asiu

ENT OF COMMENT WARTMENT OF COMMENT STATES OF AMERICA NOAA Technical Report NMFS SSRF-765

Distribution and Abundance of Larvae of King Crab, *Paralithodes camtschatica*, and Pandalid Shrimp in the Kachemak Bay Area, Alaska, 1972 and 1976

**Evan Haynes** 

April 1983



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service

### NOAA TECHNICAL REPORTS

### National Marine Fisheries Service, Special Scientific Report—Fisheries

The major responsibilities of the National Marine Eisheries Service (NMES) are to monitor and assess the abundance and geographic distribution of fishery resources, to understand and predict fluctuations in the quantity and distribution of these resources, and to establish levels for optimium use of the resources NMES is also charged with the development and implementation of policies for managing national fishing grounds, development and enforcement of domestic lisheries regulations, surveillance of forcign fishing off-United States coastal waters, and the development and enforcement of international fishery agreements and policies. NMES also assists the fishing industry through marketing service and economic analysis programs, and mortgage insurance and vessel construction subsidies. It collects, analyzes, and publishes statistics on various phases of the industry.

Fhe Special Scientific Report – Eisheries series was established in 1949. The series carries reports on scientific investigations that document long-term continuing programs of NMES, or intensive scientific reports on studies of restricted scope. The reports may deal with applied fishery problems. The series is also used as a medium for the publication of bibliographies of a specialized scientific nature.

NOA V fechnical Reports NMES SSRF are available tree in limited numbers to governmental agencies, both Federal and State. They are also available in exchange for other scientific and technical publications in the marine sciences. Individual copies may be obtained from D822, User Services Branch, Environmental Science Information Center, NOAA. Rockville, MD 20852. Recent SSRF's are:

726. The Gulf of Maine temperature structure between Bai Harboi. Maine and Yarmouth. Nova Scotia. Jane 1975. November 1976. By Robert J. Pawlowski December 1978. iii + 10 p. 14 figs. 1 table.

727 Expendable bathythermograph observations from the NMES MARAD Ship of Opportunity Program for 1975 By Steven K. Cook, Barelav P. Colfins, and Christine S. Carty, January 1979, iv +93 p. 2 ligs 1.13 tables, 54 app. ligs

728 Vertical sections of semimonthly mean temperature on the San Francisco Honolulu route: From expendable bathythermograph observations. June 1966 December 1974 By I F T Saur, L F Eber, D R McLain, and C E Dorman January 1979, m + 35 p, 4 figs., 1 table

729 References for the identification of marine invertebrates on the southern Atlantic coast of the United States. By Richard E. Dowds: April 1979, iv  $\pm$  37 p

730 Surface circulation in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico as deduced from drift bottles. By Robert F. Jeniple and John A. Martin. May 1979, m + 13 p., 8 figs. 4 tables.

731 Annotated bibliography and subject index on the shortnose sturgeon. *Acipensei brevirostrium*: By James G. Hoff, April 1979, in ±16 p

732 Assessment of the Northwest Atlantic macketel, *Scomber scombrus*, stock By Emory D. Anderson, April 1979, iv + 13 p., 9 figs., 15 tables

733 Possible management procedures for increasing production of sockeye salmon smolts in the Naknek River system, Bristol Bay, Alaska, By Robert I. Ellis and William J. McNeil. April 1979, in +9 p. 4 figs., 11 tables

734 Elscape of king crab. *Paralithodes cantschattea*. from detelict pots. By William I., High and Donald D. Worlund. May 1979. iii +11 p. 5 figs. 6 tables

735 History of the lishery and summary statistics of the sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka* (runs to the Clignik Lakes, Alaska, 1888–1966, By Michael I, Daliberg, August 1979, iv +16 p. 15 ligs). H tables

736 A historical and descriptive account of Pacific coast anadromous salmonid rearing facilities and a summary of their releases by region, 1960–76. By Roy J. Whide and Robert Z. Smith. September 1979, ivo 40 p., 15 figs. 25 tables

We Movements of pelagae dolphines (*Stenella* spp.) in the eastern fropical Paetic as anticated by results of tagging, with summary of tagging operations, 1969–76. By W. L. Perrin, W. F. Evans, and D. B. Holts, September 1979, in (14 p., 9 figs.) 8 tables.

738 = 1.0vironment.d baselines in Long Island Sound, 1972, 73, By R. N. Reid, A. B. Frame, and X. I. Draxler. Desember 1979, iv +31 p, 40 figs, 6 tables.

739 Bottom water temperature trends in the Middle Atlantic Biglit during spring and autumn, 1964–76. By Clarence W. Davis, December 1979, in - 13 p., 10 tigs., 9 tables

740 - Food of filteen northwest Atlantic gaditorm lishes. By Richard W Langton and Ray 1 - Bowman (February 1980, iv +23 p = 3 figs., 11 tables

741 Distribution of gammaridean Amphipoda (Crustacea) in the Middle Atlantic Bight region: By John J. Dickinson, Roland L. Wigley, Richard D. Brodeur, and Susan Brown-Leger. October 1980, x1+46 p., 26 figs., 52 tables

742. Water structure at Ocean Weather Station V. northwestern Paerfic Ocean. 1966 71 By D. M. Husby and G. R. Seekel, October 1980, 18 figs., 4 tables.

743 Average density index for walleye pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma*, in the Bering Sea. By Eoh-Lee Low and Ikuo Ikeda, November 1980, iii +11 p. 3 figs., 9 tables.

744 Tunas, oceanography and meteorology of the Pacific, an annotated bibliography. 1950-78, by Paul N. Sind. March 1981, iii + 123 p.

745 Dorsal mantle length total weight relationships of squids *Loligo pealer* and *Illex illexebrosus* from the Atlantic coast of the United States, by Anne M. T. Lange and Karen L. Johnson. March 1981, m + 67 p. 5 figs., 6 tables

746. Distribution of gammaridean Amphipoda (Crustacea) on Georges Bank, by John J. Dickinson and Roland E. Wigley June 1981, ui + 25 p. 16 figs., 4 table.

747. Movement, growth, and mortahty of American lobsters, *Homarus americanus*, tagged along the coast of Mame, by Jay S. Krouse. September 1981, in +12 p., 10 figs. 8 tables.

748 Annotated bibliography of the conch genus *Stronibus* (Gastropoda, Stronbidae) in the western Atlantic Ocean, by George H. Darcy. September 1981, iii +16p.

749 Food of eight northwest Atlantic pleuronectriform Tishes, by Richard W. Langton and Ray E. Bowman. September 1981, in +16 p., 1 lig. 8 tables

750 World Interature to fish hybrids with an analysis by family, species, and hybrid. Supplement 1, by Frank J. Schwartz. November 1981, ni+507 p.

751 The barge *Ocean* 250 gasoline spill, by Carolyn A. Griswold (editor). November 1981, iv +30 p. 28 figs. 17 tables

752 Movements of tagged summer (Jounder, *Paralichthys doutatus*, off sonthern New England, by 1: E. Eux and E. E. Nichy. December 1981. (ii) +16 p., 13 Jigs., 3 tables

753 Eactors influencing ocean catches of salinon. *Oncorhynchus* spp., off Washington and Vancouver Island, by R. A. Low, Ji. and S. B. Mathews, January 1982, ix + i2 p., 6 figs., 7 tables

NOAA Technical Report NMFS SSRF-765



Distribution and Abundance of Larvae of King Crab, *Paralithodes camtschatica*, and Pandalid Shrimp in the Kachemak Bay Area, Alaska, 1972 and 1976

Evan Haynes

April 1983

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration John V. Byrne, Administrator National Marine Fisheries Service William G. Gordon, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

# Distribution and Abundance of Larvae of King Crab, *Paralithodes camtschatica*, and Pandalid Shrimp in the Kachemak Bay Area, Alaska, 1972 and 1976

#### EVAN HAYNES'

#### ABSTRACT

Distribution and abundance of larvae of king crab, Paralithodes camtschatica, northern shrimp, Pandalus borealis, humpy shrimp, P. goniurus, coonstripe shrimp, P. hypsinotus, and sidestripe shrimp, Pandalopsis dispar, were studied in the Kachemak Bay area, Alaska, in 1972 and 1976. In both 1972 and 1976, larvae of king crah, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp first appeared in outer Kachemak Bay; their abundance was greatest in the central portion of the outer bay. Two additional species were studied in 1972, coonstripe shrimp and sidestripe shrimp. In 1972, the center of abundance of sidestripe shrimp larvae was similar to that of larvae of king crah, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp. Coonstripe shrimp larvae were most abundant in the inner bay and along the northern shore of the outer hay.

The direction in which larvae were transported out of outer Kachemak Bay was only in partial agreement with suspected water-current patterns and may have been influenced by behavior of the larvae. Continued abundance of larvae in outer Kachemak Bay may be caused by entrainment of the larvae in gyres.

Depending on species and area, pandalid shrimp larvae are released at different times and over different periods. For example, larvae of northern shrimp appeared in plankton catches earlier than larvae of humpy shrimp. Coonstripe shrimp had the longest release period of all the shrimp sampled.

From the percentage of glaucothoe in the samples, king crah larvae probably settle in the Bluff Point area in outer Kachemak Bay. Larvae of pandalid shrimp probably settle in outer Kachemak Bay and possibly lower Cook Inlet, but exact locations cannot be determined only hy observing changes in morphology of the larvae.

Vertical depth distributions of larvae of king crah and pandalid shrimp were generally similar. Early-stage larvae of king crah, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp migrated vertically in a diel cycle. A thermocline did not prevent migration to surface waters.

#### INTRODUCTION

Little is known about the larvae of king crab, *Paralithodes auntschatica* (Telesius), and pandalid shrimp in Alaska; most of the research has dealt with adults and immatures. The geographical isstribution of zoeae of king crab in the southeastern Bering Sea has even studied (Takeuchi 1962, 1968; Rodin 1972; Haynes 1974), had the morphology of larvae of blue king crab, *P. platypus* Birandt), described (Hoffman 1968). The larval morphology of boonstripe shrimp, *Pandalus hypsinotus* Brandt, humpy shrimp, *P. coniurus* Stimpson, northern shrimp, *P. borealis* Krøyer, and ebllow-leg shrimp, *P. tridens* Rathbun, has also been described Haynes 1976, 1978, 1979, 1980).

The National Marine Fisheries Service Auke Bay Laboratory eggan an investigation in 1971 of the early life history of king crab and pandalid shrimp in Kachemak Bay. Alaska, to answer an aportant question of fisheries managers—Do the larvae of king ab and pandalid shrimp found in Kachemak Bay originate and setin Kachemak Bay?

The abundance and distribution of the larvae over time, area, and pth were determined by systematically sampling Kachemak Bay id lower Cook Inlet with plankton nets. In 1971, the sampling thnique was standardized and seasonal occurrence of the larvae rified. In 1972, Kachemak Bay was determined to be a major cease area for larvae of king crab and pandalid shrimp. In 1976, in oint study between the National Marine Fisheries Service and the

Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center Auke Bay Laboratory, National Marine theries Service, NOAA, P.O. Box 155, Auke Bay, AK 99821.

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, it was determined that some of the larvae released in Kachemak Bay were dispersed seaward into lower Cook Inlet.

This report documents outer Kachemak Bay as a major release and settling area for larvae of king crab and pandalid shrimp. The term "outer bay" refers to the area of Kachemak Bay from Homer Spit seaward to long. 152°00′W; "inner bay" refers to the area from Homer Spit to the head of the bay. Depth distribution, diel migration, and settling areas of the larvae are also discussed. Dispersal of the larvae is compared with water-current patterns.

#### **METHODS**

The sampling area in 1972 extended from the head of Kachemak Bay westward to a line extending from off Anchor Point to approximately Flat Island (long. 152°00'W) (Fig. 1A). Tows were made at 24 stations semimonthly from the latter half of March through June.

In 1976, the sampling area differed slightly from the sampling area in 1972 and included the area from near Homer Spit (long. 151°30'W) westward to long. 152°30'W (Fig. 1B). Tows were made at 47 stations during four sampling periods. 10–13 May, 1–3 June, 22–24 June, and 13–15 July.

In both years, the sampling stations were distributed somewhat evenly throughout the sampling area. Not all stations were sampled during each period because of inclement weather, especially at the beginning of the season. Locations of all tows, both those yielding and not yielding larvae, are indicated for each sampling period in the figures showing larval distributions. Sampling techniques were different in 1972 and 1976 because of different study objectives. In 1972, the objectives were to determine both geographical and vertical distributions of the larvae; whereas in 1976, the objective was to determine only geographical distribution.

Samples were collected in 1972 with four Miller high-speed samplers (Miller 1961) fished at different depths. These samplers, with nets of 0.571 mm mesh, retain their theoretical filtering capacity until they are three-fourths clogged and are efficient at speeds up to 10 kn (Miller 1961). Four samplers were towed simultaneously at each station at different depths on a single wire. Depths below 100 m were not sampled because of gear limitations. Sampled depths were estimated from wire profiles, which were determined by making repeated tows using time-depth recorders at various locations on the wire (for method, see Glover 1962). Each sampler sampled one-fourth of the water column in five step intervals of 2 min each, regardless of station depth. At depths of 100 m or less, the percentage of the tow taken outside the desired sampling depth was 6% or less (Miller 1961). In this analysis, it was assumed that each sample represented only its intended stratum. For discussion, portions of the water column sampled are referred to as strata A, B, C, and D, with stratum A nearest the surface and stratum D nearest the bottom. Circular tows were made to minimize effects of currents.

Diel vertical migration of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp was studied over a 22-h period on 10–11 May 1972. Every 2 h, tows were made with Miller high-speed samplers fished in the usual manner. In addition, another Miller high-speed sampler was towed just under the surface at each 2-h interval from 2000 h, 10 May, to 0600 h, 11 May.

The water volume filtered during each tow was estimated from a Rigosha flowmeter. The flowmeter, enclosed in a polyvinylchloride housing fitted with stabilization fins, was attached with the sampler at the top wire stop. Adjustments for the amount of water filtered by the deeper samplers were obtained by making repeated tows at various depths with flowmeters attached at each sampler position. Once these adjustments were obtained, only the top flowmeter was used. Flowmeters were calibrated by towing them over a known distance at a sampling speed of 5–6 kn (2.6–3.1 m/s). The flowmeters performed consistently during the entire sampling period.

Plankton was collected in 1976 with two 61 cm bongo nets (Posgay et al. 1968) fished side-by-side from nearbottom to surface. Nets had 0.333 mm mesh, and cod-end jars had 0.571 mm mesh. Samples were taken by lowering the nets to about 1 m from the bottom and retrieving them vertically at a velocity of slightly less than 1 m/s. In 1972 and 1976, samples were washed from nets and preserved in a 5% solution of Formalin and seawater.

In the laboratory, samples containing about 400 larvae or less were examined in their entirety; samples containing >400 larvae were divided into equal portions using a splitter described by Cooney (1971). The splitter showed no significant differences (P>0.05) among either individual or pooled aliquot counts.

One scale of abundance for all species and sampling periods tended to mask differences so larval abundance was arbitrarily subdivided into as many as five categories. To avoid masking the differences, power functions of  $X^i$  (X=3, 4, 5, 9, or 10, and i=1, 2, or 3) were used to delimit the abundance categories. The abundance categories used for each species and sampling period are indicated on the charts showing larval distributions (Figs. 2–10).

For each positive tow in 1972 and 1976, data are given on depth and location of each station and on stage of development and number of larvae of king crab and each species of pandalid shrimp captured (Appendix Tables 1–3). Data on larvae of pandalid shrimp in 1976 are given only for *P. borealis* and *P. goniurus*. Larvae of other species of pandalid shrimp were collected during the 1976 survey but only in negligible quantities.

Larvae of king crab were identified from descriptions by Marukawa (1933), Sato and Tanaka (1949), Sato (1958), and Kurata (1964). Larvae of pandalid shrimp were identified from descriptions by Berkeley [1930] and Haynes (1976, 1978, 1979, 1980).

#### RESULTS

#### Distribution and Dispersal of Larvae of King Crab and Pandalid Shrimp

Larvae of the species studied in both years, 1972 and 1976 (king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp), were found throughout all the areas sampled. Patterns of distribution and areas of greatest numbers of larvae were similar for each species in both years (Figs. 2-20). Samples from the central portion of outer Kachemak Bay had the most larvae of each species. Samples from the inner bay and lower Cook Inlet had fewer larvae than the central portion of outer Kachemak Bay in 1976, larvae of humpy shrimp were abundant along the outer transect of the stations in Cook Inlet (Figs. 14, 15).

Two additional species, coonstripe shrimp and sidestripe shrimp, were studied in 1972 in Kachemak Bay. The areas of greatest abundance of larvae of coonstripe shrimp were markedly different from those of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, humpy shrimp, or sidestripe shrimp. Larvae of coonstripe shrimp were most numerous in samples collected along the northern shore of the outer bay, off Bluff Point, and in the inner bay near Homer Spit (Figs. 16–18). The distribution of larvae of sidestripe shrimp was similar to that of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp, except sidestripe shrimp larvae were not caught in the inner bay (Figs. 19, 20).

In Kachemak Bay, dispersal of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, humpy shrimp, and sidestripe shrimp was similar. Larvae of all four species were dispersed into the inner bay primarily along the southern shore and out of the bay southwestward toward Flat Island. Some larvae were also dispersed northeastward toward Anchor Point.

Dispersal of coonstripe shrimp larvae in outer Kachemak Bay off Bluff Point was southwestward, similar in direction to the dispersal of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, humpy shrimp, and sidestripe shrimp. Dispersal of coonstripe shrimp larvae from the inner bay was probably seaward along the northern shore of the outer bay toward Bluff Point.

# Relation Between Distribution of Larvae and Current Patterns

The following summary of water-current patterns in Cook Inlet and Kachemak Bay was extracted from Burbank (1977). Clear seawater enters Cook Inlet through Kennedy Entrance (Fig. 21); flows northward along the east side of Cook Inlet; eventually mixes with turbid, low-salinity waters from sediment-laden rivers in Cook Inlet; then flows southward along the western shore of the inlet, around Cape Douglas into Shelikof Strait.

Water circulation in outer Kachemak Bay is dominated by two large gyres: A counterclockwise gyre in the eastern half and a clockwise gyre in the western half. The two-gyre system is generally stable but can be altered by strong winds. Water in the gyres has a typical residence time of 1–2 wk, although longer residence times do occur. Water flowing northward enters the gyres along the southern peripheries of the gyres and leaves them along the northern peripheries. Net water transport in outer Kachemak Bay is northward, whether or not the gyres persist.

Water circulation in inner Kachemak Bay is dominated by two counterclockwise gyres: One gyre near Homer Spit, the other near the head of the bay. Surface waters, primarily derived from rivers at the head of the bay, flow westward into the outer bay. Water at depths below about 30 m flows from the outer bay into the inner tbay primarily along the southern shore.

If current were the only factor affecting dispersal, most of the larwae released in outer Kachemak Bay would be carried northward out of the bay soon after hatching. Some would be incorporated into the outer gyre and dispersed southwestward before being carried northward. Larvae not released in the bay would move into outer Kachemak Bay from the south.

The observed dispersals of larvae of king crab and pandalid shrimp from the areas of greatest abundance in Kachemak Bay were only in partial accord with the water currents. Surprisingly, most of the larvae originating in Kachemak Bay were not quickly dispersed out of the bay but remained in outer Kachemak Bay throughout sampling. The clockwise movement of water in the western gyre seems inadequate to account for the extensive dispersal of larvae southwestward from outer Kachemak Bay. There was no evidence of recruitment of larvae into Kachemak Bay from the south either in patterns of dispersal or in differences in seasonal progression of larval stages.

Behavior of the larvae may influence the direction and extent of their dispersal. For instance, vertical diel migration of farvae may affect their horizontal dispersal if the direction and velocity of water courrents vary with depth. The geographical distribution of larvae of many other Crustacea is known to be nonrandom. Larvae of oysters (Crassostrea virginica) are retained within the spawning estuary and often settle upstream from the major spawning populations by selectively swimming in synchrony with tidal cycles (see Wood and Hargis 1971 for review). Larvae of barnaeles (*Balanus* spp.) move in groups that are maintained even in eddies (De Wolf 1973). Behavior of larvae of king crab and pandalid shrimp is essentially unknown, particularly whether the larvae can maintain their geographic position in spite of currents. Until details of the behavior of arvae of king crab and pandalid shrimp are known, the underlying causes of their distributions in Kachemak Bay cannot be determined.

#### Time and Location of Release of Larvae

Areas of high abundance of Stage I larvae were presumed to be release sites. Stage I larvae of king crab first appeared in outer Kachemak Bay in April 1972, and their high abundance in this area in May 1972 and 1976 (Figs. 3, 5) indicated that outer Kachemak Bay was a major release area. Ovigerous king crab congregate in buter Kachemak Bay off Bluff Point each spring and release farrae.<sup>2</sup> Stage I larvae of king crab also appeared in other parts of Kachemak Bay at this time; however, they were less abundant. Their low abundance and pattern of dispersal seem to indicate that the larvae were transported into these areas by currents rather than released there. Kachemak Bay was trawfed from 5 through 13 May 1972 to determine the distribution of female shrimp that were releasing larvae (Fig. 22). (The egg cases remain attached to the pleopods of the female for some time after the larvae have been released.) Stage I larvae of all four species of pandalid shrimp were most abundant in plankton samples collected from areas where females were releasing larvae. Northern shrimp released larvae in the central portion of outer Kachemak Bay; humpy shrimp released larvae somewhat farther seaward. Coonstripe shrimp released larvae primarily at the entrance of, and within, the inner bay. However, Stage I larvae of coonstripe shrimp were also abundant in plankton samples from the northern shore of the outer bay (no adults were sampfed in this area). Female sidestripe shrimp released their larvae in the relatively deep (about 100 m) water of the inner portion of the outer bay.

Northern shrimp apparently released their larvae earlier in 1972 and 1976 than did humpy shrimp. In 1972, Stage I larvae of northern shrimp were first captured 3 April, and Stage I larvae of humpy shrimp were first captured 22 April. During the 10–13 May sampling period in 1976, 59% of the northern shrimp larvae were Stage II compared with only 16% of the humpy shrimp larvae. The percentage of fater larval stages remained greater for northern shrimp than for humpy shrimp until the latter half of June 1972. In the latter half of June, the percentage of Stage V larvae of northern shrimp (39%) was somewhat lower, rather than higher, than the percentage of Stage V larvae of humpy shrimp (50%). The reason for this reversal is unknown. In 1976, the percentage of later stages remained higher throughout the sampling period for northern shrimp than for humpy shrimp.

Time of larval release may be related to the location of the release site. For example, in 1976, larvae of humpy shrimp may have been released later in the lower Cook fullet area than in outer Kachemak Bay. Humpy shrimp larvae were most abundant in the 1–3 June collections in the lower Cook Inlet area but were most abundant in the 10–13 June collections in Kachemak Bay (Table 1). Also, in 1976, humpy shrimp larvae were consistently more developed (in later stages) in Kachemak Bay than in lower Cook inlet (Fig. 23). This difference in progression of larval stages in Kachemak Bay and lower Cook Inlet continued through the last sampling period (13–15 July).

Pandalid shrimp in British Columbia waters apparently begin and complete release of most of their larvae earlier than pandalid shrimp in Kachemak Bay. In waters off British Cofumbia, most of the larvae of northern shrimp were released between late March and early April; most larvae of coonstripe shrimp were released later than larvae of northern shrimp; and both species completed release of their larvae near the end of April (Berkeley 1930). Butler (1964) confirmed Berkeley's findings for northern shrimp and coonstripe shrimp. In addition, Butler showed that release of humpy shrimp farvae in waters near Vancouver, British Cofumbia.

> Table 1.—Number of humpy shrimp larvae captured in the western portion of the lower Cook Inlet study area and in the outer bay of Kachemak Bay, 1976.

Date	Larvae in Kachemak Bay (no.)	Larvae in western portion lower Cook Inlet (no.)
10-13 May	21	1.639
1-3 June	55	-06
23-24 June	23	11
13~15 July	9	30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Data on file at Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Homer, AK 99603. Unpagated.

probably also was completed by April. In my 1972 study, Stage I larvae of northern shrimp were not caught until the first half of April; Stage I larvae of humpy shrimp and coonstripe shrimp were not caught until the latter half of April. Stage I larvae of all three species of pandalid shrimp were most abundant several weeks after the first larvae were caught. In the western Atlantic Ocean, pandalid shrimp also released their larvae later in northern waters than in southern waters (Haynes and Wigley 1969).

As expected, the percentage of each larval stage of king crab and pandalid shrimp was related to the time of year. Only the four larval stages before the glaucothoe (settling) stage of king crab were represented in the 1972 samples; all larval stages, including the glaucothoe, were represented in the 1976 samples (Fig. 24). In 1972, all king crab larvae collected during the 15–30 April sampling period were Stage I. During the next sampling period, 1–15 May, some Stage II larvae were present. By the end of May, the percentage of Stage II larvae had increased, and 2% were Stage III. This progression of later stages continued until the last sampling period. A similar progression occurred in 1976, except the later stages became more abundant earlier in the year. The July 1976 samples contained only three specimens and may not reflect the true ratio of Stage IV to Stage V larvae.

Seasonal progression in abundance of later larval stages of pandalid shrimp (Fig. 25) varied with species. In lower Cook Inlet, the progression was slower for coonstripe shrimp and humpy shrimp than for northern shrimp. In 1972, release of larvae of coonstripe shrimp began during the latter half of April; by the latter half of June, 64% of the larvae were still only Stage II. The presence of Stage I larvae in plankton is partly dependent on how long females release larvae. From samples of ovigerous females collected over several years, coonstripe shrimp larvae have been observed to release over a longer period than other pandalid shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay. The high percentage of Stage II larvae of coonstripe shrimp in the latter half of June was probably related to this extended period of larval release. In 1976, the slower progression in abundance of each larval stage of humpy shrimp in lower Cook Inlet compared with humpy shrimp in Kachemak Bay (Fig. 23) was probably related to later larval release in the lower Cook Inlet area.

#### Settling Areas of King Crab Glaucothoe

The presence of king crab glaucothoe in plankton collections is generally considered indicative of a settling area (Makarov 1967). The molt from Stage IV to glaucothoe is characterized by abrupt changes in morphology resulting in larvae that can swim (Sato 1958) but are characteristically bottom dwelling.

Areas of abundance of king crab glaucothoe in Kachemak Bay in 1976 (glaucothoe were not captured in samples in 1972) included most of the central and northern sectors of the outer bay. Glaucothoe were found in plankton samples across the mouth of Kachemak Bay from Anchor Point to Point Pogibshi and southwestward into lower Cook Inlet waters. The high abundance of glaucothoe in the area between Anchor Point and Bluff Point implies that this area is a major settling area. Sundberg and Clausen (1977) have shown that the area between Anchor Point and Bluff Point also has higher densities of juvenile king crab than the other areas sampled (Fig. 26).

#### Settling Areas of Late-Stage Larvae of Northern Shrimp and Humpy Shrimp

In both 1972 and 1976, Stage V and VI larvae of northern shrimp and humpy shrimp were most abundant in outer Kachemak Bay. Few late-stage larvae of either species were caught seaward of Kachemak Bay in 1976 except along the outer transect of stations.

Areas of abundance of late-stage larvae of pandalid shrimp may not always indicate settling areas because the transition from zoea to megalopa, which is characterized by only slight changes in morphology (Haynes 1978, 1979), would have negligible effect on swimming capability. Larvae of northern shrimp and humpy shrimp probably settle in outer Kachemak Bay; but settling may be dependent upon factors other than changes in morphology. Until these factors are known, designation of settling areas will be based on abundance of the late-stage larvae.

#### Depth Distribution of Larvae of King Crab, Northern Shrimp, and Humpy Shrimp

Very little is known about the depth distribution of king crab larvae and pandalid shrimp larvae. Takeuchi (1962) suggested that younger king crab larvae are more abundant nearer the surface, whereas older larvae are more abundant nearer the bottom, but his data were too meager to substantiate his suggestion. In Berkeley's (1930) study on the postembryonic development of *Pandalus danae* in British Columbia waters, she found that Stages I and II *P. danae* are somewhat evenly distributed with depth, except no larvae were caught at the surface. Later stages (Stages III-V) of *P. danae* seemed to be found progressively deeper that earlier stages.

To determine the depth distribution of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp, I ranked the midpoints of depths where larvae were collected in 1972 and tabulated the percentage of each stage of each species in each 100 m<sup>3</sup> of water strained. These data were plotted and a line drawn through the points visually (Fig. 27). Data for glaucothoe of king crab and for larval Stage V and older of pandalid shrimp are not shown because too few larvae were in the samples.

Depth distributions of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp were similar, but the number of larvae varied with depth. Few larvae were captured at or near the surface or deeper than 60 m; most were captured between 10 and 40 m. Below 70 m, however, Stage I larvae of northern shrimp were more abundant than Stage I larvae of king crab or humpy shrimp. This increase in abundance below about 70 m may reflect release of larvae at the deepwater stations.

#### **Diel Vertical Migration**

Early-stage larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp migrated vertically in a diel cycle. In the 22-h study of 10–11 May 1972, Stage I larvae of king crab and Stage I and II larvae of northern shrimp and humpy shrimp were more abundant in the surface 15 m between 1800 h and 0800 h, the hours of twilight and darkness, than during daylight hours (Figs. 28, 29A). In the 15–30 m stratum, the percentage of Stage I larvae of king crab and of Stage I and II larvae of northern shrimp and humpy shrimp was greatest during the hours with more light, 0800–1600 h. In the 30–60 m stratum, the percentage of early-stage larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp was lowest during the period of low light levels and highest during the period of high light levels (1000–1600 h). Too few of the other stages of king crab, northern shrimp, or humpy shrimp larvae were in the 22-h samples to determine their diel vertical distributions.

Temperature profiles in the study area were similar throughout the 22-h sampling period (Fig. 29B): A pronounced thermocline was present from the surface to 10 m, and water below 10 m was nearly isothermal. A thermocline may hinder or prevent vertical imigration of some zooplankton (Vinogradov 1968; Mauchline and Fisher 1969); however, early-stage larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp migrated up and down, through the thermocline.

#### **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The question of whether larvae of king crab and pandalid shrimp rremain in or migrate from Kachemak Bay needs further study. Undoubtedly, some larvae are carried out of the bay, both to the morth and southwest. Although most larvae remain in the bay, the portion that migrates needs to be determined. Abundance and direction of dispersal of the pandalid larvae in the western portion of the study area also need to be assessed. Both the distribution and aannual variation of abundance of the larvae in this area are aunknown.

Studies on the identification of larvae of pandalid shrimp and kking crab in the study area have provided detailed descriptions of the morphology of each larval stage so that identification of these stages in plankton collections is no longer a problem. Studies on the life histories of these forms, however, have provided little more than estimates of time of larval release, abundance of larvae, and ddispersal of the larvae in relation to major oceanographic events in the Kachemak Bay-lower Cook Inlet area.

This study emphasizes our limited knowledge of the physical and boiological processes affecting abundance of larvae in the Kacheamak Bay-lower Cook Inlet area, especially factors related to their geographical and vertical distributions and seasonal changes in abbundance.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Robert Gunter for helping me collect the 1972 samples and Catherine W. Mecklenburg for sorting many of them. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Coastal Habitat Section, provided funding and vessel support for the 1976 study. Mike Ifreesch, temporary biologist with Alaska Department of Fish and Game, helped me collect the 1976 samples and sorted many of them.

#### LITERATURE CITED

BERKELEY, A. A.

- 1930. The post-embryonic development of the common pandalids of British Columbia. Contrib. Can. Biol. Fish., New Ser. 6:79-163.
- BURBANK, D. C.
- 1977. Circulation studies in Kachemak Bay and lower Cook Inlet. Alaska. In L. L. Trasky, L. B. Flagg, and D. C. Burbank (editors), Environmental studies of Kachemak Bay and lower Cook Inlet, III, 207 p. Alaska Dep. Fish Game, Anchorage.
- BUTLER, T. H.
- 1964 Growth, reproduction, and distribution of pandalid shrimps in British Columbia. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 21:1403–1452.

COONEY, R. T.

- 1971. Zooplankton and micronekton associated with a diffuse soundscattering layer in Puget Sound, Washington Ph.D. Thesis, Univ Washington, Seattle, 187 p.
- Je WOLF, P.
- 1973. Ecological observations on the mechanisms of dispersal of barnacle larvae during planktonic life and settling. Neth. J. Sea Res. 6(1-2):1-129. HOVER, R. S.

1962. The multi-depth plankton indicator. Bull. Mar. Ecol. 5:151-164.

HAYNES, E. B.

- 1974. Distribution and relative abundance of larvae of king crah, *Para lithodes cantischatica*, in the southeastern Bering Sea, 1969–70. Fish Bull., U.S. 72-804–812.
- 1976. Description of zoeae of coonstripe shrinip. *Pandalus hypsinotus*, reared in the laboratory. Fish. Bull., U.S. 74, 323–342.
- 1978. Description of larvae of the humpy shrimp, *Pandalus gonucus* reated in situ in Kachemak Bay, Alaska. Fish. Bull., U.S. 76 235-248
- 1979. Description of larvae of the northern shrinp. *Pandalus borealis*, reared in situ in Kachemak Bay, Alaska Fish Bull., U.S. 77:157–173
- 1980. Larval morphology of *Pandalis tridens* and a summary of the principal morphological characteristics of North Pacific pandalid shrimp larvae. Fish Bull., U.S. 77:625-640.

HAYNES, E. B., and R. L. WIGLEY.

 1969. Biology of the northern shrimp, Pandalus borealis, in the Gulf of Maine. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 98:60–76.

HOFFMAN, E. G.

1968. Description of laboratory-reared larvae of *Paralithodes platypus* (Decapoda, Anomura, Lithodidae). J. Fish, Res. Board Can. 25:439–455 KURATA, H.

1964. Larvae of decapod Crustacea of Hokkaido, 6, Lithodidae (Anomura). [In Jpn., Engl. summ.] Bull. Hokkaido Reg. Fish. Res. Lah. 29:49-65.

MAKAROV, R. R.

1967. Larvae of the shrimps and crabs of the West Kamchatkan Shelf and their distribution. Natl. Lending Libr. Sci. Technol., Boston Spa. Yorkshire. Engl., 199 p. [Translated from Russian by B. Haigh.]

MARUKAWA, H.

- 1933. Biological and fishery research on Japanese king-crab Paralithodes canttschattea (Tilesius). [In Jpn., Engl. abstr.] J. Imp. Fish. Exp. Stn. 4, 152 p.
- MAUCHLINE, J., and L. R. FISHER.

1969 The hiology of euphausiids. Adv. Mar. Biol. 7, 454 p.

MILLER, D.

1961. A modification of the small Hardy plankton sampler for simultaneous high-speed plankton hauls. Bull. Mar. Ecol. 5(45):165-172.

POSGAY, J. A., R. R. MARAK, and R. C. HENNEMUTH.

 1968. Development and test of new zooplankton samplers. Int. Comm Northwest Atl. Fish., Res. Doc. 68–34, 7 p.

RODIN, V. E.

- 1972. An estimation of the state of the king crah (*Paralithodes camtschatica* Tilesius) stock in the southeastern Bering Sea. *In* P. A. Moiseev (editor), Soviet Tisheries investigations in the northeastern Pacific, Part V, p. 149-156.
- SATO, S.
  - 1958. Studies on larval development and fishery biology of king crah, Paralithodes camtschatica (Tilesius). Bull. Hokkaido Reg. Fish. Res. Lah. 17:1-102.
- SATO, S., and S. TANAKA.

1949. Study on the larval stage of *Paralithodes cantschatica* (Tilesius), 1.
 Morphological research. Hokkaido Fish, Exp. Stn. Res. Rep. 1:7-24.

SUNDBERG, K., and D. CLAUSEN.

1977. Post-larval king crab (*Paralithodes camtschatica*) distribution and ahundance in Kachemak Bay, lower Cook Inlet. Alaska, 1976. In L. L. Trasky, L. B. Flagg, and D. C. Burhank (editors), Environmental studies of Kachemak Bay and lower Cook Inlet, V. 36 p. Alaska Dep. Fish Game, Anchorage.

TAKEUCHL 1.

- 1962. On the distribution of zoea larvae of king crab, Paralithodes camtschatica, in the southcastern Bering Sea in 1960. [In Jpn., Engl. summ.] Bull. Hokkaido Reg. Fish. Res. Lab. 24:163-170.
- 1968. On the distribution of zoea larva of king crab, *Paralithodes cani-tschatica*, in the southeastern Bering Sea in 1957 and 1958. [In Jpn., Engl. summ.]. Bull. Hokkaido Reg. Fish. Res. Lab. 34/22-29.
- VINOGRADOV, M. E.
  - 1968. Vertikal'noe raspredelenie okeanicheskogo zooplanktona (Vertical distrihution of the oceanic zooplankton.) Akad. Nauk SSSR. Inst. Okeanol Izdatel'stvo "Nauka", Moskva, 320 p. (Translated from Russian hy Israel Program for Scientific Translations, 1970, 339 p., TT69-59015.)
- WOOD, L., and W. J. HARGIS, Jr.
  - 1971. Transport of hivalve larvae in a tidal estuary In D. J. Crisp (editor),
    Fourth European Marine Biology Symposium, p. 29–44. Camb. Univ.
    Press, Lond.



Figure 1.—Location of sampling stations used to determine relative abundance and distribution of larval king crab and pandalid shrimp in (A) Kachemak Bay, 1972, and (B) onter Kachemak Bay-lower Cook Inlet, 1976.



Figure 2.—Abundance and distribution of king crab larvae in Kachemak Bay, 16-30 April 1972.



Figure 3.—Abundance and distribution of king crab farvae in Kachemak Bay, 1–15 and 16–31 May 1972.



Figure 4.—Abundance and distribution of king crab larvae in Kachemak Bay, 1-15 and 16-30 June 1972.



Figure 5.—Abundance and distribution of king crab larvae in Kachemak Bay, 10-13 May 1976.







Figure 7.—Abundance and distribution of northern shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 1-15 and 16-30 April 1972.







Figure 9.—Abundance and distribution of northern shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 1-15 and 16-30 June 1972.



Figure 10.—Abundance and distribution of northern shrimp larvae in outer Kachemak Bay-lower Cook Inlet, 10–13 May and 1–3 June 1976.



Figure 11.—Abundance and distribution of humpy shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 16-30 April 1972.



Figure 12.—Abundance and distribution of humpy shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 1-15 and 16-31 May 1972.



Figure 13.—Abundance and distribution of humpy shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 1–15 and 16–30 June 1972.



Figure 14.—Abundance and distribution of humpy shrimp larvae in outer Kachemak Bay-lower Cook Inlet, 10–13 May and 1–3 June 1976.



Figure 15.—Abundance and distribution of humpy shrimp larvae in outer Kachemak Bay-lower Cook Inlet, 22–24 June and 13–15 July 1976.



Figure 16.—Abundance and distribution of coonstripe shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 16-30 April 1972.



Figure 17.—Abundance and distribution of coonstripe shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 1-15 and 16-31 May 1972.



Figure 18.—Abundance and distribution of coonstripe shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 1-15 and 16-30 June 1972.



Figure 19.—Abundance and distribution of sidestripe shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 16-30 April 1972.



Figure 20.—Abundance and distribution of sidestripe shrimp larvae in Kachemak Bay, 1-15 and 16-31 May 1972.



Figure 21.—Net circulation of surface water in Kachemak Bay-lower Cook Inlet area. Data collected during the spring and summer seasons (adapted from Burbank 1977).



Figure 22.—Distribution of northern shrimp (A), humpy shrimp (B), coonstripe shrimp (C), and sidestripe shrimp (D) in Kachemak Bay, 5–13 May 1972.



Figure 22.—*Continued*.

### HUMPY SHRIMP--1976 (larval stages)







Figure 24.—Percentages of each of the larval stages of king crab larvae sampled inKachemak Bay, 1972, and outer Kachemak Bay-lower Cook Inlet, 1976, for each sampling period.



Figure 25.—Percentages of each of the larval stages of northern shrimp, humpy shrimp, and coonstripe shrimp collected in Kachemak Bay, 1972; and northern shrimp and humpy shrimp collected in Kachemak Bay-lower Cook Inlet, 1976.



Figure 26.—Distribution of juvenile king crab, 21 July-8 October 1976 (Sundberg and Clausen 1977).







Figure 28.—Dicl vertical migration of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp in Kachemak Bay, 10-11 May 1972. Widths of blocks are proportional to the percentage of all larvae collected within the depth strata.



Figure 29.—Incident sunlight profile (A) and water temperature profile (B) in Kachemak Bay, 10-11 May 1972.

Appendix Table 1.--Depth and location of stations where larvae of king crab and pandalid shrimp were collected in Kachemak Bay and lower Cook Inlet, Alaska, 1972 and 1976.

		1972	
	Depth	Loca	ation
Station	(m)	Lat. N.	Long. W.
1	40	59°44.3'	151°05.5'
2	58	59°42.0'	151°11.5'
3	20	59°38.2'	151°23.8'
4	77	59°37.5'	151°18.0'
5	33	59°36.8'	151°12.8'
6	119	59°35.0'	151°23.0'
7	73	59°29.8'	151°21.9'
8	165	59°27.5'	151°25.2'
9	128	59°30.0'	151°32.0'
10	110	59°33.2'	151°32.5'
11	13	59°36.2'	151°32.5'
12	33	59°38.0'	151°40.0'
13	86	59°34.0'	151°40.0'
14	20	59°30.0'	151°40.0'
15	53	59°27.4'	151°50.0'
16	68	59°32.5'	151°50.0'
17	37	59°36.5'	151°50.0'
18	20	59°40.4'	151°50.0'
19	37	59°42.7'	152°00.0'
20	40	59°38.6'	152°00.0'
21	46	59°34.7'	152°00.0'
22	49	59°30.0'	152°00.0'
23	95	59°25.4'	152°00.0'
24	49	59°21.5'	152°00.0'

Appendix Table 1.--continued.

		1976	
	Depth	Loc	ation
Station	(m)	Lat. N.	Long. W.
1	35	59°35'	151°40'
2	33	59°30'	151°40'
3	49	59°27'	151°52'
4	60	59°30'	151°50'
5	33	59°35'	151°50'
6	27	59°40'	151°50'
7	22	59°43'	151°54'
8	24	59°50'	151°54'
9	37	59°50'	152°00'
10	22	59°45'	152°00'
11	31	59°40'	152°00'
12	37	59°35'	152°00'
13	62	59°30'	152°00'
14	49	59°25'	152°00'
15	37	59°20'	152°00'
16	60	59°15'	152°00'
17	113	59°10'	152°00'
18	141	59°10'	152°10'
19	77	59°15'	152°10'
20	71	59°20'	152°10'
21	57	59°25'	152°10'
22	44	59°30'	152°10'
23	40	59°35'	152°10'
24	38	59°40'	152°10'

Appendix	Table	1continued.	
----------	-------	-------------	--

		1976	
	Depth	Loc	ation
Station	(m)	Lat. N.	Long. W.
25	33	59°45'	152°10'
26	48	59°50'	152°10'
27	82	59°50'	152°10'
28	82	59°45'	152°20'
29	64	59°40'	152°20'
30	60	59°35'	152°20'
31	71	59°30'	152°20'
32	82	59°25'	152°20'
33	84	59°20'	152°20'
34	95	59°15'	152°20'
35	100	59°10'	152°20'
36	84	59°10'	152°30'
37	60	59°20'	152°30'
38	55	59°30'	152°30'
39	82	59°40'	152°30'
40	60	59°50'	152°30'
41	70	59°45'	152°30'
42	82	59°35'	152°30'
43	60	59°25'	152°30'
44	90	59°15'	152°30'
45	18	59°38'	151°40'
46	15	59°36'	151°32'
47	86	59°31'	151°34'

Appendix Table 2.--Number (per 100 m<sup>3</sup> water strained) and stage of development of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, humpy shrimp, coonstripe shrimp, and sidestripe shrimp captured in each tow in Kachemak Bay, 1972.

			King	crab				
Larval Stage								
Date	Station	Ī	II Zo	ea III	IV	Glaucothoe	Total	
16-30 April	9	8					8	
	11	8					8	
	12	368					368	
	16	15					15	
	17	149					149	
	18	16					16	
1-15 May	1	7					7	
	3	45					45	
	5	69	7				76	
	6	166	15				181	
	9	7	7				14	
	10	7				~-	7	
	11	121					121	
	12	823					823	
	13	14					14	
	14	45	7				52	
	15	29			- +		29	
	16	50					50	
	17	4,731	65				4,796	
	18	620					620	

			King	crab					
	Larval Stage								
Date	Station	Ī	Zoe II	ea III	IV	Glaucothoe	Total		
16-31 May	1	24	262	17			317		
10-31 May	1 2	54 61	203	0			140		
	2	01	70	9	ana John		140		
	3	116	/8	~ ~			194		
	4	9	17	9		ana 4mi	35		
	5	79	134				213		
	6	367	359	39			765		
	7	79	147	52			278		
	8	151	236	25			412		
	9	207	572				779		
	10	490	171				661		
	11	675	210	~ -			885		
	12	124	21				145		
	13	1,468	1,240		~ -	560 MP	2,708		
	14	1,206	272				1,478		
	15	44	22				66		
	16	2,524	30				2,554		
	17	3,355	499	23			3,877		
	18	1,123	307	16			1,446		
	22	8	17				25		
	23	8	16		_ ~		24		
	24	7	7			~ ~	14		

King crab									
		Larval Stage							
Date	Station	T	Z		IV	Glaucothoe	Total		
		<b>ل</b> 	11	111	1 V	Giadcothoe			
1-15 June	1	* -	24	31			55		
	2		26	165	26		217		
	3	** **	101	247	46		394		
	4		56	103	8	<b>* -</b>	167		
	5		15	161	16		192		
	6	18	451	88	~ -		557		
	7		92	16	8		116		
	8		33	16	8		57		
	9	157	2,801	614	18		3,590		
	10	124	1,311	305			1,740		
	11	192	2,280	857			3,329		
	12	16	16	8	~ -		40		
	13		537	434			971		
	14		8				8		
	15		193	346			539		
	16	254	1,529	1,786	284	÷ =	3,853		
	17	353	1,403	2,273	245		4,274		
	18		87	138	8		233		
	19		22	11			33		
	21		8	81	16		105		
	22	8	35	17	8		68		
	23	125	440	626	95		1,286		
	24	45	89	44		~ -	178		

	King crab									
			L	_arval S	tage					
			Zo	bea						
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	Glaucothoe	Total			
16-30 June	1			15	8		23			
	6		23	93	76		192			
	7			23	16		39			
	8	dan ana	~ ~	41	16		57			
	9	400 400	25	82	49		156			
	10		24	348	234		606			
	11			97	73		170			
	12		563	5,993	2,061	10	8,627			
	13	400 400	15	619	537		1,171			
	14	aan 48a	7				7			
	15		22	87	33		142			
	16	489 489	18	328	338		684			
	17	405 AP	169	1,667	1,492	8	3,336			
	18	40% 48%	175	3,798	2,638	16	6,627			
	19		40% 60%	7	58	8	73			
	20			58	100		158			
	21		400 GM	17	86		103			
	22	100 cite	40% 4103		103	16	119			
	23	40% 40%		84	761	50	895			
	24			8	8	data.	16			

	Northern shrimp								
	Larval Stage								
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total	
1-15 April	10	9						9	
	13	32						32	
	17	56						56	
16-30 April	1	8		<b></b>				8	
	3	8						8	
	4	128						128	
	5	56						56	
	6	424						424	
	7	374						374	
	8	2,242						2,242	
	9	722				<b>-</b> -		722	
	10	413						413	
	11	338			<b>~</b> -			338	
	12	56						56	
	13	1,186						1,186	
	14	8						8	
	15	216						216	
	16	278						278	
	17	320						320	
	18	40						40	

	Northern shrimp							
				Larva	al Stage			
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
1-15 May	1	49	7					56
	2	56					, <del></del>	56
	3	158	8					16 <b>6</b>
	4	78				÷ *		78
	5	407						407
	6	1169	84					1,253
	7	419	8					427
	8	1,356	21					1,377
	9	1,723	7					1,730
	10	1,475	65					1,540
	11	5,600	104					5,704
	12	166	37					203
	13	2,431	158					2,589
	14	54						54
	15	7						7
	16	2,748	51					2,799
	17	2,176	208					2,384
	18	78	26					104

			Nort	hern shr	rimp			
	Larval Stage							
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
16-31 May	1	9	149	140				298
	2		79	44	18			141
	3		32					32
	4		34	52				86
	5		64	103				167
	6	62	405	250				717
	7	53	175	79				307
	8		194	16				210
	9	103	483	309				895
	10	15	315	353				683
	11	28	200	62				290
	12		42	14				56
	13		15,902	2,454	97			18,453
	15	24						24
	16	243	631	60				934
	17	39						39
	18		75	33				108
	22		36	9	* *			45
	24	8	77					85

			North	ern shri	imp			
				Larva	al Stage			
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
1 <b>-</b> 15 June	1		8	40	95			143
	2		9	69	190	<b></b>		268
	3			32	32			64
	4			16	48	<b>a</b> , e,		64
	5			8	54			62
	6		8	69				77
	8			24	80			104
	9		37	120				157
	10		8	169	8			185
	11		47	141	93			281
	12			8				8
	13	15	333	747	792			1,887
	15		14	294	432			740
	16			260	288			548
	17		249	276				525
	18			18	18			36
	19			31				31
	21				16			16
	22				8			8
	23		78	232	293			603
	24	11			22			33

			North	ern sh	rimp			
				Larv	/al Stag	e	·	
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
16-30 June	2				26	9		35
	4				36	27		63
	5					8		8
	6				122	106		228
	7				8			8
	8				16			16
	9				18			18
	10				32	64		96
	11					12		12
	12				21			21
	13				2,137	1,677		3,814
	16	* -			242	165		407
	17			35	566	58	~ -	659

		4 <sup>4</sup> /	Hump	by shrim	ip	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
				Larva	Stage			
Date	Statior	n I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
16-30 April	4	8						8
	6	8						8
	7	22						22
	8	77					~ -	77
	9	94						94
	10	35						35
	11	22						22
	12	7						7
	13	68					-	68
	16	217						217
	17	1,378						1,378
	18	243						243
1-15 May	1	7						7
	2	8					* =	8
	3	76						76
	4	13						13
	5	224					æ =	224
	6	700	14					714
	7	190						190
	8	156						156
	9	483				<b>600 AG</b>		483
	10	1,735						1,735
	11	3,912						3,912
	12	856	45					901
	13	755	21					776
	16	3,948						3,948
	17	34,512	16					34,528
	18	1,534	13	aja 20				1,547

			Hu	mpy shrir	np			
	<u></u>			Larva	al Stage			
Date	Station	n I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
16-31 May	1	9	146	18				173
	2		105	35				140
	3		22	16				38
	4		8	26				34
	5	24	246	79				349
	6	289	1,225	273				1,787
	7	53	3,195	353				3,601
	8	93	370	25				488
	9	735	2,437	182				3,354
	10	470	3,564	346				4,380
	11	566	3,560	269				4,395
	12	140	868	126				1,134
	13	995	3,986	529				5,510
	14	623	64					687
	16	2,083	2,356					4,439
	17	8	187					195
	18	83	207	34				324
	21			9				9
	22		27					27
	24	54						54

			Hur	mpy shri	mp			
				Lary	al Stag	е		
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
1-15 June	1			16	24			40
	2			26	79			105
	3		8		31			39
	4			24	56			80
	5			23	16			39
	6		76	91				167
	7		91	381		~ -		472
	8			256	200	÷ +		456
	9	9	551	662	46			1,268
	10		113	719	64			896
	11		468	896	328			1,692
	12			24				24
	13		665	10,737	510			11,912
	14	8	8					16
	15		70	686	2,226			2,982
	16		144	2,340	3,449			5,933
	17		129	2,882	1,574			4,585
	18			18	36			54
	19			20	62			82
	21			24	8			32
	22				16			16
	23			490	2,427			2,917
	24			22	22			44

			Hum	oy shr	imp			
				Larv	/al Stage	9		
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
16-30 June	1					8		8
	2				9			9
	4				9			9
	6			15	16	38		69
	7			16	77			93
	8			8	64			72
	9				45			45
	10			32	275	105		412
	11				48	24		72
	12				2,720	2,782		5,502
	13			94	1,388	1,436		2,918
	16			11	517	869		1,397
	17			82	3,858	3,292		7,232
	18			72	416	256	16	760
	20					19		19
	21			10	30	10		50
	22	* -			18	115		133
	23				82	1,028		1,110
	24				26	95		121

			Coonst	ripe shr	imp			
Date	Station	<u> </u>	ĬĬ	Larva III	Stage IV	V	Megalopa	Total
16-30 April	12	7			÷ =			7
1-15 May	1	7					<b>* -</b>	7
	3	38				~ -		38
	4	13						13
	5	14						14
	6	42						42
	8	7		-				7
	9	7						7
	12	22						22
	17	8						8
	18	52						52
16-30 May	1	148	26					174
	2	44	18					62
	3	443	356	16				815
	4	51	51					102
	5	103	72					175
	6	55	47					102
	7	97	36					133
	8	42	8					50
	11	42	28		<b>-</b> -			70
	12	56	238	56	<b>-</b> -			350
	13		9		**			9
	16	15						15
	18	67	42					109

1715			Coonst	ripe shr	rimp			
				Larva	l Stage			
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
1-15 June	2	17	18					35
	3	70	101	70				241
	4		32	8				40
	5	23						23
	6	15	54					69
	7	33	16	8				57
	8	16						16
	9	37						37
	10		16					16
	11		47					47
	12				8			8
	14	8	8	8	16			40
	18	9						9
16-30 June	1		8					8
	2		17					17
	4		9					9
	8	8						8
	11		36		48			84
	12		200	63	42			305
	14				8	<del>-</del> -		8
	18		16					16

Appendix	Table	2continued.	•
----------	-------	-------------	---

			Sidestr	ipe shri	imp			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Larva	l Stage			
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
16-30 April	13	15		<b>* -</b>				15
1-15 May	8	21						21
	9	7		÷ =				7
	10	14			~ -			14
	11	40					* *	40
	13	65	- +					65
	16	17						17
	18	13						13
16-31 May	12	14						14
	13	18				angta statu		18
	17	8		<b>+ -</b>				8
	18	8						8
	22	9					÷-	9
1-15 June	11	16						16
	17	34		- +				34
16-30 June	6	8						8
	9		9				* =	9
	10	8	<b>+</b> -					8
	15		8				-	8
	18		8	8				16
	24	9			* *			9

Appendix Table 3.--Number (per 10  $m^2$  water strained) and stage of development of larvae of king crab, northern shrimp, and humpy shrimp captured in each positive tow in outer Kachemak Bay - lower Cook Inlet, 1976.

			King	crab			
****			L	arval Sta	ige		
Date	Station	Ī	Zo II	ea III	IV	Glaucothoe	Total
10-13 May	1	582	274	51			907
	2	805	496		17		1,318
	3	1,832	582	17			2,431
	4	1,198	445				1,643
	5	2,808	1,387				4,195
	6	308	274				582
	9	17					17
	10	17	17				34
	12	17					17
	13	2,054	445				2,499
	14	428	394				822
	15	17	34				51
	16	51	17				68
	19	68	137				205
	20	68	274				342
	21	120	68				188
	22	17					17
	24	34	17	- +			51
	25	17					17
	26	17	103				120

			King	crab			
		<u></u>	La	arval St	tage		
Date	Statior	n I	Zoe II	ea III	IV	Glaucothoe	Tota
10-13 May	28	86	137	17			240
	29	17					17
	39	17	17				34
	40	17					17
	42	68	34			~ -	102
	46	103	86				189
	47	1,644	2,242	120			4,006
1-3 June	1	17	17	574	1,524		2,132
	3		34	103	170		307
	4		86	531	976	17	1,610
	5				17		17
	6			34	137		171
	7				34		34
	9				17		17
	10			103	68		171
	12			34	34		68
	13		34	86	17		137
	14	17	68	360	137		582
	15				34		34
	16		34	17	34		85
	17	17	34	51	34		136
	19	17	17				34
	20			17	86	÷ =	103
	21		34				34
	22			34	34		68

			King	crab							
	Larval Stage										
Date	Station	Ī	II Zoo	ea III	IV	Glaucothoe	Total				
1-3 June	24				17		17				
	26				17		17				
	27		34	34	34		102				
	28	34	68	86	17		205				
	29			17			17				
	34				17		17				
	35			34	51		85				
	37	17	34	17			68				
	38	17					17				
	39			51			51				
	41			17			17				
	42		34		17		51				
	43		34				34				
	44		34	86			120				
	46		17	51	103		171				
	47			188	325		513				
22-24 June	1				17	86	103				
	3					17	17				
	4					51	51				
	5				17	120	137				
	6					120	120				
	7					171	171				
	10	17				17	34				
	11				17		17				
	13					17	17				
	14					17	17				

			King	crab						
		Larval Stage								
Date	Station	Ī	II	iII	IV	Glaucothoe	Total			
	15					17	17			
	17				68	17	85			
	19				17		17			
	20					34	34			
	21		~ -			17	17			
	31					17	17			
	33				34	17	51			
	34					17	17			
	35			17	17		34			
	36		17				17			
	39				17		17			
	41				17		17			
	43				17		17			
	44			17	17	17	51			
	47				34	34	68			
13-15 July	18				17	~ ~	17			
	21				17		17			
	26					17	17			

			North	ern shr	imp			
	·····		·····	Larval	Stage		·····	
				Zoea				
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
10-13 May	1	223	205					428
	4	223	205					428
	5	462	565					1,027
	6	51	205					256
	8	34						34
	12		17					17
	13	17	103					120
	14		17					17
	17		17			<b>*</b> ••		17
	18	34						34
	46	17	51					68
	47	548	959	51				1,558
1-3 June	1			68	274			342
	2	÷ -	17		- +			17
	4			103	120			223
	21		17					17
	28		51	17				68
	34			17				17
	37		34					34
	39		17					17
	43			17		* *		17
	46	-	17		68			85
	47		* -	51	360			411

			North	ern shri	mp			
				Larval	Stage			
				Zoea				
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
22-24 June	1				an an	17		17
	4					17	apar was	17
	17					17		17
	19					17		17
	34					17		17
	35			an an		17		17
13-15 July	4					17		17
,	27						17	17
	30			~ -			17	17
	33						17	17
	40					-	17	17

			Hun	npy shrin	np			
		······		Larval	Stage			
				Zoea				
Date	Statio	n I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
10-13 May	1	2,482	240					2,722
	2	51	17					68
	3	599						599
	4	9,621	154					9,775
	5	5,033	1,798					6,831
	6	1,079	445					1,524
	8	51						51
	10	17						17
	13	103	34					137
	14	51						51
	15	17						17
	16	17						17
	20	17						17
	26	34	34					68
	27	34	17					51
	28	17						17
	39	34						34
	42	308	17					325
	46	308	103					411
	47	4,006	1,678					5,684

			Hum	npy shr	imp				
	Larval Stage								
				Zoea					
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total	
1-3 June	1	**	188	2,191	2,397	68		4,844	
	2				17			17	
	3		103	428	171			702	
	4	17	291	3,047	2,106			5,461	
	5			17	17			34	
	6			17				17	
	9		17	86				103	
	10		17	34	17			68	
	11			34				34	
	13		17	86			- *	103	
	16			17			÷ •	17	
	22			17	51		-100 -100	68	
	26		17					17	
	27		34					34	
	28	17	17	17			50 m)	51	
	29		17					17	
	38	51	171					<b>2</b> 22	
	39	68	68				ngan ngan	136	
	42	411	496	68	17		60 60	992	
	43	17	274	34		am 100	-	325	
	45	gan bain	1999 (San	17	17		<b>a a</b>	34	
	46		17	34	51			102	
	47	34	51	753	308	17		1,163	

			Hum	py shrii	np			
				Larval	Stage			
				Zoea				
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total
22-24 June	1				34	274		308
	2				17			17
	4			17	34	86		137
	5					86		86
	7					51		51
	11				17			17
	13				17	17		34
	17					17		17
	18				17			17
	24					17		17
	26				34	17		51
	27		17		17			34
	29		17		17	17		51
	30				17			17
	38				17			17
	39		17		17	34		68
	40				34	34		68
	41			17	34	34		85
	42			51	34	34		119
	45					17		17
	47			17	103	291		411

			Hum	py shrin	np					
			Larval Stage							
				Zoea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Date	Station	I	II	III	IV	V	Megalopa	Total		
13-15 July	3					17		17		
	4					171	51	222		
	5					34	~ ~	34		
	39					34	-	34		
	40					34	17	51		
	42					34		34		
	43				17			17		
	44					17		17		
	46					17		17		
	47	~ -				223		223		

## NOAA TECHNICAL REPORTS NMFS Circular and Special Scientific Report—Fisheries

### Guidelines for Contributors

#### **CONTENTS OF MANUSCRIPT**

**First page.** Give the title (as concise as possible) of the paper and the author's name, and footnote the author's affiliation, mailing address, and ZIP code.

**Contents.** Contains the text headings and abbreviated figure legends and table headings. Dots should follow each entry and page numbers should be omitted.

**Abstract.** Not to exceed one double-spaced page. Footnotes and literature citations do not belong in the abstract.

**Text.** See also Form of the Manuscript below. Follow the U.S. Government Printing Office Style Manual, 1973 edition. Fish names, follow the American Fisheries Society Special Publication No. 12, A List of Common and Scientific Names of Fishes from the United States and Canada, fourth edition, 1980. Use short, brief, informative headings in place of "Materials and Methods."

**Text footnotes.** Type on a separate sheet from the text. For unpublished or some processed material, give author, year, title of manuscript, number of pages, and where it is filed agency and its location.

**IPersonal communications.** Cite name in text and footnote. (Cite in footnote: John J. Jones, Fishery Biologist, Scripps IInstitution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA 92037, pers. comrmun. 21 May 1977.

**Figures.** Should be self-explanatory, not requiring refercence to the text. All figures should be cited consecutively in the text and their placement, where first mentioned, indiccated in the left-hand margin of the manuscript page. Photoggraphs and line drawings should be of "professional" quality —clear and balanced, and can be reduced to 42 picas for ppage width or to 20 picas for a single-column width, but no more than 57 picas high. Photographs and line drawings should be printed on glossy paper—sharply focused, good ccontrast. Label each figure. DO NOT SEND original figures to the Scientific Editor; NMFS Scientific Publications Office will request these if they are needed.

**Ifables.** Each table should start on a separate page and should be self-explanatory, not requiring reference to the eext. Headings should be short but amply descriptive. Use bonly horizontal rules. Number table footnotes consecutively across the page from left to right in Arabie numerals; and to avoid confusion with powers, place them to the *left* of the numerals. If the original tables are typed in our format and tre clean and legible, these tables will be reproduced as they tre. In the text all tables should be cited consecutively and their placement, where first mentioned, indicated in the leftand margin of the manuscript page.

acknowledgments. Place at the end of text. Give credit only to those who gave exceptional contributions and *not* to mose whose contributions are part of their normal duties. Literature cited. In text as: Smith and Jones (1977) or (Smith and Jones 1977); if more than one author, list according to years (e.g., Smith 1936; Jones et al. 1975; Doe 1977). All papers referred to in the text should be listed alphabetically by the senior author's surname under the heading "Literature Cited"; only the author's surname and initials are required in the author line. The author is responsible for the accuracy of the literature citations. Abbreviations of names of periodicals and serials should conform to *Biological Abstracts List of Serials with Title Abbreviations*. Format, see recent SSRF or Circular.

Abbreviations and symbols. Common ones, such as mm, m, g, ml, mg, °C (for Celsius), %,  $\%_{\circ}$ , etc., should be used. Abbreviate units of measures only when used with numerals; periods are rarely used in these abbreviations. But periods are used in et al., vs., e.g., i.e., Wash. (WA is used only with ZIP code), etc. Abbreviations are acceptable in tables and figures where there is lack of space.

Measurements. Should be given in metric units. Other equivalent units may be given in parentheses.

#### FORM OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Original of the manuscript should be typed double-spaced on white bond paper. Triple space above headings. Send good duplicated copies of manuscript rather than carbon copies. The sequence of the material should be:

FIRST PAGE CONTENTS ABSTRACT TEXT LITERATURE CITED TEXT FOOTNOTES APPENDIX TABLES (provide headings, including "Table" and Arabie numeral, e.g., Table 1.--, Table 2.--, etc.) LIST OF FIGURE LEGENDS (entire legend, including "Figure" and Arabic numeral, e.g., Figure 1.--, Figure 2.--, etc.)

FIGURES

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Send ribbon copy and two duplicated copies of the manuscript to:

Dr. Carl J. Sindermann, Scientific Editor Northeast Fisheries Center Sandy Hook Laboratory National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA Highlands, NJ 07732

**Copies.** Fifty copies will be supplied to the senior author and 100 to his organization free of charge.

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS OFFICE 7600 SAND POINT WAY N.E. BIN C 15700 SEATTLE, WA 98115 OFFICIAL BUSINESS

#### NOAA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration was established as part of the Department of Commerce on October 3, 1970. The mission responsibilities of NOAA are to assess the socioeconomic impact of natural and technological changes in the environment and to monitor and predict the state of the solid Earth, the oceans and their living resources, the atmosphere, and the space environment of the Earth.

The major components of NOAA regularly produce various types of scientific and technical information in the following kinds of publications:

PROFESSIONAL PAPERS — Important definitive research results, major techniques, and special investigations.

CONTRACT AND GRANT REPORTS — Reports prepared by contractors or grantees under NOAA sponsorship.

ATLAS — Presentation of analyzed data generally in the form of maps showing distribution of rainfall, chemical and physical conditions of oceans and atmosphere, distribution of fishes and marine mammals, ionospheric conditions, etc. TECHNICAI. SERVICE PUBLICATIONS — Reports containing data, observations, instructions, etc. A partial listing includes data serials; prediction and outlook periodicals; technical manuals, training papers, planning reports, and information serials; and miscellaneous technical publications.

TECHNICAL REPORTS — Journal quality with extensive details, mathematical developments, or data listings.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS — Reports of preliminary, partial, or negative research or technology results, interim instructions, and the like.



Information on availability of NOAA publications can be obtained from:

PUBLICATIONS SERVICES BRANCH (D812) INFORMATION MANAGEMENT DIVISION NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

> 11400 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852