gigeban |
| VI. a | uo | uo | a |
| faran (feris) | fuor | fuorum | gifaran |

Class I. Got. -jan, OHG. -en.
Indicative. Conjunctive. Imperative.
Present.

Sg. I. neriu
2. neris(t)
3. nerit

Pl. I. neriem(ès)
2. neriet
3. nerient

Preterite.


Class II. Got. -ōn, OHG. -ōn.

Present.

Sg. 1. salbōm
2. $\operatorname{salb} \bar{s}(t)$
3. salböt

Pl. i. $\operatorname{salböm(ēs)~}$
2. salböt
3. salbōnt
salbo
salbōs $(t)$
salbo
salböm
salböt
salbön
salbo
-
salbōmès
salböt

Preterite.
Sg. r. salbōta \&c.

Infinitive, salbön Pres. Part. salbōnti Past Part. gisalbōt

Class III. Got. -an, OHG. -ēn.
Present.
Sg. 1. habḕ
2. habēs $(t)$
3. habēt
Pl. 1. habēmes
2. haluét
3. habēnt
habe
habēs $(t)$
habe
habēm
habēt
haluén
habe
$\overline{\text { habēmés }}$
habēel

Preterite.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Sg. ı. habēta } \\
\text { \&c. }
\end{gathered}
$$

habëh (i)
\&c.

Infinitive. habēn
Pres. Part. habēnti
Past Part. gihaluēt

## NOUNS

18 In OHG. nouns are divided into two classes according to the original ending of the stem: if it had ended in a vowel the noun belongs to the vocalic (strong) declension, if in a consonant the noun belongs to the consonant declension.

## A. Vocalic Declension.


(i) a stems.

Masculine.
Sg. N. tag
A. $\operatorname{tag}$
G. tages
D. lage
I. tagu

Pl. N. $\operatorname{tag} \bar{a},-a$
A. taga $\bar{a},-a$
G. tago
D. tagum

Neuter.
wort
wort
wortes
worte
wortu
wort
wort
worto
zoortum
(2) ō stems. Feminine.

Sg. N. geba
A. geba
G. geba
D. gebu

Pl. N. gebā
A. gebā
G. gebōno
D. gebōm
(3) i stems.

Masculine.
Sg. N. gast
A. gast
G. gastes
D. gaste
I. gastiu, $-u$

Pl. N. gesti
A. gesti
G. gesteo
D. gestim

Feminine.
anst
anst
ensti
ensti
-
ensti
ensti
ensteo
enstim
(4) $\mathbf{u}$ stems. In OHG, these stems have almost entirely passed over into the $a$ or the $i$ declension, and there are but few traces of them left.

## B. Consonant Declension.

(1) n stems. (2) $\mathbf{r}$ stems. (3) s stems. (4) nt stems.
(1) n stems.

| Masculine. | Neuter. | Feminine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sg. N. ham | herza | zunga |
| A. hancen, unt | herza | zunga |
| G. hanen, in | herzen, in | zungūn |
| D. hanen, in | herzen, in | zungùn |
| Pl. N. hanont, un | herzun | zunģien |
| A. hanon, un | herzun | zunģiun |
| G. hanōno | herzino | zungöro |
| D. hanōm | herzom | zungoom |
| (2) r stems. | (3) s stems. | (4) nt stems. |
| Sg. N. bruoder | lamb | friunt |
| A. bruoder | lamb | friunl |
| G. bruoder | lambes | friuntes |
| D. bruoder | lambe | friunte |
| I. - | lambu | - |
| II. N. brwoder | lembir | friunt( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| A. bruoder | lembir | friunt( a) |
| G. bruodero | lembiro | friunto |
| D. Uruoderum | lembirum | friuntum |

## ADJECTIVES

As with the nouns, the distinction of vocalic and consonant de- $\mathbf{2 2}$ clension is made with the adjectives also. The consonant inflection corresponds exactly to that of the nominal $n$-stem declension, and therefore need not here be considered.

The vocalic inflection is not identical with that of the noun, but shows a mingling of nominal and pronominal inflection.

| Masculine. | Neuter. | Femin |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Sg. N. blint, blinter | blint, blintaz | blint, blin |
| A. blintan | blint, blintaz | blinta |
| G. blintes | blintes | blintera |
| D. blintemu | blintemu | blinteru |
| I. blintu | blintu | - |
| Pl. N. blinte | blint(i)u | blinto |
| A. blinte | blint(i)u | blinto |
| G. blintero | blintero | blintero |
| D. blintē̈u | blintēn | blintēn |

## Personal Pronouns.

ist Pers.
Sg. N. ih
A. mih
G. $m i \bar{n}$
D. mir

Pl. N. wir
A. unsih
G. unsèr
D. uns

Masculine.
Sg. N. er
A. inan, in
G. $(\sin )$
D. imu, o

Pl. N. sie
A. sie
G. iro
D. im, in
and Pers.
dū
dih
din
dir
ir
iuwih
iuwèr
iu
3 rd Pers.
Neuter.
$i_{3}$
$i z$
is, es
imu, o
siu
siu
iro
im, in

Reflexive.
sin
sin
-
sih
-

Feminine.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { siu, sī } \\
& \text { sia } \\
& \text { ira } \\
& \text { iru } \\
& \text { sio } \\
& \text { sio } \\
& \text { iro } \\
& \text { im, in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Demonstrative Pronouns.

(I) (ther), der.

Masculine.
Sg. N. der
A. den
G. des
D. demu, o

Pl. N. dè, dea, dia, die
A. dè, dea, dia, die
G. dero
D. dèm, dèn
(2) (theser), desēr.

Sg. N. dese, desēr
A. desan
G. desses
D. desemu, o
I.

Pl. N. dese
A. dese
G. desero
D. desēm, desēn

Neuter.
daz
$d a z$
des
demu, o
diu (dei)
diu
dero
dèm, dèn
diz
diz
desses
desemu, o
desiu, desu
desiu
desiu
desero
desèm, desīn

Feminine.
diu
dea, dia
dera
deru, o
deo, dio
deo, dio
dero
dèm, dèn
desiu, disiu
desa
desera
deseru
deso
deso
desero
desēm, desèn

Masc. and Fem.


- hatinan, icellan, zeen
G. hueis, zeis
D. hzvemu, o
I.

Neuter.
lize'tz, z'az
hwedz, w'az
hrees, wes
һтеетии, о
hviu, ze'u

NUMERALS

## Cardinal.

1 tinèr, -az, -iu
2 zic'ène, zuvei, zwiū, -и
3 drī, driu, drīo
4 fior, fior
5 fimf, finf
6 sehs
7 sibun
8 ahto
9 niun
10 zehan
11 inlif
12 zeeclif
13 drizehan, for.
20 zweinzug
30 drizzug
40 fiorzug, fic.
100 zehanzug
200 zwiro zehanzug zzeei hunt
1000 dūsunl

## Ordinal,

ēristo
ander
dritto
feordo
fimfto
sehslo
sibunto
ahtodo
niunto
zehanto
einlifto
zwelifto
dritto zehanto, $\&$ c.
zweinzugōsto
$\oint \subset$.

## TRANSCRIPTION AND PRONUNCIATION OF OHG.

26 All lengthier monuments are written in the Latin alphabet, but OHG. possessed many sounds not existing in Latin, e. g.-
(I) The voiceless guttural spirant, phonetically denoted by $x$ : the sound in NHG. Buch.
(2) The corresponding voiced guttural spirant ${ }_{\circ}^{g}$, as in South German pronunciation of Wagen, Regen.
(3) The voiceless dental spirant $P$, as in English think.
(4) The corresponding voiced spirant $\dot{J}$, as in English breathe, this.
(5) The voiceless dental fricative $t s$, as in NHG. Zahn, Tanz.
(6) The voiceless labial fricative $p f$, as in NHG. Pferd.

As a result it is by no means easy to determine with certainty the exact value of all the symbols and combinations of letters which occur in any given OHG. manuscript.
27 The MSS. as a rule take no account of vowel length. Occasional, though never consistent efforts are made to distinguish long vowels:
(1) By writing them double, as in NHG. Seele, Waare, in the BR. (not only in the root but also in secondary syllables), Isidor, Hraban Glosses, and in Tatian occasionally.
(2) By the use of the circumflex, in the Paris Glosses and sometimes in Tatian.
(3) By the use of the acute (perhaps OE. influence), mostly in the Hraban Glosses.

These various systems are used indiscriminately side by side in many MSS. Notker ( $\dagger$ 1022) is the first to use accents with any real method. He marks every long accented vowel by ^, every short accented vowel by ', and often gives a ^ to a long vowel even in a secondary syllable. Cf. Paul Sievers, 'Die Akzente im Ahd.', Palaestra, lvii.

The following survey of OHG. pronunciation will guide the beginner :-

## Long.

a släfan, hāhan, thār
e (I) hèr (Pr. Gmc. ē)
(2) mēro, êwig', sè (Pr. Gmc. áí)
i mīn, grïpan

- (1) $g \bar{o} t, b \bar{h} h$ (Pr. Gmc. $\bar{o})$
(2) hören, lōs (Pr. Gmc. áu)
u tüba, hūt

Open, as in NHG. Vater.
Probably closed.
Open at first as in Gräfin, then later closed.
As in NHG. sieben.
Closed as in NHG. Boot. Open at first, then closed.
As in NHG. Flur.

Note.-The above $\bar{e}$ and $i$ only occur in early OHG. : they pass later into $\dot{i}$ and wo respectively.

SHORT.
a habèn, Kann
e (1) nёmıти, iзsan (Pr. Gmc. $i$ or e) (2) heri, hiffill (Pr. Gmc. a)
i fintin, biliti

- got, giboran
u munt, gibundan

As in NHG. Mann, hat.
Open e as in NHG. essen, fell. Closed as in (South) German besser.
As in NHG. finden.
Open o as in NHG. Golt.
As in NHG. Bund.

## OHG. Diphthongs.

| ai | hailen, tail | As in NHG. Waise (only early OHG.). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ei | heilen, tuil | Ase $+i$ (probably). |
| au | baug, araugnan | As in NHG. Haus (only early). |
| ou | lungs, arousnan | As in $o+u$. |
| iu | liuti, liuhtan | As $i+u$. |
| eo | lioht, biotan | As $e+0$. |
| io | lioht, liotan | As $i+0$. |
| ie | lieht, biction | As $i+e$. |
| ea | hear | As $e+a$. |
| ia | hiar | As $i+a$. |
| ie | hier | As $i+e$. |
| fuo | muoter, guot | As $u+0$. |
| lua | (only dialectal) | As $u+a$. |
| oa | ( , :, ) | As $0+a$. |

## OHG. Consonants.

[Only those are given whose pronunciation differs from NHG.]
w uuar, uuendan
i, j iar, buiht or bigiht
r ritan, brehhan, uuerdan
n ( I ) bindan, nioman $(=n)$
(2) singan, iung $(=n)$
f (1) findan (Pr. Gmc. f) Labio-dental as in NHG. finden, Hefe.
(2) scaf, släfan(Pr. Gmc. $p$ ) Pronounced as $f f$, and probably bilabial.
g(I) guot, liggan Voiced explosive as in Eng. garden, when initial or doubled.
Sometimes a voiced spirant [as in SouthEast German Wagen, Regen] when intervocalic, more often as ( $\mathbf{r}$ ).
h (i) habēn, hūs
(2) sëhan, hôh

Like Eng. w but bilabial, as in Suabian pronunciation of Schwester, bequem.
(Often written $g$ before vowels) like Eng.y.
Trilled $r$, as in the German 'Bühnensprache.'
Dental as in NHG. binden.
Guttural as in NHG. singen.
Lel
(2) dagū, sagēn

When initial, like NHG. Haus.
When not initial a spirant, $=\chi$, as in NHG. Joch, Macht.

| th, dh thiudisc, thaz | Dental spirant. In Pr.Gmc. voiceless, but <br> in earliest OHG. probably $=$ g. |
| :---: | :---: |
| s suna, wësan | Voiceless spirant as in Eng. son. |
| 3, z3 ezzan, az | Also a voiceless spirant, but never con- <br> fused with $s$ because more dental, = <br> pp?, so-called 'lisped s'. |
| z ziohan, herza | Voiceless fricative asin NHG. Zahn, Tanz. |

## PART II

## CHAPTER I

## INDO-GERMANIC AND PRIMITIVE GERMANIC CONSONANT SYSTEMS

Before passing on to consider the Consonant and Vowel Systems 32 of Primitive Germanic in relation to those of Indo-Germanic and Germanic, a brief explanation of what is meant by the terms Primitive Germanic and Indo-Germanic may be useful, and short definitions of the more technical terms which will be employed. These definitions make no pretence to be exhaustive or scientific, but are intended merely to prevent ambiguity and misunderstanding.

By Indo-Germanic is meant the parent language from which Sanskrit, 33 Greek, Latin, Germanic, \&c., are all sprung, as it must have existed when the ancestors of all these peoples lived together before their separation. Of the home of the Indo-Germanic races nothing is known with certainty : no record of their language is extant, neither is any knowledge to be gained of the period during which it was spoken nor of its previous evolution. It is only known that it must have had a long and gradual development in prehistoric days, yet it is possible theoretically to reconstruct in its essentials the Primitive IndoGermanic language (so-called pro-ethnic), as it must have existed before its disintegration, by a comparative study of the daughter languages and their historic development. The oldest recorded IG. language is OldIndian or Sanskrit. In this the Vedas - the sacred books of the Hindus -were written, at latest, about fifteen centuries before Christ. Next in point of antiquity comes Zend or Old Persian, in which the Parsee scriptures were written about eleven centuries в. c. The earliest Greek monuments date from about 75 о в. с., the earliest Latin from about the fifth century в. c., and, after a long interval, the earliest Germanic from the fourth century A. D. In all these cases the historic development of the language can be traced in a more or less unbroken line down to our day. Sanskrit, for instance, dies out, but it is succeeded by Prākrit and Pāli, and these in turn by the many and various languages of modern India. It must not be forgotten that each of the IG. languages, after its severance from the others, had in prehistoric times its own private and particular development, subject to new influences,
and entirely independent of its sister tongues before it was first committed to writing. Consequently it is necessary to be acquainted with the sound-laws, which are peculiar to the individual languages, before generalizing from them. Though Sanskrit is, by many centuries, the nearest in point of time to the parent language, and thus in many cases represents it more faithfully than do its younger brethren, it does not, for instance, preserve the vowel-system in nearly the same purity as Greek; nor does Greek, though far older, always represent the IG. consonant as faithfully as does Latin.
34 By Primitive Germanic is meant similarly the language finally spoken by the Germanic races before their separation into tribes and nations. Just as in the case of IG., there is no written monument of the Pr. Gmc. language, but it is possible here with even greater exactness to reconstruct it from its later development, since the phenomena are of more or less historic times. The earliest Germanic monument is the translation of the Bible into Gothic in the fourth century, and then, from the eighth century onwards, there are records in OE., OHG., OLG., down to the modern language of English and German, while from the tenth century Scandinavian literature is continuous.

## DEFINITIONS

The sounds which collectively form a language may be conveniently divided into Sonants and Consonants.

SONANTS. A sonant is any sound capable of being pronounced alone without the aid of any other sound. Such are-
(I) All vowels.
(2) The sonant liquids, $l, r$ (written !, $r$ phonetically to distinguish them from the consonants $l$ and $r)$, as in able ( $a b l$ ), German Acker ( $a k r$ )
(3) The sonant nasals $m, n$ (written $m, n$ ), as in chasm, heaven (heav?).
36 Vowels. A vowel is the sound produced when the breath, passing over the vocal chords and causing them to vibrate, issues unobstructed from the mouth. Vowel-sounds can be indefinitely modified, according to the shape given to the passage through which the breath has to pass, and are thus indefinitely numerous. In most of the languages to be dealt with in the following, the chief vowel-sounds group themselves round five vowels, which are commonly described by the symbols $a, o, u, e, i$. In addition to these there is a colouriess sound, which is represented by an inverted $e(\rho)$, constantly heard in unaccented syllables. Almost any sound, if sufficiently unstressed, may be re-
duced to , though it may continue to be written as before: cf. English saain beside colsacain (koks?m).

Vowels may according to their duration be either short or long. 37 A distinction is further made, in the cases of the vowels $e, o$, between open and closed. The difference is best illustrated by examples:
e Open ( $\bar{\zeta}$ ) long as in Germ. Griäfin Closed ( $\bar{\varrho}$ ) almost as in Germ.
(ह)) short as in Eng. men, pet
Seele
(ẹ) as in Fr. elté

- .. (ī) long as in Eng. lazu
(o) as in Germ. so
$(\breve{g})$ short as in Germ. kommen
$(\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ ) as in Fr. cotlé
According to the portion of the throat and mouth which plays most part in producing them. vowels are further distinguished as (1) guttural vowels, $u, u, u ;(2)$ palatal vowels, $c, i$ : but the distinction is a relative rather than an absolute one ; $\Omega$, for instance, is more guttural than $o$, and $c$ less palatal than $i$. $O$ and $u$ are also sometimes termed labial vowels.

Guttural $\rightarrow a!!\quad \ell!i \rightarrow$ Palatal.
Diphthongs. A diphthong is a sound produced when two vowels 38 are pronounced in quick succession with one effort of the breath, but with unequal intensity, as, for instance, in boy ( $(\imath)$, new (iu), Haus (au). If the first element bear the greater stress, the diphthong is said to be a falling one, loy: if the second element is the more intense, a rising one, new.

Consonants. A consonant is the sound produced when the $\mathbf{3 9}$ articulation of a vowel is partially or completely stopped by the organs of speech (lips, teeth, palate, throat). It can be clearly seen that no hard-and-fast rule can be drawn between vowels and consonants : in fact, the consonants $w$ and $j$ (as Eng. $y$ ) are nothing but the vowels $u$ and $i$ with a trifling modification, and the two $(z v, u$, and $j, i$ ) constantly interchange, so that it is not uncommon to denote the sounds of $w, j$ by the symbols $u, i$. In most diphthongs the less-accented element is really a consonant :

$$
\text { boy }=l i n ; \text { new }=n i u ; \text { Haus }=\text { haus. }
$$

Consonants may be divided :-
(1) According to the organs which check the breath, into Labials, 40 Dentals, Palatals, Gutturals.
(2) According to the amount of resistance offered to the breath, into Explosives, Spirants, Aspirates, Semivowels.

Explosive. An explosive is a consonant in the pronunciation 41 of which the breath is for an instant completely arrested and then abruptly released. Such are: $p, b: t, d: k, g_{\text {. }}$

42 Spirant. A spirant is the name given to the consonant produced when the breath is only partially obstructed. Such are :

$$
f, t, v: \beta, y, s, z: \check{s}, z, \chi, g .
$$

$\beta=t h$, as in Eng. thin: $\delta=t h$, as in Eng. this : $\check{\xi}=s h$, as in Eng. hush: $z=z h$, as in Eng. pleasure.
$\chi$ and $g$ are rare in English but common in German. $\chi=$ the hard sound of $c h$, as in Buch, Dach. $\quad g=$ the sound heard in South German pronunciation of Wagen, Regen.
43 Fricative. A fricative is the compound sound of explosive plus spirant. Such are: German $p f$, as in Pferd, Pfund: $t s$, as in Eng. hats, denoted in German by $z$, as in Tanz, Zahn: $t_{s}=c h$ as in Eng. church: $d z=j$ as in Eng. joke. In these fricatives it will be noted that the two elements are always homo-organic, i.e. both dentals or both labials.
44 Explosives, Spirants, Fricatives may be each divided again into voiced and voiceless, according to whether the vocal chords vibrate in producing them or not.
Voiced: Fxplosive: $l, d, g$. Spirant: $\boldsymbol{\hbar}, v, g, \not, z, z, z$, . Fricative: $d z$. Voiceless: " $\quad p, t, k . \quad, \quad f, x, s, s, p$. " $t s, p f$.

The voiced explosives $l, d, g$ are further often termed Mediae, and the voiceless $p, t, k$ Tenues.
45 Aspirate. An aspirate is a consonant which is merely an audible breathing ; it is denoted by $h$ (E. habit, G. Herz).

An aspirated explosive is one which is pronounced followed by a breathing. In English they may be found in compound words. Such are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { kh as in ink-horn. } & g h \text { as in log-hut. } \\
\text { ph as in top-hat. } & \text { oh as in cab-horse, abhor. } \\
\text { th as in boat-house. } & d h \text { as in paid-hand, adhere. }
\end{array}
$$

46 Nasals. A nasal is the sound pronounced when the breath passes out through the nose. Such are Labial $m$, Dental $n$, Guttural $n$ : viz. $m, n$, as in jam, pen, and the sound which in English and German is usually denoted by $-n g$, as in singer, but is phonetically represented by the symbol $p=$ siner. sounds and consonant combinations, but only those are here mentioned which are necessary for the treatment of Germanic.

Note i. The English sound of $v$ is, strictly speaking, labiodental, produced, that is to say, by the teeth and lips : another pronunciation is possible, in which the lips only are used, and which is called bilabial. It is heard in the Suabian pronunciation of German ze, and is something between an Eng. $w$ and a $z$. This bilabial $z$ in the following is denoted by the symbol $t$.
48 Note 2. Velars. Beside the ordinary gutturals $k$ and $g$, such as
are found in Greek, Latin, and Germanic, IG. appears to have had another series of gutturals, pronounced yet further back in the throat, at the base of the soft palate (vilumi), hence known as the velars (cf. the difference in pronunciation of the guttural in Modern German or English, according to the quality of the nearest vowel: Buch beside Bücher, Sack beside Säckic).

These velar gutturals in IG. could occur before any rowel, even a 49 palatal vowel, and the more palatal gutturals likewise before guttural as well as before palatal vowels. There are various notations adopted to represent these IG. velar gutturals: the symbols $k$ and $g$ are here used to denote the ordinary guttural (from the Gmc. point of riew), and $k^{\prime \prime}$, $g^{\prime \prime}, k^{\prime \prime} h, g^{\prime \prime} h$ to denote the velar guttural. It is a curious fact that none of the descendant IG. languages have preserved both sets of gutturals. The Indian, Armenian, Iranian, Albanian, Slavonic, and Baltic languages retain the velars, but lose the palatal gutturals, while Greek, Latin, Celtic, and Germanic retain the palatals and lose the velars. The languages which have lost the palatal guttural have substituted in its stead a spirant or fricative $s$ or $\check{z}, \mathbb{S}_{*}^{*}$ or $d z$, and the two great groups are consequently distinguished (by their inability or ability to retain as a guttural the $k$ of IG.) as the 'satam' and the 'centum' languages respectively :-

| Sanskrit | satam. | Greek | éкито́v. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zend | satim. | Latin | centum. |
| Lith. | szimitas. | Celtic |  |
|  |  | Gmc. | * $\chi$ nn ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

The 'centum' languages either made no distinction between the $\mathbf{5 0}$ velar gutturals and the palatal gutturals, as Latin and Gmc., or substituted other consonants for them, as did Greek. In some cases in Lat. and Gmc. an IG. velar appears as an ordinary guttural + थ. It has been assumed therefore that $\operatorname{Pr}$. IG. had (I) plain velars and (2) labialized velars, i.e. velars $+\underset{\sim}{u}$, but the point is far from being proved.

Examples of the varying treatment of IG. velars will be found in the paragraphs on the First Sound-Shift and in 88.

To show the cross-classification the consonants may be thus 51 tabulated :-

Aspirated

|  | Aspirated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Explosives.$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\tilde{\omega}}{\tilde{U}} & = \\ \stackrel{U}{0} & \vdots \\ \vdots & = \end{array}$ | Explosives. |  | Fricatives. |  | Spirants. |  |
|  |  | $\frac{\sqrt[4]{3}}{\frac{3}{8}} \frac{0}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{U} \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\tilde{\sim}}{\frac{\tilde{U}}{U}}$ | تِ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{0}{巳 巳} \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \\ & \gg \end{aligned}$ | \% |
| Labials | $p \quad 3$ | ph | bh | $p f$ |  |  | $v, b$ |
| Dentals | $t \quad d$ | th | $d h$ | ts, ts | dz | $s$, | $z, \delta$ |
| Gutturals | $k \quad g$ | kh | gh |  |  | $\chi$ | g |
| Velars | $k^{\prime \prime} \quad g^{\prime \prime}$ | k"h | $g^{\prime \prime \prime} h$ |  |  |  |  |

There remain the Liquids $l, r$.
Nasals $\quad m, n$.
Semivowels $u, i$.
Aspirate $h$.
Indo-Germanic possessed the following consonant sounds :-

|  | Labials. | Dentals. | Palatals. | Velars. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenues | $p$ | $t$ | $k$ | $k^{u}$ |
| Mediae | $b$ | $d$ | $g$ | $g^{u}$ |
| Aspirated Ten. | $p h$ | $t h$ | $k h$ | $k^{u} h$ |
| Aspirated Med. | $b h$ | $d h$ | $g h$ | $g^{u} h$ |
| Spirants |  | $s, z$ |  |  |
| Liquids |  | $l, r$ |  |  |
| Nasals | $m$ | $n$ | $n$ | $s^{u}$ |
| Semivowels | $u$ |  | $i$ |  |

It is noteworthy that the IG. consonant system did not contain the spirant sounds $\chi, g, f, \dot{f}, f, b$.
53 As mentioned in the introductory chapter, one of the chief characteristics which separate Gmc. from all the other languages of the IG. stock is a different consonant system. The whole system of explosive consonants has been revolutionized by a series of phenomena which are summed up in the term 'First Sound-Shift'.

Rask and Grimm were the first to formulate these changes, and the First Sound-Shift is often spoken of as Grimm's Law. The most important apparent exception to Grimm's Law was demonstrated by Verner to be merely an extension of it, and the law which governs this further phenomenon is known as Verner's Law and forms an integral part of the First Sound-Shift.
54 The First Sound-Shift affected the four series of explosives of IG.:-
(1) Aspirated Tenues;
(2) Aspirated Mediae ;
(3) Tenues;
(4) Mediae ;
and consisted of four separate phenomena, which were neither dependent on one another nor simultaneous. The most diverse theories are advanced as to the relative chronological order of the four groups of phenomena (see Wilmanns, i. §29, Zs.fdA. 45, p. 101 ; Streitberg, U. G. § 126, and literature quoted there), and the approximate date of the Sound-Shift altogether. It seems probable that the whole revolution in the Gmc. consonant system was completed in a comparatively short space of time, i.e., between 400 and 100 b.c., and that the order was the one here adopted. In the
following pages detailed examples of the First Sound-Shift are given, but a table is prefixed to show at a glance the main changes :-
(1) Aspirated Tenues are very rare in IG. and their development 55 is obscure.
(2) Aspirated Mediae become in Gmc. Voiced Spirants.
(3) Tenues become in Gmc. Voiceless Spirants.
(4) Mediae become in Gmc. Tenues.

| IG. | Gk. | Lat. | Gmc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { b } \\ d \\ g\end{array}\right.$ | $\phi$ | $\begin{aligned} & f_{h}(b) \end{aligned}$ | \% |
|  | $\theta$ |  | j |
|  | $\chi$ |  | ${ }_{8}$ |
| 10 | $\pi$ | $p$ | $f(t)$ |
| $3 \cdot 1$ | $\tau$ | $t$ | b( 才) |
| $1 / 2$ | $\kappa$ | $k$ | $\chi(g)$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ +\quad d \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\delta}^{\beta}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b \\ & d \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{t}{p}$ |
| $+{ }_{5}$ | $\gamma$ | $g^{6}$ | k |



Notes. It may be well to point out here that-
(a) Gk. $\phi, \theta, \chi$ had by no means the pronunciation of $f, p$, and $k$, but were originally the voiceless aspirated explosives (45) $p+h, t+h, k+h$. The Greek $\chi=k+h$, occurring in Greek words, must of course not be confounded with the voiceless spirant, as in Loch, which is denoted in Gmc. by the symbol $\chi$.
(b) Greek unvoiced the IG. mediae aspiratae to tenues aspiratae, 58 so that the Gk. $\phi$ may represent (I) IG. bh, (2) IG. ph, \&c. (Greek treated the velars in different ways, replacing them now by the labial explosives $\beta, \pi$, now by the dental explosives $\delta, \tau$, and sometimes by the palatal gutturals. Cf. Gk. $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$, Lat. linquo, Gk. ti's, Lat. quis. Cf. Hirt, § 217 .)
(c) Latin shifted the IG. aspirated mediae first to aspirated tenues 59 like the Greek, and then further to spirants like Gmc. The Latin symbol $f$ represents two distinct sounds :
(1) a bilabial $f$, Gk. ф' $\rho(\omega)$, Lat. f fro $\leftarrow \mathrm{IG}$. bh; and
(2) a dental $f$, Gk. $\theta$ ipa, Lat. foris $\leftarrow \mathrm{IG} . d h$.

Latin $h$ had originally the sound of guttural spirant $\chi$.
$60(d)$ Gothic $b$ and $d$ between vowels have the sound of $t$ and $g$ respectively.
(e) Germanic $x$ in most of the Gmc. languages is written $h$. Initially and later between vowels it tends in all to take the sound of a mere aspirate.

## FIRST SOUND-SHIFT

61 I. IG. Aspirated Tenues. In Greek, as $\phi, \theta, \chi$, the aspirated tenues fall together with the aspirated mediae, which also become $\phi, \theta, \chi$, but in Gmc. they seem rather to have fallen together with the simple tenues and to have become spirants (see III). Examples are :-
62 (a) IG. ph $\rightarrow$ Gmc. f.
? Sk. phala = ripe, falling fruit. Pr. Gmc. *fallan, OE. feallan, OHG. fallan.

IG. phr-, Gk. фрás ${ }^{\text {. Pr. Gmc. * } f r a p-, ~ O E . ~ f r o d, ~ O H G . ~ f r u o l . ~}$
In the IG. combination $s p h$ IG. $p h \rightarrow p$ and remains so in Gmc.: Skr. sphátati $=$ it spreads out. Pr. Gmc. *speld, Got. spilda, ON. spjalđa, OHG. spaltan.
63 ( 6$)$ IG. th $\longrightarrow$ Gmc. p.
 Gk. ḋ $\sigma \kappa \eta \theta \eta \dot{\prime}$, Got. skapjan, ON. skedja, OHG. skadon, OE. sceppan.
IG. $t h$ after $s, f, h \rightarrow t$ and remains so in Gmc.
Suffix -tha, Gk. $-\theta a$, Gmc. -t.
Sk. (vít)tha, Gk. (oî ) $\theta$ a, but Gmc. (gaf)t, (táuh)t, (káus)t: from such verbs $t$ was generalized to verbs with other root consonants, e.g. namt, bart, \&c., and this is the origin of the $-t$ in the 2 nd pers. sing. of the Preterite of Gothic and ON. strong verbs: Got. gaft, namt, ON. gaft, namt, \&c.
64 (c) IG. $\mathrm{kh} \rightarrow$ Gmc. $\chi$. There are no certain examples.
(d) IG. k ${ }^{\mathrm{u} h} \rightarrow$ Gme. $\chi$. Lat. habēre, Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{*} \chi$ atan, Got. haban, OE. habban, OHG. habèn.
(e) IG. $\mathbf{k}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{h} \rightarrow$ Gmc. $\chi^{\mathbf{w}}$.
? Sk. nakhás, Gk. ôvvॄ̧, Lat. ungouis, Pr. Gmc. *naxwl, ON. nagl, OE. nazl, OHG. nagal.

After $s, k^{n} h$ appears to remain as Gmc. $k$.
? Sk. skhalāmi, Pr. Gmc. *skal, Got. skal, ON. skal, OE. sceal, OHG. scal.

Far more important than the shift of the aspirated tenues are the three following:-


68 ( $c$ ) IG. gh $\longrightarrow$ Gmc. g.

${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{B}$
(b) IG. $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow$ Gme. p (OHG. d).

| OE. | OHC |
| :---: | :---: |
| prē | ${ }_{\text {diu}}^{\text {diu}}$ |
| benia |  |
| brobor | bruoder |
| ${ }_{\text {cole }}^{\text {top }}$ polian | ${ }_{\text {zand }}^{\text {zand }}$ dolèn |
| hund | hunt |
| fiper |  |
| pymne | dumni |


$t$ in IG. combinations $s t, p t, k t$ does not shift to $\beta$, but remains Pr. Gmc. $t$ in $s t, f t, \chi t$. $\stackrel{\text { ci }}{\substack{~}}$



liuhan
hláns
hlütrs
hliup
(ga)teihan
tagr
skeinan
háubib
zueihan
hafjan
hana
ha
leiban
aha
heila
aíha

 $\sigma \kappa \iota a ́$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { düco } \\
& \text { clino } \\
& \text { cluo } \\
& \text { clueo (inclu- } \\
& \quad \text { tus) } \\
& \text { dico } \\
& \text { lacrima (da- } \\
& \quad \text { cruma) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { caput } \\
& \text { seco } \\
& \text { vinco } \\
& \text { cruor } \\
& \text { capio } \\
& \text { cano }
\end{aligned}
$$


$\begin{array}{cc}\begin{array}{l}\text { kravís } \\ \text { kapatī̀ }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { крє́as } \\ \kappa(\omega \pi \pi \eta\end{array} \\ \text { IG. } s k^{\prime \prime} \text { remains sk in Gmc. }\end{array}$
(e) IG. k ${ }^{\mathrm{u}} \rightarrow$ Gmc. $\mathbf{x w}^{\mathbf{w}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { quod } \\
& \text { linquo } \\
& \text { aqua } \\
& \text { quies } \\
& \text { equus }
\end{aligned}
$$



会
$\stackrel{\odot}{\circ}$
$\stackrel{N}{N}$

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |



$$
\text { IG. Mediae } \rightarrow \text { Gmc. }
$$

Tenues.

$$
\text { (b) IG. } \mathbf{d} \rightarrow \text { Gmc. t }(\mathrm{OHG} . z \text { or }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } f \text { ). } \\
& \text { Lat. } \\
& \\
& \text { cannabis } \\
& \text { vibro } \\
& \text { trabs } \\
& \text { (s)lūbricus }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3). } \\
& \text { domu } \\
& \text { dexte } \\
& \text { edo } \\
& \text { pès, } \\
& \text { sedeo } \\
& \text { video } \\
& \text { rādix } \\
& \text { medit } \\
& \text { domo } \\
& \text { dico } \\
& \text { tında } \\
& \text { dis- }
\end{aligned}
$$



OHG.
kann
kamb
kiosan
kunni
miluh
ackar
mihhil
kerran
dah
kuo

quec
queman
quena
nahhul


5ำ
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## Verner's Law

It has been shown that IG. voiceless explosives became voiceless spirants in Gmc. From some of the above examples it will have been noticed that they do not always remain so: when initial (unless in unaccented syllable, prefix, or second part of noun compound) or immediately preceded by the accent in IG. the voiceless spirants are retained, but in all other cases these voiceless spirants become voiced. IG. $p, t, k, k^{u}$ is in Gmc. represented by:-(I) $f, p, \chi, \chi, \chi^{w ; ~(2) ~} b, \downarrow$, g, g, gze.

In the second case (2) the IG. tenues fall together with the IG. aspirated mediae, and the Gmc. $\delta, \dot{y}, g$, from whatever source they arise, share the same development in the various Gmc. dialects.

As cognate words in IG., or even the same word in different conditions, might have the accent on different syllables, there exists in Gmc. a regular interchange of $f, f, \chi$, on the one hand, with $b, \delta, g$ on the other, and to these may be added the Gmc. voiceless $s$ (IG. $s$ ), which under the same circumstances becomes voiced to $z$. The law of the interchange between voiced and voiceless spirants in Gmc. was discovered and formulated by Karl Verner, and is known as Verner's Law. It began to operate soon after the original shift of tenues to spirants ( $7_{1}$ ) and before the shifting of mediae to tenues (see Wilmanns, i. § 29 ; Streitberg, $U . G$., § $126, G r .{ }^{2}$ i, p. 369, PBB. xx. 294), and is, strictly speaking, an integral part of the First Sound-Shift, though it is convenient to treat it later.
IG. $p, t, k, k^{u}$, Pr. Gmc. $t, \delta, g, \underbrace{g, ~ g w .}$
(a) IG. $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow$ Gmc. b.

| Sk. | Gk. | Lat. | Pr. | Got. | ON. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| saplá | ¢̇ $\pi \tau \alpha \dot{1}$ | septem | * setn | sibun | sjau | seofon | sibun |
| limpáti |  | seplem | * İtan | leiban |  | be-lifan | betiban |

(b) IG. $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow$ Gmc. ${ }^{\mathrm{\delta}}$.

| fitár-mātártrtityas | $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\rho}$ | pater | * fadr- | fadar | fafir | freder | fater |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\square} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ | māter | * mödr- |  | módir | mōdor | muoter |
|  |  | tertius | *pridj- | pridja | pridi | bridda | dritto |
|  | крат |  | * $\times$ ard- | hardus | hardr | heard | harti |


(e) IG. $\mathrm{s} \rightarrow$ Gmc. $\mathbf{z}$ (in ON. and all W. Gmc. dialects $\rightarrow{ }^{\prime}$ ).

| Sk. | Gk. | Lat. | Pr. Gmc. | Got. | ON. | Ol:. | OlIG. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| smusta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ijgas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The operation of Verner's Law is especially noteworthy when exem- 87 plified in two forms of the same word, or in cognate words from the common root. In such cases it is often referred to as Grammatic Change, a term used by Grimm and more or less consecrated by usage. It is most clearly seen in the root-forms of graded verbs. In IG. the perfect singular, like the infinitive, was accented on the root, the perfect plural, like the past participle, on the inflexional syllable : e. g.-

IG. (I have shown).
deloóka, \&c.
didikamé

| I. Sk. ${ }_{\text {didésers }}$ | Pr. Gmc. | OHG. <br> zēh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *taix-, \&c. |  |
| 2. didésithe |  | [zigi] |
| 3. didéşa |  | zëh |
| I. didisisimá | lig- | zigum |
| 2. didisứ |  | zigut |
| 3. didǐưur |  | zigun |
|  | tig-an- | (gi)zigan |

Past Part. dikonós

The regular working of Verner's Law is somewhat obscured in all Gmc. dialects by levelling or the operations of new sound-laws. For its effect on OHG. in detail see 165 .

## The IG. Gutturals in Gmc.

The main developments of the IG. gutturals in Gmc. have already 88 been dealt with, but a few words more may not be out of place on this vexed and most difficult question.

IG. seems to have had originally two series of gutturals : the palatals $(k, k h, g, g h)$ and the velars ( $\left.k^{u}, k^{u} h, g^{u}, g^{u} h\right)$.

The articulation of the velars appears to have involved a certain closure or rounding of the lips, and when a velar occurred in front of a non-labial vowel (i. e. before $a, e, i$ ) a labial glide was developed, thus giving rise at an early date to a third series of IG. gutturals, the labialized velars or labio-velars ( $\left.k^{\prime \prime} u, k^{\prime \prime} h u, g^{\prime \prime} u, g^{\prime \prime} h u\right)$, see Q. \& F. 32. $8 ; P B B$. vii. 482 . When the IG . velars occurred before the labial vowels $o$ and $u$, the necessity for a labial glide was of course absent. Hirt tries to prove that IG. originally possessed only velar and labio-velar gutturals ( $k^{\prime \prime}$, and $k^{u} u$, \&c.), and that the palatal gutturals were a later development from the velars before a palatal vowel (I. F. vi. 3). From the Gmc. point of view, however, the only 89 fact of importance is that IG. possessed eventually three series of gutturals: $k, k^{\prime \prime}, k^{\prime \prime} ⿲$. $\quad$ The two former of these coincided in Gmc. as
voiceless or voiced spirants ( $\chi$ or $g$ ), but the third $\left(k^{\prime \prime} u\right)$ often retained the labial glide and became voiceless or voiced spirant $\chi^{w}$ or $g^{z}$.
 Gmc. $\chi(g), \chi^{w}, g w . \quad$ Gmc. $k$ kw. Gmc. $g$. $g w$.
In most cases this $w$ is retained in Gmc., as is clearly seen in Gothic. In the group $\chi^{w}\left(g^{v} w\right)$ and $k w, w$ always falls before $u$, and, when final, initially before any consonant, medially before $j, t$, $s$, and, later, $l, m, n, r$; in the group $g w$ (from $g^{n} h u$ ) the $w$ seems to have been always lost initially, and when immediately following an accented vowel, after an unaccented vowel, is treated like the gw (from $k^{\prime \prime} u$ ) ; see Zupitza, Germanische Gutturale.

From Gmc. alone it is obviously impossible to ascertain the exact origin of any given guttural, since $k$ and $\chi$ have three, and $g$ even six possible IG. origins :-

$$
k \leftarrow\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ g } \\
{ g ^ { u } } \\
{ g ^ { u } u }
\end{array} \quad \chi \leftarrow \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ k } \\
{ k ^ { \prime \prime } } \\
{ k ^ { \prime \prime } u }
\end{array} \quad g \leftarrow \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ g h } \\
{ g ^ { u } h } \\
{ g ^ { \prime \prime } h u }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \leftarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
k \\
k^{\prime \prime} h \\
k^{\prime \prime} h u
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

90 Only by a comparison with other IG. languages, especially Aryan, Baltic, Celtic, and Slavonic, can the ultimate origin of Gmc. gutturals be determined, and even then many cases remain doubtful. Cf. Zupitza, and Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, i. § 649 ff.

It was long believed that in a few obscure cases, especially in the neighbourhood of some other labial, the Gmc. labialized consonants $k w, \chi^{w}, g w$, arising from IG. labio-velars, underwent a curious assimilation by which the labial element in them prevailed in prehistoric times and yielded Gmc. $p, f, b$ or $w$. Among the most commonly cited of such cases were :-

OE. hopian, OHG. hofien: OE. hy'ht.
Eng. wisp: OHG. wisc.
Got. fimf: IG. *penkue, Gk. $\pi \epsilon \in v \tau \epsilon$.
Got. wulfs : Sk. vrkas, Gk. 入v́коs.
OE. ofen, OHG. of fan: Got. aúhns.
OE. düfan: OE. dèazian.
OE. bana, OHG. bano: IG. *gu huan, Sk. han-ti.
Got. sweiban: OHG. swigèn.
Got. zvarmjan: Sk. gharmá. Cf. Brugmann³, i. § 686.
91 Note.-Zupitza clearly shows, what Bartholomae (Studien zur IG. Sprachgesch. ii. I 3 ) and Osthoff ( $P B B$. xviii. 249) suspected already, that it is quite impossible to prove any such development, and that the cases which were supposed to do so can all be otherwise explained.

The etymology may be entirely false, as in the case of hopiann, ziarmjon, ofin, \&c.: the labial and guttural may belong to two independent suffixes as in diffinn, dicijiun; the labial may have arisen by assimilation, as in fimf, or have been transferred from a related word, as in fidzer, which owes its $f$ to fimf, and so on. See Zupitza, and of. further Osthofi, M.C. 68, note ; Bezzenberger, BB. xvi. 234 ; Bechtel, Hauplprob. ix.

## EXCEPTIONS TO THE FIRST SOUND-SHIFT

(i) In the IG. consonant combinations $k t, p l, s k, s t, s p$ the second 92 element remains unshifted (see examples above).

Note.--The cause of the $t$ in IG. $k t$, pt not shifting may have been a latent instinct of dissimilation. Just as Gk. and Sk. could not endure two spirants in close succession, and as Gmc. could not retain two explosives (99), a similar instinct may have prevented the development of $s k, s t, s p$ into $s \chi, s), s f$. Or it may be that in these consonant groups the two sounds are so closely blent that they represent practically but one consonant (see Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$, i, § $3^{8}$ ).
(ii) Apparent exceptions arise from the working in Sk. and in Gk. of the law of aspirate dissimilation, whereby the aspirate element was lost in one or other of two aspirates in close succession, so that Gk. фeí $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i v}$ corresponds to Gmc. biumgan and Gk. $\gamma$ to Gmc. g, but this is explained since Gk. фєí $\omega$ ↔ $\leftarrow \epsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega$, IG. bheugho, \&c. For other isolated exceptions due to individual peculiarities see Brugmann", i. § 699 ff .

## COMBINATIVE SOUND-CHANGES IN IG. AND GMC. CONSONANTS

The consonant changes considered under the collective name $\mathbf{9 3}$ of the First Sound-Shift are often known as isolative phenomena, since they take place independently of neighbouring sounds. From the very earliest times other sound-changes are at work in all IG. languages, so-called 'combinative' changes, where the articulation of one sound is modified by others near it. Most of such combinative sound-changes are caused by-
(1) Assimilation, which takes place when two dissimilar sounds, 94 occurring close together, tend to become similar, or
(2) Dissimilation, when two similar sounds tend to become different.

Assimilation and Dissimilation play a large and important part in all IG. languages down to the present day, so that, while they are very active in Gimc., there is, in contrast to the First Sound-Shift, nothing
distinctively Gmc. about them, and many are far older than the isolative phenomena of the First Sound-Shift. In another point, too, these combinative changes contrast with those of the Sound-Shift. Whereas in the latter certain sounds in a given period were shifted once and for all, but the same sound arising later was unaffected, the changes caused by assimilation and dissimilation are always liable to recur in similar conditions, so that it is impossible to assign them to any one period, and in many ways the working of these laws seems arbitrary and erratic. It is consequently not always possible to say whether a certain manifestation of assimilation or dissimilation is IG. in date or Gmc., and the discussion of these phenomena has therefore been deferred until now.

## Assimilation.

A. Partial. (r) Voiced consonant + unvoiced yield either two voiced or two unvoiced consonants.
 Got. giban " gifts $(t+t)$ " scribo ., scriptus $(b+t)$ " mag ", mahts $(g+1)$
(b) Gk. $\pi o \delta o ́ s$ beside $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \beta \delta a \iota(\pi+\delta) \quad$ Lat. $\alpha p($ erio $)$ beside $a b-d o(p+d)$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
" \text { custos } \\
" \text { hasta } & \text { Got. huzd }(s+d) \\
\text { gazds }(s+d)
\end{array}
$$

96 (2) Labial nasals become dental before a dental (i.e. $m \rightarrow n$ ), or conversely dental nasals become labial before a labial ( $m \rightarrow n$ ).
(a) $m \longrightarrow n$.

Lat. eundem (eunden), septendecim (septem); Got. skanda, OHG. scanta beside Got. skama, OHG. scama.
(b) $n \rightarrow m$.

Gk. $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon$ beside $\pi \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon$.
Gk. $\sigma v \not \mu \beta$ ßodov beside $\sigma v v \epsilon \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
Got. fimf beside Lat. quinque, Gk. $\pi$ év $\tau \epsilon$.
B. Complete. (a) Ir $\rightarrow$ ll.

| Sk. pūrnás ùrnā | Gk. | Lat. | Got. | ON. | OE. | OHG <br> fol wolla |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | plèmus |  |  | fill |  |
|  |  |  | zuilla |  | ziulle |  |
|  | ко入んıós | collis | hallus | hallr | heall |  |
|  |  | (colnis) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | pellis | fill(eins) | fjall | fel | fel |
|  |  | (pelnis) |  |  |  |  |

98 (b) $m n \rightarrow m m$ (cf. 96).

(But see Brugmann, § 42 I , note.)

It is often difficult to decide whether $m m$ arises from $m+n$ or from $m+w$; cf. Noreen, l: L... p. 157.
(c) $n u \rightarrow m$.

| Sk. | Gk. | Lat. | Got. | ON. | OE | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hămus | \%éves | grcmuimus | kimmus | kinn | cimn | kinnt |
| dhane'al | тaví(e) | litur |  | punnr |  | dunni |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{vi}$ 'tw | minue | mimnisa |  |  | minn |

Note i.-The $-m$ of brinnan and rimnan in Got., OHG., \&c., beside -n of brunsta, runs, ON. brumi, runi, is accounted for by the fact that $-u$ inflections originally alternated with others without $-u$, and double forms arose. (Noreen, A. I. Gr., § $\mathbf{2 5}^{2}$, U. L., p. 159 .)

Note ii.-The $n n$ of Got. manna beside manasēps probably from the gen. and dat. pl. mamné, mannam (cf. abné, abnam, \&c: Norcen, Č. I.., p. 159 , note 3 ).

Note iii.-For the more or less obscure assimilation of IG. $p n, b n \rightarrow$ $p p: I n, d n \rightarrow t t: k n, g_{n} \rightarrow k k$ of. Noreen. L. L. p. I 154 and Brugmann", i. § 42 I.

## Dissimilation.

A. When two explosives occur in close succession to each other $\mathbf{9 9}$ the former is dissimilated to a spirant in Gmc.
(1) Before $t$ IG. $p, b, b / h \rightarrow$ Gmc. $f$.

Got. pu gafl, gifts Got. giban
OHG. gift OHG. geban
Got. gaskafts
Got. (ga)skapjan
OHG. giskaft
OE. zesceaft
OHG. skepfen
OE. scieppan
Gk. клє́ $\pi \tau \eta$ я
Got. hliftus.
(2) Before $t$ IG. $k, g, g h \longrightarrow$ Gmc. $\chi$. Got. mahta, maht OHG. mohta
Got. pāhta
OHG. dāhta
OE. pōhte

## Got. mag

OHG. mag
Got. pagkjan
OHG. denkan
OE. pencean, \&c.
Note.-Before tenues the aspirated consonants had probably long 100 lost their aspiration in IG.
(3) Before $t$ any dental gave rise, already in earliest IG., to some form of $s$ sound. See Noreen, U. L., p. 189 (whether through the intermediate stage $t^{8} t$ or $t^{\beta} t$ is not clear. Cf. Brugmann ${ }^{3}, \S 794$, and I. F. iv. 34 1).

In Gmc. dental plus $t$ gives $s s$, which is simplified to $s$ after a long vowel or when final.

Cf. Lat. sessum to sideo, passus to patior, messum to meto, usus to utor. Noreen, U. L.., §52; Sommer, \$\$123, 132 .

101 Before $r$ dental plus $t$ gave str.
Cf. Lat. assestrix. to assessor, Got. blōstreis to Got. blölan, OHG. bluostar.

Gk. $\pi a \tau \notin \neq \mu a \ell$, Got. födjan, ON. fóstr, OE. föstor. Brugmann³, i. § 794.

It is possible, though not probable, that in these cases $t$ is merely a consonant glide. Cf. $P B B$. ix. $I_{5}$ o.

In all other cases where $s t$ is found instead of $s s$ arising from dental plus $-t$, the $t$ has crept in by analogy. Thus beside OHG. wissa, is found wista, beside muosa, muosta (but gizviss where no analogy).

Got. du qast (for qass) from qiban, báust (for báuss) from biudan.
Before $n$ a dental apparently changes to $s$ :
Cf. Got. biudan, anabusns; beidan, usbeisns. Here, however, suffix is $s n$ and a $t$ has fallen (*butsns). $t$ disappears before sk suffix ; cf. OHG. rasc (ratsk) to rad, weaskan to Got. wato; cf. Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, i. § 795*

Gmc. $d t \rightarrow s s$.
Cf. Got. afstass, ustass to Got. standan, uswiss to gawidan.
Gmc. $t t \rightarrow$ ss.
Got. weis, gawiss, wissa, to witan, Wassaba to ga-höljan.
Gmc. $p t \rightarrow$ ss.
Got. missa to meiban, OHG. mūdan: Got. gaqiss to qiban.
102 B. Two different nasals, if not completely assimilated, dissimilate the former to a spirant.
Pr. Gmc. * $\chi e m n-$ Got. himins but ON. hifne OE. heofon OS. hetan *gaimn- ON. geimi " OE. zeofon OS. getan (sea). *stemn- OHG. stimma", Got. stibna OE. stefn *emn- ", Got. ibns OE. efen OHG.eban.
Lat. -umnia, (cal)umnia, Got. -ubni (fráistubni), -ufni (with further dissimilation after $d$ ) (waldufni). Streitberg, Got. Gr. § 117.

Note.-The hypothesis of a change from $m l \rightarrow m n$, especially when $i$-sound intervenes (cf. OHG. cumil, Lat. cuminum ; OHG. himil, Got. himins; see Kl. Wb. s. v., Gr. ${ }^{1} 3^{82}$ ), is not tenable. OHG. himil, Got. himins, are not identical ; the root is the same, but there are two different suffixes in IG., el and en; cf. Gk. к $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda(\epsilon \theta \rho o v)$. On such difference IG. nominal suffixes rest:-

| Gk. $\mu \in \gamma$ ádo- | beside | Lat. | magnus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¿́pa入ós | ", | Got. | samana |
| $\dot{\eta}^{\lambda} \lambda o s$, Lat. sol, Got. sauil, OE. sol | " | , | sunnō, OHG. sunne |
| OE. deazol | " | OHG | tougan |
| symbel |  |  | (zi)samene |
| Lat. similis |  | OE. | sannian |

A similar interchange of $r$ and $n$ is found, especially in neuter nouns
(cf. Noreen, Č. L. § 53 ). Grk. ziò wp, OHG. zenģar, OE. zwater: Sk. udín, Got. zeatō-ins, ON. z'utn.

## Minor Consonant Changes.

Intervocalic $\underset{\sim}{l}$, especially before $\underset{\sim}{u}$, sometimes becomes $\underset{\sim}{g}$ in Gmc.; 103 the exact conditions under which this change takes place are still obscure.

Sk. yúran- (IG. iunuda), Lat. jurenis, jurenta, OF. zeozop, OHG. jugund; Gk. ท̄̉ıos from $\sigma \alpha F^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s, ~ G o t . ~ s u g i l, ~ O N . ~ s y g e l ~(t h e ~ r u n e ~ s), ~$ OF. syzel. See PBB. xiii. 504 ; Brugmann³, i. § 373.

Perhaps parallel to this is the characteristic E. and N. Gmc. hardening of $\underset{\sim}{u}$ or $u \underset{\sim}{u}$ and $i$ or $\ddot{i}$ in certain cases after a short accented vowel to ggze, sgoj (Got. ddj). Cf.:-

| Pr. Gmc. | OHG. | OE. | Got. | ON. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *tlinuan | bliuzaan |  | bliggwan |  |
| *triuk | triunei | Iriezei | triggzalba | tryggr |
| *gloun | glauwer | 弓ё̆z | glaggwō | $g \operatorname{logg} r$ |
| *sculut | scuze | sciuzea | shuggza | shuggi |
| * wuiii |  |  | zeaddjus | veggr |
| *haii | zтейі |  | twaddjē |  |

Though the circumstances attending these phenomena are not quite clear, so much scems certain that they were in some way dependent on the IG. accent, and that the explosive was only developed if the accent fell on a short vowel immediately preceding. A sort of grammatic change occurs: cf. Got. frijön, ON. Frigg ; Got. sniwan, ON. sn»ggr ; Got. hazui, ON. hoggzia. See Brugmann", $\$ \$ 309$ and 373.

## Consonants in Final Syllable.

## I. Nasals.

(i) $\mathrm{m} \rightarrow n$ in accented final syllable after a short vowel.

| Sk. | Lat. | Got. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| suptá (septmi), | septem | sibun | senfon | silun |
|  | tum | pan | pon |  |
|  | quum | hian |  |  |

(2) In unaccented final syllable or after a long vowel $m \rightarrow n$ (as in Gk.), but then falls in Gmc., leaving nasalized vowel behind (but see Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, § 397).

Acc. Sing. -m or $-n$, Sk. -am, Gk. -ov, Lat. -um, ON. -a (runic).
Gen. Pl. Fem. IG. -ōñ, Gk. - $ิ$, Got. $-\bar{o}$.
Sk. ahám, Gk. ধ́ $\gamma \omega(v)$, Lat. ego, ON. (runic) (e)ka, ek, Got. ik.
IG. -èm, Lat. -em (quidem, idem), Got. -a, ina, pana.

Note.--In Got. niun, taíhun, the $-n$ has probably been restored from the ordinal niunda, \&c. M.U. iv. 13 I .

For IG. $m \rightarrow n$ in Got. pana see $P B B$. xvii. 298.
II. Dentals.
(i) After an unaccented vowel or an accented long vowel, IG. dental explosive, as in Greek, tends to fall, having of course first become a spirant.
[A few particles appear to retain the dental: Sk. ud, Got. $\bar{u} t, \mathrm{ON}$. $\bar{u} t$, OHG. $\bar{u}$ z, Lat. $a d$, Got. and ON. $a t$, OE. $x t$, OHG. $a_{z}$ : this was probably due to analogy with compounded forms in which the dental was not final. Noreen, U. L., p. 170, note.]

IG. 3 rd pers. Opt. sing. uelit, Lat. velit, Got. wili.
IG. bhéroit, Sk. bhárēt, Gk. фépoı( $\tau)$, Pr. Gmc. berai, Got. baírái.
Sk. napāt, Lat. nepōs, ON. nefi, OE. nefa, OHG. nefo.
(2) After a short accented vowel explosives remain.

IG. tod, Sk. tád, Gk. тó( $\delta$ ), Lat. (is)tud, ON. pat, OE. pret, OHG. daz. Lat. quod, ON. hvat, OE. hwwet, OHG. hwaz.
106 Note A.-Forms without a dental, such as Got. $p a$ in $p a+e i>p e i$, OHG. tha $+i \%>$ theiz, go back to proclitic forms, which were unaccented: thus Got. $h a$ beside ON. hvat, \&c.

Note B.-Where forms in which the dental was originally final alternate within the same paradigm with forms in which it was followed by flexional syllables, double forms are found arising; thus are found:

OHG. mān̄̄d, Got. mēnōps-, ON. mónogr-, OE. monap from the oblique case.

OHG. māno, Got. mēna, ON.máni, OE. mōna from the nominative case.
Thus arise in OE. ealu, gen. ealop: hoele, plural hrelep; ON. halrheldr, OHG. helid.

OHG. hemidi, OE. hemeđe, beside ON. hamr, OHG. hamo, OE. hama.
Got. mitaps, OF. metod, ON. mjgtudr: OF. met. See Noreen, U. L., p. ifi.

## 107

## Loss of Consonants in other than Final Syllables.

In groups of several consonants IG. always had a tendency to simplify. Cf. Latin suspicio (sub-specio), traduce (trans-duco), and so forth.

## I. Dentals.

(a) IG. dental explosives fall in Gmc. between $n$ and $j$ :

Sk. satyas (sutios), Pr. Gmc. *sundja-, Got. sunjeins.
Got. gaminpi, OHG. minnea, ON. minni,

Apparent exceptions like Got. Iundnan, \&c., are new formations. Brugmamn³, § $79^{8}$; and see Streitherg, Z. Germ. Sprachig. $\mathbf{I}_{5}$.
(b) Dental explosives fall between two $n$ 's:

Got. sinps, OHG. sinnan (*sin) man).
For the apparent disappearance of a dental explosive in such forms as: Got. sibun beside Lat. siplim, Gk. émrú, and OHG. āband, OE. ẍfen, beside ON. aptan, OE. वैflintid, see Brugmanns, § 980.
(c) Dental explosives fall before $s+$ consonant:

OHG. wascan (walscan) to Got. watō, Got. waúrstu (waúrkstu), OHG. rasc to rad (ratsk) (101).
II. Semi-vowels.
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(a) $\underset{\sim}{u}$ after consonant falls before $i$ :

Got. hardjana (hardujana), Got. stōjan (stōujan).
(b) $u$ falls before $u$, and $\underset{\sim}{i}$ before $i$ :

Sk. ná̉a (ncụ! ), Gk. èvvєFa, Lat. norem (ncuun), OHG. nùun; Sk. trálas, Got. prois $\leftarrow{ }^{*}$ priiuiz, OHG . drì.

In very many cases, however, the semi-vowels are restored by analogy, especially in verbal forms: cf. OHG. gi-szoumman to swimman, Got. ga-szoultans to ga-swiltan; and in many others it is impossible to tell whether the loss of $u$ and of $i$ is Pr. Gmc. or whether it took place at a later date. See Brugmann³, §377, and Noreen, U. L., p. 176.

## III. Gutturals.

(a) In the combination $g w$, arising by Verner's Law from $\chi^{v v} g$ fell in certain cases. Gmc. $\chi$ and $w$ are shown in a relation of grammatic change, and in certain cases the $w$ is lost (129), so that double forms exist, some with $g$ and some with $w$ :

Got. magus, ON. m!gr : Got. mazwi, OE. mēozvle, ON. mæ̈r ; OE. hnizan, ON. hníga, OHG. hnigan: Got. hneizvan.

Got. bagms (bag $(w) m)$ : OHG. boum $(t a(g) z m)$, OE. bēm.
ON. flýja, OE. fē̄̃an: ON. flaumr, OE. fleam.
ON. pegn, OHG. degan : Got. pius, pizvi.
Got. áugō, áugjan, OHG. ougen : OE. cowían, OHG. awi-zoraht.
(b) Guttural $n(p)$ is lost in Gmc. before $\chi$ with compensation lengthening of the preceding vowel (266):

Got. weihan, OHG. wihhan to Lat. zinco ; Got. jühiza beside juggs, OHG. jung, jungiro.

## Intrusion of Consonants.

From the earliest times in IG. a consonant glide tended to be developed in certain cases to aid the pronunciation of a consonant group. Cf. Gk. $\beta$ after $\mu: \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho_{i ́ a}$ beside $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \alpha, \mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \omega \kappa \alpha$ beside $\mu 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, or $\delta$ between $\nu$ and $\rho$ : ả $\nu \delta \rho o ́ s ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~ a ̉ v \rho o ́ s, ~ \sigma \iota v \delta \rho o ́ s ~ b e s i d e ~$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ıapós.

Latin inserts $p$ between $m$ and $t$ : emplus, emo: sumptus, sumo.
111 Such consonant glides are :
(a) $t$ between $s$ and $r$. Sk. srávàmi, ON. straumr, OE. streàm, OHG. stroum, strōm.

Sk. támisrā, Lat. tenebrae (*temesrae), OHG. dinstar.
[For relation of OHG. dinstar to OHG. finstar see Kl. Wb. and Noreen, U. L., p. 197.]

Sk. sváş, Got. szeistr, ON. syster, OE. sweostor, OHG. swester.
(b) $f$ between $m$ and $t$. OHG. kumft to queman, zumft to zeman.
(c) $s$ between $n$ and $t$. OHG. brunst to brennen, kunst to kunnan, konsta beside konta.
(d) $b$ between $m$ and $r$. Got. timrjan beside timbrjan, ON. timra, OHG. zimbarōn.

Bugge asserts the development of Pr. Gmc. $w \rightarrow k\left(P B B\right.$. xiii. $5^{15}$ ) , but cannot be said to have established it; cf. Noreen, U.L., p. 167. The relation of Sk. naús, Gk. vâ̂s, Lat. nāvis, ON. nôr, OE. nōzvend (cf. Napier, OE. Gl.), to ON. nokkvi, OE. naca, OHG. nahho, seems, having regard to the length of the IG. vowel, extremely doubtful.
112 Sometimes in related Gmc. words a consonant relation is found which at first sight is not easy to explain, but which arises from the fact that the two forms come from two cognate IG. forms with different consonants. Only a few of the more striking are noted here (see Noreen, U. L. chap. iii).

From IG. $p: b$ (Pr. Gmc. $f$ or $t: p)$ :
OE. dýfan, OHG. tobal : Got. dáupjan, OE. deop, OHG. tiof.
Got. stabim (dat. pl.), OHG. stab, OS. staf, OE. stöpol, OHG. stafol.
From IG. $t: d$ (Pr. Gmc. $p, \dot{j}: t$ ):
Got. frajjan, frödis (gen. sg.), ON. frodr, OHG. fruot: Got. us-fratwjan.
OHG. hadubrant, OE. heađo-lac: Got. hatis, OHG. haz.

## CHAPTER II

## DEVELOIMIENT OF THE PRLMITIVE GERMANIC CONSONANT SYSTEM

After the First Sound-Shift the Pr. Gmc. Consonant System con- 113 sisted of the following sounds :-

Tenues
Spirants, voiced Spirants unvoiced Liquids
Nasals

| Labials. | Dentals. | Gutturals. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $t$ | $k$ |
| $t$ | $j, z$ | $g$ |
| $f$ | $j, s$ | $\chi$ |
| $f$ | $l, r$ |  |
| $m$ | $n$ | $b$ |

Semivowels $u$, $i$.
In comparison with IG., Gme. thus is poorer by the loss of all its aspirated consonants, all its mediae, and all its velar gutturals : it is richer by two new series of spirants:-

$$
\text { (1) } t, f, g ;(2) f, f, \chi ;
$$

of which the voiced series underwent some modifications before the OHG. period.

## Changes in Pr. Gmc. Period.

The voiced spirants $t$ and $\partial$ must have shown very early a tendency to become voiced explosives (mediae). $\quad[t \rightarrow b, \delta \rightarrow d]$.
(1) Inilial. This change was probably complete before Gothic 114 times, so that we find:-

| Pr. Gmc. | Got. | OE. | G. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cran | baíran | beran | beran |
| * ux $^{\text {dr }}$ - | daúhtar | dohtor | tohter |

(2) Following their respective nasal :-

| Pr. Gmc. | Got. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| *lamt- | lamb | lamb | lamb |
| * tindan | bindan | bindan | bintan |

(a) The fate of $g$ in Pr. Gmc, when initial is not so clear. It 115 probably remained a spirant all through this period, and was taken into the various Germanic languages as such. For its value in Gothic see Streitberg, Got. Gr., § 113.
(b) After its nasal ( $n$ ) g , like $\epsilon$ and $\delta$, became an explosive:

Pr. Gmc. *singzian, Got. siggzaan, OE. sinzan, OHG. singan.
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In all other positions $t, \delta, \notin$ retained their character of voiced spirants in Pr. Gmc.

Gothic retains $\delta$ and $\mathscr{J}$ medially between vowels (though written $b$ and $d$ ), but converts them into mediae after consonants. Similarly, it is probably right to assume that Gothic retains ${ }_{\delta}$ between vowels (see Streitberg, Got. Gr. § 35). For the W. Gmc. development of $b, y, g$ see 122.

Thus at the end of the Pr. Gmc. period practically the same consonant system exists as above ( $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ), with the addition of the new mediae $b, d, g$, of which all three occur after nasals, while $b$ and $d$ are also found initially.

## West Germanic Consonant Changes.

116 A. The most important of the distinctively W. Gmc. changes is that known as the W. Gmc. Lengthening of Consonants.

It is characteristic of West, as opposed to East and North Germanic, that single consonants are lengthened before $i$, and also, though less extensively, before $u, r, l, m, n$.

Before $\underset{i}{i}$ all consonants except $r$.
, $\quad{ }_{\imath}^{u} \operatorname{Pr}$. Gmc. $k$ and $\chi(?)$.
,, $\quad r, l$ Pr. Gmc. tenues.
", $n$ ", "explosives and fricatives.
," $m$ a few single instances.
The lengthening is in all languages denoted by doubling the consonant in writing, so that this phenomenon is sometimes alluded to as the W. Gmc. Doubling or Gemination of Consonants. The lengthening of consonant here is not due to an assimilation in which the consonant owes its length to the absorption of a second consonant, which consequently disappears, as in the Pr. Gmc. cases of lengthening cited above (97), for here the consonant which caused the lengthening is retained. The cause of this lengthening is not yet satisfactorily explained, but the effects of it are clear enough : cf. $P B B$.v. 125 ; vii. 105 ; xvi. 262 ; and xxi. 437.

The date of the W. Gmc. lengthening can be approximately determined by the fact that many Latin loan words are affected by it:-

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Lat. puteus } & \text { OE. pylt } & \text { OHG. puzzi, phuzzi }(z z \leftarrow t t) \text {. } \\
\text { cuprum } & \text { (copor) } & \text { kupfar }(p f \leftarrow p p) .
\end{array}
$$

117 Note.-Kaufmann ( $P B B$. xii. $5^{20}$ ) advanced the theory that this lengthening depended on the Pr. Gmc. division of syllable. According to him, Pr. Gmc. divided such forms as tala, naka, thus: ta-la, $n a-k a$, so that the first syllable was open, but when an $i$ or $u$ occurred in the inflection this $i$ or $u$ became initial in the second syllable, and
the first syllable became closed, thus: lal-ia, nak-ua. There arose thus in the same word an interchange of open and closed syllable $t a-l a$ : tal-ia, which was then levelled out in favour of the closed syllable, so that $l a-l a \rightarrow$ tal-la, na-ka $\rightarrow$ nakka, and the doubled consonant was then further introduced into the other forms, thus tallia and nakkua. Sievers, however, has conclusively shown that the Pr. Gmc. division of syllable was ta-lia, na-kiua, so that Kaufmann's hypothesis falls through : cf. $P B B$. xvi. 262, and $G r_{\cdot}{ }^{2}$ 426. Sievers explains the phenomenon as a spontaneous lengthening of the quantity of the syllable, which found expression in lengthening the consonant.

Lengthening before $i$ :

| Got. | OS. | OE. | OHG. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sibja | sibbia | sib(b) | sippa, sippia |
| hugjan | huggian | hyçan | huggen, hukken |
| bidjan | biddian | biddan | bitten |
| halja | hellia | hell | hella |
| skapjan | sceppian | scieppan | skepfen |

Note.-
a. ON. also has an early lengthening of $g$ and $k$ before $i$ :

Got. lagjan, ON. leggja ; Got. hugjan, ON. hyggja,
parallel to the W. Gmc. lengthening, but it only affects guttural explosives.
b. (1) $r$ resists lengthening: Got. nasjan, OE. nerian, OHG. nerien. 119
(2) Double consonants are reduced after a long syllable or when final:

Got. dōmjan OE. dèman OHG. tuomen.
háusjan hōeran $\begin{aligned} & \text { hōren (only UG. hōrren). }\end{aligned}$
But see also $P B B$. xxi. $43^{8 .}$
That the W. Gmc. lengthening took place after long vowel is proved by UG. forms, hören, \&c., though the other dialects show no signs of it. German has preserved yet another trace of this lengthening after long syllables in such forms as OHG. wulpa, MHG. woilpe (shewolf), MHG. diupe (she-thief) from Pr. Gmc. *wultj-, **iutjj-, in which this OHG. MHG. $p$ can only come from W. Gmc. $b b$, while $t$ would yield OHG. $b$. Cf. Streitberg, U. G., § 13 I, and Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, § 807 .

The lengthening before $-i$ is the only one which is regularly and $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ uniformly carried out in all dialects. Nuch more restricted in their working are the following :-
(a) Before $-u$. This only affects originally labialized velars, and then not always.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Got. aqizi } & \text { (OS. acus) } & \text { OHG. ackus } \\
\text { naqaps } & \text { (OE. nacod) } & \text { nackot (nahhut). }
\end{array}
$$

[Lengthening of $k$ before $-u$ is also found in ON. Thus: O.N. $r<k(k) r$, Got. riqis. Cf. A. I. Gri., § 220.]
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（b）Before $r$ and $l$ ．This only affects Germanic tenues：－

| Got． | ON． | OE． | OHG． |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| snutrs | snotr | snottor | snoltar |
| baitrs | bitr | bittor | bittar |
| hlūtrs |  | hlüttor | hlūtlar |

Gmc．＊kupr－，OHG．kupfar（but OE．copor without gemination）．
Gmc．＊apl－，OHG．apful，OE．appel（beside OHG．affol－tra， OE．apul（dre））．
121 （c）Before $n$ ．The lengthening of consonant before $n$ is especially important in $n$ stems．As in all these cases lengthening only takes place when the one consonant follows hard on the other，double forms may occur in a paradigm，in which forms with single consonant alternated with lengthened forms．The declension of＊knab（en），for example，would be ：－

| Nom．Sg． | Pr．Gmc． *knab̄ō | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W. Gmc. } \\ & \text { *knā̄̄ } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen．Pl． | ＊knatnōm | ＊knabbnōn |
| Dat．Pl． | ＊knatnumiz | ＊inabbnum |

In the subsequent levelling，the forms with a single consonant are most often carried through，but doublets sometimes arise．

| OHG． | OE． | O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| knappo | beside cnafa | and kna |
| rappo | hrefn | rabo |
| roggo（rokko） | ryse | ON．rugr |
| trakko | draca | trahho |

But cf．Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$ ，i，§§ I 36 and 142 ．
Note．－Pr．Gmc．$\hbar, \delta, g$ ，when lengthened in W．Gmc．，yield always lengthened explosive $b b, d d, g g$ ．

122 B．Pr．Gmc．$\delta($ where retained）$\rightarrow$ W．Gmc．d（OHG．$t$ ）．

| Pr．Gmc． | Got． | OS． | OE． | OHG． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＊göd－ | （göps）gödis | gōd | $3 \bar{d}$ | guot |
| ＊ がすan－ | beidan | bidan | bidan | bitan |
| ＊$n a z i \not \subset-$ | （nasjan）nasida | nerida | nerede | nerita |
| ＊Xautig－ | （háubib）háubidis | höbid | heafod | houbit |
| ＊sidu－ | sidus | sidu | sidu | sith |

Whereas in W．Gmc．every $y \rightarrow d, \delta$ and $g$ ，where still remaining， go through the different developments in the different dialects，which for convenience may be here summed up．
123 Pr．Gme．万．The Low German dialects and Mid．Franc．retained he spirant $\delta$ after vowels and liquids，unvoicing it to $f$ when final．
OS．writes it as（occasionally as $u$ ，or even $f$ ）；OE．writes it
as $f$ (as $b$ only in the earliest MSS.). In the HG. dialects, on the other hand, $t$ seems to have become a voiced explosive $b$.

OS. gitian, gaf; OE. jicfan, gaf; Mid-Franc. gevan, gaf; OHG. geban, gap.

Pr. Gme. g. The Low German dialects and Mid. Franc. retain the spirant sound of $g$, both initially and medially. OE. denotes by the sign 3. OS. sometimes by $i, e, g i$, or by $g$, but the spirant value of the sign $g$ in OS. is proved by the fact that $g$ alternates with $i$ and is used interchangeably with it.

In the UG. dialects $g$ becomes the voiced explosive $g$, but see also Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, § 806, and Br. Ahd. Gr. § 88.
(For the further development in OHG. of $g$ see 183 and 219.)
W. Gmc. $b \rightarrow$ OHG. $b$.

| Pr. Gmc. | Got. | OS. | OE. | OHG. | 124 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * xautid- | háubio | hōtid | heafod | houbit |  |
| *arbi- | arbi | erti | ierfe | erbi |  |
| *geto- | giba | geta | 3iefu | geba |  |
| *artaid | arbáibs | arted | earfod | arabeit |  |

Pr. Gmc. $g \longrightarrow$ OHG. $g$.

| *gard- | gards | gard | 3eard | gart |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *geban- | giban | geban | 3iefan | geban |
| *agis | agis | egiso | ezesa | egiso |
| *morgn- | maúrgins | morgan | morzen | morgen |
| *stigan- | steigan | stigan | stizan | stigan |

C. In W. Gmc. every non-final Gmc. z (arising from IG. s 125 by Verner's Law) $\rightarrow$ r.
[Gothic sometimes has $s$ corresponding to Pr. Gmc. $z$, either due to variation of accent, cf. Got. áusō beside OE. ēare, OHG. ōra, or to analogy: cf. p.p. kusans (OE. zecoren, OHG. gikoran) to Inf. kiusan, láisjan (OE. L̄̄rran, OHG. lēren) to perf. pres. láís.]

| Pr. Gmc. | Got. | OS. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *auzō | (áusṑ) | òra | eare | òra |
| * $\chi^{\text {uz才 }}$ | huzd | hord | hord | hort |
| * raz才- | razda |  | reord | rarta |
| *láizjan | (láisjan) | lêrian | lexran | léran |
| *hazjan | hazjan | herian | herian | herien |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { *kuzenaz } \\ \text { anaz } \end{array}$ | kusans | gi-koran | 3e-coren | gi-koran |
| *luzenaz anaz | lusans | far-loran | forloren | fer-loran |
| máiz- | máiza | mèro | māra | mèro |

Note.-Pr. Gmc. $z$ also became $r$ in N. Gmc., but, whereas the W. Gmc. $r(\leftarrow z)$ does not appear to have been distinguished in value from W. Gmc. $r(\leftarrow r)$, the ON. $r(\leftarrow z)$ must have had a much more palatal quality than original $r$, since it is able to work palatal mutation, as original $r$ does not. Cf. Got. áuso, ON. eyra, OHG. gifroran, ON. frarinn, Got. dius, ON. dýr, \&c. Moreover, in the Runic inscriptions it is distinguished by a separate $\operatorname{sign} r(\leftarrow r)=R ; r(\leftarrow z)$ $=\psi$ or $\boldsymbol{*}$, see $G r .{ }^{2}$ i, p. ${ }^{260}$.
W. Gmc. $r(\leftarrow$ Gmc. z) occurs frequently in grammatic change with $s$ (see 86).

## 127 D. Loss of $\mathbf{j}$ and $w(\underset{\sim}{i}$ and $\underset{\sim}{u})$ in W. Gmc. :

(I) J ( $\underset{n}{i}$ ). Already in Pr. Gmc. $i$ showed a tendency to fall before a following $i$, but in many cases it is not possible to tell whether the loss of $i$ took place in the Pr. Gmc. period or during W. Gmc. times. This loss of $i$ is for W. Gmc. very important, since words whose root contained $i$ would necessarily show lengthening of consonant where $\underset{i}{i}$ was retained, and would lack it where $i$ was lost. Thus the present of the verb *ligjan would run:-

| Pr. Gmc. | W. Gmc. | OE. | OHG. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *ligjo | *liggju | licze | liggu |
| ligisi | ligisi | lizest | ligis |
| ligip( $)$ | ligipi | lizep | ligit |

So in all -jan verbs, whether belonging to the first class of non-graded verbs or to one of the graded series, the W. Gmc. languages have and and $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. sg. of the Present Tense without gemination (see 356).
128 Mahlow's reason for assigning all these losses of $i$ before $i$ to Pr. Gmc. is that, if the law operated early, the Got. infinitives sitan, ligan, swaran (without $j$ ) could be explained, beside W. Gmc. forms which prove the original presence of an $\underset{\sim}{i}$,

| OS. | OE. | OHG. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sittean | sitlan | sizzen (not sizzan) |
| liggean | liczan | liggen |
| swerian | swerian | swerien |

as an early Gothic levelling in favour of forms without $i$ by analogy with the 2 nd and $3^{\text {rd }}$ person sing. (for similar levelling in late OHG. see 356). The data as yet are insufficient to decide the point. Cf. Mahlow, A.E.O., p. 43 ; Osthoff, M. U.iv. 27 ; PBB. xii. 59, xiv. 165 , xv. 287 and 489 , xvi. 272 and 318 ; and Kluge, Nom. St., § 14. Conversely, if this theory be true, in the Gothic forms nasjis, nasjib, \&c., the $j$ must have been reintroduced by analogy. Brugmann ${ }^{3}$ § $3^{12}$.

Some similar interchange must have taken place also in $j$ stems of nouns and adjectives, between those cases whose inflection began with
$-i$ and those in which it did not, though it is not easy to trace. It would account, however, for many so-called exceptions to the W. Gmc. lengthening and for double forms :-
as OHG. beti beside MHG. betti
$\underset{\substack{\text { rippi } \\ \text { minni }}}{ } \quad$ ", $\quad$ ribe $\quad$ mene (ornament)
tilli " dile. See Gr. ${ }^{2}$ p. 427.
(2) $\mathbf{w}$ (u). (a) A loss of $u$ before $u$ or o appears to have 129 occurred already in Pr. Gmc., but how widely it acted is by no means clear, and its importance is greater in W. Gmc., so that, like the similar loss of $i$, it has been reserved for this chapter. Like the loss of $i$, it must sometimes have taken place before the W. Gmc. consonant lengthening.

Pr. Gmc. *nakuod- OE. nacod OHG. nahhut, while *nakuid- nackut ON. nokkwedr.
Here, again, many doublets may arise :-

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { OHG. } & \text { ON. } & \\
\text { nahho }(\leftarrow k) & \text { nokkzi } & \\
\text { ziado } & \text { nopvii } & \\
\text { swala } & \text { swalwa. } & \text { Cf. } G r .{ }^{2} \text { p. } 428 .
\end{array}
$$

(b) $w$ seems frequently to have been lost in W. Gmc. after a long $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ syllable, but it is highly probable that in such cases first it fell only before $u$ (according to (a)) and was then generalized :---

| Got. | OF. |  | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gatwō | ùhta |  | яазза |
| ūhtwō |  |  | ühta |
| Got. | ON. | OE. | OHG. |
| sigqan | sakkia | sincan | sinkan |
| stigqan | stakkza | stincan | stinkan |
| siggzan | syngza | sinzan | singun |
| salijuea |  | seld | selida |
|  | pryngva | prinzan | dringa |

Parallel to this is the later loss in OHG. of $w$ in the second part of compounds: wurzala $=$ OE. zeyrt-walu, burgara $\leftarrow *$ burg-wara, èrahhar $\leftarrow$ èrwachar, Otahhar $\leftarrow$ Otawahhar $=$ OE. Eadweecer, \&c. Also Proper names in -olf ( $\leftarrow$ wolf). See Gr. ${ }^{2}$ p. 428.

## E. Loss of final $\mathbf{z}$ in W. Gme.

Pr. Gmc. final z, corresponding according to Verner's Law (88) 131 to IG. $s$, is early lost in W. Gmc., so that :-

| Pr. Gmc. | Got | ON | W. Gmc. | O | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Jagaz | dags | dagr | daga | de 3 | $t a g$ |
| *gastiz | gasts. | gestr | gasti | 3iest | gast |
| * uiliz | wileis | zill | wili |  | woili |

It is not possible to ascertain the exact date of this apocope, but the Malberg Glosses and the Lex Salica, though they show their antiquity by retaining the stem vowel, have already lost the final $z$ : focla $={ }^{*}$ fogla $(z), \mathrm{OHG}$. fogal ; lammi $={ }^{* l a m b i, ~ O H G . ~ l a m b, ~ \& c . ~}$ In contrast to the ON. Runic inscriptions the German ones already show a nominative case with no ending, thus: Wodan $\leftarrow$ *Wodanaz, \&c., $G r .{ }^{2}$ p. 423.
132 Final $z$ of Pr. Gmc. is only preserved in W. Gmc. in the pronominal forms: OHG. mir, wir, dir, ir, er, der ; Got. mis, weis, jus, pus, $i s$, and Nom. Masc. adj. (blint)ēr, OHG. hwer, Got. has: in Got. máis, OHG. mèr, and the comp. adv. ending Got. (sniumund)ōs, OHG. -ōr, also perhaps in OHG. zwirōr (beside zwirō), from Pr. Gmc. *twizwöz, ON. tvysva. See PBB. vi. 547 and Zs.fdA. xx. 397.

At the end of the W. Gmc. period Pr. Gmc. $\delta$ and $z$ have given way to $d$ and $r$, and a number of long consonants have appeared, but otherwise the consonant system is substantially the same as at the end of the Pr. Gmc. period ( $\mathrm{II}_{5}$ ).

## CHAPTER III

## OHG. CONSONANT SYSTEM

OHG. inherited from W. Gmc. the following system :-
Labials. Dentals. Gutturals.

Explosives.

| Tenues | $p$ | $t$ | $k$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mediae | $b$ | $d$ | $g$ |
| voiced | $b$ |  | $g$ |
| voiceless | $f$ | $b$ | $\chi$ |
|  | $m$ | $l, r$ | $\chi$ |
|  | $m$ | $n$ | $n$ | Semivowels $\underset{\sim}{u, i}$.

Probably in the very earliest times W. Gmc. $\hbar$ and $g$ became mediae $b$ and $g$ in OHG. (see, however, $\mathbf{1} 60$ ), so that they may here be practically disregarded.

OHG. is distinguished from all other W. Gmc. languages by a series of Sound-changes affecting its consonant system, which are usually grouped together under the name of the Second or HG. Sound-Shift.

This HG. Sound-Shift took place in historic times, probably 134 between the fifth and seventh century, but in all essentials is complete before there are any literary monuments in OHG., i. e. before the end of the seventh century.

The HG. Sound-Shift began in the south and spread northwards, affecting first the Upper German dialects, then Franconian, and leaving the Low German dialects untouched. It was consequently most strongly felt in Upper Germany, and indeed one of the chief criteria for the dialects of OHG. is their attitude towards the various processes of the Second Sound-Shift.

The only consonants affected by the HG. Sound-Shift were the $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ explosives :-
I. $p, t, k$.
II. $b, d, g$ (Pr. Gmc. $\left.t_{b}, d_{,}, g\right)$.

The HG. Sound-Shift affects these more completely when medial or final after vowels, and less completely when post-consonantal, doubled, or initial.

138 In the changes of the HG. Sound-Shift two groups must therefore be distinguished according as the consonant in question occurs:-
(a) Medially (or finally) after a vowel.
(b) Initially, post-consonantal, or doubled.
I. W. Gmc. $p, t, k$ appear to have become first aspirated, $=p+h$, $t+h, k+h$, and then to have developed a tendency to pass over into fricatives, i. e. $p f, t s, k \chi$, as which they remain when initial, postconsonantal, or doubled; but when medial or final after vowels the spirant element of the fricative would seem to have assimilated to it the explosive and they pass further into lengthened spirants: $f f, z \%$, $\chi x$. Cf. Braune, and $G r$. § 87 ; Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$, i. § $40-3$.

Thus W. Gmc. $p, t, k \rightarrow(a) f f, z z, \chi \chi$;
(b) $p f, t s, k \chi$.

137 (a) W. Gmc. $p, t, k$ medial or final after a vowel $\rightarrow$ long voiceless spirants $f f, z \xi, \chi x$ (frequently shortened in writing when final or after a long vowel).

138 (a)
W.Gme. $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{OHG}$. $\mathrm{ff}(\mathrm{f})$.

| Got. | OS. | OE. | OHG. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| skip | skip | scip | skif |
| greipan | grīpan | 3rīpan | grīffan $(f)$ |
| sliupan | (slōpian) | slüpan | slioffan $(f)$ |
| slëpan | släpan | slæ̈pan | släffan $(f)$ |
|  | opan | open | offan |
| wēpna $(\mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{N})$. | wäpan | zeæ̈pen | wäffan $(f)$ |

$139(\beta)$
W. Gmc. $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow$ OHG. 33 (3).

| itan | etan | etan | ezzan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lètan | lātan | læetan | lāzzan (z) |
| háitan | hētan | hātan | heizzan (z) |
| giutan | giotan | зeotan | giozzan (3) |
| niutan | niotan | neotan | miozzan (z) |
| fötus | fōt | fōt | fuoz |
| wáit | zeèt | wāt | weiz |
| bōta | bōta | bōt | buоz丂a (з) |
| milan | metan | metan | mezzan |
| batiza | betaro | betera | bezziro |

Note.-The OHG. $z z$ is written in MSS. $z z$, but in order to distinguish this $z z$ from the strong fricative $t s$, which is also written $z z$, it is usual to adopt the writing $3_{3}$ for the former. The writing $h h, c h$, or $h$ is usual for $\chi x$. [For the writings found in the OHG. MSS. see below, 20I.]
( $\gamma$ ) W. Gmc. $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow$ OHG. hh (h).

| Got. | OS. | OE. | OHG. | 140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reiki | riki | rice | rihhi |  |
| $j u k$ |  | 3 coc | joh |  |
| miluks |  | meoli | miluh |  |
| gu-lukian | ant-īkkan | lücan | ant-lühhan |  |
| siuk's | siok | seoc | sioh |  |
| áukan | okiun | eacan | outhoun |  |
| sokjan | sōkian | secan | suohhen |  |
| ga-liciks | gritik | 3clic | gitih |  |
| táikns | tėkan | ticen | zeihhan |  |

This first act of the HG. Sound-Shift ( $p, t, k$ after vowel) is the 141 most strongly marked and the most complete. $t$ is the earliest to shift, then $p$, then $k$, but all three are carried out in all HG. dialects. A curious exception is found in Mid. Franconian, where in the pronominal forms $i t$, dat, dit, wat, allet, $t$ remains unshifted. If, however, intensity of stress favours the original development of $t$ to $z z_{3}$, it is possible, since all these words are proclitic and in their nature unstressed, that in Mid. Franconian (the most northern of all the HG. dialects) they were able to resist the shifting entirely. Paul goes even further, and claims that in Mid. Franconian every final $t$ evaded the Sound-shift, but whereas in verbal and nominal forms the $z_{弓}$ crept in by analogy with the forms in which $t$ was not final, these five words, not being subject to influence from cognate forms, escaped. (Cf. $P B B$. vi. 554, and Wrede, $Z$ s.fdA. xxxvii. 291 ; also Wilmanns, i. § 50 , note.)
(b) W. Gmc. $p, t, k$ when initial or post-consonantal and when 142 double become OHG. fricatives $p f, t s, k \chi$. W. Gme. p (or pp) $\rightarrow$ OHG. pf.

Got. páida skapjan Lat. pondus puleus pavo

OS.
pēda
scapian
OE.
päd
scieppan
pund
pylt

Mid. and Rh. E. Fr. and Fr. peit sceppen punt риzza UG. pfeit skepfen
pfunt pfuzza pfäzo

The shift of $p$ to $p f$ is only carried through in E. Franconian and in 143 Upper German. In Mid. Franconian $p$ is unshifted; in Rhine Franconian $p$ is only shifted after $l$ and $r$, but, as seen above, not when initial nor in gemination.

| Got. | OS. | OE. | Mid. Fr. | R. Fr. | E. Fr. and |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hilpan | helpan | helpan | helpan | helpfan | helpfan |
| wairpan | werpan | weorpan | werpan | werpfan | werpfan |
|  |  |  |  | thorp | thorph | thorpf

Note.-After $l, r$ the spirant $f$ soon replaces the fricative $p f$ : already in the ninth century $f$ appears in these words: dorf, helfan.

| Got. | OS. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tiuhan | tiohan | teon | ziohan |
| tagrs |  | tēar | zahar |
| láikns | tēkan | tācen | zeihhan |
| tunpus | tand | tō) | zand |
| tuggō | tunga | tunge | zunga |
| hair $\mathrm{to}^{\text {a }}$ | herta | heorte | herza |
| nati | netti | net | nezzi |
| leitils | luttil | lytel | luzzil |
| satjan | settian | settan | sezzen |
| skalts | skat | scealt | scaz |
| (sitan) | sittian | sittan | sizzen |

145 This shift of $t$ to $t s$, like the medial shift of $p, t, k$, is common to the whole OHG. area, and is a most characteristic mark of High as opposed to Low German.

Note.-The OHG. atto, MHG. atte (beside Got. atta, Lat. atta, $\mathrm{Gk} . \grave{a} \tau \tau \alpha)$ with unshifted $t t$ is remarkable : cf. $P B B$. ix. 160 ; xii. 534.
W. Gmc. $\mathbf{k}$ or $\mathbf{k k}$ (written variously $\mathbf{c k}$ or $\mathbf{c c}$ ) $\rightarrow \mathrm{UG}$. $\mathbf{k}_{\chi}$ (written kh or $\mathbf{c h}$ ), but remains unshifted in Franconian.

| Got. | OS. | OE. | Franc. | UG. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kaürn | korn | corn | korn | khorn |
|  | werk | weorc | werk | werch |
| us-wakjan | weckian | zeeccean | wecken | wecchan |
| uf-rakjan |  |  | recken | recchan |

This shift of $k$ to $k h$ is the most restricted of all, and is confined to UG. dialects. Here one would expect a fricative $k \chi$, parallel to $p f, t s$, such as is found in the modern dialect round St . Gallen, but it is a question how far the $k h(c h)$ of UG. does really represent the fricative, and how far merely an aspirated stop, such as we have presumably in Isidor's ch, which notation he always uses initially and after liquids and nasals. (Hench.)
146 As W. Gmc. $p p, t t$, and $k k$ are due to lengthening before $j$, and as there often occur cognate forms without $j$, an interchange frequently takes place:

OHG. pf: If (IV. Gmc.pp: p)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
z: 55 \\
k k: h h \\
k
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1) & k k: k
\end{array}\right)
$$

OHG. skepfen: skation

```
issin :i今gall
hisza :hels
leszin:lís.lassin
```

OHG. nizzen: naz
sizzin: gisez̧an
dekkin: dah
stckken: stchhan
wrekko: (w)rehhan

Just as in the First Sound-Shift, and perhaps for the same reason, 147 the sounds $p, l, k$, in certain consonant combinations $s p$, $s t, s k$, remain unshifted (97). In OHG. $t$ remains also in $h t, f t$, and $t r$.

Thus OHG. and even NHG. $p$ and $t$ in such cases represent Pr.Gmc. and IG. $p$ and $t$.

|  | Gk. | Lat. | Got. | $\mathrm{OHG} .$ | NHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | птi' | -spuicio | speizall | spehōn | spähen |
|  | бтаíp $\omega$ | sperno |  | spurnan |  |
| t | ä $\sigma \tau \underline{\prime}$ | : | staírno | sterno | Stern |
|  |  | sto | standan | stän | stehen |
|  | $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ í |  | ist | ist | ist |
|  | $\sigma \tau i \zeta \omega$ | instigo | stikan | stehhan | stechen |
| k |  | piscis | fisks | fisc | Fisch |
|  | $\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha$ |  | skeinan | skinan | scheinen |
|  |  | posco |  | forscōn | forschen |

Note.-OHG. tr, except when initial, tends to generate later a 148 secondary vowel (285) ; so beside Got. hlütrs, OHG. hlüttar, Got. wintrus, OHG. wintar, \&c. The unshifted $t$ of OHG. hlittar, wintar, bittar, cittar, \&c., is explained by the fact that $t$ and $r$ at the time of the Second Sound-Shift were still united.

Note.-Pr. Gmc. $t u \rightarrow$ sometimes in Alem. (izv) qu: Got. tweifts $\rightarrow$ Alem. quifalōn; elsewhere $\iota_{\imath}$ became $\approx w:$ tweifts $\rightarrow$ zwifalön.
II. Pr. Gmc. $\hbar(b), d, g^{g}(g)$ having already become OHG. $b, d, g$ in 149 all cases (except Mid. Franc. $t, g, 123$ ), tend to become unvoiced to $t, l, k$ respectively ; but again position and dialect call for different treatment.
(a) OHG. $b, d, y$ medial or final after a vowel tend to become $p, t, k$.
W. Gmc. b (b) : OHG. b (except in Mid. Franc.) $\rightarrow \mathrm{OHG}$. p.

|  |  |  |  | E. Rh. Fr. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Got. | OS. | OF. | Mid. Fr. | Alem. | Bav. |
| ubils | ubul | yfel | uril | ubil | upil |
| giban | getban | jiefan | gevan | geban(keban) | kepan |
| háubip | hobid | heafod | houvit | houbit | houpit |
| sibun | sibun | seofon | sivun | sibun | sipun |

W. Gmc. $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{OHG} . \mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{OHG}$. t .

| Got. | OS. | OE. | Mid. Fr. | E. Fr. | UG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| biudan | biodan | beodan | biodan | biotan | piotan |
| beidan | bidan | bïdan | bīdan | bitan | pītan |
| piuda | thiod | b-od | thioda | diota |  |

OHG. $d$ becomes $t$ in UG. and in E. Franc. Mid. Franc. preserves $d$ when initial and medial, and in Rh. Franc. $d$ varies with $t$. Otfrid in South Rh. Franc. nearly always writes $d$ when initial, but $t$ medial. See Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$, i. §59, 3, and Braune, § $\mathbf{5} 63,2$; Böhme, Zur Kenntnis des Oberfränk.
W. Gmc. $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{OHG} . \mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{OHG} . \mathbf{k}$.

| Got. | OS. | OE. | Fr. | UG. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| steigan | stīgan | stizan | stīgan | stikan |
| áugō | oga | eaze | ouga | ouka |

In all Franconian dialects OHG. $g$ post-vocalic remains unshifted, and even in Upper German the forms with $k$ are rare and soon gave way to those with $g$. In gemination $k k$ is normal in UG.
151 (b) W. Gmc. $\hbar(b), d, g(g)$, initial, post-consonantal, or doubled, tend to become $p, t, k$.

| W. Gmc. b (b) or bb $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | OHG. p. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Got. | OS. | OE. | Franc. | UG. |
| baíran | beran | beran | beran | peran |
| silba | self | self | selb | selp |
| sibja | sibbia | sib $(b)$ | sibbia | sippia |

Both Upper German dialects shift $b$ to $p$; but later UG. tends to replace $p$ by $b$ when medial ; later also even when initial, till at length UG. only retains $p$, in gemination $p p$.
W. Gme. d or $\mathrm{dd} \rightarrow \mathrm{OHG}$. t .
E. Fr. and

| Got. | OS. | OE. | Mid. and Rh. Fr. | UG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| daûhtar | dohter | dohtor | dohtar | tohtar |
| bindan | bindan | bindan | bindan (bintan) | pintan |
| bidjan | biddian | biddan | bidden (bitten) | pitten |
| badi | bed | bed $(d)$ | beddi (betti) | pelti |

Mid. and Rhine Franconian retain the original $d$, except when doubled, in which case all dialects except Mid. Franc. have $\not /$.

| W. Gmc. $\mathbf{g}(\mathrm{g})$ or $\mathbf{g g} \rightarrow \mathrm{OHG}$. $\mathbf{k}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Got. | OS. | OE. | Franc. | UG. |
| gasts | gast | 3iest | gast | kast (gast) |
| giban | getan | 3iefan | geban | keban (geban) |
| siggwan | singan | sinzan | singan | sinkan (singan) |
| Pr. Gmc. *ligjan- | liggian | liczan | liggen | likkan |
| *rugj- |  | rycze | ruggi | rukki |

OHG. or remains in all Franconian dialects, and in UG. is regularly replaced by $k$ only when doubled: initially in UG. $k$ is frequently but not always found, and post-consonantal it is even less frequent. In later UG. $g$ is restored everywhere except in gemination. (See 183.)

Note.-By a curious coincidence the Second Sound-Shift in shifting Gme. $b$, , g, to OHG. $p, t, k$, in some cases restores the original IG. consonilnts :-

| 1. Gk.matrip <br> крatis | OHG. fater |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k. harti |  |
| $p$. | Lat. con, cum |
| septem | UG. ka- |
|  | sep. sipun |

The results of the Second Sound-Shift may be tabulated thus:-
Pr. Gmc. OS. Mid. Fr. Rh. Fr. E. Fr. Alem. Bav.

|  | $p$ | $p$ | $\begin{aligned} & (a) f \\ & (\beta) \quad p \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & f f \\ & p(t f) \end{aligned}$ | of | $f f$ | $\neq f f$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $t$ | $t$ | (a) $33(l)$ <br> ( $\beta$ ) $z$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \underset{z}{25} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $k$ | $k$ | (a) $/ 2 / 2$ <br> ( $\beta$ ) k | $\begin{gathered} h / h \\ k \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} l / h \\ k \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h h \\ & k / h \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h h \\ & k h \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $t\left(i^{\prime}, f\right)$ | (a) $\quad z(f)$ <br> ( $\beta$ ) $b$ | $b$ | $\begin{gathered} b \\ b \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{b} \\ & p \end{aligned}$ | $p$ |
| II. | 8 | $\delta^{\circ}$ | (a) $g$ <br> ( $\beta$ ) ${ }_{8}^{g}$ | $g^{g}$ | $\begin{aligned} & g_{n}^{x} \\ & g^{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & g(k) \\ & k\left(g^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & g(k) \\ & k(g) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | d | (a) $d$ <br> ( $\beta$ ) $d$ | $\begin{aligned} & d \\ & d \end{aligned}$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ |

(a) denoting, as above, post-vocalic position.
$(\beta)$ denoting, as above, initial, post-consonantal, or doubled position.

## Uncertainties of the HG. Sound-Shift.

The introductory chapter touched on the difficulty of ascertaining $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ the phonetic value of the symbols of the Latin alphabet, as used to transcribe OHG., and nowhere is this difficulty so grave as in the phenomena of the HG. or Second Sound-Shift.

Doubts arise especially as regards the shift of Gmc. $t$ and $g$. That in Mid. Franc. medial $l$ had spirant value is evident from the notation $v$, Tr. Cap. selvo, leven, \&c. This $v(f)$ is also found in MG.: Arnst. Marienl. wif, gesriven: Leid. Will. selvo, salvon: and in de Heinr. (probably Mid. Fr.), selvemo, hafoda.

As regards $g$ the evidence is not so clear. Spirant pronunciation seems indicated by the use of $h, c h, g h$ to replace $g$, and also by the
use of $g$ to replace $h, c h$, and $j$. Braune $(\S \mathbf{1} \mathbf{6 4}, \mathbf{I})$ assumes as certain that $g$ was spirant in Mid. Franc. and Northern Rh. Franc. on the evidence of the rhymes Hludwig : ih, Lw. zwig : dich, Arnst. Marienl., and the forms mach (to mugen) : dach; but Jellinek (PBB. xv . 268) points out that in the ninth century there were only two instances of $h$ being used for final $g$ : genathih, Augs. Gebet, and wirdih, Hymns; and that had medial $g$ been a spirant it would naturally, when final, have always been represented by $h$. He suggests that $g$ was not a spirant but a fricative ; and Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$ i. ( $\$ \S 69-70$ ) appears inclined to accept this for $g$ when final, but not when medial. See also $P B B$. ix. 388. Further, it is very doubtful whether the UG. writing of $p$ and $k$ for Franc. $b$ and $g$ really denotes a change of sound from media to tenuis. In England and Northern Germany the mediae are always voiced and the tenues always unvoiced, but this is not always so in South Germany. There a voiceless media (lenis) takes the place of the ordinary media, and to unaccustomed ears is not easily distinguishable from the tenuis (fortis).

This unvoicing of the mediae in Upper German to lenes, without the extra violence of articulation which would have converted them into tenues, very probably began in OHG. times, and gave rise to hesitation in writing between $p$ and the voiceless $b$ and between $k$ and the voiceless $g$. In Upper German of this time there was no Gmc. $p$ left, all had become $f f$ or $p f$, just as all $k$ 's had become $h h$ or $k h$; so that to all intents the voiceless $b$ or $g$ might, without risk of confusion, be written $b$ or $p, g$ or $k$ indiscriminately. That this lack of discrimination was present in Upper German is proved by the borrowed Latin words in which $b$ and $p, g$ and $k$ are confused.
156 Examples of loan-words in OHG. where $p$ is represented by $b$, which has persisted into Modern German :-

| Lat. pirum | OHG. bira | NHG. Birne |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pumex | bumis | Bims-(stein) |
| Gk. ̇̇пí'ккотоя | biscof | Bischof |
| $\pi v$ gís | buhsa | Büchse |

In other cases NHG. restores the $p:-$

Lat. pix
praedicare
Gk. $\pi a ́ \pi a s$

OHG. beh
bredigōn babes

NHG. Birne
Bims-(stein)
Bischof
Büchse

NHG. Pech
predigen
Pabst

OHG. $g$ for $k$ :
Lat. clocca
OHG. glocka
Cf. Franz, Lat.-Rom. Lehnwörter im Deutschen.

Only the Gmc. tenues, $p, t, k$, and the Gmc. voiced spirants, were 157 concerned in the HG. or Second Sound-Shift. Another consonant change takes place a little later which is distinguished from the changes of the Second Sound-Shift by not being specifically HG. (though also beginning first in UG.). It is already to be found about $75^{\circ}$ in UG., and can be seen at work during the literary period of OHG., so that its gradual progress northwards can be traced, and it forms a valuable criterion for date and dialect (see 180). This is the change of Gmc. $p$ to OHG. $d$.

Germanic $\beta$ was a voiceless spirant, but since the OHG. th (which 158 represents it) is immediately replaced by $d$, a voiced explosive, it seems most probable that both in High and Low German the symbol th has really the intermediate value of $d$. This hypothesis is further confirmed by Isidor's notation $d /$ (see 208) in Rhine Franconian of the eighth century.

The change of th $(=\delta) \rightarrow d$ appears to have come in first after the consonants $l, m, n, r$, then medially in any position, and lastly also initially.

Note.-OHG. $d w \leftarrow$ Pr. Gmc. $p u$ became towards the end of the OHG. period tw: dwingan $\rightarrow$ twingan.

## Verner's Law in OHG.

Now that the chief consonant changes from IG. to OHG. have been 159 traced in broad outline, the effect of Verner's Law in OHG. can be better followed and understood. It must be borne in mind :-
(a) Gothic has levelled out all traces of this law from its strong 160 verbs, except in a few isolated cases which are wholly exceptional.

Pr, Gmc. parf: purt- Got. parf, paúrbum

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { aix : aig- } & \\
\text { filxan: filg- aigum } \\
& \text { filhan, fulgins (originally a past part. } \\
\text { but now adjective). }
\end{array}
$$

Note.-Such cases as (I) báup-budum ( $\beta$ : 才) from biudan, or (2) (wald) ufni-( fráist) ubni ( $f: \delta$ ), are not examples of Verner's Law, but rest on ( r ) the Gothic law of the unvoicing of voiced spirants when final or before voiceless consonant; (2) the Gothic law of dissimilation in secondary syllables, according to which $t$ follows voiceless, but $f$ follows voiced consonant. Cf. Streitberg, Got. Gr. §§ ior, 117, i31.
(b) In W. Gmc, every $d \rightarrow d$.
$t \rightarrow b$, initial, after a nasal, or in gemination.
$z \rightarrow r$.
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(c) In OHG., W. Gmc. $\delta \rightarrow b$ ( I 4 ) or $p$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b \rightarrow d(122) . \\
& d<d \rightarrow d \text { or } t\left(\mathrm{II}_{4}\right) . \\
& g \rightarrow g\left(\mathrm{II}_{5}\right) \text { or } k .
\end{aligned}
$$

OE. $\hbar>v(f) ; g$ remains written 3 .
161 Consequently the original Pr. Gmc. interchange of:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Pr. Gmc. } f, f, x, x^{w}, s: \quad b, \dot{,}, g, g w, z \\
& \text { OE. } f, b, h, h(w), s: b b \text {, or } v(f), d, \xi,(\xi) w, r
\end{aligned}
$$

becomes in OHG. $f, a, h, h w, s: b, t, g, g w, r$.

## I. Grammatic Change in Strong Verbs.

Gmc. f-b.

## OHG.

heffen, huob, huobun, gihaban, (h)zeerfan, hwarf, hwourbun, gihworban

Gmc. p - đ.

> OE.
lījan, lāp, lıdon, liden snīban, snāp, snidon, sniden scrīpan, scrāp, scridon, scriden sēpan, seap, sudon, soden
 weorpan, wearp, wurdon, worden

OHG. lìdan, leid, litun, gilitan snīdan, sneid, snitun, gisnitan mìdan, meid, milun, gimitan siodan, sōd, sutun, gisotan quedan, quad, quātun, giquetan werdan, ward, wuriun, wortan

163 Gmc. X-g.
pēon, pāh, pizon, bizen tēon, tēah, tuzon, tozen flèon, fièah, fluzon, flozen
slēan, (slö̀), slözon, slozen
đwēan, (dwōh), đwözon, đwȩen
dihan, dēh, digun, gidigan ziohan, zoh, zugun, gizogan fiohan, föh, [ fluhun, gifohan] slahan, sluoh, sluogun, gislagan dwahan,(dwuog), drwogun,gidwagan

164 Gmc. xw -g(w) (for loss of $g$ before $w, 109$ ).
lèon, lāh,
sēon, seāh $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { sex̧zon } \\ \text { säwon }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { sezen } \\ \text { sewuen }\end{array}\right.\right.$
165 Gmc. s-z.
cēosan, ceàs, curon, coren freosan, freas, fruron, froren for-leosan, leas, luron, loren wesan, was, zū̄ron
līhan, lēh, liweun, grilizean
sehan, sah, sühum $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { gisezoan } \\ \text { gisehan }\end{array}\right.$

Note-- It will be observed that the consonant of the preterite plural has frequently crept into the preterite singular.

## II．Grammatic Change in Derived Verbs．

IG．had a large number of causative verbs which were formed from 166 others by the derivative suffix－ijo－．Cf．Gk．тр＇́ $\pi \omega-\tau \rho o \pi \epsilon \epsilon \omega, \tau \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu \omega-$ $\tau \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \omega$ ，sтре́申 $\omega$－$\sigma \tau \rho 0 \phi^{\prime} \omega$ ．

These derivatives were always accented on the suffix，so that the consonants of the original and the causative verb are frequently found in a relation of grammatic change to one another in Germanic．

Got．ficaüaírjan，to perish．
（OE．た たJan，to go．
1 OHG ．Tidan，to go．
（OE． $3 e-n e s a n$ ，to recover．
१OHG．gi－nesan，to recover．
Got．láis．I know．
frazeardjan，to destroy． tedan，to lead．
leiten，to lead．
f nerian，to save．
\｛nerien，to save．
1 OE．láran，to teach．
¡OHG．lèren，to teach．

## III．Grammatic Change in Adjectives，Nouns，\＆c．

In the IG．declension of the noun the accent also constantly varied 167 （cf．Gk．$\beta$ ov̂s，$\beta$ oós，$\beta$ óєs），consequently Verner＇s Law should operate between various cases of the same noun in Germanic also．The interchange must，however，have been early levelled out，and traces of it remain only when it has given rise to doublets in the same or cognate dialects．Similarly，all sorts of related words，particles，and inflections may，in the same or cognate languages，show forms now with one of a pair of related consonants，now with another．

A．Gmc． $\mathbf{f}-$ ． ．
OHG．ruofa ：ruoba（number）．
fesa ：ga－bissa（chaff，weed）．
graveo ：gräbo（count）．
hefig ：hebīg（heavy）．
tüfar ：tübar（foolish）．
diufa ：diuba（theft）．
hof ：MHG．hübesch．
Skr．áparas，aparám；Pr．Gmc．af ：at；Got．afar，OS．abaro， OE．eafora；OHG．avar ：OHG．abur．

Skr．úpa，upári；Gk．imó，inध́р；Pr．Gmc．uf ：ut；Got．uf，ufar， OE．ofer，OS．utar ：OHG．oba，ubar．

Skr．ápas，Lat．opus；Pr．Gmc．af ：at，OHG．afatōn ：abali．
Got．aflifnan，twalif，OE．twelf，OHG．zwelif ：Got．bileiban，OS． bilitan，OE．lifan，OHG．bi－līban．

Got．parf，OHG．durfan ：Got．paúrban，ga－parban，OHG．darbēn． OHG．hweerfan：Got．h＇aírban，harbinn，OHG．hweerban．
B. Gmc. p-ס.

Got. magapi : OE. mxzden, OHG. magatin. OE. corpor : OHG. kortar.

Got. dáupus, OE. dēap, OHG. tōd : Got. dáups (dáudis), OE. dēad, OHG. tōt.

Got. frabjan : frödei, gasöpjan : saps (sadis).
Got. náupjan : náudi-(bandi), OE. neād, OHG. nōt.
Got. sinps, OE. sib : sandjan, sendan, OHG. senden.
Got. alpeis : framaldrs, OE. eald, OHG. alt.
C. Gmc. $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{g}$.

Got. swaíhra, OHG. sweehur ( = Skr. śváśura), OE. swēor. OHG. swigar (= Skr. švaśrūs), OE. swezer.
OHG. foraha : Got. faírguni, OE. firzen-.
Got. hiuhma, háuhs, OE. hēah, OHG. hōh: OE. hēā, OHG. houg.
OHG. zahar, OE. tear : Got. tagrs, OE. teazor.
Got. láuh(muni), OHG. lōha : ON. lógi; OE. līē, OHG. loug.
Got. weihan, OHG. wīhan : Got. wigans, OHG. wigant.
Sk. dása, Gk. ס́єка, Got. taíhùn, OHG. zehan : Gk. ঠєка́s, Got. tigus, OHG. zug.

171 D. Gmc. $\chi^{\mathrm{w}}$-gw.
Got. aha : Gmc. auia; OHG. ouzva. Got. saíhan : siuns.
Got. fairhus ; OE. feorh; OE. (mid) fyrwe.
OHG. föh (Lat. paucus) : Got. fawóí (pl.), OE. fēa(w).
E. Gmc. $\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{r})$.

Got. áusō : OE. ēare, OHG. ōra.
Got. asans : OE. earnian, OHG. aran.
Got. ráus : OHG. rōr.
Got. eisarn, OHG. ìsern : OE. īren.
Got. ahs : OHG. ahir : OE. eār.
OHG. haso : OE. hara.
OHG. tusig : OHG. tôr.
The differences of treatment which OHG. consonant sounds underwent at different periods in different dialects, as well as the inadequacy and irregularity of OHG. transcription, make it necessary to add some notes on each of the Pr. Gmc. consonant sounds individually to show its development in OHG. and its notation by various scribes.

## Notker's Law of Initial Consonants.

Notker's orthography is in some points different from the current 172 UG. of his time, and is extremely instructive, for Notker gave more intelligent thought to the notation of the sounds he heard and used than OHG. writers were wont to do.

He carries consistently through his writings a system by which Gmc. $t$, $g, p$, which Franconian represented by $b, g, d$, and UG. by $p, k, t$ respectively, are regularly denoted as in other UG. writings by $p, t, k$, unless immediately following a sonant, i. e. a vowel, liquid, or nasal. In the latter case Notker writes systematically $b, d, g$.

From the fact that $p, t, k$ are used initially in clause or sentence, and after most consonants, we must assume that they represent Notker's normal pronunciation of these consonants, but that in the neighbourhood of sonant sounds their pronunciation was in some way modified by Sentence Sandhi.

It is erroneous, however, to imagine, as some have done, that this $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ interchange can have been one of tenuis and media. It was seen above ( 155 ) that in UG. the mediae had lost their voice and had become merely lenes, that is, tenues pronounced with slightly more gentle articulation, but nevertheless voiceless, and Notker's interchange is clearly nothing more than that of fortis and lenis, in spite of Wilkens, Zum hochalem. Kons. der Ahd. Zcit; cf. PBB. xv. 37, xviii, 302 ; $Z$ s. fdA. xvi. I 39 .

For a discussion of the working of Notker's pronunciation in its relation to the modern dialects cf. Heusler.

Examples of the working of Notker's Law :-
> dáz peginnet óugen; rīchesōn begóndi;
> táz ten chéiser lúsla; únde in dàr.
> ír gewált $\mathbf{k}$ àn; daz wázer umbe $\mathbf{g} a ̄ t$.

It is noteworthy that the interchange of $t$ and $d$ in Notker only affects such UG. $t$ 's as came from Gmc. $j$, while OHG. $t$ from Gmc. $d$ always remains as $t$.
tes tages; ih tuon; unde demo tage ; lu tuost, \&c.
A few isolated cases (such as nehein deil) where this $t$ does appear as $d$ are obviously clerical errors, and occur so seldom that they may be ignored. A similar interchange of $f$ and $v$ (for Gmc. $f$ ) is partly carried through in Notker's writings, but not so consistently as the above.

174 Initial $v$ can only appear after sonants (the few exceptions are unquestionably errors), but the possibility of $f$ is not excluded, thus:

## ih fahe; but tu fahest or vahest.

The law of initial consonants is carried through with the utmost rigour in all the works of Notker which we possess in old MSS. Only the late MSS. of the Psalter neglect or confuse it. The interchange of $f$ and $v$, however, appears most frequently in the treatises on Aristotle, less often in Boethius, and seldom in Mart. Cap., in which only $f$ is regular.

Notker's Law is not anything personal or peculiar to himself. It has plenty of parallels in other languages and in modern dialects, and similar phenomena are observable to any careful ear in the pronunciation of English, though the literary language ignores them in its orthography. Moreover, though Notker is the only person consistently to demonstrate the working of the OHG. Sandhi, there are not infrequently traces of it in other sources. especially in the Glosses. Cf. Zs.fdA. xvi. I 39, and Pietsch, 331.

## THE GERMANIC CONSONANTS IN OHG.

## 175

## I. Explosives and Spirants.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { i. Labials: } & p & t & f . & \\
\text { ii. Dentals: } & t & j & p & s . \\
\text { iii. Gutturals : } k & g & \chi . &
\end{array}
$$

P. Germanic $p$ (IG. $b$ ) is rare initially. After the period of the First Sound-Shift the number of $p$ 's in Germanic is increased by borrowing from Latin and Greek (OS., OE. pund, penning, \&c.).

Gmc. $p$ is frequent medially and finally.
In W. Gmc.pp arises by the W. Gmc. lengthening of consonants(in 1 ).
According to the Second Sound-Shift Gmc. $p$ becomes in OHG. :-
(a) $f f\left(\mathrm{I}_{3} 8\right)$.
(b) $p f\left(\mathrm{I}^{2}\right)$; it remained, however, unshifted, when initial, in Mid. and Rh. Franc.;
(c) remains in a few cases unshifted ( 147 ).
(a) Medial between vowels Gmc. $p \rightarrow f f$ in OHG. This is common to all dialects. This $f f$ is usually simplified to $f$ after a long vowel or when final. The writing $f f$ after long vowel is found, however, in the earliest texts (BR.K.Pa.Gl., \&c.), and sporadically in later ones (Otloh : släffentemo). Occasionally single $f$ occurs also after short vowel.

Isidor is quite consistent in writing. /f afier a short vowel : $f$ after a long vowel and when final. (For the distinction of this $f$ from Gmc. $f$ see 177 .)
(b) Initial in word or post-consonantal or geminated, Gmc. $p \rightarrow$ OHG. of (often written $p h$ ). This only takes place regularly in UG. and E. Franc. In Rh. Franc. only after $r$ and $l$, and never initially. S. Rh. Franc. also after $m$.
(c) Pr. Gmc. $p$ remains throughout unshifted-
( I ) in the combination sp, as springann ( $\mathrm{I}+7$ );
(2) in foreign words imported after the Second Sound-Shift, e. g. pridisön, prlistar, pina, \&cc. In late UG. this often $\longrightarrow b$, as bina, \&c.
B. As was seen (66), IG. bh, and in certain cases (85) IG. p, 176 yielded Gmc. $\delta$, which became $b$ initially, when doubled, and after $m$, but remained $t$ medially between vowels, and was unvoiced to $f$ when final ( 123 ). Such is the state of affairs in Got., OE., OS., but in OHG. Pr. Gmc. $t$ becomes $b$ in all positions; only Nid. Franc. retains medially the same spirant value as OS. ; cf. Tr. Cap. initial $b$, bodum, bat, beside medial $\theta(v)$, selvo, crive, leven, ergeeven, \&c. (155).

This OHG. $b(\leftarrow \mathrm{Pr}$. Gmc. $\delta)$ is preserved in all positions in Franc. Only in gemination does $p p$ sometimes occur beside $b l$, evidently an effort to denote the sharper sound of the doubled consonant. T. Arippea beside sible (Otfrid has even krippha). Occasionally in smaller Franc. texts $b p$ or $p b$; Isid. sipbea; Lorsch. B. unsifberon, \&c. Cf. PBB. vii. 129 .

UG. tends to write $p$ for $b$ : Alemannic retains $l$ medially between vowels, but Bavarian introduces $p$ even there. In late UG. the writing $b$ gains the day, and $p$ is only retained in gemination.
F. Gmc. $f$ (IG. $p$ ) is always retained when initial in OHG. Medially 177 and finally Gmc. $f$ is comparatively rare, since by the workirg of Verner's Law $f$ frequently passed to $t \rightarrow 6$ in OHG. Such Gmc. $f$ 's as remain medially are usually written $u(v)$, which, however, represents a voiceless spirant. The writing $f$ is rare medially, unless in a group of consonants : heffen, but heeris, hevig. ft and $f s$ are never written $u$. Gmc. medial $f$ does not coincide with OHG. ff, arising from postvocalic $p$ ( 75 ), nor with $f$ arising from $p f$. This new $f f(\leftarrow G m c . p$ ) differed from original Gmc. $f$, not only by being long but probably in pronunciation also. It seems likely that, whereas the Gmc. $f$ had adopted in OHG. the labiodental pronunciation likeEnglish $f$ (NHG.v), the OHG. ff was bilabial. That the two $f^{\prime}$ s, (1) $\leftarrow f,(2) \leftarrow p$, were not confused in OHG. is clear from the fact that the symbol $u(v)$, which in the MSS. is in habitual use for the former when initial or medial, is never used to represent the latter.

The Gmc．f＇s are reinforced in OHG．by borrowing from Latin and Romance ：$v$ is taken over into OHG．，to which the sound of $v$ was unknown，as $f$ ，e．g．brief，euangelio，tiufal（ $\leftarrow$ late Latin diavolus，not diabolus），\＆c．，\＆c．See Franz，20，and Gr．${ }^{2}$ i．333－54．
178 T．Gmc．$t(\mathrm{IG} . d)$ is frequent in all positions and in gemina－ tion．

As was stated，Gmc．$t$ is represented in OHG．after the Second Sound－Shift by ：－
（a） $3 z$ ，written $z z(z)$（ 139 ）．
（b）$t s$ ，written $z, z z$（144）．
（c）$t$ unshifted（147）．
OHG．spelling does not distinguish the voiceless spirant sound，which is here denoted by $z 弓$ ，from the fricative $t s$ ，written $z z$ ，or $z$ ，but writes both $z z$ or $z$ ．Isidor alone consistently distinguishes them：he writes the spirant $z \xi$ as $z s s$ ，or when final $z s$ ，but the fricative as $z$ or $t z$ ：wazssar，dhazs，but zwène，setzan．For greater clearness the notations $z 弓$ and $z z$ have here been adopted，though if the etymology of words and the laws of the Second Sound－Shift be borne in mind the distinction is scarcely necessary．
a．Medial between vowels Gmc．$t \rightarrow$ OHG．$\%$ ．
This is common in all dialects of OHG．After a short vowel $弓 弓$ was usually retained，but after long or when final $z 弓$ was simplified to z．Thus ezzan（：Got．itan），but bizan（：Got．beitan），though the writings are rather inconsequent，and $\%$ or $弓 弓$ are used somewhat indis－ criminately for either．This＇$s s$＇sound（ $5 \%$ ）arising from Gmc．$t$ appears to have been clearly distinguished in OHG．from the $s \leftarrow \mathrm{Gmc}$ ． $\therefore$ It was more purely dental，partaking perhaps of the nature of $p$ （a so－called＇lisped＇s）．Cf．Sievers，Phonetik ${ }^{3}$ ，58， 120.
b．Initial or post－consonantal or geminated，Gmc．$t \rightarrow i s(z z, z)$ ．
The value of this fricalive was probably $t$ plus z（i．e．a very dental $s$ ， almost $=\beta$ ；see above）．In loan－words borrowed before the opera－ tion of the Second Sound－Shift the same shift takes place as in native words，Lat．tegula $\rightarrow$ OHG．ziagal．［In younger borrowings OHG．z represented Latin $c$ before $e$ and $i$ ，which about 700 had become a fricative．Lat．census $\rightarrow$ zins．See Kluge，Wb．s．v．］
c．Gmc．$t$ remains unshifted in OHG．：－
（i）In certain cases of consonant combination ：e．g．st，$t r$ ，$f t, h t$ （147）．
（2）In loan－words taken over into Gmc．after the Second Sound－ Shift，tempal，\＆c．
（3）In Mid．Franc．pronouns：that，thit，\＆c．（141）．

Đ. Pr. Gme. $d[$ IG. $d$ h $(67)$ or $t(73)]$ becomes $d$ universally in 179 W. Gmc. (122). It is frequent in all positions and in gemination.

In OHG. the W. Gmc. $d$ has a tendency to become $t$, but not equally in all dialects. The shift of $d$ to $t$ is only complete in UG. and in E. Franc. (where exceptions are very rare), and in all dialects when geminated. Rh. and Mid. Franc. shifted $d$ to $t$ when final, but tend to retain $d$ when medial and initial. Initial $d$ maintains itself longest of all. Otfrid always has initial $d$, medial $t$. In Rh. Franc., and especially in S. Rh. Franc., $t$ is not infrequently found beside $d$.
E. Franc. tug, tot, trinkan, truhtinn, biotan, thritto.
O. dag, dot, drinkan, druhtin, biotan, thrillo.

Is. dag, dot, drinkan, druhtīn, biodan, dhritto, hant, hendt.
Gmc. $d d \rightarrow t t$ in all dialects. It tends to be simplified after a long vowel, but is frequently retained in UG. Some OHG. $t t$ 's arise merely from the accidental collision of two $t \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}$. in the preterite of certain verbs : skutta, leitta, \&c. : this $t t$ is, however, not infrequently simplified.

For the conditions of the $d$ shifting in the Franc. dialects of. O. Böhme, Zur Kenntnis des Oberfrk.
p. Pr. Gmc. $p$ (IG. $t$ ). The voiceless spirant is represented in the 180 earliest OHG. by the symbol th (or $d h$ ), which, however, was probably already voiced $(=\partial)$. This $\delta$ tends to pass over into the voiced explosive $d$ in all dialects ( $15^{8}$ ). The change shows itself first in Bavarian, in which, in the eighth century, th is already rare. Alemannic completes the change in the latter half of the ninth century, so that from the ninth century onwards $d$ is the usual UG.representative of Pr. Gmc. $\beta$.
T. has th initially, but $d$ medially and in all other positions. During the latter half of the ninth century even initial th becomes $d$. Mid. Franc. and other Middle German dialects follow suit during the tenth and eleventh centuries, finally Saxon and Low Franc. also ( $P B B$. i. 53 ), so that the change eventually is not a specifically OHG. one, though HG. carries it through before LG.

OHG. $d(\leftarrow \beta$ ) is never in OHG. unvoiced to $t$ (see $\beta$ ), so that it is clearly distinguished from the $t \leftarrow d \leftarrow J$, and the Pr. Gmc. grammatic change of $\beta: \delta$ is therefore preserved in OHG. as an interchange between $d: t$.
S. Pr. Gmc. $s$ was inherited without change from IG. During the 181 Pr. Gmc. period, however, the number of Germanic s's was greatly reduced by the operation of Verner's Law, by which, in many cases, $s$ became $z$, and, in W. Gmc., $r$ ( 125 ). The $s$ 's which escaped Verner's Law are still preserved in OHG. as voiceless spirants, and
are distinguished in pronunciation from the new $\quad 弓 \%(\longleftarrow G \mathrm{Gmc} . t)$ ．See ェ65，工66，І 7 I ．
$S$ geminated．
（I）OHG．ss is usually derived from Gmc．ss（often arising from dental plus $t$ ．
（2）OHG．ss sometimes，though rarely，is derived from W．Gmc． ss $\leftarrow$ Gmc．$s$ plus $j$ ．Musp．wīssan（＊wīsjan），lōssan（＊lösjan）．OHG． knussen $\leftarrow$＊knusjan．
（3）OHG．ss is occasionally due to recent assimilation：wassen beside wahsan．
（4）OHG．ss arises from composition in desses（see 469 ）．
In consequence of Gmc．ss so often arising from $t t$（or other dental plus $t$ ）OHG．ss often interchanges in cognate words with $\%(\longleftarrow t)$ or $z z(\longleftarrow t t)$ ，e．g．wessa，gewisser：vizzan；muossa，muosa ：muoz；Got． mats ：OHG．muos，maz．

OHG．mezzi－sahs（so in R ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Gl．i． 542 ），by a curious instance of dissimilation，develops into mezzirahs（later mezzarehs，messires，NHG． Messer），unless this is to be treated as an instance of Verner＇s Law． Cf．Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$ ，i，§ 24，and Brugmann ${ }^{3}$ ，§ 903.
182 K．Gmc．$k$（IG．$g$ ）is frequent in all positions．Geminated $k$ arises often in W．Gmc．（II6）：when $k$ is retained in OHG．it is often written $c$ before consonants and guttural vowels：$k w$ is often written $q u$ ．After the Second Sound－Shift Gmc．$k$ has three repre－ sentatives in OHG．：－
a．Gmc．$k$ becomes $h h$（140）．
b．Gmc．$k$ remains $k$ or $\longrightarrow k h$（I 45）．
c．Gmc．$k$ remains unshifted．
（a）Medially between vowels Gmc．$k \rightarrow \mathrm{OHG}$ ．$h h$ in all dialects， simplified to $h$ after a long vowel or when final．Occasionally $h$ is found for $h h$ and vice versa．
（b）Initial，post－consonantal，or geminated．Gmc．$k \rightarrow k h$ in UG．， but in Franc．remains $k$ ．Gmc．$k k \rightarrow k h$ in UG．and remains $k k$ in Franc．， when $k k$ is usually written $c k$ ．Final or before a consonant $k k$ is frequently reduced to $k$ ．
（c）Gmc．$k$ remains unshifted（ r ）when initial or geminated in Franc． （see b）；（2）in combinations $s k$ ，\＆c．
183 G．Gmc．$g$［IG．$g h(68)$ or $k]$ is common in all positions． When doubled it early lost its spirant value in Gmc．，and $g g \rightarrow g g$ ： similarly，after nasal $g \longrightarrow g$（II5）．How long its spirant value was retained in other cases is a very moot point（155）．Probably before
historical OHG. times every Pr. Gmc. g, in whatever position, had become $g$ over almost all OHG. territory, with the possible exception of Mid. and Rh. Franc. (Cf. Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$, i, § 68. .) This OHG. $g$ remains unaltered in Franc., whether single or geminated, but in UG. it shows a. strong tendency to become unvoiced to $k$. This change always took place when doubled, usually when initial, especially in the earliest texts, when final $k$ is usual, but $g$ is not uncommon : medially $g$ is by far the more usual. This UG. $k(\leftarrow g)$ may of course, like OHG. $k$ in general, be also written $c$, but not before the vowels $e$ and $i$.
X. Pr. Gmc. $\chi$ was a voiceless guttural spirant [IG. k/h or $k 184$ (75)] common in all positions. In all Gmc. languages $\chi$ is written $h$, and in all it early showed a tendency in certain cases to lose its spirant character and to become a mere spiritus asper, though medial!y and finally in Gothic it probably retained the full value of Pr. Gmc. $\chi$ (but see Streitberg, Got. Gr., § 35 , and Jellinek, $P B B$. xv. 277). In OHG. $h$ is always a spiritus asper when initial, probably also between vowels, as hano, herza, sehan, \&c., but remains a voiceless spirant when final or before consonant, sah, maht, \&c. Initial $h$ is only retained before vowels in OHG. The combinations $h l, h n, h w$, which have regularly $h$ in the earliest texts, appear as simple $l, n, r, w$ respectively after the ninth century (LG. dialects retain $h$ longer in these cases). T. and O., and all other later Franc. texts, have no trace of this initial $h$ before consonant, except in proper names: Hludwig (but O. Ludounīg). In UG. texts there is more hesitation (see below). Finally or before consonants OHG, $h$ is frequently denoted by ch, e.g. reht or recht, sah or sach. In sound this OHG. $h(\leftarrow \chi)$ appears to fall together with OHG. $h h \leftarrow \operatorname{Pr}$. Gmc. $k$.

## II. Liquids. 1 and $\mathbf{r}$.

L. Pr. Gmc. $l$ (IG. $l$ ) remains unaltered in OHG. Double $l$ is very 185 common in OHG., arising from :-
(a) IG. or Gmc. assimilation (97).
(b) W. Gmc. lengthening (II8).
(c) More rarely from OHG. assimilation, e. g. guollih $\leftarrow$ guotizh.
R. Pr. Gmc. r(IG. r) remains unchanged in OHG. The number of original Pr. Gmc. $r$ 's is increased in Gmc. by those arising from Pr. Gmc. $z$ ( 125 ).

This W. Gmc. $r \leftarrow z$, in contrast to N. Gmc. $r \leftarrow z$ (cf. Noreen, Al. Gr., §216), does not appear to have been distinguished in sound from the original Gmc. r. Pr. Gmc. $r$ is rarely doubled in W. Gmc. by
$j$ (119): OHG. nerien, \&c. Only Alemannic and occasionally Franc. have forms nerren, \&c., and even $r r$ after a long vowel, e.g. hōrren, \&c.

Nevertheless, $r r$ frequently arises from assimilation or juxta-position:-
(a) from $r n$, e. g. sterro $\leftarrow$ sterno, beside Got. staírnō (perhaps difference of accent), OE. steorra.
(b) from $r z$, e. g. OHG. merren : Got. marzjan; OHG. irri : Got. airzeis.
(c) from $r$ plus $r$, e. g. hêrro $\leftarrow$ hèriro.
III. Nasals. $m$ and $n$.
M. Pr. Gmc. $m$ (IG. $m$ or $n$ ) remains in OHG. : it can only stand before consonants when these are labials (96), though it may come next to dental by loss of intervening vowel, e. g. rūmta $\leftarrow r \bar{u} m i t a$.

OHG. mm arises from :-
(a) Pr. Gmc. mm, as suimman.
(b) W. Gmc. mm, as frummen (in UG. also after a long vowel : sümman (II9)).
(c) Late assimilation, as frammort $\leftarrow$ framzert (242), stimma $\leftarrow$ stimna, \&c. When flectional $m$ comes to stand final in OHG. it passes to $n$ from the ninth century onwards (as IG. final $m$ had done): OHG. habēm, later habēn; tagum, later tagun, \&c. When final $m$ in OHG. is felt to be part of the root, it remains, influenced, no doubt, by inflected forms, where $m$ was medial and was therefore preserved: arm, armes; tuom, tuomes; nim, neman.
187 N. Pr. Gmc. $n$ (IG. $n$ or $m$ ) remains for the most part unchanged in OHG. Before dental consonants $n$ is found : original $n$ cannot stand before labials, but may come into that position by syncope or composition just as $m$ may come to stand before dentals, e. g. inbiz (later $i m b i z$ ).

Before gutturals $n$ has the value of guttural nasal $n$. (Pr. Gmc. $n$ had been lost before $\chi$; see 109.) OHG. $n n$ may arise from:-
(a) Gmc. nn, e. g. rinnan, kunnan, mannes, \&c.
(b) W. Gmc. $n n$, e. g. kunni, \&c., and UG. even after long vowels : Musp. suannan.
(c) Late assimilation, e. g. firstannissi $\leftarrow$ firstantnissi.
IV. Semi-vowels. $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{w}$.

188 Consonantal $i$ is usually denoted in OHG. manuscripts by the symbol $i$, and is therefore not distinguished in writing from the vowel. Sometimes $g$ is found for $j$, so that the consonantal value of $j$ as opposed to vowel $i$ was evidently clearly marked, and in certain cases approached even to the sound $g$.

Notker's system of accentuation marks clearly the difference between the two : iūrr, iüng beside īo, bieten, ímuīr. Initially Pr. Gmc. $j$ remains in OHG., but it is not a common sound. Medially after vowels $j$ is especially frequent in OHG.: it is often inserted as a glide between two vowels. Medially after consonants $j$ is frequent in Gmc. owing to the large number of formative suffixes, both nominal and verbal, which contained $j$. OS. preserves such Gmc. $j$ 's, but OHG. shows from the earliest times a marked tendency to lose them, and by the end of the ninth century they are all gone. After consonants $j$ in OHG. is usually written $i$, but before the vowels $a$ and $o$ it is more often written $e$ : gilaubiu, minnea, sippea, willio or willeo, \&c. $j$ persists longer after $r$ (which resisted the W. Gmc. lengthening ; cf. II9). From the ninth to the eleventh century texts which have no other $j$ 's after consonants retain such forms as nerien (nergen or nerigen), \&c., especially in Bavarian. On the other hand, Alemannic and Franc. tend to double this $r$ and lose the $j$, e. g. nerren, \&c.

This doubling of $r$ before $j$ is quite peculiar to OHG. and has no parallel in any other Gmc. dialect.

Finally. When $j$ comes to stand finally it becomes $i$ and remains to bear witness to original $j$-formations after the corresponding medial $j$ 's have long disappeared : kunn -kunnes, waltanti-waltantèr, mārimäro, \&c.
W. OHG. $w$ is inherited from Pr. Gmc. and IG. consonantal 189 u. OHG. $w$ has the sound of English $w$ (perhaps slightly more bilabial), not of NHG. $w$. In OHG. the sound $w$ was denoted by writing $u$ twice over: $u u$ (or $v u$, since $u$ and $v$ in MSS. are only variations of the same letter and are used without distinction). Not till late OHG. is the ligature $w$ in use. Single $u$ is frequently used instead of $u u$, especially before another $u$ or after consonant.
(a) Pr. Gmc. initial $w$ remains in OHG. before vowels: before consonants $l$ and $r$ it has fallen in pre-literary times.

OHG. rehhan, Got. wrikan, OE. wrecan, OS. zerecan.
OHG. ruogen, Got. wröhjan.
OHG. antluzzi, Got. whits, OS. zvlit.
Pr. Gmc. $w$ is preserved in OHG. after initial consonant in kw (Franc. $q u$, UG. chu) ; hw ( $h$ early lost), $d w, t w, z w, s w$.

Cf. quemen, quedan, hwer, hwiz (later wer, wīs), dwingan, twelan, zwēné, swarz, \&c.
(b) Pr. Gmc. final $w$ is vocalized to $o$, whether final in word or syllable: e. g. knewes-kneo, sēzues-sēo, spizuan-spēo, garwen-garo, \&c.: garazita, or, with syncope, garola, \&c. After the mid-ninth
century, final $O(\leftarrow w)$ falls after a long vowel : sēo-sè, snēo-sné, spēo-spè, grāo-grā.
(c) Pr. Gmc. medial $w$ after a consonant is rare, except after $r$ and l. It is retained in OHG.; e. g. melo-melwe, farwa-swatwa, \&c. Here OHG. tends to generate a secondary vowel: melzes or melawes, \&c., see 242.
(d) Pr. Gmc. medial $w$ after a long vowel is frequent in OHG.: $w$ is constantly generated as a glide between vowels in hiatus. Example, see 243 .
(e) OHG. medial $w$ after a short vowel has a complicated history, since it may rest on (1) Pr. Gmc. single w; (2) on original ww, whether Pr. Gmc. or of later development in W. Gmc.

## MINOR POINTS OF DIALECT AND WRITING ${ }^{1}$

## Explosives and Spirants.

## I. Labiat.s.

190
P. i. Isidor has unshifted $p$ twice: scaap (scāf), ubarhlaupnissi. Cf. Hench, 83 .
ii. Some words which usually have $p J \leftarrow p p$ are also found with $f f(f)$, but such forms are generally traceable to original double forms, in which $p p$ alternated with $p\left(\mathbf{I}^{2} 5\right)$. Such cases are: tropfo-trof; apful-affoltra, OE. apuldre, ON. apaldr.

Writings.
iii. For $f f$ occasionally $p h$ is written, especially in the Mons. Gl. untiuphi (i. $3^{26}$ ), beside tiuffi (i. 385), irruophent (i. 370).

The Bair. B. once has slāphanto, Mainz. B. once scläphun; T. of phano (104. 3) and scäph (I33. п1).

The Leid. Will. regularly has $p h$ for $f f$. This $p h$ is, however, not the fricative in these cases, but merely a graphic variant for $f f$ (i.e. a long voiceless spirant). This value is proved by the fact that the same texts write $p h$ for Gmc. $f$; e. g. thuruphtigön $=$ thurftigōn.
iv. U. Alem. simplifies $p f$ to $f$. BR. fund, farra ( $=p$ farra ).

So also late Alem. N. fád, falenza, flégen, but skepfen, tropfo (see 192).
191 v . There exists in all dialects a general tendency to simplify $m p f$, $u p f, l p f, r p f$ to $m f, \& c$. , especially in certain words such as werfan, dorf, \&c.; e.g.:
O. scepfen, werpfan (and werfan), helpfan (and helfan).
T. scephen, werphan (rarely zecrpfan), helpfan (helfan).

Musp. khenfun, helfan, hilfa. BR. sceffan, helfan.
vi. Earliest Rh. Franc. (Isidor) has unshifted $p$ after $l$ and $r$.

[^1]Is. hilput arawerpanan, Fr. Gebet. hilp, but the Lw. has hilph (23), and even kumf $(50)$.

Beside the usual mph O. occasionally has mp: limpit (ii. 23. 16, iv. 29. 2), griumptih (i. 16. 25), intslupta from intslupfin (v. 10. 20).
vii. Where $p$ appears sporadically for $p f$ in UG. texts, it must be taken to be due simply to imperfect spelling. In the same way the E. Franc. fintinga of the Lex Salica is probably to be explained. The Kicr. Gl. have p for ph, which Kögel interprets as due to Rh. Franc. influence: $p_{\text {lih }}$ (= plough), slapot. Cf, PliB. ix. 312.
viii. The Freis. O. frequently replaces Otfrid's $p$ 's by $p h$, but more often allows $p$ to stand. M. also leaves the $p$ of the Franc. original in three words: pendinc, ardempant, ardampta.
ix. Though U. Alem. tends to reduce the $p f$ to $f f$ or $f, p f$ is not 192 quite supplanted, and frequently remains, especially in gemination. Voc. pfarra, tropfo. N. pf always in gemination, otherwise ff. $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ pf, while $\mathrm{K}^{n}$ has ff (see Kögel).

It is noteworthy that the Alem. scribe $(\gamma)$ of Isidor has introduced forms like flanzön, scefien.
$x$. Though in U. Alem. the Gmc. $p$ when initial apparently falls together with $f$ (flegan beside faran $)$ there must have been some difference between them, for Gmc. $f$ is often written as $u(v)$, but Gmc. $\not p$ never. See Kögel, $P B B$. ix. 3 г 7 .
xi. Inasmuch as modern Alem. dialects all carefully preserve the fricative pf and not the simplified $f$, the theory is that the old Alem. writing of $f$ betokens merely inadequate spelling ; cf. $G r .{ }^{2}$ i. 730, but see also Kauffmann.
xii. $p h$ for $\not f f$ is especially frequent in E. Franc. and UG. texts: T. phlanzōn, phunt beside pfenning, \&c. ; R. phīnōn beside pfad.
$p p h(\leftarrow p p)$ and $p p f$ are sometimes found for $p f:$ O. gilepphēs; Wk. scepphion.
$f p h, p f f, f p f, b h h$ are sporadically found for $p f(\leftarrow p p)$, and even for
$p f(\leftarrow p)$.
xiii. In the case of the loan-word opferon and its derivatives, 193 beside the offerunc of Isidor, the double forms are explained by the fact that they rest on two different Latin words: operāi and offerre.
xiv. The foreign words psalmo and psitich ( $\leftarrow$ psalmum, psittacus) usually lose the initial $p$.
xv. sp is occasionally found as sb. Often in Will. sbrehhan \&c. beside sfrung. Elsewhere only sporadically in the Glosses.
xvi. The unshifted $p$ of foreign words in late UG. is often replaced by $b$. In Franc. this $b$ is rare (T. biminza) ; only the words beh and bredigōn ( $\leftarrow$ pix (picis) and praedicare) are consistently spelt with $b$ by O., who otherwise never has $b$ for $p$.

## B. Franconian.

i. Instead of $b$ occasionally $p$ is found in Franc. when final, especially 194 after consonant. T. giscrip, tumpnissi; O. bilcip, dumpmuate, irstarp; Is. selp, chilaupmissa, \&c.
ii. This $p$ for $b$ is sometimes found written $p h$ : Is. screiph, bileiph. This $p h$ is, however, probably merely an inexact writing for $p$ : con-
ceivably it denotes some shade of aspiration (cf. Hench, 83). M. liph, lauph.
iii. $p$ for $b$ is occasionally found before $t$ in O.: gilouptun, gikrumpti, kleipta.
iv. Except in the above cases $p$ for $b$ is only sporadic in Franc.: T. intprennent $(25.2)$, and frequently in the sections written by Alem. scribe $(\gamma)$ : prah, pittent, perahtnissi; cf. Sievers. Lex Sal. haupit. (For details from minor texts see Pietsch, Oberfr. Lautstand.)

Bavarian.
195 v. Medial intervocalic $p$ for $b$ is characteristic of older Bavarian, but $b$ is also found. Cf. Steinmeyer, Z. f. d. Ph. iv. 88 ; Schatz, § 69 .
$p$ is practically universal in Exh. and Cassel Gl., Wess., Freis. Pn., Musp., Ps. I38.

After the eleventh century medial $p$ 's began to disappear ; in Merigarto are still found : perge, prunno, pī (beside $b \bar{i}$ ), \&c., but only uber, lib, ebene, \&c.

In Otlö $b$ is everywhere except pist, tumpheit. So in all late Bav. texts $p$ or $b$ initially, but always $b$ medially.
vi. Bavarian copies of Rh. Franc. originals.
M. and Freis. O. have now and then introduced Bavarian $p$ medially, but more often retain the original $b$.

Alemannic.
196 vii. In BR. $p$ is found initially (only eight $b$ 's), $b$ medially (only seven $p$ 's), $b$ finally (only five $p$ 's). Soon initial $b$ becomes more frequent ; cf. Al. Ps., Sam., \&c. ; but initial $p$ remains beside $b$ until MHG. times. Cf. $P B B$. i. 418.
viii. Notker alternates $p$ and $b$ according to his law of Initial Consonants.
ix. Only the oldest Alemannic texts have medial $p$ with any frequency. Pn. ubile, kilaubu, but also picrapan. In $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$ the medial $b$ 's are in a minority. Thus the very oldest Alem. is not distinct in this particular from the oldest Bavarian.

## Gemination.

xi. In UG. geminated $b b$ always appears as $p p$ even in texts which otherwise favour $b$. The form cota-uubbbes $\mathrm{R}^{d}$ is quite isolated. Occasionally geminated $b$ is retained in UG. even after a long vowel. BR. erlauppe, İ̈ppanti, and (once) lībbe. Exh. galauppenne, R b biwäppo.

Writings.
xii. For UG. $p p$ sometimes $b p$ or $p b$ is found: ubper, ubpigi.
F. Initially, tion of tf to pass into the fricative $力 f(\not 力 h)$, especially in later texts, OHG. intfähan, infāhan occurs, as early as T., as: intphāhan or inphāhan. Later this becomes more frequent: it is often written infähan, but this $f$ is evidently still felt as $p f$, for it is never written $u$.
ii. The assimilation of $n f$ to $m f$, which may be assumed to have taken place (94), is rarely represented in writing. Such forms as imphīhet, N. 45.8, are but seldom met with. (Graff, iii. 399.)
iii. The writing $u$ (printed $z^{\prime}$ ) for Gmc. $f$ is rare, even in the oldest OHG. manuscripts. Pa., K., and Isidor have scarcely a trace of it.

In Franc, sources O. almost always writes $f$ (Kelle, 479) ; T. more often $u$ (especially $\gamma$, Sievers, § i 4 ): worit, wior, wolla, and as prefix uor for for.

In UG. sources $R^{b}$ prefers $f$, but Musp. 2 I $u: 18 f$.
After the ninth century $u$ becomes more frequent: in the Lw. it is common, in Merseb. Charms general. During the tenth and eleventh centuries $f$ and $u$ are interchangeable, though $f$ still preponderates.
N. usually has $f$ (though often $v$ after sonants), but the $f$ which he writes for $f f \leftarrow G \mathrm{Gmc}$. $p$; he never writes $u$ (the rare examples uligigin $=\not$ fluggin Boet. $27^{b}$ are obviously clerical errors). Will. has universally $u$; $f$ only before $u, l, r$, which is a frequent usage in MHG. ; cf. Wüllner.

## Medially.

iv. W. Gmc. ff in the verb heffen (Got. hafjan) is frequently reduced 198 to $f$ by analogy with the and and $3^{\text {rd }}$ person, in which one $f$ is regular: hevis, heitit. Some texts write pf for this ff in heffen: Is. hepfu, ubarhepfendi (Graff, 4. 817), urhepphantiu (822). Braune, § $139 \cdot 4$, takes this $p f$ to be merely an inexact writing, but it is quite possible that the forms with $p f$ are due to analogy with the verb skepfen (Got. skapjan), a strong verb of the same class (see Hench, 85) with the same $j$-suffix.
v. OHG. $f(u)$ stands in a relation of grammatic change with OHG. $b(\leftarrow t)$, see 168 .

In levelling, double forms of the same word are often obtained, varying with dialect and period. T. and O. werban: hwerfan; O. hebig : hevig; T. abur: avar.

Also in UG. $b$ is found instead of $f(u)$. Pa., K. hweerban, hweerfan: hrverpan ; R ${ }^{1}$, BR. ruaba: ruova (Musp.) ; but not until Notker's time do the forms aber, werben oust the older auar, werfan.
vi. OHG. forms with $f(u)$ frequently stand in a relation of Verner's Law to Gmc. forms with $b$ (r68): Got paurban; OHG. durfan, \&c.

## Writings.

vii. The writing $f$ between vowels is frequent in the oldest texts; 199 BR. zwifal, diufa; T. hefit, diufale; O. afur. Later v(u) prevails.

Even after consonant $u\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ begins to preponderate : uuervan is found for hweerfan, \&c. Only darf, durfun are never found with $u$ for $f$; possibly here $f$ stands for $\mathrm{lG} . p p \leftarrow p n$. Cf. Kluge, $G r .{ }^{2}$ i. 240, and Wilmanns, iii. 93 .
viii. $u u$ (for $u$ ) as a writing for $f$ is rare. It is found especially in M. aunar =auar, hrewue to href (Hench, II6). It occurs once in H. autuar (43).
ix. In $f t$ and $f s$ the sound of $f$ evidently remained owing to the voiceless $t$ and s. Late Bavarian texts even write pf for it: Mons. Gl. aphler (Gl. i. 361), unsemphti (404). Also in Franc. texts :

Mainz. B. thuruphtigon ; Leid. Will. gescriphte. The writing pt in hapt, heptidun, haptbandun of the Merseb. may probably be taken as an imperfect writing for $p h t=f t$.
x. Mid. Franc. has occasionally the LG. ht for $f t$ : cf. Tr. Cap. ather $=$ after (with transposed $h t)$, a peculiarity found often in Mid. G. texts of the MHG. period.

## 200

T. i. In the case of words which are not found in any other Gmc. dialect save OHG., and whose etymology is obscure, the OHG. z leaves much doubt whether it denotes $3 z$ or $t s$ : e. g. OHG. fizus $=$ sly. It occurs, however, as ficise and ficislicho (Graff, 3. 737), and it is thus possible to decide for ts. OHG.azzasi ( = Gerät) is written by Notker azase, thus may be assumed to be $z \xi$. OHG. hirz is of uncertain value: its doublet OHG. hiruz (OE. heorot) has spirant $z$, but the shortened hirz (OE. heort) may have had the fricative, for in MHG. hirtz and modern dialects forms are found to correspond. So beside lezzisto, a rare form lecisto $=$ lezisto, and beside $b i z \mathrm{~N}$. has a dat. sg. bizze, which corresponds to MHG. bitz beside biz.

There is in OHG., as in M. and NHG., occasional dialect hesitation between $z$ and $z$ forms, and in such cases care is necessary not to overlook the possibility of original doublets without and with a $j$-suffix ( $t$ beside $t t$ ) as in the case of $f: p f$ and $c k: h h$.
ii. That the two values of $z z$ and $z$ were, in spite of the ambiguity of spelling, entirely distinct is quite indisputable :
(a) Isidor carefully distinguishes them.
(b) On analogy with the shift of $p$ it is a priori most probable that $t$ shifted differently according to its position in the word.
(c) While $c$ is a frequent writing for $z z=t s$ before $e$ and $i$, it is never found denoting ${ }_{\xi} \xi$.
(d) The NHG. development keeps them apart.

## Writings.

iii. The almost universal writing for $z z$ in OHG. is $z z$ after a short vowel, $z$ after a long or when final. Some early texts do not yet observe this distinction, e. g. Pa., K., BR., and the first part of H. always write $z z$. Voc., $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$, and M., on the other hand, always use $z$ irrespectively of preceding vowel. O. usually writes only single $z$, though he scans a word like muazar as a long syllable. T. usually distinguishes $z z$ from $\dot{z}$, as above, though one scribe uses $z z$ even after long vowel. R. after a long vowel has $32 z: 6 z z$; after a short vowel $13 z$ : $13 z z$ (Wüllner).
N. always writes $z$ (for $z z$ ) even afier short vowel to distinguish from $z z=t s$ : only the last MS. of the Psalter has often $z z$ for $z$.

After long vowel $z z$ (beside $z$ ) is still frequent in OHG. till the eleventh century ; cf. Will., Otlōh, Merig, \&c.
iv. Other writings for $3 弓(z)$ are rare and quite sporadic: only Isidor's notation ( 178 ) is consistently carried through.
zs. M. twice has zs : forlāzseno (i. 15), ūzserōm (29, 25) ; cf. Hench, 112. Lw. I heizsit ; N. nazscent (Proverbs).
sz. BR. kizuiszidu, wissum ; T. gisusznissi 84. 2 (Sievers, 42 ) ; Würz. B. hasze.
$s c$ in a few glosses: floscan, friscit (Graff, $5 \cdot 565$ ).
s final. BR. das, cinas ; H. kalichas (Sievers, 14) ; Freis. O. (see Kelle).
In O. final $z$ is occasionally assimilated to following $s:$ vaz sies $\rightarrow$ zeas ses. Very rarely and almost completely confined to Glosses are writings for $\varsigma s(5)$ that usually denote $i s$, such as $c, z c, c z, z / z, 1 z$ : Wk. emctzigoaz; Lw. in lietz; Lorsch. B. ücze $=$ üzze. Isidor's chilōthzssöm (to gihlözgo) is unique (Hench, 79).
v. The fricative $z$ is in many MSS. denoted by $c$ before the vowels $e$ and 202 $i$ : BR. cit; this usage is not infrequent even in T', O., N.

Other writings occur sporadically :
cz. Lw. czala, mãgaczogo, 4. 8.
ऽ. M. hersin, liusilöm, uuŗ̧ün.
$c$ (other than before $c$ and $i$ ) is rare, and only occurs in careless Glosses. cuucincug $=$ zweinzug, Gl. 2. 47 ; uarca $=$ warza, 240 ; sprincuurc $=$ zeurz.
vi. Instead of $z z$ in gemination :
$t z$ is not regularly used save in Isidor. It occurs sporadically at first, becomes more frequent in late OHG., and is the rule in MHG.
$c$ before $c$ and $i$ is not so frequent as for $z$ : T. lucil, annuci ( $=$ luzzil, annuzzi) ; O. lichicera, ii. 20. Pa. has $c$ regularly (Kögel, 63 ) : pismicit, ficeot, \&c.
cc. Very rare: Pa. foalaccit $=$ palpat, 44. 6 .
zc. Very rare: Ka lazcende, $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ luzcic ; BR. kasezcida; M. sizcente, gasizcet ; Al. Ps. luzcila.
$c z, t c, z t c, \& c$. , are isolated : $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ficze.
$z z$ is especially often simplified in unaccented syllable: O. einizēn, heilezen; so also in N. leidezen, \&c. It is a mistake to assume with Holtzmann that in this case the single $z$ in N . denotes the spirant (as it usually does with him). Sievers, 'T., gives a number of instances.
vii. In $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ the initial group $z w$ shows a tendency to become $q u$ (quiro, quel): traces of the same in Pa . (queot, queon).
viii. Mid. Franc. thit (in which $t$ probably represents $t t$ ?) does not shift it to thiz (de Heinrico, 26 thid), but cf. MHG. ditze (Weinhold, Mhd. Gr. §485).
ix. The loan-word kurz, from Latin curtus, is occasionally found 203 both in UG. and in Franc., as kurt with unshifted $t$ (O. ii. 3. 28): H. churleru; Exh. churlnassi.
x . Since $t$ remains unshifted in Gmc. $t r, \mathrm{Gmc}$. $d r$ falls together with $t r$, and is in most cases not distinguishable from it. Original $d r$ does not, however, undergo gemination before $r$ : OHG. fuotar, lotar, wetar, beside bittar, \&c. When the $t t(\leftarrow t r)$ is simplified after $u$, the distinction is again lost : wintar (*einltar) beside wuntar. In Notker, however, original $t$ is not voiced after $n$; he therefore distinguishes winter from wunder.
xi. Rh. Franc. frequently has $d$ for $t$ in $h t$, ft, occasionally also in $s t$, and very rarely in $\operatorname{tr}$ (only O . uses $d r$ regularly, as always $d$ for $t$ ).

Isidor eounihd, unrehd; Lorsch. B. unrehdero, priesda; Reichn. B. dahda, durfdige; Tr. Cap. selhafdiu; T.drisiunit. Cf. PBB. ix. 3 I 3 and 399 .
xii. Often $h t, f t$ are written $h t t$, $f t t$ : e. g. BR. rehtteru, notdurufti; $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$ rehlto; O. slihtti (i. 1. $3^{6}$ ) ; and in Rh. Franc. often $d t$ or $t d$ : Lorsch. B. druhdtin ; Reichn. B. unrehtdes; cf. Sievers, Hymnen.

Probably this is not merely a writing, but a mark of syllabic division. Iitbl. 1887, 109.
xiii. The $t$ of $h t$, ft, st is occasionally lost entirely when it comes to stand before other consonants: BR. forahlihhun, rehlihhiu; H. urtrühlicho (Sievers, I 3) ; T. girehfestigöt; O. geislichun (iv. 5. 1) ; Phys. gèsīcho, unrehlîhon.
$t$ even is sometimes lost when final before an initial consonant: Sam. 27 nis din ; Lex Sal. cowih rimit; T. niouniht ni; O. fastös mohtun (iv. 27. 18); Phys. nih war, sigehaf.

Loss of final $t$ is rarer before a vowel : Augs. Gebet. eigenhaf (see § 8) ; unthurf (O. ii. 4. 80) ; T. uuuof inti. Kögel quotes examples from K.
D. i. Rh. Franc. hesitates between $d$ and $t$. Is. fater, muoter, dhrato beside dhrado, and faterlos, rìtan beside sundiōno, wolda, Lw. dugidi, gedeilder. Finally $t$ is more common: Lw. lonōt, got, nöt, \&c.; $d$ is rarer: gisund, giböd, skild, god. For minor texts see Pietsch.
ii. Otfrid, in the main, is very consistent about his initial $d$ and medial $t$ (see Kelle, 492 and 495), though he writes tōd (death) more often with $t$, and $d \overline{0} t$ (dead) with $d$. The Pfälzer B. is, like Otfrid, quite consistent. Most other S. Rh. Franc. monuments show great hesitation, which probably denotes that S. Rh. Franc. had lost the voice of its mediae and found the distinction between tenuis and fortis not clear. Oifrid's regularity is probably rather an index of his own orthographical pedantry than of his pronunciation. See 203 and PBB. ix. 313-399.
iii. E. Franc. T. has a few $d$ 's initially, especially scribe $\zeta$. It is remarkable that the word diuri and its derivatives are usually spelt with $d$. Medially $d$ is rare (Sievers, 29.30); see Pietsch.

The Merseb. Charms with $d$ are not original E. Franc., and the $d$ 's of de Heinr. are Thuringian : guodo, thid, geried.
205 iv. In UG. $d$ is more rare even than in E. Franc. Such examples as are found are chiefly after $n: \mathrm{BR}$. standan, horendo ( $P B B$. i. 414 ) ; H. standantēr ; Voc. muindr̄̄, and once diufī with initial $d$. $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ has constantly $d$ for $t$; in $\mathrm{K}^{\text {a }}$, like Pa. and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}, d$ only occurs in isolated cases. In the group $n t$ (and in less degree $r t$ and $l t$ ) $t$ shows a tendency to become $d$ again. See Kögel, 96, and $P B B$. ix. 314, where Franc. influence is assumed.

Notker has regularly $n d$ (and even $m d$ ) as in MHG., only preserving $t$ where Gmc. $t$ underlies it, and in the preterite, where $t=t t$ or $d t$. For Notker's initial d's see 172. N. bindan, hende, wīnda, riumda, skirmda, \& c .
v. OHG. $d \leftarrow \beta$ may stand in a relation of grammatic change with $t \leftarrow d \leftarrow d$. In verbs this is frequently preserved, but in nouns it is mainly levelled out, giving rise to double forms in certain words:

OHG. kind: khindh, Otfrid kinthes i. 4. 50 .
Got. skididan, OE. scī̃dan: OS. skëthan, OIIG. skicidan.
OHG. bröt : prooth (Pn.), bröh (MI.).
OS. mendian: OHG. menden, mandhendi (K.), menthenti (O.).
OHG. alt, allar : eldiron, elthiron (T.), allhrom (K.).
The formula conaldre, neenaldre of the BR. which occurs also in T. (twice)-as neo in aldere, besile mio in allare-is probably a mere archaism.
vi. In loan-words $d$ sometimes remains: BR. dihtön, diubil; T. diuf al, disk; but it is more usually shifted to $t$ : tiufal, tisk, tihlōn, except in late borrowings like firdamnōn, dezemōn, \&cc. Cf. Franz.
vii. Double It occasionally arises from other sources than those already mentioned. Assimilation accounts for brettan $\leftarrow{ }^{*}$ bregdan, OS. brigdan, and analogy for miltamen (T., O., N.) from metamo (OE. medema, cf. Got. miduma), which was popularly connected with mitti.

## Writings.

viii. Sometimes the is written for the $d$ of Rh. Franc. and the $t 208$ of OHG. in general. In most cases it is probably a blunder. O. thod, walth (v. 8. 55 ; 16. 19), sunthar; more frequently in the P. and Freis. ().) ; T. thruhtin; Exh. thiclöta, further in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$ and in $\mathrm{K}^{1}$, (see Kögel). Even more often in Glosses and in late OHG., especially when final: Pa. liuth 38. 16 ; Np. guoth, piboth.
ix. For geminated $t /$ sometimes in Rh. Franc. $t d$ is found: Reich. B. betdi; Lorsch. B. bitdiu (PBB. vii. 135) ).
$d d$ is very rare: K irleidda, meddiladaccun (Kögel).
x. $t$ occasionally appears for single $t: \mathrm{K} .103 .3$, cotto ( $=$ deorum $)$, rottendit; T. 87.5 bettön; Bair. B. zecroltti; 'T. і4 г. 15 alteri; more frequently after $h$ and $f$, when representing Gmc. ht and $f t$.

## Bavarian.

p. i. The Bav. Pa. has a few initial th's, and a few even more rare 207 examples of medial th: in all 29 th's: $218 d^{\prime}$ 's (see Kögel, $\mathbf{H}_{5}^{5}$ ). In R. $d$ is the only form (dhuahal, thuuihal are probably from original ; see Wüllner, 27! The initial th's in Exh. are remarkable ; see Wülner, 110 , who ascribes them to the influence of a northern dialect.

## Alemannic.

ii. The St. Gallen documents still have more often $t h, d h$ initially, lasting in preponderance till 779 (Henning, 127). K. th still predominates; P'n. thiu, dhana, kemeinitha: 9 d's; BR. has 12 forms of root: OH(B. dio, deo (Got. Piu) written with th (PBB. i. 416); II. has th initial, but rarely elsewhere (Sievers, 14) ; $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ frequent $d$. For further examples of $t h$ in A'emannic sce $P B B$. in. 309 .

## East Franc.

iii. In the early ninth century theverywhere. Lex Sal. has only one $d$. The Taufgelöbnis and the older Fulda documents, though older than the Lex Sal., have several $d$ 's, but this is in all probability merely an imperfect writing for $y$ (such as is found also in the earliest OE.), for $d$ instead of th appears first in Tatian, medially and finally (only $\gamma$ writes occasionally $d$ initially). The Fulda B. has the same proportion of th to $d$ as Tatian, but the Würzburg B. at the end of the ninth century has also $d$ initially.

## Rh. Franc.

iv. Wk. initially always has th (once $d h$ ), medial usually $d h$ (only 7 th's and $7 d$ 's). Isidor always has the spirant (the form $d r a \bar{d} d o$, which occurs once, is certainly a blunder, see Hench) when initial : more often the spirant also when medial, but beside it numerous $d$ 's, especially after $n$ : ander, chundan, \&cc. (when final this $d$ then becomes $t$ : chunt, bifant), but chindh, jugundhi preserve the spirant even after $n . d$ is also frequent after $l$ and $r$ : huldin, uuirdit beside wirdhit, wardh. $d$ is rarest after a vowel. In Otfrid, with rare exceptions (in V.), initial th is the rule. P. reduces the number of exceptions (Kelle, 502), while the Freis. O. of course frequently changes the th to $d$. Final th occurs once in Otfrid: $\bar{o} t h ;$ medially th is fairly common: bruather, anther, \&c., especially sinthes, töthes, dōthe (see Kelle, 494). Lw. has, in the main, th initial, $d$ medial, th final (except bidungan, leidhor, quādhun, gund-fanon, dōt). Mainz. B. initial, II th's: 6 d's. For minor texts see Pietsch.
209 v. Middle German th is still everywhere : in the Mid. Franc. (Thur.) de Heinr. as late as the second half of the tenth century, and still in the eleventh century it is universal in the Leid. Will.
vi. OHG. $d \leftarrow \beta$ is never unvoiced to $t$. Not till after the eleventh century do such forms as leit, sit, wart (OHG. leid, sìd, ward) come in; they prevail, however, in MHG. As late as Notker $d$ is still the rule. Occasional isolated $t$ 's for $d \leftarrow \beta$ occur earlier. M. quat, wirt, war $t$ beside quad, \&c., and even warth. K. often has $t$ after $n, l, r$ (Kögel, 119); Isidor also after $n$; T. abasnit, wart, quat, fant ; cf. Sievers, 55. All these, however, are verbal forms, and are probably due, not to a phonetic development, but merely to levelling out of grammatic change from plural to singular.
vii. Sporadically $t$ occurs initially (for $d \leftarrow \beta$ ): $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{c}} 2.23^{2}$ : torrēn, tarbèt, treingit; ; late OHG. trübo, tūsunt for drübo, dūsunt have retained $t$ in MHG. (see Kauffmann, 217 , who assumes that $d$ and $t$ had fallen together universally in OHG. with loss of voice).
viii. It is important to bear in mind that Got. has final $\beta$, replacing Pr. Gmc. J (Streitberg, Got. Gr. ${ }^{2}$ IIo), and that therefore such OHG. forms as houbit, Got. háubij, OHG. birit, Got. baírij, are not examples of $b \rightarrow d \rightarrow t$, but revert respectively to Pr. Gmc. *xautio and *לerid, and exemplify $d \rightarrow d \rightarrow t$.
ix. Grammatic change in OHG. $d: t$ (Pr. Gmc. $p: y$ ) and doublets arising from it, see 169 . Isidor's zidh (for zid, zit) and the hinzarth of the Lw. (for hinavart) are probably remains of double forms,
though the latter might be a trace of LG. influence ; cf. OS. farth, L. Fr. üffirthi (Ps. 73).
x. OHG. thre, dre tends in late OHG, to become tw, though the $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ change is not very regularly carried through before MHG.
$x i$. Where $t$ is found beside $t h$ in texts where the $t h$ is still the rule, some blunder may probably be assumed. 'T. has trüen beside thruën, K. trumum, triu, \&̌. ; see Kögel, ir8. This $t$ for $t h$ is especially common in proper names, probably due to the influence of a Romance scribe, not parallel to the $t \leftarrow d \leftarrow \beta$ discussed in note vii.
xii. Geminated/ ) is rare in Gmc.; it arises occasionally in W. Gmc. from $h j$, OHG. $d d$, $t /$, earlier thth, dhdh; cf. $P B B$. vii. 135 . Isidor fithdhahha, later fittah. The writings ddh, thh, \&c. are also found. The It of OHG. spoltön, kledda-kletta (Graff, 4. 554) is probably old.

Got. aí)p báu, probably on account of its proclitic nature, is represented in OHG. by forms with one $d$ : ctho, edo or odho, odo. Occasionally are found forms with $d d$ : Hl. cddo; and curious forms also with $r / h, r d$ : Kbedtho, crdo, usually cdho (Kögel, 120) ; Lex Sal. crđo ; Wk. crdho; HI. 62 crdo; Mainz. B. order. The two words mithont (Got. mippanci) and ethes (Got. aíppau), which occur in Otfrid, have no apparent trace of gemination with him; on the other hand, they are never written with $d$, and MS. P. transcribes as tth. Perhaps these forms are parallel to the unexplained appearance of $r d$ for $\beta$ : Pa. zuirpar (= wibar); Ra wirdar (Kögel, 54); T. wirdar, and also in the Glosses; Hl. hweerdar ; Gl. wirdröta to widarōn; Lex Sal. wirðria.
S. i. Scherer (Zur Gesch. d. dt. Sprache) advances the theory that 211 Gmc. s was only distinguished from $z$ in OHG. by having become voiced. Paul (PBB. i. 168) and Braune ( $P B B$. i. 529) show that this theory is untenable, partly because in direct conflict with modern pronunciation, partly because MHG. carefully distinguished between final $s$ and $z$ in rhyme. Moreover ss never became voiced.
ii. It is worth noting that $s l$ is sometimes written $s k l$ or $s c l$ in OHG. This is especially frequent in H. (occurring 8 times): sclaf, sclahan, sclicter; Lw. thuruhskluog; Ra piscluoc; Mainz. B. scläphun; Phys. sclahda. Scherer's explanation of this is probably the correct one (Z. Gesch. $1{ }^{2} 7$ ) : $: l$ under the influence of voiceless $s$ had itself become roiceless (as in Welsh), and the writing scl is an attempt to denote the sound.
iii. For sk, sg, sch see 216 ; for $s p, 193$; for st, 203; for $s w, 242$; for $h s$ see 227 .
iv. OHG.s $(\leftarrow \operatorname{Pr}$. Gmc. s) is very seldom written $z$ in OHG. H. crucez (2); Freis. O. krucez; R. 85.29 huaszemo =huassemo; BR. wazkan, deze.
K. i. Sometimes OHG.ck ( $=k$ k) is found: OHG. $h h$, that is W. Gmc. 212 $k k$ : W. Gmc. $k$; viz. forms with or without $j$-suffix originally (cf. pf: ff, $z z: z_{3}$ ). Such doublets are : seckil, UG. secchil: T. sckhil, sekila, sechil, O. sechil and sekil. nackot: M. nahhut, BR. nahhutan. quec: II. queh, quehhes. backo: bahho. stecko: slehho.
ii. In unaccented syllables $h h$ is frequently reduced to $h$ : solihher, welīhhèr beside solihèr, welihēr.

## Writings.

iii. As well as $h h$ the notation $c h$ is used over all OHG. territory for this spirant $h h$, but it then falls together in appearance with the UG. $c h(k h)$ for the fricative. The date of this $c h$ for $h h$ is not easy to fix. Isidor has only hh, e. g. zeihhan, boohhum, \&c. (once hch in scahche). Wk., on the other hand, has regularly $c h$. Tatian has $h h$, but often $c h$, and his different scribes vary (see Sievers, 53). O. and later Fr. writings have ch. In UG. Pa., $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$, and R . have $h h$ and few $i h$ 's. Musp., M. and Al. Ps. all have $h h$, but H. already has $c h$, and $B R$. and $R^{b}$ have numerous $c h$ 's beside the regular $h h$, so that from the use of the two symbols no certain clue as to the date of a document is to be found. Only so much is certain, that $h h$ is the older, but ch begins to appear as early as the eighth century, and from the tenth century on is usual.
213 iv. Single $h$ is often found for $h h$ even after a short vowel (cf. $f$ for ff and $z$ for $z 弓$ ). $\mathrm{R}^{a}$ only has $h$ : mihil as well as sprāha, zeihan, \&c. Voc. and Pa. have mainly $h$. T. scribe $\zeta$ only writes $h . \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}} 66 h h: 5^{6}$ $c h: 49 h$. Single $h$ is also found sporadically in various texts which otherwise have ch: and occasionally O. (Kelle, 522): firsuahun, erdrīhes, spīhiri; skahāri; Phys. brihit, bezēhinet, \&c.
v. Of other writings for $h h, h c h$ is fairly common. It is regular in $\mathrm{K}^{a}$ (Kögel), frequent in $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$, and common in second half of BR. (PBB. i. 409) ; in many other texts sporadically: $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$ stehchatēr ; T. brehchanne; O. gimahchaz, sprihchu; Phys. buhche, mihcheliu; Wiener N. sprihchet.
$k h=c h$ is very rare: O. bisuikhit, bisuikhe (v. 23.260) ; $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ sprikhit, prükhumēs ; de Heinr. 22 sprākha. chh: Pa. intlüchhante (Kögel, 82). cch: H. frecchi; Otlōh gimacchōst. hk: $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ söhken. hc: H. rihces. chch: Rb curtilachchan (Gl. i. 336); Freis. O. gimachchaz (iv. 4. 42). hech: R ${ }^{\text {b kimahcchöta. chc: O. dochc. }}$
vi. Final $h$ is the normal form until the eleventh century, but ch appears sporadically:H. pech; Fr. Pn. götlich; Freis. O. sprach, buach (Kelle, $5^{2} 5$ ) ; O. spracher $=$ sprach or, where $h$ is treated as if medial. Will. frequently has $c h$.
he is sporadic: H. cocalihc, uuntarlihc; Rb chelihc, duruhsiunlihc; Musp. uuehlihc; Fr. O. egestihc.
$c:$ Fr. Pn. unsic. g. de Heinr. ig, 25 ; Arnst. Marienl. geligg, oug.
Franconian.

## 214

vii. Geminated $k k$ in O . is generally denoted by single $k$ : akar, lokōn, \&c.; but O. always scans such syllables as long and so must have pronounced $k k$. Sometimes O. writes $k k$ or $c k$, in isolated cases $g k$ : irquigken, quegkez; frequently ch: irrechen, zvachar (Kelle, 521). This $c h$ is for the most part only graphic, but might be explained as reverting to W. Gmc. $k$.
viii. For single $k$, $c h$ (or $c c h$ ) is very rare in Franc. It occurs only once in T.: untarmerchi, 107. 3 (the forms uuechit, achre, unirche,
folche, torsenchit are $\gamma$, i. c. the Alem. scribe). O. has a few initial ch's: cheret (Salomo, 25), cheri (Hartmuat, 55) are caused by anagram. Otherwise medial: scalches, archa. Wk. golchundī, gizuurihen, quicheim; Fr. Taufgelöb. chirichū̀n; Strassb. Eide, folches; Mainz. B., \&c. (see Pietsch). These Franc. ch's must, of course, be taken as purely graphic and carefully distinguished from UG. ch, the fricative. See, however, Kauffmann, p. 242.
ix. Isidor's writing is unique. He writes $c$ when final : folc, fleisc, butuhnunc : sc (for sk) before $a, o$, or $u$, or before a consonant : sculim, scriban, sculd. On the other hand, always $c / 2$ when initial : chumfl, chiriuni, chraft, after consonants: folches, zecrchum, and in gemination: aracichu; always $i c h$ or sch before $e$ or $i$ : Aceisches, scheffidhes, and always adds an $h$ after qu: quhad, quhàmi. Kögel (PBB. ix. 307) assigns the value of pure tenuis $k$ to all these symbols, but Nörrenberg (ib. $3^{8} 4$ ) is strongly inclined to interpret it as an aspirated $k$. So, too, Braune, Ahd. Gr., § 143 , and Hench, p. 85 .
x. Offrid often writes $g$ for $k$ before $t$ of the preterite : drangla or drankitr ; see Kelle, 523, and Pietsch, 429. He has also occasionally $g^{g}$ for $k$ when final : thang, worg ; but such forms were probably due to the scribe of V . and frequently corrected to $k$ by the revisor (Kelle, 524). This $g$ may represent a less strongly articulated $k$ : so initially in Will. gncht $=$ kneht. The Freis. O. has sometimes $g$ for initial $k$ : gorōti, gümigan, \&c.

## Upper German.

xi. The fricative or aspirate (see 145) is usually written $k h$ or $c h$ in 215 UG., when double often $c c h$. Corresponding to the Franc. qu, UG. writes chu or chuu, in older texts qhu, and, though more rarely, quh. Cf. $P B B$. ix. 307 . But UG. sources, especially in early times, often write $k, c, q u$, with no apparent difference from Franc.
xii. Heusler and Kauffmann try from modern dialects to prove that the fricative $k$ only existed in Upper Alem., and that the greater part of Alemannia, as well as Elsass, Suabia, and Bavaria, only knew the aspirated $k(k+h)$ or the simple $k$ of Franc. Braune, Ahd. Gr. § 144.7.
xiii. The writing $k h$ is not so common as $c h$. $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ uses $k h$. St. Gal. Pn. qhuckhe, khorunka, khirihhunn; Musp. khenfun, khuninc, quekkhēn, otherwise only sporadic. $K, c, q u$ in the UG. texts must be taken as merely an inexact rendering of the shifted $k$. Some of the earliest texts are entirely free from it: Pa., R., K ${ }^{a}, \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{BR}$. ; others have a number of $k$ 's. So Sam., MI., \&c. In the Gl. $h$ for $c h$ occurs, probably merely miswriting ( $P B B$. ix. 305 ). In later UG . of the tenth and eleventh centuries $c h$ is regular ; $k$ is very rare. Notker has $c h$; Wien N., Otlōh, Merig., Ps. 138, Freis. O. insert ch for Fr. $k$. This late UG. ch may represent a phonetic change of $k h$ to $\chi$, the voiceless guttural spirant, which probably did take place in Alem. ( $P B B$. vi. $55^{6}$ ) ; cf. Alem. $p f$ to $f$.

The writing $c h$, used both for $k i h$ and $h h$, makes it difficult to ascertain the exact sounds of Alem., but Notker's writings seem to prove
that, in certain cases at least, no difference was felt between $c h \leftarrow k h$ and $c h \leftarrow h h:$ we find starcher and starh and starhta; cf. sprechan, sprah. On the other hand, Notker also writes $c c h$ or $c h$, and, when final, $g$ : checches-cheg, danchōn-dang; so that in these cases he must have heard an aspirate explosive and not a spirant. In other late UG. sources, besides N., final $h$ (which must denote a spirant) is also found. Wess. Pred. werh, werhliute; M. scalh; H. folh. In Wien N. werc, werch, werhc, werh are all used.

## Gemination.

xiv. The writing $c c h$ in UG. for $k k h$ is found, beside the more usual ch, at all periods of Alem. In N. cch is the only form (cch is rarely found for single $k$ ). Other writings occur sporadically : $k h, h k, h k h$, $h c k, ~ c k h, h c c$, \&c. (cf. Kögel, 85).
xv . OHG. sk by MHG. times has become a single sound ( $=s$, NHG. $s c h$ ), and is often written $s c h$. Exactly when this change took place is not known ; it must have, however, begun in OHG. times, and we may probably assume an intermediate stage $s k, s x, s$, and certain hesitations in OHG. orthography strengthen the supposition that $s k$ was not always pure $s+k$. The writing $s k$ or $s c$ remains nevertheless the current one all through OHG., so that we are not justified in assuming the shift sk to $s \chi$ to be part of the Second Sound-Shift as Kauffmann maintains.

## Writings.

217
xvi. $s c$ is regularly used in $\mathrm{Pa} ., \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$ as a writing for $s k$, and is even used before $e$ and $i$ : O. scirm, scin, T., \&c. sch appears early ; Is. and M. have sch usually before $e$ and $i$, and other early texts have it sporadically. T. bischein, lantscheff, himilisches; BR. unchüschida. Also Pa., K., Ra, occasionally. In Freis. O. sch is constantly found replacing the $s k, s g$ of the original (Kelle, 506). Fairly frequent is sch in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$, especially before $e$ and $i$. Otherwise sch is found only sporadically, but begins to gain ground after the eleventh century. Will. and Wien N. have many sch's beside sc. Likewise the St. Gallen copy of N.'s Ps. has many sch's, though the oldest N. MSS. are free from them. $s g$ is rare for initial $s k$, but is found in Pa. and $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{a}} 18$ times: sgalto, sgero, and elsewhere sporadically. In the eighth and ninth centuries medial or final $s g$ for $s k$ is fairly common. In T. some scribes always have it (Sievers, 50). O. has it regularly except initial, and writes scado, scaf, but mennisgo, flcisges, with rare exceptions such as the words ciscon and biscof. H. has sg before $e$ and $i$ : fleisge, but horsco, fleisc. Later sg became more rare, though occasionally found: Wien N. zounsgendo; Will. irdisgen. In N. sg is only found final : fisg, fleisg, but fisca, fleisco; so probably we are dealing with less strong articulation. In most cases, however, we are perhaps justified in seeing in these sg's an effort to render a spirant element heard in the $k$ after $s(=s g)$ (cf. Kögel, 93). The $s_{g}$ is at any rate clearly not parallel to the $s b$ and $s d$, which occur much more rarely and in few sources. sg
is far more frequent, and is, moreover, found in texts which never have $s b$ or $s d$.

The writing $x(=k+s)$ [O. gizemnxti (ii. 2. 37)] is quite isolated.
After the welfih century we frequently find in Alem. texts s for sc (cf. Weinhold, Al. Gr. 156). This probably denotes the simple sound š.
xvii. The $k$ of sk often disappears in cons. groups, especially in the $\mathbf{2 1 8}$ preterite of weak verbs of first class. N. wista $\leftarrow$ wiscla; O. flcisTichemo (ii. 2. 29) ; Nps. feisliche ( 158 ).
xviii. skal-skolta, \&c., after eleventh century, more usually appear as sal, solta; forms without $k$ are rare earlier. The loss of $k$ is probably due to want of accent, consequent on the proclitic character of the verb, not original Pr. Gmc. weak grade (cf. PBB. xiv. 295), but want of sentence stress in OHG. itself (cf. the similar forms sal, sulde for shal, shulde in the northern dialect of NE.).

OHG. sarph, sarf (OS. skarp, OE. scearp, ON. skarpr) appears in the oldest texts without a $k$. Isolated $k$ forms in Hl. are perhaps LG. In the tenth and eleventh century scarph begins to crop up and finally predominates. In MHG. sarpf is already rare: this phenomenon is unexplained.

## Franconian.

G. i. Initially and medially $g$ is the only correct writing in Franc., 219 but often $c$ is found for $g$ when final. Examples of $c$ final are frequent in minor texts (Pietsch) and in Isidor (iv). Mainz. B. : bigienc, bigihdīc, sculdic ; Lorsch. B. heilac, unbigihtīc, unwirdīc ; Lorsch. Bs. fuici, flūc. T. also has frequently $c$, especially scribe $\gamma$. O. has $5 c$ 's for the sake of his anagrams: Ludowīc, wirdic, githīc, and 5 k 's. Otherwise final $g$ is the norm. in Franc.

Gank, gifank, sank (2), cdilink: these final $k$ 's are strong evidence of the $g$ having had the value of an explosive in Franc., but other final writings $c h, g h, h$ would seem to presuppose a spirant value. This spirant value is, in fact, almost assured for Mid. and North Rh. Franc. Tr. Cap. (mugen) : mach. Lw. hludwig : ih. Arnst. Marienl. dach: mach, mig: dich. In the Leid. Will. gh and ch are frequently used to denote $g: h o n i g h$, cinigh, einech. Augs. Geb. genāthīh. In these parts also a writing is found of medial $g$ as $i(=j)$, which seems to confirm its spirant value. Tr. Cap. innenzeendiun ( $=$ igun) ; üzzenzendiun, thegein ( $=$ dehein), but also neicina.
$h$ perhaps represented $g(=g)$ in herihunga, \&c.
ii. When $g$ comes to stand before $t$, especially in the preterites of weak verbs, it usually remains unchanged (at any rate in writing) in Franc., always in O.: ougta, neigla, \&c.; and also in T. (eroucta only once). $c$ instead of $g$ before $t$ occurs sometimes in the minor texts : Fulda B. gihancti; Mainzer B. gihancdi, gihancti. See Pietsch.

## Geminated.

iii. gg remains ggr in Franc. O. irhuggu, luggi, \&c.; T. luggi, giziggri. 220
[In verbs like huggen and liggen Tatian, like later OHG., usually carries through forms with a single g.] Once T. has cg: mucgūn.
$c c$ occurs for $g g$ in Is., and in the minor texts $c$ : Frankf. dicanne.
iv. Isidor has several peculiarities in his rendering of OHG. $g$ as in that of $k$. He writes $g$ initially before $a, o, u, r$, but $g h$ before $e$ and $i$ : gotes, ghcist, langhe. Medially the same system is observed, except that either $g$ or $g h$ is used before $e$ and $i$ : berge, berghe, mighin, strengi. Finally $g$ is always represented by $c$ : burc, heilac, bauhnunc, and $g g$ always by $c c:$ hrucca. It is, however, remarkable, and as yet not satisfactorily explained, that the prefix $g i$ (which only once appears as the $g h i$ we should expect) is always written with $c h$, which in Is. is the accepted symbol for $k$ : chilaubu, chiminnan, chisah, \&c. Except in this prefix $c h$ is never used for $g$ (with two isolated exceptions, medially bluchisöe (PBB. xii. $55^{2}$ ), and finally einich). The Fr. original of N. must have had the same system of notation for $g$, except that the form of the prefix was $g h i($ not $c h i)$, for traces are still found in the Bav. copy : gheist, ghīri, saghèm, ghifinstrit, ghirüni (PBB. ix. 302). In other texts $g h$ for $g$ is rare: Wk. eitlarghebön, and occasionally in $\mathrm{Gl}^{\mathrm{s}}$. The Alem. Jun. Gl., which have a number of $g h$ 's, may perhaps rest on a Rh. Fr. original like that of M. (see Kögel). The exact value of this $g^{h}$ of Is. is, no doubt, as the final $c$ would indicate, that of the pure explosive, the same as the $g$ before $a, o, u, r$; and the insertion of $h$ after it before $e$ and $i$ is possibly to prevent the Romance pronunciation of $g$ before $e$ and $i$.

## Upper German.

221 v . The writing $c$ for $k \leftarrow g$ is used in the same way as in the case of Gmc. $k$, not before $e$ and $i$ :cabis but keban.

## Alemannic.

vi. In the very oldest Alem. texts we find $g$ initial and medial. According to Henning $g$ is the rule and $k$ the exception till 772 , but after 772 the $k$ begins to preponderate initially, though medial $g$ still remains the rule. $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{a}}$, the oldest part of K ., and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$ still have many initial $g$ 's, while texts like BR. and H. are almost free from them. Amongst the Alem. texts of the eighth and ninth century the Pn. is the only one which has $k$ for $g$ consistently in all positions: not only $k i p$, kot, but also sculdikèm, khorunka, almahtīcun, kiscaft, take, èzeīkan, \&c. $g$ appears only once : imphangan. After Pn., $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ is the sole important text which, beside initial $k$, has medial $k$ in far greater number than medial $g$ (Kögel, iro). In all other Alem. texts, while $k$ predominates initially, medial $g$ is more usual. In BR. medial $k^{\prime}$ sincrease in second half ( $P B B$. i. 406). $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{R}^{\text {abd }}, \mathrm{H}$., and other texts, have very few medial $k$ 's.

## Bavarian.

vii. In the oldest Bav. texts also medial $k$ for $g$ is rare, but initial $k$ is already established there, though $g$ is not infrequent. Of the larger early texts Pa. and R. are the only ones which have a noteworthy
number of medialk's. Of the smaller: W. manake, almahtīo; Fr. Pn. suonotakin, pifankan, ē̃ūkimo, makan, chorunka, mekin. Otherwise we only find medial $g$. Freis O. often substitutes $k$ for initial $g$, but only in eleven cases $k$ for medial $g$ (Kelle, $5^{16}$ ).
viii. Finally in UG. The older UG. texts have usually $k$ (or $c$ ), but 222 $g$ is not rare : tac or tag. $k$ is frequent also at end of a syllable : blünissa, oucta, kioucter, manacfalt, sorcsam, sorchaft. In Alem. we meet very rarely ch (or $h$ ) for final $g$ : Ja. halspauch; H. zeirdih (Sievers, 18), or even $h c$. In Bav., on the other hand, such $c k$ 's are not infrequent, especially after the end of the ninth century. Musp. warch, wîhc, énihc beside tac, mac, \&c.; Petrusl. mach; Ps. 138 wich, tach; Freis. O. very frequent, burch, ginuach, kuninch (Kclle, 518). Also often in Bav. Gl.

Paul (PBB. i. 182) tried to prove from these final $c h$ 's that medial $g$ in Bav. was a spirant $(=g)$. Jellinelk, however (PBB. xv. 268), shows, with great probability, that this Bav. ch for final $g$ has the value of the fricative $k \chi$, since it is rigorously distinguished in Bav. from the spirant h. If Jellinek is right, we must assume that at some time in the ninth century final $k$ in Bav. underwent a further shift to $k \chi$, which was denoted by the symbol $c h$. In this case the fricative $k \chi$ was not confined, as has hitherto been thought, to U. Alem. See Braune, Ag. Gr. § 149.
ix. In late Alem. (roth-inth century) $g$ fell in the group egi, so that a new diphthong was created: N. keanlseidōti from antseidōn $=$ antsegidon. So also in proper names: Mein, Rein ( $\leftarrow$ Megin, Regin), Anz.fdA. xvi. 284. So in late OHG. in general, $g$ tends to fall after $i$. Nps. predion $=$ predigön $;$ bimuniun $=$ bemunigōn ; gnadie $=$ gnadige. In all these cases we should probably suppose that a spirant value for $g$ preceded its loss.
x. After the tenth century medial $k$ for $g$ is quite obsolete in UG., and the writing $g$ becomes more and more usual even for initial $g$.

Merig., Otlōh have only initial $g$. A few late texts, however, such as Wiener N., still cling to occasional $k$ 's even medially. In the eleventh century even final $g$ again makes its appearance : tag, gieng.
$x$ i. Notker alternates initial $g$ and $k$ according to $\mathbf{1} 72$.
Geminated.
xii. In UG. $g g$ becomes $k k$, which is usually denoted by $c k$, $k k$, or $c c$, but the gemination is only retained after a short vowel: huckan, liccan, rukki, mucca, \&c. After a long vowel kk is simplified to $g$ or $k$; only one text retains geminated $k k$ (from $g g$ ) after a long vowel: BR. auckan (beside augan), hneickan, \&c. (PBB. i. 407). Double $k k(\leftarrow g g)$ remains so written in UG., even after simple $k$ has been restored to $g$, and persists until MHG. Musp. likkan, huckan; Merig. gihukka, manslecken; N. lukke, rukke. Only in verbal forms where $g g$ alternated with $g$ are the forms with simple $g$ generalized in late OHG. This analogical simplification of $g g_{g}$ to $g$ in such cases had been carried out in T. even earlier.

## Writings.

xiii, Sometimes $k k$ is denoted by $c g$, due no doubt to the influence
of Eng. scribes. Voc. prucge, mucge; Pa. hucgent, lecgende. Other writings beside $c k$ and $c c$ are very rare:
ch: Sam. thicho, 2 I.
cch: M. licchentan, zwiecchèm.
gg : Voc. luggeo. $g k$ in Pa . and K .
$k$ : $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ luki, irlikent, and so frequently.
For single $g$ other writings than $k$ and $c$ are rare in UG.
ch: Fr. Pn. cumft̄chèm; H. chrimmiu, eochal̄̄chera, \&c.; K. plichit, machum, irzochan. $g h$ is often found in the Jun. Gl., especially in Ja, and otherwise in the proper names of UG. documents, notably those from St. Gallen, which also often have ch: Eghilfrid, Odalgher ( $P$ BB. ix. 302 and 307 ).

## Inorganic $h$.

i. Initial in word or syllable.

That OHG. $h$ when initial in word or syllable had only the value of an aspirate is clear :
(I) From the fact that it is found sporadically in the most varying texts, intruding into words where it has no etymological right to be. Cf. H. hensti, huns; K. ummezhalt, arhaltel; M. herda; BR. hubilan, heru, herist, heikinin ; Al. Ps. hiuuih, hewigön : in Fr. texts, Lorsch. B., Musp., hücze $=\bar{u}$ зъe, hurolob; Lw. heigun ( $=$ eigun 24; hiu ( $=$ iú ) 32. The Fr. pronoun her is, of course, not an instance of intrusive $h$. These inorganic $h$ 's are merely inaccuracies of spelling, for they only occur in any number in careless and inaccurate texts; some are possibly due to Romance scribes or to Romance influence. In T. there are only nine cases, and in all the MSS. of O. only two.
(2) That $h$ is used medially between vowels to express the slight cons. glide developed in hiatus: sāan, sāhan; wäen, wähen; bluoan, bluohan, \&c. (cf. PBB. xi. 61). N. treats this cons. glide (h) in exactly the same manner as the $h \leftarrow \chi$, so it must have had the same aspirate value as the latter between vowels. Examples of this $h$ glide are especially common in verbs which have root ending in a long vowel or diphthong and in their derivatives. Otherwise it is rare. Al. Ps. ketrühēnt $=$ trüènt.
225 ii. In contrast to cases ( $\mathrm{I}, 2$ ) where inorganic $h$ had the value of a glide, we find sporadically in OHG. a medial $h$, to which no value at all can be attached (PBB. xi. 62). H. hohubit ( $=$ houbit ) ; Pa. (22. 31) fohat; Pn. stehic (steic), emezzihic (-zic); Rb arprahastun. The exact value of $h$ in the extended subjunctive forms in Alem. sources is doubtful. BR.piscauwöhe, duruftigōhe; H. apanstōhēm, kafrehtōhēm ( $P B B$. ix. 507).
iii. In the rare forms herihunga Gl. I. 371, zevihan K. (Kögel, 44), hefihanne ( $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$ ), $h$ perhaps denotes the voiced spirant $g$ otherwise denoted by $g$, or, and more probably, is merely a cons. glide. Cf. Litbl. 1887 , iii.

Initial $h$.
iv. Franc. Wk. retains the $h$ before cons. : hlüttru, unhreinitha, eogihuar; so, with a few exceptions, do Isidor and Lex Sal. See Pietsch.

UG. $h$ seems first to have fallen before $w$ (Kögel, 132), but it con-
tinued to be written after it was no longer heard, and this reacts on the spelling, for we find not infrequently $h$ written before consonant in words which etymologically have no right to it, especially in $\mathrm{R}^{a}$ : hrinnit, hliuhtcenti, and even hsēo (Kögel, 130). Voc. retains correct $h$ : hros, hrind, \&c. (Henning, 73) ; M. the same (except zeales for hzeales) ; R. also (see Wüllner, 29). In Pa. and K. w is more frequent than hoi, but $h l, h n, h r$ are retained, especially in Kb. Ka has a few $l, n, r$ 's, beside $h l$, \&c. (Kögel, 126). Exh. and Cass. Gl. hze has become ze: wanta, wuaz, \&cc., but $h l$ and $h n, h r$ remain: hlöset, hrindir, \&c. In BR. certain sections have $l, n, r$, others $h l, h n, h r(P B B$. i. 410). $R^{b}$ still retains traces of $h$ before cons. In H. and Musp. $h$ before cons. has quite vanished. Musp. alliterates wiú: weiz (62), lēwo : lössan (82) ; the one initial rhyme which involves $h$ is horn : kihlütit (73); probably a traditional formula. In all later ninth-century texts $h$ has quite disappeared. In the ninth-century copies from older originals we find some $h$ 's correct and incorrect ; cf. the Tegernsee and Emmeraner Gl. (Wüllner).

In the Hildebrandslied the alliteration shows that initial $h$ before cons. was pronounced: helidos: hringā (6); hēremo: hrusti (56); heurvun : hwoitte (66); hiutu: hrecrdar : hregilo (61), though in the MSS. the scribes in many cases omitted them.
v. Initial $h$ before vowel is sometimes omitted in careless MSS. 226 We have no example in T. or O. (except a solitary elfa (=helfa) in V. I. 128.5) ; BR. örren (=hōrrēn); Strassb. Eide, ge-altnissi.
vi. In compound words in which haft, heiz, hold form the second half of the word, $h$ is frequently lost owing to want of stress. H. triuafte, triuaftemu; Pa. namaaftosto, samanaftic; $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$ unolda, agana; Würz. B. inteiz ( = intheiz). Compare OE. Ticuma ( $\leftarrow$ lic homa); Aelfelm $\leftarrow$ Aelfhelm, \&c. Sievers, Ags. Gr. § 217.

## Medial $h$.

vii. Careless texts occasionally omit $h$ between vowels: sean for sehan, dian for dīhan. H. hṑ ; BR. kisiit; Freis. O. bithian. For Notker's $h$ see below (xiii).
viii. In late Mid. Germ. of the eleventh and twelfth centuries medial $h$ vanishes entirely : $g \bar{a} n: \operatorname{ges} \bar{a} n(=$ gesāhen $)$, Friedberger Christ. Cf. Weinhold, Mhd. Gr. ${ }^{2} 244$.

Loss of $h$ : final or before consonant.
ix. (a) $h$ is sometimes lost in the compound : wirauh $=$ wih rouh.
(b) $h$ is occasionally lost in the preposition duruh, late OHG. dur, dure. Once in $\mathrm{K}^{a}$ : thur.
(c) $h$ sometimes falls in cons. groups, especially $h s+$ cons. OHG. mist: Got. maíhstus. OHG. zeszwa: Got. taíhswō. deismo $\leftarrow$ *dihsmo. OHG. lastar (to lahan) $\leftarrow$ lahstur. OHG. wast: Got. wahsts. wasmo: zuahsmo; weslen: wehslen. Cf. PBB. vii. 193 and viii. 148.

## Assimilation of $h$.

x . The assimilation of $h$ s to $s s$ which is common in LG. is very rare $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ in OHG. Isidor folwassan = folwahsan; M. flas (=flahs) ; Phys. wasset. Further giuuassana, gauuassemo.

## Writings.

xi. $h(=\chi)$ is sometimes written ch, not very often but usually in the same texts which use $c h$ for $h h$. Freis. O. (Kelle, 529) is the only text which does so regularly.
hc. Reich. B. duruhc ; H. farlīhc, arrihctit.
c. H. duruc, roc, slectēr, rect (Wüllner, II 3 ).
g. Only in late Fr. texts. Arnst. Marienl. rog, durg, sag, \&c.

Freis, O. $z \bar{o}$ for $z \bar{o} h$ is probably a mere blunder.
$h s$ is seldom written $x$ or $x s$. Graff, i. 682 waxsanne, Is. waxsmo, T. O. sextun, wexsal.
ht is often written th. Lorsch. B. nath ( = naht) ; Tr. Cap. ather ; Ezzo. lieth; Freis. O. reth, math, kneth; O. (even V.) lioth, i. 15.9 (Kelle, 528 ).
$t$ sometimes stands for $h t$, probably not a real loss of $h$, but a mere inaccuracy of spelling. H. liotfaz, leotkar, trutines; Tr. Cap. rettiche; Carmen.leot; Phys.trotin beside trohtin; N. (prov. 5) furtin, furhten.

## Geminated $h$.

228 xii. Germ. $\chi \chi(\leftarrow \chi j)$ is written $h / h$ in OHG. and falls together with OHG. $h h \leftarrow k$. Gmc. $\chi \chi$ is very rare. Got. hlahjan, OE. hlichhan is lost in OHG. and replaced by derived weak verb, lahkèn, lachen; bluhhen: Pa. pluhhenti = flagrans (142. 14) ; intrihhen ( $=$ reveal) ; kihōhhu $=$ I shall exalt (GI. I. ${ }^{2} 78$ ). Further examples Litbl. 1887, ili. A few rare cases where gemination has been caused by w: M. nahhitun; Got. nēhidēdun (Hench, 120); sehhan: sāhhun (Got. saíhuan: sēhun); O. firlīche. Got. leihuan more usually -hihe (cf. Kelle, 528). Possibly, other examples levelled out by reviser.
hh caused by $n$ : zuhhun beside zugun (Graff, 5.620 ; PBB. xii. $5^{24}$ ). An apparent secondary gemination is occasionally found in OHG. in such forms as: dehhein, nohhein, beside dehein, \&c. These probably arose because $h$ is not initial in the second syllable, therefore not mere aspirate, but final in first, therefore still $=\chi$, and thus for clearness written $c h$.

Notker.
229 xiii. $h$ in Notker has a different effect on neighbouring vowel according to its value.
(a) Medial $h$ is a mere aspirate which often falls between short vowels, causing contraction and lengthening: zehen: zen, sweher: suēr, trahan: trān, mahalōn: mālōn. $h$ occasionally falls in other words also: slahen, sehen, \&c. After long vowels $h$ rarely falls: gāhes : gāes, huohe : hūe, hōho: hō. As a rule, however, $h$ remains after long vowel, but makes it short : sūhun : sáhen, nāhor : náher, lihan: lîhen, ziohan: zîhen, scuoha: scûha, \&c. Even the $h$ found after long vowels as cons. glide produces the same effect: sāet, N. sáhet ; mūel, N. múhet; but cf. Kögel, $P B B$. ix. 54 I.

In Np. $i$ becomes ie before $h:$ siho, N. sieho; gihel, N. jiehet; even the short $i$ which has arisen from $i$ under influence of $h$ : lihan, N. líhen, liehen.
(b) $h=\chi$ in N . causes $\bar{u}$ to become $\bar{u} o$, and $\bar{i}$ to become $\bar{e}$ : Tīhti, N. liehli; dühla: dūohta, rūh: ruoh (but rúher). Braune, Ahd. Gr. § 154.
xiv. $h$ is in gram. change with $g$ or with $z$. Double forms arising from levelling : sigethan: sijchen, gisehan: gisezan, herizoho: hcrizogo, zuhil : sugril, \&c. ; such cases are not of course to be misinterpreted as change of $h$ to $g$, or $h$ to $z$.

## II. Liquids 1 and r.

i. $l$ in OHG. appears to have become voiceless in the neighbourhood $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ of voiceless $s$, and the group $s l$ is therefore sometimes denoted by $s k l$, sct (211).
ii. $/$ sometimes appears for $r$ in foreign words ; this change is usually due to some form of dissimilation. Lat. peregrinus, OHG. piligrim; Lat. murmuı'āre, OHG. murmulōn (or murmurōn). Occasionally alternative forms arise. Beside the OHG. usual martorōn O. has marlolōn, and beside chirihha N . has chilichū, which is a characteristic Alem. form.

Loss of $\mathbf{r}$.
i. In monosyllables after long vowel $r$ falls in OHG. after the $\mathbf{2 3 1}$ eleventh century. Will. wec̄, dī , hie, \&c. We find hia even earlier: Sam. 30 and Freis. O. (see Kelle, 5 12). Sporadically we find OHG. sprehhan appearing without $r$ as spehhun: Graff, ii. 369 ; Litbl. 1887, 110 (cf. OE. specan).
ii. $l l: O$. has $l l \leftarrow \|$ in guallīhi $: \leftarrow r l$ in fillorane, filloriniu.
iii. The pronunciation of $r$ in final unaccented syllables may not have been very decided. O. rhymes arnōn: korn, gisamanōt: wort, nōt: gibōt : widerort, and even writes widarot (cf. Braune, Ahd. Gr. § 120 ).
$\mathbf{r}$ as cons. glide.
iv. $r$ is sometimes found as a cons. glide between two vowels in hiatus. Lw. zoola-r ubar, 57 ; Erf. Judeneid. bistu-r-unsculdic.

Metathesis of $\mathbf{r}$.
v. Metathesis of $r$ is extremely rare in OHG., though more frequent in MIG. and LG. Lorsch. B. Kirst.
vi. Some curious $r$ 's are not yet quite satisfactorily explained.
$r d$ for th (Got. pp) in erdo, Got. aíppáu (2 10 ).
$r$ in the preterite of some reduplicating verbs. $r$ in scrirun, from scrian (perhaps here as a glide (iv), or by analogy to verbs in $s(236)$ which take $r$ in the pret. plural by Verner's Law).

## III. Nasals m and n.

Assimilation of OHG. m .
i. $m n$ is usually assimilated either to $m m$ or to $m n$. Is., T., \&c. 232 neminian, stimna, later nennen, stimma.
ii. When $m$ comes by composition to stand before dental cons. in late OHG. times it is rarely assimilated in writing, though it is quite possible that it was so in popular pronunciation : tuomtagr, harmscara, ruomgerni, \&c. The haranskara of Lw . is quite exceptional.
iii. OHG. $m$ before $p f$ or $f$ (from $p$ ) usually remains labial : kempfo, kemfo (Isidor's kenfo is exceptional) ; but OHG. m before $f$ from Gimc. $f$ tends to become $n$, which proves that Gmc. $f$ must have
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begun to lose its pure bilabial quality and to become labio-dental (cf., however, Heusler, 122 (192). This tendency of $m$ to pass to $n$ before Gmc. $f$ is first observable in Fr. after the beginning of the ninth century: fimf, zumft, semfii become finf, zunft, senfti. Isidor still has $m$. T. hesitates, O . has $n$ everywhere: UG. retains $m$ longest; as late as the eleventh century the $n$ 's are still in the minority (cf. Kögel, 59). K. has $2 n$ 's : unsenfti, finfta. Not till MHG. does $n$ become the rule in UG. also.
iv. In OHG. ram-rammes beside raban-rabanes is a case of assimilation. Pr. Gmc. * xratan, gen. * xrabnes, should give OHG. hraban -hrammes. The double forms arise by levelling in both directions (cf. OE. $h r x f n$ beside $h r \not r m$, and N.E. Ramsborough, \&c.).
v . When $m$ comes in OHG. to stand before $t$, a $p$-glide is sometimes generated between them : Freis. O. giruamptin.

## Final m in OHG.

vi. Final flexional $m$ tends to become $n$; this change began in the Fr. before mid-ninth century. Is. and Wk. still have $m$, T. a few $m$ 's, but mostly $n$ (Sievers, 20) ; O. and later only $n$. In UG. the change began even earlier. The oldest Gl., as well as B., R ${ }^{b}$, M., Exh., have already some $n$ 's; in H. $n$ appears in considerable numbers. But $m$ maintains its ground beside $n$ in UG. till the beginning of the ninth century. By the time of Musp. $n$ has been completely triumphant.

## Writings.

## 233

vii. Final nasal, whether $m$ or $n$, can be denoted in MSS. by a horizontal stroke above the vowel, so that during the transition stage (vi) doubt may exist whether the stroke denotes an $m$ or an $n$. Thus: Pa. zütflen, K. siginüfl, Lex Sal. sinē. The scribes themselves evidently hesitated, so that they even write an $m$ where it has no etymological justification. Pn.: kot fater almahticum. Examples also in Pa., K., Ra, Kögel, 57 , and Graff, ii. 590.
N. I. Pr. Gmc. $n$ (IG. $n$ or $m$ ) remains for the most part unchanged in OHG. Before dental cons. $n$ is found ; original $n$ cannot stand before labials (98), but may come into that position by syncope, just as $m$ may come to stand before dentals: inbiz, later imbiz. Before gutturals $n$ has the value of guttural nasal $n$ (Pr. Gmc. $n$ had been lost before $\chi, 109$. OHG. $m n$ may arise from : (a) Gmc. $n n$ : rinnan, kunnan, mannes, \&c.; (b) W. Gmc. nn: kunni, \&c., and UG. even after long vowel suannan (Musp.) ; (c) Late assimilation: firstannissi from firstantnissi.

## II. Assimilation of n in OHG.

i. The change $n$ to $m$ before labials no doubt took place in OHG. pronunciation even in recent compounds, but these by analogy retained the writing of $n$ (232): win-leri, bein-berga, cben-michel, \&c. Exceptions are rare: cf. spambette (Graff, iii. 51) ; N. skimbāre beside skīnuäre; Hl. staimbortchludun. In the case of prefixes in and un,
though unmatht, inböt are the most usual forms, we find occasionally ummaht, imbüt, \&cc. (HI. 25. ummet).

## Dissimilation of $\mathbf{n}$.

ii. $n$ appears to have been dissimilated before $m$ in Fr. sliumo. 234 T., O. for UG. smiume ; the $/$ form does not extend to the UG. till late, but N. has slieme. OIIG. kumil: kumin, himil, ('ot. himine, are not cases of dissimilation but different suffixes (IO2).

Loss of $\mathbf{n}$.
iii. $n$ occasionally falls when final, especially in E. Fr. Würz. B. faste, gihere, furstu; T. fara, arouge, unsa : unzan ; cf. Pietsch. O. often omits final $n$ in rhyme: sistual: suat. In late OHG. we find examples of this loss of final $n$ even in UG. Nerig. uuese ( $=$ wesan, $5^{2}$ ). Loss of final $n$ is comparatively frequent in certain MHG. dialects ( $W^{*}$ einhold ${ }^{2}$, §372).
iv. In secondary syllable $n$ in $n_{g}(=p)$ tends to disappear in OHG. As early as T. we find kunigy for kiuning, pfonnig for pfonning, suntrigun for suntringun ; M. tendigo; GI. i. 309.27 chuniges. In late OHG. this loss of $n$ becomes more common ( $Z$ s.fid. 37. 124).

In some texts $n$ for $n g(=n)$ occurs, especially in the Phys. $g_{0}$ zanen for gezangen, sprinet for springet, zunon for zungon. Gl. ii. 162 prinit $=$ pringit, 169 prunan $=$ prungan, 188 piziruno $=$ pczirungo.
v. Careless scribes sometimes omit $n$ (Kögel, 61), H. ast $=$ anst ; or $n$ is ignored by copyist of forms like unatih $=$ wantih, T. 205. 3 .
vi. Phys. has $n$ for $n d$ in $u n=$ und. dorstuner $=$ do crstund or. $u n$ is often found in MiSS. of thirteenth century and appears to be a secondary form of $u n d$.
vii. Occasionally $n n$ is found for $n$. O. fonne, thannana, binnith $=$ bin ih, i. 25.5 ; Kelle, 5 r. 3 . Phys. annimo $=$ an imo. daranna, \&c. And $n g$ for $g$ : einingeru, iungundi, iungundlihh.

## Semi-vowels j and w.

i. Initial $j$ is sometimes lost in late UG. O. iumèr, grnēr; N. amèr, ener: the two words are rare except in O . and N .
ii. The writing $g$ for $j$ is especially frequent before the vowels $c$ and $i$, probably for graphic reasons. From the verb jchan the preterite iah, īhun, but Infinitive gehan, pres. grihu, and derivatives ligiht, stigiht, \&c.

Similarly the verbs jeten-jesan, often gretan-gisan. In the eighth and ninth centuries $g$ is the more usual writing in these words, though Is. has biiihti, Wk. biichamis. Later $i$ comes into vogue beside $g$ even before $e$ and $i$, especially in N. and Will. N. iehet, W. iéhent. Before other vowels than $e$ and $i$ the writing ${ }^{g}$ for $j$ is very rare. MI. gung $i$ ron = iungiron, Wess. Pred. ze gunste (jungiste).
iii. The part. $j \bar{u}$ is frequently written giviu beside $i \bar{i}$. In $N$. the $j$ of iu appears to have become a vowel, for he writes iú or iū. Kögel cxplains this giū as $=j u \bar{u}$, and as standing in graded relation to $j \overline{i u}$. Libl. 1887, $\boldsymbol{\text { I о }}$

## J as consonant glide.

iv. (a) Medially between vowels $j$ frequently appears in OHG. as a cons. glide. It is especially common in verbs whose roots end in a long vowel or a diphthong: sāen and sāian, bluoan and bluoian, \&c. So also after $i$ or $c i$ before a vowel: T. fïiant beside fiant, Mers. Zs. vĭgandum, T. frīge to frì, BR. frigeèr. So in the words eigen, eiis, or pl. eiger ; see (e): scrian, pres. scrīit or scrīit.
(b) In some cases the $j$-glide is introduced into words which originaily had a $w$. From hizvi-hiien, higi, higiski, \&c. Similarly from fuir-vugir (Musp. 59).
(c) In the originally reduplicating verbs whose stem ends in $\bar{a}$ or $u 0$ the $j$ that is frequently found in their flexion may arise from analogy with the non-graded verbs of Class I.
(d) In UG., especially Bav., the non-graded verbs of II and III (in on and $\bar{e} n$ )adopt the endings of Class I in the subj. pres., and frequently then develop a $j$-glide before the flexional $e$. For salbö occur salböe, salböje or salböge, for habē, habēe, habēje, or habēge; so rīchisōia, chōsöge, \&c.
(e) In a few words medial $j$ between vowels is not an OHG. glide developed, but is historically correct from Pr. Gmc. ii (ro3); so OHG. ci, ON. eggs, OHG. zweiio, ON. tveggja, Got. twaddjē, OHG. hwaijön = to neigh. Cf. $P B B$. ix. $54^{2}$.

## 237 Medially after consonant.

v. $j$, as seen above, tends to fall medially after consonant. In the ninth century Pa., K., Ra frequently retain $j$; later Alem., B., R ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, H. $j$ is very rare: Bav., Exh. christiäniun, purgeo, filleol, redia, sunteono; Wess. Geb. (if Bav.) cuteo, wenteo, willeon. Musp. only has lougiu (53), otherwise $j$ is gone.

Fr. early texts. Wk. rehtiu, helliu, gilaubiu, scepphion, thurfteo, sundeöno, secchia, gihōrie; less frequently $j$ is lost : sunta, heilanto, thisu. In T. $j$ has fallen before $e$, though still frequent before $u$ : waniu, cunnuiu (Sievers, 7). In O. the $j$ is completely lost.

The exact chronology of this loss of $j$ it is not possible to determine, as $j$ 's keep reappearing throughout the whole period. It seems probable that $j$ produced a slight modification of the preceding cons. (cf. NHG. Familie, Signal), which some scribes were content to ignore and some chose to denote by following $j$, so that, while Isidor writes scarcely any $j$ 's and O. none, the Lw., which is later than either, still has its $j$ 's quite correctly: geendiöt, sundiöno, zvillion, kunnie, ellian. (It must be borne in mind, however, that the Lw. is not free from traces of LG. influence, and it is possible that this retention of $j$ might be such another.) The quality of following vowel appears to have had some influence on the falling of $j: j$ falls earhest before $i$ prior to OHG. times ( 127 ). In OHG. it is rarest before $e$, more frequent before $a$, most frequent before $o$ and $u$.

## $\mathbf{J}$ after $\mathbf{r}$.

vi. Kluge holds that $j$ after $r$ had already in Pr. Gmc. become vocalic, a fact that would account both for the lack of lengthening in W. Gmc.
and for the persistence of $i$ after $r$ in OHG. Forms like nerien are then three-syllabled (Gr. ${ }^{2}$ i. 379). Heinzel corroborates this view (Z.f. ©̈st. Gym. 41. 227) by showing that the music of the Petruslied scans nertian and skician as trisyllabic. In the writings nerigen, \&c., $g$ is then a $j$-glide secondarily developed (iv). Braune, on the other hand (Ahd. Gr. § 118.3), contends, though not convincingly, that $j$ after $r$ differed from other $j$ 's, not in being vocalic, but in partaking more of the nature of $g$, and he explains the threesyllabled nerigen, \&c., as arising from the development of a secondary vowel between $r$ and $g$. This view might seem to be strengthened by the fact that in three or two words OHG. $j$ after $r$ has passed into NHG. g; cf. Fcrge (OHG. ferio, vergo), Scherge (to OHG. scāra), Latzeerge (late Latin electuarium, MHG. electuārje) (cf. Kluge, s. v.). Against Braune's view may be noted the forms in which $h$ occurs instead of $j$ : Gl. i. 371 lierihunga beside heriunga and herigunga; K. zerihan beside zeerien and zerigen, \&c.; which strengthen the interpretation of the $g$ in nerigen as a glide, since $h$. $j$, and $w$ were interchangeable in this capacity.
vii. The Fr. and Alem. rr from $r j$ after short vowel is a purely OHG. assimilation, not a W . Gmc. lengthening, for while there occur in early OHG. zeillio, sellien, \&c., ()S. willio, sellian, \&c. (which prove that the $\mathbb{W}$. Gmc. lengthening took place before the loss of the $j$, and could not consequently be due to assimilation), nerrien, szeerrien are never found. The $r$ forms are, however, not the only ones in Fr. and Alem., and as late as N . we still find $r j$ side by side with $r r$. N . Boeth. genérien.
viii. The OHG. rr found after long vowel in UG. is to be dis- 239 tinguished from the above. It occurs only in the UG. texts which show lengthening of all other consonants also after long syllable: BR. hörran for O. hören, lèrran for O. Têren; but here it is merely the W. Gmc. lengthening, and in earlier texts rōrriün, rörreōno ( $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{l}}$ ) are found. Such $r r$ 's are common in N.: wärra, \&c.
ix. A very few words in OHG. appear to have had vocalized $i$, not $j$, after consonant.
(1) Winia (f. of wini) $=$ beloved, N. and Will. It occurs in Merig. also (80) as winiga.
(2) Beside bruma (OF. byrne) O. has the form brunia, with single $n$ and retained $i$. Graff, iii. 3 I2.
(3) OHG. kervia, Lat. cavea, has vocalic $i$; MHG. kerie, kevige; NHG. Käfig $(j \rightarrow g$ as in Ferge, \&c.).
x. OHG. reda, redīn bear no trace of the lengthened $d$ to be expected from the $j$ forms met with in OHG. B. radia, redia, redea; so Exh. and M., and even O. frequently retains $j:$ redia, rediön, adj. redie (i. I. 75).
xi. In secondary syllables $j$ appears to have exercised in OHG. 240 a partial progressive assimilation on a following $a$, so that $j a \rightarrow j e$ and with the loss of $j \rightarrow e$. Hence the infinitive of weak verbs of Class I (and certain jan presents of graded verbs) ends in the oldest OHG. in en, while the ordinary graded verbs end in an. Thus
nerien, sizzen, swerien, bitten beside zeesan, neman, \&c. : similarly, the third person of the same verbs: nerient beside nemant. This alternation was, however, soon levelled out by analogy, regardless of original presence or absence of $j$, and different dialects level out differently, so that roughly it may be said that UG. prefers $a$-forms, Franc. the $\epsilon$-forms.

In nouns the same difference existed originally. The nomin. of the $o$-stems: geba, of the jo-stems: sunte. Very early, however, in the eighth and ninth centuries the doubly analogical form suntea comes into use (modelled on gধba and oblique cases like suntiui). Cf. Bernhardi, Phil. St. 1896.

## w.

241 i. After initial cons. $w$ often falls before $u$ (or $o$ ), as already W. Gmc. $u$ had done. OHG. huosto, OE. hwō̄sta; OHG. suozi, OE. swëte.

Forms with $w$ are rare: Pa. has 15 ; Freis. O. suuazi (Kelle, 483). Sorga would seem to come under the same category if sworga, a form found both in T. and O., is taken to be the original form, but all the Gmc. dialects have forms without the w. Except in these cases, loss of $w$ is rare in OHG., even before $o$ and $u$, because it is usually retained or restored by analogy to forms in which it precedes other vowels. Instead of suor (suar), \&c., which is found in O. (Kelle, 482), more often sutuor occurs by analogy to infinitive swerien; so beside duog (to dwahan) more often duug. The past part. of dwingan in the oldest texts is often gedungan or githungan (Musp. 61 pidungan), later gidzungan. Is. swimman, Gl. 1309 ; past part. uzsumman; see Litbl. 1888, 109; and $P B B$. vii. 160, $G r r^{2}$ i. 378.
ii. OHG. kw (qu) loses its $w$ in late Alem. OHG. quedan, N. cheden, quellen-chelen, quec-cheg, erquickit; Physiol. erchichit, quat-chat, choat. The other dialects retain $q u$.
iii. Common to all dialects in late OHG is $k o-k u=q u e-q u i$ in the verb queman-quimu, which appears as comen-cumu. Not till very late do other words with que follow suit: quena, quenala occur as chone, conela ; and in late Bav. we find choden for quidan. Merig. 92 chodint.
iv. $w$ after cons. affects a following $i$ before double nasal, and rounds it to $u$ in N . (probably $=\ddot{u}$ (Litbl. 1887, 109)). OHG. swimman, N. suиúmmen; OHG. gczimnan, N. gunúnnen. But N. has gezeimnen when $e$ of prefix is not syncopated (guuinnen, however, also occurs, and in N. Ps. gevuunnen, with $e$ of prefix restored).
v. ze after initial dental cons. tends in UG. to generate a secondary vowel: K. zoū̃̄al = zū̄̃̌al, \&c.

Final w.
242 M. sēu; R. ikaruta, inkarutèr ; Is. and MI. sèula (OS. sēola, Got. sáizuala) (Leid. Will. siela, otherwise OHG. sēla). See $P B B$. vii. 168.
vii. Already in O.'s time final o after long vowel has completely disappeared. In ēo, hreīo the diphthong was shortened before loss of $o$, therefore eo, io, and h)weo, hizio do not drop the $o$.

Medial w after cons.
viii. $z$ after $s$ is rare: zis(a)za ; treso-tres'a)zers: generally a secondary vowel is developed and in many cases OHIG., in common with other W. Gme. dialects, had lost $w$ after cons. (except $l$ and $r$ ):


See $G r .{ }^{2}$ i. 378,428 .
It is highly probable that this loss of $w$ is merely due to analogy, as it first occurs before guttural vowels and after long syllables. Words which originally had hze or kre( 2 ) occasionally appear with lengthened $h$ or $k$, showing the working of the $w$ (Litbl. 1887, 109) : ahha, sehhan, ackus, nackot, \&cc. (cf. 129, 130).
ix. In the second half of compounds initial $z$ often falls, and the following vowel is obscured (cf. OE. hläford for hläf-weard): *heimweart $\rightarrow$ heimort, *framwert $\rightarrow$ frammort; OE. zeyrt walu, OHG. weurzala. Cf. PBB. xii. 378 , and especially in proper names compounded with -walt, -wolf, which become -olt, -olf.

Medial w after an originally long vowel.
x. After long vowel medial $w$ is frequent in Gmc. and in OHG.; 243 cf. brā̃ea, fräzuer, ē̃ea, spizean, \&c. This w sometimes falls both in early and late OHG., and in various texts we find forms like : grāer , $\bar{i}$, spian, wī̀ri (beside wizū̄ri, Lat. vizarium). The w-forms are the more usual, however, unless a cons. follows, in which case $w$ is always lost: pret. hîta to hizuen, lāta to līzen ( $P B B$. xi. 7 I ).

## w as glide.

xi. After a long vowel or diphthong in OHG. $w$ is often merely a cons. glide developed in hiatus, especially after $u$ : süen or süzuen, T.; bluoan or bluozean.

The forms with $w$-glide are especially popular in E. Fr., but the glideless forms predominate. In some cases it is not easy to determine whether $w$ is original or is merely a glide, as in: büan beside büwan, trūen beside trüwen (PBB. vi. 569). As has been seen, $h$ and $j$ (written sometimes as $g$ ) are also frequent in such words as glides: sücn, sühen, süian or säzuen, \&\&c. Sometimes $h$ or $j$ even replace an original $\tau$.
T. hìien, higiski, higi (to hizui) ; Ja. unkihigitèr (unmarried); Phys. uspiget; Denkm. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{xxx} .162$ itniugiu $=$ miuziu; N. ge-hïien, hïon; O. (P.) hihun ; Np. èha.

To explain this the loss of $z$ must of course be first assumed, and then the development of the glide in hiatus.
w after a short vowel.
ww.
xii. Original ww, whether Pr. Gmc. ww (a) or W. Gmc. ww (b) 244
( 1 16), vocalizes the first $w$ in OHG. to $u$, which then forms a diphthong with whatever vowel precedes.
(I) Pr. Gmc. aua gives OHG. awa.
(2) Pr. Gmc. auиua gives OHG. auzva.

But OHG. spelling often confuses the two, for ( I ) is written autua and (2) auuua, and (2) is constantly simplified to auua, and the OHG. spellings are thus very little clue to the actual value of the sounds.
(a) Pr. Gmc. wzo is replaced in Got. by ggw, in ON. by ggv : in OHG. auz becomes ouz.

ON. hggova, OHG. hauwan, houwan.
Got. glaggzus, ON. gleggr, OHG. glauwèr, glouzēr skuggwa skauwōn, skouwōn.
When Pr. Gmc. zuv came to stand final, as in the strong preterite or uninflected adjeciive, \&c., ww was of course simplified to $w$ and forms a diphthong. To bliuzean, riuzean, pret. blou, rou. To glouwèr-glou, tourwes-tou, \&c.
ww after e and $\mathbf{i}$.
In Pr. Gmc. $e$ and $i$ interchange by $i / j$ mutation: OS. treuura and triunni; in OHG., however, $i$ always stands before wro, thus OHG. triuwa and triuwi, riuzuan, iuweer, bliuzoan (Got. bliggzean, OE.* blēowan). Here, again, when wow becomes final the second $w$ falls, and only the diphthong is left. To spriumes-spriu, to trinwa-triuhaft, to iuwer-iu (dat. pl.). In all these cases writings with three $u$ 's are very common. Cf. Braune, Ahd. Gr., § 30. 2 ; $P B B$. vi. 87.
ww after u.
The result is of course īzw. Pret. pl. of bliuwan $=$ blüwun, past part. giblüwan.
245 (b) W. Gmc. ww. Forms which in W. Gmc. have ww arising from $w$ followed by $j$ almost always alternate with forms with $w$ where no $j$ was present, and both must therefore be treated of together.

Single w.
(a) After $a$ : W. Gmc. *fraze-, uninflected OHG. frao, soon contracted to frō, inflected became frawèr, which passed to frowèr (influence of $z$ on a). The $\bar{o}$ of the uninflected form influences the inflected form, and it appears as frōer. So with the words frō, rō, strō, $f \overline{0}, \& c$.

When $j$ follows in W. Gmc. doubling arises and the consequent diphthong $+w$ in OHG. Pr. Gmc. *frawj-, W. Gmc. *frawwj-, OHG. * fraureja, frouwa.

The diphthong is incapable of undergoing $i$-mutation in OHG., so that it remains: OHG. frowwen, from * frawerjan.

In cases, however, where $i$, not $j$, followed in W. Gmc., there is no doubling, consequently no diphthong, and the simple $a$ before simple $w$ is able to undergo $i$-mutation in OHG. The pret. of the verb frourven thus is frewita, from *frawida.

Similarly, from nom. *hawi, gen. *hazerves, the OHG. forms are
herei-homats, likewise grai-gonzers. The natural confusion and double levelling ensue, so that in OHG. both hicou-heroes and hourvihomates, \&c., are found.

The are forms and lack of mutation prevail in Bavarian, which 246 prefers: homail, goumei, fromaien-frouita, while the single $w$-forms with mutation are more popular in Alem. and Franc. : hewi, gewi, frewenfricita.

Otfrid's metre shows clearly that the OHG. writing fremucn $=$ frawen and not frewzen, because he scans the first syllable as short (PBB. ix. 529). Moreover, there is no OHG. $i$-mutation of ou to eu .

Isolated forms occur in Wk. Originally $w$ seems to have given rise to a diphthong: Is. fremmui, fremumidha.

Similarly, the apparent change of $a$ to $o$ in frazer to frower may point to the diphthong *fratuer as an intermediate stage. This is rendered more likely by occasional forms as O. frou $=$ frö, $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ strau. strou $=$ stro.

## (b) After e and $\mathbf{i}$.

Gmc. e remains unaltered before single $\%$. OHG. gezeñn, gisezuan (p.p. to sehan).

Final $w$ became o: knewes-kneo (or knio).
When $i$ follows in W. Gmc., $e \rightarrow i$; when $j$ follows, $e \rightarrow i$ and $w \rightarrow$ थぇ. *sizrajan-*sizeida, OHG. sinuen-sizila. *neryjo, OHG. nimzv.

Gmc. $i$ remains before single $w$. Pret. pl. lizeun, stizenn, \&c., to lihan, spizian, \&c. The MSS. sometimes have three $u$ 's here where there is no justification for them : ferliuuuen, \&c. (PBB. ix. 539).
xiii. A medial $w$ in secondary syllable is sometimes vocalized owing to want of stress, and then combines with the preceding stressed vowel to form a diphthong or long vowel. OHG. frewita; N. fréuta, séula, sêla, éo, hwḕo, eo, hreeo (Litbl. 1887, iro). Cf. Braune, Ahd. Gr., § 30.2 and § 114 .

## OHG. CONSONANTS AND THEIR ORIGIN

247 In the last chapter the development in detail was traced of the Gmc. consonants in OHG., and it may be of use to review the same ground from the reverse standpoint. In the following are given, in alphabetical order, the chief consonant sounds of OHG., their Pr. Gmc. and Gothic equivalents, with an example of each to serve as a key-word.

Account is taken of the chief dialect variations, but of course the entirely exceptional developments which are already dealt with in 190 ff . are not cited, nor are fancy spellings which but rarely occur, such as $b p h, c l i h, f p f$, as these have no etymological interest and have been already noticed.

| OHG. Pr. Gmc. |  |  | OHG. | Got. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 万 |  | bindan | bintan |
| BB |  | W. Gmc. $\begin{aligned} & \text { b } \\ & \text { or } \\ & 6 b\end{aligned}$ | sibbea | sibja |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}(k \text { before } a, o, u, \\ \text { and in } s c) \\ (z \text { before } e, i) \end{gathered}$ | $k$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cunnan } \\ & \text { cit } \end{aligned}$ | kunnan |
| CC |  | W. Gmc. $k$ k | accar | akrs |
| CCH | $k^{*}$ | " | UG. acchar |  |
| CH (for $h h$ ) | $k$ |  | zeichan | táikns |
| ( , , kh) | $k$ |  | UG. khorn | kaúrn |
| (, , kkh) |  | W. Gmc. $k$ k | ", achar |  |
| ( , qu) | ku |  | Late Alem. ched | $=$ queden |
| CHU | kur |  | UG. chuedan | qipan |
| CK | $k$ | W. Gmc. kk | ackar | akrs |
| D | $\beta$ |  | bruoder | brōpar |
|  |  | W. Gmc. $d$ ( 122 ) | M. and |  |
|  |  |  | Rh. Fr. dag | dags |
| DD | $p p$ |  | eddes | aíppáu |
| DH | $\beta$ |  | Is. bruodher | brōpar |
| E | $j$ (b | efore $a$ and $o$ ) | sibbea | sibja |
| F | $f$ |  | faran | faran |
|  | $p$ |  | slāfan | slēpan |
| $($ for $p f$ ) | $p$ |  | Alem. Alegan | - pflegan |
| FF | $p$ |  | giscaffan | skapans |
|  |  | W. Gmc. ff ( 1 16) | heffen | hafjan |
| (for $p f$ ) | $p^{i}$ | W. Gmc. pp (118) | Alem. sceffen | skapjan |
| G | $\stackrel{\otimes}{2}$ |  | geban | giban |
| $=j$ | $j$ |  | semer | jains. |
| GG | $8^{3}$ | W. Gmc. $g$ g | huggen | hugjan |



| OHG． | Pr．Gm |  | OHG． | Got． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SS | Ss |  | gizuiss（er） | gazviss |
|  | $s^{j}$ | W．Gmc．ss（ I 16） | knussen | ＊inusjan |
| T | す | W．Gmc．$d$（122） | UG．and |  |
|  |  |  | E．Fr．tag | dags |
|  | $t$ |  | stein | stáins |
| TH | $p$ |  | Fr．bruother | brōpar |
| TT | ${ }^{j}$ | W．Gmc．$d d$（121） | bitten | bidjan |
|  | $t^{r}$ | W．Gmc．tt（120） | bittar | báitrs |
|  | $p^{j}$ | W．Gmc．$\beta p$（116） | fettah |  |
| TZ | $t^{j}$ | W．Gmc．$t t(\mathrm{I} 16)$ | setzen | sitjan |
| $\mathrm{U}(f)$ | $f$ |  | uaran | faran |
| （w） | 2 |  | uunt | zunda |
| UU | $\underline{\sim}$ |  | mulf | wulfs |
|  | $\chi^{76}$ |  | uner | has |
| $\mathrm{W}=u u$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}=h s$ |  |  | Is．wexsal， | G．wehsal |
| $\mathrm{Z}=t s$ | $t$ |  | herza | hairtō |
|  | $t t$ |  | scaz | skatto |
|  | $t^{i}$ | W．Gmc．tt | sezen | satjan |
|  |  |  | （more often | zzen） |
| 二弓 | ， |  | läzan | lētan |
| $\mathrm{ZZ}=1 s$ | $t^{j}$ | W．Gmc．$t t$ | sezzen | satjan |
| $=3 \%$ | $t$ |  | eъzan | itan |

## CHAPTER IV

## YOWEL SYSTEM

Since the earliest monuments of OHG. go back only to the eighth 248 century, the OHG. vowel system cannot be understood without a comparison with the vowel systems of Pr. Gmc. and of IG. As has been shown, the chief vowel sounds group themselves round five vowels, denoted by the symbols $a, c, i, o, u$, which, according to their duration, may be either long or short and, according to their quality, either open or closed : cf. 37.

A further distinction is made according to the mode of production of the respective sounds:
(a) Guttural vowels, $a, o, u$.
(b) Palatal vowels, $e, i$.

IG. had the following vowel system :
Short vowels, $i, e, a, o, u$.
Long vowels, $\bar{i}, \bar{e}, \bar{c}, \bar{o}, \bar{u}$, and further the colourless sound $\partial$, known as the 'Schwa' or reduced vowel (264). This sound is present in all IG. languages, and varies in shade of tone between $a$ and $e$, according to the consonant which it precedes. Thus in stressed syllable it appears in Gmc. as $a$, in unstressed syllable as $u$; in Sk. usually as $i$ ( $a$ before $i$-vowels, see Brugmann, i, § I09). IG. *polar, Sk. pilár-, Gk. тaтíp, Got. fadar, OHG. fater.

Each of the above vowels could form a diphthong with a following 249 $i$ or $u$. If the first component was short, the diphthong was a normal one ; if the first component was long, then the diphthong was long. Thus IG. has the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ti, cul, ai, au, oi, ou, дi, วu. } \\
& \bar{e} \underset{\sim}{i}, \bar{e} u, \bar{a} i, \bar{a} u, \bar{\sim}, \bar{i}, \bar{o} u \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Of. the latter, $\bar{o} \dot{\lambda}, \bar{q} u$ were shortened and treated like oi, au. (For $\bar{e} i \underline{c}$ see 260-1.) $\partial i$ and $\partial u$ need not be considered, as in Gmc. they coincided with $a i$ and $a u$.

Beside these vowels, IG. had the sonant liquids $l, r$, and the sonant 250 nasals $n l, n$. These were vocalic, i.e. could be syllabic. Various theories have been advanced as to the origin of this force ; some hold
that vocalic $l, \gamma, n, m$ are due to the reduction of the vowel in unstressed syllable, e. g. in an original el, em, \&c., just as $i$ resulted from $i$, $i e$; others, that the weak vowel maintained itself in unstressed syllable ; cf. Brugmann, i. 192, and Bechtel, Hauptprobleme, p. 115. Be this as it may, there is no doubt that in all IG. languages these consonants are or can be sonant, but tend to produce a vowel sound which varies in each language. This usually preceded the consonant, but also can follow it ; in Gk. $a$, in Lat. o $(\rightarrow u)$ with liquids and $e$ with nasals. No explanation of this development which has as yet been brought forward is entirely satisfactory.
! , $\boldsymbol{r}$
n, n
Sk. rksas
dáśa
Gk. ä $\rho \kappa т о$ о
б́єка
Lat. ursus (orsus) decem

The IG. vowel system did not pass into Pr. Gmc. without changes. In the following only those changes are enumerated which took place in stressed syllable, as the Germanic fixing of the accent, which heretofore had been free, caused unaccented and final vowels to develop on different lines, so that they require separate treatment.

251
I. IG. $\bar{a}$ becomes $\bar{o}$ :

| Sk. | Gk. (Dor.) | Lat. | Got. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mātā | ци́тךр | māter |  | mōdor | muoter |
|  | фаүós | fägus | bōka | $b \bar{o} c$ | buohha |
| bhriàta | фра́т $\omega \rho$ | frater | brōpar | brōpor | bruoder |

II. IG. $o$ becomes $a$ :

| Sk. | Gk. | Lat. | Got. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| astau | óктө | octo | ahtáu | (eahta) | ahto |
|  |  | hostis | gasts | (3iest) | gast |
|  |  | nox | nahts | (niht) | naht |

Hence also the diphthongs $o \underset{\sim}{i}$ and $o u$ become respectively $a i$

Sk.

IG. roudhos
Gen. Sg. -ous

Gk. Lat.
oinos-umus
rüfus
fruct-ūs
аٌкои́ш

| Got. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wáat | wāt | weiz |
| áins | àn | cin |
| ráujs | read | rōt |
| sun-áus |  |  |
| háus-jan | hieran | hōren |

Note.-IG. $\bar{o} u$ in Gmc. before consonant becomes $\bar{o}$ in all the dialects, but before vowels in Gothic it $\rightarrow$ au [ $=\bar{\imath}$ ? ], in OHG. $\rightarrow \bar{u}$; Gothic bauan, trauan; OHG. büzwan, trüzvan. See $P B B$. vi, pp. $3^{82}$ and 564 , also R. Trautmann, Germ. Lautgesetze.

252 III. IG. $\bar{e}$ becomes Pr. Gmc. $\bar{x}$ (i. e. an open $\bar{\varepsilon}$ ). This may, however, be only an apparent change, as possibly IG. $\bar{e}$ was open.

It appears in Gk. as $\eta$, in Lat. as $\bar{e}$, in Got. as $\bar{e}$. in OHG. as $\bar{r}$.

| Gk. | Lat. | Got. | Pr. Gmc. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sėdimus | sètum | * sàt- | sïzum |
|  | idi | fr-it | * $\overline{\text { e }}$ - |  |
| $\mu \eta^{\prime \prime}$ | mensis | mèna | * mдепй | Mãno |

IV. In Gmc. stressed syllable the liquids and nasals ! m, n, $\quad 253$ develop the sound $u$, which as a rule precedes the consonant.

| IG. | Got. | OE. | OHG. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *plnós | fulls | full | voll |
| *bhitís | cra-baurrps | 3e-byrd | gi-burt |
| *intóm | hund | hund | hunt |
| *dnt- | tunjus |  |  |

Thus from the IG. vowel system have been lost the sonant liquids and nasals, the Schwa vowel, long $\bar{a}$, short 0 , and the diphthongs oi and ou. Long $\bar{e}$ has now become an open $\bar{e}$ ( $\not$, see note), but together with it there is in Pr. Gmc. another $\bar{e}$, the origin of which is obscure, as it does not appear to be a legacy from IG. It has been suggested that this $\bar{e}\left(e^{2}\right)$ [which according to some scholars was open, according to others closed (cf. Franck, Zs.fdA. 40, 51, \&c.; Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$, i. § 190 ; for genitive, \&c., cf. Feist, $P B B$. xxxii, Franck, $\left.\left.5_{5} 01\right)\right]$ derives $\bar{e}^{-2}$ by contraction from the diphthong $\bar{e} i$, since the few words in which it occurs have cognate forms with $\breve{\imath}$ or $\bar{\imath}$, with which $e i$ could interchange : thus Got., OS., OE. hēr, OHG. hear, hiar, beside Got. hidré, OS. hir. These Gmc. $e_{-}^{-’}$ s are reinforced by a few words adopted from the Latin, as mensa, vulg. Lat. mésa, Got. mès, OHG. meas, mias. In Gothic both Pr. Gmc. $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{x}$ are given by the same sign $\bar{e}$, but certainly a distinction was preserved in pronunciation, as in later Gothic the $\bar{e} \leftarrow \overline{\mathscr{X}}$ was occasionally written $c i$, but $\bar{e} \leftarrow \bar{e}$ never (cf. Streitberg, Noreen, and PBB. xi. 302, xv. I 3 I and 297 , xvi. 238 , and xviii. 499; Franck, Zs.fdA. 40).

Note.-'The development of Pr. Gmc. $\bar{\nsim}$ in the various Gmc. dialects 254 is somewhat complicated:
(a) E. Gmc. (Gothic) represents it in most cases by $\bar{e}$ (long closed e) as in IG. That it was closed in Gothic and not open is seen from the confusion in writing with $\bar{\imath}$ (written $e i$ ) and even with $\breve{\imath}$ (cf. Streitberg, Got. Gr., §77).
(b) N. Gmc. represented by $\bar{a}$. Noreen, $A . I . G r ., \S 54$.
(c) W. Gme. represented by $\bar{c}$, preserved thus in OHG., and for the most part in OS. The OE. dialects, on the other hand, do not retain the $\bar{a}$ of W. Gmc.; West Saxon reverts to the $\dot{\mathscr{e}}$ of Pr. Gmc., while Kentish and Anglian have the long closed é sound: Sievers, Ags. Gr., § 57 and § 150 , i.


Lat. sēdimus, Pr. Gmc. sēel-, Got. sēlum, ON. sé $\leftarrow$ sátum, OHG. säzum, OS. sïlum (sētum), OE. srêton (sēton).
255 The vowel system at the opening of the Primitive Germanic Period was therefore :

| Long | $\bar{x}$ | $\bar{e}$ | $\bar{o}$ | $\bar{u}$ | $\bar{i}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short | $a$ | $e$ | $u$ | $i$ |  |
| Diphthongs | $a i$ | $a u$ | $e u$ |  |  |

## Primitive Germanic Vowel Changes.

256 During the Pr. Gmc. period changes were made in the vowel system which, parallel to the consonant changes, might be either ( $a$ ) combinative or $(b)$ isolative. The most important of the combinative changes which will be met with in Gmc. is that known as Mutation (Umlaut), which is in reality only an assimilation of a vowel to a sound following, usually another vowel.

In Pr. Gmc. there are only two classes of mutation to be dealt with:
(1) that caused by following $i$ or $j$ acting on a preceding $e(e \rightarrow i)$, Pr. Gmc. $i / j$ mutation ;
(2) that caused by following $a$ or $o$ acting on a preceding $u(u \rightarrow 0)$, Pr. Gmc. a/o mutation.
257 The chief vowel changes in Pr. Gmc. are:
i. $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathrm{i}$. (a) By $i / j$ mutation when followed by an $i$ or $j$ in the same or following syllable.

| Lat. | Got. | OE. | OHG. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| medius | midjis | midd | mitti |
| est | ist | is | ist |
| sedeo | silan | sittan | sizzin |
| velit | wili | will(c) | vili |

It results from this law that the diphthong $e i$ became $i \underset{i}{ }$, which was then contracted to $\bar{i}:-$

| Gk. | Lat. | Got. | OE. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta$ бéкvvщl | dico (deico) | ga-teihan (ei=i) | (teon) | zihan |
| $\sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\chi} \chi^{\omega}$ |  | steigan |  | stĭgan |
|  |  | Pr. Gmc. * Jeuriz |  | diuri |

and that Pr. Gmc. eu before $i, j \rightarrow i u:{ }^{*}$ leuxtjan-luthten.
(b) When followed by a nasal consonant.

| Lat. | Got | OE. | OHG. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zentus | winds | weind | wint |
| gens |  |  | kind |
| sentis | sinps | minte | sind |
| mentha |  | minza |  |

Note.— $\boldsymbol{c}$ also $\rightarrow i$ in original (i.e. IG.) unstressed syllable :
*IG. egom, Lat. ego, ON. (stressed) $e k$, OHG. (unstressed) $i k$, OE. $i c$.
Nom. pl. of cons. stems: IG. ees, Gk. $\pi o ́ \partial \varrho \epsilon$, Lat. pedes, Pr. Gmc. *fotiz, OE. fèt.
ii, $u \rightarrow 0$ by $a / o$ mutation. Before an $a$, o in following syllable, 258 unless an $i$ or $j$ or nasal in the same syllable intervene. The same process, of course, took place with the diphthong eu, which became eo before a following $a$ or o, e. g. Lat. gustāre, OHG. kostōn, but Lat. gustum : Pr. Gmc. *kustiz, Got. kusts, OHG. kust, Gk. ఢvyóv, Pr. Gmc. *juka-m, OHG. joh, OE. zeoc.

So OHG. giholfan beside hulfum.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { gizeorfan } & \text { ". } & \text { zurfum. } \\ \text { gizogan } & \text { " } & \text { zugum. } \\ \text { gibotan } & " & \text { butum, but gibundan, giswumman, where the }\end{array}$
vowel $u$ is protected by a nasal.
It should be noted that only Germanic $a$ and $o$ in following syllable can produce this mutation, not any secondary vowel generated in OHG. (285) : e. g. kupfar to Latin cuprum.

With reference to a possible Pr. Gmc. a/o mutation of $i(\rightarrow e)$, see below, 277.
iii. $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{u} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{a}}, \overline{\mathbf{i}}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}$. Where $a, i, u$ were followed by nasal plus 259 Gmc. $\chi$, the nasal fell and the vowel was lengthened and nasalized. It remained nasalized in Gmc., as is shown by the OE. forms ; e. g. :

Pr. Gmc. *Xanұan, Got. hähan, OE. hōn, OHG. hāhan beside pret. hieng.

Pr. Gmc. *panxta, Got. pāhta, OF. đīhle, OHG. dāhta to infin. Got. pagkjan, OE. Jencean, OHG. denken.

Pr. Gmc. *fanxan, Got. fähan, OE. fön. OHG. fähan, pret. fieng.

Pr. Gmc. "winxan, Got. weihan, OHG. wihhan to Lat. vinco.
Pr. Gmc. *pisxan, Got. beihan, OE. गeōn, OHG. dihan to OS. p.p. githungan, OE. p.p. zeđunzen.

Pr. Gmc. *prinxan, Got. preihan: OE. drinzan, OHG. dringan.
Got. jühiza comparative to juggs, OHG. jung.
Got. hūhrus to OHG. hungar.
Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{*} u \boldsymbol{x}_{\chi}$, Got. $u h \leftarrow \bar{u} h$ to Lat. umque.
At the close of the Pr. Gmc. period, that is, at the point when the original Germanic languages began to split up into the several dialects, the following vowel system existed :-

Short Vowels $a, e, i, b, u$ as compared with $a, e, i, u$ (at the commencement).

Long Vowels $\bar{a}, \bar{e}, \bar{x}, \bar{i}, \bar{o}, \bar{u}$ as compared with $\bar{x}, \bar{e}, \bar{i}, \bar{o}, \bar{u}$.
Diphthongs ai, au, eu, iu, eo as compared with $a i, a u, e u$.

## VOWEL GRADATION

Vowel gradation is the term used to denote the certain variations in quality and quantity of the sonant element (whether in root stem or suffix) of cognate words, or within the various forms of the same word when such variations are rooted in differences which existed already in IG. These were due to manifold causes, such as reduction of sonant in weak stressed syllable, shortening, lengthening, accentuation, \&\&c.

Gothic: malan, mōl, mulda; OHG. melo.
Greek : $\pi a \tau \eta \rho_{\rho}, \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a, \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s, \pi a \tau \rho a ́ \sigma \iota ; ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega, \phi o ́ \rho o s, \phi \dot{\omega} \rho$.
The causes of this interchange of vowel sounds are very diverse, and they are not yet systematized beyond a certain point. Doubtless in the main the variation of sound is due to variation of stress accent, for in the IG. and the early Gmc. period this accent was free (i. e. unrestricted in its position by number of syllables, or by any question of quantity), and certainly until after the time of the operation of Verner's Law could rest on any syllable in the word, while in duration it was either acute ( $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$ ) or slurred ( $\delta \epsilon \hat{\alpha} s$ ). In Greek it was ultimately restricted to one of the three final syllables. In Latin its place was eventually determined by the quantity of the penult, though the occurrence of such words as 'óptumus' points to a period when the accent could draw still further away from the final syllable, as the older 'opitumus' could not have lost the $i$ had this been accented, thus an original 'opitumus' must be assumed. But not all variations can be accounted for in this way; there are others which are probably due to

IG. having had, beside the stress accent, also a pitch or musical accent, which seems to have predominated towards the close of the period, though in Gme. the accentuation became again primarily one of stress. Thus a distinction has to be made between grade of stress (quantitative grade) and grade of pitch (qualitative grade). The result of both systems combined is that the related vowels vary with one another in a certain definite series, termed the IG. vowel gradation series.

There were three Quantitative Grades :-
 kērusjīs.
(b) Strong Grade Short (Vollstufe) : ö $\downarrow \mathrm{\iota s}$, odium, vocare, rego, qinō, baíran.
(c) Schwa or Vanishing Grade (Reductionsstufe): datus, *dedmus beside donäre.

These are due to lengthening of the vowel under special conditions, or to its being weakened by loss of stress.

A secondary lengthening, not originally the result of vowel gradation, also frequently took place in IG. ; it probably was caused by the loss of syllable or of individual consonants, and was apparently compensatory for this syllabic or consonant loss ; e. g. IG. * $p o \bar{d} d-s$, Sk. pūd $(a)$, Gk. (Dor.) $\pi \omega$ '́s, Lat. pés (for peds), Got. fôtus, OE. föt, OHG. fuoz; exxāmen $\leftarrow i x a ̆(g) m e n$; $\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ for ${ }^{*}$ poters ; cf. the similar phenomenon in Gmc. Got. fāhan $\leftarrow$ fanxan, \&c. Cf. I.F. iii. p. 305 .

Inasmuch as this secondary long vowel might alternate with vowels of a Gradation series, it is not always possible, and is here unnecessary, to distinguish it from the Long Strong Grade vowel.

Reduction occurred when the chief accent came to rest on the syllable preceding or following the vowel affected. The process may be briefly summed up as follows: all vowels not bearing the stress tended to be reduced.
(1) The long vowels $\bar{e}, \bar{a}, \bar{o}$, when unaccented, appear usually as the $\mathbf{2 6 2}$ Schwa (ว), i.e. Sk. $i$, Gk. $\epsilon, a$, , Lat. $a$, datus $\leftarrow *$ dotos. If the vowel was followed or preceded by an $\underset{\sim}{i}$ or an $\underset{\sim}{u}$, the $\underset{\sim}{i}$ or $\underset{\sim}{u}$ appear to have become syllabic, and with the Schwa resulting from the vowel to have become $\bar{i}$ or $\bar{u}$ : cf, the optative suffix $i$, s-iz-m $\rightarrow$ simus.
(2) The short vowels $e, a$, ofell in the syllable preceding that which bore the main stress :-

Sk. 's-anti, Lat. 's-unt, Got. 's-ind: ل $e s$. Beside Skr. pi-tar-am (acc.) the gen. pi-tr-ás, Lat. pa-tr-is, Got. $f a-d r-s$.

If the vowel was preceded or followed by an $\underset{\sim}{i}$ or an $\underset{\sim}{u}$, the $\underset{i}{i}$ or $\underset{\sim}{u}$ became syllabic on the fall of the vowel and remained as $i$ or $u$.
 bugum.

If the vowel stood immediately before or after an $l, m, n, r$, these consonants became sonant on the fall of the vowel :-

Lat. dentis, Got. lunpus $\leftarrow$ inpus, IG. plnos $\rightarrow$ Got. fulls $\leftarrow$ fll-.

## Qualitative Gradation.

263 The causes for this interchange have not been satisfactorily explained. It may be that as quantitative gradation was certainly induced by the varied stress, so qualitative gradation was brought about by the varying pitch, but though there is much to be said for this theory it is evident that by no means all cases could be thus explained.

It no doubt originally affected the vowels in stressed and unstressed syllables alike, but inasmuch as the vowels in unstressed syllables were reduced to the 'Schwa' or vanishing point, the only result of qualitative gradation which claims attention is that of the Strong Grade vowels. For the subsequent development in Gmc. the only qualitative grade of importance is that of the Strong Grade.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Strong Grade. } & \text { Long } \bar{e}: \bar{o} . \\ & \text { Short } \check{e}: \ddot{o} .\end{array}$
264 The combined result of the two types of gradation gave in IG. six series:-

Qualitative and Quantitative.

| I. | $e$ | 0 | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | ei | Oi | 1 | $i$ |
| 3. | ell | Out | $u$ | 1 |
| 4. | cl? | a!! | $!{ }^{\prime}$ | $!?$ |
|  | en! ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $n n^{\prime \prime}$ | $n^{m}$ | $n^{m}$ |
| 5. | $\bar{e}$ | $\overline{0}$ | a |  |

Qualitative.
6. $\begin{array}{cccc}a & \bar{a} & \partial \\ 0 & \bar{j} & \partial\end{array}$

These are the only gradation series which occur clearly in Gmc.



4. bindan band bundans
hilpan halp hulpans
5. lētan laī̄ōt (lètans)
6. faran för farans

It should not be overlooked that this vowel gradation took place not only in root, bu also in stem, and thus gave rise to the manifold forms in the inflection of noun and verb, beside the numerous cognate forms from the same root, e. g. :-

Lat. cēlare, supir-cilum, fiäter-fràtri; OE. fela, Lat. plus, Gk. $\pi$ odi's.

Got. hilms; OHG. helm-hālum ; Got. brojar-bripr ; hanans -hanins.

Got. acc. sg. aúhisan, dat. aúhisin, gen. pl. auilisnē.
Owing to the operation of the various sound-laws which have already been stated, this vowel gradation does not appear quite so clearly in Germanic as it does in IG., though it is still one of the main features of the language, and in the verb determines the whole system of conjugation.

Germanic gradation will be treated in the chapter dealing with the OHG. Strong Verbs. For full details of IG. vowel gradation, see Hirt, IG. Abluut, Bechtel, Hauptprobleme, 4, 5, Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, §533, Noreen, Urg. L. L., and Streitberg, UG., § 44.

## THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PR. GMC. VOWEL SYSTEM IN OHG.

## A. In Accented Syllables.

## Long Vowels.

The changes effected in the vowel system during the OHG. period 265 are, so far as the long vowels are concerned, but few.

Pr. Gmc. $\bar{x}$ became $\bar{a}$, and $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{o}$ were diphthongized: the rest remained unchanged.
(r) Pr. Gmc. $\bar{x}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ (IG. $\bar{e})$ became $\bar{a}$ and coincided in all respects in W. Gmc. with the $\bar{a}$ which had developed from the fall of the nasal in the sound group an才 (109), e. g. :-

| Pr. Gmc. | Got. | OHG. | Got. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Létan- | lêtan | lāzan | ètum | àzum |
| * rē̃an- | rēdan | râtan | mèna | māno |
| *m戸̈rig. | mèrs | māri | Lat. Suēbi | NHG. Schwaben |

The evidence of Germanic names preserved in Latin writings goes to show that this change began at a very early date, in UG. already in the third century, whereas in Franconia it was not effected until the sixth to seventh century (see Streitberg, $U G ., \S_{77} G r .{ }^{2}$ p. 42 I).
(2) IG. eie $\underset{\sim}{\text {, Pr. Gmc. }} \bar{e}$, was diphthongized in the eighth century to $e a$, and this passed in the ninth century to $i a$ and $i e$.

There are but few instances of Pr. Gmc. $\bar{e}$ derived from IG. $\bar{e} \bar{\lambda}_{i}$. In 266 OHG. the number of words with the closed $\bar{e}$ is greater, owing ( 1 ) to the reduplicating perfects of the $i$-class having developed $\bar{e}$, e.g. Got. haíhald, OHG. heelt, and (2) to some Latin words with root syllable
in $\bar{e}$ or $\breve{e}$ having been borrowed. These two $\vec{e}$ 's of OHG. are not distinguished in treatment.

Examples:
$\overline{\mathrm{e}} \leftarrow$ IG. ei. Pr. Gmc.*hēr
OHG. hēr, hear, hiar, hier
*Krēkiz

* ${ }^{\text {mè }} \mathrm{G}$ o,

Got. mizdo

Lat. tegula
mèta, meata, miala, miele
skēro, skiaro, skiero
féra, feara, fiara
zēri, ziari, zieri
ziagal

267 ē arising in W. Gmc.
(a) Got. haíháit OHG. hèz, heaz, hiaz, hiez Got. háihalt OHG. hialt raírōp riat saíslēp sliaf
(b) Lat. mès OHG. meas, mias Lat. speculum OHG. spiagal béta
biezza
remus
riemo
breve briaf, brief
家
Note.-The form $i e$ became fixed about A.D. 850, and was preserved until well into MHG. times. After the turn of the tenth century it coincides with an $i e$ obtained from the weakened $i o$ out of $e u$. Otfrid and Muspilli use $i e$ and $i a$; Isidor $e a$; Tatian $i e$.
268 (3) Pr. Gmc. $\bar{o}$ (IG. $\bar{l}$ or $\bar{o}$ ) was diphthongized in the eighth century to oa, ua, uo ; e. g. Got. grōps, OHG. gō̄t, coat, guat, guot. Got. brōpar, OE. brōpor, OHG. bruoder. Got. flōdus, OHG. fluot. Got. bōka, OE. bōc, OHG. buohha.

Latin loan-words with open o underwent the same change, e. g. scolla, OHG. scuola.

This change set in gradually, and its rate of progress varied in the several dialects :-
(a) In Alemannic $\bar{o}$ and $o a$ are found up to the mid-eighth century; at the close of the century $\bar{o}$ and $o a$ have been replaced by $u a$; this held its own for well-nigh the whole ninth century, after which Alemannic also adopted $u 0$.
(b) In Upper Franconian and in Rhine Franconian the diphthongization begins about 750 , and $u 0$ gradually prevails over $\overline{0}$. At the close of the century $\bar{o}$ finally vanishes in favour of $u 0$, without first passing through $o a$ and $u a$; the form $u o$ was adopted from the outset, and by the commencement of the ninth century was fixed, a whole century earlier than in Alemannic.
(c) In South Rhine Franconian the intermediate stage $u a$ is the prevailing one during the ninth century. The form uo occurs a few times in Otfrid through assimilation to a following $0, u$, and $e$, and he occasionally writes $y^{\prime} a$ for $u a$; see Kelle, 46 I , and Braune, § 39. 8.
(d) In Bavarian the $\bar{o}$ was preserved up to the ninth century, then
gradually began to give way to $u 0$, but the latter did not predominate until the close of the century. For particulars of. Braune, § 39. 3, 4 .

Thus:-

|  | 8th. | 9th. | roth. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Bavarian | plōt, ploat | plöt | pluot |
| Alemannic | plōt, ploat | pluat | pluot |
| Up. and Rh. Fr. blṑ, bluot | bluot | bluot |  |
| South Rh. Fr. | blṑt | bluat | bluot |

At the close of the century the form $w$ is universal in all dialects.
Note.-In all dialects we find occasional variations, many of which may safely be attributed to clerical errors. Thus we have $\bar{u}$ for $u o$ and $u a$, often in MG. and occasionally in UG. The latter has also now and then ou, as brouder, \&c., but these writings are so isolated that they need not here be taken into consideration.
(4) Pr. Gmc. $\bar{u}$ (IG. $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{u} \leftarrow u$ before $p_{X}$ ) remains unaltered, e. g. 270 Got., OHG. rüna, Lat. and OHG. mūrra, Got. pühta, OHG. dūhla.

Note.-Notker in Alemannic writes regularly $\bar{u} o$ for $\bar{u}$ before the spirant $h$, e.g. scūoh, and before ch in brüochen; in other cases the vowel is always shortened : scuoha $=$ scuha. See $229 a$.
(5) Pr. Gmc. $i$ (IG. $i$ and $i \leftarrow i$ before $\wp_{\chi}$ ) remains unaltered: Lat. suinus, Got. sweeins, OHG. swin; Gk. $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi(\omega$, Got. steigan, OHG. stigan.

## Diphthongs.

I. Pr. Gmc. ai (IG. oi or ai) had twofold development, becoming 271 either:
(1) il (after the eighth century) ;
or (2) é before $r, z w^{\prime}$, and $h(\leftarrow$ Gmc. $\chi)$, and when final.
Got. áins
hlàils
háils
dáils
tákiks
Got. lailh
máiza
áhis
sár
sázus
sái (= Lat. cice $)$

| OHG. | cin <br> (h)leil <br> heil <br> teil <br> zeihhan |
| :---: | :---: |
| OHG. | teht |
|  | mèro $\bar{e} h t$ |
|  | sèr |
|  | sēo (sïucs) |
|  | $s \bar{e}^{\text {e }}$ |

The oldest MSS. write $\bar{z}$ or $\bar{e}$ for this latter sound, which must therefore originally have been open, but from the close of the eighth
century this sound had passed from open to closed $\bar{e}$, and the notation $\bar{x}$ is no longer found.
272 Special cases. The numerals zwène and béde and the adjective wènags are exceptional. The former are Got. twái, bái, and probably the influence of the monosyllabic forms, ${ }^{*} z w e \bar{e}$ and ${ }^{*} b \bar{e}$, where $a i$ was final, preserved the $\bar{e}$ in the fuller forms. Wènag is probably cognate with Got. wái $=$ woe, and as the adjective wénag in German had the meaning of 'unfortunate', in this case the $\bar{e}$ is again most probably due to the analogy of the root.

The writing $\bar{e}$ for $e i$ is not infrequently found in MSS., not of any one district or of any one period, but throughout OHG. This must be ascribed to carelessness on the part of the scribes, as the $e i$ was pronounced $e+i$ (not as Mod. $e i$ ), and might easily be mistaken for the closed $\bar{e}$. With careful writers, such as Otfrid, the error does not occur. On the other hand, $e i$ for $\bar{e}$ stands perhaps in eiris (First Merseburg Charm), and occasionally in Glosses.
273 II. Pr. Gmc. au (IG. ou or au) also had a twofold development in OHG.:-
(r) ou (after the eighth century) ;
(2) $\bar{o}$ before all dental consonants and $h(\leftarrow$ Gmc. $\chi)$.
(1) Got. háubib
áukan
dáupjan biugan, báug
(2) Got. dáubus
háuhs
stáutan
(tiuhan) táuh
ráus
láun

OHG. houbit
ouhhōn
toufen
biogan, boug
OHG. $t \bar{o} d$
höh
stōzan
(ziohan) zōh
rōr
lön

274 The process of contraction of $a u$ to $\bar{o}$ began in the opening of the eighth century, through the stage of ao to open 0 , but after the diphthongization of original $\bar{o}$ was complete it became a closed sound. The stage $a 0$ is virtually only found in Bavarian MSS., in which it prevails until the ninth century.

Beside the Pr. Gmc. au another $a u$ was developed from Pr. Gmc. auu (Got. ggw) $\rightarrow a u+w$, which also passed into ou; e. g. auzuja-auwia-ouza (244). As with the other diphthongs, variations in writing are occasionally found: ofor ou, and also $u$ o for ou, but these cases are rare. It should be noted, however, that Williram writes regularly $\bar{o} i$ for ou: thus hōibet, tröif. (See Franck, §35.)
III. Pr. Gmc. eu.

Already in Pr. Gme. eu had become (257) :
$i u$ by $i j$ mutation in OHG. it became $i u$ also before $u$.
co by a/o mutation (258).
This co then passed to io and then to $i$, but this change is not carried through systematically, except in Franconia. In UG. a following labial or guttural consonant, with the exception of $h$, prevented the a 0 mutation, and $e u$ passed here also to iu (PBB. iv. 457).

## $\mathrm{eu} \rightarrow \mathrm{iu}$ :

| Pr. Gmc. | *lenx 1 j- <br> * ${ }^{\text {sen }}{ }^{i-}$ <br> * $\operatorname{ten} x^{\bar{o}}$ <br> * beutio | Got. liuhtjan siukei tiutha biuta | OHG. liuhten siuhhi ziuhu biutu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{eu} \rightarrow \mathrm{eo}$ |  |  |  |
|  | * beutan- | biutan | biotan |
|  | *leuxa- | liuhaps | lioht |

eu $\rightarrow$ Fr.eo, UG. iu:

| *suxa- | Franc. seoh | UG. siuh |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| *peuta- | theob | diup |

In the change from $e u$ to $i e$ the first stage was $e o$, which held its own 276 until the commencement of the ninth century, when io began to creep in and soon completely ousted eo. After a century $i o$ in its turn gave way to $i e$, so that in the tenth century ic was universal and coincided with the ie obtained from $\bar{e}$ through $e a$ and $i a(265)$. Otfrid, in South Rhine Franconian, often writes iu for io, always in the word liublih, but generally $i a$, especially in the verbs of the II. series, biatan, niazan, as in the red. pret. riat, stiaz. Thus, while Franconian had maintained two distinct developments of Pr. Gmc. $\mathrm{cu} \rightarrow{ }_{c o}^{i u t}$ according to whether it was subject to $i / j$ mutation or to $a / o$ mutation, UG. had not at first done so. This Franconian distinction is now adopted also by UG., and is universal after the ninth century, so that common OHG. of the tenth century has liogdn, liugu beside the earlier forms UG. liugan, Franconian liogan.

In the words èo and hrvēo, from Got. áiz, h'áiz, the ē, owing to lack of stress, was shortened at an early date, and thus they became $e o$ and hweo; this eo coincided with the eo obtained from eu through a/o mutation, and passed like it into io, thus : io, hwio (Otfrid ia, wia), and finally ie and wie.

## Short Vowels.

277 Pr. Gmc. $i$ (IG. e or $i$ ) remains $i$ in most cases in OHG. : Lat. piscisfisc, Lat. vidua-wituwa, Got. witun-wizsum, but sometimes $\rightarrow e$ in OHG. before an $a$ or $o$ in following syllable, unless protected by a nasal + consonant or by an $i$ or $j$ : Lat. vices-wehsalōn: *nisdos [Lat. nidus] $\rightarrow$ nest, stigum : stega, but suimman, suintan, rinnan.

The $a / o$ mutation, by which already in Pr. Gmc. $i$ tended to pass to $e$ before $a$ or $o$, did not operate consistently throughout Pr. Gmc., nor does it in OHG. In great part it was cancelled by the new formations and by levelling out in favour of the form in 2 . The exceptions are so numerous and so systematic that many have denied the law altogether, while cthers are inclined to seek the cause of the change of $i \rightarrow c$ rather in consonant than in vowel influence, and in fact the combination of $s+$ consonant is almost invariably accompanied by forms in $e:$ nest, zeessa, \&c. It is noteworthy that the whole class of Graded past participles of the first series show throughout an $i$ where the mutation would necessitate an e: gistigan, gizigan, giritan, \&c.; and, again, the pronominal forms imo, inan, ira show an $i$ in place of an $e$. Brugmann's statement that analogy and levelling out cover almost all exceptions to the rule is hardly satisfactory. In OHG. doublets repeatedly occur, i.e. forms with $c$ or $i$ : wissa-wiste in UG. and wessa-westa in Franc. Thus ledic-lidic, klebēn-klīban, lebēnliban, lernèn-lirnan. Words taken from Latin in most cases retain the $i:$ dictare $\rightarrow$ dictōn, discus $\rightarrow$ tisc, but some show $e$, as pix $\longrightarrow$ bech, bicarium $\rightarrow$ behhāri, signum $\rightarrow$ segan. PBB. vi. 82 , vii. 417 . For another theory cf. Collitz, Mod. Lang. Notes, xx (1905), 65-8; R. Trautmann, Germ. Lautgesch., 1 Io.

Note.-Kock's hypothesis ( $P B B$. xxiii. 84) that all past part. of the first series originally took the suffix -inaz (enos), not -anaz (onos), through a kind of vowel harmony, would cancel the objection.

It has been shown that in Pr. Gmc. times already e passed to $i:-$
(i) Before a nasal in the same syllable: Lat. ventus $\rightarrow$ OHG. wint.
(2) Before an $i$ or $j$ in the following syllable: Lat. medius $\rightarrow \mathrm{OHG}$. mitti. To this must now be added in OHG.:
(3) Before $u$ in following syllable, unless the $u$ in its turn is followed by an $o$ or an $a$. Lat. pecus, OHG. fihu, Lat. septem, OHG. sibun.

This later operation is particularly marked in the conjugation, while in the nominal inflexion levelling out has affected uniformity. The inflectional endings of the sing. present were in OHG.: $u$, is, it, hence

OHG. verbs of the first series such as neman inflect nimu, nimis, nimit, but the plural retains the $e$ : ncmamis, nemet, nemant, and so all verbs with an $c$ in root: beran. gelban, \&c.

Hence, too, the majority of the nouns of the $u$-declension have an $i$ in the stem, as fiidu, situ, \&cc. Even when the $u$ had fallen, as it did after a long stem syllable, the $i$ was retained: e. g. Got. skildus, OHG. scill, Got. qaírmus, OHG. quirn.

The exceptions are in most cases due to levelling out: fehu beside fihu. The presence of the double form may be accounted for by the fact that the gen. and dat. sing. ended respectively in es and $e$; thus the declension would run : fihu, fehes, fehe, fihu, and it is natural that the $e$ of the oblique cases should have entered the nominative. As an example of $u$ failing to effect this change because itself followed by an $a$ or $o$, the large class of nouns with suffix -ingga retain $e$ in root because the final a has impeded the change: skellunga, stredunga.

Note.-This mutation of $i$ to $i$ is most important in OHG. and in 278 NHG. alike : to it are due the numerous cognates in Modern German with $e$ and $i$ from the same root: thus erde (erda) and irdisch (irdisc), recht and richten, Herde and Hirte, and in the large class of collective nouns the $i$ of the root is due to an $i$ originally following: Feld Gifilde, Berg-Gebirge (grabirgi). Again, the second pers. sing. of the present of the Graded verbs ended in -esi, the third in -eti (291). IG. $e \rightarrow$ Gmc. $i(257)$; thus *gebesi, * gitisi, OHG. gilis, NHG. gilust, gribt.

It is noteworthy that $e$ followed by $i$ or $u$ passed to $i$ before all consonant groups without exception, even those which were able to resist the OHG. $i / j$ mutation of $a$ to $e$, but that the secondary $u$ generated by the sonant liquids had no effect: swehur $\leftarrow{ }^{*}$ swexroz retains its e beside swigar $\leftarrow$ *swegruz: so also cbur $\leftarrow$ *ctroz, nebul, \&c.

Pr. Gmc. a (IG. a or o) remained unchanged in OHG. except before $\mathbf{2 7 9}$ a following $i$ or $j$, which mutated it to $e$. . This is termed the OHG. $i / j$ mutation.

Got. gast, OHG. grast, but Got. gasleis, OHG. gesti, and in OHG. lang : lengi; faru: feris, ferit; fasto: festi.

There is no direct proof of any other vowel except $a$ being thus mutated during the OHG. period, but there is strong reason to infer from later developments that $u$ and $o$ were early modified in pronunciation, and it is possible that the ibilo of Merigarto (62), muillen of the Georgslied, and the hiute of Notker, are attempts to denote a mutated vowel.

This $i / j$ mutation was universally checked by certain consonant groups, chiefly those which contained an $h$ or a $w:-$
(1) By ht and $h s$ : mahti, gislahti.
(2) By consonant $+w:$ farwen, garwita.

Dialectally only (UG.):-
(3) By $l$ or $r+$ consonant : Franc. gizuelli, ferri, elli; UG. kizuallit, farri, alti.
(4) By $h \leftarrow$ Gmc. $\chi$ : Franc. ehir, slehit; UG. ahir, slahit ; cf. PBB. iv. 540 .
(5) By $h h$, ch $\leftarrow$ Gmc. $k:$ O. firsechit; UG. sachit.

A necessary condition for the operation of this $i / j$ mutation was that the $a$ to be mutated was in an accented syllable, and the $i$ or $j$ in an unaccented syllable immediately following upon it. Hence:-
(a) Mutation could as a rule only take place within the compass of one word, though in Otfrid the $i$ of enclitics such as $i h, i z$ not infrequently effects mutation of the vowel in the preceding word: e.g. meg ih.
(b) The derivative syllables -nissa, -nissi, -lih, having a strong secondary accent, do not effect the mutation in OilG. finstarnissi, harmlïhho, langlīh.
(c) In the suffixes -hafti, -scaffi, -sami, the $a$ did not bear the chief accent but only a secondary one, hence it was left untouched by the following $i$. There are, of course, many exceptions to this $i / j$ mutation, due to the force of analogy and to the constraint of noun and verb accidence. Thus in the nom. sing. fem. and nom. pl. neut. the adjective ended in -iu and the preceding vowel should be mutated, as Otfrid elliu, ellu, Merigarto endriu, but the force of the other cases was usually too strong and the mutation was levelled out. In the same way the gen. and dat. sing. of the OHG. weak nouns ended in -in: hano-hanin, and there are a few forms which show mutation, as scado-scedin, namo-nemin, frequent in Isidor and M., but only in early texts, for the root $a$ of the other cases soon penetrated into the gen. and dative. The conjunctive present of the weak verb ended in $i$ : OHG. santi, zalti, but it never shows mutation. Gradually the mutating force of the $i$ or $j$ extended to a vowel not immediately preceding; the mediate vowel was first assimilated, and then mutation of the root syllable became possible also, though not regularly carried out until after the OHG. period: OHG. zaharin and zahirin, MHG. zeheren, OHG. fravali and fravili, MHG. frevel, OHG. mangi and menigi, framidi and fremidi. The result of this 'younger' mutation is a very open $c(a \ddot{a}$ sound. This OHG. $i / j$ mutation did not begin until shortly
after 750 , as the St. Callen Glosses before that date have no instance of it. It then spread rapidly, and was universal after the beginning of the ninth century. It only affected $a$, which in critical texts is written $e$ (in distinction to $\dot{e} \longleftarrow$ Gmc. $e$ ), but in the oldest MSS. was noted by $e, a i$, $e i$, as showing that it was then an open sound: this $e$ from $a$ became closed about the commencement of the ninth century. The mutation movement was probably from the north southwards, losing strength as it passed into UG., for the cases of resistance to it are far more numerous there than they are in Franconian.

Gmc. $u$ and o remain in OHG.
280
There is a frequent interchange of $o$ and $u$ in stem-word and derivative, according to the vowel of the next syllable :

| gold-guldin | ioran-nicuri | fol-fulli |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| got-gutin | dorrēn-durri | holz-gihulzi |

ortforma-nrtfrumu (gen.) BR.
In many cases, however, one form has overpowered the other, and the distinction has been obliterated by analogy, especially in the noun inflection, thus : gold, inst. goldu, holz, nom. pl. holzir.

It is to be noted that this $a / 0$ mutation only took place before a Pr . Gmc. $a$ or $o$, and not before an $a$ or $o$ of merely OHG. origin; cf. OHG. sumar, ubar, kupfar, Pr. Gmc. *sumur, ubur, Lat. cuprum. See $P B B$. xii. 549 and $I F$. iii. 38 r.

The $o$ in the perfect presents, onda, konda, has not been satisfactorily explained ; it is generally accepted as the result of analogy to the other perfect presents, mohta, scolta, \&c.; but see Behaghel, Germania, xxxi. $3^{82}$.

In some instances $o$ has replaced $a$, especially before $l, r$ and nasals: e. g. fona, nollas, nols, noles, olde, vorwe, soma, Is. 9. 1 womba; O. has several times einfolto, Gl. viffoldamo, tuuifolda. Other cases are oh, joh (Got. $a k, j a h$ ). Double forms also occur in $a$ and 0 , as hatōn and holōn: Franconian has an imperfect mohta to mugen in place of the older mahta: Notker uses $s(k)$ ol for $s(k) a l$; see $P B B$. xi. 287, xv. 210 and 377 .

## B. In Unaccented Syllables.

With the end of the Pr. Gmc. period the accent, as has been said, 281 became fixed, consequently the degree of stress put on the various syllables varied considerably : root vowels acquired ever more and more force, and medial and final ones became weaker and weaker, so that a distinction hitherto unnecessary has to be observed between the treatment of accented vowels and of unaccented vowels. It will be
well to premise that the history of the development of vowels in unaccented syllables is most involved, and, though agreement as to general principles has been reached, no law has been formulated which has met with universal acceptance. It is, however, possible to observe the guiding principle underlying the whole, and also to show certain definite changes which are beyond all doubt.

The main principle seems to have been that every vowel in unaccented syllable tended to be shortened in duration: diphthongs became monophthongs, vowels with the slurred accent lost some of their length and took the acute accent, long vowels became short, short vowels fell. Naturally, the vowels which suffered most by the fixing of the accent were those most remote from the root and unprotected by a following consonant group : the greater the stress laid on the root, the more the final vowel was weakened. Thus, having no support, a short final syllable following on a long syllable lost its vowel more quickly than did a medial syllable under the same conditions. The power of resistance offered by the respective vowels varied: $u$ and $i$ persist longer than $e$ and $a$, while $e$ is more stubborn than $a$.
282 In discussing the fate of IG. unaccented vowels regard must be had to :-
(I) Their original degree of duration, for IG. distinguished three degrees of duration: slurred (= extra long), long, and short, which might be represented by $\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\sim}$ respectively. $B B$. xvii, p. Io6.
(2) Their original position, which could be (a) absolutely final, (b) before a final consonant, $(c)$ medial, $(d)$ in prefix.
(a) and (b).

IG. short vowels in final syllable when followed by only one consonant either in Pr. Gmc. or in W. Gmc. lost this final consonant in Pr. or W. Gmc. In Gmc. the general tendency was to lose all such final vowels. IG. $o, a, e$ (Gmc. $a, a, i$ ) fell already in the Pr. Gmc. time : IG. $u$ and $i$ were more persistent : in the W. Gmc. dialects they are retained after a short root, but lost after a long or polysyllabic one, while Gothic loses $i$ but retains $u$, e. g. :

| IG | Pr. Gmc. | c. Gk. | Got. | OHG. | OE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o | $a$ | ̧uyóv | juk | joh | 3eoc |
| $a$ | $a$ | oiou | zwait | zeiz | wāt |
| $e$ | $i$ | $\pi \epsilon$ ¢́vт | fimf | fimf | fif |
| $i$ | $i$ | Lat. hostis | gasts | grast | 3 iest |
| u | « Pr | Pr. Gmc. *skilduz | skildus | scill | scild |
|  |  | Lat. pecus | foûhu | fihu |  |

Diphthongs and long vowels in unaccented syllables:
The IG. diphthongs had undergone already the following changes:-

| $o u, a u$ | " | " | $a u$ | " | $\bar{o}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i) | " | , | a | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| ; | " | $\cdots$ | i, | " | $i$. |  |

These in their turn underwent modifications:
W. Gmc. $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{j}$ (arising from ai and au respectively) were shortened, unless followed by a consonant which protected the length, e. g. :
Got. OHG. OE. Got. OHG.
baíräi bire bire but baíráis berēs (i.e.before originals)
ahtiúu ahto cahtar ,, *frij)áus fridō ,. ", "
blindăi blinte blinde ,, blindáim blindēm ,, ," $m$
W. Gmc. $i$ and $u$ (arising from IG. $\bar{i}$ and $\bar{j}$ respectively) were treated like the originally short IG. $i$ and $u$, viz. they fell after long, remained after short syllable.

Levelling out, however, soon obscured the original state of affairs: thus in OHG. in the $\bar{\sigma}$ declension the nom. sing. should have retained $u$ after a short syllable and lost it after a long, e. g. OHG. ${ }^{*}$ gebu but lèr (OE. ziefu and lier), but the acc. form has been adopted for the nom., e. g. gebla, lèra. In the pres. ind. the first pers. sing. should have varied in the same way-ih nimu but ih *bind, but levelling out has given the $u$ to both long and short stems. It is important to note that $\bar{o}$ has a different development if originally followed by a consonant, in which case it must be distinguished from slurred $\tilde{o}$ in similar position (see below).

Vowels bearing the slurred accent were also reduced and eventually became short, falling after a long accented syllable unless the Pr. Gmc. form had ended in $n$ or $z$.

In IG. there existed $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{o}$ : and further, if originally followed by an $n$ or $s, \tilde{o}^{n}$ and $\bar{i}^{\text {s. }}, \tilde{o}^{n}$ and $\tilde{o}^{s}$.

Pr. Gmc. ī absolutely final yields short vowel in W. Gmc., Gk. ф'́p $\omega$, OHG. biru.
ĩ absolutely final yields short vowel in OHG. Lat. homõ, OHG. gomo, OE. зuma.
$\tilde{\theta}^{n}$ and $\hat{\delta}^{x}$ absolutely final yields short vowel in OHG. zungōno, OE. tungena.
$i^{n}$ and $\bar{o}^{z}$ absolutely final yields short vowel in OHG. zunga, OE. tunze, OHG. geba.
See Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, § 1029 .
(c) Medial vowels are exposed to great variations through the $\mathbf{2 8 4}$
operation of Syncope and Vowel Harmony : frequently they themselves become final owing to the loss of inflectional endings, as in the nom. pl. of neut. nouns, \&c.

Syncope. Brugmann states, as a general law, that while short unaccented vowels persist in Pr. Gmc., they fall in W. Gmc. after a long stem syllable.

| Got. háusida | OHG. hōrta but Got. nasida | OHG. nerita |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| jūhiza | iungro | batiza | bezziro. |

Note.-The most numerous examples of Syncope occur in the preterites and past participles of the Non-Graded Verbs, Class I. Forms such as hocta, salta, zalta, gisalt, \&c., which appear to contravene the law that $i$ is retained after a short root syllable, are in all probability remains of a Pr. Gmc. pret. formation without $i$ : cf. Got. bugjan, bauhta, bugkjan, pühta. It is true that the forms hugita, hebita, selita, giselit are also found, but these are more recent analogical formations. See Sievers, $P B B$. v. 99 , but also Paul, $P B B$. vi. i.

Only vowels which were completely unaccented were subject to Syncope: consequently such suffixesas OHG. ig, in, od, isc, oht, unga, $i \hbar$, $h$, scaf, hafti, missa, \&c., which bore a secondary accent, retain their vowel intact.

Vowel Harmony. Medial vowels tended to be assimilated to final vowels in Gmc. Examples are specially frequent in OHG. : keisar but keiseres, offan but offonōn, hungar but hungirita. Such assimilations are to be observed particularly in Otfrid. There is tendency in OHG. to weaken vowels in medial syllable to $e$ : Otfrid, zuurzelun, bittero, manegan, jungero.
285 Secondary Vowels. The frequent loss of IG. final syllable in Gmc. had of course the result that consonants which originally had been medial became final in Gmc.

When a group of consonants, one of which was nasal or liquid, thus became final, the W . Gmc. dialects tended to generate a secondary vowel between these consonants which, though not arising in OHG. when the group was not final, eventually established itself even in the inflected forms when the group was medial, e. g. :

| Got. fugls | OHG. fogal | OE. fugol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ibns | eban | efen |
| baiitrs | bittar | bitter |
| akrs | ackar | oecer |
| zintrus | wintar | zeinter |

This secondary vowel in OHG. was usually $a$ before $l, n, r ; a$ or $u$ before $m$.

In OHG. this generation of secondary vowels was not confined to groups of final consonants, but even took place medially between $r h$,
lh, rie, and hie. These 'secondary' secondary vowels are not systematically developed, and the same MS. often shows forms with and without them: they do not persist in MHG.

The towel generated was, in these cases, usually $a$, but sometimes took colour from neighbouring vowels: e. g. zorht-zoraht, for fhtiforahta, gramici-garazicr, (melo) mehues-melazers.

U'G. is particularly subject to secondary vowels, developing them in all $r$-combinations (though rarely with dentals), and even between $s w$, zui. sm; e.g. UG. perac, purikio, starach, duruft, waram, werach, kifuraplin, kafuribit, haramscara, zewei, suzeimman.
(d) Vowels in prefix.
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The vowel of the prefix, lying as it did immediately before the accented vowel, was especially exposed to weakening. It passed gradually to a colourless vowel $e$, but with unequal progress in the several dialects: as a rule UG. showed a preference for $a$ where Franconian had $i$. This fact, that the dialects varied considerably in their treatment of the prefix vowel, is very useful in determining the age and origin of a manuscript.

OHG. had as prefixes: $a b, a n t, b i, g a, u r, z a, z c r$; and with these may be included the prepositions $a z, b i, u r, z a$, as $b i$ and $z a$ at any rate were always proclitic, and they shared the same treatment as prefixes in OHG.

As prefixes to the verb these particles were always unaccented, though in the nominal composition they had originally the full accent.

A3 (Got. at) is never found in OHG. as a verbal prefix: it is always preposition or adverb, and is very soon replaced by zi,zuo. [It is only preserved in MHG. as $u n z \leftarrow u n t a z_{\text {. }}$.]
[ $\mathbf{A b}$ is very seldom found as a verbal prefix, being replaced by $a b a$ It occurs occasionally as ob or $b$ : Notker blaz. Kuhns, Zs. xxvi. 40.]

Ant corresponds to Got. and: Got. and-beitan; and supplants the Got. prefix in: in-brannjan. OHG. antbizan, antlrennan.

In OHG, ant was weakened to int, and when the $t$ fell it became in. It occasionally occurs as unt: untwichan.

Bi preserved the $i$ throughout the ninth century, but then passed into $b e$. In the oldest UG. MSS. the form $b a, p a$ is found, but BR. has pi: pidiu, piporgen. The adverbial bī with fully stressed vowel finally established itself. See Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$, § 328 , note.

Far, fur, for. In its oldest form this prefix appears as fur, for. It was then weakened to fir, fir, and fer. The OHG. fer represents three Gothic particles-fra, fair, faur : in OHG. these were not felt to be distinct and became merely dialectal distinctions. In UG..
namely, the usual form is far, as also in the Weissenburg K., whereas Rh. Franconian has fir, fer, and East Franconian for, fur. This holds good for the ninth century, after which the form fer became universal. Bethge ( $\mathrm{I}_{53}$ ) quotes:

> Hildebrand, furlet; Tatian, furlazan, forlazan.
> Weiss. K. farlaz, Isidor, firleazssi, fyrstant. Otfrid, firlazu, fersagenti, unfarholan. Notker, ferleiten; Will. versagen.

Ga (UG. $k a$, Got. $g a$ ) passed very soon to $g i$ in Franconian: in Alemannic the form $g a$ held until the commencement, in Bavaria until the middle of the ninth century. From the end of the century the form $g i(k i)$ was universal. Alemannic monuments have mostly ka$k i$, Bavarian at first $c a$ and then $k a$. Franconian has $g$ only, except Isidor, who writes cha, chi. The vowel could be assimilated to the root of the word, as Otfrid's 'ungamachu,' or even suppressed, as O. gäzun, N. guan. In some of the older texts the vowel is occasionally suppressed before initial consonant $l, m, n, r, w$, as Tatian, gloubit; and this usage became common in some dialects during the tenth century, though never universal.

Ur. In OHG. ur (Got. us) is both preposition and prefix. Compounded with verbs it preserved the $u$ only in UG. : the predominant form was ar, and this passed during the ninth century to $i r$, which gradually became the universal form by the end of the century. As with $g i$, the $i$ gradually gave way before $e$, and by the eleventh century or is the definitely fixed form. The preposition ur went through the same early stages, but fell into disuse during the ninth century, except as noun prefix, in which capacity it has survived.
$\mathbf{Z a}, z i, z e$. This particle was prefix and preposition, but represents in reality two separate words. The preposition $z a$ is the weak degree of $z \bar{o}, z u 0, z u a$ (NHG. $z u$ ), while the prefix $z a$ has lost original $r \leftarrow z$. Got. tuz, Lat. dis? (Cf. her-he, theser-these.) The nouns zurlust, zurkank, \&c., preserve the full form of prefix. See Braune, § 72, and Paul, $P B B$. vi. $55^{2}$.

The UG. form is $z a$ until the ninth century, then Alem. adopted $z i$, but Bav. kept $z a$ through the century. Franc. from the first had only $z i$, which in the tenth century became universal, passing eventually into $z e$.

## Development in OHG. of W. Gmc. Vowels in Accented Syllable.

| W. Gmc. ai | ${ }_{e i} \mathrm{OHG}$. | W. Gmc. <br> * staina <br> *mair- | OHG. <br> stein <br> mer | MHG. stein mér | NHG. <br> Slent <br> mehr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {alu }}$ | ou | * culs | )uga | ougre | Auge |
|  | i | *hauh- | höh | höh | hoch |
|  |  | *hauhjan- | hilun | hoehen | höhen |
| ill | iu | *liuhtjan- | liuhten | liutiten | leuchten |
| cio | io (io, ic) | * beotan- | beotan | bieten | bieten |


| $\overline{\bar{z}}\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ (2) \end{array}\right.$ | $\stackrel{1}{7}$ | * brähta | ${ }_{\text {brainhta }}$ | Grählic | brachle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\overline{1}}$ | *lītan- | lizzan | lazen | lassen |
|  |  | *släpan- | släfun | slificin | schlafen |
| $\bar{c}(1)$(2) | ca (iu, ic) | *hèr | hear | hier | hier |
|  | cia | *het | heas | liez | hiess |
| ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $i$ | *lihan- | lihan | lihen | leihen |
|  | u* | *dòn | luon | luon | tun |
|  |  | * mödar | muoter | muoter | Mutter |
|  |  | * \%ōdi | suoli | gruele | Giite |
| u | " | *hüs | hūs | hüs | Haus |
|  |  | *lüdjan- | līten | liuten | lüuten |


| " | * | *man- | man | man | Mann |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e |  | * Fadar | fater | vater | Vater |
|  | ! | *narjan | nerien | neren | nähren |
|  | ! | * framadi | fremidi | fremede | Fremde |
| $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | *werpan- | werdan | werden | zerden |
|  |  | *neman- | nemen | nemen | nehmen |
| i | 1 | *wind- | zeint | wint | Wind |
|  |  | *liggjan | liggen | ligen | liegen |
| " | " | * goda- | got | sot | Goit |
| " | u | *kunsti | kunst | kiunst | Kunhst |
|  |  | *suhti | suht | suht | Sucht |
|  |  | *sulfin | suldin | gülden | gülden |
|  |  | * evunni- | u'unиi | «ъйпие | Wonne |
|  |  | *sumu- | sumu. | sun | Sohn |
|  |  | *kuning- | kuning | kunic | König |

## CHAPTER V

## THE VERBAL SYSTEM

288 The IG. verbal system was far more complete than that of Germanic. It possessed four moods, six tenses, three voices, three numbers; of these, Germanic retained three moods, Indicative, Optative, Imperative ; two voices, Active and Middle; and only two tenses, viz. Present and Preterite. Furthermore, the Middle Voice is only found in Gothic, and of the three numbers, Singular, Dual, and Plural, the Dual is retained only in Gothic.

In IG. the tenses served merely to distinguish the mode, and not the time of action: thus the Perfect marked not action in the past, as it does now, but the completed state. The formal distinction of time of action, such as is made by the modern tense, was in IG. marked, not by the verbal form itself, but by separate particles, which became affixed to the verb, either as prefixes or suffixes. In the case of action in the past the Augment $e$, an independent temporal adverb, was prefixed to the verbal form which denoted duration, inchoative, iterative action, \&c., and for action in the future the suffix -sie, -sio was added ; present time was left undenoted so far as affixes are concerned.
289 IG. verbs were either (a) Thematic, or (b) Athematic.
(a) Thematic verbs are those in which in the Present a so-called thematic vowel intervened between root and personal ending; this thematic vowel was $e$ or $o$. The root could have either Strong or Reduced vowel-grade, but it remained unchanged throughout the Present, e.g.: IG. *bhér-ō, bhér-c-si, bhér-c-li, bher-o-mes, bhér-e-the, bhér-o-nti.
(b) Athematic verbs are those which in the Present added the personal endings direct to the bare root or to the suffix (295) without any intervening vowel : the root had in the singular the Strong grade, in the plural the Reduced grade of vowel, e. g. :

Sing. *es-mi, Sk. ás-mi, Gk. $\epsilon i-\mu i$, Got. $i-m$, OHG. (b) $i-m$.
Plur. ${ }^{\text {s s-enti, Lat. s-unt, Got. s-ind, OHG. sint. }}$
Both classes agree in the main in the Personal endings, except that in the 1st person singular the Athematic verbs added the ending -mi,
while the Thematic verbs had no personal ending, but merely lengthened the thematic rowel -o to $-\bar{r}$.

The Personal endings of the Present are classed as (a) Primary, and 290 (b) Secondary:
(a) Primary or Absolute endings are characterized in IG, by final $-i$ : in the rst, 2nd, 3 rd sing., and 3 rd plur., they appear in those forms in which the verb is used absolutely or independently, e. g. in the Indicative Present.
(b) Secondary or Conjunctive endings (without final $-i$ ) are always found in the tenses formed with an augment and in the Optative, probably arising from an enclitic use of the verb.

The Perfect Indicative had its own special endings in the singular.

> Prfsent : Singular.
> ist person.

Primary -mi. *es-mi. Pr. Gmc. *im-mi, Got. im.
Secondary $-m$. Lat. sie-m. [This $-m \rightarrow n$ in Pr. Gmc. and fell.] OHGsi.

2nd person.
Primary -si. *es-si. Pr. Gmc. $(s \rightarrow z)^{*}$ *irizi, Got. bairis, OHG. biris.

Secondary -s. Lat. sie-s. This -s, though retained in E. Gmc., Got. wil-eis, fell in W. Gmc., OHG. wili. ${ }^{1}$

$$
3^{\text {rd }} \text { person. }
$$

Primary -ti. *es-th. Pr. Gmc. *is-ti, Got., OHG. ist.
Secondary -t. Lat. sie-t. This -t fell in Gmc., Got. will, OHG. wili.

Plural.
ist person.
Primary -mes, mos. Lat. feri-mus, Got. bair-a-m (with fall of short vowel and assimilation of $m s$ ).
 baírái-ma, OHG. berēm.

> and person.

Primary -the. Sk. bhar-a-tha, Gk. ф'́pete, Got. baíri), OHG. berēt.

Secondary -te. After the IG. period the distinction between -the


[^2]3rd person.
Primary -nti. Sk. bhár-a-nti, Gk. фépovtı, Got. baírand, OHG. berant.

Secondary -nt. Sk. abhar-a-n, Gk. єौфє $\rho o v$, Lat. ferebant, OHG. berēn. Sk. and Gk., like Gmc., lose the final - $-{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$

292 I. Thematic Verbs. The Present stem was formed by the addition to the root of the thematic vowel $e: 0$. The rst person of all three numbers had the grade $o$ (Gmc. a), as had also the 3 rd person plural ; all other forms had $-e$, which, being in unstressed syllable, gave Gmc. $-i$.

The ist person is formed without personal ending, but has the lengthened vowel:

| *bherō | (3k. $\phi$ ¢́¢ $\omega$ | Cot. baira | OHG. biru |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *bheromes | ф'яооиє | baíram | beramés |
| *bheronti | фє́ ооутı | baírand | berant |

293 According to the place of the accent there were two main divisions of Thematic Presents:
(a) Those in which the accent lay on the root syllable and which showed the Strong grade of the root, as Gk. ф'́ $\rho \omega$, ф' $\rho$ ovarı, Lat. fero, ferunt, Got. baíra, baírand, Gk. $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \neq \omega$, Pr. Gmc. *stīgn̄, Got. steiga, OHG. stigu.
(b) Those in which the thematic vowel bore the stress, and which showed the reduced or vanishing grade of vowel in the root, as IG. *teudéti $\rightarrow$ tudéti, Sk. tudáti.

These latter are called Aorist-Presents, for Presents with stress on the Thematic vowel, having the same accentuation, had also the same root vowel as the Aorist: cf. Got. digan with $\grave{\imath}$ beside beidan (i), trudan
294 beside niman, \&c. When the meaning of the root was modified by the addition of a suffix, the Thematic vowel was added to this suffix. Only the chief of such formations which have left traces in OHG. are here mentioned:-
i. Suffix ne: no. Gk. ка́ $\mu \nu \omega$, ёкацоv; Lat. sperno, sprevi; Got. fráihnan, frah, OHG. spurnan, or (with assimilation of $n$ to preceding consonant), OHG. gellan $(l l \leftarrow \ln )$, backan $(c k \leftarrow g g \leftarrow g n)$.
ii. Suffix te : to. Gk. клє́ $\pi \tau \omega$, кє́к $\lambda$ офф ; Lat. plecto, plexi; OHG. flehtan, brestan.
iii. Suffix mup : mű. Gmc. $m u \rightarrow m n(98)$; OHG. zeinnan, brinnan.

[^3]iv. With an inset nasal in the root. Lat. rumpo, ruipi, linquo, liqui; Got. standan, stio), OF. stid.
v. Suffix ie: io. IG. distinguished two classes of ie: io presents: the first in which ie: io remained unchanged throughout the stem ; the second in which the $i e$ forms showed reduction to $j$. Only this latter class is represented in Gmc. The $i$ (in 2nd and $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. sing. and 2nd plur.) was followed by the $-i s,-i p$ of the ending. After a short rootsyllable this $i+i$ seems to have given $j i$ in Got., but after a long it gave $\bar{i}$; cf. Got. bidjis but sōkeis; but cf. 127. In W. Gmc. the long and short roots are not distinguished, but $j$ is lost before $i$, and consequently the and and 3 rd pers. show no lengthening of consonant. OHG. hefu, heris, herit . . . heffent; Got. lidjan, OS. biddean, OHG. bitten, but OS. bidis, OHG. bitis.
vi. Suffix -eic, -eio. These were causative verbs. As Gmc. unstressed -e gave $i$, the and pers. -eiesi necessarily gave is, and the 3 rd -izeti gave $i t$. They thus coincided with the long syllables in $i e$, io and passed into that category.
II. Athematic Verbs. In these the Present stem consists either 295 of the bare root or is formed with a long vowel or diphthong suffix : the ist pers. ends in -mi.
i. Verbs with bare root as Present stem have the Strong grade in the Singular and the Reduced grade in the Plural: e. g. ${ }^{*}$ es-mi, es-ti, but *snti, \&c.
ii. Verbs with IG. suffix $-\bar{e}$ or $-e i$ have Reduced vowel-grade in the root and Strong in the suffix : these show Gmc. $\bar{e}$, e. g. OHG. halē-m.
iii. Verbs with IG. suffix $-\bar{a}$ and $-\bar{o}$ coincided in Gmc. in $-\bar{o}$ : these may be either Primary, OHG. borōm (Lat. forāre), or Denominative, OHG. salböm (Lat. salba).
iv. Verbs in -näi, which in Gmc. becomes -nā, are mostly Inchoatives, e. g. Got. sa-paúrsnan, ga-staúrknan; ON. slitna, lıotna; OHG. hlinēn.

Gmc. made considerable changes in this IG. system of Present 296 formation. While in IG. several forms of the same verbal root with different Present stems denoting different shades of meaning were in use, Gmc., as a rule, discarded all but one, and generalized that one. If two forms were retained, Gmc. usually used them independently with different meanings; cf. OHG. spanan, to tempt, and spannan, to stretch. Further, the various suffix consonants, with the exception of $j$ in the $-i e$ and $-i o$ stems, showed a strong tendency to become part
of the verb stem, and therefore to be retained throughout the whole system of their respective verbs, instead of being confined to the Present only : thus, beside Got. fraíhnan, frah, OE. forms 3 e-frijnan, ze-frxzn. Finally, nearly all Athematic Verbs, with the exception of the stems with $-\bar{e}$ and $-\bar{o}$, passed into the Thematic class, consequently in OHG. there are but few traces left of any special Present form in distinction to other verbal forms. Of the $j$ Present stems apart from those of Non-Graded Class I (see below), Gmc. has still :-

|  | Got. bidjan | OHG. bitten |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hafjan | heffen |  |
|  | skapjan | skepfen |  |
| *sitjan | hlahjan | lahhēn |  |
| *ligjan | sitan | sizzen | OE. sittan |
|  | ligan | liggen | liczan |

## Perfect Tense in IG. and Preterite in Gmc.

Personal endings, IG. In the Plural these are the same as in the Present, but in the Sing. are -a, - tha, $-\varepsilon$ for the Ist, 2 nd, 3 rd persons respectively ; cf. Gk. oì $\alpha$, oi $\sigma \theta \alpha$, oî $\bar{\epsilon}$.

In Germanic final $a$ and finale fell; thus Sk. veda, Gk. oijo, Pr. Gmc. *wait, Got. wáit, OHG. weiz. In the and pers. -tha should in Gmc. have become $\beta$ except after $f, h, s$, when it would have given $t\left(6_{3}\right)$, and after dentals, when it would become ss (ioo) : thus Got. parft, OHG. darft, OE. アearft; Got. mag-t $\leftarrow{ }^{*}$ mah-t, \&c., but the $t$ became generalized ; Got. skalt, not *skalb.
298
Reduplication. The original IG. Perfect was generally formed from the root by a special form of reduplication, i. e. by the addition of the initial root consonant plus -e before the root and the suffixing of the personal endings. In the Singular the root was originally stressed, having the Strong vowel grade, while the Dual and Plural had stress on the personal endings and consequently Reduced vowel grade.

Gk. $\pi \epsilon \in \pi o \nu \theta \alpha$, Got. band; Gk. $\pi \epsilon \in \pi o \iota \theta$ a, Got. báij; Gk. кє́клофа, Got. hlat.

Roots beginning with double consonants no doubt originally repeated both consonants, and Gothic has retained reduplication with initial st and s.̉: e. g. skáidan, skaískáilj, (ga)staldan, (ga)staí-stald. In most cases, however, simplification set in ; cf. Sk. sth $\bar{a}:$ tiṣ!ha, Gk. $\phi \epsilon i ́ \gamma \omega: \pi \epsilon ́ \phi v \gamma a$, Lat. spondeo: spopondi, Got. slēpan: scríslēp. The vowel of the reduplicating syllable was $e$ (Sk. a). From the earliest times a certain class of verbs whose root consisted of a single vowel flanked on each side by a single consonant, while reduplicating normally in the Perfect singular, show in the Plural a curious con-
traction of the reduplicating prefix with the unaccented form of the root which results in the vowel $\dot{e}$, e.g. Sk. pac, sing. pa-paca, plur. péculz; cf. Lat. capio, cépi, with long è spread to the Sing.

The Gmc. languages tend to abolish reduplication entirely where the difference of tense is sufficiently marked by internal vowel change, but in the case of the verbs corresponding to the class just mentioned the reduplication could not be lost in the plural, owing to its complete fusion with the root, and hence arise the anomalous pret. plurals of Gmc. gradation series 4 and 5 (see Wilmanns, iii, p. 36 ; Brugmann, K. Vgl. Gr. §§ 708, 709 ; Feist, PBB. xxxii. 462).

| Got. |  | OE. |  | ON. |  |  | OHG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sing. } \\ & \text { bar (for *be-bar) } \end{aligned}$ | Plur. bērun | Sing. bxer | Plur. beron | Sing. <br> bar | Plur. bēru | Sing. <br> bar | . Plur. bārun |
| $q a j$ ( (for * $q(-q a j$ ) | $q_{i}{ }^{\text {p }}$ un | стир | cuèdon | kicad | kièdu | quad | $d$ |

A parallel development, perhaps, may be observed in the progress of Gmc. itself where those verbs which still retain reduplication in Gothic, like háitan, haíháit, \&c., appear in ON. and W. Gmc. with a long $\bar{e}$ rowel, possibly produced by the fusion of the two syllables in the plural, and which then spread to the singular. ON. heita, hét; OE. hätan, hèt; OHG. hcizzan, hēzs (later hiaz).

It should be stated that this explanation of the unreduplicated perfects in the later Gmc. dialects, in verbs which show reduplication in Gothic, is rejected by many scholars, who consider that these are descended direct from IG. unreduplicated perfects, and that the reduplication in Gothic was very often an analogical innovation and not an historical survival. Feist (PBB. xxxii. 458) maintains that Gmc. from the beginning possessed a number of verbs with unreduplicated perfects, in which, however, the perfect was sufficiently distinguished from the present by its endings, and sometimes also by its root vowel, but the fall or weakening of the endings and the coinciding of the root vowels in consequence of various developments obliterated the distinction between present and perfect, and it became necessary to distinguish them in some other way. Gothic in many cases adopted reduplication on analogy with its existing reduplicating verbs, while N . and W. Gmc. adopted from such verbs as P. Gmc. * lotan, *lèt on the one hand, and l’r Gmc. *áukan, *eauk on the other, a vowel $\bar{e}(e)$ or co to denote its perfects.

ON. and OF. retain traces of the original reduplication in isolated forms:-

ON. róa, rera; sá, sera; smua, snera.
OE. hïlan: heht (beside hēt); līcan, lenlc (beside lēc).

The above explanation of the anomalous $\bar{e}$ pret. plurals of Gmc . in Classes iv and v leaves unaccounted for the forms of the Pret. Present verbs, Got. man, munnum (iv), mag, magum (v), OHG. mag, magun
 might be expected. The Pret. Present Got. skal, skulun, though usually classed as Class iv, more probably should be reckoned to Class iii, since with double initial consonant it could never have contracted its perfect plural.

## Gradation in Gme.

301 In Gmc. the Preterite (IG. Perfect) of Thematic verbs is characterized by the vowel gradation, which had arisen in IG. from the different accentuation of Sing. and Plural, and these verbs are classified according to the regular variation of related vowels.

## I. IG. e:o series.

a. With accent on the root in the Present.

These verbs had in the root of the Present $e$, in the Perfect Singular $o$, while in the Perfect Plural and the Past Participle they showed the Reduced grade.

## Gothic.

I. steigan, stáig, stigum, stigans
2. biugan, báug, bugum, bugans
3. hilpan, halp, hulpum, hulpans
4. niman, nam, nèmum, numans
5. giban, gaf, gēbum, gibans

OHG. stigan, steig, stigum, gistigan biogan, boug, bugum, gibogan helfan, half, hulfum, giholfan neman, nam, nāmum, ginoman geban, gab, gābum, gigeban

These verbal series represent the series of vowels obtained from the combination of qualitative vowel gradation with quantitative (264).

In the Singular these verbs show the gradation $e: o(e i: o i ; e u: o u)$, which in Gmc. gave e or $i: a(\bar{\imath}: a i, e u: a u)$. In the Plural the vanishing grade occurred ; thus in roots with diphthong the second component $i$ or $u$ became syllabic: e. g. biudan, báup, budum.

With roots which contain a liquid $l, r$ or nasal $m, n$, either before or after the root-vowel, the sonant $l, m, n, r$ are represented in Gmc. by $u l$, $u m$, $u n, u r$, or $l u$, \&c., e. g.

Got. hulpans, mumans, bundans, ze'aúrpans ( $\leftarrow{ }^{*}$ zeurpans). ${ }^{1}$
$\beta$. The second class of Thematic Presents were those which stressed the Thematic vowel, the so-called Aorist-Presents. Cf. Sk. tudáti, Gk. $\tau \rho i \beta \omega$. See above, 293.

This class, though extremely important in IG., is in Gmc. no

[^4]longer living; it was in the main absorbed by the root-accented verbs, but a pronf that it was once of some extent is afforded by the fact that in OE. and OS , the 3rd person plural of all verbs ends in the voiceless spirant $\beta$ (IG. $t$ ), which originally can have belonged by right only to forms in which the accent lay on the stem-vowel immediately preceding the IG. -nt, e. g. *IG. -ónti, Gmc. -án), OF., OS. āp [beside Gmc. and, Got. and, OHG. ant].

Traces of these stem-accented presents surviving in Gmc., and $\mathbf{3 0 3}$ showing therefore in the root of the present the vowel which in Gmc. is commonly the mark of the Preterite Plural or Past Participle, are the following :-

| Got. | OF . | ON. | OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{digan} \mathrm{i}$ | - | - | - |
| trudan ii | [tredan] | troda | [tretan] |
| - | sügan ii | súga | sügan |
| - | - | - | suiffan |
| zullan iii | spurnan | - | spurnan |
| - | murnan | -- | - |
| hikan iv | - | lika | lühhan |
| -- | cuma | koma | - |

Sometimes in Class ii this $u$ has undergone a secondary lengthening.
A certain number of these stem-stressed verbs passed into the weak $\mathbf{3 0 4}$ conjugation, notably those which had an io present and therefore corresponded closely with the weak verbs having $j$ presents ; such are Got. namnjan, hröpjan, hafjan, brukjan (but OE. brücan remains graded), \&c. In some cases Aorist present verbs of the first series which had present root vowel $i$ like their Past Part. joined the fifth series, and new forms were created in consequence, e. g. Got. lidjan, *Lheidh, Gk. $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$, formed a preterite bap by analogy to giban, gaf in place of *baib, the form to be expected; cf. Gk. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi o \iota \theta a$. These transferences from one series to another occur especially frequently among verbs with inset nasal, e. g. scindo-scidi, linquo-liqui, Got. slandan-stō). In Gmc. this nacal of the present has in most cases adhered to the root, and has become part of it in all forms. Cf. Lat. pango-panxi.jungo-junxi, Got. sigqan, OHG. sinkan, Got. stigqan, OHG. stinkan, but OHG. dringan beside G. preihan, and further OHG. stantan stuont wind.m. klimban, swintan, \&c.

## II. A-Presents and Reduplicating Verbs.

305 A number of verbs in Gmc. contain an $a$ in the present root which has never been sufficiently explained. (Probably a number of verbs of very different origin have fallen together.) It might be of three origins: (1) arising from IG. 0 ; (2) arising from IG. $a$; (3) arising from Gmc. Schwa vowel, if the verb was a stem-accented one.

A large class of these $a$-presents in Gmc. alternate with a long $\bar{o}$ in the preterite, and may perhaps belong to those IG. verbs whose conjugation shows only quantitative gradation- $0: \bar{o}$ or $a: \bar{a}$ (Gmc. $a: \bar{o}$ ): Lat. odio, ōdi; Got. faran, för; OE. faran, fôr; OHG. faran, fuor, \&c.
i. The Gmc. $a: \bar{o}$ verbs are commonly classed as the sixth series of Graded verbs. Their Preterite Plural shows an anomalous $\bar{o}$, which has apparently been lent by the Singular instead of the Schwa vowel which would be expected.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Gothic } & \text { OHG. } \\
\text { faran, for, forun, farans } & \text { faran, fuor, fuorun, gifaran } \\
\text { hafjan, höf, höfum, hafins } & \text { heffen, huob, huobun, gihaban }
\end{array}
$$

ii. A second class of $a$-presents in Gmc. show in Gothic reduplication without vowel-gradation. The vowel $a$ of the present may occur before consonant or before $\underset{\sim}{i}$ and $\underset{\sim}{u}$, yielding the diphthongs $a i$ and $a u$ respectively. They fall into the class of non-graded reduplicating verbs like slēpan. Such are:-

| Got. haldan, haîhald | OHG. haldan, hēlt (hialt) |
| :--- | ---: |
| falpan, fáfalb |  |
| háitan, haîhait | faltan, fêlt (fualt) |
| heizzan, hēz (hiaz) |  |

307 Verbs of this class which in Gmc. have the root-vowel $a, \bar{x}, a i$ show contraction to $\bar{e}$, but those with root vowel $\bar{o}$ or $a u$ in the present (verbs in OE. like feallan, feoll, \&c., are anomalous) show in all other dialects except Gothic the diphthong eo.

| Got. | OHG. | OE. | ON. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hláupan | loufan-leof | hleapan-hteop | hlaupa-hlióp |
| hiopan | wuofan-*weof (wuofla) | hwöpan-hweop |  |
| áukan | ouhhinn (*ouhhōta) |  | auka-iók |

A number of verbs belonging to this class in OHG., spaltan, walzan, skaltan, are denominatives of OHG. origin; see $P B B$. xxiii. 303 .
iii. The IG. $\bar{e}: \bar{o}$ series corresponding to a Gmc. $\bar{x}: \bar{o}$ series. Gk. $\rho \dot{\eta} \gamma v v \mu$, " ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \gamma a$. Got. lêtan, lâ̂lōt [laîlṑum, lètans]. Got. têkan, taítōk [taítōkum, tēkans]. OHG. lïzan, liaz, liazım, sï-līzan.

It is possible that some verbs classed in Gmc. as belonging to Series 6 are historically stem-accented Presents of this $\bar{o}$-series; cf. adj. lats in Got. beside létann, láilṑ, and the ON. conjugation of taka, tōk beside Got. tikian, laítīk.

The long is of the Singular is carried through the Plural: this was no doubt facilitated by the fact that the $\bar{i}$ of this class coincided with the $\bar{i}$ (I $(\bar{j} . \bar{i}$ and $\bar{i})$ of the series above. This section of Reduplicating verbs fell together by contraction in the later dialects with the other sections which show no gradation.

## Non-Graded Preterite in Gme.

The Preterite form inherited by OHG. from IG. is thus characterized 309 by the gradation of vowel, but the great majority of OHG. verbs form their preterite by a specially Gmc. formation, which consists in the addition of a dental to the verb-stem. This mechanically formed Preterite was termed by J. Grimm the 'weak' Preterite, and the term has been retained for all verbs which are conjugated in this way, i. e. the greater number of the io class of Presents and the Athematic verbs and new derivatives.

Note.-As to the origin of this dental suffix opinions are still very much divided. The question whether it derives from IG. $t$ or $d h$ cannot be answered with certainty, as the evidence is very conflicting. In Got. kunja, OE. cuide, OHG. konda, Got. ga-daursta, OE. dorste, OHG. gitorsta, Got. páuifta, OE. đorfte, OHG. dorfta, and the like, it would appear to have originated in IG. $t$ or th, but other preterite forms, as Got. skulda, munda, OS. habða, hogða, OE. sceolde, \&c., can only be explained as arising from IG. $d h$.

The explanation most generally accepted is that this dental suffix is $\mathbf{3 1 0}$ of twofold origin: (1) That the dental formation of the Preterite is a periphrastic formation created specially for the Denominative verbs which had originally only a present tense, i.e. that it is a combination of the verbal stem with a past tense of the verb 'to do', either Perfect or Aorist, e. g. Got. salbō-da $=$ salve $I$ did (cf. in classical Sk. the periphrastic perfect of causative verbs gamayān cukara and gamajam $\bar{a} s a ;$ Macdonell, Skr. Gr., § 139). The vast majority of verbs with this formation are, as has been stated, derivatives and mostly denominatives, which in IG. had no perfect. When the need for an expression of past action arose with such verbs the root *dhe was employed, with some oblique case of the verbal noun. To the IG. root dhe the Aorist form was edhé, cf. Sk. adhām, adhūs, adhāt, and the Gk. Aorist
 Pr. Gmc. * đeঠō, OHG. teta, OE. dyde. The Gothic plural terminations -dèdum, -dèdup, -dèdun, which must closely represent Pr. Gmc., correspond so exactly to the OHG. perf. of the verb 'to do', tatum, tâtut, tātun, that they are no doubt identical, but neither of them have been entirely explained. The Gothic inflexion of the weak verbs in the Preterite would be therefore due to a mixture of Aorist and Perfect forms.
(2) That it arose in part also from verbal forms in which a $-t$, -th suffix in IG. appeared. In the 2 nd person sing. of the perfect there existed beside the personal ending -tha also a secondary ending -thes, Sk. -thas. 'This is the Gk. - $\theta \eta \mathrm{s}$ of the weak Aorist Passive, e. g. é $\delta \mathrm{o}^{-}$ $\theta \eta s, S k . a ́$, -thas, on the model of which Gk. created personal endings for the ist and 3 rd person $-\theta \eta \nu,-\theta \eta$, in analogy to $\hat{\eta} v, \hat{\eta} s, \eta$. This IG. -thés would give birth to a Gmc. dental suffix in the and pers., and as a form of this origin Got. kunpes would coincide with a form salbodès derived from the periphrastic formation cited above. Gmc., like Gk., formed a ist pers. and 3rd pers. to match, e. g. Got. kunpa.

Thus is explained the dental suffix of the Preterite Presents which was joined immediately to the root without medial vowel.

For the formation of the Weak Preterite in Gmc. see PBB. vii. 457, xxxiv. 127 ; Wilmanns, iii, § 38 .

## Perfect Personal Endings.

## 312 Singular.

1. -a. Sk. vēda, in Pr. Gmc. *waila, Got. wáit.
2. -tha. Sk. veillha, in Pr. Gmc. th after $f h s \rightarrow 1$ : darf -1 , in other cases it should have given $\rho(\partial)$, but the $-t$ was generalized.
3.     - .

## Plural.

1. -um (in Gmc.). Got. bērum, ON. Gẹ́rum, OHG. bārum, OE. bą̄ron.
2. -up ", Got. bērup, ON. bğruđ, OHG. bārut.
3. -unす ", Got. bêrun, ON. bọru, OHG. bārun.
i. The origin of the $\cdot u$ in plural terminations is not clear: it is common to all Gmc. languages, and is found in the Dual (Got.) as well as in the Plural.
ii. The 3 rd pers. pl. in Pr. Gmc. ended in -und, which $\leftarrow$ IG. -nt (sonant $u$ ): probably from this person the $u$ was adopted for the 1 st and 2 nd persons also. So much is clear that this $u$ represents the vanishing grade of vowel in IG.

Perfect Indic. Graded (Strong).

|  | Gothic. | OHG. | Gothic. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | OHG.

W. Gmc. only shows the termination $-t$ of the and pers. in the 313 Preterite Presents, e. g. darft, maht, \&c. With other graded verbs it has replaced the normal 2 nd person by a form terminating in $-i$, whose root has the same vowel as the Plural, i. e. in the reduced or vanishing grade, e. g.:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { OHG. ih bant but du bundi OE. bunde } \\
\text { ih zoin but du zugi } & \text { tuze } \\
\text { ih zēh but du zigi } & \text { tize } \\
\text { ih sluoh but du sluogi } & \text { sloje }
\end{array}
$$

This form in $-i$ is probably the remnant of an augmentless aorist, as Gk. $\lambda \epsilon i(\pi \omega$, ( $\bar{\epsilon}) \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \mathrm{s}$. The IG. termination es became in Gmc. -iz: final $-z$ would fall in W. Gmc., while $-i$ would remain after a short but be lost after a long syllable until constraint of system replaced it.

This Aorist-form is foreign both to Gothic and to ON., though found in all W. Gmc. dialects, and must thus be a purely W. Gmc. survival : it forms one of the distinguishing characteristics of W. Gmc. as opposed to East and North Gmc.

## Conjunctive.

IG. kept distinct the two moods, Optative and Conjunctive; while 314 Gimc, unites the functions of both in its conjunctive (also variously styled Optative and Subjunctive), which in the main inherits the terminations of the IG. Optative. This was formed by the addition of a mood-suffix to the stem, plus the tense-suffix (if there was one). The Optative suffix was -ie: $-i$, to which were added the secondary personal endings : thus in the Gk. $\lambda v \theta \epsilon i \eta \nu, \lambda v$ is root, $\theta \epsilon$ tense-suffix, $i \eta$ mood-suffix, $v$ personal ending. Lat. $s-i c-m l, s-i-m$. In Gmc. the $-i$ e suffix gave way to $-i$, though traces of it are preserved in Gothic sïau, sijäis, \&c.

Thus the Reduced grade of suffix was generalized and is found in Athematic and Thematic verbs alike. Pres. Got. wevileis, wileima; OHG. sī, sìs, sì, \&c.; OE. sìe. Got. bēreis, bèri, nèmeis, nēmi. OHG.


In the Thematic verbs the thematic vowel $o$ in the Precent combined with the Optative suffix to form $o i$, e. g. Gk. $\phi \in v^{\gamma} \gamma o \iota s, \phi \in v ́ \gamma o c . ~ P r . ~ G m c . ~$ *לeraiz (IG. $-0 \rightarrow a$ ), Got. baíráis. W. Gmc. ai in unstressed syllable gave $\bar{e}$ and was weakened to $e$ when final ; thus Got. bairáis, OS. berēs, OHG. berēs, OE. bere.

In the Athematic verbs with suffix $-\bar{e}$ or $-m \bar{c}$ the Optative suffix $-i$ was added to the stem suffix $-\bar{e}$ and $-n \bar{a}$ respectively, and as $-\bar{e} i$ and $-\bar{a} i$ became in Gmc. -ai, the Optatives of these verbs coincided with those of the Thematic class: e.g. OHG. habès, habe ; Got. habáis, habái, háilnáis, háilnái.

The verbs in $-o$ should equally have $-a i$, but with them the original Conjunctive terminations appear to be retained in Gmc.: e.g. Got. salbō, OHG. salbō.

## Imperative.

315 The 2nd person shows the bare stem without any personal ending, whether in Thematic or Athematic verbs: the former originally had the termination $-e$ of the Present stem, but IG. final $-e$ was lost everywhere, cf. Streitberg, p. 55 (but also Van Helten, $P B B$. xvii. $5^{67}$ ), so that all graded verbs eventually are without it:

Athematic. IG. $\sqrt{i}$, to go: Gk. ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \xi \xi\right) \epsilon \iota$, Lat. (ex) $i$, Got. (hir) $i$.
Thematic. IG. $\sqrt{\text { bher, to bear: Lat. fere, Pr. Gmc. *光ere, Got. baîr. }}$ So (iot. steig, far, gif, and OHG. stig, far, gib, OE. stiz, far, zief.

The $j$-stems varied between ${ }^{*} i i e, * i$, and $\bar{i}$, which resulted in $j i(\rightarrow i)$ in W. Gmc. after a short and $\bar{i}$ after a long syllable: Got. bidei for *bidji, OS. bidi, OHG. biti, OE. bide, but Got. dōmei, OS. dōmī, OHG. tuomi. (OE. must have generalized $-i$ in all cases and have lost it after a long stem, e. g. dèm.)

The 3 rd person was formed by the addition of the particle tod which gave *tō, e.g. Lat. fertō, Pr. Gmc. * $\partial \bar{o}$ (Got. dau?), and to this a 3 rd person plural was formed in -ntōd; cf. Lat. ferunto (Gmc. -nす̄̄, -nd̄̄). Gmc. final $-\bar{o}$ usually yields Got. $-a$, and the forms to be expected would be Got. *mimada and *mimanda. The -au actually found is still unexplained: it may stand for -aúu $(=0)$ cr -áu; cf. Bethge, § 204 ; $P B B$. xxviii. 546 ; $I F^{\prime} . A n z . x v .263$. These forms, however, are only found in Got. and do not occur in OHG.

|  | Got. | OHG. | OE. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sing. 2. nim | nim | nim |  |
| Plur. | 1. (nimadant |  |  |
|  | 2. (nimik) | (nememés) |  |
|  | 3. nimanidau | (nemet) | (ninap) |

## The Infinitive.

Originally the Infinitive was a noun which expressed the action of 316 the verb-the doing, the coming-but it soon was felt to be a part of the verb. There was no one common form for the Infinitive (Vedic Sk. had twelve forms): like the noun, it was composed with various suffixes. Germanic had but one form, the Infinitive Present Active, with the suffix (IG. -ono: -eno: - -10 ) added to the present stem.
This Infinitive was in all probability a Neuter Substantive: $-n 0^{m}$, - - $n 0^{m}$, ${ }^{*}$ bheronom, Sk. ádanam $=$ the eating, Pr. Gmc. *elanam, Got. itan. In Gmc. the usual ending is -an $\leftarrow-$-onom, OE. etan, OHG. ezgan; but in OHG. the -jan verbs soon weaken the $a$ to $e$ under influence of $j$, and their Infinitive ends therefore in -en, e. g. sizzen, nerien, \&c.

Athematic verbs have $-n$ in W. Gmc.: OHG. gän, stūn, habīn, salbön, tuon.

In IG. the Infinitive suffix was at first added to the root and not to any tense or mood stem, but as the initial vowel $o$ coincided with the thematic vowel the Infinitive in Gmc. followed the formation of the Present tense, and the Infinitive suffix was added to the present stem even when extended, e.g. Got. fraíhnan, bidjan, standan, \&c., not fraîh-an, bid-an, slad-an.

## Participles.

A. Present Participle. In the parent language the stem of the 317 Present Participle, which was declined like a noun, was formed by the addition of the suffix $-n t$ to the present stem, e.g. IG. *bhero-nt, Gk. фє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ ( $\leftarrow$ фєроvтs), ф́́povios, Lat. ferens $(\leftarrow$ ferents), ferentis, Got. bairands. This suffix had gradation, i. e. could be -ont, -ent, or -nt; cf. Lat. iens-euntis ( $\leftarrow$ iontis). Gmc., like Gk., generalized the ograde, while Latin adopted the $e$-grade, but the reduced grade has been preserved in a few isolated substantives in Gmc., e. g. Got. hulundi beside OHG. helanti, Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{*}$ бurgunすi $\rightarrow$ Burgund-; Got. sunjis $=$ true, ${ }^{*}$ sundjo to $\sqrt{ }$ es $=$ to be (cf. Lat. s-ons, sontis); Got. tunbus to $\sqrt{ }$ ed $=$ eat, Lat. $d$-ent; ON. tind-r, OE. tind, OHG. zinn; beside Gk. boovт-, OHG. zand, OS. tand, OE. tö, ON. tonn. In the vocalic athematic verbs the long vowel should have been shortened in OHG. before double consonance, but constraint of system seems to have caused its retention, e.g. salbōnti, habēnti, but Got. habands. In occasional substantival forms the shortened form is found: Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{*}$ frī-jönd- $\rightarrow$ Got. frijönds, but OE. frē-ond, OHG. friunt; Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{*}$ fijend- $\rightarrow$ Got. fijands, OHG. fiant (to OHG. fien), OE. feond.

The Present Participles were by their formation -nt-stems, and are declined as such in Gk. and Lat. In Gmc., however, their declension as consonant-stems is much restricted, and in fact is only retained in the few present participles which are felt to be rather of a nominal than an adjectival character; cf. Got. frijönds, fijands, \&c. (eleven cases in all). In OHG. these are restricted to friunt and fiant; OE. has frēnd, fēond, $弓 \bar{o} d d \bar{n} n d, ~ h e t t e n d, ~ \overline{a z e n d, ~ h \overline{e l e n d, ~ n e r i e n d, ~ a n d ~ r i d e n d . ~}}$

In cases where its adjectival value was felt E. and N. Gmc. declined it like an $n$-stem, so that in form it coincided with the so-called weak adjective. The W. Gmc. languages treated this adjectival Present Participle as a $j a$-stem, which might be declined either strong or weak in OHG. and OE. : e.g. OHG. nemanti or nemantēr, OE. nimende, \&c. From IG. times the feminine forms of the Present Participle have been formed by -ie or -i-suffix (cf. Sk. bhavantī), and Got. alone retained this peculiarity, e. g. Masc. nimanda, Fem. -ei, Neut. - $\overline{0}$.

## B. Past Participle.

The Past Part. in IG. was formed either-
(a) with suffix *-ono: -eno: -no;
(b) with suffix $-t$.
(a) The suffix -ono: -eno: -no occurs only with primary graded verbs, and of these the last is generalized in Gothic and OHG. in thematic verbs. The Past Participle had suffix stress, hence the root-vowel was in the Reduced or Vanishing grade. In verbs not belonging to the $e: o$ series (Gmc. $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{v}$ ) the Schwa of the Past Participle gives Gmc. $a$, which in the case of the $a$-presents thus coincides with the Infinitive. Got. faran-farans, haldan-haldans, \&c.

Verbs of the $\bar{x}: \bar{o}$ series had in the Preterite Pl. vowel $\bar{o}$, in common with the $a: \bar{o}$ series, and appear to have followed their example in adopting the same vowel for the Past Participle as they had in the Present: lètan—lètans (*latans), höpan-höpans (*Wapans).

It is noteworthy that the grade -ono was not generalized in OE. or in ON. These dialects preferred the suffix -eno, ${ }^{1}$ hence beside Got. baúrans and OHG. giboran stand ON. borinn and OE. boren; beside Got. fulhans, OHG. gi-folhan, stand Got. fulgins (adj.), ON. folgimn, OE. folzen.
319 The Athematic verbs adopted the grade -no: c. g. OHG. gi-ta-n, OE. $3 e-d \bar{\theta}-n$, and a few isolated forms which, though not actually Past Participles, yet belong to the verb, show this suffix also; e.g. Got.

[^5]us-lūkn-s (adj.) to lîkan, OHG. scī-n to scīnan. Got. bar-n to baíran, Got. liug $g n$ to liugan, Got. alls $\leftarrow{ }^{*} \operatorname{aln} s(n$ assimilated) to alan.
(b) The IG. suffix -to (Gmc. - - ) was in Gmc. almost restricted to $\mathbf{3 2 0}$ derivative verbs, although in the parent language it appears to have been used with Primary and Secondary alike: e. g. Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{\text {ssalbodaz, }}$ Got. salbïjs, OHG. gisalbōt, OE. zesealfod; Got. nasips, OHG. ginerit, OE. zenerid; Got. habáibs, OHG. gihabēt, OE. gehxefd.

A few Primary verbs in Gmc. also have this suffix -to in place of -ono: these are the Preterite Presents, as Got. pauirfls to parf, mahts to mag ${ }^{-}$-, Got. -wiss, ON. -vis, OF., OHG. -wis ( $\leftarrow \operatorname{Pr}$. Gmc. ${ }^{*} u i s(s) a z$ $\leftarrow \mathrm{IG}$. uìt-to-s), and some of the Primary $j$-Presents, as Got. bugjan, zuaúrkjan, bagkjan, p.p. bauihts, waúrhts, pāhts, \&c. These participial formations with suffix -to were often used as adjectives; indeed, it is impossible to draw a dividing line between the two usages in many cases. It is, however, frequently the case that as adjectives they had the strong grade of root-vowel and the voiceless spirant $p$, while as participles, of course, they had the reduced grade and the voiced spirant J. In the Gothic kunps $\leftarrow$ Pr. Gmc. *kun-bo, ON. kunnr, kudr, OE. cuid, OS. küd, the root-vowel ( $u$ ) points to former suffix stress (vanishing grade), while the $b$ points to root-stress: it must thus represent a blending of the two forms-originally ${ }^{*} g$ - $\dot{n}$-to-s (adj.) and ${ }^{*} g$ - $n$-tó-s (p.p.). The true participial form survives in Got. -kunds: in airpakunds, göda-kunds, himina-kunds.

## Personal Endings in OHG.

Thematic Verb and Non-Graded Verb in -ja (i).

## Present.

IG. Got.

| Sg. *nemi | nima |
| :---: | :--- |
| nemesi | nimis |
| nemeti | nimip |
| Pl. nemomes | nimam |
| nemete | nimip |
| nemonti | nimand |

OHG.

| 8th cent. | Tat. \& Is. | O. | Notker. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nimu | nimu | nimit | nimo |
| nimis | nimis $(t)$ | nimisisls | nimest |
| nimit | nimit | nimit | nimet |
| nemamēs | nememés | nemen $($ mess $)$ | nemén |
| nemel $($ at $)$ | nemet | nemet | nement |
| nem-ant | nemant | nement | nement |

## i. The first person singular.

IG. $-\overline{0}$, when final, $\rightarrow u$ in W. Gmc. in unaccented syllable. A root 322 vowel $e \rightarrow i$ in OHG. before a following $u$ (277). This ending in $-u$ was preserved until the end of the ninth century, after which it passed regularly into -0 , but -0 is found already sporadically in the rinth century: K., Ra inhezzo, spano, zisceido, piuuerbio, inginno.

Before vowel this $-u$ is occasionally elided, especially before enclitic ih: T. gih ih, wuill ih, O. heiz ih, scrib ih, zeian er, zell iu, \&c. After a long syllable final $u$ should have fallen in W. Gmc., but was retained by analogy with short syllables (283). K. has once $-a$ for $-u$, hriusa ( 63.16 ).

## 323 ii. Second person singular.

Pr. Gmc. $-s i:-z i(s: z)$ according to the place of accent in IG. O. N. has generalized the $-z i$ forms while OHG. shows those $\leftarrow$ the voiceless $s$. The presence of $-s$ in OHG. is due partly to confusion between the two classes of Thematic verbs, those with root and those with stem (Aorist Presents) stress : in which latter [Gmc. *lukési]-s would remain. In part, perhaps, also the $-s$ in OHG. is due to the fact that the pronoun when following the predicate was often attached to the verb enclitically: e. g. gibizi $p u \rightarrow$ gibistu, and thus the $-s$, not being felt to be final, was preserved. The same explanation applies to the $-s$ in the and person singular of all tenses; see Wilmanns, i. § 150 , iii. § 3 ; $P B B$. xxxiv. 138.

In the ninth century the ending -is was, in the Indicative, replaced by -ist, at first in Franconian and then in the tenth century in UG. also: Fr. Taufgel. forsahhistu and forsahhist thu; T. gisihist thu beside gisihis thu, quidist, nimist, \&c. The form $+t$ is generally used by Notker, though the shorter form lives on into MHG. This accrescent $-t$ was of course due to the enclitic adherence of the pronoun thu to the verb, and was probably not uninfluenced by the 2 nd person of the Preterite Presents which ended in $-t$, e. g. weist, gitarst, $\& c$. See $P B B$. vi. 549. From the Indicative the extended form passed gradually into the Conjunctive. O., though using the forms in $t$ fairly often, never does so for the Conjunctive.

## 324 iii. Third person singular.

Nimit is the regular development of IG. *nemeti. The dental passed from IG. $t$ to Gmc. $b \rightarrow y \rightarrow$ W. Gmc. $d \rightarrow$ OHG. $t$. In both 2nd and $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular contracted forms are occasionally found : first with Otfrid, as quīs, quīst, quīt for quidist, \&c. The form laz (Otfrid, iv. 24), which has been considered a contracted form for lazis, is explained by Erdmann as a clerical error for liaz = liazi. In Notker the contracted forms of quedan, chīst, chīt for chidist, chidit are common : he also uses the form wirt for wirdit, to werdan, and fert for ferit, to faran.
iv. First person plural.

The OHG. ending -mes presents many difficulties. IG. *nemomes would give Gmc. and OHG. *nemam ; cf. Got. nimam. Bethge (§211)
assumes OHG. -més to be the direct descendant of the Primary (IG.) mis with lengthened vowel-grade, but does not explain the retention of the $-s$, nor the lengthening of $-c$. Kögel ( $P B B$. viii. 126) refers mès back to an IG. *māsī ; cf. Vedic masi (with, however, short $-a$ ), and claims that the original form was in IG. ${ }^{*}$ maísi, Gmc. $-\bar{e} \leftarrow a i$, and the $-s$ would be protected by the accent and the final $-i$ : this explanation is open to objections not less grave. Yet another theory: that OHG. més is a new OHG. innovation and represents an enclitic personal pronoun (I.F. xvii. 73), is equally unsatisfying. The whole question is still open. In Gmc. as in Gk. the ist pers. plural shows only secondary termination in the Indicative and Conjunctive alike, except only in OHG., which retains the original distinction between Primary and Secondary, and distinguishes Indic. nemamès from Conj. nemēm, Pret. nāmum, Conj. nāmīn. Only after a struggle is the Primary ending -més of the Indicative supplanted by the Secondary -ml. The oldest OHG. forms of Indicative are probably nemuumés, \&c., which, however, are only preserved in the Keron Glosses and in Pa., for the ending -més, of whatever origin, if it bore the accent, would cause vanishing grade of thematic vowel before $-m$, which would therefore yield -umés, while, if the -més was not accented, IG. $o$ before $m$ would likewise yield $u$. Elsewhere the vowel appears by analogy as either $-a$ or $-e$, the form -amés being peculiarly favoured by UG. and eemés by Franconian. The oldest OHG. monuments confine the ending -més to the Indicative Present; e.g. Ind. dikkamés, Conj. dikkèm. Isidor and M. have the ending -més in the Indic. Pres. and Pret. Conj.; Pa., Ra, K. have it for Pres. Ind. and Conj., but not in the Pret. T. uses it throughout, beside the shorter form in $-m$.

In the older MSS. $-m$ in the Indicative only occurs four times, and of these thrice in the Wk. Confusion soon sets in, and in later OHG. the forms in $-m e \bar{s}$ and $-m$ are used indiscriminately until $-m$ at last prevails to the exclusion of $-m \bar{e} s$, and the Present Indicative -amés, -emés is supplanted entirely by the Conjunctive -em $(-\bar{e} n)$. This is the universal form for the Indicative in Otfrid, who only once writes -més, iii. 3. 13, läzemès (for which a variant lazèmus is given in F.). See $P B B$. ii. 137 , iv. 42 r, viii. 126.

In Tatian and some other MSS., forms occur in -unmēs, i.e. in 326 which the ending -més has been added to an already complete plural form in -un, e. g. gäbunmēs, quāmunmēs, gihalōtunmēs. These are all Preterite forms in which the secondary $-m(n)$ was regular and thus had $-u n$ before the $-m \bar{e} s$ was added in analogy to the Present. Further :
in some of the Glosses -mas occurs for -mēs: unirdineozamas (i. 38.3), and there are five instances of -mus for -més: zaspaltemus, Pa. perpurnemus, and three in the Freis. O.: singemus, ilemus, bittemus, all of which are probably mere clerical errors. The same may be said of the frequent forms in -men in the Glosses, as they only occur where the original had the shortened notation $-\bar{m}$ for $-m \bar{e} s$, and the copyists may have mistaken this $-\bar{m}$ to stand for -en, the suffix which was current in their day, and have created the hybrid form -men.

In Notker, Will., the final $-n$ often suffers apocope before a following pronoun. This also occurs once in O. ii. 6. 32, unege wir.

## 327 v. Second person plural.

The IG. ending of the and person plural was in the Indicative the; cf. Sk. bháratha, Gk. фє́ $\rho \in \tau \epsilon$, Lat. vehite. IG. ${ }^{*}$ bherete $\rightarrow$ in Gmc. * irididi [Paul maintains that the final -e fell before becoming $-i$, and that the penultimate $-\epsilon$ was retained in Gmc., thus Pr. Gmc. *tered: but this penultimate $-e$ must have become $-i$ in Gmc., since it was unaccented], Got. bairib, OHG. birit. (OE. and OS. adopt for the and person the form of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person beray.)
In OHG. one monument only shows the old forms at all consistently, viz. the Mons. Frag. Here there are twelve instances of a 2 nd person plural in $-i t$, and it is important to note that the $-i$ has effected mutation, ir quidit, gasihit for quedit, gasehet, ferit for faret: thus they must be historically old forms and not due to analogy. In the Imperative also the ending - $i l$ stands. The oldest form of Present would then be : quidhu, quidhis, quidhit, quedhumes, quedhit, quedhant. Imperative : quidh, quedham, quidhit. The form, however, which is more or less universal is not -it but -et, which may be analogy to ist and 3 rd person plural. It has been suggested though that this is the old 2 nd person dual, Pr. Gmc. *beređam, Gk. ф'́ $\rho \epsilon \tau o v$, with secondary suffix. In it the penultimate ee would not have passed to $-i$, owing to the original presence of guttural vowel following: the eet form probably existed in the oldest OHG. side by side with the form in -it. The adoption of this dual form for the plural was favoured by the fact that constraint of system demanded the same vowel throughout the plural (cf. ON. farib beside Sing. ferr), e. g. quedhumes, quedhet, quedhant. See Wilmanns, iii. § 28 ; $P B B$.iv. 403 , viii. I 35 , ix. 355 , xiii. 125 ; Walde, Auslautsgesetz, p. ir9. The ending et is the general one, but in Alemannic ( $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$ and B.) -at often occurs, a form which appears to be due to the influence exerted by the 3 rd person in -ant. In later Alemannic the $3^{r d}$ person is adopted bodily into the 2 nd person-ant or later -ent,
at first only sporadically, but with Notker throughout, c. g. Pa. and K. ir firnemant, dannent, haffint (imp.), and even in Franconian: Tatian, ir quedhent, bringent, wizsent, uuolint, \&cc.; Offrid, ii. 12. 56 ir intfihent (v), ii. 19. 8 savertint. Other forms in Tatian $(\gamma)$ are found in -unt (unizsunt, 104. 8 ; gihor-lunt, 30.1 ; saztunt, 135.21 ; ingringgunt, 87.8 ; santunt, 88. i i) and -(ant: in Sam. 3 I sagant.

## vi. Third person plural.

The regular ending is -ant (from IG. * nemonti) for the strong verb and -int for the -jan presents and Non-Graded verbs, i , as the $a$ following $j$ would $\rightarrow e$. Only a few older MSS., however, observe this distinction carefully; Pa., K., R., M. have regularly quedant, singant, uuahsant, \&\&., but danchent, uuäncht, \&c. Soon, however, interchange arises: in UG. -ant becomes predominant, -cht in Franconian for all verbs : varant, piggant, Musp., but Tatian and Otfrid have regularly ent. Otfrid has once ferant (F. v. 22. 1), and once sizzint (F. i. 10. 25). Franconian has everywhere -ont except with Isidor, who writes always -anl: e. g. rimnant, infahant, chiborant, czzant, and only once has -ent, e.g. sizzent.

## Present Conjunctive.

| IG. | Got. | 8th cent. | OHG. <br> Is. and Tat. | O. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * nemoi | (nimau) | neme | neme | neme | neme |
| * nemois | ninıáis | nemès | nemès ( $t$ ) | nemès | nemēst |
| *nemoi | nimái | neme | neme | neme | neme |
| *nemoim. | nimáima | nemèm (amès, cimēs) | nememès (en) | nemēn | nemèn |
| * nemoite | nimáip | nemèt | nemèt | nemèt | nemèt |
| * nemoint | nimáina | nemèn | nemèn | nemen | nemen |

These are old Optative forms. The suffix $-i$ plus the thematic vowel $-0 \rightarrow \mathrm{IG}$. -oi, which in Gmc. $\rightarrow a i$. Thus *nemai, \&cc. : ai $\rightarrow$ $-\bar{e}$ in secondary syllables, which preserved its length when protected by a consonant, e.g. nemés, nemèm, but was reduced to $-\breve{e}$ when final: e.g. in the ist and 3rd persons singular neme. The length of $-\bar{e}$ is clearly demonstrated by the older writing -ee, BR., \&c., and by Notker's notation with circumflex.
i. Occasionally the $-e$ of the ist and $3^{\text {rd }}$ persons singular passes into - $a$ in Bavarian : cf. the Freis. Pn. uuerda and wesa; and this is not infrequent in later Bavarian ; in other dialects it is rare : Sam. geba, Isidor bichnāa.

Like the $-u$ of the Ind. Pres. the final $-e$ is frequently elided before following pronouns: O. helf er, intiet er, niaz er, muerd er.
ii. The final -s of the 2 nd person sing., as in Indicative, is not normal in root-accented verbs ( $3^{2} 3$ ). OE. preserves in the conjugation the true $s$-less form $b u$ bere, $p u$ nime, \&c. As was shown, this $\bar{e} s$ was towards the end of the ninth century extended to $\overline{e s t}$ by analogy to the Pres. Ind.
iii. For the ending of the ist person plural see Indicative ( 325 ). The Conjunctive ending should be and was at first $-\bar{e} m$ with long $-\bar{e}$, later $-\bar{e} m e \bar{s}$, but $\check{\text { émés }}$ is the Indicative form which has passed into the Conjunctive. In the ninth century -en occurs and eventually becomes universal. For Pers. endings of Conj. Present Non-Graded verbs see 370 .

## Imperative.

In the Imperative 2nd person singular in OHG. the final vowel of Thematic verbs $-e$ was lost before the OHG. period, and the double consonance which would be expected, arising from gemination before $j i$ after short stem, was simplified, e. g. biti beside bitten (315).

Got.
OHG.

|  | 8th cent. | Is. and Tat. | O. | N. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nim | nim | nim | nim |  |
| nimadau |  |  |  |  |
| nimam | nemamés $(\bar{e} m)$ | nememēs $(\bar{e} n)$ | nememés | nemèn |
| nimip | nemet $($ at $)$ | nemet | nemet | nemet |

The form in - $\bar{e} n$ for the 1 st person plural is originally an optative.
The original form was, like that of the 2 nd person plural, identical with the Pres. Ind. Plural, ending in -amés, -emès: of this there are many instances in Otfrid (bittēmēs, \&c., Kelle, p. 37) and Isidor, but very early the Conjunctive was employed for the Imperative, and the endings -amés, -emés gave way to the conjunctive form -èm, -èn: e. g. Murb. Hymns, singēem, petōem, chuuedèm, frauuèm, duruchuuachēem, kakanlouffeèm, \&c. For Imperative of Non-Graded verbs see 37 I.

331 |  | Preterite. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Got. | OHG. |  |  |
|  |  | nam cent. | Is. and Tat. | Otf. | Notker.

Gothic shows the strong vowel-grade throughout the singular:

OHG. only in the 1 st and $3^{\text {rd }}$ sing. In the 2nd pers. it has the vowel of the plural.
i. The $-t$ of Got. in the and person has already been referred to, and it is clear that it is regular only with those stems which terminate in labial, guttural or $-s$; otherwise it should show $\beta$. OHG. only shows the $-t$ with the preterite presents: in all other graded verbs OHG. has in the 2nd person singular $-i$, which has also been already referred to (313). It is an augmentless aorist form with final $-i$ preserved, even after long roots, by analogy to the verbs with a short root, as bundi, sligi, like budi, \&c. Cf. PBB. ii. 155.
ii. Common to all Gmc. languages is the $-u m$, \&c., of the dual and 332 plural. It should be noted that the OHG. Non-Graded verbs with Isidor and in Alemannic do not show this ending -um, $-u n$ : but $-\bar{o} n$, $-\overline{0} t,-\bar{n}(P B B$. ii. 13 6$)$; the other dialects make no difference in this respect between the Graded and Non-Graded verb. Occasionally in Franc. Bav. the $-u n$ is weakened to -on, thus Freis. O. sageeton, bigunnon, and sometimes also to -an: irluagètan. Sporadically cases occur of a ist person plural in -més, -amés, and unmès ( $\mathrm{R} ., \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$, and T.) by analogy to the present, but after the ninth century these no longer occur and the universal ending is -un until the days of Notker, when the weakened $-\mathrm{c} n$ becomes fixed. For forms in unmés see 326 .

Preterite Conjunctive.

| IG. | Got. | OHG. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8th cent. | Is. and T . $n \bar{a} m i$ | O. <br> nāmi | N. $n \bar{a} m e(-i)$ |
| -jem -jcs | nemzau nèmeis |  | nami $n$ ãmis $(t)$ | nāmı̄s | nāmīst |
| -jes -jet | nèmi | nāmi | nàmi | nāmi | nāme( $i$ ) |
| -im | nèmeima | nāmīm (imes) | nāmīmès(in) | nāmīn | nāmìn |
| -ite | nèmcip | nāmìt | nămìt | nāmīt | $n \bar{a} m \bar{\sim} t(\bar{\imath} n t)$ |
| -int | nèmeina | nāmīn | nāmin | nāmīn | nāmīn |

As was seen in section 314, the Optative suffix was - ie $_{2}$ or $-\bar{i}$ : in Pr. Gmc. $-i$ became adopted for the singular and plural alike, and in OHG. was shortened to $-i$ when final in Bavarian and Franconian, but remained long when protected by a consonant.

In Alemannic and in the Franconian Isidor the length of final $-\bar{i}$ is preserved with the Non-Graded verbs; hence with Notker these, salbōti, \&c., have the $-\bar{i}$ still long, but nāmi is already weakened to nāme.

The plural has at first in the ist person only -im, then for a short time -imés and -in side by side; finally after the ninth century only -in. In late Alemannic the 2 nd person usually ends in -int, as in the Indicative -ent, see 328 .

## Prefix ga.

334 In OHG. most verbs, whether Graded or Non-Graded, form their Past Participle not only with the suffix -an or $-t$, but also with the prefix $g i-$. This is the Gothic ga, Lat. cum (con), and was used already in Gothic not only with the original meaning 'together' : garinnan, Mk. i. $33=$ to run together (gabaíran = confero), gaqiman sik $=10$ gather themselves together, but also in many cases to attribute perfective meaning to a verb, which otherwise had only imperfect meaning, or to convert a preterite into a pluperfect (galáusida $=$ he had loosed, Mk. v. 4 ; gahabáida = he had taken, John vi. 17, \&c.) : also slëpan = to slecp, beside gaslëpan $=$ to fall asleep, rinnan $=$ to run, beside garinnan $=$ to obtain by running, I Cor. ix. 24 . In this sense it was naturally combined more often with the Past Participle than with other forms of the verb, and in OHG. gradually all simplex verbs adopted it save those in which the perfective sense was still strong, as to come, to find, \&c., e.g. queman-quoman, fintan-funtan, bringan-brungan, uuerdanuuordan, and those used as adjectives: e.g. trunkan, kund to kennen, sculd to sculan, eigan to eigun, in which the adjectival sense was yet alive, but gi-noman, gi-loufan, gi-graban, gi-salböt, \&c. Verbs compounded with inseparable prefixes such as $b i$, $g i$, fir, int, zi, ar, did not take this prefix, e.g. binoman, firnoman, \&c., but where the prefix was separable, as with $a b, u$ z, the prefix $g i$ - is inserted, e. g. abaginoman, uঞginoman.

Forms of the Past Participle without the prefix in simplex verbs are rare. Tatian heizzan (13. 1), hangan (94. 4), rehtfestigöt (64. 14) beside girehtfestigöt (62. 12) ; Otloh rihtet, preitet, frezzen; Pa. kepan; Hl. wountane (bougā) ; R. phinōt. PBB. xiii. 516 and xv. 70.

## Gradation Series I-V.

## 1. IG. e:o Series.

335 I. Pr. Gmc. $\bar{i}$ ai $i i^{*}$ stigan. *staig *stigum *stiganaz
Got. ei ai $i i^{i}$ steigan stáig stigum stigans
OHG. (a) $i$ ei $i i^{i}$ stigan steig stigum gi-stigan
(b) $\bar{i} \quad \bar{e} i \quad i \quad z i ̄ h a n ~ z e ̄ h ~ z i g u m ~ g i-z i g a n ~$

All verbs showing the gradation IG. $e: o$ in which the root-vowel $e: o$ occurred before $\underset{\sim}{i}$ belong to series I (зО1).
i. Verbs with root ending in $-h$ or $-w$ in OHG. have $\bar{e}$ instead of $e i$ in the pret. sing. (271).
ii. The verb spizean has a variety of forms: Alemannic has as infinitives spian and spigan: the preterite occurs with Otfrid as spē
with fall of the -o and with Notker as spèh, analogous to lêh, \&cc.: in the plural Otfrid has spiun for spizun, and Tatian spum by analogy with bluteun, \&c.: and in the Denkmaler (91. 49 and 90. 23) Past Part. gespūen and ge-spiren beside gispiunan are also found. The form spiren is probably in analogy to giscriran, as is spizt to giscrizt. Phys. has a form üzspiggt. Cf. 231.

Verbs belonging to Class I. a are :-
bilan, wait
bizan, bite
blichan, shine
flizan, to be zealous
glizan, glitter
gnitan, rub grifan, seize grinan, grin hlifan, open (h) nigan, bow hrinan, touch kinan, open (bud) kī̄ban, cling Tiban, remain
*hdan, go
*mìdan, avoid midan, envy riban, rub ridan, writhe riman, touch
*risan, rise rilan, ride rizan, tear scinan, shine scrīan, cry scriban, write sigan, conquer slichan, creep
slifan, slip slizan, tear smizzan, smear * snīdan, cut stĭgan, mount strichan, stroke strītan, struggle swïchan, weaken swīnan, vanish trīban, drive wīchan, yield wīfan, wind wizan, punish
*wihan, fight zihan, accuse
*dihan, thrive
*ihan, lend rihan, order
sihan, filter
tihan, accuse

To Class I. b belong: -

Of these a number (marked ${ }^{*}$ ) show grammatic change : $s: r, d: t$, $h: g$ or $w$, e. g. risu-rirum; snìdu-snitum; wīhu-wigum; lihuliwum.


All verbs showing the gradation IG. $e: o$ in which root vowel $e: 0$ occurred in front of $u$ belong to II.

In OHG. Pr. Gmc. $a u \rightarrow \bar{o}$ before $h$ and dentals: in other cases it remains a diphthong, hence biotan-böt, but sliofan-slouf. Pr. Gmc. $e u \rightarrow$ OHG. eo (io) before a following $a$ or $o$ in Franconian, but in UG. $\rightarrow i u$ before labial or guttural, co only in other cases (275), hence Fr. liogran, UG. liugan.

Verbs belonging to Class II. a are :-

| biogan, bend | kriochan, creep | sliofan, slip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aliogan, fly | liogan, lie | stioban, rush |
| hiofan, lament | riochan, smell | triofan, drip |
| klioban, cleave | skioban, push | triogan, deceive |

i. Verbs terminating in -wan, as bliuwan, (h)niuzwan, kiuwan, have in the Present -iu in all forms, both in UG. and in Franconian : they also differ from the class in having a long - $\bar{u}$ in the Pret. Plur. and the Past Part. Thus: ih bliuu-blou-blüroum-giblūwan; kiwan, kiuuit, kou-kūun-gikūuuan. In the Past Part. the woften falls, e. g. gibluan, ginuan. They had in Pr. Gmc., it would seem, www and $\bar{u}$, probably $\leftarrow u+w$. Got. bliggzan, \&c., belong to III.
ii. OHG. riuwan, to crush, MHG. riuzven, though probably belonging originally to reduplicating verbs like buan, \&c., follows the conjugation of bliuzwan.
iii. Hiofan, to mourn, only occurs in UG. texts Pa., K., therefore always as hiufan (or hiupan).
339 Verbs belonging to Class II. b are :-

| biotan, offer | giozan, pour | niosan, use |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| diozan, sound | (fir)griozan, crumble | riozan, weep |
| driosan, fall | *kiosan, choose | *siodan, seethe |
| fliohan, flee | far-*liosan, lose | skiozan, shoot |
| fliozan, flow | ar-liotan, grow | sliozan, shut |
| *riosan, freeze | (h)liozan, draw lots |  |

iv. Fliohan has given up its grammatical change in OHG. and forms fluhum, giflohan, perhaps to avoid falling together with fiogan (a).
v. The verbs sügan, süfan, and lühhan differ from the rest of the class in having a long $\bar{u}$ throughout the Present, but in the other forms they agree with biogan, e. g. louh, luhhum-gilohhan. They are old stem-accented Presents (293), and the $\bar{u}$ represents the weak vowel grade with secondary lengthening.

340 III. Pr. Gmc. e, i a u u *לindan- *tand *tundum *bundanaz Got. (a) $i$ a $\quad$ u $u$ bindan band bundum bundans (b) aí a aú aú waírpan warb wairrpum wairpans OHG. (a) $i$ a $u$ u bintan bant buntum gibuntan (b) $e \quad a \quad$ u werdan ward wurtum zortan

To Class III belong verbs showing IG. e:o gradation whose root begins or ends in double consonance : if the root-vowel is followed by a nasal, the verb follows the example of bindan, if by any other consonant it belongs to III b .

Thus to Class III, a belong:-

| brinnan, burn | krimphan, crumple |
| :--- | :--- |
| dinsan, draw | bi-limphan, happen |
| dringan, press | gi-lingan, succeed |
| dwingan, force | bi-linnann, cease |
| *findan, find | gi-nindan, to be willing |
| li-gimnan, begin | rimphan, rumple |
| hinkinn, limp | ringan, wrestle |
| (h)limman, growl | rinanan, flow |
| klimban, climb | int-rinnan, flee |
| klingan, sound | scrintan, burst |
| krimman, scratch | singan, sing |

sinkan, sink sinnan, think slintan, devour spinnan, spin springan, spring swimman, swim swingan, swing trinkan, drink
winnan, rage
wintan, turn

And to Class III. b:-
bellan, roar hrespan, snatch
berwan, procure (Kögel, *hwerban, act, turn smerzan, smart
*hwerfan, throw ar-leskan, extinguish melkan, milk quellan, swell skellan, sound skeltan, blame skerran, scratch smelzan, melt
helpfan, help snerfan, pucker snerhan, wind sterban, die *swelhan, swallow swellan, swell swerban, whirl telban, dig wellan, roll
*werdan, become werpfan, throw werran, confuse
i. Findan shows at first grammatic change, fand-fantum, but very 342 soon the forms begin to level out, especially as $t$ tends to $\rightarrow d$ after nasal. Tatian has nearly everywhere the form in $d$, and by Notker's time all difference has vanished.
ii. Biginnan more often has a weak form of preterite than the strong : bigonda or bigonta for bigan( $(n)$, and Isidor writes bigunsta, bigonsta, which seems in analogy to unnan-onsla.
iii. To bringan the historically correct preterite brang, brungum occurs in Otfrid ; the weak form brāhta from the causative [Got. *braggjan, OS. brengean, OE. brengan] is, however, the more usual. The past part. brungan is more generally used than brāht in the oldest documents.
iv. Spurnan also has a weak preterite in use, spurnta, beside the $\mathbf{3 4 3}$ strong forms fir-spurni (O. iv. 4. 20) and fir-spurnan (p.p.). As the $u$ in infinitive shows, it is an old aorist present.
v. The verb brestan has also forms as if from Class IV, pret. brästum, so always N. (brāstēn).
vi. The regular form of past part. to dwingan is gidungan, pret.
plur. dungum ( $w$ lost before $u, 129$ ), but only the older texts like K. have consistently forms without $w$.
vii. The preterite ginand used by O. must belong to infin. *ginindan ( $=$ to show courage).
viii. The verb hwerfan, hwarf, hwurbum, gihworban shows levelling in all directions, so that two verbs arise from it, hwerfan and hwerban, of which the former is preferred in Isid. and Alem., but the latter in T. and O. $f(v)$ occurs mostly in present in UG. texts, and occasionally in pret. plural and past participle.
ix. To hellan the only past part. recorded in OHG. is gehellan, as if belonging to Class V, but MHG. has gehollen.
x . Verbs like fehtan, in which root-vowel is neither preceded nor followed by liquid or nasal, should normally have past participle either with a, Gmc. a (OHG. *gifahtan), or, as in Class V, ə assimilated to vowel of present (*gifehtan); the past part. gifohtan must be analogical with verbs of Class IV.
 Got. (a) $i$ a $\bar{e} \quad u$ niman nam nèmum numans $\begin{array}{cccccccl}\text { (b) } & \text { aí } & a & \bar{e} & \text { aú } & \text { baíran } & \text { bar } & \text { bèrum }\end{array}$ baúrans

To this class belong historically only graded verbs $e: 0$, whose root originally contained only single consonants, one of which must be liquid or nasal. Thus: beran, helan, lehhan ( $h \hbar \leftarrow G \mathrm{Gmc} . k$ ), neman, quelan, queman, queran, rehhan ( $\leftarrow k$ ), zeman, zeran.

A number of other verbs have crept into this class in OHG.: brehhan, breman, dweran, skeran, sprehhan, stehhan, swehhan, treffan, trehhan, twelan.
345 Queman is inflected throughout according to this class only in Isidor, H., and M. Beside the present forms founded on the root *kwem (Got. qiman) others occur very early, and especially in Tatian, which are founded on an aorist present root *kum. Thus: kumu, kumist, kumit, conj. kome, pres. part. komenti, past part. kumen ; cf. OE. cuman, ON. koma. These forms gradually displaced the older ones from *kwem in the present, and are the only ones used by Notker, so that qucman had fallen from use by the twelfth century. The preterite remained regular: quam, quāmum, though in late OHG. the labial -u tended to turn the following $-a$ into -0 , chom, chomen. The past part. is regularly queman as if from Class V in all older MSS. : with Notker it becomes chomen.


To this class belong those graded $e: o$ verbs whose consonants are other than those mentioned in Classes III and IV, thus:-
gi-fehan, fit oneself, rejoice jetan, weed gi-fézzan $(\leftarrow t)$, fall knetan, knead fnehan, breathe
geşan $(\leftarrow t)$, get
*jichan, say
*jesan, foam
*lesan, read
*gi-nesan, recover
*quedan, say

* redan, speak
*sehan, see
weban, weave
*wegan, move wehan, contend *wesan, to be zeelan, bind
and the three $j$-present verbs: bitten, liggen, sizzen.
A number of other verbs from Classes III and IV have joined this class in OHG. :-

| klenan, smear <br> kresan, creep | meszan, measure <br> pflegan, wait upon, <br> to be wont | gi-skehan, hasten <br> stredan, gush <br> tretan, tread |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

i. Verb roots ending in $s, d$, and $h$ should show grammatic change : $\mathbf{3 4 7}$ of these, those in $s$ do show the change $s: r$ with some regularity: las-lārut, ginas-ginārum, wesan-wārum. Of quedan the forms quätun and giquetan are common at first, but soon succumb to levelling. Those in $h$ rarely have the change to $g$ or $w$, though Notker writes always gejegen and often gesemuen. Ezzan and frezzan differ from the rest in having long vowel in the preterite singular: $\bar{a} \not \approx, f r a \bar{z}$; cf. Got. frēt, OE. $\bar{z} t$, but it is curious that twice with Otfrid this $\bar{a} z$ should rhyme with gisăz: iii. 6. 35 and v. 14. 24. Williram writes ǎz: Zs.fdA. 44. 12, \&c.
ii. Contracted forms occur in the present of quedan, quiss, quīt; N. chīs, chīt. In late Bavarian a past part. choden occurs according to Class IV.
iii. In bitten, liggen, sizzen the old present formation with -i- suffix 348 yielded the same result in present tense as in the weak $j$-presents ; it caused also gemination of consonant, except in 2 nd and 3 rd person, e. g. bittu, bitis, bitit, *bittemés, bittet, bittent, but of course only in the present: liggen, liggu, sizzen, sizzu, but lag, saъ, \&c. By degrees the form from single consonant prevailed in most cases and penetrated into the whole present already with Tatian, while Notker has only the forms
biten and ligen, \&c. On the other hand the $z z(\leftarrow t t)$ of sizzen \&c. prevailed.
iv. The OHG. verb tretan, OE. tredan, is in Got. an aorist present, trudan, and has there joined Class IV.

## 2. Pr. Gme. a : ō Series.

349 VI. Pr. Gmc. $a \bar{o}^{\bar{o}} \quad \bar{o}$ *faran- *fōr *fôrum *faranaz
Got. a $\bar{o} \quad \bar{o}$ a faran fōr fōrum farans

OHG. a uo uo a faran fuor fuorum gi-faran
The origin of the verbs which belong to this class has already been discussed (305). They are :-

| bahhan, bake | malan, grind | stantan, stand |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dwoahan, wash | nagan, gnaw | tragan, carry |
| galan, sing, charm | sahhan, quarrel | gi-wahan, call |
| graban, bury, dig | skaban, scrape | wahsan, grow |
| hladan, load | slahan, hit | waskan, wash |
| laffan, lick, lap | snahhan, creep | watan, wade | and $j$-presents: heffen, skepfen, swerien.

i. Grammatic change is shown regularly by those whose root ends in $h$, but the consonant of the preterite plural has passed into the singular: dwahan, dwag, gidwagan, slahan, sluog, sluogum; so also luog, gizvuog, \&c.

To *gizeahan-gizuog Otfrid forms a present gizwahinen and a past participle givahinit (i. 9. r). In older texts the dental change is shown in the past participle, kihlatan, kilatanan to hladan, but Monsee Fr . has always giladan, and O . and T . always $d$. A curious form is kihliad (Ra, Graff. 4. 1113), which, however, Kögel explains as a clerical error for kihluad.
ii. Stantan should of course have the in-fixed nasal only in the present, but it forms regularly stuont-gistantan, nevertheless there is, especially in early texts (Wk.), a second form without the nasal, stuat, stuot (Got. stōb, ON. stớ, OE. stōd). T. has vorstōtun, O. gistuat (ii. 6. 40), gistuatin (i. 9.23 ; 20.5).

351 iii. OHG. has two present forms for bahhan ( $\leftarrow$ Pr. Gmc. k) : Gl. i. 274 , za pahanne, and ii. $2^{52}$, ungipahaniu, but i. 713 zi bakkane and Notker's pacchet ( $\leftarrow$ Pr. Gmc. kk). PBB. ix. 583. To this class belong also several verbs with $j$-suffix in present, e.g. heffen, skepfen, swerien, *intsteffen and hlahhen, which last, however, passed into the third weak class, as Notker writes lachen, preterite lacheton: it only occurs as a strong verb in R. hlöh. To heffen the Present at first runs: heffu, heris, hevit, heffemes, \&c. (127), but soon
single $f$, written $v$, spreads over the whole tense. Grammatic change is shown $f: b$ with the $b$ extended to the singular: he fiu-lucob-huobum. Notker levels out in favour of $f$ in past part. erhaven.
iv. In skipfin (Got. ga-skupjan) the $j$ has caused in the present W. Gmc. gemination, and in OHG. the fricative persists throughout the singular, though properly it should alternate with $f f(\leftarrow \mathrm{~W}$. Gmc. single $p$ ) in 2 nd and 3 rd person. The preterite is regular: skuofskiuof um-siskaffan.

OHG. formed another present, skaffan, and Otfrid has a weak form scaftin (i. 9. 8). The infinitive to intsuab, insuabun, Otfrid, and insefit, ensebben, must have been *intseffen.
v. The past participle to swerien is always gisworan (for *giswaran).

## Reduplicating Verbs.

Pr. Gmc. had two classes of verbs with reduplicated perfects: (a) those 352 in which the preterite showed a vowel different from the present; (b) those which had the same vowel in present and preterite, e. g. :
(a) Got. grētan, gaígrōt, gaígrōtum, grē̄tans.
(b) Got. háitan, haíháit ; stáutan, staístáut; haldan, haîhald; hāhan, haíhāh; fīkan, faífōk.

In OHG. these verbs have no reduplication, but show an apparent rowel gradation of a different type from that already discussed (305), according to which they are divided into two classes :-
(a) Those with preterite vowel, W. Gmc. $\bar{e}$, OHG. ea, ia (the present $\bar{a}, a$, or $e i)$.
(b) Those with preterite vowel, W. Gmc. co, OHG. eo and io (the present $\bar{o}, o u, u o)$. E.g.
(a) fallan-fial-fialun- gifallan; släfan-sliaf—siiafun-gislïfan; heizan-hiaz-hiazun-giheizan.

Such verbs are: būgan, bannan, blantan, bläsan, brātan, fähan, faldan, fallan, gangan, hūhan, hallan, heizan, lāzan, meizan, rātan, int-rātan, salzan, skaltan, *skeidan, släfan, spaltan, spannan, szevifan, walkan, walzan, fir-wāzan, zeisan, and the $j$-present erien (erren).
i. Isidor and Tatian write short $e$ before $n g$ in place of $i a$ : e. g. genc, infenc, arhenc; and the same is the case in the Monsee Fr. ( $P B B$. i. 507). To fähan a preterite without nasal sporadically occurs: e. g. T. inlfiegun, phiegin, \&c. ; see PBB. vi. 544, note.
ii. Noteworthy is the short imperative to läzan, Ps. I38. 35, lã. Syncopated forms of the present, as $l \bar{a} t, \& c$., are not uncommon, and a pret. $\bar{i} e$, in which, perhaps, analogy with $g \bar{a} n$ and $h \bar{a} n$ is to be seen.
iii. Of the verbs with $\bar{a}$-presents which formerly also had a reduplicated perfect, one strong past part. is still preserved in K. : ziplähannèr (63.18), Pa. zaplāhannèr, Rảziplānēr, inblāhenen to blāan.
(b) houuan-hiu (hio)-hiuuum—gihouuan, stōzan—stiaz (stēz)— stiazum—gistōzan (Got. au); wuofan-wiof—wiofum—wuofan (Got. ō ).
i. In UG. these verbs whose roots ended in a labial have of course iu instead of io (275): T. liof, N. liuf.
ii. To hruofan and zeuofan there are also $j$-presents, hruofen
 form without $j$ in Franconian, but in UG. are alone used, and have weak preterite and past part. ruofta, (ana)ruophtun.
354 Very curious, and possibly a remnant of the old reduplicating perfect, are certain forms with $r$, which occur in verbs of this class: e.g.

To stōzan: steroz, stiriz, sterozun; to skrötan: kiskrerot; to plözan: pleruzzun, capleruzzi; to buan (O.): biruun, biruuuis. The $r$, however, is more probably not etymological, but rather a consonant glide.

See $P B B$. viii. 55 I , ix. $5^{2} 5$, xv. 350 , xxxii. 488 ff ; J. Schmidt : Kuhne, $Z s$. xxv ; Wilmanns, iii, p. $3^{8 .}$

The weak verb fuohhon replaces fluohhan, of which only past part. (far)fuahhan is recorded.

Similarly būan forms preterite būta, but past part. gibū̆an, preserved t:ll MHG. (gebürven).

## The Non-Graded (Weak) Verb.

355 The weak verb is characterized by the absence of Gradation and the formation of preterite and past part. by dental suffix.

In Pr. Gmc, there were the following classes :-
(1) Verbs which were originally causatives (as Got. lagjan $=$ to lay) or denominatives (as Got. wènjan, to hope $\leftarrow$ wēns, háiljan $\leftarrow$ háils) with $j$-suffix, Got. lagjan, lagida, lagips, and wih these were joined also some primary verbs with $j$-presents, G. wairrkjan, OHG. (wirkan) wurken. These yield Got. and OHG., Class I.
(2) Denominatives from feminine (Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{-0}$ ) stems with athematic present : Got. salbön, OHG. salbōn. Got. and OHG., Class II.
(3) Primary verbs with athematic suffix $\bar{e}: i o$ or $\bar{e} i o$ (cf. taceo-tacire) $\leftarrow \bar{e}$. Got. and OHG., Class III, Got. haban, OHG. habën. Wilmanns, iii, § 47 .
(4) Inchoatives wih suffix -nan. Got., Clas IV. Got. full-nan, in OHG. lost or absorbed into other classes.

In OHG. there survive the first three classes: (1) verbs whose $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ infinitive ends in $-e n(\leftarrow j(a n)$, pret. -illa, $-l a$; (2) -ïn, pret. $\overline{o l a}$; (3) $-\bar{e} n$, pret. êla.
I. (a) The verbs with infinitive in een are a very numerous class, consisting chiefly of causatives, e.g. sezzen-leggen, and denominatives from nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, and also some originally strong verbs, e. g. büan, stüen, \&c.

This class is divided according to the stem into-
(i) Verbs which originally had a short stem.
(2) Verbs which originally had a long or polysyllabic stem.

## (i) Short-Syllabled Stems.

By the W. Gmc. law of gemination of consonants the final consonant was geminated in the ist pers. sing. and in the plural before $j$, but not in 2nd and 3 rd sing. where $j$ was absent: thus zellu, zelis, zelit, zellemés, zillet, sellent, but very soon levelling out began, usually in favour of the single consonant, thus zelu, zelemés, zelent. This is not consistently carried through until the tenth to eleventh century, except by Tatian, who writes regularly zelen, \&c., while Otfrid still uses the geminated forms. It is rare that the double consonance ousts the single.
i. Verbs with affricata $z z, p f, c k(\leftarrow t t, p p, k k)$ extend these to the $\mathbf{3 5 7}$ whole present, instead of alternating with $\xi 弓, f f, h h(\leftarrow t, p, k)$, e.g. sizzen, sizzit, sizzemès for sizzen, sizzit, sizzemēs.
ii. Verbs with $w$ have double forms: ww develops wilh vowel preceding to a diphthong auw $\rightarrow$ ouw not subject to mutation, while before single $w$ the $a$ was mutated. An interchange would thus be expected: Pr. Gmc. * frawjan, OHG. ih frouzu, du frewis, er frewit, wir frouzvemés, \&c., but levelling soon disturbs the normal course of development. Bavarian prefers the former, the ourw-forms $\leftarrow w w$, Franconian the $e w-$ forms $\leftarrow w:$ e. g. Bav. frouwen, strouwen, louwen; Franc. frewen, strewen, tewwen, and the forms with mutated a passed also into the preterite. Such verbs are: bewen, dewen, drewen, flewen, zewen; see $P B B$. ix. $5^{28}$.
iii. Verbs in which $r$ precedes $j$. These mostly retain the $j$ without geminating the $r$ : nerien, nerigan, nergan; the writing with ig is particularly frequent in Bavarian up to the twelfth century. On the other hand, Alem. and Franc. favour forms with geminated $r$ : nerren. Otfrid uses either, more or less indifferently, but seems to prefer to retain the $i$ with single $r$.

## (2) Long or Polysyllabic Stems.

After long vowel the consonant in these stems should remain single, and it does so in Franconian (save T. 57. 5, hōrrenne), but in UG. geminated forms are fairly frequent in the older texts, especially in B., where even $b$ and $g$ are geminated: keauckan (ii. 51), kelaubpames, erlauppe. With $t, r, l, s, m, n$ gemination occurs most often and is retained longest: hörran, lērran, wïssan, leittan; the Muspilli has many instances: wīssant, arteillan ; see $P B B$. vii. ino.
I. Verbs with root-vowel, Gmc. $\bar{o}(\rightarrow$ OHG. uo, ua), as muoen, bluoen, druoen, gluoen, (h)luoen, spuoen, were conjugated quite regularly so long as the vowel remained not diphthongized, but when $\bar{o} \rightarrow u o(u a)$ they mostly drop the second component of the diphthong and lengthen the $u$ : e. g. blūit, glūent in place of bluoit, gluoent. At the same time these other forms occur also, as do forms in which it is the diphthong which is kept intact and the flexional vowel which falls: e.g. bluonti for bluoenti. $P B B$. xi. 61. Occasionally also these verbs develop an $h$ - or $g$-glide: bluohen, muogen; rarely a $w$-glide : bluozven.

Verbs with the root-vowel $\bar{a}$, which were formerly included in the Reduplicating verbs, such as sāen, bāen, nāen, \&c., also belong to this class. They frequently have an $h$-glide: e. g. blāhen, bāhen, and sometimes a $j$ : e. g. bläjen, säjen. E. Franc. prefers a w: bläwen, säzven, T. PBB. xi. 5 I.
859 II. Verbs with Infin. in -ōn.
These are mostly denominatives and rarely iteratives.
i. Sporadically $u$ is written in place of $\bar{o}$, e. g. Tatian 89 . I satumés, 97.5 goumumés. Isidor once ( $4 \cdot 3$ ) has the $\bar{o}$ diphthongized in $\bar{a} d h m u o t$.
ii. When these verbs are derived from a nominal $j$-stem they show in the oldest texts the $j$ still preserved (mostly as $e$ ), e. g. enteōn, minneön; especially is this the case in Pa., K., Ra : ungaanteōt, kientiöt, kienteöd. Tatian, 88. 5, has sunteön beside suntōn, and Otfrid rediön beside redön elsewhere.
III. Verbs with Infin. in -ēn.

These are chiefly intransitives expressing condition or-as derivatives from adjectives-entering into a condition, araltèn $=$ Lat. inveterasco, rifen $=$ to grow ripe.

Not infrequently, and especially in Bavarian texts, $a$ is found for e. Otfrid has many instances (see Kelle, 74), and Tatian: sagant, wuonanti, fastante ; this also occurs sporadically in Alemannic, to which dialect also the double forms in the Optative are virtually restricted.

## The Non-Graded Preterite.

Where the Non-Graded Preterite in OHG. had been formed from 361 primary verbs it had the ending -la (see above), and this -la followed directly on the root (Got. mahta, waurhta), but in far the greater number of cases the verbs which show a non-graded preterite are of secondary origin, and the $-t a$ is linked to the root by a characteristic vowel; in ist non-graded class (-cn presents) this vowel is -i-(nerita), which causes mutation if not early syncopated (zelita or zalta); in 2nd non-graded class (-īn presents) -ī, salböta; and in 3rd (-èn presents) -é, habētr.

Class I. In Class I, as a general rule, the short stems have -ita, $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ the long stems and polysyllabic stems -la with syncope of $i$, but there are exceptions to this :-
(I) Verbs with originally short stem ending in Gmc. $p j, t j, k j$, i. e. with OHG. $p f, z z, c k$ (UG. cch) in the present, have -ta and not -ita; e. g. stepfen, sezzen, wecken; stafla, sazla, wahta. Tatian once writes arrekita, and forms with -ita are also found in Isidor and M. : rehhita; Merseb., Sp. lezidun. $P B B$. vii. 139 .
(2) Verbs with stems ending in Gmc. $d j$ or $l j$, i. e. with OHG. $t t$ or $l l$ in the present, have as a rule -ta: e. g. skutta (skutita), zalta (zelita).

UG., on the whole, prefers the form without $-i$ for roots ending in $l l$ and $t t$ : scutla, zalla; while Otfrid uses both indifferently.

Note.-An $a$ of the present root generally $\rightarrow e$ mutated by the following $j$. In the preterite, where the $i$ was syncopated before mutation set in, the $a$ is preserved, e. g. zellen ( $\leftarrow$ zaljan), Pret. zalta, and an apparent vowel-gradation (J. Grimm's 'Ruickumlaut') distinguishes preterite and present.
(3) Franconian shows numerous long stems with preterite in -ita, 363 especially in Isidor and M. Tatian has -ita in polysyllabic verbs and those ending in double consonance and $h$ : ambahtita, giarbitite, (h)naffezitun, nähita, \&c. $P B B . \mathrm{ix} \cdot \mathbf{3 2 2}^{22}$.

Isidor has two long stems without $-i$, chihōrdon and bichnīdī. Otfrid also has some few with $-i$, but the vast majority without.
(4) When already in Pr. Gmc. a root ending in $g$ or $k$ took the preterite ending -ta without any intervening vowel, this $g$ or $k \rightarrow$ Gmc. $\chi$ : Got. bugjan—baûhta, bagkjan-bāhta, OE. byçan-bōhte, pencean-bühte, OHG. denken-dīhta. So also OHG. dühta, zeorhta, brāhta, \&c.

When -ta, however, came at a much later period in OHG., to follow directly on $c k$, only through syncope of the intervening vowel, the
change of $c k$ to $h$ before $t$, though usual, is not invariable: thus OHG. decken forms preterite dahta or dackta. The latter seems to be preferred in Bavarian and Franconian, while Alem. prefers $h t$, and O. consistently has $k t$, and T. only once $h t$ (wahta).
364 It should be noted that certain changes of the stem are brought about by the dental suffix :-
(a) Verbs in Gmc. -wjan vocalize the $w$ to $o$ in the preterite when they syncopate the $i$, e. g. garota (Hild. garutun) or garwita.
(b) $k$ in the combination skt often falls, mista to miskan, weunsta to wunsken; this is frequent with Notker.
(c) Assimilation takes place and -ta of suffix unvoices a final voiced consonant of root: ougen-oucta, gilouben-giloupta. This change, which no doubt always took place in pronunciation, is not always denoted in writing ; the forms ougta, giloubta are more frequent than the others in Franc., but UG. prefers the forms with $k(c)$ and $p$.
(d) Other changes are purely orthographical, e.g. gemination is simplified and $t+t, d+t$ after consonant are reduced to $t$ : hungarranhungarta, illan-ilta, ahten-ahta.
365 The original conjugation of the verbs in $-\bar{e}$ seems to have coincided in some details with that of the verbs in -jan, hence to habèn, lebēn, sagèn, Sing. Pres. forms occur: hebis, hebit ; lebis, lebit; segis, segit, and further the Preterites hebita, lebita, segita, more especially in UG. (M.), but also occasionally in Isidor, who has the form hapta (cf. OS. habda). For these three verbs a pre-OHG. syncopated Preterite must be assumed: *habda, *sagda, *libda; or a Gmc. formation without linking vowel. PBB. ix. $5^{20}$.

## Past Participle.

368 The Past Participle usually follows the form of the Preterite: those verbs which have only -ita forms have only a form -it in the participle, and where the preterite was formed in $-t a$, e. g. sazta, the inflected forms of the participle are similar: gisazter ; but uninflected have - $i t$, and, where possible, mutation : e.g. gisezzit-gisaztèr, gihōrit-gihōrtēr, \&c.

The uninflected forms without $-i$ are very rare. Otfrid has ginant, biknät, and T. also a few instances: gizeant (67.9), giruort (in. 71), and erduompt ( $\mathbf{I}_{72.5}$ ). The short stems in $-l$ have occasionally forms without $-i$, as gizalt, farsalt, kasalt.

The Past Part. of Non-Graded Verbs has of course the prefix gi-in OHG., see 334.

Non-Gradfa Verb. Class I.
Indic. Pres.

Sg. i. zellu
2. zelis $(t)$
3. zelit

PI.

1. zellemis
2. zellit
3. zellent

Sg. 1. zelle
2. zellés( $t)$
3. zelle

Pl. I. zellem(emès)
2. zellèt
3. zellèn
neriu, nerru, nero
neris, nerist
nerit
(neriemés), nerrēn, nerēn
neriat, neriet, nerret, nerēt
neriant, nerient, nerrent, nerent
Conj. Pres.
nerie, nerre, nere
neriès, nerrès, nerēst
nerie, nerre, nere
nerièn, nerrēn, nerēn
nerièt, nerrēt, nerèt
nerièn, nerrèn, nerèn
Indic. Pret.
Sg. I. zalta, zelita
2. zaltōs $(t), \& \mathrm{c}$.
3. zalta,

PI.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. zaltum }[\text { Alem. }-\bar{o} m,-\bar{n} n] & \text { neritum, -unmés, -un } \\ \text { 2. zaltut }\left[\begin{array}{lll},-\overline{o t},-\bar{o} n t\end{array}\right. & \begin{array}{l}\text { neritut } \\ \text { 3. zallun }\left[\begin{array}{ll}\prime o n\end{array}\right.\end{array} \\ \text { neritun }\end{array}$
Conj. Pret.
Sg. r. zalti, zeliti
2. zaltis (ist)
3. zalti

Pl. 1. zaltim, -in
2. zaltīt
3. zaltīn

Imperative.

Sg. 2. zeli, zele
Pl. 1. zellemès
2. zellet
zellen
zellenti
sizelit, gizall
neriti [Alem. and Is. neriti]
neritis (ist) [Alem. and Is.neritis]
neriti [Alem. and Is. neriti]
neritimès
neritit, -int
neritin
neri, nere
neriemès, nerrēn, nerēn
neriat, nerret, neret
Infinitive.

> nerien, nerren

Pres. Part.
nerienti, nerrenti, nerente
Past Part.
ginerit, generei

## Indic. Pres.
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Sg. i. salbōm, -ōn, -ō
2. salbōs, $-\bar{o} s t$
3. salbōt

Pl. 1. salbōmès, -ōn, -ōen
2. salbōt (-ōnt)
3. salbönt, salbōn
habēm, -ēn ( $-0,-o n$ )
habēs, $-\bar{e} s t$, hāst
habēt, hāt
habèmès, -èn, -èèn
habèt
habēnt, habunt, hānd

Conj. Pres.
Sg. I. salbo, -oe, -oje (a)
2. $\operatorname{salbōs(-t),-oje\overline {s}t~}$
3. salbo, -oe, -oje

Pl. i. salbōm, -ōn, ṑ̄n
2. salbōt, $\bar{o} \bar{e} t$
3. salbōn, -ōen

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { habe (-a), -ee } \\
& \text { habēs (-t), -eèst } \\
& \text { habe(-a), -ee } \\
& \text { habèm, -èn, -eèn } \\
& \text { habēt, -eèt (-ènt) } \\
& \text { habēn, -eèn }
\end{aligned}
$$

Indic. Pret.

Sg. I. salbōta
2. salbōtōs $(-\bar{o} s t)$
3. salböta

Pl. I. salbötum [Alem. -ōm]
2. salbōtut
3. salbōtun
habēta, hāte
habētōs
habēta
habētōm ( $-u m$ )
habētōt, -ōnt, -ut
habētôn, -un

Conj. Pret.
Sg. I. salbōti [Alem. and Is. -i $]$ habēti [Alem. and Is. $-i$ ]
2. salbotīst habētist
3. salböti [Alem. and Is. $-\bar{i}$ ] habēti [Alem. and Is. $-i$ ]

Pl. i. salbötī̀n, -in
2. salbōthl, -int
3. salbō̄̄̄n
habētim, -in
habētīt
habētīn
Imperative.

Sg. 2. salbo
Pl. 1. salbōm, salbōmès, -ōn
2. salbōt (-ōnt)
habe
habèm, habemes, -ēn
habēt ( $(-\bar{e} n t)$

Infinitive.
salbōn
salbönnes
salbönne
habēn, -een, -an, -on
habënnes
habēnne
Participles.
salbönti, gisalböt
habēnti, gihabēt

## Non-Graded Verb. Personal Endings.

The inflexional endings of the Non-Graded verb are in the main $\mathbf{3 6 9}$ identical with those of the Graded verb (321): only the few cases in which they differ are here mentioned.

## Indicative Present.

The ist pers. sing, of the -jan verbs ended originally in -iu, e. g. auinuiu, that of the -ön and -ìn verbs in -ïm (salbïm) and -èm (habeim) respectively. The ending $-i u$ was reduced to $-u(w \bar{n} n u)$ by analogy to Graded verbs, and $-\bar{m} m,-\bar{e} m$ pass to $-\bar{m} n,-\bar{e} n$ in the ninth century. Late Rh. Fr. Williram spread the $-n$ form to all the conjugations, the $-i$ only remaining sporadically and after $-r$ in short syllables. Gl. herio, O. nerru; Will. often ascribes the -ī form to verbs in -èn, \&c.: habion, slufïn.

## Conjunctive Present.

The verbs of the First Class (those in -jan) agree entirely in the $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ personal endings with the Graded verbs; but those of the Second and Third differ. These form the Conjunctive either with long $\bar{o}$ or $\bar{e}$, alone or plus an inflexional vowel, e. g. salbō-salbōe, habē-habēe. The short forms only are used in Franconian (one exception, Is. 3.5 blu chisīe), and the long occur consistently in Alem. In Bavarian the long forms of verbs in -ōn are common, sallböge, salbogēst, salböge; but of verbs in -ēn they are rare. Wilmanns, iii, § 85, derives these forms from an original - $\bar{o} j a-\bar{j} j$. Brugmann considers them new formations.

Notker uses only the long forms, and does not mark the length of the vowel preceding: e. g. danchoen, choroēn, showing that the $\overline{\bar{o}}, \bar{e}$ of the stem had been shortened. See $P B B$. ix. 506 . The lengthened forms often develop consonant glide (236).

## Indicative Preterite.

The $\bar{\pi}$ of the 2nd person sing. ( $-\bar{o} s)$ is occasionally replaced by a 371 and by $u$ in Tatian (cf. Sievers, 47.8, giloubtus; 21. 4, sagetus; 81. 4, zuehotus ; 233.8, giloublas ; 238. 4, woltas). Isidor has chiminnerodés. In the plural the dialects differ: Bavarian and Franconian have -tum, -tut, -tun in Graded and Non-Graded verbs alike, but Alemannic has forms in $u$ only with the Graded verbs (332), and with the Non-Graded always has tōm, -tōt, -tōn. This practice is followed by Isidor alone of non-Alem. writers, e.g. salbëtōm, habétōm, but griffum, \&c. In Notker the distinction is still clearly marked.

## Conjunctive Preterite.

The $i$ is long when followed by a consonant : e. g. in 2nd pers. sing. and rst, $2 \mathrm{nd}, 3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl}$.: in ist and 3 rd sing. it is short when final, salboti, except in Isidor and in Alemannic, where the old length is preserved even when final.

## Imperative.

In contrast to the Imperative of the Graded verbs, the Non-Graded verbs form theirs with vocalic ending, $i, 0$, or $e$. The verbs with $j$-Presents form it in $-i$, ligi, \&c. ; those with double consonance, zellen, reduce this to single consonant, zeli. Cf. $P B B$. vii. II2, I61, and note that this $i$ in Class I is often elided before following vowel: e. g. O. gizel uno, kèr $i_{\text {}}$, giscier $i_{ъ}$ \&c.

## Anomalous Verbs.

## A. Preterite Presents. B. Verbs in $-m i$.

372 Gmc. has a considerable number of anomalous verbs, of which the forms which express present meaning have the vowel gradation and inflexions of a preterite. Such verbs were rot unknown to IG., and seem to have arisen by a natural development of meaning. The completion of one action is often the starting-point of another or the justification of a statement, and so the perfect tense of one verb may logically become, as it were, the present of another, with due modification of meaning. The IG. $\sqrt{\text { wid }}=$ to see, but the perfect: Sk. veda, Gk. oíoa, Got. wáit, OHG. weiz, OE. wāt, has become equivalent to 'I know', though the original meaning is preserved by other parts. Cf. Gk. iסєîv and Lat. video, vidi.

Greek has of such verbs oída, Latin a few more; nōvi=I have recognized, therefore know, $\bar{o} d i$, memini. Gmc. shows many more of such verbs: Got. wáit, láis, dáug, kann, parf, gadars, skal, man, binah, mag, gamōt, ōg, áih. OE. wāt, deả, cann, لearf, dearr, sceal, an, man, $m \nsim 3, m \bar{t}, \bar{a} 3$. These are commonly known as-
373 Preterite Presents. As a consequence of their origin ${ }^{1}$ the inflection in the existing present of these verbs in OHG. is that of the Strong Pret., with the exception that the and pers. sing. retains the original Gmc. ending $-t\left(3{ }^{I} 3\right)$. A new preterite is formed which

[^6]inflects like the preterite of the Non-Graded verb, but is formed without mediate vowel. OHG. has preserved eleven such verbs which, according to the vowel of their original perfect, can be assigned to the gradation series :-

Skites I. weiz = I know.

| Ind. Sing. aviz zerist zeriz | Plur. <br> zeizzum, -umes, -un <br> zeizzut, -unt, -un <br> zeizzun, -en | Conj. Sing. wizzi, -e | Plur. zeizzin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Pret. Ind.
Sing. wissa, wista, weissa, zeesta, wissost, \&c.
Imperative.
zeizze, zeizset (-ent)

Pret. Conj.
Sing. wissi, wessi, weesti, wissis, \&c.
Pres. Part.
reizzanti, -enli

Past Part. gizeizるan

Thus in Gmc. to the weak grade of the root were formed a new preterite, an infinitive, and present and past part.
i. The preterite wissa is only UG.; wessa and westa are Franconian. (For origin of double forms see 101 .)
ii. The old participle of wizzan is gazvis, gizeis, gwis, but in OHG. it has become adjective.

Series II. toug $=$ it befits. $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. sing. It is in OHG. an 375 impersonal verb to which an Infinitive form does not occur.

3rd pers. sing.: toug, tuoc (toik), touk. Plur. tugun, een. Opt. tuge.
Pret. tohta (dohta). Plur. tohtun (dohtun). Opt. tohti. Pres. Part. toganti.

Serifs III. (a) darf $=\mathrm{I}$ am allowed, I venture.
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Pres. darf, darft, darf, dur- Conj. durfi(e) fun
Pret. dorfla, dorfton
dorfii
(b) skal = I owe, I am obliged, I must.

Pres. skal, sal, sol
skalt, sall, soll, solst
skal, sal, sol
skulumes, -un, sulin, suln
skulut, skult, sulet,-ent, -ut
skulun, sulun, -on, -in, suln
Pret. skolta, skolda, solta

Conj. skuli, sule sulist
skuli, sule skulim, sulin
skulit, sulint Past Part. kiskolet
Past Part. kiskolet
(Gl. ii. 223.26)
Pres. Part. skulinti skultanter
Infin. skolan, suln
skulin, sulin
skoldi, solti

The forms without $c(k)$ prevail after the tenth century: they are regular with Notker ; the $c$ (scal) remains longest in Bavaıian ; sal in Franconian. In Tatian are forms without $c$ : solta, sal, sulut. In late Alem. a contracted form sund $\leftarrow$ sulent (M.M.) for the and pers. plural.
(c) an = I grant.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. pl. unnum, -en. Opt. unni(e), unnin.
Pret. onda, ondun. Conj. ondi. Infin. unnan. And with -gi-.
$3^{\text {rd pers. pl. gunnen. Pret. geondost, gionsta. Conj. gionsti. }}$
(d) kan $=$ I know, I am (mentally) able.

Pres. kan, kanst, kan. Pl. kunnun, -en. Conj. kunni.
Pret. konda. Conj. kondi. Infin. kunnan. Pres. Part. kunnanti.
Otfrid has, as with unnan, a secondary preterite form in -sta, originally konsta, and there is a rare Bavarian form kunda. The old Past Part. kund has become an adjective.
(e) gi-tar $=\mathrm{I}$ dare. Pl. giturrun. P.p. gitorran.

379 Series V. (a) ginag $=$ 'it suffices' only occurs in this one form.
(b) $\mathrm{mag}=\mathrm{I}$ am able (physically).

Pres. mag, maht, mag, magun, magut, magun. Conj. megi.
Pret. mahta. Pres. Part. maganti. Infin. magan.
Beside these forms, which are the oldest, others are developed with root-vowel $u$ in the Plural : first in Franconian with T. and O. Thus : mugun, mugut, mugun(ent). Similarly, in the Preterite the form mohta (: scolta) creeps in beside mahta. These new forms then pass into Alemannic and are all regularly used by Notker except mohta. Otfrid retained the older Conjunctive form megi beside mugi, and in Bavarian these older forms magen, \&c., lasted till the close of the eleventh century. $P B B$. xv. 2 I .
380 Series VI. muoz = I may.
Pres. muоz (mиаз, moaz, moz), muost, muоз, muоzиn, muоzent (Alem.), mиоzии. Conj. тиоzi.

Pret. muosa, muosun (muoson, Alem.). Conj. muosi.
Williram has a Preterite muosta.
eigun $=$ we possess. Of eigun (Got. áih), eigut, eigun there is in OHG. no singular. Conj. eigi. Imperative eigint. The Participle eigan is an adjective.

The verb is comparatively rarely used and disappears in the eleventh century, when it is replaced in its functions by habēn.

In $\mathrm{R}^{b}$ there is found a form cigrames in which the inflectional vowel of the Present has been carried through, representing the oldest form of the ist person plural ( $3^{25}$ ).

## B. Verbs in -mi (295).

(1) The verb substantive.
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(2) twon.
(3) ggān and stān.
(4) ziollen.
(1) The verb substantive in OHG. derives from three roots:- $\mathbf{3 8 2}$
(a) Root ${ }^{*}$ es (IG. ${ }^{*} e s-m i$, $\left.{ }^{*} s-m e s,{ }^{*} s-i e-m\right)$.
(b) Root *bhcu (Gk. фúw, Lat. fui), OE. bēo-m, OS. biut-m, OHG. b-im.
(c) Gmc. strong verb *zees-, Got. wisan, ON. vera, OE. wesan, OHG. wesan.
From (a) are formed the $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. sing. and pl. pres. indic., the conj. sing. and pl.

From (a) and (b) are formed the $\mathbf{1 s t}$ and 2 nd sing. and pl. indic. pres.
From (c) are formed the pret. ind. and conj., the imper., and the participles.

The infinitive ( $\sin$ ) is an OHG. innovation.
Got. OHG. Got. OHG.

| Pres. Sg. im | bim Pres | Pres. Conj. sijáu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| is | bist (bis) | sijáis | sis (t) |
| ist | ist | sijai |  |
| Pl. sijum | birum (-un, -umēs) | sijaima | $\operatorname{sim}(-e s)$, |
| sijup | birut (birt, birent, bint) | nt) sijáib | sìt, sin |
| sind | sint (sintun) | sijáina | sin |

The W. Gmc. present is formed from a mingling of root *cs with $\mathbf{3 8 3}$ root *bheu: OHG. bim, OS. bium beside Got. im, and bist beside Got. is ; birum, birut $\leftarrow \sqrt{\text { bheu }}+\sqrt{\epsilon s}+$ pret. ending. Only OE. has a full Present from the root *bheu, which root is entirely lacking in Got. and ON.

The preterite and other forms are derived regularly from $\sqrt{\text { wes, thus }}$ pret. was, wäri, was, wārun. Imper. wis. Infin. wesan. Part. present wesanti. [aruueranér $=$ Lat. confoctus preserves the old past part. of wesan, but is only used as adj.]
i. In the Ind. and Conj. pres. forms of wesan are occasionally used (cf. Otfrid, ii. 9. 19), and again for the Infin. wesan Otfrid frequently uses the new form sin, which occurs first in Is. and T., but with Notker predominates over wesan.
ii. The 2nd pers. sing. of the indicative rarely occurs without $t$ : bist is found already in the earliest texts, which in other verbs have only forms in $-s$, uimis, neris, \&c.: it may have been lent by the pret. pres. $\mathrm{o}_{i}$ by $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. ist. When bis is found in T . (and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) it is possibly not original, but merely false resolution of bist + tu into bis-tu; yet bis occurs regularly in the Bavarian poem $D a z$ himilriche of about 1185 .

Sintun (M.), sindun (Is.), which adds a secondary ending to a primary form, probably arose through analogy to the preterite presents, helped perhaps by the preterite endings of birum, birut.
iii. For the imper. wis a form bis occurs once in OHG. ( $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$ Gl. i. 425 pis).
384 (2) tuon. Normal forms. (Tatian.)


385 There is great confusion of forms within the system of this verb: how great may be seen from the various forms for the and pers. sing.: tuos, duas, tuas, toos, toas, duost, tois, duis, ducst, tuest, deist. Braune claims that all these derive from the one IG. root $d h \bar{e}: d h \bar{o}$ (Gk. $\tau i \theta \eta \mu u)$ : that the forms in which $\bar{o}$ appears not diphthongized, e. g. tōm, $\& c$., are the oldest, and that then the $\bar{o} \rightarrow o a, u a, u 0$, and also the influence of the Graded verb made itself felt in adding an inflectional vowel, before which the diphthong usually occurs as $\bar{u}$. Thus Pa., K. toam, toat, B.H. tuam, Musp. tuo, while the influence of the Graded verb shows itself in such forms as R. tōit, Is. duoe, N. tūēn, tuoomés, \&c., when the root tō, two is given thematic inflections. Wilmanns, on the other hand, claims that the various forms which occur in OHG. point to varying stems in Gmc. Thus :-

1. The usual Pres. Ind. tuon, Opt. tuo, Imper. tuo, must derive from a Gmc. stem $d \bar{o}$, possibly IG. $d h \bar{o}$ in gradation relation with $d h \bar{e}$.
2. In Alemannic forms occur of the Optative as if derived not from
a form di but from an extended form in -oio. Notker (l'salms) writes Conj. Pres. Iuoie, Ineicst, \&c., but mostly does not mark the $i$, writing tuoc, tueest, \&c. Elsewhere also the form tuoge is found.
3. In South Rh. Franconian (O.) the forms which occur are derived from a form dü. O. has for the Plural Pres. Ind. ducn, ducl, ducnt, and for the Sing. duas, duat beside duis, duit. This $u i=u$ is a diphthong, not $u+i$ or $u+c$.
4. In Mid. Franc. forms deist, deit must derive either from the root dhe + thematic endings or from an extended stem dheieio. See Braune, § 380 ; $P B B$. ix. 508-9; Wilmanns, iii, § 33 .
(3) gān and stān.

Beside the usual forms of the verbs gangan and stantan, the verbs $g_{a} \bar{n} n$ and $\operatorname{sti} n$ (originally -mi verbs, perhaps from same root) are found, which are frequently erroneously explained as contracted forms of gangan and stanlan. They appear either as gän, stän, or as gēn, stēn. The former prevail in Alem., while Bavarian and Franconian have mostly $-\bar{e}$.

Pres. Ind. Conj. Imper.
Alem. Fr. Bav. Sg. gām geèm' $n$ ) g $\bar{d} s(t) \quad g \bar{s} s(t), g c i \bar{s} t$
sāal gēt, gcil
Pl. gāmes gān, gëmes, gèn srāt gēt gānt gēnt

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.g_{s} e^{-} \quad \text { Sg. (gang }\right) \\
& \text { gré, gès }(t) \quad \text { Pl. gāmes, gèmès, gèn } \\
& \text { g'èt , gāt, gēt } \\
& \text { sēn } \\
& \text { gèl Pres. Part. gānti, gènti } \\
& \text { sèn }
\end{aligned}
$$

Stīn, stèn is inflected in precisely similar fashion.
i. For an explanation of the twofold vowel see Wilmanns, iii, § 35, and $P B B$. xi. 4 I .
ii. Although the forms in $-\bar{c}$ are only Franconian and Bavarian, both Otfrid and Tatian have the infin. stän, the ist pers. sing. stän, and the part. stinnti. Further, the 3rd pers. sing. gāt, stāt appears less often than gèt, stèt (Tatian).
iii. Otfrid has the 2 nd and $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. sing. greist, steist, sreit, steil.
iv. In Alem, only $-\bar{a}$ forms are found.
v. Very late in OHG. a form gie (cf. lie 353 ) appears for the 1 st and 3rd pers. sing. pret. Merig. has this twice. Brg. ii ; PBB. xxiii. 315 ; I.F. xii. 197.
(4) wellan.

The verb wellan (zoollin) presents a medley of old forms with others analogous to the preterite presents and the Non-Graded verbs in -en.

It is derived from root *uel, the Optative of which already in Pr.Gmc. had the functions of an Indicative ; cf. Got. veiljáu = I will, \&c. A Conj. was formed to this, and a Non-Graded preterite, Got. wilda, ON. vilda, OHG. welta, formed from strong grade of root. OHG. weelta is rare, and the usual wolta seems to have been formed from reduced grade *wlda $\rightarrow$ woulda, and by $a$-mutation wolta, OE. wolde.

| Pres. Ind. willu | $(e, a, o)$, wella, wili, wile, wil |
| :---: | :--- |
| wili | wile, wil, wilis, wilt |
| zvili | wile, willi, wilit, wil |
| wellemés | wollemès, wellen, wollen |
| wellet | wollet, wollent, wellent |
| wellent | wellant, wollent, wolent |

i. In the older texts wevillu is the regular form, Is., K., B., O., but O. has also zville and Tatian zwilla (PBB. iv. 379 and vi. $25^{8}$ ) ; the other forms are late OHG.
ii. For the 2 nd and 3 rd pers. wili is the normal form : will occurs in Williram and wilit in O . (willi once in Pa . and K.), after the analogy of the -jan verbs.
iii. The $e$ of the other plural forms is probably borrowed from OHG. wellen, to choose, Got. waljan, and is certainly mutated $a \rightarrow c$, not Gmc. $e$, as was formerly assumed. $P B B$. ix. 563 .
iv. The present plural and the preterite have only oin Franconian. O. and T. both write wollemés (en), \&c. In UG. the e forms remain prevalent, both in the present and in the preterite: welta; elsewhere wolta is usual.

## CHAPTER VI

## NOMINAL AND PRONOMINAL SYSTEM

## NOUNS

In IG. nouns and adjectives were declined in the same way, but the $\mathbf{3 9 0}$ pronoun had different inflection, and this distinction in inflection is reflected in all Gmc. languages. In Germanic the substantive preserves in the main the original nominal inflection, the pronoun the original pronominal, while the adjective declension has become a compound of both.

IG. had three genders: Masculine, Feminine, and Neuter, and three Numbers: Singular, Dual, and Plural ; of these Germanic retained the three genders and two numbers-Singular and Plural, but it lost the Dual almost entirely.

IG. had eight cases: Nominative, Vocative, Accusative, Genitive, Dative, Ablative, Locative, and Instrumental.

Of these Gmc. has preserved the first four, though in W. Gmc. the $\mathbf{3 9 1}$ Vocative coincided with the Nominative. To do duty for the remaining four, Gmc., in the main, retains but one, termed the Dative, which may in form be the descendant of any one of the four, though in the plural it has usually descended from the IG. Instrumental, and in the singular from either the Locative or the Instrumental. A separate case with Instrumental function occurs in Gothic only in a few fossilized forms: $\overline{\bar{e}}, \boldsymbol{k} \bar{e}$; and in OHG. only in the $a$ - and $i$-stems of nouns and adjectives. Traces of a separate case for the Locative are still more rare.

According to the ending of the stem, nominal inflexion is either $\mathbf{3 9 2}$ vocalic, if the stem ended in a vowel, or consonantal, if it ended in a consonant.

Noun declension in OHG. varied, as in all other Gmc. languages, according to the nature of the stem-suffix which had been added to the root. The case-suffixes were originally identical in all declensions, distinguishing masculine, feminine, and neuter in the Nominative and Accusative, and the later differences arose solely from the difference in stem-ending, and the fusion of these with the case-suffix.

According to the original stem-ending the following classes of nouns are distinguished in OHG. stems: A stems $(-j a,-w a)$; $\overline{\mathrm{O}}$ stems $(-j \overline{0})$; I stems; U stems, and Consonant stems.

It is at first sight not an easy matter to determine to which class the nouns respectively belong, as, owing to the fall of final vowels and consonants, many IG. and even Pr. Gmc. distinctions, notably that between masculine and feminine noun-stems, have vanished, and the Nom. Sing. of various stems are left identical in appearance : e. g. lamb, worl, tag, anst, while the Plural shows that they differ from one another: e. g. lembir, wort, laga, ensti.
393 The original case-endings of IG. (omitting those which have left no trace in OHG.) were :-
Sg. Nom. -s, or without an ending.
Pl. Nom. -es
$-m$ (neuter)

| Acc. | $-m$ | Acc. $-n s$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gen. | $-s o$, sio $o, s$ (pronominal form) | Gen. $-o m$ |
| Dat. | $-a i$ |  |

Inst. $-(\tilde{o}, \tilde{e})-\bar{o},-m \quad$ Inst. $-\bar{o} i s$, with $e: o$ stems
(ã) $-\bar{a},-m$
Loc. $-i$, or without ending. Loc. -su, si?

## A. Vocalic (Strong Declension).

## I. A Declension.

394 This declension comprised those nouns, Masculine and Neuter. whose stem in Pr. Gmc. ended in $-a$ : it corresponds to the Greek and Latin $o$-declension, and is thus from the IG. standpoint often termed the $o$-declension.

## 1. Pure A stems. a. Masculine Nouns.

| Pr. Gmc. <br> Sg. Nom. -a-z | Got. dags | ${ }_{\text {tag }}^{\text {OHG. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acc. $-a-m$ | dag | $t a g$ |
| Gen. -e-so | dagis | tages, -as, -is |
| Dat. -ai | daga | tage, -a |
| Inst. -ṑ, -䴔 |  | tagu, -0 |
| Pl. Nom. $-\bar{o}-z$ | dagōs | tagā, -a |
| Acc. -a-nz | dagans | $\operatorname{tag} \bar{a},-a$ |
| Gen. -ō (m) | dagè | tago |
| Dat. -o-miz | dagam | tagum |

395 i. Nom. Sg. The IG. case termination was $-s$, which was added to the stem ending -o. IG. *ekzos, Gk. ï $\pi \pi o s$, Lat. equo-s. This -os in Gmc. became $a z$. The $-z$ fell already in W. Gmc. and the $-a$ becoming final fell also : e. g. W. Gmc. *daga $\rightarrow$ OHG. tag.
ii. Acc. sig. The IG. case termination was $-m$, **kunom, Gk. ï $\pi \pi o-v, 396$ Lat. equo-m. In Pr. Gmc. this $-m$ became $-n$, then fell, leaving the vowel unprotected, and this fell also.

It should be noted that Proper nouns ending in a consonant, which are declined by this declension, sometimes show in OHG. an Accusative in -an, as do also certain other words frequently used as Appellatives : e. g. truhlinan, futeran, mannan, and (once) $\operatorname{cotan}(=G o t t)$ in BR. (Otfrid, v. 17. 62 Polīnan, Acc. to Polōni = Stella Polaris). This -an is the adjectival termination for the Accusative, and is natural with Gmc. Proper names which were, to start with, compounds with adjectival meaning. Their influence affected foreign names, and there were formed Petrusan, Zachariasan, Christan, \&c., on the analogy of Hluduigan (battle-famous), Har'muotan (boldheart), Werinprahtan, \&c. $x$ iii. Gen. Sg. The normal OHG. form is ees:tages, formed from $\mathbf{3 9 7}$ the pronominal ending -so in IG. (*/ee-so, Got. pis) with vowel-gradation of stem eso: aso, but this IG. $-\boldsymbol{e}$, being in unaccented syllable, $\rightarrow i$ in Pr. Gmc. and was weakened in OHG. to a colourless -e. The OHG. form in -as is not, as might at first sight appear, the original -as from IG. -oso (which would give Gmc. -as, but a later dialect variation which occurs especially in Bavarian after the close of the ninth century. This as for -es is extremely rare in Franc., but masas occurs in the Stein Gl. 602 ; cf. Franck, Altb. Gr'., § 13 r.

The ending -es of W. Gmc. Genitive (OE. dæjes, OS. dagas, OHG. tages) presents many difficulties. When the accent lay on the root of the noun (as it is assumed to have done in Gmc.) Pr. Gmc. -es would become $-i z$ and the final $-z$ would fall in W. Gmc. Two possibilities would account for the retention of -s: (I) there may have been a fluctuation of accent in some nouns and the Gen. have had the accent on the stem and not the root, in which case Pr. Gmc. eés would remain W. Gmc. -es ; or (2) the noun may have borrowed the ending from the Genitive of the demonstrative pronoun which, being monosyllabic, was stressed, and therefore Pr. Gmc. *péso became *V. Gmc. pes, OHG. des, whence by analogy OHG. tages.
iv. Dat. Sing. The normal form is -e:tage, The case-ending in 398 IG. was -ai, which with the $-o$ of the stem became - $\bar{i}$; cf. Gk. $i \pi \pi \pi \omega$, Lat. equoi. This in N. and W. Gmc. $\rightarrow-a i$ which, being unaccented, passed first to $-\bar{e}$, and then, being final, to $-e$. In OHG. $-a$ for $-e$ in the Dative is found in UG. monuments and three times in T.

Got. daga is probably in origin an Instrumental from dag $\bar{u}$ (cf. OHG. lagu $\rightarrow$ Pr. Gmc. Jag-j̄ or $-\bar{e}$, cf. Got. hie, pè, h'ammèh), as the Normal Dative would have been dagai.

399
v. Instrumental. The Instrumental is in OHG. only found in the Singular of the $o$ - and $i$-stems, and ends in $\bullet u\left(\leftarrow G m c{ }^{-}{ }^{-}\right)$. In the earliest monuments it is used indifferently for the Dative or Instrumental, then it is restricted to use with the prepositions mit, ze, bi, and finally it is replaced by the Dative in all its functions. The $-u$ is preserved in OHG. tagu, OS. dagu, and was weakened to -o at the close of the ninth century. (But see Walde, Germ. Ausl., p. 77.)
400 vi. Nom. Pl. The Nominative termination was in IG. -es, which combined with the stem termination -0 , giving in IG. - $\bar{o} s$, in Gmc., Got. - $\bar{s}$ : dagōs, ON. dagar. The quantity of final $-a$ in OHG . is doubtful: the Nom. form to be expected would be tag $\bar{a}$ (283). Notker marks the $-a$ occasionally as long (nine times in all) ; but the evidence of the Nom. PI. of the $j a$-stems $(j a \rightarrow e)$ goes also to prove that it was short, or at any rate had very early become short (before the development of $-j a$ to $-e$ ). It may be that the Accusative had supplanted the Nominative. ${ }^{1}$ See $P B B$. ii. 135 .
vii. Acc. Pl. The Accusative form to be expected is taga with loss in W. Gmc. of -nz; Streitberg, U.G. § 172.
401 viii. Gen. Pl. The termination in IG. of the Genitive was -om, which with the stem-ending -o gave -õm, Gk, $\hat{\omega} \nu$ (this $-\tilde{o}$ was apparently then extended to all stems, whether originally $o$-stems or not) : OHG. tago.

The Gothic $-\bar{e}$ is unparalleled in any other IG. language : it may be a purely Gothic innovation, or perhaps vowel gradation: $\bar{e}: \bar{o}$; cf. $P B B$. xvii. 570.
402 ix. Dat. Pl. The Dative, as has been stated, at an early period was replaced by the Instrumental in its functions. The case-ending was in IG. -mis (this -mis appears as -ms in W. Gmc. Datives of names in Latin inscriptions, as Affims, Vatvims ; cf. Streitberg, U.G. § 172). The OHG. form admits of two interpretations: either (a) the ending $-m i s$ was added to the vanishing grade of the stem ; -s in unaccented syllable $\rightarrow-z$, which fell in W.Gmc., and sonant $-m$ yielded $-u$, hence dag-um; or (b) -ms was added to the o-grade, IG. -o remained before $-m$ (Pr. Gmc. *Jagom), and then before $-m$ became in all dialects $-u$ : OE. dazum, OS. dagum, OHG. tagum; Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, § 269.

Of the double forms -un and -on for the Dative Pl. the prevailing one is $-u n$ in Isidor and UG. and -on in Franconian.

[^7]In very late texts -in is found: M.M., Ezzo, \&c., or -an: Bamb. Glaube, werichan.

An apparently uninflected Dative is found in three words: hūs, dorf, holz ( T . and O .). It is perhaps a trace of an original Locative in $\bar{e}: \overline{\bar{c}}$, in which $-\bar{e}$ would have fallen in Gmc. after long syllable.

Most Masculine nouns in OHG. are inflected like lag: thus-

| arm, arm | hals, neck | nid, envy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| berg, hill | himil, heaven | rat, help, counsel |
| boug, armlet | kuning, king | regan, rain |
| fingar, finger | lefs, lip | sind, path |
| fisk, fish | leih, melody | skaz, treasure |
| fogal, bird | mãg, kinsman | thegan, thane |

and a few foreign words, as biscof, marlyr, \&c.
Note.-Words in al, ar, an following a long root-syllable have at first the $a$ only in the Nom. and Acc. Sing., but gradually it creeps into all cases: e. g. fingar, fingres, fingrum, but later fingares, fingarum. Words with short root of course retain the $a$ throughout from the earliest times.
b. Neuter Nouns.

OHG.

Sg. Nom. witúrd Pl. waurda Acc.
Gen. waturdis waúrdè Dat. waúrd waúrdam

| Sg. wort | Pl. wort |
| :---: | :---: |
| uortes, -as | worto |
| worte, -a | wortum, -on, -un |

i. Nom, and Acc. Sing. develop like the Masc. Acc. Sing.

As regards the Genitive and Dative cases of the Neuter nouns, what has been said of the Masculine applies to them also : they differ only in the Nom. and Acc. Pl.
ii. Nom. and Acc. Pl. An original $-\bar{a}$ must be assumed in IG. This $-\bar{a}$ became in Gmc. ${ }^{-\bar{o}}$ (which is preserved as $-\bar{o}$ in Gothic monosyllables ; cf. $\bar{o}$ ) ; Pr. Gmc. $-\bar{o}$ when final became in E. Gmc. $-a$, in N. and W. Gmc. -u, which falls after a long but remains after a short syllable. In OHG. this $-u$ has fallen entirely, only leaving traces of its existence in the Alem. diminutives in -li (Franc. - $\bar{i} n$ ), which have their Plural in -liu (though -in in the oblique cases): Nom. Acc. PI. chindiliu to chindilin, ephiliu to cphilin, \&c., and in the Neuter of the $j a$-stems in Tatian, cunnu. A certain number of words in $-i n$ (Fr.), -i (UG.), as chussi, pekhī, einbērì, are treated in the same way.

Masculine.

Got.
Sg. Nom. haírdeis
Acc. haírdi
Gen. haírdeis
Dat. haírdja Inst.
Pl. Nom. haírdjōs
Acc. haírdjans
Gen. hairdjè
Dat. haírdjam

OHG.
hirti
hirli
hirtes
hirtie, -e
hirtiu, $-u$, -o
hirte, -a
hirte, $-a$
hirteo, - 20 , - 0
hirtum, -im, -on, -un.
Neuter.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kunni } \\
& \text { kunnes } \\
& \text { kunnie, -e } \\
& \text { kunniu, -u, -o } \\
& \text { kunni } \\
& \text { kunneo, -io, -o } \\
& \text { kunnim, -in, -om, -on, -un. }
\end{aligned}
$$

i. For case terminations see above. In the Nominative and Accusative Singular, as in tag, the bare root $+j$ stands, case-suffix and stem-vowel have fallen, leaving -jfinal: *hirij, and final $-j$ is vocalized to $-i$.

In the Nominative and Accusative Plural Masc. final - $j a$ in the earliest period becomes -e (279), so that the oldest form of Nominative Plural is hirte, which is found in Pa. and Ka. without exception. In the ninth century the $j$-stems began to be confused with the pure $a$-stems, and the Nominative hirla was formed.
ii. The oldest form of Dative Singular is -ie (Ps. 138 and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$ entie, O. herie, Lw. chunnie). Otfrid has occasionally forms in -i: einzuigi, anagengi, heri. The ending $-e$ is of later date.
407 iii. The Nominative and Accusative Plural Neuter is normally the bare root $+j$, kunni, without final $-u$, but Franconian (T.) has forms in -iu and, with loss of $-i$, in $-u$ : e. g. nezziu, gizvatiu, beru, cunnu, gibirgu, \&c.
iv. The Dative Plural has -um (-iun, -ōn) like $a$-stem, or $-i m(-i n)$. The latter is probably the regular development of the older -iom, -icm and not an analogical formation from the $i$-stems ( $P B B$. vi. 22 r and vii. 113). Nasculine nouns prefer -im in Franconian and -um in

UG., while Neuters in UG. generally, and nearly always in Franconian, have -im.

Words declined like hirli are :-
asni, hireling (lunt)deri, robber hirsi, millet hrucki, back lahhi, leech

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { puzzi, Lat. puteus } \\
& \text { lili, dill } \\
& \text { zecizi, wheat } \\
& \text { zuiari, ordinator } \\
& \text { zeini, friend }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the nouns in -āri, nomina agentis, as betari, helfäri, \&cc., to which should be added the foreign words altari and karkari.
v. Words with w before the $-j$ show double forms: grouzi, scavi.

Note.-Beside suffix -äria suffix -crialso appears frequently; thus, although it is clear from Notker's accentuation that the -a in -äri was long, the presence of forms in -äri must also be assumed, since in Franconian (Is. and Otf.) such forms as skahcri, driageri, sangheri, scipheri, salteri, \&cc, occur, showing mutation. In Alemannic the fall of the $j$ frequently caused gemination of the $r$ in these nouns ending in -är $i$ : betâri-betārres.

## 3. WA stems.

These differ from the $a$-stems only in the Nominative and Accusa- 409 tive Singular and the Neuter, Nominative, and Accusative Plural, where the final $-w$ is vocalized to $-o$.

| Sg. Nom. Acc. | Neuter. |  | Masculine. OHG. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Got. | OHG. |  |
|  | kniu | kneo | snè, snē |
| Gen. | knizuis | knewes | snē̃es |
| Dat. | kniza | knewe | shērue |
| Pl. Nom. Acc. | kinzela | kneo | snēre'ā, a |
| Gen. | kniwe | knewo | snēzoo |
| Dat. | kniwam | knezvum, -un, -on | snēzum, -un, |

i. Already in the ninth century wa-stems with preceding long vowel, 410 as $h \overline{l e o}$, sēo, snēo, éo, \&c., lost their final-o: thus hlē, sē, snē, \&c. This fall of the $w$ in the Nominative seems to have influenced occasionally the oblique cases, as in these also the $w$ is now and then absent : rees, sées, ès, \&c.
ii. When the $w$ followed on a consonant, a secondary vowel, mostly $a$, but sometimes 0 or $e$, was often developed: e. g. skato, genitive skatwes or skatawes, dative skatwe or skatawe.
iii. The words $b \bar{u}$, spriu, sou, tou, seem never to have had a final 0 : they appear to have had -ww in Pr. Gmc. and thus show final -u.

Other words declined like knēo are :

| horo, dirt | melo, dust | treso, treason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hrēo, corpse | strō, straw | zeso, right |

## II. $\bar{O}$ declension.

411 This declension includes Feminine nouns only, and forms the complement to the $a$-declension of Masculine and Neuter nouns. It corresponds to the Gk. and Lat. $\bar{l}$-declension, and is therefore sometimes termed the $\bar{a}$-declension.

It also is subdivided into pure $\bar{\sigma}$-stems and $\bar{j} \overline{0}$-stems: the $w \overline{0}$-stems are treated in the same way as the pure $\bar{\sigma}$-stems.

## Ō stems.

|  | Pr. Gmc. |  | Got. |  | OHG. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sg. Nom. |  | *-ōz | giba | gibōs | geba | gebā |
| Acc. | -ón | -ìz | giba | gibōs | geba | gebā |
| Gen. | -ōz | -ōn | giboss | gibō | seba ( $-u,-0$ ) | gebōno |
| Dat. | -öi | -ōmiz | gibái | gibōm | gebut, -o | gebōm, -ōn, -un |

## 412 Jō stems.

## OHG.

(a) Sg. Nom. sunte, -ca, -ia, sunta

Acc.
Gen.
Dat. suntiu, sunhu
(b) Sg. Nom. kuningin

Acc. Kuninginna (-in)
Gen. kuninginna
Dat. kuninginnu

Pl. sunle, -eā, -i $\bar{a}$, sunt $\bar{u}$

> sünteōno", suntōno"
> sunleōm, -ōm, -ōn.

Pl. kuning innā
kuningzinna
kuninginnōno
kuninginnōm, $-\bar{o} n$

413 i. Nominative Singular. In IG. the nominative singular ended in $-\bar{a}$ wihhout suffix : Skr. kāntū, Gk. $\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega}$, Lat. dea. This IG. - $\bar{a}$ gave Pr. Gmc. $-\bar{o} \rightarrow a$ in Gothic when final (unless preserved by enclitics, or in monosyllables, e. g. in ainō-hun (= Lat. nulla), sō, \&c.). In W. Gmc. the final $-\bar{o}$ became $-u$, which was syncopated after a long syllable and in polysyllabic nouns. Thus in OHG. the nominative should end in $-u$ after a short and be without a final vowel after a long syllable ; cf. OE. zieful beside lār (283). The OHG. nominative form in $-a$ is that of the accusative which has passed into the nominative. There are, however, in some of the oldest monuments, B., R., Is., Monsee Fr., instances of the regular nominative form without final vowel after long syllable: e. g. Isidor, chimeinidh ( $=$ gimeinith), and in the Ker. Gl. several words in -unc, as kisamanumc, festimunc, uuerdunc. To
these may be reckoned a number of $\bar{\sigma}$-stems which show, beside the usual nominative in $-\Omega$, a nominative without final vowel: these are buos, halb, huuil, muis, stunt: they are used in formulae and standing expressions, such as ander hall, thiu stuml, ander zē̄s. The regular nominative is further found in the proper names declined like the $j \bar{j}$ stems and in worls ending in -in and -un, as burdin, zuurzun, \&c. The nouns with termination -in originally had only the nominative without final vowel; gradually the accusative form -inna sometimes found adoption as nominative, while, on the other hand, the uninflected nom. form extended over the whole singular ; finally the two forms existed side by side, giving rise to the double form in MHG. kunigin and kuniginne. See PBB. v. $14^{2}$.
ii. Accusative Singular. Pr. Gmc. -imm yielded Got., OHG., and OS. $a$, but OE. $e$.
iii. Genitive Singular. The case termination was added to $-\bar{a}$, e. g. $-\bar{\imath}+-s o$, giving -ǜso (Gmc. -īz) (cf. Gk. $\theta \epsilon \hat{\mathrm{u}}$, Lat. pater-fumiliūs, Got. s $i b \bar{b} \bar{s}$ ), whence in OHG. $-\bar{a}$ should be expected (with W. Gmc. loss of $-z \leftarrow s)$, and possibly the $-a$ was long in the earliest OHG., though the older Genitive form of the $j \overline{0}$-stems ending in $-e \leftarrow-j a$ is an argument against this (cf. above, tag $\bar{a}, 400$ ). A strong tendency existed to level out the genitive and dative cases: the $-a$ of the Genitive is found sporadically in the Dative. Offrid uses it in sēla, ahta, fära, \&c., probably for the sake of the acrostic, but it occurs more often in B. : on the other hand the $-u(-u i)$, -0 of the Dative penetrated into the Genitive. Isidor rehtnissu, BR. lēru, Tat. uuīsunğu, sahhu, spuhidu, \&\&. Otfrid, again, probably for the sake of the rhyme, has thera redinu. Notker uses only - $o$. Nost texts after the tenth century show $-u$ and -o indifferently in both cases.
iv. Dative Singular. IG. $-\bar{\imath}+-a i \rightarrow$ Gmc. $-\bar{a} i$, Got. $-a i$. Got. 415 s sibái, OE. 弓iefe, OHG. gebu, OS. getbu, are old instrumentals $\leftarrow \bar{o} \leftarrow \bar{u}$. This $-u$ should fall after a long syllable, but in most cases is restored; cf., however, the formula ' ze dero selbun uū̄s' (PBB. xii. 553).
v. Nom. and Acc. PI. For the Nominative the regular form would 416 be $-\bar{a}$, grebā, as IG. $-\bar{a}+-e s$ yields $-\tilde{a} s$, Gmc. $-\bar{o} s$, W. Gmc. $-\bar{o} z$, OHG. $-\bar{a}$. For the Acc. IG. $-\bar{a}+-n s$, with loss of $-n$, would yield $-\bar{a} s, \rightarrow$ OHG. $-a$. There would therefore be a distinction to be made in the quality of the $-a$ between the Nom. and Acc. in OHG., which, however, was levelled out; see van Helten, $P B B$. xvii. 273. The usual $-a$ is accented long by Notker, and thus was presumably still long in his day, but it is curious to find that again the older $j 0$-stems have $-e$ (414). In Bavarian the ending is $-a$ for Nom. and Acc. alike, but in the mid-
eleventh century this $-a$ frequently gives way to $-e$; cf. Schatz, Allb. Gr., § ifod. Some older Alem. texts show Nom. and Acc. Pl. in -o ; thus in the BR. and in the Interl. Hymns kelo, firino: Isidor once has miltmisso.
417 vi. Gen. Pl. Pr. Gmc. $-\bar{o}^{n}$ should yield OHG. $-\bar{o}$; grebō (like tag $\bar{o}$ above); cf. OS. geto, OE. jiefa, but the feminine $\bar{o}$-stems in OHG. borrow their Genitive Pl . form from the feminine $n$-stems; thus gebōnō. [If, however, an IG. ending $-n \bar{o} \leftarrow n \bar{o} m$ be assumed, this would yield regularly -ōnō; cf. Wilmanns, iii, § 159.3.] At a later date the Gen. ending passed in UG. from -ōno through -ōne to -ōn: which form is used by Notker. In Franc., however, instead of the second -0 being weakened, the first is shortened to -0 , giving -ono and then weakened to -eno.
418 vii. For the Dative, $-u m$ in place of $-\bar{o} m$ is rare : B. has it a few times, Isidor once, dheodum, and Tatian in the three texts $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, in which $u$ often stands for $o$.

The nouns declined like geba form a very large class, including the numerous derivatives from weak verbs, i. e. those ending in -unga; the abstract nouns in -missa and -ida, and further, after the ninth century, the feminine nouns formed from masculines with W. Gmc. derivative, suffix -innj $\overline{0}$, lose the $-j \bar{o}$ forms and inflect like geba, e. g. burdin, lentin, \&c.

Nouns of the $\bar{j}$-declension have several forms in common with the nouns of the $n$-declension of feminines (cf. the Nominative Sing. and Dative Plural), and it is very natural that considerable intermingling should have taken place : from the earliest times the Gen. Plural is borrowed from the $n$-stems. Nearly all writers use both vocalic (strong) and $n$-stem (weak) forms of the same noun: Braune ( $\$ 208$ ) gives a list of seventeen such nouns used in double form by Otfrid alone. The $j \bar{o}$-stems, on the other hand, had strong affinity with the feminine $i$-stems, and most of them, especially those in -nissa, have a secondary form in $-i$, e. g. folnissi beside folnissa.
419 The Nom. and Acc. Sing. in $e e$ is of course the normal development of $j+a$. The $-e a,-i a,-a$ are later analogical formations. Before the $-\bar{o} n \bar{o}$ of the Gen. Pl. $j$ is most often written $e:$ cf. 240.
$J \overline{0}$-stems show mutation of the root-vowel where it is $a$, and gemination of consonant after a short vowel.

Jō feminine stems show a strong tendency to be confused with the feminine $-i$ formations, and in many cases the same word shows two alternatives: e.g. minnea beside minnī, \&c., \&c.

The OHG. thiu, diu, a maiden, OS. thiu, Got. piwei, piujös, histori-
cally belongs to this declension, but in the main it has gone over to the feminine $i$-stems ( $P B B$. i.. 538 ), and the original $j \bar{j}$-inflections are rare (cf. Notker, gen. pl. thizeön).

## III. I Declension.

This declension contains only masculine and feminine nouns.
a. Masculine.

Pr. Gmc.
Sy. Nom. *gastiz
Acc. *gastin
Pl. gastiz
Gen. *gastaiz
Dat. *gasteí (loc.)
Instr. *gasí
gastinz
gastiō(n)
gastimiz
OHG.

Got.
Sg. gasts Pl. gastcis
gast gastins
gastis gastè
sasta gastim

| Sg. Nom. grast | Pl. gesti |
| :---: | :---: |
| Acc. gast | gesti |
| Gen. gastes | gesteo, -io, -o |
| Dat. gaste | gestim, -in |
| Instr. gastiu, -u, gestiu, gastu |  |

i. With nouns of short root-syllable, whether masculine or feminine, 421 the Nominative and Accusative should end in -i (283) (cf. OE. witine beside jiest) ; but already in the early period of OHG. the distinction between short and long had been effaced, and there are but few instances of $-i$ being preserved after a short syllable : wini, risi, and the verbal abstracts quiti, huki, and (in compounds) kumi. Kögel, p. 557.
ii. It will be seen that the Gen. Sing. should have yielded OHG. graste ( $a i \rightarrow \bar{e} \rightarrow \breve{e}$ when final), but in Pr. Gmc. already the analogy with the $a$-stems affected the singular, and a Genitive was formed in -es ; cf. Got. gastis, ON. gests, OE. ziestes.
iii. The Dative Masculine both in Got. and OHG. is also formed by analogy with the $a$-stems. The usual OHG. Instr. gastu is probably formed by analogy with the $u$-stems. Cf. Biugmann, ii. 386 .
iv. The Nom. PI. in $-i$ is regularly formed from IG. ei-cs, Gmc. $-i z$, 422 Got. eis.
v. The Dative Plurals Masculine and Feminine usually remain -im, -in, till the end of the tenth century, when the weakened form -en regularly supplants them. Sporadically -cm, en are found in early texts.
vi. The plural of nouns with root-vowel -a shows mutation, except of course in the oldest texts, but, as certain consonant combinations
impeded mutation in UG. and not in Franc., so UG. shows forms such as palgi, pachim, falli, Inst. falliu, while Franc. has belgi, \&c.
423 A large number of nouns are declined like gast. The $i$-declension coincided in OHG. with the $a$-declension in the singular, and with the $u$-declension in the Nominative and Accusative plural : as a result, nearly all the nouns of the latter passed into the $i$-declension, and there are many transfers from the $a$-declension to the $i$ - and vice versa. The $i$-declension is also reinforced from original consonant stems, fuoz, \&c. Hence a considerable variety of forms is found : many $i$-stems have a plural as if from an $a$-stem, and double forms both of $a$ - and of $i$ - abound : e. g. winta-winti, scilt-scilta-scilti; in fact it is needful to refer largely to other Gmc. languages in order to be able to assign a noun to its rightful declension, unless by chance the Instrumental or the plural happens to have been preserved. With the change of declension came often a change of gender.
b. Feminine.

| Pr. Gmc. |  | Got. |  | OHG. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Sing. Plur. | Sing. | Plur. | Sing. Plur. |  |  |
| Nom. $-i z$ | $-i z$ | ansts | ansteis | anst ensti. |  |
| Acc. $-i m m$ | $-i z$ | anst | anstins | anst ensti |  |
| Gen. $-\bar{o} i z:-i z$ |  | anstáis | anstè | ensti enstio,-co |  |
| Dat. $-e i$ |  | anstái | anstim | ensti enstim,-in |  |

i. Here, too, the distinction between long and short syllable in the Nom. Sing. has been effaced, except in the case of turi, kuti, and a few others (42I). As regards mutation the same rule obtains in the plural as with the Masculine nouns,
ii. The OHG. Gen. in -i, ensti, has been variously explained: some see in it a gradation change, Got. anstáis, OHG. ensti: others analogy to the Dative form. See Wilmanns, iii, § $16_{5}$, and literature there quoted.
iii. The $-i$ of the Dative is of equally dubious origin. Brugmann derives it from an Instrumental in $-\bar{\imath}$, van Helten ( $P B B$. xxviii. 539) from an original eii: possibly both Got. anstái and OHG. ensti derive from the same form $\bar{e} i$, which in $\mathrm{E} . \mathrm{Gmc} . \rightarrow a \dot{a} i$, in W. Gmc. $\rightarrow e i \rightarrow \bar{i}$. Very occasionally in OHG. a Gen. or Dat. Sing. is found without any ending, e. g. Fr. Pn. mit dinera anst (34), Ps. 138 mit dinero gizealt (22). It is possibly an old Instrumental, in which $-i$ has fallen after long stem.
iv. The Feminines have no Instrumental in regular use, but a couple of early texts have forms in $-c 0,-i u$, with an apparent locative meaning:

Pa. circilso loic-huohero stetio, St. Gall. Credo in kizialliu (\%s.fidA. 28. 113 ).

The Feminine nouns declined according to the $i$-declension in OHG. are very numerous; to it belong all feminine nouns which in Nom. sing. end in a consonant, all abstracts formed with the suffixes -scaft, -scaf, the verbal abstracts in $-l$, as fart, tūt, \&c., a few of the older concrete nouns formerly belonging to the consonant stems, as eih, gans, muis, and the monosyllables au (sheep), kuo, suo.

## IV. U Declension.

This declension is preserved only in a few words; apart from the $\mathbf{4 2 5}$ forms of the Nom. and Acc. Singular, only the oldest MSS. show genuine $-u$ inflections: most nouns with long stem syllable which lost the final $-u$ in W. Gmc. passed over into the $i$-declension before OHG. times, and a few joined the $a$-declension. Those with short stem in the main preserved the $-u$ in the Nom. and Acc. Singular, but formed all other cases after the $i$-declension.

| $\mathrm{Pr} . \mathrm{Gmc}$. | Got. | OHG. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Masc. | Neuter. |
| Sg. Nom. -us | sumus | sumu (suno), sun | fihu, -o |
| Acc. -un | sumu | sumu (suno), sun | fihu, -o |
| Gen. -ouns | sunáus | sun (-ō), sunes | fehes |
| Dat. -ēu | sunáu | suniu, sune | fehe |
| Pl. Nom. -iuiz | sunjus | sumi | (fihiu), feho |
| Acc. -unz | sununs | sumi | fihiu, feho |
| Gen. -uõm | sunizee | suneo, -o | fieho (N.) |
| Dat. -umiz | stıutm | sunim | fiehen (N.) |

i. The Nom. Singular of this originally -ru class had the reduced $\mathbf{4 2 6}$ vowel grade, sumu-s ; the $u$ is preserved in T. and O. (fridu, situ, hugu), but passed later into 0 , hence such double forms as: meto-mito, fiho -fiho, in which $i$ is due to the former presence of an $u$. Notker always uses the oform : in early texts it is rare.
ii. The Genitive in IG. ended in -ơus ; cf. Got. sumáus: this should have given OHG . long $-\bar{o}$, and there are instances of this form in Isidor: fridü, Ker. Gl. reito (the length of vowel is assumed to be proved by the writing 'fridoo' in K.; see, however, Kögel, Ker. Gl., p. 164), but these are very rare: nearly everywhere -es is used by analogy with the $i$-stems with which the Nom. Pl. of the $u$-stems coincided, e. g. gesti-suni.
iii. The Dative goes back, as in the 0 - and $i$-stems, to an old locative $\mathbf{4 2 7}$ with lengthened grade (cf. Got. sunáu) ; -èu became E. Gmc. -au, W. Gmc. -eu, then -iu. In OHG. this form in -iu is in use as a Dative
in the oldest monuments: Is. 3. 10, suniu ; 3. 16, sidiu; O. fridiu; M. fuozziu (on foot), beside hugiu, sigiu. This Dative form coincided with the Instrumental of the $i$-stems, and when the $u$-stems passed into the $i$-declension it took up Instrumental function, while the Dative in $-e$ of the $i$-declension replaced it as Dative : e. g. sune, site, \&c. See, however, also van Helten, $P B B$. xxviii. 539 (already referred to for the Dat. Sing. of $i$-stem), in which he derives this Dative from a form in -eui, parallel to Dat. in $-i$ of the $i$-stems from -eii.
428 iv. The only feminine noun of this class, hant, is declined like an $i$ stem in all cases except the Dative plural, which it forms in -um, -on, -un. Notker writes handen without mutation, though in late OHG. the form hentin had already appeared. The only Neuter noun is fihu, which, apart from the Nom. and Acc. $-u$, is irregular.
v. Otfrid, iv. 5. 59, has an Acc. Plur. in -u: situ (cf. Goohic sumuns). Isidor has once a Dative suni (cf. PBB. ix. 549, for nom. sumu-sun).

## B. Consonant Declension (Weak).

## I. N stems.

429 This declension comprises Masculine, Feminine, and Neuter nouns.
Masculine : stem IG. -ŏn, --èn, -n.
Gmc. -an, -in, -n.

Pr. Gmc. Nom. Sg. -õ, Gen.-inzo Nom. Pl. -aniz, Gen. -nōn Acc. Sg. -anm Acc. Pl. -nnzz,-munz; -annz, -anunz Dat. Sg. -ini Dat. Pl. -miz, -nmiz

Feminine and Neuter Nom. Sing. - $\bar{o} n$; other cases as the Masc.

430 Got.

|  | Sing. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Masc. | Nom. hana |
| Acc. hanans |  |
| hanans |  |
| Gen. hanins | hananē |
| Dat. hanin | hanam |

Fem. Nom. tuggō tuggōn:
Acc. tuggōn
Gen. tuggōns tuggōnō
Dat. tuggōn tuggōm
Neut. N. A. haírtō haírtōna
Gen. haírtins haírtanē
Dat. haírtin haírtum

OHG.

Sing. hano hanon, -un hanin, hemin
zunga
zungün
"",
""
herza
herzen, -in
".

Plur. hanon, -un (N. -en)
hanöno ( $\mathrm{N} .-$-onn) hanōm, -ōn (N. -ōn)
zungūn

$$
\text { zungōno }(\mathrm{N} .-o n)
$$

zungōm, -ōn
herzun, -on (N. -en) herzōno (N. -on)
herzōm, -ōn ( $\mathrm{N} .-\mathrm{on}$ )

The stems which in IG. ended in $-n$ could have as the full stem: 431 $-o n,-i n,-n$, or $-n$, and in certain cases the lengthened grade $-i n n$. $-\bar{e} n$, so that the history of their Germanic development is not simple.
i. Nom. Sing. Masc. and Neut. The Nom. Masc. in IG. consists of stem without suffix, but with lengthened grade of vowel: by the side of $-\bar{i} n$ (cf. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega^{\nu} \nu$ ) and $-\bar{e} n\left(\pi o \mu \mu \eta^{\nu}\right)$ were also Nom. forms in - $\bar{j}$ without $-n$, possibly with a slurred accent, cf. Catö $\leftarrow$ Catōn. In the Nom. W. Gmc. $\delta$ gave OHG. -o: gumo. Neuters only differ from the Masc. in the Nom. and Acc. Sing. and Pl. The Neut. and Fem. Sing. ended in IG. -ōn $\rightarrow$ Pr. Gmc. -ön (not like the Masc. in -ô); Got. hairtô, luggo ; OHG. herza, zunga. The quantity of the $-a$ is uncertain (cf. Schatz, Altb. Gr., § i 1 2, A).
ii. Acc. Sg. Masc. IG. -ōn + -ṃ (Catōnem), Gmc. -anum: Got. 432 hanan, OE. hanan. OHG. has two forms, hanun, hanon (OS. hanon). Of these the first possibly represents the vanishing vowel-grade in the stem, though it is frequently accepted as the weakening of -o to $-u$ before nasal $+-u$, like the $-u m$ of the Dative Pl. (402), thus: Pr. Gmc. * $\chi^{a n o m u(m)}$ gave OHG. hanun. The second form hanon is more difficult to explain, and no entirely satisfactory attempt has yet been made. It is possible ( 1 ) that IG. o was preserved in OHG. and OS., and never passed into $a$ in these dialects, because the following $u$, developed before $n$ in Gmc., preserved it (cf. the retention of IG. o before $-m$ in *dagom, Dat. Plur. (2) That IG. o passed into $a$ in OHG. and OS. as in Gothic, but under the influence of the Gmc. -um following suffered mutation to $o$ parallel to the mutation caused by $i$ in verbal forms: bindesi, bindis. Van Helten's attempt to account for the OHG. $-u n$ forms as $u$ mutation of $-0 n$ forms is not convincing ; cf. for the whole question Streitberg, U. G., § 180 ; $P B B . \mathrm{xv} .460$ and the literature there cited.

In OHG. Isidor and the earliest UG. texts show preference for the form hanun, while Franc. favours the form hanon.
iii. Gen. and Dat. Sg. Masc. and Neut. As stated above, the $n$-stems 433 had in their stem-forming suffix partly the grade -en and partly that of -on (264). The IG. -cn form is one which survives in the Gen. and Dat. Sing. (Gmc. -in) in Got. and W. Gmc. ; cf. Got. hanins, hamin, OHG. henin [while IG. -on (Gmc. -an) is preserved in the Acc. Sing. and Nom. Pl.]. OHG. weakens Pr. Gmc. -in frequently to -en, and Isidor and UG. show a preference for -in, Franc. for $-e n$.
iv. Nom. Pl. Masc. IG. *-ones, Gmc. *-aniz, yielded Got. -ans and in OHG. would $\rightarrow-$ an (cf. OE. hanan), but the termination in OHG. is -on, -un. This has been explained as the Accusative form which
has penetrated into the Nominative, but this is hardly satisfactory, and it is most probable that the $-o n,-u n$ is due to the influence of the following nasal (432).
v. Acc. Pl. Masc. The Acc. Pl. in Gmc. would have either (i) vanishing grade $-n+-n s$, or ( 2 ) -o grade, $-a n+-n s$. The former is perhaps preserved in Got. aúhnuns ( $P B B$. viii. 115 ) and in the OHG. hanun form. OHG. and OS. revert to the -o grade, Gmc. anunz (or onunz with retained IG. -o) yield -on in OHG. like the Acc. Sing. (43²).
434 vi. Nom. and Acc. Pl. Neuter. The Neuter Pl. seems originally to have been intimately connected with the Feminine abstract sing., and to have had in IG. the feminine suffix $-\bar{a}$ added either to the vanishing grade or to the lengthened $-\bar{o}$ grade of the stem. This IG. vanishing stem -n $\bar{a}$ appears in Lat. nomina, and is preserved in Got. namna, alma, and in OHG. herzun; while Got. hairtōna is the regular descendant of the lengthened stem -ōnā. The OHG. herzun sometimes in later texts appears as -on through confusion with the masculine or through mere weakening, and is of course by Notker's time reduced to -en. Forms like herza for Nom. and Acc. Pl. (ouga B., herza B. and O.) are probably singular, and are used as plural on the analogy of the Neuter $a$-stems, where the two forms are the same. See J. Schmidt, $I G$. Neutra, but also Brugmann ${ }^{2}$, § 480.
vii. Gen. Pl. The stem originally had only vanishing vowel-grade $-n$ before -ōm, Sk. rāj̄̄ām, Got. abnē, mannē, aúhsnē (PBB. xii. 543). Got. hanane is a new formation in analogy with the -an cases. OHG., like the other W. Gmc. dialects, borrows the Masc. and Neuter Gen. from the Pr. Gmc. *-ōnōm (Got. tuggōnō), OHG. hanōno, herzòno, zungōno, OE. hanena, heortena (f.), tunzena. For the development in OHG. of Pr. Gmc. -ōnōm see 4 I7.
435 viii. Dat. Pl. The stem here originally had the reduced grade $-n$ or -n before consonant suffix, which should yield Pr. Gmc. *-nmiz, Got. -mum (OE. rare oxnum). Got. abnam, watnam retain the stem $-n$, though their -am seems to have been borrowed from the $a$-stems. Got. hanam, hairtam. Otherwise in Gothic and in all Germanic languages the $-n$ of the stem has entirely disappeared, and the Dat. Pl. OHG. hanōm, herzōm, zungōm has been adopted from some other declension.

436 Note.- Of the varying forms:-un, -on for Acc. Sing. and Nom. Pl., -in, -en for Gen. Dat. Sing., those in - $u n$ and $-i n$ are the older, and are those used by Isidor. They are preserved in UG., but later Franconian uses the -on and -en forms. In the Gen. and Dat. Sing. the older texts
duly have the mutated root-vowel, as hemin, nemin, from hano, namo, but constraint of system soon levelled out the mutated forms in favour of the unmutated forms like the Nom. Notker uses the weakened form -in in all cases except the Nom. Sing. and the Gen. Pl.; cf. $P B B$. iv. $35^{8}$ and 408.

A very large number of Masculine nouns belong to this class: the many $n$-stem words expressive of agency formed from verbs, as gebo, forasagro, \&c., see $K^{\prime} / u g \varepsilon$, § 15 , and $P B B$. iii. 1 .

Many Masculine nouns in Gmc. had formerly a $j$ preceding the $\mathbf{4 3 7}$ suffix, i.e. were -jan, -jin stems, but as the $j$ had vanished, as everywhere before an $i$, and $j z$ had become $e$, its former presence can, after the ninth century, only be recognized by the gemination in words like gisello, or by the mutated vowel, as crbo, kempho, fitiro, and in a few nouns with $-r j$, as after $r$ the $j$ maintained itself longer, e. g. ferio, burio, shario, \&c. See Braune, § 223 .

## Feminine.

i. The Nom. Sing. is the same as that of the Neuters.
ii. Oblique cases. Sing. and Nom. Acc. Pl. : the - $u n$ of these cases in OHG., OS. -un, ON. -u, is not explained. (Brugmann ${ }^{3}$, § 27r.) OE. has levelled -an throughout to match the Masc.; cf. PBB. xv. 463. In OHG. the Gen. and Dat. Pl. of the Feminine nouns have been adopted for the Masc. and Neuter (see above), and the Gen. Pl. has also been taken over into the feminine stems.

Note.- There are but few Neuter nouns following herza, only ouga, öra, zeanga. Otfrid uses a Dat. Sing. herzen as Dat. Pl. ; cf. Kelle, 249. There are many nouns declined like zunga: here too there were former -jan, -jin stems, as kevia, redia, brunia, and what has been said about the Masc. applies to them also. To the Nomina agentis in -ìri there were also Feminines in -aria, -arra, and -ara; cf. Braune, $\$ 226$.

## II. Abstract Nouns in -ī.

This declension in OHG. comprises (a) adjectival abstract nouns in 439 $-i$, such as helli, milti, tiuri, ziori, \&c., and (b) verbal abstracts, as mendi, diki, \&cc. The former were in OHG. a very large class, as they could be formed from any adjective, the latter were comparatively few. The adjectival abstracts had as stem-ending originally -in ( $n$-stem), Got. managei, manageins ; the verbal nouns had -ini ( $i$-stem), Got. dáupeins, dáupeincius. Confusion between the two declensions and subsequent levelling out have caused a great simplification in the OHG. inflections of such feminine nouns, and the two fall together in the one OHG. paradigm.

Got.
Sing.
Nom. managei
Acc. managein Gen. manageins Dat. managein

Plur.
manageins
manageins
manageinō
manageim

OHG.

| Sing. | Plur. |
| :---: | :---: |
| menigì $(-n)$ | menigì $(-i n)$ |
| $"$ | ", |
| $"$, | menegino" |
| $"$, | menegim, $-\overline{i n}$ |

440 i. The forms with -in in the Nom. and Acc. Pl. are used consistently only by Isidor and in the Mons. Fr., M. : elsewhere they are sporadic. The Dat. Pl. in -in is replaced in Alem. occasionally by the extended forms -inom, -inum, e. g. höhinum. Notker forms a Nom. and Acc. Pl. hohina, so that the whole plural is as if formed with the suffix -ini : e.g. heilina, heilino, heilinon. The Gen. Pl. is rare: O. once has resto, Gen. Pl. from restī, instead of restino. (PBB. ix. 319.)
ii. A number of nouns with suffix -in, which strictly belong to the $j$-stems, have virtually joined this class: e. g. burdin, lugin, lentin, hartin, butin, lungin $(-u n)$. At least they waver between the $j 0$-class and this, but tend to adopt the $-\bar{i}$ forms. Thus in the singular they have forms in $-\bar{i}$, and throughout the plural show the -in forms, as Notker does. Mons. Fr. has beside a Nom. burdi a Dat. Pl. burdinum; Nom. lugin, Gen. Pl. lugino, T. lugina.

## III. (a) $\mathbf{R}$ stems. (b) ND stems. (c) Monosyllabic Consonant stems. (d) $\mathbf{S}$ stems.

## (a) $\mathbf{R}$ stems.

441 These are nouns denoting relationship, e. g. fater, bruoder, muoter, swester, tohter, which have preserved consonantal inflection throughout the earlier monuments, but show a strong tendency to be influenced by other declensions.

Got.

| Got. |  | G. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sing. <br> Nom. bröbar | Plur. bröprjus | Sing. bruoder | Plur. <br> bruoder |
| Acc. bröpar | brōpruns |  |  |
| Gen. bröprs | bröprè | , | bruodero |
| Dat. brōpr | bröprum | ., | bruoderum, -lun, -on |

442 IG. $r$-stems in the main are words denoting relationship and Nomina agentis: as in the case of the $n$-stems the $r$ is preceded by a vowel which may occur in the $e: 0$ grade, the vanishing or the lengthened grade $\bar{c}: \bar{o}$. For the alternation of full vowel and vanishing vowel grade before $r$ cf. Gk. $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a, \pi a \tau \rho i ́$.

The only $r$-stems which survive in OHG. are Masculine fatcr and bruoder, Fem. muoter, swester, tohter.
i. Nom. Sing. In IG. this case is formed as in the $n$-stems without
suflis, but with compensatory lengthening of stem-vowel; cf. Gk. тarifp, фри́тшр.

In Gmc, the long vowel is shortened before 1 : in E. (imc. -ir and -ör yield -ar (Got. fadar, bröbar); in OHG. both result in -er, folkr, brweder (a form like brwodar is quite exceptional, PBB. ii. 141 . iv. 419); while in OE. the final vowel is coloured by that which precedes: foeder, but brödor.
ii. Acc. Sing. : the stem -e or -o, cf. Gk. $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho a, ~$ píropa; thus -cr 443 $+-m!:-$ or $+-m$. Final $m$ was lost in Gmc., and also the distinction between -er and -or. Got. fadar, brïpar; OHG. fater, bruoder.
iii. The Gen. Sing. has the vanishing grade stem-rowel and the usual suffix (-oso: -cso : -so: Gk. mãpós, Lat. patris, Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{*}$ bröpriz, Got. bribrs). Final $z$ falls in W. Gmc., and OHG. and OE. develop a secondary vowel between $l$ and $r$ : OHG. bruoder, OE. brödor (later urödres).
iv. Dat. Sing. This is an old Locative in -i, cf. Lat. patri; stem in the vanishing grade: Got. Gröpr. In OHG. bruoder has a secondary vowel as in the Gen.
v. Nom. Plur. Pr.Gmc. *faderiz as in Greek had the full grade of stem ; cf. $\pi a \tau$ épes. OHG. fater, OE. fxeder.
vi. Acc. Plur. IG. had the vanishing grade-stem $+-n s$, Lat. 444 patris ( $\leftarrow^{*}$ patrens), Pr. Gmc. *fadr-nz, Got. fadruns. OHG. and the other dialects use the Nom. as Acc.
vii. Gen. Plur. The stem was in the vanishing grade ; cf. Gk. $\pi a \tau \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$, Lat. patrum, Got. fadré. The other dialects adopt forms with full grade stem from the other cases: OHG. bruodero, futero, OE. fredera.
viii. Dat. Plur. The stem was in Gmc. vanishing grade $+-m i z$; Pr. Gmc. "fadrmiz, Got. fadrum. The W. Gmc. dialects carry through the stem-vowel from the other cases: OHG. futcrum, OE. federum.

In OHG. the Feminines preserve more faithfully than the Masc. 445 their original declension. Not till very late do they show the analogy "ith the $\bar{j}$-declension, and then only in the Plural. Notker, Gen. Sing. tohter, Nom., Acc. PI. tohtera, Dat. tohterōn. A Dat. Pl. from the $n$-declension is also found in Notker, tohterun. The Fem. form swiser $=$ a mother-in-law is historically an $\bar{o}$-stem, and usually retains its inflection as such (T. and O. always), but in N. shows a Gen. suetigel by analogy with the $r$-stems.

Of the Masculine bruoder is more faithful to its old declension than filco. Fatir has in no single instance in OHG. its true plural, fatcr, but always appears as fotera like an a-stem, while, except in 13., bruodera does not occur before Notker.

On the other hand, in the singular gen. of fater, fateres, dat. fater and fatere exist side by side in early texts. A Dative Pl. in -on is found for both words in T. and in O., fatoron, bruodoron, even -an: bruadaran, with sympathetic colouring of the stem-vowel ; cf. $P B B$. vi. $25^{2}$.

The Accusative fateran (H.) is dealt with above, 396 .

## 446 (b) ND stems.

These are participial stems: IG. ent : ont : nt. Of Gmc. Participles Present in -nd, those which had lost all participial meaning and had become true substantives retained their true consonantal declension: of these only two remain in OHG., friunt, fiant, and these are strongly contaminated by the inflection of the $a$-stems.

Got.

| Sing | Plur. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nom. frijonds | frijonds |
| Acc. frijond | frijonds |
| Gen. frijondis | frijondè |
| Dat. frijōd | frijōndam |

OHG.
Sing.
friunt
friuntes
friunte

Plur.
friunt, $-\bar{a},-a$
friunto
friuntum, -unt, -on
i. The inflection in OHG., except for the Nom. Sing. and Pl., is that of the $a$-stems. Friunt is the usual form for the Nom. Pl., while of fiant the form in $-a$ is more general. Only Isidor and BR. have Nom. and Acc. Pl. fiant. A Dative Sing. friunt occurs once in Gl. i. $70_{5}^{5}$. The Pl. lantpüant $=$ indigenos Voc. 453 is the only other consonant plural in OHG.
ii. OHG. has a certain number of other substantival participles in -ant, as waltant, heilant, skepfont, helfant, wigant, \&c., but these show no trace of consonantal inflection except for the Nom. Sing. (which is without the $-i$ of the Present Participle zualtanti), and in the main a present participle in OHG., even when used as a noun, keeps the adjectival -ja stem-inflection in contrast to the other dialects: OS. neriand, OHG. nerrendeo (Is.) (weak -ja inflection); OS. zealdand, OHG. waltanto (wk.), or waltanti (str.).
(c) Monosyllabic Consonant Stems.

448 (a) Masculine. The nouns belonging to this class show few traces of consonantal inflection : they have in part adopted that of the $u$ - and $i$-stems.

Fuoz (Lat. ped-, Gk. $\pi$ od-) has passed into the $i$-declension, only retaining the -um ending of the Dat. Pl. fuozsum (ON. Nom. Pl. fotr, OE. fêt show still consonantal inflection). The one noun which in early OHG. had pure consonantal inflection was man.

|  | Got. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sing. | Plur. |  | Sing. |

i. As Gen. Sing. the form man is twice found in Otfrid, ii. 18. 2 I 449 and v. 2 I. II, both apparently metri gratia.
ii. 'The Nom. Pl. is always man until quite late, when a plural after the $a$-stems appears: e. g. scefmanna, \&c.
iii. Isidor always and the Mons. Fr. and BR. often use a pronominal Acc. Sing. mannan, treating the word as an appellative, 396.

The compounds coman, neoman, which are used as pronouns, always have the pronominal Acc.
iv. In compounds man, as a rule, is treated as the simplex: to this there is one notable exception-goman, compounded of somo and man, which, though still retaining the Nom. and Acc. Pl. gomman and the Gen. sing. gommannes, has also many weak forms: when it was no longer felt to be a compound of man the secondary accent fell, and the -man was treated as a derivative syllable, thus -a weakened to - $e$, and $-n n$ was reduced to $-n$. Then arose such forms as: commana, gomanes, gomennes, gomenen (with reduced -mm ).
(b) Feminine. Of these the greater part had passed into the $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ $i$-declension: there are only left naht (I at. noct-), burg, brust, and buoh, liruoh, which latter two as Feminines are only used in the plural.

## Got.

Sing. Plur.
Nom. nahts nahts
Acc. naht nahts
Gen. nahts nahtē
Dat. naht nuhtam

OHG.

| Sing. | Plur. |
| :--- | :--- |
| naht | naht |
| naht | naht |
| naht | nahto |
| naht | nahtum, -un,-on |

i. A form nahtes is found in adverbial use only, though late in OHG. it has the definite article with it: O. this nahtes. T'asses inti nahtes was a standing idiom often found in O., T., \&c., and the form nahtes is clearly analogical to tages.
ii. Of buoh no singular form is known except the Nom. Buoh $=$ Biblia is regularly Fem. Pl. until the end of the ninth century (zeiho puah) when it began to appear as a Neuter: O. thiz luah. Notker has diu l,uoh. Otfrid, for the sake of the rhyme, twice uses a Gen. Sing. buacchi.
iii. Burg and brust more commonly have forms after the $i$-declension. Brust has a Nom. Pl. lirust in K., Ra, cf. Kögel, Ker. Gl. I 7 I ; elsewhere the Nom. Pl. is brusti. For dūsunt see 498.

## (d) $\mathbf{S}$ stems.

These neuter nouns are frequently included in the $a$-declension in OHG. grammars because their inflexion in the singular is identical with that of wort. They are, however, consonant stems in which the stem-forming suffix has been treated as a case ending.

The stem in IG. was of the os:es: $s$ gradation, corresponding to the
 had in the Nom. and Acc. the mere stem -os; the -os of the stem in Gmc. became -as, $-a z$, and fell in W. Gmc., leaving, so far as the Nom. and Acc. were concerned, no distinguishing mark between the $s$-stems and the neuter $a$-stems, OHG. lamb, $s$-stem, OHG. wort, $a$-stem [as in the latter the IG. suffix -om had fallen]: in the oblique cases the esstem was protected by the case-ending following, and therefore should have been retained in W. Gmc. -ir, but owing to the Nom. and Acc. forms most of the $s$-stems passed into the $a$-stems in the singular, while retaining in the plural the $-i r \leftarrow e s$ stem (Pr. Gmc. $-i z=W$. Gmc. $-i r$ ). In the oblique cases of the singular -ir was retained in a few forms, as challires, hrindires for hrindares, westir, ahir with -ir levelled back into the Nom. and Acc., and doublets (cf. OE. sige-sigor), and in the names of places, as Kelbirisbach ; cf. PBB. iv. 415 .
452 The number of words rightly belonging to the $s$-stems in Gmc. was at first small, but as the -ir was soon adopted as a plural-forming suffix they were soon reinforced already in OHG, by the inclusion of neuter nouns originally belonging to other declensions: e.g. holz, huon, chrut, ci, hār, rad, hris, hrind, loh, loub, nos, liant, bret, farh, blat, and occasionally suīn, tal, kar.

Otfrid has diuflir, iii. 14. 53 ; Phys. zeihtir ; and see Teg. Gl.,Georgics, iv. 309, Aen. ix. 224.

The root-vowel was mutated by the following - $i$ : thus kalb-kellir, grab--grelir, \&c. Where the root-vowel was 0 arising from P. Gmc. $u$, the plural sometimes retains the original $u$, as loh-luhhir. abgotabsutir, though levelling out soon took place in favour of the root-vowel of the singular.

| Sg. Nom. lamb | Pl. lembir |
| :---: | :---: |
| Acc. lamb | lembir |
| Gen. lambes | lembiro |
| Dat. lambe | lembirum, - un, -on |
| Inst. lambu, -0 |  |

## PRONOUNS

## Personal Pronouns.

It is not possible to speak of an actual declension of pronouns in $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ IG., as the pronominal forms show no trace of case inflection: they appear to have been merely roots originally combined with enclitic particles, which latter took the function of case suffixes ; cf. Gk. ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon-\gamma \epsilon$, Cimc. *mike, *mek, Got. mik, OHG. mih. Owing to the presence in the parent language of unaccented forms beside accented (cf. OF. ic, ON. $i k$, Lat. ego), it is not always possible to state the original form with accuracy, but in OHG. the large majority of pronominal forms derive from the Pr. Gmc. unaccented forms.

| Ist Pers. Sg. | Got. $i k$ | $\underset{i / 2}{\mathrm{OHC}}$ | Got. <br> Pl. aicis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OHG } \\ & \text { zeir } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mik | mih | uns, unsis | unsils |
|  | meina | min | unsara | unser |
|  | mis | mir | uns, unsis | uns |

i. Nom. Sing. Sk. ahám, Ck. $\mathrm{\epsilon}^{\gamma} \mathrm{\epsilon}^{\prime}(v)$, Gmc. *ek, ik. In the Glosses, 454 i. 30,39 , the form ihha, ihcha occurs, Lat. egomet. The form iht frequently is used with rerbal forms enclitically, in which case Otfrid often elides the vowel, e. g. zelluh $=$ zellu ih.
ii. Acc. Sing. Sk. mām, mā, Grk. $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}+$ the particle $-\gamma \epsilon=\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon$ (Gk. $\gamma_{\epsilon}=\operatorname{Pr}$. Gmc. ${ }^{*} k e$ ), *meke, hence Pr. Gmc. ${ }^{*} m e k$, mik.
iii. Gen. Sing. For the genitive of the personal pronouns the forms of the possessive adjective were used. The process has been explained as follows: at first the ordinary use of the genitive (possessive) was marked by the case-ending (cf. 'father's house '), or by a special word, as min; when, however, verbs and adjectives governing the genitive were introduced it became necessary to have a genitive form, and this was supplied by the possessive, which then was generally adopted as the genitive of the pronoun (see $4^{64}$ ).
iv. Dat. Sing. IG. *me, to which was added in Gmc. *-z. Pr. Gmc. 455 accented *mez, unaccented *miz; Got. mis, OHG. mir.
v. Nom. Pl. IG. *uei (Sk. zayám) with $s$ in Gmc., the sign of the plural, Got. zeis, OHG. zieir unaccented (early Alem., Pa. zeer, an accented form).
vi. Acc. Pl. IG. -ns (reduced grade to *nes), Sk. nas, Lat. nos, Gmc. *uns. OHG. unsih, in analogy with the Sing. mih; Got. unsis in analogy with the Dative Sing. mis, or possibly with the Plural of the 2nd person: izwis.

Second Person. Pr. Gmc. tu, Gk. тú, Lat. tu, Gmc. *pu.

Got.

Sg. Ju<br>buk<br>beina<br>bus

Pl. jus
izwis
izwara
izwis

OHG.

| Sg. $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & d \\ & d \\ & d i \\ & d i\end{aligned}$ | Pl. ir |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | iuwih |
|  | iuwèr |
|  | in |

457
i. The Nominative when used enclitically was shortened. Acc.: as with the ist pers., the particle $-g_{c}$ was added to $t u$ : *tu-ge (cf. Gk. $\sigma v-\gamma \epsilon$ ), giving Got. Juk; OE. thec $\leftarrow t e+g e:$ *tue: tu: te. To this te the Dative added -z, Pr. Gmc. */iz, OHG. dir (though Got. pus after Acc. $P_{u k}$ ). The Got. Nom. Plur. is formed from the original root int (Sk. yútám) + the -s of the plural: the other Gmc. languages have adopted a form in analogy to the ist pers. zuir: ON. jer, OE. ze $(r)$, OHG. ir ( $\leftarrow{ }^{*} j e r$, * $\left.{ }^{*} i r r\right)$, old Alem. Ps. ier, Is. and MI. er, aer, De Hein $14 g_{7}$, with fall of final $r$. Braune, § 282. 3 .
ii. The forms in the Acc. and Dat. PI. uns and unsih, iu and iurvih are generally quite clearly distinguished in use, but there was in OHG. already a tendency to confuse them, which is indicated in several passages. Thus the Ludwigslied has four cases of $i u$ for the Acc. Pl., and the Augsb. Gebet has uns as Acc. Pl. (37. 1), MM. 3. 130. Graphically there were variations in the oblique cases. Otfrid writes a single $u$ for uzu: iuèr, iuh, \&c., where others use two and sometimes three $u$ 's : inuēr, inumèr. Isidor has occasionally forms with e instead of $i$ : cu, eunih, B. once cuuih, Al. Ps. euuuih, and towards the close of the eleventh century a contracted form iuch, uch makes its appearance. Braune, §282. 6 .
iii. The Genitive forms were, as has been said, derived from the Possessive adjective. IG. *meios, teuns (cf. Lat. meus, tuus) had for some reason fallen into disuse, and were replaced by Pr. Gmc. *mino-z, fino-z, sino-z, derived either from *me, to (Gmc. Be), se with the adjectival suffix -ino (cf. Got. gulpeins, Lat. fagimus), or from the Dat.Loc. ${ }^{*}$ mei, tei, sei (Gmc. $\left.{ }^{*} m \bar{i}, p \bar{i}, s \bar{i}\right)$ with suffix ${ }^{*}-n o$. Otfrid, in several instances, uses a form mines for the Genitive when it is combined with selb: thus iv. 3 r. 25 mines selben muisi, and once v. 7. 61 faru in sines selb gisihti, but he never uses this extended form in connexion with a feminine noun. Bethge, § 322. 2 .
458 iv. The Plural of the Possessives was formed by the suffix eero (possibly the comparative suffix, cf. -tero, which is used in Lat. noster, \&c.), *unsero-z, Got. unsara, OHG. unsèr, \&cc. The length of $-e$ in these forms, unsèr, \&c., is marked by Notker, and is also proved by the
double -ee in B. and St. Gall. Pn., probably in analogy with the Nom. Masc. of the adjective, which also ended in -ir ; but see Kögel, $P B B$. ii. 140 and viii. 128 .
v. Dual. The Dual, which in Got. is still extant, has left hardly any 459 traces in OHG. : Offrid has a form -unkir zucio (iii. 22. 32) as Gen. Pl. of the 1st pers., and Nom. git, Acc. ink, for the 2nd pers. occur ; moreover, the forms in Mod. Bav. $\ddot{s}$ and cnk beside a possessive enker must derive from an original dual and show that such a form did exist in German. The stem of this Dual was the same as that of the Plural: ist pers. Nom. $-u e+-d \rightarrow$ Gmc. *avt, OS. zilt, OF. zwit; Acc. $-n+$ the article $-k i=$ Got. $u g k$, OS. $u n k$. 2nd pers. Nom. -iu + Gmc. $-t \rightarrow$ Got. *jul. In W. Gmc. analogy to zeit caused the form OIIG. git, OS. git, OF. git: the Acc. inc has yet to be explained.

## Reflexive Pronoun.

Stem *se (sue), of. Lat. se, Got. sik-seina-sis for all numbers and 460 persons, sik $\leftarrow * s e+g e$. In OHG. the Reflexive has but two cases, the Gen. sin and the Acc. sih: the remainder are supplied from the pers. pronoun. sih was not used for the Dative until late. Muspilli, 28, is the earliest instance.

Thirl Person.

Got.
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{Sg} . \text { is } & i \neq a & \text { si } \\ \text { ima } & i \neq a & i j a \\ \text { is } & \text { is } & i z \bar{s} \\ \text { imma } & \text { imma } & \text { izái }\end{array}$
Pl.

| eis | $i j a$ | *ijōs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ins | $i j a$ | $i j \bar{s}$ |
| $i z$ | $* i z e$ | $i z \bar{o}$ |
| inl | $i m$ | $i m$ |

OHG.

| ir, er | $i_{3}$ | siu | si, si |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in, inan | $i \%$ | sid | (sie) |
| $(\sin )$ | es, is |  | ira, (o, u) |
| imu, o | imu, o |  | iru, (o) |

The pronoun of the $3^{r d}$ person in Gmc. is derived from three $\mathbf{4} 81$ distinct roots, from the IG. demonstratives ( 1 ) *so, sa (sio, sia), (2) from $e i$ with the reduced grade $i$, and (3) from cio : eia, cf. Lat. is, ea, id.
i. Masc. Nom. $i r$, or, er, Got. $i s$, Lat. is $\leftarrow$ root $i$.

Neut. ," i弓 ", ita "id.
In OHG. Isidor uses for the Nom. Sg. always ir, the Mons. Fr. show forms or, aer. Occasionally the LG. form hé occurs in the III., Lw., and Mers. Sp., seven times in Tatian, which, with the prevailing form her of Franc. monuments, is the descendant of IG. *ki, Lat. cis, citra, Got. *his, hina. The Neuter is becomes es in later OHG.
ii. Masc. Acc. Inan is the regular form until the eleventh century. $I n$ is the only form in Notker, and occurs occasionally in earlier writers, e. g. Musp. 19, and frequently in Tatian beside inan.
iii. Genitive. The genitive of the Masculine should be the same as that of the Neuter, viz. is, es, but these forms were lost very early in OHG. and replaced by the reflexive $\sin$. The oldest form of the Neuter Gen. is is, which begins to be replaced by es as early as the eighth century, and occurs with T. and O. always. Sin for the Neuter Gen. is also found.
iv. Dative. To the root $i$ was originally added the stem *sm (cf. Sk. tasmai, tasmin), Pr. Gmc. *mm, to which was joined the instrumental ending $e: 0$, Got. $-a$, OHG. $-u(0)$. (Got. imma; OHG. imu, with single $m$ owing to the want of stress.) In OHG. imu is the regular form ; this passed into imo in the ninth century, in Franc. first, and later in UG. (But see Jellinek, $P B B$., who derives oo in all monuments which do not weaken $-u$ to -o from IG. Abl. in $-\bar{o} d$.)
462 v. Feminine. Nom. siu, š̆. The root was IG. *siā, Gmc. siā, which unstressed would give siu, OE. sēo. This sin is in OHG. the usual form : the secondary forms si and sioccur at later times and are equivalent to Got. si, from $* s i \bar{e} \rightarrow s i$. The alternating quantity of OHG. $-i$ in $s \bar{i}$ and $s i$ is due to the change from stressed to unstressed position in the sentence (cf. $d \bar{u}$ and $d u$ ). Notker marks it by circumflex as long, but when used enclitically it is short. Otfrid frequently writes $s i$. In the ninth century $s i$ is rare. (Muspilli, 3.)

Acc. sia, sie. Sia is regular until the end of the ninth century, and then begins to pass into sie: in T. the form sie occurs.

Gen. ira, Dat. $i r u$. The initial $i$ is rarely weakened to $e$, K., Merseb. 3I. I, and Otfrid. The final vowel $u-o$ varies as in the $o$-stems, and at an early date the distinction between the Genitive and Dative was levelled out and the $u$ of the Dative penetrated into the Genitive, but rarely the $a$ of the Genitive into the Dative.
463 Plural. The Nom. Acc. sie, siu, sio represent the original root with the adjectival endings. Otfrid has fairly frequently the Masc. form sie for the Neuter siu, and replaces the Fem. sio by sie(sia). Notker has only sie for all three genders, Gen. plur. reg. form iro. Tatian once writes for the Gen. Pl. cro, and very rarely the forms iru and ira are found (for iro). In the paradigms the pronominal forms are given in their full, i. e. independent shape, but after stressed words the pronoun lost its stress and was joined to them as an enclitic. The changes which this usage occasioned are clearly seen in O . With him the monosyllabic forms with initial vowel lose this vowel after vocalic
ending, e. g. ziver $=$ zivio cr. zaltas $=$ zalla $i_{5}$, sazlas $=$ saz/a $i_{z}$, though in the case of $r$ it is generally the preceding vowel which falls, e. $g$. giloubt er. The disyllabic forms as a rule lose their initial vowel after a vocalic or a consonantal ending alike : e. g.erm antwurti $=\mathrm{cr}$ ime antzinti. Thus are obtained the forms: nan, mo, sa $\leftarrow$ sia, si $\leftarrow$ sie, ses $\leftarrow$ siis, siuz $=$ si iu iz. Sịunreini, sie ouh. sa is rare ; cf. Lw. 24 heigun sa. (Unaccented sia, sa, se, seo, sie or so.)

## Possessives.

As was stated in 454 , the OHG. possessive pronoun was a new 464 formation in Pr. Gmc.: *mino-z, Got. meins, OHG. min, \&cc. The Pr. Gmc. sine-z was used for all genders and numbers like Gothic seins; but OHG. restricted sin to the Masc. and Neuter Sg., the Fem. and Plural used the Gen. of the Pers. pronoun ira, iro, ir, of course indeclinable [inflected forms, as ires, iren, belong to the rith-12th century]. Min, din, sin had the inflexion of the strong adj. (cf. miner, mina๘, miniu, \&c.), but in the Nom. as a rule the uninflected form stood when preceding the noun and varied with the inflexional form when following. Weak inflexion occurs twice in Otfrid, i. 2. 20 and iii. 7.53 : mino. Franconian, lying between L. and UG., had a special form of declension of the possessives based on the OS. form, except in the Nom. Nasc. It treated them as if the stem were $u n s, i u, \& c$., e.g. declined: Nom. unsèr, unsaz, unsu, Acc. unsan, unsaъ, unsu, Gen. unses-unsera, Dat. unsemo-unseru; but these shortened forms were not so much in use as the regular ones, and Tatian restricts his use of those of iunēr to those cases in which two syllables commencing with an $r$ would follow upon each other : iuucreru-iuneru, while Otfrid writes iues, iue, iuo, iuen, \&c. In UG. sporadic forms occur which apparently indicate the shortened stem, but they are all iorms in which an er is suppressed through dissimilation : unserero $\rightarrow$ unsero ; Notker's unserro shows the intermediate stage. Syncope of the $e$ in the older OHG. is very rare, and is only met with in Bavarian (Freis. Pn. unsro, unsrem), although it becomes frequent after the early eleventh century ; cf. PBB. v. 94. Occasionally a has replaced e (often with Tatian): H., R ${ }^{\text {b }}, \mathrm{M}$. unsariu, unsarèm, inuarēm.

## Demonstratives.

In Gmc. the demonstrative pronouns (Got. sa, sī, bata) are derived 465 respectively from the roots ${ }^{*} s \rho,\left({ }^{*} s \bar{a}\right),{ }^{*} / o$. Gothic forms from root ${ }^{*}$ so, while OHG. has lost the *so-sin roots as demonstratives, and retained the to : te roots.


## 466

In IG. the two stems *so and *to supplemented each other in such a way that from the former were taken the Nom. Sg. Masc. and Fem., and all other cases from the latter. In place of *so, ${ }^{*}$ sā IG. could use *sio and *siā, and for *lo, *tā also *iio and *tiā : hence OHG. Nom. Sg. Fem. siu and Nom. Pl. diu.

Nom. Masc. Sg. IG. *so was used as bare stem as in Sk. sa, Gk. ó, Got. sa, sái. OHG. sē represents this so-stem $+-i$ (cf. Lat. qo-i $\rightarrow q u i)$, Got. sái $(=c c c e)$, OHG. sè. OHG. $\operatorname{der}$ (*) is a new formation which replaces $s$-roots. LG. and MG. $d \bar{e}$, the show loss of $r$ and compensation lengthening. Franc. uses the and even diphthongizes this $\bar{c}$ to $i e$, frequently in T., thie. Other HG. variants are de Pa., dee $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$, and dhe (selbo) Is.

Accusative. IG. *to-m, Sk. tám, Gk. $\tau$ óv, Lat. is-tum, OS. then, OHG. den, in which the $e$ is derived from analogy to the other cases.

Neuter Sg. Nom., Acc. IG *to-d, Sk. tád, Gk. тó, Lat. is-lud, ON. pat, OS. that, OHG. daz. Got. pata has an adjoined particle $-a$.

Instrumental. OHG. diu $\leftarrow \mathrm{IG}$. . $t i \bar{o}$, as Got. $p \bar{e} \leftarrow \mathrm{IG}$. $t \bar{e}$. It appears behind des before comparatives (Otfrid thes thiu min), as de weakened to te with Will. and Notker, Notker des te spātör.
467 Dative. In Gothic hammèh the vowel $\bar{c}$ shows that this case must have been an Instrumental, which in IG. ended in a lengthened $\bar{e}$. Beside $-\bar{e}$ the vowel $-\bar{o}$ occurred $\rightarrow$ West Gmc. $u$ (cf. OS. themu): the $a$ (IG. o) of root varies in gradation to $e$, Got. pamma: OHG. demu. The $m m$, in OHG. simplified to $m$, derives from IG. -sm ; cf. Sk. Dat. tasmai, to which OHG. (late) demo with $0-u$ corresponds. In OHG. the earliest form is demu (dhemu) Is., B., but Pa., K., H. have already demo beside demu, and demo becomes universal in the ninth century.

Plural Masc. IG. *tai, Sk. lé (but cf. Wilmanns iii, p. $+22,7$ ). ( Gk. $\tau 0 t$, Got. faii should give OHG. thé, di , which forms are actually found in Bav. and in the Ker. Gl., but Alem. and Franc, have the diphthongized forms dich, thea, dia, this, Tatian and Otfrid thie, and after the tenth century the form die is general. Thus OHG. $\bar{c}$ coincided with Pr. Gmc. $\bar{c}$ and became ed, $i u$, $i e$, but Wilmanns (iii, §201. 7) and Franck. (Zs.fd.A.40) reject this explanation and ascribe these diphthongized forms to analogy with those of the Pron., 3 rd pers.

Accusative. IG. *tons, Gk. тoís, Got. Jans, but already in early OHG. the Nom. is used for the Acc.

The Nominative-Accusative Plural Neuter is formed from the $\checkmark^{\prime} t o+$ endings like neut. noun. A curious form, dei, is found from carliest times in UG., and is preserved until the twelfth century. It has not been explained, Wilmanns ${ }^{2}$, $\$ 201.8$.

Genilive. The pronominal termination was - sõm, which joined the stem in -oi, e. g. IG. *toisõm, Sk. tēṣam, Pr. Gmc. *haiza, OE. Jāra would be OHG. deiro, but OHG. dero has $e$ for ei from the Gen. Sg.

Dative. IG. The same stem *loi + -mis, toimis, Pr. Gmc. *paimiz, Got. paiim, OHG. dèm, in the ninth century dēn. In Alem. are found diam, dicm, dicn, with diphthong from $-\bar{e}$, which in o:her dialects appears to have been early shortened.
 would yield siu, but has taken the initial consonant from the root to: tio.

Genitive. Dative. *tesiai, Sk. tasyai, Pr. Gmc. *besai, Got. Jizái; OS. theru and OHG. deru are Instrumentals in $\overline{0}$ formed by analogy to the nominal declension.

Plural. The Nom. and Acc. have nominal inflection: IG. "tūs, Sk. lūs, Got. pōs. Beside IG. *līs there was *lī̄s, Pr. Gmc. "pioz, from which OHG. deo is derived. The older forms theo, deo, dio pass in the tenth century to die, but already in the oldest texts are often represented by the Masc. : Is. dhia, BR. dea, dia, Otfrid and Tatian thie, thia. N. always dìe.

Like er, so der shows many contractions, especially in Otfrid.
The forms ending in diphthongs, die, \&c., in the Nom. Masc. Pl., are sometimes replaced in the oldest monuments by the and de. Offrid elides final vowels before initial vowel, marking their fall by a point under the vowel to be elided, thie engila, or writing in one word, as thium for thie ium". Consonantal termination is even more drastically contracted, as themt $=$ ther imu, ther $=$ then er, theith $=$ thas ih, \&c.

After ze the article is shortened : zeru, zer, zen. Isolated is ubar iz (=daz) wazzer, Tat. 89. 4.
469 Dese, deser. This compound pronoun is specifically Gmc.: it is composed of the IG. root *to, *so + the particle *si (cf. isdem, eadem, \&c.), and appears first in NGmc.: old Norse Runic Nom. sasi, susi. Originally only the pronominal part was inflected, as pansi, pasi; then, when the sense of composition was lost, the inflection was transferred to the particle: pesér, pesses, on the lines of the Strong Adjective declension, OHG. dese, desiu, \&c. In OHG. the forms of the pronoun vary considerably according to dialect and scribe; some show the inflection of the pronoun, others that of the particle.
(a) Is., Tat., Otfrid.
M.

Sg. Nom. these, theser, O. therèr thiz
Acc. thesan, thesen thiz
Gen. theses, thesses thesses
Dat. thesemu, -o
Pl. Nom. these
Acc. these
Gen. (desero) thesero, therro
Dat. thesém, -cn

N .
thesemu, -o
thisiu, O. thisu

> F.
> thisiu, O. thisu
> thesa
> therra, -o, O. therera
> therru, -o, O. thereru
> theso

Is. has same forms with dh: dhese, dhiz, dhesiu.
(b) Notker.

| M. <br> Sg. Nom. disèr (dirro) | $\mathrm{N} .$ | F. disiu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acc. disen | diz | disa, -e |
| Gen. (dises) disses |  | dirro |
| Dat. disemo |  | dirro |
| Pl. Nom. dise | disiu | diso, -e |
| Acc. dise |  |  |
| Gen. dirro |  |  |
| Dat. disēn |  |  |

(c) UG.

Sg. Nom. deser
Acc. desan
Gen. desse, desses
Dat. desemu, -o
II. Nom. dese

Acc. dese
Gen. derero
Dat. desime

| $\stackrel{N}{N}^{\operatorname{diz}}(\operatorname{dezzi})$ | F. <br> desiu, deisu |
| :---: | :---: |
| " " | desera, |
|  | deseriu |
| disiu, desiu, | deso |

owing to levelling; by the eleventh century this process is complete, as will be seen by reference to the paradigm of Notker's forms.
Nominative. The Nom. Acc. Sing. diz, dhiz, with the affricata z 472 (not §, as proved by Isidor's spelling dhiz, not dhiss), corresponds to OS. thit (thitt), and possibly represents the ON. rune fatsi, in which $t s \rightarrow t h$, padsi $\rightarrow$ patli $\rightarrow{ }^{*}$ pctti. ${ }^{1}$ In UG. such forms as dezzi, dizzi, or disioccur sporadically. The Nominative Feminine deisu only occurs in Alem. (Sce below.)

Genitive. The regular form would be deses. In the Muspilli (10.3) is found the old form desse, showing inflection of the pronominal component only : the form desis, showing inflection of the particle only, is very infrequent in OHG.

Plural Neuter. Deisu, deiso (see dei above) are found in old UG. monuments only: hence probably the use of the same form for the Nom. Sing. Fem., as these forms are identical in the declension of $d c r$.

Ienēr (Got. jains) $\left(\leftarrow^{*}\right.$ io + Suffix -no), UG. cnēr, is virtually only used by Otfrid and Notker. It is inflected as a strong adjective.

Selb = ipse, Gmc. ${ }^{*}$ siltō, Got. silba, shows both strong and weak inflection in OHG. : selber, $a_{3}$, iu; after the article it is equivalent to Lat. ideml and has exclusively weak inflection, e. g. der selbo. O. selbo, uninflected form.
Samo. IG. ${ }^{*}$ somo, Got. sama, is only preserved in a few traces found in the Ker. Gl.: den samun, daz sama, der selpo samo.

The root hi (IG. ki, Lat. cis, \&c.) is not extant as an independent 473 one in OHG. It is preserved in such words as hiutu, hiurru, hiar, hina, the old superlative hitamum, and probably in her for or (cf. above).

Pr. Gmc. had formed the comparative pronoun *scualik=Lat. talis (Got. swaleciks), which was preserved as solih in OHG. The noun lik lost its secondary accent, $k \rightarrow h / h$, then was simplified to $h$, and in Alem. often fell entirely. $i$ was shortened to $i$ (weakened to $c$ and also fell). OHG. sulih in Tatian and Isidor generally, but UG. solih. Secondary forms are solihcher, suliches, solehes, solies, solemo.

OHG. had no relative pronoun: the functions of the relative were performed by the demonstrative $d e r, d a z d i u$.
${ }^{1}$ But US. thit and OHG. diz correspond in pointing to a l'ronominal form $10-1$ in conjunction with a particle beginning with $d$ or a consonant. Cl. Cik. üठs, i, $\delta \varepsilon$, тü̃e.

## Interrogatives.

474 The only substantival interrogative is hwer, hwaz, which has no special form for the feminine.

| IG. | Sk. | Lat. | Got. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k^{v}, k^{v} \bar{a}$ | kás $(k \bar{a})$, kád | quod | has |
| $k^{v} i$ | cid | quid | hi (leiks) |

OHG. hwer with $e$ in analogy to the pronoun er:

| $\quad$ M. | N. | M. | N. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| N. hwer | hwaz | wer | zvaz |
| A. hwenan | $"$ | wenan, wen | $"$ |
| G. hwes |  | wes |  |
| D. hweemu |  | wemo |  |
| I. | hwiu |  | viu, wuo |

For the Nominative Masculine Tatian has once wie, cf. thie for ther. In Otfrid occasional contraction takes place: uueih = unaz ih; uneist $=$ unaz ist, cf. theist for thaz ist, \&c.

Accusative. The normal form is hwenan: Tatian has twice uuen, but this form only prevails in the tenth century; cf. inan, in. The old instrumental of the interrogative wuo is used adverbially by Tatian by the side of unio, but generally uuēo, uuio, Got. Wáiza, is used in this function. Hzviu, wiu is a recent formation in analogy to thiu.

Tatian, 59. 3, twice writes unie (cf. OS. hwie), altered into uuer, and his dative plural uuen for zeven $(93.2)$ is probably due to the influence of the Lat. original : a quibus.

Adjectival interrogatives are (h)wedar $=$ uter, (h)welih $=$ quis, and huneolih, uniokih $=$ qualis. Hwelīh $=$ Pr. Gmc. "xwalik, not $=$ Got. wileiks.

The same process took place with hroelih as with solih, to which it forms the correlative, thus zwelihhèr, zeelihèr, welehēr, zwelhēr, or Alem. zucleèr, zuclèr. From these latter forms a stem zuel or wol was deduced, which was then inflected welèr, -iul, -aŋ, \&c.; cf. PBB. ii. I 35.

## ADJECTIVES

475 The declension of adjectives in Gmc. differs in two important particulars from that of the parent IG., and from that of all other IG. languages-
(1) By adopting in a large number of cases pronominal for nominal inflections.
(2) By the creation of a new system of inflection.

The lG. nominal declension had always shown some tendency to be affected by the ending of the demonstrative pronoun (cf. Sk. kīmēna, kīntanya, Lat. -àrum, -èr rum, \&c.), but Gmc. was the first to adopt the pronominal endings to any great extent, and to differentiate in this way adjective from noun.

The pronominal inflections first spread to adj. $\breve{0}$-, $\bar{a}$-stems, which, like the pronoun, clearly marked the three genders, and this was facilitated by the fact that the two methods of declension coincided in some cases.

The mixture of forms in Gothic is already strongly marked [in the neuter sing. nom. and acc. the alternative forms exist side by side], but is carried even further in the later dialects (see accompanying tables).

The mixture of nominal and pronominal forms is perhaps most satisfactorily seen by comparing the actual paradigms. In each case the adjective is in the central column.

Singular.
Gothic.

| Noun. <br> lac <br> tinc | giba <br> giba <br> gibōs <br> gibái | blinda <br> blinda <br> blindáizōs <br> blindái <br> OHC . | sij <br> $p \bar{o}$ pizōs pizáa | Pronoun. $d a z$ daz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Masculine. |  | Neuter. |  |
|  | Adjective. blint, blintēr blintan | Pronoun. Noun. <br> der <br> den <br> zeort  <br> zeort  | Adjective. blint, blintaz blint, blintaz |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tages } \\ & \text { tage } \end{aligned}$ | blintes <br> blintemu | des demu |  |
|  | geba <br> geba <br> gebar <br> geliut | Feminine. <br> blintiu <br> blinta <br> blintera <br> blinteru | diu <br> dia <br> dera <br> deru |  |
| 1167 |  | 0 |  |  |

Masculine.
Noun. Adjective. Pronoun. Noun. Adjective. Pronoun. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { dags } & \text { blinds } & \text { sa } \\ \text { dag } & \text { blindana } & \text { pana }\end{array}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dagis } \\
& \text { daga }
\end{aligned}
$$

OHG .
Neuter.
waúrd blind, blindala pata zecuird blind, blindata pata

## pis

pamma

| blindis | pis |
| :---: | :---: |
| blindamma | pamma |

Feminine.

Noun. Adjective. Pronoun. lac blint, blintēr tic blintan
der
den

Plural.
Gothic.

| Noun. dagōs dagans | Masculine. <br> Adjective. blindái blindans | Pronoun <br> pái <br> pans | Noun. zaaúr da zaúrda | Neuter. <br> Adjective. <br> blinda <br> blinda | Pronoun. ${ }^{\beta} \overline{0}$ pō |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dagē } \\ & \text { dagam } \end{aligned}$ | blindáizé blindáim |  | bizè <br> páim |  |
|  | gibōs <br> gibōs <br> gibō <br> gibōm |  | ine. | pōs <br> pōs <br> bizō <br> páim |  |
| Noun. taga taga | Masculine. | OHG. |  | Neuter. |  |
|  | Adjective. blinte blinte | Pronoun. (dia) $d \bar{e}$ (dia) dĭ | Noun. wort wort | Adjective. blintiu blintiu | Pronoun. diu diu |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tago } \\ & \text { tagum } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dero } \\ & \text { dèm } \end{aligned}$ |  |

Feminine.

| gebā | blinto | dio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gebā | blinto | dio |
| gebōno | blintero | dero |
| gebōm | blintēm | dēm |

477 In Gmc. the consonant adjectival stems perished, and of the vowelstems only $a$-, $i$-, $u$-stems were left, which virtually all adopted the inflectional system of the $a$-stems (the old $i$ - and $u$-stems becoming in Gmc. Masc. $i a$-, Fem. $i \bar{i}$-stems). In addition to these $a$-stems, which retained the whole system of their original inflection, a secondary adjectival form arose through extending the root by means of the suffix $-n$ : $-e n$ : -on, and thus forming an $n$-stem with a substantival, or rather individualizing, meaning when not actually in apposition to a noun. As Gk. $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta$ ós $=$ squinting, $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta \omega v=$ the man who squints, or Latin Catus $=$ sly, Cato -önis $=$ the sly fellow, so Gmc. *blindaz = blind, but $\bar{\sigma}$ lin $\overline{0}=$ the blind one. This definitive sense of the adjective led to its being most often used with the Demonstrative (Got. manna sa blinda $=$ the man, the blind one $=$ the blind man, cf. $p u$ is sumus meins, sa liuba), and the distinction grew up between the adjective form in $-s$ (declined
as a-stem) and that in $-n$ (as $n$-stem), that the latter was always used as marking a special individual with the definite article, while the former, being quite general in application, stood with the substantive without the article. This distinction again rendered necessary the formation of Feminine and Neuter forms for these new adjectives in $-n$, and, as this $n$-stem Masc. adj. was identical with the $n$-stem noun, so for the corresponding feminine and neuter adjective were adopted the endings of the $n$ - nominal stems.

It will in the ordinary adjectival declension (Strong) be noted that 478 OHG. alone among Gmc. dialects has spread the pronominal form over the Nom. sing. Masculine and Feminine and Neuter, in which OE. and OS. retain the nominal endings. The old nominal inflection remained in use especially predicatively: thus there are in OHG. apparently three inflectional systems for the Nominative case Masculine and Neuter :-
(a) The nominal, usually miscalled the uninflected form. ${ }^{1}$
(b) The strong, usually without accompanying pronoun and used as attribute or predicate.
(c) 'The weak, usually used after a demonstrative pronoun.

## The Strong Adjectival Declension,

The strong declension of adjective is divided into pure $a$-, $\bar{b}$-stems, 479 $j a-j \overline{0}$-stems, and $w a-, w \overline{0}$-stems, as are the nouns, but there is no difference in their inflection except in the nominal, i. e. the flectionless form. This in the case of $j a-$, $j \bar{o}$-stems ends in $i$, e.g. festi, hreini: in the case of $w a-, w^{0}-$ stems it ends in -0 after a short vowel or a consonant, cf. fulo, garo, frao, and after a long vowel the final -o falls already in the ninth century, cf. gric$, b l \bar{c}, \& c . ;$ see 189.
$a$-stems. Nom. singular Masculine and Neuter. The -e of the 480 pronominal termination -èr was long, for which a satisfactory explanation has not yet been found (cf. Wilmanns ${ }^{2}, \S 427$ ); this is proved by double spelling and by Notker's accentuation ; see $P B B$. ii. 98 and viii. 127. In Bavarian (and once in Tatian leobar) this eer is weakened to -ar, but otherwise it is universal. In the tenth century $-a z$ of the Neuter became $e_{z}(i z)$, about the same time that the weakening begins of $-a n$ to $-e n$ in the Acc.

The Genitive in es has in late Bavarian a variant in -as, corresponding to the Gen. of the substantive (above). The Dative singular was originally -amu, -amo, which is found in the UG. texts of the eighth century by the side of -emu, -emo: from the ninth century on -emu is

[^8]the form generally in use, until Notker, who elides the $e$ after $l, r$ in polysyllabic words, e. g. luzzelmo, andermo.
481 Feminine. In the Nominative singular UG.diverges from Franconian, in that UG. preserves the diphthong iu (Notker places the accent on the $i=i u$ ), while Franconian at an carly date converts $i u$ into $u$ (the $i$ in this $i u$ was not here syllabic but $=j$, and falls thus according to rule). With Tatian the forms in $u$ are the more numerous, while Otfrid rarely has any other (see Kelle, 271, 273) ; see $P B B$. ii. 165 .

The case termination -iu should cause $i$-mutation of the preceding vowel; but this is only regularly the case in one word, al, in Franconian : elliu, ellu is regular with Isidor, Tatian, and Otfrid, while UG. nearly always has alliu. Other instances are rare-endriu occurs in Merigarto, 2. 20. Cases occur sporadically of a feminine singular accusative in $-\rho$ in place of $-a$, e. g. alle, sine; these are found in the older MSS., apparently in analogy to the $j \overline{0}$-stems.
482 Plural. The nominative masculine is often written with $-a$ in later Bavarian, and occasionally so in Franc. and Alem.; cf. K. andhra, Is. mīna, dhīna.

Notker uses the Masculine form for the Feminine (blinde is with him Nominative and Accusative plural Masculine and Feminine), as he does with the weak adjective declension (486). The Nominative plural Neuter ending in $-i u$ is treated in identically the same manner as the Nominative singular Feminine in -iu, e. g. Alem. -iu, Franc, chiefly -u. Variations of the Dative Plural (normally èm, èn) occur in late Bavarian monuments, but also once in Tatian, 89. I, and in the Lw. : minan l. 23, sinan 1. 43 .
$483 j \bar{j}$-stems. Of these it has been said above that they differ from the pure $a$ - and $\bar{o}$-stems only in the flectionless form, which with them ends in $-i$, whereas the former always have a consonant ending. Only in the oldest texts, and then rarely, do forms occur which show the older formation in the oblique cases with $-j,-i,-e$ before the inflection: $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$ kaumantian, farlikantian, O. redie for redi.

Words with short root-vowel show the original presence of $j$-suffix by gemination-and where possible $i$-mutation: such forms as mitti, luggi, nuzzi point unmistakably to an original *midjiz, Got. midjis, \&c. Gemination after a long syllable exists in Alem. (occasionally with $-n$ and $-t$, cf. (h)reimnan, spāttiut), and is frequent in UG. with $-r$ : thus māri, mārrer, mārriu, mārraz, \&c.
484 The wa-, wo-stems are few in number. It was stated that in the flectionless forms they end in -0 , but otherwise coincide with the
strong adjective blint. I distinction, however, should be made between (a) those in which a consonant or short vowel precedes the $w$, and (b) those in which a long vowel precedes.
a. au-, zew-stems, with a consonant preceding the $w$, usually develop a secondary vowel $a, i, o$ before the $z w$ : e. g. garo, garacir or garazier, mare, maraes or maraze's; such words are: falo, kalo, salo, cle, zeso.
b. Those with preceding rowel lose the o alrcady in the ninth century if the vowel be long, and contract the root-rowel and $o$ to $\bar{o}$ in the uninflected cases if it be short, e. g. grrīo, blāo, līo, slēo become grrī, blī̈, slē, lī, whereas frao, rao, fao become frō, rō, fö. In the inflected forms both retain the $w$ : bläwes, frawes.

Often the contracted uninflected form was taken to be the stem and to it was added a strong inflection: frōèr, frōun, frōaz. In fö there is alternation between $h$ and $w$, föhèr, föhem beside fouuér: this is not grammatic change, but merely a glide or sign of the division of syllables.

Glou had -we from Pr. Gmc., Pr. Gmc. avz $\rightarrow a u \rightarrow o u$ (cf. Got. glaggzio), and thus never had a final -o in the uninflected form (above, (b)). It inflects regularly, glomuer, \&cc. Curiously in Otfrid the -au has not become -ou: iv. 7.9, glauue; v. 23. I5, glaucn; see Kögel $P B B$. vii, 167 and ix. 526.

## The Weak Adjectival Declension.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Masc. } \\ \text { ․ blinda } \end{gathered}$ | Sing. <br> Fem. <br> blindio | Neut. blindō | Masc. blindans | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plural. } \\ & \text { Fim. } \\ & \text { blindōns } \end{aligned}$ | Neut. 485 <br> blindōnı |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. blindan | blindōn | blindō |  |  |  |
| G. blindins | blindōns | blindins | blindance $^{\text {a }}$ | blindōnō | blindānē |
| D. blindin | blindōn | blindin | blindam | blindōm | blindam |
| OHG. |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. blinto | blinla | blinla | blinton, - 141 | blintūn | blintun, |
| A. blinton, -un | blintūn | " | ," | " | ," |
| G. blinten, -in | blintün | blinten, -in | blintōno | blintôno | blintôno |
| D. :" | " | " | blintōm, -ön | blintôm, -ōn | $\begin{gathered} \text { blintōn, } \\ -\bar{o} n \end{gathered}$ |

Generally speaking, the inflectional system is identical with that of 486 the $n$-stem nouns, only in certain texts discrepancies have arisen: Offrid, for instance, makes the Nominative and Accusative Masculine

Plural of the noun end in -on, but that of the adjective in $-u n$ : and only once uses the form -ōno for the Genitive Pl . Tatian also seems inclined to the -un form. Notker, on the other hand, as in the other instances (482), uses the Masculine ending on for the Feminine also, and it is noteworthy that for the Dative plural he invariably writes the strong adjective inflection -èn in place of the weak -ōn: thus blintèn ( $P B B$. ii. $3^{6}$ ). The $j a$ - and $w a$ - $n$-stems inflect regularly as above, only occasionally showing traces of the original $j$ as $-e$ and $-i$ : Wess. māreo; Is. nerrendeo, waldendeo; M. festeòm.

## Participles.

487 In OHG. both participles, the Present ending in -enti as well as the Perfect ending in -an or $-t$, may show the triple declension like other adjectives: (1) The nominal (uninflected).
(2) The strong.
(3) The weak.

The Present Participle in -enti is treated as a $j a$-stem (479), and thus has its Nominative in $-i$ for the so-called uninflected form nemanti. The inflected forms nemanter-az-iu have no trace of the $-j$. The Past Participle of both graded and non-graded verbs is inflected exactly like blint, strong and weak.

It should be noted that, in place of the ending in -an in inflected forms, Franconian texts often allow the ending to be coloured to -en, -in, -on by neighbouring sounds: thus O. einboronon, giscribene, gihaltenera, giborgenero, eiginaz, tigenen, \&c. In UG. the ending -an is consistent. See PBB. vi. 239.

## Comparison of Adjectives.

488 IG. marked the comparative and superlative degrees by means of various suffixes which were added direct to the root of the adjective, and not to the positive stem.
(a) The comparative was formed by the addition of the suffix -iös and -ieॅs to the root, and the superlative by the addition of -isto (i. e. the


This suffix appears in the lengthened degree as -iös (cf. maiör $\leftarrow$ *maioss), and in the reduced degree (which in Gmc. is the general one) as $-i z$ (Got. $-i s$, OHG. $-i r$ ); cf. magis. If a vowel preceded, the $-i$ of the suffix formed with it a diphthong; of. root *plè, Gk. $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \nu$, $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau o s$.

To the comparative stem $-i z \mathrm{Gmc}$. added the formative suffix $-n:-e n$ : $-o n$ (cf. Gk. $\dot{\eta} \delta i \omega \nu \longleftarrow{ }^{*} \dot{\eta} \delta i \sigma \omega \nu$ ); and thus the total stem of the Gmc.
comparative became -isin and was declined like any cther $n$-stem in Gmc., as Got. managiza, Gen. managizins, \&\&c.; alpeis, comp. alpiza, Gen, alpisins, while the superlative stem-suffix -isto was treated like an ordinary adjective and subject to double inflection as in Got. háuhistshiuhisisa. OHIG., however, adopts exclusively the $n$-stem declension for the superlative : hīhiste, as for the comparative.

Beside the suffix -iz, -is/u Gmc. used also a parallel formation, -ozz, -isto, to mark the degree of comparison ; e. g. Got. swimps, swinjöza, OHG. hēhiro, hioheisto, beside hīhiro, \&c. This form was in Gothic and OHG. chiefly confined to the $a$-stems. The form is due to the existence in the parent IG. language of many adjectives with a -jo suffix in the positive: when these formed their comparative with the ${ }_{i}{ }^{i / s} s$ suffix (cf. Lat. junier $c m$ ) the $i$ was not felt to be part of the comp. suftix, but was taken to be the $i$ of the positive ; e. g. in IG. *nen-iōs, comp. nuw-iös, - $\bar{o} n$, the $\underset{i}{i}$ seemed to belong to the first component, as if ncui - $\overline{i s}-\bar{o} n$, thus the suffix $-\bar{o} z$ became accepted as comparative suffix, and to it in analogy with -isto was formed -östo.

This new formation was no doubt helped considerably by the fact that a large class of adverbs in $-\bar{o}$ existed with which the comparative ending - $\bar{o}$ agreed, but it is not possible yet to prove, as some have assumed, that the comparative in - $\bar{o}$ arose from the comparative of these adverbs. See $P B B$. xvii. $55^{2}$.
(b) The IG. suffix -cro or -tero (cf. Gk. $\pi a \lambda a i-\tau \epsilon \rho o s)$ did not live on into OHG. productively, though such forms as aftar, innaro, ūzaro, fordaro, \&c., preserve it. In OHG. it appears only in adverbial forms, and perhaps in the Possessive Pronoun unsar, \&cc. (464).
(c) The IG. suffixes -amo and tamo (cf. Lat. ultimus) have perished 489 without leaving any traces except OE. forma, OS. forma, Got. fr-uma, inn-uma, af-tuma. The formation of double superlatives such as aftumists is a proof that the superlative force of -ma was no longer felt.

In OHG. the only living mode of comparison is that with suffixes -iro, -isto; -öro, -ōsto. While in the older language the forms in -öro, -osio were mainly confined to the $a$-stems, OHG. knew no such distinction. Many adjectives have, especially the $a$-stems, double forms, as $h o ̄ h, ~ h o h i s i s t o,-\bar{s} t o, ~ \& c$. ; the $j a$-stems almost exclusively have forms in $-i$, eng, engiro, engisto, while compound words and adjectives composed with a derivative suffix always have -ōro, -ōsto: salig, saligōro, saligōsto.

Dialectal peculiarities are early manifested, as Otfrid's liaber for the $\mathbf{4 9 0}$ more general liobīr, and not infrequently the comparative and superlative are formed with different vowels: e.g. the comp. altiro is
universal, but for the superlative UG. has altist, Tatian altōsto. Mutation caused by the $-i$ is checked by the usual consonant combinations, and in UG. by combinations with $-r$, armiro, altiro, while in Franc. in the latter case it takes place: eldirōn (279). In Franc. often there is found in place of -iro the weakened form -ero, especially in Otfrid, but in UG. the eero does not prevail until the times of Notker. Otfrid also has forms in -ere, -ara, -oro (afteren, ziarara, \&c.), but always under the influence of a following vowel, viz. always due to vowel harmony. [Paul explains these vowels $c, a, 0$ as secondary developments before $r$.]

To jung, comp. jungiro, is also found a second form jūgiro (BR.once, Tat. three times), which must represent an older Pr. Gmc. *jun $\chi^{i z \bar{o}}$ $\rightarrow j \bar{u} \chi^{i z \overline{0}}$, Got. jūhiza. Tatian's $g$ instead of $h$ must be analogical.
491 To syncope is due the form of the substantival hērro. Jungöro (Otfrid) is not a comp. in - $\bar{o} r$, but probably another case of syncope ( $\leftarrow$ disyllabic *jungro) with secondary vowel metri gratia. Possibly in both cases the substantival use would account for the shortening. Other cases are ērrōn (Is.) for éririn, and althröm, Gl. i. 96. $16=$ alteròm, Pa. ; cf. OS. herro, jungro, aldrom, \&c., PBB. vi. 154.

In OHG., as in OE. and ON., both the comparative and superlative have only weak inflection (whereas Got. superlative could have both strong and weak): lengiro m., lengira f., gen. lengirin, -ïn, lengisto, $-a, \& c$.

Whatever exceptions are found are in Otfrid, ii, 6.45 : bezircmo dat. pl., rehterën, suazerēn, mërēn, jungistemo, ér isteru, furistën. There is one example of the so-called uninflected form of superlative in Musp. 22, Satanas altist.

Sporadically (in Pa., K., Ra, O.) forms ending in -a for Nom. Sg. Nasc. are found both of comparative and superlative : furira, furista. This is the neuter form used for the masc.

## Irregular Comparison.

492 As in all IG. languages, so in OHG. some adjectives are defective, i. e. have no regular comparative and superlative, but supply these forms from other roots.

Got.

| (a)gōps batiza <br> ubils rárısiza | - |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| mikils | máaza | máists | litits minniza minnists


(b) To adverbial positives the following adjectival comparative forms have been brought into use :-

| after | afloo, -ero, -aro | aftererosto, a fisto |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ir -iro | criro, -oro, ecro | eristo |
| furi | furiro | furisto (fursto) |
| forna | fordre, -dero, -dira | ford(a)risto, fordiristo |
| hintar | hintero, hintaro | hinteresto |
| alar | abaro, -oro | abercisto |
| untar | untiro | untarōsto, unterōsto |
| "is | иぇ¢ | "̈z.e)rōsto, üzarōsto |

The comparative forms were clearly not felt to be such after a time, for in UG. a number of comparatives existed with an extended suffix -öro, thus: aftröro, crröro, for (e)röro, furiröro, hint(e)röro, innaröro, oberiro, underoro; whereas nidari had become purely positive with strong forms nidarèr, $-a z$, and a comparative nidaröro, superlative nidarōsto.

Sidero $=$ the late one, adj. to sid, stands entirely alone, as does intristo $=$ the last, superlative to chtiro and cnti (Olfrid, i. 3.7 ․ 8. 5 5 ).

## CHAPTER VII

## NUMERALS

493 The IG. system of counting was the decimal system: this was in prehistoric times combined with the Babylonian sexagesimal system, which has left traces of its presence in Gmc. languages down to the present day. These are :-
(I) The special form of word adopted for II and $\mathbf{1 2}$.
(2) The check made in the tens at 60 .
(3) The continuance of counting by tens up to $\mathbf{1 2 0}$. Cf. ON. tólfroär $=120$, Mod. Germ. Grosshundert. (It was this counting by tens up to $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ which necessitated the adoption in Gmc. of separate units for II and 12 in place of the compounds $1+10,2+10$, as in Latin undecim, \&c.)

## The Cardinal Numbers.

494 (1) The numbers i, 2, 3 are regularly inflected and distinguish a masculine and feminine form. Ein as a numeral has strong adjectival inflection, but generally remains uninflected in the Nom. Sing. and Acc. Sing. neut. ; cases of the inflected form are rare : einaz, skif T., einaz, hūs O., einēr, ciniu, einaz, or ein, ein, ein. Already in OHG. ein is used as indefinite article, but not at all frequently: in such cases it can be used in the plural, also with a plural noun: in einen buachōn, fon einèn ostorōn, zi cinēn gihūgtin.
(2) Zwēne inflects:

| Masc. | Neut. | Fem. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zwēne | $z w e i$ | $z w \bar{a}, z w o ̄$ |
| zweio |  |  |
| zwein, -ein |  |  |

The Nom.-Acc. Fem. is in some UG. glosses $z u \overline{0}, z w \overline{0}, z \bar{o}$. Isidor has twice as Gen. zweiio, Tatian zweiero. (For quei in Ker. Gl. cf. 148 .)
(3) Drī inflects regularly, drī, drīo, driu, Gen. drīo, Dat. drim, drin, but the influence of the strong adj. declension is shown in the Nom.Acc. Masc. of late OHG. drie (Isidor once dhrie), and in the Gen. driero (cf. zweiero). Otfrid has a form Acc. Fem. thrīu beside thrio,
and Notker，as usual，does not distinguish between masculine and feminine．
（4）The numerals from 4 to 14 are either－
（a）uninflected when used adjectivally before a noun，or
（b）inflected when used substantivally and after a noun．
In the latter case they are treated as $i$－stems，while the neuter ends in $i u, u$ as with the strong adjectives，e．g．masculine and feminine fiori， fieriu（Franc．fieru），Dat．fiorim，－in，Gen．fioreo，fioro．

Otfrid writes once siban in place of sibun，miunan for miun，and Notker often zeen，zen．It should be also noted that in sibun and zchan the final syllable is often assimilated to the inflectional ending ：sibini， zchiniu．

Isidor＇s schse appears to be formed according to the adj．decl．，as elsewhere schsi is found．Of ahto the Nom．ahtowi occurs in Gl．i． 742.62 ，and a Dat．ahlowen is found in N．
（5）The numbers $\mathbf{1 3}^{-19}$ are formed by prefixing the respective unit 10 zchan，e．g．drizehan，fiorzchan，\＆c．Drizehan may be inflected in both numbers，viz．N．drinz zemin，the others only in－zihan．
（6）From 20 to 100 the tens are，in the ninth century，formed by 496 composition with－zug（Got．tigus）：zweinzug，drizzzug（and drizsug）， \＆c．；but from 70 to 100 the older language（Mons．and Gl．）used com－ pounds with－zo：sibunzo，ahtozo，＊niunzo，＊zehanzo，which no doubt were originally continued to $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ ：＊einlifzo，＊zwelifzo．The compounds with $-z u g$ and $-z o$ are indeclinable，and are used as substantives（as $z u g=$ decade $)$ with the genitive，feorzuc wehhono，but adj．use is rare： Tatian，however，has zweinzug thusuntin．－zug is often found as－zog， also as－zec，－zech，－zig before Notker＇s time．

Drizzug is usually found with the spirant $弓 弓$ ，not the fricative $z$ ．The 497 reason is perhaps that the word was not felt to be a compound of＊pri and＊liguz，and the $t$ was therefore shifted to $3 弓$ as if intervocal and not initial．The fricative is found sporadically by analogy to zzucinzug，\＆c．
（7）For 100 the older language has only the forms zehanzo，zehanzug， 498 and more than 100 can be expressed by multiplication，e．g． 500 finf stuntcenzig， 200 zwiro zehanzug ：usually，however，200－900 are ex－ pressed by hunt，used as a neuter substantive，e．g．zwei hunt phendingo． Hundert，hunder only enter the language in the twelfth century through LG．
（8）Düsunt，tūsent is originally a feminine substantive，and is generally treated as such，but since dūsunt is used uninflected，even in the plural and oblique cases－manago thüsunt O．v． 23.223 ，thüsunt filu managa（for 0 ）iv．17．17，Kelle 313，N．driu tüsent－it is also treated as
a neuter. On the other hand Tatian uses a plural form after the $o$ declension, zwā thūsunta, and a Dative thüsuntin, where O. and Will. write thüsanton, -un. The noun after dūsent stands in the Gen. when düsent is in the Nom. or Acc., but when in the Gen. or Dat. dūsent is used attributively: zehen thūsuntā ta lentöno, butfiar thüsuntōn mannön.
(9) Units were joined to tens by inti: drizug inti ahto. When the unit comes next the noun the latter is in apposition; if, however, the ten comes next the noun the latter must be in the Genitive: drizug inti ahto iār but iārō drizug inti ahto. Occasionally 8 and 9 are expressed by subtraction : cines min dhanne fimfzuc iaar $\bar{o}=$ by one less than 50 , and Otfrid is fond of multiplication: zwiro sehs iärō $=12$, cinlif stundon sibini $=77$, thria stuntōn finfzug outh thrī $=153$.

## Ordinal Numbers.

499 The ordinals 'first, second, third' are not formed from their respective cardinal numbers. 'First' is expressed by the old superlatives éristo and furisto. 'Second' is given by ander with strong adjectival inflection until Notker, when it acquires also weak inflection. 'Third' $\leftarrow$ *pri + -lio, tertius, Got. pridja, OE. đridda, OS. thriddio, OHG. drillo.

The remainder are all formed as superlatives with -ōslo: fiorzugōsto, \&c.

## Other Numerals.

500 (1) Distributives. In OHG, there are but few instances : cinluzze $=$ singuli, zeviske $=$ bini, driske $=$ terni, feoriske $=$ quaterni are formed with the suffix *ko. In Sing. they have not actually distributive meaning, but rather multiplicative : einazëm, einzën, io zwène inti zwēne, unless perhaps N. Lib. i. 41 únder zuísken is distributive in force.
(2) Multiplicative numeral adjectives are formed with the suffix -falt: this can be added to any cardinal number: zwifalt, drifalt, \&c.; and then again are often extended by the suffixes -lih, -ig, -icīh.

Traces of other formations are left in cinlih; viorzuhlih $=$ quadragenarius; zwinal, zwiniling, gazwinetī = geminus; fioring = quaternio; zehaning, \&c.
501 (3) Multiplicative numeral adverbs. These are usually formed with stunt, an adverbial form to stunta, which follows the adjectival cardinal numbers: driöstunt, viorstunt, zēn(zehen)stunt, \&c. Beside these there is also cines (Notker cinest) zzeiro $=$ bis, zwirōr (Old Bav.), zzviron (Tat.), which Notker makes into zzeiront, driror (H.). Instead of
stunt also hatarb is used : silun zearb = septies, žír zecrba, Nerig.; spurt $=$ stadium is also found: drim spurtim (M.) = ter.

Note.-In the ninth century the dative plural is used adverbially; sìhs stuntöm $=$ sexies, H. sibun stundön $=$ septies; Is. cinlif stuntön. Ot. Gl. ii. 289 has $\begin{gathered}\text { riorsihan stunt } \bar{\imath}=q u a t e r ~ d e c i e s, ~ a n d ~ \\ \mathrm{O}\end{gathered}$ thria stunt $\bar{a}$ zucine $=6(\mathrm{i} .5 .2)$.
(4) 'For the first time' $=\bar{e} r i s t$, ' for the second time' $=$ andera stunt, Notker anderést; ' for the third time' = thrittūn stunt.
(5) Fractions are rendered with teil : ein teil, der halbo teil, \&c., zwène whtotcile. Of old fractional terms has survived fiordung = quadrans.

## ADVERBS

## (a) Formation.

(1) Adverbs were formed from Adjectives and Participles by the $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ addition of $-\bar{o}$ to the root.

The $j$ - of the $j$-stems fell before this $\bar{j}$, so that no trace of mutation is found: e. g. snell-o. cban-o, ubil-o, ang-o to eng-i, fasto to festi, samfio to semfti, ìlonto.

Of the wa-stems only one, garo, tormed an adverb, which is garwo or garo. Guot has wela, wola as adverb.

OHG. has a number of adverbial forms of adjectives compounded with - Tih. Presumably these were originally adjectives in -lih corresponding to all the adverbs in - $\sqrt{c} c h o$, but in many cases the adverb alone is extant: e. g. gernlicho, garaticho, and there are no adjectival forms in -lih.
(2) Often special cases of the adjective are used as adverbs:
a. The Accusative singular neuter : méra, meista, filu, mihhil, gimuog, luzzil.
b. The Genitive singular neuter: alles, rehtes, wes, gähes, frammortes, eimin.
c. The Accusative singular feminine of the weak declension: gāhūun, nāhun: forms in -inga, as italingūn, gāhingūn.
d. The Accusative singular masculine of the weak declension : noton, follon, gimuagon.
e. The Dative plural : cinazēn, luzīgēm (= paulatim), smalihhēm.
(3) Pronominal adverbial forms (locative).
a. Rest. $\quad-r$ : hiar, dār, wār (sār), cf. Gk. $\delta \in \hat{\epsilon}-\rho o$.
b. Direction. -ra: hera, dara.
-rot: herot, darot.
-wert, ort: anazeert, frammort.
c. Motion from. -na: Notker -nnān: hina, hinana, hinna, hinnān.
d. Time. -nne: danne, denne, wanne. so, sus (h)uuēo, sama.
danta, therefore, (h)uuanta, wherefore.

## (b) Comparison of Adverbs.

504 The comparative form of all adverbs is formed with $\bar{o} r$, whether the corresponding adjective has -ir or -ör: e. g. reini, reiniro, adv. reinōr; festi, festiro, adv. fastōr ; angör, \&c. (the other adverbs show an $r$ from analogy with adjectives where Pr. Gmc. $z$ was not final). In the superlative the form -ost largely predominates, though forms in -ist also occur: langōst, fastōst, \&c., but érist, nāhist, jungist, and a few others.

Irregular forms are: baъ, bezzist; wir's, wirsist; mèr, meisl; min, minnist.

In W. Gmc. min, baそ, wirr (Got. mins, batis, wairs), Pr. Gmc. *minniz, batiz, wirsiz, the $-i z$ has fallen (131), as also in $\overline{e r}$, sid, hall, which in early OHG. have no comparative and superlative. In later days $\bar{e} r$, sid develop new forms, $\bar{e} r o ̄ r, ~ e ̀ r i s t, ~ a n d ~ s i d \bar{o} r . ~$

In mèr , Got. máis, the $-r$ has been retained as in the pronouns mir, \&c., $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{2}$.

## TABLE OF OHG. VERBS

GRADED VERBS

I. a. With Pret. vowel ei.

505

| bitan | beit | bitum | gi-bitan | to wait |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| biรan | beiz | bizzum | -bizzan | to bite |
| blichan | bleich | blichum | -blichan | to shine |
| fligan | $\mathrm{fliz}^{\text {a }}$ | flizzum | -fiz\%an | to occupy oneself |
| glisan | gleiz | gliz丂um | -glizzan | to shine |
| gnilan | gneit | gnitum | -gnitan | to rub |
| grīfan | greif | sriffum | -griffan | to seize |
| grinlan | grein | grinum | -grinan | to grin |
| (h)nigan | (h)neig | (h)nigum | -hnigan | to bend |
| (h)rinan | (h)rein | (h)rimum | -hrinan | to touch |
| kinan | kein | kinum | -kinan | to germinate |
| kliban | kleib | klibum | -kliban | to adhere |
| Tiban | leib | libum | -liban | to spare |
| lidan | leid | litum | -lidan | to suffer |
| midan | meid | mitum | -mitan | to avoid |
| nìdan | (neid) | (nidum) | -(nidan) | to envy |
| riban | reib | ribum | -riban | to rub |
| (gi)-richan | -reich | -richum | -richan | to rule |
| ridan | reid | ridum | -ridan | to turn |
| riman | reim | rimum | -riman | to obtain |
| rīsan | reis | rirum | -riran | to rise |
| ritan | reit | ritum | -ritan | to ride |
| rigan | reiz | rizzum | -rizzan | to tear |
| scinan | scein | scinum | -scinan | to shine |
| scrian | screi | scrirum | -scriran | to cry |
| scriban | screib | scribum | -scriban | to write |
| sigan | seig | sigum | -sigan | to $\operatorname{sink}$ |
| stīchan | sleich | slichum | -slichan | to creep |
| slifan | sleif | sliffum | -sliffan | to slip |
| sligan | sleiz | sliz̧um | -slizzan | to cleave |
| smizan | smeiz | smizzum | -smizzan | to throw |
| snidan | sneid | snitum | -snitan | to cut |
| stigan | steig | stigum | -stigan | to climb |
| strichan | streich | strichum | -strichan | to stroke |
| stritan | streit | stritum | -stritan | to dispute |


| swichan | sweich | swichum | gi-swichan | to desert |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| swinan | swein | swinum | -swinan | to diminish |
| trīban | treib | tribum | -triban | to drive |
| zeichan | weich | wichum | -wichan | to yield |
| wīsan | weis | wisum | -wisan | to avoid |
| wizan | weiz | wizzum | -wizzan | to reprove |

## b. With Pret. vowel ē.

| dihan | dèh | digum | gi-digan | to thrive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lihan | lêh | liveum | -livan (h) | to lend |
| rihan | $r e \bar{h}$ | rihum | -rihan | to arrange |
| sihan | sēh | sigum (w) | $-\operatorname{sigan}(h, w)$ | to sift |
| spizean | spè (o) | spi(w)um | -spizvan | to spit |
| tihan | teh | tihum | -tihan | to attempt |
| wihan (g) | (wêh) | (wigum) | -wigan | to fight |
| zihan | $z e ̄ h$ | zihum | -zihan | to accuse |

## 506 II. a. With Pret. vowel ou.

| biogan | boug | bugum | gi-bogan | to bend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fliogan | floug | flugum | -flogan | to fly |
| hiofan $(b)$ | (houf $)$ | (hubum) | -ihoban $)$ | to mourn |
| klioban | kloub | klubum | -kloban | to cleave |
| kriochan | krouch | kruchum | -krochan | to crawl |
| liogan | loug | lugum | -logan | to lie (mentiri) |
| riochan | rouch | ruchum | -rochan | to smoke |
| skioban | skoub | skubum | -skoban | to push |
| sliofan | slouf | slufum | -slofan | to slip |
| stioban | stoub | stubum | -stoban | to disperse |
| triofan | trouf | trufum | -trofan | to drip |
| triogan | troug | trugum | -trogan | to deceive |

Aorist presents. Pres. vowel ū.

| lühhan | louhh | luhhum | gi-lohhan | to lock |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| süfan | souf | sufum | -sofan | to drink |
| sügan | soug | sugum | -sogan | to suck |

Roots ending in -w.

| bliuwan | blou | blüwum | $g^{\text {gi-blulu}}(w) a n$ | to beat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hriuwan | (h)rou | (h) rйтงım | -(h)rūiz)an | to pain |
| kiuzean | kou | kйтせı! | -kiù(ze)an | to chew |

b. Pret. vowel ō.

| biotan | bōt | butum | gi-botan | to offer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| diozan | dioz | duzzum | -dozzan | to resound |
| driozan | dröz | druz\%um | -drozsan | to vex |
| fliohan | finh | fluhum | -fthan | to flee |
| fliozan | Ator | fluzzum | -flozzan | to flow |
| friosan | frōs | frurum | -froran | to freeze |
| giozan | ${ }_{\text {gobs }}$ | guczum | -sōzzan | to pour |


| griosan | －iz | －sruz̧ılull | fir－grozzan | to rub out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （bi）－（h）niotan | －（h）nit | （h）mutum | bi－（h）notan | to make fast |
| kiosan | kios | kurum | si－koran | choose |
| （ fr ）－liosan | －loss | －lurum | fir－loran | to lose |
| （ar）－liotan | －lit | －lutum | ar－lotan | to shoot up |
| （h）liogan | （h） liz $^{\text {a }}$ | （h）luร̧¢ | sid－lozzan | to gain by lot |
| nioらせ！ | リis | （mu̧ım） | （－nozin） | to enjoy |
| riogun | rog | rus5umb | －rozzan | to lament |
| siodan | seid | sutum | －solan | to boil |
| skiosan | skijs | skiuçull | －skozzan | to shoot |
| sliesan | slīz | sluち̧un | $-s \log _{3}(1 n$ | to shut |

III．a．Pres．vowel i ；Past P．u．
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| bringall | （brans） | （brungum） | si－brullgan | to bring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| brimnan | brann | brunnum | －brunnan | to burn |
| dinsan | dans | dunsum | －dunsan | to draw |
| dringan | drang | drungum | －drungan | to press |
| dweingan | dzuang | $d(w) u n g$ ¢ılı | －d（w）ungan | to compel |
| findan | fand | funtum | －funtan | to find |
| bi－ginnan | －gan |  | bi－gunnan | to begin |
| hinkan | hank | hunkum | si－hunkan | to limp |
| klimban | klamb | klumbum | －klumban | to climb |
| klingan | klang | klungum | －klungan | to sound |
| krimman | kram | krummmum | －krummar | to scratch |
| krimpfan | krampf | krumpfum | －krumpfan | to writhe |
| （h）limman | （h）lam | （h） ¢ mımıum | －（h）lumman | to roar |
| bi－limpforn | －lampf | －lumpfium | bi－lumpfan | to occur |
| gi－lingan | －lang | －lungum | gi－lungan | to succeed |
| bi－linnan | －lan | －lunnum | bi－lunnan | to cease |
| ringan | rang | rungum | gi－ringan | to struggle |
| rinnan | ran | runtum | －runnan | to run |
| int－rinnan | －ran | －rımıum | int－runnan | to separate |
| singan | sang | sungum | gi－sungan | to sing |
| sinkan | sank | sunkum | －sunkan | to sink |
| sinnan | san | sunnutu | －sunnan | to think |
| skrintan | skrant | skruntum | －skruntan | to burst |
| slintan | slant | sluntum | －sluntan | to swallow |
| spinnan | span | spunnum | －spunnan | to spin |
| springan | sprang | sprungum | －sprungan | to spring |
| swimman | swam | sze＇ummmum | －szummman | to swim |
| swingan | swang | swungrum | －swungan | to swing |
| swinkan | swank | szerunkum | －swunkan | to hurl |
| timpfan | tampf | tump fum | －tumpfan | to steam |
| trinkan | trank | trunkum | trunkan | to drink |
| winnan | wan | wınทกแm | －w＇unnan | to strive |
| zuintan | want | wantum | －zunntan | to wind |


| b．Pres．vowel e．Past P．o． |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| belgan | balg | bulgum | gi－bolgan | to rage |
| bellan | bal | bullum | －bollan | to bark |


| brestan | brast | brustum <br> (brāstum) | gi-brostan | to burst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| brettan | brat | bruttum | -brotlan | to twitch |
| dreskan | drask | druskum | -droskan | to thresh |
| fehtan | faht | fuhtum | -fohtan | to fight |
| felhan | falh | fulhum | -folhan | to hide |
| Alehtan | flaht | fluhtum | - flohtan | to plait |
| geltan | gall | gultum | -goltan | to be worth |
| hellan | hal | hullum | (-hollan) | to sound |
| helpfan | halpf | hulpfum | -holpfan | to help |
| hrespan | hrasp | hruspum | -hrospan | to snatch |
| hwerfan (b) | hwearf (b) | hweurbum ( $f$ ) | -hworban( f) | to turn |
| kerran | kar | kurrum | -korran | to growl |
| ar-leskan | -lask | luskum | -loskan | to extinguish |
| melkan | malk | mulkum | -molkan | to milk |
| quellan | qual | quullum | -quollan | to spring forth |
| skellan | skal | skullum | -skollan | to resound |
| skeltan | skalt | skultum | -skoltan | to scold |
| skerran | skar | skurrum | -skorran | to scratch |
| smelzan | smalz | smulzum | -smolzan | to melt |
| smerzan | smarz | smurzum | -smorzan | to pain |
| snerfan | snarf | snurfum | -snorfan | to pull together |
| suerkan | snark | smurkum | -snorkan | to link |
| sterban | starb | sturbum | -storban | to die |
| swelhan (g) | swalh ( ${ }^{( } \mathrm{s}$ ) | szoulgum | -swolyan | to swallow |
| swerban | swarb | swourbum | -sworban | to whirl |
| telpan | talp | tulpum | -tolpan | to dig |
| zellan | zual | zeulhum | -wollan | to roll |
| zuerdan | ward | wurtum | -wortan | to become |
| werfan | warf | zurfum | -zeorfan | to throw |
| werran | zuar | wurrum | -worran | to confuse |

508 IV. Pres. vowel e. Past P. o.

| beran | bar. | bārum | gi-boran | to bear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| brehhan | brahh | brāhhum | -brohhan | to break |
| breman | bram | brāmum | - broman | to grunt |
| dweran | dzuar | dzeārum | - dzeoran | to stir |
| helan | hal | hālum | -holan | to conceal |
| neman | nam | nāmum | -noman | to take |
| quelan | qual | quālum | -quolan | to torment |
| queman | quallı | quāmum | (-queman) | to come |
| queran | quar | quārum | -quoran | to sigh |
| rehhan | rahh | rāhhum | -rohhan | to avenge |
| sceran | scar | scārum | -scoran | to shear |
| sprehhan | sprahh | sprāhhum | -sprohhan | to speak |
| stchhan | stahh | stāhhum | -stohhan | to prick |
| sweran | swar | swārum | -sworan | to pain |
| treffan | traf | träfum | -troffan | to hit |
| trehhan | trahh | trāhhum | -trohhan | to draw |


| teclan | tuial | teicàlum | sri－heolan | lo be mad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zeman | sam | ะธิmutur | －zoman | to beseem |
| zerall | zar | silum | －zeran | to eat up |
| aterchath | 玉ee＇ah | z\％eihhum | －zaiohhan | to tweak |

## J－Present．

sīictin siii）uor s（í）uorum gi－sworan to swear

V．Pres．vowel e．Past P．e．
509

| くち5all | 衫 | ，isulı |  | 10 eat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gi－fehan | －fialt | －fāhumı | －fehan | to rejoice |
| gi－feら゙̧ハ！ | －f1\％ | －イテэu！ | －fez̧an | to fall down |
| fnehan | fnall | fıāhum | －fnehan | to breathe |
|  | －gra | －grajzull | －gczうan | to get |
| jehan | joh | jūhum | －jehan（g） | to speak |
| jisictl | jas | jīrum（s） | －jeran（s） | to ferment |
| jelan | jal | jālumı | －jelan | to hoe |
| klenan | klan | klàmum | －klenan | lo smear |
| kinesall | knas | knărum（s） | －kneran（s） | to creep |
| kncian | knat | knātumı | －knetan | to knead |
| lisan | las | lārum（s） | －leran（s） | to read |
| mej̧ın | $m a z$ | māzullı | －mez弓an | to measure |
| gi－nesan | －nas | nārum（s） | －neran（s） | to recover |
| pflegan | pflas： | pflīgum | －pflegan | to be used |
| quedan | ylud | quāhum（d） | －quetan（d） | to speak |
| redan | rad | （rātum） | （－retan） | to sift |
| sehan | sah | sāhutıl | －sehan（w） | to see |
| sri－skichan | －skalı | skīhutur | －skehan | to occur |
| slrcdan | slrad | （strālum） | （－stretan） | to boil |
| Irelan | lral | lrātuom | －Iretan | to tread |
| zueban | zeab | ze＇ābutm | －acelan | to weave |
| wegan | wars | z＇ägum | －weggan | to weigh |
| ubar－ü＇han | （－ひ＇ılt） | （－z＇ahum） | （－zvehan） | to conqueri |
| ceesan | zeits | zoirum | （－zeeran） | to be |

## J－Presents．

| billen | bat | Gailum | si－belan | to beg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ligiren | lagr | lägum | －legan | to lay |
| sizzell | $\mathrm{SaO}_{3}$ | s可ぞ！！ | －sezるan | to sit |

VI．Pres．vowel a．Past P．a．

| bahhan | buoh | Uuohhum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dwahan | du＇uog（h） | dutuogrum |
| galan | suol | guolum |
| gnagan | gnuog | gmuogum |
| graban | gruob | gruobum |
| hladan | hluod | hluodum |
| laffan | luof | luof fur |


| gi－bahhan | to bake |
| :--- | :--- |
| －dwagan | to wash |
| －galan | to sing |
| －gnagan | to gnaw |
| －graban | to dig |
| －hladan | to load |
| －laffan | to lick |


| lahan | luog $(h)$ | luogum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| malan | muol | muolum |
| sahhan | suoh | suohhum |
| skaban | skuob | skuobum |
| slahan | sluog $(h)$ | sluogum |
| snahhan | snuoh | smuohum |
| spanan | spuon | spuonum |
| stantan | stuont | stuontum |
| tragan | truog | truogum |
| (gi-wahan) | wuog $(h)$ | wuogum |
| wahsan | wuohs | wuohsum |
| waskan | wuosk | wuoskum |
| watan | wuot | w'uotum |

gi-lahan
-malan
-sahhan
-skaban
-slagan
-snahhen
-spanan
-stantan
-tragan
-wagan
-wahsan
-waskan
-watan
to blame
to grind
to quarrel
to rub
to strike
to creep
to tempt
to stand
to carry
to mention
to grow
to wash
to wade

## J-Presents.

| hcffen | huob $(f)$ | huobum <br> skepfen |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| skuof |  |  |$\quad$ skuofum


| gi-haban | to lift |
| :--- | :--- |
| -skaffan | to create |

REDUPLICATING VERBS
511 (a) Pret. Vowel $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \rightarrow \mathrm{ea}$, ia.

| bāgan | biag | gi-bāgan | to fight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bannan | bian | -bannan | to ban |
| blantan | bliant | -blan'an | to blend |
| blăsan | blias | -blàsan | to blow |
| . bratan | briat | -brâtan | to roast |
| fähan | fiang | - fangan | to seize |
| faldan (t) | fiald ( $t$ ) | - faltan (d) | to fold |
| fallan | fial | -fallan | to fall |
| gangan | siang | -sangan | to go |
| hāhan | hiang | -hangan | to hang |
| haltan | hialt | -haltan | to hold |
| heizan | hia\% | -heizan | to call |
| lāzan | lia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -lāzan | to let |
| meizan | miaz | -meizan | to cut |
| int-rātan | -riat | int-rālan | to fear |
| salzan | sialz | gi-salzan | to salt |
| skaltan | skialt | -skaltan | to push |
| skeidan | skiad | -skeidan | to part |
| slāfan | sliaf | -slăfan | to sleep |
| spaltan | spialt | -spaltan | to cleave |
| spannan | spian | -spannan | to span |
| sweifan | swiaf | -sweifan | to twist |
| zvalkan | wialk | -walkan | to full |
| walzan | zvialz | -rvalzan | to roll |
| fir-wāzan | -wiaz | fir-wãzan | to curse |
| zeisan | zias | gi-zeisan | to pluck |

J-Present.
crien $(r r)$ iar gi-aran to plough
(b) Pret. Vowel eo, io.

| b/u0ら, | blios | si-1/luozan | to sacrifice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lüan | (büta) | (-bruan) | to swell |
| thewhan |  | -fluohhum | to curse |
| hloufan | hliof | -hloufan | to run |
| homeera | hio | -hourvan | to hew |
| hruefin | hriof | -ruofan | to call |
| skrîtan | striot | -skrītan | to cut |
| stīsan | stios | -stōzan | to thrust |
| zewofin | ziof | -zuuofan | to cry |

NON-GRADED VERBS
I. (a) Without Vowel change, Pret. suffix -ita.

| dennen | denita | gi-denit | to stretch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frewen (out) | frewita (ou) | -frezevit (ou) | to rejoice |
| fiummen | frumila | -frumit | to profit |
| knussen | knusita | -knusil | to crush |
| crien | nerita | -nerit | to sa |

(b) Without Vowel change, Pret. suffix -ta.

| hïren | hörla |
| :--- | :--- |
| (gilloullen | -loubta ( $p$ ) |
| süen | säta |
| suohhen | suohta |
| wïhen | zeïlta |

gihörit (-hörtēr)
-loubit (-loubter)
-sīil (-sälèr)
-suohit (-suohtēr)
-zeĭhit (-zwihtèr)
\&c.
to hear
to believe
to sow
to seek
to hallow
(c) With Vowel change, Pret. suffix -ta

| bremnen | branta |
| :--- | :--- |
| decken | dahta |
| dempfen | dampfla |
| festen | fasta |
| heflen | hafta |
| hengen | hangla |
| merren | marta |
| refsen | rafsta |
| retten | ratta (retita) |
| sezzen | sazta |
| stellin | stalta |
| stepfen | stapfta |
| slerken | starkla |
| trenken | trankla |
| welzen | walzta |
| (gl)-üemmen | wamta |
| wienten | wanta |
| werten | warta |
| zellen | zalta (zelitia) |
|  |  |

gi-brennit (-branter) to burn -deckit (-dahter) to cover -dempfit(-dampftèr) to moderate - festit (-faster) to fasten -heftit (-haftèr) to fasten -hengit (-hanglèr) to hang -merrit (-martēr) to hinder -refsit (-rafster) to blame -rettit (-ratter) to save -sezzit (sazlēr) to set -stellit (-staltér) to place -stepfit (-stapfter) to pace -sterkit (-starklër) to strengthen -trenkit (-tranklēr) to water
-welzit (-walzér) to roll
-zeemit (-z'amtēr) to stain
-wentit (-wantēr) to turn
-wertit (-warlēr) to injure
-zellit (-zaltēr) to count

## Polysyllabic.

bouhhanen
garwen
heilazen

| bouhhanta (bouhhmita) | gi-bouhh(i)nit |
| :--- | :--- |
| garzeita (garota) | -gar(a)wit |
| heilezta (or -ita) | -heilizit | \&c.

## II. Pret. suffix -ōta.

| dankion | dankōtry |
| :--- | :--- |
| dionün | diönōta |
| richisōn | richisōtır |


\&c.
III. Pret. suffix -ēta.

| folgèn | folgèta |
| :---: | :---: |
| harēn | harēta |
| sorgèn | sorgèta |

folgèta
sergèto
gi-folgèt -harel
-sargēt
\&c.
to sign
to prepare
to greet
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## INDEXES

('The numbers refer to the sections. $n=$ note or notes.)

## I. SANSKRIT

abharan 291
abharata 291
addanam $3^{16}$
adhäm 3 º
ádmi ${ }^{56,79}$
ahám 104, 454
ájras 80
aparám 168
áparas 168
apas 168
asmi 289
aṣtáu 74, 25 I
ásti it
áścas 77
áyas 86
bahus 66
bándhanam 67
Uharâmi 56,66
bháranti 291
bharatha 291, 327
bhárēt 105
bhedāmi 66
bhrátar 66, 73, 251
catiááras 73
cid 474
cirás 77
dadhāmi 5 5, 67
dáksiṇas 79
dámas 79
damáyati i9
dán 73
dása 75, 170, $25^{\circ}$
dèhí 68
dhánza $9^{8}$
didéśa 87
dirghás 69
diśátí 75
dróshas 70
druhyati 70
duhitár 67
dus 79
duáar 67
sácchūmi 82
gamayā̀n àsa 310
gamajain! cakara 3 IO
gaús 81
gharmá 90
han-ti 90
hánus 98
háris 68
hasás 68
hyás 68
jámbhas 80
jānắmi ${ }^{56,80}$
jánas 80
jánghā 69
jánis 82
járate 8 I
jīvás 82
juṣ- 80
$k \bar{a} 474$
kád 77, 474
kàntā 413
kāntēna 475
kapati 76
kravís 76
laghus 70
limpáti 85
mádhyas 67
mahânt- 80
mäm 454
mātár- $85,25 \mathrm{I}$
тёnuh 300
mrjati 80
nabhas 66
nagnás 82
nakhás 65
naktis 74
nápāt $7 \mathrm{I}, 105$
nas 455
naús III
nára 109
pac- 299
pád 71, 79, 261
páñca 71
рараса 299
pásúl 7 I
рёсuľ 299
piš-alás 75
pitár- $56,7 \mathrm{I}, 85,248$
pitaram 262
pürnás 97
purús 71
rājñām 434
ric- 77
rkṣas $25^{\circ}$
rudhiráh 67
sa, $s \bar{a} 466,468$
sádas 79
santi 262
saplá $85,10+$
śatám 56, 73, 75
salyás 107
sehas 86
skhalämi 65
smuṣá 86
spaś－ 72
sphátati 62
śráyati 75
śru－ 75
śrúti－ 75
sthagayati 8 I
stigh hnômi 69
śvā 75
svásr－III
śvaśrūs 86,170
śvásuras 75， 170
tád 105， 466
tam．lás 466， 468
támisrā III
tánōmi 73
tanús 73
tasmai 46I， 467
tasyai 468
té 467
tiṣ！ha 298
tráyas 56，73， 108
tritijas 85
tudáti 293， 302
tul－ 73
tvám 73
ud 105
udán－79， 102

## II．GREEK

бє́ठорка 264
ठєіккvми 75，79， 257
ठ́́кка 75，170， 250
ঠєка́s 170
ס $\epsilon$ ǵtós 79
ঠє́ркона兀 264
ठєर̂po 503
סvo－ 79
$\delta \omega ิ \mu \alpha 79$
є̇ $\gamma$ ต́ 104
є̇óóns 31 I
є́סоцаи 56
ย $\delta$ os 79


єi入ŋ́入ov $2 \times 2$
єi ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 289$
єєкато́v 56，73， 75
éкvро́s 75
é $\lambda a ́ \chi$ ยттоs 488
é $\lambda$ є́́roцає $26_{4}$
єє $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma є 453,454$
ย้vย́ $F a 108$

èmißoà 95
е́тібкотоs $15{ }^{6}$
éттá 85,107


йра 168
upári 168
vahāmi 68
vayám 455
véda 79，297， $3^{12,372}$
reittha 312
vidhávā 67
vittha 63
vịkas 90
yívan－ 103
yūyám 457

ảyoós 80
${ }^{\mu} \gamma \chi^{\iota} 68$
${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \chi^{\omega}{ }^{5}{ }^{6}$
aitcî 86
«̌кои́ш 25 I
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \in ́ \lambda \gamma \omega{ }^{2}$
ảvópós 110
àvétós 71
ӓ $\rho к \tau о s{ }^{2} 5^{\circ}$
dं $\sigma \kappa \eta \eta_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} 6_{3}$
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\circ} 147$
äт $\tau \alpha 145 n$
及aives 82
ßaít 78
Bíos 82
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega{ }^{2} 8$
ßov̂s $8 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 67$
$\gamma^{\text {évos }} 80,45$ I
$\gamma^{\prime}$ éves 98
$\gamma$ єúw 80
久ппи́ш 8I
$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega 5^{6}$ ，8о
үо́ $\mu$ оо 80
रuv ${ }^{\prime} 82$
סáкрv 75
баца́ш 79

к入ive 75
к入і行 75
$\kappa \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \in \theta \rho 0$ и $^{\prime} 102$
код $\omega$ ко́s 97
кри́титтоs 488
крати́s 85,153
кре́ая 76
ктивоя 78
кі́шリ 75
кผ́тリ 76

入єíme（1）77，262，264， 313
$\lambda_{\text {e }}$ éínv $3^{1} 4$
$\lambda$ rкós 90

$\mu \in \gamma$ údos 102
$\mu \epsilon ́ \delta о \mu а є ~ 79$
$\mu ́ ́ \mu \beta \lambda \omega \kappa \alpha$ I 1 о
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \eta а є ~ 372$
$\mu \in \sigma \eta \mu \beta$ рía 1 Iо
$\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma о \boldsymbol{\sigma}{ }^{7}$
$\mu$ 亿少 $25^{2}$
$\mu$ иттлр 85
$\mu$（ví ${ }^{(1)} 9^{8}$
$\mu 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath l}^{\prime}$ I 10
vầs III
$\nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta 66$
vié 74
עús 86
i，i，то́ 10：5，466－7
ठัढ 471
úסov́s 73，317
（F）oíía 63，79， $25 \mathbf{I}$ ， 282，297， $37^{2}$
іктш́ 74，251
óra入ós 102
ö口に権 65
\％Xos 68
ั้ $\%$ เs 261
$\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ít $\epsilon$ роs $4^{88}$
ти́тия 156
татє́орає 101
таті́р 56，7ェ，85， 53 ． 248，260，261，440， 442－3
$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon 9^{6}$
$\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \frac{́ s}{} 67$
$\pi \epsilon \prime \tau \epsilon 71,90,96,282$
$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi о \iota \theta \alpha$ 298， 304
$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \theta \alpha 29^{8}$
$\pi \epsilon ́ \phi v \gamma a 298$
$\pi \hat{\eta} \chi$＂s 66
$\pi$ лє̂̂бтоs 488
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu 488$
$\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon v \quad 77$
токќ八力口 75
$\pi о \iota \mu \eta^{\prime} 43^{1}$
тodú 7 I
$\pi$ оди＇s 264， 488
то́ркоя 7 I
тoís 71，79， 95
$\pi \tau v ์ \omega 72,147$
$\pi$ usis $155^{6}$
$\pi \omega^{\circ} 261$
ри́үvvцє 308
р́ттора $4+3$
písa 79
otvapós 1 IO
$\sigma \iota v \delta$ pós 110
бкє́тторає $\mathbf{1}+7$
окєи́ 75， 147
$\sigma \pi \alpha^{\prime} \rho \omega 7^{2}, 147$
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \in(\omega) 8$ I
बтєíX（ ${ }^{(1)} 69,257,270$. 293
$\sigma \tau i \zeta \omega 147$
बтриßós 477

๙тре́ф $\omega 166$
งтрофє́ө 166
б⿱宀＇үє 457

जímßodor $9^{6}$
бขvє́ $\rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota 96$
tavaFós 73

$\tau \epsilon i v e) 73$
тє́ $\sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon \varsigma ~ 73$
ті $\theta_{\eta \mu}$ 56，67，385
$\tau \lambda \hat{\eta} v<\iota ~ 73$
то́סє $47 \mathrm{I} n$
трєis 56， 73
$\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \omega 166$
т $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \omega$ І 66
$\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \omega$
т $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime} \beta \omega{ }^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} 302$
$\tau \rho о \mu \epsilon ́(\omega)$ I 66
$\tau \rho о \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ І 66
ти́ 73
vi $\omega \rho$ 79， 102
ข̇ $\pi$ ย́p 168
¿imó 168

фā ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} 5^{1}$
фє́роє 105
фє́р $\omega$ 56，66，260， 283 ，
29I－3，317， $3^{27}$
$\phi \epsilon ข ์ \gamma \omega 92,262,298,3$ I 4
ф $\eta$ خós 66
фópos 260
ф $\rho a ́ \zeta \omega 62$
ф $о ́ \tau \omega \rho 66,73,2$ 5 1,442
фíw $3^{82}$
ф＇́p 260
$\chi^{\alpha \nu \delta \alpha ́ v \omega} 69$
$\chi \chi^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \omega 68$
X $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{\nu} 68$
$\chi$ Хє́s 68
$\chi \lambda \omega \rho o ́ s 68$
Хо́ртоs 68
＊゙ $\psi 26$ I

## III. LATIN

abdo 95
ad 105
aes 86
аелит 86
ager 80
altior 488
ango 56
anser 68
aperio 95
aqua 77,86
assessor, -trix 101
atta $145 n$
Séta 267
bicārium 277
bos 81
breve 267
calumnia 102
canis 75
cannabis 78
cano 76
capio 71, 76, 299
caput 76
Cato 431, 432, 477
catus 477
cavea 239
cēlare 264
census 178
centum 56, 73, 75
cis $46 \mathrm{I}, 473$
clino 75
clocca ${ }^{15} 5$
clueo 75
cluo 75
collis 97
con I53 n, 334
confero 334
cor 75
cruor 76
cum 86, $\mathbf{I} 53$ n, 334
ситіпит 102
cuprum 116, $25^{8,280}$
curtus 203
custos 95
dacruma 75
datus 261, 262
dea 413
decem 75, 250
dens 73, 262, 317
dexter 79
diabolus ${ }^{177}, 258$
díco 75, 79, 257
dictāre 277
dis 79
discus 277
domo 79
domus 79
donāre 26 I
duco 75
eadem 469
edo 56, 79, $5^{52}$
ego 104, 257, 453
ejus 464
electuarium 238
emo, emptus 110
equus $77,384,396,398$
est 74, 257
eundem 96
exāmen 261
exi 315
fäcere 56, 67
faginus 457
fägus 66, 25 I
(pater-) familiās 414
fere, ferto 315
fero 56, 66, 291, 293 , 317
figere 68
findo 66
forāre 295
forés 67
fräter 66, 73, 251, 264
fructūs 251
fui 382
fundo 68
garrio $8 \mathbf{1}$
gens 257
genuinus 98
genus 80
gnosco 56, 80
gusto 80, 258
habēre 64
hasta 95
helvus 68
heri 68
hīsco 68
homo 68, 283
hortus 68
hostis 69, 25I, 282
idem, isdem 104, 469
iens, euntis 317
instigo 147
ipsa 468
is, ea, id $4^{61}$
istud, istum 105, 466
jungo 95, 304
juniörem 488
juvenis 103
juventa 103
lacrima 75
lectus 69
linquo 77, 294, 304
longus 69
lubricus $7^{8}$
magnus 80, 102
maiör 488
mäter 85,251
meditor 79
medius $67,257,277$
memini $37^{2}$
mensa 253
mensis 252
mentha 257
més 267
mésa 253
meto 100
mells 457
тіние 98
mulgeo 80
müra 270
murmutite 230
naizis 111
nebula 66
nepes 71
nùdus 277
nomina $43+$
nos 455
nester $45^{8}$
neでem 108
növi $37^{2}$
nox 74, 2 - 1
nüdus 82
murus 86
octo 74, 251
òdi $26 \mathrm{I}, 305,372$
offendimentum 67
offerre 193
oinos 251
operāri 193
opus 168, 45 I
pango 304
pater 56, 71, 85, 262, 443-4
patior 100
paucus 171
pazio 142
реси 71, 277, 282
pellis 97
peregrinus 230
pés 71, 79, 257, 261
pirum 156
piscis 71, 147, 277
pix 156, 193, 277
plecto 294
plenus 97
plus 71, 264
pondus $14^{2}$
porcus 71
posco 147
prachendo 69
prediciare 156,193
psalmum 193
psittacus 193
pumex $x 5^{6}$
putcus 116, $1+2$
quattuor 73
qui 466
quid 474
quiden 104
quies 77
quinque 71, 96
quod 77, 105, 474
quum 104
rādix 79
rego 261
remus 267
rex. 261
ruber 67
rufus 251
rumpo 294
ruipi 294
salba 295
scindo 304
scöla 268
scribo 95
se 459
seco 76
sedeo $79,100,252,254$,

$$
257
$$

sentis 2 27 7
septem 85, 104, 107,
${ }^{153} n, 277$
seplendecim 96
sissum 100
siem 291, 314
signum 277
sim $3^{1}+$
similis 102
socer 75
sol 102
sons 317
specio 72, 147
speculum 267
sperno 72, 147, 294
spondeo 298
spuo 72, 147
sto 74, 147
Suëbi 26.5
sminus 2 ;o
sumo 110
sunt 262, 289
supercilium 264
suspicio 107
tacère 355
$\operatorname{tcgog}_{1} \mathbf{1}$
tegula 178, 266
tendo 73
tenebrae 111
tenuis 73, 98
lertius 85,499
tollo 73
trabs 56, 78
traduco 107
tres 56, 73
tu 73
tum 104
tuus 457
ultimus 489
-umnia 102

- umque 259
unda 79
undecim 493
unguis 65
unus 251
ursus $25^{\circ}$
utor 100
veho 68, 327
velit 105, 257
venio 82
ventus 257,277
चïbro 78
vices 277
video 79, 372
vidua 67,277
vinco $76,109,259$
vivāruum 243
vivus 82
vocāre 26 I
ขöx 261


## IV. GOTHIC

abna 434
afar 168
afifnan 168
afstass, ustass 101
aftuma 489
asgzous 56, 68
agis 124
ahs 171
chltáu 74, 251,283
ahba 77, 86, $17 \mathrm{I}, 24^{2}$
áih, áigum 160,372
aihts 271
aíha tundi 77, 169
áinōhun 413
áins $25 \mathrm{I}, 27 \mathrm{I}$
aírpa kunds 320
aírzeis 185
aíppáu 210,231
ázo 276
áiz 86
ak 280
akrs 80, 285
alls 319
alpeis, alpiza 169, 488
ana-busns 101
and- 286
and-beitan 286
ansts 424
aqizi 120
arbaips $12+$
arbi 124
asans 171
at 105, 286
atta 145
áugjan 109
áugō 109, $15^{\circ}$
aúhns 90
aúhsan, aúhsnē 264, 433, 434
áukan 140, 273, 306, 307
áusō 125, 126, 171
badi 152
bagms 109
bái 272
baíran 56, 66, 105, II4, I5I, 209, 26I, 344
báitrs 120, 285
barn 319
bart 63
batis 504
batiza 139, 284, 492
bauan ${ }_{251} 1$
beidan 101, 122, 150, 293
báib 298
beitan 66, 178
bērusjös 26 I
bidjan 118, 152, 294, $296,304,316$
bap 304
bidei $3{ }^{5} 5$
bigitan 69
bileiban 168
binah $37^{2}$
bindan $67,114,152$, 264, 340
biudan 1or, $\mathrm{I}_{5} \mathrm{O}, 301$
báup, budum 160,301 báust io
biugan 273, 301
bảug, bugum 262, 264
biutan 275
bliggzan 103, 244,338
blinds 283, 476, 485
blötan, blöstreis IOI
bōka 66, 251, 268
bōta 139
brinnan, brunsta 98
bröpar 66, 73, 251 , 264, 267, 44I, 442, 443
brukjan 304
bugjan 284, 363
buints 320
dags 131, 394-400
dáigs 68
dáils 271
dáug 372
dauihtar $67,114,15{ }^{2}$
dáupeins 439
dáupjan 273
daür 67
dáups 169
dáupus 169,273
-dèdum 3II
deigan 68
digan 293, 303
dius 126
dömjan, dömei I 19, 3 I5
eisarn 171
ètum 265
fadar $56,7 \mathbf{I}, 85,248$, 442-4
fühan 259
fáihs 75
fuíhu 72, 282
faír 286
faírguni 170
faírluus 171
falban 306
far 315
faran 264, 305, 306, 318, 349
faúr 286
fawái $\mathbf{1} 71$
fidwör 73, 91
fijands 317
filhan 160, 318
filleins 97
filu 72
fimf 71, 90, 91, 96, 282
fisks 72, 147
fōdus 268
fōkan $35^{2}$
födjan $\mathbf{1 0}$
fôtus 71, 79, 139, 261
fira 286
fraíhnan 294, 296, $3^{16}$ frah 294, 296
fraíshului 102, 160 framaldrs 169
fratyian 169
frazeárjpan 106
frazeardjan 166
fiē 252,347
frijön 103
Jinionds 317, $44^{6}$
frèdei 169
fruma 489
fugls 285
fulgins 160,318
fullnan 355
fulls $97,253,262$
ga- 86, 286
gabaíran 334
ga-baürps 253
gadars $37^{2}$
ga-daúrsta 309
sadèps 56, 67
gadigis 68
saggan 69
sahabáida 334
sa-h-ötjan 101
salúusida 33+
sa-leiks 140
sa-lūkan 140, 303
$g_{(a m i n j) i} 107$
samoit 372
gamunds 169
saqiman 334
sola-qiss 101
gards 68, 124
garinnan 334
gaslēpan 334
sasäjjan 169
gastaúrknan 295
sasts $69,13 \mathbf{1}, 153,251$, 282, 420, 42 I
ga-swultans 108
gatamjan 79
gateihan 75, 79, 257
satze 130
gajarban 168
gapautrsnan 295
grawidan 101
ga aigan 68
gawiss 101, 320
gazds 95
siba $12+4,411-15$
giban 95, 99, 124, 149 ,
$153,264,301,346$
gaft 63, 99
sif 315
sifts 95,99
gistra- 68
siutan 68,139
glaggzō 1о3, 244, 484
godakunds 320
gōps 122, 268, 492
greipan 138, 262
gráip, gripum 262
srētan $35^{2}$
sulpeins 457
gитиа 68
haban 64, 317,355
habáa 314
habáibs 320
hufjan 71, 76, 296, 304, 306
hühan 259, 35²
haíháit 267, 300
haíhald 266
háiljan 314, 355
háils 271
hár $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { deis } \\ 405\end{aligned}$
haírtō 75, 144, 430, 435
háitan 139, 300, 306, $35^{2}$
haldan $306,318,35^{2}$
hatja 118
hallus 97
hana 76, 264, 430-5
hardus 85,108
háulip 76, 122, 124 , 149, 209, 273
háuhs $170,273,488$
háusjan 119, 251, 284
hawi 103
hazjan 126
hèr 253
hidrē 253
hilms 264
hilpan, halp 143, 264, 301
himinakunds 320
himins 102, 234
hiri 315
hiuhtma 170
hlaf 298
hlahjan 228, 296
hláifs 271
hláins 75
hláupan 307
hliftus 99
hliup 75
Mlült's 75. 120, 148
hneizan 109
hröpjan 304
hugjan 118
hührus 259
hulundi 317
hund 56, 73, 75, 253
hunds 75
huzd 95, 126
lua 77, 106
luaírban 168
しuáze 276
háizua 474
hammēh 398, $4^{67}$
han 104
harbön 168
has 132, 474
Wassaba 101
わe 398
hecila 77
hi-leiks 474
höpan 307, 318
ibns 102, 285
ik 104, 453
im 289, 291, $3^{82}, 3^{8} 3$
in- 286
in-brannjan 286
innuma 489
is, ita $\mathbf{I}^{2} 2,460,461$
ist 74, 147, 257, 291
itan $56,79,139,178$, 316
jah 280
jáins $47^{2}$
juggs 109, 259
juhiza 109, 259, 284, 490
juk 140, 282
jus $13{ }^{2}, 456$
kann 56, 80, 372
káurn 145
káust 63
kinnus 98
kiusan 80, 125, $\mathbf{1} 26$
kniu 409
-kunds 320
kuni 80, 405
kumpa 309, 3 II
kunps 320
kusts 258
laggs 69
lagjan, lagips 118,355
láis $125,166,372$
láisjan 125,126
lamb 114
lats 308
láuhmumi 170
láun 273
leiban 85
leikan, laik 77, 228, 242, 271
leitils 144,492
lētan 139, 264, 265 , 308, 318
lấlōt 264
ligan 69, 128, 296
liugn 3I 9
liuhaps 275
liuhtjan 275
lusans 126
mag 95, 99, 300, 361, 372
magapi 169
magus 109
mahts 95, 320
maíhstus 226
máis $\mathbf{1 3 2 , 5 0 4}$
máiza 126, 271, 492
malan 260
man 300, 372
managei 439
managiza 488
manasēps 98
manna 98, 434, 448
marzjan 185
mats 181, 282
maúrgins 124
mawi 109
meins 464
meipan 101
mēna 106, 252, 265
mënöps 106
mérs 265
mès 253
midjis 67, 257
miduma 205
mikils 80, $49^{2}$
miluks 80, 140
minniza 98, $49^{2}$
mins 504
mis 132, 453, 455
missa $\mathbf{I O} \mathbf{I}$
mitan 139
mitaps 106
mitōn 79
mizdo 266
mōl 260
mulda 260
munda 309
nahts 74, $25 \mathrm{I}, 45^{\circ}$
namina 434
namnjan 304
naqaps 82, $\mathbf{1} 20$
nasjan, nasjis 119,122 , 128
nasida, nasips 122, 284, 320
nati 144
náudi-bandí 169
náußjan 169
nē儿 $24^{2}$
nēhidēdun 228
niman 293, 301, $3^{15}$, 32I, 329-3I, 333, 344
namt 63
nemi 314
nimanda 317
niun, nunda 104, 108
niutan 139
numans 30 I
$\bar{o} g 372$
páida 78, 142
qáirnus 277
qast IOI
qap, qēbun 300
qiman 82, 345
qinō, qēns 82, 261
quius 82
ráus 171, 273
ráups 67, 251
razda 126
rédan 265
reiki 140
rinnan 98
riqis 120
rūna 270
runs 98
sa 465,466
sái $27 \mathrm{I}, 466$
saíhan 171, 228, 242, 346
sáir 27 I
sáizuala 242
sáizes 86, 27 I
salbō 314
salbōn 310-12, 320,
355
saliprea 130
sama 472
samana 102
sandjan 169
satjan 144
saps 169
sauil 102
seins $4^{6} 4$
sétum 252, 254
si $460,462,464$
sibja 1 I8, 15 I
sibun $85,104,107,149$
sidus 122
siggue'an 70, 115,130 , 153
sigyan 130,304
sijau 3 I 4
sik 459
silla 151, 472
sind 262,289
sinh's 107, 169, 257
silun 79, 128, 144, 257,296
siukic 275
siuks 99, 140
siuns 171
skiadan, skaískáip 205, 298
stial $65,300,372$
skialt 297
skulda 309
skama 96
skanda 96
skapian 118, 142, 296
skatts $1+4$
skapjan 63
skeinan 75, 147
skildus 277, 282
skip 138
skuggwa 103,244
slēpan 138, 298
saíslēp 298
slimpan 78, 138
snáizes 409
sniumundōs $13^{2}$
snize'an 103
stutirs 120
sin $413,465,468$
sōkeis 294
sōkjan 140
speizean 72, 147
spilda 62
staírnō 147, 185
staldan 298
staístald 298
standan 74, 101, 147 , 294, 304, 3I 6
stop 294, 304, 350
stáutan 273, 352
steig $3{ }^{1} 5$
steigan 69, 124. 1 50,

257, 204, 270, 293, J Jairban 160, 168, 198,
301, 335
stibna 98, 102
sligqan $\mathbf{1 3 0}, 304$
stitian $1+7$
slijan 108
sugil 103
sumus $4^{2} 5^{-8}$
sunáus 25 I
sunjis 107, 317
sunnō 102
swaíhra 75, I 70
swaleiks 472
swaran 128
sweiban 90
swecins 270
swinps 488
szeistr II I
tagrs 75, 144, 170
laíhsu'a 79, 226
taíhun 75, 104, 170
táikns 140, 144, 271
láuh 273
táuht 63
tēkan 308
tigus i7o, 496
tim(b)rjan 79, I I I
tiuhan 75, 144, 273, 275,337
trauan $25 \mathbf{I}$
triggzeaba 103
trudan 293, 303, $34^{8}$
tuggō 144, 430-5
tunpus 73, 144, 253, 262, $3^{17}$
tuz-79,286
tw'ái 272
twaddje 103, 236
tzealif 168
twifts 148
pagkjan 99, 259, 363
pāhts 320
páa. See sa
pan 104
pana 104
panjan 73
parf, parft 160, 168, 297,372

## 309

paúrfls 320
baúp $5^{6,58}$
bé 398, $465^{-6}$
pei 106
peihan 259
peina $45^{6}$
piuda 150
Jizvi 419
pragjan 63
preihan 259, 304
preis 56, 73, 108
pridja 85, 499
大и 73, 132, 456, 457
pugkjan 270, 284
pulan 73
ubils 149,492
-ubni 102, 160
uf, ufar 168
-ufni 102, 160
uf-rakijan 145
ugk 459
uh 259
ūhtwō 130
us- 286
usbeisns IOI
uslukns 319
usstass ioI
us-wakjan 145
uswiss 101
ūt 100
waddjus 103
wái 272
wáips 78
zeaípan 143 , 301
waírs 504
zeaírsiza 492
waírpan 340
wáit 79, 139, 251, 282,

$$
297,312,372
$$

waldufni 102, 160
waljan 389
warmjan 90, 91
watō 79, 102, 107, 435
waúrd 404
wair-hts . 320
waúrkjan 355， 36 I
woaurslu 107
zeaúrts 79
weihan 76，109，170， 259
weis 101，132，453－8
wënjan 355
wëna 138
widuwo 67
wigans 170
wilda 388
wileis $\mathbf{1} 3 \mathbf{1}, 29 \mathbf{1}, 314$
wili 105，257，291
wiljáu 388
winds 257
wintrus 148， 285
wisan $3^{82-3}$

## V．OLD NORSE

akr 80
apaldr $\mathbf{1} 90$
aptan 107
at 105
átta 74
áuka，iók 307
bar 300
bera 66
binda 67
bíta 66
bitr 120
bógr 66
bók 66
borinn 318
bîrle 300， 312
bródir 66， 73
brotna 295
brumi 98
dáす 67
dagr 131， 400
dóttir 67
draugr 70
dýr 126
dyor 67
$\operatorname{cgg} 236$
eir 86
ek 104，257， 453
cla 79
c1）d 126
fuすir 71， 85
farip 327
fé 71
fif 71
fiskr 71
fjall 97
figl 71
fiórir 73
flaume 109
flýja 109
fólginn 318
fóstr 101
fólr 71， 79
frotr（pl．） $44^{8}$
Frigg 103
fixtinn 126
fullr 97
safi 63
ganga 69
gardr 68
seimi 102
sistr 69，13I
sests 42 I
geta 69
sína 68
«jóta 68
gloggr 103， 244
srós 68
gulr 68
sumi 68
hallr 07
zeissa 101
witan 101， 277
whlils 189
wrikan 189
wrōhjan 189
wulfs 90
zuulla 97
wullan 303
halr 106
hamr 106
hani 76
hanpr 78
hardr 85
hefja 71， 76
heita 300
hifne（dat．） 102
hjarta 75
hlaupa 307
hljơd 75
hinga 109
h乌fuす 76
hoggva 103， 244
holdr 106
hrafn 98
hrar 76
lund 73， 75
hundr 75
hiat 77，105， 106
hvíla 77
hy＇gsja 118
igəer 68
jer 457
jor 77
kambr 80
kamn 80
kiln 98
kjósa 80
koma 82，303， 345
kona 82
kudr 320
kunnt 320
kvád 300
кてぞすひ 300
krikr 82
kyn 80
kyr 81
lungr 69
liggia 118
liggja 69
1 ja 77
$\log ^{\prime}{ }_{1} 70$
luika $3 \circ 3$
máni 106
mǽr 109
meta 79
midr 67
minni 107
mjek 80
mjolk 80
mjotudr 106
módir 85
megr 109
mónodr 106
nagl 65
namt 63
nefi 71， 105
niflheimr 66
nokkredr 82，I 29
ngkkvi III， 129
nór 111
nótl 74
é 77,86
raudr 67
inkir 120
rón，rera 300
rugr 121
runi 98
serer 86
sá，sera 300
sasi +69
sitja 79
sjau 85
skal 65
skarpr 218
skedja 63
skuggi 103
slitna 295
snotr 120
surggr 103
shar 86
smua，snera 300
sakkva 130
sétum 254
spá 72
spjalda 62
sporna 72
spýja 72
slanda 74
stíga 69
stód $35^{\circ}$
stakkva 130
straumr III
súga 303
swalwa 129
sygel 103
syngza 70， 130
syster III
taka 308
lár 75
lemja 79
limbra 79， 1 I
lindr 3I7
tiu 75
tjă， $\mathbf{1}$（ $=$ đicere） 75,79
ljá， 2 （ $=$ ducere） 75
tólfredr 493
tgnn 73，317
tor－ 79
troda 303
tryggr 103
tveggja 236
tvysva $13^{2}$
pak 81
pat 105， 466
patsi 47 I
benja 73
pola 73
prall 63
priđi 85
prír 73
bryngva 130
bu 73
bunnr 73， 98
urt 79
iut 105
valn 79， 102
vega 68
veggr 103
veigr 76
veit 79
íera 382
vill $\mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{1}, 388$
－vis 320
repvil 129

## VI. OLD SAXON AND LOW FRANCONIAN

abaro 168
acus 120
aldrom 491
ant-lūkan 140
arb̄ed 124
àlhōm 205
bed $15{ }^{2}$
beran 151
beray 327
berēs 314
betaro 139
bidan 122, 150
biddian 118, 152, 294
bidi 3 I 5
bilitan 168
bindan $15{ }^{2}$
biodan 150
bium 382, $3^{83}$
bōta 139
bregdan 205
dag 397, 399, 400, 402
dē 466
dohter $\mathrm{I}_{5} 2$
dōmı̄ 3 I 5
egiso 124
ehu-skalkōs 77
erbi 124
etan 139
farloran 126
farth 209
fiskar 400
fōt 139
gaf 123
gard 124
gast 153
geba 124
getan 102, 123, 124, 149, 153
geto 417
sеби 4 I 5
giotan I 39
git 459
gōd 122
gripan 138
habみa 309
habda $3^{6} 5$
hanon $43^{2}$
hetan 102
hellia 118
helpan 143
hèr 253
herian 126
herro 49I
herla 144
hèlan 139
hir 253
hnigan 109
höbid 122, 124, 149
hog Ja 309
hord 126
huggian 118
hwie 474
jungro 49 I
(gi)koran 126
korn 145
kūす 320
lātan 139
lērian 126
liggian 128, 153
gi-lik 140
luttil 144
mendian 205
mēro 126
metan 139
morgan 124
nebal 66
neriand 447
nerida 122
nelli 144
niotan 139
ōga I 50
ōkian 140
opan 138
$\bar{o} r a \leq 26$
péda 142
penning 175
pund 175
riki 140
sātum (sētum) 254
scapian 142
sceppian 118
self 15 I
sellian $23^{8}$
sēola $24^{2}$
settian 144
sibbia 118 , 151
sibun 149
sidu 122
singan 153
siok 140
sittian 128, 144
skarp 218
skat 144
skèthan 205
skip 138
slāpan 138
slōpian 138
sökian 140
stigan 124, 150
swerian 128
tand 144, $3^{17}$
têkan 140, 144
that 466
thē 466
themu 467
then 466
theru 468
thit 472
thin +19
thiod 150
thriddio +99
(gi)thunggan ${ }^{2} 59$
tiohan 144
trimuli 2 $4+$
tungad 14
ubiar 168
util $\mathbf{I}+9$
unk 459
ulferthi 209
waldand 447
zuäpan 138
aeckian I 45
zerk 145
werpan 143
weet 139
willio 238
wit 459
wititi 189
werecan 189

## VII. OLD ENGL.ISH

zecir 80, 285
æむm 205
$\bar{x}$ fen, exflentid 107
Elfilm 226
xppel 120
x 105
zew 86
a $\bar{j} 37^{2}$
ajend 317
(an 372
àn 251
apuldre 120,190
är 86
bxer, hēron 300, $3^{12,}$ 318
bana 90
beàm 109
bid (d) $\mathrm{I}_{5} 2$
(be)-IVfan 85, 168
beodan $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ -
leom $3^{82}$
beran 67, II 4, 15 I
bere, berap 283, 314, 327, 329
betera 139
bidan 122,150
liddan 118,152
bide 315
bidreozan 70
bindan $67,114,5_{5}$
bitan 66
bitter 120, 285
*bleowan 244
blinde 283
böc 66,25 I, 268
$b 0366$
böt 139
bröpor 66, 73, 25I, 268, 442
brücan $30+$
bunde 313
byçan, bühte $3^{6} 3$
camb 80
$\operatorname{can}(n) 80,37^{2}$
coorian 8 I
сё̄sun 80, 165
(inn 98
cnafia 121
copor 116, I20
corn 145
corpor 169
cū 8 I
cuman 82, 303, 345
cuip 320
cūpe 309
cwèn 82
čuepan, стшжр 162, 300
cwic 82
cynn 80
$d \overline{2} d 67$
dre3 I31, 397-402
dāj 68
deत̄̆g $37^{2}$
deazian 90, 91
deazol 102
dearr 372
deci) 169
dèman, dèm 119,315
dile 128
dohtor 67, II4, $\mathbf{I}_{5} 2$
draca 121
dream 70
drinzan 259
düfun $90,9 \mathrm{I}$
duru 67
dyde 3II
$\overline{\text { ect }} 77,86$
eacan 140
Eadwecer 130
eafora 168
еадзе 150
eahta 74, 251, 283
eald 169
ealu 106
eare ${ }^{125} 5126,171$
earfod 124
earnian 171
efen 102, 285
egesa 124
enze 68
eoh 77
(xt)-eowian 109
elan, àl 79, 139, 347
freder 71, 85, 442-4
fäh 75
faran, far, för 305, 315
feallan 62,307
fearh 71
feal (w) 171
fela (feolu) 7 I, 264
fell 97
feoh 71
feond 317
feorh I7I
fif 71， 282
firzen 170
fisc 7 I
fleam 109
fleozan 109
flien 163
folgen 318
fôn 259
for－leosan 165
for－loren 126
föstor 101
föt 71，79，139， 257 ， 261， $44^{8}$
frēond 317
frēsan 165
frōd 62
fuzol 285
full 97， 253
（mid）fyrwe 17 I
fyper 73

3an3an 69
3e，3it 457， 459
$3^{e-86}$
zeard 68， 124
3ebyrd 253
3ecoren 125,126
zedōn 3I9
3efriznan 296
zetic 140
3enesan 166
зеос $140,258,282$
3eofon 102
зe030p 103
зeolo 68
3eostra 68
3ētan 68，I39
3e－sceaft 99
zesealfod 320
zepunzen 259
zervis 320
jiefan 123，124，149， I 53
sief $3^{15}$
3iefu 124，283，413－ 17

3iest 69，131， 153 ， 25I，282， 42 I
3ietan 69
3inan 68
उГеа w 103
उōd 122
3öddōnd 317
उōs 68
3ripan 138
3ита 68， 283
habban，zehæfd 64， 320
hәelend 3 I 7
həele（ $\beta$ ） 106
hrenep 78
hama 106
hana 76，430－5
hara 171
hātan，heht，hēt 139，300
heafod 76，122， 124 ， 149
heaj 170
heah 170
heall 97
heard 85
hebban 71， 76
hell 118
helpan 143
hemepe 106
heofon 102
heorte 75，144， 283
heortena 434
hèr 253
herian 126
kettend 317
hieran II9， 25 I
hläford 242
hleapan 307
hleopor 75
hliehhan 227
hlinian 75
hlüttor 75， 120
hinizan 109
hōn 259
hopian 90， 91
hræfn 98，121， $23^{2}$
hræmm 98， $23^{2}$
hrente 76
hund 73，75， 253
hwoet 77， 105
hwil 77
hwopan 307
hwösta 24 I
hyczan 118
hyht 90
ic 257,453
ierfe 124
iren I7I
is（wesan） 257
lācan，lēc，leolc 300
なぁdan 166
læ゙ran 125，126， 166

lamb II4
lan3 69
lār 283， $4^{1} 3$
leon 77， 164
liczan 69，127，128，
I53， 296
līcuma 226
Tié 3170
lipan 162， 166
lūcan 140
lunzer 70
lytel 144
mæ3 372
mæzden 169
man 372
māra 126
mene 128
meolc 80,140
meorole 109
met 106
metan 79， 139
metod 106
midde 67,257
minte 257
mödor 85， $25^{1}$
mōna 106
mōnap 106
morzen 124
mot 372
murnan 303
mucel 80
naca III
nacod 82，120， 129
nazl 65
nend I 69
nefa 71， 105
neotan 139
（ze）nered 320
nerian $119,122,166$
neriend 3 I 7
net 144
miht 74， 25 I
niman 315－317
nime 329
nöreend III
ofen 90,91
ofer 168
open $13^{8}$
ox num 435
pād 78， 142
penning 175
pund 142， 175
pylt II6， 142
read 67， 251
reord 126
rice 140
ridend 317
rycze 153
ryze 121
s戶्x 86
sæeton（sëton） 254
sammian 102
scādan 205
sceal $65,37^{2}$
sceolde 309
scearp 218
scealt 144
sceppan 63
scieppan 99，II8，142
scild 282
scip 138
scripan 162
stü̃e＇т 10.3
$\sin x+6$
sécall I 40
sild I 30
self 151
sindan 169
seo 462,468
sioc 140
sinfon $85,104,149$
seon 164
seopan 162
sellan 144
$\operatorname{sib}(b) \times 18,151$
sidu 122
size sizor 45 I
sincan 130
sinjan $70,115_{5}, 130$ ， 153
siltan 79，128，144． 257
si）， 169
slæppan 138
slëun，slöze 163
slüpan 78， 138
smipan 162
snoru 86
snottor 120
sol 102
specan 231
spizean 72
spurnan 72， 303
standan，stōd 74，294， $35^{\circ}$
stefn 102
steorra 185
stī3 $3^{1} 5$
stizan 69， 124,150
stincan $13^{\circ}$
stream in i
sügan 303
swieor，swezer 75，I 70
sweostor III
swerian 128
szeōti 241
syzel IO3
symbel 102
tācen 140,144
leazor 1 7o
tear 75， $\mathrm{I} 44, \mathrm{I} 70$
limuinn 79
teōn（dicere）75，79， 257
tion（ducere）75， 144 ， 163
lich 75
lize 313
timbrian 79
tind 3I7
（i） $73,144,317$
tredan 348
lriez＇e 103
tuze 313
tunge 144，283， 434
twelf 168
prec 81
pret 105
万üra 467
learf，pearft 297，372
porfle 309
pencean 99，259， 363
penian 73
peod 150
beon 163， 259
polian 73
pon 104
porp 78
pridda 85， 499
prie 73
prinzan 130
pü，рес 73， 457
pwean 163
bynne 73
ühta 130
wæ̈pen $13^{8}$
woter 79，IO2
wuāt 79，139， 25 I，282， 372
wecc（e）an 145
reezan 68
zeeorc 145
zeoorpan I 43
weorpan 162
zesan $165,33^{82}$
สeiduzir 67
＊iテ3an 76
wile 257
wind 257
wine 421
winter 285
wit 459
wolde 388
wrecan 189
wulle 97
zyyrcean 99
zuyt 79
weyrt-walu 130, 242
yfel 149

## VIII. OLD HIGH GERMAN

(For $c, c h, q$, see under $k$; for $z^{\prime}$ under $f$; for un under $w$.)
ab- 286
aba- 286
abali 168
abband 107
abgot $45^{2}$
abgutir $45^{2}$
abur 168, 198
ackar 80, 214,285
ackus 120, 242
$\bar{a} d h m o ̄ t 205,359$
aer 457, 461
afalōn 168
affoltra, 120, 190
aftar 199, 488, 492
afur 199
agana 226
aha 77, 86, 242
ahha 242
ahir 171, 279, 451
ahta 414
ahten 364
ahto 74, 251, 279, 283, 495
ahtorven 495
ahtozo 496
akar 214
al 141, 48 I
alles 503
almahticun 221
alt 169, 205, 490, 491
(gi-)altnissi 226
altar 205
altari 408
alterōm 491
althrōm 205, 49 I
alti 279
alteri 206
ambahtita $3^{6} 3$
amér 235
an 378
anagengi 406
anazert 503
ander 208, 279, 480, 481, 482, 499, 5 이
angi $56,68,489$
ango 502, 504
annimo ( $=$ an imo $)_{2} 34$
annuci, see antluzzi
anst, (h)ensti 224, 234, 424
ant- 286
anthuzzi 189, 202
antseidōn 222
apanstōhēm 225
apful $\mathbf{1 2 0}$, 190, 404
ar- 286
$\bar{a} r 86$
arabeit 124
araltén 360
aran 171
araughit 220
(gi) arbitite 363
archa 214
ardampte 19 I
arhenc 353
arleskan 34 I
arliotan 339
arm 186, 403, 490
arouge 234
(ar)prahastun 225
aruueranèr $3^{8} 3$
arwechu 214
arworpanan 191
aserbo 437
asni 408
ast, see anst
ather, see aflar
ather ( = ht) 227
atto $145^{n}$
ātum 205
au 424
(ke)auckan $35^{8}$
augan, see ougan
aughidom, see ougan
аиша, see оиша
avar 168, 198, 199
azwa, see ouzva
awi-zoraht 109
azase 200
az 105, 286
$\bar{a} z z_{2} 52,265,347$
azzasi 200
$b a$-, $p a-286$
babes 156
backan 294, 349, 35 I
backo 212
bāen $35^{8}$
bägan $35^{2}$
bahhan, pahanne $35 \mathbf{I}$
bahho 212
bannan $35^{2}$
bano 90
bant $45^{2}$
bauhnunc 214, 220
baz 504
bēde 272
beh $156,193,213,277$, 404
behhäri 277
beinberga 233
belgan 341
belgi 422
bellan 341
beran，l＇res． 5 （6，66， II4，151，209， 277，283，291， 292，327．328，344 Past，300， $3^{12,} 3^{1} 4$ Part． 318
herg 220,403
beru 407
beraidn 34 I
betuiri 408 （and note）
betdi $(=1 /) 206$
betti $128, I_{52}$
liettinn $(=t) 206$
bezeith 357
 $49^{2}$
bi 399
bi－ 286
bidan 150
liぐふ 267
（bi）gezzan 69
bigiht 219， 235
liginnan $332,3+0,34^{2}$
lim，see zuesan
liminza 193
lina 175
lindan 67，114，152， 204， 258 ，313，33I， $34^{\circ}$
binnih（＝bin ih） 234
biogan 273，301， 337
bictan $150,188,258$ ， $275,276,337,339$
（gl）böd 204
bira ${ }_{15} 6$
（gi）lirgu 278， 407
birum $3^{8} 3$
birum，see buan
biruuuīs，see buan
bis，see zevan
biscof I56， 21 7， 403
bisiue－r 23 I
bitan 122，150， 336
bitdiu（ $=1 t$ ） 206
bithian（＝bithihan） 226
bittar 120，148，284， 285
bilten，pittan，118，152．

194， $240,294,296$. $315,3^{26}, 33^{\circ}, 34^{6}$ ， $34^{8}$
Vizicäppo 196
biz 200
li゙gan 66，178，336
blā 479， 484
blūen 353，358
ओixian 358
himatan 352，51 1
blāsan $35^{2}$
blat $45^{2}$
blāwen $35^{8}$
bläwes 484
blaz（＝ablaz） 286
blichan $33^{6}$
blint 132，28．3，476， $4^{85} 5.486$
bliuz 338
Uliuzan 103，244， $33^{8}$
blou，see bliuzan
bluchisōe 220， 370
Uliucnissa 222
Uluhhen 228
bluoan 224，236，243， $35^{8}$
bluoian 236
bluostar 10 I
bluowen $243,35^{8}$
（gi）Ulīzian $244,3.37$ ， $33^{8}$
boohhum 212
（gi）borgenero 487
borōm 295
boug 403
boum 109
brang $34^{2}$
brātan $35^{2}$
brāzea 243
brehchanne 213
brehhan 194，213， 344
breman 344
brennan ifi
brestan 294，341， 343
Gret $45^{2}$
brettan 205， 341
brief 177,267
bringan $327,334,34^{2}$ brīhta $34^{2}, 36.3$
livinlatl 98 II．294． $34^{\circ}$
（1）
bruadaren 445
bruather 208
brungan 334， $34^{2}$
brunia 239， $43^{8}$
brunna 239
lirunst I I I
brüochen 270
brwoder 66，73，25I， 268，44 ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$－5
brust $+5^{\circ}$
bü 410
buach 213， 450
būan 243，354， $35^{6}$
luthelhe（＝hh）213
bulisar I56
bumis 156
buog 66
buohha 66，25I，268， $45^{\circ}$

buにza 139
burdin $4^{13} 3,4^{18}$ ，440
burg 220，222， $45^{\circ}$
burgara 130
Burgund－ 317
burio 437
（gi）buill 253
buti $33^{\text {I }}$
butin 440
büze＇an 25 I
$d \bar{a} 231$
dach，see tag
dah 81， 146
dahda 203
dahta $362,3^{6} 3$
dāhla 99，259， 363
（fir）damnōn 205
danchoēn 370
danchōn 215
dang $21_{5}^{5}$
（chi）danc 214
danne，denne 503
danta 503
dar，dara，darol ：503
daranna 234
darbēn 168,209
darf 297, 313, 376
dorfta 309
daz 105, 141, 201, 465, 466
decken 146, 203, 362, 363
dehein 228
deismo 226
deit, duit, duat, see tuon
denen 73
denken 99, 259, 328, 363
der 132, 397, 464-8
deser, dhese, disèr 469472
desses 181, 471, 472
deween 357
dez 211
dezemön 205
dheodum 418
d(h)rato, drädo 204, 208
dhuahal 207
dicanne 220
dihan, dīan 163,226 , 259, $33^{6}$
dihtōn 205, 277
diki 439
dikkames 325
din, dhinna 482
dinsan $34^{\circ}$
dinstar 1 II
diota 150
diozan 339
dir 132, 456, 457
dirro, see deser
dit 141, 202
diu 419
diu, des te $4^{6} 5^{-8}$
diufa 168, 199
diufilir $45^{2}$
diup 275
diz 202
dolén 73
dorf $56,78,143$, гя 402
dorrén 280
dorstuner 234
drankta 214
dreskan 34 I
drewen 357
$d r \bar{i}, d(h) r \bar{u} e 56,73,108$, 494
driageri 408
drīfalt 500
drigil 63
drim spurtim 501
dringan $\mathbf{1 3 0}, 259,304$, 340
driozan 339
driror 501
drisinuit 203
driske 500
dritto 85, 499
drizehan 495
drizzug 496-7
druhdtīn, 203
$d \bar{u}$, th $\bar{u}, 73,207,45^{6}$
dugidi 204
dühta 229, 270, 363
(pi)dungan 208, 241, 243
dungum 343
dunni 73, 98
(er)duompt $3^{66}$
durfan $\mathbf{1 6 8 , 1} 98$
durfdige 203
duruft, dur ruftit, thurfteo 203, 237, 285
duruh, dure, durg 226, 227
(duruh) siuntihc 213
dwahan 163, 241, 349, 350
dweran 344
èa, èha, ēze'a 86, 243
eban 102, 285, 502
ebene 195
ebur 278
eddo 210
edilink $(=g) 219$
egeslihc ( $=h$ ) 213
egiso 124
ehir 279
eht 271
ci $236,45^{2}$
eigan 334,380
eigen, eiis 236
eigenen 487
eiginaz 487
(h) eigun 224, 380, 486
eih 424
ein 201, ${ }^{251}, 271$, 494
einazzèm, einzen 500
einbér̄̄ 404
einboronon 487
einèn 494
eines $(t) 501$
einizèn 202, 503
einfolto 280
einic 219, 220
einigeru 234
einin 503
eining 500
einlif(stuntōn) 501
einlih 500
einluzzi 500
einzerigi 406
eiris $27^{2}$
eiscōn 217
eittar 148
eittarghebon 220
eldirōn 205, 490
elfa (=helfa) 226
ellian 237
elliu 279, 48 I
elo 484
elti 279
emetzigaz 201
emezzihic 225
(ge)endiöt 237
endriu 279, 48 r
enér 235, 472
engi 68, 489
emihc 222
ensebben 351
enteön 359
entero 492
enti 237, 406, 492
(ki) entiöt 35
en (io) $24^{2}, 246,276$
$\overline{\text { éo }} \bar{e}+10$
coialihe 213, 223
engihuar 225
coman 449
eomaldri 205
cozuih 203
epfrin +04
er $13^{2,277,460, ~ 461-4 ~}$
or 457
ci-286
ir $49^{2}, 499,501,504$
erahhar $\mathbf{I}_{3}$
whi, wre $\mathbf{1} 24, \mathbf{1} 76$
crda 278
erdo, cralho 210,231
erdrihes 213
èririn, èrōn 491
crlauppe 196, $35^{8}$
irm ( $=$ ir imo) $4^{63}$
erren, erien $35^{2}$
ethes- 210
etho 210
cuangelio 177

eそ 461
eรsan 56, 79, 139, 146,
178,316, 328, 347
ezzen 146
fad 190
fähan 219, 234, 259, 352, 353
falenza 190
fallan 62, $35^{2}$
falli 422
falo 479, $4^{8} 4$
faltan 306, $35^{2}$
$\left(g_{2}\right)$ fank $(=g) \quad 219$, 221
fao 484
far- 286
fära 414
$\operatorname{far}(a)^{h} 71,4.5^{2}$
faran 234, 279, 305. 306, $3^{24}, 3^{27}$ : $3^{28}$, 349
farra 190
farri, ferri 279
firl +24
farzea 189
furzeen 279
faslen 234, 360
fasto $279,5 \mathrm{O}_{2}$
fastōs $(=s t) 203$
foter 56, 71, 85, 153 n, 204, 248,396,44 I-5
faterlōs 204
féh 75
(gi) fehan 346
fihian 3+I, 343
fehu, fihu 71, 277, $282,4^{25}, 426,428$
fel 97
filhan 318, 341
( $g_{i}$ ) folhan 3 18, 341
feozug ( $=$ feor-) 496
fer- 286
fëra, fiara 266
vergo 238
ferio 238, 437
fesa 168
festeöm 486
festi 279, 479
festinunc 413
fethdhahha 210
fetiro 437
fettah 210
( $g$ i) fezzan 346
fiant 236, 317, 446, 447
ficeot 202
ficise 200
ficislicho 200
fien 317
viffoldamo 283
vīgandum 236
filleol 237
fillorane $23 \mathbf{I}$
filu 71, 503
fimf 71, 232, 282
findan 162, 208, 209, $3.34,340,34^{2}$
finf shuntiénzig $49^{8}$
fingar 403
finstar III
(shir)finstrit 220
fior, feor 495, 496, 499. 500,501
fiordung 501
fioring 500
fioriske 500
vior zerba $50 \mathbf{1}$
fir -286
firino $(p l)$.
firstammissi 187,233
fisg 217
fisk 71, 147, 217, 277,
403
fizus 200
flanzōn 192
flas 227
flégen 190
flehtan 294, 341
fleisc, fleisg 214, 217
fleisges 217
fleislich (=skl) 218
flewen 357
fingan 337
fiohan 163, 339
fiozan 339
flīzan $33^{6}$
(gi) flohan 163,339
flohat (=float) 225
floscans 201
(far)fluahhan 354
fluc, fluic 219
fluochōn 354
fluot 268
fnehan $34^{6}$
fō, foh 171, 245, 484
foalaccit 202
fogal 13 1, 285, 403
fol, voll $97,253,280$
folc, folh 2 I4, 2 I 5
follon 503
folnissa 418
folwassan (=hs) 227
fona 280
fonne (=fone) 234
for- 286
foraha 170
forahtihhun 203
forahta 285
forasago $43^{6}$
fnidro 488, $49^{2}$
forlāzseno 201
forna +92
forscon 147, 217
vorwe 280
framidi 279
frammort 186, 242, 503
frao 243, 245, 479, 484
frauwen 330, 357
fravali 279
frecchi 213
(ka) frehtohím 225
frezzan 334- 347
fridō 277, 283, 426, 427
frige 236
friosan 165,339
(gi) froran 126,165
friscit 201
friunt 317, 446, 447
frō 245, 246, 484
frouzua 245
frouwen, fremuen 245, 246, 357
frummen 186
fruot 62
fuir, vugir 236
fulli 280
fund 190
fuotar 203
fuоz 71, 79, 139, 259 , 423, 448
fuozるi" 427
fuозzит 448
fur- 286
(ki) furaptin 285
furhten 285
furi 491, 492, 499
(ka) furibit 285
furtin 227
ga (ka, cha) 153 n , 286
gabissa 168
gābunmés 326
saf, cabis 123,221
gāhes, gāes 229,5०3
gāh(ing) $\bar{u} n 503$
salan 349
g(āng $\bar{e} n, 3^{16}, 3^{81}, 3^{86}$, 387
gangan 69, 352, 386
$\operatorname{sank}(=g) 2$ I 9
gans 68, 424
garaticho 502
gar(a)wēr 285, 484
garota 189, $3^{64}$
gart 68, $\mathbf{1} 24$
garwen 189, 279, 364
$\operatorname{gar}(z e) 0$ 479, 484, 502
gast, last 69, 131 , I53, $25 \mathrm{I}, 279,282$, 420-2
(ga)zwineli 500
gāъъии 286
gazza 130
geba (kebo) 124, 240 , 283, 4 II-I $8,43^{6}$
geban 99, 123, 124 , I49, I53, 220, 277 , 3○I, $3^{23}, 329,34^{6}$ geistichun 203
geist 387
$g(h)$ eist 220
gellan 294
gelo 68
geltan $34 \mathbf{I}$
( $\mathrm{g} e$ e)nāthīh 155,2 I 9
gener, see jener
gernīicho 221, 502
gesān, see sehan
sēstīcho 203
gestaron 68
gevan, kepan 123, 149, I53, 334
(ge)vanen, see fāhan
(er)geven I 76
gervi, gourvi 245, 246, 408
gewōn 246
gez马an $34^{6}$
si, chi, ki- 86, 286
$g^{2} 457$
gie, (bi)gienc 219,353 , $3^{8} 7$
gīnēn 68
ginuach 222
ginuagon 503
ginuog 503
giozan 68, $\mathbf{1} 39,339$
$g(h) \bar{r} i \quad 220$
$g(h)$ irūni 220
git 459
githīc 219
glauwer 103, 244, 484
glizzan $33^{6}$
glocka 156
glou 103, 244, 484
gluoen $35^{8}$
gnadie 222
gnagan 349
gneht 2I4
gnitan $33^{6}$
gold 280
goman, commana 449
gomo 68, 283, 449
gorōti 2 I 4
got 268
got, god, collo 204, 206,

$$
221,280,396
$$

gotchundi 214
gōtlīch 213
goumumés 359
grā, grão 189, 243, 479,484
grab, grebir $45^{2}$
graban 196, 334, 349
grābo, grãveo 168
grīfan $138,33^{6}$
grim, chrimmiu 223
grinan $33^{6}$
griozan 339
guallichi 23 I
guan, see zimnan
guat, coat 268
guis, see vizzan
guldin 280
gümigan 2 I 4
gund-fanon 208
gungiron, see jung.
gumnan, see an
(bi)gunnon $33^{2}$
gulnste, see jung
guollih 185
gruel. sruoith 122, 206. 268, 492
gulin 280
(gi)huluan 161
hatiën 64, 186, 2.36, $284,295 \cdot 3^{1+}, 3^{16}$, $317,320,355 \cdot 361$, $365,368,369,370$, 371, 380
habön 369
haffent $3^{27}$
hafode 155
-haft 226
-hafti 279
hi̛hhan $259,35^{2}$
halb 413
hatōn 280
(gi)halōtunmés 326
hâlum 264, 344
hals 403
halspauch 222
hall 504
haltan 306, $35^{2}$
hamo 106
hanaf 78
(si)hancdi 219
hangan 334
hano, henin 76. 184, 279, 430-6
hant, hentin 427, 428
hapla 365
hapt(bandun) 199
här $45^{2}$
har(a)mscara 232, 285
harmlihho 279
harti $85, \mathbf{I}_{5} 3$
hartin 440
Hartmuotan 396
haso 171
hasze 201
haupit, houvil 149, 194
hauwan 244, 353
(er)haven $35^{1}$
hebig, hefig 168: 177 , 198
hebis, see habën
hicijin 71, 76, 161, 177,
198, 294, 296, 306,
$327,349,351$
hicifihanne 225
heikinin 224
hicil 271
heilac 219
heilant 447
hicilanto 237
heilezen 202
heilina 440
heimort $24^{2}$
heiz 146
-hciz 226
hitisan, hiǐ̧an 139, 201, 300, 306, 322, 334, $35^{2}$
helan 317, 343, 344
helfant 447
helfäri 408
helid, helides 106, 400 n
hilla 118
hicllan 341, 343
hilli 237,439
helm $26_{4}$
hel( $p$ ) fan 143, 191, 258,329, $34^{1}$
heilt 266
hemidi 106
hcpfu 198 (see heffen)
heptidun 199
(h)er 224, 472
hèr, hiar 23 I, 253, 266, 472,503
hera 503
hergin 202
(h)erda 224
heri 279, 406
herien 126,369
heri(h)unga 219, 225, 238
herio 369
herist 224
herizoho 229
herot 503
hérro 185, 49 I
herza $75,144,184$, 430-8
heris 177, 294
hicai 245. 246

hés, hiuz 267, 300, $35^{2}$
hiia, hic 231
hingiski 236, 243
hîhun 243
hizien 236, 243
hilf 1191
himil 102, 234, 403
himilisches 217
hina(na) 473,503
hinkan $34^{\circ}$
hinna, hinnān 503
hintar 492
hinvarth 209
hiof fun, hiuban 337,338
hirsi 408
hirli 405-7
hirz, hiruz 200
hīla 243
hitamum! $47^{2}$
(h) iu 224
hiu, hio 353
hiuru 472
liute 279
hiutu 472
hizur, hīgi 236, 243
hizza 146
(h)ladan 349,350
(h)lahhēn 228, 296, 351
ki-(h)latanan $35^{\circ}$
(h)leib 271
(h) lēo, (h)lë 410
ki-(h)liad $35^{\circ}$
(h) lifan $33^{6}$
(h)limman 340
(h)linèn 75
(h)liozan 339
(h) liuhtenti 225
(h) liu-mund 75
hlöh 35 I
(h)löset 225
(H) ludroig 155, 184, 219, $39^{6}$
(h)luoen $35^{8}$
(h) lütit 225
(h) lüllar $75,120,148$
(h)lütlru 225
(h)neickan 223
(h)nīgan 109, $33^{6}$
(h)niuwan $33^{8}$
hocta 284
hof 168
hoffen 90
hōh, hō 170, 229, 273 , 488, 489
(ki)hōhhu 228
hōhīna 440
hohubit 225
hōi 226
hōibet 274
-hold 226
(ge)hollen 343
holōn 280
holz 280, 402, 452
honigh 219
(chi)hordon 363
hōren 119, 237, 251 , $284,3^{2} 7,33^{2}, 363$, 366
horendo 205
(gi)hōrie 237
horo 410
hōrran 119, 185, 239, $35^{8}$
houbit 76, 122, 124, 149, 194, 209, 273
houg 170
houwi 245, 246
(h)raban 98, $23{ }^{2}$
(h) ramm 98, 232
(h)rao, rō 245, 484
(h) regilo 225
(h)reini 479
(h)reinnan 483
(h)rēo, hrō 76, 410
(h)respan 34 I
(h) reuue 199
(h)rinan 336
(h) rind $225,45 \mathrm{I}, 45^{2}$
(h)ring $\bar{a} 225$
(h)rinnit 225
(h) ris $45^{2}$
(h)riusa 322
(h)ros 225
(h)rucca 220
(h)rucki 408
(h)ruofan 353
(h)rusti 225
(h) seō 225
(h)uaszemo 2 II
hūcze 224
(h) $\bar{u} e ~ 229$
hu(g)gen, huckan 118 , 220, 223, 284
hugiu 427
huki 42 I
(gi)hukka 223
huldīn 208
hundert 498
hungar 284
hungarran 284, 364
(h)uns 224
hunt 56, 73, 75, 253, 498
huon $45^{2}$
huosto 24 I
hurolob 224
hūs 402
(h)wanne $5 \circ 3$
(h)wanta 503
(h) wār $5 \circ 3$
(h)warb 501
(h)waz 77, 105, 474
(h)weder 474
(h)weijōn 236
(h)welih 474
(h)weo, (h)wio 242, 246,276,503
(h)wer $\mathbf{1} 32,189,474$
hwerdar 210, 225
hwerfan $16 \mathbf{1}, 168,198$, 34 I, 343
(h)wïl 413
(h)wīla 77
(h)wiolih 474
(h) wïtte 225
(h) wiz 189
$i a$, ie, eo 276
iah 235
iamèr 235
iār 188
ibilo 279
-ida 418
ih, ik, 'ig, ihch, $\mathbf{I}^{2}$ 2, 213, 257, 453-5
ikaruta 242
ilemus 326
illan, îlan 364
illonto 502
imbǐ 187, 233
imbōt 233
in-, int- 286
inbiet 329
(in)blāhenen 353
inbōt 233
(in)ginno $3^{22}$
(in)gringunt(ir) 327
inhezzo $3^{22}$
ink 459
innaro 488, 492
innenwendiun 219
instandemes 325
inteiz 226
intluchhante 213
intphāhan 197, 221 , 327-8, 353
intprennent 194
intrātan $35^{2}$
intrihhen 228
intrinnan $34^{\circ}$
intseffen $35^{\mathbf{I}}$
intstupta 191
intsuab $35^{\text {I }}$
io 242,276
ir 132, 456, 457, 460-4
$i r-286$
irdisc(h) 217, 278
irhuggu 220
irleidda 206
irlikent 223
irquigken 214
irrechen 2I4
irri 185
irruophent 190
isern $17 \mathbf{I}$
ist 74, 147, 257, 291
it 14 I
italingūn 503
itniugiu 243
iu $244,45^{6}, 457,464$
$i \bar{u}, j \bar{u} 235$
iung 188
iungundi 234
is $460,461,468$
$j$, see also $i$
(gi) jegen 347
jehum, gehan 229, 235,

$$
322,346,347
$$

(bi)ichamés 235
jener 235, $47^{2}$
iesth $235,344^{6}$
jetan, gelan 235, 346
jeh 140, 258 , 280, 282
jugund 103, 208
jung 109, ${ }^{2} 59,284$, $490,49^{1}$
jungist 504
$k i$, see $g_{d} d$
Kialb, chalp $451,45^{2}$
kalo 484
kamb 80
kamif 191
$\operatorname{kan}(n) 56,80,37^{8}$
kar $45^{2}$
karkari 408
kaumantien $4^{8} 3$
kiisar 284
Kelbirisbach $45^{1}$
kempfo, kenfo 191, 215 , 232,437
kēr, chëre 214,371
kerran 8 I
ketia 239, $43^{8}$
chilichā 230
khorunka 215, 22 I
kinan $33^{6}$
kind, chind 205, 208, 214, 257
kindilin 404
kinni 98
kiosan $80,165,339$
kip, see geban
chirichün 214, 215
chirihha $23^{\circ}$
Kirst 231
kiuwan $33^{8}$

Klibunn 277
Kiledda, klelta 210
kliipta $19+$
klenan 346
Kletan 346
klibum 277, $33^{6}$
Klimban 304, 340
klingan 340
klioban 337
(bi) chnāa $a=$ bichnāe 329
knabo, knappo 121
(bi) chnā̀dī $363,3^{66}$
kneo, knio 189, 246,409
knetan $34^{6}$
kneth $(=h t) 227$
knussen 181
chone, conela 241
konsta $11 \mathrm{I}, 37^{8}$
coran 280
(gi)koran 125, 126, 165
korn 145, 23 I
choroèn 370
kortar 169
chosöge 236
kostōn 221, $25^{8}$
chraft 214
kresan 346
kretan $34^{6}$
K'riach, Chrëh 266
krimman $34^{\circ}$
krimpfan $34^{\circ}$
kriochan 337
krippea 176
Christan $39^{6}$
christianmiun 237
crucez 2II
(gi)krumpti 194
chrūt $45^{2}$
kumfi 1 II
cunffīchēm 223
-kumi 42 I
cumil, kumin 102, 234
kund, chunt 208, 334, $37^{8}$
kunig, khuninc 215, 234, 403
kuninch 222
kuningin 412
kumnan 111, 187, 233,
309, 378
kumni, 80, 187, 188, 233, 237, 405-7
kunst 111
kuo 81, 424
kupfar 116, 120, $25^{8}$, 280
curi 280
curtilachchan ( $=$ hih) 213
churtnassi 203
kurz, kurt 203
chussi 404
kust $25^{8}$
kuti $4^{24}$
(ge)kūuиan $33^{8}$
quec 82, 212, 214, 215,241
quedan, cheden 162,
189, 241, 346, 347
chat (quedan) 241
cheg 215, 241
quegkez 214
(er)chichit 241
choat (quedan) 241
choden (quedan) 241,
347
quei (zzeci) 202, 494
quelan, chelen 241, 344
quellan 341
Iueman(comen) 82, 11 I,
189, 241, 326,334,
344, 345
quhāmi 214
yuhoman 214
quūs (qucdan) $3^{2} 3,324$, 327,347
сити, 241, 345
quena (quenala) 82, 241
queran 344
quîfalön, trī̄falōn 148
quirn 277
quiro 202
chuuedēm $33^{\circ}$
là, see lāzan
laffan 349
lahan 349
lahhi 408
lamb，lembir 114，131，
$45 \mathrm{I}, 45^{2}$
lang 69，279， 49 I
langōst 504
lantderi 408
lantpūant 447
lantscheffi 217
lāo 484
lastar 226
lāta 243
（gi）laubin 188， 237
（kakan）lauffḕm $33^{\circ}$
lauph 194
（chi）laupnissa 194
laz 324
lāzan 139，265，308， $3^{2} 5,35^{2}, 353$
lazcende 202
lazzèn 146
lebēn，liban 155,277 ， 365
lecgende 223
lecisto，lezzistr 200， $49^{2}$
ledic，lidic 277
lefs 403
leggen $35^{6}$
lehhan 344
leidezen 202
leidhor 208
leih 403
leit 209
leiten $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ ， $\mathbf{1 7 9}, 35^{8}$
lengi 279
lentin 4 18， 440
leobar 479
leotkar 227
（gi）lepphēs 192
lēra 283，414
lèren，lērran 125,126 ， 166，239， $35^{8}$
lesan 165，346－7
leven 155, I 76
lezzen $146,3^{62}$
liaber，liober 490
だb 195， 196
（bi－）līban 85，168， 194
licchentan 223
（ fir）liche，（far）lich 227， 228
lichicera 202
līcuma（＝lichama） 226
だdan 161，162， 166
lie 353
lichen 229
lichti 229
lictz 201
liggen 69，127，128， I53， $219,220,223$ ， 296，346，3 8， 37 I ligi 371
－lih 279
（ $g i) l \bar{i} h(g e-l i g)$ 140， 213
lihan 77，164，229， 242，246，271， 336
（bi）limpfan 191， $34^{\circ}$
（gi）lingan 340
（bi）linnan 340
liogan 276， 337
lioht 227,275
liosan 165,339
liotfaz 227
lioth，lieth 227
「̄力h 194
lippanti 196
lirnan 277
liublīh 276
liuçilōm 202
liuf 353
liuhten 257， 275
liuth 206
loh $45^{2}$
lōha 170
lokōn 214
1ōn 273
lonōt 204
lös 165
lōssan $\mathbf{1} 8 \mathbf{1}, 225$
lotar 203
loub $45^{2}$
（gi）louben 194，196， 220， $364,37 \mathrm{I}$ ， 463
loufan 306， 334
loug I70， 237
luggi，luki 219，220， 223,483
lugin 440
lühhan $140,303,339$
luhhir $45^{2}$
luht 199
（ge）lumplih $19 \mathbf{I}$
lungar 70
lu（z）cila 202
luzzigēm 503
luzzil 144，480，492， 503
（gi）macchōst 2 I 3
（gi）mahchaz 213
mag，mach 155， 184 ，
219－22，300，313，
379
$m \bar{a} g 403$
māgaczogo 202
magatīn 169
mahti 279
malan 349
mālōn 229
$\operatorname{man}(n) 187,233,396$ ， 448， 449
manake 221，284， 439
mandhendi 205
māno 106，252， 265
mānōd 106
manslecken 223
māreo 486
māri，māro 188,265 ， $4^{8} 3$
maro 484
martolōn 230
martyr 403
masas 397
math 227
meddila 206
meghin 220
meg ih 279
Mein－ 222
（chi）meini 220
meist 503， 504
meisto $49^{2}$
meizan $35^{2}$
mckin 22 I
milkan $3+1$
mell 189，260， 28.5. 410
menden 205，
mendi 439
minisi 279,439
memni 128
minnisgo 2 I 7
mér 504
mérich 491
méro 126，271， 492
merren $\mathrm{I}_{5}$
metamo 205
meto，mito 426
mezzan 79，139， 346
mez̧̧i－sahs，messires 18 I
mias，meas 253， 267
miata，mêta 266
midan 101，162， 336
mihcheliut 213
mihhil $80,213,492$ ， 503
milli 439
miltnisso 416
miluh 80 ， 140
$\min 98,492,504$
Mīn $453,457,464$. 482
minneön 359
mimni，minnea 107，188． 419
minza 257
（pi）smicit 202
mishan $3^{6}+$
missen 211
mist 226
mit 399
mithont 210
mitti 67，257．277． 483
me 463
mohta 99，280， 379
morgen 124
mucca 223
mucgrin 220
muillen 279
mundrī 205
（li）mumiun 222
mumin，munçen 229. $35^{-8}$
muos 18I
muester IOI
muotir 85，204，25I． +4 I－5
muņ IOr，İr，3ふo
Müra 270
แルルケルuテ（う） 230
müs 424
nacket，nuhhut 82， 120 ． 129，212， 242
nāen $35^{8,} 3^{6} 3$
nagal 65
näh 242
nāhör，naher 229
nahho III， 129
nāhist 504
naht，nath 74，227， ${ }^{2} 51,450$
namaaftōsto 226
namo 279
nan 463
naz 146
nazscent（z马） 201
nebul 66， 278
nefo 71， 105
neieina 219
neigtal 219
neman，Pres．186，240， 277，283，314，323－9
Past $3^{2} 5,487$
Part． $3^{17}$ 7，334， $4^{87}$
nemnian，nennen 232， 366
neoman 449
neonaldre 205
nerien，ner（ 2 ）gan 119, $122,166,185,188$ ， $23^{8,} 240,284,316$, 320，357． $3^{61}$ r， 367 ， 447， 486
（gi）nesan 166，346－7
nest 277
nezzen 146
nezzi 144， 407
niazan 276， 329
nid 40.3
nidan $33^{6}$
midari $49^{2}$
nih 203
（gi）mindan 340， 343
niosan 339
nio马（2n 1.39
missu，－missi 279， 418
nüuto 108
nimuan 495
nohein 228
nollas，noles 280
nōt 169,204
noton 503
noz $45^{2}$
nuzzi 483
ob－ 286
oba 168， 492
obaro 492
Odalgher 223
odho，odo 210
ofan 90
offan $138,190,284$
offerunc 193
offonōn 284
ofphano 190
oh 280
olde 280
onda 280
opferōn 193
orra 125，126，171， $4.3^{8}$
order 210
örrēn 226
ortforma 280
Otahhar 130
ith 208
oug 213
ouga $150,434,438$
ougen 109，219，222，
$223,325,3^{6}+$
ouhhōn 140，273， 307
оиzea 86，17 I， 244
$p, p h, p f$
pacchet $35^{1}$
pachim 422
păgan! $3^{28,} 35^{2}$
palsi 422
pech, see beh
peit, see pfeit
pendinc 191, 192, 234
perac 285
perahtnissi 194
perpurnemus $3^{26}$
petoèm $33^{\circ}$
Petrusan 396
pesiruno 234
pfad 192
pfarra 192
pfîzo 142
pfeit 78, 142
pfennig 192, 234
pflegan 78, 190, 192,
346
phiegin 353
phinön 192, 334
phlanzōn 192
pi 195, 286
picrapan, see graban
piligrim 230
pina 175
piporgen 286
pis (to zeesan) $3^{8} 3$
piscaurē̆he 225
piscluoc (to slahan) 211
piunerlio 322
(ca)pleruほう 354
plôh 191
plözan 354
pluhhendi 228
Polōnan 396
predigön 156, 175:193, 222
preitet 334
priesda 203
priestar 175
prinit 234
prooth 205
prucge 223
torukhumés 213
prunno 195
(p)salmo 193
(p)sitich 193
puah 213, 450
f(f)unt, phunt 142, 192
purgeo 237, $45^{\circ}$
puzzr, phuzzi 116,142
rabo, rappo 121
rad ion, 107
rarta 126
rask 101, 107
rāt 403
rātan 265, 267, 352
recchan 145
rechan 344
recken 145
reda 239
redan 346
redia 237, 239, 438
redinu 414
$\operatorname{red}(i)$ ön 359
regan 403
(gi)rehfestigōt 203, 334
rehhan 189
rehhita 362
rehlihhu, retliche 203, 227
re(c)ht 184, 203, 227
rehterēn 49 I
rehtes 503
rehtiu 237
rehtnissu 414
Rein 222
reinör 504
(ar)rekita 362
restī 440
ríchisōia $23^{6}$
riemo 267
rīfèn 360
rīhan $33^{6}$
rihces 213
(ar)rihctit ( $=h t$ ) 227
rihi 140,213
rihtet 334
riman 336
rimpfan $34^{\circ}$
ringan $34^{\circ}$
rimnan $98 n, 187,233$, 277, 340
rinnant 328
riochan 337
riozan 339
rippi 128
rīsan $33^{6}$
risi 42 I
rītan 204, 277, 336
riumda 205
riuzvan 244, 338
rizan $33^{6}$
roc, $\operatorname{rog} 227$
roggo, rokko 12 I
rör 17 1,273
rōrrē̄no 239
rōt 67, 25 I
rottendit 206
ruaba 198
(gi)ruamptin $23^{2}$
ruggi 153,223
rūmta 186
rüna 270
ruofa 168
ruogen 189
ruoh 229
ruomgerni $23^{2}$
(gi)ruort 366
sa, se, sia 463
sachit 279
säen, sāian 224,236 , $243,35^{8}$
sagēn 220, 227, 327, $3^{60}, 3^{6} 5$
sageius 37 I
sah, sach 164, 184. 228, 229
sähen, säzen 229, 243, $35^{8}$
sahhan 349
sahhu 414
sahs 76
sal, sol, see skal
salböge 236,370
salbōn 236, 289, 295, 312, 314, 316, 317, 320, 333, 334, 355, $3^{61}, 3^{68-71}$
salig 489
sule 484
(firi) sath, (hiti) sall $28+$ 366
salleri 408
sultion 155
salzan $35^{2}$
samal $47^{2}$
samanaflic 226
samfle 502
-samil 279
sangheri 408
santunt (ir) .327
sitir 503
sartf, salf 218
(si) süssnissi 201
sutumes 359
(gi) sazter 366
sbrehan, see sprehhan
scouf, sciaph 190
sciudo, scidin 279
scaffi. -scaf $279, t^{2}+$
scaftion $35^{1}$
scilh 212, 214. 215
scallan 307
siario 437
sceffan 19 r
sce/manna 449
scepheri 408
siepphion 192, 237
scheffidhes 214
(bi) schein 217
(gi, scier 371
$\sin 217,319$
scïnan 336
scirm 217
sclaf 211
sclahan 211
scläphum 190, 21 I
sclicter 211
screiph 194
(k2) screrol 354
scrīan $23^{1}, 236,33^{6}$
scrīban 194, 214, 322, $33^{6}, 4^{86}$
scrigil ( $=$ scrïi $) 23^{6}$
scrintan $34^{\circ}$
(se) scrithte 199
scrirun 231
(gc)-siviten 155
scuha 229, 270
sculd 214, 334
sculdतेic 219, 221
scuola 268
se 271, 466
sciall 226
secchite 214, 237
seckil 2 I 2
segan 277
sihan 164, 184, 229,

$$
24^{2}, 34^{6}
$$

sehhan 228, 241
sihse 495
sckiler 212
siled $2+6,+14$
soll, sclio 151, 15.5,
$176,194.472$
sclider 1 30
sellin, sellien 238, 284 . $3^{66}$
(gi)sello +37
semfti $23{ }^{2}$
$\operatorname{sen} 229$
$\left.\sin ^{\prime} a\right) w a 2+2$
(zor) senchil $(=k) 214$
senden 169
séo 86, 189, 242, 271, 410
$\operatorname{sit} 271$
siro +10
séula 242,246
(gi)sezan 164, 229.
246, 347
sextun 227
(ka)sezcida 202
sizzin 14t, 279, 327,
$356,3^{62}, 3^{66}, 463$
scralto 217
siscro 217
sia, sio, si 460-3
sibbe, sipbea 176
sibun, siban $85,104$.
$149,153,277,495$
silunzo $49^{6}$
sidero $49^{2}$
sucho 229
sicla 241
sigran $33^{6}$
sigehaf 203
siginlift 2.3.3
sigiu 427
$\sin 459$
sīhan $33^{6}$
(sa)sihit 323,327
(ki) siit 226
sin, sinan $3^{82}, 3^{8} 3$, $457,460,46 \mathrm{I}, 464$, 48 I, 482
sind, sinthes 208, 257 , 403
sindun $3^{83}$
singan 70, 114, 130, 153, 219, 221, 326,
328, 330, $34^{\circ}$
sinkan, sce singan
sinkan $130,304,340$
simnan 107,340
siodan 162,339
sioh 140,275
sippia, sibbia 118, 15 I, I 88
sīt, sìd 209, 504
silu, sidiu 122,277 , 427, 428
siu $277,460,462$, 463. 466
siuthhi 27.5
siuuen $24^{6}$
siz'un 149
sizcenti 202
sizzen, Pres. 79, 12\%, 144, 240, 257, 296, $3^{16}, 328$. 346, 348, 357
Past 146, 252, 254
skaban 349
skadōn 63
slaffan, skaffon $1+6$,
35 I, $3^{6} 4$
(gi)-skafi 99
skahāri (eri) 213, 408
skal, scol 65, 214, 218,
280, 377, 379
skaltan $35^{2}$
skama 96
skanter 96
skalo (azeis) 410
skauwōn 244
skaz I44, 403
skehan $34^{6}$
skeidan 205, 352
skellan 34 I
skeltan 34 I
skeltunga 277
skepfant 447
skepfen 99, 118, 142, 146, 198, 296, 349, 35 I, 364
skeran 344
skerian 238
skēro, skiaro 266
skerran 341
skif 138
skilt 204, 277, 282, 423
skimbäre 233
skīnan 75, 147
skioban 337
skiozan 339
skirmda 205
skrōtan 354
skutita, -tla 179,362
skuwo 103
släfan $138,175,190$, 306, $35^{2}$
sliaf $267,35^{2}$
slāfōn 369
slahan, slän 163, 229, 279, 3 I 3, 349, 350
(gi) slahti 279
slecter $(=h t) 227$
slēo, slē 484
slächan 336
slīfan $33^{6}$
slihttī 203
slintan $34^{\circ}$
sliofan 78, 138, 337
stiozan 339
sliumo, sliemo 234
slizan 336
sluogi 3 13
smalihhēm 503
smelzan 341
smerzan $34^{1}$
smizan 336
snahhan 349
snello 502
snēo, snē 189, 409, 410
snerfan 341
snerhan 34 I
snïdan 162, 209, 336
(aba)snit 209
sniumo 234
snottar 120
snura 86
so 503
so (Pron.) 463
sōhken 213
solan 218
sole(h)es, solemo 472
solih 212, 472
soma 280
sorc-haft,-sam 222
sorga 24 I
sou 410
spahidu 4I4
spaltan 62, 307, 326, $35^{2}$
spambetle 233
spanan 296, 349
spannan 296, $35^{2}$
spano 322
spattiu $4^{8} 3$
spechan 23 I
spehōn 72, 147
spēo, spé, spēh 189,335
spiagal 267
spīgan 335
spīhiri 213
spinnan $34^{\circ}$
(ge)spiren 335
spī(w)an 72, 147, 189,
242, 243, 246, 335
spornōn 72
spotton 210
sprāha, sprōkha 213
sprehhan 193, 2 I5,344
sprikhit 2 I3
sprincuurc 202
springan 175,340
sprinet 234
spriu 244, 410
spurt 50 I
spurnan 147, 294,303, 343
spuun 335
slafla 362
staimbortchludun 233
stān, stēn 147, 316,
$35^{\circ}, 3^{81}, 3^{86}, 3^{87}$
stantan 74, 205, 304, $349,35 \circ, 3^{8} 7$
slapot 191
starh, starach 215,285
stecko, stēhho 212
stega 277
stehchalēr 213
stehhan 146, 147, 344
stehho 2 I 2
stehic 225
stepfen 362
stēist $3^{8} 7$
sterban 194, 341
sterno, sterro $\mathbf{1 4 7} 185$
sterozun 354
steteo 424
stīg 3 I 5
stigan 69, 124, 150, 220, 257, 270, 277 ,
293, 301, 335, 336
stimma 98, 102, 186, $23^{2}$
stinkan 130, 304
stioban 337
stiriz 354
stozan 273, 353, 354
strāo, strō 245, 246, 410
strauzven 357
stredan $34^{6}$
stredunga 277
strengi 220
strewen 357
strichan $33^{6}$
strītan 336
strōm I I I
stüen $35^{6}$
stunt 4I3
(sehs)stuntōm 501
suannan 187, 233
suar 24 I
suazerēn 49 I
suazzi 24 I
suèr 229
sifinn 303． 3.39
süs（303，339
suht 99
（bi）suikhe 213
suintan 277
sumar 280
sümman 186
（gl）sund 204
$\operatorname{sun}(u) 4^{2} 5^{-8}$
sund 377
sundiöno 204， 237
sunne 102
sunte 237，240， 412
sunteīn 359
sunthar 206
suntrigun 234
suo 424
suohhen 140
suonotukin 221
suo弓马i 241
sus 503
sutun 162
sumozzi $2 \neq 1$
sumuimmen 241， $3 \dagger^{\circ}$
swalwa 129,189
sizarz 189
swechan 344
swehur 75，170， 278
sweifan $35^{2}$
swelhan 341
swellan 341
swerlian $34^{1}$
swerien $128,240,295$ ，
327， $349,35{ }^{\text {I }}$
suor 241
suluor 241
swester 111，441－5
swīchan $33^{6}$
swigar 86，170，278， 445
swigèn 90
swimman 108， 258 ， $277,285,340$
swin 270， $45^{2}$
swinan $33^{6}$
swingan 340
swintan 304
sworga $2+1$
lugs，lac 131，186， 222 ， 394，395－402
lal 452
tar 309，323， 376
tât $56,67,424$

tuig 68
teil 271,501
（ar）teillan 204， 358
lillann $34^{1}$
tempal 178
tewen 357
Th，see also $D$
thannana 234
thegran +03
thegein 219
theilh $(=$ thaz ith $)+68$
theol 275
thesér 469， 470
thicho 223
thictōla 206
thinure 468
thizvōn 419
thruhtin 203，206，227， 396
thruoèn，druoen 210， $35^{8}$
（thuruh），skluog 21 I
thuruphtigōn 190，199， 225
thuuihal 207
tili，tilli 128， 408
tisk，lisc 205， 277
tiufal，diubil 177，199， 205，258，452
tiuffi，diufi 190， 205
tiuri，diuri 204，257， 439
tōd，dōt 169，204，208， ${ }^{2} 73$
tohter $67,114,152$, 441－5
tör 171
torren 209
lou 243， 410
toufen 273
toug 375
tougan 102
touzven 357
tracko，trahho 121
tracgan 349
trān 229
trechan 344
treffan 344
treso 242，410
tretan 346， $34^{8}$
triban $33^{6}$
trinkan 334， 340
triofan 337
triogan，treogan 70， 337
triuhaft，triuafle 226， 244
triuzea 244
triuzvi 103， 244
tröif 274
lropfo 190， 192
troum 70
trübo 209
trūen 210，224， 243
trumum 210
trüwan 25 I
tūfar，tūbar 168
tumpheit 194， 195
tumpnissi 194
tuo，tuoie，tuoge $3^{8} 5$
tuom 187
tuomen I 19
tuomi 3 5
tuomtag 232
tuon $3^{11}, 314,3{ }^{16}$ ． $3^{81}, 3^{84}, 3^{8} 5$
turi $67,4^{2+}$
tusig $17 \mathbf{I}$
tūsunt 209， 498
tunifolda 280
twelan 189， 344
twingan $158,189,209$ ， 340， 343
uaran 197 （see faran） ubar 168，195， 280 ubarkepfenti 198 ubarhlaupnissi $\mathbf{1} 90$ ubil，upil，uてil 149， 196，224，492， 502
ulper 196
ubpisi 196
uch，iuch 457
ucze 201
ühta 130
ulagin 197
ummaht，unmaht 233
ummet 233
un 234
un－ 233
unbigihtīc 219
unchüschida 217
undar，zwisken 500
underōro $49^{2}$
－unga 4I8
ungaanteōt 359
ungamachu 286
ungipahaniu 351
unhreinitha 225
unker 459
unkihigitèr 243
unmezhaft 224
unolda 226
unrehdles 203
unrehlichon 203
unsemphti 199
unsēr，－az，－u 464
unsic $2 \mathrm{I} 3,453-7$
unsipberon 176
unt－ 286
untar 492
untarmerchi 214
unthurf 203
untwichan 286
unwirdic 219
unza 234
uolla 197
uor 197
ur 286
urhepphantiu 198
urlriuhlīcho 203
üz 105，488， $49^{2}$
uzserōm 201
uzspiget，uspiget 243， 335
uzsumman 241
üzるenvendium 219
wachar 214
（duruch）uuachēem $33^{\circ}$
wado 129
wäen 224
wāafan 138
（gi）rvahan 349， $35^{\circ}$
wā̆hen 224
（gi）wahinen $35^{\circ}$
wahsan，w＇assen 181， 226， $227,328,349$
waldendeo 486
wales 225
walkan $35^{2}$
－walt 242
waltant $\mathbf{1} 88,447$
walth 206
（ki）waltit 279
valzan 307，35²
テ́cān，wāmu 322， 369
veānda 20．5
wanga $43^{8}$
デล̄ทㄴ 237，328，369
wanne 503
（gi）want 366
zeanta 225,503
（bi，wäppo 196
wār 503
waram 285
warch 222
wära 239
zarza，uarca 202
waskan 107， 349
wasmo（＝waxsmo） 226， 227
zoat 141
walan 349
muatih 234
（gi）watin 407
waxsanne 227
zeaxsmo 227
waz，was 201， 225.

## 474

zvazhan 2 II
va゙弓るar，wazssar 79， 102， 178
weban 346
zecch 222
wecken，zecichan 145 ， 214，362－3
wedar 225， 474
wegan $68,326,346$
wehan $34^{6}$
uuehlihhc 213
wehsalōn 277
uueih 474
weiz 79， $101, \mathbf{1 3 9 , 1 8 1}$ ， 201，225， 251,277 ， 282，297，323，327， 372，374
weizi 408
wela，wiola 502
welchèr，welèr 474
welih 212,474
wellan，wollen $13 \mathbf{1}$ ， 204，257，291， 322 ， $3^{27}, 370,388,3^{89}$
wellan 34 I
zeellen 389
（gi）zelli 279
wēnag 272
wēo，wio 474，503
ze＇er 455
wer（hwer）189， 474
werach 285
werdan $162,208,209$ ， 324，329，334， 340 ． $3+1$
uuerdunc 4 I3
werfan，werban $16 \boldsymbol{r}$ ． 198
werhliute 2 I 5
zerien，werihan 225 ． $23^{8}$
werinprahtan 396
zeerk，werch 145,214 ． 215
weroltit 206
zecrpfan，werfan 143 ，
$191,198,34 \mathrm{I}$
zerran 34 I
แuervan 199
wes 503
wesa 329
zecsan $165,234,240$ ． $34^{6}, 3^{82}, 3^{8} 3$
was $165,347,3^{8} 3$
unese 234
woslon $(=$ wochslen $) 226$
resstir 4.51
ate tan $34^{6}$
aetar 203
atixsal 227
whari 408
wū̆ri 243
withan $33^{6}$
zividaōn 210
widerert, widarol 2.31
zi้e, ииіе 276,474
चiif) 155
aîfan $33^{6}$
zuigant 170, 447
(gi) atiggi 219
wihan 76, 109, 170. 259, $33^{6}$
wīhc 222
wihd 203
ziihtir $45^{2}$
willeon $188,237,238$
winberi 233
windan 304
zimi 408. 42 I
wini $(g)$ a 239
zeinnan 294, $34^{\circ}$
(g) uuínnèn 241
zient $257,277,423$
wintan $34^{\circ}$
wintar $147,203,285$
wiof 353
wiolih 474
zilior ( = wio er) $4^{603}$
wiffil $7^{8}$
wir 132, 455
wuirche 214
wirdar 210
wirdīc, wïrdīh 15.5 , 219, 222
unirdineozamas 326
wirdröta 210
wirdria 210
wïrouh 226
wirs 492,504
zeirpar 210
wis 413, 415
ziiscla, wista 218
zuisk 90
wissa 101, $277.37 t$
wissan 181, $35^{8}$
muisungis $\operatorname{li}^{1} 4$
(ki) zeiszidy 201
a.
zilumed 6\%, 277

zamdun 131
woldar 231

ziella 97
atombla 280
wonanti 360
wort 231, 404
revckko 146
ruulpa 119
zuunsgendo 217
zunsken $3^{6} 4$
wunsla 364
wuntan 334
wuntar, zeunder 203
unumtarlihc 213

zello 474
z゙uofan 307,353
wurf fum $25^{8}$
wurken, zivkan 214 , 355,363
zeurz 79
weurzala $130,242,284$
uurzun, uuŗun 202, 413
$z a-, z e-, z i-286$
$z a, z u o 286$
Zachariasan 396
zahar $75,144,170$, 279
(c) zala 202
zaltaz $\left(=\text { zalta } \text { ig }^{2}\right)^{6} 3$
zand $73,14+3$ 17
zaplāhannèr 353
ze gunste ( $=$ jungristi) 235
zehan 75, 170, 495
zehaning 500
zehanzo 498
(be)zěhinel 213
zchiniu 495
zeihan 213
scihhun 1 fo, 144.212, 271
zeisan $35^{2}$
zel(l)en 279, 284, 322,
$35^{6}, 3^{61}, 366,367$,
371
(gi)z:l (=zeli) $3 \mathrm{rl}_{1}$
zelluh (= zellu, ih)
454
zeman III, $3+4$
zemman 79
zën, zeen 229, 495
zënstunt 50 I
zeran 344
zéri 266
zeso $410,4^{8} 4$
zeszea 79, 226, 242
zerven 357
ziagal ${ }^{17} 8$, 266, 267
ziari 266,490
zidh 209
zihan 75, 79, 87, 257.
$277,3{ }^{1} 3,335,33^{6}$
zimbrōn (zimbarōn) 79 .

## I II

zimn 317
zins 178
ziohan 75, 144, 163
229, 258, 273, 275,
313, 337
ziori 439
zisamene 102
zisceido 322
zo 494
$z \bar{o}(=z \bar{o} h) 227$
zor (a)hl 285
zueholus 371
-zug 170, 496
zugil, zuhil 229
zuhhun 228
zumft, zunft I I I, $23^{2}$
zung $144,283,430$,
434, 435
zunon 234
züpften 233
zur- 79
zurkank 286

## INDEX VIII

zurlust 286
$z w \bar{a} 494$
zwehlhan 344
$\left.z_{1}^{\prime} e\right)$ wei $(=$ zwei 285 ,
494
zweiero 494
zweiio 103
zweijo 236

```
zweinzug, cuueincug
    202,496
zwelif 168
zwēne 189, 272,494
zwiecchēm 223
zwīfal, zow'īval 199,
    24I
zwifalōn 148
```

zwîfalt 500
zwig I 55, 2 I 9
zuinal 500 zwineline 500 zuirō(r) I 32, 501 zwiro zehanzug 498 zwiske 500
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the term 'graded verbs' which I have adopted in preference to 'strong' I am indebted to Professor Macdonell, who introduced it in his Sanskrit grammars.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following details are for the most part drawn from Braune, Aha. Gr.; but for the sake of clearness his valuable material has been rearranged and added to.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ For $-s$ in OHG. biris, herès, \&c., cf. $3,23$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Got. bairaiina for *hairdion is probably due to the analogy of the ist pers. pl. bairáima.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Class v, where the Past Part. has neither the second element of a diphthong nor the $\psi$-vowel accompanying the nasals and liquids, the vowel of the Past Part. seems to have been lent by the Infinitive.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Such at least seems the generally accepted theory, but compare the ingenious theories of A . Kock, $P B B$. xxiii. 84 .

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kluge and Wilmanns claim that a certain number of Gmc. Pret. Pres. (Got. kann, an, parf, \&c.) arise from old $\cdot m i$ presents through analogical formations. $G r$. i . 440 ; Wilmanns, iii, $\S 57.3$. The point, however, is still obscure, but whatever their origin these verbs in Gmc. have perfect inflexions of graded verbs in the present tense. These they retain in OHG., as also the $-t$ of the original Gmc . in the 2 nd pers. sing.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ OS. dagos (Hild. 6 helidos), OE. dajas, are instifficiently explained, as final -s would have fallen in W. Gmc. : they are generally assumed to base upon an IG. extended form ōs:es, from which possibly Got. -os and O. Fris. -ar (fiskar) also derive, Cf. Wilmanns, iii, § 160 , and Bethge, § 318 ,

[^8]:    1 The use of the flectionless adjective spreads from the nominative to other cases, and even to the feminine, especially in predicative use.

