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## INTRODUCTION.

BEFORE the Reader enters upon this Work, it will not be improper to give him the following information concerning it.

A few years ago, many gentlemen; of the firft eminence in the law, formed themfelves into a Society, for providing annuities for the widows of all fuch perfons in judicial offices, barrifters, civilians, and follicitors, as fhould chure to become members. A plan was agreed upon and printed; but, fome dotibts happening to arife with refpect to it, the directors refolved to afik the opinion and advice of three gentlemen, well known for their fill in calculation. This occafioned a further reference to me; and the iffere was, that the plan being found to be infufficient, the whole defign was laid afide.

About the fame time, feveral other fucieties were formed with the fame views; but all on plans alike improper and infuficient. A 4 Finding

## viii INTRODUCTION.

Finding, thetefore, that the public wanted information on this fubject, I was led to undertake this work; imagining, that it might be foon finifned, and that all I could fay might be brought into a very narrow compass. But in this I have been much miftaken. A defign, which I at firft thought would give little trouble, has carried me far into a very wide field of enquiry; and cngaged me in many calculations that have taken up much time and labour. I fhall, however, be fufficiently rewarded for my labour, fhould it prove the means of preventing any part of that diftrefs, which is likely to be hereafter produced by the focieties now fubfifting for the benefit of widows. - I have proved the inadequatenefs of their plans, by undeniable facts and mathematicai demonftration (a).: have, further, given an account of fome of the ber plans, that are confiftent with a fuffeient probability of permanency and fuc-cefs.- Shonld, therefore, any of thefe fo-
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## INTRODUCTION.

cieties determine to reform themfelves; or fhould any inftitutions of the fame kind be hereafter eftablifhed, they will here find direction and affiftance (b).
(i) I have lately learnt, that Mr. Cadell, the pubtine: of this work, and alfo Mr. Becket, Bookfeller in inn Strand, are commiffioned to deliver in Lowdon, printel accounts of the foheme of a fociety, effaviifl ca fue years ago at Amferdari, for granting annuities on furvi-vorfhip.-- I cannot fatisty my own mind without introducing here, thugh an improper place, the following remarks on this fcheme.

From the folution of Queftions I and IV. in the Firft Chapter of the following Work, it may be gatbered, that, (reckoning intereft at 3 per cent. and the probabilities of life as they are in Tables III. IV. and V. in the Appendix.) the value of an annuity of 2l. for life, to be enjojed by a perfon aged 20 , provided he furvives another perfon aged 60 , is $8 \% .16 s .6 \mathrm{~d}$. in one prefent payment; and $185.6 d$. in annual payments, during the two joint lives: the firt payment to be made immediately. A fingle payment, therefore, of 130 forins, entitles to an annuity of 15 florins; and an amual payment of 110 florins, to an annuity of 119 florins; and both together, to an annuity of 134 forins. If the annual payments are to be made, not during the joint lives, but during the whole continuance of the oldeit fingle life, they will, together with the fingle payment, entitle to an annuity of 144 forins. But this fociety promifes, for thefe payments, an annuity of 100 florins, if the oldeft life fails in the firft year after admiffion; 200 forins, if it fails in the 2d year; 300 forins, if it fails in the third; 400 forins, if it fails in the 4 th; and 500 foring, if it fails in the fifth year, or at any time afterward's. It is, therefore, evident that the foheme of this fociety is, in this inftance, grofsly defective. There are other inltances in which it is even more defective; and the wobole of it, like the fchemes of moft of the Lon-

## $\pi$ INTRODUCTION.

In Quetion VI. Chap. I. a general method is defcribed of finding the values, in fingle and annual payments, of all life-annuities which are to begin after a given term of years ; and, in the 4 th Section of the 2 d Chapter, the plans of the focieties for granting fuch annuities are particularly confidered; and proved to be extremely deficient. Indeed, the general difpofition which has lately fhewn itfelf to encourage thefe focieties; is a matter of the moft ferious concern; and ought, I think, to be taken under the now tice of the Legiflature. The leading perfons among the prefent members, will be the $\operatorname{fr} f t$
don focieties, appears to have been contrived by perfons who had no principles to go upon. And yet it has been much encouraged. Many have entered themfelves into it from different parts of Europe; and the printed plan acquaints us, that it is now in poffeffion of an annual income of 200,000 florins. What difappointment then muft it in time produce? - It is provided by its rules, that the terms of admiffion fhall become leís and lefs advantageous, the longer it has fubfifted; juft as if the value of the an: nuities it promifes depended, not on the probabilities of life, and the improvement to be made of money, but on the age of the fociety. - I have taken notice of a fimila* abfurdity in the rules of our own focieties. But it is eafy to fee what is meant by it.

Mr. Cadell can procure from his correfpondents in Holland, any information for thofe who may want to know more of this fociety. But indeed I hould be forly to find it much enquired after in LONETON.
annuitants; and they are fure of being gainers: and the more infufficient the fcheme is, on which a fociety is formed, the greater will be the gains of the firf annuitants. The fame principle, therefore, that has produced and kept up other bubbles, has a tendency to preferve and promote thefe; and, for this reafon, it is to be feared, that, in the prefent cafe, no arguments will be attended with any effect (c). The confideration, that ot the gain made by fome in thefe focieties, " will be fo much plunder taken from "others," ought immediately to engage all to withdraw from them, who have any regard to juftice and humanity; but experience proves, that this argument, when oppofed to
(c) This apprehenfion has been verified by fact.-At the beginning of laft winter a letter was publifhed to the Provident Society, containing a clear proof of the infufficiency of the plans of all thefe focieties. It was at leaft to be expecied, that fuch a publication would prevent the rife of new focieties, formed on more inadequate plans. But this was fo far from being the effect, that, foon afterwards, a fociety fprung up which calls itfelf the Rational Annuity Society; and which, though it does not take balf the values of the annuities it promifes, has had the Shamelefinefs to affure the public, that it is formed on a plan incontefably durable. The Confolidated, the Public Annuitant, and the Wefiminfer Union Societies, are yet wor $\sqrt{e}$ inftitutions, which have been fince formed; and there may, for ought I know, be many more : for, indeed, all London feems to be now entering into aflociations of this kind.
private intereft, is apt to be too feeble in its influence.

It cannot be faid with precifion, how long thefe focieties may continue their payments to annuitants, after beginning them. A continued increafe, and a great proportion of young members, may fupport them for a longer time than I can forefee. But the longer they are fupported by fuch means, the more mifchief they mult occafion.-So, a tradefman, who fells cheaper than he buys, may be kept up many years by increafing bufinefs and credit; but he will be all the while accumulating diftrefs; and the longer he goes on, the more extenfive ruin he will produce at laft.

In the latter end of the firft chapter, I have ftated very particularly, the method of computing the values of afjurances on lives and furvivorfhips, in all cafes where no more than two lives are concerned: and, in the $3^{d}$ Effay, I have pointed out a confiderable error, into which there is danger of falling in computing fome of thefe values. The focieties and offices for tranfacting bufinefs in this way, are very ufeful; and it is neceffary that they fhould go upon the beft principles,
and pofiefs all the information that can be given them.

But there is no part of this work in which the public is fo much concerned, as the 34 Chapter. It will be there proved, that had the fums raifed for public fervices fince the Revolution, been much greater than they have been, the increafe of the public debts to their prefent flate might have been preyented in the eafieft manner, and at a trifling expence. A method, likewife, of reducing within due bounds thefe debts, heavy as they now are, will be propofed. - All competent judges will, I believe, fee, that this method, being founded on the mof perfect improvement that can be made of money, is the mont expeditious and effecual that the natures of things admit of. Nor, in my opinion, if the nation is not yet too near the limit of its refources, can there be any good reafon againft carrying it into execution.--It is well known, to what prodigious fums, money, improved for fome time at compound intereft, will increafe (a). A ftate, if there is no mif-
(a) A penny, put out to 5 per cent. coumpound intereft at our Saviour's birth, would, by this time, have iacreated to more money than would be contained in 150 millions of globes, each equal to the earth in magnitude, and all folid geld. this improvement of any favings, which can be applied to the payment of its debts. It need never, therefore, be under any difficulties; for, with the frialleft favings, it may, in as little time as its intereft can require, pay off the largef debts.--Several of the obfervations I have made on this fubject, have not, perhaps, been duly confidered. Could they engage the attention of the managers of our public affairs, they might, I think, be of fome fervice. But this, I am fenfible, I cannot expect. I have, however, in fome degree, fatisfied my own mind; and I thall always reflect with pleafure, that, in this part of the following treatife, I have endeavoured to convey to the public, an information which is of particular importance to it.

In the firf Eflay I have made many obferfervations on the expectations of lives, the pernicious influence of great towns on health, and manners, and population; the increafe of mankind; and other fubjects in the doctrine of Annuities and Political Arithmetick.In the Laft Eflay I have fated carefully the proper method of forming tables of the probabilities of human life, from given obferva-
tions: And, in the Appendix, befides feveral new Tables, I have thought it neceffary to give Mr. Simpfon's Tables of the values and expectations of London lives; and all the other Tables which can be wanted in the perufal of this work.-I have alfo, in the Appendix, given the Demonftrations of the Anfwers to the Queftions in Chap. I. Thefe Demonftrations I have chofen to keep out of fight in the body of the work, in order to avoid difcouraging fuch readers as may be unacquainted with mathematics.

Upon the whole. A great part of this work is, I believe, new ; and I am in hopes alfo, that it will be found to contain fome improvements in thofe branches of philofophical enquiry, which are the fubjects of it.

The Reader is defired to correct the following Error.

In page 148, line $\mathbf{1 r}$. infead of 11 , read 9 years purchafe; and in the ness: line, inflead of $6 \frac{1}{2}$, read $15^{\frac{1}{2}}$ years purchafe.




## C H A P. I.

2uefions relaizing to Schemes for granting Reverfionary Annuities, and the $V$ alues of Adurances on Lives.

## Question ì.

\# " focicty for fecuring annuities to "their widows. What fum of " money, in a fingle prefent pay" ment, ought every member to "contribute, in order to entitle his widow "to an annuity of $30 \%$ per ainnunn for her life, "eftimating intereft at 4 per cent ? "
A N S Weri.

It is evident, that the value of fich an expectation is different, according to the different ages of the purchafers, and the proportion of the age of the wife to that of the hufband. Let us then fuppofe, that every perfon in fuch a fociety is of the fame age with his wife, and that one with another all the members when they enter may be reckB oned
oned 40 years of age, as many entering above this age as below it. It has been demonftrated by Mr. De Moivre and Mr. Simpfon, that " the value of an annuity on the joint con" tinuance of any two lives, fubtracted from " the value of an annuity on the life in ex" pectation," gives the true prefent value of an annuity on what may happen to remain of the latter of the two lives after the other.

In the prefent cafe, the value of an annuity to be enjoyed during the joint continuance of two lives, each (a) 40, (b) is 9.826 , according
(a) See Table VII. Appendix.
(b) The values of joint lives and reverfions, as deduced from the Breflaw obfervations, are not given in any part of this work from Mr. De Moidre's rules in his treatife on annuities on lives. For thefe rules are approximations, which give refults fo far from the truth, as to be, not only ufelefs, but dangerous. In the fecond effay in the Appendix, a particular account of this will be given, and alfo of the method in which thefe values have been calculated.

Mr. De Moivre has calculated the values of fingle lives, on the fuppofition of an equal decrement of life thro' all its ftages till the age of 86 , which he confidered as the utmoft probable extent of life. Thus; let there be 56 perfons alive at 30 years of age. It is fuppofed that one will die every year till, in $5^{6}$ years, they will be all dead. The fame will happen to 46 at 40 , in 46 years. To 36 at 50 , in $3^{6}$ years, and fo on for all other ages. The number of years which a given life wants of 86 , he calls the complement of that life. Fifty-fix, therefore, is the comthement of $30 ; 46$ of 40 , and 36 of 50 .

This hypotheis eafes very much the labour of calculating the values of lives; and it is fo conformable to Dr. Halley's table of obfervations, that there is little or no rea-
ing to the probabilities of life in the table of obfervations formed by Dr. Halley, from the bills of mortality of Breflaw in Silefia. The value of a fingle life 40 years of age, as given by Mr. De Moivre, agreeably to the fame table, is $13.20(a)$; and the former fubtracted from the latter, leaves 3.37 , or the true number of years purchafe, which ought to be paid for any given annuity, to be enjoyed by a
fon for diftinguifhing between the values of lives as deduced from this Table, and the fame values deduced from the hypothefis.

In order to avoid putting the reader to trouble, I have given this table at the end of this work. And I have alfo given two other tables which I have formed from the bills of mortality at Nortbampion and Norwich. Thefe laft tables anfwer more nearly to Mr. De Moivre's hypothefis than even Dr. Halley's table; and the difference between the values of fingle and joint lives by the bypothefis, and the fame values computed ftrictly from the tables, is generally lefs in thefe tables than in Dr. Halley's, as will be fhewn in the laft Effay. When, therefore, in the courfe of this work the values of fingle and joint lives are mentioned, as given agreeably to Dr. Halley's table, it muft be underftood, that they are taken from Tables VI. and VII. in the Appendix, and given in ftrict agreement only to the thypothefis; and that for this reafon, they are in reality ftill more conformable to the Nortbampton and Norwich tables.

The inhabitants of London, as is well known, not living fo long as the reft of mankind, the values of fingle and goint lives there, are confiderably lefs than in any other place where obfervations have been kept. Whenever, therefore, I have had London lives in view, I have given particular notice of it , and taken their values from Mr . Simpon, who has calculated them with much accuracy from the London tables of obfervation. See Tables X. and XI.
(a) Sce Table VI. Appendix.
perfon 40 years of age, provided he furvives another perfon of the fame age, intereft being reckoned at 4 per cent. per annum. The annuity, therefore, propofed in this queftion being $30 \%$. the prefent value of it is 30 multiplied by $3 \cdot 37$, or $101 \% 2 s$.

By calculating from Mr. Simpfon's tables (a), formed from the bills of mortality of London, this value comes out $102 l$.

The difference in the value of the reverfion will be inconfiderable, whether the common age is taken a few years more or lefs than 40. Thus married men of 30 ought not, according to Dr. Halley's table, to give two fifths of a year's purchafe more, for any given reverfionary annuity for their wives, than married men of 50 , provided they are of the fame ages with their wives; and one quarter more, according to Mr. Simpfon's table. If the wives are younger (as is generally the cafe) there will indeed be a confiderable difference; for the value now determined would be $120 \%$. according to the Breflaw obfervations, fuppofing the two lives to be 40 and 33 , or that wives are one with another feven years younger than their hufbands; and $188 \%$. 10 s. according to the London obfervations.
(a) Sce Table X. and XI. Appendix.

## Question II.

" Suppofing fuch a fociety as that defcrib" ed in the preceding queftion, to be limit" ted to a certain number of members, and " conftantly kept up to that number, by the " admiffion of new members as old ones are " loft, in confequence of their own deaths, " and the deaths of their wives: What is the " number of annuitants which, in fome time " after its eftablifhment, will come to be "conftantly upon it?".

> ANSWER.

Since every marriage produces either a widow or widower ; and fince all marriages taken together would produce as many widows as widowers, were every man and his wife of the fame age, and the chance equal which fhall die firft; it is evident, that the number of widows that have ever exifted in the world would, in this cafe, be equal to balf the number of marriages. And what would take place in the world mult alfo, on the fame fuppofitions, take place in this fociety. -In other words; every other perfon in fuch a fociety leaving a widow, there muft arife from it a number of widows equal to half its own number. - But this does not determine what number, all living at one and the fame time, the fociety may expect will
come to be conftantly upon it. For if every widow lived no more than a year, the fociety would never have more annuitants upon it than came on in a year. And on the contrary, if none ever died, the number of annuitants would go on increafing for ever.'Tis, therefore, neceffary, in order to anfwer the prefent enquiry, to determine how long the duration of furvivorfhip between perfons of equal ages will be, compared with the $d u$ ration of marriage. And the truth is, that, fuppofing the probabilities of life to decreare uniformly (a), the former is equal to the latter; and confequently, that the number of firvivors, or (which is the fame, fuppofing no fecond marriages) of werdows and roidozers alive together, which will arife from any given fet of fuch marriages conftantly kept up, will be equal to the whole number of inarriages; or balf of them (the number of widows in particular) equal to balf
(a) That is, fuppofing that out of any given number alive at any age the fame number will die every year 'till all are dead. See the preceding note. That on this hypothefis, the duration of furvivorfhip is equal to the duration of marriage, when the ages are equal; or, in other words, that the experation of two joint lives, the ages being equal, is the fame with the expectation of furvivorthip, may be learnt from the 18 th and 20th problems of Mr. De Moivre's treatife on annuities; and a demonftration of it, together with a particular explanation of this lubject, may be found at the beginning of the firft Effay to which I muft beg the reader to turn, if he is at any lofs about the full meaning of what is here faid.
the number of marriages.-Now, it appears that the decreafe in the probabilities of life, is in fact nearly uniform. According to the Breflaw, the Nortbampton and Norwich tables of obfervation, almoft the fame numbers die every year from 20 years of age to 77 (a). After this, indeed, fewer die, and the rate of decreafe in the probabilities of life is retarded. But this deviation from the hypothefis is inconfiderable; and its effect, in the prefent cafe, is to render the duration of furvivorfhip longer than it would otherwife be. According to the London table of obfervations, the numbers dying every year begin to grow lefs at 50 years of age; and from hence to extreme old age, there is a conftant retardation in the decreafe of the probabilities of life (b). Upon the whole, therefore, it appears in anfiver to the prefent queftion, that " according to the three "former tables of obfervations, and fuppo" fing no widows to marry, the number " enquired after is fomezobat greater than " half the number of the fociety; but, ac"cording to the London table, a good deal " greater."

It muft be carefully remembered, that this has been determined on the fuppofition, that
(a) See Tables III. IV. and V. Appendix.
(b) The reafon of this difference between the London and other tables, will be given at the end of the fourth Effay.
hufbands and their wives are of equal ages, and that in this cafe it becomes an equal chance which fhall dic firft. In reality neither of thefe fuppofitions is juft. Hufbands in general are older than their wives; and in equal ages the mortality of males has been found to be greater than the mortality of females. For both thefe reafons, it is much more than an equal chance that the hufband will die before his wife, or that the womnan fhall be the furvivor of a marriage, and not the man. This will increafe confiderably the duration of furvivorfhip on the part of the woman, and confequently the number enquired after in this queftion. The marriage of widows will allo diminifh this number, and the operation of thefe caufes will be different in different fituations. But it is by no means to be expected (in the fituation of the focieties I have in view) that the diminution from the latter caufe will be confiderable enough, to overbalance the operation of all the other caufes which have been mentioned, and reduce the number under confideration fo low, as half the number of marriages ( $a$ ).

## SCHOLIUM.

In London it appears, that there is a recardation of the decreafe in the probabilities
(a). It will be obferved hereafter, that this obfervation bias been found to be true in fact.
of life, which renders the duration of furvivorfhip between two lives of equal ages, confiderably longer than their joint continuance. It feems worth obferving, that this is the reafon why, though the probabilities of life, and therefore the values of fingle and joint lives, are lefs in London than in other places, yet the values of reverfions depending on furvivorfhips, are in fome cafes greater there. It is proper to add, that this likewife is the reafon why, in calculating the values of joint lives and reverfions, the prefent value of an annuity payable yearly to the furvivor of two equal lives, may come out equal to, or even greater than, the prefent value of a like annuity for the joint lives. As an annuity, during fuch furvivorhip, will probably not become payable for fome years, and therefore the money given for it will have time to accumulate, it is manifeft, that the value of it could never be equal to the value of an annuity on the joint lives, the payment of which begins immediately, were not the obfervation now made true.

## Question III.

"Such a fociety as that defcribed in the "preceding queftions being fuppofed, in " what time will the number of annuitants "upon it come to a maximum?"

Ans-

## Answer.

In order to be more clear in anfwering this queftion, I will firf fuppofe the fociety to comprehend in it from its firf eftablifhment, all the married perfons of all ages in any town or country, where the number of people continue conftantly the fame. In this cafe, the whole collective body of members will be at their greateft age, at the time of the eftablifhment of the fociety; and the number of members, together with the number of widows left every year, will, taking one year with another, admit of no increafe or diminution. The number of widows in life together, derived from any given number coming on a fociety every year, will increafe continually, till as many die off as are added every year; that is, till they come to die off as faft as poffible. But they cannot die off as faft as poffible, till the whole collective body of widows are at their greatef age; or, till there is among them the greateft number poffible of the oldeft widows; and, therefore, not till there has been time for an acceffion to the oldeft widows, from the youngeft part of the widows that come on annually.

Let us, for the fake of greater precifion, divide the whole medium of widows that come on every year, into different claffes according to their different ages, and fuppofe fome to be left at 56 years of age, fome at 46 , fome
fome at 36 , and fome at 26 . The widows, conftantly in life together, derived from the firft clafs, will come to their greateft age, and to a maximum, in 30 years, fuppofing with Mr. De Moivre, 86 to be the utmoft extent of life. The fame will happen to the fecond clafs in 40 years, and to the third in 50 years (a). But the whole body, compofed of thefe claffes, will not come to a maximum, till the fame happens to the fourth or youngeft clafs; that is, not till the end of 60 years. After this, the affairs of the fociety will become fationary, and the number of annuitants upon it of all ages will keep always nearly the fame.

Such is the anfwer to this queftion, fuppofing a fociety to begin with its complete number of members, confifting of married perfons of all ages, in the fame proportions to one another, with the proportions in which they exift in the world.-If it begins with its complete number of members, but at the fame time admits none above a particular age: If, for inftance, it begins with 200 members all under 50 , and afterwards limits itfelf to this number, and keeps it up by admitting every year, at all ages between 26 and 50 , new members as old ones drop off;
(a) In the Appendix, note (a), a rule is given, by which the numbers alive at the end of any particular number of years may be very eafily determined.
in this care, the period neceffary to bring on the maximunn of annuitants will be juft doubled. For, in the firft place, the whole collective body of members will be 60 years in getting to their greateft age, as may eafily appear from what has been juft faid. The annual medium of widows, therefore, that will come on the fociety will increale continually for 60 years, it being evident, that the older any fet of married men are, taken one with another, the fafter they will leave widows. And after this annual medium is increafed to a maximum, 60 years more will be neceffary to bring to a maximum the number in life together, derived from fuch a fixed annual medium conftantly coming on.-IIf fuch a fociety is any number of years in gaining its maximun of members, the time neceflary to bring on the maximum of annuitants will be ftill further prolonged, and will be equal to twice 60 years with that number of years added.-Moft of the focieties for granting annuities to widows are of this kind; and, therefore, fuppofing them to gain their complete number of members in ten years, and for ever afterwards to preferve it, the number of annuitants upon them will go on increafing for 130 years.-It is proper, however, to be remembered, that the increafe will be quicker at firft, and afterwards flower; and that, within 20 or 30 years of the end
of this term, it will be fo llow as fcarcely to be fenfible, though ftill real.

All who will beftow due attention on this fubject muft fee thefe decifions to be juft;" and a demonftration of them might be given, in a form more ftrictly mathematical, were it neceffary.

## Question IV.

"Suppofe the members of fuch a fociety " as that defcribed in the preceding quefti"ons, to chufe making annual payments during " the continuance of marriage, in lieu of the "fum which the reverfionary annuity for " their widows is worth in prefent money: "What ought thefe annual payments to be, "eftimating intereft at 4 per cent?"
ANSWER.

This will be eafily determined, by finding what annual payments, during two joint lives of given ages, are equivalent to the value of the reverfionary annuity in prefent money. Suppofe, as in Queftion I. the two joint lives to be each 40 , and the reverfonary annuity 30\%. per annum. An annual payment during the continuance of two fuch lives is worth, according to Dr. Halley's table of obfervations, 9.82 (a) years purchafe. The annual
(a) See Table VII.
payment then ought to be fuch as being mula tiplied by 9.82 , will produce (a) l.IOI.I, the prefent value of the annuity in one payment by Queftion I. Divide then l. IOI.I by 9.82 , and the quotient, or $l .10 .3$ will be the anfwer.- This is very nearly the annual payment of all the members at an average, fuppofing equal numbers to offer themfelves for admiffion of every age between 30 and 50. As much as fome give lefs, others ought to give more, according to their excefs of age. Thus, the annual payment of a married perfon, 30 years of age, ought to be 1.9 .39 ; and of a perfon 50 years of age 1.11.33.-If the values of joint lives and the reverfionary annuity are taken agreeably to the London table of obfervations, thefe annual payments will be, for 30 years of age (b), l. 10.9,-for 40 , l. 12.5 ,-for 50, l.14.5.

## If

(a) Particular notice fhould be taken of the method of notation here ufed, becaufe it will be carried through the whole of this work. - The figures on the right hand of the full-point, fignify the decimal parts of $1 /$. Thus; l. IOI.1, is $l$. Ior and the roth of $1 l$. or l. 10 I and 2 s.-l.9.39, is 1.9 , and 39 hundredths of 1 . or l.9: $7 \mathrm{~s} .: 10 \mathrm{~d} . \frac{1.11}{6} .33$, is $l .11$, and 33 hundredths of 1 l . or l . $11: 6 \mathrm{~s} .: 7 \mathrm{~d}$.- In general ; it fhould be remembered, that 2 fhillings allowed for every unit in the firf place of decimals, and two-pence half-penny for every unit in the fecond place of decimals, will give, nearly enough, the value of the decimal part of every fuch expreffion.
(b) The value of two joint lives of 30 , taken from Table XI. is 9.6 . This fubtracted from the value of the life in expectation, or from 13.1, by Table X. gives 3.5,

If either the rate of intereft is fuppofed lower, or wives are fuppofed younger than their hufbands, the annual payments will be increafed. But there is no occafion for pointing out particularly the difference. It may be eafily found in any cafes by the directions now given. There is, however, one oblervation which ought to be here carefully attended to.-This method of calculation fuppofes, that the firft annual payment is not to be made 'till the end of a year. If it is to be made immediately, the value of the joint lives will be increafed one year's purchafe; and, therefore, in order to find in this cafe the annual payments required; the value in prefent money found by Queft. I. muft be divided by the value of the joint lives increafed by unity, and, in this way, the preceding values at 4 per cent. according to the Breflaw obfervations, will be found to be l.8.62-l.9.35-l.10.07.-According to the London obfervations, l. 10,-l.11.2,-l.12.7.
the number of years purchafe which an annuity for a life of 30 years of age; after another life of the fame age, is worth. This remainder, multiplied by 30 , gives 105 l. the value in a fingle payment, fuppofing the reverfionary annuity to be 30l. And 105\%. divided by 9.6, gives l. 10.9, the value of the fame annuity in annual payments, during the joint continuance of the two lives, according to the London obfervations.-By fimilar operations all the other values above given have been found.

## Question $V$.

"A fociety may chufe to make abate ments in thefe annual payments, and to require the remainder of the value of the reverfionary annuity to be given, in fines " or premiums at the time of admiffion; it " may, for inftance, chule to fix the annual " payments of all the members to 5 guineas. "What, in this cafe, would be the premium "due at admiffion, the annuity being fup"pofed 30\%. per annim, and intereft being "at 4 per cent?"

## Answer.

From the whole prefent value of the annuity in one payment, fubtract the value of 5 guineas per annum, during the joint lives; and the remainder will be the anfwer.

Suppofing the joint lives both 40 , the whole prefent value of the annuity in one payment is, according to the Breflaw obfervations, l.101.1, by Queft. I.-The value of 5 guineas per annum, or of 1.5 .25 per annum, during two fuch joint lives, is 5.25 , multiplied by the value of the joint lives; that is, 5.25 , multiplied by 9.82 , or $l .51 .55$; and this fubtracted from l.IOI.I, gives $l .49 .5$, the anfwer required for two lives at the age of 40. -The anfwer found in the fame way for two lives whofe common age is 30 , is $l .46 .5$, -and for two lives at $50,50 \%$.

According to the London obfervations, thefe values are, for two lives at 30, l.54.6.-At 40, l. 59. 4.-At 50, 1.63 .3 .

If the firft of the annual payments is to be made immediately, the true anfwer will, in every inftance, be the values found in the manner now directed, diminifhed by the annual payment; or, in the prefent cafe, 5 guineas lefs than the values fpecified.

The values, in premiiums and annual payments, of any other reverfionary annuity, will be as much greater or lefs than thefe, as the annuity itfelf is greater or lefs.

## Cuestion-VI。

"A perfon 35 years of age wants to buy " an annuity, for what may happen to re" main of his life after $j^{\circ}$ years of age. "What is the value of fuch an annuity in "ready money; and alfo in annual payments, * till he attains to the faid age; that is, in "annual payments for is years, fubject in " the mean time to failure, fhould his life "fail ?"

> ANSWER.

The prefent value of fuch an annuity is the prefent value of a life at 50 , in money to be received 15 years hence, and the payment of which depends on the contingency of the continuance of the given life 15 years. That is ; it is equal to the value of a life at 50 ,
multiplied by the prefent value of $1 \%$. to be received at the end of 15 years, and allo by the probability that the given life will continue fo long.-A life at 50 , according to Mr. De Moivre's valuation of lives, and reckoning intereft at 4 per cent. is worth II, 34 year's purchafe. The prefent value of $1 l$. to be received at the end of 15 years, is, by Table I, 0.5553. And the probability that a life at 35 , will continue 15 years, is, according to the Breflawe obfervations $\frac{346}{450}$ (a). And thefe three values, multiplied by one another, give $l .4 .44$, or the number of years purchale that ought to be given for the annuity. -The annuity then being fuppofed $50 \%$ its value in prefent money is $222 \%$.
(a) The probability that a given life fhall continue athy number of years, or attain to a given age, is (as is well known) the fraction, whofe numerator is the number of the living in any table of obfervations oppofite to the given age, and denominator, the number oppofite to the prefent age of the given life. - Thus, in the prefent inftance; 346 is the number in Dr. Halley's table oppofite to 50 , and 490 the number oppofite to $35-\frac{346}{}{ }^{6}{ }^{\circ}$, (or the odds of 17 to 7) is, therefore, the probability that a perfon whofe age is 35 thall attain to 50 , or live 15 years. In the fame manner it will appear, that, according to the fame table, the probability that a perfon at this age fhall live 25 years, is $\frac{242}{490}$; or nearly an even chance.

At Northampton and Norwich a perfon at the fame age, has an even chance of living 26 years; but in London, icarcely 20 years. See Tables III, IV, V, and VIII. Appendix. I will add, though foreign to my prefent purpofe, that a perfon at the fame age has in thefe towns a better chance of living one year, than in Londom, in the proportion of 3 to 2 .

In order to find this value in annual payments, while the given life is attaining to 50 , it is neceflary to find the value of an annuity for 15 years; fubject to failure on the extinction of the given life. And the value of fuch an aninuity is, evidently, the laft value fubtracted from the value of the given life; or, in the prefent inftance, $l .4 .44$, fubtracted from 1. I 3.97. (Sce Table VI, Appendix) that is, $l .9 .53--222 l$. then, being the prefent value of an annuity of $50 \%$. for the remainder of a life now 35, after attaining to 50 ; and 9.53 being the number of years purchafe, which ought to be given for an annual payiment to laft 15 years, if a life now 35 laft folong, it follows, that the value of the fame annuity in annual payments till this life attains to 50 , is $222 l$. divided by 9.53 ; or l. 23.3:

This calculation fuppofes, that the firf of the anntial payments is not to be made till the end of a year. If the firf payment is made immediately, the value will be, the fingle payment divided by the value of the life for the given term increafed by unity; that is, in the prefent cafe, $222 \%$. divided by 10.53 ; or 1.21 .08 .

If the value of the annuity is required in a fingle payment, over and above any given annual payment; deduct the value of the annual payment from the whole value in a fingle prefent payment, and the remainder will
be the anfwer.-Thus; let 5 guineas, in the prefert inftance, be the given annual payment for the affigned term; and let the enquiry be, how much more in prefent money the fuppofed annuity is worth. By what has been juft faid, 9.53 , multiplied by 5 guineas, that is, $50 \%$ is the value of the annual payment; and this fum deducted from $222 \%$. leaves $172 \%$. the anfwer.

If the annual payment begins immediately, its value is 10.53 , multiplied by 5 guineas, and the anfwer comes out 1.166 .75 .

In this way may be found the value, in fingle and amual payments, of any other annuity, payable to an affigned life, after a given term of years, taking any valuation of lives or intereft of money. But care muft be taken to remember, that it is the title to the annuity that will commence at the end of the given term, and that the firft payment is not to be made 'till a year afterwards; that is, in the cafe here fpecified, not 'till the end of 16 years.

## SCHOLIUM.

The value of the remainder of two joint lives, after a given term of years, is likewife the value of $r l$. due at the end of the given term, multiplied by the value of two joint lives, each older by the given term than the given lives; and this product, multiplied by the probability, that the given joint lives fhall
not fail in the given term; or (which is the fame) by the product of the two probabilities, that the fingle lives hall each continue the given term. And the value of an anmuity, on any given joint lives for a term of years beginning now, is this laft value, fubtracted from the whole prefent value of the joint lives. Thus; the value of two joint lives, one 40 years of age, and the other 50 , (fee Table VII.) is 8.91 ; which, multiplied by 0.6755 , the value of $1 l$. due 10 years hence, and by $\frac{445}{33}$, (the probability that a life at 30 Thall continue 10 years) and alfo by $\frac{3+5}{45}$, (the probability that a life at 40 thall continue io years) gives 3.92 , the prefent value of the remainder of two joint lives, aged 30 and 40 , after 10 years; and this value, fubtracted from 10.43 the value in Table VH. of two joint lives, aged 30 and 40 ) leaves 6.51 , their value for 10 years.

As the value of the longent of two lives is always the value of the joint lives, fubtracted from the fum of the values of the two Jingle lives; their value alfo for any given term, is the value of the joint lives for the given term, fubtracted from the fum of the values of the fingle lives for the given term.

The truth of thefe rules may eafily appear without particular proof. I have, however, pointed out the method of demonftrating them in a note $(a)$ at the end of this work.
(a) Sce note (B) in the Appendix.

By fimilar operations, may be found the values of 3 or more joint lives, or the longeft of three or more lives, for a given term of years, or of what thall remain of them after a given term of years.
Question VII.
"The prefent value is required of an ans" nuity to be enjoyed by one life, for what "' may happen to remain of it beyond ano"s ther life, after a given term ; that is, pro"vided both lives continue, from the pre"fent time, to the end of a given term of "years?"

## Answer.

Find the value of the annuity for two lives greater, by the given term of years, than the given lives. Difcount this value for the given term; and then, multiply by the probability, that the two given lives thall both continue the given term; and the product, will be the anfwer.

## Exampie.

Let the two lives be each 30 . The term feven years. The annuity, iol. Intereft, 4 per cent. -The given lives, increafed by 7 years, become each 37. The value of two - joint lives each 37 , is (by Table VII) $10.25^{\circ}$

The value of a finge life at 37 , is (by Table VI) 13.67. The former, fubtracted from the latter, is 3.42 , or the value of an annuity for the life of a perfon 37 years of age, after another of the fame age, by Queft. I.--3.42 difcounted for 7 years, (that is, multiplied by 0.76 , the value of $1 l$. due at the end of feven years, by Table I.) is 2.6.-The probability that a fingle life at 30 fhall continue 7 years, is (by the hypothefis explained page 2.) $\frac{49}{58}$ (a). The probability, therefore, that two fuch
(a) In this cafe, it is on fome accounts beft, as well as eafieft, to take the probabilities of life from the hypothefis, rather than immediately from the Tables.-Fiftyfix perfons being fuppofed alive at 30 , one will die every year, according to the hypothefis. At the end of feven years then, the number of the living will be 49 , and $\frac{49}{56}$, or the odds of 7 to I, is, by note p. I8. the probability, that a life, aged 30 , will continue 7 years; and this fraction, multiplied by itfelf, is the probability, that two lives of this age, fhall both continue 7 years. In general, it muft be remembered, that the probability, that any two or more events fhall all happen, is the product arifing from multiplying by one another, the probabilities of all the events taken feparately. The probability, therefore, that any number of perfons will all live any given time, is rightly found by multiplying into one another the probabilities that each of them will live that time. - It may further be of ufe to fome, that I fhould obferve here, that the difference between unity and the fraction expreffing the probability, that an event will happen, gives the probability that it will not happen. Thus; the probability, that a perfon 40 years of age will live is years, is by the Breflaw Table $\frac{335}{45}$. The probability, therefore, that he will not live 11 years, is $\frac{3}{4} \frac{35}{4} \frac{5}{5}$, fubtracted
fuch lives thall both continue 7 years, is ${ }_{5}^{2409} 5$, or, in decimals 0.765 . And 2.6 multiplied by 0.765 , is 1.989 , the number of years purchafe which ought to be given for an annuity, to be enjoyed by a life now 30 years of age, after a life of the fame age, provided both continue 7 years. The annuity then being $10 l$. its prefent value is $l .19 .8 \mathrm{~g}$.

By fimilar operations, it may be found, that fuppofing the term one year, and the ages and the rate of intereft the fame, the prefent value of the fame reverfionary annuity is $l .32 .4$; and that if the term is 15 years, the value is 1.9 .7 .

For two lives each 40 , there values are l. 30.33.-l. 17.44.-l.7.3. the term being 1,7 , or 15 years.

For two lives each 50 , the fame values for the fame terms, are $1.28 .2,-1.13 .86$,1. 4.34 (a).

Thefe velues, according to the London obfervations and Mr. Simpforis Tables of the values of fingle and joint lives, are,
from unity or $\frac{110}{4+5}$ - In like manner: The probability that two perfons aged 30 , fhall both live 7 years, being 0.765 , the probability that they will not both live fo long, or that cne or other uf them will die in 7 years, is 0.765 fubtracted from unity, or .235 .

If any reader is unwilling to take thefe affertions for granted, he thould confult the beginning of Mr. De \#loivre's, or Mr. Simpfon's Treatifes on the Doctrine of Chances, where he will find them demonftrated.

> (a) See Note (C) Appendix.

For 2 lives at $30-1.32 .05-l .18 .62-$ l.7.66.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { at } 40-l .30 .7-l .15 .6-l .5 .45 \\
& \text { at } 50-l .29 .36-l .12 .33-l .3 .24 \\
& \text { QUESTION VIII. }
\end{aligned}
$$

" Let the fcheme of a fociety for granting "c annuities to widows, be, that, if a member " lives a year after admiffion, his widow thall " be entitled to a life annuity of $20 \%$. If "Seven years, to 10 l . more, or $30 \%$. in the "s whole. If ffteen years; to another addi"tional rol. or $40 \%$. in the whole. What "ought to be the annual payments of the " members for the ages of 30,40 , and 50 , "fuppofing them of the fame ages with their "wives, and allowing compound intereft at "4 per cent?"

Answer.
According to the bypotbelis, explained p. 2; and, therefore, very nearly, according to the Tables of obfervation for Breflaw, Norwich, and Nortbampton,

$$
1.8 .44-1.8 .69-1.9 .05
$$

According to the London obfervations,
!.9.41-1.10.17-l.10.92.

Thefe values are eafily deduced from the values in the laft queftion. For example, The value of $10 \%$. per annum for life to 40 after 40, provided the joint lives do not fail in one year, is, according to the bypothefis, l. 30.33 . The value of $20 \%$. per annum, in the fame circumftances, is, therefore, 1.60.66.In like manner, the vaiue of $10 \%$. after feven years, is l. 17.4.4. And of Iol. after 15 years l.7.3.-Thefe values together make 1.85 .4 , or the value of the expectation, defcribed in this queftion, in a fingle prefent payment; which, divided by 9.82 , (the value by Table VII. of two joint lives at 40) gives 8.8 .69 , the value of the fame expectation in annual payments, during the joint lives.- In the fame manner may be found the anfwer in all cafes to any queftions of this kind.

Thefe calculations fuppofe, that the annual payments do not begin till the end of a year. If they are to begin immediately, the true annual payments will be, as was before obferved, the fingle payments, divided by the value of the joint lives increafed by unity; and in the prefent cafe they will be, by the bypothefis,

$$
1.7 .75-1.7 .9-1.8 .07
$$

By the London obfervations,

$$
1.8 .52-1.9 .06-1.9 .51 .
$$

By the method of calculation now explained, may be eafily found in all cafes, fuppofing the annual payments previoufly fettled, what the reverfonary annuities are correfponding to them in value.-Thus, the annuities being the fame with thofe mentioned in this queftion, the mean annual payments for all ages between 30 and 50 , are nearly 8 l. according to the bigkeft probabilities of life; gl. according to the lowef; and 8 guineas the metiumz (a); intereft being at 4 per cent. and the firft payment to be made immediately.

If the mean annual payments, beginning immediately, are fixed to five guineas, the correfponding life annuities will be nearly (by the bypothefis) $12 \%$. if the contributoi lives a year, and $24 l$. if he lives feven years; or (by the London obfervations) ial. if he lives a year, and $20 \%$ if he lives feven years (b).
(a) The value of this expectation, fuppofing married men 40 years of age, and their wives 30 , is, in a fangle payment, II 3 l . In annual payments beginning immediately l.9.88, by the bypothefis. And 107. -and 1.10 .93 , by the London obfervations.
(b) If the annuities in expectation are $14 l$. provided a member lives a year, and $20 \%$. provided be lives feven years, the proper mean fingle payments for all ares, taken one with another, under 50 or 52 , is 50 guinicas nearly, according to all the Tables of obfervation, fuppofing equality of age between men and their wives. And the addition which ought to be made, on account of excefs of age on the man's fide is, taking the neareft and the cafieft

It is obfervable, that the difference in the values of the annuities, arifing from difference of ages, and the difference in the probabilities of life, is lefs in this queftion than in queftion 4 th ; and that, confequently, the plan propofed in it, is the fafeft, as well as the moft equitable and encouraging, that a fociety can adopt.

It is neceffary to remark here further, that yearly payments which begin immediately, are more advantageous than balf-yearly payments which begin immediately. Mr. Simplon (in his Treatife on The Doctrine of Annaities and Reverfons, pag. $7^{8}$, and alfo in bis Select Exercijes, p. 283) has (hewn, that, in the cafe of life annuities, balf-yearly payments, which begin at the end of half a year, are $\frac{7}{4}$ of a year's purchafe better than yearly payments, which begin at the end of a year. And it is manifert, that balf-yearly payments, which begin immediately, are no
eafieft round fums, about a guinea and $\frac{1}{2}$ for every year as far as 17 years; or, in the annual payments, (fuppofed 5 guineas) $\frac{1}{2}$ a guinea per annum for five years excels, and $\frac{1}{2}$ a guinea more for every four years excefs beyond five years, till the excels comes to be 17 years. And, I believe, that 60 guineas in fingle payments, and fix guineas in amaul payments beginning immediately, may very well be ftated as the loweft common payments proper to be required, fuppofing all married men under 52 , taken into a fociety, without enquiring into the difference of age between them and their wives, the annuities being all along fuppoted to be life annuities, and intereft reckoned at 4 per cent.
more than half a year's purchafe better than thofe which begin at the end of half a year. But yearly payments, which begin immediately, are a wobole year's purchafe better than the fame payments to begin at the end of a year. The difference of value, therefore, between yearly and balf-yearly payments, fuppofing both to begin immediately, is a quarter of a year's purchafe in favour of the former.

## Question IX.

"The value is required of an annuity to " be enjoyed for what may happen to re" main of one life after another, provided " the life in expectation continues a given "time?"

Answer.
Find by Queftion VI. the prefent value of the annuity for the remainder of the life in expectation, after the given time, and multiply this value by the probability, that the other life fhall fail within that time. Find alfo, by Queftion VII. the value of the reverfion, provided botb lives continue the given time. Add thefe values to one another, and the fum will be the anfwer in a fingle prefent payment.

Exam-

## Example.

An annuity of $10 \%$ for the life of a perfors how 30 , is to commence at the end of 11 years (a), if another perfon now 40, fhould be then dead; or, if this fhould not happen, at the end of any year beyond 11 years in which the former fhall happen to furvive the latter. What is the prefent value of fuch and annuity, reckoning intereft at 4 per cent. and taking the probabilities of life as they are in Dr. Halley's Table?

The value of $10 \%$. per annum, for the remainder of the life of a perfon now 30, after II years, found by Queft. VI: is 1.69 .43 .The probability that a perfon 40 years of age fhall live II years, is, by Dr. Halley's Table; $\frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{5}{5}$. The probability, therefore, that he will die in II years, is $\frac{335}{4} \frac{35}{45}$ fubtracted from unity ( $b$ ), or $\frac{110}{46} \frac{0}{5}$; which multiplied by $1.69 \cdot 43$ 3 gives $l .17$.r6. -The value of the reverfion; provided both live II years, found by Queft: VII. is $17 \%$ And this value added to the
(a) That is, the title to the annuity is to commence at the end of 11 years, and the firft payment to be made a year afterwards, in cafe the life in expectation fhould continue fo long, and the other fail. But if botb lives Should continue the given term, the firf payment is always to be made at the end of the year, in which the former life fhall happen to furvive the latter. See Quel.

(b) See the Note, p. 23 .
former,
former, makes $l .34 .16$, the value required in a jingle prefent payment; which payment divided by l.in 43, (the value by Table VII. of two joint lives, aged 30 and 40 , with unity added) gives $3 l ;(a)$ or the value required in annual payments during the joint lives, the firft payment to be made immedi-ately.-If, every thing elfe being the fame, the affigned term is 15 years, the value required will be 29l. in a fingle payment, and l. 2.55 in annual payments.
Question X.
"What money in hand, and alfo in an" nual" payments during life, ought a perfon "of an affigned age to give for a fum of mo" ney, payable at his death to his heirs (b)? "In other words, what money in hand, and " in annual payments during life, ought a "perfon of a given age to pay for an affu" rance of any given fum on his life ?"

## Answer.

Subtract the value of the life from the perpetuity. Multiply the remainder by the
(a) See the demonftration of this rule in Note (D) Appendix.
(b) This queftion is the fame with Problem 16th, in Mr . De Moivre's Treatife on Annuities, and Problems 26th, in Mr. Simpfon's Select Exercifes; but the anfwers there given are right only when applied to reverfionary efates, and therefore mult be materially wrong, when applied to reverfionary fums, as will appear from the Scholium to this Queftion, and from note ( E ) in the Appendix. product
product of the given fum into the intereft of 1ool. for a year: and this laft product, divided by $100 \%$. increafed by its intereft for a year, will give the anfwer in a fingle prefent payment. And this payment, divided by the value of the life, will give the anfwer in annual payments, during the continuance of the life.

Example. Let the life be $30^{\circ}$. The fum $100 \%$. The rate of intereft 4 per cent. And the valuation of lives, that in Table VI. The perpetuity, therefore $(a)$, is 25 . The intereft of rool. for a year, is $4 l$. 100 l . increafed by its intereft for a year is ro4l. And the value of the life 14.68.-The valun of the life, fubtracted from the perpetuity, gives 10.32, which, multiplied by the product of $100 \%$ into 4 , or by 400 , gives 4128 . And this, divided by 104 , gives $l .39 .7$, the value of $100 \%$. payable at the death of a perfon aged 30 , in a fingle prefent payment.-And this payment, divided by 14,68, is $l .2 .7$, the fame value in annual payments during the continuance of the life.

Thefe values found in the fame way, agreeably to the valuation of lives for London, in Table X, are $l .45 \cdot 76$, and $l .3 \cdot 49$. -If the life is 36 , and intereft 4 per cent. thefe values are $43 \%$ and 1.3 .1 , by Table VI, and 1.49 .6 ,
(a) That is; the value of the fee fimple of an eftate found by dividing $100 \%$ by the rate of intereft.
and $l .4 .1$, by Table X. -If intereft is reckoned at 3 per cent. the fame values are, by Table VI, for 30 years of age, l.48.14.2.86. -For $3^{6}$ years of age, l.5!.43, and l.3.28.

It appears here, that difference of intereft makes no confiderable difference in the anfwers to queftions of this kind, except when the values are required in a fingle payment.

If the firft of the annual payments is to be made immediately, the fingle payment is to be divided by the value of the life, with unity added to it, agreeably to what has been already obferved; and the annual payments in this cafe (intereft fuppofed at 4 per cent.) will be by Table VI, for a life at $30,1.2 .53-$ At 36, l.2.9.

If the payments are half yearly payments beginning immediately, the fingle payment muft be divided by the value of the life increafed by $\frac{3}{7}$, or .75 , (fee Queft. VIII.) And the half yearly payments, for the age of 36 , will be half 2.9 , or 1.45 . And half 1.45 or .725 , is likewife nearly the proper quarterly payments.

Again; if an annual payment, begimning immediately, of $l .2 .9$, ought (recisoning iniereft at 4 per cent.) to purchaie 100 l. payable at the failure of a life now 36 ; $5 \%$ by the rule of proportion, ought to purchafe 172\%. And in like manner, it may be found, that the fame annual contribution, in half-

34
yearly or quarterly payments, beginning immediately, ought to purchafe 170l. - Thefe fums, according to the London obfervations, are $132 l$. and $130 \%$ nearly.

The reafon of mentioning thefe particulars will be feen in the next chapter.

## Scholidm.

If the reverfion is not a fum, but an annuity for ever, or an eftate in fie fimple, to be entered upon after a given life, its prefent value, in a fingle payment, will be " the value " of the life fubtracted from the perpetuity, " and the "remainder multiplied by the an" nuity, or the annual rent of the eftate."And the value, in annual payments, will be, as before, the fingle payment divided by the value of the life. - Univerfally. It ought to be remembered, that a reverfionary effate, after any given life or lives, is worth as much more than a correfponding reverfionary fum, as $100 \%$ increafed by its intereft for a year, is greater than 100 l. -Thus, the prefent values, in fingle and annual payments, of $4 \%$ per annum for ever, and of $100 \%$. in money after any affigned life, are to one another, (intereft being at 4 per cent.) as 104 to 100 , or 1.04 to 1.-The reafon of this difference is, that the calculations fuppofe, that the reverfionary fum, and the firf yearly rent of the eflate, or firf payment of the annuity,
are to be received at the fame time, after the extinction of the lives in poffeffion. It is eafy to fee, that this is a circumftance which muft make the latter of mof value. But to prevent any doubts about it, I thall explain it more particularly in a note in the Appendix (a).
QUESTION XI.
"A perfon of a given age, having an year"ly income which will fail with his life, "wants to make provifion for another per" fon of a given age, in cafe the latter hould " happen to furvive. What ought the for$\%$ mer to give in a fingle payment, and alfo " in annual payments during their joint lives, "for a given fum, payable at his death to "t the latter?"

It is manifeft, that the value of the given fum in this cafe, muft be lefs than in the cafe ftated in the laft Queftion; becaufe, here the payment of it is fulpended on the contingency, that one life hall furvive another, whereas in the other cafe, it is certominly to be paid at the failure of a given life.

## Answer.

Find, by the folution of problem 32 d , p. 297, Mr. Simpfon's Select Exercifes, the
(a) Vid. Appendix note (E).
value of an eftate, correfponding to the given fum, and depending on the given furvivorthip. Divide this value by $1 /$. increafed by its intereft for a year, and the quotient will be the value of the given fum in a fingle prefent payment. And the fingle payment, divided by the value of the given joint lives, will be the anfwer in annual payments during the joint lives.

The folution I have referred to is as follows.
"Find the value of an annuity on two e" qual joint lives, whereof the common age " is equal to the age of the older of the two " propofed lives; which value, fubtract from " the perpetuity, and take half the remain"der. Then fay, as the expectation of the "duration of the younger of the two lives is " to that of the elder, fo is the faid half re"s mainder to a 4 th proportional, which will " be the number of years purchafe to be gi"ven for the eftate when the life in expec" tation is the oldeft of the two. But if this " life is the youngeft, then add the number " of years purchafe juft found to the value "s of the joint lives, and let the fum be fub" trased from the perpetuity, and you will " alfo have the anfwer in this cafe (a)".

Let
(a) Mr. Simpfon has given the following examples of this folution, adapted to London lives. - Example I. "S Suppofe the age of the expectant to be 40 ; of the por"fefior 30 . The rate of intereft 4 por cent. and the " given

Let the life in expectation be 30 ; and the wher life 40: The fum, $100 \%$. Intereft, 4 per cent. The valuation of lives, that in $\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ble VI.

The expectation of the firft life, is 28 ; of the fecond life 23, by Mr. De Moiure's bypotbefis. The value of the joint lives is 10.43 ,
"g given legacy $5000 \%$. or 200 l . per annum. Then the .6 value of two equal joint lives of 40 , being 8.1, by " Table XI, and the perpetuity 25, the remainder or "difference will be here 16.9; whereof the half is 8.45 . "Therefore, it will be as 23.6 to 19.6 , fo 8.45 to 7.02 " years purchafe, or $l .1404$, the required value."

Example II. "Let the age of the expectant be 30 , of " the poffefor 40, and the reft as in the preceding exam"ple. Here the value of the joint lives 30 and 40 , will " be 8.8 ; which added to 7.02 , (found above) the fum "w will be 15.82 ; whence the anfwer, in this cafe, is " 9.18 years purchafe, or 1836 ."

I have thewn, that the values of reverfionary sfates, and reverfionary funs, are not the fame as is here fuppofed. -The rule gives the true value when applied to the former; but, when applied to the latter, the values given by it muft be divided by 12 . increaled by its intereft for a year, as above directed.-The fame obfervation is to be applied to Mr. Simpfon's next Problem, or the 33d.

In thefe Examples, 23.6 and 19.6, are the expectations, in Table 1X, of 30 and 40, according to the London Tables of Obfervation; and the method of finding them for any age, and from any Tables of obfervation, is explained at the beginning of the firft Eflay.

In Mr. De Moivre's bypothrfis, the expectation of a life, is always balf the complement. See note p. 2.-Sometimes the complement of a life is mentioned wihout any wiew to Mr. De Moivre's hypothefis, and it then means double the expertation of the life whatever that may be according to any Table of obfervations.
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by Table VII. The valuc of two joint lives, both 40 , is 9.82 , by the fame Table. The effate correfponding to $100 \%$. is $4 \%$ per ann. and the pretent value of fuch an eftate to be entered upon by a perfon 30 years of age, provided he furvives a perfon 40 years of age is, by the rule juft quoted, l.33.32. And this value, divided by $\mathrm{I} l$. increared by its intereft for a year, or by 1.04 , is 1.32 .03 . the value in a fingle prefent payynent of the fum of $100 \%$. dependent on the given furvivorfhip. And this fingle payment, divided by 10.43 , is l.3.07, the required value in annual payments, during the joint lives, if the firft payment is not to be made till the end of a year. But if the firf payment is to be made inmediately, the required value in annual payments will be l. 32.03 , divided by 11.43 , or l.2.8. There values, according to the London obiervations, or Mr. Simp fon's Tables founded upon them, are $l .35 \cdot 30$, in a fingle payment, and $l .3 .6$, in annual paynents, beginning immediately.

Mr. Simpfon, in the Problems following that here quoted, has given folutions of moft other Reftions, concerning the values of reverfions depending on furvivorfhips, where the whole duration of two or three lives is concerned. And I am acquainted with no. other folutions of thefe Queftions, which are applicable to all Tables of obfervations, and which at the fame time (proper regard being
paid to the correction explained in the laft Queftion) may be confidered as fufficiently correct (a).

## Question XII.

"Suppofe an inftitution for the relief of " widows to extend its affiftance likewife " to the families of married men, provided " they leave no widows. Suppofe, for in"ftance, that in this cafe children are to be "entitled to $100 \%$. What is fuch an expec" tation worth, in prefent payment, accord" ing to Dr. Halley's Table, intereft being at " 4 per cent?"

## Answer.

If 40 is the mean age at which members are admitted on fuch an inftitution, and $3^{2}$ the mean age of their wives, the anfwer (fuppofing no fubfequent marriages) is, by the 33 d Problem in Mr. Simpfon's Select Exercifes, p. 298, and the correction already explained, l. 3 . 80 (b).

But
(a) See the third Effay.
(b) This Problem and its folution are given by Mr. Simpfon in the following words. "A and his heirs are " entitled to an effate of a given value, upon the deceafe "، of B , provided B furvives A ; to find the value of "their expectation in prefent money."-Solution. "Find " the value of an annuity on the longeft of two equal D 4 " lives,

But there is a reduction neceffary, on acwount of the chance there is, that a widower may marry again. Suppofe, therefore, one half of all widowers to marry a fecond and third time, and that two fifths of fuch widowers furvive thefe fubfequent marriages. In this cafe, $\frac{7}{2}$ added to ${ }_{5}^{\frac{2}{5}}$ of $\frac{x}{2}$, or $\frac{7}{7}^{\frac{7}{0}}$ of all who become widowers, will die without leaving widows, and therefore $\frac{7}{10}$ of $l .13 .8$, or l.9.66, will be the anfwer. If only one fourth of all who become widowers marry again, and two fifths of there furvive, the anfwer will be l.II: 73 .
" lives, whereof the common age is that of the older of "s the lives A and B ; which value fubtract from the "s perpetuity, and take half the remainder; then it will "6 be as the expectation of duration of the younger of "s the lives $A$ and $B$, is to that of the older, fo is the s' faid half remainder to the number of years purchafe ${ }^{6}$ required, when the life B is the older of the two. But " if B be the younger; then to the number thus found, 's add the valuc of an annuity on the longeft of the lives " A and B , and fubtract the fum from the perpetuity, for "' the anfwer in this cafe."

If the eftate is $4 . l$. per amum, the age of B 40, and of A 32 , intereft 4 per ceint. the anfwer by this rule comes out $l .14 .35$, which divided (as in the preceding queftion) by 104, gives l. 3.80 , the value, as above, of $100 \%$. in money. If $B$ is 30 and $A 40$, the fame value is $20 \%$.
N.B. The value of the longeft of two lives is always the difference between the value of the joint lives, and the fum of the values of the two given fingle lives. Thus; the value of a life at 40, is, by Table VI, 13.2. The fum of the values of two fuch lives, is 26.4. The value of two joint lives, whofe common age is 40 , is, by Ta ble VII, 9.82 ; and the difference is 16.58 , or the value of the longegt of two lives at 40 .

This calculation fuppofes all marriages to leave children who furvive their parents. If this is confidered as uncertain, the values now determined muft be diminifhed in the proportion of this uncertainty. - Thus; if one marriage in feven fails of leaving children (a) that furvive their parents; thefe values will be reduced a Seventh part, or to 1.8 .28 , if balf, and $l .10 .05$, if a quarter of all widowers marry.

In this way may any other queftions of the fame kind be aniwered on any fuppofitions that may be thought moft reafonable.

## Question XIII.

"Let an eftablifhment be fuppofed which "takes in at once all the marriages in a "country, or all marriages among perfons " of a particular profeffion within a given "diftrict, and fubjects them for perpetuity " to a certain equal and common tax, or an" nual payments, in order to provide life an" nuities for fuch widows as fhall refult from " thefe marriages. What ought the tax to " be, fuppofing the annuity $20 \%$. and calcu"lating at 4 per cent. from Mr. Ds Moivre's " valuation of lives; or, which is nearly the "fame, from the probabilities of life in Dr. "Halley's Table of obfervations?"
(a) This for many years has been nearly the fact among the minifte:s and profefiors in Scotland.

## Answer.

Since at the commencement of fuch an eftablifhment, all the oldeft, as well as the youngeft marriages, are to be entitled equally to the propofed benefit, a much greater number of annuitants will come immediately upon it, than would come upon any fimilar eftablifhment, which limited itfelf in the admiffion of members to perfons not exceeding a given age. This will check that accumulation of money, which fhould take place at firft, in order to produce an income equal to the difburfements at the time when the number of annuitants comes to a maximam; and, therefore, will be a particular burden upon the eftablifhment in its infancy. For this, fome compenfation muft be provided; and the equitable method of providing it, is, by levying fines at the beginning of the eftablifhment, on every member eacceding a given age, proportioned to the number of years which he has lived beyond that age. But in the prefent queftion, it is fuppofed, that fuch fines cannot be conveniently levied, or that every payment muft be equal and common, whatever difparity there may be in the value of the expectations of different members. The fines, therefore, mutt be reduced to one common one, anfwering as nearly as poffible to the difadvantage I have mentioned, and payable
payable by every member at the time when the eftablifhment begins. After this, the eftablifhment will be the fame with one that takes upon it all at the time they marry; and the tax or annual payment of every member adequate to its fupport, will be the annual payment during marriage, due from perfons who marry at the mean age at which, upon an average, all marriages may be confidered as commencing. - There are then two points to be here determined. The fines neceffary to be paid at firft, according to the account I have juft given; and the conftant annual payment, neceffary to be made by every member, as an equivalent for the expectation provided by the eftablifhment.- The fines to be paid at firft are, for every particular member, the fame with the difference between the value of the expectation to him at his prefent age, and what would have been its value to him had the fcheme begun at the time he married? Or, they are, for the whole body of members, the difference between the value of the common expectation, to perfons at the mean age of all married perions taken together as they exift in the world, and to perfons at that age, which is to be deemed their mean age when they marry.

Thus; let 33 for the man, and 25 for the woman, be the mean ages of all that marry annually. Let alfo 48 be the mean age of all the married men in the world, and 40 of
married women (a). - Now, he that will calculate for thefe ages, in the manner directed in Quert. IV. will find, that the value in annual payments during marriage, and beginning immediately, of the expectation of an annuity of $20 \%$. per annum, by a perfon 25 years of age, after a life whofe age is 33 , is $l .6 .64$. - And that $l .8 .04$, is the value of the fame expectation, the ages being $4^{8}$ and 40 .

The former, therefore, is the payment for perpetuity from every member of the eftablifhment; and the value of the difference between it and the latter, or of $l .1 .4$ per ann. payable during two joint lives, whore ages are 40 and 48 , that is, $l .14 .2$, is the fine neceffary to be levied on every married member at the beginning of the eftablifhment ( 6 ).

It would be eafy to extend the benefit of fuch an eftablifhment, fo far as to provide $100 \%$ for the children of members, provided
(a) I muff beg leave to refer to note $(F)$ in the Appendix, for an explanation of what I mean by the mean ages of married men and women, and alfo for a confirmation of the anfwer I have given to this queftion.
(b) An anmuity for ever, the firt payment of which is to be made immediately, is worth 26 years purchafe, intereft being at 4 per cent. l. 14.2 therefore, is equivalent in value to 0.55 l. or IIs. per annum, for ever. Add this to 1.6 .64 , and it will appear, that 1.7 .19 per annum, begimning immediately, is the anfwer to this queftion, luppofing the value of the fine to be provided for in the perpetual annual payinents.
they
they leave no widows; and the neceffary addition on this account to the perpetual annual payments, can fcarcely, in the circum-. ftances this queftion fuppofes, be much more than about 15 s. payable during life, and excluding from all benefit fuch as happen to be widowers at the commencement of the eftablifhment, and do not afterwards marry.

If, in fuch an eftablifhment, all perfons of a particular denomination, whether married men, widowers, or batchelors, are fubjected alike to the taxes and fines; they ought to be as much lefs, as the whole number of perfons fubjected to them, is greater than the number of marriages contantly exifting.

In carrying thefe fchemes into execution, there cannot be a more eafy, or equitable way of raifing the neceflary fines, than by providing, that none fhall be entitled to any expectation for a few of the firlt years. Thus; an eftablifhment, entitling widows to $2.0 \%$ per annum for life, and confining of 667 married members, and 344 unmarried, always kept up at an average, ought to begin with a capital of $l .14 .2$ multiplied by $66 \%$, or 947 I l. beffdes one parment in hand of the conftant annual payments. That is, (the proper annual payment of every member being in this cafe $\frac{667}{60 \mathrm{~T} T}$, multiplicd by l.6.64, or $l .4 .3^{8}$ ) it ought to begin with a capital
of $13,899 \mathrm{l}$. over and above the payment of $l .4 .38$, at the end of every year for ever afterwards (a). - The exclufion of all the firt members from any benefit, unlefs they furvive the firf two years, or live to make tbree payments, would raife this capital nearly. And fuch an exclufion for three or four years, would be an advantage fo confiderable, that it would probably give fecurity and fability to the fcheme for all fublequent time.

In thefe obfervations, I have had in view, feveral fchemes of the kind deferibed in it, which are now actually eftablifhed in this kingdom; but more particularly, one begun among the London and Middlefex clergy, and another which is eftablifhed by act of parliament, among the clergy in Scotland, of both which, I hall have occafion in the next chapter to take further notice.

I have chofen to calculate here only from Dr. Halley's Table, or Mr. De Moivre's bypothefis grounded upon it, becaufe the London Table is, by no means, adapted to the cafes in view.

The difference of eight years between the ages of men and their wives, as here taken, is probably too little; and for this reafon,
(a) Or, fuppofing the value of $947 \%$ (the fine) provided for in the annual payments, it ought to receive every year, at the begiming of the yeai, a contribution from each member of 1.4 .74 .

Reverfonary Annuities, \&cc.
and alfo on account of the greater mortality of males, the values I have given fhould be confidered as the loweft that any foheme ought to provide.

It fhould be further remembered, that when the mean ages, at which marriages commence, are fuppofed to be 33 and 25 , all fecond and third marriages are incladed; and that it is to be expected, that almont all thefe marriages will begin after thefe ages; and likewife, that a confiderable proportion of the firft marriages will begin a much longer time after thefe mean ages, than any of the other firt marriages will begin before them.-Probably, therefore, thefe mean ages fhould not be taken younger. One or two years, however, more or lefs, in every fuppofition I have made, will make no difference of any confequence.
QUESTION XIV.
"A perfon of a given age has an eftate de"pending on the continuance of his life for "a given term. What ought he to give for "having it affired to him for that term?"
Answer.

From the value of an annuity certain for the given term, found by Table II, fubtract the value of the life for the given term, found
found by Queft. VI. and referve the remain-der.-Multiply the value of $1 /$. due at the end of the given term, (found by Table I.) by the perpetuity, and allo by the probability, that the given life fhall fail in the given term. The product added to the referved remainder, and the fum multiplied by the given annuity, will be the required value of the affurance in one prefent payment (a).

## Example.

An eftate or annuity of iol. for cever, will be loft to the heirs of a perfon now 34, fhould his life fail in II years. What ought he to give for the aflurance of it for this term?-That is; what is the prefent value of fuch an annuity to he entered upon at the failure of fuch a life, fhould that happen in 11 years?

The value of the life of a perfon whore age is 34 for 11 years, is, by Queft. VI. (reckoning intereft at 4 per cent. and calculating from Dr. Halley's Table of obfervations) 7.76 ; which, fubtracted from 8.760 , (the value of an annuity certain for II years) leaves $1 l$. the remainder to be referved.

The value of $I l$. to be received at the end of 1 I years, is, 0.6496 , by Table I. The probability that the life of a perfon, aged 34 ,
(a) See the demonftration in note (G) Appendix.

Shall fail in in years, is, by Dr. Halley's Table, $\frac{103}{495}$; and the perpetuity is 25 . There numbers, multiplied by one another, and I added to the product, make 4.34 , which, multiplied by 10 , (the given annuity) gives $l .43 \cdot 4$, the required value in a fingle prefent payment.
l.43.4, divided by 1.04 , gives 1.41 .7 , the true value, by Scholium to Queft. X. of the affurance of an equivalent fum, or of $250 l$. for II years on the given life.

Again. 41.7, divided by 8.76, (the value of the given life for the given time with unity added to it) gives 4.76 , the fame value in annual payments beginning immediately, for 1 I years (a), fubject to failure fhould the life fail.

## Scholium.

In a fimilar way may the price of affurances on any two joint lives; or the longeft of two lives for any given terms, be calculated; the rule being as follows:
"From the value of an annuity certain "for the given term, fubtract the value of " the joint lives, or the longeft of the two "lives for the given term, found by Scho" lium to Queft. VI. and referve the remain-"der.-Multiply the value of $1 \%$. to be re-
(a) The laft payment to be made at the end of the Ith year; or 12 payments in all.
" ceived at the end of the given term by the "perpetuity, and alfo by the probability " that the joint lives, or the longeft of the two " lives, fhall fail within the given term. This " product added to the referved remainder, " and the fimm multiplied by the annuity to be "affured, will be the value of the affurance " in a fingle prefent payment."

## Example.

"What is the value of rol. per annum, to " be entered upon, fhould either of two "perfons, one 40 and the other 30 years of " age, die in ten years, reckoning intereft "at 4 per cent. and calculating from Dr. "Halley's Table."

The value of two joint lives at there ages, for 10 years, (found by Scholium to Queft. VI.) is, 6.51 ; which, fubtracted from 8.111 , (the value of an annuity certain for 10 years, at 4 per cent.) leaves 1.60 , the remainder to be referved.

The value of $1 \%$ to be received at the end of 10 years, is, .6755 , by Table I.

The probability, that the lives of one or other of two perfons, aged 30 and 40 , fhall fail in 10 years, is, $\frac{18}{3} \frac{8}{3} 5$, by Table III (a).
(a) The probability taken from the Table, that a perfon aged 30 , fhall live 10 years, is, $\frac{445}{53}$. That a perfon, aged 40, thall live 10 years, is, $\frac{346}{4-5}$. That they thall both live 10 years, is, $\frac{346}{545}$, multiplied by $\frac{445}{5} 3$, or $\frac{346}{5} 55^{\circ}$. That they fhall not both live 10 years, or that one or other of them thall die in this time, is, $\frac{34}{5} \frac{4}{3}$, fubtracted from unity, or, $\frac{385}{531}$. See note p. 23 .

Reverfionary Annuities, \&c.
And the perpetuity 25. Thefe numbers, multiplied by one another, and I .60 added to the product, make $7 \cdot 4^{9}$, which, multiplied by 10 , (the given annuity) gives $l .74 .8$, the anfwer in a fingle prefent payment.
1.74.8, divided by 1.04 , gives 1.71 .92 , the value of the affurance of an equivalent fum; or of $2501 .-1.71 .92$, divided by 7.51, (the value of the two joint lives for 10 years with unity added) gives 9.57 , the value of the fame fum in annual payments beginning immediately, for 10 years, fubject to failure fhould the joint lives fail.

## Example II.

"What is the value of $10 l$. per annum, to be "entered upon, fhould two perfons one 30 , "s and the other 40, both die; that is, fhould " the longeft of the two lives fail in 10 years, "reckoning intereft at 4 per cent. and cal"culating from Dr. Halley's Table?"

The value of the longeft of the two lives for 10 years, (that is, the value of the joint lives for 10 years, fubtracted from the fum of the (a) values of the fingle lives for 10 years) is, 7.91 ; which, fubtracted from 8.1 I 1, the value of an annuity certain for 10 years, leaves .20 the remainder to be referved. The value of $1 l$. to be received at the end
(a) See Scholium to Queft. VI,
of 10 years, is, .6755 . The probability that the lives of two perfons, aged 30 and 40 , thall fail in 10 years, is, by Table III, $\frac{86}{5} 5 \mathrm{~T}$, multiplied by $\frac{99}{4+5}$, or $\frac{855}{\frac{8}{5} \frac{5}{2} \frac{4}{95}}$; and the perpetuity 25 . Thefe numbers, multiplied by one another, and .20 added to the product, make .740 , which, multiplied by 10 , the given annuity) gives 7.4 , the anfwer in a fingle payment.
7.4 , divided by 1.04 , gives I 1 , the value of the affurance of $250 \%$

> Remark

The values of fingle lives for given terms, when thefe terms are lefs than 10 years, muft, in anfwering thefe Queftions, and alfo in anfwering the following Queftions, be found true to at leaft 2 or 3 places of decimals. But they cannot be found to this exactnefs by any Tables that are extant; and, therefore, they muft be calculated in the following manner:
" Multiply the probability, taken out of "the Table of obfervations, that the life " fhall exift $1,2,3, \& c$. years, by the value " of Il. due at the end of $1,2,3, \& c$. years; " and the fum of the products will be the "v value of the life for $1,2,3$, \&oc. years."

For Example. The probability, that a perfon whofe age is 34 , hall live a year, is,
by Dr. Halley's Table, $\frac{490}{4} \frac{0}{9}$. The probability, at the fame age, of living 2 years, is, $\frac{48}{4} \frac{1}{9}$; 3 years, $\frac{472}{495} \cdot-\frac{490}{959}$ multiplied by .9615 , (the value, by Table I, of $1 l$. due at the end of a year, intereft being at 4 per cent.) is, 942 ; or the value of the life for one year. - $\frac{48}{+59}$, multiplied by .9245, (the value of $1 l$. due at the end of 2 years) is, 891 . And this added to the former product, gives 1.833 ; or the value of the life for 2 years. - $\frac{472}{45}$, multiplied by .8890 , (the value of $1 l$. due at the end of 3 years) is, .84 I ; and this product, added to 1.833 , makes 2.674 , or the value of the given life for 3 years.

When the term exceeds 10 years, the rule in Queft. VI. will give thefe values with fufficient exactnefs ; and it would do the fame in all cafes, were the values of lives given true to 3 or 4 places of decimals, and in frict agreement to the Tables of obfervation ufed.

The remark now made is to be extended to the values of joint lives for given terms. For thefe values, like thofe of fingle lives, cannot be found in folving thefe Queftions with fufficient accuracy, when the terms are fmall, by any method, except the tedious one, of multiplying the probability that the 2 lives fhall both continue 1, 2, 3, \&xc. years, by the value of $1 l$. due at the end of 1,2 , 3 , \&c. years, and taking the fum of the products in the manner juft defcribed.
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## Remark II.

If the annuity is to be entered upon, in cafe of the failure within a given time of any life or lives, at the end of that time; and not at the end of the year in which the failure may bappen; its prefent value will be the product arifing from the continual multiplication by one another of the perpetuity increafed by unity; the value of $1 l$. due at the end of the given time; the annuity; and the probability that the life, or lives, thall fail within the given time. And care fhould be taken not to confound thefe two forts of Queftions with one another.-Thus; the value in one payment of 10 . per aini. to be entered upon eleven years hence, in cafe a perfon aged 34 fhould not live fo long, is 26 , (the perpetuity increafed by unity, intereft being at 4 per cent.) multiplied by .6496 , and by $10 \%$ and alfo by $\frac{10}{4} \frac{3}{9} 9$; or 34.8 . - This value, divided by 1.04 , is, 3.3 .5 , the value of an equivalent fum, or of $250 \%$. to be obtained on the fame conditions.

The value of the afiurance of any annuity on the whole continuance of any fingle life is, by Queft. X. the exce/s of the perpetuity above the value of the life, multiplied by the annuity. And in like manner; the value of the aflurance of any annuity on the whole continuance of any two joint lives, or the longeft of two lives, is the excefs of the per-
petuity above the value of the joint lives, or of the longeft of two lives, multiplied by the annuity. This is very obvious; but no general method has been yet explained of finding the values of afirances on lives and furviyorfhips for terms of years lefs than the whole continuance of the lives. For this reafon, I have been here more explicit than I Thould otherwife have been; and, as fuch affurances are now much practifed, and may be very ufeful if their values are rightly determined, I have thought proper to add the two following Queftions, which, when joined to Queftion XI. and Mr. Simpfon's 33d Problem given in the note p. 39, will, I believe, exhauft this fubject as far as two lives can be concerned.

## Question XV.

"B, expectant, will lofe a given fum, " Chould he furvive A, rvitbin a given time. "What ought he to pay for the afurance of " it?-In other words: "What ought he to "s pay for a given fum to be received at the "death of A, fhould he happen to furvive " him within a given time?"

## Answer.

Divide the fun of the decrements of life in the Table of obfervations from the age of A, for the given time, by the given time; and, by the quotient, divide the number of

$$
\mathrm{E}_{4} \text { the }
$$

the living in the Table at the age of A; and again, by this fecond quotient (a), divide the given fum referving the third quotient.

Find the value of an annuity on the life of $B$, for the given time. To this value add the quotient, that will arife from dividing the value of an annuity certain; for the given time, by twice the complement of the life of $B$; and the fum, multiplied by the referved quotient, will be the required value in a fingle prefent (b) payment.

## Example.

Let the Table of obfervations be Mr. Simpe fon's for London, or Table VIII. Let the rate of intereft be 3 per cent. A, feven years of age. $B, 30$. The given time 14 . years. The given fum rool.- The fum of the decrements, in Table VIII. for 14 years from the age of feven, is 73 , which, divided by 14 , gives 5.2 . The number of the living at $\mathrm{fe}-$ ven is 430 , which, divided by 5.2 , and rool. divided by the quotient, gives l. I.2I, the quotient to be referved.
(a) When the age of $A$ is under 60 , and the term fo large as to exceed the difference between it and 70 , it will be bef, when the London Table is ufed, to divide the given fum, not by the fecond quotient here mentioned, but by the complement of the life of $A$, taken out of Table IX.
(b) See the demonftration of this rule, and alfo of the rule that will be given for folving the next Queftion, in the Appendix, note ( H ).

The value of an annuity for 14 years on the life of B, is, by Queft. VI. 9.5.-The value of an annuity certain for 14 years, is, by Table II. 11.296, which, divided by 94.4, (twice the complement of the life of $B$, by Table IX) ( $a$ ), gives .12, which, added to 9.5 , gives 9.62 ; and this again multiplied by 1.21, the referved quotient, gives 1 I .64 , the prefent value in one payment of $100 \%$. payable at the death of A aged 37, to B aged 30 , fhould $A$ die and leave $B$ the furvivor within 14 years.

The prefent value for 14 years of two joint lives, one 7 and the other 30 years of age, may be found, by the help of Table XI, and the rule in the Scholium to Queft. VI. to be nearly 9 years purchafe; and, l.in. 64 divided by this value with unity added, or by 10 , gives 1.164 , the foregoing value in annual payments during the joint lives for 14 years, the firft payment to be made immediately, and the laft payment at the end of 14 years, fhould the joint lives not fail.

## SCHOLIUM.

It deferves particularly to be remembered, that in this method likewife may be calculated, what fums ought to be paid on any furvivorhip, within a given time, of one life
(a) This Table gives the expectations only, but it fhould be remembered, that twice the expectation is always the fomplsment of a life. Sce note, p. 37.
beyond another, in confideration of any given fum now advanced. -The following Example of this is a cafe which has offered itfelf in practice.
"A perfon, aged 30 , has in expectation " an eftate which is to come to him, pro" vided he furvives a minor, aged 7 , before " he is out of his minority ; that is, pro" vided he fhould be himelf living at the " time of the minor's death, fhould that hap"pen before he is 21 .-In thefe circum" ftances, he wants to borrow $1000 \%$. on his "expectation. What reverfion out of the " eftate depending on fuch a furvivorhip, is "a proper equivalent for this fum now ad"vanced, intereft being reckoned at 3 per "cent. and the probabilities of life being "fuppofed the fame with thofe in Mr. Simp" Son's 'Table of London obfervations?"

## Answer.

It appears from what has been juft determined, that for l.ir. 64 now advanced, the proper equivalent in fuch circumftances, is, $100 \%$ to be paid, in cafe the furvivorfhip thould take place; or, by the correction in page 34, as much of the eftate as 1001. will buy at 3 per cent. fuppofing the firft rent to be received immediately; (that is, fuppofing the eftate worth 34.33 years purchafe.) or 1.2 .912 per annum. - By the rule of proportion, therefore, for $1000 \%$ the proper
equivalent will be 859 I . in money, or $250 \%$ per annum out of the eftate.

## Question XVI,

" 100 l. will be loft to B's heirs, Mould he " happen to die after A, witbin a given time. "What is the price of the affurance of it?"That is: What is the prefent value of © $100 \%$ payable at the death of $B$, provided " his death Chould happen after A"s death, "s witbin a given time?"

## Answer.

Divide the fum of the decrements of life in the Table of obferyations from the age of B , for the given time, by the given time; and by the quotient divide the number of the living at the age of $B$; and again, by this fecond quotient (a), divide the given fum, referving the third quotient.

Find the value of an annuity on the life A for a number of years, lefs by one year than the given time, which fubtract from the value of an annuity certain for the fame number of years. Multiply the remainder by the referved quotient, and divide the product by the amount of $1 /$. for one year, and let this be a fecond referved quotient.
(a) Or rather, if the London Table is ufed, by the complement of the life of B , when his age is under 60 , and the term exceeds the differmes between it and 70 .

Again.

Again. Multiply into one another the firft referved quotient, and the value of an annuity certain for the given time; and divide the product by twice the complement of A's life. This laft quotient, added to the fecond referved quotient, will be the anfwer in a prefent fingle payment.

## Example.

Let the age of B be 40. Of A 30. The fum $100 \%$. Rate of intereft 4 per cent. The given time 20 years. The Table of obfervations, Mr. Simpfon's, or Table VIII.-The fum of the decrements of life, in this Table, from the age of 40 for 20 years, is 127 , which, divided by 20, (the given time) gives 6.38.The number of the living at 40 is 229 , which, divided by $6.3^{8}$, gives 35.8 ; and $100 \%$. (the given fum) divided by 35.8 , gives 2.79 , the firft quotient to be referved.

The value of an annuity for 19 years on a life at 30 years of age, is 10.3 ; which, fubtracted from 13.134, (the value of an annuity certain for 19 years, by Table II) and the remainder multiplied by 2.79 , gives 7.89 . This product divided by 1.04, (the amount of $1 \%$ in one ycar) gives 7.60 ; the fecond referved quotient.
2.79 multiplied by 13.59 , (the value of an annuity certain for 20 years) gives 37.916 ; and this product divided by $94 \cdot 4$, (twice the
complement of A's life by Table IX.) gives .401 , which, added to 7.60 , gives $8 \%$ the Anfiver; or, the value of $100 l$. payable at the death of $B$, on the contingency of his furviving A aged 30 , and botb dying in 20 years.

It is plain, that this is likewife the fum that ought to be lent to B now, on the expectation of $100 \%$. at his death, provided it fhould happen after A's death in 20 years.

This rule gives the juft folution in all cafes, except when B , the expectant, is the youngeft of the two lives, and at the fame time the term of years greater than the complement of A's life. In this particular cafe the following rule muft be ufed.

Find, by the preceding rule, the value of the affurance of the given fum for a term of years, equal to the complement of A's life, and let this value be referved. Multiply by one another the given fum; the value of $\mathrm{I} l$. to be received at the end of a number of years equal to the complement of A's life; and the value of an anmuity certain for as many years as the given term exceeds this complement. And the product, divided by the complement of B's life, and the quotient added to the value referved, will be the true value fought.

## Example.

Let the age of B be 30 ; of $A 40$. The term 47 years; and every thing elfe as in
the laft Example. The complement of A's life, is, by Table IX, 39.2. The value of $100 l$. to be received at the death of $B$, if he furvives A within 39 years, may be found by the preceding rule to be $l .16 .15$; the value to be referved. - The value of $1 l$. to be received at the end of 39 years is, by Table II, .2166. The value of an annuity certain for 8 years, (the excels of the given term above the complement of the life of B by Table IX.) is, 6.733.

And thefe two values multiplied by one another, and by 100 l. give 145.83 ; which, divided by 47.2 , (the complement of the life of $B$ ) and 16.15 , added to the quotient, make 1.19.23, the value fought.

## Remark.

As after finding the prefent value of an eftate, or annuity, it is neceffary to divide that value by the amount of $1 \%$ in one year, in order to find the prefent value of a fumi equivalent to the annuity; fo, after finding the value of a fum, it is neceflary to multiply that value by the faid amount, in order to find from it the value of an equivalent annuity.

In the firlt Example, therefore, the value of an eftate of 4 l. per annum, would be 1.8.32. In the fecond Example, 20\%. And this is; as it ought to be, the value for the whole duration of the lives, agreeably to the Problem in the note page 37.

Reverfonary Annuities, \&c.

In folving this Queftion, care alfo muft be taken not to forget the firft Remark under the foregoing Queftion.

In the fame way with that in which the rules in the three laft Queftions have been difcovered, it is poffible to find rules for calculating the values of affurances, for giver terms, on lives and furvivorhips, where three or more lives are concerned. But this is of lefs importance; and I chufe to leave to others the further profecution of this fubject.

## [ 64 ]

## C H A P. II.

Containing an Application of the Que $\int_{-}$ tions in the foregoing Chapter to the Schemes of the Societies in Great Britain, for making Affurances on Lives and Survivor/bips, and for granting Annuities to Widows, and to Perfons in old Age.

## S E C T. I.

Of the London Annuity, and the Laudable Societies for the Bencfit of Widores.
$T \mathrm{HE}$ fcheme mentioned in Quef. VIII. is nearly that of the London Annuity Society. The Laudable Society is alfo formed on a fimilar plan. In both, the annual contribution of every member is five guineas, payable half-yearly; and for this a title is given to an annuity of $20 \%$, to every widow during widowhood, if the hufband, after admifiion, lives one year according to the $\operatorname{fr} / \mathrm{f}$ fcheme; or tbree years according to the (a)
(a) In this fociety a member who lives but one year, is entitled to no more than an annuity of 10 l . for his widow ; if he lives two years, 15 l . If he lives three years, $20 \%$ four years, $25 \%$ feven years, $30 \%$ ten years, $35 \%$ thirteen years, $40 l$.
fecond; of 301 . if the hurband lives feven years, according to both fchemes; and 4.0 l . according to the firlt fcheme, if he lives 15 years, or 13 years, according to the fecond. In both fchemes alfo, there is no other premium or fine required, than five guineas extraordinary, at admifion, from every member whofe age does not exceed 45. The Laudable Society admits none above 45, and the London Annuity Society obliges every perfon between 45 and 55 to pay, at admiffion, five guineas extraordinary, for every year that he is turned of 45 .

Thefe are the main particulars in thefe fchemes; and, therefore, both of them, were the annuities to be enjoyed for life, would receive (fuppofing the members all under 46 at admiffion, and of the fame ages with their wives, and money at 4 per cent.) but little more than three fifths of the true value of the annuities ; or about one half, fuppofing wives, one with another, to years younger than their hufbuads; as appears from Queftion Vilf.

It appears further in that Queftion, that, fuppoling the annuities to be life annuities, and men and their wives of equal ages, the expectation to which an annual payment of five guineas beginning immediately, entitles, is nearly 144 . if the contributor lives a year, and $20 \%$. if he lives feven years ( $a$ ), taking
(a) The fame annual payment will, on the fame fuppofitions, entitle to 14 l. if a member lives a year, and $18 \%$. if he lives three yeurs.
the medium between the London and the other Tables of Obfervation.

It is likely, that many perfons will be very unwilling to believe, that thefe fchemes are fo deficient as they have been now reprefented. I will, therefore, endeavour to prove this in a way which, tho' lefs ferict, is rufficiently decifive, and may be more likely to be intelligible to perfons unkilled in mathematical calculation.-I thall here confine myfelf to the fcheme of the London Annuity Society. The differences between it and the fcheme of the Laudable Society are inconficierable, and what thall be faid of the one will be fully applicable to the other.

According to this fcheme, as it has been juf defcribed, all that live 15 years in the fociety will be entitled to annuities of $40 \%$ per amum for their widows. Suppofe the whole fociety, at admiffion, to be men of 40 ycars of age, taken one with another. A perfon of this age has an even chance of living 23 years; and he has an even chance of continuing with a wife of the fame age, (that is, of continuing in the fociety) 13 years. and $\frac{1}{2}(a)$. Not much lefs, therefore, than half
(a) This is the exact truth according to Mr. De Moivre's Hypothefis, and the Norzuich Table. But according to Dr. Halley's and the Northnmpton Table, a man 40 years of age has an even chance of living no more than 22 years, and of ioint contimasce with a wife of the
half the members will continue in the fociety 15 years; and, confequently, not much lefs than half the widows that will come upon the fociety will be annuitants of $40 \%$ per annum. Thele widows, however, being older than the reft when they commence annuitants, will continue on the fociety a fhorter time ; and, therefore, the number conftantly in life together, to which they will in a courfe of years increafe, will be proportionably fimaller. Putting every thing as favourably as poinible, let us fuppofe, that out of 20 annuitants conftantly on the fociety, five will be annuitants of $40 \%$. fix of $30 \%$ and nine of $20 \%$. To 20 annuitants then the fociety will pay 560 l . per annum, or the 20 th part of this fum, that is $28 \%$. to every annuitant at an average. But fuch an annuity for a life at 40 , after another equal life, provided both furvive one ycar, is worth (by Queft. VII. p. 24.) in a fingle prefent payment, 85 l . nearly, according to the London, and all the Tables of Obfervations, intereft being all along fuppofed at 4 per cent.

It cannot appear improbable to any one, that this Chould be the true value of fuch a reverfion. It is not crecible, that there is
fame age, 13 years. - Forty muft be more than the mean age of the members of the fociety at admifion, and on this account the number of annuitants of $40 \%$. mult be proportionably greater. The mean age, therefore, has been taken very moderately.
any fituation in which the decrements of life are fuch as can make it a tenth part more or lefs. - $85 \%$ in prefent payment is the fame with $3 l .8$ s. per annuin for ever.-But is an annual payment of five guineas, which muft ceafe as foon as either of two lives each 40 , fails, equal in value to fuch a perpetuity? Every one muft fee, that there is a great differeace. - A fet of marriages between perfons all 40 , will, according to the probabilities of life in Dr. Halley's Table, laft, one with another, 15 years (a); and an annual payment beginning immediately, during the joint continuance of two perions of this age, is worth 10 year's purchate (b). The comparifon then, in the prefent cafe, is between 3l. 8 s. per annuin for ever, and five guineas per annum for 15 years; or between an annuity of $3 l .8 s$. worth 25 years purchafe, and an annuity of five guineas worth only io years purchafe.

But to throw this fubject into another light.
(a) See the begimning of Eflay I.
(b) The value of fuch an annual payment, by Table XI, or the London Obfervations, is 9.1 ; and 10.8 , by Mr. De Moize's Hypothefis. - I have not taken into this account the five guineas fine paid at admiffon, becaufe it is obvioully of too lititc confequence to make any confiderable difference. The allowances I have made in Gavour of thefe fehemes are more than equivalent to it. In particular; it fhould be rememberd, that the payments required by thefe fchemes, are balf-yearly paymen's heginning immediately; and that thefe, by Guef. VIII. are lefs advantageous than the payments I all along fuppofe them to require, or, " yearly paynents beginning imme"diately."

Let the number to which the fociety is kept up be fuppofed to be 200. It has been demonftrated in Queft. II, that at leaft half this number of widows will in time come to be confantly on the fociety; and it has alfo been juft now hewn, that the medium of annuities, payable to them, will be at leaft $28 \%$. After a courle of years, then, the fociety will have a conitant expence to bear of $2500 \%$. per annum. - But what will be its income? - In order to determine this, we muft confider, that there are two fources from whence its income will be derived. Firft, the annual payments of the members. And, fecondly, the money accumulated, or the capital raifed during the time the number of annuitants is coming to a maximum. - The firft of thefe fources afrords 1000 guineas, or $1050 \%$. per amnum. This wants $1750 \%$ of the annual expence juft mentioned; and, therefore, in order to have the income of the fociety equal to the burden upon it, when the annuitants come to a maximum, there mult be a fund raifed in the mean time equal to 43,750 . or to an eftate in perpetuity of 1750 . per annum.-But $1050 \%$ per annum beginning immediately, and forborn 24 years, and improved, without lofs or delay, all that time at 4 per cent. compound intereft, will but juft raile fuch a capital (a). There is, therefore,
(a) Every Queftion of this kind may be cafily folved in the following manner. In Table I, find the value F 3
fore, the fulle? proof, that the fheme I am confidering is extremely deficient. The truth is, that fcarcely a third of fuch a capital could be raifed, as will appear from the following obfervations.

Out of 200 perfons, all 40 years of age, more than five, according to the Isndon Table of Obfervations, and not fo many by Dr. Halley's Table, may be expected to die in a year. Suppofe then five to be the real number of members that will die the firft year of the fociety. In fubiequent years the collective body of members will be continually growing older; and, therefore, the proportion of them that will die every year, will be continually increafing, till it gets to a maximum. I will, however, fuppofe, that during the firft 20 years no more than the
of 1 l. payable at the end of any number of years; and any given annuity divided by that value, will be the annuity to which the given annuity will in that number of years increafe. - Thus; the preftnt value of $1 \%$ payable at the end of 25 years, is $375^{1}$, reckoning intereft at 4 per cont. and $1050 \%$ per annum divided by .3751 , gives $2,800 \mathrm{l}$. per annum, the increafed annuity arifing from 1050 . per ann. In the fame manner; it may be found, that the fame annuity, forborn 11 years, will increafe io $10 \mathbf{1 0 l}$. per annum. This fuppofes the firft payment of the annuity to be made a year hence. If the firt payment is to be made immediately, which is the prefent cafe, the anneity will increafe to the fame fums in one year lefs time.-But a more patticular account of this wi! be given in the rules annexed to the Tables at the end of this work.
number juft fpecified will die every year; and that, confequently, no more than five widoros will come every year on the fociety. The ages of all thefe widows, when they commence widowhood, will, it is evident, be between 40 and 60 . One with another then, they may be confidered as having commenced widowhood at 50 years of age. Now, five widows left every year at this age, will, in 10 years, increafe to 43 conftantly in life together, according to the expectations of life in Tables III, IV, and V; and, in 20 years, to $70(a)$. Suppofe the true number alive together at the end of 20 years to be only 62. the greater part of thefe will be annuitants of $30 \%$ and $40 \%$ per amm. and the reft $20 \%$. Were the former only equal to the latter, the medium of annuities payable to them would exceed $25 \%$. Suppofe then this medium to be no more than $26 \%$ and it will
(a) Every calculation of this kind is eafily made by the rule in note (A) in the Appendix. - I have put the number living together at the end of 20 years at 62 , not only that the reader may be better fatisfied that I have kept low enourh, but alfo to make an allowance for fuch widows as will be left by thofe members who die within a year after admiffion, and who, therefore, according to thefe fchemes, will be entitled to no annuities. This allowance is too large: For, after the firt year of the fcheme, it will not happen above once in 4 or 5 years, that the death of a member will be fo citcumftanced, fuppofing the probability that a man at 40 will live a year, to be, as all but the London Tables make it, 50 101.
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follow, that, at the end of 20 years, the for ciety will have an annual rent to pay of $26 \%$. multiplied by 62 or $1612 \%$ and, if then able to bear fuch an expence, it muft, in the intermediate time, have acquired an increafe of income equal to the difference between $1050 /$. and $1612 l$. per ann. That is; it muft, with its favings, have accumulated a ftock equal to $5 \% 2 \%$ per ann. and worth $14,050 \%$ But, as during this time, there will be a number of annuitants conftantly increafing, to whom yearly payments mult be made, the favings of the fociety cannot certainly be one half of what they would have been had it been all the time free from all burdens. Suppofe then the fock produced by thefe favings, to be equal to the ftock that would arife from an income of $1050 \%$ per ann. beginning immediately, and improved perfectly at 4 per cent. compound intereft, for half the time I have mentioned, or for 10 years, without being fubject to any checks or deductions. Such an income thus improved, would, in 10 years, produce an additional income of $500 \%$ per cmnum, or a capital of 14,0001 ,-According to thefe obfervations, therefore, the annual income of the fociety at the end of 20 years, and before a third part of the higheft annuitants could come upon it, would begin to fall fhort of its expences. About that time then it would neceffarily run aground; and long before the number of amuitants could rif̣
rife to a 100 , it would fipend its whole ftock, and find itfelf under a neceflity of either doubling the annual payments of its members, or of reducing the annuities one half.

All I have now faid is meant on the fuppofition, that the fociety begins with 200 members at 40 years of age, and is afterwards limited to that number, by admitting no more new members than will juft fupply the vacancies occafioned by the lofs of old members. If it is allowed to increafe, it may continue a longer time. And, for this reafon, a fociety that wants half the income neceffary to render it permanent, may very well fubfift, and even profper for 30 or 40 years.-Thus, the Laudable Society, was it to keep to its prefent number of members, might poffibly feel no deficiencies for 20 or 30 years to come; but if it fhould continue to increafe at the rate of 70 or 80 every year, it would, at the end of that time, poflefs a balance fo much in its favour, as might enable it to fupport itfelf for 20 or 30 years more (a). But bankruptcy would come
(a) What has been before demonftrated in Queft. III. thould be here recollected, that the number of annuitants on fuch a fociety as this, mult go on to increafe for more than 100 years, after acquiring its greateit number of nembers.

The Laudable Society, I am informed, took its rife from a calculation contained in a pamphlet entitled, The Paflubility and Probability of a Scheme intended for the Benefit of IVidows bring able to fupport itflelf. The fcheme here referred to, is the fame with that which this Society has
fince
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come at laft, and with the more terrible weight the longer it had been deferred.

The rule in the London Annuity Society, which obliges every perfon between the ages of 45 and 55 , to pay at admiffion 5 guineas extraordinary, for every year that he exceeds 45 , is an advantage to it, but it is a very inadequate, and alfo a very unequitable advantage. For at the farne time, that it obliges a perfon 55 years of age, to give more than the value of his expectation, it takes above two fifths lefs than the value from a perion who is 45 years of age.

Should any perfons remain Rill doubtful about what I have faid, I would beg them to attend to one further argument.

It muft be reckoned upon that every other member of thefe focieties, fuppofing them to confit of perfons all of the fame ages with their wives, will leave widows to whom one with another, (as already thewn) at leaft 28 l.
fince followed; and I am afraid I fall not be credited, when I fay, that the calculation to prove its capacity of fupporting itfelf, is founded on the fuppofition, that a hundred marricd men whofe common age is 36 , will leave but one widow every year, tho' at the fame time it is fuppofed that two of them will die every year.

This miftake has made the whole calculation one half wrong. - Nothing can be plainer than that, if the death of a married man does not leave a widow at the end of the year, the reafon muft be, that both himielf and his wite have happened to die in the year. But it is always very inprobable this fhuuld happen.
per ann. muft be allowed, for as many years as there have been payments from each member. For every 10 guineas then received they muft fome time or other hereafter pay $28 \%$ But let it be well confidered what can enable them to do this. Did money bear no intereft, for any given fum now received, they could not afford at any time hercafter to pay more than an equal fum. That is; (fince the duration of furvivorfiop is in the prefent cafe, by Queft. II, equal to the duration of marriage) the proper confideration for any given reverfionary annuity, to be allowed to all the furvivors of a fet of marriages, would be, fuppofing no intereft of money, an equal annuity payable by each marriage curing its exiftence; and juft balf the reverfionary annuity, if it is to be allowed only to half the furvivors, or to widows exclufive of widowers. The annual payment then of five guineas, during marriage, can entitle widows to no more than an annuity of ten guineas, fuppofing money to bear no intereft. But if it does bear intereft, the fame payment will entitle them to more, in proportion to the degree in which it is capable of being improved, during the time between that in which the annual payments begin, and the commencement of widowhood. Now, it is eafy to fee, that unlef's money bears very high intereft, this improvement cannot be likely in any circumftances to produce a capital, the intereft
intereft of which fhall be equal to the annual payment itfelf. Any given annual payment perfectly improved at 4 per cent. compound intereft, requires 17 years to double itfelf, fuppoling the firf payment made immediately; or, near 18 years ( $a$ ), if the firft payment is not made till the end of a year. But no marriages are likely to laft fo long as this, except thofe among perfons who are very young. A marriage between two perfons, both 40 , will not probably laft longer than 13 years, according to the probabilities of life in Dr. Halley's Table. A marriage between two perfons, both 50 , will not probably, by the fame Table, laf longer than eleven years; nor a marringe between two perions, both 30 , longer than 16 years. Suçh marriages, it is true, may poffibly lait 30 or 40 years. But this circumftance is more than balanced by the fact, that no lefs poffibly they may not laft one year. The annual payments, then, being incapable of fuch an improvement as thall produce an additional income equal to themfelves; it is obvious, that no fociety ought to go fo far as to allow to widows annuities twice as great as thofe which might be allowed, fuppofing no

[^1]intereft of money ( $a$ ) ; fu far, for inflance, as to allow, inftead of 10 guineas, 20 guineas for an annual payment of five guineas. In the circumftances of moft of thefe focieties three fifths addition may be the full allowance. That is; fuppofing the annual payment of each member to be five guineas, time may be expected for gaining from hence a capital of 75 guineas, or that fhall produce three guineas per annum intereft; and the proper reverfionary annuity will be 16 guineas; or fix guineas more than the proper reverfionary annuity, did money admit of no improvement.

The preceding obfervations have gone on the fuppofition, that the reverfionary annuities are to be for life. What diffirence in favour of thefe focieties arifes from the circumftance, that the annuities are to be paid only for widorehood, cannot be exactly determined. Some judgment, however, may be formed of it from what has been faid at the conclufion of Queft. II. Were even one half
(a) The money accumulated will not be exactly the fame with that to which the annual payment would increale, if improved at compound intereft for a number of years, equal to that which the joint lives have an equal chance of exifting. Much lefs will the increafe be the fame with that which would arife from the annual pay, ment forborn, and improved for a number of years equal to the expectations of the joint lives. It will be lefs than either of thele, for a reaion explained in note (L) Appendix.
of the widows to marry, fill the fchemes have been confidering would probably be infufficient. But in the circumftances of thefe focieties it cannot be expected, that above one in 10 , or perhaps one in 20 , will marry. The perfons mont likely to enter into them, are fuch as have not the profpect or ability of making competent provifions for their widows in other ways. The widows left, therefore, will in general be unprovided for, and being alfo left with families of children, it is quite unreafonable to expect, that any coniderable proportion fhould marry. This is true of fuch as may happen to be left young; but when a fociety has fubfifted fome time, the greater part will not be young when left, and thefe, at the fame time that no advantage can be expected from their marrying, will be in general the bigbeft annuitants, and, therefore, the beavieft burdens. - Moreover, the profpect of the lofs of their annuities will have a particular tendency to check marriage among them.-For all thefe reafons it feems to me likely, that the benefit, which thefe focieties will derive from marriage among their annuitants, will not be very confiderable; or at leaft not $f 0$ confiderable as to be equal to the advantages I have allowed them, by calculating on the fuppofitions, that the money they receive will be always improved perfecily, without lofs or delay, at the rate of 4 per cent. compound interef; that the probabilities
of life among males and females are the fame, and all hutbands likewife of the fame ages with their wives, and that confequently the maximum of widows on fuch focieties can amount to no more than half the number of marriages (a). - With refpect to the laft of thefe fuppofitions, it deferves to be particularly obferved, that by an enquiry made fome years ago in Scotland, it was found, that the widows of mimiters and profeflors there, (b) notwithftanding the diminution occationed by their marrying, did exceed half the number of marriages. And certainly it would be un-reafonable in thefe focieties not to reckon that the fame will happen among them. Indeed it feems certain that, notwithitanding
(a) Care fhould be taken in thefe focieties, not to judge of the proportion of widows that will marry, from the proportion that may happen to marry during their firf years. For moft of the widows that will be left at firf will be young; whereas the greater part will not be young when they commence widowhood, after a fociety has fubfifted 30 or 40 years; and, therefore, tho' one in 3 or 4 fhould marry at firft, it will not be reatonable to expeet, that half fo many fhould marry after the affairs of the fociety become fationary.
(b) 364 widows, all living at one time, were counted; and the number of married minifters and profefiors for many years pait has been, at an average, 667.-Twenty widows likewife are left one year with another; and, for 10 years, ending in the year 1767 , but nine of the fe hat married.-Of the anmitants likewife (about 160 in number) on the fund cftablifhed among the Diffenters in London, for relieving the widows of indigent minifers, it is found that few ever marry. See the latter end of the 4th Eflay; and nete (A) in the Appendis.
the hazards that attend child-bearing, the probability, that the woman hall furvive in marriage, and not the man, is much greater (a) than is commonly imagined. It will be fhewn in the laft Effay, that it is not lefs than the odds of 3 to 2 ; and had I calculated agreeably to this fact, the values of annuities for widows, would have been given near a quarter greater than they have been given on the fuppofition, that the chance of furvivorfhip is equal between men and their wives.-It muft be added, that I have made no account of any expences attending the execution and management of the fchemes of thefe focieties. Some fuch expences there mult be, and fome advantages hould be always provided in order to compenfate them.

There are in this kingdom feveral inftitutions for the bensfit of widows, befides the two on which I have now remarked; and in general, as far as I have had any information concerning them, they are founded on plans
(a) Partly, as obferved in page 8, on account of the greater mortality of males, but chiefly on account of the excefs of age on the man's fide.-According to the printed articles of agreement, the Laudable Society pays no re-gard to this excels of age; and the allowance required on this account by the London Annuity Society is fo trifling that it deferves no notice.

In March ${ }_{1770}$, thirty-two hufbands had died in the Laudable Society, and 27 wives. They feem, therefore, to be already begiming to experience, that the chances of furvivorfhip in marriage are in favour of the wife.
equally inadequate. The motives which influence the contrivers of thefe inftitutions are, without doubt, laudable; but they ought, I think, to have informed themfelves better. This appears fuficiently from what has been faid; but I will juft mention one further proof of it.

The London Annuity Society promifes that, if in 21 years; and the Laudable Society that, if in 25 years, it fhall appear that there has been all along an annual furplus in favour of the focieties, it thall be employed in either raifing the annuities, or in finking the annual payments. Now, they may be affured, that, if at the end of thefe periods, they hould not be poffefled of a confiderable furplus, the true reafon will be, their having granted much higher annuities than the annual contributions are able permanently to fupport: For it has been demonftrated, that the number of annuitants, and confequently the amount of the annual expences, will go increafing for a long courfe of years beyond thefe periods. The effect, therefore, of carrying into execution this regulation will be, precipitating that bankruptcy which would have come too foon had there been no fuch regulation.

It has been faid in defence of thele Societies, that the deficiencies in their plans cannot be of much confequence, becaufe their rules oblige them to preferve a conftant equality between their income and expences, by reducing the annuities as there fall be occafion.
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And from hence it is inferred, that they can never be in any danger of a bankruptcy.-In anfwer to this, it has appeared, that the time when they will begin to feel deficiencies is fo diftant, that it will be too late to remedy paft errors, without finking the annuities fo much, as to render them inconfiderable and trifling: All that is given too much to prefent annuitants is fo much taken away from future annuitants. And if a foheme is very deficient, the firft annuitants may, for 30 or 40 years, receive fo much more than they ought to receive, as to leave little or nothing for any who come after them. Deficient fchemes, therefore, are attended with particular injuftice; and this injuftice will be the fame, if, inftead of reducing the annuities, the annual payments fhould be increafed; for all the difference this can make will be, to caufe the injuftice to fall on future contributors, inftead of future amnuitants.

But what requires moft to be confidered here is, that, after either the annuitics have been for fome time in a flate of reduction, or the contributions in a thate of increafe, it will be feen that there Societies have gone upon wrong plans, and, therefore, they will be deferted and avoided; the confequence of which will prove ftill greater deficiencies in their annual income, and a more rapid defertion and decline, 'till a total difiolution and bankruptcy take place.-This will be the
death of moft of the prefent focieties for providing for widows, if they continue to be encouraged, and do not foon alter their plans: And at that period the number of annuitants will be greater than ever; whofe annuities, having no other fupport than the poor remains of a ftock always infufficient, will be foon left, without the pofibility of relief, $t s$ lament that ignorance and credulity which gave rife to thefe focieties, and which had fo long fupported them.

In the London Annuity Society, there is an encouragement to batchelors and widowers to join them, arifing from the additional annuities to which they will be immediately entitled, when they marry, in confequence of having made their payments a greater number of years; and it is imagined, that particular advantages will be derived from fuch members. But even thefe will in general pay much lefs than the value of their expectations.-A perfon who begins an annual contribution of five guineas at the age of 24 will, fhould he live II years, and marry a woman of the fame age at the end of that time, entitle her immediately to $35 l$. per ann. during furvivorfip, and to 4 I . per annum fhould he live four years after marrying. (intereft being at 4 per cent.) (a). In this
par-
(a) The value of five guineas per annum' (firft payment made immediately) for I I years, fubjeet to failure fhould a life now 27 fail; and, after 1 y years, for the joint lives
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particular cafe, therefore, a perfon will pay nearly the true value of his expectation. But all at all ages who marry; and moft of thofe who die, in lefs time than I I years after admiffion, will pay lefs than the value of their expectations.

## S E C T. II.

Of the Alociation among the London Clergy, and the Miniters in Scotland, for providing Annuities for their Widows.

TN April, 1765 , the clergy within the bills of mortality, and the county of Middlefex, at a general meeting in Sion-College, agreed to form themfelves into a fociety for the fupport of their widows and orphans. Many in this refpectable body may be capable of doing, in a better manner, what I have attempted in this Treatife; and they are, perhaps, already fenfible of the deficiencies in the plan which they have eftablifhed. I thall not, however, I hope, do wrong, in taking the liberty to recite briefly this plan, in order to introduce a few obfervations upon it.
of two perfons both 35, is, by the Table of London O3fervations, l.69.3.-By Dr. Halley's Table l.76.44.-Theprefent value of 35 l. per annum for life to the widow of a perfon now 24, thould he live 11 years, and marry a woman of the fame age with himfelf at the end of that time; and allo of 6 l . more, or 4 I . per ammom in all, thould he live after marriage four years; is, by the Table of London Obfervations, l.69.36,-By Dr. Halley's Table, l.76.03.

According to the printed articles, every clergyman poffeffed of any benefice, lecturcRhip, or licenfed curacy, within the bills of mortality, and the county of Middlefex, who fubfrribes annually one guinea, or two guineas, or more, fhall entitle his widow to an annuity ; or, if he leaves no widow, he fhall entitle any fuch children as he flall leave, to the fame annuity for feven years as his widow would have had. And, in care a widow pofieffed of an annuity, fhould either die or marry before the lapfe of 10 years, from the commencement of her annuity, fuch children of her former hufband, as fhall be then alive, are to be entitled to as many of the ten years payments of the annuities as the fhall not have received. - The annuity is fixed to no particular fum, but inftead of this, it is ordered, that a fourth part of the annual fubfcriptions and intereft fhall be divided the firft three years after the eftablifhment of the fociety; half only the next four years; and 3 -4 ths the next 5 years; provided, however, that in no one of thefe 12 years the dividend fhall exceed $20 \%$. to the widows and orphans of the clergy fubfribing two guineas or more; and $10 \%$ to the widows and orphans of the fubfrribers of one guinea. And, after the expiration of 12 years, the whole amount of the fubfriptions, and of the intereft of the capital ftock, is to be divided proportionably for cver.-It is further provided, that every clergyman, who fhall be married, or have chil-
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dren,
dren, at the time of his fubfcription, fhall pay a fine of two guineas towards a capital ftock, if a fubicriber of two guineas or more, and 40 years of age or upwards. If 50 years of age or upwards, he fhall pay a fine of three guineas; if 60 or upwards, five guineas. But, if not married at the time of his fubferibing, and fhall afterwards marry, he fhall pay a fine according to the age he flall be of at the time of his marrying. The obligation laid upon all, whether married or unmarried, to become fubfcribers, is, an incapacity of being admitted members without the confent of a general court, unlefs, within two years after becoming poffeffed of any ccclefiaftical employment, they fubferibe.

Every one who has attended to the obfervations in this and the preceding chapter, muft know what judgment to form of thefe regulations.

Let us fuppofe, that all the clergy in Lon$d o n$ and Middlefex came into this affociation from the firft; and that one with another they are fubfcribers of two guineas annually; and that there are among them as many unmarried perfons as married.

In this cafe, it may be learnt from Queft. XIII, that the annuity to which widows hould be entitled, (fuppofing no allowance to the children of any that die) ought not to exceed io or in guineas at moft, and that, befides the amual fubferiptions, there ought
to have been a fine paid at the commencement of the fcheme, by every married perfon, of fix guineas at leaft, or, by the whole number of fubfrribers, three guineas. If the number of married members is double the unmarried, the annuity ought not to exceed eight guineas; and the fine from every member Ahould be about four guineas.- The order, that only a fourth part of the amual fubfcriptions and intereft thall be divided the firft three years, half the next four years, and three quarters the next five, is without reafon; becaufe the number of claimants, for the firft 12 years of the feheme, will be fo few, that it will not be poffible, during that time, that there fhould be occafion for dividing any proportions fo large of the annual fubfcriptions and intereft, unlefs they are indeed beyond all bounds too little.' - After 12 years, the number of annuitants will go on increafing for near 50 years, as appears from Queft.III. The confequence, therefore, of dividing, after this time, the whole amount of the annual fubfriptions and intereft, will be a conitant yearly dimination in the dividends for near 50 years; and making the payments to the firt claimants much more confiderable than they ought to be, at the expence of all fubfequent clamants.- For thefe reafons; it appears to me out of all doubt, that this foheme is by no incans likely to anfwer the good ends propofed by it ; and that, therefore, it will be beft to lay it afide. G 4
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 Of the Eftablifhment amongAt the time it was fettled it was, I find, further agreed, that the annual fubfcriptions of the laity, together with the intereft of their benefactions, unlefs otherwife directed by the donors; and the annual fubfcriptions of fuch of the clergy as fhall fo direct, fhall make a charitable fiund to be applied to the relief of the diftreffed widows or children of all the clergy within the limits I have mentioned, whether fubfcribers or not, provided that in no one year of the firft twelve more than $20 \%$. be given out of the fund to any one family. This is an excellent defign; and if the money arifing from all the fubferiptions is thrown into this fund, an important means of relieff may be provided, for fuch of the more indigent widows and families as will accept the help of charity.

There is one more affociation of particular confequence, which it is neceffary I fhould take notice of. I mean, the affociation among the minifters and profeffors in Scotland, for making provifions for their widows and orphans. The laft-mentioned affociation, and alfo feveral others of the fame kind (a) in this kingdom, have been formed on the
(a) There is one among the Diffenting Minifters in the counties of Chefer and Lancaßire, and another among the Diffenting Minifters in Cumberland, Northumberland, Wefmoreland, and Durbam. - Even the London Avnuity Society, tho' its plan is totally different, profefies to form itfelf on the principles of the Scotib eftablifhment, and to derive encorragement from it.
model of this eftablifhment; and the fuccefs with which it has been hitherto attended, has been the principal caufe to which they owe their rife. - I am afraid of being too tedious, and therefore I will not attempt to recite all the particulars contained in the plan of this eftablifhment. It may be fufficient to obferve, that for "an annual "payment, which begun immediately, of "Give guineas from 10 II contributors, 667 " of whom are married perfons; befides a "tax on weddings producing about $142 \%$. "per annum; it entitles every widow to an " annuity of 20 . during widowhood; and al"fo, every family of children that fhall be "left by fuch members as die without leav" ing widows, to 200 l ." Now, by particular enquiry at the commencement of the fcheme, it had been found, that there was reafon to believe that, for many years back, 20 widows had been left annually by the whole body of minifters and profeffors; and that, alfo, fix had died annuaily and left children without widows; and there facts have been fince confirmed by the experience of 25 years.-Subftract, therefore, from $5,450 \%$. (the whole annual income) $1,200 \%$. payable every year to fix families of children; and $4,250 l$. per ann. (the firft payment of which was made (a) immediately) or 1.4 .2 per an2 2 Un7
(a) The truth is, that a double payment was made at the beginning of the fecond year. This is of lefs value than
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annum from each member, will remain as the ftanding provifion for bearing the burden of the annuitants. -This provifion, according to the calculation in Queft. XIII, and note (F) Appendix, ought to be at leaft 4,745 l. per annum, from each member; from whence it feems to follow, that this eftablifhment has not a fufficient income to afford it a permanent fupport.-But I do not by any means defign to affert this. The difference between the real and calculated incomes, as it has been now fated, is not confiderable enough to give fufficient reafon for fuch an affertion. This eftablimment may have fome advantages that I know nothing of, and that are not mentioned in the printed accounts ; or, in confequence of the increafe of luxury, and the higher price of ail the means of fubfiftence, marriage may decline among the minifters; or, poliibly, the probabilities of life among them, tho' much higher 'till towards 50 , than is common among mankind in towns, may yet afterwards decreafe much fafter. The income, therefore, of this eftablifhment, properly improved, may continue to be adequate to all its expences and bur-dens.-One obfervation, however, ought to be carefully attended to. The fuccefs it has hitherto met with, is no good reafon for en-
two payments, one of which is made immediately, and the other a year hence; but, the difference not being confiderable, I have ieckoned them the fame.
tertaining
sertaining this expectation, with any degree of confidence. It appears from Quef. III, that the number of annuitants, on fuch an eftablifhment, muft go on increafing for 60 years, from the time of its commencement ; and it is obvious, that the continuance of a fücefs which has not lafted balf this period, cannot be abfolutely depended on. I know, indeed, that, according to the calculations which were made when this eftablifhment begun (a), the number of widows upon it will not increafe fenfibly after the year 1780, or for more than 10 years to come; and, were this true, all diffidence about it would, perhaps, be unreafonable. But thefe calculations cannot, in this inftance, be right; for they imply, that none are left widows under 52 years of age. 'Tis certain, on the contrary, that many are left widows under 32 ; and that, confequently, the whole body in life together muft go on to increafe for 25 years, beyond the period affigned in the fe calculations; or till the year 1805 (6). It is neceffary
(a) Sce Table III, in a book printed at Euinburgh in 1748, entitled, Calculations, with the Principles and Data on which they are inftituted, relative to a late act of parliament, cńtitled, An act for raijing and efriblifbing a fund for a provifon for the weilows and childiren of the minifters of the church, and of the heads, principals and mafers of the univerfities of Scotland; fhewing the rife and progrefs of the fund.
(b) This is faid on the fuppofition, that all the minifteis and profefiors acceded to the fcheme from the firf.
ceffary I fhould add, that the whole number alive, when the increafe ftops, will, moft probably, be greater than the number provided for in thefe calculations. They are made on the fuppofition, that 52 is the mean age at which women commence widows. If this fuppofition is right, it is impoffible that, according to the probabilities of life in Dr. Halley's Table, the number of widows living together at one time, derived from 20 left annually, fhould increafe to more than 323, if none marry; or about 300 , if one marries every year (a): Nor does it appear likely, that this

335 did not accede; but all their fucceffors have been obliged to accede. This circumftance mult add 30 or 35 years to the period of increafe which I have mentioned ; that is, as many years as are neceffary to caufo all the non-contributors to die off. See Queft.III.
(a) The expectation of a perfon at the age of 52 is, when taken exactly from Dr. Halley's Table, 16.16; and this number multiplied by 20 , gives the maximum, to which 20 widows left annually, at 52 , will increafe in 34 years, fuppofing 86 the utmoft limit of life. Vid, Eflay I. - In the calculation to which I have referred, there is no account taken of thofe that die in the year in which they are left widows; and, for this reafon, they are made to increafe to a greater number than is confitent with the fuppofition, that 52 is their mean age when they commence widowhood.-lt fhould be remembered here, that fuppofing this mean age, as explained in note (F). Appendix, rightly taken, the maximun of widows will be rightly found in the manner juft fpecified. But the period, in which they would attain to that maximum, would be as many years greater than the difference between the mean age and the utmof limit of life, as the mean age is greater thaュ
this eftablifhment will be able to bear the expence of above 30 or 40 more than the laft-
than the leaf age at which widowhood ever commences. The calculation, therefore, which I have in view muft be very wrong. It fuppofes not only, that all the wicows left at all ages, will increafe to a maximum in the fame time with thofe left at the mean age; but that all left in the courfe of every year will certainly live to the beginning of the next year. It fuppofes, likewife, that no widows will marry; and thofe concerned will underftand me when I add, that it fuppofes further, that acceders, tho' moftly young minifters unmarried, will leave widows as faft as the nomicontributors whom they fucceed. In confequence of thefe omifions it has all along given the numbers in life much higher than they ought to have been given; but yet the event has been, that thefe numbers have in fact correfponded nearly to the calculation: From whence it follows, that the widows in life have hitherto increafed at a much greater rate than they could have done, according to Dr. Halley's Table, had their mean age, when left, been 52. Either, then, their mean age has been confiderably lefs than 52 ; or, their probabilities of life muft be confiderably greater than thofe in Dr. Halley's Table; and, it ought, therefore, to be expected, that they will at laft increafe to higher numbers than thore affigned in this calculation.

I cannot help here mentioning one more reafon for entertaining this expectation.-Were the decrements of life uniform, and the chances of furvivorfhip between men and their wives equal, the number of widows and widowers in the world, if none married, would alfo be equal ; and both together equal to the whole number of marriages. See Queft. II, and note (F) Appendix.

If the chances for the furvivorfhip of the wife are greateft, the number of widows in the world will be alfo greateft, and the whole number of widows and widowers greater than the whole number of marriages. In the prefent cafe experience proves, that the chances of furvivorthip in marriage are as 5 to 3 at lealt, in favour of
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## laft-mentioned number. But it was found, by enquiry, that the number of widows liv-

the wife; or that there are 20 widows left to 12 widowers. (See note (F) Appendix, and the end of the laft: Elay). The number of widows and widowers then would certainly, if none married, exceed 667 , the whele number of marriages. Suppofe, however, that they would be only equal; which is the fame with fuppoling, that widows would not increafe to more in life togerher, in proportion to the number left, than widowers; or that one with another they are of cqual ages. 667 then being the number of marriages, this will likewife be the number of widows and widowers, 46 of whom mut be widows and 250 widowers; that is, 5 to 3. Now as ruidows are certainly, one with another, younger than vidowers; and likewile, very probably, more long-lived at equal ages; and as alfo, in the prefent cafe, but one marrics of the twenty left annually; it follows, with demonftrative evidence, that if the annuitants on this eftablifment fhould not increafe to 400 , the reafon muft be, that the decreafe in the probabilities of life, inftead of continuing always uniform, is flow in the firft ftages of mature life, and accelerated afterwards, to a degree of which there is no example in any Tables. And this, poffibly, may be indeed the cafe; for it is uncertain whether, in this particular fituation, life may not wafte according to a law not yet obferved. This uncertainty it is in the power of the conductors of this fcheme to remove, by keeping an account of the ages at which all the minifters and profeflors enter upon their offices and die; and alfo of the ages of their wives when they inarry and die. From fuch an account kept for a courfe of years, Tables of Obfervation, adapted to the beft fort of lives of both fexes, might be formed, which would contribute much to the improvement of this part of knowledge.

I have faid nothing above of the advantage which this eftablifhment derives from the marriages among widows. This advantage, it has appeared, were it enjoyed without abatement, would not be confiderable; but it is in fome. meafure given up by the order which makes a part of this efta-
ing in 1744 , was at leaft 364 ; (a) and it may be learnt from notes ( $A$ and $F$ ) in the Appendix, that, according to Dr. Halley's Table, 20 widows left annually, muft in time increafe to near 400 , tho' one of the youngeft married every year. And it may be further learnt from note (A) Appendix, that the widows on this eftablifhment have not hitherto increafed more flowly, than is confiftent with their actually increaling to 400 : Nor, indeed, (as the probabilities of life in this cafe are higher than thofe given by Dr. Halley's Table) fhould I much doubt of their increafing to nore than this number, were it not that 364 has been given as the number found upon enquiry. With refpect to this, however, it ought to be mentioned, that another account had been taken which made the number of widows only 32 I . As, therefore, a more careful enquiry difcovered 43 new widows; perhaps, an enquiry yet more careful would have made yet further difcoveries. In taking fuch accounts, none can well be added ;
eftablifhment, that fuch children of an annuitant as are under 16 , fhall be entitled, if the marries, to as much as flall happen to be then unpaid of ten years purchafe of her annuity. The fame provifion is made for the children of annuitants that die. There are oiher burdens on this eftablifhment, and it has alfo arvantages of which 1 have taken no notice; but, as far as I am acquainted with them, they are of no particular confequence, and they alfo nearly balance one another.
(a) See Calculations, with their Principles and Data, \&ic. 1ntroduction.
but in the flrielef fearch it can hardly be poffible to avoid omitting fome.

The refult of the laft enquiry, in particular, is faid to have been; not that it was found that there were no more than 364 widows, but that they did exceed this number. (a) However, let 364 be the true number living in $174^{8}$. Before that time, there had probably been more marriages among them, than there have been fince; and this may have rendered their number lefs than it would otherwife have been, and lefs than it will be hereafter: For it feems to me, that this eftablifhment, at the fame time that it encourages marriage among the minifters, has a tendency to check it among their widows, by making the confequence of marrying to be the lofs of their annuities.

I hope the venerable miniters and proferfors concerned in thefe remarks, and at prefent fo eminent in all the departments of fcience, will excufe what has been now faid. It may, perhaps, be of fervice, if not to them, yet at leaft to fome in this part of the united kingdoms, by fhewing them, that this eftablifhment has been copied in it much too rahly ; and that, however fucceisful it may in the end prove, it is yet too foon to make it a modcl and an authority for fimilar eftablifhments.
(a) See Calculations with their Principles, \&ic. p. 44.

S E C T.

## S E C T. III.

Of the beft Schemes for providing Annuities for Widows.

Nftitutions for providing widows with annuities would, without doubt, be extremely ufeful, could fuch be contrived as would be durable, and at the fame time eafy and encotraging. The natures of things do not admit of this in the degree that is commonly imagined. The calculations and rules; in the preceding chapter, will enable any one to determine in all cafes to what reverfionary annuities any given payments entitle, according to any given valuation of lives or rate of intereft. From Queft. VII and VIII, in particular, it may be inferred that (intereft being at 4 per cent. and the probabilities of life as in Mr. De Moivre's Hypothefis, or the Breflaw, Norwich, and Nortbampton Tables) for an annual payment beginning immediately of four guineas during marriage; and alfo for a guinea and half in hand, on account of each year that the age of the hufband exceeds the age of the wife, every married man, under 40 , might be entitled to an annuity, during life, for his widow of 5 l. if he lives a year, $10 l$. if he lives three years, and $20 \%$. if he lives feven years. Money can farcely now in this kingdom be improved haps, it might be reafonably expected, that an advantage, fufficient to compenfate this difadvantage, would be derived, from changing the annuities I have mentioned into annuities during widowhood. One may, at leaft, venture to pronounce, that nothing much worfe could befall a fociety that went on this plan, than the neceffity of fome time or other adding half a guinea to the annual payments.

If fuch a fociety chules, that thofe who thall happen to continue members the longeft time, thall be intitled to ftill greater annuities, fix guineas, additional to all the other payments at admiffion, would be the full payment for an annuity of $25 \%$ and 12 guineas for an annuity of $30 \%$ if a member fhould live 15 years.

All batchelors and widowers might be encouraged to join fuch a focicty, by admitting them on the following terms.-Four guineas to be paid on admifion, and tbree guineas every year afterwards, during celibacy; and, on marriage, the fame payments with thofe made by perfons admitted after marriage; in confideration of which, 1 . per amum, for evcry fingle payment before mariage, might he added to the annuities, to which fuch members would have been otherwile entialed.

For example. If they have been members four years, or made five payments before marriage, inftead of being entitled to life-annuities for their widows of only $5 \%$. $10 \%$ $20 \% .25 \%$ and $30 \%$ on the conditions I have fpecified, they might be entitled to annuities of $101.15 \% .25 \% .30 \%$ and $35 \%$. Or, if they have been members rine years, and made io payments, they might, inftead of the fame annuities, be entitled to annuities of $15 \%$ 20 . 301.35 \% and 40 l.-In this cafe, the contributions of fuch members as fhould happen to defert, or die in celibacy, would be fo much profit to the fociety, tending to give it more ftrength and fecurity.

This is one of the beft fchemes that I an able to think of, or would chufe to recommend. There are, however, others no lefs fafe and encouraging which fome may prefer, and which therefore, I will juft propofe.

Let the probabilities of life be the fame with thofe in the Tables juft mentioned. Let money be fuppofed to be improved at no higher intereft than 3 per cent. Let the reverfionary annuities promifed to widows be rol. for life, if a member lives five years after admiffion, and $15 \%$ more, or ${ }_{2} \%$. in all. if he lives in years. The proper payments for fuch an expectation, from inarried men not exceeding $5^{\circ}$ years of age, will, in the H 2
neareft and moft convenient round fums, be four guineas in annual payments beginning immediately, and two guineas in hand for every year that his age exceeds his wife's, not admitting any greater excefs than 15 years : Or, if the whole value is given in one prefent payment, 40 . added to a guinea, for every year that his age falls fhort of 50 , befides the payment juft mentioned on account of difparity of age.-For example. Four guineas in annual payments, beffdes 10 or 20 guineas in hand, according as the age of the hufband exceeds the wife's 5 or 10 years. Or, if the whole value of the expectation is given in one payment, 10 guineas added to $40 \%$. (that is $50 \%$ ios.) from a man whofe age is 40 ; and, in like manner, 20 guineas added to $40 \%$. (that is 6 r l.) from a man whofe age is 30 ; befides the payment juft mentioned on account of difparity of age.

If money is improved at 4 per cent. or, on account of any advantages attending a fcheme, may be juftly confidered as fo improved, the full payments for the expectation I have mentioned will be about one eighth, or half a guinea, lefs in the annual payments during marriage; and a quarter lefs in all the other payments. That is: A married man, at or zinder 50 , would, befides three guineas and half in annual payments during marriage, be bound to add a guinea and half for every year he is older than his wife: Or, if he
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chufes to give the value of his expectation in one payment ; befides the common contribution of $30 \%$ and a guinea and half for every year his age exceeds his wife's; he would be bound to pay three quarters of a guinea, for every year he is lefs than 50 years of age ; that is, 53 l . 12 s .6 d . in all, fuppofing him 40 years of age, and io years older than his wife. - All thefe payments doubled would entitle to double annuities.

There is one particular advantage which focieties formed on a plan of this kind would enjoy (a)-Perfons who know themfelves fubject to diforders, which are likely to render them fhort-lived, will have no great temptations to endeavour to gain admiffion into fuch focieties; and, if admitted, the danger from them will be lefs than on any other plan. Were it not for this danger, one might recommend the following plan, as one of the moft inviting.

In the plans hitherto mentioned it is implied, that, if either a member or his wife dies within any of the periods fpecified, the additional annuities, that would otherwife have become due, will be loft. But it would be much more agreeable to a purchafer, that they fhould be made certain to his wife, provided the lives to the end of there periods,
(a) See another advantage mentioned under Quieft. Vili, p. 28.
tho' in the mean time his own life Gould fail. The value of fuch annuities may be computed by the rule in Queft. IX.

Suppofe, for inftance, the fobeme to bo " that' a wife flall be entitled certainly to a "c life-annuity of 20 l . the firft payment of " which thall be made at the end of 12 years, " provided the flould be then alive, and her " hufband dead; or at the end of any year "beyond this term in which fle may hap"pen to be left a widow." Suppofe it alfo ftipulated, "that fhe Mall be entitled to " 10 l . more, or 30 l in all, on the fame "terms, provided fhe hould live it years." -The value of fuch an expectation (intereft being at 3 per cent. and the probabilities of life as in Mr. De Moivre's Hypothefis) will be, in the moft convenient round fums, fuppofing none admitted above 50 years of age, feven guineas in annual payments to be continued during marriage, and to begin immediately; befides four guineas in prefent money for every year, as far as 15 years, that the hutband's age exceeds the wife's, if he is between 40 and 50 , and three guineas on the fame account if he is under 40 : Or, if the whole value of the expectation is given in one prefent payment, $70 \%$ added to a guinea and half, for every year that the hufband's age falls fhort of 50 , befides the payment juft mentioned on account of difparity of age.

If the annuities are made to be annuities during voidowhood, and not during life, and the advantage arifing from hence, is fuppofed equivalent to the difference between the improvement of money at 4 per cent. and its real improvement ; the value of the expectation juft mentioned, (that is, its value at 4 per cent.) will be fix guineas in annual payments; befides three guineas in prefent money, for every year that the hufband's age exceeds the wife's, if he is between 40 and 50 ; and 2 guineas, if he is under 40 : Or, if the whole value of the expectation is given in one prefent payment, 56 l. added to 1l. 5 s. for every year that his age falls thort of 50 , befides the payment laft mentioned on account of inequality of age. (a)
(a) Suppofing i6 years the only term, the annuity $20 l$. and intereft at 4 per cent. the proper payments with be nearly, in the cafe of equal ages and fingle payments, 46 l. - 40 l. - 29 l. as the age of the man is 30,40 , or 50 . Or , in annual payments, $1.3 .80 .-1.3 .66$.-l.3.13.-Suppofing the woman's age 10 years lefs than the man's, the fame values will be, in fingle payments, l.58.92. - l.56.56. - 1.53 .66 - In amual payments $1.4 .63 .-1.5$.-l.5.4 1. Ir appears, therefore, that a fociety, fuppofing money improved at the rate of 4 per cent. might entitle all married men indifcriminately, who are under 50 years of age, to fuch an expectation as this for their wives, for either $60 \%$ in onc payment, or five guineas in anmual payments. - But squity requires, that different payments hould be made, according to the different comparative ages of men and their wives; and Tables might be former for fowing, at one view, what thefe different payments ought to be in all cales. If fuch Tables are wanting, recourie mult be had to fome fuch eafy rules as thofe I have fated above.

He that will give himfelf the trouble to calculate, agreeabiy to the directions in the Queftions to which I have referred, will find that, taking all particular cafes together, the rules now given come as near the truth as there is reafon to defire in an affair of this nature, the defeets in fome cafes being nearly compenfated by the exceffes in others.

I have calculated here, as well as in moft other places, from Mr. De Moivre's Hypothefis, becaule its conformity to the three Tables which I have fo often mentioned, convinces me, that it gives a proper mediums between the different values of toron and country lives. In the country the probabilities of life are much higher; but in London, and probably in all great towns and fome finaller ones, they are much lower.

It is proper to add, that, according to the values of lives and furvivorhips deduced both from the London and Dr. Halley's Table, and taking intereft as low as 3 per cent. all women whofe hulbands are under 50 years of age, might be entitled to an annuity of 24 l. during life (the firl payment to be made at the end of the year in which they fhall be left widows) for the fum of 100 l . fuppofing $3 \%$ additional given on account of every year that they are younger than their hurbands.At 4 per cent. ail annuity of $30 \%$ might be granted on the fame terms.

In the year 1690 , the company of Mercers in London, adopted fuch a fcheme as that laft mentioned. For rool. in one prefent payment, they entitled every fubferiber to a life. annuity for his widow of 30 l ; and this, at that time, (when money bore 6 per cent. intereft) was confiderably lefs than the value of the money advanced, fuppofing men and their wives of equal ages. As the intereft of money funk, they funk alfo the annuity, firft to $25 \%$ and then to $20 \%$ and $15 \%$. But, at laft, after carrying on the fcheme for above 50 years, finding the burden of the annuitants too heavy, and likely to go on increafing, they were obliged to drop the fcheme and to ftop payment. In a little time, however, by a parliamentary aid of 3000 . per ann. which they are now enjoying, they were reftored to a capacity of making good all their engagements, and of paying their arrears.Their failure, is, indeed, much to be lamented; for, in confequence of it, the public has loft the benefit of an inftitution, that for many years promifed the happieft effects, by encouraging marriage, and affording relief to indigence. The rapid fall of the intereft of money; their admitting purchafers at too advanced ages; and, particularly, their paying no regard to the difference of age between hufbands and their wives, muft have contributed much to hurt them. Some of the principal caufes, therefore, which have rendered them
them unfuccefsful, may be now avoided; and for this reafon I fhould be glad to fee fome fimilar fcheme, providing, as this did, annuitics for life, and not for quidowbood, undertaken. If well planned it would, I think, be a proper object of parliamentary encouragement.

It muft, however, be remembered, that the iffue of the beft fchemes of this kind muft be in fome degree uncertain. For want of proper obfervations, it is not poffible to determine what allowances ought to be made, on account of the higher probabilities of life among females than males. No prudence can prevent all loffes in the improvement of money; nor can any care guard again?t the inconveniencies to fuch ichomes, which munt arife from thofe perfons being mort ready to fly to them who, by reafon of concealed diforders, feel themfelves mof likely to want the benefit of them.

The focieties, therefore, on which I have remarked in the firf Section of this chapter, would have reafon to take warning from what has happened to the Mercer's Company, were the fchemes on which they are formed perfectly unexceptionable. But I have demonAtrated that thefe fohemes are very defective; and that the longer they are carried on, the more mifchief they mult produce. 'Tis vain (as appears from Queft. III.) to form fuch eftablifhments with the expectation of fee-
ing their fate determined foon by experience. If not more extravagant than any ignorance can well make them, they will go on profperoufly for 20 or 30 years; and, if at all tolcrable, they may fupport themfelves for 50 or 60 years; and at laft end in diftrefs and ruin. No experiments, therefore, of this fort thould be tried hafily. An unfuccefsful experiment muft be productive of very pernicious effects. All inadequate fchemes lay the foundation of prefent relief on future calamity, and afford affiftance to a ferw by difappointing and oppreffing multitudes.

As the perfons who conduct thefe fchemes can mean nothing but the advantage of the public, they ought to liften to thefe obfervations. At prefent their plans are capable of being reformed; but they cannot continue fo always; for the greater number of exorbitant payments they now make to annuitants, the more they confume the property of future annuitants, and the lefs practicable a retreat is rendered to a rational and equitable and permanent plan (a). They thould, therefore, immediately (b) either reduce
(a) See p. 82, 83. Sect. I.
(b) Thus; was the London Annuity Society to make their loweft annuity : 01 . the next $20 \%$ and the higheft 30l. they would probably be fafe. But, after proceeding on their prefent plan fome years longer, fuch a reduction would by no means be fufficient.

their
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their fchemes, or change them into one of thofe which I have propofed. But, I am afraid, this is not to be expected. The neglect with which they have received fome remonftrances that have been already made to them, gives reafon to fear, that what has been now faid will be in vain; and that thofe who are to come after them, muft be left to rue the confequences of their miftakes.

> S E C T. IV.

> Of Scbemes for providing Annuities for Old Age.

AGeneral difpofition has lately fhewn itfelf, to encourage fchemes for granting annuities to perfons in the latter ftages of life; and this has occafioned the 6th Queftion in the former Chapter; and, as a further and more particular direction in cafes of this kind, I have thought it neceffary here to give the following Table.

| Values of x ?. per ann, for life, affer 50, to perfons whole ages are | Values in one prefent payment, intereft 4 per cent. | Intereft 3 per cent. | \| Values in annaal fayments, till 50, to begin at the end of a year, intereft 4 prct . | Intereft <br> 3 fer cens. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | I. 235 | 2.015 | .0789 | . 113 |
| 15 | 1. $5^{8} 3$ | 2.444 | .106 | . 146 |
| 20 | 2.028 | 2.989 | .146 | .193 |
| 25 | 2.594 | 3.644 | .203 | .259 |
| 30 | $3 \cdot 369$ | 4.508 | . 297 | .366 |
| 35 | 4.446 | 5.667 | .466 | - 55 |
| 40 | $5 \cdot 953$ | 7.232 | .822 | .950 |


| Walues of the fame annuity, after 55 ,to ages |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 2.114 | 2.937 | . 167 | . 211 |
| 35 | 2.722 | 3.632 | . 241 | . 297 |
| 40 | $3 \cdot 732$ | 4.708 | . 394 | . 464 |
| 45 | 5.088 | 6.115 | . 703 | . 803 |
| $\checkmark$ alues of the fame annuity after 60 , to ages |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 1.667 | 2.290 | . 135 | .168 |
| 40 | 2.234 | 2.923 | . 203 | - 245 |
| 45 | 3.043 | 3.811 | . 327 | . 384 |
| 50 | 4.255 | 5.061 | . 600 | . 679 |

The numbers in the 2 d and 3 d columns of this Table, multiplied by any annuity, will give the value of that annuity in a fingle
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payment, to be enjoyed for life, by the ages correfponding to thofe numbers in the firft column, after the age mentioned at the head of that column.- And in the fame manner ; the numbers in the 4 th and 5 th columins will give the values in annual payments. -Thus. The value of $44^{l}$. per anmum, to be enjoyed for life, after 50 , by a perfon now 40 , (intereft at 4 per cent.) is 5.95 , multiplied by 44 , or $l .26 \mathrm{I} .9$, in a fingle payment; and .822 , multiplied by 44 , or $l .36 .16$, in amual payments till 50 , the firft payment to be made at the end of a year.

In order to find the fame values, partly in annual payments, and partly in any given eritrance or admifion-money; fay; "As the va" lue of the given annuity in a fingle payment, " (found in the way juft mentioned) is to the "given entrance-money; fo is its value in an" mual payments, to a fourth proportional ; "r which, fubtracted from the value in annual "payments, the remainder will be the annual "payment due, over and above the given en" trance-money."

## Example。

Suppofe a perfon now 40, to be willing to pay 200 l. entrance-money, befides fuch an annual payment for 10 years as thall, together with his entrance-money, be fufficient
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to entitle him to a life annuity of 44 l. after 50. What ought the annual payment to be?

Answer.
L.8.55.-For, 1.26 I .9 , is to $200 \%$. as l. 36.16 , to $l .27 .61$; which, fubtracted from l.36.16, the remainder is $l .8 .55$.

This Table has been calculated from the probabilities and values of lives in Tables III. and VI. The probabilities of life among the inhabitants of London, are (as I have often had occafion to obferve) much lower than among the generality of mankind; and the values in the preceding Table, had they been given agreeably to the London Obfervations, would have been lefs. But, certainly, an office or fociety, that means to be a permanent advantage to the public, ought always to take higher rather than lower values, for the fake of rendering itfelf more fecure, and gaining fome profits to balance lofjes and expences.

There have lately been eftablimed, in London, feveral focieties for granting fuch annuities as thofe now mentioned; and he that will compare their true values, as they may be learnt from the preceding Table, with the terms of admiffion into thefe focieties, as given in their printed Abfracts and
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Tables, muft be furprifed and fhocked. They are all impofitions on the public, proceeding from ignorance, and encouraged by credulity and folly.

It has been fhewn; that the proper payment, (allowing compound intereft at 4 per cent.) for an annuity of $44 l$, to be enjoyed by a perfon now 40 , for what may happen to remain of his life after 50 , is $200 \%$. in admif-fion-money; befides 1.8 .55 , or 81.11 s ., in annual payments till he attains to 50 , the firft of there payments to be made at the end of a year. - The conditions of obtaining this annuity, according to the Tables of the Laudable Society of Amnuitants for the benefit of age, are 76 l. 17 s. in admifion-money; and 6 . 14 s. in annual payments.-According to the Tables of the fociety of London Annuitants for the benefit of age, the conditions of obtaining the fame annuity are $30 \%$ in admifion-money, and $10 \%$ in amnual payments. - The Equitable Society of Annuitants requires for the fame annuity $38 \%$. Ios. in admifion-money, and $\mathrm{I}_{3} \%$ in annual payments. The true value is, over and above the admifion-money juft mentioned, an annual payment of 30\%. 17s. (intereft reckoned at 4 per cent.) or an annual payment of 36l. 15 s. intereft reckoned at 3 per cent. The London Union Society for the comfortable fupport of aged members promifes an annuity of no lefs than $5^{\circ}$ guineas for life, after 50 ,
to a perfon now 40 for $40 \%$. 10 s. in admif-fion-money, and $7 l$. in annual payments.

The Amicable Society of Annuitants for the benefit of age, promifes an annuity of $26 \%$. per annum, for life, to a perfon now 40 , after attaining to 50 , for 281. I6s. in admiffion-money, and $6 \%$ in amual payments. - The true value of this annuity is $28 \%$. 16s. in admif-fion-money, and 17l. 8 s . in annual payments; (intereft fuppofed at 4 per cent.); or the fame fum in admiffon-money, and $20 \%$. 18 s . in anmual payments, intereft fuppofed at 3 per cent.

The Provident Society for the benefit of age promifes an annuity of 25 l. to a perfon now 40 , after attaining to 50 , for 34 guineas in admiflion-money, and eight guineas in annual payments. The true value is, 34 guineas in admiffion-money; and 15\%.125. in amual payments, intereft at 4 per cent.; or, the fame fum in admiffion-mioney, and 19 l. in annual payments, intereft being at 3 per cent (a).

But I will not tire the reader, by going, in this manner, thro' the fchemes of all thefe focieties. The contrivers of them, it is certain, can know nothing of the principles on which the rule in Queft. VI, and the demontration of it in the Appendix is founded; and, therefore, if unwilling to be guided by the autho-
(a) The account here given of the terms on which a perfon whofe age is 40 , is admitted into thefe focieties, I have taken from their printed Tables as they flood at the end of the year 1770. - In the younger ages the deficiencies are greater.
rity of mathematicians, it may not be poffible to convince them of their miftakes. I will, however, offer to them the following demonftration, which will be underfood, without difficulty, by every one who knows how to compute (a) the increafe of money at compound interet.

The value of a life at 50, (interef being at 4 per cont.) is $1 \frac{1}{3}$ years purchafe by Table V1. For an annuity, therefore, of 4.4 . per ammin for life, to be enjoyed by a perfon at this age, $498 \%$ ought to be given. Troo in three of a number of perfons at the age of $\hat{j}^{2}$ will, (by Tables III, IV, and V,) live to 50; and therefore, in order to be able to pay an annuity to them of 44 l . for life, after 50 , the money now advanced by every three, ought to be fuch as will, in confequence of being laid up to be improved, increafe in 18 years to double $498 \%$ or to 996 l. - From the preceding Table it may be learnt, that the money which ought to be advanced by every fingle perfon is $165 \%$ or by three perfons $495 \%$ and this, in 18 years, will double itfelf, or increafe to juft the fum that will then be the value of the annuities to be paid. - But the money required in this cafe by the Laudable Society, is i4l. ins. 9d. from each member at admiffion, befides an amnual payment of $4 \%$ The admiffion-money, therefore, of two members, being 2gl. 3s. 6 d .
(a) The eafieft method of doing this, is taught in the rules annexed to the Tables in the Appendix.
may be increafed to twice this fum, or to 58\%.7s. An annual payment of $4 \%$. for 18 years will, if perfectly improved at 4 per cent. compound intereft, increale to $102 /$; and two fuch annual payments will increafe to $204 \%$.

The whole pay, therefore, of two members will produce at the end of 18 years 202l. 7s. - A third part, I have faid, will die without attaining to 50 , and thefe will live one with another 10 years. An annuity of $4 l$., for this time, will produce a capital of 48\%. and this capital improved for eighe years more will increafe to 66 l . The whole profit, therefore, from the member who will die is, his admiffion-money doubled and added to $66 \%$. or $95^{6} .3$ s. $6 d$. And this fum added to $262 \% .7$ s. makes $357 \%$. 10 s. $6 d$. the robole money with which the fociety can be provided, at the end of 18 years, to bear the expence of troolife-amities, worth together $996 \%$

By a fimilar computation it may be found, that the improvement of money at only 3 per cent. will fink the former fum to 329 l . at the fame time that the value of the ammuities will be raifed to $1100 \%$

The deficiencies in the fchemes of all the other focieties, except the Provident Society, are no lefs confiderable (a). - What confu-
(a) Some of there focieties teil us, that the payments on admiffion flall increafe, as the number of menthers increafes; and they have practifel on this rule juft as if
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fion then mult they produce fome time or other? How barbarous is it thus to draw mo-

## ney

the value of an annuity was nothing determinate in itfelf, but depended on the number of perfons who have been purchafers. But the true defign may perhaps be, to quicken the public in their applications.

Should any of thefe focieties, fenfible of their miftakes, ;efolve to reform themfelves, they ought to confider, that this cannot be done by only obliging future members to pay the juft values of the annuities promifed them. All the prefont members muft likewife, befides raifing their payments, make compenfation for what they have hitherto paid too little; and this compenfation is to be calcalated in the following manner. - "Find the whole " amount to the prefent time of the payments which have " been made. Subtract this from the whole amount of "s the payments which bould have been made; and the "remainder will be the compenfation required."

Example. In the Laudable Society of Anmuitants, the condition of a titlé to $44 \%$ per annum for life, after 50 , to $\because$ perfon at the age of 40 , was, 4 years ago, 34 l . 17 s . in adiniffion-money, befides an annual payment of $6!$. 14s. 'till he attained to 50 .-The adnifion-money will, (reckoning compound intereft at 3 per cent.) amount in four years to $39 \% 4$. and the annual payment to $28 \%$. The whole amount, therefore, of the payments of a member admitted 4 years ago, is $67 \% 4$ s. - But the value of the annuity was 37 . 4.s. in annual payments, befides $34 \%$. 17 s . in admifion-money; and thefe payments, during the 4 years, would have anounted to $195 \%$. The difference, therefore, between thefe two amounts, or $127 \%$. 16s. is the conipenfation which fuch member ought to pay; and if he continues a member without paying it, (beficles raifung his annual contribution to 37 l. $4^{\text {s. ) }}$ ) he muft either lofe his amnuity, or owe it to injuftice.

I have taken intereft here $2 t 3$ per cent. becaufe I think there focieties cannot reafonably depend on always improving the money they receive at a higher rate.

Since I writ the above, I have found, that the admif-fron-moncy required $b_{y}$ this fociety has lately received another
ney from the public by promifes of advantages that cannot be obtained? Have we not already fuffered too much by bubbles; and, if nothing elfe can check the frenzy that encourages them, ought not the legiflature to interpofe its authority?

I do not, however, mean to condemn all inftitutions of this kind. They may be very ufeful, if the full values are taken, and proper care is ufed in the improvement of money. Intereft, in thefe cafes, ought not to be reckoned higher than 3 per cent. and, fuppofing money improved at this rate, a perfon, for a fingle payment of $50 \%$. before he is 40 , might be entitled to a life-annuity of 10 guineas after 55 ; or, if he chufes it, to a life-annuity of 17\% after 60. But if he pays the fame fum before he is 34 , he might be entitled to a life annuity of $14 \%$ after 55 , or $22 l$. aftere 60. $25 \%$ might purchafe for him balf thefe annuities; and rool. double.

A fociety or office that would go on this plan, might do great fervice. Perfons in
another advance. At the age of 40 , in particular, it is advanced to Ic8l. 7 s. - when they have further either advanced the admifion-money to double this fum, or tripled the annual payments, they will be almoft right with refpeet to this particular age, provided the compenfatim-moncy, juis mentioned, has been paid.

Thefe focieties, tho' their plans are fo infuffient, may, after beginning their payments to anmuitants, continue them 15, or, perhaps, 20 years; but it will be by robbing all the younger members.

## 118 Of Scbemes for providing

the lower ftations of life might be brought to a habit of induftry, in the beginning of life, by ftriving to get $25 \%$. or $50 \%$. beforehand in order to purchafe fuch annuities, and thus to make provifions for themfelves in the more advanced parts of life, when they will be incapable of labour.

There are now eftablifhed in Holland fome inftitutions of this kind.-Any poor perfons there, I am informed, who can, before they attain to a particular age, lay up $50 \%$ may make ufe of it in buying for themfelves a tight to be admitted, when 50 , or at any time afterwards, to houfes prepared on purpofe, for providing them with all the conveniencies of lodging and board. This is an excellent inftitution; and I wifh there was fome imitation of it in this kingdom.

Confiderable profits would, in this, cafe be received, from the payments of fome who would chufe to delay going into fuch houfes; and of others who would grow rich enough to be above them.

It is proper to obferve here, that inftitutions of this kind would furnifh one of the fafef ways of providing for widows.-A married man might, by paying $100 \%$ before his wife attained to 40 , entitle her, after 55 , or 60 , to a life annuity of $21 \%$ or $34 \%$ Or, by paying the fame fum before the attained to $3 \div$, he might entitle her, after the fame ages,
to a life annuity of $28 \%$. or $44 \%$. (a) ; and in this cafe he would have a chance of haring himfelf in the benefit of the annuity.

I have called this the fafeft way of providing for widows, becaufe attended with none of the dangers arifing from difproportion of age between men and their wives, and from the admiffion of perfons labouring under concealed diftempers.

I cannot conclude this Section, without mentioning the following plan of a provifion for Old Age.

Let I 3 guineas be given as entrance-money; and let befides il. 2l. $3 l .4 l .8 x$. be given at the begimning of the $1 \mathrm{ft}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{th}$, \&cc. years, as the payments for thefe years refpectively; and let the laft payment be $16 \%$ at the beginning of the 16 th year. All thefe payments put together will, according to the probabilities of life in the $3 \mathrm{~d}, 4$ th and 5 th Tables, (intereft being at 4 per cent.) entitle a perfon, whofe age was 40 when he begun them, to an annuity, after 15 years, beginning with 15 l. and increafing at the rate of 1 1 . every year, 'till, at the end of 15 years more, or (b) when he has attained to 70 , it
(a) The fame payment before 30 , would entitle to an annuity of $22 l$. after 50 .
(b) According to the probabilities of life in the London Table, this annuity fhould be greater.-A Theorein for finding what the annuity ought to be in thefecafes, is given in the Appendix, Nore (I).
becomes a fanding annuity of 30 l . for the remainder of his life.

If the addition of three guineas is made ta the entrance-money, for every year that any life between 30 and 40 falls thort of 40 , the value will be obtained nearly, of the fame annuity to he enjoyed by that life, after the fame number of ycars, and increafing in the fame manner, 'till, in 30 years, it becomes flationary and double.-This plan is particularly inviting, as it makes the largefl payments becone due, when the near approach of the annuity renders the encouragement to them greateft ; and as, likewife, the annuity is to increafe concinually with age, till it comes to be highert (a), when life is mon in the decline,
(a) The lower part of mankind are objects of particular compafion, when rendered incapable, by accident, ficknefs, or age, of earning their fublifence. This has given rife to many very ufeful focieties among them, for granting relief to one another, ont of little funds fupplied by weckly contributions. A fociety of this kind, formed on the following plan, would probably thrive, and might, on fome accounts, be even more ufeful than the inftitutions in Holland, mentioned in p. 118.

Let the fociety, at its firft eftablifhment, confift of 100 perfons, all between 30 and 40 ; and whofe mean age may therefore be reckoned 36 ; and let it be fuppofed to be always kept up to this number, by the admifion of new members, between the ages of 30 and 40 , as old members die off. Let the contribution of each member be four-pence per week, making, from the whole body, an annual contribution of $85 \%$. 17 s. - Let it be further fuppofed, that feven of them will fall every year into diforders, that fhall incapacitate them for feyen weeks.-
cline, and when therefore it will be moft ufeful. - It is further a recommendation of this plan, that lefs depends in it on the improvement of money than in moft other plans. - But I muft leave thefe hints to be purfued by others.

## S E C T. V.

Of the Amicable Society for a perpetzal Aflue rance Office: And the Society for equitable AJurances on Lives and Survivorflips.

THE soth Problem has been given, with a particular view to the corporation of the Amicable Society, for a perpetual Affu-

30\%. 12 s. of the annual contribution will be juft fufficient, to enable the fociety to grant to each of thefe 12 s . per week, during their illneffes. And the remaining $55 \%$ per arinum, laid up and carefully improved, at $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. will increafe to a capital that fhall be fufficient, according to the chances of life in Tables III, IV, and V, to enable the fociety to pay to every member, after attaining to 67 years of age, or upon entering his 68 th year, an annuity, beginning with $5 l$. and increafing at the rate of $1 l$. every year for feven years, 'till, at the age of 75 , it canse to be a ftanding annuity of $12 \%$. for the remainder of life.

Were fuch a fociety to make its contribution feveripence per week, an allowance of 15 s. might be made, on the fame fuppofitions, to every member during ficknefs; befides the payment of an annuity beginning with $5 \%$. when a member entered his 64 th year, and increafing for 15 years, 'till, at 79 , it became fixed for the remainder of life at 201.

If the probabilities of life are lower among the labourins poor, than among the generality of mankind, this plan will be fo much the more fure of fucceeding.
rance-ofice on fingle lives, kept in Serjeant'sInn. This fociety was eftablifhed in 1705, and is the only one I am acquainted with, which has ftood any confiderable trial from time and experience. The annual payment of each member ufed to be $6 \% .4 \mathrm{~s}$. payable quarterly; but it has been lately reduced to $5 \%$. The whole annual income, hence arifing, is equally divided among the nominees, or heirs of fuch members as die every year; and this renders the dividends among the nominees in different years, more or lefs, according to the number of members who have happened to die in thofe years. But the fociety now engages, that the dividends fhall not be lefs than $150 \%$ to each claimant, tho' they may be more. - None are admitted whofe ages are greater than 45 , or lefs than 12 ; nor is there any difference of contribution allowed on account of difference of age.

This fociety has, I doubt not, been very ufeful to the public; and its plan is fuch, that it cannot well fail to continue to be fo. It might, however, certainly have been much more uleful, had it gone from the firft on a different plan. It is obvious, that regulating the dividends among the nominces by the number of members who die every year, is not equitable; becaufe it makes the benefit which a member is to receive to depend, not on the value of his contribution, but on a contingency; that is, the number of members that ihall happen to die the fame
year with him. This regulation muft alfo have been difadvantageous to the fociety; as will appear from the following account of the natural progrefs of the affairs of fuch a fociety, when eftablifhed on a right plan.

Suppofe a thoufand perfons, whofe common age is 36 , to form themfelves into a fociety for the purpofe of affuring a particular fum at their deaths, to fuch perfons as they fhall name, in corifideration of a particular annual-contribution to be continued during their lives. Suppofe the annual contribution to be $5 l$. and the firft payment (a) to be made immediately. Suppofe, likewife, the original number of the fociety to be conftantly kept up by the admifion of new members, at 36 years of age, in the room of fuch as die. -In Queft. X. p. 33, it appears, that an annual payment, beginning immediately, of 5 l. during a life at the age of 36 , fhould entitle, at the failure of fuch a life, to $172 l$. reckoning intereft at 4 per cent. and taking Mr. De Moivre's valuation of lives.-A thoufand perfons, all 36 years of age, will die off at the rate of 20 every year. The difburfements, therefore, of fuch a fociety will be, the firft year, 2,0 times $172 \%$. or $3,440 \%$ and its income will be $5000 l$. It will, therefore, at the end of the year, have a furplus
(a) Such payments, it has been fhewn, Queft. VIII. p. 28, are better than any balf yearly or quarterly payments, ąd at the fame time they fave fome trouble. quence of the yearly acceffions to fupply vacancies, the number dying annually will be always increafing after the firt year. In 50 years it will attain to a maximum; and then, the affairs of the fociety will become fationary, and the number dying annually will be 40 , and its annual expence will be $6,880 \%$. exceeding the annual contribution 1,880 l. But, in the mean time, by, improving its furplus moneys, it will have raifed a capital equal to this excefs, and, confequently, its affairs will be fixed on a firm batis for all fubfequent times.

Suppofe now, that fuch a fociety, at its eftablifhment, fhould refolve to divide its whole yearly income among the nominees of deceafed members. The effect of this would be, that no capital could be rafed; that the dividends payable to nominees would diminifh continually, till, at the time that the greateft number of members came to die annually, or at the end of 50 ycars, they would be reduced to half; and all claimants, after this period, receive too little, becaufe the firft claimants had received too much (a).
(a) The reverfe of this will take place, if fuch a fociety begins with admitting all at all ages, and afterwards changes its plan, and limits the age of admifion. In this cafe, the number of yearly deaths will be greatef at firft, and the dividends fmalleft. In confequence of altering its plan, the yearly deaths will leffen gradually, and the dividends rife; but in time bath would return arain to their original ftate.

At the time of the inflitution of the Amicable Corporation, the intereft of money was at 6 per cent. and, as they admit all between 12 and 45, the mean age of admiffion cannot probably be fo great as 36 . It appears, therefore, that had they avoided the error now mentioned, and gone from the firft on the plan I have defcribed; they might have all along paid to each nominee $172 \%$. befides raifing a capital much greater, in proportion to the number of members, than that I have fpecified; by the help of the excefs of their annual payments above $5 l$. and fome other advantages which they have enjoyed (a). Indeed, I cannot doubt but that, with thefe advantages, they might, before this time, have found themfelves able to pay at leaft $200 \%$. to each nominee; and at the fame time

The following facts incline me to fufpect, that this remark may be applicable to the Amicable Corporation.

Firf. In their original sbarter, as it is given in their printed abftracts, there is no limitation of age mentioned; but 31 years afterwards, I find a bye-law made againft admitting any perfon who fhould be above the age of 45, or under 12. -Secondly. In their printed advertifements in 1770, it is faid, that in 59 years they had paid, among 3643 claimants, $378,184 \%$ from whence it follows, that tho the average of their dividends, for the laft 17 years, has been $154 \%$ the fame avesage, for 59 years, is only 104 !.
(a) A furplus from a thoufand members of only five finillings per annum, duly improved, at 4 per cent. would, in 41 years, produce a capital of $25,000 \%$
reftricted themfelves, as they now do, to ant annual payment of $5 l$. (a).

I have already mentioned one inftance in which the plan of this fociety is not equitable. Another inftance of this is, their requiring the fame payments from all perfons under 45, without regarding the differences of their ages; whereas, the annual payments of a perfon admitted at 45 , ought to be double the annual payment of a perfor admitted at 12 .

Further. The plan of this fociety is fo narrow, as to confine jts ufefulnefs too much. It can be of no fervice to any perfon whofe age exceeds 45 . It is, likewife, far from being properly adapted to the circumftances of perfons, who want to make affurances on theif lives, for only fhort terms of years. - Thus; the true value of the affurance of $150 \%$. for 10 years, on the life of a perfon whofe age is 30 , is, by Queft. XIV, (intereft being at 3 per cent.) $2 \% 13 \mathrm{~s}$. in annual payments for 10 years, to begin at the end of the firfe year ; and fubject to failure when the life fails. But fuch an affurance could not be made, in this fociety, without an annual payment of 5 l. Neither is the plan of this fociety at all
(a) It fhould be remembered, that all this is faid on the fuppofition, that proper care has been taken to keep out unhealthy perfons; and that the probabilities of life among the members of this fociety, are the fame with thofe in the $3 \mathrm{~d}, 4$ th, and 5 th Tables, in the Appendix.
adapted to the circumftances of perfons, who want to make affurances on particular furvivorflips. - For example. A perfon poffefled of an eftate, or falary, which muft be loft with his life, has a perfon dependent upon him, for whom he defires to fecure a fum of money, payable at his death. But, he defires this only as a provifion againft the danger of his dying firft, and leaving a wife, or a parent, without fupport. In thefe circumftances, he enters himfelf into this fociety; and by an annual payment of $5 \%$. entitles his nominee to 150 l. In a few years, perhaps, his nomince happens to die; and, having then loft the benefit he had in view, he determines to forfeit his former payments, and to withdraw from the fociety. In this way, probably, this fociety muft have gained fome advantages. But the right method would have been, to have taken from fuch a perfon the true value of the fum affured, " on the fuppofition of non-payment, pro"vided he fould furvive." In this way he would have chofen to contract with the fociety; and had he done this, he would have paid for the aflurance, (fuppofing intereft at 3 per cent. his age 30 , the age of his nominee 30 , and the probabilities of life as in the $3^{\mathrm{d}}, 4 \mathrm{th}$, and $5^{\text {th }}$ Tables) $3 l .8$ s. (a) in annual payments, to begin immediately, and
(a) The value of $150 l$. payable at the death of a perfon, aged 30 , prozided he furvives another perfon of the fame
to be continued during the joint contimance of his own life, and the life of his nominee.

All thefe objections are removed by the plan of the fociety kept in Nicholas-Lane, Lombard-Street, which has jufly ftiled itfelf the Society for Equitable Afurances on Lives and Survivor/bips. This Society, if due care is taken, may prove a very great public be-nefit. It was founded, in confequence of propofals which had been made, and lectures, recommending fuch a defign, which had been read by Mr. Dodfon, the author of the Mathematical Repofitory. It affures any fums; or reverfionary annuities, on any lives, for any number of years, as well as for the whole continuance of the lives, at rates fettled by particular calculation, and in any mamer that may be beft adapted to the views of the perfons affured. That is; either by making. the affured fums payable certainly at the failure of any given lives; or on condition of furvivorhip; and alfo, either by taking the price of the affurance in one prefent payment; or in annual payments, during any fingle or
fame age, is, by Quef. XI. Chap. I. l. 45.65 ; and this value divided by 33.43 , (the value increafed by unity, of two joint lives both 30 ) gives 1.3 .4 , or 31.8 s . The value of the fame reverfion, according to the probabilities of life in London, is, l.49:19, in one payment; and 4.16 , in annual payments, during the joint lives, the firf payment to be made immediately.
joint lives, or any terms lefs than the whole continuance of the lives.-In fhort ; the plan of this fociety is fo extenfive, and fo important, that I cannot fatisfy my own mind, without offering to the gentlemen concerned in the direction of it, the following obfervations, hoping they will not think them impertinent or improper.

Firft. They fhould confider what diftrefs would arife from the failure of fuch a fcheme in any future time; and what dangers there are, which ought to be carefully guarded againft in order to fecure fuccefs. I have already more than once oblerved, that thofe perfons will be moft for flying to thefe eftablifhments, who have feeble conftitutions, or are fubject to diftempers, which they know render their lives particularly precarious; and it is to be feared, that no caution will be fufficient to prevent all danger from hence.

Again. In matters of chance, it is impoffible to fay, that an unfavourable run of events will not come, which may hurt the beft contrived fcheme. The calculations only determine probabilities; and, agreeably to thefe, it may be depended on, that events will happen on the whole. But at particular periods, and in particular infances, great deviations will often happen; and thefe deviations, at the commencement of a fcheme, muft prove either very favourable, or very unfavourable.

K
But

But further. The calculations fuppore, that all the monies received are put out immediately to accumulate at compound intereft. They make no allowance for loffes, or for any of the expences attending management. On thefe accounts, the payments to a fociety of this kind, ought to be fomewhat more than the calculations will warrant. The intereft of money ought to be reckoned low; and fuch Tables of Obfervations ufed as give the higheft values. Mr. Dodfon, I find, has paid due attention to all this, by reckoning intereft, in his calculations for this fociety, at 3 per cent. and taking the loweft of all the known probabilities of life, or thofe deduced from the London bills of mortality (a). There is, befides, a liberty provided of making a call on all the members, in cafe of any particular emergency. It is, therefore, highly probable, that this fociety is fecure. The laft expedient, however, would be a very difagreeable one, hould there be ever any oc-
(a) It ought, however, to be remembered here, that in, felling life-annuities to commence either immediately, or after given terms; and alfo in fome other cafes, the values come out lcfs in confequence of lower probabilities of life. Wculd it, in fuch inftances, be taking an unfair advantage, to eftimate the values by the $3^{\mathrm{d}}$, $4^{\text {th }}$, or $5^{\text {th }}$ Table in the Appendix, rather than the London Table? Thus; was the fociety to fell 201. per annum, for life, to a perfon now 30 , after attaining to 50 , the value, according to Dr. Halley's 'Table, would, reckoning intereft at 3 per cent. be 901 . in a fingle payment; but, according to the London Table, the value would be only 70 k.
cafion for having recourfe to it; and, in order to guard ftill more effectually againft danger, it would not, I think, be amifs to charge a profit of 3 or 4 per cent. on all the payments. -Should the confequence of this prove, that in fome future period the fociety fhali find itfelf poffeffed of too large a capital, the harm will be trifling, and future members will reap the advantage. But this leads me to repeat an obfervation of particular confequence.

As this fociety is guided in every inftance, by ftrict calculation, it is not to be expected that it can meet with any dificulties for many years; becaufe, not till the end of many years after it has acquired its maximums of members, will the maximum of yearly claimants and annuitants come upon it? Should it, therefore, thro' inattention to this remark, and the encouragement arifing from the poffeffion of a large furplus, be led to check or ftop the increafe of its fock by enlarging its dividends too foon, the confequences might prove pernicious.

Again; I would obferve, that it is of great importance to the fafety of fuch a fociety, that its affairs fhould be under the infpection of able mathematicians. Melancholy experience fhews, that none but mathematicians are qualified for forming and conducting fchemes of this kind.-In fhort; dangerous miftakes may fometimes be committed if the
affairs of fuch a fociety are not managed carefully and prudently. One inftance of this I cannot avoid mentioning.

A perfon, who defires to affure a particular fum, to be paid at the failure of his life, on condition of the furvivorhlip of another life, may chufe to pay the value in annual contributions during the continuance of his own fingle life, rather than during the continuance of the joint lives, becaufe the annual contributions, in this cafe, ought to be much lefs. But a fociety that would practife fuch a method of aflurance would hurt itfelf; for, as foon as the life, on whofe furvivorhip the affurance depends, is extinct, the perfon affured, if then living, would have no longer any benefit in view ; and, therefore, would make his payments with reluctance, and, in time, perhaps, entirely withdraw them; the confequence of which would be, that the fociety would fuffer a lofs by being deprived of the juft value of the expectation it had granted. The plan of a fociety ought always to be fuch, as that the loffes arifing from difcontinuance of payment, thould fall on the purchafer, and never on the fociety.

I muft not forget to add, that it is neceffary, that fuch a fociety fhould be furnifhed with as complete a fet of Tables as poflible. This will render the bufinefs of the fociety much more eafy, and alfo much more capable of being conducted by perfons unfkilled
in mathematics. It will alfo contribute much to its fafety. For in all cafes to which Tables can be extended, there would be no occafion for, employing any calculators; and, confequently, a danger would be prevented to which, tho' it is not now, it may bereafter be expofed ; I mean, the danger of happening to truft unfkilful, or carelefs calcula-tors.-Mr. Dodfon, I find, has furnifhed this fociety with fome important Tables; and his fkill was fuch, that there is no reafon to doubt, but they may be depended on. They have alfo others which, I believe, are fafe and accurate. But there are fome ftill wanting which fhould be fupplied; and all fhould be fubjected to the examination of the bert judges, and afterwards publifhed; together with a minute account of the principles affumed; and the method taken in compofing them. Such a publication would be a valuable addition to this part of fcience ; and it would alfo be the means of increafing and eftablifhing the credit of the fociety.

In Queftions 4th, 6th, 10 th, IIth, 14th, $15^{\text {th }}$, and 16 th, 1 have, with a particular view to this fociety, given rules, by which may be formed every Table it can want, for fiewing the values of affurances on the robole duration, or any ierns, of any one or two lives, in all poffible cafes; and nothing but care and attention can be neceflary to enable any good arithmetician to calculate from them. K 3

Perhaps,

I 34 Of the Society for, \&c.
Perhaps, this may be as much bufiners as any one fociety fhould undertake. Rules, however, for finding the values of affurances, in moft cafes, where the whole duration of any three lives is concerned, may be found in Mr. Simpfon's Select Exercifes, from pag. 299 to p. 307 ; and it is not poffible they fhould follow a better guide.
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## C H A P. III.

## Of Public Credit, and the National Debт.

THE National Debt is a fubject in which the public is deeply interefted. Some obfervations have occurred to me upon it, which I think important ; and for this reafon, though foreign to my chief purpofe in this work, I cannot help here begging leave to offer them to the reader's attention.

The practice of raifing the neceffary fupplies for every public fervice, by borrowing money on intereft, to be continued 'till the principal is difcharged, muft be in the higheft degree detrimental to a kingdom, unlefs a plan is fettled, for putting its debts into a regular and certain courfe of payment. When this is not done, a kingdom, by fuch a practice, obliges itfelf to return for every fum it borrows infinitely greater fums; and, for the fake of a prefent advantage, fubjects itfelf to a burden which muft be always growing heavier and heavier, 'till it becomes infupportable.

This feems to be now the very fate of this nation. At the Revolution, an æra $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ in
in other refpects truly glorious, the practice I have mentioned begun. Ever fince, the public debt has been increafing faft, and every new war has added much more to it, than was taken from it, during the preceding period of peace. In the year 1700, it was 16 millions. In 1715 , it was 55 millions. A peace, which continued 'till 1740 , funk it to 47 millions; but the fucceeding war increafed it to 78 millions; and the next peace funk it no lower than 72 millions. In the laft war it rofe to 148 millions; and, at a few millions lefs than this fum it now ftands, and probably will ftand, 'till another war raifes it perhaps to 200 mil-lions.-One cannot reflect on this without terror.-No refources can be fufficient to fupport a kingdom long in fuch a courfe. 'Tis obvious, that the confequence of accumulating debts fo rapidly; and of mortgaging pofterity, and funding for eternity, in order to pay the interef of them; muft in the end prove deftructive. Rather than go on in this way, it is abfolutely neceffary, that no money fhould be borrowed, except on annuities, which are to terminate within a given period. Were this practifed, there would be a limit beyond which the national debts could not increafe; and time would do that seceffarily for the public, which, if trufted to the oeconomy of the conductors of its affairs? might poffibly never be done.

This ${ }_{3}$

This, therefore, is one of the propofals to which, on this occafion, I wifh I could engage attention. - I am fenfible, indeed, that the prefent burdens of the ftate would, in this cafe, be increafed, in confequence of the greater prefent intereft, that would be neceffary to be given for money. But I do not confider this as an objection of any weight. For let the annuity be an annuity for a 100 years. Such an annuity is, to the prefent views of men, nearly the fame with an annuity for ever; and it is alfo nearly the fame in calculation, its value at 4 per cent. being $24 \frac{1}{2}$ years purchafe, and therefore only half a year's purchafe lefs than the value of a perpetuity. Suppofing, therefore, the public able to borrow money at 4 per cent. on annuities for ever, it ought not to give above is. 7 d . per cent. more for money borrowed on annuities for 100 years: But thould it be obliged to give a quarter, or even an balfper cent. more (a), the additional burdens derived from hence,
(a) Thefe annuities might be kept 18 years without being much diminifhed in value; for, fuppofing intereft at 4 per cent. an annuity for 82 years, is within a 49 th part, or $2 l$. in $98 \%$. worth as much as an annuity for a 100 years.

Perhaps, in this way of raifing money, it might be beft to offer a higher intereft at firft, which fhould fall to a lower, at the end of given intervals. Thus, tho' $4 \frac{1}{2}$ for 100 years is equal in value to 5 per cent. for 17 years, and after that 4 per cent. for 83 years, yet the latter might appear more inviting.
would not be fuch as could be very fenfibly felt; and the advantages, arifing from the neceffary annihilation of the public debts by time, would abundantly overbalance them.

Thefe advantages would be, indeed, unfpeakably great. By fuch a method of raifing money, the expence of one war would, in time, come to be always difcharged, before a new war commenced; and it would be impoffible, that a ftate fhould ever have upon it, at any one time, the expence of many wars; or any larger debts than could be contracted, within the limited period of the annuities: and, confequently, it would enjoy the invaluable privilege of being rendered, in fome degree, independent of the management of its finances by ignorant or unfaithful fervants.

I mult add, that it is by no means neceffary, that the limited period of the annuities fhould be fo long as I have mentioned, or 100 years: And that, at any time before the expiration of this period, the public might employ any furplus monies, in extinguifhing part of the annuities, by purchafing them for Itfelf at the market price; and thus it might aid the operations of time, and keep its debts within any bounds, that its intereft rendered neceflary.-Our government has, I know, in fome inftances adopted the plan now propofed; but it is to be wifhed that, inftead of retracting
retracting (a) it, as was once done, it had been carried much further.

I am, however, far from intending to recommend this plan as the beft a ftate can purfue. There is another method of gaining the fame end, which is, on many accounts, preferable to it. I mean, " by providing an " annual faving, to be applied invariably, " together with the intereft of all the fums " redeemed by it, to the purpofe of difcharg" ing the public debts: Or, in other words, " by the eftablifhment of a permanent sink" ing fund."
It is well known, that this plan has been alfo adopted by our government ; but, tho' capable of producing the greateft effects in the eafieft and fureft manner, it has never been carried into execution. It will abundantly appear from what follows that this obfervation is juft.

Suppofe the annual faving to be $100,000 l$. This fum, applied now to difcharge an equal debt, bearing intereft at 4 per cent. will tranffer to the public, from its creditors, an annuity of $4,000 \mathrm{l}$. At the end of a year, then, there would be a faving of $104,000 \mathrm{l}$. which would transfer to the public another annuity of $4,160 \mathrm{l}$. and make the faving, at
(a) In the year 1720 , the nation was put to the expence of three millions, in order to reduce feveral long and fhort annuities then fubfifting, to redeemable perpefuities.
the end of two years, to be $108,160 \%$ Thus, the original fund would go on increafing, at the fame rate with money improved at 4 per cent. compound intereft. - At the end of three years it would be $112,486 \%$. At the end of 18 years, 202,587 . Of 36 years, $410,393 \%$ and of 95 years (a), $4,151,138 \%$. At the end of 93 years, then, the nation might be eafed of above 4 millions per annum in taxes; and above 100 millions of its debts would be difcharged, gradually and infenfibly, at no greater expence than $100,000 \%$. per annum; and, without interfering with any of the refources of government ; or making any other difference, than caufing funds to be engaged for a courfe of time to the public, that would have been otherwife neceffarily engaged to its creditors, and which, therefore, muft have been entirely ufelefs to it.

It is an obfervation that deferves particular attention here, that, on this plan, it is of little importance what intereft a ftate is obliged to give for money: For the higher the intereft, the fooner will fuch a fund pay off the principal. Thus; a 100 millions borrowed at 8 per cent. and bearing an annual intereft of eight millions, would be paid off by a fund, producing annually $100,000 \%$ in 56 years; that is, in 39 years lefs time, than if the fame money had been borrowed at 4 per cent.
(a) See the Queftions annexed to the Tables in the Appendix.

It follows from hence, that reductions of intereft would, on this plan, be no great advantage to a ftate. They would, indeed, lighten its prefent burdens; but this advantage would be balanced, by the addition that would be made to its future burdens, in confequence of the longer time, during which it would be neceffary to bear them.-I mean this on the fuppofition, that the favings produced by reductions of intereft, are immediately applied to the relief of the ftate, by annihilating taxes equivalent to them. But if this is not the cale; and if, likewife, there is either no plan eftablifhed for putting the public debts into a certain courfe of payment, or it is not faithfully carried into execution; in thefe circumftances, reductions of intereft may prove hurtful. For, firf, They would only furnih with more money for fupplying the deficiencies arifing from bad management. And, fecondly, As, in fuch circumftances, they would only retard, and not prevent the increafe of the burdens occafioned by the public debts, a period would come when the affairs of the ftate would get to a crijs; and, at fuch a period, its danger would be increafed, in proportion to the reductions of intereft that had been made.

In order to underfand this; let us fuppofe, that a debt, bearing an annual intereft of five millions, is the whole debt, which a ftate can bear without being fo much oppreft as
to be near finking. Let it, however, be fixp. pofed to have ftill fome laft refources left, which may enable it to bear, for 23 years to come, this load, together with every additional load, which, during this time, may be neceffary to be thrown upon it.-Let it further be fuppofed, that at this time, the ftate, urged by the fear of an approaching bankruptcy, refolves upon entering into fome effectual meafures for preferving itfelf. - Certain it is, that in this cafe, no meafure fo effectual can be purfued, as the eftablifhment of a finking fund, and fuch a faithful application of it as I have explained. Let this then be the meafure entered upon; and let the Atate be fuppofed capable of providing a fund, producing a million annually. If all the debts bear intereft at 6 per cent. this fund would pay off three fifths of them, within the time Thave mentioned; or, in 23 years; and the ffate might be faved. But if, in confequence of reductions, they bear intereft at no more than 3 per cent. the fame fund would not give the fame relief, in lefs than double that time; and, therefore, a bankruptcy might prove unavoidable (a).
(a) In fome other kingdoms a fpunge might be applied in fuch circumftances, or the funds reduced one half by an act of defpotifm, without occafioning any convulfons; but this is not poffible in this free country:; and, it is to be hoped, never will be poffible.

I wifh I could think, that there is nothing in this reprefentation, that can be applied to the prefent ftate of this nation. The intereft of the public debts has been reduced, at different periods, from 6 to 5 , and from 5 to 4 , and 3 per cent.; but ftill they have grown with rapidity; and we now fee ourfelves overloaded, and in no way of gaining relief. Had there been no reductions of intereft, we fhould, indeed, have been in the fame condition fooner; but, we might have been relieved alfo fooner, and with lefs difficulty and danger.

What I have now faid implies, that a fate always difcharges its debts, whatever intereft they bear, by paying the original fum borrowed. It may, perhaps, be imagined, that when a loan is under par, it may be difcharged at a lefs expence. But this is by no means fo practicable as it may feem; for it fhould be confidered, that a public loan, now under par, would not long keep fo, after being put into a courfe of payment: And, for this reafon, as a ftate can never be obliged, in redeeming its debts, to pay more than the original fum borrowed, fo neither ought it to expect, in general, to be able to redeem them by paying lefs. I have faid, in general; for I am fenfible, that at the beginning of the operations of a fund, when its produce is fmall; and alfo, in a time of war, a ftate might derive great advantages from the low price
price of its debts. And I am fenfible alfo, that confiderable advantages might be derived from lotteries (a), in paying the public debts: But lotteries do great mifchief in a fate, by foftering the deftructive fpirit of gaming. It is wretched policy to make them familiar, by recurring to them in the ordinary courfe of government. There are great occafions on which they may be neceffary, and for fuch occafions they fhould be referved.

But to return to the fubject I have principally in view.

The advantages of putting the public debts into fuch a courfe of payment, as I have defcribed, are fearcely to be imagined. It would give a vigour to public credit, which would enable a ftate always to borrow money eafily, and on the beft terms. And the encouragement to lenders might be always improved, without any inconvenience, by making every loan irredeemable, during the firt 20 or 30 years; for, there could feldom be any occafion, for beginning to difcharge any one loan fooner.

It might be eafily fhewn, that the faithful application, from the beginning of the year
(a) Thus; $800,000 \mathrm{l}$. of the 3 per cents. at 87 ; or $1,000,000$, at 70 , might be redeemed with half a million of money, confifting of 50,000 lottery tickets at $10 \%$ each, real value; but capable of being fold at 14 l. , as was done in fome of the laft lotteries.

1700, of cnly 200,000 \% annually, would long before this time, notwithftanding the reductions of intereft, and every wafe that has been made of the public money, have caufed above half the public funds to revert to the public, and paid off above 80 millions of its debts. The nation might therefore, fome years ago, have been eafed of the greateft part of the taxes with which it is loaded. The mof important relief might have been given to its trade and manufactures; and it might now have been in much better circumftances, than at the beginning of the laft war; its credit firm; refpected by foreign nations; dreaded by its enemies; and ready to punifh any infult that could be offered to it. The near view, likewife, of fuch a period, during the courfe of the laft war, would have given higher fpirits to the nation, and encouraged it to bear the expence occafioned by the war with more chearfulnefs, and to continue it with vigour for two or three years longer; the confequence of which would, probably, have been, gaining a full indemnification from our enemies, and weakening them to fuch a degree, as would have given us effectual fecurity againft them for many years to come. - A new account might alfo now have been begun ; and another fund, not much more confiderable, applied in the fame way, would, in 60 or 70 years more, have paid, not only all that would L have
have been now unpaid, but alfo, probably, a great proportion of fuch further debts as muft be contracted within this time (a). And thus, withouit any expence that could be fenfibly felt, its debts, as foon as they began to grow heavy, might have been conftantly reduced to a balf, or a third; and not only all danger, but all confiderable inconvenience from them prevented.

All I have now faid, fuppofes a fingle fund with a general appropriation to the paymene of the public debts. The farrie ends might be anfwered by particular funds, with fmall furplufies, appropriated to particular debts. In the wars of King Willicm and Queen Anne, 6 per cent. intereft was given for all loans. It would have been eafy to have annexed to each loan a fiund producing a furplus of $1 l$. per cent. after paying the intereft; and fuch a furplus would have been fufficient to annihilate the principal of every loan in 33 years. Had this plan been followed, the difengagement of the public funds, and the relief attending it, would have begun 50 years ago; and the debts contracted, during the reigns
(a) One of the propereft objects of taxation in a flate is celivacy. I doubt not, but that by a fund fupplied only from hence, the end I have in view might have been cafily accomplifhed; and, confequently, the very means of paying off the debts of the nation, rendered at the fame time the means of increafing its chief ftrength, by promoting population in it.
of King Willian and Queen Anne, would have been all cancelled near 20 years ago, without any of that trouble, tumult and diftrefs, which have been occafioned by reductions of intereft, and by the various fchemes which have been tried for leffening the debts (a). - A fund, yielding $1 \%$ per cent. furplus, annexed to a loan at 5 per cent., would difcharge the principal in 37 years (b). At 4 per cent., in 4 I years. At 3 per cent., in 47 years.

Thefe obfervations relate only to what might have been the fate of the nation with relpect to its debts, had a right plan been purfued from the firf. But it will be afked, What can be done with them as they are?I wifh I was able to give a more fatisfactory anfwer to this enquiry. Every one muft fee our profpect to be difcouraging, and our fate hazardous. Some have thought, that a good
(a) The fums to be laid out would, in this cafe, be fo fmall at firft, that it would be proper to employ them in purchafing part of the loan to be annihilated at the prices in the public market; and this, as far as it can be carried, is the mot? eafy and quiet and filent way poffible of extinguifhing the public debts.
(b) I have all along fuppofed the produce of the public funds to come in yearly. The truth is, that it comes in balf-yearly; but this gives no advantage in the payment of the public debts worth taking into account. Il. per annum, together with its growing intereft, at 4 per cent. taken yearly out of $100 l$. will reduce it to nothing in 41 years; if taken balf-yearly, it will annihilate the fame capital only four months and 12 days fooner. See the queftions annexed to the 'Tables in the Appendix.
method might be found out of difcharging the national debt, by life annuities. The following obfervations will thew how vain an imagination this is.

Let us fuppofe, that $33,333,000 \%$ is to be paid off, by offering to the public creditors life-annuities, in licu of their 3 per cents. A life at 60 , fuppofing intereft at $3 \frac{\text { h }}{\frac{1}{2}}$ per cent., and the probabilities of life as in the Breflaze, Norwich, and Northampton Tables of Obfervation, is worth II years purchafe. A life at 30 is worth $6 \frac{1}{2}$ years purchafe. Certainly, therefore, no fcheme of this kind would be fufficiently inviting, which did not offer 8 per cent. at an average, to all fubfcribers. Let us, however, fuppofe, that no more than $7 \frac{1}{2}$ is given; and that there are 33333 fubferibers, at rocol. ftock each, for which a life-annuity is to be granted of $75 \%$. or, for the whole fock fubferibed, two millions and a half. A million and a half extraordinary, therefore, muft be provided towards paying thefe ammities.

Let us further fuppofe, that the fubferibers are perfons between the ages of 30 and 6o; and that the numbers of them, at all the intermediate ages, are in the fame proportions to one another, with the proportions of the living at thefe ages, as they exift in the world, or, as they are given in Tubles of Obfervation. Let us again fuppofe, that as thefe amuitants die off, they are immediately
replaced by others, who are continually offering themfelves at the fame ages, and in the fame proportional numbers at thefe ages, with thofe of the original fubfribers at the time they fubferibed; in confequence of which, the whole number of annuitants will be kept always the fame. In thefe circumftances, it will be 30 years, at leaft, before a number will die off, (a) equal to the whole number; that is, before 33 millions of debts will be annibilated. But had the extraordinary million and half provided for paying thefe annuities, been employed during this time, in paying off fo much of the debt at par every year, extinguifhing at the fame time every year an equivalent tax, 45 millions would have been paid. But had the favings, alfo, inftead of being funk as they arofe, been employed in the fame manner, 7 I millions would have been paid.

The nation, therefore, muft, without doubt, lofe greatly by all fchemes of this kind; and yet they have been often much talked of; and, indeed, I fhall not wonder, fhould I hereafter fee an attempt made to pay of: the national debt in this way.

I muft beg leave to detain the reader here fome time longer. A more particular cxplanation of this fubject, will lead to fome
(a) A dermonftration of this will be given in the Appendix, note ( $K$ ).

$$
\mathrm{L}_{3} \quad \text { obfer- }
$$

obfervations on the beft methods of raifing money which, I think, deferve to be carefully confidered.

When any fum is faid to be the value of a life-annuity, the meaning is, that, in confequence of being improved at intereft, and allowing for the chances of mortality, it will bear the whole expence of the annuity. If, therefore, inftead of being laid up for improvement, it is either immediately applied to particular ufes, or has been long fince fpent; there will be a lofs, equal to the fum which would have been added to the purchafe-money, had it been improved.-This is the reafon of the lofs which, I have fhewn, the public would fuffer by offering life-annuities, in lieu of fock, in order to extinguifh its debts. And for the fame reafon, it muft always lofe confiderably by raijug money on life-annuities.

Suppofe a million raifed by annuities on a Set of lives, all at 30 years of age. Perions at this age have, (according to Tables III, IV, and $V$,) an expectation of 23 years. That is; the duration of their lives, taking them one with another, will be 28 years; (fee the beginning of the firf Effay) and they will be entitled, fuppofing intereft at 4 per cent. to 7 l. per amum, for every $100 \%$ advanced. For a million then, the public would make 28 payments of 70,0001 .- Let us fuppofe next, that a fund
a fund producing this fum annually, inftead of being engaged to pay thefe life-annuities, is engaged for 28 years, to pay the principal and intereft of a million, borrowed on redeemable perpetuities, at 4 percent. There will, at the end of the firft year, be a furplus of $30,000 \%$. In confequence of applying this to the extinction of the principal, it will be reduced to $970,000 \%$. on which, at the end of the fecond year, the intereft due will be $38,800 \%$. There will, therefore, be a faving of $1200 \%$. Inftead of employing this faving in further finking the principal, which would caufe the fund to accumulate in the fame manner with money at compound intereft, let it be taken and employed in any other way: And let the fame be done with all the fubfequent favings, referving only $30,000 \mathrm{l}$. annually, for the purpofe of finking the principal. At the end of the fecond year, the principal will be $940,000 /$. and the faving of intereft upon it, at the end of the third year, 2400 l . At the end of the 28th year, the principal will be reduced to $160,000 \%$. The faving of intereft that year will be, $1200 \%$. multiplied by 27 , or 32,400 ; and the fum of all the favings will be $453,600 \%$. Deduct from hence $160,000 l$. remaining then undifcharged of the principal; and $293,600 \%$ will be the lofs the public would fuitain, in the circumftances I have fuppofed, by raifing money on life-annuities. But if we fuppoie the favings, as they arife, as well as the con-
ftant fum of $30,000 \mathrm{l}$. to be applied to the difcharge of the principal, inftead of being fpent on current fervices; the whole million will be annihilated in 21 years and half; and the lofs to the public by life-annuities, will be $6 \frac{1}{2}$ years purchafe of the annuities; or 455,000 . - By fimilar deductions it may be eafily found, that the lofs, in younger lives, is greater; in older lives lefs; but never inconfiderable, except in the oldeft lives.

It appears, therefore, that, in confequence of fuch a way of raifing money, the public muft always pay much more in intereft than there is any occafion for; and reafle a fum nearly equal to half the principal borrowed (a).
(a) It is obvious, that the obfervations here made, may be applied to the common methods of raifing money, on life-annuities, for building churches, paving ftreets, making navigations, \&ic. \&e. And, in general, to all cales where the money received, is not laid up to be improved. - For, to view this fubject in another light, let us fuppofe 10,000 . borrowed for any public work, on perperuities, at 4 per cent. And, if that will afford more en:couragenent, let them be made irredeemable for any number of years lefs than feventeen. Let us further fuppofe, fuch rates, or tolls, cftablifhed for the payment of the intereft and principal, as fhall produce double the interef of the fum borrowed ; or $800 \%$ per annum, inftead of 400 l . per annum. Let the furplus, as it comes in balfycarly, be laid up to accumulate in the public funds. In ${ }_{3} 7$ years and haif, reckoning intereft at 4 per cent. a ca-pital will be raifed, equal to the whole fum borrowed; and, therefore; at the end of that time, the whole debt may be difcharged, and the whole tranfaction finifhedLut if the fame fum had been borrowed on annuities, for

This, however, tho' fo wafteful, is a more fregal way of procuring money than by borrowing on perpetuities, without putting them into a courfe of redemption; for in this cafe, (if a fpunge is not applied) the lofs muft be infinite.

I muft add, that thefe obfervations are particularly applicable to all the ways of raifing money by the fale of reverfions.-The public, for inftance, might procure a million, by offering for it a fund, that will be difengaged at the end of 18 years; and then produce $80,000 \%$. per annum for ever. This, fuppofing intereft at 4 per cent., would be the very fame with offering two millions, 18 years hence, for one million now : And a private man, or an office for the fale of reverfions, might gain by fuch a tranfaction; becaufe, the money advanced, in confequence of being improved, might, in 18 years, be more than
the lives of a fet of perfons 50 years of age, at 8 per cent. which is $1 \%$ per cent. lefs than the true value of fuch annuities: Had this, I fay, been done, balf the annuitants would have been alive at the end of the term I have mentioned; (fee Tables III, IV, and V,) and the whole tranfaction, together with the expences and trouble attending the management of $i$, could not have been finally clofed 'till the extinction of all the lives; that is, not in lefs time, moft probably, than 35 , or, perhaps, 40 years. -It is a neceffary obfervation here, that, if public credit maintains its ground, much will not depend, in the plan now propofed, on the rife and fall of Stoces. If a war finks them, the money laid out, while the war lafts, will accumulate fafter. If a peace raifes them, the moncy that had been previoufly laid out will be proportionably increafed.
doubled. But, as the public always borrows for immediate fervices, and never lays up money, it would neceffarily lofe a fum equal to the whole fum borrowed: And the fame money might have been borrowed on a fund, producing 50,000l. per annum; which would not only pay the intereft, but difcharge the whole principal in 41 years (a).

By raifing money on life-annuities, the prefent members of a ftate take a heavier load on themfelves, in order to exempt pofterity; and there would be a laudable generofity in this, were it not for the folly of it ; the fame exemption being equally practicable at balf the expence.-On the other hand. By borrowing on reverfionary grants, the prefent members of a fate exempt themfelves entire$l y$, by throwing the load doubled on pofterity; and there is a cruelty and injuftice in this that nothing can excufe.

It is well known, that both thefe methods of raifing money have been practifed among us. This, however, is, by no means, the worft that has been done. It has been common to borrow money to pay the intereft of money borrowed, and thus to give compound intereft for money; and our farliaments have, fometimes, exprefsly provided, that this fhall be done for a fucceffion of years.
(a) The fmallnefs of the fums, which I have here and clfewhere iometimes fuppofed to be employed in difcharging the public debts, can create no difficulties, becaufe there is no fum which may not be applied to this ue by purchafing fock.

But to return to the main point I had in view.

The enquiry which has occafioned this digreffion, muft be highly interefting to every perfon who wifhes well to his country.-All fchemes for difcharging the public debts, by life-annuities, have been hewn to be abfurd and extravagant.-In general ; it may be obferved, that it is far from probable, that any money which the nation can fpare, if applied fo as to bear only fimple intereft, can be capable of reducing its debts within due bounds; or of doing us, in our prefent circumftances, any effential fervice. A fund, producing a furplus of even two millions annually, would, when thus applied, pay no more than 40 millions in 20 years; and, in that time, a war might probably come, which would interrupt the application of it; and increafe our debts much more than fuch a fund had leffened them.

Certain it is, therefore, that if our affairs are to be retrieved, it mult be by a fund increafing itfelf in the manner I have explained. The fmalleft fund of this kind is, indeed, omnipotent, if it is allowed time to operate. But we are, I fear, got fo near to the limits of the refources of the nation, that it cannot be allowed much time: And, in order to make amends for this, it is neceffary that it fhould be large.-Let us then fuppofe,
that the nation is ftill ftrong enough to endble it to provide a fund, that fhall yield a million and balf annually, for 20 years to come: And alfo, that, together with all its prefent burdens, it is capable of bearing every additional burden that 20 years more can bring upon it. If this is not true, we have, I think, nothing to do but to wait the iffue, and tremble.

A fund, producing annually a million and a half, would increafe to three millions per ann. in 20 years (a.) At the end of this term, the nation might be ealed of the moft oppreffive taxes, to the amount of a million and a half; and the confequence would prove, that, if there fhould have been a war, either the whole, or much the greateft part of the addition occafioned by it to the public burdens, would be taken off, and the nation reinftated nearly in its prefent circumftances. But, if there fhould have been no war, the national debt and the taxes charged with it, would be reduced a third below the fums at which they now ftand; and the nation would be fo much relieved as to be prepared for a war.-The remaining million and half would,
(a) It hould be remembered, that in the year 1779, 12. per cent. on the confolidated 4 per cents, will be annihilated, and that I fuppofe the favings derived from hence to be taken at that time as a part of the fund.-Methods might be eafily contrived for getting this faving immediately, which would be fome advantage.
in 23 years, increafe again to three millions per annum; and then, fo much more of the public taxes would be fet free; 50 millions more, or 93 millions in all, of the public debts would be difcharged, and the difficulties of the nation would be, in a great meafure, conquered.-During this whole courfe of time, there may poffibly be but one war; and fhould that happen, the appropriation at the end of it, of about $400,000 \%$. per annum, might be enough to anfwer all purpofes.

In thefe obfervations, I fuppofe the 3 per cents to be paid off at par; and no advantage taken at any time of their low price. By taking this advantage, and with the help of a little management, a fund, producing annually a million and half, might be made to increafe to another million and half, in lefs time than I have affigned. Should there be a war in a few years, the 3 per cents. would probably fall below 75 ; and then the proprietors of them mult be glad to part with them at this price; the'confequence of which, fuppofing the war to laft eight years, would be, that the fund would double itfelf, and the nation be relieved in the manner I have mentioned, in 18 , inftead of 20 years.The advantage will be the fame, fuppofing the government at fuch a time to go on in paying off the 3 per cents at par. For the effect of this would be, that money might be borrowed for the public fervice or proportion-
ably better terms. Suppofe, for inftance that four millions muft be borrowed for the fervice of the year ; and let the produce of the fund be then increafed to two millions; and the intereft of money in the focks, above 4 per cent. In thefe circumftances, it would be the intereft of the lenders of money, to take $3 \stackrel{\frac{3}{2}}{2}$ per cent. for the fums they advanced, in confideration of having their 3 per cents paid off at par, to the amount of half there fums.-War, therefore, would accelerate the redemption of the public debts; and it would do this the more, the longer it lafted, and the higher it raifed the intereft of money. Or if, in confequence of paying always at par, this could not happen; an equivalent effect would be produced in the way juft mentioned. The ftocks would be always kept up by the operations of the fund ; and, in proportion to the fums yielded by it, the public would be able to borrow money more advantageoufly, and lefs would be added to its burdens.-This feems to me an obfervation of particular confequence. It demonftrates, that the invariable application, in war as well as peace, of the produce of the fund I am fuppoing, to the payment of the national debts, rather than to any current fervices, would, independently of its ef fect in (a) redeeming thefe debts, be a.ttend-
(a) So true is this, that a war, were we now engaged in it, would only render the prefert time fo much the
ed with great advantages to the public. But this is a fubject on which I thatl have occafion to fay more prefently.
more proper for entering into meafures for paying the public debts. And the following obfervations will put this out of doubt.

As it is now become the practice to have recourfe to lotteries in pence, we may be fure, that no year will pafs without them in war. I would, therefore, propofe, that, inftead of making ufe of them in raifing the annual fupplies in war, they fhould be then applied as an aid in difcharging the public debts.-Suppofe the war to laft 10 years, and the 3 per cents at 70. Suppofe alfo, each lottery to confift of $750,000 \mathrm{l}$. in tickets, which, when difpofed of to fubfcribers, will bring in $1,050,000 \%$. On thefe fuppofitions, the whole lofs to the public, from applying the lotteries to the payment of the public debts, rather than to the current fupplies, will be $1,050,000 \%$ annually, or 10 millions and $\frac{1}{2}$ in all.-The gain will be as follows. 750,000 . of the produce of the finking fund, formed into tickets, will be the fame with $1,050,0001$; and this fum will pay off a million and a half of the 3 per cents, every year, or 15 millions in all; and the growing favings arifing from thefe payments will, at the end of 10 years, have paid, at leaft, two millions more. The nation, therefore, having paid off 17 millions of its debts, and added to them only 10 millions and $\frac{1}{2}$, will gain fix millions and $\frac{1}{2}$. But this will be the fmallelt part of its gains. All the produce of the finking fund, over and above 750,000 l. might be charged with the payment of the interelt of fuch new debts as would be necefliary to be contracted during the war; and, at the end of it, the nation, with the help of $200,000 \%$. to be difengaged in 1779 , by the reduction of the 4 per sents, would find itfelf poffefled of a fund, producing 1,450,000 . annually; which, faithfully employed, might probably be fufficient to extricate it from all its difficul-ties.-Befides this; fuch a fcheme would not only preforve, but raife and efablifh the credit of the public: And he only can be duly fenfible of the importance of this, who will confider, what danger there would be in ano-

The finking fund, in its prefent ftate, and, after fupplying the deficiencies of the peace eftablifhment, yields, I fuppofe, a confiderable part of the million and a half I have mentioned. An annual lottery might eafily raife 200,000 . more. But this is a meafure which I cannot wifh to fee carried into execution, unlefs abfolutely neceffary. Were the managers of our affairs fufficiently in earneft in this bufinefs, I cannot doubt but that fuch favings might be made in the collection and expenditure of the national revenue, as would caufe the finking fund to yield, for 18 or 20 years to come, the whole of this fum, without impofing any new burdens on the public. But, were there, indeed, no way of providing any part of it, but by creating new funds, or impofing new taxes; it ought to be done, becaufe it $m m / t$ be done, or the nation be ruined.

The evils and dangers, attending an exorbitant public debt in this country, are fo great, that they cannot be exaggetated. -Without repeating, what has been fo often faid, of its increafing the dependence on the crown, by jobs and places without number; occafioning
ther war, fhould it continue iong, of either coerrubelning public credit; or of being terrified, by the apprehenfon ot fuch a calamity, into an ignominious and fatal peace: The eftablifhment, therefore, of fome fuch plan as that now propofed, would, at the beginning of a war, be the moft important of ali works.
execrable practices of the Alley; rendering us tributary to foreigners; and raifing the price of provifions and labour ; and, confequently, checking population, and loading our trade and manufactures; I will only talse notice of the two following evils which attend it.

In the firf place. It muft check the ex ertions of the firit of liberty in the kingdom. The tendency of every government is to defpotifm; and in this it muft end, if the people are not conftantly jealous and watchful. Oppofition, therefore, and refiftance are often neceflary. But they may throw things into confufion, and occafion the ruin of the public funds. The apprehenfion of this mult influence all who have their intereft connected with the prefervation of the funds, and incline them always to acquiefcence and fer-vility.

But further. It expofes us to particular danger from forcign as well as domefic enemies, by making us fearful of war, and incapable of engaging in it, however neceffary, without the hazard of bringing on terrible convulfions by overwhelming public credit.

All thefe are evils which munt increafe with every increafe of the national debt; and there is a point at which, when they arrive, the confequences muft be fatal (a). - I am
(a) "Either the nation (Mr. IHune fays, ERays Vol. II. p. 145, mift deftroy public credit; or public "credit will deftroy the nation." - A dreadfu' altersative! furely.
now writing under a conviction, that I am doing the little in my power to preferve my country from this danger. I have fhewn, that an annual fupply of a million and a half for 18 , or at moft 20 years, may be made the means of reftoring and faving us. This, therefore, is our remedy; and it ought to be applied immediately, leaft it fhould not be applied. time enough.

But to proceed to fome further obfervations.

What has been faid, has all along fuppofed a facred and inviolable application of the fund I have defcribed, and of all its earnings, to the purpofe of finking the national debt. The whole effect of it depends on its being allowed to operate, without interruption, a proper time. But it may be afked, how this can be fecured? Or, by what method an object, that muft be continually growing more and more tempting, can be defended againft invafion and rapine? - I might here mention the fuperintendency and care of the reprefentatives of the kingdom, the faithful guardians of the ftate, to whom miniters are refponfible for the ufe they make of the public money. But experience has thewn, that we cannot rely on this fecurity. - The difficulty, therefore, now mentioned, is the very greate? difficulty the na-
tion has to ftruggle with in the payment of its debts.

The finking fund was eftablifhed in the year 1716 , or foon after the acceffion of the prefent family, at a time when the public debts, tho' not much more than a third of what they are now, were thought to be fo confiderable as to be alarming and dangerous. It was intended as a sacred deposit never to be touched; the law which eftablifhed it declaring, that it was to be applied to the payment of the principal and intereft of fuch national debts and incumbrances, as had been incurred before the 25 th of December 1716; and to no other ufe, intent or purpofe volatever. - The faith of parliament, therefore, as well as the fecurity of the kingdom, feemed to require, that it fhould be preferved carefully and rigoroufly from alienation. But, notwithftanding this, it has been generally alienated; and the produce of it employed, in helping to defray fuch current expences as the exigencies of the flate rendered neceflary.

In order to juftify this, it has been ufual to plead, that when money is wanted, it makes no difference, whether it is taken from hence, or procured by making a new loan. There cannot be a worfe fophifm than this. The difference between thefe two methods of procuring money is no lefs than infinite. - For, let us fuppofe, a million wanted for any public fervice. If it is borrowed at M 2

4 per

4 per cent. the public will lofe by the payment of intereft $40,000 \%$. the firt year, and the fame the fecond year, and the fame for ever afterwards. But if it is taken out of the finking fund, the public will lofe $40,000 \%$. the firft year; $4160 l$. the fecond year; $80,000 \%$. the 18 th year; a million the 85 th year: For thefe are the fums that would, at there times, have otherwife neceflarily reverted to the public. It lofes, therefore, the advantage of paying in 85 years, with money of which otherwife no ale could have been made, twen-ty-five millions of debt. -In other words; by employing the sinking FUND, in bearing current expences, rather than borrowing new money; the ftate, in order to avoid giving fimple intereft for money, is made to alienate money, that muft have otherwife been improved at compound interef; and that, in time, would have neceffarily increafed to any fum (a).-Had a faithful ufe been made from the firft, of enly one Third of the produce of this fund, near three fourths of our prefent debts might now have been difcharged ; and, in a few years more, the zebole of them might have been difcharged (b).-Can it be poffible then
(a) The principal obfervations in this Chapter, T have given juft as they occurred to my thoughts, without knowing that any of them had been made by other writers. Some propofals of a fimilar nature, but very differently reprefented, I have fince found in Mr. Poflelhwayt's Dictionary, under the articles Public Credit, Debts, Funds, \&ic.
(b) See a particular explanation and proof of this in the Queftions following the Tables in the Appendix.
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to think, without regret and indignation, of that mifapplication of this fund, which, with the confent of parliaments always complying, our minifters have practifed?-I find it difficult here to fpeak with calmnefs. - But I muft reftrain myfelf. Calculation, and not cenfure, is my bufinefs in this work.-I muft believe, that the grievance I have mentioned, has proceeded more from inattention and miftake, than from any defign to injure the public.

## [ 167 ]

## E S S A Y I. *

Containing Obfervations on the Expectations of Lives; the Increafe of Mankind; the Number of Inbabitants in London; and the Influence of great Towns, on Health and Population.

In a Letter to Benjamin Franklin, $E / q ;$ L L. D. and F.R.S.

Dear Sir,

IBeg leave to fubmit to your perufal the following obfervations. If you think them of any importance, I thall be obliged to you for communicating them to the Royal Society. You will find, that the chief fubject of them is the prefent ftate of the city of London, with refpect to healthfulnefs and number of inhabitants, as far as it can be collected from the bills of mortality. This is a fubject that has been confidered by others; but the proper method of calculating

[^2]from the bills has not, I think, been fufficiently explained.

No competent judginent can be formed of the following obfervations, without a clear notion of what the writers on Life-Anmuities and Reverfions have called the ExpeEtation of Life. Perhaps this is not in common properly underftood; and Mr. De Moivre's manner of exprefing himfelf about it is very liable to be miftaken.

The mof obvious fenfe of the expectation of a given life is, "That particular number " of years which a life of a given age has an "equal chance of enjoying." This is properly the time that a perfon may reafonably expect to live; for the chances againft his living longer are greater than thofe for it; and, therefore, he cannot entertain an expectation of living longer, confiftently with probability. This period does not coincide with what the writers on Annuities call the expectation of life, except on the fuppofition of an uniform decreafe in the probabilities of life, as Mr . Simpfon has obferved in his Select Exercijes, p. 273.-It is neceffary to add, that, even on this fuppofition, it does not coincide with what is called the expectation of life, in any cafe of joint lives. Thus, two lives of 40 have an even chance, according to Mr. De Moivre's hypothefis (a), of continuing together only $13 \frac{1}{2}$ years. But the expectation
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of two equal joint lives being (according to the fame hypothefis) always a third of the common complement; it is in this cafe $15^{\frac{1}{3}}$ years. It is neceflary, therefore, to obferve, that there is another fenfe of this phrafe, which ought to be carefully diftinguifhed from that now mentioned. It may fignify, "The " mean continuance of any given fangle, joint, " or furviving lives, according to any given "Table of obfervations:" that is, the number of years which, taking them one with another, they actually enjoy, and may be confidered as fure of enjoying; thofe who live or furvive beyond that period, enjoying as much more time in proportion to their number, as thofe who fall floort of it enjoy lefs. Thus; Suppofing 46 perfons alive, all 40 years of age; and that, according to Mr. De Moivere's bypothefis, one will die every year 'till they are all dead in 46 years; half 46 , or $2_{3}$, will be their expectation of life: That is; The number of years enjoyed by them all, will be juft the fame as if every one of them had lived 23 years, and then died; fo that, fuppofing no intereft of money, there would be no difference in value between annuities payable for life to every fingle perfon in fuch a fet, and equal annuities payable to another equal fet of perfons of the fame common age, fuppofed to be all fure of living junt 23 years and no more.

In like manner ; the third of 46 years, or 15 years and 4 months ( $a$ ), is the expectation of two joint lives both 40 ; and this is alfo the expectation of the furvivor. That is; fuppofing a fet of marriages between perfons all 40 , they will, one with another, laft juft this time; and the furvivors will laft the fame time. And annuities payable during the continuance of fuch marriages would, fuppofing no intereft of money, be of exactly the fame value with annuities to begin at the extinction of fuch marriages, and to be paid, during life, to the furvivors. In adding together the years which any great number of fuch marriages, and their furvivorfhips have lafted, the fums would be found to be equal.

One is naturally led to underftand the expectation of life in the firft of the fenfes now explained, when, by Mr. Simpfon and Mr. De Moivre, it is called, the number of years which, upon an equality of chance, a perfon may expert to anjoy; or, the time wobich a perfon of a given age may jufly expect to continue in being; and, in the laft fenfe, when it is called, the frare of life due to a perfon. But, as in reality it is always ufed in the laft of thefe fenfes, the former language fhould not be appplied to it: And it is in this laft fenfe, that it coincides with the fums of the prefent probabilities, that any given fingle or joint lives hall attain to the end of the
(a) See Nrete (T) Ampendix.
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Ift, $2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{Ec}$. moments, from this time to the end of their poffible exiftence; or, (in the cafe of furviorfhips) with the fum of the probabilities, that there fhall be a furvivor at the end of the $1 \mathrm{ft}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{c}$. moments, from the prefent time to the end of the pofible exiftence of furvivorfhip. This coincidence every one converfant in thefe fubjects muft fee, upon reflecting, that both thefe fenfes give the true prefent value of a lifeannuity, fecured by land, without intereft of money (a).

This period in joint lives, I have obferved is never the fame with the period which they have an equal chance of enjoying; and in fingle lives, I have obferved, they are the fame only on the fuppofition of an uniform decreafe in the probabilities of life. If this decreafe, inftead of being always uniform, is accelerated in the laft ftages of life; the former period, in fingle lives, will be lefs than the latter; if retarded, it will be greater.

It is neceffary to add, that the number expreffing the former period, multiplied by the number of fingle or joint lives whofe expectation it is, added annually to a fociety or town, gives the whole number living together, to which fuch an annual addition would in time grow. Thus; fince 19, or the third of 57 , is the expectation of two
(a) See Note (L) in the Appendix.
joint
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joint lives whofe common age is 29 , or common complement 57 ; twenty marriages every year between perfons of this age would, in 57 years, grow to 20 times 19, or 380 marriages always exifting together. The number of furvivors alfo arifing from thefe marriages, and always living together, would, in twice 57 years, increafe to the fame number. And, fince the expectation of a fingle life is always half its complement; in 57 years likewife, 20 fingle perfons aged 29, added annually to a town, would increafe to 20 times 28.5 or 570 ; and, when arrived at this number, the deaths every year will juft equal the acceffions, and no further increafe be poffible.

It appears from hence, that the particular proportion that becomes extinct every year, out of the whole number conftantly exifting together of fingle or joint lives, mut, wherever this number undergoes no variation, be exactly the fame with the expecration of thofe lives, at the time when their exiftence commenced. Thus; was it found that a igth part of all the marriages among any body of men, whofe numbers do not vary, are diffolved every year by the deaths of either the hufband or wife, it would appear that 19 was, at the time they were contracted, the expectation of there marriages. In like manner; was it found in a fociety, limited to a fixed number of members,
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members, that a 28 th part dies annually out of the whole number of members, it would appear that 28 was their common expectation of life at the time they entered. So likewife; were it found in any town or diAtrict, where the number of births and burials are equal, that a 20 th or 30 th part of the inhabitants die annually, it would appear, that 20 or 30 was the expectation of a child jut born in that town or diftrict. Thefe expectations, therefore, for all fingle lives, are eaflly found by a Table of Obfervations, fhewing the number that die annually at all ages, out of a given number alive at thofe ages; and the general rule for this purpofe, is " to divide " the fum of all the living in the Table, at " the age whofe expectation is required, and " at all greater ages, by the fum of all that "die annually at that age, and above it ; or; " which is the fame, by the number in the " Table of the living at that age; and half "unity fubtracted from the quotient will be " the required expectation (a)." Thus, in Dr. Halley's Table, the fum of all the living at 20 and upwards is, 20,724 . The number living. at that age is $59^{8}$; and the former number divided by the latter, and half unity
(a) This rule, and alfo rules for finding in all cafes the expectations of joint lives and furvivorfhips, may be deduced with great eafe, by having recourfe to the doctrine of fuxions. In this method, Mr. De Moirre fays, he difcovered them. See Appendix, Note (L), where an account will be given of thefe deductions, omitted by Mr. De Noivre.
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(a) fubtracted from the quotient, gives $34 \cdot 15$ for the expectation of 20 . The expectation of the fame life by Mr. Simpfon's Table, formed from the bills of mortality of London, is 28.9 (b).

## There

(a) If we conceive the recruit neceffary to fupply the wafte of every year to be made always at the end of the year, the dividend ought to be the medium between the numbers living at the beginning and the end of the year. That is, it ought to be taken lefs than the fum of the living in the Table at and above the given age, by balf the number that die in the year; the effect of which diminution will be the fame with the fubtraction here directed.The reafon of this fubtraction will be further explained, in the beginning of the laft Effay.
(b) It appears in p. 169 and 170 , that the expectations of fingle and joint lives are the fame with the values of amuities on thefe lives, fuppofing no intereft or improvement of money. - In confidering this fubject, it will, probably, occur to fome, that, allowing intereft for money, the values of lives mult be the fame with the values of annuities certain for a number of years equal to the expectations of the lives. But care muft be taken not to fall into this miftake. The latter values are always greater than the former: And the reafon is, that, tho' a number of fingle or joint lives of given ages will, among them, enjoy a given number of years, jet fore of them will enjoy a much greater, and fome a much lefs number of years. Thus; 100 marriages among perfons, all 29 , would, as I have faid, one with another, exilt 19 years; and an office bound to pay annaties to fuch marriages during theit continuance, might reckon upon making ig payments for each marriage. Hut then, many of thefe payments would not be made 'till the end of 30 , and fome not 'till the end of 40 years. And it is apparent, that on account of the greater value of quick than late payments, when money bears intereft, 19 payments fo made cannot be Worth as much, as the fame number of payments made

Thefe obfervations bring me to the principal point which I have had all along in view. They fuggeft to us an eafy method of finding the number of inhabitants in a place, from a Table of Obfervations, or the bills of mortality for that place, fuppofing the yearly births and burials equal. "Find by " the Table, in the way juft defcribed, the "expectation of an infant juft born, and this, " multiplied by the number of yearly births, " will be the number of inhabitants." At Breflawe, according to Dr. Halley's Table, though half die under 16, and therefore an infant juft born has an equal chance of living only 16 years; yet his expectation, found by the rule I have given, is near 28 years; and this, multiplied by 1238 the number born annually, gives 34,664 , the number of inhabitants. In like manner, it appears from
regularly at the end of every year, 'till in 19 years they are all made.

This obfervation might be employed, to demonftrate further, the error of thofe who have maintained, that the value of a given life is the fame, with the value of an annuity certain, for as many years as the life has an equal chance of exifting. Were this true, an annuity on a life, fuppofed to be expofed to fuch danger in a particular year, as to create an equal chance, whether it will not fail that year, would, at the beginning of the year, be worth nothing, though fuppofed to be fure of centinuing for ever, if it efcaped that danger.-- But there can be no occafion for taking notice of an opinion, which has been embraced only by perfons ignorant of mathematics, and plainly unacquainted with the genuine principles of calculation on this fubject. - See a Pamphlet on Life-Annuities by $W_{\text {Fy }}$ man Lee, Efq; of the Inner Timple.
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Mr. Simppon's Table, that, though an infant juft born in London has not an equal chance of living 3 years, his expectation is 20 years; and this number, multiplied by the yearly births, would give the number of inhabitants in London, were the births and burials equal.-. The medium of the yearly births, for 10 years, from 1759 to 1768 , was 15,710 . This number multiplied by 20 , is 314,200 ; which is the number of inhabitants that there would be in London, according to the bills, were the yearly burials no more than equal to the births: that is, were it to fupport itfelf in its number of inhabitants, without any fupply from the country. But for the period I have mentioned, the burials were, at an average, 22,956 , and exceeded the chriftenings 7,246 . This is, therefore, at prefent, the yearly addition of people to London from other parts of the kingdom, by whom it is kept up. Suppofe them to be all, one with another, perfons who have, when they remove to London, an expectation of life equal to 30 years. That is; fuppofe them to be all of the age of 18 or 20 , a fuppofition certainly far beyond the truth. From hence will arife, according to what has been before obferved, an addition of 30 multiplicd by 7.246 ; that is, 217,380 inhabitants. This number, added to the former, makes $53 \mathrm{I}, 50$; and this, I think, at mont, would be the number of inhabitants in London were the bills perfect.

But
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But it is certain, that they give the number of births and burials too little. There are many burying-places that are never brought into the bills. Many alfo emigrate to the navy and army and country; and thefe ought to be added to the number of deaths. What the deficiencies arifing from hence are, cannot be determined. Suppofe them equivalent to 6000 every year in the births, and 6000 in the burials. This would make an addition of 20 times 6000 , or 120,000 , to the laft number; and the whole number of inhabitants would be $65 \mathrm{I}, 58 \mathrm{o}$. If the burials are deficient only two-thirds of this number, or 4000 ; and the births, the whole of it; 20 multiplied by 6000 , muft be added to 314,290 , on account of the defects in the births: And, fince the excefs of the burials above the births will then be only 5,246 ; 30 multiplied by 5,246 or 157,380, will be the number to be added on this account; and the fum, or number of inhabitants, will be 591,580 .-But if, on the contrary, the burials are deficient 6000 , and the births only $4000 ; 80,000$ mutt be added to 314,290 , on account of the deficiencies in the births; and 30 multiplied by 9,246 , or 277,380 , on account of the excefs of the burials above the births; and the whole number of inhabitants will be $67 \mathrm{I}, 5^{8}$.

Every fuppofition in thefe calculations is too high. Emigrants from London are, in
${ }_{1} 7^{8}$ On the Expectution of Lives;
particular, allowed the fame expectation of continuance in London with thore who are born in it, or who come to it in the firmeft part of life, and never afterwards leave it; whereas it is not credible that the former expectation fhould be fo much as half the latter. But I have a further reafon for thinking that this calculation gives too high numbers, which has with me irrefintible weight. It has been feen, that the number of inhabitants comes out lefs on the fuppofition, that the defects in the chriftenings are greater than thofe in the burials. Now it feems evident that this is really the cafe; and, as it is a fact not attended to, I will here endeavour to explain diftinctly the reafon which proves it.

The proportion of the number of births in London, to the number who live to be 10 years of age, is, by the bills, 16 to 5. Any one may find this to be true, by fubtracting the ammal medium of thofe who have died under 10, for fome years paft, from the anmual medium of births for the fame number of years.-Now, tho', without doubt, London is very fatal to children, yet it feems incredible that it thould be fo fatal as this implies. The bills, therefore, probably, give the number of thofe who die under 10 too great in proportion to the number of births; and there can be no other caufe of this, than a greater deficiency in the birtbs than in the
the State of London, Population, \&c. 179 buricls. Were the deficiencies in both equal; that is, were the burials, in proportion to their number, juft as deficient as the births are in proportion to their number, the proportion of thofe who reach 10 years of age to the number born, would be right in the bills, let the deficiencies themfelves be ever fo confiderable. On the contrary; were the deficiencies in the burials greater than in the birtbs, this proportion would be given too great; and it is only when the former are leaft, that this proportion can be given too little.-Thus; let the number of annual burials be 23,000; of birtbs 15,700; and the number dying annually under 10 , 10,800 . Then 4,900 will reach 10 , of 15,700 born annually; that is, 5 out of 16 . -Were there no deficiencies in the burials, and were it fact that only balf the number born die under 10; it would follow, that there was an annual deficiency equal to 4,900 fubtracted from 10,800 , or 5,900 , in the births. - Were the births a third part too litthe, and the burials alfo a third part too little, the true number of birtbs, burials, and of cbildren dying under 10 , would be 20,933--30,066, and 14,400 ; and, therefore, the number that would live to 10 years of age, would be 6,533 out of 20,933 , or 5 of 16 as before. - Were the births a third part, and the burials fo much as two-fifths wrong, the number of births, burials, and children dying under io would N 2
be 20,933-32,200-and 15,120. And, therefore, the number that would live to 10 would be $5 ; 813$ out of 20,933 , or five out of 18 . - Were the birtbs a 3 d part wrong, and the burials but a 6 th, the foregoing numbers would be $20,933-26,833-12,600$; and, therefore, the number that would live to 10 would be 8,333 out of 20,933 , or 5 out of 12.56 : And this proportion feems as low as is confifent with probability. It is fomewhat lefs than the proportion in Mr. Simpfon's Table of London Obfervations; and much lefs than the proportion in the Table of Obfervations for Breflaw. The deficiencies, therefore, in the births muft be greater than thofe in the burials (a); and the leaft number I have given, or $59 \mathrm{I}, 580$ is nearef to the true number of inhabitants. However, hould any one, after all, think that it is not improbable that only 5 of 16 fhould live in London to be 10 years of age; or that above troo-thirds die under this age; the confequence will fill be, that the foregoing cal-
(a) One obvious reafon of this fact is, that none of the birtus among Fous, Quakers, Papift, and the tbree denominations of Diffenters are included in the bills, whereas many of their burials are. It is further to be attended to, that the abortive and flill-born, amounting to about 600 annually, are included in the burials, but never in the births. If we add thefe to the chriftenings, preferving the burials the fame, the proportion of the born, according to the bills, who have reached ten for the laft fixteen years, will be very nearly one third inftead of five foxt. enths.
the State of London, Population, \&c. 18 E culation has been carried too high. For it will from hence follow, that the expectation of a child juft born in London cannot be fo much as I have taken it. This expectation is 20,012 the fuppofition that half die under 3 years of age, and that 5 of 16 live to be 29 years of age, agreeably to Mr. Simpfon's Table. But if it is indeed true, that balf die under 2 years of age, and 5 of 16 under 10 , agreeably to the bills, this expectation cannot be fo much as 17 (a); and all the numbers before given will be confiderably reduced.

Upon the whole: I am forced to conclude from thefe obfervations, that the fecond number I have given, or 651,580 , though fhort of the number of inhabitants commonly fuppofed in London, is, very probably, much greatcr, but cannot be lefs, than the true number. Indeed, it is in general evident, that in cafes of this kind numbers are very much over-rated. The ingenious Dr. Brakenridge, 14 years ago, when the bills were lower than they are now, from the number of houres, and allowing fix to a houfe, made the number of inhabitants 751,800 . But his method of determining the (b) number of houfes is too precarious;
(a) This may be deduced from the obfervations in the laft Effay; and it will be there proved, that, in reality, this expectation does not exceed 18 .
(b) Vid. Phil. Tranfactions, Vol. XLVIII, p. 788. Trl a paper fubfequent to this, Dr. Brakcnridge tells us,
carious; and, befdes, fix to a houfe is too large an allowance.-Many families now have two houles to live in. - The magiftrates of Norroich, in 1752 , took an exact account of both the number of houfes and individuals in that ciry. (a) The number of houfes
that in a late furvey it appeared, that in all Middlefex, London, IFefminfer, and Southwark, there were 87,614 houfes, of which 19,324 were cottages, and 4810 empty. And he acknowledges, that this, if right, proves London to be much lefs populous than he had made it. See Phil. Tranf. Vol. 50, p. 471 . He does not mention how this furvey was taken; but moft probably it muft have been incorrect.-Mr. Maitland gives two accounts of the number of houfes within the bills. One carefully taken from the books of all the parifhes and precincls belonging to London; and another taken from a particular furvey in 1737 , made by himfelf with incredible pains. The firft account makes the number of houfes 85,805 . The fecond account makes it 95,968 . And the reafon of the difference he obferves, is, that many landlords of fmall places, paying all taxes, they are in the parifh books reckoned as fo many fingle houfes, tho' each of them contain feveral houfes. See Mr. Maitland's Hiftory of London, 2d Book at the end.-This, perhaps, may be alfo the reafon of the deficiencies which, I fuppofe, there muft be in the furvey, mentioned by Dr. Brakenridge. - It will be obferved prefently, that the number of inhabitants in London in 1737, was confiderably greater then it is now.
(a) Vid. Gentleman's Magazine for 1752, and Dr. Shart's Cointarative Hiflory of the Increafe of Mankind, p. 38. In page 58 of this laft work the author fays, that, in order to be fully fatisfied about the number of perfons to be allowed tri a family, he procured the true number of families and individuals in 14 market towns, fome of them confiderable for trade and populoufnefs; and that in them were 20,371 families, and 97,611 individuals,
houfes was 7,139 , and of individuals 36,169 , which gives nearly 5 to a houfe.-Another
or but little more than $4 \frac{3}{7}$ to a family. He adds, that, in order to find the difference in this refpect between towns of trade and country parifhes, he procured, from divers parts of the kingdom, the exact number of families and individuals, in 65 country parifhes. The number of families was 17,208 ; individuals 76,284 ; or not quite $4 \frac{1}{2}$ to a family. - In the place I have juft referred to, in the Gentleman's Magazine, there is an account of the number of boufes and inbabitants in Oxford, exclufive of the colleges; and in Wolverbampton, Coventry and Birmingham, for 1750 . The number of perfons to a boule was, by this account, $4 \frac{4}{5}$ in the two former towns, and $5 \frac{3}{4}$ in the two latter.-Dr.Davenant, from Mr. King's Obfervations, gives $4 \frac{1}{15}$, as the number of perfons to a family for the whole kingdom. See $A n E \| a y$ on the probable method of making a people gainers by the balance of trade. From an account with which a friend at Sbrewfbury has favoured me, it appears, that in that town, in 1750 , the number of inhabitants to a boule was $4 \frac{1}{3}$. - Very exad accounts, of which I fhall take further notice, prove, that in the parifh of Holy-Crofs, one of the fuburbs of Shrewfoury, and at Northamptor, the fame proportion is $4 \frac{1}{5}$ to a boufe in the former; and $4 \frac{3}{4}$ in the latter. - It feems, therefore, that five perfons to a houfe is an allowance large enough for London, and too large for England in general. From whence it will follow, that Dr. Brakenridge has likewife over-rated the number of people in England. In a letter to George Lewis Scott, Efq; publifhed in 1756, in the Phil. Trani. Vol. 49, p. 877 ; he fays, that he had been certainly informed, that the number of houfes rated to the window-tax was 690,000 . The number of cottages not rated, he adds, was not accurately known; but from the accounts given in, it appeared, that they could not exceed 200,000 ; and from thefe data, in confequence of allowing lix to a houfe, he makes the number of people in England to be 5,340,000. Perhaps the number of houfes in this account is too little.

184 On the Expectation of Lives;
ther method which Dr. Brakenridge took to determine the number of inhabitants in London was from the annual number of burials, adding 2000 to the bills for omiffions, and fuppofing a 30 th part to die every year. In order to prove this to be a moderate fuppofition he obferves that, according to Dr. Halley's Obfervations, a 34 th part die every year at Breflaw. But this obfervation was made too inadvertently. The number of annual burials there, according to Dr. Halley's account, was 1174, and the number of inhabitants, as doduced by him from his Table, was 34,000 ; and therefore a 29 th part died every year. Befides; any one may find, that in reality the Table is conAructed on the fuppofition, that the whole number born, or 1238 , die every year; from whence it will follow that a 28 th part died every year. (a) Dr. Brakentidge, therefore, had

Suppoíe it a million; and let five be allowed to a houfe; and the number of people in England will be five millions: Which, fince five to a houle is too large an allowance, ought to be confidered as, probably, more than the qrue number. - The number of people in Scotland and Ireland, Dr. Brakenridge eftimates at three millions. See Phil. Tranf. Vol. 50, p. $473^{\circ}$
(a) Care fhould be taken, in confidering Dr. Halley's Table, not to take the firf number in it, or 1000 , for fo many juif born. 1238, he tells us, was the amual medium of births, and 1000 is the number he fuppofes all hiving at one year and under. It was inattention to this that led Dr. Brakenridge to his miftake.
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had he attended to this, would have ftated a 24 th part as the proportion that dies in London every year, and this would have taken off 150,000 from the number he has given. But even this muft be lefs than the juft proportion. For let three-fourths of all who either die in London or migrate from it, be fuch as have been born in London; and let the reft be perfons who have removed to London from the country, or from foreign nations. The expectation of the former, it has been thewn, cannot exceed 20 years; and 30 years have been allowed to the latter. One with another, then, they will have an expectation of $22 \frac{1}{2}$ years. That is; one of $22 \frac{1}{2}$ will die every year. (a) And, confequently,

Ir will be fhewn in the $4^{\text {th }}$ Eflay, that the number of the living, under 20, is given too high in this Table; and from hence it will follow, that more than a 28 th part of the inhabitants die at Breflaze annually.
(a) The whole number of inhabitants in Rome in 1743, was 147,476 , and the annual medium of burials for three years, from 1741 to 1743 , was $633^{8}$. A 23 d part, therefore, died every year. See a Treatife in German, on the different degrees of human mortality in different fituations, by Sufmilch, firft counfellor of his Pruflan Majefty's Confiftory, and member of the Royal Academy of fuiences at Berlin, p. 15.

In 1761, the whole number of inhabitants in the fame town, was 157,452 . The annual medium of births, for three years, from 1759 to 1761 , was 5167 ; and of burials 7153. One in 22, therefore, died annually. See Dr. Short's Comparative Hiflory of the Increafe and Decreafe of Mankind in England and foveral Countries abroad, p. 159 , 60.
quently, fuppofing the annual recuit from the country to be 7000, the number of
60.-In 1752, the accurate and diligent Mr. Struyk, took particular pains to determine the number of inhabitants in Amperdam; and the refult of his enquiry was, that very probably it did not amount to 200,000 . The annual medium of burials for fix years, from 1747 to 1752 , was 8247 . One in 2.4, therefore, died annually. See Sufmilch ibid.-At Amferdam, there is a great number of Jews, and their burials are not included in the bills. 'There muft, I fuppofe, be other deficiencies, and an allowance for thefe would, I doubt not, increafe the proportion of inhabitants, who die annually, to one in 2 I or 22.-At Dublin, in the year 1695, the number of inhabitants was found, by an exace furvey, to be 40,508, (fee Philof. Tranfactions, $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ 261). I find no account of the annual burials juft at that time; but from 166 r to 1681, the medium had been 1613; and from 1715 to 1728 it was 2123 . There can, therefore, be no material error in fuppofing that, in 1695, it was 1800 ; and this makes 1 in 22 to die annually. - In 1745 the number of families in the fame city appeared, by an exact account laid before the Lord Mayor, to be 9,214. It is highly probable this number of families did not confift of fo many as 45,000 individuals. Suppofe them, however, 50,000 ; and, as at this time the medium of annual burials appears to have been 2,360, 1 in 21 died annually: fee Dr. Short's Comparative Hofory, P. 15, and New Obfervations, p. 228. - Thefe facts prove that I have been very moderate in making only I in $22 \frac{1}{2}$, including emigrants, to die in London annually.-In 1631 the number of people in the city and libertics of London was taken, by order of the Privy Council, and found to be $\mathbf{1} 30,178$. -This account was taken five years after a plague that had fwept off near a quarter of the inhabitants; and when, therefore, the town being full of recruits in the vigour of life, the medium of annual burials muft have been lower than ufual, and the births higher. Could, therefore, the me-
birtbs 3 times 7000 or 21,000 , and the burials and migrations 28,000 (which are all high fuppofitions), the number of inhabitants will be, $22 \frac{\text { r }}{2}$ multiplied by 28,000 , or 630,000.

I will juft mention here one other inftance of exaggeration on the prefent fubject.
dium of annual burials at that time, within the walls, and in the 16 parifhes without the walls, be fettled, exclufive of thofe who died in fuch parts of the 16 parifhes without the walls, as are not in the liberties, the proportion dying annually obtained from hence might be depended on, as lefs than the common and juft proportion. But this medium cannot be difcovered with any accuracy. Graunt eftimates that two-thirds of thefe 16 parihhes are within the liberties; and, if this is right, the medium of annual burials in the city and liberties in 163I, was 5,500, and $x^{\prime}$ in $23 \frac{3}{4}$ died annually; or, making a fmall allowance for deficiencies in the bills, 1 in 22. - Mr. Maitland, in his Hiftory of London, Vol. II. p. 744, by a laborious, but too unfatisfactory, inveftigation, reduces this proportion to 1 in $24 \frac{1}{2}$; and on the fuppofitions, that this is the true proportion dying annually, at all times, in London, and that the deficiencies in the burials (including the burials in Marybone and Pancrafs parifhes) amount to 3,038 annually ; he determines, that the number of inhabitants within the bills was 725,903 , in the year 1737 .

The number of burials not brought to account in the bills is, probably, now much greater than either Dr. Erakenridge or Mr. Maitland Cuppofe it. I have reckoned it fo high as 6000 , in order to include emigrants, and alfo to be more fure of not falling below the truth.

It will appear in the laft Efiay, with an evidence little fhort of demonftration, that, at leaft, 1 in $20 \frac{3}{4}$ die annually in London, and that, confequently, the number of inhabitants, if the omiffions in the burials are 6000, cannot exceed 60I,750.

Mr. Corbyn Morris, in his ufeful Obfervations on the paft growth and prefent flate of the city of Londion, publifhed in 1751, fuppofes that no more than a 6oth part of the inhabitants of London, who are above 20, die every year, and from hence he concludes that the number of inhabitants was near a million. In this fuppofition there was an error of at leaft one half. According to Dr. Hallcy's Table, it has been fhewn, that a 34 th part of all at 20 and upwards, die every year at Breflurw. In London, a 2gth part, according to Mr. Simpfon's Table, and alfo according to all other Tables of London Obfervations. And in Scotland it has been found for many years, that, of 974 minifters and profefiors whore ages are 27 and upwards, a 33 d part have died every year. Had, therefore, Mr. Morris fated a 30th part of all above 20 as dying annually in London, he would have gone beyond the truth, and his conclufion would have been 400,000 lefs than it is.

Dr. Brakenvidge obferved, that the number of inhabitants, at the time he calculatcd, was 127,000 lefs than it had been. The bills have lately advanced a little, but ftill they are much below what they were from 3717 to 1743. The medium of the annual births, for 20 years, from 1716 to 1736, was 18,000 , and of burials 26,529 ; and, by calculating from hence on all the fame fuppofitions with thofe which made 651,580 to
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be the prefent number of inhabitants in London, it will be found that the number then was 735,840 , or 84,260 greater than the number at prefent. London, therefore, for the laft 30 years, has been decreafing; and though now it is increafing again, yet there is reaton to think that the additions lately made to the number of buildings round it, are owing, chicfly to the increafe of luxury, and the inhabitants requiring more room to live upon (a).

It fhould be remembered, that the number of inhabitants in London is now fo much lefs as I have made it, than it was 40 years
(a) The medium of annual burials in the 97 parifhes within the walls was,

| From 1655 to 1664, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From 1680 to 1690, |
| From 1730 to 1740, |
| From 1758 to 1768, |$\quad=$| 3264 |
| :--- |
| 3139 |
| 2316 |

This account proves, that though, fince 1655 , London has doubled its inhabitants, yet, within the wealls, they have decreafed; and fo rapidly for the laft 30 years as to be now reduced to one half.- The like may be obferved of the 17 parifhes immediately without the walls. Since 1730, thefe parifhes have been decreafing fo faft, that the annual burials in them have funk from 8,672 to 5,432, and are now lower than they were before the year 1660. In Wefminfer, on the contrary, and the 23 out-parifhes in Middlefex and Surrey, the annual burials have fince 1660, advanced from about 4000 to 16,000 . - Thefe facts prove, that the inhabitants of London are now much lefs crowded together than they were. It appears, in particular, that within the walls the inhabitants take as much room to live upon as double their number did formerly. -The very fame conclufions may be drawn from an examination of the diviflerings.
ago,
ago, on the fuppofition, that the proportion of the omiffions in the birtbs to thofe in the burials, was the fame then that it is now. But it appears that this is not the fact.-From 1728, (the year when the ages of the dead were firt given in the bills) to 1742 , near fivefixths of thofe who were born died under Io, according to the bills. From 1742 to $175^{2}$ three quarters: And ever fince 1752, this proportion has ftood nearly as it is now, or at fomewhat more than two-thirds. The omiffions in the births, therefore, compared with thofe in the burials, were greater formerly; and this muft render the difference between the number of inhabitants now and formerly lefs confiderable than it may feem to be from the face of the bills. One reafon, why the proportion of the amounts of the births and burials in the bills, comes now nearer than it did, to the true proportion, may, perhaps, be, that the number of Diffenters is leffened. The Foundling Hofpital alfo may have contributed a little to this event, by leffening the number given in the bills as having died under 10, without taking off any from the birtbs; for all that die in this hofpital are buried at Pancrafs church, which is not within the bills. See the preface to a collection of the yearly bills of mortality from 1657 to $175^{8}$ inclufive, p. ${ }^{15}$.

I will add, that it is probable that London is now become lefs fatal to children than it was; and that this is a further circumftance which muft reduce the difference I have mentioned; and which is likewife neceffary to be joined to the greater deficioncies in the births, in order to account for the very fimall proportion of children who furvived 10 years of age, during the two firft of the periods I have fpecified.-Since 1752, London has been thrown more open. The cuitom of keeping country-houfes, and of fending children to be nurfed in the country, has prevailed more. But, particularly, the deftructive ufe fpirituous liquors among the poor has been checked.

I have fhewn that in London, even in its prefent ftate, and according to the moft moderate computation, half the number born die under three years of age. But it appears from Graunt's (a) accurate account of the births, weddings, and burials in three country parifhes for 90 years; and alfo, from Dr. Sbort's collection of obfervations in his Comparative Hiftory, and his treatife entitled, New Obfervations on Town and Country Bills
(a) See Natural and Political Obfervations on the Bills of Mortality, by Capt. Fobn Graunt, F. R. S.-See alfo Mr. Derbam's Phifco-Theology, p. 174, where it appears, that in the parifh of Ayzbo in Nortbamptonßire, tho' the births had been, for 118 years, to the marriages as 6 to 1 ; yet the burials had been to the marriages only as $3 \frac{3}{7}$ to I .
of Mortality; that in country villages and parifhes, the major part live to mature age, and even to marry.

In the parifh of Holy-Crofs (a), in Salop, it appears from a curious regifter, which has been kept by the Rev. Mr. Gorfuch, the vicar, that of 655 who have died there at all ages for the laft 20 years, 321 , or near one half, have lived to 30 years of age: And, by forming a Table of Obfervations from this
(a) This parifh contains in it a village which is a part of the fuburbs of Shrewforry. It confifts of 1400 acres of arable and pafture land; befides 300 acres taken up by houfes and gardens. It is fix miles in circumference; half of which lies along the banks of the river Severn.I mention thefe particulars to fhow, that it may be reckoned a country parifh; tho', perhaps, not perfectly fo, on account of its nearnef's to Shrewfoury. -The chriftenings in it excced the burials a little; and the number of inhabitants (mofly labouring people) has, for the laft 20 years, kept nearly to 1050, without any confiderable increale. -The regifter of this parih, from 1750 to 1760 , has been publifhed in the 52 d volume of the Philogophical Tranfanions, Fart I. Art. 25. And a continuation of it from $1_{7} 60$ to $1_{7} 70$, has been lately communicated and read to the Royal Society. It is to be wifhed, that more fuch accounts, fpecifying, as this does, the males and females dying at all ages, were kept in different fituations in the country. This is the only one that I have ever heard of. It is kept with particular care and accuracy by Mr. Gorjucb; and furnifhes very ufeful data for determining the difference in value between town and country lives.-It deferves to be mentioned particularly, that no foreigners or flrangers, who happen to die in this parifh, o, who may be brought into it to be buried, are entered into the regifter: Nor are any of the fixed inhabitants omitted, thu' carried out to be buried.
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regifter, in the manner which will be deforibed in the laft Effay, I find that a child juft born in this parigh has an expectation of 33 years; and that in general, under the age of 50 , the expectations of lives here exceed thole in London, in the proportion of about 4 to 3.-So great is the difference, efpecially to children, between living in great towns and in the country.-Bue nothing can place this obfervation :a a more friking light, than the account given by Dr. Thomas Heberden, and publifhed in the Philofophical Tranfattions (vol. LVII. p. 461), of the increafe and mortality of the tabsabitants of the ifland of Madeira. In this illand, it feems, the weddings have been to the births, for 8 years, from 1759 to 1766 , as 10 to 46.3 ; and to the burials, as 10 to 27.5 . Doubie the fe proportions, therefore, or the proportion of 20 to 45.8 , and of 20 to 27.5 , are the proportions of the number marrying amually, to the number born and the number dying. Let 1 marriage in 10 be a $2 d$ or fd marsiage on the fide of either the man or the woman; and 10 marriages will imply 10 individuals who have grown up to maturity, and lived to marry once or oftener; and the proportion of the number marrying annually the firft time, to the number dying annually, will be 19 to $27 \cdot 5$, or near 3 to 4 . It may feem to follow from heace, that in this inand neat :hree-fourths of thole who die have 0 been
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been married; and, confequently, that not many more than a quarter of the inhabitants die in childhood and celibacy; and this would be a jult conclufion were there no increafe, or had the births and burials been equal. But it muit be remembered, that the general cffect of an increafe while it is going on in a country, is to render the proportion of perfons marrying annually, to the annual deaths, greater, and to the annual births lefs, than the true proportion marrying, out of any given number born. This proportion generally lies between the other two proportions, but always neareft to the firft (a); and, in the prefent cale,
(a) In a country where there is no increafe or decreafe of the imhabitants, and where alfo life, in its firft perinds, is fo ftable, and marriage fo much encouraged, as that half of all who are born live to be married, the annual births and burials mult be equal, and alio quadruple the number of weddings, after allowing for 2 d and 3 d marriages. Suppofe in thefe circumflances (every thing elfe renaining the fame) the probabilitics of life, during its firt itages, to be improved. In this cale, more than balf the born will live to be married, and an increafe will take place. The births will exceed the burials, and both fall below quadruple the weddings; or, which is the fame, below donble the number ammaliy married. -Suppofe next (the probabilitics of life and the cnowragement to marwige remaining the fame) the prolificituefs only of the martiages to be improved. In this cafe it is plain, that an incteafe alfo will take place; but the onmal births and burials, initead of being lefs, will now both rife abave gradrupic the weddings; and therefore the propertion of the born to that part of the born who marry (heing by fuppofition two to one) will be lefs than the proportion
cafe, it is fufficiently evident that it cannot be much lefs than two-thirds.

## In

of either the annual births or the annual burials, to the number marrying annually.-Suppofe again (the encouragement to marriage remaining the fame) that the probavilities of life and the prolificine/s of marriages are both improved. In this cafe, a more rapid increafe will take place, or a greater excefs of the births above the burials; but at the fame time they will keep nearer to qualruple the weddings, than if the latter caufe only had operated, and produced the fame increte. - I fould be too minute and tedious, were I to explain thefe obfervations at large. It follows from them, that, in every country or fituation where, for a courfe of years, the burials have been either aqual to or lefs than the birtbs, and both under quadruple the marriages; and alfo that, wherever the hurials are l.fs than quadruple the annual marriages, and at the fame time the births greater, there the major part of all that. are born live to marry. In the inftance which I have confidered above, and which occafions this note, the annual births are fo much greater than quadruple the marriages, and at the fame time the annual burials fo much i.fs, that the proportion that live to marry of thofe who are born, can fearcely be much lefs than I have faid; or two-thirds.

I have thewn how the allowance is to be made for ad and 3 d marriages; but it is not fo confiderable as to be of any particular confequence; and, befides, it is, in part, compenfated by the natural children which are included in the births, and which raife the proportion of the births to the weddings higher than it ought to be, and therefore bring it nearer to the true proportion of the number born annuaily, to thofe who marry annually, after deducting thofe who marry a 2 d or 3 d time.

In drawing conclufions from the proportion of annual birrhs and burials, in different fituations, fome writers on the increafe of mankind, have not given due attention to the difference in thefe proportions, arifing trom the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$
different
ig6 Of the Expectation of Lives;
In London, then, balf die under three years of age; and in Madeira about troothirds of all who are born live to be married. Agreeable to this, it appears allo from the account I have referred to, that the expectation of a child juft born in Madeira is about 39 years; or double the expectation of a child juft born in London. For the number of inhabitants was found, by a furvey made in the beginning of the year 1767 , to be 64,614. The annual medium of burials had been, for eight years, 1293; of births 2201. The number of inhabitants, divided by the annual medium of burials, gives 49.89 ; or the expectation nearly of a child juft born, fuppofing the birtlos had been 1293, and confantly equal to the burials, the number of inhabitants remaining the fame. And the fame number, divided by the annual medium of births, gives 29.35; or the expectation of a child juft born, luppofing the burials 2201 , the number of births different circumftances of increafe or decreafe among a people. One inftance of this I have now mentioned; and one further inftance of it is neceflary to be mentioned. The proportion of annual births to weddings has been confidered as giving the true number of children derived from each marriage, taking all marriages one with another. But this is true only when, for many years, the births and burials have kept nearly equal. Where there is an excefs of the births occafioning an increafe, the proportion of annual births to weddings muft be lefs than the proportion of children derived from each marriage ; and the contrary muft take place where there is a decreafe.
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and of inhabitants remaining the fame. And the true expectation of life muft be fomewhere near the mean between 49.89 and 29.35 .

Again: A 50 th part of the inhabitants of Madeira, it appears, die annually. In London, I have fhewn, that above twice this proportion dies annually. In finaller towns a finaller proportion dies; and the births alfo come nearer to the burials. In general; there feems reafon to thing that in towns (allowing for particular advantages of fituation, trade, police, cleanlinefs, and opennefs, which fome towns may have,) the excefs of the burials above the births, and the annual deaths are more or lefs as the towns are greater or fmaller. In London itfelf, about 160 years ago, when it was fcarcely a fourth of its prefent bulk, the births were much nearer to the burials, than they are now. But in country parifhes and villages the births almoft always exceed the burials; and I believe it feldom happens that fo many as a 3oth, or more than a 40 th part of the inhabitants, die annually (a). In the four provinces
(a) In the year 1733, a furvey was taken of the inhabitants of the parim of Stoke-Damerel in Devonflire, and the number of men, women, and children was found to be 3361. - The chriftenings for the year were 122the weeddings 28-burials 62.-No more, therefore, than the $54^{\text {th }}$ part of the inhabitants died in the year.-In part of this year an epidemical fever prevailed in the parih. See Martyn's Alvidgment of the Philof. Tranfactions, vol.
$\mathrm{O}_{3}$ IX,
provinces of Nerv-Englund there is a very rapid increafe of the inhabitants; but, notwithranding this, at Bolon, the capital, the inhabitants would decreafe were there no fupply from the country: for, if the account I have feen is juft, from 1731 to 1762 , the burials all along exceeded the births (a). So remarkably do towns, in confequence of their unfavourablenefs to health, and the
IX. p. 325 -According to Graunt's account of a parifh in Hamplbire, not reckoned, he fays, remarkably healthful, a 50 th part of the inhabitants had died amually for 30 years. Natural and political Obfervations, E®c. Chap. xii.

In Ic98 country parifhes, mentioned by Sufmilch, the annual average of deaths, for fix years, ending in $\mathbf{1 7 4 9}$, was 5255. The number of inhabitants was $225.357^{\circ}$ One, therefore, in 43 died annually. - In io6 other parifhes, mentioned by him, this proportion was I in 50 : -ln the Dukedom of IVurtemberg, the inhabitants, he fays, are numbered every year; and from the average of five years, ending in 1754 , it appeared that, taking the towns and country togerther, in in 32 died annually. In another province, which he mentions, confifting of 635,998 inhabitants, 1 in 33 died annually. From thefe facts be concludes, that, taking a whole country in grofs, in:luding ail cities and villages, mankind enjoy among them abuut 32 or 33 years each of exiftonce. And this, very probably, may not be far from the truth in the prefent itate of mof of the kingdoms of Europe. And it will follow, that a child born in a country parifh or village, has, at leaft, an expectation of 36 or 37 years; fuppofing the proportion of conntry to towur inhabitants to be as $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to 1; which, I think, this ingenious writer's obfervations prove to be nearly the cafe in Pomerania, Erandenuurgh, and fome other kingdoms.
(a) See a particular account of the births and burials in this town from 1731 to 1752 in the Gentleman's Magative for $1753, \mathrm{P} .413$.

Tuxury which generally prevails in them, check the increafe of countries.

Healthfulnefs and Prolificknefs are, probably, caufes of increafe feldom feparated. In conformity to this oblervation, it appears from comparing the births and weddings, in countries and towns where regifers of them have been kept, that in the former, marriages, one with another, feldom produce lefs than four children each; generally between four and five, and fometimes above five. But in towns feldom above four; generally between three and four; and fometimes under three (a).

I have fometimes heard the great number of old people in London mentioned, to prove its favourablenefs to health and long life. But no obfervation can be more erroneous. There ought, in reality, to be more old people in London, in proportion to the number of inhabitants, than in any fmaller towns; becaufe at leaft one quarter of its inhabitants are perfons who come in-
(a) Any one may fee what evidence there is for this, by confulting Dr. Shart's two books already quoied, and the Abridgment of the Philofphical Tranfactions, vol. VII. part iv. p. 46, and Gramt's account already quoted, of the births, weddings and burials in three comntry parifhes for 90 ycars; compared with fimilar accounts in towns. In confidering thefe accounts, it fould not be forgotten that allowances muift be made for the different circumAtances of increafe or decreafe in a place, agrecably to the oblervation at the end of the note in page igt.
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to
to it, from the country, in the mof robunt part of life, and with a much greater probability of attaining to old age, than if they had come into it in the weaknefs of infancy. But, notwithtanding this advantage, there are much fewer perfons who attain to great ages in London, than in moft other places where obfervations have been made. - At Vienna; of 22,704 who died in the four years $1717,1718,1724,1725(a), 109$ reached 90 years; that is, 43 in 10,000 . But in London, for 30 years before 1769 only 35 of the fame number have reached this age-At Breghaw it appears, by Dr. Halley's Table, that 4 i of $123^{3}$ born, or a 30 th part live to be so years of age.-In the parifh of All-jimints, in Nortbampion, an account has been kept ever fince 1733 of the ages at which the inhabitants die; and I find that a 22 d part die there turned of 8 O . At Norwich a like account has been kept; and it appears, that for the laft 30 years, a 27 th part of the inhabitants have died, turned of the fame age.-According to Mr. Kerfiboom's Table of Oblervations, publifhed at the end of the laft edition of Mr. De Moivre's Treatife on the Doétrine of Chances, a $14 t h$ part of all that are born live to 80 . - And in the parith of HolyCrofs, already mentioned p. 183 and p .192 ,
(a) Vid. Abridgment of the Philofophical Tranfactions, vol. VII. part iv. p. 46. - It appears alfo that more than three-fifths of all who died in thefe years at Vionna were boys and garls, by whom, I fuppole, are meant perbrns under: 6 .
the eleventh part of the inhabitants live to 80 (a).-But in Londsn, for 30 years, ending at the year 1768, only 25 of every 1000 , who have died, or a 40 th part, have lived to this age; which may be eafily difcovered, by dividing the fum of all who have died during thele years at all ages, by the fum of all who have died above 80 .

Among the poculiar evils to which great towns are fubject, I might further mention the Plague. Before the year 1666 , this dreadful calamity laid Londor almont watte once in every 15 or 20 years; and there is no reafon to think, that it was not generally bred within itfelf. A mof happy alteration has taken place; which, perhaps, in part is owing to the gieater advantages of cleanlinefs and opennefs, which London has enjoyed fince it was rebuilt; and which lately have been very wifely improved.

The facts I have now taken notice of are fo imporant that, I think, they deferve more attention than has been hitherto beftowed
(a) This, however, will appear itfelf inconfiderable, when compared with the following account: " $\operatorname{In} 176 \mathbf{x}$ "the burials in the diftrict of Chrificanna, in Norway, "amounted to 6,929 , and the chrifterings to 11,024. " Among thole who died, 394 , or I in 18 , hed lived to " the age of $90 ; 63$ to the age of 100 , and feven to the "age of 10 I . - In the diocefe of Bergen, the perfons "who died amounted only to 2,580 , of whom I 8 lived "t to the age of 100 ; one woman to the age of $10 \%$, and " another woman to the age of 108 ."
sce the Aranal Rogilion for 176I, p. Igr.
upon them. Every one knows that the ftrength of a fate confifts in the number of people. The encouragement of population, therefore, ought to be one of the firft objects of policy in every fate; and fome of the worf enemies of population are the luxury, the licentioufnefs, and debility produced and propagated by great towns.

I have obferved that London is now (a) increafing. But it appears that, in truth, this is an event more to be dreaded than defired. The more London increafes, the more the reft of the kingdom muft be deferted; the fewer hands mut be left for agriculture; and, confequently, the lefs muit be the plenty and the higher the price of all the means of fubinfence.-Moderate towns, being feats of refinement, emulation, and arts, may be public advantages. But great towns, long before they grow to half the bulk of London, become checks on population of too hurtful a nature, nurferies of debauchery and voluptuoufnefs; and, in many refpects, greater evils than can be compenfated by any advantages (b).

> Dr.
(a) This increafe is greater than the bills fhew, on account of the omifion in them of the two parihes which have been moft increafed by new buildings; I mean Marybone and Pancrafs parinhes. The former of thefe parifhes is, I fuppofe, now one of the largeft in London.
(b) The mean amnual births, zeeddings, and burials in the following towns, for fome of the laft years, have beea nearly,
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Dr. Heberden obferves that, in Madeira, the inhabitants double their own number in $8_{7}$ years. But this (as you, Sir, well know) is a very flow increafe, compared with that which takes place among our colonies in America. In the back fettlements, where the inhabitants apply themfelves entirely to agriculture, and luxury is not known, they double their own number in 15 years; and all thro' the northern colonies, in 25 years (a). This is an inftance of increafe fo rapid, as to have fcarcely any parallel. The births in thefe countries muft exceed the burials much more than in Madeira; and a greater proportion of the born muft reach maturi-ty.-In 1738 , the number of inhabitants in Nerv ferfey was taken by order of the government, and found to be 47,369 . Seven years afterwards, the number of inhabitants was again taken; and found to be increafed, by procreation only, above 14,000; and very near one balf of the inhabitants were found
(a) See a difcourfe on Cbriflian Union, by Dr. Styles, Bofton, $176 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{p} .103 .100$, \&c. - Sce alfo The Intereft of Great Britain confidered zuith regard to ber Colonies, tagether with Obfrvations concerning the increafe of mankind, peopling


204 Of the Expectation of Lives;
to be under (a) i 6 years of age. In 22 years, therefore, they muft have doubled their own number, and the births muft have exceeded the burials 2000 annually. As the increafe here is much quicker than in Madeira, we may be fure that a fmaller proportion of the inhabitants muft die annually. Let us, however, fuppofe it the fame, or a 5 oth part. This will make the annual burials to have been, during thefe feven years, 1000 ; and the annual births 3000 ; or an 18 th part of the inhabitants.-Similar obfervations may be made on the much quicker increafe in Rhode Illand, as related in the preface to the Collection of the London Bills of Mortality; and allo in the valuable pamphlet, laft quoted, on the Intereft of Great Britain with regard to ber Colonies, p. 36. - What a prodigious difference muft there be, between the vigour and the happinefs of human life in fuch fituations, and in fuch a place as London? - The original number of perfons who, in 1643 , had fettled in New-England, was 21,200. Ever fince, it is reckoned, that more have left them than have gone to them $(b)$. In the year 1760 , they were increafed to half a million. They have, therefore, all along
(a) According to Dr. Halley's Table, the number of the living under 16 , is but a third of all the living at all ages.
(b) See Dr. Styies's pamphlet juft quoted, p. 1ro, \&ic.
doubled
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doubled their own number in 25 years. And if they continue to increafe at the fame rate, they will, 70 years hence, in Nerw-England alone, be four millions; and in all North America, above twice the number of inhabitants in Great-Britain (a).-But I am wandering
(a) The rate of increafe, fuppofing the procreative powers the fame, depends on two caufes: The "encou"ragement to marriage;" and the "expeczation of a chuld juft born." When one of thefe is given, the increate wili be always in proportion to the other. That is; As much greater or lefs as the ratio is of the numbers who reach maturity, and of thofe who marry, to the number born, fo much quicker or fower will be the increafe. - Let us fuppofe the operation of thefe caufes fuch, as to produce an amual exceis of the births above the burials, equal to a 36 th part of the whole number of inhabitants. It may feem to follow from hence, that the inhabitants would double their own number in 36 years; and thus fome have calculated. But the truth is, that they would double their own number in much lefs time. Every addition to the number of inhabitants from the births, produces a proportionably greater number of births, and a greater excefs of thefe above the burials; and if we fuppofe the excefs to increafe annually at the fame rate with the inhabitants, or fo as to preferve the ratio of it to the number of inhabitants always the fame, and call this ratio $\frac{1}{r}$, the period of doubling will be, the quotient produced by dividing the logarithon of 2 , by the difference between the logarithms of $r+1$ and $r$; as might be eafily demonftrated. In the prefent cafe, $r$ being 36 , and $r+1$ being 37, the period of doubling comes cut 25 years. If $r$ is taken equal to 22 , the period of doubling will be 15 ycars. - But it is certain that this ratio may, in many fituations, be greater than $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$; and, inftead of remaining the fame, or becoming lefs, it may increafe, the confequence of which will be, that the period of doubling will be morter than this rule gives it. - According to Dr. Halley's Table, the number of perfons between 20 and
dering from my purpofe in this letter. The point I had chiefly in view was, the prefent ftate

42 ycars of age is a third part of the whole number living at all ages. The prolific part, therefore, of a country may very well be a 4th of the whole number of inhabitants; and fuppofing four of thefe, or every other marriage between perfons all under 42 , to produce one birih every year, the annual number of births will be a 16 th part of the whole number of people. And, therefore, fuppofing the burials to be a 48 th part, the annual excefs of the births above the burials will be a 24th part, and the period of doubling 17 years. - The number of inhabitants in Neiv-England was, as I have faid from Dr. Styles's pamphlet, half a million in $\mathbf{1 7 6 0}$. If they have gone on increafing at the fame rate ever fince, they muft be now 640,000 ; and it feems to appear that in fact they are now more than this number. For, fince writing the above obfervations, I lave feen a particular account, grounded chiefly on furveys lately taken with a view to tasation and for other purpofes, of the number of males, between 16 and 60 , in the four provinces. According to this account, the number of fuch males is 218,000 . The whole number of people, therefore, between 16 and 60 , muft be nearly 436,000 . In order to be more fure of avoiding excefs, I will call them only 400,000 . In Dr. Halley's Table the proportion of all the living under 16 and above 60 , to the reft of the living, is 13.33 to 20 ; and this will make the number of people now living in the four provinces of New-England to be 666,000. But on account of the rapid increate, this proportion muft be confiderably greater in Nezu-England, than that given by Dr. Halley's Trable. In New-'ferfey, I have faid the number of people under 16 , was found to be almoft equal to the number above it. Suppofe, however, that in NetuEngland, where the increafe is flower, the proportion I have mentioned is only 16 to 20 ; and then the whole number of people will be 720,000 .

I eannot conclude this note without adding a remark to remove an objection which may occur to fome in reading
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fate of London as to healthfulnefs, number of inhabitants, and its influence on population. The obfervations I have made may, perhaps, help to thew, how the moft is to be made of the lights afforded by the London bills; and ferve as a feecimen of the proper method of calculating from them. It is indeed extremely to be wifhed, that they were lefs imperfeet than they are, and extended further. More parifhes round Londor might be taken into them; and, by an eafy improvement in the parih regifters now kept, they might be extended through all the parifhes and towns in the kingdom. The advantages arifing from hence would be very confiderable. It would give the precife law according to which human life waftes in its different fages; and thus fupply the neceffary data for computing accurately the values of all life-annuities and reverfions. It would, likewife, fhew the different degrees of health-

Dr. Heberden's account of Madeira, to which I have referred. In that account 5945 is given as the number of children under feven in the ifland, at the beginning of the year 1767 . The medium of annual births, for eight years, had been 2201; of burials 1293. In fix years, therefore, 13,206 muft have been born; and if, at the end of fix years, no more than $59+5$ of thefe were alive, 1210 muft have died every year. That is; almof all the burials in the inland, for fix years, muft have been burials of children under feven years of age. This is plainly incredible; and, therefore, it feems certain, that the number of children under feven years of age mutt, through fome mifake, be given, in that account, 3200 or 4000 too little.
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fulnefs of different fituations, mark the progrefs of population from year to year, keep always in view the number of people in the kingdom, and, in many other refpects, furnifh inftruction of the greateft importance to the flate. Mr. De Moivre, at the end of his book on the dotrine of chances, has recommended a general regulation of this kind; and obferved, particularly, that at leaft it is to be wihbed, that an account was taken, at proper intervals, of all the living in the kingdom, with their ages and occupations; which would, in fome degree, anfwer moft of the purpofes 1 have mentioned. - But, dear Sir, lam fenfible it is high time to finifh thefe remarks. I have been carried in them far beyond the limits I at firf intended. I always think with pleafure and gratitude of your friendfhip. The world owes to you many important difcoveries; and your nume muft live as long as there is any knowledge of philofophy among mankind. That your happinefs in this, and every other refpect, may continually increafe, is the fincere wifh of,

Sir,
Your much obliged, and very humble fervant,

Richard Price.
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## POSTSCRIPT.

$A^{T}$T Edinbirgh, bills of mortality, of the fame kind with thofe in London, have. been kept for many years. I have, fince the foregoing letter was written, examined thefe bills, and formed a Table of Obfervations from them, as I found them for a period of 20 years, beginning in 1739 , and ending in 1758. - As this is a town of moderate bulk, and feems to have a particular advantage of fituation ; I expected to find the probabilities of life in it, nearly the fame with thofe at Breflaw, Nortbanapton and Norwich; but I have been furprized to obferve, that this is not the cafe. During the period I have mentioned, only one in 42 of all who died at Edinburgh, reached 80 years of age; which is a fmaller proportion than attains to the fame age in London. See p. 201 .-In general ; it appears, that the probabilities of life in this town are much the fame, thro' all the fages of life, with thofe in London, the chief difference being, that after 30 , they are rather lower at Edinburgh. -It is not difficult to account for this. It affords, I think, a friking proof of the pernicious offects arifing from uncleanlinefs, and crouding together on one fot too many inhabitants. At Ediaburgh, Mr. Maitiand fays, " the build"ings, elfewhere called boufes, are denomi-
" nated lands; and the apartments, in other " places named fories, here called boules, are " fo many freeholds inhabited by different " families; whereby the houfes are fo ex"ceffively crouded with people, that the " inhabitants of this city may be jufly pre"fumed to be more numerous than thole of " fome towns of triple its dimenfions." See Maitland's Hifory of Edinburgh, p. 140.

In the year 1748, the whole number of apartments or families in the city and liberties of Edinburgh, was 9064. This Mr. Maitland mentions as the refult of particular examination, and undoubtedly right. 16. p. 217 , 218.-In 1743, an accurate account was taken, by the defire of this writer, of the number of families and inbabitants in the parifh of St. Cutbbert. Ib. p. 171. The number of families was 2370 , and of inbabitants at all ages, 9731 . The proportion, therefore, of inbabitants to families, was $4 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}}$ to 1 ; and, fuppofing this the true proportion for the whole town, the number of inhabitants will be $4 \frac{1}{5}$ multiplied by 9064 , or 37,162 . The yearly medium of deaths in the town and liberties for eight years, from 1741 to 1748 , was $1783 . I b$. p. 220 and 222. And, confequently, one in $20 \frac{4}{5}$ died annually.

Mr. Maitland, tho' poffeffed of the data from which the ee conclufions neceffarily follow, has made the number of inhabitants 50,120 , in confequence of a difpolition to
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exaggerate in thefe matters, and of affuming, without any reafon, a 28 th part of the inhabitants as dying annually.

In page 220 , he exprefles much furprize at finding, that the number of males in this town was lefs than the number of females, in the proportion of 3 to 4 . Bui this is by no means peculiar to Edinburgh.

All I have been faying muft be underftood of the ftate of Edinburgh, before the year 1758. The bills, for the laft 12 years, have been fo irreguiar, and fo different from the fame bills for the preceding years, and from all other bills, that I cannot give them any credit. Either fome particular incorrectnefs has crept into the method of keeping them; or there has been fome change in the fate of the town which renders them of no ufe. Probably the former is the truth.

From the note in p. 203, it appears, that the chriftenings and burials at PARis, come very near to equality. This once led me to fufpect, that there muft be fome particular fingularity in the ftate of Paris, which rendered it much lefs prejudicial to health and population than great towns commonly are. But better information has lately obliged me to entertain very different fentiments. - The difference between the births and burials at Paris, is much greater than the bills fhew.
"Children here are baptized the inftant " they are born; and, in a day or two af" terwards, it is the cuftom to fend them to " the adjacent villages to be nurfed. A " great number therefore, of the infants born " at Paris, die in the country, and thefe "appear only in the regifter of chriften"ings." See a book entitled the Police of France, page 127. And Buffon's Natural Hiftory, Tom. II. at the end.- "All the "children alfo received into the Foundling"Hospital, are immediately fent to be nuri" ed in the country, at a diftance from Paris, " where they remain 5 or 6 years; at the end " of which time they are brought again to "Paris, the boys to be placed in the fuburbs " of St. Antoine, and the girls at Salpetriere, " to be further maintained till they arrive at " the age of twelve years." Police of France, p. 81. - The following paffage in the fame writer, containing a further account of this Horpital, is important; and, therefore, tho' long, I cannot help tranfcribing it -" Let " us fuppofe, that out of 4000 children an" mually carried into the country, two thirds " may die, during the five years they are de" flined to remain at nurfe; fo that only " 1333 would conftantly be the annual " number fent back to Paris; who, being " kept at the two Hofpitals St. Antoine and "Salpetriere juft mentioned, 'till they are 12 , " and fucceeded by a like number each year, " the
the State of London, Population, \&cc. 213
" the total number compofed of all brought "in the fucceffive years, would make the "confant refting fock to amount to 933 I. "But of thefe we will fuppofe a 5 th part " to die every year. Yet even then the " confant refting fock of children ought to " be 7465 . How greatly then muft we be "furprized to find, by the authentic account " taken from their own books, only 640 " boys in the college of St. Antoine, and not " more tham 600 girls at the Salpetriere; " fo that the refting ftock of returned found" lings appears to be no more than 1240 , "s which being deducted from 7465 , will make " the difference in the deficiencies $6225^{\circ}$ "What then becomes of thefe? - Are they "reclaimed by their parents? - Or do they " perifh for want of care? - In anfwer to " which queftions it was explained to me; "that as many of the lower clafs of people " were induced to marry, in order to be ex"cufed from ferving in the militia; fo when " thele have children, which they are una" ble to mainain, they ufually fend them to " this hofpital; which, therefore, muft be " looked upon, as not only a charity for the "care of expored and deferted children whofe "parents are unknown, but alfo as a public "nurfery for the fuftenance of the children " of poor people, who, tho' regifered at the " office, are often reclained from their coun"s try nurfes by their parents. This accounts, P 3
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" in fome meafure, for the fmall ftock of "children brought back to the hofpital at "Paris.-The further difference is fufpected " to be owing to the infufficient nourihment " they receive; as this particular charity, as "well as the General Hofpital, adopts that "prepofterous method of taking in an un" limited number, while there is only a li"mited income for their fubfiftence." Ib. page 83 .

There facts prove, that, at the fame time that the regificr of chrifenings at Paris muft be full, the regifter of burials mut be very deficient. Let the deficiencies be reckoned at 4600 ; and, confequently, the annual burials at 24,000 . The annual average of weddings, given in p. 203, is 4300 ; and, therefore, the number of perfons who marry amually muft be 8600 . The difference between the chriftenings and burials is 5000 ; which, therefore, is the number of annual recruits from the country. Thefe, in general, inuf be perfons in mature life. Suppofe $3^{600}$ of them to marry after fettling at Paris. Then, 8600 leffened by 3600 or 5000 , will be the number of perfons born at Paris who marry annually ; and 14,100 , or near threefourths of all who are born at Paris, will be the number dying annually in childhood and celibacy.

The fuppofitions on which I have made this computation feem moderate; but if any
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one thinks otherwife, he may make the fame calculation on any other fuppofitions.

The births at Paris are above four times the weddings; and it may feem, therefore, that here, as well as in the mof healthy country fituations, every wedding produces above four children. I have obferved nothing like this in any other great town. Many children born in the country are, I fuppofe (a), brought to the Foundling-Hofpital, and there chriftened. This Hofpital may likewife occafion a more than common number of illegitimate births. And, befides, fome who leave the country to fettle at Paris, may come thither already married. Thefe are circumftances that will fwell the regifter of births, without having any effect on the weddings. I do not, however, know that any of them take place at Paris; and, perhaps, it mutt be granted, that it is diftinguifhed in this refpect from moft other towns. Nor can I wonder at this, if it be indeed true, not only, that all married men in France are excufed ferving in the militia from whence draughts are made for the army, but alfo, that a fifth of all the children born at Paris are fent to the Foundling-Ho/pital (b). Thefe are
(a) " If the parents of a child brought to this Hofpital " are known, the regifter of its baptifm muft be pro"d duced. If the parents are unknown, the child inuft "" be baptifed after being received." Police of France, pag. 82.
(b) See Police of France, \&ic. p. 83. - This writer adds, that a third of all that dic at Paris die in Hofpitals.

$$
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are encouragements to marriage that no other city enjoys. It has been feen that-the Found-ling-Hoppital, tho' attended with this effect, is, probably, in the highe? degree pernicicus; but it is to be wifhed, that fome policy of the fame kind with that firft mentioned, was purfued in this kingdom.-At the end of the ad vol. of Monfieur De Bufon's Natural Hiflory, there are Tables formed from the Obfervations of M. Du Pre de S. Mour, of the French Acadeny, containing an account of the ages at which 13,189 perfons died in three parihes at Poris; and allo, of the ages at which 10,305 perfons died in 12 country parifhes and villages near Paris. - According to thefe Tables, many more die in the beginning of life, and much fewer in the latter part of life, in the country than in Paris. But the circumfances of $P$ aris, and the country round it, are fuch, that no argument can be drawn
"In the Fotel Dieat (a great Hofpital fituated in the ©6 midule of the city) we may, he fays, behold a horrid " icene of mifery; for, the beds being too few for the " numbers admitted, it is common to fee 4 , or 6 , or "even 8 in a bed together, lying 4 at one curl, and 4 86 at the other, ill of various diftempers in feveral deos grees; fore bad, others worfe; fome dying, others "dead. - Above a fifth of all admitted to this Hotpital " die; the annual numbers admitted being 21,823. The © medium of deaths for three years from 1751 to 1753 , "4550. The medium of deaths for the fame years in "whe the Hopitals was 6:81." Ib. p. 85. - In our two great city Hufpitals, St. Tlomas's and St. Bartholomew's, about 600 die annually; or one in 13 of all admitted as in pationts.
from hence in favour of Paris. Many of the children dying in the country, are children font thither from Pars to be nurfed; and, on the other hand, many, perhaps moft, of thofe who die in old age at Paris, are perfons who have removed thither from the country, fome to Moopitals, and fome to places and fettlements. It is evident, therefore, that thefe Tables give a reprefentation of the probabilities of life at Paris, which, when compared with thofe in the adjacent country (a), is jut the reverfe of the truth. Were the children born at Paris, who die in the country, to be transferred to the town regifter; and, on the contrary, the adults born in the country, who die at Paris, to be transferred to the country regifter, there is no reafon to doubt, but that the probabilitics of. life at Paris, would be found as low, in comparifon with thofe in the country, as the probabilities of life in London are ; or, perhaps, much lower. - This obfervation is applicable, in fome degree, to moft other great towns; and, in general, on account of the migrations from the country to towns, navies and armies, we may be fatisfied, that we err on the fide of defect,
(a) It is for this reafon that thefe Tables, when combined, exhibit juftly the mean probabilities of life for town and country taken together ; and that the Table of the decreainents of life deduced from them by M. Buffon and M. Dui Pre, agrees nearly with Dr. Holley's Table.
whenever we judge of the probabilities of life in the country, from the numbers dying in the feveral ftages of life; and, on the fide of excefs, whenever, in the fame way, we judge of the probabilities of life in torons. And this, it is obvious, has a tendency to confirm all that has been faid in the preceding Eflay, concerning the pernicious effects of great towns on human life.

There are feveral ordonances and arrets of council which fix the boundaries of Paris, and prohibit all new buildings beyond thofe boundaries.-The reafons of this regulation, as fet forth in one of thefe arrets, are remarkable; and it will not be improper to recite them.-" By the exceffive aggrandiz" ing of the city, it is faid, the air would be " rendered unwholefome, and the cleaning " the ftreets more difficult."-" Augment" ing the number of inhabitants would aug" ment the price of provifions, labour and " manufactures."-" That ground would be " covered with buildings, which ought to be "cultivated in raifing the neceflary fubfift" ence for the inhabitants; and thereby ha"zard a fcarcity." - "The people in the " neighbouring towns and villages would be " tempted to come and fix their refidence in " the capital, and defert the country." "And laftly; the difficulty of governing fo " great a number of people would occafion " a diforder in the Police, and give an oppor" tunity
" tunity to rogues to commit robberies and " murders (a)."

No one can think overgrown cities greater evils than I do. But, yet, I can by no means approve of this policy. The effect of it muft be, crouding together too many people within the prefcribed boundaries, and rendering a town more the feat of uncleanlinefs, infection and difeafe.-The number of houfes in Paris is reckoned to be $28,000(6)$, or 30,000 ; but the number of inhabitants, fuppofing a 20th part to die annually, cannot be much lefs than 480,000 , or 16 times the number of houfes.

It is happy for London, that there have been no laws to reftrain its increafe. In confequence of being allowed to extend itfelf on all fides into the country, the inhabitants now take near twice the room to live upon that they did; and it is become lefs the means of fhortening human life. See p. 189, 191, and 20 I .

In page 203, I have given the annual medium of births, weddings and burials at BERLin, from 1747 to 1751 . - In 1747, an account was taken with the utmoft care, by the order of the King of Prussia, of the number of inhabitants in this town; and, it was found to be 107,224 . - In order to be more certain, a fecond account was taken the
(a) Vid. Police of France, p. 130.
(b) 1bid.-There are other accounts which makes this number above 50,000.
fame year; and the number found the fame within 200.-In 1749, the inhabitants were increafed to 110,933 . Their number, therefore, compared with the annual burials, was as 27 to 1 . -This is a higher proportion than could be expected in a town fo confiderable ; and alfo fo much crouded, as to have, at an average, 16 inhabitants in every houfe. But there is a plain reafon to be given for this fact. - Berlin, for many years, had been increafing very faft, by a conflux of people from the furrounding country and provinces. About the year 1700, the medium of annual burials was no more than 1000 . In 50 years, therefore, it quadrupled ittelf.-In a city incraling with fuch rapidity, the ratio of inhabitants to the annual deaths, muft be greatly below the juft ftandard. - Were there now, fuch acceffions to London of deferters from the country, in the beginning of mature life, as would caule the number of inhabitants to increafe at the rate of 10,000 every year, it would in 60 years be dorbled; and the proportion of inhebitants to deaths would rife gradually, 'till it came to be about one third greater. Berlin, we have feen, has, in fact, increafed at more than double this rate; and, therefore, the proportion of inhabitants dying annally in it is in reality very low.

The ingenious Sufinilch, to whofe curious book, already quoted, I owe my information concerning Berlin, makes the proportion
the State of London, Population, Ecc. . 22 I of people who die annually in great towne, to be from $\frac{1}{24}$ to $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{8}$; in moderate towns, from $\frac{7}{=5}$ to $\frac{x^{2}}{\mathrm{~T}^{2}}$; and, in the country from $\frac{1}{40}$ to $\frac{1}{50}$. -The obfervations and facts in this Effay, joined to thofe which will be found in the $4^{\text {th }}$ Effay, prove, I think, that thefe proportions may be more truly fated as follows.Great towns, from $\frac{1}{20}$ to $\frac{\frac{1}{2 T}}{2 \pi}$ or $\frac{1}{2 \pi}$. Moderate towns, from $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{5}$. The country, from $\frac{1}{30}$ or $\frac{1}{35}$, to $\frac{1}{50}$ or $\frac{1}{60}$.-This, however, muft be underfood with exceptions. There may be moderate towns fo ill fituated, or whofe inhabitants may be fo crouded together, as to render the proportion of deaths in them greater than in the largeft towns: And, of this, Edinburgh, if it is not now, was 20 years ago, an example.- There may be alfo great towns in which, from a fudden increare, this proportion may be lefs than in fmall towns: And of this, I have juft given an example in Berlin.

ESSA I
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## E S S A Y II.

On Mr. De Moivre's Rules for calculating the $V$ alues of Joint Lives.

THE calculation of the values of $\operatorname{jingle}$ and joint lives, from given Tables of Obfervation, being tedious and troublefome; Mr. De Mioivre has had recourfe to two $H y$ pothefes, which give ealy rules for this purpofe; and which, he thought, correfponded with fufficient exactnefs to Obfervations.The firft of there Hypothefes is, that the probabilities of life decreafe, as we advance from childhood to old age, in an aritbmetical progreffion; or in fuch a manner, that the difference is always the fame, between the number of perfons living at the beginning of any one year, and the number living at the beginning of the next following year. - The other Hypotbefis is, that the probabilities of life decreafe in a geometrical progreffion; or in fuch a manner, that the proportion is always the fame, between the number of perfons living at the beginning of any one year, and the number living at the beginning of the next following year. - All the Tables of Obfervation hew, that the real law, according to which human life waftes, comes much
much nearer to the former Hypothefis, than the latter.-In Tables III, IV, and V, in the Appendix, it is fo near the former Hypothefis, that the difference is fcarcely worth regarding. According to this Hypotbefis, therefore, (accommodated to the Breflaw Table, in the manner mentioned in the note, page 2.) Mr. De Moivre calculated the values of $\overline{\mathrm{ing}}$ le lives; and the rules founded upon it for this purpofe are fo eafy, that an operation which would otherwife take up much time, may be performed almoft iminediately.

By proceeding on the fame principles, the values of joint lives might have been calculated; but the rules for this purpofe derived from there principles, are far from being equally eafy in practife. Here, therefore, Mr. De Moivre quitted his firft Hypathefis; and finding, that the fecond Hypothefis afforded, in the cafe of joint lives, rules that were as eafy, as the rules given by the other Hypothefis were in the cafe of fingle lives, he chofe to adopt this Hypothefiss; believing at the fame time, that the values of joint lives, obtained by rules derived from it, would not deviate much from the truth. But in this he was greatly miftaken. The values of treo joint lives obtained by thefe rules are fo wrong, that in finding the prefent value, in a fingle payment, of one life aiter another, they generally give refults which are near $\frac{7}{4}$ of the true value too great; and about two-
fiftes
fifths too great, when the value is fought in ammal fayments during the joint lives. Thefe are crrors fo contiderable, that I think it is of particular importance that the public hould be informed of them, in order to pre-vent the inconveniencies and perplexities they may occafion.

Mr. Simpon (in the Appendix to his Treatife on the Dostrine of Annuities and Reverfions) has obferved, that Mr. De Moiure's rules for finding the values of joint lives are wrong. But I don't know, that it has been ever attended to, that they are fo wrong as I have found them. Mr. Simpjoin's remarks point out chiefly the errors in thefe rules, when the values of three or more juint lives are calculated by them ; but, 'till I was forced to a particular examination of this fubject by fone difficulties into which I found myfelf brought by following Mr . De Moivre too implicitly, I did not at ail fufpect, that any fuch errors as I have mentioned, could arife from thefe ruics, when the values of only two joint lives are calculated by them. Mr. De Moivre, in coniequence of other remarks contained in Mr. Simpofon's Appendix, altered in the 4 th edition of his Treatife fome of his rules. It is furprizing he did not fee reafon at the fame time to alter thefe.

That there may be no doubt about the truth of thefe obfervations, I will juft mention a few examples of the difference between
the values of a given reverfionary annuity, according to the rules to which 1 have objected, and the true values, according to the exact method of deducing them from Mr . De lioivere's firft Hypotbofis.

Let the propofed annuity be 30 l , to be enjoyed for what fhall happen to remain of the life of a perfon now 40 years of age, after the life of another perfon of the fame age. The value of the joint lives (intereft beinc at 4 per cent.) is, by problem 2 d of Mr . De Moivre's Treatife on Life-annuities, 8.964; which fubtracted from 13.196, (the value by Table VI, of a fingle life at 40 ) gives 4.23; which remainder, multiplied by 30 , gives 2.126 .9 , or the value of the reverfion in a fingle prefent payment. And 126.9, divided by the foregoing value of the joint lives, is $\% .14 .16$; or, the value of the reverfion in annual payments during the joint lives.- But the true values are $l .101 .1$ in a fingle payment, by Queft. I. chap. I.; and l. 10.3 , in annual payments, by Queft. IV.The former values, therefore, are near a quarter of the true value too great in the fingle payment; and near two-ffths too great in the anmual payments.

The true value of the fame anniuity for a life at 66 , after another life of the fame age, is, (reckoning intereft as before, at 4 per cent.) 68\%. in a fingle payment; and 13.5 , in annual payments.- But thefe values, according
to the Problem juft quoted, are $91 /$., and $21 \%$. one of which is near a third, and the other above balf the true value too great.

In unequal lives thefe errors may be no lefs conliderable. - Thus; if the value of the propofed annuity be required for a life at 70 , after a life at 30 years of age; it will, by the fame Problem, be 1.26 .5 , in a fingle payment; and $l .5 .1$, in amual payments during the joint lives. But the true values are $17 \%$. and 1.3 .05 .

Where 3 or more lives are concerned the errors will be ftill greater.

The true values of the joint lives, mentioned in thefe Examples, have been calculated by a rule in pag. 16, of Mr. Simpforis Treatife on the Doctrine of Annuities and Reverfions, and explained in note (M) Ap-pendix.-To Cave, however, a great deal of trouble hereafter, I ha:e thought proper to calculate Table VII, which gives the exact values, according to Mr. De Moivere's firfo Hypothefis, of two joint lives, for every five years of human life from, 10 to 70 .

This Hypothe/is, I have obferved, does not differ much from the Tables of Obfervation in the Appendix, for Breflaw, Nortbampton and Norwich. Between the ages of 30 and 40 , it gives the values of fingle lives almort the fame with the Breflaw Table. Under 30, it gives them fomewhat le/s; and above 40 , domewhat greater. But it ought to be remembered,
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membered, that wherever it does this, it gives, at the fame ages, the values of the joint lives allo too little or too great; and that, confequently, the refults from it, in calculating the values of Reverfions, and of the longert of given lives, come fo much nearer to exactnels.

The rules to which I have objected are the only ones given by Mr. De Moiore, in all the editions of his Treatife on Life-Annuities. But it feems, this great mathematician became at laft fenfible, that they were too incorrect ; and, therefore, at the end of the laft edition of his Treatife on the Doctrine of Chances, pag. 320, (a work which gets into comparatively few hands) he has given other rules which come nearer the truth. But even thefe rules produce errors fo great in many cales, (particularly when combined with the errors of the Hypothefis) that it will be beft never to ufe them.
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## E S S A Y III.

Of the Method of calculating the $V$ alues of Reverfions depending onz Survivor/lips.

AL L Queftions relating to the values of lives and reverfions, are at prefent of particular importance in this kingdom. Much bufinefs is continually tranfacted in this way; and any confiderable errors in the methods of folving fuch queftions, muft in time produce very bad confequences.-The defign of the following obfervations is to point out a particular error, into which there is danger of falling, in finding the values of fuch reverfions as depend on furvivorfhips. In doing this, I thall, in order to be as plain as polible, take the following cafe. "A, aged " 40 , expects to come to the pofieflion of " an eftate, thould he furvive B, aged like" wife 40. In thefe circumftances he offers, " in order to raife a prefent fum, to give fe"carity for 40 l . per anmum, out of the eftate " at his death, provided he hould get into "pollici-
"s poficfion; that is, provided he fhould fur"vive B. What is the fum that ought now " to be advanced to him, in confideration of "fuch fecurity, reckoning compound intereft " at 4 per cent?"

Mr. De Moivere's directions in his Treatife on Annuities, Problems 17th and 20th, lead us to feek the required fum in this cafe, by the following procefs.

Find firt, the prefent fum A fhould receive, for the reverion of $40 \%$. per annum for ever after his death; fuppofing it not dependent on his furviving $B$. The prefent value of fuch a reverfion is "the (a) value of the life " fubtracted from the perpetuity, and the re" mainder multiplied by the annual rent."The value of the life is, by Table VI, I 3.196. This fubtracted from 25 , the perpetuity, leaves 11.80; which, multiplied by 40 , gives 1.472 ; the value of the fuppofed eftate, after the life of A. But, as Mr. De Moivre obferves, the lender having a chance to lofe his money, a compenfation ought to be made to him for the rifk he runs, which is founded on the poffibility, that a man of 40 years of age may not furvive another perfon of the fame age. This chance is an equal chance; and, therefore, half the preceding fum, or $236 \%$ is the money which hould be advanced now on the expectation mentioned.
> (a) By Scholium, p. 34, and Problern 25th, p. 253. of Mr. Simpfon's sclect Exercifes.
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This folution carries a plaufible appearance; and molt perfons will, probably, be ready to pronounce it right; nor will this be at all wonderful, as fo great a matter of there fubjects as Mr. De Moiure appears to have been milled by it. - Nothing more is neceflary to prove it to be fallacious, than proceeding in the fame way to folve the following fimilar Queftion.
" A, aged 40 , offers to give fecurity for " $40 \%$. per ammon, to be entered upon at his " death, provided it fhould happen before the "death of B, aged likewife 40 . What fum " Gould now be advanced to him for fuch a "reverfion, interest! being reckoned at 4 per "cent?"

In Solving this Problem, agreeably to the method jut defcribed, we are to find the valie of $40 \%$ per annam, to be entered upon certainly at the death of A; and then to multiply this value by the chance that A hall not Survive $B$, or by $\frac{1}{2}$; and in this way the answer comes out the fame with that already given.

Now it may be eafily feen, that this mut be wrong. The value of a reverfion, to be received when a peron of a given age dies, cannot be the fame, whether the condition of obtaining it is, that he shall die before, or that he hall die after another perron. That is, whether it is provided, that a purchafer, if he fucceeds, hall get into poffeffion Sooner or
foter. The reverfion in the latter cafe muft, without doubt, be of lefs value than in the former.

The firf Queftion here propofed, refolves itfelf into the following general Queftion.
"What is the prefent value of a given re" verfionary eftate, to be entered upon after " the failure of two lives, provided one in "particular of them fhould be the longeft " life?"

Now, the prefent value of an eftate to be enjoyed for ever, after the failure of the longeft of two lives, is " the value of the longeft " of the two lives, fubtracted from the per"petuity; and the remainder multiplied by " the annual rent of the eftate."-The value of the longeft of two lives is, (as is well-known) the value of the two joint lives, fubtracted from the fum of the (a) values of the two fingle lives. In the prefent cafe, therefore, it is 9.82 , (the value of two joint lives at the age of 40 by Table VII,) fubtracted from twice 13.196; (the value of a fingle life at the fame age by Table VI,) that is, 16.57 year's purchafe. And this fubtracted from 25, (the perpetuity) gives 8.43 ; which, multiplied by 40 , gives 1.337 .2 , the value of the given eftate were it certainly to be enjoyed, after the ex-
(a) See Mr. De Moivre on Annuities, Problem IV; or Mr. Simpjon's Doctrine of Annuities and Reverfions, Prob. II.
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tinetion of the longeft of tiwo lives both 40 ; that is, whether one or other of them failed laft. But that A's life in particular mould fail lat, rather than B's, is an even chance. The true value of the reverfion, therefore, is half the laft value, or l.168.6.

In like manner. The fecond Queftion is the fame with the Queftion, "What is the pre"fent vaiue of $40 l$. per ann. for ever, to be en" tered upon after the extinction of two joint " lives both 40 ; that is, whenever eitber of " them fhall fail ; provided the firft that fails " fhould happen to be A's life in particular?" - And the anfwer is found by fubtracting the prefent value of the two joint lives from the perpetuity, and multiplying the remainder by $\frac{r}{2}$, or by the chance that $A$ in particular hall die firft: And this will give the required value, $1.303 \cdot 4$ (a).

In fort. It appears in both thefe cafes, that, according to the firft method of folution, we are to fubtract from the perpetuity the value of one of the fingle lives; when, in the former cafe, the value of the longeft of the two lives; and, in the latter cafe, the value of their joint continuance, ought, in reality, to be fubtracted. I need not fay what prodigious errors may often arife from hence; and how unfit fuch a method of folution is for practice.
(a) I have, tho' fearcely neceffary, given a demonftra$\therefore$ Inf of theie Solutims in the Appendia, note ( $N$ ).

Mr.

Mr. Simppon, in p. 322, of his Select Exercifes, fpeaks on this fubject in the following manner. - "I have been very particular " on thefe kinds of Problems; and the more "fo, as there has been no method before pub" liihed, that I know of, by which they can " be rightly determined. 'Tis true, the man" ner of proceeding, by firft finding the pro" bability of furvivorfhip, (which method is " ufed in my former work, and which a cele"brated author has largely infifted on in three " fucceffive editions) may be applied to good " advantage, when the given ages are nearly " equal; but then it is certain, that this is "r not a genuine way of going to work, and " that the conclufions hence derived are at " beft but near approximations."

This exceilent mathematician has here expreffed himfelf much too favourably of the method of folution on which I have remarked. - In both the cafes I have fpecified the ages are equal; and yet, in one of them the error is a good deal above a third of the true value, and in the other, a fifth: And, it is obvious, that in cafes where three equal lives are taken, the errors will be much greater. - Mr. Simpfon's Obfervations in this paffage are true only, when applied to a different method ufed by himfelf, in the 28 th and following Problems of his Treatife on the Doctrine of Anmuities and Reverfions. This method is exact when the lives are equal ; but,
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it gives refults which are too far from the truth, when there is any conliderable inequality between the lives.

It is with reluctance I have made fome of thele remarks. Mr. De Moivre has made very important improvements in this branch of fcience; and the higheft refpect is due to his name and authority. This, however, only renders thefe remarks more neceffary.

In the firft Chapter (Queftions ioth, in th, 12th, 4 th, \&cc.) I have given a minute account of the method of finding, in all cafes, the values of the reverfions which have been the fubject of this Elfay.

## [235]

Oifenations on the proper Metbod of conffructing Tables for determining the Rate of buman Mortality, the Number of Iubabitants, and the $V$ aines of Lives in any Town or Diftrict, from Bills of Mortality in which are given, the Numbers dying annually at all Ages.

N every place that juft fupports itfelf in the number of its inhabitants, without any recruits from other places; or where, for a courle of years, there has been no increafe or decreafe, the number of perfons dying every year at any particular age, and above it, murt be equal to the number of the living at that age. - The number, for example, dying every year, at all ages, from the beginning to the utmof extrennity of life, muft, in fuch a fituation, be juft equal to the whole number born every year. And for the fame reafon, the number dying every year at one year of age and upwards; at two years of age and upwards; at three and upwards, and fo on; muft be equal to the numbers that attain to thofe ages every year; or, which is the fame,
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to the numbers of the living at thofe ages. It is obvious, that unlefs this happens, the number of inhabitants cannot remain the fame. If the former number is greater than the latter, the inhabitants mut decreafe; if lefs, they muft increafe. - From this obfervation it follows, that in a town or country, where there is no increafe or decreafe, bills of mortality which give the ages at which all die, will hew the exact number of inhabitants; and allo the exact law, according to which human life waftes in that town or country.

In order to find the number of inhabitants; the mean numbers dying annually, at every particular age and upwards, muft be taken as given by the bills, and placed under one another in the order of the fecond column of the 12 th Table in the Appendix. Thefe numbers will, it has appeared, be the numbers of the living at $1,2,3,80 c$. years of age; and, confequently, the fum, diminifhed by half the number bom annually (a), will be the whole
(a) This fubtraction is neceffary for the following rea-fon.-In a Table formed in the manner here directed, it is fuppofed, that the numbers in the fecond column are all living together at the beginning of every year. Thus; the number in the focond column oppofite to o in the fir $l t$ column, the Table fuppofes to be all juft born together on the firt day of the year. The number, likewife, opjofite to I , it fuppoles to attain to one year of
whole number of inhabitants. - In fuch a feries of numbers, the exceis of each number above that which immediately follows it, will be the number dying every year, out of the particular number alive at the beginning of the year; and thefe exceffes fet down regularly as in the third colum: of the Table to which I have referred, will thew the different rates at which human life wafes thro' all its different periods, and the different probabilities of life at all particular ages.

It muft be remembered, that what has been now faid goes on the fuppofition, that the place whofe bills of mortality are given, fupports itfelf, by procreation only, in the number of its inhabitants. In towns this very feldom happens, on account of the luxury and debauchery which generally prevail in them. They are, therefore, commonly kept up by a conftant acceffion of ftrangers or Settlers,
age juft at the fame time that the former number is born.
And the like is true of every number in the fecond co-lumn.-During the courfe of the year, as many will die at all ages as were born at the beginning of the year; and, confequently, there will be an excefs of the number alise at the beginning of the year, above the number alive as the end of the year, equal to the whole number of the annual births; and the true number conftantly alive together, is the arithmetical mean between thefe two numbers; or, agreeably to the rule I have given, the furm of the numbers in the fecond column of the Table, leffened by half the number of annual births. See E.fiy $l_{2}$ parge 174.
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who remove to them from country parifhes and villages. lin thefe circumftances, in order to find the true number of inhabitants, and probabilities of life, from bills of mortality containing an account of the ages at which all die; it is neceffary, that the proportion of the amual births to the annual fettlers fhould be known ; and allo the period of life at which the latter remove. - Both thefe particulars may be difcovered in the following method.

If for a courle of years there has been no fenfible increafe or decreafe in a place, the number of amual fettlers will be equal to the excefs of the annual burials above the annual births. If there is an increafe, it will be greater than this excefs. If there is a decreafe, it will be lefs.

The period of life at which thefe fettlers remove, will appear in the bills by an increafe in the number of deaths at that period and beyond it. Thus; in the London bills, the number of deaths, between 20 and $3^{0}$, is generally above double; and between $3^{\circ}$ and 40 , near triple the number of deaths between 10 and 20: And the true account of this is, that from the age of 18 or 20 , to 35 or 40 , thiere is an afflux of people every year to Londion from the country, which occafions a great increafe in the number of inhahionts at thefe ages; and, confequently, raifes the deaths for all ages above 20 , confiderably
fiderably above their due proportion, when, compared with the number of deaths before 20. - This is obfervable in all the bills of mortality for towns with which I am acquainted, not excepting even the Breflaru bills. Dr. Halley takes notice, that thefe bills gave the number of deaths, between ro and 20 , too fmall. This he confidered as an irregularity in them, owing to chance; and, therefore, in forming his Table of Obfervations, he took the liberty fo far to correct it, as to render the proportion of thofe who die to the living in this divifion of life, nearly the fame with the proportion which, he fays, he had been informed (a) die annually of the young lads in Cbrifl-Cburch Hopital. But the truth is, that this irregularity in the bills was derived from the caufe I have juft affign-ed.-During the five years for which the Breflaw bills are given by Dr. Halley, the births did, indeed, a little exceed the burials; but, it appears, that this was the effect of fome peculiar caufes that happened to operate juft at that time; for, during a complete century from 1633 to 1734 , the annual medium of births was 1089 (b), and of bu-
(a) See Lowthorp's Abridgment of the Philofophical Tranfactions, vol. III. p. 670 -Dr. Halley's information in this inftance was not right, as will appear prefently: and, therefore, he has by no means fufficiently corrected the irregularity I have mentioned.
(b) See Dr. Sion's Comparative Hifory, p. G3.
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rials $125^{6}(a)$. This town, therefore, muft have been all along kept up by a number of yearly recruits from other places, equal to about a feventh part of the yearly births.

What has been now obferved, concerning the period of life at which people remove from the country to fettle in towns, would appear fufficiently probable, were there no fuch evidence for it as I have mentioned; for it might be well reckoned, that thefe people in general, muft be fingle perfons in the beginning of mature life, who, not having yet obtained fettlements in the places where they were born, migrate to towns in queft of employments.

Having premifed thefe Obfervations, I hall next endeavour to explain diftinctly, the effect which thefe acceffions to towns muft have, on Tables of Obfervation formed from theit bills of mortality. This is a fubject proper to be infifted on, becaufe miftakes have been committed about it; and becaufe alfo, the difcuftion of it is neceffary to fhew, how near to truth the values of lives come as deduced from fuch Tables.

The following general rule may be given on this fubject.
(a) It appears from the account in the Pbilofophical Tranfactions, (Abridgment, vol. VII, No. 380, p. 46, \&c.) that from 1717 to 1725 , the annual medium of births at Breflaw was 1252, of burials 1507; and alfo, that much the greateft part of the births died under 10 years of zge.

If a place has, for a courfe of years, been maintained in a fate nearly ftationary, as to number of inhabitants, by recruits coming in every year, to prevent the decreafe that would arife from the excels of the burials above the births; a Table formed on the principle, " that the number dying annually, after every "particular age, is equal to the number liv" ing at that age," will give the number of inhabitants, and the probabilities of life, too great, for all ages preceding that at which the recruits ccafe; and after this, it will give them rigbt. - If the acceffions are fo great as to caule an increafe in the place, fuch a Ta ble will give the number of inhabitants, and the probabilities of life, too little, after the age at which the acceffions ceafe (a); and too great, if there is a decreafe. Before that age it will in both cafes give them too great; but mof confiderably fo in the former cafe, or when there is an increafe.
(a) Agreeably to there Obfervations; if a place increafes, not in confequence of acceffions from other places, but of a conftant excefs of the births above the deaths; a Table, conitructed on the principle I have mentioned, will give the probabilities of life ton low through the whbole extent of life; becaufe, in fuch circumftances, the number of deaths in the firft flages of life mult be too great, in comparifon of the number of deaths in the latter ftages; and more or lefs fo, as the increafe is more or lefs rapid. - The contrary, in all refuects, takes place where there is a decreafe, arifing from the excefs of the deaths above the dieths.
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For example. Let us fuppofe, that 244 of thofe born in a town, attain annually to 20 years of age; and that 250 more, all likewife 20 ycars of age, come into it annually from other places; in confequence of which, it has, for a courfe of years, been juft maintained in the number of its inhabitants, without any fenlible increafe or decreafe. In thefe circumfances, the number of the living in the town of the age of 20 , will be always 244 natives and 250 fettlers, or 494 in all; and, fince thefe are fuppofed all to die in the town, and no more recruits are fuppofed to come in ; 494 will be likewife the number dying annually at 20 and upwards. - In the fame manner; it will appear on thefe fuppoitions, that the number of the living, at every age, fubfequent to 20 , will be equal to the number dying annually at that age and above it ; and, confequently, that the number of inhabitants and the decrements of life, for every fuch age, will be given exactly by the Table I have fuppofed. But for all ages before 20, they will be given much too great. For let 280 of all born in the town, reach 10. In this cafe, 280 will be the true number of the living in the town at the age of 10 ; and the recruits not coming in 'till 20 , the number given by the bills, as dying between 10 and 20 , will be the true number dying annually of the living in this divifion of life. Let this number be 36 ; and it will
follow, that the Table ought to make the numbers of the living at the ages between 10 and 20 , a feries of decreafing means between 280 and ( 280 diminifhed by 36 , or) 244 . But in forming the Table on the principle I have mentioned, 250 (the number above 20 dying annually in the town who were not born in it) will be added to cach number in this feries; and, therefore, the Table will give the numbers of the living, and the probabilities of life in this divifion of life, almoft twice as great as they really are. - This obfervation, it is manifeft, may be applied to all the ages under 20.

It is neceffary to add, that fuch a Table will give the number of inbabitants, and the probabilities of life, equally wrong before 20 , whether the recruits all come in at 20, agreeably to the fuppofition juft made, or only begin then to come in. In this laft cafe, the Table will give the number of inhabitants, and probabilities of life, too great throughout the whole extent of life, if the recruits come in at all ages above 20. But if they ceafe at any particular age, it will give them right only from that age; and before, it will err all along on the fide of excefs; but lefs confiderably betwcen 20 and that age, than before 20. - For example. If, of the 250 I have fuppofed to come in at 20 , only 150 then come in, and the reft at 30 ; the numbers of the living will be given 100 too high, R 2
at every age between 20 and 30 ; but, as juff fhewn, they will be given 250 too high at every age before 20.-In general, therefore, the number of the living at any particular age, muft be given by the fuppofed Table, as many too great as there are annual fettlers after that age; and, if thefe fettlers come in at all ages indifcriminately, during any certain interval of life; the number of inhabitants and the probabilities of life will be continually growing lefs and lefs wrong, the nearer any age is to the end of that interval. -Thefe obfervations prove, that Tables of Obfervation formed in the common way, from bills of mortality for places, where there is an excefs of the burials above the births, muft be erroneous, for a great part of the duration of life, in proportion to the degree of that excefs. They thew likewife, at what parts of life the errors in fuch Tables are moft conliderable, and how they may be in a great meafure corrected.

All this I thall beg leave to exemplify and illuftrate a little further, in the particular cafe of London.

The number of deaths, between the ages of 10 and 20, is always fo fmall in the London bills, that it feems certain few recruits come to London under 20; or at leaft, not fo many as before this age are fent out for education to fchools and univerfities. After 20, great numbers come in.'till 30 , and fome, perhaps,
perhaps, 'till 40 or 50 . - But, at every age after 50, it is probable, that more retire from London than come to it.- The London Tables of Obfervation, thercfore, being formed on the principle I have mentioned, cannot give the probabilities of life right 'till 40 . Between 30 and 40 they mut be a little too high ; but more fo between 20 and 30 ; and moft of all fo before 20.-It follows allo, that thefe Tables muft give the number of inhabitants in London much too great.

Table XII, in the Appendix, is a Table formed in the manner I have explained, from the London bills for 10 years, from 1759 to 1768 ; and adapted to a 1000 born as a radix. The fum of the numbers in the fecond column, diminifhed by half the number born, is 25,757 . According to this Table then, for every 1000 deaths in London, there are $25^{\frac{3}{+}}$ as many inhibitants; or, in other words, the expectation of a child juft born is $25 \frac{3}{4}$; and the inhabitants are to the annual burials, as $25^{\frac{3}{4}}$ to I . -But it has appeared, that the numbers in the fecond column being given on the fuppofition, that all who die in London were born there, muft be too great ; and we have from hence a demonstration, that the probabilities of life are given in the common Tables of London Obfervations, too high, for, at leaft, the firft 30 years of life; and alfo, that the number of inhabitants in London muft be lefs, than $25^{\frac{3}{4}}$, multiplied by the annual burials. - The common Tables, therefore, of London

Odicta

Obfervations, undoubtedly want to be corrected (a) ; and the way of doing this, and in general, the right method of forming genuine Tables of Obfervation for towns, may be learnt from the following rule.
"From the fum of all that die annually, "after any given age, fubtract the number " of annual fettlers after that age; and the "remainder will be the number of the liv"ing at the given age."

This rule can want no explication or proof, after what has been already faid.

If, therefore, the number of annual fettlers in a town at every age could be afcertained; a perfeci Table of Obfervations might be formed for that town, from bills of mortality, containing an account of the ages at which all die in it. But no more can be learnt in this inftance, from any bills, than the whole number of annual Jettlers, and the general divifion of life in which they enter. This, however, may be fufficient to enable us to form Tables that fhall be tolerably exan.For infance. Suppofe the cmmual deatbs in a town which has not increafed or decreafed,
(a) The ingenious and accurate Mr. Simp for faw that it was neceffary to correct the London Tables, and he has done it with great judgment; but, I think, too imperfectly, and without going upon any fixt principles, or fhewing particularly, how Tables of Obfervation ought to be formed, and how far in different circumftances, and tat diferent ages, they are to be depended on.
to have been for many years, in the proportion of 4 to 3 , to the ammal birtbs. It will hence follow, that $\div$ of the perfons who die in fuch a town are Jetilers, or emigrants from other places; and not natives: And the fudden increafe in the deaths after 20, will alfo fiew, agreeably to what was before oblerved, that they enter after this age. In forming, therefore, a Table for fuch a town, a quarter of all that die at all ages throughont the whole extent of life, mutt be decucted from the fum of all that die after every given age before 20 ; and the remainder will be the true number living at that given age. And if, at 20 , and every age above it, this deduction is omitted, or the number of the living at every fuch age is taken the fame with the fum of all that die after it, the refult will be (fuppofing moft of the fettlers to come in before 30 , and all before 40) a Table exact 'till 20 ; too high between 20 and 30 ; but nearly right for fome years before 40 ; and after 40 exact again. -Such a Table, it is evident, will be the fame with the Table laft defcribed at all ages above 20 ; and different from it only under 20. - It is evident alfo that, on account of its giving the probabilities of life too great for fome years after 20 , the number of inhabitants deduced from it may be depended on as fomewhat greater than the truth; and more or lefs fo, as the annual recruits enter in general later or fooner after 20.
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Let us now confider, what the refult of thefe remarks will be, when applied particularly to the London bills.

It muft be here firft obferved, that, at leaft, one quarter of all that die in London are Jettlers from the country, and not natives.-The medium of annual burials for 10 years, from 1759 to 1768 , was 22,956 ; of births 15,710 . The excefs is 7246 ; or near a third of the burials.-The fame excefs, during io years, before 1750 , was 10,500 ; or, near balf the burials. London was then decreafing. For the laft 12 or 15 years it has been increajing. This excefs, therefore, agreeably to the foregoing obfervations, was then greater than the number of annual fettlers; and it is now lefs. I have chofen, however, to fuppofe the number of annual fettlers to be now, no more than a quarter of the annual burials, in order to allow for more omiffions in the births than the burials; and alfo, in order to be more fure of obraining refults that fhall not exceed the truth.

Of every thoufand then who die in Loncion, only 750 are natives, and 250 are fetticrs, who come to it after 18 or 20 years of age: And, confequently, in order to obtain from the bills a more correct Table than the 12th in the Appendix, 250 muft be fubtracted from every one of the numbers in the fecond column 'till 20 ; and the numbers in the third column muft be kept the fame, the bills always giving thefer right. - After 20,
the Table is to be continued unaltered; and the refult will be, a Table which will give the numbers of the living at all ages in London much nearer the truth, but ftill fomewhat too high. - Such is the 13 th Table in the Appendix. - The fum of all the numbers in the fecond column of this Table, diminifhed by 500 , is 20,750 . For every 1000 deaths, therefore, in London, there are, according to this Table, 20,750 living perfons in it; or for every fingle death, $20 \frac{3}{7}$ inhabitants. It was before fhewn, that the number of inhabitants in London could not be fo great as 25 times $\frac{3}{7}$ the deaths. It now appears, (fince the numbers in the fecond column of this Table are too high) that the number of inhabitants in London cannot be fo great as even 20 times $\frac{3}{7}$ the deaths. And this is a conclufion which, I believe, every one who will beftow due attention on what has been faid, will find himfelf forced to receive. It will not be amifs, however, to confirm it by the following fact, the knowledge of which I owe to the particular enquiry, and kind information of Mir. Harris, the ingenious mafter of the Royal Mathematical School in Chrift-Church Hofpital. The average of lads in this fchool has, for 30 years paft, been 831 . They are admitted at all ages between feven and eleven; and few ftay beyond 16. They are, therefore, in general lads between the ages of eight and 16 . They have better accommodations than it
can be fuppofed children commonly have; and about 300 of them have the particular advantage of being educated in the country. In fuch circumftances it may be well reckoned, that the proportion of children dying annually, muft be lefs than the general proportion of children dying annually at the fame ages in London. - The fact is, that, for the laft $3 \circ$ years, $11 \frac{4}{5}$ have died annually; or one in $70 \frac{2}{3}$.

According to Table XIII, one in 73 dies between 10 and 20, and one in 70 between eight and 16 . That Table, therefore, probably gives the decrements of life in London, at thefe ages, too little, and the numbers of the living too great: And, if this is true of thefe ages, it muft be true of all other ages under 20 ; and it follows demonftrably, in conformity to what was before fhewn, that more people fettle in London after 20, than the $\frac{x}{7}$ I have fuppored; and that from 20 to at leaft 30 or 35 , the numbers of the living are given too great, in proportion to the decrements of life.

In this Table the numbers in the fecond column are doubled at 20 , agreeably to what really happens in London; and the fum of the numbers in this column diminifhed by half the whole number of deaths, gives the cupeefetion of life, not of a child juft born, as in other Tables, but of all the inhabitants of Louldon at the time they enter it, whether that be at birth, or at 20 years of age. The
expectations, therefore, and the values of London lives under 20, cannot be calculated from this Table. But it may be very eafily fitted for this purpofe, by finding the number of births which, according to the given decrements of life, will leave 4.94 alive at 20 ; and then adapting the intermediate numbers in fuch a manner to this radix, as to preferve all along the number of the living, in the fame proportion to the numbers of the dead. This is done in the 14th Table in the Appendix; and this Table may, I fancy, be recommended as better adapted to the prefent ftate of London than any other Table. The values of lives, however, deduced from it, are in general nearly the fame with thofe deduced by Mr. Simpfon, from the London billis as they ftood 40 years ago. The main difference is, that after $5^{2}$, and in old age, this Table gives them fomewhat lower than Mr. Simpfon's Table.

It has fufficiently appeared, what judgment we are to form of the values of lives thus deduced. During the greatef part of the interval of life, in which the annual recruits that keep up London come to it, thefe values err on the fide of exce $/ s$; and after that interval, they err, perhaps, a little on the fide of defect (a), on account of retirements from London in the laft ftages of life.

The
(a) I have not taken into account the effect of migrations from towns, on Tables formed in the manner I have explained;

The number of inhabitants in London may alfo be learnt from what has been offered, more
cxplained; becaufe, towns in general being kept up by recruits from the country, the migrations fiom them are of little confequence, compared with the migrations to them.-Thus; in London, it appears, from the much greater number of deaths between 40 and 50, than in any other equal interval of life after 10 , that more people come to it than leave it, at every age between 20 and 50. After 50 , it is probable, that the contrary happens. But, it fhould be confidered, that emigrants from London after 50, are chiefly perfons who, having got fortunes in bufinefs, chufe to leave off, and to fpend the latter part of their lives in country retirements. But how few are thefe compared with the multitudes who, tho' poffeffed of good fortmes, never retire; and with the bulk of the inhabitants in lower ftations, who never can be able, without the greateft inconveniencies, to quit the fettlements by which they are fupported? It is, however, likely, that retirements from London are now more numerous than they ever were; and that they have fome effect on the bills of mortality, and on Tables formed from them; by cauling thefe Tables to give the number of the living too little, in comparifon with the decrements of life, at every age, from that at which the migrations to and from London become equal, to the age at which the latter ceafe.-To explain this; let us fuppofe, that none fettle in London after 50; but that, between 35 and 50 , as many come to it as retire from it at all ages after 35 ; and that thefe retirements ceafe at 70 . In this cafe, the Tables will give the proportion of the living to the decrements of life too high 'till 35. At 35, this proportion will be given right. After 35, it will begin to be given too low; and this error will increare 'till 50 ; from which age it will decreafe gradually 'till it vanilhes at 70: And after 70, the Tables will be exactly right again.-This is the exact flate of the effect of retirements from London, on the London Table of Obfervations. But țhis effect appears, indeed, to be inconfiderable; for after
more nearly than by any method which has been hitherto taken. It cannot, it has been fhewn, exceed 20 times $\frac{3}{4}$ the number of annual deaths. Could, therefore, the annual deaths be afcertained, we fhould know the number of inhabitants within pretty narrow limits. But the omiffions in the bills are fuch, that it is not poffible to afcertain, with exactnefs, the annual deaths. Dr. Brakenridge fuppofed thefe omiffions to amount to 2000 annually. The refult of a very minute enquiry by Mr. Maitland is, that in the year 1729 , they amounted to 3038 . But they are probably now much more confiderable, than either of thefe writers have reckoned them (a). Let them be 6000 ; and the number of inhabitants will be 601,750 at moft.

All the preceding Obfervations are, it is plain, applicable to bills of mortality for towns in general; and point out the way of deducing from them genuine Tables of $\mathrm{Ob}-$
after 50, the values of lives by the London Table, are continually approaching nearer and nearer to the fame values by other Tables; which could not happen were retirements attended with any great effect. - It is proper to add, that in fumming up, as above-explained, the numbers of the living, in order to find the number of inhabitants in Londen, the circumftance that thefe numbers may be too finall for fome years after 40 or 50 , in confequence of retirements, is, undoubtedly, much more than balanced by their being given too high between 20 and 40.
(a) Vid. Preface to a Collection of the Bills of Mortality from 1657 to $175^{8}$, p. 4 , \&ic.
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fervations, which fall give the truc probabilities and values of lives, and the true number of inhabitants, in the town whofe bills are given.-I thall beg leave to confirm and illuftrate this, in the particular cafe of the town of Northampton.

In this town, containing four parifhes, namely, All-Saints, St. Sepulcbre's, St. Giles, and St. Peter's, an account has been kept ever fince the year 1741 , of the number of males and females that have been chriftened and buried (Diffenters included) in the whole town. And in the parih of All-Saints, containing the greateft part of the town, an account has been kept ever fince 1735, of the ages at which all have died there.

In 1746, an account was taken of the number of boufes, and of inbabitants in the town. The number of boufes was found to be 1083 ; and the number of inkabitants $5 \pm 36$. -In the parifhes of All-Scints and St. Giles, the number of male and female beads of families, fervants, lodgers, and cbildren, were particularly diftinguifhed.-The heads of families were,707 males; and 846 females. - Children, males 624; females 759. - Servants, males 203; females 280.-Lodgers, males 137; females 287.-In St. Peter's, males 99 ; females 129. -In St. Sepulchre's, adults 638 ; choldren 427. In this parifh the fexes were not difinguifhed.

The

The Cbriftenings and Burials in the awbole town for 28 years, from 1741 to 1770 , have been as follows.

Chriftened $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Males 2361 } \\ \text { Fem. 2288 }\end{array}\right\} 4649$ - Annual medium 155 Buried $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Males 286G } \\ \text { Fem. 2878 }\end{array}\right\} 5747$-Annual medium 191

In the parih of All-Saints, from 1735 to 1770, or $3^{6}$ years,

Chriftened $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Males } 1632 \\ \text { Fcm. 1610 }\end{array}\right\} 3242$-Annual medium 90 Buried $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Males } 1856 \\ \text { Fem. } 1834\end{array}\right\} 3690$-Annual medium 102 $\frac{1}{2}$

Of thefe died,
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A Table formed from thefe data in the manner of Table XII; or, on the fuppofition, that all who die in Northampton were born there, would give the expectation of a child juft born 28.83 years; or, the proportion of the inhabitants to the annual deaths, as 28.83 to 1. It has been fhewn, that this proportion, in a place where the burials exceed the births, mult be greater than the true proportion of the number of inhabitants to the annual deaths: And this appears to be the real cafe. For the bills fhew, that, from 1741 to 1750 , or for 10 years, about the time when the number of inhabitants was 5136 , the annual medium of burials was 197.5; which, multiplied by 28.83 , gives 5693 ; or a 9 th part more than the true number.

A Table formed in the manner of Table XIII, would give the proportion of inhabitants to the annual deaths, as 26.41 to 1 ; and this makes the inhabitants 5216 ; or very near the true number.

The IV th Table, in the Appendix, is formed in the fame manner with Table XIV, for London: And this is the genuine Table of Obiervations for Northampton, from which may be calculated the true probabilities and values of lives, at all ages, in that town.

At Norwich, bills of mortality, of the fame kind with thofe in London and Northampton, have been kept for many years. I have
have been favoured with a copy of there bills for 30 years, from 1740 to 1769 . The annual medium of chrijtenings, during this period, has been 1057 (a), of burials 1206 . And from hence, together with the account of the numbers dying in the feveral decads of life, after io, I have formed Table V, which thews the true probabilities of life in this town.

The following patticulars feem to deferve notice here.
Firf. Had thefe Tables been formed from the Northampton and Norwich bills, for no longer time than any 10 years taken together, of the periods I have mentioned; they would have given the probabilities and values of lives nearly the fame. Thefe Tables, therefore, are founded on a fufficient number of Obfervations; and it appears, that there is an invariable law which
(a) In this regifter all that die before baptifm, and alfo all that are born and die among शuakers, feros, \&ic. are omitted. There are alfo fome other omifions; and the true annual medium of births and burials mult be greater than they are given in the bills. But this will have no effect on a Table of Obfervations, fuppofing the proportions of the births to the burials, and of the numbers dying in the different fages of life, given right. -It is proper I Mould mention further here, that thefe bills give only the whole number of children dying under 10 , without fpecifying the numbers ding under two years of age, between 2 and 5 , and between 5 and 10, as in other bills. I have, therefore, in forming the 'rable for Norwich, fuppofed the proportions of thefe numbers the fame that they are at Northampton.
governs the wafte of human life in thefe towns.-The fame remark might be made concerning London (a). See p. 25 I.

Secondiy. An account was taken at Shrewsbury, in 1750, of the whole number of inhabitants; diffinguifhing, particular$1 y$, the number at the age of 21 and upwards. - The former number was 8141 ; and the latter, 5187.-According to a Table formed for Northampton, in the fame manner with Table XIII, for London, the whole number of the living is to the number of che living at 21 and upwards, as 26,41 I to 16,586 ; that is, as 8141 to 5113 . - According to a like Table for Norwich, thefe numbers are to one another, as 24,500 to
(a) Some have entertained a very wrong notion of the imperfections in the London bills. They do, indeed, give the whole number of births and deaths much toolittle; but the conclufions with refpect to the probabilities of life in London, and the proportion of inhabitants dying annually, depend only (agreeably to the obfervation in the laft note) on the proportions of the numbers dying in the feveral divifions of life; and thefe are given right in the London bills. - For firlt. There feems nothing in this cafe, that can be likely to caufe the deficiencies in the bills to fall in one divifion of life more than in another: But what decides this point is, that there proportions, as given by the bills for any ten, or even any five years, come out nearly the fame with one another; and always very different from the proportions given by other bills. - There are no other variations, than fuch as muft arife from the fluctuations of LONDON, as to increafe and decreare; and alfo from fome improvements in its ftate, which have latcly taken place. Sce Effay I. p. 190, 191, 201.

15,680 ; that is, as 8141 to 5210 . - Thefe Tables, therefore, give the proportion of the whole number of inhabitants, to the number of the living at 2 I and upwards, almof exactly the fame with the true proportion, as it is at Siremsbury ( $a$ ): And this affords a kind of demonflration of the rectitude of the principles on which thefe Tables have been formed.

In the parifh of Holy - Cross near ShrewsBURY, an account was taken, in 1760 and 1770, of the wobole number of inhabitants; diftinguifhing, botb times, the number at the age of 70 and upwards; and the laft time, the number at to and upwards: And, I find, that a Table formed from the Regifer of this parifh, mentioned p. 192, gives, likewife, the fe numbers as nearly the fame as could poffibly be expected.

But further.-The number of inhabitants, not reckoning children, in the parifhes of $S t_{\text {。 }}$
(a) The annual medium of births at Shrewsbury, for 7 years, from 1762 to 1768 , was 301 ; of burials, 329. It appears, therefore, that one in $24^{\frac{3}{7}}$ of the inhabitants die annually. But it Mould be rentembered, that in 1766 , the fmall-pox and meafles increafed very much the mortality in this town; and I find alfo, that, fince 1750, a nurfery for foundlings from London, was eitab.lifhed here; and that in 1763 this nurfery contained 660 children and fervants. It feems, therefore, probable, that the true medium of burials about the year 1750, muft have been lefs than 329 ; and that the proportion of inhabitants dying amually, may not be much greater than it is at Northampton; or I in 26.41 .

Giles and All-Saints, Northampton, was, in 1746,2450 ; and the whole number of inhabitants in thefe two parijhes was 3843 . See p. 254.-In the account 1 have received, the particular age at which the limit of childhood was fixed in taking this furvey, is not mentioned ; but there is fufficient reafon to believe, that it was 21 : And, taking this for granted, the number of inhabitants, not children, will come out, (by fuch a Table for Northampton, as Table XIII for LonDon) 2414; or, nearly the fame with the number really found in thefe parifhes.-Had this number been computed, from a Table formed for Northampton, in the manner of Table XII, Appendix, it would have come out only 2176. This remark is applicable to the Table for Breflaw, formed by Dr. Halley, compared with the fame Table, corrected for all the ages under $20(a)$, by the rule, P. 246 .
(a) I have given Dr. Halley's Table in the Appendix juft as he framed it. A correction of it might be made from the proportion of births to burials, mentioned p. 239. And it would then appear, that a 25 th part of the inhabitants at Brefare die annually; and that half the number born die there under fix, as well as at Norzich. This Table, as we now have it, makes half live to 16 ; but the account mentioned in the note, page 240, hhews this not to be the truth. It likewife makes the number of inhabitants at Shrewsbury, above the age of 21 , to be 47.30 ; and in the parifbes of All Saints and St. Giles in Northampton, 2230. It gives, therefore, thefe numbers wrong; whereas, as oblerved above, a corrected Table would give them true.

The neceffity, therefore, of this correction is verified by facts; and it appears, abundantly, that the Tables I have given for NorthAmpton and Noriwich may be depended on.

But, thirdly. In comparing thefe two Tables, it may be obferved, that there is a difference between them in favour of Nortir. ampton, ferwer dying there in childhood, and more in old age. The fame would be found to be true, were the Northampton Table to be compared with a corrected BresLaw Table. It appears, therefore, agreeably to what might have been expected, that Northampton, being a fmall town compared with Breslaw and Norwich, is lefs unfavourable to health and longevity. The difference, however, is not confiderable. After the age of 20 , there is a ftriking conformity between all the three Tables, which gives them great weight and authority.

Further. It ought to be particularly noted, that thefe Tables prove, the decrements of life in moderate towns, to be nearly equal thro' mon of its fages. At NorthampTON it appears that, of a given number of perfons alive at 20 , the fame number die every year 'till 78 , without any interruption worth notice, except between the ages of 30 and 40-A like uniform decreafe in the probabilities of life appear in the Breslaw
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and Norwich Tables; but not fo remarkably. It was this circumftance in the Breslaw Table, that led Mr. De Moivre to the Hypothefis, defcribed in p.2, and fo often mentioned in this work.-The values of lives, I have faid, deduced from this Hypothefis, agree fo nearly with the fame values deduced immediately from the Tables, that it is fearcely worth while to diftinguifh them. But that every one may be able to judge of this for himfelf, I have calculated (a) the following Table.

| Value of a life at the age | By Profaru | ${ }^{\text {By Norruitb }}$ Table. | By Nortbamp- | By Mr. De Moi vre's Hypotbefis. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 17.617 | 17.48 | 17.20 | 16.69 |
| Reckion. 20 | 16.49 | 16.41 | 15.93 | 15.89 |
| 1 mg in. 30 | 14.77 | 15.15 | 14.85 | 14.68 |
| 40 | 12.90 | 13.36 | 13.10 | 13.19 |
| 50 | 10.87 | II.I3 | I 1.25 | I 1.34 |
| 60 | 8.58 | 8.54 | 9.02 | 9.01 |
| 70 | $5 \cdot 59$ | 5.99 | 6.26 | 6.06 |
| 75 | 4.21 | 4.86 | 4.79 | 4.29 |

It may be obferved in this Table, that the values, by the Hypothefis, come nearer to the true values by the Nonthampton and Norwich Tables, than by the Breslaw Table; and alfo, that, before the age of 60 , they are all much higher than the values for
(a) Every calculation of this kind may be made without much labour, by a rule explained in note (O) Appendin:
the
the fame ages in London by Table X ; the inhabitants of London, (as Mr. De Moire obferves) being " for caufes (a) too well known, " more fhort-lived than the reft of mankind."
-The Hypothefis, therefore, is by no means applicable to London lives. It is proper to add, that neither can it be applied to the valunation of Country lives. - It appears, from the regifter of the paris of Holy-Crofs (b), that the expectations of lives there are much greater than the expectations by the Hypothesis. -The expectation there of a life (c)

| At 20 is | 38 | By Hypoth. 33 | In Lond. 28.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 33.9 | 29.5 | 25.1 |
| 30 | 32 | 28 | 23.6 |
| 40 | 25.7 | 23 | 19.6 |
| 50 | 20 | 18 | 16 |
| 60 | 14.5 | 13 | 12.4 |
| 70 | 10 | 8 | 8.8 |

From
(a) Doctrine of Chances, p. 347 .
(b) See Effay I. p. 191.-I have not given the Table of Observations from whence the fe conclufions are deduce, because it is poffible, forme may think 20 years not a period long enough, to afford data in this cafe of fufficicut authority. I have in p. 257, mentioned a fact which feems to prove the contrary. It is, however, certain, that the fame regifter continued 10 or 20 years longer, will afford data inore to be depended on.
(c) The expectation of a child juft born in this parifh, is 33. At Northampton, $25 \frac{1}{2}$. At Norwich, $233^{3}$. In London, 18. -In this parifh, I in II dies at 80 , and upwards. In Northampton; i in 22. In Norwich; I in 27. In London; 1 in 40. See ERa I. p, 200.

I will

From this comparifon it appears, that the Hypothefs, from 20 to 60 , gives nearly the medium

I will add, that the probabilities of life here, appear to be much the fame, with the probabilities of life among the minifters and profeffors in Scotland.- This is a fact of fome confequence; and, therefore, I thall beg leave to give a brief account of it.

The mean age at which the minifters and profeffors enter into benefices and profefforfhips in Scotland, is reckoned to be 27 . Their number is 974 . The eftablifhment among them for providing for their widows, begun on the 25th of March 1744 ; from which time to November 22, 1768, 72 I have died: That is, 29.23 annually; or I in $33 \frac{1}{3}$. The expectation, therefore, of a life among them, at the age of 27 , is $33 \frac{1}{3}$; which is nearly the fame with the expectation, as given above, of a life of the fame age in the parifh of Holy-Crofs; and $3 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ years more, than the expeciation of the fame age by Tables III, IV and V. - Now, the expectation at a given age, being compofed of all the probabilities of life from that age to the extremity of life; there arifes from hence reafon for concluding, that the probabilities of life among the minifters in Sootlond, cannot difuer much in any part of life, from thofe in this parifh. - But there is mother fact that confrms this obfervation.

The annual average of weddings among the minifters and profeffors in Scorland, for the laft 24 years, has been at moft 32. The average of married perfons among them, for 17 years ending in 1767, had been 667. This number, divided by 32 , gives 20.84 , the expertation of marriage among them; which is $2 \frac{\pi}{7}$ years more than the exfectation of marriage would be, by Dr. Halley's Table, on the fuppofition, that all $1 \mathrm{~h}, 2 \mathrm{~d}$ and 3 d marriages may be juftly confidered as commencing, one with another, fo early as the age of 30. -The expecration of two equal joint lives is to the experfation of a fingle life of the fame age, as 2 to 3, by note (L) Appendir. It follows, therefore, that among the ininifters in Sotland, the expectation of a fingle
medium between the expectations of London and Countiry lives; and for this reafon it is excellently adapted to general ufe.-After 60, the expectations and values of lives in London approach nearer and nearer to the expectations and values of lives in Northampton, Norvich and Breflaro; 'till, at 70, they come to be almof the fame. This is a circumftance which, I believe, has not been attended to: And it is the more furprizing, as there is no caule known, which can produce any error in the values of lives after 60, deduced from the London Taole, cxcept migrations from London; and the effect of thele muft be to diminifs the fe values.

The following obfervations will, perhaps, account for this.

It has been proved, that at lean baif the inhabitants of Losvon, turned of 20 years of age, are emigrants to London from the country. So great a change as that, from the country air and modes of life, to the air and modes of life in London, muft be parti-
life at 30 cannot be lefs than 31.26. Moft probably it is more; on account of the later commencement of marriage in the fituation of the Scotch minifers. - I reckon alfo, that 27 mult be lefs than the mean age at which they enter their benefices and profeffolfhips; meaning by it, not the age on each fide of which cqual numbers enter; but the age at which, the excefs of the interval of time taken to enter on one fide, is juft fuch as to compenfate the greater numbers who enter on the other fide. See the conclufion of note (F) Appardix.

cularly

cularly hurtful to thefe perfons; and, therefore, (except infants) it is in them, probably, that the pernicious influence of London on its inhabitants chiefly appears. They come in at every age 'till near 50 ; and this is the reafon why the deaths continually increafe in London'till that age ; but, after that age, the inhabitants confifting chiefly of perfons, who (like men ufed to drink) have been feafoned to London, or with whom it does not happen particularly to difagree; the number of deaths becomes lefs, and the values of lives begin to approach nearer to the common ftandard in other towns.

There is one more fact which I fhall here take notice of ; and which deferves more attention than has been hitherto beftowed upon it. I mean; " the difference between the "probabilities of life among males and fe" males, in favour of the latter."

From the account in p. 254 , it appears, that at Northampton, tho' more males are born than females, and nearly the fame number die; yet the number of living females is greater than the number of males, in the proportion of 2301 to 1770 , or 39 to 30 . This cannot be accounted for, without fuppofing, that males are more fhort-lived than females.-One obvious reafon of this fact is, that males are more fubject to untimely deaths by accidents of various kinds ; and alfo, in general, more addicted to the exceffes and irregularities
which Morten life. But this is by no means the only reafon. For it chould be obferved, that at Northampton the number of $f e-$ male children was, in 1746 , greater than the number of male children, in the proportion of 759 to 624 . - The greater mortality of males, therefore, takes place among cbildren. - But this, together with the greater mortality in general of males at all ages, will more particularly appear from the following recital of facts.

In the parin of Holy-Crofs, Salop, the ingenious Vicar, Mr. Gorfuch, in 1760, and again in 1770, took the number of male and female inhabitants turned of 70 . In 1760 , the number of females turned of this age, was 35 ; of males, 8. In 1770, there numbers were, females, 35 ; males, 26. And for the laft 10 years 11 , out of $35_{5}$ have died between the ages of $8_{j}$ and 102 ; and they were all females.

At Berlin, it appeared, from the accurate account which was taken of the inhabitants in 1747 , and which has been mentioned in p. 219 , that the number of female citizens exceeded the number of male citizens, in the proportion of 459 to 39 I : And yet, out of this fmaller number of males, more had died, for 20 years preceding 1751, in the proportion of 19 to 17 (a).
(a) Vid. Sufmilch, p. 8, and p. 32, \&x. where a minute account is given of the number of males and females at Berlin in 1747 ; and alfo, of the numbers of each fex that had died from 1722 to 1750 .

At Edinburgh, in 1743, the number of females was to the number of males, as 4 to 3 ; (See Eflay I. p. 2 II) but the females that died amnually, from 1749 to $175^{8}$, were to the males, in no higher proportion than $3 \frac{1}{5}$ to 3 . Before 1749, the bills give the totals of burials, without diftinguifhing them into the totals of males and females dying every year.

Mr. Kerfeboom, in his Effay on the numbers of people in Holland, informs us, that from the Tables of affignable Annuities for lives in Holland, which had been kept there for 125 years, wherein the ages of the perfons dying are truly entered; it appears, that females have, in all accidents of age, lived about 3 or 4 years longer than the fame number of males. See Pbilofopbical Tranfactions abridged, Vol. IX, p. 326.

In Volume the 7 th of the Pbilofopbical Tranfactions abridged, Part IV, p. 46, \&x. there is an account of the numbers of male and female ftill-born children and chryfoms, and of boys and girls under 10 , of married men and married women, and of widows and widowers, who died for a courfe of years at Vienna, Breflaw, Drefden, Leipfic, Ratijbon, and fome other towns in Germany.

He that will take the pains to examine thefe accounts will find that, though in thefe towns the proportion of males and females born is no higher than in to 18 , yet the
proportion of boys and girls (a) that die is 8 to 7; and that, in particular, the fill-born and chryfom males, are to the ftill-born and chryfom females, as 3 to 2 .

In there accounts it appears alfo, that of 7270 married perfons who had died in thefe towns (b), 4336 were married men, and but 2934 married women; that is, three married men died to two married women. - The fcheme for making provifion for the widows and orphans of the minifters in Scotland, has obliged them to keep an account of the number of zueddings among them, and the number of widows left annually; and it appears, from the reports of the truftees for carrying this fcheme into execution, that the annual medium of reeddings ( $c$ ), is (as obferved in the note, p. 264) at moft 32. And the annual
(a) In the accounts from Breflaw it is particularly mentioned, that by boys and girls are meant children to 10 years of age, of whom, for 8 years from 1717 to 1725 , feven males died to $\sqrt{2 x}$ females, exclufively of the fill-born and cbryfoms.
(b) In Breflaw alone, for the eight years mentioned in the laft note, 189 r married men died, to 1196 married women; that is 5 to 3.-In Drefden alone, for five years, thefe numbers were 1080 and 849.
(c) The annual medium of weddings, among the minifters admitted to benefices, has been, for $2+$ years from the commencement of the fcheme, 27. Befides thefe I find there have been 4 weddings annually among them, before admiffion to benefices. The whole annual medium, therefore, is no more than 31 . But 1 have fuppofed it $3^{2}$, in order to go upon more Sure grounds.
medium of widows, who have come upon the fcheme for 24 years, is 20 . Of 32 marriages then contracted annually, 20 become extinct by the deaths of bufbands; and but 12 by the deaths of reives. That is; among the minifters and profeffors in Scotland, 20 married men die to 12 married women; or 5 to 3 . It appears, therefore, that there is the chance of 3 to 2 , and in fome circumftances even a greater chance, that the woman fhall be the furvivor of a marriage, and not the man. In order to account for this by the difference of age between men and their wives, this difference ought to be at leaft 12 years (a). That is; fuppofing the mean age at which women marry to be 23 , the mean age at which men marry ought to be 35 . But this feems to exceed the bounds of credibility; and, therefore, very probably, the greater mortality of males muft operate in this cafe.

It is further obfervable in the accounts from Germany, to which I have referred, that the number of widows dying annually, is four times the number of widowers ( $b$ ); and, as widows
(a) The chance of furvivorfhip between two perfons aged 21 and 34 , is nearly 3 to 2 in favour of the former. There is the fame chance of furvivorfhip between 25 and 37; and 28 and 39. This may be learnt from Problem XVI, in Mr. De Moivre's Treatife on Life-Ammities.
(b) In Drefden alone, the number of widows who died, in four years, was 584 . The number of widowers, 149. That is; 4 to $1 .-$ It appears from note $(b)$ in the laft page,
zeidows are certainly, one with another, feveral years younger than widowers; it may be concluded from hence, that the number of the former in life together could not be lefs than five times the latter.-This fact is likewife confirmed, by the obfervations which have been made among the minifters in Scotland. At the commencement of the fcheme which I have fo often had occafion to mention, an account was taken of the number of the widows of minifters in the whole country. 364 were counted; and, probably, the true number was greater. See p. 95 and 96 . On the contrary; the number of widowers among the minifters has, one year with another, been fcarcely 90 ; that is, not fo much as a quarter of the number of reidows. - It may be eafily feen, and it would not be difficult to demonftrate, that neither the greater number of perfons left widows, nor any probable fuppofition concerning the greater frequency of marriages among widowers, can completely account for this, without admitting the greater mortality of males. - This, therefore, appears, on the whole to be a fact
page, that the chance of furvivorhip in this city in favour of the wife, is lefs than among the minifters in Scotland.-Does not this fact afford a reafon, additional to that mentioned above, and in p.92,93, 94, \&ic. and notes ( A ) and ( F ), for believing, or at leait jufpecting that the number of widows on the Scotch eftablifhment, if marriage docs not decline among the minifers, will not at laft be found to be fo little as 400 ?
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well eftablifhed: And it follows from it, that in order to calculate the values of Life-Anmuities and Reverfions with exactnefs, there ought to be diftinct Tables of the probabilities of life for males and females. But there are no fuch Tables extant; nor, indeed, has it been fufpected, that there is fo much occafion for them as the facts I have mentioned feem to fhew. All that is neceffary to obtain the proper data for forming fuch Tables is, that the fexes as well as the ages of the dead fhould be fpecified in the bills; and this is an improvement of bills (a) of mortality which would give little trouble, and which, therefore, I hope, will be fome time or other made.

It has been obferved, that the author of nature has provided, that more males fhould. be born than females, on account of the particular wafte of males, occafioned by wars and other caufes. Perhaps it might have been added, that this provifion had alfo in view, that particular weaknefs or delicacy in the conftitution of males, which makes them more fubject to mortality ; and which, con-
(a) This improvement would be rendered more complete, by diftinguifhing the males that die, under the denominations of married mon, widowers, and batchelors; and the fomales, under the denominations of married wo. men, zvidows, and virgins. - The ufe I have made of fome accounts of this kind which have heen kept in Germany, fhews that this would be of confiderable fervice.

fequently,

fequently, renders it neceffary, that more of them fhould be produced, in order to preferve in the world a due proportion between the two fexes.

In the courfe of this Effay, it has often appeared, that I have been particularly indebted to an information which I have received from Northampton.-I thould be inexcufable, did I not mention, that I owe this information to Mr. Lawton, an ingenious gentleman in that town, who has preferved the bills of mortality there with much care, and been very obliging in communicating them to me.-It is much to be defired, that like accounts were kept in every town and parifh. It would be extremely agreeable to learn from them the different rates of human mortality in different places, and the number of people and progrels of population in the kingdom. The trouble of keeping them would be trifling ; but the infruction derived from them (a), would be very important.-I have already propored one improvement of fuch accounts. I will add, that they would be ftill more uleful, did they give the ages of the dead after 10 , within periods of five, inftead of ten years. - During every period, fo flort as five years, the decrements of life may, in conftructing Tables, be fafely
(a) See Effay I. p. 207, 203.
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taken to be uniform. But this cannot be equally depended on, in periods fo long as ten years.

There is yet another improvement of there accounts, which I will take this opportunity to mention. They fhould contain not only a lift of the diftempers of which all die, like that in the London bills; but they fhould feecify particularly the numbers dying of thefe diftempers, in the feveral divifions of life. Accurate regifters of mortality kept in this manner, in all parts of the kingdom; and compared with records of the feafons, and of the weather, and with the particular circumftances which difcriminate different fituations, might contribute, more than can be eafily imagined, to the increafe of phyjical knowledge. - But to proceed no farther in thefe Obfervations; I thall now beg leave to fhut up this whole work with the following general reflexion.

I have reprefented particularly, the great difference between the probabilities of human life in towns and in country parihes; and from the facts I have recited, and the obfervations I have made, it appears, that the further we go from the artificial and irregular modes of living in great towns, the fewer of mankind die in the firft ftages of life, and the more in its laft fages. The lower
lower animals (except fuch (a) as have been taken under human management) feem in general to enjoy the full period of exiftence allotted them, and to die chiefly of old age: And were any obfervations to be made among favages, perhaps the fame would be found to be true of them. -Death is an evil to which the order of providence has fubjected every inhabitant of this earth; but to man it has been rendered unfpeakably more an evil than it was defigned to be. The greateft part of that black catalogue of difeafes which ravage human life, is the off-fpring of the tendernefs, the luxury, and the corruptions introduced by the vices and falfe refinements of civil fociety (b). That delicacy which is injured
(a) Calves are the only animals taken under our peculiar care immediately after birth ; and, in confequence of then adminiftring to them the fame fort of phyfic that is given to infants, and treating them in other refpects in the fame manner, it is probable, that more of them die foon after being born, than of all the other fpecies of animals, which we fee in the fame circumftances. See the Comparative Vicw of the State and Faculties of Man with thofe of the Animal Wiorld, p. 23.- It is, indeed, melancholy to think of the havock made among the human fpecies by the unnatural cufooms as well as the vices, which prevail in polifhed focieties. I have no doubt, but that the cuftom, in particular, of committing infants, as foon as born, to the care of fofer-motbers, deftroys more lives than the fword, famine and peftilence put together.
(b) The ingenious and excellent writer quoted in the laft note, obferves, that the whole clafs of difeafes which arife from catching cold, are found only among the civilized part of mankind, ${\underset{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{T}}}_{\mathrm{p} .}^{51 .}$ - And, concerning that

$$
{\underset{\mathrm{T}}{2}}_{\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{F}_{2}} \text { - And, concerning that } \text { lofs }
$$

276 Of the Metbod of forming Tables, \&c. jured by every breath of air, and that rottennefs of conftitution which is the effect of intemperance and debauchery, were never intended by the author of nature; and it is impofiible, that they fhould not lay the foundation of numberlefs fufferings, and terminate in premature and miferable deaths. Let us then value more the fimplicity and innocence of a life agreeable to nature ; and learn to confider nothing as favagenefs but malevolence, ignorance and wickednefs. The order of nature is wife and kind. In a conformity to it confifts health and long life; grace, honour, virtue and joy. But nature turned out of its way will always punih. The weicked fall not live out balf their days. Criminal exceffes embitter and cut fhort our prefent exiflence; and the higheft authority has taught us to expect, that they will not only kill the body, but the foul; and deprive of an everlasting existence.
lofs of all our higher powers which often attends the decline of life, and which is fo humiliating to human pride; he obferves, that it exhibits a fcene fingular in nature, and that there is the greateft reafon to believe, that it proceeds from adventitious caufes, and would not take place among us if we led natural lives, p. 62.

## A P P E N D I X.

Note (A). See Quettion III. Page II.

LET E be any given expectation of life; and $\frac{4 \mathrm{E}-x}{4 \mathrm{E}} \times p x$ will be the number of perfons alive at the end of $x$ years, arifing from $p$ perions left annually as widows, (or added annually to a town or fociety) at the age whofe expeciation is E. The maximum, therefore, is always pE -. In Mr. De Moivre's Hypotbefis, E is always $\frac{1}{2}$ the difference between the given age and 86 . See the note page 2 , and the latter end of the note in page 37 . See likewife the beginning of the Firf Eflay, and note (L) in this Appendix, where the inveftigation of this rule will be given.

It will not be amifs to give the following example of the application of this rule.
At the time of the commencement of the fcheme, among the minifters and profeffors in Scotland, for making provifion for their widows, it was neceffary, that a calculation fhould be made of the number of widows that would be upon the fcheme at the end of every year, till they came to a maximum, on the fuppofition that, (agreeably to what particular enquiry had fhewn to have happened for many preceding years,) 20 new widows would be left every year. In order to make this calculation, let 4 of the 20 widows be fuppofed to
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be under 32 years of agre when left; and let 28 be fuppofed their mean age. Let the fame number be left between 32 and 39, and let 35 be their mean age; between 39 and 47 , and 43 their mean age; between 47 and 57 , and 52 their mean age; between 57 and the extremity of life, and 63 their mean age. The number in life together, to which, in 10 years, 4 widows left annually at the age of 28 will grow, is, by the rule, ( E bengg 29) $\frac{116-10}{116} \times 40$, or 36.55 -The number alive at the end of 20 ycars, will be $\frac{116-20}{116} \times 80$, or 66.2 , At the end of 30 years, the number alive will be 89 ; of 40 years, 104.82 ; of 58 years $116-$ Thefe numbers, found in the fame way, for the 2 d clafs, ( E being 25.5), at the end of $10,20,30,40$, and 51 years, will be $36.7-64.31$ - $84.7-97.25$ 102 -for the 3 d clais, (E being 21.5) at the end of $10,20,30,40$, and 43 ycars, 35.34-61.4-78.13-85.6-86-For the 4.th clafs, (E being 87) at the end of $10,20,30$, and 34 years, 34 .11 - $56.47-67-68$ - For the 5 th clafs, ( E being 11.5 ) at the end of 10,20 , and 23 years, 31.3 -$45.2-46$ - The whole number, therefore, confilting of all the clafes, will come to a maximumb nearly in 58 years; and the totals in life, at the end of $10,20,30,40,50$, and 58 years, will be 173.37-293.58-364.83-4.01.67-4.18.

Thefe determinations fuppofe none to marry. In 10 years, from 1757 to 1767 , I have been informed, that but 9 widows married. Let us then fuppofe, that one widow of the firft clafs marries every year; and let all that marry, be fuppofed to continue, one with another, 5 years in widowhood before they marry. On thefe fuppofitions,
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the foregoing totals will, at the end of the fame periods of years, be 169.23-282-347.5-380.47-394.

Thefe calculations are made from $\mathrm{Mr} . \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t}}$ Moivre's Hypothefis. Had they been made exactly from Dr. Halley's table, or any other of the Tables I have given at the end of this work, except the London one, the relults would have been very nearly the fame.-It appears, that the probabilities of life, among thefe widows, are greater than thofe given by thefe tables. See the Laft Effay, pages 263, 264 266, 270, ixc. The effect of this muft be, to raife the mavimun without fenfibly increafing the numbers in life for the firft 20 or 25 years: and its effect may be, to raife the maximum, and at the fame time even to dimininh thefe numbers.

Twenty-five years have now elapfed fince the commencement of this fcheme; and the number of widows living every year have, in hat, correfponded to the lait numbers I have given, as nearly as could poffibly be expected in an affuir of this nature.

## Note (B). Queftion VI. Page 21.

EETr fignify the fum of $1 l$. and its intereft, for one year. The value of a life, whofe complement is $n$, being (by Mr. De Moivre on Amuities, $4^{\text {th }}$ edition, page 14. and p. 100.) $\frac{n-1}{n r}+\frac{n-2}{n r^{2}}+\frac{n-3}{n r^{3}}+\frac{n-4}{n r^{4}}, \& c$. the prefent value of the remainder of it after two years muft be $\frac{n-3}{n r^{3}}+\frac{n-4}{n r^{4}}$, 8, c. which is equal to $\frac{1}{r^{2}} \times \frac{n-2}{n} \times$ $\frac{n-3}{n-2 r}+\frac{n-4}{n-2 r^{2}}+\frac{n-5}{\overline{n-2} r^{3}}, \& z \mathrm{c}$.

Now $\frac{1}{r^{2}}$ is the prefent value of $1 l$. due at the end of two years. $\frac{n-2}{n}$ is the probability that a life, whofe complement is $n$, fhall continue two years, and $\frac{n-3}{n-2 r}+\frac{n-4}{n-2 r^{2}}+\frac{n-5}{n-2 r^{3}}$, \&c. is the value of a life two years older than the life whofe complement is $n$. And, therefore, (fince any number of years lefs than $n$ may be fubftituted for two years) the firf rule given in this queftion is right.

The fame procefs, applied to joint lives, will demonftrate what is faid in the Scholium.

Notẹ
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Note (C). See Queftion VII. Page 22.

LET the complements of any two affigned lives be $n$ and $m$. The prefent value of the firlt poffible payment of an annuity to be enjoyed by the life whofe complement is $n$, provided both lives continue 7 years, and the life, whofe complement is $n$, furvives the other after that term, is the probability, that the life of the expectant fhall continue 8 years, and the other life 7 years and then fail in the 8 th year, multiplied by $\frac{\mathrm{I}}{r^{5}}$, or by 1l. difcounted for 8 years.-The probability that the life of the expectant fhall continue 8 years is $\frac{n-8}{n}$. The probability that the otber life fhall continue 7 years is $\frac{m-7}{m}$. The probability that it fhall continue 7 years, and fail in the 8th year, is $\frac{m-7}{m} \times \overline{1-\frac{m-8}{m-7}}=\frac{1}{m}$. The probability, therefore, that the life of the expectant fhall continue 8 years, and the other life 7 and fail in the 8 th year, is $\frac{n-8}{n} \times \frac{1}{m}$; and the prefent value of the firft poffible payment of the annuity fuppofed, is $\frac{n-8}{n r^{8}} \times \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{m}^{\text {a }}}$. See The Doarrine of Annuities by Mr. Simpfon, p. 6-15, or his Seleet Exercijes, p. 315, \&cc. In like manner, the prefent value of the 2d payment, at the end of the gth year, may be found
to be $\frac{n-9}{n r^{9}} \times \frac{m-7}{m^{9}} \times 1-\frac{m-9}{m-1}$, or $\frac{n-9}{n r^{9}} \times \frac{2}{m}$. and the prefent value of all the poffible payments, $\frac{1}{r^{7}} \times \frac{n-8}{n r} \times \frac{1}{m}+\frac{n-9}{n r^{2}} \times \frac{2}{m}+\frac{n-10}{n r^{3}} \times \frac{3}{m}, \& \tau c$. But this feries is equal to $\frac{1}{r^{7}} \times \frac{n-7}{n} \times \frac{m-7}{m} \times$ $\overline{\frac{n-8}{\overline{n-7} r} \times \frac{1}{m-7} \times \frac{n-9}{n-7 r^{2}} \times \frac{2}{m-7}+\frac{n-10}{n-7 r^{3}} \times}$ $\frac{3}{m-7}, \& c c . \quad$ Now $\frac{n-8}{n-7} \times \frac{1}{m-7}+\frac{n-9}{n-7 r^{2}} \times \frac{2}{m-7}$, $\& r c$. is the value of an annuity for a life feven years older than the expectant, after another life feven years older than the life whofe complement is $m$. $\frac{n-7}{n} \times \frac{m-7}{m}$ is the probability that both the affigned lives fhall continue 7 years. And $\frac{x}{r^{7}}$ is the value of $\mathbf{x} l$. due at the end of 7 years. The rule, therefore, given for folving this queftion, is right.

This demontration, as well as that in the laft note, is, for the fake of more eafe and clearnefs, applied to the hypothefis of an equal decrement of life. It does not, however, depend upon it, but may be applied to any table of obfervations.

## Note (D). Queftion IX. Page 29.

LET the complement of any two affigned lives be $n$ and $n$, and the given term be feven years, as in note (C). The probability that the former life (fuppofed to be the life in expectation) fhall laft 8 years, is, by Mr. De Moivre's Hypothefis, $\frac{n-8}{n}$; and the probability that the latter life fnall fail in 8 years, is $\frac{8}{m}$; and the firft pay: ment of the annuity mentioned in this queftion, depends on the happening of botb thefe events, the probability of which is $\frac{n-8}{n} \times \frac{8}{m}$.

The prefent value, therefore, of the firt poffible payment of the annuity is $\frac{n-8}{n r^{8}} \times \frac{8}{m}$.——In like manner; the prefent value of the fecond poffible payment is $\frac{n-9}{n r^{9}} \times \frac{9}{m}$; and of all the payments, $\frac{n-8}{n r^{8}} \times \frac{8}{m}+\frac{n-7}{n r^{2}} \times \frac{9}{m t}+\frac{n-10}{n 2^{10}} \times \frac{10}{m}, \& c$. But $\frac{n-8}{n r^{8}} \times \frac{8}{m}=\frac{n-8}{m m^{8}} \times \frac{1}{m}+\frac{n-8}{n r^{6}} \times \frac{7}{m}$; and $\frac{n-9}{n r^{9}} \times$ $\frac{9}{m}=\frac{n-9}{n r^{9}} \times \frac{2}{m}+\frac{n-9}{n r^{9}} \times \frac{7}{m}$. The foregoing feries, therefore, is equal to the two feries's $\frac{1}{r^{7}} X$

$$
\frac{n-8}{n r} \times \frac{1}{m}+\frac{n-9}{m m^{2}} \times \frac{2}{m}+\frac{n-10}{n r^{3}} \times \frac{3}{m}, \&<c . \text { and }
$$

$$
\frac{I}{r^{7}}
$$
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$\frac{1}{r^{2}} \times \frac{n-8}{n r} \times \frac{7}{m}+\frac{n-9}{n r^{2}} \times \frac{7}{m}+\frac{n-10}{n r^{3}} \times \frac{7}{m}, \& c$. or
to $\frac{1}{r^{r}} \times \frac{n-7}{n} \times \frac{m-7}{m} \times \frac{n-8}{n-\eta^{r}} \times \overline{\frac{1}{m-7}+\frac{n-9}{n-r^{2}} \times}$
$\frac{2}{m-7}+\frac{n-10}{n-r^{3}} \times \frac{3}{m-7}, \& c .+\frac{\mathrm{r}}{r^{7}} \times \frac{7}{m} \times \frac{n-7}{n} \times$
$\frac{n-8}{\overline{n-7} r}+\frac{n-9}{n-7 r^{2}}+\frac{n-10}{n-7 r^{3}}$, \&c. which is the very
rule given for folving this queftion, as will appear from notes (B) and (C).

## Note (E). See the Scholium to Quef. X.

ACCORDING to the calculations, the time in which the firft yearly payment of a reverfionary annuity becomes due, is the end of the year in which the event happens that entitles to it, however little or much of the year may then happen to be unelapfed. And this, likewife, is the time when a reverfionary fum becomes due. Thofe who know how the calculations of the values of reverfions are inftituted, muft know this. But an annuity, the firft payment of which is to be made at the fame time with another payment of a fum in hand, fufficient to buy an equal annuity, is worth one year's purchafe more than the fum. For inftance. Reckoning intereft at 4 per cent. and $r$ being 10 . increaled by its intereft for a year, or 1.04 , $\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{3}}, \& \mathrm{c} .=25 l$. is the prefent value of an eftate of I . per annum for ever. That is, it is the value of it, fuppofing the firft rent of it is to be paid a year hence.- If the firtt rent is to be received immediately, or at the fame time with another payment of 25 \% it is worth one year's purchafe more, or equivalent to 261 .--1 have not found, that any of the writers on annuities and reverfions, have attended to this obfervation. It fuggefts a correction neceffary to be applied to the common folutions of feveral important problems: particularly to the 2 ift and 22 d in Mr. Simp Son's Treatije on Annuities, and the $25 \mathrm{th}, 27 \mathrm{th}, 32 \mathrm{~d}, 33 \mathrm{~d}$, and 40th problems in his Seleat Exercifes; and to all other problems of the fame kind in other writers. There
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can be no great occafion for being more explicit. It will not, however, be amifs to add the following demonftration:- $\frac{1}{n}$ is the prefent probability that a life, whofe complement is $n$, will fail in any one affignable year of its duration. $\mathrm{S} \times \overline{{ }_{n r}+\frac{1}{n r^{2}}}$ $+\frac{1}{n r^{3}}, \& c$. (n), or the prefent value of $1 l$. per annum for $n$ years, multiplied by $\frac{S}{n}$, is the prefent value of the fum or legacy denoted by $S$, payable at the failure of the given life. Therefore, $n$ being 56 ; the life 30 ; intereft 4 per cent. $r=1.04$; the fum 25 l.) the value of the expectation, by Mr. De Moivre's hypothefis, is 9.919 .

Further. The value of $1 l$. to be received at the end of a year, provided the life whofe complement is $n$ fails, is the probability of the failure of the life multiplied by rl. difcounted for a year, or $1-\frac{n-1}{n} \times \frac{1}{r}$. In like manner; the value of $1 \%$ to be received at the end of 2 years, if the fame life fails in 2 years, is $\overline{\mathrm{I}-\frac{n-2}{n}} \times \frac{1}{r^{2}}$. And, there fore, the value of all the poffile payments of an eftate or annuity of $1 \mathbf{l}$. for ever, to be entered upon after the given life, is $1-\frac{n-1}{n} \times \frac{1}{r}+1-$
$\overline{\frac{n-2}{n} \times \frac{1}{r^{2}}}+\overline{1-\frac{n-3}{n}} \times \frac{1}{r^{3}}, \delta<c .(n)+\frac{1}{r^{n} t^{1}}+$

$$
\frac{1}{r t^{2}}
$$

$$
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$\frac{\mathrm{I}}{r^{2}+2}, 8$ c. or $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{r}+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{3}}, \& \mathrm{c} .-\overline{\frac{n-1}{n r}+\frac{n-2}{n r^{2}}+}$
$\frac{\overline{n-3}}{\overline{n r^{3}}}$, scc. that is, the value of the life fubtracted from the perpetuity; or, in this example, 141.684 , (the value of a life at 3 ) fubtracted from 25 ; that is, tol. 316 . But 10.316 is to 9.919 , in the fame ratio with 104 to 100 , or 26 to 25 , agreeably to the rule in the Scholiun.

## Note (F.) Queftion XIII. Page 44 .

WHEN I here call 48 the mean age of all married men, and 40 the mean age of married women, I do not intend to fuppofe, that there are as many married perfons who exceed thefe ages, as there are who fall fhort of them. It is likely that the latter are moft numerous; and it is neceffary that this fhould be the cafe, to render the fuppofition I make juft-If all marriages commenced at 33 for the man, and 25 for the woman, one half of them would be diffolved by the time the men were 50 , and the women 42 ; for (by the Hypotbefis, and alfo nearly by the Brefaw, Norwich, and Nortbampton tables) there is an equal chance for the joint continuance of two lives, whofe ages are 25 and 33, feventeen years. Forty-two and fifty then would be properly the mean ages at which widowhood would commence; meaning by there, " the " ages on each fide of which equal numbers are " left widows and widowers."-But, tho' in this cafe half the marriages of every year would be diffolved in 17 years, they would not be all diffolved in twice that time. So far would this be from happening, that about a 7 th part would continuc beyond twice 17 years; nor would it be certain, that they would be all diffolved till near the extremity of the poflible extent of life. Tho', therefore, an equal number of marriages would be diffolved, or an equal number of widows and widowers left before 50 and 42, and afterwards, yet the ages of the latter would, one with another, much more exceed 50 and 42 , than the ages of
the former (that is, of the widows and widowers left before 50 and 42 ) would fall fhort of them. And the number of marriages alfo in the world, among perfons of greater ages than thefe, would be much fewer than among perfons of leffer ages-In other words: the period, at which the marriages that have been contracted are half diffolved, is not the period at which the number of marriages conftantly exitting is equally divided, but this period falls fome years fooner; and the period I have in view, falls in that part of the interval between thefe two periods, where the greater ages of the marriages on one fide, are juft enough to compenfate (in fuch a calculation as that I have given) their deficiencies in number, compared with the number of marriages on the other fide.

In fhort. Suppole 35 marriages every year, between perfons 33 and 25. In 12 years there would be half as many in the world, as could polibly arife from fuch a number of yearly weddings. In 17 years, half every fet would be extinct. The expectation of every marriage would be 19 years, by prob. 21 of Mr. De Moivie's Treatije on Annuities, or by the note p. 299: that is, taking them all together, they would exift juft as long as an equal number of fingle perfons, fuppofed to be fure of living juft 19 years, and no more; or, as long as an equal number of fingle perfons, all 48 years of age, fuppofed to be fubject to the common laws of mortality. One with another, then, they will be all extinct in 19 years: the marriages which continue beyond this term, tho' fewer in number, enjoying among them juft as much more duration, as thofe that fall hort of it enjoy lefs. Widores, then, at a medium, will com-

years of age, and widcoers at 52 . The values, therefore, of the lives of the former, when they commence widowhood, will, one with another, be the fame with the value of a life at 44; or, (reckoning intereft at 4 per cent.) 12.5 years purchafe, in prefent payment, (the annuity to begin at the end of a year); and their expectations of life will be 21 years, or half the difference between 44 and 86 . The value of the lives of the latter will be 10.92, and their $c x$ peciction 17 years-The whole number of marriages conftantly exifting, which would refult from 35 fuppofed to commence annually, would be $19 \times 35$, or 665 ; and 53 years (the difference between 33 and 86) would be the time in which they would increafe to this number-The chance of furvivorfhip would be 69 to 53 , by prob. 18th, Mr. De Moivre on Annuities; that is, in 53 years, 35 relicts of thefe marriages would be left every year, and the number of widores would be to the number of widowers, as 69 to 53 ; or 19.8 widoros would be left annually, and 53.2 widowers. The maximun of̂ widows in life together, if none married, would be $21 \times 19.8$, or 416 ; and they would increafe to this number in 114 years (or 6r years after the number of marriages had attained to a maximum) -- The maximum of voidowers would be $15.2 \times 17$, or 258 ; and they would increafe to this munber in 106 years.

An eafy method may be hence deduced of folving the queltion which occafions this note - If the nunber of the members of the cfablifhment I have fuppofed, is 655 , and the mean ages at which marriage may be deemed to commence are 25 and $33,19.8$ widows will (it has juft appeared) be left every year; and the values of their lives, when they commence widowhood, will be, one
with another, $12 \frac{1}{2}$ years purchafe. An annuity of 20l. will, therefore, be worth, to each widow, $250 \%$. and 19.8 fuck annuities mult be worth 4950 l. which, confequently, is the annual income necelfary for the fupport of the eftablifhment, the firt payment to be received immediately: or 6.7 .44 from each of the $66_{5}$ members; which anlwers nearly to the determination in page 44.

In the Laft Eflay, p. 296, it has been fhewn, that obfervations determine the chance of furvivorihip in favour of the wife in marriage, to be really fo great as 3 to 2 ; and in fome circumftances greater. Ihave alfo there obferved, that in order to account for this, from the difference of age between men and their wives, this difference muft be at leaft 12 years, and the mean ages of all who marry annually, mu!t be fuppofed to be about 23 and 35. In this cafe, 19, as before, will nearly be the expectation of all marriages. The mean age at which widows and widowers will commence tuch will be 42 and 54 . The number of annual marriages neceffary to keep up 665 marriages conftantly exifting, will be 35 . The number of widows left annually, by fuch a number of marriages, will be 21 ; and the values of their lives, at the time they commence widowhood, will be 12.85 years purchafe by Table VI : and therefore, the whole annual income neceffary for the fupport of the fuppofed eftablifhment, will be 5397 l. or an annual payment, beginning immediately, of l.8. I I from each nember-The number of widows on fuch an eftablifhment will, in $\sigma_{3}$ years, grow, if none marry, to 462 ; and the number of widowers to 224 . - It may be depended on, that all this would happen as far as Dr. Halley's table, or the tables for Norwoich and Nortbamptoin, exhibit the true fate ol human mortality.

Among the minifters and profeffors in ScotLAND, the number of married men being 667 , or nearly that here mentioned, the number of annual weddings has, for manyyears, been at an average 32 , and the number of widows left annually 20 ; and therefore, the chance of furvivorhip in favour of the wife, as 20 to 32, or 5 to 3. See Eflay IV. p. 269 . This is not more different, from the refults I have given, than might have been expected; and the chief reaton of the difference is, that the expectations of fingle and joint lives among the minilters and their wives in Scothand, are greater than thofe given by Dr. Hclley's, and the oiher tables of oblervation- - ? hete tables give the expectations of lives as they are among the bulk of mankind in moderate towns. The expectations of lives among the better fort of men, living mofly in country villages and parifhes, are much greater. The faot is, that among the minifters in Siotlent, the expectation of a fingle life at the age of 27 , is three years and an half greater; and, conlequently, of joint lives, above two years greater, than the fame expectations by Dr. Halley's 'iable. Ibid, page 264.

I cannot help juf mentioning another remark here.-- It may be obferved, that fuppoing no fecond maniages, and, at the fame time, that the odds for the woman's furviving in marriage is 3 to 2 , the number of roidows in the world would be double the number of seidicwors. But it has been found, in fact, that the number of widows is five mines the number of widowers. How this is to be accounter for, I have dhewn in the Enay juft returted to, page ? 0 , 271.

## Note (G). Quefion XIV. Page 43.

LETr be il. iacreafed by its intereft for one year; $t$ the given time or number of years tor which the affurance is to be made; $a, b, c$, \& $c c$. the probabilities taken out of a table of obfervations, that the perion whofe age is given fhall live $1,2,3$, \&x. years; and P the probability that he thall live $t$ years. Then $\frac{1-a}{r}+\frac{1-b}{r^{2}}+\frac{1-c}{r^{3}}, 8 r c$. $(t-1)+\frac{1-P}{r^{2}}+\frac{1-P}{1+t^{2}}+\frac{1-P}{r^{t} t^{2}} \&<c .=\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}+$ $\underset{r^{3}}{\frac{1}{3}}$, \&xc. $(t)-\frac{a}{r}+\frac{b}{i^{2}}+\frac{c}{r^{3}}, 8$ cc. ${ }_{6}(t-1)+\frac{\mathrm{P}}{r^{2}}+$ $\frac{1-\mathrm{P}}{r^{r}} \times \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{3}}, \& c$. will be the exact value of an annuity to be entered upon at the failure of the given life, provided it happens in $t$ years. And the rule is nothing but this value exprefied in words. In a fimilar manner may be demonAtrated the other rule for finding the values of anturances, for a given time, on two joint lives, or the longelt of two lives.

## Note (H). Queftion XV. page 56.

LET $r$ fignify as before; $S$ the given fum to be affured; $t$ the given time; N and $n$ the number of the living in the table of obfervations, at the age of $A$ and $B$ refpectively; $A, B, C, \& c$. and $a, b, c, \& z c$. the number of the living in the table, at the end of $1,2,3, \& x$ c. years from the ages
 the decrements of life in the table, at the end of 1, $2,3,8 \tau c$. years from the fame ages. Then, by reafoning in the fame manner with Mr. Simpfon, in p. 316, \&cc. Select Exercijes, it will appear that $S \times$ $\overline{\frac{A \times d}{N n r}+\frac{B \times d}{N}+\frac{\mathrm{C} \times d}{\mathrm{~N} n r^{2}}} \frac{\mathrm{H} n r^{3}}{\mathrm{~N}}, 8 \mathrm{c} .(t)+\mathrm{S} \times \overline{\frac{\mathrm{D} d}{2 \mathrm{~N} n r}+}$
 $\frac{\overline{C d}}{\overline{N r^{3}}}, 8 x c .(t)+\frac{S}{2 N} \times \frac{\overline{D d}+\frac{D d}{n r}}{n r^{2}}, ~ \& x c$. (t). This is the exact anfwer to Queftion XV. and the rule is as near an aproximation to it as there is reafon to defire.

In the fame manner, retaining all the fame fymbuls, it may be found, that the anfwer to Queftion XVI. is


(t-1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A P P E N DIX. }
\end{aligned}
$$


But $\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N} r}+\frac{\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N} r^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N} r^{-3}}, 8<c .(t-1)$ is the fame with the excefs of the value of an annuity certain for a number of years lefs by one year than the given term, above the value of an annuity on the life of $A$, for the fame number of years; from whence the reafon of the rule for folving this queftion, may be eafily difcovered.
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Note (I). Page IIg, \&cc.

LET $t$ be any given term of years; $p$ the value of $1 \%$. due at the end of the given term; A the value of an annuity certain for the fame term; in the complement of a given life; $G$ the value for the given term, of two joint lives, both equal to the given life; (to be found by Quett.VI.) $P$ the perpetuity ; $r$, il. increafed by its intereft for one year.

Then $\bar{A}-\mathrm{G} \times n+t \times p \times \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{P} \times r$ will be the prefent value of 1 l. 2l. 3l. \&xc. ( $t$ ) payable at the end of $1,2,3, \& x$. . ( $t$ ) years; but fubject to failure when the given life fails.

If fuch a courfe of payment is to begin immediately, and to be made at the beginning of every year, till $t+1$ payments are made in $t$ years ; add to the preceding value, the value increafed by unity of an annuity on the given life for $t$ years, found by Queftion VI. and the fum will be the value fought. And this value, divided by the prefent value of what may happen to remain of the given life after $t$ years, found by Queftion VI. will give the ftanding annuity to which fuch a feries of increafing annual payments, beginning immediattely, will entitle, for the remainder of the given life after $t$ years.

With the allifance of this theorem, all that is faid in page 119, \&xc. may be inveftigated. It would be too tedions to enter into a more minute account.
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## Note (K). Page 149.

LET $d$ fignify the difference between the complements of the youngeft and the oideft life in the body of Annuitants, here defcribed, at the time they enter; let $S$ fignify the fum of thefe complements; $n$ any given number of years not greater than $\frac{S}{2}-\frac{d}{2}$; and $x$ the ratio of the whole number of Annuitants to $\frac{5 \times d}{2}$. Then
$x \times d$ will be the number that will die the If year; $x \times \overline{d+\frac{2 l}{5}}$ the number that will die the $2 d$ year: $x \times d+\frac{4 d}{5}+\frac{4 d}{S^{2}}, 3 d$ year ;
$1 \times \overline{d+\frac{6 d}{S}+\frac{8 d}{5^{2}}+\frac{8 d}{S^{3}}}$, 4th year;
$x \times d+\frac{8 d}{S}+\frac{12 d}{S^{2}}+\frac{16 d}{S^{3}}+\frac{16 d}{S^{+}}, 5$ th year ;
and $x \times n d+\overline{n^{2}-n} \times \frac{d}{S}+\overline{n-2+\overline{n-2}} \times \frac{2 d}{\frac{2 d}{S^{2}}}+\overline{n-3}$
$\overline{+\overline{n-3} 3^{2} \times \frac{4 d}{S^{3}}}+\overline{n-4}+\left.\overline{n-4}\right|^{2} \times \frac{8 d}{S^{4}}, 8 c .(n)$ will be the whole number dying in $n 3$ years. When $n$ is greater than $\frac{S}{2}-\frac{d}{2}$, this feries is greater than the whole number dying in $n$ years; but in all other cafes it gives this number exactly, fuppofing the probabilities of life to decreafe uniformly.

In the prefent inftance, the youngeft life being 30, and the oldeft 60 , the two complements are $5^{6}$ and 26. $S=82 . d=30 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~S} d}{2}={ }_{12} 30$. And therefore $x=$ $\frac{33,333}{1230}=27.1 . \quad$ Take $n=30$ years, and the foregoing feries will be $27.1 \times \overline{900+318.2+7.242+}$ $\overline{164}=33.214$, which is a little greater than the whole number dying in 30 years, but at the fame time lefs than the whole number of Annuitants.

THE furn of the probabilities that any given lives will attain to the end of the 1 ft, $2 d$, 3 d, \&rc. years from the prefent time to the utmoft extremity of life (for inftance, $\frac{45}{7} \frac{5}{6}+\frac{44}{46}+\frac{43}{4}$, \&xc. to $\frac{1}{46}=22 \frac{1}{2}$ for lives of 40 , by the bypothefis) may be called their expectation, or the number of payments due to them, as yearly annuitants. The fum of the probabilities that they will attain to the end of the $1 \mathrm{ft}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{c}$. balf years (or, in the particular cale specified, $\frac{9}{9} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{9}{9} \frac{0}{2}+\frac{89}{9} \frac{9}{2}+\frac{8}{9} \frac{8}{2}, \& c .=$ $\frac{91}{2}$ balf years, or $22 \frac{3}{4}$ years) is their expectation as balf yearly anmuitants. And the fums juft mentioned of the probabilities of their attaining to the end of the $1 \mathrm{ft}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, \& \mathrm{c}$. moments (equal in the fame particular cafe to 23 years) is properly their expectation of life, or their expeclation as annuitants fecured by land.

Mr. De Moiure has omitted the demonftations of the rules he has given for finding the expectations of lives, and only intimated, in general, that he difcovered them by a calculation deduced from the method of fluxions. See his Treatife on Annuities, page 66. It will, perhaps, be agreeable to fome to fee how eafily they are deduced in this method, upon the hypothefis of an equal decrement of life.

Let $\dot{x}$ ftand for a moment of time, and $n$ the complement of any afigned life. Then $\frac{n-\dot{x}}{n}, \frac{n-2 \dot{x}}{n}$, $\frac{n-3 \dot{x}}{n}, 8 x c$. will be the prefent probabilities of its
continuing to the end of the $\mathrm{Ift}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, \& \mathrm{xc}$. moo ments; and $\frac{n-x}{n}$ the probability of its continuing to the end of $x$ time. $\frac{n-x}{n} \times \dot{x}$ will therefore be the fluxion of the fum of the probabilities, or of in crea reprefenting this fum, whofe ordinates are $\frac{n-x}{n}$, and axis $x$. -The fluent of this expreffion, or $x-\frac{x^{2}}{2 n}$, is the fum itfelf for the time $x$; and this, when $x=n$, becomes $\frac{1}{2} n$, and gives the expectation of the afigned life, or the fum of all the probabilities juit mentioned for its whole poffible duration.-In like manner: fince $\frac{\overline{n-x^{2}}}{n^{2}}$ is the probability that two equal joint lives will continue $x$ time, $\frac{\overline{n-x^{2}}}{n^{i}} \times x^{2}$ will be the fluxion of the from of the probabilities. The fluent is $x-\frac{x^{2}}{n}+\frac{x^{3}}{3 n^{2}}$, which, when $n=x$, is $\frac{n}{3}$, or the expectation of two equal joint lives. Again: fince $\frac{n-x}{n} \times \frac{2 x}{n}$ is the probability that there will be a furvivor of two equal joint lives at the end of $x$ time, $\frac{n-x}{n} \times \frac{2 x}{n} \times \dot{x}$ will bc the fluxion of the fum of the probabilities; and the fluent, or $\frac{x^{2}}{n}-\frac{2 x^{3}}{3 x^{2}}$ is (when $x=n$ ) $\frac{r}{5} n$, or the expectation of furvivorfhip between two equal lives; which, therefore, appeais to be equal to the experio-
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expeitetion of their joint continnance. The expectathon of two uncqual joint lives, found in the fame way, is $\frac{m}{2}-\frac{m^{2}}{6 n}, m$ being the complement of the oldeft life, and $i 2$ the complemont of the youngeft. The whole expectation of furvivorthip is $\frac{n}{2}-\frac{m}{2}+$ $\frac{m^{2}}{3{ }^{n}}$. The expectation of furvivormip, on the part of the oldeft is $\frac{m^{2}}{6 n}$; and the expectation, on the part of the youngent, is $\frac{n}{2}-\frac{m}{2}+\frac{m m^{2}}{6 n}$. It is eafy to apply this inveltigation to any number of joint lives, and to all cates of furvivorfhip.

It may be obferved, concerning the firt of the fuents here given, that it expreffes not only the expeetation of a given life for the time $x$, and therefore its whole expectation when $x=n$, but likewife, the number of perfons alive, to which one perfon added annually to a fociety, at a given age, will increafe in $x$ time._Thus: Suppofe one annuitant, whole age is 28 , (and whofe complement of life, therefore, is 58 , or expectation of life 29) to come upon a fociety every year; the number of annuitants alive, deduced from hence, will, in $x$ years, be $x-\frac{x^{2}}{4 \times 29}$, or $\frac{4 \times 29-x^{2}}{4+29} \times x$; and, therefore, the number of annuitants alive, deduced in the fame time from $p$ annuitants left annually at the fame age, will be $\frac{4 \times 29-x^{2}}{4 \times 29} \times p x$. In like manner, the 2 d fuent, or $\frac{x^{3}}{3^{n^{2}}}-\frac{x^{3}}{n}+x$, gives the number
number of marriages in being together, that will, in $x$ years, grow our of one yearly marriage, between perions of equal ages, whofe complement of life is $n$. If they are of unequal ages, and the complement of the oldeft life is $m$, and of the youngeft $n$, this number will be $\frac{x^{3}}{3 n n}-\frac{\overline{n+m} \times x^{3}}{2 m n n}+x$. And if the number of years is required, in which any given number of yearly marriages, between men and women at given ages, will increafe fo far as to be in any given proportion to the greateft number that can pofibly grow out of fuch marriages, this expreffion muft be made equal to the expectation of the joint lives, or of each marriage, multiplied by the fration expreffing the given proportion; and the root of the equation will be the anfwer. Thus: it may be found, that one marriage every year, between perions 33 and 25 years of age ${ }_{3}$ would in 10 years increafe to 8.35 ; in 15 years, to 1r. 38 ; and in 53 years, to 19 , or their greatef poffible number : and, confequently, that 35 fuch yearly marriages would, in 10 years, increafe to 292; in 15 years, to $39^{8}$; and in 53 years, to 665 ..-And if it is enquired in what number of years 35 fuch yearly marriages would increafe to half the number in being together, poffible to be derived from them, the value of $x$, in the cubic equation $\frac{x^{3}}{3 n m}-\frac{\overline{n+m} \times x^{2}}{2 n m}+x=\frac{\bar{m}-\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{m}{n}} \times \frac{x}{2}$, muft be found; which, in the prefent inftance, is nearly 12.

I have, in fome parts of this work, had occafion to make fuch deductions as thele. Sie note (A), p. 277; and note (F), p. 288 ; and Queftions III. and XIII.

Note (M). Eflay II. Page 226.

LETr fignify $I l$, increafed by its intereft for one year.
$\checkmark$ the perpetuity.
$n$ the difference between the age of the youngeft life, and 86 ; or its complement.
$m$ the complement of the oldeft life.
$P$ the value (in Table II.) of an annuity certain for in years.

And the exact value of any two given joint lives, according to the hypothefis of an equal decrementof life, will be $V-\frac{V+1}{n} \times \overline{n-2 v-1} \times \frac{p}{m}$ +2v. Example:

Let the ages be 27 and 38 ; and the rate of intereft 4 per cent. Then $n=59 . m=48 . V=25$. $\mathrm{P}=21.195 . n-m-2 v-1=-40 . \overline{n-m}$ $2 v-1 \times \frac{P}{m}+2 v=50-17.660=32.340$. And $\mathrm{V}-\frac{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{r}}{n} \times \overline{\overline{n-m-2 v-1} \times \frac{\mathrm{P}}{m}+2 v}=25-\frac{26}{59}$ $\times 32.340=10.748$, the value of two joint lives whofe ages are 27 and 3 S.

Note

Note (N). Effay III. Page 232.

T is plain, that the purchafer of A's right, as fated in the firft of the queftions, to which this nate refers, cannot get into poffeffion, till the year when A and B fall be both dead; nor then, unlets A happens to die loft. Now, fuppofing the common complement of life $n$; the probability that A and B hall be both dead at the end of the frt year, and A die lat, is $\overline{1-\frac{n-1}{n}} \times 1-\frac{n-1}{n}$ $\times \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n-1}{2 n}-\frac{n-1}{2 n}+\frac{\overline{n-1} n^{2}}{2 n^{2}}$. In like manner, the probability that they fall be both dead at the end of the $2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{cc}$. years, and A Survive, is $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n-2}{2 n}-\frac{n-2}{2 n}+\frac{\overline{n-2}:}{2 n^{n}} ; \frac{1}{2}-\frac{n-3}{2 n}-$ $\frac{n-3}{2 n}+\frac{\overline{n-3})^{2}}{2 n^{2}}$, sc. The present value, therefore, of the $1 \mathrm{if}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, \& \mathrm{c}$. rents of the reverionary eftate is $\frac{1}{2 \pi}-\frac{n-1}{2 n r}-\frac{n-1}{2 n r}+\frac{n-1}{2 n r^{r}}=\frac{1}{2 z^{2}}-\frac{n-2}{2 n r^{2}}-$ $\frac{n-2}{2 n r^{2}}+\frac{\overline{n-2})^{2}}{2 n^{2} r^{2}}, \frac{1}{2 r^{2}}-\frac{n-3}{2 n r^{3}}-\frac{n-3}{2 m r^{3}}+\frac{\overline{n-3})^{2}}{2 n^{2} \cdot r^{3}}, \& c$. Supposing $r$ to fignify $1 l$. increased by its interest for a year; and the eftate to be il. per cumin. And the film of thee terms continued in infinitum, is the value required._But $\frac{1}{2 r}+\frac{1}{2 r^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 r^{3}}$, 2 ac, is half
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the perpetuity. And $\frac{n-1}{2 n r}+\frac{n-1}{2 n r}-\frac{\overline{n-1)^{2}}}{2 n^{2} r}+$ $\frac{n-2}{2 n r^{2}}+\frac{n-2}{2 n n^{2}}-\frac{\overline{n-2}:}{2 n^{2} r^{2}}+\frac{n-3}{2 n r^{3}}+\frac{n-3}{2 n r^{3}}-\frac{\overline{n-3}}{2 n^{2} r^{3}}$, 8 EC , is half the value of the joint lives, fubtracted from half the fum of the values of the two Jingle lives; that is, balf the value of the longest of the twa. lives.

A fimilar demonffration may be applied to the other qqueflion.

## Note (O). Effiay IV. Page 262.

1ET $r$ be 12. increafed by its intereft for one year.
Let $S$ reprefent any given interval of time, or number of years, during which the decrements of life in a table of oblervations continue equal.
a the number of the living in the table at the beginning of the firft year of that interval.

6 the number of the living in the table at the beginning of the year immediately following the fame interval.
$P$ the value of an annuity certain for $S$ years.
$p$ the vaiue, in Table I. of $1 \%$ due at the end of $S$ years.

Q the value, in Table VI. of an annuity for the life of a perfon whofe age wants $S$ years of 86 .

N the value, in ftrict agreement with the given table of oblervations, of an annuity on the life of a perfon whofe age is $S$ years greater than the age at which the interval of equal decrements begins. Then
$\mathrm{Q}+\frac{b}{a} \times \overline{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q}}$ will be the value, according
to the table of obfervations, of an annuity for $S$ years, on a life of the fame age with that at which the interval of equal decrements begins. And
$Q+\frac{b}{a} \times \overline{P-Q}+p N$ will be the value of an annuity on the whole duration of that life.

When $S$ reprefents one year, $Q$ vanifhes, and the 1aft exprefion becomes $\frac{b}{a r} \times \overline{1+N}$; which is the
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rule for finding, from the value given of any life, the value of a life one year younger.

Thefe Theorems fave much labour in calculating the values of life-annuities from tables of obfervations.

The firf of them, with its inveftigation, may be found in page 341, ad edition, of Mr. D: Moivre's Treatife on the Doarrine of Cbances. But is is neceffary to oblerve, that the direction Mr. De Moivre has given for finding the value of Q is wrong. In confequence of calculating agreeably to this direction, he gives the value of a life at the age of 42 , by Dr. Holley's table, greater than the value of the fame life by his own hypothefis; whereas, it is evident, that the probabilities of living after 42 , being all along lefs in Dr. Halley's table, than in the hypothefis, the ealue of the life muft be alfo lefs.

The mathematical reader may cafily fatisfy himfelf, that the value of $Q$ ought to be takein from Table VI. as I have directed.

An ealy and accurate method of finding the values of fingles lives, agreeably to any given table of obfervations, is given by Mr. Dodfon in his Matbematical Repofitory, vol. 11. page 161.

There is alfo in Mr. Simpfon's Sclect Exercifes, page $27 \frac{3}{3}$, a very eafy rule for approximating to the values of fingle lives, according to Dr. Halley's table. But this rule muft not be depended on; for I have found it $\frac{1}{2}$ a year's purchafe, and fometimes three-quarters of a year's purchafe wrong.

To prevent the danger of miftaking the Theorem I have given, 1 have thought proper to fubjoin the following example.

Let the table of obfervations be the Erobaru Table, or Table III. The value of a life at 78 , by this

$$
\mathrm{X}_{2} \text { Table, }
$$

Table, is $\frac{49}{58 r}+\frac{4 \mathrm{I}}{58 r^{2}}+\frac{34}{58 r^{3}}, \& c$. to the end $\mathrm{co}^{2}$ life. The number of terms in this feries being finall, it may be eafily found to be 3.514 , fuppofing intereft at 4 per cent. and $\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r^{2}}, \frac{1}{r^{3}}, \& x c$. being the values, in Table I. of 1 l. at the end of 1,2,3, \&c. years.——From 78 to 74 the decrements of life continue equal ; and therefore $S=4.0$ $a=98 . b=58 . \mathrm{P}=3.6298$, by Table II; $p=$ .8548, by Table I; $\mathrm{Q}=1.406$, by Table VI; $\mathrm{N}=3.514 . \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q}+p \mathrm{~N}=5.227$; and $\mathrm{Q}+\frac{b}{a}$ $x \overline{P-Q+p N}=4.500$, or the value of a life at 74.

From 74 to 70 there is another interval of equall decrements; and, by a like eafy operation, the waiue of a life at ' $\%$ will be found to be 5.525 .

> TABLE

## T A B L E I.

The prefent Value of Il . to be received at the end of any number of years, not exceeding 100 ; difcounting at the rates of $3,3 \frac{1}{2}, 4,4 \frac{1}{2}, 5$ and 6 per cent. compound interett.


## T A B L E I. Continued,

|  |  | 13 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per Ct. | 4 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per Ct. | 5 per Ct. | 6 per Ct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ,321343 | ,274094 | ,23 | ,199873 | ,146186 |
| 34 |  | , | , 310476 | ,263552, | ,223896 | ,190355 | ,137912 |
|  |  | , 35538 | ,299977, ,25 | ,253415 , | ,214254 | ,181290 | ,130105 |
|  |  | ,34,5032 | ,289833, | ,243669 | ,205028 | ,172657 | ,122741 |
|  |  | ,334983 | ,280032 ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | ,234297 | ,196199 | , 11644.36 | ,115793 |
|  |  | ,325226 | .270562 | ,225285 | ,187750 | ,156605 | ,109239 |
|  |  | , 315754 | ,261413 | ,216621 | ,179665 | ,r49148 | ,103056 |
|  |  | , 306557 | ,252572 | ,208289 | .171929 | , 142046 | ,097222 |
|  |  | ,297628 | ,244031 | ,20027 | ,164525 | ,135282 | ,091719 |
|  |  | ,288959 | ,235779 | ,192575 | ,157440 | ,128840 | ,086527 |
|  |  | ,280543 | ,227800 | ,18,163 | ,150663 | , 122704 | ,081630 |
|  |  | ,272372 | ,220102 | ,178046 | ,144173 | ,1168 | , 077009 |
|  |  | ,264439 | ,212659 | ,171198 | ,137964 | ,1112 | ,072650 |
|  |  | ,256737 | ,205468 | ,164614 | ,132023 | ,1059 | ,058538 |
|  |  | ,249259 | ,1985 | ,158283 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 126338 \\ 120893 \end{array}\right\|,$ | ,100949 | ,064658 |
|  |  | 1.24 | ,191800 | ,152195 | $\begin{aligned} & 120893 \\ & 115692 \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ | ,096142 | ,000998 |
|  |  | .228107 | 1179053 | , 140713 | , 110710 | ,087204 | ,054288 |
|  |  |  | ,172998 | , 135301 | , 105942 | ,083051 | ,O51215 |
|  |  | ,2150:3 | ,167148 | ,130097 | , 101380 | ,079096 | ,048316 |
|  |  | ,205750 | ,16:496 | ,125093 | ,097014 | ,075330 | ,045582 |
|  |  | ,202670 | .156035 | ,1202 | ,092837 | ,071743 | ,043001 |
|  |  | ,196767 | . 15075 | ,115656 | ,088839 | ,068326 | ,040567 |
|  |  | , 191036 | ,145600 | , 111207 | ,085013 | ,065073 | ,038271 |
|  |  | ,185472 | 140734 | , 106930 | .081353 | ,061974 | ,036105 |
|  | -81 | ,1800;0 | , 135975 | ,102817 | ,077849 | ,050023 | . 034061 |
|  |  | ,174825 | 131577 | . 098963 | ,074497 | ,056212 | ,032133 |
|  |  | ,169733 | 126934 | ,095060 | ,071289 | . 053536 | .030310 |
|  |  | , 164789 | ,122642 | ,091404 | ,068219 | ,050986 | ,028598 |
|  |  | ,159990 | ,118+95 | ,08,889 | ,065281 | ,048558 | ,026980 |
|  |  | ,15533C | ,114487 | . 084508 | ,062470 | , ,046246 | , 025453 |
|  |  | ,150806 | , 1106616 | ,081258 | , 059780 | , ,044044 | , 024012 |
|  |  | . 146413 | , 0587 | ,078133 | 3 ,057206 | 6,041946 | .,02265 |
|  |  | ,142149 | , 103261 | , 075128 | , 054742 | 2 2,039949 | , 02137 |
|  |  | 1138009 | 9,099760 | ,072238 | . 052385 | , ,038047 | , 02 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1339^{89} \\ & 1,1 ; 068 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} 9 & 096395 \\ 6 & 093136 \end{array}$ | \% 6.059460 | 8 , 0,040129 | $1{ }_{1} 0,036235$ |  |
|  |  | O, | . 019986 | ,064219 | , 4 | ,,032866 | $6{ }^{1} 01692$ |

TABLE I. Continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | , 1 |  |  | , $0+39$ |  |  |
| 72 | , 119047 | ,084003 | ,059374 | ,042037 | , 029311 |  |
| 73 | ,115580 | ,081162 | ,057091 | ,040226 | ,028391 | , 01 |
| 74 | , 112214 | ,078418 | ,054895 | ,03849t | , 02703 |  |
| 75 | ,108945 | ,075766 | ,052784 | ,036836 | , 02575 |  |
| 76 | ,105772 | ,07320.4 | ,050754 | ,0352;0 |  |  |
| 77 | ,102691 | ,070728 | ,048801 | ,033732 | , 023357 |  |
| 78 | ,099700 | ,068336 | ,046924 | .032280 | ,022245 |  |
| 79 | ,096796 | ,066026 | , 0 | ,030890 | , 0 | ,010019 |
| 80 | ,093977 | ,063793 |  | ,0:9559 | ,020177 |  |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | ,c8858z | ,05 | , 04 | ,027068 | ,018301 | , 008412 |
| 83 | ,c8600 | . 057538 | ,038,69 | ,025903 | , 017430 |  |
| 84 | ,083 | ,055592 | ,037085 | ,024787 | ,016600 |  |
| 85 | ,081065 | ,053712 | ,035659 | ,023720 | , 015809 |  |
| 85 | ,07870 | ,051896 | ,03428 | ,022699 | ,015056 |  |
| 87 | ,076+12 | ,050141 | ,032968 | ,021721 | ,014339 |  |
| 88 | ,074186 | ,048445 | ,031700 | ,020786 | ,013657 |  |
| 89 | ,072027 | ,046807 | ,030481 | ,019891 | ,013006 |  |
| 9 | ,069928 | ,045224 | ,029309 | , 019034 | ,012387 | ,005278 |
| 91 | ,067891 | ,043695 | ,0 |  |  |  |
| $9^{2}$ | ,065914 | ,042217 | ,027098 | , 017430 | ,011235 | ,004697 |
| 93 | ,063994 | .040789 | ,026055 | , 01668 | , 0107c0 |  |
| 94 | ,062130 | ,039410 | ,025053 | ,015961 | , 010191 | , 0 |
| 95 | ,060320 | . 038077 | ,024090 | ,015274 | ,009705 | ,003944 |
| 96 | , 058 | ,036790 | ,023163 | ,0146:6 | ,0092+3 | ,003? 21 |
| 9 | .0;6858 | ,035546 | ,022272 | ,013987 | ,008303 |  |
| 98 | ,055202 | ,0j4344 | ,021416 |  | ,008384 | ,003312 |
| 99 | ,053594 | ,033182 | ,020592 | , 1 |  |  |
| 100 | - 52 | ,032060 | , | 122 | , |  |

TABLE

## TABLE II.

The prefent $V$ alue of an Annuity of One Pound, for any Number of Years not exceeding 100, at the feveral Rates of $3,3 \frac{\pi}{2}, 4,5$, and $6 \%$. per Cent.

|  | 3 per Ct. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.9133 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. 82 | 2.801 | 2.7 | 2.7232 |  |
|  |  | 3. |  | 3.5459 |  |
|  | 4.5797 | 4.5151 | 4.4.5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $7 \cdot 4353$ |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  | - |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | 11 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12.6 | 12.16 |  |  |
|  |  | I3.18 | i2.6592 | 11. |  |
|  |  | 13.7093 | 13.1339 | 12.0853 |  |
| 20 | 14 | 14.2124 |  | 12.4622 |  |
|  |  | 14 | 14.0291 |  |  |
|  | $15.93^{89}$ | 15.1671 | 14.4521 | 13.1530 | 12.0 |
|  | 16.443 | 15.6204 | 14.8568 | 13.48 |  |
|  | 16 |  | 15. | 13. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | 3 P |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 17.87 | 16.8 | 15.9827 | 5 I | 1 |
| 27 | IS. 32 | 17.2854 | 16.3295 | 14.6430 | 13.2105 |
| 28 | 18.7641 | 17.6670 | 16.6630 | 14.8981 | 13.4061 |
| 29 | 19.1884 | 18.0358 | 16.9837 | 15.1410 | 13.5907 |
| 3 | 19.6 | 18.3920 | 17.2920 | 15.3724 |  |
| 31 | 20.0004 | I 8.7363 | $17.588+$ |  |  |
| 32 | 20.3887 | 19.0689 | 17.8735 | 15.8026 |  |
| 33 |  | 19 | 18.1476 | 16.0025 |  |
| 3 | 21.1318 | 19. | I3.41 I I | 16.1929 | 14 |
| 35 | 21.48 | 20.0007 | 18.6646 |  |  |
| 3 |  | 20.29 | 18.9082 | 16.5468 |  |
| 3 | 22.1 | 20.5705 | 19.1425 |  |  |
| 38 | 22 | 20.8 | 19.3678 | 16.8678 |  |
| 3 | 22.8 | 21.1025 | 19.5 | 17.0170 |  |
| 40 |  | 21.3 | 19.7927 | 17.1590 |  |
| 41 | 2 |  |  | I 7.2943 |  |
| 42 | 23.7013 | 21.8349 | 20. | 1 7.4232 |  |
| 43 | 23.9819 | 22.0627 | 20.3707 | I 7.5459 |  |
| 4. | 24.2542 | 22.2828 | 20.5488 | 17.6627 |  |
| 4 |  | 22.4955 | 20 | 17.7740 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | I5. |
|  | 25.0247 | 22.8994 | 21.0429 | 17.9810 |  |
|  | 25.2667 | 23.09 | 2 I.1951 |  | J 5 |
| 4 | - | 23.2766 | 2I.3414 | $18.168 \%$ |  |
| 50 | $25.729 \%$ | 23.4556 | 21.4821 | 18.2559 |  |
| 5 |  | 23.6286 | 21.6174 | 18.3380 |  |
| 52 | 26.1662 | $23 \cdot 795^{8}$ | 21.7475 | 1 8.4iSo |  |
| 53 | 26.3749 | 23.9573 | 21.03726 | I 8.49 |  |
| 54 | 26.5776 | 24.İ33 | 21.9929 | IS. 56 | I 5.9499 |
| 5.5 | 26.5744 | $2 .+264 \mathrm{I}$ | 22.10 | 19.63 | 15.09 |

TABLE II. Continued.

|  | 3 B |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 26.9654 | 24.4097 |  |  |  |
| 57 | 27.150 | 24.5504 | 22.3267 |  |  |
| 5 | 27.3310 | $24.686+$ | 22.4295 | 18.8195 |  |
| 59 | $27.505^{8}$ | 24.8178 | 22.5284 | 18.8757 |  |
| 60 | 25.6755 | 24.9447 | 22.6234 | 18.9292 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | 28.0003 | 25.1839 | 22.8027 | I9.0288 |  |
| 63 | $28.155^{6}$ | $25 \cdot 3004$ | $22.887=$ | 19.0750 |  |
| 6 | 28.3064 | 25.4110 | 22.9085 | 19.1191 | $16.266_{4}$ |
| 6. |  |  | 23.0456 | 19.1610 | 16.28 gI |
| 66 | 28.5 | 25 | 23 |  |  |
| 67 | 28.7330 | 25.7209 | 23.1940 | 19.2390 |  |
| 68 | 28.8670 | 25.8173 | 23.2635 | i9.2753 |  |
| 69 | 28.997 I | 25.9104 | 23.3302 | 19.3098 |  |
| 70 | 29.1234 | 26.0004 | 23.3945 | 19.3426 |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 29.36 | 26.1713 | 23.5156 | 19.4037 | 16.4155 |
| 73 | 29.4806 | 26.2525 | 23.5727 | 19.4321 |  |
| 74 | 29.5 | 26.3309 | 23.6276 | 19.4592 |  |
| 75 | 29. | 26.4067 | 23.6804 | 19.4849 |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | 29.9102 | 26.5506 | 23.7799 | 19.5328 | 16.4790 |
| 78 | 30.0099 | 26.6190 | 23.8268 | 19.5550 |  |
| 79 | 30.1067 | 26.6850 | 23.8720 | 19.5762 |  |
| 80 | 30.2007 | 26.7488 | 23.9153 | 19.5964 | 1 |
| 8 | 30.2920 |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | 30.3805 | 26.8 | 23.9972 | 19.6339 |  |
| 83 | 30.4655 | 26. | $24.035 \%$ | 19.6514 | 16.5343 |
| 84 | 30.5 | 26. | 24.0728 | 19. | 16.5418 |
| 85 | 30.6 | 27.0368 | 24.1085 | 19.683 | 16.5489 |
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T A B L E II. Continued.

|  |  |  | tper |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 27.1 | 24.1757 | 19.7132 |  |
| 88 | 30.8 | 27 | 24.2074 |  |  |
| 89 | 30 | 27.2341 | 24.2379 | 19.7398 |  |
| 80 | 31.0024 | 27.2793 | 24.2672 | 19.7522 |  |
| 91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 3 I .1 | 27.365 | 24.3225 |  |  |
| 93 | 31.2 | 27. | 24.3486 | 19.7859 |  |
| 94 | 3 I. 2 | 27 | 24.3736 |  |  |
| 95 | 31 |  | 24.3977 | $19.805^{8}$ |  |
|  | $3 \cdot 3$ |  | 24 | 19.8 |  |
|  | 31.43 | $27.555^{8}$ | 24.443 I | 19.8239 |  |
| 98 | 31.49 | 27.5902 | 24.4646 |  |  |
| 99 | 31.546 | 27.6 | 24.48 | 19.8403 |  |
| 100 | 31.59 | 27 | 24.5050 | 19. | 16.6175 |

## TABLE III.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Life, as deduced by Dr. Halley from Obfervations on the Bills of Mortality of Breslaw.

| A 4 es | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pertions } \\ & \text { livinge. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Def Life ife. } \end{array}$ | Ages. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Docer } \\ & \text { of } \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ | Ages | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Perfonst } \\ & \text { biving. } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decr. } \\ & \text { of Life } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1000 | 145 | 31 | 52 | 8 | 61 | 232 | 10 |
| 2 | 855 | 57 | 32 | 515 | 8 | 62 | 222 | 10 |
| 3 | 798 | 38 | 33 | 507 | 8 | 63 | 212 | 10 |
| 4 | 760 | 28 | 34 | 499 | 9 | 64 | 202 | 10 |
| 5 | 732 | 22 | 35 | 490 | 9 | 65 | 192 | 10 |
| 6 | 710 | 18 | 36 | 481 | 9 | 66 | 182 | 10 |
| 7 | 692 | 12 | 37 | 472 | 9 | 67 | 172 | 10 |
| 8 | 680 | 10 | $3^{8}$ | 463 | 9 | 68 | 162 | ıо |
| 9 | 670 | 9 | 39 | 454 | 9 | 69 | 152 | 10 |
| :0 | 661 | 8 | 40 | 445 | 9 | 70 | 142 | II |
| 11 | 653 | 7 | 41 | 436 | 9 | 71 | 13 r | $1 \ddagger$ |
| 12 | 646 | 6 | 42 | 427 | Io | 72 | 120 | 11 |
| 13 | 640 | 6 | 43 | 417 | 10 | 73 | 109 | 11 |
| 14 | 634 | 6 | 44 | 407 | 10 | 74 | 98 | 10 |
| 15 | 628 | 6 | 45 | 397 | 10 | 75 | 88 | 10 |
| 16 | 622 | 6 | 46 | 387 | 10 | 76 | 78 | 10 |
| 17 | 616 | 6 | 47 | 377 | 10 | 77 | 68 | 10 |
| 18 | 610 | 6 | 48 | 367 | 10 | 78 | 58 | 9 |
| 19 | 604 | 6 | 49 | 357 | 11 | 79 | 49 | 8 |
| 20 | 598 | 6 | 50 | 346 | 11 | 80 | 41 | 7 |
| 21 | 592 | 6 | 51 | 335 | 11 | 8 I | 34 | 6 |
| 22 | 586 | 7 | 52 | 324 | 11 | 82 | 28 | 5 |
| 23 | 5i9 | 6 | 53 | 313 | 11 | 83 | 23 | 4 |
| 24 | 573 | 6 | 54 | 302 | 10 | 84 | 19 | 4 |
| 25 | 567 | 7 | 55 | 292 | 10 | 85 | 15 | 4 |
| 26 | 560 | 7 | 56 | 282 | IO | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| 27 | 553 | 7 | 57 | 272 | 10 | 87 | 8 | 3 |
| 28 | 546 | 7 | 58 | 262 | 10 | 88 | 5 | 2 |
| 29 | 539 | 8 | 59 | 252 | 10 | 89 | 3 | 2 |
| 30 | 531 | 8 | 60 | 242 | 10 | 90 | 1 | 1 |
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## TABLE IV.

Shewing the Probabilities of Life at Nor. tuhAmpton. See page 255,256 .


TABLE V.
Shewing the Probabilities of Life at Norwicif: See page 256, 257.


## APPENDIX.

## T A B L E VI. (a).

Shewing the prefent Values of an Annuity of if. on a fingle life, according to Mr. De Moivre's hypothefis; and, therefore, nearly, according to the probabilities of life at Breslaw, Norwich, and Northampton. See p. 2 , and p. 262.

| Age. | 3 per Ct. | $3 \frac{7}{2}$ per Ct | 4 per Ct. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per Ct. | 5 per Ct. | 6 per Ct. |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 19,736 | 18,160 | 16,791 | 15,595 | 14,544 | 12,790 |
| 9 | 19,868 | 18,269 | 16,882 | 15,672 | 14,607 | 12,839 |
| 10 | 19,868 | 18,269 | 16,882 | 15,672 | 14,607 | 12,839 |
| 11 | 19,736 | 18,160 | 16,791 | 15,595 | 14,544 | 12,790 |
| 12 | 19,604 | 18,049 | 16,698 | 15,517 | 14,480 | 12,741 |
| 13 | 19,469 | 17,937 | 16,604 | 15,437 | 14,412 | 12,691 |
| 14 | 19,331 | 17,823 | 16,508 | 15,356 | 14,342 | 12,639 |
| 15 | 19,192 | 17,707 | 16,410 | 15,273 | 14,271 | 12,586 |
| 16 | 19,050 | 17,588 | 16,311 | 15,189 | 14,197 | 12,532 |
| 17 | 18,905 | 17,467 | 16,209 | 15,102 | 14,123 | 12,4761 |
| 18 | 18,759 | 17,344 | 16,105 | 15,015 | 14,047 | 12,419 |
| 19 | 18,610 | 17,220 | 15,999 | 14,923 | 13,970 | 12,361 |
| 20 | 18,458 | 17,093 | 15,891 | 14,831 | 13,891 | 12,301 |
| 21 | 18,305 | 16,963 | 15,781 | 14,737 | 13,810 | 12,2393 |
| 22 | 18,148 | 16,830 | 15,669 | 14,641 | 13,727 | 12,177 |
| 23 | 17,990 | 16,695 | 15,554 | 14,543 | 13,642 | 12,112 |
| 24 | 17,827 | 16,559 | 15,437 | 14,442 | 13,555 | 12,045 |
| 25 | 17,664 | 16,419 | 15,318 | 14,340 | 13,466 | 11,978 |
| 26 | 17,497 | 16,277 | 15,197 | 14,235 | 13,375 | 11,908 |
| 27 | 17,327 | 16,133 | 15,073 | 14,128 | 13,282 | 11,837 |
| 28 | 17,154 | 15,985 | 14,946 | 14,018 | 13,186 | 11,763 |
| 29 | 16,979 | 15,835 | 14,816 | 13,905 | 13,088 | 11,683 |
| 30 | 16,800 | 15,682 | 14,684 | 13,791 | 12,988 | 11,610 |
| 31 | 16,620 | 15,526 | 14,549 | 13,673 | 12,855 | 11,530 |
| 32 | 16,436 | 15,367 | 14,411 | 13,553 | 12,780 | 11,449 |
| 33 | 16,248 | 15,204 | 14,270 | 13,430 | 12,673 | 11,365 |

(a) This Table is the fame with Mr. De Moiure's Table of the values of fingle lives, publifned in his Treatije on Life Annuities, and carried as far as the age of 79 to three places of decimals by Mr. Dodjon in Lis Mathimatical Repofioory, vol, ii, p. 169.
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| Age | 3 per Ct. | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per Ct. | 4 per Ct. | $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{perct}$. | 5 per Ct. | ${ }^{6} \mathrm{per} \mathrm{Ct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 16,057 | 15,039 | 14,126 | 13,304 | 12,562 | 11,278 |
| 35 | 15,864 | 14,871 | 13,979 | 13,175 | 12,449 | 11,189 |
| 36 | 15,666 | 14,699 | 13,829 | 13,044 | 12,333 | 11,098 |
| 37 | 15,465 | 14,524 | 13,676 | 12,909 | 12,214 | 11,053 |
| 38 | 15,260 | 14.345 | 1 3,519 | 12,771 | 12,091 | 10,907 |
| 39 | 15,053 | 14,163 | 13,359 | 12,630 | I I,966 | 10,807 |
| 40 | $1 \div, 842$ | 13.978 | 13,196 | $12: 48 ;$ | I 1,837 | 10,704 |
| 41 | 14,626 | 13,789 | 13,028 | i2,337 | 11,705 | 10,599 |
| 42 | $1+407$ | 13,596 | 12,558 | 12,185 | 11,570 | 10,490 |
| 43 | 14.185 | 13,399 | 12,683 | 12,029 | 11,431 | 10,378 |
| 44 | 13,9,8 | 13,199 | 12,504 | 11,870 | 11,283 | 10,263 |
| 45 | 13,728 | 12,993 | 12,322 | 11,707 | 11,142 | 10,144 |
| 46 | 13,493 | 1 2,784 | 12,135 | 11,540 | 10,992 | 10,021 |
| 47 | 13,254 | 12,571 | 11,944 | 11,368 | 10,837 | 9,895 |
| 48 | 13,012 | 12.354 | 11,7,48 | 11,192 | 10,679 | 9,-65 |
| 49 | 12,764 | 12,131 | 11,548 | 11,012 | 10.515 | 9,630 |
| 50 | 12,5:1 | 11,904 | 11,34.7 | 10,827 | 10,348 | 9,492 |
| 51 | 12,25; | 11,673 | II, 135 | $10,63^{3}$ | 10,176 | 9.349 |
| 52 | 11,994 | 1I,437 | 10,921 | 10,443 | 9,992 | 9,201 |
| 53 | 11,729 | 11,195 | 10,702 | 10,243 | 9.817 | 9,049 |
| - 54 | 11,457 | 10,950 | 10,478 | 10,039 | 9,630 | 8,891 |
| 55 | 11,183 | 10.698 | 10,248 | 9,8=9 | 9.437 | 8,729 |
| 56 | 10,902 | 10,443 | 10,014 | 9,614 | 9,239 | 8,561 |
| 57 | 10,616 | 10,18i | 9,775 | 9,393 | 9,036 | 8,387 |
| $5^{-8}$ | 10325 | 9.913 | 9.527 | 9,166 | 8,826 | 8,208 |
| 59 | 10,029 | 9,640 | 9,275 | 8,933 | 8,611 | 8,023 |
| 60 | 9,727 | 9,361 | 9,017 | 8,694 | 8,389 | 7,831 |
| 61 | 9,419 | 9,076 | 8,753 | 8,449 | 8,161 | 7,63.3 |
| 6 | 9,107 | 8,786 | 8,482 | 8,197 | 7,926 | 7,428 |
| 63 | 8,787 | 8,488 | 8,205 | 7,938 | 7,684 | 7,216 |
| 64 | S:462 | 8,185 | 7,921 | 7,672 | 7,435. | 6,997 |
| 65 | 8,132 | 7,875 | 7,631 | 7,399 | 7,179 | 6,7\%0 |
| (6) | 7.794 | 7.553 | 7.333 | 7,119 | 6,915 | 6,535 |
| 67 | $\cdots, 450$ | 7,234 | 7,027 | 6,831 | 6,643 | 6,293 |
| 68 | 7,099 | 6,902 | 6,714 | 6,534 | 6,362 | 6,010 |
| 09 | 6,743 | 6,565 | 6,394 | 6,230 | 6, 073 | 5,779 |
| 70 | $6.3-8$ | 6.219 | 6,005 | 5,9181 | 5,775 | 5,508 |

## APPENDIX.

T A B L E VI. Continued.

| Age. | 3 per Ct. | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per Ct . | 4 per Ct. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per Ct | 5 per Ct. | 6 per Ct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | 6,008 | 5,865 | 5,728 | 5,596 | 5,468 | 5,228 |
| 72 | 5,631 | 5,505 | 5,383 | 5,265 | 5,152 | 4,937 |
| 73 | 5,246 | 5,136 | 5,029 | 4,926 | 4,826 | 4,636 |
| 74 | 4,854 | 4,759 | 4,666 | 4,576 | 4,489 | 4,324 |
| 75 | 4,453 | 4,373 | 4,293 | 4,217 | 4,143 | 4,000 |
| 76 | 4,046 | 3,978 | 3,912 | 3,847 | 3,734 | 3,664 |
| 77 | 3,632 | 3,575 | 3,520 | 3,467 | 3,415 | 3,315 |
| 78 | 3,207 | 3,163 | 3,111 | 3.076 | 3,034 | 2,9;3 |
| 79 | 2,776 | 2,741 | 2,707 | 2,673 | 2,641 | 2,578 |
| So | 2,334 | 2,309 | 2,284 | 2,259 | 2,235 | 2,188 |
| 81 | 1,886 | 1,867 | 1,850 | 1,832 | 1,816 | 1,783 |
| 82 | 1,429 | 1,411 | 1,406 | 1,394 | 1,384 | 1,362 |
| 83 | 0,961 | 0,955 | 0,950 | 0,943 | 0,937 | 0,925 |
| 84 | 0,484 | 0,483 | 0,481 | 0,479 | 0,476 | 0,472 |
| $8 ;$ | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 |

## A P P E N DIX.

## T A B L E VII.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint continuance of two lives, according to Mr. De Moivers Hypoibefis; and, therefore, nearly according to the probabilities of life at Breslaw, Norwich, and Northampton. See Effay II and P. 2, 3, 226, 262 .

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 10 | 15.206 | 13.342 | 11.855 |
|  | 15 | 14.878 | 13.093 | 11.661 |
|  | 20 | 14.503 | 12.808 | 11.430 |
|  | 25 | 14.074 | 12.480 | I I. I 82 |
|  | 30 | 13.585 | 12.102 | 10.884 |
|  | 35 | I 3.025 | II. 665 | 10.537 |
|  | 40 | 12.381 | II.I $5^{6}$ | 10.128 |
|  | 45 | I 1.644 | 10.564 | 9.646 |
|  | 50 | 10.796 | 9.871 | 9.074 |
|  | 55 | 9.822 | 9.059 | 8.391 |
|  | 60 | 8.704 | 8.105 | 7.572 |
|  | 65 | 7.417 | 6.980 | 6.585 |
|  | 70 | $5 \cdot 936$ | 5.652 | $5 \cdot 391$ |
| 15 | 15 | 14.574 | 12.860 | I 1.478 |
|  | 20 | 14.225 | 12.593 | I 1.266 |
|  | 25 | 13.822 | I 2.28 I | 11.022 |
|  | 30 | 13.359 | II.g2 I | 10.736 |
|  | 35 | 12.824 | II. 501 | 10.402 |
|  | 40 | 12.207 | I 1.013 | 10.008 |
|  | 45 | I 1.496 | 10.440 | 9.54 I |
|  | 50 | I 0.675 | 9.767 | 8.985 |
|  | 55 | 9.727 | 8.975 | 8.3 I 8 |
|  | 60 | 8.632 | 8.041 | 7.515 |
|  | 65 | 7.377 | 6.934 | 6.544 |
|  | 70 | $5 \cdot 932$ | 5.623 | $5 \cdot 364$ |

## APPENDIX.

T A B L E. VII. Continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 20 | 13.904 | 12.341 | 11.067 |
|  | 25 | 13.53 I | 12.051 | 10.870 |
|  | 30 | 13.098 | 11.711 | 10.565 |
|  | 35 | 12.594 | 11.314 | 10.278 |
|  | 40 | I 2.008 | 10.847 | 9.870 |
|  | 45 | 11.325 | 10.297 | . 9.420 |
|  | 50 | 10.536 | 9.648 | 8.880 |
|  | 55 | 9.617 | 8.879 | 8.233 |
|  | 60 | 8.549 | 7.967 | 7.448 |
|  | 65 | 7.308 | 6.882 | 6.495 |
|  | 70 | 5.868 | 5.590 | 5.333 |
| 25 | 25 | 13.192 | 11.786 | 10.621 |
|  | 30 | 12.794 | 11.468 | 10.367 |
|  | 35 | 12.333 | 11.095 | 10.067 |
|  | 40 | 11.776 | 10.655 | 9.708 |
|  | 45 | 11.130 | 10.131 | 9.278 |
|  | 50 | 10.374 | 9.509 | 8.761 |
|  | 55 | 9.488 | 8.766 | 8.134 |
|  | 60 | 8.452 | 7.880 | 7.371 |
|  | 65 | 7.241 | 6.826 | 6.440 |
|  | 70 | 5.826 | 5.551 | 5.294 |
| 30 | 30 | 12.434 | 11.182 | 10.133 |
|  | 35 | 12.010 | 10.838 | 9.854 |
|  | 40 | 11.502 | -10.428 | $9 \cdot 514$ |
|  | 45 | 10.898 | 9.936 | 9.112 |
|  | 50 | 10.183 | 9.345 | 8.620 |
|  | 55 | 9.338 | 8.634 | 8.018 |
|  | 60 | 8.338 | 7.779 | 7.280 |
|  | 65 | 7.161 | 6.748 | 6.373 |
|  | 70 | 5.777 | $5 \cdot 505$ | 5.2.54. |

TABLE VII. Continued.


## T A B L E VII．Continued．

| 氝荡 <br> 品 <br> 亳 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 60 | 6.737 | 6.351 | 6.001 |
|  | 65 | 6.043 | 5.730 | 5.444 |
|  | 70 | 5.081 | 4.858 | 4.653 |
| 65 | 65 | 5.547 | 5.277 | 5.031 |
|  | 70 | 4.773 | 4.571 | 4.385 |
| 70 | 70 | 4.270 | 4．104 | 3.952 |

## 336 A P P E N D I X.

T A B L E VIII.

Shewing the Probability of the Duration of Life in London, deduced by Mr. Simppon from obfervations on the bills of mortality in London for io years, from 1728 to 1737.

| Ages | Perfens | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decr. } \\ & \text { of Life. } \end{aligned}$ | Ages. | Perfons living. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decr. } \\ & \text { of Life. } \end{aligned}$ | Ages. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \text { 'rion } \\ \text { living } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uecr. } \\ & \text { of Life. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 1000 | 320 | 27 | 321 | 6 | 54 | 135 | 6 |
| 1 | 680 | 133 | 28 | 315 | 7 | 55 | 129 | 6 |
| 2 | 547 | 51 | 29 | 308 | 7 | 56 | 123 | 6 |
| 3 | 496 | 27 | 30 | 301 | 7 | 57 | 117 | 5 |
| 4 | 469 | 17 | 31 | 294 | 7 | 58 | 112 | 5 |
| 5 | $45^{2}$ | 12 | 32 | 287 | 7 | 59 | 107 | 5 |
| 6 | 440 | 10 | 33 | 280 | 7 | 60 | 102 | 5 |
| 7 | 430 | 8 | 34 | 273 | 7 | 61 | 97 | 5 |
| 8 | 422 | 7 | 35 | 266 | 7 | 62 | 92 | 5 |
| 9 | 415 | 5 | 36 | 259 | 7 | 63 | 87 | 5 |
| 10 | 410 | 5 | 37 | 252 | 7 | 64 | 82 | 5 |
| 1 | 405 | 5 | 38 | 245 | 8 | 65 | 77 | 5 |
| 12 | 400 | 5 | 39 | 237 | 8 | 66 | 72 | 5 |
| 13 | 395 | 5 | 40 | 229 | 7 | 67 | 67 | 5 |
| 14 | 390 | 5 | 41 | 222 | 8 | 68 | 62 | 4 |
| 15 | 385 | 5 | 42 | 214 | 3 | 69 | 58 | 4 |
| 16 | 380 | 5 | 43 | 206 | 7 | 70 | 54 | 4 |
| 17 | 375 | 5 | 44 | 199 | 7 | 71 | 50 | 4 |
| 13 | 370 | 5 | 45 | 192 | 7 | 72 | 46 | 4 |
| 19 | 365 | 5 | 46 | 185 | 7 | 73 | 42 | 3 |
| 20 | 360 | 5 | 47 | 178 | 7 | 74 | 39 | 3 |
| 21 | 355 |  | 48 | 171 | 6 | 75 | 36 | 3 |
| 22 | 350 | 5 | 49 | 165 | 6 | 76 | 33 | 3 |
| 23 | 345 | 5 | 50 | 159 | 6 | 77 | 30 | 3 |
| 24 | 339 | 6 | 51 | I 53 | 6 | 78 | 27 | 2 |
| 25 | 333 | 6 | 52 | 147 | 6 | 79 | 25 |  |
| 26 | 327 | 6 | 53 | I41 | 6 |  |  |  |

## T A B L E IX.

Shewing the Expectations of Life in London, according to the preceding Table. See Mr. SimpSori's Select Exercijes, p. 255.

| Age. | Experation. | Age. | Expectation. | Age. | Expectation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 27.0 | 28 | 24.6 | 55 | 14.2 |
| 2 | 32.0 | 29 | 24.1 | 56 | 13.8 |
| 3 | 34.0 | 30 | 23.6 | 57 | 13.4 |
| 4 | 35.6 | 31 | 23.1 | 58 | 13.1 |
| 5 | 36.0 | 32 | 22.7 | 59 | 12.7 |
| 6 | 36.0 | 33 | 22.3 | 60 | 12.4 |
| 7 | 35.8 | 34 | 21.9 | 61 | 12.0 |
| 8 | 35.6 | 35 | 21.5 | 62 | 11.6 |
| 9 | 35.2 | 36 | 21.1 | 63 | 11.2 |
| 10 | 34.8 | 37 | 20.7 | 64 | 10.8 |
| 11 | 34.3 | 38 | 20.3 | 65 | 10.5 |
| 12 | 33.7 | 39 | 19.9 | 66 | 10.1 |
| 13 | 33.1 | 40 | 19.6 | 67 | 9.5 |
| 14 | 32.5 | 41 | 19.2 | 68 | 9.4 |
| 15 | 31.9 | 42 | 15.8 | 69 | 9.1 |
| 16 | 31.3 | 43 | 18.5 | 70 | 8.8 |
| 17 | 30.7 | 44 | 18.1 | 71 | 8.4 |
| 18 | 30.1 | 45 | 17.8 | 72 | 8.1 |
| 19 | 29.5 | 46 | 17.4 | 73 | 7.8 |
| 20 | 28.9 | 47 | 17.0 | 74 | 7.5 |
| 21 | 28.3 | 48 | 16.7 | 75 | 7.2 |
| 22 | 27.7 | 49 | 16.3 | 76 | 6.3 |
| 23 | 27.2 | 50 | 16.0 | 77 | 6.4 |
| 24 | 26.6 | 51 | 15.6 | 78 | 6.0 |
| 25 | 26.1 | 52 | 15.2 | 79 | 5.5 |
| 26 | 25.6 | 53 | 149 | 80 | 5.0 |
| 27 | 25.1 | 54 | 14.5 |  |  |

## TABLEX.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on One Life, according to the probabilities of life in London. See Mr. Simppon's Select Exercijes, p. 260.


## A P P E N DIX.

## TABLEXI.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint continuance of Two Lives, according to the probabilities of life in London. See Mr. Simpfon's Select Exercijes, p. 266.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 10 | 14.71 | 13.0 | 11.6 |  |  | 12.8 |  | 10.1 |
|  | 15 | 14.31 | 12.7 | I. 3 |  | 25 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
|  | 20 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 10.8 |  | 30 |  | 10.3 | 9.2 |
|  | 25 | 13.1 | II. 6 | 10.2 |  | 35 | 10.9 | 9.8 | 8.8 8.4 |
|  | 30 | 12.31 | 10.9 | 9.7 |  | 40 | 10.2 | 9. 2 | 8.4 |
|  | 35 | 11.51 | 10.2 | 9.1 | 20 | 45 | 9.5 | 8.6 | 7.9 |
|  | 40 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 8.6 |  | 50 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.4 |
|  | 45 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 8.1 |  | 55 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 6.9 |
|  | 50 | $9 \cdot 3$ | 8.4 | 7.6 |  | 60 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.4 |
|  | 55 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 7.1 |  | 65 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 5.9 |
|  | 60 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 6.6 |  | 70 | 6.0 | 5.7 | $5 \cdot 4$ |
|  | 65 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 6.1 |  | 75 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
|  | 70 | 6.1 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75 | 5.3 | 5. I | 4.9 |  | 25 30 | 11.8 I 1.3 | $1{ }^{10.5}$ | 9.4 9.0 |
| 15 | 15 | 13.9 |  | 11.0 |  | 35 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 8.6 |
|  | 20 | 13.3 | 11 | 10.5 |  | 40 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 8.2 |
|  | 25 | 6 | 11.2 | 10.1 |  | 45 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 7.8 |
|  | 30 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 25 | 50 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 7.3 |
|  | 35 | II. 2 | 10.0 | 9.0 |  | 55 | 8.0 | $7 \cdot 4$ | 6.8 |
|  | 40 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 8.5 |  | 60 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 6.3 |
|  | 45 | 96 | 8.8 | 8.0 |  | 65 | 66 | 6.2 | 5.8 |
|  | 50 | 8.9 | . 2 | 7.5 |  | 70 | 5.9 | 5.6 | $5 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 55 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 7.0 |  | 75 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
|  | 60 | 7.5 6.8 | 7.0 6.4 | 6.5 6.0 |  | 30 |  | . | 8.6 |
|  | 70 | 6.0 | 5.7 |  | 30 | 35 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 8.3 |
|  | 75 | 5.2 | ) 5.0 | ! 4.8 |  | 40 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 8, |

TABLE XI. Continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|} \substack{0 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 0} \end{array}$ |  | 部淢 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 45 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 7.6 |  | 65 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 54 |
|  | 50 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 43 | 70 | 5.6 | $5 \cdot 3$ | 5.0 |
|  | 55 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 6.7 |  | 75 | 4.9 | $4 \cdot 7$ | 4.5 |
|  | 60 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 65 | 6.5 | 6.1 | $5 \cdot 7$ |  | 50 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6.2 |
|  | 70 | 5.8 | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 2$ |  | 55 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
|  | 75 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 50 | 60 | 6.7 | 6.1 | $5 \cdot 7$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 6.2 | $5 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 3$ |
| 35 | 35 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 8.0 |  | 70 | $5 \cdot 5$ | 5.2 | 4.9 |
|  | 40 | $9 \cdot 4$ | 8.5 | $7 \cdot 7$ |  | 75 | 4.8 | 4.6 | $4 \cdot 4$ |
|  | 45 | 8.9 | 8.1 | $7 \cdot 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 7.0 |  | 55 | 6.9 | 6.2 | $5 \cdot 7$ |
|  | 55 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 6.6 |  | 60 | 6.5 | $5 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 5$ |
|  | 60 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 55 | 65 | 6.0 | 5.6 | $5 \cdot 2$ |
|  | 65 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 5.6 |  | 70 | $5 \cdot 4$ | 5.1 | 4.8 |
|  | 70 | $5 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | 5.1 |  | 75 | 4.7 | $4 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 75 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 |  | 60 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
| 40 | 40 | 9.1 | 8.1 | $7 \cdot 3$ | 60 | 65 | $5 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | 4.9 |
|  | 45 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 7.1 |  | 70 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
|  | 50 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 6.8 |  | 75 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
|  | 55 | 7.6 | 69 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 6.0 |  | 70 | 5.4 4.9 | 4.6 | $4 \cdot 7$ 4.4 |
|  | 65 | 6.4 | 59 | $5 \cdot 5$ | 65 | 70 | 4.9 4.4 | 4.6 4.2 | $4 \cdot 4$ 4.0 |
|  | 70 | $5 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | 5.1 |  | 75 | $4 \cdot 4$ | 4.2 | 4.0 |
|  | 75 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 |  | 70 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
| 45 | 45 | 8.3 | $7 \cdot 4$ | 6.7 |  | 75 | 4 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
|  | 50 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 75 | 75 | 3.8 | $3 \cdot 7$ | 3.6 |
|  | 55 | $7 \cdot 4$ | 6.7 | 6.2 |  |  |  | $3 \cdot 7$ |  |
|  | 60 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |

## A P P E N D I X.

## T A B L E XII.

Shewing the Probabilities of Life in London, on the fuppofition, that all who die in London were born there. Formed from the Bills, for 10 years, from 1759 to 1768 . See page 245 .


## A P P E N DIX.

## T A B L E XIII.

Shewing the true Probabilities of Life in London till the Age of 19. See page 249.

| Age. | ${ }^{\text {Perfons liv. }}$ ing. | Decrements of ife. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o | 750 | 240 |
| 1 | 510 | 99 |
| 2 | 411 | 42 |
| 3 | 369 | 29 |
| 4 | 340 | 21 |
| 5 | 319 | 11 |
| 6 | 308 | 10 |
| 7 | 298 | 7 |
| 8 | 291 | 6 |
| 9 | 285 | 5 |
| 10 | 280 | 4 |
| 11 | 276 | 4 |
| 12 | 272 | 4 |
| 13 | 268 | 3 |
| 14 | 265 | 3 |
| 15 | 262 | 3 |
| 16 | 259 | 3 |
| 17 | 256 |  |
| 18 | 253 | 4 |
| 19 | 249 |  |
| 20 | 494 |  |
| 21 | 487 |  |
| $\& c$. | \&c. |  |

The numbers in the fecond column to be continued as in the laft Table.

## A P P E N D I X.

T A B L E XIV.

Shewing the true Probabilities of Life in London for all Ages. Formed from the bills for io years, from 1759 to 1768 . See page 25 1.

| Ages. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Perfons } \\ & \text { living. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decr. } \\ & \text { of Life. } \end{aligned}$ | Ages. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Perfons } \\ \text { living. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Decr. | Ages: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Perfons } \\ & \text { living } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decr. } \\ & \text { of Life. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | 1518 | 486 | 31 | 404 | 9 | 62 | 132 | 7 |
| 1 | 1032 | 200 | 32 | 395 | 9 | 63 | 125 | 7 |
| 2 | 832 | 85 | 33 | 386 | 9 | 64 | 118 | 7 |
| 3 | 747 | 59 | 34 | 377 | 9 | 65 | 111 | 7 |
| 4 | 688 | 42 | 35 | 368 | 9 | 66 | 104 | 7 |
| 5 | 646 | 23 | 36 | 359 | 9 | 67 | 97 | 7 |
| 6 | 623 | 20 | 37 | 350 | 9 | 68 | 90 | 7 |
| 7 | 603 | 14 | 38 | $34^{1}$ | 9 | 69 | 83 | 7 |
| 8 | 589 | 12 | 39 | 332 | 10 | 70 | 76 | 6 |
| 9 | 577 | 10 | 40 | 322 | 10 | 71 | 70 | 6 |
| 10 | 567 | 9 | 41 | 312 | 10 | 72 | 64 | 6 |
| 11 | $55^{8}$ | 9 | 42 | 302 | 10 | 73 | $5^{8}$ | 5 |
| 12 | 549 | 8 | 43 | 292 | 10 | 74 | 53 | 5 |
| 13 | 541 | 7 | 44 | 282 | 10 | 75 | 48 | 5 |
| 14 | 534 | 6 | 45 | 272 | 10 | 76 | 43 | 5 |
| 15 | 528 | 6 | 46 | 262 | 10 | 77 | 38 | 5 |
| 16 | 522 | 7 | 47 | 252 | 10 | 78 | 33 | 4 |
| 17 | 515 |  | 48 | 242 | 9 | 79 | 29 | 4 |
| 18 | 508 | 7 | 49 | 233 | 9 | 80 | 25 | 3 |
| 19 | 501 | 7 | 50 | 224 | 9 | 81 | 22 | 3 |
| 20 | 494 | 7 | 51 | 215 | 9 | 82 | 19 | 3 |
| 2 I | 487 | 8 | 52 | 206 | 8 | 83 | 16 | 3 |
| 22 | 479 | 8 | 53 | $19^{8}$ | 8 | 84 | 13 | 2 |
| 23 | 471 | 8 | 54 | 190 | 7 | 85 | 1 I | 2 |
| 24 | 463 | 8 | 55 | 183 | 7 | 86 | 9 | 2 |
| 25 | 455 | 8 | 56 | 176 | 7 | 87 | 7 | 2 |
| 26 | 447 | 8 | 57 | 169 | 7 | 88 | 5 | 1 |
| 27 | 439 | 8 | 58 | 162 | 7 | 89 | 4 | 1 |
| 28 | 431 | 9 | 59 | 155 | 8 | 90 | 3 | 1 |
| 29 | 422 | 9 | 60 | 147 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 413 | 9 | 61 | I 39 | 7 |  |  |  |

## OBSERVATIONS

$$
\mathrm{O} \mathrm{~N}
$$

TABLES I. and II.

THESE Tables may be met with in moft of the books that treat of compound intereft and annuities; but there has been, in this work, fo much occafion for referring to them, that it was neceffary to fave the reader the trouble of turning to other books for them.

The $2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{th}, \& \mathrm{c}$. numbers in the Second Table, are only the fums of the firft 2, 3, 4, \&c. numbers in the Firft Table. This Table, therefore, is the foundation of the Second; and, indeed, of all the common tables of compound intereft and, with the help of it, almoft all the queftions in compound intereft may be eafily anfwered.

The following fpecimen of this may, I think, be of confiderable ufe.

Question I. "To what fun or annuity " will any given fum or annuity, now to be " laid up for improvement, at a given rate " of compound intereft, increafe, in a given "筑umber of years ?"

## A P PENDIX.

Answer. Divide the given fum or annuity by the value of $\mathrm{r} l$. payable at the end of the given number of years, and the quiotient will be the anfwer.

Example. Let the given fum be 50 l . and the given time 18 years. The rate of intereft 4 per cent. - The prefent value, at 4 per cent. of $1 \%$. payable at the end of 18 years is, by Table I. 4936 ; and 50 l . divided by this value, gives.l. Io 1.296 , or 101 l. ${ }^{5}$ s. the fum to which $50 \%$. will increafe in 18 years. In lise manner; $2 \%$ per annum, the firft payment of which is to be made a year hence, will increafe (intereft fuppofed the fame) in 18 years, to an annuity of $l .4 .05$ : for $2 \%$. the given annuity, divided by .4936 , gives l. 4.05 , or 4 l. is.

Question II. "To what fum will a " given annuity amount, in confequence of " being forborn and improved, at a given " rate of compound intereft, for a given " number of years?"

Answer. From the increafed annuity, found by the laft Queftion, fubtract the given annuity; and multiply the remainder by the perpetuity, and the product will be the anfiver.

Example. $2 l$. per ann. improved at 4 per cent. compound interent, will, by the lant Queftion, increafe, in 18 years; to $l .4 .05$ per ann. 2l. fubtracted from 4.05 , leaves 2.05 , which,
which, multiplied by 25 , the perpetuity, gives l. 51.25 , or $51 / .5$ s, the amount in 18 years. In the fame manner it may be found, that 10l. per ann. (intereft being the fame) will amount, in 41 years, to 998 l.

It fhould be remembered, that the PERPetuity is $33.33,-28.57,-25-20$, or 16.666, according as intereft is reckoned at $3,-3^{\frac{1}{2}},-4,-5$ or 6 per cent: And that the annuity meant in all thefe Queftions is an amnuity, the firf payment of which is to be made at the end of a year.

Question III. "In what number of " years will a given fum or annuity increafe " to another given fum or annuity, in confe" quence of being improved at a given rate " of intereft?"

Answer. Divide the original fum or anmuity by the increafed fum or annuity; and look for the quotient, or the number neareft to it, in Table I; and the number of years correfponding to it will be the anfwer.

Example. Let the fum be $50 \%$. The increafed fum l.101.29. The rate of intereft, 4 per cent. The former fum divided by the latter gives .4936 , which ftands oppofite in the Table to 18 years, or the time in which 50 . will gain the required increafe._In like manner, it may be found, that 18 years is the time in which $2 l$. per ann. will increafe to 1.4 .05 per unn.

Question IV. "In what time will any " given annuity amount to a given fum, in "confequence of being forborn and im" proved, at a given rate of compound in" tereft ?"

Ansmer. Divide the given foum to which the annuity muft amount by the perpetuITy. Add the given annuity to the quotient; and by the quotient fo increafed, divide the given annuity; and this fecond quotient, found in Table I. will fhew the anfwer.

Example. A perfon owes 1000 l. and refolves to appropriate $10 \%$. per anmun of his income towards difcharging it. In what time will fuch an appropriation, in confequence of being improved at 4 per cent. amount to a fum equal to the debt? 1000 . divided by 25 gives $40 \%$. 10\% added to 40 l makes 50 l ; and 10 l . divided by 50 l . gives .2020 , which in the Table fands oppolite to 41 years, the required time.

In the fame manner it will appear, that the fame annuity, if improved at 5 per cent. will amount to 1000 . in 37 years.

Question V. " In what time will a "given principal be annihilated, by taking " out of it, at the end of a year, a given fum, " and after that, the fame fum annually, to"s gether with its growing interefts?"

## APPENDIX.

Answer. In the fame time plainly in which an equal annuity would amount to the giver principal.

A perfon, therefore, poffefs'd of $1000 \%$. capital, bearing intereft at 4 per cent. would, by Queftion IV. reduce it to nothing in 41 years, by taking out of it $10 \%$. the firft year, and as much more every following year, as would be neceffary, together with the intereft of the remaining capital, to make his annual income conftantly $50 \%$.

Remark. The fum to which a given annuity will amount in a given time, is the fame with the value of an annuity for the given time, equal to the given annuity increafed by the yearly intereft of the amount. That is, $1000 \%$ is the value of $50 \%$ per ann. for 41 years, at 4 per cent: And the fame fum is likewife the value of 60 l . per annum, for 37 years, at 5 per cent. The reafon is plain: $1000 \%$. it has appeared, would, in confequence of being put out to there different rates of intereft, be juft fufficient to pay the annuities.

I have been the more explicit in thefe rules, becaufe they point out a very eafy method of deducing and examining all I have faid, in different parts of this work, and particularly in Chap. III. concerning the increafe of money at intereft.—I will juft mention one intance.

## A P PENDIX.

400,0001 . per annum, applied in the manner fuppofed in Queftions IV and V. would annihilate 55 millions, bearing intereft at 5 per cent. in 42 years.

In 1716, when the finking fund was entablifhed, the public debts were near this fum, and bore 5 per cent. interef. This fund then, had but 400,000 . of it been inviolably applied to the annihilation of the public debts, would, in $175^{8}$, have difcharged all the debts contracted before 1716 . - And it may be further found very eafily, by the anfwer to Queftion IV. that had it been fuffered to go on in its operation, and been applied, fince $175^{8}$, to the redemption of only 3 per cents at par, it would by this time have difcharged 104 millions; and feven years hence, 140 millions. --The affertion, therefore, in page 164 , is ftrictly true. But the following proof of that affertion will, perhaps, be more clear and ftriking.

Suppofe an annuity of $400 ; 000$, beginning in 17:6, to have been applied till 1730 , to the annihilation of debts bearing intereft at .5 per cent; from 1730 to 1743 , to the annihilation of debts bearing intereft at 4 per cent. and from 1748 to 1771 , to the annibilation of debts bearing intereft at 3 per cent. In the firt of thefe periods the annuity would have increafed to $800,000 \%$; in the fecond, to $1,600,0001$. ; in the laft, to $3,200,000$ l.... In the prefent year, therefore, the nation Z 2 anight
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might have been eafed of above three millions per annum in taxes. And, at the fame time, (fuppofing all the fame meafures taken in other refpects) it would have enjoyed that very finking fund it now has; and no detriment could have arifen to the public, from any applications of it to current expences.

As I am now again on the national debt, I will beg leave to add the following proof of another obfervation on this fubject, in page 140.

The difburfements, on account of any loans, will be the fame, whatever different interefts they bear, fuppoing a provifion made for difcharging the principal, by applying to that purpofe furpluffes bearing to one another the lame proportions with thofe interefis.

For Example. Let a million be borrowed at 3 per cent. and let a fund be charged with it which brings in $6 s$. per cent. per annum more than the intereft; or $33,000 \%$ inftead of $30,000 \%$ per ammun. This furplus, applied in the manner I have explained, will annihilate the princiond in 81 years, as may be gathered from Quettion V. At the end of this time, the difburfements on account of the loan will be 33,000 \%. multiplied by 8 I ; that is, $2,673,000 \%$.

Let us again fuppofe a million borrowed at 6 per cint. and let a fund be charged with it producing a furplus of 12 s. per cent. per

## A P PENDIX.

anmum. Such a fund, befides paying the intereft, will difcharge the principal in 41 years; and the difburfements on account of the loan, will be $66,000 \mathrm{l}$. multiplied by 4 I ; that is, $2,706,000$ l or very nearly the fame with the difburfements on account of an equal loan at 3 per cent.

It appears, therefore, agreeably to the obfervation to which I have referred, that were the public, in raifing money, to adopt the plan I have propofed, it would be of little confequence what interef was given for money. The practicability of fuch a plan is felf-evident, for it cannot be lefs eafy to apply the interef of a fum, to the payment of a debt, than the fum itfelf: and this plan requires no more. - One particular advantage attending it, already hinted, I will beg leave here to repeat. By keeping the fooks fteadily at or near par, that fluctuation in them would be in a great meafure prevented, which now produces fo many esils; and which, with the aid of annual lotteries, will, I fear, in time, ruin all honeft induftry, and turn us into a nation of harpers and gamblers.

Directions for finding the Va a lues of Two Joint Lives of given Ages, by Table VII.

F Foth the ages are given in the Table; the value wanted will be found immediately by infpection.

If the ages are not given in the Table, it will be beft to proceed in the following manner.

Suppofe the rate of intereft 4 per cent. and the propofed ages 40 and 66 .——It will appear, from infpecting the Table, that the value fought would be 6.556 , were the age of the elder life 65 ; and $5.3^{8} 3$, were it 70 . Since, therefore, it is 66, the value mult be the firft of four arithmetical means hetween 6.556 and 5.383 , or 6.322 . Fo: the fame reafon, had the ages of the elder been 68 , the value would have been the 3 d arithmetical mean between 6.556 and $5 \cdot 383$, or 5.854.-In like manner, were the propofed ages 43 and 65 , the value would be the 3 d arithmetical mean between 6.556 (the value of two joint lives whofe ages are 40 and 65 ) and 6.425 , (the value of two joint lives whofe ages are 45 and 65 ) or 6.478 .

Again, let the ages be 43 and 66 . That is, let it be fuppofed, that neither of the propofed ages is given in the Table.

The values correfponding to the ages $\left\{\begin{array}{l}40 \\ 45\end{array}\right\}$ and $\left\{\begin{array}{l}66 \\ 66\end{array}\right\}$, are $\left\{\begin{array}{l}6.322 \\ 6.200\end{array}\right\}$.

The

The value, therefore, correfponding to the ages 43 and 66 , muft be the 3 d mean befween 6.322 and 6.200 , or 6.250 .
N. B. The Ift, $2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$, and 4 th of four arithmetical means between two numbers are found by fubtracting $\frac{x}{5}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{5}$, and $\frac{4}{5}$ of the difference between the two numbers, from the greaieft of them.

Thus. The difference between 6.556 , and 5.393 , is 1.173 . One fifth of this difference is .234; which, fubtracted from 6.556 , leaves 6.322 ; the firft of 4 means between 6.556 and 5.383 .-- In like manner; the difference between 6.322 and 6.200 is .122. One fifth of this difference is .024 ; and, therefore, three-fifths of this difference is .072 , which, fubtracted from 6.322 , leaves 6.250 , the third arithmetical mean between 6.322 and 6.200 .

In order to avoid trouble, if the ages are nearly equal, a year or two may be added to the leaft, and as much fubtracted from the greateft; and the value taken by infpection. But if one of them much exceeds the other, it will in general be fufficient to take the neareft number in the Table for the leffer.

The mean between the values at 3 per cent. and 4 per cent. may be taken for the value at $3^{\frac{x}{2}}$ per cent. without any error of confequence. And the like may be faid of the values at $4 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent.

The values of the longeft of two lives is found by fubtracting the value of the joint 4 lives
lives from the fum of the values of the two fingle lives. -Thus, the values of two fingle lives, whofe ages are 25 and 30 , are by Table VI. (intereft reckoned at 4 per cent.) 15.31 and 14.68 . The fum of thefe two values is 29.99 ; the value of the joint lives is (by Table VII) 11.46; and this value; fubtracted from 29.99 , gives 18.53 , or the value of an amuity on the longeft of the two lives.

The value of two joint lives being given, the value of three joint lives may be found by the following rule, taken from Mr. Simp fon's Select Exercijes, page 279.

Let A be the youngeft, and C the oldeft of the three propofed lives. Take the value of the two joint lives $B$ and $C$, and find the age of a jingle life $D$ of the fame valuẹ. Then find the value of the joint lives $A$ and D , which will be the anfiver.

Example. Let the three given ages be 25,30 , and 40 , and let the rate of interent be 4 per cint. Then the value of the two oldeft joint lives B and C, will (by Tab.VII.) be 10.428 , anfwering, in Tab.V1. to a fingle life $D$ of 54 years of age. And the value of the joint lives $A$ and $D$, which is 8.917 year's purchafe, will be the value fought.
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[^0]:    (a) In the note p. 68, I take notice of the five guineas fme reguiced by fome of thefe focieties at admiffon; and mention tome reatons for not making any particular allowance for it. But I have fince learnt, that it is indeed no more, than the firf of the yearly payments, which I have always fuppofed to begin immediately. No allowance, therefore, was neceflary to be made for it.

[^1]:    (a) At 3 fer cent. the period of doubling money by compound inteiclt, is nearly 23 years. At 5 per cent. $1+$ years.

[^2]:    * This Efliay was read to the Royal Societr, April 27th, 1769, and has been publifhed in the Philofophical Tranfactions, Vol. 59. It is here republifhed with feveral additions; particularly, the Pofifript.
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[^3]:    (a) See the Notes in pag. 2, and 23 .

[^4]:    Dr.

[^5]:    Q4
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